1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, \( \text{SO}_x \) emissions from fuel combustion have become a serious environmental problem. Strict environmental policies have been implemented worldwide, limiting the sulfur level in fuels to 10 ppm. \(^1\) Diesel fuel (DF) has become one of the main types of transport fuels and, accordingly, one of the largest sources of environmental pollution. To prevent air pollution from exhaust gases, the governments around the world have pledged to reduce sulfur in transport fuels over the past decade. For example, US regulations have made it mandatory to limit the sulfur content to 15 ppm in automotive diesel fuel \(^2\) (since July 2006). The European Parliament has adopted a new resolution on the diesel and petrol quality since 2003 (P5_TA-PROV (2003) 0029). According to this norm, the sulfur content in the fuel should not exceed 10 ppm. In general, most countries of the world had an average permissible sulfur content in transport fuels of about 10 ppm from 2003 to 2010. \(^3\)–\(^7\)

In Ukraine, the requirements are not so strict, but the permissible content of sulfur compounds has decreased significantly in recent years. According to various DSTU (Ukrainian state regulatory document), it is allowed to produce DF with sulfur contents of 10 and 500–2000 ppm, \(^8\) 10, 50, and 350 ppm, \(^9\) and 10 and 50 ppm. \(^10\)

Requirements for the environmental properties of jet fuels (JF) are less stringent both in the world and in Ukraine. Therefore, the aviation contribution to environmental pollution is becoming increasingly significant, as the sulfur content in JF is at the level of 0.1–0.4% by weight (1000–4000 ppm); for special types, a sulfur content of up to 1.0% by weight (10,000 ppm) is allowed. \(^11\), \(^12\) This is due to the problems of flight safety, energy consumption of jet fuels, and their stability during transportation and storage. \(^13\), \(^14\) This approach is also due to the fact that the main emissions of JF combustion products occur at high altitudes.

Today, the main fuel purification technology for sulfur compounds (hydrotreating, HDS) has a number of shortcomings, the main ones of which are as follows:

- As a result of hydrotreating, along with sulfur compounds, all other heteroatomic substances are removed and hydrogenation of some aromatics occurs; as a result, the purified product has a lower content of substances able to sorb on metal surfaces, and the lubricating properties of fuels become worse.
- Compounds of the thiophene series and other condensed sulfur compounds that may be present in the raw material are not hydrotreated due to their structure and the difficulties that arise during their contact with catalysts.

In addition, for economic reasons, hydrotreating cannot be organized at low-capacity production facilities of DF or JF (for example, at the disposal of used plastic and rubber products).

Alternative technologies for desulfurization of straight-run fractions have been studied in detail over the past few decades,
including extraction, selective adsorption, biodesulfurization, and oxidative desulfurization (ODS). Among these new processes, noncatalytic oxidative desulfurization (NODS) is seen as one of the promising new methods, and now, it has become more popular. The biggest advantage of NODS compared to the usual HDS process is that it can be carried out in the liquid phase, under relatively mild conditions, and at the same time, there is no need for expensive hydrogen.\textsuperscript{1,15,16}

Current industrial oxidation treatment processes are aimed only at removing mercaptan sulfur or increasing jet fuels’ thermal and oxidative stability after hydrotreating. Most of the ODS processes that continue to be developed and improved over the past 20 years are designed to eliminate the latest of the above shortcomings of HDS (reducing the sulfur content to 10–15 ppm and less in hydrotreated fuels).\textsuperscript{15–28} These processes, as well as HDS, are relatively complex and require the use of expensive catalysts and oxidizers.\textsuperscript{39–43}

The proposed technology can also be used for refining oil fuels or regeneration of spent mineral motor oils produced on a small scale, especially when hydrotreating is uneconomical or technologically impossible. Finally, the process can also be used for further treatment of hydrogenates and partial desulfurization of straight-run fractions to obtain fuel components with improved lubricating properties.\textsuperscript{39–43}

The chemistry of the oxidation process of sulfur compounds has also been studied in sufficient detail under proposed conditions.\textsuperscript{44} The results also showed that oxidation can be carried out in the liquid phase and water presence.\textsuperscript{45} Water is able to increase the oxidation rate due to better transport of oxygen to the reaction zone\textsuperscript{46,47} and to show an inhibitory effect on the
2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Methods of Experiments and Analyses. In the case of raw material oxidation in the water presence, first, aqueous phase separation was performed followed by oxidative division and analysis according to the scheme shown in Figure 1.

Distillation was carried out by the Engler method. The adsorption process was carried out by the developed method using silica gel as an adsorbent. Benzene was used to desorb the purified product. A mixture of alcohol and benzene was used to desorb the liquid oxidation concentrate.

Oxidation was performed in the laboratory. The laboratory installation (see Figure 2) consisted of a reactor, an air compression and purification system, a system for cooling and measurement of temperature, pressure, and consumption. The main apparatus of the process was a bubble reactor. The reactor design allowed us to maintain a pressure of up to 15 MPa at temperatures of up to 350 °C. Vertical movement of the reaction mixture in the reactor was achieved by installing a cylindrical tube in the reactor vessel. Due to the stirrer operation, the reaction mixture was circulated in the following direction: up along the inner space of the pipe and down into the space between the reactor vessel and the pipe.

The installation consisted of a reactor section, air compression and purification systems, gaseous reaction products’ cooling and recovery systems, and devices for control and measurement of temperature, pressure, and consumption. The main apparatus of the process was a bubble reactor. The reactor design allowed us to maintain a pressure of up to 15 MPa at temperatures of up to 350 °C. Vertical movement of the reaction mixture in the reactor was achieved by installing a cylindrical tube in the reactor vessel. Due to the stirrer operation, the reaction mixture was circulated in the following direction: up along the inner space of the pipe and down into the space between the reactor vessel and the pipe.

2.2. Initial Materials. Samples of straight-run kerosene (SRKF) and straight-run diesel (SRDF) fractions with different sulfur contents were taken for research (see Table 1). To increase the concentration of sulfur compounds, SRDF was distilled into narrower ones.

2.3. Methods of Processing Results. The total or mercaptan sulfur removal degree (TRDS or SRDS, respectively) after distillation (adsorption) was determined as changes in the ratio of the sulfur content to its initial content, %:

$$T(S)RDS = \frac{S_{0} - S}{S_{0}} \times 100$$

where $S_{0}$ and $S$ are the total sulfur contents (mercaptan), wt %, in the raw material and the purified product, respectively.

To characterize the oxidant movement hydrodynamic parameters and the ability to calculate the main dimensions of the reactor during its simulation (reproduction), the linear rate of oxidant (LRO) and the contact time between the raw material and the oxidant (hereinafter fictitious contact duration) were calculated and recorded. The LRO was calculated as the ratio between the volumetric oxidant flow rate ($m^{3}/s$) and the reactor cross-sectional area ($m^{3}$).

To describe the air/raw material ratio, time, and contact area between them, the concept of “volumetric oxidant flow rate” (VOFR, min$^{-1}$) was used, which is numerically equal to the ratio of oxidant consumption, $m^{3}/min$, to the volume of raw materials, $m^{3}$.
IR spectra of the initial kerosene fraction and distillates were obtained on an IR spectrometer brand SPECORD M80. Special KBr cuvettes with absorption layer thicknesses of 0.1 and 1.0 mm were used for analysis.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Research conditions for studying the water influence on the process of oxidative purification of kerosene and diesel fractions are given in Table 2. The value of process factors is based on previous studies. Experimental results are presented in Tables 3 and 4.

The obtained results indicate that the process of oxidation purification without water is accompanied by the formation of a significant amount of an insoluble solid phase and therefore low distillate yield (see Table 3). At the same time, the addition of water to the reaction mixture increases the oxidative purified fuel (OPF) yield and also sharply (4–24 times) reduces the oxidative solid phase (OSP) amount.

The oxidation degree reduction of the hydrocarbon part is confirmed by the presence of a lower number of oxidation products in the reaction mixture with water. Experimental results are presented in Tables 5 and 6.

Table 2. Conditions for Studying the Influence of Water on the Process of Oxidative Purification of Kerosene and Diesel Fractions

| factor                  | SRKF  | fraction of >320 °C | fraction of 280–350 °C |
|-------------------------|-------|---------------------|------------------------|
| temperature, °C         |       |                    |                        |
| duration, min           |       |                    |                        |
| VOFR, min⁻¹             |       |                    |                        |
| LRO, m/s                |       |                    |                        |
| pressure, MPa           |       |                    |                        |

Table 3. Influence of Water on the Yield of Basic Products during Oxidative Purification of Kerosene and Diesel Fractions

| ratio of water/raw materials, vol. | oxidate | OP (distillate) | LCOP (vat residue) |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|--------------------|
| Raw materials—SRKF                 | 98.64   | 2.42           | 92.77              |
| 0:1                                | 98.27   | 0.10           | 94.24              |
| duration                            | 20      | 30             | 30                 |
| VOFR, min⁻¹                         | 2.160   | 1.650          | 1.650              |
| LRO, m/s                            | 0.0026  | 0.0010         | 0.0003             |
| pressure, MPa                       | 3.0     | 2.5            | 3.0                |

Table 4. Influence of Water on the Quality of Purified Fuel Obtained by Oxidative Purification of Kerosene and Diesel Fractions

| ratio of water/raw materials, vol. | total sulfur content, wt % | CAG, mg per 100 cm³ | acidity, mg of KOH per 100 cm³ | TRDS, % |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Raw materials—SRKF                 | 0.059                     | 3.8                 | 75.2                           | 60.93   |
| 0.1                                | 0.058                     | 2.0                 | 33.8                           | 61.59   |
| duration                            | 20                         | 30                  | 30                             |
| VOFR, min⁻¹                         | 2.160                      | 1.650               | 1.650                          |
| LRO, m/s                            | 0.0026                     | 0.0010              | 0.0003                         |
| pressure, MPa                       | 3.0                        | 2.5                 | 3.0                            |

Figure 3. Dependence of the part of raw materials in the vapor state at 200 °C on pressure.
intermediate compounds (substances that form actual gums and organic acids, see Table 4).

During oxidation purification in the presence of water, there is generally some reduction in the oxidate amount. This is explained, apparently, by the fact that more raw materials evaporate (see Figure 3); part of the formed oxidation products, primarily acids, are soluble in the aqueous phase. The latter is confirmed by the experimental data: the aqueous phase obtained by SRKF oxidation at a water/raw material ratio of 1:5 was characterized in an acidic reaction (the pH value was 2; acidity of 330 mg of KOH per 100 cm³).

On the other hand, the water presence does not have any negative impact on the conversion intensity and the sulfur compound removal degree: the TRDS even increases slightly, which is due to the increased yield of purified fuel. All of the above indicates a positive effect of water on the oxidation of middle distillate petroleum fractions (MDPF), as it increases the process selectivity.

The above studies indicate the feasibility of using water during MDPF oxidative purification. At the same time, the influence of water quantity on the main characteristics of oxidative purification of liquid fuels has not been studied. Conditions for studying the influence of the ratio of water/raw materials are given in Table 5. The obtained results are given in Tables 6 and 7 and Figure 4. As a result of the separation of the oxidative liquid phase (OLP) by distillation, the end temperatures of distillation were as follows: for the kerosene fraction and the fr. of 165−280 °C SRDF2, 280 °C; for the fr. of 280−350 °C SRDF2, 350 °C.

The obtained results confirm that adding water to the reaction medium and increasing its amount slow down oxidation reactions and hydrocarbon medium condensation in the distillate. The amounts of the OSP and the liquid concentrate of oxidation products (LCOP) and the presence of incomplete oxidation products in the distillate (fuel acidity, actual gums, and TOS) are reduced.

Table 7. Influence of the Water/Raw Material Ratio on the Quality of Purified Fuel Obtained by Oxidative Purification of Diesel and Kerosene Fractions

| ratio of water/raw materials, vol. | indicator name | total sulfur content, wt % | mercaptan sulfur content, wt % | CAG, mg per 100 cm³ | acidity, mg of KOH per 100 cm³ | TOS, mg per 100 cm³ |
|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| SRKF                             | 0:1            | 0.059                     | 0.0012                        | 3.8                 | 75.2                        | 19.6                |
|                                  | 1:10           | 0.058                     | 0.0017                        | 2.0                 | 33.8                        | 13.4                |
|                                  | 1:5            | 0.057                     | 0.0017                        | 2.0                 | 29.5                        | 11.5                |
|                                  | 1:3            | 0.055                     | 0.0017                        | 1.9                 | 27.8                        | 11.4                |
|                                  | 1:2            | 0.053                     | 0.0016                        | 1.8                 | 26.1                        | 11.3                |
|                                  | 1:1.5          | 0.052                     | 0.0015                        | 1.7                 | 24.3                        | 11.3                |
|                                  | 1:1            | 0.051                     | 0.0015                        | 1.5                 | 22.4                        | 11.2                |
|                                  | 1:1.5:1        | 0.050                     | 0.0015                        | 1.0                 | 20.9                        | 11.0                |
|                                  | 2:1            | 0.052                     | 0.0016                        | 1.0                 | 18.4                        | 9.9                 |

Fraction of 165−280 °C SRDF2

| ratio of water/raw materials, vol. | indicator name | total sulfur content, wt % | mercaptan sulfur content, wt % | CAG, mg per 100 cm³ | acidity, mg of KOH per 100 cm³ | TOS, mg per 100 cm³ |
|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
|                                  | 0:1            | 0.175                     | 6.3                           | 5.4                 |                             |                     |
|                                  | 1:10           | 0.160                     | 5.1                           | 1.4                 |                             |                     |
|                                  | 1:5            | 0.154                     | 4.5                           | 1.1                 |                             |                     |
|                                  | 1:2.5          | 0.187                     | 5.0                           | 1.0                 |                             |                     |

Fraction of 280−350 °C SRDF2

| ratio of water/raw materials, vol. | indicator name | total sulfur content, wt % | mercaptan sulfur content, wt % | CAG, mg per 100 cm³ | acidity, mg of KOH per 100 cm³ | TOS, mg per 100 cm³ |
|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
|                                  | 0:1            | 0.654                     | 41                            | 10.5                |                             |                     |
|                                  | 1:10           | 0.617                     | 26                            | 7.3                 |                             |                     |
|                                  | 1:5            | 0.618                     | 27                            | 5.1                 |                             |                     |
|                                  | 1:2.5          | 0.625                     | 22                            | 4.9                 |                             |                     |
|                                  | 1:1            | 0.650                     | 20                            | 4.1                 |                             |                     |
|                                  | 2:1            | 0.671                     | 18                            | 3.4                 |                             |                     |

Figure 4. Dependence of TRDS and SRDS on the ratio of water/raw materials.

Figure 5. Formation of hydrocarbon oxidation inhibitors (phenol) in the presence of water (on the example of isopropylbenzene).

During oxidation purification in the presence of water, there is generally some reduction in the oxidate amount. This is explained, apparently, by the fact that more raw materials evaporate (see Figure 3); part of the formed oxidation products, primarily acids, are soluble in the aqueous phase. The latter is confirmed by the experimental data: the aqueous phase obtained by SRKF oxidation at a water/raw material ratio of 1:5 was characterized in an acidic reaction (the pH value was 2; acidity of 330 mg of KOH per 100 cm³).

On the other hand, the water presence does not have any negative impact on the conversion intensity and the sulfur compound removal degree: the TRDS even increases slightly, which is due to the increased yield of purified fuel. All of the above indicates a positive effect of water on the oxidation of middle distillate petroleum fractions (MDPF), as it increases the process selectivity.

The above studies indicate the feasibility of using water during MDPF oxidative purification. At the same time, the influence of water quantity on the main characteristics of oxidative purification of liquid fuels has not been studied. Conditions for studying the influence of the ratio of water/raw materials are given in Table 5. The obtained results are given in Tables 6 and 7 and Figure 4. As a result of the separation of the oxidative liquid phase (OLP) by distillation, the end temperatures of distillation were as follows: for the kerosene fraction and the fr. of 165−280 °C SRDF2, 280 °C; for the fr. of 280−350 °C SRDF2, 350 °C.

The obtained results confirm that adding water to the reaction medium and increasing its amount slow down oxidation reactions and hydrocarbon medium condensation in the distillate. The amounts of the OSP and the liquid concentrate of oxidation products (LCOP) and the presence of incomplete oxidation products in the distillate (fuel acidity, actual gums, and TOS) are reduced.
It should be noted that in the distillates obtained by oxidation without water, for several days, the thermal and oxidative stability significantly deteriorated and the actual resin content increased (2–3 times). Purified fuels obtained in the water presence were devoid of this disadvantage. Therefore, if the oxidation was carried out without water, then, in the future, in the purified fuel, there is a continued process of combining their existing intermediate oxidation products.

The yields of oxidate and purified fuel with an increasing amount of water fed into the reaction medium are maximum. This is due to the fact that with the addition of small amounts of water, the yields of these products increase (compared to the case when the purification is carried out without H₂O) by reducing the amount of oxidation products. At high water/raw material ratios (1:2.5 and higher), the distillate and OLP yields begin to decrease due to losses of raw materials with water vapor and the dissolution of oxidation products in the aqueous phase.

Small portions of water have almost no effect on the sulfur compound oxidation rate: the sulfur removal degree (SRD), with both total and mercaptan almost unchanged and with the addition of the first portions of water, even slightly increases, apparently due to the increased yield of purified products and improved selectivity of extraction oxidation products. A further increase in the amount of water leads to a slight inhibition of the sulfur compound conversion rate. If raw materials with a lower sulfur content are taken, then more water can be used: the maximum SRD for SRKF (sulfur content in the raw material, S₀ = 0.151 wt %) is the water/raw material ratio of 1.5:1; for the fraction of 165–280 °C (S₀ = 0.323 wt %), 1:5; for the fraction of 280–350 °C (S₀ = 0.869 wt %), 1:10.

Figure 7. IR spectra of the initial SRKF and purified fuels (distillates) obtained from it with and without water: (1) SRKF; (2) without water; (3) water/raw material ratio (vol.) of 1:10.
A further research task was to establish the inhibition caused by water on the hydrocarbon oxidation reactions and the oxidation degree for sulfur-containing raw materials under the process conditions.

It is well-known that liquid-phase oxidation of hydrocarbons with molecular oxygen occurs via a radical-chain mechanism through the stage of peroxide formation.\(^{48,57}\) The rate of this reaction can be reduced in three ways:

- reducing the initiation rate (reducing the rate of peroxide formation);
- reducing the intensity of chain branching (reducing the decomposition rate of peroxides into free radicals);
- reducing the growth rate of chains (deactivation of free radicals or reducing their reactivity).

The inhibition mechanism of hydrocarbon oxidation reactions with water is explained differently in the literature, but the fact is that in the presence of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), there is a decrease in the intensity of hydrocarbon oxidation by reducing the rate of radical formation and their deactivation.

The authors of refs 51 and 52 claim that in the presence of surfactants (sulfur-, oxygen-, and nitrogen-containing compounds) in the hydrocarbon environment, peroxides with surface activity create hydrogen bonds with water and further participate in the micelle formation at the hydrocarbon/water boundary. The peroxides involved in the micelle formation become more stable, the rate of their decomposition with the formation of free radicals decreases, and, as a consequence, the total rate of hydrocarbon oxidation reactions also decreases. In ref 58, it was reported that in the presence of water, the total oxidation rate decreases due to the formation of cyclic hydroperoxide dimers, which decompose at a lower rate than simple peroxides.

In ref 59, it was reported that the effect of water on toluene oxidation with air oxygen can be explained by the formation of free radical/water complexes (\(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\)), reducing the conversion rate of this radical.

In other words, the abovementioned works indicate that water has an inhibitory effect on the liquid hydrocarbon oxidation due to the formation of complexes with peroxides or hydrocarbon radicals.

It is also known that, depending on the reaction medium pH, the decomposition directions of hydroperoxides and peroxides and, accordingly, the composition of the formed products may be different. In a neutral medium at high temperatures, as already mentioned, the process proceeds by a radical mechanism. Studies based on individual hydrocarbons\(^{60,61}\) show that primary hydroperoxides mainly decompose to form acids; secondary—alcohols, ketones, acids, and hydrocarbons; tertiary—alcohols (phenols) and acetone.

In the oxidation process without water, there is mainly the formation of primary and secondary peroxides, the decomposition of which leads to the formation of acids and unsaturated compounds that are sources of condensation products (solid phase, LCOP, resins, etc.).

Reactions in an acidic medium can proceed by an ionic mechanism, which may involve the rearrangement of intermediate products and formation of tertiary peroxides, the decomposition of which leads to the formation of alcohols and phenols. Mechanism studies of isopropylbenzene oxidation (see Figure 5) have shown that in an acidic medium, the decomposition of tertiary peroxide leads to the formation of phenol and acetone.\(^{62}\)

It should be noted that according to ref 61, only strong acids affect the change in the direction of peroxide formation. During oxidation without water, organic acids are formed, which practically do not dissociate in the hydrocarbon medium. Organic acids in alcohols, acids, and aldehydes dissociate rather weakly, and the presence of water significantly increases the degree of dissociation. For example, for benzoic acid, the dissociation constant (\(pK_a\)) in water is 4.18; in alcoholic solution of cyclohexane, 10.24; in meta-cresol, 10.29; in acetophenone, 9.76.\(^{65}\) It should also be noted that the \(pK_a\) of sulfur-containing organic acids (possibly oxidation products of sulfur compounds) in aqueous solution are very close to the \(pK_a\) of inorganic acids. For example, for phenolsulfonic acid (\(\text{HOC}_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_3\text{H}\)), \(pK_a = 0.39\); for \(\text{HNO}_3\), \(pK_a = -1.64.\)^\(^{63}\)

It can be assumed that in the presence of water, intermediate oxidation products (acids, hydroxy acids, and sulfonic acids) obtained at the beginning of the raw material oxidation treatment partially pass from the hydrocarbon medium into the aqueous phase. This fact is confirmed by experimental results: the aqueous phase obtained by oxidation of SRKF at the water/raw material ratio of 1:5 had an acidity of 330 mg of KOH per 100 cm\(^3\), which corresponds to a pH value of 2 (the initial distilled water pH was about 6).

Thus, the water addition to the reaction medium leads to the dissociation (“increases” the strength) of organic acids formed at the initial stage of oxidation. As a result, intermediate rearrangement with the tertiary peroxide formation becomes possible; some oxidation reactions proceed according to the scheme shown in Figure 5, with the formation of phenols and/or higher alcohols, the presence of which in the hydrocarbon medium, even in small amounts (0.0005–0.1 wt %), significantly inhibits the oxidation rate of hydrocarbons.\(^{63-65}\)

That is, the inhibitory effect of water is shown not only in the stages of growth and chain branching, as described by many authors, but also due to a partial change in the oxidation mechanism.

To confirm the formation of phenolic compounds or tertiary alcohols in the presence of water, the IR spectra of distillates (purified fuel) obtained from SRKF with and without water were compared in the absorption range of 4050–3200 cm\(^{-1}\). The IR spectrum of the distillate obtained in the presence of water confirms the presence of phenol, its derivatives, or tertiary alcohols: there are noticeable peaks at 4030, 3910, 3860, 3720, 3660, and about 3550 cm\(^{-1}\) (see Figure 6). The relative peak heights in these regions of the IR spectrum of the distillate obtained without water are much smaller (some peaks are absent).

It should also be noted that the oxidation products of the hydrocarbon medium dissolved in water also help to reduce their amount in the oxidate and subsequently in the distillate.

The decrease in the content of intermediate oxidation products of the hydrocarbon medium with the water addition is confirmed by IR spectroscopy of two distillates obtained by SRKF purification without water and in its presence (the volume ratio of water/raw material was 1:10), which are presented in Figure 7. The IR spectrum of the raw material (SRKF) is also presented there. IR spectra in all three cases confirm the presence of characteristic groups for kerosene fractions, in almost the same quantities: C=H—arenne (primary and secondary), C=H—aliphatic, C==C—arenne, −CH\(_2\) and so on. The IR spectrum of the distillate obtained by oxidation without water has a peak at 1725 cm\(^{-1}\), which characterizes carbonyl or carboxyl groups. In the IR spectrum of the distillate obtained by
SRKF oxidation in the water presence, in the same absorption region, the peak value of the corresponding carbonyl and carboxyl groups is 2–2.5 times lower, which undoubtedly indicates a sharp decrease in the amount of oxidate compounds in the distillate.

4. CONCLUSIONS
The water presence in the reaction medium has a positive effect on the process and reduces the oxidation intensity of the hydrocarbon medium. This is due to both the slowing down of water oxidation reactions in the stages of peroxide decomposition and chain growth and branching and a partial change in water oxidation reactions in the stages of peroxide decomposition.

Since increasing the amount of water fed to the reactor reduces its productivity by raw materials or needs to increase its size, the optimal volume ratio of water/raw materials can be considered to be 1:10–1:2.5.
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■ ABBREVIATIONS
DF, diesel fuel
JF, jet fuel
DSTU, GOST, State standard of Ukraine
HDS, hydrotreating
(N)ODS, (noncatalytic) oxidative desulfurization
SRKF, straight-run kerosene fraction (fuel)
SRDF, straight-run diesel fraction (fuel)
LRO, linear ratio of the oxidant
VOFR, volumetric oxidant flow rate
TRDS, total sulfur removal degree
SRDS, sulfur removal degree
OPF, oxidative purified fuel
OSP, oxidative solid phase
MDPF, middle distillate petroleum fraction
OLP, oxidative liquid phase
LCOP, liquid concentrate of oxidation products
TOS, thermooxidative stability
CAG, concentration of actual gums
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