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Figure S1. $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound L in DMSO-$d_6$.

Figure S2. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of compound L in DMSO-$d_6$. 
Figure S3. HRMS spectrum of compound L in CH$_3$CN ([M+H]$^+$ calcd: 571.1751, found: 571.1802).

Figure S4. Fluorescence changes of various anions added to probe L, 1: HS$^-$, 2: F$^-$, 3: Cl$^-$, 4: Br$^-$, 5: I$^-$, 6: NO$_2^-$, 7: CO$_3^{2-}$, 8: HCO$_3^-$, 9: CH$_3$COO$^-$, 10: HPO$_4^{2-}$, 11: H$_2$PO$_4^-$, 12: PO$_4^{3-}$, 13: CN$^-$, 14: SCN$^-$, 15: P Pi, 16: ClO$_4^-$, 17: SO$_4^{2-}$, 18: SO$_3^{2-}$, 19: HSO$_3^-$, 20: HSO$_4^-$, 21: N$_3^-$, 22: S$_2$O$_3^{2-}$, 23: Hey, 24: GSH, 25: Cys.
**Figure S5.** UV–vis absorption spectra of probe L on addition of various anions in THF/Tris (6/4, v/v, pH = 7.4) solution.

**Figure S6.** The detection limit of probe L to HS⁻.

**Figure S7.** The selectivity a) and anti-interference b) of L for S₂²⁻ and p-toluenethiol.
Figure S8. Fluorescence spectra of L, L+HS⁻ and compound 1.
Table S1. Comparison of the proposed probe with other reported fluorescence probes for the detection of H$_2$S.

| No. | Molecular structure | $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$ /nm | $\lambda_{\text{em}}$ /nm | Stokes shift /nm | Response time/min | Literature |
|-----|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|
| 1   | ![Molecular structure](image1) | 450 | 514 | ~64 | 50 | RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 25690-25693 |
| 2   | ![Molecular structure](image2) | 450 | 550 | ~100 | 20 | Dyes Pigm., 2013, 99, 537-542 |
| 3   | ![Molecular structure](image3) | 450 | 550 | 105 | 20 | Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 2310-2313 |
| 4   | ![Molecular structure](image4) | 460 | 497 | 37 | 25 | Sens. Actuators, B, 2014, 196, 151-155 |
| 5   | ![Molecular structure](image5) | 450 | 525 | 75 | 40 | Chin. Chem. Lett., 2014, 25, 1060-1064 |
| 6   | ![Molecular structure](image6) | 530 | 655 | 125 | 20 | Sens. Actuators, B, 2014, 202: 99-104 |
| 7   | ![Molecular structure](image7) | 410 | 514 | 104 | 40 | Anal. Chim. Acta, 2015, 853, 548-554 |
| 8   | ![Molecular structure](image8) | 418 | 541 | ~123 | 30 | Dyes Pigm., 2015, 118, 88-94 |
| 9   | ![Molecular structure](image9) | 318 | 463 | 78 | 60 | Anal.Methods, 2015, 7, 7646-7652 |
| 10  | ![Molecular structure](image10) | 370 | 424 | ~54 | 150 | Sens. Actuators, B, 2016, 232, 705-711 |
| 11  | ![Molecular structure](image11) | 360 | 504 | ~144 | 30 | Anal.Methods, 2016, 8, 6832-6839 |
| 12  | ![Molecular structure](image12) | 574 | 592 | 18 | 20 | Talanta, 2018, 181, 104-111 |
| 13  | ![Molecular structure](image13) | 500 | 650 | 125 | 18 | This work |
Figure S9. HRMS of compound 1 (above) and the reaction mixture of L with HS⁻ (below).

Figure S10. Cell viability values (%) estimated by MTT assay in MCF-7 cells, which were cultured in the presence of different concentrations of probe L (1.0, 5.0, 10, 20, and 40 μM).
Scheme S1. Synthesis route of L.

Synthesis

The synthesis of compound 7

4-Methoxy-2-nitroaniline (20 g, 0.12 mol), stannous chloride (72 g, 0.6 mol) were dissolved in dry methanol (200 mL), then the mixture was refluxed for 12 h. After cooling, methanol was removed under reduced pressure. The mixture was washed with saturated NaHCO$_3$ and NaCl solution, and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was dried with anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$ and concentrated in rotavapour. The crude product 7 is oily liquid (15.2 g) and be used directly for next step without purification.
The synthesis of compound 6

Compound 7 (15.2 g, 0.11 mol), glyoxal (40%, 49 mL, 4.0 mol) were added into in dry acetonitrile (100 mL), then the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 12 h and cooled. The solvent was removed in a rotary evaporator and the crude product was purified by column chromatography with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (10:1, v/v) as eluent to give white solid compound 6 (15.9 g, 89.7%). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.68 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H).

Figure S11. 1H NMR spectrum of compound 6 in CDCl₃.

The synthesis of compound 5
Compound 6 (15.9 g, 0.1 mol) was dissolved in dry toluene (200 mL), then sodium borohydride (38 g, 1.0 mol) was added into toluene over a period of 30 min at 0-5 °C. The obtained pale yellow slurry was stirred for 10 min, and then glacial acetic acid (57.3 mL, 60 g, 1.0 mol) was added dropwise over a period of 1 h at 5–10 °C. The brown slurry was stirred for another 1 h at 10 °C, and then heated to reflux for 5 h. After cooling, water (250 mL) was added, and the toluene layer was separated, then aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (3×100 mL). Combined extracts and toluene layer were washed repeatedly with dilute Na₂CO₃ and water, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and vacuum evaporated. The obtained dark brown oil was purified by column chromatography with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (10:1, v/v) as eluent to give golden yellow oil compound 5 (13.4 g, 70%) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.57 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.24-6.29 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.31 – 3.40 (m, 8H), 1.22 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 6H).

Figure S12. ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 5 in CDCl₃.

The synthesis of compound 4
Phosphorus oxychloride (8.9 mL, 0.1 mol) was added into dimethyl formamide (DMF, 30 mL) under stirring at 0 °C. After 15 minutes, compound 5 (13.4 g, 0.07 mol) diluted by 20 mL DMF was added into the cooled reagent with stirring. The mixture was heated at 75 °C for 6 h and then poured into icewater. The clear solution was neutralized by cold sodium hydroxide solution (15%) maintaining 10~15 °C. Combined organic layers were washed by water, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and vacuum evaporated. The brown sticky crude products were purified by column chromatography with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (3:1, v/v) as eluent to give compound 4 (10.8 g, 78%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 10.09 (s, 1H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 5.98 (s, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.43-3.50(m, 2H), 3.37 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.26 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.07- 3.14 (m, 2H), 1.18 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 1.11 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H).

**Figure S13.** $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 4 in CDCl$_3$.

The synthesis of compound 3

![Synthesis of compound 3](image)
Aluminum powder (1.68 g, 0.06 mol) was dissolved in 30 mL acetonitrile, iodine (18.0 g, 0.14 mol) was added into the slurry by small portions and stirred under nitrogen atmosphere until the colour changed to yellow. Compound 4 (10.8 g, 0.05 mol) diluted by 5 mL MeCN was added to the slurry dropwise. The mixture was then gently refluxed for 10 h, cooling and slowly poured into cold water (100 mL). The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (4×100 mL). Combined organic layers were washed by water, dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$ and vacuum evaporated. The pale yellow oil products were purified by column chromatography with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1:1, v/v) as eluent to give compound 3 (7.7 g, 76%) $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) $\delta$ 10.97 (s, 1H), 9.55 (s, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 5.96 (s, 1H), 3.44 (t, 2H), 3.33 (q, $J$ = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.18 (q, $J$ = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.04 (t, 2H), 1.04 (t, $J$ =7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.06 (t, $J$ =7.2 Hz, 3H).

![Figure S14. $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 3 in DMSO-$d_6$.](image-url)
Figure S15. $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 2 in CDCl$_3$.

Figure S16. $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 1 in DMSO-$d_6$. 
**Figure S17.** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of compound 1 in DMSO-$d_6$.

**Figure S18.** HRMS spectrum of compound 1 in CH$_3$CN ([M-H]$^+$ calcd: 403.1736, found: 403.1658).