Searching for Low-redshift Faint Galaxies with MMT/Hectospec
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Abstract

We present redshifts for 2753 low-redshift galaxies between 0.03 \( \lesssim z_{\text{spec}} \lesssim 0.5 \) with 18 \( \lesssim r \lesssim 22 \) obtained with Hectospec at the Multi-Mirror Telescope. The observations targeted the XMM-LSS, ELAIS-N1 and DEEP2-3 fields, each of which covers \( \sim 1 \) deg\(^2\). These fields are also part of the recently completed Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope Large Area \( U \)-band Deep Survey and ongoing Hyper Suprime-Cam deep fields surveys. The efficiency of our technique for selecting low-redshift galaxies is confirmed by the redshift distribution of our sources. In addition to redshifts, these high signal-to-noise ratio spectra are used to measure ages, metallicities, and nuclear activity levels. In combination with the photometric catalog in \( u, g, r, i, z, y \) down to 27 AB mag, we are able to study the galaxy population down to stellar masses of \( \sim 10^8 M_\odot \). This paper presents the observational strategy, the reduction procedure and properties of the galaxy sample. (The catalog can be accessed through the survey’s website at http://mips.as.arizona.edu/~cnaw/Faint_Low_z/.)

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Redshift surveys (1378); Post-starburst galaxies (2176); Galaxy formation (595); Quenched galaxies (2016); Dwarf galaxies (416); Spectroscopy (1558)

Supporting material: machine-readable table

1 Introduction

Galaxy populations are bimodally distributed in color, morphology, metallicity, and so on, which indicates a divergence in the galaxy evolution path (Takamiya et al. 1995; Baldry et al. 2004). The observational evidence indicates that galaxies evolve from the blue cloud to the red sequence (e.g., Faber et al. 2007). For bright galaxies, the blue and red populations can be defined well, however whether the bimodality relation can be extended to the faint end is still unclear.

Current surveys such as the Hyper Suprime-Cam Subaru Strategic Program (HSC-SSP; Aihara et al. 2018a, 2018b, 2019) and the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) Large Area \( U \)-band Deep Survey (CLAUDS; Sawicki et al. 2019) provide catalogs that simultaneously cover large areas and reach faint magnitude limits. The finished CLAUDS project on the XMM-Newton Large-Scale Structure (XMM-LSS; Pierre et al. 2007) field, the European Large Area ISO Survey N1 area (ELAIS-N1; Rowan-Robinson et al. 2004), the Deep Extragalactic Evolutionary Probe 2, Field 3 (DEEP2-F3; Newman et al. 2013), and the Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS; Scoville et al. 2007) produce \( u \)-band catalogs of about 20 deg\(^2\) and that are deep to 27 AB mag, which is about 2 mag deeper than previous large-area surveys like the CFHT Legacy Survey (CFHTLS; Cuillandre et al. 2012). The CLAUDS area is also covered by the HSC deep survey project with \( u, g, r, i, z, y \) bands at a depth of 27 AB mag. The matched CLAUDS+HSC catalog provides us with an unprecedented chance to study the faint galaxies statistically.

Almost all of our current understanding of galaxy formation and evolution, such as the bimodality of the color of galaxies, the luminosity, or stellar-mass functions, require accurate spectroscopic redshift \( \text{spec-z} \) measurements. Thus spectroscopic redshift surveys are the key to understanding the formation and evolution of galaxies. The advantage of the current wide-field deep survey is that we will have both a large sample with bright luminosities at high redshift and a large faint-galaxy sample at lower redshift.

Obtaining statistically significant samples of low-luminosity galaxies is rather difficult. Because of their faintness, the number of spectroscopically observed dwarf galaxies is very small even in large surveys like the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000; Strauss et al. 2002; Gunn et al. 2006).
or Galaxy and Mass Assembly (GAMA; Driver et al. 2011). This is particularly true for galaxies in the red sequence. Deep-field imaging surveys (such as Ilbert et al. 2010 and Huang et al. 2013) suggest that there is a deficit of red galaxies at the low-mass end. This bias becomes more important in optical surveys with bright limiting magnitudes. To have a complete census of the low-mass galaxy population, we need to have redshifts of these faint galaxies.

Constructing a large faint-galaxy sample requires a redshift survey that combines a large area coverage with a deep magnitude limit. However, one dilemma of the spec-z survey is the difficulty of getting a large area coverage and reaching very faint magnitudes simultaneously. SDSS is the most extensive spec-z survey and has covered 3600 deg$^2$ and reached the spec-z complete depth at $r \sim 17.7$ mag. The GAMA spec-z survey reaches a depth of $r \sim 19.8$ AB mag, and is complete in stellar masses to $\sim 10^9 M_\odot$. Nevertheless, the spectra of intrinsically very faint galaxies are often too noisy to be identified with high confidence or are biased toward containing predominantly emission-line galaxies, so surveys such as GAMA could be biased toward overrepresenting emission-line galaxies, and miss the low-redshift faint galaxy population at $10^9 M_\odot$.

On the other hand, photometric redshifts for faint blue galaxies at lower redshifts suffer very large uncertainties due to their faintness and flat spectral energy distribution (SED) shape (Dahlen et al. 2013). Moreover, to obtain a reliable photometry redshift (phot-z) estimation, we also need a spec-z sample with a similar magnitude limit to estimate the accuracy of the phot-z results. Artificial intelligence (AI) shows great promise in obtaining the phot-zs with unprecedented accuracy and efficiency (Tanaka et al. 2018). However, AI also requires a large training sample with spec-z containing a variety of galaxy populations and brightnesses. Therefore, although we can estimate the redshift from the photometric catalogs, we still need spec-z to calibrate or train the phot-z results.

Nevertheless, because of constraints imposed by the efficiency of spectroscopic observations, spec-z survey projects are biased in the sense of containing mainly intrinsically bright objects. For the four CLAUDS fields, only the COSMOS field has the spec-z survey projects (e.g., zCOSMOS; Lilly et al. 2007, 2009) for both low- and high-redshift galaxies with a depth about $i \sim 22.5 $ AB (also see Damjanov et al. 2018), and the XMM-LSS field has been covered by the VIMOS VLT deep survey (VVDS; Le Fèvre et al. 2005) to about $i \sim 24$ with low spectral resolution (Le Fèvre et al. 2013). The DEEP2-3 field is covered by the DEEP2 survey (Newman et al. 2013), which only focuses on the $z_{\text{spec}} > 0.5$ galaxies. The ELAIS-N1 field was selected from the SIRTF Wide-Area Infrared Extragalactic Survey (SWIRE; Lonsdale et al. 2003), and is mainly covered by SDSS DR13 for the bright targets (Lacy et al. 2013; Rowan-Robinson et al. 2013; Shirley et al. 2021). Thus, the CLAUDS fields still lack dense spectroscopic coverage for fainter targets.

To investigate the galaxy properties for the low-redshift low-mass galaxies (e.g., $M_r \sim 10^{8.5} M_\odot$), we need a complete sample down to $r \sim 22$ AB mag. The existing spectroscopic redshift surveys, such as SDSS, zCOSMOS, or the VIMOS Ultra-Deep Survey (Le Fèvre et al. 2015), are either shallow with large areas, or deep enough within a small area. Previous low-mass galaxy spectroscopic redshift surveys such as the Metal Abundances across Cosmic Time Survey (Ly et al. 2016a, 2016b; Shin et al. 2021) only focused on the emission-line galaxies, which would bias to young, star-forming galaxies. The ongoing spec-z survey projects such as the Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument (DESI Collaboration et al. 2016), Subaru Prime Focus Spectrograph (Tamura et al. 2016) and Hobby-Eberly Telescope Dark Energy Experiment (Hill et al. 2008) plan to cover large areas with much deeper survey depth, but these survey projects are very time consuming or are only under initiation. Therefore, we propose a new redshift survey for the low-redshift faint galaxies based on the CLAUDS-HSC catalog with MMT/Hectospec.

MMT/Hectospec (Fabricant et al. 2005) is a multifiber spectrograph that can obtain 300 fiber spectra simultaneously within 1° diameter, and thus is very efficient for redshift surveys. Moreover, for the low-redshift galaxies, the moderate resolution spectrum (resolution power about 2700) covering from 3600–8900 Å will reveal most of the optical spectrum lines such as [O II], Ca II, Hβ, [O III], Mgβ, Na D, Hα, [N II], and [S II], which are not only helpful in identifying the redshift, but also necessary to characterize the physical properties such as star formation rate, metallicity, galactic activity, etc.

To study the galaxy population, especially the low-redshift faint-field galaxies, we performed a redshift survey in the HSC survey deep fields by MMT/Hectospec. Previous low-redshift redshift surveys such as Satellites Around Galactic Analogs (Geha et al. 2017; Mao et al. 2021) and the Southern Stellar Stream Spectroscopic Survey (Li et al. 2019) focused on the satellite galaxies and stellar streams at $z_{\text{spec}} \lesssim 0.02$, the properties of which would be affected by the environment. There are very few local bright galaxies ($z_{\text{spec}} < 0.02$) in the HSC deep fields, because the deep fields are selected to study the galaxies at high redshift, thus we can expect that the redshift survey in the HSC deep fields can provide us the low-redshift faint-field galaxies at $z_{\text{spec}} \lesssim 0.02$. HSC+CLAUDS provides us with a photometric catalog reaching 27 AB mag, which is faint enough for us to select low-redshift faint-galaxy candidates, and the MMT 6.5 m mirror can push the redshift survey depth to about $r = 22$ AB mag, which roughly corresponds to stellar masses of $10^{8.5} M_\odot$ at redshift 0.15. This sample reaches about 4 mag deeper than SDSS, and 2 mag deeper than the GAMA survey, which will be critically important to understanding the faint-galaxy properties and the calibration of the phot-z to spec-z to the faint end.

In this paper, we describe our survey strategy, redshift catalogs and preliminary results of this survey. We arrange this paper as follows: Section 2 describes the target selection procedure, the MMT/Hectospec observations and the data reduction method. In Section 3, we show the typical spectrum examples as well as the first look results. We close with a summary in Section 4.

2. Observations and Data Reduction

2.1. Target Selection

The low-redshift galaxy population with stellar mass $\sim 10^8 M_\odot$ should have $r \sim 22$ at $z_{\text{spec}} \simeq 0.15$, thus we select the galaxies with $r < 22$. The four CLAUDS fields are covered by SDSS, thus the galaxies with $r < 17.7$ have complete spec-z. So we limit our
The CLAUDS catalog is deep enough for us to select the fainter galaxies in 1 hr integrations. Therefore, MMT instrument suitable for this project.

measurements. The survey was designed to observe galaxies fainter than $r_{\text{spec}} < 22.5$, which is the detection limit for galaxies at $z_{\text{spec}} < 0.35$. The inset in the lower right shows the distribution of the $r$-band magnitude and redshift. The red line shows the $r = 22$ limit, which enables us to remove the contamination from the high-$z$ galaxies.

targets to $18 < r < 22$. When the observations were initiated, the CLAUDS survey was essentially complete, with full coverage in XMM-LSS and ELAIS-N1, while HSC data were not yet fully released. Since the $r$-band 22 mag is still above the limit magnitude of the previous survey projects, we selected our targets from the CFHTLS catalog (Gwyn 2012) for the CLAUDS-XMMMLSS field, from the ELAIS-N1 catalog (González-Solares et al. 2011) for the CLAUDS-ELAIS-N1 field, and from the Stripe-82 catalog (Jiang et al. 2014) for the CLAUDS-DEEP23 field. The minor differences between the photometric passband definitions will not affect our target selection.

To target specifically galaxies at lower redshifts, we adopt a color–color cut, similar to the method used in the DEEP2 project (Newman et al. 2013) to preselect our targets. Figure 1 illustrates the selection methods. Galaxies with $z_{\text{spec}} < 0.35$ are located in the upper left of the $u-g$, $r-i$, color–color diagram, and are separated into two groups, indicating a blue and red population in colors. The inset in Figure 1 shows the $r$-band magnitude and redshift distribution. So excluding galaxies fainter than $r = 22$ AB mag removes most higher-redshift galaxies. We select our sample galaxies by the criteria: $u-g > 0.6; r-i < 0.7; u-g > 1.95 \times (r-i) + 0.16$. The CLAUDS catalog is deep enough for us to select the $u-g$ red galaxies, which are candidate low-mass red galaxies. We select the upper-left corner of the dashed line selection criterion in Figure 1. We also remove the foreground stars by the CLASS_STAR flag, and the galaxies with previous spec-$z$ measurements. The survey was designed to observe galaxies brighter than $r = 22.5$, which is the detection limit for galaxies in 1 hr integrations. Therefore, MMT/Hectospec is exactly the instrument suitable for this project.

We were allocated 6.5 MMT/Hectospec nights (4.5 dark nights and 2 gray nights) from the Telescope Access Program (TAP) to observe the XMM-LSS, ELAIS-N1, and DEEP2-3 fields (TAP2016B, ID:21; TAP2017A, ID: 27, TAP2017B, ID: 33. PI: Cheng Cheng). Hectospec is an optical fiber-fed spectrograph that can obtain 300 spectra at the same time in a 1 deg$^2$ field of view for one configuration. Figure 2 shows the location of our targets in the three CLAUDS fields. We chose the pointing positions that were covered by previous spec-$z$ surveys to increase the survey completeness. Since the density of the $r < 22$ targets at low redshift is about 2000 per degree (Wirth et al. 2004), we chose only one pointing per field with several configurations. The observations are run in queue mode and the user provides a previously calculated configuration file containing the R.A. and decl. positions, magnitudes, and rank for each object, using the xfitfibb\textsuperscript{14} code developed by the Hectospec Instrument team (Roll et al. 1998). For each run, we assigned at least 40 fibers for the sky, 10 fibers for F-star flux calibrators and 3 fibers for the Guide stars. xfitfibb\textsuperscript{14} will assign the fibers to objects in each configuration in order to sample as many objects as possible in a given rank. As each object is assigned to a fiber, it is “removed” from the catalog. To obtain longer exposures (i.e., over more than one configuration), some faint $u-g$ red sources were included more than once in the source catalog used by xfitfibbs. But we found this method

---

\textsuperscript{14} https://www.cfa.harvard.edu/mtmt/Hectospec/xfibgs/
does not provide us with better signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) because of the low flux calibration accuracy and different weather in each night.

The observations were obtained in 2016 October for the XMM-LSS and DEEP2-3 fields (2.5 dark nights), 2017 April for ELAIS-N1 (2 dark nights), and 2017 October for the XMM-LSS and DEEP2-3 fields (2 gray nights). Due to the weather and instrument malfunction, we only succeeded in obtaining raw data for $20 \times 1.5$ hr exposure in total, which is about 4 nights’ data.

2.2. MMT/Hectospec Data Reduction

The data are reduced by the HSRED2 pipeline,\(^{15}\) which will automatically perform the wavelength calibration, flux calibration, spectral extraction, etc., from the raw data, and produce the calibrated spectra. The flux is calibrated by the 10 F-star simultaneously obtained for each run. The final redshifts are identified by the spec2d pipeline\(^{16}\) used by the DEEP2 survey project, which measures redshifts using cross correlation with templates that contain absorption- and emission-line spectra. Three people then check the quality of the spec-z fitting result individually. When the fitted spec-z is not matched by the location of the spectral lines, we refit the spec-z to match the spectral lines within a smaller wavelength range. We assign the quality flag ($q_z$) of the spec-z with the same criterion as the DEEP2 survey (Willmer et al. 2006; Newman et al. 2013). Finally, we check the spec-z catalog from the three persons and find good consistency. For the targets with inconsistent spec-z results, their reliability will be changed from the average $q_z$ estimated from the visual inspection to $q_z - 1$. Low-$z$ galaxies have many observable emission- and absorption-line features in the observation window, thus the quality would be either identified by two clear lines, or there would be no available features to help the identification. So almost all galaxies in our sample have the quality flag 4, or an unreliable quality flag as 1 or 2.

In total, we obtained 6000 spectra, 2753 of which have a highly reliable quality ($q_z \geq 3$). The left panel of Figure 3 shows the observed color–magnitude diagram and the histogram of our full sample observed with MMT/Hectospec (in black) and the targets with $q_z \geq 3$ (in red). The redshift identification success rate is the ratio between the red and black histograms, respectively, which is about 50% for our sample with r-band 22 mag, or $u - r > 2.5$. Red targets usually have weak emission lines, thus it is more difficult to identify their redshift. Galaxies with low surface brightness in the fiber aperture are also challenging to obtain spectra with S/N high enough to identify the redshifts. The success rate is also related to the exposure time, weather, Moon phase, etc.

The right panel of Figure 3 shows the redshift distribution of galaxies with $q_z \geq 3$. (164 SWIRE targets have reliable spec-z at the range of $z_{\text{spec}} < 0.35$, however there is no clear division between the $z_{\text{spec}} > 0.35$ and $z_{\text{spec}} < 0.35$ galaxies in the color–color diagram, else there would be some low-redshift galaxies outside the selection box, or vice versa. Our results show that about 20% (553 out of 2753) of the galaxies in our selection box are at $z_{\text{spec}} > 0.35$, and if the redshift distribution of the targets close to the color–color lines is symmetric, we can expect an 80% completeness for the broadband color–color selection method. The redshift identification success rate also limits our sample completeness, especially for the faint or red galaxies. Based on the histograms in Figure 3, targets selected by the color–color diagram have a success rate about 80% for the galaxies $r < 21$ or observed-frame color $u - r < 2$, and only 50% for the galaxies about $21 < r < 22$ and observed-frame $u - r > 2.5$. The redshift distribution histogram also shows the presence of large-scale clustering structures at several redshift bins, which is also revealed in the inset of Figure 1. The catalog is listed in Table 1, and can be accessed through the web page of this survey.\(^{17}\)

We show all of our spectra with $q_z \geq 3$ in Figure 4 and denote the position of prominent lines. In addition to the emission lines, several prominent absorption lines can be identified such as $H\beta$, $K$, $H$, G-band, Mgb, and Na D. These lines are important in revealing the poststarburst features, age, outflows, and metallicity. We fit the emission lines by one Gaussian profile plus an n-order polynomial to model the continuum. We also measure the $D_{4000}$ parameter (Balogh et al. 1999), which is sensitive to the stellar age. To reduce the uncertainty of the flux calibration, we estimate the metallicity by the [N II]/$H\alpha$ and the Baldwin–Phillips–Telervich (BPT) diagram (Baldwin et al. 1981) of [N II]/$H\alpha$ versus [O III]/H$\beta$.

\(^{15}\)http://mmto.org/~scool/hsred/index.html
\(^{16}\)http://deep.ps.uci.edu/spec2d/
\(^{17}\)http://mips.as.arizona.edu/~cnaw/Faint_Low_z/
Figure 3. Left panel: observed color–magnitude diagram of our full sample observed by MMT/Hectospec. We highlight the galaxies with redshift quality $q_z \geq 3$ in red. The spec-z identification rate is the ratio of the red and black histograms, which is about 50% for the galaxies about $r$-band 22 AB magnitude, or $u-r \gtrsim 2.5$. Right panel: the redshift distribution of our final results.

Table 1

| ID          | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | $r_{\text{spec}}$ (1") | $z_{\text{spec}}$ | $q_z$ |
|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| J022455.7-045217.2 | 36.23213053  | -4.87143230  | 20.05                    | 0.435135 ± 0.000083 | 4     |
| J022404.9-044628.7 | 36.02022171  | -4.77463340  | 20.62                    | 0.069242 ± 0.000011 | 4     |
| J022422.8-050130.2 | 36.09491587  | -5.02506590  | 21.18                    | 0.361262 ± 0.000150 | 3     |
| J022415.1-046292.2 | 36.06300831  | -4.7746690   | 20.39                    | 0.313309 ± 0.000019 | 4     |
| J022451.1-045053.0 | 36.21307254  | -4.84805010  | 20.30                    | 0.151206 ± 0.000017 | 4     |
| J022438.4-043736.3 | 36.16017222  | -4.62673950  | 19.99                    | 0.151956 ± 0.000055 | 4     |
| J022420.2-042341.3 | 36.08414054  | -4.39479830  | 20.19                    | 0.173245 ± 0.000010 | 4     |
| J022448.3-042941.4 | 36.20107055  | -4.49483250  | 21.28                    | 0.361714 ± 0.000027 | 4     |
| J022435.4-042743.1 | 36.14740133  | -4.46197270  | 18.68                    | 0.055294 ± 0.000029 | 4     |
| J022430.9-043345.5 | 35.92041135  | -4.56262590  | 18.16                    | 0.115660 ± 0.000014 | 4     |
| J022447.4-043555.9 | 36.19755864  | -4.59885070  | 19.74                    | 0.141267 ± 0.000037 | 4     |
| J022549.7-041918.7 | 36.45696402  | -4.32187180  | 19.64                    | 0.318949 ± 0.000052 | 4     |
| J022531.5-044045.5 | 36.38142228  | -4.67929320  | 19.76                    | 0.264473 ± 0.000064 | 4     |
| J022540.5-042736.0 | 36.41873002  | -4.45999770  | 19.97                    | 0.311829 ± 0.000042 | 4     |
| J022505.3-042214.4 | 36.27206683  | -4.37067170  | 21.10                    | 0.364456 ± 0.000066 | 4     |
| J022550.1-041050.7 | 36.45874500  | -4.18075320  | 15.66                    | 0.043963 ± 0.000032 | 4     |
| J022542.8-041650.0 | 36.42831087  | -4.28054860  | 21.32                    | 0.138524 ± 0.000070 | 4     |
| J022547.7-041142.8 | 36.43630028  | -4.19522290  | 20.28                    | 0.287854 ± 0.000034 | 4     |
| J022509.2-044359.3 | 36.28851414  | -4.73312850  | 21.53                    | 0.279311 ± 0.000054 | 4     

(This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.)
so that the flux ratio always comes from two emission lines at close wavelengths.

### 2.3. Spectra Examples

We show some examples of our MMT/Hectospec spectra in Figure 5. The $r$-band magnitude on each side increases from the top to the bottom panel. For 1.5 hr exposure time, the S/N of the MMT/Hectospec spectra are high enough to identify the emission and absorption lines for the galaxies brighter than $r = 21$ AB mag, and bright enough to identify emission lines for $21 < r < 22$. For the faint emission-line galaxies, we can only identify the redshifts and cannot have reliable $D_n4000$ measurements. The flux calibration from F-star can normalize the continuum flux, but does not calibrate the shape of the continuum very well. The data from the two gray nights in 2017B are affected by moonlight, so we can only get a reliable redshift.

### 2.4. Multiwavelength SED Fitting

The survey fields have been covered by CLAUDS in the $u$ band, HSC in the $g$, $r$, $i$, $z$, $y$ bands, and also covered by the near-infrared (NIR) images from the VISTA Deep Extragalactic Observations survey (Jarvis et al. 2013) in the XMM-LSS field, the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey/Deep Extragalactic Survey (Lawrence et al. 2007) in the ELAIS-N1 field and the VISTA-CFHT Stripe 82 Survey (Geach et al. 2017) in the DEEP2-3 field. We match our redshift catalog to the CLAUDS and HSC DR2 catalogs, which have much deeper limiting magnitude ($\sim 27$), and more reliable photometry. Some of our targets have bright neighboring stars in the HSC catalog, which were flagged or not contained in the HSC catalogs. For these objects, we use the photometry from the target selection catalogs, which have brighter saturation magnitudes than the HSC survey, and are much less affected by the bright stars. We also match our catalog to the archive UKIRT J- and $K$s-band catalogs to have better coverage in the NIR bands in the final multiwavelength catalog.

We derive the absolute magnitude by the definition of $m_R = M_Q + DM + K_{QR}$ (Hogg et al. 2002), where $m_R$ is the observed magnitude in band $R$, $M_Q$ is the absolute magnitude in band $Q$ in the emitted frame, $DM$ is the distance modulus and the $K_{QR}$ is the $K$-correction factor between the observed $R$ band and the rest-frame $Q$ band. The $K$-correction factor of the absolute magnitude of our sample is derived by the Coleman et al. (1980) and Kinney et al. (1996) template spectra with the $K$-correction formulae given by Hogg et al. (2002). We show the results in Figure 6 and compare with the previous low-redshift spec-$z$ survey results from SDSS and GAMA. We select 20,000 SDSS sources with random R.A. and decl. and the full GAMA catalog (Driver et al. 2011, 2016) in the G09, G12, and G15 fields as a comparison sample. Within the same redshift range, our results reach about 2 mag fainter than the previous works. Galaxies in our sample with $M_r \approx -18$ are
located at $z_{\text{spec}} \sim 0.1$, a distance where peculiar motions can be neglected. Nevertheless, the SDSS and GAMA surveys mainly sample galaxies with $M_* \sim -18$ at redshifts $z < 0.05$. Therefore, our sample reaches lower stellar masses than the previous surveys at higher redshift.

We fit the SED by fastpp\(^{18}\) (Kriek et al. 2009) using the broadband photometry and our spec-zs to measure the stellar mass. We adopt the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population synthesis models, Chabrier initial mass function (Chabrier 2003), and the Calzetti et al. (2000) dust extinction law with the attenuation in the range of $0 < A_v < 3$. Figure 7 shows the absolute $u - r$ color and stellar-mass diagram. We also show the contours of the color-mass diagram of GAMA of the galaxies at $0.02 < z_{\text{spec}} < 0.3$. Our results are consistent with GAMA, while the stellar masses are a bit lower than GAMA.

3. Results

3.1. Emission-line Diagnosis

The BPT diagram is widely used to identify the emission-line ionization source based on the line ratios (Baldwin et al. 1981; Kewley et al. 2006). We show the BPT diagram in Figure 8 where the colors represent different stellar-mass ranges. We also show the result from SDSS to show the typical values of the low-$z$ galaxies. Nearly all the galaxies in our sample are star-forming galaxies. Gas-phase metallicities can be derived from the strong line ratios (Kewley, Ellison 2001; Kauffmann et al. 2003), which classify galaxies as being mainly ionized by active galactic nucleus or star formation. The upper horizontal axis show the metallicity that derived from the [N II]/H$\alpha$ (Curti et al. 2020).

Figure 8. BPT diagram of our sample with emission lines. The gray scale shows the distribution of SDSS galaxies. We also plot the Kauffmann and Kewley lines (Kewley et al. 2001; Kauffmann et al. 2003), which classify galaxies as being mainly ionized by active galactic nucleus or star formation. The upper horizontal axis show the metallicity that derived from the [N II]/H$\alpha$ (Curti et al. 2020).

3.2. Rest-frame U–V versus V–I Diagram

The $UVI$ diagram (rest-frame $U$–$V$ versus rest-frame $V$–$J$) has been widely applied to help constrain galaxy properties because extinction and age vary in orthogonal directions

\(^{18}\)https://github.com/cschreib/fastpp

Figure 9. The $U - V$ vs. $V - I$ diagram of our sample overplotted on the contours of CANDELS $UVI$ results. The solid line separates the quiescent galaxy population (upper left) from the dusty (upper right) and star-forming (lower left) galaxy populations. We use the galaxy sample from the CANDELS catalog with $z_{\text{phot}} < 1$.

(Labbé et al. 2005; Williams et al. 2010; Fang et al. 2018; Leja et al. 2019). However, because of the limited NIR coverage of our sample, we followed Wang et al. (2017) and Liu et al. (2018) by using the $UVI$ distribution (rest-frame $U$–$V$ versus $V$–$I$, Figure 9) to separate dusty from old populations. We define the old population region based on the quiescent galaxies selected from the $UVI$ diagram. Our result shows a similar distribution as the the $z_{\text{phot}} < 1$ sample from the Cosmic Assembly Near-infrared Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS, Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011), which is denoted by the contours.

3.3. Absorption-line Galaxies: Quenching of the Low-mass Galaxies

Quenching of low-mass galaxies is commonly studied in galaxy clusters (Toloba et al. 2014; Roediger et al. 2017;...
Danieli et al. 2018), where the massive galaxies reduce the gas supply to dwarf galaxies by ram pressure, tidal interactions, or other mechanisms (Simon 2019). Figure 8 shows that most of our galaxies are star-forming galaxies at $\sim 10^9 M_\odot / M_\odot$, so we can use our sample to understand the quenching features of field galaxies at $\sim 10^9 M_\odot / M_\odot$.

On the other hand, previous studies show that the fraction of isolated quenching galaxies in the field is extremely small at the low-mass end ($10^5 < M_\odot / M_\odot < 10^8$ or, $-18 < M_\odot < -12$) (Geha et al. 2012). In this case, the low-mass galaxies should either sustain the current star formation, grow into massive galaxies, or be merged into nearby massive galaxies. However, star formation history analyses of the ultrafaint local dwarf galaxies (Brown et al. 2014) show that 80% of stars were formed at about $z \sim 6$ and 100% of stars were formed at $z \sim 2$. Therefore, we could expect to find the low-mass quenched galaxies at low redshift.

The quenching and star-forming galaxy population can be shown clearly in the rest-frame NUV – $r$ versus stellar mass diagram (Salim et al. 2005; Salim 2014; Pan et al. 2016), where the rest-frame NUV flux is proportional to the star formation rate, therefore the NUV – $r$ roughly represents the specific star formation rate. One of the advantages of the NUV – $r$ diagram is the clear separation between the galaxy populations (Salim 2014). Figure 10 shows the NUV – $r$ versus mass of our sample, where we can see a clear separation between the blue and red population, and the green valley where the galaxies might be poststarburst galaxies.

We searched for the presence of strong Balmer absorption and weak or no emission-line flux in the spectra of all galaxies with $\log(M_\odot/M_\odot) < 9.5$. We find 10 galaxies with no clear emission lines ($S/N < 3$) and these are shown in Figure 11 for $\log(M_\odot/M_\odot) < 9$, Figure 12 for $9 < \log(M_\odot/M_\odot) < 9.5$, and in Figure 10 with red dots. The very weak emission lines, the strong H$\delta$ (if detected) and the $H$, $K$ lines of the spectrum indicate a lack of ionized gas and that they are quenched from the starburst phase and may evolve into the red population. We show the star-forming galaxy separation criteria from Pan et al. (2016), where they only consider the galaxy sample with a stellar mass larger than $10^9 M_\odot$ as a reference. The NUV – $r$ color of these galaxies shows that the 10 weak emission-line galaxies are above the main sequence of the star-forming galaxies in Figure 10, more likely in the green valley. We observed the absorption-line galaxy with the lowest stellar mass in Figure 10 by using the Hale telescope/DBSP (TAP2017B, ID: 01, PI: Cheng Cheng) confirming the 0.036 spectroscopic redshift of this galaxy, and find no evidence of emission lines. We also confirmed that there is no nearby galaxy within 50 kpc brighter than this target. Geha et al. (2012) have shown that the isolated low-mass quenched galaxies are rare. A detailed study of these low-mass absorption-line galaxies, such as whether they are isolated galaxies, will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

We also take advantage of our accurate phot-$z$ to study the low-mass quenching population. The ongoing and upcoming multiwavelength deep survey projects such as HSC and the Vera C. Rubin Observatory’s Legacy Survey of Space and Time (LSST Science Collaboration et al. 2009) data will produce a vast sample of high-quality photometry catalogs. Meanwhile, phot-$z$ techniques such as machine learning and empirical template fitting, as well as the hybridization of several methods (Salvato et al. 2019) can provide accuracies of 2% or even better (Tanaka et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2019; Nishizawa et al. 2020; Schmidt et al. 2020), which can be used to enlarge the sample of low-redshift low-mass passive galaxies for follow-up spectroscopic identification. We compare the phot-$z$ results of our sample from the CLAUDS + HSC dr2 SEDs (G. Desprez et al. 2021, in preparation) and our spec-$z$ results in Figure 13. The phot-$z$ of our sample can recover the spec-$z$ very well with a scatter $\sigma_{NMAD}$ about 2.3% and a redshift offset about 0.006. The large-scale clustering structures shown in $z_{\text{spec}}$ (see also Figure 3, right panel) are not recovered in the phot-$z$ results, implying the limitation of studying the cosmological large-scale structure with phot-$z$ data (also see Sohn et al. 2021). In the forthcoming work, we will study the low-mass red galaxies based on the spec-$z$ and the phot-$z$ to explore the quenching process in the low-mass galaxies.

4. Summary

We select a sample of $18 < r < 22$ low-redshift field galaxies ($0.03 \lesssim z_{\text{spec}} \lesssim 0.5$) and carry out a spectroscopic redshift survey using MMT/Hectospec. The redshift distribution validates our target selection method, and we acquired spectra for 2753 galaxies. In this paper, we release the spec-$z$ catalog including R.A., decl., spec-$z$, and qz. We demonstrate that our selection method is effective at identifying low-mass quenched galaxies. The spec-$z$ catalog was used to calibrate the phot-$z$s in three surveyed fields, expanding the sample of low-mass galaxies. These combined (spectroscopic and photometric redshift) catalogs are being used to analyze the population of low-mass field galaxies, which are the subject of a forthcoming paper.
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Figure 11. Example of spectra of low-mass galaxies (\(M_\ast < 10^9M_\odot\)) with weak emission lines.

Figure 12. Example of spectra of low-mass galaxies (\(10^9M_\odot < M_\ast < 10^{9.5}M_\odot\)) with weak emission lines.

Figure 13. Our \(z_{\text{spec}}\) vs. the \(z_{\text{phot}}\) derived from the CLAUDS + HSC dr2 SEDs (G. Desprez et al. 2021, in preparation). The \(z_{\text{phot}}\) has a scatter about 2.3% and offset about 0.006. The large-scale clustering structures shown in \(z_{\text{spec}}\) are not recovered in the \(z_{\text{phot}}\) results.
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