What triggers the rising of an intraspecific biodiversity hotspot? Hints from the agile frog
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Hotspots of genetic diversity are regions of utmost importance for species survival and conservation, and their intimate link with the geographic location of glacial refugia has been well established. Nonetheless, the microevolutionary processes underlying the generation of hotspots in such regions have only recently become a fervent field of research. We investigated the phylogeographic and population genetic structure of the agile frog, *Rana dalmatina*, within its putative refugium in peninsular Italy. We found this region to harbour far more diversity, phylogeographic structure, and lineages of ancient origin than that by the rest of the species’ range in Europe. This pattern appeared to be well explained by climate-driven microevolutionary processes that occurred during both glacial and interglacial epochs. Therefore, the inferred evolutionary history of *R. dalmatina* in Italy supports a view of glacial refugia as ‘factories’ rather than as repositories of genetic diversity, with significant implications for conservation strategies for hotspots.

Hotspots of genetic diversity, defined as geographic areas harbouring a major portion of a species’ genetic diversity, have been observed for most species studied to date. The discovery of hotspots and the causes of their formation have become vigorous areas of research. Indeed, hotspots are increasingly seen as excellent opportunities for the study of long-debated themes in ecology and evolutionary biology, including the microevolutionary processes leading to the formation of latitudinal patterns of biodiversity, the role of divergence with or without gene flow in moulding current biodiversity, the role of hybridization in evolution, and the geographic mosaic of coevolutionary interactions. Two main evolutionary scenarios have been described to date for the generation of hotspots of intraspecific biodiversity in temperate species. Under each of these scenarios, past climatic oscillations are seen as the major distal cause of the process and the location of hotspots is seen as intimately linked to the so-called ‘glacial refugia’. Under the first scenario, a hotspot would testify to the long-term persistence of demographically stable, large populations within a glacial refugium. In contrast, under the second scenario the high genetic diversity found in glacial refugia would be the outcome of climate-driven cycles of allopatric differentiation within sub-refugia, which is possibly followed by a secondary admixture of divergent lineages. In spite of some fossil evidence suggesting that refugial populations could have been small, isolated, and at low density, the first scenario was considered as prevalent in early literature, particularly for wide-ranging species. However, mounting data from phylogeographic studies focused on populations within glacial refugia identify the second scenario as relevant for many temperate species and geographic regions. Identifying the geographic locations of hotspots of genetic diversity, the genetic structure of populations therein, and the microevolutionary processes that have led to their formation are important not only for the advancement of knowledge in ecology, biogeography, and evolutionary biology, but also have major practical implications. For instance, regional networks of protected areas and management plans for species conservation are often designed under the assumption of homogeneous distribution of diversity within species’ populations, even when there is inadequate knowledge of the geography and depth of the population genetic structure. Such regional networks and plans would be appropriate only in scenarios where a single evolutionary unit (ESU; sensu) is present, whereas they should be carefully re-evaluated in cases where multiple ESUs are found, deserving separate conservation efforts, or in cases where major hotspots of genetic diversity fall outside such networks.
The agile frog, *Rana dalmatina* Fitzinger in Bonaparte, 1839, is a brown frog that is widely distributed in western, central, and southern Europe, where it inhabits a variety of landscapes, mostly within mixed deciduous forests from sea level to 2000 m a.s.l. *R. dalmatina* spends most of its life on land, breeding in a variety of natural and anthropogenic water bodies, including flooded meadows, ponds, lakes, temporary pools, and slow-running waters. Although this species is not considered to be under imminent conservation risk, population declines and disappearances have recently been observed. In a recent survey of the genetic variation throughout Europe, it was concluded that the species is not considered to be under imminent conservation risk, population declines and disappearances have recently been observed.

In this study, we further investigate the population genetic structure and evolutionary history of *R. dalmatina*, focusing on its putative refugial range in peninsular Italy. This geographic area has been used as a hotspot of genetic diversity and as a site of multiple refugia for many temperate animal species, including amphibians. Here we adopted a dense sampling strategy within this area, with the following aims: i) to assess the phylogeographic and population genetic structure, and the historical demographic trends of *R. dalmatina* within its prospected glacial refugium, ii) to investigate the microevolutionary processes that have moulded its genetic diversity within this area; and iii) to evaluate the main implications of our results for the conservation of this species.

### Results

**Mitochondrial DNA.** The final dataset included 741 bp of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I gene (COI; 46 variable positions, 37 parsimony informative) and 653 bp for the mitochondrial cytochrome B gene (CYTB; 55 variable positions, 42 parsimony informative), for all the 244 individuals analysed. Forty-nine composite haplotypes were found (see Table 1), showing a sequence divergence (p-distance) ranging between 0.1% and 4.5%.

The statistical parsimony analysis did not connect all haplotypes into a single phylogenetic network (Figure 1A). Instead, 2 main group of haplotypes were observed (haplogroups N and S) having an ML-corrected net sequence divergence of 4.0% (0.5% S.E.), each of which was assigned to a separate sub-haplogroup, the distribution of which is presented in Figure 1C. While haplogroup N was found in north-central and northern Italy, Croatia, and Slovenia, (samples 1–19), whereas haplogroup S was distributed in southern Italy (samples 20–40). Both of these haplogroups were further divided into 2 sub-haplogroups, the distribution of which is presented in Figure 1C. While haplogroups N and S were never found in syntopy, the respective sub-haplogroups (NI-NII and SI-SII) showed overlapping distributions mostly limited to geographically intermediate populations.

### Table 1 | Geographic location of the 40 sampled populations of *Rana dalmatina*, number of individuals analysed using mtDNA (nmt) and microsatellite (nms) genetic markers, and distribution of the 49 identified mtDNA haplotypes among populations

| Sample      | Latitude N | Longitude E | nmt/nms | Haplotypes (n)             |
|-------------|------------|-------------|---------|---------------------------|
| Slovenia    | 45°49'     | 13°45'      | 3/3     | NII(3)                    |
| Gorjanske   | 45°48'     | 13°42'      | 1/1     | NII(1)                    |
| Kocje       | 45°37'     | 14°53'      | 1/1     | NII(11)                   |
| Croatia     | 45°23'     | 14°03'      | 1/1     | NII(11)                   |
| Livade-Trombal | 45°21' | 13°47'      | 3/1     | NII(3)                    |
| Svetvincentan | 45°04' | 13°53'      | 4/4     | NII(3), NII(8)            |
| Dreznica     | 45°06'     | 15°07'      | 4/4     | NII(11), NII(9), NII(10), NII(12) |
| Punta Alberete | 44°31' | 12°13'      | 6/6     | NII(5), NII(5)            |
| Pianoro      | 44°29'     | 11°20'      | 4/4     | NII(3), NII(6)            |
| Pineta di Classe | 44°21' | 12°19'      | 6/6     | NII(5), NII(7)            |
| Greve in Chianti | 43°35' | 11°18'      | 4/4     | NII(14)                   |
| Torrente Farina | 43°47' | 11°14'      | 3/3     | NII(3)                    |
| Trasimeno    | 43°08'     | 12°10'      | 1/1     | NII(11)                   |
| Urbino       | 43°43'     | 12°38'      | 1/1     | NII(4)                    |
| Selva del Lamone | 42°33' | 11°43'      | 7/1     | NII(4), NII(13)           |
| Canale Monterano | 42°08' | 12°06'      | 3/3     | NII(3)                    |
| Stagni della Doganella | 41°42' | 12°43'      | 8/5     | NII(7), NII(11)           |
| Decima Malafede | 41°44' | 12°25'      | 6/3     | NII(4), NII(2), NII(1), NII(3) |
| Fogliano     | 41°27'     | 12°42'      | 8/8     | NII(1), NII(4), NII(2), NII(3) |
| Circeo      | 41°14'     | 13°04'      | 2/1     | NII(2)                    |
| Pescolanciano | 41°40' | 14°20'      | 1/1     | SI(1)                     |
| Foresta Umbra | 41°51' | 16°01'      | 8/5     | SI(2), SI(3), SI(4)       |
| Lago Fondo  | 39°55'     | 16°14'      | 8/5     | SI(5), SI(6), SI(7)       |
| Acquasparti | 39°59'     | 15°52'      | 6/5     | SI(6), SI(7)              |
| Massadita   | 39°51'     | 16°18'      | 6/5     | SI(6)                     |
| Santa Domenica Talao | 39°49' | 15°52'      | 8/6     | SI(11), SI(9)             |
| Orsomarso   | 39°48'     | 15°54'      | 1/1     | SI(11)                    |
| Ficara      | 39°39'     | 16°07'      | 4/4     | SI(9), SI(9), SI(15)      |
| Lago Trifoglietti | 39°33' | 16°01'      | 9/9     | SI(5), SI(6), SI(7), SI(8), SI(12), SI(13), SI(12) |
| San Lucido  | 39°17'     | 16°05'      | 19/5    | SI(10), SI(4), SI(9), SI(13), SI(12), SI(14), SI(13), SI(18), SI(4), SI(22) |
| Cerisano    | 39°15'     | 16°09'      | 8/8     | SI(5), SI(8), SI(3), SI(22) |
| Belmonte Calabro | 39°10' | 16°05'      | 13/5    | SI(2), SI(11), SI(20), SI(22) |
| San Pietro in Guarano | 39°20' | 16°18'      | 7/5     | SI(5), SI(12), SI(19), SI(22) |
| Campo San Lorenzo | 39°20' | 16°29'      | 11/6    | SI(5), SI(9), SI(11), SI(22) |
| Macchialonga | 39°22'     | 16°32'      | 12/4    | SI(15), SI(11), SI(7)    |
| Lago di Angiolo | 39°07' | 16°06'      | 6/6     | SI(12), SI(9), SI(11), SI(12) |
| Capo Vaticano | 38°39' | 16°01'      | 10/7    | SI(14), SI(9), SI(23)    |
| Serra San Bruno | 38°36' | 16°20'      | 18/8    | SI(2), SI(7), SI(3), SI(10), SI(17), SI(9), SI(2), SI(16), SI(22) |
| Zomaro      | 38°40'     | 15°59'      | 3/3     | SI(3)                     |
| Gambardie  | 38°10'     | 15°50'      | 10/9    | SI(9), SI(2)             |
The haplotype genealogy yielded by HaplotypeViewer showed the same groupings as the statistical parsimony networks, with minor topological differences that did not affect the general pattern (Figure 1B). Within this genealogy, haplogroups N and S were separated by 49 mutational steps.

Estimates of genetic diversity for the 4 haplogroups are shown in Table 2. Particularly low values of $h$ and $\pi$ were observed for the northernmost haplogroup (NI), whereas high values of both indices were found for the southernmost haplogroup (SII), and to a lesser extent, for SI.

The AMOVA analysis was carried out by separating populations according to the geographic and frequency distribution of the 4 main haplogroups ([1–18], [19–20], [21–27], [28–40]). This analysis indicated that the amount of genetic variance explained by the among-group level of variation was 91.6% (FCT = 0.92), the amount explained by the variation among populations within groups was 2.7% (FSC = 0.32), while the within-population level explained 5.7% (FST = 0.94). All variance components and fixation indices were highly significant ($P < 0.001$).

The analysis of the divergence time dating with BEAST yielded effective sample size (ESS) values that largely exceeded 200 for all parameters of interest and full convergence for parameter estimates between runs. The divergence time between haplogroups N and S was estimated at 2.109 My (95% HPD: 1.433–2.929 My). On the other hand, the divergence between haplogroups NI–NII and SI–SII was estimated to have occurred at 0.381 My (95% HPD: 0.172–0.594 My) and 0.346 My (95% HPD: 0.197–0.519 My), respectively.

![Figure 1](A) Phylogenetic networks of the 49 mtDNA haplotypes found among *Rana dalmatina* populations in peninsular Italy, based on the statistical parsimony procedure implemented in TCS. Circle sizes are proportional to haplotype frequency (see inset, lower left); missing intermediate haplotypes are shown as open dots. (B) Haplotype genealogy yielded using HaplotypeViewer, based on the ML phylogenetic tree of the 49 haplotypes found. (C) Geographic locations of the 40 sampled populations of *R. dalmatina* and frequency distribution of the main haplogroups among populations, shown as pie diagrams. Populations are numbered as in Table 1. The map was drawn using the software Canvas 11 (ACD Systems of America, Inc.).

### Table 2 | Estimates of haplotype ($h$) and nucleotide ($\pi$) diversity and neutrality test statistics $F_s$ and $D$, for the 4 main mtDNA haplogroups observed among the 244 *Rana dalmatina* specimens analysed

| Haplogroup | n  | $h$     | $\pi$         | $F_s$ | $D$    | $R_2$ |
|-----------|----|---------|---------------|-------|--------|-------|
| NI        | 66 | 0.380 [0.077] | 0.00032 [0.00032] | -16.488** | -2.319** | 0.031* |
| NII       | 10 | 0.644 [0.101] | 0.00094 [0.00072] | 1.021 | 0.850 | 0.211 |
| SI        | 42 | 0.854 [0.026] | 0.00150 [0.00095] | -2.103 | -0.540 | 0.093 |
| SII       | 126 | 0.879 [0.016] | 0.00246 [0.00140] | -6.385 | -0.839 | 0.065 |

*n*, number of individuals carrying haplotypes from a specific haplogroup; $P < 0.05$.

**$P < 0.01$. The $F_s$ statistic was considered statistically significant at $\pi < 0.05$ under nominal significance values $P < 0.02$.*
The historical demographic trends for all the main haplogroups were investigated by means of a bayesian skyline plot (BSP) analysis, except for NII because of its low sample size (n = 10). The BSPs estimated for the remaining haplogroups are shown in Figure 2. The time to the most recent common ancestors (TMRCAs) was estimated as 23,000 years (95% HPD: 8,300–45,600), 84,500 years (95% HPD: 27,700–158,800), and 155,000 years (95% HPD: 63,200–266,400) for haplogroups NI, SI, and SII, respectively. For haplogroup NI, a marked demographic expansion was inferred that began shortly after the TMRCA and lasted until recent times. In contrast, the BSPs for haplogroups SI and SII showed no marked demographic changes; the median estimates of effective population size for these groups never fell outside the 95% HPDs estimated along the entire time axis.

The estimated values of the neutrality test statistics are given in Table 2. In agreement with the BSP analysis, large negative values of $F_S$ and $D$ and a small positive value of $R_2$ (all suggesting recent and marked population growth) were only observed for haplogroup NI (all $P < 0.05$). On the other hand, none of the estimated values of the statistics for the haplogroups NII, SI, and SII was significant.

**Microsatellites.** A total of 170 individuals from 38 locations were genotyped at 6 microsatellite loci (see Table 1). Among these individuals, none was scored at less than 5 loci, and the overall proportion of missing data in the final dataset was 0.2%.

Evidence of Hardy–Weinberg or linkage disequilibria was not found across samples or loci. For all populations, the observed heterozygosity ranged from 0.69 (H1 locus) to 0.89 (G12 locus), the expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.84 (H1 locus) to 0.96 (E8 locus), and the number of alleles observed at each locus was as follows: $F_5 = 19$, $G12 = 28$, $G11 = 16$, $E8 = 38$, $H1 = 16$, and $B5$...
Consequently, the total number of alleles found within the study area \((n = 139)\) was 12.9% higher than that previously reported for the same loci throughout the species range \(^{26}\).

The analysis of population genetic structure by using TESS indicated \(K = 4\) as the best grouping option for our data, since only a minor decrease in DIC values was observed at higher \(K\) values (Figure 3A). As previously observed for the mtDNA data, 2 groups were found in south-central and southern Italy (samples 21–40; see Figure 3B). However, unlike the mtDNA data, the 2 population groups were largely admixed in most of the areas (Figures 3B and 3C), with some exceptions in marginal samples. Two groups were observed in the north-central and northern portion of the study area. However, the geographic distribution of the 2 groups did not conform to the geographic pattern observed in the mtDNA data. Indeed, the area of admixture between the 2 groups was not located in central Italy (samples 17–20), but on the north-eastern side of the Italian Peninsula (samples 8–10, 14). Finally, some instances of unidirectional gene exchange from south to north were observed among samples located in central Italy (samples 17–20), which otherwise belonged to the north-central group (Figure 3C). Finally, the mean expected heterozygosity estimated for the 4 groups was 0.90 (0.01 SE), 0.92 (0.01 SE), 0.88 (0.03 SE), 0.88 (0.02 SE) for the southern, south-central, north-central and northern groups respectively.

**Discussion**

Our analysis of genetic variation in the agile frog in peninsular Italy allowed us to identify this area as not only a site of the most divergent lineage but also as the major hotspot of genetic diversity within this species. Indeed, populations from this area harbour far more genetic variation than that found in the central, eastern, and southern European populations combined \(^{26}\). Further, most of the genetic variation was accounted for by differences between 4 genetically divergent and geographically well-defined lineages, suggesting a long-lasting and multi-episode evolutionary history for *R. dalmatina*.

---

**Figure 3** Genetic structure of *Rana dalmatina* populations in Italy, which were estimated using the Bayesian clustering method implemented in TESS. (A) Mean values of the DIC statistics (averaged over 100 runs) estimated for models with the number of genetic clusters (K) ranging from 2 to 7; (B) Posterior predictive map of the admixture proportions generated from the spatial interpolation procedure implemented in TESS; (C) Admixture proportions of each sampled individual for the 4 recovered genetic clusters. The map was drawn using the software TESS Ad-Mixer.
within this area. In turn, the occurrence of 4 lineages with relatively ancient divergence clearly indicates that peninsular Italy did not act as a single refugium for the species, but rather as a mosaic of refugia, at least during the last Pleistocene glacial-interglacial cycles.

The most ancient event inferred in the history of R. dalmatina populations was the divergence between the N and S mtDNA haplogroups, which has been roughly dated at 2.1 million years (MY). The precise dating of this divergence was prevented by the large 95% CI for the time estimate (1.4–2.9 MY), our use of an externally calibrated substitution rate, along with the many uncertainties of the molecular clock. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that the phylogeographic discontinuity between the N and S clades is located close to the northern edge of a mid-peninsular region that was repeatedly subjected to marine-flooding during the Plio-Pleistocene, and where phylogeographic discontinuities have been repeatedly reported. Thus, it is plausible that a marine transgression that occurred in the early Pleistocene might have primed the observed divergence. This divergence is conspicuous (sequence divergence was 4.0% in the mtDNA data), syntopy was not observed between the 2 mtDNA clades, and the admixture of nuclear genomes appeared both geographically and quantitatively limited (see Figure 3C). Therefore, further study is required to locate the secondary contact zone between both clades and to investigate what microevolutionary processes occurred following the formation of this zone.

The transition zone between the NI and NII lineages in the mtDNA data (Figure 2) was not reflected by the transition zone between the north-central and the northern population groups in the microsatellite data (Figure 3). Although not unusual, it may be difficult to reconcile the discordance between nuclear and mitochondrial data to infer the history of populations, given the diversity of microevolutionary processes that are potentially involved. However, while the northern Apennine is a well known suture zone between both intra- and interspecific lineages, a contact zone in the area close to our sites 17–19 would not have any analogues in the phylogeographic literature of co-distributed temperate species. In addition, no environmental features (present or past) were present that could explain the observed pattern. This observation led us to speculate that, after the secondary contact between the 2 lineages in an area close to the northern Apennines, the NI mitochondrial lineage incurred massive introgression into the NII range, resulting in the former replacing the latter throughout most of central Italy. On the other hand, unfavourable climatic conditions along the central Apennines chain during the glacial stages might provide a viable explanation for the divergence between the 2 lineages. During these stages, the NI and NII lineages might have persisted in temperate lowland areas along the eastern Adriatic and the central Tyrrenian coasts, respectively.

The phylogeographic discontinuity observed between the SI and SII mtDNA clades was located in central Calabria. Although a more admixed picture emerged at the nuclear microsatellites, central Calabria was also the main area of transition between the south-central and the southern groups of populations (marked as purple and green, respectively, in Figure 3). Interestingly, several phylogeographic breaks and secondary contact zones were clustered in this area, which was cyclically inundated by marine transgressions until the late-Middle/Late Pleistocene. Therefore, it seems plausible that these transgressions also primed the divergence between the 2 R. dalmatina groups found in south-central and southern Italy.

Despite the relative geographic contiguity of the alleged past ranges of the divergent R. dalmatina lineages found, the lineages showed substantially different demographic trends during the Late Pleistocene. The star-like structure of the haplotype genealogy for lineage NI, its BSP reconstruction, and the estimated values of the neutrality test statistics jointly indicated that, after a marked demographic bottleneck occurred at the last glacial maximum (LGM; as revealed by the estimated TMRCA around 23 000 years ago), NI underwent a substantial and abrupt demographic expansion. Since NI corresponds to the widespread lineage found by Vences and colleagues (2013; data not shown) throughout most of R. dalmatina’s range in Europe, and in light of its Middle-Pleistocene divergence from NII (0.38 [0.17–0.59] My), we suggest that lineage NI re-colonized most of its current range after the LGM from a glacial refugium located somewhere between the western Balkans and the wide coastal plain that occupied what is now the Adriatic Sea.

In contrast, lineages SI and SII showed the genetic imprints of demographic stability, lasting for most of the Late Pleistocene (SI), or before (SII). Similar trends of prolonged demographic stability have also been hypothesized for intraspecific lineages of other temperate species studied to date in southern Italy.

Among wide-ranging species in Western Europe, geographic patterns of genetic diversity as observed for R. dalmatina (more diversity to the south and less diversity to the north, i.e. the ‘southern-richness, northern-purity’ pattern) are not unusual. In most of these species (including R. dalmatina), the ‘southern-richness’ component of this pattern, i.e. the existence and location of hotspots, has been interpreted as the outcome of the long-term persistence of ancestral populations within southern peninsulas. In contrast with this view for wide-ranging species and in parallel with recent observations in species endemic to these peninsulas, our results emphasize the key role played by the ‘diversity-enhancing’ effects of the cycles of allopatric divergence within these areas. Since a sampling scheme specifically focused on the putative refugial ranges is crucial for disentangling the above scenarios, we anticipate that as more studies adopt such sampling schemes, our view of the diversity of processes involved in the formation of hotspots of intraspecific biodiversity will continue to change.

Finally, our results have at least 3 major implications for the conservation of R. dalmatina populations, both within our study area and at the whole species’ range. First, in order to preserve the species’ resources for coping with future natural and anthropogenic environmental changes, peninsular Italy should receive special attention since most of R. dalmatina’s genetic diversity is apportioned there, and in light of the historical role played by this area in the species’ survival. This appears especially important when considering the declining trend recently observed for R. dalmatina populations from several portions of its range, including the Italian peninsula. Second, since the deep genetic structure of the populations indicates the occurrence of at least two ESUs (sensu) within this area, specific conservation efforts should be developed to optimize the amount of genetic variation preserved. Finally, a study of the genetic structure of the presumed secondary contact zone between the N and S lineages in central Italy should be carried out to define the patterns of past and present interaction among them, their most appropriate taxonomic arrangement, and the best strategy for their conservation.

**Methods**

**Sampling and laboratory procedures.** We collected tissue samples from 244 individuals of R. dalmatina from 40 localities, 33 of which were located along the Italian peninsula south of the Alps, 3 from Slovenia, and 4 from Croatia. The geographical position and number of individuals sampled at each location are given in Table 1 and Figure 1. Tissue samples were obtained through toe-clipping, and all individuals were released at the place of collection immediately after tissue removal. Samples were transported to the laboratory in liquid nitrogen and were stored at −80°C until subsequent analyses.
We extracted genomic DNA by using the standard phenol–chloroform protocol. Two partial fragments of the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) genes encoding CytB and COI were amplified and sequenced. The same PCR protocol was used for both mtDNA fragments. Amplifications were performed in a final volume of 25 μL containing MgCl2 (2.5 mM), reaction buffer (1×; Promega), 4 dNTPs (0.2 mM each), 2 primers (0.2 μM each), Taq polymerase (2-unit; Go-Taq), 2 μL of template DNA, 25 μL of water, and 5 μL of a 10× PCR buffer. The samples were as follows: 94°C for 5 min followed by 36 cycles of 94°C for 45 s, annealing temperature (50°C for CytB and 52°C for COI) for 45 s, 72°C for 90 s, and a final step at 72°C for 10 min. The primers used for amplification and sequencing of the CytB fragment were 494m and MVZ16m; primers for the COI fragment were Amp-P3r and Amp-P3f. Sequencing reactions were carried out by Macrogen Inc. (www.macrogen.com) by using an ABI PRISM 377 DNA sequencer (PE Applied Biosystems) following the ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing protocol. All individuals analysed were double sequenced.

Seven microsatellite loci (F5, G12, G11, E8, H1, B5, and F3) were amplified using previously published protocols. One locus (F3) was subsequently removed from the analysis because a large percentage of data were missing (95%).

Fragments were sized using the GeneScan 4000 HD internal size standard, and alleles were scored using PEAKSCANNER 1.0 (Applied Biosystems).

We used the method implemented in the software MICROCHECKER to check for the presence of null alleles and large allelic dropout; however, there was no evidence of such problems.

Mitochondrial DNA data analysis. Sequence electropherograms were checked by eye, by using ChromatPro (Techneylus Ltd.), and multiple sequence alignments were carried out using ClustalX.73 Sequences of the CytB and COI fragments were concatenated using Concatenator 1.1.0, after a partition homogeneity test conducted in PAUP* 4.0b10 did not reject the assumption of a single phylogenetic signal carried by both fragments. The optimal model of sequence evolution for both fragments separately and the concatenated dataset were selected among 88 possible models using MrModelTest 0.1.1.74 The best-fit models selected using the Akaike information criterion were TrN + I + Ё (gamma distribution shape parameter Ё = 0.032) for COI, TrN + I (proportion of invariant sites Ё = 0.073) for CytB, and TrN + I (proportion of invariant sites Ё = 0.824) for the concatenated dataset. Therefore, the latter was used in all downstream analyses on the unpartitioned dataset.

Sequences were collapsed into unique haplotypes and their nucleotide variation was evaluated with the software Dnasp 5.8. The same software was used to calculate the haplotype (h) and nucleotide (π) diversity among major groups of haplotypes as identified by phylogenetic analyses; Mega 5.8 was then used to compute the net sequence divergence among haplotype groups.

The genealogical relationships among the identified haplotypes were investigated using the Neighbor-Joining method, presented in the software PHYML 2.4.1. The estimated phylogenies were used as the starting trees for the maximum likelihood procedure of Templeton,81 implemented in the software TCS 1.21 using the parsimony procedure of Templeton 62, implemented in the software TCS 1.21 using the type of tree improvement (SPR & NNI)66. The estimated tree topology was then converted into a haplotype genealogy by using the software HaplotypeViewer.82

Estimates of the time between identified haplogroups were obtained using BEAST 1.7.5 using the Bayesian skyline as coalescent tree prior, which assumes a strict clock model. Since we had neither internal calibration points nor previous demographic analyses were run using the TrN + Ё, a strict clock model, and a uniform prior for the substitution rate, bounded at 0.012 and 0.014 substitutions/site/My. The results were analysed and summarised using TRACER 1.6. Finally, the occurrence of past demographic changes was evaluated by computing the neutrality test statistics FST, RST, and D*, by using DnaSP. The significance of these statistics was assessed using 1000 coalescent simulations.

Microsatellites data analysis. We tested for deviations from the expected Hardy–Weinberg and linkage equilibria using FSTAT 2.9.3. The software GENETIX was used to obtain estimates of genetic diversity based on the observed and the expected heterozygosity and the number of alleles per locus.

The extent of population genetic structure across the study area was investigated by the Bayesian clustering algorithm implemented in TESS 2.3.7. This method is advantageous in that it takes the spatial distribution of sampled individuals into account, and has been shown to outperform similar methods, particularly in cases of shallow population structures.75 The TESS analysis was carried out by modelling admixture using the conditional autoregressive (CAR) model. A preliminary analysis with 20,000 runs (of which the first 5,000 were discarded as burn-in) and 10 replicates for each K value (i.e. the number of clusters) between 2 and 20, was carried to assess model performance, the need to fine-tune the model parameters, and to reduce the range of plausible K values. The final analysis contained 100 replicates with K = 2 to 7, each with 50,000 runs (of which the first 20,000 were discarded as burn-in). The spatial interaction parameter was set to 0.6 (i.e. the default value) and the option to update this parameter was activated. The model that best fit the data was selected using the deviance information criterion (DIC). DIC values were averaged over the 100 replicates for each K value, and the most likely K value was selected as the one at which the average DIC reached a plateau. The estimated admixture proportions of the 100 runs were averaged using CLUMPP 1.1.2. Finally, to obtain an easily interpretable representation of the estimated admixture proportions, we generated posterior predictive maps by the spatial interpolation procedure available in TESS, and with the maps being visualised by TESS Ad-Mixer.83 Finally, we used the posterior estimate of the allele frequencies at each locus in each cluster to compute the mean expected heterozygosity of each cluster.
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