A deep study of the high–energy transient sky

C. Guidorzi1,2,3 · F. Frontera1,2 · G. Ghirlanda4,5 · G. Stratta2 · C. G. Mundell6 · E. Virgilli1 · P. Rosati1,2,3 · E. Caroli2 · L. Amati2 · E. Pian2 · S. Kobayashi7 · G. Ghisellini4 · C. Fryer8 · M. Della Valle9,10,11 · R. Margutti12 · M. Marongiu1,11 · R. Martone1,11 · R. Campana2 · F. Fuschino2 · C. Labanti2 · M. Orlandini2 · J. B. Stephen2 · S. Brandt13 · R. Curado da Silva14,15 · P. Laurent16 · R. Mochkovitch17 · E. Bozzo18 · R. Ciolfi19,20 · L. Burderi21 · T. Di Salvo22

Received: 25 July 2020 / Accepted: 2 March 2021 / Published online: 23 April 2021
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

The coming decades will establish the exploration of the gravitational wave (GW) Universe over a broad frequency range by ground and space interferometers. Meanwhile, wide-field, high-cadence and sensitive surveys will span the electromagnetic spectrum from radio all the way up to TeV, as well as the high-energy neutrino window. Among the numerous classes of transients, γ–ray bursts (GRBs) have direct links with most of the hot topics that will be addressed, such as the strong gravity regime, relativistic shocks, particle acceleration processes, equation of state of matter at nuclear density, and nucleosynthesis of heavy elements, just to mention a few. Other recently discovered classes of transients that are observed throughout cosmological distances include fast radio bursts (FRBs), fast blue optical transients (FBOTs), and other unidentified high-energy transients. Here we discuss how these topics can be addressed by a mission called ASTENA (Advanced Surveyor of Transient Events and Nuclear Astrophysics, see Frontera et al. 2021). Its payload combines two instruments: (i) an array of wide-field monitors with imaging, spectroscopic, and polarimetric capabilities (WFM-IS); (ii) a narrow field telescope (NFT) based on a Laue lens operating in the 50–600 keV range with unprecedented angular resolution, polarimetric capabilities, and sensitivity.
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1 Introduction

The detection of gravitational and electromagnetic (EM) radiation from the binary neutron star (BNS) merger GW 170817 in 2017 heralded the beginning of the multi-messenger study of the transient sky. The GW Universe exploration over a broad frequency range by ground and space interferometers will reach the full maturity in the coming decades. Meanwhile, an armada of wide-field, high-cadence, and sensitive surveys are planned, spanning the EM spectrum from radio (SKA), through optical (the Rubin Observatory, previously known as the LSST), and up to X/γ-ray (e.g., THESEUS, accepted by ESA for a phase A study), and TeV (CTA), as well as particle-detectors such as those in the high-energy neutrino window (e.g., IceCube-Gen2, KM3NeT). This towering effort will enable the study of events characterised by strong gravity effects, relativistic shocks, and particle acceleration processes over a broad mass, time, and distance scales. Not only will they address open issues of astrophysics, cosmology, and fundamental physics (e.g., formation of compact binaries, the equation of state of matter at nuclear densities, nucleosynthesis of heavy elements, cosmic-ray production, the nature of dark matter, and the cosmological parameters), but they will also significantly boost the discovery rate of known and unknown rare classes of transient sources throughout the Universe. Among the numerous classes of transients, GRBs have direct links with most of the topics mentioned above. Accurate and systematic GRB polarisation measurements can probe the magnetic field intensity and configuration, which is key to understanding relativistic jet formation and shock acceleration physics. Other unexpected classes that were discovered only a few years ago are FRBs, FBOTs, and other unidentified high-energy transients. The keV-to-MeV energy band is ideally suited to explore high-energy, non-thermal phenomena.

In this White Paper (WP) we discuss how these topics, summarised in the key science questions below, can be addressed by a mission called ASTENA (see WP by [18]). Its payload consists of two instruments: (i) an array of wide-field monitors with imaging, spectroscopic, and polarimetric capabilities (WFM-IS); (ii) a narrow field telescope (NFT) based on a Laue lens operating in the 50–600 keV range with unprecedented angular resolution, polarimetric capabilities, and sensitivity. With respect to THESEUS—aiming at fully exploiting GRBs for early Universe and multi-messenger astrophysics—ASTENA will carry out a deeper study of the physics of their prompt and afterglow emission. Building on the foundation of the THESEUS X-Gamma-ray Imaging Spectrometer (XGIS), ASTENA WFM-IS will have a higher effective area (by a factor of 6), thus providing a substantial step forward for timing, spectroscopy, and polarimetry of GRB prompt emission. The superior 1-arcmin angular resolution of ASTENA WFM-IS is also crucial to perform the NFT follow up of the discovered events.

The key science questions are summarised as follows.

– What is the GRB prompt emission mechanism? What role do magnetic fields play in the jet formation, propagation, energy dissipation, and shock acceleration physics?
– For electromagnetic counterparts of GW events: what are the jet structure and demographics of short GRBs associated with the merging of BNS?
– What mechanism powers the transient, long-lived hard X/soft $\gamma$–ray emission that characterises GRB afterglows?
– What sources lie behind the number of known transients and unknown X/$\gamma$–ray transients that have recently been discovered?

2 GRB prompt emission mechanism and the role of magnetic field

Technological advancement over the past two decades has revolutionised our understanding of the nature of GRBs (see, e.g., review by [32]), propelling them from mysterious, poorly localised and relatively unstudied, high-energy flashes of unknown origin to the focus of a global scientific community whose efforts have confirmed GRBs to represent the endpoints of stellar evolution and compact binary mergers, drivers of ultrarelativistic plasma outflows and, possibly, key cosmic producers of heavy metals such as gold—all at vast cosmological distance [15] and discovered, localised and followed up in real time.

Despite this rapid progress, the field is in its infancy and fundamental questions on the origin, physics, and impact of GRBs remain. The mechanism responsible for the $\gamma$–ray prompt emission, i.e. the GRB itself, is not yet understood and in many cases cannot be explained with pure optically thin synchrotron. In particular, we do not know how the ultra-relativistic jet is formed near the progenitor, how it propagates through the stellar interior, and the origin and configuration of the associated magnetic field [23], which is a key ingredient for understanding the emission process and the shock acceleration physics [67]. The physical processes, the energy dissipation mechanism, the magnetisation content, and the jet geometry that rule the prompt emission can uniquely be investigated through prompt hard X/$\gamma$–ray polarisation measurements (e.g., [33]).

Novel instruments on a new generation of fully autonomous, robotic optical telescopes have made rapid early-time optical afterglow polarimetry a reality [50, 51, 71], revealing a wide range of polarisation properties and confirming the presence of entrained, ordered magnetic fields in some GRB jets. The detection of optical polarisation has regenerated interest in new high-energy polarisation missions (e.g. POLAR, a collaboration between China and Europe, flown on the Chinese Tiangong 2 space lab; XIPE, one of the three candidates for a medium-class mission studied by ESA; and NASA’s IXPE mission, scheduled for launch in 2021), despite early controversies and accepted technical challenges in accurately calibrating systematics at $\gamma$–ray energies. The first claim of polarised prompt optical light [75] provides further motivation. To date, no GRB has yet been observed in polarised light from high-energy $\gamma$–rays through X–ray, optical and to radio across prompt, early and late afterglow. Such a dataset would provide unprecedented insight into the physics of GRBs. In addition, although technically more challenging, $\gamma$–ray polarimetry probes directly the prompt emission mechanism and its magnetic field configuration.

According to a recent review [45], previous (CGRO, RHESSI, Ikaros) and current missions (INTEGRAL, Astrosat) reported prompt $\gamma$–ray polarisation
measurements for ten GRBs. However, the results are highly uncertain for some events, and others have a limited statistical significance ($<4\sigma$). Recently, polarisation results have been obtained for 5 bright GRBs (161218A, 170101A, 170127C, 170206A, 170114A) with the POLAR experiment [80]. The systematic errors are certainly better controlled, but the statistical significance, also due to the low polarisation found, is still at about the $3\sigma$ level. Indeed, the authors state that their analysis does not allow to fully reject the hypothesis that the analysed GRBs are not polarised, even though the observed changes in the polarisation angle suggest a strong temporal evolution as a possible explanation for the low time-averaged polarisation degree. On the basis of the available data, it is difficult to draw definitive conclusions about the underlying physics and emission mechanisms, like the presence of a globally ordered magnetic field in the emission region (e.g., [39]) or the presence of a randomly structured field (e.g., [34]). From the current polarisation measurements it is still not possible to discriminate between emission mechanisms, e.g., between synchrotron in a uniform B-field or in a random B-field, or, for example, the possible presence of a Compton drag. As for the jet structure and geometry, depending on the emission mechanism and on the origin and configuration of the magnetic field, the polarisation level may depend on the viewing angle, i.e. the angle between the line of sight and the jet axis [34]. To draw conclusions about these open issues, one should measure the hard X/γ-ray polarisation of a significant sample and correlate with other key properties. For example, [74] derived how the distribution of the polarisation level depends on the intrinsic peak energy $E_p$ of the $E F(E)$ spectrum for three different models (see Fig. 1). To further test some models, it is equally

![Fig. 1](image-url) Distribution of the predicted polarisation level as a function of the intrinsic peak energy $E_p$ for three different emission models. The distribution is that reported by [45], that was derived by means of a Montecarlo simulation of 10,000 GRB jets with parameter distributions described by [74].
important to carry out time-resolved polarisation measurements: for instance, the internal-collision-induced magnetic reconnection and turbulence (ICMART) model predicts decreasing $\gamma$–ray polarisation degree and $E_p$ with time throughout each individual broad $\gamma$–ray pulse [79].

In addition, time-resolved polarisation measurements of GRB prompt emission make it possible to test the weak equivalence principle (WEP) with unprecedented sensitivity: should the WEP be violated, the temporal evolution of the polarisation angle would depend on both photon energy and GRB distance [76].

The ASTENA WFM-IS (see WP by [18]), thanks to its specific capability as a polarimeter, its very large detection area and broad passband, is expected to provide accurate information about the polarisation level of a large sample of GRBs, also determining its temporal and energy dependence. We estimated the minimum detectable polarisation (MDP) as a function of photon energy, for a typical long GRB with a fluence of $\sim10^{-4}$ erg cm$^{-2}$: as shown in Fig. 2, the MDP is $<$10% for $E < 300$ keV, and $<$30% for $E < 600$ keV. The broad passband is key to cover $E_p$ for most GRBs, not only because most of the energy is emitted around $E_p$, but also because it makes it possible to discriminate between competing models based on the polarisation degree vs. $E_p$ distribution, and to test predictions on spectro-polarimetric evolution patterns. By way of example, with reference to Fig. 1, detecting 50% polarisation around 400 keV would rule out both synchrotron models considered.

3 Electromagnetic counterparts of gravitational wave events

The observation of the gravitational wave event GW 170817 by the LIGO-Virgo Collaboration (LVC) and the discovery of the short GRB 170817A $\sim1.7$ s later and
positionally consistent with the location uncertainty (31 deg$^2$) of the GW event has ushered in the multi-messenger astronomy era [1]. Finding the EM counterpart of a GW event is crucial (1) to provide independent confirmation of the astrophysical origin of the GW trigger, especially for GW events with low significance (e.g., sub-threshold triggers), (2) to accurately determine the position in the sky, and therefore (3) to allow for the host galaxy identification and redshift determination. As a consequence, this information can break the degeneracy between position in the sky and other observables, both extrinsic (binary inclination and GW polarisation angles, distance) and intrinsic (orbital angular momentum, spin, see [55]). In addition, it makes it possible to independently estimate the Hubble constant (e.g., [25]) and to investigate fundamental physics, like the Lorentz Invariance violation foreseen in quantum gravity theories (e.g., [4]).

On the basis of the results obtained from the detection of the short GRB 170817A and the observing campaign that led to the discovery of the kilonova (KN) associated with GW 170817 in the outskirts of the galaxy NGC 4993 at a distance of 40 Mpc from the Earth, a few important properties are worth mentioning: a) GRB 170817A is sub-luminous ($\sim 1 \times 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$) [22]; b) it is softer than typical short GRBs (sGRBs), as demonstrated by its non-detection (upper limit of $10^{-7}$ erg cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ in 0.2–5 MeV) with the very large effective area High Energy (HE) instrument aboard the Insight–HXMT mission [35]; c) a very rough localisation accuracy of the event (90% probability region of about 1800 square degrees) obtained with the GBM instrument aboard the Fermi satellite. This very rough localisation accuracy, combined with the low hard X–ray flux, prevented an accurate spectral analysis and an immediate multiwavelength follow-up of GRB 170817A for the study of the afterglow emission.

The broadband study of the afterglow of GRB 170817A allowed us to model the jet geometry, the kinetic energy and bulk velocity angular structure, raising the following questions: is the jet structure universal? Which parameters are involved? To answer these, it is worth noting that the presence of a universally structured jet, whose origin is directly related to the central engine and its interaction with the ambient medium (e.g. [64]), could also be assessed through prompt emission observations and population studies [56, 63].

All the above sGRB properties and instrument limitations could be ideally addressed with an instrument with a much more sensitive wide-FOV monitor, a very broad energy passband that extends to low X–ray energies ($\sim$1 keV), and with spectroscopic and possibly polarimetric capabilities. The WFM-IS proposed by us matches all these requirements. Compared with THESEUS-XGIS, which operates in the same broad energy band, the WFM-IS has a larger FOV ($\sim$2 sr vs. 1.5 sr), significantly larger effective area (by a factor of $\sim$6) and, most importantly, better point source localisation accuracy (1 vs. several arcmin), which is crucial to allow for a rapid followup of focusing telescopes, such as the NFT.

In the 2030’s, Third Generation (3G) GW detectors such as the Einstein Telescope (ET) and the Cosmic Explorer (CE) will be operational. The ET will detect $>10^4$ yr$^{-1}$ BNS mergers out to $z \sim 2$ [59], of which a few tens will also be detected with THESEUS-XGIS as sGRBs. On the optical side, the Rubin Observatory will not detect kilonova emission beyond $z \sim 0.5$. Therefore, the combination of larger
effective area and broad band of WFM-IS is essential to fully exploit the potential of ET+CE for prompt localisation and characterisation of the associated EM emission for more BNS mergers. This, in turn, will boost the population study. The distribution of observed GW-EM delays, combined with the spectral characterisation and γ–ray luminosity of the associated sGRB, will further constrain the jet geometry, structure, and finally the formation channel(s) of these compact binary systems.

The mentioned GRB properties are those found in the case of a GW event due to a BNS merger. NS–BH are expected to produce different EM counterparts (GRB, kilonova, afterglow—e.g., [6]). However, their luminosity and evolutionary timescales are still observationally unexplored.

Lastly, the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA), that will be observing GWs in the millihertz band, will detect thousands of stellar-mass binary black holes (BBH) with $M > 30M_\odot$ at $z < 0.5$, hundreds of which will be discovered years before coalescence. It will predict the time of merging with <10 s uncertainty and <1 deg$^2$ position error [46, 66]. A sensitive, broadband, X/$\gamma$–ray monitor with a sufficient FOV such as the ASTENA WFM-IS is key to monitor a possible prompt EM counterpart.

4 Hard X–ray spectrum and polarisation of GRB afterglows

Thanks to the BeppoSAX mission that discovered GRB afterglows and the Neil Gehrels Swift observatory that initiated the systematic study of the early afterglow, we have a very consolidated knowledge of the temporal and spectral behaviour of the low energy (<10 keV) afterglow (see, e.g., [32, 77]). However, due to the limited sensitivity of the current instrumentation, at higher energies the afterglow temporal and spectral behaviour is an almost uncharted territory. High energy X-ray measurements have been obtained only by the BeppoSAX Phoswich Detection System (PDS) [40], NuSTAR [30], or INTEGRAL [44]. The PDS telescope detected the high energy afterglow of GRB 990123, one of the brightest GRBs observed with BeppoSAX. The afterglow was detected up to 60 keV, with a power–law decay in the 15–28 keV that was consistent with that found in the 2–10 keV band (slope $\alpha = 1.46 \pm 0.04$) at least up to 12 h after the event. Concerning the afterglow spectrum between 6 and 11 h from the GRB onset, it was found that from 0.6 to 60 keV it was well fit with an absorbed power–law with the multiwavelength spectral energy distribution (SED) shown in Fig. 3. [14] discussed the BeppoSAX results finding that, while the temporal and spectral behaviour of the optical afterglow is consistent with synchrotron with cooling frequency between the optical and the X–rays as long as the 2–10 keV data are considered, this is no longer the case when the spectrum is extended up to 60 keV. In this case an Inverse Compton (IC) scattering was proposed to explain the BeppoSAX results. The importance of the hard X–ray detection for establishing the afterglow emission mechanism at play is confirmed by the results obtained by [30], who detected the hard X–ray afterglow from GRB 130427A with NuSTAR. The result was that “the NuSTAR data are essential in constraining the shape of the broadband spectra”. In this case the NuSTAR power–law spectrum and decay law are still
consistent with a synchrotron emission mechanism of the GRB afterglow. Analogous results and conclusions were also obtained for the bright GRB 120711A [44].

For a few GRBs, whose 0.3–10 keV afterglow was found to be exceptionally soft (power–law photon index $\Gamma_X > 3$), [48] found that an additional, hard X–ray component of unknown origin and modelled as a hard power–law, was required by the data. Such a component could be more ubiquitous than what has been observed with Swift–XRT; only when the dominant component is particularly soft, as in the case of these GRBs, would the hard one become detectable.

In the cases of some late X–ray afterglows, the combination of (i) slow decay, (ii) very soft photon index ($\Gamma_X > 3$, inconsistent with a pure synchrotron origin), (iii) larger-than-average intrinsic absorption, and (iv) very long ($T_{90} > 1000\ s$) prompt $\gamma$–ray emission, was observed [42]. The negligible chance probability of a random combination of all these rare properties suggested that the extremely soft X–ray emission could be due to reprocessing by the complex circumburst environment sculpted by the progenitor prior to the final explosion. A hard X/$\gamma$–ray characterisation of the afterglow is missing and it would help test this interpretation.

Fermi/LAT unveiled the presence of the afterglow emission at very high energies [7, 20]. So far it detected long-lived emission in the 100 MeV–100 GeV range for almost 200 GRBs [3]. Combining Swift/XRT and LAT data, [2] found that the presence of a synchrotron cooling break between X–rays and GeV can explain the non-detection by LAT of relatively bright X–ray afterglows, whereas LAT-detected GRBs are mostly consistent with a synchrotron spectrum whose cooling break lies either below X–rays or above the LAT passband (see Fig. 4). This, in turn, suggests that LAT-detected GRBs occur in wind-profile, low-density circumburst environments. No evidence is found for a dominant synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) component in the LAT range (with implications on the relative strength of the shock microphysics parameters describing the magnetic field vs. electron energy), where the possibility remains that such an SSC component is detectable below 100 MeV.
These few observations clearly show the importance of filling the energetic gap between X–rays and the LAT passband in the afterglow study: this would help shed light on the nature of the very high-energy early afterglow and on the possible role of pairs [9, 20]. ASTENA, thanks to the unprecedented sensitivity of the Narrow Field Telescope (NFT) (see [18]) will be capable to measure the high energy spectrum and the polarisation [11] of the afterglow emission of a large sample of GRBs, and thus to establish the underlying physics and the emission mechanisms in play (e.g., synchrotron photon energy, presence of a Compton or pair production component in addition to the synchrotron) and the corresponding parameters.

5 Low luminosity GRBs

Low luminosity GRBs (llGRBs) are a sub-population of long GRBs. They are single peaked, smooth and in most cases soft, with luminosity in the range $10^{47}–10^{49}$ erg, much lower than typical GRBs. Most of them (about a dozen) are associated with type Ic supernovae (SNe). The prototype of the llGRBs is GRB 060218, from which evidence of a relativistic shock-breakout (SBO) of the stellar envelope and the stellar wind was observed [10]. However, a few of them do not have an associated SN, e.g., GRB 060505 [19]. These properties place llGRBs between the highly relativistic, collimated GRB explosions and the spherical, ordinary Type Ib/c SNe as it is shown in Fig. 5 (reprinted from [41]), which compares the kinetic energy distribution (or profile) versus the outflow velocity for different classes of sources. As suggested by this figure and as it was discussed by [41], the kinetic energy profile of llGRBs
is intermediate between that of ordinary, non-relativistic SNe Ibc and that of classical GRBs. This behaviour of l/GRBs calls for the presence of a central engine that drives a jet which either gets choked or is barely able to reach the star surface. In the relativistic SBO interpretation [54], three observables (duration, SBO energy, and SBO temperature) can be used to measure the SBO radius, thus making inferences on the progenitor’s nature. In addition, the so-called relativistic SBO relation must be satisfied by the same observables:

$$\frac{t_{\text{obs}}}{20 \text{ s}} \sim \left( \frac{E_{\text{bo}}}{10^{46} \text{ erg}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{T_{\text{bo}}}{50 \text{ keV}} \right)^{-2.68}$$

(1)

This way, one can explain both typical long–soft events and less common, relatively short (~30 s) and hard ($E_p \sim 50$ keV) ones, such as GRB 980425 [31, 58]. Alternatively, l/GRBs could be the natural extension of cosmological GRBs in a universal structured jet scenario [56, 63].

All observed l/GRBs are at low redshifts (≤1). Due to their low luminosities, with the current instrumentation they could not have been detected from further out. However, taking into account the relatively small sampled volume, their inferred volumetric rate could be much larger than that of typical long GRBs [24, 36, 69]. So a much more sensitive wide field monitor than the current GRB instrumentation is needed to perform a sensitive sky survey of l/GRBs.

6 Fast blue optical transients

Fast blue optical transients (FBOTs) are likely a new class of transient sources [17], which typically show blue spectra, can be as luminous as SNe-Ibc ($L_{\text{peak}} >$...
$10^{43}$ erg/s, but evolve more rapidly ($t_{\text{rise}} \ll 4$ days), in tension with the powering of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ decay as prescribed by traditional SN models [60, 62]. At the moment only a very few objects of this class have been detected in X-rays, and AT2018cow, discovered in the optical band [68], is by far the best studied yet, also thanks to its vicinity (60 Mpc). AT2018cow was found to fade very rapidly [43], much more than all types of SNe (see left panel of Fig. 6) with a peak luminosity of about $4 \times 10^{44}$ erg/s. Yet, from the star formation properties of the host dwarf galaxy and the site of AT2018cow within the host, a massive star origin is favoured [49]. Its X-ray counterpart, detected with the *Swift* XRT, *NuSTAR* and *INTEGRAL* [43], faded in the 0.5–10 keV band faster than typical GRB afterglows, more similar to that of tidal disruption events (TDEs; see right panel of Fig. 6). The very interesting peculiarity of AT2018cow in the X-ray band is its low-energy power-law spectrum that extends to hard X-rays with a very broad bump that fades away at $\sim 20$ days. This feature is uncommon in transient objects.

AT2018cow is likely the prototype of a new class of transients that are expected to be copiously detected in the optical band thanks to the current and forthcoming wide-field surveys. Yet, the following questions remain unanswered: which is the progenitor of this class of transients? Are they related to GRBs or TDEs? In this case they should show a prompt emission, which is as yet undetected. How common is the hard X-ray component and what is its origin?

Margutti et al. [43] propose a Compton-disc reflection model as the origin of the AT2018cow hard X-ray bump, at 7.7 days from the optical discovery. Only numerous detections in the future and more sensitive hard X-ray observations can clarify the origin of the FBOT emission and their progenitors.

![Fig. 6](image)

**Fig. 6** *Left:* Comparison of the optical light curve of AT2018cow with those of the various types of SNe. *Right:* Comparison of the X-ray fading of AT2018cow with those of GRBs and TDEs. Reprinted from [43]
7 Fast radio bursts

In addition to the possible class of the X/γ–ray counterparts of the FBOTs, another class of transient events could exhibit an associated X/γ–ray emission: fast radio bursts (FRBs). Discovered in 2007 [37], FRBs are still one of the most intriguing mysteries in astrophysics (see [29, 57] for recent reviews). They are very short (≈ 1 ms) and are distributed randomly over the sky. Although the number of FRBs publicly announced so far is still limited (∼80 as of July 2019), there is no concentration of FRB in the Galactic plane, and thus they are very likely isotropically distributed over the sky. Their extragalactic origin is based on the detection of a repeating FRB (121102, [70]), that has allowed to accurately determine the FRB direction and thus its association with a persistent radio source [12], coincident with a bright star-forming region located in the outskirts of an irregular, low-metallicity dwarf galaxy with a redshift \( z = 0.193 \) [73]. Very recently, the redshifts of two other non-repeating FRBs were obtained: FRB 180924 at \( z = 0.3214 \) [5] and FRB 190523 at \( z = 0.66 \) [61]. Meanwhile, another repeating FRB (180814) has been detected [13]. Their dispersion measures, if assumed to be produced mostly in the intergalactic medium, can be used as a proxy of their distances: under this assumption, their luminosities are in the range \( 10^{38}–10^{43} \) erg/s, which are much lower than those found for GRBs, even //GRBs. This fact would mean that FRBs are much less energetic than other astrophysical transients, and thus their counterparts are hardly detectable, unless they are many orders of magnitude more energetic than the FRBs themselves. Actually, controversial evidence was found of a putative Swift GRB spatially and temporally coincident with FRB 131104 [16], although no simultaneous X-/γ-ray counterparts to other much more radio bright FRBs were found [26, 65, 72]. Several models that have been proposed in the literature, (e.g., [47, 52, 53, 78] and references therein) do not exclude the possibility of γ–ray emission associated with FRBs. Therefore, it is very important to have a very sensitive instrument with imaging capabilities that can detect possible γ–ray counterparts of FRBs.

8 Other unknown high–energy transients

A number of extragalactic X–ray transients has been discovered in recent years, with luminosities in the range \( 10^{40}–10^{46} \) erg/s and duration of several seconds to hours. Their origin remains mysterious and while several interpretations remain plausible, none of them appears to be convincing. Hereafter, we briefly review some of them as a few examples.

An X–ray flash was observed with \( L = 6 \times 10^{42} \) erg/s. It lasted 4000 s and was found in the elliptical galaxy M86 without any apparent hard X/γ–ray emission ([28]; see also [21, 38]). It could be the result of a tidal disruption of a white dwarf by an intermediate BH or an off-axis short GRB.

Ultraluminous X–ray flares with luminosities in the range \( 10^{39}–10^{41} \) erg/s were observed repeatedly from extragalactic X–ray sources, that otherwise look like compact X–ray binaries [27]. They showed a fast rise (about one minute), followed by
∼10³ s decay. Although the flaring and energetic profile are reminiscent of Galactic magnetars, they are located in old stellar population regions.

Another X–ray transient was discovered serendipitously in the Chandra Deep Field-South [8], showing a comparable rise time, followed by a power–law decay. Its energy spectrum is modelled with a relatively hard power–law, with photon index $\Gamma_X = 1.43^{+0.23}_{-0.13}$. The peak luminosity lies in the range $10^{44}–10^{47}$ erg/s, depending on the unknown distance. While most of the previously known X–ray transients are ruled out, the following possible interpretations remain plausible: X–ray afterglow of either (i) an off-axis sGRB or (ii) a llGRB at $z \gtrsim 2$ with no prompt emission below 20 keV; (iii) a highly beamed TDE of a white dwarf disrupted by an intermediate black hole. The estimated rate of these X–ray transients lies in the range from a few up to $10^4$ Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹ [8].

These examples of unknown X–ray transients, whose rates in the local Universe could be comparable with that of core-collapse SNe, show how a broadband, more sensitive, survey instrument such as the ASTENA WFM-IS would significantly contribute to boost their discovery rate and to characterise them. In addition, follow-up observations with the ASTENA NFT would help further characterise their long-lasting emission and decay.

9 ASTENA mission concept

For a detailed description of the ASTENA scientific payload, capabilities and expected performance, the reader is referred to the companion WP by [18] devoted to the science cases that can be addressed with ASTENA in the nuclear astrophysics domain.
Table 1  Main properties of the ASTENA scientific payload

|                      | WFM-IS                          | NFT                     |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Energy pass-band     | 2 keV–20 MeV                    | 50–600 keV              |
| Total useful areaa   | ∼5800 cm² (<30 keV)             | ∼6700 cm² (30–150 keV)  |
|                      | ∼6700 cm² (30–150 keV)          | 7 m² (projected)        |
|                      | ∼13800 cm² (>200 keV)           |                         |
| Field of View        | 2 sr                            | 4 arcmin                |
| Angular resolution   | 6 arcmin                        | ∼30 arcsec HPD          |
| Point source localization accuracy | 1 arcmin  | <10 arcsec              |
| Continuum sensitivity | see Figure 11 of [18]           | see Figure 15 of [18]   |
| Minimum Detectable Polarization | see Fig. 2          | see Figure 16 of [18]   |

*Total geometric area through the mask or collimator

Briefly, the ASTENA in–flight configuration is shown in Fig. 7. The instrumentation on board consists of a Wide Field Monitor–Imaging Spectrometer (WFM-IS) with a 2 keV–20 MeV passband, and a Narrow Field Telescope (NFT) with a 50–600 keV passband. The WFM-IS consists of an array of 12 units, two units per each side of the hexagon, that surround the NFT. All the units are offset by 15 deg with respect to the axis of the NFT, as shown in Fig. 7. The NFT is a Laue lens telescope of about 3 m diameter and 20 m focal length. The latter is partly (5 out of 20 m) inside the spacecraft. Table 1 summarises the main properties of the ASTENA payload.

10 Conclusions

The coming decades promise truly comprehensive multi-messenger exploration of the transient sky due to (1) transformational ground- and space-based technologies that will probe the gravitational-wave Universe across a broad frequency range at unprecedented sensitivities, (2) a step-change in sensitivity and capability from new ground-based astro-particle facilities, and (3) a revolution in real-time discovery—at scale—with complementary wide-field, high-cadence and sensitive surveys across the entire electromagnetic spectrum. The data rates will transform how astronomy is conducted and open a new era of ‘big’ data science and a corresponding innovation revolution in artificial intelligence and machine learning.

The ESA Science Programme “Voyage 2050” offers the opportunity to explore uncharted territories as well as tackle open issues in astrophysics, cosmology, and fundamental physics from the high-energy transient sky perspective, during this unique and imminent period of technological development and wide-reaching synergy.

In this article, we focused on major, fundamental but unsolved issues in the field of the high-energy transient sky, which can be addressed with the proposed ASTENA mission: 1) the origin of GRB prompt emission and the role of magnetic fields in the jet formation and energy dissipation; 2) the jet structure and demographics of short
GRBs associated with the merging of binary neutron star mergers; 3) the nature of the radiation process that powers GRB high-energy afterglows; 4) the identification of the plethora of known and unknown X/γ-ray transient sources that have recently been discovered and those that will be discovered copiously in the future.

In short, ASTENA will be a breakthrough facility for high-energy astrophysics. Its broad band (2 keV–20 MeV) coverage and payload of two instruments—the WFM–IS and its focusing NFT with a 50–600 keV passband—will together provide unprecedented sensitivity to continuum and line emission, unique new polarimetric capabilities, and outstandingly high ≲1′ angular resolution, all in one mission. Furthermore, it will drive breakthroughs in the nuclear astrophysics field and will establish the origin of the 511-keV positron annihilation line observed from the Galactic Centre, as described in the companion White Paper by [18].
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