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Efficacy of Isolated Bacteriophage Against Biofilm Embedded Colistin-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii
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Abstract

**Objective:** *Acinetobacter baumannii* is responsible for most nosocomial infections in hospitals. It has the ability to form biofilms and has a high degree of antibiotic resistance. Colistin is one of the last therapeutic options for the treatment of Multi Drug Resistance infections. Recently, strains of this pathogen resistance to the colistin were reported increasingly. Therefore, alternative antibacterial methods such as phage therapy are being researched.

**Results:** From 15 MDR *A. baumannii* clinical isolates, 26.6% were resistant to colistin, 80% were able to produce strong biofilm, and 20% produce weak biofilm. The isolated lytic phage (IsfAB78) was able to reduce the biofilm by up to 87%. Since most of the MDR colistin-resistant strains produce biofilm, and MDR *A. baumannii* infections are difficult to treat, development of phage therapy could be an alternative in the future. Phage IsfAB78 is a good candidate for this purpose.

Introduction

*Acinetobacter baumannii*, as a gram-negative opportunistic pathogen, is classified amongst the most dangerous multi-drug resistance (MDR) pathogens, known as ESKAPE (*Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and species of *Enterobacter*) [1]. This opportunistic pathogen is associated with major outbreaks of nosocomial infections especially in intensive care units (ICUs) [2–4]. It produces various types of infections such as pneumonia, bacteremia, and meningitis [5]. These bacteria possess many resistance mechanisms, including the drug-degrading enzymes, infringing the infiltration and different efflux pumps [6]. Currently, the only resistant antibiotics against MDR *A. baumannii* are Tigecycline, Monocycline, Polymyxins, and Colistin [7]. One of the most important virulence features of this bacteria is the ability to produce biofilms and high adaptability to survive in harsh environmental conditions such as hospitals. Biofilms are a complex community of microbes that are adhered to biotic or abiotic surfaces [8]. They often enclosed by thick polysaccharides which decrease the accessibility of antibiotics and the immune system to the bacterial community and make them hard to exclude [9]. Moreover, antibiotic resistance causes the bacteria to spread which may increase the incidence of nosocomial infections caused by bacteria [10]. In addition, more *A. baumannii* strains were found to be
resistant to all known antibiotics, which has urged to find an alternative approach to treat MDR bacteria [11]. In recent decades, increasing evidence has shown the possibility of phage therapy to treat drug-resistant bacterial infections [12]. Bacteriophages are present in all the environments containing their host and play an important role in biological activities [13]. Phages have bacteriolytic performance and can kill bacterial cells in biofilms [14]. The most important characteristics of phages include their specificity and proliferation within the host and at the site of infection, with no side effects [15].

In this study, we found out the biofilm formation of clinical isolates of colistin-resistant. *Acinetobacter baumannii*, and assessed their sensitivity to the isolated bacteriophage.

**Main Text**

**Collection and identification of isolates**

In this study, all 15 *A. baumannii* clinical samples, were collected from patients with burn wound infections at Isfahan Medical University hospitals during the years 2017-2018. All *A. baumannii* isolates were confirmed by conventional phenotypic and molecular methods [16].

**Determining the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration**

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) for Colistin (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was performed using the standard microdilution broth technique according to CLSI guidelines (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute). The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of antibiotic that inhibits the growth of bacteria after overnight incubation. The test was repeated three times for each isolate. *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) was used as a positive control[11].

**Biofilm formation**

*Acinetobacter baumannii* biofilm formation was carried out in 96-well flat-bottomed polystyrene tissue culture plate (SPL, Korea). Isolates were cultured for 48 hours in 5 ml tryptic soy broth (TSB) supplemented with 1% glucose. The cultures were diluted to 1: 1000 (equivalent to $10^8$ CFU), and 200μL of each aliquot were added to each well and incubated at 37° C. After 24 h of incubation, the plates were rinsed three times thoroughly with normal saline (NS) and allowed to dry at room temperature for 1 hour. Finally, they were stained with crystal violet (1%) and their optical density was measured at 570 nm. The test was repeated three times [17, 18]. Biofilm formation was classified
into 4 categories according to the amount of optical density: (1) strong \(4OD_{\text{control}} < OD_{\text{test}}\); (2) medium biofilm producer \(2OD_c < OD_t \leq 4OD_c\); (3) weak biofilm producer \(OD_c < OD_t \geq 2 OD_c\); and (4) non-biofilm producer \(OD_t \geq OD_c\)(22). Finally, three colistin-resistant MDR-AB isolates, with strong biofilms, were selected and used in experiments.

**Phage Isolation**

IsfAB78 is a lytic phage isolated from hospital wastewater. The characteristics of this phage are described in our previous study (23). Briefly, 50 ml of water samples from hospital wastewater were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.45μm pore size membrane and mixed with 50 ml of 2x nutrient broth (containing MgSO\(_4\), 1mM CaCl\(_2\), 1 mM) and 1 ml \((10^8 \text{ CFU})\) of a 24-hour culture of the indicator bacteria. After 24 h incubation at 35 °C and 160 rpm, a few drops of chloroform were added, and 15 minutes later the mixture was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 4000 g. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 μm filter membrane. The double-layer agar and spot test methods are used to detect phage plaque formation [19].

**Double-Layer Agar**

Melted nutrient agar medium (1.15%) was poured on a plate, and after solidification, 1 ml of the filtered phages were mixed with 1 ml of 24-hour bacterial culture \(10^8\text{CFU}\) and added to liquid nutrient agar medium (0.7%) with the temperature of 45 °C, and the mixture was placed on the plate containing nutrient agar. The solidified plate was incubated for 24 hours at 37 °C. The clear plaques were picked by sterile loops and inoculated into 5 ml of nutrient broth supplemented with fresh cultured bacterial suspension. After 24 h at 35°C and 160 rpm, the mixture was centrifuged at 4000 g for 15 min and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.2 μm syringe filter. The filtrate was processed through double-layer assay as described above and again an individual plaque was picked. This step was repeated several times in order to increase the purity of the phage [19, 20]. The titer of the isolated phage was determined by standard plaque assay and stored at 4°C for further use [21].

**Spot Test**

Briefly, 1 ml of bacterial culture at the concentration of \(10^8\) pfu/ml was inoculated in 2.5 ml of the melted nutrient agar medium (0.07%) and the mixture was poured onto a plate containing 1.5%
nutrient agar, where two-layer plates were created. When the top layer was solidified, 10 µl of the filtered phage were spotted in the plate and incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours. The created plaque indicates bacterial susceptibility to the phage [22].

**Optimum phage eradication concentration**

The antibacterial activity of the isolated phage in the biofilm was measured using different concentrations of the phage. Optimum phage eradication concentration indicates the best concentration of the phage leading to clear wells in 96-well culture plates. For this experiment biofilm was grown according to the described method. After the biofilm formation, the planktonic cells were discarded and each well was washed 3 times with normal saline (NS). A total of $3 \times 10^9$ PFU, $3 \times 10^8$ PFU, $3 \times 10^7$ PFU, $3 \times 10^6$ PFU, $3 \times 10^5$ PFU equal to MOI of 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001 in a total volume of 200 µl of the diluted phage were added to each well. The plate was incubated for 48 hours at 37 °C. The well-containing biofilms and not added phage were used as a negative control, and wells containing the medium were used as sterility control.

**Evaluation of antibacterial of the phage activity against biofilm**

Finally, MTT assay (3-[4.5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2.5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) was used to determine the biofilm formation [23]. For this purpose, bacterial suspension was removed and 50 µl of MTT (0.3%) in 150 µl of PBS was added for 2 hours at 37°C. Afterward, the MTT solution was removed and 150 µl DMSO lysis solution was added into each well and kept at room temperature and in a dark environment for 30 minutes. The absorbance of the solution was measured at a wavelength of 550 nm using a Microplate Reader Model 550 (BioRad). In order to measure the individual biofilm formation of each isolate, the ratio of the biofilm OD of the isolate that was incubated with the phage was calculated in relation to the biofilm OD of the same isolate without phage (native biofilm).

**Results**

**Minimum inhibitory concentration**

The MIC of the isolates against the colistin antibiotic was done according to CLSI. From 15 identified MDR *A. baumannii* isolates, 26.6% showed strong resistance (MIC of ≤ 4) to the colistin, and 73.3% isolates were susceptible (MIC ≥ 2). *E. coli* 25922 was used as positive control (Table1).
Table 1

MIC (Minimum Inhibitory Concentration) all isolates were determined according CLSI and 73.3 percentage were sensitive about colistin.

| Antimicrobial agent | ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANT LEVEL | MINIMUM INHIBITORY CONCENTRATION (MIC) |
|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                     | S | I | R | S | I | R |
| COLISTIN            | %73/3 | - | %26/6 | ≤ 2 | - | ≥ 4 |

Biofilm formation

The results of biofilm formation in the microtiter plate showed that 20% of isolates formed weak biofilm, 40% isolates created intermediate biofilm, and 40% produced strong biofilm (Fig. 1).

Phage Identification

Phage IsfAB78 was isolated from hospital wastewater (23). MDR-AB biofilm used as a host indicator for the isolation of lytic phages. As shown in Fig. 2, the phage can form large clear plaques with a 13 mm diameter on the bacterial lawns, indicating lytic property of the phage. IsfAB78 has an approximately 100 nm long, six-sided symmetry and can be classified as Myoviridae. The phage was tested for their infectivity to all 15 A. baumannii clinical isolates in order to determine their host range and lytic potential. Three strong producer colistin-resistant MDR A. baumannii clinical isolates were chosen for further study. Phage IsfAB78 can significantly cause lysis in an MDR A. baumannii culture after 40 minutes.

Effect of Phage on Biofilm of Different Isolates

Three colistin-resistant MDR A. baumannii isolates were selected to assess the potential of the phage to disintegrate biofilms. The established biofilm was treated with different concentrations of phages. The results were represented as a percentage of the biofilm biomass in control samples that were left untreated. The lytic phage seemed to be able to reduce the biofilm (19–87%) but the percentage of biofilm reduction was dependent on the concentration of added phages. At low concentration (10^6 pfu/ml), the reduction in biofilm was significant (up to over 87%) (Fig. 3 (supplementary)).

Discussion

Treatment of bacterial infections involving biofilm formation is very difficult, especially for multidrug-resistant pathogens. Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop new alternative treatments to combat such increasing infections. As a nosocomial pathogen, the multi-drug resistant Acinetobacter baumannii (MDR-AB) illustrates an increasing global health threat [24]. Colistin is now considered as
the last resort treatment for gram-negative bacilli including MDR- A. baumannii. Unfortunately, the increasing use of colistin has resulted in the emergence of resistance as well [22–25]. Therefore, looking for an alternative therapeutic method is imperative. Bacteriophage therapy may provide new treatment strategies to combat drug-resistant bacterial infections associated with biofilm. Phages have demonstrated to damage biofilm by disintegrating its structural components [26].

In this study, a specific lytic phage was isolated from hospital wastewater. In similar studies, lytic bacteriophages are isolated on different bacteria, and also investigate their effects and properties on the considered bacteria [27, 28]. The resistance of 15 Acinetobacter baumannii was also assessed against different antibiotics. All isolates were multi-drug resistant. The results of the antibiotic susceptibility tests were in agreement with other studies in the region including Sadegifard et al. and Sepahvand et al. Also, the result of MIC of colistin in the same region indicates that colistin resistance is increasing during the last years which is an alarming issue that must be considered. This study, in accordance with other works in the region, showed that antimicrobial resistance of A. baumannii in Iran is increasing[29, 30]. Biofilm production was initially assessed on the isolates. In this study 80% of the isolates produce biofilm, and the rest were weak biofilm producers. In another study, it was shown that 60% and 62% of their isolates produced biofilm [31, 32]. The percentages of the biofilm-forming bacteria obtained in our study were slightly more than other researchers because of lower number of the experimented samples. Although the number of samples is effective in the experiment, the increase in pathogenicity of the bacteria cannot be denied. The effect of the isolated phage was evaluated on three biofilm embedded colistin-resistant MDR-AB isolates using the MTT assay. The analysis of the data showed that the isolated lytic phage could reduce the biofilm content of these isolates up to 87%. Bacteriophages have significant applications to degrade biofilm on medical devices' surface. P. mirabilis and E. coli could reduce about a 90% reduction in biofilm when treated with phage as compared to untreated [33]. For all isolates the results were not the same; The phage did not result in total removal of some isolates biofilms. It seems for more effective and complete removal of biofilms, a combination of more than one lytic phage or combination of phage with antibiotics may be useful. The results also showed that different phage dilutions affect the removal of
biofilm. The best dilution in all three isolates was MOI 0.01(0\(^6\) pfu/ml). Similar to other studies, this study showed that the isolated lytic phage had an impact on the biofilm of *Acinetobacter baumannii* [20, 22, 23, 34]. The use of phage has been proposed as an alternative method due to the high drug resistance of *Acinetobacter baumannii* and bacterial adaptation at hospital levels. Due to the appropriate performance of phage in reducing the biofilm, the phage is suggested as a therapeutic agent for *Acinetobacter baumannii*. However, for the required therapeutic usage, further studies are needed on animal models, genome identification and its properties, and also on the extent of phage co-administration with antibiotics.

**Limitations**

The limitations that we confront with that in this study were the lack of several phages to investigate the effect of them on isolates, and also in-vivo and cell culture analysis should do in further investigation.

**Abbreviations**

MDR: multi-drug resistant

AB: *Acinetobacter baumannii*

MTT: 3- [4.5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl] -2.5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide

DMSO: Dimethyl sulfoxide

MOI: multiplicity of infection

OD: optical density

PBS: Phosphate buffered saline
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total isolates (n=15); OD 570: biofilm formation was quantified by measuring optical absorbance (570 nm) using crystal violet.
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