Quantitative analysis of optical coherence tomography angiography metrics in diabetic retinopathy
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Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the correlation of the visual acuity and diabetic retinopathy stage using optical coherence tomography and optical coherence tomography angiography metrics.

Methods: In this prospective study, optical coherence tomography and optical coherence tomography angiography images of patients with different stages of diabetic retinopathy were obtained. In optical coherence tomography angiography images, the size of foveal avascular zone, central macular thickness, and vessel density at superficial and deep capillary layers of the macula were measured. In optical coherence tomography images, the presence of intraretinal cyst, disorganization of retinal inner layer, and ellipsoid zone and external limiting membrane disruption were evaluated. The associations between the variables with visual acuity and diabetic retinopathy stage were analyzed.

Results: In total, 68 eyes of 38 patients with a mean age of 58.96 ± 10.59 years were included. In total, 34 eyes were categorized as non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy, 14 as active, and 20 as regressed proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Univariate analysis showed deep parafoveal vessel density, central macular thickness, ellipsoid zone disruption, disorganization of retinal inner layer, and external limiting membrane disruption had a significant relationship with visual acuity. However, in multivariate analysis, only central macular thickness and ellipsoid zone disruption had significant association with visual acuity (p = 0.02 and p = 0.01, respectively). There was a significant difference in deep parafoveal vessel density (p = 0.04), but not in foveal avascular zone area, between different stages of diabetic retinopathy.

Conclusion: In this study, the foveal avascular zone area did not correlate with visual acuity and different stages of diabetic retinopathy. Structural abnormalities on optical coherence tomography images with especial focus on outer retinal disruption provided more reliable predictors for visual acuity outcomes in patients with diabetic retinopathy.
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Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a leading cause of visual impairment in working age population.1 Visual impairment has a great impact on quality of life in patients with DR.2,3 Visual function is influenced by several mechanisms during the natural course of the disease. Of them, diabetic macular ischemia has been proposed to cause visual impairment from earliest stage of DR.4 The relationship between macular ischemia and visual function has been documented.5–9 However, the effect of macular ischemia on visual acuity (VA)
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varies among different reports. Furthermore, there is a paucity of evidences regarding how macular ischemia could predict central VA and if other clinical and macular structural changes could impact on the association.

Diagnostic modalities, most commonly fluorescein angiography (FA), have been utilized to evaluate macular ischemia including foveal avascular zone (FAZ) size and areas of capillary nonperfusion.\(^5,10\) The FAZ is an area comprised of central region of the macula surrounded by interconnected capillary networks nourished by the choroidal circulation. Using FA, studies have shown that the size of the FAZ correlates to the loss of visual function even at earlier stage of retinopathy.\(^5,6\) However, the procedure needs intravenous dye injection which consequently becomes time consuming and is prone to adverse allergic effects. The advent of optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA) allowed rapid and noninvasive flow–based imaging for the assessment of FAZ and vessel density (VD) at different macular capillary layers and choroidal vasculature.\(^11\) The studies describing the correlations and determinants of the visual outcomes using OCTA in DR are limited.\(^12\) Recently, Balaratnasingam and colleagues\(^13\) revealed the correlation of FAZ area with VA in DR and retinal vein occlusion, suggested FAZ as a biomarker of visual function using OCTA.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the relationship of FAZ area and VD with VA in patients with DR at different stages of retinopathy using OCTA. In addition, we investigated the association of FAZ area with different parameters measured in this study.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted on patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, who have different stages of DR. The study was conducted at Rasoul Akram Hospital, Tehran, Iran, between April 2017 and January 2018. Patients with signs of significant media opacity including cataract that might affect central VA, or additional macular disease including age-related macular degeneration, retinal arterial or venous occlusion, vitreomacular traction, significant epiretinal membrane, presence of pseudohole or macular hole, moderate or advanced glaucoma were excluded. Patients with history of pars plana vitrectomy were excluded. The study conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by Eye Research Center Ethics Committee (No. IR.IUMS.REC 1395.95-04-124-30160) and informed consent was obtained from participants. Demographic data including age and sex were obtained. Best corrected VA of both eyes was assessed using Snellen eye chart. All participants underwent standard ophthalmic examination. Funduscopic examination including retinal periphery was done with pharmacologically dilated pupil and the severity of retinopathy was graded based on Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) classification.\(^14\) The eyes were categorized as non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR), active proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR), and regressed PDR. Regressed PDR was defined as the presence of panretinal photocoagulation (PRP) scars in the absence of any retinal or iris neovascularization.

The OCTA images (RTVue XR Avanti; Optovue, Fremont, CA, USA; Version 2016.1.0.26) were acquired as a 3 × 3 mm image centered on the central fovea from all patients in the same day of comprehensive eye examination. The procedure was repeated if the quality of the images was influenced by motion or segmentation artifacts. The images with a quality score less than five were excluded. The segmentation of the superficial capillary plexus (SCP) en face image was confined to an inner boundary set at 3 μm beneath the internal limiting membrane and an outer boundary set at 16 μm beneath the inner plexiform layer. The segmentation of the deep capillary plexus (DCP) en face image was confined to an inner boundary set at 16 μm beneath inner plexiform layer and an outer boundary set at 72 μm beneath the inner plexiform layer. Quantitative measurement of FAZ in the SCP was performed in square millimeters (mm\(^2\)) by two expert graders (H.S. and P.A.) masked to the visual acuities and DR staging. The OCT B scan images were graded by the two independent blinded graders to reveal the presence of foveal structural changes including intraretinal cyst, disorganization of retinal inner layer (DRIL), and ellipsoid zone (EZ) and...
external limiting membrane (ELM) disruption. Central macular thickness (CMT) was recorded from automated measurement of the OCTA software.

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated to evaluate for inter-observer reliability. There was an excellent agreement between two graders in measuring FAZ area at SCP (ICC = 0.98). Therefore, the mean of two observations was used for subsequent analysis. Generalized estimating equations (GEE) analysis was performed to correct the inter-eye correlation. Multivariate analysis was performed to investigate the role of FAZ on VA considering other probable influential factor. A p-value of ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
A total of 68 eyes from 38 diabetic patients (10 males and 28 females) with a mean age of 58.96 ± 10.59 years (range: 39–80 years) were included in the study. In total, 42 eyes (62%) had previous history of intravitreal bavacizumab injection. The median interval from injection to examination was 3 months (range: 1–24 months). The mean best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 0.40 ± 0.37 LogMAR with mean spherical equivalent of −1.24 ± 1.02. The mean intraocular pressure (IOP) of participants was 15.2 ± 2.1 mm Hg. The severity of DR was graded as NPDR in 34 (50.0%), active PDR in 14 (20.6%), and regressed PDR in 20 (29.4%) eyes.

The frequency and percentage of foveal structural indices in OCT images including intraretinal cyst, EZ disruption, DRIL, and ELM disruption were shown in Table 1. The mean foveal and parafoveal vessel densities in the superficial capillary layer were 26.55 ± 6.01 and 44.50 ± 5.04, respectively. The mean foveal and parafoveal vessel densities in the deep capillary layer were 24.22 ± 6.80 and 50.49 ± 5.16, respectively. The mean FAZ area was 0.47 ± 0.20 mm². Comparison between OCTA parameters at different stages of DR was summarized in Table 1. Significant difference was found in deep parafoveal VD at different stages of DR (p = 0.04). However, there were no significant differences in FAZ area (Figure 1), foveal superficial and deep VD, and superficial parafoveal density between different stages of DR.

Univariate analysis was separately done on age, sex, FAZ area, superficial foveal VD, deep foveal VD, superficial parafoveal VD, deep parafoveal VD, CMT, intraretinal cyst, EZ disruption, DRIL, ELM disruption, and DR stage to reveal the relationship with VA. Significant association was found in CMT, EZ disruption, DRIL, and ELM disruption (Table 2). Marginally significant association was found in deep parafoveal VD (p = 0.06). To assess the effect of different factors on VA, multivariate analysis was generated based on indices with significant relationship in univariate analysis. Significant association was found in CMT and EZ disruption. Multivariate analysis revealed no significant association between FAZ area and VA (r = 0.088, p = 0.58; Table 3). Similarly, there was no significant correlation between FAZ and VA when considering all NPDR eyes in a single group and all PDR and regressed PDR eyes in another group (β = 0.187, p = 0.10).

Univariate analysis revealed FAZ size had a significant relationship with superficial foveal VD, deep foveal VD, superficial parafoveal VD, and deep parafoveal VD. Multivariate analysis revealed FAZ area had a significant association with superficial foveal VD (p-value = 0.005).

Discussion
This study evaluated various factors to predict VA of patients with DR. Based on multivariate analysis, CMT and EZ disruption were independently associated with VA. We observed no significant relationship of OCTA parameters including FAZ area and VD with VA. In addition, FAZ area was not significantly different between various stages of DR. Deep parafoveal VD was the only variable varied significantly between patients with NPDR, PDR, and regressed PDR. We also found FAZ area was correlated with VD at foveal SCP in patients with DR.

The use of OCTA metrics has been previously reported to identify whether FAZ or VD is related to VA in patients with DR. Freiberg and colleagues reported that maximum FAZ dimension was correlated with VA in DR. Later, Samara and colleagues showed that FAZ area and VD at superficial and deep capillary layer had a significant relationship with VA. Balaratnasingam and colleagues investigated the relationship of FAZ area with VA considering the effect of macular structural abnormalities. They suggested FAZ
area as an independent predictor of VA in DR. However, we did not find the same outcome despite having a reasonable sample size at least higher than the previous reports. Part of this discrepancy might be explained by different techniques to measure FAZ resulted in fewer motion and projection artifacts of newer software. Inter-individual differences and wide range of normal FAZ in healthy eyes and morphologic features have already been documented.

In contrast to FAZ and VD, macular structural changes had a significant relationship with VA in univariate analysis. Previously, DRIL has been suggested as a predictor of VA in patients with current or resolved diabetic macular edema (DME). We found EZ disruption was an independent predictor of VA from multivariate analysis. Similarly, Balaratnasingam and colleagues found the association of EZ disruption with VA as well as FAZ and VD that were related to macular ischemia. Overall, we believe macular structural abnormalities on OCT B scan still more strongly predict VA of patients with DR than OCTA parameters which represent macular ischemia. Macular ischemia causes different degrees of retinal cell dysfunction based on cell ischemic susceptibility and intrinsic ischemia resistance. Therefore, ischemia-induced retinal cell dysfunction might not always resulted severe visual loss. Moreover, OCTA may not detect macular capillary blood flow below the threshold of device recognition. Therefore, it may partially be reasonable to observe capillary nonperfusion on OCTA image without substantial VA deterioration. However, structural macular damages on OCT can reflect irreversible photoreceptor or inner retinal cells destruction with negative visual impact.

We categorized participants into three groups based on DR stage (NPDR, PDR, and regressed PDR). The results showed no significant FAZ enlargement with DR progression in favor of previous reports, although it is not confirmed by all studies. Bhanushali and colleagues and Samara and colleagues found significant enlargement of FAZ and decline of VD in patients with DR compared with diabetic patients without retinopathy and controls. However, there was no significant difference in the size of FAZ area and VD between various stages of DR.

| Table 1. Comparison between OCTA parameters at different stages of diabetic retinopathy. |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | All eyes         | NPDR             | Active PDR       | Regressed PDR    | p-value*         |
| FAZ area (mean ± SD; mm²) | 0.469 ± 0.202 | 0.4219 ± 0.234 | 0.445 ± 0.114 | 0.566 ± 0.161 | 0.20 |
| Superficial foveal vessel density (mean ± SD; %) | 26.55 ± 6.01 | 27.78 ± 6.30 | 25.26 ± 4.71 | 25.36 ± 6.15 | 0.13 |
| Deep foveal vessel density (mean ± SD; %) | 24.22 ± 6.80 | 24.54 ± 7.28 | 24.02 ± 6.54 | 23.78 ± 6.45 | 0.18 |
| Superficial parafoveal vessel density (mean ± SD; %) | 44.49 ± 5.03 | 45.26 ± 5.81 | 43.23 ± 4.83 | 44.07 ± 3.50 | 0.10 |
| Deep parafoveal vessel density (mean ± SD; %) | 50.49 ± 5.157 | 52.00 ± 5.60 | 49.22 ± 4.09 | 48.81 ± 4.408 | 0.04 |
| CMT (mean ± SD; µm) | 333.78 ± 119.0 | 325.53 ± 111.7 | 334.57 ± 142.0 | 347.25 ± 118.86 | 0.36 |
| Frequency of DRIL | 26 (38.2%) | 15 (22.1%) | 5 (7.4%) | 6 (8.8%) | 0.43 |
| Frequency of intraretinal cyst (%) | 48 (70.6%) | 26 (38.2%) | 9 (13.2%) | 13 (19.1%) | 0.53 |
| Frequency of EZ disruption (%) | 39 (57.4%) | 19 (27.9%) | 8 (11.8%) | 12 (17.6%) | 0.35 |
| Frequency of ELM disruption (%) | 48 (70.6%) | 23 (33.8%) | 10 (14.7%) | 15 (22.1%) | 0.42 |

CMT, central macular thickness; DRIL, disorganization of retinal inner layer; ELM, external limiting membrane; EZ, ellipsoid zone; FAZ, foveal avascular zone; NPDR, non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy; OCTA, optical coherence tomography angiography; PDR, proliferative diabetic retinopathy; SD, standard error.

*aGroups were compared using generalized estimating equation to correct for inter-eye correlations.
associated with FAZ enlargement. Although the size of FAZ and VD are different between diabetic patients and normal subjects, it seems they lack the ability to differentiate severity stages of DR.

Compared with previous reports, our study provided a comparable sample size performed solely on patients with DR at various stages, having two expert independent graders for FAZ assessment. Nevertheless, there are several limitations in our study. First, patients with DR were enrolled in the study regardless of DME occurrence. Second, the study did not contain two groups of participants: control healthy subjects and diabetic

**Table 2.** Summary of univariate regression model using VA as the major outcome.

|                       | β coefficient | Standard error | p-value* | 95% CI          |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|
| Age                   | 0.004         | 0.004          | 0.28     | (-0.011 to 0.003) |
| Sex                   | -0.117        | 0.077          | 0.13     | (-0.269 to 0.035) |
| FAZ area              | 0.188         | 0.147          | 0.20     | (-0.100 to 0.475) |
| Superficial foveal vessel density | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.31 | (-0.006 to 0.017) |
| Deep foveal vessel density | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.17 | (-0.003 to 0.016) |
| Superficial parafoveal vessel density | -0.010 | 0.006 | 0.14 | (-0.022 to 0.016) |
| Deep parafoveal vessel density | -0.012 | 0.006 | 0.05 | (-0.024 to -0.001) |
| DRIL                  | -0.112        | 0.060          | 0.06     | (-0.230 to 0.006) |
| EZ disruption         | 0.271         | 0.062          | <0.001   | (0.149–0.393)    |
| Intraretinal cyst     | -0.107        | 0.070          | 0.13     | (-0.246–0.032)   |
| ELM disruption        | 0.246         | 0.074          | 0.001    | (0.101–0.392)    |
| CMT                   | .001          | <0.001         | 0.02     | (<0.001–0.001)   |
| DR stage              | 0.08          | 0.306          | 0.35     | (-0.011–0.128)   |

CI, confidence interval; CMT, central macular thickness; DR, diabetic retinopathy; DRIL, disorganization of retinal inner layer; ELM, external limiting membrane; EZ, ellipsoid zone; FAZ, foveal avascular zone.

*Regression model was calculated using generalized estimating equation to correct for inter-eye correlations.
patient with no DR. Abnormal features on OCTA and OCT could already be detected at preclinical stage of DR. Hence, their exclusion would be affecting the results. Third, ocular axial length was not directly evaluated in our study. Although we excluded patients with high refractive error, axial length has been proposed as a significant source of error for FAZ measurement. Finally, we did not include measures of metabolic control (e.g. HbA1c) in our study.

In conclusion, our study indicates OCTA-derived indices including FAZ and VD had no significant relationship with VA at various stages of DR. Furthermore, their ability to discriminate various stage of DR was poor. In contrast, disease-derived structural abnormalities on OCT image with special focus on outer retinal disruption provided more reliable tools to predict VA outcome in patients with DR. Future studies with larger sample size is needed to verify our findings.
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