Efficacy of Humanized Anti-BCMA CAR T Cell Therapy in Relapsed/Refractory Multiple Myeloma Patients With and Without Extramedullary Disease
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In recent years, many new treatments for relapsed/refractory (R/R) multiple myeloma (MM) have improved patient prognosis, but the prognosis of patients with extramedullary MM is still particularly poor. Therefore, more efficacious therapies and novel strategies are urgently needed for these patients. The aim of this study was to observe and compare the efficacy and safety of humanized anti-B cell maturation antigen (anti-BCMA) chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy in R/R MM patients with and without extramedullary disease. Seven R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease and 13 without extramedullary disease received humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy. The overall response rate was not different between patients with and without extramedullary disease. There was no difference in the progression-free survival (PFS) or overall survival (OS) rates between the two groups at 180 days, but the PFS and OS rates in patients with extramedullary disease were lower at 360 days than those in patients without extramedullary disease. Although some patients with extramedullary disease experienced further disease progression, their M protein level did not increase. We did not see this change trend of M protein in patients without extramedullary disease. However, this was not observed in patients without extramedullary disease. Among patients who responded to CAR T cell therapy, the grades of cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and immune effector cell-associated neurotoxic syndrome (ICANS) were much higher among patients with extramedullary disease. In summary, R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease could benefit from humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy in the short term, although the CRS and ICANS grades were much higher in patients with
INTRODUCTION

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a malignant disease characterized by monoclonal proliferation of bone marrow (BM) plasma cells. Although effective treatment, such as proteasome inhibitors, immunomodulatory agents, monoclonal antibodies, and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (auto-HSCT), can significantly improve patient prognosis (1–4), the prognosis of patients with relapsed/refractory (R/R) MM is poor (5, 6). Extramedullary MM (EMM) is a rare type of MM in which MM cells escape the BM, become independent of the BM microenvironment, and invade other organs, resulting in extramedullary disease. Any organ can be infiltrated, including the skin, soft tissues, liver, spleen, kidney, lymph nodes, breast, pleura, and central nervous system (7, 8). The molecular mechanisms underlying EMM development have not yet been defined. The prognosis of EMM is different from that of MM without extramedullary disease (9). EMM is associated with an increased risk and poor prognosis, and many treatments, including ASCT, have failed to improve patient outcomes in most studies (10). In recent years, many new treatments for R/R MM have improved patient prognosis, but the prognosis of patients with EMM is still particularly poor (11–15). Therefore, more efficacious therapies and novel strategies are urgently needed for EMM.

Anti-B cell maturation antigen (anti-BCMA) is specifically expressed in the cells of almost all MM patients (16). Anti-BCMA chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy had satisfactory efficacy and prolonged the survival time of R/R MM patients in early clinical trials (17–20). However, there are limited data on anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy in patients with EMM. Whether anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy could improve the outcomes of R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease needs to be further explored.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Twenty R/R MM patients were enrolled in a clinical trial of humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy (ChiCTR1800017051 and ChiCTR2000033925) between January 2019 and October 2020. These 20 R/R MM patients included seven patients with at least one assessable extramedullary lesion and 13 patients without any assessable extramedullary disease. The extramedullary disease in the seven patients was classified as either extramedullary-extraosseous (EM-E) or extramedullary-bone related (EM-B). None of the seven patients had solitary extramedullary/bone plasmacytoma.

Preparation and Administration of Humanized Anti-BCMA CAR T Cell Therapy

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were collected from R/R MM patients and isolated by Ficoll density gradient centrifugation. CD3+ T cells (3 × 10^6) were transduced with lentiviral supernatant from 293T cells transfected with anti-BCMA CAR plasmid (20 µg, lenti-BCMA-2rd-CAR, Shanghai Genbase Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China) at a multiplicity of infection of 0.5 and cultured in media containing IL-2 (250 U/ml) and interferon-α (250 U/ml). On the 12th to 15th days of cultivation, transduction efficiency of anti-BCMA CAR were analyzed by flow cytometry (FCM) (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

All patients received lymphodepleting chemotherapy with fludarabine (30 mg/m²) and cyclophosphamide (400 mg/m²) from day −4 to day −2. Autologous anti-BCMA CAR T cells were infused on day 0 (2 × 10^6 cells/kg) in all patients.

Evaluation Criteria for Diagnostic and Therapeutic Efficacy

The diagnosis of EMM, clinical response, and disease progression after humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy were assessed according to the International Myeloma Working Group Guidelines uniform response criteria for MM (21). Follow-up was performed from the date of humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cell infusion until the cutoff date or until the patient died. The clinical responses included stringent complete response (sCR), complete response (CR), very good partial response (VGPR), partial response (PR), minimal response, stable disease (SD), and progressive disease. In our study, we assessed the objective response rate (ORR), overall survival (OS), and progression-free survival (PFS). The ORR was defined as the proportion of patients who achieved sCR, CR, VGPR, or PR.

Assessable extramedullary disease was detected using computed tomography. MM cells in the pleural effusion and...
were 7.3 months (range, 2–9.57 ± 3.08 months. All the patients had BCMA expression in extramedullary disease to enrolment in this clinical trial. So they were enrolled in this humanized anti-BCMA CAR-T cell therapy. These two patients received murine anti-BCMA CAR-T cell therapy. The cytokine release syndrome (CRS) grade was determined according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v4.03 (22). Immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS) was used to assess neurotoxicity (23). Cytokines, including IL-6, IL-2R, and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) were assessed on days 0, 7, 14, 28, and 60 by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

Statistical Analysis
Data are expressed as the mean ± SE. The probabilities of PFS and OS were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared with the log-rank test. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8 and SPSS 17.0. Statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \).

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics
The characteristics of all R/R MM patients enrolled in this clinical trial are listed in Table 1. BCMA was expressed on MM cells in all the R/R MM patients when they were enrolled in our study (Table 1). The expressions of BCMA in MM cells by FCM at the time of enrollment are shown in Supplementary Figure 1. The only difference between the two groups was the presence of extramedullary disease (Table 2). In our study, two patients in the EMM group had prior anti-BCMA CAR-T cell therapy. These two patients received murine anti-BCMA CAR-T cell therapy before, but they did not benefit from this time treatment. So they were enrolled in this humanized anti-BCMA CAR-T cell therapy. In the seven R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease, the mean time from diagnosis of extramedullary disease to enrolment in this clinical trial was 9.57 ± 3.08 months. All the patients had BCMA expression in MM cells at the time of enrolment. The median follow-up times were 7.3 months (range, 2–12 months) in patients with extramedullary disease and 12.5 months (3–27 months) in patients without extramedullary disease.

Transduction Efficiency, Amplification Efficiency, and Infusion Dose of Humanized Anti-BCMA CAR T Cells
The median humanized anti-BCMA CAR transduction efficiencies were 38.74 ± 9.18% in the extramedullary disease group and 42.26 ± 10.41% in the no extramedullary disease group (\( P = 0.826 \)). After 12 to 15 days of culture, the median humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cell numbers were 5.87 ± 2.77 \( \times 10^6 \) cells/kg and 5.01 ± 1.94 \( \times 10^6 \) cells/kg in the extramedullary disease and no extramedullary disease groups, respectively (\( P = 0.799 \)). The patients received anti-BCMA CAR T cell doses of 2.21 ± 0.39 \( \times 10^6 \) cells/kg and 2.06 ± 0.44 \( \times 10^6 \) cells/kg, respectively, on day 0 (\( P = 0.825 \)). Manufactured products and phenotypical analysis of the final formulation per patient are in Supplementary Table 1.

Clinical Response and Survival
The median response times to achieve the best effect were 2.7 months (1–6 months) in the extramedullary disease group and 2.3 months (1–4 months) in the no extramedullary disease group. There was no difference in the median response time between the two groups (\( P = 0.967 \)). Five patients in the extramedullary disease group (Ptwith1, 2, 5, 6, and 7) (71.4%) experienced further disease progression and died of their primary disease. Only three patients in the no extramedullary disease group (Ptwithout 4, 6, and 8) (3/13, 23.1%) experienced disease progression and died of their primary disease. Ptwithout 2 also experienced further disease progression, but he was still alive at the cutoff date (Figure 1A). When the disease progress again in all the patients, the anti-BCMA expressions in myeloma cells was positive in Ptwith 2,5,7 and Ptwithout 2,4, whereas it was negative in Ptwith 1,6 and Ptwithout 6,8.

After this anti-BCMA CAR-T cell therapy, the ORR in all the R/R MM patients was 80% (16/20). In all the seven patients with extramedullary disease, five patients had an ORR reaction to this therapy (71.43%, 5/7). Of the seven patients with extramedullary disease, five responded to CAR T cell therapy, including three patients who achieved sCR/CR, one patient who achieved VGPR, and one patient who achieved PR. The other two patients achieved SD only. In the patients without extramedullary disease, 11 patients had an ORR reaction to this therapy (84.61%, 11/13). Of the patients without extramedullary disease, 11 patients responded to CAR T cell therapy, including six patients who achieved sCR/CR, two patients who achieved VGPR, and three patients who achieved PR. The other two patients achieved SD only (Figure 1B). There was no difference in the ORR between patients with and without extramedullary disease when they achieved the best effect (\( P = 0.876 \)). Only one patient without extramedullary disease (Ptwithout 11) received auto-HSCT 3 months after anti-BCMA CAR T-cell therapy.

The M protein levels in the peripheral blood were assessed following anti-BCMA CAR T-cell infusion. In the seven patients with extramedullary disease, the level of M protein increased again in Ptwith 5 and 7 after disease progression (Figures 1C, D). However, there was no increase in the M protein levels of Ptwith 1 and 2 after disease progression. All patients without extramedullary disease who experienced disease progression had an increase in M protein levels (Figure 1D). We also assessed the anti-BCMA expression in MM cells among patients with disease progression. We observed anti-BCMA expressions in myeloma cells among patients with disease progression.
## TABLE 1: Baseline characteristics of the R/R multiple myeloma patients with or without extramedullary disease.

| Pt | Sex | Age (years) | KPS | Subtype | ISS stage | MM cells in the BM, % | Bone lesions | Extramedullary disease | Time to diagnosis of extramedullary disease (months) | High-risk cytogenetic lesions | Lines of prior therapy | Previous BCMA CAR T cell therapy (Murine BCMA CAR T) | Previous auto-HSCT | BCMA expression in MM cells (%) |
|----|-----|-------------|-----|---------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
|    |     |             |     |         |           |                       |             |                        |                                               |                               |                     |                                  |                 |                             |
|    |     |             |     |         |           |                       |             |                        |                                               |                               |                     |                                  |                 |                             |
| 1  | Male | 57          | 90  | v light chain | III        | 86.0%                 | Yes         | EM-B/EM-E              | 5                                             | t(4;14), t(14;16), Del(17p)         | No                  | Yes                           | 92.53           |                             |
| 2  | Female | 71          | 100 | IgG-κ       | II         | 55.3%                 | Yes         | EM-B/EM-E              | 14                                            | Del(17p), t(4;14)                  | Yes                | No                            | 94.39           |                             |
| 3  | Male | 59          | 100 | v light chain | III        | 17.0%                 | Yes         | EM-B/EM-E              | 9                                             | t(14,16)                        | Yes                | No                            | 81.82           |                             |
| 4  | Male | 73          | 90  | IgG-λ       | II         | 23.04%                | Yes         | EM-E                   | 3                                             | None                          | No                  | No                             | 94.39           |                             |
| 5  | Female | 38          | 90  | v light chain | II         | 70.44%                | Yes         | EM-B/EM-E              | 6                                             | None                          | No                  | Yes                           | 93.18           |                             |
| 6  | Male | 56          | 80  | IgG-κ       | II         | 32.26%                | Yes         | EM-B/EM-E              | 5                                             | None                          | No                  | No                            | 80.26           |                             |
| 7  | Female | 58          | 90  | IgG-λ       | III        | 88.12%                | Yes         | EM-E                   | 12                                            | None                          | No                  | No                            | 93.68           |                             |
|    |     |             |     |         |           |                       |             |                        |                                               |                               |                     |                                  |                 |                             |
| 1  | Female | 70          | 100 | IgG-κ       | II         | 32.56%                | Yes         | -                      | -                                             | Del(17p), t(4;14)                  | No                  | No                            | 94.76           |                             |
| 2  | Male | 58          | 100 | v light chain | III        | 41.75%                | Yes         | -                      | -                                             | t(14;16)                       | No                  | No                            | 92.57           |                             |
| 3  | Male | 63          | 90  | IgG-κ       | II         | 36.62%                | Yes         | -                      | -                                             | t(14;16)                       | No                  | No                            | 97.84           |                             |
| 4  | Male | 66          | 100 | IgA-κ       | II         | 19.2%                 | Yes         | -                      | -                                             | t(14;16), Del(17p)               | No                  | No                            | 90.99           |                             |
| 5  | Female | 55          | 90  | IgG-λ       | II         | 44.28%                | No          | -                      | -                                             | None                          | No                  | No                            | 90.41           |                             |
| 6  | Female | 52          | 90  | IgA-λ       | II         | 20.72%                | Yes         | -                      | -                                             | None                          | No                  | No                            | 82.93           |                             |
| 7  | Female | 72          | 100 | Nonsecretory | II         | 28.98%                | Yes         | -                      | -                                             | None                          | No                  | No                            | 95.70           |                             |
| 8  | Female | 77          | 90  | IgG-κ       | III        | 66.8%                 | Yes         | -                      | -                                             | None                          | No                  | No                            | 97.06           |                             |
| 9  | Female | 42          | 100 | IgG-κ       | III        | 22.14%                | Yes         | -                      | -                                             | t(14;16)                       | No                  | No                            | 96.24           |                             |
| 10 | Female | 45          | 90  | IgG-κ       | I          | 19.44%                | Yes         | -                      | -                                             | None                          | No                  | No                            | 82.73           |                             |
| 11 | Female | 46          | 90  | IgG-κ       | III        | 22.23%                | Yes         | -                      | -                                             | None                          | No                  | No                            | 86.83           |                             |
| 12 | Male | 54          | 90  | IgG-κ       | II         | 17.5%                 | Yes         | -                      | -                                             | None                          | No                  | No                            | 92.31           |                             |
| 13 | Male | 54          | 80  | IgG-λ       | II         | 14.8%                 | Yes         | -                      | -                                             | t(14;16)                       | No                  | Yes                           | 88.16           |                             |

Pt: Patient; KPS: Karnofsky performance scale; ISS: international staging system; MM: multiple myeloma; BM: bone marrow; BMCA: B cell maturation antigen; CAR: chimeric antigen receptor; auto-HSCT: autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation; EM-B: extramedullary-bone related; EM-E: extramedullary-extraosseous.

Extramedullary-extraosseous (EM-E): Hematogenous dissemination leads to soft tissue tumors at the anatomical site far from the bone.

Extramedullary-bone related (EM-B): Breaks through the bone cortex and only invade the surrounding soft tissues.
expression in the MM cells of Pt\textsubscript{with} 2, 5, and 7 and Pt\textsubscript{without} 2 and 4 but not those of Pt\textsubscript{with} 1 and 6 and Pt\textsubscript{without} 6 and 8.

Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that there was no difference in the PFS rate between patients with extramedullary disease (42.9%) and those without extramedullary disease (84.6%) at 180 days ($P = 0.068$). However, the PFS rate of patients with extramedullary disease (28.6%) was lower than that of patients without extramedullary disease (72.5%) at 360 days ($P = 0.037$) (Figure 2A). There was no difference in the OS rate between patients with extramedullary disease (71.5%) and those without extramedullary disease (92.3%) at 180 days ($P = 0.220$). However, the OS rate of patients with extramedullary disease (28.6%) was lower than that of patients without extramedullary disease (81.0%) at 360 days ($P = 0.030$) (Figure 2B).

In our study, the mean OS among patients with extramedullary disease was 17.29 ± 4.95 months.

### Efficacy of Humanized Anti-BCMA CAR T Cell Therapy in Patients With EMM

We evaluated the efficacy of humanized anti-BCMA CAR T-cell therapy in the seven patients with extramedullary disease, including the efficacy for extramedullary lesions. At the beginning of the study, Pt\textsubscript{with} 4 had skin lesions with no extramedullary bone-related disease in the adjacent bone, Pt\textsubscript{with} 7 had myeloma cells infiltrating the pleural effusion and central nervous system MM, and Pt\textsubscript{with} 5 had a number of extramedullary bone-related lesions. The four remaining patients all had at least one extramedullary soft tissue lesion far from the bone.

Although the M protein in the peripheral blood of Pt\textsubscript{with} 6 disappeared after treatment, as did myeloma cells in the BM, he did not achieve sCR/CR as of the cutoff date because he had extramedullary lesions that did not disappear after humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy. The skin lesion far from the bone of Pt\textsubscript{with} 4 disappeared after anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy. The myeloma cells in the cerebrospinal fluid of Pt\textsubscript{with} 7 disappeared after therapy. The soft tissue masses in the remaining four patients with extramedullary disease disappeared after anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy (Figure 3).

### The Proportions of Humanized Anti-BCMA CAR T Cells

The proportion of CAR T cells was assessed on days 0, 4, 7, 14, 28, and 60 post-infusion. There was no difference in the median expansion peak of the humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cells in the peripheral blood of patients with extramedullary disease (34.04 ± 23.93%) and those without extramedullary disease (25.80 ± 17.01%) ($P = 0.438$) (Figures 4A–D). The highest anti-BCMA CAR T cell expression in the peripheral blood occurred in Pt\textsubscript{with} 7 (62.4%), and the level of anti-BCMA CAR T cells in her pleural effusion was 24.03% (Figure 4E).

### AEs and Serum Levels

Pt\textsubscript{with} 7 was diagnosed with grade 4 CRS, Pt\textsubscript{with} 2 and 5 were diagnosed with grade 3 CRS, and the remaining patients in both groups were diagnosed with grades 0 to 2 CRS. Pt\textsubscript{with} 2 and 7 were diagnosed with grade 2 ICANS, Pt\textsubscript{with} 2 and Pt\textsubscript{without} 8 were diagnosed with grade 1 ICANS, and the remaining patients in both groups were diagnosed with grade 0 ICANS. The proportions of the grades of CRS and ICANS did not differ between the two groups ($P_{\text{CRS}} = 0.050$ and $P_{\text{ICANS}} = 0.050$) (Figures 5A, B). However, when including only patients who responded to CAR T cell therapy, the grades of CRS and ICANS in patients with extramedullary disease were significantly higher than those in patients without extramedullary disease ($P_{\text{CRS}} = 0.006$ and $P_{\text{ICANS}} = 0.033$) (Figures 5C, D). No patient died of any grade CRS or ICANS during therapy. CRS grade >3 was more frequent in patients with extramedullary disease than in those without extramedullary disease ($P = 0.031$) (Table 3).

The cytokine levels of IL-6, IL-2R, and TNF-α changes during humanized anti-BCMA CAR T-cell therapy (Figures 5E–G). In all of the patients, the serum levels of IL-6, IL-2R, and TNF-α peaked
4 to 7 days after the infusion of anti-BCMA CAR T cells and declined 12 to 21 days after infusion. The serum levels of IL-6 and IL-2R were higher in patients with extramedullary disease than in those without extramedullary disease \( (P_{IL-6} = 0.030 \text{ and } P_{IL-2R} = 0.038) \) (Figures 5H, I). However, there was no difference in the serum level of TNF-\( \alpha \) between patients with and without extramedullary disease \( (P_{TNF-\alpha} = 0.241) \) (Figure 5J).

Patients developed fever with or without chills, headache, fatigue, nausea, reduced appetite, edema, tachycardia, and other symptoms during humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy (Table 3). All of the patients recovered 14 to 28 days after the infusion of anti-BCMA CAR T cells. Patients also experienced grades 1 to 4 hematological toxicities in the course of anti-BCMA CAR T-cell therapy. These toxicities occurred 5 to 8 days after infusion of anti-BCMA CAR T cells, and patients recovered 14 to 60 days after infusion. The patients received tocilizumab, methylprednisolone, antipyretic drugs, and symptomatic treatment for AEs. Three patients with extramedullary disease and two patients without extramedullary disease who presented with grade 3 hematological toxicity were diagnosed with gram-negative bacterial infections, which were cured by anti-infective therapy. No patient was diagnosed with invasive fungal disease, and none of the patients died of infections.

**DISCUSSION**

Seven R/R MM patients with assessable extramedullary disease and 13 R/R MM patients without extramedullary disease were enrolled in this clinical trial of humanized anti-BCMA CAR T-
cell therapy. The process of observation after anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy was comprehensive rather than just observing the changes in M protein levels. Although the grades of CRS and ICANS were much higher in patients with extramedullary disease, the ORR was not different in patients with and without extramedullary disease, and there was no difference between the two groups in the PFS and OS rates at 180 days. These results suggest that R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease who respond to anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy can use this time as a bridge to other treatments, such as HSCT, radiotherapy, and other therapies.

MM is a malignant B-cell disease associated with organ damage, including renal failure, anemia, bone damage, and hypercalcemia (21, 24). EMM is defined as the proliferation of malignant plasma cells outside the BM. The EMM incidence is approximately 10% to 15% in all R/R MM patients (25, 26). Primary EMM occurs in approximately 4% to 16% of MM patients at diagnosis, and secondary EMM occurs in approximately 6% to 20% of MM patients during disease progression (27). There are two types of EMM. EM-E occurs when hematogenous dissemination leads to soft tissue tumors at a site far from the bone, and EM-B involves MM cells breaking through the bone cortex and invading only the surrounding soft tissues (9, 28–31). EM-E occurs in approximately 3% of MM patients who experience relapse, whereas EM-B occurs in 6%–34% of patients (30, 32). In our study, 7 of 20 R/R MM patients had at least one assessable extramedullary disease. Although in previous studies, the incidence of EM-B was higher than that of EM-E, the incidence of EM-E was higher in our study. This might be because some EM-B patients received radiotherapy and were ineligible for this humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cell clinical trial.

There are currently no guidelines regarding treatment for EMM. The outcomes of patients with EMM are different from those of MM without extramedullary disease, even those who receive the same therapy (9). Therefore, the prognosis of primary and secondary EMM is very poor (30). A study on the different prognoses of EMM patients showed that the OS of patients with EM-E (5 months) was poorer than that of patients with EM-B (12 months) (32). In another retrospective series on secondary EMM, the OS were 13.6 months for EM-E and 39.8 months for EM-B (33). In our study, the mean OS of patients with EMM was 17.29 ± 4.95 months. Whether humanized anti-BCMA CAR T-cell therapy has a better efficacy in R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease and prolongs the OS requires further exploration. A recent retrospective study showed that auto-HSCT imparted a survival benefit for patients with both EM-E and EM-B (33). The median PFS from diagnosis was 49 months in EMM patients who received auto-HSCT and 28.1 months in EMM patients who did not receive auto-HSCT. However, few other studies have shown that auto-HSCT could overcome the poor prognosis of EMM. Most studies have consistently shown that the prognosis for EMM is very poor, even among patients who undergo auto-HSCT (30, 34, 35). In our study, two patients (Pt with 1 and 5) who received auto-HSCT did not benefit from this therapy.

BCMA is a highly selective target for CAR T-cell therapy in patients with R/R MM (36). This therapy has emerged as a novel therapeutic approach with the potential for long-term disease control in R/R MM patients (17–20, 37). In particular, humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy, which has been approved by the FDA (37), had an ORR of 85% in 33 R/R MM patients. This included 15 patients (45%) who achieved CR. However, 6 of the 15 patients who had CR relapsed. Hematologic toxicity and CRS were the most common AEs. However, very few studies have focused on the evaluation of anti-BCMA CAR T-cell therapy in R/R MM patients with EM-E or EM-B. In Brudno’s study of anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy, one R/R MM patient with an abdominal mass was reported to be responsive to this therapy (38). Another study reported a clinical trial of a biepitope-targeting CAR against BCMA (LCAR-B38M), in which five R/R MM patients with extramedullary infiltration experienced tumor disappearance after CAR T cell therapy. One
FIGURE 3 | The efficacy to the extramedullary disease. The skin lesion far from bone of Pt with 4 disappeared after anti-BCMA CAR-T cell therapy. Myeloma cells in cerebrospinal fluid of Pt with 7 disappeared after therapy. Soft tissue masses disappeared after this therapy.
R/R MM patient with EM-B showed absence of extramedullary disease and malignant pleural effusion after anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy in another study (18).

Although there was no difference in the PFS and OS rates in R/R MM patients with and without extramedullary disease at 180 days, the PFS and OS rates in patients with extramedullary disease were lower than those in patients without extramedullary disease at 360 days. Therefore, the long-term efficacy of anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy in R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease remains unsatisfactory. It is whether these patients benefit from radiotherapy or HSCT before further disease progression. We need to explore this issue further.

Another interesting finding is that some patients with extramedullary disease experienced further disease progression, but the level of M protein did not increase. However, this phenomenon was not observed in R/R MM patients without extramedullary disease. In the process of observation after their anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy, the examination to R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease should be comprehensive, rather than just observing the changes of M protein levels.

CAR T cell therapy can produce potentially life-threatening toxicities, such as CRS and neurotoxicity (23, 39–41). Close monitoring of the side effects of CAR T cell therapy can help control such complications with prompt and appropriate treatment (42–46). Although there was no difference in the median expansion peak of the humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cells in the two patient groups in our study, the grades of CRS and ICANS in patients with extramedullary disease were higher.
FIGURE 5 | AEs and the serum levels in the anti-BCMA-CAR T cell therapy. (A, B) The grades of CRS and ICANS had no different in patients with and without extramedullary disease ($P_{\text{CRS}} = 0.050$ and $P_{\text{ICANS}} = 0.050$). (C, D) In patients obtained an ORR reaction, the grades of CRS and ICANS in patients with extramedullary disease was higher than that of in patients without extramedullary disease ($P_{\text{CRS}} =0.006$ and $P_{\text{ICANS}} = 0.033$). (E–G) The serum levels of IL-6, IL-2R, and TNF-α peaked 4 to 7 days after the infusion of anti-BCMA CAR T cells and declined 12 to 21 days. (H, I) IL-6 and IL-2R levels were higher in patients with extramedullary disease group than that of in patients without extramedullary disease group ($P_{\text{IL-6}} = 0.030$ and $P_{\text{IL-2R}} = 0.038$). (J) There was no different in the TNF-α level between the two groups ($P_{\text{TNF-α}} = 0.241$).

TABLE 3 | Adverse events in the anti-BCMA-CAR T cell therapy.

|                      | With extramedullary disease (n=7) | Without extramedullary disease (n=13) | $P$ values |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| **CRS**              |                                   |                                       |           |
| Grade 0-2            | 4 (57.1%)                         | 13 (100.0%)                           | $P=0.031$ |
| Grade ≥3             | 3 (42.9%)                         | 0 (%)                                 |           |
| **ICANS**            |                                   |                                       |           |
| Grade 0-2            | 7 (100.0%)                        | 13 (100.0%)                           |           |
| Grade ≥3             | 0                                 | 0 (%)                                 |           |
| **Coagulopathy**     |                                   |                                       |           |
| Grade 0-2            | 5 (71.4%)                         | 12 (92.3%)                            |           |
| Grade ≥3             | 2 (28.6%)                         | 1 (7.6%)                              | $P=0.270$ |
| **Gastrointestinal** |                                   |                                       |           |
| Grade 0-2            | 6 (85.7%)                         | 13 (100.0%)                           |           |
| Grade ≥3             | 1 (14.3%)                         | 0 (%)                                 |           |
| **Creatinine increased** |                                |                                       |           |
| Grade 0-2            | 7 (100.0%)                        | 13 (100.0%)                           |           |
| Grade ≥3             | 0                                 | 0 (%)                                 |           |
| **Transaminase increases** |                            |                                       |           |
| Grade 0-2            | 5 (71.4%)                         | 13 (100.0%)                           |           |
| Grade ≥3             | 2 (28.6%)                         | 0 (%)                                 |           |

(Continued)
than those in patients without extramedullary disease among patients who responded to therapy. Further, the serum IL-6 was much higher in patients with extramedullary disease. These results might be related to the tumor burden in patients with extramedullary disease. Therefore, attention should be paid to AEs in R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease receiving anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy.

The efficacy and safety of humanized anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy in R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease needs to be explored in the future. In particular, anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy is used in combination with additional therapies to improve efficacy. We might be able to improve the outcomes of R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease who respond to anti-BCMA CAR T cell therapy by bridging to transplantation or radiotherapy before further disease progression.

**CONCLUSION**

The monitoring to R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease after the anti-BCMA CAR-T cell therapy should be comprehensive. Although the grades of CRS and ICANS were much higher in patients with extramedullary disease, the ORR was not different in patients with or without extramedullary disease, and there was no difference in the PFS and OS rates between the two groups at 180 days. These results indicate an opportunity for R/R MM patients with extramedullary disease who respond to anti-BCMA CAR T-cell therapy to bridge to other treatments.
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