Abstract

Given a document and a target aspect (e.g., a topic of interest), aspect-based abstractive summarization attempts to generate a summary with respect to the aspect. Previous studies usually assume a small pre-defined set of aspects and fall short of summarizing on other diverse topics. In this work, we study summarizing on arbitrary aspects relevant to the document, which significantly expands the application of the task in practice. Due to the lack of supervision data, we develop a new weak supervision construction method and an aspect modeling scheme, both of which integrate rich external knowledge sources such as ConceptNet and Wikipedia. Experiments show our approach achieves performance boosts on summarizing both real and synthetic documents given pre-defined or arbitrary aspects.\footnote{Code and data available at https://github.com/tanyuqian/aspect-based-summarization}

1 Introduction

Remarkable progresses have been made in generating generic summaries of documents (Nallapati et al., 2016; See et al., 2017; Narayan et al., 2018), partially due to the large amount of supervision data available. In practice, a document, such as a news article or a medical report, can span multiple topics or aspects. To meet more specific information need in applications such as personalized intelligent assistants, it is often useful to summarize a document with regard to a given aspect, i.e., aspect-based summarization.

Recent research has explored the problem of aspect-based abstractive summarization (Krishna and Srinivasan, 2018; Frermann and Klementiev, 2019). A key challenge of the task is the lack of direct supervision data containing documents paired with multiple aspect-based summaries. Previous studies have created synthetic data from generic news summarization corpora which have a small set of aspects (e.g., “sports”, “health” and other 4 aspects in (Frermann and Klementiev, 2019)). As a result, models trained on these data tend to be restricted to the pre-defined set and fall short of summarizing on other diverse aspects.

This paper aims to go beyond pre-defined aspects and enable summarization on arbitrary aspects relevant to the document. The arbitrary aspect may not be explicitly mentioned but only implicitly related to portions of the document, and it can be a new aspect not seen during training. To this end, we develop a new approach that integrates rich external knowledge in both aspect modeling and weak supervision construction. Specifically, we derive weak supervisions from a generic summarization corpus, where the ConceptNet knowledge graph (Speer et al., 2017) is used to substantially expand the aspect scope and enrich the supervisions. To assist summarization model to better understand an aspect, especially a previously unseen one, we augment the model inputs with rich aspect-related information extracted from Wikipedia.

Our approach is compatible with any neural encoder-decoder architectures. In this work, we use the large pre-trained BART model (Lewis et al., 2019) and fine-tune with the proposed method. Experiments on real news articles show our approach achieves performance boosts over existing methods. When adapting to the previous synthetic domain, the BART model after fine-tuning with our weak supervisions becomes substantially more data efficient, and outperforms previous best-performing systems greatly using only 0.4% training examples.

2 Related Work

Aspect-based summarization as an instance of controllable text generation (Hu et al., 2017; Ficler and Goldberg, 2017) offers extra controllability compared to generic summarization to ensure concise
summaries of interest. Early work has studied topic-aware summarization in the multi-document setting, with (typically small) datasets containing multiple documents tagged with a relevant topic (Dang, 2005; Conroy et al., 2006). For single-document aspect-based summarization, extractive methods were used to extract related key sentences/words from the document (Lin and Hovy, 2000). Our work studies abstractive aspect-based summarization that generates summaries. Deutsch and Roth (2019) studied a sub-task of learning to select information in documents that should be included in the summary. Recent work (Ferrman and Klementiev, 2019; Krishna and Srinivasan, 2018) on the problem synthesized training data that use news categories as the aspects and thus have a small pre-defined set of aspects available. We aim to enable summarization on any aspects, and develop new weak supervisions by integrating rich external knowledge.

Aspect-based summarization has also been explored in the customer reviews domain (Hu and Liu, 2004), where product aspects, customer sentiment, and sometimes textual summaries are extracted (Popescu and Etzioni, 2007; Wang and Ling, 2016; Angelidis and Lapata, 2018). Query-based summarization produces a summary in response to a natural language query/question (Daumé III and Marcu, 2006; Liu et al., 2012; Xie et al., 2020) which differs from abstract aspects.

Incorporating knowledge through weak supervision has primarily been studied in classification or extraction problems (Hu et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2016; Ratner et al., 2017). For example, (Hu et al., 2016) creates soft labels from a logical-rule enhanced teacher model to train neural classifiers. This work explores weak supervisions in the generation setting. Automatic creation of data supervisions also links our work to text data augmentation in either heuristic-based (Wei and Zou, 2019) or automated manner (Sennrich et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2019b). This work embeds rich structured knowledge in the data synthesis process.

3 Approach

Given a document and an aspect which can be a word or a phrase, the task aims to generate a summary that concisely describes information in the document that is relevant to the aspect. We present our approach that enables a neural summarization model to summarize on any aspects. The aspect can be any words relevant to (but not necessarily occurring in) the document. Our approach incorporates rich external knowledge sources, including ConceptNet for enriching weak supervisions in training (see 3.1) and Wikipedia for advising the document-aspect relation to improve comprehension (see 3.2). Figure 1 shows an overview of our approach.

An advantage of our approach is that it is compatible with any neural summarization architectures, such as the popular encoder-decoders. This enables us to make use of the large pre-trained network BART (Lewis et al., 2019), on which we apply our approach for fine-tuning and improved inference.

3.1 Knowledge-enriched Weak Supervisions

Usually no direct supervision data is available. We start with a generic summarization corpus. Specifically, in this work we use the CNN/DailyMail (Hermann et al., 2015) which consists of a set of (document, summary) pairs. Our approach constructs weakly supervised examples by automatically extracting potential aspects and synthesizing aspect-based summaries from the generic summary.
Each resulting aspect and its aspect-based summary are then paired with the document for training.

**Extracting Aspects** Given a generic summary, we want to extract as many aspects as possible so that the summarization model can see sufficient examples during training. On the other hand, the aspects must be relevant to the generic summary to facilitate synthesizing appropriate summary in the next step. To this end, we first apply a named entity recognition (NER) model to extract a set of entities mentioned in the generic summary. These entities serve as a seed set of aspects. We then augment the seed set by collecting each entity’s neighbor concepts on the ConceptNet knowledge graph, as these concepts are semantically closely related to the entity (and thus the generic summary). For example, in Figure 1(1), “insect” is a new aspect from ConceptNet given the seed entity “bees”.

**Synthesizing Aspect-based Summaries** For each aspect, we synthesize a specific summary by extracting and concatenating all relevant sentences from the generic summary. We make use of ConceptNet in a similar way as above. Specifically, a sentence is considered relevant if it mentions the aspect or any of its neighbors on ConceptNet.

The use of ConceptNet greatly augments the supervisions in terms of both the richness of aspects and the informativeness of respective summaries.

### 3.2 Knowledge-aided Aspect Comprehension

The summarization model is required to precisely locate information in the document that matches the desired aspect. Such comprehension and matching can be challenging, especially with only noisy weak supervisions during training. Our approach facilitates the inference by informing the model with pre-computed document-aspect relations.

Concretely, we extract words from the document which are most related to the aspect (more details below), and feed those words into the model together with the aspect and document. In this way, the model is advised which parts of the document are likely to be aspect-related. For the BART architecture, we use an input format as:

```
[aspect]:[related words]<s>[doc]
```

where `<s>` is a special token for separation.

To determine the related words, the intuition is that the words should be describing or be associated with the aspect. We use the Wikipedia page of the aspect for filtering the words. Besides, we want to select only salient words in the document for a concise summary. Thus, we first rank all words in the document by TF-IDF scores, and select top words that occur in the aspect’s Wikipedia page.

### 4 Experiments

**Setup** We construct weak supervisions from 100K out of 280K (doc, summary) pairs in the training set of the CNN/DailyMail dataset (Hermann et al., 2015). We use the CNN/DailyMail-pretrained BART (Lewis et al., 2019) provided by Fairseq (Ott et al., 2019) as our base summarization model, and fine-tune with our approach implemented using Texar (Hu et al., 2019a). We use Adam optimizer with an initial learning rate of 3e-5, and beam search decoding with a width of 4.

#### 4.1 Studies on Synthetic Domain

We first study on the synthetic data, MA-News, introduced in (Frenmann and Klementiev, 2019). Although its aspects are restricted to only 6 coarse-grained topics, the synthetic domain facilitates automatic evaluation, providing a testbed for (1) comparison with the previous models and (2) studying the generalization ability of our weak-supervision approach when adapting to the new domain. Specifically, MA-News is synthesized from CNN/DailyMail by interleaving paragraphs of original documents belonging to different aspects. The assembled document is paired with each component’s aspect and generic summary to form an aspect-based summary instance. The dataset has 280K/10K/10K examples in train/dev/test sets, respectively, and contains 6 pre-defined aspects including {"sport", “health”, “travel”, “news”, “science technology”, “tv showbiz”}.

**Comparisons with previous methods** We first compare our approach with the previous summarization models, as shown in Table 1. (1) In the first block, SF is the best model in (Frenmann and Klementiev, 2019) with a customized neural architecture and is trained with the full MA-News training set. (2) In the second block, we also train the large BART model with the MA-News training set, either using the full 280K instances or only 1K instances. BART trained with the full set unsurprisingly shows much better results than SF, yet the one with the 1K subset falls behind SF. (3) The third block evaluates our method. BART Weak-Sup is fine-tuned only with our

---

2https://spacy.io/models/xx

3We select \(\leq 10\) words. If the Wikipedia API does not find any page of the aspect, the related word is set to empty.
Table 1: Results (ROUGE) on the MA-News test set. The results of Lead-3, PG-Net and SF are from (Fermann and Klementiev, 2019), where SF is the previous best model. Our approach trains with only weak supervisions (sec 3.1) or with additional 1K MA-News supervised training data.

| Models                  | R-1 | R-2 | R-L |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Lead-3 (2019)           | 21.50 | 6.90 | 14.10 |
| PG-Net (2017)           | 17.57 | 4.72 | 15.94 |
| SF (2019)               | 28.02 | 10.46 | 25.36 |
| BART MA-News-Sup 280K   | 41.90 | 20.46 | 39.06 |
| BART MA-News-Sup 1K     | 24.58 | 8.82 | 22.74 |
| BART Weak-Sup (Ours)    | 28.56 | 10.53 | 25.93 |
| + MA-News-Sup 1K (Ours) | 35.62 | 15.80 | 33.01 |

Table 2: Fine-tuning BART on the synthetic domain, evaluated on MA-News test set. Weak-Sup only trains BART only with our weak supervisions. MA-News-Sup 1K trains with 1K MA-News supervised examples. +Weak-Sup trains first with weak supervisions and then supervisedly on MA-News.

| Models                  | R-1 | R-2 | R-L |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Weak-Sup only           | 28.56 | 10.53 | 25.93 |
| MA-News-Sup 1K          | 24.58 | 8.82 | 22.74 |
| + Weak-Sup              | 35.62 | 15.80 | 33.01 |
| MA-News-Sup 3K          | 29.13 | 11.89 | 27.02 |
| + Weak-Sup              | 37.17 | 16.84 | 34.40 |
| MA-News-Sup 10K         | 39.49 | 18.71 | 36.67 |
| + Weak-Sup              | 39.82 | 18.81 | 36.92 |

Table 3: Human evaluation using 5-point Likert scale. MA-News-Sup 280K trains BART with the whole MA-News set. Weak-Sup trains with our weak supervisions. +MA-News 3K further fine-tunes with 3K MA-News instances.

| Models                  | Accu. | Info. | Fluency |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| MA-News-Sup 280K        | 2.19  | 3.44  | 4.44    |
| Weak-Sup (Ours)         | 4.59  | 4.36  | 4.87    |
| + MA-News 3K (Ours)     | 4.14  | 4.07  | 4.80    |

4.2 Summarizing Real News on Any Aspects

We next study summarization of a document on arbitrary aspects. To evaluate the generalization of the methods, we test on real news articles from the All The News corpus (Kaggle, 2020) where we randomly extract 50 articles from different publications other than CNN (so that no articles are included in the weak supervision). We ask human annotators to label an arbitrary relevant aspect for each article. We then collect aspect-based summaries by the models, and present each to 3 annotators to rate.

Figure 3: Proportions of model outputs that get a human score ≥ 4. For example, around 95% of summaries by Weak-Sup (ours) are scored 4 or 5 in terms of accuracy.
The model trained on the 280K MA-News exam-
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Document In an exclusive interview with Breitbart News, Republican presidential nominee Donald Trump blasted Bill Clinton’s suggestion that the United States use Syrian refugees to rebuild Detroit. The populist billionaire denounced Clinton’s suggested proposal as “crazy” and “unfair” to American workers who are already living there and are in need of jobs. “It’s very unfair to the people that are living there. I think it’s crazy,” Trump told Breitbart on Thursday. “I mean, these people ... ... “There are plenty of people in Detroit who you could almost look at as refugees,” Carson said. “I mean, we need to take care of our own people. We need to create jobs for them.” Clinton’s suggestion that the U. S. ought to give Detroit jobs to foreign refugees came during a February discussion at the Clinton Global Initiative with Chobani billionaire and mass migration enthusiast, Hamdi Ulukaya. “The truth is that the big loser in this over the long run is ... ... a pretty good deal.” During the discussion, Clinton praised Ulukaya for his efforts to fill his yoghurt plants with imported foreign refugees. Ulukaya suggested that the U. S. ought to be taking in more refugees and said that he was “proud” of Turkey’s decision to accept 2 million Syrian refugees. Ulukaya told Clinton that Syrian refugees “bring flavors to the community just like in ... Twin Falls, [Idaho]” where Ulukaya’s yoghurt factory is based. Clinton’s controversial suggestion that ... millions of more illegal immigrants, thousands of more violent crimes, and total chaos and lawlessness. According to Pew polling data, Hillary Clinton’s plan to expand immigration is opposed by at least 83 percent of the American electorate — voters whom Clinton has suggested are racist for opposing immigration. According to a September 2015 Rasmussen survey, 85 percent black voters oppose Clinton’s refugee agenda to admit more than 100,000 Middle Eastern refugees — with less than one percent of black voters (. 56 percent) in favor of her refugee plan.

Table 4: Generated summaries of a document on different aspects. Document content relevant to specific aspects is highlighted in respective colors. “Related words” identified through Wikipedia (sec 3.2) are highlighted in **bold**.

| Aspect | Summary |
|--------|---------|
| Trump  | Presidential nominee Donald Trump calls suggestion that the U. S. use Syrian refugees to rebuild Detroit “crazy” and “unfair” to American workers who are already living there and in need of jobs. |
| Hamdi Ulukaya | Chobani billionaire and mass migration enthusiast, Hamdi Ulukaya, suggested that the U.S. should take in more refugees to fill jobs like in his yoghurt plant in Twin Falls, Idaho, where his factory is based. |
| vote   | Polls show that at least 83 percent of the U.S. electorate is opposed to expanding immigration and that 85 percent of black voters oppose the plan to admit more than 100,000 middle eastern refugees to the country. |

As in previous work (Krysinski et al., 2019; Frermann and Klementiev, 2019), the criteria include accuracy (coherence between the aspect and the summary), informativeness (factual correctness and relevance of the summary with regard to the document), and fluency (language quality of individual sentences and the whole summary). The Pearson correlation coefficient of human scores is 0.51, showing moderate inter-rater agreement. Table 3 shows the averaged scores, and Figure 3 shows the proportions of model outputs receiving high scores in terms of the three criteria. We can see our weakly supervised method performs best. The model trained on the 280K MA-News examples, though performs well on the MA-News test set (Table 1), fails to generalize to the broader set of diverse aspects, showing the importance of introducing rich knowledge in supervisions and inference process for generalization. Interestingly, fine-tuning our model with 3K MA-News instances results in inferior performance, showing the previous synthetic data with limited aspects could restrict generalization to other aspects.

Table 4 shows example summaries by our Weak-Sup model. Given an arbitrary aspect (e.g., an entity or a word), the model correctly identifies the related portions in the document and generates a relevant short summary. It is also noticeable that our approach identifies meaningful “related words” using Wikipedia as described in sec 3.2, which help with precise summarization.

5 Conclusions
This paper studies the new problem of summarizing a document on arbitrary relevant aspects. To tackle the challenge of lacking supervised data, we have developed a new knowledge-informed weakly supervised method that leverages external knowledge bases. The promising empirical results motivate us to explore further the integration of more external knowledge and other rich forms of supervisions (e.g., constraints, interactions, auxiliary models, adversaries) (Hu and Xing, 2020; Ziegler et al., 2019) in learning. We are also interested in extending the aspect-based summarization in more application scenarios (e.g., summarizing a document corpus).
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A More Experimental Details

We use Adam optimizer with $\beta = (0.9, 0.999)$, $\epsilon = 10^{-8}$, a weight decay of 0.01, and an initial learning rate of 3e-5. For generation, we use beam search decoding with a width of 4 and a length penalty of 2. All experiments are conducted on 4 GTX 1080Ti GPUs.

B More Generation Examples

We provide more generated summaries from our weakly supervised model.

**Document** In an exclusive interview with Breitbart News, Republican presidential nominee Donald Trump blasted Bill Clinton’s suggestion that the United States use Syrian refugees to rebuild Detroit. The populist billionaire denounced Clinton’s suggested proposal as “crazy” and “unfair” to American workers who are already living there and are in need of jobs. “It’s very unfair to the people that are living there. I think it’s crazy,” Trump told Breitbart on Thursday. “I mean, these people are getting started — I think it’s a very, very hard place to get your start.” “We shouldn’t have them [i.e. Syrian refugees] in the country,” Trump added. “We don’t know who these people are. We have no idea. This could be the all time great Trojan horse. We have no idea who they are. The whole thing is ridiculous. Number one: we should build safe zones over in Syria, that’s what we should have, and we should have the Gulf states fund them. It’s just crazy. We ought to be building safe zones in Syria and not taking these people in — whether it’s Detroit or anywhere else.” and former GOP presidential contender Ben Carson echoed Trump’s sentiment in a Friday interview on Breitbart News Daily on SiriusXM Patriot Channel 125. Carson explained that “we need to take care of our own people” and noted that the policies of Democrat politicians have turned many Americans living in Detroit into refugees in their own country. “There are plenty of people in Detroit who you could almost look at as refugees,” Carson said. “I mean, we need to take care of our own people. We need to create jobs for them.” Clinton’s suggestion that the U.S. ought to give Detroit jobs to foreign refugees came during a February discussion at the Clinton Global Initiative with Chobani billionaire and mass migration enthusiast, Hamdi Ulukaya. “The truth is that the big loser in this over the long run is going to be Syria. This [i.e. the Syrian migrant crisis] is an enormous opportunity for Americans,” Clinton said in February. “Detroit has 10,000 empty, structurally sound houses — 10,000. And lot of jobs are to be had repairing those houses. Detroit just came out of bankruptcy and the mayor’s trying to do an innovative sort of urban homesteading program there. But it just gives you an example of what could be done. And I think any of us who have ever had any personal experience with either Syrian Americans or Syrian refugees think it’s a pretty good deal.” During the discussion, Clinton praised Ulukaya for his efforts to fill his yogurt plants with imported foreign refugees. Ulukaya suggested that the U.S. ought to be taking in more refugees and said that he was “proud” of Turkey’s decision to accept 2 million Syrian refugees. Ulukaya told Clinton that Syrian refugees “bring flavors to the community just like in . . . Twin Falls, [Idaho]” where Ulukaya’s yogurt factory is based. Clinton’s controversial suggestion that U.S. give Detroit jobs to imported foreign refugees has received virtually no media coverage outside of Breitbart News. During his Wednesday immigration policy address, Trump challenged the media to begin asking Hillary Clinton to explain her “radical” immigration platform. The media needs to begin demanding to hear Hillary Clinton’s answer on how her policies will affect Americans and their security . . . These are matters of for our country and its people, and we deserve answers from Hillary Clinton . . . What we do know, despite the total lack of media curiosity, is that Hillary Clinton promises a radical amnesty combined with a radical reduction in immigration enforcement. The result will be millions of more illegal immigrants, thousands of more violent crimes, and total chaos and lawlessness. According to Pew polling data, Hillary Clinton’s plan to expand immigration is opposed by at least 83 percent of the American electorate — voters whom Clinton has suggested are racist for opposing immigration. According to a September 2015 Rasmussen survey, 85 percent black voters oppose Clinton’s refugee agenda to admit more than 100,000 Middle Eastern refugees — with less than one percent of black voters (.56 percent) in favor of her refugee plan.

**Aspect:** Donald Trump

**Summary:** Presidential nominee Donald Trump calls suggestion that the U.S. use Syrian refugees to rebuild Detroit “crazy” and “unfair” to American workers who are already living there and in need of jobs.

**Aspect:** Hamdi Ulukaya

**Summary:** Chobani billionaire and mass migration enthusiast, Hamdi Ulukaya, suggested that the U.S. should take in more refugees to fill jobs like in his yogurt plant in Twin Falls, Idaho, where his factory is based.

**Aspect:** vote

**Summary:** Polls show that at least 83 percent of the U.S. electorate is opposed to expanding immigration and that 85 percent of black voters opposed the plan to admit more than 100,000 middle eastern refugees to the country.
Gates told USA Today that he enjoys working with Nadella, serving his successor as a special adviser and helping guide the company’s investments in technology as it competes with Apple, Google, and Amazon. "It always bothered me that we confused an enduring mission with a temporal goal," he said.
Officials have discovered that Islamic State jihadis have been using a chemistry laboratory at Mosul University to make bombs used by ISIS jihadists throughout the region. “The University of Mosul is the best Daesh research center in the world,” Gen. Hatem Magsosi, Iraq’s main explosives officer, told The Wall Street Journal. “Trainees go to Raqqa, [Syria] then to Mosul university to use the existing facilities.” ISIS hijacked university chemistry lab in Mosul for making bombs: https:// by @MargaretWSJ @BKe)sling pic. twitter. — WSJ Think Tank (@WSJThinkTank) April 1, 2016, They have found “chemical bombs and suicide bomb vests like the ones used in the Brussels attacks and by at least some of the Paris attackers.” The lab also contained “explosives and chemical weapons.” However, officials told the outlet they do not know how much of the facility remains intact currently. The United coalition bombed the university in March. Alumni said the university boasted “a strong reputation around Iraq for its science departments.” A year ago, the Islamic State established “a research hub in the chemistry lab.” The terrorist group kept the staff at the university, many who “specialized in organic, industrial and analytical chemistry.” A raid in Syria in March killed Islamic State’s Abd Mustafa also known as Haji Imam. He taught physics in Iraq before he joined in 2004. Officials put him in prison, but released him in 2012. Then he traveled to Syria, where he eventually joined the Islamic State. Gen. Magsosi said the group places Imam as “the top expert at the Mosul bomb lab.” The sources told the Journal that the Islamic State used one part of the university for explosives and another for suicide bombs. The Wall Street Journal reports: During the same time frame, there has been a surge in Islamic State’s use of bombs that mix chemical precursors into an explosive powder substance known as triacetone triperoxide, or TATP, both in Iraq and Europe. It isn’t clear how many of these weapons, if any, can be traced to research or training conducted in Mosul. Gen. Magsosi says that his units called explosives the “Satan Recipe” because they are very hard to detect and they are usually so lethal. The Islamic State captured Mosul, Iraq’s second largest city, in June 2014. Since then, they have destroyed libraries and buildings at the university. Kurdish outlet Rudaw reported last October that the group destroyed the university’s Faculty of Agriculture buildings. In December 2014, ISIS raided the Central Library of Mosul to destroy all books. “These books promote infidelity and call for disobeying Allah,” announced a militant to the residents. “So they will be burned.” The library was “the biggest repository of learning the northern Iraqi town.” The terrorists destroyed “Iraq newspapers dating to the early 20th century, maps and books from the Ottoman Empire, and book collections contributed by about 100 of Mosul’s establishment families.” After that raid, the ISIS militants targeted the library at the University of Mosul. They burned science and culture textbooks in front of the students. According to the Boston Globe: A University of Mosul history professor, who spoke on condition he not be named because of his fear of the Islamic State, said the extremists started wrecking the collections of other public libraries last month. He reported particularly heavy damage to the archives of a Sunni Muslim library, the library of the Latin Church and Monastery of the Dominican Fathers, and the Mosul Museum Library with works dating back to 5000 BC. Citing reports by the locals who live near these libraries, the professor added that the militants used to come during the night and carry the materials in refrigerated trucks with license plates. Militants also targeted the public library, which was home to more than 8,000 rare books and manuscripts. Elderly residents begged the men not to burn the building.