Betamethasone induces potent immunosuppression and reduces HIV infection in a PBMC in vitro model

Ross Cromarty,1, Alexander Sigal,2,3 Lenine Julie Liebenberg,1,4 Lyle Robert Mckinnon,1,5 Salim Safurdeen Abdool Karim,1,6 Jo-Ann Shelly Passmore,1,7 Derseree Archary1,4

ABSTRACT
Genital inflammation is an established risk factor for increased HIV acquisition risk. Certain HIV-exposed seronegative populations, who are naturally resistant to HIV infection, have an immune quiescent phenotype defined by reduced immune activation and inflammatory cytokines at the genital tract. Therefore, the aim of this study was to create an immune quiescent environment using immunomodulatory drugs to mitigate HIV infection. Using an in vitro peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) model, we found that inflammation was induced using phytohemagglutinin and Toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists Pam3CSK4 (TLR1/2), lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (TLR4) and R848 (TLR7/8). After treatment with anti-inflammatory drugs, ibuprofen (IBF) and betamethasone (BMS), PBMCs were exposed to HIV NL4-3 AD8. Multiplexed ELISA was used to measure 28 cytokines to assess inflammation. Flow cytometry was used to measure immune activation (CD38, HLA-DR and CCR5) and HIV infection (p24 production) of CD4+ T cells. BMS potently suppressed inflammation (soluble cytokines, p<0.05) and immune activation (CD4+ T cells, p<0.05). BMS significantly reduced HIV infection of CD4+ T cells only in the LPS (0.98%) and unstimulated (1.7%) conditions (p<0.02). In contrast, IBF had minimal anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive but no anti-HIV effects. BMS demonstrated potent anti-inflammatory effects, regardless of stimulation condition. Despite uniform immunosuppression, BMS differentially affected HIV infection according to the stimulation conditions, highlighting the complex nature of these interactions. Together, these data underscore the importance of interrogating inflammatory signaling pathways to identify novel drug targets to mitigate HIV infection.

INTRODUCTION
HIV remains a public health challenge with an estimated 1.8 million new infections globally in 2017.1 South Africa is disproportionately affected by HIV, harboring 20% of the world’s HIV-infected population, and women in this region account for 60% of these infections.2 Despite high levels of protection in clinical trials testing antiretroviral drugs as pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) in men who have sex with men, inconsistent levels of protection have been shown among heterosexual populations, particularly in African women.7–11 While adherence to PrEP likely undermines protection in women,12 biological factors such as genital inflammation13–16 are known to increase women’s susceptibility to HIV, even in those using PrEP17–20. Inflammation, a necessary natural response elicited by the body to control infection and limit tissue damage21 22 is initiated through the recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and damage-associated molecular patterns, respectively, by pathogen

Significance of this study
What is already known about this subject?
► HIV is a global epidemic with no vaccine or cure. Due to various social, behavioural and biological factors, women remain particularly vulnerable to HIV.
► Genital inflammation significantly increases the risk of HIV acquisition in women.
► Genital inflammation has also been shown to significantly reduce the efficacy of topical pre-exposure prophylaxis.

What are the new findings?
► In this in vitro model, we used various Toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists to simulate inflammation, and two anti-inflammatory drugs (ibuprofen (IBF) and betamethasone (BMS)) were tested to understand their role in modifying HIV infection.
► IBF showed minimal immunosuppressive or anti-inflammatory effects, in contrast to other studies, and did not lower HIV infection of CD4+ T cells.
► Despite potent uniform immunosuppression, BMS differentially affected HIV infection of CD4+ T cells according to the TLR stimulation condition.
preferentially and more easily infected than resting CD4+ T cells recruited to attract HIV target cells. 

Inflammation and cellular recruitment are important precursors for establishment of simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) infection following vaginal challenge in Rhesus macaques. These findings were confirmed in sooty mangabeys where protection against SIV infection was associated with lower levels of systemic and mucosal CD4+ CCR5+ T cells. In humans, increased chemokines in the genital tract conferred more than threefold increased risk of HIV acquisition. Similarly, increased mucosal concentrations of inflammatory cytokines compared with plasma were associated with increased HIV risk.

Infammation even in HIV-negative individuals resulted in recruitment of HIV target cells and epithelial barrier disruption. Nazli et al demonstrated that coculture of mucosal epithelial cells with infectious HIV-stimulated inflammatory cytokines, which in turn compromised the epithelial barrier leading to increased mucosal barrier permeability. Some known causes of genital inflammation include vaginal microbial dysbiosis and sexually transmitted infections.

However, while there are many potential causes of genital inflammation, eliminating these causes may not fully reverse their negative effects, further necessitating additional interventions. Therefore, understanding the complex associations between HIV and the biological factors that drive susceptibility is crucial.

Multiple studies have reported reduced immune activation in HIV-exposed seronegative (HESN) individuals, which was suggested to confer protection in these individuals against HIV acquisition. Safe, licensed, and easily obtainable drugs that modulate immunity to induce an immune quiescent phenotype to reduce HIV acquisition risk are a theoretically attractive option. Recently, anti-inflammatory drugs like acetylsalicylic acid (ASA, commonly known as aspirin) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) were shown to reduce inflammation and immune activation of CD4+ T and Th17 cells systemically and at the mucosa of low-risk uninfected women who were taking these oral drugs daily for 6 weeks. Furthermore, HCQ also reduced systemic inflammatory cytokines. Even though the work by Lajoie et al demonstrated proof of principle that non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) can reduce the proportion of target CD4+ CCR5+ and Th17 cells in women, this study did not investigate the effects of these two anti-inflammatory drugs in preventing HIV infection. Similarly, in HIV-infected individuals, chloroquine (CQ) and HCQ significantly reduced HIV-associated immune activation. Additionally, in a small animal model, an HCQ implant, compared with a placebo, reduced recruitment of immune cells to the genital tract, improved mucosal epithelial integrity and reduced T-cell activation and inflammatory cytokines. Topical application of a glucocorticoid (GC) drug like betamethasone (BMS) or an NSAID like ibuprofen (IBF) have also demonstrated efficacy for treating inflammatory skin conditions and genital inflammation, respectively. Furthermore, a natural product like glycerol monolaurate, which has anti-inflammatory properties, showed efficacy in reducing SIV infections in rhesus macaques. These data demonstrate the capacity of anti-inflammatory drugs to reduce immune activation and inflammation as additional modalities toward mitigating HIV risk.

The use of anti-inflammatory drugs to reduce genital inflammation and mucosal immune activation, to mitigate HIV acquisition risk in women, may be plausible in regions with high levels of genital inflammation and HIV burden. The use of such products requires thorough preclinical testing to assess the viability, utility and efficacy of such strategies. Using a peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC)-based in vitro model for HIV infection, we tested the hypothesis that modulating TLR-induced inflammation with anti-inflammatory drugs, including IBF and BMS, reduced inflammatory responses, immune activation and HIV infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Isolation and culture of PBMCs with HIV
For each experiment, PBMCs were isolated from fresh blood collected from four healthy HIV-negative donors by density gradient centrifugation. PBMCs were resuspended to 1 x 10^6 cells/mL in C10 media and placed into 24-well cell culture plates. For all cell culture experiments, C10 media consisting of Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI) 1640 with L-glutamine (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) containing 10% fetal calf serum.
(FCS) (non-heat inactivated FCS; Highveld Biological (PTY) LTD, Johannesburg, South Africa), 2% L-glutamine, 1% 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), 1% sodium pyruvate (NaPy), and 1% non-essential amino acids (NEAA) (all from Lonza) was used. Interleukin (IL)-2 (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, New Jersey, USA), added to C10 media prior to use, was used at a final concentration of 0.01 µg/mL. Unstimulated PBMCs were used as the negative control and stimulation with phytohemagglutinin (PHA) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) was used as the positive control, at a final concentration of 10 µg/mL. The CCR5-tropic HIV-1 NL4-3 AD8 was used at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.9, as previously described.77

TLR agonists and anti-inflammatory drugs
TLR agonists LPS (TLR4), R848 (TLR7/8) and Pam3CSK4 (TLR1/2) (all from Invivogen, San Diego, California, USA) were used at a final concentration of 2 µg/mL, as described previously.77 In addition to these TLR agonists, the following anti-inflammatory drugs were used in this study: IBF and BMS (both from Sigma-Aldrich). IBF was resuspended in sterile PBS, while BMS was initially resuspended in 100% ethanol before diluting 1:5 with sterile PBS, and both drugs were used at a final concentration of 1 µg/mL, which was the drug concentration previously optimized in anti-inflammatory drug titration experiments (data not shown).

Treatment of PBMCs with TLRs, anti-inflammatory drugs and HIV
PBMCs were treated with either IBF or BMS or left untreated (negative control) and incubated at 37°C 5% CO² for 2 hours. Following this incubation period, PBMCs were left either unstimulated (negative control) or stimulated with TLR agonists or PHA (positive control) then incubated for 48 hours at 37°C 5% CO². Following this incubation (for the day 3 time point, 48 hours poststimulation but prior to HIV exposure), both PBMCs and culture supernatants of each well were collected into sterile tubes for flow cytometry analysis and multiplex ELISA experiments, respectively. The tubes containing the remaining PBMCs (that were subsequently exposed to HIV-1 NL4-3 AD8, as described further) were centrifuged; supernatants were discarded; and media replacements were performed with fresh C10 media. PBMCs were then plated at 1×10⁶ cells/mL into 24-well cell culture plates; platelets were performed with fresh C10 media. PBMCs were used at a final concentration of 1×10⁶ cells/mL. PBMCs were added to C10 media prior to use, was used at a final concentration of 0.01 µg/mL. Unstimulated PBMCs were used as the negative control and stimulation with phytohemagglutinin (PHA) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) was used as the positive control, at a final concentration of 10 µg/mL. The CCR5-tropic HIV-1 NL4-3 AD8 was used at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.9, as previously described.77

Flow cytometry
Cellular activation of PBMCs at two time points (day 3: 48 hours poststimulation and prior to HIV exposure and day 5: 48 hours post-HIV exposure) was assessed by flow cytometry, focusing on CCR5, HLA-DR and CD38 expression by CD4+ cells, as previously described,78-81 using both extracellular and intracellular staining. The extracellular staining cocktail consisted of LIVE/DEAD Amcyan fixable dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA), anti-CD3-APC-H7, anti-CD4-BV605, anti-CD8-BV655, anti-CD14-pacific blue (all from BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA), and anti-CD19-pacific blue (BioLegend, San Diego, California, USA). The intracellular staining cocktail consisted of anti-CCR5-APC, anti-HLA-DR-PerCP-CY5.5 (all from BD Biosciences), anti-CD38-PE-CY7 (BioLegend) and anti-p24-FITC (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California, USA).

Cytokine quantification
From cell culture supernatants, the concentrations of 28 cytokines were assessed using the Bio-Plex Pro Human Cytokine Group I 27-Plex Panel (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) and the Magnetic Luminex Assay IL-1α Singleplex Kit (Research and Diagnostic Systems, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA) as per manufacturer’s instructions. Data were acquired on a Bio-Plex 200 system (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Standard curves were optimized using the Bio-Plex Manager V.6.1 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Values with coefficients of variation of <20% and with observed recoveries between 70% and 130% were considered reliable. Values that were below the detectable limit were assigned half of the lowest limit of detection value, while values that were above the detectable limit were assigned double the highest limit of detection value.

Statistical analyses
GraphPad Prism V.7.02 software for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, California, USA) was used for statistical analyses and graphical representation of data. The Shapiro-Wilk normality test was performed to determine the distribution of the data. Cellular activation results are displayed as mean percentage (%)±SD of CD4+ T cells. For comparisons of cellular activation markers CD38, HLA-DR on
CD4+ T cells, between anti-inflammatory treated conditions and the untreated control, a repeated measures two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett’s multiple comparison test was performed. Similarly, an ordinary one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparison test was performed for CCR5 expression and cytokine comparisons. Cytokine data were normalized by log10 transformation and are displayed as mean concentration (log 10 pg/mL)±SD. Heat maps were generated by performing a single linkage hierarchical cluster analysis using R V.3.3.3 statistical software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) to visualize the effect of various TLR agonists and anti-inflammatory drugs on cytokine expression. Radial spider plots were created using Microsoft Excel V.2013 software.

RESULTS
Reduction of CD4+ T-cell activation by BMS but not IBF prior to HIV exposure
As anti-inflammatory drugs can have cytotoxic effects,84 we sought to determine how IBF and BMS impacted on the viability of CD4+ T cells. Prior to HIV exposure (day 3), BMS was slightly toxic to unstimulated cells with a 7.6% reduction of viable cells (p=0.02, online supplemental figure 3A) but improved the number of viable cells stimulated with LPS by 9.2% (p=0.004) or R848 by 12.4% (p=0.0001, online supplemental figure 3C,D). Similarly, post-HIV exposure (day 5) BMS improved cellular viability in the LPS, R848 and Pam3CSK4-stimulated conditions (p≤0.0001, online supplemental figure 4C–E).

We sought to determine how anti-inflammatory drugs IBF and BMS impacted the activation status of CD4+ T cells stimulated with TLR agonists, given that activated target cells have been shown to be preferentially infected with HIV42,43 and allow more proficient viral replication.85–87 TLR agonists LPS, R848 and Pam3CSK4 had a minimal impact on the activation of CD4+ T cells, unlike the positive control PHA (figure 1). IBF significantly reduced the frequency of intermediately activated CD38+HLA-DR−CD4+ T cells in the unstimulated (p=0.02) and Pam3CSK4-stimulated (p=0.02) conditions by 2.35% and 2.36%, respectively (figure 1A–E). Decreases in this subset in the Pam3CSK4-stimulated condition were concomitant with a significantly increased frequency of inactivated CD38−HLA-DR−CD4+ T cells, suggesting that IBF returned CD4+ T cells to their resting state (p=0.009, figure 1E). A similar phenomenon is

Figure 1  Activation profiles of CD4+ T cells on day 3 prior to HIV exposure either treated with anti-inflammatory drugs IBF or BMS or left untreated (no AI) and then either left unstimulated (A) or stimulated with PHA (B), LPS (C), R848 (D) or Pam3CSK4 (E). PHA was used at a final concentration of 10 µg/mL. TLR agonists were used at a final concentration of 2 µg/mL. AI drugs IBF and BMS were both used at a final concentration of 1 µg/mL. A repeated measures two-way analysis of variance with Dunnett’s multiple comparison test was performed to assess significant differences between AI conditions within each stimulation condition.

*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P≤0.0001, compared with the untreated (no AI) control. Sample size, n=4, donors run in duplicate. AI, anti-inflammatory; BMS, betamethasone; IBF, ibuprofen; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; PHA, phytohemagglutinin; TLR, Toll-like receptor.

Figure 2  Activation profiles of CD4+ T cells on day 5 post-HIV exposure either treated with anti-inflammatory drugs IBF or BMS or left untreated (no AI) and then either left unstimulated (A) or stimulated with PHA (B), LPS (C), R848 (D) or Pam3CSK4 (E). PHA was used at a final concentration of 10 µg/mL. TLR agonists were used at a final concentration of 2 µg/mL. AI drugs IBF and BMS were both used at a final concentration of 1 µg/mL. A repeated measures two-way analysis of variance with Dunnett’s multiple comparison test was performed to assess significant differences between AI conditions within each stimulation condition.

*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P≤0.0001, compared with the untreated (no AI) control. Sample size, n=4, donors run in duplicate. AI, anti-inflammatory; BMS, betamethasone; IBF, ibuprofen; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; PHA, phytohemagglutinin, TLR, Toll-like receptor.
likely in the unstimulated condition, with a less pronounced increase in the resting CD4+ T cells. Compared with IBF, BMS had potent immunosuppressive effects on CD4+ T-cell activation, with increased frequencies of inactivated/resting CD38−HLA-DR−CD4+ T cells across all stimulation conditions (p≤0.0001, figure 1A). As PHA induced significant cellular activation, the frequency of highly activated CD38+HLA-DR+CD4+ T cells was significantly reduced by 20.8% with BMS treatment (p≤0.0001, figure 1B). Furthermore, a reduction in the frequency of CD38+HLA-DR−CD4+ T cells was observed across all stimulation conditions (p<0.01, figure 1A). Similarly, the frequency of CD38−HLA-DR+CD4+ T cells was reduced in the unstimulated (p=0.006), LPS-stimulated (p=0.005) and Pam3CSK4-stimulated (p=0.0001) conditions by 2.78%, 3.8% and 4.48%, respectively (figure 1A, C–E).

**Suppression of T-cell activation is maintained by BMS after HIV exposure**

Similar to the results observed prior to HIV exposure, IBF had minimal immunosuppressive effects in terms of hyper-activated CD4+ T cells, with only a modest 2.76% decrease in the frequency of intermediately activated CD38+HLA-DR+CD4+ T cells in the Pam3CSK4-stimulated condition (p=0.04, figure 2E). Furthermore, an increased frequency of inactivated/resting CD38−HLA-DR−CD4+ T cells were observed in the LPS (p=0.03) and Pam3CSK4-stimulated (p=0.005) conditions by 2.7% and 3.71%, respectively, following IBF treatment (figure 2C–E). As previously observed, BMS had more potent immunosuppressive activity than IBF, resulting in significantly lower frequencies of highly activated CD38+HLA-DR+CD4+ T cells following treatment with PHA (p≤0.0001), LPS (p=0.02) and R848 (p=0.002) by 20.88%, 2.89% and 4.85%, respectively (figure 2B–D). Additionally, BMS also resulted in significantly reduced frequencies of intermediately activated CD38+HLA-DR−CD4+ T cells following PHA (p≤0.0001) and R848 stimulation (p=0.004) by 17.2% and 4.5%, respectively (figure 2B,D). Furthermore, significant reduction in the frequency of CD38−HLA-DR+CD4+ T cells (p<0.05) and a significant increase in the frequency of CD38−HLA-DR−CD4+ T cells (p=0.04) were observed across all stimulation conditions (figure 2A–F).

**Modulation of TLR-mediated CCR5 expression by BMS occurs only at the early time-point**

As CCR5 expression on CD4+ T cells is crucial for R5 tropic HIV infection, we sought to assess how the anti-inflammatory drugs IBF and BMS impacted on CCR5 expression following TLR agonist stimulations. Prior to HIV exposure, BMS downregulated CCR5 expression on CD4+ T cells in TLR (p<0.02) and PHA-stimulated conditions (p≤0.0001) by 1%–1.5% and 11.5%, respectively, while IBF had no impact (figure 3A). Following coculture with HIV, BMS-mediated downregulation of CCR5 following TLR stimulation was lost, while BMS-reduced CCR5 expression was observed in the unstimulated (p=0.04) and PHA-stimulated (p≤0.0001) controls by 2.59% and 10.52%, respectively (figure 3B).

**Figure 3** CCR5 expression on CD4+ T cells on day 3 prior to HIV exposure (A) or day 5 post-HIV exposure (B) either treated with anti-inflammatory drugs IBF (green) or BMS (blue) or left untreated (no AI, red) and then either left unstimulated or stimulated with PHA, LPS, R848 or Pam3CSK4. PHA was used at a final concentration of 10 µg/mL. TLR agonists were used at a final concentration of 2 µg/mL. AI drugs IBF and BMS were both used at a final concentration of 1 µg/mL. An ordinary one-way analysis of variance with Dunnett’s multiple comparison test was performed to assess significant differences between AI conditions within each stimulation condition. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ****P≤0.0001, compared with the untreated (no AI) control within each stimulation condition. Sample size, n=4, donors run in duplicate.

**BMS treatment potently reduces global cytokine and chemokine secretion**

Unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis showed a pattern that overall, concentrations of all cytokines were reduced with BMS treatment compared with the untreated and IBF-treated conditions (online supplemental figure 5).

Prior to HIV exposure (day 3), concentrations of interleukin (IL)-1α were significantly increased by 0.45 log10 pg/mL with IBF treatment compared with the untreated control in the unstimulated condition (p=0.03, figure 4A). Conversely, BMS reduced IL-1α levels in both the TLR- (p<0.005) and PHA-stimulated (p≤0.0001) conditions by 0.6–1.78 and 1.5 log10 pg/mL, respectively (figure 4A). Similarly, BMS significantly reduced IL-1β (p≤0.0001), IL-6 (p<0.01), IL-12 (p<0.005) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) (p≤0.0001) with the untreated control for all conditions (figure 4B–D,F). Furthermore, interferon (IFN)-γ production was also significantly reduced.
by BMS in the unstimulated (p≤0.0001), LPS-stimulated (p=0.02), R848-stimulated (p=0.005) and PHA-stimulated (p≤0.0001) conditions by 1.8, 0.15, 0.17 and 0.6 log10 pg/mL, respectively (figure 4E). After HIV exposure (day 5), BMS treatment reduced IL-1α levels in the LPS-stimulated (p=0.003), R848-stimulated (p=0.0008) and PHA-stimulated (p=0.003) conditions by 0.99, 1.11 and 0.98 log10 pg/mL, respectively, but not the Pam3CSK4 condition, compared with the untreated control (figure 4G). Consistent with the results prior to HIV exposure, the levels of IL-1β (p≤0.0001), IL-6 (p≤0.0001) and TNF-α (p=0.03) were reduced with BMS treatment compared with the untreated cells, across all conditions (figure 4H–I,L). Similarly, BMS dampened the production of IL-12(p70) in R848-stimulated (p=0.01), Pam3CSK4-stimulated (p=0.008) and PHA-stimulated (p=0.02) conditions by 0.45, 0.46 and 0.42 log10 pg/mL, respectively, while IFN-γ was also dampened in the unstimulated condition (p≤0.0001) by 0.46 log10 pg/mL (figure 4J,K).

Similar to the proinflammatory cytokines, BMS significantly reduced IL-8 (p≤0.0001), macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1α (p<0.05), MIP-1β (p<0.0001) and interferon gamma-induced protein-10 (IP-10) (p<0.005) production in all conditions compared with the untreated control, prior to HIV exposure (figure 5A–D). Furthermore, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) was reduced by 2.12 log10 pg/mL following BMS treatment in the unstimulated condition only (p<0.0001, figure 5E). Regulated upon activation, normal T cell expressed and secreted (RANTES) production was also significantly reduced following BMS treatment.
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in all the TLR- (p≤0.002) and PHA-stimulated (p≤0.0001) conditions by 0.26–0.64 and 0.6 log_10 pg/mL compared with the untreated controls (figure 5F). Similarly, post-HIV exposure, IL-8 (p≤0.0001) and MIP-1α (p≤0.001) levels were significantly reduced with BMS treatment compared with the untreated control across all conditions (figure 5G,H). Furthermore, MIP-1β and RANTES levels were significantly reduced following BMS treatment compared with the untreated control in the TLR- stimulated and PHA- stimulated conditions after HIV exposure (p≤0.0001, figure 5I,L).

Similarly, MCP-1 levels were significantly reduced with BMS treatment by 0.35 log_10 pg/mL compared with the untreated control in the unstimulated condition (p≤0.0001). However, BMS treatment increased MCP-1 levels produced in response to R848 stimulation by 0.17 log_10 pg/mL compared with untreated cells (p=0.02, figure 5K). IP-10 levels were significantly reduced in the unstimulated condition by 1.02 log_10 pg/mL (p≤0.0001), while they were increased in the Pam3CSK4-stimulated (p=0.0002) and PHA-stimulated (p≤0.0001) conditions by 0.6 and 0.84 log_10 pg/mL, respectively, with following BMS treatment compared with the untreated control (figure 5J).

Regulatory cytokines like IL-17 are secreted primarily by Th17 cells that maintain mucosal barrier homeostasis.88–90 Prior to HIV exposure, regulatory cytokines IL-17 and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor...
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(GM-CSF) levels were reduced following BMS treatment compared with the untreated control in the unstimulated condition by 1.3 and 1.57 log₁₀ pg/mL, and conditions stimulated with LPS by 0.83 and 0.7 log₁₀ pg/mL, Pam3CSK4 by 0.78 and 0.78 log₁₀ pg/mL, and PHA by 0.72 and 0.87 log₁₀ pg/mL (p<0.0001, figure 6B,C). Similarly, IL-10 levels were also significantly reduced with BMS treatment compared with the untreated control across all conditions (p<0.0001) (figure 6D). BMS-mediated reduction of HIV infection occurs in the unstimulated and LPS-stimulated conditions (figure 6F,H). Additionally, GM-CSF levels were reduced with BMS treatment compared with the untreated control across all conditions (p<0.05, figure 6G).

BMS-mediated reduction of HIV infection occurs in the unstimulated and LPS-stimulated conditions

Significant reductions of HIV infections were found in the BMS-treated unstimulated (p=0.0002) and LPS-stimulated (p=0.02) conditions by 1.7% and 0.98%, respectively, compared with the untreated control (figure 7A,C). No
significant differences were observed with BMS treatment in the PHA-stimulated, R848-stimulated or Pam3CSK4-stimulated conditions (p>0.05; figure 7B,D,E), suggesting some differential interactions occurring in the unstimulated and LPS-stimulated conditions as opposed to the PHA-stimulated, R848-stimulated and Pam3CSK4-stimulated conditions with BMS. Additionally, no significant differences in HIV infection were observed with IBF treatment in any of the stimulation conditions (p>0.05, figure 7).

**DISCUSSION**

Genital inflammation is associated with increased HIV acquisition risk, while immune quiescence is an established correlate of protection for reduced risk in HESN populations. Therefore, the utility of immunomodulatory drugs to augment immune quiescence is attractive to reduce HIV susceptibility. Using a PBMC-based culture system, this study aimed to investigate the effect of two licensed anti-inflammatory drugs, the GC BMS and the NSAID IBF, in limiting TLR-induced inflammatory cytokine productions, cellular activation and susceptibility to HIV infection. While IBF demonstrated only modest immunosuppression and no anti-inflammatory or anti-HIV activity in this model, BMS showed potent immunosuppression and anti-inflammatory effects, with reduced HIV infection.

Consistent with our previous findings, TLR2 (Pam3CSK4) and TLR4 (LPS) stimulation did not induce significant CD4+ T cell activation, while TLR7/8 (R848) activation was moderately more effective. PHA induced the greatest cellular activation, likely due to activation of the T-cell receptor (TCR) on CD4+ T cells. All TLR agonists induced a strong proinflammatory cytokine response at day 3, with R848 inducing the strongest inflammatory response over time. PHA induced a similar proinflammatory profile with higher concentrations of growth factor, anti-inflammatory and adaptive responses, and chemokines (IP-10, MIP-1β and RANTES).

With IBF treatment, minimal immunosuppressive effects were observed, with small reductions in frequencies of intermediate activated CD38+HLA-DR−CD4+ T cells in the unstimulated and Pam3CSK4-stimulated conditions. Furthermore, IBF treatment had no discernible impact on frequencies of T cells expressing CCR5. This lack of immunosuppression may be attributed to T cells being unable to produce prostaglandins, likely a result of non-functional cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes. However, conflicting data show NSAID reduced T-cell activation through the inhibition of COX enzymes, resulting in blocking of TCR-dependent p38 MAPK activation. Therefore, IBF may have interfered with the signaling pathways involved in immune activation in the unstimulated and Pam3CSK4-stimulated conditions here. Lajoie et al showed reduced levels of systemic and mucosal HIV target and Th17 cells in women treated with oral ASA daily for 6 weeks, while HCQ, mimicking the regime of ASA, reduced systemic CD4+CCR5+ and Th17 cells. Additionally, they showed that mucosal Th17 cells expressed lower CCR5 and CD69 following ASA treatment, highlighting that such commonly used NSAIDS may be effective in mitigating immune activation in vivo. IBF treatment had no effect on cytokine production here, in contrast to observations of reduced IL-1β and IL-6 levels a human skin model and similar findings of reduced systemic inflammatory cytokines with oral ASA and HCQ. Conversely, in human PBMCs, IBF enhanced TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β, but inhibited IL-1RA and IL-10, while ASA augmented IL-2 and IFN-γ. These data highlight the complex and heterogeneous immune profiles associated with different drugs. IBF had no effect on HIV infection, regardless of the stimulation conditions, whereas CQ, an NSAID, limited HIV replication in CD4+ T cells both in vitro and in vivo through limiting dendritic cell-specific intercellular adhesion molecule-3-grabbing non-integrin (DC-SIGN)-mediated viral transfer to CD4+ T cells.

Unlike IBF, BMS had potent immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory effects. CCR5 expression on CD4+ T cells was reduced by BMS prior to HIV exposure in all stimulated conditions, and the mechanisms underlying reduced CCR5 expression remain undefined. However, the effect of BMS reducing CCR5 expression in all TLR-stimulated conditions was lost after HIV exposure. Similarly, others also showed that GC treatment resulted in dramatic reduction
of renal CCR5 +CD3+T cells. In contrast, upregulation of the chemokine receptor CCR2 (which binds MCP) was found on human monocytes with GC treatment, leading to increased HIV susceptibility. However, the heterogeneous effects of BMS on CCR5 expression before and after HIV exposure were unexpected, and the mechanisms underlying these differential effects need to be elucidated. Therefore, these findings necessitate the characterization of HIV coreceptor expression on target T cells especially if GC therapy is proposed as a means to mitigate HIV acquisition risk. BMS displayed potent immunosuppression and anti-inflammatory effects in all stimulation conditions, likely through the interference of gene transcription and signaling pathways. BMS was generally less effective with PHA stimulation, likely due to robust TCR activation by PHA. In concordance with our data, human studies have shown that GCs effectively reduced inflammatory cytokines. In contrast, Frank et al found that pretreatment with GCs, prior to LPS challenge, augmented inflammatory cytokine production (TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6). However, when GCs were administered post-LPS challenge, the inflammation was suppressed, suggesting the temporal dynamics of anti-inflammatory action is likely to be important in determining their potency. These results suggest that there is differential sensitivity to GCs, which may be tissue-specific or compartment-specific. Another postulate for the immunoregulatory mechanism of GCs is the upregulated transcription of anti-inflammatory genes, such as IL-10, via the GC receptor and increased soluble IL-10 concentrations. However, in our study, IL-10 production was reduced by BMS treatment, consistent with the global anti-inflammatory effects of GCs. BMS likely inhibited TLR-mediated induction of gene expression through nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) or AP-1 blockade by the GC receptor. GCs have been shown to impact HIV replication by interfering with viral transcription, mediated through the GC receptor. In the unstimulated and LPS-stimulated conditions only, BMS likely inhibited NF-κB-mediated gene transcription, which reduced HIV infection, whereas R848 and Pam3CSK4 agonists likely use different signaling pathways or have compensatory pathways with redundant functions. Despite the effective immunosuppression by BMS in the PHA condition, no impact on HIV infection was observed.

Our model system has some limitations that need to be acknowledged. We used a PBMC model instead of a vaginal epithelial cell line or ex vivo samples such as cervical mononuclear cells or explants. Despite inherent deficiencies with this model, PBMCs are more biologically representative than cell lines, depleted or purified immune cell models or explants, which are notoriously difficult to obtain and standardize. PBMCs contain both peripheral and trafficked cells to or from tissues, and the activation status of these cells correlated between these compartments. A further limitation was the lack of cellular activation and minimal HIV infection observed following TLR stimulation. In contrast, the PHA stimulated model showed higher HIV infection, as activated T cells are more efficiently and preferentially infected. However, strong inflammatory cytokine responses were induced by TLR agonists, highlighting their roles in initiating inflammation to drive immunity. In the genital tract, continuous TLR stimulation by pathogenic microbes drive immune activation and genital inflammation, which is associated with increased HIV risk. To simulate similar conditions in a PBMC model, future experiments should include a TCR activator, such as anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 beads, to mimic antigen presentation in combination with TLR stimulation to provide more robust immune activation. The TCR-activated model may be more appropriate for testing of anti-inflammatory drugs for their effects on immunosuppression and subsequent HIV infection. A further limitation was despite the potent immunosuppression by BMS in the PHA condition, there were no reductions in HIV infections, and we postulate that BMS impacts HIV infection independently of immunosuppression. This concept is reflected by the reduced HIV infection with BMS in the LPS and unstimulated conditions. Insight into the action of BMS on the GC receptor and HIV transcription pathways, may give clarity into the mechanisms of reduced HIV infection in these two conditions. While IBF effects were inferior to BMS, which we speculate may be related to the anti-inflammatory pathways for each anti-inflammatory drug target, we did not measure levels of COX enzymes, prostaglandins or signaling proteins to verify possible mechanisms responsible for IBF’s relative inferior immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory capabilities. While it would have been interesting to investigate in more depth the temporal impact of anti-inflammatory drugs in relation to HIV coculture, our study focused on pretreatment with anti-inflammatory drugs prior to stimulation. In so doing, we endeavored to identify plausible drug candidates to mitigate genital inflammation in populations at increased risk for HIV acquisition. This approach has precedence given that glycerol monolaurate, a topicaly applied vaginal microbicide, reduced inflammation and prevented SIV infections in rhesus macaques. Both BMS and IBF are also licensed as topical formulations, making them attractive drug candidates. However, we acknowledge that long-term use of anti-inflammatory drugs does have unwanted and off-target adverse effects that should be considered. Topical anti-inflammatory formulations may be subject to the same limitations of adherence that under-mine topical PrEP. However, various HIV prevention options need to be explored to accommodate the varying and changing needs of the HIV-affected communities.

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the effects of NSAIDs or GC treatment on HIV infection using an in vitro model. Other studies have investigated the effects of these drugs on inflammation/immune activation and HIV-mediated immune activation/replication in disease progression. This study provides important information on NSAID and GC effects on TLR-mediated immune responses and HIV infection, as well as underscoring the need to interrogate the inflammatory signaling pathways to identify novel drug targets. Together, these data may inform on the use of anti-inflammatory drug candidates as adjunct prophylactic therapies in high-risk populations for HIV.
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