Monitoring the Hearing Handicap and the Recognition Threshold of Sentences of a Patient with Unilateral Auditory Neuropathy Spectrum Disorder with Use of a Hearing Aid
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Abstract

Introduction  Treatment for auditory neuropathy spectrum disorder (ANSD) is not yet well established, including the use of hearing aids (HAs). Not all patients diagnosed with ASND have access to HAs, and in some cases HAs are even contraindicated.

Objective  To monitor the hearing handicap and the recognition threshold of sentences in silence and in noise in a patient with ASND using an HA.

Resumed Report  A 47-year-old woman reported moderate sensorineural hearing loss in the right ear and high-frequency loss of 4 kHz in the left ear, with bilateral otoacoustic emissions. Auditory brainstem response suggested changes in the functioning of the auditory pathway (up to the inferior colliculus) on the right. An HA was indicated on the right. The patient was tested within a 3-month period before the HA fitting with respect to recognition threshold of sentences in quiet and in noise and for handicap determination. After HA use, she showed a 2.1-dB improvement in the recognition threshold of sentences in silence, a 6.0-dB improvement for recognition threshold of sentences in noise, and a rapid improvement of the signal-to-noise ratio from +3.66 to −2.4 dB when compared with the same tests before the fitting of the HA.

Conclusion  There was a reduction of the auditory handicap, although speech perception continued to be severely limited. There was a significant improvement of the recognition threshold of sentences in silence and in noise and of the signal-to-noise ratio after 3 months of HA use.

Literature Review

The treatment for ANSD has not been fully established, including the use of an HA, a cochlear implant (CI), and a
brainstem implant. The use of an HA may be contraindicated in ANSD because if outer hair cells are preserved, they may be damaged by amplification. In adults with ANSD, an HA only improves sound detection by amplification, with a minimum benefit for discrimination. In other studies, however, good responses have been observed with the use of both HA and CI, with improved hearing and communication skills, the latter of which is one of the main complaints of these patients.

The present case is notable because few cases of unilateral ANSD have been reported in the literature.

**Case Report**

A 47-year-old woman reported bilateral hearing loss, worse in the right ear and the presence of tinnitus of the whistling and buzzing type in this same ear, along with marked speech discrimination difficulty in the presence of noise and discomfort in the presence of intense sounds. The hearing loss had started ~5 years before and progressed with time.

After evaluations and otorhinolaryngologic examination, HA adaptation was performed. Conventional pure tone audiometry and high-frequency audiometry revealed mean hearing thresholds (500 Hz to 4 kHz) within normal limits, with a descending configuration in the right ear and moderate sensorineural hearing loss in the left ear (Figs. 1 and 2).

Speech audiometry was applied to the speakerphone. Speech recognition threshold was 55-dB hearing level (dBHL) in the right ear and 10 dBHL in the left ear. Speech discrimination index score was 56% for monosyllables and 68% for disyllables in the right ear and 92% for monosyllables in the left ear.

Immittance testing revealed bilateral type A curve and absence of ipsilateral and contralateral acoustic reflexes at all frequencies.

Otoacoustic emissions (OAE) testing documented the presence of transient OAE in both ears.

Audiometry brainstem response (ABR) testing showed morphology, absolute latency, and interwave latency within normal limits in the left ear. Abnormal morphology was observed in the right ear at 100 dBHL, with the presence of only the V wave and prolonged absolute latency. The results suggested a change in the functioning of the auditory pathway up to the inferior colliculus on the right.

Nuclear magnetic resonance sequences for the visualization of the eighth pair and of the inner ear showed normal aspect, with no evidence of bilateral expansive lesions and no

---

**Fig. 1** Pure tone audiometry. Abbreviations: dBHL, decibels hearing levels; O, air conduction, right ear; X, air conduction, left ear; <, bone conduction, right ear; >, bone conduction, left ear.

**Fig. 2** High-frequency audiometry. Abbreviations: dBHL, decibels hearing levels; O, air conduction, right ear; X, air conduction, left ear; <, bone conduction, right ear; >, bone conduction, left ear.
Table 1 Recognition threshold of sentences in silence and in noise, percentage of correct sentences, and S/R of the patient before and after hearing aid use

|                  | LRSS | %  | LRSR | %  | S/R |
|------------------|------|----|------|----|-----|
| Before hearing aid use | 46.6 | 50 | 68.66 | 40 | +3.66 |
| 1 mo after hearing aid use | 48.5 | 60 | 63 | 40 | -2 |
| 2 mo after hearing aid use | 43 | 60 | 63 | 50 | -2 |
| 3 mo after hearing aid use | 44.5 | 60 | 62.6 | 50 | -2.4 |

Abbreviations: LRSS, recognition threshold of sentences in silence; LRSR, recognition threshold of sentences in noise; %, percentage of correct sentences; S/R, signal-to-noise ratio.

Discussion

The percentage rate of speech recognition, the combined results of abnormal ABR, and the presence of transient OAE in the right ear, together with the patient's complaints, support the evidence of hearing loss due to retrocochlear, and not cochlear, changes. There was hearing loss with changes in speech recognition that limited both the affective-emotional and social life of the patient, who reported social isolation during anamnesis and in her responses to the HHIA.

Although the perception of the hearing handicap continued to be strongly present 3 months after adaptation of the HA, the patient reported a clear improvement and was able to return to activities that she long had been unable to perform. However, she continued to report discomfort in the presence of intense sounds and noise.

Positive and negative reports have been published in the literature regarding the use of an HA in ANSD. In the present case, the use of an HA was of significant benefit to the patient, with an important improvement of RTSS, RTSN, and S/N ratio in a free field. We did not find any study using the Sentence List Test in Portuguese to evaluate patients with ANSD, and therefore we cannot compare our findings. The positive result in the present case reflects the importance for an individual with ANSD to understand speech in silence and in noise, as these tests permit an analysis of auditory skills within a context close to the daily auditory experiences.

The lack of improvement in RTSS 30 days after the use of the HA may be explained by acclimatization. In contrast, an important improvement in RTSN was observed as early as the first month of HA use. Thus, we believe that HA use in this patient with ANSD mainly contributed to the relief of the major complaint of affected individuals (i.e., auditory speech perception in a noisy environment).

The present findings agree with previous reports that suggested that, if the use of the HA shows some benefit in free field tests, in speech perception tests, or in terms of the user's self-evaluation, its use should be considered positive. On this basis, new strategies should be added or modified to improve speech comprehension and communication.

Final Comments

After 3 months of HA use, the patient showed a significant reduction of hearing handicap and an improvement in RTSS, RTSN, and S/N ratio.
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