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1. Introduction

Cervical dystonia is the most common form of focal dystonia (Dashtipour et al., 2007). It is characterized by involuntary movement of the neck resulting in abnormal neck posture (Brin & Benabou, 1999; Dent, 2002). Cervicalgia and headache sometimes occur in patients suffering from the disease (Albanese, 2005; Brashear, 2004, Schim, 2006). A critical long-term sequela of this kind of movement disorder is premature cervical spinal degenerative disease (Chawda et al., 2000) which possibly progresses to cervical spondylotic myelopathy (Hagenah et al., 2001; Jameson et al., 2010; Konrad et al., 2004; Krauss et al., 2002; Spitz et al., 2006; Tonomura et al., 2007; Waterston et al., 1989).

Fundamentally, cervical dystonia is categorized into several patterns, including torticollis (head rotation), anterocollis (head forward flexion), retrocollis (head backward extension), laterocollis (lateral head bending), and combined pattern (Brin & Benabou, 1999; Feely, 2003; Sitthinamsuwan & Nunta-aree, 2010; Sitthinamsuwan et al., 2010a). The last mentioned pattern is comprised of two or more dystonic patterns. Dystonic muscles in each pattern are quite unique. For instance, involved muscles in torticollis include the posterior cervical muscles (mainly splenius capitis, semispinalis capitis and semispinalis cervicis) on the same side of turning head and the contralateral sternocleidomastoid. The various dystonic patterns and corresponding neck muscles are summarized in Fig.1 (Brashear, 2004; Brin & Benabou, 1999; Dashtipour et al., 2007; Dent, 2002; Feely, 2003; Huh et al., 2005; Sitthinamsuwan & Nunta-aree, 2010; Sitthinamsuwan et al., 2010a). An example of the combined pattern is presented in Fig.2.

Conventional treatment of cervical dystonia consists of oral medication, botulinum toxin injection, and physical therapy. For patients who do not respond to such therapies or are refractory cases, surgical treatment is an appropriate option (Nunta-aree & Sitthinamsuwan, 2009; Nunta-aree et al. 2010a, 2010b). Surgical therapy for cervical dystonia has been continuously developed for a significant period to improve outcome and diminish complication. Some operations have been abandoned because of their potential complications while some of them have been used increasingly and are currently popular on account of their effectiveness and safe (Albanese, 2005; Albanese et al., 2006; Brin & Benabou, 1999; Feely, 2003). Overview of surgical treatment for cervical dystonia is described in the following.
Fig. 1. Various patterns of cervical dystonia. The involved cervical muscles are shown in the yellow boxes.

Fig. 2. A combined pattern of cervical dystonia in the same patient. A, The anterior view shows right torticollis with left laterocollis. B, Left laterocollis can be clearly seen in the posterior view.
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a) Intradural anterior cervical rhizotomy

Originally, bilateral C1-C3 anterior spinal nerve roots were resected intradurally in this operation (Münchau et al., 2001a; Taira, 2009). A significant number of patients developed swallowing dysfunction following the surgery while improvement of cervical dystonia was not appreciable. Consequently, there is no use of bilateral C1-C3 anterior rhizotomy in the present (Brin & Benabou, 1999; Bronte-Stewart, 2003; Taira, 2009). However, recently, intradural C1-C2 anterior rhizotomy has been successfully combined with selective denervation of C3-C6 posterior rami successfully without serious adverse effect (Taira et al.; 2002; Taira & Hori, 2003; Taira, 2009).

b) Intradural posterior cervical rhizotomy

The formerly common procedure was bilateral C1-C4 posterior rhizotomy (Vogel et al., 2010) which was ultimately proved ineffective in the treatment of cervical dystonia. It sometimes caused respiratory insufficiency as a result of diaphragmatic dysfunction (Fraioli et al., 1977). Nowadays, posterior rhizotomy in the cervical level are performed only on C5 to T1 posterior nerve spinal roots and it aims to treat bilateral upper limb spasticity (Benedetti et al, 1977; Bertelli et al., 2000, 2003; Heimburger 1973; Hsin et al., 2004, Laitinen et al., 1983).

c) Intradural accessory nerve denervation

This abandoned method was resection of the accessory nerve situated in the posterior cranial fossa (Adams, 1984; Hernesniemi & Keränen, 1990). It affected not only motor fibers to the sternocleidomastoid but also those to the trapezius. Postoperative trapezius atrophy and shoulder instability inevitably occurred (Bronte-Stewart, 2003; Sorensen & Hamby 1965).

d) Stereotactic brain lesioning

Bilateral thalamotomy used to be an effective stereotactic ablative surgery for cervical dystonia (Bronte-Stewart, 2003; Dashtipour et al., 2007). Bilateral procedures, however, commonly resulted in speech disturbance (Bronte-Stewart, 2003; Imer et al., 2005; Krauss, 2010). Presently, it is completely replaced by pallidal deep brain stimulation.

e) Microvascular decompression of the accessory nerve

This rarely used operation primarily aims to rectify dystonia of the sternocleidomastoid and trapezius in patients with torticollis (Sun et al, 2009). Nevertheless, the hypothesis of accessory nerve decompression cannot explain improvement of cervical dystonia in the individuals who have no dystonia of both the muscles (Albanese et al., 2006; Brin & Benabou, 1999; Bronte-Stewart, 2003; Taira, 2009).

f) Selective peripheral denervation

This relatively safe and popularly used procedure is an ablative surgery specific on peripheral motor nerves innervating dystonic neck muscles whereas motor branches supplying normal muscles and sensory nerves will be entirely preserved (Bertrand, 1987, 1993; Braun & Richter, 1994). Good to excellent outcome is often achieved by the operation, so it has become a common surgical treatment for cervical dystonia (Albanese, 2005; Albanese et al., 2006; Krauss, 2010). This type of surgery is the central idea of the present chapter and its content will be stated in detail.
g) Myotomy or myectomy

Resection of dystonic muscles is occasionally combined with selective peripheral denervation (Albanese et al., 2006; Bronte-Stewart, 2003; Chen et al., 2000; Huh et al., 2005; Krauss, 2010; Münchau et al., 2001a; Xingkang, 1981). In the authors' view, muscle section is an adjunctive procedure for cervical dystonia and should be considered in cases with long-standing dystonia which exhibit evidence of soft tissue stiffness or muscle shortening. Furthermore, it may be performed on muscles which are difficult to denervate (Ondo & Krauss, 2004), such as the scalene muscles.

h) Pallidal deep brain stimulation

High-frequency stimulation of the globus pallidus internus often yields outstanding result in dystonic individuals, including patients with cervical dystonia (Albanese et al., 2006; Bittar et al., 2005; Cacciola et al., 2010; Hung et al., 2007; Krauss et al., 1999, 2004; Krauss, 2007; Parkins et al., 2001; Vercueil, 2003; Volkmann & Benecke, 2002; Yianni et al., 2003). However, among our patients with uncomplicated or simple cervical dystonia, we did not encounter substantial difference of outcome between the patients who underwent pallidal deep brain stimulation and those who underwent peripheral denervation. Therefore, we always chose peripheral nerve resection as the primary surgical therapy in the uncomplicated cases. On the other hand, we consider the deep brain stimulation as the prerequisite treatment of complex cervical dystonia, such as mobile cervical dystonia, segmental dystonia, head tremor, anterocollis, severe retrocollis, in the patients who have significant extracervical symptoms, or who have never been improved by botulinum toxin injection (primary botulinum toxin non-responder). Such complicated cases are always difficult to deal with through selective peripheral denervation (Albanese et al., 2006; Krauss, 2010; Nunta-aree & Sitthinamsuwan, 2009; Nunta-aree et al., 2010a, 2010b).

i) Intrathecal baclofen therapy

Implantation of this kind of intraspinal drug delivery system is more suitable for generalized dystonia or multifocal dystonia than focal dystonia at the neck (Albright et al., 2001; Dykstra et al., 2005).

j) Spinal cord stimulation

Dorsal column stimulation of the spinal cord gave inconsistent outcome and there was no continuous study in dystonic patients since 1990s (Fahn, 1985; Taira & Hori, 2007; Taira, 2009). However, currently, it appears to be a good surgical option in various pain disorders, particularly in neuropathic pain and pain of ischemic origin (Forouzanfar et al., 2004; Kunnumpurath et al., 2009).

This chapter focuses on peripheral nerve surgery in the cervical region for cervical dystonia which refers to selective peripheral denervation in terms of patient selection, preoperative evaluation, operative procedures with relevant surgical anatomy, and surgical outcome.

2. Patient selection

Selective peripheral denervation is chiefly indicated in patients with failed botulinum toxin injection, including those who have never responded to the injection (primary botulinum toxin non-responder) or who have a change from significant previous response to poor recent response (secondary botulinum toxin non-responder) (Albanese et al., 2006; Brin & Benabou,
1999; Bronte-Stewart, 2003; Feely, 2003; Taira, 2009). Good surgical candidates for the operation include those who meet the following parameters (Braun et al., 1995; Brin & Benabou, 1999; Chen et al., 2000; Dashtipour et al., 2007; Feely, 2003, Nunta-aree et al., 2010b).

a. Patients who are botulinum toxin responder or even secondary non-responder
b. Dystonic symptoms have been stable or not progressed at least 1 year
c. Dystonic disorder mainly confines in the neck region
d. Pure torticollis with slight laterocollis or retrocollis
e. Preoperative electromyography and imaging of the cervical muscles are concordant with clinical manifestation

Furthermore, selective peripheral denervation is sometimes considered as a major alternative to botulinum toxin injection in the treatment of cervical dystonia. For example, for patients who do not require multiple repeated injections or who cannot afford the cost of the toxin, the operation is meaningful for them (Taira, 2009). Nevertheless, some kinds of cervical dystonia are not suitable for the procedure, including head tremors, anterocollis, complex cervical dystonia or cervical dystonia with marked phasic movement. In such kinds of dystonia, pallidal deep brain stimulation should be considered first (Albanese et al, 2006; Nunta-aree et al., 2010b).

3. Preoperative evaluation

In the surgical point of view, consideration before decision of the denervating procedure should cover the following.

3.1 Identification of dystonic muscles

Basically, dystonic muscles must be always defined by using clinical observation and physical examination. Visualization of abnormal posture of the neck, palpable deviant muscle tone and tension usually give valuable preliminary information about the group of involved muscles. Electromyography or video-electromyography is an important tool to define the specific group of dystonic muscles (Brin & Benabou, 1999; Dressler, 2000; Feely, 2003; Krauss et al., 1997; Münchau et al., 2001a; Ostergaard et al., 1996), for which it is very helpful in operative planning. Recently, FDG PET-CT was introduced in localization of dystonic muscles in the neck region (Sung et al., 2007).

3.2 Prior response to botulinum toxin injection

As mentioned above, patients with good prior response to the toxin have tendency to achieve good outcome following the operation, whereas the primary non-responders may not (Braun et al., 1995). The information about injected muscles which accomplish good outcomes is very critical for operative planning.

3.3 Fixed bony deformity

This secondary change should be investigated, especially in patients who have long-lasting cervical dystonia. It can be simply revealed by noting passive range of motion of the neck and plain radiographic studies of the cervical spine. Limitation of passive neck motion implies probable fixed deformity which often impairs surgical outcome, particularly in terms of postoperative neck posture.
3.4 Measure of cervical dystonia

The commonly used measures of cervical dystonia severity and its impacts are The Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis Rating Scale (TWSTRS) and Tsui score (Cano et al., 2004; Ceballos-Baumann, 2001; Comella et al., 2003; Taira, 2009; Tsui et al., 1986). The TWSTRS (Comella et al., 1997) (Table 1) is comprised of three main sections, including torticollis severity scale, disability scale, and pain scale. The Tsui score (Moore & Blumhardt, 1991; Tsui et al., 1986) (Table 2) is a composite score calculated by a formula. By both the methods, a higher level of score indicates increased severity of cervical dystonia (Comella et al., 1997; Moore & Blumhardt, 1991). The score can be used for comparison between before and after a treatment or between among various alternatives of treatment.

| 1. Torticollis severity scale (maximum = 35) |
|---------------------------------------------|
| A. Maximal excursion                          |
| Rotation (turn: right or left)               |
| 0 None (0°)                                  |
| 1 Slight (< 1/4 range, 1° - 22°)             |
| 2 Mild (1/4 - 1/2 range, 23° - 45°)          |
| 3 Moderate [1/2 - 3/4 range, 46° - 67°)      |
| 4 Severe (>3/4 range, 68° - 90°)             |
| Laterocollis (tilt: right or left, exclude shoulder elevation) |
| 0 None (0°)                                  |
| 1 Mild (1° - 15°)                            |
| 2 Moderate (16° - 35°)                       |
| 3 Severe (> 35°)                             |
| Anterocollis or retrocollis (a or b)         |
| a. Anterocollis                              |
| 0 None                                       |
| 1 Mild downward deviation of chin            |
| 2 Moderate downward deviation (approximates 1/2 possible range) |
| 3 Severe (chin approximates chest)           |
| b. Retrocollis                               |
| 0 None                                       |
| 1 Mild backward deviation of vertex with upward deviation of chin |
| 2 Moderate backward deviation (approximates 1/2 possible range) |
| 3 Severe (approximates full range)           |
| Lateral shift (right or left)                |
| 0 Absent                                     |
| 1 Present                                    |
| Sagittal shift (forward or backward)         |
| 0 Absent                                     |
| 1 Present                                    |
| B. Duration factor (weighted x 2)            |
| Duration factor (weighted x 2)               |
| 0 None                                       |
| 1 Occasional deviation (< 25% of the time, most often submaximal) |
| 2 Occasional deviation (< 25% of the time, often maximal) or Intermittent deviation (25 - 50% of the time, most often submaximal) |
| 3 Intermittent deviation (25 - 50% of the time, often maximal) or Frequent deviation (50 - 75% of the time, most often submaximal) |
| 4 Frequent deviation (50 - 75% of the time, often maximal) or Constant deviation (>75% of the time, most often submaximal) |
| 5 Constant deviation (>75% of the time, often maximal) |
### C. Effect of sensory tricks

| Effect of sensory tricks | 0 | Complete relief by one or more tricks |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
|                          | 1 | Partial or only limited relief by tricks |
|                          | 2 | Little or no benefit from tricks |

### D. Shoulder elevation/ Anterior displacement

| Shoulder elevation/ Anterior displacement | 0 | Absent |
|------------------------------------------|---|--------|
|                                          | 1 | Mild (< 1/3 possible range, intermittent or constant) |
|                                          | 2 | Moderate (1/3 - 2/3 possible range and constant, > 75% of the time) or |
|                                          | 3 | Severe (> 2/3 possible range and intermittent) |

### E. Range of motion (without aid of sensory tricks)

| Range of motion (without aid of sensory tricks) | 0 | Able to move to extreme opposite position |
|-------------------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------------|
|                                                 | 1 | Able to move head well past midline but not to extreme opposite position |
|                                                 | 2 | Able to move head barely past midline |
|                                                 | 3 | Able to move head toward but not past midline |
|                                                 | 4 | Barely able to move head beyond abnormal posture |

### F. Time (up to 60 seconds) for which patient is able to maintain head within 10° of neutral position without using sensory tricks (mean of two attempts)

| Time | 0 | > 60 seconds |
|------|---|--------------|
|      | 1 | 46 - 60 seconds |
|      | 2 | 31 - 45 seconds |
|      | 3 | 16 - 30 seconds |
|      | 4 | < 15 seconds |

### 2. Disability scale (maximum = 20)

| A. Work (occupation or housework/home management) | 0 | No difficulty |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|---------------|
|                                                 | 1 | Normal work expectations with satisfactory performance at usual level of occupation but some interference by torticollis |
|                                                 | 2 | Most activities unlimited, selected activities very difficult and hampered but still possible with satisfactory performance |
|                                                 | 3 | Working at lower than usual occupation level; most activities hampered, but all possible with less than satisfactory performance in some activities |
|                                                 | 4 | Unable to engage in voluntary or gainful employment; still able to perform some domestic responsibilities satisfactorily |
|                                                 | 5 | Marginal or no ability to perform domestic responsibilities |

| B. Activities of daily living (e.g., feeding, dressing, or hygiene, including washing, shaving, makeup, etc.) | 0 | No difficulty with any activity |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
|                                                                                                           | 1 | Activities unlimited but some interference by torticollis |
|                                                                                                           | 2 | Most activities unlimited, selected activities very difficult and hampered but still possible using simple tricks |
|                                                                                                           | 3 | Most activities hampered or laborious but still possible; may use extreme tricks |
|                                                                                                           | 4 | All activities impaired; some impossible or require assistance |
|                                                                                                           | 5 | Dependent on others in most self-care tasks |
### C. Driving
0 No difficulty (or has never driven a car)
1 Unlimited ability to drive but bothered by torticollis
2 Unlimited ability to drive but requires tricks (including touching or holding face, holding head against head rest) to control torticollis
3 Can drive only short distances
4 Usually cannot drive because of torticollis
5 Unable to drive and cannot ride in a car for long stretches as a passenger because of torticollis

### D. Reading
0 No difficulty
1 Unlimited ability to read in normal seated position but bothered by torticollis
2 Unlimited ability to read in normal seated position but requires use of tricks to control torticollis
3 Unlimited ability to read but requires extensive measures to control torticollis or is able to read only in nonseated position (e.g., lying down)
4 Limited ability to read because of torticollis despite tricks
5 Unable to read more than a few sentences because of torticollis

### E. Television
0 No difficulty
1 Unlimited ability to watch television in normal seated position but bothered by torticollis
2 Unlimited ability to watch television in normal seated position but requires use of tricks to control torticollis
3 Unlimited ability to watch television but requires extensive measures to control torticollis or is able to view only in nonseated position (e.g., lying down)
4 Limited ability to watch television because of torticollis
5 Unable to watch television more than a few minutes because of torticollis

### F. Activities outside the home
0 No difficulty
1 Unlimited activities but bothered by torticollis
2 Unlimited activities but requires simple tricks to accomplish
3 Accomplishes activities only when accompanied by others because of torticollis
4 Limited activities outside the home; certain activities impossible or given up because of torticollis
5 Rarely if ever engages in activities outside the home

### 3. Pain scale (maximum = 20)

#### A. Severity of pain
Rate the severity of neck pain due to spasmodic torticollis during the last week on a scale of 0 - 10 where a score of 0 represents no pain and 10 represents the most excruciating pain imaginable.

Score calculated as: \[\frac{\text{worst} + \text{best} + (2 \times \text{usual})}{4}\]

|       | Best | Worst | Usual | Score |
|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| None  |      |       |       |       |
| 1     |      |       |       |       |
| 2     |      |       |       |       |
| 3     |      |       |       |       |
| 4     |      |       |       |       |
| 5     |      |       |       |       |

#### B. Duration of Pain
0 Present < 10% of the time
1 Present 10 - 25% of the time
2 Present 26 - 50% of the time
3 Present 51 - 75% of the time
4 Present > 75% of the time
### C. Disability due to pain

| Score | Description                                                                 |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0     | No limitation or interference from pain                                     |
| 1     | Pain is quite bothersome but not a source of disability                     |
| 2     | Pain definitely interferes with some tasks but is not a major contributor to disability |
| 3     | Pain accounts for some (less than half) but not all of disability            |
| 4     | Pain is a major source of difficulty with activities; separate from this, head pulling is also a source of some (less than half) disability |
| 5     | Pain is the major source of disability; without it most impaired activities could be performed quite satisfactorily despite the head pulling |

#### Table 1. The Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis Rating Scale (TWSTRS) (Comella et al., 1997)

A. Amplitude of head deviation  
\[ A = A1 + A2 + A3 \]

| Subcategory     | Score | Description     |
|-----------------|-------|-----------------|
| A1. Rotation    | 0     | Absent          |
|                 | 1     | < 15°           |
|                 | 2     | 15 - 30°        |
|                 | 3     | > 30°           |
| A2. Lateral head tilt | 0 | Absent          |
|                 | 1     | < 15°           |
|                 | 2     | 15 - 30°        |
|                 | 3     | > 30°           |
| A3. Antero/retrocollis | 0 | Absent          |
|                 | 1     | < 15°           |
|                 | 2     | 15 - 30°        |
|                 | 3     | > 30°           |

B. Duration of sustained movements

| Score | Description     |
|-------|-----------------|
| 1     | Intermittent    |
| 2     | Constant        |

C. Shoulder elevation

| Score | Description                                      |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 0     | Absent                                           |
| 1     | Mild, intermittent                               |
| 2     | Mild constant or severe intermittent             |
| 3     | Severe constant                                  |

D. Unsustained head movements (head tremor/jerk)  
\[ D = D1 \times D2 \]

| Subcategory     | Score | Description     |
|-----------------|-------|-----------------|
| D1. Severity    | 1     | Mild            |
|                 | 2     | Severe          |
| D2. Duration    | 1     | Occasional      |
|                 | 2     | Continuous      |

Total score = \( (A \times B) + C + D \)

#### Table 2. The Tsui score (Tsui et al., 1986)

### 4. Operative procedures and relevant surgical anatomy

Selective peripheral denervation consists of several surgical procedures. One well-known operation is the Bertrand procedure which originally included section of peripheral branches of the cervical spinal nerve and selective denervation of the sternocleidomastoid nerve. Taira’s method is a modification of the classic procedure of Bertrand aiming to
overcome some drawbacks of the original method. Cutting of peripheral branches supplying the sternocleidomastoid or levator scapulae endeavors to reduce their dystonia resulting in improved neck posture and function. The authors simply divide the denervating procedures into three main themes, including denervation of the posterior cervical paraspinal, sternocleidomastoid, and levator scapulae muscles. In order to understand these operations, each of them will be preceded by exposition of its relevant surgical anatomy. In addition, identification of nerves by using intraoperative electrical nerve stimulator, conclusion of nerve supply to the neck muscles, options in selective denervation, and combined operations will be discussed consecutively.

4.1 Surgical anatomy of the posterior cervical paraspinal muscles and related nerve supply

In all patterns of cervical dystonia except for anterocollis, the posterior cervical paraspinal muscles have the key role in occurrence of dystonic postures. They are abnormal on the same side of rotating or tilting head in torticollis or laterocollis, respectively (Anderson et al., 2008; Krauss et al., 1997). This group of muscles are found to be dystonic bilaterally in retrocollis (Taira, 2009). The commonly involved muscles include the splenius capitis, semispinalis capitis, semispinalis cervicis, multifidus, suboccipital muscles (rectus capitis posterior major and minor, obliquus capitis superior and inferior), and upper trapezius (Taira, 2009) (Fig.3).

Aside from the trapezius, all of them are innervated by the posterior rami of the C1 to C8 spinal nerves while the upper twig of the accessory nerve directly supplies the trapezius. The most influent muscles are controlled by the C1 to C6 posterior rami. Consequently, a common procedure of posterior neck muscle denervation is C1-C6 posterior ramisectomy (Krauss et al., 1997).

The C1 dorsal root and its ganglion are usually absent (Tubbs et al., 2007), so the C1 spinal nerve mostly originates from the C1 ventral nerve root which contains pure motor fibers. The C1 segmental nerve emerges from the atlanto-occipital space located superior to the atlas, then it abruptly branches into the anterior and posterior rami. Unlike the C2 to C6 posterior rami, the C1 posterior ramus does not ramify into medial and lateral branches (Fig.4A) while those of the C2-C6 spinal nerves do (Clemente, 1985; Kahle & Frotscher, 2003; Kayalioglu, 2009; Roman, 1981). The posterior ramus of the C2 spinal nerve always bifurcates into medial and lateral branches. The medial branch mainly contains sensory fibers which it terminates as the greater occipital nerve supplying the posterior scalp up to the vertex (the C2 dermatome). The lateral branch is composed of motor fibers supplying the upper portion of the posterior cervical group (Fig.4B). The C3-C6 posterior rami often divide into medial and lateral branches innervating the corresponding skin as well as paraspinal muscles of the neck (Clemente, 1985; Kayalioglu, 2009; Roman, 1981) (Fig.4C).

4.2 Denervation of the posterior cervical paraspinal muscles

The two main strategic options in selective denervation of the posterior neck muscles are posterior cervical ramisectomy in the Bertrand procedure and Taira's modified method. The details of both alternatives are described as the follows.
Fig. 3. Major cervical paraspinal muscles involved in cervical dystonia and their nerve supply. LONG CV, longissimus cervicis; LS, levator scapulae; SM SP CAP, semispinalis capitis; SM SP CV, semispinalis cervicis; SPL CAP, splenius capitis; TPZ, trapezius.

Fig. 4. The C1 - C6 spinal nerves and their branches. A, The C1 posterior root and ganglion are usually absent (dashed lines). The C1 spinal nerve directly arises from the C1 anterior spinal nerve root. The C1 spinal nerve branches into anterior ramus (AR) and posterior ramus (PR). The latter has no further ramification. For B and C, The posterior rami of C2 - C6 spinal nerves branch into medial branch (MB) and lateral branch (LB). The former, originating from the C2 level, terminates as the greater occipital nerve.
4.2.1 Posterior cervical ramisectomy in Bertrand procedure

Classically, peripheral denervation for torticollis in the Bertrand procedure is comprised of selective peripheral denervation of the posterior cervical muscles ipsilateral to the rotating head and selective denervation of the contralateral sternocleidomastoid muscle (Anderson et al., 2008; Bertrand, 1993; Braun & Richter, 1994; Feely, 2003; Krauss, 2010; Sitthinamsuwan et al., 2010b; Taira, 2009). This genuine extraspinal procedure provides good surgical outcome and is currently a widely used operation for cervical dystonia (Bronte-Stewart, 2003; Krauss, 2010; Sitthinamsuwan & Nunta-arnee, 2010; Taira, 2009). Denervating procedure on peripheral nerves supplying the posterior cervical group is typically performed on those arising from the C1 to C6 spinal cord segment (Brin & Benabou, 1999; Dashtipour et al., 2007; Krauss, 2010; Sitthinamsuwan & Nunta-arnee, 2010) through a midline posterior cervical incision (Fig. 5). Original Bertrand’s denervation of the posterior cervical muscles is comprised of extraspinal resection of C1-C2 spinal nerve roots (extraspinal C1-C2 rhizotomy) with section of C3-C6 posterior rami (C3-C6 posterior ramisectomy) (Bertrand, 1993; Huh et al., 2005, 2010). Alternatively, C1-C2 posterior ramisectomy can be used instead of C1-C2 extradural rhizotomy (Brin & Benabou, 1999; Münchau et al., 2001a; Ondo & Krauss, 2004). In the authors’ practice deriving from C1-C2 operation, we preferred posterior ramisectomy rather than extraspinal rhizotomy. Therefore, C1-C6 posterior ramisectomy was always performed in our denervation. During dissection, muscular branches emerging from the C1-C6 posterior rami are identified by using an electrical stimulator and prepared for ramisectomy. The ablation is done just before the peripheral nerves penetrating the targeted muscles (Fig. 6).

Fig. 5. A midline surgical incision on the back of the neck in posterior cervical ramisectomy
A common pitfall of posterior cervical ramisectomy is inadequate denervation of the semispinalis capitis resulting in residual or recurrent cervical dystonia. The pitfall may occur as a result of complex innervation of this muscle comprised of two entities. The first one is motor branches originating from the medial branches of the posterior cervical rami. They intervene in the plain between the semispinalis capitis and semispinalis cervicis and then enter into the deep surface of the semispinalis capitis (Fig. 7A). In the same manner, the other entity is muscular branches coming from the lateral branches of the posterior cervical rami which they are situated in the plain between the semispinalis capitis and splenius capitis, then supply the semispinalis capitis through its superficial aspect (Taira, 2009) (Fig. 7B). Hence, to accomplish complete denervation of the semispinalis capitis, exploration and resection of the motor nerves in both the plains are mandatory.

In patients suffering from retrocollis, bilateral posterior cervical muscle denervation is required. With caution, bilateral section of the C6 posterior rami should be avoided, particularly in elderly females who have thin neck muscles. Following bilateral C6 posterior ramisectomy, such patients probably develop difficulty in their neck extension and swallowing (Bertrand, 1988; Taira 2009). In our experience of bilateral posterior cervical denervation for intractable retrocollis, we always performed C1-C6 posterior cervical ramisectomy on a more severe side and cut from the C1 posterior ramus caudally to the C4 or C5 posterior ramus with total preservation of the C6 one on the contralateral side.

A major sequelae of Bertrand procedure is dysesthesia over the skin innervated by the C2 spinal nerve. The sensory disturbance of the C2 dermatome is inevitable in almost all cases who undergo this procedure. It always occurs in the early postoperative period as a result of resection of the proximal C2 dorsal ramus containing both motor and sensory nerve fibers (Albanese et al., 2006; Braun & Richter, 1994; Feely, 2003; Münchau et al., 2001a;
Fig. 7. Innervation of the semispinalis capitis muscle (SM SP CAP). A and B are the muscular branches arising from the medial branch (MB) and lateral branch (LB) of the posterior cervical rami (PR), respectively. They supply the semispinalis capitis through its opposite surfaces. AR, anterior cervical rami; SM SP CV, semispinalis cervicis; SPL CAP, splenius capitis.

Sitthinamsuwan & Nunta-aree, 2010; Taira, 2003, 2009). Additionally, considerable bleeding from the paravertebral venous plexuses adjacent to the C1 and C2 posterior rami sometimes happens intraoperatively (Braun & Richter, 2002; Taira, 2009). Other potential complications include transient occipital neuralgia which usually disappears within 3 months (Braun & Richter, 2002; Huh et al., 2005), weakness of non-dystonic muscles (Taira, 2009) caused by excessive denervation (Feely, 2003), surgical site infection (Huh et al., 2005; Münchau et al., 2001a), swallowing dysfunction (Braun & Richter, 2002; Münchau et al., 2001a, 2001b; Taira, 2009), and injury of the extradural vertebral artery located close to the C1 dorsal ramus (Braun & Richter, 2002; Taira, 2009).

4.2.2 Taira’s modified method

Because of some critical disadvantages of Bertrand operation, especially C2 dysesthesia and bleeding around the C1 and C2 posterior branches, the C1-C2 procedure was modified to minimize these drawbacks (Taira & Hori, 2002; Taira et al., 2006). In Taira’s method, the operation on C1 and C2 spinal nerves was adapted from extraspinal C1-C2 rhizotomy (or C1-C2 dorsal ramisection) in Bertrand procedure (Fig.8A) to intradural C1-C2 anterior rhizotomy (Fig.8B), while the C3 to C6 procedure is identical to that of Bertrand (Fig.8C). Therefore, the modified method is a combination of intradural C1-C2 anterior rhizotomy performed through the C1 hemilaminectomy and conventional C3-C6 posterior ramisection (Sitthinamsuwan & Nunta-aree, 2010; Taira, 2009).

Resection of the C1 and C2 anterior spinal nerve roots (C1-C2 anterior rhizotomy) can entirely preserve sensory function of the C2 posterior spinal nerve root, so C2 dysesthesia does not occur. Furthermore, the unilateral C1-C2 procedure does not bring about swallowing trouble. Although the efficacy of Taira’s modified method in the treatment of cervical dystonia was not significantly different from that of the Bertrand procedure, the C2 sensory disturbance,
operative time, and intraoperative blood loss were appreciably minimized by Taira’s operation (Taira & Hori, 2001; Taira et al., 2002; Taira & Hori, 2003). Potential complications of intradural C1-C2 operation may have occurred, such as cerebrospinal fluid leak, meningitis, spinal cord injury, and spinal cord ischemia. However, all of them are preventable and avoidable.

Fig. 8. A, B and C, A comparison between Bertrand procedure and Taira’s method. A, C1-C2 denervation in Bertrand procedure. The C1-C2 nerves can be cut on either the spinal roots (extraspinal rhizotomy) presented by red dashed lines or posterior rami (PR) displayed by green dashed lines. B, Intradural C1-C2 anterior rhizotomy in Taira’s method. The resection will be performed on the C1 and C2 anterior spinal nerve roots (red dash lines), while the C2 posterior spinal nerve root will be entirely preserved. For A and B, The C1 posterior spinal nerve root and its dorsal root ganglion are usually absent in the majority of humans. Therefore, they are presented in a dashed appearance. C, C3-C6 posterior ramisectomy is identical in both the operations.

4.3 Surgical anatomy of the accessory nerve and its peripheral branches

The accessory nerve originates from its cranial and spinal roots. After the nerve exits the posterior cranial fossa through the jugular foramen, it runs underneath the sternocleidomastoid muscle where it gives motor branches to the muscle and appears in the posterior triangle of the neck after that (Aramrattana et al., 2005; Clemente, 1985; Frank 1997; Roman 1981). In the triangle, it emerges from the posterior border of the
sternocleidomastoid at the punctum nervosum (Erb's point) (Anderson et al., 2008; Aramrattana et al., 2005). There are several nerves arising from this point, including the great auricular, lesser occipital, transverse cervical, and supraclavicular nerves (Anderson et al., 2008; Aramrattana et al., 2005; Dailiana et al., 2001). From the punctum nervosum, the accessory nerve courses inferolaterally, then ramifies into numerous branches supplying the trapezius (Aramrattana et al., 2005; Clemente, 1985; Dailiana et al., 2001; Kierner et al., 2000; Roman 1981; Shiozaki et al., 2000) (Fig.9).

In addition to the accessory nerve, motor branches of the cervical plexus derived from the C2-C3 anterior rami participate in innervation of the sternocleidomastoid and trapezius muscles (Aramrattana et al., 2005; Bertrand, 2004; Clemente, 1985; Dailiana et al., 2001; Pu et al., 2008; Roman 1981; Stacey et al., 1995; Zhao et al., 2006). Among the entire phalanx of nerves to both the muscles, multiple variations can be encountered during surgical exploration (Brennan et al., 2002; Brown et al., 1988; Caliot et al., 1984, 1989; Latarjet, 1948; Stacey et al., 1995; Taira, 2009) (Fig.10 and Fig.11). Knowledge of the variations is essential in accessory nerve denervation. Incomplete denervation of the sternocleidomastoid usually occurs in individuals who have hidden extra nerve supply from the cervical plexus. Failure of improvement or recurrent dystonia is occasionally due to this aberration. Furthermore, ignorance of diversity of trapezius innervation perhaps gives rise to injured trapezius nerves resulting in shoulder dysfunction.
Fig. 10. Variations of the sternocleidomastoid (SCM) innervation and their frequencies in percentage. Type I, Presence of a connecting branch between the accessory nerve (AN) and cervical plexus. Type II, The accessory nerve directly connects to the cervical plexus. Type III, no connection between either of them. TPZ, trapezius. [Modified from Caliot et al., 1984].

Fig. 11. Variation of the nerve to the trapezius (TPZ). A, The nerve originates from a connecting branch between the accessory nerve (AN) and anterior ramus of the C2 spinal nerve (C2). A direct branch from the C2 anterior ramus (dotted line) may participate in the supply. B, The accessory nerve gives a direct branch to supply the trapezius. An additional twig perhaps comes from the C2 anterior ramus to join the main supply (dotted line). C, The trapezius is innervated by the nerve arising from the junction between the C2 anterior ramus and a connecting branch from the accessory nerve. D, The nerve emerges from the union of the connecting branches of the accessory nerve, C2, and C3 anterior rami (C3). E, A connection between C2 and C3 anterior rami gives the nerve supplying the trapezius muscle. SCM, sternocleidomastoid. [Modified from Latarjet, 1948].
4.4 Selective denervation of the sternocleidomastoid muscle

Selective resection of nerve to the sternocleidomastoid with sparing of the trapezius nerve is commonly used in the treatment of torticollis and laterocollis. It is one of two main parts of Bertrand procedure. In our viewpoint, we considered sternocleidomastoid denervation on the contralateral side of posterior cervical muscle denervation in all patients with torticollis. In addition, we used the ipsilateral procedure in some laterocollis cases, particularly in patients with absence of shoulder elevation, which probably indicated hyperactivity of the sternocleidomastoid rather than that of the levator scapulae. The denervation can be done through a small incision along the posterior boundary of the sternocleidomastoid muscle (Fig.12A). Medial retraction of the sternocleidomastoid is helpful in visualization of the nerve. The nerve is often seen underneath the retracted muscle (Fig.12B).

Electrical stimulation of the correct nerve absolutely reveals contraction of the sternocleidomastoid without movement of the trapezius. On the other hand, isolated trapezius contraction indicates stimulation of the trapezius nerve which is inaccurate. If contraction occurs on both the muscles, that is a too proximal position. Besides, the additional nerve supply from the cervical plexus should be investigated and then sectioned. The potential complications are injury of nerve to the trapezius (Albanese et al., 2006; Braun & Richter, 1994, 2002; Taira, 2009) and numbness in the retro-auricular area caused by injury or excessive retraction of the great auricular nerve during the operation (Braun & Richter, 1994).

4.5 Anatomy of the levator scapulae and its nerve supply

The levator scapulae is the key muscle in emergence of laterocollis (Anderson et al., 2008; Taira et al., 2003), particularly when the lateral neck deviation is accompanied by elevation of the ipsilateral scapula. It extends from transverse processes of the 1st to 4th cervical
vertebrae to insert at the medial aspect of the upper scapular border superior to the scapular spine (Roman, 1981) (Fig. 13A). Contraction of the muscle brings about lateral inclination of the ipsilateral head and neck in the coronal plane together with upheaval of the shoulder on the same side (Clemente, 1985; Roman, 1981; Taira et al. 2003) (Fig. 13B). Its major nerve supply originates from C3, C4, and C5 anterior rami. The twigs from C3-C4 nerve roots pass underneath the sternocleidomastoid and then enter the anteromedial aspect of the levator muscle. The dorsal scapular nerve arising from the C5 anterior ramus also participates in the innervation of the levator muscle through its inferomedial surface (Anderson et al., 2008; Clemente, 1985; Roman, 1981; Taira, 2009).

Fig. 13. Functional anatomy of the levator scapulae muscle. A, The muscle originates from the upper four cervical spines, then extends inferolaterally to the superior border of the scapula. B, Its main action consists of neck lateral deviation as well as shoulder elevation.

4.6 Levator scapulae muscle denervation

The operation is mainly indicated in laterocollic patients (Anderson et al., 2008) with marked shoulder elevation and minimal head rotation (Taira, 2009). The ascending shoulder points to the hyperactive levator muscle (Hernesniemi & Keränen, 1990; Taira & Hori, 2001; Taira, 2009). Importantly, noting palpable tense levator scapulae in the posterior cervical triangle is helpful in the diagnosis (Taira, 2009). The surgical incision is identical to that of the sternocleidomastoid denervation. The C3-C4 muscular branches can be encountered by using electrical nerve stimulator and then cutting on the anteromedial surface of the levator muscle (Fig. 14). The further supply coming from the dorsal scapular nerve should be explored and eventually ablated. Care should be taken to preserve the adjacent phrenic nerve (Taira et al., 2003) and upper part of the brachial plexus.
4.7 Intraoperative electrical nerve stimulation

Aside from knowledge in the surgical anatomy, identification of accurate nerves by using intraoperative electrical nerve stimulator is very crucial in selective peripheral denervation for cervical dystonia. Intraoperative nerve stimulation has many benefits. It assists in exploration of nerves in the operative field (Brin & Benabou, 1999), in discrimination between motor and sensory nerves, and, importantly, defines muscle topography supplied by electrically stimulated nerve. Sensory nerve must be distinguished from motor nerve. The former has to be routinely preserved as much as possible to avoid neuropathic pain caused by injured or sectioned sensory nerve. Stimulation of motor nerve absolutely elicits contraction of the corresponding muscle (Ondo & Krauss, 2004) whereas there is nothing which occurs when sensory nerve is stimulated. Determination of innervation topography is valuable in selective nerve section. It can tell us which ones should be cut and left (Brin & Benabou, 1999; Sitthinamsuwan et al., 2010c). This strategy always results in the absence of adverse events caused by wrong nerve resection and unnecessary denervation (Sitthinamsuwan et al., 2010c). In utilization of the intraoperative electrical stimulator, short-acting muscle relaxants can be administered only for induction of general anesthesia and must be prohibited after that (Ondo & Krauss, 2004; Taira, 2009).

In posterior cervical muscle denervation, stimulation on each posterior cervical ramus gives rise to segmental contraction of the corresponding muscle. For instance, stimulation of the C2 posterior branch leads to vigorous contraction of the upper fibers of the splenius capitis, while movement of its lower portion is always elicited by electrical stimulation of the C5 or C6 posterior ramus. As discussed in denervation of the sternocleidomastoid, too proximal stimulation of the accessory nerve brings about concurrent contraction of both muscles innervated by the nerve. Isolated movement of either the sternocleidomastoid or trapezius indicates separated stimulation on the sternocleidomastoid or trapezius nerve, respectively. Furthermore, direct stimulation of nerve to the levator scapulae simply reveals contraction...
of the muscle. If movement of the diaphragm also appears, that means we are very close to the phrenic nerve. In the same manner, if the levator scapulae and rotator cuffs of the shoulder or pectoral muscles contract simultaneously during stimulation of the dorsal scapular nerve, this phenomenon indicates that the present location is too closely adjacent to the C5 spinal root or upper trunk of the brachial plexus.

4.8 Conclusion of the nerve supply

The nerves which contribute to the innervation of cervical dystonic muscles are summarized in Table 3 (Anderson et al., 2008; Aramrattana et al., 2005; Bertrand, 2004; Clemente, 1985; Dailiana et al., 2001; Frank et al., 1997; Kierner et al., 2000; Pu et al., 2008; Roman, 1981; Stacey et al., 1995; Taira, 2009; Zhao et al., 2006).

| Cervical muscles                                      | Nerve supply                                      |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Suboccipital muscles (rectus capitis posterior major and minor, obliquus superior capitis and obliquus inferior capitis) | Posterior rami of C1-C2 spinal nerves             |
| Semispinalis capitis                                   | Posterior rami of C1-C8 spinal nerves             |
| Semispinalis cervicis                                  | Posterior rami of C1-C8 spinal nerves             |
| Splenius capitis                                      | Posterior rami of C2-C6 spinal nerves             |
| Longissimus cervicis                                  | Posterior rami of C6-C8 spinal nerves             |
| Levator scapulae                                      | Anterior rami of C3-C4 spinal nerves Dorsal scapular nerve (from anterior ramus of C5 spinal nerve) |
| Trapezius                                             | Accessory nerve | Anterior rami of C2-C3 spinal nerves             |
| Sternocleidomastoid                                  | Accessory nerve | Anterior rami of C2-C3 spinal nerves             |

Table 3. The muscles in the neck region associated with cervical dystonia and their nerve supply

4.9 Alternatives in selective peripheral denervation

In selective peripheral denervation, the procedure should be tailored according to the presenting dystonic forms (Sitthinamsuwan et al., 2010b). Surgical options for cervical dystonia are listed in Table 4 (Bertrand, 1993; Braun & Richter, 2002; Brin & Benabou, 1999; Chen et al., 2000; Huh et al., 2005, 2010; Münchau et al., 2001a; Taira et al., 2003). Selective peripheral denervation is not a good alternative for anterocollis because extensive bilateral denervation of both superficial and deep anterior cervical muscles can lead to significant disabling anterior neck muscle paresis and swallowing dysfunction. Furthermore, the operation is usually not effective in the treatment of anterocollis and complex cervical dystonia. Therefore, pallidal deep brain stimulation should be considered as the primary surgical therapy for such kinds of cervical dystonia.
| Dystonic pattern            | Common option in selective denervation                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Torticollis                | Selective C1-C6 denervation of the posterior cervical paraspinal muscles ipsilateral to the rotating head with contralateral sternocleidomastoid denervation                                                                                   |
| Retrocollis                | Selective C1-C6 denervation of the bilateral posterior cervical paraspinal muscles; nevertheless, the unilateral C6 posterior ramus should be carefully preserved Selective denervation of the upper trapezius may be indicated either unilaterally or bilaterally in patients who have dystonia of this muscle |
| Laterocollis               | Selective C1-C6 denervation of the posterior cervical muscles and levator scapula on the same side of the inclination Selective denervation of the ipsilateral sternocleidomastoid may be indicated in patients who have dystonia of this muscle |
| Torticollis + retrocollis  | Bilateral posterior cervical muscle denervation (C1-C6 denervation on the ipsilateral side of the turning face with contralateral C1 - C4 or C5 denervation) plus contralateral sternocleidomastoid denervation If indicated, selective denervation of the upper trapezius should be done either unilaterally or bilaterally |
| Retrocollis + laterocollis | Bilateral posterior cervical muscle denervation (C1 - C6 denervation on the ipsilateral side of the tilting head with contralateral C1 - C4 or C5 denervation) plus ipsilateral levator scapulae denervation If indicated, the sternocleidomastoid ipsilateral to the tilting head should be denervated If indicated, selective denervation of the upper trapezius should be done either unilaterally or bilaterally |
| Anterocollis               | Is not a good candidate for selective denervation                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Complex cervical dystonia  | Should be managed surgically by pallidal deep brain stimulation                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Alternatives in C1-C6 denervation of the posterior cervical muscles | a. Extraspinal C1-C2 rhizotomy plus C3-C6 posterior ramisectomy (original Bertrand’s denervation)  
  b. Selective C1-C6 posterior ramisectomy  
  c. Intradural C1-C2 anterior rhizotomy plus C3-C6 posterior ramisectomy (Taira's modified method)                                                                       |

Table 4. Alternatives in peripheral denervation for various patterns of cervical dystonia
4.10 Combined operative procedures

Our treatment of complex cervical dystonia and idiopathic generalized dystonia by using bilateral pallidal deep brain stimulation indicates that all of them dramatically respond to the operation. However, a few cases still had some residual cervical dystonia even though we attempted to adjust their implanted neurostimulators optimally. In such patients, we decided to add selective peripheral denervation to the muscles which have residual hypertonia. Postoperative improvement was encountered in all our cases who underwent the combined procedures. In summary, if the satisfactory outcome cannot be fulfilled by deep brain stimulation alone, selective peripheral denervation (or even selective muscle resection) is a good further surgical option in the treatment of refractory complex cervical dystonia. A demonstration of a case on whom we operated by using the combined procedures is presented in Fig.15.

5. Surgical outcome

By collecting surgical outcomes of selective denervation for cervical dystonia, the numerous studies revealed satisfactory results with minimal complications. Nonetheless, various methods in measure of outcome were utilized. Some of them were unvalidated and employed subjective methods whereas the remaining studies used widely accepted and validated measure tools, such as the TWSTRS or Tsui score. Overall therapeutic outcomes of selective peripheral denervation are displayed in Table 5 (Bertrand, 1993; Braun et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2000; Cohen-Gadol et al., 2003; Huh et al., 2005, 2010; Jang et al., 2005; Meyer, 2001; Münchau et al., 2001a; Nunta-aree et al., 2010a; Sitthinamsuwan et al., 2010b; Taira & Hori; 2003; Taira et al., 2003).

Fig. 15. A female patient with idiopathic generalized dystonia who underwent combined pallidal deep brain stimulation and selective peripheral denervation. A, Preoperative image reveals severe disabling generalized dystonia including mobile cervical dystonia. B, After the deep brain stimulation, her generalized and complex cervical dystonia was markedly improved. She could return to sitting and walking again. However, residual complex cervical dystonia (mobile left torticollis and retrocollis) was persistent even though we adjusted the implanted neurostimulator to achieve maximal benefit. Hence, we decided to denervate the remaining dystonic muscles. C, After multifocal selective denervation of the cervical muscles (left C1 - C6 and right C1 - C4 posterior ramisectomy, right sternocleidomastoid, and bilateral upper trapezius denervation), the residual dystonia was dramatically improved without complication.
| Study               | Outcome                                                                 | Complication                                                                 |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bertrand, 1993      | Excellent (no detectable abnormal movements): 40%                       | No death                                                                     |
|                     | Very good (slight deviation or slight residual movements): 48%           | Abscess: 1 patient                                                          |
|                     | Fair (appreciable amount of residual abnormal movements): 10%           | Sensory loss in the distribution of the greater occipital nerve: unspecified number of cases |
|                     | Poor (no improvement or worse): 1%                                      | Tic-like pain: 3 patients                                                    |
|                     | Overall residual dystonia: 12%                                          |                                                                              |
|                     | Age 29 - 61 years                                                        |                                                                              |
|                     | 64 cases: more than 10 years                                            |                                                                              |
|                     | 167 cases: more than 5 years                                            |                                                                              |
|                     | Follow-up period                                                        |                                                                              |
|                     | n = 260 cases                                                           |                                                                              |
|                     | Outcome measure: unvalidated outcome scale                               |                                                                              |
| Chen et al., 2000   | Excellent (no detectable abnormal movements, normal movement of neck preserved): 70.5%   | No death                                                                     |
|                     | Very good (slight deviation or slight residual movements): 7.4%         | Sensory loss in distribution of the greater occipital nerve: most patients   |
|                     | Fair (appreciable amount of residual abnormal movements): 9.2%         |                                                                              |
|                     | Poor (no improvement or worse): 2.9%                                    |                                                                              |
|                     | Overall residual dystonia: 12%                                          |                                                                              |
|                     | Mean age 39 years                                                       |                                                                              |
|                     | Follow-up 2 - 29 years                                                  |                                                                              |
|                     | Outcome measure: unvalidated outcome scale                               |                                                                              |
| Meyer, 2001         | Overall improvement of the TWSTRS: 59%                                   | Not mentioned                                                                |
|                     | ADL score improvement: 90%                                              |                                                                              |
|                     | Improvement of whole person impairment score: 59%                       |                                                                              |
|                     | Life style score improvement: 34%                                       |                                                                              |
|                     | Improvement of incapacity score: 50%                                    |                                                                              |
|                     | Mean age 55 years                                                       |                                                                              |
|                     | Median follow-up 26 months                                              |                                                                              |
|                     | Outcome measure:                                                       |                                                                              |
|                     | - The severity score component of the TWSTRS                            |                                                                              |
|                     | - The scales for activities of daily living (ADL), whole person impairment, adverse life style effects and degree of incapacity |                                                                              |
| Münchau et al., 2001a| At 1-year follow-up                                                      | No death                                                                     |
|                     | Functional improvement: 68% of patients                                 | Wound complication: 1 patient                                               |
|                     | Mean total TWSTRS improvement: 30%                                      | Progressive dystonia: 1 patient                                             |
|                     | - Severity score reduction: 20%                                         | Transient imbalance: 3 patients                                            |
|                     | - Disability score reduction: 30%                                       | Dysesthesia in the denervated posterior cervical segments: all patients      |
|                     | - Pain score reduction: 30%                                             | Transient trapezius paresis: 1 patient                                      |
|                     | Head tremor severity did not change                                      | Dysphagia: 7 patients                                                       |
|                     | Self assessment outcome                                                 | Deterioration of dysphagia: 5 patients                                      |
|                     | Psychological assessment                                                |                                                                              |
|                     |                                                                              |                                                                              |
| Study                      | Outcome                                                                 | Complication                                                                 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Braun et al., 2002        | Satisfactory: 73%                                                        | No death                                                                     |
| n = 140 cases             | Complete relief: 13%                                                     | Hematoma in the anterior neck: 3 patients                                   |
| Mean age 39.7 years       | Significant improvement: 36%                                             | Transient dysphagia: 4 patients                                              |
| Mean follow-up 32.8 months| Moderate improvement: 24%                                                | Sensory deficit in the area of the greater occipital nerve: all patients      |
| Outcome measure: subjective assessment by the patients self-estimate questionnaire | Ineffective: 27%                                                         | Injury of trapezius branch of the accessory nerve: 2 patients                |
|                          | Minor relief: 14%                                                        |                                                                              |
|                          | No improvement: 14%                                                      |                                                                              |
|                          | Recurrent dystonia: 11%                                                  |                                                                              |
| Taira & Hori, 2003        | Mean Tsui score improvement: 85%                                        | No death                                                                     |
| n = 82 cases              | Group A (44 patients who underwent the modified Taira's procedure): 85% | Sensory deficit in C2 dermatome                                             |
| Age: not mentioned        | Group B (38 patients who underwent the traditional Bertrand procedure): | Group A: 3 patients                                                          |
| Follow-up 3 months        | 86.4 %                                                                   | Group B: all (38) patients                                                  |
| Outcome measure: Tsui score|                                                                          |                                                                              |
| Taira et al., 2003        | Mean Tsui score improvement: 88%                                        | No death                                                                     |
| n = 10 cases              | Excellent: 40 %                                                          | Transient C3 or C4 dysesthesia: 5 patients                                  |
| Mean age 34.8 years       | Good: 60 %                                                               |                                                                              |
| Mean follow-up 29.4 months|                                                                          |                                                                              |
| Outcome measure: Tsui score|                                                                          |                                                                              |
| Cohen-Gadol et al., 2003  | At the 3-month follow-up                                                | Death due to respiratory arrest: 1 patient                                  |
| n = 168 cases             | Moderate to excellent improvement: 77%                                   | Persistent C2 dysesthesia: 3 patients                                        |
| Mean age 53.4 years       | Pain improvement 81%                                                    | Slight shoulder weakness: 3 patients                                        |
| Mean follow-up 3.4 years in 130 cases | Long-term follow-up (mean 3.4 years)                                    | Wound infection: 1 patient                                                  |
| Outcome measure: unvalidated outcome scale | Moderate to excellent improvement: 70%                                 |                                                                              |
| Jang et al., 2005         | Mean total TWSTRS improvement: 76.5%                                     | No death or complication                                                     |
| n = 5 cases               | CDSS improvement: 48.7%                                                  |                                                                              |
| Mean age 43.75 years      | VAS improvement: 77.6%                                                   |                                                                              |
| Follow-up 3 months        |                                                                          |                                                                              |
| Outcome measure:          |                                                                          |                                                                              |
| - The TWSTRS              |                                                                          |                                                                              |
| - Cervical Dystonia Severity Scale (CDSS) |                                                                    |                                                                              |
| - Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) |                                                                    |                                                                              |
| Huh et al., 2005          | Excellent :50%                                                           | No death                                                                     |
| n = 10 cases              | Good: 40%                                                                | Wound infection: 2 patients                                                  |
| Mean age 52.4 years       | Fair: 10%                                                               | Delirium: 1 patient                                                         |
| Mean follow-up 36 months  |                                                                          | Generalized weakness: 1 patient                                             |
| Outcome measure: identical to that of the original Bertrand's study |                                                                          | Transient neuralgia: unspecified number of cases                            |
### Table 5. Therapeutic outcome of selective peripheral denervation in the treatment of cervical dystonia

| Study | Outcome | Complication |
|-------|---------|--------------|
| Huh et al., 2010 <br> n = 24 cases <br> Mean age 46.6 years <br> Mean follow-up 29.5 months | Mean total TWSTRS improvement <br>In 16 patients who underwent selective peripheral denervation: 59% <br>In 7 patients who underwent pallidal deep brain stimulation: 64.1% <br>In 1 patient who underwent combined surgery: 92.5% <br>The subjective result assessed by the patients <br>Selective denervation group: excellent 25%, good 62.5%, and fair 12.5%<br>Deep brain stimulation group (including a patient who underwent combined operation): excellent 25%, good 62.5%, and fair 12.5% | No death <br>Wound infection: 2 patients <br>Occipital neuralgia: 3 patients <br>Delirium: 1 patient <br>Venous air embolism: 1 patient |
| Nunta-aree et al., 2010a <br> n = 6 cases <br> Age 24 - 62 years <br> Mean follow-up 29.7 months | Mean Tsui score improvement: 70% | No death or complication |
| Sitthinamsuwan et al., 2010b <br> Combined use of ablative neurosurgical operations for intractable spastic and dystonic cerebral palsy <br> Single case report <br> Age 27 years <br> Follow-up period 12 months | Disappearance of cervical dystonia following unilateral C1-C6 posterior ramiectomy <br>Markedly improved neck control <br>Improved swallowing <br>Marked improvement of generalized spasticity <br>Improved sitting balance and posture | No death or complication |

### 6. Conclusion

Various surgical procedures should be considered in cervically dystonic individuals who do not respond to the conventional treatment. Among them, selective peripheral denervation usually yields a satisfactory result and has been one of the most popularly used operations for the disorder. It is mainly indicated in almost types of cervical dystonia, excluding
anterocollis and complex patterns. The surgical planning and tailored resection of the nerve should be relied on the individual dystonic pattern. Good candidate selection, knowledge of the relevant anatomy, surgical skills in nerve exploration and precise identification are very significant in the operation through which they will lead to an excellent therapeutic outcome and avoidance of potential adverse effects.

7. References

Adams, C.B. (1984). Vascular Catastrophe Following the Dandy Mckenzie Operation for Spasmodic Torticollis. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, Vol.47, No.9, (September 1984), pp. 990-994, ISSN 0022-3050

Albanese, A. (2005). Clinical Features of Dystonia and European Guideline for Diagnosis and Treatment. In: *Proceedings of the Medtronic Forum for Neuroscience and Neuro-Technology 2005*, van Hilten, B. & Nuttin, B., (Ed.), pp. 289-321, Springer, ISBN 978-354-032-745-5, Germany

Albanese, A.; Barnes, M.P.; Bhatia, K.P.; Fernandez-Alvarez, E.; Filippini, G.; Gasser, T.; Krauss, J.K.; Newton, A.; Rektor, I.; Savoiardo, M. & Valls-Solè J. (2006). A Systematic Review on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Primary (Idiopathic) Dystonia and Dystonia Plus Syndromes: Report of an EFNS/MDS-ES Task Force. *European Journal of Neurology*, Vol.13, No.5, (May 2006), pp. 433-444, ISSN 1351-1501

Albright, A.L.; Barry, M.J.; Shafton, D.H. & Ferson, S.S. (2001). Intrathecal Baclofen for Generalized Dystonia. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, Vol.43, No.10, (October 2001), pp. 652-657, ISSN 1469-8749

Anderson, W.S.; Lawson, H.C.; Belzberg, A.J. & Lenz, F.A. (2008). Selective Denervation of the Levator Scapulae Muscle: An Amendment to the Bertrand Procedure for the Treatment of Spasmodic Torticollis. *Journal of Neurosurgery*, Vol.108, No.4, (April 2008), pp. 757-763, ISSN 0022-3085

Aramrattana, A.; Sittitrai, P. & Harnsiriwattanagit, K. (2005). Surgical Anatomy of the Spinal Accessory Nerve in the Posterior Triangle of the Neck. *Asian Journal of Surgery*, Vol.28, No.3, (2005), pp. 171-173, ISSN 1015-9584

Benedetti, A.; Carbonin, C. & Colombo, F. (1977). Extended Posterior Cervical Rhizotomy for Severe Spastic Syndromes with Dyskinesias. *Applied Neurophysiology*, Vol.40, No.1, (1977-1978), pp. 41-47, ISSN 0302-2773

Bertelli, J.A.; Ghizoni, M.F. & Michels, A. (2000). Brachial Plexus Dorsal Rhizotomy in the Treatment of Upper-limb Spasticity. *Journal of Neurosurgery*, Vol.93, No.1, (July 2000), pp. 26-32, ISSN 0022-3085

Bertelli, J.A.; Ghizoni, M.F.; Frasson, T.R. & Borges, K.S. (2003). Brachial Plexus Dorsal Rhizotomy in Hemiplegic Cerebral Palsy. *Hand Clinics*. Vol.19, No.4, (November 2003), pp. 687-699, ISSN 1158-1969

Bertrand, C.; Molina-Negro, P.; Bouvier, G. & Gorczyca, W. (1987). Observations and Analysis of Results in 131 Cases of Spasmodic Torticollis After Selective Denervation. *Applied Neurophysiology*, Vol.50, No.1-6, (1987), pp. 319-323, ISSN 0302-2773

Bertrand, C.M. (1988). Operative Management of Spasmodic Torticollis and Adult-Onset Dystonia with Emphasis on Selective Denervation, In: *Operative Neurosurgical
Bertrand, C.M. (1993). Selective Peripheral Denervation for Spasmodic Torticollis. Surgical Technique, Results, and Observation in 260 Cases. *Surgical Neurology*, Vol.40, No.2, (August 1993), pp. 96-103, ISSN 1879-3339

Bertrand, C.M. (2004). Surgery of Involuntary Movements, Particularly Stereotactic Surgery: Reminiscences. *Neurosurgery*, Vol.55, No.3, (September 2004), pp. 58-62, ISSN 1524-4040

Bittar, R.G.; Yianni, J.; Wang, S.; Liu, X.; Nandi, D.; Joint, C.; Scott, R.; Bain, P.G.; Gregory, R.; Stein, J.F. & Aziz, T.Z. (2005). Deep Brain Stimulation for Generalised Dystonia and Spasmodic Torticollis. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience*, Vol.12, No.1, (January 2005), pp. 12-16, ISSN 0697-5868

Brashear, A. (2004). Treatment of Cervical Dystonia with Botulinum Toxin Injection. *Operative Techniques in Otolar yngology - Head and Neck Surgery*, Vol.15, No.2, (June 2004), pp. 122-127, ISSN 1043-1810

Braun, V. & Richter, H.P. (1994). Selective Peripheral Denervation for the Treatment of Spasmodic Torticollis. *Neurosurgery*, Vol.35, No.1, (July 1994), pp. 58-62, ISSN 1524-4040

Braun, V.; Richter, H.P. & Schröder, J.M. (1995). Selective Peripheral Denervation for Spasmodic Torticollis: Is the Outcome Predictable? *Journal of Neurology*, Vol.242, No.8, (August 1995), pp. 504-507, ISSN 1432-1459

Braun, V. & Richter, H.P. (2002). Selective Peripheral Denervation for Spasmodic Torticollis: 13-Year Experience with 155 Patients. *Journal of Neurosurgery*, Vol.97, No.2 Supplement, (September 2002), pp. 207-212, ISSN 0022-3085

Brennan, P.A.; Smith, G. & Ilankovan, V. (2002). Trapezius Muscle Innervation by a Cervical Nerve - A Rare Anatomical Variant. *The British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, Vol.40, No.3, (June 2002), pp. 263-264, ISSN 1532-1940

Brin, M.F. & Benabou, R. (1999). Cervical Dystonia (Torticollis). *Current Treatment Options in Neurology*, Vol.1, No.1, (March 1999), pp. 33-43, ISSN 1092-8480

Bronte-Stewart, H. (2003). Surgical Therapy for Dystonia. *Current Neurology and Neuroscience Reports*, Vol.3, No.4, (July 2003), pp. 296-305, ISSN 1528-4042

Brown, H.; Burns, S. & Keiser, W. (1988). The Spinal Accessory Nerve Plexus, The Trapezius Muscles, and Shoulder Stabilization After Radical Neck Cancer Surgery. *Annals of Surgery*, Vol.208, No.5, (November 1988), pp. 654-661, ISSN 1528-1140

Cacciola, F.; Farah, J.O.; Eldridge, P.R.; Byrne, P. & Varma, T.K. (2010). Bilateral Deep Brain Stimulation for Cervical Dystonia: Long-term Outcome in a Series of 10 Patients. *Neurosurgery*, Vol.67, No.4, (October 2010), pp. 957-963, ISSN 1524-4040

Caliot, P.; Cabanié, P.; Bousquet, V. & Midy, D. (1984). A Contribution to the Study of the Innervation of the Sternocecidomastoid Muscle. *Anatoma Clinica*, Vol.6, No.1, (1984), pp. 21-28, ISSN 0343-6098

Caliot, P.; Bousquet, V.; Midy, D. & Cabanié, P. (1989). A Contribution to the Study of the Accessory Nerve: Surgical Implications. *Surgical and Radiologic Anatomy*, Vol.11, No.1, (1989), pp. 11-15, ISSN 1279-8517

Cano, S.J.; Hobart, J.C.; Fitzpatrick, R.; Bhatia, K.; Thompson, A.J. & Warner, T.T. (2004). Patient-Based Outcomes of Cervical Dystonia: A Review of Rating Scales. *Movement Disorders*, Vol.19, No.9, (September 2004), pp. 1054-1059, ISSN 1531-8257
Ceballos-Baumann, A.O. (2001). Evidence-Based Medicine in Botulinum Toxin Therapy for Cervical Dystonia. *Journal of Neurology*, Vol. 248, No. Supplement 1, (April 2001), pp. 14-20, ISSN 1432-1459

Chawda, S.J.; Münchau, A.; Johnson, D.; Bhatia, K.; Quinn, N.P.; Stevens, J.; Lees, A.J. & Palmer, J.D. (2000). Pattern of Premature Degenerative Changes of the Cervical Spine in Patients with Spasmodic Torticollis and the Impact on the Outcome of Selective Peripheral Denervation. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, Vol. 68, No. 4, (April 2000), pp. 465-471, ISSN 0022-3050

Chen, X.; Ma, A.; Liang, J.; Ji, S. & Pei, S. (2000). Selective Denervation and Resection of Cervical Muscles in the Treatment of Spasmodic Torticollis: Long-term Follow-up Results in 207 cases. *Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery*, Vol. 75, No. 2-3, (2000), pp. 92-95, ISSN 1423-0372

Clemente, C.D. (1985). *Gray's Anatomy 30th Edition*. Lea & Febiger, ISBN 978-0-812-10644-2, Philadelphia, United States of America

Comella, C.L.; Stebbins, G.T.; Goetz, C.G.; Chmura, T.A.; Bressman, S.B. & Lang, A.E. (1997). Teaching Tape for the Motor Section of the Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis Scale. *Movement Disorders*, Vol. 12, No. 4, (July 1997), pp. 570-575, ISSN 1531-8257

Comella, C.L.; Leurgans, S.; Wuu, J.; Stebbins, G.T.; Chmura, T. & Dystonia Study Group. (2003). Rating Scales for Dystonia: A Multicenter Assessment. *Movement Disorders*, Vol. 18, No. 3, (March 2004), pp. 303-312, ISSN 1531-8257

Dailiana, Z.H.; Mehdian, H. & Gilbert, A. (2001). Surgical Anatomy of Spinal Accessory Nerve: Is Trapezius Functional Deficit Inevitable After Division of the Nerve? *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, Vol. 26, No. 2, (April 2001), pp. 137-141, ISSN 1532-2211

Dashtipour, K.; Barahimi, M. & Karkar, S. (2007). Cervical Dystonia. *Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, Vol. 20, No. 4, (December 2007), pp. 449-457, ISSN 0897-1900

Dent, T.H.S. (March 2002). Selective Denervation for Spasmodic Torticollis, In: *Succinct and Timely Evaluated Evidence Review (STEER)*, 01.04.2011, Available from http://www.wihrd.soton.ac.uk/projx/signpost/steers/STEER_2002(10).pdf

Dressler, D. (2000). Electromyographic Evaluation of Cervical Dystonia for Planning of Botulinum Toxin Therapy. *European Journal of Neurology*, Vol. 7, No. 6, (November 2000), pp. 713-718, ISSN 1468-1331

Dykstra, D.D.; Mendez, A.; Chappuis, D.; Baxter, T.; DesLauriers, L. & Stuckey, M. (2002). Treatment of Cervical Dystonia and Focal Hand Dystonia by High Cervical Continuously Infused Intrathecal Baclofen: A Report of 2 Cases. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, Vol. 86, No. 4, (April 2005), pp. 830-833, ISSN 0003-9993

Fahn, S. (1985). Lack of Benefit from Cervical Cord Stimulation for Dystonia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, Vol. 313, No. 19, (November 1985), pp. 1229, ISSN 1533-4406

Feeley, J. (December 2003). Surgical Intervention for Dystonia, In: *Dystonia Medical Research Foundation Booklet*, 01.04.2011, Available from http://www.dystoniafoundation.org/filebin/pdf/surgweb.pdf

Forouzanfar, T.; Kemler, M.A.; Weber, W.E.; Kessels, A.G. & van Kleef, M. (2004). Spinal Cord Stimulation in Complex Regional Pain Syndrome: Cervical and Lumbar Devices are Comparably Effective. *British Journal of Anaesthesiology*, Vol. 92, No. 3, (March 2004), pp. 348-353, ISSN 1471-6771
Dystonia – The Many Facets

Fraioli, B.; Nucci, F. & Baldassarre, L. (1977). Bilateral Cervical Posterior Rhizotomy: Effects on Dystonia and Athetosis, on Respiration and Other Autonomic Functions. Applied Neurophysiology, Vol.40, No.1, (1977-1978), pp. 26-40, ISSN 0302-2773

Frank, D.K.; Wenk, E.; Stern, J.C.; Gottlieb, R.D. & Moscatello, A.L. (1997). A Cadaveric Study of the Motor Nerves to the Levator Scapulae Muscle. Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, Vol.117, No.6, (December 1997), pp. 671-680, ISSN 1097-6817

Hagenah, J.M.; Vieregge, A. & Vieregge, P. (2001). Radiculopathy and Myelopathy in Patients with Primary Cervical Dystonia. European Neurology, Vol.45, No.4, (2001), pp. 236-240, ISSN 0014-3022

Heimburger, R.F.; Slominski, A. & Griswold, P. (1973). Cervical Posterior Rhizotomy for Reducing Spasticity in Cerebral Palsy. Journal of Neurosurgery, Vol.39, No.1, (July 1973), pp. 30-34, ISSN 0022-3085

Hernesniemi, J. & Keränen, T. (1990). Long-term Outcome After Surgery for Spasmodic Torticollis. Acta Neurochirurgica, Vol.103, No.3-4, (1990), pp. 128-130, ISSN 0001-6268

Hsin, Y.L.; Harnod, T.; Kuo, T.B.J.; Su, C.F. & Lin, S.Z. (2004). Selective Cervical Dorsal Rhizotomy to Relieve Upper-limb Spasticity After Stroke or Spinal Cord Injury - Report of Five Cases. Tzu Chi Medical Journal, Vol.16, No.6, (2004), pp. 371-375, ISSN 1016-3190

Huh, R.; Ahn, J.Y.; Chung, Y.S.; Chang, J.H.; Chang, J.W. & Chung, S.S. (2005). Effectiveness of Selective Peripheral Denervation for the Treatment of Spasmodic Torticollis. Journal of Korean Neurosurgical Society, Vol.38, No.5, (November 2005), pp. 344-349, ISSN 1225-8245

Huh, R.; Han, I.B.; Chung, M. & Chung, S. (2010). Comparison of Treatment Results Between Selective Peripheral Denervation and Deep Brain Stimulation in Patients with Cervical Dystonia. Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery, Vol.88, No.4, (May 2010), pp. 234-238, ISSN 1423-0372

Hung, S.W.; Hamani, C.; Lozano, A.M.; Poon, Y.Y.; Piboolnurak, P.; Miyasaki, J.M.; Lang, A.E.; Dostrovsky, J.O.; Hutchison, W.D. & Moro, E. (2007). Long-term Outcome of Bilateral Pallidal Deep Brain Stimulation for Primary Cervical Dystonia. Neurology, Vol.68, No.6, (February 2007), pp. 457-459, ISSN 0028-3878

Imer, M.; Ozeren, B.; Karadereler, S.; Yapici, Z.; Omay, B.; Hanağasi, H. & Eraksoy, M. (2005). Destructive Stereotactic Surgery for Treatment of Dystonia. Surgical Neurology, Vol.64, No. Supplement 2, (2005), pp. S89-94, ISSN 1879-3339

Jameson, R.; Rech, C. & Garreau de Loubresse, C. (2010). Cervical Myelopathy in Athetoid and Dystonic Cerebral Palsy: Retrospective Study and Literature Review. European Spine Journal, Vol.19, No.5, (2010), pp. 706-712, ISSN 0940-6719

Kahle, W. & Frotscher, M. (2003). Color Atlas and Textbook of Human Anatomy: Nervous System and Sensory Organs 5th Edition. Thieme, ISBN 978-1-58890-064-7, Stuttgart, Germany

Kayalioglu, G. (2009). The Spinal Nerves, In: The Spinal Cord, Watson, C.; Paxindos, G. & Kayalioglu, G., (Ed.), pp. 37-56, Elsevier, ISBN 078-0-12-374247-6, China

Kiener, A.C.; Zelenka, I.; Heller, S. & Burian, M. (2000). Surgical Anatomy of the Spinal Accessory Nerve and the Trapezius Branches of the Cervical Plexus. Archives of Surgery, Vol.135, No.12, (December 2000), pp. 1428-1431, ISSN 1538-3644

www.intechopen.com
Konrad, C.; Vollmer-Haase, J.; Anneken, K. & Knecht, S. (2004). Orthopedic and Neurological Complications of Cervical Dystonia—Review of the Literature. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica*, Vol.109, No.6, (June 2004), pp. 369-373, ISSN 0001-6314

Krauss, J.K.; Toups, E.G.; Jankovic, J. & Grossman, R.G. (1997). Symptomatic and Functional Outcome of Surgical Treatment of Cervical Dystonia. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, Vol.63, No.5, (November 1997), pp. 642-648, ISSN 0022-3050

Krauss, J.K.; Pohle, T.; Weber, S.; Ozdoba, C. & Burgunder, J.M. (1999). Bilateral Stimulation of Globus Pallidus Internus for Treatment of Cervical Dystonia. *Lancet*, Vol.354, No.9181, (September 1999), pp. 837-838, ISSN 0140-6736

Krauss, J.K.; Loher, T.J.; Pohle, T.; Weber, S.; Taub, E., Bärlocher, C.B. & Burgunder, J.M. (2002). Pallidal Deep Brain Stimulation in Patients with Cervical Dystonia and Severe Cervical Dyskinesias with Cervical Myelopathy. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, Vol.72, No.2, (February 2002), pp. 249-256, ISSN 0022-3050

Krauss, J.K.; Yianni, J.; Loher, T.J. & Aziz, T.Z. (2004). Deep Brain Stimulation for Dystonia. *Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology*, Vol.21, No.1, (January-February 2004), pp. 18-30, ISSN 1537-1603

Krauss, J.K. (2007). Deep Brain Stimulation for Treatment of Cervical Dystonia. (2007). *Acta Neurochirurgica Supplement*, Vol.97, No. Pt 2, (2007), pp. 201-205, ISSN 0065-1419

Krauss, J.K. (2010). Surgical Treatment of Dystonia. *European Journal of Neurology*, Vol.17, No. Supplement 1, (July 2010), pp. 97-101, ISSN 1351-1501

Kunnumpurath, S.; Srinivasagopalan, R. & Vadivelu, N. (2009). Spinal Cord Stimulation: Principles of Past, Present and Future Practice: A Review. *Journal of Clinical Monitoring and Computing*, Vol.23, No.5, (October 2009), pp. 333-339, ISSN 1573-2614

Laitinen, L.V.; Nilsson, S. & Fugl-Meyer, A.R. (1983). Selective Posterior Rhizotomy for Treatment of Spasticity. *Journal of Neurosurgery*, Vol.58, No.6, (June 1983), pp. 895-899, ISSN 0022-3085

Latarjet, A. (1948). *Testut’s Traité d’Anatomie Humaine 9th Edition*. G. Doin & Cie, Paris, France

Moore, A.P & Blumhardt, L.D. (1991). A Double Blind Trial of Botulinum Toxin "A" in Torticollis, with One Year Follow Up. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, Vol.54, No.9, (September 1991), pp. 813-816, ISSN 0022-3050

Münchau, A.; Palmer, J.D.; Dressler, D.; O’Sullivan, J.D.; Tsang, K.L.; Jahanshahi, M.; Quinn, N.P.; Lees, A.J. & Bhatia, K.P. (2001a). Prospective Study of Selective Peripheral Denervation for Botulinum-Toxin Resistant Patients with Cervical Dystonia. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*, Vol.124, No.Pt 4, (April 2001), pp. 769-783, ISSN 1460-2156

Münchau, A.; Good, C.D.; McGowan, S.; Quinn, N.P.; Palmer, J.D. & Bhatia, K.P. (2001b). Prospective Study of Swallowing Function in Patients with Cervical Dystonia Undergoing Selective Peripheral Denervation. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, Vol.71, No.1, (July 2001), pp. 67-72, ISSN 0022-3050

Nunta-aree, S. & Sitthinamsuwan, B. (2009). Efficacy of Movement Disorder Surgery. In: *Contemporary Medicine 2nd Edition*, Rattanachaiyanont, M. & Tantawichien, T., (Ed), pp. 853-856, P.A. Living Publishing, ISBN 978-974-11-1145-9, Bangkok, Thailand
Nunta-Aree, S.; Sitthinamsuwan, B. & Itthimathin, P. (2010a). Overview and Outcomes of Movement Disorder Surgery. *Neurological Surgery*, Vol.1, No.1, (January-March 2010), pp. 21-26, ISSN 1906-7984

Nunta-aree, S.; Sitthinamsuwan, B.; Nitising, A.; Boonyapisit, K. & Pisarnpong, A. (2010b). Movement Disorder Surgery. In: *Medical Update 2010*, Rattanachaityanont, M.; Wanachiwanawin, D.; Wisutsareevong, W.; Chinswangwatanakul, V. & Pithukpakorn, M., (Ed), pp. 433-440, P.A. Living Publishing, ISBN 978-974-11-1329-3, Bangkok, Thailand

Ondo, W.G. & Krauss, J.K. (2004). Surgical Therapies for Dystonia. In: *Dystonia: Etiology, Clinical Features, and Treatment*, Brin, M.F.; Comella, C. & Jankovic, J., (Ed.), pp. 125-148, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, ISBN 0-7817-4114-9, Philadelphia, USA

Ostergaard, L.; Fuglsang-Fredriksen, A.; Sjö, O.; Werdelin, L. & Winkel H. (1996). Quantitative EMG in Cervical Dystonia. *Electromyography and Clinical Neurophysiology*. Vol.36, No.3, (May 1996), pp. 179-185, ISSN 0301-150X

Parkin, S.; Aziz, T.; Gregory, R. & Bain, P. (2001). Bilateral Internal Globus Pallidus Stimulation for the Treatment of Spasmodic Torticollis. *Movement Disorders*, Vol.16, No.3, (May 2001), pp. 489-493, ISSN 1531-8257

Pu, Y.M.; Tang, E.Y. & Yang, X.D. (2008). Trapezius Muscle Innervation from the Spinal Accessory Nerve and Branches of the Cervical Plexus. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, Vol.37, No.6, (June 2008), pp. 567-572, ISSN 1399-0020

Roman, G.J. (1981). *Cunningham’s Textbook of Anatomy* 12th Edition. Oxford University Press, ISBN 978-0-192-69031-9, India

Schim, J.D. (2006). Cervical Dystonia Associated with Headache. *Johns Hopkins Advanced Studies in Medicine*, Vol.6, No.9C, (October 2006), pp. S901-903, ISSN 1558-0334

Shiozaki, K.; Abe, S.; Agematsu, H.; Mitarashi, S.; Sakiyama, K.; Hashimoto, M. & Ide, Y. (2007). Anatomical Study of Accessory Nerve Innervation Relating to Functional Neck Dissection. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, Vol.65, No.1, (January 2007), pp. 22-29, ISSN 1531-5053

Sitthinamsuwan, B. & Nunta-aree, S. (2010). Functional Peripheral Nerve Surgery. *Siriraj Medical Journal*, Vol.62, No.2, (March-April 2010), pp. 106-111, ISSN 2228-8082

Sitthinamsuwan, B.; Nunta-Aree, S. & Itthimathin, P. (2010a). Neurosurgery for Spasticity. In: *20th Year Anniversary Scientific Congress of Sirindhorn National Medical Rehabilitation Center (SNMRC)*, Solanda, S., (Ed), pp. 113-130, Funny Publishing, ISBN 978-974-422-593-1, Bangkok, Thailand

Sitthinamsuwan, B.; Chanvanitkulchai, K.; Nunta-aree, S.; Kumthornthip, W.; Pisarnpong, A. & Ploypetch, T. (2010b). Combined Ablative Neurosurgical Procedures in a Patient with Mixed Spastic and Dystonic Cerebral Palsy. *Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery*, Vol.3, (May 2010), pp. 187-192, ISSN 1524-4040

Sitthinamsuwan, B.; Parnnang, C.; Nunta-Aree, S.; Chankaew, E. & Papathanapanporn, A. (2010c). An Innovation of Intraoperative Electrical Nerve Stimulator. *Neurological Surgery*, Vol.1, No.3, (July-September 2010), pp. 65-82, ISSN 1906-7984

Sorensen, B.F. & Hamby, W.B. (1965). Spasmodic Torticollis. Result in 71 Surgically Treated Patients. *The Journal of The American Medical Association*. Vol.194, No.7, (1965), pp. 706-708, ISSN 1538-3598

www.intechopen.com
Spitz, M.; Goncalves, L.; Silveira, L. & Barbosa E. (2006). Myelopathy as a Complication of Cervical Dystonia. Movement Disorders, Vol.21, No.5, (May 2006), pp. 726-727, ISSN 0885-3185

Stacey, R.J.; O’Leary, S.T. & Hamlyn, P.J. (1995). The Innervation of the Trapezius Muscle: A Cervical Motor Supply. Journal of Cranio-maxillo-facial Surgery, Vol.23, No.4, (August 1995), pp. 250-251, ISSN 1878-4119

Sun, K.; Lu, Y.; Hu, G.; Luo, C.; Hou, L.; Chen, J.; Wu, X. & Mei, Q. (2009). Microvascular Decompression of the Accessory Nerve for Treatment of Spasmodic Torticollis: Early Results in 12 Cases. Acta Neurochirurgica, Vol.151, No.10, (October 2009), pp. 1251-1257, ISSN 0942-0940

Sung, D.H.; Choi, J.Y.; Kim, D.H.; Kim, E.S.; Son, Y.I.; Cho, Y.S.; Lee, S.J.; Lee, K.H. & Kim, B.T. (2007). Localization of Dystonic Muscles with 18F-FDG PET/CT in Idiopathic Cervical Dystonia. Journal of Nuclear Medicine, Vol.48, No.11, (November 2007), pp. 1790-1795, ISSN 1535-5667

Taira, T., & Hori, T. (2001). Peripheral Neurotomy for Torticollis: A New Approach. Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery, Vol.77, No.1-4, (2001), pp. 40-43, ISSN 1423-0372

Taira, T.; Kobayashi, T.; Takahashi, K. & Hori, T. (2002). A New Denervation Procedure for Idiopathic Cervical Dystonia. Journal of Neurosurgery, Vol.97, No.2 Supplement, (September 2002), pp. 201-206, ISSN 0022-3085

Taira, T. & Hori, T. (2003). A Novel Denervation Procedure for Idiopathic Cervical Dystonia. Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery, Vol.80, No.1-4, (2003), pp. 92-95, ISSN 1423-0372

Taira, T.; Kobayashi, T. & Hori, T. (2003). Selective Peripheral Denervation of the Levator Scapulae Muscle for Laterocollic Cervical Dystonia. Journal of Clinical Neuroscience, Vol.10, No.4, (July 2003), pp. 449-452, ISSN 1532-2653

Taira, T.; Ochiai, T.; Koto, S. & Hori, T. (2006). Multimodal Neurosurgical Strategies for the Management of Dystonias. Acta Neurochirurgica Supplement, Vol.99, (2006), pp. 29-31, ISSN 0065-1419

Taira, T & Hori, T. (2007). Intrathecal Baclofen in the Treatment of Post-Stroke Central Pain, Dystonia, and Persistent Vegetative State. Acta Neurochirurgica Supplement, Vol.97, No.Pt 1, (2007), pp. 227-229, ISSN 0065-1419

Taira T. Peripheral Procedures for Cervical Dystonia. (2009). In: Textbook of Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery 2nd Edition, Lozano, A.M.; Gildenberg, P.L. & Tasker, R.R., (Ed), pp. 1885-1909, Springer, ISBN 978-3-540-69959-0, Retrieved from http://www.springer.com/medicine/surgery/book/978-3-540-69959-0

Tonnomura, Y.; Kataoka, H.; Sugie, K.; Hirabayashi, H.; Nakase, H. & Ueno, S. (2007). Atlantoaxial Rotatory Subluxation Associated with Cervical Dystonia. Spine, Vol.32, No.19, (September 2007), pp. E561-E564, ISSN 0362-2436

Tsui, J.K.; Eisen, A.; Stoessl, A.J.; Calne, S. & Calne, D.B. (1986). Double-Blind Study of Botulinum Toxin in Spasmodic Torticollis. Lancet, Vol.2, No.8501, (August 1986), pp. 245-247, ISSN 1474-547X

Tubbs, R.S.; Loukas, M.; Slappey, J.B.; Shoja, M.M.; Oakes, W.J. & Salter, E.G. (2007). Clinical Anatomy of the C1 Dorsal Root, Ganglion, and Ramus: A Review and Anatomical Study. Clinical Anatomy, Vol.20, No.6, (August 2007), pp. 624-627, ISSN 1098-2353
Vercueil, L. (2003). Fifty Years of Brain Surgery for Dystonia: Revisiting The Irving S. Cooper's legacy, and Looking Forward. Acta Neurologica Belgica, Vol.103, No.3, (September 2003), pp. 125-8, ISSN 0300-9009

Vogel, T.D.; Pendleton, C.; Quinoñes-Hinojosa, A. & Cohen-Gadol, A.A. (2010). Surgery for Cervical Dystonia: the Emergence of Denervation and Myotomy Techniques and the Contributions of Early Surgeons at The Johns Hopkins Hospital. Journal of Neurosurgery Spine, Vol.12, No.3, (March 2010), pp. 280-285, ISSN 1547-5654

Volkmann, J. & Benecke, R. (2002). Deep Brain Stimulation for Dystonia: Patient Selection and Evaluation. Movement Disorders, Vol.17, No. Supplement 3, (2002), pp. S112-5, ISSN 1531-8257

Waterston, J.A.; Swash, M., & Watkins, E.S. (1989). Idiopathic Dystonia and Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy. Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, Vol.52, No.12, (December 1989), pp. 1424-1426, ISSN 0022-3050

Xinkang, C. (1981). Selective Resection and Denervation of Cervical Muscles in the Treatment of Spasmodic Torticollis: results in 60 cases. Neurosurgery, Vol.8, No.6, (June 1981), pp. 680-688, ISSN 1524-4040

Yianni, J.; Bain, P.G.; Gregory, R.P.; Nandi, D.; Joint, C.; Scott, R.B.; Stein, J.F. & Aziz, T.Z. (2003). Post-operative Progress of Dystonia Patients Following Globus Pallidus Internus Deep Brain Stimulation. European Journal of Neurology, Vol.10, No.3, (May 2003), pp. 239–247, ISSN 1468-1331

Zhao, W.; Sun, J.; Zheng, J.W.; Li, J.; He, Y. & Zhang, Z.Y. (2006). Innervation of the Trapezius Muscle: Is Cervical Contribution Important to Its Function? Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, Vol.135, No.5, (November 2006), pp. 758–764, ISSN 1097-6817
Dystonia has many facets, and among those, this book commences with the increasingly associated genes identified, including a construct on how biology interacts with the dystonia genesis. The clinical phenomenology of dystonia as approached in the book is interesting because, not only were the cervical, oromandibular/lingual/laryngeal, task-specific and secondary dystonias dealt with individually, but that the associated features such as parkinsonism, tremors and spasticity were also separately presented. Advances in dystonia management followed, and they ranged from dopaminergic therapy, chemodenervation, surgical approaches and rehabilitation, effectively complementing the approach in dystonia at the clinics. A timely critical pathophysiologic review, including the muscle spindle involvement in dystonia, is highlighted at the book's end.

How to reference
In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Bunpot Sitthinamsuwan and Sarun Nunta-Aree (2012). Dystonia and Peripheral Nerve Surgery in the Cervical Area, Dystonia - The Many Facets, Prof. Raymond Rosales (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0329-5, InTech, Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/dystonia-the-many-facets/dystonia-and-peripheral-nerve-surgery-in-the-cervical-area