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Abstract

The training of deep neural nets is expensive. We present a predictor-corrector method for the training of deep neural nets. It alternates a predictor pass with a corrector pass using stochastic gradient descent with backpropagation such that there is no loss in validation accuracy. No special modifications to SGD with backpropagation is required by this methodology. Our experiments showed a time improvement of 9% on the CIFAR-10 dataset.
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1. Introduction

Image recognition is performed mainly by deep convolutional neural networks [6, 7], and the depth of the network is crucial to accurate results [8]. However, very deep neural nets degrade near convergence unless some form of shortcutting [11] is used. We choose the deep residual nets of [1] as the basis for our work. These networks consist of stacked blocks with the same number of inputs as outputs [1]. The addition of a residual identity mapping counters the degradation problem.

2. Methodology

Assumption 1. Our basic hypothesis is that the weights and biases at the lowest layers of a deep neural network learn more slowly than those of the
upper layers. Hence, the parameters of the lower layers can be computed every other iteration with no appreciable loss of validation accuracy.

Assumption 2. We also assume that the weights and biases at the lower layers approximate the identity function. Hence, if network $N_1$ is obtained from $N_2$ by adding some layers at the bottom of the stack, then we expect there to be an approximate equivalence in the learned parameters of the common (upper) blocks.

Our methodology is to maintain and train 2 models, a shallower one (the predictor) and a deeper one (the corrector). At the end of the training, the corrector is the trained model to be used. The parameters on all blocks above the input layer in the predictor are maintained as equal to the parameters of the same number of upper blocks in the corrector. An alternation with this copy operation is done at the granularity of 1 epoch. Figure 1 shows the picture. The remaining blocks on the corrector are initialized to be the parameters of the input layer in the case of the input layer, and to the lowest non-input block of the predictor for the additional blocks of the corrector. Then SGD and backpropagation maintain these parameters (i.e they are not copied).

Let $N_i$ be an instance of a residual neural net version 1 of [1]. Assume
it has $L$ blocks, 1 representing the input block and $L$ representing the output block. Let $B_l$ represent the $l$th block, with parameters $P_l$. This is the predictor. Let $N_2$ be the corrector, which is obtained by copying $N_1$ and modifying it as in Algorithm 1 below. Note that this is performed only once per training session. Algorithm 2 describes how to perform the training. Note that no special processing is required.

Algorithm 1 Construction of corrector

1: procedure ConstructCorrector($N_1$, $K$) \> $K$ is the number of blocks to add.
2: \> copy $N_1$ to $N_2$
3: \> for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, K$ do
4: \> \> Add a copy of block $B_2$ in $N_1$ just under $B_2$ in $N_2$
5: \> end for
6: end procedure

Algorithm 2 Training algorithm

1: procedure Train($N_1$, $N_2$, $K$) \> $K$ is the number of blocks added.
2: for half the number of epochs do
3: \> Perform one training epoch using the predictor $N_1$
4: \> for $l = 2, 3, \ldots, L$ do
5: \> \> Copy $P_l$ in the predictor to $P_{l+K}$ in the corrector
6: \> end for
7: \> Perform one training epoch using the corrector $N_2$
8: \> for $l = 2, 3, \ldots, L$ do
9: \> \> Copy $P_{l+K}$ in the corrector to $P_l$ in the predictor
10: \> end for
11: \> end for
12: \> The corrector $N_2$ is the trained model.
13: end procedure

3. Experiments

We used cifar10_resnet.py, obtained from https://github.com/fchollet/keras/blob/master/examples/, to model the experimental framework of Section 4.2 of [1]. This software is under the
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MIT license. It is used as the predictor, with 116 layers. We modified it to create a deeper corrector model by adding 15 layers above the input layer. We ran both against the CIFAR-10 dataset [4] for 50 epochs. The time savings were 9% (see Table 3).

Results. Our results are contained in Table 2 and Figure 2. Note that the predictor-corrector top-1 validation error is lower than that of the residual net, although marginally so.

4. Conclusions

We presented a predictor-corrector methodology for training a deep neural net using alternating epochs with a shallower and less expensive model. We gained a time savings of 9% on the CIFAR-10 dataset with no loss in validation accuracy.
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