Piezoelectricity in perovskite-type pseudo-cubic ferroelectrics by partial ordering of off-centered cations
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A large piezoelectric response in ferroelectric ceramics is typically associated with extrinsic contributions from ferroelectric domain structures. However, such domain structures cannot be expected in systems with pseudo-cubic symmetry. In this study, we determine the mechanism of significant piezoelectricity and ferroelectricity in 0.3BaTiO3–0.1Bi(Mg1/2Ti1/2)O3–0.6BiFeO3 ceramic with a perovskite-type pseudo-cubic symmetry. Synchrotron radiation X-ray diffraction reveals that the Bi ions in this ceramic essentially prefer to be off-centered at approximately 0.4 Å, in the cubic <100> directions. A phase transition occurs at Tc ~725 K. However, the crystal seems to present a cubic symmetry even at room temperature. The large piezoelectric response is caused by the combinational partial ordering of the off-centered Bi ions, adapted to any direction of the applied electric field to the ceramic grains. The proposed mechanism for the emergence of a high polarization in the above system will enable designing novel Pb-free ceramics by controlling the fluctuated and off-centered ions under an applied electric field.
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Thus far, Pb-based ferroelectric oxides with perovskite-type structures, as typified by Pb(Zr$_{1-x}$Ti$_x$)$_2$O$_5$ (PZT), have been mainly used as piezoelectric materials in various applications. It is well known that PZT ceramics exhibit excellent electric properties at morphotropic phase-boundary compositions ($x$ $\approx$ 0.5), at which the coexistence of several structures, such as rhombohedral, tetragonal, and other structures (e.g., monoclinic structures), with different spontaneous lattice distortions is suggested$^{13-14}$. Recently, in relation to hazardous waste and problematic materials, the development of Pb-free piezoelectric materials is rapidly progressing$^{26-27}$. Particularly, it is known that Bi-based ceramics, such as BiFeO$_3$ (BF)- and (Bi$_{1/2}$Na$_{1/2}$)$_2$TiO$_3$ (BNT)-based perovskite-type solid solutions, have significant potential for displaying excellent piezoelectricity$^{28-30}$. Our group has been searching for novel Pb-free piezoelectric materials among solid solutions of Bi-based relaxor and standard ferroelectrics. We have deduced that solid solutions of BaTiO$_3$ – Bi$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$O$_3$ (BNT – BT) and BF are the promising alternatives to Pb-based materials, owing to their relatively large piezoelectric response and high Curie temperature ($T_c$)$^{12}$.

Early structural studies via X-ray diffraction (XRD) suggested a cubic symmetry for BT – BMT – BF ceramics$^{13,14}$. Hence, the unexpectedly large piezoelectric strain electrically observed in strain – electric-field (S – E) curves, and the significant ferroelectricity presented in polarization – electric-field (P – E) hysteresis loops cannot be explained by the crystal symmetry. These unforeseen electric responses are not only unique to BT – BMT – BF ceramics, but have also been reported for other Bi-based piezoelectric systems possessing a pseudocubic symmetry$^{15-17}$. Thus, the reason for the observed high piezoelectricity and ferroelectricity is unclear.

Various models have been proposed in relation to the properties of ferroelectricity, piezoelectricity, and/or dielectric properties in other Bi-based materials with pseudocubic symmetry$^{18-20}$. Recently, it was reported that the high piezoelectric response exhibited in BNT – BT single crystal with pseudocubic symmetry is due to improper ferroelectric distortion caused by in-phase or antiphase oxygen octahedral tilting$^{21,22}$. Besides, detailed studies of local structures in Bi-based solid solutions were reported. It was suggested that the Bi displacements change as a function of temperature, and that a phase transition could be associated with the ferroelectric response$^{22}$. Moreover, it was reported that the high piezoelectric response appeared by the local distortion in pseudocubic symmetry under an electric field$^{23}$. Therefore, the structural characteristics related to the Bi ions can be a clue for understanding the properties of the ferroelectricity, piezoelectricity, and/or dielectric properties in Bi-based materials with pseudocubic symmetry. Further studies are needed to reveal that the Bi displacement and the local distortion are directly related to the large electric responses.

Another probable explanation for the large responses in cubic systems could be an electric-field-induced phase transition$^{24-26}$. In such a scenario, the cubic symmetry can theoretically change to a lower symmetry, as exhibited by the splitting of specific XRD peaks. Synchrontron radiation powder XRD (SXR) experiments have confirmed that an electric-field-induced phase transition does not occur in 0.3BT – 0.1BMT – 0.6BF ceramics$^{27}$. Nevertheless, 0.3BT – 0.1BMT – 0.6BF ceramics with a pseudocubic symmetry distinctly exhibit the most substantial piezoelectric and ferroelectric responses at room temperature among all BT – BMT – BF systems.

Piezoelectric ceramics can deform their dimensions under an applied electric field. In typical ferroelectric ceramics with large piezoelectric responses, the extrinsic contributions of the ferroelectric domain structures play important roles, whereas the intrinsic contributions from the crystal lattice do not have a substantial effect on these responses. In the case of 0.3BT – 0.1BMT – 0.6BF ceramics, all the single-diffraction peaks show significantly under an applied electric field without peak splitting, which suggests that the dimensions of the crystal lattice can easily change, irrespective of the crystallographic direction in which the electric field is applied. The intrinsic contributions originating purely from the crystal lattice seem to play important roles in the exhibition of the large piezoelectric response in the 0.3BT – 0.1BMT – 0.6BF ceramics; this is because particular domain structures cannot be expected owing to their pseudocubic symmetry$^{27}$. In contrast, in both the rhombohedral and tetragonal structures of PZT-based ceramics, the positions of the diffraction peaks related to the polarization directions, i.e., (111) and (002) diffraction peaks, respectively, are almost unchanged under an applied electric field$^{28-29}$. However, the peak positions unrelated to the polarization directions, such as (200) and (111) diffraction peaks, shift significantly. Currently, the so-called intergranular interaction caused by the intrinsic and extrinsic interactions at the ceramics grain boundaries is being proposed to explain the large piezoelectric responses of PZT-based ceramics$^{30}$. Unlike PZT-based ceramics, 0.3BT – 0.1BMT – 0.6BF ceramics is a good target in considering new mechanisms on the emergence of large piezoelectricity.

XRD experiments under external electric fields are effective for revealing the relationships between piezoelectric properties and crystal structures$^{31-36}$. In particular, they are good for evaluating the intrinsic contributions from a crystal lattice.

In this study, the crystal structure of 0.3BT – 0.1BMT – 0.6BF ceramics was investigated at various temperatures by analyzing the SXRD data using the maximum entropy method (MEM)/Rietveld method$^{31,37}$ to clarify the emergent mechanisms of piezoelectricity. The visualized three-dimensional electron-density distributions around the Bi ions were not smooth spherical, instead, they were convex-shaped, bulging out in the cubic {100} directions, in the entire temperature range. Therefore, it can be inferred that the Bi ions are off-centered from the corner site of the unit cell with appropriate probabilities. The diffraction patterns obtained under the applied electric field suggested that the large piezoelectric response was a result of the combination of the partial ordering of the off-centered Bi ions toward the electric field. Here, we demonstrated a mechanism for materials with a pseudocubic structure exhibiting large piezoelectric responses.

**Results**

**SXRD patterns under the applied electric field.** Figure 1 shows the SXRD patterns of the synthesized 0.3BT – 0.1BMT – 0.6BF ceramics with a pseudocubic symmetry. The red line represents the powder diffraction pattern under an applied electric field of 50 kV cm$^{-1}$, whereas the black line represents the one without an applied electric field. All the diffraction peaks shift toward lower 2$\theta$ angles without any clear peak splitting under the applied electric field, which suggests that the lattice simply expands, maintaining the pseudocubic symmetry. No electric-field-induced phase transition is observed up to 50 kV cm$^{-1}$. The inset of Fig. 1 displays the S – E curve evaluated by calculating the lattice strain from each diffraction peak from (100) up to (123) peaks and averaging them, which appears to have a typical butterfly shape frequently observed for ferroelectric materials. The S – E curve of Fig. 1 is quite similar to that of 0.3BT – 0.1BMT – 0.6BF ceramics obtained by electrical measurement$^{31,37}$, which suggests that the main contribution to the large piezoelectric response is from the expansion and contraction of the unit cell, that is, intrinsic contributions. The strains approximated from the inset of Fig. 1...
are as follows: 0.16% in expansion and 0.24% in contraction. These values are reasonably large and correspond to approximately two-thirds of those of PZT ceramics under the application of the same electric field. Hence, we can consider that the 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramics has a polar symmetry with an extremely small spontaneous lattice distortion, which can be ignored at room temperature. At this stage, it is unclear why such a ferroelectric material with a negligibly small spontaneous lattice distortion exhibits a large piezoelectric response.

Temperature dependence of the crystal structure. The SXRD patterns of the 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramics measured at various temperatures are shown in Fig. 2a. The (220) diffraction peak profile is broadened at 700 K as the temperature is decreased from 1000 K. It appears that a structural phase transition occurs at $T_C \sim 725$ K between 750 and 700 K. A clear peak splitting is observed below 650 K. The peak splitting is the largest at 600 K below $T_C$. With a further decrease in the temperature, the peak splitting becomes gradually unclear, and the profiles resemble a single peak at low temperatures.

The crystal structure analysis reveals that the high-temperature phase above $T_C$ is cubic with the space group of Pm$\bar{3}$m as a prototype structure. It is reported that Bi-based materials, such as BF- and BNT-based materials, form a superlattice by in-phase or antiphase tilting of oxygen octahedra with the space group of R3c or P4$\bar{3}$m in the ferroelectric phase. However, in the case of 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF, the superlattice reflections in the ferroelectric phase below $T_C$ cannot be observed, which suggests the validity of a rhombohedral structure with the space group of R3m. The temperature dependence of the lattice parameters assuming a rhombohedral unit cell is presented in Fig. 2b for the entire temperature range of 100–1000 K. The length of the unit cell, $a$, is gradually decreased with decreasing temperature. The phase transition is not noticeable from the changes in the parameter, $a$, while the angle of the unit cell, $\alpha$, significantly deviates from 90° below $T_C$, clearly indicating a phase transition. The rhombohedral lattice distortion is the largest at 600 K, which is consistent with the largest peak splitting observed in Fig. 2a at the same temperature. The lattice distortion becomes large below $T_C$ and subsequently, with the decrease in temperature, lattice relaxation occurs, which reduces the lattice distortion. The angle, $\alpha$, at 300 K is 89.97598(7)°, which is very close to 90°. Hence, thus far, we have deduced that the symmetry of 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF at room temperature (300 K) is cubic.

The crystal structure of 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF is analyzed by the MEM/Rietveld method, examining the consistency between the assumed crystal structure model and the electron-density distribution. It is found to have a perovskite-type structure with a general chemical formula, ABO$_3$, where the A sites are occupied by Ba and Bi ions and the B sites by Ti, Mg, and Fe ions, in the temperature range of 100–1000 K. The crystallographic data with the refined parameters by the Rietveld method at 900, 600, and 300 K are summarized in Supplementary Tables 1–3, respectively.

The noteworthy structural features revealed are that, in the unit cell, the Bi ion at the A site relaxes to become off-centered in the cubic ⟨100⟩ directions from the special Wyckoff position, i.e., the corner site of (0, 0, 0) in the unit cell, whereas the Ba ion at the A site remains at the corner. There is no supporting evidence from the crystallographic data and the structural parameters to suggest that the Ti, Mg, and Fe ions at the B sites separately shift to different positions. The Bi ions in the cubic phase, at 900 K, are off-centered by ~0.4 Å at the six equivalent positions in the cubic ⟨100⟩ directions with equal occupancy. The occupancy is changed in the rhombohedral phase. The difference in the rhombohedral lattice distortion originates from the difference in the occupancy. The details are notable in the electron-density distributions, which are described in the next section.
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Combinational partial ordering of ions associated with phase transitions is proposed to occur in pure BT and BT-based ferroelectrics with perovskite-type structures. The dominant view is that in the cubic paraelectric phase, the Ti ions at the B sites are off-centered at the eight sites in the [111] directions, whereas the Ba and Bi ions remain at the same positions. Finally, a very close agreement between the structure model refined by the Rietveld method and the MEM electron-density distribution can be achieved. In the model, only the Bi ion is off-centered from the corner site in the unit cell in the [100] directions, whereas the Ba ion remains at the corner. In the paraelectric phase at 900 K, as shown by the enlarged electron-density distribution at the A site in Fig. 3c, the Bi ions are disordered being off-centered at the six sites in the [100] directions. In the ferroelectric phase at 600 K, the Bi ions are partially ordered at the three sites in the [100], [010], and [001] directions with the same occupancy, as displayed in Fig. 3b. The rhombohedral lattice distortion is caused by the partial ordering of the Bi ions at the three sites. Therefore, spontaneous polarization emerges toward the cubic [111] direction. However, in the ferroelectric phase at 300 K, as presented in Fig. 3a, the Bi ions occupy the three sites in the [100], [010], and [001] directions in addition to the three sites in the [100], [010], and [001] directions. The occupancies of the Bi ions at the former three sites are smaller than those at the latter three sites, which suppresses the rhombohedral lattice distortion. The former and latter Bi ions are labeled in Supplementary Table 3 as Bi2 and Bi1 ions, respectively. The pseudocubic symmetry observed in the 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramics at room temperature (300 K) originated from the structural characteristics of the Bi ions occupying the six sites as well as those in the paraelectric phase.

Visualizations of off-centered Bi ions. Figure 3 presents the electron-density distributions of 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF in the ferroelectric phase (rhombohedral at 300 and 600 K) and the paraelectric phase (cubic at 900 K), which were calculated by MEM using the program ENIGMA.\(^{48}\) The MEM analysis was performed with the unit cell divided into small voxels. The volume of one voxel corresponded to approximately 0.05 × 0.05 × 0.05 Å\(^3\). The figures are drawn at a comparatively high isosurface level of 50 e Å\(^{-3}\), to emphasize the shapes of the inner electron clouds with high density around the light ions, i.e., O ions, that are not observed in the figures.

As seen in Fig. 3, the electron-density distributions around the B sites (Ti/Mg/Fe) are spherically isotropic. It can be considered that the Ti, Mg, and Fe ions remain at the same positions at the B sites. However, the electron-density distributions around the A sites (Ba/Bi) are extremely anisotropic in the \([001]\) directions, and it is reasonably unexpected for the Ba and Bi ions to remain located at the same positions. Finally, a very close agreement between the structure model refined by the Rietveld method and the MEM electron-density distribution can be achieved. In the model, only the Bi ion is off-centered from the corner site in the unit cell in the \([100]\) directions, whereas the Ba ion remains at the corner. In the paraelectric phase at 900 K, as shown by the enlarged electron-density distribution at the A site in Fig. 3c, the Bi ions are disordered being off-centered at the six sites in the \([100]\) directions. In the ferroelectric phase at 600 K, the Bi ions are partially ordered at the three sites in the \([100]\), \([010]\), and \([001]\) directions with the same occupancy, as displayed in Fig. 3b. The rhombohedral lattice distortion is caused by the partial ordering of the Bi ions at the three sites. Therefore, spontaneous polarization emerges toward the cubic \([111]\) direction. However, in the ferroelectric phase at 300 K, as presented in Fig. 3a, the Bi ions occupy the three sites in the \([100]\), \([010]\), and \([001]\) directions in addition to the three sites in the \([100]\), \([010]\), and \([001]\) directions. The occupancies of the Bi ions at the former three sites are smaller than those at the latter three sites, which suppresses the rhombohedral lattice distortion. The former and latter Bi ions are labeled in Supplementary Table 3 as Bi2 and Bi1 ions, respectively. The pseudocubic symmetry observed in the 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramics at room temperature (300 K) originated from the structural characteristics of the Bi ions occupying the six sites as well as those in the paraelectric phase.

Combinational partial ordering of ions associated with phase transitions is proposed to occur in pure BT and BT-based ferroelectrics with perovskite-type structures. The dominant view is that in the cubic paraelectric phase, the Ti ions at the B sites are off-centered at the eight sites in the \([111]\) directions.\(^{41-44}\) In the tetragonal ferroelectric phase, the Ti ions are suggested to be partially ordered at the four sites in the \([111]\), \([111]\), \([111]\), and \([111]\) directions with the same occupancy, associated with a phase transition.\(^{42,45}\) Hence, in the tetragonal structure, spontaneous polarization emerges toward the \([001]\) direction. Unlike in the pure BT and BT-based ferroelectrics, the occupancy in the partial ordering in 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF can be changed with temperature, in a particular ferroelectric phase. Recently, in solid solutions including Bi ions, such as BF–BT and BNT, off-centering of the Bi ions was observed. It was reported that the change in the Bi sites from six to ten split sites involved a phase transition from paraelectric (cubic) to ferroelectric phases (tetragonal) in K\(_0.5\)Bi\(_0.5\)TiO\(_3\).\(^{22}\) However, the partial ordering under an applied electric field is not discussed in the ferroelectric phase. We will discuss the partial ordering of Bi ions under the electric field in 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF in the “Discussion”.

The structural characteristics of 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF revealed in this study are schematically summarized in Fig. 4 by VESTA.\(^{49}\)
with a ball-and-stick model, which should be discussed in comparison with the three-dimensional electron-density distributions presented in Fig. 3. In the case of 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF, it is unique that in the ferroelectric phase, the degree of partial ordering at the off-centered Bi-ion sites, as shown in Fig. 4a, b, can be easily controlled by external stimuli, such as changes in the temperatures, and may even be the electric field.

The off-centering of Bi ions was detected via scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM). Figure 5a presents the STEM image of 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF at room temperature (300 K), wherein the Bi ions located at the A sites form a cluster in the region of several nm². In the areas, including areas (b) and (c), all the A-site ions shift simultaneously left and to lower sides, respectively, relative to the B-site ions (Supplementary Fig. 1). The average magnitude of the displacement and the directions of the A-site ions are ~0.14 Å and (100) directions, respectively, in the cluster region. The smaller magnitude than that obtained by the Rietveld refinement is owing to the possible overlapping of the clusters of Bi ions with different off-centered directions along the incident direction of the electron beam and the mixing of the on-centered Ba and off-centered Bi ions. The STEM image suggests that the Bi ions are not dynamically disordered in the ferroelectric phase.

**Discussion**

We have demonstrated that the Bi ions in the 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramics prefer to relax to off-centered positions in the (100) directions from the corner of the unit cell, and the occupancies of the Bi ions at these off-centered positions can be changed by varying the temperature in the ferroelectric rhombohedral phase while maintaining the crystal symmetry. Based on these structural characteristics, we propose a structural model under an applied electric field to understand the reason the 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramics with a pseudocubic symmetry exhibits piezoelectric and ferroelectric responses.

As presented in Fig. 1, the diffraction peaks shift with their profiles remaining almost unchanged, under the applied electric field. However, it is noteworthy that their intensities are significantly modified. Some peaks become more intense, whereas the others are weakened. The ratio of the change for the (210) peak is the largest, as can be seen from Fig. 1. These results indicate that the structure factors are changed under the applied electric field.

From the experimental findings that the occupancies of the Bi ions at the off-centered positions in the (100) directions are different at 600 and 300 K, as shown in Fig. 4, we propose a simple structural model in which only the occupancies of the Bi ions vary according to the direction of the applied electric field, as displayed in Fig. 6b–d. In the model, we assume that the Bi ions essentially prefer the off-centered positions in the (100) directions, regardless of the direction of the applied electric field. Furthermore, the magnitudes of the displacements of the off-centered Bi ions do not change with or without the electric field. As presented in Fig. 6a, at 300 K, under the condition of no applied electric field, the disordered Bi ions at the three
off-centered positions in the [100], [010], and [001] directions have higher occupancies, whereas those at the other three positions in the [100], [010], and [001] directions have lower occupancies. If the electric field is applied in the [001] direction, as shown in Fig. 6b, our model proposes that the Bi ions are fully ordered at the positions in the [001] direction. In the cases when the electric field is applied in the [011] and [111] directions, the Bi ions are partially ordered at the two positions in the [010] and [001] directions and at the three positions in the [100], [010], and [001] directions with the same occupancy, as displayed in Fig. 6c, d, respectively. Therefore, the direction of the vector sum of the three vectors in the off-centered [100], [010], and [001] directions weighted by the occupancies of the Bi ions is in the direction of the electric field.

Based on the structural models introduced in Fig. 6, we calculated the structural factors with and without an electric field for each (hkl) diffraction to evaluate the intensities of the diffraction peaks, which are proportional to the square of the structure factors. The calculated intensity ratio for the structural model, such as Fig. 6b–d, under the electric field can be expressed as follows:

\[
\frac{I_{\text{hkl}(E=0)}}{I_{\text{hkl}(E=\text{cal})}} = n_1I_{\text{h1d}(B1\text{-site})} + n_2I_{\text{h1d}(B2\text{-site})} + n_3I_{\text{h1d}(B3\text{-site})},
\]

where \(I_{\text{h1d}}\) is the intensity for the six-site model (Fig. 6a) without the electric field at 300 K. \(I_{\text{h1d}}\) (Bi-site) indicates the intensity of the (hkl) peak calculated from the structural model in which Bi ions occupy off-centered sites \(x (x = 1, 2, 3)\) in the [100], [010], and [001] directions with appropriate occupancies, respectively, and \(n_x\) indicates the ratio of intensity contributions \((n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = 1)\) weighted by the occupancies of the Bi ions. The calculated intensity ratios for the structure models are indicated in Fig. 6e by red circles. The observed values indicated by black circles in the figure are evaluated from the experimentally measured intensities for each diffraction by tilting the sample ceramic with respect to the incident X-rays so that each scattering vector is parallel to the direction of the applied electric field of 50 kV cm\(^{-1}\). The tendency of the index dependence of the observed values, as presented in Fig. 6e, is very similar to that of the calculated values. Therefore, we consider that the proposed structural model under an applied electric field is appropriate. It is reasonable to consider that the proposed ordering variations of the Bi ions under an electric field are strongly related to the piezoelectric and ferroelectric responses in the pseudocubic 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramics.

In typical ferroelectrics, the electric-field-induced strain originates from the intrinsic lattice strain of the unit cell and the extrinsic domain reorientation. The applied electric field basically induces expansion and contraction of the unit cell of the crystal lattice, generating intrinsic lattice strain, and therefore, resulting in a shift of the XRD peak positions. Regarding the extrinsic effect, when the electric field is applied to a ceramic with spontaneous polarization parallel to the [001] direction in the tetragonal symmetry, such as BT ceramics, the intensity ratio between the specific (001) and (100)/(010) peaks may be modified by the 90° domain reorientation. Hence, XRD experiments enable the individual detection of the intrinsic and extrinsic contributions to the piezoelectric response under an applied electric field. However, for the 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramics having a pseudocubic symmetry, such 90° domain reorientation essentially cannot be detected even via SXRD.

Thus far, BT–ABO\(_x\)–BF solid solutions have been known to be relaxor ferroelectrics with a pseudocubic symmetry\(^{50-53}\), which exhibits slim \(P-E\) hysteresis loops. The \(S-E\) curves are characterized by indicating zero and/or very small strains on the negative strain side of the curves. It might be to occur the piezoelectric and ferroelectric responses attributed to the reorientation of polar domains with subnanometer sizes\(^{54}\). However, 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramics exhibit typical ferroelectricity with the square-shaped \(P-E\) hysteresis loops and the butterfly-shaped \(S-E\) curves\(^{13,27}\). In general, there are no ferroelectric domains in cubic crystals. The piezoelectric response is very small if there is no contribution from the domains. Therefore, it was not clear why the 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramic exhibited a piezoelectric response as a typical ferroelectric.

Ceramics are formed of numerous small ceramic grains, which is also the case of the 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramics with ceramic grains of 1–2\(\mu\)m sizes\(^{55}\), as illustrated in Fig. 7. If the grain size is extremely small, a grain may consist of a single crystal, and the crystal lattice is well arranged in the grain. Note that the orientation of the crystal lattice in a particular grain is different from those in other grains. In 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramics, the Bi ions can easily change their off-centered positions in the directions close to the applied electric field. The unit cell in the grain is expanded in the direction of the electric field by the combinational partial ordering of the Bi ions under the electric field. Because all the grains are expanded in the direction of the electric field, as shown in Fig. 7, the ceramic is macroscopically expanded in the direction, resulting in the large piezoelectric response. The characteristic of the 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramic is that all the ceramic grains are active for the response under an electric field. The change in atomic orientation that Bi ions ordered on a side under the electric field are ordered on the opposite side when the direction of the electric field is reversed can be regarded as a type of domain switching to show polarization reversal in ferroelectricity. Therefore, we consider that typical piezoelectric and ferroelectric responses are observed in the \(S-E\) curves and the \(P-E\) hysteresis loops of 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF ceramics, respectively. The reason the Bi ions are off-centered and can easily change their positions is that in addition to the Bi\(^{3+}\) ions possessing lone electron pairs, the Ba\(^{2+}\) ions, having ionic radii (1.61 Å) larger than those of Bi\(^{3+}\) ions (1.45 Å), broaden the space around the A sites on an average, allowing easy displacement of the Bi ions.

Numerous materials are referred to as pseudocubic. In ferroelectrics, when a ferroelectric response is observed in those with cubic symmetry, we generally use this term to resolve the contradiction between its physical properties and crystal structure. The Pb-free 0.3BT–0.1BMT–0.6BF exhibits typical piezoelectric and ferroelectric responses in spite of having a pseudocubic structure. The SXRD experiments with and without the electric field reveal that the significant piezoelectric response is caused by the combinational partial ordering of the off-centered Bi ions in any (100) directions in which the electric field is applied. Many of the supposed pseudocubic materials may have similar mechanisms for the emergence of their piezoelectric and ferroelectric
properties. These types of unique ferroelectrics exhibit significant properties only when an electric field is applied. If there is no applied electric field, then the exhibition of a large ferroelectric response cannot be predicted. The calculated spontaneous polarization without an electric field is extremely small to predict the large response under an electric field. We propose that the key concept to develop such ferroelectrics is to use ions that tend to fluctuate and become off-centered, such as a Bi$_3^+$ ion with lone electron pairs, and to locate the ions in sufficient space at the A site expanded by other ions. The proposed mechanism can provide information essential for designing Pb-free ceramics that deform well in all directions by controlling the spatial distributions of the fluctuated and off-centered ions under an applied electric field.

Methods

Materials and characterization. The 0.8Bi$_2$O$_3$–0.2Bi$_2$O$_3$–TiO$_2$ (particle size: 5 μm, Rare Metallic), MgO (particle size: 5 μm, Rare Metallic), TiO$_2$ (particle size: 7 nm, Ishihara Sangyo), Bi$_2$TiO$_3$ (BT01, particle size: 0.1 μm, Sakai Chemical Co. Ltd.), and BiFeO$_3$ (particle size: 0.49 μm, Nippon Chemical Industrial Co. Ltd.). The raw powders were mixed with zirconia balls and ethanol, and subsequently ball-milled for 16 h at a ball-milling speed of 250 rpm. The resultant ball-milled slurry was dried in a drying oven and calcined at 1173 K for 6 h. Approximately 0.05 wt% MnO$_2$ was added to the calcined powders, and ball milling was performed again followed by drying. Finally, polyvinyl butyral (PVB) was added as a binder to the resultant powder, and disk-type green pellets were formed by uniaxial pressing. The green pellets were then sintered at 1273 K for 2 h after binder removal treatment at 973 K for 10 h.

Scanning transmission electron microscopy. High-energy SXRD experiments were conducted in the BL02B2 beamline in SPRing-8 under various temperatures and electric fields. For the measurement of the temperature dependence of the crystal structure, the sintered ceramic was used in powder form, which was sealed in a quartz capillary with 0.1-mm diameter. The lattice parameters were analyzed by the Rietveld method in the temperature range of 100–1000 K using the SXRD patterns measured by a MYTHEN (Dectris) detector. The energy of the X-rays used was 30 keV (0.412361(1) Å). The electron-density distributions were visualized by the MEM/Rietveld method$^{37}$ at 300, 600, and 900 K for the SXRD patterns recorded on an Imaging Plate (Fuji Film). The energy of the X-rays used was 35 keV (0.353433(1) Å).

For the measurements under an applied electric field, a ceramic bar with dimensions of 0.2 mm (thickness) × 0.3 mm (width) × 8 mm (length) was used. The bar-type sample was annealed at 1073 K for 20 h and quenched in water. Gold electrodes were coated on both polished sides (0.3 × 8 mm) and then annealed at 537 K for 10 min. The SXRD patterns were measured using X-rays of 35 keV (0.353433(1) Å) by the MYTHEN detector. The sample was set in the sample holder such that the longitudinal direction was perpendicular to the incident X-rays. Each intensity of the (hk0) diffraction was measured by tilting the sample at the appropriate angle with respect to the incident X-rays so that the scattering vector of each diffraction was parallel to the direction of the applied electric field, e.g., ω = 10.16° for (002). The sample was rocked around the longitudinal direction at ±2.5° during the measurements. Under these experimental conditions, we succeeded in measuring data up to 2θ = 20° in the direction of the electric field, where the (123) peak was observed. For the simultaneous measurement of all the diffraction patterns, the tilting angle was fixed as the angle for (002). The electric field in the range of ~50 to ~50 kV cm$^{-1}$ was applied with bipolar cycling using a PSX-12B (Rare Metallic) with the tip expanded by other ions. The proposed mechanism can provide information essential for designing Pb-free ceramics that deform well in all directions by controlling the spatial distributions of the fluctuated and off-centered ions under an applied electric field.
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