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Abstract: Blood malignancies often arise from undifferentiated hematopoietic stem cells or partially differentiated stem-like cells. A tight balance of multipotency and differentiation, cell division, and quiescence underlying normal hematopoiesis requires a special program governed by the transcriptional machinery. Acquisition of drug resistance by tumor cells also involves reprogramming of their transcriptional landscape. Limiting tumor cell plasticity by disabling reprogramming of the gene transcription is a promising strategy for improvement of treatment outcomes. Herein, we review the molecular mechanisms of action of transcription-targeted drugs in hematological malignancies (largely in leukemia) with particular respect to the results of clinical trials.

Keywords: transcription; leukemia; targeted antitumor therapy; cell death; drug design

1. Introduction

For a long time, gene transcription has been considered non-druggable because of inevitable general toxicity. However, gene transcription in tumor cells has unique patterns (reviewed in [1]). Malignant transformation, maintenance of tumor cell stemness [2], and other events associated with adaptation of tumor cells to environment largely depend on specific transcriptional patterns [1,3–5]. This allows designing anticancer drugs that target gene transcription.

Aberrant activation of signaling pathways is common in tumors. Moreover, leukemias are often caused by formation of new chimeric transcription factors (TFs) as a result of chromosome rearrangements [6]. Specific inhibitors of TFs, although difficult to design, can turn out to be effective anticancer drugs.

Super-enhancers (SE) are the cis-acting elements on DNA recognized by different components of the transcriptional machinery. Unlike conventional enhancers, these potent regulatory elements are capable of switching gene transcription in an ‘all-or-nothing’ manner in response to relatively small changes in chromatin modification, TF concentration, etc. Commonly, SEs control highly but not ubiquitously expressed genes, for example, the genes involved in the maintenance of the pluripotent stage and lineage specificity [7]. These traits and the fact that SEs can often be formed de novo [8,9] by tumor driver genes via mutagenesis, genetic rearrangements, and epigenetic alterations provide evidence that SE components can be promising drug targets [7,10].

Two aspects make ‘transcriptional’ drugs suitable for combinations with other chemotherapeutics. First, the transcriptional modulators can synergize with conventional cytotoxic drugs in achieving antitumor efficacy with better tolerance, which is extremely important in children and elderly patients [11,12]. Second, targeting transcriptional machinery can prevent the establishment of drug resistance (NCT04017546, NCT01434316) [13–18]. Here, we review the fundamental aspects related to the design of tools for targeting gene transcription.
transcription in hematological malignancies and analyze the current state-of-the-art in clinical trials.

2. Epigenetics: General Considerations

Chromatin regulators have been identified as drivers of transformation in various blood malignancies. Chromosomal rearrangements (e.g., \textit{MLL} rearrangements (\textit{MLL-r}) in acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) or \textit{BCR–ABL1} in chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML)) and mutations (point mutations in \textit{EZH2} in acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL)) can affect chromatin state \([19–23]\) and/or activity of the enzymes involved in methylation/demethylation or acetylation/deacetylation of chromatin. These modifications are important for the activation or suppression of transcription (Table 1). This opens the room for development of drugs aimed at restoring epigenetic regulation in leukemias.

| Type of Modification | H3K4 | H3K9 | H3K27 | H3K79 |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|-------|
| Monomethylation (me1) | Activation (enhancers) | Activation | Activation | Activation |
| Dimethylation (me2) | Activation (enhancers/promoters) | Repression | Repression | Activation |
| Trimethylation (me3) | Activation (promoters) | Repression | Repression (promoters) | Repression |
| Acetylation | Activation | Activation (enhancers/promoters) | Activation (enhancers) | Activation |

2.1. Deacetylases: HDACs

Histone deacetylases (HDACs) catalyze the deacetylation of histones at lysine residues, whereas histone acetyltransferases (HATs) perform the opposite function \([24,25]\). The balanced and controlled activity of HDACs and HATs is required for normal development and homeostasis of hematopoietic cells \([25]\). Some HATs (such as MYST and the CREBBP/EP300 family) act as transcriptional coactivators together with key hematopoietic TFs and are required for self-renewal and differentiation of HSCs \([26]\). HDACs are divided into Zn-dependent (classes I, II, and IV) and Zn-independent, NAD-dependent (class III) enzymes \([24,26–28]\). HDACs target the actively transcribed gene regions marked by phosphorylated RNA polymerase II (RNA Pol II) \([29]\). Among the non-histone targets of HDACs are RNA splicing factors, chaperones, some structural and signaling proteins, TFs, DNA repair proteins, retinoblastoma proteins, and many others \([24,28,29]\). Interactions of HDACs with non-histone proteins such as Bcl-6 \([24,30]\) and p53 \([31]\) indicate that functions of these proteins are regulated, at least in part, by acetylation.

HDAC inhibition leads to an increase in the amounts of acetylated histones, which, in turn, promotes the resumption of expression of muted genes and induces differentiation, arrest, and/or death in AML cells. HDAC inhibitors can be either isoform-selective or act against all types of HDACs \([32]\). Based on the chemical structure and activity for blood malignancies, HDAC inhibitors are largely presented by three groups: hydroximates, benzamides, and cyclic tetrapeptides (Figure 1) \([33]\).

The hydroxamic acid residue directly binds to Zn\(^{2+}\) in the active site via the sulfhydryl group. These inhibitors are most potent against class I and II HDACs. Vorinostat (SAHA), panobinostat (LBH589), and belinostat (Beleodaq or PXD101) have been clinically tested in combination with antileukemic agents (NCT00097929, NCT01083602, NCT01273155) but demonstrated limited efficacy (see \([24]\) for a detailed review).
Benzamides (aminoanilides) are the selective class I and IV HDAC inhibitors that bind Zn$^{2+}$ in the active sites [36]. Mocetinostat (MGCD0103) has antiproliferative potency against hematologic malignancies (MLL-α leukemia). This agent induces TNF-α, activates NF-κB, regulates JAK/STAT signaling components, downregulates CD30 receptor expression, and reduces lysine specific demethylase 1 (LSD1; see Section 2.3), thereby promoting cell death [33,37]. Phase II clinical trials have been completed for mocetinostatin combination with 5-azacitidine in myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) or AML patients and demonstrated an acceptable safety profile (NCT00324220) [38]. Entinostat (MS-275) induces growth arrest and apoptosis in AML cell lines and patient samples by inhibiting the antiapoptotic proteins Bcl-2 and Mcl-1, as well as via p21 increase. Moreover, entinostat induced degradation of Fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) through inhibition of Hsp90 chaperone activity in AML cells, suggesting that this inhibitor may be useful for treating patients with FLT3 mutations, a common genetic alteration in AML (reviewed in [24]).

Cyclic peptides are a structurally diverse group of HDAC inhibitors; their selectivity against HDACs depends on the structure [24]. One of the most propitious inhibitors, romidepsin (FK228), is a prodrug that is activated by intracellular reduction to a thiol-containing metabolite and chelates Zn$^{2+}$ in the active site of class I and II HDACs. Moreover, romidepsin activates the stress-activated protein kinases (SAPKs)/Jun amino-terminal kinases (JNKs), as well as inhibits PI3K–AKT–mTOR and Wnt/β-catenin pathways [39]. After the completion of phase II, romidepsin was approved by the FDA for T-cell lymphoma (NCT00106431, NCT00426764), showing an acceptable safety profile [40].

2.2. Histone acetyltransferase: BET

Families of bromodomain (BRD) and extraterminal (BET) proteins BRD2, 3, and 4, recognize histone acetyl-lysine residues, creating the basis for the assembly of protein
complexes that regulate the availability of chromatin for TFs and recruitment of RNA Pol II [25,41–45]. BET proteins maintain aberrant chromatin states in AML, ALL, multiple myeloma, and lymphoma [42–44]. BRD4 is the most studied member of the BET family. It binds preferentially to the acetylated histones 3 and 4 (H3 and H4) (Table 1). BRD4 marks the transcription start sites of many genes and promotes cell-cycle progression from G1 to S and from G2 to mitosis. BET proteins play a central role in tumorigenesis associated with TFs of the MYC family [46]. BET inhibitors suppress SE-associated oncogenes and block tumor cell proliferation [21,42,45–48]. These compounds reduce MYC expression in AML cells sensitive or resistant to BET inhibition. However, the resistant leukemias showed a rapid return of MYC transcription [48–50]. Most BET inhibitors cause G1/S arrest [48,50–52].

JQ1, a selective BRD2/4 inhibitor, inhibits the binding of the Mediator–BRD4 complex to acetylated histone residues. JQ1 can selectively repress MYC transcription in blood malignancies [53–55] and is active against MLL3-suppressed leukemias resistant to conventional chemotherapy [53,56]. BRD2 is a critical mediator of STAT5 function. This TF is constitutively active in most leukemias and controls the expression of genes involved in cell proliferation and survival (see Section 4.5) [45]. JQ1 treatment reduced STAT5-dependent transcription and showed a strong synergy with tyrosine kinase inhibitors in inducing apoptosis in leukemic cells [45,57]. The main drawback of JQ1 is its short half-life (~1 h) [58]. OTX015 (birabresib), an analogue of JQ1, is more stable [51] and inhibits the binding of BRD2–4 to acetylated H4 (IC50 < 200 nM for AML and ALL cell lines [51]). OTX015 completed phase I clinical trials for AML, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, ALL, and multiple myeloma with promising prospects (in particular, relatively low dose-limiting toxicity) (NCT01713582). I-BET762 (GSK525762) and I-BET-151 (GSK210151A) (100–300 nM) evoked an antiproliferative effect associated with suppression of BCL2 and CDK6 genes in AML cells including drug resistant counterparts [48,56]. I-BET762 has completed phase II of clinical trials (NCT01943851). BI 894999 is a selective BET inhibitor that causes apoptosis in the AML cell line MV4-11B at 10 nM [50]. Using RNA sequencing, it has been shown that BI 894999 and JQ1 regulate the same transcripts, including MYC [50].

2.3. Histone Demethylase: LSD1

Lysine-specific histone demethylase 1A (LSD1, also known as lysine KDM1A, AOF2, BHC110) is a FAD-dependent histone demethylase often overexpressed in lymphoid malignancies. LSD1 contributes to leukemogenesis in ~60% of AML cases [59–61] by delaying the maturation and promoting the proliferation of myeloid precursors [60]. LSD1 can be a component of the NuRD (nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase) complex, which has a function of nucleosome remodeling via histone deacetylase/demethylases activities and is recruited to cell type-specific SEs [61]. LSD1 interacts with the TF corepressor REI (CoREST, RCOR1) and HDAC1-2 [37,61,62]. LSD1 demethylates mono- and dimethyl groups at H3K4 (H3K4me1/2) and H3K9 (H3K9me1/2) (Table 1), as well as several non-histone targets [60,62–65]. H3K4me1 and H3K27ac are the markers of enhancer activation [66]; therefore, LSD1 functions to repress the enhancers. In murine hematopoietic cells, the loss of LSD1 causes pancytopenia associated with activation of genes previously repressed by LSD1 and elevation of H3K27ac at the enhancers of LSD1 target genes [67].

RUNX1 (Runt-related TF 1, also known as the AML protein 1 and the core binding factor subunit alpha-2, CBFA2) interacts with the LSD1–CoREST–HDAC1/2 complex which, together with GFI1B (growth factor independent 1B transcriptional repressor), suppresses myeloid differentiation in HEL (erythroleukemia) and MEL (lymphoma) cells [62]. RUNX1 regulates the expression of proteins associated with hematopoiesis (e.g., C/EBPα and PU.1) or cell cycle (e.g., p53). A conditional RUNX1 knockout causes thrombocytopenia and lymphocytopenia [12]. PU.1 is a TF that is specifically expressed in myeloid cells and B-lymphocytes, thereby activating the genes involved in differentiation of these cells [12]. Inhibition of LSD1 caused an increase in chromatin availability with strong enrichment in PU.1, C/EBPα, and RUNX1, whereas the loss of C/EBPα or PU.1 led to the resistance
of AML cells to LSD1 inhibition both in vitro and in vivo, showing the importance of PU.1 and C/EBPα in modulating the antileukemic efficacy of LSD1 inhibition [59,60,68]. Mutations associated with the loss of RUNX1 and C/EBPα function result in a high risk of AML often associated with complex karyotype and resistance to chemotherapy [69].

Trianylcypromine (TCP) is the main scaffold in the design of irreversible LSD1 inhibitors. TCP-based LSD1 inhibitors include ORY-1001, GSK2879552, and IMG-7289 that are undergoing clinical trials alone or in combination with all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) for AML [63]. ORY-1001 binds covalently to FAD in complex with LSD1 [70,71]. ORY-1001 induced myeloid differentiation and cytotoxicity in AML and CML cell lines (IC₅₀ = 0.05–0.4 nM) [72]. ORY-1001 synergizes with conventional drugs ATRA and Ara-C and targeted inhibitors in AML and ALL cell lines [72]. ORY1001 is currently in phase I of pharmacokinetic and safety studies for patients with relapsed or refractory AML (EudraCT Number: 2013-002447-29). Another covalent LSD1 inhibitor GSK2879552 [70] increased the expression of myeloid differentiation markers CD11B and CD86 [73] but did not induce noticeable caspase 3/7 activation, which implies that the cytotoxic effect of the LSD1 inhibitors is due to the impairment of cell division [73]. GSK2879552 inhibited cell proliferation with an average IC₅₀ = 137 nM in 20 cell lines [73] via G0/G1 arrest [59]. Phase I of the GSK2879552 study in AML patients was discontinued because of the high risk of relapse (NCT02177812). The irreversible inhibitor IMG-7289 selectively suppressed proliferation and induced apoptosis of JAK2V617F cells (a mutation in Janus kinase 2 that triggers a constitutive activation of the JAK–STAT pathway [74]) due to simultaneous increase in the expression and methylation of p53 and, independently, of the proapoptotic protein PUMA, as well as by reducing the antiapoptotic BCL-xL [75]. IMG-7289 is currently being tested in multiple phase I/II trials for different blood malignancies (NCT03136185, NCT04254978, NCT04262141, NCT03136185, NCT04081220).

In addition to TCP derivatives, studies are underway to identify reversible LSD1 inhibitors. SP-2509 is a highly selective LSD1 inhibitor that binds to the FAD pocket [76]. SP-2509 increased the levels of dimethylated and trimethylated H3K4 associated with the promoters of genes targeted by LSD1, as well as the levels of p53, p21, and C/EBPα in AML cells [76]. Furthermore, NCD25 and NCD38, the low-molecular-weight LSD1 inhibitors, were potent against AML and CML cell lines at nanomolar concentrations [61]. NCD38 induces transdifferentiation from the erythroid to the granulomonocytic lineage with derepression of ~500 SEs in HEL cells [62]. NCD38 selectively disrupts the interaction between LSD1 and GFI1B, which increases the level of H3K27ac on specific SEs [62]. This activates the genes associated with myeloid differentiation such as GFII, CEBPA, CEBPE, CLEC4A, and MPO [61], induces ERG (Ets-related gene), and increases the expression of C/EBPα, PU.1, and RUNX1 [62]. Epiberberine is a natural product [77] that reversibly inhibits LSD1 [70]. Epiberberine suppresses the proliferation of leukemia cells by increasing H3K4me2 and H3K9me2, especially in the CD11D and CD14 gene regions (markers of myeloid differentiation) [70].

2.4. Histone Methyltransferases

2.4.1. MLL

The enzyme whose name derives from mixed-lineage leukemia (MLL, also known as MLL1, KMT2A, etc.) is one of six histone methyltransferases (HMTs) of the mixed-origin leukemia family [78–80]. MLL catalyzes mono-, di-, and trimethylation of H3K4 (Table 1) via the evolutionarily conserved SET domain. Both MLL and H3K4me associated to gene promoters close to transcription initiation sites. There are ~50 genes specifically regulated by MLL, including HOXA9, MYC, and BCL2. Dysregulation of MLL1 accounts for 5–10% of AML cases in adults and almost 70% of childhood ALL [78,79].

MLL has an extremely low HMT activity by itself. This property is sharply increased when MLL is assembled into complexes with WDR5, ASH2L, and RbBP5 [81]. All three proteins contribute to optimal activity of the MLL complex, although the mechanism is different. Depletion of any of these proteins leads to a sharp decrease in the overall activity
of the complex. Importantly, the interaction between MLL and WDR5 is critical for the integrity of the MLL complex and, hence, its methyltransferase activity [78]. The most common MLL-r are translocations that lead to formation of the oncogenic MLL fusion proteins. These aspects are analyzed in Section 4.3.

The inhibitor MM-401 selectively represses MLL activity by blocking the assembly of the MLL1–WDR5 complex, whereas other MLLs remain unaffected. MM-401 specifically blocks the proliferation of MLL–AF9, MLL–ENL, and MLL–AF1 cells, causing an arrest in G1/S, apoptosis, and myeloid differentiation without damage of the bone marrow or other normal cells [78].

2.4.2. G9a

The euchromatic histone lysine methyltransferase 2 (EHMT2, also known as G9A/KMT1C) and GLP (G9a-like protein, also known as EHMT1 or KMT1D) have 80% sequence identity in their catalytic domains, form homo- and heterodimers, and catalyze mono- and dimethylation of H3K9 (Table 1) [82,83]. G9a and GLP are also capable of methylating H3K27, lysine 373 in p53, and other regulators of gene expression [83,84]. G9a induces changes in cellular redox homeostasis, which leads to a decrease in the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [82] and can partially activate transcription by acting as a cofactor for the Mediator complex [85]. High levels of G9 expression are associated with adverse clinical outcomes including metastasis and treatment resistance [82,84]. Pharmacological and genetic suppression of G9a has been shown to effectively slow down the proliferation of AML cells and leukemia stem cells (LSCs) in a mouse model due to suppression of HOXA9-dependent transcription [84,85]. The G9a inhibitor BIX-01294 induced apoptosis in AML cell lines. However, the effect in LSC-like KG-1 cells was limited [82]. PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) limits the accumulation of ROS through phosphorylation and stabilization of NRF2 (nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2), increasing the synthesis of glutathione, and increasing the regulation of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) [86]. Inhibition of G9a led to activation of the PERK/NRF2 pathway and upregulation of HO-1 in KG-1 cells, while treatment with a PERK inhibitor enhanced caspase-independent apoptosis, indicating that PERK/NRF2 may be a marker of resistance to G9a inhibitors [82]. At the same time, there is no significant proapoptotic effect on normal HSCs when combining BIX-01294 with the PERK inhibitor [82]. The inhibitor DCG066, unlike BIX-01294, induced apoptosis due to retardation of K562 cells (CML) in the G2/M phase, although BIX-01294 and DCG066 form hydrophobic contacts with similar amino-acid residues in the peptide substrate pocket [84]. A-366 is a peptide molecule that selectively inhibits G9a/GLP and moderately inhibits the AML MV4-11 xenograft model [83].

2.4.3. EZH1/2

Enhancer of zeste homologs 1 and 2 (EZH1 and EZH2) are catalytic subunits of the Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) responsible for transcriptional repression via trimethylation of H3K27 (Table 1) [23,65,87]. EZH1 and EZH2 are antagonists of the BAF complex (see Section 2.5.2). PRC2, together with embryonic ectoderm development (EED) and suppressor of zeste 12 (SUZ12), regulates cell lineage determination and homeostasis [88], supporting the multipotency and self-renewal of HSCs [65]. Deletions and point mutations of EED, EZH2, and SUZ12 are found in 42% of early T-cell precursor (ETP) ALL cases, as well as in 12% of T-cell ALL cases in non-ETP malignancies [23]. Overexpression of EZH2 is associated with the development of hematopoietic malignancies [89]. EZH2 point mutations in tyrosine 641 (Y641F, Y641N, Y641S, and Y641H) have been identified in 8–24% of lymphomas [89], whereas mutations A677G and A687V have been identified in non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas [90,91]. All of these genetic alterations increased the enzyme activity and elevated the levels of H3K27me3.

3-Deazaneplanocin A (DZNep) inhibits S-adenosyl homocysteine hydrolase which disrupts methionine metabolism leading to inhibition of methyltransferases. Suppression of EZH2 by DZNep has been shown to inhibit the formation of leukemia colonies and reduce
DZNep has a very short half-life in the plasma; this compound induces nonspecific inhibition of histone methylation and is toxic in animal models [23]. Inhibitors EPZ005687, GSK126, and EI1 competitively bind to S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), a substrate for normal and mutant EZH2, and inhibit the enzyme > 50 times more selectively than EZH1. All three inhibitors showed activity against lymphoma cells with EZH2 mutation [89,93,94].

Tazemetostat (EPZ-6438) is more potent than EPZ005687 and has better pharmacokinetic properties, including oral bioavailability [95]. Tazemetostat is undergoing phase I/II clinical trials against B-cell lymphomas (NCT01897571) with favorable results; sustained responses were observed in 38% of patients with non-Hodgkin B-cell lymphoma [96].

Double EZH1/2 inhibition increased the efficacy against MLL leukemia compared to single-isoform inhibition. For example, UNC1999 [87] and SAH-EZH2 peptide (binds EED, resulting in dissociation of EZH1–EED and EZH2–EED complexes) showed activity against MLL leukemia [88]. SAH-EZH2 causes growth arrest and differentiation of MLL-AF9 cells with little effect on normal hematopoietic cells [88].

2.4.4. DOT1L

DOT1L is a methyltransferase that catalyzes mono-, di-, and trimethylation of H3K79 (Table 1) [65,97–99], which is necessary for G1/S transition [100]. Aberrant DOT1L recruitment is associated with an abnormally high H3K79me2 abundance on the promoters and bodies of MLL target genes in the MLL-r leukemia [65,97]. Inactivation of DOT1L significantly suppressed the HOXA9 and MEIS1 genes associated with MLL translocation and leukemogenesis, leading to decreased proliferation, increased differentiation, and apoptosis of MLL–AF9 cells, indicating its potential for AML therapy [37,65,97,99]. MLL fusions with proteins interacting with DOT1L (e.g., AF9, ENL, AF17, and AF10) incorrectly target DOT1L to the promoters of the HOXA genes, which leads to methylation of H3K79 and constitutive activation of these genes [22,98,101,102]. This makes DOT1L methyltransferase a propitious therapeutic target in leukemia.

EPZ4777 is a selective DOT1L inhibitor [103]. The high level of H3K79 methylation correlates with the increased expression of genes of the HOXA and MEIS1 cluster [60]. EPZ4777 specifically inhibits H3K79 methylation and mediates the suppression of these genes, which results in chromatin inaccessibility in the HOXA and MEIS1 gene regions [59]. Pinometostat (EPZ-5676), a low-molecular-weight inhibitor of DOT1L, caused an antiproliferative effect at submicromolar concentrations [71,99,104] and is currently being examined in phase II clinical trials for AML (NCT03724084). Pinometostat selectively inhibits methylation of H3K79, resulting in a decreased transcription of the MLL target genes HOXA9 and MEIS1 [105]. Pinometostat also decreases the expression of the KDM1A (LSD1 protein-coding gene) which may indicate a relationship between HOXA9 and LSD1 [37]. Resistance to pinometostat tested MLL cell lines emerged 3 weeks post treatment through various mechanisms, including activation of PI3K/AKT and RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK and overexpression of drug efflux transporter ABCB1 [99].

2.4.5. PRMT

Arginine methylation is catalyzed by arginine methyltransferases (PRMTs) classified into types I and II. All PRMTs catalyze the formation of a monomethylated intermediate, and type I PRMTs (PRMT1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8) additionally catalyze the production of asymmetrically dimethylated H4 while the type II PRMTs (PRMT5 and 9) generate dimethylated H4 [106]. PRMT1 and PRMT5 are extensively studied in relation to their action against leukemia [107]. PRMT1 promotes methylation of H3R4 which is associated with the active state of chromatin on the promoters critical for differentiation of hematopoietic cells [65]. PRMT1 is the most abundant arginine methyltransferase in mammalian cells that acts as a transcriptional coactivator and regulates numerous cellular processes including DNA damage and cell cycle [108]. PRMT1 is significantly increased in AML cells compared to
normal hematopoietic counterparts [109]. Inhibition of PRMT1 blocks MLL–GAS7- and MLL–EEN-driven leukemogenesis [110].

2.5. Other Chromatin Modulators

2.5.1. KAT2A

KAT2A is a histone acetyltransferase whose inhibition stimulates LSCs to differentiate via stabilization of gene expression programs [111]. An increased KAT2A expression has been found in children with AML [112]. KAT2A exhibits its acetyltransferase activity in the context of two macromolecular complexes, Spt-Ada-Gcn5-acetyltransferase (SAGA) and Ada-Two-A-Consing (ATAC) [111]. Both complexes contribute to the spread of leukemia and affect certain aspects of metabolism and proliferation (ATAC), as well as cell identity and survival (SAGA), together explaining the need for KAT2A in LSCs [113]. KAT2A inhibition induces differentiation and apoptosis in AML cells but not in normal progenitors [114], thereby serving as a promising avenue for drug development.

2.5.2. CREBBP/EP300

The lysine acetyltransferase paralogs CREBBP (CBP, KAT3A) and EP300 (KAT3B) are transcriptional coactivators that regulate many cellular processes [65]. SEs are enriched in CREBBP/EP300 compared to conventional enhancers, and EP300 recruits BRD4 in mouse leukemia cells [25]. CREBBP and EP300 can merge with monocytic leukemia zinc finger protein (MOZ) or MLL [65]. Inhibition of EP300/CREBBP bromodomains mediates antiproliferative responses in AML and CML cell lines by interfering with transcription of oncogenes such as MYC [115] and inducing G0/G1 arrest [25]. GATA1/MYC is a key component of the mechanism of action of the EP300/CREBBP bromodomain inhibitors in the K562 cell line [25].

2.5.3. BAF

The ATP-dependent BAF chromatin-remodeling complex (SWI/SNF complex in yeast, BAP in Drosophila melanogaster) is critical for the regulation of gene expression and differentiation [116,117]. As part of a large (~2 MDa) complex containing >15 subunits, BAF activates gene expression which is thought to result from its capacity to remodel and evict nucleosomes at gene promoters [118]. The evolutionarily conserved BAF complex contains one of two closely related ATPases, BRM or BRG1, and uses the energy of ATP hydrolysis to remodel the chromatin structure. It has been shown in vitro and in vivo that the enzymes of the complex can facilitate the binding of transcription activators and TATA box binders, as well as support the formation of preinitiation and elongation complexes associated with RNA Pol II [119]. BAF subunits, BRG1 and INI1, bind in vivo to RUNX1-regulated promoters (e.g., GMCSF, IL3, MCSF-R, MIP, and CDKN1A). These interactions are associated with chromatin remodeling during myeloid differentiation [119]. BAF mutations have been detected in 20% of all cancers [3]. In lymphoma, mutations in the BAF complex lead to a noticeable transcriptional heterogeneity within the tumor [3,118]. The complex is critical for the proliferation and viability of leukemic cells [8]. BAF is involved in the formation of ALL resistance to glucocorticoids [120]. Inhibition of the bromodomain containing BRD9, a subunit of the BAF complex, showed antileukemic activity in the AML model (MOLM-13 line) [121]. These data indicate the attractiveness of the BAF complex as a target for antileukemia therapy.

The research in the field of drugs targeted at epigenetic regulators is actively developing. Current clinical trials look promising for these approaches be incorporated into the routine therapy of blood malignancies (Table 2).
### Table 2. List of inhibitors of key chromatin regulators, their predicted mechanism of antitumor effects, and the stage of development.

| Function                  | Targets          | Inhibitors                | Possible Mechanism                                                                 | Clinical                          | Target Cells                              | Reference |
|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------|
| **Histone deacetylase**   |                  |                           |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
| HDAC                      | Vorinostat       | Phase II (NCT00097929)    | AML, ALL, MML, B-cell lymphoma                                                     |                                   |                                           | [24]      |
|                           | Panobinostat     | Phase II (NCT01083602)    |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
|                           | Belinostat       | Phase I (NCT01273155)     |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
|                           | Mocetinostat     | Phase II (NCT00324220)    |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           | [38]      |
|                           | Entinostat       | Preclinical               |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           | [24]      |
|                           | Romidepsin       | Phase II (NCT00106431, NCT00426764) |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           | [39]      |
|                           | Induction of TNF-α, NF-κB, and JAK/STAT pathway | Preclinical |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
|                           | Inhibition of Bcl-2 and Mcl-1 | Preclinical |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
| **Histone acetyltransferase** |                  |                           |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
| BET                       | JQ1              | Phase I (NCT01713582)     | AML, ALL, MML, B-cell lymphoma                                                     |                                   |                                           | [54]      |
|                           | OTX015           | Preclinical               |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           | [51]      |
|                           | I-BET151         | Preclinical               |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           | [56]      |
|                           | I-BET762         | Phase II (NCT01943851)    |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           | [50]      |
|                           | BI 894999        | Preclinical               |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
| **Histone demethylase**   |                  |                           |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
| LSD1                      | ORY-1001         | Phase I (EudraCTNumber:2013-002447-29) | AML                                                                               |                                   |                                           | [72]      |
|                           | GSK2879552       | Phase I (NCT02177812)    |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           | [73]      |
| H3K4, H3K9                | IMG-7289         | Phase I/II (NCT03136185, NCT04254978, NCT04262141, NCT03136185, NCT04081220) | Myelofibrosis, thrombocytemia |                                   |                                           | [75]      |
|                           | SP-2509          | activation of p53 and PUMA |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
|                           | NCD25            | Preclinical               |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
|                           | NCD38            | Preclinical               |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
|                           | Epiberberine     | Preclinical               |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
|                           | Induction of C/EBPα, PU.1 and RUNX1 | Preclinical |                                                                                   |                                   |                                           |           |
| Function | Targets | Inhibitors | Possible Mechanism | Clinical | Target Cells | Reference |
|----------|---------|------------|--------------------|----------|--------------|-----------|
| Histone methyltransferases |
| H3K4 | MLL1-complex | MM-401 | Inhibition of HOXA9 and/or MEIS1 | Preclinical | $\text{MLL-r AML}$ | [78] |
| H3K9 | G9A | BIX-01294 | Inhibition of HOXA9 | Preclinical | HOXA9-overexpressed AML | [82] |
| | | DCG066 | Preclinical | | | [84] |
| | | A-366 | Preclinical | | | [83] |
| H3K27 | EZH1/2 | DZNep | Preclinical | | $\text{MLL-r and other AML, B-cell lymphoma}$ | [92] |
| | | EPZ005687 | Preclinical | | | [93] |
| | | GSK126 | Preclinical | | | [89] |
| | | EI1 | Preclinical | | | [94] |
| | | Tazemetostat | Preclinical | | | [95] |
| | | UNC1999 | Preclinical | | | [84] |
| | | SAH-EZH2 | Preclinical | | | [88] |
| H3K79 | DOT1L | EPZ4777 | Inhibition of HOXA9 and/or MEIS1 | Preclinical | $\text{MLL-r AML}$ | [103] |
| | | Pinometostat | Phase II (NCT03724084) | | | [105] |
| H4R3 | PRMT1 | | | | $\text{MLL-r AML}$ | [110] |
| Histone acetyltransferase | KAT2A | | | | AML, CML | [114] |
| Lysine acetyltransferase | CREBBP/EP300 | | | | MYC-transcription | [115] |
| Chromatin remodeling | BAF (BRD9 subunit) | | | | AML | [121] |
3. Transcription-Associated Cyclin-Dependent Protein Kinases

Cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) represent a family of 20 serine/threonine kinases that control critical cellular processes. CDKs are divided into two groups: cell-cycle regulators (including CDK1, CDK2, CDK4, and CDK6) and transcription regulators (CDK7, CDK8/19, CDK9, CDK12, and CDK13). The first group controls the transition between cell-cycle phases, while the second group regulates gene transcription. Transcription-associated CDKs are recruited to chromatin as parts of much larger complexes. Upon binding to their cyclin partners, CDKs phosphorylate serine 2, 5, and 7 in the CTD of RNA Pol II, leading to transcription initiation and elongation [122,123]. Some CDKs regulate both processes, for example, CDK7 drives cell-cycle progression, in addition to its role in transcription [124]. Important roles of CDKs in proliferation and gene expression, as well as their deregulation in many cancers, have revealed potential therapeutic opportunities opened by targeting these kinases [122]. Numerous studies have implicated CDKs in tumorigenesis, particularly in myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs) [125–127]. AML is characterized by disruption in gene transcription and aberrant expression of transcriptional CDKs. Various novel CDK inhibitors have been developed for AML treatment [128]; some of them are analyzed below. It is worth noting that, although promising, low-molecular-weight inhibitors of transcription-associated CDKs have not yet entered the conventional treatment protocols [123].

3.1. CDK7 and CDK9

CDK7 and CDK9 are considered key regulators of the transcriptional machinery. In a simplified model, CDK7, a component of the TFIIH (transcription factor IIH) complex, phosphorylates Ser5 and Ser7 at CTD of RNA Pol II, leading to initiation of transcription. CDK9 is the catalytic subunit of the P-TEFb complex, and CDK9 phosphorylates Ser2 at CTD of RNA Pol II, enabling transcriptional elongation. However, functions of both CDKs are context-specific, and these kinases are, to some extent, complementary and interchangeable [122]. AML often harbors mutations in genes responsible for transcription, which make them susceptible to CDK7 inhibition [129,130]. In addition, deregulation of the CDK9 pathway has been linked to AML and other hematologic malignancies [131]. These findings suggest that targeting CDK7 and CDK9 in AML may be a viable therapeutic option.

Pan-CDK inhibitors alvocidib and seliciclib can be used to combat AML. Alvocidib (formerly flavopiridol) is a CDK1, 2, 4, 6, 7, and 9 inhibitor with a pronounced potency against CDK9. Seliciclib ((R)-roscovitine) is active against CDK1, 2, 5, 7, and 9. Primary effects of alvocidib and seliciclib include CDK9 inhibition, thereby suppressing SE transcriptional targets and activating apoptosis due to the loss of myeloid cell leukemia-1 (Mcl-1) protein. Mcl-1 is a member of the Bcl-2 family of proteins involved in the progression and survival of AML cells as well as chemotherapeutic drug resistance. A high MCL1 expression in tumor cells is mediated by SEs [132,133].

Dinaciclib is a potent inhibitor of CDKs 1, 2, 5, and 9 and with a higher selectivity and better safety profile than flavopiridol. Dinaciclib may be used to resolve antimtumor drug resistance in MLL-r AML. The effect of dinaciclib in MLL-driven AML is mediated in part by CDK9 inhibition and involves a decreased expression of the survival protein Mcl-1 [13]. Early CDK inhibitors including alvocidib and dinaciclib have been tested against a number of leukemias. A phase II clinical study of alvocidib is currently underway in patients with refractory/relapsed AML after venetoclax and azacytidine or decitabine combination therapy (NCT03969420). However, while these inhibitors have demonstrated a robust response in AML trials, their clinical utility is limited due to a low selectivity for CDK9 and other CDKs [134]. This led to the development of compound JSH-009 with enhanced selectivity toward CDK9 and favorable pharmacokinetic properties. JSH-009 downregulated MCL1 and MYC mRNAs and proteins and showed a potent antitumor efficacy in preclinical AML models [134].

Fadraciclib (CYC065) is a more selective and potent derivative of seliciclib directed against CDK9 and CDK2. Inhibition of CDK9 by fadraciclib attenuates transcription of
the MCL1 and BCL2 genes. Inhibition of CDK2 sensitizes AML cells to apoptosis [132]. Fadraciclib showed promising results in mouse xenografts of human AML and has recently reached two phase Ib clinical trials in relapsed/refractory AML/MDS and chronic lymphocytic leukemia in combination with Bcl-2 inhibitor venetoclax (NCT04017546).

LDC067 is another highly selective CDK9 inhibitor that abolishes phosphorylation at Ser2 of RNA Pol II and selectively inhibits transcription. Treatment with LDC067 led to apoptosis in several cancer cell lines and AML blasts. The loss of short-lived mRNAs, including MYC and MCL1, in LDC067 treated cells is thought to be the cause [122]. According to a study by Gerlach and colleagues, LDC067 synergizes with the BET bromodomain inhibitor, BI 894999, in AML cell lines, resulting in an enhanced tumoricidal effect in vitro and tumor regression in vivo. Nonetheless, the relatively low potency of LDC067 compared to alvocidib emphasizes the need for further optimization [14].

THZ1, a covalent CDK7 inhibitor [135] exhibited antitumor activity against hundreds of tumor cell lines. Moreover, THZ1 turned out to be nontoxic for normal cells. Although CDK7 has functions in transcription and cell cycle, the antitumor effect of CDK7 has been attributed to SE-associated transcriptional modulation [136]. THZ1 was efficient against T-ALL and peripheral T-cell lymphoma models (reviewed in [136,137]).

CT7001 is a novel CDK7 inhibitor. In preclinical models, CT7001 effectively impeded growth of many AML cell lines and led to tumor regression in MV-4-11 xenograft mice [138,139]. Currently, CT7001 is in two phase I/II trials to evaluate safety and tolerance (NCT03363893).

3.2. CDK8/19

CDK8 is a part of the kinase module that associates with the Mediator complex. CDK8 kinase module consists of four subunits: CDK8, MED12, MED13, and cyclin C. CDK8 or its paralog CDK19 regulates transcription by phosphorylating RNA Pol II and TFs (including STAT1, STAT3, STAT5 [140], SREBP’s [141], E2F1 [142], and probably others [143]). Numerous studies have identified CDK8 as a critical and unique mechanism of gene regulation in a variety of cancers [47,140,144]. In hematological malignancies, CDK8/19-mediated suppression of SE-associated genes contributes to tumorigenesis. Due to its important role in pluripotent stem-cell development, CDK8 knockout in embryonic stem cells impedes embryonic development. Nevertheless, CDK8 depletion has no effect on normal cell growth, and this fact makes it an extremely attractive drug target [123,126].

The natural antiangiogenic alkaloid Cortistatin A (CA) is extremely potent and relatively selective CDK8/19 inhibitor. Dual CDK8/19 inhibition by CA showed antiproliferative activity in MV-4-11 and SET-2 AML mice xenograft models [126]. In addition to preventing phosphorylation of RNA Pol II, CA inhibits CDK8-mediated Ser727 phosphorylation of STAT1, a mechanism via which CA impedes growth in multiple leukemic cell lines including MOLM-14 (MLL–AF9 fusion). However, the same study that reported MOLM-14 regression as a result of inhibition of STAT1 phosphorylation indicated that deregulation of SE-driven genes plays a role in MOLM-14 sensitivity to CA therapy [47]. In myeloproliferative neoplasms, CA upregulates SE-associated genes that mediate hematopoiesis, tumor growth inhibition, and differentiation of JAK2-mutant megakaryocytes [145,146]. Since treatment of JAK2-mutant MPN patients with ruxolitinib, a JAK1/2 inhibitor that inhibits STAT1 tyrosine phosphorylation, does not induce differentiation, a combination of CA and ruxolitinib has been proposed as a strategy to treat JAK2-mutant MPNs characterized by STAT1 hyperphosphorylation [147]. Along with CDK8/19, ROCK II kinase and CDK11 are also high-affinity CA targets [148].

Senexin A and Senexin B have been discovered in a high-throughput screening for low-molecular-weight inhibitors of p21-induced transcription [149]. Senexin A and its more potent and selective derivative, Senexin B, have been found to be highly selective CDK8/19 inhibitors. Little is known about the effects of Senexin A and Senexin B in AML. A study by Rzymski and colleagues reported that Senexin B potently suppressed phosphorylation
of STAT5 Ser726 and STAT1 Ser727 in AML cell lines KG-1, HL-60, MOLM-16, MV-4-11, OciAML-2, and MOLM-6 [140].

SEL120-34A is a substituted tricyclic benzimidazole that represents a novel, robust, and selective CDK8 inhibitor [140]. SEL120-34A has proven effective in AML cells expressing high levels of STAT5 and STAT1. SEL120-34A showed activity in murine AML xenografts (KG-1 and MV-4-11 derived tumors). SEL120 showed synergy in combination with cytarabine. When combined with venetoclax, SEL120 treatment caused apoptosis in AML cells and total regression in MV4-11 xenograft models [16]. Tumor cell death caused by SEL120-34A was mediated by MCL1 transcriptional silencing [125]. SEL120-34A is currently under investigation in a first-in-human phase Ib study in AML or high-risk MDS (NCT04021368) [147].

Beyond its kinase activity, CDK8 has been identified as a key mediator of BCR–ABL1-driven leukemia. CDK8 was found to be involved in the PI3K/mTOR signaling pathway, and its loss increased sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors. As a result, combined inhibition of CDK8 and mTOR in AML and ALL was proposed. YKL-06-101 is a dual CDK8 degrader and mTOR inhibitor that was generated by combining THZ4-55, an integrated mTOR/CDK8 inhibitor, with thalidomide, which acts as a degrader. This degradation of CDK8 is crucial for its action in order to eliminate kinase-independent activity of CDK8. In both BCR–ABL1-positive and BCR–ABL1-negative CML and ALL, treatment with YKL-06-10 caused growth arrest and cell death, while other members of the Mediator kinase module remained unchanged [150].

3.3. CDK12 and CDK13

CDK12 and CDK13 are closely related CDKs with approximately identical kinase domains but different N- and C-terminal domains. CDK12 and CDK13 exert their kinase activity by binding to cyclin K. CDK12 regulates gene transcription, genomic stability, and DNA damage response, and it is crucially important for embryonic development. CDK13 controls gene transcription as well. There is considerable evidence (obtained using genetic methods) for the roles of CDK12/13 in development of breast, ovarian, colorectal, and pancreatic cancer [151]. However, selective small-molecule inhibitors of CDK12/13 are in a short supply [122]. Fan and colleagues developed an ‘analogue-sensitive’ MV-4-11 AML model and demonstrated that dual inhibition of CDK12 and CDK13 induces cell death [152]. THZ1, a covalent CDK7 inhibitor (see above), suppresses CDK12/13 activity at high concentrations and was used as a lead compound for the synthesis of THZ331, a more selective CDK12/13 inhibitor [153]. Treatment of T-cell ALL with THZ331 decreased CTD RNA Pol II phosphorylation and induced apoptosis. THZ331 also downregulated the expression of DNA damage-related, SE-driven genes in AML and CML cells [153]. One problem of the use of THZ compounds is the fast onset of the ABC transporter-mediated drug resistance. To address this issue, a novel covalent inhibitor E9, which functions independently of ABC transport, has been synthesized [154].

Not only transcriptional CDKs can be regarded as therapeutic targets. Although chemical inhibitors have not yet been developed, there are genetic indications that other members of coactivator complexes can be successfully targeted to treat AML. For example, Xu and colleagues demonstrated that TAF12, a member of the TFIID complex, which also binds to and stabilizes the proto-oncogenic TF MYB, is critical for AML suppression [155].

Transcriptional addiction is a hallmark of AML that could be exploited therapeutically, making transcriptional CDKs plausible targets. Despite the notion that targeting transcription is considered somewhat a risky approach due to non-selectivity toward tumor cells, these highly proliferative cells are more vulnerable to transcriptional inhibitors. Several small-molecule inhibitors of CDKs have already reached clinical studies for blood malignancies, while others are still in preclinical stages (Table 3). Currently, much effort is underway to improve the selectivity and pharmacokinetic properties of CDK inhibitors, and recent advancements are promising.
### Table 3. List of transcriptional CDK inhibitors: predicted mechanism of antitumor efficacy and the stage of development.

| Targets | Inhibitors  | Possible Mechanism | Clinical                  | Target Cells                  | Reference |
|---------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| CDK7/9  | Alvocidib   | Inhibition of MCL1  | Phase II (NCT03969420) Preclinical | Relapsed/refractory AML       | [132,133] |
|         | Seliciclib  | Downregulation of SE-driven oncogene transcription | Preclinical | T-cell lymphoma, T-ALL AML | [136,137] |
|         | THZ1        | CT7001             | Phase Ib (NCT03484520, NCT01650727) Preclinical | Relapsed/refractory AML, relapsed/refractory CLL | [138,139] |
| Dinaciclib |            | Inhibition of Mcl-1 |                           |                               |           |
| JSH-009 | Inhibition of MCL1 and MYC genes and encoded proteins expression | Preclinical | AML | [134] |
| Fadraciclib | Inhibition of MCL1 and BCL2 | Phase I (NCT04017546) Preclinical | Relapsed/Refractory AML or MDS AML | [132] |
| LDC067 | Inhibition of MYC and MCL1 | Preclinical | AML | [122] |
| CDK8/19 | Cortistatin A | Inhibition of pSTAT1 S727, deregulation of SE-driven genes | Preclinical | AML and MLL | [47,145,146] |
|         | Senexin A/B | Inhibition of pSTAT1 S727 and pSTAT5 S726 | Preclinical | AML | [149] |
|         | SEL120-34A | Inhibition of MCL1 | Phase Ib (NCT04021368) | AML, High risk MDS | [140] |
| CDK12/13 | THZ531 | Downregulation DNA damage-related and SE-driven genes | Preclinical | Jurkat T-cell ALL | [153] |

### 4. Fusion Proteins as Transcriptional Modulators

Chromosomal rearrangements, mainly translocations, and the corresponding gene fusions may lead to tumor initiation or progression. Fusion proteins were the first TFs identified as cancer drivers. Fusion oncogenes are crucial in the diagnosis and treatment of various subtypes of leukemia [6]. Since transcriptionally competent fusion proteins drive the disease, they can be considered as targets for drug discovery. The most common balanced rearrangements (chromosomal aberrations with no loss or gain of genetic material) in AML are t(15;17)(q22;q21) PML–RARA, t(8;21)(q22;q22) RUNX1–RUNX1T1, t(11;v)(q23;v) MLL-r, and inv(16)(p13q22) core-binding factor β (CBFβ)–smooth muscle myosin heavy chain (SMMHC, also known as myosin 11) (CBFB–MYH11). The oncogenic fusion receptor tyrosine kinase BCR–ABL1 generated upon t(9;22)(q34;q11) deserves to be mentioned among other fusion proteins because it upregulates several important signaling pathways. Inactivation of the enzymatic activity in the BCR–ABL1 fusion protein with the small molecule imatinib (Gleevec®) cures or leads to remission in BCR–ABL1-positive malignancies [156]. However, targeting fusion oncoproteins that work as TFs has been more difficult. Here, we review numerous efforts to develop and explore pharmacologic inhibitors targeting oncogenic fusion transcription regulators and/or relevant TFs.

#### 4.1. PML–RARα

PML–RARα is a transcription factor which underlies the pathogenesis of acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL). With the discovery of ATRA that, in pharmacological doses, selectively binds to the mutant TF to induce leukemia cell differentiation [157], APL has evolved from being the most malignant form of acute leukemia to a disease with excellent long-term survival rates. Development of non-chemotherapeutic drugs for acute leukemia has numerous advantages including relatively modest side-effects.

A balanced translocation t(15;17)(q24;q21) fuses the promyelocytic leukemia PML gene on chromosome 15 to the retinoic acid receptor alpha RARA gene on chromosome 17, and the resulting PML–RARα fusion protein is the master driver of APL [158]. PML has constitutive or transient interactions with more than 170 proteins with which it can be organized in subnuclear structures termed nuclear bodies (PML NBs) that regulate
apoptosis, self-renewal of stem cells, senescence, and metabolism. The RARα protein is a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily that serves as a nuclear TF when activated by its cognate retinoid ligands. RARα acts as a differentiating agent of normal myeloid hematopoietic cells. Its activity is dependent on the presence of the ligand [159,160].

PML–RARα is a diagnostic hallmark of APL, the unique subtype of leukemias, which accounts for 10–15% of AML. PML–RARα behaves as an altered TF repressing RARα targets and antagonizes the formation and function of PML NBs [159]. The chimeric TF undergoes aberrant dimerization and assembly with corepressors to dysregulate normal RARα function, leading to suppression of different genes. This results in the arrest of granulocyte differentiation and malignant transformation of hematopoietic cells, given that cells are insensitive to physiological amounts of retinoid [160]. Treatment with high doses of ATRA, a ligand of RARα, in combination with chemotherapy could help to overcome the differentiation block leading to terminal differentiation of tumor cells and complete recovery in >90% cases [161]. At pharmacological doses, ATRA switches PML–RARα from a transcriptional repressor into an activator by inducing the release of corepressors and recruitment of coactivators [160,162]. ATRA interacts with the RARα portion of the fusion protein, thereby changing its configuration; this event induces degradation via the ubiquitin/proteasome system (UPS) [163]. Therefore, ATRA triggers rapid differentiation of leukemic cells into granulocytes, which correlates with remission in APL patients. However, with single-agent ATRA therapy, recoveries are usually transient, suggesting that differentiation alone cannot abolish self-renewal of tumor cells. Thus, ATRA combined with chemotherapy is a standard for treatment of high-risk patients.

Another potent anti-APL agent suitable both for relapsed patients, as well as for primary APL therapy, is arsenic trioxide (ATO) [164]. ATO is considerably more efficient than ATRA as a single agent and leads to degradation of the fusion protein by binding to the PML portion following SUMOylation. PML SUMOylation recruits RING finger protein 4 (RNF4) to PML NBs. RNF4 is a SUMO-dependent ubiquitin ligase that polyubiquitylates the PML moiety and targets the fused protein to the proteasome [164]. Various strategies of using ATO in APL treatment have been developed [165]. The initial results of the APL0406 trial (NCT00482833) showed that the combination of ATRA and ATO is at least not inferior to standard ATRA+chemotherapy in first-line therapy of low- or intermediate-risk APL [166]. Moreover, recent studies (APL2012 trial, NCT01987297) have demonstrated that the ATRA–ATO combination in both chemotherapy-replacing and -reducing settings in consolidation is effective as a traditional ATRA–chemo combination [17].

4.2. RUNX1–RUNX1T1

RUNX1–RUNX1T1 (also known as AML1–ETO) is one of the most common chromosomal alterations found in AML. Perturbation of RUNX1–RUNX1T1 levels and its DNA binding affects chromatin accessibility and TF occupation at multiple gene loci associated with changes in gene expression levels [167]. RUNX1–RUNX1T1-mediated transcription program is a complex regulatory network promoting leukemic self-renewal and propagation. The RUNX1–RUNX1T1 fusion has been detected in up to 5% of AML cases [168,169]. AML cells carrying t(8;21) have a morphologically distinct phenotype. This imparts a favorable prognosis in adults but a poor prognosis in children [170].

The RUNX1 (also known as AML1 or CBFA2) gene on chromosome 21 and the RUNX1T1 (ETO or MTG8) gene on chromosome 8 join together in the course of t(8;21)(q22;q22) translocation. The resultant RUNX1–RUNX1T1 fusion protein is a leukemia-initiating TF that interferes with the hematopoietic master regulator RUNX1 function. RUNX1 is a key TF that, together with its heterodimerization partner, the core-binding factor beta (CBFβ), interacts with other TFs and transcriptional coregulators (the abovementioned PU.1, CEBPα, mSin3a, GATA1, etc.) to regulate genes involved in hematopoiesis [171]. These interactions are crucial for hematopoietic differentiation and myeloid development, and RUNX1 expression is transient and limited to erythropoiesis. The RUNX1–RUNX1T1 rearrangement leads to recruitment of RUNX1T1, whose level is low in normal hematopoietic cells but en-
hances due to t(8;21). RUNX1T1 recruits transcriptional repressors including N-Cor/SMRT, mSin3A, and HDAC that inhibit transcription of genes involved in hematopoiesis [169]. Essential for the oncogenic potential of RUNX1–RUNX1T1 is oligomerization of the chimeric fusion protein through the nervy homology region 2 (NHR2) within RUNX1T1 [172]. The resulting fusion product is a TF that regulates genes involved in stem and progenitor cell proliferation, differentiation, and function, ultimately blocking differentiation and AML development [173].

Although TFs are difficult to target, several promising attempts of RUNX–RUNX1T1 inhibition have been made. Current approaches to directly target RUNX1–RUNX1T1 in vitro include the use of small interfering (si) RNAs targeting the fusion site of chimeric mRNA or suppression of oligomerization by polypeptides or low-molecular-weight compounds [172,174–177]. Both methods work in AML cell cultures, achieving the loss of leukemia cell self-renewal and overcoming the block of myeloid differentiation. However, these approaches are difficult to apply in therapy due to poor pharmacokinetic properties and a complicated delivery. Nevertheless, application of targeting siRNA into anticancer therapy is intensively being investigated. Therapeutic targeting of AML fusion transcripts may be considered as a propitious approach of fusion inhibition.

Spirin and coworkers demonstrated the silencing of the RUNX1–RUNX1T1 gene after short hairpin (sh) RNA coding vector transduction dramatically reduces the growth rate and leads to proapoptotic signaling in AML Kasumi-1 cells [178]. Furthermore, the authors performed transcriptional profiling of cells resistant to RUNX1–RUNX1T1 suppression and discovered upregulation of proliferative and prosurvival pathways in resistant cells. Thus, consistent evidence suggests that inhibition of RUNX1–RUNX1T1 (protein or gene) alone may be insufficient. Johnson and colleagues established the downregulation of the RUNX1–RUNX1T1 oncogene expression in t(8;21) AML by microRNA let-7b targeting 3′-untranslated regions (UTRs) of fusion transcripts [179]. Remarkably, the chimeric gene RUNX1–RUNX1T1 uses 3′UTRs of the RUNX1T1 gene, which is not normally expressed in hematopoietic cells. The authors concluded that the mechanisms regulating RUNX1–RUNX1T1 expression via 3′UTRs are therapeutically relevant.

Approaches to suppress RUNX1–RUNX1T1 include inhibition of interaction partners of the fusion protein. These interactions are critical for its subcellular localization and function. The development of inhibitors that prevent the formation of the RUNX1/CBFβ complex (CBFβ is a non-DNA-binding subunit that increases the affinity of the fusion protein for DNA and is critical for RUNX1–RUNX1T1 activity [180]) inspires confidence that this approach could be efficient [181]. The HDACs are an interaction partner of RUNX1–RUNX1T1 and could also be a target [108,182]. Indeed, HDAC inhibitors block leukemogenesis in RUNX1–RUNX1T1 cells [183]. Duque-Afonso and colleagues demonstrated that the HDAC 1 inhibitor entinostat relieves epigenetic silencing of genes mediated by RUNX1–RUNX1T1. In combination with decitabine, a DNA demethylating agent, entinostat decreases the viability and proliferation of AML cells that carry t(8;21) [184].

4.3. MLL

The MLL (MLL1 renamed as lysine-specific methyltransferase 2A or KMT2A) gene on chromosome 11q23 is frequently disrupted by chromosomal rearrangements that occur in the unique group of acute leukemias. MLL-r including the translocations involving 11q23 with >30 sites resulting in MLL fusion genes have been described in ALL and in 5–10% of AML [185]. The MLL gene is affected by chromosomal translocations that fuse it in-frame to one of over 70 gene partners. The fused MLL acts as a TF and aberrantly regulates gene transcription while retaining H3K79 methyltransferase activity [186–188]. The best characterized function of MLL fusion proteins is maintenance of expression of HOX clusters [189], whose dysregulation leads to leukemic transformation [190,191]. Two regions in the MLL fusion are essential for its ability to induce leukemogenesis [186]. One is an N-terminal motif that binds with the transcription coactivators Menin [192,193] and PAFIC [194]. These interactions have been shown to recruit MLL fusion proteins to their
target genes. The second region is the CXXC domain that binds specifically to nonmethylated CpG motifs in the genome [195]. The most common MLL fusion partners AF4, AF9, and ENL (nuclear proteins that act as transcription activators) include transcriptional activation domains important for tumorigenesis [196]. Thus, MLL-r forms the chimeric fusion oncoprotein (MLL and the partner) that regulates the expression of a set of critically important genes. Therefore, disrupting protein–protein interactions sounds intriguing for treatment of MLL-positive leukemias.

Menin (encoded by the MEN1 gene) is an essential oncogenic cofactor for MLL oncoproteins in leukemogenesis. Association of Menin with MLL upregulates HOXA9 or MEIS1 that are critical for enhanced self-renewal in AML [193]. Moreover, Menin–MLL interaction in the context of the mutated nucleophosmin 1 (NPM1) gene is important for gene expression in AML [197].

The group of Grembecka and Cierpicki have developed and optimized a novel class of inhibitors of Menin–MLL fusion protein interaction [79,198–202]. Compounds MI-503, MI-538, MI-3454 effectively suppressed the growth of leukemic cells with MLL-r in vitro and in vivo and did not affect the growth of leukemia without MLL mutation and normal hematopoiesis. Inhibition of the complex resulted in suppression of gene expression programs mediated by MLL fusion, such as the expression of HOXA9 and MEIS1 genes. A structurally close analogue of MI-3454 with similar antileukemic activity, KO-539, and another drug that aims to disrupt the Menin–MLL interactions, SNDX-5613, have been tested in clinical trials (NCT04067336, NCT04065399) [203]. Moreover, co-inhibition of HDAC and the Menin–MLL interaction displayed a highly synergistic antitumor activity in vitro and in vivo [18]. This finding provides a preclinical basis for further investigation of targeted strategy combining HDAC and Menin–MLL antagonists.

### 4.4. CBFβ–MYH11

In 1993, Liu and colleagues identified that the recurrent balanced chromosomal rearrangement inv(16)(p13q22) and its variant t(16;16)(p13;q22) in AML lead to fusion of genes coding for the transcriptional coactivator core-binding factor β CBFβ and smooth muscle myosin heavy chain MYH11, resulting in the formation of CBFβ–MYH11 chimera [204]. The fusion protein CBFβ–MYH11 acts as a dominant repressor of CBFβ function, interacting with RUNX1 [205,206]. Thus, the CBFβ–MYH11 fusion plays an essential role in deregulation of genes involved in maintenance of a stem-cell phenotype and normal hematopoiesis [203].

Castailla and colleagues have demonstrated that, in a knock-in mouse model, the CBFβ–MYH11 fusion protein blocks a definitive hematopoiesis repressing the RUNX1/CBFβ function. A similar phenotype is observed in mice with the complete knockout of runx1−/− or cbfb−/− [207–209]. The subsequent studies of this group demonstrated that formation of the CBFβ–MYH11 fusion is necessary but insufficient for leukemogenesis. Cooperation with additional genetic alterations (e.g., mutations in the KIT, FLT3, NRAS, and KRAS genes) is required for acute leukemia development [210–213].

The first anti-CBFβ–MYH11 inhibitor, AI-10-49, was reported in 2015 [214]. Its mechanism of action involves disruption of the protein–protein interaction between the fusion protein and RUNX1 via binding to the CBFβ portion of the chimera. Upon inhibition of CBFβ–MYH11/RUNX1 interaction by AI-10-49, RUNX1 represses the MYC expression by replacing the BAF complex component BRG1 with the Polycomb repressive complex component RING1B, thereby leading to apoptosis [215]. Combinations of AI-10-49 with JQ1 (see Section 2.2) revealed a strong synergy in inv(16) AML cells and a significant delay in leukemia development in mice. This compound is under development as an antileukemic drug and has been licensed by Systems Oncology, LLC for clinical development [203].

Richter and colleagues demonstrated that HDAC1 is a cofactor of CBFβ–MYH11 that regulates its activity. The HDAC1 inhibitor entinostat blocks the growth of CBFβ–MYH11-positive leukemia cells and promotes their differentiation, indicating that HDAC inhibitors may be useful for treatment of inv(16) AML [216].
The formation of CBFβ–MYH11 fusion occurs persistently in AML and is a highly specific hallmark of the disease. Recent studies indicate that the CBFβ–MYH11 fusion neoantigen is present on AML blasts, thereby enabling T-cell recognition and killing of tumor cells [217].

4.5. BCR–ABL1

BCR–ABL1 is a constitutively active tyrosine kinase formed as a result of chromosomal rearrangement t(9;22)(q34;q11). The formation of the BCR–ABL1 chimera is a critical event in CML pathogenesis. The rearrangement t(9;22)(q34;q11) results in the formation of an aberrant Philadelphia (Ph) chromosome. Molecular characterization revealed the occurrence of a new chimeric oncogene that consists of fused BCR and ABL1 genes; the 5′ part of the BCR gene at 22q11 and the 3′ part of the ABL1 tyrosine-kinase-encoding gene at 9q34 are joined together, leading to the creation of a hybrid BCR–ABL1 oncoprotein with increased tyrosine kinase activity (reviewed in [218]). This is a classical example of a translocation common for all types of CML, some types of ALL, and AML. BCR–ABL1 is an almost perfect chemotherapeutic target. Approval of the selective inhibitor of BCR–ABL1 kinase activity, imatinib mesylate (Gleevec, Glivec, STI571), has commemorated the beginning of a new era of anticancer targeted medicine in the treatment of BCR–ABL1-positive leukemias [156]. However, the recognition that some patients experience relapse due to resistance-conferring point mutations within BCR–ABL1 led to the development of second- and third-generation inhibitors [219]. Another approach in treatment of standard therapy-resistant Ph-positive leukemia is targeting signaling pathways governed by BCR–ABL1 fusion [220]. Asciminib, an allosteric inhibitor designed to specifically target the ABL myristoyl pocket (STAMP), demonstrated efficacy in situations of failure of other tyrosine kinase blockers, as well as in patients with compromised renal or liver functions [221–224]. Thus, asciminib can potentially become an efficient substitute for early generations of BCR–ABL1 antagonists.

BCR–ABL1 activates a variety of pathways such as MAPK, JAK/STAT5, and PI3K/AKT. Autophosphorylation of BCR–ABL1 at Tyr177 promotes the formation of a GRB2 (growth factor receptor-bound 2) complex with GAB2 (GRB2-associated binder) and son-of-sevenless (SOS), triggering activation of RAS GTPase and recruitment of PI3K and the SH2-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP2 [225–227]. Signaling downstream from RAS activates a MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) pathway that supports cell proliferation. PI3K activates the serine/threonine kinase Akt which promotes survival by suppressing the activity of forkhead O (FOXO) TF [228], as well as enhances cell proliferation via activation of a proteasomal degradation of p27 through upregulation of Skp2, the protein of the SCF^Skp2^ E3 ubiquitin ligase [229], and activation of mTOR [230]. The signal transducer and activator of transcription STAT5 is activated through direct phosphorylation by BCR–ABL1 or indirectly through phosphorylation by JAK2 or Hck [231,232]. STAT5, but not JAK2, is extremely important for the maintenance of BCR–ABL1-positive leukemia [233]. Altogether, these signaling pathways modulate gene transcription.

STAT5 has a pivotal role in the resistance of leukemic cells to treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors and promotes survival of LSCs. Thus, blocking STAT5-mediated transcriptional activity is an important potentially druggable target [234]. In addition to phosphorylation on tyrosine by BCR–ABL1, the transcriptional activity of STAT5 requires dimerization via SH2 domains. Page and colleagues demonstrated that inhibition of STAT5 by selective compounds BP-1-075 and BP-1-108 targeting the SH2 domains has a potent antileukemic effect. The lead agent BP-1-108 showed negligible cytotoxicity in the bone marrow cells not expressing activated STAT5 [235]. Another approach to STAT5 inhibition involves interference with its nuclear translocation. Pimozide has been identified as a potential STAT5 inhibitor in BCR–ABL1-positive cells and in an AML mouse model [236]; however, this drug is efficacious at high concentrations and is not potent enough to be considered for clinical application [234].
Unfortunately, fused TFs in AML remain a difficult target for development of drug candidates. The abovementioned approaches including inhibition of protein–protein interactions are partially successful preclinically but less often clinically (Table 4). One of the most promising approaches that recently emerged for hematologic malignancies is PROTACs (proteolysis-targeting chimeras) [237]. PROTACs are artificial heterobifunctional small molecules that utilize the ubiquitin proteasome system to degrade the proteins of interest. The first part of the PROTAC is designed to selectively bind targeted protein, while the second part recruits E3 ubiquitin ligase. Because of their ability to target mutant or undruggable proteins including fusion proteins, PROTACs can be therapeutically advantageous compared to enzymatic inhibitors. The efficacy of this technology has been reported for inhibition of numerous oncoproteins in a variety of tumors including blood malignancies (reviewed in [237]). The safety, selectivity, and therapeutic efficacy of PROTACs in hematologic malignancies emerge as hot problems.
| Function | Target | Inhibition Approach | Possible Mechanism | Clinical Trial Status | Target Cells | References |
|----------|--------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|
| Transcription factor | | | | | | |
| PML–RARα | RARα moiety | ATRA | Switching cofactors and induction of degradation via the UPS | Phase IV (NCT01987297) ATRA | APL | [17] |
| | PML moiety | ATO | SUMOylation-mediated activation of UPS for fusion protein degradation | Phase IV (NCT01987297) ATO + chemotheraphy/ATO | APL | [17] |
| RUNX1–RUNX1T1 | Fusion mRNA | RNA interference | siRNA-mediated targeting of chimeric mRNA fusion site and subsequent silencing of fusion gene | Preclinical | AML cell lines Kasumi-1 and SKNO-1 | [174] |
| | Fusion mRNA | RNA interference | shRNA-mediated targeting of chimeric mRNA fusion site and subsequent reduction of fusion gene expression | Preclinical | Kasumi-1 | [178] |
| | Fusion mRNA | RNA interference | Post-transcriptional regulation via microRNA let-7b targeting the 3' UTR fusion transcript | Preclinical | t(8,21) AML patients samples, Kasumi-1 and SKNO-1 | [179] |
| | Fusion protein | Introduction of a recombinant fusion polypeptide | Peptide-mediated suppression of oligomerization | Preclinical | Kasumi-1 | [177] |
| | Fusion protein | Small-molecule inhibitor | Inhibition of tetramerization by blocking hot spots of oligomerization | Preclinical | SKNO-1 | [175] |
| | Fusion protein | Small-molecule inhibitor | Relief of epigenetic silencing of genes mediated by oncogenesis | Preclinical | Kasumi-1 and SKNO-1 | [184] |
| | Interaction partner HDAC | Small-molecule inhibitor | Relief of epigenetic silencing of genes mediated by oncogenesis | Preclinical | Kasumi-1 and SKNO-1 | [184] |
| MLL fusion oncoprotein | Interaction partner Menin | Small-molecule inhibitor (Menin–MLL inhibitor, MI) | Blockage of MLL–Menin interaction | Preclinical | MLL-r leukemia in vitro and in vivo | [79,196-202] |
| | Interaction partner Menin | Small-molecule inhibitor VTP0469 | Displacement of Menin from protein complexes | Preclinical | MLL-r leukemia in vitro and in vivo | [80] |
| | Interaction partner Menin | KO-539 | Blockage of MLL–Menin interaction | Phase II (NCT04067336) | MLL-r leukemia | [202] |
| | Interaction partner Menin | SNDX-5613 | | Phase I/II (NCT04065399) | MLL-r leukemia | [203] |
| CBFβ–MYH11 | Interaction partner RUNX1 | Small-molecule inhibitor A1-10-49 | Binding to the CBFβ portion of the oncogene and inhibiting the interaction with RUNX1 | Preclinical | inv(16) AML patient samples, ME-1 | [214] |
| | Interaction partner HDAC | Small-molecule inhibitor (Menin–MLL inhibitor, MI) | Removal of epigenetic silencing of genes mediated by oncogenesis | Preclinical | inv(16) AML in vitro and in vivo | [216] |
| BCR–ABL1 | STAT5 | Small-molecule inhibitors BP-1-075, BP-1-108 | Suppression of oligomerization via SH2-domain binding | Preclinical | K562, MV-4-11 | [235] |
| | | Small-molecule inhibitor Pimozide | Decrease in STAT5 tyrosine phosphorylation and STAT5 target gene expression | Preclinical | K562, MV-4-11, K562, KU812 | [236] |
5. Drug Resistance and Transcriptional Modulators in Leukemia: Focus on Stemness

As a result of the successful development of different cytotoxic and target drugs, the mean 5-year survival in leukemia surpassed 80% in recent decades [238]. Nevertheless, there are certain cohorts with poor prognosis; patients > 60 years with AML demonstrate only 40–60% of remission with overall 24% 5-year survival [239], while ALL is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths among children [240]. Therapy failure is often associated with drug resistance; in addition to 35–45% of resistant tumors among newly diagnosed cases, relapsed tumors almost never respond to the previously used therapy [241]. Sometimes the genetic basis of this resistance cannot be found (reviewed in [4]); hematological malignancies (with the high rate of pediatric cases), especially AML, carry relatively low mutation burden. In AML, about 40% of resistant tumors show no signs of nonsynonymous coding mutations responsible for resistance [241].

Two main scenarios of nongenetic resistance emergence have been proposed [3]. The first scenario implies transcriptional plasticity [68,242]. This means that alterations in transcription of certain genes are mechanistically attributable to this type of resistance; importantly, this unfavorable phenotype can be prevented by drugs reducing transcriptional plasticity or overcome by epigenetic modulators [68,242–244].

Guo and colleagues analyzed the role of the PVT1 enhancers in AML resistance to BRD4 inhibitors. PVT1 is a noncoding RNA locus that normally acts as a tumor-suppressive chromatin boundary element, but it contains intergenic enhancers capable of driving MYC expression. PVT1 enhancer-driven MYC expression was shown in murine and human cells resistant to BRD4 inactivation. Administration of the CDK7 inhibitor in combination with the BRD4 inhibitor interrupted RNA Pol II loading at the PVT1–MYC transcription complex, suppressing the growth of resistant cells [242]. Knoechel and colleagues, investigating therapeutic effects of γ-secretase inhibitors of NOTCH1 activation against T-ALL, noticed that resistance to these inhibitors was related to epigenetic modifications. In their study, BRD4 was found to occupy MYC and BCL2 enhancers of resistant cells; BRD4 inhibitors successfully alleviated this resistant phenotype [243].

Another possible scenario is attributed to a small population of stem-like tumor cells with distinct transcriptional patterns, which are present at the diagnosis and are not eradicated by conventional therapy [2,68,243–246]. Stem-like states and epigenetic activation of driver oncogenes such as MYC are largely dependent on SE genes that are prone to an epigenetic switch because of their ‘all-or-nothing’ expression profile. Activation of lineage-specific genes can also help to overcome the resistance in the stem-like cells.

Shlush and colleagues proposed two similar non-genetic situations: a relapse from very few cells with hematopoietic stem phenotype and a relapse from a larger number of committed cells but with strong stem-like transcription patterns [245]. Resistance to BET inhibitors was associated with a stem-like Gr11−/CD11b1− phenotype. In the absence of the promoter-bound BRD4 (after its pharmacological inhibition), the transcription of key genes was governed by β-catenin. Genetic inactivation and pharmacologic inhibition of Wnt/β-catenin promoted a Gr11+/CD11b1+ differentiated phenotype and restored sensitivity to I-BET [2]. Bell and colleagues modeled the whole process by establishing a cell line resistant to BET inhibitors; a nongenetic mechanism of this resistance was demonstrated. Lastly, inhibition of LSD1 leads to the formation of new enhancers densely occupied by BRD4 and leukemic stem-cell differentiation, which, despite not killing the cells, re-sensitized them to the BET inhibitor [68]. These examples bear evidence that transcription targeting drugs can successfully fill the niche at the second defense line against refractory/relapsed malignancies.

6. Conclusions

Along with the disclosure of specific mechanisms of gene regulation in tumor cells, as well as with the development of chemical and genetic tools to target these mechanisms, gene transcription became an important area of experimental and clinical investigations. In this respect, hematological malignancies represent a leading disorder where targeting tran-
cription, as a monotherapy and/or in combinations with conventional chemotherapeutic and targeted drugs, emerges as a novel promising approach.

Of special interest are the attempts to combat blood cell plasticity (interpretable as a basis for tumor cell escape, emergence of drug resistance, and disease progression) via interference with transcriptional reprogramming. This unique mechanism, being commonly nonfunctional in the adult organism, becomes critical when cell survival or a switch in function requires rapid adaptation of the transcriptional machinery. Importantly, modern inhibitors of transcriptional reprogramming are virtually nontoxic over the course of a prolonged oral administration. These agents are attractive mainly for therapeutic combinations although, in particular situations (e.g., AML and other SE-associated tumors), inhibition of transcriptional reprogramming kinases becomes vital. Furthermore, experimental evidence is growing in support of the role of this mechanism in de novo acquisition of antileukemia drug resistance. These observations set the stage for combination strategies aimed at improving patient outcome. It remains to be elucidated whether the effects of patented pharmacological agents (including those in clinical trials) and investigational PROTAC degraders are similar, given that transcriptional kinases have both enzymatic enzyme-independent functions.

These considerations are likely to be attributable to other classes of transcription-regulating agents analyzed in the present review. Definitely, the relevance of individual transcriptional mechanisms to the biology of various blood tumors differs; therefore, general interventions could be of limited success. The field of transcriptional drugs is in its infancy. Nevertheless, the fundamental importance of this regulation and the perspective of initial studies provide a strong hope for patients and clinicians.
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ALL  acute lymphocytic leukemia
AML  acute myelogenous (myeloid) leukemia
APL  acute promyelocytic leukemia
ATO  arsenic trioxide
ATRA  all-trans retinoic acid
CDK  cyclin-dependent kinase
CML  chronic myelogenous (myeloid) leukemia
CTD  carboxy-terminal domain
HSC  hematopoietic stem cell
HAT  histone acetyltransferases
HDAC  histone deacetylase
HMT  histone methyltransferase
LSC  leukemia stem cell
MDS  myelodysplastic syndrome
MLL-r  MLL rearrangements
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