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Abstract

Dendritic cells play an essential role in bridging innate and adaptive immunity by recognizing cellular stress including pathogen- and damage-associated molecular patterns and by shaping the types of antigen-specific T cell immunity. Although lidocaine is widely used in clinical settings that trigger cellular stress, it remains unclear whether such treatment impacts the activation of innate immune cells and subsequent differentiation of T cells. Here we showed that lidocaine inhibited the production of IL-6, TNFα and IL-12 from dendritic cells in response to toll-like receptor ligands including lipopolysaccharide, poly(I:C) and R837 in a dose-dependent manner. Notably, the differentiation of Th1 cells was significantly suppressed by the addition of lidocaine while the same treatment had little effect on the differentiation of Th17, Th2 and regulatory T cells in vitro. Moreover, lidocaine suppressed the ovalbumin-specific Th1 cell responses in vivo induced by the adoptive transfer of ovalbumin-pulsed dendritic cells. These results demonstrate that lidocaine inhibits the activation of dendritic cells in response to toll-like receptor signals and subsequently suppresses the differentiation of Th1 cell responses.

Introduction

Recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) such as toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands as well as damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) such as high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) by innate immune receptors leads to the activation of macrophages and dendritic cells [1, 2]. Tissue resident macrophages are known to sense these exogenous and endogenous stimuli to produce immune modulatory molecules such as IL–6, TNFα as well as reactive nitrogen species and reactive oxygen species that can mediate tissue inflammation [3, 4]. On the other hand, activation of dendritic cells by PAMPs and DAMPs not only triggers the production of pro- or anti-inflammatory cytokines, but also induces their migration into lymph nodes and subsequent activation of T cells in an antigen-specific manner [5, 6].
Depending on the types of cytokines and costimulatory molecules expressed by dendritic cells, the interacting antigen-specific T cells can acquire diverse effector functions. In case of CD4+ T cells, these effector T cells include Th1, Th2, follicular helper T, Th17 and regulatory T cells, all of which have unique effector functions in adaptive immune arms [7–9]. Hence, modulation of innate immunity in response to PAMPs and DAMPs can determine the type(s) of adaptive immunity as well as that of innate immunity during inflammation.

Anesthetic agents are widely used to reduce pain and psychological stress during a process involving tissue damage including perioperative practice which can trigger the production of DAMPs by damaged cells as well as PAMPs by invading infectious agents [10]. It is well documented that surgical stress modulates the function of innate immune cells. For instance, surgical stress has been shown to mediate endotoxin hypo-responsiveness by increasing the production of IL–10 while decreasing the production of TNFα [11, 12]. In addition, a number of anesthetics exhibit immune modulatory activity, either by directly acting on immune cells or indirectly by affecting hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis in experimental animals as well as in humans [13, 14]. In general, anesthetics are known to exert immune suppressive activities in innate immune cells. For instance, lidocaine inhibits phagocytic activity, chemotaxis and activation of human neutrophils [15–18]. Similarly, lidocaine suppresses the production of nitric oxide from murine macrophages upon stimulation with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and IFNγ, possibly through the regulation of voltage-sensitive Na+ channel [19, 20]. Furthermore, administration of lidocaine has been shown to inhibit acute lung injury induced by LPS via suppressing the activation of the NF-κB signaling pathway in an animal model of endotoxemia [21]. Similarly, the production of IL–1 and IL–6 as well as the expression of ICAM–1 on activated endothelial cells is down-regulated by lidocaine [22]. These immune suppressive activities of anesthetics can be problematic in patients with tumor or infections since the suppression of immune competent arms would be detrimental in fighting against cancer cells and infectious agents [23].

Lidocaine is the only local anesthetic that is approved for intravenous administration in clinical practice. Lidocaine has an anti-inflammatory property by attenuation of production of pro-inflammatory cytokines which are known to cause inflammatory and neuropathic pain [24]. Systemic administration of lidocaine reduces surgery-induced immune reactions via decreased production of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL–6 and IL–1Ra, respectively) during abdominal hysterectomy [25]. Intravenous lidocaine infusion reduces postoperative pain intensity and analgesic requirements in patients undergoing abdominal surgery [26]. Perioperative infusion of lidocaine reduces the incidence of post-mastectomy chronic pain [27]. Of note, lidocaine has been known to induce allergic reactions in humans; while type I or anaphylactic hypersensitivity to lidocaine injection is uncommon [28], allergic contact dermatitis to lidocaine is becoming more prevalent [29]. It seems that the allergic reactions of lidocaine might be associated with a delayed type IV hypersensitivity reaction, which is mediated by antigen-specific T cells. Thus, lidocaine not only affects the production of cytokines from innate immune cells, but also may modulate antigen-specific T cell immunity in humans.

Although the immune-modulatory function of lidocaine has been suggested, it remains poorly understood if lidocaine regulates the activation of dendritic cells and differentiation of antigen-specific helper T cells. In the present study, we aimed to examine the role of lidocaine on the activation of dendritic cells in response to diverse toll-like receptors, and to examine the role of lidocaine on the differentiation of helper T cells mediated by dendritic cells. Our data revealed that lidocaine globally suppressed the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines in dendritic cells including IL–6, TNFα and IL–12. In addition, the differentiation of Th1 cells, but not Th2, Th17 and regulatory T cells, was significantly hampered by lidocaine.
Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All mouse experiments were performed as approved by Seoul National University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Seoul National University approved protocol #SNU-140602-2-2).

Mice

Female C57BL/6 mice at the age of six to ten weeks were purchased from Orient Bio (Gyeonggi, South Korea). OT-II TcR transgenic mice were bred in house with breeders derived from Jackson Laboratories (Maine, USA) and only six to ten weeks of age female mice were used. Mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free animal facility in sterile individual ventilation cages at the Seoul National University with free access to gamma-irradiated standard cereal-based diets (Zeigler) and sterile water. CO2 inhalation using gradual fill method was used as a method of euthanasia to minimize potential pain. For \textit{in vivo} experiments, 3–4 mice per group were used. All \textit{in vivo} experiments were repeated at least twice using protocols approved by Seoul National University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Total 52 mice used were in the present study.

Generation of bone marrow-derived dendritic cells

Bone marrow cells were obtained from femurs and tibia of wild type mice by flushing with PBS containing 1.5% fetal bovine serum (GenDepot). Red blood cells were lysed using ACK buffer (150 mM NH$_4$Cl, 10 mM KHCO$_3$, 0.1 mM EDTA). RBC-lysed cells were seeded on T75 flask in 20 ml of PRMI–1640 (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS, 2mM L-glutamine (Gibco), 100 U/ml penicillin (Gibco), 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Gibco), 55μM 2-mercaptoethanol (Gibco) and 10 μg/ml gentamycin (Gibco) with 10 ng/ml recombinant mouse GM-CSF (Peprotech). On day 1, non-adherent cells were removed. Half of the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing 10 ng/ml GM-CSF every 2–3 days. On day 7, loosely attached and non-adherent cells were collected and used as bone marrow-derived dendritic cells. In some experiments, dendritic cells were further enriched using CD11c microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec).

Dendritic cell stimulation with TLR ligands

Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells were stimulated with either 100 ng/ml of LPS, 1 μg/ml poly(I:C) or R837 in the presence of various lidocaine concentrations indicated in the figure legend. Lidocaine stock solutions were prepared by dissolving the chemical in the EtOH. In all cases, the same amount of EtOH was used as a control. Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells were stimulated with TLR ligands in the presence or absence of lidocaine for 4 h and 24 h for quantitative real-time PCR analysis and cytokine ELISA, respectively.

Cytokine ELISA

The amount of IL-12p70, TNF-α, IL–6, IL–10, IFNγ, IL–4, IL–5, IL–13 and IL–17 in the cultured supernatant were determined with an ELISA kit (all from Biolegend except IL–13 from eBioscience). All assays were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was obtained using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and cDNA was synthesized with RevertAid reverse transcriptase and oligo(dT) primers (Thermo Scientific) [30]. Levels of
mRNA expression of each gene were measured with 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) using iTaq SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Data were normalized to the expression of Gapdh. The following primer pairs were used: Il12a, 5'-CCACCC TTGCCCTCTAACA–3' and 5'-GGGAGCTCCTCCGTCTTG–3'; Il12b, 5'-CTTGCA GTGAAAGCCTTTGGA–3' and 5'-GGAACGCACCTTTCTGGTTACA–3'; Il27, 5'-CTCT GCCTCTCGTACAC–3' and 5'-GGGGAAGCTCCTCTTTTCTCT–3'; Il23, 5'-AAGTTC ATGAGTTGTCCTCTCTTCCCTGTCGC–3' and 5'-TATTGAGCTGACACACTCGGATGAGCTC–3' and 5'-GTGGAAACTCTCGAGACTCAA–3' and 5'-TGGGGACCTCTCGAGACTCAA–3'; Il10, 5'-ATAACTGCACCCACCTTCAGTC–3' and 5'-CCCAAGTAAACCCCTTTAA GTCTCTGC–3'; Tnf, 5'-GACGTGGAAGTGGCAGAAGAG–3' and 5'-TGCCACAAAGCAAGG ATGAGA–3'; Tbx21, 5'-CAAAACACCCCTTTGCAAAAG–3' and 5'-TCCCCAAAGCAGTT GACAGT–3'; Eomes, 5'-TGAATGTCCCATCAAGACTCAGA–3' and 5'-GGCCTGAGGCA AAGTGGTACAC–3'; Ifng, 5'-GATGCATTGATGATGATGCCAAGT–3' and 5'-GGGGCAC TCTGGATGAGCT–3'; Gapdh, 5'-GAGAATTTGGCATGTGG–3' and 5 '-ATGCAG GGTGATGTCTCTG–3'.

**Western blot analysis**

Raw264.7 cell lines were cultured in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin and 10 µg/ml gentamycin. One day prior to treatment, 1 x 10^6 cells/well were seeded on a 6-well plate. Cells were treated with 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 mg/ml of lidocaine for 2 h and subsequently stimulated with LPS for 10 min. Cells were washed with cold PBS, lysed with NP-40 lysis buffer containing protease inhibitor cocktail (GenDepot) and incubated with continuous agitation at 4°C for 30 min. After centrifugation at 13,000 g for 15 min, supernatants were taken and 30 µg of protein were used for SDS-PAGE. Protein samples were transferred to Immobilon-P PVDF membrane (Millipore). The following antibodies were used for western blot analysis: anti-IkB-α (L35A5, 1:1000 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-β-actin (AC-15, 1:5000 dilution, Abcam), anti-mouse IgG-HRP (sc-2005, 1:5000 dilution, Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

**Helper T cell differentiation in vitro**

Naïve CD4+ T cells were sorted from spleen and lymph nodes of wild type mice as CD4+CD62L+CD25-CD44- population with FACSria III cell sorter (BD BioScience) as previously described [30, 31]. Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells were purified as CD11c+ population with CD11c microbead. Naive CD4+ T cells (1 x 10^5/well) and 10 ng/ml LPS (Sigma). For Th1 differentiation, plates were coated with soluble anti-CD3 (0.3 µg/ml) at 37°C for 1 h, supernatants were taken and 30 µg of protein were used for SDS-PAGE. The following antibodies were used for western blot analysis: anti-IkB-α (L35A5, 1:1000 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-β-actin (AC-15, 1:5000 dilution, Abcam), anti-mouse IgG-HRP (sc-2005, 1:5000 dilution, Santa Cruz Biotechnology).
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Flow cytometry

Cell surface molecules were stained in PBS containing 1.5% FBS for 30 min at 4°C. Subsequently, cells were fixed with fixation buffer (eBioscience) for 30 min at 4°C and washed with permeabilization buffer (eBioscience). For Foxp3 staining, a Foxp3 staining kit (eBioscience) was used according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Intracellular cytokines and transcription factor were stained in the permeabilization buffer. The following antibodies were used: Alexa488 or PerCP-Cyanine5.5-conjugated anti-IFNγ (XMG1.2, Biolegend), Pacific Blue-conjugated anti-Foxp3 (MF–14, Biolegend), FITC-conjugated anti-TCR Vα2 (B20.1, Biolegend), PE-conjugated anti-IL–17 (TC11–18H10.1, Biolegend), PE-Cyanine7-conjugated anti-CD44 (IM7, Biolegend), PerCP-Cyanine5.5 or APC-Cyanine7-conjugated anti-CD4 (GK1.5, Biolegend), Alexa647-conjugated anti-IL–14 (11B11, Biolegend) and APC-conjugated anti-IL–5 (TRFIC5, Biolegend). Cells were analyzed with FACSCalibur, FACSVerse or FACSAria III flow cytometer (BD BioScience). Obtained data were analyzed with FlowJo software.

Dendritic cell transfer study

Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells were resuspended at 1.5 x 10^6 cells/ml in RPMI–1640 medium with 2% FBS and pulsed with 1 μg/ml of ovalbumin peptide (OVA323–339) in the presence of 0.4 mg/ml of lidocaine or vehicle for 2 h followed by being stimulated with 100 ng/ml LPS for 1h. Cells were washed with PBS for three times and re-suspended in PBS before being intravenously injected into OT–II mice (5 x 10^4 cells/injection). Four days after injection, splenic lymphoid cells from the recipient mice were obtained and restimulated with 2 μg/ml of OVA323–339 for 48 h. The amounts of IFNγ, IL–17 and IL–4 in the supernatant were measured by ELISA. Lymphoid cells from peripheral lymph node (inguinal, brachial, axillary and cervical nodes) were stimulated with PMA and ionomycin in the presence of monesin and brefeldin A for 4 h and analyzed for intracellular cytokine staining by flow cytometer.

Ovalbumin-alum immunization

Immunization was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In brief, 1:1 mixture of Imject alum (ThermoFisher Scientific) and 50 μg of ovalbumin (Sigma-Aldrich) were injected intraperitoneally on day 0. One mg of lidocaine or ethanol as a vehicle was injected intraperitoneally every other day for total three times. Seven days after Ovalbumin immunization, splenic lymphoid cells were obtained and restimulated with indicated dose of ovalbumin for 72 h. The levels of IL–5 and IL–17 in the supernatant were analyzed by ELISA.

Statistics

Data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software). Two-tailed student’s t test was used to determine statistical significance. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results and Discussion

Differential regulation of LPS-induced expression of cytokines in dendritic cells by lidocaine

Dendritic cells play an essential role in bridging innate and adaptive immunity. To determine if the function of dendritic cells is affected by lidocaine, we analyzed the expression of cytokines in dendritic cells upon stimulation with LPS in the presence or absence of lidocaine. Cytokines in IL–12 family, IL–6 and IL–1β are produced by dendritic cells upon PAMPs and DAMPs.
stimuli and they play crucial roles in shaping the effector functions of T cells that recognize cognate antigens presented on dendritic cells [32–35]. LPS is known to induce the expression of all IL-12 family cytokines in dendritic cells and macrophages [36].

When bone marrow-derived dendritic cells were stimulated with LPS, the expression of Il12a, Il12b, Il27, Il23a, Ebi3, Il6, Il1b, and Il10 transcripts were all remarkably induced within 4 hours. As depicted in Fig 1A, the expression levels of Il12a, Il12b and Il1b were significantly decreased by the addition of lidocaine, whereas those of Il23a and Il6 were only slightly decreased by the same treatment. Although it did not reach statistical significance, the expression level of Il10 was further increased by lidocaine in LPS-stimulated dendritic cells. (Fig 1A).
Consistently, the amounts of IL–12, IL–6 and TNFα produced by dendritic cells were decreased while IL–10 production was slightly increased by lidocaine (Fig 1B).

To further characterize the immune modulatory effects of lidocaine on the activation of dendritic cells, increasing doses of lidocaine were added into LPS-stimulated dendritic cells. The levels of LPS-induced Il12a, Il6 and Il1b transcripts were all decreased by lidocaine in a dose-dependent manner, while the reverse was true for Il10 (Fig 2A). It is notable that the lidocaine-mediated suppression of Il12a and Il1b seemed more sensitive than that of Il6. Since the expression of these genes in antigen-presenting cells after LPS stimulation depends on the activation of NF-κB pathway [37], we next determined if lidocaine inhibits the degradation of IκB and found that lidocaine inhibited the degradation of IκB in a dose-dependent manner.
Together, these data demonstrate that lidocaine differentially regulates the expression of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines in dendritic cells stimulated with LPS in vitro.

Effects of lidocaine on cytokine expression in response to diverse toll-like receptor ligands

Diverse toll-like receptor signals not only promote immune defense against infectious agents, but also mediate the development of autoimmunity [38, 39]. Since lidocaine modulated LPS-stimulated production of cytokines in dendritic cells, we next sought to determine if lidocaine could also regulate the cytokine expression induced by other types of toll-like receptor ligands. To this end, we stimulated bone marrow-derived dendritic cells with LPS, poly(I:C) and R837 as ligands for TLR4, TLR3 and TLR7, respectively [40].

Again, lidocaine significantly inhibited the levels of *Il6, Il12a* and *Tnf* induced by LPS, which was consistently supported by the reduction in the amounts of IL–6 and TNFα by the same treatment (Fig 3A–3C). Stimulation of dendritic cells with poly(I:C) induced the
expression of Il12a, Il12b, IL6 and Tnf; however, addition of lidocaine remarkably decreased the levels of these transcripts as well as the amounts of IL–6 and TNFα (Fig 3A–3C). Similarly, the upregulated expression of Il6 and Il12a as well as the production of IL–6 and TNFα induced by R837 were significantly attenuated by the addition of lidocaine (Fig 3A–3C). Thus, lidocaine appeared to suppress the TLR3-, 4- and 7-stimulated expression of cytokines in dendritic cells including IL–6, IL–12 and TNFα.

Effects of lidocaine on helper T cell differentiation in vitro

The decreased production of IL–6 and IL–12 family cytokines in dendritic cells by lidocaine prompted us to determine whether lidocaine impacts helper T cell differentiation from naïve T cells. Cytokines such as IL–12, IL–6, IL–4 and TGF-β produced by innate immune cells drive the differentiation of Th1, Th17 Th2 and regulatory T cell lineages by stimulating STAT4, STAT3, STAT6, STAT5 respectively [41].

To determine if the differentiation of each helper T cell subset is regulated by lidocaine, we employed a well-established in vitro T cell differentiation system. For Th1 cell differentiation, naïve CD4+ cells were co-cultured with bone marrow-derived dendritic cells together with anti-CD3 and LPS in the presence or absence of lidocaine. As shown in Fig 4A, lidocaine treatment significantly decreased the frequencies of IFNγ-producers. By contrast, the same treatment resulted in a slight increase in the frequency of IL-17-producers among CD4+ cells compared to vehicle treatment in this Th1 cell-skewing condition (vehicle vs. lidocaine; 0.148 ± 0.0075 vs. 0.7175 ± 0.0962; p<0.05). As a result, the production of IFNγ was also significantly lowered by lidocaine (Fig 4E).

For Th17 cell differentiation, naïve CD4+ T cells were stimulated with bone marrow-derived dendritic cells in the presence of anti-CD3 together with LPS and TGF-β [35, 42]. The frequencies of IL-17-producers among CD4+ T cells were comparable between vehicle- and lidocaine-treated groups (Fig 4B). Hence, the increased frequency of Th17 cells in the Th1-polarizing condition (Fig 4A) was probably due to the reduced Th1 cell differentiation rather than the direct effect of lidocaine on Th17 cell differentiation.

For Th2 cell differentiation, naïve CD4+ T cells were stimulated by using a similar culture condition except the addition of IL–4 instead of LPS and TGF-β [31]. The frequencies of IL–4 or IL–5 producers among CD4+ T cells were also comparable between the two groups (Fig 4C).

For regulatory T cell differentiation, naïve CD4+ T cells were cultured with bone marrow-derived dendritic cells in the presence of TGF-β. Lidocaine had little effect on the frequency of Foxp3+ cells among CD4+ T cells (Fig 4D).

Overall, the addition of lidocaine had little effects on the differentiation of Th17 cell, Th2 cell and regulatory T cell while it inhibited the dendritic cell-mediated differentiation of Th1 cell differentiation in vitro in this experimental setting.

Effects of lidocaine on dendritic cell-mediated Th1 cell differentiation in vitro

To further dissect if the negative effect of lidocaine on Th1 cell differentiation is due to its effect on dendritic cell or on T cells, we utilized a dendritic cell-free Th1 cell differentiation system in which naïve CD4+ T cells were stimulated with plate bound anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 in the presence of recombinant IL–12. Of note, we observed that the addition of lidocaine did not change the frequency of IFNγ-producers in dendritic cell-free condition while reducing the frequency of IFNγ-producers in DC/T cell coculture condition (Fig 5A and 5B). In addition the levels of Tbx21 and Ifng transcripts in the stimulated T cells under Th1-skewing condition did
not change in dendritic cell-free condition, however, the levels of these transcripts were significantly decreased by lidocaine in DC/T cell coculture condition (Fig 5C).

We next assessed whether the suppression of Th1 cell differentiation is due to soluble proteins from dendritic cells or direct contact between dendritic cells and T cells. Cultured supernatant of LPS-stimulated dendritic cells in the presence of lidocaine or vehicle was harvested and added into naive T cell culture stimulated with plate-coated anti-CD3 and anti-CD28. As shown in Fig 5D, the levels of IFNγ produced by the stimulated T cells were decreased when cultured in the lidocaine-conditioned media compared with vehicle-conditioned media. Given that lidocaine suppressed the production of IL-12, these results together strongly suggest that
lidocaine inhibits the differentiation of Th1 cells in vitro, presumably by modulating the production of Th1-promoting cytokines from dendritic cells rather than directly affecting T cells.

**Regulation of antigen-specific Th1 cell responses by lidocaine in vivo**

The modulation of Th1 cell differentiation in vitro by lidocaine prompted us to examine if lidocaine also impacts antigen-specific Th1 cell responses in vivo. To this end, bone marrow-derived dendritic cells were pulsed with OVA_{323-339} and were additionally stimulated with LPS in the presence or absence of lidocaine before they were adoptively transferred into OT-II mice.
whose transgenic T cell receptor recognizes OVA\textsubscript{323-339} in the context of MHC class II. Lymphoid cells from the recipient mice were analyzed for the production of effector cytokines. Compared with the recipients of vehicle-treated dendritic cells, the frequency of IFN-\gamma producers among V\alpha2\textsuperscript{+} cells was moderately but significantly decreased in the recipient of lidocaine-treated dendritic cells (Fig 6A and 6B). In conjunction with the reduced frequency of IFN-\gamma producers, the levels of antigen-induced IFN-\gamma were significantly diminished in the latter group (Fig 6C). By contrast, the levels of IL-4 and IL-17 showed no significant difference between the two groups, suggesting that the antigen-specific Th2 and Th17 cell responses remained comparable (Fig 6C).

To further address the role of lidocaine in antigen-specific Th2 responses \textit{in vivo}, groups of mice were immunized with OVA in alum suspension and were additionally administered with

---

**Fig 6. Inhibition of dendritic cell-mediated antigen-specific Th1 cell responses by lidocaine \textit{in vivo}**. Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells were pulsed with OVA\textsubscript{323-339} in the presence of lidocaine or vehicle before being transferred into OT-II TcR transgenic mice (n = 3–4). (A and B) The frequencies of IFN-\gamma producers among V\alpha2\textsuperscript{+} cells. (C) The amounts of the indicated cytokines in the supernatant of splenocyte stimulated with OVA\textsubscript{323-339} were measured by ELISA. Data represent two independent experiments. Data shown are mean ± SEM. *\textit{p*}<0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139845.g006
vehicle or lidocaine. The amounts of IL-5 as well as IL-17 were comparable in the supernatant of OVA-restimulated splenocytes between vehicle- and lidocaine-treated groups (S1 Fig). These results indicate that lidocaine specifically inhibits the development of antigen-specific Th1 cell responses induced by dendritic cells in vivo, while it has little effects on Th2 cell and Th17 cell responses.

Understanding the immune modulatory functions of anesthetics is important because it may affect the host defense immunity in patients undergoing surgery. Intravenous lidocaine is widely used for postoperative analgesia. In the present study, we aimed to determine the potential immune regulatory function of lidocaine on the differentiation of diverse helper T cell lineages as well as in the activation of dendritic cells. Our results demonstrate that lidocaine dampens the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines from dendritic cells upon TLR stimulation and suppresses the differentiation of Th1 cells in vitro as well as in vivo. Since DAMP ligands such as HMGB1 also signal through NFκB to induce relevant cytokines [43], it seems that lidocaine also negatively regulates the inflammatory functions of DAMP signals, possibly through the negative regulation of NF-kB signaling pathway. Further studies are needed to clearly define the detailed molecular mechanisms of how lidocaine impacts cytokine production in dendritic cells. Considering that IL-12 and Th1 cell responses play an essential role in the clearance of intracellular pathogens and in anti-tumor immunity [44], our findings propose that there should be a serious consideration when we choose the type of anesthetics in patients with cancer and infectious diseases, since certain anesthetic agents such as lidocaine potentially incapacitate host defense immunity against such diseases.

Administration of lidocaine is known to induce allergic reactions in some patients [28, 29], suggesting that it may trigger Th2 cell responses. In our experimental system, however, we observed that lidocaine had little role in Th2 cell differentiation in vitro as well as in vivo. The Th2 cell differentiation system used in this study required exogenous IL-4 since this cytokine is generally not produced by dendritic cells. Hence, it is still possible that lidocaine can influence Th2 cell responses in patients by regulating the production of IL-4 or other Th2 cell-promoting cytokines such as IL-25, IL-33 and TSLP. In addition, IL-12 and Th1 cell cytokine IFNγ are well known to strongly inhibit Th2 cell responses [45]. Therefore, lidocaine may provide a Th2 cell favorable environment by inhibiting the production of IL-12 and IFNγ from dendritic cells and T cells. Further studies will be needed to dissect the in vivo function of lidocaine in Th2 cell immunity.

IL-6 provides crucial signal to T cells during Th17 cell differentiation. Even though lidocaine strongly inhibited the production of IL-6 from dendritic cells, it did not affect the differentiation of Th17 cell in vitro and in vivo. In addition, our quantitative RT-PCR analysis showed that the production of IL-23 and IL-1β, which promote Th17 maturation [35, 46], was likely suppressed by lidocaine. Therefore it is not clear why the differentiation of Th17 cells was intact in the presence of lidocaine. One possible explanation is that lidocaine may also inhibit cytokines that negatively regulate Th17 cell responses. For instance, IL-27 inhibits Th17 cell responses [47], and the expression of Ebi3 transcript was significantly decreased by lidocaine. IL-2 is another cytokine that can suppress Th17 cell differentiation via the activation of STAT3 [48]. In this regard, lidocaine has been recently shown to inhibit the production of IL-2 from Jurkat T cells [49]. Thus it is possible to surmise that lidocaine also down-regulates these negative regulators of Th17 cell differentiation, and thereby T cells might have enough signal for Th17 cell differentiation with reduced levels of IL-6, IL-23 and IL-1β.

In summary, our findings unveil that lidocaine can impact the differentiation of helper T cells into diverse lineage by modulating the production of cytokines from dendritic cells.
Conclusion
The present study demonstrates that lidocaine inhibits the expression of IL–6, TNFα and IL–12 family cytokines while increasing that of IL–10 in dendritic cells upon stimulation with diverse TLR ligands. Addition of lidocaine appeared to significantly inhibit the dendritic cell-mediated differentiation of Th1 cells while it had little effects on the differentiation of Th17, Th2 and regulatory T cells. Collectively, our findings suggest that lidocaine can modulate the Th1 cell inducing capacity of dendritic cells.

Supporting Information
S1 Fig. Lidocaine has little effects on the production of Th2 or Th17 cell cytokines in mice immunized with ovalbumin in alum. Mice were immunized with Ovalbumin in alum on day 0 and were additionally given lidocaine or vehicle i.p. every other day for six days (n = 3–4). The levels of indicated cytokines in the supernatant of splenocytes restimulated with indicated concentration of ovalbumin were measured by ELISA. Data represent two independent experiments. Data shown are mean ± SEM. (TIFF)
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