Evolution of Flow-Diverter Endothelialization and Thrombus Organization in Giant Fusiform Aneurysms after Flow Diversion: A Histopathologic Study

I. Szikora, E. Turányi, and M. Marosfoi

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Treatment of giant fusiform aneurysms with flow diverters has been associated with a relatively high rate of complications. Our goal was to study the evolution of flow-diverter endothelialization and thrombus organization at different time points after flow-diverter treatment in giant fusiform aneurysms to better understand reasons for flow-diverter thrombosis and delayed aneurysm ruptures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Two giant anterior and 2 posterior circulation aneurysms, all of which had partially thrombosed before treatment, were studied. An unruptured, untreated posterior circulation aneurysm was used as a control. Each specimen was removed at 7 days or at 6, 9, or 13 months after flow-diverter treatment. The 3 patients who survived longer than 7 days were followed up by angiography and MR imaging. Formaldehyde-fixed paraffin-embedded sections were stained by using H&E, Van Gieson elastic, CD34, h-Caldesmon, and Picrosirius stains and studied by light microscopy.

RESULTS: According to angiography, aneurysms were found to be obliterated partially at 6 and 9 months and completely at 13 months. MR imaging revealed that mass effect remained unchanged in each case. Sections of the flow diverter within the normal parent artery were covered by an endothelialized fibrous layer as early as 6 months, but there was no tissue coverage or endothelialization seen even at 13 months inside the aneurysm itself. Each treated aneurysm had a thin wall with complete lack of smooth muscle cells. No signs of thrombus organization were found at any of the time points studied.

CONCLUSIONS: Endothelialization of the flow diverter in giant fusiform aneurysms may not occur and thrombus organization may not be initiated inside these aneurysms for as long as 1 year, which explains delayed flow-diverter thrombosis and the possibility of delayed ruptures.

ABBREVIATIONS: GFA = giant fusiform aneurysm; FD = flow diverter; PED = Pipeline embolization device

Because of the limited efficacy of endovascular coil packing, intravascular flow diversion has been proposed recently for large and giant aneurysms.1–6 The relative safety and high efficacy of flow diversion in saccular aneurysms presenting with mass effect have been reported.3,7,8 However, a high rate of thromboembolic and hemorrhagic complications has been found in giant fusiform aneurysms (GFAs), particularly in those located in the posterior fossa.5,10

Flow diversion is expected to produce aneurysm thrombosis and facilitate subsequent thrombus organization. Simultaneously, the flow diverter (FD) is supposed to become covered by an intimal layer, sealing the aneurysm cavity from the parent artery and preventing it from thromboembolic complications. Considering the poor results in giant fusiform aneurysms, it was reasonable to assume that one or both of these mechanisms did not work as expected in these lesions. The primary purpose of this study was to investigate the efficacy of these processes in GFAs. We also analyzed whether the clinical problems were related to the location (posterior circulation) or the morphology of aneurysms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Treatment and Follow-Up

A total of 5 GFAs were studied as approved by our institutional internal review board. One unruptured, nonthrombosed, and
untreated aneurysm was removed 7 days after the patient died as a result of a stroke in the brain stem. This aneurysm was used as a control. Each of the other ones was partially thrombosed, treated with an FD, and removed at 7 days or 6, 9, or 13 months after the treatment after the patients died as a result of either hemorrhagic or thromboembolic complications. Two of these aneurysms were located in the posterior and the other 2 in the anterior circulation, and all were symptomatic. Alternative surgical or endovascular treatment options, including parent artery occlusion, were discussed with each patient and excluded after multidisciplinary consultation in each case. Of the 4 patients, 3 were treated with double antiplatelets (100 mg of acetylsalicylic acid and 75 mg of clopidogrel) before and during their follow-up period; the fourth patient (patient 5) (On-line Table 1) was on dual antiplatelets for 9 months and single-antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel afterward. Platelet functions were tested by the Platelet Function Assay (PFA 100; Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany), adenosine diphosphate closure time (ADP-CT; Siemens), and the Innovation PY2 test (Siemens) and found to be sufficiently inhibited before the procedure. No further tests were performed during the follow-up. Four- to 5-mm-diameter telescopic Surpass FDs (Stryker Neurovascular, Kalamazoo, Michigan) were used in both posterior circulation aneurysms, and double-layer 3.5- to 4.5-mm-diameter Pipeline embolization devices (PEDs) (Covidien, Irvine, California) were applied in the anterior circulation aneurysms. All patients were scheduled for follow-up MR imaging and DSA studies at 5–6 and 12 months after the procedure. All the patients received methylprednisolone (2 × 250 mg/day) for at least 2 days before and 3–5 days after the procedure, and the dose was decreased gradually afterward (On-line Table 1).

Histopathologic Analysis
Each aneurysm was removed during autopsy, fixed in buffered formaldehyde, sectioned, and stained with H&E and Van Gieson elastic stains. For immunohistochemistry, CD34 was used to demonstrate endothelium, h-Caldesmon to visualize smooth muscle cells, and Picrosirius to detect connective tissue. Sections were studied by light microscopy. Special attention was given to the identification of any tissue coverage on the internal surface

RESULTS

Case 1: Untreated GFA of the Vertebralbasilar Junction
The cavity of this control aneurysm was filled with fresh thrombus. The aneurysm wall was well structured and had a strong muscular layer. There were no signs of inflammation within the wall or any signs of thrombus organization by immunohistochemistry within the cavity (Fig 1, On-line Table 2).

Case 2: GFA of the Vertebralbasilar Junction 7 Days after FD Treatment
The patient died as a result of SAH related to an intraprocedural aneurysm rupture. No tissue layer was found covering the internal surface of the FD. The aneurysm cavity was filled with unorganized thrombus. The aneurysm wall was thin and fragmented with no smooth muscle cells identified in it (On-line Table 2).

Case 3: Partially Thrombosed GFA of the MCA
This patient died as a result of a major MCA infarct that developed because of occlusion of one of the M2 branches distal to the FD 6 months after the procedure implanting the FD. A section of the FD placed within the normal artery proximal to the aneurysm was covered by a thick tissue layer consisting of smooth muscle cells and covered by a single endothelial cell layer corresponding to intimal hyperplasia. The FD inside the aneurysm was free of any tissue coverage. The GFA was filled with unorganized clot only, despite most of the aneurysm not being filled with contrast material (as detected by angiography at 6 months). There were no signs of smooth muscle cell invasion or connective tissue formation inside the thrombus. The aneurysm wall was thick and fragmented and showed signs of chronic inflammation, including lymphocytes and macrophages (Fig 2, On-line Table 2).

Case 4: GFA of the Vertebralbasilar Junction 9 Months after FD Treatment
This patient reportedly died as a result of a brain stem infarct, but no MR imaging was performed to confirm the diagnosis. The FD was patent, and its internal surface was covered by a thin fibrin layer only, with no smooth muscle cells or endothelial lining. The aneurysm was filled with fresh thrombus. There were no signs of clot organization. According to MR imaging and angiography, the size and filling of this aneurysm did not change at 6 months. The wall of the aneurysm was thin and fragmented (On-line Table 2).

Case 5: GFA of the ICA 13 Months after FD Treatment
This patient died as a result of a major MCA infarct that developed after a sudden thrombosis of the FD construct, as confirmed by
DISCUSSION

Fusiform aneurysms of the circle of Willis represent 3%–13% of all intracranial aneurysms, with most located in the posterior circulation. Their etiology is unclear; both atherosclerosis and dissection have been proposed as pathogenetic factors. Some may present with subarachnoid hemorrhage, and these are generally considered dissecting aneurysms. Others may grow very large or giant and become symptomatic via neural compression or ischemia. The clinical course is generally progressive and, without successful treatment, almost always devastating.

Treatment options are limited. Drake and Peerless reported the results of 120 patients surgically treated for GFAs. Seventy-six percent of these patients with an anterior circulation GFA had a good outcome, whereas only 67% of those with a vertebrobasilar aneurysm had a good outcome. The treatment mostly included parent artery occlusion. In a more recent series, 6 of 9 symptomatic lesions resulted in poor outcomes (1 with bypass surgery, 1 with attempted clipping, and 4 with no intervention). Only those for whom parent artery occlusion was feasible fared well.

Among the endovascular techniques, parent artery occlusion remains the best option for those with good collateral circulation. Reconstructive endovascular techniques, including FD-supported coiling or double-FD implantation, have been successfully applied for smaller fusiform aneurysms but not for giant ones.

The introduction of FDs raised enthusiasm regarding reconstructive treatment of hardly treatable intracranial aneurysms, including GFAs. Fiorella et al. reported 4 such patients successfully treated by FD implantation and coil packing. However, these
All the treated aneurysms were partially thrombosed before treatment, which is likely to be the reason for their wall degeneration. The release of thrombocyte-derived growth factors and peroxidases from the luminal thrombus, together with deoxygenation of stagnating red blood cells and a subsequent lack of oxygen, are supposed to trigger cell death and inflammation inside the wall. 

FDs may significantly reduce flow velocity within the aneurysm but cannot repair the aneurysm wall and facilitate clot organization because of the pre-existing lack of necessary smooth muscle cells. In fact, the stagnation induced by the FD may trigger further release of substances, such as matrix metalloproteinases, that damage the aneurysm wall and increase the risk of rupture.

Histology showed lack of thrombus organization, which was consistent with MR imaging findings, which showed no change in signal intensity or size of the aneurysms during the follow-up period. Considering that simultaneous angiography showed partial or complete occlusion of the aneurysm and reconstruction of the parent artery, one must keep in mind that nonfilling of an aneurysm by angiography indicates only that blood is not flowing inside the aneurysm any more, but it certainly does not indicate healing of the aneurysm.

Intimal coverage is necessary for preventing FD thrombosis without aggressive antiplatelet medication. In our patients, only the section of FD implanted within the normal parent artery and circumferentially covered by normal arterial wall got covered by neointima and endothelialized as early as 6 months (patient 3). The portion of the FD inside the GFA without any contact with normal vascular wall remained uncovered, and no endothelial cells were found at any time point inside the aneurysms for as long as 13 months after treatment. This finding is similar to the lack of endothelialization seen in aortic FD grafts. Although this is a typical characteristic of fusiform aneurysms, the same phenomenon may occur in giant aneurysms with very broad necks. Under such circumstances, any lack of sufficient platelet inhibition may result in either distal embolization or FD thrombosis.

Because histologic findings were similar in anterior and posterior fossa aneurysms, these phenomena are likely related to the fusiform morphology rather than the location of the aneurysms.

This work was limited by the small number of samples and warrants further experimental and clinical pathologic studies. High-resolution vessel wall imaging and sequential MR imaging of giant aneurysms after FD treatment may help us to understand more thoroughly the process of aneurysm thrombosis and the role of the aneurysm wall in the thrombotic process.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study confirm the hypothesis that in GFS, thrombus organization and intimal coverage of the FD may not occur for more than 1 year, which leads to a prolonged risk of aneurysm rupture and FD thrombosis. The lack of thrombus organization does not seem to be related to FD design or aneurysm location and probably results from wall degeneration of partially thrombosed GFAs. Nonfilling of the aneurysm by angiography should not be interpreted as a sign of aneurysm healing. Because of their inefficacy, FDs should be considered for this pathology only if treatment is needed and no other option is available.
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