Cementing the relationship between conventional and advanced semen parameters
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Abstract
Background: Affordable conventional semen analysis remains a fundamental procedure to be performed routinely during the diagnosis of male infertility. Advanced semen analyses provide valuable clinical insights in treatment-related decision-making, but these are highly expensive and lack universal standardization. This study aimed at determining the relationship between conventional semen parameters, measured with assistance of computer-aided sperm analysis (CASA), and a set of advanced semen tests. Basic semen analysis (n = 124) was performed according to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines. Sperm DNA fragmentation and intracellular superoxide (O2•−) levels were assessed by flow cytometry. Seminal plasma thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) levels as well as superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) activity were measured by spectrophotometry. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was used, with significance set at p < 0.05.

Results: Semen pH correlated negatively with TBARS (p < 0.01). The proportions of total and progressively motile as well as rapid spermatozoa correlated positively with CAT activity (p < 0.05). Sperm viability correlated negatively with both O2•− (p < 0.05) and DNA fragmentation (p = 0.01), while normal morphology correlated negatively with O2•− levels (p < 0.05) and positively with CAT activity (p < 0.05). Straight-line velocity (VCL) and average-path velocity (VAP) correlated negatively with both O2•− (p < 0.01) and TBARS (p < 0.01). Amplitude of lateral head displacement (ALH) correlated negatively with O2•− (p < 0.01) and DNA fragmentation (p < 0.01), while its correlation with SOD activity was positive (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: The results obtained from this study support the validity of some CASA parameters as sensitive indicators of changes in sperm oxidative status and DNA integrity. Predicting advanced from conventional parameters through the building of linear regression models should be considered for future studies.
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Background
In addition to a detailed medical history and a thorough physical examination, conventional semen analysis remains a fundamental procedure performed on routine basis during the diagnosis of male infertility [1]. Being cost-effective and not technically demanding, semen analysis is largely used as a preliminary diagnostic tool for the evaluation of male infertility [2]. The analysis provides essential information about the basic characteristics of semen, including the volume, sperm concentration, motility, and viability and morphology. However, conventional semen analysis, if performed manually, is criticized for being subjective, time consuming, and prone to inter- and intra-laboratory variations [3]. The potential counting and interpretation errors associated with the subjective visual assessment of the traditional semen analysis have highlighted the absolute necessity
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for computerized systems designed to automate the analysis [4]. Indeed, computer-aided sperm analysis (CASA), if used proficiently under identical settings, is undeniably a powerful approach for the objective assessment of spermatozoa [5, 6].

The World Health Organization (WHO) laboratory manuals for the examination and processing of human semen provide a primary reference guideline for standardizing semen analysis [7]. Most of the traditional and automated semen analysis methods have aligned their measurements according to these criteria [8]. The inclusion of normal reference values of semen parameters in the WHO manuals has been beneficial in establishing some consistency regarding the basic characteristics of the normal ejaculate [9]. However, semen parameter values do not necessarily reflect the functional integrity of spermatozoa, and studies have revealed a significant overlap in the semen characteristics between fertile and infertile men [10]. Consequently, a large proportion of men with normal semen analysis results are often diagnosed with unexplained infertility as the underlying pathophysiology of sperm functional deficiencies remains largely unknown [2]. The recent substantial progress toward understanding the mechanisms regulating sperm function has driven the development of a variety of assays for proper evaluation of the functional quality of spermatozoa. These assays provide valuable clinical insights into multiple aspects of sperm function, including DNA integrity as well as oxidative stress and membrane lipid peroxidation. This information can assist the clinician to a great extent in treatment-related decision-making [4]. However, in most cases, these assays are primarily used for research purposes and are not considered part of the routine assessment of male infertility. This is mainly attributed to the complexity and lack of universal standardization in addition to the high costs of these assays, which adds a further financial burden to a couple undergoing fertility investigations [11].

Several studies have investigated the relationship between conventional and advanced semen quality markers; however, results have often been found inconsistent. For instance, some studies have revealed that increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) production was negatively correlated with impaired sperm concentration, motility, morphology, and viability [12–14]. Other studies, however, failed to demonstrate any significant association between ROS levels and these parameters [15, 16].

Therefore, there is a need to develop a model that can indicate the extent to which changes in each individual measurement of the conventional semen analysis, predominantly measured by CASA, are related to changes in the advanced parameters. This study aimed at establishing statistical correlations between conventional semen parameters obtained with CASA and a set of advanced sperm/semen variables. This will allow for exploring the extent to which changes in each individual measurement of the conventional semen analysis are related to changes in other advanced semen parameters. This could also assist in reducing the necessity for advanced sperm function testing, representing cost-effective measures of the overall semen quality for some men undergoing infertility assessment.

Methods
Study design
Ethical approval for the study was granted from the Health Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences at Stellenbosch University (S15/02/045). Semen samples were obtained from 124 donors between 20 and 30 years of age, participating in the sperm donor program at the Stellenbosch University Reproductive Research Group. Informed written consent was obtained from all donors and the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki [17]. All samples were collected by masturbation in a private room adjacent to the laboratory and assessed according to the WHO guidelines [7]. Due to sample volume and technical limitations, not all procedures were performed on each individual sample. Consequently, 40 samples were used for the analysis of superoxide (O$_2^-$), another 40 samples were used for the assessment of DNA fragmentation, while 44 samples were used for thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), catalase (CAT), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) analyses.

Semen analysis
After liquefaction, semen volume and pH were measured. Samples were analyzed for sperm concentration, motility, and kinematic parameters using CASA (Sperm Class Analyzer version 5.4—SCA®, Microptic, S.L., Barcelona, Spain,) equipped with a Basler A312fc digital color camera (Microptic, S.L., Barcelona, Spain). The assessed CASA parameters include sperm concentration, total sperm count (TSC), curvilinear velocity (VCL), straight line velocity (VSL), average path velocity (VAP), linearity (LIN), straightness (STR), Wobble (WOB), amplitude of lateral head displacement (ALH), and beat cross-frequency (BCF).

The percentage of viable spermatozoa was determined by a dye-exclusion technique using Eosin-Nigrosin stain (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). From each slide, 100 spermatozoa were counted by means of the counter module of the SCA® morphology system.

Sperm morphology was assessed from smears fixed and stained with SpermBlue (SpermBlue®, Microptic, S.L., Barcelona, Spain), following the manufacturer’s
guidelines [18]. Stained spermatozoa were evaluated by computer-aided sperm morphology analysis (CASMA) using the SCA® morphology module. The SCA® settings were adjusted as described by Maree et al. [19].

Assessment of advanced semen parameters
Sperm DNA fragmentation was assessed by using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated deoxyuridine triphosphate (dUTP) nick end-labeling (TUNEL) assay with an APO-DIRECT™ kit (BD Biosciences Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the protocol described by Sharma et al. [20]. Results are represented as percentage of DNA-fragmented spermatozoa.

Intracellular O$_2^{−•}$ production was measured with dihydroethidium (DHE) as probe, as previously described [21]. Data were reported as median DHE fluorescence intensity (MFI).

Seminal plasma levels of TBARS were determined, as described by Jentzsch et al. [22]. Spectrophotometric methods with a SPECTRAmax PLUS-384 spectrophotometer and SoftMax® Pro 4.8 software (Molecular Devices Corporation, Labotec Industrial Technologies, Cape Town, South Africa) were utilized for data acquisition and analysis. Results were expressed as μmol/L using molar extinction coefficient of 1.54 × 10⁵/M/cm at 532 nm.

CAT activity in seminal plasma was assessed according to the method described by Aebi [23]. The H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition rate was determined spectrophotometrically at 240 nm (SPECTRAmaxPLUS-384, Molecular Devices, San Francisco, CA, USA). Values were reported as units/mL (U/mL).

For the assessment of SOD activity in seminal plasma, the SOD Assay Kit-WST (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was utilized according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The absorbance was read on a microplate reader at 450 nm. Results were reported as units/mg protein (U/mg protein).

Statistical analysis
For the determination of correlation between conventional and advanced semen parameters, Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient ($r$) test was used. Statistical analysis was performed using the DellTM StatisticaTM data analysis software system, version 13 (StatSoft Inc.). Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results
As can be seen from Tables 1 and 2, the means of the basic semen parameters (volume, pH, concentration, total sperm count (T.S.C.), viability, morphology, motility, progressive motility) exceeded the lower reference limits of the WHO.

### Table 1 Correlation analysis between basic and advanced semen parameters

| Parameter            | $O_2^{−•}$ (MFI) | TBARS (μmol/L) | CAT (U/mL) | SOD (U/mg) | DNA fragmented (%) |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| Volume (mL)          | $r$              | 0.05           | 0.03       | −0.05      | −0.15             | 0.14 |
|                      | $p$              | 0.75           | 0.83       | 0.76       | 0.32              | 0.39 |
|                      | Mean ± SEM       | 2.89 ± 0.21    | 2.68 ± 0.21| 2.68 ± 0.21| 68 ± 0.21         | 2.61 ± 0.21|
| pH                   | $r$              | −0.13          | −0.47      | −0.11      | 0.29              | −0.03|
|                      | $p$              | 0.43           | < 0.01     | 0.48       | 0.06              | 0.84 |
|                      | Mean ± SEM       | 7.62 ± 0.03    | 7.67 ± 0.03| 7.67 ± 0.03| 7.67 ± 0.03       | 7.7 ± 0.03|
| Concentration (10⁶/mL)| $r$              | 0.10           | −0.16      | 0.03       | 0.17              | −0.24|
|                      | $p$              | 0.55           | 0.31       | 0.84       | 0.27              | 0.13 |
|                      | Mean ± SEM       | 66.3 ± 6.51    | 45.7 ± 3.64| 45.7 ± 3.64| 45.7 ± 3.64       | 46.81 ± 3.08|
| T.S.C. (10⁶/ejaculate)| $r$              | −0.01          | −0.02      | −0.02      | −0.16             | 0.03 |
|                      | $p$              | 0.97           | 0.92       | 0.87       | 0.30              | 0.87 |
|                      | Mean ± SEM       | 197.2 ± 28.54  | 123.0 ± 14.7| 123.0 ± 14.7| 123.0 ± 14.7     | 123.6 ± 14.10|
| Viability (%)        | $r$              | −0.33          | 0.02       | 0.01       | 0.03              | −0.43|
|                      | $p$              | 0.04           | 0.91       | 0.95       | 0.86              | 0.01 |
|                      | Mean ± SEM       | 68.79 ± 1.34   | 67.5 ± 1.43| 67.5 ± 1.43| 67.5 ± 1.43       | 67.5 ± 1.3|
| Normal morphology (%)| $r$              | −0.39          | −0.10      | 0.33       | 0.23              | 0.08 |
|                      | $p$              | 0.02           | 0.57       | 0.04       | 0.17              | 0.65 |
|                      | Mean ± SEM       | 16.39 ± 1.16   | 18.45 ± 1.06| 18.45 ± 1.06| 18.45 ± 1.06     | 17.5 ± 1.03|

$O_2^{−•}$ superoxide anion, MFI Median DHE fluorescence intensity, TBARS Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances, CAT Catalase, SOD Superoxide dismutase, T.S.C. Total sperm count
Semen volume, T.S.C., and concentration did not correlate significantly with any of the advanced parameters. Semen pH only correlated significantly with seminal TBARS and showed a negative correlation. The percentage of viable spermatozoa correlated significantly and negatively with both intracellular $O_2^{•−}$ levels and sperm DNA fragmentation. The proportion of morphologically normal spermatozoa correlated significantly and negatively with the intracellular $O_2^{•−}$ levels, while its correlation with seminal plasma TBARS levels, whereas its correlation with other advanced parameters was not statistically significant. Both LIN and STR correlated significantly and positively with the proportion of DNA fragmented spermatozoa. ALH correlated significantly and negatively with both intracellular $O_2^{•−}$ and DNA fragmentation and significantly and positively with SOD activity, while the correlation between BCF and seminal plasma TBARS levels was significant and negative.

### Discussion

This study effectively used multivariate analysis in establishing a statistical correlation between various conventional and advanced semen parameters, as summarized in Fig. 1.

### Sperm intracellular $O_2^{•−}$

In conditions where the intracellular redox homeostasis is disturbed, ROS becomes highly reactive and instigates peroxidative damage, which adversely affects sperm quality [24]. In the current study, a significant and negative correlation was observed between the proportion of morphologically normal spermatozoa and intracellular $O_2^{•−}$ levels. These findings are consistent with previous studies also showing an inverse association between sperm morphology and ROS production [13, 25]. Furthermore, substantially higher levels of ROS were reported in teratozoospermic samples compared with normozoospermic controls [26]. The link between abnormal sperm morphology and ROS overproduction is generally attributed...
to the presence of excess residual cytoplasm in the mid-piece due to deficient cytoplasmic extrusion following spermiation [27]. Furthermore, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase 5 (NOX5), a novel NADPH oxidase responsible for the generation of $O_2^{−−}$ in a calcium-dependant manner, has recently been reported to be positively correlated with the incidence of sperm abnormal morphology [26]. Abnormal spermatozoa are also believed to contribute considerably to ROS production [28]. By implication, the relationship between increased ROS levels and sperm morphological abnormality appears to be a vicious cycle indicating a cause-and-effect relationship that warrants further studies.

Intracellular $O_2^{−−}$ levels were also negatively, although not significantly, correlated with the proportions of total motile, progressively motile, and rapidly motile spermatozoa. Similar findings have been revealed by Pasqualotto et al. [29]. However, several other studies have reported a significant correlation between elevated levels of seminal ROS and impaired motility [13, 30]. It is important to note that these studies have mainly focused on extracellular ROS in seminal plasma, while the sperm intracellular ROS has apparently been disregarded. Interestingly, exogenous ROS has been shown to cause more serious adverse effects on sperm quality compared to equivalent levels of endogenous sperm ROS [31].

A significant negative correlation was also observed between sperm intracellular $O_2^{−−}$ and the kinematics VCL, VAP, and ALH. This implies that increased levels of intracellular $O_2^{−−}$ could initiate alterations in sperm swimming patterns, after which sperm quality may further deteriorate. The VAP is considered an important indicator of the forward swimming speed of spermatozoa; it estimates the time-averaged velocity of the sperm head along its average trajectory [32]. The inverse relationship between sperm $O_2^{−−}$ levels and VAP may demonstrate the possible role of this free radical in constraining the actual rate of sperm forward movement within the female reproductive tract.

Both VCL and ALH represent the characteristics of the sperm head movement, which depends on the pattern of the flagellar beating. Increased values of these parameters are generally identified to be characteristic signs of sperm hyperactivation at the site of fertilization [33]. At low concentrations, the role of $O_2^{−−}$ in the initiation of sperm hyperactivation has been recognized [34]. However, the strong negative correlation between $O_2^{−−}$ and these kinematic parameters suggests that overproduction

### Table 3: Correlation analysis between sperm kinematics and advanced semen parameters

| Parameter | Mean ± SEM | $O_2^{−−}$ (MFI) | TBARS (μmol/L) | CAT (U/mL) | SOD (U/mg) | DNA fragmented (%) |
|-----------|------------|------------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------------|
| VCL (μm/s) | 155.3 ± 16.82 | −0.46 | −0.62 | 0.14 | 0.35 | −0.09 |
| p | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 0.60 |
| VSL (μm/s) | 83.35 ± 2.35 | −0.18 | −0.33 | 0.00 | −0.10 | 0.36 |
| p | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.99 | 0.51 | 0.02 |
| VAP (μm/s) | 32.53 ± 1.04 | −0.43 | −0.60 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.15 |
| p | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.71 | 0.11 | 0.36 |
| LIN (%) | 53.15 ± 1.3 | 0.24 | 0.01 | −0.11 | −0.30 | 0.48 |
| p | 0.14 | 0.97 | 0.46 | 0.05 | < 0.01 |
| STR (%) | 39.52 ± 1.12 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.01 | −0.25 | 0.43 |
| p | 0.38 | 0.83 | 0.97 | 0.10 | < 0.01 |
| ALH (μm) | 61.2 ± 1.3 | −0.49 | −0.18 | 0.09 | 0.33 | −0.42 |
| p | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.56 | 0.03 | < 0.01 |
| BCF (Hz) | 2.1 ± 0.07 | −0.03 | −0.56 | 0.26 | 0.16 | 0.20 |
| p | 0.84 | < 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 0.22 |
| Mean ± SEM | 14.7 ± 0.4 | 13.7 ± 0.34 | 13.7 ± 0.34 | 13.7 ± 0.34 | 13.71 ± 0.38 | 12.76 ± 0.8 |

$O_2^{−−}$: superoxide anion, MFI: Median DHE fluorescence intensity, TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances, CAT: Catalase, SOD: Superoxide dismutase, VCL: Straight line velocity, VSL: Average path velocity, VAP: Average path velocity, LIN: Linearity, STR: Straightness, ALH: Lateral head displacement, BCF: Beat cross frequency.
of intracellular $O_2^{•−}$ may contribute to decreased hyper-activated motility, which is critical to the success of fertilization. Further studies are needed to elucidate whether this is an actual cause and effect relationship or just a statistical correlation.

**TBARS**

The preservation of seminal plasma pH within its reference range (7.2–8.2) is essential for the regulation of various physiological functions of spermatozoa [35]. A significant negative correlation was found between TBARS levels and pH in semen. At physiological levels of pH in seminal plasma, malondialdehyde (MDA) which is a major end-product of lipid peroxidation is present as an enolate ion with low reactivity. However, lowering the pH causes the formation of highly reactive compound known as beta-hydroxyacrolein, which can react with other molecules in the vicinity and cause a considerable increase in lipid peroxidation [36].

Seminal plasma TBARS levels were significantly and negatively correlated with the proportion of rapidly motile spermatozoa. A similar, though nearly significant, trend was observed with regards to the proportions of progressive and total motility, whereas the correlation between TBARS levels and the proportions of medium and slow spermatozoa were significant and positive. The negative correlation between lipid peroxidation and sperm quality parameters of motility has been reported in several studies [37–39]. The sperm tail membrane is presumed to contain substantially higher amounts of total and individual biologically active unsaturated fatty acids [40], which contribute to its fluidity and flexibility critical to its movement, but simultaneously increase the tail membrane susceptibility to oxidative alterations. Therefore, rapid progressive motility is a sensitive parameter to lipid peroxidation that could be impaired prior to any detectable deterioration in other sperm motion characteristics.

This study also found a significant negative correlation between TBARS levels and the percentages of VCL, VSL, VAP, and BCF. Similar, but non-significant trends were observed with regard to the percentage of ALH. The parameters VCL, VSL, and VAP are measures of sperm progressive velocity and are revealed to play a vital role in sperm competition [41]. They have also been suggested as potential reliable indicators of male fertility [42, 43]. BCF is one of the useful parameters which contribute substantially to the overall sperm linear progression. It indicates the rate at which the curvilinear path crosses the average path; however, it may vary in value depending
on the VAP setting on the CASA instrument [6]. The sensitivity of these kinematic parameters to the deleterious effects of lipid peroxidation appears to be higher than that of the motility percentage, which was not correlated with TBARS levels in this study.

**Antioxidant enzyme activities**
Seminal plasma CAT activity was correlated significantly and positively with the proportions of total motile, progressively motile, rapidly motile, and morphologically normal spermatozoa, and significantly and negatively with the proportion of immotile spermatozoa. Similar but non-significant trends were observed for the SOD activity. These results are in agreement with those reported by Khosrowbeygi et al. [44]. Previous studies have further shown a substantially higher seminal plasma CAT activity in normozoospermic men as compared to men with asthenozoospermia [45–47] or asthenoteratozoospermia [44]. The observed positive correlations between CAT activity and sperm motility and normal morphology indicate the importance of this enzyme in the alleviation of ROS-induced oxidative damage, thereby reducing the cytotoxicity to spermatozoa.

On the other hand, available literature provides inconsistent results about the relationship between SOD activity and sperm quality. Some studies have revealed that increased SOD activity in seminal plasma is correlated with a significant improvement in the sperm overall motility [47–49]. Other studies have also reported similar but non-significant results [44, 50, 51]. The current study did not find a correlation between SOD activity in seminal plasma and sperm motility parameters, while the correlations with VCL and ALH were significantly positive. This suggests that elevated SOD activity in seminal plasma might be an indication of the development of spontaneous and premature hyperactivated motility of spermatozoa in the ejaculate. However, sperm regulation is a highly complex process involving multiple variables, thus, the specific role of SOD in the control of sperm motility remains poorly understood and necessitates further research.

**DNA fragmentation**
A significant negative correlation was also observed between the proportion of DNA fragmentation and sperm viability. This result is comparable with the previous findings from Brahem et al. [52]. Furthermore, an elevation in sperm DNA fragmentation induced by long-term in vitro incubation was reported to be accompanied by a substantial loss of sperm viability [53]. Similarly, a more recent study also demonstrated a strong negative correlation between sperm DNA fragmentation and viability in semen samples with DNA fragmentation rates ≥ 30% [54]. The current data presented confirms the observations of the abovementioned studies and suggests that sperm viability might represent a potential indicator and a cost-saving measure for semen quality.

The mechanism responsible for the incidence of DNA fragmentation in ejaculated human spermatozoa is not fully elucidated. One hypothesis proposes DNA breaks within ejaculated spermatozoa to be the result of apoptotic DNA cleavage during the early stages of spermatogenesis [55]. However, at the stage of DNA break down, apoptotic process is irreversible and the cells would be eliminated by Sertoli cells prior to ejaculation [56]. Another postulation points to the excessive exposure to ROS as being the causative agent for DNA fragmentation in ejaculated spermatozoa [57]. Sperm DNA fragmentation has previously been shown to correlate significantly and positively with the levels of ROS generated by spermatozoa [58]. Despite not being able to measure ROS and DNA fragmentation in the same samples, the current study showed a significant negative correlation between sperm intracellular O₂•− levels and the proportion of viable spermatozoa, thereby, indirectly implying a relationship between ROS and DNA fragmentation.

The current study observed a positive correlation between the sperm DNA fragmentation and the kinematic parameters: VCL, LIN, and STR. This shows that DNA-fragmented spermatozoa might still have the capacity for rapid forward motility. However, these spermatozoa might not be able to develop a state of hyperactivated motility at the site of fertilization as was indicated by the negative correlation observed in this study between the proportion of DNA fragmentation and ALH.

Several studies have been undertaken to investigate the possible correlation between sperm DNA fragmentation and a number of semen characteristics such as sperm concentration, motility, and morphology. Not all studies, however, have come to the same conclusions. Some studies have revealed poor correlations, as was observed in the present study, between the sperm DNA integrity and the conventional semen parameters of sperm concentration, motility, and morphology [59, 60]. In contrast, other studies have shown significant negative correlations between sperm DNA fragmentation and many of these semen variables [61, 62]. More recently, Boushaba and Belaoui [63] reported negative correlations between sperm DNA fragmentation and sperm concentration as well as motility, while no significant correlation was found with regards to sperm morphology. As stated in a review by Evgeni et al. [64], the inconsistencies among different studies concerning the correlation between sperm DNA fragmentation and
semen characteristics could be ascribed to several factors. These factors include dissimilarities in the assays used to quantify DNA fragmentation, the use of different techniques for the assessment of semen quality as well as variations in the characteristics of the populations across studies.

Conclusion
The correlations observed between conventional and advanced semen parameters enhance the applicability of conventional semen analysis as a more cost-effective and efficient approach for the diagnosis of idiopathic and unexplained male infertility. Indeed, various CASA motility and kinematic parameters have shown to be especially important indicators of sperm DNA fragmentation and oxidative stress markers. Continuing along these lines, the predicting of advanced from conventional parameters through the building of linear regression models should be considered for future studies. While further and larger studies are needed, the results obtained from this study substantiate the importance of CASA in bridging the gap between conventional and advanced semen parameters.
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