Ectomycorrhizal fungal communities on seedlings and conspecific trees of *Pinus mugo* grown on the coastal dunes of the Curonian Spit in Lithuania
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Abstract Ectomycorrhizal (ECM) communities of mature trees and regenerating seedlings of a non-native tree species *Pinus mugo* grown in a harsh environment of the coastal region of the Curonian Spit National Park in Lithuania were assessed. We established three study sites (S1, S2, and S3) that were separated from each other by 15 km. The ECM species richness was rather low in particular for mature, 100-year-old trees: 12 ectomycorrhizal taxa were identified by molecular analysis from 11 distinguished morphotypes. All 12 taxa were present on seedlings and on mature trees, with between 8–11 and 9–11 taxa present on seedlings and mature trees, respectively. *Cenococcum geophilum* dominated all ECM communities, but the relative abundance of *C. geophilum* mycorrhizas was nearly two times higher on seedlings than on mature trees. Mycorrhizal associations formed by *Wilcoxina* sp., *Lactarius rufus*, and *Russula paludosa* were also abundant. Several fungal taxa were only occasionally detected, including *Cortinarius* sp., *Cortinarius obtusus*, *Cortinarius croceus*, and *Meliniomyces* sp. Shannon’s diversity indices for the ECM assemblages of *P. mugo* ranged from 0.98 to 1.09 for seedling and from 1.05 to 1.31 for mature trees. According to analysis of similarity, the mycorrhizal communities were similar between the sites (*R* = 0.085; *P* = 0.06) and only slightly separated between seedlings and mature trees (*R* = 0.24; *P* < 0.0001). An incidental fruiting body survey that was conducted weakly reflected the below-ground assessment of the ECM fungal community and once again showed that ECM and fruiting body studies commonly supply different partial accounts of the true ECM fungal diversity. Our results show that *P. mugo* has moved into quite distinct habitats and is able to adapt a suite of ECM symbionts that sufficiently support growth and development of this tree and allow for natural seedling regeneration.
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Introduction

Mountain pine (*Pinus mugo* subsp. *mugo* Turra) is a high-altitude European pine that grows naturally in the subalpine regions of central and southeast Europe but also occurs at lower altitudes in peat bogs and frost hollows (Christensen 1987; Richardson 1998). With respect to water and soil properties, *P. mugo* is considered to be a pioneer species, colonizing areas that are inaccessible to other woody plants (Piękoś-Mirkowa and Mirek 1996). Since the mid-1800s, mountain pine was introduced with success in Denmark, Norway, Finland, Sweden, Estonia, Lithuania, and other countries and revealed great ability to adapt to adverse soil...
conditions (e.g., dry sandy sites, alkaline, and acid substrata) and has naturalized in scattered places (Fremstad and Elven 1997; Jonsell 2000; Lid and Lid 2005; Øyen 1999). Vigorous growth and successful adaptation in harsh conditions has resulted in the wide use of mountain pine to protect against soil erosion, in particular to stabilize sandy soils along coastlines (Cinovskis et al. 1993; Øyen 1999).

On the Curonian Spit in Lithuania, the first plantations of P. mugo were established on the coastal sand dunes nearly 200 years ago (Strakauskaite 2004), based on seedlings produced in Denmark (most importantly Jutland; Riepsas, personal communication), and at present, mountain pine plantations are well established. Naturally regenerating seedlings of P. mugo appear near mature trees, demonstrating the adaptability of the mountain pine to coastal sand dune conditions.

As with most tree species in boreal and temperate forests, P. mugo lives in symbiosis with ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi. Ectomycorrhizas are exceptionally important at sites with extremely poor, nutrient-limiting soils, such as coastal dunes, where these associations especially facilitate nutrient and water availability (Smith and Read 1997).

Data concerning the mycorrhizal status of P. mugo have been reported in only a few studies and are restricted to the natural range of subalpine belt (Dominik and Nespiak 1953; Dominik et al. 1954). All of these studies were conducted decades ago and are constrained by the obvious methodological limits of those times. Only three mountain pine mycorrhizas (Rhizopogon melanogastroides, Russula formula, and Dermocybe palustris) received detailed morphological and anatomical description (Raidl et al. 1998; Treu 1990; Uhl and Agerer 1987). Fruiting body sampling and identification recorded various ECM fungal symbionts associated with P. mugo such as Amanita, Boletus, Cenococcum, Chroogomphus, Craterellus, Cortinarius, Hygrophorus, Inocybe, Laccaria, Lactarius, Rhizopogon, Russula, Scleroderma, Suillus, Tricholoma, and Xerocomus (Ronikier 2009; Trappe 1962). Recently, morphotyping of ectomycorrhizas on the fine roots of young P. mugo trees grown in the Swiss Alps revealed that Suillus granulatus was the dominant fungus found by morphotyping and as a fruit body (Wiemken and Boller 2006). The below-ground ectomycorrhizal fungal communities of P. mugo have not been detailed described in either the native subalpine habitat or in the coastal dunes. Ectomycorrhizal fungal communities associated with Pinus contorta, Pinus muricata, and Pinus thunbergii grown in coastal forests have been lately documented (Ashkannejhad and Horton 2006; Matsuda et al. 2009; Obase et al. 2009; Peay et al. 2007) and indicate that coastal pine systems develop ECM fungal associations composed of common generalists, often with the dominance of Cenococcum geophilum. However, there is uncertainty as to how universal this pattern of ECM fungal associations is in other coastal pine systems. To our knowledge, this is the first study presenting the ECM community structure of mature mountain pines and naturally regenerating seedlings growing in the coastal pine forests of the Curonian Spit National Park. The results obtained from this study will provide background information on the ecological role of EM fungi associated with P. mugo in harsh environmental conditions (drought, salinity, low soil water content and pH, poor soil nutrient content, etc.).

Materials and methods

Study sites

This study was conducted in healthy, pure mountain pine (P. mugo Turra) forests in the Lithuanian portion of the Curonian Spit where P. mugo grows as a tree of 3 to 4 m height. The oldest mountain pines are approximately 100 years old. The mean annual temperature in the region is 7.4°C, and the mean annual precipitation is 771 mm. The three study sites were located in a large P. mugo forest (each up to 30 ha) and were designated as S1 (55°39′ N, 21°07′ E), S2 (55°25′ N, 21°05′ E), and S3 (55°22′ N, 21°03′ E). At each study sites, one plot (6×80 m) was established for seedlings and soil cores samplings. The distance between each study site was about 15 km. Study sites are surrounded by Pinetum vacciniosum forest type. Mountain pine stands were developed on sandy, haplic podzol soils (FAO 2006) with a thin humus layer (3.1±0.6 cm) and a 28–38-cm clear eluvial horizon. The ground vegetation was dominated by Vaccinium vitis-idaea L., mosses and lichens (Polytrichum piliferum Hedw., Polytrichum juniperinum Hedw., Dicranum polysetum Michx., Pleurozium schreberi (Brird.) Mitt., Cladonia rangiferina (L.) Web., and Cladonia sylvatica (L.) Hoffm.).

Chemical analysis of soils

The soil carbon content and the nutrient composition were determined for each study site using four soil cores taken adjacent to sites where individual soil cores had been sampled for ECM fungi. Following field collection, soil was immediately air-dried and passed through a 2-mm mesh screen and submitted to analysis. Chemical analysis was performed using the elemental combustion system CHNS-O and atomic absorption spectroscopy (Varian 220 FS). A complete description of the soil analysis is presented in our earlier paper (Aucinas et al. 2007). The pH of the soil was determined in de-ionized water with a pH-meter equipped with a glass electrode. Soil water content (w/w) was estimated from four soil samples per site, which were dried at 100±5°C for 1 week.
Sampling of seedlings and fine roots of mature trees

At each study site, 12 randomly selected 2- to 3-year-old naturally regenerating seedlings were harvested, along with 20 soil samples containing the roots of mature *P. mugo* trees. Sampling was conducted in September 2007. Seedlings were excavated from under the canopy of mature trees with their surrounding soil. The soil cores were obtained using a soil corer (10 cm diameter) and were taken from a depth of 0–20 cm after the removal of the litter layer. The harvested seedlings and soil cores were immediately wrapped and placed into labeled plastic bags and transferred to the laboratory at the Vilnius University Botanical Garden. All samples were stored at −20°C until a further analysis. In total, 36 pine seedlings and 60 soil cores were sampled. The occasionally occurring fruiting bodies from all study sites were collected and identified at the time seedling and soil sampling to complement the picture of the ECM fungal species composition.

Mycorrhizal evaluation

The root systems of seedlings and roots from the soil samples were extracted from the soil using a sieve under tap water and cut into 5-cm fragments. For each seedling or soil sample, three subsamples were counted until approximately 250 root tips. Observations of the ectomycorrhizas were conducted under a dissecting microscope at ×10 to ×60 magnification. The ECM morphotypes were described based on macroscopic observations (ramification system; color, shape, texture, and thickness of the mantle; presence and organization of the emanating hyphae; rhizomorphs; and other elements) and were compared to a database from the Laboratory of Mycorrhizal Research at the Institute of Dendrology (Aučina et al. 2007; Leski et al. 2010; Rudawska et al. 2006). The relative abundance of each morphotype (number of root tips of each morphotype/total number of mycorrhizas) was calculated for each subsample.

The selected samples of morphotypes were stored in 2% cetyltrimethylammonium bromide buffer for further analysis. We made no attempt to relate morphotypes between samples until molecular analysis was complete; thus, each morphotype sample was treated separately in a subsequent molecular typing and pooled for abundance calculation only after the molecular analysis indicated that morphotypes are identical. Complete methods for the molecular identification of mycorrhizas are presented in our earlier study (Aučina et al. 2007). Fungal symbionts were identified using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) with the ITS-1F and ITS-4 primers (White et al. 1990) and combination of RFLP and sequencing. Four single ectomycorrhizal root tips from each morphotype (from each seedling and from each soil sample) were separately subjected to RFLP analysis. Finally, two to four samples of each unique RFLP pattern from seedlings/soil samples from each site were sequenced. In total, 132 sequences were obtained. Sequencing of the PCR product was performed with a CEQ 20000XL automatic sequencer using these same set of primers. Consensus sequences were constructed, with manual editing of ambiguous readings, and were compared to published sequences in the GenBank and UNITE databases (Kõljalg et al. 2005) using the BLAST tool. Species-level identification of mycorrhizae was defined as sharing ≥98% of the ITS region sequence identity with the reference sequence. For mycorrhizal morphotypes that produced multiple PCR products, amplicons were cloned with the pGEM-T Easy vector system (Promega). Colonies PCR was carried out using the M13 forward and reverse primers (Promega). Clones containing an insert were sequenced using the primers ITS1 and ITS4F.

Statistical analysis

The chemical composition of soils and the mean fungal species richness were analyzed using analysis of variance (the normality assumption for the data and the homogeneity of the variance were tested through the Shapiro–Wilk test and the Leven test, respectively). Post hoc comparisons of means between sites were made using Tukey’s test at a significance level of *P*<0.05. At the site level, the average species richness was compared using a *t* test. For relative abundances, no homogeneity of variance was found, and differences in the relative abundance of morphotypes between the mountain pine sites were therefore tested using the Kruskal–Wallis and Mann–Whitney *U* tests. Computations were performed using the statistical software package Statistica 5.5. Estimates of the true species richness (bootstrap, first-order and second-order jackknife) were calculated with the EstimateS program version 8.2.0 (Colwell 2006). Multivariate community analyses of mycorrhizal fungi were carried out with PAST 1.89 software (Hammer et al. 2001) and were based on square root-transformed data. The Bray–Curtis coefficient was used to create a dissimilarity matrix of species composition between any two samples. To visualize differences (based on the Bray–Curtis matrix) in the mycorrhizal community structure relative to the mountain pine sites, nonmetric multidimensional scaling ordination (NMDS) was used. NMDS plots were produced using PAST, which chooses the best solution of 11 trials based on least “stress”—a value representing the difference between ranks within the distance matrix and in the ordination plot. To compare the effects of site and age on differences in the relative abundance of mycorrhizal fungal taxa, two-way analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was performed (Clarke and Green 1988). Similarity of percentages (SIMPER) was
carried out to investigate which taxa were responsible for these differences. All absolute data were square root-transformed prior to the analysis.

**Results**

The soil properties of the three tested sites are summarized in Table 1. Significant differences between sites were found in the concentrations of K, Ca, Mg, C, and C/N ratio in the soil. Mean values of N total, NH$_4^+$, NO$_3^-$ and P did not differ significantly between three tested sites. The pH of the soils was acidic and ranged between 3.5 and 3.8, without significant differences between the sites. Soil water content from the tested sites was low and ranged between 4.7% and 6.3% (w/w), without significant differences among the sites.

The rate of mycorrhizal colonization at all three sites was very high and near 100% for both seedlings and mature trees. From 11 morphotypes distinguished on both the regenerating seedlings and the mature trees, 12 mycorrhizal taxa were identified. The suilloid morphotypes turned out to be species mixtures of two Suillus species: *Suillus bovinus* and *Suillus luteus* (Table 2). All 12 taxa were present on seedlings and mature trees, with between 8–11 and 9–11 taxa on seedlings and mature trees, respectively, depending on the site. Mean fungal species richness ranged between 4.1–4.2 and 3.3–4.5 per seedling and soil sample, respectively. The mean richness of the fungal taxa in soil samples containing the roots of mature *P. mugo* trees differed significantly between the sites (Table 2).

The estimated species richness values were 14.67, 13.67, and 8.92 by Jackknife 1; 17.24, 17.00, and 8.23 by Jackknife 2; and 12.55, 11.42, and 8.58 by bootstrap estimator for seedlings in sites 1, 2, and 3, respectively. For mature trees, the estimated species richness values were 9.28, 12.90, and 10.95 (Jackknife 1); 9.31, 12.38, and 11.85 (Jackknife 2); and 9.25, 12.14, and 10.45 (bootstrap), respectively, in sites 1, 2, and 3, respectively (Table 2).

*C. geophilum* dominated ECM communities on both seedlings and mature trees. The relative abundance of *C. geophilum* mycorrhizas was nearly two times higher on seedlings than on mature trees, and this difference was statistically significant. Mycorrhizas formed by *Wilcoxina* sp., *Lactarius rufus*, and *Russula paludosa* were also abundant. Several fungal taxa, such as *Cortinarius* sp., *Cortinarius obtusus*, *Cortinarius croceus*, and *Meliniomyces* sp. were occasionally detected on the fine roots of the regenerating seedlings and the mature trees at all sites (Table 2). The Shannon’s diversity indices for the ECM assemblages of *P. mugo* seedlings ranged from 0.98 to 1.09 and from 1.05 to 1.31 for mature trees.

According to ANOSIM, mycorrhizal communities were similar between sites ($R=0.085; P=0.06$), but the ANOSIM did detect a statistically significant differences between seedlings and mature trees ($R=0.24; P=0.0001$). The fact that the R-statistic was <0.25, however, indicated that seedling and mature tree communities are only barely separable and although statistically significant, the differences were not very strong. Consistently, the NMDS ordinations of the mycorrhizal fungal assemblages (final stress=0.18) showed incomplete and partly overlapped separation based on mountain pine age (Fig. 1). SIMPER analysis indicated that *C. geophilum*, *Wilcoxina rehmi*, *L. rufus*, and *R. paludosa* were the main explanatory species for the observed dissimilarity between seedlings and mature trees of *P. mugo* (with 14.6%, 9.5%, 8.4%, and 6.6% contribution in dissimilarity).

During a one-time survey, fruiting bodies of the following ectomycorrhizal fungal taxa were found: *Cortinarius muco-

### Table 1 The nutrient concentrations, pH, and water content in soil at the three study sites (values and means ± SE; n=4)

| Site | N (%)     | NH$_4^+$ (mg kg$^{-1}$) | NO$_3^-$ (mg kg$^{-1}$) | P (mg kg$^{-1}$) | K (mg kg$^{-1}$) | Ca (mg kg$^{-1}$) | Mg (mg kg$^{-1}$) | C (%)     | C/N       | pH$_{H_2O}$ | Soil water content, % (w/w) |
|------|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| S1   | 0.03±0.001| 2.5±0.48               | 0.1±0.03                | 30.7±4.41      | 76.0±2.46*      | 32.0±2.52ab     | 14.7±1.63ab    | 2.6±0.29  | 75.1±8.65b| 3.8±0.19    | 6.3±0.93                     |
| S2   | 0.03±0.004| 2.8±0.21               | 0.6±0.27                | 27.0±1.15      | 52.7±1.76b      | 25.0±1.53b      | 9.6±0.50b      | 2.4±0.24  | 93.0±6.47b| 3.5±0.06    | 4.7±0.52                     |
| S3   | 0.03±0.01 | 2.7±0.25               | 0.2±0.02                | 38.7±3.18      | 107.7±7.26a     | 40.3±2.73a      | 16.3±2.03a     | 4.6±0.61  | 179.7±44.91a| 3.5±0.10    | 5.1±1.17                     |

*Different letters indicate significant differences between sites (Tukey’s test, $P<0.05$)
sus, Cortinarius semisanquineus, Lactarius deliciosus, L. rufus, Lactarius volemus, R. paludosa, S. bovinus, S. luteus, Suillus variegatus, Tricholoma sp., and Xerocomus rubellus.

### Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study of the below-ground ECM community of mountain pine (P. mugo) growing in coastal conditions. Though not native to the environments of the north-European coastal dunes, mountain pine is important in this habitat because it is used to stabilize sandy soils against erosion and to protect human settlements along coastlines. P. mugo does not spread extensively throughout the Curonian Spit in Lithuania, occurring only in locations where it was deliberately planted (Jørgensen 2006). At the three study sites, we distinguished 12 ECM fungal taxa based on morphotyping.

| Identification | Closest match | Identity (%) | Relative abundance (%) | Seedlings | Mature trees | Seedlings | Mature trees | Seedlings | Mature trees | Seedlings | Mature trees |
|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| Ascomycota     | Cenococcum geophilum (DQ068980) | 99 | 62.0± | 31.0± | 52.0± | 29.2± | 56.8± | 34.1± |
| Wilcoxina sp.  | Wilcoxina rehmii (DQ069001) | 97 | 18.8± | 26.7±3.89 | 27.8± | 32.8±3.88 | 7.7± | 29.7± |
| Pezizomycotina | uncultered Pezizomycotina (DQ273331) | 90 | 2.8± | 3.3±1.64 | 0.5± | 5.3±2.52 | 15.5± | 5.2+3.43 |
| Meliniomyces sp. | Meliniomyces variabilis (EF093173) | 97 | 0.1±0.06 | 0.5±0.37 | – | 0.5±0.35 | – | – |
| Basidiomycota  | Russula paludosa (AJ971402) | 98 | 8.9±3.21 | 13.6±4.04 | 8.8±1.92 | 6.5±3.25 | 16.0±3.00 | 8.5+2.04 |
| Suillus sp.    | Suillus bovinus (L54077) Suillus luteus (GU373495) | 99 | 5.5±2.56 | 5.9±1.97 | 4.5±1.78 | 2.4±1.69 | 1.1±0.72 | 5.8+1.78 |
| Basidiomycota  | Uncultured ectomycorrhiza (FJ348387) | 90 | 0.8±0.84 | – | 0.6±0.61 | 0.4±0.37 | 0.4±0.45 | – |
| Lactarius rufus | Lactarius rufus (EU346873) | 99 | 0.4±0.33b | 18.3±4.63a | 5.5±3.68 | 21.3±5.40 | 2.6±1.39b | 10.8±2.23a |
| Cortinarius sp. | Cortinarius sp. (AF430288) | 96 | 0.4±0.39 | 0.6±0.47 | – | 1.2±0.71 | – | 2.5±1.38 |
| Cortinarius obtusus | Cortinarius cf. obtusus (FJ039610) | 98 | 0.3±0.29 | – | 0.1±0.14 | – | – | 3.1+1.43 |
| Cortinarius croceus | Cortinarius croceus (UDB000129) | 99 | – | – | 0.1±0.14 | 0.4±0.37 | – | 0.3±0.31 |
| Coexistent taxa | Unidentified | 96 | + | + | + | + | + |
| Helotiales     | Uncultured Helotiales (EF619698) | 98 | + | + | + | + | + |

| Observed species richness | 11 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 10 |
| Mean no species per seedling/soil sample | 4.2±0.27 | 3.6±0.18b | 4.1±0.34 | 3.3±0.19B | 4.2±0.24 | 4.5+0.20A |
| Jackknife 1 | 14.67 | 9.28 | 13.67 | 12.90 | 8.92 | 10.95 |
| Jackknife 2 | 17.24 | 9.31 | 17.00 | 12.38 | 8.23 | 11.85 |
| Bootstrap | 12.55 | 9.25 | 11.42 | 12.14 | 8.58 | 10.45 |
| Shannon diversity index (H’) | 0.98±0.08 | 1.18±0.05 | 1.09±0.08 | 1.05±0.06 | 1.01±0.08 | 1.31±0.06 |

*a Different letters indicate significant differences at site level (a) and between examined sites (A) at a *P* value of <0.05 (Mann–Whitney *U* test)

*b Different letters indicate significant differences at site level (a) and between examined sites (A) according to the *t* test and Tukey’s (HSD) test (*P*<0.05), respectively

---

**Table 2** Molecular identification, relative abundance, observed total and mean species richness (± SE) and estimated species richness of ectomycorrhizal fungi on the roots of naturally regenerating seedlings (n=12 at each site) and mature trees (n=20 soil samples at each site) of *P. mugo* grown on coastal dunes of Curonian Spit.
of 41,940 mature root tips and 25,164 seedlings root tips. A total of 132 mycorrhizas were sequenced. From a quantitative point of view, the below-ground ECM species richness (number of species) of tested pines was rather low. This was in accordance with our expectations to find few highly dominated fungal taxa that were well adapted to this nutrient-poor and dry habitat. This is also in accordance with investigations on the ECM status of P. contorta seedlings from the isolated areas of the Oregon coastal dunes where ten RFLP types were found (Ashkannejhad et al. 2006). Recently, Matsuda et al. (2009) identified 13 species (phylotypes) of ECM fungi on naturally regenerated P. thunbergii seedlings from coastal dune plantations in Japan. Higher species richness (27 ECM taxa) was found on mature trees and regenerating seedlings varying in age in coastal ECM taxa was found on mature trees and regenerating seedlings from coastal or inland dunes; all are coastal habitat bear a resemblance to other ECM communities in coniferous forests of costal or inland dunes; all are associated with size of the stand, as suggested by Peay et al. (2007), because our study sites were not isolated islands but rather were established inside of the large P. mugo coastal forests.

At the species level, the ECM taxa of P. mugo from coastal habitat bear a resemblance to other ECM communities in coniferous forests of costal or inland dunes; all are rich in mycorrhizas of the genera Cenococcum, Wilcoxina, Lactarius, Russula, Cortinarius and of suillloid fungi (Matsuda et al. 2009; Obase et al. 2009; Smit et al. 2003). All of the recorded ECM species belonged to the multi-site (generalist) fungi and are well adapted to a wide range of community types. It is highly probable that generalist species of coniferous trees are less sensitive to the harsh conditions (e.g., de Román and de Miguel 2005; Peter et al. 2008) and remain in contrast to the higher diversity (H′) noted on undisturbed, natural sites (Korkama et al. 2006; Kranabetter et al. 2009; Walker et al. 2005). Several explanations could account for the low observed ECM species diversity. The homogenous structure of plant cover and soil, characteristic for this study, do not create varied forest microsites that stimulate fungal diversity (Tedersoo et al. 2008). Remarkably, a clear pattern in the relationship between the number of host species and the richness of ECM fungi was presented by Dickie (2007). Low water potential in the soil on the coastal dunes may be also an important factor structuring the ECM community. The final outcome of ECM symbiosis on trees grown in low water retention is probably a result of host response but may also be caused by fungal water limitation (Kennedy and Peay 2007; Parlaide et al. 2001; Querejeta et al. 2003). Under very low soil moisture conditions (i.e., strong drought), the growth of some fungi may be significantly limited (e.g., Rhizopogon; Kennedy and Peay 2007), and some fungi may not be active (Shi et al. 2002). Finally, the factor that may account for the relatively low ECM diversity recorded in our study is the non-native origin of P. mugo to the Curonian Spit. Coniferous genera such as Larix, Abies, and Picea, which have been introduced relatively recently to Britain, were each found to be associated with fewer fungal taxa than would be expected from their distributional areas (Newton and Haigh 1998). The low species diversity of our studies does not seem to be related with size of the stand, as suggested by Peay et al. (2007), because our study sites were not isolated islands but rather were established inside of the large P. mugo coastal forests.

Several explanations could account for the low observed ECM species diversity. The homogenous structure of plant cover and soil, characteristic for this study, do not create varied forest microsites that stimulate fungal diversity (Tedersoo et al. 2008). Remarkably, a clear pattern in the relationship between the number of host species and the richness of ECM fungi was presented by Dickie (2007). Low water potential in the soil on the coastal dunes may be also an important factor structuring the ECM community. The final outcome of ECM symbiosis on trees grown in low water retention is probably a result of host response but may also be caused by fungal water limitation (Kennedy and Peay 2007; Parlaide et al. 2001; Querejeta et al. 2003). Under very low soil moisture conditions (i.e., strong drought), the growth of some fungi may be significantly limited (e.g., Rhizopogon; Kennedy and Peay 2007), and some fungi may not be active (Shi et al. 2002). Finally, the factor that may account for the relatively low ECM diversity recorded in our study is the non-native origin of P. mugo to the Curonian Spit. Coniferous genera such as Larix, Abies, and Picea, which have been introduced relatively recently to Britain, were each found to be associated with fewer fungal taxa than would be expected from their distributional areas (Newton and Haigh 1998). The low species diversity of our studies does not seem to be related with size of the stand, as suggested by Peay et al. (2007), because our study sites were not isolated islands but rather were established inside of the large P. mugo coastal forests.

At the species level, the ECM taxa of P. mugo from coastal habitat bear a resemblance to other ECM communities in coniferous forests of costal or inland dunes; all are rich in mycorrhizas of the genera Cenococcum, Wilcoxina, Lactarius, Russula, Cortinarius and of suillloid fungi (Matsuda et al. 2009; Obase et al. 2009; Smit et al. 2003). All of the recorded ECM species belonged to the multi-site (generalist) fungi and are well adapted to a wide range of community types. It is highly probable that generalist species of coniferous trees are less sensitive to the harsh
conditions of the dune environment than specialist species. The most conspicuous specificity of our results is the very high frequency and abundance of *C. geophilum* mycorrhizas on both seedlings and mature trees. Generally, a strong dominance of *C. geophilum* ECMs is reported in stressed forest stands, which is consistent with the condition of the coastal dune forest studied here (e.g., Matsuda et al. 2009; Di Pietro et al. 2007; Obase et al. 2009). Probably due to the high fluctuations in moisture and temperature, the upper organic horizons were dominated by *C. geophilum*, which is known to be able to tolerate water and thermal stresses (Ashkannejjad and Horton 2006; Di Pietro et al. 2007; Matsuda et al. 2009; Obase et al. 2009; Scattolin et al. 2008). The higher abundance of *C. geophilum* on roots of seedlings compared to mature trees is probably attributed to the vital role which plays this fungal symbiont in regeneration and maintenance of *P. mugo* seedlings in conditions of drought stress typical for coastal dunes (Obase et al. 2009; Taniguchi et al. 2007; Kataoka et al. 2008).

The R-statistic from the ANOSIM indicated that seedlings and mature trees had nearly similar communities of mycorrhizal fungi. This result was not surprising—in our study, seedlings and mature trees (altogether in all three sites) shared this same suite of mycorrhizal fungi and differed only in the abundance of particular fungal species (mostly *C. geophilum*, *W. rehmi*, *L. rufus*, and *R. paludosa*). Such results suggest that seedlings of *P. mugo* were fully incorporated into the mycorrhizal network maintained by understory trees that play the role of “nurse trees”, facilitating seedling establishment, especially in harsh condition (low nitrogen and water content in the soil) present on coastal dunes. Common mycorrhizal network is widespread and may connect not only young and mature plants (Jonsson et al. 1999; Matsuda and Hijii 2004; Obase et al. 2009) but also different tree species (Cullings et al. 2000; Horton and Bruns 1998) or trees and understory plants (Horton et al. 1999; Kennedy et al. 2003).

Our incidental fruiting body survey only weakly reflected below-ground assessment of the ECM fungal community and once again showed that ECM and fruiting body studies commonly supply different partial accounts of the true ECM fungal diversity. A similar discrepancy between fruiting body occurrence and the occurrence of appropriate ectomycorrhizas has been observed in many earlier studies (e.g., Cullings and Makhiha 2001; Gardes and Bruns 1996; Nieto and Carbone 2009).

Because the characterization of the below-ground ECM fungal community of mountain pine in their native environment is lacking, we do not know if the diversity of ECM fungi found in our studies is representative for *P. mugo* grown in the subalpine habitat. The results of mycocoenological observations conducted during four vegetative seasons show that *Pinetum mugo carpathicum* is rich in rare ECM fungi, despite the absence of species exclusively associated with dwarf-mountain pine (Ronikier 2009). Sixteen fungi producing epigeous fruiting bodies were classified as mycorrhizal in these studies (*Cortinarius odorifer*, *Cortinarius percomis*, *Cortinarius dionysae*, *Cortinarius infractus*, *Laccaria laccata*, *Lactarius badiusanguineus*, *Lactarius aurantiacus*, *Lactarius deterrimus*, *Inocybe terrigena*, *Inocybe nitidiuscula*, *Inocybe rimosas*, *Craherellus tubaeformis*, *Chroogomphus rutilus*, *Hygrophorus olivaceolus*, *Russula chloroides*, and *Russula aff. silvestris*), and none of them was found in our below- and above-ground observations. These results show that favorable habitat had an important impact in structuring the ECM community in the tested pine trees. Despite some limitations in our present study (single sampling limited to one season), our results indicate that *P. mugo* moved into a quite distinct habitat is able to adapt a suite of ECM symbionts that sufficiently support growth and development of this tree and allow for natural seedlings regeneration.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.
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