Diatoms Flora from a Shallow Reservoir in Ivory Coast
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ABSTRACT
The diatoms flora of Adzopé Reservoir in Côte d'Ivoire was investigated from January to December 2005. The species composition of the assemblage was compiled, accompanied by illustrations. Fifty-one taxa were identified in the temporal survey. Five taxa (16.3%) were new for Côte d'Ivoire. Eleven common species, 9 occasional taxa and 11 rare taxa were also recorded. No spatial variations in the taxonomic composition of the populations of diatoms were found. Variations were encountered in the seasonal analysis. Variation in the dimensions of some identified taxa have been observed. The species richness of Diatoms in the Adzopé Reservoir was in relation with water current velocity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Diatoms are unicellular, eukaryotic algae, best known for their characteristic silica-based cell wall called a frustule. They might be solitary or colonial, with some diatoms remaining suspended within the aquatic habitat while other forms of diatoms are settled within the sediment, where they are a major food source for grazing protozoa and animals [1]. Fast-reproducing organisms, most diatoms are widespread on different substrates and are closely associated with a certain microbiotope. Due to their sessile nature and fast growth rate [2], diatoms are very useful for studying the impact of various forms of pollution such as the discharge of waste water, treated sewage effluents and organic and inorganic nutrients [3]. They may promptly indicate adverse changes in main biotopic conditions determined by natural environmental processes and anthropogenic impact [4]. They are so valuable indicators of environmental conditions because they respond directly and sensitively to many physical, chemical, and biological changes in aquatic ecosystems [5-7]. Due to this, Diatoms have proven to be extremely powerful tools to explore and interpret many ecological conditions. They are also used for monitoring the environmental conditions of water in many countries in Europe [8].

Although diatoms are recognized as being the best bioindicators [9], little is known about Diatoms in adzopé reservoir in Côte d’Ivoire. This reservoir, surrounded by urban development, is a shallow raw potable water reservoir, influenced by a diverse variety of anthropogenic activities. The aim of this study is to provide a taxonomic and floristic account, and to try to understand the species richness of the Adzopé Reservoir diatoms.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Description of Study Area

The area has four seasons as shown in Fig. 1: the long dry season (December-February), the long rainy season (March-July); the short dry season (August) and the short rainy season (September-November) [10]. The reservoir as shown in Fig. 2, located at 6°06’N and 3°51’E, lies in an urban area of the city of Adzopé, in the south-east of Côte d’Ivoire that belongs to the subequatorial zone [11]. It has a surface area of 61.44 ha. The reservoir has a maximum depth of 7 m and a length of about 2 km. It has no permanent inflowing streams, but there is an overflow channel at its southern end. The sources of water inputs are seasonal, usually being direct precipitation in the form of rainfall.

The reservoir was built in 1977 for water supplementation. Two sampling stations (St1 and St2) were sampled according to the longitudinal gradient as shown in Fig. 2.

2.2 Physicochemical Parameters

Some physicochemical measurements were made in the field immediately after each sample was collected. The Conductimeter Aqualytic CD24 was used to assess water temperature and conductivity. Dissolved oxygen was measured with the Oxymeter Aqualytic OX24, and pH with the pHmeter Aqualytic, pH24. For nutrients (orthophosphates and nitrates), subsamples of 30 ml were collected and refrigerated for later analysis according to the standard methods AFNOR T90-23 and T90-110. The water transparency (ZSD) and the the water current velocity were measured in situ, using a white Secchi disk and a Lightweight Current meter model 106 respectively.

2.3 Sampling, Preparation, and Data Analysis

Diatoms communities were assessed both by sampling natural (stones and leaves of Nymphaea lotus) and artificial (glass fragments and pieces of wood) substrates located at well-illuminated places. Algae were removed monthly by scraping the substrates with razor blades. Other samples were collected with 20 µm mesh plankton net at surface level. Diatom valves were cleaned by hot nitric acid in order to remove the organic material and were embedded in Naphrax resin. For qualitative analysis an Olympus BX40 microscope, equipped with a Canon camera was used. Species were identified according to the relevant taxonomic guides [12-17].

In average, 50 organisms were used for measurements. The frequency of each species present was determined according to [18]. Three frequency groups were distinguished according to value of frequency (F): 1) common species (F > 50%); 2) occasional species (25% < F < 50 %); 3) rare species (F < 25%).
In taxonomic part, for each taxon, the latin name with authors name(s), a year of description and reference to the illustration(s) in the iconographic part are given. The morphology (Morph.) in which the length and width of valves, the number of striae or fibulae are also given. The habitat in which the taxon has been harvested is given (planktic, epilithic, epiphytic,) based on own observations is presented in Ecology (Ecol.) section. Finally, the stations where the taxon is observed and the geographic distribution of each taxon are also given in Distribution (Distr.) section. Taxa indicated by an asterisk are reported for the first time in Côte d’Ivoire. The taxa are arranged following the classification of [19].
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results

3.1.1 Physicochemical parameters

The physicochemical parameters at the two sampling stations (St1 and St2) throughout the seasons are summarized in Table 1.

The reservoir water temperature fluctuated between 23.3 °C during the short dry season and 30.13 °C during the short rainy season. The conductivity exhibited a low fluctuation throughout the seasons. Its values varied from 190.44 µS.cm⁻¹ in long dry season to 164.78 µS.cm⁻¹ during long rainy season. The lowest average value of dissolved oxygen (1.99 mg.L⁻¹) was measured during the long dry season, then the highest (4.37 mg.L⁻¹) was in the long dry season. The measured pH varied around the neutral value 7. The lowest average values of pH were obtained during short dry season. The water transparency was essentially low (ZSD min = 35.69 cm, ZSD max = 80 cm), probably reflecting the high turbidity of the lake. The highest water transparency values were obtained during short dry season. The measured ZSD min = 35.69 cm, ZSD max = 80 cm, probably reflecting the high turbidity of the lake.

3.1.2 Taxonomy

The following taxa were identified

**Aulacoseira granulata** (Ehrenberg) Simonsen, 1979 (Fig. 3).

Morph.: Valves diameter: 3-5 µm, mantle height 15-26 µm; mantle with areolae curved to the right or straight and parallel to pervalvar axis; *Ecol.*: Planktic, epipelic and epilithic; *Distr.*: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

**Aulacoseira granulata var. angustissima** (O.F. Müller) Simonsen, 1979 (Fig. 4).

Frustule indentical to *Aulacoseira granulata* but terminal valves with 1 or 2 spines very long, almost equal in length to the valve mantle; Planktic, epipelic and epilithic; *Distr.*: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

**Ulnaria ulna** (Nitzsch) Compère 2001 (Fig. 5)

Morph.: Valve length: 150-220 µm, width: 7-9 µm, 7-9 striae in 10 µm; *Ecol.*: Planktic, epipelic, epiphitic; *Distr.*: St2, Subcosmopolitan.

**Ulnaria biceps** (Kützing) Compère 2001 (Figs. 6-7)

Morph.: Valve length: 94-110 µm, width: 4-6 µm, 12-13 striae in 10 µm; *Ecol.*: Planktic, epilithic, epiphitic; *Distr.*: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

**Eunotia bilunaris** (Ehrenberg) Schaarschmidt 1880 (Fig. 8)

Morph.: Valve length: 40-45 µm, width: 3-4 µm, 24-26 striae in 10 µm; *Ecol.*: Planktic, epilithic; *Distr.*: St2, Cosmopolitan.

**Eunotia angustissima** (O.F. Müller) Simonsen, 1979 (Fig. 9)

Morph.: Valve length: 30-50 µm, width: 5-6 µm, 13-17 striae in 10 µm; *Ecol.*: Planktic, epilithic, epiphitic; *Distr.*: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

**Eunotia pectinalis** (Kützing) Rabenhorst 1864 (Fig. 10)

Morph.: Valve length: 92-96 µm, width: 12-14 µm, 10-12 striae in 10 µm; *Ecol.*: planktic, epilithic & epiphytic; *Distr.*: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

**Eunotia soleirolii** (Kützing) Rabenhorst 1864 (Fig. 11)

Morph.: Valves linear, slightly arcuate, Valve length: 33-37µm, width: 4-5µm, 17-19 striae in 10
Morph.: Valve length: 32-60 µm, width: 8-16 µm, 7-10 striae in 10 µm. Ecol.: planktic, epilithic, epiphytic; Distr.: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

Gomphonema gracile Ehrenberg 1838 (Fig. 22)
Morph.: Valve length: 45-78 µm, width: 8-12 µm, 10-13 striae in 10 µm; Ecol.: planktic, epilithic, epiphytic; Distr.: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

Genus: Encyonema Kützing

Encyonema elginense (Krammer) D.G.Mann in Round, R.M.Crawford & D.G.Mann 1990 (Fig. 12)
Morph.: Valve length: 28-45 µm, width: 8-11 µm, 11-12 striae in 10 µm. Ecol.: planktic, epilithic, epiphytic; Distr.: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

Encyonema silesiacum (Bleisch) D.G.Mann in Round, R.M.Crawford & D.G.Mann 1990 (Fig. 13-15)
Morph.: Valve length: 28-45 µm, width: 8-10 µm, 9-10 dorsal striae in 10 µm, 13-15 ventral striae in 10 µm. Ecol.: epilithic; Distr.: St1 & St2.

Genus: Gomphonema Ehrenberg

Gomphonema affine Kützing 1844 (Fig. 17-19)
Morph.: Valve length: 30-47 µm, width: 8-10 µm, 10-13 striae in 10 µm; Ecol.: planktic, epilithic, epiphytic; Distr.: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan

Gomphonema olivaceum (Hornemann) Kützing 1838 (Fig. 23)
Morph.: Valve length: 32-38 µm, width: 10-12 µm, 13 striae in 10 µm; Ecol.: epilithic, epiphytic, Distr.: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

Gomphonema parvulum (Kützing) Kützing 1849 (Fig. 24-26)
Morph.: Valve length: 19-25 µm, width: 6-8 µm, 14-16 striae in 10 µm; Ecol.: planktic, epilithic, epiphytic, Distr.: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

Genus: Placoneis Mereschkowsky

*Placoneis pseudanglica E.J.Cox 1988 (Fig. 29)
Morph.: Valve length: 30-34 µm, width: 10-13 µm, 14-15 striae in 10 µm; Ecol.: epilithic, epiphytic, Distr.: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

Order: Naviculales Bessey
Family: Diploneidaceae D.G.Mann
Genus: Diploneis Ehrenberg ex Cleve

*Diploneis subovalis Cleve 1894 (Fig. 30)
Morph.: Valve length: 19-25 µm, width: 9-12 µm, 24 striae in 10 µm; Ecol.: epilithic, epiphytic, Distr.: St2, Subcosmopolitan.

Family: Naviculaceae Kützing
Genus: Caloneis Cleve

*Caloneis lauta J.R.Carter 1981 (Fig. 31)
Morph.: Valve length: 24-26 µm, width: 6-8 µm, 24 striae in 10 µm; Ecol.: epilithic, epiphytic, Distr.: St2, Cosmopolitan.

Genus: Navicula Bory
Table 1. Physicochemical parameters during the study

| Sampling station | Season | Temperature (°C) | Conductivity (µS.cm⁻¹) | Dissolved oxygen (mg.L⁻¹) | pH | Transparency (cm) | Nitrates (mg.L⁻¹) | Orthophosphates (mg.L⁻¹) | Current velocity (m³.S⁻¹) |
|------------------|--------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| St1 LDS          | 27.66  | 170.4           | 3.31                   | 8.21                      | 60 | 0.8              | 0.17              | 0.08                     | 0.08                      |
| LRS              | 26.4   | 171             | 3.61                   | 7.2                       | 35.69 | 0.4             | -                | 0.38                     | 0.38                      |
| SDS              | 23.3   | 182.1           | 2.17                   | 7.4                       | 80  | 0.6              | 0.15              | 0.28                     | 0.28                      |
| SRS              | 29.31  | 181.44          | 3.09                   | 7.39                      | 42.33 | -                | 0.04              | 0.22                     | 0.22                      |
| St2 LDS          | 29.76  | 190.44          | 1.99                   | 8.2                       | 53.33 | 2.54             | 0.28              | 0.18                     | 0.18                      |
| LRS              | 27.9   | 164.78          | 4.37                   | 7.56                      | 40.8 | 0.40             | -                | 0.32                     | 0.32                      |
| SDS              | 25.6   | 183.6           | 2.02                   | 6.1                       | 62  | 1.1              | 1.68              | 0.28                     | 0.28                      |
| SRS              | 30.13  | 176             | 3.2                    | 7.56                      | 51.34 | 0.5             | 0.11              | 0.24                     | 0.24                      |

Table 2. List of taxa observed in the Adzopé Reservoir (F: species occurrence frequency,  * presence, LDS: long dry season, LRS: long rainy season, SDS: short dry season, SRS: short rainy season, Dist.: Distribution, Plan. Plankthic, Epil.: Epilithic, Epip.: Epiphytic)

| Aulacoseira granulata | * | * | C | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Aulacoseira granulata var. angustissima | * | * | C | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Ulnaria biceps | * | Sc | 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Ulnaria ulna | * | * | C | 2 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Eunotia bilunaris | * | * | C | 3 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Eunotia minor | * | * | C | 2 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Eunotia pectinalis | * | * | C | 3 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Eunotia soleirolii | * | * | C | 3 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Encyonema elginense | * | * | C | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Encyonema silesiacum | * | * | C | 3 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Encyonema cf. gracilis | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Gomphonema affine | * | * | Sc | 3 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Gomphonema brasiliense | * | P | 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Gomphonema dichotomum | * | * | C | 2 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Gomphonema gracile | * | * | C | 3 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Gomphonema olivaceum | * | * | C | 2 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Gomphonema parvulum | * | * | C | 1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Gomphonema sp.1 | * | * | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * |

77
|                          | St1 | St2 | Dist. | F  | Plan. | Epil. | Epip. | LDS | LRS | SDS | SRS |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Gomphonema sp.2          | *   |     | 1     | *  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |
| Placoneis pseudanglica   | *   |     | C     | 1  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |
| Diploneis subovalis      | *   | Sc  | 1     | *  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |
| Caloneis lauta           | *   |     | C     | 1  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |
| Navicula cryptocephala   | *   |     | C     | 3  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |
| Pinnularia acrosphaeria  | *   |     | C     | 1  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |
| Pinnularia divergens     | *   |     | C     | 1  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |
| Pinnularia interrupta    | *   |     | C     | 2  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |
| Pinnularia microstauron  | *   |     | C     | 2  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |
| Sellaphora pupula        | *   |     | C     | 2  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |
| Amphora cf. fogediana    | *   |     | C     | 1  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |
| Nitzschia amphibia       | *   |     | C     | 3  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |
| Nitzschia palea          | *   |     | C     | 3  | *     | *     | *     | *   | *   | *   | *   |

|          | 21 | 31 | 21 | 31 | 26 | 29 | 12 | 22 | 16 |
Fig. 3. Aulacoseira granulata, 4-Aulacoseira granulata var. angustissima, 5-Ulnaria ulna, 6-Ulnaria biceps, 7-Ulnaria ulna, 8-Eunotia bilunaris, 9-Eunotia minor, 10-Eunotia pectinalis, 11-Eunotia soleirolii, 12-Encyonema elginense, 13-15-Encyonema silesiacum

Navicula cryptocephala Kützing 1844 (Figs. 32-33)

Morph.: Valve length: 28-35 µm, width: 6-7 µm, 16-17 striae in 10 µm; Ecol.: planktic, epilithic, epiphytic, Distr.: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

Pinnularia interrupta W. Smith 1853 (Fig. 36)

Morph.: Valve length: 40-52 µm, width: 6-7 µm, 13-14 striae in 10 µm; Ecol.: planktic, epilithic, epiphytic, Distr.: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

Family: Pinnulariaceae D.G. Mann Genus: Pinnularia Ehrenberg nom. cons.

Pinnularia microstauron (Ehrenberg) Cleve 1891 (Fig. 37)

Morph.: Valve length: 54-60 µm, width: 11-12 µm, 13-14 striae in 10 µm; Ecol.: planktic, epilithic, epiphytic, Distr.: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

Family: Sellaphoraceae Mereschkowsky Genus: Sellaphora Mereschkowsky
Fig. 16. Encyonema cf. gracilis, 17-19-Gomphonema affine, 20-Gomphonema brasiliense, 21-Gomphonema dichotomum, 22-Gomphonema gracile, 23-Gomphonema olivaceum, 24-26-Gomphonema parvulum, 27-Gomphonema sp.1, 28-Gomphonema sp.2

Sellaphora pupula (Kützing) Mereschkowsky 1902 (Fig. 38)

Morph.: Valve length: 25-30 µm, width: 8-10 µm, 20-25 striae in 10 µm; Ecol.: planktic, epilithic, epiphytic, Distr.: St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

Order: Thalassiophysales D.G. Mann
Family: Catenulaceae Mereschkowsky
Genus: Amphora Ehrenberg ex Kützing

Amphora cf. fogediana Krammer 1985 (Fig. 39)

Morph.: Valve length: 20-25 µm, width: 10-14 µm; Ecol.: planktic, epilithic, epiphytic,

Distr.: St2.

Order: Bacillariales Hendey
Family: Bacillariaceae Ehrenberg
Genus: Nitzschia Hassall
Fig. 29. Placoneis pseudanglica, 30-Diploneis subovalis, 31-Caloneis lauta, 32-33-Navicula cryptocephala, 34-Pinnularia acrosphaeria, 35-Pinnularia divergens, 36-Pinnularia interrupta, 37-Pinnularia microstauron, 38-Sellaphora pupula, 39-Amphora fogediana, 40-42-Nitzschia amphibia, 43-44-Nitzschia palea

*Nitzschia amphibia* Grunow 1862 (Fig. 40-42)

**Morph.:** Valve length: 20-28 µm, width: 4-5 µm, 17-19 striae in 10 µm; 8-9 fibulae in 10 µm;

**Ecol.:** planktic, epilithic, epiphytic, **Distr.:** St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.

*Nitzschia palea* (Kützing) W.Smith 1856 (Fig. 43-44)

**Morph.:** Valve length: 21-35 µm, width: 4-5 µm, 8-9 fibulae in 10 µm;

**Ecol.:** planktic, epilithic, epiphytic, **Distr.:** St1 & St2, Cosmopolitan.
3.1.3 Algae composition

In the samples from the Adzopé Reservoir, a total of 120 taxa were identified belonging to 5 phyla, of which 44 belonged to Euglenozoa. The Euglenozoa and Chlorophyta were the most diversified groups with 40.19% and 36.3% respectively of total species, followed by the Ochrophyta (17.86%) and the Cyanobacteria (10.71%). The Myzozoa were the least diversified group.

According to Diatoms the 31 identified taxa (Table 2) were distributed amongst 2 class, 7 order, 11 families and 13 genera. Five species and subspecies were recorded for the first time in Côte d’Ivoire. The highest diatoms species rate was observed on substrates, which were epilithic (100%) or epiphytic (84%).

The distribution of taxa at station level showed that the greatest number of diatoms (31) was recorded at station 2 further downstream. Based on the species occurrence frequency, 11 rare, 9 occasional, and 11 common species were found. The time sequence of species richness of the Diatoms was presented in Fig. 45, which highlights its dominance during dry seasons. Species richness was weakly represented during rainy seasons. The highest species richness (31) was obtained in February during the long dry season; the lowest (13) was registered in June during the long rainy season. Species richness of diatoms was correlated with water current velocity. In the dry season, when low water current velocity was measured (0.08 m$^3$.S), 29 taxa were observed. During the rainy season, when the highest water current velocity was measured (0.38 m$^3$.S), 12 of taxa were obtained.

3.2 Discussion

Based on the threshold values for different trophic states suggested by [20], the measured Secchi disk transparency for the Adzopé Reservoir and the nutrient charges places it in a eutrophic category. The taxa collected are both plankthic and periphytic with a high rate for periphytic taxa. The highest rate of periphytic taxa is due to the fact that many diatoms have specialized structures for fixation to substratum, such as short or long mucilaginous peduncles as in species of Gomphonema, production of mucilaginous matrices as in Cymbella, and Navicula, and star or branch-shaped colonies fixed at the base as in Eunotia and Fragilaria [21]. These specialized structures confer a competitive advantage on diatoms in more stressful ambient conditions imposed by current speed and discharge [22]. Many tychoplankton species like Ulnaria biceps, Pinnularia acrosphaeria, Nitzschia amphibia, Nitzschia palea and Gomphonema parvulum are common to all the habitats explored. The big size of these diatoms and their way of life in banded colonies predispose them to uprooting, which would explain their presence in phytoplankton. According to [23], the ability of algae to remain attached to the substrate during an increase in flow varies depending on their size, morphology
and their mode of attachment. On the other hand, Diatoms of small sizes remain attached to substrates despite an increase in flow rate during long rainy season and are therefore difficult to tear off by the current [24], unlike those of large size. Our observations corroborate those of [25] on the ecology of Diatoms in the Walloon region (Belgium).

Seasonal variations in the diatoms composition can be explained by the stability of the water column and nutrients. Indeed, the highest species richness in the Adzopé reservoir, mainly during dry season seems to be associated with increasing of nutrients and the long period of water retention during long dry season in the reservoirs providing excellent conditions of temperature and irradiation [26] and promoting biological processes such as complete cycles of reproduction and development of algae [27]. Decreasing of species richness with the rainy season might be attributable to the reduced nutrient charge, dilutional effects of rain [28] and the water current velocity.

Variation in the dimensions of some identified taxa have been observed, and this phenomenon can be explained as a type of ecomorphological adaptation to the habitats studied. For instance, *Pinnularia acrosphaeria* have a valve length of 50-80 µm, while [29] stated that valve length ranges 44-65 µm. However, it is still compatible with data available in [27] (57-82.6 µm). On the other hand, the measured lengths in this study are less than that obtained by [30] in Guinea (80-150 µm). In addition, *Gomphonema dichotomum* in the current study is biggest in length than findings published in the literature, i.e. 75-90 µm vs. 25-60 µm in [31]. Nevertheless, [32] pointed out that the valve length of this specie ranges 25-80 µm. The dimensions of *Pinnularia microstauron* (Lenght: 54-50 µm, Width: 11-12 µm), *Sellaphora pupula* (Lenght: 25-30 µm, Width: 8-10 µm) are similarly incompatible with the literature [30].

4. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that variation in the dimensions of some identified taxa have been observed. The species richness of Diatoms in the Adzopé Reservoir was in relation with water current velocity. Seasonal variations in the diatoms composition can be explained by the stability of the water column and nutrients. Indeed, the highest species richness in the Adzopé reservoir, mainly during dry season.
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