Avocado cv. Hass Needs Water Irrigation in Tropical Precipitation Regime: Evidence from Colombia
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Abstract: The primary natural source of water for the Hass avocado crop in the tropics is precipitation. However, this is insufficient to provide most crops’ water requirements due to the spatial and temporal variability. This study aims to demonstrate that Hass avocado requires irrigation in Colombia, and this is done by analyzing the dynamics of local precipitation regimes and the influence of Intertropical Convergence Zone phenomena (ITCZ) on the irrigation requirement (IR). This study was carried out in Colombia’s current and potential Hass avocado production zones (PPA) by computing and mapping the monthly IR, and classifying months found to be in deficit and excess. The influence of ITCZ on IR by performing a metric relevance analysis on weights of optimized Artificial Neural Networks was computed. The water deficit map illustrates a 99.8% of PPA requires water irrigation at least one month a year. The movement of ITCZ toward latitudes far to those where PPA is located between May to September decreases precipitation and consequently increases the IR area of Hass avocado. Water deficit visualization maps could become a novel and powerful tool for Colombian farmers when scheduling irrigation in those months and periods identified in these maps.
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1. Introduction

Avocado fruit production (Persea americana Mill), especially the cv. Hass, represents an important agribusiness in many countries, such as Mexico, Indonesia, the United States of America, Dominican Republic, Colombia, Chile, Peru, among others [1]. In countries such as Colombia, the planted area with Hass avocado has significantly increased in recent years [2]. Still, the industry has presented some technological limitations in selecting regions with appropriate soil and climatic conditions for planting this species [3]. Recently in Colombia, climate variability has generated drought conditions that negatively affect yields in avocado production systems with a high impact on water availability [4–6].

Water is critical to Hass avocado production. Water takes part in physiological processes that determine the period and intensity of growth flushes [7]. A deficit of water in trees shrinks the trunk diameter and decreases stomatal conductance, stem water potential, net photosynthesis, and net CO₂ assimilation [8]. Moreover, water is directly linked with yield and fruit quality. Water deficit in this crop decreases the potential productivity [9], and leads to fruit disorders [10,11]. In fruit preharvest stages, water deficit forces the avocado tree to redistribute the water, increasing the fruit dry matter, delaying the ripening process [12], and reducing the overall quality of the fruit [11,13].

The primary natural source of water for the crop is precipitation. In tropical countries where the cultivar Hass is grown, the amount and distribution of rainfall are controlled
not only by local but also by global phenomena [14,15]. Local phenomena are influenced by the relief [16], and clouds height [17], among others, while global phenomena are attributed to the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) [18,19]. ITCZ is an area characterized by a low atmospheric pressure and winds convergence, which varies its position on the equator along the year [20]. The tropical precipitation regime distributes rainfall throughout the year in periods (seasons) of large and small amounts [21]. Usually, the sum of the precipitation in tropics of a given year can supply the total crop water requirement. However, there are periods (days and even months) where precipitation is so low and so heterogeneously distributed that it does not provide the water required by the crop [22].

In precipitation deficit periods mentioned above, it is mandatory to irrigate the Hass avocado to avoid water deficit. However, some Colombian farmers do not irrigate because they argue the precipitation regime provides the crops’ water needs, while others ignore how to do it [23]. According to field observations, Colombian farmers empirically irrigate once a week for one or two hours by irrigation event involving only in low precipitation periods, or when trees have previously been stressed by water deficit. All those factors threaten their crops’ sustainability and lead to low yields and poor fruit quality, economic losses, uncontrolled disease propagation in the cropped area, and misuse of natural resources [3,11]. The first step to provide evidence and tools to Colombian farmers on the need for the technical application of irrigating Hass avocado orchards is to compute the water irrigation requirement (IR).

IR is defined as the net amount of water to be applied through irrigation to satisfy the crop water requirement during its production cycle [24]. When available input data are limited, the less imprecise approach to estimate it is through the daily, weekly, or monthly difference between crop evapotranspiration ($ET_c$) and effective precipitation ($EP$) [25], a simplified version of the soil water balance model (SWB) [26]. The main limitation of SWB is the complexity of computing in field conditions subsurface fluxes, surface runoff, and deep percolation [27]. Studies on Hass avocado’s IR have been carried out mainly in countries outside the Tropics [28]. Most of those studies are focused on determining the irrigation frequency in a plot-size scale [29], the percentage of reference evapotranspiration ($ET_o$) through an approximation of the crop coefficient ($K_c$) actual value [23,30], and the irrigation system parameters [9] that produce the highest crop yield and an optimal water use efficiency. In Colombia the first approximation of the need to irrigate the Hass avocado in Colombia was performed by Grajales [23], but this was carried out in a plot-size scale, not analogous to the regional scale of the potential production area of Hass avocado in Colombia. Therefore, it is relevant to know whether irrigation of Hass avocado orchards in Colombia is required by studying the dynamics of local phenomena (tropical precipitation regime) and the influence of ITCZ global phenomena on IR.

According to its definition, a climate dataset is required to estimate IR. Global climate databases represent a suitable option to model this type of environmental problem in large-scale regions with few or missing weather station data [31]. Such is the case of Colombia’s current and potential Hass avocado production zones (PPA) [32], where one weather station represents 208 km$^2$ [33]. One of these is WorldClim, a multiyear free-distribution climate database with the average monthly data of climate variables [31]. WorldClim data has been used to map the global and regional multi-application in agriculture [5,34].

The objective of this study was to demonstrate that the Hass avocado crop requires irrigation in tropical precipitation regimes, using PPA as a study case. Contrary to some Colombian farmers’ thinking, it was hypothesized that a high percentage of the production area of Hass avocado in Colombia requires irrigation at least one month a year.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Localization of the Study

The study was carried out in 39,316 km$^2$ of the three Andes mountains of Colombia (72° 8’ to 78° 13’ W, 0° 39’ to 11° 11’ N) in a range of altitude 1600 to 2600 m.a.s.l, corresponding to PPA (Figure 1). The PPA resulted from a study where climatic, physic, social, and
socioeconomics spatial layers were overlapped to identify the area in Colombia where Hass avocado can be potentially planted without limitations [32], and with high resolution environmental suitable condition maps [3]. This area is characterized by average precipitation of 2077 mm year\(^{-1}\), average solar radiation of 550 KJ m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\), a minimum, mean, and maximum temperature of 18.6, 23.1, and 27.5 \(^\circ\)C respectively, and an average wind speed of 1.34 m s\(^{-1}\). Soils in PPA are characterized by: an effective crop root deeper than 50 cm; low nutrient availability; loamy to loamy sand texture classes; ustic, udic, and aridic moisture regimes; well-natural drainage; and sloped terrains with a slope range of 1–50\%. The distribution of annual precipitation in Colombia is classified in monomodal, bimodal, and rainy regimes, according to the number of high and low precipitation periods in a year [35] (Figure 1).

The Monomodal regime presents one period of high and one period of low precipitation. Two periods of high and two periods of low precipitation correspond to the bimodal regime. The rainy regime presents high precipitation all year. The percentages of the areas of monomodal, bimodal, and rainy regimes at the PAA are 16.8, 82.8, and 0.4\%, respectively.
2.2. Model Development of the Irrigation Requirement

IR was estimated in the study area using a monthly time step, according to the soil water balance model (Equation (1)) [26]. IR was computed using the following assumptions: (i) surface runoff, drainage, and upward flux from the whole model are neglected (which probably underestimates the IR value because two of the three variables are outputs of the system); and (ii) the amount of water irrigation is zero (i.e., farmers do not irrigate avocado in Colombia). Crop evapotranspiration was calculated using Equation (2).

\[
IR_i = ET_{ci} - EP_i
\]

where \( IR_i \) is the irrigation requirement for the month \( i \) (mm month\(^{-1}\)), \( ET_{ci} \) is the crop evapotranspiration for the month \( i \) (mm month\(^{-1}\)), and \( EP_i \) is the effective precipitation (mm month\(^{-1}\)).

\[
ET_{ci} = K_e \cdot ET_{oi},
\]

where \( ET_{ci} \) is the crop evapotranspiration for the month \( i \) (mm month\(^{-1}\)), \( K_e \) is the crop coefficient, and \( ET_{oi} \) is the reference evapotranspiration for the month \( i \) (mm month\(^{-1}\)). \( K_e \) used in the analysis was 0.75, according to the study of [23], which computed the water use efficiency and yield in four \( K_e \) treatments (0.00, 0.50, 0.75, and 1.00) of Hass avocado crops located in three departments of Colombia and determined \( K_e = 0.75 \) produced the highest water use efficiency and yield. The following method proposed by USDA Soil Conservation Service to compute effective precipitation (Equation (3)) was used.

\[
EP_i = P_i \cdot (125 - 0.2P_i) / 125 \text{ if } P_i \leq 250 \text{ mm month}^{-1}; \quad EP_i = 125 + 0.1P_i \text{ if } P_i > 250 \text{ mm month}^{-1},
\]

where \( P_i \) is the precipitation for the month \( i \). Reference evapotranspiration was determined using FAO-56 Penman-Monteith equation for the monthly time step [26] (Equation (4)).

\[
ET_{oi} = \frac{0.408 \Delta (R_n - G) + 900}{\gamma + 1.27} d_i,
\]

where \( ET_{oi} \) is the reference evapotranspiration for the month \( i \) (mm month\(^{-1}\)), \( R_n \) is the daily net radiation at the crop surface (MJ m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)), \( G \) is the soil heat flux density (MJ m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)), \( T \) is the mean daily air temperature at 2 m height (°C), \( u_2 \) is the wind speed at 2 m height (m s\(^{-1}\)), \( e_s \) is the saturation vapor pressure (KPa), \( e_a \) is the actual vapor pressure (KPa), \( e_s - e_a \) is the saturation vapor pressure deficit (KPa), \( \Delta \) is the slope vapor pressure curve (KPa °C\(^{-1}\)), \( \gamma \) is the psychrometric constant (KPa °C\(^{-1}\)), and \( d_i \) is the number of days in the month \( i \).

2.3. Intertropical Convergence Zone Localization Model

The multivariate probabilistic tracking model proposed by Mamalakis and Foufoula-Georgiou [20] was used to estimate the position of ITCZ (a discrete set of latitudes \( y \)) for a time \( t \), given a longitude \( x \) and an exceedance probability \( a \), as the inverse of the cumulative distribution function \((F^{-1})\) of random variables highly correlated with the ITCZ phenomenon. A univariate approximation using the averaged outgoing longwave radiation (\( L \)) as a random variable in a longitudinal interval \([x - w/2, x + w/2]\) of width \( w \) (which depends on the spatial resolution of the data source, where \( L \) is averaged) was used, and the set of latitudes \( y \) where ITCZ is located can be expressed using Equation (5).

\[
\{y^{x,t}_{\alpha}\} : T^{x,t}_{w}(y_{\alpha,t}^{x,t}) > T^{x,t}_{w} \equiv F^{-1}(a) \text{ or } \{y_{\alpha,t}^{x,t}\} : F(T^{x,t}_{w}(y_{\alpha,t}^{x,t})) > a,
\]

2.4. Description, Acquisition, and Processing of Data

The IR model was fed with WorldClim data (WC), altitude, the given day of the year in 2018, geographic coordinates, and the study area’s limits (Table 1). Pixels of WorldClim
version 2.0 GeoTIFF images [31] contain the monthly daily average of each variable listed in Table 1 for the period 1970–2000. Shuttle Radar Topography Mission SRTM 90 m GeoTIFF raster pixels have the above-sea-level altitude. The pixel resolution of WorldClim and SRTM 90 m is 1 km$^2$ and 8100 m$^2$, respectively. For monthly estimates of $E_to$, the day of the year represents the 15th day of each month. Geographic coordinates were taken from the centroid of WorldClim pixels. The coordinate reference system of all spatial data was WGS84. The Outgoing Longwave Radiation data were arranged in a 3D array represented by the notation $L(i,j,k)$, where $i,j$ are the longitude and latitude (decimal degrees), and $k$ is the time (month), respectively. The spatial resolution of $L(k)$ is 2.5° $\times$ 2.5° [36].

Table 1. Description of variables used in the study.

| Variable Name | Units | Format | Source |
|---------------|-------|--------|--------|
| Hass Avocado’s Potential production area in Colombia | m$^2$ | Shapefile | Datos Abiertos (https://www.datos.gov.co/) (accessed on 10 December 2019) [32] |
| Solar radiation | KJ m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ | GeoTIFF | WorldClim (https://www.worldclim.org/) (accessed on 15 January 2020) [37] |
| Maximum temperature | °C | GeoTIFF | WorldClim (https://www.worldclim.org/) |
| Minimum temperature | °C | GeoTIFF | WorldClim (https://www.worldclim.org/) |
| Average temperature | °C | GeoTIFF | WorldClim (https://www.worldclim.org/) |
| Wind speed | m s$^{-1}$ | GeoTIFF | WorldClim (https://www.worldclim.org/) |
| Water vapor pressure | kPa | GeoTIFF | WorldClim (https://www.worldclim.org/) |
| Precipitation | mm | GeoTIFF | WorldClim (https://www.worldclim.org/) |
| Altitude | m | GeoTIFF | SRTM 90 m (http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org/) (accessed on 8 July 2021) [38] |
| Day of year | DOY | dd-mm-yyyy | R function lubridate::days_in_month( . . . ) [39] |
| Geographic coordinates | Decimal degrees | dd.ddddd | Centeroid of WorldClim pixels |
| Outgoing Longwave Radiation | W m$^{-2}$ | netCDF | NOAA (https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/) (accessed on 14 May 2020) [40] |

WC and ancillary data were downloaded from the sources mentioned in Table 1. Subsequently, a set of multi-step functions were coded in a script using R Software [39] to: (i) unzip and extract data from WC GeoTIFF images; (ii) crop WorldClim images to PPA limits (buffered at a distance of +500 m); (iii) extract centroid coordinates of each WorldClim pixel; (iv) calculate mean altitude of each WorldClim pixel from SRTM 90 m DEM; (iv) compute monthly reference evapotranspiration $E_to$ based on FAO-56 Penman-Monteith Equation (4); (vi) calculate crop evapotranspiration based on Equation (2); (vii) compute effective precipitation using WorldClim precipitation data; (viii) estimate the $IR$ for each month (denote as $i$ in Equation (1)); (ix) classify each month according to $IR$ value in “excess” (when $IR < 0$) or “deficit” (when $IR \geq 0$); (x) count the number of months and periods (individual and/or consecutive months) labeled as “deficit”, and (xi) export data in two raster stacks, the first one containing $E_to$, $EP$, $IR$ by month and the second including the number of months and the number and name (labeled as numeric classes) of those periods in water deficit. The workflow diagram is reported in Figure 2. QGIS software [41] was used to draw the water deficit maps, representing the spatial distribution of the number and the name of months/periods in water deficit in the PPA.

2.5. Model and Data Source Validation

Validation was carried out in three steps: (i) the first to compare the $E_to$ equation’s performance coded in an R Script, (ii) the second to correlate WC with weather observed stations (WS) data from the study area, and (iii) the third to measure WC data’s capability to predict months in water deficit and water excess. In the first step, $E_to$ values from the equation coded in the R script ($E_to$S) were compared with $E_to$ values from the “Evapotranspiration” R package ($E_toR$) (through function “ET.PenmanMonteith”) [42]. Both methods were tested using a 1280 daily climate dataset (1 March 2001–31 August 2004) from Kent Town Station in Adelaide (Australia), available in the “Evapotranspiration”
R package. Root mean square error (RMSE), mean absolute error (MAE), coefficient of determination $R^2$ (with its corresponding significance test at 95% of confidence level), and a visual inspection on a graphic line 1:1 of $ET_{oS}$ vs. $ET_{oR}$ data were used to validate the coded equation. The motivation for coding the monthly $ET_o$ equation (and its ancillary equations) instead of accumulating the daily $ET_o$ from the R Package on a monthly basis is due to the differences between the daily and monthly $ET_o$ equation. These differences are determined by soil heat flux ($G$) and the number of the day per year ($J$) [26]. In addition, $G$ is assumed as zero in the daily interval, and $J$ is the day of computation, while in the monthly interval, $G$ depends on the average temperature of the previous and subsequent months, and $J$ is the 15th day of the given month of computation.

Figure 2. Flux diagram of IR computation.

In the second and third steps, WC data were validated annually (2015–2018) with monthly data from 73 WS [33], located in PPA, performing a linear regression and a categorical data analysis, respectively. After removing missing data from weather stations, months were reduced from 876 (73 stations by 12 months) to a maximum of 300, depending on the year analyzed. A monthly IR paired table (Equation (1)) was obtained from the spatially coincident WorldClim and weather station data. To standardize the number of months used by a given year, months from the paired table were randomly resampled (without replacement) 1000 times, extracting subsamples of 50 (deficit) and 50 (excess). A significance test (at 95% confidence level) was computed from the linear regression for each subsample, obtaining the coefficient of determination $R^2$. Furthermore, months...
in deficit or excess from the paired table were compared in a $2 \times 2$ contingency table through McNemar’s test [43] to identify similarities (or differences) between the two data sources. Partial and global accuracy of WC data were calculated as the number of months correctly classified in deficit (cell (1,2) of the matrix) or excess (cell (2,1) of the matrix), and deficit and excess (sum of cells (2,1) and (2,1) of the matrix) between total analyzed months, respectively.

2.6. Computing the Location of Intertropical Convergence Zone and Its Influence on Irrigation Requirement

ITCZ geographic coordinates by month were obtained reading $L$ data from the netCDF format (functions nc_open and ncvart_get from R package ncdf4), computing for each $L(i, k_m)$ (where $m = 1, 2, 3 \ldots 12$) the average of $L$ in the interval $[x - 5^\circ, x + 5^\circ]$ ($w = 10$), computing for each $L(i, k_m)$ the empirical cumulative distribution function (function “ecdf”), and calculating its inverse (function “quantile”). $j$th cells in $L(i, k_m)$ that meet the condition of Equation (5) ($a = 90\%$) were labeled with one and those that were not at zero. Using the spatial resolution of $L(i, j, k)$, a raster was created, and then it was resampled to the spatial resolution of WorldClim data.

To estimate the influence of the ITCZ localization on the crop water deficit (IR), a relevance metric (RM) analysis was implemented using the Sensitivity Matrix method [44] over a supervised multilayer-perceptron artificial neural network (ANN) [45]. The influence was quantified by weighting the ITCZ-IR and Con-IR ANN optimized connections strength (product of RM analysis over the trained ANNs) and pondering the strength to a relative percentual weight. ANN was designed using an input layer with two neurons (two input variables, ITCZ, and a random control, Con), one hidden layer with a varied number of neurons (to be determined according to the correlation adjustment), and an output layer with one neuron (coincident with the output variable, IR). This was trained 500 times and tested by month using a random sample of 39,573 pixels (50% of the total), taken from a resampling of rasters IR, ITCZ, and random control (Con). The best ANN architecture was selected based on the lowest RMSE (accuracy to predict new observations) and standard deviation (stability among ANN with the same architecture). ANN modeling was performed using the R package “neuralnet” [46].

3. Results

3.1. Model and Data Source Validation

$ET_{os}$ equations were validated with daily and monthly-extrapolated $ET_{or}$ data. The regression analysis performed between these two datasets exposes high performance between the two computation methods. The MAE, the RMSE, and $R^2$ between $ET_o$ calculations, were 0.042 mm day$^{-1}$, 0.054 mm day$^{-1}$, and 0.999 ($p < 0.001$), respectively. In addition, extrapolating $ET_{or}$ to monthly data, MAE of $ET_{os}$ was less than 2% (Figure 3).

The filtering of WS missing data for the WC data validation resulted in a varied number of valid months per year. The year 2015 was the year with the fewest valid months (180 from 16 stations), while 2017 was the year with the most valid months (300 from 25 stations). The linear regression graph illustrates that the variability in $IR$ from WS data is higher than that from WC data and the tendency of WC data to underestimate $IR$ (Figure 3). The linear regression model computed has an average $R^2$ adjusted for the years 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 of 0.081 $\pm$ 0.003 ($p < 0.05$), 0.224 $\pm$ 0.003 ($p < 0.05$), 0.255 $\pm$ 0.003 ($p < 0.05$), 0.410 $\pm$ 0.003 ($p < 0.05$), respectively.

No significant differences were found (McNemar’s test, $p > 0.360$ for all years analyzed) between months in deficit (D) or excess (E), classified by WC and WS data. The accuracy of WC to classify deficit months was 62, 76, 74, and 76% for the years 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018, respectively (Figure 3). The global accuracy of the IR estimation using WC data was 60, 67, 68, and 72% for the years 2015 to 2018, respectively (Figure 3). According to the average contingency matrices presented in Figure 3, from 100 months tested, at least 62 were classified correctly (obtained by summing (1,2) and (2,1) contingency matrix’s cells).
3. Results

3.1. Model and Data Source Validation

The regression analysis performed between these two datasets exposes high performance between the two computation methods. The MAE, the RMSE, and R² between \( ET \) calculations were 0.042 mm/day, 0.054 mm/day, and 0.999 (\( p < 0.001 \)), respectively. In addition, extrapolating \( ET \) to monthly data, MAE of \( ET \) was less than 2% (Figure 3).

The filtering of WS missing data for the WC data validation resulted in a varied number of valid months per year. The year 2015 was the year with the fewest valid months (180 from 16 stations), while 2017 was the year with the most valid months (300 from 25 stations). The linear regression graph illustrates that the variability in \( IR \) from WS data is higher than that from WC data and the tendency of WC data to underestimate \( IR \) (Figure 3). The linear regression model computed has an average \( R^2 \) adjusted for the years 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 of 0.081 ± 0.003 (\( p < 0.05 \)), 0.224 ± 0.003 (\( p < 0.05 \)), 0.255 ± 0.003 (\( p < 0.05 \)), 0.410 ± 0.003 (\( p < 0.05 \)), respectively.

**Figure 3.** Validation of monthly IR from WorldClim (WC) using Weather Stations (WS) data from: (a) year 2015; (b) year 2016; (c) year 2017; and (d) year 2018. Colored points represent one of the coincident subsamples with the average contingency matrix (in parenthesis standard deviation). Gray points represent other subsamples.

3.2. Annual Distribution of Crop Evapotranspiration and Effective Precipitation

The annual \( EP \) distribution computed using WC data for the three precipitation regimes (Figure 4) was similar to that described in Colombia [35]. In the monomodal regime, May, June, and July are the rainiest months, while December, January, and February are the driest months (Figure 4a). In bimodal and rainy regimes, April, May, October, and November are the rainiest months, while January, February, July, and August are the driest months (Figure 4b,c). \( ETc \) distributes homogeneously throughout the year in the three regimes with a slight increase in months when the \( EP \) decreases (Figure 4a–c).

The average \( ETc \) and \( EP \) annual distribution in rainy and bimodal precipitation regimes of PPA (Figure 4a,b) allows us to see that, in the seven months with water deficit, \( ETc \) exceeds \( EP \) from 8 to 69%. In the five months with water deficit in the monomodal regime (Figure 4c), \( ETc \) exceeds \( EP \) by 1 to 128%. In those areas, Hass avocado could endure a water deficit of up to four months consecutively, accumulating on average a water deficit of up to 108 mm (Figure 4d).

The cumulative IR (Figure 4d) suggests that the rainfed Hass avocado orchards under bimodal and rainy precipitation regimes have one peak of water irrigation demand per semester: in March (60 and 47 mm, respectively) and in September (93 and 102 mm, respectively), while under the monomodal regime, the peak appears only in March (88 mm).
3.2. Annual Distribution of Crop Evapotranspiration and Effective Precipitation

Regarding computer performance, 79,145 pixels from WC and about 9.7 million pixels of SRTM 90 m GeoTIFF raster were processed using a set of functions coded in software R to output two raster stacks (pixel size 1 km², spatially coincident with a WC pixel) in a total time of 1 h (Operative System Windows 10, processor Core I7 8700). Our results showed that January in the first semester and July and August in the second semester are the months where the highest percentage of PPA requires irrigation, while the fallen precipitation in April and October significantly reduces the area which requires irrigation (Table 2).

Table 2. Area classified in water deficit in PPA (potential production area in Colombia) by month (km²).

| Regime  | Jan  | Feb  | Mar  | Apr  | May  | Jun  | Jul  | Aug  | Sep  | Oct  | Nov  | Dec  |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Bimodal | 58,804 | 54,864 | 53,652 | 7061 | 12,085 | 40,371 | 56,086 | 52,805 | 38,283 | 2772 | 14,517 | 53,926 |
| Rainy   | 291  | 302  | 304  | 18   | 69   | 290   | 331   | 321   | 304   | 1    | 16    | 161  |
| Monomodal | 13,045 | 12,342 | 10,555 | 9163 | 1926  | 1070  | 376   | 2455  | 5666  | 8803  | 2772  | 9186  |
| Total   | 72,140 | 67,508 | 64,511 | 9005 | 13,224 | 41,037 | 58,872 | 58,792 | 47,390 | 3174  | 11,636 | 53,926 |
| Percentage | 91.1  | 85.3  | 81.5  | 11.4 | 16.7 | 51.9  | 74.4  | 74.3  | 59.9  | 7.5   | 18.3  | 68.1  |

According to the water deficit maps (Figure 5), water deficit months fluctuate from zero (i.e., not require irrigation) to eleven (Figure 5a). Areas that do not require irrigation totalize 0.2% of PPA and are distributed in the northwest (Department of Antioquia) and the south of Colombia (Department of Putumayo). Areas that require irrigation from one to four months are in Antioquia, Caldas, Risaralda, Quindío, Cauca, and Huila departments. Zones that require irrigation in more than four months are distributed throughout the PPA, from the south to the north of Colombia, mainly in Departments of Cundinamarca, Boyacá, Santander, and Norte de Santander (Figure 5a). Areas that require irrigation at least one

3.3. Water Deficit Visualizations under Geographic Space

Figure 4. Average annual distribution of EP (effective precipitation), ETc (crop evapotranspiration) at PPA (potential production area in Colombia) in: (a) rainy; (b) bimodal; and (c) monomodal precipitation regimes, and (d) the cumulative IR (irrigation requirement). Error bars correspond to absolute (panels (a) to (c)) and cumulative (panel (d)) standard deviation.
month in any given year account for 99.8% of the Hass avocado’s potential and current production area. The PPA percentages where avocado requires irrigation in bimodal, rainy, and monomodal regimes are 98.7, 100.0, and 99.4%, respectively.

Regarding these detailed periods, the water deficit associated to the Hass avocado cultivation in Colombia can be distributed in up to four periods in a year (Figure 5b). A total of 26.0% of PPA presents water deficit in one period (being December–March the most common) (Figure 5b). A total of 68.6% of PPA presents water deficit in two periods (being June–September and December–March the most common) (Figure 5b). Lastly, only 5.2% of PPA presents water deficit in three periods (with January, March, and June–September being the most common) (Figure 5b). Less than 0.1% of PPA presents water deficit in four periods (being January, March, June–September, and November the most common) (Figure 5b). Considering all four periods, December–March is the period where most PPA requires irrigation, with 8.7%.

3.4. Temporal and Spatial Variation of Irrigation Zones and Computing the Location of Intertropical Convergence Zone and Its Influence on Irrigation Requirement

According to these results, the area to be irrigated at PPA changes spatial and temporally (Figure 6). From January to March, irrigation needs are distributed in all PPA, decreasing by 9.6%. In April and May, this area reduces significantly, decreasing from 81.5 (March) to 16.7% (May). From June to September, the IR area spreads in all PPA, July being the month with the highest area requiring irrigation, with 74.4% of PPA. From October to December, the IR area significantly increases, varying from 7.5 to 68.1% of PPA.
Among the areas that require irrigation, the most and the less frequent months a year with irrigation deficit are January and April, and October, respectively (Figure 6).

The spatio-temporal dynamics of the water deficit area in PPA correspond to the variability of precipitation of the bimodal, monomodal, and rainy regimes. Two periods of water deficit (December–March and July–September) and two water excess periods (April–May and October–November) were found in the bimodal regime. In the monomodal regime, one period of water deficit (December to March) and one period of water excess (April to November) were found. Two periods of water deficit (January–February and July–August) and two water excess periods (March to June and September to December) were found in the rainy regime. Therefore, Figure 6 is consistent with Figure 4 because this illustrates a water deficit (i.e., when ETc exceeds EP) in the same months where PPA is affected by water deficit.

According to its resulting characteristics, ITCZ can be described as a globally distributed, longitudinal, and thin band that moves in Tropics from the north to the south, and vice versa throughout the year. The probability with which it was computed has a significant effect on the width of ITCZ. The higher probability, the thinner the ITCZ is.
In continental South America, ITCZ at a 90% probability reaches its northernmost zone in January at 8.5° N, crossing the subcontinent from Colombia to French Guiana and its southernmost zone in July at 15.5° S, positioning itself on southern Colombia (Amazonas), Peru, Bolivia, and Brazil. In Colombia, ITCZ moves from the north to the south from January to April, covering no less than 82% of PPA (April). From May to September, ITCZ is out of PPA. ITCZ travels from the south to north in the remaining months, covering 83% of PPA in December (Figure 6).

After a processing time of 4.3 h, the backpropagation algorithm of all ANN converged and thus ANN were successfully trained. The number of neurons in the input, hidden, and output layers of the best ANN architecture of all months was 2, 20, and 1, respectively. RM analysis results (depicted in bar graphs inside the Figure 6 maps) suggest a high variability in ANN trained weights.

From November to April, the presence of ITCZ over PPA slightly influences the IR area, reaching a peak from January to March, when the influence is, on average, 10% lower than that on Con (Figure 6). The absence of ITCZ over PPA (May to October) behaves contrary to the presence, increasing the influence of ITCZ on the IR area. From May to September, ITCZ’s influence on IR is, on average, 26% higher than that on Con (Figure 6). The overall RM analysis (i.e., including all months that are not reported here) suggests the influence of ITCZ on IR, on average, is 4% higher than the influence of Con. Neither in the monthly nor annual RM analysis, the relative percentual weight of ITCZ was found to be significantly different from that of Con, according to the Wilcoxon signed-rank test ($p > 0.05$).

4. Discussion

4.1. Model and Data Source Validation

$ET_o$ values resulting from the R Script equation had a significantly low MAE, RMSE, and agreed very well with those from the R Package equation, according to errors reported by [47] for hourly–daily $ET_o$ comparison. Errors reported in this validation are acceptable in terms of their magnitude and thus do not affect the results’ effectiveness. Therefore, $ET_o$ equations coded in the R script can be used to estimate $ET_c$ in the IR model. Despite both equations having been obtained from the FAO-56 Penman-Monteith equation [26], reported errors are associated with the use of different coefficients, computation digits, and constants in $ET_o$ ancillary equations by the two methods, as common sources of $ET_o$ computation errors according to [48].

Results presented in this study suggest a moderately acceptable global accuracy in the validation of WorldClim data. Considering the origin (interpolation of global weather data) and period (1970 to 2000), validation of WorldClim data with current weather data in this study permit asserting that nowadays WorldClim data can be used to represent the irrigation requirement of Hass avocado cultivation in Colombia, corroborating validations in tropical mountainous regions [31]. To the extent of this study, it should be noted that this research paper is the first that uses WorldClim data (and reports its accuracy) in an irrigation requirement model. Despite the importance of secondary data validation to achieve more reliability in the results, papers referenced in this work that used WorldClim as input data of their models did not report accuracy.

The high variability of the monthly water balance model for the Hass avocado crop in Colombia proposed in this study concur with others developed globally, reported [49–51]. Limitations of the IR model proposed here are related to the omission of surface runoff, drainage, and upward flux from the soil water balance and the absence of inter-annual variation of WorldClim data. This omission is commonly reported in studies that computed soil water balance [52,53]. Allen et al. [26] established that the omission is due to these components are complex of measuring and do not significantly impact the SWB (in specific soil conditions). The absence of inter-annual variation is due to WorldClim data providing monthly climate data averaged in 1970–2000. Models that use WorldClim data to simulate
environmental phenomena after 2000 did not report global and local interannual climate variability (El Niño–Southern Oscillation, ENSO).

To Hass avocado growers located in monomodal regime zones, who trigger the irrigation when the readily available water in the soil depletes [32], the magnitude of the maximum accumulated water deficit (Figure 4d) would be equal to stopping watering their orchards for up to three consecutive events. Basing ourselves on the cumulative IR in December (as do some Colombian farmers mentioned in the Introduction), which is low (79, 69, and 49 mm for bimodal, rainy, and monomodal regimes, respectively), Hass avocado orchards would not need irrigation because annual precipitation almost covers the total water that the crop requires, but distribution throughout the year and the spatial distribution of water deficit maps depict a very different scenario.

4.2. Water Deficit Visualization in Geographic Space

Water deficit maps demonstrate that although the annual distribution of precipitation in Colombia seems suitable for the avocado crop (Figure 4), a high percentage of the Hass avocado’s potential production area in Colombia needs water irrigation for at least one month per year (Figures 5 and 6). This finding was similar in zones where a high amount of precipitation is distributed in one (monomodal regime) or two (bimodal regime) periods or throughout the year (rainy regime). It means farmers of Hass avocado in Colombia located in water deficit areas of bimodal, monomodal, and rainy precipitation regimes must irrigate their avocados to supply the crop’s water. Similar results were found in rice crops [54]. Although the total annual water rain in Bangladesh exceeded reference evapotranspiration (precipitation water varied from 1728 to 2776 mm, while $ET_o$ varied from 1237 to 1364 mm), researchers estimated the average spatial net irrigation requirement was 676 mm (and in no case less than 400 mm). This indicates that the spatial distribution is a more accurate indicator to study irrigation requirements at a regional scale, as opposed to totalizing the annual amount of precipitation and evapotranspiration in the study area.

The water deficit maps are consistent with a study reported in Colombia [23], which comprised of a Hass avocado irrigation experiment in three farms located in Tolima, Antioquia, and Cauca (Colombia). Grajales [23] determined that even though the total annual precipitation was higher than the total annual reference evapotranspiration, a monthly distribution of these two variables showed $ET_o$ exceeded precipitation in up to three months. After applying a treatment with an average of 12 drip irrigation events distributed in eight months per year, he reported a significant increase in the crop yield. Due to Hass avocado irrigation being a critical agronomic task to guarantee crop production success, water deficit maps become a powerful tool for Colombian farmers when scheduling irrigation in months and periods identified in these maps.

Moreover, water deficit maps can be used as a tool to design a strategy for redistributing and expanding crop areas according to the magnitude of water deficit. Steele et al. [55] computed a seasonal (May–September) evapotranspiration map (equivalent to water deficit map) in 2006 for more than ten crops in Devils Lake basin (USA) and determined seasonal evapotranspiration varied from 286 mm (dry edible beans) to approximately 611 mm (woods crop). After simulating increments of evapotranspiration in the evaluated crops by redistribution and expansion in the study area to mitigate flooding, a few suitable areas to accomplish this strategy were determined.

4.3. Influence on Irrigation Requirement of Intertropical Convergence Zone

Even though they both have different spatial and time resolutions, it can be observed that the spatial and temporal distribution of the computed ITCZ in this study is similar to those mapped, as reported [20,56]. Common characteristics between those are the bifurcation of IZCT in the eastern Pacific region and a predominant latitudinal displacement. Studies that relate global climate phenomena with agriculture (and particularly with irrigation) coincide with this in the existence of a significant relationship between these [57]. The given climate does not always trigger changes in the distribution of irrigated areas.
Some large and densely irrigated regions (India, China, and USA) can drive changes in global climate [58]. The study of the influence of ITCZ on IR can become a tool to forecast adverse climate conditions and plan agronomic tasks to reduce the associated risks to the crops [59].

This study confirms the relationship between ITCZ and precipitation, described in Colombia’s monthly precipitation bulletins [60]. The movement of ITCZ toward latitudes far to those where PPA is located (i.e., when two areas do not intersect, May to September) decreases the precipitation, and therefore increases the Hass avocado area that requires irrigation. However, those bulletins also describe how other climate phenomena affect precipitation, leading to an integral model to improve the understanding of the problem. Among those factors that contributed to finding a slight influence of ITCZ on the Hass avocado irrigation requirement when areas intersect (October to April), we can consider the contrasting spatial resolution (pixel size) of the two data sources (which impede seeing spatial variability of ITCZ with more detail) and the difference between the periods in which data was obtained (WorldClim, 1970–2000 and ITCZ, 1932–2012).

Our study presents a latter-day tool for planning and decision-making in line with the Hass avocado cultivation sector in Colombia, as based on potential irrigation needs. Among the sources of variability evaluated associated with irrigation, a spatial and seasonal analysis (ITCZ) was presented. Other sources of variation that potentially affect requirements and availability of water in Hass avocado crops under tropical conditions, such as non-seasonal climate variability (ENSO) [4,57] and climate change [5], should be addressed in future works.

5. Conclusions

In this study, it is demonstrated that a high percentage of the current and potential production area of Hass avocado in Colombia needs water irrigation for at least one month per year. The performed analysis on zones with bimodal, monomodal, and rainy precipitation regimes in Colombia where Hass avocado can be potentially cultivated evidenced that there is a need for extra water in a high percentage of the area, not accounting for the precipitation regime. The approach of studying the irrigation requirement through its monthly spatial distribution is a more accurate indicator than studying this phenomenon at a regional scale, as it involves the totalizing of the annual amount of the precipitation and evapotranspiration in the study area. Water deficit maps have become a powerful tool for Colombian farmers when scheduling irrigation in months and periods as identified in the maps found in this study. Although the influence of the Intertropical Convergence Zone on the spatial distribution of Hass avocado irrigation requirements was higher than that obtained by a random variable in the second period of low precipitations (May to September), it is not possible to conclude that the Intertropical Convergence Zone has a significant influence on the spatial dynamics of irrigation requirement of the Hass avocado crop. This suggests that external climate phenomena contribute to the understanding of the spatial variability of irrigation requirements.
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