Classroom experiments with artificial sweeteners: growing single crystals and simple calorimetry
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An easily accessible experimental set-up to grow large single crystals of two sweeteners readily available in supermarkets, erythritol and xylitol, is described. The crystallization of these compounds illustrates the principles of crystallization by evaporation. As these artificial sweeteners are also known to induce a cool sensation in the mouth, the crystal-growing experiment is complemented with a simple calorimetric set-up to demonstrate the endothermic nature of the dissolution of the selected sweeteners in a more quantitative approach by measuring the heat of dissolution ($\Delta H_{\text{diss}}$). The choice of these two sweeteners also allows use of the freely available WebCSD and Mercury tools to visualize their three-dimensional structure, crystal packing and powder diffractograms, and to deepen stereochemical concepts such as stereoisomers and meso compounds.

1. Introduction

Growing large single crystals is a fascinating experience for young and old. The success of several crystal growing competitions clearly proves this (Van Meervelt, 2014, 2017). The compound to be crystallized during such competitions should be readily available, at a reasonable price (often with the support of a sponsor) and should crystallize within a reasonable period (a few weeks). The substance must also be non-toxic. The solubility in water should be moderate to reduce the amount of substance to be used.

During different editions of the Belgian Crystal Growing Competition for example, various substances were crystallized: potassium alum (double sulfate of aluminium and potassium), borax, potassium dihydrogen phosphate, copper sulfate, ammonium iron sulfate, ammonium magnesium sulfate, Seignette salt and even co-crystals of $\alpha$-D-glucose and sodium chloride were recently grown.

In all cases, the substance comes from a chemical supplier, making experimentation by individual students more difficult. This can easily be solved by using substances available in the kitchen at home. Several artificial sweeteners are good candidates! Moreover, some of these show a cool sensation in the mouth, which can be linked to their endothermic heat of dissolution ($\Delta H_{\text{diss}}$). This enthalpy change associated with the dissolution of the sweetener in water can be measured by calorimetry.

An accessible experimental set-up to grow large single crystals of artificial sweeteners starting from easily available household products together with a simple calorimetric approach to determine their heat of dissolution is presented. At the same time, these experiments can be the starting point
for teaching crystallography

2. Artificial sweeteners

Two sweeteners, erythritol and xylitol, were chosen for the crystallization and calorimetric experiments (Fig. 1). These two polyols are substitutes for sucrose, the classic table sugar. Both have a lower calorie intake and do not lead to dental caries problems.

Erythritol, C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{10}O\textsubscript{4}, or \((2R,3S)-\text{butane-1,2,3,4-tetrol}\), is the \textit{meso} \((R,S)\) compound of \textit{butane-1,2,3,4-tetrol} (diastereomer of \(l\)-threitol and \(d\)-threitol). This sweet polyol occurs naturally in some algae and lichens. It is also present in various fruits and fermented products.

Xylitol, C\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{5}, also known as birch sugar, can be produced from the bark of this tree or from xylan polymers present in biomass. It is also present in small amounts in many fruits and berries. The systematic name is \(2,3,4,5\)-tetrahydroxypentanol or \((2R,3R,4S)\)-pentane-1,2,3,4,5-pentanol, the latter emphasizing the stereochemistry of the three chiral centers of the molecule.

Three commercial sources of erythritol were evaluated: TCI, Stevia and Canderel (Fig. 2\textit{a}). Similarly, three commercial sources of xylitol were used: Sigma, Xylitol from Bio-Cap and Bio-Xylit from Bio Planet (Fig. 2\textit{b}). While TCI and Sigma are specialized suppliers of laboratory reagents, the other sources are readily available in supermarkets in Belgium. The crystalline powders were used without further purification. Of course, there are other sources of these sweeteners, but they were not explored further.

X-ray diffraction analysis of the powders of the two polyols led to the conclusion that in both cases each powder corresponds to the same crystalline form as described in the literature (Fig. 3). The experimental diffractograms were compared with diffractograms simulated using \textit{Mercury} (Macrae et al., 2020) based on crystallographic data available in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, version 5.43, update of March 2022; Groom et al., 2016; CSD refcodes XYLTOL01 and MERYOL03; Madsen et al., 2003; Ceccarelli et al., 1980). In this comparison, mainly the positions of the diffraction peaks, expressed in 2\(\theta\) values, are used.

This result indicates that the commercial sweeteners used contain essentially the compound of interest. Any other components are either present in too low concentrations and/or are not crystalline and hence undetectable by X-ray diffraction. This approach illustrates the value of X-ray powder diffraction as a ‘barcode’ or ‘fingerprint’ of a crystalline solid, but requires equipment not available outside a specialized laboratory. Little influence of the source of the sweeteners was observed in the results, with all samples, for example, leading to the formation of single crystals. However, better results were obtained with reagents from laboratory suppliers, presumably due to a higher degree of purity.
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**Figure 1**
Structures of erythritol and xylitol.
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**Figure 2**
Commercial sources of (a) erythritol and (b) xylitol used.
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**Figure 3**
Comparison of the powder diffractograms [intensities (Int.) as a function of the diffraction angle 2\(\theta\)] for erythritol from different sources. The reference diffractogram was simulated using \textit{Mercury} (Macrae et al., 2020) based on the crystallographic structure of MERYOL03 (Ceccarelli et al., 1980).
3. Growing single crystals

There are several methods of obtaining crystals, such as cooling a liquid (e.g. formation of ice cubes or snowflakes by freezing water), sublimation (e.g. growing crystals from iodine vapor), reaction between substances in the vapor phase (e.g. formation of ammonium chloride crystals by reaction of \( \text{HCl}(g) \) and \( \text{NH}_3(g) \) vapors), precipitation reactions in solution (e.g. precipitation of silver chloride by reaction between \( \text{Ag}^+ \text{(aq)} \) and \( \text{Cl}^- \text{(aq)} \) ions), or evaporation of solvents (e.g. recovery of table salt from seawater in salt marshes), to name only the most classic cases.

(Re)crystallization by evaporation of solvents relates to the solubility of a compound in the solvent and is closely related to the concept of saturation. In this regard, the influence of temperature on the solubility of a solute is also a parameter that can be used to obtain crystals. Cooling a saturated solution (for example obtained by dissolving when heated) should be added to the above methods. However, a distinction must be made between crystallization by cooling a pure liquid (e.g. solidification of water into ice) and crystallization by cooling a solution (e.g. crystallization of salt by cooling a salt solution). According to Le Chatelier’s principle, obtaining crystals by cooling a solution only applies in the case of solutes characterized by an endothermic heat of dissolution (\( \Delta H_{\text{diss}} > 0 \)).

A general protocol to grow large single crystals is available at the IUCr website under the heading ‘Outreach’, see https://www.iucr.org/outreach/crystal-growing-competition-2019/info-for-newcomers. A short movie ‘How to grow a single crystal’ is available at http://www.iycr2014.org/__data/iucr/iycr2014/video/SingleCrystal_DEF.mp4.

Figure 4
Growing large xylitol crystals. (1) Preparation of seed crystal. A seed crystal, glued to the end of a cotton thread, is placed in an aqueous saturated xylitol solution (~170 g of xylitol dissolved in 100 mL of water). (2) After several weeks, a large single crystal is obtained. The scale bar represents 10 mm.

Figure 5
Large single crystals of xylitol (left) and erythritol (right). The scale bar represents 10 mm.
tools for teaching crystallography

(simpler) approach can also be successful. Keep an extra stock of saturated solution in a closed bottle. Depending on the solution level (or degree of evaporation), carefully add additional saturated solution to replace the amount of solvent that has evaporated.

4. Determination of the heat of dissolution

The two selected sweeteners, erythritol and xylitol, are characterized by an endothermic heat of dissolution. This property is the basis of the fresh ‘mouthfeel’ of both substances. This effect is particularly pronounced for xylitol, which is used as a sweetener in ‘breath-freshening’ products.

The heat of dissolution (enthalpy change on dissolution, \( \Delta H_{\text{diss}} \)) of a solute can be determined by calorimetry. This method is based on the measurement of a temperature change \( (\Delta T) \) caused by the addition of a certain amount of compound to be dissolved \( (m = \text{mass of solute}) \) to a certain amount of water \( (m_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = \text{mass of water}) \). In the case of an endothermic phenomenon, the dissolution is accompanied by a drop in the temperature of the solution. The amount of heat \( (Q) \) required for dissolution is proportional to the temperature drop and depends on the amounts of solute and solvent (water) and on the heat capacity \( C \) (or specific heat \( c \)) of the solvent \( (c_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 4185 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}) \). In the absence of solute dissociation, which is the case with the polyols studied, the change in the dissolution enthalpy \( \Delta H_{\text{diss}} \) except for the sign, is equal to the amount of heat associated with dissolving one mole of solute \( (n = m/M, \text{where } M \text{ is the molar mass of the solute}) \) [Equation (1)].

\[
Q = m_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \cdot c_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \cdot \Delta T \quad \text{(for } m) \]
\[
\Delta H_{\text{diss}} = -m_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \cdot c_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \cdot \Delta T \cdot (M/m) \quad \text{in J mol}^{-1}
\] (1)

A simple setup – the so-called coffee cup calorimeter – was used to easily determine the heat of dissolution \( (\Delta H_{\text{diss}}) \) of erythritol and xylitol in water at school (or even at home). The set-up (Fig. 6) consists of an insulated vessel (three polystyrene cups nested together) into which 60.0 g of water is brought to room temperature \( (18.9 \text{ \celsius}) \) for the erythritol experiment. After addition of 12.5 g \((0.102 \text{ mol})\) of erythritol, the beaker is closed with a lid fitted with a thermometer and a glass tube to homogenize the solution. A rapid temperature drop is observed in the first seconds of dissolution, indicating the highly endothermic nature \( \Delta H_{\text{diss}} > 0 \) of the dissolution of the polyol. The minimum temperature is derived from the fitted straight line corresponding to the temperature increase associated with the gradual heating of the solution. Extrapolation to the initial time gives a temperature of 11.1 \text{ \celsius}, or a temperature change \( \Delta T = -7.8 \text{ \celsius} \) \((= -7.8 \text{ K}) \). The heat of dissolution of erythritol is then calculated as:

\[
\Delta H_{\text{diss}} = -Q = -m_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \cdot c_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \cdot \Delta T/n
\]
\[
= -0.060 \text{ (kg)} \cdot 4.185 \text{ (kJ kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}) \cdot (-7.8) \text{ (K)}
\]
\[
/0.102 \text{ (mol)}
\]
\[
= 19.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ or } 4.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}.
\]

Although slightly lower than the literature value of 5.57 \pm 0.01 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} at 25\text{ \celsius} \( \text{(Parks & Manchester, 1952)} \), this estimate of the heat of dissolution \( \Delta H_{\text{diss}} \) of erythritol seems acceptable in the context of the set-up used.

5. Additional graphical tools

Crystallography is the method of choice to determine the molecular structure of crystalline compounds. The X-ray diffraction technique applied to a single crystal leads to three-dimensional (3D) structures that are collected in databases such as the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Groom et al., 2016). Some of this data (CSD Teaching Subset) is available for free (see https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/Community/...
educationalresources/teaching-database). This is especially the case for the coordinates of the 3D structures of xylitol (refcode XYLTOL01; Madsen et al., 2003) and erythritol (refcode MERYOL03; Ceccarelli et al., 1980). These data are also available via https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures/Search? with the option ‘Simple Search’ and using the refcode of the structure as identifier.

In addition to a web-based graphical interface, WebCSD (Fig. 7) that allows interactive visualization of crystal structures, it is also possible to install the free Mercury software (Macrae et al., 2020; https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/support-and-resources/Downloads/) that allows visualization and analysis of crystal structures. These graphical tools provide access to the conformation of individual molecules, as well as to the unit cell and the packing of the molecules in the unit cell. The unit cell corresponds to the elementary building block, from which the entire crystal is generated by translation in three directions. Within the unit cell, the entities (ions, atoms, molecules) are arranged in such a way as to maximize the stabilizing interactions and the packing efficiency. Symmetry elements connect the entities in the unit cell and can be used to describe the symmetry of the crystal.

The Mercury software is further used to illustrate the stereochemistry of the studied polyols as well as to analyze a range of crystallographic features. The visualization of these 3D structures provides a better spatial understanding; an essential skill in chemistry that needs to be developed. This approach can be used to illustrate concepts of conformation and absolute configuration of organic molecules. In the case of erythritol, the graphical tool can also be used to illustrate the concept of a meso compound (refcode MERYOL03; Ceccarelli et al., 1980) by comparison with the stereoisomers l-threitol (refcode LEDPUF; Kopf et al., 1993) and d-threitol (refcode PAGDEG; Jeffrey & Huang, 1992) (Fig. 7). The spatial representation of the crystal structures allows the observed configuration to be reconciled with 2D representations for each stereoisomer. The ability to rotate the molecules using graphics programs is a valuable aid in this regard, especially when no real 3D molecular models are available.

The compound butane-1,2,3,4-tetrol should have four stereoisomers because it has two stereocenters (asymmetric carbon atoms). Fig. 8 illustrates that d-threitol (B) is the mirror image of l-threitol (C). The two structures cannot be superimposed and are optically active compounds. meso-Erythritol (A) is optically inactive even though it contains stereocenters. Both stereocenters rotate plane-polarized light to the same degree but in opposite directions, which results in an internal cancellation of optical activity. meso-Erythritol is superimposable with its mirror image. The 2R,3S and 2S,3R isomers are equivalent and hence only three stereoisomers are relevant. The Fisher projection of meso-erythritol clearly shows the presence of an internal plane of symmetry through the center of the molecule.

The graphical tool Mercury also allows the illustration of other concepts such as the crystal packing, symmetry elements, Bravais lattice or space group. Interactions between molecules in the crystal packing such as hydrogen bonds can be explored. Furthermore, high-resolution images and files to 3D print structures can be created. Fig. 9 shows the crystal packing of erythritol molecules. The tetragonal unit cell ($a = b =$...
12.712 Å, \( c = 6.747 \, \text{Å} \), \( \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ \)) contains eight molecules, which are linked by \( \text{O} - \text{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{O} \) hydrogen bonds. At the vertices and in the center of the unit cell there is an erythritol molecule (colored orange and gray), giving rise to a body-centered tetragonal Bravais lattice. The four molecules colored red, green, pink and gray are related to each other by a fourfold screw axis 4_1. The combination with the other symmetry elements present (inversion center, fourfold rototranslation axis and glide planes) results in space group \( \text{I}_{4}1/a \).

6. Conclusions
The proposed activities are based on two readily available commercial sweeteners: erythritol and xylitol. The crystallization of these compounds makes it possible, with a little patience, to obtain beautiful crystals, illustrating the principles of crystallization by evaporation through an accessible experiment. A simple calorimetric approach is proposed to demonstrate the endothermic nature of the dissolution of the selected sweeteners. This property is related to the ‘mouth-freshening’ nature of sweeteners and allows a more quantitative approach to thermochemical concepts that are sometimes abstract or difficult to illustrate. Finally, a more detailed analysis of the crystallographic structures, using freely available graphical software, allows the user to deepen further concepts of stereochemistry by providing an easy way to visualize the spatial structure of the sweeteners.
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