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**ABSTRACT**

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) is an important multipurpose legume crop grown in arid and semi-arid areas of sub-Saharan Africa. The crop associates with a wide diversity of high ecological value rhizobia bacteria, improving biological soil fertility and crop production. Here, we evaluated the symbiotic efficiency (SE) and genetic diversity of native rhizobia isolated from root nodules of cowpea genotypes cultivated in semi-arid areas of lower Eastern Kenya. Rhizobia trapping and SE experiments were done in the greenhouse while genetic diversity was evaluated based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Twenty morphologically distinct isolates representing a total of 94 isolates were used for genetic analysis. After 16S rRNA gene sequencing, the isolates closely resembled bacteria belonging to the genus *Rhizobium, Paraburkholderia* and non-rhizobial endophytes (*Enterobacter, Stenotrophomonas* and *Pseudomonas*). This study also reports for the first time the presence of an efficient native cowpea nodulating Beta-Rhizobia (*Paraburkholderia phenoliruptrix* BR3459a) in Africa. Symbiotic efficiency of the native rhizobia isolates varied (*p < 0.0001*) significantly. Remarkably, two isolates, M2 and M3 recorded higher SE of 82.49 % and 72.76 % respectively compared to the commercial strain *Bradyrhizobium* sp. USDA 3456 (67.68 %). Our results form an important step in the development of efficient microbial inoculum and sustainable food production.

**1. Introduction**

Worldwide, an estimated 3.3 million tons of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) are produced annually out of which 64 % is produced in Africa [1]. Many countries in sub-Saharan Africa are characterized by rapid growth of human population and they rely on the production of cowpea as one of the measures to curb food insecurity [2]. Predominantly cultivated by smallholder farmers, the legume serves as a main source of food in semiarid parts of Kenya, where the leaves are used as vegetables and the grains as a protein source. The crop is also a source of income and animal fodder for the low-income rural populations [3]. In sustainable agricultural systems, cowpea plays an important role in nutrient cycling particularly biological nitrogen fixation in arid and semi-arid regions. The legume also acts as a cover crop and helps overcome pollution associated with transport of sediment into surface and ground water sources [4]. Despite its significance, cowpea yields remain low in Kenya making it too risky an investment to many growers. Some of the variables linked to decline in yields are low soil fertility, low technology cultivation techniques and extensive use of inorganic fertilizers [5]. Adoption of bio-fertilizers which promote environmental and socio-economic stability of agriculture has been suggested as an alternative method with a potential to scale up cowpea yields. Rhizobia inoculants are some of the commonly recommended bio-fertilizers in production of cowpea [6].

Inoculation of cowpea with rhizobia is a viable alternative that can improve nitrogen fixation, soil fertility, and increase legume yields [7]. The practice is especially necessary in soils where the native rhizobia are incompatible with the host plants, the population is low or is inefficient in N fixation [8]. Indigenous rhizobia isolates have shown superiority in performance and therefore are recommended over exotic strains in legume production [9]. Majority of the commercial inoculants utilized particularly in Kenya contain exotic cultures that may not be well adapted to the local conditions and this may affect their competitiveness and efficiency [10]. Additionally, subtle differences in host genotype at the sub-species level have been reported to shape rhizobial populations in the soil and also influence their symbiotic efficiency [11]. Evaluating
the symbiotic efficiency (SE) and diversity of rhizobia is critical in family farming systems for enhanced cowpea production and assured food security. So far, very few trials have been conducted on rhizobia isolation and molecular characterization from the semi-arid regions of Eastern Kenya and considering cowpea cultivation offers environmental sustainability and economic growth [5], studies are necessary to genetically identify rhizobia strains in the soils capable of establishing efficient symbiotic relationships with locally grown cowpea genotypes. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the symbiotic efficiency and genetic diversity of rhizobia isolated from agricultural soils in lower Eastern Kenya by using different cowpea genotypes as the trap plant. Results of this study forms a basis upon which cheap and affordable bio-fertilizers alternatives can be developed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study sites description

The study was conducted in smallholder farms in the semi-arid areas of Embu (Mbeere South sub-county) latitude 1° 10’S longitude 37° 47’E and Kitui (Kitui West sub-county) latitude 0°46’S longitude 37°39’E counties in Eastern Kenya. Ten farms with no history of rhizobia inoculation and cultivated for more than ten years were selected (five farms per county). The areas are hot and dry with temperatures ranging from 14 °C to 34 °C and they receive an annual rainfall between 700-900 mm [12]. The areas represent some of the typical semi-arid areas in Kenya predominated by smallholder farmers where agriculture is the main stay. Key crops grown for food and cash generation in these regions include cowpeas, green grams, common beans, pigeon peas and maize. Greenhouse bioassays and laboratory experiments were carried out at Kenyatta University, Nairobi, Kenya.

2.2. Soil sampling

Twenty kilograms of soil were obtained from each farm at a depth of 5–30 cm after clearing soil debris from the soil surface. The soils from each farm were then mixed thoroughly to obtain a homogenous soil sample, packed separately and transported to Kenyatta University for greenhouse experiments. Soil samples that were not used immediately were stored at 4°C.

2.3. Greenhouse rhizobia trapping

Rhizobia trapping experiment was done in an even span greenhouse which received 12 h of sunlight while the temperature and humidity ranged from 21 to 28°C and 60–78% respectively. Three kilograms of homogenous soil samples obtained from each farm were distributed into plastic pots, conical in shape with a breadth of 17.8 cm and height 17.8 cm. The pots were sterilized before being used by washing them in 3% sodium hypochlorite and later after drying they were swabbed with 70% ethanol. The 3 kgs of soil was constituted as follows; 1.25 kg of sites soil was added to 1.75 kg of sterilized sand to make 3 kgs of soil per pot. The sand had been oven sterilized at 200°C for three consecutive days. Cowpea genotypes (K80, M66, KVU 27-1, and Kikamba, which is a preferred cowpea landrace by farmers in the study area) were used in the trapping experiment. The genotypes are recommended by Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Organization (KALRO) for ASAL areas in Kenya. The cowpea seeds were sterilized in 3% sodium hypochlorite for 5 min and rinsed in 6 changes of sterilized distilled water [13]. Three seedlings were planted pot−1 and later thinned to two after 5 days of germination. The pots were arranged in a complete randomized block design. Watering was carried out as needed where approximately 100 ml of water was added to the plants per day. Each treatment (farm soil) was replicated four times with two plants in each pot (4 cowpea varieties x 4 replicates) making a total of 160 pots representing the ten farms. Harvesting was done after 45 days. The roots and shoots were separated. The roots were carefully washed in a stream of running water after which the nodules were carefully detached. The detached root nodules for each treatment were separated and preserved by wrapping them in absorbent paper towels and left to dry at room temperature as described by Muthini et al. [13]. Shoot, root dry weights and nodulation were also determined.

2.4. Isolation and purification of nodule isolates

Nodules representing treatment were immersed in sterile distilled water and allowed to imbibe for 2 h. They were then dipped in 70% ethanol (v/v) for 30 s to remove air bubbles from the tissues and reduce surface tension. The nodules were then dipped in 3% sodium hypochlorite (v/v) for 3 min for further sterilization and then rinsed in six changes of sterile distilled water [13]. The nodules were later crushed in a drop of distilled water with a sterile glass rod. A loop full of the suspension was streaked onto Yeast Extract Mannitol Agar (YEMA) plates containing 0.025 mg/l of Congo red and incubated at 27°C in the dark [13]. Colonies emerged after three days and after five days well isolated colonies were streaked on YEMA with Congo red [13].

2.5. Morphological and biochemical grouping of nodule isolates

Morphological characteristics that include colour change, colony elevation, shape, colony size, exo-poly saccharide gum, transparency and mucosity were used for presumptive identification of the rhizobia. Biochemical identification, Bromothymol Blue Test (BTB) and Gram staining procedures were carried out as guided by Beck et al. [14]. The isolates were tested for acid/alkali production by growing them on YEMA with BTB (0.025 mg/l) indicator at pH 6.8. The cultures were then incubated at 28°C in a rotating orbital shaker for up to 5 days. The isolates were allowed to grow and then grouped as acid producing, alkali producing or neutral, depending on colour changes observed in the media [15].

2.6. Authentication of representative rhizobia isolates

The nodule forming ability of the rhizobia isolates was assessed in the even span greenhouse described in sub-topic 2.3 above. Leonard jar assemblies were prepared and they comprised of a modified plastic cup with a diameter of 8 cm (brim) and a bottom diameter of 4 cm. A rectangular hole 1.5 cm² was made at the bottom of the cup and fit with a 20 cm long wick. Prior to fitting the cups were swabbed with 70% ethanol while the wick was sterilized in 3% sodium hypochlorite. A larger plastic vessel was decontaminated using 70% ethanol and used to suspend the cup assembly [13].

Procedures described by Muthini et al. [16] were used to prepare the sterile nitrogen–free plant nutrient medium. Five stock solutions were later autoclaved at 121°C for 15 min. The rooting medium used was sterilized vermiculite. The vermiculite was soaked in water overnight then thoroughly washed for two days. For the final rinse, distilled water was used. The vermiculite was then autoclaved and later packed into the cups of the Leonard jar assemblies which were later covered with sterilized aluminum foil to maintain the sterile conditions of the assembly. The jars were then put in khaki bags for insulation.

Cowpea seeds of uniform size and shape were selected and surface sterilized in 3% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite for 5 min then rinsed in six changes of sterile distilled water [13]. The seeds were then pre-germinated on sterile moist vermiculite packed in Kilner jars for 3 days at 28°C. Three seedlings were transplanted into sterilized Leonard jars using sterile forceps and later thinned to two. Eight days after transplanting, the seedlings were inoculated with (1 ml) broth culture of each representative rhizobia isolates. The rhizobia isolates were cultured in Yeast Extract Mannitol Broth (YEMB) for three days (to exponential phase) before inoculation. Leonard jars inoculated with commercial Bradyrhizobium sp. strain USDA 3456 were used as positive controls. Jars of un-inoculated seedlings were used as negative controls. The
experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design and each treatment replicated four times. The nitrogen free media was replenished every week.

After 45 days the plants were harvested. Vermiculite and liquid medium were emptied out of the cup and Leonard jars. Plant roots were washed under running tap water to rinse off vermiculite and the attached wick removed. The plants were scored for presence and absence of nodules. The presence of a single nodule in a Leonard jar for any plant was viewed as evidence that the isolate was rhizobia [16].

2.7. Determination of symbiotic efficiency of representative rhizobia isolates

This experiment was also performed in the even span greenhouse described in sub-section 2.5 above. Sterilization, pre-germination, transplantation and thinning of cowpea seeds in Leonard jars was done as described in the authentication experiment above. After eight days, one millilitre (1 ml) broth culture of each authenticated rhizobia isolate and a reference strain Bradyrhizobium sp. strain USDA 3456 were inoculated onto the seedlings. Plants inoculated with the reference strain (Bradyrhizobium sp. strain USDA 3456) and those in Leonard jars supplied with nitrogen (sterile 0.05 % KNO₃ solution) were used as positive controls. Non-inoculated plants in Leonard jars supplied with nitrogen free media were used as negative controls. The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design and each treatment was replicated five times. Nutrient medium in the jars was replenished every week. Harvesting was done after 45 days using procedures proposed by Beck et al. [14]. Shoots were separated from the roots after which the roots were carefully washed with tap water. All the nodules were detached and counted. Apart from nodule number (NN), nodule dry weight (NDW), shoot dry weight (SDW), and root dry weight (RDW) were recorded. Symbiotic efficiency (SE %) was calculated by dividing the total dry weight of the inoculated plants with the total dry weight of non-inoculated control plants supplemented with nitrogen (0.05 % KNO₃) × 10⁰ [16].

2.8. Genomic DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene amplification

Rhizobia cultures were re-suspended in eppendorf tubes containing 400 μl of normal saline to remove polymerase chain reaction (PCR) inhibitors like exopolysaccharides (EPS). The mixture was vortexed for 20 s then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was poured out leaving the cell pellets. These pellets were washed four times with normal saline, then harvested and re-suspended in 400 μl of genomic lysis buffer. This mixture was incubated in a water bath set at 65 °C for 30 min. This was followed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 5 min and the supernatant transferred into another sterilized eppendorf tubes. Four hundred microliters of isopropanol was then added to the supernatant and samples incubated at -20 °C. The samples were then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 3 min and the isopropanol discarded. Thereafter, 400 μl of DNA buffer (70 % alcohol) was added to the pellet, centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 1 min and the liquid phase decanted out gently. The pellets were then air-dried followed by dissolving the DNA in 50 μl of elution buffer (TE). The quality and quantity of DNA was determined by resolving SYBR green stained DNA in 0.8 % agarose gel at 80 V for 1 h. The PCR products were sent to Inqaba Biotech in (Pretoria) South Africa for purification and Sanger sequencing using a ABI 3730 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, USA). Base calling for sequenced data was done using Finch Tv software [18]. Consensus sequences were created using BioEdit software [19] after which the sequences obtained were compared with sequences in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank database using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) program. The sequence identities that were closely related to the sequences of the study isolates were retrieved from the NCBI sequence data repository. The contig and corresponding retrieved sequences were then aligned using clustal W. The evolutionary history of the isolates was then presented in the form of a phylogenetic tree by neighbor joining method using Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) 7 software [20].

2.9. 16S rRNA gene sequencing and analysis

The PCR products were sent to Inqaba Biotech in (Pretoria) South Africa for purification and Sanger sequencing using a ABI 3730 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, USA). Base calling for sequenced data was done using Finch Tv software [18]. Consensus sequences were created using BioEdit software [19] after which the sequences obtained were compared with sequences in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank database using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) program. The sequence identities that were closely related to the sequences of the study isolates were retrieved from the NCBI sequence data repository. The contig and corresponding retrieved sequences were then aligned using clustal W. The evolutionary history of the isolates was then presented in the form of a phylogenetic tree by neighbor joining method using Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) 7 software [20].

2.10. Statistical analyses

For cowpea genotypes, data on the number of nodules, nodule dry weight, shoot dry weight and root dry weight was analyzed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Means were separated by Tukey’s HSD test at 5 % probability level. All ANOVAs and post hoc tests were carried out using SAS software version 9.2 [21]. Wherever feasible, data was log (x+1) transformed to fulfill the assumptions of ANOVA.

3. Results

3.1. Morphological characteristics of representative rhizobia isolates

All the cowpea genotypes exhibited bacterial communities in their root nodules. A total of 94 root nodule isolates were obtained and placed into 20 groups based on biochemical and morphological characteristics as published in Njeru et al. [22]. The 20 groups were code-named M1 to M20.

3.2. 16S rRNA gene characterization of rhizobia isolates

The 16S rRNA gene sequencing showed that the study isolates belong to 20 different bacterial strains including Rhizobium and other non rhizobial endophytes. The amplified region of the PCR products showed definite and appropriately sized band in all lanes at approximately at 1500 base pairs (Figure 1) when resolved in 1.4 % agarose gel at 80 V for 1 h.

Alignment and sequence comparison of the 16S rRNA gene study sequences with the obtained known bacterial sequences in the NCBI database showed that the study isolates were closely related to known bacterial lineages (Table 1). The genus Rhizobium made up 45 % of the total number of isolates. BLAST revealed the presence of Rhizobium alii strain S10001 (99.71 % 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity with isolate M1); Rhizobium mesniosicium strain CCBU 25010 (99.09 % 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity with isolate M3); Rhizobium sp. strain 1616 (99.93 % 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity with isolate M5); Rhizobium sp. L120T (100 % 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity with isolate M6); Rhizobium tropici strain SY137 (91.16 % 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity with isolate M11); Rhizobium pusense strain CFBP5875 (99.85 % 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity with isolate M17); Rhizobium pusense
strains WTB176 (99.78 % 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity with isolate M18) and Pseudomonas putida strain CM-CNRG 562 (99.85 % 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity with M20).

Apart from rhizobia, there were associative endophytic bacterial strains which represented 55 % of the total isolates (Table 1). Nine of the isolates (M4, M7, M8, M9, M12, M13, M15, M16, M19) had 87 %–100 % sequence similarity with members of genus Enterobacter. Isolate M2 had 99.86 % sequence similarity with Paraburkholderia phenoliruptrix BR3459a. Isolate M14 had a sequence similarity of 99.86 % with Stenotrophomonas maltophilia while isolate M10 had a sequence similarity of 99 % with Pseudomonas putida strain AR4 (Table 1).

Evolution relatedness of the isolated rhizobia and other non rhizobial endophytes was evaluated based on the 16S rRNA gene. The phylogenetic tree, based on the neighbour joining method clustered the strains into four main clusters (Clusters A, B, C and D) (Figure 2). The grouping was based on the strains’ similarity in relation to their 16S rRNA gene composition. Cluster A, supported by a bootstrap value of 100 % comprised of isolates M1, M3, M5, M17, M18, M20, M6, and M11. The isolates in this cluster were closely related to members of genus Rhizobium (Figure 2) and all strains showed potential to nodulate cowpea. Cluster B comprised of isolates M2 and M14. This cluster was supported by a bootstrap value of 81 % which only isolate M2 eliciting nodulation in cowpea. Members for this cluster were closely related to members of the genera Paraburkholderia and Stenotrophomonas (Figure 2). Cluster C exclusively contained Pseudomonas putida strain AR4. This cluster was supported by bootstrap value of 100 %. Cluster D supported with a bootstrap value of 100 % comprised of eleven isolates (M4, M12, M13, M8, M9, M19, M15, M7 and M16) belonging to the Enterobacter spp. (Figure 2).

### 3.3. Authentication and symbiotic efficiency of representative isolates

The ability of nodule isolates to induce nodule formation in the host plant authenticates the isolates as rhizobia. The results in this study confirmed that only nine isolates namely (M1, M2, M3, M5, M6, M11, M17, M18 and M20) were rhizobia and they nodulated the host plant. Eleven isolates (M4, M7, M8, M9, M10, M12, M13, M15, M16, and M19) did not elicit nodulation and were established to be non-rhizobial endophytes (NRE). The nine rhizobia isolates were tested for their effectiveness and the results showed that there were significant differences in nodule number (p < 0.0001), root dry weight (p < 0.0001), and shoot dry weight (p = 0.0006) and shoot dry weight (p < 0.0001) of cowpea (Table 2). Cowpea plants inoculated with isolate M3 recorded the highest mean number of nodules (46.00 ± 7.25) which was statistically similar to isolate M2 and REF while plants inoculated with isolate M5 had the lowest mean number of nodules (2.50 ± 2.50). As expected, the uninoculated control and the nitrogen supplemented control plants did not nodulate. Isolate M2 recorded the highest nodule dry weight (0.22 ± 0.09) which was statistically different from all other isolates except isolates M3, M6, and REF. Inoculation with the different isolates augmented shoot dry weight (SDW) of the plants. Isolate M2 recorded the highest shoot dry weight (0.85 ± 0.08) which was statistically different from all other isolates except M3, REF and PC while isolate M1 recorded the lowest shoot dry weight (0.13 ± 0.03). There was a significant difference (p < 0.0001) in symbiotic efficiency of representative isolates (Table 2). However, none of the isolates had symbiotic effectiveness (SE) above 100 % in comparison with the nitrogen supplemented control (PC). Isolate M2 had the highest SE of 82.49 % followed by isolate M3 (72.76 %).

### Table 1. Bacterial isolates from cowpea nodules showing sequence similarity (%) with NCBI database bacterial strains after 16s rRNA gene sequencing.

| Laboratory designation | Species/strain identification                  | Genbank Accession number | 16S rRNA gene similarity (%) | Sizes of sequences |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| M1                     | Rhizobium alni strain SI0001 (MP977610.1)      | MT775434                 | 99.71                       | 1350              |
| M2                     | Paraburkholderia phenoliruptrix BR3459a (CP003864.1) | MT775435                 | 99.86                       | 1390              |
| M3                     | Rhizobium mesoriniicum strain CCBAU 25010 (NR043548.1) | MT775436                 | 99.09                       | 1330              |
| M4                     | Enterobacter sp. strain PB-1121-E (MK208589.1) | MT775437                 | 98.73                       | 1410              |
| M5                     | Rhizobium sp. strain 1616 (MK280695.1)         | MT775438                 | 99.93                       | 1480              |
| M6                     | Rhizobium sp. LI120T (KX894194.1)             | MT775439                 | 100                         | 1350              |
| M7                     | Enterobacter cloacae strain IA2E52 (MK414059.1) | MT775440                 | 99.56                       | 1410              |
| M8                     | Enterobacter hormaechei strain EI1 (KF145192.1) | MT775441                 | 99.71                       | 1410              |
| M9                     | Enterobacter cloacae strain VTTPSSJ (KP305908.1) | MT775442                 | 99.93                       | 1400              |
| M10                    | Pseudomonas putida strain AR4 (KX343951.1)     | MT775443                 | 99                          | 1480              |
| M11                    | Rhizobium tropici strain SY137 (KP687380.1)    | MT775444                 | 91.16                       | 1270              |
| M12                    | Enterobacter oryzae strain REICA_084 (JF795012.1) | MT775445                 | 99.64                       | 1410              |
| M13                    | Enterobacter sp. JF2-10 (KT446410.1)          | MT775446                 | 99.9                       | 1400              |
| M14                    | Stenotrophomonas maltophilia strain W9-4 (MG905303.1) | MT775447                 | 99.86                       | 1400              |
| M15                    | Enterobacteraceae sp. strain HX0J-2J1 (MH135802.2:1) | MT775448                 | 87.34                       | 1410              |
| M16                    | Enterobacter ludwigii strain GSS-5.4 (KX242269.1) | MT775449                 | 94.96                       | 1410              |
| M17                    | Rhizobium pusaense strain CFBP5875 (CP039894.1) | MT775450                 | 99.85                       | 1350              |
| M18                    | Rhizobium pusaense strain WTB176 (MK784334.1)  | MT775451                 | 99.78                       | 1350              |
| M19                    | Enterobacter sp. HK169 (CP017087.1)           | MT775452                 | 99.93                       | 1390              |
| M20                    | Rhizobium sp. strain CM-CNRG 562 (MK108017.1)  | MT775453                 | 99.85                       | 1360              |

The 16S rRNA nucleotide sequences for the isolates in this study (M1-M20) have been deposited in NCBI Genbank database under accession numbers MT775434-MT775453. They can be accessed through: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/?term=MT775434:MT775453%6baccn]..
However, the SE of isolate M2 and M3 was not statistically different from that of the reference strain (REF) and PC. Isolates with SE > 80% were ranked as most effective (isolate M2), while those with SE between 51-80% were listed as effective (isolate M3) and the rest of the isolates with SE < 50% were classified as moderately effective.

4. Discussion

4.1. Morphological characteristics of indigenous rhizobia isolates

In the present study, we identified rhizobial and non-rhizobial bacterial isolates that inhabit cowpea nodules and their potential to

Table 2. Symbiotic efficiency of native rhizobia isolates inoculated on cowpea plants and their effect on nodule number, shoot, root and nodule dry weight.

| Isolate | Nodule Number | Nodule Dry Weight (g plant⁻¹) | Shoot Dry Weight (g plant⁻¹) | Root Dry Weight (g plant⁻¹) | Symbiotic Efficiency (%) |
|---------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| M1      | 4.50(4.50)²    | 0.01(0.01)c                  | 0.13(0.03)²                  | 0.07(0.02)c                | 12.85(2.90)d            |
| M2      | 38.50(9.98)b   | 0.22(0.09)c                  | 0.85(0.08)b                  | 0.30(0.03)c                | 82.49(7.64)b            |
| M3      | 46.00(7.25)²   | 0.08(0.02)c                  | 0.75(0.07)b                  | 0.16(0.01)c                | 72.76(6.38)b            |
| M5      | 2.50(2.50)²    | 0.00(0.00)c                  | 0.17(0.04)²                  | 0.16(0.05)c                | 16.49(4.26)c            |
| M6      | 21.25(8.75)²   | 0.11(0.04)c                  | 0.49(0.07)bld                | 0.09(0.01)c                | 47.59(7.08)bd           |
| M11     | 6.25(2.43)²    | 0.01(0.00)c                  | 0.21(0.03)d                  | 0.16(0.00)c                | 20.77(2.76)d            |
| M17     | 8.50(4.97)²    | 0.02(0.01)c                  | 0.25(0.06)²d                 | 0.08(0.02)c                | 24.76(5.85)ad           |
| M18     | 3.50(3.50)²    | 0.01(0.01)c                  | 0.18(0.04)d                  | 0.17(0.02)c                | 17.06(3.82)d            |
| M20     | 4.50(4.50)²    | 0.01(0.01)c                  | 0.21(0.05)²                  | 0.13(0.05)c                | 20.27(4.97)d            |
| REF     | 34.50(9.10)b   | 0.14(0.07)b                  | 0.70(0.15)bdc                | 0.22(0.04)bdc              | 67.68(14.60)bd          |
| PC      | 0.00(0.00)d    | 0.00(0.00)c                  | 1.03(0.34)²                  | 0.29(0.11)b                | 100.00(32.61)b          |
| NC      | 0.00(0.00)d    | 0.00(0.00)c                  | 0.24(0.03)²d                 | 0.09(0.03)bc               | 22.86(2.25)ad           |

P value  p < 0.0001  p < 0.0001  p < 0.0001  p = 0.0006  p < 0.0001

Values followed by the same letters within the column are not significantly different from each other according to Tukey’s Honest Significant Difference (HSD) at 5% level. NC-Non inoculated plants, REF- Rhizobia reference strain (Bradyrhizobium sp. strain USDA 3456), PC-un-inoculated control plants supplemented with nitrogen (0.05% KNO₃). SE % = (Total dry weight of inoculated plant/Total dry weight of PC-un-inoculated control plants) x 100. Standard error is indicated in parentheses.
4.2. Symbiotic nitrogen fixation efficiency of the native rhizobia isolates

A substantial increase in dry weights and nodule numbers was noted in plants inoculated with native rhizobia strains in comparison with the un-inoculated control. Conclusions made from studies by Yusif et al. [23] and Boddey et al. [24] collaborate these findings. The likelihood of the rhizobia-legume association being synergistic and beneficial the host has been shown to be determined by the effectiveness of the rhizobia isolates used [25]. Absence of nodules in the un-inoculated and nitrogen supplemented control demonstrated absence of external contamination [15]. The variation in symbiotic efficiency observed among the rhizobia isolates in this study was similarly reported in native rhizobia population nodulating cowpea in Kenya [25]. According to Girija et al. [26] differences in symbiotic efficiency among rhizobia might be due to host specificity. In the present study SE ranged from 12.85 % to 82.49 %. Kawaka et al. [27] conducted a similar study on 16 native rhizobia isolates from common bean nodules and reported SE of the isolates ranged from 67 % to 164 %. Two isolates (M2 and M3) exhibited higher performance in terms of nodulation, SDW and SE. This reveals the existence of ablest native rhizobia isolates from Kenyan semi-arid regions and it can be suggested that these isolates are highly adapted to the functions required for effective bacterial survival and colonization within the rhizosphere in response to plant signals [28]. Isolate M2 had an SE of 82.49 % and based on Lalande et al. [29] guidelines on symbiotic efficiency, isolates are considered highly effective only if they record symbiotic efficiency >80 %. This resonates the potential of isolate M2 to be formulated into a cost effective inoculum for cowpea and adapted by the small holder farmers in the arid and semi-arid regions.

The commercial inoculant, Bradyrhizobium sp. strain USDA 3456 showed better performance in terms of nodulation, SDW and SE than most of the isolates. Similar results were reported in a study conducted by Kyei-Boahen et al. [6]. The authors noted that cowpea plants inoculated with Bradyrhizobium sp. strain USDA 3456 registered higher nodulation, SDW and symbiotic efficiency when compared to those treated indigenous Bradyrhizobium spp. Additionally some Bradyrhizobia species have been reported to possess plant growth promoting traits such as phosphorus solubilization and production of IAA hormone that expedite cell elongation and differentiation therefore, making them superior to others [30].

4.3. 16S rRNA gene characterization of nodule isolates

In the present study, analysis of 16S rRNA gene was done to investigate the diversity of bacterial communities associated with nodules from cowpea plants grown in the semi-arid regions of Kenya. In our study eight different bacteria strains from the class Alphaproteobacteria, genus Rhizobium were detected. These findings are supported by previous studies by Jaramillo et al. [31], Castro et al. [32] and Ngeno et al. [33] who demonstrated the ability of cowpea to trap rhizobia from soils under different agricultural systems. Our findings also support the “promiscuous” nature of cowpea to nodulate with a mélange of rhizobia isolates which also aids the plant in thriving in diverse environs where other legumes may not be able to survive [32]. However, these findings contradict previous conclusions made that Bradyrhizobium is the primary symbiont of cowpea [24]. Low numbers of Bradyrhizobium strains have been reported to nodulate legumes in arid and semi-arid areas when compared to other genera [35]. Factors such as soil-climatic conditions have been suggested to affect Bradyrhizobla abundance and diversity in sub-Saharan Africa [36].

Based on results on symbiotic efficiency all the rhizobia strains showed potential to nodulate cowpea. However, phylogenetically not all the rhizobia strains were closely related. This suggests that rhizobia strains, from the study area, with the potential to nodulate cowpea are not restricted to a phylogenetic group [37]. Therefore, these strains might have divergently evolved to colonize root nodules of different cowpea genotypes but retaining their critical genes that code for the nodulation of cowpea. Lack of phenotypic and genotypic correlation in rhizobia isolates nodulating cowpeas in Indian soils has been reported before by Arora et al. [37]. According to Gratten et al. [38] genetic changes can cause pleiotropic effects on several traits simultaneously thus affecting the resulting phenotypes. Isolate M2, identified as Paraburkholderia phenoliruptrix BR3459a was unique as it showed ability to nodulate cowpea and recorded high efficiency. To our knowledge, we report for the first time a Beta-Rhizobia in the genus Paraburkholderia that nodulates cowpea in Kenya and Africa. This strain could be developed into an effective cowpea inoculum after evaluating its genetic stability, its potential to nodulate cowpea in presence of background rhizobia and its ability to survive in inoculum carrier material. There are several works documenting nodulation of legumes in the sub-family Papilionoideae such as cowpea by members of β-Proteobacteria in Brazil [32] and Venezuela [39]. In Africa Beta-rhizobial genus Paraburkholderia with symbiotic properties have been isolated from legumes such as Aspalathus linearis in South Africa [40]. So far there has been no citing of Beta-Rhizobia nodulating cowpea in Africa. Majority of the studies done have reported members of genera Bradyrhizobium, Rhizobium, Ensifer and Mesorhizobium as microsymbionts of cowpea [41]. Occurrence of cowpea nodulating Paraburkholderia spp. in Kenyan soils could be attributed to horizontal gene transfer between α and β proteobacteria [39]. Genus Paraburkholderia have also been reported to tolerate and dominate in environments with high aluminium contents, low soil fertility and low soil pH and this can form a basis for utilization of these bacteria as bio fertilizers [42].

The non-rhizobial endophytes detected in the cowpea nodules in this study belonged to class Gammaproteobacteria and genus Pseudomonas, Stenotrophomonas and Enterobacter. These findings support previous reports by Chidebe et al. [43] and Leite et al. [44] who documented on diversity of non-rhizobial endophytes (NRE) associated with cowpea root nodules. These non-rhizobial bacterial strains have been previously isolated from Vigna unguiculata nodules in Brazil [44] and from Phaeosolus vulgaris [45] in Western Kenya. Occurrence of these NRE in the present study may be attributed to compatibility of the microbe with the host plant and that each microbe occupies a different ecological niche in the root nodule [46]. Generally, most NRE are nonpathogenic however some for instance Enterobacter, Stenotrophomonas, and Burkholderia have been profiled as mammalian pathogens [47]. None of the NREs in this study caused nodulation in cowpea plants. Their presence in cowpea rhizosphere is not accidental and similar findings have been documented by Castro et al. [32]. These NREs are capable of entering nodule infection threads and have been reported to coexist with rhizobia strains as antagonists or they can cause synergistic effects between host-microbial associations [48]. Through production of iron chelating siderophores, providing intrinsic resistance to heavy metals, and increasing nitrogen content in plants these NREs have been reported to enhance symbiosis between rhizobia and host plants [49, 50, 51].

5. Conclusion

This study demonstrated great diversity of bacterial isolates that nodulate different cowpea genotypes cultivated in Kenya. Documented for the first time in Africa is an efficient cowpea nodulating Beta-Rhizobia (Paraburkholderia phenoliruptrix BR3459a) coded isolate M2. Isolate M3 (Rhizobium mesosinicum strain CCBU 25010) also depicted high nodulation and SE. These two isolates can be used for the development of low cost native microbial inocula after subjecting them to further field trials so as to establish their competitiveness and genetic stability under different environmental conditions.
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