Frozen Shoulder: Current Concept of Management
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Frozen shoulder is a musculoskeletal disorder resulting from chronic inflammation of the capsule subsynovial layer and characterized with capsular thickening, progressive fibrosis, and contracture of the glenohumeral joint capsule. This condition is a relatively common condition that mimics other condition and its management requires deliberate consideration of patient’s condition.

REVIEW ARTICLE: This article reviews and summarize latest findings of frozen shoulder. The diagnosis of frozen shoulder is described from the patient history, physical examination in look, feel, and move sequence to the supporting examinations. The current evidence of managements of frozen shoulder is described, from the nonoperative to the surgical approach.

CONCLUSION: Most of frozen shoulder cases resolve spontaneously thus conservative treatment should be the first choice of frozen shoulder. However, for cases that failed with conservative treatment and had a debilitating effect to patient’s daily life or cases with history of surgery, operative treatment should be considered. Operative treatment is aimed to release the capsule either by manipulation under anesthesia, by arthroscopy, or by open surgery. Physical rehabilitation following every procedure is mandatory and key to maintain range of motion years following the surgery.

Introduction

Frozen shoulder is a disorder of musculoskeletal tissue around the shoulder resulting from prolonged inflammation particularly in subsynovial layer of the capsule, thus it is also termed as adhesive capsulitis (AC). The chronic inflammation persisted for more than 3 months, accompanied with thickening of the capsule, progressive fibrosis, and contracture of capsule in glenohumeral joint. The characteristic sign was scapulohumeral pain with loss of active and passive motion [1], [2]. The underlying mechanism of AC remains unclear; however, a theory has been proposed that there is an involvement of growth factors such as transforming growth factor-beta that plays a role in fibrotic cascade and stimulates synovitis development [1]. AC prevalence was 2%–5% of general population, with females 4 times more often affected than men. Patients mostly affected age is 40 to 60 years old, and it more often affect the non-dominant hand. Around 20%–30% patients will develop the condition on the contralateral side [3], [4]. A recent meta-analysis study by Prodromidis and Charalambous suggested genetic factors to frozen shoulder, noting a higher likelihood of this disease to affect Caucasian race, and those with a positive family history [5].

Primary AC is a term used to describe the idiopathic process of global capsular inflammation and fibrosis occurring in the absence of other lesions. Secondary AC is a term used to describe a shoulder pain and loss of range with another underlying lesion. Although the etiology of AC has not been identified, there are a number of associated risk factors [6]. Comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus and hypothyroidism are strongly associated with AC. Having first degree relative with AC is also a risk factor of this condition [7], [8].

Pathophysiology

AC has been known to be a predominantly fibrotic disease comparable to Dupuytren's disease since fibroblasts mixed with type I and type III collagen are primarily seen in the histology of affected specimens. These fibroblasts have been found to turn into a phenotype of smooth muscle (myofibroblasts), which is thought to be responsible for capsular contracture. There are altered amounts of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) involved in the remodeling of scar tissue. MMP-14, for instance, is expressed in control patients,
but not at all in AC patients [2]. MMP-2 is activated by MMP-14, they are involved in degradation of collagen and this may lead to more production of collagen compared to its breakdown. There is a decrease in expression of MMP-1 and MMP-2 in frozen shoulder patients. This was accompanied with the tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase expression. These results support the statement that the product of an imbalance between extracellular matrix tissue deterioration, remodeling, and regeneration results in AC. Future research may focus on the therapy that inhibit fibrogenesis directly or promote fibrotic tissue remodeling [9].

It is now widely recognized that an inflammatory and fibrotic mechanism is involved in the production of AC. This is corroborated by studies showing elevated inflammatory cytokines in capsular and bursal tissues of patients with AC, including interleukin (IL)-1α, IL-1β, tumor necrosis factor-α, and cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 and COX-2 relative to controls. It could, therefore, be argued that AC is mainly an inflammatory mechanism that ultimately contributes to fibrotic shift inflammatory cells, including T cells, B cells, macrophages and mast cells, are present in almost all of the samples collected from the rotator interval of patients with AC [10]. Mast cells are known to control in vivo fibroblast proliferation and may serve as an intermediate between the inflammatory and subsequent fibrotic processes [2].

**Diagnosis**

*Physical examination*

**Look**

At first look of the patient, undressing the patient is needed to compare the affected site with the healthy site. In early stage, there usually no apparent abnormality found. However, any visible scars indicating prior surgery or trauma that constitute as risk factors for frozen shoulder should be look upon in the physical examination. In later stage, muscle atrophy can be founded as a result of chronic decrease of movement of the frozen shoulder.

**Feel**

With palpation, common findings include tenderness over deltoid insertion. With deep palpation, tenderness may be felt over anterior and or posterior capsule.

**Move**

Range of motion (ROM) is crucial in diagnosing frozen shoulder. Forward flexion, abduction, and internal and external rotation are better assessed in supine condition with considering the scapulothoracic movement. In frozen shoulder, there is usually a true mechanical restriction with passive movement at certain point that is reproducible and must be differentiated from restricted of movement due to pain, this finding is a result of capsular contracture around the shoulder [10], [11].

Typically, patients experience shoulder pain and everyday movement restrictions due to loss of range of movement. Usually insidious, the onset of pain appears to be more gradual than in other shoulder disease. The origin of the deltoid is usually painful. Night pain is usually observed, and the pain was aggravated when patient lying onto the affected side thus usually was avoided [12]. Pain that was stimulated by repetitive overhead activities is predictive of other diseases rather than frozen shoulder. Pain will be aggravated when the shoulder is pushed outside the limits permitted by the contracted capsule; pain can be decreased with rest [6], [11]. Loss of motion follows the onset of pain and along with disease progression, usually becomes more pronounced. Loss of ROM may manifest itself as difficulty dressing and combing, and difficulty reaching the back pocket. Motion loss becomes extreme at an early stage and affects movement in all directions [10], [13].

**Radiological examination**

*Plain radiographs*

The main goal of simple radiographs is in their ability to distinguish between primary AC and glenohumeral arthritis or calcific tendinosis as differential diagnosis. With AC due to disuse and the inflammatory process, osteopenia that occurs over a short time can be seen. Otherwise, simple film images are mostly of little use in the sense of AC [2].

*Ultrasound*

In diagnosing AC, ultrasound has many benefits. Ultrasound is easy to obtain, inexpensive, quick, and enables the examiner at the time of evaluation to compare sonographic findings with clinical signs, such as tenderness to test over the glenohumeral joint and reduced ROM. Increased vascular flow and thickening of the rotator interval structures are the specific findings of AC during ultrasound inspection. Ultrasound may also find effusion of biceps tendon sheats [14], [15], [16]. In the majority of shoulders, tests of coracohumeral ligament (CHL) thickness can be carried out and thickening can be indicative of AC. The existence in the rotator interval of a hypoechoic area with increased vascularity correlates with the presence of fibrovascular inflammatory tissue that can provide early and precise diagnosis [14], [15], [16], [17].
Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)/magnetic resonance arthrography (MRA)

MRI is considered the routine modality for AC as a non-invasive examination, especially in patients with less serious clinical symptoms who may be misdiagnosed with rotator cuff tears, bursitis, or other conditions [2]. MRI may detect changes in the synovium and joint capsule and can exclude other shoulder pathologies that resemble AC such as rotator cuff tear, tendinitis, osteoarthritis, subacromial impingement, and occult fracture. The hallmark MRI results of AC are the axillary pouch’s thickening and oedematous appearance [18], [19].

The discrepancy between MRI and MRA lies in the sensitivity of CHL thickness and enhancement of the rotator cuff interval, with greater sensitivity given by MRA [2]. A study also showed that intravenous administration of contrast agents (i.e., indirect MRA) can be helpful in identifying enhancement of capsular and synovial structures associated with ongoing inflammation. To detect pathology over the rotator cuff interval, MRA may also be used [17], [20], [21]. Thickening of the CHL and capsule has high specificity but low sensitivity for the diagnosis of AC at the rotator cuff interval [22].

The use of MRI or MRA as the gold standard for the diagnosis of AC has been stated in some studies. However, as early AC has unfavorable MR results, there is no agreement or consensus on the gold standard for the diagnosis of AC or frozen shoulder [17].

Computed tomography (CT) angiography

The ability of CT arthrography in AC diagnosis has been researched in one study. Thickening of the axillary pouch was observed by CT arthrography in the setting of AC, consistent with previously recorded results on MRI and MRA. Cerny et al. found sensitivities of 100% and 81% and specificities of 75% and 85%, respectively, using cutoff values of 4 mm for the medial wall and 4.9 mm for the lateral wall [23].

With short examination time and patient irradiation held as low as possible to provide adequate diagnostic quality imaging, CT arthrography represents a valuable and more usable image modality for MRI and MRA [23].

Differential diagnosis

The differential diagnosis for the patient who presents with a stiff and painful shoulder is extensive. Differential diagnosis such as calcific tendinitis, bicipital tenosynovitis, glenohumeral and acromioclavicular arthritis, and tears of the rotator cuff can lead to a stiff and painful shoulder, and limitation of ROM and should be ruled out with physical and radiological examination [1], [24].

We have to take into consideration that the clinical feature may also be as a result of secondary frozen shoulder. Other secondary causes are rare, but the likelihood of infection or tumor and prompt urgent investigation should be increased by indications such as weight loss, systemic upset, shoulder swelling, and bony tenderness [25].

Classification

There are four AC stages (Summarized in Table 1). With arthroscopy and biopsy, each step can vary from each other. It may be difficult to differentiate stage one AC from other shoulder diseases because the symptoms are not clear. A progressive onset of pain usually referred to the deltoid insertion is characteristic in stage one. Typically, it is achy at rest and sharper with motion. It is normal to find pain at night, and patients often report an inability to sleep on the affected side. In general, the duration of symptoms is <3 months. Capsular pain can also be found with deep palpation or passive stretching. At the poles of motion, there is a hollow final sensation. Patients can report mobility limitations, but under anesthesia, motion is completely restored [1]. Under arthroscopy, there was fibrinous synovial inflammatory reaction with no presence of adhesion or formation of contracture. Histologically, there was still rare inflammatory cells, hypervascular, and hypertrophic but the capsular tissue is still normal [6], [24].

Stage 2, also called freezing stage, represents a combination of acute synovitis and progressive capsular contracture. The pain persists and, particularly at night, is more intense. In forward flexion, abduction, and internal and external rotation, motion is limited. Motion restriction is improved with intra-articular anesthetic injection, but cannot be completely reversed. To differentiate between the first 2 steps, intra-articular anesthetic injection is necessary [1]. Stage 2 frozen shoulder’s arthroscopy will show synovium proliferation and early adhesion formation. It also shows the appearance of the Christmas tree, which is characterized as thickened, hypervascular synovitis. In this process, early loss of the dependent capsular contracture is restricted motion. Hypertrophic, hypervascular synovitis with perivascular and subsynovial scar formation could be identified during capsular biopsy [1], [24].

The prevailing patient complaint is profound stiffness in Stage 3, also referred to as the frozen stage. In the final ROM and sometimes at night, pain can still be present. Physical analysis shows a feeling of substantial loss of mobility at the ends of movement and tethering. With intra-articular anesthetic injection or examination under anesthesia, no change in motion is observed. Symptoms at this stage have usually been present for 9–15 months [1]. Step 3 arthroscopic testing shows less synovitis and more fibrosis. Besides axillary...
fold loss, there is minimal synovitis. Capsular biopsy reveals that hypercellular collagenous tissue with a thin synovial layer exhibits similar characteristics to other conditions of fibrosis [1], [24].

Stage 4, also called the thawing stage, patient usually feels minimal pain with profound stiffness. Significant motion loss is commonly observed, but gradual improvement in motion can occur. Arthroscopy of Stage 4 AC demonstrates fully mature adhesions, making it difficult to identify intra-articular structures. There is no report on capsular histopathology of AC [1], [24].

Management

Current management of AC includes non-operative and operative management (See algorithm in Figure 1). Non-operative management of AC includes oral anti-inflammatory medication nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAIDs), shoulder injections with corticosteroids or hyaluronate, hydrodilation, physical therapy, electrotherapy, cryotherapy, or any combination of this management [26]. Operative management of AC could be done by either manipulation under anesthesia (MUA), arthroscopic capsular release, or open capsular release [27], [28], [29]. When a strategic attempt at conservative management has failed, operative management for frozen shoulder is commonly considered. To continue surgery, there is no discrete timeline. Patients should have engaged in some form of physiotherapy for at least 4–6 months as a general rule and have shown little or no improvement. In the freezing phase, which is the painful phase of the disease process, a more cautious approach is largely prescribed for patients. Surgical intervention is then really considered when patients started to feel that they have no improvement of symptoms and have occupational, recreational, or sleep restrictions [30].

Non-operative management

NSAID

NSAIDs are often prescribed in the early inflammatory phases of AC to provide short-term pain relief. Both COX-1 and COX-2 expressions are elevated in capsular and bursal tissues of patients with AC, and these anti-inflammatory agents target synovitis as the source of pain [2]. Pain management is a key feature to allow patients to tolerate physical therapy needed to improve ROM. Oral corticosteroids can also be prescribed and have been shown to improve pain, especially night pain, and ROM in the short-term, but similar to NSAIDs, they do not have a substantial effect on recovery [2], [27].

Intra-articular corticosteroid injection

Corticoids in the treatment of AC may lead to a faster increase of ROM and pain reduction [31], [32]. Furthermore, intra-articular corticosteroid injection provides faster and better improvement in symptoms relieves compared to oral steroid treatment [33], [34]. Intra-articular steroid injection decreases fibromatosis and myofibroblasts in AC [35], [36], [37]. An intra-articular injection of long-acting anesthetic and glucocorticoid could be useful for exacerbate symptoms after exercise. Intra-articular corticosteroid injections led to fast pain relief and improved ROM after 4 weeks of treatment [27], [38], [39].

Hyaluronate intra-articular injection

Sodium hyaluronate is an unbranched polysaccharide which has chondroprotective effect. It has been shown to provide equivalent outcomes to intra-articular corticosteroid injection [40]. Pharmacologically, hyaluronate has metabolic effects on articular cartilage, synovial tissue, and synovial fluid. Hyaluronate intra-articular injection leads to a lower coefficient of enhancement in the synovium, which is a measurement for synovitis on patients with AC [2], [27], [41].

Hydrodilation

Hydrodilation is a procedure performed by injection of air or fluid under fluoroscopy with local anesthetic to raise the intracapsular volume and pressure, and stretch the contracted capsule until capsular breakup, also known as distention arthrography or brisement. Under local anesthetic, hydrodilation can be performed and takes about 15 min to complete. Various fluids have been used and in combination with arthrography [1], [2], [42].

Physical therapy

The widely recommended treatment for avoiding capsular contracture and improving the ROM in the advanced stages of the disease is physical therapy. Despite omnipresent usage, supporting evidence to show its advantage is very few [43]. Physical therapy should not be aggressive or painful, and as the purpose of physical therapy is to increase the ROM, strengthening is rarely required. In most cases, gentle, progressive stretching is successful. Patients should, however, be told that they will have a lengthy recovery time [11], [28].

Electrotherapy

Modalities of electrotherapy, also known as electrophysical agents, are forms of physical therapy aimed at reducing discomfort and enhancing function in
the body through an increase in electrical, sound, light, or thermal energy. Therapeutic ultrasound, low-level laser therapy, interference current, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, and pulsed electromagnetic field therapy are used in electrotherapy. In practice, patients with frozen shoulder typically undergo an electrotherapy mixture and other physical therapy treatment regimen [11], [44], [45].

Cryotherapy

Whole-body cryotherapy (WBC) requires unclothed body exposure in a chamber that circulates very cold air sustained for 2 to 3 min between -110°C and -140°C. It is believed that WBC provides the body with anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects. Ma et al. compared physical therapy alone with WBC versus physical therapy, noting that using the American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons Standardized Shoulder Assessment Form, the group receiving physical therapy with WBC showed higher improvement in visual analog scale, active ROM (flexion, abduction, internal rotation, and external rotation), and self-assessed functional score [2], [46].

Operative management

For those failing conservative treatment with significant pain, only then surgical treatment should be considered. There is no general consensus or recommendation on the time frame of when to do surgery. However, generally surgical option is considered when conservative treatments showed no improvement for 12 months and patient still presents with debilitating signs and symptoms. Patients who do not have any improvement with physical therapy should be looked for another underlying pathology such as rotator cuff tear or osteoarthritis. The surgical treatments of frozen shoulder that will be described in this article include MUA, arthroscopic capsular release, and capsular distention [47].

MUA

MUA is a popular technique that is routinely performed for patients with frozen shoulder that shows no improvement of pain and stiffness for 6 months. The long-term outcome of this non-invasive treatment is well researched and good in the majority of patients, even the improvement of ROM is sustained after 15 years of follow-up [6].

Patient’s position is usually supine and with the combination of general anesthesia and block of interscalene nerve. At first, affected shoulder is moved passively and is recorded as pre-operative ROM. The surgeon holds the patients upper arm with his hand near to axilla and his forearm against the patient’s arm, the contralateral hand of the surgeon stabilizes the scapula superiorly to form a very short lever arm. Then, the arm of the patient is elevated in the plane of scapula until an audible tearing sound is heard from the inferior capsule. At this step, when patients arm is fully elevated it should also be in full external rotation to continue the tearing of anterior capsule. To tear the posterior capsule, patient’s arm is then adducted across patient’s chest and then held in internal rotation and adducted across the body to continue and complete the tearing of superior and posterior capsule. After completion of shoulder manipulation, shoulder joint is injected with 40 mg of methylprednisolone and 10 ml of 0.25% bupivacaine. Physiotherapy should follow immediately and a full range of active and passive movement is encouraged [48], [49].

In more resistant shoulder pain and contracture of post-traumatic and frozen shoulder after surgery, closed manipulation should not be performed as the risk of fracture is increased [1], [24]. As a result of unnecessarily forceful manipulation, multiple complications can occur, such as glenohumeral dislocation, iatrogenic humerus fractures, rotator cuff tear, labral tears, brachial plexus injuries, and hemorrhagic effusions and hematomas, which can be harmful to articular cartilage [24].

Arthroscopic capsular release

Capsular release under arthroscopy has been known as an effective and safe method for the treatment of frozen shoulder. Arthroscopy is both diagnostic and for therapeutic as it has the ability to directly visualize the CHL whether it is tightened, inspect the rotator cuff, and to see whether there is contracture of the capsule then it is as well is able to perform capsulotomy and ensure adequate release of the capsule [6]. The standard capsulotomy that is performed in arthroscopy is anteroinferior capsular release. The release of posterior capsule, however, is controversial. Arthroscopic capsular release is seen superior to MUA as it directly visualize the joint, it has the ability to confirm the diagnosis and exclude the risk of blind MUA. Multiple studies also found that arthroscopic capsular release has better outcome in term of pain relief and functional improvement in comparison to MUA [2], [50], [51].

The procedure is performed with the patient in beach chair position. Posterior portal may be hampered by severe capsular contracture. In which case, a simple maneuver of forward elevation can loosen the joint and help to insert the arthroscope. Anterior portal is used as the insertion of electrocautery to perform capsulotomy or release the anterior capsule and rotator interval. The main lesion in frozen shoulder is the tightened CHL and rotator interval and its contracted capsule. The release should include all rotator interval tissue between the upper subscapularis and the superior glenohumeral
ligament with preservation of the medial sling of biceps. The release is then continued to middle glenohumeral ligament continued with the release of anterior and inferior capsule [30].

Open capsular release

Open surgical release for frozen shoulder is now rarely performed and served as the last option as the indication of it is very limited. However, it should still be put into consideration for patients failing capsular release with manipulation. Open surgical release is mostly considered for those with frozen shoulder secondary to traumatic event or following previous surgical procedure around the shoulder involving hardware used as in open reduction and internal fixation [11], [24].

The procedure is performed with an incision over the clavicle to the lateral border of coracoid. To expose and release the CHL, deltoid muscle is split and the CHL is excised with externally rotate the patients arm. Identification of the rotator interval border is done along with identification of long head of biceps. The release should include the tissue between the subscapularis and supraspinatus and below the coracoid. Commonly injured muscle during this procedure is subscapularis, supraspinatus, and long head of biceps thus identification of this structure during the procedure is important. The release can be extended when external rotation evaluation remains tight. It includes the middle glenohumeral ligament, inferior glenohumeral ligament, and the capsule as far posteriorly as possible. However, for sufficient visualization, this can be difficult and may require subscapularis tenotomy and repair. The superior and posterior capsule in frozen shoulder has little involvement and the release of this capsule is more often not necessary for the treatment of idiopathic frozen shoulder [24].

Postoperatively, patients’ arm is supported with roller towel and the arm is held in external rotation. It has to be remembered that the arm should not be held in a sling as this may force and immobilize the arm in internal rotation. The next day, patient is trained to exercise shoulder ROM by the help of physiotherapist and may be discharged and trained is continued to preserve the movement improvement following the surgery [20].

Prognosis

In most cases of idiopathic frozen shoulder, spontaneous recovery to normal level is expected and conservative treatment usually succeeds in most cases. However, for patient with resistant cases and those with

---

Table 1: Staging of frozen shoulder [1], [5], [20].

| Characteristics | Inflammation Phase | Freezing Phase | Frozen Phase | Thawing Phase |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Pain           | *                 | ***           | *            | *            |
| Stiffness      | –                 | +             | –            | –            |
| ROM            | Fully restored under anesthesia | Not fully restored under anesthesia | No improvement under anesthesia | Little ROM improvement |
| Arthroscopy    | Fibrinous inflammatory reaction | Early formation of adhesion | Less synovitis, more fibrosis | Mature adhesion |

ROM: Range of motion.
comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, or bilaterally affected, they would have better outcome with early operative treatment [53].

Conclusion

Frozen shoulder or AC is common and can be diagnosed from physical examination alone whereas supporting examination can be performed to help the diagnosis and to exclude differential diagnosis or accompanying condition. Most of the cases resolve spontaneously thus conservative treatment should be the first choice of frozen shoulder. However, for cases that failed with conservative treatment and had a debilitating effect to patient’s daily life or cases with history of surgery, operative treatment should be considered. Operative management is aimed to release the capsule either by MUA, by arthroscopy, or by open surgery. Physical rehabilitation following every procedure is mandatory and key to maintain ROM years following the surgery.

References

1. Neviaser AS, Hannafin JA. Adhesive capsulitis: A review of current treatment. Am J Sports Med. 2010;38(11):2346-56. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546509348048 PMid:20110457
2. Le HV, Lee SJ, Nazarian A, Rodriguez EK. Adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder: Review of pathophysiology and current clinical treatments. Shoulder Elbow. 2017;9(2):75-84. https://doi.org/10.1177/175857321667686 PMid:28405218
3. Walmsley S, Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA. Clinical identifiers for early-stage primary/idiopathic adhesive capsulitis: Are we seeing the real picture? Phys Ther. 2014;94(7):968-76. https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/pjt130398 PMid:24652474
4. White D, Choi H, Peloquin C, Zhu Y, Zhang Y. Secular trend of adhesive capsulitis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2011;63(11):1571-5. https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.20590 PMid:22034118
5. Prodromidis AD, Charalambous CP. Is There a Genetic Predisposition to Frozen Shoulder?: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. JBJS Rev. 2016 Feb 23;4(2):01874474-201602000-00004. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.RVW.O.00007. PMID: 27490134.
6. Neviaser AS, Neviaser RJ. Adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2011;19(9):536-42. PMid:21885699
7. Wang K, Ho V, Hunter-Smith DJ, Beh PS, Smith KM, Weber AB. Risk factors in idiopathic adhesive capsulitis: A case control study. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2013;22(7):e24-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2012.10.049 PMid:23352186
8. Zreik NH, Malik RA, Charalambous CP. Adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder and diabetes: A meta-analysis of prevalence. Muscles Ligaments Tendons J. 2016;6(1):26-34. https://doi.org/10.32098/mljt.01.2016.04
9. Lubis AM, Lubis VK. Matrix metalloproteinase, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase and transforming growth factor-beta 1 in frozen shoulder, and their changes as response to intensive stretching and supervised neglect exercise. J Orthop Sci. 2013;18(4):519-27. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00776-013-0387-0 PMid:23604641
10. Kelley MJ, Shaffer MA, Kuhn JE, Michener LA, Seitz AL, Uhl TL, et al. Shoulder pain and mobility deficits: Adhesive capsulitis: Clinical practice guidelines linked to the international classification of functioning, disability, and health from the orthopaedic section of the American physical therapy association. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2013;43(5):A1-31. https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2013.0302
11. Feeley SM, Larson ES, Diduch DR. Adhesive capsulitis: Current concepts. Ann Sports Med Res. 2017;4(6):1124.
12. Manske RC, Prohaska D. Clinical commentary and literature review: Diagnosis, conservative and surgical management of adhesive capsulitis. Shoulder Elbow. 2010;2(4):238-54. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-5740.2010.00095.x
13. Manske RC, Prohaska D. Diagnosis and management of adhesive capsulitis. Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med. 2008;1(3-4):180-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12178-008-9031-6 PMid:19469804
14. Wu YT, Ho CW, Chen YL, Li TY, Lee KC, Chen LC. Ultrasound-guided pulsed radiofrequency stimulation of the suprascapular nerve for adhesive capsulitis: A prospective, randomized, controlled trial. Anesth Analg. 2014;119(3):986-92. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0000000000000354 PMid:25010824
15. Yoong P, Duffy S, McKean D, Hujairi NP, Mansour R, Teh JL. Targeted ultrasound-guided hydrodilatation via the rotator interval for adhesive capsulitis. Skeletal Radiol. 2015;44(5):703-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00256-014-2047-7
16. Walmsley S, Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA. Power Doppler ultrasonography in the early diagnosis of primary/idiopathic adhesive capsulitis: An exploratory study. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2013;36(7):428-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmpt.2013.05.024 PMid:23830711
17. Harris G, Bou-Haidar P, Harris C. Adhesive capsulitis: Review of imaging and treatment. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol. 2013;57(6):633-43. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-9485.12111 PMid:24283550
18. Gokalp G, Algin O, Yildirim N, Yazici Z. Adhesive capsulitis: Contrast-enhanced shoulder MRI findings. Yargen Dalgi Cerrahi Dergisi. 2013;37(6):517-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yjcm.2016.05.056 PMid:27490134.
19. Zhao W, Zheng X, Liu Y, Yang W, Amirbekian V, Diaz LE, et al. An MRI study of symptomatic adhesive capsulitis. PLoS One. 2012;7(10):e47277. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047277 PMid:23082152
20. Song KD, Kwon JW, Yoon YC, Choi SH. Indirect MR arthographic findings of adhesive capsulitis. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2011;197(6):1105-9. https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.10.6099 PMid:22109326
21. Ahn KS, Kang CH, Oh YW, Jeong WK. Correlation between magnetic resonance imaging and clinical impairment in patients with adhesive capsulitis. Skeletal Radiol. 2012;41(10):1301-8.
www.dovepress.com/management-of-the-frozen-shoulder-peer-reviewed-full-text-article-orr. [Last accessed on 2021 Jan 16]. https://doi.org/10.2147/orr.s71115

48. Tsvieli O, Atoun E, Consigliere P, Polyzois I, Walecka J, Pradhan R, et al. Manipulation under anaesthetic for frozen shoulder using Codman’s paradox: A safe and early return of function. Int Orthop. 2018;42(2):339-44. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-017-3558-3 PMid:28699020

49. Kraal T, Beimers L, The B, Sierveelt I, van den Bekerom M, Eygendaal D. Manipulation under anaesthesia for frozen shoulders: Outdated technique or well-established quick fix? EFORT Open Rev. 2019;4(3):98-109. https://doi.org/10.1302/2058-5241.4.180044 PMid:30993011

50. Barnes CP, Lam PH, Murrell GA. Short-term outcomes after arthroscopic capsular release for adhesive capsulitis. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2016;25(9):e256-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2015.12.025 PMid:26968090

51. Grant JA, Schroeder N, Miller BS, Carpenter JE. Comparison of manipulation and arthroscopic capsular release for adhesive capsulitis: A systematic review. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2013;22(8):1135-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2013.01.010 PMid:23510748

52. Kanbe K. Clinical outcome of arthroscopic capsular release for frozen shoulder: Essential technical points in 255 patients. J Orthop Surg Res. 2016;13(1):56. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-016-0758-5 PMid:29548325

53. Eljabu W, Klinger HM, von Knoch M. Prognostic factors and therapeutic options for treatment of frozen shoulder: A systematic review. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2016;136(1):1-7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00402-015-2341-4 PMid:26476720