Two point-source interference: a study of the formation of concentric circular fringes
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Abstract
In this short paper, the hyperbola-based analysis of wave interference recently developed by Thomas is employed to study the pattern of concentric circular fringes captured on a distant screen, when its plane is oriented orthogonal to the line joining two coherent point-sources. Newton’s rings are another instance of concentric circular fringes, generated with an extended source and a plano-convex lens-glass plate combination. The underlying geometry of the latter scenario, as described in the literature, allows for the estimation of two important physical parameters viz. the wavelength of light and the refractive index of a liquid medium. It is demonstrated here that the geometrical arrangement of the former scenario can in principle, be utilized to reach the same ends as well. Additionally, the hallmark distinguishing features of both types of circular fringe patterns are qualitatively and quantitatively elucidated.

1. Background and motivation
In some recently published papers on the classical double slit experiment, a hyperbola theorem was used to describe the distribution of interference fringes on distant screens of varied shapes [1, 2]. In this treatment, the slits were assumed to have negligible widths and idealized to behave as coherent point sources. The wavefronts that emanate from them at a steady frequency and in-phase manner, interfere with each other either constructively or destructively to form an alternating series of bright and dark fringes that can be captured on a detection screen placed some distance away. The shape of these fringes depends on the orientation of the screen relative to the line joining the two point-sources [3, 4]. When the plane of the screen is placed parallel to the line joining the two sources, the fringes are hyperbolic and when placed perpendicular, they are circular [5, 6]. In the former case, the fringes appear straight if the eccentricities of the hyperbolae are high [4]. The focus of this short article is on the latter scenario viz. the orthogonal orientation.

2. Construction and principle
Consider two coherent in-phase point sources $S_1$ and $S_2$ separated by a distance $d$ (see figure 1). The plane of the detection screen is oriented perpendicular to the line joining the two sources. The midpoint $O$ of the line $S_1 S_2$ lies at a distance $L$ from the midpoint $M_e$ of the screen. Circular wavefronts of radii $r_1$ and $r_2$ that emanate from $S_1$ and $S_2$ at different instants of time, expand outwards to meet the screen at $P$ and $Q$. The interference of these wavefronts result in a pattern of concentric circular bright and dark fringes of radii $R$ centered about $M_e$.

3. Theoretical calculation
Applying Pythagoras’ theorem to the two right triangles $\Delta S_1 M_e P$ and $\Delta S_2 M_e P$,

$$S_1 P^2 = S_1 M_e^2 + M_e P^2 \Rightarrow \eta = \sqrt{(L + \frac{d}{2})^2 + R^2}$$

(1)
The magnitude of path difference $d$ is given by,

$$d = \left| \frac{r_1}{r_2} \right|$$

On algebraic simplification of equation (3), we can obtain the diameter $D = 2R$ of a circular fringe that corresponds to a path difference $\delta$.

$$D = \frac{\sqrt{(4L^2 - d^2)(d^2 - \delta^2)}}{\delta}$$

In order that $D > 0$, the two necessary conditions to be fulfilled are $\delta \leq d$ and $\delta \leq 2L$. From the geometrical construction shown in figure 1, it is evident that the magnitude of the path difference $\delta$ is bounded within the closed interval $[0, d]$. Hence, the maximum possible path difference is equal to the inter-source separation, i.e. $\delta_{\text{max}} = d$. Also, since $\delta \leq 2L \forall \delta \in [0, d] \Rightarrow \delta_{\text{max}} \leq 2L \Rightarrow d \leq 2L$. Since the screen position $L = d/2$ is physically unfeasible, it follows that the fundamental constraint on the geometry of the arrangement is the strict inequality,

$$L > \frac{d}{2}$$

4. Ordering of interference fringes

When the screen is oriented parallel to the line joining $S_1$ and $S_2$, hyperbolic shaped fringes are formed. If $\lambda$ be the wavelength of light, then the various (bright) fringe orders $n$ is given by (see figure 2) [2].

$$n = \left\{ 0, 1, 2, ..., \left\lfloor \frac{d}{\lambda} \right\rfloor - 1, \left\lfloor \frac{d}{\lambda} \right\rfloor \right\}; \quad n_{\text{max}} = \left\lfloor \frac{d}{\lambda} \right\rfloor; \quad n_{\text{min}} = 0$$

The special brackets $\lfloor \rfloor$ for $d/\lambda$ denotes the floor function. The sign convention used to distinguish the fringes found on the right and left sides of the midline $OM_l$ has been omitted. When the same screen is oriented
perpendicular to the line joining \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \), circular shaped fringes are formed (see figure 3). The fringe orders \( m \) in this case, can be inferred from \( n \) after adopting the following definitions,

\[
m = \left\lfloor \frac{d}{\lambda} \right\rfloor - n; \quad m_{\text{max}} = \left\lfloor \frac{d}{\lambda} \right\rfloor - 1; \quad m_{\text{min}} = 0
\]  

(7)

The geometrical relationship of the hyperbolic and circular fringe orders can be readily visualized with the help of figures 2 & 3. The numerical relationship of \( n \) and \( m \) is enumerated in table 1. Note that the zeroth order hyperbolic fringe does not correspond to any circular fringe order since the wavefronts from sources \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) in this case, can meet the screen only at infinity. This would require that the circular fringe corresponding to \( n = 0 \) be of an infinite diameter. The exclusion of the order \( m = \left\lfloor \frac{d}{\lambda} \right\rfloor \) is thus justified.

5. Determination of the diameter of a circular bright fringe

A circular fringe is said to be bright where constructive interference of light from point-sources \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) occurs on the orthogonally oriented distant screen. The path difference in this case is a whole number multiple of the wavelength. By writing \( \delta = n\lambda \) in equation (4), the diameter \( D \) of a circular fringe can be expressed as a function of the hyperbolic fringe order \( n \).
Alternatively, the diameter can also be expressed as a function of the circular fringe order \( m \) by employing the \( n-m \) relation (7) in equation (8).

\[
D_n = \frac{\sqrt{4L^2 - n^2\lambda^2}(d^2 - n^2\lambda^2)}{n\lambda}; \quad n = \left\{ 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{d}{\lambda} \right\rfloor \right\}
\]  

The diameter of the \( m^{th} \) order circular bright fringe can thus be exactly determined from the experimentally known parameters \( \{L, \lambda, d\} \).

5.1. Salient remarks on equation (9)

(a) The determination of fringe diameter is subject to the constraint \( L > \frac{d}{2} \).

(b) If the \( d: \lambda \) ratio is a natural number, then the zeroth order circular bright fringe lies exactly at the screen center \( M_c \), i.e. \( M_c \) is occupied by a single bright spot. Formally stated, if \( \left\lfloor \frac{d}{\lambda} \right\rfloor \in \mathbb{N} \) then \( D = 0\) for \( m = 0 \).

(c) The average fringe density \( \rho_{\text{av}} \) along some radial direction and between the \( m^{th} \) and \( (m + \Delta m)^{th} \) order circular fringes can be evaluated for a specified interval of fringes \( \Delta m \) as follows,

\[
\rho_{\text{av}} = \frac{(m + \Delta m) - m}{\frac{D_m + \Delta m}{2} - \frac{D_m}{2}} = \frac{2\Delta m}{D_{m+\Delta m} - D_m}
\]

(d) The use of the approximation \( \left\lfloor \frac{d}{\lambda} \right\rfloor \approx \frac{d}{\lambda} \) is valid provided that the condition \( \lambda \ll d \) is satisfied. For nearly all practical purposes with light in the visible region, this assumption can be said to hold true.

6. Estimation of the wavelength of light

Equation (4) may be rearranged to form a biquadratic equation in \( \delta^2 \) and then solved algebraically.

\[
\delta^4 - (d^2 + D^2 + 4L^2)\delta^2 + 4L^2d^2 = 0
\]

\[
\delta^2 = \frac{d^2 + D^2 + 4L^2}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{(D^2 + (2L + d)^2)(D^2 + (2L - d)^2)}}{2}
\]

This solution satisfies an important feature of the geometrical construction shown in figure 3, namely that \( \delta = d \) for \( D = 0 \). The wavelength of light \( \lambda \) may be obtained from equation (12) by writing \( \delta = n\lambda = \left( \left\lfloor \frac{d}{\lambda} \right\rfloor - m \right)\lambda \).
and employing the approximation $\left[ \frac{d}{\lambda} \right] \approx \frac{d}{\lambda}$.

$$\lambda = \frac{d}{m} - \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{d^2 + D_m^2 + 4L^2 - \sqrt{(D_m^2 + (2L + d)^2)(D_m^2 + (2L - d)^2)}}{2}}$$

The estimation of $\lambda$ from the experimentally known parameters $\{D_m, L, d\}$ is subject to three constraints,

$$L > d/2; \ 0 < m \leq \left[ \frac{d}{\lambda} \right] - 1; \ \lambda \ll d$$

7. Estimation of the refractive index of a liquid medium

The passage of light from one optical medium to another is accompanied by a change in its wavelength that is proportionate to the change in its velocity, the frequency remaining unaltered [7]. Let $\lambda$ be the wavelength of monochromatic light in air and $\lambda'$ be its new wavelength upon passing through some liquid medium. The refractive index $\mu$ of the latter can be expressed as a ratio of the old to new wavelengths.

$$\mu = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'}$$

Consider an identical arrangement of two point-sources and an orthogonal screen, first immersed in a liquid medium and then in air (see figure 4). From equation (9), it may be inferred that the same $m^{th}$ order circular bright fringe (where $m > 0$) has different diameters, say $D'_m$ and $D_m$ in the liquid and air, respectively. The refractive index can be calculated based on equations (13) and (15).

$$\mu = \frac{\sqrt{2}d - \sqrt{d^2 + D_m^2 + 4L^2 - \sqrt{(D_m^2 + (2L + d)^2)(D_m^2 + (2L - d)^2)}}}{\sqrt{2}d - \sqrt{d^2 + D'_m^2 + 4L^2 - \sqrt{(D'_m^2 + (2L + d)^2)(D'_m^2 + (2L - d)^2)}}}$$

The estimation of $\mu$ from the experimentally known parameters $\{D_m, D'_m, L, d\}$ is also subject to conditions (14).

8. Newton’s rings

A circular thin film can be made by placing the convex surface of a plano-convex lens on the top of a plane glass plate, as shown in figure 5. When monochromatic light from an extended source is made to fall on the arrangement at right angles to its surface, a series of concentric alternating bright and dark rings are formed as a
Table 2. Nature, pattern and production of circular fringes for two point-sources and Newton’s rings.

| Characteristic feature                              | Two point-source rings                                                                 | Newton’s rings                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source description                                  | These are non-localized fringes that extend throughout the space surrounding the source pair. They are also seen in Young’s double-slit experiment and special optical arrangements, namely Lloyd’s mirror, Fresnel’s biprism, and Fresnel’s mirror. | These are localized fringes visible only at the reflecting/transmitting surface. They are also seen in wedge shaped thin films (Fizeau fringes) and parallel thin films (Haidinger fringes). |
| Localizability of fringes                           | There exists a finite order of fringes, \(0 \leq m \leq \left\lfloor \frac{d}{X} \right\rfloor - 1\) | There exists an infinite order of fringes \(0 \leq m < \infty\) |
| Range of fringe orders                              | The \(D_m, m\) relationship is rather complicated (see equation (9)).                   | The diameter of Newton’s (bright) ring is directly proportional to the square root of an odd whole number \((D_m \propto \sqrt{2m + 1})\) and the diameter of Newton’s (dark) ring is directly proportional to the square root of a whole number \((D_m \propto \sqrt{m})\). |
| Relationship between fringe diameter and fringe order|                                                                                         | The quantity \(D_{m+1} - D_m\) decreases with increase of \(m\). Thus, the fringes appear more spaced apart near the center and crowded together in the radially outward direction. |
| Spacing between successive orders of fringes        | The quantity \(D_{m+1} - D_m\) increases with increase of \(m\). Thus, the fringes appear more crowded together near the center and spaced apart in the radially outward direction. | Fringe density increases radially outwards. |
| Average fringe density                              | Fringe density decreases radially outwards (see equation (10)).                          |                                                                             |
| Central spot                                        | The central spot is bright if \(d = n \lambda\) and dark if \(d = \frac{(2n - 1) \lambda}{2}\), where \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). | The central spot is dark when viewed in reflected light and bright in transmitted light. |
| Geometrical constraint to be satisfied for fringe formation | The orthogonally oriented screen must be placed at a distance greater than half the inter-source separation. That is, \(L > \frac{d}{2}\) (see equation (5)). | The radius of curvature of the convex lens must be much larger than the thickness of the thin film. That is, \(R \gg t\). |

Consequence of interference of reflected or transmitted light. At the point of contact of the lens with the plate, a central dark spot is seen when viewed in reflected light and a central bright spot is seen when viewed in transmitted light [8]. Historically, these circular fringes were first described by Robert Hooke in his book Micrographia (1664) and then later analyzed by Sir Isaac Newton in his treatise Opticks (1704). Although the original discovery is attributed to Hooke, the rings remain named after Newton [7]. A qualitative and quantitative comparison of Newton’s rings and the circular fringes associated with two point-source interference is presented in Table 2. Formal details on Newton’s rings including its applications viz. the measurement of the wavelength of light and the refractive index of a liquid medium may be found elsewhere [9–12].

Figure 5. (a) Experimental apparatus for the production of Newton’s rings, (b) Geometry of the arrangement: \(R\) is the radius of curvature of the convex lens, \(t\) is the thickness of the thin (air) film, \(r_m\) is the radius of the \(m^{th}\) order ring and \(D_m\) is its diameter. Upon applying Pythagoras’s theorem for the right triangle shown and using the approximation \(R \gg t\), we get \(r_m = \sqrt{2Rt}\). (c) Newton’s rings when seen in reflected light (left) and in transmitted light (right).
9. Summary

In this short paper, the features of concentric circular fringes generated by the interference of light from two coherent point-sources was explored using a hyperbola-based analysis. The geometry of the source-screen arrangement was shown to be suitable for the estimation of the wavelength of light and the refractive index of a liquid medium. The contrasting features of these circular fringes and Newton’s rings were qualitatively and quantitatively elucidated.

10. Conclusion

An experiment that is suitably devised towards investigating the proposed theoretical scheme would act as an effective test bed for the hyperbola-based analysis of wave interference. The author therefore, strongly recommends that such a project be taken up by optical researchers.

Acknowledgments

Gloria in excelsis Deo. I wish to thank my parents (Mr. Thomas Varghese and Dr. Annie Susan Thomas), my wife (Dr. Shweta) and my son (Yeshurun) for all their love, encouragement and support.

Data availability statement

All data that support the findings of this study are included within the article (and any supplementary files).

ORCID iDs

Joseph Ivin Thomas @ https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5303-1108

References

[1] Thomas J I 2019 The classical double slit interference experiment: a new geometrical approach American Journal of Optics and Photonics. 8 1–9
[2] Thomas J I 2020 The classical double slit experiment—a study of the distribution of interference fringes formed on distant screens of varied shapes Eur. J. Phys. 13 055305
[3] Kumar R 2015 Straight, conic and circular fringes in single interferogram Eur. J. Phys. 13 1–8
[4] Nath S and Mandal P 2019 Shape of interference fringes in Young’s double slit experiment Phys. Educ. 35 51–7
[5] Thomas J I 2021 Multiple slit interference: a hyperbola-based analysis Eur. J. Phys. 42 055304
[6] Kumar R and Chhachhia D P 2013 Formation of circular fringes by interference of two boundary diffraction waves using holography Journal of Optical Society of America A. 30 1627–31
[7] Jenkins F A and White H E 2001 Fundamentals Of Optics 4th Edn (New York, NY: McGraw-Hill) pp 232–3, pp 294–5
[8] Pedrotti F L, Pedrotti L M and Pedrotti L S 2007 Introduction to Optics. 3rd Edn (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall) pp 181–2
[9] Guenther B D 2020 Modern Optics Simplified (Oxford: Oxford University Press) pp 101–3
[10] Hecht E 2017 Optics. 5th (Global) Edition (England: Pearson) pp 422–4
[11] Ghatak A 2009 Optics 4th Edn (New Delhi: Tata McGraw-Hill) pp 15.18–15.21
[12] Smith F G, King T A and Wilkins D 2007 Optics and photonics: an introduction 2nd Edn (New York, NY: Wiley) pp 191–3