Cadmium-tolerant bacteria: current trends and applications in agriculture
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Abstract
Cadmium (Cd) is considered a toxic heavy metal; nevertheless, its toxicity fluctuates for different organisms. Cadmium-tolerant bacteria (CdtB) are diverse and non-phylogenetically related. Because of their ecological importance these bacteria become particularly relevant when pollution occurs and where human health is impacted. The aim of this review is to show the significance, culturable diversity, metabolic detoxification mechanisms of CdtB and their current uses in several bioremediation processes applied to agricultural soils. Further discussion addressed the technological devices and the possible advantages of genetically modified CdtB for diagnostic purposes in the future.

Introduction
Cadmium (Cd) became an issue in human nutrition and health with the demonstration, that the mobility of this toxic heavy metal could allow it to follow a path from agricultural soils to end-products in the human food chain. For instance, in the production of basic foods such as rice, lettuce or potatoes, Cd can be accumulated in the plant tissue at concentrations exceeding the levels that pose risks for human health (Chaney et al. 1999). Levels of 0.1-10 mg kg⁻¹ are considered the allowable maximum value of Cd in food crops (Commission 2007; European Food Safety Authority 2009; FAO/WHO 2018; Hou et al. 2020). However, recent studies have shown that Cd is also an issue in raw materials such as cacao, from which chocolate is produced (Shahid et al. 2017; Anyimah-Ackah et al. 2019). This issue has become of great importance for cacao-producing countries in Central and South America in the last years (Chavez et al. 2015; Arévalo-Gardini et al. 2017; Bravo et al. 2018; Gramlich et al. 2018). Interestingly, despite its cosmopolitan distribution, most of this metal content is not absorbed by humans because an important proportion of environmental Cd remains in unavailable forms in the soils (i.e. Greenockite (CdS), Otavite (CdCO₃) or less frequent Monteponite (CdO) Traina 1999). This minerals cannot be absorbed by plants through their root systems (Welch and Norvell 1999) to enter the human food chain. Table 1 shows the most common natural Cd solid forms of interest found in soils (Traina 1999).

In other crops, such as lettuce and spinach, the pH and the Zn/Cd ratio influence in Cd availability for uptake and plant accumulation (McKenna et al. 1993; Tang et al. 2020). Figure 1 shows the main Cd solid forms that remains unavailable for plant root systems.
In farmland soils, the Cd content is due to geogenic conditions, however, maybe also due to human activities related to bad-quality manures, amendments and fertilizer abuse (Gil et al. 2021), as well as to mining, oil pipelines, smelting, electroplating, irrigation using wastewater or Cd-contaminated efflux water (Bolan et al. 2014).

Regardless of the point of entry, the first direct and indirect impacts of the Cd content in soils are felt by soil microorganisms, which come in contact with the Cd forms present in soil solutions or adsorbed as solid-state phases (Brookes 1995). Cadmium-tolerant bacteria (CdtB) have evolved, and these have become crucial for bioremediation purposes in agricultural soils that are Cd enriched (≥0.9 mg kg⁻¹ of soluble Cd) or contaminated (≥1 mg kg⁻¹ of soluble Cd) (Bravo et al. 2018). In farmlands, the maximum permissible Cd concentration in soils depend on the type of crop and health issues in the value food chain. It can vary in rice and wheat, from 0.01 to 0.4 and 0.8 mg kg⁻¹ of Cd in cacao crop (Bravo et al. 2021). At this point, it is important that concepts such as the geoaccumulation index are defined. According to previous studies including polluted farmlands reviewed nationwide (Wang et al. 2015), the geoaccumulation index (Igeo) was introduced by Müller (1969) and refers to the assessment of the degree of enrichment under anthropogenic pollution, the geochemical background values and the effect of natural diagenesis. The Igeo index take into consideration the Cd concentration measured in topsoil (mainly rhizospheric soil) and the geochemical background values of Cd found at the same sampling points. The Igeo index includes seven classes of soil quality where the highest class represents a 100-fold enrichment compared to the background values of contamination. Nonetheless, despite the importance of the index, the way through which the transfer of Cd occurs from the soil to the food chain in farmlands remains unclear. Thus, the geomicrobiology of the soil assessed here becomes relevant to understand the flux of Cd in a specific crop.

Although studies of CdtB were initiated in the 1970s (Babich and Stotzky 1977), agricultural research began later using bacterial isolates obtained from wheat (Woolhouse 1983) and rice (Babich and Stotzky 1985). The increase in regulations in the past years focusing on food safety (Gallego et al. 2012) has resulted in the development of bioremediation approaches in the production of commodity crops such as cacao (Bravo et al. 2018).

Both, mobilizing and immobilizing of Cd are important in bioremediation. Mobilizing activity can be used to enhance the removal of Cd through plant uptake and soil washing, whereas immobilizing amendments will reduce the transfer of Cd into food chain via plant uptake and reduce leaching into groundwater (Bolan et al. 2014). The choice to ‘mobilize’ or to ‘immobilize’ agricultural soil Cd content will depend on the crop system, the feasibility of bioremediation to be selected and the biogeochemical properties of the surrounding system.

### Cd resistance or Cd tolerance—is there any difference?

The terms such as tolerance and resistance are used differently in several scientific fields, i.e. environmental and biomedical fields.

For instance, in medical field, the terms are used in opposite fashion to the environmental fields, and the amounts vary considerably, such as in clinical microbiology, where 20 mg kg⁻¹ Cd is considered a small amount of the metal to produce synthetic media such as the CFAT and GMC media (Atlas 2010), to be used in dental plaque analysis (see Table 2).

The concept of resistance for the geomicrobiological field refers only to survival mechanisms and does not include the capacity for reaching biomass production. Resistant microbes have altered their genetics and/or their physiology in well researched ways to become less susceptible to an antimicrobial agent (Chapman et al. 1998). By contrast, ‘tolerance’ has been neither defined nor studied in a systematic manner.

### Table 1

| Name                        | Molecular formula | Reaction                                                                 | Equilibrium constant |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Cadmoselite CdSe           | CdSe              | CdSe + 1.5 O₂(g)+Cd²⁺+SeO₃²⁻                                              | 53-60                |
| Cadmium selenite CdSeO₃    | CdSeO₃            | CdSeO₃⁺⁺Cd²⁺+SeO₃²⁻                                                        | -8-80                |
| Greenockite/Hawleyite CdS  | CdS               | CdS⁺⁺Cd²⁺+S²⁻                                                              | -27-07               |
| Cadmium selenite CdSO₄     | CdSO₄             | CdSO₄+2H₂O+H₂O⁻⁻Cd²⁺+H₂SO₄                                                | 7-63                 |
| Cadmium selenite CdSO₄     | CdSO₄             | CdSO₄⁺⁺Cd²⁺+SO₄²⁻                                                            | 0-04                 |
| Dihydride Cd sulphate CdSO₄| CdSO₄2-H₂O        | CdSO₄·2·H₂O ↔Cd²⁺+SO₄²⁻+2·H₂O                                               | -1-80                |
| Monteponite CdO            | CdO               | CdO⁺⁺Cd²⁺+H₂O                                                             | -13-64               |
| Otavite CdCO₃             | CdCO₃             | CdCO₃+2H⁺⁺Cd²⁺+CO₂(g)+H₂O                                                | 13-65                |
| Cadmium hydroxide β-Cd(OH)₂(c) | β-Cd(OH)₂ | β-Cd(OH)₂+2H⁺⁺Cd²⁺+2H₂O                                                | 15-14                |
| Spinel Cd₃(CO₃)₄             | Cd₃(CO₃)₄ | Cd₃(CO₃)₄+8H⁺⁺Cd²⁺+2Cr³⁺+4H₂O                                          | 15-00                |
| Cadmium phosphate Cd₃(PO₄)₂(c) | Cd₃(PO₄)₂ | Cd₃(PO₄)₂+4H⁺⁺3Cd²⁺+2H₂PO₄                                               | 1-00                 |
Tolerance is used to describe a situation in which a formerly effective system (or ecosystem) no longer controls microbial growth. Implicit in this definition are two concepts. One is that something has changed in the surroundings and the other is that tolerance development has several potential causes. Therefore, the concept of tolerance includes the capacity for reaching biomass production by bacterial populations once a pollution event has occurred in the environment.

In this review, we will refer to the tolerance term, that bacteria use the available Cd content in crop systems as a source of energy (Beveridge 1989; Aryal 2020) to activate various mechanisms. One of these mechanisms is the efflux pump, where is actively regulated the cellular

---

**Figure 1** The main Cd solid forms with low solubilization that remain unavailable to plant uptake (photo) and their crystal structure, where a and b are isotypes of Wurtzite and c is an isotype of Halite. (a) Greenockite (CdS), (b) Cadmoselite (CdSe), (c) Monteponite (CdO), (d) Sphalerite (Cd/ZnS), (e) Hawleyite (CdS) and (f) Otavite (CdCO₃). Different Cd solid forms have identical crystal structure because the stoichiometry of the Cd-like compounds, high-temperature–pressure oxide melting processes and the edaphoclimatic conditions developed in several farmland soils. Photos b (from Łukasz Kruszewski), d (Chinellato Matteo) and e (from J.F. Carpentier) were downloaded from Mindat.org with a magnification bar of 1 cm. Photos a, c and f were from Dr. Daniel Bravo, taken in subsoil of cacao-growing farms in Colombia, with a magnification bar of 0.5 cm.
Table 2 Synthetic media used for study culturable populations of CdtB in both environmental and medical fields

| Name         | Cd reagent | Conc. per litre | Composition per litre                                                                 | Use                        | References              |
|--------------|------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| CFAT medium  | CdSO₄      | 0.13 g          | Pancreatic digest of casein 17 g, agar 15 g, glucose 7.5 g, NaCl 5 g, papain digest of soya bean meal 3 g, K₂HPO₄ 2.5 g, NaF 0.8 g, CdSO₄ 0.13 g, K₂TeO₃ 2.5 mg, neutral acriflavin 1.2 mg, basic Fuchsin 0.25 mg, sheep blood, defibrinated 50 ml | Clinical: dental plaque    | Atlas (2010)            |
| GMC medium   | CdSO₄·8H₂O | 0.02 g          | Solution 1 950 ml: gelatine, agar, pancreatic digest casein, NaCl, D-mannitol, KNO₃, sodium acetate, sodium succinate, yeast extract, CdSO₄·8H₂O, metronidazole, sodium lactate (60% solution) | Clinical: dental plaque    | Atlas (2010)            |
| Aleksandrov  | CdSO₄      | 1 g             | Agar 20 g, CaCO₃ 0.1 g, Ca₃PO₄ 2 g, CdSO₄ 1 g, FeCl₃ 0.006 g, feldspars 4 g, glucose 5 g, MgSO₄·7H₂O 0.5 g | Environmental: geomicrobiology | Aleksandrov et al. (1967); Parmar and Sindhu (2013) |
| Schlegel     | CdNO₃      | 1 g             | Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O 9 g, KH₂PO₄·1.5 g, NH₄Cl 1 g, MgSO₄·7H₂O 0.2 g, FeNH₄-citrate 1.2 mg, CaCl₂ 20 mg, Hoagland-solution 2 ml, NaHCO₃ 0.5 g, Cd(NO₃)₂ 1 g | Environmental: biogeochemistry | Schlegel et al. (1961) |
| Mergeay      | CdCl₂·SH₂O | 4 g             | Tris HCl 50 mmol l⁻¹ 6.06 g, NaCl 80 mmol l⁻¹ 4.68 g, KCl 20 mmol l⁻¹ 1.49 g, NH₄Cl 20 mmol l⁻¹ 1.07 g, Na₂SO₄ 3 mmol l⁻¹ 0.43 g, MgCl₂·6H₂O 1 mmol l⁻¹ 0.20 g CdCl₂·7H₂O 0.8 mmol l⁻¹ 4 g | Environmental: heavy metals study | Mergeay (1995) |

oxidative stress and the Cd accumulation process (Wang et al. 2020).

Moreover, tolerance is a response of individual cells to disturbance, which has consequences on the stability of the total community and is related to the activation of protective or adaptative mechanisms for surviving.

Cadmium-tolerant bacteria form a heterogeneous group that is present in soils, water and air (Dabir et al. 2019). Several terms such as resistant, tolerant or immobilizer-like are applied to this group (Rojjanateeranaj et al. 2017; Shan et al. 2019; Yasmeen et al. 2019). All these terms refer the concept of bacteria surviving in an environment enriched or polluted with heavy metals, such as Cd (Lal et al. 2019). The first studies on Cd tolerance by microorganisms were conducted in 1920s (Baas-Becking and Parks 1927) and later experiments were carried out with metal salts to assess microbiological behaviour and growth (Colley 1931). Further research was aimed at understanding the ecological importance of bacteria that are able to grow in a medium rich in soluble Cd (Winslow and Haywood 1931; Perlman 1945). Some specific media were designed to assess minerals, including heavy metals (Schlegel et al. 1961). Then, in the mid-1990s, a study showed the use of a specific medium to target the adaptations of this bacterial functional group (Mergeay 1995). Table 2 shows some referential synthetic media developed to study culturable CdtB.

However, studies on understanding of Cd uptake by microorganisms are still scarces due to the limited availability of appropriate culture media. Indeed, no new synthetic media have been introduced for heavy metal-tolerant bacteria since the decade of 1990s last century. Some studies have shown that metals influence bacterial populations, affecting their morphology and metabolic activity (Pal et al. 2005; Siripornadulsil and Siripornadulsil 2013), resulting in decreased biomass, even though, the microbial populations here referred corresponds to rhizospheric community. The biomass of CdtB might decrease when higher concentrations of the metal are present in soil, compared to bulk soil. However, the size of the culturable bacterial community remains stable within rhizosphere populations covered with hyperaccumulator plants (Weyens et al. 2013; Lucisine et al. 2014). The size of culturable bacterial community is mostly counted on synthetic media containing chemical compounds of Cd in soluble (Schlegel et al. 1961; Mergeay 1995) and non-soluble forms (Atlas 2010; Aleksandrov et al. 1967) founds in bulk soil, where nitrate is the least used form.
as sulphates are found more often (Bataillard et al. 2003; see Table 2). Therefore, the design of new synthetic media using chemical forms more aligned to the conditions found surrounding hyperaccumulator plants (such as rice or cacao) requires assessment.

How active are CdtB in the rhizosphere?

The phylogenetically unrelated CdtB that form the Cd-tolerant group are very active in polluted soils, when compared with other members of the total community. The group’s populations increase with increases in Cd concentration due to their tolerance, resilience capacities and prior stability (Griffiths and Philippot 2013; Xiao et al. 2020). In this way, both, the transcriptional factors involving physiological responses of tolerance and adaptation to the environmental disturbance of CdtB role are key elements to understand how active they are. Nonetheless, the replacement of sensitive microorganisms by a few resistant species can have serious ecological consequences for plant nutrition in farmlands, unbalancing essential elements uptake (i.e. Fe, Mn, Mg) by crops (van Beelen and Doelman 1997).

Assessing the activity of CdtB, the study related (Xiao et al. 2020), shows evidence of enzymatic activity related to Cd metabolism (including urease, sucrase and acid phosphatase) and great impact on the growth of certain bacterial populations such as the actinomycetes in soil. The active populations, measured by assays of these enzymes (urease, sucrase and acid phosphatase), were identified as order bacteria >actinomycetes >fungi, where populations highly resistant to Cd amendments were comprised of Proteobacteria and Firmicutes, when exposed to a concentration gradient of 11-2, 16-8, 22-4 and 28 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of Cd\(^{2+}\) in soils samples during 4 days at 30\(^\circ\)C (Xiao et al. 2020). The functional analysis of microbial communities was performed using the Biolog-ECO microplate system and the functional diversity of the microbial community in soil was analysed using the Simpson index, Shannon diversity index and McIntosh index. Regarding the active populations, one of three enzyme activities assessed was strongly inhibited (urease with 94-3\%) by the addition of 28 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Cd, indicating that urease activity might be a useful indicator of Cd soil pollution, as pointed out in recent studies within soil farmlands (Wang et al. 2019). Noteworthy, urease is not known to be inhibited by Cd ions (as many other enzymes are) (BRENDA 2021), thus, making the importance of this enzyme even more profound. At the same concentration, the CdtB biomass production increased only 3\% from \(14.2 \times 10^7\) to \(22.4 \times 10^7\) colony-forming units (CFU) per ml. The urease activity as a bioindicator of heavy metals contamination has been described earlier (Wittekindt et al. 1996; van Beelen and Doelman 1997). Another study (Rogers and Li 1985) was even more related to the effect of Cd, measuring with the relationship to the loss of the soil dehydrogenase activity as percentage of control at several concentrations of Cd by the triphenyl tetrazolium chloride assay (TTC). The study shows a decrease from 100 to 3\% of enzymatic activity when concentrations of Cd were added in a range between 0 to 3000 mg kg\(^{-1}\). Another study (Wu et al. 2019) shows that the use of a combination between biochar and PGPR bacteria (Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria) will increase both microbial biomass and the activity of key enzyme activities measured by the fluorescein diacetate assay (FDA). This assay included acid phosphatase, FDA hydrolyase, invertase and urease to prevent Cd toxicity of vetiver grass (Chrysopogon zizanioides L.). To measure functional activity directly, the metabolic rate and thus the potential immobilization of Cd by tolerant or resistant populations could be carried out using the isothermal microcalorimetry (IMC) assay, which is well correlated with the TTC and FDA assays, as shown in recent studies (Bravo et al. 2018; Braissant et al. 2020). Therefore, the capacity of Cd metabolism will depend on the genes expression along key metabolic pathways, Cd concentration and the plasticity of CdtB to interact with the surroundings. Figure 2 shows the distribution of taxonomic-classes of CdtB based on operational taxonomic units of three genes (cadA, cadD and zntA), related to two metabolic pathways (bioleaching and biotransformation) and two metabolic activities (Cd\(^{2+}\)-exporting ATPase and Cd-translocating P-type ATPase), as reported in references of Table 3.

CdtB as Cd stress—controllers in farmlands

Regarding the maximum Cd concentrations in agricultural soils (Commission 2007; FAO/WHO 2018; Hou et al. 2019), the Codex Alimentarius Commission of the joint FAO/WHO Food Standards program proposed a maximum permissible concentration of Cd in polished rice and cocoa-derived products (i.e. 0-4 or 0-8 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for rice and chocolate with % cacao solids, respectively). Therefore, the use of CdtB has now become relevant for the bioremediation of agricultural soils even at low Cd concentrations (Makino et al. 2019). The importance of CdtB lies in its capacity to interact with Cd in soils (microbiogeochemistry) to form nucleating interfaces. These, microbial interfaces are involved in a wide range of geochemical reactions (Beveridge 1989). In these reactions, the role of physicochemical properties of soils/sediments, such as soil organic matter (SOM), phosphorus, potassium content (Bravo et al. 2018) and Ca organo-mineral association (Rowley et al. 2021) of farmlands is highlighted. The interfacial effect of CdtB increases the
Figure 2 Relationship between operational taxonomic units (OTUs) and the class taxonomy of representative cadmium-tolerant bacteria (CdtB) isolated from agricultural crops, taking into account two metabolic pathways (bioleaching and biotransformation) and the expression of three related genes \textit{cadA}, \textit{cadD} (Naz et al. 2005) and \textit{zntA} (Beard et al. 1997).

Table 3 Distribution of most relevant reads of bacterial genes related to ‘relative abundance’ involved with cadmium tolerance showed by taxon groups. The new standardized bacterial taxonomy was used according to Parks et al. (2018).

| Taxon group                      | \textit{cadA} | \textit{cadD} | \textit{zntA} | References                  |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Actinobacteria                  | 25            | 246           | 685           | 59 | Nouioui et al. (2018)       |
| Aquificales                     | 38            |               |               |  | Lin et al. (2019); Salam et al. (2020) |
| CFG group bacteria              | 3             |               | 1             | 33 | Belimov et al. (2005); Zhang et al. (2008) |
| Chlamydiales (Verrucomicrobiota)| 547           | 7             | 33            |  | Luoma (1998)                |
| Cyanobacteria                   | 858           | 114           | 1             |  | Jaaikko et al. (2016)       |
| Enterobacteriales               | 111           | 6             | 33            | 196| Palmer et al. (2010); Chen et al. (2016) |
| Firmicutes                      | 89            | 7955          | 1423          |  | Nucifora et al. (1989); Bashir et al. (2017); Gautier et al. (2018) |
| Fusobacteriota                  | 413           | 1             | 1             |  | Chen, Zheng, Ding et al. (2017) |
| Chlorobia                       | 39            |               | 1             |  | Shi et al. (2019); Wang et al. (2019) |
| Chloroflexi                     | 468           |               |               |  | Minari et al. (2020)        |
| Acidobacteria                   | 24            | 247           | 679           | 52 | Khan et al. (2017); Parsons et al. (2020) |
| Mycoplasmatales                 | 111           | 1             |               |  | de Zwart et al. (1991); Oneal et al. (2008) |
| Planctomycetota                 |               |               |               |  | Lage et al. (2012); Chen, Zeng, Xu et al. (2017); Chen et al. (2019) |
| \textit{\alpha-}Proteobacteria | 18            | 801           | 58            | 447| Ormeno-Orrillo et al. (2012) |
| \textit{\gamma-}Proteobacteria (formerly \beta-proteobacteria) | 5378 | 1175 | 17 | 17 | Han et al. (2011) |
| \textit{\delta-}Proteobacteria | 156           | 806           | 55            | 37 | Buell et al. (2003)         |
| \textit{\varepsilon-}Proteobacteria | 6863 | 2          |               | 2  | Cornelius et al. (2021)     |
| Spirochaetota                   | 127           |               | 1             |  | Hardham and Rosey (2000)    |
| Verrucomicrobiota               | 755           |               |               |  | Luo et al. (2019)           |
Table 4 Some examples of culturable and viable cadmium-tolerant bacteria/yeast/algae showed by the tolerance mechanism and the associated crop/niche system where they were isolated

| Tolerance mechanism | Type of micro-organism | Strain | Crop system/niche | Reference |
|---------------------|------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------|
| Biosorption         | Algae                  | Fucus vesiculosus | Estuaries        | Herrero et al. (2005) |
|                     | Algae                  | Gelidium sesquipedale | Industrial algal waste | Vilar et al. (2006) |
|                     | Algae                  | Oedogonium hatei | Stream            | Gupta et al. (2010) |
|                     | Bacteria               | Aeromonas caviae | Potable groundwater supplies | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Aeromonas amylolyticus | Agricultural water | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Alshewanella sp. | Paddy soils       | Wang et al. (2020) |
|                     |                       | Bacillus cereus RC-1 | Cadmium-contaminated paddy soil | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Bacillus thuringiensis OSM29 | Industrial effluent contaminated soil | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Brevundimonas sp. ZF12 | Hot-spring waters, potato | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Corynebacterium glutamicum | Bearing solutions, lettuce | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Escherichia coli | Porcine origin   | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | E. coli HD701 | Industrial waste, sugar cane | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Enterobacter sp. | Industry wastewater treatment plant | Lu et al. (2006) |
|                     |                       | Geobacillus therantarcticus | Groundwater, barley, wheat | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Ochrobactrum anthropi | Activated sludge, paddy fields | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Pantoea sp. TEM18 | Wastewater treatment | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Pectobacterium sp. ND2 | Soil of the industrial zone, potatoes | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Pseudomonas aeruginosa B237 | Zinc mine, rice grains | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Pseudomonas chengduensis MBR | Farmland soil, paddy soils | Wang et al. (2020) |
|                     |                       | Pseudomonas fluorescens | Cotton, wheat, tobacco | Yu et al. (2007) |
|                     |                       | Pseudomonas plecoglossicida | Sludge, maize-wheat cycles | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Pseudomonas stutzeri | Activated sludge, soybean plants | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                       | Staphylococcus xylosus | Contaminated soil in a mining industry | Zigaova et al. (2007) |
|                     |                       | Streptomycyes rimosus | Zn enriched soils, sweet potato residue, pomelo peel | Aryal (2020); Selatnia et al. (2004); Saikaew et al. (2009) |
|                     | Cyanobacteria         | Spirulina sp. | Lake, irrigation water | Solisio et al. (2008) |
|                     | Yeast                 | Saccharomyces cerevisiae | None | Chen and Wang (2007) |
|                     | Bioleaching           | Acetobacter spp. | Forest soils | Hou et al. (2020) |
|                     | Bacteria              | Acidithiobacillus spp. | Forest soils | Hou et al. (2020) |
|                     |                       | Arthrobacter spp. | Forest soils | Hou et al. (2020) |
|                     |                       | Burkholderia sp. Z90 | Industrial soil | Yang et al. (2018) |
|                     |                       | Cytobacillus oceaniwediminis 2691 | None | Kim et al. (2016) |
|                     |                       | E. coli DH5a | None | Kim et al. (2016) |
|                     |                       | Pseudomonas spp. | Forest soils | Hou et al. (2020) |
|                     |                       | Sulphur-oxidizing bacteria (SOB) | Paddy soils irrigation | Hou et al. (2020) |
|                     | Cyanobacteria         | Cyanobacteria | Agricultural water | Bolan et al. (2014) |
|                     | Biotransformation     | Alshewanella sp. | Paddy soils | Wang et al. (2020) |
|                     | Bacteria              | Brevundimonas sp. KR013 | Pastureland | Shukla et al. (2019) |
|                     |                       | Mesorhizobium huakuii subsp. Rengei B3 | Astragalus sinicus | Shukla et al. (2019) |
|                     |                       | Micrococcus sp. | Glycine max L. | Yasmeen et al. (2019) |
|                     |                       | Pseudomonas chengduensis MBR | Farmland soil | Wang et al. (2020) |
|                     |                       | Pseudomonas sp. KR017 | Brassica juncce (L.), irrigation water | Shukla et al. (2019); Singh et al. (2020) |
|                     |                       | Rhizobium leguminosarum by trifolii NZP561 | Trifolium repens L. | Shukla et al. (2019) |
|                     |                       | Serratia sp. | Solanum igrum | Yasmeen et al. (2019) |
|                     | Cyanobacteria         | Cyanobacteria | Agricultural water | Bolan et al. (2014) |

(continued)
reactions that results in bioweathering of Cd. Bioweathering causes anhydrous and crystalline early-stage forms of Cd minerals in bacterial surfaces (Beveridge 1989) and decreases the metal concentration from soil solution.

This is of particular relevance regarding the new regulations or laws imposing limits on the maximum allowable Cd content in soils. For example, the ‘agricultural land soil prevention law’ in Japan (Makino et al. 2019) states a standard of ≤0.4 mg kg⁻¹ for the Cd concentration in rice grains, whereas the ‘soil contamination countermeasures law’ in the same country (Makino et al. 2019), stipulates 150 ± 0.5 mg kg⁻¹ of

| Tolerance mechanism | Type of micro-organism | Strain | Crop system/niche | Reference |
|---------------------|------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------|
| **Biodegradation**  | **Bacteria**            | Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans | Paddy soils | Abbas et al. (2018); Xu et al. (2019) |
|                     |                        | Acidiphilium symbioticum       | Paddy soils | Abbas et al. (2018); Xu et al. (2021) |
|                     |                        | Actinomycetes                   | Pastures    | Xiao et al. (2020) |
|                     |                        | Arthrobacter viscosus           | Agricultural wastewater | Abbas et al. (2018) |
|                     |                        | Bacillus laterosporus           | Wastewater stream | Abbas et al. (2018) |
|                     |                        | Bacillus licheniformis          | Spinacia oleracea L. | Abbas et al. (2018); Asif et al. (2020) |
|                     |                        | Enterococcus faecium            | None        | Abbas et al. (2018) |
|                     |                        | Staphylococcus aureus           | None        | Abbas et al. (2018) |
|                     | **Bacteria**            | Bacillus subtilis               | Cowpea      | Beveridge (1989); El-Nahrawy et al. (2019) |
| **Bioweathering**   |                        | Bacillus licheniformis          | Spinacia oleracea L. | Beveridge (1989); Asif et al. (2020) |
|                     |                        | Burkholderia sp.               | Cacao rhizosphere (Theobroma cacao L.) | Bravo et al. (2018) |
|                     |                        | Enterobacter sp.                | Cacao rhizosphere (Theobroma cacao L.) | Bravo et al. (2018) |
|                     |                        | Pseudomonas aeruginosa          | None        | Beveridge (1989) |
|                     |                        | Acetobacter spp.                | None        | Hou et al. (2020) |
|                     |                        | Acidithiobacillus spp.         | None        | Hou et al. (2020) |
|                     |                        | Arthrobacter spp.               | None        | Hou et al. (2020) |
|                     |                        | Pseudomonas spp.                | None        | Hou et al. (2020) |
|                     |                        | Sulphate-reducing bacteria (SRB) | None        | Hou et al. (2020) |
| **Chemisorption**   | **Bacteria**            | Aquaspirillum spp.             | None        | Beveridge (1989) |
|                     |                        | Bacillus cereus RC-1           | Cadmium-contaminated paddy soil | Aryal (2020) |
|                     |                        | Leptothrix sp.                  | Lakes       | Beveridge (1989) |
|                     |                        | Sporosarcina ureae             | Waste stream | Beveridge (1989) |
|                     |                        | None                           | None        | Beveridge (1989) |
| **Bioaccumulation** | **Bacteria**            | Aquaspirillum magnetotacticum   | Paddy soils | Beveridge (1989) |
|                     |                        | Burkholderia sp.               | Rose garden | Lee (2020) |
|                     |                        | Cupiavidus taiwanensis         | Rice (Oryza sativa L.) | Yasmeen et al. (2019) |
|                     |                        | Delftia tsuruhatensis          | Thai jasmine rice | Yasmeen et al. (2019) |
|                     |                        | Kluyvera ascorbata              | Tomato      | Shukla et al. (2019) |
|                     |                        | SUD165 & SUD165/26             | Tomato      | Shukla et al. (2019) |
|                     |                        | Methylobacterium oryzae        | Tomato      | Yasmeen et al. (2019) |
|                     |                        | Pseudomonas tolaasii RP23      | Canola      | Shukla et al. (2019) |
|                     |                        | Pseudomonas fluorescens RS9    | Perennial grasses (Graminaceae) | Shukla et al. (2019) |
|                     |                        | Rhodobacter sphaeroides        | Wheat seedlings | Yasmeen et al. (2019) |
|                     |                        | Stenotrophomonas acidaminiphila | Rice (Oryza sativa L.) | Yasmeen et al. (2019) |
|                     |                        | Variovorax paradoxus           | Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern.) | Shukla et al. (2019) |
| **Yeast**           |                        | Saccharomyces cerevisiae       | Wastewater  | Lee (2020) |
Cd in rice field soils, a considerable difference from the regulation for grains. The soil contamination countermeasures law is also applicable to other agricultural soils in that country.

Multifaceted solutions, that include, the incorporation of CdtB, might be included in a strategy aimed at minimizing the accumulation of the labile fraction of Cd in soils into the grains due to the differences in thresholds in each matrix (soil or grains/beans, depending on the crop). Determination of the maximum reduction in soil Cd content by CdtB in situ is very difficult; hence, use of active CdtB is needed because of their capacity helping in addition with other amendments (i.e. dolomite or biochar application), to decrease the available Cd, that might enter plant tissues. This reduction occurs because bioavailable Cd in soils is affected by CdtB through seven major mechanisms (see Table 4). This will be further detailed in the next sections.

Biochemistry and physiology of CdtB

To the best of our knowledge, CdtB can exploit seven main mechanisms resulting in geostable chemical species of Cd contributing as Cd detoxification routes (Abbas et al. 2018). Table 4 shows some examples of Cd tolerance mechanisms, including a few examples of the most relevant bacterial strains attributed to each mechanism. Figure 3 shows an overview of Cd metabolic activity of CdtB in their surroundings.

Biosorption

Biosorbsents are biological materials able to accumulate heavy metals from environment. Biosorbsents include the materials derived from different biological sources like agricultural by-products a mixture of chitin, peat and microbial biomass, which are responsible for the acid–
base interactions, chelation, complexation, electrostatic interactions, ion-exchanges and van der Waals interactions, as the main soil processes (Singh et al. 2020). Moreover, these biomaterials interact with mineral adsorbents, such as clay and zeolites occurring in soils, to immobilize Cd. Biosorption can also be attributed to the microbial community, including CdtB populations. According to the literature, microbial biomass can bind heavy metals either actively, or passively or by a combination of both processes (Ansari and Malik 2007), depending on the biosorbent population used. The microbial biomass consisting of CdtB could enhance the biosorption capacity using its binding ability, i.e. the electrostatic attraction between Cd ions and negatively charged reaction sites on the bacterial cell wall or the exopolymers produced (EPS) will pave the way for the formation of biological biosorbsorbents of Cd, such as chitin and chitosan-like (Ayangbenro and Babalola 2017; Singh et al. 2020), or CdS nanoparticles (NPs) (Xu et al. 2021). For instance, a study has shown that strains Microbacterium sp. D2-2 and Bacillus sp. C9-3 exhibited a maximum biosorption capacities of 222-22 and 163-96 mg of Cd\(^{2+}\) per gram of biomass at pH 5, respectively (Long et al. 2021). The authors suggested that the hydroxyl, carboxyl, carbonyl and amino groups on both strain’s biomass were the main binding sites for Cd. An interesting amount of work has been done regarding Cd binding to bacterial cell wall in several CdtB strains, such as Pseuomonas putida, Shewanella oneidensis, Rhizobium tropici and Agrobacterium sp. (Kenney and Fein 2011b), which highlighted the role of extracellular exopolysaccharides (EPS) in Cd binding formation and metal absorption onto bacterial wall cells, with functional groups that include the same for biomass related above, plus the proton active sulphhydril groups. The key role of these EPS production is Cd sequestration reducing the bioavailability of the metal. Interestingly, the percentage of Cd adsorbed by the CdtB above mentioned (P. putida, S. oneidensis, R. tropici and Agrobacterium sp.) increases in function of pH and rises to 100% at pH 9-7 in P. putida, 9-5 in S. oneidensis, 10-0 in R. tropici and 11-0 in Agrobacterium sp., whereas the P. putida EPS rise the 8 × 10\(^5\) mol l\(^{-1}\) of Cd adsorbed at pH 8-5. Another study (Kenney and Fein 2011a) was conducted performing potentiometric titrations and Cd adsorption experiments using two acidophilic CdtB (Acidiphilium cryptum and Acidiphilium acidophilum) and two alkaliphilic CdtB (Bacillus pseudofirmus and Bacillus circulans) to determine their Cd-binding capacities. The study concludes that no matter the extreme or neutral environment the bacteria have, similar proton and metal adsorption behaviour could be found, stating that a single set of proton and metal binding constants can be used to model the behaviour of bacterial adsorption in a wide range of natural environments, which includes agricultural soils. In this context, the isothermal titration calorimetry studies have also shown a remarkable Cd absorption capacity of CdtB (Qu et al. 2021), where, the molecular binding mechanisms and distribution of Cd onto goethite, humid acid, P. putida cells and their composites at different mass ratios were studied. The above-mentioned study demonstrates an enhanced Cd adsorption of between 10 and 30% of the metal when Cd was added at 5 mg l\(^{-1}\), where more than 93-5% of the adsorbed Cd was bound onto the goethite-humid acid fraction.

**Bioleaching**

Bioleaching is one of the most widely used strategies in bioremediation, because is an environmentally friendly and cost-effective technique for remediation of severely Cd-contaminated farmlands. Soil leaching is an attractive method for soil remediation due to its simplicity of operation, low cost and high efficiency (Yang et al. 2018). Bioleaching includes the transformation of insoluble Cd added to an organic acid into a soluble metal chelate (Singh et al. 2020). In this way, indigenous CdtB are able to remove Cd from their adjacent soil microenvironment. Bioleaching, thus, removes Cd from soils by chelating the metal with functional groups and transforming Cd speciation fractions to increase their mobility (Gadd 2010). Bioleaching could be addressed by EPS produced by bacterial wall cells, which are polysaccharides, lipids, lipopeptides, glycolipids and neutral lipids, exhibiting pronounced surface activity to interact with heavy metals such as Cd (Yang et al. 2018). As shown in the study of Yang et al., assessing a Burkholderia sp. strain Z-90 with contaminated mining soils, the main mechanism of bioleaching remains the complexation of Cd with carboxyl groups, depending on the pH of the leaching solution (around 5-0) and the leaching time (around 5 days). Nonetheless, the bioleaching mechanism requires a further step, such as the flocculation of Cd with polyaluminium chloride, since Cd has been demonstrated to be highly available after bioleaching remaining in the acid-soluble fraction of contaminated soils.

Another important CdtB microbial group that participates in the bioleaching of Cd is that of the sulphur-oxidizing bacteria (SOB). The SOB-CdtB bioleach Cd by increasing the pH, producing more chelators and allowing the sulphate to react with Cd through sulphur oxidation, to form insoluble minerals of Cd, which have been also isolated from metallurgical industry wastewater (Wu et al. 2020).

Interestingly, in agricultural soils, SOB species have been found associated to bioleaching, such as Acidithiobacillus spp., Acetobacter spp., Arthrobacter spp. and Pseudomonas spp. Fungi species, such as Penicillium spp., Aspergillus spp. and Fusarium spp, also have been highlighted to bioleach Cd (Hou et al. 2020).
Although *Burkholderia* sp. Z-90 has been proposed for biotechnological scale-up processes, for soils with high Cd content for mine site remediation, at present, no *Burkholderia* spp., *Enterobacter* spp. or *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* have yet been used in agricultural soils. Indeed, pathogens are unlikely to be used for agricultural purposes because of the potential risks to humans or harvest. Interestingly, microorganisms such as *Burkholderia* do exhibit great Cd immobilization ratios and a genetically modified organisms (GMOs) including *Burkholderia* spp. could become of interest for future suitable applications. For instance, in certain crops, it is possible that wild-type bioleaching population might have a low remediation ability or a lower tolerance to high metal concentrations. In such context, the use of GMOs with high capability to perform bioremediation of Cd by adding functional genes related to immobilization, could be an interesting option to consider when applied under strictly controlled conditions. Still, high horizontal gene transfer might be an issue when the GMOs are exposed to autochthonous microbial populations (Bhayani et al. 2020). Furthermore, the use of GMOs is not well regulated and deserves more attention due to potential human health issues. The bottleneck of using GMOs in agricultural heavy metal remediation will be addressed in the last section of this review.

**Biotransformation**

The transformation of Cd ions on the surfaces of biological cells is the first step in the interaction between the metal and the bacterial cell (Wang et al. 2020). Cd ions undergo various biochemical reactions, migration and transformation processes at the cell–metal interface. One of these involves the production of EPS, which might be a key feature of Cd tolerance (Barken et al. 2008). In particular, uronic acid-rich EPSs have high Cd-binding ability (Abbas et al. 2018). Some studies have described redox changes of Cd in some SRB that produce biofilms to protect themselves against Cd ion stress. These organisms transform Cd into nanosized serpentine microsphere aggregates (Covel et al. 2007), whereas sphalerite (ZnS) NP aggregates (Labrenz et al. 2000). In this study, the microbiological, geochemical and mineralogical interactions leading to ZnS biominalerization in complex natural systems were assessed within a biofilm community (*in situ*) that include the sulphate-reducing species *Beggiaota* sp., *Desulfovibrio* sp. and *Desulfo bacterium* sp. collected from a flooded tunnel within carbonate rocks. SRB are highlighted in the biotransformation mechanism, because it transforms sulphate into sulphide, then the sulphide produced reacts with the surrounding available Cd to produce CdS found as greenockite or hawleyite forms (Castillo et al. 2012b; Liu et al. 2020), or even as an impurity substituent of sphalerite or wurtzite (Castillo et al. 2012a), as a later insoluble product.

**Biodegradation**

Cadmium-tolerant bacteria are also known to have a metabolic function in hydrocarbon biodegradation (i.e. polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons or PAHs), mediated by Cd inhibition in contaminated experiments (Hoffman et al. 2005; Thavamani et al. 2015). Another study (Shi et al. 2013), demonstrated that even though higher concentrations of Cd results in a decrease in the growth of a strain of *P. aeruginosa*, the biodegradation rate of deca-bromo-diphenyl ether (BDE-209) at 1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of Cd is greater than at other Cd contents assessed. In this study, most of the added Cd was complexed as CdHPO\(_4\), which is generally reported to be non-bioavailable. This confirms the role of CdtB in the biodegradation of PAHs from contaminated sites.

In farmlands such as the cacao plantations nearby coal mines and hydrocarbon pipelines observed in cacao-producing countries, such as Colombia, and Ecuador, the anthropogenic contamination due to the released PAHs is frequently observed (Barraza et al. 2017; Bravo and Benavides-Erazo 2020) with high P soil content. Thus, the use of this particular group of CdtB with PAHs degradation capacity in the presence of elevated concentrations of Cd in farmlands (greater than 1 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) could be used. Microbial tolerance to Cd may be related to the occurrence of metallothionine proteins, which can bind Cd and Zn ions (Jjembba 2004; Shukla et al. 2019). Thus, Cd tolerance in microbial cells would be mainly acquired through specific active efflux pumps via an energy-dependent mechanism to pump out Cd cations (Jjembba 2004; Shukla et al. 2019), using metallothioneins with the capacity to bind Zn\(^{2+}\)/Cd\(^{2+}\) due to their cysteine enrichment (Abbas et al. 2018).

**Bioweathering**

The two biogeochemical mechanisms biominalerization and bioweathering could influence Cd precipitation or availability in the subsurface soil solution in farmlands, including cacao farms (Bravo et al. 2018). Bioweathering has been defined as the dissolution of rocks and mineral substrates carried out mainly by microorganisms (Gadd 2007; Mapelli et al. 2012). The bioweathering process is a continuous formation and deposition of mineral insoluble forms of the sequestered Cd. This is mediated by the metabolic activity of microorganisms inducing a change of the environment (Dove et al. 2018), in the subsurface layer. This could decrease the Cd assimilation ratio in cacao roots, as well as accumulation in the cocoa beans (Bravo et al. 2018). In this case, bioweathering implies the conversion of a soluble source of Cd, such as CdCl\(_2\) or...
CdNO₃, into a less or non-soluble form, such as CdO, Cd-β(OH)₁₂, CdCO₃, CdSO₄ or CdSe, which are secondary forms arising from bacterial metabolic activity, as supported by evidence found in cacao-growing farms (Bravo and Benavides-Erazo 2020). A similar reaction occurs with CaCO₃ derived from the bacterial conversion of calcium oxalate (CaOx), and this also makes an important contribution to biological mineralization (Braisant et al. 2004; Bravo et al. 2011). Interestingly, the chemical speciation changes mediated by CdtB influence the water solubility of the end products. This is an important criterion of remediation because once the metal is precipitated into crop-growing soils, its biotranslocation and bioaccumulation rates in plant tissues are also reduced. Therefore, the end products of this biogeochemical pathway yield geostable Cd chemical species that stay in the ground.

Chemisorption

This mechanism is also termed biosorption because it refers to the adhesion of solutes (mainly Cd ions) onto molecules of biological origin (mainly proteins or peptides) that occur at the biomass surface. For a more in depth understanding of bio- and chemisorption processes, the reader is referred to a recent review (Aryal 2020). In crop-growing soils, the ratio of Cd / Fe, / Mn or /organic matter content has an important influence on metal chemisorption. Bacterial chemisorption occurs when the elemental composition in soils favours a Cd gradient that will adsorb due to the metabolic activation of the Cd effluent system. Interestingly, the same system is used for zinc stress reduction. Hence, bacterial tolerance of Cd is accomplished via two efflux mechanisms, (i) using the P-type ATPase pump system (see ‘Eff trans’ in Figure 3) and (ii) using a resistance-nodulation-cell division protein family (RND)-driven transporter mechanism, which is involved in the transit of metals such as Cd (Shukla et al. 2019). The P-type ATPase effluence system causes transport of the metal by ATP hydrolysis, so the reaction is considered endothermic, due to the need for energy to hydrolyse ATP. By comparison, the RND-driven transporter does not derive energy through ATP hydrolysis to convey the metal into the bacterial cell. It is worth to mentioning that fluctuations in energy availability can alter microbial activity related to Cd (Hart and Gorman-Lewis 2021). This highlights the expression of the smt and zntA genes that are related to metabolic pathways unrelated to ATPase systems, which could be expressed by the activation of the cad operon. Moreover, in bioprecipitation the plasmide-borne ccc operon encoding for a chemiosmotic proton antiporter-mediated efflux of cations, plays an important role in ensuring Cd tolerance (among others, such as Co, Zn), through a tricomponent export pathway studied in the facultative chemolithotroph bacterial strain Alcaligenes eutrophus CH34 (Diels et al. 1995). This metabolic pathway is activated in the presence of Cd and as result, the removal of Cd from culture supernatant fluids through precipitation of carbonates (CdCO₃) and hydroxides (see Table 1) was observed (Diels et al. 1989, 1995).

Bioaccumulation

In this mechanism, the membrane transport system related to manganese is important, as highlighted previously (Smiejan et al. 2003). The bioaccumulation Cd uptake models, including the free ion activity model and the biotic ligand model, assume that biological internalization is rate limiting and first order and that toxicity can be related to bacterial cell wall uptake fluxes (Smiejan et al. 2003). The transport rate across the membrane (uptake flux) can be assumed directly proportional to the free metal ion concentration in solution or to the concentration of surface transporter-bound Cd. A recent study has shown that the bacterial strain Burkholderia sp. soil CdR15 is a wild-type bacterium grown in uncontaminated soils which was artificially adapted to increasing Cd concentrations of up to 274-98 mg l⁻¹ and is highlighted due to its bioaccumulation adaptive mechanism (Lee 2020).

Interestingly, some mechanisms described are also involved with fertilizing properties, such as K or P solubilization from insoluble mineral forms in agricultural soils (Sattar et al. 2019) using kaolinites, montmorillonites or micas just to cite some major sources in agricultural soils in tropical zones (Hou et al. 2020). The group of micas, including kaolinites and montmorillonites has shown removal capacity of Cd alone, up to 50 mg kg⁻¹ Cd (Gupta and Bhattacharyya 2006), or in combination with CdtB such as Agrobacterium tumefaciens (up to 100 mg kg⁻¹ Cd) or Bacillus megaterium, up to 10 mg kg⁻¹ Cd (Babich and Stotzky 1977). This is because these minerals have the capacity to accumulate several cations with similar cation interchange capacity (11-3 meq per 100 g for kaolinite and 153-0 meq per 100 g for montmorillonite).

Biotechnological applications of CdtB in agricultural soils

Because the polluted farmlands are increasing worldwide, the development of cost-effective technologies is needed. These might include bioremediation strategies, in combination with other soil dressing countermeasures, to tackle Cd issues for several crops, thereby improving the safety of the food chain. The idea behind the use of CdtB as a strategy for decreasing Cd content in soils comes from the basic fact that CdtB are represented by the diverse
microbial populations that uniquely acclimated to concrete conditions of Cd presence in its environment, evolving either in one or more metabolic mechanisms to survive against toxicity. The best described operon by far is the cad, which, as mentioned previously, is related to the Type P ATP-dependent protein of the bacterial wall, followed by the met genes, related to the production of the MET proteins found in the cytosol of CdtB (Aryal 2020).

CdtB should be applied in three major scenarios of Cd contamination: (i) in agricultural soils close to mining sites; (ii) in agricultural soils where atmospheric depositions occur due to coal or precious mineral extractions near the crop; and (iii) in agricultural soils with high inputs of organic matter where the use of manure or Cd-containing chemical fertilizers (mainly P-like and N-like and not regulated) is common and there is no control of Cd content.

Depending on the scenario and physico-chemical conditions of the soil and crop, specific groups of CdtB should be applied. For instance, for the first two scenarios, soil CdtB should be applied in combination with the mineral amendments, such as in rice field experiments (Shi et al. 2019), using plant growth-promoting CdtB PGP-CdtB (Pishchik et al. 2002). This might induce a precipitation of insoluble geostable forms of the metal i.e. minerals such as Greenockite or Otavite (Bravo et al. 2018), or organic CdS chelates (Wiggenhauser et al. 2021). This is an important strategy for incorporating Cd ions into carbonates, phosphorites and sulphides, resulting in a Cd sink through bioweathering of associated rocky types. That is how the immobilization potential of CdtB increases the binding adsorption with the surrounding minerals, at the microscale to compete against the mobilization potential ratio of Cd (Kubier et al. 2019) as the most mobile heavy metal occurring in environments, such as the farmlands. In the third scenario, a combination of endophytic cadmium-tolerant bacteria (ECdtB) from autochthonous populations from crop systems, such as it has been already tested in rice (Sriripornadulsil and Siripornadulsil 2013; Cheng et al. 2021) some others in tomato (Madhaiyan et al. 2007), or in Mimosa pudica trees (Chen et al. 2008), or even more recently, in cacao trees (Bravo et al., In prep.), either with edaphic or foliar applications, will replace the amendment of contaminated phosphoric fertilizers of chemical-based composition, especially in acidic tropical soils where high amounts of fluorapatites have been detected.

The potential for bioremediation using CdtB in Cd-contaminated agricultural soils

The primary source of Cd in unpolluted soils is the parent material (Mislin and Ravera 1986), mainly cretaceous sedimentary rocks and shales, which are frequent rock types found in acidic tropical farmlands (Traina 1999). However, the contribution of geogenic sources to Cd release in crops is probably low, at less than 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of Cd\(^{2+}\) (McGrath 1999), compared to other contributions due to human activities, that exceed the 0-10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) maximal concentrations suggested overall for agricultural soil (Hou et al. 2019), or the 0-4 and 0-8 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Cd concentrations as shown for rice and derivatives of cocoa crops (Makino et al. 2019). At present, an increasing number of studies have focused efforts on implementing microbial-based bioremediation strategies for several crops (Sriripornadulsil and Siripornadulsil 2013; Bravo et al. 2018; Jan et al. 2019). However, the use of CdtB is clearly just one step toward mitigating the effects of Cd fluxes from soils into the food chain. Figure 4 shows some factors that could drive the use of CdtB, either for diagnostic purposes or for application at different stages of the value chain for food safety.

Nonetheless, despite the efforts taken by the international community regarding CdtB-based bioremediation of farmlands, the uptake of this strategy is still lower than expected (Figure S1 shows the dynamics of papers written during the past 10 years on the topic of CdtB, their use in agriculture and the low production of peer-reviewed scientific literature per crop, respectively). Hereafter, the following are just a few examples.

Cacao crops

In some developing countries, cacao culture is one of the largest economic activities and has an impact on social and political affairs. The Codex Alimentarius regulation (Commission 2007; FAO/WHO 2018) imposed the maximal permissible Cd content in chocolate and derivate products. Between some strategies to decrease the Cd content in cacao beans from certain Cd-enriched areas in both Central and South America, that include the amendment of biochar or dolomite (Ramthahal et al. 2019; Bravo et al. 2021), the use of CdtB for bioremediation of Cd in Colombia is recognized as an important opportunity (Bravo et al. 2021; Bravo 2021); and other countries, such as Ecuador and Costa Rica, are just starting to develop experiments for bioprospecting of CdtB and for integrating further bioremediation setups within the national research agenda of public agricultural research institutions. The extensive microbial immobilization activity that CdtB strains, such as Enterobacter sp., have in cacao agroecosystems yield an immobilization removal up to 30% of Cd, when analysed in both laboratory and pot experiments (Bravo et al. 2018). These studies have shown the capacity of CdtB isolated from cacao-growing farms assessed with up to 24 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Cd in the laboratory and 12 mg kg\(^{-1}\) assessed in the presence of cacao seedlings and...
autochthonous soils from the same farms where the CdtB were isolated. The formulation of a bioproduct based on CdtB and field applications of that bioproduct in cacao-growing soils near cacao tree trunks is tuned on. Emerging needs for studies on heavy metals other than Cd, such as Pb levels in cacao beans and Pb effects on chocolate production (Ferreira de Oliveira et al. 2021) will require the use of Pb-tolerant bacteria into postharvest of cacao.

Lettuce crops

Some studies have pointed out the importance of CdtB to assess both Zn and Cd contents in lettuce growing soils (Rajapaksha and Amarakoon 2011). This work has assessed both bacterial and fungal populations with doses of up to 3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Cd. In lettuce-growing soils in Colombia, Rhizobiales strains, such as Ensifer and Sphingobium, are the main representatives of \(\alpha\)-Proteobacteria—culturable CdtB—whereas Pseudomonas spp. strains, belonging to the \(\gamma\)-Proteobacteria, have exhibit interesting removal rates of Cd in media cultures (author’s personal observations). Interestingly, other authors have also found this CdtB useful for assessing Cd in lettuce crops (Xu et al. 2019). However, although enteropathogenic strains characterized such as Serratia, Enterobacter and Raoultella species exhibited higher Cd immobilization rates than the Rhizobiales group, these CdtB are not useful for bioproducts development.

Pea crops

A recent study has shown the enhanced Cd tolerance in pea plants through the use of the CdtB Enterobacter sp. MN17 inoculated together with biochar and gravel sand (Naveed et al. 2020). The combined use of biochar and gravel sand with the bacterial inoculum resulted in an increase in plant height, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and seed weight. Likewise, protein, fat, fibre and ash were significantly increased with the combined use of CdtB and biochar/gravel sand. Therefore, in this particular crop, CdtB not only reduce the toxic effect of the metal, but it also increases nutritional factors for plant uptake.

Rice crops

During the past 10 years, increasing studies have shown the potential of using CdtB as biofertilizer for Cd remediation in rice (Jin et al. 2021). Other studies have proposed the use of photosynthetic bacteria, such as Rhodopseudomonas palustris for Cd removal in paddy soil rhizosphere (Xiao et al. 2019). In that study, R. palustris immobilization of Cd not only inhibited its uptake by plants but also increased fresh weight of rice seedlings with 40 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of Cd in soil, as well as reducing the Cd uptake and subsequent accumulation in rice grains (from 0.65 to 0.19 mg Cd per kg of grains). Rice is perhaps the best studied crop in terms of the effects of heavy metals, and it has been used as a model crop for several reasons. One reason is that most of the human population bases its diets on rice or by-products derived from this crop. Thus, there is interest in the use of CdtB, mainly sulphate-reducing bacteria (Siripornadulsil and Siripornadulsil 2013). Other studies have shown that Bacillus amyloliquefaciens confers tolerance to various abiotic stresses, including Cd, by modulating rice plant response to phytohormones.
through osmoprotection and gene expression regulators (Tiwari et al. 2017). The sulphate-reducing bacteria EPS production should be the key regulatory factor during Cd biotransformation. The CdtB Cupriavidus taiwanensis has become the most studied strain for bioremediation of rice crops (Siripornadulsil et al. 2014; Punjee et al. 2018).

**Wheat crops**

PGPR CdtB have interesting abilities to immobilize Cd in wheat through ACC-deaminase activity in Cd-polluted growing soils (Zafar-ul-Hye et al. 2018). In that previous study, two concentrations of Cd were assessed (2.5 and 5.0 mg l$^{-1}$) for the CdtB Agrobacterium fabrum and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. The inoculation and concomitant ACC deaminase activity also showed an effect in wheat pigmentation.

Another point to note is that, in crop systems, several stages could be used to assess different populations of CdtB. For instance, while the main research was focused on soil CdtB, endophytic CdtB populations also exist that might have an impact in the phyllosphere or in seeds, grains or beans (the term depends on the crop system) that enter the food chain. Post-harvest processes, such as fermentation of beans, roasting, deshelling and refining, are final steps in some crops during food transformation, and very little research into the use of CdtB is going on at these stages.

As indicated, several genera of CdtB could be used, depending on the crop system, the soil type, and the edaphoclimatic and ecological functions of the assessed system. Bacterial strains, such as *Enterobacter*, *Burkholderia* and *Agrobacterium*, have been identified as having substantial Cd tolerance. However, these genera are also related to plant diseases, which would affect their use when scaling up bioproduction manufacturing. Hence, a practical bottleneck has been here overseen.

**Promising approaches and trends to overcome key bottlenecks in Cd bioremediation**

As mentioned, one of the major bottlenecks to resolving Cd presence in agriculture and the food chain is the use of ‘enteropathogenic bacteria’ or related phytopathogens. Although, it is possible to use allochthonous PGPB non-pathogenic CdtB strains isolated from Cd hyperaccumulator plants colonizing metal contaminated mining sites in semiarid soils, (i.e. the use of *Methylobacterium* sp. cp3-mCherry, isolated from *Crotalaria pumila* seeds, which has Cd resistance genes, including the czc operon and Cd efflux proteins (Sánchez-López et al. 2018), the adaptive and competitive challenges these populations have, in a non-contaminated environment might decrease its Cd removal capacity in high specialized niches such as the agricultural soils (Montalbán et al. 2017; Bravo et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2019). Interestingly, the most specialized CdtB reported from farms, with high ratios of Cd immobilization, seem to be species close to the enteropathogenic populations, i.e. in *Helianthus tuberosus*, with *Serratia* sp. 246 (Montalbán et al. 2017), or in paddy soils nearby manufacturing plants with *Burkholderia cepacia* GYP1 (Zhang et al. 2019), or in cacao soil plantations with *Enterobacter* sp. CdtB41 (Bravo et al. 2018). This is because genes encoding antibiotic resistance are related to operons implicated in biosynthetic pathways that deal with heavy metals, especially both the cad and czc operons related to Cd, copper and zinc regulation in both the periplasmic and cytosolic supra structure spaces. However, even if these types of CdtB are not useful in the development of bioproducts, this group could be approached from another angle.

Bioindicators or biosensors are another interesting field to be developed in the forthcoming years to produce fast diagnostic tools to detect Cd contamination in farmlands. One example is a genetically modified microbial biosensor constructed by inserting the CadC gene from *Bacillus oceanisdeiminis* 2691 into an *Escherichia coli* DH5α strain (Kim et al. 2016). This type of experiment is of particular interest for developing countries where the imposition of EU or FAO/WHO regulations requires several solutions regarding the issue of Cd mobility from farmlands into the food chains. Some bacterial genera are also not amenable to scaling up for bioproduction due to their phylogenetic relation to enteropathogenic species, i.e. *Enterobacter* species (Xu et al. 2017). However, due to its incredible metabolic ratio of tolerance to Cd and its relationship to antibiotic resistance (Zhao et al. 2020), this capacity might be used to build biosensors for early detection of Cd contents in several crop systems. Even if there are several methods to determine Cd in soils, or in other matrices such as plant tissues or fruits/grains, the use of biosensors is important in Cd detection, because this type of devices allow the minimization of sample and reagent volumes, rapid high-resolution and high-throughput analyses, high reproducibility and high portability (Kim et al. 2016). In that sense, the bottleneck for use of enteropathogenic populations disappears, because the genes of high tolerance, such as the CadC transcriptional regulators, could be inserted in non-pathogenic high biomass yielding bacteria to analyse more soil samples in an efficient way, with low cost compared to spectrometric analyses, nonetheless, maintaining the accuracy of the Cd measurement, i.e., from 1124-11 to 5620-55 mg l$^{-1}$ of Cd concentration (see (Kim et al. 2016), related to the levels of Cd may found in agricultural soils.

Another trend is the use of sulphate-reducing bacteria, as these are responsible for modifying biochar through
atomic interactions with available Cd in contaminated soils (Wu et al. 2019). In this case, sulphur-iron-rich niches in contaminated soils allow the assembly of the structure of the SRB community and its interaction either with biochar or other organic sources to form Cd micronodules of cadmium sulphide (CdS). Interestingly, in line with the formation of CdS, an electrochemical immunobiosensor was recently developed for ultrasensitive detections of quantum cadmium sulphides (CdS QDs) using GMOs, such as E. coli O157:H7 (Zhong et al. 2019). Likewise, regarding other applications, the bactericidal activity of metal oxide NPs, of microbial origin has been shown and is used in bioengineering and biomedicines. This could represent another window of use of CdtB against several forms of lethal microbes, e.g., using cadmium oxide NPs—CdO-NPs (Azam et al. 2020)—or silver nanoparticles AgNPs, in postharvest applications on fruits/grains/beans. However, the removal of the NPs as well as the impact of NPs in human health, might be another bottleneck considering the silver is also toxic. Thus, more research should be developed in this field during the next decades.

In other cases, the use of inorganic sources, such as graphene oxide, has increase the adsorption of available Cd in soils. Nonetheless, increases in some populations of Acidobacteria and Actinobacteria can have a deleterious effect over critical functional groups of soil bacteria, such as nitrogen fixing and phosphorus or potassium solubilizing populations (Xiong et al. 2018). In another study, the addition of several minerals has also driven a change in soil bacterial community composition (Sun et al. 2016), increasing Actinobacteria populations. Despite Actinomyces being related to improving the soil quality, the impact on other regulator populations in a resilient niche could have major effects ahead, including, in soil pH and cation exchange capacity fluxes (Mayanna et al. 2015). Therefore, an impact study should be conducted when inorganic amendments are applied with CdtB to determine their effect, once formulated, on nutrient cycling through the agroecosystem.

Conclusions

As mentioned in the introduction, mobilization or immobilization of Cd may occur simultaneously in nature. The choice of a bioremediation strategy depends on a risk management assessment of the agroecosystem to be selected. Mobilizing activity can be used to enhance the removal of Cd through plant uptake and soil washing, whereas immobilizing Cd will reduce the transfer of this heavy metal into the food chain from plant uptake and reduce leaching into groundwater. It also depends on climatic factors, the farm altitude, the age of the crop, the genetics of hyperaccumulator plants, the farmer management and the proximity to mines or mineral extraction zones (Barraza et al. 2017; Bravo et al. 2021; Gil et al. 2021).

The increasing number of examples and approaches using CdtB as a mitigation strategy in farmland soils with elevated Cd concentration indicate that the natural methods will continue to be the most cost-efficient and eco-friendly way to remove Cd (Jacob et al. 2018), by activating critical biogeochemical pathways in the agroecosystem, such as the carbon cycle, due to microbial activity. What is trending now, is the integration of both organic/inorganic amendments that take advantage of either bioaugmented allochthonous populations or autochthonous CdtB, depending on the type of soils (i.e. acidic tropical soils where manganese oxides are highly desirable), and the adjusted cultural practices of farmers growing crops such as cacao, lettuce, pea, rice or wheat.
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