Pediatric Inflammatory Multisystem Syndrome and Rheumatic Diseases During SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic
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Globally, the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), appeared to have a milder clinical course in children compared to adults. As severe forms of COVID-19 in adults included an aberrant systemic immune response, children with chronic systemic inflammatory diseases were cautiously followed. No evidence for a specific susceptibility was identified in this pediatric population. European and US Pediatricians started to notice cases of myocarditis, sharing some features with toxic shock syndrome, Kawasaki disease, and macrophage activation syndrome in otherwise healthy patients. Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C) and Pediatric Inflammatory Multisystem Syndrome (PIMS) have designated this new entity in the US and Europe, respectively. The spectrum of severity ranged from standard hospitalization to pediatric intensive care unit management. Most patients had a clinical history of exposure to COVID-19 patients and/or SARS-COV2 biological diagnosis. Clinical presentations include fever, cardiac involvement, gastro-intestinal symptoms, mucocutaneous manifestations, hematological features, or other organ dysfunctions. The temporal association between the pandemic peaks and outbreaks of PIMS seems to be in favor of a post-infectious, immune-mediated mechanism. Thus, SARS-CoV2 can rarely be associated with severe systemic inflammatory manifestations in previously healthy children differently from adults highlighting the specific need for COVID-19 research in the pediatric population.
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INTRODUCTION

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus type 2 (SARS-CoV-2) responsible for the Coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) pandemic has affected almost 50 million people leading to more than 1 million deaths around the world (1).

Originally, children were thought of having milder disease compared to adults (2–5). Besides, they were less affected overall with a percentage of 1.5% of cases occurring under 18 years old in early reports (5, 6). Recently updated national statistics in Canada, China, and Europe showed that, as of now, pediatric cases represent 2.1 to 8.4% of total confirmed COVID19
cases (7–9). Unlike adults, children did not develop a severe respiratory illness such as acute respiratory distress syndrome.

As reports underlined the magnitude of a cytokine storm in adults, patients receiving immunomodulatory treatment for either auto-inflammatory diseases, or autoimmune diseases received peculiar attention. Finally, until now, there have been no reports of concern for these patients and they did not show a higher risk for developing either COVID19 or its severe forms (10–12).

Against all odds, cases of hyperinflammatory syndromes emerged in otherwise healthy children. The first report came from intensive care physicians from the United Kingdom (UK). They described eight children aged from 4 to 14 years old with acute cardiac failure due to myocarditis in a general context of unrelenting fever, variable skin rash, conjunctivitis, distal edema, and generalized pain with substantial gastrointestinal symptoms. Even though all children tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 in bronchoalveolar wash or nasopharyngeal aspirates, they displayed important biological inflammatory markers and temporality with the COVID-19 pandemic, suggesting an association with SARS-CoV-2 (13).

The remarkable hyperinflammatory state of patients, as well as cardiac involvement, have initially, and no doubt improperly, brought this new disease to toxic shock syndrome, macrophage activation syndrome, and Kawasaki disease.

Since then, additional descriptive studies have offered a wider range of knowledge on the clinical heterogeneity of this new syndrome, now referred to as Pediatric Inflammatory Multisystem Syndrome (PIMS) or Multi Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C) (14–38). Herein, we will use the term Pediatric Inflammatory Multisystem Syndrome (PIMS).

In addition, these forms with late revelation have boosted the scientific interest already greatly accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, trying to better understand how and why the human immune system can react in various ways to the entry of a pathogen. We will describe the clinical presentation of patients with PIMS, with a highlight on cardiac dysfunction, and discuss the different treatment regimen they received. We will also discuss different pathophysiological hypotheses for PIMS and, finally, the specificity of patients with rheumatological diseases.

**CLINICAL PRESENTATION OF PIMS**

**Main Symptoms**

PIMS symptoms are diverse and non-specific. They commonly include persistent fever, mucocutaneous involvement (hands and feet oedema, conjunctivitis, swollen and cracked red lips, rash), cardiac dysfunction (myocarditis, electric abnormalities, valvular dysfunction, shock, coronary aneurysms or dilatation), gastrointestinal symptoms, and lymphadenopathy (13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 28, 33, 34, 39).

Since the first alert by the UK and initial descriptions around the world, different clinical classifications have been proposed by the World Health Organization, the UK Royal College of Pediatrics and Child Health, the French “COVID pediatric inflammation” consortium, and the US Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (Appendix 1) (15, 40–42). Heart involvement was at the forefront in early descriptions. Cardiac shock was present in all of the eight children in the initial description (13) and was present in 80% of the 35 patients described by Belhadjer et al. (19). As more cases are reported, and inclusion criteria vary, heart involvement is no longer so predominant but remains a risk. In the study by Feldstein et al. with 186 patients reported, the two main organs involved were the gastrointestinal system in 171 patients (92%) and the cardiovascular in 149 (80%). The three other principal organs involved were the hematological system in 142 (76%) patients, 137 (74%) patients had mucocutaneous symptoms, and finally, 131 (70%) patients had respiratory symptoms (43). Verdoni et al. described 10 patients with 6 of them having diarrhea and five having hypotension (20). In the UK, Whittaker and colleagues reported abdominal pain in 31/58 (53%) patients, diarrhea in 30 (52%), and vomiting in 26/58 (45%). Mucocutaneous involvement was found in 30/58 (52%) patients with rash, 26/58 (45%) with conjunctivitis, and 17/58 (29%) with mucous membrane changes (14).

Initially described as a life-threatening condition, more recent reports suggest a wider clinical spectrum. In a US epidemiological study report, 570 PIMS patients who met the CDC case definition for PIMS (or MIS-C) were included. A total of 203/570 (35.6%) of them had a clinical course consistent with early published PIMS reports, characterized predominantly by shock, cardiac dysfunction, and abdominal pain. The remaining 367 patients had milder manifestations that appeared to overlap with acute COVID-19 or shared characteristics of KD (44).

We discuss 7 studies with clinical data for patients with PIMS (see Table 1). We included studies with at least 10 patients described but did not include the studies by Feldstein and Dufort as they are US studies with US patients reported in the epidemiological study we just discussed. This table features clinical data for 733 patients. Some data are missing due to differences in definitions or report by the study.

As for other hyperinflammatory states, there are no specific diagnostic tests and diagnosis is made by an array of clinical signs and biological results.

**Cardiac Dysfunction**

Cardiac dysfunction appears the hallmark describing PIMS patients and includes coronary artery dilatation or aneurysms, myocarditis, left ventricular dysfunction, pericardial effusion, and even shock. Most of them were admitted to the ICU and required inotrope or vasoactive support (13, 19, 45, 46).

In their alert, Riphagen et al. described 8 patients, all requiring inotropic drugs (13). As they were recruited in the PICU, there is a bias but the patients of Belhadjer and colleagues had ejection...
| Study          | Number of patients | Age, median, (interquartile) | Male | Ethnicity | Organ involvement | Cardiovascular | Gastrointestinal | Respiratory | Renal | Other |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|-------|-------|
|               |                    |                              |      |           |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
| Godfred-clato | 570                | 8 (4-12)                     | 21   | Hispanic  |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 9.8 (5.7–14)                 | 16   | Black, non–hispanic |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 7.9 (3.7–16.6)               | 8    | White, non–hispanic |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 10 (4.7–12.5)                | 7    | Other     |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 7.3 (5.4–8.5)                | 7    | Multiple  |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 10 (NA)                      | 18   | Asian     |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 11 (31)                      | 4    | Unknown   |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
| Whittaker     | 10                 | 15 (94)                      | 18   | Hispanic  |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 9 (50)                       | 7    | Black, non–hispanic |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 6 (50)                       | 7    | White, non–hispanic |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 21 (70)                      | 18   | Other     |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 5 (70)                       | 18   | Multiple  |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 12 (29)                      | 14   | Asian     |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 21 (100)                     | 11   | Unknown   |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
| Toubiana      | 14/18              | 14/18                        | 14   | Hispanic  |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 18 (73.9)                    | 14   | Black, non–hispanic |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 12 (29)                      | 12   | White, non–hispanic |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 1 (3)                        | 1    | Other     |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 16 (13)                      | 16   | Multiple  |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 13 (31)                      | 13   | Asian     |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 6 (50)                       | 6    | Unknown   |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
| Verdoni       | 186                | 25 (43)                      | 9    | Hispanic  |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 6 (10)                       | 6    | Black, non–hispanic |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 12 (21)                      | 12   | White, non–hispanic |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 1 (5)                        | 1    | Other     |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 18 (31)                      | 18   | Multiple  |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 4 (10)                       | 4    | Asian     |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
| Belhadjer     | 8 (50)             | 21 (70)                      | 18   | Hispanic  |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 5 (70)                       | 5    | Black, non–hispanic |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 12 (29)                      | 12   | White, non–hispanic |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 21 (100)                     | 21   | Other     |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 5 (70)                       | 5    | Multiple  |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
| Webb          | 201                | 25 (43)                      | 9    | Hispanic  |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 6 (10)                       | 6    | Black, non–hispanic |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 12 (21)                      | 12   | White, non–hispanic |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 1 (5)                        | 1    | Other     |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 18 (31)                      | 18   | Multiple  |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 4 (10)                       | 4    | Asian     |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |
|               |                    | 10 (50)                      | 10   | Unknown   |                   |                |                  |             |       |       |

**TABLE 1 | Clinical features of PIMS patients.**

Review of 7 studies with at least 10 patients reported. Total of patients: 733.

- B-type Natriuretic Peptide
- Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome

Gray cells are data for which the study does not give information. Every cell is displayed with the number of patients and (percentage). Cells with no percentages are when not all the patients of the study had reported data. Organ involvement is not detailed due to differences of definition by each study. It was included when organ affection was described. Gray cells are for when data were not available. Blue and orange cells are for presentation.
fraction below 30% in 10/35 of patients, and between 30 and 50% in 25/35 patients (19). In their study of 21 patients, Toubiana et al. reported pericardial effusions occurring in almost half of their patients [10/21 (48%)] and pleural effusion in three (14%). Sixteen (76%) patients had myocarditis with a left ventricular ejection dysfunction under 60%. Out of these 16 patients, two displayed electric changes with an increased QT interval or diffuse ST-segment elevation.

Cardiovascular involvement is particularly important in these patients and regular evaluations with an electrocardiogram (ECG) and echocardiogram are necessary. The monitoring of cardiac enzymes is of great interest. Elevation of troponin, B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (proBNP) levels suggest myocardial damage and heart failure. In their study with 33 patients, Kaushik et al. reported elevated median levels of the three parameters with median levels of BNP at 388 pg/mL (N < 100 pg/mL), median NT-pro-BNP levels at 4,328 pg/mL (N < 450 pg/mL), and median troponin T levels at 0.08 ng/mL (N < 0.1 ng/mL) (32). Similar results were found in other studies without ICU recruitment. Whittaker et al. had a mean NT-proBNP level of 788 pg/mL (174–10,548) for a norm under 100, and a mean troponin level at 45 ng/mL (8–294) for a norm under 15. Feldstein et al. reported the same trend in their 186 patients with 94/128 (73%) patients who had elevated levels of BNP and 77/153 (50%) who had elevated troponin levels (43).

**BIOLOGICAL FINDINGS**

**Inflammatory Markers and Hematological Abnormalities**

Elevation of inflammatory markers is always present, such as erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP). Especially CRP with values between 20 mg/L and up to 200 mg/L (16, 17, 36).

Different markers of inflammation are also frequently elevated like D-dimer levels above 500 ng/mL, ferritin, but also procalcitonin levels with no bacterial infection associated, and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). Other common findings include lymphopenia, neutrophilia, thrombocytopenia, hyponatremia, or hypoalbuminemia (13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 34, 36, 45). Inflammatory cytokine levels are elevated as well. Interleukin 6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), or IL10 are often elevated (47). In the study by Toubiana et al. mean IL-6 levels were 170 pg/mL (4–1,366) (45). IL6 elevation is suggested to be associated with poorer outcomes in adult patients (47).

**SARS-CoV-2 Serology and PCR**

Biological evidence SARS-CoV-2 is not required to define PIMS. Most patients have negative PCR testing for SARS-CoV-2 but most of them have positive serology. Out of 99 US patients with PIMS, Dufort and colleagues reported an RT-PCR positivity in 50/98 patients (51%) but positive serology in 76/77 patients (99%) (17). A similar result was found in the English cohort of Whittaker et al. with only 15/56 (26%) patients positive for RT-PCR but 40/46 (83%) positive for SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody (14). In a French and Swiss study, Belhadjer et al. reported also nasopharyngeal swab PCR positive in 12 patients (34%), fecal PCR was positive in 2 patients (6%), but of 35 patients, 30 (86%) had positive antibody assays (19). Even if biological positivity for SARS-COV-2 and temporal association is not mandatory, as PIMS happens between 3 and 6 weeks after acute infection in an area where there was peak incidence, the time factor is also part of the diagnosis.

SARS-CoV-2 antibody detection can use either the spike protein (S) and/or the nucleocapsid protein (N) of the virus (48, 49). Their specificity and sensitivity can differ from one study to the other as can the type of assay used, either immunofluorescence or, more commonly, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (50, 51). The type of immunoglobulin studied is also of importance, as we know that IgM appear sooner than IgG and IgA, and affinity can vary (52–54). These parameters should be considered when interpreting serological results.

**MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS WITH PIMS**

PIMS is a new disease and its underlying mechanisms probably involve an excessive inflammatory response. As a new disease, its treatment sticks to clinical observation and similarities with other inflammatory syndromes.

**Supportive Care**

Originally described as a life-threatening condition, most patients were treated in the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) with a need for fluid expansion, inotropic drugs, and rarely mechanical circulatory assistance with veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (13, 19). With more patients being reported, the clinical spectrum appears to be wider and not always as worrisome as first thought (44).

There is no consensus on the specific and optimal treatment. Clinical studies are necessary to optimize management. Multi-organ dysfunctions are treated as they come but a specific treatment for the hyperinflammatory condition is not yet universal.

**Immunologic Treatment Approaches**

**Intravenous Immunoglobulins**

Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) have multiple immune effects. It confers passive immunity, anti-inflammatory effect, and immunomodulation. An IgG molecule executes its functions through humoral and cellular immunity. It can block immune complexes by binding to the Fcγ receptors, thus preventing the expansion of regulatory T lymphocytes, and also prevent cell-cell interactions by binding to cell-surface receptors (55). But IVIG shortages in supply are regularly happening and added to its cost, this might not make it available where and when it is needed (56).

As it was first recognized as a close-related syndrome to KD, PIMS was treated like KD (57). Most patients received IVIG as a first-line treatment, either 2 g/kg once or 1 g/kg twice in the first 24 h depending on fluid overload and renal function. A second dose was often given if an inflammatory state persisted, still in the hypothesis of a KD-related syndrome (13, 14, 16, 17, 21, 25, 27–29, 33, 36, 38, 45).
Corticosteroids
Corticosteroids are one of the most powerful anti-inflammatory drugs. They provide non-specific down-regulation of multiple pro-inflammatory cytokines like IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-17. This broad ability has proved useful in autoinflammatory disorders (58). They have been used for a long time and their complex mechanisms of action are, to this day, strikingly, not fully understood. Inhibition of the vastly expressed pro-inflammatory factor nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) is one of the ways (59). Corticosteroids are recommended in the hyperinflammatory state related to acute respiratory distress syndrome (60) and have been used in severe COVID-19 patients with severe pneumonia (61). Corticosteroids are widely used in inflammatory conditions. As PIMS have remarkably similar features to cytokine storm syndromes, treatments used in these conditions have been proposed (13, 14, 16, 17, 21, 28, 36, 38, 45, 62).

Biological Treatments
On the other side of wide non-specific downregulation, a more precise approach is to target inflammatory cytokines to reduce hyper inflammation in PIMS patients. The different biological agents used were mostly anti-cytokine treatment like Anakinra, an IL1 receptor antagonist or Tocilizumab, an anti-IL6, or scarcely infliximab, an anti-TNFα (17, 32, 43).

Anakinra
Interleukin (IL)-1α and IL-1β bind to the IL-1 receptor type 1 and triggers an inflammatory cascade. The IL-1 receptor is present in almost every cell and is a key component of the innate immune response (63). Anakinra is an IL-1 receptor antagonist with a strong anti-inflammatory effect currently effective in several auto-inflammatory diseases and possibly in macrophage activation syndrome. Anakinra has a good safety profile even in case of acute infection (64–66). In IVIG-resistant KD, it was without major adverse events, and it showed clinical efficiency by reducing fever, as well as biological efficiency in lowering markers of inflammation, and echocardiographic features like coronary artery dilatation (67). As an extrapolation to IVIG resistant KD, anakinra has been used in PIMS (14, 16, 21, 27, 28).

Tocilizumab
Tocilizumab, a humanized antihuman IL-6 receptor antibody that inhibits IL-6 activity, is already an effective treatment of juvenile idiopathic arthritis and other rheumatological diseases (68–70). As IL-6 levels were elevated in many patients with PIMS, a targeted approach was conducted in several patients. However, their number is limited and there are no control groups, therefore, we cannot draw any conclusion regarding the efficacy of tocilizumab in PIMS patients (14, 16, 17, 21, 27, 29, 38, 43).

A recent study by Guaraldi et al. has shown interesting results using tocilizumab in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. In a multicentre, open-label study, they included 544 patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. They compared two groups, one with standard care and one with tocilizumab either intravenous (IV) or subcutaneous (SC). Their primary outcome was a composite criterion of either death or invasive mechanical ventilation. In the standard care group, 57/365 (16%) patients needed mechanical ventilation compared with 33/179 (18%) patients treated with tocilizumab (p = 0.41). They had 73 (20%) patients in the standard care group who died, compared with 13 (7%) (p < 0.0001) patients treated with tocilizumab either IV or SC. After multivariate adjustment, they showed that tocilizumab treatment was associated with a reduction in their composite outcome: mechanical ventilation or death (71). Extrapolation of these results to PIMS patients is hazardous while these patients neither had severe pulmonary involvement requiring mechanical ventilation nor commonly died.

Anti-TNFα are used widely in auto-immune diseases and have been scarcely proposed in PIMS (17).

PATHOPHYSIOLOGICAL HYPOTHESES
The pathogenesis of COVID-19 is not completely understood and the difference in COVID19 severity between adults and children is probably multifactorial.

Epidemiologic Strong Points
The onset of PIMS in highly SARS-CoV2 infected regions happened between 3 and 6 weeks after COVID19 peaks, and since new COVID19 cases decreased, so have PIMS cases (15). Most patients were negative for RT-PCR testing but positive for serology testing. This time shift, coupled with the low positivity rate of direct testing, suggest a post-infectious mechanism rather than a direct viral strike. Children of African or Hispanic origin got PIMS more frequently and children of Asian origin appeared less affected. Six out of 8 patients were of African ancestry in the first report; in the Feldstein report only 19% were white non-Hispanic but 25% were black non-Hispanic and 31% were Hispanic or Latino. Dufort et al. reported 31/78 (40%) Black patients (13, 17, 43). Thus, genetic susceptibility may account for this ethnic overrepresentation in some patients.

Heterogeneity of the Immunologic Response
IFN Type I Response May Have a Critical Role
Some clues suggest that PIMS is not viral-mediated but more likely to be a delayed dysregulated immune response to SARS-CoV-2. The time delay between an epidemic peak in cases and flares of PIMS cases, as well as the low number of patients positive for SARS-CoV-2 with RT-PCR, coupled with a high proportion of patients who were antibody positive, are among them. But some intrinsic, immune-related factors might have a role.

Hadjadji et al. showed that, in adult patients with severe COVID-19 disease, an impaired IFN type 1 might be responsible for the severe clinical phenotype during primary infection. They compared 50 COVID-19 patients divided into three different severity groups (compared to healthy controls) and found that severe disease progression was associated with a lower Interferon Signaling Gene (ISG) score, an impaired IFN-α production, and
that it was associated with lower viral clearance (72). Trouillet-Assant and colleagues have described 26 patients with COVID-19 hospitalized in an intensive care unit (ICU) and measured their cytokine levels and IFN response. They showed that five of the patients who had no IFN-α production presented with poor evolution, as all five of them required mechanical ventilation and had a longer period in ICU (73). They did multiple time points and showed that following day 10, IL-6 stayed high whereas IFN-α went down. They concluded that this kinetics could underline that cytokine inhibitors might be used after the initial phase once IFN-α diminishes. The timing of the IFN response may be key to explaining severe COVID-19 pathogenesis.

On the other hand, outbreaks of chilblain-like lesions have been described in adolescents weeks after symptoms compatible with COVID19 (12–14). In the study by Landa et al. they described six patients with chilblain-like lesions, mostly without other coronavirus symptoms. Only very few of them had a cough, fever, or nasal congestion 3–4 weeks before presentation. Two had a positive PCR test weeks before. Mazzotta et al. reported a case of a 13 years old boy 6 weeks after the disease peak in Italy that presented with chilblain. Another Italian study reported 63 patients with chilblain-like lesions (74). Chilblain is also found in vasculitis and diseases presenting a pathological excess of interferon type 1 signaling like type 1 interferonopathies or systemic lupus (75). It could be that both phenotypes (severe pneumonia on one side, chilblain, and PIMS on the other side) are two ends of the spectrum with a deregulated response resulting in a severe onset in some individuals and a post-infectious inflammatory syndrome in others. Laboratory findings and more studies are needed to explore this hypothesis.

A delay in IFN response with a low viral clearance and a persistent and inadequate IFN response has already been hypothesized (76, 77). In this perspective, the study by Bronte et al. showing the use of baricitinib, a JAK1-2 inhibitor, blocking the JAK/STAT pathway is relevant (78). The STAT3 pathway is also relevant for the production of some cytokines like IL-6 and IL-10.

**Other Hypotheses**

In their study, Dioro et al. imply that PIMS and severe COVID-19 are two different entities, both caused by SARS-CoV-2. They analyzed 20 patients divided into three groups: 9 considered as “severe COVID-19” hospitalized in the pediatric ICU, 5 patients with “minimal COVID-19” with no respiratory support needed, among different criteria, and 6 patients with PIMS. They measured different cytokines levels to identify which ones could discriminate between the three conditions. They used a 10 cytokine panel (IFN-γ, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12P70, IL-13, and TNF-α) but excluded five that remained low in the three different groups (IL-1β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-12P70, and IL-13). They found that the sum of TNF-α and IL10 combined could distinguish patients with PIMS apart from the patients with severe COVID-19, as they had higher levels than severe COVID-19 patients (79).

Interferon gamma-induced protein 10 (IP-10) also has been suggested as part of the distinction for patients at risk. Also known as chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 10 (CXCL10), IP-10 secretion is mainly regulated by IFN-γ (80). In their study, Laing et al. showed that patients with elevated levels of IP-10, IL6, and IL10 on their first bleed were more at risk of a worsened condition in the 1st week than patients with normal or not so high elevation. IP-10 producing cells were depleted in these patients, and even though IP-10 levels had some correlation with IFN-γ and IFN-α levels, they suggested that IP-10 elevation might be caused by other virus-associated pathways (47). In a preprint, Yang et al. discussed the elevations of IP-10 in severe patients as well (81). The impact of IL10 and IP10 on PIMS patients requires further investigation.

A recent study reported a patient with haploinsufficiency in SOCS1 and autoimmune thrombocytopenia, who displayed a severe inflammatory response to SARS-CoV2. SOCS1 is a key regulatory molecule inhibiting cytokine signaling, especially type I/II interferons (82). Even though the phenotype was not completely reminiscent of PIMS, as it developed much faster than other patients, cytokine hyperresponsiveness may explain part of the PIMS pathogenesis.

**Virus-Related Factors**

**Variability of ACE2 Expression**

SARS-CoV-2 is a part of the β coronavirus genus along with SARS-CoV and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome. Its transmission between humans is mainly through dispersed droplets during close contact or through a contaminated surface (83). Virus entrance in the cell consists of bidding to the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), which expression is higher in lung cells, cardiomyocytes, vascular endothelium, alveolar cells, and some immune cells (84, 85). ACE2 expression variability between individuals might explain the different courses of the disease, from asymptomatic to severe pneumonia. ACE2 expression is at its peak in children and then decreases over the years. Some conditions like type 2 diabetes or hypertension lower its expression even more. These patients have been described to be more susceptible to severe COVID-19 pneumonia, thus, lower ACE2 expression might be associated with a higher risk of severe disease (86). ACE2 is part of the ACE2—angiotensin-(1–7)—Mas system, which balances the inflammatory effects of the ACE—angiotensin-2 axis (87). ACE2 processes angiotensin-2 into angiotensin1-7, which in turn, is responsible for downregulating pro-inflammatory events. A higher number of ACE2 expression when the infection arises might be beneficial. Indeed, a higher density of ACE2 could prove beneficial by keeping angiotensin1-7 levels relatively high compared to angiotensin2 and its pro-inflammatory features. This could be one of the reasons children can have COVID-19 but in less severe forms than the adults, and also why they are not spreading the virus as much as we initially thought.

**Exposure to Common Coronaviruses**

As we just discussed one hypothesis that could confer a more balanced immune response in children, another one is that recent infections to common coronaviruses in children allow them to have some immunity to SARS-CoV-2 (88). Most children have exposition to common coronaviruses before the
age of 5 and have effective seroconversion, then, antibody levels diminish over the years (89). Cross-reactivity between common coronaviruses and SARS-CoV-2 exists. It was observed that anti-seasonal common coronaviruses titers are increased in the serum of SARS-CoV-2 patients (90). This could be part of the explanation of why children are less infected but some present delayed hyperinflammatory response as cross-reactivity might also increase inflammation response.

**Similarities With Toxic Shock Syndrome and the Super Antigen Theory**

Toxic shock syndrome (TSS) is a syndrome characterized by shock, cytokine storm, and multi-organ involvement possibly leading to multiple organ failures and death. It is secondary to an infection by *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Streptococcus pyogenes* toxin-producing specific strains. Toxins have a superantigen activity and are responsible for an excessive activation of T cells (91). Superantigen activity differs from classical antigen activity and leads to an activation of a larger number of T cells, as they do not bind to the same peptide-binding area, thus generating a larger cytokine storm (91).

PIMS shares some clinical and biological similarities with TSS such as high fever, multiorgan involvement, and elevated inflammatory markers, leading to hypotension and shock (92, 93). In a retrospective study including 58 patients with TSS, vomiting was present in 44 (75.9%) patients and diarrhea in 23 (39.7%) patients. All had hypotension, multiorgan involvement, and ICU treatment (94). Another retrospective study in Australia in 62 cases found that 46 (74%) of cases were admitted to an intensive care unit and 44 (71%) required inotropic support (91).

In a preprint study, Cheng and colleagues found, using *in silico* modeling and analysis, that SARS-CoV-2 has a superantigen-like motif near its S1/S2 cleavage site. This motif has similarities to the staphylococcal enterotoxins B (SEB) superantigen (SAg) one that is responsible for TSS. SEB causes a massive inflammatory cascade which results in high levels of proinflammatory cytokines like IFN-γ, IL-2, IL-1, and TNFα (95). Because this cytokine storm is quite similar to the one we see in PIMS patients, as we discussed with high levels of TNFα, the authors suggested that PIMS is a consequence of a superantigen-like activity of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein (96). In a second part of the article, they wrote that a rare mutation, D839Y/E, found in a SARS-CoV-2 strain from Europe, could contribute to increasing the interaction between the virus and TCR, thus enhancing the pro-inflammatory effect and could also explain the differences between European and North American reports of PIMS compared to Asian reports.

**SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Patients with Rheumatic Diseases**

Children with rheumatological and musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs) are historically more susceptible to infections either because of their disease or their treatment (97, 98). Disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs like methotrexate have immunomodulatory effects that affect a host response to an infection (99). Viral infections, and especially respiratory infections, are the ones for which there is a higher risk (100).

In this regard, specific attention was put on adults and children with RMDs.

**Adult Patients With Rheumatic Diseases**

Data on COVID-19 severity and adult patients with RMDs are conflicting.

In a cross-sectional study in Tuscany, 458 patients had a phone interview to obtain information on either presence of symptoms compatible with COVID-19 or confirmed infection. Seventy-four percent were female and the median age was 56 years. Among the 458 patients, 13 declared symptoms compatible with COVID-19 but only one was positive out of seven who underwent nasopharyngeal swab. She developed severe complications and had to be admitted to ICU. Only 30 patients (6.6%) had active disease at the time of the study. The predominant disease was systemic lupus erythematosus with 117 (25.6%) patients. Among the different on-going treatments, 56% were treated with corticosteroids in the whole population compared to 69.2% (9/13) in the symptoms group. Among all patients, 44% were receiving DMARDs, 8/13 in the symptoms group, with 3 of them having hydroxychloroquine. Forty-one percent were on biologic treatment, 7/13 in the symptoms groups, with 4 receiving anti-TNFα treatment and 3 receiving belimumab, an anti-BAFF treatment. The authors concluded that the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in their cohort was similar to that observed in Tuscany at the time of the study with 0.22% compared to 0.20% (101). Another study done in Italy found similar results with 1,525 patients with RMDs. Of those 1,525 patients, 117 (8%) presented with symptoms that were compatible with COVID-19 (102). On the other hand, in the same journal issue, a retrospective study from China looked at the susceptibility to have COVID-19 in a cohort of 6,228 patients with RMDs. They wanted to evaluate the risk for a patient with RMDs to have COVID-19 when exposed and used family members without RMDs as a comparative group. Among their cohort of RMDs patients, they searched for patients with a confirmed COVID-19 exposure in their families and found 42 families. Among those families, they compared the number of COVID-19 patients with RMDs to other COVID-19 family members without RMD. They found that within these 42 families, COVID-19 was diagnosed in 27 (63%) of 43 patients with an RMD and 28 (34%) of 83 family members without RMD. In other words, patients with RMDs had an odds ratio (OR) of 2.68 (p = 0.023) of developing COVID-19 compared to their family members without RMD. The authors concluded that people with RMDs might be at greater risk of infection than the general population. However, only 27 out of their 6,228 patients in their cohort had a diagnosis of COVID-19.

Finally, an international registry for patients with rheumatic disease reported 600 cases from 40 countries. Forty-six percent (277/600 cases) were hospitalized and 55 (9%) died. They looked at different parameters to highlight risk factors associated with hospitalization or death. They found with multivariable adjustment models that a corticoid treatment with a prednisone equivalent dose superior to 10 mg/day was associated with a
higher risk of hospitalization (odds ratio (OR) 2.05). On the other hand, anti-TNFα treatment was protective of hospitalization with an OR of 0.40. No other treatment was associated with a modified OR for hospitalization, especially hydroxychloroquine or DMARDs (11).

**Children With Rheumatic Diseases**

There are little data on children with rheumatological diseases and COVID-19. A web-based survey study of patients under 23 years old with auto-inflammatory diseases in Turkey found that among 404 patients (217 female) that answered the survey, only 24 (5.9%) had been admitted to the hospital for COVID-19 suspicion. Seven of them had a positive rhino-pharyngeal swab and all of them recovered completely. The different auto-inflammatory diseases were Familial Mediterranean Fever (FMF) in 364 (90%) of the patients, the next most frequent was periodic fever aphthous stomatitis pharyngitis and adenitis (PFAPA) in 14 (3.5%) patients. The overwhelming majority of patients with FMF is part of the reason why colchicine treatment was taken by 375 (93%) of the patients. Other treatments included biological molecules for 48 (11.8%) patients. Biological drugs were canakinumab for 35 patients, anakinra for 5 patients, etanercept, adalimumab, and tocilizumab, each for one patient. Among patients receiving biologic treatment, none of them had a positive PCR test or any symptoms (103).

As an autoinflammatory condition does not seem to be of higher risk, we looked at the other end of the immune spectrum with immune deficiency. A recent study included 585 cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection in children from 21 European countries, between the 1st and 24th of April. Gotzinger et al. (104) reported that among those, only 29 (5%) individuals were receiving immunosuppressive treatment at the time of infection. Among those 29, only three needed admissions to ICU (10%) which is similar to the percentage of patients admitted in the ICU in the entire study with 48/582 (9%). We had no information on immune treatment before infection. They reported three (1%) patients with a previously diagnosed immune deficiency (common variable immunodeficiency, congenital neutropenia, and Schimke syndrome) and none of them was admitted to the ICU. Twenty-five (4%) were receiving chemotherapy at the time of their diagnosis or had received chemotherapy in the preceding 6 months, only 2/25 (8%) went to the ICU. Among the patients who received immunomodulatory treatment before the infection or had a pre-existing medical condition with immune deficiency, this study suggests there is not a major higher risk of severe COVID-19.

These different studies, as well as different unpublished experiences and discussions among physicians attending patients with RMDs, suggest that adult patients and, to an extent, pediatric patients with rheumatic diseases do not seem to be at a higher risk of severe COVID-19 and PIMS.

**CONCLUSION**

Pediatric Inflammatory Multisystem Syndrome (PIMS) is related to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Clinical symptoms are wide and nonspecific but always feature high fever, multiorgan involvement, and strong biological inflammation. Pathophysiology of PIMS, which is above all an excess of an inflammatory response, justifies the use of immunomodulatory treatments. Patients with severe complications require symptomatic treatment among which the treatment of cardiac failure with inotropes has a special place and allows rapid recovery. Children receiving immunomodulatory treatment for chronic rheumatic and inflammatory diseases do not seem at a greater risk of PIMS but evidence and data are scarce.
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