Joining with Reactive Nano-Multilayers: Influence of Thermal Properties of Components on Joint Microstructure and Mechanical Performance
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Abstract: Reactive nano-multilayers (RNMLs), which are able to undergo a self-heating exothermal reaction, can, e.g., be utilised as a local heat source for soldering or brazing. Upon joining with RNMLs, the heat produced by the exothermal reaction must be carefully adjusted to the joining system in order to provide sufficient heat for bond formation while avoiding damaging of the joining components by excessive heat. This heat balance strongly depends on the thermal properties of the joining components: a low thermal conductivity leads to heat concentration within the joining zone adjacent to the RNML, while a high thermal conductivity leads to fast heat dissipation into the components. The quality of the joint is thus co-determined by the thermal properties of the joining components. This work provides a systematic study on the influence of the thermal properties upon reactive joining for a set of substrate materials with thermal conductivities ranging from very low to very high. In particular, the evolution of the microstructure within the joining zone as a function of the specific time-temperature-profile for the given component material is investigated, focusing on the interaction between solder, RNML foil and surface metallisations, and the associated formation of intermetallic phases. Finally, the specific microstructure of the joints is related to their mechanical performance upon shear testing, and suggestions for optimum joint design are provided.
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1. Introduction

Reactive joining utilises the heat produced by reactive nano-multilayers for soldering or brazing. The reactive nano-multilayers can be employed either as free-standing reactive foils (RFs) [1,2] or as nano-multilayer coatings which are directly deposited onto the joining components [3]. With the localised, in situ produced heat provided by the exothermal reaction of the nano-multilayers, reactive joining represents a very flexible joining technique, e.g., enabling to solder heat-sensitive materials and components at room temperature without use of a furnace. Envisioned and partly already realised fields of applications are, for instance, the assembly of microsystems (e.g., mounting of strain gauges and infra-red emitters [4]), hermetic sealings [5,6], and metal-ceramic bonds for manufacturing of sputter targets [7]. However, successful joining with RF requires careful adjustment of the produced heat (e.g., via foil composition and/or foil thickness): On the one hand, an overproduction of the heat can negatively affect the integrity of joining components, e.g., by local grain coarsening [8]
or re-melting [9] of the component material in the vicinity of the RF, or by mechanical damage of the material via thermal shock [10]. On the other hand, if an insufficient amount of heat is provided by the exothermal reaction, the soldering or brazing material will not (or only partly) be melted, thus hindering the creation of a firm bond between RF, solder and components. Notably, the time-temperature profile evolving in the joining zone depends not only on the properties of the RF, i.e., the total amount of heat and the rate of heat production, but also on the thermal properties of the components to be joined, i.e., on their thermal conductivity and heat capacity [11]: For a given amount of heat produced by the reactive foil, a high thermal conductivity of the components can lead to rapid heat dissipation through the joining components (in extreme cases, even the self-heating reaction within the reactive nano-multilayer can be quenched), while a low thermal conductivity of the joining parts can lead to a pronounced heat pile-up within the joining zone [10]. This problem is similar to other joining processes which utilise a localised, dynamic heat source, e.g., electron-beam welding or laser welding [12,13]. Accordingly, comparable approaches are utilised to model the dynamic joining process, although the description of the localised heat source naturally greatly differs (cf. e.g., [12,13] and [11,14,15]). The relation between the exothermal reaction of the nano-multilayers, the thermal properties of the joining components and the ensuing time-temperature-profile has been modelled in detail and experimentally investigated for reactive joining with Ni-Al RF and AuSn solder in combination with a stainless steel and an aluminium alloy, i.e., materials with relatively low to moderately high thermal conductivities [1,11]. In comparison to conventional furnace soldering with AuSn, superior mechanical properties were observed for the reactively soldered joints, attributed to the refinement of the solder microstructure due to the rapid cooling upon reactive joining [1]. In addition, a pronounced effect of the thermal conductivity of the different alloys on the lamellar microstructure of the AuSn solder was found [11]. Hence, in order to fully exploit the possibilities of reactive joining, understanding of reactive joining down to the microstructural level is required. Therefore, in the present work, the influence of thermal conductivity on the reactive joining process is systematically investigated with focus on the evolution of the microstructure within the bonding zone and its influence on the mechanical performance of the created joint. To this end, joining experiments are performed with a defined setup of reactive foil, solder and surface metallisations for a set of four typical substrate materials for microelectronics, covering an extended range of thermal conductivities from extremely low (i.e., borosilicate glass) to extremely high (i.e., oxygen-free high conductivity Cu; see Table 1). Due to the different thermal properties of the materials, within the joining zone, variations in peak temperatures by several hundred degrees and in melting durations from less than a millisecond to several milliseconds can be expected according to model predictions [11]. The microstructure evolving in the joining zone as a function of the different time-temperature-profiles is studied by use of high-resolution scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), and computer tomography (CT) for non-destructive analysis of the joint quality. In particular, the interaction of the solder with the reactive foil and the surface metallisation, the ensuing formation of intermetallic phases, and the formation of porosity in the solder layer are scrutinised. Finally, the microstructural features observed for the different component materials as a function of their specific thermal properties, hence time-temperature-profiles, are related to the mechanical performance of the reactive joints upon shear testing.

| Table 1. Thermal conductivities and volumetric heat capacities at room temperature of the different substrate materials used in the present work. |
|-------------------------------------------------|
|                         | Borosilicate Glass | Al₂O₃ | Si    | Cu       |
| thermal conductivity/(W m⁻¹ K⁻¹) | 1.2 [16]          | 26 [17]| 150 [18]| 402 [19] |
| volumetric isobaric heat capacity/(10⁶ J m⁻³ K⁻¹) | 1.9 [16]         | 3.0 [17]| 1.7 [20]| 3.4 [20] |
2. Materials and Methods

Reactive joining was performed with four different substrate types: (i) borosilicate glass wafers (Borofloat® 33, Schott AG, Jena, Germany) of 0.5 mm thickness, (ii) aluminium oxide (Al₂O₃) substrate plates (97% Al₂O₃, Kyocera, Kyoto, Japan) of 1 mm thickness, (iii) silicon (Si) wafers (monitor-grade mono-crystalline ⟨100⟩-Si, Okmetic, Vantaa, Finland) of 0.525 mm thickness, and (iv) copper plates (99.95%, oxygen-free high conductivity Cu) of 1 mm thickness. The schematic joining configuration is shown in Figure 1. In the present work, only homogeneous bonds using the same substrate materials were manufactured. The thermal properties of the different substrate types are listed in Table 1. The substrates were metallised by magnetron sputtering with a Ni metallisation layer of 200 nm (Si) to 500 nm (rest) thickness, and with an intermediate Ti-W adhesion layer of 70 nm to 100 nm in case of Si, Al₂O₃ and borosilicate glass (in case of Cu, no adhesion layer is necessary). For the manufacturing of shear-test specimens, the substrate plates and wafers were cut into smaller plates of 4 mm × 4 mm (upper substrate) and 10 mm × 20 mm (lower substrate) using a dicing saw (Disco DAD3350).

![Figure 1.](image)

Figure 1. (a) schematic cross-section of the initial joining-zone configuration (lower half; symmetric joint), and (b) layout of the shear-test samples; with 1: lower substrate, 2: Ti-W adhesion layer (optional), 3: Ni metallisation layer, 4: Sn solder foil or plated layer, 5: Ag-Cu-In protective layer, 6: Ni(V)-Al reactive foil, 7: upper substrate (including metallisation layers). In case of Cu as substrate material, no adhesion layer is necessary and the Sn layer is directly plated onto the Ni metallisation of the substrate; (c) photograph of a reactively joined Al₂O₃-specimen for shear testing (grey: Ni surface metallisation).

As reactive foils, commercially available Ni(V)-Al RFs (Nanofoils®; Indium Corporation, Clinton, NY, USA) with a thickness of 60 µm were used (For commercial production of the reactive foils by sputter deposition, instead of pure, magnetic Ni targets, non-magnetic Ni-V-targets are used in order to facilitate the sputtering process. The intermetallic phase NiAl, which is the predominant product of the self-heating reaction between Ni and Al, has a substantial solubility for V, i.e., vanadium is incorporated into NiAl, here denoted as Ni(V)Al). The reactive foils are plated with 1 µm InCuSil®-15 (61.5 wt% Ag, 23.5 wt% Cu, 15 wt% In; 53.3 at.% Ag, 34.5 at.% Cu, 12.2 at.% In) for oxidation protection. Pure Sn foils (97.5 at.% Sn, Goodfellow) of 10 µm thickness were used as solder. The Cu substrate plates were later galvanically pre-soldered with 12 µm (nominally 10 µm) Sn, because reactive joining with Sn foils proved to be unsuccessful (see Section 3). The reactive foils and solder foils were cut with a laser (pulsed Nd:YAG marking laser, wave length 532 nm) to preforms of 5.5 mm × 5 mm (RF), respectively, 5 mm × 5 mm (solder foils).

The substrates and Sn solder foils were either etched with 10 % HCl and the RFs with 20 % HNO₃ to reduce the amount of surface oxides, rinsed twice in demineralised water and dried with compressed air before assembly, or were only cleaned in an ultrasonic bath with isopropyl alcohol and dried. Both sample cleaning methods lead to the same performance in shear tests, indicating that etching with acids or fluxes is not mandatory. For bonding, a bond pressure of 2.5 MPa to 2.6 MPa was used. The exothermal reaction was initiated at one side of the 4 mm × 4 mm bonding area by an electrical discharge using two electrodes placed on the surface of the reactive foil with a distance of approximately 3 mm. The instrumental setups used for reactive joining are shown in Figure A1 (Appendix A).

For investigation of the joint quality by optical microscopy (OM) and SEM, cross-sections were prepared by grinding and polishing, as well as by use of a cross-section polisher (Hitachi IM4000) for some SEM samples. OM was performed with a Leica DM6000M optical microscope, SEM-EDS was
performed using a Fei NanoSem230 operated at an acceleration voltage of 10 kV (respectively 15 kV for EDS analysis). For CT, a Nikon XT V 160 Printed Circuit Board Inspection System with optional CT arm was used, operated between 127 kV to 156 kV and 13 µA to 22 µA. The shear strength of the joints was tested with a shear tester (Walter + Bay STM 20) at a constant shear rate of 0.6 mm/min, or with a tensile tester (Zwick/Roell Z010, modified for shear tests) at a constant shear rate of 1 mm/min. The devices used for performing the shear tests are shown in Figure A2 (Appendix A). No influence of the testing machine on the shear strength was detected.

3. Results and Discussion

Reactive joining was first performed for all four substrates using the standard joining configuration with a reactive foil of 60 µm thickness and Sn solder foils of 10 µm thickness. In this way, firm bonds were immediately obtained in case of Al₂O₃, Si, and borosilicate glass. In the latter case, the substrates showed large cracks emerging from the joining interface, which can be attributed to an overexposure to heat (i.e., thermal shock, cf. [10]). In contrast to that, in case of Cu no bond was obtained with the standard joining configuration: although the Sn solder foils were completely melted and strongly fused to the reactive foil after the joining process, bonding of the Sn solder to the Ni-metallisation of Cu was not achieved. This difference in macroscopic joining behaviour clearly shows the effect of the different thermal conductivities of the substrate materials (see Table 1): in case of borosilicate glass, the very low thermal conductivity of the glass leads to a heat pile-up and very high temperatures within the joining zone adjacent to the reactive foil. As a consequence of the sudden and localised heat exposure, the glass substrate experiences a thermal shock close to its surface and crack formation is initiated. Residual stresses then lead to further crack growth (this problem and its prevention is discussed in detail in [10]).

By contrast, the very high thermal conductivity in case of Cu leads to fast drainage of the heat of reaction into the substrates. As a consequence, only a temperature increase is achieved in the joining zone which is sufficient to melt the Sn shortly, but insufficient to activate the reaction of liquid Sn with the Ni surface metallisation. This problem could be solved e.g., by use of a RF with larger thickness providing more heat of reaction [11]. The solution applied here was, for sake of comparability, to pre-solder the (Ni-coated) Cu substrates by the galvanic deposition of Sn of same thickness as the Sn-foils. This way, a direct contact between the Sn solder and the Ni metallisation is created, and it is no longer necessary to liquefy the entire solder layer completely: local melting and re-solidification of the Sn solder surface in contact with the reactive foil is enough to achieve bonding. Pre-soldering of the substrates also has the advantage that two sets of interfaces in the joining setup can be eliminated, which reduces the amount of gas trapped during joining at atmospheric pressure, i.e., the amount of porosity in the solder layers (additionally, with regard to manufacturing efficiency, two process steps for positioning of the solder foils become obsolete). Although pre-soldering of Cu with Sn may eliminate the necessity of a surface metallisation, the use of a Ni metallisation is still advised in order to prevent formation of detrimental amounts of Cu-Sn intermetallics and Kirkendall voiding during joint operation at elevated temperatures. Another feasible approach to improve the solderability with Sn solder upon reactive joining would be the use of Au as a final surface metallisation layer: Since the temperature of the Sn-rich eutectic decreases from 231 °C for the system Ni-Sn [21] to 211 °C for the system Au-Sn [22], a lower temperature is sufficient to generate a liquid phase at the solder-substrate interface and thus to achieve bonding.

Figure 2 shows the results of the non-destructive CT tests for the upper solder layer of the different substrate types. Due to the small thickness of the solder layer, the CT slices in Figure 2 also contain parts of the reactive foil. For all substrates, a considerable number of pores within the solder layer can be observed, as well as cracks within the reactive foil layer, which are partly filled by solder material. The cracks within the reactive foil layer are due to the pronounced volume reduction associated with the reaction of Ni and Al to NiAl, causing lateral shrinkage of the foil and formation of cracks. Cross-sectional analysis of the Sn solder foils (respectively, the Sn solder plating in case of Cu) by SEM showed a negligible amount of initial porosity in the solder before joining, i.e., the porosity
predominantly originates from air enclosed within the joining setup during assembly. Although porosity is present for all substrate materials, CT shows a clear difference in the distribution of the porosity within the solder layer, resulting from the different time-temperature-profiles experienced by the joint: in case of borosilicate glass, large agglomerates of pores can be observed, while for Al₂O₃, Si and Cu, a much more homogeneous distribution of pores is present. Since the thermal conductivity defines not only the maximum temperature which is reached within the joining zone during the reaction, but also the period during which the solder is in the liquid state [11], the solder remains liquid for a comparatively long period in case of borosilicate glass. This allows for pronounced pore condensation, i.e., coarsening of the porosity (Figure 2a). Due to a comparatively much larger thermal conductivity in case of Al₂O₃ and Si, the solder is for a shorter time in the liquid state. As a consequence, the pore distribution is much finer (Figure 2b,c). For Cu, the high thermal conductivity leads to rapid re-solidification of the Sn solder, and almost no coarsening of the pores can take place, leading to the very fine distribution of porosity found in the centre of the bonding area with CT (Figure 2d).

Figure 2. CT scans (inverted grayscale) of joints prepared by reactive joining for (a) borosilicate glass; (b) Al₂O₃; (c) Si; and (d) Cu, showing the region of the solder layer between the RF and the upper substrate plate. The red box defines the position of the upper substrate plate (4 mm × 4 mm). Pores (darker regions) are present in the solder layer, as well as cracks (dark lines) in the reactive foil layer, which are partially filled with solder material.

The cross-sections of the joints prepared for the four different substrate types (Figure 3) show the typical features of solder joints prepared with reactive Ni-Al-foils: the reactive foil layer is embedded within the two solder layers and occasionally shows some cracks partly filled with solder material. Notably, the solder layers for borosilicate glass, Al₂O₃ and Si are much thinner than expected from the initial thickness of the Sn foil of 10 µm (also see Figure 4). This shrinkage can be partly attributed to the filling of the cracks in the reactive foil layer (For some applications, the necessity to fill the cracks sets a lower limit for the thickness of the solder layer: if thinner solder layers are used, the cracks can either not be filled, which may lead to problems if e.g., a hermetic joint is required, or the remaining solder layer becomes too thin, which may lead to problems in case of components with high surface roughness and waviness.). However, the here observed shrinkage can mainly be attributed to the pronounced lateral outflow of liquid Sn out of the joining zone during the bonding step, driven by the application of the uniaxial pressure (also see [23]). Note that this practical issue prevents modelling of the actual time-temperature-profiles as e.g., performed in [11]: the observed lateral outflow of liquid solder leads to an undefined heat loss which makes correct modelling of the heat flow and of the corresponding time-temperature-profile impossible. For Cu, almost no shrinkage of the solder layers was observed (especially for the lower solder layer, which is in direct contact with the larger substrate acting as a large heat sink). This indicates that, during reactive joining, the Sn layers were likely not liquefied completely throughout the whole bonding area, thus preventing lateral outflow of liquid Sn under pressure. With a speed of the reaction front of approximately 8 m s⁻¹ for the commercial Ni(V)-Al RFs used here (determined with a high-speed camera), the total reaction time from one side of the 4 mm × 4 mm bonding area to the other is only about 0.5 ms (also see [23]). Hence, in case of Cu, the solder layer was in the liquid state for less than 0.5 ms, which corresponds reasonably well to model predictions for a similar scenario [11].
As expected, the microstructure of the joining zone resulting from the reactive bonding step is strongly affected by the different time-temperature profile evolving for the different component materials (Figure 4): as discussed above, in case of borosilicate glass, the low thermal conductivity leads to high temperatures within the joining zone over a prolonged time. The resulting microstructure (Figure 4a, cf. the initial setup depicted in Figure 1) clearly shows the consequences of this extreme time-temperature profile: the Ni-metallisation of the borosilicate glass surface is completely dissolved and only the (thermodynamically very stable) Ti-W adhesion layer remains (also see [10]). In addition, the elements of the Ag-Cu-In protection layer of the reactive foil are completely redistributed throughout the solder layer, leading to in situ alloying: the presence of Ag leads to formation of Ag-Sn intermetallic precipitates within the solder zone, most likely Ag$_3$Sn (cf. e.g., [24]; note that the interaction volume of SEM-EDS is considerably larger than the size of the precipitates found in this study, which prevents the exact determination of the composition by this method). In direct vicinity of the Ag$_3$Sn precipitates, small particles associated with an enrichment in Ni and Cu are found, indicating the separate formation of ternary Ni-Cu-Sn intermetallic particles (most likely of the Sn-rich (Ni,Cu)$_3$Sn$_4$-phase): while a number of mixed Ni-Cu-Sn intermetals exist in the system Ni-Cu-Sn, the mutual solubility of Ag and Ni/Cu in the respective Sn-intermetallic phases is negligible [21,25,26]. For the minor alloying element In, no clear enrichments could be detected. The reactive foil layer consists of NiAl phase with some few at.% of V. Notably, at the interface between the solder layer and the reactive foil layer, pronounced scallop formation of the NiAl-phase can be observed, which is typical for the growth (and/or coarsening) of solid-phase particles in contact with a liquid phase. In case of Al$_2$O$_3$ (Figure 4b), the Ni-metallisation layer on top of the Ti-W-adhesion layer is still intact and pronounced formation of Ni-Cu-Sn-phase scallops, probably (Ni,Cu)$_3$Sn$_4$, into the Sn-solder layer can be observed (also here, due to the smallness of the intermetallic particles with respect to the beam interaction volume, exact determination of their composition is not possible). It can thus be estimated that the temperature at the solder–metallisation interface was above the eutectic temperature of Sn-rich Ni-Cu-Sn of about 228 °C, but below the melting temperature of (Ni,Cu)$_3$Sn$_4$ of about 798 °C [27]. Within the solder layer, again comparatively large intermetallic Ag-Sn particles can be detected, while, at the boundary between solder layer and reactive foil, formation of some Ni-Cu-Sn intermetallics can be observed. This difference in spatial
distribution of the Ni-Cu-Sn and Ag-Sn intermetallic precipitates can be rationalised through the different thermodynamic behaviour of the alloy systems: in the temperature range near the respective eutectic temperature, liquid Sn has a much lower solubility for Cu and especially for Ni with respect to formation of the binary or ternary intermetallic Ni-Cu-Sn phases than for Ag with respect to formation of Ag₃Sn [21,25,26]. Hence, formation of the Ni-Cu-Sn intermetallics predominantly occurs close to the Cu- and or Ni-source (i.e., the Ni-metalliisation layer or the Ag-Cu-In layer) by scallop-type growth of grains into the liquid phase. By contrast, Ag can easily dissolve into the liquid Sn phase, and Ag₃Sn then only precipitates from the liquid phase at considerably lower temperatures during the cooling stage, thus forming Ag₃Sn-islands within the Sn solder layer. For Si, with a pronouncedly higher thermal conductivity than Al₂O₃ (but lower heat capacity, Table 1), a similar microstructure is found (Figure 4c). A marked difference is the less pronounced formation of Ni-Cu-Sn scallops along the interface between Ni-metalliisation and solder layer, and an even more localised formation of Ni-Cu-Sn intermetallics along the boundary between solder layer and reactive foil, due to the shorter time at less elevated temperatures. Finally, in case of Cu, a distinctly different joint microstructure can be observed (Figure 4d): first, along the interface between the Ni-metalliisation and the Sn-solder layer, no formation of Ni-Cu-Sn intermetallic scallops takes place, although comparison with the initial microstructure of the galvanically deposited Sn-layer showed that the Sn-layer was indeed completely melted during reactive joining, i.e., temperatures of at least 232 °C were reached at the solder-substrate interface. Scallops are also absent in the transition region between the solder layer and the reactive foil layer. In addition, formation of the Ag₃Sn-phase is limited to a narrow region close to the original Ag-Cu-In-layer. Obviously, only very limited intermixing of Ag, Cu and In with the Sn-solder could take place due to the short time at comparatively low temperatures, leading to very localised precipitation of the intermetallic particles. In addition, a substantial amount of sub-micron-sized pores can be observed within the Sn-layer, in contrast to the other substrate types. This indicates that, in accordance with the results of CT (Figure 2), only very limited coarsening of the porosity could take place in case of Cu. Hence, the microstructure developing for each joining material is strongly influenced by the thermal properties and the ensuing specific time-temperature-profile upon reactive joining.

The mechanical strength of the reactive solder joints was assessed by shear tests. Although a firm bond was obtained for all four substrate types, the corresponding shear strength of the joints shows a strikingly different behaviour (Figure 5, also see [28]): for borosilicate glass, an apparently very low shear strength of less than 5 MPa was obtained. However, analysis of the specimens after shear testing showed that failure occurred via cracking of the substrate plates, preferentially along the cracks already formed during reactive joining and not by failure of the solder joint. Hence, this value does not represent the actual shear strength of the joint. After optimisation of the joining procedure by considerably increasing the amount of solder in order to avoid the formation of cracks, nominal shear-strength values of about 20 MPa could be obtained (see [10]), also in this case limited by the failure of the brittle glass. For Si, the maximum shear strength was limited by brittle failure of the substrates, as well. For the mechanically more robust polycrystalline Al₂O₃, a much higher average shear strength of more than 50 MPa could be achieved (Figure 5), with failure within the soldering zone, while, for Cu, a much lower shear strength of about 20 MPa was obtained (again with failure within the soldering zone). The observed stark discrepancy in shear strength can be explained by the peculiar microstructure formed within the soldering zone for the specific time-temperature-profile resulting from the thermal properties of the materials: in case of Al₂O₃ (and also Si), the reactive joining process leads to a joint microstructure featuring excellent mechanical properties upon shear testing: the heat generated by the reactive foil, in combination with the specific thermal conductivity of the material, is sufficient to completely liquefy the Sn solder layer and to achieve distribution of the alloying elements of the Ag-Cu-In layer throughout the solder layer. This leads to formation of intermetallics (especially Al₂O₃) evenly distributed within the solder layer. Notably, the shear strength of pure Sn solder is only about 18 MPa [29]. Thus, the additional in situ alloying of Sn by Ag, Cu and
In from the protective Ag-Cu-In layer of the RF leads to pronounced strengthening of the solder with regard to pure Sn solder. Good bonding between the solder and the metallisation layer is realised by the Ni-Cu-Sn scallop formation along the solder–metallisation interface without excessive formation of intermetallics, which would otherwise lead to embrittlement of the joint. In case of Cu, the given joining system results in a soldering profile with relatively low temperatures over a relatively short time period. As a consequence, the alloying elements Ag, Cu and In are only distributed in a small region of the Sn solder layer, which prevents an overall strengthening of the solder layer. The shear strength obtained for Cu is therefore practically identical with that of pure Sn solder (about 20 MPa vs. 18 MPa). Notably, although Cu shows the largest thermal conductivity and hence also the fastest cooling rate after foil reaction, a positive effect of microstructural refinement due to rapid quenching of the liquid solder is not observed (cf. [1]). In order to increase the shear strength in case of the Cu substrates, instead of pure Sn a Sn-alloy solder, e.g., of the Sn-Ag-Cu-system, could be used to achieve a more homogeneous strengthening by intermetallics, albeit this may come at the price of an increase in joint brittleness due to the localised alloying effect by the Ag-Cu-In-layer of the reactive foil.

Figure 4. SEM micrographs (backscatter electron image) of the solder layer between substrate and RF layer for (a) borosilicate glass; (b) Al₂O₃; (c) Si; and (d) Cu (compare Figure 1a). In case of Cu, the Ni-metallisation layer is virtually invisible due to the similar contrast of Cu and Ni. The micrographs show pronouncedly different microstructures, i.e., different types of intermetallics as well as a different spatial distribution of the intermetallics and of the porosity in the solder layer.

The thermal conductivity of the employed substrates or components thus has a pronounced effect on the microstructure of the joining zone obtained upon reactive joining, and accordingly also on the joint quality: the time-temperature-profile developing within the joining zone as a function of the
thermal conductivity defines the formation, amount and spatial distribution of intermetallics within
the joining zone, which again define the mechanical performance of the joint. Optimum reactive joining
finally requires adjustment of two factors: first, balancing of heat production and heat dissipation (for
given joining components conveniently done by adjustment of RF type and thickness), and second,
careful adjustment of the joining system by deliberate selection of solder type and thickness, surface
metallisations and RF coatings for in situ alloy formation. In particular, the in situ alloying, here
observed for the Cu-Ag-In-coating of the commercial RFs, presents a promising route to tailor the joint
microstructure for optimum performance.

Figure 5. Shear strength measured for the different substrate materials represented as Tukey-type
box plots. (*) For borosilicate glass (BS) and Si, the shear strength is defined by the failure of the
substrate and not of the bond; red: median value, blue: lower (25%) and upper (75%) quartile; whiskers:
maximum 1.5 inter-quartile range, red cross: outlier.

4. Conclusions

• The influence of the thermal conductivity on the evolution of the joint microstructure upon reactive
joining was systematically analysed for four substrate materials with thermal conductivities
ranging from very low to very high (i.e., borosilicate glass, Al₂O₃, Si and Cu).
• Pronounced differences in formation of intermetallic phases, their amount and their spatial
distribution within the joining zone, as well as in the spatial distribution of porosity were observed,
reflecting the specific time-temperature-profiles developing for the different substrates upon
reactive joining.
• The differences in the joint microstructure could be directly related to the performance upon
mechanical testing. Optimum mechanical performance was found for a homogeneous distribution
of intermetallics within the soldering zone and formation of moderate amounts of intermetallics
along the metallisation–solder interface, as obtained in case of Al₂O₃.
• Detailed understanding of the interplay between the time-temperature-profile on the one hand,
defined by the properties of the RF and the thermal properties of the joining components, and the
joining system of solder, surface metallisations and additional coatings for in situ alloying of the
solder on the other hand, allows tailoring of an optimum microstructure of the joint.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, B.R., I.S., A.S., S.K., L.P.H.J. and J.J.-R.; Investigation, B.R., I.S., A.S.
and R.F.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, B.R.; Writing—Review and Editing, B.R., I.S., A.S., R.F., S.K., L.P.H.J.
and J.J.-R. Project Administration, S.K. and J.J.-R.; Funding Acquisition, S.K., L.P.H.J. and J.J.-R.

Funding: This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, the European Union and the
European Regional Development Fund within the Interreg “Alpenrhein Bodensee Hochrhein” program, under
Grant ABH028 “Schonendes reaktives Fügen von Mikrosystemen”.

Acknowledgments: Tobias Burgdorf (Empa) is gratefully acknowledged for preparation of the cross-sections.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
Appendix A

Figure A1. Experimental devices used for reactive joining: (a) custom-made apparatus with adjustable weights for uniaxial pressure application (suitable for performing joining experiments in a vacuum chamber, see [10]). The substrates and foils are aligned with masks; (b) flip-chip bonder for precise specimen assembly and uniaxial pressure application; (c) close-up photograph showing the assembled specimen on the flip-chip bonder and the electrodes positioned on the RF for foil ignition. Both devices produced joints of the same quality. An example video for reactive joining using a manual flip-chip bonder is online available under [30].

Figure A2. Experimental devices used for shear testing: (a) conventional shear tester and (b) test principle in (a) (sample holder not shown); (c) tensile tester (in compression mode) modified for shear testing; (d) schematic cross-section of the sledge and specimen in (c).

References
1. Wang, J.; Besnoin, E.; Duckham, A.; Spey, S.J.; Reiss, M.E.; Knio, O.M.; Weihs, T.P. Joining of Stainless-Steel Specimens with Nanostructured Al/Ni Foils. J. Appl. Phys. 2004, 95, 248–256. [CrossRef]
2. Duckham, A.; Spey, S.J.; Wang, J.; Reiss, M.E.; Weihs, T.P.; Besnoin, E.; Knio, O.M. Reactive Nanostructured Foil Used as a Heat Source for Joining Titanium. J. Appl. Phys. 2004, 96, 2336–2342. [CrossRef]
3. Braeuer, J.; Besser, J.; Tomoscheit, E.; Klimm, D.; Anbumani, S.; Wiemer, M.; Gessner, T. Investigation of Different Nano Scale Energetic Material Systems for Reactive Wafer Bonding. ECS Trans. 2013, 50, 241–251. [CrossRef]
4. Boettge, B.; Braeuer, J.; Wiemer, M.; Petzold, M.; Bagdahn, J.; Gessner, T. Fabrication and Characterization of Reactive Nanoscale Multilayer Systems for Low-Temperature Bonding in Microsystem Technology. J. Micromech. Microeng. 2010, 20, 064018. [CrossRef]
5. Xun, Y.; Van Heerden, D.; Curran, M.A.; Weihs, T.P. Gasketless Low Temperature Hermetic Sealing with Solder. U.S. Patent Application 0024416, 3 February 2011.
6. Naundorf, J.; Wulkesch, H. Method for Producing a Hermetically Sealed, Electrical Feedthrough Using Exothermic Nanofilm. U.S. Patent 8,227,297, 24 July 2012.
7. Duckham, A.; Levin, J.; Weihs, T.P. Soldering and Brazing Metals to Ceramics at Room Temperature Using a Novel Nanotechnology. Adv. Sci. Technol. 2006, 45, 1578–1587. [CrossRef]
8. Longtin, R.; Hack, E.; Neuenischwander, J.; Janczak-Rusch, J. Benign Joining of Ultrafine Grained Aerospace Aluminum Alloys Using Nanotechnology. Adv. Mater. 2011, 23, 5812–5816. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
9. Hooper, R.J.; Davis, C.G.; Johns, P.M.; Adams, D.P.; Hirschfeld, D.; Nino, J.C.; Manuel, M.V. Prediction and Characterization of Heat-Affected Zone Formation in Tin-Bismuth Alloys due to Nickel-Aluminum Multilayer Foil Reaction. J. Appl. Phys. 2015, 117, 245104. [CrossRef]
10. Rheingans, B.; Furrer, R.; Neuenschwander, J.; Spies, I.; Schumacher, A.; Knappmann, S.; Jeurgens, L.P.H.; Janczak-Rusch, J. Reactive Joining of Thermally and Mechanically Sensitive Materials. J. Electron. Packag. 2018, 140, 041006. [CrossRef]

11. Wang, J.; Besnoin, E.; Knio, O.M.; Weihs, T.P. Effects of Physical Properties of Components on Reactive Nanolayer Joining. J. Appl. Phys. 2005, 97, 114307. [CrossRef]

12. Miyamoto, I.; Knorovsky, G.A. Chapter 14: Laser microwelding. In Microjoining and Nanojoining; Zhou, Y.N., Ed.; Woodhead Pub Ltd.: Abington Hall, Abington Cambridge, UK, 2008; pp. 345–417.

13. Knorovsky, G.A.; Dorfmüller, T.; Dillthey, U.; Woeste, K. Chapter 15: Electron beam microwelding. In Microjoining and Nanojoining; Zhou, Y.N., Ed.; Woodhead Pub Ltd.: Abington Hall, Abington Cambridge, UK, 2008; pp. 418–472.

14. Alawieh, L.; Knio, O.M.; Weihs, T.P. Effect of Thermal Properties on Self-propagating Fronts in Reactive Nanolaminates. J. Appl. Phys. 2011, 110, 013509. [CrossRef]

15. Masser, R.; Braeuer, J.; Gessner, T. Modelling the Reaction Behavior in Reactive Multilayer Systems on Substrates Used for Wafer Bonding. J. Appl. Phys. 2014, 115, 244311. [CrossRef]

16. SCHOTT Technical Glass Solutions GmbH. BOROFLOAT® 33—Thermal Properties; SCHOTT Technical Glass Solutions GmbH: Jena, Germany, 2014.

17. Kyocera. Fine Ceramics for Electronics, Technical Datasheet; Kyocera: Kyoto, Japan, 2018.

18. Ruf, T.; Henn, R.W.; Asen-Palmer, M.; Gmelin, E.; Cardona, M.; Pohl, H.J.; Devyatych, G.G.; Sennikov, P.G. Thermal Conductivity of Isotopically Enriched Silicon. Solid State Commun. 2000, 115, 243–247. [CrossRef]

19. Madelung, O.; White, G.K. (Eds.) Thermal Conductivity of Pure Metals and Alloys. In Thermal Conductivity of Pure Metals and Alloys; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1991; pp. 118–125.

20. Chase, M.W.J.; Davies, C.A.; Downey, J.R.J.; Frurip, D.J.; McDonald, R.A.; Syverud, A.N. JANAF Thermochemical Tables, 3rd ed.; Supplement No. 1; The American Chemical Society and American Institute of Physics for the National Bureau of Standards: Washington, DC, USA; Gaithersburg, MD, USA, 1985; Volume 14.

21. Schmetterer, C.; Flandorfer, H.; Ipser, H. Phase Equilibria in the System Ag-Ni-Sn: Thermal Behavior. Acta Mater. 2008, 56, 155–164. [CrossRef]

22. Dong, H.Q.; Tao, X.M.; Laurila, T.; Vuorinen, V.; Paulasto-Kröckel, M. Thermodynamic Modeling of Au–Ce–Sn Ternary System. Calphad 2013, 42, 38–50. [CrossRef]

23. Spies, I.; Schumacher, A.; Knappmann, S.; Rheingans, B.; Janczak-Rusch, J.; Jeurgens, L.P.H. Acceleration Measurements during Reactive Bonding Processes. In Proceedings of the 21st European Microelectronics and Packaging Conference 2017, Warsaw, Poland, 10–13 September 2017.

24. Ho, C.E.; Tsai, R.Y.; Lin, Y.L.; Kao, C.R. Effect of Cu Concentration on the Reactions between Sn-Ag-Cu Solders and Ni. J. Electron. Mater. 2002, 31, 584–590. [CrossRef]

25. Ohnuma, I.; Miyashita, M.; Anzai, K.; Liu, X.J.; Ohtani, H.; Kainuma, R.; Ishida, K. Phase equilibria and the related properties of Sn-Ag-Cu based Pb-free solder alloys. J. Electron. Mater. 2000, 29, 1137–1144. [CrossRef]

26. Schmetterer, C.; Flandorfer, H.; Richter, K.W.; Ipser, H. Phase equilibria in the Ag-Ni-Sn system: Isothermal sections. J. Electron. Mater. 2007, 36, 1415–1428. [CrossRef]

27. Ghosh, G.; Materials Science International Team (MSIT®). Cu-Ni-Sn Ternary Phase Diagram Evaluation - Phase Diagrams, Crystallographic and Thermodynamic Data: Datasheet from MSI Eureka in SpringerMaterials; MSI Materials Science International Services GmbH: Stuttgart, Germany, 2013.

28. Spies, I.; Schumacher, A.; Knappmann, S.; Dehé, A.; Rheingans, B.; Furrer, R.; Neuenschwander, J.; Janczak-Rusch, J.; Jeurgens, L.P.H. Reactive Joining of Sensitive Materials for MEMS Devices: Characterization of Joint Quality. In Proceedings of the Smart Systems Integration Conference 2018, Dresden, Germany, 11–12 April 2018.

29. Siewert, T.; Liu, S.; Smith, D.R.; Madeni, J.C. Database for Solder Properties with Emphasis on New Lead-Free Solders: Properties of Lead-Free Solders, Release 4.0; National Institute of Standards and Technology & Colorado School of Mines: Gaithersburg, MD, USA; Golden, CO, USA, 2002.

30. Video: New Precision in Joining with Reactive Films. Available online: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=164f3JR3piQ (accessed on 20 December 2018).