Global and blow-up solutions for a nonlinear reaction diffusion equation with Robin boundary conditions
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Abstract

In the paper, we investigate global and blow-up solutions for a class of nonlinear reaction diffusion equations with Robin boundary conditions. By using auxiliary functions and a first-order differential inequality technique, we establish conditions on the data to prove the existence of global solution. Moreover, based on maximum principles, we obtain a criterion that guarantees the occurrence of the blow-up. When blow-up occurs, we discuss an upper bound and a lower bound on blow-up time. At last, we apply two examples to illustrate our main results.

Keywords: Reaction diffusion equations; Blow-up; Global; Lower and upper bounds

1 Introduction

In recent years, there has been a great deal of literature on the global and blow-up solutions for nonlinear partial differential equations, for instance, in [1–8]. These works have contained a lot of interesting results about the global solutions, blow-up of solutions, bounds for the blow-up time, blow-up rates, and so on. A variety of physical, chemical, and biological applications are discussed in [9, 10].

As far as we know, reaction-diffusion equation is an important part of partial differential equation. There have been many research papers devoted to the nonlinear reaction diffusion equations under various boundary conditions, such as Dirichlet boundary conditions [11, 12], Neumann boundary conditions [13–16], nonlocal boundary conditions [17, 18], and nonlinear boundary conditions [19, 20]. At the same time, the blow-up problems for reaction diffusion equations under Robin boundary conditions have been also studied (see [21–24]). The authors in [22] considered the following equation:

\[ u_t = \nabla \cdot (\rho(\nabla u^2)\nabla u) + f(u), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \]

where \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2) \) is a smooth bounded domain. By making appropriate restrictions and using a differential inequality technique, a lower bound for the blow-up time was investigated in a three-dimensional space if blow-up occurs. When \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2) \), the authors demonstrated a criterion which guarantees the solution to remain global. [23]
was concerned with a more complicated case:

\[
(g(u))_t = \nabla \cdot (\rho(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) + k(t)f(u), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T).
\]

According to a differential inequality technique and maximum principles, the authors showed a blow-up or non-blow-up criterion under some appropriate assumptions. Moreover, they were dedicated to the upper and lower bounds for the blow-up time when blow-up occurs. In [24], the authors dealt with the blow-up phenomena of the following quasi-linear reaction diffusion equations with weighted nonlocal source:

\[
(g(u))_t = \nabla \cdot (\rho(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) + a(x)f(u), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T),
\]

where \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)\) is a bounded convex domain. They established conditions to guarantee that the solution remains global or blows up in a finite time. Moreover, upper and lower bounds for blow-up time were derived. These results were obtained by utilizing a differential inequality technique on suitably defined auxiliary functions.

Inspired by the above papers, we are concerned with the following reaction diffusion equations under Robin boundary conditions:

\[
\begin{aligned}
(g(u))_t &= \nabla \cdot (\rho(|\nabla u|^{p})\nabla u) + k(t) a(x)f(u), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \gamma u &= 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \\
\nu &= u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\] (1.1)

Here, \(p > 0\) and \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)\) is a bounded convex domain and the boundary \(\partial \Omega\) is smooth. \(\overline{\Omega}\) is the closure of \(\Omega\). \(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\) stands for the outward normal derivative on \(\partial \Omega\), \(\gamma\) is a positive constant, \(u_0\) denotes the initial value and is a positive \(C^2(\overline{\Omega})\) function, \(T\) is the blow-up time if the blow-up happens, otherwise \(T = +\infty\). Set \(R^+ = (0, +\infty), g\) is a \(C^2(R^+)\) function with \(g'(s) > 0\) for all \(s > 0\), \(\rho\) is a positive \(C^2(R^+)\) function with \(\rho(s) + ps\rho'(s) > 0\) for \(s > 0\), \(k\) is a positive \(C^1(R^+)\) function, \(a\) is a positive \(C^1(\overline{\Omega})\) function, \(f\) is a nonnegative \(C^1(\overline{R^+})\) function. The maximum principles imply that the classical solution \(u\) of (1.1) is a positive solution in \(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)\).

It is obvious that problem (1.1) is more general than the ones in [22–24]. The purpose of the paper is to get a non-blow-up or blow-up criterion for system (1.1). We need to define appropriate auxiliary functions that are different from the ones in [22–24]. By using first-order differential inequalities, we show that the solution of (1.1) exists globally under some conditions. Furthermore, combining the first-order differential inequalities with maximum principles, we demonstrate that the solution \(u(x, t)\) blows up at some finite time. When blow-up does occur, the upper and lower bounds for the blow-up time are presented.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 shows that solution \(u(x, t)\) of (1.1) exists globally in the suitable measure. In Sect. 3, we prove that solution \(u(x, t)\) blows up at some finite time and obtain an upper bound for the blow-up time. Section 4 presents a lower bound for the blow-up time when blow-up occurs. The last section gives two examples to illustrate our main results.
2 Global existence

In the section, under some suitable conditions, we prove the existence of global solution of problem (1.1). We suppose that is a classical solution of problem (1.1). In order to obtain our main result, it is required to construct the following notations and functions:

\[ F(s) := \int_0^s f(y) \, dy, \quad G(s) := 2 \int_0^s y g'(y) \, dy, \quad \Phi(t) := \int_\Omega G(u(x,t)) \, dx, \]  
\[ \Psi(t) := -\gamma \int_{\partial \Omega} u^2 \, dS - \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + 2k(t) \int_\Omega a(x)f(u) \, dx. \]  

Throughout the paper, we need to assume that \( \lambda \) is the first positive eigenvalue of the following problem:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \omega + \lambda \omega &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \nu} + \gamma \omega &= 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( 0 < \gamma < \frac{\lambda L_0}{\mu n(pq + 1)}, \quad L_0 = \min_{x \in \partial \Omega} (x \cdot v), \mu \geq 1. \)

In what follows, the main result will be stated.

Theorem 2.1 Let \( u \) be a classical solution of problem (1.1). And the following assumptions on the functions \( \rho, g, a, f \) hold:

\[ a(x)f(s(x,t)) \leq a_1 (s(x,t))^\kappa \left( \int_\Omega (s(x,t))^l \, dx \right)^m, \]  
\[ \rho(s) \geq b_1 + b_2 s^q, \quad g'(s) \leq c, \quad s > 0, \]  

where \( l, m, \kappa, a_1, q, c, b_1, b_2 \) are positive constants satisfying

\[ \kappa > 1, \quad 0 < l < \kappa + 1 < 2pq + 2, \quad \kappa + lm < 2pq + 1. \]

Then \( u \) cannot blow up in the measure \( \Phi(t) \) for all time \( t > 0 \), that is, there exists a global solution for problem (1.1) in the measure \( \Phi(t) \).

Proof Taking the derivation the function \( \Phi(t) \) in (2.1), we get

\[ \Phi'(t) = \int_\Omega G'(u(x,t)) u_t \, dx \]
\[ = 2 \int_\Omega u (\nabla \cdot (\rho |\nabla u|^p \nabla u) + k(t)a(x)f(u)) \, dx \]
\[ = 2 \int_\Omega \nabla \cdot (u \rho (|\nabla u|^p \nabla u) - \rho (|\nabla u|^p) |\nabla u|^2 + k(t)a(x)f(u)u) \, dx \]
\[ = 2 \int_{\partial \Omega} u \rho (|\nabla u|^p) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \, dS - 2 \int_\Omega \rho (|\nabla u|^p) |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + 2 \int_\Omega k(t)a(x)f(u)u \, dx \]
\[= -2\gamma \int_{\partial \Omega} u^2 \rho(|\nabla u|^p) \, dS - 2 \int_{\Omega} \rho(|\nabla u|^p)|\nabla u|^2 \, dx \]

\[+ 2 \int_{\Omega} k(t)a(x)f(u)u \, dx, \quad (2.6)\]

where the divergence theorem and Robin boundary condition in (1.1) are used. Furthermore, conditions (2.3) and (2.4) imply that

\[\Phi'(t) \leq -2\gamma \int_{\partial \Omega} u^2 \rho(|\nabla u|^p) \, dS - 2 \int_{\Omega} (b_1 + b_2|\nabla u|^{2pq})|\nabla u|^2 \, dx \]

\[+ 2k(t) \int_{\Omega} a_1 u^{k+1} \, dx \left( \int_{\Omega} u' \, dx \right)^m \]

\[\leq -2b_2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2pq+2} \, dx + 2k(t) \int_{\Omega} a_1 u^{k+1} \, dx \left( \int_{\Omega} u' \, dx \right)^m. \quad (2.7)\]

Next, we deal with the first term on the right-hand side of (2.7). By virtue of Hölder’s inequality, we have the following inequality:

\[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla (u^{pq+1})|^2 \, dx = (pq + 1)^2 \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \]

\[\leq (pq + 1)^2 \left( \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{pq}{pq+2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2pq+2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{pq+2}} \right). \quad (2.8)\]

The general Poincaré inequality yields

\[\lambda \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (u^{pq+1})|^2 \, dx - \int_{\partial \Omega} u^{pq+1} \frac{\partial u^{pq+1}}{\partial \nu} \, dS \]

\[= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (u^{pq+1})|^2 \, dx + \gamma (pq + 1) \int_{\partial \Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dS, \quad (2.9)\]

where the Robin boundary conditions in (1.1) are used. We apply the divergence theorem to derive

\[\int_{\partial \Omega} u^{2pq+2}(x \cdot \nu) \, dS = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (u^{2pq+2}x) \, dx \]

\[= n \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx + 2(pq + 1) \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+1}(\nabla u \cdot x) \, dx \]

\[\leq n \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx + 2d(pq + 1) \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+1}|\nabla u| \, dx. \]

Then it follows from Hölder’s inequality and Young’s inequality that

\[\int_{\partial \Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dS \leq \frac{n}{L_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx + \frac{2d(pq + 1)}{L_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+1}|\nabla u| \, dx \]

\[= \frac{n}{L_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx + \frac{2d}{L_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{pq+1}|\nabla (u^{pq+1})| \, dx \]

\[\leq \frac{n}{L_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx + 2 \left( \frac{d}{L_0} \right)^2 \theta \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]
\[ \times \left( \int_{\Omega} \theta^{-1} |\nabla (u_{pq+1})|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq \left( \frac{n}{L_0} + \left( \frac{d}{L_0} \right)^2 \right) \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx + \theta^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (u_{pq+1})|^2 \, dx, \]

(2.10)

where

\[ \theta = \frac{\lambda L_0^2 - \mu \gamma (pq + 1) n L_0}{\mu \gamma (pq + 1) d^2} > 0, \quad d = \max_{\Omega} |x|. \]

(2.11)

After inserting (2.10) into (2.9), we obtain

\[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (u_{pq+1})|^2 \, dx \geq M_0 \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx. \]

(2.12)

Here

\[ M_0 = \frac{\lambda - \gamma (pq + 1) \left[ \frac{n}{L_0} + (\frac{d}{L_0})^2 \theta \right]}{1 + \gamma (pq + 1) \theta^{-1}} > 0. \]

We substitute (2.12) into (2.8) to get

\[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{2pq+1}^{|2p+1|} \, dx \geq \frac{M_0^{pq+1}}{(pq + 1)^{2pq+2}} \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx. \]

Therefore, (2.7) can be rewritten as follows:

\[ \Phi'(t) \leq - \frac{2b_2 M_0^{pq+1}}{(pq + 1)^{2pq+2}} \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx + 2k(t) \int_{\Omega} a_1 u^{k+1} \, dx \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{k} \, dx \right)^{\frac{m}{k}}. \]

(2.13)

From Hölder’s inequality, we deduce

\[ \int_{\Omega} u^{k} \, dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{k+1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{k}{k+1}} |\Omega|^{\frac{k+1-k}{k+1}}, \]

(2.14)

\[ \int_{\Omega} u^{k+1} \, dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{k+1}{2pq+2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{2pq+2-k}{2pq+2}}. \]

(2.15)

Obviously, the following inequality holds:

\[ \int_{\Omega} u^{2pq+2} \, dx \geq \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{k+1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2pq+2}{k+1}} |\Omega|^{-\frac{2pq+2-k}{k+1}}. \]

(2.16)

Combining (2.13) and (2.14)–(2.16), we derive

\[ \Phi'(t) \leq 2k(t) \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{k+1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \left| \Omega \right|^{\frac{k+1-k}{k+1}} \left\{ a_1 - \frac{b_2 M_0^{pq+1}}{k(t)(pq + 1)^{2pq+2}} \times |\Omega|^{-\frac{2pq+2-k}{k+1} - m} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{k+1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2pq+2-2m}{k+1} - 1} \right\}. \]

(2.17)
An application of Hölder’s inequality implies

\[ \int_{\Omega} u^2 \, dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\kappa+1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{\kappa+1}} |\Omega|^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}}, \]

that is,

\[ \int_{\Omega} u^{\kappa+1} \, dx \geq \left( \int_{\Omega} u^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{\kappa+1}{\kappa}} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{\kappa}}. \tag{2.18} \]

Consequently, (2.17) can be replaced by

\[
\Phi'(t) \leq 2k(t) \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\kappa+1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1+\frac{lm}{\kappa+1}}{\kappa+1}} |\Omega|^{\frac{\kappa+1-\frac{lm}{\kappa+1}}{\kappa+1}} \left\{ a_1 - \frac{b_2 M_0^{pq+1}}{k(t)(pq+1)^{2pq+2}} \right. \\
\times \left. |\Omega|^{-\frac{2pq+1-x-lm}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{c} G(u) \, dx \right)^{\frac{2pq+1-x-lm}{2}} \right\}. \tag{2.19} \]

Assumptions (2.1) and (2.4) imply

\[ G(u) = 2 \int_{0}^{u} y g'(y) \, dy \leq 2c \int_{0}^{u} y \, dy = cu^2. \]

Hence,

\[ u^2 \geq \frac{1}{c} G(u). \tag{2.20} \]

It follows from (2.19) and (2.20) that

\[
\Phi'(t) \leq 2k(t) \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\kappa+1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1+\frac{lm}{\kappa+1}}{\kappa+1}} |\Omega|^{\frac{\kappa+1-\frac{lm}{\kappa+1}}{\kappa+1}} \left\{ a_1 - \frac{b_2 M_0^{pq+1}}{(pq+1)^{2pq+2} k(t)c^{\frac{2pq+1-x-lm}{2}}} \right. \\
\times \left. |\Omega|^{-\frac{2pq+1-x-lm}{2}} m \Phi(t)^{\frac{2pq+1-x-lm}{2}} \right\}. \tag{2.21} \]

From (2.21), we are devoted to proving that \( u \) cannot blow up in the measure \( \Phi(t) \). Now, suppose that \( u \) blows up at some finite \( t^* \) in the measure \( \Phi(t) \). Then we could find

\[ \lim_{t \to t^*-} k(t) = k(t^*), \quad \lim_{t \to t^*-} \Phi(t) = +\infty. \]

Moreover,

\[ \lim_{t \to t^*-} a_1 - \frac{b_2 M_0^{pq+1}}{(pq+1)^{2pq+2} k(t)c^{\frac{2pq+1-x-lm}{2}}} \left| \Phi(t)^{\frac{2pq+1-x-lm}{2}} m \right| = -\infty. \]
Therefore, (2.21) yields that there exists $t_1 < t^*$ such that $\Phi'(t) < 0, t \in (t_1, t^*)$. Namely,

$$\Phi(t_1) > \Phi(t), \quad t \in (t_1, t^*).$$

We take the limit as $t \to t^*$ to get

$$\Phi(t_1) \geq \lim_{t \to t^*} \Phi(t) = +\infty.$$

This is a contradiction. Then the hypothesis that $u$ blows up at some finite time is false. □

3 Blow-up in finite time and an upper bound for blow-up time

This subsection presents that $u$ blows up in finite time and derives an upper bound for blow-up time under some suitable assumptions of the functions $\rho, b, k, f, g$. Firstly, we state the following Lemma 3.1 which is used for the proof of the main result.

**Lemma 3.1** Suppose that $u$ is a classical solution of problem (1.1). And the following assumptions are satisfied:

\begin{align}
&k'(t) \geq 0, \quad t > 0. \\
&\nabla \cdot \left( \rho(\|\nabla u_0\|^p) \nabla u_0 \right) + k(0)a(x)f(u_0) \geq 0, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}. 
\end{align}

Then

$$u_t(x, t) \geq 0, \quad (t, x) \in [0, t^*) \times \overline{\Omega}.$$

**Proof** Taking a derivative of $u_t$ with respect to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
uu_t &= \left( \frac{1}{g'(u)} \left( \nabla \cdot (\rho(\|\nabla u\|^p) \nabla u) + k(t)a(x)f(u) \right) \right)_t \\
&= \left( \frac{1}{g'} \left( \rho \Delta u + p\rho' \|\nabla u\|^{p-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{xi}u_{xj}u_{x_i x_j} + k(t)a(x)f(u) \right) \right)_t \\
&= \frac{\rho}{g'} \Delta u_t + \frac{p\rho' \|\nabla u\|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t - p\rho' \|\nabla u\|^{p-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{xi}u_{xj}u_{x_i x_j}}{g^2} \\
&+ \frac{p\rho' |\nabla u|^{p-4} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t}{g^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{xi}u_{xj}u_{x_i x_j} \\
&+ \frac{2p\rho' |\nabla u|^p}{g' } \sum_{i,j=1}^n (u_{xi}u_{xj}u_{x_i x_j}) \\
&+ \frac{(ak'f + ak'f'u_t)g'}{g^2} - g''u_takf + \frac{p\rho' |\nabla u|^{p-2}}{g'} \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{xi}u_{xj}(u_{xi})_{x_i x_j}.
\end{align*}
$$
For convenience, let $\xi = u_t$, then we get

$$\xi_t = \frac{\rho}{g'} \Delta \xi + \frac{p p' |\nabla u|^{p-2}}{g'} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} \xi_{x_i x_j} + \left( \frac{p p' |\nabla u|^{p-2} \Delta u}{g'} + \frac{\rho'' p^2 |\nabla u|^{2p-4}}{g'} \right) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \xi$$

$$+ \frac{2p p' |\nabla u|^{p-2}}{g'} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} \xi_{x_i x_j} + \left( \frac{a k f'}{g'} - \frac{g'' a k f}{g^2} \right) \xi - \frac{a k f'}{g'}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

By (3.1) and the properties of the functions $a, f, g$ for any $(t, x) \in [0, t^*) \times \overline{\Omega}$, we derive

$$\frac{\rho}{g'} \Delta \xi + \frac{p p' |\nabla u|^{p-2}}{g'} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} \xi_{x_i x_j} + \left( \frac{p p' |\nabla u|^{p-2} \Delta u}{g'} + \frac{\rho'' p^2 |\nabla u|^{2p-4}}{g'} \right) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \xi$$

$$+ \frac{2p p' |\nabla u|^{p-2}}{g'} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} \xi_{x_i x_j} + \left( \frac{a k f'}{g'} - \frac{g'' a k f}{g^2} \right) \xi - \xi_t$$

$$= -\frac{a k f'}{g'} \leq 0. \hspace{1cm} (3.4)$$

The Robin boundary condition of (1.1) implies

$$\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial \nu} = \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)_t = -\gamma u_t = -\gamma \xi,$$

namely

$$\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \nu} + \gamma \xi = 0, \hspace{1cm} (t, x) \in [0, t^*) \times \partial \Omega. \hspace{1cm} (3.5)$$

From (3.2), we are led to

$$\xi(x, 0) = u_t(x, 0) = \frac{1}{g'} \left( \nabla \cdot \left( \rho \left( |\nabla u_0|^{p} \right) \nabla u_0 \right) + k(0)a(x)f(u_0) \right) \geq 0, \hspace{1cm} x \in \overline{\Omega}. \hspace{1cm} (3.6)$$

According to (3.4)–(3.6) and the maximum principle in [25], we can obtain

$$u_t = \xi(x, t) \geq 0, \hspace{1cm} (t, x) \in [0, t^*) \times \overline{\Omega}.$$ 

The proof is complete. \hfill \Box
In the sequel, the main result Theorem 3.1 will be stated under Lemma 3.1 and the auxiliary functions defined by (2.1) and (2.2).

**Theorem 3.1** Let $u$ be a classical solution of problem (1.1). And assume that (3.1)–(3.2) hold and the functions $\rho, g, f, k, a$ satisfy the following conditions:

1. $1 \leq \rho(s) \leq 1 + \alpha, \quad g''(s) \leq 0, \quad s > 0,$  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

2. $\int_{\Omega} a(x)s f(s) \, dx \geq 2(1 + \alpha) \int_{\Omega} a(x)F(s) \, dx, \quad s > 0,$ \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

3. $\Psi(0) := -\gamma \int_{\partial\Omega} u_0^2 \, dS - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^2 \, dx + 2k(0) \int_{\Omega} a(x)F(u_0) \, dx > 0,$ \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

where $u_0$ is the initial value and $\alpha$ is a positive constant. Then $u$ blows up at some finite time $T \leq T^*$ in the measure $\Phi(t)$. And

$$T^* = \frac{\Phi(0)}{2\alpha(1 + \alpha)\Psi(0)}.$$  

**Proof** Obviously, (2.6) also holds. Then, from assumptions (3.7) and (3.8), we rewrite (2.6) as

$$\Psi'(t) \geq -2\gamma(1 + \alpha) \int_{0}^{t} u^2 \, dS - 2(1 + \alpha) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + 4(1 + \alpha) \int_{\Omega} k(t)a(x)F(u) \, dx$$

$$= 2(1 + \alpha)\Psi(t). \hspace{1cm} (3.10)$$

Differentiating $\Psi(t)$ in (2.2), we obtain

$$\Psi'(t) = -2\gamma \int_{\partial\Omega} uu_t \, dS - 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t \, dx + 2k(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x)F(u) \, dx$$

$$+ 2k(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x)f(u)u_t \, dx$$

$$\geq -2\gamma \int_{\partial\Omega} uu_t \, dS - 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t \, dx + 2k(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x)f(u)u_t \, dx$$

$$\geq -2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \rho(|\nabla u|^p)uu_t \, dS - 2 \int_{\Omega} \rho(|\nabla u|^p) \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t \, dx$$

$$+ 2k(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x)f(u)u_t \, dx,$$

where (3.2) and (3.7) are used. Moreover, it follows from Gauss’s law and Robin’s boundary condition that

$$\Psi'(t) \geq 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \rho(|\nabla u|^p)u_t \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \, dS - 2 \int_{\Omega} \rho(|\nabla u|^p) \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t \, dx$$

$$+ 2k(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x)f(u)u_t \, dx$$

$$= 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \left( \rho(|\nabla u|^p) \nabla u_t \right) \, dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \rho(|\nabla u|^p) \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t \, dx$$

$$+ 2k(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x)f(u)u_t \, dx.$$
\[
= 2 \int_\Omega u_t \nabla \cdot \left( \rho (|\nabla u|^p) \nabla u \right) \, dx + 2k(t) \int_\Omega a(x)f(u)u_t \, dx \\
= 2 \int_\Omega g'(u)u_t^2 \, dx \\
\geq 0. \tag{3.11}
\]

Therefore, \( \Psi(t) \) is a nondecreasing function in \( t \). By virtue of (3.9), it is easy to get that
\[
\Psi(t) \geq \Psi(0) > 0, \quad \forall t \in (0, T).
\]

Consequently, (3.10) yields
\[
\Phi'(t) > 0. \tag{3.12}
\]

With the help of (3.10)–(3.11), we obtain
\[
2(1+\alpha)\Psi(t)\Phi'(t) \leq (\Phi'(t))^2 = \left( 2 \int_\Omega g'(u)u_t \, dx \right)^2 \\
\leq 4 \int_\Omega g'(u)u^2 \, dx \int_\Omega g'(u)u_t^2 \, dx, \tag{3.13}
\]

where Schwarz's inequality is applied. Integrating by parts and (3.7), we are led to
\[
G(u) = 2 \int_0^u yg'(y) \, dy \\
= \int_0^u g'(y) \, dy^2 \\
= g'(u)u^2 - \int_0^u y^2 g''(y) \, dy \\
\geq g'(u)u^2.
\]

Therefore, (3.13) can be replaced by
\[
(1+\alpha)\Psi(t)\Phi'(t) \leq 2 \int_\Omega G(u) \, dx \int_\Omega g'(u)u_t^2 \, dx \leq \Phi(t)\Psi'(t), \tag{3.14}
\]

namely
\[
\left( \Psi(t)\Phi(t)^{-(\alpha+1)} \right)' \geq 0. \tag{3.15}
\]

We integrate (3.15) from 0 to \( t \) and obtain
\[
\Psi(t)\Phi(t)^{-(\alpha+1)} \geq \Psi(0)\Phi(0)^{-(\alpha+1)}. \tag{3.16}
\]

(3.10) and (3.16) imply
\[
\Phi'(t)\Phi(t)^{-(\alpha+1)} \geq 2(1+\alpha)\Psi(0)\Phi(0)^{-(\alpha+1)}. \tag{3.17}
\]
When $\alpha > 0$, integrating (3.17) from 0 to $t$ again, we have

$$\Phi(t)^{-\alpha} \leq -2\alpha(1+\alpha)\Phi(0)t + \Phi(0)^{-\alpha}. (3.18)$$

Hence, it follows from (3.18) that the solution $u$ of (1.1) blows up at some finite time $T < T^*$ in the measure $\Phi(t)$ and

$$T < T^* = \frac{\Phi(0)}{2\alpha(1+\alpha)\Phi(0)}. \quad \square$$

4 A lower bound for blow-up time

In this subsection, a lower bound for the blow-up time $t^*$ is given. Now we will make the following assumptions on the functions $k, \rho, a, f, g$:

$$a(x)f(s) \leq c_1 + c_2s^\beta, \quad s > 0, \quad (4.1)$$
$$\rho(s) \geq b_1 + b_2s^q, \quad g'(s) \geq m_0, \quad s > 0, \quad (4.2)$$
$$\frac{k'(t)}{k(t)} \leq \eta, \quad k(t) \leq \eta_0, \quad t > 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where $c_1, q$ are nonnegative constants, $b_1, b_2, c_2, m_0, \beta, \eta_0$ are some positive constants, and they satisfy $\beta > 2pq + 1$. Now we define the new auxiliary functions to prove the main result in this section:

$$A(t) = k^{\delta_1}(t) \int_\Omega B(u) \, dx, \quad t \geq 0, \quad B(s) = \delta_2 \int_0^s g''(y)y^{\delta_2-1} \, dy, \quad s \geq 0, \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\delta_1 = \frac{2r(pq + 1) + pq(n - 2)}{\beta - 1}, \quad \delta_2 = 2r(pq + 1) - 2pq, \quad (4.5)$$
$$\mu \geq r = \max \left\{ \frac{(n - 2)(\beta - 2pq - 1)}{4(pq + 1)}, 1 \right\}. \quad (4.6)$$

The following is our main result.

**Theorem 4.1** Let $u$ be a classical solution of problem (1.1). Assume that conditions (4.1)–(4.3) on $k, \rho, a, f, g$ hold. And $u$ becomes unbounded in the measure $A(t)$ at some finite time $t^*$. Then the blow-up time $t^*$ is bounded and it satisfies

$$t^* \geq \int_{A(0)}^\infty \frac{1}{\delta_1 \eta \tau + C_1 \tau^{\frac{\delta_2 - 1}{2\delta_2}} + C_2 \tau^{\frac{\mu r(pq + 1) + 2pq(n - 2) - n(\beta - 1)}{4r(pq + 1) + 2pq(n - 2) - n(\beta - 1)}}} \, d\tau.$$
where $C_0$ is a Sobolev embedding constant depending on $n$ and $\Omega$, $|\Omega|$ stands for the volume of $\Omega$.

$$
N = \frac{b_2 \delta_2 (\delta_2 - 1) M^{pq} (4r(pq + 1) + 2pq(n - 2))}{n(\beta - 1)(r(pq + 1))^{2(pq+1)} C_2 (C_2 (1 + \frac{1}{M}))^{(n(pq+1)+2pq(n-2))}},
$$

$$
M = \frac{\lambda \gamma (pq + 1)(\frac{\gamma}{\lambda})^2 \theta_0}{1 + \gamma (pq + 1) \theta_0^{-1}}, \quad \theta_0 = \frac{\lambda L_0^2 - \gamma (pq + 1)nL_0}{2\gamma (pq + 1)d^2}.
$$

**Proof** From Gauss's law and conditions (4.1) – (4.3), we obtain

$$
A'(t) = \delta_1 k^{\delta_1 - 1}(t) k'(t) \int_\Omega B(u) \, dx + k^{\delta_1}(t) \int_\Omega B'(u) \, dx
$$

$$
= \delta_1 k^{\delta_1}(t) \frac{K(t)}{k(t)} \int_\Omega B(u) \, dx + \delta_2 k^{\delta_2}(t) \int_\Omega u^{\delta_2 - 1} \gamma'(u) \, dx
$$

$$
\leq \delta_1 \eta A(t) + \delta_2 k^{\delta_2}(t) \int_\Omega \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla u^p \nabla u) + a(x) k(t) f(u) \, dx
$$

$$
= \delta_1 \eta A(t) + \delta_2 k^{\delta_2}(t) \int_\Omega \nabla \cdot (u^{\delta_2 - 1} \rho (\nabla u^p) \nabla u) \, dx - \delta_2 (\delta_2 - 1) k^{\delta_2}(t)
$$

$$
\times \int_\Omega u^{\delta_2 - 2} \rho (\nabla u^p)^2 \, dx + \delta_2 k^{\delta_2 + 1}(t) \int_\Omega u^{\delta_2 - 1} a(x) f(u) \, dx
$$

$$
= \delta_1 \eta A(t) + \delta_2 k^{\delta_2}(t) \int_\Omega u^{\delta_2 - 1} \rho (\nabla u^p)^2 \, dx - \delta_2 (\delta_2 - 1) k^{\delta_2}(t)
$$

$$
\times \int_\Omega u^{\delta_2 - 2} (b_1 + b_2 |\nabla u^{2pq}|^2) \, dx + \delta_2 k^{\delta_2 + 1}(t) \int_\Omega u^{\delta_2 - 1} (c_1 + c_2 u^p) \, dx
$$

$$
\leq \delta_1 \eta A(t) - \gamma \delta_2 k^{\delta_2}(t) \int_\Omega u^{\delta_2} \rho (\nabla u^p)^2 \, dx - \delta_2 (\delta_2 - 1) k^{\delta_2}(t)
$$

$$
\times \int_\Omega u^{\delta_2 - 2} (b_1 + b_2 |\nabla u^{2pq}|^2) \, dx + \delta_2 k^{\delta_2 + 1}(t) \int_\Omega u^{\delta_2 - 1} (c_1 + c_2 u^p) \, dx
$$

$$
\leq \delta_1 \eta A(t) - \delta_2 b_2 (\delta_2 - 1) k^{\delta_2}(t) \int_\Omega u^{\delta_2 - 2} |\nabla u|^{2pq+2} \, dx + c_1 \delta_2 k^{\delta_2 + 1}(t)
$$

$$
\times \int_\Omega u^{\delta_2 - 1} \, dx + c_2 \delta_2 k^{\delta_2 + 1}(t) \int_\Omega u^{\delta_2 + \gamma - 1} \, dx.
$$

Then we replace $u'$ with $\nu$ in (4.11) and have

$$
A'(t) \leq \delta_1 \eta A(t) - \frac{\delta_2 b_2 (\delta_2 - 1) k^{\delta_2}(t)}{\nu^{2pq+2}} \int_\Omega |\nabla \nu|^{2pq+2} \, dx + c_1 \delta_2 k^{\delta_2 + 1}(t) \int_\Omega \nu^{\delta_2 - 1} \, dx
$$

$$
+ c_2 \delta_2 k^{\delta_2 + 1}(t) \int_\Omega \nu^{2pq+1} \frac{\nu^{\delta_2 - 1}}{\nu^{2pq+1}} \, dx.
$$

Similar to the proof of inequality (2.12), we can derive

$$
\int_\Omega |\nabla \nu|^{2pq+1} \, dx \geq M \int_\Omega \nu^{2pq+2} \, dx,
$$

(4.13)
where $M > 0$ is defined by (4.10). It follows from Hölder’s inequality and (4.13) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^{pq+1}|^2 \, dx = (pq + 1)^2 \int_{\Omega} v^{2pq} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx$$

$$\leq (pq + 1)^2 \left( \int_{\Omega} v^{2pq+2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{pq}{pq+1}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2pq+2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{pq+1}}$$

$$\leq \frac{(pq + 1)^2}{M^{\frac{pq}{pq+1}}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{pq+1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{pq}{pq+1}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2pq+2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{pq+1}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.14)

That is,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2pq+2} \, dx \geq \frac{M^{pq}}{(pq + 1)^2pq+2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{pq+1} \, dx.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.15)

Therefore, (4.12) can be rewritten as

$$A'(t) \leq \delta_1 \eta A(t) - \delta_2 \beta (\delta_2 - 1)M^{pq} \left(\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_2 - 1}\right)^{\frac{1}{pq+1}} k^{\delta_1} (t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{pq+1} \, dx + c_1 \delta_2 k^{\delta_1+1} (t)$$

$$\times \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2\delta_1+1}{2}} \, dx + c_2 \delta_2 k^{\delta_1+1} (t) \int_{\Omega} v^{2(pq+1)\frac{\beta - 2pq - 1}{\beta}} \, dx.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.16)

In what follows, we estimate the last two terms on the right-hand side of (4.16). Hölder’s inequality and (4.3) yield

$$k^{\delta_1+1} (t) \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2\delta_1+1}{2}} \, dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} k^{\delta_1} (t) v^{\frac{\delta_2}{2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{\delta_2}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} k^{\delta_1+\delta_2} (t) |\Omega| \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_2}}$$

$$\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} k^{\delta_1} (t) v^{\frac{\delta_2}{2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{\delta_2}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} k^{\delta_1+\delta_2} \eta_0 \frac{1}{\tau_2} |\Omega| \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_2}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.17)

$$k^{\delta_1+1} (t) \int_{\Omega} v^{2(pq+1)\frac{\beta - 2pq - 1}{\beta}} \, dx \leq \left( k^{\delta_1} (t) \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{\delta_2}{2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2\delta_2}{\delta_2 - 1}}$$

$$\times \left( k^{\frac{\delta_1}{\beta}} (t) \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2pq+1}{\beta}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{\beta - 2pq - 1}{\beta}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.18)

Now we deal with (4.18). Using Sobolev’s inequality and (4.13), we get

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2pq+1}{\beta}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} \leq C_0 \left( \int_{\Omega} v^{2(pq+1)} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^{pq+1}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C_0 \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{M} |\nabla v^{pq+1}|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^{pq+1}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C_0 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{M} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^{pq+1}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.19)

Namely,

$$\int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2pq+1}{\beta}} \, dx \leq C_0 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{M} \right)^{\frac{n}{\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^{pq+1}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{n}{\beta}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.19)
The substitution of (4.19) into (4.18) and Young’s inequality imply
\[
\begin{align*}
&k^{1+1}(t) \int_{\Omega} u^{2(pq+1)} \cdot \frac{\beta-2pq-1}{r^{2pq+2}} \, dx \\
&\leq \left( k^{1}(t) \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2}{p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \left\{ k^{1+1}(t) C_{0}^{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{M} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{pq+1}| \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{pq+1}} \right\}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \left\{ \frac{4r(pq+1)+2pq(n-2) - n(\beta-1)}{4r(pq+1)+2pq(n-2)} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \left( k^{1}(t) \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2}{p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \left( k^{1+1}(t) C_{0}^{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{M} \right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{pq+1}| \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{pq+1}} \right\}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \left\{ \frac{4r(pq+1)+2pq(n-2) - n(\beta-1)}{4r(pq+1)+2pq(n-2)} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \left( k^{1}(t) \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2}{p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \left( k^{1+1}(t) C_{0}^{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{M} \right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{pq+1}| \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{pq+1}} \right\}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \left\{ \frac{4r(pq+1)+2pq(n-2) - n(\beta-1)}{4r(pq+1)+2pq(n-2)} \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{n(\beta-1)}{4r(pq+1)+2pq(n-2)} \cdot \left( k^{1+1}(t) \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2}{p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \left( k^{1+1}(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{pq+1}| \, dx \right) \right\}. \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
\]

We insert (4.17)–(4.20) into (4.16) and obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
A'(t) &\leq \delta_{1} \eta A(t) - \frac{\delta_{2} \beta_{2}(\beta_{2} - 1)M^{pq}}{(r(pq+1))^{2pq+2}} k^{1+1}(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{pq+1}| \, dx + \eta_{0} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \\
&\quad \times c_{1} \delta_{2} \left( k^{1+1}(t) \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2}{p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + c_{2} \delta_{2} \left( C_{0}^{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{M} \right) \right) \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \frac{4r(pq+1)+2pq(n-2) - n(\beta-1)}{4r(pq+1)+2pq(n-2)} \right\} \cdot \left( k^{1+1}(t) \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2}{p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \left( k^{1+1}(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{pq+1}| \, dx \right) \right\}. \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
\]

From the definition of \(\epsilon\), the terms containing \(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{pq+1}| \, dx \) in (4.21) are offset, that is,
\[
A'(t) \leq \delta_{1} \eta A(t) + \eta_{0} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \frac{1}{r^{2}} c_{1} \delta_{2} \left( k^{1+1}(t) \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2}{p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + c_{2} \delta_{2} \epsilon \frac{n(\beta-1)}{4r(pq+1)+2pq(n-2)}. \]
\[ \times \frac{4r(pq + 1) + 2pq(n - 2) - n(\beta - 1)}{4r(pq + 1) + 2pq(n - 2)} \left( C_0^2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{M} \right) \right) \frac{u^{(\beta - 1)}}{4r(pq + 1) + 2pq(n - 2)(\beta - 1)} \]
\[ \times \left( \kappa_1(t) \int_\Omega \nu^2 \, dx \right). \]

By \( g'(s) > 0 \) in (4.2), we get
\[ B(u) = \delta_2 \int_0^u g'(y)^{\delta_2 - 1} \, dy \geq m_0 \delta_2 \int_0^u y^{\delta_2 - 1} \, dy = m_0 u^{\delta_2}. \]

That is,
\[ \frac{\nu^2}{r^2} = u^{\delta_2} \leq \frac{1}{m_0} B(u). \]

Then
\[ A'(t) \leq \delta_1 \eta A(t) + C_1 A(t)^{\frac{\delta_2 - 1}{\delta_2}} + C_2 A(t)^{\frac{4r(pq + 1) + 2pq(n - 2)(\beta - 1)}{4r(pq + 1) + 2pq(n - 2)(\beta - 1) - n(\beta - 1)}} , \quad (4.22) \]
where \( C_1, C_2 \) are defined as (4.7) and (4.8). Integrating (4.22) from 0 to \( t \), we have
\[ \int_{A(0)}^{A(t)} \frac{d\tau}{\delta_1 \eta \tau + C_1 \tau^{\frac{\delta_2 - 1}{\delta_2}} + C_2 \tau^{\frac{4r(pq + 1) + 2pq(n - 2)(\beta - 1)}{4r(pq + 1) + 2pq(n - 2)(\beta - 1) - n(\beta - 1)}}} \leq t. \]

We take the limit \( t \to t^+ \) to get
\[ t^+ \geq \int_{A(0)}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\delta_1 \eta \tau + C_1 \tau^{\frac{\delta_2 - 1}{\delta_2}} + C_2 \tau^{\frac{4r(pq + 1) + 2pq(n - 2)(\beta - 1)}{4r(pq + 1) + 2pq(n - 2)(\beta - 1) - n(\beta - 1)}}}. \]

Thus, if \( u \) blows up in the measure \( A(t) \) at some finite time \( t^+ \), and there is a lower bound for blow-up time. \( \square \)

5 Applications

As applications, the section shows that two examples illustrate our main results.

Example 5.1 Assume that \( u \) is a classical solution of the following equation:

\[ \begin{cases}
(3u - \ln(1 + u))_t = \nabla \cdot \left( (2 + |\nabla u|^2) \nabla u \right) + e^{3t}(2 - \frac{|x|^2}{4})u^2 (\int_\Omega u \, dx)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \\
(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2} u = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \\
u(x, 0) = u_0(x) = 4 - \frac{|x|^2}{4}, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega},
\end{cases} \]

where \( \Omega = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)|x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < 4\} \). Then \( u \) cannot blow up in the measure \( \Phi(t) \), where

\[ \Phi(t) = \int_\Omega -2u + 3u^2 + \ln(1 + u) \, dx. \]
Proof Compared with problem (1.1), it is obvious that

\[ g(u) = 3u - \ln(1 + u), \quad \rho(s) = 2 + \frac{s}{4}, \quad f(u) = u^2 \left( \int_{\Omega} u \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \]

\[ k(t) = e^{3t}, \quad a(x) = 2 - \frac{|x|^2}{4}, \quad u_0(x) = 4 - \frac{|x|^2}{4}, \quad p = 5. \]

Set \( q = \frac{1}{8}, a_1 = \kappa = 2, l = 1, \gamma = \frac{1}{3}, c = 3, m = \frac{1}{6}, \lambda = 2.4547. \) And they satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Hence, we get that \( u \) cannot blow up in the measure \( \Phi(t) \).

Example 5.2 Suppose that \( u \) is a classical solution of the following equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
(3u + \ln(1 + u))_t = \nabla \cdot ((5 + \frac{1}{3|\nabla u|})\nabla u) + (3 - 2e^{-t})(2 - \frac{|x|^2}{4})u^2, \\
(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \frac{1}{3} u = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \\
u(x, 0) = u_0(x) = 2 - \frac{|x|^2}{8}, \quad x \in \Omega,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \Omega = \{ x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) | x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < 4 \} \). Then \( u \) will blow up at \( t^* < 0.0552 \) in the measure \( \Phi(t) \), where

\[ \Phi(t) = \int_{\Omega} G(u) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (3u^2 + 2u - 2\ln(1 + u)) \, dx. \]

Moreover, the blow-up time \( t^* \) is also bounded below and

\[ t^* \geq 0.0004. \]

Proof Compared with (1.1), we have

\[ g(u) = 3u + \ln(1 + u), \quad \rho(|\nabla u|^3) = 5 + \frac{1}{3 + |\nabla u|^3}, \quad f(u) = u^2, \]

\[ k(t) = 3 - 2e^{-t}, \quad a(x) = 2 - \frac{|x|^2}{4}, \quad u_0(x) = 2 - \frac{|x|^2}{8}, \quad p = 3, \]

\[ G(u) = 2 \int_{0}^{u} yg(y) \, dy = 3u^2 + 2u - 2\ln(1 + u), \]

\[ F(u) = \int_{0}^{u} y^2 \, dy = \frac{1}{3} u^3. \]

Firstly, we demonstrate that \( u \) blows up in finite time and obtain an upper bound for blow-up time. Define following functions:

\[ \Phi(t) = \int_{\Omega} G(u) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (3u^2 + 2u - 2\ln(1 + u)) \, dx, \]

\[ \Psi(t) = \frac{1}{3} \int_{\partial \Omega} u^2 \, dS - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + 2(3 - 2e^{-t}) \int_{\Omega} \left( 2 - \frac{|x|^2}{4} \right) F(u) \, dx. \]
Set $\alpha = \frac{14}{3}$. We verify that conditions (3.2), (3.7), and (3.8) are satisfied. We calculate that

$$
\Phi(0) = \int_{\Omega} G(u_0(x)) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (3u_0^2 + 2u_0 - 2 \ln(1 + u_0)) \, dx
$$

$$
= \int_{\Omega} \left( 3 \left( 2 - \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 + 2 \left( 2 - \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right) - 2 \ln \left( 3 - \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right) \right) \, dx
$$

$$
= \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{\pi} d\varphi \int_0^2 \left( 3 \left( 2 - \frac{r^2}{8} \right)^2 + 2 \left( 2 - \frac{r^2}{8} \right) - 2 \ln \left( 3 - \frac{r^2}{8} \right) \right) r^2 \sin \varphi \, dr
$$

$$
= 339.703,
$$

$$
\Psi(0) = -\frac{1}{3} \int_{\partial\Omega} u_0^2 \, dS - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^2 \, dx + \frac{2}{3} \int_{\Omega} \left( 2 - \frac{|x|^2}{4} \right) u_0^3 \, dx
$$

$$
= -8\pi - \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{\pi} d\varphi \int_0^2 \frac{1}{16} r^4 \sin \varphi \, dr
$$

$$
+ \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{\pi} d\varphi \int_0^2 \frac{2}{3} \left( 2 - \frac{r^2}{4} \right)^3 \left( 2 - \frac{r^2}{8} \right) r^2 \sin \varphi \, dr
$$

$$
= 133.101
$$

$$
> 0.
$$

Applying Theorem 3.1, we can obtain $u$ will blow up at $t^* < T$ in the measure $\Phi(t)$. And

$$
T = \frac{\Phi(0)}{2\alpha(1 + \alpha)\Psi(0)} = 0.0552,
$$

which is an upper bound for the blow-up time.

Now, we will show a lower bound for the blow-up time by applying Theorem 4.1. We choose $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 2, b_1 = 4, b_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \beta = \eta = 2, q = 0, m_0 = \eta_0 = 3$. Obviously, they satisfy (4.1)–(4.3). Let $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 2, r = 1$. And we have

$$
B(s) = 2 \int_0^s g'(y)y \, dy = 3s^2 + 2s - 2 \ln(1 + s), \quad s > 0,
$$

$$
A(t) = (3 - 2e^{-t})^2 \int_{\Omega} (3u^2 + 2u - 2 \ln(1 + u)) \, dx.
$$

Then

$$
A(0) = \int_{\Omega} (3u_0^2 + 2u_0 - 2 \ln(1 + u_0)) \, dx = 339.703,
$$

We can compute $\lambda = 2.4547, L_0 = d = 2, |\Omega| = \frac{4\pi}{3}$. From [19], we get the embedding constant $C_0 = 4 \frac{\pi}{3} \pi \frac{3}{4}$. (4.7)–(4.10) imply that $\theta_0 = 2.932, M = 2.6327, \delta = 12.9082, C_1 = 0, C_2 = 0.01137$. Obviously, we can conclude that $u$ blows up at a finite time $t^*$ and $u$ is unbounded in the measure $A(t)$ at a finite time $t^*$. By Theorem 4.1, the blow-up time $t^*$ is bounded below and

$$
t^* \geq \int_{A(0)}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{\psi(\tau)} = \int_{339.703}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{4\tau + 0.01137\tau^3} = 0.0004.
$$
Therefore
\[0.0004 \leq t^* \leq 0.0552.\]

6 Conclusion
In this paper, we discussed global and blow-up solutions for a class of nonlinear reaction diffusion equations with Robin boundary conditions. By auxiliary functions and a first-order differential inequality technique, conditions on the data to prove the existence of global solution are established. And applying maximum principles, we obtain the sufficient conditions that guarantee the occurrence of the blow-up. Moreover, an upper bound and a lower bound on blow-up time are discussed.
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