Kindergartens Reopening in the Period of Regular Epidemic Prevention and Control, Beneficial or Harmful?" 
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Summary: In the period of regular epidemic prevention and control of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in our country, work resumption has been fully advanced. But there are still new sporadic local cases and imported cases across the country. In this situation, whether kindergartens reopening will increase the risk of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spread still remains uncertain. We reviewed two pediatric patients with moderate COVID-19, collected the epidemiologic information and monitored the cycle threshold value of rectal specimen and the viral loads, and discussed the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in pediatric patients and the virulence of feces in children with moderate COVID-19, in order to analyze the risk of kindergartens reopening.
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The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a global pandemic[1, 2]. Adult-to-adult and adult-to-child transmission of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) from patients or asymptomatic carriers has been confirmed[3–5]. As of September 11, 2020, more than 7 million people had been reported to be infected worldwide, and the total number of confirmed infected people is up to more than 28 million. In our country, after the 76-day lockdown of Wuhan and several months of regular epidemic prevention and control, the epidemic has basically been brought under control. Even so, there are still new sporadic cases, imported cases and asymptomatic carriers that have been found every day.

At present, the staged results of epidemic prevention and control in our country have been further consolidated, important progress has been made in work resumption, and the restoration of economic and social order has accelerated. In these circumstances, primary and secondary schools and kindergartens across the country have started one after another.

It has been proved that children are also susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 and most pediatric patients are diagnosed with asymptomatic, mild or moderate disease[6–10], and therefore much easier to be missed[11]. Moreover, it’s more difficult for toddlers to wear masks, especially without the close supervision of parents. In this situation, whether schools reopening especially kindergartens reopening will increase the risk of epidemic spread needs to be discussed.

In our study, we reviewed the epidemiologic information of two pediatric patients with moderate COVID-19 and monitored the cycle threshold (Ct) value of rectal specimen and the viral loads, analyzing the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in pediatric patients, in order to discuss the risk of kindergartens reopening.

1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two pediatric patients were enrolled and their detailed epidemiological and clinical data were collected. All examinations and investigations in the two cases were approved by the Ethical Committee of Tongji Hospital of Huazhong University of Science and Technology (China) (TJ-C20200359) and were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from
the parents or legal guardians of the recruited patients.

Nasopharyngeal and rectal swabs of the two patients were collected daily during the hospitalization. Blood samples of the patients were taken at admission, during hospitalization and on discharged day. Chest computed tomographic (CT) images were collected when the patients were diagnosed.

The reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) tests of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid of nasopharyngeal and rectal specimen were performed, using fully automated Nucleic Acid Extraction System 9600E (Xi’an TianLong Science and Technology Co., Ltd., China). Swabs were collected from the patients and placed immediately in 3 mL viral transport media (VTM) and transported to the laboratory. Total nucleic acid was extracted from 200 μL of specimen. Diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection was made using real-time RT-PCR in the laboratory. Two target genes were simultaneously amplified and tested, including open reading frame 1ab (ORF1ab) and nucleoprotein (N). The following primers were used for the detection of ORF1ab gene and N gene of SARS-CoV-2 (http://ivdc.chinacdc.cn/kyjz/202001/t20200121_211337.html): for ORF1ab gene, forward primer, CCCTGT-GGGTTTTACACTTAA, reverse primer, ACGATTG-TGATCAGCCTGA, the probe, 5′-FAM-CCGTCTGC-GGTATGTGGAAAGGTTATGG-BHQ1-3′; for N gene, forward primer, GGGAAAATCTCCTCGCTAGAT, reverse primer, CAGACATTGGCTCTCAAGCTG, the probe, 5′-FAM-TTGCTGCTGACAGATT-TAMRA-3′.

Clinical diagnostic kit (DAAN Gene Co., Ltd, China) approved by China Food and Drug Administration (CFDA) was applied. A Ct value ≤40 was defined as positive and the cases were confirmed as COVID-19. A Ct value >40 was defined as negative.

The SARS-CoV-2 viral load of nasopharyngeal and rectal specimen was detected using a novel coronavirus nucleic acid detection kit (Applied Biological Technologies Co., Ltd., China). The standard material was low-concentration novel coronavirus nucleic acid standard material from National Institute of Metrology (China). The N gene concentration of the standard solution was 9800 copies/μL with a total of 6 concentration gradients. The reaction solution was prepared according to the instructions of the test kit. The qPCR reaction was performed on Thermo Fish’s ABI 7500 quantitative PCR instrument. The viral load was calculated using the Ct value of N gene.

CFDA approved clinical diagnostic kits of 2019-CoV antibody IgM&IgG (YHLO Biotech Co., Ltd., China) were used in blood SARS-CoV-2 antibody tests. The iFlash-SARS-CoV-2 IgG assay was a paramagnetic particle chemiluminescent immunoassay (CLIA) for qualitative determination of IgG and IgM antibody to SARS-CoV-2 in human serum and plasma using the iFlash Immunoassay Analyzer.

2 RESULTS

Patient 1, female, aged 2 years and 5 months old, had dinner with her family at a mall restaurant in Wuhan on January 18th, 2020. She initially developed fever and dry cough on January 31st. She was diagnosed as moderate COVID-19 on February 5th in Tongji Hospital of Wuhan according to the chest CT images and positive rectal nucleic acid swab test. She was asked to be quarantined at home and alleviated on February 8th. Her nucleic acid test of rectal swab on March 5th was still positive and she was subsequently hospitalized. On March 21st her nucleic acid test of rectal swab turned negative and she was finally discharged on April 4th (fig. 1).

Patient 2, female, aged two years and four months. Her mother had fever on January 18th, 2020 and was diagnosed as COVID-19 on January 25th. Subsequently, patient 2 ran a fever on February 5th and was diagnosed as moderate COVID-19 in Tongji Hospital of Wuhan based on the chest CT images and positive nasopharyngeal nucleic acid test on February 9th. She was hospitalized on February 15th. Her nucleic acid test of rectal swab turned negative on March 26th, and she was discharged on April 1st (fig. 1).

2.1 Epidemiological Investigation

Patient 1 had been living with her family and was in close contact with her 7-year-old sister, parents and grandparents before onset and after being diagnosed. Since January 31st, the day that patient 1 had fever, she had been quarantined at home and her family had started to wear masks. Parents slept with her at night, without any protection. Her sister occasionally played toys with her. During hospitalization, her mother took care of her and also slept without masks. All these close contacts of patient 1 had no symptoms and their chest CT images, nucleic acid tests of nasopharyngeal and rectal swabs and serum IgM and IgG antibody of SARS-CoV-2 were all negative.

Patient 2’s mother was diagnosed with COVID-19 and her father was an asymptomatic carrier. They were both quarantined in hospital. Patient 2 had been living and sleeping with her grandmother since January 18th. Neither of them wore a mask throughout the onset and hospitalization of patient 2. The nucleic acid tests, IgM and IgG antibody and chest CT images of her grandmother were proved to be all negative (fig. 1).

2.2 Symptoms

Patient 1 presented with fever and dry cough on January 31st and had a maximum temperature of 39.7°C. Vomiting was occasionally observed after drinking milk. She had no other respiratory symptoms or gastrointestinal symptoms, including shortness of breath, dyspnea and diarrhea, etc. On February 6th
the peak temperature decreased to 38.7°C. Fever and cough lasted for 8 days. Since February 8th, she has been remained asymptomatic.

Patient 2 presented with fever on February 5th and the maximum temperature was 38.4°C. No respiratory or gastrointestinal symptoms occurred during the onset. Fever lasted for 3 days and after that she remained asymptomatic.

2.3 Laboratory and imaging examination

Chest CT images of patient 1 on February 5th, 2020 showed multiple ground-glass opacity (fig. 2). Lymphocyte proportion decreased on the day of diagnosis and turned normal soon. Serum SARS-CoV-2 antibody increased significantly on hospitalized day while decreasing quickly (table 1). SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid tests of nasopharyngeal swab of patient 1 were negative. Nucleic acid of rectal swab was positive on February 5th and didn’t turn negative until March 21st. The Ct value and viral load of rectal specimen during hospitalization was detailed in fig 3. The mean viral load of feces was 3.53 log10 copies/mL.

On February 9th, chest CT images of patient 2 showed small spot-like and fuzzy shadow (fig. 2). White blood cell count was reduced on the day of diagnosis while lymphocyte proportion was increased throughout the course of illness. C-reactive protein was normal and serum SARS-CoV-2 antibody was increased (table 1). The SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid test of nasopharyngeal swab of patient 2 was positive on February 9th and turned negative on February 19th, while the nucleic acid tests of rectal swabs persisted positive from February 16th to March 25th. The Ct value and viral load of nasopharyngeal and rectal specimen during hospitalization were detailed in figure 3. The mean viral load of feces was 5.32 log10 copies/mL.

2.4 Treatment

Patient 1 was treated with piperacillin sodium and tazobactam sodium for five days at the beginning of illness, and received oral oseltamivir for five days and azithromycin for 4 courses because of the positive results of mycoplasma pneumonia and influenza A virus. During hospitalization, she was given oral ribavirin and subsequently treated with traditional Chinese medicine until nucleic acid turned negative.
Table 1 Summary of laboratory examination results of patient 1 and patient 2

| Test                                      | Reference range | Patient 1 Diagnosed day | Patient 2 Diagnosed day | Patient 1 Hospitalized day | Patient 2 Hospitalized day | Patient 1 Recovery day | Patient 2 Recovery day |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| White blood cell count, ×10^9/L           | 4.00–10.00      | 9.29                    | 3.93↓                   | 7.84                       | NA                        | 7.93                   | 5.61                   |
| Neutrophil ratio, %                       |                 | 50.00–70.00             | 76.80↑                  | 10.40↑                     | 17.70↑                    | 28.9↑                  | 21.50↑                 |
| Lymphocyte ratio, %                       |                 | 20.00–40.00             | 17.50↑                  | 82.20↑                     | 73.10↑                    | 61.5↑                  | 72.90↑                 |
| CD3+ lymphocyte ratio, %                  | 57.90–72.70     | NA                      | NA                      | 50.06↓                     | NA                        | NA                     | 50.23↓                 |
| CD4+ lymphocyte ratio, %                  | 31.70–45.70     | NA                      | NA                      | 27.69↓                     | NA                        | NA                     | 23.04↑                 |
| C-reactive protein, mg/L                  | 0.00–10.00      | 11.50↑                  | 0.30                    | 0.10                       | NA                        | 0.20                   | 0.20                   |
| Procalcitonin, ng/mL                      | 0.02–0.05       | NA                      | NA                      | <0.02                      | NA                        | NA                     | 0.04                   |
| Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, mm/H      | 0.00–20.00      | NA                      | NA                      | 2.00                       | NA                        | NA                     | 3.00                   |
| Glutamic-pyruvic transaminase, U/L        | ≤33.00          | 9.00                    | 13.00                   | 12.00                      | 18.00                     | 12.00                  | 15.00                  |
| Interleukin-6, pg/mL                      | ≤<7.00          | 5.32                    | 0.30                    | 0.10                       | NA                        | 0.20                   | 0.20                   |
| Serum SARS-CoV-2 antibody IgM, AU/mL      | ≤10.00          | NA                      | NA                      | 669.85↑                    | NA                        | 59.02↑                 | 11.96↑                 |
| Serum SARS-CoV-2 antibody IgG, AU/mL      | ≤10.00          | NA                      | NA                      | 244.22↑                    | NA                        | 243.23↑                | 173.49↑                |

NA: not available

Fig. 3 The Ct value of nucleic acid of rectal and nasopharyngeal swab and feces viral load of in patient 1 and patient 2

Patient 2 was treated alternatively with oral abidor and ribavirin (each for 7 days) after diagnosis. From March 21st she was given Lianhua Qingwen Capsule and traditional Chinese medicine until nucleic acid turned negative.

3 DISCUSSION

In this study, we collected two pediatric cases of moderate COVID-19, analyzed their epidemiological data and monitored the Ct value of rectal specimen and the viral loads. The mean viral load of rectal swabs of the two patients was 3.53 log_{10} and 5.32 log_{10} copies/mL, and it took 45 and 39 days for viral shedding, respectively. Previous study reported that children with COVID-19 carried a long-term feces viral shedding[12], which is in agreement with our findings. Attention was put on whether the feces viral shedding could become the source of aerosol and contamination in environmental surfaces to spread virus, which may contribute to the clusters of infection[23]. It is reported that virus isolation from stool samples was...
never successful if the viral load $<10^6$ copies/mL\textsuperscript{[13]}. In our study, the Ct value of the two patients seemed to indicate the unlikelihood of transmission from pediatric patients, especially during the viral shedding of rectal specimen. More studies are needed to definite the virulence of feces virus.

The epidemiological information further confirmed our inference. In these two cases, the two patients were in close contact with their family members from the incubation to progression of COVID-19, without any effective protective measures. But the close contacts, including the elderly, the middle-aged and children, were not infected. In combination with the viral load and epidemiological manifestation, we have reason to infer that toddlers with moderate COVID-19 did not spread the SARS-CoV-2 to adults and other children. Another epidemiologic analysis of a critical ill infant with COVID-19 also suggests the unlikelihood of transmission of COVID-19 even from critical infants to adults\textsuperscript{[14]}. In summary, the reopening of kindergartens will not increase the risk of SARS-CoV-2 spread. More studies are needed to discuss whether transmission of COVID-19 in adolescents is different from that in toddlers and infants.

In a word, although there are still new sporadic local cases and imported cases across the country and this situation may last for a long time, the opening of kindergartens will not increase the risk of epidemic transmission. However, this does not mean to relax vigilance. Instead, the focus of epidemic prevention and control in kindergartens should be placed on the monitoring of adults whom children are in close contact with, including parents and kindergarten staff, etc. On the one hand, the body temperature and physical condition of kindergarten staff and the parents who pick up the students every day should be monitored daily. It would be even better if they can provide negative reports of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid tests. On the other hand, kindergartens should implement closed management, prevent social personnel from entering the kindergartens and register the parents who pick up the students. In addition, it’s also important to conduct epidemic prevention and control education for students and parents, including developing good living habits on personal hygiene, avoiding taking public transportation on the way to and from kindergarten and so on. Only with the joint efforts of kindergartens and parents can children learn and grow up safely and healthily in the period of regular epidemic prevention and control.
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