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Abstract
Saudi Arabia has modified from a predominantly free, public, and comprehensive system under a welfare model to more of a mixed-economy model of healthcare. The welfare state slowly moved to a liberal model, emphasizing market forces to dominate in the provision of healthcare and the private sector was trusted to provide a better provision of healthcare. The country has to confront enormous problems in the health sector due to population growth, lifestyle changes, the shift of disease patterns, elevated expectations, escalated healthcare costs, limited infrastructure and resources, and poor management practice in the provision of healthcare. Moreover, the government has been emphasizing the need to bring in private sector investment to improve quality and efficiency, development of manpower, and standardization of services. As the current pattern of healthcare is unsustainable, the country is planning to restructure the present healthcare system toward institutionalizing it to meet future challenges. The governments must make an appropriate amount of effort to build their healthcare systems by transforming and modifying the challenges faced by society and its political-economic systems. The government should encourage equity, and fairness in the provision of healthcare.
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What do we already know about this topic?
State vs market dichotomy is a long-standing debate. Many studies are available on state versus market issues.¹⁻⁵ Internationally, many countries have shifted from a predominantly free, public, and comprehensive system under a welfare model to more of a mixed-economy model of healthcare. Saudi Arabia is no exception to it. Vision 2030 was announced in 2016 emphasizing privatization, but the government did not clearly declare a pro-market or pro-state stand.⁶

How does your research contribute to the field?
This article focuses on the factors that have promoted the shifting of burden of the state to market forces and allow the private healthcare sector to grow in Saudi Arabia. It also discusses other factors that contributed to policy measures that helped to move this shift. It shows the Saudi ways of shifting the paradigm from welfare to market model. This research contributed to state versus market dynamics which has greater value to practitioners, policymakers, researchers, and common people. Depending on the role played by the state or market, it has significantly changed human behaviors to buy a product from a marketplace, to use it if the government plays a key role in price setting, providing subsidies, equitable distribution of services, ensuring easy access to all group of users irrespective to their social and economic status, and maintaining equity and social justice. This allows health practitioners, health service providers and consumers, health sector entrepreneurs, policymakers, researchers, health rights groups, and common people to be interested in such a study.

What are your research’s implications toward theory, practice, or policy?
This research helps us to be careful in maintaining equity and social justice whilst focusing on privatization.
Introduction

Internationally, many countries have shifted from a predominantly free, public, and comprehensive system under a welfare model to more of a mixed-economy model of healthcare and further advanced to a liberal model emphasizing market forces to dominate where the private sector was trusted for development.7 This shift is not due to government policy alone and can be attributed to a variety of national and international attributes. The oil-rich Gulf Cooperative Council (GCC) countries [Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (UAE)] embraced a public sector welfare system financed by its oil economy. Most of these countries included health in their constitution as a basic right. Government facilities provided free services to patients.8 For example, Saudi Arabia established its public health system and the Ministry of Health (MOH) in 1925 and 1949, correspondingly, to dispense unrestricted healthcare to its population,9,10 and accepted the primary healthcare approach.11 A similar policy was accepted by other GCC countries. Kuwait and the United Arab Emirates established a comprehensive public health system in 1950 based on the principle of a generous welfare system and provided free healthcare services to all citizens.8 Oman also established free services for its population. The discovery of oil and subsequent social and economic plans promoted the development of the public healthcare system.8 The development of healthcare in these countries is impressive and their governments have done a lot to improve the health status of the population.12

This article focuses on the factors that have promoted in shifting the burden of the state to market forces and allow the private healthcare sector to grow in Saudi Arabia. The author followed the political economy approach to analyze private sector growth fostered by allowing the market to play a prominent role.13,14 This approach helps shed light on connections between the political and economic means of advancement.15 The current article examines the enhancement of private sector healthcare not only within the healthcare context, but also within the wider social, economic, international, and political contexts of Saudi Arabia.

Materials and Methods

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The current study’s initial search strategy helped find 967 articles. This was followed by the scanning and screening of 23 peer-reviewed journal articles and other documents. Through the scoping literature review process, we reached a saturation point where we did not find any further literature. The literature search was carried out between May 2019 and December 2019 and the collected literature was analyzed. The review involved 2 stages: the author first conducted an extensive search on the existing literature and then screened it in terms of its relevancy to the issues of private sector healthcare growth and development. During the review process, efforts were made to synthesize the relevant material to gain a comprehensive understanding of the various challenges and issues.

Search strategy. Scoping literature review is used in finding the appropriate articles for study16 (Figure 1). This study is based on literature and documents that are published in English and made available on Google, Google Scholar, PubMed Central, Web of Science, Current Contents, and/or Directory of Open Access Journals. The Boolean operators AND, OR, and NOT were used in synthesizing the search. Relevant keywords such as “Saudi Arabia” “health” “healthcare” “private sector” “state” “policy” “market” “liberal” “reform” “private sector” “development,” and “quality” “{state AND “healthcare”) {“health” AND “development”) {“market” and “private sector” NOT “public sector”) were used in the search. The search helped to find the relevant documents; including journal articles, government reports, government policies, and planning documents of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. In addition, available secondary non-government documents were minutely reviewed.

Data extraction and composition. Before the complete revival of the full version of the selected texts, the author screened some prospective abstracts based on the precise information. Descriptive design and analytical methods were used to complete the study. Thematic data analysis techniques were used, which involves finding informative segments in the text, rearranging them, and finally reducing them to informative truth through focused reading and re-reading of the text as well as coding and category development.17,18 It helped identify, analyze and report patterns (themes) within the data.19,20 The study provided authentic and valid results, where the researcher maintained high levels of objectivity and sensitivity.17

Shifting Burden from State to Market

Saudi Arabia has delivered comprehensive and universal access to healthcare for many decades to its entire population,
including non-nationals, without external aid or collection of taxes due to the country’s welfare state model of governance enabled by oil revenues.\textsuperscript{10,21} However, this policy has also brought about its own set of challenges including increasing costs of healthcare, dip in oil revenues, changes in the country’s demographics, changing lifestyles and disease patterns, and lack of management in the delivery of healthcare services.\textsuperscript{6,22} Moreover, provision of healthcare services to the expatriate community that comprises almost a third of the country’s population has also posed an issue. To tackle these, the Saudi government has been pushing through reforms to provide the best health services at affordable costs while meeting the changing needs of its growing population.\textsuperscript{23}

**Government Policy**

In 1999, the government invited the private health sector to contribute (contribute what), citing the need to improve availability and establish parity with international medical advances.\textsuperscript{10} This led to the establishment of the New Saudi Health System (NSHS). Under NSHS, insurance companies- both local and foreign- were given permission to deal with expatriates and Saudi nationals who work in the private sector. “Health insurance companies act as a buffer between healthcare service providers and patients or their employers.”\textsuperscript{10} Health insurance is also seen as a solution for greater access to healthcare.\textsuperscript{24}

Private health insurance in Saudi Arabia was founded through the enactment of the Labor Law in 1999. This legislation allowed private healthcare providers to enter the healthcare market\textsuperscript{24} while making it compulsory for both expatriates and Saudi employees to be insured by their private employers for health insurance.\textsuperscript{25} The Compulsory Employment-Based Health Insurance (CEBHI) was recommended by the Cooperative Health Insurance Act of 2003.\textsuperscript{26,27} This has helped lift the burden off the public health system to a large extent. While insurance companies are flourishing, private hospitals are mushrooming and generating more income from the outflow of private patients. This is evident by the sector’s growth from SAR14.61 billion [1US$ = 3.75 SAR] in 2009 to SAR21.104 billion in 2012, marking a compounded annual growth rate of 13.04%.\textsuperscript{28} As of December 2018, there were 35 operational insurance companies providing coverage to 11 million beneficiaries for provision of healthcare from the private sector.\textsuperscript{29,30}

Leadership plays a contributory role in shifting the burden from the state to the market in the policy process. A turning point in the nation’s development trajectory was the year 2016, when the new leader laid out a visionary outlook to uplift the nation from its traditional way of thinking and
modernize its people by establishing a new economic system of self-reliance away from oil-dependence. Following the declaration of Vision 2030 and National Transformation Plan (NTP) of 2020, the leadership reaffirmed the role of the private sector in meeting healthcare demands from a growing population by encouraging its involvement. The Crown Prince advocated reforms in the rentier welfare state such as privatizing state assets and withdrawing subsidies. Moreover, the King, Ministers, Shura Council members, and other important functionaries underlined the importance of the private sector in realizing Vision 2030, which is expected to contribute to economic growth and sustainable development. The government wanted to break the cyclical nature of its expenditure pattern and overcome “oil addiction” to build a self-reliant economy. The government believes a self-reliant economy would encourage its private healthcare sector to flourish along a path of accountability, transparency, communication, and public engagement. Vision 2030 highlights the role of the private sector as an engine for social and economic reforms to transform its economy toward multi-dimensional growth with improved competitiveness. It also emphasizes fostering private investments in sectors previously funded by the government. Besides, it expects the private sector to contribute to sustainable development by connecting the public and private sectors. This connection will help improve the levels of care, increase patient satisfaction, and reduce financial risks in the public sector.

Around the world, governments are looking for the means to strike a balance between rising healthcare costs and dipping government budgets. Pushing for a greater role for the private healthcare sector based on its perceived flexibility, managerial capacities, and entrepreneurship, Saudi Arabia offered incentives to private investors in the form of tax credits and other benefits. While Saudi Arabia’s public healthcare system is financed by oil revenues, expenditures on private sector healthcare are borne through a combination of employee insurance and out-of-pocket payments. It is expected that the Public-Private Partnership model will help unlock greater value in the healthcare system and allow private sector contribution to total healthcare spending. A market-based healthcare system is seen to be more beneficial for the Saudi economy, and international entrepreneurs and healthcare providers. It enables entrepreneurs to access investment and venture into the largest healthcare market in the region. This liberalization will lead to the provision of quality healthcare for both Saudi and foreign nationals, giving a boost to medical tourism as well. While the provision of basic health services will be fulfilled by the government, the Ministry of Health will gradually shift toward large policymaking and regulatory roles. The ministry is expected to establish standards for affordable, quality, and appropriate care.

The government also plans to privatize all public hospitals and build public-private partnerships. At present, the public sector contributes to 80% of the total bed capacity, which is expected to increase to 73,768 beds in 2020. Meanwhile, the private sector is expected to add 26,000 beds by 2020. It was reported that a private group of investors is building eight 130-bedded hospitals, 60 outpatient clinics, and other necessary amenities in a medical village in Riyadh. On its part, the government is constructing 36 new hospitals with 8950 beds, and 2 medical cities. Moreover, the government plans to build another 18 hospitals, adding 9904 beds over the next 2 years. In April 2018, Saudi Arabia announced an ambitious privatization plan involving 14 PPP investments to be completed across 10 sectors, including healthcare, by 2020. In July 2018, the government signed an agreement with the private sector for a SAR407 million-loan to establish hospitals and medical facilities to fulfill the objectives of Vision 2030.

### Economic Factors

Constant fluctuations in oil price in the international market have posed a challenge to most oil-reliant gulf economies. Heavily dependent on oil revenues since the 1970s, Saudi Arabia’s oil revenue comprises about 80% of the government’s total earnings. This resulted to minimize subsidies and limited spending in different sectors of its governance. The country’s oil exports account for about 88% of all export earnings and the sector accounts for about 35% of Saudi Arabia’s GDP. Private investors were recently asked to expand their roles in the health, education and tourism sectors as well.

As of 2019, Saudi Arabia’s GDP per capita stood at US$20,912, while its rate of inflation was 2.46%. Though GDP grew at 0.33%, the country’s public debt stood at 18.98% of GDP. Saudi Arabia is one of the largest economies in the region with a national budget of SAR1020 billion (US$272 billion) as of 2020. Yet, the country faced a budget deficit of SAR367 billion in 2015 and SAR297 billion in 2016, SAR174 in 2018, and SAR187 billion in 2020. Saudi Arabia’s healthcare expenditure was approximately US$35.4 billion in 2014 and increased to US$46.66 billion in 2020. The country aims to attain a balanced budget by 2020. To stabilize its economy in this direction, the government withdrew welfare benefits and subsidies from different sectors, while also warning its people about more radical changes in the distant future, including a reduction in government spending and plans to stimulate increased efficiency, fiscal discipline, and non-oil revenues. The budget deficits of GDP amounted to 2% in 2014, 15% in 2015, 17.3% in 2016 and 6.4% in 2020. Saudi Arabia experienced 22 budget deficit years in the range of 2% to 25% between 1998 and 2017. In the same time period, there were 13 fiscal years with budget surpluses between 1% and 32%. Besides, the government introduced a value-added tax to tackle the fiscal deficit. The political instability in the region also adversely affected Saudi Arabia’s financial stability. The
current war with Yemen and proxy war threats from Iran incites Saudi Arabia’s government to invest in war equipment and ammunition for protection. The defence budget of the government is SAR242 billion compared to SAR174 billion for health and social development in 2020. Unable to maintain sustainable budgets and tackle economic uncertainties, the government shifted a part of the burden of managing the country’s health system onto the consumers. This policy subsequently helped to shift the burden to the market from the state.

The ability and desire of Saudi nationals to pay for healthcare are contributing factors in the development of the private sector in healthcare. Statistics currently available show that total government expenditures increased from US$1.6 billion in 1970 to US$158.9 billion in 2010 and US$287 billion in 2020 to meet the demands of a growing population and their rising standards of living. People are increasingly dependent on the private sector for their healthcare needs due to demands driven by accessibility and essential parameters such as better life expectancy, population growth, and patients’ need for treatment. Private hospitals are equipped with necessary instruments and have medical and administrative personnel who facilitate quality and timely healthcare. Moreover, patients find it easier to book an appointment for a time of their convenience at a private clinic or hospital. A study reveals that 52.2% citizens of the country prefer paying a mandatory health insurance fee on a monthly basis, while 62% of citizens feel that health insurance will help reduce the current load on the public sector. As much as 75% of the patients use private healthcare, contributing to reducing the burden on the state.

**Social Factors**

Saudi Arabia has a population of more than 34.4 million. The country’s life expectancy is expected to increase to 75.2 in 2020. That portion of the population aged between 40 and 59 years is expected to increase 1.5 times and over the age of 60 is forecast to increase more than 3 times. With 44% of its population over the age of 40 and 14% over the age of 60 in 2035, there will be a huge demand for healthcare to deal with lifestyle and NCDs. With NCDs expected to account for 73% of all deaths by 2025, WHO has indicated that this trend will trigger a renewed demand for specialized medical and surgical care in the country which will possibly meet the non-state resources.

Beyond existing human resources as in table 1, the government needs an additional 13,700 doctors by 2030. To meet the needs of its growing population, the country will need 5000 more beds by 2020 and 20,000 beds by 2035. As of 2016, Saudi Arabia faced a shortfall of 14,000 beds in 2016 based on the global average for bed density. This figure is expected to rise to 40,000 by 2035. On the other hand, the government will face difficulty in providing access to efficient and quality healthcare for all residents, even if it is successful in financing healthcare through privatization and public-private partnerships. It is suggested that the government should accelerate the shift from its current government-led economic model to a more market-based approach which will be filled by the private sector.

**Discussion**

The socio-political and economic-administrative factors shape the health system of a country. In Saudi Arabia, the government has been influencing various ways and means to shift the burden to market forces, due to prioritization
of effectiveness, efficiency, and rationality of choice. Moreover, successive budget deficit, fluctuation and turmoil of oil prices, the coronavirus pandemic together with looming economic uncertainties, the government focused on shifting some of the burdens to the consumers. This contributed to inequity, limited access, and disparity in the overall distribution of healthcare services. If the market plays a dominant role, it establishes state-of-art technology and equipment and the consumer will bear the burden of the increased cost of healthcare, and public healthcare will be left over to the state to provide services. Currently, public sector healthcare pronounces that it will engage only with policy planning, regulation and provide only the public health aspect of healthcare services which means the state wants most of the burden on the market. This shift of healthcare does not provide positive benefits to consumers.

Increased use of private healthcare facilities is linked to consumer dissatisfaction, various constraints, and poor management practice with the public sector. A study in Taif found that 77% of patients who sought treatment at private healthcare facilities were satisfied with the level of care, whereas it was only 59% in the public sector. The private sector in Saudi Arabia has been engaged in a range of activities related to the direct provision of healthcare services, administration and management of healthcare facilities, manufacturing of healthcare products, and financing of the healthcare systems. These are carried out by various non-state actors; including national and multinational companies, non-governmental organizations, non-profit entities, and private individuals working as general practitioners and consultants in the provision of healthcare services. There seems to be an increasing reliance on private actors to provide finance, and supply of healthcare goods and services. The private sector is preferred due to management inefficiency of the public sector, consumer dissatisfaction with public sector services, organizational behavior of private sector, improved performance in terms of access, better drug supply and responsiveness, greater patient satisfaction or competence as well as user-friendliness.

However, complaints are several about the many inadequacies of the private sector; including poor infrastructure without registration, poor quality of equipment, lack of qualified personnel, higher treatment costs, incorrect diagnosis of diseases, commission-based services, over-servicing, excessive prescription of drugs, and tests, overuse of technology, fee-splitting, profit motivation, negligence inpatient care, poor quality, and standards, lack of business ethics, the lack of accountability, and overall deficiency in the expected service quality. In a global context, evidence on the relative effectiveness, efficiency, and overall advantages of private sector healthcare has been largely inconclusive with no study bringing in clear findings in support of or against increased private sector participation. Therefore, there is no consistent manner in which one can measure the value of the private sector’s contribution to the provision of healthcare. Overall, studies have found that the Saudi healthcare the system is suffering from issues rising due to long waiting periods, shortage of required human resources, medical errors, poor communication between physician and patient, and deteriorating services. A study revealed that in 2009, there were 1510 cases reported medical errors which increased to 2002 in 2012. Most cases, that is, 163 out of 356 cases, were in the public sector. A survey of both public and private hospitals indicates that about 70% of hospitals do not have medication safety committees and 90% of studied hospitals do not have medication safety officers. Medical errors are common in both public and private hospitals. It is found that multiple factors impede the quality of the care being provided by health professionals. Cooperative Health Council announced a decree to overcome problems with quality and medical error in the provision of healthcare services.

**Conclusion**

Driven by several social and economic factors, the Saudi Arabian government has taken persistent efforts to develop its private healthcare system. These factors include economic reforms, public-private partnerships, the rising middle class, demand for better health challenges faced by the public sector as well as macroeconomic stability and liberalization. Though successive regimes continued to implement policies that boosted private sector growth, several inadequacies have been identified within the private sector healthcare. Besides failing to bring about equity, cost-effectiveness, and accountability in its provision of services, the private healthcare sector has also seen more frequent violations of medical standards of practice and poorer patient outcomes. The public sector has an important role to play in financing and delivering universal health coverage. The government should, therefore, bring about reforms in administration, management, governance, and stewardship so that quality healthcare can be provided to all. The growth of the private health sector is not the panacea for sub-optimal healthcare services in Saudi Arabia. On the other hand, it contributes to increased costs, widened inequity, and overall consumer dissatisfaction. It is pertinent that the government intervenes in a timely manner to mitigate the detrimental effects of this growth. However, inadequacies within the public sector in terms of delivery of quality services, patient safety, and clinical effectiveness can be mitigated only by actions stemming from strong political will.

**Policy Recommendations**

We have seen that limited partnerships, insufficient structures, processes lacking political commitment and inadequate resources, improvement of healthcare quality, performance management, and accreditation have not yet been fully
institutionalized in Saudi Arabia. The government should try to tackle new challenges in socio-political, economic, financial and cultural fields to build a better and efficient healthcare system that protects the values of equity and fairness in the dispensation of services. The leadership may face problems in different sectors of the economy, but MOH must continue playing its role in the health sector’s development in coordination with other government ministries; agencies and stakeholders; including academia, professional associations, the private sector, and civil society organizations. In the socio-economic development context, healthcare must be placed at the center of all development through the private sector assumes a greater role in financing and delivering healthcare services. However, care should be taken to ensure that such development initiatives are implemented under strong leadership and good governance. The government should emphasize the qualitative improvement of the system to improve equity, access and to minimize disparity through structural and financial reforms of the system. Studies suggest that the commercialization of healthcare fails to improve the performance of the healthcare system. Moreover, the preference for the private sector over the public sector healthcare may not be the answer for the growing difficulties confronting public sector healthcare services. The health practitioners, health service providers and consumers, health sector entrepreneurs, policy makers, researchers, health rights groups, and common people should come together to overcome the problems associated with state vs market dichotomy and work together to build an equitable health service for the whole community.
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