Environmental control of daily stem radius increment in the montane conifer Cedrus libani
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Abstract Growth response of conifers is species-specific and depends on site and climate conditions. Studies on daily radial stem growth use different analytical approaches to determine species reactions to environmental conditions. These results contribute to improve forecasts of tree growth under a changing climate. During 2013 and 2014, radial stem growth of 33 mature Cedrus libani individuals growing under different climatic conditions in Turkey and Germany was monitored hourly using high precision point dendrometers. Stem radius increments (SRI) were extracted from dendrometer readings. The annual course of SRI showed site-specific patterns with mean daily values ranging between 9.9 and 29.3 μm over the growing season. Correlation and principal component analyses indicated that humidity and low temperatures during the growing season favored SRI. Multiple regression analyses demonstrated that precipitation and relative air humidity were the most important factors influencing daily SRI. Climate-growth relationships were further evaluated using the regression tree method. Precipitation was the most significant factor on daily SRI for all sites. The close coupling of SRI to relative air humidity and precipitation underlines the importance of stem water status for radial stem growth of C. libani which is native to regions with summer drought. It further explains the superior growth of C. libani in Germany.
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Introduction

Temperatures and the frequency of drought are expected to increase, especially in semi-arid and arid areas like the Mediterranean region (Stocker 2014). Changing climatic conditions might affect tree growth in a positive or negative way, and consequently influence forest productivity and species distribution (Ciais et al. 2005; Deslauriers et al. 2007b). For example, Norway spruce, Picea abies (L.) H. Karst, is susceptible to summer droughts and it is widely accepted that, in the near future in Germany, the species ability to fulfill ecological functions will decrease (Kölling et al. 2009; Milad et al. 2011). Therefore, it is important to understand how stem growth dynamics (i.e., ring formation), may be affected by environmental conditions and a changing climate (Bouriaud et al. 2005; Rathgeber et al. 2011). Automatic point or band dendrometers under high resolution are commonly used to measure variations in stem radius (Herzog et al. 1995; Deslauriers et al. 2007b). Information from dendrometer measurements allows for the investigation of the influence of site conditions and
meteorological factors on growth, as well as species-specific responses to changing climate conditions (Plomion et al. 2001; Zweifel and Häsl er 2001; Wimmer 2002; Michelot et al. 2012; Oberhuber et al. 2014). Vieira et al. (2013), for example, showed that growth cessation in maritime pine (Pinus pinaster Aiton) under a Mediterranean climate was influenced by water availability. Deslauriers et al. (2003) extracted daily stem radius increments (SRI) of Abies balsamea (L.) Mill. and showed how SRI was positively correlated to rainfall. However, only few studies have investigated intra-annual growth dynamics on a daily basis from the montane Mediterranean region, although the area has species which, in the future, may complement indigenous species in Central Europe with regards to climate change (Messinger et al. 2015). One of these potential species is Cedrus libani A. Rich, an evergreen conifer mainly distributed between 800 and 2000 m altitude along the Taurus Mountains of Turkey (Akkemik 2003; Boydak 2003). Since it has valuable timber, it is one of the most important commercial species in Turkey (Brooks et al. 2008). C. libani is light-demanding and shows good adaptability to summer droughts and temperature extremes. Because of these characteristics, it is widely used in reforestation and afforestation projects in Turkey and in other countries (Boydak 2007; Ducci et al. 2007). Messinger et al. (2015) evaluated its potential to establish viable stands in Central Europe, underlining high adaptation of C. libani to current and future climatic conditions. With regards to its use in forestry and its adaptability, it is important to know more about stem growth dynamics of C. libani under different site and climatic conditions.

During 2013 and 2014, radial stem growth was monitored along an altitudinal gradient in the Taurus Mountains of Turkey, and within a cedar plantation in Germany using high-precision point dendrometers. The objectives were: (1) to determine the influence of the environment on daily SRI during the growing season, and in this context, (2) to compare different analytical approaches. It was hypothesized that different site conditions would result in different short-term growth responses to environmental variables.

**Materials and methods**

**Study sites and data collection**

The study was conducted at five sites (four in Turkey at 1050, 1355, 1665, and 1960 m a.s.l., and one in Germany at 360 m a.s.l.) under different environments during 2013 and 2014. At each site, five to nine undamaged, healthy mature C. libani trees were selected for continuous monitoring of radial stem changes using automatic point dendrometers (Megatron Elektronik AG & CO, Munich, Germany; accuracy < 10 µm) (Table 1). The Turkish sites (T1–T4), were located within the Cedar Research Forest, District of Elmali, Antalya, a natural C. libani forest characterized by an oro-Mediterranean climate with cold winters and summer droughts (Senitza 1989; Basaran et al. 2008). The German site (O1) was located within a C. libani plantation established in 1980 in the Ecological–Botanical Garden of the University of Bayreuth, Bavaria, and has a subcontinental climate with cold winters and warm summers (Messinger et al. 2015). Point dendrometers were fixed to the trunks at breast height (1.3 m), with the outer bark carefully removed to minimize swelling and shrinking where the measuring head of the dendrometer was placed (Zweifel et al. 2006). The point dendrometers were connected to data loggers (DL2e Data logger, Delta-T devices Ltd., Cambridge, UK) which recorded radial stem changes in hourly intervals. Concurrently, air (Tair, °C) and soil temperatures (Tsoil, °C), precipitation (Pp, mm), soil water contents (SWC, %), relative air humidities (RH, %) and global radiation (GR, W m⁻²) were determined with WatchDog 2700 Weather Stations (Spectrum Technologies Inc., Plainfield, IL, USA), or with HOBO® Pro series loggers (Onset Computer Corporation, MA, USA) at the Turkish sites. For the German site (O1) climate data was derived from the weather station located within the Ecological–Botanical Garden. Additionally, stem temperatures (Tstem) were measured with thermistors under the bark at breast height (north facing). Climate variables were measured at 10–30 min intervals and later converted to daily values. Site-specific vapor pressure deficits (VPD, hPa) were calculated from mean Tair, RH and air pressure. More detailed information on study site, study trees, and data collection is found in Güney et al. (2017).

**Extraction of stem radius increments (SRI)**

Daily SRI were calculated according to Downes et al. (1999) and Deslauriers et al. (2003) from raw dendrometer records and are based on stem shrinkage and swelling patterns (Deslauriers et al. 2007b). They define SRI as the third part of the stem’s circadian cycle when the radius exceeds the morning maximum until the following maximum. The first part of the circadian cycle is the contraction phase (from morning maximum to daily minimum), and the second part is the expansion phase (when the same value of the morning maximum is reached). Therefore, daily SRI values were calculated as the difference between the maximum values of two consecutive days. Negative values of SRI, caused by a smaller radius maximum on the following day, were treated as zero and were included into the analysis (Deslauriers et al. 2003). Daily values of SRI were averaged for each site (Wang et al. 2015).
Climate during the 2013 and 2014 growing seasons

For all sites, mean annual temperatures were higher in 2014 than in 2013, and exceeded the long-term mean by 0.6 °C (German site O1) and 2 °C (Turkish sites T1–T4). In 2014, total annual precipitation tended to be higher at the Turkish sites (around 300 mm above the long-term mean of 725 mm), and lower at the German site (O1). A typical drought period was present at the Turkish sites for both years, with August and September being the hottest and driest months (Fig. 1). This also led to low soil water contents (Güney et al. 2017). At the German site (O1), July was the hottest month in both years. The site did not experience any drought although precipitation in June 2014 was exceptionally low.

Statistical analysis

To assess climatic sensitivity of daily stem radius increments, correlation coefficients were calculated between SRI and daily environmental variables (mean air temperature Tmean, minimum air temperature Tmin and maximum air temperature Tmax, mean Tsoil, mean Tstem, Pp sum, mean SWC, mean RH, GR sum, and mean VPD). To check for normal distribution of the environmental variables, a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was applied. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was determined for normally distributed variables, and Kendall’s tau correlation coefficient was determined for non-normally distributed variables (e.g., Pp). A factor analysis was first performed to avoid the problem of multicollinearity between environmental variables. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to determine the influence of these variables on daily SRI (Oberhuber et al. 2014). Only components with eigenvalues > 1 and percentage of variance > 10 were considered. To assess the overall degree of variability in daily SRI explained by environmental variables, multiple stepwise regression analysis was used. Only the time period of the growing season (from April to end of September; Fig. 1) was used for analyses (Deslauriers et al. 2007b; Wang et al.)

### Table 1

| Site | Altitude (m) | nᵃ | DBH (cm) | Height (m) | Ageᵇ (years) | SRI 2013ᶜ (µm) | SRI 2014ᶜ (µm) |
|------|--------------|----|----------|-----------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| T1   | 1960         | 6  | 26 ± 13  | 8.8 ± 2.1 | 47 ± 13      | 17.5 ± 22.9AB | 22.6 ± 28.9AB  |
| T2   | 1665         | 7  | 33 ± 9   | 20.2 ± 1.2| 89 ± 17      | 10.3 ± 15.2A  | 12.4 ± 17.6A   |
| T3   | 1355         | 7  | 31 ± 15  | 18 ± 6.5  | 89 ± 33      | 9.9 ± 12.9A   | 14.4 ± 18.9AB  |
| T4   | 1055         | 9  | 34 ± 13  | 14.8 ± 3.9| 75 ± 34      | 12.3 ± 16.1A  | 23.9 ± 32.1AB  |
| O1   | 360          | 4  | 35 ± 4   | 13.5 ± 1.6| 35           | 29.3 ± 39.3B  | 24.1 ± 31.4B   |

Diameter at breast height (DBH), age, height, and daily SRI are presented as means with standard deviations.

Higher case capital letters indicate significant between-site differences in 2013 and 2014, Kruskal–Wallis 1-way ANOVA, \( p < 0.05 \). For more detailed information about the study sites see Güney et al. (2017)

ᵃNumber of trees studied

ᵇAge at DBH

ᶜMean values of daily SRI during the growing period in 2013 and 2014 (significant differences between years are in bold, Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks Test \( p < 0.05 \))
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Analyses were performed for both years using SPSS v20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and PAST 3.16 (Wold et al. 1987) with a significance level of 0.05.

The relationship between daily SRI (response variable) and environmental variables (predictor variables) was further analyzed using the classification and regression tree (CART). This is a non-parametric tree building technique which partitions the main data into uniform subgroups. Since our response variable is not categorical but continuous, the regression tree method was used (Breiman et al. 1984). Nodes of the regression tree indicate best split predictor variables (Özkan 2013). The earlier a predictor variable is selected as a splitter in the tree, the more important it is in the decision-making process (Xu et al. 2005). Furthermore, the hierarchical structure of the regression tree allows for automatic model interactions between predictors, as the response to one input variable depends on input values higher in the tree (Aertsen et al. 2010; Cleveland et al. 2011). Regression trees were constructed using the software DTREG (Version 10.7.18). To prevent overfitting, optimal tree size was determined using pruning based on tenfold cross validation routines (Bär et al. 2008; Özkan 2013).

Results

Daily SRI during the growing seasons

Daily SRI were extracted from dendrometer records for the growing seasons 2013 and 2014 (Fig. 2). Mean daily SRI showed significant differences between the five study sites (Kruskal–Wallis test, $\chi^2 = 52.9$, $p > 0.001$, Table 1). Significant differences between years were found for the Turkish T3 and T4 sites (Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks Test, $p > 0.05$). During the growing seasons, mean SRI ranged between 9.9 and 29.3 $\mu$m (Table 1). Highest SRI values were observed at the German O1 site, followed by the Turkish T1 (upper tree line) and T4 sites (Fig. 2). Trees of the same site showed similar courses of SRI. At all sites, longer phases of consecutive daily SRI ($SRI \neq 0$) were mainly observed during periods of most linear growth (Fig. 2). A strong linear relationship ($R^2 = 0.88$, $p > 0.001$) was found between mean daily SRI during the growing season and annual tree ring width (Fig. 3). An even higher coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.93$, $p > 0.001$) was found for the relationship between mean daily SRI during 2 months in spring (covering the most linear growth phase for each site), and annual tree ring width.

Relationship of daily SRI to environmental variables

For each of the five study sites, Pearson or Kendall’s tau correlation coefficients were calculated for daily SRI and ten environmental variables (Fig. 4). Correlation coefficients were calculated for each month and for the April to September growing season of both study years. At the Turkish sites (T1–T4), daily SRI showed similar responses to environmental variables. Except for Tsoil (soil temperatures), temperature variables were highly negatively correlated to SRI ($p < 0.05$) during the summer months (June to August). For the German site O1, daily SRI showed a positive correlation to Tmin during June and for the growing season. A significant positive correlation between daily SRI
and SWC was found for T4 for the growing season. At all study sites, daily SRI showed close positive correlations to RH (relatively humidity) and Pp (precipitation), and significant negative correlations to GR (global radiation) and VPD (vapour pressure deficits) during the growing season. For the Turkish sites, correlation coefficients tended to decrease towards September for Pp and to increase towards August for RH.

Correlation analysis among environmental variables showed a high correlation between Tstem, Tmean, Tmax, Tmin, and Tsoil. Results from factor analysis explained 89.9% of the variance and showed that Tmean was the most representative variable (Tmean r: 0.981; Tstem r: 0.965; Tmax r: 0.869; Tmin r: 0.867; Tsoil r: 0.816). Therefore, to avoid the problem of multicollinearity amongst these variables, only Tmean was included in further analyses.

Principal component analysis (PCA) resulted in two components with an eigenvalue greater than 1 (PC1 and PC2) for all sites, explaining between 71.7 and 81.7% of the total variance (Table 2). The environmental variables for PC1 and PC2 were Tmean, Pp, SWC, RH, GR and VPD. PC1 explained 50.2 to 62.9% of the variance, while PC2 explained between 17.8 and 21.7%. Regarding PC1, which has high explanatory power, daily SRI (the dependent variable) showed a close positive relationship with RH and a close negative relationship to GR and VPD at each site and for all sites. A high correlation was found between daily SRI and Pp (low angels between vectors) along both axes (see Fig. 5 for all sites). There was a negative relationship between SRI and Tmean except for the O1 and T1 sites where a shift towards axis 2 resulted in a positive correlation. SWC (soil water content) showed no significant relationship to SRI at O1 and, except for T4 (significant positive relationship along axis 1), its influence at other sites on daily SRI was marginal.

Multiple linear regression (MLR) models explained between 31 and 43% of the variation in daily SRI during the growing season (Table 3). Because of missing data due to sensor or logger failure, the number of days analyzed in the regression models varied between sites. The environmental variables GR (global radiation) and VPD (vapour pressure deficits) were not included in any of the final models. Standardized coefficients (β) show that Pp and RH had the greatest influence on daily SRI at each site and for all sites. SWC was included in the MLR models of T1 and T3, while Tmean was included in the MLR models of T2, O1 and all sites, but both variables played a minor role in the models compared to Pp and RH.

A strong linear relationship ($R^2 = 0.95, p < 0.001$) was found between mean daily SRI of $C. libani$ extracted from dendrometer measurements and modelled SRI of all sites, and for both study years (“Appendix 1”). For each site, SRI was modelled according to the results of MLR analysis (Table 3). Actual mean daily SRI and modelled SRI mostly showed a similar course (“Appendix 2”, German site O1 over a time period of 3 months as an example).

Regression trees calculated for daily SRI from the data of all sites and for both growing seasons 2013 and 2014 consisted of seven terminal nodes (Fig. 6). The proportion of the variance explained ($R^2$) was 43.7% for the training data and 35.3% for the validation data. The predictor variables used in the splits were Pp, RH and Tmean, among which Pp was the most significant environmental factor on daily SRI followed by RH. Sample days with low daily SRI are grouped at the left branch of the regression trees, and sample days with higher daily SRI are grouped at the right branch. According to the regression tree, highest daily SRI with a mean value of 127.47 mm (Fig. 6, grey square, right terminal node) occur when Pp is more than 13.63 mm, Tmean higher than 9.5 °C, and RH higher than 82.1%. Lowest daily SRI with a mean value of 6.81 mm (Fig. 6, light grey square, left terminal node) occur when Pp is less than 1.09 mm and RH is below 46.0%.
In summary, all analyses showed Pp (precipitation) and RH (relative humidity) being the most important climatic factors to positive influence daily SRI during the growing season. At all sites, the highest values of daily SRI usually coincided with peaks in RH and Pp (“Appendix 3”, data from April to June as an example).

Discussion

Daily SRI patterns

*Cedrus libani* shows high adaptability to various climate and site conditions as it naturally occurs in the Supra-Mediterranean, Mountain-Mediterranean and Oro-Mediterranean zones of the Taurus Mountains facing seaward and inner Anatolia where climatic conditions range from perhumid to humid, sub-humid and semi-arid (Sevim 1955; Kantarcı 1985; Atalay 1987; Boydak 2003). In this study, we hypothesized that *C. libani* growing under different site and climate conditions would show different growth responses to environmental variables. However, between sites there were common patterns in climate-growth relations which suggests the adaptation potential of *C. libani*. Similar results were found by Cocozza et al. (2016) for *Picea abies* in the Italian Alps at two different altitudes. Their findings show that growth responses associated with weather conditions were similar at different altitudes, but patterns of intra-annual tree ring formation (e.g., growth onset), showed altitude-specific differences. In the study by Güney et al. (2015), cambial activity and
xylogenesis of *C. libani* was investigated at the same sites as in this study. They found that the onset of stem growth showed an altitudinal trend with later onsets at higher altitudes, but other factors (e.g., site conditions, stand age, tree density) in addition to altitude, were responsible for final annual ring width. Since there was a direct relationship in this study between annual ring width and mean daily SRI during the growing season (the higher the SRI, the wider the annual rings, Fig. 3), an altitudinal trend for the latter was also absent. The fact that higher growth rates correspond with wider tree rings has been reported in other studies of conifer wood formation (Rathgeber et al. 2011; Cuny et al. 2012). Interestingly, the rate of daily SRI during 2 months in spring, corresponding to the period of most linear growth, explains a higher proportion of the annual ring width than the average daily SRI rate for the whole growing season. This leads to the conclusion that weather conditions prevailing during this phase are more crucial for defining final ring widths. Maximum SRI rates and average values for daily SRI for *C. libani* during the growing season were comparable to those of other conifers from high altitudes (Deslauriers et al. 2007a; Duchesne

### Table 2  Principal components’ eigenvalues (> 1), percentage of variance associated with each component, percentage of cumulative variance, and factor loadings of stem radius increment (SRI) of *Cedrus libani* and environmental variables

| Site   | Eigenvalue | % of variance | Cumulative % | SRI | Tmean | Pp | SWC | RH | GR | VPD |
|--------|------------|---------------|--------------|-----|-------|----|-----|----|----|-----|
| O1 (360 m) | PC1 3.51 | 50.19 | 50.19 | -0.435 | 0.707 | -0.502 | -0.399 | -0.866 | 0.869 | 0.933 |
|         | PC2 1.52 | 21.72 | 71.91 | 0.755 | 0.547 | 0.696 | -0.286 | 0.145 | 0.104 | 0.231 |
| T4 (1055 m) | PC1 4.4 | 62.88 | 62.88 | 0.62 | -0.89 | 0.605 | 0.772 | 0.92 | -0.741 | -0.93 |
|         | PC2 1.32 | 18.83 | 81.71 | 0.608 | 0.375 | 0.641 | -0.446 | -0.069 | -0.331 | 0.288 |
| T3 (1355 m) | PC1 4.17 | 59.56 | 59.56 | 0.5 | -0.891 | 0.567 | 0.764 | 0.918 | -0.709 | -0.935 |
|         | PC2 1.35 | 19.31 | 78.87 | 0.687 | 0.36 | 0.619 | -0.459 | -0.04 | 0.32 | 0.228 |
| T2 (1665 m) | PC1 3.83 | 54.78 | 54.78 | -0.394 | 0.862 | 0.512 | 0.689 | 0.894 | 0.733 | 0.929 |
|         | PC2 1.32 | 18.91 | 73.69 | 0.762 | 0.355 | 0.651 | -0.346 | -0.043 | -0.181 | 0.198 |
| T1 (1960 m) | PC1 3.78 | 53.94 | 53.94 | -0.492 | 0.845 | 0.496 | 0.625 | -0.872 | 0.753 | 0.925 |
|         | PC2 1.28 | 18.22 | 72.16 | 0.709 | 0.407 | 0.58 | -0.45 | 0.04 | -0.172 | 0.191 |
| All sites | PC1 3.77 | 53.85 | 53.85 | 0.493 | -0.781 | 0.493 | 0.732 | 0.912 | -0.685 | -0.915 |
|         | PC2 1.25 | 17.84 | 71.69 | 0.713 | 0.365 | 0.688 | -0.276 | -0.05 | -0.069 | 0.224 |

*Tmean*, Mean air temperature; *Pp*, Precipitation; *SWC*, Soil water content; *RH*, Relative air humidity; *GR*, Global radiation; *VPD*, Vapor pressure deficit

**Fig. 5** Principal component analysis (PCA) of environmental factors: Tmean (mean air temperature), *Pp* (precipitation), *SWC* (soil water content), RH (relative air humidity), GR (global radiation), VPD (vapor pressure deficit) and daily SRI (stem radius increment) based on data from all sites and both study years (2013 and 2014; total number of sample days = 1517). Each black dot represents one sample day.
et al. 2012; Oberhuber et al. 2014; Cocozza et al. 2016). Among our study sites, SRI rates tended to be lower on the T2 and T3 sites where mean annual ring width was also the lowest compared to the other sites (Gu¨ney et al. 2015, 2017). We suggest that a generally slow growth rate allows for only a small daily SRI even when environmental conditions are favorable.

### Table 3

| Site | \( n^a \) | \( R^2 \) | Environmental parameter | \( b_0 \) | Regression coefficient |
|------|---------|---------|--------------------------|--------|-----------------------|
|      |         |         | Pp | RH | SWC | Tmean | b1 | b2 | b3 |
| T1   | 341     | 0.37    | (0.392) | (0.433) | (−0.216) | –   | –   | 8.402 | 1.787 | 0.642 | −0.700 |
| T2   | 293     | 0.31    | (0.415) | (0.403) | (−0.279) | –   | –   | 26.625 | 1.107 | 0.415 | 0.864 |
| T3   | 292     | 0.43    | (0.406) | (0.524) | (−0.348) | –   | –   | 6.626  | 2.129 | 0.489 | −0.442 |
| T4   | 225     | 0.48    | (0.504) | (0.300) | –       | –   | –   | 11.101 | 3.635 | 0.452 | –       |
| O1   | 366     | 0.40    | (0.478) | (0.275) | (0.166)  | –   | 75.911 | 3.341 | 1.042 | 1.262 |
| All sites | 1517   | 0.35    | (0.413) | (0.414) | –       | –   | 35.679 | 2.157 | 0.599 | 1.005 |

The multiple linear regression analyses followed the general model: \( y = b_0 + b_1 \times 1 + b_2 \times 2 + b_3 \times 3 \), where \( b_0 \) is the constant. The standardized coefficient (\( \beta \)), which shows the relative importance of the independent variable, is given in parentheses (the most representative variable for each model is given in bold).

For all Models and parameters the level of significance \( p \) is < 0.001

\(^a\)Number of days used for the analysis (the number of days vary due to measurement gaps caused by sensor or logger failure)

![Fig. 6](image)

Regression tree for daily stem radius increment (SRI) during the growing season (April to end of September). Regression analysis was employed using the data from all study sites and both study years (2013 and 2014; total number of sample days = 1517). At the nodes, parameters with criterion values are shown. If the criterion is met, follow the left branch; otherwise, follow the right branch. Average daily SRI is presented with its standard deviation (SD). Highest and lowest SRIs are indicated by the grey square (right terminal node) and the light grey square (left terminal node), respectively. Pp: precipitation; RH: relative air humidity; Tmean: mean air temperature.
Influence of environmental factors on daily SRI using different analyses

Studies which analyze the effect of environmental variables on daily SRI (stem radius increment) increase knowledge of tree response to short-term changes in weather conditions (Urrutia-Jalabert et al. 2015), even though biologically, tree growth does not react immediately to meteorological events (Schweingruber 1996; Deslauriers et al. 2003). Correlation analysis between SRI and environmental variables demonstrates that SRI of *C. libani* during the growing season were positively related to humidity and negatively related to high temperatures. Principal component analysis (PCA) identified the influence of environmental variables on daily SRI and permitted an insight at the multivariate level. Compared to simple correlation analysis, PCA is a more advanced method which shows bilateral correlations as a whole (Fig. 5). During the 2013 and 2014 growing seasons, daily SRI showed a strong positive relationship with RH and Pp, followed by soil water content (SWC) which played a less important role. This positive relationship of humidity on stem radius has been reported for several tree species (Deslauriers et al. 2003; Köcher et al. 2012; Oladi et al. 2017). Humid conditions have a positive effect on cell turgidity and enlargement by increasing stem water content (Steppe et al. 2015). Conversely, high temperatures and dry conditions negatively affect cell turgor, enlargement and growth (Pantin et al. 2012). The minor role of SWC on daily stem radius variations in principal component analysis (PCA) implies that transpiration primarily draws upon water stored in the stem tissues, especially on drier sites at higher altitudes. This is also supported by other researchers (Zweifel et al. 2005; Čermák et al. 2007; Betsch et al. 2011). The close coupling of SWC to SRI at the Turkish T4 site (see also the results from correlation analysis), may be due to moist conditions as a result of proximity of the Avlan Lake (Köcher et al. 2012). In addition to Pp, RH was a major positive factor affecting SRI. Dendrochronological studies on *C. libani* from the timberline of the Taurus Mountain range showed that precipitation was the most important factor favoring growth; RH was not included in these studies (Akkemik 2003; Touchan et al. 2005). Schuster and Oberhuber (2013) studied stem growth of three co-occurring conifers at 750 m a.s.l. in the Austrian Alps and found similar results. Based on their findings from dendrochronological studies, precipitation was found to control radial growth, while at short timescales, moist atmospheric conditions favored radial growth. They suggested that moist atmospheric conditions reduce transpiration rates, which improve water status of the trees (Oberhuber et al. 2014). At the same time, reduced transpiration rates lower the risk of stomata closure under hot and dry conditions to help maintain photosynthetic activity and promote growth (Woodruff et al. 2009; Thomas 2014). In fact, a higher correlation between relative humidity and stem radius increment in *C. libani* was present during the hottest summer months of July and August. Oladi et al. (2017) found a similar pattern in Oriental beech, as relative humidity showed a positive relation to growth during the hottest days.

The combined effects of climate on daily stem radius increment were investigated by multiple linear regression analysis and resulted in models that were dominated by precipitation and relative humidity followed by mean air temperature and soil water content. The absence of soil water content in principal component analysis and the multiple linear regression model for trees at the O1 site may be due to the moist conditions and uniform water supply at the German site throughout the growing season in contrast to the Turkish sites. As noted by Messinger et al. (2015), *C. libani* appears to adapt to climate conditions that prevail in Central Europe. Higher precipitation and relative humidity as well as lower temperatures during the growing season provide good growing conditions for *C. libani*.

To model daily stem radius increment in *C. libani*, the suitability of the CART technique was tested, a popular technique because the information is presented in a way that is easy to visualize and intuitively understood. With CART, both categorical and continuous dependent variables can be modeled (Aertsen et al. 2010; Özkan 2012). Breiman et al. (1984) developed this method which has been used in ecological studies since 1987 (Verbyla 1987). With plant ecological studies, CART is used to model distribution, diversity and productivity of plant species (McKenzie et al. 2001; McKenney and Pedlar 2003; Aerts et al. 2010; Özkan et al. 2010). It is also used to model annual net primary productivity (Cleveland et al. 2011; Hofhansl et al. 2015). Considering tree growth, CART has been applied in dendrochronological studies (Bär et al. 2008; Koo et al. 2011; Trouvé et al. 2017) but it may never have been applied to establish models of daily radial stem increment. To extract daily stem radius increments from dendrometer records, the stem cycle approach was used (Downes et al. 1999; Deslauriers et al. 2003). Negative values were set to zero (no increment) which leads to a non-normally distributed data set. Since CART is a non-parametric method, it is suitable for application on stem radius increment (SRI) data. The regression tree modelled using data of all study sites and both years shows the interaction between environmental variables and daily SRI. The model includes the lowest and highest SRI values and presents them in a way that is easily followed. The regression tree confirmed results from preceding analysis and further underlined the positive effect of precipitation and relative humidity on daily stem radius increments of *C. libani*. 
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It showed that precipitation was the most important factor on stem radius increments and that relative humidity over 46% favored radial increment (mean SRI = 14.7 μm). The fact that the daily average of relative humidity at the O1 site never dropped below 50% during both growing seasons indicates favorable growth conditions on the site. The highest SRI rates were at the German O1 site. Consequently, drier and warmer conditions predicted during the growing season may negatively affect radial increment (Urrutia-Jalabert et al. 2015) of C. libani, particularly at drier sites (e.g., semi-arid regions of Inner Anatolia).

Conclusion

This study provides insight into the effects of the environment on daily stem radius increment of C. libani at different sites along an altitudinal gradient. Various analytical approaches provided similar results with precipitation and relative humidity the most important climate variables influencing stem radius increment. CART has been used to model stem radius increment and has proved to be a suitable technique. The findings underline the importance of stem water status on radial stem growth, especially in regions with summer drought. The results suggest that C. libani has the potential to increase stem growth at sites where moist atmospheric conditions prevail and high temperatures are absent, although other important factors (i.e., competition or light availability) affecting C. libani growth should not be neglected.
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Appendix 3

Course of mean daily stem radius increment (SRI) of *Cedrus libani*, mean daily relative air humidity (RH), and daily precipitation sum (Pp) at the five study sites (T1–T4: Turkish sites; O1: German site) during Spring 2013 and 2014 (April to end of June). Mean of 4–9 individuals per site. Pp data gaps at the Turkish sites due to sensor failure.
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