Hairy cell leukemia: a specific 17-gene expression signature points to new targets for therapy
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Abstract

Background Hairy cell leukemia (HCL) is a rare chronic B cell malignancy, characterized by infiltration of bone marrow, blood and spleen by typical “hairy cells” that bear the BRAFV600E mutation. However, in addition to the intrinsic activation of the MAP kinase pathway as a consequence of the BRAFV600E mutation, the potential participation of other signaling pathways to the pathophysiology of the disease remains unclear as the precise origin of the malignant hairy B cells.

Materials and methods Using mRNA gene expression profiling based on the Nanostring technology and the analysis of 290 genes with crucial roles in B cell lymphomas, we defined a 17 gene expression signature specific for HCL.

Results Separate analysis of samples from classical and variant forms of hairy cell leukemia showed almost similar mRNA expression profiles apart from overexpression in vHCL of the immune checkpoints CD274 and PDCD1LG2 and underexpression of FAS. Our results point to a post-germinal memory B cell origin and in some samples to the activation of the non-canonical NF-κB pathway.

Conclusions This study provides a better understanding of the pathogenesis of HCL and describes new and potential targets for treatment approaches and guidance for studies in the molecular mechanisms of HCL.
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Introduction

Hairy cell leukemia (HCL) is a rare mature B cell malignancy, with an incidence of approximately 0.3 per 100,000 and is characterized by an accumulation of malignant B-lymphoid cells with a particular “hairy” appearance. The classical HCL (cHCL) is characterized by the expression of CD19, CD20, CD22, FMC7 and also CD11c, CD25, CD103, CD123 expression on the surface of the hairy cells (Swerdlow et al. 2017). In the variant HCL (vHCL), the cells are typically CD25neg (Swerdlow et al. 2017). In 2011, E. Tiacci et al. showed that 100% of cHCL presented the oncogenic BRAF mutation V600E and proposed that the mutation was a driver mutation (Tiacci et al. 2011). Interestingly, none of the vHCL samples sequenced so far had shown the BRAF mutation (Waterfall et al. 2013). In our mRNA study using NanoString technology on a code Set of 290 genes, we demonstrate a specific mRNA signature of cHCL and vHCL based on 17 genes. We find minor differences between the classical and the variant form, confirm the specific expression of several already published genes such
as CCND1 and ITGAE/CD103, and describe new ones, suggesting an activation of the non-canonical NF-kB pathway in some samples, and a post-germinal memory B cell origin of hairy cell leukemia cells.

Methods

Patients

Samples were obtained from 13 newly diagnosed HCL patients (11 cHCL, 2 vHCL) of the Hematology Services of the Geneva, Mulhouse and Caen hospitals with > 30% of abnormal hairy cells in the peripheral blood. All participants were recruited following written informed consent and after approval of the research protocol by the local ethics committees. All patient data were analyzed anonymously. cHCL and vHCL were diagnosed in accordance with the WHO 2016 classification based on clinical criteria, cytology, immunophenotyping and presence of BRAFV600E (Swerdlow et al. 2017). All the relevant patient information are presented in Online Resource Table S1.

From each sample, mononuclear cells were obtained by FICOLL gradient centrifugation and then (a) either lysed directly in RNA lysis buffer (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) and stored at −80 °C (Geneva), or (b) resuspended in DMSO, stored in liquid nitrogen, thawed for the present study, and then put into RNA lysis buffer (Mulhouse), or (c) lysed in RNA lysis buffer, followed by RNA extraction and storage at −80 °C (Caen).

Normal blood samples were obtained from healthy blood donors of the Geneva blood transfusion center. Mononuclear cells (nMNC) were prepared by FICOLL gradient centrifugation and contained between 12 and 30% of normal mature B cells. CD19+B cells were further enriched using a CD19pos Selection Kit from Stemcell Technologies, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Purity of the isolated B cell populations was verified by flow cytometry with specific anti-CD19 and anti-CD20 antibodies and was > 95% in all cases (nB; not shown).

mRNA analysis

We performed an extensive literature search and extracted a set of 290 B cell lymphoma-specific genes from published articles and public databases with the aim of defining a set of genes described to be either over- or underexpressed in HCL compared to other mature B cells neoplasms or compared to normal B lymphocytes (Basso et al. 2004; Cornet et al. 2015). Nine normalization genes were added to this list to obtain a set of 299 genes which was used for the analysis with the nCounter system (Online Resource Table S2). mRNA analysis and counting were performed with NanoString technologies, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Nanostring H Technologies, Seattle, WA, USA). Each mRNA species was extracted, pre-processed, analyzed with the nCounter system then normalized using R (NanostringNorm R package; version 1.2.0, http://cran.r-project.org/package= NanoStringNorm) (Waggott et al. 2012).

Raw data were adjusted against the geometric mean of spiked-in positive probes to account for differences in hybridization and recovery, background-corrected (background = mean of negative probes + 2 SD), and normalized against housekeeping genes (ACTB, TBP, RPL19, RPLP0, G6PD, ABCF1, B2M, TPT1, RPS23) to account for differences in sample content. The technical specificities of the NanoString technology (linearity, reproducibility, sensitivity, etc.) have all been previously described (Geiss et al. 2008; Beaume et al. 2011; Fernandez et al. 2012).

The data were submitted to GEO and can be accessed via Access Number GSE161279.

Since our samples were constituted of total white blood cells containing malignant B cells in various percentages together with contaminating other white blood cells such as monocytes and T lymphocytes, we used a special deconvolution algorithm to extract B cell-specific signatures from the total mRNA signatures obtained. We compared the mean of the total counts obtained from the samples of each group of samples (HCL, nMNC and purified nB cells) and considered only those genes that fulfilled the arbitrary criteria of an expression level ≥ 20 counts, and a ≥ twofold change difference in expression as preferentially expressed by one group or not. In this way, the gene set preferentially expressed by normal B cells (nB) was obtained by the comparison of nB versus nMNC; the gene set overexpressed in HCL by the comparison of HCL with nB and nMNC samples, and the gene set underexpressed by HCL by the comparison of HCL samples with nMNC samples.

Gene Ontology enrichment was performed with the open source Enrichr analysis tool (http://amp.pharm.mssm.edu/Enrichr) (Chen et al. 2013). Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was conducted using GSEA software (Subramanian et al. 2007).

Statistical analysis

R version 3.4.2 software was used for statistical calculations and data presentation. For gene expression analyses, genes with expression levels ≥ 20 counts, fold-changes > or < 2 compared to reference values, with corrected p values < 0.05 (according to Benjamini correction for multiple testing (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995)) were considered as significant.
Results

Samples from hairy cell leukemia patients were analyzed with the aim to develop molecular mRNA signatures specific for this lymphoma and to gain new insights into the pathophysiology of the disease.

Patient characteristics

Eleven samples were obtained from patients with the cHCL defined by cytology, immunophenotyping and presence of the BRAFV600E mutation. Two vHCL were studied and did not show the BRAFV600E mutation, but an activating MAP2K1 mutation (Online Resource Table S1).

mRNA-specific signature in hairy cell leukemia

We studied the expression of 290 genes described to be crucial in the pathophysiology of B cell lymphomas based on information of published articles and public databases. Thirteen samples from HCL patients, eight samples from normal blood donors (nMNC) and three samples of sorted normal B cells (nB) were analyzed with the Nanostring technology. Quantitative, relative values for mRNA copy numbers for all 290 genes in all the samples tested were obtained (GEO Access No. GSE161279). As the patient samples did not consist of sorted B cells but of total nucleated white blood cells, we developed a special deconvolution algorithm which allowed us to obtain B cell-specific mRNA signatures despite the presence of contaminating other cells in the samples (see “Methods”). Using this algorithm, we compared the expression of genes in HCL samples to the expression in nMNC and in sorted nB. In the samples of sorted nB cells, typical B cells genes were found to be highly expressed, such as surface markers CD19, CD22 and CD79A/B, immunoglobulin genes, and B cell transcription factors such as PAX5. Out of the total 290 genes analyzed 107 genes were thus considered to be B cell-specific (expression level ≥ 20 counts, ≥ twofold increase in expression compared to nMNC samples, p value ≤ 0.05) (Online Resource Table S2). Unsupervised clustering resulted in a clear separation of the 13 HCL from the nMNC and nB (Fig. 1). In this unsupervised analysis, vHCL samples (samples 19 and 22) were intermixed with cHCL, suggesting a comparable gene expression signature. As shown in Online Resource Fig. S1, there was a close correlation in differentially expressed genes between cHCL and vHCL when comparing to nB (r=0.82, p = 2.2 × 10^-16). To find among the 290 genes that could differentiate most effectively between HCL, nMNC and nB, we compared the mean of all the gene counts from the 13 HCL samples to the mean from the nMNC samples and to the mean of the nB, selecting only genes, that fulfilled the criteria mentioned above. Figure 2a shows the intersection for the genes overexpressed in HCL compared to nMNC (65 genes) and compared to nB cells (35 genes); Fig. 2b and c shows the graphical representation of gene expression by volcano plots, and the Online Resource Table S4a, the list of genes. Among the overexpressed genes, 17 were common to both lists (HCL vs nMNC and HCL vs nB) (Table 1): CD19,
IGHG, CCND1, ITGAE, GAS7, FGF2, FGFR1, RECK, TTN, CHL1, SEPTIN10, RGS13, AICDA, EBI3, CD180, LAIR1, and FCGR2B. As expected, this set of 17 genes contained genes well known to be expressed in HCL (CCND1, ITGAE/CD103) and served as internal quality control to validate the analysis (Matutes et al. 1994; Bosch et al. 1995).

Enrichment analysis with gene ontology (GO) annotation showed that among the 65 overexpressed genes in HCL compared to nMNC were included genes characteristically expressed in B cells, such as B cell activation genes (CD79B, CD79A, CD40, MEF2C, BANK1, BLNK, BTK, MS4A1, HDAC9 and AICDA), B cell receptor pathway genes (BLK, CD79B, IGHM, CD79A, MEF2C, SYK, CD19, IGHD and BTK), genes with a negative regulatory role in cell cycle arrest (CCND1, CDK4, and SETMAR) and genes implicated in the regulation of the MAPK cascade (EPHB6, CD74, CD40, SYK, ROR1 and FGFR) (Online Resources Fig. S2a and Table S4a). Among the 35 overexpressed gene
comparing HCL to nB, enrichment analysis with GO showed in HCL enrichment of groups of genes mostly involved in positive regulation of protein serine/threonine kinase activity (CCND3, CCND2, CCND1, FLT3, FGF2 and FGFR1) or in extracellular matrix organization (ITGB2, ITGAX/CD11c, ITGAE/CD103, TIMP1, TGFBI, FGF2 and RECK) (Online Table 1). Nanostring analysis showed mRNAs of 17 genes overexpressed in HCL samples compared to normal B cell (nB) and normal MNC samples (nMNC), fulfilling the following criteria: expression levels ≥ 20 counts, a ≥ twofold difference in expression between sample groups, and a corrected p value ≤ 0.05. Genes were grouped according to their function in different cellular activities. The list of these 17 genes is provided in Table 1.

Table 1 List of 17 genes overexpressed in HCL samples

| Name          | Description                                           | Average fold change compared to nB | Adjusted p value compared to nB | Average fold change compared to nMNC | Adjusted p value compared to nMNC | Previously described in HCL | References                        |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| **Cytokines/chemokines and their modulators**                                                          |
| EBI3          | Epstein–Barr Virus Induced 3                          | 3.1                               | 1.60E-02                        | 2.9                                 | 9.20E-03                        | No                          | Vanhentenrijk et al. (2004) (CGH/CESH) |
| **Differentiation**                                                                                 |
| RGS13         | Regulator of G protein signaling 13                   | 9.1                               | 8.70E-05                        | 9.5                                 | 4.10E-07                        | No                          | Basso et al. (2004)               |
| AICDA         | Activation-induced cytidine deaminase                 | 3.6                               | 5.60E-03                        | 7.3                                 | 1.80E-06                        | Yes *                       | Matutes et al. (1994)             |
| **BCR pathway/B cell activation**                                                                    |
| CD19          |                                                      | 1                                 | 1.60E-04                        | 5.9                                 | 1.10E-11                        | Yes *                       | Matutes et al. (1994)             |
| **Cell adhesion/migration/cytoskeleton**                                                              |
| FGF2          | Fibroblast growth factor 2 = basic-FGF               | 9.1                               | 1.80E-06                        | 8.8                                 | 5.00E-07                        | Yes *                       | Basso et al. (2004), Gruber et al. (1999) |
| FGFR1         | Fibroblast growth factor receptor 1                  | 8.3                               | 1.80E-06                        | 6.3                                 | 2.10E-05                        | Yes #                       | Basso et al. (2004)               |
| GAS7          | Growth arrest specific 7                             | 6.2                               | 2.10E-02                        | 1.1                                 | 2.80E-02                        | Yes #                       | Basso et al. (2004)               |
| CHL1          | Cell adhesion molecule L1 like                        | 4.7                               | 2.80E-02                        | 5.3                                 | 4.80E-05                        | No                          | Basso et al. (2004)               |
| RECK          | Reversion inducing cysteine-rich protein with Kazal motifs | 1.6                             | 2.60E-02                        | 4.8                                 | 1.50E-04                        | Yes #                       | Basso et al. (2004)               |
| **ITGAE**     | CD103                                                 | 1.4                               | 1.90E-03                        | 1.5                                 | 1.30E-03                        | Yes *                       | Matutes et al. (1994)             |
| **SEPTIN10**  | Septin family                                         | 3.9                               | 1.90E-03                        | 2.8                                 | 1.50E-02                        | No                          | Basso et al. (2004)               |
| **TTN**       | Titin                                                 | 1.5                               | 8.70E-05                        | 3.5                                 | 3.60E-09                        | No                          | Basso et al. (2004)               |
| **Proliferation**                                                                                  |
| CCND1         | Cyclin D1, cell cycle                                 | 3.7                               | 2.90E-04                        | 9.3                                 | 6.40E-10                        | Yes *,#                      | Bosh et al. (1995), Basso et al. (2004) |
| **Immunoreceptor/cell surface receptor/antigen presentation**                                        |
| IGHG          | Immunoglobulin heavy constant gamma                  | 2.8                               | 1.80E-06                        | 4.2                                 | 2.30E-05                        | Yes *                       | Golomb et al. (1982)             |
| FCGR2B        | Fc fragment of IgG receptor IIb, CD32               | 1.6                               | 3.00E-02                        | 3.2                                 | 3.10E-07                        | No                          |                                 |
| LAIR1         | Leukocyte-associated immunoglobulin-like receptor 1, CD305 | 1.4                           | 1.70E-02                        | 1.2                                 | 3.40E-03                        | Yes *                       | Garnache Ottou et al. (2014)     |
| CD180         | Belongs to the family of Toll-like receptors          | 1.3                               | 7.00E-04                        | 3.6                                 | 1.10E-08                        | Yes *                       | Favre et al. (2018)              |

Nanostring analysis showed mRNAs of 17 genes overexpressed in HCL samples compared to normal B cell (nB) and normal MNC samples (nMNC), fulfilling the following criteria: expression levels ≥ 20 counts, a ≥ twofold difference in expression between sample groups, and a corrected p value ≤ 0.05. Genes were grouped according to their function in different cellular activities. *Previously described in gene expression analysis *Previously described in phenotypic analysis
Resource Fig. S2b). In addition, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of genes involved in positive regulation of mitotic cell cycle and positive regulation of MAP kinase activity were significantly overexpressed in HCL samples compared to normal cells (Online Resource Fig. S2c).

Eighty genes were found to be specifically underexpressed in HCL compared to normal B cell samples (Fig. 2 and Online Resource Table S4b). The most underexpressed genes were CXCR5, IL-6, VPREB3, CNN3, and ADAM28 and the surface markers CD69, CD70 and CD83. According to the enrichment analysis with GO, groups of underexpressed genes were part of the cytokine-mediated signaling and the regulation of I-κB kinase/NF-κB signaling pathways, with underexpression of CD40, PRKCB, IL1B, REL, TNFAIP3, BCL10, NLRP1, BIRC2 and BIRC3 genes (Online Resources Fig. S2b and Table S4b).

**Genes differentially expressed in cHCL and vHCL**

Using unsupervised clustering, we identified a similar signature between cHCL and vHCL (Fig. 1) with minor differences, when comparing the gene expression (Fig. 3 and Table 2). In addition to expected overexpressed genes in cHCL compared to vHCL (ANXA1 and IL2RA), we also noted an overexpression of genes involved in chemotaxis/adhesion (CXCR3, SELL/CD62L, FLT3) and in apoptosis regulation (FAS, CDK2AP1). Among underexpressed genes in cHCL, we found immune checkpoint regulators, such as CD274 (PD-L1) and PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2) and the TNF receptor TNFRSF13B.
A NF-κB non-canonical pathway dysregulation in HCL

The analysis of the list of genes underexpressed in HCL compared to normal B cells showed several genes belonging to the NF-κB pathway, such as PRKCB, IL1B, REL, BCL10, and NLRP of the canonical and BIRC2 and BIRC3 of the non-canonical pathway. To assess whether the non-canonical pathway was intrinsically activated, we studied the ratio of the p100 protein, the p52 precursor, and the mature p52 protein. When the pathway is activated, p100 is processed and the ratio falls below one, as in the cell line JVM-2, a mantle cell lymphoma cell line. Because of lack of material, only seven cHCL samples could be tested. 4/6 cHCL and 1/1 vHCL expressed a p100/p52 ratio < 1, in line with p100 processing and activation of the non-canonical NF-κB pathway (Online Resource Fig. S3).

Discussion

Gene expression studies have extensively been performed in hematologic neoplasms and B cell lymphomas but few studies were published in HCL (Basso et al. 2004; Arons et al. 2020). As we had only unsorted white blood samples at our disposal, we used a special deconvolution algorithm which allowed us to select for B cell-specific genes despite the presence of contaminating other white blood cells in the samples. We could thus define a set of 17 genes specifically overexpressed in HCL. Some of the genes such as CD19, CCND1 (cyclin D1), GAS7, FGFR1, FGF2, RECK, and ITGAE (CD103) have been published previously, based on other mRNA (RNA seq or qPCR) or protein detection methods (flow cytometry or immunohistochemistry) (Matutes et al. 1994; Bosch et al. 1995; Basso et al. 2004).

Bone marrow fibrosis, a characteristic feature of HCL, plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of the disease and in the typical cytopenic presentation. Three genes implicated in myelofibrosis were found to be overexpressed in HCL: FGF2 (fibroblast growth factor-2; 500-fold), its receptor FGFR1 (50-fold), and TGFB1 (tumor growth factor β1; 16-fold).

Among the newly identified genes overexpressed in HCL, we noted specifically RGS13 and EBI3. RGS13 encodes a member of the regulator of G protein (RGS) family and is strongly expressed in germinal center (GC) B cells (Hwang et al. 2013). It was hypothesized that it might regulate the response of GC B cells to chemokines in the complex microenvironment of GCs (Shi et al. 2002). The RGS13 chromosomal region (1q31) has been found overexpressed in HCL (Vanhentenrijk et al. 2004).

EBI3 encodes for Epstein–Barr virus (EBV)-induced gene 3, one of the two subunits, together with p35, of IL-35, an immunosuppressive cytokine of the IL-12 family (Collison et al. 2012). Larousserie et al. have recently shown by immunohistochemical studies in reactive lymph nodes that a subset of GC B cells located in the light zone of B cell follicles and corresponding to proliferating activated centrocytes, expressed high amounts of EBI3 (Larousserie et al. 2019).

AICDA coding for the activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) is involved in the process of somatic hypermutation which occurs during maturation of B cell receptors in the germinal center reaction. Its overexpression is also related to carcinogenesis because of off-target-induced mutations by AID, in non-immunoglobulin genes and accumulation of genetic aberration. High mRNA AICDA level has been previously described in cHCL, and in a lesser extent in vHCL (Hockley et al. 2010; Navarro et al. 2017). Overexpression of EBI3, RGS13 as well as activation-induced
cytidine deaminase (AID) encoded by AICDA is more suggestive of incompletely turned off GC mechanisms in post-germinal memory B cells than of a GC origin of hairy cells. Indeed, is has been shown that despite the lack of CD27 expression, HCL gene signature is closer to memory than to GC B cells (Basso et al. 2004). In favor of this hypothesis, we found that other markers commonly associated with a germinal center origin such as BCL6, LMO2 and GCSAM (Shen et al. 2004; Natkunam et al. 2007) were also slightly downregulated in HCL compared to normal B cells.

Various hypotheses have been suggested to explain the projections of hairy cells, but the exact underlying mechanisms are still unclear: members of Rho GTPase family, such as Cdc42 and Rac1, involved in cytoskeletal rearrangement (Chaigne-Delalande et al. 2006); GAS7 or CD9, both associated to microfilaments in neurons (Basso et al. 2004). More recently, the MAPK pathway inhibitor vemurafenib was shown to induce a reversion of the hairy phenotype, which was correlated with a downregulation of ß-actin and LST1, both proteins involved in cytoskeleton formation (Pettirossi et al. 2015). In our study, we confirmed overexpression of GAS7 and found overexpression of SEPTIN10 and TTN, both proteins interacting with cytoskeleton and actin filaments.

vHCL is considered as a provisional entity in the WHO classification (Swerdlow et al. 2017), being morphologically very similar to cHCL with the exception of a prominent nucleolus. BRAFV600E is negative and MAP2K1 mutations are identified in 42% (10/24) of cases (Waterfall et al. 2013). Immunophenotyping reveals a HCL score < 3 with a typical lack of CD25 expression. We confirmed the decreased expression of ANXA1 (Annexin-1), and IL2RA (CD25) in vHCL (Swerdlow et al. 2017), as well as the overexpression of TNFSRF13B (Arons et al. 2020). In addition, we found that vHCL samples overexpressed the immune checkpoint regulators CD274 (PD-L1) and PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2) and underexpressed CD95 (FAS) receptor. These two mechanisms bypassing immune surveillance and apoptosis might reflect the more aggressive course of vHCL compared to cHCL. However, given the very small number of vHCL samples in our study (n = 2), these results should be interpreted with caution and be confirmed in a larger series.

The NF-κB pathway is an important regulator of B cell maturation and activation, leading to posttranslational proteolytic processing of p105 for the canonical pathway and p100 for the non-canonical pathway with induction of the active subunits p50 and p52, respectively. The canonical pathway is activated by inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-1) or pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) that activate toll-like receptors, while the non-canonical pathway is mainly activated by TNF receptor family members. We found that HCL samples underexpressed the four TNF receptors CD40, TNFRSF13C, TNFRSF17 and TNFRSF13B compared to normal B cells, in line with a previous report, which additionally showed underexpression of TNFSF11, another non-canonical pathway inducer (Basso et al. 2004). In the few samples we could analyze by Western blot, we found indications for non-canonical pathway activation with increased p100 protein processing in 5/7 samples. One explanation could be an intrinsic, receptor independent, activation of the pathway, perhaps secondary to decreased inhibitor activity. In favor of this hypothesis could be the decreased expression of the two pathway inhibitors BIRC2 and BIRC3 (Online Resource Table S3). A similar mechanism was proposed in CLL and MCL (Rahal et al. 2014; Diop et al. 2020). As of today, such mutations have not been described in whole exome sequencing HCL studies (Tiaucci et al. 2011; Waterfall et al. 2013; Dietrich et al. 2015; Weston-Bell et al. 2016). Targeting the non-canonical pathway could constitute an interesting new approach in HCL treatment. Indeed, it has been shown in MCL and CLL, that a subgroup of cell lines resistant to classical BCR signaling and canonical pathway activation by drugs such as fludarabine and ibrutinib, respectively, exhibited non-canonical pathway activation and BIRC3 mutations (Rahal et al. 2014; Diop et al. 2020). Activation of this pathway conferred dependence on the protein kinase NIK, a central component of the pathway. NIK could constitute a new therapeutic target for MCL treatment in cases of resistance to ibrutinib. Use of ibrutinib is an option in relapsed/refractory HCL patients (Troussard et al. 2021). In a multicenter phase 2 study, ibrutinib was evaluated in 37 patients either with cHCL (n = 28) or vHCL (n = 9 patients). The overall response rate was 24% at 32 months (Rogers et al. 2021). In patients not responding to this BTK inhibitor, the evaluation of the non-canonical NF-kB pathway could be performed and in case of activation, the use of NIK inhibitors proposed.

In conclusion, a specific mRNA signature based on the Nanostring technology was identified in HCL, which suggests a dysregulation of genes associated with germinal center and memory stages of B cell differentiation, and points towards the transformation of hairy cells occurring during the transition between the two maturation stages. We also found dysregulation of several genes associated with the non-canonical signaling and intrinsic activation of the pathway in several samples. Further studies on the non-canonical pathway are needed to determine whether targeting components of the pathway could constitute a therapeutic approach in HCL.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00432-022-04010-4.

Author contributions EM, EC, and DC: performed the research; XT and TM: designed the research study; AD, BD, FG, SC, and MD: contributed essential reagents, samples or bioinformatic tools; EM, FG, XT, and TM: analyzed the data; EM, XT, and TM: wrote the paper. TM and XT: contributed equally to this work.
**Funding** Open access funding provided by University of Geneva. TM received funding from the Fondation Dr Dubois Ferrière—Dinu Lipatti, as well as from the University Hospital Geneva.

**Data availability** The data were submitted to GEO and can be accessed via Access Number GSE161279.

**Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**Ethics approval** Approval was obtained from the ethics committee of University hospital Geneva, hospital of Mulhouse and University hospital of Caen. The procedures used in this study adhere to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Consent to participate** Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

**Consent for publication** The authors affirm that human research participants provided informed consent for publication.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

**References**

Arons E, Zhou H, Sokolsky M et al (2020) Expression of the muscle-associated gene MYF6 in hairy cell leukemia. PLoS ONE 15:e0227586. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227586

Basso K, Liso A, Tiacci E et al (2004) Gene expression profiling of hairy cell leukemia. Blood 102:1025–1029. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2004-08-2990

Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J Roy Stat Soc: Ser B (methodol) 57:289–300

Bosch F, Campo E, Jares P et al (1995) Increased expression of the PRAD-1/CCND1 gene in hairy cell leukaemia. Br J Haematol 91:1025–1030. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2457.1995.tb05429.x

Chaingne-Delalande B, Deuve L, Reuzeau E et al (2006) RhoGTPases and p53 are involved in the morphological appearance and interferon-α response of hairy cells. Am J Pathol 168:562–573. https://doi.org/10.2353/ajpath.2006.050345

Chen EY, Tan CM, Kou Y et al (2013) Enrichr: interactive and collaborative HTML5 gene list enrichment analysis tool. BMC Bioinformatics 14:128. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-128

Collison LW, Delgoffe GM, Guy CS et al (2012) The composition and signaling of the IL-35 receptor are unconventional. Nat Immunol 13:290–299. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2227

Cornet E, Debiquis A, Rimelen V et al (2015) Developing molecular signatures for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. PLoS ONE 10:e0128990. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0128990

Dietrich S, Hüllein J, Lee SC-W et al (2015) Recurrent CDKN1B (p27) mutations in hairy cell leukemia. Blood 126:1005–1008

Diop F, Moia R, Favini C et al (2020) Biological and clinical implications of BIRC3 mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Haematologica 105:448–456. https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2019.219550

Fernandez P, Sollenthaler M, Sertinli O et al (2012) Using digital RNA counting and flow cytometry to compare mRNA with protein expression in acute leukemias. PLoS ONE 7:e49010. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049010

Geiss GK, Bumgarner RE, Birditt B et al (2008) Direct multiplexed measurement of gene expression with color-coded probe pairs. Nat Biotechnol 26:317–325. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1385

Hockley SL, Morilla A, Else M et al (2010) Higher expression levels of activation-induced cytokine deaminase distinguish hairy cell leukemia from hairy cell leukemia-variant and splenic marginal zone lymphoma. Leukemia 24:1084–1086. https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2010.44

Hwang I-Y, Hwang K-S, Park C et al (2013) Rgs13 constrains early B cell responses and limits germinal center sizes. PLoS ONE 8:e60139. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060139

Laroosserie F, Kebe D, Huyhn T et al (2019) Evidence for IL-35 expression in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and impact on the patient’s prognosis. Front Oncol 9:1–12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00563

Matutes E, Morilla R, Owusu-Ankomah K et al (1994) The immunophenotype of hairy cell leukemia (HCL). Proposal for a scoring system to distinguish HCL from B-cell disorders with hairy or villous lymphocytes. Leuk Lymphoma 14:57–61

Nakamura Y, Zhao S, Mason DY et al (2007) The oncoprotein LMO2 is expressed in normal germinal-center B cells and in human B-cell lymphomas. Blood 109:1636–1642. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2006-08-2990

Navarro A, Clot G, Martinez-Trillo A et al (2017) Improved classification of leukemic B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders using a transcriptional and genetic classifier. Haematologica 102:e360–e363. https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2016.160374

Pettrirossi V, Santi A, Imperi E et al (2015) BRAF inhibitors reverse the unique molecular signature and phenotype of hairy cell leukemia and exert potent antilymphemic activity. Blood 125:1207–1216

Rahal R, Frick M, Romero R et al (2014) Pharmacological and genomic profiling identifies NF-κB-targeted treatment strategies for mantle cell lymphoma. Nat Med 20:87–92. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3435

Rogers KA, Andritsos LA, Wei L et al (2021) Phase 2 study of Ibrutinib in classic and variant hairy cell leukemia. Blood. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020009688

Shen Y, Ishqal J, Xiao L et al (2004) Distinct gene expression profiles in different B-cell compartments in human peripheral lymphoid organs. BMC Immunol 5:1–20. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2172-5-20

Shi G-X, Harrison K, Wilson GL et al (2002) RGS13 regulates germinal center lymphoma. Leukemia 24:1084–1086. https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2010.44

Soc: Ser B (methodol) 57:289–300

Taniecky J, Delalande B, Deuve L, Reuzeau E et al (2006) RhoGTPases and p53 are involved in the morphological appearance and interferon-α response of hairy cells. Am J Pathol 168:562–573. https://doi.org/10.2353/ajpath.2006.050345

**J Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology (2022) 148:2013–2022**
Subramanian A, Kuehn H, Gould J et al (2007) GSEA-P: a desktop application for gene set enrichment analysis. Bioinformatics 23:3251–3253
Swerdlow S, Campo E, Harris NL et al (2017) WHO classification of tumours of haematopoietic and lymphoid tissues. International agency for research on cancer, Lyon
Tiacci E, Trifonov V, Schiavoni G et al (2011) BRAF mutations in hairy-cell leukemia. N Engl J Med 364:2305–2315
Troussard X, Maitre E, Cornet E (2021) Hairy cell leukemia 2022: update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and treatment. Am J Hematol. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.26390
Vanhentenrijk V, De Wolf-Peeters C, Wlodarska I (2004) Comparative expressed sequence hybridization studies of hairy cell leukemia show uniform expression profile and imprint of spleen signature. Blood 104:250–255. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2004-01-0181
Waggott D, Chu K, Yin S et al (2012) NanoStringNorm: an extensible R package for the pre-processing of NanoString mRNA and miRNA data. Bioinformatics 28:1546–1548. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts188
Waterfall JJ, Arons E, Walker RL et al (2013) High prevalence of MAP2K1 mutations in variant and IGHV4-34–expressing hairy-cell leukemias. Nat Genet 46:8–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2828
Weston-Bell NJ, Tapper W, Gibson J et al (2016) Exome sequencing in classic hairy cell leukaemia reveals widespread variation in acquired somatic mutations between individual tumours apart from the signature BRAF V(600)E lesion. PLoS ONE 11:e0149162. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149162

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.