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Abstract

Background: Active learning practices improve student achievement on average in college. Blended adoption of some form of research-based teaching methods for active learning at the tertiary level is rapidly expanding. Nevertheless, there have been few studies to date on the effects of detailed factors such as the blending ratio of the teaching components, impacts of learning resources and formative evaluation methods. The aim of this study was to develop a blended teaching strategy by incorporating methods of team-based learning (TBL) and e-learning into a Pharmaceutical Analysis course for student active learning, and to explore how the practice impacts student learning outcomes.

Methods: Two blended teaching programs with different blending ratios of TBL and e-learning methods were developed and compared in this study. Students from four experimental classes enrolled in different majors were recruited. Student outcomes related to active learning goals, such as achievement, logic development or sense of accountability at the tertiary level, were analyzed and evaluated using a formative evaluation method. A survey administered after the study was completed by each student.

Results: Student e-learning performance was positively correlated with the final scores, suggesting that exercises and tests provided by the e-learning platform made a positive contribution to student knowledge achievement. On surveys a large majority of students reported that working on instructor-posed questions in a TBL setting improved their higher-order cognitive skills, social cohesion and, through that, feelings of accountability. Final scores showed significant differences among students from different majors, which implied that the effectiveness of active learning depends on the characteristics of students and their activities outside of class.

Conclusions: The blended teaching strategy developed in this study was effective in improving student achievement in either formative or summative assessments, which provides an accessible and informative entry point for implementing active learning in higher pharmacy education.
Keywords: Higher pharmacy education, Blended teaching, Active learning, E-learning.

Team-based learning
Background

Lecturing in the classroom has been the most common teaching method at higher education institutions since the emergence of universities in Europe more than 900 years ago. The features of this teaching mode are ‘teacher-centered’ and face-to-face communication between students and teachers as well as between students and students. In modern times, ‘student-centered’ pedagogy, which advocates guiding students to learn actively, has developed and gradually become the dominant direction of learning and teaching research. Thus, the development of new and optimized classroom interventions has been called for by agencies concerned with undergraduate education to promote active participation by students in teaching activities. It has been reported that active learning practices, where active learning is defined as when students are actively working on problems or questions in class [1], improve student achievement on average in college science, technology, engineering and mathematics courses compared with traditional lectures. Baylor College of Medicine first tried team-based learning (TBL) in medical teaching, in which the role of the student moved from “listener” to “knowledge seeker” while the role of the teacher moved from instructor-centered to more active learning-based instruction [2]. In the following years, active learning and evidence-based teaching practices that develop student learning have become the expected teaching methods across college campuses [3-5].

Currently, with the development of internet technology, taking part in courses carried out with the use of e-learning platforms is fast becoming a new learning method. The features of e-learning are that learning is not limited by time and region. Learners can choose their learning contents according to their own interests and personalized needs, and perform
Learning and practices online repeatedly. E-learning has become an important tool for the continuing education of pharmacists as part of the healthcare training of professionals in Europe, USA, Australia and Canada [6]. Many universities in China have begun to try internet-based learning practices in undergraduate education.

However, both the teaching methods and student active learning are complex processes that occur both inside and outside the classroom. Blended adoption of some form of research-based teaching methods for active learning at the college level is rapidly expanding [7]. Nevertheless, research on detailed factors, such as the blending ratio of the components, impacts of learning resources and formative evaluation methods, remains very limited.

Pharmaceutical Analysis (PA) is a core professional course set up in the junior year for undergraduate students in pharmacy-related majors at China Pharmaceutical University. The goals of the course are to cultivate students’ capacity to employ analytical techniques to address drug quality control and therapeutic drug monitoring in the pharmaceutical industry and clinical service. In our previous work, we built a PA massive open online course (MOOC) in a national online platform of China. However, how best to make use of PA MOOC as a part of undergraduate courses, or as an adjunct to traditional learning activities for pharmacy students, was still not clear. Herein, we propose a blended teaching strategy to incorporate methods of TBL and e-learning into a PA course for student active learning, and explore how the practice impacts student learning outcomes in the PA course.

Methods

In order to explore how the teaching practices of TBL and e-learning impact student learning
outcomes in a PA course, two teaching programs, MOD 1 and MOD 2, were designed in this study (Fig. 1). The total teaching hours for both MOD 1 and MOD 2 were 34 class hours, but the ratios of large lecture hours versus TBL hours were different. The proportion of lecture hours versus TBL hours was 26:8 for MOD 1 (TBL approximately equal to 25% of total hours) and 18:16 for MOD 2 (TBL approximately equal to 50% of total hours).

![Teaching programs flow chart](Image)

The same faculty team carried out both teaching practices for a term of three months in each semester. This teaching study was approved by the Academic Affairs Office of the China Pharmaceutical University (Nanjing, Jiangsu, China). At the beginning of each term, a PA lesson plan including teaching week, teaching hours and teaching method (lecture, TBL or e-learning), teaching topics and an outline of contents was developed by the faculty team and distributed to participants.

**Participants**

Students in four experimental classes enrolled in different majors were recruited in the learning of the PA course (Course No. 1111071018, 2 credit-hours) in different semesters.
They were class 1 (31 students, clinical pharmacy major, grade 2015), class 2 (31 students, pharmacy major, top-notch project, grade 2016), class 3 (32 students, pharmaceutical analysis major, grade 2016) and class 4 (26 students, pharmacy major, top-notch project, grade 2017).

Measures

In terms of e-learning, students were asked to register online and take part in PA MOOC outside the classroom. They could make use of the resources online to obtain background information prior to class, re-watch lecture materials to review the class lecture and associated discussion and do exercises and tests to supplement their learning, or as a means of preparing for the final examination. Due dates were set up for those exercises and tests. Participants were required to complete and submit their assigned work online before an explicitly delineated time. A student’s performance in e-learning, including times of watching lecture notes or slides, performance in completing exercises or tests and frequency of attending discussion in a forum, could be automatically and quantitatively calculated through the statistical tools provided by the MOOC platform. These data were the basis for formative evaluation of a student’s e-learning behavior outside the classroom.

For TBL, students were given discussion topics or questions that required logic or higher-order thinking one week before group working. They needed to retrieve information independently and think through their answers on their own before attending an in-class small-group discussion. In the small-group discussion, each student had to share and explain their answers to the group members. Then the whole group worked together to derive a group idea. One week after the small-group discussion, a whole-class discussion was held.
Volunteers representing each group had to explain their responses to selected questions to the whole class at the front of the room, and the instructors could hint at or reveal correct answers. Based on their participation and the correct answer, course points were awarded to participants in the in-class activities.

**Data analysis**

Combined with the existing MOOC platform evaluation system, a formative evaluation method was established by integrating more evaluation factors such as peer evaluation among students in TBL and teachers’ evaluation of students’ participation in the in-class activities. Participants then sat a final exam and their scores were statistically analyzed to assess the effectiveness of the teaching strategy. A regression analysis was conducted using SPSS (IBM Corp. Released 2016. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0. Armonk, NY, USA: IBM Corp). The statistical analysis was conducted using the unpaired Student’s *t*-test. Differences were considered statistically significant at *p* < 0.05, **p** < 0.01 and ***p*** < 0.001. A survey that covered questions on course design, learning achievements and level of satisfaction was conducted to assess student feedback after the study was completed.

**Results**

**Correlation of e-learning and final scores**

All students in the four experimental classes completed the study, and the statistical results for their final scores were captured (Additional File 1). Their e-learning scores were collected from the MOOC platform. Regression analysis was performed on the e-learning and final
scores of the four classes, and a scatter distribution map shows the results in Fig. 2. It is apparent that a class with a higher e-learning score also had a higher corresponding final score, which indicated that there is a positive correlation between student e-learning and final score. We calculated the Pearson correlation coefficient (inserted in Fig. 2) for each class, all of which were positive values, suggesting that e-learning benefited the final exam results of each class to some degree.

**25% TBL teaching program**

**Class 1**: n = 31, Pearson r = .3506

**Class 2**: n = 31, Pearson r = .4990

**50% TBL teaching program**

**Class 3**: n = 32, Pearson r = .3641

**Class 4**: n = 26, Pearson r = .1554

**Fig. 2** Regression Analysis of e-learning Score and Final Score among Class 1-4 (Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated inserted in each graph)

For the two top-notch classes (a top-notch project is a training plan for excellent students in college in China), classes 2 and 4, the Pearson correlation coefficient of class 2 (0.4990)
that was subjected to a 25% TBL program was higher than that of class 4 (0.1554) that was subjected to a 50% TBL program by nearly 0.35. This suggested that exposure to more instruction by teachers in large lectures greatly influenced what the students learned, as the students might have more chances to communicate with teachers and classmates in that environment. Meanwhile, compared with that of class 2, the final score of class 4 students remained competitively excellent, which implied that TBL, e-learning or other learning methods such as lab training experiences could also be more beneficial for the students of top-notch classes.

Factors influencing final scores

In order to assess whether the differences in the final scores were related to student majors and/or teaching modes, the final scores of classes with the same majors or teaching modes were compared. As shown in Table 1, there was no significant difference between the final scores of classes 1 and 2, whose students came from the clinical pharmacy major and pharmacy major (top-notch project), respectively, and were subjected to the same 25% TBL teaching program. We concluded that, under this teaching mode, the main factor influencing elements of the students’ achievement was the teachers’ classroom instruction. Such elements, including the characteristics of the students and student activities outside of class, had a lesser impact on student learning outcomes.

However, we found a highly significant difference between the final scores of students in classes 3 and 4, who came from the pharmaceutical analysis major and pharmacy major (top-notch project), respectively, but were subjected to the same 50% TBL teaching program.
The results showed that, under this teaching mode, the effectiveness of active learning depended on how well students performed in TBL, or how much practice they had outside class. These elements could in turn be influenced by student characteristics such as their prior academic preparation and their motivation.

Table 1 Comparisons of the Final Scores between Class 1-4

| Type          | Class   | Final score   | p value | Significance |
|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|--------------|
| 25% TBL       | Class 1 | 73.42±13.58   | .051    | NS           |
|               | Class 2 | 78.46±9.38    |         |              |
| 50% TBL       | Class 3 | 67.12±13.93   | <.001   | ***          |
|               | Class 4 | 82.81±6.84    |         |              |
| Top-notch class | Class 2 | 78.46±9.38    | .121    | NS           |
|               | Class 4 | 82.81±6.84    |         |              |

Student's T test was conducted between each class in one type.
Data presented as mean final score and standard deviation.

For students from the two top-notch classes, classes 2 and 4, who presented similar student-level characteristics, no significant differences were found between their final scores.

Although the students of classes 2 and 4 were administered different blended programs, approximately equal to 25% TBL and 50% TBL, respectively, their learning gains were aligned with each other, revealing that the top-notch class students displayed a strong adaptive capacity.

Feedback from students

We surveyed students’ feedback after the study was completed. The feedback forms were collected by category and summarized by percentage. On average, as shown in Table 2, a
large majority of students (84.6%) reported they support the introduction of e-learning into
the PA course, 77.0% supported the introduction of TBL into the course, and 57.7% of
students agreed blended learning increased their learning interest. When asked to define the
role of e-learning, 59.4% of students selected e-learning as an auxiliary learning method, 21.9%
suggested it was a supplementary tool to enhance learning interest, and 19% selected it as a
dominant learning strategy. In addition, when students were asked to rank the effectiveness of
the different teaching methods, 35.7% of students ranked lectures, 35.7% ranked TBL, and
28.6% selected e-learning as the most effective. When asked which type of online resource
was most helpful for improving learning outcomes, 88.5% of students selected exercises and
tests in the e-learning platform.

**Table 2** Survey of Students’ Feedback on the Blended Teaching Strategy (n = 90)

| Survey Questions                                                      | Agree Percent (%) | Neutral Percent (%) | Disagree Percent (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Do you support e-learning incorporated into PA course                 | 84.6              | 11.6                | 3.8                  |
| Do you support TBL incorporated into PA course                        | 77.0              | 19.2                | 3.8                  |
| Does the blended teaching strategy increase your learning outcome on PA | 57.7              | 23.1                | 19.2                |

**Discussion**

In this study, TBL and e-learning methods were incorporated into a PA course to develop
a blended teaching strategy. This approach was based on our early work in teaching research.
Previously, we built a PA MOOC in a national online platform named Icourse in China. The
contents of the PA MOOC include teaching materials (lecture notes, videos and lecture slides)
for 66 knowledge topics in 15 chapters. The MOOC was constructed to align with the goals of
the PA course in our university. In addition, large quantities of practices for those knowledge
topics, including exercises, tests and discussion topics, were also formulated with their
amounts and qualities validated by our faculty team. Since 2016, the PA MOOC has been
offered every term by our faculty team, keeping pace with the PA course.

The framework of the blended strategy was also designed according to the characteristics
of the PA course. PA is a core professional course in the pharmacy education curricular system
setup in the junior year for undergraduate students at China Pharmaceutical University. The
course contents encompass not only basic analytical chemistry methods and principles, but
also different types of cases involving drug quality analysis. Nowadays, students have to
qualify themselves in the field of drug quality control or TDM in the pharmaceutical industry
and clinical service by demonstrating such skills as logical thinking, communication skills,
sense of accountability and other applicable skills beyond content knowledge. This need has
led to off-loading of course content onto more active learning platforms and refocusing class
time on helping students develop these skills.

Due to its impacts on student learning outcomes, TBL was incorporated into the strategy.

It has been reported that TBL could improve student attitudes toward a discussion topic [8],
improve social cohesion and feelings of accountability [9] and develop a student’s
argumentation skills [10]. In our study, two teaching programs with different blending ratios
of lecture hours versus TBL hours were designed. The TBL percentages in the two programs
were approximately equal to 25% and 50% of total teaching hours for MOD 1 and MOD 2,
respectively.
The participants in the study covered three majors of our university, which were the clinical pharmacy major, pharmacy major and pharmaceutical analysis. The two classes of pharmacy major were also recruited into the top-notch project of our country. A top-notch project is a training plan for excellent students. Students in this project are provided with additional opportunities and support to enter the science laboratory for academic training outside class. They have a broader academic vision and stronger active learning ability.

Studies have documented that best practices for implementing active learning cluster along the dimensions of practice, logic development, accountability and apprehension reduction [11]. In our blended teaching practice, we focused on the first two dimensions to improve our teaching practices for student active learning. By incorporating TBL and e-learning into our teaching strategy, we have developed our blended framework and are devoted to working on effective use of these methods.

It has been proved that student learning is positively correlated with the amount of practice undertaken, and repeated practice testing is correlated with both increased learning and metacognition [12]. Thus, the main task at the beginning of construction of the PA MOOC was to establish high-quality exercises and tests, which are the resources for student practice. We have created a certain amount of exercises and tests for each knowledge point. The types of exercises and tests include multiple choice, written answers to questions and true and false questions. The quality of the exercises and tests was also validated by our faculty team to ensure that the practices were similar to the tasks students are expected to perform.

Participants were asked to take part in e-learning outside class. They were required to complete the practices and submit them online before an explicitly delineated deadline. It has
been shown that mutual evaluation among students may help learners become more aware of
their own performance and enable them to devise a plan for enhancement [13]. Therefore, the
multiple choice and true and false questions were marked automatically by the platform,
while the answers to questions were marked by peer review among students. The results of a
questionnaire showed that a large majority of students selected exercises and tests online as
the most effective methods of learning achievement. Student e-learning scores were positively
correlated with their final scores in our study, implying the benefits of enhancing student
achievement by e-learning.

Before e-learning was adopted, many practices and evaluations were carried out in class,
which consumed part of allocated teaching hours. Shifting such practices from inside the
classroom to outside the classroom makes it possible to address more logic and higher-order
thinking practices via TBL.

The aim of incorporating TBL into the study was to increase a student’s higher-order
thinking skills and sense of accountability. To provide students with opportunities to practice
their logic development, it was important for teachers to formulate questions that require a
higher level of thinking. Based on the development of the pharmaceutical industry in China,
we selected typical drug quality control cases and high-level written questions that require
logic and critical thinking at higher Bloom levels. Participants were then asked to work on
teacher-posed questions with teachers explicitly cuing students to use their prior knowledge to
guide their thinking. Before participants joined in small-group or whole-class discussions, an
individual time of around one week was provided to allow them to independently think
through the questions and come up with their own ideas before the following discussions.
Small-group and whole-class discussion work encouraged a deeper understanding of the material when students shared and explained their answers to other students [14]. When attending the TBL, students were randomly divided into small groups with 6–8 students per group. In small-group discussion, each student was asked to share and explain their answers to the group members, with their effort (or lack thereof) noticed by others. Therefore, course points were awarded according to a peer evaluation among students during small-group discussion. In whole-class discussion, one student who represented a group explained their responses for the answer selected in front of the class. Course points were assigned by teachers based on their participation and correct answer in these activities [15]. With regard to whole-class presentations, many students stated that working in a group created social cohesion among the members of the small group, which increased their sense of accountability.

Blended teaching in this study was designed with the purpose of implementing active learning in the pharmacy education course. The focus of the study was on both classroom practices and student activities outside of class. The length of e-learning time and the requirements were consistent across students of the four experimental classes in our study, but the learning hours by TBL were different. The program with a higher TBL proportion resulted in greater differences in students’ learning outcomes. Students of a top-notch project showed greater learning gains from increased group working practices. With a decreasing proportion of TBL, the teacher’s instruction played a major role in student learning outcomes, and there was no significant difference in the final scores among students of different majors.

This study also had some limitations. First, the sample size was not large enough. Second, the blending ratio setting lacked a sufficient gradient. Third, the progress of
e-learning for each student was difficult to control because of the openness of the process. Ways to further clarify the effects of e-learning in a blended teaching strategy are worth investigation in the future.

**Conclusions**

In general, we incorporated methods of TBL and e-learning into a PA course teaching practice to develop a blended teaching strategy for student active learning. The strategy changed the classroom environment by introducing more group work, more opportunities for in-class practice on higher-order problems and less explanation by the instructor. Outside-class changes included the addition of online knowledge retrieval and practices using the e-learning platform. With these changes, the student learning achievements and levels of satisfaction increased dramatically.
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