High-resolution chromosome painting with repetitive and single-copy oligonucleotides in *Arachis species* identifies structural rearrangements and genome differentiation
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Arachis* contains 80 species that carry many beneficial genes that can be utilized in the genetic improvement of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L. 2n = 4x = 40, genome AABB). Chromosome engineering is a powerful technique by which these genes can be transferred and utilized in cultivated peanut. However, their small chromosomes and insufficient cytological markers have made chromosome identification and studies relating to genome evolution quite difficult. The development of efficient cytological markers or probes is very necessary for both chromosome engineering and genome discrimination in cultivated peanut.

**Results:** A simple and efficient oligonucleotide multiplex probe to distinguish genomes, chromosomes, and chromosomal aberrations of peanut was developed based on eight single-stranded oligonucleotides (SSONs) derived from repetitive sequences. High-resolution karyotypes of 16 *Arachis* species, two interspecific F1 hybrids, and one radiation-induced M1 plant were then developed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) using oligonucleotide multiplex, 45S and 5S rDNAs, and genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) using total genomic DNA of *A. duranensis* (2n = 2x = 20, AA) and *A. ipaënsis* (2n = 2x = 20, BB) as probes. Genomes, chromosomes, and aberrations were clearly identifiable in the established karyotypes. All eight cultivars had similar karyotypes, whereas the eight wild species exhibited various chromosomal variations. In addition, a chromosome-specific SSON library was developed based on the single-copy sequence of chromosome 6A of *A. duranensis*. In combination with repetitive SSONs and rDNA FISH, the single-copy SSON library was applied to identify the corresponding A3 chromosome in the *A. duranensis* karyotype.
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Background
Cultivated peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is an allotetraploid species that was evolved from a cross of two wild diploid progenitors, A. duranensis and A. ipaënsis [1]. It is widely cultivated worldwide as both an oil and cash crop. In 2016, total peanut production around the world was 43,982,063 tons and average yield was 1710.49 kg ha⁻¹ [2]. However, peanut productivity is severely constrained by diseases and pests. The development of resistant cultivars is time-consuming and has been challenged by the existence of quantitative resistance traits and limited resistance resources in the cultivated gene pool [1].

The genus Arachis comprises 80 species [1], many of which potentially harbor beneficial genes for peanut improvement. Chromosome engineering is a useful approach by which genes from related species can be transferred and exploited. However, it is difficult to cytologically distinguish chromosomes of wild species because of their small size and the paucity of cytological markers. Therefore, many species, such as Arachis batizocoi, A. cruziana, A. krapovickasii, A. benensis, A. trinitensis, A. decora, A. palustris, and A. praecox have had incorrectly classified genomes [3, 4]. Staining with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and the use of rDNA probes are major tools that are traditionally used to differentiate peanut chromosomes [5–8]. The application of centromeric and telomeric repeat probes has significantly improved the ability to resolve Arachis karyotypes [9, 10]. Zhang et al. [11] identified seven A. duranensis bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones that facilitated the differentiation of almost all chromosomes of peanut. Unfortunately, the existing markers were primarily distributed in the centromeric or telomeric regions with limited chromosomal polymorphism. In addition, the preparation of these markers is time-consuming and expensive.

SSONs, short DNA or RNA fragments, can be modified and directly hybridized with chromosomal DNA, thereby potentially replace traditional plasmid clones [12]. Oligo-painting, using repetitive and single-copy sequences as probes, is a simple and efficient method that is increasingly used in a wide variety of organisms, including humans [13], propionibacteria [14], cucumber [15], wheat [16], and maize [17]. Two types of SSON probes are currently available: repetitive and single-copy. Repetitive SSONs can be derived from simple sequence repeats (SSRs), genomic sequence repeats (GSRs), and multi-copy genetic sequences (MCGSs) [18–21]. Single-copy SSONs include single-gene and single-copy genomic oligonucleotides [15, 22]. Repetitive SSONs, generally clustered together on one or several genomes or chromosomes, are frequently used to develop high-resolution karyotypes and identify chromosomes [16, 17, 23]. Single-copy SSONs are usually chromosome-specific, and are thus used to track specific chromosomes or chromosomal fragments and identify homoeologous chromosomes in closely related species [13, 15, 24]. In addition, SSONs can be used for species identification [25], haplotype analysis of homologous chromosomes [26], and RNA analysis of single cells [27, 28].

The availability of genome sequences of peanut and its donor species A. duranensis (A-genome) and A. ipaënsis (B-genome) [29], has facilitated the development of peanut SSON probes and provided a novel method by which peanut chromosomal structure and genomic evolution could be deciphered. The aim of the present study was to investigate chromosomal structural rearrangement and genome differentiation through high-resolution chromosome painting in the genus Arachis. The specific objectives were to: 1) develop repetitive SSON probes using A. duranensis genomic sequences, SSRs, and telomeric repeats; 2) utilize multiplex probes for simple and efficient chromosome identification; 3) compare high-resolution karyotypes of eight commercial cultivars, eight wild species, two interspecific F₁ hybrids, and one radiation-induced M₁ plant to reveal chromosomal rearrangements and genomic evolution; and 4) construct a chromosome-specific single-copy SSON library from the sequenced chromosome 6A to identify the corresponding chromosome in the karyotype.

Results
Development of repetitive SSON probes
Eight repetitive SSON probes were identified and designed from different repetitive sequence sources. Probe sequences and the source of repetitive sequences are listed in Table 1. Sequential FISH/GISH was performed (Continued from previous page)
to position these SSONs on chromosomes of Silihong (SLH), A. duranensis (the A-genome donor), and A. ipaënsis (the B-genome donor). All repetitive SSON probes generated distinguishable, stable, and clear signals on chromosomes of SLH, A. duranensis, and A. ipaënsis (Fig. 1 and Additional file 1: Figure S1, Additional file 2: Figure S2, Additional file 3: Figure S3, Additional file 4: Figure S4). The DP-1 probe produced signals that completely overlapped with those produced by 5S rDNA plasmid clones in SLH (Fig. 1 and Additional file 1: Figure S1). Signals from DP-5 were distributed at the chromosome ends and in the centromeric, pericentromeric, and intercalary regions. They particularly formed larger clusters of strong signals in the long arm of A hy5 and the short arm of A hy7 of the A-genome, and in the centromeric region of B hy5 of the B-genome (Fig. 1; Additional file 3: Figure S3). The DP-6 probe produced signals in 13 chromosomes of SLH. With the exception of one signal, which was detected on the long arm of chromosome A hy1, all other signals were found in the centromeric regions of A- and B-genome chromosomes with various intensities. Most of the clear

Table 1  Single-stranded oligonucleotide (SSON) probes developed in this study

| SSON | Sequence and 5′- end modification | Origin |
|------|----------------------------------|--------|
| DP-1 | TAMRA-5′-TCCGATACCAAGCAGAATAATGGAACGATCCCGTCAAGAATCTGTAAGGACTG - 3′ | 5S rDNA (GenBank: M10470.1) |
| DP-2 | FAM-5′-ACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACT-3′ | (ACT)10 |
| DP-3 | FAM-5′-CTAAAATCGTTAGTGGGTCGGTA-3′ | Genomic DNA |
| DP-4 | TAMRA-5′-ATTATTATTATTATTATTATTATTATTATT-3′ | (ATT)10 |
| DP-5 | TAMRA-5′-TTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGG

Fig. 1  FISH mapping of DP-1 (red), DP-2 (green), DP-3 (green), DP-4 (red), DP-5 (green), DP-6 (red), DP-7 (red), and DP-8 (green) by sequential FISH using 45S rDNA (red), 5S rDNA (green), and A. duranensis (green) and A. ipaënsis (red) total genomic DNA as probes in Arachis hypogea cv. SLH, A. duranensis, and A. ipaënsis. Blue color represents chromosomes counterstained with DAPI.
signals of DP-2, DP-3, DP-4, and DP-7 were observed only in the centromeric regions of B-genome chromosomes (Fig. 1). However, one exception was observed for DP-7, which revealed a clear signal in chromosome A3 near the 5S rDNA region, whereas an absence of, or sporadically weak signals were detected on the other A-genome chromosomes. In contrast to the four former SSONs, DP-8 only produced signals on eight A-genome chromosomes and on one B-genome chromosome in SLH (Fig. 1 and Additional file 4: Figure S4).

The eight SSON probes produced almost identical signals between the Bi- and Bhy-genome chromosomes of the wild donor A. ipaënsis and SLH. The only difference was that DP-8 produced a signal only on chromosome Bhy9 of SLH, but produced an additional signal on B7 in A. ipaënsis. Most signals generated by these eight probes were also similar between the A-genome chromosomes of A. duranensis and SLH, with only few exceptions. For instance, the signal of DP-5 at the interstitial position on the long arm of Ahy5 was weaker than that on Adu5. The DP-6 probe produced signals at centromeric positions on the chromosomes of both species (A3, A4, A5, and A9), and other signals in SLH only (Ahy1), or A. duranensis only (Adu2, Adu7, Adu8, and Adu10), or produced no signal at all (Adu1).

### High-resolution karyotyping of peanut based on oligopainting using a repetitive SSON multiplex probe

A multiplex #1 repetitive SSON probe was developed according to the signal patterns of seven repetitive SSON probes that included 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM) modified DP-2, 5, and 7, and 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) modified DP-1, 3, 4, and 6 (Table 2). Sequential FISH/GISH using Multiplex #1, total genomic DNA of A. duranensis and A. ipaënsis, and 45S and 5S rDNA probes facilitated the development of a high-resolution karyotype for SLH (Additional file 5: Figure S5).

| Multiplex | SSON with 5′-end modified | Concentration (ng/μL) |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| #1        | FAM - DP-2                | 100                   |
|           | FAM - DP-5                | 100                   |
|           | FAM - DP-7                | 26.67                 |
|           | TAMRA - DP-1              | 33.33                 |
|           | TAMRA - DP-3              | 100.00                |
|           | TAMRA - DP-4              | 100.00                |
|           | TAMRA - DP-6              | 100.00                |
| #2        | FAM - DP-2                | 100                   |
|           | FAM - DP-5                | 100                   |
|           | FAM - DP-7                | 26.67                 |

Table 2: Single-stranded oligonucleotide (SSON) multiplexes developed in this study

Significant differences in Athy and Bhy chromosomes were visible in this karyotype. Among Bhy chromosomes, Bhy5 displayed distinct green centromeric signals, whereas Bhy8 and Bhy10 exhibited strong red centromeric signals. Both red and green signals were detected in the centromeric regions of all remaining chromosomes, with the exception of Bhy2, which displayed no signals. Strong signals of both colors were detected on Bhy3, Bhy4, Bhy6, and Bhy9, whereas weaker signals of both colors were detected on Bhy1, and only strong red signal was detected on Bhy7. Signals of 45S or 5S rDNA were detected on Bhy3, Bhy7, and Bhy10. Among the Athy chromosomes, Athy7 and Athy5, quite unlike the other Athy chromosomes, showed strong interstitial telomeric signals, on the short arm of Athy7 and on the long arm of Athy5. Signals associated with both 45S and 5S rDNA loci were detected on Athy2, Athy3, and Athy10. Furthermore, Athy6 displayed no signals, Athy4 and Athy8 displayed large green centromeric signals, and small red signals were detected on the long arm of Athy1 (Fig. 2, Additional file 5: Figure S5 and Additional file 6: Figure S6).

To validate the potential of Multiplex #1, seven other cultivars (N686, N607, N655, N734, N477, N587, and N419) were analyzed. In general, almost all chromosomes of these cultivars were similar to those of SLH, and the karyotypes of all cultivars remained conservative, with the exception of chromosomes Athy10 and Bhy1, both of which showed minor variations in the centromeric and 45S rDNA regions of different cultivars (Fig. 2).

### Chromosomal structural rearrangements revealed in wild Arachis species

To assay genomic differentiation and chromosomal rearrangements, the chromosomes of eight wild species were discriminated by sequential FISH/GISH using Multiplex #1 (Additional file 6: Figure S6 and Additional file 7: Figure S7). High-resolution karyotypes (Fig. 3) and idiograms of these species (Additional file 8: Figure S8) were developed and compared. Among these species, the genome of A. duranensis and A. ipaënsis showed a high similarity to the respective A and B genomes of SLH; however, a greater number of green signals were observed on the long arm of Adu5 than on Athy5 (Fig. 3 and Additional file 8: Figure S8).

Significant differences were observed on chromosomes 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 of six A-genome species (SLH, A. duranensis, A. chiquitana, A. stenosperma, A. dioi, and A. villosa). Signals were absent from chromosomes Athy1, Adu1, A6, A6, and Adu6, whereas green signals were present on the other chromosomes. Chromosomes Athy4, Adu4, A4, Athy8, Adu8, A8, A8, and A8 exhibited green signals only, whereas A4, A4, Adu4, and Adu8 displayed both red and green signals. With the exception of the much larger chromosome, A9, which exhibited only
Green signals, chromosomes A9 among the other species were similar in size and displayed both green and red signals (Fig. 3 and Additional file 8: Figure S8). Green signals were absent from the long arm of chromosomes A5 and A7, and red signals were absent from the centromere of A5. On chromosomes A7, green signals were detected at the ends of the short arms of A^b^7 and A^du^7, the end of the long arm of A^7^, and in the centromeric region of A^7^7. Red signals were observed on chromosome A^1^0 at the centromere. Based on these signal patterns, the genome of A. duranensis was evidently most similar to the A^hy^ chromosomes of SLH, followed by A. villosa, A. stenosperm, A. diogoi, and A. chiquitana (Fig. 3 and Additional file 8: Figure S8).
Karyotypes of *A. batizocoi* and *A. stenophylla* accessions were significantly different from the A and B genomes. In both species, green signals covered almost the entire short arm of chromosome 9, and 45S and 5S rDNA loci were detected on chromosome 10. The chromosomes of *A. batizocoi* and *A. stenophylla* were quite different from each other; *A. batizocoi* displayed 5S rDNA signals on K_b3 and K_b8, whereas *A. stenophylla* did not; E_S5, E_S6, and E_S7 exhibited both red and green signals in their centromeric regions, whereas only green signals were observed in these regions on K_b5, K_b6, and K_b7. Furthermore, the distribution of 45S and 5S rDNA loci showed opposite trends between K_b10 and E_S10, suggesting an inversion in one of the two species (Fig. 3 and Additional file 8: Figure S8).

Comparative analysis of all karyotypes revealed three types of structural rearrangements in the wild species studied. First, heterogeneous rDNA loci were found in different homologous chromosome pairs. For example, 45S rDNA signals differed between the homologous chromosomes 7 in species of the A-genome. In particular, the intensity of the 45S rDNA signals were identical in A_c7 and differed in A_s7. The 45S rDNA signal was detected only on the A_d7 chromosome; however, these signals were lacking on A_d7 (Fig. 3 and Additional file 8: Figure S8). Second, interstitial telomeric signal positions and amplifications were variable. Signals of different intensities and sizes were found at the chromosome ends (A_d7 and E_9), in the middle of chromosome arms (A_d5), or near centromeres (B_5/5) (Fig. 3 and Additional file 8: Figure S8). Third, chromosome morphology was variable among different species. For example, chromosomes A_9 and A_a9 were small and metacentric; whereas E_9 was submetacentric and E_8 was subteloacentric (Additional file 8: Figure S8).

### Chromosomal characterization of interspecific F_1 hybrids and an irradiation-induced M_1 plant

To further validate the potential of Multiplex #1, two interspecific F_1 hybrids were examined by sequential FISH/GISH. Two genome sets of the hybrid w1510 were identical to A_and B_and of SLH, and the third genome set was the same as K_b of *A. batizocoi* (Fig. 4 and Additional file 9: Figure S9). For w1612, two genome sets were identical to A_and B_and of N734, and the third was similar to E_5 of *A. stenophylla* (Fig. 4 and Additional file 9: Figure S9). These results indicate that both w1510 and w1612 were true F_1 hybrids.
Multiplex #2, which included TAMRA-modified DP-8, and FAM-modified DP-2, DP-5, and DP-7, was used for oligopainting with sequential GISH/FISH to characterize a radiation-induced M₁ plant (162–30). By applying this probe, we were able to identify two chromosomal translocations and one monosomic chromosome (Fig. 5a ~ 5f). One translocation between A₈b₄ and B₉y₄ that contained two centromeric DAPI bands and SSON centromeric bands of both colors, formed a potential dicentric chromosome T4BS·4BS·4AS·4AL. The other translocation, T10BS·10AS·10AL, involved a large satellite. The monosomic chromosome was identified in the B₉y₃ chromosome because of its unique signal patterns (Fig. 5g and h).

Oligopainting using the single-copy sequence library of chromosome 6A as probes, decorated the cytologically designated chromosome A3 of A. duranensis

To correlate a sequenced chromosome with a cytologically identified chromosome, a single-copy SSON library was developed from a ~ 8.5 Mb distal region of 6A and used to paint metaphase chromosomes of A. duranensis. A pair of clear signals was reputedly detected on one pair of chromosomes of A. duranensis (Additional file 10: Figure S10). Sequential FISH using 45S, 5S rDNA, and DP-5 probes (Additional file 11: Figure S11) revealed that the target signals were present in cytologically designated chromosome A3, which displayed a typical distribution of 5S rDNA on its short arm (Fig. 6).

Discussion

Oligopainting using repetitive and single-copy sequences as probes provides a simple and efficient method for chromosome identification in peanut

Chromosome identification has previously been carried out in peanut using primarily FISH with 45S and 5S rDNA probes and DAPI staining [6–8]. Zhang et al. [11] recently developed seven BAC clones in Arachis as powerful new markers for chromosome identification. In the present study, eight repetitive SSON probes and one single-copy SSON library were successfully developed, and the repetitive SSON probes were combined into two powerful multiplexes (Multiplex #1 and Multiplex #2) for efficient chromosome discrimination. The two multiplexes effectively differentiated the chromosomes and genomes of eight peanut cultivars, eight wild species, two interspecific hybrids, and one radiation-induced M₁ plant, and revealed structural rearrangements in these species and accessions. Repetitive SSON probes for the identification of peanut chromosomes can be easily designed and modified, thus greatly reducing the required time and expense. The repetitive SSON probes can be used advantageously in nondenaturing FISH, thereby further simplifying the FISH procedure [16]. Our findings demonstrated that repetitive SSON probes could be useful and provide powerful tools for peanut chromosome engineering. However, the current SSONs did not cover all chromosome regions and involved only limited types of repetitive sequences. A further study should utilize various sources of repetitive sequences to identify specific chromosomes or regions.

The International Peanut Sequencing Project has sequenced the reference genomes of A. duranensis and A. ipaënsis [29]. However, no direct correspondence has been established between the peanut linkage map and cytologically identified chromosomes. Based on the genome sequence of chromosome A6 of A. duranensis, we successfully developed a chromosome-specific single-copy SSON library, which could be used to paint the target chromosomes. By using both the repetitive SSONs and single-copy sequences as probes for oligopainting, we identified the target chromosomes and successfully correlated a sequenced A6 segment to the actual chromosome. This opens a path to further correlation of all sequenced chromosomes with cytologically identified chromosomes. The development of more single-copy SSON libraries for each chromosome of peanut is underway at our institute.

New clues for genomic evolution revealed by painting of Arachis species

In the cultivated peanut and its wild ancestors, chromosome painting with the eight probes generated fewer and weaker signals on A-genome chromosomes than on those of the B-genome. For example, three SSON probes, DP-2, −4, and −7, derived from SSRs (ACT)₁₀, (ATT)₁₀, and (A)₃₁, respectively, rarely produced signals on A-genome chromosomes, but yielded strong signals on chromosomes of the B-genome, which showed more SSRs variations in A. ipaënsis than in A. duranensis. These results are consistent with the fact that although mono-, di-, and tri-nucleotides are the most abundant motifs in A. duranensis and A. ipaënsis, a greater number of SSRs are present in A. ipaënsis than in A. duranensis [30]. In addition, A. ipaënsis contains more transposable elements than A. duranensis does [29]. This suggests that the B-genome has more repeats, and may explain why the size of B-genome is larger than that of the A-genome (1.56 Gb vs 1.25 Gb) [29]. Other repetitive SSONs also produced weaker and fewer signals on A chromosomes, in comparison to B chromosomes. For example, signals between B₁ and B₁y5 genomes, with the exception of DP-8 on chromosome 7, were almost identical in terms of position and intensity; however, fewer signals were present on four chromosomes of the A₁y genome relative to A₁du. Moreover, some signals on the A₁y genome, such as A₁y5, varied according to their quantity and position (Fig. 1), suggesting that during evolution, large chromosomal rearrangements occurred in the A-genome chromosomes rather than
Fig. 5 (See legend on next page.)
in the B-genome chromosomes. These results correspond well with the findings of Bertioli et al. [29] and Zhang et al. [11].

Although the eight tested cultivars represented three different peanut taxa (varieties Fastigiata, hypogea, and vulgaris), their karyotypes were similar to each other, even though a signal variation was found between chromosomes A hyp10 and B hyp1. This observation provides evidence that these cultivated peanut varieties arose from a single allotetraploid species [31].

Our results indicated that the genome of A. duranensis was most similar to the A-genome of the cultivated peanut, followed by the genomes of A. villosa, A. stenosperma, A. diogoi, and A. chiquitana, supporting the contention that A. duranensis was the donor of the A-genome [7]. Similarly, the identical karyotypes observed between A. ipaënsis and the B-genome of cultivated peanut supports the notion of A. ipaënsis as the B-genome donor [3, 8, 29, 32].

Chromosome identification by oligopainting likewise facilitates the classification of species. A. chiquitana was originally grouped with P genome species [33]. However, because it carried a small “A chromosome” and showed similar 45S rDNA, 5S rDNA, and DAPI banding patterns to those of A-genome species, it was regrouped with species of the A-genome [7]. In the present study, the karyotype of A. chiquitana was considerably different from

Fig. 5 Chromosome aberrations detected in radiation-induced M 1 plant 162–30 of peanut cultivar SLH after sequential FISH/GISH. a–f Results of sequential FISH/GISH in SLH (a–c) and M1 plant 162–30 (d–f) using Multiplex #2 (a and d), A. duranensis genomic DNA (b and e, green), A. ipaënsis genomic DNA (b and e, red), 5S rDNA (c and f, green), and 45S rDNA (c and f, red). g Karyotypes of SLH and 162–30. h Translocated chromosomes in 162–30. Multiplex #2 contains four SSONs, including TAMRA-DP-8, FAM-DP-3, FAM-DP-5, and FAM-DP-7.

Fig. 6 Oligopainting using single-copy oligonucleotide library 6A-1 combined with sequential FISH using DP-5, and plasmid clones 45S rDNA and SS rDNA as probes in A. duranensis. Blue color represents chromosomes counterstained with DAPI; a and c shows oligopainting using single-copy SSON library 6A-1 (green); b and d, sequential FISH using repetitive SSON DP-5 (green), 45S rDNA (red), and SS rDNA (white inverted from the original green); c From left to right: chromosome with signals of library 6A-1 (green); karyotype of A3, probe signals are same as (b) and (d); idiograms of the chromosome displaying signals from library 6A-1 and the karyotype of A3; and sequence map of A6 showing 0–8.5 Mb regions corresponding to library 6A-1. Yellow arrows in panels (a–d) indicate chromosomes with signals from library 6A-1.
those of other species. For example, the morphology and repetitive SSON banding pattern of A9 were very distinct from those of A9 chromosomes of other species with the A-genome. The genome of A. chiquitana thus does not seem to be associated with the species group bearing the A-genome. Genomes E5 and K8 are more closely related to each other than they are to other genomes, even though they belong to different sections of the genus Arachis.

Comparative karyotyping by SSON DP-5 revealed that variable internal telomeric repeats (ITRs) exist in chromosomes. They might have descended from telomere-mediated chromosomal rearrangements in these repeats during genomic evolution in peanut. Heterogeneous ITRs have also been observed in tomato, and some ITRs were evidently extremely amplified [34]. Chromosome 10 of A. stenophylla and A. batizocoi both contained an obvious inversion in the region containing 45S and 5S rDNA loci, providing further evidence for chromosomal structural rearrangements.

From both our previous report [10] using the PCR-amplified telomeric repeats as probes, and the present results using multiple oligonucleotide probes, the discovery of heterogeneous distributions of rDNAs, chromosome inversions, duplications, and morphological variations in different peanut genomes has indicated that Arachis might have undergone significant chromosomal rearrangements during genome evolution. Further oligopainting using a greater number of repetitive and single-copy SSON probes should allow us to gain more information on these changes.

Conclusions

Repetitive and single-copy SSONs and multiplex repetitive SSON probes developed in the present study can be useful tools for simple and efficient chromosome identification and genomic differentiation, and facilitate chromosome engineering in peanut. Oligopainting using repetitive and single-copy sequences as probes showed that modern peanut cultivars have almost identical karyotypes, indicating low genetic diversity. In contrast, wild species exhibited an abundance of chromosomal rearrangements and variations, suggesting their genomic potential for peanut improvement. With the availability of refined reference genome sequences of peanut, additional repetitive and single-copy SSON probes can be developed and used to correlate genetic and cytological maps. Further chromosomal rearrangements, including translocations, inversions, and duplications, should be identifiable using these types of probes. The repetitive and single-copy SSON probes and methodology described in the present study provide useful tools for further application and cytogenetic study in peanut.

Methods

Plant materials

Eight accessions of cultivated peanut (A. hypogaea) (2n = 2x = 40) and eight diploid Arachis species (A. chiquitana, A. stenosperma, A. diogoi, A. villosa, A. duranensis, A. ipaënsis, A. batizocoi, and A. stenophylla) were used in this study. Five cultivars (SLH, Kainong30, Linguida chezi, Yuhua15, Liuchengzhendudou) were from Henan Academy of Agricultural Sciences. The other three cultivars and eight diploid species were introduced from National Germplasm Resources Laboratory of USA (Beltsville, MD). Accession number, chromosome number, genomic constitution, origin and identification of each plant material are listed in Table 3. In addition, two interspecific F1 hybrids (w1510 from a cross between the SLH variety and A. batizocoi, and w1612 from a cross between the N734 variety and A. stenophylla), and one irradiation-induced SLH M1 plant (designated as 162–30) generated by pollen irradiation at a dosage of 16 Gy using a 60Co source (Isotope Institute Co. Ltd., Henan Academy of Sciences) were also used as plant materials.

Chromosome preparation

Seeds were germinated for 7 d on moist filter paper at 25 °C. Healthy lateral root tips were excised and pretreated with 2 mM 8-hydroxyquinoline for 3 h at 25 °C, fixed in 3:1 absolute ethanol: glacial acetic acid for 5 h at 25 °C, and stored at −20 °C. Root meristem sections of 0.3–0.5 mm were excised, squashed in 45% glacial acetic acid, and frozen at −80 °C for 12 h. The chromosome spreads were dehydrated in 100% ethanol and air-dried after removal of the cover slips.

Repetitive SSONs and single-copy SSON design and labeling

Eight repetitive SSON probes, named DP-1 to DP-8, were selected from different sources of repetitive sequences. The DP-2 and DP-4 probes were screened from 10 tri-nucleotide SSRs, including (AAC)10, (AAG)10, (AGG)10, (ACT)10, (CAT)10, (CAG)10, (CA)10, (AAC)10, (AAT)10, and (GCC)10, and four di-nucleotide SSRs, namely (AT)15, (GC)15, (AG)15, and (AC)15, as described by Cuadrado et al. [18] and Du et al. [10]. The design of the DP-5 probe was based on the repeat sequence (TTAGGG)10, as described by Cuadrado et al. [12] and Du et al. [10]. The DP-1 probe was designed by Blasting the wheat 5S rDNA sequence against genomic sequences of A. duranensis (Table 1). Whereas DP-3, DP-6, DP-7, and DP-8 were designed following the method of Du et al. [16] using the 4 Gb genomic sequence of A. duranensis (unpublished data). The A. duranensis genomic sequence k-mers were counted using the Jellyfish tool (Version 1.1.11) [35] with the following parameters: k-mer = 31 nt and copy number > 1000. The
repeat sequences were analyzed by the CD-HIT Suite and DNAMAN software, and those sequences having more than 80% identity with other repeats were discarded. From the remaining 18,052 oligonucleotides, repetitive SSONs with the highest copy number were selected. This selection resulted in 150 repetitive SSONs that were synthesized and labeled by random labeling, and analyzed on SLH chromosomes. Four repetitive SSON probes, DP-3, DP-6, DP-7, and DP-8, were then selected. This selection resulted in 150 repetitive SSONs with the highest copy number were selected. This selection resulted in 150 repetitive SSONs. As a result, 20,000 selected single-copy SSONs were synthesized by MYcroarray (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The resulting library was amplified and labeled with digoxigenin-11-dUTP according to the MYcroarray_MYtags labeling protocol [40].

**FISH and sequential FISH analysis**

Total genomic DNA was extracted from fresh young leaves of *A. duranensis* and *A. ipaënsis* [41]. Clones of 5S and 45S rDNA of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) were provided by Dr. Bikram S Gill, of Kansas State University, USA. The 5S rDNA clone and total genomic DNA of *A. ipaënsis* were labeled with biotin-16-dUTP (Roche) by nick translation and detected with fluorescein anti-biotin (Roche), whereas 45S rDNA and total genomic DNA of *A. duranensis* were labeled with digoxigenin-11-dUTP (Roche) and detected with anti-digoxigenin-rhodamine (Roche). The FISH procedure followed the method described by Zhu et al. [17]. Briefly, the hybridization solution contained 7.5 μL formamide (Sigma), 1.5 μL 20× saline-sodium citrate (SSC) buffer, 1.0 μL of each probe, 2.0 μL of 50% dextran sulfate (Sigma), and ddH2O added to bring the total volume to 15 μL. The hybridization solution was denatured at 105 °C for 13 min, and the

### Table 3 Plant materials used in this study

| Accession number | PI number or variety name | Identification | Collector No. | Origin | Section (genome) | Species (type) | References |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| SLH              | Silihong Zhang XY         | China          |              | Arachis (A^nA'BB)^n | A. hypogaea (var. fastigiata) | [1, 7, 43] |
| N686             | PI 158854 Holbrook        | GP-134         | China        | Arachis (A^nBB^B)^n | A. hypogaea (var. hypogaea) | [42] |
| N607             | Kainong30 Zhang XY        | China          | Arachis (A^nBB^B)^n | A. hypogaea (var. hypogaea) | [42] |
| N655             | Linguidachazi Zhang XY    | China          | Arachis (A^nBB^B)^n | A. hypogaea (var. hypogaea) | [42] |
| N734             | Yuhua15 Zhang XY          | China          | Arachis (A^nBB^B)^n | A. hypogaea (var. hypogaea) | [42] |
| N477             | PI 240560 Holbrook        | GP-14          | South Africa | Arachis (A^nBB^B)^n | A. hypogaea (var. hypogaea) | [42] |
| N587             | PI 290560 Holbrook        | GP-162         | India        | Arachis (A^nBB^B)^n | A. hypogaea (var. fastigiata) | [42] |
| N419             | Liuchengzhenzhudou Zhang XY | China        | Arachis (A^nBB^B)^n | A. hypogaea (var. vulgari) | [1, 7, 43] |
| Zw51             | PI 338280 Hammons         | Brazil          | Arachis (A^nA') | A. stenosperma Krapov. & W. C. Greg. | [1, 7, 43] |
| Zw52             | PI 298638 Krapovickas     | 9484           | Bolivia      | Arachis (K^nK') | A. batizocoi Krapov. & W. C. Greg. | [3, 43] |
| Zw53             | PI 468322 Krapovickas     | 30,076         | Bolivia      | Arachis (B^nB') | A. ipaënsis Krapov. & W. C. Greg. | [3, 43] |
| Zw55             | PI 219823 Krapovickas     | 7988           | Argentina    | Arachis (A^nA^nA') | A. duranensis Krapov. & W. C. Greg. | [7, 43] |
| Zw56             | PI 298636 Tweedi          | 22,585         | Argentina    | Arachis (A^nA') | A. villosa Benth. | [1, 7, 43] |
| Zw57             | PI 276235 Simpson and Charles | 10,602   | Paraguay     | Arachis (A^nA') | A. dioico Hoehne | [1, 7, 43] |
| Zw59             | PI 476006 Krapovickas     | 36,027         | Bolivia      | Arachis (A^nA') | A. chiquitana Krapov. et al. | [7, 43] |
| Zw61             | PI 468178 Gregory         | 30,136         | Brazil       | Arachis (A^nA') | A. stenophylla Krapov. & W. C. Greg. | [1, 43] |
spread chromosomes were denatured in 70% formamide at 75 °C for 70 s, and then hybridized overnight at 37 °C. After hybridization, the slides were washed three times in 2× SSC at room temperature, and mounted with VECTASHIELD Mounting Medium, following which DAPI staining was performed.

Sequential FISH/GISH procedures were performed to map the signals of oligonucleotide probes. Briefly, slides were denatured after FISH using repetitive and single-copy SSON probes, and then washed to remove all signals, and subsequently dried. The GISH procedure was performed using total genomic DNA of A. duranensis and A. ipaënsis as probes to identify A- and B-genome chromosomes, respectively. A second FISH procedure using 45S rDNA and 5S rDNA probes was conducted on the same slides to develop karyotypes, as described by Du et al. [10].

Fluorescence microscopy and imaging

Slides were examined using a Leica DM6000 fluorescence microscope (Leica). Separate images from each filter set were captured using a cooled CCD camera (Leica). Images were optimized for contrast and brightness using Adobe Photoshop. To distinguish the signals produced in each chromosome by different probes after sequential FISH, the original green signal of 5S rDNA was converted to pseudo-color white. For karyotyping and chromosome diversity analysis, 3–5 cells of each accession, including 6–10 samples of each chromosome, were observed. Most karyotypes were developed from a single cell, unless they were derived from overlapping chromosomes. Chromosomes of each species were primarily ordered based on morphology, size, and unique patterns, as reported by Du et al. [10].

Additional files

**Additional file 1: Figure S1.** FISH mapping of DP-2 (b, g, and l, green) and DP-1 (c, h, and m, red) by sequential FISH using 45S rDNA (e, g and j, red), 5S rDNA (e, g, and j, green), and A. duranensis (a, green) and A. ipaënsis (a, red) total genomic DNA as probes in SLH (a–e), A. duranensis (f–j), and A. ipaënsis (k–o). (TIF 2370 kb)

**Additional file 2: Figure S2.** FISH mapping of DP-3 (b, g, and l, green) and DP-4 (c, h, and m; red) by sequential FISH using 45S rDNA (e, g, and j, red), 5S rDNA (e, g, and j, green) and A. duranensis (a, green) and A. ipaënsis (a, red) total genomic DNA as probes in SLH (a–e), A. duranensis (f–j), and A. ipaënsis (k–o). (TIF 2188 kb)

**Additional file 3: Figure S3.** FISH mapping of DP-5 (b, g, and l, green) and DP-6 (c, h, and m, red) by sequential FISH using 45S rDNA (e, g, and j, red), 5S rDNA (e, g, and j, green) and A. duranensis (a, green) and A. ipaënsis (a, red) total genomic DNA as probes in SLH (a–e), A. duranensis (f–j), and A. ipaënsis (k–o). (TIF 2587 kb)

**Additional file 4: Figure S4.** FISH mapping of DP-8 (b, g, and l, green) and DP-7 (c, h, and m, red) by sequential FISH using 45S rDNA (e, g, and j, red), 5S rDNA (e, g, and j, green) and A. duranensis (a, green) and A. ipaënsis (a, red) total genomic DNA as probes in SLH (a–e), A. duranensis (f–j), and A. ipaënsis (k–o). (TIF 2283 kb)

**Additional file 5: Figure S5.** Sequential FISH/GISH using Multiplex #1 (left column, SSON), A. duranensis genomic DNA, A. ipaënsis genomic DNA (middle column, GISH), 45S rDNA, and 5S rDNA (right column, 45S/5S) as probes in eight peanut varieties. (TIF 2593 kb)

**Additional file 6: Figure S6.** Dual-color FISH using Multiplex #1 in SLH (a – d), A. duranensis (e – h), and A. ipaënsis (i – l). (TIF 1882 kb)

**Additional file 7: Figure S7.** Sequential FISH using multiplex #1 (left column, SSON), 45S rDNA, and 5S rDNA (right column, 45S/5S) as probes in eight Arachis species. (TIF 3606 kb)

**Additional file 8: Figure S8.** Idiogram karyotypes of SLH and eight Arachis species. (a and b, submetacentric; c, subtelocentric; d, telocentric; Bar, 3 μm. (TIF 666 kb)

**Additional file 9: Figure S9.** Karyotypes of two hybrid F1-derived cultivated peanut and diploid wild species using repetitive Multiplex #1 (SSON), 45S and 5S rDNA (45S/5S) plasmid clones as probes. (TIF 1258 kb)

**Additional file 10: Figure S10.** FISH using oligonucleotide library 6A-1 in A. duranensis. (TIF 1066 kb)

**Additional file 11: Figure S11.** Sequential FISH using DP-5 (a, e, and k, green), 45S rDNA (b and f, green), and 5S rDNA (c and g, green) in A. duranensis, and its merged figures (d and h). (TIF 1141 kb)
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