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A B S T R A C T

This review focuses on bioinformatics technologies employed by the EU-sponsored multidisciplinary VIZIER consortium (Comparative Structural Genomics of Viral Enzymes Involved in Replication, FP6 Project: 2004-511960, active from 1 November 2004 to 30 April 2009), to achieve its goals. From the management of the information flow of the project, to bioinformatics-mediated selection of RNA viruses and prediction of protein targets, to the analysis of 3D protein structures and antiviral compounds, these technologies provided a communication framework and integrated solutions for steady and timely advancement of the project. RNA viruses form a large class of major pathogens that affect humans and domestic animals. Such RNA viruses as HIV, Influenza virus and Hepatitis C virus are of prime medical concern today, but the identities of viruses that will threaten human population tomorrow are far from certain. To contain outbreaks of common or newly emerging infections, prototype drugs against viruses representing the Virus Universe must be developed. This concept was championed by the VIZIER project which brought together experts in diverse fields to produce a concerted and sustained effort for identifying and validating targets for antiviral therapy in dozens of RNA virus lineages.
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1. Introduction

This review describes the development and application of bioinformatics by a multidisciplinary network of researchers faced with the challenge of dissecting the proteome of RNA viruses in a structure-based search for antivirals over a span of approximately 5 years.

The European Union supported a consortium of researchers, known as VIZIER, who proposed to target the replicative enzymes of RNA viruses for antiviral therapy (Coutard et al., 2008). RNA viruses form the largest virus class, including major pathogens of humans and domestic animals (Moya et al., 2004). The underlying VIZIER concept champions a proactive approach to combating virus infections. At its core, it envisions that prototype drugs against viruses representing the Virus Universe must be developed and put on the shelf to become immediately available when they are needed most: to contain an outbreak or an "old" or newly emerging infection. To develop this broad range of drugs, targets for antiviral therapy must be identified in all major virus lineages. This is a huge undertaking, whose scale is determined by the known diversity of viruses and the complexity of virus proteomes. As the number of known viruses, closely tracked by the number of sequenced genomes, expands exponentially in time (Belshaw et al., 2009), so do the resources necessary to develop drugs, should each virus be targeted.

These relationships and associated challenges were recognized early in the VIZIER project. To address them, a specialized bioinformatics section was included in the consortium. This section had three missions which it pursued in close contact with partners from other sections. Firstly, to develop and manage a "traditional" information component of the project that brought together researchers active in bioinformatics, virology, protein production, crystallography, enzymeology, and drug development and testing. To this end, versatile and easy-to-use software tools and databases had to be implemented. Secondly, to provide the consortium with recommendations regarding RNA viruses and targets to be studied. This latter broad effort was complemented by the contributions of partners from other sections who selected viruses and designed targets using different approaches and often based on their expertise with a particular group of viruses or proteins. Thirdly, to develop software tools assisting with specialized tasks such as protein production and structure determination, and the dissemination of these results to other members of the consortium.

For target prediction and domain design (Carugo et al., 2007), two software platforms were used: VaZyMoIO (Ferron et al., 2005) and VirAliS (Gorbalenya et al., unpublished). The former provided a friendly WEB-based interface to traditional bioinformatics tools used for comparative sequence analysis, e.g. BLAST, allowing the user to exploit existing annotations from VaZyMoIO or VIZIER Databases and other public resources in order to derive information related to a sequence query. Every participant in the consortium could use VaZyMoIO as a shared platform to design targets for a selected virus. The second platform, Viralis, was used for expert-based predictions across a broad range of viruses. The interaction of researchers with the Viralis platform was typically managed through a strictly defined protocol which included submission of virus genomes for analysis and receipt of predictions through email.

Managing the information flow and predicting targets requires specialized technologies that were brought to the project and further developed by teams involved in the bioinformatics section. For organizing the information component of the project, including management of accumulated data, we considered using initially a specialized Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS). However, a delay with its development and implementation, as well as a lack of motivation to use it, prompted a search for alternative solutions. As a result, VIZIER Targets Database (see below) took over some major functionalities of data management (Fig. 1). It was also connected to the Xtrack software platform (Harris and Jones, 2002) which provided tools and an environment for the analysis of 3D structures. This database became a hub for protein crystallization and structures solved by the consortium. Xtrack, connected to EDBase – a server for 3D structure quality assessment – were also used to distribute the targets within the consortium and coordinate work in the crystallography section of VIZIER.

Some characteristics of the software platforms listed above and associated activities are briefly reviewed below. Other results with a major bioinformatics component are summarized in separate reviews elsewhere in this issue of Antiviral Research.

2. VIZIER Targets Database

The VIZIER consortium gathers European scientists coming from different fields of biology, including bioinformatics, virology, protein production, crystallography, enzymeology and drug development (Coutard et al., 2008). In order to enable technical and scientific communication inside the consortium, a website was devised. It served as a common portal to access the core and differentiated specialized databases. The core database, named VIZIER Targets DB, was conceived, implemented and interfaced for managing all VIZIER-related information. Through VIZIER Targets DB, project members easily retrieved data for any target, including its status in the processing pipeline.

Three online interfaces, all empowered with search capabilities, are available to retrieve information at different levels:

- The “Targets Data Interface” displays, in a tabular format, the information related to selected targets. This information may include the virus strain used to generate target DNA and amino-acid sequences, the primers’ sequences for DNA amplification, as well as the description of the last step in the protein processing (Fig. 1(A)). The complete table can be re-arranged by ranking column values, and lines can be highlighted to facilitate comparison. This interface enables a fast checking of the processing progress for a set of proteins. When several closely related constructs are designed the interface provides a snapshot comparing constructs. It assists the user with assessing the impact, on protein production, of any variation in constructs, e.g. tag position, and amino-acid deletion, insertion, or replacement. For example, this type of comparison has been used to identify a crystallizable domain of an Astrovirus protease (Speroni et al., 2009).

- The “Targets Status Interface” displays the status of the processed targets, from PCR production to structure determination using color coding (Fig. 1(B)). The status at each stage can be completed (green), ongoing (orange) or aborted (red). It also indicates the partners in charge of each production step. Using this interface, a comparative view of targets that have passed a production step (cloning, production, crystallization) can be retrieved easily. For example, one search request was sufficient to find what protein
Fig. 1. VIZIER targets data pathway. Information stored into the VIZIER Targets Database can be accessed through the three VIZIER Targets DB interfaces including: (A) Targets Data; (B) Targets Status; (C) Target Focus. Xtrack provides also three Interfaces for users that are (D)–(F). Both VIZIER and Xtrack databases are inter-linked. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)

from “flavivirus” was “at least crystallized”, as illustrated in Fig. 3 of Coutard et al. (2008).

- The VIZIER “Targets Focus Interface” was developed to present all data for each target on a single page (Fig. 1(C)). While the two previous interfaces allow one to get a comparative view of data and status within a set of targets, this interface focuses on a specific target and displays the technical information available in the database (sequencing of the expression clone, production file, etc.). Since the production of a given protein can be done in different laboratories for different purposes, the original production file can be shared through this interface, or as a PDF file exported from the ELN electronic laboratory book (Contur Software). In addition to the data provided by the VIZIER partner, data from other resources have been integrated, including computer-generated data such as the isoelectric point, the molecular weight and the amino-acid composition of the current target. PDB files related to the target, whenever available can be downloaded and can also be displayed using a Jmol applet launched from the page. Links to additional information on other resources are also displayed (e.g. Xtrack, ICTV DB, GenBank (Benson et al., 2008), PDB (Berman et al., 2007)). This and the other two target DB interfaces were used during the project as a “basic LIMS” without requiring any specific program download.

- This extended use of the VIZIER targets DB was facilitated by a barcode-like nomenclature for constructs that was developed by the consortium. Specifically, a name was assigned to each construct submitted to the DB; for many targets the initial name assignment was made upon target prediction by Viralis (see Section 4.2). The name consists of several parts which are organized in the following order from left to right: (i) the two-digit VIZIER Partner number, (ii) virus abbreviation, (iii) strain designation, (iv) two-digit construct number, (v) protein abbreviation, (vi) function abbreviation, (vii) two-digit target number, (viii) purification tag and its position in the construct. For example, VZ10SV-AY694184-11-3DL-RdRp-01HC is the name of the 3DL protein of the Sapovirus (SV), strain AY694184 provided by partner 10 (VZ10). It is the 11th construct (-11-) of the first predicted target (01) for the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) domain that was cloned fused with His tag at the C-terminus (HC). A search for targets in the VIZIER targets DB can be done on each of the 8 parts of the name.

Target submission and updates of the target’s progress processing can be done by any partner using a single or batch procedure. The single procedure is usually required when a single target has to be submitted or updated. The batch mode, based on a pre-filled Excel file, enables the submission or an update for multiple targets at once.

Two thousand four hundred and two different constructs were submitted to the VIZIER Targets Database during the project. They could be identified using a keywords search or, alternatively, BLAST-mediated comparison (Altschul et al., 1997) initiated with a sequence query. A BLAST engine was installed and interfaced on the VIZIER web-server to run against the protein, nucleic acid, and genomic sequences corresponding to the VIZIER targets and against the proteins of the PDB. The BLAST search was routinely used for checking the uniqueness of a prospective target before starting its processing in an effort to avoid undesirable redundancy in the project. Also, BLAST-mediated searching of PDB helped with identifying unique targets whose structures had not already been solved elsewhere.

To facilitate browsing over all information available at the website, a communication protocol between the different VIZIER Targets DB interfaces has been designed and implemented. It enabled access to a specific target focus page from the two other interfaces or from a VIZIER BLAST results page. Following the same approach, the VIZIER Targets DB has also been linked to external resources and particularly to the Xtrack Database dedicated to VIZIER Targets. Since Xtrack was designed to explore, generate and archive crystallographic data, by linking to this
resource, we simply and elegantly complement the VIZIER Targets DB.

The VIZIER Targets Database and its interfaces held a central position in the VIZIER project by acting as a reference repository allowing one to browse among the whole set of targets and their data (Fig. 1). The targets data pathway starts with VaZyMolO interfaces and Viralis that were used to design the targets that entered into the VIZIER pipeline. The results and related information of each processing step performed in the VIZIER pipeline were submitted in the VIZIER Targets Database by the corresponding project members. Specific information could also be added into Xtrack. All accumulated information could be accessed and the target processing could be followed easily by all project members using the dedicated interfaces. The VIZIER Targets DB played an important role for providing feedback from sections downstream in the pipeline to researchers who designed the target constructs (Fig. 1).

3. Identifying domain targets for antiviral therapy

3.1. Virus selection

The VIZIER strategy is to study viruses that comprehensively represent the RNA Virus Universe. Due to practical considerations, the project was focused on human and other mammalian viruses. In total, viruses that belong to 8 families/groups of positive-stranded RNA viruses without a DNA stage in their life cycle (ssRNA+ viruses), 3 families/groups of negative-stranded RNA (ssRNA−) viruses, and 4 families/groups of double-stranded (dsRNA) viruses have been analyzed to different degrees using VaZyMolO and Viralis platforms (see below).

The selection of viruses to be characterized by VIZIER was based on several considerations, including virus host and diversity. It was made largely before the project started in close cooperation between virologists and bioinformaticians to include representatives of most major lineages of RNA viruses. For some large virus groups, notably Picornaviruses, with numerous human viruses, a rational selection of a reasonable number of viruses presented a challenge and was extended during the project. A detailed phylogenetic analysis of human enteroviruses (a subset of the Picornaviridae) that form several clusters of closely related viruses (HEV-A, HEV-B, HEV-C and HEV-D) provides an example of how this challenge was addressed (Fig. 2). From four human enteroviruses, the cluster HEV-C is of particular clinical importance. It includes three serotypes of poliovirus (PV), a significant human pathogen, and 11 serotypes of coxsackievirus A virus (C-CAV), a benign human pathogen. Using rooted phylogenetic analysis, it was demonstrated that PV was likely to have originated from an C-CAV-like ancestor (Jiang et al., 2007). Characterization of chimeras and recombinants between PVs and C-CAVs provided support for this evolutionary reconstruction. Based on these observations, it was further proposed that diverse C-CAVs, currently circulating in human population, form a potential reservoir for a new PV-like agent that may emerge. A new pathogenic virus could evolve once PV has been eradicated, after PV vaccination has stopped and once the human population has become immunologically naive with respect to anti-PV antibodies (Gromeier et al., 1999). This reasoning provided the basis for the decision to include C-CAVs on the list of viruses analyzed by VIZIER.

3.2. Domain target prediction

VIZIER was primarily focused on enzymes of genome replication and expression, many of which, e.g. RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) and proteases, are essential for virus viability and are expected to be excellent targets. Other replicative proteins, either non-essential or poorly characterized, were also studied by VIZIER on two major grounds: (1) their analysis may add to our knowledge base of the essential enzymes, and (2) targeting these domains with drugs may produce a dominant-negative effect that will suppress virus growth (Crowder and Kirkegaard, 2005). Because of these considerations, the bioinformatics section aimed at producing comprehensive delineation of protein domains that were used in the project as a starting point on the long road to drug development.

The prediction of domain boundaries is critical for domain identification. There are several approaches to tackle domain boundary prediction for protein sequences, which can roughly be classified as either *ab initio* or homology-based; they can also be combined in a common framework (Yoo et al., 2008; Liu and Rost, 2004). Using the former approach, multi-domain proteins can be split into domains using different statistics accumulated about the domain borders in proteins and largely ignoring homology relationships. These statistics commonly take into account distributions of structurally disordered regions, secondary structure elements, solvent accessibility and amino-acid properties across globular domains and inter-domain junctions, as well as domain size variation, among others (Bryson et al., 2007; Dovidchenko et al., 2007; Suyama and Ohara, 2003; Dumontier et al., 2005). The second approach explores the homology relationships between proteins that commonly have different sizes and may include two or more domains (Sim et al., 2005; George and Herina, 2002). The underlying idea is to align as many homologs as possible to identify those N- and C-termini that, when combined, would be separated by the shortest distance; by implication they would flank the smallest common domain for the analyzed set. Among parameters that are of critical importance for the success of this approach are the sensitivity and accuracy of methods used to identify and align homologs in the protein family. The predictive power of all these methods has improved over the years but remains limited in relation to the actual number of domains in proteins and the precise location of domain boundaries (Dovidchenko et al., 2007; Bryson et al., 2007).

RNA viruses have the smallest genomes among all living forms (in the narrow range of ~3.0–33.0 kb). They are hierarchically interconnected in a network of evolutionary relationships that could include also very distantly related cellular homologs (Gorbalenya, 1995). Consequently, the RNA virus proteome, although not fully dissected, is dominated by proteins of just a few families (Gorbalenya and Koonin, 1993a), making the homology approach especially powerful in domain delineation.

RNA viruses tend to encode one or two large multi-domain polypeptides that are assembled into functional complexes. These include major replicative enzymes that either readily operate in this form or are processed further to smaller products. In RNA viruses whose polyproteins are proteolytically processed to mature proteins, cleavage sites tend to be highly conserved in and between viruses (Kittamura et al., 1981; Gorbalenya and Snijder, 1996). These sites can be described by characteristic sequence signatures that proved to be invaluable for delineating domains with the authentic termini, including viruses from poorly characterized families (Gorbalenya et al., 1989; Gorbalenya, 2001; Ziebuhr et al., 2001). Neural network predictors have been developed for the identification of a subset of these sites that are recognized by 3C proteases of some picornaviruses (Blom et al., 1996) and 3C-like proteases of coronaviruses (Kiemer et al., 2004) and they can assist in the domain identification. In many other viruses that employ sites with deviant sequence signatures, which could also be relatively poorly conserved, these predictors may not work so well, leaving the site identification to experts.
It was shown that the authentic terminus could be essential for functioning of some key replicative enzymes of RNA viruses, e.g. PV RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) (Gohara et al., 1999). Consistent with this observation, characterization of terminally modified derivatives of viral enzymes may miss structural features that could be critical for designing antiviral drugs (Thompson and Peersen, 2004; Hansen et al., 1997). Because of these considerations, defining targets with authentic termini (boundaries) was considered to be beneficial in the VIZIER project. Occasionally such targets may be terminally modified (truncated or extended) for practical reasons, e.g. to address difficulties with cloning, protein expression and purification, crystallization, or structure determination.

At the start of the Vizier project it was estimated that an “average” RNA virus genome may encode 4–6 replicative domains. In line with these estimates, Viralis has been used for predicting approximately 750 original and refined targets for more than a hundred viruses that were submitted for analysis (Fig. 3). Among predicted domains are diverse and distantly related RdRps (Kamer and Argos, 1984), helicases of three superfamilies (HEL1, HEL2 and HEL3) (Gorbalenya and Koonin, 1993b), diverse proteases employing papain-like and chymotrypsin-like folds (CHL-Pro and PL-Pro, respectively) (Gorbalenya et al., 1989a,b, 1991), diverse methyltransferases targeting 2′O and N7 atoms in RNA substrates (OMT and NMT, respectively) (Rozanov et al., 1992; Koonin, 1993; Ferron et al., 2002; Feder et al., 2003), 3′-to-5′ exoribonuclease (ExoN) (Snijder et al., 2003), uridylate-specific endoribonuclease (NendoU) (Snijder et al., 2003), acyltransferase (AT) (Hughes and Stanway, 2000), cyclic phosphodiesterase (CPD) (Mazumder et al., 2002; Snijder et al., 2003), diverse Zn-binding domains (Zc) (Gorbalenya, 1992; Gorbalenya et al., 2006), ubiquitin-like domains (Ub) (Serrano et al., 2007; Ratia et al., 2006), proteins covalently bound to virus RNA (VPg) (Gorbalenya and Koonin, 1993a; Paul et al., 1998) and adenosine diphosphate-ribose 1′-phosphatase (ADRP) (Snijder et al., 2003). Also a large number of poorly characterized domains that were recognized as unique for phylogenetically compact groups of viruses, e.g. group 2a of coronaviruses (g2aUD) (Gorbalenya, unpublished observations; Snijder et al., 2003; Neuman et al., 2008), were predicted. Numerous targets represented by various combinations of adjacent domains were also delineated. For a considerable number of viruses, the originally predicted targets were refined after feedback from colleagues about their experience with cloning, protein expression, crystallization and structure solving, and by accommodating newly published results from other laboratories.

4. Software platforms for RNA virus bioinformatics

4.1. VaZyMolO

The VaZyMolO (Viral enZyme Module IOcalization) is a database of modular annotations on viral proteins (Ferron et al., 2005) that is available as a stand-alone resource and a web-based portal at http://www.vazymolo.org. It aims at defining protein modules suitable for purification and crystallization; it could be useful for structural genomics on viral replication. Proteins are annotated using tools to define amino-acid composition, and conduct hydrophobic clusters analysis, secondary structure prediction, homology modeling using solved structures and data mining on biochemistry (function and motifs, active sites, cleavage sites, etc.).

For the VIZIER project, specific interfaces to this database have been developed (available to project members as private pages from http://www.vazymolo.org), and the database itself was reshaped and completed by additional content such as feedback information from experimental results as well as genomic information to facilitate the browsing within the database interfaces. These VaZyMolo Interfaces constitute a web access point to the VaZyMolo database and allows the use of the database content as a tool for target design by identifying modularity within viral proteins (disorder, hydrophobic parts, linkers, etc.).

This online access is provided through three major interfaces including VaZyMolo Browser, Browser Focus and Blast and Tools:

- The VaZyMolo Browser interface allows navigation through the data available in the VaZyMolo database by using a search module and sort function capabilities. Thus it facilitates the identification of domains of interest present in browsed proteomes.
The VaZyMolO Browser Focus interface deals with organism, genomic, proteomic and modularity information. It is available by selecting an organism or a specific protein on the VaZyMolO Browser interface. It allows the user to zoom in on information related to the selected organism (virus) and to map an overview for each CDS on the viral genome or, for a VaZyMolO domain, on the corresponding proteins. Diverse data including the amino-acid sequences, computed molecular weight, isoelectric point, and the presence of homologous domains in other proteins can be accessed (Fig. 4).

The VaZyMolO Blast and Tools provides an interface to programs that use a sequence as the input for launching different analyses:

(a) BLASTX and BLASTP mediate comparison of a query sequence against the VaZyMolO database to identify sequence regions having similarities with predicted domains in VaZyMolO.
(b) BLAST mediates query comparison against two public databases, PDB and MEROPS (Rawlings et al., 2008), to retrieve information about known structures and proteins with known peptidase functions, respectively.

(c) External tools such as HCA (hydrophobic cluster analysis), TMHMM (Prediction of transmembrane helices in proteins) (Krogh et al., 2001), are also available from this interface. These tools allow the user to refine or check the provided domain predictions.

Since the prediction methods are partly based on similarity searches, the efficiency of VaZyMolO depends partly on the number of sequences available in the database. Indeed, the larger the sequence database is, the more reliable may be the results. The current version of the VaZyMolO database contains proteins derived from the fully sequenced virus genomes available in GenBank on 1 January 2008 and amounts to 656 virus sequences. Compared to the original VazymolO database, which was created in 2005 by Ferron and colleagues (Ferron et al., 2005), not only is the number of sequences four times larger, but the virus families are more diverse (see Table 1). Other RNA viruses of plant or insect origins, which can share some similarities with mammalian viruses, are now available in the VaZyMolO database. DNA viruses remain to be included.

To expand sources of annotation beyond those retrieved through similarity-based searches, a new tool identifying disorder regions in proteins was devised. It is a metaserver producing a consensus by analyzing the results generated by different existing tools. It improves the speed and accuracy of defining disordered domains for the annotation procedure. This program is named Medor (Metaserver of Disorder) (Lieutaud et al., 2008).

It provides a graphical interface with a unified view of the output of multiple disorder predictors (Fig. 5). It allows fast, simultaneous analysis of a query sequence by multiple predictors and easy comparison of the results provided by the latter. It also enables standardized access to disorder predictors and allows meaningful comparisons among various query sequences. Regions of interest to be removed can then be manually highlighted and their sequences can be retrieved. The Medor program was added to the tools available from the VaZyMolO Blast and Tools interface to enhance the capabilities of this interface. A stand-alone version of the program is available online for downloading.

VaZyMolO, therefore, now constitutes an integrated tool for target design based on similarity searches and further improved by new annotations integrating feedback generated by VIZIER experiments.

4.2. Viralis

The Viralis software platform was developed to assist handling of RNA virus genomes, building alignments, and to facilitate diverse analyses of sequence-based information. It is mainly written in Perl/Tcl and includes in-house genome and alignment MySQL relational databases (VDB-GS and VDB-GA, respectively) and integrated bioinformatics tools for comparative sequence analysis that are accessed from specialized modules. An original XML protocol was developed in Viralis for inter-module data transfer including information about biopolymer sequences, alignments, secondary structure, and accompanying annotation. These modules provide access also to local copies of the public genome and protein databases including GenBank (Benson et al., 2008), UniProt (Bairoch et al., 2009), PFAM (Finn et al., 2008), CDD (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2008), and PDB (Berman et al., 2007). The databases are regularly updated and can be searched using diverse programs, including HMMER (Eddy, 1996), BLAST (Altschul et al., 1997), and COMPASS (Sadreyev and Grishin, 2003), to retrieve sequences that are subsequently aligned using ClustalX (Thompson et al., 1997), T-Coffee (Notredame et al., 2000), Simossis et al. (2005), MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004), or Dialign (Morgenstern, 2004) programs. Alignments can be analyzed to predict disorder regions using FoldUnfold (Galzitskaya et al., 2006) and RONN (Yang et al., 2005), and to map secondary structure elements identified in the tertiary structures of proteins (Kabsch and Sander, 1983) included in the alignment. Also the PROSITE database (Hulo et al., 2008) assists with the identification of sequence signatures characteristic for different protein families. Using these instruments, experts build and analyze alignments to produce functional and structural assignments mainly through transfer of knowledge from characterized proteins to their homologs. This annotation transfer is currently expert-mediated; in future, it could be made in a statistically rigorous fashion. As a step in this direction, comparative analysis of various annotation transfer statistics, based on the likelihood ratio criterion, has been conducted (Leonovich et al., 2008).

The VIZIER users interacted with Viralis through a dedicated page (Fig. 6) of the Viralis website written in PHP. It mediates sequence upload for expert analysis and access to up-to-date status of processing of all submitted queries and a database of predicted targets. To initiate query processing, the user was required to define a virus family of the uploaded sequence. Two ways of sequence uploading to Viralis were provided: by copy-and-paste or through retrieving a publicly available sequence from GenBank/RefSeq using their identifiers (gi, accession number, accession number with version, locus name). Each submitted sequence was assigned a unique name tag encoding names of submitting partner and virus to be studied, according to a convention developed by the VIZIER consortium (see Section 2). After a sequence was annotated, it was added to the database. In order to reduce the load on the server, some sequences that were not annotated were not stored in the local databases. These unprocessed sequences were archived in the VIZIER database, however, so that if the user requested a re-upload, the sequence would be retrieved from there.

Table 1: Virus entries in VaZyMolO: impact of the Vizier project.*

| Class Family               | No. Before VIZIER project | Current no. |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| ssRNA positive-strand viruses | 50                       | 545         |
| ssRNA negative-strand viruses | 64                       | 111         |
| Arteriviridae              | 4                         | 4           |
| Coronaviridae              | 8                         | 9           |
| Flaviviridae               | 32                        | 45          |
| Narnaviridae               | 6                         | 8           |
| Micronaviridae             | 0                         | 38          |
| Flexiviridae               | 0                         | 64          |
| Cymoviridae                | 0                         | 18          |
| Secoviridae                | 0                         | 3           |
| Luteoviridae               | 0                         | 18          |
| Tombusviridae              | 0                         | 41          |
| Caliciviridae              | 0                         | 16          |
| Potyviridae                | 0                         | 66          |
| Astroviridae               | 0                         | 6           |
| Hepeviridae                | 0                         | 1           |
| No Family                  | 0                         | 104         |
| Tetraviridae               | 0                         | 4           |
| Bromoviridae               | 0                         | 25          |
| Narnaviridae               | 0                         | 1           |
| Tymoviridae                | 0                         | 14          |
| Closteroviridae            | 0                         | 19          |
| Barnaviridae               | 0                         | 1           |
| Nodaviridae                | 0                         | 9           |
| Idae                       | 0                         | 1           |
| Dicistroviridae            | 0                         | 14          |
| Togaviridae                | 0                         | 16          |

* The numbers in the right column indicate the current number of entries of selected RNA virus families described in VaZyMolO.
in Viralis, tentative targets were sent from the Domain Prediction Response (DPR) module to the user who requested predictions (Fig. 7). Each target was selected from a pre-compiled domain list to initiate a response with the DPR module. At this stage, a target was assigned with a unique tag that was based on the respective sequence tag extended to include name abbreviations for protein and domain, and target version (two digits). The target response file included amino-acid and nucleotide sequences of the target flanked by extra sequences that are clearly highlighted and numbered. This format allowed the target recipient to verify the identity of the target and readily design primers for cloning. The DPR module provided also a mechanism for canceling target predictions by sending a notification to the target recipient. In practise, it was used mainly to correct target name assignments. The VIZIER users had ready access to all submitted sequences and received targets through the progress monitoring table at the Viralis WEB site (Fig. 6).

Virus analysis by Viralis was conducted in the context of publicly available genome sequences for respective virus families. To ensure that VDB-GS is up-to-date, an original procedure was developed for virus genome retrieval from GenBank (Sidorov, Samborskiy and Gorbalenya, in preparation). It is based on several sequence characteristics, including genome conservation and length, and protein domain organization. When combined, these characteristics can uniquely identify genomes of a particular family. The procedure is essentially annotation-independent, virtually eliminating a possible negative effect of incomplete or erroneous entry annotations on genomes retrieval. A web site, provisionally called SARGENS (http://veb.lumc.nl/SARGENS), was also designed using Perl to provide public access to regularly updated databases of complete genomes of selected RNA virus families. They are available for downloading in the Fasta format and their GenBank records can be accessed through links at the SARGENS web page.
Fig. 7. Viralis Domain Prediction Response module interface.

Fig. 8. ID-to-name conversion in tree of alphaviruses by SNAD.
In VIZIER and outside of the project, the results of bioinformatics analyses are often communicated as a multiple sequence alignment or tree whose preparation may be a cumbersome process that involves replacement of sequence ID with names informative to humans. To facilitate this conversion, Sidorov et al. (2009) have developed a sequence name annotation-based designer (SNAD) (http://web.lumc.nl/SNAD/); its functionalities were also incorporated into the Viralis platform. The SNAD web site provides a user-friendly interface to a versatile tool that works with identifiers presented as a plain list or in diverse formats of multiple sequence alignments and trees. It can convert sequence IDs into names using sequence annotation from several public databases according to a name template that controls the structure and content of the name. The user can choose from a set of predefined templates or design a new template using a versatile template-building facility. An example of SNAD-mediated conversion using a virus tree as input and one of the predefined templates is illustrated in Fig. 8. This tool facilitates communication and knowledge dissemination about genome-based data that dominate output in virus-related research.

5. Laboratory Without Walls (LW²)

5.1. Why is this needed within VIZIER?

VIZIER includes more than 20 laboratories, of which eight are actively involved in trying to determine protein structures using X-ray crystallography. Systems were needed to ensure interactions between the crystallographic laboratories, and between structural biologists and virologists. The Laboratory Without Walls concept (LW²) is our attempt to achieve this difficult goal. With the correct access privileges, a virologist in Leiden would be able to access the crystallographic information for a particular target that is being evaluated and generated by the crystallography group in Pavia, for example. At the same time, the target allocation committee may require access to the latest set of new targets from the protein production pipeline, and the necessary information that would be required to assign a particular target to a particular laboratory. The LW² system, therefore, is needed for coordination as well as collaboration between VIZIER laboratories. It is achieved with a web-based front end to a database system Xtrack/EDBase whose essential components are outlined in Fig. 9.

5.2. Xtrack

Xtrack is a Laboratory Notebook System (LNS), a simplified Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) that allows users to keep track of crystallographic data from protein expression through to crystallization, data collection and processing (Harris and Jones, 2002). It is written in PHP and accesses a Postgres database through SQL commands. Xtrack was originally designed to be extremely simple to use by beginners and infrequent users, and we have tried to keep this philosophy in our more recent updates and developments. The deposited information is arranged around a collection; an entity associated with a set of crystallographic data associated with a particular structure. Collections in turn are split up into more detailed pages that may be related to the target, protein expression, crystallization, data collection, structure solution, refinement and validation; see Harris and Jones (2002) for more details. Access to the database is controlled by user accounts and passwords. All Xtrack users must be registered and assigned to one or more groups. The user may then read and modify data only within those groups, but all members within a particular group are implicitly trusted, and may modify any group-related data. In the Vizier implementation, each user belongs to two groups – one representing their laboratory, and the pseudo-group ‘Vizier’ which has only read access to other groups’ data.

Xtrack has been further developed for purposes that are specific to VIZIER’s needs. One vital aspect of our project is the allocation of targets to the crystallographic laboratories. Since this target-related information is kept in Marseille and we had no desire to duplicate this, we have built bi-directional connections between the databases. The main target list page in Xtrack has a column labelled ‘submission’, containing names like VZ04MODV-Q8QLE64-01-UNLK-MT-014H. These codes are the links to the Marseille VIZIER database entry for that target. At the bottom of that VIZIER database page is a link back to Xtrack. The implementation is through PHP’s libcurl package, which uses a secure connection protocol. The connection is also restricted to the IP address of our two hosts. With this information in hand, new targets are given an initial allocation code POOL before being assigned to a crystallographic laboratory. Every night, a script is run to carry out a BLAST search (Altschul et al., 1990) of all targets within Xtrack amongst themselves and against the deposited structures at the Protein Data Bank (PDB). It then becomes relatively straightforward for the target allocation committee to see the most closely related structures that are being worked on within VIZIER and outside the consortium. The crystallographic laboratories can also use this information to decide on their internal priority for a particular target. Fig. 10, shows an Xtrack listing for one such target, VZ93, a methyltransferase from Modoc virus (Jansson et al., 2009).

This target was one of the first such enzymes produced within VIZIER, but as the project progressed a number of closely related targets became available. The interested consortium member can click on a button to see a superposition of the most closely related 3D structures, generated by our AuStrAliS structural supposition server. AuStrAliS is a web-based server that finds structural alignments between protein chains. It can either be run from a stand-alone interface, or from the Xtrack-PDB BLAST hit page. It performs pairwise alignments between selected structures, and then superimposes the molecules for viewing either in a Java viewer (Jmol) within the browser window, or by downloading a package that can be read into O (Jones et al., 1991). It is powered by LSQMAN for making the detailed superpositions (Kleywegt and Jones, 1997a,b).

5.3. EDBase

The starting point for this system was the Uppsala Electron-Density Server, EDS (Kleywegt et al., 2004). EDS is a service for evaluating the electron density and model quality of crystal structures deposited in the PDB. It consists of a number of modules.
written in C, Perl, Fortran and Java, and is again accessed through
the web. The LW2 implementation of EDS is known as EDBase,
and is activated directly from Xtrack. EDBase, Fig. 11a, is a util-
ity that allows crystallographers to upload their experimental data
and molecular models to our server, and to then request calculation
of maps and statistics, along with a series of quality factors
that summarize the state of their current model. These include the
usual crystallographic \( R \)-factor, as well as a number of quality con-
trol coefficients that have been developed in Uppsala (Kleywegt
and Jones, 1995; Kleywegt and Jones, 1997a,b) such as the aver-
age real-space correlation coefficient (Jones et al., 1991), and the
number of Ramachandran outliers (Kleywegt and Jones, 1996a).
If calculations have already been made on previous models, then
those quality factors that have become worse in the latest model
are flagged in red. At this point the user can also choose to perform
a refinement on the uploaded model within EDBase. In fact they
can choose to perform several different refinements using different
weighting parameters, and then see which quality factors improve
and which deteriorate with the different refinement strategies.

Two crystallographic refinement systems are available with
EDBase, REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al., 1997) which is part of the
CCP4 package (Collaborative Computational Project No. 4, 1994),
and Buster-TNT (Blanc et al., 2004) via the Autobuster script. Auto-
buster, in turn, has scripts for adding additional waters at any stage
in the refinement.

EDBase also provides access to the water-adding functional-
ity in O version 12 (TAJ, to be published). These tools provide a
more quantitative approach to deciding the level at which electron-
density maps (especially maps made with amplitudes of type
\( |F_o| - |F_c| \)) should be inspected, and how to decide on the addition
of new water molecules during crystallographic refinement. Such
decisions are made in terms of the average carbonyl oxygen of the
structure under refinement, using a 3D profile that is specific to
this structure and crystallographic dataset. If an EDBase user elects
to select water molecules based on a low fraction (e.g. 0.5), more
waters will be selected which after crystallographic refinement will
show a gradual increase in temperature factor. For structures solved
to suitably high resolution, such a plot of increasing \( B \)-factors will
often show a hockey-stick profile, Fig. 11b, that clearly indicates
where waters should be deleted from the model. O’s use of car-
bonyl oxygen profiles conveniently allows the user to recognize
peaks that are not water molecules but ions or larger entities. The
water\_electron function in O will normalize the ‘water’ peak into
electrons in an attempt to clarify the nature of the atom/group. False
positives may occur due to model errors, non-spherical density,
and/or low resolution.

EDBase is also equipped with a connection to the Oops pro-
gram (Kleywegt and Jones, 1996b), which will generate a series of O
macros that will step the user through suspect regions of a protein
structure by jumping to residues that have unusual statistics. This
data and information is available to the EDBase user for download-
ing to a local computer for offline work and analysis with O (Jones
et al., 1991). Numerous residue-based goodness of fit indicators are
provided as an aid to identifying problem areas in the structure.
A number of other novel features have been added to EDBase that provide functionality that is otherwise difficult to achieve. Val-LigURL, Fig. 12, is a ligand-searching tool for the validation of ligand structures (Kleywegt and Harris, 2007). The server scans the PDB for a particular ligand, and then compares the geometries of the hits with that of the given ligand structure. As well as a geometric comparison, the structures can also be shown superimposed in the Jmol molecular viewer, and a SMILES representation of the ligand is generated that can be used to search for previously unpublished ligand structures. This service is intended to indicate anomalies in the geometry of refined ligands, by highlighting differences from published structures of the same ligand, and also to aid in identification of novel ligand conformations. It has connections to several external ligand servers:

1. BabelWeb/ChemDB (Chen et al., 2005) is used to generate the canonical SMILES representation of the ligand so that the user can take this away to their favorite similarity search engine.
(2) Direct links are offered to PDBeChem's searches for substructures and superstructures that are found in the PDB (Golovin et al., 2004). From the results pages of these searches, PDBe offers links to further pages about similar ligands, including full chemical information, and a list of the PDB entries containing them.

(3) There are connections to Relibase's similarity searches (Hendlich et al., 2003). This service now requires a cost-free registration to Relibase before the ValigURL link can be used. The user can then get access to a list of similar ligands, and links to the PDB structures containing them.
6. Concluding remarks and perspectives

The scale of the VIZIER project, including its broad RNA-virus-wide scope, multidisciplinary approach, and the involvement of over 20 partners from different countries, presented unique opportunities and considerable challenges. Informatics and, notably, bioinformatics, were brought to the project to improve inter-partner communication and coordination, to equip the consortium with tools for large-scale and timely analysis of sequences and structures, and to provide recommendations regarding target domain definition. Expectations and their execution were regularly debated at consortium meetings as the project progressed. These discussions raised awareness concerning cultural differences integral to such a broad enterprise, helped build bridges and develop practical solutions to produce inter-partner synergy. Each of the four major software platforms instrumental in the project’s success, the VIZIER Target DB, VaZyMolO, Viralis and Xtrack/EDBase, was advanced with new tools, and their databases were updated. They were used to predict and analyze hundreds of domain targets, and conduct other analyses that led, particularly, to the development of a novel concept of virus emergence. To date, VaZyMolO database is publicly accessible without any restrictions and Xtrack and SNAD codes are freely available to the virology and the structural biology communities.

What is the next step? Although the VIZIER project is over, reaching its ultimate goal – to put prototype drugs on the shelf for viruses, particularly ssRNA viruses, particularly ssRNA viruses – remains a work in progress. As is evident from studies conducted in and outside VIZIER, solving the RNA viruses of all major lineages – remains a work in progress. As is reaching its ultimate goal – to put prototype drugs on the shelf for communities. What is the next step? Although the VIZIER project is over, reaching its ultimate goal – to put prototype drugs on the shelf for RNA viruses of all major lineages – remains a work in progress. As is evident from studies conducted in and outside VIZIER, solving the RNA viruses of all major lineages – remains a work in progress. As is reaching its ultimate goal – to put prototype drugs on the shelf for communities.

7. Availability

Xtrack are freely available to all interested parties. VaZyMolO database is freely accessible through web site. The AstexViewer software is used by permission and includes code developed by Astex Technology Limited, UK. Jmol is an open-source Java viewer for displaying chemical structures in 3D, http://www.jmol.org/.
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