Feeling understood for the first time: experiences of participation in rehabilitation after out-of-hospital sudden cardiac arrest
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Aims
Survivors of out-of-hospital sudden cardiac arrest (SCA) may suffer from long-term cognitive, psychological, or physical post-arrest consequences impacting and disrupting daily life. To adjust to and manage daily life is critical, and therefore a tailored rehabilitation programme was introduced to the participants. The study aimed to explore the lived experience among cardiac arrest survivors.

Methods and results
Data were gathered through six focus group interviews during a cardiac arrest rehabilitation programme. Thirty-three out-of-hospital SCA survivors (8 women and 25 men) participated. Time since cardiac arrest was on average 12–57 months. An exploratory qualitative design inspired by Ricoeur’s phenomenological hermeneutics was applied. Two main themes emerged from the analysis and interpretation: (i) a lack of support from the health system in the transition from hospital to daily life; and (ii) feeling understood for the first time. The findings revealed that out-of-hospital SCA survivors experience a knowledge gap struggling for support. Attending the programme, gaining knowledge and experiencing peer support was described as a revelation for them.

Conclusion
The findings suggest that out-of-hospital SCA survivors felt understood for the first time when attending a cardiac arrest rehabilitation programme. A post-arrest pathway is needed led by a coordinating cardiac arrest specialist nursing service together with allied healthcare professionals. Focus on hypoxic brain injuries, emotional burdens, and supportive strategies are essential in the transition to daily life. Facilitated peer support is warranted.
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Implications for practice
• Systematic follow-up and referral for rehabilitation after sudden cardiac arrest (SCA) survival (if needed) requires early organization by a cardiac arrest nursing service.
• Participating in a tailored cardiac arrest rehabilitation programme can contribute to a better understanding of the cardiac arrest-induced risk of hypoxic brain injury, emotional burdens, and the need for supportive strategies.
• Attending a cardiac arrest rehabilitation programme may help SCA survivors towards a healthy transition to daily life after survival.
• In future cardiac arrest rehabilitation programmes, peer support is warranted.

* Corresponding author. Tel: +45 35453143, Email: mette.kirstine.wagner@regionh.dk

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com
Introduction

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a sudden life-threatening condition associated with significant morbidity and mortality rates. In North American and European populations, the overall annual incidence of sudden cardiac arrest (SCA) approximately 50–100 per 100 000 in the general population. Coronary artery disease is the predominant cause of SCA in those who are 35 years of age and older, while younger patients present with primary arrhythmia and inherited cardiomyopathies. To maximize the chances of surviving a cardiac arrest, improvements have been made in several links of the chain of survival. Despite geographical differences, epidemiological findings point to increased survival with a reasonable neurological status indicated by mild or no noticeable post-arrest neurological disabilities.

Life after SCA involves several complex challenges for resuscitated patients. Due to the risk of cardiac arrest-induced hypoxic-ischaemic brain injury and trauma reactions, protracted cognitive consequences as short-term memory problems, attention deficits and executive function disorders, and emotional reactions as anxiety and depression are prominent in survivors. Studies have also demonstrated that these challenges are negatively affecting the return to daily life activities, vocational reintegration, and quality of life. To aid recovery and prevent further illness, rehabilitation is therefore recommended after cardiac arrest. Traditionally, cardiac arrest survivors are referred to standard cardiac rehabilitation which addresses and provides education in cardiovascular disease risk factors. Although international recommendation further suggest neurological rehabilitation as a part of an integrated care pathway after resuscitation, only few studies are describing follow-up programmes for cardiac arrest survivors with hypoxic brain injury. To our knowledge, one early neurologically focused rehabilitation intervention for cardiac arrest survivors has systematically addressed cognitive and emotional challenges. From this current literature, it is evident that screening for cognitive and emotional challenges, provision of support and information, promotion of self-management strategies, and referral to specialized care improved quality of life 1-year post-arrest. Still, there is a scarcity of evidence-based cardiac arrest rehabilitation interventions and no consensus on the content and timing of post-discharge services for these patients. Expanding our knowledge to find a more optimal pathway of care to help sudden OHCA survivors adjust to and manage daily life is critical, as the number of cardiac arrest survivors is expected to grow in the future. Therefore, this study aimed to explore the lived experiences among out-of-hospital SCA survivors.

Methods

Design

This study had an exploratory qualitative design using focus groups. A phenomenological-hermeneutic approach inspired by Ricoeur’s philosophy for unfolding the lived experiences was applied. The consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ) checklist provided guidance during the reporting of this study.

Study participants, recruitment and setting

Participants were recruited from those taking part in a cardiac arrest rehabilitation programme held at the Danish Knowledge Centre for Rehabilitation and Palliative Care’s (REHPA) research clinic in the Region of Southern Denmark. Eligible participants in the programme were adult (≥18 years) sudden OHCA survivors from all regions of Denmark. They were recruited via purposeful sampling through information posted on the Danish Heart Foundation website and via members of the Danish Cardiac Arrest Survivorship (DANCAS) network based in five Heart Centres in Denmark. Participants took part in a 3-day multidisciplinary rehabilitation programme organized by REHPA and the DANCAS network. Eligibility criteria for participating in the programme, including the interviews are summarized in Table 1. To apply, a detailed application form identifying the survivor’s rehabilitation needs, goals for rehabilitation and co-morbidities was completed by the applicants and their doctor. Eligibility for the rehabilitation programme was assessed from this application form.

Thirty-three survivors participated in the programme and interviews; 8 women and 25 men aged between 40 and 83 years of age. Twenty-six survivors attended the programme together with a close relative. The relatives did participate actively in the rehabilitation programme but did not attend the focus group interviews for OHCA survivors. Table 2 summarizes the demographic and clinical data from the participants. The programme was designed based on current evidence on the consequences of cardiac arrest. The structure of the programme is summarized in Table 3.

Data collection

Data were collected in November 2018, and in March 2019 in an undisturbed meeting room at REHPA. The data collection was guided by the principles of data saturation. Besides the interview data for this study, data were collected by questionnaire before attending the programme. This is summarized in Table 3.

Focus group interviews

The participants were grouped on the basis of age, gender, and family type to ensure social recognition, group dynamics, a safe atmosphere, and dialogues during interviewing (Table 2). As one participant withdrew consent from the interview study, 32 survivors participated in interviews divided into six groups. Focus group interviewing was chosen as a comprehensive method to generate ideas within this social context, and furthermore for exploring complex and unexplored areas. The focus groups were scheduled at the second day of the programme. While the first group of participants shared their experiences in the group, the remaining rehabilitation participants followed the programme. Then the groups switched. The focus groups were facilitated by the first author and co-facilitated by an experienced co-researcher. The facilitator was responsible for creating a safe and comfortable setting before and while introducing the questions, to ensure that the participants interacted, and that the conversations were balanced between the

| Table 1 | Eligibility criteria for participating in the programme including the interviews |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Inclusion criteria** | 1 Sudden out-of-hospital cardiac arrest |
| 2 Self-identified need for rehabilitation |
| 3 Willingness to convey and engage fully in a course |
| **Exclusion criteria** | 1 Severe neurological disabilities |
| 2 Dependent in activities of daily living |
| 3 Unable to speak and understand Danish |
Table 2  Demographic and clinical data on participants in focus group interviews (n = 32)

| Category                        | Focus group 1 | Focus group 2 | Focus group 3 | Focus group 4 | Focus group 5 | Focus group 6 | Total |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------|
| Number of participants          | 5             | 5             | 7             | 6             | 4             | 5             | 32    |
| Male:female                      | 3:2           | 3:2           | 6:1           | 3:3           | 4:0           | 5:0           | 24:8  |
| Age, median (IQR)                | 62 (53–76)    | 41 (40–60)    | 53 (44–63)    | 55 (51–63)    | 72.5 (68–83)  | 70 (62–76)    | 60 (40–83) |
| Duration since first CA to       | 12 (3–24)     | 18 (8–24]     | 14 (7–132)    | 12 (8–58)     | 57 (7–64)     | 16 (12–120)   | 16 (3–132) |
| interview, median (months)       |               |               |               |               |               |               |       |
| Aetiology of the CA              |               |               |               |               |               |               |       |
| Ischaemic heart disease, n       | 3             | 2             | 6             | 3             | 4             | 3             | 21    |
| Arrhythmia others, n             | 2             | 3             | 1             | 2             | 0             | 2             | 10    |
| Unknown to participant, n        | 0             | 0             | 0             | 1             | 0             | 0             | 1     |
| Family type                      |               |               |               |               |               |               |       |
| Alone, n                         | 0             | 0             | 0             | 1             | 2             | 0             | 3     |
| Living with spouse/or partner, n| 3             | 2             | 3             | 3             | 2             | 5             | 18    |
| Living with spouse/or partner and children, n | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Residence of region in           |               |               |               |               |               |               |       |
| Denmark*                         |               |               |               |               |               |               |       |
| Capital Region of Denmark, n     | 1             | 1             | 2             | 1             | 0             | 4             | 9     |
| Region Zealand, n                | 0             | 3             | 2             | 0             | 0             | 0             | 5     |
| Region of Southern Denmark, n    | 0             | 1             | 2             | 4             | 2             | 0             | 9     |
| Central Denmark Region, n        | 2             | 0             | 1             | 1             | 1             | 1             | 6     |
| North Denmark Region, n          | 2             | 0             | 0             | 0             | 1             | 0             | 3     |
| Education                        |               |               |               |               |               |               |       |
| Elementary school, n             | 1             | 2             | 4             | 2             | 3             | 3             | 15    |
| High school, n                   | 1             | 2             | 3             | 2             | 1             | 2             | 11    |
| >College, n                      | 3             | 1             | 0             | 2             | 0             | 0             | 6     |
| Employment                       |               |               |               |               |               |               |       |
| Before CA, n                     | 3             | 5             | 6             | 6             | 0             | 2             | 22    |
| After CA (reduced ability to work), n | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| Retired (8) or on sick leave (1) before CA, n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 9 |

*Denmark consists of five regions.

Table 3  The rehabilitation programme for sudden out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survivors

| Day 1                                      | Day 2                                      | Day 3                                      |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Arrival, short welcome and presentation    | Physical training exercises (physiotherapist) | Sessions on mindfulness (physiotherapist) |
| Introduction to the schedule               | Joint walk and talk sessions in small peer groups (nurse) | Individually face-to-face consultations together with close relative (medical doctor, nurse, and social worker) to discuss personal topics and daily life concerns as mental and family reactions, children, sexuality, shock from ICD, economy, and/or job situation |
| Meeting peers and professionals            | Discussion group on cardiac arrest and psychological reactions (psychologist) | Closing session |
| Cognitive screening tests (neuropsychologist) | Conversations on spiritual matters and identity (priest) |                                |
| Introduction to possible cardiac arrest late complications as fatigue (neuropsychologist and occupational therapist) |                                 |                                |

ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator.
participants. Furthermore, the facilitators retained an active listening post-
ture in each interview. With the aim of gathering the participants’ in-
depth narrative accounts of their lived experiences of participating in the
programme, open questions were used, such as: ‘Could you please tell me
about your intentions of signing up for the programme?’, and ‘Could you please
tell me about your experiences during the programme?’ The aim of asking the
participants to narrate how they experienced participation in the pro-
gramme was to allow the phenomenon to appear as it was experienced
and not to control their articulation of it. Additionally, the participants
were also encouraged to narrate about formal things such as: challenges
related to participation, unexpected or unknown reactions during and
after the sessions, and how they experienced the content and duration of
the sessions and the overall cardiac arrest rehabilitation programme. The
open approach throughout the interviews helped to keep focusing on the
survivor’s lived experiences of taking part in the programme and enabled
exploration of the participants’ views and perception of what they found
meaningful or mattered most to them. To facilitate progression of the
interviews, relevant probes for answering the aim of the study were used.
The interviews lasted between one and one and a half hours each, were
audio recorded and transcribed verbatim.

Ethical considerations
As the study explored the meaning of very personal, possible traumatic
and painful experiences on sensitive topics, the participants were
informed that participation was voluntary. Furthermore, their right to
refuse to answer questions and withdraw from the research at any time
was explained. The study is registered with the ethics committee refer-
ence number: 20192000-66 and conform to the basic principles of the
Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all
participants before attending the overall programme.

Data analysis
A phenomenological-hermeneutic approach inspired by Ricoeur’s phil-
osophy was used in the analysis and interpretation of the transcribed
texts.14,19 The steps of the analysis consisted of the following levels: naı̈ve
reading, structural analysis, and comprehensive understanding (Table 4).

Table 4 An example of a structural analysis process from meaning units, condensations, and subthemes to
illumination of the first theme; a lack of support from the health system in the transition from hospital to daily life

| Meaning units (what is said)                                                                 | Condensation (what is talked about)                                                                 | Subthemes                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| • ‘I have been off track for two years now [after the cardiac arrest]. There was no overall plan
  prescribed for me when I left the hospital, and no one mentioned what was important to
  prioritize when you need to get on track again. Nobody talks to you about that.’ P6.     | • The participants experience a struggle in the transition to daily life in relation to the fact
  that they after the discharge from hospital were on their own.                             | • The challenging transition from hospital to daily life                          |
| • ‘I do not think that I have been informed about the consequences to expect after I
  survived. Therefore, I started working just after discharge from the hospital. If only
  somebody had said: We need to form a plan together with you on how to get back. I see
  now that I did not have the surplus of mental resources myself to ask for help’ P3.        | • The participants are suffering, concerned, feel distressed, frustrated with less mental capacity
  and they all have difficulty with concentration.                                             | • Being on your own                                                                 |
| • ‘Why is there a difference in the help you get after cardiac arrest? You actually have
  the same symptoms as a brain injured person. Why should there be no standard
  schedule for rehabilitation to patients like us’ P7.                                       | • They reflect on the absent information on con-
  sequences after survival and the lack of support in
  managing work and overall daily life.                                                      | • The suffering survivor                                                             |
| • ‘I often lose the thread. It does not work when I receive information. If I have to re-
  member three things said, then I am lucky if I can remember one thing. Fatigue is a huge
  problem. Without support I had to stop working. Now it’s all about making my daily
  life work’ P22.                                                                           | • In the group they are reflecting upon their
  experiences of the differences of possible help
  when recovering after a cardiac arrest and the
  lack of systematized rehabilitation targeted
  their specific needs. The participants describe
  their challenges due to a paramount fatigue and
  experiences of a lack of guidance and plans.                                                | • Lack of support                                                                    |
|                                                                                              |                                                                                             | • Lack of information                                                               |
|                                                                                              |                                                                                             | • Lack of coordination                                                              |
|                                                                                              |                                                                                             | • Lack of systematized interventions                                                |
|                                                                                              |                                                                                             | • Lack of guidance and plans                                                        |
Findings

The analysis and interpretation of the interviews revealed two overarching themes (i) a lack of support from the system in the transition from hospital to daily life; and (ii) feeling understood for the first time.

A lack of support from the system in the transition from hospital to daily life

A pervasive theme of the lived experiences among the participating cardiac arrest survivors were related to a crucial lack of guidance on how to return to and manage daily life with changing demands of deteriorating health. Participants experienced both long-term physical and mental health challenges which caused distress, suffering and concerns in daily life. Despite profound changes in the participants’ daily lives, usual healthcare attention did not emphasize communication or systematized planned interventions towards these acquired challenges. Influencing factors on the experience of the lack of support from the system were identified as absence of coordination and responsibility between institutions.

‘I have been off track for two years now [after the cardiac arrest]. There was no overall plan prescribed for me when I left the hospital, and no one mentioned what was important to prioritize when you need to get on track again. Nobody talks to you about that’ P6

As illustrated in this quotation, participants were left without a meaningful direction in the transition to daily life. Continuing a life after resuscitation with nobody to guide and point out possible paths raised frustration among participants. The information on what to expect, and how to prepare for daily life fail to happen.

‘I do not think that I have been informed about the consequences to expect after I survived. Therefore, I started working just after discharge from the hospital. If only somebody had said: We need to form a plan together with you on how to get back. I see now that I did not have the surplus of mental resources myself to ask for help’ P3

Participants described in different ways their experiences of absent support, and with no preparation for the transition to the home environment. One participant stated: ‘There is no help [from the hospital]. You just came home. And then you are on your own’ P14. Another participant agrees: ‘Exactly, there is nothing’ P16. Regarding the experienced information gap at discharge from the hospital, the participants especially pointed out receiving none or limited knowledge on potential hypoxic brain injury acquired during the cardiac arrest. Nobody talked to the participants about the concomitant consequences. Such consequences were experienced as irritability, problems with memory and concentration, being tired all the time, not being able to manage work, loss of energy to deal with social responsibilities together with heavy emotional challenges. Especially, emotional challenges appeared to occur early on and last for several years post-arrest. Although few participants received some help and support after the event, they were however questioning the inequality in the help being offered.

‘Why is there a difference in the help you get after cardiac arrest? You actually have the same symptoms as a brain injured person. Why should there be no standard schedule for rehabilitation to patients like us?’ P7

Some participants succeed to establish contact to supportive services themselves. Others were surprised that they with their individual

Figure 1 Analytical levels in the interpretation of findings.
rehabilitation needs did not fit in somehow. During the focus group interviews, the participants reflected on how less painful their transition to home and daily life might have been, if they had known where to address their suffering. Several participants emphasized the need for a matching of expectations within a transition programme between the healthcare system at the hospital, the municipality and their place of employment.

Participants with a labour market affiliation expressed a wish for but did not receive any individualized planning and support in their return to work. Although motivated to return to work, these participants spoke primarily about their experience of less mental capacity and an overriding fatigue in the aftermath after survival and described the difficult struggle of trying to get back to work without support:

‘I often lose the thread and if I have to remember three things said, then I am lucky if I can remember one thing. Fatigue is a huge problem. Without support I had to stop working. Now it’s all about making my daily life work’ P22

The presence of brain-related health consequences can be so significant that participants’ priorities have shifted so that they are reorganizing all or part of their daily lives.

Feeling understood for the first time

The participants narrated how the opportunity of participating in the rehabilitation programme and discussing their cardiac arrest experiences with both healthcare professionals and other survivors of cardiac arrest was meaningful. In particular, they highlighted the affirmation, that mental symptoms and concomitant consequences on daily life were present for a long time, as a relief. Receiving and exchanging experiences and knowledge about especially the brain-related consequences as mental exhaustion was experienced as an eye-opener for the participants. But at the same time, it was emphasized as frustrating to experience that nobody seemed responsible for identifying these problems from the start and refer to additional early care and rehabilitation to support and help moving on with daily life.

‘I had eight cardiac arrests in a row. Why has nobody ever told me that after what I experienced, the more you stress yourself, the more chaos you experience, will trigger losing your focus. Yesterday’s tests [cognitive tests] have given me a huge answer’ P7

The quote illustrated that information about brain-related consequences is important in supporting the survivors, and that the participants without this knowledge may be challenged when trying to adapt to daily life. Understanding and reflecting on these challenges seemed to facilitate an increased understanding of what it means to live with long-lasting cardiac arrest consequences.

As participants were given the chance to mutually tell their illness stories and subsequent challenges to each other and experienced that the other survivors also struggled with cardiac arrest consequences in daily life it raised a new hope for the future. The participants furthermore spoke particularly about the fatigue and how that caused them to criticize themselves and feeling inadequate. This led to feelings of guilt when they experienced not being able to participate fully in the family life, work, or other social activities. Sharing their stories both during the cardiac arrest rehabilitation programme but also in the focus groups made the participants feel that they had been left alone without strategies to cope with and manage the cardiac arrest impairments. Having the opportunity to talk to peers about these experiences was described as liberating.

‘After two years... this is the first time I experience and realize how identical our experiences are [in the group]. Just being so tired all the time makes you feel sad. I cannot do anything. I can be nothing to anyone; I cannot contribute anything. Not manage either work, sports or any social arrangements. I’m a complete failure’ P11

This new experience of a social community between participants led to the perceptions of healing for the participants and was expressed as positive. This community evoked experiences of feeling understood for the first time.

‘I have felt lost in the Siberian wilderness, and then all of a sudden when I listen to your stories, I experience that you all have the same symptoms as I have and it seems like you have the same problems... It feels good to share these experiences with you’ P15

It was thus a recurring feature in the participants’ narratives that being recognized, understood, and confirmed was really something that the participants had missed; perhaps even without being aware of it before it was articulated:

‘I think there is an actual need of equals to talk to, I just didn’t know that before now. You all know how I feel... You understand, and I really have missed someone to understand. It really means a lot’ P12

To exchange these narratives in peer group dialogues, made the participants talk about the necessity of having the opportunity to talk these issues through initially. Not just focusing on passing the acute hospital stay and the cardiac illness. Provision of a clear model of cardiac arrest aftercare supporting both the physical recovery, brain-related consequences, and the significant exchanging of experiences and narratives seemed important to the survivors.

Discussion

Focus group interviews were conducted during a cardiac arrest rehabilitation programme to explore the lived experiences among SCA survivors. The findings revealed that the resuscitated patients experience a knowledge gap striving for support towards especially the brain-related consequences in their transition from hospital to daily life. Cognitive and emotional problems were found, as in previous studies, to be common and led to major disruptions in the return to daily life.6,20 In particular, an absence of information and guidance regarding the possibility of cardiac arrest induced impairments and
referral to further rehabilitation was found as important shortcomings in the aftermath. These experiences of lack of communication, guidance and information on what to expect in the transition from hospital to daily life are complementing other studies on missing systematic interventions after surviving cardiac arrest. Together it is suggested that post-arrest support needs to be more organized and that follow-up programs are essential in the transition to a changed daily life after resuscitation. Using Meleis’ transition theory, the shift from a state of a healthy human being to a person with changed abilities in need of aftercare and rehabilitation can be seen as a health-illness transition with a loss of former roles. According to Meleis, a transition process is characterized by (i) a passage from one fairly stable state to another fairly stable state, and (ii) a process triggered by a change. To support a healthy transition towards stability and healthy outcomes, Meleis argues that it is fundamental that the ill person is supported by care of expert professionals understanding this role transition; she further argues that nurses may play a significant role in this respect. In a recent systematic review, Haydon et al. state that there is a need to develop more holistic clinical pathways, focusing on both physiological and psychological functions in cardiac arrest survivors. Further, a Dutch study stresses that integrated rehabilitation treatment between cardiac and neurological rehabilitation departments is recommended for these patients. Our findings expand these studies by suggesting that participating in the cardiac arrest rehabilitation programme supported the survivors towards a healthy transition which they experienced relieving. By receiving and exchanging experiences and knowledge with healthcare professionals and the other survivors, they learned about hypoxic brain injuries, emotional burdens, and supportive strategies and thereby felt more able to deal with their perceived problems. In order to meet these survivors post-arrest transition challenges, after-care and rehabilitative interventions should be utilized much earlier in the clinical pathway to reduce the risk of suffering and to support a healthy transition process to recovery which is evidenced in other research as well. According to Meleis, nurses are in the most opportune position to assess and enhance the patient’s psychosocial needs during a health-illness transition, and furthermore, support and develop the patient’s identity reformulation in their communication and interaction. To bridge the experienced health-illness transition gap between the hospital, the municipality and the place of employment this study shows that there is an urgent need for a coordinating effort. Based on the empirical and theoretical considerations from our study, a cardiac arrest specialist nursing service is suggested to be integrated already in the patients’ transition from hospital to home and further in the time between hospital and rehabilitation. Addressing and supporting the individual survivor along with coordination of needed specialized care and knowledge is significant competences to include in such nursing services. The participants in the present study furthermore perceived the community in the rehabilitation group liberating. This shared community evoked feelings of being really understood for the first time after the cardiac arrest and raised a new hope for their future. This meaningful peer support has been described in studies of other patient populations as well as a crucial part of the transition to recovery. Hence, it is worth highlighting that this group of cardiac arrest survivors, although vulnerable themselves, can help facilitate role transition back to daily life which should be integrated in future rehabilitation programmes.

Methodological considerations

This study has several strengths. According to Lincoln and Guba, trustworthiness of a study involves establishing: credibility, confirmability, dependability, and transferability. The rich quotes from the participants strengthen the credibility of the study. The recording of interviews and verbatim transcriptions increased the confirmability of the findings, as well as ensured their dependability. Dependability was further strengthened as the first author conducted all interviews and described the analytical process in detail. The structural analysis was, according to Ricoeur, further validated, as the analysis was discussed within our research group and thereby confirmability and credibility ensured. Furthermore, transferability of findings was increased as participants were from a range of age groups, sociodemographic backgrounds, and regions of Denmark. However, this study also has several limitations. The participating group was heterogeneous in age which can be seen as a limitation, but which on the other hand allowed us to explore relevant themes across differences. The recruitment process was by self-referral with self-identified rehabilitation needs, survivors with cognitive problems may not have become aware of the programme or be able to apply. Furthermore, survivors needed to be self-caring with the support of their relative and therefore survivors with severe cardiac arrest sequelae would not have participated. Moreover, other reasons as heart failure for the post-arrest consequences may exist. These facts can influence the relevance to a broader range of cardiac arrest survivors.
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