3D Volumetric Modeling and Microvascular Reconstruction of Irradiated Lumbosacral Defects after Oncologic Resection

Emilio Garcia-Tutor1,2, Marco Romeo1, Michael P. Chae3,4, David J. Hunter-Smith3,4 and Warren Matthew Rozen3,4*

1Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Hospital de Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Spain, 2MD Anderson Cancer Center, Madrid, Spain, 3Department of Surgery, School of Clinical Science at Monash Health, Faculty of Medicine, Monash University, Monash Medical Centre, Clayton, VIC, Australia, 4Monash University Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Unit (Peninsula Clinical School), Peninsula Health, Frankston, VIC, Australia

Background: Locoregional flaps are sufficient in most sacral reconstructions. However, large sacral defects due to malignancy necessitate a different reconstructive approach, with local flaps compromised by radiation and regional flaps inadequate for broad surface areas or substantial volume obliteration. In this report, we present our experience using free muscle transfer for volumetric reconstruction, in such cases, and demonstrate three-dimensional (3D) haptic models of the sacral defect to aid preoperative planning.

Methods: Five consecutive patients with irradiated sacral defects secondary to oncologic resections were included, surface area ranging from 143–600 cm². Latissimus dorsi (LD)-based free flap sacral reconstruction was performed in each case, between 2005 and 2011. Where the superior gluteal artery was compromised, the subcostal artery (SA) was used as a recipient vessel. Microvascular technique, complications, and outcomes are reported. The use of volumetric analysis and 3D printing is also demonstrated, with imaging data converted to 3D images suitable for 3D printing with Osirix software (Pixmeo, Geneva, Switzerland). An office-based, desktop 3D printer was used to print 3D models of sacral defects, used to demonstrate surface area and contour and produce a volumetric print of the dead space needed for flap obliteration.

Results: The clinical series of LD free flap reconstructions is presented, with successful transfer in all cases, and adequate soft-tissue cover and volume obliteration achieved. The original use of the SA as a recipient vessel was successfully achieved. All wounds healed uneventfully. 3D printing is also demonstrated as a useful tool for 3D evaluation of volume and dead space.

Conclusion: Free flaps offer unique benefits in sacral reconstruction where local tissue is compromised by irradiation and tumor recurrence, and dead space requires accurate volumetric reconstruction. We describe for the first time the use of the SA as a recipient in free flap sacral reconstruction. 3D printing of haptic bio-models is a rapidly evolving field with a substantial role in preoperative planning.
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INTRODUCTION

A vast majority of sacral wounds derived from pressure sores can be treated with either a local or regional flap, as conventionally taught (1). However, where wounds span a large area from oncological resection, have substantial volume deficits or involve areas compromised by radiotherapy, locoregional flaps are relatively contraindicated. Radiation induces hypocellularity and hypovascularity in the normal tissue surrounding the tumor compromising its vascularity and, hence, its viability as a flap option (2, 3). Furthermore, reconstruction of the irradiated lumbosacral defects is curtailed by the reduced number and caliber of the vessels at the recipient site. Moreover, the need to restore a composite defect and the proximity of the anal region potentially increases the infection risk and present significant challenges for reconstructive surgeons.

In recent times, reconstructive surgeons have benefited from the availability of three-dimensional (3D) haptic bio-models for preoperative planning and flap designing (4). These models are produced by rapid prototyping (RP) techniques that use scan data from the conventional imaging modalities, such as the computed tomography (CT) and the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). RP has been utilized in industrial design for decades; however, it has been adopted for medical application only in the last two decades. RP has introduced a convenient method of fabricating physical 3D models that accurately represent the anatomical structures and provide a tactile, or haptic, feedback to the clinician facilitating a superior understanding of the spatial relationship between structures (5). In medicine, stereolithography and 3D printing are the most extensively studied RP techniques. In contrast to stereolithography, 3D printing is a newer technology that is more affordable, quicker, and more convenient (6). 3D-printed haptic models have demonstrated utility in numerous surgical disciplines, such as maxillofacial (6) and orthopedic surgery (7). In plastic and reconstructive surgery, they have been useful in flap designing for soft-tissue defects (8) and preoperative volumetric assessment of breast asymmetry (9).

The current paper reports our experience using a large voluminous free flap for a single-stage reconstruction of irradiated lumbosacral oncologic defects. The technique and surgical outcomes are assessed. The use of 3D haptic models of the sacral defect to aid preoperative planning and volumetric analysis is presented.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

A case series of five consecutive patients were included, each of which were planned for microvascular reconstruction of an irradiated sacral defect, between 2005 and 2011. The series comprised one female and four males, with a mean age of 41.5 (range: 32–69). Each patient presented with a malignancy in the sacral region that was reconstructed by the senior author (EGT) following oncologic resection and irradiation. The resection was either of a primary or a recurrent tumor and all patients received radiotherapy (Table 1). For each case, consideration of a muscle only, myocutaneous or fasciocutaneous flap was considered,
Surgical Technique

In delayed reconstructions, the volume and soft-tissue deficit was mapped preoperatively, while in immediate cases, this was able to be estimated based on resection planning. The 2D images from CT and MRI were used for initial evaluation of the planned reconstruction. Preoperative planning also involved the oncologic surgeon in surgical and reconstructive planning, in planning for estimated resection volumes. Flap choice was thus achieved, and where a skin paddle was sought, surface area able to be rapidly and accurately achieved.

The average wound surface was 359 cm² (range: 200–600 cm²). In two cases, the skin surface defect was small enough for the skin island of the flap to adequately fill the surface defect. In two cases, the surface defects were larger and skin grafting was necessary. In one patient, the defect surface width was too broad and required closure with a tensor fascia lata flap. Regarding wound depth and volume, the LD flaps provided adequate tissue to fill and obliterate the cavity in all cases.

Consideration of a muscle only, myocutaneous or fasciocutaneous flap was considered, with selection based on donor-site availability, volume filling and contour requirements as evaluated from imaging and bio-models. Ultimately, muscle only or myocutaneous latissimus dorsi (LD) flaps were selected in each case. In all cases, there was a volume requirement that exceeded the filling achievable with a fasciocutaneous flap, and the added benefits the longest pedicle possible was considered. Originally, deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flaps were also considered but were not used ultimately utilized as options.

Whenever they were available (three cases), superior gluteal artery (SGA) was the first-choice recipient vessel. In other cases where the gluteal arteries were damaged during the tumor resection or discarded due to their proximity to the tumor (two cases), subcostal artery (SA) of the eleventh rib was selected. All flap arteries and veins were anastomosed to recipient vessels in an end-to-end fashion. The location of a diverting stoma was planned based on imaging preoperatively and undertaken for wound care and patient positioning considerations.

Creation of a 3D-Printed Haptic Bio-Model

Imaging with either CT and/or MRI of the sacral region was used for volumetric analysis (see Figure 1). Haptic models of sacral defects were fabricated using a 3D printer similar to a technique described previously (9). The 2D scan data from CT or MRI was uploaded on to a computer using a free, third-party software called Osirix (Pixmeo, Geneva, Switzerland). The data were 3D-reconstructed using the “surface rendering” function (see Figure 2) and exported into a universal 3D file format called standard tessellation language (STL). The STL file was configured suitable for 3D printing using computer software, Cubify (3D Systems, Rock Hill, SC, USA), which accompanies the 3D printer (Cube 2 printer, 3D Systems). In Cubify (3D Systems), the model was reduced in size to fit within the maximum dimension of the printer (16 cm × 16 cm × 16 cm) and also orientated prone so that the defect was “pointing up” (see Figure 3). Due to the mechanism of 3D printing where the thermoplastic filament was deposited in a layer-by-layer fashion, where the defect was “pointing sideways,” the printer deposited a support structure to fill the “gap in height” (8). Although the support structures were easily removable, they left a rough surface that compromised the aesthetics of the model. The model was comparable to scan data for volumetric
analysis, and the benefits of a 3D model with haptic feedback were assessed.

RESULTS

The LD free flap was successfully transferred in all cases (100% survival), with adequate soft-tissue cover and volume obliteration achieved. Each microvascular reconstruction with a free flap was performed in either the immediate post-resection setting or delayed if further irradiation or chemotherapy was planned. In the delayed cases, the open resected area was managed with local dressings and VAC therapy (KCI, San Antonio, TX, USA). In three cases, colostomy was necessary and presented an added advantage of preventing fecal contamination. Operative features of the patients are summarized in Table 1. All wounds healed completely except one case, in which a minimal wound dehiscence occurred in the upper aspect of the sacrum defect, which required a lumbar artery perforator rotation flap to close. In three patients who had a colostomy, the wounds healed faster, especially in the caudal aspect of the flap near the perianal region, and required less postoperative care. There were no wound infections and obliteration of the dead space and volumetric defect in all cases.

The anastomoses to the SGA and SA were performed without any major complications that necessitated an intraoperative or a postoperative revision of the flap. Of note, the anastomoses to the SGA differed to those to the SA due to their shorter and ramified pedicle, which arose vertically between the muscle fibers. At 3 years follow-up, three patients had survived. Two patients died within a year after the reconstruction secondary to tumor recurrence. All wounds had healed, with no donor-site complications and no need for secondary surgery.

Three-dimensional printing of sacral wound defects was achievable with either CT and/or MRI for all prints undertaken. The mean printing time for the scans was 12.5 h, and approximately 40% of the printer cartridge was required for each case (see Figure 3). The print was able to establish the cavity itself for haptic analysis, or able to be printed in a reverse manner, to demonstrate the volumetric defect itself.

Case Examples

Case 1

A 69-year-old man presented with a Marjolin’s ulcer secondary to a 20-year history of pilonidal cyst. Originally, the lesion was resected from another institution and reconstructed with a bilateral V-Y advancement flap. The patient represented a year later with extensive local recurrence. Preoperative imaging was performed with both CT and MRI. 3D volumetric analysis was undertaken with this imaging, and planned resection margins were recorded, able to preoperatively map the need for a 25 cm by 10 cm skin paddle to close. In three patients who had a colostomy, the wounds healed faster, especially in the caudal aspect of the flap near the perianal region, and required less postoperative care. There were no wound infections and obliteration of the dead space and volumetric defect in all cases.

Three-dimensional printing of sacral wound defects was achievable with either CT and/or MRI for all prints undertaken. The mean printing time for the scans was 12.5 h, and approximately 40% of the printer cartridge was required for each case (see Figure 3). The print was able to establish the cavity itself for haptic analysis, or able to be printed in a reverse manner, to demonstrate the volumetric defect itself.
resection, where the defect comprised an 18 cm × 20 cm surface area defect, with substantial dead space volume (see Figure 8).

An LD muscle flap was chosen for reconstruction, and for the recipient vessel, the SA was chosen, since the SGA was too close to the resection area posing a risk of local recurrence and endangering the pedicle. The SA was exposed 15 cm cranial to the upper edge of the sacral defect, preventing the need for further operative morbidity. The vessels were anastomosed end-to-end, and despite some notable discrepancy in the caliber of donor and recipient veins, no complications eventuated. The flap suitably filled the defect (see Figure 9), was skin-grafted and healed uneventfully.

DISCUSSION

According to the traditional reconstructive ladder, microsurgical free flap repairs exist at the top. Recent advancements in preoperative imaging and operative techniques demand a re-ordering of the reconstructive ladder (10, 11). With 3D templating for soft-tissue reconstruction, a decision as to where to step on the ladder is made clearer. 3D analysis and volumetric planning have been used extensively and published broadly in a range of fields: breast reconstruction, cutaneous defects, and dead space filling (4, 6, 7). The ability to interact hands-on with the haptic bio-models that accurately represent the anatomical structures has revolutionized the way reconstructive surgeons would plan preoperatively and design free flaps (8, 9). In this field of sacral reconstruction, the specific dead space and volumetric needs of...
A reconstructive choice makes the technology of 3D analysis and 3D printing well-suited. The optimal choice of flap, approach to harvest and technique of inset to adequately replace volume and surface tissues can be achieved successfully.

One current limitation of this technology arises from having to outsource the fabrication of the bio-models to external companies that incur significant costs and a long production time. As the 3D printers become mainstream and more affordable, clinicians are able to quickly produce anatomical models at their own desktop (4, 8, 9, 12). The model used in this study was small-size replica of a sacral defect due to the maximal dimensions afforded by a desktop, office-based 3D printer (16 cm × 16 cm × 16 cm). Although the product was sufficiently detailed for the clinicians to appreciate the shape and depth of the defect, we expect to be able to print these lesions in life-size as the prices of larger 3D printers decrease. There are a range of office-based 3D printers available, the cheapest and the smallest of which make small models (16 cm × 16 cm × 16 cm), while there are other printers, such as MakerBot Z18 (MakerBot Industries, Brooklyn, NY, USA), that can print larger models (30 cm × 30 cm × 45 cm) exceeding the size of the defects described in this paper. We currently use both of these printers in our practice, and it is up to the individual surgeon to decide which is more preferred in terms of size, cost, and level of complexity. The smaller printer options are still useful in terms of comparative scale.

The current series comprises large defects, with substantial needs in volume and surface replacement. While locoregional flaps are the standard of care in most sacral wound defects, they are not plausible in many scenarios. Numerous local flap options have been reported, including gluteal artery perforator flaps (13–16), gluteus maximus sliding flaps (17), paraspinal flaps (18), V-Y advancement flaps (19, 20), and regional anterolateral thigh flaps (21). However, they are relatively contraindicated in oncological sacral defects. Local flaps are compromised by the collateral damage to the normal surrounding tissues from radiotherapy, and margins may not be completely clear of tumor spread. Regional flaps are disfiguring and may require more than one flap where a large defect needs to be covered, increasing donor-site morbidity. As a result, where a wide, heavily irradiated tissue area needs to be covered, locoregional flaps frequently necessitate multiple procedures. In contrast, there is currently insufficient evidence regarding the use of a free-tissue transfer for reconstruction of large complex defects.

From our case series, we demonstrate that microsurgical free flaps provide an alternative that is safe and facilitates a single-stage reconstruction that, as overall, results in a reduction in operative exposure. Conventional wisdom dictates that free flaps naturally require longer operative time due to their technical complexity. However, from our experience working with two surgical teams operating simultaneously on the donor site and the recipient site, there was a minimal difference between the operative length of a local flap and a free flap. Where wound dehiscence occurred, most commonly in the transitional area between the irradiated and the non-irradiated tissues, locoregional flaps have been useful as an adjunct.
Less discussion of free flaps for sacral reconstruction exist, with interposition flaps utilizing omentum (22), the vertical rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap (23–25), the LD flaps, the chimeric LD flaps combined with the serratus anterior, and the free fibula flap all used in this setting (26, 27). Consistent with previous authors’ choice, the LD flap is reliable, easier, faster, and associated with less morbidities than many of the other options. Particularly for volumetric filling, LD flaps provide increased volume and depth by the ability to fold on themselves. Recipient vessels are of particular importance in sacral reconstruction, with superior and inferior gluteal, deep femoral and inferior epigastric arteries all considered (26, 27), often requiring intra-abdominal access or an arteriovenous loop (27). We describe an alternate option, the SA, which has consistent anatomy, few side branches and a long pedicle (up to 10 cm) (28). The use of a free flap based on the eleventh intercostal artery was first described by Badran et al. (29), and in 2006, Hamdi et al. classified the intercostal perforator flaps into dorsal, lateral or anterior depending on the portion of the SA being used (30).

CONCLUSION

Free flaps may be a first-choice approach for sacral defects that are of substantial volume and have been irradiated. The relative lack of recipient vessels should not be a limitation, and we describe for the first time that the SA is a useful recipient in this setting. The volume of such defects can be assessed with traditional 2D imaging techniques, or with 3D-printed bio-models. Facilitated by modern preoperative planning technologies, 3D-printed haptic bio-models can map surface area and volume defects and may facilitate easier reconstruction planning.

ETHICS STATEMENT

All 3D imaging and 3D printing was performed with approval of the Institutional Review Board, at Peninsula Health, Victoria, Australia. All patients signed informed consent statements. No other clinical trial registration was required, and no animal use or ethics was relevant. Biostatistics were performed as described in the methods. All data were anonymized, and no data sharing offered.

AUTHOR NOTES

Full authorship and ownership of the manuscript is with the authors above. The content of this article has not been submitted or published elsewhere. All the authors contributed significantly, and all the authors are in agreement with the content of the manuscript. The first and second authors’ contribution included manuscript preparation and literature review, the third and fourth authors were involved in all imaging aspects and manuscript preparation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The authors of this manuscript have full ownership of the illustrations presented and permit their reproduction for the purposes of this publication. Both authors contributed equally and completely to the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Phan TQ, Spilker G, Theodorou P, Gossmann A, Heiss M, Weinand C. Combined latissimus dorsi and serratus anterior flaps for pelvic reconstruction. Microsurgery (2011) 31(7):529-34. doi:10.1002/micr.20917
2. Stone HB, Coleman CN, Anscher MS, McBride WH. Effects of radiation on normal tissue: consequences and mechanisms. Lancet Oncol (2003) 4(9):529–36. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(03)01191-4
3. Marx RE. A new concept in the treatment of osteoradionecrosis. J Oral Maxillofac Surg (1983) 41(6):351–7. doi:10.1016/0278-2391(83)90294-X
4. Chae MP, Hunter-Smith DJ, Rozen WM. Image-guided 3D-printing and haptic modeling in plastic surgery. In: Saba L, Rozen WM, Alonso-Burgos A, Ribuffo D, editors. Imaging in Plastic Surgery. London, UK: CRC Taylor and Francis Press (2014). p. 819–30.
5. Way TP, Barner KE. Automatic visual to tactile translation – part II: evaluation of the TACTile image creation system. IEEE Trans Rehabil Eng (1997) 5(1):95–105. doi:10.1109/86.593934
6. Cohen A, Laviv A, Berman P, Nashef R, Abu-Tair J. Mandibular reconstruction using stereolithographic 3-dimensional printing modeling technology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod (2009) 108(5):661–6. doi:10.1016/j.tripleo.2009.05.023
7. Chen YX, Zhang K, Hao YN, Hu YC. Research status and application prospects of digital technology in orthopaedics. Ortoph Surg (2012) 4(3):131–8. doi:10.1111/j.1757-7861.2012.00184.x
8. Chae MP, Lin F, Szychal RT, Hunter-Smith DJ, Rozen WM. 3D-printed haptic ‘reverse’ models for preoperative planning in soft tissue reconstruction. Microsurgery (2015) 35(2):148–53. doi:10.1002/micr.22293
9. Chae MP, Hunter-Smith DJ, Szychal RT, Rozen WM, 3D volumetric analysis for planning breast reconstructive surgery. Breast Cancer Res Treat (2014) 146(2):457–60. doi:10.1007/s10549-014-3028-1
10. Janis JE, Kwon RK, Attinger CE. The new reconstructive ladder: modifications to the traditional model. Plast Reconstr Surg (2011) 127(Suppl 1):2055–12S. doi:10.1097/PRS.0b013e3182102271c
11. Gottlieb LJ, Krieger LM. From the reconstructive ladder to the reconstructive elevator. Plast Reconstr Surg (1994) 93(7):1503–4. doi:10.1097/00006534-199406000-00027
12. Gerstle TL, Ibrahim AM, Kim PS, Lee BT, Lin SJ. A plastic surgery application in evolution: three-dimensional printing. Plast Reconstr Surg (2014) 133(2):446–51. doi:10.1097/01.prss.0000436844.92623.d3
13. Gaster RS, Bhatt KA, Shelton AA, Lee GK. Free transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap reconstruction of a massive lumbosacral defect using superior gluteal artery perforator vessels. Microsurgery (2012) 32(5):388–92. doi:10.1002/micr.21981
14. Lin CT, Chang SC, Chen SG, Tzeng YS. Modification of the superior gluteal artery perforator vessels. Plast Reconstr Surg (2014) 133(7):526–32. doi:10.1097/PRR.0b013e3182a8248e
15. Chen YC, Huang EY, Lin PY. Comparison of gluteal perforator flaps and gluteal fasciocutaneous rotation flaps for reconstruction of sacral pressure sores. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg (2014) 67(3):377–82. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2013.12.029
16. Kuo PJ, Chew KY, Kuo YR, Lin PY. Comparison of outcomes of pressure sore reconstructions among perforator flaps, perforator-based rotation fasciocutaneous flaps, and musculocutaneous flaps. Microsurgery (2014) 34(7):547–53. doi:10.1002/micr.22257
17. Wei Tao Y, Qiqing C, Songt ao G, Jiaqiang W. Use of glut eus maximus adipomuscular sliding flaps in the reconstruction of sacral defects after tumor resection. World J Surg Oncol (2013) 11:110. doi:10.1186/1477-7819-11-110
18. Gupta S, Chattopadhyay D, Agarwal AK, Guha G, Bhattacharaya N, Chumble PK, et al. Paraspin al transposition flap for reconstruction of sacral soft
tissue defects: a series of 53 cases from a single institute. *Asian Spine J* (2014) 8(3):309–14. doi:10.4184/asj.2014.8.3.309
19. Kesan K, Kothari P, Gupta R, Gupta A, Karkera P, Ranjan R, et al. Closure of large meningomyelocele wound defects with subcutaneous based pedicle flap with bilateral V-Y advancement: our experience and review of literature. *Eur J Pediatr Surg* (2015) 25(2):189–94. doi:10.1055/s-0034-1368796
20. Olenczak JB, Stanwix MG, Rosson GD. CASE REPORT complex wound closure of partial sacrectomy defect with human acellular dermal matrix and bilateral V to Y gluteal advancement flaps in a pediatric patient. *Eplasty* (2013) 13:e20.
21. Santanelli Di Pompeo F, Longo B, Pagnoni M, Laporta R. Sensate anterolateral thigh perforator flap for ischiatric sores reconstruction in meningomyelocele patients. *Microsurgery* (2015) 35(4):279–83. doi:10.1002/mics.22330
22. Unal C, Eren GG, Isil E, Alponat A, Sarlak A. Utility of the omentum in sacral reconstruction following total sacrectomy due to recurrent and irradiated giant cell tumour of the spine. *Indian J Plast Surg* (2012) 45(1):140–3. doi:10.4103/0970-0358.96617
23. Loessin SJ, Meland NB, Devine RM, Wolff BG, Nelson H, Zinke H. Management of sacral and perineal defects following abdominoperineal resection and radiation with transpelvic muscle flaps. *Dis Colon Rectum* (1995) 38(9):940–5. doi:10.1007/BF02049729
24. Garvey PB, Clemens MW, Rhines LD, Sacks JM. Vertical rectus abdominis musculocutaneous flow-through flap to a free fibula flap for total sacrectomy reconstruction. *Microsurgery* (2013) 33(1):32–8. doi:10.1002/mics.21990
25. Cheong YW, Sulaiman WA, Halim AS. Reconstruction of large sacral defects following tumour resection: a report of two cases. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)* (2008) 16(3):351–4. doi:10.1177/230949900801600317
26. Hung SJ, Chen HC, Wei FC. Free flaps for reconstruction of the lower back and sacral area. *Microsurgery* (2000) 20(2):72–6. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-2752(2000)20:2<72::AID-MICR5>3.3.CO;2-T
27. Feliciano B, Paige KT, Beshlian KM. Latissimus dorsi free flaps for complex ischiosacral defects. *Am J Surg* (2007) 193(5):648–50. doi:10.1016/j.amjsurg.2007.04.027
28. Feinendegen DL, Niederhauser T, Herrmann G, Aberdahlen S, Vogelin E, Banic A, et al. The subcostal artery perforator flap: an anatomical study. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* (2008) 61(12):1496–502. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2007.09.021
29. Badran HA, El-Helaly MS, Safe I. The lateral intercostal neurovascular free flap. *Plast Reconstr Surg* (1984) 73(1):17–26. doi:10.1097/00006534-198401000-00003
30. Hamdi M, Van Landuyt K, De Frene B, Roche N, Blondeel P, Monstrey S. The versatility of the inter-costal artery perforator (ICAP) flaps. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* (2006) 59(6):644–52. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2006.01.006

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that there is no source of financial or other support, or any financial or professional relationships which may pose a competing interest.

*Copyright © 2016 Garcia-Tutor, Romeo, Chae, Hunter-Smith and Rozen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.*