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The most common treatments for rheumatoid arthritis include nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), corticosteroids, disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), and some biological agents. However, none of the treatments available is able to achieve the ultimate goal of treatment, that is, drug-free remission. This limitation has shifted the focus of treatment to delivery strategies with an ability to deliver the drugs into the synovial cavity in the proper dosage while mitigating side effects to other tissues. A number of approaches like microemulsions, microspheres, liposomes, microballoons, cocrystals, nanoemulsions, dendrimers, microsponges, and so forth, have been used for intrasynovial delivery of these drugs. Amongst these, liposomes have proven to be very effective for retaining the drug in the synovial cavity by virtue of their size and chemical composition. The fast clearance of intra-synovially administered drugs can be overcome by use of liposomes leading to increased uptake of drugs by the target synovial cells, which in turn reduces the exposure of nontarget sites and eliminates most of the undesirable effects associated with therapy. This review focuses on the use of liposomes in treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and summarizes data relating to the liposome formulations of various drugs. It also discusses emerging trends of this promising technology.

1. Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic autoimmune inflammatory disease that affects the multiple joints of the body in a symmetric pattern [1, 2]. It is characterised by chronic inflammation of synovial membrane which often leads to destruction of articular cartilage, periarticular bone erosion, and permanent deformities. Classically, it causes synovitis in the metacarpophalangeal and proximal interphalangeal joints in a symmetrical manner. Clinically, it is manifested as warmth, swelling, tenderness with loss of motion, and grip strength in hands. RA commonly affects the feet, wrists, and knees, as well as cervical spine, shoulders, and hips [3]. At least 50% of patients with RA experience work disability within 10 years of onset of disease [4]. RA can also have systemic effects such as subcutaneous nodule development, pleural effusion, and pericarditis [5].

The prevalence of RA in general population has been estimated to be 0.8% and the incidence of RA in women is 3–5 times higher than in men [6, 7]. In India and China alone, about 19 million people are affected by RA [8]. Although it affects persons of all age groups, it is particularly prevalent in middle age population of 30–50 years. The mean life expectancy of patients suffering from RA has been reported to be reduced by 5–10 years; however, this also depends on severity of the disease [9].

The precise etiology of RA is not known, but it is evident that proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin-1 (IL-1), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) play an important role in pathogenesis of disease [10]. These inflammatory cytokines are released by synovial macrophages, B cells, fibrocytes, synoviocytes, CD4+, and CD8+ T cells and can be detected in the synovium immunohistochemically [11]. In RA, the activated synoviocytes exhibit invasive growth into the joint cartilage and stimulate the differentiation and proliferation of osteoclasts which is responsible for bone erosion. The joint destruction is believed to be mediated mainly by cytokine-induced destructive enzymes, particularly members of metalloproteinase [12]. The activated
liposomes, to achieve successful delivery of these agents. The potential of novel drug delivery systems, particularly discussion about various agents used for the treatment of RA abnormalities, myocardial infarction, and stroke [6, 18, 19, 21].

15% to 35% of peptic ulcer complications are due to NSAIDs. The gastrointestinal adverse effects range from minor discomfort to life-threatening gastrointestinal complications. The gastrointestinal adverse effects of NSAIDs in RA is currently limited due to high risk of long-term use of steroids is associated with severe side effects, including impaired wound healing, skin atrophy, osteoporosis, muscle atrophy, cataract, glaucoma, peptic ulcer, manifestation of latent diabetes, and ultimately premature mortality. These side effects can be minimised by using glucocorticoids at low dose particularly in patients unresponsive to NSAIDs and DMARDs or by administration of selective glucocorticoid receptor agonists that selectively target the immune and inflammatory pathways in order to reduce systemic toxicity or by intra-articular injection [5, 6].

2. Potential Agents against Rheumatoid Arthritis

The diagnosis and early therapy of RA are very crucial, because, if untreated, up to 30% patients with newly diagnosed RA are unable to work within 3 years of diagnosis [7]. At present, there is no cure of RA and it is most commonly treated with a combination of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), corticosteroids, disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), and biological agents [13–16]. The treatment also involves the use of unconventional therapies such as enzymes like superoxide dismutase, antisense oligodeoxynucleotides, boron neutron capture therapy, and radioisotopes [17].

3. Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are commonly prescribed in the management of osteoarthritis, RA, and musculoskeletal pain. They only provide symptomatic relief and do not alter the course of the disease or prevent joint damage [5, 18, 19]. Mostly NSAIDs act by nonselective inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX) enzyme which exists in two distinct isoforms, COX-1 and COX-2. Both these enzymes have nearly 60% amino acid homology, similar tertiary structure, and similar but nonidentical active sites [20]. COX enzyme catalyses the transformation of arachidonic acid into prostaglandins which are the mediators in the inflammatory process. Thus, inhibition of COX by NSAIDs leads to reduction in pain and inflammation [6]. COX-1-derived prostaglandins regulate many physiological processes such as protection of stomach lining from gastric acid erosion and vascular haemostasis. In contrast, COX-2 is principally an inducible enzyme which is highly expressed in inflammatory conditions. Therefore, selective inhibitors of COX-2 (Coxibs) are preferred over nonselective inhibitors [20]. The use of NSAIDs in RA is currently limited due to high risk of gastrointestinal complications. The gastrointestinal adverse effects range from minor discomfort to life-threatening peptic ulcers. The minor adverse effects include dyspepsia, heartburn, anorexia, abdominal pain, nausea, flatulence, or diarrhoea in 10% to 60% of patients. It has been reported that 15% to 35% of peptic ulcer complications are due to NSAIDs. NSAIDs and coxibs also cause renal and cardiovascular complications like acute kidney failure, hypertension, electrolyte abnormalities, myocardial infarction, and stroke [6, 18, 19, 21].

4. Glucocorticoids

Glucocorticoids such as prednisone, methyl prednisone, hydrocortisone, triamcinolone, and dexamethasone are used to suppress the inflammation in RA and other autoimmune diseases [7]. They act by multiple mechanisms including inhibition of macrophage accumulation and reduction of capillary permeability [5]. Although they are most potent anti-inflammatory drugs and exhibit rapid onset of action, long term use of steroids is associated with severe side effects, including impaired wound healing, skin atrophy, osteoporosis, muscle atrophy, cataract, glaucoma, peptic ulcer, manifestation of latent diabetes, and ultimately premature mortality. These side effects can be minimised by using glucocorticoids at low dose particularly in patients unresponsive to NSAIDs and DMARDs or by administration of selective glucocorticoid receptor agonists that selectively target the immune and inflammatory pathways in order to reduce systemic toxicity or by intra-articular injection [5, 6].

5. Disease Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drugs (DMARDs)

A number of disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) are available for treatment of RA. DMARDs can be further classified into traditional DMARDs comprising of a variety of small synthetic molecules and biological DMARDs produced by genetic engineering [6, 22]. Among DMARDs, methotrexate is the first choice of drug for the management of RA due to rapid onset, low cost, good response, and long-term safety [23]. Other traditional DMARDs used for management of RA include sulfasalazine, clodronate, hydroxychloroquine, and leflunomide. Some rarely used DMARDs include gold salts, D-penicillamine, azathioprine, cyclosporine, and tetracyclines [5, 14, 24]. However, the use of DMARDs is associated with side effects such as digestive organ dysfunction, liver dysfunction, kidney dysfunction, stomatitis, depilation, and myelosuppression [22, 25].

6. Biologics

In RA, the proinflammatory cytokines are overproduced in the joint cavity that induce joint destruction. In the recent years, certain biologics have been developed which inhibit the production of these cytokines [2]. The various biologics used for treatment of RA include tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) antagonists, for example, etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab, and interleukin (IL)-1 receptor antagonist anakinra. A number of new biologics have been approved or are in the clinical development such as IL-6 inhibitor (tocilizumab), modified TNF-α antagonists (golimumab and certolizumab pegol), and monoclonal antibodies against various cytokines or targeting β-cells (ocrelizumab and ofatumumab). Biologics are not routinely prescribed for all the patients with RA due to cost factor ($16,000–$20,000 per year) [1, 26]. Generally, the biologics are well tolerated. The most common adverse effect of TNF-α antagonist is bacterial and fungal infection, for example, tuberculosis is common in patients receiving infliximab. Malignancy may
also be associated with use of anti-TNF-α therapy, especially non-Hodgkin's lymphoma is reported [2].

7. Natural Agents

Natural agents including flavonoids, terpenes, quinones, catechins, alkaloids, anthocyanins, and anthoxanthins are known to exhibit anti-inflammatory activity. Curcumin, resveratrol, guggulsterone, withanolide, boswellic acid, and 6-shogaol are some of the polyphenols that have been tested for the treatment of arthritis [27]. All these herbal drugs suppress the activation of nuclear factor-kB and thus lead to downregulation of the expression of TNF-α [28], adhesion molecules [29], metalloproteinase [30], cyclooxygenase-2 [30], 5-lipoxygenase [31], and other inflammatory intermediates [32], all of which are associated with arthritis. Curcumin has also been shown to suppress the expression of TNF-α-induced metalloproteinase-13 in primary chondrocytes [33]. The antiarthritic activity of curcumin has been supported by in vitro and in vivo studies [34, 35]. Withanolides, found in *Withania somnifera*, are known to be potent inhibitors of angiogenesis, inflammation, and oxidative stress [36].

The potential therapeutic agents for the treatment of RA and their sites of action are shown in Figure 1 and tabulated in Table 1.

8. Drug Delivery Systems for RA Therapy

A delivery system that delivers the drug directly to the synovial cavity is found to be more effective than those that are delivered systemically [37]. However, most of the current therapies for RA do not exhibit joint specificity. Therefore, to achieve effective drug concentrations in affected joints, high systemic doses of drug need to be administered, which may lead to significant systemic side effects. Reduction in drug doses may attenuate toxicity but on the other hand may lead to decreased therapeutic efficacy. To strike a balance between efficacy and side effects, several approaches have been reported that specifically target drugs to affected joints. In view of this, the novel drug delivery systems like controlled release pellets [38–40], liposomes [41], sustained release pellets [42], microspheres [43], microcapsules [44], soft gels [45], nanocomposites [46, 47], topical formulations [48], microemulsions [49], nanosuspensions [50], suppositories [51], microsponges [52], and solid dispersions [53] have been used.
Table I: Molecular targets of antirheumatic therapeutic agents and their complications.

| S. No. | Therapeutic agents                                           | Molecular targets | Complications with long-term therapy | References |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| 1      | NSAIDs (First line therapy), for example, Ibuprofen, Naproxen, Indomethacin, Ketoprofen, Diclofenac sodium, Meloxicam | COX-2 (Non selective) | (1) Peptic ulcers <br> (2) Dyspepsia <br> (3) Anorexia <br> (4) Abdominal pain <br> (5) Nausea <br> (6) Flatulence <br> (7) Diarrhoea <br> (8) Renal ulcers <br> (9) Myocardial infarction | [6, 18, 19, 21] |
| 2      | Injectable corticosteroids                                 | COX-2             | (1) Skin atrophy                      | [5, 6]     |
| 3      | DMARDs, for example, Gold salts (Aurothiomalate), Leflunomide, Sulfasalazaine, Methotrexate, Azathioprine, Minocycline, Hydroxychloroquine, Cyclosporine | TNF-α, IL         | (1) Digestive organ dysfunction <br> (2) Liver dysfunction <br> (3) Kidney dysfunction <br> (4) Stomatitis <br> (5) Depilation and myelosuppression | [22, 25] |
| 4      | Coxibs, for example, Celecoxib, Etoricoxib                 | COX-2 (Selective coxib) | (1) Peptic ulcers                     | [6]        |
| 5      | Glucocorticoids, for example, Prednisone, Methyl prednisone, Hydrocortisone, Dexamethasone, Betamethasone | COX-2             | (1) Impaired wound healing <br> (2) Skin atrophy <br> (3) Osteoporosis <br> (4) Muscle atrophy <br> (5) Cataract <br> (6) Glaucoma <br> (7) Peptic ulcer <br> (8) Manifestation of latent diabetes <br> (9) Premature mortality | [5, 6] |
| 6      | Biologics                                                  | TNK-α, IL-1, IL-6  | (1) Malignancy <br> (2) Tuberculosis  | [2]        |
| 7      | Natural products, for example Curcumin, Resveratrol, Guggulsterone, Withanolide, and so forth. | NF-κB, COX-2,5-LOX, TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, MMPs | Not reported | [27] |

formulated. Various drugs and their delivery approaches for the effective treatment of RA are listed in Table 2.

8.1. Liposomes. Though many novel drug delivery systems have emerged in the last two decades for the targeted delivery of anti-rheumatoid drugs to the synovial fluid, liposomes provide an effective and convenient drug delivery capable of reducing the side effects due to following advantages [130–134].

(1) Liposomes are biocompatible, completely biodegradable, nontoxic, flexible, and nonimmunogenic.

(2) They offer both a lipophilic and an aqueous environment "milieu interne" in one system and are, therefore, suitable for delivery of drugs with varying solubility profiles including hydrophobic, amphipathic, and hydrophilic molecules.

(3) They have the ability to protect the encapsulated drug from the external environment (Amphotericin B, Taxol).

(4) They act as sustained release depots (e.g., Propranolol, Cyclosporin).

(5) They can be formulated into a number of dosage forms, for example, a suspension, an aerosol, or in a semisolid form such as gel, cream, and lotion, as a dry vesicular powder (proliposome) for reconstitution.

(6) They can be administered through ocular, pulmonary, nasal, oral, intramuscular, subcutaneous, topical, and intravenous routes.

(7) Apart from entrapment of small molecules, liposomes are also capable of encapsulating macromolecules like superoxide dismutase, haemoglobin, erythropoietin, interleukin-2 and interferon gamma.
Table 2: Various drugs and their delivery approaches for the effective treatment of rheumatoid arthritis.

| Drug                          | Delivery systems | Key observation                                      | References |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Corticosteroids              |                  |                                                      |            |
| Prednisolone                  | Liposomes        | Tissue targeting                                     | [54]       |
|                              | Microspheres     | Prolonged release                                    | [55]       |
|                              | Nanoparticles    | Improved efficacy                                    | [56]       |
| DMARDS (Disease modifying antirheumatic drugs) |                  |                                                      |            |
| Sod. aurothiomalate          | Liposomes        | Better safety profile and prolonged action           | [11]       |
| Azathioprine                  | Sustain release tablets | Better patient safety                              | [57]       |
| Leflunomide                   | Microspheres     | Rapid action                                          | [58]       |
|                              | Microcapsules    | Sustained action                                      | [59]       |
|                              | Multilamellar vesicles | Increased permeation                                 | [60]       |
| Methotrexate                  | Liposomes        | Drug targeting, prolonged therapeutic effect          | [61]       |
|                              | Microspheres     | Retention of drug in joints and less clearance into blood | [62]       |
| Encapsulated lipid based drug-delivery | Liposomes        | Prolonged half-life, extended drug release           | [63]       |
| Tacrolimus                    | Liposomes        | Improved oral delivery                                | [64]       |
| NSAIDS (Nonsteroidal anti-inflamatory drugs) |                  |                                                      |            |
| Sustained release pellets    |                  | Less side effects                                     | [65]       |
|                              | Lipogelosomes    | Less side effects, improved efficacy                 | [66]       |
| Diclofenac                    | Pharmacosomes    | Improved solubility                                  | [67]       |
|                              | Microcapsules    | Lower gastrointestinal toxicity                      | [68]       |
|                              | Microspheres     | Sustained release                                    | [69]       |
|                              | Nanoparticles    | Long therapeutic effect                               | [47]       |
|                              | Suppositories    | Improved efficacy                                    | [70]       |
|                              | Microemulsions   | Increased skin permeation, increased oral bioavailability | [71, 72] |
| Ibuprofen                     | Microspheres     | Prolonged therapeutic effect                          | [73]       |
|                              | Transfersome     | Prolonged therapeutic effect and good stability       | [74]       |
| Sustained release formulation|                  | Prolonged therapeutic effect and improved patient compliance | [75]       |
| Slow released formulations   |                  | Better safety and controlled release characteristics  | [76, 77]  |
|                              | Dendrimers       | Targeted delivery                                     | [78]       |
|                              | Liposomes        | More effective and minimum side effects              | [79, 80]  |
| Indomethacin                  | Microballoons    | Good floating ability                                 | [81]       |
|                              | Microspheres     | Improved targeting                                   | [82]       |
|                              | Nanoemulsions    | Improved bioavailability through transdermal delivery| [83]       |
|                              | Suppositories    | Enhanced therapeutic efficacy                         | [84]       |
| Transdermal patch            |                  | Improved skin permeation                              | [85]       |
| Ketoprofen                    | Microspheres     | Prolonged therapeutic effect                          | [86]       |
|                              | Microcapsules    | Optimum sustained release                            | [87]       |
|                              | Nanoemulsions    | Enhanced skin permeation                              | [88]       |
(8) They offer reduced toxicity as the exposure of nontargeted sites to the drug is reduced.

(9) They alter the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles of drugs (e.g., reduced elimination, increased circulation life time)

(10) They exhibit flexibility to couple with site-specific ligands to achieve active targeting (e.g., anticancer and antimicrobial drugs).

8.2. Significance of Use of Liposomes in the Delivery of Anti-Rheumatoids. Till date, oral administration of anti-rheumatoids for treatment of arthritis has been a consistent challenge for the clinicians, as there are severe clinical complications attached to their long-term oral use. The long-term administration of NSAIDs for the treatment of RA is associated with gastroduodenal effects that may be manifested as ulcers and intra-abdominal bleeding. Oral or intramuscular administration of steroidal drugs is generally associated with irreversible suppression of the immune system. DMARDs given by oral or intravenous or intramuscular route are known to be toxic to the immune system [130]. In order to overcome the systemic effects of these drugs, they can be directly targeted to the synovial capsule of the affected joint through intravenous route, especially when the disease manifests only in limited number of joints [135]. However, the rapid clearance of drugs from the synovial cavity into the blood stream defeats the purpose of their intra-articular administration. In this regard, liposomes have proven to be the most suitable delivery systems for retaining the drug in the synovial cavity by virtue of their size and chemical composition [130]. The clearance of intrasynovially administered drugs can be overcome through liposomes by virtue of the size of multilamellar vesicles (MLVs) [136]. This facilitates the uptake of drug by the target synovial cells and reduces the exposure to nontarget sites, eliminating the undesirable side effects. The rationale for the use of liposomes in rheumatoid arthritis is shown in Figure 2.

A number of antirheumatic drugs have been tried for the treatment of RA using liposomes as drug carrier as shown in Table 3. These are discussed below.

8.3. NSAIDs. A series of liposomal formulations of indomethacin have been prepared using various phospholipids. When the effect of method of preparation, lipid composition, and charge on drug retention was studied, MLVs were found to exhibit the highest drug release. Positively charged stearylamine-containing liposomes were found to slow the release of drug. This effect of charge has been attributed to electrostatic interaction (hydrogen bonding) between the acid moiety of drug and the amine moiety of lipid. The anti-inflammatory activity of indomethacin liposomes was found to be significantly higher than that of free drug in both carrageenan-induced rat paw edema and adjuvant arthritis models [79].

Various vesicular systems like liposome, niosome, lipogelosome, and niogelosome formulations were used for encapsulation of diclofenac sodium and then evaluated for drug release properties as well as in vitro characterization studies. Radiolabelled Tc-99m and gamma scintigraphic methods were used to evaluate the retention time of different drug delivery system for intra-articular administration. Longest retention time was observed with the radiolabelled lipogelosome formulation of diclofenac sodium [137].

In another study, diclofenac sodium-loaded lipogelosome formulation was reported to exhibit better anti-inflammatory effect after single-dose intra-articular administration as compared to topically used commercial product. Histopathological examination of synovium revealed significant lower scores for inflammatory changes after intra-articular injection of this formulation [66].

8.4. Glucocorticoids. A number of studies has been reported where liposomal entrapment of glucocorticoids has been shown to lead to a remarkable enhancement in the anti-arthritic effect of drugs. The improvement in antiarthritic activity of glucocorticoids on entrapment in liposomes was reported for cortisol for first time [89].

The anti-inflammatory activity of cortisol palmitate liposomes was determined in rabbit knee by measuring joint temperature and diameter. Bilateral arthritis was induced by intra-articular injection of a preformed insoluble complex of poly-D-lysine and hyaluronic acid in both knee joints. The data obtained from the study revealed that the anti-inflammatory activity of liposomal cortisol palmitate was dose dependent for both the parameters of inflammation [89, 138].

Davidenkova et al., 1984, reported that hydrocortisone acetate incorporated in liposomes was found to have comparable effect with commercial drug in the form of suspension at 1/10th dose level. The study revealed that the encapsulation of drug into liposomes also prolonged the duration of action of drug [90].

Single intravenous injection (10 mg/kg) of prednisolone phosphate encapsulated in long-circulating PEG-liposomes was more effective in reducing both joint inflammation and cartilage destruction as compared to free drug in mice with collagen type-II and adjuvant-induced arthritis. The free drug at the same dose was reported to be much less effective even after repeated daily injections [91].

Harigai et al., 2007, reported that the prednisolone phosphate liposome containing 3,5-dipentadecyclohexadiene hydrochloride (TRX-20) inhibited the production of inflammatory cytokines (IL-6) and chemokines (IL-8) more effectively than prednisolone phosphate-containing liposomes without TRX-20. The TRX-20 also increased the affinity of liposomes towards human fibroblast-like synovial cells. This combined delivery of drugs through liposomes was proposed as an approach to enhance the clinical use of glucocorticoids for treating RA [139].

Sterically stabilized (pegylated) nanoliposomes of amphiatic weak acid prodrugs of glucocorticoids (methyl prednisolone hemisuccinate and betamethasone hemisuccinate) were prepared and evaluated for their antiarthritic potential in Lewis rats and Beagle dogs by Aynir et al., 2008. The authors reported that the liposomal formulation exhibited high encapsulation efficacy (94%) and a high drug-lipid
| S. No | Drug                          | Liposomal type                        | Animal used               | Animal model                                      | Route of administration | Observed effect                                                                 | Reference |
|-------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1     | Indomethacin                  | Large unilamellar vesicles            | Rat                       | Carrageenan induced paw edema and Adjuvant arthritis | Intra-peritoneal         | Increase anti-inflammatory activity, less ulcer index                           | [79]      |
| 2     | Diclofenac sodium             | Lipogelosome                          | Rabbit                    | Antigen-induced arthritis                        | Intra-articular          | Reduce side effects, increase retention of drug at inflammatory site           | [6, 66]  |
| 3     | Cortisol palmitate            | Not defined                           | Rabbit                    | Poly-D-lysine and hyaluronic acid complex injection | Intra-articular          | Reduce temperature and diameter in arthritic joints                              | [89]      |
| 4     | Hydrocortisone                | Multilamellar liposomes               | Rabbit                    | Antigen-induced arthritis                        | Intra-articular          | Prolong anti-inflammatory effect                                                 | [90]      |
| 5     | Prednisolone phosphate        | PEG-liposomes                         | Mice                      | Collagen type-II and adjuvant-induced arthritis   | Intravenous              | Reduce cartilage damage                                                          | [91]      |
| 6     | Methyl prednisolone hemisuccinate | Nanoliposomes                   | Lewis rat, Beagle dog     | Adjuvant arthritis                               | Intravenous              | High encapsulation efficacy, high drug-lipid molar ratio, increase therapeutic efficacy, suppression of bone erosion, less synovial immune cell infiltration, Suppress metalloproteases and aggrecanases in synovium | [92]      |
| 7     | Prednisolone phosphate        | Not defined                           | Mice                      | Antigen-induced arthritis                        | Intravenous              |                                                                                   | [93, 94] |
| 8     | Methyl prednisolone hemisuccinate | Nanoliposomes                   | Lewis rat                 | Adjuvant arthritis                               | Intravenous or subcutaneous | Reduce arthritis, suppression of secretion of proinflammatory cytokines       | [95]      |
| 9     | Betamethasone hemisuccinate   | Nanoliposomes                         | Lewis rat                 | Adjuvant arthritis                               | Intravenous or subcutaneous | Reduce arthritis, suppression of secretion of proinflammatory cytokines       | [95]      |
| 10    | Dexamethasone phosphate       | Oligolamellar and multilamellar vesicles | Rabbit                    | Antigen-induced arthritis                        | Intra-articular          | Increase retention of drug in synovium and synovial fluid                      | [96, 97] |
| 11    | Dexamethasone phosphate       | RGD-PEG-Liposomes                    | Lewis rat                 | Antigen-induced arthritis                        | Intravenous              | Strong and long-lasting antiarthritic effect, specifically target vesicular endothelial sites at site of inflammation | [98]      |
| 12    | Dexamethasone phosphate       | Non-PEGlyated liposomes               | Rat                       | Antigen-induced arthritis                        | Intravenous              | Suppress joint swelling                                                          | [99]      |
| 13    | Dexamethasone phosphate       | Non-PEGlyated liposomes               | Mouse                     | Collagen induces arthritis                       | Intravenous              | Persistent anti-inflammatory effect, suppression of hypothalamic-pituitary       | [100]     |
| 14    | Dexamethasone phosphate       | Not defined                           | Lewis rat                 | Adjuvant arthritis                               | Intravenous              | Suppression of histological signs of arthritis, increased residence time of drug in synovial membrane Increase therapeutic efficacy, decrease clearance of drug from body | [101]     |
| 15    | Dexamethasone, budesonide, prednisolone | Long circulating liposomes          | Rat                       | Adjuvant arthritis, collagen-induced arthritis   | Intravenous              |                                                                                   | [98, 102] |
| S. No | Drug                | Liposomal type          | Animal used | Animal model                  | Route of administration | Observed effect                                                                                           | Reference |
|-------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 16    | Triamcinolone       | Not defined             | Rabbit      | Carrageenan-induced paw edema | Intra-articular         | Effectively suppress arthritis, longer retention of drug in articular cavity Inhibit cellular infiltration of lymphocytes into the synovium, reduction in arthritis symptoms | [103]     |
| 17    | Sodium aurothiomalate | Small unilamellar vesicles | Mice        | Collagen induces arthritis    | Intra-muscular         | Long retention of drug in joints, suppressed joint swelling and rise in temperature, Decrease in synovial hyperplasia, cellular infiltration and cartilage erosion | [11]      |
| 18    | Methotrexate        | Not defined             | Rabbit      | Antigen-induced arthritis     | Intra-articular        | Significant anti-inflammatory effect                                                                                                                                 | [104, 105]|
| 19    | Methotrexate        | Small unilamellar vesicles | Rat         | Adjuvant-induced arthritis    | Intravenous            | Significant anti-inflammatory effect, Inhibit cellular infiltration                                                                                                                                 | [106]     |
| 20    | Methotrexate        | Multilamellar vesicles | Rat         | Antigen-induced arthritis     | Intra-articular        | Significant anti-inflammatory effect, Inhibit the release of IL-1β from macrophages, potent anti-inflammatory activity                                                                                                                                 | [107]     |
| 21    | Methotrexate        | Small unilamellar vesicles | Rat         | Collagen induces arthritis    | Intravenous            | Inhibitors release of both IL-1β and PGE2 form macrophages Inhibition of both IL-1β and IL-6 mRNA expression in synovial tissue, reduce knee swelling, Inhibit progression of antigen-induced arthritis Increased physical stability and entrapment efficacy, significant anti-inflammatory activity | [108]     |
| 22    | Methotrexate        | PEG-liposomes           | Rat         | Collagen induces arthritis    | Intravenous            | Inhibition of both IL-1β and IL-6 mRNA expression in synovial tissue, reduce knee swelling, Inhibit progression of antigen-induced arthritis Increased physical stability and entrapment efficacy, significant anti-inflammatory activity | [109]     |
| 23    | Methotrexate        | Large multilamellar vesicles | Rat         | Antigen-induced arthritis    | Intr-aortic            | Significant anti-inflammatory effect, Inhibit cellular infiltration                                                                                                                                 | [110]     |
| 24    | Methotrexate        | PEGylted liposomes      | Wistar-Lewis Rat | Adjuvant arthritis           | Intravenous            | Reduced toxicity                                                                                                                                                     | [61]      |
| 25    | Methotrexate        | Not defined             | Wistar Rat  | Adjuvant arthritis            | Intravenous            | Reduced joint swelling, significantly decreased chondrocyte death, Reduced cartilage destruction                | [111]     |
| 26    | Clodronate          | Not defined             | Mice        | Collagen induces arthritis    | Intr-aortic            | Reduction of macrophages in synovial membrane, liver, and spleen, reduced inflammation and joint destruction Decreased synovial lining macrophages and expression of adhesion molecules, reduced cartilage destruction | [112, 113]|
| 27    | Clodronate          | Multilamellar vesicles  | Rat         | Adjuvant arthritis, antigen-induced arthritis | Intravenous          | Reduced toxicity                                                                                                                                                     | [114–116]|
| 28    | Clodronate          | Unilamellar liposomes   | Human       | RA patients                  | Intr-aortic            | Reduced toxicity                                                                                                                                                     | [117]     |
Table 3: Continued.

| S. No | Drug                  | Liposomal type                          | Animal used | Animal model                          | Route of administration | Observed effect                                                                 | Reference |
|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 29    | Clodronate            | Not defined                             | Rabbit      | Antigen-induced arthritis             | Intra-articular         | Low level of macrophages in synovium, reduction in joint swelling, sustained action of drug | [118]     |
| 30    | Clodronate            | Small unilamellar vesicles              | Lewis rat   | Streptococcal cell wall—induced arthritis | Intravenous             | Depletion of macrophages, inhibited the production of proinflammatory cytokines, decreased progression of disease | [119]     |
| 31    | Clodronate            | Multilamellar vesicles                  | Sheep       | Antigen-induced arthritis             | Intravenous             | No significant anti-inflammatory effect                                          | [120]     |
| 32    | Superoxide dismutase  | Stearylamine and PEG liposomes          | Wistar rat  | Antigen-induced arthritis             | Intravenous             | Potent anti-inflammatory activity                                                | [121, 122]|
| 33    | Superoxide dismutase  | Liposomes and transfersomes             | Wistar rat  | Adjuvant arthritis                    | Epicutaneous            | Significant reduction in inflammation                                            | [123]     |
| 34    | Superoxide dismutase  | Not defined                             | Rat         | Adjuvant arthritis                    | Subcutaneous            | Significant anti-inflammatory activity                                           | [124]     |
| 35    | Superoxide dismutase  | Multilamellar and PEGylated liposomes   | Wistar rat  | Adjuvant arthritis                    | Intravenous             | Faster anti-inflammatory activity                                                | [125]     |
| 36    | Superoxide dismutase  | Not defined                             | Human       | Human RA                              | Intramuscular           | Significant improvement in clinical signs of inflammation                      | [126]     |
| 37    | Lactoferrin           | Not defined                             | Mice        | Collagen-induced arthritis            | Intra-articular         | Increased retention of drug in joints, reduced proinflammatory (TNF) and increased anti-inflammatory (IL-10) cytokine production | [127, 128]|
| 38    | Boron neutron capture therapy | Not defined                 | Louvain rat | Collagen-induced arthritis            | Intravenous             | High concentration of boron in synovium                                         | [129]     |

Liposomal prednisolone phosphate strongly suppressed knee joint swelling, synovial infiltration, and bone erosion in antigen-induced arthritis. The suppression of bone erosion is likely to be mediated by inhibition of osteoclast activity via suppression of osteoclast differentiation factors and/or by directly blocking differentiation of macrophage-like precursor cells into functional osteoclasts [92].

Liposomal prednisolone phosphate strongly suppressed knee joint swelling, synovial infiltration, and bone erosion in antigen-induced arthritis. The suppression of bone erosion is likely to be mediated by inhibition of osteoclast activity via suppression of osteoclast differentiation factors and/or by directly blocking differentiation of macrophage-like precursor cells into functional osteoclasts [93].

In another study by the same authors, the effect of single injection of liposomal formulation of prednisolone phosphate on metalloproteases and aggrecanases mediated cartilage destruction in antigen-induced arthritis was studied in comparison to free prednisolone phosphate. The synovial immune cell infiltration was found to be less in mice treated with prednisolone phosphate-liposomes as compared to control group. Liposomal formulation also significantly suppressed interleukin 1β, proteases, metalloproteases-3, and aggrecanases in the synovium, thereby suppressing the destruction of cartilage matrix in antigen-induced arthritis [94].

The anti-inflammatory effect of sterically stabilised nanoliposomes of methyl prednisolone hemisuccinate and betamethasone hemisuccinate was analysed in adjuvant arthritis by Ulmansky et al. Both nano-liposome formulations suppressed arthritis significantly, compared to higher doses of free drug or TNF-α antagonists (infliximab, etanercept). Glucocorticoid nanoliposomes also suppressed the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines without any effect on TGF-α level [95].

Liposome entrapped dexamethasone palmitate was compared for its pharmacokinetic and therapeutic effect to microcrystalline triamcinolone acetonide by Bonanomi et al., 1987. Joint circumference was observed to be decreased significantly in rabbits administered with dexamethasone palmitate as compared to triamcinolone acetonide. It was also observed that about 36% of the liposomal dexamethasone palmitate was still in the synovial fluid after 6 h of injection while triamcinolone acetonide had fully disappeared from the joints till that time. Increase in diameter of liposomal vesicles was shown to improve the retention time of drug [96].
Intra-articular injection of multilamellar and oligolamellar liposomal vesicles containing dexamethasone palmitate were investigated for bioavailability studies. The bioavailability of drug from oligolamellar vesicles was found to be more as compared to that from multilamellar vesicles [97].

Dexamethasone phosphate containing arginine-glycine-aspartic acid peptide polyethylene glycol liposomes was screened for specific binding to αvβ3 integrins expressed on angiogenic vascular endothelial cells at the site of inflammation. The formulated liposomes targeted vascular endothelial cells at the site of inflammation and resulted in strong, long-lasting antiarithmetic effect in rat with antigen-induced arthritis [98].

Glucocorticoid dexamethasone phosphate encapsulated in large non-PEGylated liposomes exhibited potent anti-inflammatory activity as compared to free drug in rat antigen-induced arthritis. It was observed that the intravenous injection (i.v.) of non-PEGylated liposomal drug completely suppressed joint swelling [99].

In 2009, Rauchhaus et al. compared the therapeutic efficacy of liposomal dexamethasone phosphate with free dexamethasone in mouse collagen-induced arthritis. Single intravenous injection of 4 mg/kg liposomal formulation produced a significant therapeutic effect for at least 7 days. On the other hand, single administration with free dexamethasone was not found to be very effective and multiple injections were required [100].

The efficacy of i.v. injection of liposomally encapsulated dexamethasone phosphate was evaluated in comparison to that of free drug in rats with established adjuvant arthritis. Liposomal-dexamethasone phosphate suppressed haematological signs of arthritis including erythrocyte sedimentation rate, white blood cell count, circulating antimycobacterial IgG, and production of IL-1 and IL-6 by macrophages in a dose-dependent manner for dosage between 0.01 and 1.0 mg/kg. The effects of medium dose of liposomal formulation were found to be equal (in short term) or superior (in long term) to those of high dose of free drug. The residence time of liposomal drug was significantly higher in synovial membrane than that of the free drug even after 48 hours of last injection [101].

The therapeutic activity and adverse effects of three different glucocorticoids (dexamethasone, budesonide, and prednisolone) encapsulated in long circulating liposomes was determined in rats with adjuvant arthritis and collagen-induced arthritis. Encapsulation of drugs in liposomes not only increased their therapeutic efficacy but also decreased their clearance from the body [102].

Intra-articular injection of triamcinolone acetonide 21-palmitate incorporated liposomes was studied for its efficacy in arthritis using rabbits by Lopez-Garcia et al. 1993. The liposomal formulation was more effective as compared to free triamcinolone acetonide in suppressing arthritis. Moreover, the retention time was also found to be greater for liposomal formulation [103].

8.5. DMARDs.

Small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) of sodium aurothiomalate were prepared and evaluated for anti-inflammatory action in collagen-induced arthritis as compared to free drug. Intramuscular injection of SUVs was found to cause 50% reduction in symptoms. SUVs of sodium aurothiomalate also inhibited cellular infiltration of lymphocytes into synovia of collagen treated mice as confirmed by histological examination [11].

Retention and distribution of liposome-entrapped methotrexate were evaluated in antigen-induced arthritic rabbit joints in comparison to those of free methotrexate. About 79% of free methotrexate was rapidly cleared from joint within 24 hours of intra-articular injection, while at the same time about half of the liposomal-entrapped drug (45%) was recovered from the joint. Although the uptake of liposomes by inflamed synovium was lower than expected, it was found to be 40 times higher than that with free methotrexate [104].

Methotrexate liposomes suppressed the joint swelling and rise in temperature in antigen-induced arthritic rabbits. Liposomal formulation was even effective after 7 days of antigen challenge at one-tenth dose as compared to free methotrexate. Decrease in synovial hyperplasia, cellular infiltration, and cartilage erosion was observed with liposomal methotrexate [105].
The efficacy of free and liposomally conjugated methotrexate was compared in rats with adjuvant-induced arthritis. Methotrexate suppressed but did not abolish the development of joint inflammation when the treatment was started on the day of arthritis induction. Methotrexate liposome, thus, has significant anti-inflammatory effect on established arthritis [106].

Multilamellar vesicles of methotrexate exhibited a significant anti-inflammatory effect compared to free methotrexate and methotrexate entrapped in small unilamellar vesicles in Lewis rats with antigen-induced arthritis, after single intra-articular injection. The multilamellar vesicles were found to inhibit the cellular infiltration associated with arthritis [107].

In another study, liposomes of methotrexate with conventional and long-circulation times were prepared and their therapeutic efficacy was assessed using the rat collagen-induced arthritis. Both types of liposomes inhibited the release of IL-1β from macrophages in a dose-dependent manner while free methotrexate had no effect on release of mediators. In short-term treatment, conventional liposomes showed greater anti-inflammatory activity than long-circulation liposomes. However, in long-term, liposomal preparation with extended circulation time also exerted potent anti-inflammatory effects in rats arthritis [108].

Intravenous injections of methotrexate liposomes were proven to be powerful inhibitors of both IL-1β and PGE₂ release form macrophages in collagen-induced arthritis. Polyethyleneglycol-liposomes with long-circulation times did not appear to have much therapeutic potential for treating arthritis in vivo [109].

Williams et al., 2001, reported that single intra-articular injection of liposomally conjugated methotrexate significantly reduced knee swelling (1.94 ± 0.12 mm) as compared to free drug (3.17 ± 0.18 mm) in antigen-induced arthritis in rats. This anti-inflammatory effect was accompanied by inhibition of both IL-1β and IL-6 mRNA expression in synovial tissue. Liposomal treatment also inhibited the progression of antigen-induced arthritis [110].

The efficacy of chitosan-coated conventional liposomes and PEGylated liposomes of methotrexate was compared to that of the uncoated conventional liposomes in Wistar-Lewis rats with Freund's adjuvant arthritis. Chitosan coating was found to increase both the physical stability and entrapment efficiency. Both chitosan-coated and PEGylated liposomes exhibited significant anti-inflammatory activity and released the drug for longer period of time than uncoated conventional liposomes [61].

The toxicity of methotrexate loaded liposomes was compared with methotrexate injectable solution in rat adjuvant arthritis. Results of the haematological and biochemical tests revealed that methotrexate loaded liposomes showed reduced toxicity as compared to injectable methotrexate [111].

Depletion of phagocytic synovial lining cells by single intra-articular injection of clodronate encapsulated liposomes was found to significantly reduce the joint swelling, as compared to normal nondepleted joints in rats with antigen-induced arthritis [112].

In another study, clodronate-laden liposomes were reported to suppress the clinical signs of inflammation for longer period of time in rats with adjuvant arthritis and antigen-induced arthritis than the uncapsulated drug. A significant reduction in macrophages was observed not only in synovial membrane, but also in liver and spleen [114, 115].

Van Lent et al. investigated the effect of local removal of phagocytic synovial lining cells from the knee joint on development of cartilage destruction in collagen type II arthritic model. In synovial lining cells depleted arthritic joint, chondrocyte death was significantly decreased. Although local clodronate liposome treatment had some beneficial effects on cartilage destruction, it was found to be more effective in presence of dexamethasone [113].

However, similar results could not be substantiated in sheep model of antigen-induced arthritis. The effect of intravenous administration of clodronate liposomes was investigated in sheep with antigen-induced arthritis. In both treatment and control group, no difference in joint diameter was observed. Moreover, both groups showed joint swelling which persisted until the end of the study [120].

A comparative study of small unilamellar and large multilamellar vesicles of clodronate was conducted in rats with antigen-induced arthritis. SUVs were found to be more effective than MLVs in reducing inflammation and joint destruction due to significant depletion of macrophages from synovial membrane [116]. In another study, single intra-articular injection of clodronate unilamellar liposomes significantly decreased synovial lining macrophages in patients with long-standing RA. Liposomal administration also decreased the expression of adhesion molecules in the cell lining. Depletion of macrophages ultimately reduced the cartilage destruction in chronic arthritis [117].

The effect of repeated intra-articular administration of low doses (0.145 mg/injection) of liposomal clodronate was investigated on established antigen-induced arthritis in rabbits. Liposomal clodronate treated rabbits showed reduction in joint swelling even after first three injections. Moreover, the levels of macrophages were found to be low in the synovium of treated rabbits. Liposomes were detected within the joints for a period as long as one week after injection which explained the sustained action of drug for longer period of time [118].

Richards et al. reported that single intravenous injection of 20 mg of clodronate encapsulated in SUVs significantly suppressed the development of chronic streptococcal cell wall-induced arthritis in Lewis rats. Administration of liposomal formulation was found to significantly deplete the macrophages which, in turn, inhibited the production of proinflammatory cytokines and ultimately the progression of disease [119].

8.6. Miscellaneous Therapeutic Agents

8.6.1. Superoxide Dismutase (SOD). Intramuscular injection of liposomal bovine copper superoxide dismutase in humans was found to significantly ameliorate the clinical signs of rheumatoid arthritis [126].

In another study, the effect of size of liposomes for targeting SOD to arthritic sites was investigated after subcutaneous
administration. It was observed that the uptake of small size liposomes (mean size 110 nm) was 17 times higher than that of large sized liposomes (mean size 450 nm) in the inflamed foot of rats. Small size SOD liposomes showed significantly higher anti-inflammatory activity than large sized liposomes after subcutaneous (s.c.) administration which was found to be as effective as i.v. injection. Large sized liposomes were found to be more active by i.v. route as compared to s.c. route [124].

Superoxide dismutase entrapped long-circulating liposomes were prepared by different preparation protocols such as film hydration, freeze-thawing and dehydration-rehydration methods. The prepared liposomes were characterised in terms of entrapment efficiency, size, enzymatic activity, and protein structure. Two different SOD-liposomes that is, stearylamine (SA)-liposomes and polyethylene glycol (PEG)-liposomes were selected for in vivo evaluation using rat adjuvant arthritis model. Both PEG-liposomes and stearylamine-liposomes showed superior therapeutic activity as compared to free SOD, while PEG-liposomes exhibited stronger anti-inflammatory effects than SA-liposomes in both single dose and multiple dose-response studies [121, 122].

The comparative anti-inflammatory effect of liposomal and a transfetosomal formulation of superoxide dismutase (SOD) was determined in adjuvant-induced arthritis in rats. The amelioration of disease symptoms on animals treated with transfersomes showed that epicutaneous application of SOD had a significant role in reduction of inflammation. Secondly, transfersomes have an additional advantage that they are administered by noninvasive route [123].

The biological behaviour of acylated superoxide dismutase inserted in lipid bilayer of liposomes was investigated in comparison with SOD located in aqueous environment of liposomes in rat model of adjuvant arthritis. Acylated superoxide dismutase exhibited faster anti-inflammatory effect than SOD liposomes [125, 140].

8.7. Lactoferrin. Residence time of human lactoferrin entrapped in positively or negatively charged liposomes was found to be retained in the joints in case of positive 2 hours of intra-articular injection, 60% of the injected dose was determined in mice jointswith collagen-induced arthritis. After 4 days. Liposomal lactoferrin also reduced proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., tumor necrosis factor and interleukin-1β) and proinflammatory enzymes that mediate the production of prostaglandins (e.g., cyclooxygenase-2) and leukotrienes (e.g., lipoygenase), together with the expression of adhesion molecules and matrix metalloproteinase, and hyperproliferation of synovial fibroblasts. The current treatments of RA include four categories: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), glucocorticoids, nonbiologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), and biologic DMARDs. Moreover, numerous agents derived from plants can suppress these cell signalling intermediates, including curcumin, resveratrol, tea polyphenols, genistein, quercetin, silymarin, guggulsterone, boswellic acid, and withanolides. Though several efforts have been made, a cure for rheumatoid arthritis is yet to be discovered. As mentioned earlier, most of the current therapies for RA do not have joint specificity. Therefore, to reach effective drug concentrations in affected joint tissues, high systemic doses of drug must often be administered, which may lead to significant adverse systemic side effects; reduction in drug doses may attenuate toxicity but may lead to decreased therapeutic efficacy. To overcome this limitation, approaches that specifically target agents to affected joints offer unique promise. Liposomes have the capacity to be used as delivery and targeting agents for the administration of drugs at lower doses with reduced toxicity. With improvements in liposomal formulation antirheumatic and targeted synovial delivery, liposomes offer increased therapeutic activity and improvement in the risk-benefit ratio. Several liposomal formulations of NSAIDs, Glucocorticoids, and DMARDs have been prepared; however, their safety, stability, and efficacy are still questionable. In order to launch them effectively into market, liposomes have to pass through several clinical trials. Recent research into synovial targets and improved liposomal formulations continues to improve the use of liposomes for targeted delivery. The journey of liposomal anti-cancer drug delivery, though about 20-year long, resulted in successful culmination as a number of formulations of daunorubicin and doxorubicin are available in the market for clinical use [141]. Similar is the case with antifungal agent amphotericin B [142]. We hope for a similar kind of successful culmination of all the cited works carried out on liposomal delivery of antiarthritic drugs.

9. Conclusion

Arthritis, an inflammation of the joints, is a chronic diseasethat results from dysregulation of proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., tumor necrosis factor and interleukin-1β) and proinflammatory enzymes that mediate the production of prostaglandins (e.g., cyclooxygenase-2) and leukotrienes (e.g., lipoygenase), together with the expression of adhesion molecules and matrix metalloproteinase, and hyperproliferation of synovial fibroblasts. The current treatments of RA include four categories: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), glucocorticoids, nonbiologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), and biologic DMARDs. Moreover, numerous agents derived from plants can suppress these cell signalling intermediates, including curcumin, resveratrol, tea polyphenols, genistein, quercetin, silymarin, guggulsterone, boswellic acid, and withanolides. Though several efforts have been made, a cure for rheumatoid arthritis is yet to be discovered. As mentioned earlier, most of the current therapies for RA do not have joint specificity. Therefore, to reach effective drug concentrations in affected joint tissues, high systemic doses of drug must often be administered, which may lead to significant adverse systemic side effects; reduction in drug doses may attenuate toxicity but may lead to decreased therapeutic efficacy. To overcome this limitation, approaches that specifically target agents to affected joints offer unique promise. Liposomes have the capacity to be used as delivery and targeting agents for the administration of drugs at lower doses with reduced toxicity. With improvements in liposomal formulation antirheumatic and targeted synovial delivery, liposomes offer increased therapeutic activity and improvement in the risk-benefit ratio. Several liposomal formulations of NSAIDs, Glucocorticoids, and DMARDs have been prepared; however, their safety, stability, and efficacy are still questionable. In order to launch them effectively into market, liposomes have to pass through several clinical trials. Recent research into synovial targets and improved liposomal formulations continues to improve the use of liposomes for targeted delivery. The journey of liposomal anti-cancer drug delivery, though about 20-year long, resulted in successful culmination as a number of formulations of daunorubicin and doxorubicin are available in the market for clinical use [141]. Similar is the case with antifungal agent amphotericin B [142]. We hope for a similar kind of successful culmination of all the cited works carried out on liposomal delivery of antiarthritic drugs.
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