INTRODUCTION

All cells in nature have a dense and complex outer cover known as "glycocalyx" that is composed of carbohydrates, one of the major building blocks of cells. Glycolipids, membrane-embedded or secreted glycoproteins, and glycopolysaccharides in the extracellular matrix are also abundantly enriched with glycans (collectively referred to as glycoconjugates). This ubiquitous nature of glycan expression suggests that the "glycome," the entire glycan composition in a cell or an organism, is essential for the maintenance of life similar to the genome, transcriptome, proteome, and lipidome. Unlike the synthesis of DNA, RNA, or proteins, glycosylation is a non-template-driven process that relies on a collection of enzymes, each responsible for the addition of one sugar unit at a time, in a sequential manner.
Thus, rather than the genetic code, it is the glycosylation metabolic pathways—the collection of glycosyltransferases, glycosidases, sugar transporters, and available monosaccharides—that determine the composition of the cellular glycome.3,4 Glycan complexity is the highest among the other macromolecules in cells (e.g., DNA, RNA, proteins) owing to the large number of different monosaccharides, the diverse linkages between the different sugar units, their enormous combinatorial composition, and the various carrier molecules.5-7 In addition, variability in the occupancy of the diverse glycosylation sites within a given protein (macroheterogeneity) or variation in the glycan structures within the same glycosylation site (microheterogeneity) add further complexity.8 Thus, a protein with two potential glycosylation sites and 10 possible different glycans in each site could potentially generate 120 different glycoforms of the protein. This glyco-heterogeneity may affect the protein’s fundamental biological properties thereby contributing to its functional diversity,8-10 as clearly demonstrated for glycosylated antibodies.10-14 A major factor that contribute to the structural and functional diversity of glycoconjugates is their sialic acids capping.15-17 Here we discuss diverse aspects of sialic acids biology and their implications on human-specific immune responses during health, disease, and xenotransplantation.

2 | STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY OF THE SIALOME

Nonulosonic acids (NulO) are diverse nine-carbon backbone α-keto acidic carbohydrates distributed among the three domains of life—Bacteria, Archea, and Eukarya. Sialic acids (Sia) encompass an evolutionary and structurally related subgroup of NulO. Sia are prominently expressed at the Deuterostome lineage (e.g., vertebrates and some advanced invertebrates, such as echinoderms) and their related pathogenic microbes. Although Sia have been found in some protostomes (i.e., drosophila, octopus, and squid), they are mostly absent in invertebrates as well as in plants.18 There are over 50 Sia monosaccharide derivatives, all containing characteristic three functional groups: a carboxylate (C−1) attached to the C−2 anemic carbon that carries a carbonyl in the Sia open chain form (i.e., α-keto), a glycerol-like 3-carbon side chain (C−7, C−8, C−9) protruding out of the 6-carbon ring of a cyclic Sia, and an acylated amino group (Figure 1). Sia can be further diversified by various substitutions with acetyl, glycolyl, methyl, lactyl, or sulfate (Figure 1).18-21 The two most common Sia forms in mammals are N-acetylneuraminic acid (Neu5Ac; molecular weight 309 g/mol; pKa = 2.6) and N-glycolynneuraminic acid (Neu5Gc; molecular weight 325 g/mol; pKa = 2.92).20 Ketodeoxynonulosonic acid (Kdn) with a hydroxyl at C−5 appears less abundant in mammals, whereas neuraminic acid (Neu) with a free amine at C−5 is rare in nature (Figure 2).20,22 To generate a glycosidic linkage with underlying carbohydrates, Sia is first activated with the nucleotide donor cytidine 5′-monophosphate; then, the CMP-Sia is transferred to diverse glycan acceptors in the Golgi. Uniquely, this Sia activation process occurs in the nucleus (in contrast to all other nucleotide sugars that are made in the cytoplasm) and then CMP-Sia returns to the cytosol and transported into the Golgi by a CMP antiporter.21,23-26 Of note, free Sia and CMP-Sia acquire the β-anomer configuration; however, upon conjugation to other carbohydrates Sia always becomes an α-anomer (R2 group on the anemic C−2 is equatorial down and the carboxyl orientation up). Thus, Sia is alpha-linked through its C−2 position to galactose (at C−3/4/6; Siaα2−3/4/6Gal), N-acetylgalactosamine (at C−6; Siaα2−6GalNac), N-acetylgulosamine (at C−4/6; Siaα2−4/6GlcNAc), or to another Sia (at C−8/9; Siaα2−8/9Sia; Figure 3).20,27 Hence both the Sia type and the linkage to underlying glycans contribute to the diversity of Sia-containing glycans (sialoglycans).
In vertebrates, Sia are normally found at the outermost position of glycans or extended beyond the terminal Sia with additional Sia units. Yet, in some bacteria, Sia can be found as an internal glycan component in repeating units of the polysaccharide. Sialoglycans can be secreted from cells or found conjugated to glycosphingolipids and proteins that are secreted or embedded in the cell surface. Sialic acid containing glycosphingolipids (gangliosides) share the common core structure Galβ1−4Glc−βCer extended into a large collection of different gangliosides (>20). Various sialoglycans are covalently attached to proteins by a glycosidic bond most commonly to serine/threonine residues (O-glycans on Ser/Thr) or to asparagine residues (N-glycans on Asn-X-Ser/Thr; X≠proline). The most common O-glycan class starts with conjugation of GalNAc, then extended into one of four glycan core structures that can be further elongated. Mucin proteins are abundantly populated with hundreds of heterogeneous such O-glycans. On the other hand, N-glycans contain the common core Man3GlcNAc2Asn that is commonly extended into oligomannose, complex, or hybrid types. Complex N-glycans have up to six branches further elongated with Galβ1−4GlcNAc (LacNAc) repeats. Each of these elongated O-GalNAcglycans core structures or N-glycans antennae can be capped with Sia in diverse linkages (Siaα2−3/6/8). Hence, in addition to the Sia type and linkage, the composition of the underlying carbohydrates, their type (N/O-glycans), and their carrier (protein/glycosphingolipid), all contribute the enormous diversity of sialic acid containing glycans (sialoglycans).

Another layer of complexity stems from diverse population of glycosylation sites within a given protein (macroheterogeneity and microheterogeneity), and the three-dimensional organization of sialoglycoconjugates on cell surfaces. Occasionally, sialo-glycoproteins and gangliosides become clustered into micro-domains on cell surfaces to generated “clustered saccharide patches” that could mediate differential biological functional events than scattered sialoglycoconjugates. The overall immense structural diversity of the “sialome” had been compared to that of the forest canopy, with diverse leaves and flowers (variety of Sia), stems (Sia-linkages), branches (sialoglycans), trees (sialoglycoconjugates), and their spatial organization in the forest (saccharide patches). This structural complexity plays an important role in mediating numerous biological functions encoded by the sialome as a whole.

### 3 | GENERAL BIOLOGICAL ROLES OF SIALIC ACIDS

Sialic acids have numerous biological roles. First and foremost, Sia have major biophysical effects on cells given the magnitude and breadth of their occurrence. Sia mediate repulsion between
cells in light of their negative charge and ubiquitous expression on vertebrate cell surfaces (~100 mM local concentration), thereby affecting the biophysical properties of cellular interactions. Accordingly, expression of polysialic acids on certain brain proteins is critical for neuronal development, morphogenesis, and functionality, while their expression on leukocytes modulates cellular interactions and immune responses.

Presented at the outermost position of cell surface glycans, Sia are perfectly positioned to facilitate various immune recognition events mediated by responsive receptors of the host cells or those of pathogenic invaders. In fact, Sia have been suggested to operate as "self-associated molecular patterns" (SAMPS) responding to "self-pattern recognition receptors" (SPRR). Accordingly, some blood groups present antigenic variations of sialylated glycans contributing to allelic differences between populations of the same species (e.g., MN blood groups in humans, and A/B blood groups in cats). The Sia "cloak" also serves as a biological mask to cover potentially antigenic glycoconjugates (i.e., cryptoantigens), or to block interactions between certain host receptors and the exposed underlying glycans. For example, removal of Sia by endogenous sialidases may uncover terminal galactose that would promote clearance mechanisms by galectin through its cross-linking of surface molecules, or by binding to liver asialoglycoprotein receptors. Inversely, addition of capping Sia residues to glycoproteins effectively increase their half-life, or change their mode of action.

4 | RECEPTOR-MEDIATED BIOLOGICAL ROLES OF SIALIC ACIDS

Binding of Sia by their cognate receptors mediates molecular recognition used by organisms to identify and decode the biological information that exist in the sialome. There are several Sia-binding lectins that function as SPRR, the most common of which are factor H, selectins, L1-CAM, and siglecs (sialic-acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectins). The serum protein factor H binds to sialylated SAMPS on cell surfaces thereby blocking activation of the alternative complement pathway. Modification of Sia by 9-O-acetyl blocks the binding of factor H and abrogates its function as a negative regulator. The selectins are involved in cell-trafficking mediated by a cell–cell adhesion system, mainly between leukocytes and endothelial cells, but also occur between other cell types. Each selectin isoform binds a particular glycan ligand, such as sialyl-Lewis-X/A, respectively, recognized by E- and P-selectin on endothelium and platelets, and G-sulpho- sialyl-Lewis-X by L-selectin on leukocytes. This recognition system initiates the tethering and rolling of circulating leukocytes on endothelial surfaces, facilitating their transmigration and extravasation into the surrounding tissues.

Another receptor-mediated Sia recognition involves the siglecs family of Ig-type lectin receptors, that are abundantly expressed on various immune cells. Siglecs have N-terminal V-set Ig-like domain that recognize Sia patterns as "self" and often have immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibition motifs (ITIMs) within their cytosolic tails. Consequently, siglec binding to Sia deliver inhibitory signals to dampen immune cell activation. Yet, some siglecs have rather activating roles through their association with DAP12 adaptor containing immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif (ITAM). Hence, Sia recognition by host receptors is a powerful tool for "self" vs "non-self" discrimination that is translated into appropriate immune responses.

5 | PATHOGEN-RELATED BIOLOGICAL ROLES OF SIALIC ACIDS

The sialome plays an important role in host–pathogen interactions. Some pathogens exploit this Sia-recognition system to evade host immune responses using diverse molecular mimicry strategies to cloak themselves with Sia. Microbial "self-like" Sia-coats dampen the host immune responses against these invaders by engaging inhibitory siglecs, by factor H binding to inhibit complement killing, and by reducing their immunogenicity to avoid humoral responses. In addition, various pathogenic protozoa, viruses, bacteria, and toxins use host sialylated-structures as receptors to mediate their invasion into cells. To avoid infection, host organisms developed molecular decoys in the form of heavily sialylated moieties (e.g., glycoproteins in plasma and extracellular fluids, and the heavily sialylated erythrocytes in the blood) that trap pathogens and keep them away from their target on host cell surfaces. However, pathogens have another host evasion strategy that use microbial sialidases to avoid such sialylated-decoys, by removing SAMPS to expose new attachment sites on host cells, and concurrently provide carbohydrate food source for the invading pathogens. Thus, host–pathogen sialome interactions mediate a fitness race to expedite "self" vs "non-self" immune responses orchestrating invasion or evasion strategies.

6 | SIALOME-SIGLEC MEDIATED EVOLUTIONARY ARMS-RACE

The siglec family of Sia-binding cell surface adhesion receptors provide an excellent evidence for the evolutionary arms-race between mammals and their pathogenic microbes. Siglecs function via phosphotyrosine-dependent signaling pathways to mediate immunoregulation. While some siglecs are highly conserved in vertebrates and share similar Sia-ligand binding preferences between species, the CD33-related siglecs of inhibitory or activating receptors show obvious inter-species differences and vary in their Sia-ligands specificity. The CD33-related siglecs encoding genes are clustered in a specific genomic location (on chromosome 19q in humans) and show high genetic diversification encompassing multiple mechanisms, that include expansions of gene subsets, gene deletions, pseudogenization, gene-conversion, exon shuffling, and increased amino acid substitutions in the Sia-binding domain.
These changes are specific to the CD33-related siglecs encoding genes and are in contrast to the adjacent and conserved kallikrein-like genes.65,69

In addition to their high genetic diversification, there is evidence that the CD33-related siglecs also demonstrate functional evolutionary diversity.71 Analysis of closely related orthologs of CD33-related siglecs showed marked quantitative and qualitative inter-species differences in binding preferences of various sialoglycans and sialylated pathogens.71 In particular, human siglecs revealed higher preference towards Neu5Ac-containing glycans, while the siglecs of chimpanzees and baboons were more reactive against Neu5Gc-containing glycans.71 This Neu5Ac-preference functional diversity in humans compensates for the change in landscape from endogenous Neu5Gc-glycans into Neu5Ac-glycans. Human-specific loss of Neu5Gc is the result of an irreversible mutation in the human gene encoding CMP-Neu5Ac hydroxylase (CMAH) that is responsible for Neu5Gc synthesis in other mammals.72,73 Altogether, diversification of CD33-related siglecs in repertoire, sequence, and binding preferences is driven both in response to variation in expression of Sia-containing ligands and by direct competition against pathogens.65,66,74 Likewise, diversification in Sia can also drive a shift in pathogen binding preferences affecting its host selection with implications on evolution.

7 | SIALOME-PATHOGEN MEDIATED EVOLUTIONARY ARMS-RACE

The two most abundant Sia on mammalian cell surfaces are Neu5Ac and Neu5Gc. They differ by a single oxygen atom in their C-5 position. Neu5Ac carries the hydrophobic N-acetyl group, while the hydroxylated Neu5Gc form carries rather a hydrophilic N-glycolyl group (Figure 2). These moieties contribute to sialoglycans-specific functions and recognition by various receptors and pathogens.20,37 In fact, many pathogens use Sia as their point of entry to initiate host infection,20,75 and some have a clear preference for Neu5Gc-containing glycans.20,76-80

Neu5Ac is the major Sia in humans due to a human-specific inactivation of the CMAH gene, and hence inability to convert CMP-Neu5Ac into CMP-Neu5Gc.73,81,82 The CMAH inactivation occurred ~3 million years ago in the period just before the appearance of Homo (coinciding with the transition from the genus Australopithecus to genus Homo).82 It was postulated that the loss of Neu5Gc in humans ensued an adaptation to the changing environment, and likely provided an evolutionary advantage protecting against Neu5Gc-prefering non-human animal pathogens.82 The pathogenic malaria parasites provide an example of such adaptive changes in Sia recognition molecules, specifically responding to the Neu5Gc-loss in humans.75,78,84,85

Malaria is a mosquito-borne disease mediated by the Plasmodium parasite and a major cause of death worldwide.84 Plasmodium falciparum, one of the predominant species and causative agent of malignant malaria, exploits host-Sia for invasion through binding of the parasite dominant invasion receptor EBA-175 (Erythrocyte Binding Antigen 175) to Sia-containing glycoporphin A on human red blood cells. Interestingly, the closely related chimpanzee parasite P. reichenowii cannot infect humans, and reciprocally the human parasite P. falciparum cannot infect chimpanzees.75,78,87 The basis for this species specificity relates to diversity in Sia-recognition mode of entry, in which the EBA-175 of the human malaria parasite prefers host Neu5Ac-glycans, while the chimpanzee parasite prefers host Neu5Gc-glycans, suggesting the parasites had co-evolved with their reciprocal hosts.78,88 Evidently, all known P. falciparum strains originated from P. reichenowii, probably by a single host transfer as early as 2-3 million years ago.84 Hence, two critical mutations supported deviation of the human malaria parasite: mutation in the human CMAH gene and then another mutation in the EBA-175 of P. falciparum lineage.84,85,87 In evolutionary perspective, elimination of Neu5Gc in human ancestors likely provided an advantage escaping from a common ape malaria, but then humans became vulnerable to a new variant that had evolved to prefer Neu5Ac-glycans on human red blood cells, rendering it even more pathogenically violent in its new host mediating malignant malaria.84,85,87

Salmonella Typhi provides another example of a pathogen that had evolutionary adapted to Neu5Gc-loss in humans.79,80 This is a bacterial pathogen causing typhoid fever exclusively in humans.79,89,90 The disease is manifested by the bacterial virulence factor, typhoid toxin, that is essential for development of typhoid fever symptoms.89,90 Typhoid toxin has a unique A2B5 structure, composed of two enzymatic heteromeric A subunits combined with a homopentameric B subunit that binds specifically to Neu5Ac-containing glycoproteins.80,91 It was found that the toxin is cytotoxic to cells expressing surface Neu5Ac-glycans but not to those expressing Neu5Gc-glycans. Likewise, although S.Typhi can replicate in chimpanzees, they do not develop typical disease symptoms.79,92 The reduced virulence is due to the predominant expression of Neu5Gc-glycoproteins that abrogate toxin binding to chimpanzee tissues.93 In contrast to S. Typhi, the serovar S. Typhimurium, that cause “food poisoning” disease, encodes an evolutionarily related AB5 toxin that can bind both Neu5Ac/Neu5Gc-containing glycoproteins and demonstrates a broad host specificity not limited to humans.80 Further studies revealed that the virulence factor evolution involved horizontal gene exchange within the same bacterial species, to exquisitely adapt to the Neu5Ac-expressing human host and the emergence of a powerful human-specific toxin.80

8 | THE “RED QUEEN” EFFECT IN EVOLUTIONARY ARMS-RACE OF SIALIC ACID BIOLOGY

The collective evolutionary consequences of selection pressure on co-evolving organisms is termed the Red Queen Effect.2,94,95 and it is particularly demonstrated by sialic acid-containing
glycans and their associated determinants, as described above. In this scenario, host sialoglycans change over time, struggling to outpace their pathogens that frequently use glycans to infect host cells, and that are even more rapidly evolving. Reciprocally, pathogens modify their own glycans to more closely resemble the host glycans, thereby evading host recognition. Such pathogen-mediated selection processes modify host glycan cloaks for evasion; however, host sialoglycans are also critical SAMP components, especially in discriminating "self"/"non-self," therefore their evolutionary altered expression patterns must not compromise the host own survival. Other changes involve host receptors that recognize SAMPs (e.g., siglecs), referred to as secondary Red Queen Effects. Overall, Neu5Gc loss in humans and its evolutionary outcomes, on both humans and their related pathogens, provide an excellent example of the Red Queen Effect concept.

9 | Neu5Gc-Glycans Are Immunogenic in Humans

In addition to the evolutionary perspective, Neu5Gc has other consequences on human health. Despite the fact that humans lost the ability to synthesize Neu5Gc, small amounts can be found on human epithelial and endothelial cells. Neu5Gc expression on human cells originates from dietary consumption of mammalian-derived food items (red meat and dairy products), as CMAH remained active in most other mammals. Metabolic incorporation of Neu5Gc into human cells occurs through micro-pinocytosis; then, it is assembled into diverse glycans within the cells, that are finally delivered to the cell surface. While the human cellular machinery refers to the non-human Neu5Gc as if it was the human Sia Neu5Ac, the immune system does not tolerate even the minor oxygen difference between these two Sias and responds against Neu5Gc-glycans as foreign invaders. Neu5Gc enters human cells like a Trojan horse, assembled as "self," but recognized as "non-self" as soon as exposed on the cell surface, and therefore is commonly referred to as xeno-autoantigen. Given the diversity of Sia and sialoglycans, incorporation of dietary Neu5Gc results in a highly diverse collection of Neu5Gc-containing glycans due to the myriad forms of Neu5Gc-modifications, various linkages, different underlying glycans, carrier molecule, and spatial organization. Consequently, all humans have circulating antibodies that reflect this diversity by recognizing a wide collection of Neu5Gc-containing glycoproteins and gangliosides. Anti-Neu5Gc antibodies class-switch into diverse Ig isotypes (IgA, IgG, IgM), and go through affinity maturation. It had been shown that anti-Neu5Gc IgG and IgM appear already in infants, coinciding with exposure to dietary Neu5Gc, and their inception could potentially be mediated by a human-specific commensal/pathogen (i.e., non-typeable Haemophilus influenza; NTHi) that incorporates Neu5Gc into its cell surface lipoooligosaccharides thus becoming immunogenic.

10 | Consequences of Co-Expression of Neu5Gc on Human Cells and Anti-Neu5Gc Antibodies

The diverse collection of circulating polyclonal anti-Neu5Gc antibodies in human sera encounter diverse Neu5Gc-glycans on human tissues, thereby mediating an attack on these foreign entities that are presented in the context of "self." While Neu5Gc can be incorporated into healthy tissues, it accumulates on cancer cells, especially those of epithelial origin. However, glycosylation patterns are different between healthy and cancer cells due to changes in the glycosylation pathways leading to expression of tumor-associated carbohydrate antigens. Therefore, incorporation of Neu5Gc into cancer cells generates a collection tumor-associated carbohydrate neoantigens perceived as foreign by the host. It had been shown that anti-Neu5Gc antibodies have dualistic and opposing roles in cancer, whereas a low dose stimulate tumor progression mediated by chronic inflammation, while higher doses can eradicate cancer cells. Furthermore, even a twofold difference can shift anti-Neu5Gc response from cancer stimulating into inhibiting, reflecting the general concept of hormesis, in which both the quantity and quality of antibody determine the outcome of response, but in an inverse fashion with the higher dose beneficial to the host.

As in cancer cells, circulating anti-Neu5Gc antibodies also encounter Neu5Gc in the context of normal cells where they collide to mediate chronic inflammation, collectively denoted as "xenosialitis." Xenosialitis in the context of cancer fit the known increased carcinoma risk associate with red meat consumption. Likewise, accumulation of Neu5Gc in atherogenic blood vessels exacerbate atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease mediated by xenosialitis and is found in line with the increased risk of cardiovascular disease that is associated with consumption of red and processed meat. Furthermore, it had been suggested that Neu5Gc and anti-Neu5Gc antibodies could also participate in other chronic inflammation-mediated diseases, including autoimmunity.

11 | Consequences of Neu5Gc and Anti-Neu5Gc Antibodies in Xenotransplantation

While it was well-known that humans lack intrinsic Neu5Gc expression, its immunogenic potential in xenotransplantation was largely underrated for decades, because it was assumed that anti-Neu5Gc antibodies are completely absent in normal healthy individuals, making it irrelevant in the context of xenotransplantation. In addition, it was considered that anti-Neu5Gc antibodies are being elicited in serum only in immune-activated conditions as in serum sickness. This notion had changed once conclusively demonstrating that healthy human sera contain anti-Neu5Gc antibodies, and that these represent the majority of the
non-anti-Gal antibodies limiting xenotransplantation, suggesting the potential impact of Neu5Gc in xenotransplantation rejection risk. \(^{130}\) The αGal xenoantigen has long been considered the major xenoantigen limiting xenotransplantation. \(^{131-133}\) Similar to Neu5Gc, αGal cannot be synthesized by humans due to a loss-of-function mutation in the GGTA1 gene, and all humans carry anti-Gal IgA, IgG, or IgM antibodies. \(^{131}\) However, while αGal is not found on any human tissue, Neu5Gc is constantly acquired by human diet and incorporates into human cells, resulting in a highly diverse anti-Neu5Gc antibodies response that vary between individuals. Another major difference is in their antigenic complexity: while αGal represents a single carbohydrate xenoantigen (Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc-R), there are multiple Neu5Gc-glycans on glycoproteins and glycolipids. \(^{130}\) These differences suggest that Neu5Gc complexity is an important factor to consider in xenotransplantation.

Besides the dietary exposure to Neu5Gc, humans can also encounter Neu5Gc that is expressed on exogenous glycosylated biotherapeutics,\(^{106,124-126}\) biodevices, or xenografts,\(^{104,137-142}\) that are given to human patients. In this case, circulating anti-Neu5Gc antibodies could reduce efficacy of therapy,\(^{134-136}\) elicit an increased and diversified anti-Neu5Gc response that can remain long-lasting,\(^{143,144}\) or possibly mediate xenograft failure or rejection.\(^{104,136,142,145}\) Currently, it seems that both the αGal and Neu5Gc-glycans limit the potential of xenotransplantation, as recently reviewed elsewhere,\(^{103,104,146-148}\) and generation of double-knockout animals deficient in both the CMAH and GGTA1 genes seems to be promising.\(^{139,147,149-151}\)

## 12 | SUMMARY

The sialome, comprising of myriad forms of Sia, sialoglycans, and sialoglycoconjugates, play a significant role in immune recognition and responses in humans. Sia are highly diverse and are important for various host intrinsic functions as well as escape from pathogens, in an ongoing evolutionary arms-race. They are recognized by various receptors that decode the sugar code into actionable pathways. Neu5Gc is uniquely not synthesized in humans, yet can be acquired through the diet then presented on various human tissues. Due to the presence of anti-Neu5Gc antibodies in circulation, Neu5Gc poses threat to immune homeostasis as it triggers immune response, resulting in “xenosialitis” exacerbating atherosclerosis and cancer. Apart from the common xenoantigen αGal, Neu5Gc has been recognized as a major carbohydrate epitope in xenotransplantation. The role of Neu5Gc in mediating immune responses in xenotransplantation needs to be addressed with due importance.
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