Comparison of the systemic and pulmonary inflammatory response to endotoxin of neutropenic and non-neutropenic rats
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Abstract

Background: Neutrophil infiltration commonly occurs in acute lung injury and may be partly responsible for the inflammatory response. However, acute lung injury still occurs in the neutropenic host. The objectives of this study are to determine if inflammation and acute lung injury are worse in neutropenic versus the normal host after endotoxemia.

Methods: Rats were divided into four groups: 1) control, 2) neutropenic, 3) endotoxemic and 4) endotoxemic and neutropenic. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α) and macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP-2) were measured in the blood, lung lavage and for mRNA in the lung. Arterial blood gases were measured to determine the alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient which reflects on lung injury.

Results: In endotoxemia, the neutropenic rats had lower plasma TNF-α (116 ± 73 vs. 202 ± 31 pg/ml) and higher plasma MIP-2 (26.8 ± 11.9 vs. 15.6 ± 6.9 ng/ml) when compared to non-neutropenic rats. The endotoxemic, neutropenic rats had worse lung injury than the endotoxemic, non-neutropenic rats as shown by increase in the alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient (24 ± 5 vs. 12 ± 9 torr). However, lavage concentrations of TNF-α and MIP-2 were similar in both groups.

Conclusion: Neutrophils may regulate TNF-α and MIP-2 production in endotoxemia. The elevation in plasma MIP-2 in the endotoxemic, neutropenic rat may be secondary to the lack of a neutrophil response to inhibit production or release of MIP-2. In endotoxemia, the severe lung injury observed in neutropenic rats does not depend on TNF-α or MIP-2 produced in the lung.

Background

Sepsis is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the intensive care unit. Improvements in the treatment of cancer have led to a growing population of immunocompromised patients with longer survival times and a propensity to develop sepsis and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [1,2]. It is important to study the role of neutrophils in sepsis in order to better understand the effect of neutropenia on the inflammatory response in sepsis. Different treatment modalities may be necessary in the neutropenic versus the non-neutropenic host.

Neutropenia is commonly induced by cyclophosphamide in cancer-stricken patients. In these patients, white cells are markedly diminished in number but not absent. It is known that cyclophosphamide has effects on other cells
in the body [3-5]. This drug may decrease the activity of lymphoid cells and macrophages in the spleen [3,4]. Cyclophosphamide may also modulate CD4+ T cells into a Th2 phenotype and cause a decrease IFN-gamma production as in patients with multiple sclerosis [5]. However, in spite of the numerous cellular effects of cyclophosphamide, in a study of endotoxemia-associated acute lung injury, depletion of neutrophils by cyclophosphamide or anti-neutrophil antibodies showed no difference in lung injury as shown by lung edema or inflammation as demonstrated by similar amounts of the transcription factor, nuclear factor kappa B [6]. Cyclophosphamide can be used to induce neutropenia because of its widespread use in treatment regimens and the fact that the effect is similar to anti-neutrophil antibodies when studying acute lung injury secondary to endotoxemia.

The immune response to sepsis has been widely studied in the immunocompetent host. Sepsis leads to early release of the cytokines; tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α) and interleukin (IL)-1β which are primarily produced by macrophages [7]. Cytokines are low molecular weight proteins (<30 kd) that are responsible for intercellular signaling [8]. After TNF-α and IL-1β are released, their signals are amplified many fold, leading to the activation of the inflammatory cascade and consequently, inflammation. The role of the neutrophil in producing or modulating this initial cytokine response to sepsis has not been well studied [6].

Recruitment of neutrophils in the lung for example, depends on communication between endothelial, stromal, parenchymal cells and the infiltrating neutrophils [9]. These initial events are mediated by the early production of cytokines, specialized cytokines called chemokines and cell adhesion molecules [9]. Chemokines belong to a superfAMILY of cytokines that promote chemotaxis of leukocytes to areas of infection, tissue injury or neoplasia [10]. Four subfamilies of chemokines are categorized based on the spacing of the first two cysteine residues and are designated as C, C-C, C-X-C, C-X3-C. In general, the C-X-C group is responsible for neutrophil chemotaxis and activation [11,12]. The most studied C-X-C chemokine in humans is IL-8. No murine homolog for IL-8 has been discovered but macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-2 is one of its functional homologs [13]. In sepsis, the development of acute lung injury may be secondary to activation of the inflammatory response.

Sepsis syndrome is the single most common risk factor associated with the development of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [14]. Inflammatory mediators that may be produced as a result of sepsis are felt to play a central role in the development of ARDS. Migration of neutrophils to the lungs and disruption of the alveolar capillary membrane characterizes early ARDS [7,15]. Mechanisms involved in recruiting neutrophils into the lung have not been well established but are probably dependent on chemotactic factors produced in the lung [7,15].

The C-X-C chemokine, macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP-2), contributes to increasing neutrophil chemotaxis in murine acute lung injury [16-23]. Lipopolysaccharide, TNF-α and/or IL-1β have been reported to stimulate the production of these cytokines in the lung [19,22]. In the neutropenic host, neutrophil adhesion and chemotaxis may be abnormal due to insufficient production of chemokines or disruption of adhesion mechanisms. Regulation of the inflammatory response by neutrophils is unknown and has not been well studied.

The first objective of this study is to determine if TNF-α, IL-1β and MIP-2 concentrations will be lower in the systemic circulation of neutropenic, endotoxemic rats compared to non-neutropenic, endotoxemic rats. The second objective is to ascertain if acute lung injury will be worse secondary to the increased production of TNF-α, IL-1β and MIP-2 in the lungs of the non-neutropenic, endotoxemic rats versus the neutropenic endotoxemic rats.

**Materials and methods**

This study was approved by the Animal Investigation Committee at Wayne State University and performed in accordance with the NIH guidelines for the use of animals in research. Male Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 250–400 g were divided into one of four groups: 1) normal control (n = 6), 2) neutropenic control (n = 6), 3) normal, endotoxemia (n = 12) and 4) neutropenic and endotoxemia (n = 12).

**Neutropenia**

Three days prior to study, rats received 100 mg/kg of cyclophosphamide (Sigma, USA) by intraperitoneal (IP) injection. Control rats received an equal volume of 0.9% sodium chloride. Neutropenia was confirmed by absolute neutrophil counts of less than 1000/μl.

**Endotoxin administration**

Rats in the endotoxemia group were given E. Coli 0127:B8 lipopolysaccharide (10 mg/kg) by IP injection (Sigma, USA). Control rats received an equal volume of 0.9% sodium chloride.

**Experimental protocol**

Four hours after endotoxin or saline, the rats were sedated and anesthetized with ketamine (60 mg/kg) and xylazine (5 mg/kg). The right femoral artery was catheterized and mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate were recorded.
Blood was removed for blood gases (Radiometer, ABL5, Westlake, OH) TNF-α, IL-1β and MIP-2. The rats were sacrificed. The right hilum was clamped and the right lower lobe of the lung was removed and placed in TRI Reagent, a RNA isolation reagent. The right ventricle was cannulated and the pulmonary circulation of the left lung was perfused with cold phosphate buffered saline until clear. The left lung was washed with 25 ml of warm (37°C) phosphate buffered saline. The bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid was centrifuged at 1200 rpm at 4°C for 7 minutes. The supernatant was stored at -70°C until analyzed.

**TNF-α, IL-1β and MIP-2 mRNA determination**

Lung tissue mRNA was extracted as recommended by the manufacturer (Sigma, St Louis, MO). In brief, after the lung tissue was homogenized, chloroform was added and the mixture was centrifuged. Absolute ethanol was added to the aqueous phase. After centrifugation, 75% ethanol was added to the RNA pellet. Again, the sample was centrifuged, the supernatant discarded, and the RNA pellet was placed in DEPC water. The sample was stored at -20°C until analysis.

Aliquots of the total RNA (30 µg) were resolved by formaldehyde-agarose gel electrophoresis and integrity and concentration of RNA was verified by staining with ethidium bromide. Reverse transcription of RNA (0.0625 mcg) was accomplished by (25°C for 10 minutes followed by 42°C for 60 minutes) using 5× buffer (Gibco BRL), 250 mM KCl, random primers (18 OD units), RNase inhibitor and AMV reverse transcriptase enzyme (Gibco, BRL). The RNA was then amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Optimal conditions for TNF-α, IL-1β, MIP-2, and β-actin mRNA were established in our laboratory prior to study. Amplification was performed in 50 µl reaction buffer containing 20 mM TRIS pH 8.0, 50 mM KCl, 25 mM MgCl₂, Taq DNA polymerase enzyme (Gibco, BRL), 2.5 mM each of dNTPs (dITP, dGTP, dATP), 0.3 microCi dCTP radio labeled with P³² and 2 µl of TNF-α, IL-1β, MIP-2, or β-actin primers (Biosource International, Camarillo, CA) respectively. The primers spanned an intron so that genomic DNA contamination would not interfere with the analyses. After denaturation for 90 sec, the thermocycling conditions were 30 sec at 94°C, 45 sec at 72°C, 45 sec at 72°C followed by 7 min extension step at 72°C. PCR was performed with 26 cycles for TNF-α, IL-1β, and MIP-2 mRNA respectively and 21 cycles for β-actin.

A polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (4% AA in 1% TBE buffer) was used to separate the amplified cDNA fragments. TNF-α, IL-1β, MIP-2, and β-actin mRNA were detected after exposing the gel to radiographic film. The density of the autoradiograph bands was measured using computer software (Kodak Science 1D). Relative TNF-α, IL-1β, or MIP-2 mRNA levels were estimated as the ratio of the autoradiographic density of TNF-α, IL-1β, or MIP-2 mRNA to the internal standard, β-actin mRNA.

**Statistical analysis**

The values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The factors were compared using one-way ANOVA. A p value of < 0.05 was considered significant. If the one-way ANOVA was significant, a post hoc analysis using Games-Howell was used to determine the significance between the groups. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 11.5 software.

**Results**

**Cardiovascular**

All 4 groups had similar heart rate and mean blood pressure (table 1).

**Respiratory**

The pO₂ was higher in the control and neutropenic when compared to the endotoxemic groups. However, among the endotoxemic rats, the neutropenic group had a lower pO₂ compared to the non-neutropenic group. Likewise, the A-a O₂ gradient was lower in the control and neutropenic when compared to the endotoxemic groups. The A-a O₂ gradient was higher in the neutropenic, endotoxemic compared to the non-neutropenic, endotoxemic group. The pH and pCO₂ were similar in all groups (table 1).

**Inflammation**

Neutropenic, endotoxemic rats had less pulmonary mRNA for TNF-α when compared to normal, endotoxemic rats. No mRNA for TNF-α was detected in the lungs of the control or neutropenic rats (figure 1). Likewise, among endotoxemic rats, the plasma TNF-α concentration was less in the neutropenic compared to the normal group. No plasma TNF-α was detected in either the control or neutropenic groups (figure 1). The TNF BAL con-
Plasma concentrations of MIP-2 were elevated in the endotoxemic, neutropenic rats when compared to the endotoxemic, normal rats (figure 2). However, pulmonary mRNA for MIP-2 was similar in both endotoxemic groups. In control and neutropenic rats, no mRNA for MIP-2 was detected in the lung. Lung lavage concentrations of MIP-2 were higher in the endotoxemic rats compared to the control and neutropenic groups. However, the MIP-2 lung lavage concentrations were similar in the endotoxemic groups regardless of the presence or absence of neutropenia (figure 2).

Endotoxemic, neutropenic rats had similar amounts of mRNA for IL-1β in the lung compared to endotoxemic, normal rats (0.51 ± 0.19 vs. 0.87 ± 0.43, densitometry readings IL-1β mRNA/β-actin mRNA, post hoc analysis, p = 0.32). The control and neutropenic rats had no detection of mRNA for IL-1β (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05 for endotoxemic vs. non-endotoxemic rats). IL-1β was not detected in the plasma or lavage of any of the groups.

Discussion
The early effect of endotoxin on cytokine production in the systemic circulation has not been well studied in the neutropenic host. We compared the early production of TNF-α, IL-1β, and MIP-2 in the systemic circulation of neutropenic and non-neutropenic rats after endotoxin administration. In this model of endotoxemia, the TNF-α concentration was markedly decreased while MIP-2 was elevated in the plasma of the neutropenic, endotoxemic compared to non-neutropenic, endotoxemic rats. IL-1β was not detected in either group. Previous studies have shown that the early response to endotoxin would be an elevation in TNF-α and IL-1β followed by a rise in MIP-2 production [23,24]. Thus, it appears that the neutrophil is involved directly or indirectly in increasing TNF-α production in the systemic circulation after endotoxemia. Neutrophils may effect their own recruitment into the systemic circulation by down-regulating the production of the chemokine MIP-2. In this model of early endotoxemia, IL-1β production is not yet present in the systemic circulation. In endotoxemia, cytokines from the systemic circulation can increase the permeability of the endothelium of the alveolar capillaries thus precipitating acute lung injury [25].

In our study, acute lung injury as shown by increased A-a O₂ gradient developed within 4 hours of the administration of endotoxin in both neutropenic and non-neutropenic rats. The neutropenic rats had evidence of more severe lung injury when compared to the non-neutropenic rats. Tumor necrosis factor-α plays an important role in initiating acute lung injury after endotoxemia in the normal host [26,27]. However, the influence of neutrophils on the production of TNF-α is controversial [6,28-32]. In an endotoxemia model, pulmonary mRNA and protein concentration of TNF-α were similar in both neutropenic and non-neutropenic mice. Alternatively, in the same study, elevations in pulmonary mRNA and protein concentration of TNF-α were observed in a neutropenic compared to non-neutropenic mouse hemorrhagic shock model [6]. In a study where pulmonary TNF-α mRNA and TNF-α in lung homogenates were compared in neutropenic rats given granulocyte colony stimulating factor (GCSF) for recovery versus placebo, the GCSF group had higher amounts of TNF-α mRNA and TNF-α in lung homogenates [33]. This suggests that the neutrophils play a role in causing a rise in TNF-α either by producing TNF-α or influencing other cells to produce it. In our study, endotoxemia did not lead to the TNF-α production in the lung. However, the mRNA for TNF-α was decreased in the lungs of the neutropenic, endotoxemic versus the non-neutropenic, endotoxemic rats. This suggests that the presence of neutrophils may alter the production of TNF-α in the lung which then may influence the inflammatory response later in the course of endotoxemia. The observed differences between studies may be a function of timing. Measurements of TNF-α at numerous time points may be beneficial.

In addition to TNF-α, IL-1β may play a significant role in the development of acute lung injury [15]. Pulmonary

---

Table 1: Hemodynamic and Arterial Blood Gases Data

|                      | Control          | Neutropenic     | LPS              | Neutropenic LPS |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Heart rate (bpm)     | 240 ± 44         | 238 ± 16        | 280 ± 38         | 258 ± 42        |
| Mean blood pressure (mm Hg) | 100 ± 18 | 107 ± 10        | 113 ± 20         | 104 ± 18        |
| pH                   | 7.37 ± 0.03      | 7.39 ± 0.09     | 7.29 ± 0.03      | 7.32 ± 0.07     |
| pCO₂ (torr)          | 47 ± 6           | 45 ± 11         | 48 ± 5           | 46 ± 4          |
| pO₂ (torr)           | 92 ± 6           | 84 ± 4          | 80 ± 6*          | 69 ± 6 ***      |
| A-a O₂ gradient (torr) | 2 ± 2            | 8 ± 7           | 12 ± 9*          | 24 ± 5 **       |

*Group is different than control group, ** Group is different than all other groups p < 0.05, one-way ANOVA, post hoc test Games-Howell
mRNA and protein for IL-1β, one of the earliest inflammatory cytokines, has been shown to be suppressed in neutropenic mice who received endotoxin by intraperitoneal injection [6]. In neutropenic rats that received GCSF prior to acute lung injury, the lung homogenate IL-1β concentration was much higher when compared to those neutropenic rats that did not receive GCSF suggesting that the increased number of neutrophils was responsible for IL-1β production [33]. In our study, neutropenic rats tended to have decreased amounts of pulmonary IL-1β mRNA when compared to non-neutropenic, endotoxemic rats; however the difference was not significant. IL-1β was not detected in the lung lavage. It is possible that in this early model of endotoxemia, IL-1β was not yet produced.

Endotoxemia affected the production of MIP-2 in the lavage and mRNA for MIP-2 in the lung when compared to control rats. However, the concentration of MIP-2 in the lavage and the detection of mRNA for MIP-2 were similar in both the neutropenic and non-neutropenic groups. It is likely that neutrophil chemotaxis has not yet occurred and therefore feedback inhibition by the neutrophil has not transpired at this time point. PMN staining would provide evidence for chemotaxis/no-chemotaxis at this time point.

In spite of the fact that the cytokine production in the lung was similar in both endotoxemic groups, acute lung injury was more severe in the neutropenic compared to the non-
neutropenic, endotoxemic rat. An increase in pulmonary vascular resistance or a decline in arterial oxygenation are the first indicators of acute lung injury [34]. Early in acute lung injury, low oxygenation can be the result of ventilation perfusion mismatch [25]. This can develop as a result of an imbalance of the effect of vasodilators and vasoconstrictors on the pulmonary vascular endothelium [34-36]. In addition, some studies suggest that a decreased endothelium-dependent relaxation and increased constrictor response results in spite of expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase and the release of nitric oxide [37-39]. As lung injury progresses, pulmonary edema which develops in part due to cytokines, plays a role in decline in oxygenation [25]. In our study, in the absence of cytokine production in the lung, it is possible that pulmonary hypertension due to an imbalance of vasodilators and vasoconstrictors or impaired sensitivity to vasoactive mediators is the cause of the low oxygenation. Further investigation into the cause of this early deterioration in lung function is warranted.

Conclusion

Neutropenia is associated with the production or regulation of TNF-α in endotoxemia. Likewise, neutrophils may influence their own chemotaxis by regulating MIP-2 production in endotoxemia. However, neutrophils may act indirectly by regulating cytokine production in other inflammatory cells. Further investigation is required to determine how the neutrophil influences the inflammatory process in sepsis.
In early endotoxemia, the more severe lung injury observed in neutropenic compared to non-neutropenic rats does not depend on TNF-α, IL-1β and MIP-2 in the lung. In fact, the neutrophil may be responsible for indirectly injuring the lung by its influence on the macrophage or endothelial cell such as in nitric oxide production.
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