Global Longitudinal Strain: Is It Time to Change the Preoperative Cardiac Assessment of Oncology Patients?
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ABSTRACT

The introduction of new anticancer treatment modalities has improved survival rates, transforming cancer into a chronic disease in many instances. One of the most devastating complications of cancer treatment is cancer therapy-related cardiac dysfunction. Adequate preoperative assessment of any significant cancer therapy-related cardiac impairment is critical, and may be missed with conventional measures. The assessment of global longitudinal strain by speckle-tracking echocardiography is more sensitive for the early detection of cardiac contractility before a decline in ejection fraction can be discovered. Global longitudinal strain can also predict postoperative cardiac dysfunction, which makes it a good alternative for preoperative cardiac assessment in the oncology population when cancer therapies have been administered that can alter normal performance.
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**Key Summary Points**

Cancer has become a chronic disease due to the introduction of new anticancer strategies.

One of the most devastating side effects of cancer treatment is the cancer therapy-related cardiac dysfunction, which should be considered during the preoperative workup of cancer patients.

Traditional 2D echocardiography is limited in its ability to accurately detect left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF).

Speckle-tracking echocardiography is an alternative imaging modality which uses global longitudinal strain and has been shown to improve reliability in the measurement of LVEF.

The measurement of left ventricular contractile function by global longitudinal strain might be a more sensitive predictor of cardiac dysfunction, because myocardial deformation precedes LVEF changes in patients undergoing anticancer therapy.

Measurement of global longitudinal strain by speckle-tracking echocardiography should be used routinely in the preoperative workup of patients with a history of cancer in order to detect cancer therapy-related cardiac dysfunction.

**COMMENTARY**

Cancer is defined as uncontrolled growth and spread of abnormal cells, which can result in death if not controlled [1]. The annual value of lost work productivity due to early mortality from cancer in the United States was approximately $115.8 billion in 2000, with the cost projected to increase to $147.6 billion by 2020. A 1% annual reduction in lung, colorectal, breast, leukemia, pancreatic, and brain cancer mortality would translate to an astounding $814 million reduction in lost work productivity cost per year [2].

The treatment modalities for cancer traditionally comprise a multidisciplinary approach involving a combination of chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and surgery to prolong life expectancy and decrease the global burden of disability [3]. However, the introduction of new anticancer strategies that have improved survival rates has transformed cancer into a chronic disease. As a result, there is a growing population of patients with a history of cancer who have required various surgical interventions. In 2014, there were an estimated 14.5 million American cancer survivors, a number which is expected to reach 18 million by 2020 [4]. Thus, the need for surgery in cancer survivors has called attention to the side effects of cancer treatment, which should be taken into consideration during risk assessment and stratification in the preoperative period.

Although there have been many advances in cancer treatment, one of the most devastating side effects of cancer treatment is cancer therapy-related cardiac dysfunction (CTRCD). The generally accepted definition of CTRCD is a reduction from baseline left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of greater than 5% to a value < 55%, in addition to signs or symptoms of heart failure (HF), or a reduction in LVEF > 10% to an LVEF < 55%, without signs or symptoms of HF. Because of the causative nature of the anticancer treatments, the development of CTRCD necessitates interruption of the
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ongoing anticancer therapy [5]. The American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) and Heart Failure Society of America classify cancer survivors receiving cardiotoxic chemotherapy as being at least stage A HF (at high risk for HF but without structural heart disease or symptoms of HF) [6]. There is also a causal relationship between certain side effects and specific chemotherapy agents [7]. Many risk factors promote the development of these side effects as well, including pre-existing cardiac diseases, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia [8].

The European Society of Cardiology guidelines divide CTRCD into nine major categories [9]. The cardiovascular effects include heart failure, arrhythmias, vascular complications such as hypotension or hypertension, coronary ischemia, valvular heart disease, and pericardial disease. Overall, CTRCD has been linked to a 3.5-fold increased mortality risk compared with idiopathic cardiomyopathy [10]. This has significant implications for the prognosis and survival of cancer patients and should be considered in preoperative patient assessment. Additionally, early identification of CTRCD may aid in mitigating morbidity that may be encountered during surgery, such as intraoperative hypotension.

CTRCD can increase the risk of intraoperative hypotension, especially the post-induction type [11, 12]. A consistent relationship has been found between intraoperative hypotension and postoperative acute renal impairment and myocardial injury in non-cardiac surgery [13]. Therefore, the anesthesiologist should be vigilant for CTRCD, which may necessitate a change in the anesthesia plan to avoid intraoperative hypotension and its deleterious consequences. The preoperative detection of CTRCD may influence the choice of induction agents, and the need to consider more hemodynamically stable agents and a reduction in the overall doses. Furthermore, identifying this important risk factor may guide the use of invasive monitoring and transesophageal echocardiography, especially in extensive surgery with higher risk of bleeding.

Several molecular theories have been proposed to explain CTRCD [14]. The most compelling pathophysiological mechanism is myocardial cell death due to either apoptosis or loss of myofibrils and vacuolization of myocytes. Various recently reported data implicate altered topoisomerase activity as a key mediator of this pathology [15].

Indirect effects include impairment of endogenous cardioprotective mechanisms such as activation of antioxidant activity, growth factors, nitric oxide, gp130, and the neuregulin/ErbB signaling system [16].

Traditionally, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) has been assessed by 2D echocardiography. It is the most widely used technique because of international availability and its low cost in comparison to other imaging modalities. Lack of radiation exposure and ability to assess other pathology such as valvular or pericardial disease are additional benefits. However, 2D echocardiography may be inadequate for proper assessment in this population.

LVEF assessment by 2D echocardiography has demonstrated limited reliability, with the ability to detect only those LVEF differences over time which are greater than 10%. The temporal variability between measurements is attributed to the suboptimal biological (day-to-day) reproducibility of LVEF, which is about 10% in both the general and oncologic populations [17]. It is critical to understand that such a drop in LVEF is a late event in CTRCD, and thus is not detectable until significant cardiac damage has already occurred. Therefore, more sensitive and specific diagnostic tools are needed for earlier diagnosis of CTRCD.

Cardiac investigations for timely management of cardiac toxicity include invasive and noninvasive techniques. Noninvasive imaging includes standard and advanced tools. Advanced imaging includes (speckle-tracking and three-dimensional) echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMRI), cardiac computed tomography (CCT) and cardiac radionuclide imaging, and single-photon emission cardiac tomography (SPECT) [18].

An alternative imaging modality, speckle-tracking echocardiography (STE), has been shown to improve reliability in the measurement of LVEF. The assessment of global longitudinal strain (GLS) by STE has demonstrated
biological reproducibility of 6% [19]. Therefore, measurement of left ventricular contractile function by GLS might be more sensitive, given that myocardial deformation precedes LVEF changes in patients undergoing anticancer therapy. Furthermore, a 10% to 15% early...
reduction in GLS, as measured by STE during anticancer therapy, appears to be a useful independent predictor of cardiac toxicity, defined as a drop in LVEF or heart failure [20].

Therefore, GLS can be used to initiate timely cardiac protective measures in the treatment of the oncology population to avoid progression from subclinical CTRCD to overt heart failure [21]. With regard to perioperative management, a prospective study including 88 patients showed that preoperative GLS may be effective in predicting postoperative left ventricular dysfunction [22]. Another study involving 250 patients demonstrated that right ventricular (RV) GLS is a sensitive marker of RV dysfunction and correlates with postoperative mortality [23]. The SUCCOUR trial was a randomized clinical trial which demonstrated that GLS was more precise than 2D echocardiography specifically in the oncology population [24].

In order to diagnose underlying CTRCD and to identify and mitigate risk in the perioperative period, the authors propose a preoperative algorithm for the assessment of oncology patients which addresses this issue. Considering the current literature, surveillance of cardiac dysfunction in this high-risk population would be prudent. Traditional preoperative assessment may fail to identify CTRCD. Below is a proposed preoperative cardiac assessment algorithm which integrates clinical history, imaging, and biomarkers to provide a comprehensive assessment in this population. This would ensure proper preoperative assessment and may serve to mitigate risk, morbidity, and mortality associated with the oncology population.

PREOPERATIVE CARDIAC ASSESSMENT ALGORITHM (FIG. 1)

The cardiac assessment should include detailed clinical history to identify the risk factors for CTRCD. Risk factors include prior chemotherapy treatment, current cardiac disorders, and lifestyle habits including smoking, alcohol use, and sedentary lifestyle [25]. The main disadvantages of GLS are the technical considerations and dependence on the quality of the 2D images, which necessitates further training and education [26]. Moreover, because of the greater availability of LVEF over GLS, the former remains a cornerstone of preoperative assessment and therapeutic decision-making. However, the value of GLS is more significant in the detection of asymptomatic left ventricular dysfunction, especially with preserved LVEF. The GLS can reclassify apparently normal LVEF and detect patients at high risk for all-cause mortality [27]. If GLS is not feasible, the perioperative physicians should integrate the history of risk factors, focused cardiac examination, and the cardiac biomarkers to identify high-risk patients. New elevation of cardiac troponins and natriuretic peptides can be used for the diagnosis of myocardial injury [21]. In those high-risk patients, the cardiologist should be involved to initiate cardioprotective drugs or optimize preoperative treatment.

Therefore, the assessment of cardiac function by GLS should be considered as a routine preoperative cardiac assessment in the oncology population.
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