Mathematical Model and Numerical Analysis of AE Wave Generated by Partial Discharges
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Paper presents definition of a mathematical model describing acoustic emission signals generated by partial discharges occurring in oil immersed electric power transformers. Time runs, power spectral density graphs and spectrograms of acoustic emission signals generated in experiments, performed under laboratory conditions, and of equivalent signals, calculated on the basis of the mathematical model, are presented. Furthermore, results of numerical simulations of acoustic pressure distribution inside a tank filled with insulation oil are presented in the paper. In the center of the tank acoustic emission wave sources were placed, each generating signals described by the model developed.
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1. Introduction

One of the common reasons for degradation of oil insulated electric power transformers is connected with existence of partial discharge (PD). Among other methods in the PD diagnosis the acoustic emission method, which is based on measurement and analysis of signals registered by use of acoustic emission (AE) sensors, is frequently applied [1, 2]. Thus propagation phenomena of acoustic waves generated by PDs are currently widely studied [3–5].

The paper contains results of research works aimed to definition of a mathematical model for AE wave source whose acoustic response reflects the real wave generated by PD that may occur in oil insulated electric power transformer. Authors assumed that the AE signal consists of \(N\) impulses of various parameter values, e.g. frequency, time shift, and amplitude. The AE signal modeled was defined at the basis of AE signals generated by PDs in a multi-middle-plate configuration that was mounted in a tank filled with insulation oil containing gas bubbles. The AE signals have been recorded in measurement setups modeling PDs at the Electric Power Institute, Opole University of Technology [3]. Parameters of the model developed by the authors were estimated for compliance with a real AE signal generated by PDs and were evaluated by use of the Nelder–Mead–Simplex method [6].

The further aim of this paper was to present numerical simulations results which regard time-space distribution of acoustic pressure inside a tank filled with electro-insulating oil. The simulations considered time dependent analysis and were performed by use of the COMSOL Multiphysics environment. Five separated AE wave sources were placed in the center of the tank, each generating an acoustic wave as defined by the mathematical model. The signal measured in the far field consisted of interfering waves coming from the particular sources.

2. Mathematical model of AE wave generated by PDs in insulating oil

Based on measurements and results analysis of AE signals generated by PD in oil insulation [4] a mathematical model for the AE signal was proposed. In general, the AE signal is generated by a number of single PD pulses, which occur in series (and/or simultaneously), in a small region, close to each other, in a time interval shorter than 1 \(\mu s\) [2, 7]. Duration of the AE signal depends on parameters of the acoustic propagation path and can extend from few milliseconds to one second, while the rise time equals about 10 \(\mu s\) [2].

For the research purposes it was assumed that the AE signal \(y(t)\) consists of \(N\) single PD pulses \(y_i(t)\), defined by Eq. (1), where the particular PDs differ by: the amplitude \(A\), time offset \(\tau_i\) and frequency \(f_i\). The signal (time run) of a single PD is described by the product of a sigmoid function, which depicts the PD rising part, an exponential function, depicting the PD damp down part, and a cosine function for the frequency component

\[
y_i(t) = \frac{A}{1 + a e^{-b(t - \tau_i)}} e^{-\frac{(t - \tau_{i-1})}{\gamma}} \times A_{\cos \omega_i} \cos(2\pi f_i(t - \tau_{3-i})),
\]

where \(A\) — amplitude of the sigmoid function [V], \(a\) — scale coefficient of the sigmoid function [-], \(b\) — shape

* corresponding author, e-mail: dzmarzly@gmail.com
The coefficient of the sigmoid function \( \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \) — localization coefficients \([s]\), \( \gamma \) — shape coefficient of the exponential function \([-]\), \( f \) — frequency of the cosines function \([\text{Hz}]\), \( A_{\cos} \) — amplitude of the cosine function \([-]\), \( t \) — time \([s]\).

Figure 1 presents time run example of a single PD pulse \( f(t) \), modeled by use of Eq. (1).

![Time run of a modeled PD pulse.](image1)

Fig. 1. Time run of a modeled PD pulse.

The rising time of a single PD pulse is described by the scale coefficient of the sigmoid function. Figure 2 presents chosen time interval depicting the rising part for selected values of the parameter \( a \).

![Chosen time interval of the AE signal generated by single PD, depicting the PD rising part, for three selected values of parameter \( a \).](image2)

Fig. 2. Chosen time interval of the AE signal generated by single PD, depicting the PD rising part, for three selected values of parameter \( a \): (a) \( a = 0.3 \), (b) \( a = 1 \), (c) \( a = 10 \).

An acoustic wave falls within the wave phenomena, so the superposition rule was applied and the particular PD pulses were added into one signal, which was written by Eq. (2). It was further assumed that the sigmoid and the exponential functions are the same for all PDs involved in the modeled AE signal

\[
y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i(t),
\]

where \( N \) — number of PD pulses which are included in the AE signal \([-]\).

Figure 3 presents time runs of five example PD pulses (Fig. 2a–e), modeled by use of Eq. (1) and time run of AE signal (Fig. 2f) modeled by use of Eq. (2).

![Time runs of five modeled PD pulses (a)–(e) and the AE signal (f) arising from the superposition rule involved in Eq. (2).](image3)

3. Estimation and analysis of model parameters

For two example signals, which were generated and recorded in a setup equipped with multi needle and plate electrodes immersed in a tank filled with insulation oil, where additionally gas blebs were present, an analysis has been performed in order to evaluate parameters of the model proposed in Eq. (2). Both signal samples (time runs) consisted of 25000 data. It was assumed that the model consists of \( N = 12 \) PD pulses. Parameter values have been estimated by use of the Nelder–Mead–Simplex method, supported with MATLAB, complying minimal value of the residual norm \( \delta_R \):

\[
\delta_R = \| \hat{y} - y \| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2},
\]

where \( \delta_R \) — value of the residual norm, \( \hat{y} \) — estimate of the regression function, \( y \) — empirical data, \( i = (1, 2, \ldots , n), n = 25000 \) — number of data in a sample signal.

Such a criterion for the AE signal modeled was chosen to be the most corresponding to the signal measured. As described earlier, the shape of the AE signal is determined by the amplitude, shape, and localization parameters of the sigmoid and exponential functions, while the frequency components and their power are described within the cosine functions frequency and amplitude values. The estimates are listed in Tables I and II.

The comparison analysis of the frequency components and its power \( f, A_{\cos} \) regards to power spectral density (PSD) diagrams, which were estimated by use of the Welch method, for two example signals and two signals modeled by use of Eqs. (1) and (2). The mean value of the residual norm was equal to \( \delta_R = 8.52 \). Figure 4 presents power spectral densities for the two analyzed
Table I
Parameter values estimated with the Nelder–Mead–Simplex method for the both analyzed signals.

| i | Signal no. 1 | | Signal no. 2 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| A cos [-] | f [Hz] | A cos [-] | f [Hz] |
| 1 | 6.70 | 20 | 3.73 | 20 |
| 2 | 2.25 | 70 | 1.93 | 70 |
| 3 | 3.00 | 120 | 2.98 | 120 |
| 4 | 2.54 | 170 | 2.66 | 170 |
| 5 | 3.50 | 220 | 4.15 | 220 |
| 6 | 4.56 | 270 | 4.29 | 270 |
| 7 | -2.0 | 320 | 1.35 | 320 |
| 8 | 3.21 | 370 | 1.25 | 370 |
| 9 | 2.64 | 420 | 1.07 | 420 |
| 10 | 1.11 | 470 | 0.78 | 470 |
| 11 | 0.79 | 520 | 0.51 | 520 |
| 12 | 0.93 | 570 | 0.49 | 570 |

Table II
Parameter values estimated with the Nelder–Mead–Simplex method for the both analyzed signals.

| Parameter | Signal no. 1 | Signal no. 2 |
|---|---|---|
| A [V] | $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.14 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\alpha$ [-] | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| b [-] | 2666 | 2666 |
| $\gamma$ [-] | $2.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.5 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\tau_1$ [s] | 1.7 | 2.8 |
| $\tau_2$ [s] | 15.0 | 5.1 |
| $\tau_3$ [s] | 0.0 | 0.0 |

On the basis of comparison analysis performed in the time, frequency and time–frequency domains for two exemplary AE signals measured under laboratory conditions, it was stated that it is possible to determine parameters of the model proposed in Eqs. (1) and (2) for good agreement between the signals recorded and calculated.

4. Numerical modeling of AE wave

In the scope of research works performed the developed mathematical model of AE wave generated by PD was applied as acoustic wave source in a numerical simulation. The simulation considers acoustic pressure distribution inside a tank filled with electro-insulation oil (Fig. 9) in center of which the AE wave source is placed. The mathematical model, given by Eq. (2), consists of...
$N = 5$ single PD sources, each emitting AE wave, as described by Eq. (1).

The numerical simulations have been performed by use of the acoustic pressure module supported within

| Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| $A$ $[m^3/s]$ | $100 \times 10^3$ | $f_1$ | 100 |
| $a$ $[-]$ | 1 | $f_2$ | 150 |
| $b$ $[-]$ | $1 \times 10^8$ | $f_3$ | 200 |
| $\gamma$ $[-]$ | $1/f$ | $f_4$ | 250 |
| $\tau$ $[s]$ | 0 | $f_5$ | 300 |

The acoustic sources differed by value of the frequency component. Parameter values used in simulation are presented in Table III.
COMSOL Multiphysics, which applies the finite element method. The object was discretized with a mesh of a single element not bigger than 2.78 mm, which resulted with 22 919 DoF. It should be noted that the simulation described does not concern the surface waves emerging as mechanical vibrations at the tank surface.

Fig. 11. Acoustic pressure distributions inside the object under study calculated at following simulation times: (a) $t = 0.05$ ms, (b) $t = 0.2$ ms, (c) $t = 0.4$ ms, (d) $t = 0.8$ ms.

Figure 11 presents acoustic pressure distribution inside the two-dimensional model of the tank at selected simulation times. One can recognize that the AE waves generated by the particular sources interfere in a series of AE waves (Fig. 11a), which propagate as spherical waves (Fig. 11c). The wave reflects from the tank surface (Fig. 11c, d), which results from the Robin boundary. Due to the impedance boundary on the tank surface the wave amplitude ($p$ [Pa]) decreases with time (Fig. 11d, e).

5. Summary

Definition of a mathematical model for AE signals generated by PD in oil insulated power transformers has been presented in the paper. Parameter values have been estimated numerically with the Nelder–Mead–Simplex method based on signals measured under laboratory conditions. Results of a comparison analysis regarding time, frequency and time–frequency domains for signals measured and modeled mathematically are discussed. On the basis of the time runs, power spectral densities and spectrograms presented, it was stated that it is possible to evaluate parameter values of the model defined, so that it complies with the AE signals generated by PD and measured in laboratory experiments. The mathematical model has been further applied in numerical simulations as AE source. Acoustic pressure distribution inside a two-dimensional tank filled with insulation oil was discussed in the paper. Further research will aim to numerical simulations of acoustic pressure distribution inside a three-dimensional object and a practical verification, where a wide band acoustic wave generator (a piezoelectric transducer) will be applied for generation of an AE signal as described by the mathematic model in Eqs. (1) and (2).
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