DNA Sequence Analysis of Microsatellite Markers Enhances Their Efficiency for Germplasm Management in an Italian Olive Collection
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**ABSTRACT.** Genetic diversity studies using microsatellite analysis were carried out in a set of 39 accessions of *Olea europaea* L., corresponding to the majority of the regional autochthon germplasm in Apulia. Samples of olive leaves were harvested from plants growing in the olive germplasm collection of the Consiglio per la Ricerca e Sperimentazione in Agricoltura (C.R.A.–Istituto Sperimentale per l’Olivicoltura) at Rende in Cosenza Italy. Herein, we evaluated the extent to which microsatellite analysis using electrophoresis was capable of identifying traditional olive cultivars. In addition, the DNA sequence of all amplicons was determined and the number of repeat units was established for each sample. Using five loci, electrophoretic analysis identified 24 genotype profiles, while DNA sequence analysis detected 28 different genotype profiles, identifying 54% of cultivars. The remaining 46% were composed of seven different accession groups containing genetically indistinguishable cultivars, which are presumably synonyms. This study demonstrates the utility of microsatellite markers for management of olive germplasm and points out the high level of polymorphisms in microsatellite repeats when coupled with DNA sequence analysis. The establishment of genetic relationships among cultivars in the Apulian germplasm collection allows for the construction of a molecular database that can be used to establish the genetic relationships between known and unknown cultivars.
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target market. Consequently, in most cultivation areas rarefaction of the minor cultivars occurs, which can be considered as a threat in terms of biodiversity. Therefore, to look for alternative sources of material useful for increasing production and/or quality and to preserve minor cultivars as well as wild oleaster (O. europaea ssp. sylvestris Hoffgg et Link) and feral olive trees (originating either from varieties or from hybridization between a variety and an oleaster), which are a natural reserve of genetic diversity, efforts should be made to collect and characterize the olive germplasm.

At the same time, it would be important to improve the ex situ plant germplasm collection and utilize it to adequately characterize all accessions and develop future breeding programs. In this respect, several Mediterranean countries have promoted international olive germplasm collections, including Cordoba, Spain; Porquerolles, France; Marrakesh, Morocco; and Cosenza, Italy, which hosts most of the Mediterranean cultivars.

The Italian germplasm collection is large and variegated on a regional scale, because each region has gradually selected cultivars that were subjected to progressive adaptation. In fact, this has been recognized by the European Council Regulation (EEC) 2081/92 on the Protected Designation of Origin and Protected Geographical Indication, thus reinforcing the need to institute a molecular database for Italian olive germplasm. Based on estimates by the FAO Plant Production and Protection Division, Olive Germplasm (Bartolini et al., 1998; State of Italy, 1994), the collection contains more than 800 different cultivars. It therefore represents an important reserve of genetic diversity for the entire Mediterranean basin.

Large efforts have been made to characterize olive germplasm using different types of biochemical and molecular markers. Previous studies have used isozymes (Lumaret et al., 2004; Perri et al., 1995), RAPDs (Belaj et al., 2002, 2003a; Fabbrì et al., 1995; Fodale et al., 2006; Lombardo et al., 2003, 2004; Perri et al., 2000; Wiesman et al., 1998), AFLPs (Angiolillo et al., 1999), ISSR and sequence analysis of nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 1 (Hesse et al., 2000), mitochondrial RFLPs (Besnard and Bervillé, 2000), and chloroplast RFLPs (Besnard and Bervillé, 2002; Besnard et al., 2002).

More recently, several microsatellites have been isolated from olives (Carriero et al., 2002; Cipriani et al., 2002; De la Rosa et al., 2002; Rallo et al., 2000; Sefc et al., 2000) and, at present, it has become common to utilize these markers in conjunction with other molecular markers (Belja et al., 2003b; Godino et al., 2005; Khadari et al., 2003; De la Rosa et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2004). SSR markers are easily amplified by PCR and can thus be used on non-invasively sampled material; the results are also highly reproducible among different labs. SSRs are co-dominant markers, showing a large number of polymorphisms per primer set, and are thus very informative. Almost all the reports of cultivar identification using SSRs assessed differences in the length of the amplified alleles (Belja et al., 2003b; Godino et al., 2005; Khadari et al., 2003; De la Rosa et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2004).

In the present paper we report the identification, using five SSR markers, of traditional olive cultivars from the Apulia region (Italy), which is a major area for olive cultivation in Italy with a strategic geographical location in the Mediterranean basin. We also show, by DNA sequence analysis of microsatellite alleles, that a very high degree of polymorphism is present, and that alleles with an identical length may have a different repeated motif. Further analysis on the genetic significance of these polymorphisms is needed.

Material and Methods

Olive Germplasm Collection. Thirty-nine accessions of olive plants were used, corresponding to the majority of the regional autochthonous Apulian germplasm (Table 1). Samples of olive leaves were harvested from plants growing in the olive germplasm collection of the C.R.A.–Istituto Sperimentale per l’Olivicoltura di Rende, Cosenza, located along the Ionian coasts near Mirto-Crosia (Calabria region, southern Italy).

To evaluate intra-cultivar variability, we analyzed 10% of the accessions by verifying that the individuals belonging to the same cultivar showed identical genotypes (Lombardo et al., 2004). Among the 39 accessions studied, six cultivars, ‘Cellina di Nardò’, ‘Cima di Mola’, ‘Coratina’, ‘Ogliarola Barese’, ‘Ogliarola di Lecce’, and ‘Pizzuta’, are cultivated in the main production areas over a large surface (several thousand hectares), and are the most relevant for the regional agricultural economy. The cultivars Bella di Cerignola, Ogliastra Garganica, Peranzana, Rotondella, and Sant’Agostino cover several thousand hectares, whereas the remaining 28 cultivars are located in small areas and represent an important genetic reserve for the autochthonous Apulian germplasm (Lombardo et al., 2004).

DNA Extraction. Total genomic DNA was extracted from fresh leaves using the CTAB method according to Muzzalupo and Perri (2002). After extraction, samples were treated with RNase A (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis) for 30 min at 37 °C and run on 0.8% (w/v) agarose gels (FMC BioProducts). PCR products were analyzed using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Tecnologies, Waldbronn, Germany) by using the DNA weight molecular marker (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis) and run on 0.8% (w/v) agarose gels (FMC BioProducts, Rockland, Maine) in 1X Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer in the presence of ethidium bromide (1 μg·mL–1). A DNA weight molecular marker (Sigma Chemical Co.) was used to estimate the concentration of samples.

DNA Amplification. Several primer pairs from previously published studies were evaluated. Among the primer sets designed by Carriero et al. (2002), GAPU45, GAPU59, and GAPU71A were assessed due to the relatively large number of different alleles amplified. For the same reason, the UDO01 and UDO39 loci described by Cipriani et al. (2002) were also amplified.

PCR reactions were carried out in 50 μL containing 20 ng of DNA, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 50 mM KCl, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.25 μM forward and reverse primers, and 0.05 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany).

PCR conditions comprised an initial denaturing step at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 40 s and 72 °C for 30 s plus a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min in a GeneAmp PCR 9600 (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.). PCR products were analyzed using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) by using the DNA 500 LabChip Kit (Burns et al., 2003), which provides an estimate of the length of any amplified product.

Sequencing. All the SSR amplification products were subjected to direct DNA sequence analysis since different alleles may have similar or even identical lengths, but different repeating units. Consequently, the exact number of repeat units was established for every sample. PCR products were run in 3% (w/v) agarose gels (FMC BioProducts) in TBE 1X Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer in the presence of ethidium bromide (1 μg·mL–1) at 100 V for 4 h. Amplified bands were excised from the gel and purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen Spa, Milan, Italy). The purified products were analyzed using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies) on a DNA 500 Chip (Burns et al., 2003) and the yield of template for any allele was estimated for sequencing reactions.
Sequence analysis was performed utilizing Sequencing Analysis 3.7 software (PE Applied Biosystems). Alleles of the same length were isolated from 3% (w/v) agarose gels. The PCR products were cloned using a PCR-Script™AMP Cloning Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Plasmid DNA was isolated using a NucleoSpin Plasmid kit (Macherey-Nagel AG, Oensingen, Switzerland) and the inserts were sequenced.

The sequencing reactions were performed in a GeneAmp PCR 9600 (PE Applied Biosystems) using a BigDye Terminator v.1.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (PE Applied Biosystems) utilizing 25 cycles of 96 °C for 10 s, 50 °C for 5 s, and 60 °C for 4 min and then loaded on an ABI Prism 310 Sequencer (PE Applied Biosystems).

DATA ANALYSIS. The expected heterozygosity (He) of each microsatellite was calculated according to the formula \( \text{He} = 1 - \sum p_i^2 \) (Nei, 1973), where \( p_i \) is the allele frequency for the \( i^{th} \) allele at one locus and \( \text{He} \) is the probability that two alleles from the same locus are different when chosen at random. \( \text{He} \) and observed heterozygosity (Ho) were calculated using POPGENE 32 software (Yeh et al., 1997).

By using the same software to calculate the effective allele number or \( N_{ef} \) (Kimura and Crow, 1964), the reciprocal of homozygosity was estimated (Hartl and Clark, 1989); Nei’s genetic identities were calculated to evaluate the inter-cultivar differentiation and the genetic distances among cultivars. The number of alleles detected (Nde, counts the number of alleles with nonzero frequency) and exclusive (Nex, counts the number of alleles present) with and without sequencing SSR loci was calculated using the same software (Table 2).

---

**Table 1. List of the 39 olive accessions analyzed from the olive germplasm collection of the Apulia region of Italy, including their local synonyms and the main production areas.**

| Accessions          | Local synonyms                       | Cultivation area          |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bella di Cerignola  | Grossa di Spagna, Oliva a Prugna, etc.| Bari, Foggia, Lecce, Taranto |
| Bella di Spagna     | Belle d’Espagne, Belle of Spain      | Foggia                    |
| Butirra Melpignano  | ---                                  | Lecce                     |
| Carmelitana         | ---                                  | Bari                      |
| Cazzinicchio        | ---                                  | Bari                      |
| Cellina di Nardo    | Cafarella, Cellina Inchiastri, etc.  | Bari, Brindisi, Lecce, Taranto |
| Cerassel           | Bicarrese, Riccia, Rotondella        | Bari, Foggia, Taranto      |
| Cima di Mola        | Cima di Monopoli, Moles, etc.        | Bari, Brindisi            |
| Coratina            | Cima di Corato, Racemo, etc.         | Apulia region             |
| Dolce di Cassano    | ---                                  | Bari                      |
| Dolce d’Andria     | Dolce di Sannicandro, Dolce di Puglia | Bari, Foggia, Lecce       |
| Dolce Mele          | Mele                                 | Bari                      |
| Frangivento        | Cipressino, Olivo di Pietrafitta, etc.| Lecce, Taranto            |
| Lezze              | Oliastro, Oliastro di Conversano     | Bari, Brindisi, Taranto   |
| Marineese           | Cima di Bitonto, Ogliarola Barese, Ogliarola Garganica, etc. | Foggia |
| Mele               | Amele, Melo Dolce, etc.              | Bari, Brindisi, Taranto   |
| Mora               | ---                                  | Bari                      |
| Morellona di Grecia | Nera di Grecia                       | Lecce                     |
| Nociara            | ---                                  | Bari, Brindisi, Taranto   |
| Nolca              | Anno1ca, Dolce di Barbarano, etc.     | Apulia region             |
| Nzimbibolo         | ---                                  | Foggia                    |
| Ogliarola Barese   | Cima di Bitonto                      | Bari, Brindisi, Foggia, Lecce |
| Ogliarola Garganica| Cima di Bitonto                      | Foggia                    |
| Ogliarola di Lecce | Ogliarola Salentina, Pizzuta, Chiarita, etc. | Apulia region |
| Oliastro           | Olivastro di Conversano, Lezze       | Bari, Brindisi, Taranto   |
| Oliva Dolce di Barbarano | Nolca           | Lecce                     |
| Oliva Rossa        | ---                                  | Bari                      |
| Pasola             | Fasola, Passula, Calabrese, Frasola, etc.| Apulia region            |
| Pasola d’Andria    | ---                                  | Bari                      |
| Peppino Leo        | ---                                  | Bari                      |
| Peranzana          | Provenzale, Francese, Tondina, etc.  | Bari, Foggia, Lecce, Taranto |
| Pizzuta            | Ogliarola di Lecce                   | Bari, Brindisi, Lecce, Taranto |
| Racemo             | Coratina                             | Bari                      |
| Rotondella         | ---                                  | Foggia                    |
| San Benedetto      | ---                                  | Lecce                     |
| Sant’Agostino      | Grossa Andriesana, Oliva Grossa, etc.| Apulia region            |
| Simonac           | ---                                  | Bari                      |
| Termite di Bitetto | Cima di Bitetto, Mele di Bitetto, etc.| Bari, Brindisi, Taranto   |
| Toscana            | Oliva a Grappa                       | Brindisi                  |

\(^{a}\)Bartolini et al., 1998.  
\(^{b}\)Lombardo et al., 2004.  
\(^{c}\)State of Italy, 1994.  
\(^{d}\)Ferrara and Lamparelli, 1995.
TFPGA software version 1.3 (Miller, 1997) was used to construct the phenogram in Fig. 1. This procedure is designed to provide users with a graphical representation of Nei’s (1972) genetic distance data from which relationships may be inferred. Swofford and Olsen (1990) provide an excellent summary of the unweighted pair-group method using an arithmetic average (UPGMA) algorithm and the assumptions of this clustering method.

**Results**

**Olive SSR loci and genetic diversity.** After an initial screening, five primer pairs were chosen for further analysis based on their polymorphic index and reproducibility of amplification. The DNA sequence of all amplicons was determined and the exact number of repeat units was established for each sample. In fact, we observed that some alleles showed the same length but different sequences due to a sequence variant that interrupted the tandem repeat unit motif. A total of 28 alleles was found in the five loci (Table 2), with an average of 5.6 alleles/locus, ranging from 2 alleles/locus at UDO01 to 9 alleles/locus at UDO39. This is comparable to the number of alleles among olive cultivars reported by Rallo et al. (2000), but somewhat lower than that published by Khadari et al. (2003), probably because it included a large number of foreign cultivars. Interestingly, only 24 of the 28 alleles were distinguishable by electrophoretic analysis, with an average of 4.8 alleles/locus (Table 2), since these had the same length but minor nucleotide changes.

---

Table 2. SSR loci in 39 accessions of olive germplasm from the collection of the Apulia region of Italy. For each locus, the number of detected (Nde), effective (Nef), and exclusive (Nex) alleles was obtained with and without sequencing. Nde, Nef, and Nex were calculated using POPGENE 32 software.

| Locus   | Nde   | Nef   | Nex | Nde   | Nef   | Nex |
|---------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|
| GAPU45  | 5.00  | 4.16  | 0.00| 4.00  | 2.44  | 0.00|
| GAPU59  | 6.00  | 3.68  | 1.00| 4.00  | 2.13  | 0.00|
| GAPU71A | 6.00  | 2.66  | 1.00| 5.00  | 2.43  | 1.00|
| UDO01   | 2.00  | 1.62  | 0.00| 2.00  | 1.62  | 0.00|
| UDO39   | 9.00  | 5.11  | 1.00| 9.00  | 5.11  | 1.00|
| Total   | 28.00 | 17.23 | 3.00| 24.00 | 13.73 | 2.00|
| Mean    | 5.60  | 3.45  | --- | 4.80  | 2.75  | --- |
| SD      | 2.51  | 1.35  | --- | 2.59  | 1.36  | --- |

![Fig. 1. Dendrogram of 28 olive genotypes generated by UPGMA cluster analysis based on Nei’s (1972) genetic distances obtained using TFPGA software version 1.3. Genotype number corresponds to those reported in Table 4. Capital letters in the dendrogram correspond to different clusters.](image-url)
The size range and the sequenced repeat motifs for all loci are reported in Table 3. The shortest allele among these five loci was 108 bp in length in UDO39, while the longest allele was 228 bp in GAPU71A. As mentioned above, four alleles were not distinguishable by electrophoresis and showed different DNA sequences (Table 3). These included allele 183A/183B bp at GAPU45, alleles 208A/208B bp and 222E/222F bp at GAPU59, and alleles 210A/210B bp at GAPU71A (Table 3).

The lowest allelic frequency (0.013) was observed in alleles 222F bp of GAPU59 and 228 bp GAPU71A in 'Cellina di Nardò' and 142 bp at UDO39 in 'Butirra di Melpignano', whereas allele 144 bp of the less polymorphic locus UDO01 showed the highest frequency (0.744). Three alleles were present only once in all the cultivars analyzed (Table 4).

The highest genotypic frequency (0.74) was observed at UDO01 for the 144–144 genotype, while the lowest frequencies (0.03) were detected for the 208A–208A, 208A–222F, and 210B–224B genotypes at GAPU59, and alleles 210A/210B bp at GAPU71A (Table 3).

The observed heterozygosity for the 39 cultivars ranged from 0.00 at UDO01 to 0.77 at the GAPU45 locus, which was lower than the expected value. Table 5 shows the observed and expected heterozygosities obtained by either electrophoretic or DNA sequence analysis.

### Table 3. Repeat motif and sequence size of the SSR amplification products employed in the characterization of olive accessions. For every locus only the variable sequence region is reported. The underlined nucleotides represent sequence variants detected by DNA sequence analysis.

| Locus     | Size of allele (bp) | Sequence motif                        |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| GAPU45    | 183A                | C(AG)7 CTTCAAG                        |
|           | 183B                | C(AG)8 CTTCG                          |
|           | 185                 | C(AG)8 CTTCAAG                        |
|           | 192                 | GTG(AG)9                              |
|           | 196                 | GTG(AG)10 TGG(AG)1                      |
| GAPU59    | 208A                | AA(CT)10                              |
|           | 208B                | (CT)11                                |
|           | 212                 | (CT)12                                |
|           | 218                 | AA(CT)13                              |
|           | 222E                | (CT)14 TCT                            |
|           | 222F                | (CT)15                                |
| GAPU71A   | 210A                | (AG)10 AAG                            |
|           | 210B                | (AG)11                                |
|           | 212                 | (AG)12                                |
|           | 214                 | (AG)13                                |
|           | 224                 | (AG)15                                |
|           | 228                 | (AG)17                                |
| UDO01     | 140                 | (CA)10 AA                              |
|           | 144                 | (CA)11                                |
| UDO39     | 108                 | AA(ATA)12 (AT)11                      |
|           | 142                 | (AT)12 (GT)12 (GC)12 A(GCT)12 TTG      |
|           | 146                 | (AT)12 (GT)12 (GC)12 A(GCT)12 ATGT      |
|           | 164                 | (AT)12 (GT)12 (GC)12 GGAT(CT)12 (GT)12 GCGTGCATGTGG |
|           | 170                 | (AT)12 (GT)12 (GC)12 GGAT(CT)12 (GT)12 GCGTGCATGTGG |
|           | 173                 | (AT)12 (GT)12 (GC)12 GGAT(CT)12 (GT)12 GCGTGCATGTGG |
|           | 175                 | (AT)12 (GT)12 (GC)12 GGAT(CT)12 (GT)12 GCGTGCATGTGG |
|           | 184                 | (AT)12 (GT)12 (GC)12 GGAT(CT)12 (GT)12 GCGTGCATGTGG |
|           | 188                 | (AT)12 (GT)12 (GC)12 GGAT(CT)12 (GT)12 GCGTGCATGTGG |

The size range and the sequenced repeat motifs for all loci are reported in Table 3. The shortest allele among these five loci was 108 bp in length in UDO39, while the longest allele was 228 bp in GAPU71A. As mentioned above, four alleles were not distinguishable by electrophoresis and showed different DNA sequences (Table 3). These included allele 183A/183B bp at GAPU45, alleles 208A/208B bp and 222E/222F bp at GAPU59, and alleles 210A/210B bp at GAPU71A (Table 3).

The lowest allelic frequency (0.013) was observed in alleles 222F bp of GAPU59 and 228 bp GAPU71A in 'Cellina di Nardò' and 142 bp at UDO39 in 'Butirra di Melpignano', whereas allele 144 bp of the less polymorphic locus UDO01 showed the highest frequency (0.744). Three alleles were present only once in all the cultivars analyzed (Table 4).

The highest genotypic frequency (0.74) was observed at UDO01 for the 144–144 genotype, while the lowest frequencies (0.03) were detected for the 208A–208A, 208A–222F, and 210B–224B genotypes at GAPU59, and alleles 210A/210B bp at GAPU71A (Table 3).

The observed heterozygosity for the 39 cultivars ranged from 0.00 at UDO01 to 0.77 at the GAPU45 locus, which was lower than the expected value. Table 5 shows the observed and expected heterozygosities obtained by either electrophoretic or DNA sequence analysis.

### Genetic Relationships between Olive Cultivars.

28 unique genotype profiles were obtained using only five loci (Table 4), which identified 54% of the cultivars analyzed (genotypes 1–21). The remaining 46% probably comprises seven different accession groups in which the cultivars are genetically indistinguishable from one another, potentially representing cases of synonymy (Table 4). The first group comprises 'Bella di Spagna' and 'Bella di Cerignola' (genotype 22); the second, 'Cerasella', 'Nolca', and 'Olivo Mele' (genotype 23); the third, 'Cima di Mola', 'Ogliarola di Lecce', and 'Pizzuta' (genotype 24); the fourth, 'Coratina' and 'Racemo' (genotype 25); the fifth, 'Dolce d’Andria' and 'Dolce Mele' (genotype 26); the sixth, 'Lezze', 'Oliastro', and 'Oliva Rossa' (genotype 27); and the last, 'Marinese', 'Ogliarola Barese', and 'Ogliarola Garganica' (genotype 28). These possible cases of synonymy were in agreement with previous data using RAPDs (39 primers) and morphological (36 parameters) analysis (Lombardo et al., 2004) obtained using the same set of olive trees.

Genotype 3 showed the highest percentage of shared alleles (90%) with genotype 8, as was the case for genotype 12 with 28, 17 with 22, and 19 with 26, while genotype 1 showed the lowest percentage (0%) with genotype 27. Genotype 4 showed the highest percentage of shared alleles with genotypes 25 and 27, while genotype 6 showed the highest percentage of shared
alleles with genotype 25. Therefore, the highest values of genetic identity (Nei, 1987) were observed between genotypes 17 and 22 (0.94), genotypes 3 and 8 (0.93), genotypes 12 and 28 (0.93), and genotypes 19 and 26 (0.93).

Genetic distances (Nei, 1972) were utilized to obtain a phenogram based on the UPGMA algorithm of clustering (Fig. 1). Two distinct clusters of olive cultivars were clearly recognizable. The first cluster included 74% of cultivars examined, while the second cluster contained 26% of cultivars.

**Discussion**

Our results show that SSR markers can be successfully used to characterize the collection of Apulian olive germplasm, using existing primers, without the expensive development of new markers. In fact, the SSR loci used in this work were previously selected based on their high polymorphic index (Carriero et al., 2002; Cipriani et al., 2002). Most importantly, it should be highlighted that the efficacy of classic microsatellite analysis by size comparison of alleles can be further enhanced by DNA sequence analysis, by allowing for the individuation of alleles not detectable by size analysis alone.

Almost all reports of cultivar identification using SSRs employed a greater number of primers with simple determination of the length of the amplified product. In the present report, we improved the specificity of this analysis by sequencing the amplicons from only five SSR loci. In fact, alleles with similar or even identical lengths may have different sequences. The presence of sequence variants within microsatellites, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), could be particularly useful in the development of molecular markers for characterization of germplasm. The identification of 28 different genotypes and the

---

Table 4. Molecular characterization of 39 olive accessions by sequencing of the amplicons from five SSR loci (GAPU45, GAPU59, GAPU71A, UDO01 and UDO39). Unique genotype (UG) profiles and the exclusive alleles (in bold) obtained from the combination of sequencing SSR loci are reported. Seven different accession groups (genotypes from 22 to 28) are genetically indistinguishable from one another.

| Accessions                  | GAPU45 | GAPU59 | GAPU71A | UDO01 | UDO39 | UG   |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|-------|------|
| Butirra di Melpignano       | 182–196| 222E   | 214     | 144   | 108–142| 1    |
| Carmelitana                 | 183A–196| 208A–208B| 214–224| 144   | 184   | 2    |
| Cazziccio                   | 183A–183B| 208B   | 210A–214| 140   | 108–184| 3    |
| Cellina di Nardó            | 196    | 208A–222F| 214–228| 144   | 108–188| 4    |
| Dolce di Cassano            | 183B–185| 208A–208B| 214–224| 144   | 108–175| 5    |
| Frangivento                 | 196    | 208A   | 214     | 144   | 173–184| 6    |
| Mora                        | 183A–196| 208A–208B| 210A–224| 144   | 108–170| 7    |
| Morellona di Grecia         | 183A–183B| 208B–222E| 210A–214| 140   | 108–184| 8    |
| Nociara                     | 183A   | 208B–222E| 210B–214| 140   | 170–173| 9    |
| Oliva Dolce di Barbarano    | 183B   | 222E   | 214–224| 140   | 146   | 10   |
| Pasola                      | 182–196| 208A–218| 214     | 144   | 175   | 11   |
| Pasola d’Andria             | 183A–183B| 208A–208B| 214–224| 144   | 170   | 12   |
| Peppino Leo                 | 183B–182| 208A–218| 214     | 144   | 108   | 13   |
| Peranzana                   | 183B–182| 208B–222E| 212–214| 144   | 108–173| 14   |
| Rotondella                  | 183B–196| 208B–222E| 214     | 140   | 188   | 15   |
| San Benedetto               | 183A–196| 208A–208B| 214     | 144   | 173   | 16   |
| Sant’Agostino               | 183A–196| 208B   | 210B–214| 144   | 108   | 17   |
| Simona                      | 182–196| 208B–222E| 210B   | 144   | 108–164| 18   |
| Termite di Bitetto          | 183B–185| 208B–218| 214     | 144   | 108–170| 19   |
| Toscana                     | 183A–182| 218    | 210B–214| 144   | 164   | 20   |
| Nzimbibolo                  | 182–196| 208B–218| 214–224| 144   | 108   | 21   |
| Bella di Spagna             | 183A   | 208B   | 210B–214| 144   | 108   | 22   |
| Bella di Cerignola          | 183A   | 208B   | 210B–214| 144   | 108   | 22   |
| Cerasella                   | 183B–196| 208A–208B| 214     | 144   | 108–170| 23   |
| Mele                        | 183B–196| 208A–208B| 214     | 144   | 108–170| 23   |
| Nolca                       | 183B–196| 208A–208B| 214     | 144   | 108–170| 23   |
| Cima di Mola                | 183B   | 212    | 210B–214| 144   | 175   | 24   |
| Oliarola di Lecce           | 183B   | 212    | 210B–214| 144   | 175   | 24   |
| Pizzuta                     | 183B   | 212    | 210B–214| 144   | 175   | 24   |
| Coratina                    | 183A–182| 208B   | 210B–224| 140   | 170   | 25   |
| Racemo                      | 183A–182| 208B   | 210B–224| 140   | 170   | 25   |
| Dolce d’Andria              | 183B–182| 208A–218| 214     | 144   | 108–170| 26   |
| Dolce Mele                  | 183B–182| 208A–218| 214     | 144   | 108–170| 26   |
| Lezze                       | 183A–183B| 208B–218| 210A    | 140   | 146–184| 27   |
| Oliastro                    | 183A–183B| 208B–218| 210A    | 140   | 146–184| 27   |
| Oliva Rossa                 | 183A–183B| 208B–218| 210A    | 140   | 146–184| 27   |
| Marinese                    | 183B–182| 208A–208B| 214–224| 144   | 170   | 28   |
| Oliarola Barese             | 183B–182| 208A–208B| 214–224| 144   | 170   | 28   |
| Oliarola Garganica          | 183B–182| 208A–208B| 214–224| 144   | 170   | 28   |

The numbers used coincide with the numbers used in the dendrogram of the germplasm from the collection of the Apulia region of Italy (Fig. 1).
Table 5. Analysis of molecular data of 39 olive accessions from the germplasm collection of the Apulia region of Italy by means of five SSR loci. Observed (Ho) and expected heterozygosity (He) values were obtained from the combination of with and without sequencing SSR loci. Ho and He were calculated using POPGENE 32 software.

| Locus   | With sequencing | Without sequencing |
|---------|-----------------|--------------------|
|         | Ho   | He   | Ho   | He   |
| GAPU45  | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.61 | 0.60 |
| GAPU59  | 0.67 | 0.73 | 0.38 | 0.54 |
| GAPU71A | 0.59 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.60 |
| UDO01   | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.39 |
| UDO39   | 0.49 | 0.80 | 0.49 | 0.81 |
| Mean    | 0.50 | 0.66 | 0.41 | 0.59 |
| SD      | 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.15 |

Detection of 21 cultivars with unique genotypes demonstrated by analysis of SSRs show that it is an efficient tool to genotype our collection of olive germplasm and may be useful to characterize additional accessions.

Among the cultivar groups showing shared genotypes, we can conclude that, as already inferred, they are in fact synonyms. By comparison of the molecular profiles obtained from the five SSR loci, we observed that the same genotype is shared among two or more cultivars in genotypes ranging from 22 and 28 (Table 4). Genotype 22 consisted of two accessions, ‘Bella di Spagna’ and ‘Bella di Cercignola’, which was in accordance with morphological and molecular analysis data (Lombardo et al., 2004), but in contrast with the survey carried out by Bartolini et al. (1998). Genotype 23 included three accessions (‘Cerasella’, ‘Mele’, and ‘Nolca’), which is in agreement with morphological and molecular data (Lombardo et al., 2004), but in contrast to that reported by Bartolini et al. (1998). Genotype 24 comprises three accessions, namely ‘Ogliarola di Lecce’, ‘Pizzuta’, and ‘Cima di Mola’. According to Bartolini et al. (1998) the ‘Ogliarola di Lecce’ and ‘Pizzuta’ accessions are synonyms. The results with ‘Cima di Mola’ are in contrast with the data of Bartolini et al. (1998), but in agreement with morphological observations and the results of RAPDs (Lombardo et al., 2004). The ‘Coratina’ and ‘Racemo’ accessions, belonging to genotype 25, are synonyms according to literature data (Bartolini et al., 1998; Ferraera et al., 1995; Lombardo et al., 2004). Genotype 26 includes two accessions: ‘Dolce di Andria’ and ‘Dolce Mele’, which were identical according to morphological and RAPDs data (Lombardo et al., 2004), but in contrast to the survey carried out by Bartolini et al. (1998). Genotype 27 comprises three accessions, ‘Lezze’, ‘Oliastro’, and ‘Oliva Rossa’, which is in agreement with morphological observations and RAPDs analysis (Lombardo et al., 2004). Genotype 28 included the ‘Marinese’, ‘Ogliarola Barese’, and ‘Ogliarola Garganica’ accessions, which are synonyms according to available data (Bartolini et al., 1998; Lombardo et al., 2004). In fact, these names are local synonyms for ‘Cima di Bitonto’ (Bartolini et al., 1998). It is very common to attribute different names to the same cultivar, therefore, characterization of synonyms is very important in order to avoid genotype redundancy and to maximize genetic diversity in olive germplasm collections.

This study confirmed the utility of molecular analysis of olive germplasm and demonstrated the high level of polymorphisms in microsatellites, which is further augmented by DNA sequence analysis by revealing polymorphisms present in the microsatellite repeat. This is an important consideration since the additional costs of DNA sequencing must be weighed against the additional benefits in terms of cultivar identification and classification. In fact, the observed variability may also be used to measure genetic distances among different entities and to affirm with reasonable certainty if homogeneous genetic entities are present.

Large efforts have been made in characterizing olive germplasm using different types of biochemical and molecular markers. Analysis of SSRs in cultivars in the Apulian germplasm collection allowed us to construct a molecular catalog that can be used to compare the molecular pattern of the various cultivars as well as to other samples of unknown origin, avoiding the collection of redundant genetic entities. The use of molecular markers like SSRs, in addition to other information, is imperative in order to build a database for cultivar analysis and for appropriate management of olive germplasm collections.
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