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At present, there are production processes to produce protein by Escherichia coli (E. coli) fermentation. Research on the design and optimization of the plasmid fermentation medium, however, is less advanced. The fermentation medium that is optimized for plasmid DNA production is different from the medium that is optimized for protein production. So, establishing a scientific and rational method to optimize the fermentation medium used for plasmid production is very important. Previously, our laboratory developed a novel therapeutic DNA vaccine (named pSVK-HBVA) for hepatitis B based on the alphavirus replicon, and found that E. coli XL10-Gold was the optimal host strain for the production of plasmid pSVK-HBVA. The aim of this study was to establish a scientific and rational method to optimize the fermentation medium used for plasmid production, and investigate the effect of growth medium composition on the production of plasmid pSVK-HBVA harboured in E. coli XL10-Gold, as well as to optimize the medium composition.

The one-factor-at-a-time experiments demonstrated that Luria-Bertani (LB) was the optimal basic medium. The optimal carbon source and nitrogen source were glycerol and home-made proteose peptone, respectively. Based on the Plackett–Burman (PB) design, proteose peptone, glycerol and NH4Cl were identified as the significant variables, which were further optimized by the steepest ascent (descent) method and central composite design. Growth medium optimization in 500-mL shake flasks by response surface methodology resulted in a maximum volumetric yield of 13.61 mg/L, which was approximately 2.5 times higher than that obtained from the basic medium (LB).
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E. coli Escherichia coli
LB Luria-Bertani
PB Plackett–Burman design
CCD central composite design
RSM response surface methodology
HIV Human immunodeficiency viruses
HBV hepatitis B virus
CMV cytomegalovirus
M9 minimal media
TB Terrific Broth

Introduction

Plasmid DNA (pDNA) based vaccines have distinct advantages over live viruses and viral-induced vaccines. These advantages include high safety, low vector-induced immunogenicity and ease of production.[1] To date, veterinary DNA vaccines have been licensed for the treatment of West Nile virus in horses (West Nile Innovator),[2] infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus in salmon (ApexIHNV) [3] and melanoma in dogs (canine melanoma vaccine).[4] In addition, one gene therapy approach has been approved for reducing piglet mortality (growth hormone-releasing hormone therapy, LifeTide™SW5).[5] Many DNA vaccines, including ones targeting human immunodeficiency viruses, cancer and hepatitis B virus (HBV), have entered clinical trials.[6] The demand for pDNA has increased vastly in response to rapid advances in the use of pDNA in gene therapies and vaccines. Therefore, it is very important to develop a convenient, rapid, high-yield technology to manufacture pDNA.

In the laboratory, the production of pDNA generally begins with the design and construction of the appropriate pDNA vaccine expression vector and the choice of the host bacterium. Next, optimization is performed on the media components and fermentation conditions. In the final step, segregation and purification are performed.[6,7] Fermentation is a critical step in the process of pDNA production. The engineered bacteria carrying the plasmid must be grown at a high cell density to produce a high yield of product.[8] To achieve high cell density, the composition of the medium must be optimized and a special fermentation strategy (fed-batch fermentation) must be
used.[9] Like chromosomal DNA, pDNA is also composed of a sugar-phosphate backbone and nitrogen-containing nucleotides (A, T, G, C). Unlike proteins, the main elements that compose DNA macromolecules are carbon, phosphorus and nitrogen.[10] According to the central dogma of molecular biology, DNA synthesis requires only replication; however, transcription and translation are more complex mechanisms involved in the process of protein synthesis. Thus, the fermentation medium that is optimized for pDNA production is different from the medium that is optimized for protein production.[11]

The considerations discussed above demonstrate that the design and screening of a suitable fermentation medium for an engineered strain of *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) is crucial for achieving improved production of plasmid. At present, there are large-scale production processes to produce protein by *E. coli* fermentation. Research on the design and optimization of the plasmid fermentation medium, however, is less advanced.[10] Establishing a scientific and rational method to optimize the fermentation medium used for plasmid production is very important. The response surface method (RSM) is a commonly used statistical technique for designing experiments, modelling and discovering the conditions that best produce a desired response factor.[12] Plackett–Burman (PB) design is usually used in the first step of optimization to identify which variables are significant. These variables are further optimized by the steepest ascent (descent) method and central composite design (CCD).[13]

Previously, our laboratory developed a novel therapeutic DNA vaccine (named pSVK-HBVA) for hepatitis B based on the alphavirus replicon, and found that *E. coli* XL10-Gold was the optimal host strain for the production of the replicon DNA vaccine plasmid.[14] The objective of this study was to investigate the effect of growth medium composition on the production of plasmid pSVK-HBVA harboured in *E. coli* XL10-Gold, as well as to optimize the medium composition. One-factor-at-a-time experiments demonstrated that LB was the optimal basic medium and that the optimal carbon source and nitrogen source were glycerol and home-made proteose peptone, respectively. Mineral salt and trace elements improved plasmid yield to some extent, but vitamin B1 had no significant effect. Based on the PB design, proteose peptone, glycerol and NH₄Cl were selected as significant variables, which were further optimized by the steepest ascent (descent) method and CCD.

**Materials and methods**

**Plasmids, bacterial strains and reagents**

In this study, we used the 16 kb plasmid pSVK-HBVA, which contains a kanamycin-resistance marker and an HBV antigen fusion gene under the control of a eukaryotic cytomegalovirus promoter. The host strains *E. coli* XL10-Gold was purchased from Agilent Technologies, Beijing, China. The host strains were stored in 20% (v/v) glycerol at −80 °C. Tryptone and yeast extract were purchased from OXOID LTD. Proteose peptone, soy peptone and casein peptone were purchased from Beijing Shuangxuan Microbe Culture Medium Products Factory, Beijing, China. Other biochemical reagents were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd, Beijing, China, and were of analytical grade.

**Growth media and culture conditions**

LB medium (tryptone 10 g/L, yeast extract 5 g/L and NaCl 10 g/L), Terrific Broth (TB) medium (tryptone 12 g/L, yeast extract 24 g/L, and glycerol 0.4% in which 2.31 g/L KH₂PO₄ and 12.54 g/L K₂HPO₄ were added after autoclaving separately) and minimal media (M9)(NaCl 0.5 g/L, NH₄Cl 1 g/L, KH₂PO₄ 3 g/L, 1 M CaCl₂ 0.1 mL/L, 1 M MgSO₄ 2 mL/L and 20% glucose or glycerol 10 mL/L) were prepared to use as basic cultivation media. Unless otherwise stated, all cultures were grown under selective conditions in the presence of kanamycin sulphate (30 mg/L). All media were sterilized by autoclaving for 20 min at 121 °C. The trace element solution consisted of FeCl₃·6H₂O (27 g/L), ZnCl₂ (2 g/L), CoCl₂·6H₂O (2 g/L), Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O (2 g/L), CaCl₂ (0.76 g/L), CuCl₂·2H₂O (1.27 g/L) and H₃BO₃ (0.5 g/L) dissolved in 1.2 mol/L HCl.

For seed culture, the glycerol stock of the strain was inoculated into a test tube (30 mL) containing 5 mL of LB medium at a ratio of 1:100 and incubated for 12 h at 37 °C, 200 rpm. For fermentation, a 0.5% (v/v) inoculum was added to a 500 mL flask containing 100 mL of LB medium, TB medium or M9 medium. The culture was then incubated at 37 °C, 200 rpm for 15 h.

**Determination of dry biomass concentration and plasmid yield**

The dry biomass concentration was determined using an analytical balance. Samples (5 mL) were collected from growing cultures and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min. The pellets were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), centrifuged and dried in an oven at 105 °C for 24 h.[14] Before analysing the mass, the pellets were equilibrated for a period in an exsiccator. Plasmid concentration was measured by UV spectrophotometry. Agarose gel electrophoresis was used to analyse the morphology of the plasmid and measure the proportion of supercoiled plasmid. All experimental data were based on the mean of three parallel samples.

**One-factor-at-a-time experiments**

The purpose of these experiments was to screen carbon sources, nitrogen sources, inorganic salts and trace
elements for use in the culture media of *E. coli* HBVA. First, LB, TB, M9 (glycerol) and M9 (glucose) were screened to identify the optimal basic medium for culturing engineered bacteria by flask fermentation. Next, the effect of different carbon sources, such as glucose, glycerol and mannitol, and different nitrogen sources, such as tryptone, soj peptone, casein peptone and proteose peptone, on the production of recombinant plasmid pSVK-HBVA from *E. coli* HBVA was compared. Finally, mineral salt, vitamin B1 and trace elements were added to the media to determine their effects on fermentation and plasmid yield.

**Screening for significant variables using Plackett–Burman (PB) design**

PB experimental design was applied to screen for significant variables [15] that influence plasmid production. From the one-factor-at-a-time experiments, the nine media compositions were assigned as nine variables. To estimate the experimental error and check the adequacy of the first-order model, three insignificant dummy variables were added to the variables of real interest. Minitab software was used to design 21 group experiments of 12 factors and two levels to screen for significant variables. The high and low levels of each variable and the experimental design are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. PB experimental design is based on the following equation [16]:

\[ Y = \beta_0 + \Sigma \beta_i X_i, \]

where *Y* is the predicted response value, \( \beta_0 \) is the intercept of the model, \( \beta_i \) is the linear coefficient and \( X_i \) is the independent variable. The plasmid volumetric yield of each group in the experiment was measured from three parallel samples. The average of the three samples was used as the response value.

A *t*-test of the experimental results was performed using Minitab software, which was also used to analyse the main effects of various factors and to generate a first-order regression equation. The variables that had a

| Symbol | Independent variable | Levels | Unit |
|--------|----------------------|--------|------|
| X_1    | Proteose peptone     | 5 10 15 | g/L  |
| X_2    | Yeast extract        | 2.5 5 7.5 | g/L  |
| X_3    | Dummy variable       | -1 0 +1 |      |
| X_4    | NaCl                 | 5 10 15 | g/L  |
| X_5    | Glycerol             | 0.25 0.5 0.75 | g/L |
| X_6    | Dummy variable       | -1 0 +1 |      |
| X_7    | Na_2HPO_4            | 6.4 12.8 19.2 | g/L |
| X_8    | KH_2PO_4             | 1.5 3.0 4.5 | g/L  |
| X_9    | Dummy variable       | -1 0 +1 |      |
| X_{10} | MgSO_4               | 0.12 0.24 0.36 | g/L |
| X_{11} | NH_4Cl               | 0.5 1.0 1.5 | g/L  |
| X_{12} | Trace elements       | 0.5 1.0 1.5 mL/L | |

| Run | X_1 | X_2 | X_3 | X_4 | X_5 | X_6 | X_7 | X_8 | X_{10} | X_{11} | X_{12} | Plasmid production Y (mg/L) |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|--------|--------|----------------------------|
| 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0      | 0      | 0      | 8.970                       |
| 2   | 1   | 1   | -1  | 1   | 1   | -1  | -1 | -1  | -1     | -1     | -1     | 11.530                      |
| 3   | -1  | 1   | -1  | 1   | -1  | 1   | 1   | 1   | -1     | -1     | -1     | 2.067                       |
| 4   | 1   | -1  | -1  | 1   | 1   | -1  | -1 | -1  | 1      | -1     | -1     | 10.310                      |
| 5   | -1  | -1  | -1  | 1   | -1  | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1     | 4.862                       |
| 6   | 1   | 1   | -1  | -1  | -1 | 1   | 1   | 1   | -1     | -1     | -1     | 6.215                       |
| 7   | -1  | 1   | 1   | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | 1   | -1     | 1      | -1     | 7.475                       |
| 8   | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1   | 1      | 1      | 6.100                          |
| 9   | 1   | -1  | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | 1   | -1 | 1      | 1      | 7.315                          |
| 10  | -1  | 1   | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1     | 6.875                       |
| 11  | 1   | 1   | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1     | 12.920                      |
| 12  | -1  | 1   | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1     | 12.495                      |
| 13  | 1   | -1  | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1     | 8.025                       |
| 14  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1     | 3.040                       |
| 15  | -1  | 1   | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1      | 1      | -1     | 9.510                       |
| 16  | 1   | -1  | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1     | 8.535                       |
| 17  | 1   | 1   | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1      | 1      | -1     | 10.050                      |
| 18  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1      | 1      | -1     | 6.195                       |
| 19  | 1   | -1  | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1      | 1      | 1      | 8.310                       |
| 20  | -1  | 1   | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1      | 1      | -1     | 10.490                      |
| 21  | 1   | 1   | -1  | -1 | -1 | 1   | -1 | 1   | 1      | -1     | -1     | 9.310                       |

Table 2. Plackett–Burman experimental design and the summary of plasmid DNA yield.
significant effect \( (p < 0.01) \) on plasmid production were subjected to further optimization.

**Path of steepest ascent (or descent)**

The preliminary estimates of system operating conditions can be far from the actual optimum. In such cases, it is necessary to move quickly into the vicinity of the optimum by experimentation. The method of steepest ascent can be a good solution to this problem.[17,18] According to the first-order regression equation in PB design, the contours of the response surface are a series of parallel lines. The direction of steepest ascent is the direction in which the response, \( Y \), increases the most rapidly. This direction is normal (perpendicular) to the fitted response surface contours.[19] Thus, the variable coefficient of the first-order regression equation determines the direction of the steepest ascent and the step width.[20] For example, if the coefficient is negative, then the level of the factor is decreasing. Larger coefficients correspond to smaller step widths, and vice versa. The highest point of the slope is used as the centre point for CCD.[21] The specific experimental design is shown in Table 3.

**Optimization of significant variables using CCD**

To find the optimal cultivation medium for plasmid production, CCD with five coded levels was used to locate the true optimal levels of proteose peptone, glycerol and \( \text{NH}_4\text{Cl} \). According to the results from PB experiment and steepest ascent experiment, the levels of the variables and the experimental design are shown in Table 4. The following second-order polynomial model was used to express the results of CCD [22]:

\[
Y = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_iX_i + \sum \beta_{ii}X_i^2 + \sum \beta_{ij}X_iX_j,
\]

where \( Y \) is the predicted response value, and \( \beta_0 \), \( \beta_i \), \( \beta_{ii} \) and \( \beta_{ij} \) are the intercept of the model, the linear coefficient, the quadratic coefficient and the interactive coefficient, respectively. \( X_i \) and \( X_j \) are the coded independent variables.[23] Response surface regression was performed using Minitab software.

---

### Table 3. Experimental design of steepest ascent and the summary of plasmid DNA production.

| Proteose peptone \((X_1)\) (g/L) | Glycerol \((X_2)\) (g/L) | \(\text{NH}_4\text{Cl} \) \((X_{11})\) (g/L) | Plasmid production (mg/L) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Base pointa \( a \)              | 5                        | 0.25                            | 0.5                       |
| Step width                       | 5                        | 0.25                            | 0.5                       |
| Experiment 1                     | 5                        | 0.25                            | 0.5                       |
| Experiment 2                     | 10                       | 0.5                             | 1.0                       |
| Experiment 3                     | 15                       | 0.75                            | 2.0                       |
| Experiment 4                     | 20                       | 1.0                             | 3.0                       |

a – 1 level in the PB design in Table 1.

---

### Table 4. Experiment design of CCD and the corresponding experiment data.

| \( X_1 \) (proteose peptone) | \( X_2 \) (glycerol) | \( X_3 \) (\( \text{NH}_4\text{Cl} \)) | Plasmid production (mg/L) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Run                           | Codes level (g/L)    | Real level (g/L)                 | Codes level (g/L)         | Real level (g/L) | Codes level (g/L) | Real level (g/L) | |
| 1                             | \(-1\)               | 10                               | \(-1\)                    | 0.5              | 1                | 3.0              | 12.06          |
| 2                             | 0                    | 15                               | 0                          | 0.75             | 1.68             | 3.68             | 11.73          |
| 3                             | 0                    | 15                               | \(-1.68\)                  | 0.33             | 0                | 2.0              | 10.01          |
| 4                             | 0                    | 15                               | 0                          | 0.75             | 0                | 2.0              | 12.57          |
| 5                             | 1                    | 20                               | \(-1\)                     | 0.75             | \(-1\)           | 1.0              | 8.55           |
| 6                             | 0                    | 15                               | 0                          | 0.75             | \(-1.68\)        | 0.32             | 7.63           |
| 7                             | 1                    | 20                               | \(-1\)                     | 0.5              | 1                | 3.0              | 13.31          |
| 8                             | 0                    | 15                               | 1.68                       | 1.17             | 0                | 2.0              | 9.30           |
| 9                             | 0                    | 15                               | 0                          | 0.75             | 0                | 2.0              | 12.81          |
| 10                            | 0                    | 15                               | 0                          | 0.75             | 0                | 2.0              | 12.39          |
| 11                            | 1                    | 20                               | 1                          | 1.0              | 1                | 3.0              | 11.44          |
| 12                            | 1.68                 | 23.4                             | 0                          | 0.75             | 0                | 2.0              | 9.31           |
| 13                            | \(-1\)               | 10                               | \(-1\)                     | 0.5              | \(-1\)           | 1.0              | 8.00           |
| 14                            | \(-1\)               | 10                               | 1                          | 1.0              | \(-1\)           | 1.0              | 7.00           |
| 15                            | \(-1\)               | 10                               | 1                          | 1.0              | 1                | 3.0              | 8.92           |
| 16                            | 1                    | 20                               | 1                          | 1.0              | \(-1\)           | 1.0              | 9.41           |
| 17                            | \(-1.68\)            | 6.6                              | 0                          | 0.75             | 0                | 2.0              | 7.27           |
Results and discussion

Effects of different basic fermentation media on plasmid production and cell growth

To identify suitable basic fermentation media for the engineered bacteria, the engineered bacteria were grown in different media, including LB, TB, M9 (glucose) and M9 (glycerol). The plasmid concentrations and the OD value of the culture were measured. The highest plasmid yield, 5.5 mg/L (Table 5), was obtained using LB as the basic fermentation medium.

Although using TB medium resulted in a higher bacterial biomass, the plasmid yield was less than that obtained using LB medium. The bacterial biomass and plasmid yield obtained using M9 medium were lower than those obtained using LB medium (Figure 1). Therefore, LB medium was selected as the basic fermentation medium in subsequent studies.

Effects of different carbon sources on plasmid production

As a basic fermentation medium, LB contains no carbon source. The carbon source has a major impact on the growth of engineered bacteria and the plasmid yield. In this study, glycerol, glucose and mannitol were selected as candidate carbon sources, and their impact on the growth of engineered bacteria and on plasmid yield was studied.

The experimental results demonstrated that a relative low concentration of carbon source could improve plasmid yield, but that an excessive concentration of a carbon source was not conducive to the production of plasmid. It is possible that in a high concentration of a carbon source, the engineered bacteria grew so rapidly that the rate of plasmid replication was less than the rate of bacterial growth, resulting in the loss of the plasmid. Glycerol, glucose and mannitol were the suitable carbon sources that produced similar results (Figure 2). Therefore, to save cost, glycerol was selected as the carbon source and was used at a working concentration of 0.5 g/L.

Effects of different nitrogen sources on plasmid production

Tryptone, soy peptone, casein peptone, proteose peptone and NH₄Cl were selected as candidate nitrogen sources, and their impact on the growth of engineered bacteria and on plasmid yield was studied. As shown in Figure 3, the highest plasmid yield was obtained using proteose peptone as the nitrogen source. Tryptone produced the next highest plasmid yield, and the minimum yield was obtained when inorganic nitrogen (NH₄Cl) was used as the nitrogen source. Because tryptone was a costly imported product, domestically produced proteose peptone was selected as the nitrogen source for the fermentation medium. Proteose peptone was used at a working concentration of 10 g/L. The fermentation medium was called iLB.

Effects of mineral salt, trace elements and thiamine hydrochloride on plasmid production

In addition to carbon and nitrogen sources, engineered bacteria need inorganic salts, trace elements and vitamins to grow.[24] To investigate the effect of inorganic salts, trace elements and vitamins on the growth of the engineered bacteria and on plasmid production, inorganic salts, trace elements and vitamins were added into the fermentation medium and the resulting plasmid yields were measured (Figure 4). The addition of inorganic salts and trace elements improved the plasmid yield from the engineered bacteria, but the addition of vitamin had little effect on the plasmid yield.

Selection of significant media components by process modelling using PB design

To identify the key factors that significantly affected the plasmid yield of the engineered bacteria, the nine variables (proteose peptone, yeast extract, sodium chloride,
glycerol, Na₂HPO₄, KH₂PO₄, MgSO₄, NH₄Cl and trace elements) were investigated using PB design. These variables corresponded to columns $X_1, X_2, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7, X_{10}, X_{11}$ and $X_{12}$ in Table 1. Each factor contained a low level (-1) and a high level (+1). To examine the test error, another three virtual variables were designed. These variables corresponded to columns $X_3, X_8$ and $X_9$ in Table 1. As seen from the results of the PB design (Table 6), the adjusted coefficient of determination, $R^2$, was 93.34%, indicating that the data variability was explained well by the models. The $p$-values of the models were less than 0.001, indicating that the models were significant.[25] In this study, a model term was considered significant when its $p$-value was less than 0.01. Proteose peptone, glycerol and NH₄Cl were found to be significant model terms, indicating that these three variables were the variables of greatest importance for plasmid yield. Thus, proteose peptone, glycerol and NH₄Cl were selected for further experimental study using the path of steepest ascent (or descent) method and CCD. By applying multiple regression analysis to the experimental data using Minitab software, the following first-order polynomial regression equation was established to explain the plasmid yield:

$$Y (\text{mg/L}) = 8.12 + 1.42 X_1 + 0.529 X_2 + 0.952 X_3 + 0.469 X_4 + 1.34 X_5 - 0.455 X_6 - 0.081 X_7 + 0.324 X_8 - 1.07 X_9 + 0.101 X_{10} + 1.03 X_{11} - 0.081 X_{12}$$

Figure 2. The effect of different carbon sources on the volumetric yield of plasmid pSVK-HBVA. (A) The effect of different concentrations of glycerol on the volumetric yield of pSVK-HBVA; (B) the effect of different concentrations of glucose on the volumetric yield of pSVK-HBVA; and (C): the effect of different concentrations of mannitol on the volumetric yield of pSVK-HBVA.
where $Y$ is the plasmid yield, $X_1$ is the concentration of proteose peptone, $X_2$ is the concentration of yeast extract, $X_4$ is the concentration of sodium chloride, $X_5$ is the concentration of glycerol, $X_7$ is the concentration of $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$, $X_8$ is the concentration of $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$, $X_{10}$ is the concentration of $\text{Mg}_2\text{SO}_4$, $X_{11}$ is the concentration of $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$, and $X_3$, $X_6$ and $X_9$ are the virtual variables.

Path of steepest ascent (or descent)

The direction of steepest ascent and the step width were determined from the aforementioned first-order regression equation and the three important effect variables that were identified by PB design. Because the coefficients of these three significant variables were positive, the path of steepest ascent started from the lower level of the PB design and moved along the path in the direction in which the concentration of proteose peptone, glycerol and $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ increased. The experimental results are shown in Table 3. The highest response value was achieved at the third step, when the proteose peptone concentration was 15 g/L, the glycerol concentration was 0.75 g/L and the $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ concentration was 2.0 g/L. The high response value suggested that this point was near the region of maximum yield.

CCD and response surface analysis

The effect of the levels and interactions of the significant media components (proteose peptone, glycerol and $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$) on plasmid yield were further studied using CCD of the RSM. The experimental design of RSM (see Table 4) was performed using Minitab design software. From the experimental results (Table 4), multiple regression analysis of the experimental data (Tables 7 and 8) produced the following second-order polynomial equation:

$$Y (\text{mg/L}) = 12.5364 + 0.7447X_1 - 0.4653X_2 + 1.4407X_3$$
$$- 1.3356X_1^2 - 0.8530X_2^2 - 0.8442X_3^2$$
$$+ 0.3925X_1X_2 + 0.1000X_1X_3 - 0.6075X_2X_3,$$

Table 6. Identification of significant variables for plasmid production using PB design.

| Variables     | Coefficient estimate | Standard error | $t$-value | $p$-value |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Model         | 0.1629               | 0.1629         | 24.33     | 0.000     |
| Intercept     | 8.081                | 0.1629         | 49.62     | 0.000     |
| Proteose peptone | 1.415               | 0.1629         | 8.69      | 0.000     |
| Yeast extract | 0.529                | 0.1629         | 3.25      | 0.014     |
| $\text{NaCl}$ | 0.469                | 0.1629         | 2.88      | 0.024     |
| Glycerol      | 1.339                | 0.1629         | 8.22      | 0.000     |
| $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$ | $-0.081$            | 0.1629         | $-0.50$  | 0.633     |
| $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ | 0.324               | 0.1629         | 1.99      | 0.087     |
| $\text{Mg}_2\text{SO}_4$ | 0.101               | 0.1629         | 0.62      | 0.556     |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ | 1.026               | 0.1629         | 6.30      | 0.000     |
| Trace elements | $-0.081$            | 0.1629         | $-0.50$  | 0.633     |

Note: $S = 0.728326$, PRESS = 31.1868, $R^2 = 97.67\%$, $R^2$ (predicted) = 80.43\%, $R^2$ (adjusted) = 93.34\%.

Model terms are significant.
where $Y_1$ represents the volumetric yield of the plasmid, $X_1$ represents the concentration of proteose peptone, $X_2$ represents the concentration of glycerol and $X_3$ represents the concentration of NH$_4$Cl. The model fit was measured by the coefficient of determination, $R^2$. The $R^2$ value was 94.90%, indicating that the model explained 94.90% of the variability in the response (Table 7). The statistical significance of the quadratic model was determined by the $F$-test of analysis of variance.[26] The model $F$-value was 14.47 and the $p$-value was less than 0.01, indicating that the model was significant. In addition, the lack-of-fit $F$-value was 15.92 and the lack-of-fit $p$-value was 0.06, indicating that the lack of fit was not significantly correlated with pure error (Table 8). These results suggested that the predicted value fit well with the experimental value, which indicated that this mathematical model was suitable for the simulation of plasmid production from engineered bacteria.

To depict the impact of the three factors on the response value in a more intuitive manner, three-dimensional response surfaces and contour plots were generated (Figure 5). These plots illustrated the interactions between the two variables (the other variable was set at a level of 0). The shape of the contour plots (circular or elliptical) indicated whether the interaction between the two variables was significant or not.[27] Circular contour plots of response surfaces indicate that the interaction between the corresponding variables can be ignored, while elliptical or saddle-shaped contour plots suggest that the interaction between the corresponding variables is significant. [28–30] As can be deduced from the shape of the contour plot, the interaction between proteose peptone and NH$_4$Cl had minimal significance. The lack of significance may be because these two components (an organic nitrogen source and an inorganic nitrogen source, respectively) were utilized in different ways during the process of plasmid production. The interaction between proteose peptone and glycerol was also not significant. In contrast, glycerol and NH$_4$Cl had a significant interaction. Glycerol was used as the carbon source mainly to increase biomass, while NH$_4$Cl was used as the inorganic nitrogen source to provide nitrogen for the nucleotides used in plasmid synthesis. Because such inorganic nitrogen sources may be utilized more fully and more quickly than other nitrogen sources, the plasmid yield changed as the growth rate of the engineered bacteria changed. Therefore, the presence of a significant interaction between glycerol and NH$_4$Cl was possible.

By calculating the partial derivative of the second-order polynomial equation using Minitab software, the optimal values of the three variables important for plasmid production were found to be 16.15 g/L for proteose peptone,
0.65 g/L for glycerol and 3.09 g/L for NH₄Cl. Under the optimal condition, the predicted maximum plasmid yield was 13.54 mg/L. To confirm the degree of fit between the predicted value and the true value, three confirmation experiments were carried out using the optimal medium composition described above. The mean value of volumetric yield of plasmid was 13.18 ± 0.43 mg/L, which agreed well with the predicted value of 13.54 mg/L.

**Conclusion**

Overall, production of pDNA is often hampered by the low plasmid volumetric yield which results from the...
unsuitable host bacterium or metabolic repressors. In this work, the bioprocess of medium optimization was employed, and successfully improved the production of pSVK-HBVA.
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