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Abstract

Lymphocyte antigen receptors are not encoded by germline genes, but rather are produced by combinatorial joining between clusters of gene segments in somatic cells. Within a given cluster, gene segment usage during recombination is thought to be largely random, with biased representation in mature T lymphocytes resulting from protein-mediated selection of a subset of the total repertoire. Here we show that T cell receptor Dβ and Jβ gene segment usage is not random, but is patterned at the time of recombination. The hierarchy of gene segment usage is independent of gene segment proximity, but rather is influenced by the ability of the flanking recombination signal sequences (RSS) to bind the recombinase and/or to form a paired synaptic complex. Importantly, the relative frequency of gene segment usage established during recombination is very similar to that found after protein-mediated selection, suggesting that in addition to targeting recombinase activity, the RSS may have evolved to bias the naive repertoire in favor of useful gene products.
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Introduction

TCR diversity is generated by gene rearrangement in somatic cells (1–3) using clusters of V, D, and J gene segments (for reviews, see references 4, 5). Together with enzymatic modification of the coding ends before joining (4, 5), the permutational nature of this process permits extensive diversity while using minimal genetic resources. Many details of the somatic recombination process have recently been elucidated (6). Nonetheless, it is not clear how gene segments within a given cluster are chosen for use by the recombinase, or even whether such usage is random or preferential. Although the distribution of gene segments used by mature T cells is known to be nonrandom, this is thought to result mainly from intrathymic screening based on receptor specificity (7–9). However, recent evidence has suggested that certain receptor specificities can be enhanced or diminished before protein-mediated screening (10–14), implying the presence of genetic influences on this process, although potential mechanisms have not been revealed.

To assess whether gene segment usage and receptor specificity can be influenced during recombination, we focused on the D-J region of the TCR-β locus (sequence data are available from EMBL/GenBank/DDBJ under accession no. AE000665). Several factors make this gene region ideal for such analysis. First, the small size of this region (~11 kb) facilitates direct DNA analysis by Southern blotting. Second, the upstream Dβ segment (Dβ1) can rearrange to either the proximal Jβ1 cluster (seven coding segments) or to the more distal Jβ2 cluster (also seven segments), thus allowing the influence of gene segment proximity to be directly assessed. Finally, partial (D-J) rearrangements are not apparently translated into protein; thus, any patterns found in D-J rearrangement should not be influenced by selection, and therefore must be imposed during recombination. Using this system, we show that individual TCR-β gene segments are used in strict hierarchical patterns during recombination. The proximity between re-
combining segments plays no role in the patterning process. Rather, variations in degenerate positions of the recombination signal sequences (RSS) appear to determine the frequency with which individual gene segments are used. Most importantly, comparison of our data with those of others shows that these recombinatorial biases skew the native repertoire in favor of selectable TCR-β proteins, suggesting that the RSS have evolved to enhance the efficiency of the selection process.

Materials and Methods

Southern Blotting. Probes hybridizing to noncoding sequences upstream of DB1 (5’DB1, 460 bp) or DB2 (5’DB2, 250 bp) were cloned by PCR amplification using C57BL/6 kidney DNA as template. Primer sequences were as follows (5’→3’): 5’DB1 forward, GAGGGATCCACCGTTCTAAGAAGT; 5’DB1 reverse, GGCAGATCTTCCCATAGGTCTA; 5’DB2 forward, TGTGGAGCTCCTGGTAGGGACC; 5’DB2 reverse, GACTGAGAGGCGCTGGGAAAG. Genomic DNA was prepared from purified thymocytes embedded in low melting point agarose as described (15). DNA was digested with either ApaLI-SacI or ApaLI-ClaI (10 U/μg) as indicated in the figures. Digested DNA (5–10 μg per lane) was electrophoresed in agarose gels, transferred to nylon membranes, hybridized with [32P]dCTP–labeled probes, and quantitated as described (15); in this case, percent hybridization was calculated by the formula [Xc (Cp/ Cg)]/Xc × 100, where C represents the intensity of the DNA loading band, X represents 5’DB1 or 5’DB2 intensity, and g or t represents germline or thymocyte samples, respectively. The probe used for DNA quantitation recognizes a nonrearranging template. Primer sequences were as follows (5’→3’): 5’D1, 460 bp) or D2 (5’D2, 250 bp) were used to detect various products of DJ rearrangement.

In Vitro Cleavage Assay. The enzymatic cleavage reaction was carried out as described previously (19–21). In brief, epitope-tagged murine recombination activating gene (RAG)-1/2 were expressed in M12 B lymphoma cells, followed by chromatographic purification. Purified RAG-1/2 and high mobility group 2 proteins (21) were added to 2–5 ng of purified substrate and incubated in the presence of 10 mM MgCl2 for 2 h at 37°C. Reaction products were deproteinized and resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis, followed by transfer to nylon membranes and hybridization with an [α-32P]dCTP–labeled probe corresponding to the DB-JB intervening sequence.

Results and Discussion

The general strategy for analysis of D-J recombination at the TCR-β locus is illustrated in Fig. 1. To exclude protein-mediated influences resulting from complete (V-DJ) rearrangements, we took advantage of the finding that excised DNA circles produced by recombination contain an ApaLI site not encoded in the germline (22), formed by blunt-ended ligation of RSS heptamers. Probes hybridizing to noncoding sequences upstream of DB1 (5’DB1) or DB2 (5’DB2) were used to detect various products of DJ rearrangement by Southern blotting. In germline genes, 5’DB1
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Figure 1. Strategy for the discrimination of D-Jβ and V-DJβ gene rearrangements. The top line drawing is a scale representation of the D-J-C region of the murine TCR-β locus, spanning 17 kb of DNA. White boxes show the location of coding sequences, as indicated; the probes used are indicated by hatched boxes. Relevant restriction fragments produced by ApaLI-SacI or ApaLI-ClaI digestion are indicated by bold lines. The bottom part of the figure illustrates the restriction fragments produced after D-J or V-DJ rearrangement. Dashed diagonal lines indicate intervening sequences deleted during D-J rearrangement, which produce several progressively smaller fragments flanked by germline restriction sites. The circular product (not drawn to scale) illustrates the nongermline fragment produced by V-DJ rearrangement; this fragment is flanked by one end by the original (germline) ApaLI site, as well as by a de novo ApaLI site formed by the ligation of DB (open triangle) and VB (filled triangle) RSS.
hybridizes to a 4.7-kb fragment flanked by germline ApaLI and SacI sites (Fig. 1). Rearrangements between DB1 and the JB1 cluster yield six progressively shorter fragments (4.2–2.5 kb), corresponding to excision of D-J intervening sequences; no rearrangements to JB1.7 are expected, as this gene segment has a known RSS defect (23). Rearrangement of any VB segment to DB1 would result in hybridization of 5′DB1 to a non-germline-encoded fragment, flanked at the 5′ end by the germline ApaLI site, and at the 3′ end by a de novo ApaLI site formed by RSS heptamer ligation (Fig. 1). A similar strategy was also devised to assess rearrangements at the second D-J cluster (Fig. 1).

Results from several of these types of analyses, using DNA from early precursor thymocytes or mature lymph node T cells, are presented in Fig. 2. Rearrangements involving DB1 and the JB1 cluster are analyzed in Fig. 2 a. Consistent with previous findings (15, 24), almost no detectable TCR-β rearrangement occurs in DN2 cells (CD4−CD8−CD25⁺CD44⁺); thus, only the germline fragment is apparent. Cells at the next stage of development (DN3; CD4−CD8−CD25⁺CD44⁺) show all of the predicted products of TCR-β recombination (see Fig. 1), including the de novo product of V-DJ recombination. This excised circle is neither degraded after excision nor replicated during cell division, as it persists in peripheral T cells (Fig. 2, a–c), but is lost after cell proliferation in vitro (data not shown). This reconciles our present estimate of the extent of V-DJ recombination in DN3 precursors (44% of alleles; see the legend to Fig. 2) with the lower value published previously (25), as most V-DJ rearrangements generate large excised products that cannot be distinguished from chromosomal DNA using the previous approach. Partial rearrangements involving DB1 to the JB1 cluster are clearly resolved by ApaLI-SacI digestion (Fig. 2 a); to resolve rearrangements between DB1 and the JB2 cluster, which migrate as a group after ApaLI-SacI digestion (Fig. 2 a), ApaLI-ClaI digests were used, followed by hybridization to the same probe (Fig. 2 b). Finally, rearrangements between DB2 and the JB2 cluster were also resolved, using probe 5′DB2 and ApaLI-ClaI digestion, as shown in Fig. 2 c. Unexpectedly, neither DB1 nor DB2 was found to rearrange to JB2.6; the significance of this finding is discussed below.

In Fig. 2 d, the D-J regions from the blots in Fig. 2, a–c are shown, aligned and scaled to the same vertical dimensions. Densitometric analysis (Fig. 2 e) reveals the clear presence of nonrandom gene usage patterns. DB1-JB1 rearrangements exhibit a bias towards proximal gene segment usage, whereas DB2-JB2 rearrangements are generally more distal. Most remarkably, the profile of JB2 gene segment usage is indistinguishable for rearrangements involving either the nearby DB2 or the more distal DB1. These findings reveal two important characteristics of the recombination process. First, gene segments are not selected randomly during recombination, but rather are used in distinctly hierarchical patterns. Second, as the distance between the JB2 cluster and DB1 versus DB2 is quite different (Fig. 1), these findings exclude a preeminent role for gene segment proximity in the patterning process, and thus compel the presence of other regulatory influences.

Other than the coding sequences, the only structured motifs known to exist in D-J gene clusters are the RSS. To determine whether the RSS might directly influence the frequency of gene segment usage during recombination, competitive RAG-mediated cleavage assays were conducted in vitro (Fig. 3). Artificial DNA substrates (≈1.4 kb) were synthesized to recapitulate part of the D-JB cluster, including the DB1 23-mer RSS, as well as two tandem 12-mer RSS (Fig. 3 a). The 12-mer RSS were from JB2.2 and 2.5, which are the least versus most frequently used segments in the JB2 cluster, respectively (see Fig. 2), in various permutations. When subjected to RAG protein-mediated cleavage in vitro (Fig. 3 b), these substrates gave results consistent with those obtained ex vivo (Fig. 2), i.e.,
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Figure 2. Analysis of TCR-β gene rearrangements in nonselected thymocytes. (a) Analysis of rearrangements involving the DB1 cluster in precursor thymocytes before the onset of rearrangement (DN2) or during rearrangement (DN3), as well as in lymph node T cells (LNT; CD90⁺). Restriction digestion was performed using ApaLI and SacI, followed by electrophoresis and Southern blotting with a probe hybridizing upstream of DB1 (5′DB1; see Fig. 1). Multiple bands of hybridization are seen, corresponding to the germline locus, as well as partial (D-JB) and complete (V-D-JB) rearrangements, as indicated to the right of each image and diagrammed in Fig. 1. A probe hybridizing to a nonrearranging sequence is used to indicate relative DNA loading. (b) Resolution of DB1-JB2 rearrangements (which appear as a high molecular weight cluster in panel a), using ApaLI-ClaI digestion and probe 5′DB1. (c) Completes the analysis by resolving rearrangements involving DB2. Asterisks indicate the predicted location of rearrangements to JB2.6, which are absent from the blots in panels b and c. (d) The D-JB gene regions resolved in panels a–c, resized to similar vertical scales; (e) densitometric analysis of these Southern blotting with a probe hybridizing upstream of DB1 (5′DB1). These values add up to ≫100% because rearrangement of the two D-J clusters is independent (reference 25).
Figure 3. Competitive in vitro assay of RAG-mediated RSS cleavage. Double stranded DNA substrates (** in panel a) containing the DJ1 RSS (23-mer), as well as a variety of two tandem Jb RSS (12-mer) were subjected to RAG-mediated cleavage in vitro, followed by electrophoresis and Southern blotting, using a probe specific for the intervening region. Cleavage of this type of substrate by RAG proteins yields two possible products (** and *), resulting from cleavage at the 23-mer and one or the other of the 12-mers. The 12-mers used in panel b were either from Jb2.2 (black arrowhead) or Jb2.5 (white arrowhead), representing the least and most frequently used Jb2 segments, respectively (see Fig. 2). Lanes 1–4 in panel b show cleavage products resulting from ordered permutation of these two Jb2 RSS. Asterisks mark the locations of coupled (12/23) cleavage products, as illustrated in panel a; the remaining bands represent non-coupled cleavages (12-mer or 23-mer only). Lane 5 shows control fragments produced by restriction enzymes that cut very near the 12-mer or 23-mer RSS. Coupled cleavage (**, **) of the Jb2.5 12-mer RSS occurred at high levels regardless of proximity to the DJ 23-mer (lane 1). The infrequently used Jb2.2 RSS (lane 4) is also cleaved, but only at low levels visible after prolonged exposure (not shown). When these two RSS (Jb2.5 and Jb2.2) were mixed in the same substrate, cleavage at the former predominated, regardless of the relative order (lanes 2 and 3), demonstrating that the frequency of RAG-mediated cleavage is established relative to the RSS. When other RSS from the Jb2 cluster were likewise substituted into the second (distal) 12-mer position (c), the relative frequency of cleavage correlated with the ex vivo results (Fig. 2), i.e., Jb2.2 < 2.1 < 2.7 < 2.5. Control (con) fragments are as described in the legend for Fig. 2 b. In d, the relative contribution of heptamer/nonamer versus non-conserved (spacer) motifs in this process was analyzed, using substrates containing a chimeric 12-mer at the second (distal) position. The chimeric 12-mer used in lanes 1 and 3 includes the heptamer/nonamer from Jb2.2 and the spacer from Jb2.5; the substrate in lanes 2 and 4 incorporates the Jb2.5 heptamer/nonamer and the Jb2.2 spacer (black and white denote Jb2.2 and Jb2.5 sequences, respectively, as in b). In all cases, the frequency of cleavage correlates with the heptamer/nonamer, with cleavage at Jb2.5 sequences predominating over that from Jb2.2. In e, the ability of the Jb2.6 12-mer RSS to undergo coupled cleavage with a consensus DJ 23-mer RSS was tested; the sequence of conserved residues in this RSS suggests that it should be functional (Table I), but no rearrangements to this RAG-mediated cleavage at the Jb2.5 RSS predominated over that of Jb2.2 (Fig. 3 b). These results were further confirmed by additional experiments in which other Jb2 RSS were included in such substrates (Fig. 3 c); the efficiency of coupled cleavage between DJ1 and various Jb2 RSS was found to be nearly identical to that seen ex vivo (i.e., Jb2.2 < 2.1 < 2.7 < 2.5). Taken together, these ex vivo and in vitro data show that properties related to the RSS are critical for establishing the relative frequency of RAG-mediated cleavage, and thus gene segment usage, during recombination.

Examination of the differences between the Jb2.2 and 2.5 RSS (Table I) revealed no obvious explanation for the patterns of recombination seen, as each substitution found in the less used Jb2.2 RSS (position 7 in the heptamer and positions 1, 4, and 9 in the nonamer) can also be found in other more frequently used RSS. Given this finding, it was of interest to test the possibility that sequence motifs within the nonconserved RSS spacer might influence the frequency of gene segment usage during recombination. Consequently, chimeric RSS were constructed, using the heptamer/nonamer from Jb2.2 and the spacer from Jb2.5 or the reverse combination, and these were inserted into the second 12-mer position of the cleavage substrate diagrammed in Fig. 3 a. As is shown in Fig. 3 d, cleavage by RAG proteins very clearly correlated with the heptamer/nonamer sequences, but not with the spacer. Taken together, these results show that in addition to the critical role played by certain highly conserved residues of the heptamer/nonamer (in bold in Table I) for RAG recognition, the overall sequence acts in a higher order fashion to modulate the frequency at which gene segments within a given cluster are used during recombination.

What is the biological significance of hierarchical patterns of gene segment usage? Important clues are provided by the findings of others, which indicate that the relative frequencies of Jb gene segment usage found in postselection thymocytes and peripheral T cells (26, 27) are remarkably similar to those established during recombination (Fig. 2). Taken together, these findings indicate that RSS-mediated influences on recombination frequency may serve to bias the preselection repertoire in favor of useful gene products, indicating evolutionary selection for beneficial mutations in these noncoding segments. This conclusion is also consistent with the absence of rearrangements involving gene segment Jb2.6, both in vivo (Fig. 2) and in vitro (Fig. 3 e), despite the presence of an apparently intact RSS (Table I). As the coding sequence for this gene segment is sterile (23), rearrangements to this segment would be nonproductive; consequently, not only would deleterious mu-
tations in this RSS not be selected against, they may in fact be favored by evolutionary pressure.

Successful enrichment of useful receptors during recombination would ultimately require that similar mechanisms operate at other gene clusters, e.g., in the TCR-β V regions. The size of this region (>300 kb) precludes direct quantitative analysis at present. However, analogous mechanisms have already been predicted to operate at the immunoglobulin (28–30) and TCR-γ-β loci (10), suggesting that this principle may be widely applicable. Consequently, our data also provide evidence to suggest that evolutionary pressure may operate at the level of non-coding sequences to select proteins that confer a biological advantage.
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Table I. Alignment of Jβ RSS

| Rank | Gene segment | Nonamer | Heptamer | Coding |
|------|--------------|---------|----------|--------|
| 1    | J1.1         | ATTGTTACG | GGCTGGA  | CAAACA |
| 2    | J1.4         | ATGGTACC  | TGTTGGA  | TTTCGA |
| 3    | J1.2         | CATATCGG  | GATAGGA  | CAACTC |
| 4    | J1.3         | GGTGTTGAA | GGCCTGGA | TTCTGG |
| 5    | J1.5         | GACCTGTC  | TACCTGGA | TAACAA |
| 6    | J1.6         | GGTGTTACG | AGCTGTA  | TTCTTA |
| N/A  | J1.7         | GGCCTGATT | GGTGTTG  | CCGGTC |
| (Consensus) | GGTGTTTGT | GGCTGGA  | AACCAGA |
| (Position) | 987654321 | 7654321  | 123456  |

Jβ RSS (5’→3’), ranked within each cluster by the relative frequency of recombination to DJβ. Spacer sequences (12-mer) are not shown. Consensus sequences were derived as described in the text; highly conserved nucleotides are indicated in bold.
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