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The Date

Abstract

We calculate the energy distribution of a dyonic dilaton black hole by using the Tolman’s energy-momentum complex. All the calculations are performed in quasi-Cartesian coordinates. The energy distribution of the dyonic dilaton black hole depends on the mass $M$, electric charge $Q_e$, magnetic charge $Q_m$ and asymptotic value of the dilaton $\Phi_0$. We get the same result as obtained by Y-Ching Yang, Ching-Tzung Yeh, Rue-Ron Hsu and Chin-Rong Lee by using the Einstein’s prescription.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The energy-momentum localization has been a problematic issue since the outset of the theory of relativity. A large number of definitions of the gravitational energy have been given since now. Some of them are coordinate independent and other are coordinate-dependent. An adequate coordinate-independent prescription for energy-momentum localization for all the type of space-times has not given yet in General Relativity.

We remark that it is possible to evaluate the energy and momentum distribution by using various energy-momentum complexes. The physical interpretation of these nontensorial energy-momentum complexes have been
questioned by a number of physicists, including Weyl, Pauli and Eddington. There prevails suspicion that different energy-momentum complexes could give different energy distributions in a given space-time. Virbhadra and his collaborators have considered many space-times and have shown that several energy-momentum complexes give the same and acceptable result for a given space-time.

Many authors obtained dilaton black hole solutions and studied theirs properties [1]-[4]. Garfinkle, Horowitz and Strominger (GHS) [5] obtained a form of static spherically symmetric charged dilaton black hole solutions which exhibit several different properties compared to the Reissner-Nordström (RN) black holes. In their theory the gravity is coupled to the electromagnetic and dilaton fields and can be described by the four-dimensional effective string action.

Chamorro and Virbhadra [6] obtained in the Einstein’s prescription the energy of a charged dilaton black hole based on the GHS [5] solutions. They found that the energy distribution which has the expression \( E(r) = M - \frac{Q^2}{2r}(1 - \beta^2) \), depends on the mass \( M \), electric charge \( Q \) and the coupling parameter \( \beta \) between the dilaton and the Maxwell fields. For the value \( \beta = 0 \) they obtained the energy distribution in the Reissner-Nordström (RN) field. Also, only for \( \beta = 1 \) the energy is confined to its interior, and for all other values of \( \beta \) the energy is shared by the interior and exterior of the black holes.

The total energy of the charged dilaton black hole is independent of \( \beta \) and is given by the mass parameter of the black hole. With increasing the radial distance, \( E(r) \) increases for \( \beta = 0 \) (RN metric) as well for \( \beta < 1 \), decreases for \( \beta > 1 \), and remains constant for \( \beta = 1 \).

S. S. Xulu [7] get the same energy distribution as Chamorro and Virbhadra [6] by using the Tolman’s prescription. The energy distribution that is given by \( E(r) = M - \frac{Q^2}{2r}(1 - \beta^2) \) can be interpreted as the "effective gravitational mass" that a neutral test particle "feels" in the GHS space-time. Also, the "effective gravitational mass" becomes negative at radial distances less than \( \frac{Q^2}{2M}(1 - \beta^2) \).

Virbhadra and Parikh [8] investigated, in the Einstein’s prescription [9], the energy of a static spherically symmetric charged dilaton black hole and found that the entire energy is confined to its interior with no energy shared by the exterior of the black hole. This result is similar to the case of the Schwarzschild black hole and unlike the RN black hole.

Cheng, Lin and Hsu (CLH) [10] using the standard spherical coordinate
system which is more suitable for describing the structure of the charged
dilaton black hole, obtained the more general solutions which are the dyonic
dilaton black hole solutions. The GHS solutions can be obtained from the
CLH solutions as special cases when electric or magnetic charges are switched
off.

I-Ching Yang, Ching-Tzung Yeh, Rue-Ron Hsu and Chin-Rong Lee [11]
employing the Einstein’s energy-momentum complex obtained that the en-
ergy distribution of a dyonic dilaton black hole depends on the mass $M$,
electric charge $Q_e$, magnetic charge $Q_m$ and asymptotic value of the dilaton
$\Phi_0$.

In this paper we compute the energy distribution of a dyonic dilaton black
hole by using the Tolman’s prescription [12]. We obtain the same result as
obtained by I. Ching-Yang, Ching-Tzung Yeh, Rue-Ron Hsu and Chin-Rong
Lee [11]. We also make a discussion of the results. We use the geometrized
units ($G = 1, c = 1$) and follow the convention that the Latin indices run
from 0 to 3.

2 THE ENERGY DISTRIBUTION IN THE
TOLMAN’S PRESCRIPTION

The static, spherical symmetric dyonic dilaton black hole solutions are in
terms of the standard spherical coordinate [10]

$$ds^2 = \Delta^2 dt^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\Delta^2} dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$$ (1)

where

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{r^2}{r^2 + \lambda^2},$$

$$\Delta^2 = 1 - \frac{2M}{r^2} \sqrt{r^2 + \lambda^2} + \frac{\beta}{r^2},$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2M} (Q_e^2 e^{2\Phi_0} - Q_m^2 e^{-2\Phi_0}),$$ (2)

$$\beta = Q_e^2 e^{2\Phi_0} + Q_m^2 e^{-2\Phi_0},$$
\[ e^{2\Phi} = e^{-2\Phi_0}(1 - \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{r^2 + \lambda^2}}). \]

The only non-zero components of the electromagnetic field tensor are

\[ F_{01} = \frac{Q_e}{r^2} e^{2\Phi}, \tag{3} \]

and, respectively

\[ F_{23} = \frac{Q_m}{r^2}. \tag{4} \]

The properties of the dyonic dilaton black holes are characterized by the mass \( M \), electric charge \( Q_e \), magnetic charge \( Q_m \) and asymptotic value of the dilaton \( \Phi_0 \). Their structures are similar to that of the RN [10] black holes.

The Tolman’s energy-momentum complex [12] is given by

\[ \Upsilon^k_i = \frac{1}{8\pi} U^k_{i\lambda\mu} \]

where \( \Upsilon^0_0 \) and \( \Upsilon^\alpha_0 \) are the energy and momentum components. We have

\[ U^k_{i\lambda\mu} = \sqrt{-g}(-g^{pk}V^{l}_{ip} + \frac{1}{2}g^{k}_{i}g^{pm}V^{l}_{pm}), \tag{6} \]

with

\[ V^{l}_{ip} = -\Gamma^{l}_{jk} + \frac{1}{2}g^{l}_{jm}\Gamma^{m}_{mk} + \frac{1}{2}g^{l}_{km}\Gamma^{m}_{mj}. \tag{7} \]

The energy-momentum complex \( \Upsilon^k_i \) also satisfies the local conservation laws

\[ \frac{\partial \Upsilon^k_i}{\partial x^k} = 0. \tag{8} \]

The Tolman’s energy-momentum complex gives the correct result if the calculations are carried out in quasi-Cartesian coordinates.

We transform the line element (1) to quasi-Cartesian coordinates \( t, x, y, z \) according to
\[ x = r \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \]
\[ y = r \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \]
\[ z = r \cos \theta \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

and

\[ r = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \] \hspace{1cm} (10)

The line element (1) becomes

\[ ds^2 = \Delta^2 dt^2 - (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) - \frac{\sigma^2 / \Delta^2 - 1}{r^2} (xdx + ydy + zdz)^2. \] \hspace{1cm} (11)

The only required components of \( U_{ikl} \) in the calculation of the energy are the following

\[ U^0_{01} = \frac{x \Pi}{r^2}, \]
\[ U^0_{02} = \frac{y \Pi}{r^2}, \] \hspace{1cm} (12)
\[ U^0_{03} = \frac{z \Pi}{r^2}. \]

In the relations (12) we denote by \( \Pi \)

\[ \Pi = \sigma(1 - \frac{\Delta^2}{\sigma^2}). \] \hspace{1cm} (13)

The components of the pseudotensor \( U_{ikl} \) are calculated with the program Maple GR Tensor II Release 1.50.

The energy and momentum in the Tolman’s prescription are given by

\[ P_i = \iiint \Upsilon_i^0 dx^1 dx^2 dx^3. \] \hspace{1cm} (14)

Using the Gauss’s theorem we obtain

\[ P_i = \frac{1}{8\pi} \iint U_i^0 n_\alpha dS, \] \hspace{1cm} (15)
where \( n_\alpha = (x/r, y/r, z/r) \) are the components of a normal vector over an infinitesimal surface element \( dS = r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi \).

Using (2), (12), (14) and applying the Gauss’s theorem we evaluate the integral over the surface of a sphere with radius \( r \)

\[
E(r) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \oint \frac{\sigma}{r} (1 - \frac{\Delta^2}{\sigma^2}) r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi.
\] (16)

We find that the energy within a sphere with radius \( r \) is given

\[
E(r) = M + \frac{M\lambda^2}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r^2 + \lambda^2}} [\beta\lambda^2 + \lambda^2 + \beta].
\] (17)

The energy distribution depends on the mass \( M \), electric charge \( Q_e \), magnetic charge \( Q_m \) and asymptotic value of the dilaton \( \Phi_0 \).

The energy is shared both by the interior and by the exterior of the black hole.

3 DISCUSSION

The subject of the localization of energy continues to be an open one. Bondi [13] sustained that a nonlocalizable form of energy is not admissible in relativity. Other authors consider that because the energy-momentum complexes are not tensorial objects and give results which are coordinate dependent they are not adequate for describing the gravitational field.

The results obtained by Chamorro and Virbhadra [6], Xulu [7], Virbhadra and Parikh [8] and I-Ching Yang, Ching-Tzung Yeh, Rue-Ron Hsu and Chin-Rong Lee [11] support the idea that several energy-momentum complexes can give the same result for a given space-time.

We obtain the same result as obtained by Y-Ching Yang, Ching-Tzung Yeh, Rue-Ron Hsu and Chin-Rong Lee [11]. This is an encouraging result and, also, it is one more proof that the Einstein’s and Tolman’s energy-momentum complexes can give the same result for a static spherically symmetric solution. The energy distribution depends on the mass \( M \), electric charge \( Q_e \), magnetic charge \( Q_m \) and asymptotic value of the dilaton \( \Phi_0 \). The energy is shared both by the interior and by the exterior of the black hole.

We obtain the same expression for the energy distribution as in the case of Schwarzschild black holes as \( Q_e, Q_m \) and \( \Phi_0 \) vanish.
For $Q_e = 0$ or $Q_m = 0$ we find the case of the pure electric or pure magnetic charged black hole and the energy distribution is always positive except at the singular point $r = 0$.

If the dilaton field was suppressed $\Phi_0 = 0$, $\lambda = 0$ (or $Q_e = Q_m$) the dilaton gravity will reduce to the Einstein-Maxwell theory and the dilaton dyonic black hole solutions will become to be Reissner-Nordström solutions.

In the case of the ADM mass we obtain the same result as the result of Virbhadra

$$M_{ADM} = E(r)_{r \to \infty} = M$$

(18)

From (18) we deduce that the ADM mass does not depend on the coordinate representation of the black hole.

Also, the concept of a black hole lends support to the idea that the gravitational energy is localizable.
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