Numerical Simulation of the Performance of Sb$_2$Se$_3$ Solar Cell via Optimizing the Optoelectronic Properties Based SCAPS-1D
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Abstract: Antimony trisulfide (Sb$_2$Se$_3$), a non-toxic and accessible substance, has possibilities as a material for use in solar cells. The current study numerically analyses Sb$_2$Se$_3$ solar cells through the program Solar Cell Capacitance Simulator (SCAPS). A detailed simulation and analysis of the influence of the Sb$_2$Se$_3$ layer’s thickness, defect density, band gap, energy level, and carrier concentration on the devices’ performance are carried out. The results indicate that a good device performance is guaranteed with the following values in the Sb$_2$Se$_3$ layer: an 800 optimal thickness for the Sb$_2$Se$_3$ absorber; less than 10$^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$ for the absorber defect density; a 1.2 eV optimum band gap; a 0.1 eV energy level (above the valence band); and a 10$^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ carrier concentration. The highest efficiency of 30% can be attained following optimization of diverse parameters. The simulation outcomes offer beneficial insights and directions for designing and engineering Sb$_2$Se$_3$ solar cells.
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1. Introduction

Solar energy can be harnessed to fulfill expanding energy requirements. Increasing solar energy utilization also demands innovative photovoltaic (PV) technologies with cheap mass production costs and an excellent power conversion efficiency (PCE) [1]. Thin-film photovoltaic (TFPV) technologies have drawn huge research focus due to the benefits of lesser material consumption, greater power production, and scalable flexibility [2–4]. For the different types of thin-film solar cells, notable successes have been attained in the representative cadmium telluride (CdTe) [5], copper indium gallium selenide (CIGS) [6,7], and perovskites [8,9]. The paucity of indium (In) and toxicity of cadmium (Cd) restrict their long-term use. Numerous low toxic and earth-abundant photosensor materials, such as CZTS(e) SnS Cu$_2$O, CuSbSe$_2$, and Sb$_2$Se$_3$, have been explored for PV applications [10,11]. Among those emerged as potential candidates for next generation light-harvesting materials of the chalcogenides, antimony selenide (Sb$_2$Se$_3$) has high absorption coefficients (>10$^5$ cm$^{-1}$), a six suitable band-gap energy of 1.1–1.2 eV, and a 6–8 earth-abundant low-toxic constituent [12,13]. It is an innocuous and economical material having a band gap...
of \sim 1.2 \text{eV} [14]. \text{Sb}_2\text{Se}_3 \text{has drawn considerable interest in the past few years due to its sound photo-conducting attributes and superior thermoelectric power} [15], \text{which aid uses in optical and thermoelectric cooling tools. Besides these uses, Sb}_2\text{Se}_3 \text{has diverse uses in photodetectors, solar cells, batteries, and memory gadgets} [16]. All these uses are strongly reliant on the electrical, optical, microstructural, and other attributes of Sb\text{Se}_3. \text{Material synthesis and deposition too play a crucial part in attaining an improved quality of materials. In the past decade, Sb}_2\text{Se}_3 \text{solar cells have been broadly studied, uncovering significant advancement with PCEs of 3.21\%, 7.6\%, and 9.2\%} [2].

Several aspects were ascribed to this enhanced PCE, such as improved absorber growth procedures (such as vapour transport deposition, close space sublimation, and hydrothermal), buffer layer optimisation (CdTe, ZnO, and TiO\textsubscript{2}) and innovative architectural designs of the device [17]. There was an improvement in the device performance despite the Sb\text{Se}_3 cells’ display of photovoltage in a low value. Several studies by [18] noted that based on the numerical simulations, after the suppression of the negative band bending, additional holes were removed from the rear contact. It was pointed out that, compared to other solar cells, the antimony chalcogenide (such as Sb\text{Se}_3) solar cells called for a better hole transport layer (HTL). In addition, Chen et al. (2017) [19] reported that the Sb\text{Se}_3 efficiency was improved by the inorganic PbS colloidal quantum dot film from 5.42 to 6.50\%. Several researchers utilised an inorganic copper(II) thiocyanate (CuSCN)-HTL to increase the Sb\text{Se}_3 cells’ PCE by 7.5\%. The researcher used the following common HTL in different solar cells: 2,2,7,7-Tetrakis (N, N-di-p-methoxyphenylamine)- 9,9-spirobi-fluorene (Spiro-OMeTAD). It was likewise utilised in the Sb\textsubscript{2}(S, Se\textsubscript{3}) solar cells that have a <10\% PCE value [20,21]. Nonetheless, using organic HTLs for large-scale production is not advisable because of their erratic device performance and expensive nature. Therefore, it is imperative to design a new, stable, cost-effective, and non-toxic material in order to manufacture efficient photovoltaic (PV) cells.

Applying this technique is fairly simple and is broadly employed for examining the HTLs’ efficiency in perovskite devices. Moreover, PEDOT: PSS is regarded to be cost-friendly and offers high-quality results, making it an optimum choice as an HTL for the development of PSC. Even though the use of PEDOT: PSS as an HTL for PSCs is broad and effective, it shows poor performance as well as stability versus other HTLs due to its highly doped characteristic. This results in numerous issues, such as acute interfacial recombination. Thus, PEDOT: PSS conductivity was altered and enhanced by the researchers via additional p-doping in order to match the energetics as well as enhance the device performance. As an ETL, TiO\textsubscript{2} is employed and generally annealed at >450 °C for synthesising TiO\textsubscript{2} crystals. The evaluation of some of the metal oxides, which were treated under low temperatures, was done as ETLs in the PSCs in order to avoid annealing at high temperatures, such as SnO\textsubscript{2} [22], In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} [3], WO\textsubscript{3} [23], amorphous-TiO\textsubscript{x} [24], Zn\textsubscript{2}SnO\textsubscript{4} [25], La-doped BaSnO\textsubscript{3} [21], and ZnO [26]. From these ETLs, ZnO could be considered as a potential ETL as an ultrahigh electron mobility (205–300 cm\textsuperscript{-2}/Vs) was observed [26]. Benami et al. (2022), found that, compared to TiO\textsubscript{2}, the use of ZnO as an electron transport material increases cell efficiency [27]. As in a perovskite solar cell, the lower edge of the conduction band enhances the transit of photogenerated electrons.

Various researchers have used different techniques to enhance the ZnO semiconductor characteristics, and subsequently the PSCs’ photovoltaic performance via doping and designing ZnO by employing other metal oxides or elements [28]. Even though these experiments were conducted, it was challenging for the researchers to enhance ZnO-based PSCs. ZnS was found to be similar with that of a ZnO wide bandgap semiconductor and it also showed comparable physical characteristics. With regards to quantum-dot-sensitised solar cells, ZnS showed outstanding electron mobility and was also seen to function similar to ETL and the interfacial passivation layer [28]. ZnS is associated with a low conduction band minimum (CBM) versus ZnO, making it a better match for MAPb\textsubscript{3}-LUMO [28]. However, PSCs’ photovoltaic performance still needs more improvement by employing ZnS or ZnO in order to facilitate the transportation of electrons to ZnO from MAPb\textsubscript{3} [29].
In the past, a poor photovoltaic performance was displayed by the ZnS-based PSCs. The ZnO/ZnS nanoparticle structure can be employed to considerably decrease the optical band gap while simultaneously maintaining the required optical absorption value. As per the theory, the PSCs’ open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) follows the energy difference between the valence band maximum (VBM) and CBM (ELT) [30]. Thus, the $V_{oc}$ value can be increased by adding ZnS to the ZnO-based PSCs [31]. Adding ZnS to the surfaces of ZnO behaves like an energy barrier that blocks the recombination of charges between MAPbI$_3$ and ZnO [32]. However, this could also result in increasing ZnO-based PSCs’ short-circuit current.

This study concentrated on numerical simulation for demonstrating the link of carrier concentration, band gap, and energy level of the absorber layer on the antimony trisulfide solar cell’s PCE. To consider different permutations about absorber thickness and identification of the quantum impact concerning solar cell parameters to increase simulation outcomes’ applicability.

2. Materials and Methods

Here, the Sb$_2$Se$_3$ absorber-based TFSC was modelled and simulated by the researchers making use of the SCAPS-1D program, which can be defined as a 1D solar cell device simulator previously developed at the University of Gent [28]. Simulation of SCAPS-1D aided in solving the Poisson’s, drift-diffusion, and carrier continuity equations, which are the fundamental equations employed for designing a semiconductor device [33]. By employing the SCAPS-1D simulator, the researchers studied PV devices’ various properties, such as current–voltage (I–V), capacitance–voltage (C–V), and capacitance–frequency (C–F), as well as their EQE and recombination profiles. The results showed that the device performance is impacted by factors such as doping concentration, electron affinity, interface defect density, cell thickness, operating temperature, bulk defect density, resistances, and quantum efficiency metal work function. All simulations were conducted by maintaining the following conditions: illumination of 100 mWcm$^{-2}$, temperature = 300 K, and AM1.5 G light spectrum. The ZnS/Sb$_2$Se$_3$/PEDOT: PSS heterojunction device structure is shown in Figure 1, and the energy level pertaining to the TFSC structure in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Structure of the Sb$_2$Se$_3$ solar cell device.](image-url)

As per the structure, the ultrathin p-type PEDOT: PSS as HTL connected the p-type Sb$_2$Se$_3$ absorber as well as rear metal contact. In the device, fluorine-doped tin oxide (F: SnO$_2$) and the n-type ZnS were included in the TCO layer and ETL. Moreover, in the TFSCs, aluminium (Al) was employed to construct the front and rear metal contacts [34]. Table 1 shows the physical parameters that were considered in the simulation. The values of material parameters employed in the numerical computation were taken from the

Table 1 shows the physical parameters that were considered in the simulation. The values of the material parameters employed in the numerical computation were taken from the

| Material      | Parameter       | Value          |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Si            | Band Gap (eV)   | 1.13           |
| SiO$_2$       | Refractive Index| 1.46           |
| ZnS           | Band Gap (eV)   | 3.65           |
| ZnO           | Band Gap (eV)   | 3.38           |
| PEDOT: PSS    | Conductivity    | 10$^6$ S cm$^{-1}$ |
| Sb$_2$Se$_3$  | Refractive Index| 1.85           |
| ZnS           | Refractive Index| 1.90           |

This study concentrated on numerical simulation for demonstrating the link of carrier concentration, band gap, and energy level of the absorber layer on the antimony trisulfide solar cell’s PCE. To consider different permutations about absorber thickness and identification of the quantum impact concerning solar cell parameters to increase simulation outcomes’ applicability.
literature. The travelling speed of holes and electrons was at $1 \times 10^7$ cm s$^{-1}$ [35]. This study employed the SCAPS-1D software (3.3.10) to demonstrate the absorption coefficients pertaining to the ZnS and PEDOT:PSS as HTL materials, while the absorption coefficient values pertaining to the PEDOT:PSS (HTL) materials and Sb$_2$Se$_3$ absorber were taken based on the literature [35]. As previously noted, comparable defects were seen while the researchers utilized a Gaussian distribution function. Setting of the energy levels pertaining to the defects was done to mid-bandgap. They also involved absorber thickness/defect densities and ETL/absorber in order to determine the heterojunction TFSC interface carrier recombination. Table 1 shows all parameters pertaining to the interface faults.

Table 1. List of various simulation parameters involved in the perovskite planar structure.

| Properties                              | ZnS (ETL) | Sb$_2$Se$_3$ | PEDOT: PSS (HTL) | References |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------------|------------|
| Thickness (nm)                          | 70        | variable     | 40               | [29,36]    |
| Bandgap, $E_g$ (eV)                     | 3.5       | variable     | 2.2              | [29,35,37] |
| Electron Affinity, $x_e$ (eV)           | 4.5       | 4.04         | 2.9              | [38–40]    |
| Dielectric permittivity, $\varepsilon_r$ (relative) | 10        | 18           | 3                | [38,41,42] |
| CB effective density of states, $N_C$ (cm$^{-3}$) | $1.5 \times 10^{18}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{18}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{15}$ | [39,42,43] |
| VB effective density of states, $N_V$ (cm$^{-3}$) | $1.8 \times 10^{18}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{19}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{18}$ | [39,42,43] |
| Electron thermal velocity (cm/s)        | $1 \times 10^7$ | $1 \times 10^7$ | $1 \times 10^7$ | [34,37,41] |
| Hole thermal velocity                    | $1 \times 10^7$ | $1 \times 10^7$ | $1 \times 10^7$ | [34,37,41] |
| Electron mobility (cm$^2$/Vs)           | 50        | 15           | 10               | [39,42,44] |
| Hole mobility (cm$^2$/Vs)               | 20        | 5.1          | 10               | [39,42,44] |
| Shallow uniform donor density, $N_D$ (cm$^{-3}$) | $1 \times 10^{22}$ | 0           | 0                | [39]       |
| Shallow uniform acceptor density, $N_A$ (cm$^{-3}$) | 0        | variable     | $3.17 \times 10^{14}$ | [34,39]    |
| Defect density $N_t$ (cm$^{-3}$)        | $1 \times 10^{14}$ | variable | $1 \times 10^{16}$ | [34,39]    |
| Energy level                            | 0.6       | variable     | 0.6              | [25]       |

SCAPS–1D was employed for solving Poisson’s equation pertaining to electrons and holes (Equation (1)), as given below [45]:

$$\frac{d^2 \Psi}{dx^2} = \frac{e}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r} [P(x) - n(x) + N_D - N_A + \rho_P - \rho_n]$$

(1)

Here, $x =$ electrostatic potential; $\Psi =$ elementary charge; $\varepsilon_r =$ relative permittivity; $\varepsilon_0 =$ vacuum permittivity; $P =$ concentration of holes and electrons; $N_D, N_A$ refer to the donor and acceptor charges; and $\rho_P$ and $\rho_n$ refer to the concentration of holes and electrons, respectively.

With regards to the theoretical perspective, the carrier lifetime $\tau$ has been defined as the period in which the charge carrier can move freely, allowing it to contribute to the electric conduction. Based on a uniform simulation of the Sb$_2$Se$_3$, development of the $G$ (generation rate) electron-hole pairs is done. Equations (2) and (3) define the developed electron and hole densities with regards to $E_c$ and $E_v$, as represented below [46]:

$$\Delta n = G \tau_n$$

(2)

$$\Delta p = G \tau_p$$

(3)

Should the above-mentioned carriers be trapped in perovskite as well as thermally recited, then the spent time on these traps was not considered in the $\tau_n$ and $\tau_p$. With regards
to steady-state, it was seen that the rate of generation pertaining to the PSC was equal with the rate of trapping, as presented in Equations (3) and (4) below [46]:

\[
\tau_n = \frac{1}{\sigma_p v_{th} (N_t - N_r)} \tag{4}
\]

\[
\tau_p = \frac{1}{\sigma_p v_{th} N_r} \tag{5}
\]

wherein, \(N_t\), \(n_r\), \(\sigma_n\), and \(v_{th}\) refer to the total (i.e., occupied and unoccupied), occupied defects, hole capture cross-section, and the thermal velocity, respectively. As per Equations (4) and (5), the increase in defect density resulted in a reduction in carrier lifetime as well as a shorter carrier diffusion length \(L\) [47]. This \(L\) value accounted for the perovskite quality. It was seen that when there was a higher \(L\) value versus \(Sb_2Se_3\) thickness, the performance of the device can be enhanced. The following equations were constructed based on recombination current \(J_o\), \(L\) and \(V_{oc}\):

\[
J_o \approx \frac{q Dn^2_i}{LN} \tag{6}
\]

\[
V_{oc} = \frac{KT}{q} \ln \left( \frac{J_{sc}}{J_o} + 1 \right) \tag{7}
\]

whereby, \(q\), \(Dn\), \(LN\), \(K\), and \(T\) are electric charge of electron, diffusion constant, longer carrier diffusion length, Boltzmann constant, and temperature, respectively. As per Equations (6) and (7), a decrease in the defect density resulted in a reduction of recombination current as well as an increase in \(V_{oc}\), which was found to be comparable to the study results. The internal quantum efficiency (IQE) and \(J_{sc}\) were observed to be directly proportional to each another. The IQE can depend on the minority carrier diffusion lengths, if the researchers consider the PSC to be a shallow junction solar cell along with a long minority carrier lifetime, as represented in Equation (8).

\[
\text{IQE} = 1 - \alpha t - \frac{B}{nL^2} \tag{8}
\]

wherein \(\alpha\), \(t\), and \(B\) indicated the spectral absorption coefficient, distance in the perovskite material, and \(Sb_2Se_3\) thickness, respectively [48]. We employed the Shockley–Read–Hall (SRH) recombination for describing the recombination components that impacted the defect density in the PSC, as represented below

\[
\mathcal{R}_{SRH} = \frac{\vartheta \sigma_p N_T [np - n_i^2]}{\sigma_p[p + p_1] + \sigma_n[n + n_1]} \tag{9}
\]

where \(\vartheta\) = electron thermal velocity, \(N_T\) = no. of defects per volume, \(n_i\) = intrinsic number density, \(n\) and \(p\) = concentration of electrons and holes at equilibrium; and \(n_1\) and \(p_1\) = conc. of electrons and holes in the trap defect and valence band, respectively.

### 3. Results and Discussions

#### 3.1. Effect of Absorber Layer Thickness with QE

Figure 2 shows the (a) current density, (b) voltage characteristic, and (c) quantum efficiency (QE) produced with Table 1 preliminary parameters. In Figure 2a, \(V_{oc}\) exhibited a decrease to a 200–800 nm thickness, which is the optimum value; it did not show any change after that. This indicates that in thicker films, the charge recombination increases. FF initially increases when the thickness reaches 200–800 nm and then it decreases from 84.14% to 83.99% when the thickness is further increased from 900 to 1100 nm; this is attributable to the incremental series resistance. Figure 2b illustrates the following simulation results: the \(J_{sc}\) short circuit current increased when the absorber thickness is increased; when the
thickness peaks to 1100 nm, the $J_{sc}$ reaches 44.95 mA/cm$^2$, saturating to a plateau. If the FF and $V_{oc}$ trends with a varied Sb$_2$Se$_3$ thickness are taken into consideration, 800 nm, the optimal absorber thickness, is achieved, as can be seen in Figure 3b, which corresponds to a 28% PCE. This is because when the absorber thickness is too low, it does not benefit from the full absorption of light, therefore resulting in a low PCE. On the other hand, an absorber layer that is too thick will cause the photo-generated carriers to take a longer transfer route; this leads to higher recombination. The following simulations show that in the dark, when the thickness of the Sb$_2$Se$_3$ is set to the 800 nm optimum value, the device displays a current density value at the extreme minimum; this can be ascribed to the minority carrier. The reflection of the interface with each layer as well as that of the series and shunt resistance are not taken into account during the simulation. Figure 2c reveals the changes in the QE: it completely encompasses the visible spectrum while exhibiting a 300 to 900 nm range in high spectral response, which comes with a significant absorption onset rising up to 500 nm. In addition, the subsequent parasitic absorption of the absorber is responsible for the shorter wavelength region.

Figure 2. Absorber layer thickness with (a) $V_{oc}$ and FF, (b) $J_{sc}$ and efficiency, and (c) quantum efficiency.
Figure 3. Photovoltaic performance parameters at various carrier concentration as: (a) $V_{oc}$, (b) $J_{sc}$, (c) FF, and (d) efficiency.

3.2. Carrier Concentration vs. Bandgap

This section exhibits the efforts undertaken towards clarifying the various absorber layers’ trend influence: through an analysis of the crucial photovoltaic performance characteristics, as these ultimately govern the efficiency of the solar cell conversion. Figure 3 demonstrates that $J_{sc}$ is reduced as the bandgap is increased, while $V_{oc}$, a direct function of the bandgap, is also increased. A higher bandgap leads to a $V_{oc}$ increase and a rate of radiative recombination decrease [49]. Conversely, the $J_{sc}$ parameter changes significantly, as indicated in Figure 3. These changes are generally within the 30 to 46 (mA/cm$^2$) range. If the concentration is relatively low, less carrier collection occurs at the front contact, and this leads to a reduced $J_{sc}$ [50]. Despite that, it is possible to maintain a high $J_{sc}$ value using a buffer layer that has a higher concentration of carriers. In our scenario, however, no noticeable improvement is observed even with a higher concentration of carriers. The optimal band alignment at the buffer/absorber interface (i.e., increased carrier concentration and bandgap) may explain the said occurrence. Such an alignment is crucial in efficient solar cells towards increasing the $J_{sc}$ [51]. This may be due to the fact that the carriers may have enhanced diffusivity, because of their increased mobility.

Alternatively, because of a widening bandgap and constant carrier concentration, FF decreases. PCE showed improvement at the absorber layer’s higher bandgap in spite of the
higher carrier concentration’s influence and regardless of the fact that PCE is considered to be the function of FF, \(V_{oc}\), and \(J_{sc}\). Our findings demonstrate that the optimal performance began at a 1.2 eV bandgap and a \(1 \times 10^{16}\) (1/cm\(^3\)) defect density.

We assert that if the optical qualities of the connected absorber layer are not properly defined and adjusted, it may result in erroneous predictions regarding the real potential of the examined p-absorber material [52]. For example, consider that Sb\(_2\)Sb\(_3\), having a 1.04 eV bandgap, is being examined, because of the material’s polycrystalline nature and the process of non-optimised deposition, it is quite possible that the thin film of the absorber will already have a bulk defect density during the early phases of the design process. In this situation, the assembled absorber layer exhibits a low carrier concentration, and it is likely that the associated device will have an efficacy that is below 24% (see Figure 3d). Nonetheless, the device’s efficiency could be boosted to an even greater level using an absorber layer having a greater carrier concentration.

3.3. Defect Density vs. Energy Level

Another crucial characteristic that can dramatically affect device performance is the absorber layer’s defect density. The greater concentrations of deficiencies in the absorber layer produce a greater rate of recombination due to the growth of pinholes, and also a higher rate of the film’s degradation, a lower strength, and a decrease in the device’s overall performance [49]. On the other hand, when there is low defect density, the length of the carrier diffusion is enhanced, and the process of the recombination process is rejected, both of which cause an improved PV performance. Figure 4a–d shows the effect that the defect density of the absorber layer has on the most significant parameters; the modelling was accomplished by modifying the defect density from \(1 \times 10^{14}\) cm\(^{-3}\) to \(1 \times 10^{18}\) cm\(^{-3}\).

As one can see, cell performance worsens as the absorber layer’s overall defect density increases. The defect density has a substantial effect on FF, \(V_{oc}\), and \(J_{sc}\) since it is an essential parameter affecting the cell’s performance. It suggests that the radiative recombination had a minor effect on electron-hole pair formation and passage in solar cells, and the impact of modifying the \(J_{sc}\) defect states was insignificant until it reached around \(1 \times 10^{17}\) cm\(^{-3}\). It is also fairly clear that the significant alterations in \(V_{oc}\), ranging from 0.6 to 1.0 V, are due to the existent defect energy level. These changes can be observed quite clearly. Conversely, the effect of radiative recombination on FF was noticeably more distinct. The cause for the FF decline that was seen in association with an increase in the radiative recombination rate was that electron-hole pairs were carried through the cell less effectively, causing an increase in the energy quantity that was lost to recombination [50]. For instance, at a 0.55 eV energy level, the FF is as low as ~65% with a defect density of \(1 \times 10^{18}\) cm\(^{-3}\), and it increases to 85% when the defect density is below \(1 \times 10^{14}\) cm\(^{-3}\). Moreover, as the defect density increases from \(1 \times 10^{14}\) cm\(^{-3}\) to \(1 \times 10^{18}\) cm\(^{-3}\), the efficiency declines drastically, the decline being between 10% and 30% (as shown in Figure 4d). It can be concluded that the defect density has a direct impact on the efficiency since as the number of defects goes higher, the charge carrier diffusion length decreases and it causes an introduction of more recombination carriers to the absorber layer [51]. The presence of a greater defect density leads to a higher recombination rate, as a result of which, the cell performance is affected. The optimal efficiency of the cell of 30% was attained by fine-tuning the \(V_{oc}\) at 1.0 eV, the \(J_{sc}\) at 34 mA/cm\(^2\), and FF at 85%, and the defect density at \(1 \times 10^{14}\) cm\(^{-3}\).
Figure 4. Photovoltaic performance parameters at various defect density as: (a) $V_{oc}$, (b) $J_{sc}$, (c) FF, and (d) efficiency.

4. Conclusions

In this research, the development of the antimony triselenide solar cells was optimised by using the SCAPS simulator for the p-i-n arrangement. They patterned the primary SC on the basis of the TCO/ZnS/Sb$_2$Se$_3$/PEDOT: PSS arrangement. For an optimised solar cell design, we used some parameters, such as the absorber layer thickness (ranging from 200 to 1100 nm), the carrier concentration (from $10^{14}$ to $10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$), and the defect density (which ranged from $10^{14}$ to $10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$) with an energy level (above valence band) ranging from $-0.1$ to $0.6$ eV. The simulations exhibited a PCE of $>30\%$, at the $V_{oc}$ of 1.0 eV, $J_{sc}$ of 34 mA/cm$^2$, and FF of 85%. Moreover, it was noticed that the efficacy of the antimony triselenide solar cells got higher as the thickness of the absorber layer increased. Furthermore, the results indicated that a bandgap of 1.2 eV nm and a carrier concentration of $10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ was satisfactory. This research simulates the thickness of the absorber layer and the quantum efficiency impact on the performance of the device. The absorber layer thickness had been varied (ranging from 200 to 1100 nm) along with the defect densities (ranging from $10^{14}$ to $10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$) and the energy level (ranging from $-0.1$ to $0.6$ eV). The outcomes indicated that the device performance was highly susceptible to the defect densities; nonetheless, it exhibited a similar pattern in case of the absorber layer thickness. When the thickness of the absorber layer was increased from 300 nm to 900 nm, it considerably increased the PCE. Conversely, when its value was increased from 1000 nm to 1100 nm, it exhibited a slight drop in the PCE. The investigators concluded that
the design of the device and the optimisation of the Sb$_2$Se$_3$ solar cells could significantly benefit from their experimental outcomes.
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