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There has been an increasing demand for the development of sensor devices with improved characteristics such as sensitivity, low cost, faster response, reliability, rapid recovery, reduced size, in situ analysis, and simple operation. Nanostructured materials have shown great potential in improving these properties for chemical and biological sensors. There are different nanostructured materials which have been used in manufacturing nanosensors which include nanoscale wires (capability of high detection sensitivity), carbon nanotubes (very high surface area and high electron conductivity), thin films, metal and metal oxide nanoparticles, polymer, and biomaterials. This review provides different methods which have been used in the synthesis and fabrication of these nanostructured materials followed by an extensive review of the recent developments of metal, metal oxides, carbon nanotubes, and polymer nanostructured materials in sensor applications.

1. Introduction

Nanostructures are materials which have a nanometer scale sizes in one, two, or three dimensions typically between 1 and 100 nm range [1–3]. They bring forth innovative material applications due to their superior physicochemical and plasmonic properties, and as such, they have generated a great deal of interest from basic scientific research to commercial development [1]. They have obtained prominence in technological developments due to their extraordinary physicochemical properties such as their melting points, electrical and thermal conductivities, light absorption and scattering properties, optical sensitivity, catalytic activity, and wettability resulting in their significantly enhanced performance over their bulk counterparts [2, 4]. These properties have enabled new applications ranging from energy conservation and structural strength enhancement to antimicrobial characteristics and self-cleaning surfaces as well as in sensor applications [1, 4]. Generally, nanostructures are divided into surface and bulk nanostructures, where the surface nanostructures refer to nanoscale patterns created on the surface of a substrate while bulk nanostructures refer to individual nanomaterial’s or an assembly of nanomaterial’s [1]. There is a wide variety of nanostructured materials with different dimensions which range from zero-dimensional (0D) nanoparticles, one-dimensional (1D) nanorods and nanowires, two-dimensional (2D) nanosheets and films, three-dimensional (3D) polycrystals and ultraporous nanostructures, and nanocaffolds [3, 5] as illustrated in Figure 1.

The most promising features of these structures are their size-dependent properties. For example, metallic nanoparticles exhibit tunable radiation and absorption wavelength depending on their aspect ratio [6] and coating [7]. These unique properties are attributed to the phenomenon called localized surface plasmon resonance (LPSR). Each particle can effectively produce photoluminescence equivalent to a million dye molecules. Additionally, they are photo stable and do not suffer from photo bleaching [8]. Owing to their superior optical properties, they can produce better signal over ordinary dye molecules. After coating with probe molecules, the optical properties of nanostructures allow the detection of specific target molecules. Numerous physical and chemical
methods have been developed for the fabrication of nanostructured materials [9–12], and they are described in the next section.

2. Fabrication of Nanostructured Materials

Various syntheses and processing techniques have been established for the fabrication of nanostructured materials with a higher degree tailored specifically to the material to be produced which might be considered to be more restrictive than the conventional production methods [13]. Generally, the fabrication of nanostructured materials is considered to proceed via two main strategies which include the “bottom-up” and “top-down” approaches [1, 13]. The difference between these two general strategies is based on the processes involved in the construction of the nanostructures [14]. In the bottom-up approach, a structure is normally built up of small units while in top-down approach, a larger unit is reduced in size to a finished structure [1] as shown in Figure 2. While the top-down approach comprises a few viable fabrication techniques which stem from experience and technology developed in other industries, many fabrication methods use the bottom up approach where building blocks of nanoparticles or clusters are first prepared by an appropriate technique and then assembled into composites, coatings, and layers or consolidated into bulk under well-controlled conditions [13]. The fabrication of nanostructured materials can also be achieved through a combination of the two approaches which are discussed in more details below.

2.1. Top-Down Approach.

In top-down approach, large materials are normally deconstructed by a chain of physical and chemical processes [1, 3, 14]. The physical top-down approach employs the use of photons, electrons, and ions while the chemical top-down strategy relies essentially on chemical reactions that are brought about by chemical etchants or by application of heat [14]. This approach can be used to fabricate a varied selection of devices with high reliability and integrity and is therefore common in the semiconductor device industry [3]. Many novel structures such as nanowires which can be used to detect biological samples without labelling have been fabricated using this approach [15]. Nanopores used to detect and measure biophysical properties of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), proteins, and other molecules passing through the nanopores have also been fabricated using the top-down approach [16]. The basic fabrication steps in top-down approach include (i) lithography, (ii) laser ablation, (iii) chemical etching, (iv) milling process, and (v) thermal decomposition which are described in more details below.

2.1.1. Lithography.

Lithography is a physical top-down approach which employs the use of photons (optical lithography), electrons (electron beam lithography), and ions (ion beam lithography) to fabricate nanostructures [14]. It has been a method of choice for producing patterned nanostructures in the microelectronics industry since it transfers a pattern from a mask on a substrate [3, 14]. The most common method is optical lithography which uses ultraviolet light to transfer the desired pattern from a mask to a light-sensitive
material known as photoresist that coats the semiconductor substrate [3, 14]. The substrate undergoes changes in chemical composition when exposed to a specific wavelength of light through the mask to create a pattern which is subsequently transferred to the substrate often by etching [1, 3, 14] as illustrated in Figure 3. Electron beam lithography on the other hand uses electrons instead of photons to achieve nanostructures at a resolution beyond the diffraction limit of light [14, 17]. It is a maskless technique which generates the pattern directly using a tightly focused beam of accelerated electrons to scan the substrate coated with an electron-reactive resist [14]. The ion beam lithography [18] and laser writing [19] are also maskless approaches which use a focused beam of high-energy ions and multiphoton absorption-induced photochemical transformation of the photoresist, respectively.

2.1.2. Chemical/Template Etching. This is a subtractive chemical top-down nanofabrication technique that selectively removes materials from a substrate. It uses a template to direct the chemical etching of a substrate resulting to a nanoscale pattern crafted on the substrate surface. The etching can be done in dry or wet conditions [3, 14]. For example, a silicon substrate as shown in Figure 4 [20] has been fabricated using a block of copolymer template prepared by spin coating a solution of a copolymer consisting of a poly-(4-vinylpyridine) core and a polystyrene corona prepared in toluene on the silicon substrate [14, 20]. Upon spin coating, the amphiphilic polymer micelles of the copolymer spontaneously self-assembled into a monolayer of pseudohexagonal array on the substrate surface, forming the template [14, 20]. The nanoscale pattern of the polymer template is then transferred to the underlying substrate by selective etching with an aqueous solution of hydrofluoric acid (HF) [14, 20]. Fluoride-based etching of the silicon surface takes place exclusively beneath the poly-(4-vinylpyridine) cores due to the protonation of the pyridyl groups by HF that results in selective localization of fluoride ions within the micellar cores [14, 20]. The silicon etch pit array is finally obtained after removal of the polymer template through ultrasonication in toluene [20]. The resulting etched features on the silicon surface can be functionalized with other materials (e.g., gold nanoparticles), allowing for the generation of more complex architectures [20, 21].

Figure 2: A schematic representation of the top-down and bottom-up approaches for the fabrication of nanostructures.

Figure 3: A schematic representation of optical lithography using negative and positive photoresist [3].
2.1.3. Thermal Decomposition. Thermal decomposition also known as thermolysis is a process where heat is used to separate chemical bonds in a compound [14]. This process provides a simple one-step strategy to fabricate nanostructures with controlled porosity which is an advantage as compared to other methods. This method was demonstrated by Yu and team where they used it to fabricate nanoporous cadmium oxide (CdO) from cadmium carbonate (CdCO₃) microcrystals [22]. In their work, high-quality CdCO₃ microcrystals were transformed as they were continuously heat treated at 500°C for 30 minutes. This led to a decomposition process which began at the sharp edges and corners and continued to the flat surfaces of the crystals resulting in a core-shell microstructure wherein the newly formed CdO densely coated the partially decomposed CdCO₃ crystals releasing carbon dioxide (CO₂) as illustrated in Figure 5. As thermolysis continued, more and more CO₂ molecules accumulated inside the microstructure until the pressure was high enough that tiny pores nucleated to allow the escape of the trapped gas. These tiny pores eventually grew into continuous channels as the decomposition of CdCO₃ went to completion [22].

2.1.4. Selective Dealloying. Selective dealloying normally produces nanoporous metals by utilizing chemical or electrochemical reactions to remove the most chemically active metal in the alloy. As the less noble metal is removed, atoms of the more noble metal reorganize into a 3D network of pores/channels and ligaments [14].

2.1.5. Mechanical Milling. A mechanical milling technique has been utilized to produce amorphous and nanocrystalline alloys as well as metal/nonmetal nanocomposite materials by milling and post annealing, of elemental or compound powders in an inert atmosphere [23]. It is a nonequilibrium processing technique whereby different elemental powders are milled in an inert atmosphere to create one mixed powder with the same composition as the constituents [23]. Mechanical milling has been utilized to synthesize various nanoalloys and many nanocomposites in very high yield. The mechanical milling has been utilized for the synthesis of nanomaterials either by milling and postannealing or by mechanical activation and then applying some other process on these activated materials [23].

2.2. Bottom-Up Approach. The bottom-up approach involves the assembly of the building blocks (atoms or molecules) into nanostructured arrays due to attractive forces [1, 3, 24]. This approach has the potential to generate functional multicomponent devices by self-assembly of the atoms and molecules without wasting them or the need for eliminating parts of the system [17]. The assembly of the elementary building blocks is usually manipulated by either physical aggregation, chemical reaction, or use of templates [3, 24] where controlled chemical reactions manipulate the building blocks to self-assemble and make nanostructures such as nanotubes, nanoribbons, and quantum dots [1, 25, 26]. This approach has the potential to assemble nanostructured materials where the top-down approach fails though one of its major challenges is to ensure predefined structures with precise shapes and sizes [3]. Some of the most common bottom-up nanofabrication methods are described below.

2.2.1. Chemical Vapor Deposition. Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) is a process where a substrate is exposed to one or more volatile precursors, which react and/or decompose on the surface of the substrate to produce a thin film deposit [27, 28]. By changing various experimental conditions such as the substrate material, substrate temperature, and composition of the reaction gas mixture, total pressure gas flows and other materials with a wide range of physical, tribological, and chemical properties can be produced [27, 28] as illustrated in Figure 6 [29]. All chemical vapour deposition reactions involve a number of steps. The main steps are as follows: precursor, generation of active gaseous reactant species; transport, delivering the precursor into the reaction chamber; adsorption of the precursor onto the hot surface; decomposition of the precursor to give the atom needed for the film and organic waste; migration of atoms to a strong binding site; nucleation that leads to the growth of the thin film; desorption of unwanted side products; and removal of unwanted products [30, 31]. However, it is possible to...
achieve this in two steps especially using rotary chemical vapor deposition (RCVD) [32]. This method has an excellent throwing power which enables the production of coatings of uniform thickness and properties with a low porosity [26, 27]. This method is also capable to offer localized, or selective deposition, on patterned substrates [27, 28]. CVD processes are employed in many thin film applications, such as dielectrics, conductors, passivation layers, oxidation barriers, conductive oxides, tribological and corrosion-resistant coatings, heat-resistant coatings, and epitaxial layers for microelectronics [27]. They are also used in the preparation of high-temperature materials (tungsten, ceramics, etc.) and the production of solar cells, high-temperature fiber composites, and particles of well-defined sizes [26, 27]. CVD techniques have been developed to produce 2D nanosheets, such as graphene [33], h-BN nanosheets [34], metal carbides [35], and borophenes [36] among others.

2.2.2. Sol-gel Nanofabrication. Sol-gel is a wet-chemical process that involves the formation of an inorganic colloidal suspension (sol) and gelation of the sol in a continuous liquid phase (gel) to form a three-dimensional network structure [37]. In sol-gel nanofabrication, a metal precursor in solution is deposited on suitable substrates and then heat treated to cause oxidation and/or sintering of the final products [17] as illustrated in Figure 7 [38]. It has been widely used for the fabrication of nanostructured functional metal oxide materials and alloys because it is cost effective and it offers the superiority of chemical reaction at molecular level, which is favorable to improve the chemical homogeneity of the final products [17, 39–42]. This process allows for the synthesis of pure and homogenous ceramic materials by means of preparation techniques different from the traditional process of fusion of oxides [43] which makes this method to stand out. By capping the particles with appropriate ligands, the dispersion can be stabilized in this liquid phase synthesis. For example, Moncada and coworkers reported the preparation of hybrid layered aluminosilicate nanoparticles (NPs) containing octadecyl amine (ODA) as the organic part and SiO₂ NPs with spherical morphology containing ODA or without ODA by the sol-gel method and used for the formation of nanocomposites with polypropylene [43, 44].

2.2.3. Laser Pyrolysis Synthesis. Laser pyrolysis involves resonant energy transfer between laser photons and a gaseous species, reactant, or sensitizer [45]. This technique is commonly categorized as a vapor-phase synthesis process utilized for producing a nanomaterials (NMs) [43, 46]. In a typical
laser pyrolysis process, the gaseous-phase precursors are introduced to a chamber by a carrier gas (e.g., argon) where the gaseous-phase precursors meet the laser beam. The high-power laser beam (e.g., 2400 W) generates elevated localized temperatures which trigger the nucleation and growth of nanoparticles [43, 45]. The nanoparticles are then collected by a catcher equipped with a filter.

2.2.4. Green Synthesis. Green synthesis uses reducing agents obtained from plant extracts to reduce aqueous species of the metal in solution. An example is the reduction of Ag⁺ from an aqueous solution of AgNO₃ using plant extracts such as Clitoria ternatea, plants (green tea (Camellia sinensis), alfalfa (Medicago sativa), lemongrass (Cymbopogon flexuosus), and geranium (Pelargonium graveolens)) [47], Citrus paradisi (Grapefruit red) [48], and Solanum nigrum as reducing agents [49]. Other biological agents such as bacteria (Pseudomonas stutzeri AG259, Lactobacillus strains, etc.), fungi (Fusarium oxysporum, Aspergillus flavus), and algae (Lyngbya majuscula, Spirulina subsalsa, Rhizoclonium hieroglyphicum, and Chlorella vulgaris) may also be used as reducing agents for silver [47]. These agents present cost-effective and environmentally friendly pathways for nanoparticle synthesis. Silver nanoparticles, like magnesium oxide nanoparticles, exhibit antimicrobial properties [50]. The antimicrobial activity results from the interaction of silver ions with sulfhydryl groups in the cell membranes of bacteria and other microorganism [51]. Pure silver nanoparticles are characterized by high toxicity and low stability, an aspect that limits their antimicrobial activity and consequently their application in water purification systems. For this reason, synthesis of silver nanoparticles for water filtration is designed in such a way that they are stabilized through surface engineering, impregnated with other materials placed on substrates [52]. Some of the substrates that support silver nanoparticles include sand, zeolites [53], fiberglass [54], activated carbon [55], blotter paper [56], cellulose filters [57], ceramic filters [58], or polyurethane foams [59] among others. Materials used for impregnation of silver nanoparticles include polyamide-66 [60] and chitosan [48] among others. Some stabilizing agents are amine functionalized hydrosoluble silicone wax [60], chitosan, gelatin hydrogel [61], or dithiocarbamate ligands [62].

3. Characterization of Nanostructures

The most common characterization of nanostructures is in terms of shape and morphology and due to their exceedingly small size, dedicated tools and special techniques are usually required [1]. This information is usually obtained by various imaging techniques. For example, X-ray diffraction spectroscopy is usually used to give information on the crystalline structure of the nanostructured material synthesized and to determine the particle size. It therefore presents a suitable technique for studying size modulation of nanoparticles as a function of changes in dopants, temperature, and synthesis time among other parameters [63]. Further, changes in the crystallinity of synthesized nanostructures with changing degree of doping can be studied using Raman spectroscopy. This is inferred from changes in the vibration modes of the nanomaterial as a result of structural distortions (surface defects) caused by various dopant compositions. The changes may include signal resolution, broadening, or shifting [64]. Likewise, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEMs) can provide microstructural elucidation with varying dopant percentages and also give an estimate of the particle sizes [65]. Furthermore, both TEM and SEM can offer information on the level of aggregation or nonaggregation of the synthesized nanostructures. For instance, it is found that synthesized MnFe₂O₄ form aggregates but when coated with a Mn–Co oxide layer, the nanoparticles become nonaggregated [66].

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is another technique used in the characterization of nanostructures to provide their atomic composition. Since the properties of nanomaterials can significantly be modified by altering atomic composition of the core material or the dopant, the use of XPS can enable one to optimize the respective ratios of each species in the nanomaterial. A further understanding of inner architectural properties of nanocomposites is important since they determine the amount of adsorption sites available. These can be inferred from the study of microporosity and specific surface areas using suitable adsorption isotherms such as the Langmuir adsorption isotherm, Freundlich adsorption models, or Brunauer-Deming-Deming-Teller [63, 65]. To probe the interaction between nanostructures and bacteria, atomic force microscopy (AFM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and laser confocal microscopy (LCM) are used. This is confirmed by observing changes in the integrity of the cell membranes, resulting in the death of the bacteria. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) may be used to quantify the concentration of silver ions leached from the nanocomposite matrix after a specified contact time with water samples containing the target microorganism [60]. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) is also used to study the chemical interaction of various
functional groups during synthesis and also to confirm successful surface modification of the nanocomposites [67].

4. Application of Nanostructures in Sensors

A sensor is a device which can detect variable quantities, usually electronically, and converts the measurement into specific signals [68, 69]. The most important requirements of sensors are diversity, sensitivity, accuracy of information extracted, selectivity, and stability [68] which can enable us to monitor the environment around us and to use that information for different purposes [69]. There has been an increasing demand for the development of new sensor devices with specific characteristics such as improved sensitivity, low cost, faster response, reliability, rapider recovery, reduced size, in situ analysis, and simple operation [70] [71, 72]. Nanostructured materials have shown great potential in improving these properties for chemical and biological sensors [73]. Various nanostructured materials have been used in manufacturing nanosensors. For example, nanoscale wires (capability of high detection sensitivity), carbon nanotubes (very high surface area and high electron conductivity), thin films, metal and metal oxide nanoparticles, polymer, and biomaterials [74]. Since very many nanostructured materials have been used in sensors, in the next section, we provide an extensive review of the recent developments of metal, metal oxides, carbon nanotubes, and polymer nanostructured materials in sensors.

4.1. Metal Nanostructures in Sensors. Metal nanoparticles are known to have unique physical and chemical properties which make them extremely suitable for designing new and improved sensing devices especially electrochemical sensors and biosensors [75, 76]. Various metal nanoparticles such as gold (Au), silver (Ag), platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), copper (Cu), and cobalt (Co), including rare earth metals, have been used in fabricating electrochemical sensors and biosensors, and they normally play different roles in diverse sensing systems [75]. The importance of these roles includes the immobilization of biomolecules, the catalysis of electrochemical reactions, and the enhancement of electron transfer between electrode surfaces and proteins, labeling biomolecules as well as acting as a reactant [75]. Metal nanoparticles can be used as analytical transducers in various sensing principles as well as signal amplification elements [77]. The combination of greatly resourceful nanoparticle sensing principles with recognition elements has resulted in biosays with fast responses and visual outcome, appropriate for use in resource constrained environments [77]. For example, silver and gold nanoparticles have been used in the development of biosensors for point of care disease diagnosis [12].

The interparticle plasmon coupling of the nanoparticles leads to color changes which have been widely used in biosensors based on aggregation of the nanoparticles. For example, small gold nanoparticles are red and well dispersed but turn blue or purple on aggregation [78] while silver nanoparticles are yellowish brownish when dispersed but turn black when they aggregate [79]. The fundamental property of these nanoparticles has been used in the development of colorimetric sensor arrays with the potential of rapidity of analysis, which are cost effective and easy to use since they can provide naked eye observations [80]. A number of biosensors based on this predictable color changes such as biosensors for detection of α-1-fetoprotein [81], antihepatitis B virus antibodies in human serum [82], breast cancer biomarkers [83], mycobacterial of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex [84], human immunodeficiency virus type 1 DNA [85], toxic metal pollutants [86], and organochlorine endosulfan pesticide (ESP) [87] as shown in Figure 8 have been developed.

Graphene sheets which were decorated with green synthesized gold nanoparticles have been reported for label-free electrochemical impedance hybridization sensing of biomolecules such as HCG hormone in pregnant women due to their plasmon resonance [88–90]. By mixing 500 μl of biosynthesized gold nanoparticle solution with the same volume of the test sample and testing the solution using a pregnancy test strip, the authors found that the gold nanoparticles changed color into pink when pregnancy was positive and gray when negative. They further claimed that the method was 100% accurate for pregnancy diagnosis and can be used as an alternative method for a urine pregnancy test [89, 90].

Gold nanoparticles synthesized from patuletin isolated from Tagetes patula which was used as a capping and reducing agent as reported by Muhammad et al. were used as a chemosensor for piroxicam. In their work, they conjugated the gold nanoparticles with the patuletin, and the conjugate was found to be 63.2 by weight. They then examined the conjugate as a potential chemosensor with different drugs, but only one drug, piroxicam, was found to quench luminescence which followed Beer’s law in a concentration range of 20–60 μM. The quenching was also found to be stable at different pH, elevated temperatures, or addition of other drugs, and hence, they concluded that it could be important for molecular recognition applications [91].

Green synthesized silver nanoparticles from the aqueous solution of polysaccharide of guar gum (Cyamopsis tetragonoloba) plants displayed exceptional optical property towards ammonia with a very short response time of between 2 and 3 seconds and a detection limit of 1 ppm at room temperature [92, 93], and hence, this optical property towards ammonia at can be used as a sensor for the detection of ammonia level in biological fluids such as plasma, saliva, cerebrospinal liquid, and sweat [92, 93]. Silver nanoparticles embedded in polymers have also been used in sensors as reported in a study by Kariuki and coworkers [94] where they embedded silver nanoparticles in poly (amic) acid (PAA) polymer matrix (PAA-Ag NPs) for the detection of nitrobenzene. They found that the PAA–Ag nanoparticles based sensor showed a detection limit of 1.68 mM with a wide linear range of 10–600 mM and a high sensitivity of 7.88 mA mM⁻¹ with low interference on structurally similar nitroaromatic compounds [94].

Silver nanoparticles can also enable sensing of various analytes by tagging them with the nanoparticles. In a study reported by Sepunaru et al. [95], silver nanoparticles were used to tag influenza virus which led to efficient electrochemical detection of the virus since the magnitude and frequency
of the current increased linearly with the increasing virus concentration as well as the increasing surface coverage of the silver nanoparticles [95].

Platinum nanoparticles usually reveal good catalytic properties and have also been used in sensors or various analytes. For example, they have been used in sensors developed for the detection of hydrogen peroxide [96–99], cholesterol [100], mercury ions [101], and hydrogen [102].

Palladium nanoparticles are also characterized by their extensive catalytic properties and hence have found sensor applications towards gases, biomolecules, and hazardous toxic molecules [74]. Palladium nanoparticle-based materials are said to exhibit high electrocatalytic activity towards different target analytes. Palladium is also abundant over other noble metals such as platinum and gold, and this is making it a cheaper substitute for developing a number of electrochemical sensors [74]. Palladium in combination with other materials such as graphene forms nanocomposites which have been found to improve the mass diffusion of analytes. The nanocomposites normally offer electron tunneling which enables electron transfer between the active site and the electrode hence leading to effective electrochemical sensing performance [74]. A nanocomposite of pd and pt has been used to develop a sensor for nitrite [103, 104]. Another study reported a nanocomposite of palladium nanoparticles with polypyrrole which was used to develop a sensor for the detection of nitrates [105], while another study reported a nanocomposite of palladium nanoparticle with polyvinylpyrrolidone to detect hydrogen peroxide [106].

Copper is also another metal which has fascinated many researchers as an ideal material for use in sensors since it has good stability, outstanding electrical conductivity, electrocatalytic properties, and low cost when compared with noble metal such as platinum, gold, and silver [74]. Copper-based nanostructured materials have very many exceptional properties which include high mass-transport rate, high surface to volume ratio, and the improved signal-to-noise ratio in electroanalytical measurements [74]. For example, copper nanoclusters prepared via a simple one-step electrodeposition process on platinum electrode were used to determine nitrates [107]. Also, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide-(CTAB-) capped copper nanoparticles (CTAB-Cups) have

Figure 8: A diagram showing gold nanoparticles (GNPs) of ~8 nm in diameter used for the detection of organochlorine endosulfan pesticide (ESP) as colorimetric sensor and the design of GNP-based chemical sensor for its quantitative estimation has also been proposed. The original wine red color of GNP changes into various shades of blue after the addition of different concentrations of ESP solutions. A GNP-based sensing electrode has been used for designing of ESP detection chemical sensor at ambient temperature. Adapted with permission from [87]. Copyright © Materials Research Society 2018.
been used as a colorimetric probe for the detection of dithiocarbamate pesticides [108]. Another study reports colorimetric copper-based sensors for the detection of mercury-(ii) ions [109].

4.2. Metal Oxide Nanostructures in Sensors. Semiconductor metal oxide-based nanostructured materials have been expansively used as sensors in numerous applications since they can enhance the sensor’s performance due to their small crystallite size [110]. Semiconducting metal oxide- (SMOX-) based sensors are small, robust, inexpensive, and sensitive and easy to produce, making them highly attractive for handheld portable medical diagnostic detectors [110, 111]. They have been used to produce highly sensitive gas sensors mainly because of their good chemical reliability, real-time monitoring, and easy fabrication [112]. For example, a 3D nanoheterojunction layout of nickel oxide-zinc oxide (NiO-Zn) p-n semiconductors with a grain size of \( \approx 20 \text{ nm} \) and a porosity of \( \approx 98\% \) for the rapid room temperature chemical sensing of volatile organic compounds has been reported by Chen and coworkers [113]. In their work, they observed the sensor response was increased by more than four times, while the limit of detection was improved by decorating the ZnO nanoparticle networks with NiO. Under solar light irradiation, the optimal NiO–ZnO nanoheterojunction networks demonstrated a strong and selective room temperature response to two important volatile organic compounds utilized for breath analysis. Likewise, ZnO/graphene oxide (GO) nanosheets, synthesized using the wet-chemical method with an additional calcining treatment, for the tremendous sensing of acetone as a target gas have been reported [114]. The excellent sensing performance was ascribed to the synergistic effects between ZnO nanosheets and GO, which included a unique 2D structure, large specific surface area, suitable particle size, and abundant in-plane mesopores [114]. A ZnO/In\(_2\)O\(_3\) heterostructure-based sensor for ethanol gas at 240°C has been reported [115]. The sensor was found to exhibit a response as high as 170 toward 50 ppm of ethanol, which is about 3.3 times higher than that of pure In\(_2\)O\(_3\)-based sensor as well as excellent selectivity, good long-term stability, and moderate response and recovery speed (35/46 s) toward ethanol [115].

Tungsten trioxide (WO\(_3\)), the second most commonly used semiconducting metal oxide in gas sensors, has been reported to show high sensor responses to several biomarkers found in breath, e.g., acetone, ammonia, carbon monoxide, hydrogen sulfide, toluene, and nitric oxide since the modern material science allows WO\(_3\) samples to be tailored to address certain sensing needs [111]. For example, Pt-functionalized WO\(_3\) hemitubes and graphene-functionalized WO\(_3\) hemitubes for the detection of acetone (CH\(_3\)COCH\(_3\)) and hydrogen sulfide (H\(_2\)S) which are biomarkers for the diagnosis of diabetes and halitosis have been reported [116, 117]. Both studies reported remarkable selectivity, enhanced sensitivity, and low detection limits offering a potential platform for application in diabetes and halitosis diagnosis. These superior sensing properties were ascribed to the electronic sensitization of graphene and platinum-based materials by modulating space-charged layers at the interfaces between n-type WO\(_3\) hemitubes and p-type graphene-based materials, as identified by Kelvin Probe Force Microscopy (KPFM). The rapid response and superior sensitivity of the proposed sensing materials was found to follow cyclic thermal aging which demonstrated a good potential for real-time exhaled breath diagnosis of diseases [116, 117].

Graphene-functionalized tin oxide (SnO\(_2\)) nanofibers (NF)/nanosheets (NS) have also been reported in the detection of acetone and hydrogen sulfide levels in exhaled human breath as biomarkers for diabetes and halitosis [118] as well as for the detection of formaldehyde (HCHO) [119, 120]. The sensors exhibited high sensitivity and low detection limits which was ascribed to the high specific area, suitable electron transfer channels, and the synergistic effect of the SnO\(_2\) NF/NSs and the graphene oxide network [118–120]. Another study reports a 3D hierarchical In\(_2\)O\(_3@SnO2\) core-shell nanofiber (In\(_2\)O\(_3@SnO2\)) designed using vertically aligned SnO\(_2\) nanosheets uniformly grown on the outside surface of In\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanofibers and tested for the sensing performance of formaldehyde (HCHO) [121]. The sensing performance of the In\(_2\)O\(_3@SnO2\) nanocomposite was found to possess the highest response value, fast response/recovery speed, best selectivity, and lowest HCHO detection limit which were attributed to the synergistic effect of large specific surface areas of SnO\(_2\) nanosheet arrays, abundant adsorbed oxygen species on the surface, unique electron transformation between core-shell heterogeneous materials, and long electronic transmission channel of SnO\(_2\) transition layer [121].

Another study reports chromium (III) oxide nanoparticles coated with tin oxide nanofibers (Cr\(_2\)O\(_3\) NPs. coated SnO\(_2\) NFs.) synthesized using a sol-gel process and an electrospinning method and characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscope (SEM), X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) [112]. The Cr2O3 NPs. coated SnO\(_2\) NFs were found to exhibit low optimal operating temperature, high sensing response, excellent response-recovery time, and long-term stability to CH\(_3\)H\(_2\) [112].

All the examples described above clearly show that incorporating nanostructured materials into the sensors led to improved performances in terms of increased sensitivity, selectivity, stability, and detection limits.

4.3. Carbon Nanotubes in Sensors. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are theoretically cylindrical fabricated rolled up graphene sheets which can be classified single walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) or multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) [122, 123]. The SWCNTs normally consist of a single graphite sheet flawlessly wrapped into a cylindrical tube, while multiwalled carbon nanotube (MWCNTs) comprise an array of such nanotubes [124]. Although CNTs are made of carbon with similar dimension aspect ratio, they can be either metallic or semiconducting depending on the rolling up of the graphene layers [123]. They normally have a high aspect ratio which makes them suitable for functionalization through chemical or physical methods [123]. They can be produced via the chemical vapor deposition method which has the advantage to be scalable, to allow large-area deposition, and to provide CNTs that are already attached onto a substrate.
and hence easy to be collected [125]. They are said to have amazing electrical, mechanical, and thermal properties as well as partial antibacterial activity due to their high aspect ratio and high surface area [126]. The high sensitivity of the electronic properties of nanotubes to molecules adsorbed on their surface and the unparalleled unit surface providing for this high sensitivity make CNTs a promising starting material for the development of super miniaturized chemical and biological sensors [127, 128]. The operation of the CNT-based sensors is established on the changes in the V-I curve of the nanotube as a result of adsorption of specific molecules on their surface [127] which is one of their most promising applications in electronics. The sensors should have a high sensitivity as well as fast response and recovery [127]. Carbon nanotubes have been used in gas sensors, biosensors, photosensors, and pressure sensors among others. In this section, we will provide a review of the current advances of carbon nanotubes in sensor application.

4.3.1. Carbon Nanotubes in Gas Sensors. Gas sensors are chemical sensors, which have found widespread applications in industry, environmental monitoring, space exploration, biomedicine, and pharmaceutics [129]. Highly sensitive and selective gas sensors are required to detect leakage of explosive gases such as hydrogen and also for real-time detections of lethal or pathogenic gases in industries [129]. Due to the increasing global warming, there is also a strong demand of sensitive and selective gas sensors which can monitor and control our ambient environment [129]. The most common gas sensing principle is said to be the adsorption and desorption of gas molecules on the sensing materials, and therefore, increasing the contact interfaces between analyte and the sensing material can significantly enhance the sensitivity of gas sensors [129]. The CNTs are known to possess a huge specific surface and a robust van der Waals binding energy, which can provide well-defined adsorption sites for gas molecules which enable the application of CNTs to be an adsorbent to remove some undesirable gases and a sensor to react with target gases reflected by self-changes of physiochemical properties [129, 130] as illustrated in Figure 9. Carbon nanotube-based gas sensors have been widely considered due to their prominent properties such as faster response, enhanced sensitivity, and lower operating temperature [131, 132].

The adsorption ability of the CNTs has been found to change through doping metal or nonmetals on the sidewalls [130]. When the dopant atom(s) are coupled with the carbon can cage, they constitute a mutual area which exerts a great influence on the adsorption behaviors of the as-produced CNTs for gas [130]. Sensing of gas molecules using the adsorption properties of CNTs doped with different metals such as Pt [134], Au [135], Pd [136], Ni [137], Al [138], and nonmetals such as B and N [139] has been reported. In a study reported by Zhang et al. [140] where they studied the gas-sensitive response of Pd-SWCNT sensors to five different kinds of SF6 decomposition gases (SO2F2, SOF2, SO2, H2S, and CF4), they found that the conductivity of the nanotube increases once exposed to adsorbed gases with SO2 having the highest conductivity while CF4 decreased.

![Figure 9: Schematic structure of a SWNT bundle showing the available sites for gas adsorption. Dashed line indicates the nuclear skeleton of the nanotubes. Adapted from [129], an open access article. Binding energies E_b and specific surface area contributions (σ) for H2 adsorption on these sites are as indicated in [133].](image-url)
for NO₂ being 20 ppb [145]. This was attributed to the functionalization of SWCNTs which was found to improve the processing capability and sensitivity of the sensors. Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MCNTs) have also been used to develop NO₂ gas sensors which are capable of measuring low concentration going down to 0.1 ppm [146]. Another work has reported the detection of NO₂ using a combination of SWCNTs and MWCNTs on porous silicon wafers which was done at different temperatures [147]. Their results indicated that the equal sensitivity for the two types of CNTs was achieved with higher temperatures for the SWCNTs as compared to the MWCNTs. A nanocomposite with MWCNTs using poly(thiophene-3-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy]-2,5-diyl) (PThME) and 3-thiophenecacetate additive has also been reported to detect NO₂ [148]. The detection range for NO and NO₂ from this study was found to be between 2 and 5 ppm while sensitivity in the response of these nanocomposite-based devices increased with the increase in temperature ranging between 25°C and 100°C [148].

Other gases which have been detected using carbon nanotubes include ammonia NH₃ [149–152] gas, carbon monoxide (CO) gas [153–157], and chlorine gas [158–160] among others.

4.3.2. Carbon Nanotubes in Biosensors. A biosensor can be defined as a device that uses biochemical reactions to detect an analyte and a physiochemical component to produce a measurable signal [161]. It is normally composed of three components, the biological element or biomolecules, transducers, and detector as illustrated in Figure 10. The biological element is responsible for detecting the analyte and generating a response signal which is then transformed into a detectable response while the detector amplifies the processes and the signals before displaying it using a display system [162]. The biological elements are normally immobilized on the sensor surface, and their interaction with the analyte of interest generates a response signal which can be either an electrochemical signal, optical signal, or colorimetric signal. The sensitivity and selectivity of the biosensors depend on the immobilization of the biological elements. Due to their unique properties described earlier, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) can serve as platforms for immobilization of biomolecules at their surface, combining their exceptional physical, chemical, electrical, and optical characteristics which make them one of the best suited materials for the transduction of signals associated with the recognition of analytes, metabolites, or disease biomarkers [123, 163, 164] as illustrated in Figure 9 [163]. For example, CNTs have been used to develop electrochemical biosensors whose principle of action is based on oxidation and reduction reactions between the biomolecules and the analytes. Carbon nanotubes modified by redox polymers serving as a catalyst for the interaction of the biomolecules and the analyte have been reported [165]. The combination of CNTs with the polymers has been found to improve the electrical conductivity and mechanical strength of the hybrid material and hence the sensitivity of the biosensor [165]. Such combinations have been reported in biosensors for the detection of glucose, uric acid, hydrogen peroxide, ascorbic acid, dopamine, folic acid, cancer cells, and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) [163, 166–171].

Optical carbon nanotube-based biosensors have also been reported on the detection of cancer cells through changes in the emission of light (ultraviolet, visible, or infrared) [172, 173]. In all the applications described above, the CNTs have been used to improve the immobilization of the biological elements which in turn led to an enhanced sensitivity, selectivity, and response time of the target analytes.

4.3.3. Carbon Nanotubes in Photo Sensors. A photo sensor can be defined as an electronic component that can detect the presence of visible light, infrared transmission, and/or ultraviolet (UV) energy [174]. They consist of semiconductors with photoconductivity in which electrical conductance can vary depending on the intensity of the radiation striking the material. They can be used for integrating natural and electrical lighting [175]. Due to their optical properties,
CNTs have been used in photo sensors since when a high energy photon hits a semiconducting CNT, it generates an electron/hole pair within the nanostructure. A built-in potential then separates the two charge carriers enabling a photocurrent to be measured which is the concept behind CNT-based photo sensors [123].

A flexible CNT's photo sensor array for light detection has been reported [176]. In this study, the CNTs for light detection were embedded into a flexible parylene-C film and the photo sensor array fabricated using micromachining processes. Their results indicated an output photocurrent which varied linearly with the input light intensity [176]. A fabricated visible photo sensor based on the on double-walled carbon nanotube (DWCNT) film/CuO nanoparticle (NP) film/TiO2 nanotube array (TNA) heterojunctions has been reported [177]. Their results indicated an enhanced optoelectronic performance when compared to that of the heterojunctions without CuO nanoparticles. Carbon nanotubes can also be used to improve the selectivity of photo sensors. For example, perovskite/carbon nanotube hybrids were used to develop a photo sensor which could distinguish between ultraviolet, visible, and infrared spectrum [178]. In this study, the authors used organo-lead halide perovskites (CH3NH3PbX3) which possess remarkable optoelectronic properties and tunable optical band gaps by changing the halogens and when integrated with SWCNTs can further improve their photoresponsivity [178]. The CH3NH3PbCl3-based photo sensor showed a responsivity up to 105 A W−1 to ultraviolet and no obvious response to visible light, which is superior to that of most ultraviolet sensors [178] while the CH3NH3PbBr3-based photo sensor was found to exhibit a high responsivity to visible light [178], and therefore, by changing the halogen, the photo sensor is able to distinguish between the different electromagnetic radiations.

4.3.4. Carbon Nanotubes in Pressure Sensors. A pressure sensor is a device that can sense pressure and convert it into an electric signal where the amount depends upon the pressure applied [179]. Freestanding films containing vertically aligned CNTs are said to exhibit supercompressible foam-like behavior [180], whereas ultralong CNT blocks can act as pressure or strain sensors, exhibiting reversible electrical conductivities and a compressive strain response [181] and therefore can be used in pressure sensors. For example, a pressure sensor based on the arrangement of vertically aligned carbon nanotubes (VACNTs) which was supported by a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) matrix has been reported [182]. In this study, the VACNTs embedded in the PDMS matrix were structurally flexible and were able to provide a repeated sensing operation due to the high elasticities of both the polymer and the carbon nanotubes (CNTs) [182]. To accomplish flexible functional electronics, the VACNT-based pressure sensor was incorporated into a field-effect transistor, fabricated using sprayed semiconducting carbon nanotubes on plastic substrate [182]. In another study, functionalized carbon nanotubes on nonconductive fibers coated by electrophoretic deposition (EPD) onto a backing electrode followed by film formation onto the fibers creating a conductive network have been used to develop a pressure sensor [183]. The pressure sensor displayed a large in-plane change in electrical conductivity with applied out-of-plane pressure [183] indicating resilience of the nanocomposite interphase which enabled sensing of high pressures without permanent changes to the sensor response as well as showing high repeatability [183].

4.4. Polymer Nanocomposites in Sensors. Polymer nanocomposites comprise a class of materials formed by at least finely dispersed phase with nanomaterials such as nanoparticles, nanotubes, or nanosheets [184–186]. They are superior type of tools in which the nanomaterials are spread in a polymer matrix resulting in innovative materials with unique physical and chemical properties [184, 185, 187–193]. The polymer

| Table 1: Sensors based on polymer nanocomposites. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Polymer nanocomposite (sensory material) | Analyte | Detection limit | Reference |
| Zinc oxide nanoparticles intercalated into polypyrrole (ZnO-PPy) | Xanthine | 0.8 μM | [196] |
| Polypyrrole nanosheets decorated with platinum nanoparticles (PPy-Pt) | Hydrogen peroxide | 0.6 μM | [197] |
| NiCo2O4-polyaniline (NiCo2O4-PANI) | Glucose | 0.3833 μM | [198] |
| Graphene polyaniline nanocomposite- (GR–PANI-) modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE) (GR–PANI-GCE) | 4-Aminophenol | 6.5 × 10−8 M | [199] |
| Graphene-polyaniline-horseradish peroxidase (Grp–PANI–HRP) | Malaria drug Arsentesun | 0.012 ng mL−1 | [200] |
| Polyaniline and zirconia nanocomposite film (PANI-ZrO2) | Esomeprazole | 97.21 ng mL−1 | [201] |
| Gold-polyaniline-graphene nanocomposites (Au–Grp-PANI) | Nitrite | 0.01 μmol L−1 | [202] |
| Polypyrrole/graphene nanocomposite (PPy–Grp-GCE) | Adenine, guanine | 0.02 μM and 0.01 μM | [203] |
| Polypyrrole/graphene oxide nanosheets (PPy–GrpO) | Dopamine | 73.3 nM | [204] |
| Graphene-poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (graphene–PEDOT) nanocomposite film with ascorbate oxidase (AO) entrapped (Grp–PEDOT–AO) | Ascorbic acid | 2.0 μM | [205] |
| Graphene-polyaniline-Bi2O3 (Grp–PANI–Bi2O3) composite | Anti-inflammatory drug | 10.03 ng mL−1 | [206] |
| Copper phosphate nanospheres, polymerized dopamine hydrochloride (Cu3(PO4)2/PDA/Ab2[GCE]) | C-reactive protein | 0.13 pg mL−1 | [207] |
matrix can be made from a variety of polymers such as polyamides, polyethersulfone, polyurethanes, polyamic acid, poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF), poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), polyacrylonitrile, or polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) among others [184, 194]. Polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) have electrochemical properties and can be used as transducers in the development of electrochemical sensors and biosensors since they have high electrical conductivity rate, large surface area and fast electron rate leading to high sensitivity, and selectivity and low detection limits of the sensors [195]. In addition, the interactive fillers in the PNCs facilitate ion diffusion that impacts the sensing applications through intercalation into the PNC matrices leading to better stability of active electron transfer sites and detection limits [195]. These active fillers help in reducing the layer thickness in PNC leading to ulthrin electrochemical detector technology [195]. Based on these properties of PNC, they have been used in the development of sensors for various analytes some of which are summarized in Table 1.

5. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

In this review article, we have discussed fabrication techniques of different nanostructures as well as their applications in the field of sensors. Owing to their superior physicochemical and plasmonic properties of nanostructured materials, they have generated a great deal of interest in many sensing applications. Though the potential uses of these nanostructures in sensors applications are numerous, there are a few limitations which might hinder these applications. For example, gas sensors based on carbon nanotubes have been found to lack selectivity [123]. This lack of selectivity is a major roadblock which can hamper further usage of these CNT-based devices; though this can be mitigated by coupling of the CNTs with other materials, more work needs to be done to improve their selectivity. There are also technical difficulties of nanostructure fabrication as well as serious concerns about nanostructure toxicity which might vary depending on the physical characteristics of each new particle type. Further research is therefore required to evaluate and solve these issues. The sustainability of the nanostructured materials which is very important has not been fully investigated, and therefore, it would be important to look into their sustainability in sensor applications. If the issues can be overcome, the high sensitivity, specificity, reduced cost, portability, and reusability of nanostructures which has been described in this review will make nanostructured materials an appealing alternative to current sensors. This is however achievable since there has been a continued progress in the field of nanotechnology with increasing research in the nanoscale which can lead to enhanced performance of the existing sensors as well as the development of newer sensors based on novel mechanisms.
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