Assessment of Wastewater Quality of Drains for Irrigation
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ABSTRACT
Rapid industrialization and overpopulation have stimulated increase in waste water both domestic and industrial. Due to lack of efficient sewerage system and absence of treatment plants, the wastewater is discharged into drainage systems causing environmental and health implications. At various points, the wastewater of these drains is used for irrigation purposes. This study characterizes the effluent of major drains of Lahore city and assesses its suitability for irrigation. Wastewater samples were collected from drains in winter and spring seasons and analyzed for various parameters of special concern to irrigation. TSS, oil and grease, BOD, COD, chloride, TDS, sodium, SAR, bicarbonate ions, EC, iron, Copper and Nickel were found to be varied in concentration in different drains when compared with NEQS (National Environment Quality Standards) and FAO guidelines for irrigation in both seasons. Regular monitoring and proper treatment of wastewater before discharging into the drains can reduce the pollution in these drains.
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1. Introduction
Pakistan is the sixth most populous country of the world with current population of approximately 183.06 million according to latest survey of 2013. It is expected that population will reach 242.1 million by 2030 at the existing growth rate [1]. Water and food demand will be directly affected which, in turn, will increase the effluent discharges from domestic, industrial and agricultural sectors [2].

Being an agricultural country, the water demands of Pakistan are very high. Due to the water scarcity issues, the untreated effluents from the cities are being used for irrigation purposes in many parts of the country including Lahore [3]. Lack of adequate resources is resulting in the usage of wastewater for irrigation without any treatment. Consequently, urban and peri-urban farmers use marginal or untreated wastewater to cultivate a variety of crops. In Pakistan, the percentage of the wastewater, which is treated before use in irrigation is only 2 per cent [4].

The Lahores’s drainage system comprises 8 major and 75 tributary drains having carrying capacity of 7474 Cusecs. The length of total surface drains is 212 km, with 4 pumping stations and pumping capacity of 663 Cusecs.

AFZAL et al. [7] conducted a study to investigate the degree of pollution, in the Hudiara drain, caused by
The discharge of untreated industrial and municipal effluents into the irrigation system can pose a serious threat to the quality of soil & crops and to the health of human beings [6]. According to estimation, untreated effluents from the Lahore city are being used to irrigate approximately 800 to 1000 hectares area. Most trace elements and other heavy metals mount up in soils eventually contaminating or rendering soils non-productive [3].

The change in physicochemical properties of the soil is the chronic impact of the usage of wastewater for irrigation eventually increasing the amount of heavy metals in the soil. Long term use of wastewater of drains, can lead to accumulation of high amounts of trace elements in soils and can enter the food chain through absorption via plants.

Cd can be easily absorbed and accumulated in plants and animals in very high quantities [8]. Sharma et al. [9] carried out a study in three industrially different cities viz., Ludhiana, Jalandhar and Malerkotla to observe the level of Cd buildup in soils and plants being irrigated with untreated sewage water. Cd level was high at all sites in those plants which were receiving wastewater for irrigation as compared to those plants getting tubewell irrigation. Similarly, Beta vulgaris (palak) has been highly contaminated with Cd, Pb and Ni due to wastewater irrigation in suburban areas of Varanasi, India causing serious health risks for the human beings.

Sahu et al. [10] assessed the wastewater quality of loamy drain receiving effluent from tanneries and other industries and studied their impacts on soil and vegetables irrigated with this water. The results showed that most of the parameters were not complying with the prescribed limits for safe disposal of effluents into the surface water. Samples of soil and vegetables from the land irrigated with drain water were also collected and analyzed for Cu, Zn, Ni, Cr, Pb and Cd. The different metals were in diverse concentrations, which may create chronic health hazards to human and cattle through food chain in the long run.

Other effects posed on groundwater quality, wild life & health impacts on farmers have also been assessed. For instance, J. H. J. Ensink et al., [11] carried out a cross sectional study in the city of Faisalabad, Pakistan, to assess the risk of Giardia duodenalis (Giardia) infection in farmers using untreated wastewater in agriculture. A strong correlation was found between Giardia infections in those households who were using wastewater farming as compared to the ones using regular irrigation water. Cattle grazing on freshly irrigated grasslands with untreated wastewater reportedly suffered from disease (cysticercosis) in Melbourne, Australia and Denmark [4].

Severe contamination in Ravi River has destroyed 42 species of fish. The bird life has also been acutely affected and has migrated to other areas [2]. An extensive and seasonal study was carried out by Akhtar and Nawaz on the effluent quality of six drains of Lahore and their impact on the aquatic life of River Ravi, into which these drains were discharging their effluents. The results when compared with USEPA guidelines for fish and aquatic life proved that River Ravi water quality was not by any means suitable for the propagation of fish during dry weather and canal closure period [12].

Due to the varied nature of industrial manufacturing processes, effluents vary widely in composition and often contain toxic materials to cause serious problems towards human health, plants, crops, animals and aquatic life. The present study was conducted to characterize the physical and chemical characteristics of effluents of the major drains of Lahore city in order to determine the pollutants being discharged into the drains which ultimately found their way into the receiving water bodies, mainly rivers, and to evaluate that whether the surface water quality was suitable for the purpose of irrigation or not, for which it was being extensively utilized [13].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Selection of the Study Area

Eight major drains of Lahore city were selected for the present study and the samples were collected from each site namely Shahdara drain, Mian Mir drain, Iqbal town drain, Garden town drain, Sattu Katla drain, Charar drain, Hudiara drain, Chota Ravi drain [12]. Figure 1 shows the locations of study area.

2.2. Sampling Procedure

The study was carried out from January to April. During this period, four samples were taken from each drain; twice in January and then in March, in pre-sterilized 1.5 L sampling bottles according to standard sampling procedures to ensure the integrity of the collected samples and validity of test results. All the bottles were labeled by the name of the site and date & time at which the sample was taken. All of the samples were preserved in an ice-box at or near 4°C immediately after collection. Nitric acid and Sulfuric acid were added to the sampling bottles in quantities sufficient to meet the requirements of preservation and to lower the pH of the samples to just about 2.0.
2. After collection, all the samples were transferred carefully to laboratory for further analysis. A total of 16 samples were collected in two months from these drains.

2.3. Selection of Parameters

Each sample of the wastewater was tested for various Physio-Chemical parameters as shown in Table 1. Some of these parameters like pH, Sodium, EC, SAR, RSC, Bicarbonate, Chloride, TDS, Fe, Cu, Ni and Cr were analyzed to test the water quality of these drains for the purpose of irrigation. The results were compared with National Environmental Quality Standards (NEQS) and FAO guidelines for irrigation to establish a baseline condition of pollution level in the drains. Table 1 shows the instruments & procedures used for the analysis of physiochemical parameters and heavy metals in the waste-water.

3. Results and Discussion

The focus of the present study was to quantitatively characterize the pollution load in the major drains of the Lahore city in the month of January and March and to assess the suitability of drain water for irrigation as it is being frequently used in irrigation.

Samples of wastewater collected in the month of

| Sr. No. | Parameter                  | Instrument/Procedure                  |
|---------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1.      | Temperature                | Thermohunter PT-2LD                   |
| 2.      | pH                         | Eco tester pH 1/USEPA 8156            |
| 3.      | Color                      | Spectrophotometer/USEPA 8025          |
| 4.      | TSS                        | Filtration/USEPA 8158 & 8164          |
| 5.      | TDS                        | Evaporation/USEPA 8163                |
| 6.      | Electrical conductivity    | Conductivity Meter DIST 3 New         |
| 7.      | COD                        | Spectrophotometer                      |
| 8.      | BOD                        | BOD track                              |
| 9.      | DO                         | DO meter                               |
| 10.     | Oil and grease             | Gravimetric method/USEPA 10056        |
| 11.     | Chloride                   | Digital titrator/USEPA 8206           |
| 12.     | Sulfate                    | Spectrophotometer/USEPA 8051          |
| 13.     | Sodium                     | Flame Photometer 1381- E              |
| 14.     | Calcium & Magnesium        | Titration/USEPA 8222                  |
| 15.     | $\text{CO}_3^-$ & $\text{HCO}_3^-$ | Digital titration/USEPA 10244 |
| 16.     | SAR                        | Na/(Ca + Mg)/2                         |
| 17.     | RSC                        | $(\text{CO}_3^- + \text{HCO}_3^-) - (\text{Ca} + \text{Mg})$ |
| 18.     | Iron                       | Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy/USEPA 2361 |
| 19.     | Heavy Metals (Copper, Chromium and Nickel) | Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy/USEPA 2201 |
January and March from the major drains of Lahore city were analyzed for parameters including pH, Temperature, TDS, TSS, Colour, COD, BOD, DO, Oil and Grease, EC, Cl, $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, Na, Ca, Mg, $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$, HCO$_3^-$, RSC and SAR, Fe, Cu, Cr and Ni. The results of the analysis of these physical and chemical parameters are shown in Tables 2-7.

The pH measurement of the wastewater samples of the drains showed that the water of Shahdara drain, Iqbal town drain and Sattu katla drain was acidic in the winter season while in the spring season, the water of all the drains was alkaline which could be the reason of more flow of water and increase in domestic effluents. The causes of acidity and alkalinity may be runoff, sewage, geology and high nutrient levels. However, these results show that value of pH was within the acceptable range according to National Environmental Quality Standards and FAO guidelines for irrigation. Temperature is a very

Table 2. Results of Physio-chemical parameters of wastewater of the drains in winters (January) and its comparison with NEQS.

| Sr. No. | Parameter   | Unit | NEQS Value | Shahdara Drain | Mian Mir Drain | Iqbal Town Drain | Garden Town Drain | Sattu Katla Drain | Charar Drain | Hudiara Drain | Chota Ravi Drain |
|--------|-------------|------|------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|
| 1.     | pH          |      | 6 - 9      | 6.8            | 7.9            | 6.8               | 7.8               | 6.9               | 7.8          | 7.5           | 7               |
| 2.     | Temperature | °C   | 40         | 20             | 21             | 19                | 22                | 20                | 22           | 18            | 15              |
| 3.     | TSS         | mg/L | 200        | 230            | 90             | 182               | 178               | 134               | 206          | 201           | 145             |
| 4.     | TDS         | mg/L | 3500       | 1170           | 790            | 780               | 790               | 810               | 800          | 2800          | 940             |
| 5.     | Color       | TCU  | *NGVS 156  | 79             | 504            | 350               | 104               | 342               | 618          | 771           |                 |
| 6.     | COD         | mg/L | 150        | 362            | 299            | 503               | 349               | 295               | 385          | 641           | 340             |
| 7.     | BOD         | mg/L | 80         | 170            | 132            | 245               | 165               | 123               | 182          | 265           | 156             |
| 8.     | DO          | mg/L | NGVS       | 0.6            | 0.8            | 0.9               | 0.7               | 0.9               | 0.5          | 1             | 0.8             |
| 9.     | Oil and Grease | mg/L | 10       | 32             | 33             | 67                | 33                | 33                | 33           | 24            | 16              |
| 10.    | Chloride    | mg/L | 1000       | 256            | 61             | 85                | 64                | 110               | 60           | 692           | 123             |
| 11.    | Sulphate    | mg/L | 600        | 272            | 105            | 98                | 116               | 153               | 89           | 306           | 102             |
| 12.    | Calcium     | mg/L | NGVS       | 71             | 94             | 60                | 54                | 84                | 90           | 98            | 65              |
| 13.    | Magnesium   | mg/L | NGVS       | 49             | 44             | 61                | 39                | 50                | 65           | 70            | 45              |
| 14.    | Carbonate   | mg/L | NGVS       | 13             | 17             | 9                 | 10                | 12                | 15           | 20            | 8               |

Table 3. Results of analysis of Physio-chemical parameters of the wastewater of the Drains in winters (January) and its comparison with FAO guidelines for irrigation.

| Sr. No. | Parameter     | Unit | *FAO Guidelines for irrigation | Degree of restriction on use | Shahdara Drain | Mian Mir Drain | Iqbal Town Drain | Garden Town Drain | Sattu Katla Drain | Charar Drain | Hudiara Drain | Chota Ravi Drain |
|---------|---------------|------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|
|         |               |      |                                | None                        | 6.5 - 8         | 6.8            | 6.8                | 6.8                | 7.8                | 7.5           | 7              |                  |
| 1.      | pH            |      |                                | Slight to moderate          | 6.8            | 7.9            | 6.8                | 7.8                | 6.9                | 7.8          | 7.5           | 7              |
| 2.      | TDS           | mg/L | <450                           | Normal range                | 1170           | 790            | 780                | 790                | 810                | 800          | 2800          | 940             |
| 3.      | Electrical Conductivity | dS/m | <0.7                           | 0.7 - 3.0                  | 5.6            | 5.0            | 3.9                | 4.6                | 4.4                | 5.9          | 6.5           | 5.6             |
| 4.      | Sodium        | me/L | <3                             | 3 – 9                       | 46.74          | 40.57          | 47.39               | 41.30               | 46.57               | 38.70         | 56.09          | 43.04           |
| 5.      | Chloride      | me/L | <4                             | 4 – 10                      | 7.21           | 1.72           | 2.39               | 1.80                | 3.10               | 1.69          | 19.49          | 3.46            |
| 6.      | Bicarbonate   | me/L | <1.5                           | 1.5 - 8.5                   | 20.20          | 19.28          | 20.98               | 16.89               | 18.56               | 17.70         | 21.15          | 18.38           |
| 7.      | SAR           | me/L | >0.7                           | 0.7 – 0.2                   | 33.8           | 22.5           | 28.4                | 28.2                | 26.4                | 19.7         | 27.5           | 32.5            |
| 8.      | RSC           | me/L | 1.25                           | -ve                         | -ve            | -ve            | -ve                | -ve                | -ve                | -ve          | -ve            | -ve             |
Table 4. Results of analysis of heavy metals in the wastewater of the drains in winters (January) and its comparison with NEQS and FAO guidelines for irrigation.

| Sr. No. | Parameter      | Unit  | NEQS value | **FAO guidelines for irrigation** | Shahdara Drain | Mian Mir Drain | Iqbal Town Drain | Garden Town Drain | Sattu Katla Drain | Charar Drain | Hudiara Drain | Chota Ravi Drain |
|---------|----------------|-------|------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|
| 1.      | Iron           | mg/L  | 8.0        | 5.0                               | 5.3            | 1.3            | 3.3              | 2.0               | 5.5               | 1.7         | 1.9           | 2.1              |
| 2.      | Copper         | mg/L  | 1.0        | 0.20                              | 0.74           | 0.08           | 0.50             | 0.009             | 3.75              | 0.07        | 0.40          | 0.03             |
| 3.      | Nickel         | mg/L  | 1.0        | 0.20                              | 0.40           | 0.12           | 0.11             | 0.13              | 2.65              | 0.23        | 0.14          | 0.23             |
| 4.      | Chromium       | mg/L  | 1.0        | 0.10                              | *ND*           | ND             | ND               | ND                | 1.37              | ND          | ND            | ND               |

NGVS = No guideline value suggested; ND = Not detected; NEQS Values: (Pakistan, Environmental Protection Agency EPA, 1997); **FAO Guidelines for irrigation: (Pescod, 1992) *Guidelines for Interpretation of Water Quality for Irrigation; **Threshold Levels Of Trace Elements for Crop Production (phytotoxic threshold levels of some selected trace elements in municipal wastewater).

Table 5. Results of analysis of Physio-chemical parameters of wastewater of the drains in spring (March) and its comparison with NEQS.

| Sr. No. | Parameter   | Units | NEQS Value | Shahdara Drain | Mian Mir Drain | Iqbal Town Drain | Garden Town Drain | Sattu Katla Drain | Charar Drain | Hudiara Drain | Chota Ravi Drain |
|---------|-------------|-------|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|
| 1.      | pH          | -     | 6 - 9       | 7.2            | 7.5            | 7.1              | 7.6               | 7.0               | 7.7         | 7.3           | 7.0              |
| 2.      | Temperature | °C    | 40          | 25             | 26             | 24               | 25               | 26               | 28          | 24            | 25               |
| 3.      | TSS         | mg/L  | 200         | 170            | 85             | 146             | 150              | 122              | 147         | 160           | 118              |
| 4.      | TDS         | mg/L  | 3500        | 1080           | 670            | 630              | 741              | 790              | 760         | 2230          | 870              |
| 5.      | Color       | TCU   | NGVS        | 105            | 60             | 301              | 341              | 97               | 296         | 318           | 506              |
| 6.      | Oil and Grease | mg/L | 10          | 16             | 20             | 38              | 17               | 24               | 24          | 19            | 12               |
| 7.      | COD         | mg/L  | 150         | 336            | 330            | 414              | 289              | 243              | 350         | 436           | 318              |
| 8.      | BOD         | mg/L  | 80          | 152            | 124            | 196              | 146              | 118              | 162         | 204           | 135              |
| 9.      | DO          | mg/L  | NGVS        | 0.7            | 0.7            | 0.8              | 0.6              | 0.8              | 0.6         | 0.6           | 0.6              |
| 10.     | Chloride    | mg/L  | 1000        | 143            | 52             | 68               | 58               | 88               | 46          | 504           | 95               |
| 11.     | Sulphate    | mg/L  | 600         | 242            | 97             | 72               | 98               | 139              | 63          | 264           | 89               |
| 12.     | Calcium     | mg/L  | NGVS        | 65             | 82             | 55               | 51               | 75               | 82          | 85            | 58               |
| 13.     | Magnesium   | mg/L  | NGVS        | 45             | 38             | 53               | 35               | 45               | 60          | 65            | 40               |
| 14.     | Carbonate   | mg/L  | NGVS        | 11             | 14             | 5                | 7                | 9                | 13          | 16            | 6                |

Table 6. Results of analysis of Physio-chemical parameters of the wastewater of the Drains in spring (March) and its comparison with FAO guidelines for irrigation.

| Sr. No. | Parameter   | Unit | FAO Guidelines for irrigation | Shahdara Drain | Mian Mir Drain | Iqbal Town Drain | Garden Town Drain | Sattu Katla Drain | Charar Drain | Hudiara Drain | Chota Ravi Drain |
|---------|-------------|------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|
| 1.      | pH          | -    | Normal range 6.5-8            | 7.2            | 7.5            | 7.1              | 7.6               | 7.0               | 7.7         | 7.3           | 7.0              |
| 2.      | TDS         | mg/L | <450                          | 450 - 2000     | >2000          | 1080             | 670               | 630               | 741         | 790           | 760              | 2230              | 870              |
| 3.      | Electrical conductivity | dS/m | <0.7                          | 0.7 - 3.0      | >3.0           | 5.2              | 4.9               | 4.5               | 4.3         | 4.2           | 5.3              | 6.1                | 5.0               |
| 4.      | Sodium      | me/L | <3                           | 3 - 9           | >9             | 43.3             | 40                | 44.34             | 39.5        | 42.6          | 35.2             | 50                 | 36.5              |
| 5.      | Chloride    | me/L | <4                           | 4 - 10          | >10            | 4               | 1.46              | 3                | 1.63        | 2.5           | 1.3              | 14.2               | 2.7               |
| 6.      | Bicarbonate | me/L | <1.5                         | 1.5 - 8.5      | >8.5           | 20              | 19.6              | 20.2              | 16.1        | 17.9          | 16.7             | 20.3               | 17.8              |
| 7.      | SAR         | -    | >0.7                          | 0.7 - 0.2       | <0.2           | 26.5             | 29.8              | 33.3              | 27.4        | 31.3          | 23.4             | 32.0               | 29.5              |
| 8.      | RSC         | me/L | 1.25                         | -ve            | -ve            | ve               | -ve               | ve                | -ve         | ve            | ve               | -ve                | -ve               |
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Table 7. Results of analysis of heavy metals in the wastewater of the drains in spring (March) and its comparison with NEQS and FAO guidelines for irrigation.

| Sr. No. | Parameter | Unit | NEQS value | FAO guidelines for irrigation | Shahdara Drain | Mian Mir Town Drain | Iqbal Town Drain | Garden Town Drain | Sattu Katla Drain | Charar Drain | Hudiara Drain | Chota Ravi Drain |
|---------|-----------|------|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|
| 1.      | Iron      | mg/L | 8.0         | 5.0                           | 5.0           | 1.2                 | 3.0              | 1.9              | 5.3              | 1.5         | 1.6           | 2.0               |
| 2.      | Copper    | mg/L | 1.0         | 0.20                          | 0.72          | 0.04                | 0.47             | 0.008            | 3.79             | 0.04        | 0.39          | 0.01              |
| 3.      | Nickel    | mg/L | 1.0         | 0.20                          | 0.39          | 0.11                | 0.10             | 0.12             | 2.62             | 0.21        | 0.13          | 0.21              |
| 4.      | Chromium  | mg/L | 1.0         | 0.10                          | ND            | ND                  | ND               | 1.35             | ND               | ND          | ND            | ND                |

NGVS = No guideline value suggested; ND = Not detected; NEQS Values: (Environmental Protection Agency EPA, 1997); FAO Guidelines for irrigation: (Pescod, 1992) *Guidelines for Interpretation Of Water Quality For Irrigation; **Threshold Levels Of Trace Elements for Crop Production (phytotoxic threshold levels of some selected trace elements in municipal wastewater).

Figure 2. Oil and Grease in the wastewater samples in the winter and spring seasons.

**Figure 3. COD of wastewater samples in the winter and spring seasons.**

**Figure 4. BOD of wastewater samples in the winter and spring seasons.**

DO in all of the wastewater samples collected from the eight drains during the sampling period was very low in the range 0.5 - 1 mg/L, less than standard DO level. The
wastewater coming from sewage treatment plants mostly has organic materials that are decomposed by microorganisms, and uses oxygen to carry out their activities [10].

The concentration of Sulphate was in the range of 89 - 306 mg/L in January and in March it ranges from 63 to 246 mg/L which is within the NEQs. FAO guidelines for irrigation have no standard value for sulphate. Calcium is a major constituent of the surface water. The concentration of Ca in the wastewater of Hudiara, Charar and Mian Mir drain was high in both winter and spring season as compared to others. The concentration of magnesium was also high in Iqbal town drain, Hudiara and Charar drain in winter and spring season. There is no guideline value for calcium and magnesium in NEQS and FAO standards.

TDS refer to the total concentration of dissolved substances in the water. TDS is important parameter among agricultural water quality parameters. TDS plays important role in the plant growth, crop yield and quality of product [16]. The amount of TDS was again high in Hudiara drain as compared to others. Though TDS in the effluents was acceptable according to NEQs, but according to FAO guidelines for irrigation the water of the Hudiara drain is not suitable for irrigation purposes. The degree of restriction on the use of wastewater of the Hudiara drain is severe (>2000 mg/L), while for the rest of the drains it is from slight to moderate.

Water having more salt contents carries more electrical current. The electrical conductivity increases approx. 2 percent with every °C increase in temperature. It gives an indication of the amount of inorganic materials in the water including calcium, nitrogen, bicarbonate and others [16]. There is no standard value given for EC in NEQS. The results of the analysis of the wastewater showed that the degree of restriction on the use of wastewater is severe because all the drains have high EC than the standard value of FAO as shown in Figure 5.

It is important to measure chloride so that quality of the wastewater can be assessed for use in irrigation. The major source of chloride in the irrigation outlet can be treated effluents and the municipal sewage [7]. Chloride was present in the range of 60 - 692 mg/L in the samples of wastewater in the month of January while in the month of March it was in the range of 46 - 504 mg/L. When compared to NEQS, the value of chloride was within the acceptable range. But FAO guidelines for irrigation suggest that chloride concentration was above recommended limit for hudiara drain and the degree of restriction on the use of their wastewater is severe (>10 me/L). So it can be said that the water of Hudiara drain is unsuitable for irrigation purposes because it may have a harmful affect on the vegetables and crops grown by utilizing this water [17,18].

The comparison of the results of sodium in the wastewater of the drains with FAO guidelines for irrigation showed that the amount of sodium was very high in all the drains. The degree of restriction on the use of the water of these drains is severe because their concentration is very high (>9.0 me/L). High amount of sodium in the irrigation water causes toxicity problems like impaired growth, reduction in the yield of crops, change in the morphology of the plants and even its death [19].

The analysis of the bicarbonate ions in the wastewater of all the drains showed that the amount of these ions was very high in all the drains as compared to FAO guidelines for irrigation. The degree of restriction on the use of wastewater of these drains is severe because its value is very high and hence this water is not apposite for the purpose of irrigation.

Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) is an indication of the probable pressure on the soil properties caused by sodium. SAR states the concentration of sodium in water relative to calcium and magnesium. When the amount of sodium in the irrigation water exceeds than allowable limit, it disperses the soil resulting in low penetration rate of air and water into the soil. It is difficult to harvest on the dispersed soil. Soils can have excess sodium because of the wastewater irrigation that is why it is important to measure SAR [19]. The results for SAR showed that the water of these drains was not suitable for irrigation as the value of SAR was very high in both winter and spring seasons. The degree of restriction on the use of wastewater of these drains is severe.

Assessment of sodium permeability hazard is referred to as Residual sodium carbonate (RSC). It is measured by taking the bicarbonate/carbonate and calcium/magnesium concentrations in irrigation water into account. RSC is important parameter for irrigation because in it the relative concentrations of bicarbonate and carbonate are compared with the concentrations of calcium, magnesium, and sodium [19]. The results showed that the negative value of RSC when compared to the FAO guideline for irrigation (1.25 me/L) is fit for irrigation.

Iron is one of the most important micronutrient for the humans and plants. Plants especially leafy crops absorb iron in high amounts. There is confirmation of the iron...
toxicity in Indus basin aquifers [17]. The results of the chemical analysis showed that the amount of iron was within the acceptable range as compared to NEQS. According to FAO guidelines for irrigation Shahdara and Sattu Katla drain is not suitable for irrigation due to high Iron content (>5 mg/L) as shown in Figure 6.

Industrial activities, atmospheric deposition and livestock manure are the reasons of contamination of soil with Cu. Figure 7 shows the results of the analysis of Cu in the wastewater. The amount of Cu in all the drains falls within the acceptable range suggested by NEQS except in Sattu Katla drain which was very high than the standard value. Comparison of the Cu values with FAO guideline for irrigation showed that the water of Shahdara, Hudiara, Iqbal town and Sattu Katla drain is not fit for cultivation of crops.

Nickel is mostly present in those areas where domestic waste is combined with industrial wastewater [20]. Nickel is readily present in those agricultural lands where domestic wastewater is used for irrigation. High amount of Ni is toxic for the growth of plant. The results of the analysis of the wastewater of the drains of Lahore showed that the amount of Ni in all the drains was within the acceptable range of NEQS as given in Figure 8. But comparison of these values with FAO guideline for irrigation depicted that the quality of the water of Shahdara, Sattu Katla, Charar and Chota Ravi drain is not suitable for the irrigation of the crops.

The most dominant sources of Cr are phosphate fertilizers and metallurgic industries which cause atmospheric deposition. It is also released by tanning, ink manufacture, metal plating, dyes, wood preserving, textile and ceramic industries [20]. The results of the analysis of the wastewater showed that Cr was not detected into any drain except Sattu Katla drain, in concentration that exceeds both NEQs and FAO standards.

4. Conclusion

The major drains of Lahore city were originally storm water drains. But due to increase in population and rapid industrialization in the city of Lahore, the drains are now mainly used to collect the industrial and municipal effluents of diverse nature. The characterization of the wastewater of these drains showed that many parameters were higher than the prescribed limit as compared to NEQS and FAO guidelines for irrigation. These results provide strong evidence that the water of these drains is not suitable for irrigation and other domestic purposes. Therefore, there is an urgent need to take essential steps to treat industrial wastewater before discharging into these drains so that pollution load can be minimised and the water can be used for beneficial purposes.

5. Recommendations

The findings of the study make it necessary for the government and other related authorities of wastewater management to take following actions:

- The wastewater of these drains needs to be monitored on a regular basis.
- The industrial and municipal effluents should be treated before discharging into the drains and the drain discharge should also be treated before use for irrigation. The implementation and follow-up of the environmental laws should be made compulsory by all the industries.
- A detailed study should be conducted to determine heavy metals in the vegetables and soil irrigated by the water of these drains.
- Livestock should not be taken to these drains for...

Figure 6. Nickel in the wastewater samples in winter.
drinking and bathing. It can contaminate their meat and milk through accumulation of heavy metals and harmful chemicals.
• The pumping of groundwater near these drains must be shunned to lessen the hazard of waterborne diseases.
• All of the drains should be covered in order to reduce the visual impacts on the society, and drains should be lined with concrete so that the risk of seepage of toxic chemicals and heavy metals into the groundwater could be evaded.
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