A distinguishing profile of chemokines, cytokines and biomarkers in the saliva of children with Sjögren’s syndrome
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Abstract

Objective. SS is an autoimmune disease most commonly diagnosed in adults but can occur in children. Our objective was to assess the presence of chemokines, cytokines and biomarkers (CCBMs) in saliva from these children that were associated with lymphocyte and mononuclear cell functions.

Methods. Saliva was collected from 11 children diagnosed with SS prior to age 18 years and 16 normal healthy children. A total of 105 CCBMs were detected in multiplex microparticle-based immunoassays. ANOVA and t test (0.05 level) were used to detect differences. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) was used to assess whether elevated CCBMs were in annotations associated with immune system diseases and select leukocyte activities and functions. Machine learning methods were used to evaluate the predictive power of these CCBMs for SS and were measured by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and area under curve (AUC).

Results. Of the 105 CCBMs detected, 43 (40.9%) differed in children with SS from those in healthy study controls (P < 0.05) and could differentiate the two groups (P < 0.05). Elevated CCBMs in IPA annotations were associated with autoimmune diseases and with leukocyte chemotaxis, migration, proliferation, and regulation of T cell activation. The best AUC value in ROC analysis was 0.93, indicating that there are small numbers of CCBMs that may be useful for diagnosis of SS.

Conclusion. While 35 of these 43 CCBMs have previously been reported in SS, 8 CCBMs had not. Additional studies focusing on these CCBMs may provide further insight into disease pathogenesis and may contribute to diagnosis of SS in children.
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Rheumatology key messages

● Of the 105 chemokines, cytokines and biomarkers (CCBMs) detected, 43 differed in children with Sjögren’s syndrome from those in healthy controls (P < 0.05).
● Of the 43 CCBMs detected, 35 have previously been detected in adults with Sjögren’s syndrome and 8 are new.
● Elevated CCBMs were associated with leukocyte chemotaxis, migration, proliferation, and regulation of T-cell activation.
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**Introduction**

SS is a chronic, systemic autoimmune disease that primarily involves the salivary and lacrimal glands, resulting in xerostomia and xerophthalmia. It typically occurs in adults around the fourth or fifth decade of life [1]. Diagnosis depends upon the results of clinical and biopsy tests [2, 3]. Characteristic symptoms include dry eyes, dry mouth, fatigue, musculoskeletal pain, and swelling of the major salivary glands. There can be gradual and progressive damage and dysfunction of exocrine glands, which result in whole-body dryness [3]. SS is confirmed when minor salivary gland or parotid gland biopsies show perivascular and periductal lymphocytic infiltrates [4]. Autoantibodies, acute phase proteins, and inflammatory cell-derived chemokines, cytokines and biomarkers (CCBMs) can be produced and serve as diagnostic biomarkers [5, 6].

The pooled prevalence rate of primary SS is 0.0608% and the pooled incidence rate is 0.0069% [7]; secondary SS is often associated with RA or SLE [8]. In children, the prevalence of SS is not well known, at least in part due to a lack of well-established and highly sensitive criteria for diagnosis [9]. Only 81 cases were reported in 2000–2010, and the age of diagnosis ranged from 4 to 16 years (mean age 9.84 years) [1, 10]. The ratio of female to male in adults is 9:1 and in children is 5:1 [1, 10].

Early events leading to the development of SS are not well known. Triggers of the onset of chronic inflammation may occur decades prior to significant tissue damage. For example, a case–control study assessing the association between infections and SS using inpatient and outpatient data from the Sweden National Health Care registers found that infections of the lung, skin and urogenital tract increase the risk of developing SS as adults, and infections were more prominently associated with developing primary SS with Ro/SSA and La/SSB antibodies [11].

The objective of our study was to assess the presence of CCBMs in the saliva of children with SS, seeking to identify those that may be associated with the presence of immune cell infiltrates in their salivary tissues. We hypothesized that the saliva of these children would have CCBMs similar to those of adults with SS. We also hypothesized that some CCBMs would be novel and associated with lymphocyte and mononuclear cell activities. Overall, a unique profile of CCBMs would have the potential to aid in diagnosing SS in children and may help to identify a window of opportunity to intervene therapeutically to alter disease progression.

**Methods**

**Human samples**

Twenty-seven children consented to participate, and 1.0–7.0 ml of unstimulated whole saliva was collected as approved by the Human Institutional Review Board of the University of Iowa (IRB ID No.: 200907702). Between 30 August 2016 and 23 May 2017, we obtained consent and collected data and saliva from 11 children or young adults who had been formally diagnosed with SS by a paediatric rheumatologist prior to age 18 years and were being followed by a paediatric rheumatologist for the management of SS at the time of saliva collection. Diagnosis of SS was based on expert opinion and not restricted to any specific set of criteria. Diagnoses were supported by histopathologic evidence of salivary gland inflammation (Table 1). No child was diagnosed with another systemic rheumatologic disease. Saliva was collected from 16 normal healthy children, matched for gender and age, who served as study controls. These 16 children did not have a contributory health history, were not taking any medications, and did not have significant allergies. They were patients in the Pediatric Dentistry and Orthodontic Clinics at the College of Dentistry and the Department of Pediatrics at the Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa. The features of all children are shown in Table 1.

**Determination of CCBMs**

Saliva samples were thawed on ice and centrifuged at a relative centrifugal force of 16 100 (13 200 RPM, Eppendorf, 5415D centrifuge, Brinkmann Instruments, Inc., Westbury, NY) for 5 min at 24°C to pellet particulates and debris. Supernatants were removed and held on ice. The concentrations (pg/ml) of 105 CCBMs were determined in each sample, in triplicate, using multiplex fluorescent microparticle-based immunoassays (Luminex Human Magnetic Assay, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Immunoassays were run according to the manufacturer’s instructions on all samples at the same time as so not to create batch effects. CCBM concentrations were interpolated from their median fluorescence intensity (MFI) values using five parameter logistic curves created from the standard concentrations and their respective MFI readings on the Luminex 100 IS using xPonent v3.1 software (Luminex, Austin, TX) or on the readout files using Milliplex Analyst v5.1 software (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA). CCBM concentrations below the curve were interpolated from their MFI values using curves created from zero concentration to the lowest standard concentration and their respective MFI readings.

**Statistical analysis**

We calculated a mean value for each of the three replications and then applied a log10-transformation to the concentrations of all CCBMs before analysis and comparisons. The log10-transformation attenuated the positive skew in the distributions of the CCBM concentrations and made their normality assumption more defensible. We used the statistical package SAS® System version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). We used a one-way ANOVA, followed by the t test, to detect the differences between CCBMs concentrations in saliva samples. The Benjamini–Hochberg procedure was used.
to control the false discovery rate. All statistical tests utilized a 0.05 level of significance.

Hierarchical clustering
Hierarchical clustering was used to illustrate differences in the mean values of CCBMs in saliva samples identified as being different in statistical analysis. We used Euclidean distance function as a method to calculate the dissimilarity of two CCBM profiles, and used average linkage to calculate the distance between two clusters.

Principal component analysis
Principal component analysis (PCA) analysis was performed on the mean values of CCBMs in saliva samples using R package rgl (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/rgl/).

### Table 1 Features of children formally diagnosed with SS

| ID         | Gender | Age (time since diagnosis) years | Focal sialadenitis | Antibodies | Dry eye (subj) | Dry mouth (subj) | Recurrent acute parotitis | Medications (at time of saliva collection) |
|------------|--------|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| UIBB.1837  | F      | 17.9 (9.8)                       | c                 | SS-A       | +             | –                | –                        | –                                       |
| UIBB.1845  | F      | 10.7 (1.3)                       | ++                | SS-A       | +             | –                | –                        | +                                       |
| UIBB.1846  | F      | 15.7 (0.0)                       | ++                | SS-B       | –             | –                | –                        | +                                       |
| UIBB.1856  | F      | 19.2 (1.4)                       | +                 | RF         | –             | +                | –                        | +                                       |
| UIBB.1860  | F      | 16.1 (1.0)                       | ++                | SS-A       | –             | –                | –                        | +                                       |
| UIBB.1866  | F      | 17.9 (1.7)                       | ++                | SS-A       | –             | –                | –                        | –                                       |
| UIBB.1904  | F      | 16.6 (1.2)                       | ++                | SS-A       | –             | –                | –                        | +/–                                     |
| UIBB.1907  | F      | 18.9 (1.5)                       | ++                | SS-A       | –             | –                | –                        | +/–                                     |
| UIBB.1914  | F      | 14.7 (1.4)                       | +                 | SS-A       | –             | –                | –                        | +/–                                     |
| UIBB.1950  | F      | 20.9 (10.0)                      | d                 | SS-B       | +             | –                | –                        | e                                       |
| UIBB.1965  | M      | 11.5 (1.4)                       | ++                | SS-A       | –             | –                | –                        | –                                       |

Children, 10–21 years of age, diagnosed with SS

Children, matched for gender and age, who served as study controls

F: female; M: male; subj: subjective; PRED: prednisone. a+++ focus score ≥ 1 focus/4 mm²; ++, foci present but focus score not reported or <1 focus/4 mm². b+, taking; –, not prescribed; ±, prescribed but not taking regularly. c Extensive lymphocytic infiltrates with germinal centres and acinar atrophy (noted on histopathology of resected parotid glands). dRituximab was given ≥8 months prior to saliva sampling.
Ingenuity pathway analysis

Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) (Qiagen, Redwood City, CA) was used to assess whether the significant CCBM responses were in Canonical Pathway annotations associated with immune system diseases or underlying causes and Function and Disease annotations associated with leukocyte activities and functions. Statistical significance was calculated using Fisher’s Exact Test.

Feature selection and classification

Features here refer to CCBMs. The concentrations of all CCBMs produced in the statistical analysis were standardized and scaled between 0 and 1. Five feature selection methods (i.e. Correlation [12], Information Gain [13], Information Gain Ratio [14], Symmetrical Uncertainty [15], and RELIEF [16]) were applied to rank CCBMs. CCBMs were also ranked using an ensemble method that ranks them by aggregating ranks of all five aforementioned feature selection methods. Furthermore, discrete sets of CCBMs (i.e. Union, AtLeast2, AtLeast3, AtLeast4, SelectedByFive) were obtained using a Venn diagram representing overlapped features of the five feature selection methods. The Union feature set at a given rank k is the union of all top k features selected by five methods. The SelectedByFive feature set at a given rank k are the common features of all top k feature sets each selected by five methods. This definition is analogous for feature sets of AtLeast2, AtLeast3 and AtLeast4. For example, the AtLeast2 feature set at a given rank k includes the top k features that are selected by at least two feature selection methods.

Each top k feature set was evaluated using classification methods (classifiers). The classifiers used were K-Nearest Neighbour (k = 3) [17], AdaBoost (trees = 100) [18], Support Vector Machine (Linear Kernel) [19], Support Vector Machine (rbf Kernel) [20], Naïve Bayes [21], Random Forest (trees = 100) [22], Logistic Regression, and Gaussian Process [23]. The classifier used selected features to train a model that then could be used to predict SS. The performance of a classifier on specific feature set was evaluated using leave-one-out cross-validation and Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve. The average Area Under Curve (AUC) was used to measure the performance.

Results

CCBMs in saliva

Forty-six of 105 CCBMs ranged from undetected to 969.3 pg/ml; 48 of the 105 CCBMs ranged from 1.0 to 799.3 ng/ml, and 11 of the 105 CCBMs ranged from 1.0 to 239.6 µg/ml. In the latter group, C9, B2M, MMP9, TIMP1, and AMBP concentrations were among the highest detected [Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. S1, available at Rheumatology online] [24] and Mendeley Data repository (http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/yphm77tg24.1).

Fifty-five of the 105 CCBMs were significantly higher in concentration (P < 0.05) in the children diagnosed with SS vs the healthy children study controls. Of these 55, 43 CCBMs were significantly different in concentration (P < 0.05) after correction using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to control the false discovery rate and avoid type I false-positive errors. Thus, 40.9% (43 of 105) of the CCBMs we selected varied between the children diagnosed with SS vs the healthy children study controls (Fig. 1, Table 2).

Thirty-five of these 43 CCBMs have been previously detected in other studies assessing CCBMs in tears, blood, and saliva of individuals with SS by proteomics, mass spectroscopy, and immunoassay analyses (Supplementary Table S1, available at Rheumatology online). CALCA, CCL1, CCL8, CCL26, GDF2, IL2RA, MIA and ULBP2 were found to be unique.

Hierarchical clustering

There were broad ranges in concentrations of CCBMs in 11 children with and 16 children without SS. Seven of the 11 older children with SS (aged 16–20) formed a cluster separate from the 16 healthy study control children (Fig. 2). Four of the 11 younger children with SS (aged 10–16) were found within the clusters of the 16 healthy study control children. Two of these latter children with SS (e.g. UIBB 1845 and 1860) clustered together.

PCA

If the biomarkers were SS-specific, we would anticipate that children with SS and normal controls would be well separated in the space formed by the principal components calculated from syndrome-specific biomarker profiles. PCA analysis of the mean values of the 43 significant CCBMs was able to differentiate between the 11 children diagnosed with SS and the 16 healthy study control children (Fig. 3).

IPA

Forty-three CCBMs in children diagnosed with SS were in Canonical Pathway annotations associated with CTDs, immunological diseases, inflammatory diseases, and infectious diseases (Supplementary Table S2, available at Rheumatology online). CTDs included inflammation of joint, rheumatic disease, polyarthritis, RA, CIA and LE. Immunological diseases included hypersensitive reactions, systemic autoimmune syndromes, and delayed hypersensitive reactions. Finally, infectious diseases included CCBMs involved in microbial infections and sepsis, parasitic infections, and viral infections, including replication of RNA viruses and replication of viruses.

Many of these CCBMs were annotated to functions associated with (i) cellular movement, (ii) immune cell trafficking and (iii) cell-to-cell signalling and interaction (Supplementary Table S3, available at Rheumatology online). The most significant functions were associated
with cell movement of mononuclear leukocytes, cell movement of lymphocytes, lymphocyte migration, cell movement of leukocytes, chemotaxis of mononuclear leukocytes, T cell migration, cell movement of myeloid cells, or leukocyte migration. Significant functions were also associated with cell-to-cell signalling that included recruitment of cells, recruitment of mononuclear leukocytes, recruitment of leukocytes, activation of cells, cell movement of T cells, activation of leukocytes, activation of lymphocytes, response of mononuclear leukocytes, and activation of T cells.

Fig. 1 Plots of eight newly identified CCBMs in saliva of children formally diagnosed with SS

The mean and s.e.m. bars are included. The asterisk shows significance at the $P < 0.05$ level. CCBMs: chemokines, cytokines, and biomarkers.
| CCBM Symbol | Children with SS (n = 11) pg/ml | Children matched for age and gender (n = 16) pg/ml |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| AMBP<sup>a</sup> | 4 156 363.6<sup>b</sup> (763 043.3)<sup>c</sup> | 24 558 50.1 (587 895.1) |
|              | 1 790 000.0–8 590 000.0<sup>d</sup> | 0.0–8 540 000.0 |
| B2M<sup>a</sup> | 122 671.6 (14 485.0) | 98 060.6 (6038.4) |
|              | 98 850.0–266 527.2 | 9110.0–11 270.0 |
| C9<sup>a</sup> | 897 454.5 (125 229.6) | 1 129 250.0 (326 143.0) |
|              | 378 000.0–1 540 000.0<sup>e</sup> | 1 209 250.0–1 932 143.0 |
| CA9<sup>a</sup> | 11.1 (2.7) | 5.1 (0.0) |
|              | 0.0–24.4 | 0.0–13.3 |
| CALCA        | 29.2 (3.6) | 21.5 (3.6) |
|              | 15.2–59.5 | 4.0–69.3 |
| CCL1        | 8.3 (2.2) | 4.0 (0.6) |
|              | 2.2–28.1 | 0.1–11.2 |
| CCL4<sup>a</sup> | 175.3 (34.5) | 27.2 (12.7) |
|              | 121.1–311.8 | 0.0–164.2 |
| CCL8        | 106.7 (22.8) | 59.8 (14.2) |
|              | 46.7–318.0 | 6.5–250.4 |
| CCL15       | 479.7 (125.9) | 285.6 (139.4) |
|              | 0.0–1284.1 | 0.0–1932.1 |
| CCL17<sup>a</sup> | 73.5 (8.3) | 56.3 (5.4) |
|              | 45.6–139.4 | 1.9–89.4 |
| CCL26       | 76.8 (11.0) | 53.4 (6.6) |
|              | 32.1–158.9 | 24.3–128.0 |
| CCL27<sup>a</sup> | 4.6 (0.8) | 2.6 (0.3) |
|              | 1.7–9.7 | 0.6–5.8 |
| CCL28<sup>a</sup> | 4826.9 (856.2) | 5807.6 (1649.6) |
|              | 184.8–8866.1 | 604.6–25993.3 |
| CXCL10<sup>a</sup> | 694.0 (230.9) | 191.8 (70.1) |
|              | 70.2–2567.6 | 1.6–790.9 |
| CXCL11<sup>a</sup> | 33.8 (6.7) | 10.4 (3.7) |
|              | 4.2–64.4 | 0.0–58.2 |
| FSTL1<sup>a</sup> | 1441.6 (874.0) | 499.4 (466.3) |
|              | 0.0–9626.5 | 0.0–7482.9 |
| GAS6<sup>a</sup> | 4065.2 (1002.7) | 2363.3 (319.5) |
|              | 479.6–10 733.3 | 733.5–5401.6 |
| GDF2        | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.4) |
|              | 0.0–2.7 | 0.0–5.6 |
| IFNA1<sup>a</sup> | 30.6 (6.6) | 28.6 (10.5) |
|              | 13.4–90.1 | 2.5–181.1 |
| IFNB1<sup>a</sup> | 14.6 (2.4) | 8.2 (1.4) |
|              | 6.2–30.6 | 1.5–20.0 |
| IFNG<sup>a</sup> | 197.1 (32.6) | 125.5 (27.6) |
|              | 76.5–462.2 | 26.7–485.8 |
| IFNGR1<sup>a</sup> | 15.2 (2.5) | 9.6 (1.5) |
|              | 5.9–31.5 | 0.3–24.1 |
| IL1B<sup>a</sup> | 1141.9 (255.5) | 419.6 (132.1) |
|              | 217.0–2695.5 | 4.0–2198.9 |
| IL6<sup>a</sup> | 26.8 (4.4) | 15.0 (3.3) |
|              | 7.4–51.7 | 1.4–58.6 |
| IL10<sup>a</sup> | 5.2 (1.6) | 2.4 (0.9) |
|              | 0.5–13.9 | 0.0–13.0 |
| IL12A<sup>a</sup> | 9838.2 (688.8) | 842.3 (68.9) |
|              | 701.0–1405.2 | 86.6–1198.0 |
| IL12B<sup>a</sup> | 304.6 (84.6) | 57.2 (16.2) |
|              | 7.5–716.1 | 0.0–226.9 |
| IL21<sup>a</sup> | 67.9 (9.4) | 43.6 (7.9) |
|              | 39.6–147.0 | 4.3–141.7 |
| IL23A<sup>a</sup> | 818.9 (208.8) | 253.0 (102.1) |
|              | 44.2–2088.6 | 1.4–1208.3 |

(continued)
Feature selection and classification

We tested eight classifiers of the top k different sets of CCBMs. We measured the performance of each classifier of feature sets of various k values to identify the best-performing models. The ROC curves and the AUC values indicated that a small number of CCBMs could be identified and used as predictor markers for SS diagnosis in children (Fig. 4, Supplementary Table S4, available at Rheumatology online). Among the best-performing models, the k-Nearest Neighbour classifier had the highest AUC value (AUC = 0.93, Fig. 4) for a feature set consisting of only two CCBMs: IL27 and CCL4.

It is worth mentioning that two different feature sets could have the same prediction power, that is, the same AUC values (Supplementary Table S4, available at Rheumatology online).

Discussion

We selected 105 CCBMs associated with lymphocyte and mononuclear cell functions and determined their concentrations in the saliva of 11 children diagnosed with SS and 16 normal healthy children who served as study controls. We found that 43/105 CCBMs were significantly different in the children with SS. Upon closer examination, 35 CCBMs in this profile have been reported to be present in individuals with SS [25] (Supplementary Table S1, available at Rheumatology online). Eight CCBMs in this profile (e.g. CALCA, CCL1, CCL8, CCL26, GDF2, IL2RA, MIA and ULBP2) were unique and have not been reported to be associated with SS (Supplementary Table S1, available at Rheumatology online).

The disease in children is not well defined, and the criteria for diagnosing SS are different [9, 26]. For example, xerostomia and xerophthalmia are not often the primary manifestations. Approximately half of the children present with parotitis and the other half present with less-specific clinical features such as joint pain [9, 27–29]. Our study identified CCBMs in saliva of children with SS that may provide additional measures for use in developing child-specific diagnostic criteria. Prospective studies are needed to assess the utility of these salivary CCBMs in the diagnosis of SS in children and to determine whether these CCBMs may contribute to a better understanding of the pathogenesis of SS. Notably, the ability to more easily and

| CCBM Symbol | Children with SS (n = 11) pg/ml | Children matched for age and gender (n = 16) pg/ml |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| IL27\(^a\)  | 251.4 (52.6)                   | 34.2 (12.7)                                      |
| IL2RA       | 42.8 (14.1)                    | 21.6 (4.4)                                       |
| IRX1\(^a\)  | 7.5 (1.7)                      | 6.4 (3.3)                                        |
| MIA         | 2600.4 (941.2)                 | 398.3 (86.7)                                     |
| MMP9\(^a\)  | 319 415.4 (78 440.8)           | 123 722.3 (27 752.9)                            |
| PECAM1\(^a\)| 1330.4 (506.2)                | 412.4 (190.5)                                    |
| S100A8\(^a\)| 21 952.3 (3905.9)             | 9254.6 (2206.6)                                  |
| TIMP1\(^a\) | 111 442.1 (1752.2)            | 102 741.4 (6263.5)                              |
| TNFRSF1B\(^a\)| 246.7 (73.1)                | 115.4 (18.4)                                     |
| TNFRSF8\(^a\)| 15.2 (2.4)                    | 6.9 (1.1)                                        |
| TNFRSF13B\(^a\)| 263.6 (13.4)              | 234.0 (17.8)                                     |
| TNFRSF18\(^a\)| 178.0 (15.1)                | 19.2–308.0                                       |
| TSLP\(^a\)  | 16.8 (2.4)                     | 8.6 (1.3)                                        |
| TSLP\(^a\)  | 16.8 (2.4)                     | 8.6 (1.3)                                        |
| TSLP\(^a\)  | 16.8 (2.4)                     | 8.6 (1.3)                                        |
| TSLP\(^a\)  | 16.8 (2.4)                     | 8.6 (1.3)                                        |
| ULBP2       | 2180.0 (534.1)                 | 725.8 (179.9)                                    |
| ULBP2       | 149.9–6014.8                   | 0.0–2667.5                                      |

\(^a\)CCBMs identified by proteomics, mass spectroscopy, and immunoassays in tears, blood and saliva of individuals with SS (see Supplemental Table 1, available at Rheumatology online). \(^b\)mean. \(^c\)S.E.M. \(^d\)minimum–maximum values.
objectively diagnose SS in children may aid in identification of a window of opportunity for therapeutic intervention, which may enable prevention of progression to the classic profound sicca symptoms that develop over time. This is a reasonable concept, as anti-SSA/Ro and anti-SSB/La antibodies can also be detected up to 18–20 years before the appearance of symptoms and diagnosis of SS [30, 31].

There were broad ranges in concentrations with consistent patterns. Seven of 11 older children with SS (aged 16–20) formed a cluster separate from the 16 healthy study control children. Four of 11 younger children with SS (aged 10–16) were found within the clusters of the 16 healthy study control children. CCBMs: chemokines, cytokines, and biomarkers.
The profile of 43 CCBMs were related to CTDs, immunological diseases, inflammatory diseases, and infectious diseases (Supplementary Table S2, available at Rheumatology online). These included elevated concentrations of GAS6, FSTL1, MMP9 and IFNA1. Elevated GAS6 levels occur in individuals with SLE and liver fibrosis [32, 33]. Elevated FSTL1 levels occur in individuals with RA, ulcerative colitis, SLE, SS, SSc, and PM/DM [34]. Elevated MMP9 levels occur in individuals with multiple sclerosis [35] and in individuals with SS [36, 37]. IFNA1 is involved in the pathogenesis of SLE [38] and SS [39, 40].

There were significant associations of CCBM responses with CTDs (Supplementary Table S2, available at Rheumatology online). Functional annotations were associated with inflammation of joints (27 CCBMs), rheumatic disease (28 CCBMs), polyarthritis (13 CCBMs), RA (20 CCBMs), CIA (10 CCBMs) and LE (12 CCBMs). There were significant associations of CCBM responses with immunological diseases (Supplementary Table S2, available at Rheumatology online). These included hypersensitive reactions (19 CCBMs), systemic autoimmune syndromes (25 CCBMs) and delayed hypersensitive reactions (9 CCBMs).

Interestingly, some of these 43 CCBMs were found in annotations associated with microbial infections, often hypothesized to be triggers for SS. There were functional annotations of CCBMs associated with microbial infections (14 CCBMs) and sepsis (12 CCBMs), parasitic infections (11 CCBMs), and viral infections (24 CCBMs). There were CCBMs in annotations associated with antimicrobial innate immune functions, and these included antimicrobial (18 CCBMs) and antiviral responses (14 CCBM). This is supported by the reports that B2M, CALCA, CCL1, CCL17, CXCL10 and FSTL1 have antimicrobial activities; IFNA1 has antiviral activity, and IFNG can trigger a cellular response to both viral and microbial infections. To what extent viral and or bacterial infections lead to auto-inflammatory events and SS remains to be determined in separate studies.

SS is characterized by lymphocytic infiltrates in the exocrine glands [4]. Infiltrates contain mononuclear cells in SS lesions that vary according to lesion severity and correlate with disease manifestations [41, 42]. T cell infiltrates can lead to secondary B cell activation and autoantibody production [43]. We found that many of these 43 CCBMs were in annotations associated with cellular movement, immune cell trafficking, and cell-to-cell signalling interactions (Supplementary Table S3, available at Rheumatology online). Ten elevated chemokines attract T cells (CCL8, CCL15, CCL17, CCL27, CCL28, CXCL10 and CXCL11); NK cells (CCL4 and CXCL10); monocytes (CCL1, CCL4, CCL8, CCL15 and CXCL10), eosinophils (CCL8) and basophils (CCL8 and CCL26). This profile would create an environment rich in monocytes, T cells, eosinophils and basophils. This likely sets

The area under curve (AUC) values indicate CCBMs can be served as predictor biomarkers for SS diagnosis in children. Eight classifiers were used, including k-NN: k-Nearest Neighbour, RF: Random Forest, GP: Gaussian Process, SVM (rbf): Support Vector Machine with rbf Kernel, SVM (Linear): Support Vector Machine with Linear Kernel, LR: Logistic Regression, AB: AdaBoost, and NB: Naïve Bayes. CCBMs: chemokines, cytokines, and biomarkers.
up an inflammatory environment in glandular tissue in which additional chemokines are produced by activated T cells (CCL1) and CD8+ T cells (CCL4), further recruiting and activating arriving T cells (CCL17).

We observed higher \( P < 0.05 \) concentrations of four CCBMs in the IL12 family of heterodimeric cytokines that included IL12A, IL12B, IL23 and IL27 [44]. This family mediates a number of diverse immunoregulatory activities. IL12 and IL23 are considered pro-inflammatory and pro-stimulatory cytokines active on Th1 and Th17 T cells, whereas IL27 is considered to be an immunoregulatory cytokine [44]. Furthermore, IL27 can induce the production of IFNG and IL10 (both elevated in Fig. 1) and can regulate other T cell subsets and also support chemokine responses. IL27 can act as a critical initiator of adaptive immune responses by promoting the rapid clonal expansion of naïve CD4+ T cells, IFNG production, and TH1 polarization. The antibody in this immunoassay is detecting the heterodimer and does not detect a recombinant EBI-3 monomer. We assume the antibody pair used in the immunoassay can detect the IL27p28 monomer, but we have not tested that specifically.

We observed higher concentrations of IL21, a cytokine secreted from T cells that can induce the differentiation, proliferation and activation of macrophages, NK cells, B-cells and cytotoxic T cells [45] and induce the production of IFNG.

IFNs are hypothesized to play an important role in the pathogenesis of SS [39, 40, 46], and we observed significant increases in Type I IFNs (IFNA1 and IFNB1), Type II IFN (IFNG) and IFNGR1 responses. IFNA1 and IFNB1 activate cellular antiviral effects and enhance the cytotoxic activity of NK cells and macrophages; IFNA1 primes CD8+ T cells and IFNA1 activates cytotoxic T cells. IFNs can also upregulate other CCBMs like B2M [47].

CALCA, CCL1, CCL8, CCL26, GDF2, IL2RA, MIA and ULBP2 were unique CCBM responses in that they have not been previously reported to be associated with SS (Supplementary Table S1, available at Rheumatology online). The functions of chemokines CCL1, CCL8 and CCL26 were mentioned above. CALCA is a pro-peptide of calcitonin that has vasodilator activity, antimicrobial activity, and a role in calcium metabolism. It can occur in response to microbial and viral infections and can be associated with inflammatory responses [48, 49]. A recent example is the presence of CALCA in patients with COVID-19 [50]. In annotations, CALCA was associated with infections, inflammatory responses, and monocyte chemotaxis. GDF2 is a secreted ligand of the TGF-β superfamily of proteins and can have cytokine activities and CCBM regulatory functions. For example, GDF2 can recruit human peripheral blood monocytes [51] and decrease the activity of MMP-9 [52]. IL2RA is required for mediating IL2- induced effects, including T cell proliferation [53], T cell activation [54], and activation-induced cell death of T cells [55]. In annotations, it had a role in apoptotic processes and activation-induced cell death of T cells. MIA originally isolated from melanoma cell cultures has been used as an indicator for tumour load [56, 57]. It is expressed in salivary gland tissue [58], and in annotations, it is thought to have growth factor activity. ULBP2 is one of a family of cell membrane proteins expressed on both transformed and stressed cells [59]. It is a stress-induced molecule and a ligand for NKG2D that activates NK cells and provides co-stimulation for T cells [60]. In context here, ULBP2 is regulated by IL12 (family), and ULBP2 is thought to regulate the production and expression of IFNG, CCL1 and CCL4 [61, 62]. ULBP2 also plays a role in cell activation, proliferation, and killing by NK cells and cytotoxic T cells [62, 63].

Forty-three of 105 CCBMs is an unreasonably large number of markers to be of practical use for differentiating children with SS from healthy study controls. Smaller combinations of CCBMs have been reported as identifying adults with SS. For example, a 4-plex profile (containing FGF-4, clusterin, IL4 and IL5) and a 6-plex profile was able to differentiate adults with SS from healthy study controls [64]. A 3-plex profile containing CPD, α-enolase and B2M was able to differentiate adults with SS and had an AUC value of 0.99 [65]. The accuracy of these marker sets for children is not known. Therefore, we used ROC analysis on the 105 CCBMs to identify feature sets of CCBMs that may also have accurate diagnostic ability. There were strong performing feature sets containing 2–5 CCBMs with AUC values ranging from 0.89 for IL27, MIA, CCL4, TNFRSF18 and TNFA to 0.92 for IL27 and CCL4 (Supplementary Table S4, available at Rheumatology online). Among the best-performing models, the k-Nearest Neighbour classifier had the highest AUC value (AUC = 0.93, Fig. 4) on a feature set consisting of only two CCBMs: IL27 and CCL4. These CCBMs were also in the group of 43/105 CCBMs that were found to be different \( P < 0.05 \) in children with SS compared with the healthy study controls.

In summary, additional studies are needed to determine whether the new CCBMs identified here in the saliva of children with SS represent reliable early markers of disease or, rather, a paediatric-specific disease process. Further understanding of the pathogenic roles of these CCBMs may provide new targets for therapeutic intervention. Ultimately, objective measures for diagnosing SS in children may provide a unique window of opportunity in which to initiate immunomodulating therapies toalter the course of disease progression that is currently not possible.
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