Validation of computer software to estimate dietary intake among patients with type 2 diabetes
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Tailored nutritional guidance by a registered dietitian is necessary for feasible, practical application of nutrition therapy. In order to reduce the requirement for estimation by a dietitian and to increase the time available for practical advice, we developed and validated computer software for estimating dietary intake among patients with type 2 diabetes. The study enrolled 46 patients with type 2 diabetes, recruited from an outpatient clinic in 2015. We used the computer software “Syokuseikatsu Shindan System” (SSS; Nissha, Kyoto, Japan). SSS allows the user to choose pictures of dishes and the portions he/she has consumed for each meal. The one-day dietary intake estimations for SSS were validated against a reference estimation of 24-h dietary recall by a registered dietitian. The mean carbohydrate intake as assessed by SSS and 24-h recall was 210.6 ± 55.1 and 215.5 ± 52.9 g/day, with a positive correlation (r = 0.53, p<0.001). Bland–Altman analysis showed that limits of agreement in carbohydrates between the methods were −107.4 to 97.5 g/day. Even though the limits of agreement were wide and non-negligible at the individual level for clinical use, SSS appears to have potential as a dietary estimation tool under registered dietitian supervision.

Key Words: dietary intake, type 2 diabetes, nutrition therapy, computerised assessment

According to research examining the susceptibility of different occupations to computerisation, dietitians and nutritionists were found to rank 11th for the lowest probability, thus lower than physicians and surgeons (15th) and dentists (19th).10 Dietitians need to estimate how much energy and nutrients their patients consume, and suggest feasible and realistic menu planning. Such tasks may be more complex than those required from physicians, such as providing a diagnosis according to blood examinations, or dispensing medication, for example.

Medical nutrition therapy is fundamental in the overall diabetes management plan, and education by registered dieticians is effective.2,3 There is not an effective mix of macronutrients for meal planning that applies broadly to all patients with diabetes, and macronutrient proportions should be individualized.4,5 To achieve treatment goals, individuals should receive individualised medical nutrition therapy.6

It has been reported that self-management in terms of diet is a significant predictor of satisfaction and impact of quality of life among people with type 2 diabetes.8,9 For feasible, tailored nutrition therapy, by a registered dietitian in only limited consultation time, it is necessary to conduct individual dietary interviews effectively. On this basis, the dietitian can then suggest a simple, bespoke diabetes meal planning approach, such as portion control and healthy food choices.

The objectives of this study were to assess the validity and agreement of dietary computer software in estimating dietary intake in individual Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes.

Methods

Subjects. We enrolled 46 patients with type 2 diabetes (28 male), from the outpatient clinic at Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan. The data were collected from August to November 2015.

Statement of ethics. The experimental protocol was approved by the ethics committees of Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine (ERB-C-411) and Kyoto Prefectural University, Kyoto, Japan (2015 No. 93). The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Dietary computer software. We used the “Syokuseikatsu Shindan System (SSS) ver. 1.2 for Windows 2000/XP” (Nissha, Kyoto, Japan), in collaboration with the Kyoto Dietetic Association. This system is based upon the Dietary Reference Intakes for Japanese (2005) and Health Japan 21.7 SSS features a function in which the user chooses and clicks pictures of dishes or food displayed on the screen, and chooses the size of the portion he/she consumed (from 1/3 to 9 + 2/3 times the shown amount) in each meal (breakfast, lunch, dinner, and snacks) over one day. The dishes and foods are categorised into rice, noodles, meats, fish, vegetables, drink, and sweets, which helps patients easily search for items. The patient selects foods and drinks using the 24-h recall method, and if the specific food or drink is not available in the programme, selects the most similar foods or drinks. When patient cannot select dishes or foods, assistance is provided in choosing the most appropriate one. Pictures of each dish are shown using dishes which were strictly cooked on the basis of nutritional calculation. Nutritional values are calculated according to the software programme. The system calculates the nutrient intake on the basis of the weight of food before cooking using the Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan 2010.8

Dietary interview by registered dietitians. Soon after estimation of dietary intake using the computer software, regis-
warded dietitians in the hospital interviewed patients regarding their meals over one day using the 24-h recall method. Nutritional value calculations were performed using nutritional calculation software (Excel Eiyo-kun ver. 6.0, Kenpakusha, Tokyo, Japan), and total energy, protein, fat, and carbohydrate intake were determined.

**Sample size.** We could not conduct a projected sample size calculation due to the absence of suitable data and pilot study findings. Therefore, the sample size was determined by the feasibility of recruitment from August to November 2015.

**Statistical analysis.** Age (years old), body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), HbA1c (%), total energy intake (kcal/day), protein intake (g/day), fat intake (g/day), carbohydrate intake (g/day), protein energy ratio (PER), fat energy ratio (FER), and carbohydrates energy ratio (CER) are presented as means and SD. All data showed a normal distribution according to Shapiro–Wilk normality tests. Nutritional intake for each individual was estimated by both dietitian interview and computer software.

Correlations between the two methods were measured using Pearson’s correlation analysis for unadjusted (crude) data. Bland–Altman plots were used to assess the agreement between the dietitian interview and the computer software on an individual level. In brief, the mean difference was calculated by subtracting dietitian interview from computer software. The limits of agreement (LOA) were interpreted, as commonly assumed, as 1.96 SD of the mean difference (bias) and (mean difference)±1.96 SD of the mean difference. A Bland–Altman index of a maximum of 5% (95% of individuals observed to be beyond the limits of agreement; LOA) value was interpreted, as commonly assumed, as a positive validation of the method of measurement. The level of significance was set at p<0.05.

All analyses were performed with SPSS Statistics ver. 22.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL).

**Table 1.** Characteristics and nutritional intakes of patients

| Characteristic                  | Mean ± SD | SD |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----|
| n (male/female)                | 46 (28/18) |    |
| Age (years old)                | 68.8 ± 9.6 |    |
| BMI (kg/m²)                    | 23.3 ± 3.4 |    |
| HbA1c (%)                      | 7.4 ± 1.0  |    |

**Table 2.** Pearson’s correlation coefficients for energy, protein, fat and carbohydrate intake between dietitian interview and the computer software

| Characteristic                  | r    | p value |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|
| Total energy intake (kcal)      | 0.708| <0.001  |
| Protein intake (g)              | 0.629| <0.001  |
| Fat intake (g)                  | 0.666| <0.001  |
| Carbohydrate intake (g)         | 0.532| <0.001  |

All nutrients data are based on crude intakes. n = 46, by Pearson’s correlation analyses.

**Discussion**

This study assessed the validity and agreement of nutritional intake estimation by computer software compared with dietitian interview in Japanese type 2 diabetes patients.

**Table 3.** Bland–Altman analysis for energy and nutritios by 1 day of computer software and the dietitian interviews

| Characteristic                  | Bias | Precision | LOA         | Within LOA (%) |
|--------------------------------|------|-----------|-------------|----------------|
| Total energy intake (kcal)      | -236 | 309       | -842-369    | 93.5           |
| Protein intake (g)              | -7.5 | 14.9      | -36.8-21.8  | 91.3           |
| Fat intake (g)                  | -14.3| 18.2      | -50.4-21.7  | 97.8           |
| Carbohydrate intake (g)         | -5.0 | 52.3      | -107.4-97.5 | 97.8           |

LOA, limits of agreement.

**Results**

**Characteristics and nutritional intakes of patients.** The average age of patients was 68.8 ± 9.6 years old, and obesity (i.e., BMI over 25 kg/m²) was identified in 15 (31.9%) patients. The average HbA1c was 7.4 ± 1.0%. The average total energy intake estimated by dietitian interview and software was 1,639 ± 431 and 1,403 ± 356 kcal/day, respectively. PER, FER, and CER which were estimated by dietitian interviews were 14.5 ± 3.8%, 32.5 ± 18.7%, 52.6 ± 9.6%, and those estimated by software were 14.7 ± 2.9%, 27.5 ± 13.5%, 60.6 ± 8.1%, respectively (Table 1). The average intake of fat estimated by dietitian interview and the average intake of carbohydrates estimated by software were beyond the recommendation by Dietary Reference Intakes for Japanese but other values were within these recommendations.

**Correlation for energy, protein, fat, and carbohydrate intake between dietitian interview and computer software methods.** The crude correlation coefficients between dietitian interview and the computer software for energy (kcal/day), protein (g/day), fat (g/day), and carbohydrate (g/day) intake were 0.71, 0.63, 0.67, and 0.53, respectively, and all correlation coefficients were statistically significant (Table 2). Although there was one outlier, in which fat intake was estimated to be 133.6 g/day by dietitian interview, this data was also included the analyses.

**Assessment of agreement between dietitian interview and computer software methods on energy, protein, fat and carbohydrate intakes.** Bland–Altman analysis was used to assess agreement between two methods. More than 95% of fat and carbohydrate intakes were within LOA, however only 93.5% was within LOA for energy intake and 91.3% was within LOA for protein (Table 3). For energy, the mean difference (bias) between dietitian interview and computer software was -236 kcal and the 95% limits of agreement ranged from -854 to 382 kcal. The assessed bias for protein, fat, and carbohydrate was -7.5 g, -14.3 g, and -5.0 g, respectively (Table 3, Fig. 1), and it was found that these were underestimated by the computer software compared to dietitian interview.
In a previous study of type 2 diabetes in Australia using mobile phone image-based dietary assessment compared with weighed food records, the Pearson’s correlations for energy, protein, fat, and carbohydrates were 0.57, 0.78, 0.24, and 0.63, respectively.\(^{(12)}\) Our results showed better correlations for energy and fat, but lower correlations for protein and carbohydrates. The difference in dietary habits between countries might be partially responsible for these findings; for example, a dietary staple in Australia is bread, which is easier to estimate in terms of intake, while in Japan it is rice. Other studies have found the validation of dietary estimation of carbohydrates correlations to be 0.40 to 0.65 in Japan,\(^{(13–15)}\) and 0.32 to 0.62 in other countries.\(^{(16–19)}\) Our study found a stronger correlation for carbohydrates than these previous studies.

We developed this dietary computer software with the aim of shortening the length of dietitian interviews for intake estimation. Therefore, validation on an individual basis is more important than validation for the group, and so we assessed the individual agreement between the dietitian interview and the computer software.

### Table 4. Comparison of bias and precision between this study and previous studies

|                  | This study | Rangan\(^{(20)}\) | Carter\(^{(21)}\) | This study | Rangan\(^{(20)}\) | Carter\(^{(21)}\) |
|------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Total energy intake (kcal)** | −236      | −8                | 16                | 309       | 498               | 402               |
| **Protein intake (g)**          | −7.5      | −2.5              | −2                | 14.9      | 22.5              | 18                |
| **Fat intake (g)**             | −14.3     | −1.4              | −3                | 18.2      | 23.5              | 26                |
| **Carbohydrate intake (g)**    | −5.0      | 4.3               | −5                | 52.3      | 70.1              | 62                |

**Fig. 1.** Bland–Altman plot of 24-h dietary recall (24HR) and computer software for energy, protein, fat, and carbohydrate intake. Differences in intake between methods are plotted against the mean of the two methods. The solid line indicates the bias (mean difference), and the dashed lines indicate the 95% limits of agreement (1.96 × SD).
In previous research assessing the validation of nutritional intake by computerised devices compared with 24-h dietary recall, Rangan and colleagues found that the mean difference in energy, protein, fat, and carbohydrate intake was −8.2 kcal (−34.3 kJ), −2.5 g, −1.4 g, and 4.3 g, respectively, whereas Carter et al. report mean differences of −16 kcal, −2 g, −3 g, and −5 g, respectively (Table 4). Our data show higher mean differences than these previous papers. Nutritional intake was underestimated by computer software, potentially because older type 2 diabetes patients participated in this study, compared to potentially younger healthier subjects were recruited in previous studies. The SD of mean difference in our study, Rangan’s study, and Carter’s study were 309 kcal, 499 kcal, and 404 kcal in energy, respectively; 14.9 g, 22.5 g, and 18.2 g in protein, respectively; 23.5 g, and 16 g in fat, respectively; and 52.3 g, 70.1 g, and 62 g in carbohydrate, respectively (Table 4). Although the SD in our study are similar to previous studies, these are nonetheless quite wide for clinical use.

Several papers assessing nutritional intake by computerised devices compared with dietary records showed better mean difference and LOA than our study. In these studies, subjects were younger (mean ages were 21.4 to 39.3 years) than in our study (mean age of 68.8 years), and computerised dietary records were recorded by smartphone, internet, and photographs taken of meals before eating. Previous methods of nutritional estimation are more accurate but may not be feasible for use by older type 2 diabetes patients, since the patients in our study hardly used these technological tools. Although our method was found to be less accurate, patients are able to select dish-based meals using the 24-h recall method with support by medical stuff when a patient has a difficulty to deal with, which we believe to be more feasible. Using this approach, dietitians may be able to correct nutritional estimation in their interview, for example using a food model for identifying accurate portions. It takes approximately 10 min to input dietary information for one day using our computerised programme. When patients input information during their waiting time in the hospital or clinic before the dietitian consultation, dietitians are able to reduce the time required for the diet interview, then spend more time on individualised dietary education. In Japan, more than 20 min per consultation is necessary to receive the nutritional dietary advice fee according to the Medical fee revision 2018. This computer software might shorten the duration of dietary estimation by dietitians and allow them more time to advise how patients can change their eating behaviours during this limited consultation time.

There are some limitations of the current study. First, the sample size was small (46 patients); however, it is still within the range of sample sizes reported in similar studies, that is, between 45 to 163 subjects. Second, the subjects were all outpatients in a university hospital, and thus might not represent the presentation of typical Japanese type 2 diabetes. Third, we validated only total energy intake and macronutrients (protein, fat, and carbohydrate), but not the other nutrients which are beneficial or unfavorable for type 2 diabetes.

In conclusion, this system is manageable and useable by older patients. The nutritional estimation using our computer software correlated well with the dietitian interviews indicating this is a valid measure of nutrition intake. Although LOA was broad, the software can reduce the duration of dietitian interviews, and estimated data from the software can be made available for clinical use with support from dietitians.
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