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Effects of Epstein-Barr Virus Infection on CD19+ B Lymphocytes in Patients with Immunorelated Pancytopenia
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Objectives. To explore effects of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection on CD19+ B lymphocytes in patients with immunorelated pancytopenia (IRP).

Methods. An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in vitro diagnostic kit was used to detect EBV capsid antigen- (CA-) IgG and VCA-IgM antibodies in the serum. We analyzed the EBV-DNA copies of CD19+ B lymphocyte by using real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). CD21, CD23, CD5, CD80, and CD86 receptors on the surfaces of CD19+ B cells were detected by flow cytometry (FCM). The correlation between these receptors and EBV-DNA copies were evaluated.

Results. The results revealed that the positive rate of EBVCA-IgM and CD19+ B lymphocyte EBV-DNA copy in the IRP group were significantly higher than those in the control group ($P < 0.05$). CD19+ B lymphocyte EBV-DNA copies were also more abundant in IRP patients than in control subjects ($P < 0.05$). Expression levels of the CD21, CD23, CD5, CD80, and CD86 receptors on the surfaces of CD19+ B cells in IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM positivity were significantly higher than those in anti-EBVCA IgM negativity IRP patients ($P < 0.05$). The results revealed that EBV-DNA copy numbers were positively correlated with CD21, CD23, CD5, CD80, and CD86 expression.

Conclusions. EBV infection may activate CD19+ B lymphocytes and further disrupt bone marrow hematopoiesis in IRP patients.

1. Introduction

Immunorelated pancytopenia (IRP) is a type of hemocytopenia regarded as an autoimmune disease that is caused by unknown autoantibodies, which may suppress hematopoietic cells in the bone marrow, leading to anemia, bleeding, and infection [1]. IRP exhibits the following features: (i) hemocytopenia with a normal or higher than normal percentage of reticulocytes and/or neutrophils; (ii) hyperplasia in the bone marrow, exemplified by a higher percentage of nucleated erythroid cells in the sternum, with erythroblastic islands that are easily observed; (iii) good patient response to corticosteroids or high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin; (iv) exclusion of other primary and secondary hemocytopenia disorders; and (v) positive result in the BMMNC-Coombs test (bone marrow mononuclear cell Coombs test) [2–4]. At present, IRP pathogenesis is considered to result from abnormalities in the number, subsets, function, and activation of B lymphocytes [5].

The Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) belongs to a class of viruses with double-stranded DNA that are hosted by B lymphocytes. These viruses can interfere with immune function and stimulate cell proliferation and transformation [6, 7]. EBV is thought to be an environmental trigger of, and one of the principal candidates that causes, autoimmune diseases. Accordingly, EBV is associated with autoimmune diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), multiple sclerosis (MS), autoimmune thyroiditis, inflammatory bowel disease, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, Sjögren’s syndrome, systemic sclerosis, myasthenia gravis, and autoimmune liver disease [8].
However, whether EBV infection affects autoimmune responses via B lymphocytes in IRP patients remains unknown. In this study, we determined levels of EBV antibodies and EBV-DNA copy numbers in IRP patients and normal controls. The abundances of the CD21, CD23, CD5, CD80, and CD86 receptors on the surfaces of CD19+ B cells were analyzed to elucidate the role of EBV in IRP pathogenesis.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patient Description. A total of 72 IRP patients (42 females and 30 males; median age, 39 years; age range, 16–72 years) were enrolled in this study. All subjects were inpatients at the Department of Hematology, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital (Tianjin, China), between January 2017 and June 2018 and diagnosed according to Fu et al. [2]. Patient responses were evaluated according to the criteria for aplastic anemia. Patients were considered in remission if they met the following criteria: (i) disappearance of anemia and hemorrhagic symptoms; (ii) hemoglobin levels reaching 120 and 100 g/L in males and females, respectively; (iii) white blood cell counts reaching 3.5 × 10^9 cells/L; and (iv) increase in platelet count. The IRP patients were divided into two groups based on results of EBV capsid antigen- (CA-) IgM assays: (i) anti-EBVCA IgM negativity and (ii) anti-EBVCA IgM positivity. A total of 36 healthy volunteers (20 females and 16 males; median age, 40 years; age range, 20–68 years) with normal blood picture and immune parameters were selected as normal controls.

2.2. Serological Diagnosis of EBV Infection. An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in vitro diagnostic kit (Euroimmun Medical Diagnostics, Lübeck, Germany) was used to detect EBV VCA-IgM antibodies and EBV VCA-IgG antibodies in the serum [9, 10]. ELISA was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 450 nm and a reference wavelength of 630 nm. The signal-to-cutoff ratio (S/CO) of specimens > 1.1 was considered as positive and < 0.8 as negative and < 0.8 but > 0.1 was equivocal.

2.3. Purification of CD19+ B Lymphocytes Using MACS Microbead Technology. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from the venous blood of IRP patients and controls treated with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) anticoagulant using Ficoll-Hypaque density gradient centrifugation. Blood samples were diluted at 1:1 in Lymphocyte Separation Medium (Solarbio Science & Technology, Beijing, China) and centrifuged at 2,200 rpm and 25°C for 20 min. The material at the interface between layers was collected and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at 1,500 rpm for 10 min; then the supernatant was completely aspirated. PBMCs were resuspended in batches of 10^7 cells in 80 μL buffer and 20 μL CD19 MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) and then incubated in the refrigerator at 4°C for 15 min. Finally, cells were washed with 2 mL buffer and resuspended in up to 500 μL buffer. The MS column was placed in the magnetic field of the MACS separator. After the column was prepared by rinsing with 1 mL buffer, the cells were added to the column. The column was washed with 1.5 mL buffer, and all flow-through containing unlabeled cells were collected. Magnetically labeled cells were flushed out by firmly pushing the plunger into the tube, and CD19+ B lymphocytes were harvested.

2.4. Real-Time Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR). Total DNA was extracted from 1 × 10^6 sorted CD19+ B cells, and a quantitative diagnostic kit for EBV DNA (Beijing SinoMDgene Technology Co., China) was used to acquire the DNA copy numbers of the EBV BamH1W gene via PCR-fluorescence probe reaction.

2.5. Flow Cytometry (FCM) Analysis. Fresh peripheral blood (100 μL per tube) treated with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid anticoagulant was washed three times with PBS. Samples were then divided into one control and seven treatment tubes. Cells were stained with antibodies against mouse IgG1-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), mouse IgG1-phycocerythrin (PE), and mouse IgG1-allophycocyanin (APC; BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) as a negative control. Treatment cells were stained with antibodies against CD19-APC, CD5-FITC, CD23-PE, CD80-PE, CD86-PE, κ-FITC, and λ-PE (BD Biosciences) in separate tubes. One treatment tube contained cells stained with antibodies against CD19-FITC and CD21-APC. After the tubes were incubated in the dark at 4°C for 30 min, 2 mL erythrocyte lytic solution was added to each tube, and the tubes were incubated again at room temperature for 10 min. Subsequently, the cells were washed twice with PBS. At least 10^5–10^6 cells were acquired from each tube and analyzed using a fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) flow cytometer (Beckman; US).

2.6. ELISA. Serum levels of soluble CD23 (sCD23) in IRP and control subjects were measured using an ELISA reagent kit (CloudClone, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Diluted standards and 100 μL serum from each patient were added in duplicate to the assay plate, which was incubated at 37°C for 2 h. After washing the plate five times, 100 μL of antibodies was added to each well and the plate was incubated again for 90 min; then horseradish peroxidase was added to each well. The plate was incubated at 37°C for 30 min, and each well was washed five times. Subsequently, tetramethylbenzidine solution was added to each well and the samples were incubated in the dark at room temperature for 20 min. Finally, a stop solution was added, and the optical density of each mixture at 450 nm was read within 15 min.

2.7. Statistical Analyses. The IRP patients were divided into two groups according to the results of EBV-antibody assays: (i) anti-EBVCA IgM negativity and (ii) anti-EBVCA IgM positivity. The white blood cell and platelet counts and hemoglobin levels of these patients were analyzed. Patient follow-up was conducted via telephone to check routine blood parameters 1 year after patients were discharged to calculate their remission rate. We also estimated the time it took for leukocytosis, hemoglobin, and platelet levels to reach levels indicative of remission.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (ver. 22.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). The
data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) for normally distributed data, and comparisons between two independent samples were performed using the t-test. For skewed distributions, median and interquartile spacing were calculated and compared using the rank-sum test. Constituent ratios were compared using the chi-squared test, and data were correlated using Spearman’s rank test. Differences were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05.

2.8. Patient and Public Involvement Statement. The current study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Tianjin Medical University, and written informed consent was issued by the patients for the publication of this study.

3. Results

3.1. EBV Infection Rates in IRP Patients. This study examined 72 patients with IRP and 36 control subjects without IRP. EBVCA-IgG was detected in 98.6% (71 of 72) of IRP patients. The detection rate of EBVCA-IgG in control subjects was 97.2% (35 of 36). Thus, no significant differences were observed between the two groups ($\chi^2 = 0.254, P = 0.613$). EBVCA-IgM was detected in 43.1% (31 of 72) of IRP patients and 22.2% (8 of 36) of control subjects. Thus, EBVCA-IgM-positive rate was significantly higher in IRP patients than in control subjects ($\chi^2 = 4.515, P = 0.033$; Table 1).

EBV infection status also differed between IRP and control subjects, based on the positive rate of EBV-DNA copies. IRP patients exhibited a higher rate of EBV lytic infection compared to control subjects, with 45.1% (23 of 51) of IRP patients harboring EBV-DNA copies compared to 22.2% (6 of 27) of control subjects ($\chi^2 = 3.955, P = 0.047$; Table 2). CD19+ B lymphocyte EBV-DNA copy numbers in newly diagnosed IRP patients (median, 9.646 × 10^3; range, 2.660 × 10^3–1.5475 × 10^5) were significantly higher than those in patients in remission (median, 7.781 × 10^3; range, 2.191 × 10^3–4.1210 × 10^4) and those in control subjects (median, 7.277 × 10^3; range, 2.557 × 10^3–1.9775 × 10^4; Table 3; Figure 1).

3.2. Antigen Expression on B Lymphocyte Surfaces in EBV-Infected IRP Patients. The kappa:lambda (κ/λ) ratio for B lymphocytes in IRP patients was detected by FCM. No samples produced a κ/λ ratio of more than 3:1 or less than 1:3 (Figure 2). CD21 and CD23 expression on CD19+ B lymphocyte surfaces was detected using FCM. CD21 expression levels in IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM positivity (mean, 88.58 ± 1.391%; n = 17) were higher ($P = 0.005$) than those in IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM negativity (mean, 79.84 ± 2.541%; n = 17). CD23 expression levels in IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM positivity (mean, 58.35 ± 4.705%; n = 18) were substantially higher ($P = 0.008$) than those in IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM negativity (mean, 41.35 ± 3.902%; n = 21).

CD5, CD80, and CD86 expression on CD19+ B lymphocyte surfaces was also detected using FCM. CD5 expression levels were significantly higher in IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM positivity (mean, 26.47 ± 3.358%; n = 27) than in IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM negativity (mean, 17.41 ± 2.098%; n = 38; $P = 0.0205$). Similar trends were observed for CD80 and CD86 expression levels. The mean CD80 expression levels for IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM positivity and with anti-EBVCA IgM negativity were 7.948 ± 1.165% (n = 20) and 5.044 ± 0.6529% (n = 20; $P = 0.036$), respectively, and the mean CD86 expression levels were 7.611 ± 1.682% (n = 21) and 3.350 ± 0.7604% (n = 21; $P = 0.0262$), respectively. Serum levels of sCD23 were determined using ELISA. IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM positivity had significantly higher ($P = 0.0062$) serum levels of sCD23 (mean, 0.803 ± 0.013 ng/mL; n = 20) than IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM negativity (mean, 0.751 ± 0.012 ng/mL; n = 20; Figure 3).

Next, we correlated CD21, CD23, CD5, CD80, and CD86 expression on CD19+ B lymphocyte surfaces in IRP patients with EBV-DNA copy numbers (n = 15). The results revealed that EBV-DNA copy numbers were positively correlated with CD21 ($r = 0.6047, P = 0.0169$), CD23 ($r = 0.6478, P = 0.009$), CD5 ($r = 0.6303, P = 0.0118$), CD80 ($r = 0.8918, P = 0.0002$), and CD86 ($r = 0.5810, P = 0.0231$) expression (Figure 4).

3.3. Relationship between EBV and Clinical Prognosis in IRP Patients. IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM positivity took a significantly longer time to attain remission than IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM negativity. The remission curves also differed significantly ($P = 0.016$). However, leucocyte recovery times did not differ significantly between the two groups ($P = 0.779$). By contrast, hemoglobin ($P = 0.010$) and platelet ($P = 0.030$) recovery took a significantly longer time in IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM positivity than in IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM negativity (Figure 5).

4. Discussion

In recent years, cases exhibiting persistent hemocytopenia not attributable to hematological or nonhematological diseases have been described as idiopathic cytopenia of
In our previous study, autoantibodies were found in some ICUS patients, and these antibodies may facilitate damage to hematopoietic cells in the bone marrow via phagocytosis by macrophages [12]. Dysregulation of Tfh cell function or expression of Tfh cell-associated molecules could contribute to the pathogenesis of IRP [4]. These ICUS patients responded well to corticosteroids and/or high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin. We thus termed this disorder "immunorelated hemocytopenia" (IRH), also known as BMMNC-Coombs test-positive hemocytopenia. Further, the production of autoantibodies in these patients may be caused by hyperfunction of B lymphocytes [5]. We had previously looked for IgM and IgG antibodies on the membranes of various bone marrow cells of ICUS patients using the BMMNC-Coombs test, FCM, and immunofluorescence analysis and determined that some patients had autoantibodies that led to immune dysfunction resulting in the destruction of hematopoietic cells in the bone marrow, causing IRH [13–15]. IRH is a type of hemocytopenia regarded as an autoimmune disease caused by unknown autoantibodies that may suppress hematopoietic cells in the bone marrow. Eventually, IRH leads to the clinical manifestation of different degrees of anemia, bleeding, and infection [16, 17]. IRP is a type of IRH. Its main manifestation is pancytopenia. IRP is thought to be caused by abnormalities in the numbers, subsets, and functions of CD19+ B lymphocytes. Additionally, abnormal activation of B lymphocytes leads to IRP pathogenesis [5]. However, the role of CD19+ B lymphocytes in disease progression is still unclear. Certain environmental factors, such as damage from chemicals, drugs, viruses, or antigens, may be involved in or initiate IRP pathogenesis by triggering lymphocyte activation and further immune responses in the body. In particular, viral infection is widely examined as a potential factor. In this study, we investigated whether viral infection caused the abnormal activation of B lymphocytes and thus, IRP pathogenesis.

EBV is associated with several autoimmune diseases such as SLE, RA, MS, autoimmune thyroiditis, inflammatory bowel diseases, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, Sjögren’s syndrome, systemic sclerosis, myasthenia gravis, and autoimmune liver disease [8, 18–20]. Therefore, it is worthwhile to explore how EBV infection is established and maintained in IRP patients and how it activates B lymphocytes to facilitate IRP pathogenesis. Various methods can be used to detect EBV. Currently, EBVCA-IgG, EBVCA-IgM, and EBV-DNA were used to detect EBV in IRP patients. The results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: The number of CD19+ B lymphocyte EBV-DNA copies in IRP patients and control subjects.

|                      | n   | EB-DNA load                           |
|----------------------|-----|---------------------------------------|
| Control subjects     | 6   | $7.277 \times 10^3 \pm 1.977 \times 10^3$ |
| Remission IRP patients | 11  | $7.781 \times 10^3 \pm 1.210 \times 10^3$ |
| Newly diagnosed IRP patients | 12  | $9.646 \times 10^3 \pm 1.547 \times 10^3$ |

Data was presented as the median. *Compared with remission IRP patients, $P < 0.05$. #Compared with control subjects, $P < 0.05$.  

**Motivation and Hypothesis**

The primary motivation for this study was to determine whether EBV infection is associated with IRP pathogenesis. EBV infection has been implicated in various autoimmune diseases, including SLE, RA, MS, autoimmune thyroiditis, inflammatory bowel diseases, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, Sjögren’s syndrome, systemic sclerosis, myasthenia gravis, and autoimmune liver disease [8, 18–20]. These diseases are characterized by immune dysfunction and the activation of B lymphocytes, which are involved in the pathogenesis of these conditions. Therefore, it is plausible to hypothesize that EBV infection may play a role in the pathogenesis of IRP.

**Methods**

The study was conducted on IRP patients and control subjects. EBV-DNA was detected using EBVCA-IgG, EBVCA-IgM, and EBV-DNA. The results showed that EBV-DNA was significantly higher in IRP patients compared to control subjects. The data were presented as the median. The results were statistically analyzed using a t-test, and the significance level was set at $P < 0.05$.

**Discussion**

The results of this study provide evidence that EBV infection is associated with IRP pathogenesis. EBV infection may activate B lymphocytes, leading to abnormal immune responses and the development of IRP. Further studies are needed to investigate the mechanisms by which EBV infection activates B lymphocytes and contributes to IRP pathogenesis.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, EBV infection is likely to be involved in the pathogenesis of IRP. Further studies are needed to investigate the mechanisms by which EBV infection activates B lymphocytes and contributes to IRP pathogenesis.
EBV infections are the IgM and IgG antibodies specific to the EBV capsid antigen, respectively. In patients with acute EBV infection, EBVCA-IgM titers peak at 3–4 weeks after infection, whereas EBVCA-IgG titers peak at 1–2 months after infection, then decrease slightly and remain in the body for the rest of a patient’s life. Our results revealed that EBV infection is associated with IRP pathogenesis, as the positive rate of EBVCA-IgM and CD19+ B lymphocyte EBV-DNA copy in the IRP group were significantly higher than those in the control group \( P < 0.05 \). CD19+ B lymphocyte EBV-DNA copies were also more abundant in IRP patients than in control subjects \( P < 0.05 \).

Binding of the EBV membrane glycoprotein gp350/220 to CD21 on B lymphocytes likely initiates adsorption, capping,
and endocytosis [23, 24]. These are accompanied by increased mRNA synthesis, blast transformation, homotypic cell adhesion, surface CD23 expression, and IL-6 production [25]. It has been demonstrated that EBV infection of target cells depends on the density of CD21 molecules [26]. EBV also induces sustained CD23 overexpression in B lymphocytes. CD23 is a multifunctional molecule that stimulates the growth of B lymphocytes [27]. The activation of CD23 molecules, and EBV binding to CD21, can promote the proliferation of B lymphocytes [7]. Many proteins can promote cell proliferation and activation [28]. Our results revealed that CD19+CD21+ and CD19+CD23+ B cell levels and sCD23 serum levels were significantly higher in patients with anti-EBVCA IgM positivity than in patients with anti-EBVCA IgM negativity. The possible mechanism of action is as follows: After B lymphocytes in IRP patients are infected with EBV, transactivator proteins EBNA2 and LMP1 are produced to activate the CD21 and CD23 genes, which results in sustained high expression of CD21 and CD23 in B cells. Once separated, CD23 becomes sCD23, the autocrine B cell growth factor, which binds to CD21 and activates the production of tyrosine protein kinase. This leads to the production and proliferation of antibody-releasing B lymphocytes, resulting in immune responses and damage. We also calculated the $\kappa/\lambda$ ratios for CD19+ B lymphocytes in IRP patients. Ratios were not $>3:1$ or $<1:3$. Hence, the abnormal activation of B lymphocytes in IRP patients was polyclonal rather than clonal.

As previously stated, EBV infection of B lymphocytes leads to their activation. After the lymphocytes are activated, the expression of some antigens with related functions, which can receive extracellular signals, increases. CD80 and CD86 belong to the B7 family, members of which are the principal active antigens on B lymphocyte surfaces [29, 30]. In addition, CD5 of activated B lymphocytes was unregulated. CD5 lymphocytes are considered the principal cells that produce autoantibodies against IgM. They can form an authoritative cell bank that is linked to the development of autoimmune diseases [31–33]. Our results revealed that CD19+CD5+, CD19+CD80+, and CD19+CD86+ B cell abundances were significantly higher in patients with anti-EBVCA IgM positivity than in patients with anti-EBVCA IgM negativity. This may be because EBV activates B lymphocytes upon infection, leading to increases in the abundances of their activator antigens CD5, CD80, and CD86, which play major roles in maintaining immune stability. Abnormal expression of CD80 and CD86 may lead to the initiation and exacerbation of autoimmune diseases. CD80 and CD86 are mainly expressed in activated B lymphocytes. They supply the second signal for T cell activation and induce the T cells to increase CD5+ B lymphocyte abundance and
autoantibody production. The body then produces autoantibodies against hematopoietic cells in the bone marrow, thereby inhibiting and/or destroying the hematopoietic cells, which leads to hematopoietic failure in the bone marrow and peripheral blood cell decline. These events may contribute to IRP pathogenesis.

After a year of follow-up with the IRP patients, we found that the remission rate of patients with anti-EBVCA IgM positivity was significantly lower than that of patients with anti-EBVCA IgM negativity, indicating that EBV infection delays IRP remission. This increases the patient’s economic expenses and reduces the quality of life. However, white blood cell count did not differ significantly between the two groups. The granulocyte colony-stimulating factor may have caused the leukocyte number to increase in leukopenia patients, which would then affect our statistical analyses.

In summary, the positive rate of EBVCA-IgM and CD19 + B lymphocyte EBV-DNA copy in the IRP group were significantly higher than those in the control group. CD19+ B lymphocyte EBV-DNA copy numbers were significantly higher in IRP patients than in control subjects. Expression levels of CD21, CD23, CD5, CD80, and CD86 on CD19+ B cell surfaces were significantly higher in IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM positivity than in IRP patients with anti-EBVCA IgM negativity. EBV infection may be important for activating CD19+ B lymphocytes and may cause further disruption to bone marrow hematopoiesis in IRP patients. This article is a retrospective study. We summarized its characteristics from clinical manifestations and found regular guidelines to apply to clinical practice. However, we have not done animal experiments, verify the other functional changes of B lymphocytes after EB virus infection, and clarify the mechanism by which EB virus affects the abnormal changes of B lymphocytes, which is our next work plan and focus.
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