Purpose: The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence and risk factors for cardiac autonomic neuropathy (CAN) in nonobese young type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) patients without micro- or macrovascular complications.

Methods: CAN was assessed in 95 patients with T1DM, aged 18–29 years, using standard cardiovascular reflex tests – heart rate response to deep breathing, standing, and the Valsalva maneuver and blood pressure response to standing. Furthermore, power spectral analyses of overall heart rate variability (HRV), standard deviation of NN intervals (SDNN), and total power (TP) were tested with DiCAN. CAN was defined as abnormal results for at least 1 of the 4 cardiovascular reflex tests.

Results: The prevalence of CAN was 12.6%. The frequency of one and 2 abnormal reflex tests was 10.5% and 2.1%, respectively. No significant differences were observed in age, sex, mean hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub> (HbA<sub>1c</sub>) level, and duration of diabetes with respect to presence of CAN. Patients with CAN exhibited lower overall HRV parameters (SDNN and TP) compared with those without CAN even though there was no statistical significance. In multivariable analyses, higher mean HbA<sub>1c</sub> level was significantly associated with lower overall HRV (β=-44.42, P<0.002 for SDNN and β=-2.82, P<0.001 for TP).

Conclusion: CAN can be detected in 12.6% of young adult T1DM patients even without other micro- or macrovascular complications. Glycemic control is the main determinant to maintain overall HRV and prevent CAN.
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Introduction

Diabetic cardiac autonomic neuropathy (CAN) is caused by damage to the autonomic nerve fibers that innervate the heart and blood vessels, leading to disruption in heart rate control and vascular dynamics<sup>1</sup> and resulting in increased mortality with a relative mortality risk of 3.5 (95% confidence interval, 2.7–4.5).<sup>2</sup> This can be explained by the increased rate of cardiac arrhythmias due to sympatho-vagal imbalance,<sup>3</sup> as well as to cardiac sympathetic denervation.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, diabetic patients must be closely supervised for early detection of CAN.

Since the early 1970s, the Ewing method has been widely used to diagnose CAN.<sup>5</sup> In 2005, the American Diabetes Association consensus statement recommended a battery of cardiovascular reflex tests to detect cardiovascular autonomic dysfunction.<sup>6</sup> Analysis of heart rate variability (HRV) has also been useful for detecting CAN in its early subclinical stage,<sup>7</sup> and reduced HRV has been considered an early warning sign.<sup>8</sup>

The reported prevalence of CAN has varied depending on detection methodology used and characteristics of the study population.<sup>6,9</sup> Abnormal cardiovascular reflexes were
detected in 7.7% of patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) at the time of diagnosis in a study by Ziegler et al.\textsuperscript{10} in 13% of T1DM patients with more than 9 years of follow-up (mean diabetic duration of 14.7 years) in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) study,\textsuperscript{11} and in 36% in T1DM patients with a mean diabetic duration of 15 years according to the EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study.\textsuperscript{12} The risk of T1DM patients developing CAN is affected by several risk factors including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), duration of diabetes, glycemic control, cardiovascular risk profile (hyperlipidemia, hypertension), and microvascular complications (e.g., retinopathy, nephropathy).\textsuperscript{9,12-17}

In the present study, we evaluated the prevalence of CAN using standard cardiovascular reflex tests according to recent guidelines. We also evaluated the risk factors associated with reduced HRV in nonobese young adult T1DM patients without other micro- or macrovascular complications.

**Materials and methods**

1. Subjects

Patients with T1DM (18–29 years of age) receiving multiple daily injections of insulin were recruited from the outpatient clinic at Seoul National University Children’s Hospital between January 2014 and January 2016. T1DM was defined by presence of one or more islet autoantibodies with low or undetectable level of plasma C-peptide.\textsuperscript{18} Among the 126 patients with T1DM, those who declined to provide consent (n=3), those with <5 years duration of diabetes (n=2), diabetic retinopathy (≥1 microaneurysm or hemorrhage) (n=4), diabetic nephropathy (>30 mg of albumin in a 24-hour urine sample) (n=9), obesity (BMI≥25 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}) (n=8), cardiac arrhythmia (n=1), and prescription for antihypertensive medications (n=4) were excluded. A final total of 95 patients was included in the study.

2. Measurements

Height was measured with a Harpenden stadiometer (Holtain Ltd., Crymych, Wales, UK), and weight was measured with a digital scale (150 A; Cas Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea). BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height squared (m\textsuperscript{2}). Waist circumference was also measured. Body composition was analyzed by bioimpedance (InBody 770, InBody Co., Seoul, Korea). After a 10-minute rest, blood pressure (BP) was measured at the time of cardiovascular reflex testing using DiCAN (Medicore). SDNN and TP are measurements of all normal R-R intervals [SDNN] and total power [TP] (m\textsuperscript{2}). Spectral analyses of HRV (standard deviation of all normal R-R intervals [SDNN] and total power [TP]) were also evaluated using DiCAN (Medicore). SDNN and TP are measurements of the overall HRV. Therefore, lower SDNN or TP level indicates reduced overall HRV.\textsuperscript{7}

4. Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 22.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analyses. All continuous variables were analyzed as independent variables, and SDNN and TP were analyzed as dependent variables.
were described as mean±standard deviation. Logarithmic transformations were performed before statistical analyses for variables that were not normally distributed. Independent sample t-tests were used to compare means of continuous variables. Chi-square tests were performed to determine the significance of categorical variables. Univariate and multivariate regression analyses were performed to examine the associations between HRV, as a continuous outcome, and clinical explanatory variables. Statistical significance was defined as P<0.05.

Results

1. Characteristics of the study population

Baseline characteristics of the 95 patients (45 males) are shown in Table 1. The mean age of T1DM patients was 22.2±2.9 years (range, 18.0–29.5 years), and mean disease duration was 13.1±4.8 years (range, 5.1–25.4 years). The mean HbA1c level was 8.4%±1.2%. Seventy-four patients (77.9%) reported family history of cardiovascular disease. The mean HbA1c level, fat mass percentage, and total cholesterol level were higher in women compared to men (P<0.05). No patients exhibited clinical manifestations of peripheral neuropathy or CAN.

2. Prevalence of CAN in nonobese T1DM patients without micro- or macrovascular complications

Twelve patients (12.6%) were diagnosed with CAN, which was defined as having 1 (n=10, 10.5%) or 2 (n=2, 2.1%) abnormal reflex test results (Table 1). No difference was found between the sexes in prevalence of CAN. The heart rate response to the Valsalva maneuver exhibited the most frequently abnormal results (7.4%) among the 4 cardiovascular reflex tests, followed by heart rate response during deep breathing (4.2%) and standing (3.2%). No patient had an abnormal BP response to standing. Moreover, no difference was observed in overall HRV parameters (both SDNN and TP) between the sexes.

When clinical characteristics and cardiac autonomic function testing were compared with respect to presence of CAN, no significant differences were found in age, sex, mean HbA1c level, or duration of diabetes between patients with and those without CAN. Patients with CAN exhibited lower overall HRV parameters (both SDNN and TP) compared to those without CAN; however, the difference was not statistically significant (Table 2).

3. Risk factors associated with decrease in overall HRV

When we performed linear regression analysis to determine risk factors associated with overall HRV parameters, HRV was inversely associated with mean HbA1c level (P<0.001 for

| Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the study subjects |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Characteristic                          | Total (n=95)      | Male (n=45)       | Female (n=50)     |
| Age (yr)                                | 22.2±2.9          | 22.5±3.1          | 22.0±2.7          |
| Duration of diabetes (yr)               | 13.1±4.8          | 13.8±5.5          | 12.4±4.0          |
| HbA1c (%)                               | 8.4±1.2           | 8.1±1.1           | 8.7±1.2           |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)                 | 21.8±2.3          | 22.3±2.1          | 21.4±2.4          |
| Fat mass (%)                            | 23.7±7.2          | 17.4±4.8          | 28.6±4.8          |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)               | 190.9±34.4        | 178.2±34.4        | 202.3±28.7        |
| Non-HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)             | 119.3±31.9        | 114.0±34.4        | 124.1±29.0        |
| HR (bmp)                                | 74.6±9.4          | 75.2±9.9          | 74.1±9.0          |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)          | 109.7±10.4        | 116.1±9.8         | 103.9±7.1         |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)         | 70.5±6.9          | 72.9±7.5          | 68.2±5.6          |
| Family history of cardiovascular diseases| 74 (77.9)         | 36 (80.0)         | 38 (76.0)         |
| Abnormal cardiovascular reflex tests     |                   |                   |                   |
| HR response to the Valsalva maneuver     | 7 (7.4)           | 3 (6.7)           | 4 (8.0)           |
| HR variability during deep breathing     | 4 (4.2)           | 2 (4.4)           | 2 (4.0)           |
| HR response to standing                  | 3 (3.2)           | 3 (6.7)           | 0 (0)             |
| Blood pressure response to standing      | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)             |
| Cardiac autonomic neuropathy†            | 12 (12.6)         | 7 (15.6)          | 5 (10.0)          |
| One abnormal reflex test                 | 10 (10.5)         | 6 (13.3)          | 4 (8.0)           |
| Two abnormal reflex test                 | 2 (2.1)           | 1 (2.2)           | 1 (2.0)           |
| Overall HR variability                   |                   |                   |                   |
| SDNN (msec)                              | 41.1±16.2         | 40.0±17.2         | 42.2±15.3         |
| Total power (msec²)                      | 1,438.9±1,222.0   | 1,313.6±1,237.7   | 1,551.6±1,209.1   |

Values are mean±standard deviation or number (%).

HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HR, heart rate; SDNN, standard deviation of mean NN intervals.

†P<0.05. †Cardiac autonomic neuropathy was defined as at least one abnormal result out of the 4 cardiovascular reflex tests.
Table 2. Comparison of clinical characteristics and cardiac autonomic function test according to the presence of CAN

| Variable                        | Non-CAN (n=83) | CAN (n=12) | P-value |
|---------------------------------|----------------|------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                        | 22.3±2.9       | 21.9±3.3   | 0.660   |
| Male sex                        | 38 (45.8)      | 7 (58.3)   | 0.416   |
| Duration of diabetes (yr)       | 13.2±4.8       | 12.0±4.6   | 0.409   |
| HbA1c (%)                       | 8.4±1.2        | 8.3±1.1    | 0.898   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)         | 21.8±2.3       | 22.2±1.8   | 0.562   |
| Fat mass (%)                    | 23.7±7.4       | 23.6±6.5   | 0.992   |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)       | 190.3±34.5     | 194.8±35.1 | 0.577   |
| Non-HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)     | 118.8±31.6     | 122.9±34.7 | 0.669   |
| Heart rate (beats per minute)   | 75.7±10.2      | 74.2±9.2   | 0.483   |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)  | 109.3±10.6     | 111.9±9.3  | 0.388   |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 70.4±7.1       | 71.2±5.8   | 0.798   |
| SDNN (msec)                     | 41.7±16.0      | 37.4±17.4  | 0.391   |
| Total power (msec²)             | 1,454.2±1,198.8| 1,333.3±1,426.5| 0.554 |

Values are mean±standard deviation or number (%).
CAN, cardiac autonomic neuropathy; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SDNN, standard deviation of mean NN intervals.

Table 3. Multivariate-adjusted model to find risk factors associated with overall heart rate variability

| Variable                        | SDNN | Total power |
|---------------------------------|------|-------------|
|                                 | β±SE | P-value     | β±SE | P-value |
| Age                             | -1.22±0.64 | 0.060 | -0.09±0.03 | 0.011 |
| Female vs. male                 | 6.42±3.24 | 0.051 | 0.58±0.17 | 0.001 |
| Disease duration                | 1.64±4.94 | 0.741 | 0.41±0.27 | 0.129 |
| HbA1c                           | -44.42±13.76 | 0.002 | -2.82±0.74 | <0.001 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)         | 0.74±0.72 | 0.306 | 0.08±0.04 | 0.035 |
| Non-HDL cholesterol             | -9.75±6.98 | 0.166 | -0.45±0.37 | 0.233 |

Values are presented as regression coefficient (β)±standard error (SE).
SDNN, standard deviation of mean NN intervals; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

Discussion

In our study, CAN was detected in 12.6% of nonobese T1DM patients aged 18 to 29 years without micro- or macrovascular complications. Patients with CAN tended to have lower overall HRV (SDNN and TP). After adjusting for all possible covariates including age, sex, duration of diabetes, mean HbA1c, BMI, and non-HDL-cholesterol, higher mean HbA1c level was significantly associated with lower overall HRV (β=-44.42, P=0.002 for SDNN and β=-2.82, P<0.001 for TP) (Table 3).

Discussion

Since CAN is a serious complication associated with mortality in diabetic patients, possible symptoms and signs related to CAN should not be overlooked in T1DM patients with disease duration ≥5 years. Therefore, in 2011, the Toronto Consensus Panel on Diabetic Neuropathy recommended use of cardiovascular reflex tests measuring end-organ response (heart rate and BP changes) during provocative physiological maneuvers such as heart rate response to deep breathing, standing, and the Valsalva maneuver and BP response to standing. One abnormal test was defined as early CAN; at least 2 abnormal tests were defined as definite CAN.

The prevalence of CAN in T1DM has been reported with wide variations from 1.6% to 90% depending on diagnostic criteria used and study population. Our results are consistent with the 13% prevalence among 1,441 T1DM patients (mean age of 26.5±7.0 years) with more than 9 years of follow-up in the DCCT study, which defined CAN as at least one abnormal result of 3 autonomic tests (R-R variation with paced breathing, Valsalva ratio, and postural BP changes). The EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study reported a prevalence rate of 36% in 3,007 T1DM patients (mean age of 32.7±10.2 years, mean duration of diabetes 14.7±9.3 years) when CAN was defined as abnormal results for at least one of 2 cardiovascular reflex tests (change in heart rate and BP on standing). In the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study, 168 T1DM patients (mean age of 29 years, mean duration of diabetes 20 years) were evaluated by heart rate responses to deep breathing, standing, and the Valsalva maneuver; 37.5% of the patients were diagnosed with CAN (at least 1 abnormal test out of 3). A higher prevalence of CAN was seen in previous studies resulting from inclusion of adult patients who had long-term follow-up and/or micro- or macrovascular complications. In 2011, the Toronto Consensus Panel recommended CAN screening for T1DM patients after 5 years of disease, particularly in those with poor glycemic control. Our study showed that nonobese young adults with T1DM can be diagnosed with early-stage CAN despite the absence of micro- or macrovascular complications, necessitating consideration for CAN screening followed by history taking of suspected symptoms.

The overall HRV parameters (41.1±16.2 for SDNN, 1,438.9±1,222.0 for TP) in our T1DM patients were lower than those for the same age group in a previously published report in...
healthy Koreans (51.9±57.3 for SDNN, 1973.0±1723.1 for TP)\textsuperscript{27} even though this study was limited by lack of a comparison with healthy control subjects. Previous studies have demonstrated reduced overall HRV in patients with T1DM compared to control subjects.\textsuperscript{28,29} Since early subclinical CAN could be detected by power spectral analyses of HRV\textsuperscript{30} analyses of short-term HRV may help identify individuals at risk for unexpected mortality related to CAN.\textsuperscript{31}

In the present study, poor glycemic control was significantly related to reduced HRV even though no significant difference was found in HbA1c level between patients with CAN and those without due to small sample size and small number of patients diagnosed with CAN. The Framingham Heart Study reported an inverse relationship between HRV and blood glucose level in a large community-based population.\textsuperscript{32} In newly diagnosed T1DM patients, intensive glycemic control had a beneficial effect on prevention of reduced HRV during 24 years of follow-up.\textsuperscript{33} The DCCT study also reported that intensive glycemic control can prevent and slow progression of CAN.\textsuperscript{11} Prolonged hyperglycemia is believed to affect myelination of autonomic nerves by activating various biochemical pathways causing tissue hypoxia, increased free oxygen radicals, and formation of advanced glycation end products.\textsuperscript{34}

A recent longitudinal study in old patients with type 2 diabetes reported BMI and higher HbA1c as being associated with lower HRV indices even though the effects diminished over time.\textsuperscript{35} However, BMI was not related to reduced HRV in non-obese, young adults with T1DM in our study. Poor glycemic control is a common risk factor for CAN in both types of diabetes (types 1 and 2), whereas several cardiovascular risk factors (obesity, dyslipidemia, and hypertension) are more important risk factors of CAN in type 2 diabetes patients.\textsuperscript{22}

Although duration of diabetes has been suggested as one of the risk factors of CAN,\textsuperscript{11,12,16,17,36} some studies have reported no significant association.\textsuperscript{37,38} as seen in the present study. The lack of association between diabetes duration and CAN in our study may be explained by the inclusion criteria. We determined whether CAN was present in young adults with T1DM, aged 18 to 29 years, without micro- or macrovascular complications. Although this study included some diabetic patients with a duration >10 years, the duration after puberty may have been relatively short compared to that in previous adult studies. As patients were older, longer diabetes duration may lead to chronic complications including CAN. Therefore, long-term follow-up studies are warranted in a large population.

This study was limited by its cross-sectional design and small sample size. Therefore, we were unable to provide statistical significance among the risk factors of CAN in our study subjects. However, this study was strengthened by use of standard cardiovascular reflex tests as recommended by current guidelines and evaluation of HRV parameters in young, non-obese T1DM adults without micro- or macrovascular complications.

In conclusion, CAN was detected in 12.6% of non-obese young adult T1DM patients without micro- or macrovascular complications, which supports the necessity of CAN screening even in young adults without these complications. Considering the relationship between poor glycemic control and reduced overall HRV, we suggest that good glycemic control is mandatory for maintaining overall HRV and preventing chronic complications related to diabetes. Further studies are needed to fully understand the natural course of CAN to determine the methods to reverse it in its early stages in young adults with T1DM.
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