Uridine-5'-tri-phosphate is a candidate component of the soluble sex pheromone bouquet in a marine shrimp, *Lysmata wurdemanni*
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ABSTRACT: Characterization of distance sex pheromone in decapods is still a challenge although great efforts have been made in this field in the past fifty years. In a previous study we identified a component of distance (soluble) sex pheromone bouquet of the peppermint shrimp Lysmata wurdemanni as a uridine-5’-di-phosphate (UDP)-like chemical. However, UDP does not elicit pre-copulatory behavior, approach and follow, in the peppermint shrimp. Here we tested the hypothesis that the UDP-like chemical is uridine-5’-tri-phosphate (UTP), a metabolic product from the shrimp’s chitin synthesis, and is the component of the distance sex pheromone of the shrimp. We ran a series of bioassays to examine whether UDP, UTP or their mixtures elicit male mating behavior. Our results show that male L. wurdemanni responded to UTP through displaying their stereotyped courtship behaviour (approach and follow), same as the behaviour that water collected from moulting female elicits. Combining UTP and UDP as mixtures did not enhance the intensity of this male courtship behaviour. Minimum effective concentration of UTP to elicit the courtship behaviour in the male shrimp was between $10^{-6}$ and $10^{-7}$ M. HPLC analysis showed the existence of UTP in the moulting water of female shrimp and partial conversion of UTP to UDP during the sample preparation procedure. Both bioassay and chemical analysis results presented in this study suggest that UTP is a component of the distance sex pheromone in L. wurdemanni. The major peak of chromatogram of L. wurdemanni pheromone identified in previous studies might be a breakdown product of UTP.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In generally, females emit distance pheromone to attract mating partners in many decapod crustaceans, such as crabs (Ryan 1966, Gleeson 1980, Seifert 1982, Hardege et al. 2002, Kamio et al. 2002, 2014), lobsters (Atema 1984), crayfish (Ameyaw-Akumfi & Hazlett 1975, Tierney et al. 1984, Stebbing et al. 2003), and several shrimp species in the genus Lysmata (Giri 2002, Zhang & Lin 2006, Zhang et al. 2007, 2010, Zhang 2009). In Lysmata species, distance pheromone induces a pre-copulatory behaviour, i.e. searching behaviour (Zhang & Lin 2006, Zhang 2009).

Efforts to characterise distance pheromones of crustaceans have been reported in lobster (Atema & Gagosian 1973, Gagosian & Atema 1973), crabs (Gleeson 1984, Asai et al. 2000, Hardege et al. 2002, 2011, Kamio et al. 2002, 2014) and amphipods Microdeutopus gryllotalpa (Borowsky et al. 1987). However, it is still a challenge work to purify and characterise such distance pheromones of decapod crustaceans. In recent years three chemicals, ceramides, uridine-5'-di-phosphate (UDP), and N-acetylglucosamino-1,5-lactone (NAGL) were suggested as components of distance sex pheromone in decapod crustaceans. Although ceramides were suggested as the distance pheromones in the hair crab Erimacrus isenbeckii, this theory was not supported with behavioural data (Asai et al. 2000). UDP was identified to be the major component of the female crab pheromone and induced all key characteristics of male sexual behaviour in the shore crab Carcinus maenas (Hardege et al. 2011). UDP was able to elicit courtship in a number of crabs, including the snow crab Chionoecetes opilio and yellowline arrow
crab *Stenorhynchus sticornis* (Bublitz et al. 2008, Fletcher & Hardege 2009). Furthermore, a test demonstrates that uridine-5'-tri-phosphate (UTP) is probably a component of the sex pheromone bouquet in the crab *C. maenas* as well because it is able to elicit a stereotyped mating behaviour albeit at higher threshold concentrations than UDP (Fletcher 2007). In the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus*, another compound associated with moulting and chitin biosynthesis in crustaceans, NAGL, was considered as a candidate component of the courtship pheromone (Kamio et al. 2014). In a caridean shrimp *Lysmata wurdemanni*, the major component of the distance pheromone bouquet has been demonstrated to be a small molecule of approx. 500 – 1000 Dalton, whose UV spectrum is similar to UDP, but its chromatographic properties were not the same as UDP’s (Zhang et al. 2010).

Both UTP and UDP are linked to the pathway of chitin biosynthesis (Stevenson 1972) in decapod crustaceans. The polymerization of chitin, a polymer of N-acetyl-β-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc), requires UDP-GlcNAc as a substrate, which is synthesized from GlcNAc-1-phosphate and UTP (Stevenson 1972, Horst et al. 1993). Hence UTP and UDP might be released during moulting, one and/or both of them or their mixture may serve as distance sex pheromone (Fletcher 2007). In a previous study, we found the major component of the distance pheromone in *L. wurdemanni* might be a UDP-like chemical (Zhang et al. 2010). Alternatively, the UDP-like chemical is possibly a breakdown or metabolic product of UTP. UTP in solution is unstable and converts into UDP, resulting in UTP concentration too low to be detectible in the urine anymore. In the present study, we further identified the component of the distance sex pheromone in *L.*
wurdemanni. We ran a series of bioassay to investigate whether L. wurdemanni induces courtship behavioural response to UTP and/or UDP and the effect of shrimp pheromone sample preparation process on UTP breakdown to establish a reliable procedure for the pheromone analysis of the shrimp, and probably for other decapod crustaceans.

_Lysmata wurdemanni_ is a protandric simultaneous hermaphrodite (Bauer 2000). Male function matures first (male phase), i.e. testis portion mature first. the ovarian portion may develop (i.e. sex change) later as shrimp grow, so that the gonad can produce both eggs and sperm simultaneously, a condition called simultaneous hermaphrodite or euhermaphrodite (Bauer & Holt 1998). The intermoult euhermaphrodite-phase shrimp functioning as a male can mate with the newly moulted euhermaphrodite-phase shrimp playing the female role. Pre-copulatory behaviour of male-role _L. wurdemanni_ has been well described (Bauer & Holt 1998, Zhang & Lin 2004, 2006, Zhang et al. 2007, Zhang 2009). Pre-copulatory behaviour in _L. wurdemanni_ is classified as searching behaviour since male are in continuous motion; this being interpreted a searching for a receptive female (Bauer & Holt 1998, Zhang & Lin 2004, 2006, Zhang et al. 2007). When male encounter a receptive female, copulation occurs almost immediately after a short interaction (Bauer & Holt 1998, Zhang & Lin 2004, 2006, Zhang et al. 2007). During the mating process of several studied _Lysmata_ shrimp species, the soluble distance pheromones induce searching behaviour, and male depend on the contact pheromones coating on the cuticle surface to recognise a receptive female (Zhang & Lin 2006, Zhang et al. 2011). Therefore, identifying the chemical characteristics of the distance sex pheromone in _L. wurdemanni_ would give better understanding of reproductive behaviour
in *Lysmata* shrimp. Moreover, because UDP, UTP, and NAGL are all involved in chitin biosynthesis of decapod crustaceans, the present study would give an insight into the distance pheromone metabolic mechanism in decapod crustaceans.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Experimental animal

The experimental shrimp were bought from local aquarium stores. The shrimp were maintained in six flow-through tanks (30 × 20 × 20 cm), and fed squid or adult brine shrimp once daily. Salinity, temperature, light intensity and photoperiod were 35%, 26-28°C temperature, 1000 lx and 14 h L/10 h D, respectively. All shrimp were fed ad libitum 1 h before used for bioassay.

2.2. Chemical stimuli

To determine whether uridine-5'-tri-phosphate (UTP), uridine-5'-di-phosphate (UDP), and their mixture can elicit pre-couplatory behaviour of male *L. wurdemanni*, the stock solutions (0.1 M) of UTP and UDP (purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co., purity of 99%) were prepared with deionized water, and their mixtures in three ratios (80% UTP + 20% UDP, 50% UTP + 50% UDP, 20% UTP + 80% UDP) at 10⁻⁴ M were prepared with the stock solutions and natural seawater. Female conditioned seawater (500 ml) in which a euhermaphrodite-phase (EP) shrimp moulted was also tested. Regular seawater was used as control. To collect female conditioned seawater, a parturial (pre-spawning moult, and larvae hatched before the moult) EP shrimp in which larvae have hatched was maintained in a 1 l beaker containing 500 ml seawater for 2 - 3 hrs,
which was stored at -40°C freezer immediately after the shrimp moulted. In total, 40 shrimp moultning water were collected. As a control, 40 intermoult EP shrimp were housed in 5 l seawater for 4 h, then the conditioned water was collected. For HPLC analysis, the sample had to been concentrated and desalted. The frozen samples were thawed and filtered with an Amicon® stirred cell (500 Dalton, YC-05) ultra-filtration kit (250 ml in volume) at room temperature (25°C). For each filtration, 200 ml sample water was concentrated to about 15 ml as pre-filtered sample. All pre-filtered samples were pooled together and stored at -40°C freezer immediately for final concentration. All pre-filtered sample water was concentrated to about 15 ml, and thereafter desalted with double distilled water. On average, it took about 4 h to concentrate and desalt a sample of 200 ml.

2.3. Bioassay of chemical stimuli

Behavioural responses of the shrimps to soluble sex pheromones, as well as method for determining and scoring those behaviours were carried out as described in previous studies (Zhang & Lin 2006, Zhang et al. 2010). Thirty male-phase (MP) shrimp were used for each treatment or control and no individual shrimp was used more than once for individual treatment or control experiments. To simplify, the term male is used to represent male-role shrimp throughout the paper hereafter. Bioassays were conducted in rectangular tanks (20 × 40 × 24 cm) containing 6 l of seawater (Fig. 1). One day before the observation, 2 males were acclimated in the tanks with aeration and fed with Artemia sp. nauplii. Regular seawater was presented first for several times to make the shrimps
not fear the operation, after at least ten min interval, followed by the tested chemical compound (UDP, or UTP, or the UDP and UTP mixture), or female moulting water, or regular seawater (control). Tested stimuli and control were tested in separate tanks, respectively. The experimenter was undertaken blind with the observer not aware to the stimuli being tested. Water was added (2 - 3 drops s\(^{-1}\)) near (4 - 5 cm away) the tested male through a siphon tube of 3.0 mm inside diameter. If the male approached the tube, then the tube was moved slowly around the male to determine whether the male would follow the tube. The shrimps’ responses were recorded with a Sony camcorder and analysed. Full positive response of male shrimp was defined as both approach and follow: male would approach the tube and stay on the tube for several seconds to several tens of seconds, and some males may follow the movement of the tube. The behavioural responses to the sex stimuli were different from those to food stimulus (which was a supernatant of a homogenate of 2 g of shrimp abdominal muscle in 400 ml of seawater). When males detected the food stimulus, shrimps rushed towards and might lifted somewhat claws and anterior part of the body towards the tube delivered the stimulus, and tried to grasp the tube. It is also known from other crustaceans that responses to feeding stimulants are much lower during the reproductive season, e.g. in shore crabs *Carcinus maenas* males prefer sex pheromones over feeding cues (Fletcher & Hardege 2009) and rarely respond to food signals at all. Hence, all behaviours triggered by pre-moult female conditioned water and UTP are considered as courtship behaviours.

Minimum concentration at which shrimps responded to the compounds tested were determined. Because male shrimp did not respond to UDP (see the Results), only
minimum UTP threshold concentrations to elicit behavioural responses in male shrimp was examined, which was done by determining the dose-response levels for 30 individuals. Shrimps were presented with log-step concentrations (descending from $10^{-4}$ M till no behavioural response displayed) of each individual chemical compound. Regular seawater was used as control.

Number of the male displaying positive response to stimuli was analyzed with Chi-squared test for r x c contingency table (SPSS statistical software, version 19.0, Chicago Illinois, USA).

2.4. UTP breakdown/conversion

To determine whether the UDP-like chemical that forms part of the distance pheromone is a breakdown or metabolite that stems from UTP, standard solutions of UTP were treated with a process similar to that of pheromone sample collection and preparation from female shrimp water. Ten ml of $10^{-4}$ M UTP solution were prepared with seawater. To simulate the sample collection process, the solutions were placed at ambient temperature (about 25ºC) for about 2 h, then stored at -40ºC for 10 d, so. the UTP solutions were aged for 10 d. To simulate the ultra-filtering process, the frozen solution was placed at ambient temperature for about 60 min, thereafter stored at -40ºC for 7 d, and this freezing-defreezing process was repeated once. Thereafter, the concentration and desalting procedure for the female moulting water with an Amicon® stirred cell (500 Dalton) ultra-filtration kit was applied for the UTP solution.

2.5. Analysis of pheromonal samples, and UTP and UDP standards

The pheromone containing samples from moulting female shrimps, intermoult EP conditioned water, aged UTP, and a series of freshly prepared UTP and UDP standards of $10^{-4}$, $10^{-5}$, $10^{-6}$, and $10^{-7}$ M were subsequently analysed in triplicates using HPLC (Agilent model 1100). For HPLC, a TSK gel ODS-80TM column (250 mm × 4.6 mm ID × 5 µm
particle size) (TOSOH Bioscience), at 30°C, was used with two mobile phases, A: 20 mM t-Butylamine buffered with phosphoric acid to a pH of 6.8, and B: 90% mobile phase A plus 10% HPLC grade methanol. The system was run (isocratic mode, 1.0 ml min$^{-1}$) on a gradient of 0 to 35 min 100% A to 100% B, held for 15 min at 100% B with a total run time of 50 min. The system was then re-equilibrated for 10 min back to 100% A. An Agilent Diode Array Detector (DAD, model G1315B) was used with UV absorbance spectra in scan mode at wavelength 210 nm. Sixty microlitres of the sample, UTP and UDP standards were loaded at 1 ml min$^{-1}$.

Due to the UV spectra of the two peaks from female moulting water not being detectable (when the concentration of UDP and UTP is $\leq 10^{-6}$ M, their UV spectra would be distorted, even undetectable), UDP and UTP standard were added to prepared moulting water sample to see whether UDP and UTP peaks showed the same time as that of the peaks of the female moulting water samples.

2.6. Ethical Note

All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

3. RESULTS

Male shrimp approach behaviour showed a significant difference in degree of activation in males across the 7 stimuli tested (Chi-squared test, $X^2_6 = 146.844$, $p < 0.0001$; Fig. 2). Comparing to UTP (28 males), there was only 1 male *Lysmata wurdemanni* that displayed the stereotyped approach response to synthetic UDP solution (Fig. 2). Approach and follow behaviour showed a significant difference as well (Chi-squared test, $X^2_6 = 104.866$, $p < 0.0001$; Fig. 2). All thirty males displayed approach
to the fresh moulting water, 24 of which also displayed a full behavioural response, so showed both, approach and follow. Six males displayed this full response to the UTP (Fig. 2). The number of males responded to UTP solutions decreased with decreasing the cue concentration (Chi-squared test, $X^2 = 80.217, p < 0.0001$; Fig. 3). Minimum effective concentration of UTP was between $10^{-6}$ and $10^{-7}$ M (Fig. 3).

Freshly prepared UDP and UTP eluted at 17.01 and 24.29 min, respectively (Fig. 4A). There were three peaks from the synthetic UTP sample at 8.96 min 17.01 min, and 24.29 min, respectively. The peaks at 17.01 min and 24.29 min are corresponding to UDP and UTP, respectively (Fig. 4B). Two peaks at 17.01 min and 24.29 min were detected from EP moulting water (Fig. 4C), however, not from the intermoult EP shrimp conditioned water (Fig. 4D). Due to low concentration by breakdown, UV spectra of the two peaks from EP moulting water were not detectable. However, the peaks of UDP and UTP overlapped exactly two peaks of moulting water (Fig. 4E).

4. DISCUSSION

Both bioassay test and chemical results presented in this study suggest that UTP is a component of the soluble sex pheromone in the shrimp, *Lysmata wurdemanni*. UTP attracts male *L. wurdemanni* and elicits a stereotyped courtship behaviour, approach and follow, which is the same behaviour that female moulting water elicits (Zhang & Lin 2006).

The chromatogram of the EP shrimp moulting water shows that the peak retention times (17.01 min and 24.29 min) of the two peaks are exactly same as that of UDP and UTP (Figs. 4A, 4B, and 4C), suggesting that the two peaks are UDP and UTP. The present study shows explicitly that UTP broke down during sample preparation (Fig. 3B), so UDP presented in the EP shrimp moulting water is likely to be a breakdown product of UTP. UDP alone did not elicit the stereotyped courtship behaviour, and UDP did not
enhance the male’s behavioural responses to UTP, further suggesting that UDP is not a component of the distance sex pheromone. The breakdown of the nucleotide resulting in the additional product peaks also made the concentration of the components at 24.29 min too low to detect its UV spectrum. Even so, we still could safely assume that the component at 24.29 min from the shrimp moulting water is probably UTP with the peak time only, because the UTP peak overlapped exactly to the peak of the component (Fig. 4E). UTP is absent in the intermoult EP shrimp conditioned water (Fig. 4D), indicating that UTP is only released during moulting of EP shrimp.

The main breakdown product of UTP in the samples, UDP is known to be produced from UTP when UTP is in an extracellular environment (Ho et al. 2013) and has been found the main component of the sex pheromone bouquet in the shore crab, Carcinus maenas (Bublitz et al. 2008, Fletcher & Hardege 2009), and it also evokes courtship behaviour in several other crab species, such as the snow crab (Chionoecetes opilio) and yellowline arrow crab (Stenorhynchus sticornis) (Bublitz et al. 2008). However, UDP did not elicit positive responses of male L. wurdemanni even when its concentration was at $10^{-4}$ M. This suggests that slightly different, but similar strategies in sex pheromones may have been developed in decapod crustaceans. Because both UTP and UDP are linked to the pathway of chitin biosynthesis during moulting process (Stevenson 1972), decapod crustaceans using UTP or UDP as sex pheromones might use one or the other, or the mixture of both as their sex pheromones. For example, UDP is the main component of the sex pheromone in Carcinus maenas (Bublitz et al. 2008),
however, UTP also elicits courtship behaviour, and the strongest response was invoked by a ratio of UDP : UTP at 80 : 20 (Fletcher 2007).

Moreover, NAGL has been suggested as a candidate component of distance sex pheromone in some crabs (Kamio et al. 2002, 2014), and most recently, the molting biomarker molecule, 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-gluconic acid, isolated from urine of blue crabs and helmet crabs was identified (Kamio et al. 2017). Because UDP, UTP, and NAGL are all involved in chitin biosynthesis of decapod crustaceans, further studies should investigate distance pheromone metabolic mechanisms related to chitin and moult in decapod crustaceans.

Animal sex pheromones are generally composed of multiple components. Individual components of a pheromonal blend may not elicit behavioural activity, but their mixtures in different ratios may lead to highly specialized blends (Sorensen 1996). Sex pheromones are usually a species-specific blend, such as in insects (Glover et al. 1987, Christensen et al. 1989, Canci et al. 2006, Geiselhardt et al. 2008) and goldfish (Poling et al. 2001). In L. wurdemanni, mixing UTP and UDP seems to be the simplest way to form a blend, however, the mixtures of UTP and UDP used in our experiments did not show stronger combined effect on invoking male’s approach behaviour. Alternatively, UTP might be an isomer or tautomer of the sex pheromone of the species if they use a single compound as sex pheromone. Furthermore, the number of the male shrimp that displayed full pre-copulatory response to EP moulting water is significantly higher than that to UTP, suggesting either the major component of the sex pheromone might be an isomer of UTP or there might be more than one active component, missing
during sample purification, in the shrimp pheromone bouquet as well which needs to be investigated in the future. Because the major component of the shrimp’s distance pheromone is not stable, new protocols need to be developed for complete identification of the pheromone components.

Result from the present study suggests that the minimum effective concentration of UTP for the male shrimp is between $10^{-6}$ M and $10^{-7}$ M, which is similar to what was found in fish, shore crabs and polychaete worm pheromones (Zeeck et al 1998, Kasumyan 2004, Hardege et al 2011). Threshold concentrations of chemical substances, which could evoke behavioral responses in fish usually range $10^{-6}$ - $10^{-9}$ M (Kasumyan 2004). Our study also indicates that UTP is not stable in seawater. Since the EP shrimp can only mate as female during short post-moult window (normally a few hours) and male shrimp rely on contact sex pheromones to detect receptive females (Zhang and Lin 2006), the short life time of UTP could avoid attracting male shrimp probably to reduce predation risk (cannibalism) during female’s most vulnerable period, i.e. post-moult period.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Both bioassay and chemical test results presented in the current study suggest that UTP is a candiate component of the soluble sex pheromone bouquet in the shrimp *Lysmata wurdemanni*. UTP attracted male *L. wurdemanni* and led a stereotyped courtship behaviour, approach and follow, which is the same as the behaviour that pre-moult
female conditioned water elicits. The major peak of chromatograms of *L. wurdemanni* pheromones previously identified might be a breakdown of UTP.
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Fig. 1. Diagram of test tank (20 × 40 × 24 cm) containing 6 l of seawater. Stimuli water was added (2 - 3 drops s⁻¹) near (4 - 5 cm away) the tested male through a siphon tube of 3.0 mm inside diameter.
Fig. 2. Number of male *Lysmata wurdemanni* out of 30 responded to uridine-5\(^\prime\)-tri-phosphate (UTP), uridine-5\(^\prime\)-di-phosphate (UDP), the mixtures of UTP and UDP, female moulting water. 1 = UTP, 2 = UDP, 3 = 80% UTP + 20% UDP, 4 = 50% UTP + 50% UDP, 5 = 20% UTP + 80% UDP, 6 = female moulting water, 7 = regular seawater (control). A = approach, AF = approach and follow. Approach (Chi-squared test, \(X^2_6 = 146.844, p < 0.0001\)) approach and follow (Chi-squared test, \(X^2_6 = 104.866, p < 0.0001\)) behaviours showed significant differences in degree of activation in males across the 7 stimuli tested.
Fig. 3. Number of male *Lysmata wurdemanni* out of 30 responded to uridine-5'-tri-phosphate (UTP) of different concentrations. Shrimp only displayed approach behaviour to UTP. 1 = $10^{-4}$ M, 2 = $10^{-5}$ M, 3 = $10^{-6}$ M, 4 = $10^{-7}$ M, 5 = Control (regular seawater). Number of males responded to UTP solutions decreased with the decreasing concentration (Chi-squared test, $X^2_4 = 80.217$, p < 0.0001).
Fig. 4. HPLC-Chromatographs (at wave length 210 nm) of newly prepared uridine-5'-di-phosphate (UDP) and uridine-5'-tri-phosphate (UTP) (A), aged UTP (B), female conditioned water (C), and intermoult euhermaphrodite-phase shrimp conditioned water (D), UTP and UDP added in female conditioned water (E). P1=UDP (17.01 min), P2=UTP (24.29 min). A TSK gel ODS-80TM column (250 mm × 4.6 mm ID × 5 µm particle size) (TOSOH Bioscience), at 30°C, was used with two mobile phases, A: 20 mM t-Butylamine buffered with phosphoric acid to a pH of 6.8, and B: 90% mobile phase A plus 10% HPLC grade methanol. Concentration of P1 and P2 in Fig. 4C is about $10^{-7}$ M.