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Enhancing Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of AZ31-MWCNT Nanocomposites through Mechanical Alloying
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Multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) reinforced Mg alloy AZ31 nanocomposites were fabricated by mechanical alloying and powder metallurgy technique. The reinforcement material MWCNTs were blended in three weight fractions (0.33%, 0.66%, and 1%) with the matrix material AZ31 (Al-3%, zinc-1% rest Mg) and blended through mechanical alloying using a high energy planetary ball mill. Specimens of monolithic AZ31 and AZ31-MWCNT composites were fabricated through powder metallurgy technique. The microstructure, density, hardness, porosity, ductility, and tensile properties of monolithic AZ31 and AZ31-MWCNT nanocomposites were characterized and compared. The characterization reveals significant reduction in CNT (carbon nanoTube) agglomeration and enhancement in microstructure and mechanical properties due to mechanical alloying through ball milling.

1. Introduction

Mg alloy based MMCs (metal matrix composites) among other MMCs are widely used in various applications in aerospace, automobiles, and sports equipments because of its low density and better mechanical properties [1]. Particulate reinforced Mg composites are becoming more popular, as compared to fiber reinforced Mg composites, due to their increased production rate, reduced reinforcement costs and easier fabrication processes. Micrometer-size SiC, Al2O3 particles are commonly chosen as a reinforcement in Mg because of their low cost and easy availability [2]. The microstructure and mechanical properties were significantly improved with the microsize particulate reinforcements and it is reported by various authors that nanosize reinforcement will further improve the properties if the nanoparticles are homogeneously reinforced into the matrix material. Few authors have tried with nano reinforcements like Al2O3, SiC, and TiO2 and found reasonable improvement in the mechanical properties [3–5]. However, only few attempts have been made so far to reinforce CNTs through mechanical alloying using ball milling into magnesium matrix system which was carried out in this work.

CNTs are the most exciting nanostructured materials of the 20th century with superior mechanical, thermal, and electrical properties discovered by Iijima [6]. CNTs are discovered to have young’s modulus and tensile strength in the range of 3 TPa and 2 GPa, respectively, and density in the range of 2.0 g/cm3 [7, 8]. Looking in to these properties CNTs could be an ideal reinforcement for Magnesium and its alloy as matrix material. Recent researches producing Mg matrix composites reinforced with CNTs has been limited, looking to the problem of agglomeration of CNTs due to Vander wall forces but were largely focused on polymer matrix composites [9–11].

Among the various processes powder metallurgy is the easiest and cheapest method to fabricate the particulate reinforced composites. Goh et al. [2] have developed Mg-CNT nanocomposites through powder metallurgy route and the results of mechanical behaviour characterization revealed that an increasing volume fraction of CNTs in the magnesium matrix lead to an improvement in 0.2% YS, ductility, and work of fracture. An increase in the ductility was observed up to 0.18 wt% of CNTs in Mg, but further increase in amount of CNTs reduces the mechanical properties due to agglomeration of CNTs.
The main objective of this research was to fabricate AZ31 nanocomposites reinforced with higher weight fraction of MWCNTs through mechanical alloying and powder metallurgy process to enhance the mechanical properties. Three weight fractions of MWCNTs 0.33%, 0.66%, and 1% were added to the matrix of AZ31 and blended through high energy planetary ball mill to improve the homogeneity of the reinforcement material and to reduce the agglomeration as reported in the literature [12]. The homogeneity of MWCNTs has been successfully achieved through mechanical alloying process using high energy planetary ball milling. Similar process has not been previously applied on AZ31-MWCNT system and found effective in reinforcing the MWCNTs up to 1wt% CNTs with reduced agglomeration as reported in the present work. The homogeneously blended powders were then compacted through uniaxial cold compaction and kept in a sealed tubular container to avoid oxidation and sintered. The sintered specimens were then extruded using an extrusion die. Similar method was applied to fabricate the specimens of AZ31 without CNTs. The specimens of AZ31 and AZ31-CNT composites were characterized for the microstructure and mechanical properties and compared for the effect of increasing weight fraction of CNTs, mixing medium, cold compaction, sintering temperature, and hot extrusion.

2. Experimental Details

Magnesium (Mg) powder with 99.5% purity, aluminium (Al) and zinc (Zn) powder with 99% purity supplied by Neeraj Industries, Rohtak, Haryana, India, were used as the matrix material. The MWCNTs produced by Nanoshell (USA), supplied by Intelligent Materials Pvt. Ltd., Chandigarh were used as reinforcement material. The specification of the reinforcement material MWCNTs is given in Table 1.

| Material | Diameter (nm) | Length (µm) | Purity | Amorphous carbon | Density (g/cm³) | Surface area (m²/g) |
|----------|---------------|-------------|--------|------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| MWCNT    | 20–30         | 3–8         | >95%   | <3%              | 2.0            | 90–350              |

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Microstructure Analysis. Mechanical alloying using ball milling technique was effective in dispersing CNTs on the surface of the particles at the beginning of milling, and within the particles after few hours of milling. The microstructures of the ball milled samples are shown in Figure 1. It is observed from the images that during the process of ball
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Figure 2: SEM and EDS image of AZ31-0.66 wt% CNT composite sample.

milling the powders collide with the grinding balls creating high pulverization energy responsible for introducing lattice defects that cause the powder particles to deform plastically as shown in Figure 1(a). As the process continues, the powder particles fracture and the reinforcement particles are cold welded to the matrix particles and this occur at the atomic scale. Further milling leads to the enlargement of the forming particles with reinforcement as an intermediate phase appearing inside or at the surface of these particles as shown in Figure 1(b). It is found that the particles are fractured again into submicron matrix particles with fine dispersion of the reinforcement phase. The microstructure and EDS analysis of the AZ31-0.66 wt% CNT and AZ31-1 wt% CNT nanocomposites obtained from the extruded samples are shown in Figures 2(a) and 3(a), respectively. The microstructure of sample of 0.66 wt% CNTs revealed uniform homogeneity of CNTs in AZ31 matrix; however with 1 wt% CNT samples agglomerations of CNTs are noticed and has been confirmed through the carbon peaks of EDS image in Figures 2(b) and 3(b), respectively. The EDS images also reveal presence of small amount of MgO due to oxidation during the ball milling and sintering processes.

The XRD profiles of AZ31-0.66 wt% CNT ball milled samples taken at every half an hour duration of ball milling are shown in Figure 4. The peaks of Mg, MgO and intermetallic compounds of $\text{Al}_1\text{Mg}_{17}$ and $\text{Al}_3\text{MgC}_2$ were identified in all profiles. It was observed that some of the peaks correspond to the starting materials tend to broaden or disappear with the milling time. The above characteristics may be due to high structural defect during mechanical alloying, dissolution of elemental powders into the matrix, and grain size reduction.

The intensity of major element Mg peak decreases and broadened with milling time. The peak intensities of alloying element start to decrease with respect to milling time which may be due to the partial dissolution of the alloying elements in Mg lattice. In early stages of milling, lattice parameter decreases with limited variation and increases during 1.5 to 2.0 h of milling. The peak shift may be due to the reduction in crystallite size of Mg and increase in the lattice strain induced during mechanical alloying process. The peak intensity of
MgO increases with time due to oxidation and it could be recognized that the identified MgO at initial stage is originated from the surface oxide film of the as received Mg raw powders.

The TEM image at the interface between CNT and Mg matrix of the extruded specimen of the composite is shown in Figure 5. It is observed that a needle-like phase pointed with arrow, obviously different from Mg matrix projected from not all but some CNT surfaces. Pei et al. reported that $\text{Al}_2\text{MgC}_2$ was identified as needle-like protrusions directly extended from carbon fibers [13]. The shape of $\text{Al}_2\text{MgC}_2$ in these references was similar to that produced in the present study and hence, the unknown needle-like phase could be recognized as $\text{Al}_2\text{MgC}_2$. On the other hand, no other materials or defects were at the interface where no $\text{Al}_2\text{MgC}_2$ was synthesized and the interface was quite clean. Goh et al. reported the improvement of tensile properties of pure Mg by CNT incorporation [2], due to MgO produced at the interface. This means that even the interface without any materials could provide interfacial strength more or less enough to transfer tensile loading. Hence, the tensile improvement obtained in the present study was due to both the production of $\text{Al}_2\text{MgC}_2$ and the clean interface between Mg matrix and CNTs.

3.2. Mechanical Properties. The density and Vickers hardness results of AZ31 alloy and AZ31-CNT nanocomposites are shown in Figure 6. It was observed that the density of the nanocomposites decreases with increasing weight percentages of CNTs. According to observation by Arsenault and Shi [14] during sintering and cooling the distribution of dislocations within the matrix of the composites would not be uniform and there will be higher density near the reinforcing particles. The reason for the decrease in the density is due to the addition of lightweight and high volume CNTs compared to the matrix material, which increases the porosity. The porosity level increases in all composites and around 1 vol% with addition of 1 wt% CNTs. However the porosity level is within the limit of near net shape products [15]. The hardness of AZ31-CNT nanocomposites reduces by small amount with 0.33 wt% CNT samples and increases with addition of CNTs. The amount of decrease or increase in the hardness is not found significant to affect the ductility of the material compared to that of AZ31 alloy. Figure 7 shows the
tensile behavior of AZ31 and AZ31-CNT nanocomposites. It is observed that there is an increase in 0.2% YS (yield strength) by 11% in AZ31-1 wt% CNT composite compared to monolithic AZ31. The improvement in 0.2% YS is due to the tubular structure of CNTs, as rod shaped reinforcement strengthen the matrix approximately twice the particle shaped reinforcements of the same volume fraction [16]. UTS (ultimate tensile strength) results of the AZ31-CNT remain relatively unchanged with increasing weight percent of CNTs. Usually when micron-size ceramic or metallic particles are added to Mg as reinforcements, the UTS of the resultant composites will drop due to particle fracture or particle/matrix interfacial failure. In our case it was found that the CNTs in the matrix remain intact during tensile deformation and due to the high tensile strength and excellent mechanical property of CNTs effectively eliminate the possibility of reinforcement fracture during tensile deformation.

Figure 8 shows the results of porosity and ductility values of the composites. The ductility increases with 0.33 wt% and start decreases with increase in the amount of CNT to 0.66 and 1.0 wt%. This is due to the fact that Mg is having a hexagonal close packed (HCP) structure and only possesses three independent easy slip systems, involving the slip of dislocations with (a) type burgers vector within the (0001) basal plane. As observed by Agnew and Duygulu [17] in AZ31B alloy, nonbasal slip could be activated at room temperature. Activation of extensive nonbasal (prismatic) cross slip ensures a minimum of five independent slip systems (three from basal and two from prismatic slip systems, resp.) in Mg which can result in a much higher ductility. Alloying with Al and Zn in Mg may promote nonbasal slip that was not observed in pure Mg. Previous studies have shown that the presence of reinforcements can produce a slip mode transition depending on the reinforcement/particle interaction [18]. Reinforcement with CNTs may lead to an activation of cross slip in nonbasal slip planes responsible for the increased ductility observed in the present study with 0.33 wt% CNT composites. Ductility starts decreasing when higher percentages of CNTs were added to the AZ31 matrix. When a relatively larger amount of CNTs is added to the AZ31 matrix, there will be some areas in the matrix where CNTs, with their large surface area, come into contact with
each other rather than with matrix material, forming small clusters. These clusters will prevent effective bonding between the matrix material and CNTs and lead to minute cracks in the matrix even before tensile testing. These cracks inevitably act as nucleation sites for plastic instability and lead to failure of the material with lower ductility. However, the amount of cracks and porosity in the matrix are still kept within the limit of 1.1 vol.%, which accounts for only a slight decrease in ductility.

The microstructure and mechanical property characterization of AZ31-CNT nanocomposites reveals that the powder metallurgy technique followed by mechanical alloying can be adopted for their manufacture. The results of the present research show that there is significant increase in the tensile strength and modulus with increase in the CNT content [19, 20]. However the increase in CNT more than 1 wt% will result in agglomeration/clustering of CNTs and reduce the mechanical properties of the material.

4. Conclusions

(1) Powder metallurgy process followed by mechanical alloying was successfully applied to synthesize AZ31-CNT nanocomposites.

(2) Mechanical alloying through high energy ball milling helps to improve homogeneous mixing and reduces the agglomeration of CNTs within the AZ31 matrix.

(3) The microhardness and tensile tests have revealed enhanced mechanical properties of AZ31-CNT composites due to the effect of mechanical alloying through ball milling.

(4) The results of the mechanical behavior reveal that an increasing volume fraction up to 1 wt% CNTs in the AZ31 matrix leads to an improvement in 0.2% YTS without losing ductility.
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