A presentation for the pure Hilden group

Stephen Tawn

27 February 2009

Abstract

Consider the unit ball, \( B = D \times [0,1] \), containing \( n \) unknotted arcs \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \) such that the boundary of each \( a_i \) lies in \( D \times \{0\} \). The Hilden (or Wicket) group is the mapping class group of \( B \) fixing the arcs \( a_1 \cup a_2 \cup \ldots \cup a_n \) setwise and fixing \( D \times \{1\} \) pointwise. This group can be considered as a subgroup of the braid group. The pure Hilden group is defined to be the intersection of the Hilden group and the pure braid group.

In a previous paper we computed a presentation for the Hilden group using an action of the group on a cellular complex. This paper uses the same action and complex to calculate a finite presentation for the pure Hilden group. The framed braid group acts on the pure Hilden group by conjugation and this action is used to reduce the number of cases.

1 Introduction

Given a braid \( b \in B_{2n} \) on \( 2n \) strings we can produce a link by taking its plat closure. This is formed by adding semi-spherical caps and cups connecting consecutive pairs of strings at the top and at the bottom.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{a}_1 \\
\text{d}_1 \\
\text{a}_2 \\
\text{d}_2 \\
\vdots \\
\text{a}_n \\
\text{d}_n 
\end{array} \]

Figure 1: The caps \( a_i \) and discs \( d_i \)

Let \( a = a_1 \cup a_2 \cup \ldots \cup a_n \) be the \((0,2n)\)-tangle given by the caps. The Hilden (or wicket) subgroup of the braid group is the stabiliser of \( a \) under the action of the braid group on the set of \((0,2n)\)-tangles.

\[ H_{2n} = \{ b \in B_{2n} \mid a b = a \} \]

We define the pure Hilden group to be the intersection of the Hilden group and the pure braid group.

\[ PH_{2n} = P_{2n} \cap H_{2n} \]

There are two moves that can be performed on a braid \( b \in B_{2n} \) which leave its plat closure unchanged. A double coset move where you multiply on the
left and right by elements of the Hilden group and a stabilisation move where you add two extra strings on the right and then multiply by $\sigma_{2n}$. Birman\[1\] has shown that any two braids with isotopic plat closures can be related by a sequence of these double coset and stabilisation moves.

Generators for the equivalent subgroup of the braid group of the sphere were found by Hilden\[5\] and a finite presentation for the Hilden group was calculated independently by the author\[9\] and Brendle–Hatcher\[3\].

If we shift the cups so that the first string is connected to the last, the second to the third, etc., then we get a modified form of plat closure (or short-circuit map) which takes pure braids to knots. Now the stabiliser of the cups is different to that of the caps and we can use inclusion for the stabilisation move. Mostovoy–Stanford\[8\] show that if you take the limit of this system of inclusions then modified plat closure induces a bijection between $\mathbf{PH}_\infty^\text{top} \setminus \mathbf{P}_\infty / \mathbf{PH}_\infty^\text{bottom}$ and the set of oriented links.

In this paper we will compute a finite presentation for the pure Hilden group $\mathbf{PH}_{2n}$.

**Theorem 1.** The pure Hilden group has a finite presentation with generating set $S$ and relations $R$

\[
\mathbf{PH}_{2n} = \langle S \mid R \rangle
\]

where $S$ and $R$ are as follows.

Let \( S = \{p_{ij}, \ x_{ij}, \ y_{ij}, \ t_k \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n\} \)

where $p_{ij} = p_{ji}, \ x_{ij} = x_{ji}, \ y_{ij} = y_{ji}$ and $t_k$ are the following elements of $\mathbf{PH}_{2n}$.

Here all of the remaining strings lie behind those shown.

\[
\begin{align*}
p_{ij} &= \begin{array}{c}
  \includegraphics[width=0.2\textwidth]{p-ij}\end{array} & x_{ij} &= \begin{array}{c}
  \includegraphics[width=0.2\textwidth]{x-ij}\end{array} & y_{ij} &= \begin{array}{c}
  \includegraphics[width=0.2\textwidth]{y-ij}\end{array} & t_k &= \begin{array}{c}
  \includegraphics[width=0.05\textwidth]{t-k}\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

Let $R$ be the following relations.

\[
\begin{align*}
p_{ij} t_k &= t_k p_{ij} & (C\text{-pt}) \\
t_i t_j &= t_j t_i & (C\text{-tt}) \\
x_{ij} t_k &= t_k x_{ij} & i < j \quad k \neq i & (C\text{-xt}) \\
y_{ij} t_k &= t_k y_{ij} & i < j \quad k \neq j & (C\text{-yt}) \\
\alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl} &= \beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij} & & \alpha, \beta \in \{p, x, y\}, \quad (i, j, k, l) \text{ cyclically ordered} & (C1) \\
\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} &= \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij} & (i, j, k) \text{ cyclically ordered}, & (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \text{ as in Table 1} & (C2) \\
\alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{ji} p_{jk}^{-1} &= p_{jk} \beta_{ji} p_{jk}^{-1} \alpha_{ik} & \alpha, \beta \in \{p, x, y\}, & (i, j, k, l) \text{ cyclically ordered} & (C3) \\
x_{ij} p_{ij} t_i &= p_{ij} t_i x_{ij} & i < j & (M\text{-x}) \\
y_{ij} p_{ij} t_j &= p_{ij} t_j y_{ij} & i < j & (M\text{-y})
\end{align*}
\]
As with the braid group, the Hilden group can be viewed as a mapping class group. Let $B_3^+$ be a half ball such that it contains the caps and let $S_2^+ = \partial B_3^+$ be its boundary. The half ball and half sphere intersect the plane in a 2-ball $B^2$ and a circle $S^1$. We now have that $H_{2n} = \text{MCG}(B_3^+, a, S_2^+)$, i.e. the group of isotopy classes of self homeomorphisms of $B_3^+$ which preserve a setwise and $S_2^+$ pointwise. The inclusion $(B^2, \partial a, S^1) \hookrightarrow (B_3^+, a, S_2^+)$ induces the embedding $H_{2n} \hookrightarrow B_{2n}$.

In [9] we used the mapping class viewpoint to define an action of the Hilden group on a cellular complex. We then used the method of Hatcher–Thurston[4], Wajnryb[10][12][11], etc. to compute a presentation from this action. In this paper we will use the same method with the same complex and action to compute a presentation for the pure Hilden group.

We recall the method in Section 2, the complex in Section 3 and go on to compute the vertex stabiliser and edge orbits in Section 4 and Section 5. To reduce the number of cases we will use an action of the framed braid group on the pure Hilden group. The required properties of this action are given in Section 6. In Sections 7, 8 and 9, we make use of this action to show that the $R_1$, $R_2$ and $R_3$ relations follow from $R$. We then finish by constructing this action and showing that it satisfies the required properties in Section 10.

2 The method

We will now summarise §2 of [9] which in turn follows §2 "Une Méthode pour présenter G" of Laudenbach[6]. This is the method used by Hatcher–Thurston[4], Wajnryb[10][12][11], etc. to calculate presentations for surface and handlebody mapping class groups.

Suppose that $X$ is a connected simply-connected cellular 2-complex such that each attaching map is injective and that each cell is uniquely determined by its boundary. Suppose that $G$ is a group acting cellularly on the right of $X$, and that this action is transitive on the vertex set $X^0$. Pick a vertex $v_0 \in X^0$ as a basepoint and let $H$ denote its stabiliser in $G$, i.e. $H = \{g \in G \mid v_0 \cdot g = v_0\}$. Suppose that $H$ has a presentation with generating set $S_0$ and relations $R_0$, i.e. $H = \langle S_0 \mid R_0 \rangle$.

Given vertices $u, v \in X^0$ such that $\{u, v\}$ is the boundary of an edge of $X$ we will write $(u, v)$ for this (oriented) edge. Given a sequence $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$ of vertices such that either $v_i = v_{i+1}$ or $(v_i, v_{i+1})$ forms an edge we will write $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k)$ for the path traversing these edges. Whenever $v_i = v_{i+1}$ we shall

![Table 1: The values of $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ for $(C2)$](image)

In fact Table 1 lists all possible triples for which $(C2)$ holds. These were found using the Magma computational algebra system[2].
say that \( v_i \) is a stationary point.

Suppose that \( \{ e_\lambda \}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \) is a set of representatives for the orbits of the edges of \( X \), i.e. \( X^1 = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} e_\lambda G \) and \( e_\lambda G = e_{\lambda'} G \) only if \( \lambda = \lambda' \). Since the action of \( G \) is transitive on \( X^0 \) we may assume that each \( e_\lambda \) starts at \( v_0 \) and that we can find \( r_\lambda \in G \) such that each \( e_\lambda = (v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda) \). Let \( S_1 = \{ r_\lambda \}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \).

Suppose that \( \{ f_\mu \}_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \) is a set of representatives for the orbits of the faces of \( X \). Again, since the action is transitive on \( X^0 \), we may assume that the boundary of each face \( f_\mu \) contains the vertex \( v_0 \).

**Definition 2.** An \( h \)-product of length \( k \) is a word of the form

\[
h_{k+1} r_{\lambda_k} h_k r_{\lambda_{k-1}} h_{k-1} \cdots r_{\lambda_1} h_1
\]

where each \( \lambda_i \in \Lambda \) and each of the \( h_i \) are words in \( H \). To each \( h \)-product we can associate an edge path \( P = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k) \) in \( X \) starting at \( v_0 \) then visiting the vertices \( v_1 = v_0 \cdot r_{\lambda_k} h_1, v_2 = v_0 \cdot r_{\lambda_2} h_2 r_{\lambda_1} h_1, \) etc. This means that the edge \( (v_{i-1}, v_i) \) is in the orbit of \( (v_0, v_0 \cdot r_{\lambda_i}) \). Given any edge path starting at \( v_0 \) we can choose an \( h \)-product to represent it.

We can now choose the following three sets of relations.

\( R_1 \): For each edge orbit representative \( e_\lambda \) pick a generating set \( T \) for the stabiliser of this edge, i.e. \( T = \text{Stab}_G(v_0) \cap \text{Stab}_G(v_0 \cdot r_\lambda) \). For each \( t \in T \) we have the relation \( r_\lambda t r_\lambda^{-1} = h \) for some word \( h \in H \).

\( R_2 \): For each \( e_\lambda \) we have a relation \( r_\lambda h r_\lambda = h' \) where the LHS is a choice of \( h \)-product for the path \( (v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda, v_0) \) and \( h' \) is some word in \( H \).

\( R_3 \): For each face orbit representative \( f_\mu \) with boundary \( (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_0) \) choose an \( h \)-product representing this path and a word \( h \in H \) such that \( r_{\lambda_k} h_{k} \cdots r_{\lambda_1} h_1 = h \).

**Theorem 3.** The group \( G \) has a presentation with generators \( S_0 \) and \( S_1 \) and relation \( R_0, R_1, R_2 \) and \( R_3 \).

\[
G = \langle S_0 \cup S_1 | R_0 \cup R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3 \rangle
\]

### 3 The complex

An embedded disc \( D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3_+ \) is said to **cut out** \( a_i \) if the interior of \( D \) is disjoint from \( a_i \), the arc \( a_i \) is contained in the boundary of \( D \) and the boundary of \( D \) lies in \( a_i \cup \partial \mathbb{R}^3_+ \), i.e. \( a_i \subset \partial D \) and \( \partial D \subset a_i \cup \partial \mathbb{R}^3_+ \). A **cut system** for \( a \) is the isotopy class of \( n \) pairwise disjoint discs \( \langle D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n \rangle \) where each \( D_i \) cuts out the arc \( a_i \). Say that two cut systems \( \langle D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n \rangle \) and \( \langle E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n \rangle \) differ by a simple move of length \( l \) if for some \( i \) we have that \( D_i \cap E_i = a_i \), for all \( j \neq i \) \( D_j = E_j \) and the number of \( a_i \) in the bounded component of \( \mathbb{R}^3_+ \setminus D_i \cup E_i \) equals \( l \). If this is the case we will suppress the non-changing discs and write \( \langle D_i \rangle \setminus \langle E_i \rangle \).

We will say that a rectangle \( \langle \langle D, E \rangle, \langle D', E \rangle, \langle D', E' \rangle, \langle D, E' \rangle \rangle \) is **nested** if \( E \cup E' \) lies in the bounded component of \( \mathbb{R}^3_+ \setminus D \cup D' \) or vice versa, i.e. if one pair of changing discs lies underneath the other.
Definition 4. Define the complex $X_n$ as follows. The set of all cut systems for $a$ forms the vertex set $X_0^n$. Two vertices are connected by a single edge iff they differ by a simple move of length one or two. Finally, glue faces into every non-nested rectangle of length one edges, every nested rectangle and every triangle. Define the basepoint $v_0$ to be $\langle d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n \rangle$ where the $d_i$ are vertical discs below the $a_i$, see Figure 1.

We will say that $a_j$ lies under the edge $(\langle D_i \rangle, \langle E_i \rangle)$ if it is contained in the bounded component of the complement of $D_i \cup E_i$. At most two discs lie under an edge.

In [9] we proved the following.

Theorem 5. The complex $X_n$ is connected and simply connected. \hfill \Box

Up to homotopy the group $H_{2n}$ acts on $(\mathbb{R}^3, a)$ by homeomorphisms, therefore it takes cut systems to cut systems. The edges and faces of $X_n$ are determined by the intersections of pairs of discs, hence this action on $X_0^n$ extends to a cellular action on $X_n$.

Theorem 6. The action of $PH_{2n}$ on $X_0^n$ is transitive.

Proof. This exactly the same as the proof that the action of $H_{2n}$ on $X_0^n$ is transitive given in [9]. All that is needed is to note that the constructed braids are pure.

Given a vertex $\langle D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n \rangle$ of $X_n$, if we take each $i$ in turn and look at the intersection of $D_i$ with $\mathbb{R}^2$. We see that this defines a path from one end of $a_i$ to the other. If we now move one end around this path until it is close to the other and then move it straight back to its starting point we have an element of $PH_{2n}$ that moves $D_i$ to $d_i$. Combining all of these we see that $\langle D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n \rangle$ is in the orbit of $v_0$, i.e. the action is transitive on $X_0^n$. \hfill \Box

4 Vertex stabiliser

Proposition 7. The stabiliser of the vertex $v_0$ is the framed pure braid group $FP_n$ and so is isomorphic to $P_n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof. If we restrict our attention to $\mathbb{R}^2$, elements of $PH_{2n}$ can be thought of as motions of the end points of the $a_i$. For elements of the stabiliser of $v_0$ this motion moves the line segments $d_i \cap \mathbb{R}^2$ so this is the fundamental group of configurations of $n$ ordered line segments in the plain, the framed pure braid group. \hfill \Box

The pure braid group has a presentation with generators $p_{ij}$ and relations (C1), (C2) and (C3) (with $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = p$). See, for example, Margalit–McCammond[7].

From this we see that the vertex stabiliser is generated by the $p_{ij}$ and $t_k$, that all relations between these elements follow from (C-pt), (C-tt), (C1), (C2) and (C3), and hence the $R_0$ relations are included in $R$. 

5
5 Edge orbits

Let $E$ denote the set of all oriented edges that start at $v_0$ the basepoint of $X_n$. We will now find a representative of each orbit of the $\mathbb{F}P_n$ action on $E$, thus giving a set of $\mathbb{P}H_{2n}$ edge orbit representatives as required by Theorem 3. Given an edge $(v_0, v)\in E$, because $v = \langle D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n \rangle$ differs from $v_0$ by a simple move, there exists a unique $i$ such that $D_i \neq d_i$.

If the edge is of length one then there is a unique $d_j$ under $D_i \cup d_i$. All of the remaining discs, $d_k$ for $k \neq i, j$, can be moved by an element of $\mathbb{F}P_n$ away from $D_i \cup d_i$ and then back from behind to their original positions. After applying $t_i^p$ for some $p$ we have one of the following possibilities, each of which lie in a different orbit.

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{for } i < j \\
&(v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{ij}) & (v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{ij}^{-1}) \\
&(v_0, v_0 \cdot y_{ij}) & (v_0, v_0 \cdot y_{ij}^{-1})
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly, if the edge is of length two then there exists two discs $d_j$ and $d_k$, under $d_i \cup D_i$. We may assume that $j < k$. As in the previous case there is an element of $\mathbb{F}P_n$ which takes $(v_0, v)$ to one of the following possibilities, each of which lie in different orbits.

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{for } i < j < k \\
&(v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{ij} x_{ik}) & (v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{ij}^{-1} x_{ik}^{-1}) \\
&(v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{ik} y_{ij}) & (v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{ik}^{-1} y_{ij}) \\
&(v_0, v_0 \cdot y_{ij} y_{ik}) & (v_0, v_0 \cdot y_{ij}^{-1} y_{ik})
\end{align*}
\]

Proposition 8. The pure Hilden group $\mathbb{P}H_{2n}$ is generated by $p_{ij}$, $t_i$, $x_{ij}$ and $y_{ij}$.

\[\mathbb{P}H_{2n} = \langle S \rangle\]
Proof. By the Theorem 3 the group $\mathbf{PH}_{2n}$ is generated by the generators of the vertex stabiliser and $\{r_\lambda\}$. We have that

$$\{r_\lambda\} = \left\{ x_{ij}, \ y_{ij}, \ x_{ij}^{-1}, \ y_{ij}^{-1} \ \middle| \ i < j \right\} \cup \left\{ x_{ik} x_{ij}, \ x_{ik}^{-1} x_{ij}^{-1}, \ y_{ij} x_{ik}, \ y_{ij}^{-1} x_{ik}^{-1} \ \middle| \ i < j < k \right\}$$

and so all of these generators either are contained in $S$ or can be written in terms of the elements of $S$.

6 Action of the framed braid group

We have an embedding of the framed braid group on $n$ strings $\mathbf{FB}_n$ in the braid group on $2n$ strings given as follows.

\[
\sigma_i = \begin{array}{c}
  \cdot \\
  i \\
  \cdot \end{array} \quad \tau_j = \begin{array}{c}
  \cdot \\
  j \\
  \cdot \end{array}
\]

This makes $\mathbf{FB}_n$ a subgroup of $\mathbf{H}_{2n}$. It is clear that conjugation by elements of $\mathbf{FB}_n$ preserves the pure Hilden group and hence we have a left action of $\mathbf{FB}_n$ on $\mathbf{PH}_{2n}$. In fact this action can be defined on the level of reduced words as well. In other words we have an action of $F(\sigma_i, \tau_j)$, the free group on the letters $\sigma_i$ and $\tau_j$, on $F(p_{ij}, x_{ij}, y_{ij}, t_k)$, the free group on the letters $p_{ij}, x_{ij}, y_{ij}, t_k$. So we have a homomorphism

$$F(\sigma_i, \tau_j) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F(p_{ij}, x_{ij}, y_{ij}, t_k))$$

$$g \longmapsto \Phi_g$$

In Section 10 we will construct $\Phi$ and then show that it satisfies the following properties. For any word $g \in F(\sigma_i, \tau_j)$,

(A) for each $x \in F(p_{ij}, x_{ij}, y_{ij}, t_k)$ we have $\Phi_g(x) = B_{2n} g x g^{-1}$.

(B) for any word $h \in F(p_{ij}, t_k)$ we have that $\Phi_g(h) \in F(p_{ij}, t_k)$.

(C) for each $r_\lambda$ we have that $\Phi_g(r_\lambda) = R h_1 r_\lambda h_2$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in F(p_{ij}, t_k)$ and $r_\lambda'$.

(D) if $x = R y$ then $\Phi_g(x) = R \Phi_g(y)$.

We will now assume the existence of such a $\Phi$ and use it to show that $R_1$, $R_2$ and $R_3$ relations follow from those in $R$.

7 The $R_1$ relations

$R_1$ consist of a relation of the form $r_\lambda t r_\lambda^{-1} = h$ for each edge orbit representative $(v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda)$, for each $t$ in a generating set of the stabiliser of this edge and for some word $h$ in $\mathbf{FB}_n$.  

Proposition 9. The stabiliser of the edge \((v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{12})\) is generated as follows.

\[
\text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{12}) = \left\langle \begin{array}{cc}
p_{ij} & i, j > 2 \\
t_k & k > 1 \\
p_{12}t_1 & k > 2 \\
p_{1k}p_{2k} & k > 2
\end{array} \right\rangle
\]

Proof. As \(\text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{12})\) is a subgroup of \(\text{Stab}(v_0) = \mathbb{F}P_n\) we can view the elements of \(\text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{12})\) as motions of line segments. If we draw a line \(L\) between the second and third line segments then this motion can be broken into sections consisting only of motions of the segments to the right of \(L\), sections consisting only of motions to the left of \(L\) and the motion of a single segment across \(L\) around both the first and second segment and then back across \(L\). The motions to the right are generated by \(p_{ij}\) for \(i, j > 2\) and \(t_k\) for \(k > 2\). The motions to the left are generated by \(t_2\) and \(p_{12}t_1\). And the motions across \(L\) are of the form \(p_{1k}p_{2k}\) for \(k > 2\).

So the \(R_1\) relations can be chosen as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{12}p_{ij}x_{12}^{-1} &= p_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j > 2 \quad (1) \\
x_{12}t_kx_{12}^{-1} &= t_k \quad \text{for } k > 1 \quad (2) \\
x_{12}p_{12}t_1x_{12}^{-1} &= p_{12}t_1 \quad (3) \\
x_{12}p_{1k}p_{2k}x_{12}^{-1} &= p_{1k}p_{2k} \quad \text{for } k > 2 \quad (4)
\end{align*}
\]

Relation (1) follows from (C1), relation (2) follows from (C-xt), relation (3) follows from (M-x) and relation (4) follows from (C2).

For the edge orbit representative \((v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{12} x_{13})\) we can draw a line \(L\) between the third and fourth line segment. Motion of the segments to the right is generated by \(p_{ij}\) for \(i, j > 3\) and \(t_k\) for \(k > 3\). Motion of the segments to the left is generated by \(p_{12}p_{13}t_1, t_2, t_3\) and \(p_{23}\). Finally the elements \(p_{1k}p_{2k}p_{3k}\) give the motion between the two halves. Therefore we have the following.

Proposition 10. The stabiliser of the edge \((v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{12} x_{13})\) is generated as follows.

\[
\text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{12} x_{13}) = \left\langle \begin{array}{cc}
p_{23} & i, j > 3 \\
p_{ij} & k > 1 \\
p_{12}p_{13}t_1 & k > 3 \\
p_{1k}p_{2k}p_{3k} & k > 3
\end{array} \right\rangle
\]

Hence the \(R_1\) relations can be chosen as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{12}x_{13}p_{23}(x_{12}x_{13})^{-1} &= p_{23} \quad (5) \\
x_{12}x_{13}p_{ij}(x_{12}x_{13})^{-1} &= p_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j > 3 \quad (6) \\
x_{12}x_{13}t_k(x_{12}x_{13})^{-1} &= t_k \quad \text{for } k > 1 \quad (7) \\
x_{12}x_{13}p_{12}p_{13}t_1(x_{12}x_{13})^{-1} &= p_{12}p_{13}t_1 \quad (8) \\
x_{12}x_{13}p_{1k}p_{2k}p_{3k}(x_{12}x_{13})^{-1} &= p_{1k}p_{2k}p_{3k} \quad \text{for } k > 3 \quad (9)
\end{align*}
\]
Relation (5) follows from (C2), relation (6) follows from two applications of (C1), relation (7) follows from two applications of (C-xt). Relation (8) follows from the following.

\[
x_{12} x_{13} p_{12} p_{13} t_{1} = x_{12} x_{13} p_{13} p_{23} p_{12} p_{23}^{-1} t_{1} = x_{12} x_{13} p_{13} t_{1} x_{13} p_{23} p_{12} p_{23}^{-1} \quad \text{(C2)}
\]

\[
x_{12} x_{13} p_{13} p_{23} p_{12} p_{23}^{-1} t_{1} = x_{12} x_{13} p_{13} t_{1} p_{12} p_{23} x_{13} p_{23}^{-1} = x_{12} x_{13} p_{13} t_{1} x_{13} p_{23} p_{12} p_{23}^{-1} \quad \text{(C-xt)}
\]

\[
x_{12} p_{13} t_{1} x_{13} p_{23} p_{12} p_{23}^{-1} = x_{12} p_{13} t_{1} x_{13} p_{23} p_{12} x_{13} p_{23}^{-1} \quad \text{(M-x)}
\]

Finally (9) follows from the following.

\[
x_{13} p_{1k} p_{2k} p_{3k} = p_{1k} p_{3k} x_{13} p_{2k} p_{3k}^{-1} \quad \text{(C2)}
\]

\[
x_{13} p_{1k} p_{3k} x_{13} p_{2k} p_{3k}^{-1} = p_{1k} p_{3k} x_{13} p_{23} p_{2k} p_{3k}^{-1} \quad \text{(M-x)}
\]

\[
x_{13} p_{1k} p_{3k} x_{13} p_{23} p_{2k} p_{3k}^{-1} = p_{1k} p_{3k} x_{13} p_{23} p_{2k} p_{3k}^{-1} \quad \text{(C2)}
\]

\[
x_{13} p_{1k} p_{2k} p_{3k} = p_{1k} p_{2k} x_{13} p_{2k} p_{3k} \quad \text{(C2)}
\]

\[
x_{12} p_{1k} p_{2k} p_{3k} = p_{1k} p_{2k} x_{12} p_{3k} \quad \text{(C1)}
\]

Now consider the edge orbit representative \((v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda)\) for \(r_\lambda \neq x_{12} \text{ or } x_{12} x_{13}\). There exists some \(g \in \mathbb{F}B_n\) such that \((v_0, v_0 \cdot r_1) \cdot g = (v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda)\), where \(r_1 = x_{12}\) or \(x_{12} x_{13}\). By property (A) of \(\Phi\)

\[
\Phi_g^{-1}(r_1) = B_{2n} g^{-1} r_1 g
\]

and by property (C) there exists words \(h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{F}P_n\) and some \(r_{\lambda'}\) such that

\[
\Phi_g^{-1}(r_1) = R h_1 r_{\lambda'} h_2.
\]

(1)

Combining these we see that \(v_0 \cdot r_1 g = v_0 \cdot r_{\lambda'} h_2\) and hence that \(\lambda = \lambda'\) and \(h_2 \in \text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot r_1)\).

Let \(T\) be the choice of generators for \(\text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot r_1)\) chosen above. So for all \(t \in T\) there exists \(h \in \mathbb{F}P_n\) such that

\[
r_1 t r_1^{-1} = R h.
\]

So by property (D) we have

\[
\Phi_g^{-1}(r_1 t r_1^{-1}) = R \Phi_g^{-1}(h).
\]

(2)
Property (B) implies that \( \Phi_{g^{-1}}(t) \in \mathbb{F}_n \) and \( \Phi_{g^{-1}}(h) \in \mathbb{F}_n \). Combining (1) and (2) we get
\[
h_1 r_\lambda h_2 \Phi_{g^{-1}}(t) h_2^{-1} r_\lambda^{-1} h_1^{-1} = R \Phi_{g^{-1}}(h)
\]
and so \( h_2 \Phi_{g^{-1}}(t) h_2^{-1} \in \text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda) \).

**Claim 1.** The set \( \{ h_2 \Phi_{g^{-1}}(t) h_2^{-1} \mid t \in T \} \) generates \( \text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda) \).

**Proof.** As \( h_2 \in \text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda) \) the set \( \{ h_2 \Phi_{g^{-1}}(t) h_2^{-1} \mid t \in T \} \) generates \( \text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda) \) if and only if the set \( \{ \Phi_{g^{-1}}(t) \mid t \in T \} \) generates \( \text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda) \). This is equivalent to saying that for any \( s \in \text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda) \) we can find \( t_1, \ldots, t_k \in T \) such that \( s = \Phi_{g^{-1}}(t_1 \cdots t_k) \), in other words that \( \Phi_g(s) \in \text{Stab}(v_0, v_0 \cdot r_1) \). Now
\[
(v_0 \cdot r_1) \cdot \Phi_g(s) = v_0 \cdot r_1 g s g^{-1} = v_0 \cdot r_\lambda g^{-1} = v_0 \cdot r_1
\]
Therefore the claim holds. \( \square \)

So for our \( R_1 \) relation we can choose the following
\[
r_\lambda h_2 \Phi_{g^{-1}}(t) h_2^{-1} r_\lambda^{-1} = h_1^{-1} \Phi_{g^{-1}}(h) h_1
\]
and hence we can choose our \( R_1 \) relations so that they all follow from \( R \).

**8 The \( R_2 \) relations**

The \( R_2 \) relations consist of a relation of the form \( r_\lambda \cdot h \cdot r_\lambda = h' \) for each edge orbit representative, where the LHS is an h-product for the path \( (v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda, v_0) \) and \( h' \in \mathbb{F}_n \). For each edge \( (v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda) \) the edge \( (v_0, v_0 \cdot r_\lambda^{-1}) \) is in a different orbit. Our choice of \( r_\lambda \) mean that for all \( \lambda \) there exists \( \lambda' \) such that \( r_\lambda^{-1} = r_{\lambda'} \). This means that for all the \( R_2 \) relations we can choose \( r_\lambda^{-1} r_\lambda = 1 \), i.e. they are all trivial.

**9 The \( R_3 \) relations**

The \( R_3 \) relations consist of a relation of the form \( r_{\lambda_k} h_k \cdots r_{\lambda_1} h_1 = h \) for each face orbit representative, where the LHS is an h-product that represents the boundary of the face and \( h \in \mathbb{F}_n \). As with the \( R_1 \) relations, we will calculate the relations for some specific orbits first then use \( \Phi \) for the general case.

There are three types of faces, triangular, non-nested rectangular and nested rectangular. Each triangular face orbit is uniquely determined by \( i < j \) and \( k \) where \( a_i \) and \( a_j \) lie under the edges of the triangle and the changing discs cut out the kth arc.

Each non-nested rectangular face orbit is uniquely determined by four parameters \( i, j \) and \( k < l \) where the changing discs cut out the arcs \( a_k \) and \( a_l \), \( a_i \) is the unique arc lying under the discs that cut out \( a_k \) and \( a_j \) is the unique arc lying under the discs that cut out \( a_l \).
Each nested rectangular face orbit is uniquely determined by three parameters \( i, j, k \) where the changing discs cut out \( a_i \) and \( a_j \), \( a_k \) is the unique disc lying under the discs cutting out \( a_j \), and \( a_j \) and \( a_k \) lie under the discs cutting out \( a_i \).

We will start with the triangular face \((v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{12} x_{13}, v_0 \cdot x_{12}, v_0)\). An h-product for this path is \( x_{13}^{-1} x_{12}^{-1} (x_{12} x_{13}) \). So the \( R_3 \) relations is

\[
x_{13}^{-1} x_{12}^{-1} (x_{12} x_{13}) = 1
\]

and so it is trivial.

Next consider the non-nested rectangular face

\[
(v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{12}, v_0 \cdot x_{34} x_{12}, v_0 \cdot x_{34}, v_0).
\]

An h-product that represents this path is \( x_{34}^{-1} x_{12}^{-1} x_{34} x_{12} \). So the \( R_3 \) relations is

\[
x_{34}^{-1} x_{12}^{-1} x_{34} x_{12} = 1
\]

which follows from \((C1)\).

Now consider the nested rectangular face

\[
(v_0, v_0 \cdot x_{23}, v_0 \cdot x_{12} x_{13} x_{23}, v_0 \cdot x_{12} x_{13}, v_0).
\]

An h-product that represents this path is

\[
(x_{12} x_{13})^{-1} x_{23}^{-1} (x_{12} x_{13}) x_{23}.
\]

So the \( R_3 \) relations is

\[
(x_{12} x_{13})^{-1} x_{23}^{-1} (x_{12} x_{13}) x_{23} = 1
\]

which follows from \((C2)\).

Given any other face orbit representative \((v_0 = u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_k = v_0)\) there exists some \( g \in \mathbb{B}\) such that

\[
(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_k) = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k) \cdot g
\]

where \((v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k)\) is the boundary of one of the three faces whose \( R_3 \) relations we calculated above. Suppose the relation from \((v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k)\) is the following.

\[
r_{\lambda_1} h_k \cdots r_{\lambda_1} h_1 = h
\]

By property \((C)\), for each \( r_{\lambda_1} \) there exists \( h_{i1}, h_{i2} \in \mathbb{P} \) and \( r_{\lambda_1} \) such that

\[
\Phi_{g^{-1}}(r_{\lambda_1}) =_R h_{i1} r_{\lambda_1} h_{i2}
\]

**Claim 2.** The following h-product represents the path \((u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_k)\).

\[
r_{\lambda_1} h_{k2} \Phi_{g^{-1}}(h_{k}) h_{(k-1)1} \cdots r_{\lambda_1} h_{i1} \Phi_{g^{-1}}(h_{1})
\]

**Proof.** The \( i \)th vertex of the path associated to the h-product is given as follows.

\[
v_0 \cdot r_{\lambda_1} h_{i2} \Phi_{g^{-1}}(h_i) h_{(i-1)1} \cdots r_{\lambda_1} h_{i1} \Phi_{g^{-1}}(h_{1})
\]

\[
= v_0 \cdot g
\]

\[
= u_i
\]
Therefore for our $R_3$ relation we may choose the following

$$r_{X_i^k} h_{k2} \Phi_{g^{-1}}(h_k) h_{(k-1)1} \cdots r_{X_i^l} h_{l1} \Phi_{g^{-1}}(h_l) = h_{k1}^{-1} \Phi_{g^{-1}}(h)$$

which follows from $R$ by property (D).

## 10 Construction and properties of $\Phi$

All that remains to prove Theorem 1 is to construct $\Phi$ and show that it satisfies properties (A)-(D).

Define $\Phi$, the action of $F(p, x, y)$ on $F(p_{ij}, x_{ij}, y_{ij}, t_k)$, as follows. For $\alpha \in \{p, x, y\}$

$$\Phi_{\sigma}(\alpha_{kl}) = \alpha_{kl} \quad \text{for } i \neq k - 1, k, l - 1, l$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma}(\alpha_{ij}) = \alpha_{i+1,j} \quad \text{for } i + 1 < j$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma}(\alpha_{i+1,j}) = p_{i+1} \alpha p_{i+1}^{-1} \quad \text{for } i + 1 < j$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma}(\alpha_{i,j+1}) = p j_{i,j+1} \alpha_{i,j+1} \quad \text{for } i + 1 < j$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma}(\alpha_{ij}) = \alpha_{i,j+1} \quad \text{for } i + 1 < j$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma}(p_{i+1}) = p_{i+1}$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma}(x_{i+1}) = t_{i+1} y_{i+1} t_{i+1}$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma}(y_{i+1}) = x_{i+1}$$

Proposition 11. The map $\Phi$ is a well defined action of $F(\tau_k, \alpha_i)$ on $F(p_{ij}, t_i, x_{ij}, y_{ij})$.

**Proof.** All that needs to be checked is that $\Phi_{\sigma_i}$ and $\Phi_{\tau_i}$ are invertible. The inverses are as follows.

$$\Phi_{\sigma_i}^{-1}(\alpha_{kl}) = \alpha_{kl} \quad \text{for } i \neq k - 1, k, l - 1, l$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma_i}^{-1}(\alpha_{ij}) = p_{i+1}^{-1} \alpha_{i+1,j} p_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i + 1 < j$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma_i}^{-1}(\alpha_{i+1,j}) = \alpha_{i+1,j} \quad \text{for } i + 1 < j$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma_i}^{-1}(\alpha_{i,j+1}) = \alpha_{i,j+1} \quad \text{for } i + 1 < j$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma_i}^{-1}(p_{i+1}) = p_{i+1}$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma_i}^{-1}(x_{i+1}) = y_{i+1}$$

$$\Phi_{\sigma_i}^{-1}(y_{i+1}) = t_i x_{i+1} t_i^{-1}$$
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\[
\Phi_{\sigma_i^{-1}}(t_j) = \begin{cases} 
  t_j & \text{if } j \neq i, i + 1 \\
  t_{j+1} & \text{if } j = i \\
  t_{j-1} & \text{if } j = i + 1 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\Phi_{\tau_i^{-1}}(p_{kl}) = p_{kl}
\]

\[
\Phi_{\tau_i^{-1}}(x_{kl}) = \begin{cases} 
  x_{kl} & \text{if } i \neq k \\
  p_{kl} x_{kl}^{-1} & \text{if } i = k 
\end{cases}
\quad \text{for } k < l
\]

\[
\Phi_{\tau_i^{-1}}(y_{kl}) = \begin{cases} 
  y_{kl} & \text{if } i \neq l \\
  p_{kl} y_{kl}^{-1} & \text{if } i = l 
\end{cases}
\quad \text{for } k < l
\]

\[
\Phi_{\tau_i^{-1}}(t_j) = t_j
\]

We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 12.** For \( x \in F(p_{ij}, t_1, x_{ij}, y_{ij}) \) we have

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_m^{-2}} = R^{-1}_{p_{m,m+1}} x_{p_{m,m+1}}
\]

\[
\Phi_{\tau_m^{-2}} = R^{-1}_{t_{m,m}} x_{t_{m,m}}
\]

It is easy to check the \( \Phi \) satisfies property (A), i.e. that for every word \( g \in F(\sigma_i, \tau_j) \) and for each \( x \in F(p_{ij}, t_1, x_{ij}, y_{ij}) \) we have that \( \Phi_g(x) = g x g^{-1} \) as braids. It is also clear that \( \Phi \) satisfies property (B). That is that for any word \( g \in F(\sigma_i, \tau_j) \) and for any word \( h \in F(p_{ij}, t_k) \) we have \( \Phi_g(h) \in F(p_{ij}, t_k) \).

**Proposition 13.** The map \( \Phi \) satisfies property (C), i.e. for any word \( g \in F(\sigma_i, \tau_j) \) and any

\[
r_\lambda \in \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
x_{ij}, x_{ij}^{-1}, y_{ij}\end{array}\right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
x_{ik}, x_{ik}^{-1}, x_{ij}, x_{ij}^{-1}, x_{ik}, x_{ij} x_{ik}\end{array}\right\} \quad i < j < k
\]

we have a relation \( \Phi_g(r_\lambda) = h_1 r_\lambda h_2 \) that can be deduced from the relations in \( R \), for some \( h_1, h_2 \in F(p_{ij}, t_k) \) and some \( r_\lambda \).

**Proof.** First note that for each word \( h \in F(p_{ij}, t_k) \), by property (B), the map \( \Phi_g \) takes \( h \) to another word in \( F(p_{ij}, t_k) \). Therefore it suffices to check \( \Phi_g \) for \( g = \tau_m, \sigma_m, \tau_m^{-2} \) and \( \sigma_m^{-2} \). By Lemma 12 property (C) is satisfied for \( g = \tau_m^{-2} \) and \( \sigma_m^{-2} \).

For \( r_\lambda = x_{ij} x_{ij}^{-1}, y_{ij}, y_{ij}^{-1} \) this follows immediately from the definition of \( \Phi \) given above.

Now consider \( \Phi_{\sigma_m}(r_\lambda) \) for \( r_\lambda = x_{ik}, x_{ij} y_{ij}, y_{ik} y_{jk} \). The only cases when \( \Phi_{\sigma_m}(r_\lambda) \neq r_\lambda \) are \( m = i - 1, m = i \) and \( j = i + 1, m = i \) and \( j > i + 1, m = j - 1 \) and \( i < j - 1, m = j \) and \( k = j + 1, m = j \) and \( k > j + 1, m = k - 1 \) and \( j < k - 1, m = k \).

\[
m = i - 1 \quad \Phi_{\sigma_{i-1}}(x_{ij} x_{ik}) = p_{i-1,i} x_{i-1,j} x_{i-1,k} p_{i-1,i}^{-1}
\]
$$\Phi_{s_{i-1}}(x_{jk} y_{ij}) = x_{jk} p_{i-1,i} y_{i-1,j} p_{i-1,i}^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (C1)$$

$$m = i \text{ and } j = i + 1$$

$$\Phi_{s_i}(x_{ij} x_{ik}) = t_j^{-1} y_{ij} \frac{t_j x_{jk}}{t_j}$$

$$= t_j^{-1} y_{ij} p_{jk} x_{jk} p_{jk} t_j$$

$$= t_j^{-1} p_{jk}^{-1} p_{jk} x_{jk} p_{jk} x_{jk} p_{jk} t_j$$

$$= t_j^{-1} p_{jk} x_{jk} y_{ij} p_{jk} x_{jk} t_j$$

$$\Phi_{s_i}(x_{jk} y_{ij}) = p_{ij} x_{ik} p_{ij}^{-1} x_{ij}$$

$$= p_{jk}^{-1} x_{ij} x_{ik} p_{jk}$$

$$\Phi_{s_i}(y_{ik} y_{jk}) = y_{ik} p_{ij} y_{ik} p_{ij}^{-1}$$

$$= y_{ik} y_{jk}$$

$$m = i \text{ and } j > i + 1$$

$$\Phi_{s_i}(x_{ij} x_{ik}) = x_{i+1,j} x_{i+1,k}$$

$$\Phi_{s_i}(x_{jk} y_{ij}) = x_{jk} y_{i+1,j}$$

$$\Phi_{s_i}(y_{ik} y_{jk}) = y_{i+1,k} y_{jk}$$

$$m = j - 1 \text{ and } i < j - 1$$

$$\Phi_{s_{j-1}}(x_{ij} x_{ik}) = p_{j-1,j} x_{i,j-1} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} x_{ik}$$

$$= p_{j-1,j} x_{i,j-1} x_{ik} p_{j-1,j}$$

$$\Phi_{s_{j-1}}(x_{jk} y_{ij}) = p_{j-1,j} x_{j-1,k} y_{i,j-1} p_{j-1,j}^{-1}$$

$$\Phi_{s_{j-1}}(y_{ik} y_{jk}) = y_{ik} p_{j-1,j} y_{j-1,k} p_{j-1,j}^{-1}$$

$$m = j \text{ and } k = j + 1$$

$$\Phi_{s_j}(x_{ij} x_{ik}) = x_{ik} p_{jk} x_{ij} p_{jk}^{-1}$$

$$= x_{ij} x_{ik}$$

$$\Phi_{s_j}(x_{jk} y_{ij}) = t_k^{-1} y_{jk} t_k y_{ik}$$

$$= t_k^{-1} p_{jk} t_k y_{jk} t_k^{-1} p_{jk} t_k y_{ik}$$

$$= p_{jk} y_{jk} p_{jk} y_{jk} p_{jk}$$

$$= p_{jk} y_{jk} p_{jk} y_{jk} p_{jk}$$

$$= p_{jk} y_{jk} p_{jk} y_{jk} p_{jk}$$

$$\Phi_{s_j}(y_{ik} y_{jk}) = p_{jk} y_{ij} p_{jk}^{-1} x_{jk}$$

$$= p_{jk}^{-1} y_{ij} x_{jk}$$

$$= x_{jk} y_{ij}$$

$$m = j \text{ and } k > j + 1$$

$$\Phi_{s_j}(x_{ij} x_{ik}) = x_{i,j+1} x_{ik}$$

$$\Phi_{s_j}(x_{jk} y_{ij}) = x_{j+1,k} y_{i,j+1}$$

$$\Phi_{s_j}(y_{ik} y_{jk}) = y_{ik} y_{j+1,k}$$
\[ m = k - 1 \text{ and } j < k - 1 \quad \Phi_{\pi_{k-1}}(x_{ij}x_{ik}) = \frac{x_{ij} p_{k-1,k} x_{i,k-1} p_{k-1,k}}{p_{k-1,k} x_{i,k-1} p_{k-1,k}} \]  
\[ \Phi_{\pi_{k-1}}(x_{ik}y_{ij}) = \frac{p_{k-1,k} x_{i,k-1} p_{k-1,k} y_{ij}}{p_{k-1,k} x_{i,k-1} y_{ij} p_{k-1,k}} \]  
\[ \Phi_{\pi_{k-1}}(y_{ik}y_{jk}) = \frac{p_{k-1,k} y_{i,k-1} y_{j,k-1} p_{k-1,k}}{p_{k-1,k} y_{i,k-1} y_{j,k-1} p_{k-1,k}} \]  
\[ m = k \quad \Phi_{\pi_k}(x_{ij}x_{ik}) = x_{ij} x_{i,k+1} \]  
\[ \Phi_{\pi_k}(x_{jk}y_{ij}) = x_{j,k+1} y_{ij} \]  
\[ \Phi_{\pi_k}(y_{ik}y_{jk}) = y_{i,k+1} y_{j,k+1} \]  

For \( \Phi_{\tau_m} \) we only have three cases where \( \Phi_{\tau_m}(r_\lambda) \neq r_\lambda \) these are when \( m = i \) and \( r_\lambda = x_{ij}x_{ik}, m = j \) and \( r_\lambda = x_{jk}y_{ij} \), and \( m = k \) and \( r_\lambda = y_{ik}y_{jk} \).

\[
\Phi_{\tau_i}(x_{ij}x_{ik}) = x_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} x_{ik}^{-1} p_{ik} = x_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} x_{ik}^{-1} p_{ik} \]  
\[
\Phi_{\tau_j}(x_{jk}y_{ij}) = x_{j,k}^{-1} p_{jk} y_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} = x_{j,k}^{-1} p_{jk} y_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} \]  
\[
\Phi_{\tau_k}(y_{ik}y_{jk}) = y_{i,k}^{-1} p_{ik} y_{j,k}^{-1} p_{jk} = y_{i,k}^{-1} p_{ik} y_{j,k}^{-1} p_{jk} \]  

For \( r_\lambda = x_{ik}^{-1} x_{ij}^{-1}, y_{ij}^{-1} x_{ik}^{-1} \) and \( y_{jk}^{-1} y_{ik}^{-1} \) we have shown that for some \( h_1, h_2 \in \text{FP}_n \) and some \( r_\lambda^{-1} \) we have that \( \Phi_g(r_\lambda^{-1}) = R h_1^{-1} h_2^{-1} \). Hence we have \( \Phi_g(r_\lambda) = R h_2^{-1} r_\lambda h_1^{-1} \).

\begin{proposition}
The map \( \Phi \) satisfies property (D). In other words, for any word \( g \in F(\sigma_i, \tau_j) \) and any relation \( x = R y \) we have that \( \Phi_g(x) = R \Phi_g(y) \).
\end{proposition}

\begin{proof}
As in the proof of property C, it suffices to show this for \( g \) in a monoidal generating set for \( F(\sigma_i, \tau_j) \). For \( g = \sigma_i^{-2} \) and \( \tau_j^{-2} \) this follows from Lemma 12, so it remains to show it for \( g = \sigma_i \) and \( \tau_j \).

For any relation only involving \( p_{ij} \)'s and \( t_k \)'s the image under \( \Phi_g \) will still only involve \( p_{ij} \)'s and \( t_k \)'s and hence, by Proposition 7, the new relation will follow from those in \( R \).

We will now consider the action of \( \Phi_{\sigma_i} \) and \( \Phi_{\tau_j} \) on each of the relations. For any relation \( x = R y \) we will say that the deduction of \( \Phi_g(x) = \Phi_g(y) \) is trivial if \( \Phi_g(x) = \Phi_g(y) \) is a relation in \( R \) of the same type.

(Cxt) \[ x_{ij} t_k = t_k x_{ij} \quad k \neq i, \ i < j \]

First consider \( \Phi_{\sigma_i} \). If we start with \( q = 1 \) and increase it the first non-trivial case is when \( q = i - 1 \). The next case is when \( q = i \) and this is only non-trivial
if $j = i + 1$. The next case is when $q = j - 1$ and $j \neq i + 1$. The remaining values are all trivial.

When $q = i - 1$ we have that $\Phi_{\sigma_q}(t_k) = t_{k'}$ where $k' \neq i - 1$.

$$
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(x_{ij} t_k) = p_{i-1,i} x_{i-1,j} p_{i-1,1} t_{k'} = p_{i-1,i} x_{i-1,j} t_{k'} t_{k'}^{-1} = t_{k'} p_{i-1,i} x_{i-1,j} p_{i-1,1} = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(t_k x_{ij})
$$

When $q = i$ and $j = i + 1$ we have that $\Phi_{\sigma_q}(t_k) = t_{k'}$ where $k' \neq j$.

$$
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(x_{ij} t_k) = t_j^{-1} y_{ij} t_j t_{k'} = t_j^{-1} y_{ij} t_j t_{k'} t_{j}^{-1} = t_{k'}^{-1} y_{ij} t_j = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(t_k x_{ij})
$$

When $q = j - 1$ and $j \neq i + 1$ we have that $\Phi_{\sigma_q}(t_k) = t_{k'}$ where $k' \neq i$.

$$
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(x_{ij} t_k) = p_{j-1,j} x_{j,j-1} p_{j-1,j} t_{k'} = p_{j-1,j} x_{j,j-1} t_{k'} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} = t_{k'} p_{j-1,j} x_{j,j-1} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(t_k x_{ij})
$$

Now consider $\Phi_{\tau_q}$, the only non-trivial case is when $q = i$.

$$
\Phi_{\tau_q}(x_{ij} t_k) = x_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} t_k = x_{ij}^{-1} t_k p_{ij} = t_k x_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} = \Phi_{\tau_q}(t_k x_{ij})
$$

$y_{ij} t_k = t_k y_{ij}$  \(k \neq j, i < j\)

First consider $\Phi_{\sigma_q}$, the non-trivial cases are $q = i - 1$, $q = i$ and $j = i + 1$, and $q = j - 1$ and $j \neq i + 1$.

When $q = i - 1$ we have that $\Phi_{\sigma_q}(t_k) = t_{k'}$ where $k' \neq j$.

$$
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(y_{ij} t_k) = p_{i-1,i} y_{i-1,j} p_{i-1,1} t_{k'} = p_{i-1,i} y_{i-1,j} t_{k'} p_{i-1,1}^{-1} = t_{k'} p_{i-1,i} y_{i-1,j} p_{i-1,1} = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(t_k y_{ij})
$$

When $q = i$ and $j = i + 1$ we have that $\Phi_{\sigma_q}(t_k) = t_{k'}$ where $k' \neq i$.

$$
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(y_{ij} t_k) = x_{ij} t_{k'}
$$
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\[= t_{k'} x_{ij} = \Phi_{\sigma_k}(t_k y_{ij})\]

When \( q = j - 1 \) and \( j \neq i + 1 \) we have that \( \Phi_{\sigma_k}(t_k) = t_{k'} \) where \( k' \neq j - 1 \).

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_k}(y_{ij} t_k) = p_{j-1,j} y_{i,j-1} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} t_{k'} \quad (C-\text{pt})
\]
\[
= p_{j-1,j} y_{i,j-1} t_{k'} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} \quad (C-\text{yt})
\]
\[
= p_{j-1,j} t_{k'} y_{i,j-1} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} \quad (C-\text{pt})
\]
\[
= t_{k'} p_{j-1,j} y_{i,j-1} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} \quad (C-\text{pt})
\]
\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_k}(t_k y_{ij})
\]

Now consider \( \Phi_{\tau_q} \), the only non-trivial case is when \( q = j \).

\[
\Phi_{\tau_q}(y_{ij} t_k) = y_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} t_k \quad (C-\text{pt})
\]
\[
= y_{ij}^{-1} t_k p_{ij} \quad (C-\text{yt})
\]
\[
= t_k y_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij}
\]
\[
= \Phi_{\tau_q}(t_k y_{ij})
\]

(C1) \( \alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl} = \beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij} \) \quad \((i,j,k,l) \text{ cyclically ordered})

First consider \( \Phi_{\sigma_q} \). The non-trivial cases are \( q = i - 1 \) and \( i \neq l + 1 \), \( q = i \) and \( j = i + 1 \), \( q = j - 1 \) and \( j \neq i + 1 \), \( q = j \) and \( k = j + 1 \), \( q = k - 1 \) and \( j \neq k - 1 \), \( q = k \) and \( l = k + 1 \), \( p = l - 1 \) and \( l \neq k + 1 \), and \( p = l \) and \( i = l + 1 \).

When \( q = i - 1 \) and \( i \neq l + 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl}) = p_{i-1,i} \alpha_{i-1,j} p_{i-1,i}^{-1} \beta_{kl} \quad (C1)
\]
\[
= p_{i-1,i} \alpha_{i-1,j} \beta_{kl} p_{i-1,i}^{-1} \quad (C1)
\]
\[
= p_{i-1,i} \beta_{kl} \alpha_{i-1,j} p_{i-1,i}^{-1} \quad (C1)
\]
\[
= \beta_{kl} p_{i-1,i} \alpha_{i-1,j} p_{i-1,i}^{-1} \quad (C1)
\]
\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij})
\]

When \( q = i \) and \( j = i + 1 \) the only non-trivial case is when \( \alpha = x \).

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(x_{ij} \beta_{kl}) = t_j^{-1} y_{ij} t_j \beta_{kl} \quad (C-\beta t)
\]
\[
= t_j^{-1} y_{ij} \beta_{kl} t_j \quad (C1)
\]
\[
= t_j^{-1} \beta_{kl} y_{ij} t_j \quad (C-\beta t)
\]
\[
= \beta_{kl} t_j^{-1} y_{ij} t_j
\]
\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\beta_{kl} x_{ij})
\]

When \( q = j - 1 \) and \( j \neq i + 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl}) = p_{j-1,j} \alpha_{i,j-1} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} \beta_{kl} \quad (C1)
\]
\[
= p_{j-1,j} \alpha_{i,j-1} \beta_{kl} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} \quad (C1)
\]
\[
= p_{j-1,j} \beta_{kl} \alpha_{i,j-1} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} \quad (C1)
\]
\[
= \beta_{kl} p_{j-1,j} \alpha_{i,j-1} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} \quad (C1)
\]
\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij})
\]
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When \( q = j \) and \( k = j + 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl}) = \alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{jl} p_{jk}^{-1} = p_{jk} \beta_{jl} p_{jk}^{-1} \alpha_{jk} = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij})
\]  
(C3)

When \( q = k - 1 \) and \( j \neq k - 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl}) = \alpha_{ij} p_{k-1,k} \beta_{k-1,l} p_{k-1,k}^{-1} = p_{k-1,k} \alpha_{ij} \beta_{k-1,l} p_{k-1,k}^{-1} = p_{k-1,k} \beta_{k-1,l} \alpha_{ij} p_{k-1,k}^{-1} = p_{k-1,k} \beta_{k-1,l} p_{k-1,k} \alpha_{ij} = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij})
\]  
(C1)

When \( q = k \) and \( l = k + 1 \) the only non-trivial case is when \( \beta = x \).

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} x_{kl}) = \alpha_{ij} t_{ij}^{-1} y_{kl} t_l = t_{ij}^{-1} \alpha_{ij} y_{kl} t_l = t_{ij}^{-1} y_{kl} \alpha_{ij} t_l = t_{ij}^{-1} y_{kl} t_l \alpha_{ij} = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(x_{kl} \alpha_{ij})
\]  
(C3a)

When \( q = l - 1 \) and \( l \neq k + 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl}) = \alpha_{ij} p_{l-1,l} \beta_{k,l-1} p_{l-1,l}^{-1} = p_{l-1,l} \alpha_{ij} \beta_{k,l-1} p_{l-1,l}^{-1} = p_{l-1,l} \beta_{k,l-1} \alpha_{ij} p_{l-1,l}^{-1} = p_{l-1,l} \beta_{k,l-1} p_{l-1,l} \alpha_{ij} = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij})
\]  
(C1)

Finally, when \( q = l \) and \( i = l + 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl}) = p_{il} \alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl} p_{il}^{-1} = \beta_{kl} p_{il} \alpha_{ij} p_{il}^{-1} = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij})
\]  
(C3)

Now consider \( \Phi_{\tau_q} \), there are two non-trivial cases. In the first case \( \Phi_{\tau_q}(\alpha_{ij}) = \alpha_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} \) and we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\tau_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl}) = \alpha_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} \beta_{kl} = \alpha_{ij}^{-1} \beta_{kl} p_{ij} = \beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} = \Phi_{\tau_q}(\beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij})
\]  
(C1)

In the second case \( \Phi_{\tau_q}(\beta_{kl}) = \beta_{kl}^{-1} p_{kl} \) and we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\tau_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl}) = \alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl}^{-1} p_{kl}
\]  
(C1)
\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_{\sigma_1}^{-1}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik}) & = \beta_{ik}^{-1} \alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl} \phi_{\sigma_1} \beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij} \\
\phi_{\sigma_1}^{-1}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik}) & = \beta_{kl}^{-1} \alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij} \\
\phi_{\sigma_1}(\beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij}) & = \Phi_{\sigma_1}(\beta_{kl} \alpha_{ij})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij} \quad (i, j, k) \text{ cyclically ordered,}
(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \text{ as in Table } 1
\]

First consider \(\Phi_{\sigma_1}\). The only non-trivial cases are when \(q = i - 1\) and \(i \neq k + 1\), \(q = i\) and \(j = i + 1\), \(q = j - 1\) and \(j \neq i + 1\), \(q = j\) and \(k = j + 1\), \(q = k - 1\) and \(k \neq j + 1\), and \(q = k\) and \(i = k + 1\).

When \(q = i - 1\) and \(i \neq k + 1\) we have the following.

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{\sigma_1}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) & = p_{i-1,i} \alpha_{i-1,j} \beta_{i-1,k} p_{i-1,i}^{-1} \gamma_{jk} \\
\phi_{\sigma_1}^{-1}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) & = p_{i-1,i} \alpha_{i-1,j} \beta_{i-1,k} \gamma_{jk} p_{i-1,i}^{-1} \\
\phi_{\sigma_1}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) & = p_{i-1,i} \beta_{i-1,k} \gamma_{jk} p_{i-1,i}^{-1} \\
\Phi_{\sigma_1}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) & = \Phi_{\sigma_1}(\beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij})
\end{align*}
\]

When \(q = i\) and \(j = i + 1\) we have two cases. Except for when \(i < j < k\) and \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (x, x, p)\) or \(k < i < j\) and \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (x, y, p)\) we have the following deduction. Let \(\bar{t}_j\) and \(\bar{\alpha}_{ij}\) be defined as follows.

\[
\bar{t}_j = \begin{cases} 
   t_j & \text{if } \alpha = x \\
   1 & \text{if } \alpha \neq x
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\bar{\alpha}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
   p_{ij} & \text{if } \alpha = p \\
   y_{ij} & \text{if } \alpha = x \\
   x_{ij} & \text{if } \alpha = y
\end{cases}
\]

So we have that \(\Phi_{\sigma_1}(\alpha_{ij}) = \bar{t}_j^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_{ij} \bar{t}_j\).

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_1}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = \bar{t}_j^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_{ij} \bar{t}_j \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij} \quad (\text{C-}\bar{t}) \quad (\text{C-}\bar{p}) \quad (\text{C-}\gamma t) \quad (\text{C-}\bar{t})
\]

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_1}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = \bar{t}_j^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_{ij} \bar{t}_j \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} p_{ij} \bar{t}_j \quad (\text{C-}\bar{t}) \quad (\text{C-}\gamma t) \quad (\text{C-}\bar{p})
\]

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_1}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = \gamma_{jk} p_{ij} \bar{t}_j \quad (\text{C-}\bar{p}) \quad (\text{C-}\gamma t)
\]

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_1}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = \Phi_{\sigma_1}(\beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij})
\]

When \(i < j < k\) and \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (x, x, p)\) or \(k < i < j\) and \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (x, y, p)\) we have the following deduction with \(\beta = x\) or \(y\) respectively.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_1}(x_{ij} \beta_{ik} p_{ij}) = t_j^{-1} y_{ij} t_j \beta_{ij} p_{ij} \bar{p}_{ik} \bar{p}_{ij} \quad (\text{C-}\bar{t})
\]

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_1}(x_{ij} \beta_{ik} p_{ij}) = t_j^{-1} y_{ij} t_j \beta_{ij} p_{ij} \bar{p}_{ik} \bar{p}_{ij} \quad (\text{M-}\bar{y})
\]

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_1}(x_{ij} \beta_{ik} p_{ij}) = \beta_{jk} p_{ij} \bar{p}_{ik} \bar{p}_{ij} \quad (\text{C-}\bar{p})
\]

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_1}(x_{ij} \beta_{ik} p_{ij}) = \beta_{jk} p_{ij} \bar{p}_{ik} \bar{p}_{ij} \quad (\text{M-}\bar{y})
\]

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_1}(x_{ij} \beta_{ik} p_{ij}) = \beta_{jk} p_{ij} \bar{p}_{ik} \bar{p}_{ij} \quad (\text{C-}\bar{p})
\]
= \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\beta_{ik} p_{jk} x_{ij})

When \( q = j - 1 \) and \( j \neq i + 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = p_{j-1,j} \alpha_{i,j-1} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} \beta_{ik} p_{j-1,j} \gamma_{j-1,k} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} \tag{C1}
\]
\[
= p_{j-1,j} \alpha_{i,j-1} \beta_{ik} p_{j-1,j} \gamma_{j-1,k}^{-1} \tag{C2}
\]
\[
= \beta_{ik} p_{j-1,j} \gamma_{j-1,k} \alpha_{i,j-1} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} \tag{C1}
\]
\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij})
\]

When \( q = j \) and \( k = j + 1 \) we have two cases. Except for when \( i < j < k \) and \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (y, p, x)\) or \( j < k < i \) and \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (x, p, x)\) we have the following. Here

\[
\tilde{\gamma}_{jk} = \begin{cases} 
  q_{jk} & \text{if } \gamma = p \\
  \gamma_{jk} & \text{if } \gamma = x \\
  x_{jk} & \text{if } \gamma = y
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = \alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{ij} p_{jk}^{-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{jk} \tag{C2}
\]
\[
= \alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{ij} p_{jk}^{-1} \gamma_{jk} \tag{C2}
\]
\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij})
\]

When \( i < j < k \) and \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (y, p, x)\) or when \( j < k < i \) and \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (x, p, x)\) we have

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} p_{ik} x_{jk}) = \alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{ij} p_{jk}^{-1} \gamma_{jk} \tag{M-y}
\]
\[
= \alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{ij} p_{jk}^{-1} \gamma_{jk} \tag{C2}
\]
\[
= \alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{ij} p_{jk}^{-1} \gamma_{jk} \tag{C2}
\]
\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_q}(p_{ik} x_{jk} \alpha_{ij})
\]

When \( q = k - 1 \) and \( k \neq j + 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = \alpha_{ij} p_{k-1,k} \beta_{i,k-1} \gamma_{j,k-1} p_{k-1,k}^{-1} \tag{C1}
\]
\[
= \alpha_{ij} p_{k-1,k} \beta_{i,k-1} \gamma_{j,k-1} p_{k-1,k}^{1} \tag{C2}
\]
\[
= \alpha_{ij} p_{k-1,k} \beta_{i,k-1} \gamma_{j,k-1} p_{k-1,k} \tag{C1}
\]
\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij})
\]

Finally, when \( q = k \) and \( i = k + 1 \) we have the following two cases. If \( \beta \neq x \)
then we have the following. Here

\[
\tilde{\beta}_{ik} = \begin{cases} 
  p_{jk} & \text{if } \beta = p \\
  y_{jk} & \text{if } \beta = x
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = p_{ik} \alpha_{jk} p_{ik}^{-1} \tilde{\beta}_{ik} \gamma_{ij}
\]

(C2)

\[
= p_{ij}^{-1} \alpha_{ij} p_{ij} \tilde{\beta}_{ik} \gamma_{ij}
\]

(C2)

\[
= \beta_{ik} \alpha_{jk} \gamma_{ij}
\]

(C2)

\[
= \beta_{ik} \gamma_{ij} p_{ik} \alpha_{jk} p_{ik}^{-1}
\]

(C2)

\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\tilde{\beta}_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij})
\]

And if \( \beta = x \) then we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ij} x_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = p_{ik} \alpha_{jk} p_{ik}^{-1} t_{i}^{-1} y_{ik} t_{i} \gamma_{ij}
\]

(C-pt)

\[
= p_{ik} \alpha_{jk} t_{i}^{-1} p_{ik}^{-1} y_{ik} t_{i} \gamma_{ij}
\]

(C-pt)

\[
= p_{ik} \alpha_{jk} y_{ik} t_{i}^{-1} p_{ik}^{-1} t_{i} \gamma_{ij}
\]

(C-pt)

\[
= p_{ik} \alpha_{jk} y_{ik} p_{ik} \gamma_{ij} p_{ik}^{-1} \alpha_{jk} p_{ik}^{-1}
\]

(C2)

\[
= p_{ik} \alpha_{jk} y_{ik} p_{ik} \gamma_{ij} p_{ik}^{-1} \alpha_{jk} p_{ik}^{-1}
\]

(C2)

\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\tilde{\beta}_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij})
\]

Now consider \( \Phi_{\tau_q} \), the non-trivial cases are as follows.

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
q & = & i & j & k & x, p, p & x, p, p & x, y, y & x, x, x \\
& & i & j & k & x, x, p & x, y, p & y, p, x & y, p, x \\
k & j & i & j & i & x, x, y & x, x, x & y, y, y & y, y, x \\
k & j & i & j & i & y, x, p & y, x, p & y, y, p & y, y, y \\
k & j & i & j & i & y, y, y & y, y, y & y, y, y & y, y, y \\
k & j & i & j & i & y, y, x & y, y, x & y, y, x & y, y, x \\
\end{array}
\]

For the first two columns of the cases \( q = i \) and \( q = j \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\tau_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = \alpha_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}
\]

(C2)

\[
= \alpha_{ij}^{-1} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} p_{ij}
\]

(C2)

\[
= \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij} p_{ij}
\]

=C2

\[
= \Phi_{\tau_q}(\beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij})
\]
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For the third column in the case \( q = i \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\tau_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = \alpha_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} \beta_{ik}^{-1} \beta_{ik}^{-1} p_{jk} \gamma_{jk} \\
= \alpha_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} \beta_{ik}^{-1} \beta_{ik}^{-1} p_{ij} \gamma_{jk} P_{ij} \\
= \alpha_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} \beta_{ik}^{-1} p_{jk} \gamma_{jk} P_{ij} \\
= \beta_{ik}^{-1} \alpha_{ij}^{-1} p_{jk} \gamma_{jk} P_{ij} \\
= \beta_{ik}^{-1} p_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij}^{-1} P_{ij} \\
= \Phi_{\tau_q}(\beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij})
\] (C2)

For the third column in the case \( q = j \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\tau_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = \alpha_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} \beta_{ik}^{-1} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} p_{jk} \\
= \alpha_{ij}^{-1} \gamma_{jk} P_{ij} \beta_{ik}^{-1} p_{jk} P_{ij} \\
= \alpha_{ij}^{-1} \gamma_{jk} P_{ij} \beta_{ik}^{-1} p_{jk} P_{ij} \\
= \beta_{ik}^{-1} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} \alpha_{ij}^{-1} \beta_{ik} p_{jk} P_{ij} \\
= \beta_{ik}^{-1} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} \beta_{ik}^{-1} \alpha_{ij}^{-1} \beta_{ik} p_{jk} P_{ij} \\
= \Phi_{\tau_q}(\beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij})
\] (C2)

For the case when \( q = k \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\tau_q}(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}) = \alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik}^{-1} p_{jk} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} p_{jk} \\
= \alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik}^{-1} p_{jk} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} p_{jk} \\
= \alpha_{ij} \beta_{ik}^{-1} p_{jk} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} p_{jk} \\
= \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} \beta_{ik}^{-1} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} \beta_{ik} p_{jk} \alpha_{ij} \\
= \beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} \beta_{ik}^{-1} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} p_{jk} \alpha_{ij} \\
= \Phi_{\tau_q}(\beta_{ik} \gamma_{jk} \alpha_{ij})
\] (C3)

(i, j, k, l) cyclically ordered

First consider \( \Phi_{\sigma_q} \). As before the only non-trivial cases are when \( q = i - 1 \) and \( i \neq l + 1 \), \( q = i \) and \( j = i + 1 \), \( q = j - 1 \) and \( j \neq i + 1 \), \( q = j + 1 \) and \( k = j + 1 \), \( q = k - 1 \) and \( k \neq j + 1 \), \( q = k \) and \( l = k + 1 \), \( p = l - 1 \) and \( l \neq k + 1 \), and \( p = l \) and \( i = l + 1 \).

When \( q = i - 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(\alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{jl} p_{jk}^{-1}) = p_{i-1,i} \alpha_{i-1,k} p_{i-1,i}^{-1} p_{jk} \beta_{jl} p_{jk} p_{i-1,i}^{-1} \\
= p_{i-1,i} \alpha_{i-1,k} p_{jk} \beta_{jl} p_{jk} p_{i-1,i}^{-1} \\
= p_{i-1,i} \beta_{jl} p_{jk} \beta_{jl} p_{jk} p_{i-1,i}^{-1} \\
= p_{i-1,i} \beta_{jl} p_{jk} \beta_{jl} p_{jk} p_{i-1,i}^{-1} \\
= \Phi_{\sigma_q}(\beta_{jl} p_{jk}^{-1} \alpha_{ik})
\] (C1)(C1)(C1)

When \( q = i \) and \( j = i + 1 \) we have the following. (Here the (C2) holds because we are in either of the bottom two rows of Table 1, both of which contain \((\alpha, p, p)\) for \( \alpha = p, x, \) and \( y \).)
When $q = j - 1$ and $j \neq i + 1$ we have the following.

$$\Phi_{q}(\alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{jl} p_{jk}^{-1}) = \alpha_{ik} p_{j-1,k} p_{j-1,k} \beta_{jk}^{-1}$$

When $q = j$ and $k = j + 1$ we have the following.

$$\Phi_{q}(\alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{jl} p_{jk}^{-1}) = p_{jk} \alpha_{ij} \beta_{kl} p_{jk}^{-1}$$

When $q = k - 1$ and $k \neq j + 1$ we have the following.

$$\Phi_{q}(\alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{jl} p_{jk}^{-1}) = p_{k-1,k} \alpha_{i,k-1} p_{j,k-1,k} \beta_{jl} p_{k-1,k}^{-1}$$

When $q = k$ and $l = k + 1$ we have the following. (Here the (C2)s hold because we are in either of the top two rows of Table 1, both of which contain $(\beta, p, p)$ for $\beta = p, x$, and $y$.)

$$\Phi_{q}(\alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{jl} p_{jk}^{-1}) = \alpha_{it} p_{ji} \beta_{kl} p_{kl}^{-1} p_{jl}^{-1}$$

When $q = l - 1$ and $l \neq k + 1$ we have the following.

$$\Phi_{q}(\alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \beta_{jl} p_{jk}^{-1}) = \alpha_{ik} p_{l-1,k} \beta_{jl} p_{l-1,k}$$
Finally, when \( q = l \) and \( i = l + 1 \) we have the following. (Here the (C2)s hold because they always hold for the triples \((\alpha, p, p)\) and \((\beta, p, p)\).)

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_{q}}(\alpha_{ik} \ p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1}) = \frac{p_{jl} \ \alpha_{kl} \ P_{ij}^{-1} \ p_{kj} \ \beta_{lj} \ P_{jk}^{-1}}{\ p_{ik}^{-1} \ \alpha_{kl} \ \beta_{lj} \ p_{ik}} \quad \text{(C2) (C2)}
\]

\[
= \frac{p_{jl} \ \alpha_{kl} \ P_{ij}^{-1} \ p_{kj} \ \beta_{lj} \ P_{jk}^{-1}}{\ p_{ik}^{-1} \ \alpha_{kl} \ \beta_{lj} \ p_{ik}} \quad \text{(C1)}
\]

\[
= \frac{p_{jl} \ \alpha_{kl} \ p_{ik}^{-1} \ \alpha_{kl} \ P_{ij}^{-1} \ p_{kj} \ \beta_{lj} \ P_{jk}^{-1}}{\ p_{ik}^{-1} \ \alpha_{kl} \ \beta_{lj} \ p_{ik}} \quad \text{(C2) (C2)}
\]

\[
= \frac{p_{jl} \ \alpha_{kl} \ P_{ij}^{-1} \ p_{kj} \ \beta_{lj} \ P_{jk}^{-1}}{\ p_{ik}^{-1} \ \alpha_{kl} \ \beta_{lj} \ p_{ik}} \quad \text{(C1)}
\]

\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_{q}}(p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik})
\]

Now consider \( \Phi_{r_{q}} \), there are two non-trivial cases. In the first case \( \Phi_{r_{q}}(\alpha_{ik}) = \alpha_{ik}^{-1} p_{ik} \) and we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{r_{q}}(\alpha_{ik} \ p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1}) = \frac{\alpha_{ik}^{-1} \ p_{ik} \ p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1}}{\ p_{ik}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik} \ \beta_{jl} \ p_{ik}} \quad \text{(C3)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha_{ik}^{-1} \ p_{ik} \ p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1}}{\ p_{ik}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik} \ \beta_{jl} \ p_{ik}} \quad \text{(C3)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha_{ik}^{-1} \ p_{ik} \ P_{ij} \ P_{jk}^{-1} \ p_{kj} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1}}{\ p_{ik}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik} \ \beta_{jl} \ p_{ik}} \quad \text{(C3)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha_{ik}^{-1} \ p_{ik} \ P_{ij} \ P_{jk}^{-1} \ p_{kj} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1}}{\ p_{ik}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik} \ \beta_{jl} \ p_{ik}} \quad \text{(C3)}
\]

\[
= \Phi_{r_{q}}(p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ p_{jk}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik})
\]

In the second case \( \Phi_{r_{q}}(\beta_{jl}) = \beta_{jl}^{-1} p_{jl} \) and we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{r_{q}}(\alpha_{ik} \ p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1}) = \frac{\alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1} \ P_{ij}^{-1}}{\ p_{ij}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik} \ \beta_{jl} \ p_{ij}^{-1}} \quad \text{(C3)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1} \ P_{ij}^{-1}}{\ p_{ij}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik} \ \beta_{jl} \ p_{ij}^{-1}} \quad \text{(C3)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik} \ p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1}}{\ p_{ij}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik} \ \beta_{jl} \ p_{ij}^{-1}} \quad \text{(C3)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha_{ik} p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik} \ p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1}}{\ p_{ij}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik} \ \beta_{jl} \ p_{ij}^{-1}} \quad \text{(C3)}
\]

\[
= \Phi_{r_{q}}(p_{jk} \ \beta_{jl} \ P_{jk}^{-1} \ \alpha_{ik})
\]

\[
(M-x) \quad \ x_{ij} \ p_{ij} \ t_{i} = p_{ij} \ t_{i} \ x_{ij} \quad \ i < j
\]

First consider \( \Phi_{\sigma_{q}} \). The only non-trivial cases are when \( q = i - 1, q = i \) and \( j = i + 1, q = j - 1 \) and \( j \neq i + 1 \).

When \( q = i - 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_{q}}(x_{ij} \ p_{ij} \ t_{i}) = p_{i-1,i} \ x_{i-1,j} \ p_{i-1,j} \ P_{i-1,i}^{-1} \ t_{i-1} \quad \text{(C-pt)}
\]

\[
= p_{i-1,i} \ x_{i-1,j} \ P_{i-1,i}^{-1} \ t_{i-1} \quad \text{(M-x)}
\]

\[
= p_{i-1,i} \ t_{i-1} \ x_{i-1,j} \ P_{i-1,i}^{-1} \quad \text{(C-pt)}
\]

\[
= p_{i-1,i} \ t_{i-1} \ x_{i-1,j} \ P_{i-1,i}^{-1} \quad \text{(C-pt)}
\]

\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_{q}}(p_{ij} \ t_{i} \ x_{ij})
\]

When \( q = i \) and \( j = i + 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_{q}}(x_{ij} \ p_{ij} \ t_{i}) = t_{i}^{-1} \ y_{ij} \ t_{j} \ p_{ij} \ t_{j} \quad \text{(C-pt)}
\]

\[
= t_{j}^{-1} \ y_{ij} \ t_{j} \ p_{ij} \ t_{j} \quad \text{(M-y)}
\]

\[
= t_{j}^{-1} \ p_{ij} \ t_{j} \ y_{ij} \ t_{j} \quad \text{(C-pt)}
\]

\[
= p_{ij} \ y_{ij} \ t_{j}
\]

\[
= \Phi_{\sigma_{q}}(p_{ij} \ t_{i} \ x_{ij})
\]

When \( q = j - 1 \) and \( j \neq i + 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_{q}}(x_{ij} \ p_{ij} \ t_{i}) = p_{j-1,j} \ x_{i,j-1} \ P_{i,j-1}^{-1} \ t_{i} \quad \text{(C-pt)}
\]
\[ = P_{j-1,j} x_{i,j-1} P_{i,j-1} t_{i,j} p_{j-1,j}^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (M-x) \\
\[ = P_{j-1,j} P_{i,j-1} t_{i,j} x_{i,j-1} P_{j-1,j}^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-pt) \\
\[ = P_{j-1,j} P_{i,j-1} t_{i,j} P_{j-1,j} x_{i,j-1} - P_{j-1,j} \] \\
\[ = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(p_{ij} t_{i,j} x_{i,j}) \]

Now consider \( \Phi_{\tau_q} \), the only non-trivial case is when \( q = i \).

\[
\Phi_{\tau_q}(x_{ij} p_{ij} t_{ij}) = x_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} t_{ij} p_{ij}^{-1} t_{ij} \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-pt) \\
\[ = x_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} t_{ij} p_{ij}^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (M-y) \\
\[ = P_{ij} t_{ij} x_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} \] \\
\[ = \Phi_{\tau_q}(p_{ij} t_{i,j} x_{i,j}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (M-y)

First consider \( \Phi_{\sigma_q} \). The only non-trivial cases are when \( q = i - 1, q = i \) and \( j = i + 1 \), and \( q = j - 1 \) and \( j \neq i + 1 \).

When \( q = i - 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(y_{ij} p_{ij} t_{ij}) = p_{i-1,j} y_{i-1,j} P_{i-1,j}^{-1} t_{ij} \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-pt) \\
\[ = p_{i-1,j} y_{i-1,j} P_{i-1,j}^{-1} t_{ij} P_{i-1,j}^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (M-y) \\
\[ = p_{i-1,i} P_{i-1,j} t_{ij} y_{i-1,j} P_{i-1,i}^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-pt) \\
\[ = P_{i-1,i} P_{i-1,j} t_{ij} y_{i-1,j} P_{i-1,i}^{-1} \] \\
\[ = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(p_{ij} t_{i,j} y_{i,j}) \]

When \( q = i \) and \( j = i + 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(y_{ij} p_{ij} t_{ij}) = x_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} t_{ij} \]  \hspace{1cm} (M-x) \\
\[ = p_{ij} t_{ij} x_{ij} \] \\
\[ = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(p_{ij} t_{i,j} y_{i,j}) \]

When \( q = j - 1 \) and \( j \neq i + 1 \) we have the following.

\[
\Phi_{\sigma_q}(y_{ij} p_{ij} t_{ij}) = P_{j-1,j} y_{i,j-1} P_{i,j-1}^{-1} P_{j-1,j}^{-1} t_{ij} \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-pt) \\
\[ = P_{j-1,j} y_{i,j-1} P_{i,j-1}^{-1} P_{j-1,j}^{-1} t_{ij} \]  \hspace{1cm} (M-y) \\
\[ = P_{j-1,j} P_{i,j-1} t_{ij} y_{i,j-1} P_{i,j-1}^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-pt) \\
\[ = P_{j-1,j} P_{i,j-1} t_{ij} y_{i,j-1} P_{i,j-1}^{-1} \] \\
\[ = \Phi_{\sigma_q}(p_{ij} t_{i,j} y_{i,j}) \]

Now consider \( \Phi_{\tau_q} \), the only non-trivial case is when \( q = j \).

\[
\Phi_{\tau_q}(y_{ij} p_{ij} t_{ij}) = y_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} t_{ij} \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-pt) \\
\[ = y_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij} t_{ij} \]  \hspace{1cm} (M-y) \\
\[ = p_{ij} t_{ij} y_{ij} \] \\
\[ = \Phi_{\tau_q}(p_{ij} t_{i,j} y_{i,j}) \]
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