The budding yeast GSK-3 homologue Mck1 is an essential component of the spindle position checkpoint
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The spindle position checkpoint (SPOC) is a mitotic surveillance mechanism in Saccharomyces cerevisiae that prevents cells from completing mitosis in response to spindle misalignment, thereby contributing to genomic integrity. The kinase Kin4, one of the most downstream SPOC components, is essential to stop the mitotic exit network (MEN), a signalling pathway that promotes the exit from mitosis and cell division. Previous work, however, suggested that a Kin4-independent pathway contributes to SPOC, yet the underlying mechanisms remain elusive. Here, we established the glycogen-synthase-kinase-3 (GSK-3) homologue Mck1, as a novel component that works independently of Kin4 to engage SPOC. Our data indicate that both Kin4 and Mck1 work in parallel to counteract MEN activation by the Cdc14 early anaphase release (FEAR) network. We show that Mck1’s function in SPOC is mediated by the pre-replication complex protein and mitotic cyclin-dependent kinase (M-Cdk) inhibitor, Cdc6, which is degraded in a Mck1-dependent manner prior to mitosis. Moderate overproduction of Cdc6 phenocopies MCK1 deletion and causes SPOC deficiency via its N-terminal, M-Cdk inhibitory domain. Our data uncover an unprecedented role of GSK-3 kinases in coordinating spindle orientation with cell cycle progression.

1. Introduction

Every cell division in Saccharomyces cerevisiae is asymmetric and gives rise to a new daughter and an old mother cell. Yeast cells polarize at early stages of the cell cycle to orient the spindle formed in the mother compartment along the mother-daughter polarity axis. The mitotic spindle position checkpoint (SPOC) ensures faithful segregation of chromosomes by sensing mitotic spindle orientation [1].

To exit mitosis and prepare for the next cell cycle, cells inactivate the mitotic cyclin-dependent kinase (M-Cdk) complex and dephosphorylate its substrates [2,3]. This inactivation is driven by a conserved proline-directed protein phosphatase, Cdc14, which is retained in the nucleolus through most of the cell cycle [4,5]. During anaphase, Cdc14 is released into the nucleus and cytoplasm primarily in two waves. First, a partial release of Cdc14 from the nucleolus into the nucleus is achieved by the Cdc14 early anaphase release (FEAR) network at the metaphase-anaphase transition [6–8]. This is followed by activation of the mitotic exit network (MEN) that promotes the full release of Cdc14 into the cytoplasm at the end of anaphase [5,9–11]. The pool of Cdc14 released by the FEAR network is required for accurate chromosome partitioning and spindle formation, however, this Cdc14 pool is insufficient to drive mitotic exit [12–14]. For this, the full release of Cdc14 by the MEN is indispensable [4,15–18].

The MEN consists of the Ras-like small GTPase Tem1 that when bound to the nucleotide GTP activates a downstream kinase cascade composed of Hippo-like...
kinase Cdc15 and the LATS/NDR kinase Dbf2–Mob1 complex [6,19–21]. Upon Tem1 activation, Cdc15 is recruited to the spindle pole body (SPB, the functional equivalent of mammalian centrosome) where it activates Dbf2–Mob1 [20–23]. The pololike kinase Cdc5 targets active Dbf2–Mob1 to the nucleus where it phosphorylates the Cdc14-anchoring, Cfi1/Net1, and Cdc14 [5,24]. This leads to the release of active Cdc14 into the nucleoplasm and cytoplasm [5,25]. Ultimately, the timing of mitotic exit is governed by the full release of Cdc14 phosphatase that counteracts M-Cdk activity via Clb2 (mitotic cyclin) degradation and stabilization of the Cdk inhibitor Sic1. The MEN and Cdc14 also promote cytokinesis by dephosphorylating key Cdk targets [26,27]. In addition to Sic1, Cdh1/Hct1 and Cdc6 cooperate to downregulate M-Cdk activity during late anaphase [28]. Cdh1/Hct1 is a regulatory subunit of E3 ubiquitin ligase complex that recognizes Clb2 and aids M-Cdk inactivation [29,30]. Whereas, Cdc6 is a pre-replicative complex (pre-RC) component and mitotic exit inhibitor. We show that Mck1 upon Kin4 protein stability. Together, we concluded that Mck1 either regulates Kin4 activity, acts downstream or parallel to Kin4 to inhibit mitotic exit.

To examine if Mck1 is required for SPOC function, we made use of cells lacking the adenomatous polyposis coli-related spindle-positioning factor Kar9 that is required for spindle orientation [47]. In the case of SPOC dysfunction, cells with misaligned spindles accumulate multi-budded and multinucleated cells as assessed by nuclear and tubulin staining with DAPI and yeast-enhanced (ye)GFP, respectively (figure 1c) [40,48]. SPOC deficiency was observed for the majority of kar9Δ cells but not kar9Δ or mck1Δ cells (figure 1c and d).

To verify that cells lacking Mck1 cannot engage the SPOC, we next determined the duration of anaphase (defined as the time from the start of spindle elongation until spindle disassembly) in kar9Δ mck1Δ yeGFP-TUB1 cells by live-cell imaging. As controls, we used kar9Δ yeGFP-TUB1 (SPOC proficient) and kar9Δ kin4Δ yeGFP-TUB1 (SPOC deficient) cells. In all cell types, when the spindle was normally aligned, the anaphase duration was approximately 22 min (figure 1e,f). In kar9Δ cells, the misaligned spindle stayed intact during the time of inspection and did not disassemble. Contrary to this, we observed that in most kar9Δ mck1Δ cells the time taken by the misaligned anaphase spindle to disassemble in the mother cell compartment did not significantly vary in comparison to cells with a correctly aligned spindle (figure 1e,f–arrowheads). The behaviour of kar9Δ mck1Δ cells was similar to kar9Δ kin4Δ cells (figure 1e,f), indicating SPOC deficiency. Together, Mck1 is a SPOC component essential to stall mitotic exit in cells with a misaligned anaphase spindle. Previously, Mck1 was predicted to regulate spindle positioning via modulation of astral microtubule dynamics [49]. However, we did not observe any spindle orientation defects in mck1Δ cells (electronic supplementary material, figure S1A). This implies that Mck1 does not affect spindle positioning in our strain background and growth conditions.

Next, we questioned if the kinase activity of Mck1 is essential for the SPOC function. We used a kinase-dead version of MCK1, mck1-KD, in which aspartic acid at amino acid position 164 was mutated to alanine [50]. In the KIN4 overexpression background, mck1-KD cells behaved like mck1Δ and were able to grow under Kin4 overproducing conditions (figure 2a). Furthermore, kar9Δ mck1-KD cells were SPOC deficient similar to kar9Δ mck1Δ cells (figure 2b). We concluded that Mck1 kinase activity is essential to regulate SPOC. The SPOC function of Mck1 appears to be unique to it as the deletion of the three other GSK3 homologues, Mds1 (Rim11), Mrk1 and Ygk3, did not rescue Kin4 overproducing lethality or caused SPOC deficiency upon spindle misorientation (figure 2c,d).

2. Results

2.1. Deletion of MCK1 rescues the lethality of KIN4 overexpression and causes SPOC deficiency

Overexpression of KIN4 leads to arrest of cells in late anaphase due to constant inactivation of the MEN GTPase Tem1 by Bfa1–Bub2 GAP complexes [33]. In a genome-wide screen carried out to identify novel SPOC components or mitotic exit inhibitors, MCK1 was identified as a gene whose deletion bypasses the toxic effects of KIN4 overexpression [42]. To validate the screen, we analysed the growth of MCK1 and mck1Δ cells that carried an extra copy of KIN4 under control of the galactose inducible promoter (Gal1-KIN4). Under galactose-inducing conditions, mck1Δ cells survive the toxicity of KIN4 overexpression similar to cells bearing BFA1 deletion (figure 1a). The levels of KIN4 upon induction were comparable in both MCK1 and mck1Δ strains (figure 1b), excluding an effect of Mck1 upon Kin4 protein stability. Together, we concluded that Mck1 either regulates Kin4 activity, acts downstream or parallel to Kin4 to inhibit mitotic exit.

2.1. Deletion of MCK1 rescues the lethality of KIN4 overexpression and causes SPOC deficiency

Overexpression of KIN4 leads to arrest of cells in late anaphase due to constant inactivation of the MEN GTPase Tem1 by Bfa1–Bub2 GAP complexes [33]. In a genome-wide screen carried out to identify novel SPOC components or mitotic exit inhibitors, MCK1 was identified as a gene whose deletion bypasses the toxic effects of KIN4 overexpression [42]. To validate the screen, we analysed the growth of MCK1 and mck1Δ cells that carried an extra copy of KIN4 under control of the galactose inducible promoter (Gal1-KIN4). Under galactose-inducing conditions, mck1Δ cells survive the toxicity of KIN4 overexpression similar to cells bearing BFA1 deletion (figure 1a). The levels of KIN4 upon induction were comparable in both MCK1 and mck1Δ strains (figure 1b), excluding an effect of Mck1 upon Kin4 protein stability. Together, we concluded that Mck1 either regulates Kin4 activity, acts downstream or parallel to Kin4 to inhibit mitotic exit.

To examine if Mck1 is required for SPOC function, we made use of cells lacking the adenomatous polyposis coli-related spindle-positioning factor Kar9 that is required for spindle orientation [47]. In the case of SPOC dysfunction, cells with misaligned spindles accumulate multi-budded and multinucleated cells as assessed by nuclear and tubulin staining with DAPI and yeast-enhanced (ye)GFP, respectively (figure 1c) [40,48]. SPOC deficiency was observed for the majority of kar9Δ cells but not kar9Δ or mck1Δ cells (figure 1c and d).

To verify that cells lacking Mck1 cannot engage the SPOC, we next determined the duration of anaphase (defined as the time from the start of spindle elongation until spindle disassembly) in kar9Δ mck1Δ yeGFP-TUB1 cells by live-cell imaging. As controls, we used kar9Δ yeGFP-TUB1 (SPOC proficient) and kar9Δ kin4Δ yeGFP-TUB1 (SPOC deficient) cells. In all cell types, when the spindle was normally aligned, the anaphase duration was approximately 22 min (figure 1e,f). In kar9Δ cells, the misaligned spindle stayed intact during the time of inspection and did not disassemble. Contrary to this, we observed that in most kar9Δ mck1Δ cells the time taken by the misaligned anaphase spindle to disassemble in the mother cell compartment did not significantly vary in comparison to cells with a correctly aligned spindle (figure 1e,f–arrowheads). The behaviour of kar9Δ mck1Δ cells was similar to kar9Δ kin4Δ cells (figure 1e,f), indicating SPOC deficiency. Together, Mck1 is a SPOC component essential to stall mitotic exit in cells with a misaligned anaphase spindle. Previously, Mck1 was predicted to regulate spindle positioning via modulation of astral microtubule dynamics [49]. However, we did not observe any spindle orientation defects in mck1Δ cells (electronic supplementary material, figure S1A). This implies that Mck1 does not affect spindle positioning in our strain background and growth conditions.

Next, we questioned if the kinase activity of Mck1 is essential for the SPOC function. We used a kinase-dead version of MCK1, mck1-KD, in which aspartic acid at amino acid position 164 was mutated to alanine [50]. In the KIN4 overexpression background, mck1-KD cells behaved like mck1Δ and were able to grow under Kin4 overproducing conditions (figure 2a). Furthermore, kar9Δ mck1-KD cells were SPOC deficient similar to kar9Δ mck1Δ cells (figure 2b). We concluded that Mck1 kinase activity is essential to regulate SPOC. The SPOC function of Mck1 appears to be unique to it as the deletion of the three other GSK3 homologues, Mds1 (Rim11), Mrk1 and Ygk3, did not rescue Kin4 overproducing lethality or caused SPOC deficiency upon spindle misorientation (figure 2c,d).
2.2. Mck1 does not influence Kin4 activity

We reasoned that Mck1 might regulate Kin4 to control SPOC. To test this possibility, we analysed Kin4 localization and activity in vivo in the presence and absence of MCK1. The role of Kin4 in SPOC depends upon its localization to the mother cell cortex and SPBs [33,34,41]. We, therefore, looked at the localization of Kin4 upon spindle misalignment in anaphase cells lacking Mck1. Our data show that Kin4 associates with both the SPBs in kar9Δ mck1Δ cells with mispositioned spindles (electronic supplementary material, figure S1B-c,d), similar to what has been reported previously.
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Figure 1. Mck1 is a novel SPOC component. (a) Serial dilutions of the indicated strains were spotted on the Gal1-KIN4 repressing (glucose) and Gal1-KIN4 inducing agar plates containing galactose (galact.) and incubated at 30°C for 3 days. (b) Immunoblot shows expression levels of yeGFP-Kin4 in the presence and absence of galactose as indicated. Tubulin (Tub) served as a loading control. (c,d) Representative images of SPOC deficient cells (c) and SPOC analysis of strains are indicated in (d). Cells were fixed and analysed for yeGFP-tubulin and DNA content by DAPI staining. Dashed lines mark the cell boundary. SPOC deficient phenotypes were scored in (d) based on tubulin staining (n = 100 cells per strain and experiment). The graph depicts average ± s.d. of SPOC deficient phenotypes from three independent experiments. Asterisk indicates a significant difference based on the two-tailed Student’s t-test, p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.001 (**) and p < 0.0001 (***) (e,f) SPOC analysis by live cell imaging. Anaphase duration (e) and still images (f) are shown for kar9Δ (n = 55), kar9Δ kin4Δ (n = 48) and kar9Δ mck1Δ (n = 109) cells. The quantifications in (e) show anaphase duration (mean ± s.d.) for cells with normal or misaligned spindles as depicted. Still images in (f) show cells in which the spindle elongated in the mother cell body; t = 0 was defined as the time prior to the beginning of spindle elongation. Cell boundaries are marked by dashed lines and red arrow indicates spindle disassembly. Scale bars, 3 µm.
for \( \text{kar9}\) cells \cite{34} (electronic supplementary material, figure S1A-a,b). To further analyse Kin4 localization, wild-type and \( \text{mck1}\)\( \Delta \) cells carrying \( \text{KIN4-mNeonGreen} \) and the SPB marker \( \text{SPC42-mCherry} \) were treated with the microtubule depolymerizing drug nocodazole, which arrests cells in metaphase in a Bfa1–Bub2 dependent manner \cite{34} (electronic supplementary material, figure S1C). In both, wild-type and \( \text{mck1}\)\( \Delta \) cells, \( \text{Kin4-mNeonGreen} \) associated with the mother cell cortex and SPBs (electronic supplementary material, figure S1D) confirming that Mck1 does not control Kin4 localization.

To evaluate Kin4 activity, we assessed the phosphorylation profile of Bfa1 by monitoring Bfa1 mobility shift on SDS-PAGE gels. It is known that Kin4 phosphorylates Bfa1 to prevent Bfa1 from the inhibitory phosphorylation by polo-like kinase Cdc5 \cite{33,34}. In nocodazole-treated cells, the slowly migrating forms of Bfa1, which are phosphorylated by Cdc5, become apparent only upon deletion of \( \text{Kin4} \) \cite{34,36,41,51,52} (electronic supplementary material, figure S1E, \( \text{kin4}\)\( \Delta \) cells, asterisk). These slow-migrating forms of Bfa1 were absent in \( \text{mck1}\)\( \Delta \) cells, resembling \( \text{KIN4 MCK1} \) cells (electronic supplementary material, figure S1E). Importantly, the deletion of \( \text{KIN4} \) in \( \text{mck1}\)\( \Delta \) background promoted hyperphosphorylation of Bfa1 (electronic supplementary material, figure S1E) and supported the conclusion that Kin4 and Cdc5 are both active in the absence of Mck1.

Phospho-regulation of Bfa1 by Kin4 and Cdc5 governs the SPB localization of the Bfa1–Bub2 GAP complex \cite{34,35}. Upon SPOC activation, phosphorylation of Bfa1 by Kin4 removes Bfa1–Bub2 from SPBs thereby changing the SPB localization of the complex from asymmetric (stronger signal at one SPB) to symmetric (similar levels at both SPBs) \cite{37,38}. To further confirm Kin4 function, we determined Bfa1 SPB localization in \( \text{mck1}\)\( \Delta \) cells upon spindle misalignment. As reported earlier, Bfa1 localized symmetrically in the majority of \( \text{kar9}\) cells with misoriented spindles (electronic supplementary material, figure S1F) \cite{37}. By contrast, Bfa1 localized asymmetrically at the SPBs in \( \text{kar9}\) \( \text{kin4}\)\( \Delta \) cells (electronic supplementary material, figure S1F) \cite{37,38}, upon spindle misalignment. Notably, we see that \( \text{kar9}\) \( \text{mck1}\)\( \Delta \) cells show Bfa1 localization behaviour similar to that of the \( \text{kar9}\) cells (electronic supplementary material, figure S1F). Together, these data strongly indicate that Mck1 does not regulate the localization or activity of Kin4 in vivo.

### 2.3. Deletion of MCK1 leads to MEN activation in cells with misaligned spindles

We next asked whether Mck1 contributes to SPOC by blocking MEN activation at SPBs and Cdc14 nucleolar release.

---

**Figure 2.** Mck1 kinase activity is vital for its SPOC function. (a) Serial dilutions of Gal1-yeGFP-KIN4 MCK1 and Gal1-yeGFP-KIN4 mck1\( \Delta \) cells carrying an empty plasmid (pRS315), pRS315-MCK1 or pRS315-mck1-kinase dead (KD) as indicated. Growth is shown on Gal1-KIN4 repressing (glucose) or inducing (galact.) selection plates after 3 days at 30°C. (b) Percentage of SPOC-deficient phenotypes for the indicated strains carrying an integrated copy of MCK1 and mck1\( \Delta \). Average ± s.d. of three independent experiments (\( n = 100 \) anaphase cells per strain and experiment) is shown. (c) Serial dilutions of wild-type and Gal1-yeGFP-KIN4 strains carrying the indicated gene deletions on glucose (Gal1 repressing) and galactose (Gal1 inducing) plates. Growth is shown after 3 days at 30°C. (d) SPOC-deficient phenotypes of indicated strains. Graph shows average ± s.d. of three independent experiments (\( n = 100 \) cells per strain and experiment). Asterisks in (b) and (d) indicate a significant difference based on the two-tailed Student’s t-test, \( p < 0.05 \) (*), \( p < 0.001 \) (**) and \( p < 0.0001 \) (***).
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A stereotypical behaviour of MEN components, including Cdc15 and Mob1–Dbf2 kinases, is their recruitment to SPBs upon Tem1 GTPase activation [20–23,53] (figure 3d). Mob1–Dbf2 also binds to the bud neck (the site of cytokinesis) in late anaphase after downregulation of M-Cdk activity [22,23] (figure 3b; asterisk). As expected, Mob1–yeGFP accumulated at SPBs and bud neck in late anaphase MCK1 kar9 Δ cells with correctly aligned but not misaligned spindles (figure 3bi, figure 3c) [42]. The percentage of cells with spindle misorientation and Mob1–yeGFP at SPBs (figure 3biv, arrowheads) or bud neck (figure 3bvi, asterisk) largely increased in the absence of MCK1 (figure 3c). This behaviour was comparable to kin4 Δ (figure 3biii, figure 3c) and implied that Mck1 blocks MEN activation when the SPOC is engaged. A consequence of MEN activation is the full release of the phosphatase Cdc14 out of the nucleolus in late anaphase [4,18]. We postulated that deletion of MCK1 would allow Cdc14 full release in spite of spindle elongation in the mother cell. Indeed, in contrast to kar9 Δ, the majority of kar9 Δ mck1 Δ cells with misoriented spindles released Cdc14 (figure 3d). As a consequence of cell cycle progression, Cdc14 was sequestered back to the nucleolus in cells with misaligned spindles lacking Mck1 [54] (figure 3d, 32 min). Our data together validate that MCK1 becomes fully activated in the absence of MCK1.

2.4. Mck1 becomes dispensable for SPOC in the absence of FEAR

After the metaphase to anaphase transition, Cdc14 is released from the nucleolus into nucleoplasm by the FEAR network [8] (figure 3a). As deletion of MCK1 promoted MEN activation, we reasoned that Mck1 would specifically interfere with MEN but not FEAR-released Cdc14. To test this hypothesis, we analysed Cdc14 release by FEAR in the presence or absence of Mck1. To stop the contribution of MEN for Cdc14 release, we used cells carrying the temperature-sensitive allele, cdc15-1. At the restrictive temperature, cdc15-1 transit through mitosis with an active FEAR but arrest in late anaphase due to MEN blockage [15,17] (figure 3e). In cdc15-1 cells, partially released Cdc14-mCherry became dispersed in the nucleus in large-budded cells as a consequence of FEAR activation (figure 3e). In both MCK1 and mck1 Δ cells, Cdc14 partial release was observed to similar levels (figure 3ef). This partial release did not occur when SPO12 was deleted (figure 3ef) confirming FEAR dependency. Thus, the FEAR pathway is active in the absence of Mck1.

It has been established that upon spindle misorientation Kin4 blocks MEN activation by the FEAR network [42,43]. To test whether Mck1 like Kin4 becomes dispensable for SPOC function in FEARless cells, we compared SPOC proficiency in the presence or absence of Spo12. The deletion of SPO12 completely reverted the SPOC deficiency of kar9 Δ mck1 Δ resembling the behaviour observed for kar9 Δ kin4 Δ cells (electronic supplementary material, figure S2A). We conclude that Mck1 also counteracts FEAR-dependent MEN activation to engage SPOC.

2.5. Mck1 is a mitotic exit inhibitor in cells with compromised MEN activity

Next, we aimed to investigate whether Mck1 inhibits mitotic exit in cells with properly aligned spindles too. Mck1 was previously shown to delay mitotic entry [50]. In our strain background, the deletion of MCK1 indeed delayed Clb2 accumulation by 15 min, but only when cells were cultured at 23°C and not 30°C (electronic supplementary material, figure S2B). Under both growth conditions, however, the mitotic duration of MCK1 and mck1 Δ cells was comparable (electronic supplementary material, figure S2B). We thus reasoned that if Mck1 plays an inhibitory role in mitosis, this might only become apparent when MEN activity is compromised. To test this hypothesis, we used ways to block mitotic exit other than causing spindle misorientation. Temperature-sensitive mutants of MEN components, including Tem1, Cdc14, Cdc15, Cdc5 and Mob1, delay or completely block mitotic exit in a temperature-dependent manner [18,19] (figure 4a). The deletion of MCK1 was able to rescue the growth of tem1-3, cdc15-1, cdc5-10 and mob1-67 at semi-permissive temperatures (30–35°C). This rescue however required residual MEN activity, as deletion of MCK1 was not able to promote the growth of mutants at their restrictive temperature (37°C) or rescue MOB1, CDC15 or TEM1 gene deletions (electronic supplementary material, figure S2C).

Another way to block mitotic exit is via modulation of daughter-cell-specific MEN regulators. In cells with properly aligned spindles, MEN activation requires daughter-cell confined components such as Lte1, a Kin4 inhibitor and putative Tem1 activator [52,55,56]. The deletion of LTE1 causes growth lethality at cold temperatures (figure 4b, 14°C) due to the inability of cells to exit mitosis [56]. This cold lethal growth phenotype can be rescued through deletion of negative regulators of mitotic exit, including KIN4 (figure 4b), BUB2 or BFA1 [52,55]. Similarly, deletion of MCK1 was able to rescue the cold-sensitive growth defect of lte1 Δ cells (figure 4b). As the FEAR network facilitates MEN activation, LTE1 mutations not only lead to cold sensitivity but also synthetic lethality in the absence of FEAR factors SPO12 or SLK19 [6]. Similar to KIN4, BFA1 or BUB2 deletion, cells lacking Mck1 rescued the lethality of lte1 Δ spo12 Δ and lte1 Δ slk19 Δ (figure 4c) [6,33]. These data together reinforce the notion that Mck1 acts as a mitotic exit inhibitor.

2.6. Mck1 and Kin4 work in parallel to prevent mitotic exit

In cells devoid of FEAR, Kin4 but not Bfa1 becomes dispensable for SPOC, reinforcing the idea that components other than Kin4 prevent mitotic exit. To test whether Mck1 could control mitotic exit in parallel to Kin4, we reassessed SPOC proficiency of kar9 Δ cells lacking FEAR. As previously shown (electronic supplementary material, figure S2A), deletion of either MCK1 or KIN4 did not affect SPOC proficiency of kar9 Δ spo12 Δ cells [42] (figure 4d; electronic supplementary material, figure S2A). Strikingly, mck1 Δ kin4 Δ double deletions led to a significant increase in the percentage of SPOC deficient cells (figure 4d), implying that Kin4 and Mck1 independently promote SPOC in the absence of FEAR.

To obtain further evidence that Kin4 and Mck1 work together to block MEN, we performed epistasis analysis using a triple deletion mutant background that could be rescued by deletion of BFA1 but not KIN4. A recent study showed that Ste20 promotes mitotic exit parallel to Lte1 and may function upstream of the Bfa1–Bub2 GAP complex [42]. Deletion of STE20 in combination with LTE1 and SPO12 led to lethality that was rescued by deletion of BFA1 but not KIN4 [42] (figure 4e). Deletion of Mck1 could not rescue the lethality of lte1 Δ spo12 Δ ste20 Δ cells.
Figure 3. mck1Δ cells with misaligned spindles activate MEN. (a) The illustration represents a flow chart of the interplay between key components of the FEAR (purple), SPOC (orange) and MEN (red) pathway in order to achieve Cdc14 (green) partial or full release. The red capped lines and black arrows indicate inhibitory and activating events. (b) Representative images show Mob1–yeGFP localization at SPBs (labelled with Spc42-eqFP) in cells with properly aligned (a) and misaligned (b–e) spindles. mCherry-Tub1 served as a spindle marker. Arrowheads and asterisks indicate SPBs and bud neck, respectively. Please note, in panel (e) the two close SPBs, the absence of spindle microtubules and Mob1–yeGFP accumulation at SPBs and bud neck indicate mitotic exit upon spindle misalignment. Scale bar, 3 µm. (c) Graph represents the percentage of cells with misaligned spindles harbouring high and low Mob1–yeGFP at SPBs. Average ± s.d. of three independent experiments is shown. N = 100 cells with misaligned spindles per strain and per experiment. (d) Representative still images from live-cell imaging showing Cdc14-mCherry localization in kar9Δ MCK1 and kar9Δ mck1Δ cells with misaligned spindles. Cell boundaries are marked by dashed lines; the arrows indicate Cdc14 full release. Scale bars, 3 µm. The percentage of cells with nucleolar or fully released Cdc14-mCherry upon spindle misalignment is mentioned. (e,f) Analysis of FEAR-network dependent release of Cdc14. Cells were arrested at 23°C in the G1 phase with alpha-factor and released at 37°C in the absence of alpha-factor. Samples were taken every 15 min for 150 min. Cdc14 full and partial release was scored based on spindle length (pole–pole distance). Representative images in (e) show examples of cells with nucleolar or partially released Cdc14-mCherry localization in kar9Δ MCK1 and kar9Δ mck1Δ cells with misaligned spindles. Cell boundaries are marked by dashed lines and yeGFP-Tub1 served as a spindle marker. Graph in (f) show the percentage of cells with partially released Cdc14-mCherry for the indicated strains and category. Average ± s.d. from three independent experiments is shown. For each strain, 100 cells per category and experiment were counted. Scale bars, 3 µm.
However, when KIN4 and MCK1 were deleted in this triple deletion background, cells survived resembling the growth of \textit{lte1\Delta spo12\Delta ste20\Delta bfa1\Delta} cells (figure 4e). These results together imply that Mck1 and Kin4 block mitotic exit independently but in a redundant manner.

2.7. Cdc6 is stabilized in \textit{mck1\Delta} cells with normal and misaligned spindles

Next, we aimed to elucidate which substrate of Mck1 is involved in SPOC. Cdc6 was one such established substrate of Mck1 [50,57,58] and interesting candidate as it is implicated in mitotic exit regulation apart from its role in DNA replication [28,31,57]. The levels of Cdc6 are tightly regulated to prevent multiple rounds of DNA replication per cell cycle. Phosphorylation of Cdc6 by Mck1 creates a phospho-degron that directs Cdc6 for degradation by the ubiquitin–ligase complex SCF\textit{CDC4} from G1/S until late mitosis when \textit{CDC6} transcription is upregulated [57–63]. Therefore, cells lacking Mck1 show mitotic accumulation of Cdc6 [57]. We monitored Cdc6 localization in a spatio-temporal manner in our strains. As reported earlier [63], in wild-type cells Cdc6 was degraded after the onset of S-
2.8. Cdc6–Clb2 complexes accumulate in mitotic cells in the absence of Mck1

Cdc6 is transcribed in late mitosis owing to the presence of an early cell cycle box in its promoter [64–66]. This mitotically expressed Cdc6 associates with M-phase cyclin, Clb2, via its N-terminal LxF motif, thereby stabilizing Cdc6 in late mitosis [28,32,67–69]. Binding of Cdc6 to Clb2 and Cdk was shown to inhibit M-Cdk activity in vitro and in vivo [28,32,70]. We assumed that Cdc6 that accumulates in mck1Δ cells associated with more Clb2 molecules in mitotic cells. To test this hypothesis, we immuno-precipitated Cdc6-yeGFP from mitotically arrested cells. We observed a higher proportion of Clb2 in the immuno-precipitates of mck1Δ compared to wild-type cells, although the overall Clb2 levels were very similar in both cell types (figure 5b,c). When comparing the Clb2:Cdc6-yeGFP ratio in the immuno-precipitations, we did not detect any significant difference (figure 5c), indicating that the higher amounts of Cdc6 in mck1Δ cells proportionally pulls down more Clb2. Altogether, we conclude that in mck1Δ cells, a higher fraction of M-Cdk complexes are inhibited by Cdc6 in the absence of Mck1.

2.9. Cdc6 moderate overexpression promotes mitotic exit and SPOC deficiency in an N-terminal domain-dependent manner

Next, we asked if the SPOC deficiency of mck1Δ cells was a consequence of Cdc6 accumulation. For this, we first investigated whether increase in Cdc6 protein levels was able to engage the SPOC or promote mitotic exit. Strong overexpression of Cdc6 under the Gal1 promoter was shown to have a toxic effect due to enhanced inhibition of M-Cdk in mitosis [71,72]. However, this toxic effect was not observed upon milder overexpression [73]. To achieve moderate overexpression, we cloned CDC6 in a multi-copy 2µ-plasmid. The presence of 2µ-CDC6 was able to rescue the growth lethal phenotype of MEN temperature-sensitive mutants (electronic supplementary material, figure S3D) and ofΔMCK1 Δkar9Δ cells lacking SPO12 (electronic supplementary material, figure S4A). Furthermore, 2µ-CDC6 promoted SPOC deficiency in kar9Δ cells (figure 6a,b). The SPOC deficient phenotype was also observed upon overproduction of the essential replication defective protein Cdc6K (Cdc6-K114E) (figure 6b, electronic supplementary material, figure S4B) [74]. Together, these
data indicate that moderate overexpression of CDC6 phenocopies MCK1 deletion. It also implies that Cdc6 promotes mitotic exit independently of its replication function.

From previous studies [28,73], it is known that the amino-terminal domain of Cdc6 contributes to the negative regulation of M-Cdk activity in late mitosis. To test whether the N-terminal domain and the M-Cyclin binding motif of Cdc6 played a role in regulating MEN, we created a truncated version of Cdc6 lacking the N-terminal region (codons 2–49; referred as 2µ-cdc6NΔ) and a Cdc6-lxf mutant (L47A F49A; referred as 2µ-cdc6lxf), previously established to lack M-Cdk interaction and M-Cdk inhibitory activity [32,68,75]. Expression of all, wild-type CDC6, cdc6NΔ and cdc6lxf led to the survival of cells lacking endogenous CDC6 (electronic supplementary material, figure S4B, S4D), and confirmed functionality of these constructs. The protein levels of Cdc6 expressed from the 2µ construct were similar to the levels observed for Cdc6 in mck1Δ cells (electronic supplementary material, figure S4C), yet Cdc6 NΔ achieved comparatively higher levels due to increased stability (electronic supplementary material figure S4C) [59].

**Figure 6.** The N-terminal domain of Cdc6 inhibits mitotic exit in cells with misaligned spindle. (a) Representative images of cells that were fixed and analysed for yeGFP-tubulin. Scale bar, 3 µm. (b–d) Analysis of SPOC in kar9Δ yeGFP-TUB1 strains carrying high-copy 2µ-plasmids expressing CDC6 full length, truncated forms or mutants as indicated. Graphs show the average ± s.d. of the percentage of cells with SPOC deficient phenotypes scored from three independent experiments. N = 100 anaphase cells per strain and experiment. Asterisk indicates a significant difference based on the two-tailed Student’s t-test, p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.001 (**) and p < 0.0001 (**). (e) Model depicting the role of Mck1 and Cdc6 in SPOC and mitotic exit regulation. Cdc6 is degraded at the G1/S phase by S-Cdk and during mitosis by the action of Mck1 with the help of M-Cdk (priming kinase for Mck1) [57,58,61]. Cdc6 starts re-accumulating at the end of mitosis, where it helps to inhibit M-Cdk activity to promote mitotic exit [58]. Cells lacking MCK1 or bearing high levels of Cdc6 throughout mitosis are SPOC deficient. Our data show that Mck1 and Kin4 work in parallel to prevent FEAR-dependent MEN activation (see text for details).
We postulated that the SPOC deficient phenotype of mck1Δ cells was a consequence of increased Cdc6 levels with an intact N-terminus. To test this hypothesis, we constructed kar9Δ mck1Δ cdc6Δ cells expressing 2µ-Cdc6, 2µ-cdc6NΔ or 2µ-cdc6d. The SPOC deficient phenotype was significantly diminished in these cells carrying 2µ-cdc6NΔ or 2µ-cdc6d in comparison to 2µ-Cdc6 (figure 6c). In addition, the overexpression of the N-terminal domain of Cdc6 (codons 1–50; 2µ-N50-cdc6) was still sufficient to cause SPOC deficiency in kar9Δ cells, yet to a lesser extent than full length 2µ-Cdc6 (figure 6d). These data led us to conclude that the N-terminus of Cdc6 is involved in MEN regulation. Indeed, in contrast to full-length Cdc6, cdc6NΔ moderate overexpression did not rescue the growth phenotype of tem1-3 cells at restrictive temperature (electronic supplementary material figure S4E). Together our data indicate that elevated levels of Cdc6 promote mitotic exit in cells with properly and misaligned spindles via its N-terminal domain.

3. Discussion

The SPOC is an important mitotic surveillance mechanism that responds to spindle misorientation. The kinase Kin4 is a key SPOC component that maintains the GAP complex Bfa1–Bub2 active thereby inhibiting MEN activation. Previous studies suggested that mechanisms other than Kin4 might prevent mitotic exit in cells with misoriented spindles [42,43]. In this study, we establish the role of Mck1, one of the four GSK-3 kinase homologues, as a novel component that works alongside Kin4 to engage the SPOC (figure 6e).

3.1. GSK-3 kinase Mck1 is an essential SPOC component and a mitotic exit inhibitor

Mck1, Mds1, Mrk1 and Ygk3 belong to the conserved class of GSK-3 serine/threonine kinases in S. cerevisiae that play important functions in the control of the meiotic and mitotic cycles [76,77]. Whereas many of these functions are uniquely played by only one of these kinases, other functions such as phosphorylation of Ime1 and Ume6 to activate transcription of early meiotic genes, are shared by two or more homologues [76,78,79]. The deletion of MCK1 but not the other three homologues was identified as a suppressor of KIN4 overexpression toxicity. In addition, further analysis showed that cells lacking MCK1 but not MDS1, MRK1 or YGK3 were SPOC deficient. We reasoned that Mck1 plays a unique function in the control of SPOC that is not shared by the other three GSK-3 kinases.

Mck1 blocked MEN activation and Cdc14 release in cells with misaligned spindles, indicating that Mck1 is a SPOC component and MEN inhibitor. The analysis of MCK1 deletion mutants, in which MEN activation was compromised, indicated that Mck1 also acts as a mitotic exit inhibitor under conditions that do not trigger spindle misorientation. This conclusion was based on the findings that lack of MCK1 rescued the growth phenotype of MEN temperature-sensitive mutants as well as the mitotic exit defect of cells lacking the MEN activator Lte1. Growth defects in all these backgrounds were also rescued by deletion of the MEN inhibitory GAP complex Bfa1–Bub2 but not by deletion of Kin4, suggesting that Mck1 is a more potent inhibitor of mitotic exit than Kin4. Our live cell imaging and experiments using synchronized cultures showed no evidence for an inhibitory function of Mck1 on cell cycle progression in cells with properly aligned spindles at 30°C. This implies that, similar to Bfa1–Bub2 and Kin4 [41], Mck1 has no major role in delaying mitotic progression under physiological conditions but becomes essential when the spindle is misaligned. This could be explained by the presence of multiple pathways, such as FEAR, MEN and daughter enriched cell polarity proteins, that work in concert to coordinate mitotic events [1,8,46,80,81].

3.2. SPOC regulation by Mck1

An important consequence of SPOC activation is the prolonged anaphase duration upon spindle misalignment as a way to give cells time to correct spindle orientation before mitotic exit and cytokinesis. Cells lacking Kin4 and Bfa1–Bub2 progress through mitosis with similar timing irrespectively of spindle orientation, whereas cells lacking SWR1-complex chromatin remodelers initially engage the SPOC by a prolonged anaphase followed by mitotic slippage [44]. Based on these observations, we proposed that SPOC proteins can be sub-divided into components that ‘sense’ spindle misorientation (Kin4-pathway; comprising Kin4 and upstream regulators) and stop the MEN via Bfa1–Bub2 GAP regulation and components required to sustain the anaphase arrest (Kin4-independent regulation). The analysis of mck1Δ cells indicated that Mck1 had many similarities to the ‘Kin4-pathway’. For example, live cell imaging analysis showed that anaphase duration of mck1Δ cells with misaligned or normal aligned spindles was identical, implying that these cells cannot sense spindle misorientation. Similar to Kin4, Mck1’s checkpoint function was specific to SPOC and not required for mitotic arrest imposed by the SAC that senses kinetochore–microtubule interactions in metaphase [41]. Furthermore, the SPOC deficiency of cells lacking MCK1 was reverted after elimination of the FEAR network showing that like Kin4, a key function of Mck1 is to stop FEAR-dependent MEN activation. Both Kin4 and Mck1 inhibit MEN activation and Cdc14 release in cells with misaligned spindles. This evidence argues for a function of Mck1 in the ‘Kin4-pathway’ of SPOC. However, other results indicate that Mck1 works independently and in parallel to Kin4 to engage the SPOC. Firstly, although MCK1 was identified in a screen for growth suppressors of KIN4 overexpressing cells, our analysis of Kin4 protein levels, localization and function do not support a direct function of Mck1 upon Kin4. In cells lacking MCK1, Kin4 protein levels, localization and ability to regulate Bfa1 were unaltered indicating that Mck1 regulates SPOC by other means. Secondly, analysis of FEARless cells showed that although single deletions of KIN4 or MCK1 did not compromise SPOC, the double KIN4 MCK1 deletions were SPOC deficient in this background. We favour the model that Mck1 or Kin4 maintain an inactive MEN independent of each other (figure 6d).

3.3. Cdc6 is a Mck1 substrate involved in SPOC

The SPOC function of Mck1 is kinase-dependent, indicating the involvement of one or more Mck1 substrates in mitotic control. GSK-3 family kinases often create phospho-degrons leading proteins for proteasome-dependent degradation [62]. Our data strongly suggest that Cdc6 is one of the Mck1 substrates
involved in SPOC. Cdc6 is a pre-replication complex component and is targeted for degradation by Mck1 in mitosis [57,58]. In agreement with an earlier report [57], we observed higher protein levels and a strong nuclear accumulation of Cdc6 in mitotic mck1Δ cells irrespective of spindle orientation. Moderate overexpression of CDC6 mimicked some phenotypes of mck1Δ cells, for example, it caused SPOC deficiency in kar9Δ cells and rescued the growth lethal phenotype of mitotic exit mutants. These observations support the hypothesis that higher levels of Cdc6 during mitosis promote mitotic exit in cells with misaligned spindles. Cdc6 was shown to interact with mitotic cyclin Clb2 in vitro and lowered the M-Cdk (Clb2-Cdc28) complex activity in vivo [28,32,67,68,70]. Indeed, we detected increased levels of Cdc6–Clb2 complexes in mitotic mck1Δ in comparison to wild-type cells, indicating that higher Cdc6 levels proportionally capture more Clb2 molecules. Cdc6 was reported to promote mitotic exit in a manner that required its N-terminal domain [28]. In agreement with this function, our data strongly support that Cdc6 drives mitotic exit in cells with misaligned spindles via its N-terminal domain and association with M-cyclin: overproduction of full length Cdc6 but not cdc6NΔ or cdc6NΔN caused SPOC deficiency, and the SPOC-deficient phenotype of mck1Δ cells could be rescued by replacing endogenous Cdc6 with Cdc6 mutants (cdc6NΔ or cdc6NΔN) unable to interact and inhibit with M-Cdk [32,68,73,75]. In addition, the overexpression of the N-terminal domain of Cdc6, previously shown to interact with M-Cdk [32], was sufficient to cause SPOC deficiency in kar9Δ cells. The SPOC-related function of Cdc6 is most likely independent of its function in replication, as overproduction of the replication inactive mutant of Cdc6 (Cdc6ΔN) caused SPOC deficiency [59,72]. As SPOC might require high M-Cdk levels for anaphase arrest [39,82], we propose that Cdc6 degradation, which initiates at G1/S phase transition and is sustained throughout mitosis, is a pre-requisite to prepare the ground for SPOC. We thus propose that Mck1 inhibits FEAR-dependent MEN activation by keeping higher M-Cdk activity through degradation of the M-Cdk inhibitor Cdc6. This hypothesis is in agreement with our data showing that higher mitotic levels of Cdc6 inactivate the SPOC in a manner that depends on its ability to downregulate Clb2–Cdk activity. MEN components including Bfa1, Cdc15 and Mob1 are targets of Clb2–Cdk and Cdc14 phosphatase [19,20,42]. Whereas Clb2–Cdk blocks MEN, FEAR-release Cdc14 was reported to promote MEN activation [6,19,20]. It is thus possible that the phosphorylation status of MEN components might change in a Mck1–Cdc6 dependent manner. Considering that only a proportion of Clb2 is captured by Cdc6 [67], the inhibition of Clb2–Cdk complex activity might be limited to changes in phosphorylation profile of a subset of components in space and time, which might be challenging to detect without more accurate or unbiased methods, such as phospho-specific antibodies or quantitative phospho-proteome analysis, respectively. It also remains to be tested if Cdc6 via its N-termin might contribute to mitotic exit through regulation of proteins other than Clb2–Cdk complexes.

The role of Mck1 in other surveillance mechanisms, like the DNA Damage Checkpoint pathway, Cell Wall Integrity pathway and heat stress response [83] has been characterized earlier. Here we have extended Mck1’s function to SPOC/mitotic exit and identified Cdc6 as one Mck1 substrate that regulates SPOC. The deregulation of mitosis upon CDC6 overexpression is not limited to S. cerevisiae. Its overexpression is a hallmark of certain types of tumours and it has been associated with early onset of tumorigenesis. Therefore, deciphering Mck1’s substrates and, in particular, how Cdc6 is involved in the control of mitosis will greatly contribute to the understanding of the underlying molecular mechanism of mitotic regulation in depth. Interestingly, mammalian GSK-3 kinase has also been implicated in regulating spindle dynamics and spindle assembly checkpoint [84,85]. We, therefore, envisage that results obtained in yeast might provide novel insights into how spindle orientation and cell cycle progression are regulated in higher eukaryotes.

4. Methods

4.1. Yeast growth conditions and synchronizations

The yeast strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in electronic supplementary material, tables S1 and S2. Standard yeast growth media and methods were used as described earlier [86]. Gene deletion and epitope tagging was done by using PCR-based methods [87,88]. Yeast cells were grown inYPD (yeast–peptone–dextrose supplemented with 0.1 mg ml⁻¹ adenine) medium at 30°C unless mentioned otherwise. Temperature-sensitive strains were grown at 23°C and shifted to 37°C to arrest cells in late anaphase. Yeast strains were cultured in liquid filter sterilized synthetic complete medium for live cell imaging. To induce gene expression by the Gal1 promoter, cells were grown in medium containing 3% raffinose as the only carbon source, prior to the addition of 2% galactose. For live-cell imaging, cells were cultured in synthetic complete (SC) medium. Selection plates containing 5-fluoro-orotic-acid (5-FOA, 1 mg ml⁻¹) were used to select for URA3-based plasmid (pRS316) loss. Growth analysis to assess the effect of gene deletions was performed by drop test. For this, overnight cultures of the strains were diluted to 2 × 10⁷ cells ml⁻¹ and 10-fold serial dilutions (corresponding to 10⁷, 10⁶, 10⁵ and 10⁴ cells in 5 µl) were spotted on appropriate selection plates. Plates were inspected after 48–72 h. To synchronize yeast cultures in the G1 phase, cells in log phase (5 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹) were treated with 10 µg ml⁻¹ of synthetic alpha-factor (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2.5 h at 30°C or 3 h at 23°C until greater than 95% of the cells showed mating projections (shmoo formation). For metaphase arrest, cultures were incubated with 15 µg ml⁻¹ of nocodazole (Sigma-Aldrich) between 2.5–4 h until greater than 90% cells were large budded with one DNA-stained region, as monitored by 4',6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Sigma) staining as described below.

4.2. Fluorescence microscopy and image analysis

For live cell imaging of cells expressing μGFP-TUB1, cells were mounted on glass-bottom dishes (MatTek) coated with 6% concanavalin A-type IV (Sigma-Aldrich). Images were taken in a wide-field fluorescence imaging system (DeltaVision RT; Applied Precision) with a 100×/1.40 NA UPLS Apo UIS2 oil immersion objective lens, a charge-coupled device camera (CoolSNAP HQ/ICX285; Photometrics), a quantifiable laser module, and SoftWoRx software (Applied Precision) using an incubation chamber to maintain a constant temperature of 30°C. Live cell imaging for CDC14-mCherry expressing cells was performed at 30°C using a wide-field fluorescence Nikon Eclipse Ti2 Inverted Microscope equipped
with a Plan Apo 100x/1.40 Oil objective and an IRIS9 Scientific CMOS camera, incubation chamber and operating Nikon NIS-Elements Imaging Software. Three z stacks of 0.5 μm thickness were taken every 90 s for 1–2 h. For analysis of still images, samples were imaged in Nikon Eclipse Ti2 Inverted Microscope. Z stacks of 0.3–0.7 μm thickness were analysed. All images were processed using the Fiji ImageJ Software [89]. Fluorescent microscopy images were analysed upon z projection of maximum signal intensity. The brightness and contrast of the images were adjusted in Fiji and no other manipulations were performed.

For budding index and DNA staining, cells were fixed in 70% ethanol and resuspended in PBS containing 1 µg ml⁻¹ DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) to visualize DNA-stained regions. The number of unbudded, small and large-budded cells was counted as well as the number and position of DAPI-stained region per cell body.

### 4.3. SPOC assays

SPOC integrity analyses were carried out using yeGFP-TUB1 kar9Δ strains. For population analysis of SPOC proficiency, cultures were grown at 23°C to log phase and shifted to 30°C for 6 h. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature and still images were taken to observe yeGFP-Tub1. Anaphase duration of kar9Δ yeGFP-TUB1 cells was determined by live cell imaging as the time from the beginning of spindle elongation (metaphase–anaphase transition) until spindle breakdown.

### 4.4. Protein methods and western blotting

Yeast protein extraction was carried out as previously described [87]. Briefly, cell pellets were resuspended in 1 ml of 7.5% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) in 250 mM NaOH, incubated on ice for 10 min and subsequently centrifuged at 18,407 × g for 20 min at 4°C. The resulting pellets containing precipitated proteins were resuspended in HU-DTT (200 mM Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, 8 M urea, 5% SDS, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.005% bromo-phenol blue and 15 mg ml⁻¹ DTT). Before loading on SDS-PAGE gels, samples were heated at 65°C for 15 min. To visualize phosphorylated forms of proteins, SDS-PAGE gels were run at 4°C. Western blotting was performed as described earlier [87], using nitrocellulose membrane and semi-dry transfer. Membranes were blocked with 5% low-fat milk in PBS-T (PBS containing 0.02% Tween20). Blocked membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody diluted in PBS-T. After washing with PBS-T, membranes were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with secondary antibody diluted in PBS-T. Proteins were visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL; Pierce; Thermo Fisher Scientific) in the ImageQuant 800 (Amersham). Detection of tubulin and Spc72 was done on stripped membranes (stripping solution: 1% SDS, 0.2 M glycine pH 2.5). Primary antibodies used were mouse anti-HA (12CA5; Sigma-Aldrich), mouse anti-Myc (Clone 9E10; Sigma-Aldrich), mouse anti-TAT1 (Sigma-Aldrich), mouse anti-GFP (clones 7.1 and 13.1; Roche), rabbit anti-CBl2 and guinea pig anti-Sic1 (Maekawa et al., 2007), rabbit anti-Bfa1 and rabbit anti-Spc72 (Gifts from E. Schiebel, ZMBH, Heidelberg, Germany). Secondary antibodies were goat anti-mouse, anti-rabbit or anti-guinea pig IgGs coupled to horseradish peroxidase (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.).

### 4.5. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments

Cell pellets (corresponding to 100 OD₆₀₀) were resuspended in 300 µl of IP buffer (40 mM HEPEs pH 7.5, 220 mM potassium acetate, 400 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT) containing 100 mM β-glycerophosphate, 35 mg ml⁻¹ benzamidine, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 5 mM sodium orthovanadate, 10 mM phenylmethyl-sulfonyl fluoride, EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail-PhosSTOP (Roche) and 2 µg ml⁻¹ DNase I. After addition of ice-cold acid-washed glass beads (Sigma-Aldrich) to each sample, cell lysis was performed in a FastPrep FP120 Cell Disruptor (MP Biomedicals) until greater than 90% of cells were lysed as visualized by light microscope. Cell lysates were subjected to centrifugation at 4°C to eliminate cell debris and unlysed cells at maximum speed for 15 min. The resulting supernatants (total cell extract; TCE) from this were diluted equally with IP buffer containing 0.1% Tween20 and 0.2% TritonX-100 and incubated with 20 µl of GFP selector agarose beads (GFP selector, Nanotag) for 1 h at 4°C on a rotation wheel. Beads were washed five times with IP buffer with detergent. The beads were resuspended in 50 µl of 2x sample buffer (BioRad) in the presence of 5% beta-mercaptoethanol to be later analysed by western blotting.

### 4.6. Statistical analysis

To compare data sets from three independent experiments, statistical analyses were performed using the two-tailed Student’s t-test. Data sets were considered as significantly different when p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.001 (**) and p < 0.0001 (***) as shown by the asterisks. Graphs were plotted in MS Excel using mean values and the error bars (describing standard deviation (s.d.)), from three biologically independent experiments. Signal intensities for Clb2 and Cdc6-yeGFP from Co-IP immunoblots (figure 5b) were quantified using Fiji [89] and the levels in mck1Δ were normalized to those in the wild-type strain from the same experiment (figure 5c). The ratio of normalized intensities of Clb2 and Cdc6-yeGFP is shown (figure 5c).
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