Sarcoma treatment in the era of molecular medicine
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Abstract

Sarcomas are heterogeneous and clinically challenging soft tissue and bone cancers. Although constituting only 1% of all human malignancies, sarcomas represent the second most common type of solid tumors in children and adolescents and comprise an important group of secondary malignancies. More than 100 histological subtypes have been characterized to date, and many more are being discovered due to molecular profiling. Owing to their mostly aggressive biological behavior, relative rarity, and occurrence at virtually...
Glossary

**Cancer stem cells (CSCs)**
Cells within the tumor found in very small fractions that are thought to be responsible for resistance to cancer treatments and thus relapse.

**Cell dormancy**
Stage in cancer progression during which tumor cells cease dividing but survive in a quiescent state while waiting for appropriate environmental conditions.

**Chorioallantoic Membrane (CAM) models**
Chick embryo CAM models used to study tumor formation, angiogenesis, and metastasis.

**Circulating tumor cells (CTCs)**
Cells that leak into the vasculature or lymphatics from a primary tumor and are carried around the body in the blood circulation.

**Epigenomic alterations**
Heritable change that does not affect the DNA sequence but results in a change in gene expression.

**Extracellular vesicles (EVs)**
Heterogeneous family of vesicles generated from different subcellular compartments and released into the extracellular space or the blood circulation.

**Genomic alterations**
Permanent modifications in the DNA sequence including somatic mutations, copy-number variations (CNVs), and gene fusions.

**Immunotherapy**
Type of cancer treatment that aids the immune system to fight tumors.

**Oncolytic viruses**
Viruses that, by their intrinsic properties or through genetic engineering, specifically replicate in and kill cancer cells.

**Orthotopic xenografts**
Animal models based on the injection of tumor cell lines in the location where the tumors typically appear in humans.

**Patient-derived xenografts (PDXs)**
Animal model based on transplantation of human tumor biopsies that encompass tumor cells and the TME in immunodeficient animals.

**Pediatric tumors**
Tumors that typically arise between 0–14 years of age.

**Precision medicine**
Approach to patient care that allows physicians to select the treatments that are most likely to help patients based on a molecular understanding of their disease.

**Sarcomas**
Malignant neoplasms that originate from the skeleton or soft tissues.

**Tumor microenvironment (TME)**
Cellular environment in which cancer cells reside encompassing the extracellular matrix and stromal cells (endothelial cells, fibroblasts, and immune cells).

---

**Every anatomical site, many sarcoma subtypes are in particular difficult-to-treat categories. Current multimodal treatment concepts combine surgery, polychemotherapy (with/without local hyperthermia), irradiation, immunotherapy, and/or targeted therapeutics. Recent scientific advancements have enabled a more precise molecular characterization of sarcoma subtypes and revealed novel therapeutic targets and prognostic/predictive biomarkers. This review aims at providing a comprehensive overview of the latest advances in the molecular biology of sarcomas and their effects on clinical oncology; it is meant for a broad readership ranging from novices to experts in the field of sarcoma.**
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**Epidemiology of sarcoma**

Although sarcomas are rare among adult malignancies, they represent 12–15% of all pediatric tumors (Stiller et al, 2013). Despite the implementation and continuous optimization of multimodal therapies, around one-third of sarcoma patients still succumb to the disease. Historically, sarcomas have been clustered in two large subgroups, according to the anatomical site of occurrence—sarcomas of the skeleton and sarcomas of the soft tissues (hereafter referred to as “bone sarcomas” or “soft tissue sarcomas” [STSs], respectively). Both subgroups comprise a variety of histological subtypes, and recent technological advances have enabled to decipher a constantly increasing number of subtypes at the molecular level (Fig 1; Baldauf et al, 2018a; Koelsche et al, 2018a; Watson et al, 2018; Weidema et al, 2020). Table 1 summarizes the major sarcoma subtypes discussed in this review and their main features.

Among bone sarcomas, osteosarcoma (OS) is the most frequent subtype (Heymann, 2014). OS primarily affects adolescents and young adults, with the first and largest peak of incidence at age ~10–14 years. Coinciding with the pubertal growth spurt, the incidence rate of OS is 4 (3.5–4.6) for the range 0–14 years and 5 (4.6–5.6) for the range of 0–19 years per year per million persons (Ottaviani & Jaffe, 2009). The current standard of care was first introduced in the late 1970s and remains largely unaltered despite numerous efforts to improve outcomes (Rosen et al, 1976). Nowadays, patients with localized disease still face 5-year overall survival rates <70%, and <20% of patients who develop metastatic disease or relapse survive >3 years (Roberts et al, 2019). Ewing sarcoma (EwS) is included in the group of bone sarcomas because it is an aggressive sarcoma of both bone (~85% of cases) and soft tissue (~15% of cases), and because it has an incidence and survival rate similar to OS.

The STS subgroup comprises ~70–80% of all sarcomas with >70 heterogeneous histological subtypes (WHO Classification of Tumours: Soft Tissue and Bone Tumours, 2020). Although STSs represent <1% of all cancers, they have the highest incidence among rare malignancies. Overall, the 5-year survival for STS is estimated at ~57–62% and can vary widely depending on the disease stage and the complex interplay between anatomical site and STS subtype (Lyu et al, 2019). Unfortunately, the epidemiological data
on specific STS subtypes are limited and frequently incomplete. National initiatives are ongoing to improve the databases, and they likely will benefit from the use of “big data” approaches. A recent review on the epidemiology of STSs in Italy and other European countries stated that they generally have an incidence of 6.27 and 4.71 cases per 100,000 inhabitants per year in Italy and Europe, respectively (Trama et al, 2019), with median ages at diagnosis of 58 and 63 years, respectively. Leiomyosarcoma (LMS), liposarcoma (LPS), and undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS; previously termed malignant fibrous histiocytoma) are the most common STS subtypes (WHO Classification of Tumours: Soft Tissue and Bone Tumours, 2020). A recent study in the Australian population reported that the incidence rate has almost doubled in the last 30 years (Bessen et al, 2019), which could be related to improved diagnostics or molecular pathology sub-classification.

The complex biology of sarcoma: How current knowledge may affect therapy

To date, targeted therapy of sarcomas has only been partially effective, possibly due to the existence of compensatory pathways, the intrinsically heterogeneous nature of sarcomas, and the complex interplay with the tumor microenvironment (TME; Brown et al, 2018). In the TME, multiple intermingled cell types coexist through complex heterotypic cellular interactions and communicate via a large array of paracrine signals. The heterogeneity of different cancer cell subpopulations is further modulated by the extracellular matrix, admixed with intra- and extracellular reactive elements, such as metabolites, oxygen tension, and pH.

Impact of the tumor microenvironment on the stemness and behavior of sarcoma cells

Similar to the “seed and soil” theory described for other malignancies, sarcoma cells evolve in a permissive milieu favoring their quiescence and drug resistance or their proliferation and aggressiveness. Sarcoma cells are embedded in a highly heterogeneous tissue context composed of immune cells, endothelial cells, pericytes, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), and nerve fibers, all of which may influence their behavior and favor “stemness” properties. Cancer stem cells (CSCs) usually represent only a very small fraction of the tumor cell mass, yet their eradication is critical for improving drug response. Indeed, CSCs have a great potential for self-renewal and develop protective mechanisms against conventional anti-tumor treatments, thereby causing sarcoma relapse and metastasis (Abarrategi et al, 2016; Brown et al, 2017a; Fourneaux et al, 2019; Hatina et al, 2019). Common methods of isolating/enriching CSCs to model sarcoma heterogeneity in vitro include culturing floating three-dimensional (3D)-colonies (tumorspheres), cell sorting based on the expression of specific markers (i.e., CD133, ABCG2, CD44, CD184, STRO1, CD117, CD271, or aldehyde dehydrogenase 1), the ability to extrude fluorescent dyes (side populations), or the selective pressure induced by long-term culturing with chemotherapeutic drugs. CSCs have been extensively characterized in both bone sarcomas and STSs (Salerno et al, 2013; Abarrategi et al, 2016; Brown et al, 2018; Genadry et al, 2018; Skoda & Veselska, 2018; Hatina et al, 2019; Schiavone et al, 2019; Fig 2).

Stemness in sarcoma is a fluctuating functional state orchestrated by the expression of pluripotency factors, such as OCT3/4, NANOG, KLF4, and, especially, SOX2 (Basu-Roy et al, 2012; Maurizi et al,
Table 1. Main sarcoma subtypes discussed in this review and their characteristics.

| Sarcoma subtype | Abbreviation | Main features |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Bone sarcomas   |              |               |
| Chondrosarcoma* | CHS          | • Localization: Cartilage, bone surface, or centrally in bone  
• Histopathology: Lobules composed of malignant chondrocytes entrapped in a chondroid matrix with calcified foci  
• Identified mutations of IDH1/2, EXT1/2 |
| Ewing sarcoma*  | EwS          | • Localization: Long and flat bones (~85%), extraskeletal sites (~15%)  
• Histopathology: Undifferentiated small round cells; mostly strong membranous CD99 immunoreactivity and PAS-positive cytoplasm  
• Harbor somatic FET-ETS translocations (~85%)  
• EWSR1-FLI1 (~10%)  
• EWSR1-ERG (~5% rare subtypes) |
| Osteosarcoma*   | OS           | • Localization: Bone surface or centrally in bone  
• Histopathology: Neoplastic cells with mesenchymal morphology and frequent polymorphism (epithelioid, fusiform, round, spindled, etc.) associated with an extracellular osteoid matrix  
• Various subtypes including telangiectatic OS characterized by numerous hemorrhagic areas  
• Complex highly aneuploidic karyotypes with multiple chromosomal aberrations (numerical and structural)  
• Frequent TP53 and RB mutations and numerous other mutations defining a “BRCAness” signature |

Soft Tissue Sarcomas (STSs)

| Sarcoma subtype       | Abbreviation | Main features |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Fibrosarcoma*         |              | • Localization: Deep soft tissues of the extremities, trunk, and head & neck  
• Histopathology: Composed of monomorphic fibroblastic cancer cells in collagenous matrix |
| GastroIntestinal      | GIST         | • Localization: Gastrointestinal tract (main site: stomach and small intestine)  
• Histopathology: broad morphological spectrum with mainly spindle cells and epithelioid cells (~20% of cases) or mixed histology |

*The most common bone sarcoma and STS subtypes (WHO Classification of Tumours: Soft Tissue and Bone Tumours, 2020).
**Figure 2.**

**Agents targeting CSCs and drug resistance**
- Mithramycin analogues (EC-8042)
- Metformin
- Salinomycin
- Histone deacetylase inhibitors
- TGF-β inhibitors
- NFκB inhibitors

**Minimal residual disease and tumor recurrence**
- Bisphosphonates
- Anti-RANKL antibodies
- Anti-PD-1/PD-L1
- Anti-GD2
- Anti-CD47

**Metastatic foci**

**Cell dissemination**

**Cytotoxic agents**
- Doxorubicin
- Ifosfamide
- Methotrexate
- Docetaxel
- Gemcitabine
- Cisplatin
- Trabectedin

**Targeted therapies (targets)**
- Apatinib (VEGFR)
- Dasatinib (Src, KIT, EphA2, PDGFR)
- Imatinib (PDGFR, KIT)
- Larotrectinib (NTRK)
- Nilotinib (BCR-ABL, DOR, KIT, PDGFR, M-CSFR)
- Pazopanib (VEGFR, PDGFR, KIT)
- Sorafenib (RET, VEGFR)
- Sunitinib (FLT3, PDGFR, VEGFR, M-CSFR)
- Bevacizumab (VEGFR)
- Trastuzumab (HER2/neu)
- Ridaforolimus (mTOR)
- STAT3 inhibitors
- Tocilizumab (IL-6 receptor)

**Agents targeting microenvironment and immune system**
- Bisphosphonates
- Anti-RANKL antibodies
- Anti-PD-1/PD-L1
- Anti-GD2
- Anti-CD47

**Complex microenvironment**

**Mesenchymal stem cells**

**First oncogenic event**

**Additional oncogenic and epigenetic events**

**Proliferative cancer cell clones**

**Cancer stem-like cell/dormant cell**

**Tumor dormancy and local recurrence**

**Apoptotic cell**

**Polyclonal tumor development**

**Microbiome**

**Vasculature**

**Cell types from mesodermal tissues**
- Osteocyes
- Chondrocytes
- Adipocytes
- Myocytes

**Local immune tolerant environment**

**Extracellular matrix components**

**Extracellular vesicles**

**Additional oncogenic and epigenetic events**

**First oncogenic event**

**Additional oncogenic and epigenetic events**

**Osteocytes**

**Chondrocytes**

**Adipocytes**

**Myocytes**
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Figure 2. Biological features of sarcomas and therapeutic approaches.

Sarcoma development results from a complex biological process. Their natural history combines the emergence of a first oncogenic hit followed by secondary oncogenic and epigenetic events with a conjuncture of a permissive microenvironment composed by cell types from mesodermal tissues, immune infiltrate, vascular, and extracellular matrix components. Sarcoma cells interact with their close environment through direct contact, enhanced cytokine/growth factors/miRNA signaling under a soluble form or encapsulated in extracellular vesicles. Sarcoma cells are characterized by a phenotypic and genetic heterogeneity coming from the successive oncogenic/epigenetic events occurring during tumor development and by cancer cells acquiring stemness properties that become progressively quiescent. Sarcomas are prone to induce distant metastatic foci spread by circulating tumor cells and invading after extravasation appropriate metastatic niches. Cancer cells installed in distant organs can spread again and enrich other metastatic sites increasing the tumor heterogeneity and potentially drug resistances. Persisting cells after resection of the primary tumor or dormant cancer cells located in distant organs characterize the minimal residual disease and are responsible of tumor recurrences. A selection of approved and experimental treatments aimed to prevent tumor growth and/or dissemination is shown.

Biggi et al, 2010; Rodriguez et al, 2013; Basu-Roy et al, 2015, 2016; Eid & Garcia, 2015; Tamaki et al, 2015; Abarrategi et al, 2016; Almazán-Moña et al, 2017; Siemmons et al, 2017; Deel et al, 2018; Genadry et al, 2018; Hatina et al, 2019; Rodríguez-Núñez et al, 2019; Schiavone et al, 2019; Trautmann et al, 2019). Alternatively, both stemness and aggressiveness can be regulated by the interaction with cells in the TME (Alfranca et al, 2015; Schiavone et al, 2019), or physical and chemical properties of the TME (i.e., hypoxia and extracellular acidosis) (Zeng et al, 2011; Alfranca et al, 2015; Avnet & Cortini, 2016; Avnet et al, 2017).

Several recent studies have focused on characterizing the sarcoma-associated stroma and its effect on drug response (Tarnowski et al, 2010; Ehman et al, 2013; Baglio et al, 2017; Cortini et al, 2017, 2019; Avnet et al, 2019). OS cells interact closely with MSCs, CAFs, osteoblasts, osteocytes, osteoclasts, chondrocytes, immune infiltrates, or components of the extracellular matrix to drive stemness-promoting signaling (Avnet et al, 2008; Basu-Roy et al, 2012; Zhang et al, 2013; Alfranca et al, 2015; Avnet & Cortini, 2016; Heymann et al, 2019). Moreover, MSCs/CAFs regulate tumor growth and metastasis through PDGFβ and MIF-CXCR4/7 signaling, enhancing sarcoma aggressiveness via the secretion of inflammatory cytokines, exosomes (Miller et al, 2013; Cortini et al, 2016; Avnet et al, 2017; Baglio et al, 2017; preprint: Evdokimova et al, 2019), or metabolites that can fuel the oxidative metabolism of tumor cells (Bonuccelli et al, 2014). Metabolic fueling of sarcoma cells by stromal cells may be particularly relevant to sustain the energy demand of uncontrolled tumor growth and progression (Zhang et al, 2010; Ren et al, 2017; Guade et al, 2018; Zhu et al, 2019). Consequently, the composition of the local TME has direct influence on the histological response to chemotherapy (Crenn et al, 2017). In addition, although axonogenesis has largely been neglected in sarcoma preclinical modeling so far, increasing evidence suggests that nerves in the TME may contribute to tumorigenesis, progression, and cancer-associated pain in several sarcoma subtypes, such as fibrosarcoma, OS, EWS, LPS, and extraskeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma (CHS; Cain et al, 2001; Wacnik et al, 2001; Endo et al, 2008; Ghilardi et al, 2010; Kanojia et al, 2015; Moriarity et al, 2015; Shor et al, 2015; Brenca et al, 2019).

Moreover, the sarcoma TME may contain a specific microbiome (Nejman et al, 2020). A recent study described that bacterial DNA can be found in most CHSs. Bacteria were mostly intracellular and were detectable in immune and tumor cells (Nejman et al, 2020). Interestingly, metabolic functions related to intratumoral bacteria appeared tumor type-specific; that is, degradation of hydroxyprolines by bacteria was enriched in CHSs (Nejman et al, 2020). Although more work is needed to decipher the precise role(s) of this symbiotic microenvironment, it is tempting to speculate that it could affect the stemness/differentiation and metabolic state of CHSs and possibly other sarcomas.

To date, several clinical and preclinical studies have reported treatments able to target the TME and/or CSCs in sarcomas (Abarrategi et al, 2016; Genadry et al, 2018; Schiavone et al, 2019) (Fig 2). The advent of techniques for single-cell analysis, such as single-cell DNA/RNA sequencing and spatial transcriptomics, will accelerate studying and modeling of sarcoma tissue heterogeneity and possibly lead to the identification of novel biomarkers and/or therapeutic targets.

The immune infiltrate in sarcoma as a source of new therapeutic targets

The TME of sarcoma cells is infiltrated by different immune cell populations (Fig 3). For example, OS tumor tissues are infiltrated by T lymphocytes (tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, TILs) in a very high percentage of patients, mainly expressing CD8 (Théoleyre et al, 2005; Palmerini et al, 2017), and both TILs and tumor cells showed a high expression of HLA-DR compared with other, non-malignant bone tumors (Triej et al, 1998). In preclinical models, CD8+ TILs are cytotoxic against allogeneic tumor cells (Théoleyre et al, 2005), and the number of CD8+ or CD8+/TIA1+ TILs correlates positively with longer survival in patients (van Erp et al, 2017; Gomez-Brouchet et al, 2017; Palmerini et al, 2017). Similarly, in a small percentage of tumors, FOXP3+ (regulatory T cells, Tregs), and Arginase+ (myeloid-derived suppressor cells, MDSCs), immune-suppressive infiltrating cells were detected (Fritsching et al, 2015; Palmerini et al, 2017). Notably, the CD8+/FOXP3+ ratio had a positive prognostic value (Fritsching et al, 2015). Furthermore, a high pretreatment ratio of infiltrating neutrophils to lymphocytes, high levels of C-reactive protein, Glasgow prognostic score, platelet–lymphocyte ratio score, and lymphocyte-monocyte ratio or systemic absolute leukocyte counts in post-therapeutic early recovery are independent prognostic markers (Moore et al, 2010; Liu et al, 2016; Vazquez et al, 2017).

Sarcomas are also frequently infiltrated by macrophages, which represent the main immune infiltrate and a highly heterogeneous population (Toulmonde et al, 2018; Mu et al, 2019; Stahl et al, 2019). Macrophage subpopulations are composed of a balance between immune-stimulatory M1 and immune-suppressive M2 macrophages that can be dysregulated in sarcomas. Both subpopulations are CD68+ and can be distinguished by the INOS and CD163 expression in M1 and M2 macrophages, respectively (Jayasingam et al, 2020). However, their roles are complex, as revealed by the functional discrepancy observed according to the given sarcoma subtype. Indeed, CD163+ is required for their protumoral activities (Shiraishi et al, 2018) and is a prognostic marker for specific...
sarcoma subtypes such as embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma (ERMS; Kather et al., 2019), whereas in OSs, CD163+ M2 macrophages are proangiogenic, facilitating cancer cell extravasation and promoting the metastatic process (Dumars et al., 2016; Han et al., 2016; Gomez-Brouchet et al., 2017). Conflicting results showed a positive association of tumor-associated dendritic cells (CD1a+) and macrophages with either a worse disease-free survival (Koirala et al., 2016) or inhibition of metastases (Buddingh et al., 2011). However, their phenotype has not been fully characterized.

Sarcomas driven by reciprocal fusion oncoproteins, such as EwS, generally exhibit a low immune infiltrate, constituting so-called “cold” tumors. Few available studies have demonstrated that TILs and dendritic cells are quite rare (immune desert) and that programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression is usually low (Spurny et al., 2018). The presence of infiltrating macrophages has been associated with poorer overall survival (Vakkila et al., 2006), and elevated levels of circulating proinflammatory factors (e.g., interleukin 6, IL-6) correlate with tumor-associated fever at advanced stages (Lissat et al., 2015), implying the recruitment of immunosuppressing myeloid dendritic cells, macrophages, and other inflammatory cells at the tumor site (preprint: Evdokimova et al., 2019).

For STSs, only a very few recent reports have aimed to determine the “hot” or “cold” tumor immunophenotypes and their potential as biomarkers for response to therapy (Galon & Bruni, 2019). Kim et al reported the presence of PD-1+ and PD-L1+ TILs at rates of 65% and 58%, respectively, in various STS subtypes (Kim et al., 2013a). Similarly, the infiltrations of PD-L1-expressing macrophages and lymphocytes were observed in 58% and 30%, respectively, of 50 analyzed STS samples (D’Angelo et al., 2015), and the PD-L1

**Figure 3. Sarcomas are characterized by an immune oasis.**

Sarcomas are infiltrated by numerous immune cells, which are in some sarcoma subtypes deleterious by establishing an immune tolerant microenvironment that can be at the origin of innovative therapeutic approaches. In physiological condition, the adaptive immune system is activated by exogenous antigens leading to initiation of an effective immune response against the host at the origin of these antigens. Unfortunately, in most cases immune activation by tumor-associated antigen is counterbalanced by inhibitory signals transmitted after the binding of immune checkpoint molecules (e.g., PD-1) expressed by immune effectors to their ligands expressed by cancer cells such as PD-L1. Macrophages also contribute to the immune surveillance in sarcomas with two main distinct subsets: M1 macrophages with pro-tumor activities and M2 macrophages with anti-tumor and immunosuppressive functions. This immune landscape has led to the development of immunotherapies including immune checkpoint inhibitors, activated NK cells, or genetically modified T lymphocytes (CAR T cells) in order to reactivate the tumor immune surveillance.
expression was associated with a higher density of CD3+ PD-1+ TILs, a higher tumor grading, and a lower overall survival (Orth et al., 2020). PD-L1 was also expressed by tumor cells in 12% of cases, with the highest prevalence in gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs). Finally, the detection of low CD3+ or CD4+ TILs was significantly correlated with better overall survival by a univariate analysis (D’Angelo et al., 2015). However, recent reports have provided a more panoramic view of PD-1 and PD-L1 expression in larger series of STS and revealed that most STS subtypes show expression of both factors (Dancsok et al., 2019; Orth et al., 2020). However, the bioclinical relevance of PD-1 and PD-L1 (e.g., prognostic value) remains controversial in sarcomas, mainly due to their high heterogeneity (Fuji et al., 2014; Nduom et al., 2016; Nowicki et al., 2017).

Collectively, the immune infiltrates observed in sarcomas offer a rich opportunity for implementation of immunotherapeutic approaches in sarcomas. Yet, a complete and more standardized immune score may help to better understand the different immunophenotypes related to each sarcoma subtype and to improve immunotherapeutic approaches.

Models for studying the biology of sarcomas

Human cancer cell lines have become the cornerstone of cancer research. However, the accumulation of (epi-)genetic mutations over time and across laboratories can have crucial implications when investigating new treatments as shown in carcinoma cell lines (Liu et al., 2019), since they affect drug response (Ben-David et al., 2018). Whether this holds true for translation-driven sarcomas, such as alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma (ARMS), EwS, myxoid LPS, and SS, which display rather “silent” genomes, remains to be determined. Yet, the use of low-passaged primary cell lines can prevent accumulation of mutations: A recent study of CHS patient samples and their derived cell lines characterized the genetic drift process of primary cell lines after 20–34 in vitro cell culture passages (Rey et al., 2019). Although the adaptation of tumor cells to in vitro cell culture is accompanied by additional genetic mutations, these rather low-passaged CHS cell lines retained the most relevant mutations of the patient’s founder clone (Rey et al., 2019).

For preclinical modeling of sarcoma, 3D culture has recently emerged as a tool for better prediction of drug efficacy and development of precision medicine approaches (Vaira et al., 2010; Santoro et al., 2015; Bregenzer et al., 2019). These 3D models include microfluidic devices, bioprinted cell-enriched structures with tailorable biomechanical properties, and well-defined tumors (Murphy & Atala, 2014), which contain different cell types, defined gradients of bioactive factors, and “physiological” biomaterials to precisely recapitulate the natural TME (Ma et al., 2018). This will help to elucidate the mechanical cross-talk between sarcoma cells and “normal” cells (including vasculature and immune cells) (Huang et al., 2014; Datta et al., 2017), as well as components of the extracellular matrix (Doraiswamy et al., 2007; Pavlou et al., 2019). However, although a recent study has successfully employed a mineralized 3D bone model to evaluate the effect of the small-molecule elesclomol on EwS cells (Marchetto et al., 2020), 3D models for the study of sarcoma are still in their infancy (Barron et al., 2004, 2005).

In vivo, the chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay is a valuable option due to its low costs and relatively easy implementation. CAM assays have been employed to study sarcoma angiogenesis, fibroblast infiltration, tumorigenesis, tumor invasion, and metastasis in CHS, EwS, fibrosarcoma, LPS, and OS (Sys et al., 2013; Patil et al., 2014; Manjunathan & Ragunathan, 2015; Cimpean et al., 2018; Kunz et al., 2019; Perut et al., 2019; Steinestel et al., 2020). Numerous additional in vivo models of inducible or spontaneous sarcomas have been described in non-mammalian vertebrates (e.g., zebrafish; Leacock et al., 2012; Mohseny et al., 2012; Brown et al., 2017b; Hayes & Langenau, 2017; Ignatiu et al., 2018; Fleming et al., 2019) and in mammalians (e.g., mouse, rat, and dog; Cannon, 2015; Jacques et al., 2018; Castillo-Tandazo et al., 2019; Pomella & Rota, 2020). Genetically modified zebrafish and xenotransplantation of human sarcoma cells in fish were simultaneously proposed. Their main advantages are (i) their small size, allowing the maintenance of many animals at low costs; (ii) their high rate of proliferation (> 200 embryos per pairing); (iii) ex utero development of embryos, facilitating cell transplantations; (iv) their transparency, which facilitates non-invasive and repeated imaging; (v) the possibility of imaging at the single-cell level; (vi) studies of human cells and host factors facilitated by the use of transgenic lines; (vii) no immune rejection in early cell transplantation; and (viii) facilitation of high-throughput drug screening due the animals’ permeability to small molecules through diffusion. Yet, the lack of specific organs (e.g., lungs) and the difference with human TME are two major limitations of zebrafish models (Mohseny et al., 2012; Brown et al., 2017b; Hayes & Langenau, 2017).

Genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs) are considered reliable models for studying cancer development. Indeed, by inducing the formation of spontaneous tumors mimicking the natural history of human pathologies, GEMMs are privileged models to functionally identify and characterize molecular drivers or genetic initiator events of the disease (Kersten et al., 2017). While EwS, for which no bona fide GEMMs have been developed to date, is an exception among sarcomas, numerous GEMMs of bone sarcomas (for reviews, see ref. Jacques et al., 2018, 2019) and STSs (for review, see ref. Dodd et al., 2015) have been described. The first GEMM overexpressed the AP-1 transcription factor c-Fos in murine osteoblasts, which led to the development of OS without inducing metastatic foci (Grigoriadis et al., 1993). More recent models include deletion of Tp53, Rb, Prx-1, or Prkar1a; overexpression of Sonic Hedgehog signaling components; or targeting Apc and Twist, and lead to the formation of metastatic OS (Jacques et al., 2018). Similarly, conditional loss of Tp53 or Inka4a/Arf in an Ext1-driven GEMM results in the formation of CHS (de Andrea et al., 2015).

GEMMs of STSs were also developed (Dodd et al., 2015). For example, the conditional Pax3-Fkh1 knock-in allele is associated with the development of ARMS with a frequency that can be increased by the loss of function of Ink4a/Arf and Tp53 (Keller et al., 2004). In addition, ERMS can be induced from the adipocyte lineage by adipocyte-restricted activation of Hedgehog signaling through constitutive expression of an active Smoothered allele (Haley et al., 2012). The latter model has also helped to demonstrate that Hedgehog signaling drives aberrant expression of myogenic specification factors, which may induce ERMS from non-myogenic endothelial progenitors (Drummond et al., 2018). More recently, GEMMs for sarcomas have been obtained by CRISPR-Cas9 technology (Huang et al., 2017).

Xenografts are alternatives to GEMMs and can be obtained by injection of tumor cells into immunodeficient mice. Xenografts are
relatively easy to generate and highly reproducible (Picarda et al., 2010; Gambera et al., 2018; Jacques et al., 2018), but cannot fully recapitulate the TME of many sarcoma subtypes, and only rarely give rise to spontaneous metastases (Jacques et al., 2018). In this context, orthotopic xenografts obtained through injection of a suspension of tumor cells into the para- or intrasosseous site for OS and EwS modeling (Hauer et al., 2013; Lamora et al., 2014; Stewart et al., 2014; Ségálny et al., 2015; Baglio et al., 2017), or through intramuscular injection for the modeling of “soft tissue EwS” (Jaboin et al., 2002; Merchant et al., 2004), more closely recapitulated the TME of the respective tumor histotype. Similarly, early passage patient-derived xenografts (PDXs) constitute a powerful tool for preserving the TME, histology, and genetic profiles of sarcomas (Hoffman, 2015; Stewart et al., 2017). PDXs are obtained through subcutaneous or orthotopic implantation of small fragments of tumors isolated from patients in immunodeficient mice. However, so far, only few studies have been published on PDXs in sarcoma due to the low success rate of the engraftment, the complex implantation procedure (Stewart et al., 2017; Nanni et al., 2019; Rainusso et al., 2019), and the costs required for the stabilization of the model, which may require up to a year (Nanni et al., 2019).

Current standard therapies for sarcomas

The therapeutic care of bone sarcoma and STS patients requires specialized sarcoma units. In fact, treatment in such specialized centers has been shown to result in improved surgical and oncologic outcomes (Blay et al., 2017). In addition, due to the potentially devastating consequences that can arise from poorly performed biopsies, biopsies of lesions suspected of being a sarcoma should be carried out in (or directed by) a specialized center (Mankin et al., 1982; Potter et al., 2008; Pretell-Mazzini et al., 2015; Traub et al., 2018). The cornerstone of bone sarcoma and STS management is surgical resection of the primary tumor, which is typically accompanied by neoadjuvant and/or adjuvant chemotherapy and/or irradiation. Radiation therapy contributes to local control of tumor growth with positive margins or high-grade STS (Kim et al., 2008). Chemotherapy regimens of bone sarcomas (e.g., OS, EwS) combine doxorubicin, cisplatin, methotrexate, and ifosfamide administered before and/after surgery for 6–12 months (Brown et al., 2018). Similarly, systemic treatments of STSs are mainly based on anthracyclines (e.g., doxorubicin) alone or in combination with an alkylating agent (e.g., ifosfamide) (Judson et al., 2014; Gómez & Tsagózis, 2020; Smrke et al., 2020). Interestingly, the use of adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy may be defined by biological risk factors in high-risk STSs (Sundby Hall et al., 2018). Although systemic therapy is the treatment of choice in metastatic disease (Meyers, 2015), resection of the primary tumor may still be performed with palliative intent, or rarely, in combination with resection of oligometastatic disease (Blakely et al., 2015). Wide margin surgery then remains the crucial technical approach in sarcoma treatment (Patrikiodu et al., 2011).

For bone sarcomas, studies have demonstrated that oncologic outcomes of OS and EwS are similar between limb salvage and amputation when wide margins are achieved (Simon et al., 1986; Rougraff et al., 1994; Alamanda et al., 2012; Jauregui et al., 2018). Thus, the current standard of care is limb salvage surgery if preservation of neurovascular structures allows reconstruction of a functional extremity (Yang et al., 2017). Special considerations are made for limb reconstruction in the growing child, such as the use of growing prostheses, vascularized autografts, or van Nes rotation-plasty. The choice of (neo)adjuvant treatment modalities is largely driven by the histological subtype: For instance, OS and EwS are usually chemosensitive and treated with neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy to decrease the risk of systemic disease progression, while STSs are frequently treated with neoadjuvant radiation therapy to decrease the risk of local recurrence (Gasper et al., 2015; Brown et al., 2018; Le Cesne, 2018; Ray-Coquard et al., 2018; Fig 2). In contrast, high-grade CHS is largely resistant to existing chemotherapies; thus, achieving a wide margin resection is currently the best option for prevention of disease progression (Reed et al., 2017; Brown et al., 2018; Whelan & Davis, 2018). GIST is one of the STS subtypes for which the therapeutic development has been the most spectacular (Farag et al., 2020). For instance, up to 85% of patients with advanced GIST benefit from imatinib treatment (Blay, 2011). In fact, 90% of GISTs harbor driver mutations in the KIT proto-oncogene receptor tyrosine kinase (KIT) and platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (PDGFRα), which can be targeted by tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). Their therapeutic efficacy is directly linked to the type of mutation, and consequently, the acquisition of secondary mutations can result in drug resistance (see section “Resistance to targeted therapies”), which remains the most significant challenge in the treatment of locally advanced and metastatic GIST (Li & Raut, 2019). However, even fourth-line therapy with TKIs may still be effective in advanced GIST (Blay et al., 2020).

Yet, the mostly moderate efficacy of any second-line treatment for the majority of relapsed bone sarcomas and STSs highlights the need for intensified research to identify novel targets and improved preclinical models to predict drug response in molecularly defined cohorts of patients suffering from refractory and/or recurrent disease.

Mechanisms of drug resistance

Chemoresistance has been largely associated with the expression of specific detoxifying molecules, such as efflux pumps (ABC-binding cassette (ABC) family proteins or ALDH enzymes), as it has also been recently demonstrated for CSCs (Lohberger et al., 2012). In particular, P-glycoprotein is a 170 kDa transmembrane energy-dependent efflux pump encoded by the MDR1 gene. Its expression leads to a multidrug resistance phenotype rather than an increased biological aggressiveness (Scotandii et al., 1996; Baldini, 1997), which is associated with decreased event-free survival in OS patients (Baldini et al., 1995) and in a small percentage of STS patients (Serra et al., 1996), and has also recently been found in bone sarcoma PDXs (Nanni et al., 2019).

Besides P-glycoprotein, additional drug resistance mechanisms are caused by tumor heterogeneity arising from high DNA repair capacity, deregulation of apoptotic factors, adoption of a quiescent state (Honoki et al., 2010; Abarrategi et al., 2016; Martinez-Cruzado et al., 2016; Roundhill et al., 2019; Vallette et al., 2019), drug delivery failure, the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) (Sannino et al., 2017), increased autophagy (Xiao et al., 2018), enrichment of CSCs...
(Eyler & Rich, 2008), protective signaling traits after chemotherapeutic treatment (Martins-Neves et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2016), and immune evasion (Vasan et al., 2019).

In addition, resistance to conventional TKIs (e.g., imatinib) is associated with secondary mutations of KIT or PDGFRα in GIST (see section “Resistance to targeted therapies”). To overcome such acquired resistance, “switch pocket inhibitors” have been developed (Blay et al., 2020). A switch pocket inhibitor has the same target as the conventional inhibitors but acts like a light switch that deactivates cell signaling associated with the targeted receptor via blocking conformational activation of the kinase. For example, ripretinib targets KIT, PDGFRα/β, kinase insert domain receptor (KDR), and colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R alias C-FMS) and has been developed to overcome the TKI resistance occurring in GIST patients. The Asp842Val (D842V) mutation of PDGFRα was identified as the primary driver mutation in 5–6% of GISTs, which are refractory to all currently approved TKIs (Corless et al., 2005). The D842V mutation is located in the exon 18 encoding the PDGFRα activation loop and modifies the protein conformation to a “constitutive” active form.

Avapritinib is a new TKI designed on the base of its selective property to target the active conformation of KIT and PDGFRα. A phase I clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov No. NCT02508532) has recently assessed its safety, tolerability, and anti-tumor activity (Heinrich et al., 2020). Interestingly, 9% of complete response and 79% a partial response was observed. Ripretinib—an inhibitor of all known KIT and PDGFRα mutations—forces the switching of the mutated receptors to assume the “off” position. A recent double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 3 clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov No. NCT03537573) showed that ripretinib significantly improved the progression-free survival with an acceptable safety profile in patients suffering from advanced GIST resistant to approved treatment (Blay et al., 2020).

Similarly, the classification of BRAF mutations, the knowledge about dysregulated signaling pathways and dysregulated circuitries related to these mutations, and the function of BRAF in sarcoma led to the development of new therapeutic options to overcome resistance to conventional chemotherapy. For instance, the BRAF V600E mutation was recently identified as a potential therapeutic target in a small subset of SS (Watanabe et al., 2020). It is interesting to note that resistance to BRAF mutation inhibitors may be overcome by combining BRAF inhibitors with EGFR, PI3K, mTOR, MEK, RTK, HGF, and MET inhibitors, leading to the targeting of the MAPK and PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling pathways (Liu et al., 2020). CX-6258 is a pan-Pim kinase inhibitor selected for its potent activity against sensitive and resistant cancer cells to RAF/MEK inhibitor (Haddach et al., 2011).

Using a KINOMEscan assay platform, haspin kinase was identified as a target of CX-6258. The inhibition of haspin reduced cancer cell proliferation and regulated the immune system by increasing the frequency of interferon γ (IFNγ)-producing CD8⁺ T cells and reducing the number of Tregs in vivo (Melm et al., 2019). Interestingly, the haspin kinase inhibitor can overcome RAF/MEK inhibitor-resistant cancer cells and shows anti-tumor effects in EwS (Melm et al., 2019). Acquired resistance to cisplatin observed in OS patients is associated with a poor prognosis (Higuchi et al., 2019). Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) was reported to enhance the efficacy and overcome resistance to cisplatin in various oncological entities and exhibits similar properties in OS (Higuchi et al., 2019).

The cell differentiation state also affects drug sensitivity (Dawson et al., 2020). A subpopulation of RMS cells that expressed MYOD1 and NOG exhibited primary resistance to vincristine and doxorubicin, which can be partly overcome by the combination of 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) and an enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) inhibitor (GSK126) (Dawson et al., 2020). EZH2 is an epigenetic drug acting as a histone methyltransferase inhibitor that has been recently approved for metastatic or locally advanced epithelioid sarcoma (Rugo et al., 2020). The elimination and recycling of damaged proteins and organelles are driven by autophagy, which provides energy to the cells. Autophagy can be activated by chemotherapy and can promote increased chemosensitivity, as well as drug resistance in OS (Camuzard et al., 2019; Liao et al., 2019).

Thus, drugs regulating autophagy may be an option to overcome drug resistance in the future.

**Cell dormancy and recurrence**

The risk of recurrence in oncology is associated with the persistence of cancer cells, which are not clinically/biologically detectable after resection of the primary tumor (Arli et al., 2013). The latency without any detectable disease varies according to the clinical condition (e.g., histological grade and subtype) and depends on cancer cells characterized by slow cycling, low metabolism and fitness, and consequently, long-term survival mechanisms (Vallette et al., 2019). Awakened cancer cells re-acquire an active state, with capacities of proliferation and spreading to distant sites, and they define the minimal residual disease (Riekmüller & Klein, 2001). Dormant cells have been identified in several sarcoma subtypes, including fibrosarcoma (Dobson & Dickey, 1956; Varani et al., 1981; Cao et al., 1998), LPS (Almog et al., 2006; Rogers et al., 2014), RMS (Kimura et al., 2002), Kaposi sarcoma (Indraccolo et al., 2006), and OS (Nau mou et al., 2006; Shimizu et al., 2014; Avril et al., 2016a,b; Guo et al., 2017). These rare dormant cells exhibit stemness properties (Visvader, 2011), and they have been related to drug resistance (De Angelis et al., 2019; Smith & Macleod, 2019; Vallette et al., 2019). The emergence of dormant cells is a conserved biological process linked to cell survival and controlled by multiple parameters, including genetic and epigenetic alterations, clonal cell evolution, cell-matrix interactions within the TME (e.g., immune tolerance), and diversity/heterogeneity. No specific molecular signature of dormant sarcoma cells has yet been identified. The most recent molecular approaches (e.g., single-cell RNA sequencing, RNA/DNA methylation profiling) should lead to the identification of their specific molecular profile and of the molecular drivers of this state. For instance, myeloma dormant cells are switched “on” by engagement with osteoblastic cells and switched “off” by active osteoclasts (Lawson et al., 2015), which illustrates the clinical interest of targeting cell dormancy also in the context of bone sarcomas and STSs (Endo & Inoue, 2019; Recasens & Munoz, 2019; Tellez-Gabriel et al., 2019).

**Resistance to targeted therapies**

TKIs are the largest class of targeted therapies approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). In particular, GIST commonly shows activating mutations in the receptor tyrosine kinases KIT and PDGFRα. While physiological KIT or PDGFRα signaling are involved...
in cell differentiation and survival, activating mutations in both genes results in constitutive ligand-independent receptor activation, leading to GIST tumorigenesis. TKIs are the standard of care in the primary treatment of GIST, and imatinib is the most commonly used compound (Casali et al., 2018). The resistance toward TKIs in GIST is mainly related to secondary mutations of KIT (Li & Raut, 2019; Napolitano & Vincenzi, 2019), but can also be triggered by PDGFRA mutations (Lim et al., 2008; Kalfusova et al., 2019).

In non-GIST STSs, the currently approved targeted therapies are limited to the multi-target TKI pazopanib, which targets VEGFR-1, VEGFR-2, and VEGFR-3, PDGFRα and PDGFR-β; and KIT (Lee et al., 2019). It has been demonstrated that anti-angiogenic TKIs, including pazopanib, do not succeed in targeting sarcoma stem cells (Canter et al., 2014), whereas treatment with pazopanib in a human SS model promotes the development of resistance (Lanzi et al., 2019). Despite a strong inhibition of the main target of pazopanib, PDGFRα/β, the activation of the AKT and ERK signaling pathways was only partially impaired, possibly due to the over activation of other tyrosine kinase receptors, including the insulin-like growth factor receptor type 1 (IGFIR) and insulin receptor (IR). Similarly, in another SS cell line, the presence of an NRAS mutation sustained ERK activation and caused resistance to pazopanib treatment (Lanzi et al., 2019). Thus, a combination treatment with either an IGFIR/IR inhibitor or a MEK inhibitor has been suggested to restore the inhibition of the PDGFRα/β pathways and effectively promote apoptosis (Lanzi et al., 2019). Phosphoproteomic profiling of pazopanib-resistant cells identified the inhibition of HSP90 as a therapeutic route to overcome resistance (Vyse et al., 2018).

These findings highlight the importance of patient-specific tumor profiling to identify the underlying activated signaling pathways, thereby avoiding the “one-size-fits-all” paradigm and moving toward personalized, multi-line, and patient-specific treatment regimens (Wilding et al., 2019). Biomarker-guided basket trials, such as the CREATE trial, which evaluates multiple disease types with a common oncogenic driver matched to a specific targeted therapy, may be considered in this respect (Péron et al., 2019). Moreover, characterization of interpatient pharmacokinetic variability will be a valuable tool to predict and overcome the development of resistance (Cardoso et al., 2020).

Other types of resistances
Several other indirect mechanisms of drug resistance in sarcoma have been identified, such as the formation of abnormal TME, hypoxia, and acidosis. Elevated levels of hypoxia and hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1α) in human sarcomas correlate with tumor progression and radiation resistance (Kim et al., 2013b). In particular, in STS, HIF1α expression was found in 25.5% of tumors and was associated with both shorter overall survival and progression-free survival (Kim et al., 2015). Moreover, translational activation of HIF1α by YB-1 was found to promote metastasis in preclinical models of EwS, OS, and RMS (El-Naggar et al., 2015). Similarly, in OS, hypoxia was responsible for the induction of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway and resulted in 6–13 times more cell resistance to doxorubicin-mediated toxicity than under normoxic conditions (Roncuzzi et al., 2014; Scholten et al., 2014). In EwS, hypoxia has been found to protect tumor cells against anticancer drugs, while suppression of HIF1α enhanced drug-induced apoptosis (Klici et al., 2007). Accordingly, metabolic characterization, including hypoxic phenotypes, may help to identify specific treatment modalities in OS, other bone sarcomas, and STTs (Eary et al., 2011; Campanile et al., 2013). Along these lines, a recent pilot study characterized different metabolic parameters in a small group of STS patients using specific positron emission tomography (PET) agents to assess the individual risk associated with biological characteristics of the tumors (Wolszczynski et al., 2018).

Tumor acidosis is a metabolic adaptation observed in cancers and characterized by the fermentation of glucose to lactic acid. This process occurs in the presence of oxygen and is called aerobic glycolysis or Warburg effect. This adaptive mechanism modulates the drug sensitivity and leads to drug resistances by intrinsic (e.g., modulation of the mutational profile driven by a cell adaptation to stress) or extrinsic (e.g., structural-functional modulation of drugs induced by the local pH modifications) mechanisms (Kolosenko et al., 2017). Indeed, the pH of the local microenvironment regulates the passive diffusion of small molecules such as cancer drugs across biological barriers by modulating charged components of cell membranes, process named ion trapping or pH-partitioning (Scott et al., 2017). Many cancer drugs are ionizable molecules containing weak bases or acids in their structure and are subjected to pH-partitioning resistance (Zhitomirsky & Assaraf, 2016). That is the case for doxorubicin (weak base compound) in OS, which is trapped in the acidic extracellular microenvironment and consequently cannot target cancer cells (Avnet et al., 2016). On the contrary, the cytotoxic effects of cisplatin (weakly acidic drug) are increased in OS by the local tumor acidosis, which favors its neutral form and then facilitates its passive diffusion across the cell membranes (Avnet et al., 2016). In the cytoplasm, cisplatin is ionized by the low alkaline pH and trapped in the cell. A similar phenomenon was described in RMS, and the diffusion of weak base drugs across cell membranes and their sequestration in the lysosomal compartment are facilitated by ion trapping (Salerno et al., 2014; Zhitomirsky & Assaraf, 2016).

Molecular signatures of sarcomas: Effects on diagnosis and prognosis
In past decades, an unbiased and systematic search for gene fusions combined with unsupervised gene expression and (epi)genetic analyses of different sarcoma subtypes led to better classification systems (WHO Classification of Tumours: Soft Tissue and Bone Tumours, 2020). In addition, these molecular signatures provide information about the biology of these tumors, reflecting both the characteristics of the sarcoma’s cell of origin and the activated pathways driving the malignant phenotype (Taylor et al., 2011).

Genomic and transcriptomic alterations
The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Research Network reported a recent analysis of 206 adult STSs representing six major subtypes (Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, 2017). Here, the authors showed that common sarcomas (except for SS) are characterized by a high number of copy-number variations (CNVs) and recurrent point mutations in relatively few genes, such as TP53, ATRX, and RB1. Importantly, specific genomic and transcriptomic alterations also define molecular subtypes, which are associated with patient outcome (Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, 2017). Other studies have identified whole-genome duplication as a cause of the
structural complexity of UPS (Steele et al., 2019), and CDKN2A alterations as a predictor of worse overall survival across sarcoma subtypes (Bui et al., 2019). Integrated analysis of genomic and transcriptomic data confirmed the mutational profiles of STSs and identified PDGFRα as a putative target in complex karyotype STSs (Kim et al., 2018). Instead, a PDGFRα-blocking antibody (olatumab) in combination with doxorubicin showed promising results for non-GIST STS treatment (Klug & Heinrich, 2017). Given the widespread presence of CDK4-amplification/high expression and CDKN2A loss across sarcoma subtypes, CDK4 inhibitors such as palbociclib are also a promising strategy in RB-positive tumors (Dickson et al., 2013). It is noteworthy that ATRX has been shown to be required for response to CDK4 inhibitors in LPS, providing a potential biomarker for upcoming clinical trials (Kovatcheva et al., 2015; Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, 2017). Integration of genomic and transcriptome analysis has also uncovered a “BRCA-ness” mutational signature in LMS, which confers sensitivity to DNA double-strand break-inducing drugs (Helleday, 2011; Chudasama et al., 2018) and sensitivity toward the combination of the poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor olaparib and cisplatin (Chudasama et al., 2018). Olaparib combined with trabectedin (an alkylating drug) showed manageable toxicities at active dose levels and encouraging anti-tumor activity in STS (Grignani et al., 2018). A phase 2 study on this topic is ongoing (ClinicalTrials.gov No. NCT04076579).

Exome sequencing has revealed a combination of single-base substitutions, loss of heterozygosity events, and/or large-scale genome instability involving 14 driver genes (ATM, ATRX, BAP1, BRCA2, FANCA, MDC1, MUTYH, NUMA1, PTEN, RB1, RECL4, RET, TP53, and WRN) and many additional genes that define a “BRCA-ness” signature in > 80% of OS (Kovac et al., 2015). In fact, OS is characterized by a very complex altered genomic landscape explained by chromothripsis-generating driver mutations and multiple genomic rearrangements (Behjati et al., 2017). However, in some cases, OS tumorigenesis is associated with germline alterations in TP53, RB1, and RECL1/2/3 predisposing patients to the accumulation of high numbers of somatic mutations (Smida et al., 2017; Baumhoer et al., 2019; Sayles et al., 2019). In addition, two recent publications hypothesized that specific somatic CNV profiles of OS can be used for outcome prediction and for identification of altered genes and associated pathways as potential therapeutic targets (Smida et al., 2017; Sayles et al., 2019). Similar preliminary findings have been reported for EwS and RMS (Cheng et al., 2019). Olaparib combined with ceritinib (ALK inhibitor) in OS showed limited toxicities, activity, and redistribution of polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) associated with a blockade of mesenchymal differentiation. K36M mutations in H3F3B have also been detected in most chondroblastic tumors (Behjati et al., 2013). The detection of histone mutations could help in therapeutic choices as recently evidenced by an instructive case of a patient diagnosed with a histiocytic neoplasm harboring a histone H3K36l mutation. This patient did not respond to multiple histiocytosis treatments, but showed a stable therapeutic response after chemotherapy and radiation therapy used for STS (Snuderl et al., 2019). Similarly, mutations in chromatin remodeling genes, including ATRX, DOT1L, and H3F3A, have been identified in 14 UPS cases highlighting the potential involvement of deregulated chromatin remodeling pathways in tumorigenesis (Ali et al., 2019).

Epigenetic alterations

Mutations in chromatin remodeler components have recently been recognized as oncogenic drivers in adult and pediatric sarcomas (Nacev et al., 2019). Recurrent somatic missense mutations in histone H3 at lysine 36 impair the mesenchymal differentiation program and promote the initiation of UPS (Fang et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2016). These mutations result in hypomethylation of H3K36 and a gain in H3K27 methylation that leads to the de-repression and redistribution of polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) associated with a blockade of mesenchymal differentiation. K36M mutations in H3F3B have also been detected in most chondroblastic tumors (Behjati et al., 2013). The detection of histone mutations could help in therapeutic choices as recently evidenced by an instructive case of a patient diagnosed with a histiocytic neoplasm harboring a histone H3K36l mutation. This patient did not respond to multiple histiocytosis treatments, but showed a stable therapeutic response after chemotherapy and radiation therapy used for STS (Snuderl et al., 2019). Similarly, mutations in chromatin remodeling genes, including ATRX, DOT1L, and H3F3A, have been identified in 14 UPS cases highlighting the potential involvement of deregulated chromatin remodeling pathways in tumorigenesis (Ali et al., 2019).

Epigenetic alterations and signatures have also been extensively explored in EwS. In fact, EwS has been defined as an “enhancer disease” with substantial levels of epigenetic heterogeneity (Tomazou et al., 2015; Sheffield et al., 2017). In contrast to many other cancers, inter-tumor epigenetic heterogeneity did not uncover
discrete subgroups in EwS, but, rather, defined a continuous spectrum along two distinct and biologically interpretable dimensions (“Ewing-like” and “mesenchymal versus stem-like”; Sheffield et al., 2017). Although the clinical relevance of this epigenetic heterogeneity in sarcoma remains to be clarified, recent studies have highlighted the potential of epigenetic therapies in OS and EwS: Selective inhibition of BET bromodomain epigenetic signaling interferes with the bone-associated tumor’s vicious cycle in OS and inhibits the oncogenic transcription factor EWSR1-FLI1 in EwS(Lamoureux et al., 2014; Jacques et al., 2016; Baud’huin et al., 2017). Super-enhancers (SEs), which are large genomic regions enriched in active enhancers, have been identified as regulators of cellular identity (Whyte et al., 2013). In pediatric fusion-positive ARMS, PAX3-FOXO1 was shown to establish a miswired myoblastic SE landscape, creating a dependency on BET bromodomains (Gryder et al., 2017, 2019, 2020). BET inhibitors ablate PAX3-FOXO1 function, providing a rationale for their use in the treatment of fusion-positive ARMS patients (Gryder et al., 2017, 2019, 2020).

Deregulation of epigenetic programs also plays key roles in other sarcoma subtypes, such as SS, an STS that often occurs in young adults. The defining genetic event present in all histological variants of SS is the translocation of the SS18 gene on chromosome 18q11 to an SSX gene (mainly SSX1 or SSX2) located on chrXp11 (Clark et al., 1994). A recent RNA interference screen to find specific epigenetic vulnerabilities created by the SS18-SSX oncprotein identified a critical role for KDM2B, a member of the non-canonical polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1.1) in sustaining SS cell proliferation (Banito et al., 2018). PRC1.1 is required for the recruitment of SS18-SSX and the mSWI/SNF complex to unmethylated CpG islands, which enables the fusion to activate genes that would otherwise be repressed (Banito et al., 2018). In addition, two recent studies found a dependency of SS on the mSWI/SNF subunit BRD9 (Brien et al., 2018; Michel et al., 2018). However, further work should determine whether these results pinpoint a requirement of BRD9 for the SS18-SSX-driven expression program (Brien et al., 2018) and whether this constitutes a synthetic lethal interaction by regulation of fusion-independent genomic sites (Michel et al., 2018).

Apart from their roles in sarcomagenesis, specific epigenetic alterations can be used to improve bone sarcoma and STS classification, diagnosis, and patient stratification (Fig 1; Koelsche et al., 2018a; Weidema et al., 2020). The promising results of brain tumor DNA methylation-based classification (Capper et al., 2018) fostered adaptation of this principle to the decision-making process in sarcoma diagnostics, which is often clinically equally challenging (Koelsche et al., 2018a). Analyses of more than 1,000 mesenchymal tumor samples comprising more than 50 STS and bone sarcoma subtypes of pediatric and adult patients by array-based methylation profiling suggested that methylation signatures can be used to accurately predict sarcoma entities such as “small round blue” cell tumors (Koelsche et al., 2018a). Furthermore, this allows for defining novel subgroups within the sarcoma subtypes, for example, in angiosarcoma (Weidema et al., 2020). Methylation profiling also provides evidence for defining novel entities, such as the recently described primary intracranial sarcoma subtype with highly recurrent DICER1 mutations (Koelsche et al., 2018b). Thus, array-based DNA methylation analysis will be a major step forward to quickly and reliably discriminate between mesenchymal tumor subtypes, thus increasing diagnostic accuracy. A free access classifier tool currently under development will allow sarcoma subtypes to be predicted using array-generated DNA methylation data (www.moleculesarcomapathology.org). These molecular signatures will continue to improve the knowledge and classification of mesenchymal tumors, as well as patient outcome through more personalized therapies.

Recent developments in functional assessment of sarcoma biology through imaging

Imaging plays a critical role in the diagnosis, staging, and monitoring of therapeutic response in sarcomas as well as in assessment of recurrence. Routine imaging modalities include plain radiography; despite limitations in contrast resolution, this modality is low cost, widely available, and useful in detecting mineralization and distinguishing ossification from calcification for diagnostic purposes (Kransdorf & Meis, 1993). Computed tomography (CT) is of limited utility in evaluating STSs due to radiation concerns and poor contrast resolution, but the ability to provide three-dimensional information is mainly exploited to guide biopsy procedures and detect lung metastases (Casali et al., 2018). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the modality of choice for evaluating sarcomas, given its excellent tissue contrast and lack of ionizing radiations, particularly to determine tumor size and delineation of mass extent and to identify invasion of the compartments and occasionally for histological classification using conventional T1- and T2-weighted, fluid-sensitive sequences (Sayad et al., 2012).

In addition to these common imaging modalities, novel techniques are emerging for the functional characterization of tumors, including metabolism and the microenvironment, and for a reliable estimation of treatment response by complementing functional assessments with anatomical evaluation. PET, in combination with 18F 2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG), is a valuable tool for the characterization of cancer metabolism, since the uptake of FDG—a non-metabolizable derivative of native glucose—correlates with the pathological grade and can be used to discriminate between benign lesions and STSs (Ioannidis & Lau, 2003). Moreover, it can be used to detect metastases for the follow-up of treatments and to identify the target regions for biopsy (Kubo et al., 2016; Harrison et al., 2017).

Magnetic resonance imaging has taken a lead in the functional characterization of tumors, since it has the capability to provide multiparametric analysis of biological features of sarcoma by exploiting a variety of approaches, including chemical shift imaging (CSI), diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), and quantitative dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE)-MRI (Subhawong & Wilky, 2015). DCE-MRI provides information on tissue vascularization, perfusion, and permeability that can be exploited for differentiating STS from benign soft tissue tumors (Tunchil et al., 2005; Pepin et al., in press), or in monitoring tumor response by revealing early perfusion changes (Amit et al., 2014; Crombé et al., 2019), or in cell proliferation assessment (Lee et al., 2020). DWI provides measurements of tissue cellularity and membrane integrity by assessing the Brownian motion of water molecules in tissues. Malignant lesions are usually more cellular than benign lesions, leading to modified Brownian motion (Amit et al., 2014). DWI may be particularly suited for assessing treatment...
response, with an increase in water diffusion that is usually associated with a positive therapeutic response (Dudeck et al., 2008). MRS can provide the metabolic profile of tumors and is frequently used in sarcoma to evaluate the concentration of the membrane phospholipid choline, which may serve as a marker of malignancy in musculoskeletal STSs (Fayad et al., 2007, 2012). The quantitative parameters of CSI, DWI, MRS, and DCE-MRI have also shown promising potential as biomarkers for osseous tumors (e.g., differentiation of tumor from edema, determination of biological aggressiveness) (Fukuda et al., 2019).

Tumor acidosis is considered a major player in promoting tumor angiogenesis, progression, invasion, and resistance to chemo-radiotherapy (Pillai et al., 2019). In OS, the acidic microenvironment strongly affects the activation of MSCs by inducing clonogenicity and invasion, in addition to promoting multidrug resistance (described above) (Avnet et al., 2016, 2017). Indirect measurements of acidic regions in the TME have been obtained in canine OS samples by immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis (Avnet et al., 2017). Consequently, non-invasive imaging approaches are needed to provide accurate in vivo measurements of tumor acidosis (Anemone et al., 2019; Consolino et al., 2020). Previous MRS approaches reported intratumor acidosis in murine fibrosarcoma models, but lacked the ability to assess the spatial distribution (Vauptel et al., 1989, 1994). Recently, a novel MRI-based approach has been proposed for in vivo imaging of extracellular tumor pH with high accuracy and spatial resolution by exploiting iopamidol, an FDA-approved X-ray contrast medium that allows potential clinical translation (Longo et al., 2014; Anemone et al., 2019). Preclinical studies have shown the capability of this pH mapping method to assess the correlation between dysregulated glycolysis and tumor acidosis (Longo et al., 2016) and monitor the treatment response to anticancer therapies targeting glycolysis (Anemone et al., 2017). This novel tumor pH imaging approach may be of particular importance for investigating tumor acidosis in the field of sarcomas.

It is interesting to note that advances in imaging technology have paved the way for imaging modalities that are capable of defining drug response at earlier stages of treatment. As an example, the use of FDG-PET after 2 weeks of treatment with pazopanib was able to correctly classify 42% of STS patients as non-responders (Vlenterie et al., 2019).

**Novel biomarkers of sarcomas**

Traditionally, histomorphological assessment of sarcoma samples in conjunction with clinical and imaging features (See section “Recent developments in functional assessment of sarcoma biology through imaging”) has led to the establishment of diagnosis. In addition, the identification of fusion gene products or overexpressed oncogenes by IHC has enriched the clinical practice (Heymann, 2014; WHO Classification of Tumours: Soft Tissue and Bone Tumours, 2020). However, sarcomas often do not express specific IHC markers. In contrast to studies on tumor biopsies, the discovery of circulating tumor cells (CTCs), cell-free circulating tumor DNA (cfDNA), and tumor-derived extracellular vesicles (EVs), as well as the advent of new technologies to detect, quantify, and analyze these biological entities in peripheral blood, hold great promise for developing minimally invasive methods to improve patient care. Indeed, liquid biopsies may enable longitudinal monitoring of treatment response, early detection of relapse, and the identification of druggable driver mutations. Although IHC markers remain important tools for diagnostics in sarcomas (as reviewed in ref. Wei et al., 2017), the aim of this section is to focus on recent advances in the field of liquid biomarkers in sarcoma.

**Circulating cytokines as markers associated with prognosis**

Deregulated levels of cytokines and their receptors can be detected in cancer patients both locally and systemically, and they may be of a high prognostic value in several tumor types (Kumar et al., 1998; Belluco et al., 2000; Kawashima et al., 2000), including sarcomas. Increased serum levels of cytokines and their soluble receptors that are involved in bone degradation (e.g., IL-6 and IL-8) and bone formation (e.g., tumor necrosis factor receptor I [TNFRI]) are positively correlated with tumor size and local tumor extent, which is associated with worse overall survival in adult bone sarcoma patients (Rutkowski et al., 2003). Several studies have recognized the negative prognostic significance of various chemokines or cytokines, such as CXCL4/CXCL6 (Li et al., 2011), CXCL10 (Flores et al., 2017), IL-17A (Wang et al., 2013), IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α (Xiao et al., 2014) in OS patients. IL-6 levels were also elevated in serum of a subgroup of EWS patients with poor prognosis (Lissat et al., 2015) and constitute an indicator of poor overall survival and event-free survival in STS, suggesting a possible association with aggressive tumor behavior (Hagi et al., 2017). Besides IL-6, other cytokine signaling components including IL-8, TNF-R, sIL-2R, and M-CSF have been shown to correlate with tumor grade and size in STS patients, and the serum levels of some of these proteins were associated with the prognosis (Rutkowski et al., 2003). To date, the identification of specific cytokine components involved in sarcoma progression is far from being complete, and future studies are essential for generating innovative prognostic tools and facilitating therapy and risk-stratification.

**Extracellular vesicles (EVs) and micro RNAs (miRNAs)**

EVs are intercellular messengers where cargo (nucleic acids, proteins, lipids, and metabolites) can be characterized and potentially used as new or supplementary biomarkers in liquid biopsy approaches (Mader & Pantel, 2017). EVs isolated from peripheral blood samples derive not only from tumor cells but also from cells of the TME (See section “The complex biology of sarcoma: How current knowledge may affect therapy”). Thus, EVs can be representative of the interaction between cells in the TME and may bring useful information to follow disease progression (Baglio et al., 2017; Mannerström et al., 2019). One major advantage of EVs in the liquid biopsy approaches is their membranous structure that protects their cargo and gives them enough stability to allow EV sample storage before analysis, which facilitates their clinical use (Jeyaram & Jay, 2017).

In 2013, Miller et al. initiated the study of EVs’ diagnostic potential for sarcoma by demonstrating the efficient isolation of EVs derived from EWS and containing EWS-specific transcripts, including EWSR1-FLI1, in a pre-clinical model for patient plasma (Miller et al., 2013). Since then, only few clinical studies have been conducted in limited patient cohorts exploring sarcoma-derived EVs as biomarkers. Circulating EV-associated transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) levels were elevated in OS patients compared with healthy
individuals (Baglio et al., 2017), and circulating vesicular miR-25-3p and miR-92a-3p were elevated in LPS patients (Casadei et al., 2017). Moreover, miR-25-3p and miR-92a-3p modulated macrophages in the local TME, which in turn released IL-6, increasing the proliferation, migration, and invasion of cancer cells. EVs secreted by differentiated LPSs were also carriers of MDM2 DNA transferable to preadipocytes, which acquired oncogenic properties (e.g., impaired differentiated nature of sarcoma (Morita et al., 2020). Moreover, EVs derived from the plasma of GIST patients expressed activated KIT, which was undetectable in samples from healthy donors (Atay & Godwin, 2014). Promising data were also obtained for SS, where serum miR-92b-3p constituted a robust marker for discriminating patients with SS from other STS patients and was elevated in EVs compared with AGO2-positive fractions (Uotani et al., 2017). miR-761 released in EVs enhanced pazopanib resistance in SS (Shiozawa et al., 2018) and correlated with increased resistance. Such resistance may be explained by the modulation of NAD-dependent protein deacetylases sirtuin-3 (SIRT3) expression. Interestingly, pazopanib regulated the protein contents of EVs released by SS (Shiozawa et al., 2018), more specifically proteins from the Wnt pathway, which is crucial for SS (Baird et al., 2005). RMS also secreted EVs, which upregulated the proliferation of RMS cells and fibroblasts of the TME, and initiated the migration/invasion of tumor-associated fibroblasts through promotion of angiogenesis (Ghayad et al., 2016). EVs secreted by cancer cells appeared as key regulators of bone sarcoma biology. A pilot study analyzing RNA isolated from plasma-derived EVs of OS patients found a higher tumor mutational burden in patients with metastatic disease than in OS patients without metastases (Bao et al., 2018). The response to chemotherapy can be monitored by the identification of dysregulated levels of miRNAs (miR-124, miR-133a, miR-135b, miR-148a, miR-199a-3p, miR-27a, miR-385, and miR-9) and mRNAs (ANNEXIN2, CDC5L, CDC91B, CIP4, MTAP, PDEF, SMAD2, and WWOX) in EVs isolated from the serum of OS patients with a poor chemotherapeutic response when compared with good responders (Xu et al., 2017). However, before being incorporated into routine clinical practice, a careful optimization and standardization of EVs isolation protocols from blood samples and validation studies in larger patient cohorts are required. In particular, the position paper recently published by the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles stresses the importance of a variety of critical parameters (pre-analytical parameters, such as time to processing, type of container(s), and choice of anticoagulant) (Théry et al., 2018).

Circulating tumor cells (CTCs)

Circulating tumor cells are cells released from primary and metastatic tumor foci and migrating in secondary organs through the peripheral blood. The biological value of CTCs was assessed by comparing the molecular profiles of CTCs and primary tumors (Keller & Pantel, 2019). Controversial conclusions showed that CTCs only partly reflect the spectrum of mutations in the primary and metastatic tumors (Paoletti et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2018; Brown et al., 2019; Keller & Pantel, 2019). CTCs may be considered a snapshot of tumor tissue heterogeneity at a given time and could have strong implications for longitudinal patient monitoring (Brown et al., 2019; Tellez-Gabriel et al., 2019). In contrast to studies in carcinomas (Pantel & Alix-Panabières, 2019), studies of CTCs in sarcomas are currently limited (Tellez-Gabriel et al., 2016). The restricted number of patients, the high heterogeneity of sarcoma subtypes, and the absence of specific markers expressed by most sarcoma cells contribute to the limited advances in this field. Despite the absence of specific markers, various methods of cell isolation based on physical specificity (e.g., higher size and higher cell deformability of tumor cells) or biological properties (e.g., immunomagnetic isolation) have been proposed with success (Gabriel et al., 2016; Hayashi et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017). CTCs are detectable in bone sarcomas (Chinen et al., 2014; Benini et al., 2018) and STS patients (Braun et al., 2018; Mihály et al., 2018; Przybyl et al., 2019). To improve the sensitivity and specificity of detection and isolation of CTCs across sarcoma subtypes, investigators have been looking for universal sarcoma markers (Satelli et al., 2014; Li et al., 2018). Cell-surface Vimentin was expressed in CTCs isolated from 24 sarcoma patients comprising OS, EwS, angiosarcoma, LMS, and UPS (Satelli et al., 2014). More recently, a new class of CD45–CTCs expressing macrophage markers CD14 and CD68, cell-surface Vimentin, and specific GIST markers (DOG1 and KIT) have been identified (Li et al., 2018). This CTC subset was more abundant in patients with metastatic disease than with localized GIST. In contrast, cell-surface Vimentin-positive cells that did not express macrophage markers failed to predict GIST metastasis (Li et al., 2018). These studies underlined the potential clinical interest in CTCs as prognostic or predictive markers, although longitudinal clinical trials with a large series of patients may be required.

Cell-free circulating tumor DNA (cfDNA)

cfDNA is composed of DNA fragments released into the bloodstream by healthy and cancer tissues alike, as a result of cell death (e.g., apoptosis, necrosis) or active release (Volckmar et al., 2018; Chen & Zhao, 2019). The cfDNA fraction released from tumor tissues, called circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), may reflect the genetic aberrations of cancer cells at a given time. cfDNA was recently detected in plasma of bone sarcoma (Gutteridge et al., 2017; Shulka et al., 2017; Barris et al., 2018) and STS patients (Boonstra et al., 2018; Eastley et al., 2018; Namlas et al., 2018; Ogino et al., 2018; Shulman et al., 2018). In these studies, total cfDNA levels were frequently increased in the plasma of sarcoma patients compared with the cancer-free controls. Cancer-associated mutations, such as in TP53, PIK3CA, and IDH1 or fusion oncogenes (e.g., SS18-SSX1/2), were also detected. In patients affected by GIST, mutations of KIT and PDGFRα were detected, and the amount of mutant cfDNA correlated with clinical progression (Maier et al., 2013). Interestingly, the usefulness of cfDNA analysis was demonstrated to identify TKI-resistant mutations (Yoo et al., 2014). In a series of CHSs, cfDNA levels detected by mutated IDH1 correlated with tumor grade and prognosis (Gutteridge et al., 2017). Patient-specific somatic alterations in cfDNA were observed in OS (Barris et al., 2018) and were associated with inferior outcomes in EwS and OS patients (Shulman et al., 2018). Individual genomic EWSR1-ETS fusion sequences can be quantified from cfDNA in EwS patients’ plasma, and as such represent suitable serum markers for therapy assessment (Krumholz et al., 2016). Indeed, copy numbers of cell-free EWSR1-ETS fusion sequences correlate with patients’ risk factors such as tumor
volume, pelvic tumor, and metastatic status, and most EwS patients show a fast reduction of cfDNA levels during treatment, while recurrence of increasing cfDNA levels indicates relapse (Krumbhoch et al., 2016). In addition to somatic mutations and DNA methylation, recent studies have reported the detection of circulating nucleosomes in blood, showing that cfDNA retains at least some features of nuclear chromatin. Most importantly, whole-genome sequencing of cfDNA was shown to yield a dense, genome-wide map of nucleosome occupancy that enables identification of the cell types that contribute to circulating cfDNA (Snyder et al., 2016; Ulz et al., 2016). This is highly relevant to EwS as it supports the idea of monitoring the chromatin state of Ews-specific enhancer elements (Riggi et al., 2014; Tomazou et al., 2015; Sheffield et al., 2017) over time and during the treatment course, enabling the development of enhancer-based minimally invasive assays for live monitoring of therapy response.

Overall, the detection and characterization of cfDNA and ctDNA in sarcomas show promising results, and efforts are now needed to profile larger biological cohorts with complete clinical annotations to validate their clinical value.

Recent therapeutic developments

Precision medicine in sarcoma: General considerations

The ultimate goal of personalized medicine is to be able to integrate clinical, genomic, transcriptomic, and epigenomic data to increase the accuracy of diagnosis and prognosis, and to identify the most effective therapy for treatment (Burdach et al., 2018; Salgado et al., 2018; Gargallo et al., 2020). Recent advances in machine learning-based methods for analysis of histology and radiography imaging may also play an increasingly important role (Blackledge et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019; Malinauskaitė et al., 2020). For instance, clinical investigations into immune checkpoint therapy have designated UPS, myxofibrosarcoma, and similar genomically complex histotypes as “UPS” (Que et al., 2017), making comparisons with other studies difficult. However, the inclusion of genomic analyses led to the re-classification of 13% of sarcoma cases and would have resulted in changes to the clinical treatment pathway or prognosis in 11% of cases, demonstrating the importance of including molecular and computational tools for classification and risk-stratification of sarcomas (Italiano et al., 2016).

Several recent studies have identified therapeutically targetable mutations in sarcoma patients and have used this knowledge to guide treatment (Groisberg et al., 2017). Yet, not all attempts were successful (Demetri et al., 2013; Perry et al., 2014), indicating that genomic data alone are not sufficient for the accurate prediction of response to therapy.

The clinical trial MULTISARC (ClinicalTrials.gov No. NCT03784014) should provide the first glimpse into the successes and potential pitfalls of personalized medicine in sarcoma. Based on a retrospective survey of genomic alterations that could be therapeutically actionable (Lucchesi et al., 2018), MULTISARC is a two-arm, randomized trial aiming to prospectively evaluate their potential as predicative biomarkers for response to therapy. STS patients will be randomized to receive standard therapy or undergo genomic profiling for suitability for therapy with 16 different agents. Sarcomas were identified as a priority for the 100,000 genomes project in the United Kingdom with 500 to be sequenced as part of the study, although it will focus on LMS, myxofibrosarcoma, SS, and rare histotypes such as alveolar soft part sarcoma (ASPS). In addition to collecting both genomic and clinical data from patients, the project’s Genomics England Clinical Interpretation Partnerships (GeCIPs), including the Sarcoma GeCIP, will also identify training and standardization of practice needed to bring personalized medicine toward routine clinical practice.

Likewise, genomic analyses in combination with screening cancer cell lines against libraries of drugs have the potential to improve the correlation between genomic biomarkers and response to therapy. Such an approach has been used to identify biomarkers for response to therapy of several sarcomas using cell lines, patient-derived samples, and canine sarcoma as proof of principle (Berlow et al., 2019). This approach is challenging for studying sarcoma, due to the limited number of cell lines available, although isolation of new cell lines (Salawu et al., 2016) and sarcoma PDX models is improving (Stebbings et al., 2014). The next step will be to take advantage of combining molecular information gained through next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies with functional drug screening using primary organoid cultures that include both stromal cells and cancerous cells to improve prediction of response to therapy, as observed in other cancers (Tiriac et al., 2018; Vlachogiannis et al., 2018).

Photodynamic therapy

An interesting approach, based on photo- and radiodynamic therapy following acridine orange administration, has been extensively investigated and successfully applied for the treatment of sarcomas (Matsubara et al., 2013; Kusuzaki et al., 2018; Martano et al., 2019). Photodynamic therapy with hematoporphyrin prevented local recurrence following minimally invasive surgery in preclinical models (Duchi et al., 2016) as well as in clinical settings (Hourigan et al., 1993). Acridine orange has the advantage of selectively binding to tumor tissue due to the acidic microenvironment specific to malignant cells (Matsubara et al., 2006) and to specifically exert a strong cytotoxic activity on tumor cells, which is further enhanced by photo- and radioactivation (Matsubara et al., 2013; Kusuzaki et al., 2018). Therefore, following marginal or even intralesional gross removal of the tumor, it is possible to selectively target residual sarcoma and spare the surrounding normal tissues, with a satisfactory functional result (Martano et al., 2019). The procedure is safe, without local or systemic complications (Martano et al., 2019). Systemic administration of acridine orange with low-dose radiation therapy is currently under evaluation in Japan for non-resectable sarcomas (Kusuzaki et al., 2018). This procedure appears to be safe, and the preliminary results are encouraging.

Immune-based therapies

Sarcomas are highly heterogeneous, including the TME, which might dictate their heterogeneous response to different immunotherapeutic approaches (section “The complex biology of sarcoma: How current knowledge may affect therapy”, Figs 2 and 3). While checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapies have already been introduced for the first-/second-line treatment of several carcinomas, their efficacy in sarcoma treatment is currently unclear, and clinical trials are ongoing (Thanindratrarn et al., 2019). Unfortunately, the first results showed only sporadic therapeutic responses in STSs and bone
sarcomas, highlighting the need for further investigations (Merchant et al., 2016).

Some STS subtypes (e.g., myxofibrosarcoma and UPS) are characterized by a high mutational burden, which may constitute a biomarker for response to immune checkpoint blockade (Pollack et al., 2017). In addition, recent profiling studies of immune checkpoints expression in STSs and bone sarcomas revealed their correlation with poor clinical outcomes and provide rationales for their targeting (Dancsok et al., 2019; Orth et al., 2020). In fact, a new study revealed a positive correlation between immune infiltration and response to anti-PD-L1 therapy in sarcoma (Keung et al., 2020). Similarly, a gene expression study in 608 tumors across STS subtypes established a classification between immune-low, immune-high, and vascularized phenotypes (Petitprez et al., 2020). The phenotype with the highest immune cell infiltration featured tertiary lymphoid structures with T cells, dendritic cells, and B cells. Interestingly, B cells were the strongest prognostic factor, and they were associated with improved survival and high response rates to PD-1 blockade (Petitprez et al., 2020).

Therapeutic strategies based on (genetically modified) T cells are currently underway. Their main objectives are to enhance T-cell infiltration into tumor tissues and identify specific tumor target antigens only expressed by malignant cells (Baldal et al., 2018b). Some encouraging results have been described, such as the therapeutic benefit observed in SS upon inoculation of autologous T cells engineered to express an affinity-enhanced T-cell receptor (TCR) recognizing the NY-ESO-1-derived peptide (D’Angelo et al., 2018). Similarly, chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells characterized by the expression of a chimeric receptor (fusion of specific antibody-derived single-chain variable fragments with the signaling domain of a T-cell receptor) are capable of inducing conventional activation signals from TCRs in a non-MHC restricted manner (Majzner & Mackall, 2018; Pollack et al., 2018). Although some sarcomas subtypes express tumor epitopes, such as HER2, GD2, ROR2, or EGFRVIII, B7-H3 (Majzner et al., 2019), or oncofetal glycosaminoglycans (Salanti et al., 2015), these tumor epitopes are often only expressed at low levels. CAR T cells may overcome the low levels of tumor antigen expression, and several clinical trials are currently in progress to evaluate their therapeutic benefit (Majzner & Mackall, 2018; Pollack et al., 2018). Interestingly, a first completed phase I/II trial with HER2-CAR T cells showed that cells can persist for 6 weeks without evident toxicities, setting the stage for studies that combine CAR T cells with other immunomodulatory approaches to enhance their expansion and persistence (ClinicalTrials.gov No. NCT00931931, including two patients with OS (Streby et al., 2017). This virus was delivered as a single dose of $10^8$–$10^9$ infectious units via CT-guided intratumoral injection, and tumor response was measured by imaging. HSV1716 was safe in the pediatric population, with minimal toxicities reported; however, no clinical responses were observed in this phase I trial (Streby et al., 2017).

### Oncolytic viruses

The approval of the Herpes virus *Talimogene Lahrpaprevec* (TVEC; Imlygic) by the FDA and EMA for recurrent melanoma confirms that virotherapy has emerged as a feasible therapeutic strategy in oncology (Andtbacka et al., 2015; Ribas et al., 2017). Oncolytic viruses have been assessed in bone sarcomas and STSs (Lacroix et al., 2018; MacNeill et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2019; Tazawa et al., 2020). They are tumor selective, destroy cancer cells, and trigger an anti-tumor immune response (Garcia-Moure et al., 2017; Varela-Guruceaga et al., 2018). Table 2 summarizes the main potential therapeutic viruses for the treatment of sarcomas.

| Virus                        | Disease                              | Trial                      |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| **DNA**                      |                                      |                            |
| Adenovirus (Ad)              | Respiratory and gastrointestinal infections | Preclinical phase I         |
| Herpes simplex virus (HSV)  | Oral and genital ulcerations          | Preclinical phase I         |
| Vaccinia virus               | Flu                                   | Preclinical phase I         |
| **RNA**                      |                                      |                            |
| Reovirus                     | Respiratory and gastrointestinal infections | Preclinical phase I         |
| Semliki forest virus (SFV)   | Non-pathogenic in humans / encephalitis in mice | Preclinical phase I         |
| Vescicular stomatitis virus (VSV) | Non-pathogenic                    | Preclinical phase I         |
| **Measles virus (MeV)**      | Measles                              | Preclinical phase I         |
| Poliovirus                   | Neurological disorders (poliomyelitis) | Preclinical phase I         |
| Newcastle disease virus (NDV)| Respiratory and gastrointestinal infections | Preclinical phase I         |

In the group of DNA viruses, *Adenovirus*, *Herpes virus*, and *Vaccinia virus* are commonly employed. These three types of viruses have advanced to clinical trials. For example, *Telomelysin*, a human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) promoter-driven modified oncolytic *Adenovirus*, was tested in a phase I clinical trial to assess its clinical safety in patients with advanced solid tumors (Nemnaitis et al., 2010). *Herpes virus HSV1716* was tested in pediatric patients with non-central nervous system solid tumors (ClinicalTrials.gov No. NCT00931931), including two patients with OS (Streby et al., 2017). This virus was delivered as a single dose of $10^8$–$10^9$ infectious units via CT-guided intratumoral injection, and tumor response was measured by imaging. HSV1716 was safe in the pediatric population, with minimal toxicities reported; however, no clinical responses were observed in this phase I trial (Streby et al., 2017).
Finally, the Vaccinia virus, armed with GM-CSF (JX-594), has also been tested in a phase I clinical trial in pediatric solid tumors (ClinicalTrials.gov No. NTC01169584) but did not include sarcomas. This virus did not show toxicity, but exhibited biological activity in the pediatric population (Cripe et al., 2015).

The group of RNA viruses, including Semliki Forest Virus, Poliovirus, Newcastle Disease Virus, Measles, or Reovirus (Table 2), have also transitioned to clinical trials (Schneider et al., 2018). However, only the Reovirus Reolysin has been tested in OS (Kolb et al., 2015). Twenty-four patients were treated in this trial, including OS and other extracranial pediatric tumors, to establish virus safety. The virus was well tolerated and showed a safe profile, but no response was observed (Kolb et al., 2015).

The therapeutic effect of several oncolytic viruses in STSs (Leddon et al., 2015; Siurala et al., 2015; Wilkinson et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017) and bone sarcomas (Witlox et al., 2004; Graat et al., 2006; Hingorani et al., 2014; Martínez-Vélez et al., 2014; Martínez-Vélez et al., 2016; Martínez-Vélez et al., 2014) are oncolytic Adenoviruses that showed in vitro and in vivo anti-sarcoma activity. Delta-24-RGD is a replication-competent Adenovirus that harbors a 24-bp deletion in the E1A region (responsible for binding Rb protein) that triggers tumor selectivity. The addition of an RGD-4C motif in the fiber H1 loop allows enhanced infectivity through integrins that are widely expressed in cancer cells (Suzuki et al., 2001). VCN-01 is an oncolytic Adenovirus where the E1A gene also contains deletions in the pRb binding site, thus rendering its selective replication in Rb-deficient tumor cells (Rodríguez-García et al., 2015). Importantly, both viruses have shown efficacy not only against the primary tumor but also against lung metastases (Martínez-Vélez et al., 2014; Martínez-Vélez et al., 2016). It should be noted that most of the oncolytic Adenoviruses are amenable to be used in combination with standard chemotherapy, small molecules, nanoparticles, immunotherapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors, and CAR T cells.

**Conclusions**

Sarcomas comprise relatively rare but diverse cancer entities affecting patients of all ages. Bone sarcomas are more frequent in...
adolescents and young adults, and the frequency of STS increases with age. Most sarcomas exhibit a high cellular, molecular, and genetic/epigenetic heterogeneity, which makes identification of single therapeutic targets more difficult. Fortunately, in some instances, identification of new targets has revolutionized the therapeutic management of sarcoma patients, as illustrated by the use of TKIs in non-GIST STS.

- Identification of unknown extrinsic factors that may have a role in sarcoma progression and response to therapy and that may derive from the following: (i) the tumor microbiome, (ii) immune infiltrates, and (iii) other cells of the tumor-associated stroma (including neurons).
- Development of novel and more representative 3D preclinical models to be used in place of animal models to develop new therapeutic options.
- Further generation of immunocompetent and bona fide GEMMs for all sarcoma subtypes for a better understanding of sarcomagenesis.
- Elucidation of the mechanisms that lead to resistance toward TKIs in non-GIST STS.
- Elaboration of non-invasive assays for the monitoring of drug response and for early detection of drug resistance.
- Development of compounds that enhance tumor antigen presentation and of therapeutic protocols based on immunotherapies for the treatment of sarcoma.
- Investigation of the use of photodynamic therapies for limb-preserving surgery.
- Optimization and clinical translation of oncolytic virus therapies for sarcomas.
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For more information

Societies and Network for health scientists and professionals
- EuSARC (European network for SARcoma): https://eusarc.com/
- NIH website for information to health professional, related to bone cancer: https://www.cancer.gov/types/bone/hp
- World sarcoma network: http://www.worldsarcomanetwork.com/

Patient associations
- UK patient association on sarcoma: https://sarcoma.org.uk/about-sarcoma/understanding-sarcoma-0
- The Liddy Shriver sarcoma initiative: http://sarcomahelp.org/sarcoma-centers.html#tpm1_1
- Sarcoma patients Euronet: https://www.sarcoma-patients.eu/it/sarcoma-research/research-networks

OMIM site
- Ewing sarcoma: https://www.omim.org/entry/6122195search=sarcoma&highlight=sarcoma&highlight=osteosarcoma
- Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor: https://www.omim.org/entry/606764search=gist&highlight=gist
- Kaposi sarcoma: https://www.omim.org/entry/148000search=sarcoma&highlight=sarcoma
- Osteosarcoma: https://www.omim.org/entry/259500search=osteosarcoma&highlight=osteosarcoma
- Synovial sarcoma: https://www.omim.org/entry/300123search=sarcoma&highlight=sarcoma

Database
- Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database: https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/bones.html
- National Program of Cancer Registries (NPCR): https://www.cdc.gov/cancer/npcr/index.htm
- National Cancer Database (NCDB): https://www.facs.org/quality-programs/cancer/ncdb
- ClinicalTrials.gov: https://clinicaltrials.gov/

Reference book
- WHO Classification of Tumours, 5th Edition, Volume 3. Soft Tissue and Bone Tumours WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board. IARC publication Ed. (Lyon, FR) 2020: https://www.iarc.fr/news-events/publication-of-the-who-classification-of-tumours-5th-edition-volume-3-soft-tissue-and-bone-tumours/
DNA methylation-based classification: https://www.molecularsarcomaplastology.org
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