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ABSTRACT
Acantholysis means loss of coherence between epidermal cells due to the breakdown of intercellular bridges. It is an important pathogenetic mechanism underlying various bullous disorders, particularly the pemphigus group, as well as many non-blistering disorders. Although a well-known concept, the student often has to refer to many sources to comprehend acantholysis completely. Thorough knowledge of this topic helps in clinching many diagnoses. The etiopathogenesis, classification, clinical signs, and laboratory demonstration of acantholysis are discussed in detail to help students build clear concepts. We have focused on various distinguishing points in different disorders for an easy grasp of the topic.
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INTRODUCTION

The term acantholysis, coined by Auspitz in 1881, is derived from the Greek words _akantha_, meaning a thorn or prickle, and _lysis_, i.e. loosening.[1,2] Acantholysis is defined as the loss of coherence between epidermal cells due to the breakdown of their intercellular bridges.[3,4] The cells remain intact but are no longer attached to each other; they tend to acquire the smallest possible surface area and become rounded up, resulting in intra-epidermal clefts, vesicles and bullae. Acantholysis is the primary pathological change occurring in pemphigus and its variants and other conditions like Hailey-Hailey disease (HHD).[5] This article presents acantholysis in a nutshell, catering to the needs of the dermatology student.

Pathogenesis and classification of acantholysis

Desmosomal cadherins are the primary pathological targets in the pemphigus group of disorders and some conditions like bullous impetigo. The intercellular space of desmosomes includes two chief glycoproteins; the desmogleins (Dsg) and desmocollins, which in conjunction with the cytoplasmic counterparts plakoglobin and plakophilin, bring about the complex intercellular attachment.[6] Experiments in the recent past have partially elucidated the multiple triggers, targets, and steps involved in acantholysis.

There are a number of triggering factors, which can commence the cascade of acantholysis [Table 1]. Acantholysis is initially characterized by separation of the inter-desmosomal regions followed by splitting and disappearance of desmosomes, forming intercellular gaps. These intercellular gaps result in fluid influx from the dermis leading to cavity formation, which may be suprabasal, mid-epidermal, or subcorneal in location. The acantholytic cells remain metabolically active for some time and retain their capacity for DNA synthesis. Degeneration and cell death represent secondary phenomena.[4]

Another important molecule in the pathogenesis of acantholysis is syndecan-1, a heparan sulfate proteoglycan on the keratinocyte membrane, which functions in intercellular adhesion. Absent or markedly decreased syndecan-1 expression by acantholytic keratinocytes has been reported in biopsies of pemphigus, Grover’s disease, and herpes simplex.[9] Keratinocytes from spongiotic dermatitis showed a
diffuse mild decrease in syndecan expression while keratinocytes from bullous pemphigoid showed no loss of expression.[9] Syndecan expression was also decreased in acantholytic squamous cell carcinoma.[10]

**Classification of acantholysis**
Acantholysis has been broadly classified as primary or secondary [Table 2].

**Primary acantholysis:** Dissociation and disintegration of desmosomes leads to the separation of keratinocytes; either due to direct injury to desmosomes or due to hereditary defects in their construction.[11] Thus, in these diseases, acantholysis is the primary event leading to the formation of intra-epidermal cavities and hence the manifestations of the disease. Primary acantholysis is of prime pathogenetic relevance in diseases of the pemphigus group.

Mechanism of acantholysis in pemphigus: It is an enigmatic process, and newer aspects are continually being discovered. The major auto-antibodies in pemphigus target Dsg-1 (PF and PV) and Dsg-3 (PV). The conventional concept is that PV IgG binds to Dsgs resulting in stearic hindrance, interference with desmosomal cadherin trans-interactions and loss of intercellular adhesion. The Dsg compensation theory explains the different level of blistering in PV and PF. Plasminogen activation in lesional epidermis is also believed to contribute to acantholysis.[7] However, recent immuno-electron studies demonstrate that desmosomes remain intact till the late stages of acantholysis when they are cleaved behind the desmosomal plaque, due to shearing forces produced by collapsing cells.[12] Hence, the pivotal role of anti-Dsg antibodies in pemphigus is being questioned.[13]

In addition to Dsgs, pemphigus auto-antibodies recognize numerous other antigens. In a recently-described model [Figure 1], the proposed initial step is the binding of antibodies to peripheral myelin protein (PERP) and/or cellular acetylcholine receptor (AChR), which leads to dissociation of adhesion molecules and also initiates apoptosis. Subsequently, tonofilaments collapse and keratinocytes shrink with sloughing of desmosomes, which elicits an autoimmune response. Finally, anti-Dsg antibodies bind to their targets precluding formation of new intercellular junctions.[14]

The linking of the apoptotic pathways to basal cell shrinkage and suprabasal acantholysis has been termed apoptolysis.[15]

Few authors include bullous impetigo and staphylococcal scalded-skin syndrome (SSSS) under primary acantholysis.[4] Melish and Glasgow showed that Exotoxin (ET) produced by *Staphylococcus aureus*.

### Table 1: Triggers of acantholysis[7,8]

| Autoimmunity | Drugs | Infections | Food | Burns | Genetics | Heat | UV rays; especially UV-B |
|--------------|-------|------------|------|-------|----------|------|--------------------------|
| Sweating     | Ionizing radiation | Friction | Maceration | Trauma | Contact dermatitis | Infestations like scabies | |

### Table 2: Causes of primary and secondary acantholysis

| Primary acantholysis | Secondary acantholysis |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Pemphigus vulgaris and its variants | Herpes simplex virus infections |
| Pemphigus foliaceus and its variants | Herpes zoster |
| Hailey-Hailey disease | Condyloma acuminatum |
| Darier’s disease | Tinea corporis |
| Transient acantholytic dermatosis (Grover’s disease) | BT leprosy |
| Bullous impetigo | Epidermolytic hyperkeratosis |
| Staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome (SSSS) | Epidermolysis bullosa (EB) |
|                      | Lethal acantholytic EB |
|                      | Acantholytic Dowling-Meara type of EB simplex |
|                      | Mal de Meleda type of PPK |
|                      | Galli-Galli disease |
|                      | Solar keratoses |
|                      | Acantholytic acanthoma |
|                      | Adenoid squamous cell carcinoma |
|                      | Basal cell carcinoma |
|                      | Keratoacanthoma |
|                      | Melanocytic naevi |
|                      | Dermatofibromas |
|                      | Elastolytic granuloma |
|                      | Pityriasis rubra pilaris |
|                      | Psoriasis |
|                      | Leukocytoclastic vasculitis |
causes bullous impetigo and SSSS. ET results in loss of cohesion between keratinocytes by cleaving Dsg1, as confirmed experimentally.\textsuperscript{[16]} Its amino acid make-up is analogous to that of chymotrypsin-like serine proteases.\textsuperscript{[17]}

**Secondary acantholysis:** The acantholysis is secondary to alteration or damage to keratinocytes by various factors.\textsuperscript{[5]} In other words, keratinocytes are injured first followed by subsequent disintegration of desmosomes.\textsuperscript{[11]} This includes secondary shedding of keratinocytes from the walls of established intraepidermal blisters developing due to other causes.\textsuperscript{[4]} Secondary acantholysis can occur in a wide variety of benign and malignant skin diseases. One example of secondary acantholysis is the dissociation of cells in herpes simplex and herpes zoster lesions. Others include epidermolytic hyperkeratosis, solar keratoses, acantholytic acanthoma, and adenoid squamous cell carcinoma.\textsuperscript{[5]} Acantholysis can be a prominent finding in certain variants of epidermolysis bullosa (EB) and is the defining feature of the Galli-Galli variant of Dowling Dego disease.\textsuperscript{[18-20]} Incidental focal secondary acantholysis has been reported in numerous other conditions ranging from BT leprosy to melanocytic naevi [Table 2].\textsuperscript{[3,21-24]}

**Biochemical acantholysis:** The term describes a special type of primary acantholysis brought about without antibody mediation.\textsuperscript{[25]} Drugs and food items of the thiol or phenol groups can trigger pemphigus through this mechanism. The proposed mechanisms for thiol-induced acantholysis include direct biochemical effect by formation of thiol–cysteine bonds disturbing cell adhesion, protease activation, and immunological reaction with the formation of a neo-antigen. Possible mechanisms of phenol-induced acantholysis include induction of IL-1$\alpha$ and TNF-$\alpha$ release from keratinocytes, which result in the dysregulation of proteases like plasminogen activator.\textsuperscript{[26]}

**Sites of acantholysis**

The site of acantholysis in a given condition helps in the diagnosis, especially in blistering disorders. In PF and pemphigus erythematosus, acantholysis is usually
confined to the sub-corneal layer due to involvement of Dsg1, which is distributed predominantly in the upper epidermis. In PV, the clefting occurs in the suprabasal epidermis due to involvement of Dsg3, predominantly expressed in the lower epidermis. In HHD, although acantholysis is often focal or incomplete, it tends to affect the entire epidermal thickness [Table 3].

**Clinical signs associated with acantholysis**

**a) Nikolsky’s sign**

The Russian dermatologist Pyotr Vasiliyevich Nikolskiy first described this sign. (Although his name was spelt Nikolskiy, the sign is better known as Nikolsky’s)[24] The sign is elicited by applying tangential pressure with a finger or thumb to the affected skin, peri-lesional skin, or normal skin in patients with suspected pemphigus [Figure 2]. It is termed positive if there is extension of the blister and/or removal of epidermis in the rubbed area.[35] “Marginal Nikolsky’s sign” describes the extension of the erosion on the surrounding normal-appearing skin by rubbing the skin surrounding existing lesions while “Direct Nikolsky’s sign” is the induction of an erosion on normal-appearing skin, distant from the lesions.[36] Uzun and Durdu tested the utility of Nikolsky’s sign in 123 pemphigus cases and found it to be a moderately sensitive but highly specific diagnostic tool. The marginal Nikolsky’s sign was more sensitive (69%),

**Table 3: Characteristics of acantholysis in different disorders**

| Disease                     | Site of acantholysis | Light microscopic appearance of acantholytic cells | Associated findings                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pemphigus vulgaris          | Suprabasal           | Typical Tzanck cells in large numbers either singly or as loosely adherent clumps[27] | Lack of significant surrounding inflammation +/- Sertoli’s rosettes, streptocytes[26] |
| Pemphigus vegetans          | Suprabasal           | Abundant Tzanck cells[29]                         | Large numbers of inflammatory cells; particularly eosinophils[30]                  |
| Pemphigus foliaceus and erythematous | Subcorneal    | Moderate numbers of acantholytic cells[27]        | Cells have hyalinized cytoplasm, corresponding to dyskeratosis seen on histopathology[27] |
| Hailey-Hailey disease       | Full-thickness of epidermis | Abundant rounded acantholytic cells with single, round hypertrophic nucleus with one or two prominent, viable nucleoli and mourning-edge of cytoplasm[29,31] | No inflammatory cells. Dilapidated brick wall appearance of epidermis on histopathology[30] |
| Darier’s disease            | Mainly upper epidermis | Corps ronds and grains (dyskeratotic acantholytic cells)[30] | Suprabasal lacunae on histopathology[30]                                           |
| SSSS                        | Subcorneal           | Few dyskeratotic acantholytic cells[27]           | Few inflammatory cells[27]                                                         |
| Bullous impetigo            | Subcorneal           | Dyskeratotic acantholytic cells in moderate to large numbers[27] | Abundant neutrophils; gram positive cocci[27]                                       |
| Herpes simplex / zoster     | Bases of intra-epidermal vesicles | Acantholytic Balloon cells - single cells and multinucleate giant cells. (Swollen keratinocytes with homogenous eosinophilic cytoplasm with large nuclei often containing inclusion bodies)[23] | Plenty of neutrophils; necrotic cells in older lesions[23]                           |

**Figure 2: (a) Eliciting Nikolsky’s sign on perilesional skin. Note the tangential pressure, (b) Eliciting Nikolsky’s sign, peeling of skin revealing moist erosion**
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while the direct Nikolsky's sign was more specific (100%) to diagnose pemphigus.[37]

The underlying pathophysiology of this sign is the acantholysis occurring in affected areas as well as in areas with intact, normal-appearing skin.[34] Microscopic Nikolsky’s sign is the subclinical counterpart of Nikolsky’s sign. Tangential pressure on apparently normal skin, exerted as in eliciting clinical Nikolsky’s sign, produces the classical microscopic changes of PV or PF in the epidermis that can be visualized on skin biopsy. Hameed and Khan demonstrated a positive microscopic Nikolsky’s sign in 73.9% of pemphigus patients who were biopsied after applying tangential pressure. There were no changes in the biopsies of healthy controls. This technique could be of value in areas where immunofluorescence is not readily available.[38] Nikolsky’s sign is usually positive in diseases with epidermal acantholysis and typically negative in diseases with dermo-epidermal separation,[34] thus helping to distinguish pemphigus from bullous pemphigoid (BP).[35]

Nikolsky’s sign is further characterized as “wet” Nikolsky’s, in which a moist, glistening, eroded base is seen after pressure is exerted on the skin; and “dry” Nikolsky’s, in which the base of eroded skin is dry. In active PV, a wet sign is expected, whereas the dry sign indicates re-epithelialization beneath a PV blister or may suggest PF and hence a higher level of blister formation.[35] In “Nikolsky’s phenomenon,” the superficial epidermis is felt to move over the deeper layers, and instead of immediate erosion formation as in Nikolsky’s sign, a blister develops after some time.[39]

False Nikolsky’s sign or Sheklakov’s sign is positive in sub-epidermal blistering disorders. It involves pulling the peripheral remnant roof of a ruptured blister, thereby extending the erosion on the surrounding normal skin. The erosions thus induced are limited in size, lack the tendency to extend spontaneously, and heal rapidly. Pseudo-Nikolsky’s sign is positive in Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis and in some cases of burns and bullous ichthyosiform erythroderma. However, it can be elicited only on the affected or erythematous areas. Here, the underlying mechanism is necrosis of epidermal cells and not acantholysis as in true Nikolsky’s sign.[39]

b) Bulla spread sign (Asboe-Hansen sign)

Described originally by Wilhelm Lutz, it is the enlargement of an intact blister by the application of mechanical pressure on its roof [Figure 3]. If one carefully presses upon the blister, it enlarges towards its periphery due to the mechanical pressure of the blister fluid.[36] In PV, the blister extension has a sharp angle, whereas in BP, the advanced border is rounded.[36]

Laboratory investigations to demonstrate acantholysis

Acantholytic cells are easily demonstrated using a side-lab cytologic smear (Tzanck smear). Introduced by Arnault Tzanck, this is a simple technique to analyze vesiculobullous diseases. The base of an unroofed blister is gently scraped; the material obtained is gently smeared onto a clean glass slide, allowed to air dry and stained with Giemsa.[40]

Light microscopic changes in acantholysis

A typical acantholytic cell of PV as seen in a Tzanck smear is called a ‘Tzanck cell’ [Figures 4 and 5]. It is a rounded keratinocyte with a hypertrophic or dysmorphic nucleus, hazy or absent nucleoli, increased nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio due to the loss of normal intercellular cohesion and abundant eosinophilic to basophilic cytoplasm. The staining is more intensely basophilic near the cell membrane (‘mourning edge’) because of cytoplasmic condensation at the periphery, resulting in a perinuclear halo.[27,40] Other findings, not pathognomonic to pemphigus but frequently detectable, are Sertoli’s rosettes and ‘streptocytes.’ Sertoli’s rosettes are composed of a central necrobiotic keratinocyte with a surrounding leukocyte rosette. A ‘streptocyte’ is a chain of leukocytes, joined by a filamentous, glue-like substance. Sertoli’s rosettes and ‘streptocytes’ can be
observed in herpes zoster and the pemphigoid group, respectively.\cite{30} The presence of typical Tzanck cells in large numbers, either discretely or as loosely adherent clumps, without significant surrounding inflammation substantiates the diagnosis of pemphigus.\cite{27,28} Although acantholysis does occur in a variety of conditions, subtle differences in the appearance of acantholytic cells, site of involvement, and the associated findings aid us in clinching the diagnosis [Table 3]. For example, Tzanck smears from bullous impetigo show abundant neutrophils along with acantholytic cells in contrast to a bland picture in PV. It is worthwhile to mention here that in herpes simplex and zoster, the acantholytic cells either occur as a single cell or as multinucleated giant cells (MNGs) [Figures 6 and 7]. The sensitivity and specificity of finding acantholytic cells in Tzanck smears for PV is reported to be 100% and 43.4%, respectively.\cite{41}

CONCLUSION

Acantholysis is a key phenomenon in various skin diseases. Complete knowledge regarding its pathogenesis, location, associated signs, and demonstration is of profound importance for dermatology students and is an invaluable aid in arriving at a proper diagnosis, which this article has tried to address.
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