Lung and colorectal cancer treatment and outcomes in the Veterans Affairs health care system
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Abstract: Lung cancer (LC) and colorectal cancer (CRC) are the second- and third-most commonly diagnosed cancers in the Veterans Affairs (VA) health care system. While many studies have evaluated the treatment quality and outcomes of various aspects of VA LC and CRC care, there are no known reviews synthesizing this information across studies. The purpose of this literature review was to describe LC and CRC treatment (ie, surgical and nonsurgical) and outcomes (eg, mortality, psychosocial, and other) in the VA health care system as reported in the existing peer-reviewed scientific literature. We identified potential articles through a search of published literature using the PubMed electronic database. Our search strategy identified articles containing Medical Subject Headings terms and keywords addressing veterans or veterans’ health and LC and/or CRC. We limited articles to those published in the previous 11 years (January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2013). A total of 230 articles were retrieved through the search. After applying the selection criteria, we included 74 studies (34 LC, 47 CRC, and seven both LC and CRC). VA provides a full array of treatments, often with better outcomes than other health care systems. More work is needed to assess patient-reported outcomes.
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Introduction

Lung cancer (LC) and colorectal cancer (CRC) are the second- and third-most commonly diagnosed cancers among men and women in the US, together accounting for approximately 20% of all new cancer cases annually.1 In 2014, an estimated 224,210 LC and 136,830 CRC cases along with 159,260 LC and 50,310 CRC deaths will occur.1 Age and cigarette smoking are the predominant risk factors for LC, although other environmental and occupational exposures, such as asbestos and radon, may also increase lung cancer risk.2 Well-known risk factors for CRC include age, obesity, physical inactivity, alcohol consumption, and unfavorable dietary habits, such as increased red and processed meat consumption and low fruit and vegetable intake.3,4

Cancer care is complex, and a number of organizations have set forth guidelines for the management of LC and CRC care.

Patients with cancer receiving care in the Veterans Affairs (VA) health care system represent about 3% of all cancer patients in the US. A national-level study using the VA Central Cancer Registry to assess cancer incidence among veterans noted that lung and bronchus cancers account for nearly 20% of all cancers among veterans, and CRC accounts for 9%.5 While comparisons have been made between VA and non-VA care for both LC and CRC,6–10 the VA population is unique in that most patients have...
poor health and lower education and income. Given the aging population, increase in military personnel exiting active duty, and potential increase in veterans seeking health care in VA, the cancer burden in VA will increase. Therefore, it is important to assess current treatment practices and impact on outcomes in the VA cancer population to identify areas for improvement.

The objective of this review was to describe LC and CRC treatment (ie, surgical and nonsurgical) and outcomes (eg, mortality, psychosocial, and other) in the VA health care system as reported in the existing peer-reviewed scientific literature. To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive review of cancer treatment and outcomes in patients receiving care in the VA health care system.

Materials and methods

We identified potential articles through a search of published literature using the PubMed electronic database. Our search strategy identified articles containing Medical Subject Headings and keywords in the title and abstract addressing veterans or veterans’ health and LC and/or CRC (Table 1). We limited articles to those published in English in the previous 11 years (January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2013).

This initial search yielded 230 unique articles. We then screened abstracts and full articles for eligibility. The following exclusion criteria were applied: non-US veterans (or inability to distinguish between veterans and nonveterans in the study population), noncancer or other cancers, nonepidemiological or not original research (eg, commentaries, feasibility studies), or description of only risk or barriers to care. We included retrospective analyses, cross-sectional, and prospective studies. A full description of this process is outlined in Figure 1.

Results

A summary of published studies describing LC and CRC among veterans is presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

Lung cancer

Surgical treatment

There were eight articles that addressed receipt of surgery and/or predictors of surgical treatment. Dransfield et al reported that among 156 patients with non-small-cell LC (NSCLC), 31 underwent resection, and a majority of these (61%) were diagnosed at the time of surgery. In a study including all patients diagnosed with LC in 2007, the surgery rate was 69% (909 of 1,314) among those with stages I and II NSCLC. This was similar to the 65.4% of stage I and II NSCLC patients who received surgery in the full cohort in the VA-wide study by Landrum et al of patients diagnosed in 2003–2004. In another national-level cohort of VA patients, 51% of patients aged 65 years and older with localized LC had surgery. Zeber et al conducted a national-level study of elderly veterans comparing receipt of treatment for LC patients aged 70–84 years (n=19,010) to those 85 years and older (n=1,347), and found that 2.7% of all LC patients aged 70–84 years had surgery compared to 0.5% of those over 85 years. When surgical resection patterns among veterans and nonveterans were compared, it was noted that resection rates were similar for older veterans (70.2%) and nonveterans (71.2%) with early stage disease, but among younger patients the resection rate was lower in veterans (83.3%) compared to nonveterans (91.5%). In two small studies, each done at a single VA facility, one study observed that 20% of stage I–IIIA patients got surgery, and the other reported a 28% surgery rate among all stages.

Four of these seven studies identified factors associated with receipt of surgery. In a single-institution study, the authors noted that surgery patients were less likely to have chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and typically had better pulmonary function, while those who did not have surgery most often had advanced disease (75%), poor pulmonary function (5%), or refused (4%). National-level studies by Williams et al and Wang et al both reported that lower treatment rates were associated with increasing age,
black race, severe comorbidity, and respiratory disease.\textsuperscript{16,18} For example, resection rates for local disease among patients 65–74 years old were 59.8% and 43.1% for those aged 75–84 years and 18.5% for those over age 85 years.\textsuperscript{18} Williams et al reported that blacks were significantly less likely to receive surgery compared to whites (odds ratio 0.63, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.48–0.83), and receipt of surgery also varied within the categories of marital status, histology, smoking status, and region.\textsuperscript{16} In an article by Landrum et al that identified reasons for not receiving guideline-recommended treatment, predominant reasons for nonreceipt of curative surgery for stage I/II LC included poor health (61%) and refusal (26%).\textsuperscript{17}

Nonsurgical treatment

We identified two studies that evaluated receipt of nonsurgical treatment, both of which were done among elderly veterans. In an article by Wang et al, the authors used a cohort of patients aged 65 years and older to assess receipt of first-line recommended treatment according to National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines.\textsuperscript{18} The recommended treatment for regional NSCLC is surgery plus chemotherapy, with or without radiation if the patient is eligible for surgery, or chemotherapy plus radiation if the patient is not a surgical candidate. Chemotherapy is the standard of care for patients with metastatic disease. Based on these guidelines, this study found that 35% of patients with regional disease and 27% with metastatic disease received appropriate treatment. Treatment rates varied a great deal according to age. For patients with regional disease, rates ranged from 44.7% for those aged 65–74 years to 14.0% for those over 85 years. Corresponding rates for metastatic disease were 34.3% and 9.3%. Zeber et al examined treatment modalities using a cross-sectional study of patients aged 70 years and older.\textsuperscript{19} Among LC patients aged 70–84 years, 4.8% received radiation and 9.0% received chemotherapy. For patients aged 85 years and older, 3.3% got radiation, while 2.9% got chemotherapy.

Timeliness of care

When the timeliness of LC care is considered, it is important to note that although several studies have evaluated “guideline-recommended” timeliness, these guidelines are not based on scientific evidence that shorter time periods produce better outcomes. In the period for the literature search for this review, there were seven studies published on the timeliness of LC care among veterans. Three national-level studies examined the timeliness of care within VA. One of these studies found that the median time between the first radiography and treatment was 71 days,\textsuperscript{22} while another study reported the median times between suspicion and diagnosis as 33 days and suspicion to treatment as 63 days.\textsuperscript{23} A national-level study among late-stage NSCLC
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\caption{Schema for article-selection process.}
\end{figure}
| Study                        | Study design          | Study population, n | Study outcome(s)                          | Major findings                                                                                     | Single/multiple VA facility(ies) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Au et al<sup>11,2b</sup>    | Retrospective cohort  | 1,949               | 459 (349 LC, 110 LC + COPD)              | Health care-resource utilization in last 6 months of life Compared to COPD patients, fewer LC patients admitted to the ICU, but more received palliative medications | 7 VAMCs                         |
| Cajipe et al<sup>9</sup>     | Retrospective cohort  | 91                  | 91                                       | Perioperative outcomes VTAS patients had shorter LOS and chest tube duration, VTAS associated with reduced complications | Single facility (Houston, TX)     |
| Campling 2005 et al<sup>11,2b</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 48,994              | 862                                      | OS VA patients had worse OS compared to non-VA patients | VAMCs in Pennsylvania coil      |
| Capo-Ramos et al<sup>11,2b</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 3,669,244           | 82,945                                   | Risk of LC Age at study entry, number of hospital visits, COPD, alcohol abuse associated with increased risk, hospitalization with mood disorder associated with reduced risk | Nationwide cohort                |
| DeArmond et al<sup>10</sup> | Retrospective study   | 50                  | 50                                       | Postoperative complications LOS longer in VA patients compared to non-VA | Single facility                  |
| Dransfield et al<sup>11</sup> | Retrospective chart review | 487           | 156 NSCLC; 18 SCLC                       | Use of surgery, time to surgery, OS 20% underwent surgery, fewer COPD patients had surgery, time to diagnosis longer among surgical patients (61% diagnosed at surgery), OS in surgery patients was greater (65%) than nonsurgery (30%) patients | Single facility (Birmingham, VA) |
| Gould et al<sup>11,2b</sup> | Retrospective cohort  | 375                 | 204                                      | Risk of LC Age, smoking history, nodule size, years since quit smoking associated with increased LC risk | Sample of patients from 10 VA sites |
| Gould et al<sup>11</sup>    | Retrospective chart review | 129          | 129                                      | Timely care, survival Hospitalization within 7 days, tumor size >3 cm, presence of additional radiographic abnormalities, metastatic symptoms associated with treatment within 84 days; hospitalization within 7 days, tumor size >3 cm, white race associated with diagnosis within 42 days; patients with shorter time to treatment had worse survival | Single facility (Palo Alto, VA)  |
| Hunnibell et al<sup>1a</sup> | Prospective study     | 408                 | 408                                      | Timeliness of care, stage at diagnosis Patient-navigation program reduced time between suspicion and treatment, and resulted in trend toward earlier stage at diagnosis | Connecticut, VA health care system |
| Jones et al<sup>14</sup>    | Retrospective cohort  | 323                 | 323                                      | Primary care utilization No significant change in overall primary care-utilization rate for chronic conditions, but significant rate decrease for acute conditions In the VA cohort, NSCLC not associated with area level, but the effects of spending on mortality may be different in the private sector | Single facility in Midwest health care system |
| Keating et al<sup>11,2b</sup> | Retrospective cohort  | Not reported         | Not reported                             | Variation in treatment and outcomes by area-level Medicare spending End-of-life care Men in VA less likely to receive chemotherapy within 14 days of death, be admitted to ICU within 30 days of death, or have >1 ER visit within 30 days of death, compared to fee-for-service Medicare Hispanic and unknown race, female sex, statin use, alcohol use, body mass index associated with lower risk; age, diabetes, smoking associated with increased risk | Nationwide cohort |
| Keating et al<sup>11,2b</sup> | Retrospective cohort  | 23,327              | 2,915                                    | Risk of LC Hispanic and unknown race, female sex associated with lower risk; age at rheumatoid arthritis onset, tobacco exposure, asbestos exposure associated with increased risk | Nationwide cohort |
| Khurana et al<sup>11,2b</sup> | Retrospective nested case-control study | 483,733            | 7,280                                    | Risk of LC Hispanic and unknown race, female sex associated with lower risk; age at rheumatoid arthritis onset, tobacco exposure, asbestos exposure associated with increased risk | VISN16                           |
| Khurana et al<sup>11,2b</sup> | Retrospective nested case-control study | 783,721            | 7,280                                    | Risk of LC Hispanic and unknown race, female sex associated with lower risk; age at rheumatoid arthritis onset, tobacco exposure, asbestos exposure associated with increased risk | VISN16                           |
| Kouri et al<sup>11,2b</sup> | Retrospective cohort  | 44,847 (VHA Medicare), 13,479, 1,521 | Treatment in versus out of VA | 5.8% of elderly LC surgery patients had surgery outside VA; 57% of LC patients who had surgery outside VA had no VA outpatient visits in the year prior to surgery | Nationwide cohort |
| Study | Design | Sample size | NSCLC, SCLC | All-cause and cancer-specific survival rates | Note |
|-------|--------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|------|
| Landrum et al<sup>a,b</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 94,013 | 13,434 NSCLC, 2,111 SCLC | All-cause and cancer-specific survival rates | Nationwide cohort |
| Landrum et al<sup>a,b</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 5,348 (full cohort), 584 (sample) | 2,952 (full cohort), 177 (sample) | Underuse of recommended treatment | Random sample of national-level cohort |
| Powell et al<sup>22</sup> | Retrospective chart review | 2,463 | 2,463 | Time between initial radiograph and treatment | National-level cohort |
| Rao et al<sup>a,b</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 1,229,902 | 6,923 | Risk of LC | National-level cohort |
| Reed et al<sup>a,b</sup> | Retrospective chart review | 416 | 211 | Survival | Single facility (Cincinnati, VA) |
| Riedel et al<sup>27</sup> | Retrospective chart review | 345 | 345 | Time to diagnosis and treatment | Single facility (Durham, VA) |
| Schultz et al<sup>32</sup> | Retrospective chart review | 2,372 | 2,372 | Time to treatment | National-level cohort |
| Sigel et al<sup>a,b</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 113,044 | 1,071 | Risk of LC | National-level cohort |
| St Julien et al<sup>39</sup> | Retrospective chart review | 78 | 78 | Survival | Single facility (Tennessee Valley, VA) |
| Street and Gordon<sup>60,a,b</sup> | Audio recordings of consultations | 150 | 62 | Patient–provider communication | Single facility in TX |
| Tanveyanon and Choudhury<sup>25</sup> | Retrospective chart review | 47 | 47 | Discontinuation of statins | Single facility |
| Tarlov et al<sup>a,b</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 21,239 | 17,014 | Changes in ESA use for anemia treatment | National-level cohort |
| Wang et al<sup>25,a</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 237 | 83 | Time to treatment | National-level cohort |
| Wang et al<sup>18</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 20,511 | 20,511 | Treatment | Ann Arbor, VA health care system |
| Williams et al<sup>16</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 1,314 | 1,314 | Treatment | National-level cohort |

<sup>1</sup> Higher all-cause and cancer-specific survival in VA compared to non-VA for NSCLC; NSCLC patients in VA diagnosed at earlier stages than non-VA patients.

<sup>2</sup> African-Americans less likely than whites to be evaluated by surgeon, have surgery recommended, and receive surgery; older patients less likely evaluated by surgeon; among patients not getting surgery, 60.7% had poor health and 26% refused.

<sup>3</sup> Median time was 71 days, time to treatment decreased with more advanced disease.

<sup>4</sup> Angiotensin receptor-blocker use was not associated with increased risk of LC, but appeared to have a protective effect.

<sup>5</sup> Lobectomy was the main operative procedure (71%); 60% patients died from NSCLC, 16% from other causes, 25% unknown cause; overall mortality was 57%, disease-specific survival was 60%.

<sup>6</sup> Time to diagnosis was similar in the Multidisciplinary Thoracic Oncology Clinic (MTOC) (48 days) and non-MTOC (47 days) cohort; time to treatment was similar in the MTOC (22 days) and non-MTOC (23 days) cohort.

<sup>7</sup> 69% were diagnosed within weeks of abnormal chest X-ray, 63% got surgery within 6 weeks of treatment; median time to treatment was 92 days for stage I/II and 52 days for stage III/IV; institutional factors did not explain much of the variation in treatment times.

<sup>8</sup> HIV infection, age, smoking history, COPD, previous bacterial pneumonia associated with increased risk; Hispanic and other race associated with lower risk.

<sup>9</sup> 30- and 90-day mortality 3.8% and 6.4%, 32% had at least one postoperative event, number of postoperative events associated with worse survival.

<sup>10</sup> Frequency of active participation was greater for LC patients than angiogram patients.

<sup>11</sup> About 50% of LC patients did not discontinue statins.

<sup>12</sup> Patients with chemo after March 2007 had 65% reduced odds of anemia treatment compared to those treated with chemo before 2007.

<sup>13</sup> Median time to treatment was longer for patients treated in the VA (67 days) than for patients treated at an academic medical center hospital (55 days).

<sup>14</sup> Blacks had greater prevalence of several comorbidities and performance status, effect of comorbidities on receipt of surgery did not differ by race, blacks with comorbidities more likely to refuse surgery.
patients reported 66 days as the mean time from diagnosis to initiation of treatment. The studies including all LC stages; both reported shorter time to treatment for advanced stages, one of which found that patients receiving radiation or chemotherapy were treated sooner than those undergoing surgery. Another noted a 69% adherence rate to the recommended guidelines for receipt of diagnosis within 8 weeks of suspicion.

Wang et al compared time to treatment in a single VA compared to a nearby academic medical center, and found that the median time to treatment was longer for patients treated in VA (67 days) than for patients treated at a hospital (55 days). The other studies examining the timeliness of care were each conducted at a single VA facility. One facility evaluated the impact of having a cancer care coordinator to improve timelines of care for patients potentially having LC, and found that the time between suspicion and treatment was reduced to 55 days, compared to 136 days in previous years. On the contrary, incorporation of a multidisciplinary thoracic oncology clinic at another facility did not appear to improve times to diagnosis and treatment. The time intervals between initial presentation to diagnosis before and after initiation of the multidisciplinary thoracic oncology clinic at another facility did not appear to improve times to diagnosis and treatment. Corresponding times between diagnosis and treatment were 22 and 23 days, respectively. Another single-facility study documented 84 days between suspicion and treatment (range 38–153 days). This study further determined that predictors of timely treatment included hospitalization within 7 days of the initial cancer suspicion, a tumor size greater than 3.0 cm, other abnormalities on chest radiograph, and presence of metastatic symptoms, and determinants of timely diagnosis included hospitalization within 7 days, tumor size greater than 3.0 cm, and white race.

Postoperative outcomes
In a retrospective review of 78 patients undergoing surgery for pathologic stage I LC, approximately a third of patients had at least one postoperative event, with the most common being pneumonia (17%) and reintubation (13%). Another small study comparing video-assisted thoracoscopic (VATS) lobectomy (n=46) with open lobectomy (n=45) found that VATS patients had a shorter length of stay and shorter chest-tube duration and that VATS was associated with a lower risk of complications. DeArmond et al also examined patients undergoing VATS lobectomy, and compared outcomes of VA and non-VA patients. They noted that average length of stay was significantly longer in VA versus non-VA patients (6.4 days versus 3.6 days, P=0.022).
## Table 3 Summary of published studies on colorectal cancer among veterans, 2003–2013

| Study | Study design | Study population, n | Study outcome(s) | Major findings | Single/multiple VA facility(ies) |
|-------|--------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Abraham et al<sup>41</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 197/197 | Receipt of recommended therapy | Most patients with colon cancer receive recommended therapy; rectal cancer patients who were presented at tumor board were more likely to receive recommended therapy | National-level cohort |
| Balentine et al<sup>40</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 155/155 | Disease-free survival | Obese patients had nonsignificantly decreased wound infection after minimally invasive surgery (MIS) compared to open surgery; MIS had faster return of bowel function and faster return home | Single facility (Houston, TX) |
| Battat et al<sup>39</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 147<sup>a</sup> | Stage at diagnosis | Increase in stage 0 cancers; overall migration to earlier-stage cancers | Single facility (Palo Alto, VA health care system) |
| Chiao et al<sup>41</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 470/470 | Risk of death, quality of care | A diagnosis of diabetes did not impact overall survival among patients with CRC | Single facility (Houston, TX) |
| Davila et al<sup>42</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 32,621/32,621 | 30-day postoperative mortality | Older age, being divorced/separated/widowed, and presence of distant metastases associated with increased 30-day mortality | National-level cohort |
| Fernandez et al<sup>43</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 72/72 | Pathologic and operative measures, postoperative morbidity | Robotic surgery patients had lower tumors, more advanced disease, higher rate of preoperative chemoradiation, longer operative times | Single facility (Houston, TX) |
| Fisher et al<sup>39</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 447/447 | Time to diagnosis, stage at diagnosis | Older age, having comorbidities, and Atlanta region associated with longer time to diagnosis; screen detection associated with decreased risk of late-stage cancer | 15 VAMCs |
| Fisher et al<sup>44</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 3,546/3,546 | Risk of death | Risk of death decreased in patients who had at least 1 follow-up colonoscopy | National-level cohort |
| Gellad et al<sup>45</sup> | Prospective cohort | 3,121<sup>a</sup> | Risk of neoplasia, adenoma detection | Withdrawal time not associated with risk of interval neoplasia; at medical-center level, withdrawal time associated with baseline adenoma detection | 13 VAMCs |
| Gonsalves et al<sup>46</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 19,240/19,240 | Number of lymph nodes recovered | Later year at diagnosis, higher overall stage, higher T descriptor, age less than 65 years, poorer differentiation, right-sided tumor associated with an increased probability of retrieving 12 or more lymph nodes after surgical resection | National-level cohort |
| Hachem et al<sup>47</sup> | Case control | 30,400/6,080 | Risk of CRC | Use of statins associated with small reduction in risk of colon cancer in patients with diabetes | National-level cohort |
| Hamilton et al<sup>48</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 1,199/1,199 | Use of psychosocial support services | Rectal cancer patients less likely to receive psychosocial services | 27 VAMCs |
| Hou et al<sup>49</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 20,949/20,949 | Risk of CRC | African-Americans not at an increased risk for CRC compared to Caucasians | National-level cohort |
| Hynes et al<sup>50</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 601/601 | Receipt of surgery and chemotherapy | Older veterans with colon cancer who used both VA and non-VA services had similar odds of receiving cancer-directed surgery and chemotherapy in both systems | California |
| Itani et al<sup>51</sup> | Retrospective cohort | 103/103 | 30-day postoperative mortality | 22% had a delay in surgery and the use of minimally invasive surgery increased over time | 118 VAMCs |
| Keating et al<sup>52</sup> | Retrospective cohort | Not reported/Not reported | Variation in treatment and outcomes by area-level Medicare spending | In the VA cohort, no associations of care or mortality with Medicare spending | National-level cohort |

(Continued)
| Study          | Study design     | Study population, n | Study outcome(s) | Major findings                                                                 | Single/multiple VA facility(ies) |
|---------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Keating et al | Retrospective    | 23,327 (total)      | End-of-life care| Men in VA less likely to receive chemotherapy within 14 days of death, be admitted to ICU within 30 days of death, or have >1 ER visit within 30 days of death, compared to fee-for-service Medicare beneficiaries | National-level cohort            |
| Landrum et al | Retrospective    | 5,348 (full cohort), 84 (sample) | Underuse of recommended treatment | African-Americans with rectal cancer less likely to receive rectal surgery; higher refusal rates for curative rectal cancer surgery among African-Americans | Random sample of national-level cohort |
| Landrum et al | Retrospective    | 94,013 (full cohort), 407 (sample) | All-cause and cancer-specific survival rates | Similar survival rates for colon and rectal cancer; earlier stage at diagnosis associated with survival | National-level cohort            |
| Lee et al     | Retrospective    | 47 (full cohort), 47 (sample) | LOS, ICU stay, complications, 30-day mortality | Patients over 80 years old had increased LOS, more cardiopulmonary complications, and decreased survival rates | Single facility (Richmond, VA)   |
| Leung et al   | Retrospective    | 186 (full cohort)   | Postoperative hospital LOS | CAD and postoperative complications associated with prolonged LOS, COPD predictive of complications | Single facility (Richmond, VA)   |
| Lieberman et al | Prospective    | 1,171 (full cohort), 30 (sample) | Cumulative most advanced histologic finding at colonoscopy | Among those with CRC, 23% had family history, 67% had history of smoking, 77% used nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs | National-level cohort            |
| Mammen et al  | Retrospective    | 5,823 (full cohort) | Overall survival | Age, grade, number of nodes associated with overall survival for patients with stage I–II disease | National-level cohort            |
| Marshall et al | Retrospective    | 50 (full cohort)    | Overall inpatient cost and LOS | LOS and operative times shorter among patients who had laparoscopic colectomy | Single facility (Houston, TX)    |
| Mauchley et al | Prospective    | 130 (full cohort)   | Impact of CT scans on treatment; cost | CT scans altered treatment for 16% of patients; saved the facility US$24,018 over 6 years | Single facility (VA Puget Sound health care system in Seattle, WA) |
| Merkow et al  | Retrospective    | 17,487              | Time from diagnosis to definitive cancer-directed therapy | Time from diagnosis to first treatment increased over the study period (1998–2008) | 124 VAMCs                       |
| Paulson et al | Retrospective    | 4,635               | Time from diagnosis to surgery, time from surgery to initiation of chemotherapy | Treatment at multiple hospitals or surgery outside of the VA system more likely to experience delays than patients treated in a single hospital | National-level cohort            |
| Pérez et al   | Retrospective    | 405 (full cohort)   | Risk factors, sporadic hyperplastic polyps and colorectal neoplasia | Hyperplastic polyps not associated with colorectal neoplasia; proposed risk factors for colorectal neoplasia not risk factors for developing hyperplastic polyps | VA Caribbean health care system |
| Phelan et al  | Cross-sectional  | 1,109               | Depressive symptoms | Cancer stigma and self-blame associated with depressive symptoms | National-level cohort            |
| Rabeneck et al | Retrospective    | 22,633              | Mortality | Greater hospital surgical volume associated with prolonged long-term survival following surgery | National-level cohort            |
| Rabeneck et al | Retrospective    | 34,888              | Mortality | Older age associated with increased short- and long-term mortality | National-level cohort            |
| Rabeneck et al | Retrospective    | 46,044              | Survival | Decrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites; overall survival improved over time | National-level cohort            |
| Robinson et al | Retrospective    | 214 (full cohort)   | Time from diagnosis to surgery and survival time | No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean time from diagnosis to surgery, or survival | Single facility (Houston, TX)    |
| Sabounchi et al | Retrospective | 300 (full cohort)   | Treatment, survival outcomes | No racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt of curative surgery, time to death | Single facility (Houston, TX)    |
| Study | Design | N | N 2 | Outcomes |
|-------|--------|---|-----|----------|
| Siersema et al. | Case-control | 536 | 268 | Risk of colorectal neoplasia, Barrett’s esophagus, age, and alcohol use associated with increased risk of colorectal neoplasia |
| Tarlov et al. | Retrospective cohort | 3,014 | 3,014 | Overall survival and event-free survival, Improved survival among patients who received all care in either VA or non-VA (ie, not dual users) |
| Tarlov et al. | Retrospective cohort | 21,239 | 4,225 | Changes in ESA use for anemia treatment, After black-box warning, ESA use decreased 53% among colon cancer patients; odds of ESA use increased with advancing age; postperiod decline in use was much larger at younger ages and diminished throughout the age span |
| van Roessel et al. | Retrospective cohort | 334 | 334 | Tumor stage, tumor location, survival, All-cause 5-year survival significantly better for VA CRC cohort compared to National Cancer Database cohort |
| Visser et al. | Prospective cohort | 186 | 186 | 30-day and 90-day mortality, 30-day mortality underreports true risk of death after colorectal surgery, 90-day mortality rate is a better estimation |
| Wahls and Peleg | Retrospective cohort | 150 | 150 | Delays or nonreceipt of CRC screening, Frequency (65%) of included cases missed opportunities for earlier diagnosis, 38% had contributing patient factors |
| Wilks et al. | Prospective database | 120 | 60 | LOS, postoperative outcomes, complications, Laparoscopic resections associated with shorter hospital stays, quicker return of bowel function, fewer wounds, fewer complications requiring reoperation |
| Wilks et al. | Retrospective and prospective cohorts | 346 | 346 | Quality of care, Quality of care (ie, complete, margin-negative resections, lymph nodes excised, multidisciplinary therapy) improved after implementation of dedicated center |
| Zafar et al. | Retrospective cohort | 682 | 342 | Stage at diagnosis, In VA cohort, higher comorbidity associated with earlier stage at diagnosis |
| Zeber et al. | Retrospective cohort | 194,797 | 26,300 | Treatment, Differences in rate of receipt of radiation, chemotherapy, surgery with oldest patients (≥ 85 years) receiving lower rate of treatment compared to those aged 70–84 years of age |
| Zullig et al. | Retrospective cohort | 39,505 | 3,421 | Incidence in VA, Colon and rectal cancers represent 9% of all cancers in VA, proportions of CRC similar by race and sex |
| Zullig et al. | Retrospective cohort | 2,022 | 2,022 | Guideline treatment, No racial differences in receipt of care, older age at diagnosis and cardiovascular comorbid conditions associated with reduced odds of medical oncology referral, older age also associated with reduced odds of surveillance colonoscopy |
| Zullig et al. | Retrospective cohort | 2,022 | 2,022 | Treatment timeliness, survival outcomes, Small racial difference in timing of surveillance colonoscopy, little evidence of racial differences in CRC-care quality |

Notes: aDid not clearly distinguish between precancerous, noninvasive, and/or invasive CRC; baddressed both lung cancer and CRC; cincluded both VA and non-VA health care settings.
Abbreviations: CRC, colorectal cancer; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LOS, length of stay; ICU, intensive care unit; CAD, coronary artery disease; ER, emergency room; VAMC, Veterans Affairs Medical Center; ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agent; DNR, do not resuscitate; CT, computed tomography; VA, Veterans Affairs.
Survival outcomes
In a study by Dransfield et al, overall survival rate at 3 years was 65% among surgical patients, 80% among stage IA surgical patients in particular, and 30% in nonsurgical patients.15 Another single-facility study of 178 resection patients reported that 102 (57%) patients died during the study period, of whom 60% died from disease-specific causes, 18% from other causes, and 25% from unknown causes.31 As it relates to short-term outcomes, one study found 30-day and 90-day mortality rates to be 3.8% and 6.4%, respectively, among pathologic stage IA resection patients.28

In studies evaluating predictors of survival, factors associated with worse survival included an increasing number of postoperative events29 and shorter times to treatment.21 While the correlation between shorter time to treatment and worse survival outcomes may be due to a myriad of factors, it is possible that this can also be attributed to patients with more advanced disease. One VA-wide study of patients with late-stage NSCLC found that African-Americans had longer overall survival (133 days) than whites (117).24 Three of the eight studies evaluating survival in veterans with LC were done at the state or national level, and compared survival among VA versus non-VA patients. Campling et al identified new LC patients diagnosed in 1995–1999 from the Pennsylvania Cancer Registry (n=28,798) to compare patient characteristics and survival among VA and civilian patients.32 VA patients had worse overall survival, based on 5-year survival rate of 12% among VA patients and 15% among non-VA patients. When examined by race, this significant survival difference among VA and non-VA patients was observed in white patients but not blacks. A similar comparative analysis was conducted among patients in Washington state diagnosed with LC between 2000 and 2006 identified by the VA and Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) cancer registries.20 Veterans had worse survival within each stage compared to non-VA patients, but better overall survival when stages were combined, because VA had a significantly greater percentage of early-stage diagnoses.

Only one national-level study was done, and showed that VA patients had improved overall and cause-specific survival rates for NSCLC compared to SEER Medicare patients (hazard ratio [HR] 0.91, 95% CI 0.88–0.95), primarily due to more early stage patients in the VA population, because this survival benefit was no longer evident in stage-adjusted analyses.8 There was no survival difference for small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) (HR 0.99, 95% CI 0.93–1.05). Also, survival outcomes were similar for VA and non-VA patients undergoing similar LC treatment.

Other outcomes
Two studies assessed health care utilization among LC patients. Au et al examined health care utilization in the last 6 months of life in patients with LC versus COPD who received care in one of seven VA facilities.33 Compared to those with COPD, LC patients were less likely to see their primary care provider and admitted to the intensive care unit less often, but more LC patients received palliative medications. A VA facility in the Midwest evaluated use of primary care before and after LC diagnosis, and reported no significant change in the overall primary care-utilization rate for chronic conditions (eg, hypertension), but found a significant decrease for acute conditions (eg, lower respiratory infection).34 A small study among advanced LC patients found that 53.2% discontinued statin use prior to death, and this was most often those receiving chemotherapy or with a history of cerebrovascular disease.35

We identified one study each that assessed communication, cost, and symptom management in two postdiagnostic clinic contexts: postangiogram consultations and initial lung cancer visits.36 Postdiagnostic patient–provider communication was compared between 88 patients during their postangiogram consultation and 62 patients during their initial LC visit.36 This study noted a greater frequency of active participation for LC patients and more facilitative talk by physicians of LC patients. Keating et al conducted a retrospective study to evaluate variation in area-level Medicare spending for cancer care.6 They did not find NSCLC to be associated with area-level spending in VA; however, the results suggested that the effects of spending on mortality in VA may be different than in the private sector. One study evaluated treatment with erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) for anemia among 17,014 LC patients.37 In 2007, the US Food and Drug Administration issued a black-box warning for ESAs, because under certain circumstances ESAs may increase the risk of death and cancer progression. The study found that patients receiving chemotherapy after March 2007 had 65%-reduced odds of ESA treatment compared to those treated with chemotherapy before 2007.37

Colorectal cancer
Surgical and presurgical treatment
We identified four studies evaluating preparation for and/or receipt of surgery. Mauchley et al examined the clinical utility of routine preoperative computed tomography (CT) scans and their cost-effectiveness in the treatment of patients with colon cancer; CT scans provided information that was used in treatment planning (33% of patients), and sometimes altered the
model of treatment (16% of patients). Routine preoperative CT scans were also cost-effective, saving the institution in excess of US$24,000 over a 6-year period. A national-level study of VA medical centers (VAMCs) using National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) data examined causes of mortality in CRC surgery and the longitudinal uptake of both cancer- and noncancer-directed laparoscopy. Over a 3-year period, the percentage of laparoscopy, a minimally invasive surgery, gradually increased from 3.5% (2003) to 10.1% (2005). Balentine et al used a database at their facility to evaluate minimally invasive CRC surgery among obese patients over an 8-year span (2002–2009). Of these obese patients, 73% (n=113) underwent open surgery, and 27% (n=42) had minimally invasive surgery. Conversion from open to minimally invasive surgery occurred in 25% of cases. At the Houston VAMC, Abraham et al examined receipt of appropriate therapy according to the National Cancer Institute’s Physician Data Query (ie, stage II colon cancer patients receive surgical resection, stage III both surgery and chemotherapy). They found that most (87% of stage II and 71% of stage III) colon cancer patients received the recommended therapy, while fewer than half (42.5%) of rectal cancer patients did. Among patients with rectal cancer, those whose clinical case was discussed at tumor board were more likely to receive the recommended therapy.

Hynes et al addressed issues of cancer-care coordination among dually eligible patients (ie, eligible for VA and Medicare coverage). Among veterans with CRC in California, 72% were initially diagnosed and treated in non-VA facilities. The authors compared surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy use between older California-based veterans with cancer receiving care in the VA system, outside of the VA system, and in both VA and non-VA systems. The odds of receiving cancer-directed surgery and chemotherapy were similar in both systems. Also, in both care settings, among stage III patients older age was associated with lower odds of receiving adjuvant chemotherapy.

Zeber et al conducted a national-level study of elderly veterans comparing receipt of treatment for CRC patients aged 70–84 years (n=23,494) to those 85 years and older (n=2,806), and found that 5.8% of patients aged 70–84 years had surgery compared to 3.4% of those over 85 years. When Landrum et al examined reasons for underuse of recommended treatment, African-American veterans were less likely to receive rectal surgery (66.6% versus 80.7%, $P=0.002$), and curative surgery refusal rates were higher for African-Americans with stages I–III rectal cancer compared to white patients (14.6% versus 4.8%, $P=0.001$).

### Nonsurgical treatment

Keating et al compared area-level variations in Medicare spending, cancer care received, and outcomes between patients enrolled in fee-for-service Medicare and VA. In contrast to the fee-for-service Medicare cohort, in the VA system there was minimal variation in CRC treatment by spending. In a study by Landrum et al evaluating dual users, relative to users of one system (ie, either VA or non-VA), dual users with stage II and III were substantially less likely to receive chemotherapy.

We identified two studies evaluating possible racial variation in the provision of nonsurgical VA CRC care and one study evaluating variation by age. In a single-facility study, Sabounchi et al found that use of chemotherapy was significantly higher in white than black veterans (49% versus 25%, $P<0.001$); however, there was no difference in receipt of radiotherapy. In a national-level study, Zullig et al found no racial difference for receipt of guideline-concordant CRC care, including preoperative carcinoembryonic antigen testing and surveillance colonoscopy. However, the authors determined that VA patients who were older at diagnosis may have had reduced odds of referral to medical oncology and surveillance colonoscopy. Zeber et al examined treatment modalities using a cross-sectional study of patients aged 70 years and older. Among CRC patients aged 70–84 years, 1.3% received radiation and 3.9% received chemotherapy. For patients 85 years and older, 1.0% got radiation, while 1.2% got chemotherapy.

### Timeliness of care

We identified five studies addressing timeliness of VA CRC care. Paulson et al found that compared to colon cancer patients treated entirely within their home VAMC, patients who required referral to a different hospital (ie, outside of their home VAMC) for surgery experienced delays in surgical intervention. For patients referred outside VA, there was an average delay of nearly 2 weeks. Those who then returned to VA for chemotherapy were more likely to receive initial treatment more than 8 weeks after surgery. Patients who went to another hospital to receive chemotherapy experienced delays; the average adjusted time from surgery to chemotherapy for these patients compared to those treated entirely within the VA health care system was 11.4 days ($P=0.003$). Similarly, Merkow et al examined time from CRC diagnosis to first-course therapy, and found that time to first treatment increased over the study period (1998–2008) for both colon and rectal cancers.

We identified one national and one single-facility study examining racial differences in CRC-care timeliness.
Zullig et al evaluated racial differences in stage-specific timeliness measures, such as time from surgery to initiation of adjuvant chemotherapy and surgery to surveillance colonoscopy. Though there was a small (average 16 days) racial difference in time to surveillance colonoscopy, the authors concluded that there was little evidence of racial differences in CRC quality among VA health care-system users. The single-study facility observed no significant racial difference in mean times from diagnosis to surgery. Another VA-wide study observed a delay to perform surgeries, both cancer- and noncancer-directed surgeries, in 23 patients (22%).

**Postoperative outcomes**

A single-institution study reported that robotic rectal resection compared to laparoscopy was associated with longer operative times, but there was no difference in surgical outcomes, such as blood loss, conversion rates, postoperative morbidity, lymph nodes harvested, margin positivity, or specimen quality. A national-level study examined patient characteristics, with special emphasis on race, associated with clear surgical margins for nonmetastatic CRC resection, and determined that there were no racial differences in clear surgical margins. In a study by Lee et al among surgical patients, compared to patients under 80 years old who had also had CRC-directed surgery, those 80 years and older had an increased length of stay (21.4±4.1 days for study group versus 11.1±0.9 days for control group, \( P=0.02 \)) and more cardiopulmonary complications (\( P=0.01 \)), and 32% presented in an emergency situation, which resulted in significantly longer hospital stays and increased incidence of complications.

We identified two studies, one local and one national, addressing lymph-node retrieval. At a national level, Gonsalves et al evaluated clinical, pathological, and patient factors associated with lymph-node retrieval. The authors identified patients with resected stage I–III colon cancer between 2005 and 2008. They found that the mean number of lymph nodes retrieved increased over time, and was associated with higher stage, higher tumor descriptor, age less than 65 years, poorer differentiation, and right-side tumors (\( P<0.01 \) for all of these factors). Another study at the VAMC in Houston, TX reported an increased percentage of complete, margin-negative resections (\( P<0.05 \)) and number of lymph nodes removed during surgery (\( P<0.01 \)) after establishing a minimally invasive CRC program. Investigators also compared outcomes of patients undergoing minimally invasive laparoscopic resections to age-matched patients who underwent open resections. They determined that patients undergoing laparoscopic procedures experienced shorter hospital stays and quicker return of bowel function. In 2009, the median inpatient cost of laparoscopic colectomy was 33% less than open colectomy ($6,000, \( P<0.01 \)). The median length of stay in the hospital and operative time were also reduced by 31% (3.5 days, \( P<0.05 \)) and 37% (108 minutes, \( P<0.01 \)), respectively, among those undergoing laparoscopy. Compared to those undergoing open CRC surgery, obese patients undergoing minimally invasive surgery had a significantly faster return of bowel function and returned home a median of 2 days earlier (\( P<0.01 \)). In a retrospective analysis of patients undergoing resection from 2002 to 2007, the median length of stay was 8 days. In this study, coronary artery disease and postoperative complications were predictive of prolonged length of stay; COPD was predictive of complications.

**Survival outcomes**

We identified two studies that assessed temporal trends in CRC mortality. The first VA-wide analysis evaluated temporal trends in survival among CRC patients admitted to VA hospitals. Significant survival differences were seen over time (1987–1998, \( P<0.01 \)) with longer survival times for patients diagnosed in more recent periods of time. In an adjusted analysis, there was an 18% increase in survival over time, and a small but significant decrease in the chance of surviving, in blacks compared to whites (adjusted relative survival 0.96, 95% CI 0.92–0.99). The second study examined temporal changes in 30-day postoperative mortality using NSQIP data. Thirty-day postoperative mortality declined from 4.7% during 1987–1988 to 3.9% during 1998–2000. Patients who received surgical resection during 1992–1994, 1995–1997, and 1998–2000 had a 14%, 14%, and 27% lower adjusted risk of 30-day mortality, respectively, compared with those resected in 1987–1988. In another study of all VAMCs, the 30-day postsurgery mortality rate was 5.9%. Visser et al measured both 30- and 90-day mortality following colectomy at a single facility. In this study, laparoscopic operations comprised 24.2% and open operations comprised 75.8% of colorectal surgery; most (60.8%) were surgeries performed for neoplasms. The actual 30-day mortality rates were 4.3%, 1.4%, and 15.8% for all, elective, and emergency procedures, respectively. They compared these rates to calculated NSQIP rates. The observed and calculated rates were similar. The risk-adjusted observed-to-expected ratio for 30-day mortality was 4.8%, 1.8%, and 18.2%, respectively. However, 90-day mortality increased substantially to 9.1%, 4.1%, and 28.9%, respectively.
Numerous studies examined patient, hospital, or provider factors associated with survival. van Roessel et al determined that compared to National Cancer Database benchmarks, their VA facility had a greater percentage of early-stage cancers and better all-cause 5-year survival (VA 0.72, National Cancer Database 0.47; \( P<0.001 \)).\(^9\) We identified five studies addressing the correlation between patient factors and survival risk for VA patients with CRC. Rabeneck and colleagues compared 30-day and 5-year mortality in elderly versus younger patients following CRC-directed surgical resection. In patients at least 65 years of age with rectal or colon cancer, after adjustment the 30-day mortality was 2.5 times greater and 5-year mortality was 1.5 times greater than in younger patients.\(^6\) Lee et al also assessed the impact of age on survival by evaluating outcomes of patients aged 80 years and older who underwent surgery for CRC between 1996 and 2006. Older patients also had decreased survival rates at 1, 3, and 5 years (71%, 48%, and 31% respectively).\(^30\) After stratifying by stage, survival between diabetic and non-diabetic patients with CRC was not significantly different.\(^31\) Two single-facility studies\(^32,33\) and one national study\(^34\) noted no racial differences in survival.

Landrum et al compared the survival rates of older patients with cancer receiving care in the VA health care system and fee-for-service Medicare.\(^8\) When compared to similar fee-for-service Medicare patients, patients receiving VA care had higher survival rates for colon cancer (HR 0.87, 95% CI 0.82–0.93) and similar survival rates for rectal cancer (HR 1.05, 95% CI 0.95–1.16).\(^8\) One study compared 3-year overall and cancer-free survival among patients with nonmetastatic colon cancer between patients who received substantial proportions of their care in both VA- and non-VA systems (dual users) and those who obtained care predominantly in VA or a non-VA system.\(^9\) Patients with stage I disease who received care predominantly in either VA (HR 0.40, 95% CI 0.28–0.56) or a non-VA system (HR 0.54, 95% CI: 0.38, 0.78) had reduced hazard of dying compared with dual users. This was true for all stages of disease. For event-free survival, stage II and III VA users, but not non-VA users, had improved event-free survival.\(^9\) Dual users also had fewer total provider visits for colon cancer. In a study by Keating on area-level variation, in VA there was no statistically significant association of care delivered or mortality based on the level of Medicare spending.\(^6\)

Greater surgical volume at a facility is a predictor of survival following CRC surgery.\(^62\) Among newly diagnosed CRC patients who underwent surgical resection in the VA system in fiscal years 1991–2000, 5-year cumulative survival was 52.1% among patients who received surgery in high-volume surgical hospitals, ie, those performing ≥25 procedures annually, compared to 48.1% in low-volume hospitals.\(^62\) In adjusted analyses, there was a 7% and 11% increase in 5-year survival for patients with colon and rectal cancers, respectively, compared to patients undergoing surgical resection in high- versus low-volume hospitals.\(^62\) Among stage II patients, overall survival increased with the higher number of lymph nodes examined and overall survival was greater with an increased number of positive lymph nodes (\( P<0.001 \)).\(^63\) The ratio of examined-to-positive lymph nodes was a stronger predictor of survival, with a 5-year overall survival of 27% for the highest quartile versus 44% for the lowest.\(^63\)

Fisher et al\(^64\) compared the mortality rates of patients who received at least one colonoscopy after their diagnosis with patients who had no further procedures. The adjusted risk of death at any point during 5 years of follow-up was decreased by 43% (HR 0.57, 95% CI 0.51–0.63) in the group who had a surveillance colonoscopy versus those who did not.\(^64\) The absolute difference in 5-year mortality rate was approximately 12%.\(^64\)

**Other outcomes**

We identified few studies (\( n=3 \)) addressing symptom management and psychosocial outcomes. In a national retrospective analysis examining ESA use for anemia management among colon cancer patients receiving chemotherapy, the odds of receiving ESA treatment decreased by 53% (OR 0.47, 95% CI 0.36–0.63) after the US Food and Drug Administration warning in 2007 compared to those treated before the warning.\(^37\)

Two articles focused on psychosocial aspects of health and/or palliative care. The majority (78.6%) of VA CRC patients obtain psychosocial services.\(^30\) The most commonly accessed type of psychosocial service was chaplain services (58.9%), followed by social work (50.5%), psychiatry (7.1%), psychology (6.2%), mental health nurses (3.5%), or another type of service (4.4%).\(^65\) Phelan et al reported results from a self-administered survey to assess stigma, perceived blame, self-blame, and depressive symptoms in men with CRC, and indicated that 31% of survey respondents agreed with at least one item in a measure of cancer stigma, and 25% reported feeling at least a little to blame for their illness.\(^66\) Feelings of cancer stigma and self-blame were independent predictors of depressive symptoms.\(^66\)

**Lung and colorectal cancer**

In a study including patients with metastatic LC or CRC, but not distinguishing between the two, Keating et al found...
that compared with fee-for-service Medicare, patients with metastatic cancer in the VA health care system appropriately received less aggressive end-of-life care. In particular, among matched cohorts, men in VA were less likely to receive chemotherapy within 14 days of death, be admitted to the intensive care unit within 30 days of death, or have more than one emergency room visit within 30 days of their death. This suggests that patients in VA may be receiving appropriate palliative care.

Discussion
The VA health care system is the largest integrated system in the US, and one of the largest providers of cancer care. Moreover, VA fulfills an important role by serving a unique patient population. VA patients often enter the health care system with a greater comorbidity burden, lower education and income, and lower health literacy. This literature review described treatment-utilization rates, factors associated with receipt of treatment, and outcomes for LC and CRC within the VA health care system.

Summary of VA LC care
Evidence from the reviewed studies on LC shows that resection rates were 65%–70%, and closer to 50% among elderly populations. Among demographic factors, besides age, black race was also associated with lower resection rates, which has been widely reported for decades. Clinical predictors of non-receipt of surgery included such conditions as COPD and poor pulmonary function, as expected, since these are related to lung disease, and severe comorbidity. Together, these findings stress the need to identify ways to reduce variation in treatment by population subgroups, understand determinants of patient decisions regarding treatment, and identify any unmet patient needs or concerns that could improve resection rates. A significant portion of patients did not get recommended treatment for advanced disease; however, studies were few and confined to elderly populations. Studies assessing timeliness of care generally noted shorter times to care for advanced stages. Although some delay in lung cancer treatment is inevitable, due to the complexity of disease, large studies should attempt to identify and reduce any avoidable delay and assess the impact of delay on long-term outcomes. Numerous studies addressed survival outcomes among LC patients, but very few examined other patient outcomes (eg, quality of life, follow-up care, recurrence), and most studies were small. This is certainly an area for much-needed research to understand the impact of lung cancer care on both patient-reported and other clinical outcomes.

Summary of VA CRC care
Earlier stage at presentation was not associated with race, but was associated with improved long-term survival. In general, CRC patients receiving care in VA received appropriate cancer treatment and palliative care. Outcomes for older patients may be worse than younger patients and/or those with fewer comorbid conditions; while older patients may be less likely to receive certain types of treatment, such as chemotherapy, care is provided to older VA CRC patients at a similar rate as in the private sector. Overall, racial variation in VA CRC care is not evident, although there is room for improvement in a few areas, such as racially equal uptake of chemotherapy. The survival rates of older men with rectal and colon cancer are equal to and better than, respectively, the survival rates of men receiving care in fee-for-service Medicare.

Summary of VA and non-VA comparisons
Studies comparing VA and non-VA health care settings found mixed results with respect to quality of care and survival between patients treated within and outside VA. In terms of cancer-care quality, several studies indicated that quality indicators, such as length of stay after LC surgery and time to LC treatment, were better in settings outside VA. In contrast, other studies also reported improved quality within VA compared to non-VA settings. For example, studies found that VA LC patients were diagnosed at earlier stages, and both LC and CRC patients received less aggressive end-of-life care. Additionally, compared to CRC patients seeking care in the private sector, those with higher comorbidity burden were diagnosed at an early stage. There were also conflicting findings in terms of survival benefit between patients treated in VA and non-VA systems. For example, one study found that VA LC patients had worse overall survival than non-VA patients; however, two other studies found that VA LC patients had better all-cause and cancer-specific survival. Better overall survival was also found among VA CRC patients. Findings were consistent regarding the perils of receiving care in dual systems: receiving care in one system, whether VA or non-VA, leads to better wait times and survival compared to using multiple systems.

Limitations
This review has several limitations. First, we synthesized the literature describing treatment and outcomes of care provided in the VA health care system. Care provided to veterans outside VA, either in nonfederal systems or through dual use of multiple systems, was not captured in this review. The extent of veterans receiving cancer care outside VA is
not known. Secondly, these studies were dissimilar in many ways; lack of congruence in study design and content makes comparisons between VA and non-VA settings, as well as across VA settings, challenging. The included studies varied widely in terms of study population (e.g., one center versus nationwide), study time frames, comparison groups (e.g., another integrated health care system versus national database), and sample size. Because of heterogeneity in research design, there are limitations in the extent to which conclusions can be synthesized across studies.

Future directions
Mirroring that of those in military service, the composition of the VA population is changing. The VA serves an increasingly younger population with more female patients. As a result of this population shift, cancer-related treatment needs may also evolve. The VA cancer population approximately parallels that of US men; however, as more women receive care in VA, it is possible that VA may see an increase in cancers that are common among women. More research is needed to understand how and/or if VA should modify its cancer planning and services.

Relatively few studies included patient-reported outcomes and care experiences. Patient-reported information could lend additional insight into experiences of symptoms, functioning, health status, and quality of life. Understanding the patient perspective may provide critical insight into psychosocial needs, an underevaluated aspect of VA cancer treatment. Though these are important issues, future studies must extend beyond physical aspects of care and comprehensively assess patients’ cancer care, including psychosocial, spiritual, mental, and palliative care needs.
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