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We calculate the probability of the creation of a circular phonon (c-phonon) in He II by a c-photon of the resonator. It is shown that this probability has sharp maxima at frequencies, where the effective group velocity of the c-phonon is equal to zero; the density of states of c-phonons strongly grows at such frequencies. For He II, these frequencies correspond to a roton and a maxon. From the probability of the c-roton creation, we calculate the roton line width which is found to approximately agree with the experimental one. We conclude that the roton line observed in the super-high-frequency (SHF) absorption spectrum of helium is related to the creation of c-rotons. A possible interpretation of the Stark effect observed for the roton line is also proposed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the recent works [1, 2], an unconventional effect was discovered. In a dielectric disk-shaped resonator placed in liquid ⁴He, the azimuth (l-) modes were excited. These modes are of the “whispering-gallery” type and represent a superposition of standing and running resonance electromagnetic (EM) waves of the SHF range in a narrow frequency interval. At the roton frequency \( \nu_{\text{rot}} = \Delta_{\text{rot}}/2\pi\hbar = 180.3 \text{ GHz} \), the supernarrow absorption line with the width \( \Delta\nu \approx 50 \text{ kHz} \) was observed in the SHF spectrum of the resonator. This width is by six orders less than that of a roton peak measured in neutron experiments and is comparable with the width of line in the Mössbauer effect. It was assumed in [2] that the line is related to the Van Hove singularity caused by a high density of states of plane (p-) rotons near the roton minimum of the dispersion curve. In this case, however, one is faced with the problem to satisfy the momentum conservation law, since the p-roton momentum is greater than the momentum of a p-photon with the same energy by six orders. Therefore, it was supposed [2] that the excess momentum of a p-roton is transferred to helium as a whole.

To clarify this and other points, it is necessary to calculate the probability of the creation of a roton and the widths and the forms of lines for various possible processes, and then to choose a process explaining the experiment. It is necessary to take into account that the EM field of a disk-shaped resonator has the circular (c-) symmetry and is concentrated only near the disk according to measurements [1] and the theory [3]. Since namely the EM field induces the transition, the latter must be characterized by the c-symmetry. In [3], it was shown that a phonon near a disk possesses also the c-symmetry. Therefore, we assume that the narrow line corresponds to the creation of a c-roton by the EM field of the resonator. Below, we will find the probability of this process and the width of the corresponding absorption line.

A number of results required for calculations was obtained in [3]; formulas (N) from that work will be denoted here by (N*). A part of the results of the present work was briefly published in [4].

II. PROBABILITY OF THE CREATION OF A CIRCULAR PHONON BY THE FIELD OF A RESONATOR

To calculate the line width, it is necessary to know the probability of the c-photon \( \rightarrow \) c-phonon process. No problems concerning the conservation laws appear for this process, because both c-photon and c-phonon have no momentum, but have angular momenta \( L_z = \hbar l \) and \( L_z = \hbar l_c \), respectively [3]. Moreover, the condition \( l = l_c \) is easily satisfied. As will be seen, the field of the resonator contains \( \sim 10^{12} \) photons with close frequencies. At such occupation number, the photon field can be considered as an external classical perturbing harmonic field with frequency \( \nu \) acting on helium. We now calculate the probability of the creation of a c-phonon by this field. The width of the azimuth mode, on which the roton line is observed, is about 2.5 MHz, the line width \( \sim 0.1 \text{ MHz} \), and the frequency \( \nu = 180.3 \text{ GHz} \). It is seen that the mode width is very small as compared with the frequency; therefore, the latter can be considered constant.

It is worth noting that, while calculating the transition amplitude, we can take no care of the conservation laws. They are satisfied automatically (if a transition contradicts some conservation law, this will manifest itself in the disagreement of the symmetries of the initial and final states, and the amplitude will become equal to
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where

\[ \omega = 2mc^2 = 2 \] and \( d \psi_n = d \Omega^{\text{nucl}} \) are the phase volumes of all nuclei and all electrons, \( \psi_i \) and \( \psi_f \) are the wave functions (WFs) of the initial and final states of helium, respectively, \( E_f - E_i = E_c \) is the energy of a c-phonon, and \( \omega = 2\pi\nu \). The explicit formula for \( \tilde{F} \) follows from the perturbation operator

\[ \tilde{V} = \tilde{F} e^{-i\omega t} + \tilde{F}^* e^{i\omega t}. \] (3)

If the wavelength \( \lambda \) of the EM field is much more than the size of the system, then the problem is solved in the dipole approximation \([8]\). In our case, this approximation is not suitable, since the system size exceeds \( \lambda \) by one order. In addition, a photon is spent on the excitation of fluid helium as a whole, i.e., on the creation of a c-phonon which is related to the motion of atoms as united objects, rather than on the excitation of electron shells of a single atom or many atoms. Therefore, we will use a general approach, by considering the action of the EM field directly on the charged particles in an atom, i.e., on electrons and the nucleus. But the atoms interact with one another. As a result, the EM field creates the collective excitation, a phonon which is electrically neutral as a whole. It should be noted that a sound wave is associated with a variable concentration gradient. In this case, a variable local electric field arises in the interatomic space, since helium atoms polarize one another \([7, 8]\). However, this gives only a negligible correction to the effect. For a charge particle in the EM field, we have \([\tilde{F})\]

\[ \tilde{V} = -\frac{q}{2mc}(\hat{A} \cdot \hat{p} + \hat{p} \cdot \hat{A}) + \frac{q^2A^2}{2mc^2} = \]

\[ = -\frac{q}{mc}(\hat{A} \cdot \hat{p} - i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{div} \hat{A}) + \frac{q^2A^2}{2mc^2}, \] (4)

where \( \hat{p} = -i\hbar \nabla_r \), and \( q \) and \( r \) are the charge and the radius-vector of a particle, respectively. The term with \( A^2 \) induces two-photon transitions which will not be considered here. The field \( A \) should be real and can be presented in the form

\[ A(r, t) = A_0(r) e^{-i\omega t} + \hat{A}^*_0(r) e^{i\omega t} + \hat{A}(r). \] (5)

The quantity \( \text{div} A \) is calculated in \([8]\), formula (6). Since we are interested in the field \( A \) in helium, we set \( \varepsilon_+ = \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_h \) in (6). As a result, we obtain

\[ \text{div} A = f_d(r), \] i.e. the divergence is determined by the field \( \hat{A}(r) \), i.e. the time-independent part of \( A \). It can be always set to zero, by adding a gradient of the corresponding function to \( A \). In this case, the measurable quantities \( \hat{E} \) and \( \hat{H} \) are not changed. Therefore, we set \( \hat{A}(r) = 0 \), so that \( \text{div} A = 0 \). In what follows, we omit index 0 in \( A_0 \). So, we have

\[ \hat{F} = \frac{i\hbar}{m} \hat{A} \nabla_r. \] (6)

Consider the set of He II atoms in the field \( A \). An atom of \( ^4\text{He} \) consists of the nucleus with the charge \( q_n = -2e \) and two electrons, each possessing the charge \( q_e = e \). Therefore, for He II, we have

\[ \hat{F} = \sum_j \left( \frac{q_n}{m_n} A(R_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} + \frac{q_e}{m_e} A(R_j^{(1)}) \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j^{(1)}} \right. \]

\[ \left. + \frac{q_e}{m_e} A(R_j^{(2)}) \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j^{(2)}} \right). \] (7)

Here, \( R_j \) are coordinates of the nucleus of the \( j \)-th atom, \( R_j^{(1)} \) and \( R_j^{(2)} \) are coordinates of the electrons of this atom, \( m_n \approx m_4 \) is the nucleus mass of \(^4\text{He} \) atom, and \( m_e \) is the electron mass.

To calculate \( F_{fi} \), we need to know the WFs \( \psi_i \) and \( \psi_f \). Let the initial state characterized by the WF \( \psi_i \) be the ground state of helium, and let the final state with \( \psi_f \) be the ground state plus one c-phonon. Then

\[ \Psi_i = \Psi_0([-R_j, R_j^{(1,2)}]) = \Psi_0^{\text{nucl}}([-R_j]) \psi_0^{el}([-R_j^{(1,2)}]), \] (8)

where \( \Psi_0^{el} \) is the WF of all electrons, and \( \Psi_0^{\text{nucl}} \) is the WF of all nuclei of helium atoms. The modern microscopic models describe the properties of He II well enough. According to them, a helium atom is a very elastic object. Therefore, we can consider with a good accuracy that the electron shell of each atom follows the nucleus without inertia and is not deformed by the interaction with neighboring atoms. In this case, \( \Psi_0^{\text{nucl}}(R_j) \) coincides with \( \tilde{\psi}_0(R_j) \) written as a function of the coordinates of atoms, and the electron part looks as

\[ \Psi_0^{el} = \prod_{j=1}^N \tilde{\psi}_j(R_j^{(1)}) \tilde{\psi}_j^{(1)}(R_j^{(2)}) \approx \prod_{j=1}^N \psi_{1s}(r_j^{(1)}) \psi_{1s}(r_j^{(2)}), \]

\[ \approx \prod_{j=1}^N \tilde{\psi}_{1s}(r_j^{(1)}) \tilde{\psi}_{1s}(r_j^{(2)}), \] (9)

where \( r_j^{(1)} = R_j^{(1)} - R_j, r_j^{(2)} = R_j^{(2)} - R_j \). For the WF of the ground state of \(^4\text{He} \) atom, we use the well-known one-parameter approximation with \( \tilde{\psi}_{1s}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}a} e^{-r/a} \) \((a = 0.313\text{Å})\). In reality, the electron shells of atoms are perturbed by neighbors and as a result are somewhat deformed \([7, 8]\). These deformations are “directed” to
In view of it, we obtain this case, as follows from the smallness of the formula (3), with a large (even at a helium atom is strongly deformed and “smeared” over several interatomic distances. However, this should not be accepted: zero oscillations mean only that, due to the strong interatomic interaction, the helium atoms move with a large (even at T = 0) mean velocity, which leads to a small density of helium and exhausts the condensate. But the electron shells of atoms are deformed slightly in this case, as follows from the smallness of d. We will neglect these deformations and apply formula (9).

For the WF of a state with a single c-phonon, we use the formula [9]

\[
\Psi_f = \Psi_0 \Psi_c(l_c, k_z, k_\rho).
\]

In view of it, we obtain

\[
F_{fi} \approx \frac{2i\hbar |e| N}{m_4 c} \int \Psi_0 \Psi^*_c \left( A(R_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial R_1} - \frac{m_4}{m_c} A(R_1^{(1)}) \frac{\partial}{\partial R_1^{(1)}} \right) \Psi_0 d\Omega^{nuc} d\Omega^c.
\]

Let us take into account that

\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_0^c}{\partial R_1} = \Psi_0^c \frac{\partial \Psi_0^{nuc}}{\partial R_1} + \Psi_0^{nuc} \frac{\partial \Psi_0^c}{\partial R_1},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_0^c}{\partial R_1} = \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_{1s}(r_1^{(1)})}{\partial r_1^{(1)}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\psi}_{1s}(r_1^{(1)})} + \tilde{r}_1^{(1)} \leftrightarrow \tilde{r}_1^{(2)} \Psi_0^c.
\]

Then

\[
F_{fi} = F_{fi}^{nuc} + F_{fi}^c,
\]

\[
F_{fi}^{nuc} = \frac{i\hbar |e| N}{m_4 c} \int \Psi^*_c A(R_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial R_1} (\Psi_0^n)^2 d\Omega^{nuc},
\]

\[
F_{fi}^c = \frac{2i\hbar |e| N}{m_4 c} \int \Psi^*_c (\Psi_0^c)^2 \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_{1s}(r_1^{(1)})}{\partial r_1^{(1)}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\psi}_{1s}(r_1^{(1)})} \left( A(R_1) + r_1^{(1)} \right) d\Omega^{nuc} d\Omega^c.
\]

A. Calculation of $F_{fi}^{nuc}$

We note that the matrix element $F_{fi}$ in (11) is constructed on the basis of the WFs $\Psi_c$ and $\Psi_f$ not containing the time factor (the latter is included into the $\delta$-function). In formula (50) for $\Psi_c$, the coordinates of atoms can be considered as the coordinates of nuclei. Omitting the factor $e^{-i\omega t}$, we present $\Psi_c$ in the form

\[
\Psi_c \approx \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Psi_c(j), \quad \Psi_c(j) = c_{l_c, k_z, k_\rho} e^{i l_c \phi_j + ik_z Z_j} \tilde{\psi}_{l_c}(k_\rho),
\]

below $c_{l_c, k_z, k_\rho} \equiv \tilde{c}$. Whence, with regard for (56°) and (58°), we get

\[
F_{fi}^{nuc} = \frac{i\hbar |e| N}{m_4 c} \left\{ \int dR_1 \Psi^*_c(1) A(R_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial R_1} \frac{1}{V} + \left( N - 1 \right) \int dR_1 dR_2 \Psi^*_c(2) A(R_1) \frac{\partial g(R_1 - R_2)}{V^2 dR_1} \right\}.
\]

Since $\frac{\partial g}{\partial R_1} = 0$, the first integral in (19) is equal to zero. As for the second integral, the integration is carried on over the region, where the helium atoms are positioned, i.e., over the region outside of the disk. Therefore, we describe the field $A$ with the use of solution (31°)-(33°) without the factor $e^{-i\omega t}$ (taken into account in (9)). Denoting $|R_1 - R_2| = R$, we have

\[
A(R_1) \frac{\partial g(R)}{\partial R_1} = A m e^{i l \phi} \left[ a_1(\rho_1, Z_1) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_1} + i \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_1} \right) + \frac{a_2(\rho_1, Z_1)}{\rho_1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_1} + i \frac{1}{\rho_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \right] g(R).
\]

Using (72°) and the relation $q R_1 = q_z Z_1 + q_\rho \rho_1 \cos (\phi_1 - \phi_2)$, we obtain

\[
A(R_1) \frac{\partial g(R)}{\partial R_1} = A m e^{i l \phi} \left( 2\pi \right) \int dq_S |S(q) - 1| q_\rho \times 
\]

\[
\times \left[ a_1(\rho_1, Z_1) e^{i \phi(1) - \phi(2)} - a_2(\rho_1, Z_1) e^{i \phi(2) - \phi(3)} \right] \times 
\]

\[
\times e^{i q_\rho (Z_1 - Z_2) + i q_\rho \rho_1 \cos (\phi_1 - \phi_2) - i q_\rho \rho_2 \cos (\phi_2 - \phi_3)},
\]

where $n = N/V$. In the cylindrical coordinate system, $dR_1 = d\varphi_1 dZ_1 d\rho_1 d\rho_2$ and $dq = d\varphi_1 dq_\rho dq_\rho_1 dq_\rho$. The relation

\[
J_1(x) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i x \cos \psi \pm i l \psi} d\psi
\]

(β is arbitrary) yields

\[
\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi_1 e^{i l (\phi_1 \pm \phi_2)} J_{l \pm 1}(q_\rho \rho_1) = 2\pi i^{l \pm 1} e^{i l \phi_2} J_{l \pm 1}(q_\rho \rho_1),
\]
\[
\int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_2 \exp \left[ -iL \varphi_2 - iq \rho_2 \cos (\varphi_2 - \varphi_0) \right] = 2\pi i^{-L} e^{-iL \varphi_1} J_L(q \rho_2), \tag{24}
\]

With regard for (32*), (33*) and (18), we write the required matrix element as

\[
F_{fi}^{nuc} = i\delta_{l,l'} h_{\ell} |\hat{c} A_m| \frac{m_4 c \sqrt{N}}{R_4} \int dZ_1 dZ_2 d\rho_1 d\rho_2 d\rho_2 dq_z \times
\times q^2_0 dq_1 J_l(q \rho_2) J_l(k_\rho, \rho_1) \left[ S(q) - 1 \right] e^{i[q \cdot (Z_1 - Z_2) - i k_z \cdot Z_2]} \times [a_1(\rho_1, Z_1) J_{l-1}(q \rho_1) + a_2(\rho_1, Z_1) J_{l+1}(q \rho_1)]. \tag{25}
\]

Integrating \( R_2 \) over the whole volume (including the disk), we obtain

\[
\int dq_z dZ_2 \left[ e^{i[q \cdot (Z_1 - Z_2) - i k_z \cdot Z_2]} \left[ S(q_2, \rho_2) - 1 \right] =
= 2\pi e^{-ik_z Z_1} \left[ S(-k_z, \rho_2) - 1 \right]. \tag{26}
\]

We pass in (24) to discrete \( q_\rho \) by replacing \( \int dq_\rho \to \sum_{n_\rho} \) and using for \( q_\rho \) the quantization conditions \( \tag{27} \)

\[
k_z = \frac{2\pi n_z}{H - h_d}, \quad n_z = \pm 1, \pm 2, ..., \tag{27}
\]

\[
k_\rho = \frac{\pi n_\rho}{R_\infty - R_d}, \quad n_\rho \gg 1 \tag{28}
\]

where \( h_d = 0.1 \text{ cm} \) and \( R_d = 0.95 \text{ cm} \) are, respectively, the height and the radius of the disk resonator, and \( H \approx 4.2 \text{ cm} \) and \( R_\infty \approx 2.1 \text{ cm} \) are the same for the chamber with helium; the numbers are indicated for the experiment [11]. The quantity \( R_d \) is defined in [2]: for small \( k_\rho, R_d > R_\infty \) (in particular, for the smallest \( k_\rho \), we have \( R_d \approx 1.5 R_\infty \)), and \( R_d \) decreases down to \( R_\infty \) with increase in \( k_\rho \). With regard for (64*), we will take the integral required in the calculations of [25]:

\[
I_p = \int \rho_2 d\rho_2 d\rho_2 dq_1 J_l(q \rho_2) J_l(k_\rho, \rho_1) \approx k_\rho \left[ S(k) - 1 \right] J_{l+1}(k_\rho, \rho_1) B(k_\rho, R_\infty, l) \frac{1}{1 - R_d/R_\infty}, \tag{29}
\]

\[
B(x, l) = \pi x \int_0^1 y dy J_l^2(y \cdot x). \tag{30}
\]

At greater \( k_\rho \), the function \( B(k_\rho, R_\infty, l) \approx 1 \), whereas it is close to 1 ( \( \gtrsim 0.9 \) at lower \( k_\rho \) \( (k_\rho, R_\infty \lesssim l) \) and can be calculated numerically.

Taking the disk into account gives \( I_p \to I_p \left( 1 - \frac{h_d}{H} \frac{R_d}{R_\infty} \frac{B(k_\rho, R_\infty, l)}{B(k_\rho, R_\infty, l)} \right) \). Since \( h_d/H \lesssim 1/2, \)

\[
R_d/R_\infty \simeq 1/2, \quad \text{and} \quad B \approx 1, \text{ this consideration changes } I_p \text{ only slightly, which can be neglected. From (25)–(29), we now obtain}
\]

\[
F_{fi}^{nuc} = i\delta_{l,l'} \xi \sqrt{\frac{k^3}{k_\rho}} I_{nuc}, \tag{31}
\]

\[
\xi = \frac{2\pi h |\hat{c} A_m| B(k_\rho, R_\infty, l)}{m_4 c \sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{1 - R_d/R_\infty} [S(k) - 1]. \tag{32}
\]

\[
I_{nuc} = \int dZ_1 J_l d\rho_1 e^{-ik_z Z_1} \left[ a_1(\rho_1, Z_1) J_{l-1}(k_\rho, \rho_1) + a_2(\rho_1, Z_1) J_{l+1}(k_\rho, \rho_1) \right]. \tag{33}
\]

As is seen, we must integrate with respect to the variables \( Z_1 \) and \( \rho_1 \) over the region outside of the disk with regard for the distribution of the EM field calculated in [3]. We denote the values of the integral \( I_{l} \) taken over regions I and II from (32*)–(33*) by, respectively, indices 1 and 2:

\[
I_{nuc} = I_{nuc}^{(1)} + I_{nuc}^{(2)}, \tag{34}
\]

It will be seen below that values of the functions \( F_{fi}^{nuc} \) and \( F_{fi}^{nuc} \) are maximum in two limiting cases: \( k_\rho \to \infty \) and \( k_z \to \infty \). In the first case, the simple, but sufficiently bulky calculations give

\[
I_{nuc}^{(1)} \approx \frac{\cos \alpha l (k_\rho, R_\infty)}{k_\rho^2 g^2 \theta_0} \sqrt{\frac{2R_d}{\pi k_\rho}} \cdot O \left( \frac{k_\rho + \kappa + Q_1 \omega \theta_0}{k_\rho} \right), \tag{35}
\]

\[
I_{nuc}^{(2)} \approx - \frac{2l - 2}{\pi k_\rho R_\infty} I_{l}(k_z, R_d), \tag{36}
\]

\[
I_{l}(k_z, \rho) = \frac{J_{l-1}(Q \cdot R_d)}{\pi \hbar_d - k_\rho} \tag{37}
\]

where \( \alpha l (k_\rho, R_\infty) = k_\rho R_\infty - \pi l/2 - \pi/4, \hbar_d = h_d/2 + (\rho - R_d)\pi g \theta(\rho), \hbar_d \approx 1.087 h_d, \) and \( \theta \) is the effective angle.

Using the latter, we set the line of sewing \( z(\rho) \) in (32*), (33*) at \( z > 0 \) in the form \( \rho = R_d + (z - h_d/2)tg \theta(z) \).

The condition \( \theta = \theta(z = h_d/2) \) determines this angle at the beginning of the line of sewing. In calculations, we used the properties \( J_{l-1}(Q \cdot R_d) = c_1 J_{l-1}(Q \cdot R_d) \) and \( n_{l-1}(Q \cdot R_d) = \tilde{h} n_{l-1}(Q \cdot R_d) \), as well as the asymptotics of the Bessel and Neumann functions. Since \( k_\rho + \kappa + Q_1 \omega \theta_0 \ll k_\rho, \) the relation \( |I_{nuc}^{(1)}| \ll |I_{nuc}^{(2)}| \) is valid.

Consider the case where \( k_z \to \infty \). Now, \( k_\rho \) is small. The smallest \( k_\rho \) are determined by the equality \( k_\rho^{(1)} = \mu_{l}^{(1)}(R_\infty) \) (see (46*)), where \( l = l_{rot} = 66 \). Using the formulas \( [10] \)

\[
\mu_{l}^{(1)} \approx l + 1.856 l^{1/3} + 1.033 l^{-1/3}, \tag{38}
\]
\[ \mu_1^{(2)} \approx l + 3.245 l^{1/3} + 3.158 l^{-1/3}, \]
(39)

\[ \mu_1^{(3)} \approx l + 4.382 l^{1/3} + 5.76 l^{-1/3}, \]
(40)

we determine three first zeros of the Bessel function: \( \mu_1^{(2)} \approx 73.756, \mu_1^{(2)} \approx 79.895, \) and \( \mu_1^{(2)} \approx 85.134. \) In order to calculate \( I_{1\text{nu}} \) and \( I_{1\text{w}} \), we take into account that, at small \( k_\rho \), the component \( k_z = \sqrt{k^2 - k^2_\rho} \approx k - k^2_\rho/2k \approx k. \)

Then we have

\[ I_{1\text{nu}}^{(1)}(k_z \to \infty) \approx -f_{1\text{nu}}^{(1)} \sin \left( \frac{k h d/2}{4k} \right), \]
(41)

\[ f_{1\text{nu}}^{(1)} = \int \rho d \rho \left[ J_l-J_{l+1}(Q_1 \rho) J_{l+1}(k_\rho \rho) \right] \]
+ \( c_l J_{l+1}(Q_1 \rho) J_{l+1}(k_\rho \rho) \).
(42)

For the smallest \( k_\rho^{(j)} \) \((j = 1; 2, 3)\), we determined numerically the following values: \( f_{1\text{nu}}^{(1)} R_d^{-2} = 0.0023, -0.00049, \) and \( 0.00029. \) By calculating integral \( \langle 33 \rangle \), we have

\[ I_{1\text{nu}}^{(2)}(k_z \to \infty) \approx \frac{\cos \left[ (\pi / h d - k_z) h d/2 \right]}{(\pi / h d - k_z)^2 c t g \theta_0} \]
\times R_d \left[ J_{l-1}(k_\rho R_d) + J_{l+1}(k_\rho R_d) \right] + (k_z \to -k_z), \]
(43)

which implies that \( I_{1\text{nu}}^{(2)} \) is negligible: \(| I_{1\text{nu}}^{(2)}(k_z \to \infty) | \sim | I_{1\text{nu}}^{(1)}(k_z \to \infty) | / k R_d \ll | I_{1\text{nu}}^{(1)}(k_z \to \infty) |. \)

**B. Calculation of \( F_{j_i}^{el} \)**

The electron part, \( F_{j_i}^{el} \), of the total matrix element \( \langle 15 \rangle \) can be calculated analogously. The nonzero value of \( F_{j_i}^{el} \) is determined by the difference

\[ A(R + r) - A(R) = e_\varphi(R) \cdot \left( \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial R} \right) + \]
+ \( e_\rho(R) \cdot \left( \frac{\partial A_\rho}{\partial R} \right) + A_\varphi \frac{y_\rho i + x_\rho j}{\rho} + A_\varphi \frac{x_\rho i + y_\rho j}{\rho} + O(r^2), \]
(44)

where \( R = (\rho \cos \varphi, \rho \sin \varphi, Z), \) \( r = (x, y, z), \) and we took into account that, as \( R \) is much more than the atom size \((R \gg r), \)

\[ e_\varphi(R + r) \approx e_\varphi(R) \left( 1 - \frac{y \sin \varphi + x \cos \varphi}{\rho} \right) - \frac{y_\rho i + x_\rho j}{\rho}, \]
(45)

\[ e_\rho(R + r) \approx e_\rho(R) \left( 1 - \frac{y \sin \varphi + x \cos \varphi}{\rho} \right) + \frac{x_\rho i + y_\rho j}{\rho}. \]
(46)

Representing \( A \) in the form

\[ A = A_\rho(\rho, \varphi, Z)e_\rho + A_\varphi(\rho, \varphi, Z)e_\varphi, \]
(47)

we obtain

\[ F_{j_i}^{el} = \frac{e B(n \hbar)^2}{m_e c \sqrt{N}} (I_1 + I_2), \]
(48)

\[ I_1 = \int \left[ dR e^{-i l_\rho \varphi - i k_z Z J_l(k_\rho \rho) \times} \right] \]
\times \left[ A_\rho(R) + \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} + \rho \frac{\partial A_\rho}{\partial \rho} / \rho \right].
(49)

\[ I_2 = n \int dR_1 dR_2 e^{-i l_\rho \varphi - i k_z Z J_l(k_\rho \rho) \times} \]
\times \left[ A_\rho(R_1) + \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} + \rho_1 \frac{\partial A_\rho}{\partial \rho} / \rho_1 \right].
(50)

Using relations \((72), (22) - (30)\), we can verify that

\[ I_1 \approx I_1 \left[ S(k) - 1 \right] \frac{B(k_\rho R_{\infty}, l)}{1 - R_d / R_{\infty}}, \]
(51)

\[ I_2 = 2 \pi A_\rho \delta_{l_1}, I_{el}, \]
(52)

\[ I_{el} = \int dZ d \rho J_l(k_\rho \rho) e^{-i k_z Z} \left\{ (1 - l) a_1(\rho, Z) - \right\}
- \left( 1 + l \right) a_2(\rho, Z) + \rho \partial \varphi / \partial \rho \cdot \left( a_1(\rho, Z) - a_2(\rho, Z) \right) \}
,
(53)

where the integration is carried out over the volume occupied by helium. Analogously to \( \langle 33 \rangle \), we divide \( I_{el} \) into the sum of integrals over regions I and II. Then we have

\[ I_{el} = I_{el}^{(1)} + I_{el}^{(2)}, \]
(54)

\[ I_{el}^{(1)} = \left( \int - \infty \int \frac{h d/2}{-\infty} \to \infty \right) \frac{dZ}{8} e^{-i k_z Z} \pi \left( |Z| - h d/2 \right) I_{el,\rho}(Z), \]
(55)

\[ I_{el,\rho}^{(1)}(Z) = \int_0^{R_d} \rho \left( [ (1 - l) J_{l+1}(Q_1 \rho) - \right) \]
- \left( 1 + l \right) c_l J_{l+1}(Q_1 \rho) + Q_1 \rho J_{l+1}(Q_1 \rho) \]
- \left[ c_l J_{l+1}(Q_1 \rho) \right],
(56)

\[ I_{el}^{(2)} = \int_0^{R_d} \rho \left( [ (1 - l) n_{l+1}(Q_1 \rho) - \right) \]
- \left( 1 + l \right) b_l n_{l+1}(Q_1 \rho) + \]
+ \left[ Q_1 \rho m_{l+1}(Q_1 \rho) - b_l Q_1 \rho m_{l+1}(Q_1 \rho) \right],
(57)
where \( I_z(k_z, \rho) \) is defined above, \( \tilde{R}_d = R_d + (|Z| - h_d/2)tg(\theta) \), \( J'_0(x) = \partial J_0(x)/\partial x \), and \( n'_0(x) = \partial n_0(x)/\partial x \). The integrals \( I^{(1)}_{el} \) and \( I^{(2)}_{el} \) are calculated analogously to \( I^{(1)}_{nuc} \) and \( I^{(2)}_{nuc} \). Eventually, we get

\[
I^{(1)}_{el}(k_{\rho} \to \infty) \approx -\frac{1}{2k^2_{\rho}g_0} \frac{2}{\pi k_{\rho} R_d} \times \\
\times \cos \alpha_1(k_{\rho} R_d) \cos \left( k_h h_d/2 \right) \left\{ 1 - \frac{Q_1 R_d}{2l_{J_{-1}}(Q_1 R_d)} \right\} ,
\]

\[
I^{(2)}_{el}(k_{\rho} \to \infty) \approx \frac{2l - 2}{k_{\rho}} \frac{2}{\pi k_{\rho} R_d} \sin \alpha_1(k_{\rho} R_d) \times \\
\times I_z(k_{\rho}, R_d) = -k_p I^{(2)}_{nuc}(k_{\rho} \to \infty). \tag{59}
\]

At \( k \gg 1/h_d \), the integral \( I^{(1)}_{el} \) is negligible: \( |I^{(1)}_{el}| \sim |I^{(2)}_{el}|/k_{\rho} h_d \ll |I^{(2)}_{el}| \). Formulas (63) and (58) do not involve the condition \( \Psi_c = 0 \) for the disk surface. For its consideration, we need to make replacements \( \cos(k_h h_d/2) \to \sin(k_h h_d/2), \cos \alpha_1(k_{\rho} R_d) \to \sin \alpha_1(k_{\rho} R_d)/k_{\rho} R_d \approx 1/k_{\rho} R_d \ll 1 \) in the formulas, which decreases \( I^{(1)}_{nuc} \) and \( I^{(1)}_{el} \) still further.

At small \( k_{\rho} \), we obtain

\[
I^{(1)}_{el}(k_{\rho} \to \infty) \approx -f^{(1)}_{el} \frac{\sin(k_{\rho} h_d/2)}{4k_{\rho}}, \tag{60}
\]

\[
f^{(1)}_{el} = \int_0^{R_d} d\rho J_1(k_{\rho}) \left[ (1 - l)J_{-1}(Q_1 \rho) - \\
- (1 + l)\rho J_{1}(Q_1 \rho) + Q_1 \rho J'_{1}(Q_1 \rho) - \right. \\
\left. - c_1 Q_1 \rho J'_{1}(Q_1 \rho) \right] .
\]

For \( k_{\rho}^{(j)} \) with \( j = 1; 2; 3 \), we get numerically: \( f^{(1)}_{el}/R_d = -0.17, 0.039, \) and \(-0.025 \). In addition,

\[
I^{(2)}_{el}(k_{\rho} \to \infty) \approx \frac{\cos \left( \pi/\hat{h}_d - k_z h_d/2 \right)}{(\pi/\hat{h}_d - k_z^2)^2} g_0 \times \\
\times J_{-1}(Q_1 R_d) J_1(k_{\rho} R_d) \times \\
\times \left\{ (1 - l)n_{-1}(Q_1^2 R_d) - (1 + l)\rho n_{+1}(Q_1^2 R_d) + \\
+ Q_1^2 R_d J'_{-1}(Q_1^2 R_d) - b J'_{+1}(Q_1 R_d) \right\} + \\
+ (k_{\rho} \to -k_{\rho}) \times \frac{I^{(1)}_{el}(k_{\rho} \to \infty)}{k_{\rho} R_d} \ll I^{(1)}_{el}(k_{\rho} \to \infty).
\]

With regard for (61), (62), (63), (64), the performed calculations allow us to write finally

\[
F^{(1)}_{fi} = \delta_{l_1, l_2} b(k_{\rho}, l) \xi_{\sqrt{k_p} R_d}, \tag{63}
\]

\[
b(k_{\rho}, l) = \frac{m_4}{m_e} \frac{1 - \tilde{R}_d/\hat{R}_d}{[S(k) - 1]B(k_{\rho} R_{\infty}, l) + 1}. \tag{64}
\]

C. Total matrix transition element \( F_{fi} \)

We have

\[
F_{fi} = F^{(1)}_{fi} + F^{(2)}_{fi} \approx \delta_{l_1, l_2} \xi_{\sqrt{k_p} [k_p I_{nuc} + b(k_{\rho}, l)] I_d}, \tag{65}
\]

whence the total probability of the creation of a c-phonon with “momentum” \( k \) is

\[
w_{fi} = \sum_{l_{\rho}, n_z, n_{\rho}} \delta w_{fi} = \\
2 \frac{2\pi}{h} |F^{(1)}_{fi}|^2 (\delta(E_f - E_i - \hbar \omega) = \tag{66}
\]

\[
2 \frac{2\pi}{h} \sum_{l_{\rho}, n_z, n_{\rho}} |\xi_{\sqrt{k_p} [k_p I_{nuc} + b(k_{\rho}, l)] I_d}|^2 \delta(E_c(k) - \hbar \omega).
\]

Moreover, \( k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2 \) in all formulas. The main contribution to sum (66) is given by the regions \( k_z \to 0 \), \( k_{\rho} \to k \) and \( k_{\rho} \to 0 \), \( k_z \to k \). We now determine these contributions.

a) Region of small \( k_z \) (here, \( k_{\rho} \to k \)). According to (64) - (67) and (61), (68), we have \( I_{nuc}(k_{\rho} \to \infty) \approx I_{nuc}^{(2)}(k_{\rho} \to \infty), \ I_{el}(k_{\rho} \to \infty) \approx I_{el}^{(2)}(k_{\rho} \to \infty). \) In (66), we now pass from the sum of \( \sum_{n_{\rho}} \) to the integral

\[
\sum_{n_{\rho}} \to \frac{2\pi}{\pi^2 - k_{\rho}^2} \int dk_{\rho}
\]

and then, with the help of the relation \( dkk_{\rho} = k_{\rho} dk_{\rho} \), to an integral over \( k \). Due to the \( \delta \)-function, this integral is easily calculated. After some transformations, we obtain

\[
w_{fi}(k_z \to 0) = S_1 \frac{2\gamma_0^2 |\xi|_0^2 \hat{h}_d^2 (R_{\infty} - R_d)}{R_d h \hbar k^2 \partial E/\partial k}, \tag{67}
\]

\[
S_1(k) = \frac{8(1 - l)^2}{\pi^2 \hat{h}_d^2} \sum_{n_{\rho}} \sum_{k_{\rho}} \frac{k_{\rho}^3}{m_4} \sin^2 \alpha_1(k_{\rho} R_d) I^2_{nuc}(k_z, R_d) \approx \\
\approx (2/\pi) [(1 - l)J_{-1}(Q_1 R_d) \sin \alpha_1(k_{\rho} R_d)]^2 \times \\
\times \frac{\{ \left\{ \sin (\frac{\pi}{2 \hat{h}_d} - n_{\rho} \frac{\pi}{\hat{h}_d} - k_{\rho}) \right\}^2}{\pi^2 \hat{h}_d - n_{\rho} \frac{\pi}{\hat{h}_d}} + (k_z \to -k_z) \approx \\
\approx \frac{4.3(H - \hat{h}_d)}{\pi \hat{h}_d} [(1 - l)J_{-1}(Q_1 R_d) \sin \alpha_1(k_{\rho} R_d)]^2, \tag{68}
\]

where \( n_{\rho} = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, b_0 = b(B = 1, \hat{R}_d = R_d) = m_4 \frac{S(k) - \hat{R}_d/\hat{R}_d}{S(k) - 1}, \) \( \xi_0 = \xi(B = 1, \hat{R}_d = R_d) \), and the value of \( k \) is determined from the condition \( E_c(k) \equiv E(k) \approx \hbar \omega \). For \( k_z, \) we used the quantization laws (27), which assumes the zero boundary conditions on the container walls.

b) Region of small \( k_{\rho} \). With the use of \( k_z = \frac{2\pi}{\pi^2 - k_{\rho}^2} \) (see (27)) and \( k_{\rho}^{(j)} = \mu^{(j)}_{el}/R_d \), relations (51), (58), (59), (64),


We now evaluate these contributions quantitatively for the absorption line at the roton frequency \(\hbar \omega = \Delta_{\text{rot}} \approx 8.65 K \cdot k_B\). Let the line width be the distance between the points, which located on both sides from the line center, and for which the intensity of a signal is about 0.8 of the background one (the signal far from the line). Then it follows from the experiment \([1, 2]\) that the line width is about 50 kHz at \(T = 1.8 K\) and decreases, at lower \(T\), to the resolving power of a spectroscope (\(\simeq 30 kHz\)). Moreover, the width stops to decrease at \(T \lesssim 1.6 K\) and approaches a constant \(\simeq 30 kHz\). The minimum experimental temperature \(T = 1.4 K\), but formula \((72)\) is applicable, if there are no c-rotons in the initial state of helium, i.e., at \(T = 0\). Because the width does not depend on \(T\) already at \(T \lesssim 1.6 K\), we may assume that the width at \(T = 0\) is the same as that at \(T = 1.4 K\).

The creation of a c-phonon (or a c-roton) is caused by the electron impact on the resonator at the roton frequency \(\hbar \omega = \Delta_{\text{rot}} \approx 8.65 K \cdot k_B\). Let the line width be the distance between the points, which located on both sides from the line center, and for which the intensity of a signal is about 0.8 of the background one (the signal far from the line). Then it follows from the experiment \([1, 2]\) that the line width is about 50 kHz at \(T = 1.8 K\) and decreases, at lower \(T\), to the resolving power of a spectroscope (\(\simeq 30 kHz\)). Moreover, the width stops to decrease at \(T \lesssim 1.6 K\) and approaches a constant \(\simeq 30 kHz\). The minimum experimental temperature \(T = 1.4 K\), but formula \((72)\) is applicable, if there are no c-rotons in the initial state of helium, i.e., at \(T = 0\). Because the width does not depend on \(T\) already at \(T \lesssim 1.6 K\), we may assume that the width at \(T = 0\) is the same as that at \(T = 1.4 K\).

The creation of a c-phonon (or a c-roton) is caused by the electron impact on the resonator at the roton frequency \(\hbar \omega = \Delta_{\text{rot}} \approx 8.65 K \cdot k_B\). Let the line width be the distance between the points, which located on both sides from the line center, and for which the intensity of a signal is about 0.8 of the background one (the signal far from the line). Then it follows from the experiment \([1, 2]\) that the line width is about 50 kHz at \(T = 1.8 K\) and decreases, at lower \(T\), to the resolving power of a spectroscope (\(\simeq 30 kHz\)). Moreover, the width stops to decrease at \(T \lesssim 1.6 K\) and approaches a constant \(\simeq 30 kHz\). The minimum experimental temperature \(T = 1.4 K\), but formula \((72)\) is applicable, if there are no c-rotons in the initial state of helium, i.e., at \(T = 0\). Because the width does not depend on \(T\) already at \(T \lesssim 1.6 K\), we may assume that the width at \(T = 0\) is the same as that at \(T = 1.4 K\).
the disk determined by formulas (17\*) and (18\*). Since
\[ R_d \int_0^{R_d} \rho d\rho J_{l-1}^2(Q_1 \rho) \approx \frac{R_d^2}{2} \left[ J_{l-1}(\mu_{l+1}^{(1)}) \right]^2 \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-3} R_d^2 \] (74)
and \( \cos(\pi \hbar_d/2\hbar_d) = 1/8 \), we can obtain
\[ W \approx 10^{-3} A_m^2 R_d^2 \left[ \frac{\epsilon \omega^2}{c^2} + \frac{Q_1^2}{2} \right] \left( 1 + \frac{\hbar_d}{4 \pi \hbar_d} \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{\hbar_d^2} \left( 1 - \frac{\hbar_d}{4 \pi \hbar_d} \right), \] (75)
where the small terms contributing to \( W \) are omitted. Using (75), it is easy to evaluate the total energy and the number of c-photons in the pumping band (\( \Delta \nu_{\text{pump}} \approx 50 \text{kHz} \)) for the roton mode (\( l = 66 \)) and the roton frequency:
\[ W \approx 9.2 A_m^2 R_d (R_d/0.95cm)^2 \approx 3.87 \cdot 10^8 \text{eV}, \] (76)
\[ N_{\text{phot}} = \frac{W}{\hbar \omega} \approx 5.19 \cdot 10^{11}. \] (77)

In a vicinity of the roton minimum, we have \( \partial E(k)/\partial k = \hbar^2 k - k_{\text{rot}}/m_{\text{rot}} \) with \( m_{\text{rot}} \approx 0.165 m_e \), \( k_{\text{rot}} = 1.93 \text{Å}^{-1} \). Then the probability \( \bar{w}_{fi} = w_{fi}/N_{\text{phot}} \) of the c-photon → c-roton process for the experimental width \( \Delta \nu = 30 \text{kHz} \)
\[ \bar{w}_{fi} \approx \frac{4 \pi^2}{9.2} \frac{(1 - \hbar_d/H)n e^2 \omega m_{\text{rot}} |S(k) - f|}{m_e^2 c^2 k \hbar_d (k - k_{\text{rot}})} \times \frac{4.3 \hbar_d}{\pi R_d} \left[ (l - 1) J_{l-1}(Q_1 R_d) \right]^2 \frac{f a(k, l)}{1 - f} \times \left( \frac{0.95 \text{cm}}{R_d} \right)^2 \approx 3.36 \cdot 10^{-7} \omega_{\text{rot}}. \] (78)

This process weakens the flow of photons propagating from the resonator. We now determine the absorption line width. To this end, we consider properties of the resonator. In the experiment [11], the pumping signal with the frequency band \( \Delta \nu_{\text{pump}} \) and the power \( w_{\text{pump}}^0 \approx 10^{-3} \text{W} \) was firstly switched-on. But, as a result of losses, the resonator received \( w_{\text{pump}} \approx 10^{-4} \div 10^{-5} \text{W} \). The resonator accumulates and amplifies the pumping signal, but, in this case, the energy losses in the resonator increase also, until a stationary equilibrium state is established. In this state, the losses in the resonator on the emission equal to the pumping. In other words, the condition of equilibrium for the EM field of the resonator with frequencies in the pumping band is the equality of the pumping energy flow and the losses:
\[ w_{\text{pump}} = N_{\text{phot}} \hbar \omega / \tau_{\text{ren}}, \] (79)
where \( N_{\text{phot}} \) and \( \tau_{\text{ren}} \) are, respectively, the number of c-photons in the resonator and the mean emission time of a c-photon by the resonator (obviously, it is also the period of renewal of EM modes of the resonator). By the value of \( w_{\text{pump}} \), we can estimate the electrical signal formed by an antenna catching photons emitted by the resonator as \( w \approx 4 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{W} \). It follows from the distribution of the stationary EM field of the resonator [8] that this field induces in the antenna the signal \( w \lesssim 4 \cdot 10^{-21} \text{W} \), weaker by 13 orders. In other words, the signal generated by the antenna is due to photons emitted by the resonator, rather than to the stationary EM field of the latter.

When the frequency of the EM field approaches the roton one, then, according to (78), the probability of the emission of c-rotons by the resonator becomes large. We now consider the frequency interval \( \Delta \nu_0 = \Delta \nu_{\text{pump}}/100 \approx 0.5 \text{kHz} \), which is much less than the line width and the pumping band but contains a macroscopic number of quanta. If the emission of c-rotons occurs, then the condition of the field \( \Delta \nu_0 \) takes the form
\[ 0.01 w_{\text{pump}} = 0.01 N_{\text{phot}} \hbar \omega / \tau_{\text{ren}} + N_{\text{rot}}^0 \Delta \nu_{\text{rot}} / \tau_{\text{ren}}. \] (80)
where \( \tau_{\text{em}} = 1/\bar{w}_{fi} \) is a duration of the emission of a c-roton by c-photon of the resonator, and \( N_{\text{rot}}^0 \) is the number of c-rotons emitted for a time interval \( \tau_{\text{em}} \) by c-photons from the band \( \Delta \nu_0 \). In this case, the losses of the resonator are separated into the channels of emission of c-photons and c-rotons. Respectively, the flow of emitted c-photons decreases, which is manifested in the resonator spectrum as the absorption. As was mentioned above, the signal is equal to 80% of the background one on the edge of lines. Hence, 20% of the losses of the resonator are transferred into c-rotons, whereas 80% pass into c-photons. Thus, each c-photon of the resonator in the frequency band \( \Delta \nu_0 \) for the time \( \tau_{\text{em}} \) emits a c-photon with a probability of 0.8 and a c-roton with a probability of 0.2. This yields \( \tau_{\text{em}} = 4 \tau_{\text{ren}} \), which allows us to write the following condition for the line edge:
\[ \bar{w}_{fi} \equiv \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{em}}} = \frac{1}{4 \tau_{\text{ren}}}. \] (81)

Relations (77), (79) yield \( \tau_{\text{ren}} \approx 6.25(10^{-7} \div 10^{-6}) \text{s} \), which corresponds to the experimental value \( \tau_{\text{ren}} \approx 10^{-6} \text{s} \). Using the last value of \( \tau_{\text{ren}} \) and (81), we obtain \( \bar{w}_{fi} \) for the line edge: \( \bar{w}_{fi} = 1/4 \tau_{\text{ren}} \approx 2.5 \cdot 10^5 \text{s}^{-1} \approx 2.2 \cdot 10^{-7} \omega_{\text{rot}} \), which is only by a factor of 1.5 less than the theoretical value of \( \bar{w}_{fi} \) (78).

The theoretical and experimental lines are shown in Fig. 1. At \( \nu > \nu_{\text{rot}} \), the amplitude of the former is given by the formula \( a \approx \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{4.3 \text{kHz}}{\nu - \nu_{\text{rot}}}} \right)^{-1} \). In this case, the error of the line width is about one order; it related to the approximate character of the solutions for the WF of a c-phonon (not completely correct consideration of boundary conditions) and for the EM field of the resonator, as well as to the neglect of a deviation of a symmetry of the system far from the disk (antenna, container’s wall, etc.) from the cylindrical symmetry. As is seen from Fig. 1, the theoretical line corresponds approximately to the experimental one by width, but does not by shape.
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diminished not only due to the creation of c-rotons by
“pedestal” (base) on many modes [2].

The density of states \(\varrho(E) = \int \delta(E(k) - \hbar \omega) dk = \frac{4\pi k^2}{\delta E/\delta k}\) is high in the region above the energy \(\Delta_{\text{rot}}\) of a roton \((\varrho(E) = \frac{4\pi k^2 m_{\text{rot}}}{\hbar^2} \rightarrow \infty\) at \(k \rightarrow k_{\text{rot}}\)). Below \(\Delta_{\text{rot}}\), no roton states are present, and the density of states decreases sharply to a value corresponding to the linear phonon curve: \(\varrho(E) = \frac{4\pi k^2}{\hbar^2} \). Therefore, for the c-photon \(\rightarrow\) c-roton transition, the line must sharply fall on the side of lower frequencies, whereas the experimental line is almost symmetric. This difference means that the process is more complicated and involves at least two particles, rather than one particle (c-photon \(\rightarrow\) c-roton), as was accepted above. In particular, one more c-phonon can be created, or a part of the angular momentum of a c-photon can be absorbed by the disk. We hope to clarify the mechanism in the future investigations.

It is necessary also to take into account that a spreading of the c-roton energy which is due to the interaction between quasiparticles can also contribute to the line width. However, this leads to a very large line width of the order of magnitude of the width \(\sim 0.1 \Delta_{\text{rot}}\) in neutron experiments. This value is larger by 6 orders than the width of the narrow SHF line and by 4 orders than the mode width. Therefore, this spreading cannot be observed for a single mode, but it is revealed as the “pedestal” (base) on many modes [2].

The number of photons approaching the receiver is diminished not only due to the creation of c-rotons by the field of the resonator, but also due to their creation by c-photons already emitted by the resonator. The time-of-flight of these photons to the receiver is \(\sim 4\lambda/c_h \approx 3 \times 10^{-11} \text{s} \approx 10^{-5} \tau_{\text{rem}}\), which is less by 5 orders than the duration of the emission of a c-roton by a photon of the resonator. In this case, the probabilities of the emission of a c-roton for a standing photon of the resonator and a running photon are of the same order, because a standing c-photon is simply a superposition of two radial c-photons propagating toward each other. Therefore, the line is formed not due to the absorption of running photons, but, as we have assumed, due to the absorption of “standing” photons of the resonator.

IV. DISCUSSION

While calculating formula (78), we used the solution for the EM field [3], for which the sewing in the corner region \(|z| \geq h_d/2, \rho = R_d \div \infty\) is approximate. In this region, the EM field is weak. But, nevertheless, it influences the value of \(\tilde{w}_{fi}\). To evaluate this influence, we calculated the probability \(\tilde{w}_{fi}\) for two other distributions of the EM field in the corner region: \(A_h = 0\) and \(A_h = A_m e^{i(t - \omega t)} [a_1(\rho, z)(e_\phi + i e_\zeta) + a_2(\rho, z)(-e_\phi + i e_\zeta)]\),

\[
\{a_1(\rho, z), a_2(\rho, z)\} = \frac{1}{2} \{i l_{l-1}(Q_1 R_d) e^{-i\kappa z} (|z| - h_d/2) \times \{n_{l-1}(Q_1 \rho), b n_{l-1}(Q_1 \rho)\}. \]

The latter can be sewed with solutions in other regions, but it does not completely satisfy the equation. Moreover, if we use \(Q_1\) instead of \(Q_{\text{fi}}\), then the equation is satisfied, but the sewing cannot be executed. The value of \(\tilde{w}_{fi}\) for such solutions differs from (78) by several times; therefore, \(\tilde{w}_{fi}\) is not very sensitive to the sewing. The analysis indicates that it is of importance to correctly set the solution in the corner region near the joint with adjacent regions, and it is not so important in bulk. Solution (32), (33) satisfies this condition, so that its use is justified.

As was mentioned above, the probability (78) of the c-photon \(\rightarrow\) c-roton process grows strongly at \(\partial E(k)/\partial k \rightarrow 0\), i.e., near the points of an extremum of the dispersion curve \(E(k)\). This peculiarity explains why the narrow line is observed namely at the roton frequency and predicts the possibility to find one more line at the frequency of the maxon maximum, \(\nu_{\text{max}} \approx 287 \pm 2\text{ GHz}\) [12] (up to now, the frequencies \(\nu \approx 40 \pm 200\text{ GHz}\) were studied). Let us substitute the maxon parameters \((k_{\text{max}} = 1.12 \text{Å}^{-1}, m_{\text{max}} \approx 0.54 m_4, S(k) \approx 0.3)\) in (78), and let us take into account that, in the denominator, the number 9.2 \(\sim \omega^2\), and, according to (19) and (20), it should be \(l \sim \omega\) for the resonance mode. We obtain \(\tilde{w}_{fi} \approx 5.9 \cdot 10^{-7} \omega_{\text{max}}\).

Thus, without regard for an additional factor (see above), we have that if \(\tau_{\text{rem}}\) is approximately identical for the maxon and roton lines, then the width of the maxon line must be larger by a factor of 1.7 (at \(T = 0\)) than the width of the roton line.

The peculiarity at \(\partial E(k)/\partial k \rightarrow 0\) is well known in solid-state physics as the Van Hove singularity. At \(\partial E(k)/\partial k \rightarrow 0\), the states of c-rotons falling in the small given energy interval are strongly concentrated. Respectively, the transition probability in this energy interval sharply increases. In crystals, the narrow lines of light absorption [13] and neutron scattering [14] were regi-
tered a lot of times. However, the width of the latter is larger by several orders than those of SHF lines in helium and corresponds to the pedestal. Thus, the narrow SHF line in helium is related to the Van Hove singularity, like the lines of crystals, but its widening is caused by another mechanism.

In neutron experiments with liquid helium, the analogous very narrow peaks must be observed on the scattering curve $S(k = \text{const}, \omega)$ at the frequencies of the roton and maxon extrema. However, the high error of $\varepsilon$ due to different values of appears (see [3]). At the creation of a c-roton, a c-photon disappears. At the exit of the disk, such a c-photon cannot be presented in the form of a superposition of photons which are plane in both helium and the disk, because the field of the resonator sharply drops with increase in the distance to the resonator.

In order to explain the appearance of the narrow line of absorption, the authors of work [2] proposed to consider the following process: p-photon $\rightarrow$ p-phonon + the transfer of a momentum to the disk and the transfer of an angular momentum to the disk or for the creation of a vortex rotating around the disk. Such processes must be less probable, since the greater the number of quasiparticles participating in a process, the less is the probability of the process. Moreover, what is more important, the overlapping of the wave functions of the EM field and a p-phonon is slight due to different symmetries.

In order to explain the appearance of the narrow line of absorption, the authors of work [2] proposed to consider the following process: p-photon $\rightarrow$ p-phonon + the transfer of a momentum to the disk and the transfer of an angular momentum to the disk or for the creation of a vortex rotating around the disk. Such processes must be less probable, since the greater the number of quasiparticles participating in a process, the less is the probability of the process. Moreover, what is more important, the overlapping of the wave functions of the EM field and a p-phonon is slight due to different symmetries.

In other words, we must be based in the input equations (1) at the frequencies of the roton and maxon extrema. However, the high error of $\varepsilon$ due to different values of appears (see [3]). At the creation of a c-roton, a c-photon disappears. At the exit of the disk, such a c-photon cannot be presented in the form of a superposition of photons which are plane in both helium and the disk, because the field of the resonator sharply drops with increase in the distance to the resonator.

2) In addition, the approach with p-photons requires the expansion of the field in multipoles. Here, the main contribution is given by the term with the dipole moment (DM) $d_1$ of a roton. It was assumed in work [2] that such a stationary DM arises in a roton due to the mutual polarization of atoms, and the probability of the p-photon $\rightarrow$ p-phonon transition is proportional to $d_1$. It was also proposed in [15] that a vortex ring possesses an intrinsic DM. However, both quasiparticles create the reciprocal motion of atoms: a part of atoms moves forward, but the similar part moves backward. In this case, the separated direction is set by the velocity of a quasiparticle. Therefore, the appearance of a DM is related to the asymmetry of a quasiparticle relative to the forward-backward directions. The question about the presence of such an asymmetry can be clarified with the help of the following reasoning (which belongs to Yu. V. Shtanov).

By definition, the stationary DM of a quasiparticle is equal to

$$d_{qp} = \int dR_1 dR_2 \ldots dR_N dR_1^{(2)} \ldots dR_N^{(2)} \Psi_{qp} \Psi_{qp}^* \cdot \cdot e(R_1^{(1)} + R_1^{(2)} - 2R_1 \ldots + R_N^{(1)} + R_N^{(2)} - 2R_N), \quad (82)$$

where $\Psi_{qp} = \Psi_{qp}(R_1, R_1^{(1)}, R_1^{(2)}, \ldots, R_N, R_N^{(1)}, R_N^{(2)})$ is the WF of a quasiparticle. Let us make inversion of time $t \rightarrow -t$. In this case, we have $\Psi_{qp} \rightarrow \Psi^*$, and DM [2] is not changed. But the DM must be directed along the velocity of a quasiparticle, i.e., it should change the sign. This implies that the DM is zero. It was considered in [15] that, by the CPT-theorem, the charges also change their signs at $t \rightarrow -t$, which gives $d_{qp} \neq 0$. However, the change $t \rightarrow -t$ in the equation can be performed formally without any connection with the time arrow. Then the charges conserve their signs at $t \rightarrow -t$, and the DM turns out zero.

The real quasiparticle is a wave packet, but it is clearly that in this case $d_{qp} = 0$ also.

Such a consideration is not valid if the state of a quasiparticle is degenerate. In other words, at given $E$ and $k$, there are the states with DMs $d_{qp}$ and $-d_{qp}$ which can transit to each other at $t \rightarrow -t$. However, we have no reasons to consider that a roton or a ring has such a degeneration. For $d_{qp} \neq 0$, there appears another possibility, if the reflected state is unstable and transits in a stable one with the inverse DM. This internal irreversibility can be related to the ordering of deformations of the electron shells of atoms induced by the interaction with neighbors. For clearness, we note that this is similar to a flag on a moving car. Such a flag points out always the direction opposite to one of the motion. At $t \rightarrow -t$, we obtain a flag indicating the direction of motion, i.e., we obtain the unstable state. A similar structure of a ring or a roton is possible in principle. But it is improbable, especially for a roton representing reciprocal oscillations of the density. It is of importance that such a change of the symmetry, like that at $t \rightarrow -t$, will happen at the reflection of a quasiparticle from the wall. At each reflection, the quasiparticle must lose energy. Hence, it will be unstable. Such an instability is possible for rings, and it could explain why the rings are not discovered in the spectrum of quasiparticles or by the contribution to the heat capacity till now. But such an instability seems impossible for phonons and rotons. Thus, a roton has no stationary DM. This is also the case for a ring, most probably. This reasoning implies that, while explaining the
V. THE LINE SPECTRUM OF THE LIQUID $^4\text{He}$

As is known from the general theorems of quantum mechanics, a many-particle system located in a finite volume possesses a discrete energy spectrum. Liquid $^4\text{He}$ in a vessel is the system of this kind. The energy levels of He II can be determined from the WF of He II calculated for the zero boundary conditions. From here, it is obvious that the real energy spectrum of He II is not a Landau continuous curve, but it is a collection of separate disconnected points very densely lying on this curve. According to (27), (28), the observed roton line [12] consists of $\sim 10^9$ individual roton lines. If the experiment will be executed with a film of helium $\sim 100$ Å in thickness, the distances between lines increase by 6 orders of magnitude, and they will become resolvable. In this case, instead of a single roton line, we will measure many lines in the wide range of the frequencies: $\nu = 0 \pm 2\Delta_{\text{rot}}/2\pi\hbar$. Thus, it will be possible to observe for the first time the line spectrum of a fluid consisting of a huge number of individual lines, like the spectrum of an atom. It is only necessary that the intensities of lines be sufficiently high. However, if the resonator disk is only covered by a helium film, no lines will be observed. Indeed, by (72), the intensities of lines will be of the same order of magnitude as those for a thick layer of helium [1, 2]. But the line registered for such a layer consists of $\sim 10^5$ individual lines which are too weak to be observed separately. To resolve them, one needs to increase their intensity by 4-5 orders of magnitudes. This is a task for future studies.

VI. QUANTIZATION OF THE AMPLITUDE OF THE ROTON LINE

The experiment [16] revealed one more unusual effect: as the power $Q$ of a heat gun increases, the amplitude $A_R$ of the roton absorption peak decreases, and this occurs stepwise. This fact testifies to the “quantization” of the roton line amplitude. It was noted in [16] that this effect can be related to the quantization of the azimuth velocity $v_\varphi$ (around the resonator), but the nature of this connection is not clear yet. Since the growth of $A_R$ means a decrease in the number of c-rotons created by SHF-photons, we assume that each step of $A_R$ means a decrease in the number of created c-rotons by some integer.

In He II near the resonator, two competing processes occur: c-photons create c-rotons and vice versa. In this case, a c-roton can transit only in a c-photon with the same energy and the same $l$. From the state $|N_{\text{phot}}, N_{\text{rot}}\rangle$ with $N_{\text{phot}}$ c-photons and $N_{\text{rot}}$ c-rotons, the transition in two following states is possible: i) the state $|N_{\text{phot}} + 1, N_{\text{rot}} - 1\rangle$, if a c-roton creates a c-photon; ii) the state $|N_{\text{phot}} - 1, N_{\text{rot}} + 1\rangle$, if a c-photon creates a c-roton.

Since circular photons and rotons are bosons, we can associate the creation operators with them. Within the formalism of secondary quantization for bosons, we obtain the transition probability (i)

$$w(\text{rot} \rightarrow \text{phot}) = G(N_{\text{phot}} + 1)N_{\text{rot}}.$$

For (ii), we have

$$w(\text{phot} \rightarrow \text{rot}) = G(N_{\text{rot}} + 1)N_{\text{phot}},$$

whence

$$\Delta w \equiv w(\text{rot} \rightarrow \text{phot}) - w(\text{phot} \rightarrow \text{rot}) = G(N_{\text{rot}} - N_{\text{phot}}).$$

where $G = w(\text{phot} \rightarrow \text{rot})$ at $N_{\text{phot}} = 1, N_{\text{rot}} = 0$. In other words, $G$ is the above-calculated probability of the c-photon $\rightarrow$ c-roton transition in the case where there is a single c-photon in the initial state of the system, and there are no c-rotons. If $\Delta w < 0$, then the roton line in the spectrum of an SHF signal is a line of absorption of photons; but if $\Delta w > 0$, the roton line is a line of their emission (“maser” effect). In the experiment, the absorption line becomes weaker, as the power of a heat gun increases and transits to the emission line at $Q = Q_c \approx 0.5 \text{ W/cm}^2$. We assume that these facts are related to the forced creation of c-rotons by a gun. Though all details of the mechanism are unclear up to now, we indicate several points.

a) A gun is directed along a tangent to the disk, i.e., so that the transfer of an angular momentum should be maximum. The p-rotons have no angular momentum, but the c-rotons have $L = h\ell_c$. Therefore, their creation is accompanied by the transfer of both the energy and the angular momentum. It was noticed in [16] that a step of $Q$ corresponds to an increase in $v_\varphi$ on the output of a gun by a value coinciding with the quantum $h/m_4 R_d$ of a circular velocity of helium near the resonator, according to the formula $v_\varphi^2 = n_s h/m_4 R_d$. The maximum experimental number of steps was $n_{\text{max}}^s \approx 3 \cdot 10^5$. Such a quantization of the velocity can mean that a gun creates a vortex in the superfluid component near the disk, whose axis coincides with the disk axis, and each step corresponds to the increase of the circulation by 1. On the other hand, the action of the operator of azimuth momentum $-i\hbar \rho_\varphi \partial_j$ on the factor $e^{il\varphi}$ of the WF of a c-roton gives $\hbar l/\rho_j$. Dividing it by the $^4\text{He}$ atom mass, we obtain the azimuth velocity $v_\varphi = \hbar l/m_4 \rho_j$. Thus, a c-roton induces the quantized rotation of helium atoms around the resonator with the velocity $v_\varphi = \hbar l/m_4 \rho_j$, like a vortex. But this rotation is accompanied by simultaneous oscillations. In turn, an increase in the circular velocity of helium $v_\varphi$ by an external factor must stimulate the creation of c-photons (including c-rotons). Apparently, a gun creates a macroscopic vortex in helium (or a superposition of vortices) and many plane and circular phonons.
and rotons, so that the ensemble of quasiparticles near the disk is quite complicated. Moreover, some processes (e.g., decays) are allowed for c-phonons and forbidden for p-phonons.

b) The results of experiments with a gun testify unambiguously that an EM wave creates namely c-, rather than p-rotons. If the latter would be created, then a decrease in the amplitude of the roton absorption line would be related, according to [5], only to that a gun increases their number. But it increases also at a simple increase in the temperature (without switching-on of a gun); however, the measurements show that this does not cause a weakening of the roton line and its transition into an emission line.

c) In a disk resonator, “left” (L) and “right” (R) c-photons differing by the sign of l are created. A waveguide captures the total signal from the resonator, i.e., the summary field of L- and R-waves. In this case, the amplitude of one of these waves in the resonator is \( \sim 100 \) times greater than another one [11]. However, a gun creates c-rotons with only a single polarization, L- or R-, which depends on the position of a gun. Since an L c-photon can induce only an L c-roton (the same is true, respectively, for right ones), Eq. [3] should be written separately for L- and R-quanta. Then we obtain that the summary absorption line disappears if the relation \( N_{rot} = N_{phot} \) holds true for the dominant photon mode. A gun is able to weaken the line if the signs of l for a c-roton and the dominant c-photon coincide and cannot weaken if the signs do not coincide. Thus, let a gun weaken the line at a given configuration of the EM field. But if a gun is reoriented so that it twists He II in the opposite direction, it must stop to weaken the line. It seems to us that this assertion can be easily verified in experiments.

VII. STARK EFFECT

Finally, we mention the observation of the linear Stark effect in helium-II — the roton absorption line splits into two lines in a constant electric field \( \mathbf{E}_0 \) directed in the disk plane. The distance between them increases \( \sim E_0 \) [17]. In [18, 19], the authors advanced the idea of the relation of the effect to a possible quadrupole or instantaneous dipole, respectively, moment of a p-roton. We agree that a p-roton as a wave packet can possess an instantaneous DM \( \mathbf{d}_r \) with fluctuating (or pulsing) value and direction. This DM is due to, in particular, the interaction of the roton with neighboring quasiparticles located nonuniformly. Such a DM induces an addition \( \sim \mathbf{d}_r \mathbf{E}_0 \) to the roton energy. Since the projection of \( \mathbf{d}_r \) on \( \mathbf{E}_0 \) takes the values in the continuous band \( [-d_r^z E_0, d_r^z E_0] \), the mentioned addition transforms the roton level to the band. However, experiments demonstrate the splitting of the line into two ones, rather than a single band. Thus, the instantaneous DM of a roton cannot explain the observed line splitting.

According to quantum mechanics, the effect can be explained if the roton energy level possesses at least a twofold degeneration which is taken off by the field \( \mathbf{E}_0 \). In our opinion, the effect is determined by the existence of right \( l = l_{rot} \) and left \( l = -l_{rot} \) c-rotons with the same energy, whose superposition is described by the wave function \( C_1 \psi_{l_{rot}}^\text{cir}(l) + C_2 \psi_{l_{rot}}^\text{cir}(-l) \). Since a perturbing potential is obviously proportional to the applied field \( \mathbf{E}_0 \), we obtain the splitting of a twofold degenerate energy level which is proportional to \( E_0 \). It can be evaluated by the well-known formulas of perturbation theory for a degenerate state. The field \( \mathbf{E}_0 \) induces the polarization of the dielectric resonator, which is directed along \( \mathbf{E}_0 \), and takes off the degeneration, by breaking the circular symmetry. But the multiple degeneration by \( k_z \) remains (several tens of small \( k_z \) significantly contribute to the line). So, if the field \( \mathbf{E}_0 \) will be directed along the \( Z \) axis, then the line must be split into two lines due to the removal of the degeneration relative to a change in the sign of \( k_z \).

At the switching-on of a heat gun, we may expect the following. In the field \( \mathbf{E}_0 \), the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian of He II are not the \( R \)- and \( L \)-functions, \( \psi_{l_{rot}}^\text{cir}(l) \) and \( \psi_{l_{rot}}^\text{cir}(-l) \), but their superpositions \( \psi_{l_{rot}}^\text{cir}(l) \pm \psi_{l_{rot}}^\text{cir}(-l) \) characteristic of a twofold degenerate level [20].

The gun oriented along a tangent to the disk creates the quantized rotation of helium in a single direction and increases the number of c-rotons with one \( (R \) or \( L \) \) polarization. It is obvious that, in this case, the gun cannot excite the states \( \psi_{l_{rot}}^\text{cir}(l) \pm \psi_{l_{rot}}^\text{cir}(-l) \) characteristic of a twofold degenerate level [21]. The gun oriented along a tangent to the disk creates the splitting of the line into two ones in a constant electric field and takes off the degeneration, by breaking the circular symmetry. But the multiple degeneration by \( k_z \) remains (several tens of small \( k_z \) significantly contribute to the line). So, if the field \( \mathbf{E}_0 \) was already switched-on (earlier than the gun), the gun will not decrease the peaks of the split roton line and will not induce the maser effect. It would be of interest to verify this prediction in experiments in similar fashion.

VIII. CONCLUSION

It is seen from the above-presented analysis that the experiment [1, 2] has revealed the existence of particular excitations in He II — circular rotons which are azimuth sound waves. In the present work, we have approximately calculated the probability of the creation of a circular roton by the EM field of the resonator and, on its basis, have evaluated the width of the absorption line at the roton frequency. The theoretical line is close to the experimental one by width but differs by shape.

We have also advanced the assumption that the splitting of the line into two ones in a constant electric field is caused by the presence of right and left c-rotons and, respectively, by the twofold degeneration of the energy level of a circular roton.

The authors are grateful to V. N. Derkach, E. Ya. Rudavskii, and A. S. Rybalko for numerous discussions of the experiment and to Yu. V. Shtanov for valuable re-
marks and advices.
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