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Abstract

Cluster cool cores possess networks of line-emitting filaments. These filaments are thought to originate via uplift of cold gas from cluster centers by buoyant active galactic nuclei (AGNs) bubbles, or via local thermal instability in the hot intracluster medium (ICM). Therefore, the filaments are either the signatures of AGN feedback or feeding of supermassive black holes. Despite being characterized by very short cooling times, the filaments are significant H\(\alpha\) emitters, which suggests that some process continuously powers these structures. Many cool cores host diffuse radio mini halos and AGN injecting radio plasma, suggesting that cosmic rays (CRs) and magnetic fields are present in the ICM. We argue that the excitation of Alfvén waves by CR streaming, and the replenishment of CR energy via accretion onto the filaments of high-plasma-\(\beta\) ICM characterized by low CR pressure support, can provide the adequate amount of heating to power and sustain the emission from these filaments. This mechanism does not require the CRs to penetrate the filaments, even if the filaments are magnetically isolated from the ambient ICM, and it may operate irrespectively of whether the filaments are dredged up from the center or form in situ in the ICM. This picture is qualitatively consistent with non-thermal line ratios seen in the cold filaments. Future X-ray observations of the iron line complex with XARM, Lynx, or Athena could help to test this model by providing constraints on the amount of CRs in the hot plasma that is cooling and accreting onto the filaments.
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1. Introduction

H\(\alpha\) filaments are ubiquitous in cool core galaxy clusters and giant elliptical galaxies (e.g., McDonald et al. 2010; Werner et al. 2014). These filaments are known to contain multiphase gas emitting CO lines (e.g., Salomé et al. 2006), far-infrared lines (Werner et al. 2013), near-infrared emission lines (e.g., Donahue et al. 2000), optical lines (e.g., Canning et al. 2011), and soft X-rays (Fabian et al. 2003). They are also likely to be significantly magnetized (Fabian et al. 2008).

Two leading hypotheses for the origin of these structures suggest that they formed as a result of either dredging up of the cold gas from the very centers of the potential wells of ellipticals and clusters by the active galactic nucleus (AGN; e.g., Fabian et al. 2003), or that they formed in situ in the atmospheres of these objects as a result of precipitation and are in the process of accretion (e.g., Gaspari et al. 2012; Kunz et al. 2012; McCourt et al. 2012; Li et al. 2016). Thus, the filaments most likely represent the signatures of either AGN feedback or feeding of the central supermassive black holes in clusters and ellipticals (Li et al. 2017).

A typical cooling timescale of the H\(\alpha\) filaments is much shorter than the buoyancy timescale or the dynamical time in cool cores. This suggests that the filaments need to be continuously powered or they will become invisible in the optical band. This is also consistent with the fact that most filaments do not form stars (Canning et al. 2010, 2014). Several heating processes have been suggested to explain the filament powering. Photoionization by the central AGN has been proposed as the solution (e.g., Heckman et al. 1989) but, at least in the Perseus cluster, it can be ruled out as the primary heating process due to the fact that the H\(\alpha\) luminosity does not decrease with the distance from the cluster center (Johnstone & Fabian 1988). Photoionization by massive stars has been proposed but the observed line ratios are in conflict with those seen in H\(\II\) regions (Kent & Sargent 1979) and many of the filaments do not have enough star formation (Canning et al. 2016). Shock heating has been proposed (Sabir et al. 2000; Farage et al. 2010) but can be ruled out as the general mechanism because this model overpredicts the observed O\ III line emission (Voit & Donahue 1997) and leads to tension between the expected and observed dependence of line ratios on the gas velocity dispersion (Canning et al. 2011).

X-ray heating of the filaments by the hot ambient intracluster medium (ICM) has been ruled out by the high H\(2\)/H\(\alpha\) ratios (Donahue et al. 2000). Thermal conduction of heat from the ambient medium into the filaments has been considered (Voit et al. 2008) as a promising mechanism to power the filaments but it is possible that conduction may be severely limited by plasma processes (Komarov et al. 2014, 2016; Robberg-Clark et al. 2016). Other mechanisms have been proposed and include reconnection of magnetic fields in the filaments uplifted by buoyant AGN bubbles (Churazov et al. 2013), excitation in turbulent mixing layers (Begelman & Fabian 1990; Crawford & Fabian 1992), and heating due to collisions with the energetic particles surrounding filaments (Ferland et al. 2008, 2009; Donahue et al. 2011).

Radio mini halos—diffuse radio sources comparable in size to the scales of cluster cores—have been observed in many galaxy clusters. Recent results show that the radio mini halos
CRs and magnetic fields are amplified in the filaments as a result of accretion and cooling of ambient ICN onto the filaments (see Figure 1). This mechanism should operate irrespectively of whether the filaments are dragged out of the cluster centers or formed in situ via thermal instability. Also, it does not require particles external to the filaments to penetrate them to supply adequate heating nor does it require high non-thermal pressure support in the bulk of the ICM.

2. Powering of Filaments by Cosmic Rays

2.1. Dissipation of CR Energy by Streaming Instability

In the self-confinement model (Skillman 1971; Zweibel 2013), CRs stream down their pressure gradient along the magnetic fields and scatter on self-induced magnetic field perturbations due to the so-called streaming instability. In the process, CRs experience an effective drag force and heat the thermal gas at the rate of $-v_A \cdot \nabla P_{\text{cr}}$, where $v_A = B / \sqrt{4\pi \rho}$ is the Alfvén velocity and $P_{\text{cr}}$ is the CR pressure. The efficiency of the heating due to the streaming instability $\dot{\varepsilon}_\text{si}$ can be defined as

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_\text{si} \equiv \frac{\epsilon (\Delta P_{\text{cr}})}{c_\text{s} n \kappa T},$$

where the nominator is the amount of energy generated due to the streaming instability per surface area of the filament per time, or the filament energy flux, $c_\text{s}$ is the sound speed in the ambient ICN, $T$ is the ambient temperature, $n$ is the total particle number density, and $r$ is the filament radius. Our objective is to quantify $\dot{\varepsilon}_\text{si}$ and compare it directly to the constraints on flux from the Hα-emitting filaments in the Perseus and Virgo clusters.

The magnetic field in the filaments is likely to consist of a component parallel to the length of the filaments and a turbulent component. Thus, in general we can decompose the field as $B = B_\parallel + B_\perp$, where $B_\parallel$ and $B_\perp$ are the components parallel and perpendicular to the main axis of the filament, respectively. In the process of filament formation the magnetic field is likely significantly amplified beyond its value in the ambient ICN. As the cross-section of the filament decreases, $B_\parallel \propto r^{-2} \propto \rho^{-2/3}$. Thus, if the filament initially consists of predominantly parallel component, e.g., due to the vertical stretching of the fields in the wake of a buoyantly rising bubble, then the total strength of the field $B \propto \rho^{-2/3}$. In the process of bubble rise, or during the inflow of the filaments formed in situ in the ICN, shearing motions and Kelvin–Helmholtz instability operating on the interface between the filaments and the ambient ICN will likely generate $B_\perp$ very close to the filaments. The timescale for this instability to develop is

$$t_K \sim \frac{1}{\omega_K} \sim 0.6 \, r_{30} \Delta v_{200} \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{fil}}}{\rho_{\text{ICN}}} \right) \frac{\sqrt{20}}{20} \text{Myr},$$

where $\Delta v_{200} = \Delta v / 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is the velocity difference between the filament and the ambient ICN, $r_{30} = r / 30 \text{ pc}$ is

$^6$Note that the Alfvén speed in partially ionized medium will be larger by $1/\sqrt{x}$, where $x$ is the ionization fraction. However, since Hα emission requires a significant fraction of the gas to be ionized, we ignore this term.

$^7$In Section 2.2 we discuss the coupling of CRs to the weakly ionized filament gas and argue that CRs are well coupled and can deposit energy at the rate given by Equation 1 even in the presence of ion-neutral friction damping of the self-excited Alfvén waves.
the filament radius, and \( \rho_{\text{hi}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{icm}} \) are the filament and ICM densities, respectively. Consequently, even for quite conservative parameter choices, there is ample time for this instability to tangle the magnetic fields during the bubble rise time, \( t_{\text{b}} \sim L_{\text{A}}/c_{\text{s}} \sim 15 \text{ Myr} \), in filament infall. Magnetic field tension may somewhat slow down the development of this instability. Alternatively, the filament could initially contain random fields. In this case, \( B_{\perp} \propto r^{-1} \) and \( B_{\parallel} \propto r^{-2} \), so the filament collapse would preferentially amplify \( B_{\parallel} \propto \rho^{2/3} \). Kevin–Helmholtz and other plasma instabilities (fire hose, mirror, or the streaming instability itself) could then again generate \( B_{\perp} \).

We now assume that some fraction \( f \) of the magnetic energy density will be channeled to the \( B_{\parallel} \) component and the remaining energy density to \( B_{\perp} \). Thus, we partition the energy such that

\[
B = B_0 \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{2/3}; \quad B_{\perp}^2 = \frac{B_0^2}{8\pi} \left( 1 - f \right) B_{\parallel}^2 = \frac{B_0^2}{8\pi} f \frac{B^2}{8\pi}.
\]

Using this notation, we can write the volume heating rate as

\[
-v_{\text{A}} \cdot \nabla P_{\text{cr}} \approx 2v_{\text{A,A}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{\partial P_{\text{cr}}}{\partial x} + v_{\parallel} \frac{\partial P_{\text{cr}}}{\partial z} \\
\approx 2(1 - f)^{1/2} \left( \frac{B}{4\pi \rho} \right)^{1/2} P_{\text{cr}} = 2(1 - f)^{1/2} \left( \frac{B}{4\pi \rho} \right)^{1/2} P_{\text{cr}}.
\]

where we neglected the heating associated with the CR gradient along the filament. The filament is oriented along the \( z \)-axis and the \( x \)-axis is perpendicular to it. The heating rate is limited by the maximum magnetic and CR pressures in the filament. These pressures are bounded by the pressure of the ambient ICM. Assuming that a fraction of the filament pressure support \( f_B \) can be attributed to the magnetic pressure, this argument leads to the magnetic field strength of \( B \sim B_{0}^{3/2} f_B^{1/2} \), where the plasma \( \beta \) parameter \( \beta = P_{\text{icm}}/P_{\text{B,icm}} \) where \( P_{\text{icm}} \) and \( P_{\text{B,icm}} \) are the thermal and magnetic pressures in the ambient ICM, respectively.

Note that the limit on the magnetic pressure translates into the critical density \( \sim \rho_0 \beta^{3/4} f_B^{1/4} \), where \( \rho_0 \) is the ambient ICM density in the vicinity of the filament, beyond which the magnetic pressure would exceed the thermal pressure of the ambient ICM. Similarly, we can introduce a fraction \( f_{\text{cr}} \) of CR pressure to the total pressure in the filament to find a critical filament density that corresponds to the balance of CR pressure in the filament and the ambient ICM pressure. For example, since the observed density of the H\( \alpha \)-emitting phase in the filaments is larger than the above estimate of the critical density (e.g., for \( \beta \sim 100 \), \( f_B \sim 0.5 \), and \( \rho_0 \), the critical density is \( \sim 2 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), which is likely below the density of the H\( \alpha \)-emitting phase in the filaments; see below), this suggests that the magnetic fields (and CRs) either partially dissipated their energy or partially leaked out in the process of the filament formation. Nevertheless, as mentioned below, the filament pressure support is likely to be significantly affected by these non-thermal components.

Given that both the CR and magnetic pressures scale as \( \rho^{2/3} \) in the initial stages of the filament formation (where \( \gamma_{\text{cr}} = 4/3 \) is the adiabatic index of CRs), the ratios of these pressures is constant, \( P_{\text{cr}}/P_{\text{B}} = P_{\text{cr,icm}}/P_{\text{B,icm}} = X_{\text{cr}} \beta \), where \( X_{\text{cr}} \) is the ratio of the ambient CR pressure \( P_{\text{cr,icm}} \) to the ambient thermal pressure. Thus, for representative values of \( X_{\text{cr}} \sim 0.3 \) for M87 (Pfrommer 2013) and \( \beta \sim 100 \), the CR contribution to the pressure support in cool filaments may be very important even if the CR pressure contribution to the total ICM pressure support is much smaller. More generally, Jacob & Pfrommer (2017a, 2017b) argue that typical levels of CR pressure support in cool cores may be around \( \sim 0.1 \) of the ICM pressure. Observations of M87 suggest that the filaments have not cooled down isobarically and that, indeed, a significant pressure component in the 10\( ^{6} \)K phase emitting H\( \alpha \)+[N\( \ II \)] is nonthermal in origin (Werner et al. 2013). Specifically, Werner et al. (2013) show that assuming a thermal pressure balance between the filaments and the ambient ICM implies that the density of the filament H\( \alpha \)+[N\( \ II \)] phase could be up to \( \sim 4 \) times larger than actually detected. Since the relative contributions of the CR and magnetic pressures to the overall pressure balance are uncertain, we simply parameterize them via \( f_{\text{cr}} \) and \( f_B \). Thus, the limiting value of the Alfvén speed is

\[
v_{\text{A}} = \min \left[ B_0 \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{2/3}, \frac{2 \rho_0}{\gamma \rho} \right]^{1/2} f_B^{1/2} c_{\text{so}},
\]

where \( \gamma = 5/3 \) is the adiabatic index of the thermal gas, \( \rho_0 \) is the density of the ambient ICM in the vicinity of the filament, and \( \rho \) is the density of the H\( \alpha \)-emitting phase. The last approximate equality in Equation (5) is a consequence of the fact that it is easy to amplify the magnetic field to the point where it becomes limited by the ambient ICM pressure. For typical values relevant to M87/Virgo cluster \( \rho_0 \sim 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), \( \rho \sim 30 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), and \( T \sim 1.5 \text{ keV} \) (Werner et al. 2013; Zhuravleva et al. 2014), we get \( v_{\text{A}} \sim 40 f_B^{1/2} \text{ km s}^{-1} \).

By combining Equations (1), (4), and (5) we can now write the efficiency of the filament emission as

\[
\frac{\dot{E}_{\text{si}}}{\nu} \sim \left( \frac{2 \rho_0}{\gamma \rho} \right)^{1/2} (1 - f)^{1/2} f_{\text{cr}} f_B^{1/2}.
\]

The above expression assumes that a filament is volume filling. It has been suggested (Fabian et al. 2011; Werner et al. 2013) that filaments may consist of substrands of cold gas. While this suggestion is based on the assumption that the filament cooling is isobaric, structures smaller than few tens of pc do remain unresolved by Hubble, which is consistent with the hypothesis that the filaments may consist of a number of subfilaments corresponding to certain volume filling factor, \( f_{\nu} \) and covering factor, \( f_{\nu} \). Assuming that a filament consists of \( N \) strands each characterized by radius \( \lambda \), the total flux through the side surface enclosing the volume occupied by the original volume filling filament is

\[
\frac{N \pi \lambda L}{2 \pi r L} (-v_{\text{A}} \cdot \nabla P_{\text{cr}}) \approx \frac{1}{2r} N \pi \lambda v_{\text{A}} P_{\text{cr}} P_{\text{cr}} \lambda \sim f_{\nu} v_{\text{A}} P_{\text{cr}},
\]

where the last approximate equality comes from the definition of the surface covering factor \( f_{\nu} \equiv (2\pi L N)/(2\pi r L) \), which is the ratio of the sum of the projected side surface area of the strands comprising the filament to the size of the projected side of the original volume filling filament. Using Equations (6) and (7) we can now write the efficiency of a filament consisting
of a number of strand as

$$\epsilon_{si} \sim f_A \sqrt{\gamma}, \quad \tilde{\epsilon}_{si} \sim \left(\frac{2}{\gamma} \frac{\rho_p}{\rho}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - f\right)^{1/2} f_{cr} \frac{f_{cr}}{f_A} f_{cr} f_{A}. \quad (8)$$

Note that if a significant substructure in the filaments is also seen along the major filament axes, then the contribution from CR streaming parallel to the filaments should also be included in Equation (4). Adding this component would further increase the expected heating efficiency.

Using M87 data we can now estimate the observed filament efficiency $\epsilon_{MB7}$. Assuming that the bolometric flux is 20 times larger than the H$_{\alpha}$ flux, the observed bolometric flux is $2.2 \times 10^{-3}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Werner et al. 2013). From the definition of $\epsilon$, this flux needs to be compared to $\sim \epsilon_{MB7} n_e k_B T_c$, where $n_e$ and $T$ are the electron number density and gas temperature in the ambient ICM in the vicinity of the filament, respectively. Werner et al. (2013) suggest $\epsilon_{MB7} \sim 0.1$, but the exact value depends on the assumed ambient gas density and temperature, which are uncertain. Using representative electron number density $n_e \sim 0.1$ cm$^{-3}$ and the gas temperature $T \sim 1.5$ keV (Zhuravleva et al. 2014), we obtain $\epsilon_{MB7} \sim 0.073$. As an example, in order to reconcile efficiency $\epsilon_{si} \sim 0.1$ with our model, we require only a modest covering factor $f_A \sim 7$ for plausible parameter choices $f = 1/3$, $f_B = 1/4$, and $f_{cr} = 1/2$. An example set of model parameters that simultaneously satisfies observational constraints is shown in Table 1.

### 2.2. Coupling of CRs to the Weakly Ionized Filament Gas

Coupling of CRs to gas demands that CRs scatter sufficiently frequently on the self-excited Alfvén waves. At gas temperatures $\sim 10^4$K, which correspond to the H$_{\alpha}$-emitting phase, the filament gas will become partially ionized. Under such conditions ion-neutral damping of the CR-excited Alfvén waves may become strong and the CRs may decouple from the gas and may not deposit their energy (Kulsrud & Cesarsky 1971).

In order to assess if this decoupling affects transport of CRs in the H$_{\alpha}$-emitting plasma, we can estimate CR drift speed, $u_A$, by comparing the streaming instability growth rate (Wentzel 1968; Kulsrud & Pearce 1969; Skilling 1971; Kulsrud 2005) to the ion-neutral damping rate (Kulsrud & Cesarsky 1971). The growth rate is given by

$$\Gamma = \frac{\pi}{4} \Omega_0 n_{cr} n - 3 \frac{u_A}{v_A} - 1, \quad (9)$$

where $\Omega_0 = eB/m_p c$ is the non-relativistic gyrofrequency, $n_{cr}$ and $n$ are the number density of CRs and ions, respectively, $n$ is the ion component parallel to the filament compared to the total magnetic pressure ($f$).

### Table 1

| Ambient ICM | Filaments |
|-------------|------------|
| $\beta$ | $X_{cr}$ | $f_A$ | $f_{cr}$ | $f_B$ | $f_{cr}$ |
| 100 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.66 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.33 |

Note. An example set of model parameters that simultaneously satisfies observational constraints (see text for details).

* From left to right the columns show plasma $\beta$ in the ambient ICM, ratio of CR pressure to the total ICM pressure in the cluster cool core ($X_{cr}$), heating efficiency $\epsilon_{cr}$, product of the filament covering fraction ($f_A$) and the ratio ($f_{cr}$) of the speed of gas accretion onto the filaments to the ambient ICM sound speed, ratio of the CR-to-total pressure in the filaments ($f_{cr}$), ratio of the magnetic-to-total pressure in the filaments ($f_B$), and the fraction of magnetic pressure in the component parallel to the filament compared to the total magnetic pressure ($f$).
et al. (2013b) use \( p/p_e = 100 \). However, a representative value of \( p/p_e \) is the ratio of the CR momentum corresponding to the average CR energy \( \langle E \rangle \) to the cutoff momentum, i.e., 
\[
p((E))/p_e \approx 3,
\]
which depends weakly on the minimum CR energy. Thus, for \( n_H = 30 \text{ cm}^{-3}, n_{cr} = 1.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{cm}^{-3}, \) and \( x = 0.5 \) (which corresponds to the values corresponding used in the previous paragraph), we get \( \lambda_{cr}/L_{cr} \approx 4 \times 10^{-5} \), which implies that CRs are still trapped and can deposit their energy inside the filaments.

2.3. Resupply of Energy

The energy radiated away by the filaments needs to be resupplied to them at the same rate. This energy could be replenished either by allowing CRs to escape along the wake of the rising bubbles where the filaments may be preferentially located (Ruszkowski et al. 2008) or by accretion onto the filaments of new gas from the tenuous phase of the ICM. It can be demonstrated that while the fraction of bubble energy that would need to leak out into the wake region for this balance to occur is much smaller than unity, the timescale over which this energy is radiated away as the CRs travel down the wake is significantly shorter than the bubble rise time or the timescale over which the CRs could propagate along the filaments. Therefore, we instead turn our attention to the latter idea where CR energy is supplied by accretion of ambient ICM onto the filaments. In this case, the above timescale issue is naturally circumvented because CRs are delivered at all of the locations along the filament simultaneously. However, the issue of whether sufficient power is supplied to the filaments needs to be addressed. An additional advantage of this mode of energy resupply is that the filaments need not be located in the filaments trailing behind buoyant AGN bubbles.

The timescale over which the CR energy is lost to the heating of the gas inside the filaments is the ratio of the energy per surface area surrounding the entire bundle of subfilaments to the energy flux corresponding to that surface area,
\[
\tau_{\text{heat}} \approx \frac{f_{\text{cr}} P_{\text{icm}} \gamma_{\text{cr}} - 1}{2 \pi \gamma_{\text{cr}} \ell_{\text{si}}^2 \rho_{\text{icm}} P_{\text{icm}} / \Lambda_{\text{c}} / \Lambda_{\text{icm}}}. \tag{14}
\]

Therefore, the ratio of the CR power is
\[
P_{\text{heat}} = \frac{P_{\text{heat}}}{P_{\text{supply}}} = \frac{f_{\text{cr}} P_{\text{icm}} \gamma_{\text{cr}} - 1}{2 \pi \gamma_{\text{cr}} \ell_{\text{si}}^2 \rho_{\text{icm}} P_{\text{icm}} / \Lambda_{\text{c}} / \Lambda_{\text{icm}}}
\]

\[
\text{to the power supplied by accretion onto the region containing all subfilaments of the ICM gas containing CRs,}
\]
\[
P_{\text{supply}} \approx 2 \pi r L_{\text{f}} f_{\text{cr}} P_{\text{cr,icm}} \ell_{\text{f}} \tag{16}
\]

is
\[
P_{\text{supply}} \approx f_{\text{cr}} X_{\text{cr}} \ell_{\text{f}} \rho_{\text{cr,icm}} \ell_{\text{f}} \tag{17}
\]

where \( \ell_{\text{f}} \) is the speed of accretion in the direction perpendicular to the filaments. We note that stretching of the filaments along their axes (e.g., due to uplift) results in their narrowing, accretion of ambient ICM, and non-zero \( \ell_{\text{f}} \) (Churazov et al. 2013). However, much faster accretion occurs due to fast radiative cooling of the ICM in the vicinity of the filaments. The pressure in the ICM surrounding the filaments is dominated by thermal gas pressure. However, close to the filaments radiative losses are large and the thermal energy is quickly radiated away. When the cooling timescale, \( t_{\text{cool}} \sim k_{\text{t}} T_{\text{c}} n_e \), becomes shorter than the sound crossing time, \( t_{\text{c}} \sim r/c_{\text{s}} \), the gas is no longer supported by thermal pressure and begins to flow toward the filaments due to pressure gradients at speeds that are no longer negligible compared to the sound speed in the ambient ICM. Thus, from Equation (17), we see that the rate of the energy supply can match the rate at which the energy is dissipated. A conservative estimate for these timescales for parameters representative of conditions near M87 filaments \( (r \sim 30 \text{ pc}, n_e \sim 30 \text{ cm}^{-3}, T \sim 10^8 \text{K}, \lambda \sim 10^{-2} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}) \) is \( t_{\text{cool}} \sim 1.5 \times 10^7 \text{ yr} \) and \( t_{\text{c}} \sim 2 \times 10^5 \text{ yr} \). While the exact value of the cooling function near \( 10^8 \text{K} \) varies significantly with temperature, the accreting ICM needs to transition through the peak in the cooling function near \( 10^5 \text{K} \) at which point the cooling time is even shorter than the above estimate. Thus, cooling essentially tends to be isochoric near the filaments and the accretion onto the filaments begins to accelerate. This observation agrees with the findings of Li & Bryan (2014), who study the internal structure of the cold filaments forming in the ICM due to thermal instability. In particular, they find that the thermal pressure support in the cold phase is significantly lower than that in the ambient ICM and that the cold phase accretes from the hot ICM at a significant fraction of the hot phase sound speed albeit with large uncertainties. This leads to an interesting prediction that in a narrow layer surrounding the filaments the gas should be approaching the filaments at a non-negligible fraction of the sound speed in the ambient ICM. Detecting such gas would require very good spectral and spatial resolution in the soft X-rays.

Associated with this CR energy resupply is the mass accretion rate that we estimate to be \( M \sim 2 \pi f_{\text{cr}} r L_{\text{f}} \rho_{\text{cr}} c_{\text{cr}} \ell_{\text{f}} \), where \( f_{\text{cr}} \) is the fraction of the speed of sound of the inflowing material. For \( n_e \sim 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}, c_{\text{cr}} \sim 625 \text{ km s}^{-1}, \) and \( r \sim 30 \text{ pc}, L \sim 5 \text{kpc}, \) we get \( M \sim 1.4 f_{\text{cr}} f_{\text{cr}} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \). We note that this accretion rate will be reduced for filaments at larger distances from the cluster center where the ambient ICM density is lower. We also point out that, unlike the CR component of the gas advected close to the filaments, the thermal energy of this gas is low due to very fast cooling and, consequently, mixing in of the thermal component into the filaments does not significantly contribute to the filament powering. We note that our mechanism does not rely on the penetration of the filaments by external particles in order to provide adequate heating. In our model CRs are simply advected with the magnetized ambient gas that is accreting onto the filaments and these CRs do not need to propagate across the magnetic fields during the process of accretion. Furthermore, it is plausible that the magnetic fields in the ambient ICM are less tangled than in the filaments, and if so, in the process of accretion onto the filaments these external fields could shield the filaments and prevent filament evaporation due to thermal conduction even if conduction remains unsuppressed.

We can translate the gas accretion rates on individual filaments into the overall cooling rates. In the Virgo cluster the number of filaments appears to be smaller than for those in the Perseus cluster, so in order to put conservative constraints on the overall cooling rates here we consider the Perseus cluster. Using data for the northern filament in Perseus we get
\( \dot{M} \sim 1.9 f_f f_a M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \), where we assume an ambient temperature of \( \sim 4 \text{ keV} \), an ambient density of \( 0.04 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), and the filament length of 9 kpc and radius of 35 pc. For example, for \( f_f f_a \sim 1/1.5 \) and \( X_{\text{CR}} \sim 0.15 \), we can ensure that the supplied and dissipated heat are comparable (c.f. Equation (17)). Using filament \( \text{H}_\alpha \) flux of \( 7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Fabian et al., 2011), we get an \( \text{H}_\alpha \) luminosity of \( \sim 1.3 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg} \text{ s}^{-1} \). We now rescale the mass accretion rate by the ratio of the total \( \text{H}_\alpha \) luminosity, \( \sim 10^{42} \text{ erg} \text{ s}^{-1} \), to that of the northern filament, and obtain the total cooling rate of \( \sim 95 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \), which is broadly consistent with the observations. In estimating the total \( \text{H}_\alpha \) luminosity based on the results of Heckman et al. (1989), we excluded the emission from within \( \sim 10 \) kpc away from the cluster center because the elongated filaments, the emission from which is the focus of this paper, are located outside this region. Excluding this emission reduces the \( \text{H}_\alpha \) luminosity by about a factor of two. Note also that any diffuse \( \text{H}_\alpha \) emission remains unexplained by our model as our heating mechanism requires significant CR gradients to be present. Alternatively, following Ferland et al. (2009) we could use an \( \text{H}_\alpha \) luminosity of \( \sim 7 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg} \text{ s}^{-1} \) for the Horseshoe filament that is approximately two times shorter. Note that the Gemini Multi-Object Spectrograph (GMOS) slit size that is used to extract this luminosity is larger than the filament radius assumed above. However, since \textit{Hubble Space Telescope} measurements indicate that the filament is significantly narrower than the slit width, we assume that this emission comes entirely from within this radius. Under these assumptions, the total cooling rates based on the Horseshoe filament are essentially the same as those for the northern filament.

### 2.3.1. Advection of CRs onto the Filaments

As mentioned in Section 2.1, some fraction of CR and magnetic energy either partially dissipated or leaked out of the filaments. This is indeed required if the model is to explain why the observed density of the \( \text{H}_\alpha \) gas exceeds the critical density that one would expect if the filaments were supported by the magnetic and CR pressure. Our filament heating model relies on the self-confinement picture of CR transport, where the gas is heated via the streaming instability and transport occurs via streaming. In the extrinsic turbulence model, where the transport occurs via diffusion, CRs do not heat the gas as they are transported down the CR pressure gradients. Thus, by construction, our model does require at least CR streaming to be present. In this section we argue that the escape of CRs from the filaments due to CR streaming does not have to be very efficient, but that diffusion (if present) could help to remove some CRs.

Whether CRs can escape the filament depends on the competition between the accretion speed of the ambient gas onto the filaments and the speed at which CRs stream out of the filaments. As argued above, accretion should occur at some fraction of the sound speed in the hot ambient ICM as this ambient gas responds to rapid loss of pressure in the very vicinity of the filament caused by fast cooling of the gas near the peak of the cooling function. Therefore, in the estimates of the accretion and streaming speeds discussed below, we use parameters representative of the conditions in the accreting gas.

In the self-confinement model, CRs stream at the Alfvén speed but damping of self-excited waves can increase CR propagation speed. Under conditions relevant to those in the vicinity of the filaments, turbulent damping dominates over nonlinear Landau damping. Equating the wave growth rate to the dissipation rate, one can derive the effective CR transport speed (e.g., Wiener et al. 2013a; Ruszkowski et al. 2017a) as

\[
\nu_s = v_A \left( 1 + 0.08 \frac{B_{10}^{1/2} n_{i,-2}^{1/2}}{L_{10}^{1/2} \text{ mhd}^{10} n_{e,-9}} \right),
\]

where \( n_{i,-2} = n_i/10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and \( n_{e,-9} = n_e/10^{-9} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) are the ion and CR number densities, respectively, \( B_{10} = B/10 \mu \text{G} \), and \( L_{\text{mhd},10} = L_{\text{mhd}}/10 \) kpc is the scale where turbulence is Alfvénic (we assumed that the slope of the CR momentum distribution is 4.6 and the average CR Lorentz factor is 3). Assuming conservatively that \( L_{\text{mhd},10} = 0.1 \), and using \( X_e = 0.1 \), \( n_{e,-2} = 10 \), minimum energy of CRs of 1 GeV, and the ICM temperature of 1.5 keV, we get \( n_{e,-2} \sim 51 \). For plasma \( \beta \sim 10^2 \), the CR streaming speed is \( \nu_s \sim v_A \).

In the high-plasma-\( \beta \) gas, such as the ICM surrounding the filament, Landau damping can boost the CR propagation speed beyond that expected in the presence of just turbulent damping such that the second term on the right-hand side of Equation (18) is multiplied by \( \beta^{1/2} \sim 10 \) (Wiener et al. 2018). This leads to a moderately super-Alfvénic CR transport speed \( \nu_s \sim 1.2 v_A \). Given that the Alfvén speed is a fraction of the sound speed, \( v_A/c_s = [2/(\gamma/3)]^{1/2} \sim 0.1 \), the CR streaming speed can be comparable to the speed of the ICM accreting onto the filament, but it does not necessarily exceed the accretion speed (even when the covering factor \( f_A > 1 \); see a discussion of mass accretion rate in Section 2.3)

If CR transport occurs via diffusion, then the CR transport speed \( \kappa / r \sim 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (assuming diffusion coefficient \( \kappa \sim 10^{28} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \) and \( r \sim 30 \) pc) could exceed the accretion speed if the latter occurs at a fraction of the ambient ICM sound speed, \( \sim 5 \times 10^7 \text{ cm} \text{s}^{-1} \). The average transport of CRs out of the filaments could be reduced if the magnetic field inside the filaments is dominated by the parallel component (large \( f \); e.g., due to filament uplift by AGN bubbles or radial infall toward the center). In order to ensure that the filaments are efficiently heated in this case, we require that \( (1-f)/f_A \) remains unaltered (c.f. Equation (8)). This implies larger covering factors, \( f_A \), and a consequently smaller gas accretion speed onto the filaments to ensure that the ratio \( \nu_s/\nu_{\text{c}} \sim 1 \) and that the total mass accretion rate remains consistent with observations (see Section 2.3). Such reduced accretion speed could occur when the gas inflow toward the filaments is slowed by non-thermal pressure support that is required by our model. A reduced accretion speed means that CRs could nevertheless be escaping, and the escape would be faster than in the streaming case discussed above. However, even if nominally the CR escape speed exceeds the accretion speed, the average transport of CRs away from the filaments is not likely to be efficient once CRs reach the regions immediately adjacent to the filaments where the CR pressure gradient will vanish. These CRs will still be subject to preferential advection of the ICM toward the filaments and we speculate that CRs could be mixed into the cold filament gas via instabilities occurring on the ICM-filament interface. Nevertheless, as required by our model, some escape of CRs from the filaments could occur in this case.

### 2.4. Dissipation of CR Energy by Other Mechanisms

#### 2.4.1. Turbulent Dissipation

The gas inside the filaments may be turbulent and the dissipation of this turbulence could, in principle, also contribute
to the filament powering. In order to obtain a very rough estimate of the turbulent dissipation rate, we assume that the velocity dispersion $\sigma$ in the filaments is at best comparable to the sound speed in the H$\alpha$-emitting filament gas. Otherwise, there should be evidence for shock heating but that is not observed. In general, shock heating should lead to a correlation between [N II]/H$\alpha$ emission line ratios and the velocity dispersion of the gas, but such correlations have not been detected in the Perseus and Centaurus clusters where this issue was studied (Hatch et al. 2006; Canning et al. 2011; albeit the caveat that these models did not incorporate non-thermal pressure which could affect the nature of the shocks). The absence of shocks implies that

$$\sigma \lesssim \sqrt{1 + \frac{\gamma c_s f_c + f_B}{\gamma f_k}} c_{s,0},$$

(19)

where $f_k$ is fraction of thermal pressure support in the filament and $c_{s,0}$ is the sound speed in the absence of any non-thermal pressure in the filament for 10$^4$ K. For $f_k = f_c = f_B = 1/3$, we get $\sigma \approx 24$ km s$^{-1}$. The turbulent power $L_{\text{turb}} \approx 1.5 M_{\text{fil}} \sigma^2 / l_{\text{turb}}$. Typical masses of filaments are in the range from $M_{\text{fil}} \sim 10^4$ to $10^6 M_\odot$ (Conselice et al. 2001). Using a filament mass of $10^5 M_\odot$ and assuming a turbulence injection scale comparable to the filament width $l_{\text{turb}} = 60$ pc (c.f. Canning et al. 2016 who use smaller value), we get $L_{\text{turb}} \approx 2 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which is a few percent of the H$\alpha$ luminosity of the resolved Horseshoe or Northern filaments in Perseus. This is not a strict upper limit on the contribution of turbulent dissipation as the filament may consist of a number of subfilaments. However, inside the filaments the H$\alpha$ phase, while possibly not completely volume filling, may be more volume filling than the phase corresponding to the dense molecular gas. Furthermore, the power contributed by turbulent dissipation depends on the uncertain mass in the H$\alpha$ phase in the filament, and that mass is smaller than the total $M_{\text{fil}}$ mass of the filament adopted above. Most importantly however, the measurements of internal turbulence in the filaments are very difficult because of limited spatial resolution. Current measurement of the velocity dispersion inside the filaments are very likely to be significantly underestimated due to filament or subfilament confusion (Canning et al. 2016) and consequently velocity dispersions could be consistent with values lower than those adopted above. We thus conclude that it is at least plausible that turbulent heating is not the dominant powering mechanism.

### 2.4.2. Hadronic, Ionization, and Coulomb Losses

In addition to the heating associated with the CR streaming instability, other mechanisms may be responsible for the transfer of energy from CRs to the thermal gas. Specifically, CRs will suffer hadronic, ionization, and Coulomb losses. Assuming the energy density in CRs is dominated by protons at $\sim 3$ GeV, the corresponding cooling times can be approximated as (Yoast-Hull et al. 2013) $t_{\text{hadron}} \approx 1.8 \times 10^8 n_p^{-1}$ yr, $t_{\text{ion}} \approx 5.2 \times 10^8 n_e^{-1}$ yr, and $t_{\text{coulomb}} \approx 3.1 \times 10^8 n_e^{-1}$ yr, where $n_p$ is the number density of neutral medium. Direct observational constraints on the gas density in the H$\alpha$-emitting phase in M87 can be obtained from [S II] $\lambda 6716$/[S II] $\lambda 6731$ line ratios (Werner et al. 2013) and yield a particle density of $n_{\text{part}} \approx 2 n_e \approx 30$ cm$^{-3}$. If we conservatively assume that $n_e \sim 0.5 n_p$ for these conditions, and also conservatively assume that $n_n \sim n_p$ and use $n_p \sim 30$ cm$^{-3}$, then all of these timescales exceed the heating timescale (c.f. Equation (14)),

$$f_{\text{heat}} \lesssim \frac{f_{\text{cr}}}{2(c_{\text{cr}} - 1) c_s} r \sim 10^6 c_s \text{yr},$$

(20)

for $r \sim 30$ pc, and where the upper limit comes from considering just a single filament. This implies that the CR heating in the H$\alpha$-emitting phase is dominated by that due to the streaming instability.

### 3. Summary and Conclusions

We presented a model for the powering of H$\alpha$ filaments by CRs. The main conclusions presented in this paper can be summarized as follows:

1. We suggest that the CR streaming instability could be a significant contributor to the sustained powering of H$\alpha$ filaments in the atmospheres of galaxy clusters and elliptical galaxies. The proposed mechanism offers an alternative to other filament heating mechanisms such as magnetic field reconnection (that may operate in the wakes of rising AGN bubbles; Churazov et al. 2013), excitation of turbulent mixing layers (Crawford & Fabian 1992), and heating due to collisions with the energetic particles surrounding filaments (that may require penetration of filaments by energetic particles; Ferland et al. 2009).

2. The proposed mechanism should operate irrespectively of whether the filaments are dredged up by AGN bubbles or form in situ in the ICM via local thermal instability, and it does not rely on the filaments being magnetically connected to the ambient ICM.

3. Heating of the filaments is likely to be significant even if the magnetic and CR pressure support in the bulk of the ICM is very low compared to that of the thermal ICM pressure.
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