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A B S T R A C T

The exploitation of yield potential through inter sub specific (inter-racial) hybridization become a challenging task for rice breeders. Grain yield improvement is considered to be prime objective of any breeding programme, quality traits are yet another important consideration of rice breeding in India. Association of yield and yield components and among grain quality traits thus assumes a unique prominence as the basis for selecting desirable high yielding genotypes with good grain quality characters. Correlation analysis is basic and foremost effort to find out strategies for plant selection. Hundred grain weight showed significant and negative correlation with grain yield per plant. Linear elongation ratio showed significant and positive correlation with kernel breadth, kernel breadth before cooking, kernel length after cooking, and LB ratio after cooking and hence selection of these traits will serve in improvement of LER. Direct effect of number of productive tillers per plant was found to be high on single plant yield.

Introduction

Rice is one of the pivotal stable food and primary food source for most of the world population. Breed a variety with high yield and good grain quality characters is an important goal of breeders. The international rice market is highly segmented because consumer preference is highly heterogeneous, not only across countries but also within countries. The rice grain quality traits generally include milling quality, appearance quality, and nutritional quality in terms of cooking and eating quality which are most important for the consumers. Hence selection for improved milling, cooking, eating and processing qualities is crucial to meet consumers’ preference and industry standards.
Rice grain quality is important not only from the consumer's point of view, but also for rice farmers. Development of plant breeding strategy mainly depends on the nature of association of major quantitative traits with yield, quality or any other economic trait. Correlation coefficient ensures the degree of association, genetic or non-genetic relationship between two or more characters which forms the basis for selection. The degree of correlation between the traits is a key factor especially in complex and economic trait such as yield. Path analysis simply splits the correlation coefficient into the measures of direct and indirect effect of a set of independent variables on the dependent variables.

**Materials and Methods**

The experimental material comprised of 437 $F_3$ families of CB 08504 X Improved Pusa Basmati 1. All the 437 families were raised. All the agronomical practices were done. Based on grain type 50 single plants were selected. Yield contributing traits viz., days to 50 per cent flowering (days), plant height (cm), number of tillers per plant, number of productive tillers per plant, panicle length (cm), hundred grain weight, grain yield per plant and fifteen grain quality characters viz., hulling percentage, milling percentage, head rice recovery, kernel length, kernel breadth, length / breadth ratio, kernel length before cooking, kernel breadth before cooking, kernel length after cooking, kernel breadth after cooking, LB ratio after cooking, linear elongation ratio, breadth wise expansion ratio, gelatinization temperature, gel consistency and amylose content were recorded for 50 single plants.

### Hulling percentage

$$\text{Hulling percentage} = \frac{\text{Total Hulled rice}}{\text{Total rough rice}} \times 100$$

### Milling percentage

$$\text{Milling percentage} = \frac{\text{Total Milled rice}}{\text{Total rough rice}} \times 100$$

### Head rice recovery (HRR)

Head rice recovery or milling recovery is the estimate of head rice (milled rice with more than two third size) and expressed in percentage

$$\text{Head rice recovery} = \frac{\text{Total head rice}}{\text{Total rough rice}} \times 100$$

### Kernel length

Length of ten unbroken brown rice was measured using graph sheet and the mean was expressed in millimeter (mm)

### Kernel breadth

Breadth of ten unbroken rice was measured using a graph sheet and the mean was expressed in mm.

### Linear elongation ratio (LER)

The ratio of mean length of cooked rice to mean length of milled rice was computed as linear elongation ratio (Juliano and Perez, 1984).

Gelatinization temperature (GT) was estimated based on alkali spreading score (ASV) of milled rice. The method developed by Little et al., (1958) was used to score alkali spreading value. Gel consistency (GC) was analyzed based on the method described by Cagampang et al., (1973). Statistical analysis were done using TNAUSTAT. Correlation figure was done using Graph Pad Prism software.
In path analysis, grain yield per plant and linear elongation ratio was considered as dependent characters for yield characters and grain quality characters respectively.

**Results and Discussion**

Grain yield per plant showed significant and negative correlation with hundred grain weight. Plant height showed significant positive correlation with number of tillers per plant, days to fifty percent flowering showed significant positive correlation with plant height, plant height showed significant positive correlation with number of productive tillers per plant, number of tillers per plant showed significant positive correlation with number of productive tillers per plant. In grain quality characters, linear elongation ratio showed significant and positive correlation with kernel breadth, kernel breadth before cooking, kernel length after cooking, LB ratio after cooking, kernel length before cooking, kernel length after cooking, LB ratio after cooking, kernel breadth after cooking. Santhipriya *et al.*, (2017) and Venkanna *et al.*, (2014) reported that kernel length positive association with LB ratio. Kernel breadth exhibited positive association with kernel breadth before cooking and significant and negative association with LB ratio, kernel length before cooking and breadth wise expansion ratio. LB ratio exhibited significant positive association with kernel length before cooking, breadth wise expansion ratio and significant negative association with kernel breadth before cooking. Kernel length before cooking showed significant positive association with kernel breadth after cooking, breadth wise expansion ratio and significant negative association with kernel breadth before cooking.

Kernel breadth before cooking showed significant negative association with breadth wise expansion ratio. Kernel length after cooking showed significant positive association with LB ratio after cooking. Kernel breadth after cooking showed significant positive association with breadth wise expansion ratio and significant association with LB ratio after cooking.

**Path analysis for yield attributing characters**

Days to 50 % flowering, plant height and number of productive tillers per plant exhibited positive direct effect on grain yield per plant. Direct effect of number of productive tillers per plant was found to be high. AshutoshSawarkar and Senapati (2014) reported that days to fifty per cent flowering showed low positive direct effects on grain yield per plant and Nandan *et al.*, (2010), Ashim and Ghosh (2012) reported that plant height showed low direct effects on grain yield/plant. Selection based on number of
productive tillers per plant is very effective.

Table 1 Correlation analysis for morphological traits

| Character | DFF  | PH    | NTP/P | NPT/P | PL    | HGW   | GY/P  |
|-----------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| DFF       | 1    | 0.301*| 0.184 | 0.189 | 0.056 | -0.210| 0.279 |
| PH        |      | 1     | 0.391**| 0.434**| 0.200 | -0.116| 0.266 |
| NTP/P     |      |       | 1     | 0.979**| 0.070 | 0.049 | 0.013 |
| NPT/P     |      |       |       | 1     | 0.092 | 0.041 | 0.072 |
| PL        |      |       |       |       | 1     | -0.094| 0.075 |
| HGW       |      |       |       |       |       | 1     | -0.343*|

Table 2 Path coefficient analysis of morphological traits

| Character | DFF  | PH    | NTP/P | NPT/P | PL    | HGW   | GY/P  |
|-----------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| DFF       | 0.1775| 0.0450| -0.2150| 0.2137| -0.0007| 0.0583| 0.2788|
| PH        | 0.0533| 0.1498| -0.4578| 0.4908| -0.0026| 0.0322| 0.2658|
| NTP/P     | 0.0326| 0.0586| -1.1698| 1.1062| -0.0009| -0.0137| 0.0131|
| NPT/P     | 0.0335| 0.0650| -1.1448| 1.1304| -0.0012| -0.0114| 0.0717|
| PL        | 0.0100| 0.0300| -0.0822| 0.1043| -0.013 | 0.0261| 0.0753|
| HGW       | -0.0372| -0.0173| -0.0576| 0.0464| 0.0012 | -0.02782| -0.3428|

Residual effect: 0.8664

Fig.1 Correlation coefficient among yield and yield contributing traits
Table 3 Correlation analysis for grain quality traits

| Traits | H % | M% | HRR | KL | KB | LBR | KLBC | KBBC | KLAC | KBAC | LBAC | BER | GC | GT | AC | LER |
|--------|-----|----|-----|----|----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|----|----|----|-----|
| H %    | 1   | -0.020 | 0.234 | -0.168 | 0.054 | -0.129 | -0.146 | 0.085 | -0.275 | -0.042 | -0.0249 | -0.098 | 0.177 | -0.078 | -0.115 | -0.093 |
| M%     | 1   | 0.018 | 0.014 | -0.060 | 0.031 | 0.087 | 0.020 | -0.039 | -0.079 | -0.002 | -0.056 | -0.059 | 0.001 | -0.0276 | -0.147 |
| HRR    | 1   | 0.253 | -0.075 | 0.191 | 0.162 | -0.107 | -0.176 | -0.160 | -0.061 | -0.011 | 0.013 | -0.098 | -0.021 | -0.297* |
| KL     | 1   | -0.378** | 0.847** | 0.913** | -0.485** | 0.330** | 0.220 | 0.178 | 0.549** | 0.046 | -0.149 | -0.214 | -0.487** |
| KB     | 1   | -0.808** | -0.420** | 0.928** | -0.021 | 0.227 | -0.152 | -0.696** | -0.091 | -0.109 | 0.158 | 0.345** |
| LBR    | 1   | 0.813** | -0.838** | 0.205 | 0.018 | 0.178 | 0.754** | 0.087 | -0.040 | -0.221 | -0.512** |
| KLBC   | 1   | -0.499** | 0.448** | 0.254 | 0.274 | 0.584** | 0.156 | -0.140 | -0.203 | -0.464** |
| KBBC   | 1   | -0.011 | 0.163 | -0.108 | -0.806** | -0.176 | 0.021 | 0.144 | 0.421** |
| KLAC   | 1   | 0.244 | 0.810** | 0.135 | -0.035 | -0.037 | 0.055 | 0.520** |
| KBAC   | 1   | -0.360** | 0.448** | 0.110 | -0.068 | 0.060 | 0.115 |
| LBAC   | 1   | -0.138 | -0.115 | 0.027 | 0.063 | 0.418** |
| BER    | 1   | 0.230 | -0.068 | -0.093 | -0.306* |
| GC     | 1   | -0.078 | -0.028 | -0.109 |
| GT     | 1   | -0.014 | 0.035 |
| AC     | 1   | 0.189 |
| LER    | 1   | | | | |
Table 4 Path coefficient analysis of grain quality traits

| Traits  | H %   | M %   | HRR  | KL    | KB    | LBR   | KLBC  | KBBC  | KLAC  | KBAC  | LB(AC) | BER   | GC   | GT    | AC    | LER   |
|---------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| H %     | -0.131| -0.013| 0.0001| -0.324| 0.259 | 0.1285| 0.275 | -0.102| 0.563 | -3.682| 0.726 | 1.206 | 1.822| 1.841 | -2.971| -0.403|
| M%      | 0.0225| 0.080 | 0.0002| 0.2034| -0.176| -0.095 | -0.157| 0.074 | -0.243| 1.938 | -0.555| -0.630| -1.037| -1.017| 1.766 | 0.1724|
| HRR     | 0.0133| 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.0643| -0.059| -0.030 | -0.104| 0.0079| -0.299| 1.3586| -0.084| -0.322| -0.453| -0.511 | 0.4407| 0.0402|
| KL      | 0.094 | 0.036 | 0.0001| 0.453 | -0.340| -0.183 | -0.357| 0.1362| -0.692| 4.625 | -0.978| -1.436| -2.236| -2.278 | 3.568 | 0.4103|
| KB      | 0.093 | 0.038 | 0.0002| 0.4212| -0.366| -0.177 | -0.366| 0.133 | -0.718| 4.801 | -0.966| -1.489| -2.180| -2.398 | 3.528 | 0.352 |
| LBR     | 0.082 | 0.037 | 0.0001| 0.407 | -0.319| -0.204 | -0.373| 0.123 | -0.738| 4.689 | -0.871| -1.333| -2.03  | -2.194 | 3.073 | 0.349 |
| KLBC    | 0.078 | 0.027 | 0.0002| 0.3508| -0.290| -0.164 | -0.461| 0.096 | -0.995| 5.839 | -0.644| -1.496| -2.011| -2.245 | 2.259 | 0.339 |
| KBBC    | 0.081 | 0.036 | 0 | 0.372 | -0.294| -0.151 | -0.268| 0.165 | -0.277| 3.365 | -1.172| -1.309| -2.080| -1.944 | 3.752 | 0.275 |
| KLAC    | 0.0679| 0.018 | 0.0003| 0.287 | -0.241| -0.138 | -0.421| 0.0421| -1.092| 5.4008| -0.274| -1.226| -1.553| -1.944 | 1.394 | 0.3196|
| KBAC    | 0.0807| 0.026 | 0.0002| 0.3492| -0.293| -0.159 | -0.448| 0.092 | -0.981| 6.010 | -0.629| -1.565| -2.019| -2.332 | 2.265 | 0.395 |
| LB(AC)  | 0.079 | 0.037 | 0.0001| 0.370 | -0.295| -0.148 | -0.248| 0.162 | -0.250| 3.158 | -1.197| -1.305| -2.040| -1.958 | 3.863 | 0.227 |
| BER     | 0.082 | 0.026 | 0.0002| 0.339 | -0.284| -0.141 | -0.359| 0.113 | -0.697| 4.899 | -0.813| -1.920| -2.001| -2.899 | 3.950 | 0.293 |
| GC      | 0.096 | 0.033 | 0.0002| 0.41 | -0.323| -0.167 | -0.375| 0.139 | -0.685| 4.903 | -0.987| -1.552| -2.475| -2.096 | 3.8  | 0.401 |
| GT      | 0.078 | 0.026 | 0.0002| 0.336 | -0.285| -0.145 | -0.337| 0.1048| -0.690| 4.554 | -0.762| -1.809| -1.686| -3.077 | 3.937 | 0.244 |
| AC      | 0.083 | 0.030 | 0.0001| 0.3447| -0.275| -0.133 | -0.222| 0.1325| -0.324| 2.899 | -0.985| -1.615| -1.834| -2.58 | 4.697 | 0.2174|

Residual effect: 0.766
Sandhya et al., (2014) reported similar results. The indirect effect of plant height exhibited high positive on number of productive tillers per plant and negative through number of tillers per plant. Number of tillers per plant exhibited very high positive indirect effect on number of panicle per plant. Very high negative indirect effect was recorded by number of productive tillers per plant through number of tillers per plant.

Path analysis for quality character

Out of sixteen character subjected to assess the direct effects of linear elongation ratio, six characters viz., milling percentage, head rice recovery, kernel length, kernel breadth before cooking, kernel breadth after cooking, amylose content showed positive direct effect on linear elongation ratio. Premkumar et al., (2016) reported the same results. The direct effect of kernel breadth after cooking and amylose content was found to be very high on LER. Hulling percentage exhibited very high positive indirect effect on breadth wise elongation ratio, gel consistency and gelatinization temperature. Very high positive indirect effect was exhibited by milling percentage on kernel breadth after cooking and amylose content. The indirect effect of head rice recovery was found to be very high on kernel breadth after cooking. Very high positive indirect effect was observed in kernel length on kernel breadth after cooking and amylose content. The indirect effect of kernel breadth was found to be on kernel breadth after cooking, amylose content. Kernel breadth after cooking and amylose content was found to be very high indirect effect on LB ratio. The indirect effect of gel consistency was found to be very high on kernel breadth after cooking and amylose content. Very high indirect effect of gelatinization temperature was observed on kernel breadth after cooking and amylose content.
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