Classification of 7 Arrhythmias from ECG Using Fractal Dimensions
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Abstract
The statistics indicate a dramatic increase in mortality due to cardiovascular failures in the worldwide. In developing countries, with lower per capita income, especially in rural areas, late diagnosis of the disease leads to the sudden death of people. Since electrocardiogram (ECG) is one of the most important tools for diagnosing cardiovascular diseases, this study has been presented to analyze this highly inexpensive and available signal. Extracted characteristics of the signal are a good representation of the heart function because of the chaotic, dynamic, and non-linear behavior of the heart. The fractal dimension is the best representative of the ECG signal which is able to take into account its hidden complexity. ECG signals are analyzed based on the fractal dimension and Back Propagation Neural Network (BPN). In this paper, a new technique is introduced for honest classification of 7 arrhythmias from ECG signals using the fractal dimension. This method is able to identify the exact location of arrhythmias. A combination of 5 reputable universal databases is used to classify based on the fractal dimension and BPN. The performance of this method is measured by Sensitivity (SE) and Specificity (SP) indices. According to the results, the accuracy of this method is equal to 98.83%.
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1. Introduction
The statistics indicate that the growing number of deaths is caused by cardiovascular failures. According to the World Health Organization, about 17.3 million people died of this complication in 2008 [1]. This figure is expected to reach 23.3 million people by 2030. About 80% of death cases have occurred in countries with low or moderate per capita income, and most of them have caused by misdiagnosis or late diagnosis of the disease. Since ECG is one of the most cost-effective and accurate tools for diagnosing cardiovascular diseases, the heart behavior can be
analyzed using this tool [2]. The ECG represents the electrical activity of the heart which includes the regular and calm contraction of the heart muscles. The ECG waveform analysis is a basis for the diagnosis of different cardiovascular diseases. The ECG waveform includes 5 major waves of P, Q, R, S, and T [3]. One of the most important parts of the ECG analysis is the measurement of RR-Interval and ST-segment, which are good representatives of the variety of heartbeat [4, 5]. Given the non-linear dynamism of the heart and its self-similarity features, the ECG signals present the fractal properties. As a result, the fractal dimension, which represents the non-linear dynamism, is an appropriate method for analyzing and extracting the characteristics from these signals [4, 6]. The fractal dimension indicates the ratio of minor variations in the pattern. Moreover, any system that can be imagined or analyzed geometrically is considered a fractal [7]. Hence, the fractal dimension is used to describe the fractal objects [7].

Over the last few decades, various techniques have been proposed to solve the ECG classification problems with a useful diagnosis. In most techniques, features of time [8], frequency [9], time-frequency [10], and non-linear dynamism [4, 9, 11] have been extracted and then effectively classified. Rai et al. [10] analyzed the ECG signals based on the neural network and hybrid features (Discrete Wavelet Transforms and morphological). To classify four types of arrhythmia, George and Mohammad [6] de-noised the signal and calculated the fractal dimension of the signal using Hurst Power. Sedjelmaci and Reguig [4] extracted the RR-Interval and ST-segment as a feature from each signal. Then, since the signal information is on regular change, they proposed their own method based on the regularization dimension analysis of RR-Interval and ST-segment with 4 types of arrhythmia. Martins et al. [11] used Pan-Tompkins method [12] to extract a QRS complex from the signal. Then, they de-noised the signal by the wavelet transform. Finally, they classified three types of arrhythmia using Support Vector Machine with an accuracy of 99%. Mary and Singh [13] used the DFA method to calculate the fractal dimension and detect a normal signal from an abnormal one. To categorize the natural beat of the ventricle, Mhetre et al. [8] firstly extracted the morphological features and then classified them using an expert system. Vafaie et al. [14] used a combination of neural networks and genetic algorithm to detect abnormal conditions of the heart with an accuracy of 98.67%.

After studying the methods, challenges, and shortages, a new method has been proposed in this study for classification of seven vital types of arrhythmia based on the fractal dimension. To this end, 5 reputable universal databases have been used. In the procedure of the proposed method, the signal is preprocessed in the first step. After that, considering the extracted features, the ECG signals are divided into three groups. Then, each signal is classified based on its own special features. According to the SE and SP indices, the classification accuracy is improved to 98.83%. The contributions of this paper are as follows:

- Determine the exact location of the arrhythmia occurrence and its type.
- Classification of 7 arrhythmias from ECG signals using the fractal dimension and Back Propagation Neural Network.
- The accuracy of this method was equal to 98.83%.
The rest of this paper is organized as the following: Section 2 includes the materials and methods. Section 3 deals with the fractal dimension used. Section 4 provides experimental results. Finally the paper concludes and the results are discussed in Section 5.

2. Materials and Methods
The block diagram of the proposed method has been demonstrated in Figure 1(a). As shown in Figure 1(a), the entire methodology is divided into three basic steps: preprocessing, feature extraction and classification. The preprocessing step has been shown in Figure 1(b). Different databases with different sampling frequencies have been employed in this research. In the preprocessing step, the sampling frequencies have been normalized to a base frequency that improves the speed of the algorithm and also the accuracy of the classification step. After signal frequency normalization, de-noising and Baseline wander removal of ECG signals have been done. The second step of the proposed model is feature extraction. These features must represent the original signal changes. The last step of the proposed method is dedicated to the classification of arrhythmias. Details of these steps are explained in the following sub-sections.

![Figure 1:](image)

**Figure 1:** (a) Block diagram of proposed method of ECG analysis; (b) Block diagram of Preprocessing.

2.1 Database
Providing a proper database is one of the most important tasks of signal processing. In this research, a combination of 5 accredited databases from Physionet [15], including 321 annotated files, has been used. This database provides seven classes of arrhythmias, including Premature Ventricular Complex (PVC), Premature Atrial Contracture (PAC), Supraventricular Tachycardia (SVTA), Atrial Flutter (A_FLUT), Atrial Fibrillation (A_FIB), Ventricular Fibrillation (V_FIB) and Normal. These records are extracted from the databases listed below.

- European ST-T Database [15],
- MIT-BIH Database (Arrhythmia Database, Normal Sinus Rhythm Database, and Supraventricular Arrhythmia Database) [15].
• QT Database [15],
• Creighton University Ventricular Tachyarrhythmia Database [15],
• Intracardiac Atrial Fibrillation Database [15].

2.2 Preprocessing

Preprocessing is the first step of ECG signal processing. In this step, it is necessary to remove noise from input signals. Noise removal in the preprocessing of the ECG signal includes different strategies for each noise source [16]. Preprocessing of ECG signal consists of the sampling frequency normalization, de-noising and baseline wander removal of ECG signal (Figure 1 (b) and Figure 2). This preprocessing step must have been done before the feature extraction.

2.2.1 Sampling frequency conversion: Due to using the various databases, the first step of preprocessing is to match the frequency of signal sampling. Here, four frequencies, 128, 250, 360, and 1000 Hz, are used. Considering the mode of the frequencies and the number of the signals at each specific frequency, the frequency equal to 250 Hz is the base frequency. Sampling frequency conversion is a process which leads to changes in the sampling frequency in order to obtain a new discrete signal [17]. There are many methods to do this. In this study, Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) is used for this purpose [18]. Based on this method, the base frequency is selected and then the location of each new sample is determined. The new sample value is interpolated with regard to the previous and
next points on the main signal, as shown in Equation 1. In this equation, \((x_0, y_0)\) and \((x_1, y_1)\) denote the points before and after the new sample on the main signal, respectively.

\[
y = y_0 + (y_1 - y_0) \frac{x - x_0}{x_1 - x_0}
\]  

(1)

2.2.2 De-noising the signal: De-noising, which is done before analyzing the electrical activity of the heart, plays an important role in the processing of ECG signals [19]. The frequency band of the ECG signal varies between 0.15 Hz and 15 Hz. There are many sources of noises in the ECG signal which is placed within its frequency spectrum. In this step, the structure of different noises, including fluctuations in the heart muscle whose frequency is above 150 Hz, is removed. Since the ECG is non-stable, simple filtering operations will not be effective for de-noising [19]. Because of the proper localization of features in time and frequency domain, DWT methods have been used for this purpose in the recent studies. In this article, the de-noising method proposed by the authors [20] are used in order to overcome the problem of DWT variance changes and maintain the physiological characteristics of the signal. In this algorithm, the signal is divided into 9 levels using the Dual-Tree Complex Wavelet Transform. Threshold values are calculated using the DF factor and then applied to the first two levels. Thresholding of other levels is done using the Donoho thresholding method [21]. The threshold of each level is applied to partial coefficients by soft-thresholding. Then, the signal is reconstructed using modified partial coefficients. Details of the parameters are as the following:

- Donoho method \(\lambda = \sqrt{2\log M}\) where M shows the value of coefficients [21].
- DF Factor in any sub-band of J is calculated as follows:

\[
DF_j = \frac{1}{ECE_j} \times \frac{\max(d_j^k)}{F_{jSN}}
\]  

(2)

\[
Kurtosis = \frac{\mu^4}{\sigma^2}
\]  

(3)

\[
ECE_j = \frac{\sum d_j^k}{\sum \sum d_j^k} \times 100
\]  

(4)

Where, \(F_{jSN}\), \(\mu\), and \(\sigma^2\) represent ratio signal kurtosis value in j band to kurtosis value of the signal, mean of partial coefficients, and variance of partial coefficients. In addition, \(d_j^k\) denotes the wavelet coefficients under the band J [22].

- In soft-thresholding, all coefficients are modified or converted into zero with regard to the threshold \(\lambda\), and a fraction of \(\lambda\) value is considered as the noise value.

\[
d_{\text{new}} = \begin{cases} 
  d_i - \lambda & d_i > \lambda \\
  d_i + \lambda & d_i < \lambda \\
  0 & \text{O.W}
\end{cases}
\]  

(5)

2.2.3 Baseline wander removal: Noise artifacts that affect the ECG signal are called Baseline Wander. Regularly, these noises are in the range of 0.15 to 0.3 Hz and enter the signal using a breathing apparatus. Baseline Wander removal reduces the heartbeat irregularities in the ECG analysis. There are different ways to remove Baseline Wander from the signal. In this study, the method proposed by Rai et al. [10] are used. After removing the signal
noises by moving the average filter, the signal is smoothed. The results are then plotted in the column vector y. To smooth the data, a step size of 200 is used to produce better results. After smoothing, the difference between the smoothed signal and the initial signal are calculated. As a result, the signal obtained is empty of any Baseline Wander.

2.3 Feature extraction

In this step, extracted features are evaluated based on the fractal dimension. In this study, new and accurate features are calculated using the fractal dimension. Various methods for the calculation of the fractal dimension, including Katz, Box Counting, Higuchi, Hurst, Regularization, DFA, Sevcike, and PSD have been investigated that the Higuchi method can better show the changes and disease in the ECG signal. In addition, this method can examine a variety of patients more powerfully.

2.3.1 Local-FD and max-ST: After passing the preprocessing stage, a window of 10 seconds is passed over the signal without overlapping. Since this feature indicates different arrhythmias, the detection speed is accelerated using the window of 10 seconds. Then, the Higuchi dimension is calculated for each window. Finally, the difference of both consecutive values is calculated. The highest value represents this feature. To calculate the Max-ST, the R peaks are identified and then the ST-segment value is calculated. This can be done through various methods which are generally divided into 3 major categories that the first method is the quickest one. In the present study, Pan-Tompkins method [12] is used. Although this method is more complex than its counterparts, it is one of the most accurate and widely used methods. The maximum ST-segment value represents Max-ST.

2.3.2 Average-FDH: When the R peaks are identified by Pan-Tompkins method, the fractal dimension in relation to the distance between the two peaks is calculated using Higuchi method. Then, the mean of these values is introduced as the Average-FDH.

2.3.3 Npac, Npvc and Npsvt: The purpose of these features is to identify peaks that are likely to cause illness. After calculating RR-Interval, the signals with a RR-Interval of greater than 0.2 seconds are diagnosed suspected to illness. In arrhythmias that occur only in some peaks, there would be a long after any peak of disease. The fractal dimension related to RR-Interval is calculated using Higuchi method. Since it has been shown that the heart has a nonlinear system, more severe diseases have a smaller fractal dimension. According to the results, susceptible peaks can be classified into four groups with regard to the fractal dimension.

Normal: FD > 1.56
PAC: 1.37 < FD ≤ 1.56
PVC: 1.3 < FD ≤ 1.37
PSVT: 1 < FD ≤ 1.3

Then, susceptible peaks that are diagnosed normal will be removed. The number of peaks related to each of the PAC, PVC, and PSVT will be sent to the output as Npac, Npvc, and Npsvt features.
2.4 Signal classification

As shown in Figure 3, there are three groups of arrhythmias in this combined database. The first group includes arrhythmias that occur in some peaks, such as PAC, PVC, and PSVT. The second group involves A-FLUT and A-FIB that influence the whole signal. Finally, the third group includes arrhythmias that occur suddenly and continue. 

V-FIB is an example of this group in which the heart rate is normal, but suddenly becomes similar to ventricular fibrillation/flutter. In the proposed algorithm, after the entry of the signal and passing through the previous two steps, local-FD is firstly calculated and then V-FIB arrhythmia is detected (Figure 4). In V-FIB, the heart signal is normal at first and then suddenly the rhythm of the heart becomes extremely swirling, as the ECG signal peaks cannot be detected. Therefore, local-FD is a good benchmark for diagnosing this type of disease which occurs suddenly and continues. A local-FD of greater than 1.5 is an indication of V-FIB arrhythmia. In the next step, A-FIB and A-FLUT are separated. These two types of arrhythmia have a sharp rhythm in the whole signal. In addition, the heart rate is very high. For classification, Max-ST is extracted from the signal. Since the peaks are close to each other, Max-ST value here is much smaller than the other arrhythmias. As a result, a Max-ST smaller than 100 indicates one of these two arrhythmias. However, if the signal is in this category, A-Fib and A_FLUT arrhythmias are separated in the second step by using a trained BPN with Average-FDH and Max-ST features. In this step, the first type of arrhythmias is diagnosed that basically occur as single peaks. Features, including the Average-FDH, Npac, Npvc, Npsvt, and Max-ST, are extracted from the signal and the disease is diagnosed using Algorithm 1.

**Figure 3:** Combining Database.

**Figure 4:** Block diagram of signal classification method.
Algorithm 1

If (Npac = Npvc = Npsvt = 0) OR (Max_ST > 400 AND Npvc = 0 AND ((Npac + Npvc) ≤ 6)) OR ((Npvc + Npac + Npsvt) ≤ 10 AND ((Npvc = Npac) ≠ 0 OR (Npac = Npsvt) ≠ 0 OR (Npsvt = Npvc) ≠ 0)) Then

Signal is Normal.

ElseIf (Max_ST > 400) OR (Npvc = Npac = 0) OR (Average_FDH ≥ 1.43 AND (Npvc − Npsvt) < 15) AND (Npsvt ≠ 0 OR Npac ≠ 0) Then

Signal is heart disease Paroxysmal Supraventricular Tachycardia.

ElseIf (Npvc = Npsvt = 0) OR (Npac > (Npvc + Npsvt) AND Average_FDH ≤ 1.43) Then

Signal is heart disease Premature Atrial Contracture.

Else

Signal is heart disease Premature Ventricular Contraction.

3. Fractal Dimension

There are different algorithms for calculating the Fractal Dimension, such as Katz [23], box-counting [24], Higuchi [25], Regularization [26], and etc. Each of them has its own advantages and disadvantages. In this research, these methods have been studied and reviewed. It has been included that Higuchi is an accurate method and a good representative of disease. The Higuchi algorithm has the property of intrinsic repeatability as same as the box-counting method. Assume time series of x={x(1), x(2), …, x(N)}, the Fractal Dimension is calculated as follows.

(i) Construct K new time series \(x^k_m\) are defined as:
\[
x^k_m = \{x(m), \ldots, x(m + \lfloor (N - m)/k \rfloor k)\}
\]
(6)

Where \(m=1, 2, \ldots, k\) shows the initial time value, K denotes the discrete time interval between points.

(ii) Compute the length of each new time series as previously defined:
\[
L_m(k) = 1/K \left\{ (N - 1)/((N - m)/K) \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (N-m)/k \rfloor} x(m + ik) - x(m + (i-1)k) \right| \right\}
\]
(7)

Where \((N - 1)/((N - m)/K)\) is a normalization factor.

(iii) Compute the length of the curve for the time interval K:
\[
L(k) = 1/K \sum_{m=1}^{k} L_m(k)
\]
(8)

(iv) Finally, according to the following equation, D represents the Fractal Dimension curve [25].
\[
\log(L(k)) = D \log(1/K) + \tilde{b}
\]
(9)

4. Experimental Results

In this paper, the experiments have been carried out in MATLAB software package 11. The hybrid database consists of 321 records that have been divided into 7 separate classes: PAC, PVC, SVTA, A_FLUT, A_FIB, V_FIB and Normal. 10 minutes of each file has been considered and analyzed. For those arrhythmias, which are shorter than 10
minutes, the shorter amount of time has been considered and analyzed. All features are divided into two groups: fractal dimension features and morphologic features of ECG signal. While the Max-ST is a morphologic feature, the Local-FD, Average-FDH, Npac, Npvc and Npsvt are fractal features of the signal. In this research, the signal classification has been done according to these features by using a BPN with 20 neurons in the hidden layer and a MLP classifier with 10 neurons in its hidden layer. In order to train mentioned networks, 70% of data is used as the training data and the remained 30% of data as the test data. The simulation results demonstrate that BPN with 20 neurons in hidden layer achieves the best results (Table 1 and Figure 5). Thus, in this research, a BPN has been used to classify A_FLUT and A_FIB using Average-FDH and MAX_ST.

![Figure 5: performance chart of BPN.](chart.png)

| Methods | Number of neurons in hidden layer | Number of correct classification | Accuracy (%) |
|---------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
|         | Training Data | Testing Data | Training Data | Testing Data |
| BPN     | 10             | 21             | 10            | 95           | 100          |
|         | 20             | 22             | 10            | 100          | 100          |
| MLP     | 10             | 21             | 9             | 95           | 90           |
|         | 20             | 22             | 9             | 100          | 90           |

| Methods | Number of correct classification | SE (%) | SP (%) |
|---------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
|         | A_FLUT  | A_FIB | Total  |
| BPN     | 10      | 15    | 16     | 31      | 100 | 94   |
|         | 20      | 16    | 16     | 32      | 100 | 100  |
| MLP     | 10      | 14    | 16     | 30      | 100 | 87   |
|         | 20      | 16    | 15     | 31      | 94  | 100  |

Table 1: Compare the performance of MLP and BPN.
The performance of the classifier has been evaluated by using the most familiar metrics: SE and SP [27].

\[
SE(\%) = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \times 100 \tag{10}
\]

\[
SP(\%) = \frac{TN}{TN + FP} \times 100 \tag{11}
\]

Where TP is the number of true positive samples, TN is the number of true negative samples, and FN is the number of positive samples. The most important metric for evaluating the overall system performance is usually accuracy [27]:

\[
\text{Accuracy}(\%) = \frac{\text{Correctly classified samples}}{\text{Total number of samples}} \tag{12}
\]

Table 2: The results of classification using the proposed algorithm.

|               | Normal | PVC | PSVT | PAC | A_FIB | AF | V_FIB |
|---------------|--------|-----|------|-----|-------|----|-------|
| SE            | 99.1   | 100 | 99.1 | 100 | 100   | 100| 100   |
| SP            | 100    | 100 | 97.9 | 80  | 100   | 100| 100   |

Table 3: The comparison between SE and SP from each class according to the proposed algorithm.

Table 2 shows the results of classification using those features which have been extracted based on the fractal dimension. This classification of 7 classes has been achieved the precision of 98.83%. Table 3, shows the calculation of SE and SP for each class in comparison to each other. PVC, A_FLUT, A_FIB and V_FIB have been completely separated with SE=100 and SP=100; so, fractal dimension is an appropriate representative of them. SE and SP for the Normal class are 99.1 and 100, respectively. Thus, it has been separated perfectly as well; however, in some cases, other arrhythmias have been incorrectly detected as Normal. SE=100 and SP=80 for PSVT class demonstrate that sometimes the disease associated with PSVT class is diagnosed incorrectly. Finally, SE and SP for PAC class are 99.1 and 97.9, respectively. It shows that the proposed algorithm is less sensitive at the boundary of this disease. Therefore, the proposed algorithm has some sensitivity at the boundary of diagnosis of Normal and PAC diseases and also at the boundary of diagnosis of PAC and PSVT diseases.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

The main objective of this study was to classify a variety of hazardous arrhythmias with high accuracy based on a wide variety of disease-rich databases. In most studies, a maximum of four arrhythmias is classified with a limited number of files. In this study, seven types of arrhythmias were classified using a combined database, including a
sufficient number of files. In this paper, a new method based on the fractal dimension of the ECG signal was proposed which is the best representative of the electrical activity of the heart, with regard to the chaotic system of the heart. The fractal dimension is able to examine minor changes in complex signals. The proposed algorithm is able to determine the exact location of the arrhythmia occurrence and its type. In the proposed method, the signal was preprocessed in three steps and the features were extracted based on the fractal dimension and morphological characteristics of the signal. Then, classification was done based on extracted features. The performance of this method was measured by SE and SP indices. According to the results, the accuracy of this method was equal to 98.83%. Increasing the accuracy and the number of arrhythmias under study can be good recommendations for future studies.
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