Cytoprotective gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157 resolves major vessel occlusion disturbances, ischemia-reperfusion injury following Pringle maneuver, and Budd-Chiari syndrome
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Abstract

The stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157 counteracts various venous occlusion-induced syndromes. Summarized are all these arguments, in the Robert’s cytoprotection concept, to substantiate the resolution of different major vessel occlusion disturbances, in particular ischemia-reperfusion injury following the Pringle maneuver and Budd-Chiari syndrome, which was obtained by BPC 157 therapy. Conceptually, there is a new point, namely, endothelium maintenance to epithelium maintenance (the recruitment of collateral blood vessels to compensate for vessel occlusion and reestablish blood flow or bypass the occluded or ruptured vessel). In this paper, we summarize the evidence of the native cytoprotective gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157, which is stable in the human gastric juice, is a membrane stabilizer and counteracts gut-leaky syndrome. As a particular target, it is distinctive from the standard peptide growth factors, involving particular molecular pathways and controlling VEGF and NO pathways. In the early 1990s, BPC 157 appeared as a late outbreak of the Robert’s and Szabo’s cytoprotection-organoprotection concept, like the previous theoretical/practical breakthrough in the 1980s and the brain-gut axis and gut-brain axis. As the time went on, with its reported effects, it is likely most useful theory practical implementation and justification. Meantime, several reviews suggest that BPC 157, which does not have a lethal dose, has profound cytoprotective activity, used to be demonstrated in ulcerative colitis and multiple sclerosis trials. Likely, it may bring the theory to practical application, starting with the
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INTRODUCTION

The current review aims to evaluate whether the stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157, which has consistent efficacy in the co-, pre-, and post-treatment regimens, with a rapid onset of the therapeutic effect, as well as the parenteral and per-oral effectiveness, may bring the Robert’s cytoprotection theory into practical application[1].

As previously stated[1], all of the studies to date that have tested the stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157 as a treatment have demonstrated extremely positive healing effects for various injury types in numerous organ systems. Its practical significance as a prototypic cytoprotective agent and an important mediator of Robert’s cytoprotection[1], and its contribution to resolving Selye’s stress response[1], and brain-gut and gut-brain axis activity have been reported[2]. Additional particular points are its wide interactions with the nitric oxide (NO) system[1] and prostaglandin
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Core Tip: Summarizing the essential epithelium and endothelium protection interplay described in Robert’s and Szabo’s cytoprotection concept, and the role of the stable pentadecapeptide BPC 157 as a likely mediator, we suggest that BPC 157 may be a useful cytoprotective therapy. The hope is that it could finally bring into practice the huge theoretical importance of all aspects of the cytoprotection concept. Conceptually, there is a new point to discuss, namely, endothelium maintenance to epithelium maintenance (recruitment of collateral blood vessels to compensate for vessel occlusion and reestablish blood flow or bypass the occluded or ruptured vessel). BPC 157 counteracts various venous occlusion-induced syndromes, as well as inferior caval vein syndrome, ischemia-reperfusion injury following Pringle maneuver, and Budd-Chiari syndrome in rats. Activation of the alternative collateral pathways to bypass occlusion and reestablish alternative blood flow, results in the counteraction of the consequent syndromes. The severe venous occlusion-induced disturbances, the high portal and caval hypertension, aortal hypotension, arterial and venous thrombosis, both peripherally and centrally, and various organ lesions (i.e., gastrointestinal, liver, kidney, heart, and brain) were all attenuated and/or eliminated. Furthermore, this particular beneficial effect may be competing with the Virchow’s triad that can be a common presentation [i.e., duodenal venous congestion lesions, perforated ecum, ischemic/reperfusion colitis, bile duct ligation-induced liver cirrhosis and portal hypertension, temporary portal triad occlusion (ischemia-reperfusion injury following the Pringle maneuver), and suprahapetic occlusion of the inferior caval vein (Budd-Chiari syndrome)]. The resolution of these various venous occlusion-induced syndromes emphasizes the evidence that as the native cytoprotective gastric peptide and a stable gastric pentadecapeptide membrane stabilizer, BPC 157, which is stable in the human gastric juice and counteracts gut-leaky syndrome, is a particular target and easily distinguished from standard peptide growth factors, involving particular molecular pathways, and specifically controlling the VEGF and NO pathways, in particular the prostaglandin pathway.
system and counteraction of the toxicity of non-steroidal-anti-inflammatory drugs[1]. Its therapeutic effects on fistula healing[1] and damaged skin, muscles, tendons, ligaments, and bone comparable to those in the gastrointestinal tract[3,4] and wound healing, in particular[3], are also reviewed. The counteraction of tumor-induced muscle cachexia and the signaling process implicated in cancer cachexia[3] and leaky gut, and its membrane stabilizer and free radical scavenger activity[6] are highlighted. The final focus is on the particular effect of BPC 157 on blood vessels and vessel recruitment[1,7]. In addition, BPC 157, due to its profound cytoprotective activity, which has been demonstrated in ulcerative colitis and applied to multiple sclerosis trials, may be used, since it does not have a lethal dose (LD1)[1,6]. In one of the most recent studies[3], BPC 157 was found to be distributed in the gastrointestinal mucosa, lung, bronchial epithelium, epidermal layer of the skin, and kidney glomeruli by in situ hybridization and immunostaining. These data suggest that BPC 157 may have additional regulatory roles in the function of the lungs, kidneys, and skin in humans, in addition to being isolated from gastric juice and primarily acting in the gastrointestinal system[3]. BPC 157 has also been reviewed in several other articles[6].

In the present review, we discuss the cytoprotective activity of the gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157[1] to resolve major vessel occlusion disturbances, ischemia-reperfusion injury following the Pringle maneuver, and Budd-Chiari syndrome[9-11], providing evidence that it may bring the cytoprotection theory to practical application. On the other hand, as mentioned above, the stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157 perfectly matched with the original Robert’s cytoprotective requirements for the stomach, or even extended it[1]. These requirements are the protection of the epithelium (“epithelial pathway”) and endothelium (“endothelial pathway”), and the maintenance of gastrointestinal mucosal integrity, to obtain a large beneficial effect inside and outside the gastrointestinal tract. Typically, human gastric juice rapidly destroyed standard growth factors within 15 min[12,13]. In contrast, BPC 157, with its essential gastric juice origin and stability in human gastric juice for more than 24 h[12], was matched in the local level (stomach, the permanent maintenance of the mucosal integrity, and thereby the entire gastrointestinal tract)[1]. Therefore, BPC 157 has particular therapeutic effectiveness, including via a therapeutic per-oral regimen, and pleiotropic beneficial effects. This local stomach and gastrointestinal tract protection was further extended to the general level (protection of other organs (cytoprotection to organoprotection)[1]. As previously mentioned, BPC 157 could follow both the “epithelial” and “endothelial” pathways in Robert’s cytoprotection[1,7,12,14].

According to Andre Robert[14] in 1975, the first indication for cytoprotection was the evidence that certain prostaglandins (PGF2 and PGFB, which could not affect gastric acid secretion) protected the gastric mucosa against indomethacin, in a gastric acid-independent manner, via a mechanism other than the inhibition of gastric acid secretion[14-16]. Therefore, the term cytoprotection pioneered by Robert[14-16] was introduced in 1979 against the noxious effect of both intragastric alcohol application and the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). According to Robert’s concept, the rapid onset of gastric cytoprotection would be the most remarkable aspect[14-16]. Prostaglandins reduce the development of gastric necrotic lesions when given orally at an appropriate dose as late as 1 min before the administration of absolute ethanol. Knowing this “before but not after effectiveness” as a limitation, Robert appreciated the curing of the already existing lesions as the further possibility[14-16]. The full explanation was provided in a few subsequent reviews[14-16] and the full argument was later substantiated[14-16]. In our view of the new cytoprotection principle, the essential evidence of Robert (epithelial protection) is the remarkable ability of endogenous and exogenous cytoprotective agents (i.e., prostaglandins) to prevent rapidly acute gastric hemorrhagic lesions induced by diverse noxious stimuli such as ethanol, bile acids, hyperosmolar solutions, and NSAIDs such as aspirin or indomethacin. According to the claims of Robert [i.e., cytoprotection preventing mucosal necrosis caused by noxious agents due to the direct damage of cells or a local deficiency of cytoprotective mediators (i.e., prostaglandins)], the cytoprotection concept also goes beyond peptic ulcer therapy[14-16]. Moreover, in Robert’s view[14], the demonstration of adaptive cytoprotection suggests that cytoprotection by prostaglandins may be a physiological phenomenon[14]. One milliliter of 20% ethanol (as small irritant) given orally (note, in Robert’s publication, “orally” implies administration via a tube into the stomach, or rather intragastric application, see Chapter 2.1.3. Epithelial pathway to adaptive cytoprotection) to fasted rats 15 min prior to giving absolute ethanol (regarded as a strong irritant) prevented the gastric mucosal necrosis caused by the latter[17]. For the effectiveness of cytoprotective agents, the concept holds the protection of stomach cells (cytoprotection) and other epithelia (organoprotection)
against direct injury to cells induced by various noxious agents. In addition, see the notation for the cytoprotection-organoprotection for other agents. In the early 1980s, the concept obtained an additional key, the concomitant protection of the stomach endothelium or Szabo’s vascular injury, as an early pathogenic factor in the development of ethanol-induced gastric hemorrhagic erosions. Demonstration of the vascular injury was seen within 1 min, as was the estimated effect of the agents. This vascular point in ethanol-induced gastric lesions was fully elaborated in a series of subsequent reports. Since then, the rapid recovery of damaged endothelium may be considered a shared effect of the cytoprotective agents.

Thus, the cytoprotection theory holds that cytoprotective agents should exert direct epithelial and endothelial cell protection inside and outside of the gastrointestinal tract, via the “epithelial pathway” and “endothelial pathway.” There is an essential evolvement in the stomach protection: Endothelium protection to epithelium fibroblast growth factor (FGF) somatostatin thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH) angiotensin system and active angiotensin metabolites antacid histamine sulfide sulfur dioxide. The efficacy, as a resolving outcome, remains obscure.

The rapid onset of action implemented in the agents’ efficacy, as a resolving outcome, remains obscure. On the other hand, in addition to the proposed role of BPC 157 within Robert’s concept of cytoprotection, different points of view and different highlights can be clearly seen. Certainly, such a multitude illustrates the essential value of the potential application of the concept. The emphasis was on NO, carbon monoxide and hydrogen sulfide in parallel with prostaglandins, as well as histamine, prostaglandins, EP1 and IP receptors, the healing action of antacid, heat shock protein 70 (HSP70), and the rennin-angiotensin system and active angiotensin metabolites. Further illustrative emphases include opioids, alpha-2 adrenoreceptors, glucocorticoids, thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH), capsacin, dopamine, somatostatin, epidermal growth factor (EGF), bombesin, ghrelin, cholecystokinin (CCK) and leptin, melatonin, neurotensin, fibroblast growth factor (FGF), agmatine, amino acids, second-generation histamine H2-receptor antagonists, hemeoxygenase-1, and the molecular basis of alcohol-related gastric and colon cancer (acetalddehyde).

Finally, a historical cytoprotection review, along with many original details, is given by Mozskó.

The term cytoprotection was commonly coined in other organ studies, i.e., the heart and brain, kidney, liver, eye, skin and wounds, bone, and skeletal muscle. Unfortunately, the multitude of agents supposed to be involved did not resolve the conceptual problems that were initially shown with the prime agents, providing the limited therapeutic potential of prostaglandins in stomach lesions (i.e., prostaglandins might only prevent rather than cure any already established stomach lesions). Likewise, there was an even more limited therapeutic potential in the healing of other organs (prostaglandins were only effective in a few organ lesions). The switching to other cytoprotective agents (i.e., sulfhydryls, somatostatin, EGF, TRH, opioids, dopamine, and CCK) led to similar incomplete results in both stomach and other organ lesions (for review see). Consequently, considering the application and efficacy of standard agents, a considerable gap remains between the theoretical potential and practical realization. Considering the supplemental endothelial protection, after initial demonstration in the stomach, no endothelial protection outside the stomach was investigated at the time. Of note, BPC 157 appears to resolve both of these issues, i.e., the “epithelium pathway” and “endothelium pathway” in cytoprotection, and may both prevent lesion development and cure any established lesions.

Likewise, to illustrate the failed realization of the concept with standard antiulcer agents, in addition to only prophylactic effectiveness in stomach lesions and a few other organs in which effectiveness was shown, the theoretical/practical problem is that standard cytoprotective agents also demonstrated the opposite outcome. The intriguing point is the sulfhydryl prototype, cysteamine, and sulfhydryl conceptual involvement. Cysteamine is highly protective in alcohol-induced stomach lesions, but, in contrast, cysteamine application provided the most
valuable standard model for the induction of duodenal [85, 86] and colon [87] lesions. Also, we emphasized [1] that Robert’s concept [14-17] largely applied the antecedent Selye’s stress concept [88, 89] which essentially contributed [90] to the introduction of corticosteroid therapy [91]. Of note, both concepts act against various noxious non-specific agents that would induce non-specific lesions [1]. Both concepts also hold organoprotection (Selye’s concept of homeostasis that should be reestablished by the stress response [88] vs Robert’s direct stomach cell protection that should be generalized by the application of cytoprotective agents [14-16]), and adaptation (Selye’s small stress that protects against severe stress [89] vs Robert’s small irritants that protect against strong irritants (adaptive cytoprotection [17])). However, the essential first mediator of Selye’s stress concept [88, 89], which would integrate the adaptive bodily stress response and reestablish organoprotective bodily homeostasis, remained undiscovered, and appeared to be a major weakness of the concept that would preclude its practical realization [92, 93].

For the classic concepts of Robert and Selye [14-16, 88], the adverse effects of the prototype agents (i.e., mediators) appeared to be an additional pitfall. Obviously, protection against direct injury to the cell in Robert’s cytoprotection concept [14-16] certainly precludes any adverse effects, which are quite common with the application of prostaglandin analogues [65]. Likewise, the reestablishing of homeostasis (Selye’s stress response defined “as such”) [89] does not include the adverse effects that have been commonly known for the application of corticosteroids since early times [94]. As BPC 157 appears to be very safe and LD1 was not achieved, with no side effects reported in clinical trials, the possible switching of beneficial effects to negative ones (over-shutting phenomenon) appears to be highly unlikely [1].

However, whatever the pitfalls may be, these two concepts [14-16, 88] provided a firm theoretical frame for the development of novel agents and therapies. It should be practically realized and demonstrated, in addition to the local (stomach) beneficial effect, by the agents’ pleiotropic beneficial effects [1, 7]. If properly followed, it may fulfill the first conceptual beneficial point (starting with Robert’s cytoprotection [14-16], local protection and therapy of the stomach and gastrointestinal tract achieved) by the next extended beneficial point (the protection of other organs [epithelia] and the achievement of therapy), and bring them together to a reality that can no longer be disputed.

Thus, in the early 1990s, pentadecapeptide BPC 157 [1, 7] appeared as a late outbreak of the cytoprotection-organoprotection concept of Robert and Szabo [14-16, 18, 19], for epithelial and endothelial protection, like the previous theoretical/practical breakthrough in the 1980s [14-16, 18, 19] and the brain-gut axis and gut-brain axis [3]. As time went on, with its reported effects, BPC 157 could be most useful in the practical implementation and justification of the theory [1]. All arguments were given to bring the long-standing theory into practice, starting with the initial argument of the lack of degradation in human gastric juice for more than 24 h [12], and thereby the therapeutic effectiveness (including via a therapeutic per-oral regimen) and pleiotropic beneficial effect [1, 7].

**BPC 157 IN CYTOPROTECTION**

Overall, and in particular for the role and cytoprotective effectiveness of BPC 157, it is safe to speculate that the efficacy and activity limitation of this agent, and thereby its practical application, would be determined by the foundation of the standing concepts, and vice versa. Briefly, the agent “runs” within the concept frame, and vice versa. Ideally, agent and concept can match completely (as may be seen with the achieved extent of the obtained beneficial effects; cytoprotection can be manifested as a huge range of beneficial effects, both inside and outside the gastrointestinal tract). General pitfalls may be the number of mentioned cytoprotective agents that have previously failed to match the required cytoprotection concept. In general, this means more problems with the use of new agents, and more problems for the concept to maintain its validity and less possibility (enthusiasm and belief) to be once applied. Alternatively, if there were no known agents which fulfilled the requirements of the standing concepts, the agent’s efficacy and activity would determine the opposition to the “law” of the standing concepts and form a new relevant concept.

Illustratively, regarding the sympathetic system function, Alhquist’s receptor concept [95] (i.e., six catecholamines, and their different order of potency depending on the tissue involved, to anticipate the presentation of the particular alpha and beta receptors) discharged the long-standing “law” of physiology, Cannon’s concept of two
mediator substances (sympathin E and sympathin I)[96]. Although there was an overlap of several years, Alhquist’s receptor concept accuracy[95] envisaged the development of specific blocking agents in the subsequent years[97], and the consequent regular use of beta blockers in a large range of indications[98]. However, similar general acceptance and applicability did not arrive for the cytoprotection concept, nor was there any proof[99,100], when years later, as alternative gastric acid-nondependent, Robert’s cytoprotection theory challenged the peptic ulcer therapy[14-16]. The lack of a practical solution and the absence of any commonly applicable cytoprotective therapy[99,100] mean that the “law “no acid-no ulcer” and the superiority of H2-blockers were not discharged until the present time[101].

In the early 1990s, BPC 157 was introduced as a pentadecapeptide with cytoprotective effects[1,18,19], many years after the breakthrough of the original concepts of Robert[14-16] and Selye[88]. The surveillance of these two major concepts[14-16,88] and their development and achievements lacking full realization and adequate practical application[92,93,95,100] considered the introduction of BPC 157 to be too late a challenge. Seeing from the achieved perspective of all agents tested as standard cytoprotective agents, it was safe to speculate that a novel agent would hardly achieve a wider range of pleiotropic beneficial effects and drug characteristics that remained elusive for years.

However, conceptually, there is a new point, namely, endothelium maintenance to epithelium maintenance is upgraded to endothelium maintenance to epithelium maintenance (collateral blood vessels to compensate for vessel occlusion and reestablish blood flow or bypass the occluded or ruptured vessel)[17]. The recruitment of collateral blood vessels would compensate for vessel occlusion and reestablish blood flow[1,7,9-11]. BPC 157 counteracted various venous occlusion-induced syndromes[9-11], inferior caval vein syndrome[9], ischemia-reperfusion injury following Pringle maneuver[10], and Budd-Chiari syndrome[11] in rats. This beneficial effect was also shown for other syndromes, i.e., duodenal venous congestion lesions, perforated cecum, ischemic/reperfusion colitis, and bile duct ligation induced liver cirrhosis and portal hypertension[1,7]. The resolution of these various venous occlusion-induced syndromes[1,7,9-11] emphasized the practical evidence. The stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157, as a membrane stabilizer[5], likely acts as the native cytoprotective gastric peptide[1,3,7], which is resistant and stable in human gastric juice[12], and counteracts gut-leaky syndrome[6]. As a particular target, it is distinct from the standard peptide growth factors[3], involving particular molecular pathways[102-105], particularly controlling VEGF and NO pathways[1,106,107], and the prostaglandin pathway[1].

Epithelial pathway in stomach and gastrointestinal tract healing for cytoprotection against direct cell injury produced by direct contact with noxious agents

BPC 157 consistently counteracted the gastric lesions induced by 96% alcohol[1]. Of note, epithelial protection, as direct cytoprotection against direct cell injury produced by direct contact with noxious agents (i.e., alcohol)[14-16], appears to be essential to resolve the follow-up of Robert’s stomach cytoprotection (“epithelial pathway”)[14-16]. As with Robert’s alcohol intragastric administration, this was a more advantageous therapeutic effect, overriding previous common limitations shared by standard cytoprotective agents (i.e., prophylactic effect that may only counteract lesion development, but is unable to cure already existing lesions upgraded to the equal therapeutic ability[1]). BPC 157 demonstrated very consistent efficacy in alcohol-induced gastric lesions for co-, pre-, and post-treatment regimens, with a rapid onset of therapeutic effect, thereby providing consistent evidence for undistributed pertinent and specific effects, such as protection and healing, and the likely positive effects of an unusually high range[1]. This essential stomach point is confirmed and appreciated by others[108]. The BPC 157 equipotent (co-, pre-, and post-treatment regimens, per-oral and parenteral) beneficial effect is particular. There are constant interactions with the NO system and capsaicin-sensitive somatosensory neurons, since it consistently appears in naive rats as well as in those challenged with NOS blockade (NOS blocker L-NAME), NOS substrate L-arginine (NOS over-activity), NO system immobilization (concomitant application of L-NAME and L-arginine), capsaicin (as newborn or adult), or small exogenous or endogenous irritants[1,7]. A comparable beneficial effect was also achieved in vitro (denervated (isolated) gastric mucosal cells)[109,110].

Further supporting evidence included a strong reduction of the Monastral blue staining in ethanol-treated rats and, thereby, endothelium maintenance[1,7] and comparable beneficial effect in the stress gastric ulcer model[1] and cysteamine-duodenal ulcer model[1]. The same high efficacy was observed for both intragastric
and intraperitoneal regimens[1,7]. The evidence that BPC 157 fully counteracted NSAID-induced gastric and intestinal lesions is consistent with the prostaglandin requirement of Robert’s model, and the beneficial effect of BPC 157 in the entire gastrointestinal tract[1]. Also, in addition to cysteamine- or ischemia/reperfusion-induced colitis[1,7], BPC 157 counteracted trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS)[111] or iodoacetamide[112,113]-induced ulcerative colitis. Of note, the beneficial effect of BPC 157 is long-lasting, and may also counteract ulcer recidivation (i.e., cysteamine ulcerative colitis)[1,7]. Also important for the issue of cytoprotection is the evidence that BPC 157 may counteract stomach ulcer and induce ulcer regression (i.e., clopidogrel-induced)[114], as recently demonstrated in another prototype model of direct injury, Okabe’s direct acetic acid application into stomach-induced gastric lesions[115,116], which is also commonly used in cytoprotection studies[1].

Provided that the essential point for lesions in Robert’s cytoprotection model would be the injury made by direct contact (damage) to the cells[14-16], the perforation lesion instantly made by surgery is thereby a prototype[1]. The healing of perforated injury by the application of BPC 157 is an important conceptual point[1]. Further consistent evidence includes the healing of skin wounds and other wounds[1,3]. Importantly, proper wound healing includes the achievement of all four major events (vascular constriction, loose platelet plug, fibrin mesh to ensure stability of platelet plug, and dissolution of the clot) that occur in a set order following the loss of vascular integrity[3]. As a result, an agent applied in wound healing, such as stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157, which is shown to be effective in wound healing, should also be effective in bleeding disorders[3].

Together, these consistent beneficial effects clearly indicated a full potential, in addition to the achievement of local protection and therapy of the stomach and gastrointestinal tract[1], toward Robert’s point (other organ (epithelia) protection and therapy achieved)[14-16]. Of note, as pointed out, these studies indicated the use of the stress gastric ulcer models as a “cytoprotective” model (i.e., not related to gastric acid secretion)[39]. The significance of the stress gastric ulcer models is fairly described in several reviews[117-121]. Likewise, the connection with the prostaglandin system (and thereby, Robert’s cytoprotection) is fully substantiated[31]. For BPC 157, the use of the prolonged restraint stress procedure[1] was important, provided that the use of the restraint stress methodology by gradually modulating/increasing the level of the stress[39,120] (e.g., usual cold + 3 h[39,120] vs 48 h restraint stress[1]) fully highlighted its efficacy[1]. Thereby, we could consistently suggest the effectiveness of BPC 157 over the application of standard H2-blockers or dopamine agonists[1].

Likewise, providing protection against the possible negative influence of gastric acid (hyper)secretion, in addition to the counteraction of Shay stomach ulcers induced by pylorus ligation[122] (but no influence on gastric acid secretion[123]) by BPC 157, there is some antagonism of the cysteamine-induced duodenal ulcer[1]. Since the Szabo’s study[86], cysteamine-duodenal ulcers are commonly related to gastric acid hypersecretion[124-127]. However, we should consider stress lesions[85] as cytoprotection before Robert’s cytoprotection[14-16], and thereby, Selye’s “stress view”[85]. The introduction of cysteamine duodenal ulcers in rats will overcome the problems arising from multiple gastric erosions as the most characteristic rat gastrointestinal manifestations of exposure to stress, and would closely mimic human “stress ulcers”, which are frequently localized in the duodenum[85]. Selye and Szabo considered the duodenal ulcer potency of various agents, and also emphasized “some relation to nonspecific stress” since cysteamine was the most potent agent of the other agents assessed (acetanilide, allylchloride, acetaminophen, 4,4-diaminodiphenylmethane, propionitrile, and 3,4-toluendiamine) which were capable of inducing such lesions[85]. Yet, at that time, no mention was made on any influence of dopamine or gastric acid secretion[85]. With such particular “stress” notation to the duodenal lesions[85], initiation goes along with the emergence of the histamine, and the H2 receptor blocker-mediated resolution of peptic ulcers[128]. The subsequent cysteamine report by Szabo in the Lancet revealed the dopamine and gastric acid hypersecretion background, meaning that it became a seminal dopamine paper[86].

Also, this beneficial effect in cysteamine-induced duodenal ulcers[1] combined BPC 157 application with the dopamine system. Szabo provided cysteamine as a dopamine antagonist and its close similarity with the parkinsonogenic neurotoxin 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) (that also induced duodenal ulcers)[129,130] in support of the theory holding schizophrenia, Parkinson’s disease, and ulcer disease as dopamine system failures, and dopamine antagonists (溃疡ogenic potential)/dopamine agonists (therapy) in peptic ulcer therapy[131]. Lately, the interaction of BPC 157 with the dopamine system was reviewed[2]; BPC 157 counteracted the effect of neuroleptics (haloperidol), MPTP, and reserpine (i.e.,
akinesia, catalepsy, hypothermia, and gastric lesions). Also, BPC 157 counteracted the models resembling positive-like symptoms of schizophrenia[35], and haloperidol-induced catalepsy and gastric ulcers[2]. This effect, as a close interaction with dopamine system functioning, was able to determine an active gut-brain axis or brain-gut axis functioning[2]. It should be noted that BPC 157 also counteracted various encephalopathies and behavioral disturbances, and may therefore represent essential brain-gut and gut-brain axis activities[2]. As an extension of the therapeutic effect, BPC 157 also counteracted the typical and atypical neuroleptic-induced arrhythmias, QTc-interval prolongation[1]. The counteraction of the prolonged QT interval appeared as part of the large therapeutic effect of BPC 157 on the heart disturbances noted in the prevention and reversal of doxorubicin-induced chronic heart failure[1], and the counteraction of various arrhythmias[1], including those induced by venous occlusion[9-11].

Subsequently, again with the 96% alcohol-induced gastric lesion[1], the cytoprotective effect of BPC 157 was closely related to the NO system that should have an essential role in the maintenance of gastrointestinal mucosa integrity, and, more importantly, in endothelial functioning[1,8]. BPC 157 induced NO release from homogenate supernatants of the gastric mucosa from the rat stomach, which is particularly resistant to the NOS blocker N(G)-nitro-L-arginine methylster (L-NAME), and may counteract the NOS substrate L-arginine-induced NO over-release[1]. This particular interaction may be seen in various models and species with the ability of BPC 157 to counteract the adverse effects of L-NAME and L-arginine application[1].

Also, an essential point to remember in the cytoprotective effect of agents is capsaicin-sensitive afferent neurons[45,46], which regulate vascular function in many somatic and visceral tissues, including the regulation of local blood flow in the gastrointestinal tract. Thereby, the important point is that the beneficial effect of BPC 157 in gastric lesions induced by ethanol, restraint stress, or indomethacin was combined with the maintained as well as restored capsaicin-sensitive afferent neurons[1]. Quite recently, this cytoprotective notation was confirmed with the evidence that BPC 157 acts via inhibition of the release of enteric serotonin, an increase in the rat and human survival rate of cultured enteric neurons, and the proliferation of cultured enteric glial cells (EGCs)[132]. It was suggested that the inhibition of the release of enteric serotonin may be related to the release of serotonin noted in several brain areas (i.e., nigrostriatum) after the administration of BPC 157[2,132].

Together, these findings clearly indicate a complex involvement of BPC 157 in the practical realization of cytoprotection as a non-gastric acid dependent phenomenon and “direct cell injury to cell – direct cell protection” principle. Furthermore, unlike its ulcerogenic effect ascribed to gastric acid hypersecretion[86], we showed that the application of cysteamine after gastrectomy induced duodenal ulcers in gastrectomized rats, and BPC 157, as well as all standard anti-ulcer agents, may clearly antagonize these cysteamine-induced ulcers in gastrectomized rats[1]. Interestingly, sialoadenectomy abolished the beneficial effect of standard antiulcer agents on cysteamine-induced duodenal ulcers, while BPC 157 was also effective in sialoadenectomized rats[1]. As mentioned above, further evidence showed cysteamine enema-induced ulcerative colitis[1]. Thus, these findings may be used as a full argument that cysteamine-induced ulcer appears as originally suggested (stress ulcer, non-gastric acid-dependent)[5,8] while cytoprotection, as the non-gastric acid-dependent phenomenon and “direct cell injury to cell – direct cell protection” principle, is continuously operating[1].

**Epithelial pathway for innate cytoprotection both inside and outside the gastrointestinal tract**

The wider range of BPC 157 therapy[1,7] follows the definition of the innate cytoprotective potential in additional circumstances (i.e., other epithelial healing), which has to combine the healing of different tissues, and is thus a pleiotropic beneficial effect[14-16]. Provided that cytoprotection represents a huge range of beneficial effects as the prototype model[1], there was a consistent demonstration of the strong therapeutic effect of BPC 157[1]. As emphasized, it not only occurred in the entire gastrointestinal tract[1,2], but also in various liver lesions, acute pancreatitis, and heart, lung, and kidney disturbances[1]. The consistent beneficial effects that include a considerable number of models may clearly verify the large range of therapeutic effects[1]. For instance, there are therapeutic effects in the liver lesion network against prolonged restraint stress, bile duct and hepatic artery ligation, CCl4 application, chronic alcohol drinking, NSAID over-dose application, insulin over-dose, and bile duct ligation-induced cirrhosis[1]. In particular, the beneficial effects occur against ischemia-reperfusion injury following Pringle maneuver[10], and Budd-Chiari syndrome[11] in
rats. Acute pancreatitis models were represented by bile duct ligation or lower esophageal and pyloric sphincter dysfunction[1]. As already mentioned, heart disturbance counteraction[1] was based on doxorubicin-induced chronic heart failure [57], and the counteraction of various, quite distinctive arrhythmias. This may be clearly seen providing the wide range of noxious events tested (i.e., digitalis, hyperkalemia, bupivacaine, and lidocaine)[1] and venous occlusion procedures applied[9-11]. Likewise, the lung lesion counteraction is based on edema of the interstitium, and substantial dilatation and congestion of the capillaries in the alveolar septum in the lung of rats with venous occlusion syndromes[10,11]. If not corrected, the lung congestion appears as a common outcome (i.e., time-dependent and time-independent features that can be acute respiratory distress syndrome exudative phase features); acute lung injury is a primary component of multiple organ dysfunction syndromes triggered by intestinal ischemia-reperfusion, which results in high mortality and acute lung injury[133,134].

Likewise, as a general follow-up of Robert’s cytoprotection, the BPC 157 wound healing studies appear to be well founded[1,3]. As well as gastrointestinal ulcers, consistent evidence includes various skin wounds. In addition to the incisional wound and deep burns and fistula wounds[1,3], there were also diabetic ulcers[102,135] and alkali wounds[105]. These beneficial effects also include the healing of muscle (i.e., the healing of the transected, crushed and denervated muscle), tendons (transected Achilles’ tendon and Achilles’ tendon detached from the calcaneus), ligaments (transected medial collateral ligament), and bone (alveolar bone loss and radial pseudoarthrosis)[3]. The delivery of BPC 157 was through local (i.e., cream application) and systemic (i.e., intraperitoneally, or intragastrically, or per-oral in drinking water) methods[1,3]. The therapeutic effects of the delivery systems[3] were based on doxorubicin-induced chronic heart failure[136], later studies also included corneal wound healing and maintained corneal transparency (rescued total debridement of the corneal epithelium and perforating corneal incisions)[3]. The evidence that BPC 157 eye drops successfully close perforating corneal incisions in live rats is consistent with the cytoprotection/endothelial/mucosal protection model[3]. Regardless of its complex function in the corneal endothelium, endothelial maintenance by BPC 157 is also implicated in the healing of corneal ulcers in live rats[3]. Since this model is sensible, we suggest that BPC 157 should have tissue-specific healing effects[3]. Thus, we can envisage a particular healing potential in cytoprotection terms. From the method viewpoint[1,3], all of these lesions are within the scope of Robert’s direct cell injury produced by direct contact[14-16].

In addition, there is quite indicative evidence about the simultaneous healing of different tissues. There is healing of various anastomoses (vessel, nerve, and gastrointestinal tract) and of various fistulas (surgically induced by defects and anastomosis creation), both external and internal[1]. Together, these findings showed that this additional extent (i.e., the healing of other epithelia) may be combined in the simultaneous healing of different tissues, such as the simultaneous healing of fistula defects and the closing of fistulas[1]. A particular point is that these rat fistulas are severe, considering the significant size of the defect relative to the small size of the corresponding rat tissue[1]. Illustratively, rectovaginal fistulas in rats, with a 5 mm defect vs a 2.4 cm vaginal length, result in long-lasting defects and spontaneous patency of the fistula, leading to fecal matter leaking through the vagina; this actually mimics severe fistulas that may not spontaneously heal, thereby clearly emphasizing the beneficial effect of BPC 157[1].
Further, for BPC 157, in an additional cytoprotective extent (i.e., other epithelia healing), epithelium protection is based on the extended relevance of the intragastric alcohol or NSAIDs on the stomach lesions commonly used in Robert’s cytoprotection studies[1,14-16]. Namely, Robert’s first epithelium protection, or the direct cell protection against cell injury produced by direct contact with the noxious agents, used intragastric alcohol or NSAIDs to induce stomach lesions[14-16]. Consequently, further evidence toward an additional extent (i.e., other epithelia healing) follows other adverse effects of alcohol and NSAIDs and their consistent counteraction[1,7].

In addition to the 96% alcohol intragastric application-induced gastric lesions, BPC 157 largely counteracted chronic alcohol drinking-induced stomach lesions, liver failure, and portal hypertension, providing evidence that it may act as an alcohol antagonist[1]. Likewise, BPC 157 promptly counteracted acute alcohol (4 g/kg intraperitoneally) intoxication (i.e., quickly produced and sustained anesthesia, hypothermia, increased ethanol blood values, 25% fatality, 90-min assessment period) given before or after ethanol[1]. In addition, BPC 157 counteracted chronic (withdrawal) alcohol intoxication, and was suggested as an alcohol antagonist[1], peripherally and centrally (of note, BPC 157 may attenuate the effect of thiopental anesthesia)[2].

Confronted with the over-dose application of various NSAIDs[1], similar beneficial effects occurred against various gastrointestinal lesions, and liver and encephalopathies; the worst damaged areas showed the most evident therapeutic effect[1]. Prolonged bleeding, consequent thrombocytopenia, and thrombocyte malfunctioning were also attenuated and/or counteracted[1,5,7]. Therefore, it seems that BPC 157 may particularly affect the functioning of the prostaglandins system[1,5,7] (interestingly, unlike NSAIDs and corticosteroids, BPC 157 strongly prevented adjuvant arthritis development and reversed the already formed adjuvant arthritis in rats[1]). The final clue may be that BPC 157 counteracted indomethacin-induced leaky gut syndrome[6]. It acts via increasing tight junction protein ZO-1 expression, and transepithelial resistance, inhibiting the mRNA of inflammatory mediators (iNOS, IL-6, IFNγ, and TNF-α), and increasing the expression of HSP 70 and 90, and antioxidant proteins, such as HO-1, NQO-1, glutathione reductase, glutathione peroxidase 2, and GST-pi[6]. Considering the importance of the leaky gut as an essential mechanism responsible for various severe systemic diseases, this may fully substantiate the significance of BPC 157 in the realization of that additional cytoprotective extent (i.e., other epithelia healing)[6]. Also, BPC 157 counteracted other encephalopathies induced by various noxious events (insulin over-dose, cuprizone, multiple sclerosis mimicking neurotoxin, magnesium over-dose, brain trauma, spinal cord compression, and stroke)[1,2].

**Epithelial pathway for adaptive cytoprotection**

We also demonstrated that BPC 157 may regulate cytoprotection adaptation processes (adaptive cytoprotection)[1], functioning of the endogenous adaptive processes essential for permanent mucosal maintenance, and afford defensive reactions that start after any injurious event[1]. This follows Robert’s connotation about the cytoprotection as a physiologic process[14-16] based on the adaptive cytoprotection evidence of Robert’s small irritant to the stomach that precedes and protects against any subsequent major injurious event (i.e., Robert’s strong irritant to the stomach)[17].

Evidently, cytoprotective agents should have a more extensive action, participate in Robert’s first epithelium protection, exhibit direct cell protection against cell injury produced by direct contact with noxious agents, and also participate in adaptive cytoprotection, in the next defensive reaction, and afford its final beneficial effects (i.e., permanently attenuated lesion consequences)[1]. Thus, whatever the small irritant may be, whether exogenous (mild alcohol) or endogenous (i.e., accumulated gastric juice, gastric acid, i.e., made by gastrojejunal anastomosis), BPC 157 administration strongly contributed to the final attenuation of stomach lesions[1]. Thus, BPC 157 strongly contributes to and improves the presentation of adaptive cytoprotection processes[1]. Specifically, BPC 157 would improve adaptation processes in the damaged intestine, through a prostaglandin-related process, as it may be strongly aggravated by the application of NSAIDs[1]. In rats with short bowel surgery, the BPC 157 therapy, per-oral (in drinking water) and parenteral, causes constant weight gain (even more than preoperative values), with all three wall layers accordingly increased (i.e., villus height, crypt depth, and muscle thickness [inner (circular) muscular layer] also increased), but no difference in jejunal and ileal diameters, and increased anastomosis strength. These beneficial effects of BPC 157 (i.e., the weight gain in the BPC 157 rats with short bowel, all three wall layers accordingly increased) appear to be particular[1]. Namely, standard growth factors (even using a special application route (e.g., subcutaneous pump))[137,138] at best may induce a decrease in weight loss[139-
with an increase in one layer, but not in the other. There is also some caution about the use of peptidergic agents, and adaptation processes, particularly on a long-term basis[140]. There is some growth of several tumor cell lines (EGF) [143,144], and hyperplastic lesions in the colon (subjects treated with GLP-2[145]). In contrast to adequately controlled adaptive processes, supportive evidence for BPC 157 (i.e., BPC 157 administration showed no toxic effect and was limit test negative, with LD1 not achieved, and no side-effects in trials[1,3]) shows that it inhibits the growth of several tumor cell lines and counteracts the tumor-promoting effect of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)[1,4]. In mice with C26 colon adenocarcinoma, BPC 157 counteracted tumor-cachexia and markedly prolonged survival[5]. BPC 157 afforded significant mitigating action against cancer cachexia-induced muscle degeneration, inflammation, and catabolism. BPC 157 significantly corrected deranged muscle proliferation as well as myogenesis, counteracted an increase in proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF-α looking at muscle metabolism relevant to cancer cachexia, as well as any changes in the expression of FoxO3a, p-AKT, p-mTOR, and P-GSK-3β[6,7]. Also important for its likely control of the adaptation processes, and prostaglandins-system function, in the short bowel rats, BPC 157 may counteract gastrointestinal lesions and the concomitant liver and brain lesions, and the additional aggravation that would otherwise appear with the application of diclofenac[1].

Also, it is possible that BPC 157 would afford an adaptive cytoprotective reaction regardless of the site of its initiation in the gastrointestinal tract[1]. Supporting evidence was also provided showing that adaptation cytoprotection accordingly occurs in the complete gastrointestinal tract, lasting for a considerable time, depending on the part that is initially targeted by the small irritant, stomach, duodenum, or colon, enabling the other parts to be more resistant to any subsequent strong irritant challenge[1]. Considering the eating and drinking habits, the adaptive cytoprotection in the gastrointestinal tract starts in the upper parts, in the stomach and duodenum, and may beneficially affect other parts (and thereby, adaptive cytoprotection occurs between stomach → stomach; stomach → duodenum, stomach → colon; duodenum → duodenum; duodenum → stomach, duodenum → colon)[1]. The colon seems to be distinctive and passive, as it could not initiate an adaptive cytoprotection response[1]. We used combinations of specific agents for initial small lesion and final more severe lesion [1 mL/rat of 25% or 96% ethanol intragastrically (stomach); cysteamine 40 mg/kg or 400 mg/kg subcutaneously (duodenum); cysteamine 40 mg/kg or 400 mg/kg intrarectally (colon)][1]. All of these ulcerogens were known to be inhibited by BPC 157[1].

Finally, with normal eating and drinking, Robert’s adaptive cytoprotection (i.e., Robert’s small irritant to the stomach and Robert’s strong irritant to the stomach) showed another essential point. We used the tongue as the initial target[1]. Within the very short time needed to swallow, the stomach is immediately affected, and the lesions are considerably less than those obtained with the direct instillation of alcohol into the stomach[1]. The application of BPC 157 considerably afforded this spontaneous healing effect, and additionally mitigated tongue, esophageal, gastric, and duodenal lesions, and reversed lower esophageal and pyloric sphincter impairment, through an action which seems to be NO system dependent[1]. Actually, it means that Robert’s cytoprotection and adaptive cytoprotection following the direct application of noxious agents into the stomach completely avoid the regular defensive response that would occur with the tongue (and not the stomach) as the initial target.

On the other hand, this emphasizes the original significance of Robert’s application of alcohol directly into the stomach, and thereby cytoprotection, as the direct cell protection against direct cell injury produced by direct contact with the noxious agents. Robert’s regimen (alcohol applied in the stomach directly, by tube) regularly skips the existing defensive system (i.e., starting with the tongue). Consequently, spontaneous rapid healing mechanisms remain skipped and not activated. Thereby, the essential ability of the cytoprotective agents would depend more on their own healing capacity, and their ability to act rapidly to induce healing.

Thus, such a huge range of healing effects, as noted with the applications of BPC 157, should be a prerequisite for realizing the endothelium pathway (blood vessel recruitment and activation towards defect or bypassing vessel occlusion)[1,7].

**Endothelium pathway (endothelium maintenance to epithelium maintenance)**

We already emphasized[1,7] the original cytoprotection studies[14,16,20,21] from the 1980s, which demonstrated significant stomach endothelium lesions, and verified the consequent change in stomach injuries via the endothelium pathway (endothelium maintenance → epithelium maintenance)[14,16,20,21]. Since that time, the cytoprotective endothelium pathway remained to be fully elaborated for therapeutic
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purposes, both as a stomach therapy and as a more general therapy. With this high therapy requirement, we summarize the additional evidence. These highlights include the Virchow triad situation, endothelium injury, thrombus, and stasis, preceding the current demonstration that the administration of BPC 157 may finally induce the rapid recruitment of existing blood vessels and activate particular collateral pathways when confronted with vessel occlusion[1,7,9-11]. That pathway activation would accordingly compensate for the occlusion of vessels, and mitigate the consequent noxious chain of events[1,7,9-11]. We would analyze the possible cause in the indicated cytoprotection terms leading to an extension of the endothelium pathway to blood vessel recruitment and activation towards defect or bypassing vessel occlusion[1,7,9-11].

After presenting the initial cytoprotection concept (i.e., epithelial pathway)[14-16], the endothelial pathway appeared as a further clarification of the development of stomach lesions in the concept of cytoprotection[14-16,20,21]. As a common point[47] appeared the evidence that with alcohol intragastric instillation, these vascular changes are early events, even before the appearance of gross hemorrhagic lesions, occurring within seconds or minutes during the development of moderate or severe gastric mucosal injury with interstitial hemorrhage and the necrosis of glandular epithelial cells[14-16,20,21]. Additionally, there is early stasis of mucosal blood flow and thrombi formation (within 30 s), often in regions without deep necrotic lesions. Even more, there was the rapid and complete cessation of blood flow to areas of mucosal damage consequent to ethanol administration[146]. Thus, although this was not initially claimed for the beneficial effect of prostaglandin and cysteamine application[14-16], we can envisage the particular Virchow triad presentation[1,7].

Unlike the initial claim for generalization of the epithelial stomach protection to other epithelial protection (cytoprotection → organoprotection)[14-16,19], at that time, these studies[14-16,20,21] made no attempt to generalize the findings seen for endothelium recovery in the stomach.

A strong reduction of Monastral blue staining and maintenance of the endothelium integrity after alcohol intragastric application was considered to be essential for the healing effect of BPC 157[1]. An interesting insight appeared after absolute alcohol instillation in the fully distended rat stomach, and gastric, esophageal, and duodenal lesions. Throughout the next 3 min, left gastric artery blood vessels clearly disappeared at the serosal site, indicative of the loss of vessel integrity and function. In contrast, constant vessel presentation could predict the beneficial effect of the applied agent. After pentadecapeptide BPC 157 instillation into the stomach, the vessel presentation remained constant, and lesions of the stomach, esophagus, and duodenum were inhibited[1]. Standards (atropine, ranitidine, and omeprazole) could only slightly improve the vessel presentation compared to control values, and only had a partial effect on the lesions[1]. Furthermore, for BPC 157, this maintenance of the endothelium integrity initially revealed a strong inhering angiogenic effect, which was more potent than those noted for standard antulcer agents[1,7]. This appeared as a follow-up of “direct” cellular pharmacological treatment for ulcer with growth factors, notably bFGF and PDGF, that should result in the superior quality of ulcer healing by optimal angiogenesis, and thereby dense granulation tissue, as well as the complete re-epithelization and restoration with minimal inflammation[32]. Moreover, with BPC 157, it appears that angiogenesis was closely related to its wound healing and epithelization and restoration with minimal inflammation[3]. In particular, in both muscle (transected or crushed) and transected tendon healing, we noted an increase in early angiogenesis (and the increased expression of VEGF, Factor VIII, and CD34), while late angiogenesis decreased (and the expression of VEGF, Factor VIII, and CD34 was decreased)[3]. The therapeutic potential (i.e., acceleration of the blood flow recovery and vessel number in rats with hind limb ischemia) of pro-angiogenic BPC 157 is associated with VEGFR2 activation and up-regulation[107]. It also immediately triggered the internalization of VEGFR2 and subsequently activated the phosphorylation of VEGFR2 and Akt, and the eNOS signaling pathway without the need for other known ligands or shear stress [107].

On the other hand, as the reduction of Monastral blue staining and maintenance of the endothelium integrity after alcohol intragastric application is an immediate effect of BPC 157, we should consider the pleiotropic beneficial effects of BPC 157 in the entire gastrointestinal tract[1,7]. This should provide evidence that it effectively combines its particular mediator role (as an original anti-ulcer peptide which is stable in human gastric juice for longer than 24 h[12]) and thereby, in Robert’s stomach cytoprotection, protection against direct cell injury made by direct contact of various noxious agents and required endothelium protection and maintenance of the endothelium function[1,7]. This has to be an immediate and rapid effect[1,7]. Thereby,
BPC 157, as a cytoprotective agent in the entire gastrointestinal tract, may both prevent and reverse the Virchow triad situation, and have an additional modulatory role[1,7].

As the first evidence of the implementation of the endothelium maintenance originally noted in stomach cytoprotection studies[1], BPC 157 prevents and reverses thrombosis formation after abdominal aorta anastomosis, or major vein occlusion[1,7,9-11]. Furthermore, BPC 157 may attenuate the prolonged bleeding that appeared after different injuries (i.e., tail or leg amputation, organ perforation, and prolonged occlusion of the inferior caval vein) or anticoagulants, such as heparin or warfarin, and aspirin and the NOS substrate L-arginine application[1,7,9]. Also, it was shown that BPC 157 maintains thrombocyte function, without interfering with coagulation pathways[1,7]. Furthermore, there is evidence that BPC 157 counteracted stroke, given in reperfusion, after clamping of the common carotid arteries (i.e., both early and delayed neural hippocampal damage, achieving full functional recovery (Morris water maze test, inclined beam-walking test, and lateral push test)][147]. Together, this may be a particular modulatory effect or NO-system-related effect[1]. BPC 157 may counteract both the NOS blocker L-NAME’s pro-thrombotic effect and the NOS substrate L-arginine’s anti-thrombotic effect in the same way that it counteracted both L-NMMA-induced hypertension and L-arginine-induced hypotension, and could induce the NO release on its own, which is quite resistant to L-NAME application[1]. Finally, in addition to the VEGFR2-Akt-eNOS signaling pathway being activated without the need for other known ligands or shear stress[107], there is a direct effect on vasomotor tone (i.e., specific activation of Src-Caveolin-1-endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) pathway)[108]. Also, it should be recalled that four major events (vascular constriction, loose platelet plug, flow mismatch, and dissolution of the clot) are implicated in the wound healing process and occur in a set order following the loss of vascular integrity[3]. Consequently, it may be not surprising that an agent implemented in wound healing, such as stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157, should be effective in this particular way also in bleeding disorders[1,3], due to its innate cytoprotective effect, and the fact that it has been shown to be an effective therapy in wound healing[3].

Finally, in consideration of the previous original findings in cytoprotection endothelium studies (complete cessation of blood flow to areas of mucosal damage and rapid cloth formation consequent to ethanol administration[146]), and resolving of the presented Virchow triad circumstances, we suggested that the beneficial effect of cytoprotective agents should be related to the resolution of this noxious chain of events[1,7]. Thus, conclusive evidence involves confrontation with permanent major vessel occlusion, and therapeutic evidence that BPC 157 administration quickly recruits vessels to rapidly activate the collateral pathway which would adequately compensate for vessel occlusion and reestablish blood flow[1,7,9-11]. There, the alleviated peripheral vascular occlusion disturbances rapidly activated alternative bypassing pathways[1,7,9-11], appears to be an additional follow-up of its essential endothelium protection[1], which was long ago implemented as an essential class activity of cytoprotective agents[13]; however, in this way, this has so far only been implemented by the application and beneficial effects of the stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157[1,7,9-11].

**Rapid activation of a bypassing loop from the existing vessels**

With BPC 157 therapy, when confronted with the occluded vessel in rats with distinctive vascular occlusion disturbances, we first reported the rapid activation of a bypassing loop recruited from the existing vessels (i.e., intestinal arcade vessel network, or the left ovarian vein)[1,7,9-11]. The evidence[1,7,9-11] included the infrarenal occlusion of the inferior caval vein, left colic artery and vein occlusion ischemic/reperfusion ulcerative colitis, superior anterior pancreaticoduodenal vein-induced duodenal venous congestion lesions, bile duct ligation-induced liver cirrhosis and portal hypertension, temporary occlusion of the portal triad (Pringle maneuver)-induced ischemia-reperfusion injury[10], and suprahepatic occlusion of the inferior caval vein-induced Budd-Chiari syndrome[11]. This occurred in rats with a ligated part of the left colic artery and vein, ischemic/reperfusion colitis, or an infrarenal ligation of the inferior vena cava[1,7,9-11]. Evidently, the BPC 157 application-induced activation of the collateral pathways (the left ovarian vein and other veins in rats with infrarenal occlusion of the inferior caval vein) may rapidly resolve any systemic disturbances (i.e., caval hypertension, aortal hypotension, heart dysfunction, thrombosis, and consequent thrombocytopenia, and induced bleeding prolongation in rats with infrarenal occlusion of the inferior caval vein)[1,7,9-11]. Likewise, there is also the local injury counteraction (attenuated/counteracted ischemia/reperfusion injury) in a rat study of the ischemic/reperfusion colitis[1,7]. As emphasized[1], with
part of the left colic vein and artery excluded by two ligations, along with BPC 157 application, blood vessels propagated toward the injury obstruction, bypassing it, interconnecting collaterals between arcades, and reestablishing the inside-outside point. In reperfusion, the application of BPC 157 after the initiation of full reperfusion with both ligations removed resulted in increased vessel presentation and arcade interconnections. With application of BPC 157 in ischemia as well as in reperfusion, the mucosal folds were recovered, and the pale areas were small and markedly reduced in size[1]. In the ischemia and even more so in the reperfusion, oxidative stress was counteracted, and the otherwise increased MDA (as a result of the lysis of endothelial cells[7,9,11]) and NO levels in colon tissue were found to be normal in rats that received BPC 157 bath treatment[1]. This occurs as before in both ischemic and reperfusion conditions in the various tissues (i.e., the colon, duodenum, cecum, liver, and veins) and plasma[1,7,9-11]. Thus, the action of BPC 157 as a free radical scavenger (noted also in the other tissues, i.e., gastrointestinal sphincters, stomach, duodenum, bowel adhesions, bladder, and brain[1,7,9-11]) may considerably contribute to its pleiotropic beneficial effects and maintain endothelial function. Notably, BPC 157 contains four carboxylic groups that could be active in scavenger process, and if they are reactivated (by, e.g., glutathione or enzymes), the overall antioxidant activity could be very high[1].

Thus, relieving Virchow’s triad situation is the particular activation of collateral pathways corresponding to the damaging occlusion (i.e., mentioned passing through arcade vessels (occlusion of the left colic artery and vein) or the left ovarian vein (infrarenal occlusion of the inferior cava vein)[1,7,9-11]. As pointed out[1,7,9-11], the superior anterior pancreaticoduodenal vein-inferior anterior pancreaticoduodenal vein-superior mesenteric vein appears to counteract duodenal congestion lesions[1,7,9-11]. A porto-caval shunt appears with the portal vein-superior mesenteric vein-inferior mesenteric vein-rectal vein-left iliac vein-inferior cava vein pathway to counteract portal hypertension in rats with bile duct occlusion or ischemia-reperfusion injury following Pringle maneuver[1,7,9-11]. The inferior caval vein - aygos vein - left superior caval vein pathway appears to counteract Budd-Chiari syndrome in rats[1,7,9-11].

Of note, an adequate compensation regularly occurred. As pointed out in our venous occlusion studies[10,11], there is consistent evidence in rats with bile duct ligation. Preventing the development of portal hypertension, and the rapid reversal of the already established portal hypertension, are both among its additional beneficial effects[150]. We noted that BPC 157 therapy markedly abated jaundice, ascites, and nodular, steatotic livers with large dilatation of the main bile duct, increased liver and/or cyst weight, and decreased body weight[150]. Furthermore, the piecemeal necrosis, focal lytic necrosis, apoptosis, and focal inflammation, disturbed cell proliferation (Ki-67-staining), cytoskeletal structure in the hepatic stellate cell (α-SMA staining), and collagen presentation (Mallory staining) were all counteracted, providing evidence that BPC 157 may affect both liver fibrosis and portal hypertension[150]. Thus, this may be the principle seen in venous occlusion studies[10,11].

As previously reviewed[1], in rats with a perforated cecum, BPC 157 application rapidly reversed the regular noxious course, with the rapid disappearance of blood vessels at the cecum serosa (emptied/disappeared), thereby producing a large immediate defect, with bleeding, the leakage of fluid, increased oxidative stress, and disturbed NO levels in cecal tissue. With BPC 157, there is immediate blood vessel recruitment and activation (“running”) towards the site of injury[150], as was described in the “bypassing” of vessel occlusion via alternative pathways[9-11], which can likely cure rats and reestablish blood flow. Also, a small-vessel network appeared around the perforated defect with BPC 157 bath administration; cecal defect enlargement reversed to defect contraction (i.e., each defect breaks blood flow) may be a result of the reestablishment of blood flow as well as the shortened bleeding time from the perforated cecum[1]. Less bleeding corresponds to the beneficial effects in rats with amputation, anticoagulant or aspirin application, or vein obstruction; direct defect closing corresponds to the closing of various fistula defects, which were also surgically created in corresponding tissues[1,7,5] (i.e., all by Robert’s direct injury to the cell by direct contact).

Along with these findings[1,7,9-11] is the beneficial effect of BPC 157 in rats with a damaged peritoneum. Endothelium maintenance → epithelium maintenance = blood vessel recruitment and activation (“running”) towards the site of injury, also described as “bypassing” the occlusion via alternative ways[1,7,9-11], was seen with BPC 157 administration after parietal peritoneum excision with an underlying superficial layer of muscle tissue in rats to counteract failed vasculature, and finally to counteract the increased formation of adhesions. Rapid abundant vascular vessels in and close to the
defect mean that BPC 157 could interfere with the motion of the coagulation cascade once the peritoneum is damaged[1,7,9-11]. When two damaged peritoneal surfaces come into contact with each other, BPC 157 is likely to interfere the temporary role of fibrin in healing without adhesions that must be degraded by the fibrinolytic system for the restoration of normal tissue structure and function, as it reversed the healing that would result in fusion to form a connection, e.g., an adhesion[151,152].

Finally, with the BPC 157 therapy in the Pringle maneuver in rats[10], severe preportal hypertension, temporary portal triad obstruction, ischemia, and short and prolonged reperfusion, we resolved the regular lack of adequate portocaval shunting as the most detrimental feature that should be counteracted[10]. With the stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157, we noted the resolution of damage, either following occlusion or following the re-opening of the hepatic artery, portal vein, and bile duct. Therefore, in the portal triad obstruction syndrome in rats, in the rapidly activated manner, portal vein-superior mesenteric vein-inferior mesenteric vein-rectal vein-left iliac vein-inferior caval vein pathway would appear as specific activation of the collateral circulation, as the bypassing loop that can rapidly circumvent occlusions and decompress portal triad obstruction in rats upon BPC 157’ administration[10]. That solution in rats with ischemia and reperfusion following the Pringle maneuver goes along with the resolution of oxidative stress, hemodynamic disturbances, severe portal and caval hypertension, aortic hypotension, rapid clot formation in the portal vein, superior mesenteric vein, lienal vein, inferior caval vein, and hepatic artery, ascites, peaked P waves, tachycardia, increased serum values, and gross intestine, liver, lung, spleen, and heart lesions[19]. In particular, it goes along with the application of agents during reperfusion. Furthermore, the pentadecapeptide BPC 157 resolved the suprahepatic occlusion of the inferior caval vein in a Budd-Chiari syndrome model in rats[11]. Budd-Chiari syndrome was perceived as originally suggested[153,154], a hepatic venous outflow obstruction and its manifestation, regardless of cause, but this was mostly attributed to thrombosis, which can be located anywhere from the small hepatic veins to the entrance of the inferior vena cava into the right atrium[153,154]. Thereby, bypassing the occlusion in the rat Budd-Chiari syndrome along with pharmacotherapy treatment should be essential. BPC 157 therapy results in the rapidly activated azygos/hemiazygos vein bypassing pathway, upgrading an inadequate rescuing inferior-superior vena cava shunt to an adequate one, as well as a portocaval shunt[11]. Consequently, the caval and portal hypertension and aortal hypotension presented by Budd-Chiari syndrome rats were largely eliminated by BPC 157 therapy[11]. Largely attenuated consequent disturbances (rapid clot formation in the portal vein, superior mesenteric vein, splenic vein, inferior vena cava, hepatic artery, and coronary artery, as well as peaked P waves, significant ST elevation, tachycardia, gross organ lesions, and liver and spleen weight increases) together support this contention[11].

Thus, BPC 157 application may counteract a life-threatening syndrome[9-11]. Characterized by the multiple possible cause-consequence relationships in vascular occlusion-induced syndrome presentation in rats, the generalized thrombosis and stasis, vascular failure, and heart dysfunction, lung congestion appears to be a common outcome (i.e., time-dependent and time-independent features that the exudative phase features of acute respiratory distress syndrome)[9-11]. Acute lung injury is a primary component of multiple organ dysfunction syndrome triggered by intestinal ischemia-reperfusion. The results may be high mortality and acute lung injury[155,156], followed by liver failure (substantial congestion of central vein as well as branches of portal veins in portal triads), kidney congestion, prominent portal and caval hypertension, aortal hypotension, and consequential gastrointestinal hemorrhagic lesions[9-11]. Therefore, the previously mentioned beneficial effects, in elaborating the cytoprotective “epithelial pathway” (i.e., counteracted various heart or liver lesions), including the combined and simultaneous healing of different tissues[1], may also be essential. In particular, the compensatory efficacy of new functional equilibrium (“endothelium pathway”) with the activated specific functioning collateral pathways[13-15] is also ascertained with an important notification for the general pathology of the portal hypertension[1,7]. Namely, BPC 157 counteracted all portal hypertension presentations whatever the cause, post-hepatic, hepatic, and pre-hepatic[1,7-11].

In addition, as in venous-occlusion syndromes[9-11], BPC 157 also counteracted various lung lesions[1,7].

Finally, with holistic concepts, any criticisms about the cytoprotection concept, such as “cytoprotection”, “as everything and nothing”, and “cytoprotection which is not mechanism”, and thereby, criticisms about peptides and cytoprotection, could not be avoided. The general point that animal studies per se may be cautious regarding their
results and the relative paucity of BPC 157 clinical data was also reported[1]. On the other hand, it should be noted that BPC 157 was proven to be efficacious in ulcerative colitis, both in clinical settings[157,158] and in experimental ischemic/reperfusion ulcerative colitis studies in rats and other ulcerative colitis models[1]. A particular point is the very safe profile (LD1 could not be achieved)[1,3,7], a point that was recently confirmed in a large study by Xu et al[159]. In this context, the role of the animal model is indispensable, and the practical evidence is even more important. Besides the majority of studies with BPC 157 conducted on rodents that were given an injection of the supplement, there have also been a considerable number of studies, particularly in gastrointestinal research, with intragastric application or poreral application in drinking water (regularly used in fistulas studies[1,3]), that are correspondingly effective. There are also studies in other species, i.e., birds and insects (given in the food), which favor a more general effect of BPC 157 application[1,7]. Lastly, the suitability of the models used for the topic of cytoprotection, in particular, since Robert’s original description of the cytoprotection in rats[14-16], evidently resolves the practical/theoretical consideration of the cause-consequence issue. Thus, the suited models and lesion counteraction clearly indicate the beneficial effects. The deciding result exemplified the resolved endothelium pathway (blood vessel recruitment and activation towards defect or bypassing vessel occlusion)[1], but the particular background still needs to be further elaborated. Note, the consistently used range of BPC 157 application (µg-ng) may also suggest a physiological role, in accordance with in situ hybridization and immunostaining for BPC 157 in the gastrointestinal mucosa, lung bronchial epithelium, epidermal layer of the skin, and kidney glomeruli[15].

Thereby, illustrative examples for further research may be the evidence that BPC 157 exhibited a specific effect on the Egr, Nos1, Srf, Vegfr, Akt1, Pyc, and Kras pathways in infrarenal occlusion-induced inferior caval vein syndrome in rats. This appears in a timely manner, to be increased, decreased, or unchanged, depending on whether the vessel was blinded (the right ovarian vein and inferior caval vein) or open and served as an alternative operating pathway (the left ovarian vein)[6]. Also, to support the beneficial effect of BPC 157 on brain lesions, given in reperfusion in stroke rats[147], BPC 157 therapy counteracted both early and delayed neural hippocampal damage, showing that achieving full functional recovery can restore recognition memory deficits along with a therapeutic effect[147]. mRNA expression studies at 1 h and 24 h, provided strongly elevated (Egr1, Akt1, Kras, Srf, Foxo, Srf, Vegfr2, Nos3, and Nos1) and decreased (Nos2 and Nfkb) gene expression (Mapk1 not changed). This may be how BPC 157 acts[147].

In conclusion, Robert’s cytoprotection concept[14-16] was initially of intense interest, but lately received the claim that the concept’s foundation (“gastric cytoprotection”) is still relevant[23]. Anyway, the essential rebuilding was lacking. Now, the concept has been reexamined for many major reasons (Figure 1): (1) The gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157, thought to be an essential cytoprotective mediator that is native to and stable in human gastric juice, was noted to have a pleiotropic beneficial effect[1,3]; (2) With the administration of BPC 157, in prophylactic as well as in therapeutic regimens, there is evidence of the innate Robert’s cell protection in the stomach epithelium against direct injury (which may be induced by various noxious agents) using either method of application, which provides the ability to realize the protection of other epithelia as well[1-3]; (3) BPC 157 effectively combines its particular mediator role (as an original anti-ulcer peptide that is stable in human gastric juice for longer than 24 h); therefore, in Robert’s stomach cytoprotection, it has a protective effect against direct cell injury made by the direct contact of various noxious agents, requiring endothelial protection and the maintenance of endothelial function. This has to be an immediate and rapid effect[1]; (4) As first evidence of the implementation of the endothelial maintenance originally noted in stomach cytoprotection studies, BPC 157 prevents and reverses thrombosis after abdominal aorta anastomosis, or major vein occlusion[1,7,9-11]. Furthermore, BPC 157 may attenuate the prolonged bleeding that appeared after different injuries or anticoagulant, heparin or warfarin, and aspirin application[1,7,9-11]. Also, BPC 157 maintains thrombocyte function, without interfering with coagulation pathways[1,7,9-11]; and (5) The vessel recruitment activated collateral pathways to bypass vessel occlusion as a new conceptual point in the cytoprotection concept, and cytoprotective agent activity[1,7,9-11]. BPC 157 counteracted various venous occlusion-induced syndromes, inferior caval vein syndrome[9], ischemia/reperfusion injury following Pringle maneuver[10], and Budd-Chiari syndrome[11] in rats. Activation of the alternative collateral pathways to bypass occlusion, and reestablishing alternative blood flow result in counteraction of the consequent syndromes[1,7,9-11]. Due to the severe venous occlusion-induced disturbances, the high portal and caval hypertension and aortal hypotension, arterial...
Figure 1 Summarizing the essential epithelium and endothelium protection interplay known in Robert's and Szabo's cytoprotection concept, and the role of the stable pentadecapeptide BPC 157 as a likely mediator, we suggest that BPC 157 may be a useful cytoprotective therapy. Hopefully, it may finally realize in the practice the huge theoretical importance of all aspects of the cytoprotection concept. Conceptually, there is a new point, namely, endothelium maintenance to epithelium maintenance (the recruitment of collateral blood vessels to compensate for vessel occlusion and reestablish blood flow or bypass the occluded or ruptured vessel). BPC 157 counteracts various venous occlusion-induced syndromes, inferior caval vein syndrome, ischemia-reperfusion injury following the Pringle maneuver, and Budd-Chiari syndrome in rats. Activation of the alternative collateral pathways to bypass occlusion, and reestablishing alternative blood flow, result in the counteraction of the full consequent perilous syndromes.

CONCLUSION

BPC 157 may be a useful cytoprotective therapy, which may finally result in the huge theoretical to practical importance of all aspects of the cytoprotection concept[1,7,9-11].

REFERENCES

1. Sikiric P, Hahm KB, Blagaic AB, Tvrdeic A, Pavlov KH, Petrovic A, Kokot A, Gojkovic S, Krezic I, Dmnic D, Rucman R, Seiwerth S. Stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157, Robert's stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection, and Selye's stress coping response: Progress, achievements, and the future. Gut Liver 2020; 14: 153-167 [PMID: 31158953 DOI: 10.5009/gnl18490]
2. Sikiric P, Seiwerth S, Rucman R, Kolenc D, Vuletic LB, Dmnic D, Grgic T, Strbe S, Zukanovic G, Crvenkovic D, Madzaric G, Rukavina I, Sucic M, Baric M, Starcevic N, Kristoljvic Z, Benic ML, Filipic I, Kokotev OS, Vlalic J. Brain-gut axis and pentadecapeptide BPC 157: Theoretical and practical implications. Curr Neuropharmacol 2016; 14: 857-865 [PMID: 27138887 DOI: 10.2174/1570159X13666160502153022]
3. Seiwerth S, Milavic M, Vukojevic J, Gojkovic S, Krezic I, Vuletic LB, Pavlov KH, Petrovic A, Sikiric S, Vranes H, P草原t A, Zizan H, Duracin T, Dobric I, Staresinic M, Strbe S, Knezevic M, Sola M, Kokot A, Sever M, Lovic E, Skrtic A, Blagaic AB, Sikiric P. Stable gastric and venous thrombosis, both peripherally and centrally, and various organs lesions (i.e., gastrointestinal, liver, kidney, heart, and brain) were all attenuated and/or eliminated[1,7,9-11]. Furthermore, this particular beneficial effect may be competing with the Virchow's triad situation that is commonly presented [i.e., duodenal venous congestion lesions, perforated cecum, ischemic/reperfusion colitis, bile duct ligation-induced liver cirrhosis and portal hypertension, portal triad temporary occlusion (ischemia-reperfusion injury following the Pringle maneuver), and suprahepatic occlusion of the inferior caval vein (Budd-Chiari-syndrome)][1,7,9-11,150].
Sikiric P et al. BPC 157 and vessel occlusion

pentadecapeptide BPC 157 and wound healing. *Front Pharmacol* 2021; 12: 627533 [PMID: 34267654 DOI: 10.3389/fphar.2021.627533]

4  Gwyer D, Wragg NM, Wilson SL. Gastric pentadecapeptide body protection compound BPC 157 and its role in accelerating musculoskeletal soft tissue healing. *Cell Tissue Res* 2019; 377: 153-159 [PMID: 30915550 DOI: 10.1007/s00441-019-03016-8]

5  Kang EA, Han YM, An JM, Park YJ, Sikiric P, Kim DH, Kwon KA, Kim YJ, Yang D, Tchah H, Halhub KB. BPC 157 as potential agent rescuing from cancer cachexia. *Curr Pharm Des* 2018; 24: 1947-1956 [PMID: 29898690 DOI: 10.2174/1381612246666180614082950]

6  Park JM, Lee HJ, Sikiric P, Halhub KB. BPC 157 rescued NSAD-cytotoxicity via stabilizing intestinal permeability and enhancing cytoprotection. *Curr Pharm Des* 2020; 26: 2971-2981 [PMID: 32454447 DOI: 10.2174/1381612266666200523180301]

Sikiric P, Rucman R, Turkovic B, Sever M, Klicek R, Radic B, Drmic D, Stupnikse M, Misic M, Vuletic LB, Pavlov KH, Barisic I, Kokot A, Peklic M, Strbe S, Blagaic BA, Tvrdeic A, Kokot DS, Vrecic H, Staresinse M, Seiwerth S. Novel cytoprotective mediator, stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157. Vascular recruitment and gastrointestinal tract healing. *Curr Pharm Des* 2018; 106: 54-66 [PMID: 29510201 DOI: 10.1016/j.vph.2018.02.010]

Wood JD. The first nobel prize for integrated systems physiology: Ivan Petrovich Pavlov, 1904. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 2004; 19: 326-330 [PMID: 15546849 DOI: 10.1111/j.0031-9355.2004.00304.X]

Vukojevic J, Siroglovic M, Kasnik K, Kralji T, Stanic D, Mirkovic I, Cherney VA, Neel VA, Seiwerth S. Role of sulfhydryls and early vascular lesions in gastric mucosal injury. *Dig Dis Sci* 2017; 62: 2223-2230 [PMID: 28733867 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-017-4681-0]

Robert A, Chan K, Guglietta A. Digestion of h-EGF, h-TGF alpha, and BPC-15 in human gastric juice. *Pharmacol Res* 1995; 32: 686-695 [PMID: 7029653 DOI: 10.1016/0090-6980(95)86539-X]

Kolovrat M, Gojkovic S, Krezic I, Malekinaus M, Vrdoljak B, Kannik Kocak V, Kralji T, Drmic D, Barisic I, Horvat Pavlov K, Duzel A, Knezevic M, Mirkovic I, Kokot A, Boban Blagaic A, Seiwerth S, Sikiric P. Pentadecapeptide BPC 157 resolves Pringle maneuver in rats, both ischemia and reperfusion. *World J Hepatol* 2020; 12: 184-206 [PMID: 32547687 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v12.i5.184]

Gojkovic S, Krezic I, Vrdoljak B, Malekinaus M, Barisic I, Petrovic A, Horvat Pavlov K, Kolovrat M, Duzel A, Knezevic M, Kannik Kocak V, Drmic D, Batelja Vuletic L, Kokot A, Boban Blagaic A, Seiwerth S, Sikiric P. Pentadecapeptide BPC 157 resolves suprahepatic occlusion of the inferior caval vein, Budd-Chiari syndrome model in rats. *World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol* 2020; 11: 1-19 [PMID: 32226643 DOI: 10.4291/wjgp.v11i11.1]

Veljaca M, Chan K, Guglietta A. Digestion of h-EGF, h-TGF alpha, and BPC-15 in human gastric juice. *Pharmacol Res* 1995; 31: 70 [DOI: 10.1016/1043-6618(95)86539-X]

Szabo S, Khomenko T, Gombos Z, Deng XM, Jadus MR, Yoshida M. Review article: transcription factors and growth factors in ulcer healing. *Cell Tissue Res* 2020; 380: 131-142 [PMID: 30915550 DOI: 10.1152/physiol.00034.2004]

Konturek PC, Pajdo R, Ptak-Belowska A, Kwiecien S, Pawlik M, Drozdowicz D, Konturek SJ, Pawlik WW. Physiological mediators in nonsteroidal...
anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)-induced impairment of gastric mucosal defense and adaptation. Focus on nitric oxide and lipoxins. *J Physiol Pharmacol* 2008; 59 Suppl 2: 89-102 [PMID: 18812631]

Szabo S, Trier JS, Frankel PW. Sulfhydryl compounds may mediate gastric cytoprotection. *Science* 1981; 214: 200-202 [PMID: 7230691 DOI: 10.1126/science.7230691]

Konturek SJ, Brzozowski T, Piastawski I, Radecki T, Szabo S. Gastric cytoprotection by agents altering gastric mucosal sulfhydryl compounds: role of endogenous prostaglandins. *Adv Prostaglandin Thromboxane Leukot Res* 1983; 12: 411-416 [PMID: 6221624]

Takeuchi K, Kato S, Anagase K. Prostaglandin EP receptors in modulating gastrointestinal mucosal integrity. *J PharmacoSci* 2010; 114: 248-261 [PMID: 21041985 DOI: 10.1254/jphs.100633]

Takeuchi K. Gastric cytoprotection by prostaglandin E₂ and prostacysen: relationship to EP1 and IP receptors. *J Physiol Pharmacol* 2014; 65: 3-14 [PMID: 24622825]

Szabo S, Pihan G. Mechanisms of gastric cytoprotection. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1987; 9 Suppl 1: 8-13 [PMID: 3302011 DOI: 10.1097/00004836-198709011-00003]

Szabo S, Vattay P, Scarbrough E, Folkman J. Role of vascular factors, including angiogenesis, in the mechanisms of action of sucrafate. *Am J Med* 1991; 91: 158S-160S [PMID: 1715670 DOI: 10.1016/s0002-9343(91)90049-e]

deFoneska A, Kautz JD. Gastroduodenal mucosal defense. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 2010; 26: 604-610 [PMID: 20948371 DOI: 10.1097/MOG.0b013e32833f1222]

Brzozowski T, Ptak-Belowska A, Kwiecien S, Krzysiek-Maczka G, Strzalka M, Drozdowicz D, Pajdo R, Olzanszki R, Korbut R, Konturek SJ, Pawlik WW. Novel concept in the mechanism of injury and protection of gastric mucosa: role of remin-angiotsensin system and active metabolites of angiotensin. *Curr Med Chem* 2012; 19: 55-62 [PMID: 22300076 DOI: 10.2174/092986712803413953]

Ray A, Gulati K, Puri S, Sen P. Role of kappa opioid receptors during stress responsiveness in rats. *Indian J Exp Biol* 1993; 31: 116-119 [PMID: 8388852]

Római AZ, Gyires K, Barma I, Müllner K, Palkovits M. Neonatal monosodium glutamate treatment abolishes both delta opioid receptor-induced and alpha-2 adrenoceptor-mediated gastroprotection in the lower brainstem in rats. *J Physiol Paris* 2001; 95: 215-220 [PMID: 11595440 DOI: 10.1016/s0929-4257(01)00026-6]

Filaretova LP. Contribution of glucocorticoid hormones to gastroprotection. *Uspekhi fiziol. nauk* 2014; 45: 44-56 [PMID: 25702452]

Filaretova LP, Podvigina TT, Bobrovsky PY, Bagawa TR, Tanaka A, Takeuchi K. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis: the hidden gold in gastric mucosal homeostasis. *Inflammopharmacology* 2006; 14: 207-213 [PMID: 17095902 DOI: 10.1007/s10787-006-1544-2]

Hernandez DE, Acdock JW, Nemeroff CB, Prange AJ Jr. The role of the adrenal gland in cytoprotection against stress-induced gastric ulcers in rats. *J Neurosci Res* 1984; 11: 193-201 [PMID: 6708137 DOI: 10.1002/jnr.490110209]

Kaneko H, Taché Y, Kusugami K. Importance of medullary thyrotropin-releasing hormone in brain-gut circuits regulating gastric integrity: preclinical studies. *J Gastroenterol* 2002; 37 Suppl 14: 128-132 [PMID: 12572880 DOI: 10.1007/BF03326431]

Taché Y, Yoneda M. Central action of TRH to induce vagally mediated gastric cytoprotection and ulcer formation in rats. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1993; 17 Suppl 1: S58-S63 [PMID: 8283016 DOI: 10.1097/00004836-199312001-00013]

Hernandez DE, Arrendondo ME, Xue BG. Imipramine prevents gastric lesions induced by centrally administered thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH) in rats. *Neurosci Lett* 1990; 111: 339-343 [PMID: 2159606 DOI: 10.1016/0304-3940(90)90285-h]

Király A, Sütó G, Guth PH, Taché Y. Mechanisms mediating gastric hyperemic and acid responses to central TRH analog at a cytoprotective dose. *Am J Physiol* 1997; 273: G31-G38 [PMID: 9252506 DOI: 10.1152/ajpgi.1997.273.1.G31]

Mózskó G. Capsaicin as new orally applicable gastroprotective and therapeutic drug alone or in combination with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in healthy human subjects and in patients. *Prog Drug Res* 2014; 68: 209-258 [PMID: 24941671 DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0828-6_9]

Holzer P. Peptidergic sensory neurons in the control of vascular functions: mechanisms and significance in the cutaneous and splanchnic vascular beds. *Rev Physiol Biochem Pharmacol* 1992; 121: 49-146 [PMID: 14850371 DOI: 10.1007/BF01033194]

Holzer P. Capsaicin: cellular targets, mechanisms of action, and selectivity for sensory neurons. *Pharmacol Rev* 1991; 43: 143-201 [PMID: 1852779]

Glavin GB, Szabo S. Experimental gastric mucosal injury: laboratory models reveal mechanisms of pathogenesis and new therapeutic strategies. *FASEB J* 1992; 6: 825-831 [PMID: 1740232 DOI: 10.1096/fasebj.6.3.1740232]

Szabo S, Vattay P. Experimental gastric and duodenal ulcers. Advances in pathogenesis. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 1990; 19: 67-85 [PMID: 2184131]

Henke PG. Recent studies of the central nucleus of the amygdala and stress ulcers. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 1988; 12: 143-150 [PMID: 2902539 DOI: 10.1016/s0149-7634(88)80006-x]

Sikirić P, Rotkvić I, Mise S, Krizanac S, Gjuris V, Jukić J, Suchanek E, Petek M, Udovcic I, Kalogjera L. The influence of dopamine agonists and antagonists on indomethacin lesions in stomach and small intestine in rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1988; 158: 61-67 [PMID: 2906010 DOI:
Sikiric P et al. BPC 157 and vessel occlusion

10.1016/j.ijms.2014.09.032

51 Hernandez DE. Involvement of dopamine receptors in experimental ulceration. Int J Tissue React 1987; 9: 407-411 [PMID: 3667110]

52 Diehl F, Szabo S. Dose-dependent effects of linear and cyclic somatostatin on ethanol-induced gastric erosions: the role of mast cells and increased vascular permeability in the rat. Regul Pept 1986; 13: 235-245 [PMID: 3877139 DOI: 10.1016/0167-0115(86)90042-x]

53 Konturek SJ. Role of growth factors in gastroduodenal protection and healing of peptic ulcers. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 1990; 19: 41-65 [PMID: 1970337]

54 Brzozowski T, Konturek SJ, Slivowski Z, Pajdo R, Drozdowicz D, Stachura J. Role of beta-adrenoceptors in gastric mucosal integrity and gastroprotection induced by epidermal growth factor. Digestion 1997; 58: 319-331 [PMID: 9324159 DOI: 10.1159/000202146]

55 Brzozowski T. Gastro-protection in vivo and in vitro. Patol Pol 1992; 43: 1-9 [PMID: 1296166]

56 West SD, Mercer DW. Bombesin-induced gastroprotection. Ann Surg 2005; 241: 227-231 [PMID: 15650631 DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000151790.14274.5d]

57 Peeters TL. Ghrelin and the gut. Endocr Dev 2013; 25: 41-48 [PMID: 23652390 DOI: 10.1515/enddev.2013.001]

58 Lewin MJ, Bado A. Gastric leptin. Microsc Res Tech 2001; 53: 372-376 [PMID: 11376498 DOI: 10.1002/jemt.11105]

59 Brzozowski T, Konturek PC, Konturek SJ, Pajdo R, Drozdowicz D, Kwiecién S, Hahn EG. Acceleration of ulcer healing by cholecystokinin (CCK): role of CCK-A receptors, somatostatin, nitric oxide and sensory nerves. Regul Pept 1999; 82: 19-33 [PMID: 10458643 DOI: 10.1016/s0167-0115(99)00029-4]

60 Brzozowski T, Konturek PC, Konturek SJ, Pajdo R, Bielanski W, Brzozowska I, Stachura J, Hahn EG. The role of melanotin and L-tryptophan in prevention of acute gastric lesions induced by stress, ethanol, ischemia, and aspirin. J Pineal Res 1997; 23: 79-89 [PMID: 9392446 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-079x.1997.tb00339.x]

61 Hernandez DE, Stanley DA, Melvin JA, Prange AJ Jr. Role of brain neurotransmitters in neurotensin-induced gastric cytoprotection. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1985; 22: 509-513 [PMID: 2859609 DOI: 10.1016/0091-3057(85)90266-7]

62 Florkiewicz RZ, Ahiuwalia A, Sandor Z, Szabo S, Tarnawski AS. Gastric mucosal injury activates bFGF gene expression and triggers preferential translation of high molecular weight bFGF isoforms through CUG-initiated, non-canonical codons. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2011; 409: 494-499 [PMID: 21600881 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.05.033]

63 Folkman J, Szabo S, Stovroff M, McNeil P, Li W, Shing Y. Duodenal ulcer. Discovery of a new mechanism and development of angiogenic therapy that accelerates healing. Ann Surg 1991; 214: 414-425; discussion 426 [PMID: 1719945 DOI: 10.1016/0003-4975(91)90310-A]

64 Zádori ZS, Tóth VE, Fehér Á, Philipp K, Németh J, Gyires K. Evidence for the gastric cytoprotective effect of centrally injected agmatine. Brain Res Bull 2014; 108: 51-59 [PMID: 25171957 DOI: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2014.07.008]

65 Takeuchi K, Nagahama K. Animal model of acid-reflux esophagitis: pathogenic roles of acid/pepsin, prostaglandins, and amino acids. Biomed Res Int 2014; 2014: 532594 [PMID: 24672789 DOI: 10.1155/2014/532594]

66 Ichikawa T, Hotta K, Ishihara K. Second-generation histamine H(2)-receptor antagonists with gastric mucosal defensive properties. Mini Rev Med Chem 2009; 9: 581-589 [PMID: 19456288 DOI: 10.2174/138955709781667646]

67 Chang M, Xue J, Sharma V, Habtezion A. Protective role of hemeoxygenase-1 in gastrointestinal diseases. Cell Mol Life Sci 2015; 72: 1161-1173 [PMID: 25428780 DOI: 10.1007/s00018-014-1790-1]

68 Ryter SW. Therapeutic potential of heme oxygenase-1 and carbon monoxide in acute organ injury, critical illness, and inflammatory disorders. Antioxidants (Basel) 2020; 9 [PMID: 33228260 DOI: 10.3390/antiox9111153]

69 Na HK, Lee JY. Molecular basis of alcohol-related gastric and colon cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2017; 18 [PMID: 28538665 DOI: 10.3390/ijms18061116]

70 Mésik G. Gastric cytoprotection 30 years after its discovery by André Robert: a personal perspective. Inflammopharmacology 2010; 18: 209-221 [PMID: 20596896 DOI: 10.1007/s10787-010-0045-5]

71 Jaburok M, Průchová P, Holendová B, Galkin A, Ježek P. Antioxidant synergy of mitochondrial phospholipase PNPLA8/iPLA2γ with fatty acid-conducting SLC25 gene family transporters. Antioxidants (Basel) 2021; 10 [PMID: 33926509 DOI: 10.3390/antiox10050678]

72 Li Y, Wu W, Liu W, Zhou M. Roles and mechanisms of reninase in cardiovascular disease: A promising therapeutic target. Biomed Pharmacother 2020; 131: 110712 [PMID: 32916539 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110712]

73 Detsika MG, Lianos EA. Regulation of complement activation by heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) in kidney injury. Antioxidants (Basel) 2021; 10 [PMID: 33418934 DOI: 10.3390/antiox10010060]

74 Dimitrova-Shumkovska J, Krstanoski L, Veenman L. Potential beneficial actions of fucoidan in ethanol, ischemia, and aspirin. Patol Pol 2017; 68: 237-243 [PMID: 23652390 DOI: 10.1515/patolpol-2017-0087]

75 Jabbehdari S, Handa JT. Oxidative stress as a therapeutic target for the prevention and treatment of early age-related macular degeneration. Surv Ophthalmol 2021; 66: 423-440 [PMID: 32901209 DOI: 10.1016/j.survophthal.2021.03.002]
The potential role of egr-1 expression. MJ. Enhancement by PL 14736 of granulation and collagen organization in healing wounds and the suppression: Past, present, and future. Herszényi L 1989; Szabó S 10.3109/00365528809093839 to cancer and migraine. Black JW 19798012 Mason JW 798012 Selye H 1973; BPC 157 and vessel occlusion.
Sikiric P et al. BPC 157 and vessel occlusion

1.10.1016/j.ejphar.2007.05.072

103 Chang CH, Tsai WC, Hsu YH, Pang JH. Pentadecapeptide BPC 157 enhances the growth hormone receptor expression in tendon fibroblasts. Molecules 2014; 19: 19066-19077 [PMID: 25415472 DOI: 10.3390/molecules191119066]

104 Chang CH, Tsai WC, Lin MS, Hsu YH, Pang JH. The promoting effect of pentadecapeptide BPC 157 on tendon healing involves tendon outgrowth, cell survival, and cell migration. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2011; 110: 774-780 [PMID: 21030672 DOI: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00945.2010]

105 Huang T, Zhang K, Sun L, Xue X, Zhang C, Shu Z, Mu N, Gu J, Zhang W, Wang Y, Zhang Y. Body protective compound-157 enhances alkali-burn wound healing in vivo and promotes proliferation, migration, and angiogenesis in vitro. Drug Des Devel Ther 2015; 9: 2485-2499 [PMID: 25995620 DOI: 10.2147/DDDT.S82030]

106 Hsieh MJ, Lee CH, Chueh HY, Chang GJ, Huang HY, Lin Y, Pang JS. Modulatory effects of BPC 157 on vasomotor tone and the activation of Src-Caveolin-1-endothelial nitric oxide synthase pathway. Sci Rep 2020; 10: 17078 [PMID: 33051481 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-74022-y]

107 Hsieh MJ, Liu HT, Wang CN, Huang HY, Lin Y, Ko YS, Wang JS, Chang VH, Pang JS. Therapeutic potential of pro-angiogenic BPC157 is associated with VEGFR2 activation and up-regulation. J Mol Med (Berl) 2017; 95: 323-333 [PMID: 27847966 DOI: 10.1007/s00109-016-1488-y]

108 Sandor Z, Vince A, Szabo S. The protective effect of a recently isolated peptide PL-10 in acute and chronic gastric injury. FASEB J 1996; 10: 171

109 Bódis B, Karádi O, Nagy L, Doghoczky C, Kolega M, Mózsik G. Direct cellular effects of some mediators, hormones and growth factor-like agents on denervated (isolated) gastric mucosal cells. J Physiol Paris 1997; 91: 183-187 [PMID: 9403792 DOI: 10.1016/s0928-4257(97)89482-x]

110 Bódis B, Karádi O, Németh P, Doghoczky C, Kolega M, Mózsik G. Evidence for direct cellular protective effect of PL-10 substances (synthesized parts of body protection compound, BPC) and their specificity to gastric mucosal cells. Life Sci 1997; 61: PL 243-PL 248 [PMID: 9353174 DOI: 10.1016/s0024-3205(97)00744-3]

111 Veljaca M, Lesch CA, Pilana R, Sanchez B, Chan K, Guglietta A. BPC-15 reduces trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid-induced colonic damage in rats. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1995; 272: 417-422 [PMID: 7815315]

112 Khomenko T, Szabo S, Deng XM, Sandor Z, Gombos Z, Yoshida M. Cell proliferation, transcription factor Egr-1 and growth factors in experimental ulcerative colitis after treatment with PL 14736: In vitro and in vivo studies. Gastroenterology 2003; 124: 493 [DOI: 10.1016/s0016-5085(03)24995-2]

113 Sandor ZS, Vince A, Judas MR, Doghoczky C, Erceg D, Szabo S. The protective effect of newly isolated peptide PL-10 in the iodoacetamide colitis model in rats. Gastroenterology 1997; 112: 400

114 Wu H, Wei M, Li N, Lu Q, Shrestha SM, Tan J, Zhang Z, Wu G, Shi R. Clopidogrel-induced gastric mucosal lesion. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2020; 14: 5599-5610 [PMID: 33376304 DOI: 10.2147/DDDT.S284163]

115 Okabe S, Amagase K. An overview of acetic acid ulcer models—the history and state of the art of peptic ulcer research. Biol Pharm Bull 2005; 28: 1321-1341 [PMID: 16079471 DOI: 10.1248/bpb.28.1321]

116 Okabe S, Roth JL, Pfeiffer CJ. A method for experimental, penetrating gastric and duodenal ulcers in rats. Observations on normal healing. Am J Dig Dis 1971; 16: 277-284 [PMID: 5554507 DOI: 10.1007/BF02235252]

117 Glavin GB, Paré WP, Sandbak T, Bakke HK, Murison R. Restraint stress in biomedical research: an update. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 1994; 18: 223-249 [PMID: 8058215 DOI: 10.1016/0149-7634(94)90027-2]

118 Glavin GB, Murison R, Overmier JB, Paré WP, Bakke HK, Henke PG, Hernandez DE. The neurobiology of stress ulcers. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 1991; 16: 301-343 [PMID: 1790434 DOI: 10.1016/0165-0173(91)90012-w]

119 Paré WP, Glavin GB. Restraint stress in biomedical research: a review. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 1996; 10: 339-370 [PMID: 3095718 DOI: 10.1016/0149-7634(86)90017-5]

120 Overmier JB, Murison R, Milde AM. Sensitization and conditioning as contributors to gastrointestinal vulnerability. Auton Neurosci 2006; 125: 22-27 [PMID: 16476574 DOI: 10.1016/j.autneu.2006.01.011]

121 Zhao DQ, Xue H, Sun HJ. Nervous mechanisms of restraint water-immersion stress-induced gastric mucosal lesion. World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 2533-2549 [PMID: 32523309 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i20.2533]

122 Xue XC, Wu YJ, Gao MT, Li WG, Zhao N, Wang ZL, Bao CJ, Yan Z, Zhang YQ. Protective effects of pentadecapeptide BPC 157 on gastric ulcer in rats. World J Gastroenterol 2004; 10: 1032-1036 [PMID: 15052688 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v10.i7.1032]

123 Erceg D, Simicicic VN, Kolega M, Doghoczky C. Some aspects of the effects of PL-10.AK-15 on the gastrointestinal tract. J Physiol Paris 1997; 91: 179-181 [PMID: 9403791 DOI: 10.1016/s0928-4257(97)89481-5]

124 Szabo S, Pihan G. Development and significance of cysteamine and propionitrile models of duodenal ulcer. Chronobiol Int 1987; 4: 31-42 [PMID: 3315259 DOI: 10.1080/07420528709078506]

125 Szabo S, Pihan G, Gallagher GT, Brown A. Role of local secretory and motility changes in the pathogenesis of experimental duodenal ulcer. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl 1984; 92: 106-111
126 Gallagher G, Brown A, Szabo S. Effect of dopamine-related drugs on duodenal ulcer induced by cysteamine or propionitrile: prevention and aggravation may not be mediated by gastrointestinal secretory changes in the rat. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1987; 240: 883-889 [PMID: 3559980 DOI: 10.1124/jpet.240.2.883]

127 Szabo S, Haith LR Jr, Reynolds ES. Pathogenesis of duodenal ulceration produced by cysteamine or propionitrile: influence of vagotomy, sympathectomy, histamine depletion, H-2 receptor antagonists and hormones. Dig Dis Sci 1979; 24: 471-477 [PMID: 37058 DOI: 10.1007/BF01298331]

128 Somerville KW, Langman MJ. Newer antisecretory agents for peptic ulcer. Drugs 1983; 25: 315-330 [PMID: 6133734 DOI: 10.2165/00003495-198325030-00003]

129 Szabo S, Vukojević J, Guth PH. Growth hormone IGF-I administration on the healing of colonic anastomoses in rats. Int J Colorectal Dis 1998; 13: 266-274 [PMID: 9644680 DOI: 10.1007/s002469900090]

130 Mangla JC, Pihan G, Brown HA, Rattan S, Szabo S. Effect of duodenal ulcers on cysteamine, mepirizole, and MPTP on duodenal myoelectric activity in rats. Dig Dis Sci 1989; 34: 537-542 [PMID: 2784758 DOI: 10.1007/BF01536329]

131 Szabo S, Neumeyer JL. Dopamine agonists and antagonists in duodenal ulcer disease. In: ACS Symposium Series, eds. Kaiser C, Kebabian W. American Chemical Society Publications. Washington, 1983: 175-199

132 Wang XY, Qu M, Duan R, Shi D, Jin L, Gao J, Wood JD, Li J, Wang GD. Cytoprotective mechanism of the novel gastric peptide BPC 157 in gastrointestinal tract centring on enteric neurons and glial cells. Neurosci Bull 2019; 35: 167-170 [PMID: 30116973 DOI: 10.1007/s12264-018-0269-8]

133 BrehmTsi-Falopppa AC, Fantozzi ET, de Assis Ramos MM, Vitoretti LB, Couto GK, Lino-dos-Santos-Franco A, Rossoni LV, Oliveira-Filho RM, Vargaffil GB, Tavares-de-Lima W. Protective effects of estradiol on acute lung inflammation induced by an intratracheal Th1/Th0-09 dependent on nitric oxide. Shock 2013; 40: 203-209 [PMID: 23846411 DOI: 10.1097/SHK.0b013e3182a01e24]

134 Koike K, Moore FA, Moore EE, Poggetti RS, Tudor RM, Banerjee A. Endotoxin after gut ischemia/reperfusion causes irreversible lung injury. J Surg Res 1992; 52: 656-662 [PMID: 1326681 DOI: 10.1016/0022-4804(92)90145-p]

135 Seveljević-Jaran D, Cuzić S, Dominis-Kramarić M, Glognarić I, Ivetić V, Radošević S, Parham AM. Accelerated healing of excisional skin wounds by PL 14736 in alloxan-hyperglycemic rats. J Pediatr Surg 1996; 31: 6588493

136 Sigalet DL, Martin GR. Hormonal therapy for short bowel syndrome. J Pediatr Surg 2000; 35: 360-363; discussion 364 [PMID: 10903697 DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3468(99)00341-1]

137 Fiore NF, Ledniczky G, Liu Q, Orazi A, Du X, Williams DA, Grosfeld JL. Comparison of interleukin-11 and epidermal growth factor on residual small intestine after massive small bowel resection. J Pediatr Surg 1998; 33: 24-29 [PMID: 9473093 DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3468(98)00354-2]

138 Pereira PM, Bines JE. New growth factor therapies aimed at improving intestinal adaptation in short bowel syndrome. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006; 21: 932-940 [PMID: 16724975 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2006.04351.x]

139 Petersen TI, Kissmeyer-Nielsen P, Flyvbjerg A, Laurberg S, Christensen H. Effect of insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) administration on the healing of colonic anastomoses in rats. Int J Colorectal Dis 1996; 11: 19-24 [PMID: 8919336 DOI: 10.1007/BF00418850]

140 Seyer-Hansen M, Pihan G, Brown HA, Rattan S, Szabo S. Effect of duodenal ulcerogens cysteamine, propionitrile: prevention and aggravation may not be mediated by gastrointestinal mechanisms. Toxicol Pathol 1988; 16: 205-212 [PMID: 3055230 DOI: 10.1177/1926233881060213]

141 Kato Y, Yu D, Schwartz MZ. Enhancement of intestinal adaptation by hepatocyte growth factor. J Pediatr Surg 1996; 31: 836-91 [PMID: 86-91 DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3468(99)00342-7]

142 Festuccia C, Angelucci A, Gravina GL, Biordi L, Millimaggi D, Muzi P, Vicentini C, Bologna M. Epidermal growth factor modulates prostate cancer cell invasiveness regulating urokinase-type plasminogen activator activity. EGFR-receptor inhibition may prevent tumor cell dissemination. Thorim Haematost 2005; 93: 964-975 [PMID: 15886816 DOI: 10.1161/THA.016.09-0137]

143 Drucker DJ. Gut adaptation and the glucoagon-like peptides. Gut 2002; 50: 428-435 [PMID: 11839727 DOI: 10.1136/gut.50.3.428]

144 Guth PH. Gastric blood flow in ethanol injury and prostaeglandin cytoprotection. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl 1986; 186: 85-91 [PMID: 3469743 DOI: 10.3109/0365528680903849]

145 Vukovjević J, Vrdoljak B, Malekinušić D, Popadic M, Milavšić M, Kolenc D, Boban Blagaić A, Batelja L, Drimić D, Seiverth S, Sikirić P. The effect of pentadecapeptide BPC 157 on hippocampal ischemia/reperfusion injuries in rats. Brain Behav 2020; 10: e01726 [PMID: 32558293 DOI: 10.1002/brb3.1726]

146 Schiller HJ, Reilly PM, Bulkley GB. Tissue perfusion in critical illnesses. Antioxidant therapy. Crit Care Med 1993; 21: S92-102 [PMID: 8428505 DOI: 10.1097/00003246-199302001-00016]
Sikiric P et al. BPC 157 and vessel occlusion

149 Rangan U, Bulkley GB. Prospects for treatment of free radical-mediated tissue injury. Br Med Bull 1993; 49: 700-718 [PMID: 8221033 DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.bmb.a072641]

150 Sever AZ, Sever M, Vidovic T, Lojo N, Kolene D, Vuletic LB, Drmic D, Kokot A, Zoricic I, Coric M, Vlamic J, Poljak I, Seijerth S, Sikiric P. Stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157 in the therapy of the rats with bile duct ligation. Eur J Pharmacol 2019; 847: 130-142 [PMID: 30690000 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2019.01.030]

151 Davey AK, Maher PJ. Surgical adhesions: a timely update, a great challenge for the future. J Minim Invasive Gynecol 2007; 14: 15-22 [PMID: 17218224 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmig.2006.07.013]

152 Collen D. On the regulation and control of fibrinolysis. Edward Kowalski Memorial Lecture. Thromb Haemost 1980; 43: 77-89 [PMID: 6450468]

153 Ludwig J, Hashimoto E, McGill DB, van Heerden JA. Classification of hepatic venous outflow obstruction: ambiguous terminology of the Budd-Chiari syndrome. Mayo Clin Proc 1990; 65: 51-55 [PMID: 2296212 DOI: 10.1016/0025-6196(90)90894-o]

154 Darwish Murad S, Dom VA, Rittman EL, de Groen PC, Beigley PE, Abraham SC, Zondervan PE, Janssen HL. Early changes of the portal tract on microcomputed tomography images in a newly-developed rat model for Budd-Chiari syndrome. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2008; 23: 1561-1566 [PMID: 19120847 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2008.05403.x]

155 Bona E, Hagberg H, Loberg EM, Bägenholm R, Thoresen M. Protective effects of moderate hypothermia after neonatal hypoxia-ischemia: short- and long-term outcome. Pediatr Res 1998; 43: 738-745 [PMID: 9621982 DOI: 10.1203/00006450-199806000-00005]

156 Murao Y, Loomis W, Wolf P, Hoyt DB, Junger WG. Effect of dose of hypertonic saline on its potential to prevent lung tissue damage in a mouse model of hemorrhagic shock. Shock 2003; 20: 29-34 [PMID: 12813365 DOI: 10.1097/01.shk.0000071060.78689.ff]

157 Veljaca M, Pavic-Sladoljev D, Mildner B, Brajsa K, Kmic Z, Bubnik M, Stipanicevic S, Tabak-Slosic M, Brnic L, Khan Z, Krznaric Z, Bischoff A, Scroeder A, van Dongen WD, van Schaik F. Safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of PL 14736, a novel agent for treatment of ulcerative colitis, in healthy male volunteers. Gut 2003; 51: A309

158 Ruenzi M, Stolte M, Veljaca M, Oreskovic K, Peterson J; Ulcerative Colitis Study Group. A multicenter, randomized, double blind, placebo controlled phase II study of PL 14736 enema in the treatment of mild-to-moderate ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology 2005; 128: 584

159 Xu C, Sun L, Ren F, Huang P, Tian Z, Cui J, Zhang W, Wang S, Zhang K, He L, Zhang C, Hao Q, Zhang Y, Li M, Li W. Preclinical safety evaluation of body protective compound-157, a potential drug for treating various wounds. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 2020; 114: 104665 [PMID: 32334036 DOI: 10.1016/j.yrtph.2020.104665]
