Urticarial Dermatitis: Clinical Characteristics of Itch and Therapeutic Response to Cyclosporine
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Background: Urticarial dermatitis, which is characterised by persistent wheals with eczematous papules and plaques, is frequently misdiagnosed and difficult to treat. Patients commonly experience intolerable pruritus which may greatly affect their quality of life. Objective: The objective of this study is to characterize the clinical patterns of pruritus in patients with urticarial dermatitis and to determine the effectiveness of cyclosporine treatment. Methods: This prospective study included 50 histopathologically confirmed patients with urticarial dermatitis. A face-to-face structured questionnaire was given to all patients, and they were treated with low-dose cyclosporine (1–3 mg/kg/d) for at least 2 weeks. Results: The majority of patients (80.0%) had moderate to severe pruritus. Most patients experienced exacerbation of the itch in the evening (74.0%), with the extremities (upper, 86.0%; lower, 94.0%) being the most commonly involved sites. Due to severe pruritus, patients complained about reduced social contact, quality of life and difficulties in falling asleep et al. Cyclosporine significantly reduced the mean itch score and extent of erythema, and improved interference with daily activities and sleep. Conclusion: Our study highlights the detailed description and characteristics of pruritus in patients with urticarial dermatitis. And we recommend alternative and effective therapeutic option of low-dose cyclosporine.
dermatitis included both clinical and histopathologic characteristics which were outlined by Kossard et al.\textsuperscript{2} in 2006. Clinical criteria were both eczematous and urticarial lesions lasting longer than 24 hours. Histologic criteria were as follow: dermal inflammation with eosinophils limited to the papillary dermis with minimal spongiosis (Fig. 1). Detailed medical history recording, histopathologic examination, direct immunofluorescence and blood analysis were carried out to confirm the diagnosis. Differential diagnoses (e.g., eczema, urticaria, urticarial vasculitis, herpes gestationis or dermatitis herpetiformis, etc.) were ruled out by patients’ medical history, physical exam, histopathologic findings or laboratory evaluation at their first visit. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects after explaining the nature of the study, which was conducted according to the ethical standards of the Bioethical Committee of Pusan National University Yangsan Hospital (IRB no. 05-2015-075) and it was also performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Clinical assessments

To characterize the itch profile, a face-to-face structured questionnaire concerning pruritus (location, cutaneous lesions other than wheals, intensity, frequency, and diurnal variation) was conducted. The questionnaire also included the affective descriptors and consequent events resulting from the itch itself with the purpose of evaluating the quality of life matters and social activities. Respondents expressed their agreement with each statement by checking the corresponding boxes.

All patients received low-dose cyclosporine (1 \textasciitilde 3 mg/kg/d) for at least 2 weeks. If patient reports a marked improvement in symptoms in response to cyclosporine, we adjusted the dose of medication to 1 mg/kg/d. Otherwise, if there wasn’t any apparent improvement, authors instructed patients to take the same dose of cyclosporine. The use of topical corticosteroids and antihistamines was prohibited for all patients who were enrolled throughout the study. At every visit, the intensity of pruritus, extent of erythema and interference with daily activities and sleep were evaluated. The evaluation of pruritus intensity and interference with daily activities and sleep (over the last 24 hours) were classified and evaluated with a 4-point scale as 0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, and 3 = severe. The extent of erythema as a measure of clinical response to cyclosporine was defined as the percentage of area covered by erythematous lesions at every visit and it was divided into a 4-point scale as 0 = \(\leq 30\%\), 1 = 31\% \textasciitilde 50\%, 2 = 51\% \textasciitilde 75\%, and 3 = > 75\%. Any patient with adverse effects were recorded at each clinical visit. Cyclosporine was discontinued when patients experienced a complete clearance of clinical signs and symptoms and followed-up for 2 months more after stopping medication of cyclosporine.

Statistical analysis

PASW Statistics ver. 18.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for all statistical analyses. Friedman test was performed to analyse the therapeutic efficacy of cyclosporine. Authors refer to statistically significant as \(p < 0.05\) in this study.

RESULTS

Patients

A total of biopsy-proven 50 patients completed the clinical assessments including the questionnaire. The mean...
Table 1. Characteristics of itch at baseline in patients with urticarial dermatitis (n=50)

| Characteristic                  | Number of patients (%) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Locations of itch              |                        |
| Head and neck                  | 9 (18.0)               |
| Upper extremities              | 43 (86.0)              |
| Trunk                         | 40 (80.0)              |
| Lower extremities              | 47 (94.0)              |
| Cutaneous lesions other than wheals |                     |
| Macules                       | 6 (12.0)               |
| Papules                       | 20 (40.0)              |
| Patches                       | 31 (62.0)              |
| Plaques                       | 14 (28.0)              |
| Intensity of itch              |                        |
| Severe                        | 26 (52.0)              |
| Moderate                      | 14 (28.0)              |
| Mild                          | 10 (20.0)              |
| None                          | 0 (0)                  |
| Frequency of itch              |                        |
| Always                        | 10 (20.0)              |
| Often                         | 35 (70.0)              |
| Sometimes                     | 3 (6.0)                |
| Rarely                        | 2 (4.0)                |
| Never                         | 0 (0)                  |
| Diurnal variation of itch      |                        |
| After rise                    | 9 (18.0)               |
| Morning                       | 6 (12.0)               |
| Afternoon                     | 14 (28.0)              |
| Evening                       | 37 (74.0)              |
| Before sleep                  | 2 (4.0)                |

Table 2. Affective descriptors and consequent events associated with pruritus in patients with urticarial dermatitis (n=50)

| Affective descriptors and consequent events | Always | Often | Sometimes | Rarely | Never |
|---------------------------------------------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|-------|
| Scratching                                  | 14 (28.0) | 27 (54.0) | 2 (4.0) | 2 (4.0) | 5 (10.0) |
| Reduced social contact                      | 2 (4.0) | 14 (28.0) | 14 (28.0) | 8 (16.0) | 12 (24.0) |
| Reduced quality of life                     | 10 (20.0) | 17 (34.0) | 5 (10.0) | 12 (24.0) | 6 (12.0) |
| Reduced daily activity                      | 10 (20.0) | 17 (34.0) | 9 (18.0) | 8 (16.0) | 6 (12.0) |
| Difficulties in falling asleep              | 20 (40.0) | 9 (18.0) | 8 (16.0) | 6 (12.0) | 7 (14.0) |
| Waking                                      | 7 (14.0) | 20 (40.0) | 11 (22.0) | 4 (8.0) | 8 (16.0) |
| Needed sleeping pill                        | 0 (0.0) | 1 (2.0) | 2 (4.0) | 4 (8.0) | 43 (86.0) |
| Loss of appetite                            | 1 (2.0) | 2 (4.0) | 7 (14.0) | 7 (14.0) | 33 (66.0) |
| Bad mood                                    | 1 (2.0) | 7 (14.0) | 10 (20.0) | 11 (22.0) | 21 (42.0) |
| Changes in behavior toward others           | 0 (0) | 3 (6.0) | 5 (10.0) | 16 (32.0) | 26 (52.0) |
| Loss of concentration                       | 1 (2.0) | 16 (32.0) | 12 (24.0) | 11 (22.0) | 10 (20.0) |

Values are presented as number (%).

Clinical characteristics of itch

Among the 50 patients with urticarial dermatitis, 40 patients (80.0%) complained of an itch considered moderate to severe, and 46 patients (92.0%) reported often or always experiencing an itching sensation. Most patients experienced exacerbation of the itch in the evening (74.0%), with the extremities (upper, 86.0%; lower, 94.0%) being the most commonly involved sites. Additional characteristics of pruritus in our patients are listed in Table 1. The affective descriptors and consequent events were analysed in Table 2. More than half of the patients reported having experienced reduced social contact, quality of life and daily activities due to pruritus. Difficulties in falling asleep and frequently waking were often observed. However, patients with urticarial dermatitis seldom need sleeping pill and lose their appetite.

Therapeutic response to cyclosporine

The mean duration of treatment with cyclosporine was 4.3±2.7 weeks. The mean dose of cyclosporine was 2.96 mg/kg/d for the first 2 weeks, and 1.63 mg/kg/d and 1.04 mg/kg/d for 2 to 4 weeks and 4 to 6 weeks, respectively. The mean intensity score of pruritus was significantly reduced after 4 and 6 weeks of treatment (p=0.002, and <0.0001, respectively) compared with that of baseline. The interference with sleep was also significantly decreased at weeks 4, and 6 (p=0.020 and 0.012, respectively). Furthermore, erythema extent and interference with daily activities were reduced with statistical significance (p<0.0001 and p=0.005, respectively) after 2 weeks of cyclosporine therapy (Fig. 2, Table 3). Only 6.0% (n=3) of all patients reported adverse events including general weakness, irregular menstruation and nausea during the treatment. However, none of the ad-
Fig. 2. (A) Representative image of erythematous wheals and papules on the back were observed at the first visit. (B) After treatment with low-dose cyclosporine for 2 weeks, only mild post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation left remained, with resolution of pruritus is observed.

Table 3. Therapeutic efficacy of cyclosporine on urticarial dermatitis

| Therapeutic efficacy                  | Mean score | p-value         |
|--------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| Intensity of pruritus                |            |                |
| Baseline                             | 3.89±0.24  | 0.811          |
| 2 weeks                              | 2.80±0.18  | 0.002*         |
| 4 weeks                              | 1.72±0.41  | <0.0001        |
| 6 weeks                              | 1.59±0.27  | <0.0001        |
| Extent of erythema                   |            |                |
| Baseline                             | 3.70±0.87  | <0.0001        |
| 2 weeks                              | 3.02±0.53  | <0.0001        |
| 4 weeks                              | 1.82±0.61  | <0.0001        |
| 6 weeks                              | 1.46±0.46  | <0.0001        |
| Interferences with daily activities  |            |                |
| Baseline                             | 3.72±0.65  | 0.006*         |
| 2 weeks                              | 2.47±0.58  | 0.004*         |
| 4 weeks                              | 1.99±0.37  | 0.004*         |
| 6 weeks                              | 1.82±0.48  | 0.004*         |
| Interferences with sleep             |            |                |
| Baseline                             | 3.91±1.42  | 0.262          |
| 2 weeks                              | 2.46±0.84  | 0.020*         |
| 4 weeks                              | 1.87±0.12  | 0.012*         |
| 6 weeks                              | 1.76±0.29  | 0.012*         |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. Severity of pruritus, extent of erythema, and interference of daily activities and sleep were evaluated after treatment (*p<0.05 and †p<0.001).

verse events was severe enough to stop using cyclosporine.

All patients were available for follow-up telephone surveys 8 to 10 weeks after discontinuation of cyclosporine. Three patients had continued to take cyclosporin for 4 weeks and did not notice any relapse of symptoms or signs of the disease, whereas 2 patients who took medication only for 2 weeks experienced relapse within 10 weeks. Forty eight out of 50 patients remained free of the disease for at least 8 weeks after the discontinuation of cyclosporine.

**DISCUSSION**

From 50 patients with histopathologically proven urticarial dermatitis, we performed the study to highlight the clinical characteristics of pruritus in patients with urticarial dermatitis and also accessed the efficacy of cyclosporine. Urticarial dermatitis is a novel clinical and histological term used to describe patients showing a specific, evident dermal hypersensitivity reaction pattern. In 2006, Kossard et al. defined urticarial dermatitis as a subset of dermal hypersensitivity reactions with clinically relevant characteristics compared with alternative, differential diagnoses. Skin biopsy is often required to differentiate these disorders and histopathological findings of urticarial dermatitis commonly show minimal epidermal spongiosis and perivascular inflammation of lymphohistiocytes and a few eosinophils, without evidence of vasculitis. With regard to the cutaneous findings, most patients of urticarial dermatitis had urticarial component which could last for days, whereas the true urticarial wheals usually resolve in
Recently, Hannon et al.\(^1\) reported that the most commonly involved sites in urticarial dermatitis were the trunk (90%) and lower extremities (82%). Conversely, in the present study, we found that the upper (86%) and lower extremities (94%) were more commonly involved than the trunk (80%). This can be a new and helpful diagnostic clue when we encounter the patients who have cutaneous lesions only on extremities.

Among 50 urticarial dermatitis patients, 40 (80%) responded that they experienced itch intensity as moderate or severe. Further 45 (90%) of 50 patients felt itch often or always during the day. These findings implicate that dermatologists who are dealing with itch symptom in patients with urticarial dermatitis need prudent approach.

It has been established that pruritus associated with common dermatologic diseases typically intensifies at night\(^6\). In the present study, 18% of patients with urticarial dermatitis experienced itch right after getting up in the morning, and 28% of subjects experienced worsening of the itch in the afternoon. However, similar to that in other dermatological diseases\(^4-8\), the period during which most patients (74%) experienced pruritus was found to be in the evening. Thus, the mechanisms of itch in urticarial dermatitis might be similar to those of typical nocturnal itch, which involves temporal regulation of the immunoglobulin E/mast cell-mediated intrinsic clockwork or mediators of circadian rhythm\(^7\). However, the mechanisms behind itching sensation specifically in the morning or afternoon are not well understood.

Patient-based outcomes associated with itch are critical for clinical dermatologists to evaluate, and yet, the impact of the itch itself on these outcomes is poorly understood. Our results suggest that patients with urticarial dermatitis perceive negative sequelae not only from the cutaneous lesions, but also from the pruritus, with pruritus reportedly causing scratching and reduced social contact and daily activities in the majority of patients. Moreover, 40% of patients also reported having difficulties falling asleep as a result of the itch. However, our results showed that once the patients were asleep, they did not easily awaken due to itching. A total of 86% of patients did not require sleeping pills, and 50% of patients did not experience change in behaviour toward others due to their urticarial dermatitis.

In terms of refractory itch, we measured and clarified the characteristics of itch and quality of life in urticarial dermatitis using the Leuven itch scale\(^8\). Although there are some reports regarding the clinical characteristics of urticarial dermatitis in the dermatologic literature, no clinical study focusing on the characteristics of the itch associated with this condition has been conducted.

Urticarial dermatitis has been known to represent a therapeutic challenge using conventional treatments such as systemic steroid or antihistamines\(^9\). As urticarial dermatitis involves cutaneous lesions reminiscent of both urticaria and eczema, we hypothesised that treatment with cyclosporine might be effective\(^9,10\). As the main target of cyclosporine is the helper T cells, we speculated that the depletion of lymphocytes and macrophages in epidermis and dermis, and inhibition of histamine release from the mast cells might have contributed to the improvement of symptoms in urticarial dermatitis\(^1,11\). The therapeutic efficacy of cyclosporine in the current study was evaluated objectively by examination of the erythematosus lesion, and subjectively by the evaluation of symptoms such as itch intensity and interference with daily activities and sleep. The intensity of pruritus and interferences with sleep were significantly decreased after 4 weeks treatment with cyclosporine compared with that at baseline. However, the extent of erythema and interference of daily activities were more rapidly improved at week 2 with statistical significance. From these results, we speculated that cutaneous lesions including erythema might mainly have influenced daily activity for patients.

There are several limitations in the study. First, this study lacked a control group, and secondly, the subject sample size was relatively small.

In present study, adverse events during treatment with cyclosporine included irregular menstrual period, nausea, and general weakness in 3 patients only. These adverse events were all transient and did not interrupt the study design.

In conclusion, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first prospective study designed to identify the characteristics of itch and therapeutic efficacy of cyclosporine for urticarial dermatitis\(^1,2\). Based on our findings, we recommend a low-dose cyclosporine as a useful treatment option for urticarial dermatitis.
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