Relations between vital capacity, CO diffusion capacity and computed tomographic findings of former asbestos-exposed patients: a cross-sectional study
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Abstract

Background: Asbestos-related lung diseases are one of the leading diagnoses of the recognized occupational diseases in Germany, both in terms of their number and their socio-economic costs. The aim of this study was to determine whether pulmonary function testing (spirometry and CO diffusion measurement (D\textsubscript{LCO})) and computed tomography of the thorax (TCT) are relevant for the early detection of asbestos-related pleural and pulmonary fibrosis and the assessment of the functional deficiency.

Methods: The records of 111 formerly asbestos-exposed workers who had been examined at the Institute for Occupational and Maritime Medicine, Hamburg, Germany, with data on spirometry, D\textsubscript{LCO} and TCT were reviewed. Workers with substantial comorbidities (cardiac, malignant, silicosis) and/or pulmonary emphysema (pulmonary hyperinflation and/or TCT findings), which, like asbestosis, can lead to a diffusion disorder were excluded. The remaining data of 41 male workers (mean 69.8 years ±6.9) were evaluated. The TCT changes were coded according to the International Classification of High-resolution Computed Tomography for Occupational and Environmental Respiratory Diseases (ICOERD) by radiologists and ICOERD-scores for pleural and pulmonary changes were determined. Correlations (\(\rho\)), Cochens \(\kappa\) and accuracy were calculated.

Results: In all 41 males the vital capacity (VC in % of the predicted value (% pred.)) showed only minor limitations (mean 96.5 ± 18.0%). The D\textsubscript{LCO} (in % pred.) was slightly reduced (mean 76.4 ± 16.6%; median 80.1%); the alveolar volume related value (D\textsubscript{LCO/VA}) was within reference value (mean 102 ± 22%). In the TCT of 27 workers pleural asbestos-related findings were diagnosed whereof 24 were classified as pulmonary fibrosis (only one case with honey-combing). Statistical analysis provided low correlations of VC (\(\rho = -0.12\)) and moderate correlations of D\textsubscript{LCO} (\(-0.25\)) with pleural plaque extension. The ICOERD-score for pulmonary fibrosis correlated low with VC (0.10) and moderate with D\textsubscript{LCO} (−0.23); D\textsubscript{LCO} had the highest accuracy with 73.2% and Cochens \(\kappa\) with 0.45. D\textsubscript{LCO/VA} showed no correlations to the ICOERD-score. The newly developed score, which takes into account the diffuse pleural thickening, shows a moderate correlation with the D\textsubscript{LCO} (\(\rho = -0.35, p < 0.05\)).
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Background

Occupational diseases due to asbestos exposure are the fourth most confirmed occupational disease in Germany in 2018 with 3401 cases [1]. In 2018, 65% of all deaths due to an occupational disease were caused by asbestos [2]. As a result of the widespread use of the building material asbestos and the long latency between exposure and first symptoms, a considerable number of unreported cases must be assumed. About 40% (€ 250 million) of the health care costs and compensatory payments covered by the German Social Accident Insurance are attributed to occupational diseases caused by asbestos [3].

In addition to malignant diseases (which are not discussed here), the consequences of exposure to asbestos are typical pleural plaques, pleuritis and pulmonary fibrosis (asbestosis) with the consequence of a restrictive ventilation disorder. Restrictive lung diseases show a reduction of all lung volumes at normal relative one-second capacity (FEV1/FVC) and a gas exchange disorder with decrease of the diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO and DLCO/VA).

The pathognomonic changes of the pleura and the typical changes of the lung parenchyma caused by asbestos are better identifiable on TCT than on chest X-ray [4]. The lung parenchyma signs include a centrilobular increase in density, intralobular structures that are not ordered in septa, and thickened interlobular septa. Subpleural curvilinear lines (SC) running parallel to the thoracic wall indicate the onset of fibrosis. So-called parenchymal bands (PB) are visible in TCT as pleuralthoracic plaques, no or only minimal changes (like SC or PB) caused by asbestos are visible in the CT, or whether structural alterations of the lung parenchyma are mandatory for lung function impairments. By this, secondary prevention measures for the detection and confirmation of compensatory payments should be initiated at an early stage. Non-invasive, low-radiation and economically appropriate methods like lung function test should be available for this purpose. So, the aim of this study was to determine whether pulmonary function testing (spirometry and DLCO) and computed tomography of the thorax (TCT) are relevant for the early detection of asbestos-related pleural and pulmonary fibrosis and the assessment of the functional deficiency.

Methods

The study was conducted retrospectively with data from patient records of 111 occupationally asbestos-exposed workers and was obtained by experts from the Institute for Occupational and Maritime Medicine (ZIAM), Hamburg (Germany), between January 2013 and October 2016 for clarification/confirmation or follow-up of an asbestos-related disease. In addition to TCT and medical history, following parameters of lung function were gathered: VC measurement (VCmax, FVC), CO diffusion capacity (DLCO, and DLCO/VA), spirometry (FEV1/FVC), body plethysmography, and if necessary bronchospasmylography to determine non-reversible pulmonary hyperinflation. Furthermore, haemoglobin value and percent of CO haemoglobin were determined. The medical history was screened for other diseases that restrict lung function and pulmonary gas exchange to exclude patients with e.g. lung cancer, silicosis, sarcoidosis or hypersensitivity pneumonitis as well as cardiac diseases. Moreover, patients with pulmonary emphysema were not included in the study, as reduced diffusion capacity may be the result of both asbestosis and emphysema. For the diagnosis of pulmonary emphysema, shown by 15 patients, at least one of the following criteria had to be met: (1) Increase of residual volume (RV) and ratio of residual volume to total lung
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Conclusions: In formerly asbestos-exposed workers, lung function alterations and TCT findings correlated moderate, but significant using DLCO and ICOERD-score considering parenchymal ligaments, subpleural curvilinear lines, round atelectases and pleural effusion in addition to pleural plaque extension. DLCO also showed highest accuracy in regard to pulmonary findings. However, VC showed only weaker correlations although being well established for early detection. Besides TCT the determination of both lung function parameters (VC and DLCO) is mandatory for the early detection and assessment of functional deficiencies in workers formerly exposed to asbestos.
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Spirometry, body plethysmography and CO diffusion capacity

Spirometry and body plethysmography had been carried out according to the quality criteria of the European Respiratory Society (ERS) [20], the American Thoracic Society (ATS) [21] and German Guideline for standardization of spirometry [22], demanding three artefact-free spirometry breathing manoeuvres. Following the guidelines, the higher result of two reproducible manoeuvres was selected. For the interpretation of lung function, the reference values for spirometry of the Global Lung Initiative (GLI) [23] and for body plethysmography of the European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC) [24] were used.

DLCO was performed in single breath (SB) method according to Mottram et al. [25] and Graham et al. [26] using the predicted values by Cotes et al. [27]. If the haemoglobin (Hb) value was determined, the DLCO values were adjusted (corr. DLCO = DLCO*(10.22 + Hb)/(1.7*Hb)) according to Mottram et al. [28]. This adjustment was performed in 22 of 41 cases; in cases where there was no clinical evidence of anaemia and the Hb value was missing, an Hb value of 14.6 g/dl was assumed. All statistical analyses were performed with corr. DLCO values calculated to a normal Hb value.

Computed tomography of the lung

To ensure a standardised evaluation, the International Classification of Occupational and Environmental Respiratory Disease (ICOERD) was used to assess the TCTs [29, 30]. The semi-quantitative description and coding were performed by radiologists following the guidelines for assessment and image data documentation by Hering et al. [8]. In 32 (78%) of the subjects, TCT was performed with a maximum interval of 30 days after/before administering the lung function test; in five subjects within 31 to 180 days and in four subjects within 181 to 365 days (mean 42 days, SD 82).

The coding scheme of the international classification of occupational and environmental respiratory diseases (ICOERD)

The ICOERD coding scheme is regarded as the current standard for the diagnosis of computed tomographic image material in pneumoconiosis [29]. In the section “Lung” the International Classification indicates irregular and/or linear compression and honey-combing. In the “Plura” section, two forms of pleural changes can be differentiated: the parietal type with tableland-shaped or flat, partly spindle-shaped thickenings of the pleura without subpleural fibrosis and the visceral type with thickenings of the pleura with adjacent parenchymal ligaments. The parietal type can be regarded as highly asbestosis-related pleural plaques, while the visceral type can be caused differently [8]. According to the Helsinki criteria, the minimum requirement for a clear diagnosis of asbestosis in the TCT is fibrosis, which is represented by at least two bilateral irregular densifications of the lower lung sections and/or bilateral honeycombing with a total of more than 2 points [10, 31].

Scores

ICOERD is used to assign scores to various structures, some of which are used as the basis for this evaluation. Score A quantifies the pleural plaques and thus reflects their local extent; according to the occurrence at the right (R) and left (L) pleura and there depending on the proportion with U, M and L (for upper, middle and lower field, respectively R and L) [5]. This score ranges between 0 and 6 points and mainly takes into account plaques of the parietal type. Score B for the occurrence of fibrosis of the lung parenchyma is derived from the ICOERD according to the total variance of irregular and/or linear opacities. The score/point value for pulmonary fibrosis can range from 0 to 18 points.

In addition to these scores A and B a newly developed combined pleural score is tested. Besides the extent of parietal pleural plaques, typical alterations such as visceral pleural thickening are also considered. These diffuse pleural thickenings are taken into account in this new score by additional point values for ‘parenchymal bands’ (PB), ‘round atelectases’ (RA), ‘subpleural curvilinear lines’ (SC) and ‘effusion, free or loculated pleural fluid’ (EF). The point value from the distribution of pleural plaques (0–6 points), as described above, is now multiplied by the score value from 1 + PB + RA + SC + EF, i.e. 1–5 points. This combined score thus allows a value of 0–30. Figure 1 depicts the score calculation for a representative study subject.

Further ICOERD-based scores were tested weighting differently the pleural and pulmonary extensions and additional findings; e.g. a score adding additional points for calcification and the additional attributes PB and RA,
a score from the addition of the pleural point values (1–6) and the mentioned extra points with the pulmonary point values (1–18), and a score as addition of the above mentioned score with the score of the parenchymal fibrosis. Since these scores could not demonstrate sufficient predictive value or specificity, the score systems were not presented here.

**Statistical analysis**

ICOERD-scores indicating the presence of pleural plaques (corresponding to the above-mentioned score value 0–6) and the lung function parameters vital capacity (VC), diffusion capacity $D_{LCO}$, and transfer coefficient $D_{LCO}/VA$ (also called KCO) were included for testing statistical correlation. The lung function parameters were fitted into statistical model in % of the predicted value to compensate for deviations in absolute values due to differences in body size and age [27]. VC, $D_{LCO}$ and $D_{LCO}/VA$ as well as the scores of the pleural plaques (score A), the parenchymal fibrosis (score B) and the score for pleural plaques with visceral involvement (combined score) were tested for correlation.

Since the study group is consisting of less than 50 subjects, the Shapiro-Wilk test was performed to test for normal distribution within the individual groups. The correlation coefficient (Spearman’s rho, $\rho$) and statistical significance were determined to measure the direction and strength of a possible linear relationship between the individual parameters of spirometry, diffusion capacity and CT findings. An ANOVA variance analysis was performed to compare the differently classified groups with each other. Further analyses were carried out using four-field matrix. Therefore individuals were classified as ‘healthy’ or ‘sick’ by exceeding or undercutting the lower limit of normal (LLN) for the respective lung function parameter tested and by the presence of pleural plaques or parenchymal fibrosis in the TCT. The latter is present when at least an ICOERD-score of 2 is reached [5]. Accuracy and Cohen’s Kappa were calculated.

The program “R” (version 3.5.0) was used for the statistical analyses. Participants gave written (informed) consent to clinical studies.

**Results**

The exclusively male patient collective was fairly homogeneous with regard to age, height, weight, BMI and haemoglobin content of the blood (Hb) (Table 1). Of the 41 patients, 10 (24%) reported continuing active smoking, 22 (54%) were former smokers, 9 (22%) had never smoked. The smokers had a cigarette consumption of 25.6 pack years (py) (SD ± 20.8). The exposure duration (in years) to dusts containing asbestos was also determined. There were no statistical correlations to the changes in lung function values. This aspect will therefore not be pursued further.

**Spirometry, whole body plethysmography, diffusion capacity ($D_{LCO}$) and transfer coefficient ($D_{LCO}/VA$), and the ICOERD**

Table 2 shows the homogeneous distribution for the measured values from spirometry, whole body plethysmography...
and CO diffusion capacity. The VC shows slight limitations compared to the predicted value (mean 96.47% ± 17.96). The DLCO is also reduced compared to the predicted value (mean 76.35% ± 16.58) while DLCO/VA shows minor deviation from the reference value (mean 102.29% ± 21.88).

Finally, according to the TCT 27 (66%) of the 41 patients were diagnosed with parietal-type pleural plaques; six (15%) patients showed additional or isolated diffuse pleural thickening; 22 had calcifications in the plaques. The high prevalence of parietal pleural plaques in the study population is caused by and typical for occupational-related asbestos exposures [8]. The score for the localization of the parietal plaques (score A) are predominantly 2 points with n = 10, only a few have 3 points (n = 3) and 4 points (n = 4). One patient achieves only one point or the maximum value of 6 points. Additional points for diffuse pleural thickening were given for PB in 14 cases, RA in 2, SC in 8, and EF in 2 cases. Further symbol markings, as specified in the ICOERD, serve to identify comorbidities and were only taken into account in this evaluation if they indicated the presence of exclusion criteria, e.g. heart disease. Eighteen patients show pulmonary fibrosis, only one patient honey combing.

Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5 show the distributions of the score B for parenchymal changes and the newly formed combined score for parietal pleural plaque expansion and diffuse pleural thickening, taking into account PB, RA, SC, and EF in correlation to VC and DLCO.

Comparison of the lung function parameters VC, DLCO and DLCO/VA with the presence of pleural plaques in CT

Patients with pleural plaques (n = 27) and a score of at least 1 in the evaluation of plaque distribution in the ICOERD coding scheme (score A) have a slightly lower vital capacity (VC) compared to patients without pleural plaques (ρ = −0.19, n.s.) (Table 3). Likewise, the mean values of the VC in the separate evaluation of both groups indicate that patients of the collective without pleural plaques in CT show a higher VC on average than patients with pleural plaques (100.1 and 94.6%, respectively, Table 3). The sensitivity of the VC is correspondingly low in the study group, only 4 of 27 (15%) patients with one or more pleural plaques have pathological VC value (NPV 38%; Table 4). In contrast, all patients with a significant reduction of VC (<LLN) also have pleural plaques in CT findings (PPV 100%). The Shapiro-Wilk test shows a normal distribution for VC as well as for DLCO and DLCO/VA (Table 3).

Considering the correlation between the score value for pleural plaques and the evidence of a pathological CO diffusion capacity, similar to the result for VC, only a weak negative correlation is found (ρ = −0.19, n.s.; Table 3).

In the four-field matrix, the DLCO of patients without plaques is on average 79.7%, i.e. already in the lower limit range of the predicted values and lower than the VC (Table 3). In the individual analysis, however, only 3 out of 14, i.e. 21% of these patients without plaques, show a reduction in DLCO below LLN, whereas 44% (12 out of 27) of patients with at least one pleural plaque show DLCO below LLN (Table 4). With 56.1% accuracy, the DLCO thus gives a better indication of the presence of pleural plaques than the vital capacity (accuracy 43.9%). The correlation between the score value for pleural plaques and the evidence of a pathological CO diffusion capacity, similar to the result for VC, only a weak negative correlation is found (ρ = −0.19, n.s.; Table 3).

The transfer coefficient DLCO/VA shows a pathological value in only four patients; the accuracy for the presence of pleural plaques is low with 29.3% (Table 4). The mean values of this measurement are close to the predicted value in all subgroups; asbestos-related lung and pleural changes do not lead to a reduction of DLCO/VA in this collective, the correlation between pleural plaques and DLCO/VA is poor (Cohens Kappa = −0.13) (Tables 3 and 4).

Table 1 Demographic data of the patients (n = 41)

| Variable       | Mean  | SD    | Median |
|----------------|-------|-------|--------|
| age [years]    | 69.8  | 6.9   | 72     |
| height [cm]    | 174.7 | 6.4   | 176    |
| weight [kg]    | 88.9  | 15.2  | 88     |
| BMI [kg/m²]    | 29.1  | 4.5   | 29.4   |
| Cigarette smoking [py] | 25.6  | 20.8  | 30     |
| Hb [g/dL]      | 14.6  | 1.5   | 14.6   |

SD standard deviation, py pack years, Hb haemoglobin

Table 2 Results of spirometry, body plethysmography, DLCO and DLCO/VA (n = 41)

| Variable       | Mean  | SD    | Median | %pred. |
|----------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| VCmax [L]      | 3.93  | 0.89  | 4.00   | 96.47  |
| FVC [L]        | 3.91  | 0.91  | 3.93   | 95.15  |
| FEV₁ [L]       | 2.84  | 0.79  | 2.78   | 90.90  |
| sR [kPa*s]     | 0.71  | 0.43  | 0.62   | 80.80  |
| RV [L]         | 2.75  | 0.64  | 2.67   | 105.70 |
| TLC [L]        | 6.68  | 1.16  | 6.57   | 96.95  |
| RV/TLC [%]     | 41.35 | 7.59  | 39.64  | 100.38 |
| DLCO [mmol*min⁻¹*kPa⁻¹] | 6.66  | 1.48  | 6.93   | 76.35  |
| DLCO/VA [mmol*min⁻¹*kPa⁻¹] | 1.30  | 0.26  | 1.30   | 102.29 |
| VIN [L]        | 3.64  | 0.89  | 3.64   | 86.68  |

VCmax maximum vital capacity, FVC forced expiratory vital capacity, FEV₁ expiratory one-second capacity, sR specific resistance, RV residual volume, TLC total lung capacity, RV/TLC share of residual volume in total lung capacity, DLCO haemoglobin value (Hb) corrected diffusion capacity with CO, DLCO/VA Hb corrected diffusion capacity with CO relative to alveolar volume, VIN inspiratory volume, SD standard deviation, %pred. % of predicted value

ρ Pearson's correlation coefficient, n.s. non-significant, n.s.; Table 3.
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Fig. 2 Comparison of VC with the score B of pulmonary fibrosis according to ICOERD coding scheme (n = 41), ρ = 0.10; n.s. The pathological values of the VC (< lower limit of normal (LLN)) are marked with *.

Fig. 3 Comparison of diffusion capacity with the score B of pulmonary fibrosis according to ICOERD coding scheme (n = 41), ρ = −0.22; n.s. The pathological values of the DLCO (< lower limit of normal (LLN)) are marked with *.
Comparison of lung function parameters VC, DLCO and DLCO/VA with the detection of pulmonary fibrosis

Pulmonary, i.e. parenchymal, fibrosis is present if at least 2 fields have been marked in the ICOERD coding scheme [5], corresponding to a score $B \geq 2$; higher values indicate a greater extent of pulmonary fibrosis. As mentioned above, 18 patients show pulmonary fibrosis with a score of 1–6 (of max. 18) points. The vital capacity shows no correlation to the extent of pulmonary fibrosis ($\rho = 0.10$ and −0.22, resp., n.s.; Table 3). The relation between the score, i.e. the extent of pulmonary fibrosis, and the pulmonary function parameters VC and DLCO are visualized in Figs 2 and 3.

In patients with pulmonary fibrosis and pathological lung function values, the DLCO shows the highest accuracy with 73.2%, followed by the VC with an accuracy of 56.1% (see four-field matrix, Table 4). Cohens Kappa of 0.45 shows moderate correlation between DLCO and pulmonary fibrosis. Once again, DLCO/VA shows no association to the radiological findings with regard to pulmonary fibrosis (Tables 3 and 4).

Comparison of lung function parameters VC, DLCO and DLCO/VA with the score of parietal pleural changes and diffuse pleural thickening

This newly developed score considers the local extent of pleural plaques and visceral and subpleural asbestos-related alterations corresponding to the presence of PB, RA, SC and EF as described above. The score values range between 0 and 20. For the vital capacity at least a weak correlation with this score is apparent; the DLCO shows a mean significant correlation with the score ($\rho = -0.35, p < 0.05$; Table 3). These correlations of lung function parameters and scores are illustrated in Figs 4 and 5. The DLCO/VA shows no correlation to this score (Table 3). The four-field matrix is not suitable for this score; it would show the same results as for the presence of pleural plaques.

In addition, we have calculated a further score from the addition of the combined score (pleural changes with visceral changes) with the score $B$ for the extent of pulmonary fibrosis. This allows both pleural changes and lung changes caused by asbestos to be adequately considered. The comparison of this score with the DLCO showed the highest correlation ($\rho = -0.41; p < 0.01$) and the VC shows only a weak correlation ($\rho = -0.12; $n.s.) (Data are not shown in figures).

Discussion

Lung function testing is a simple, non-invasive and inexpensive diagnostic method for early detection and verification of a disease or its progression, thus enabling early intervention. TCT classified by ICOERD shows the extent of asbestos-related morphological changes, but not...
the effects on volume and gas exchange in the lungs. The aim of this study was to determine whether the results of pulmonary function tests (spirometry and $D_{LCO}$) can increase the predictive value for the presence of asbestos-related fibrosis.

The classification of the visceral type of asbestos-related pleural fibrosis with the ICOERD coding scheme [29] requires the specification of at least one finding in the category “symbols” listing intrapulmonary changes like ‘parenchymal bands’ (PB) and ‘round atelectases’ (RA).

### Table 3

Comparison of vital capacity (VC), diffusion capacity ($D_{LCO}$), and transfer coefficient ($D_{LCO}/VA$) of subjects without and with pleural plaques or without and with pulmonary fibrosis in TCT.

|                            | VC [% pred.] | $D_{LCO}$ [% pred.] | $D_{LCO}/VA$ [% pred.] |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                             | $p = 0.15$   | $p = 0.06$           | $p = 0.40$             |
| **Pleural plaques**         |              |                      |                        |
| none                        | 14           | 100.1 11.6           | 79.7 16.1              | 98.0 23.1 |
| yes                         | 27           | 94.6 20.5            | 74.6 16.8              | 104.5 21.3 |
| correlation $\rho$ of pleural plaques (score A) with lung function parameters | $\rho = -0.12$ | $\rho = -0.25$    | $\rho = 0.12$          |
| **Pulmonary fibrosis**      |              |                      |                        |
| none                        | 23           | 95.7 16.2            | 80.0 14.2              | 106.2 20.6 |
| yes                         | 18           | 97.5 20.4            | 61.3 18.0              | 97.3 23.6 |
| correlation $\rho$ of pulmonary fibrosis (score B) with lung function parameters | $\rho = 0.10$ | $\rho = -0.22$     | $\rho = -0.26$         |
| **Combined score**          |              |                      |                        |
| correlation $\rho$ of the combined score of parietal pleural changes and diffuse pleural thickening with lung function parameters | $\rho = -0.18$ | $\rho = -0.35^*$    | $\rho = 0.09$          |

$p$ correlation (Spearman’s rho, LLN lower limit of normal; * significant ($p < 0.05$))
Diagnostic findings as ‘subpleural curvilinear lines’ (SC) and ‘effusion, free or loculated pleural fluid’ (EF) are associated with previous exposures to asbestos and have therefore been included in our newly developed scoring system.

According to our pathophysiological model, besides PB, RA, SC, and EF, other diagnostic findings listed in the ICOERD coding scheme could also affect the functionality of the lung, such as calcification of the plaques. For this purpose, the combined score included these diagnostic findings and was applied within the study collective. The consideration of PB and RA additionally to the pleural plaque values, but without the scores for SC and EF was also tested. By including PB, RA, SC, and EF in addition to the extent of pleural plaques in the statistical model, significant changes in lung function could be demonstrated.

Compared to spirometry with VC determination, our study showed DLCO to be the more sensitive diagnostic method with better accuracy for detecting asbestos-related changes in the lung and pleura. With the high positive predictive value of 80% and a specificity of 79% reduction of the DLCO is strongly associated with radiological findings (CT) of asbestososis.

Vital capacity also showed a negative correlation, when the pleural plaques were taken into account. In our statistical analysis there was no dependence of VC on the severity of fibrotic parenchymal changes. This might be attributed to the moderate fibrosis (maximum score was 6 of theoretically achievable 18 points) in all cases. Likewise, Şener et al. [33] described only a weak negative correlation between FEV1 and FVC decrease with the increase of small opacity grades in HRCT; however, the DLCO was not investigated by these authors. Barnikel et al. [34] showed a decrease of FVC and DLCO in 56 asbestos exposed subjects, particularly significant in cases with fibrotic phenotype in HRCT. The present study confirms the results of Park et al. [15] and Miles et al. [35] who described the decrease of DLCO in the presence of asbestos-related changes depending on the extent of pleural plaques, diffuse pleural thickening and asbestosis. In addition, Cha et al. [16] found a decrease in DLCO in the presence of manifest asbestos-related pleural plaques although not statistically significant; however, this study did not consider additional abnormalities like PB or SC in their analyses.

In the present study, DLCO/VA was not sensitive, confirming the results of van der Lee et al. [36] and Hughes & Pride [37] where the transmission coefficient DLCO/VA had no diagnostic added value compared to the parameters DLCO and VC for the detection of diffuse parenchymal diseases. In accordance, Schikowsky et al. [38] showed no impairment of DLCO/VA and no reduction in VC in subjects formerly exposed to asbestos. In difference, the DLCO results were not presented.

One of the strengths of the present study is the limitation to study participants without confounding factors. This applies in particular to the exclusion of patients with heart disease and emphysema, as the latter can be caused by inhalation of mineral dusts, but also by smoking. Both diseases can significantly impair the results of lung function, especially the DLCO. The results can be regarded as specific for the changes caused by asbestos.

We can show no dependency between lung function and the dose of previous exposure to asbestos. This may be due to inaccuracies in the recording of these events, which date back decades. Often, only rough dose-effect relationships are described for the development of asbestos-related changes [39]. All study participants provided explicit proof of occupational exposure to asbestos; therefore all were diagnosed with asbestosis, not as interstitial pneumonia or idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, as even the small amounts can lead to the occurrence of asbestosis [8, 39, 40].

Limitations
Because the patient data were collected over a period of 4 years, a particular challenge arose from the fact that
different CT scanners had been used, which had to meet certain quality criteria depending on the CT scanner. After careful review, data sets that did not meet the defined CT quality criteria had to be removed from the study [8].

Exposure to asbestos fibre dust in combination with smoking has significant effects on the findings both in TCT and in lung function tests [41]. Especially in heavy smokers, these findings can be difficult to distinguish from patients with mild asbestosis. However, these were only a few subjects (Table 1) and we did not analyse them separately.

The assessment of the TCT after ICOERD was performed by radiologists familiar with pneumoconiosis; however, no controls could be performed by a second radiologist. Minor inaccuracies in the calculated scores could therefore occur, even if the interreader variability in the findings with the ICOERD is low, as Suganuma et al. [30] showed.

TCT and pulmonary function were usually not performed on the same day, in some cases the tests were several months apart. This had to be accepted due to the very slow progression of asbestos-related fibrosis, as conducting such a study does not allow an indication for further radiation exposure to TCT.

Conclusions
The correlation of lung function changes and TCT findings was strongest when DLCO was used and ICOERD classification of TCT included parenchymal bands, subpleural curvilinear lines, round atelectasis, and pleural effusion in addition to pleural plaque extension. In correlation to the exclusively pulmonary findings, DLCO showed the highest accuracy, but VC only a weaker correlation. Therefore, lung function parameter DLCO should be included as complementary parameter to VC in future examinations for the detection of asbestosis. In the evaluation of radiological imaging of morphological asbestos-related lung and pleural changes, the presence of parenchymal bands, subpleural curvilinear lines, round atelectasis and pleural effusion are predictive for pulmonary insufficiency and might also be included in the evaluation of ICOERD-findings.
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