Adding Amino Acids to a Sucrose Diet Is Not Sufficient to Support Longevity of Adult Bumble Bees
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Abstract: Dietary macro-nutrients (i.e., carbohydrates, protein, and fat) are important for bee larval development and, thus, colony health and fitness. To which extent different diets (varying in macro-nutrient composition) affect adult bees and whether they can thrive on nectar as the sole amino acid source has, however, been little investigated. We investigated how diets varying in protein concentration and overall nutrient composition affected consumption, longevity, and breeding behavior of the buff-tailed bumble bee, Bombus terrestris (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Queenless micro-colonies were fed either natural nutrient sources (pollen), nearly pure protein (i.e., the milk protein casein), or sucrose solutions with low and with high essential amino acid content in concentrations as can be found in nectar. We observed micro-colonies for 110 days. We found that longevity was highest for pure pollen and lowest for pure sucrose solution and sucrose solution supplemented with amino acids in concentrations as found in the nectar of several plant species. Adding higher concentrations of amino acids to sucrose solution did only slightly increase longevity compared to sucrose alone. Consequently, sucrose solution with the applied concentrations and proportions of amino acids or other protein sources (e.g., casein) alone did not meet the nutritional needs of healthy adult bumble bees. In fact, longevity was highest and reproduction only successful in micro-colonies fed pollen. These results indicate that, in addition to carbohydrates and protein, adult bumble bees, like larvae, need further nutrients (e.g., lipids and micro-nutrients) for their well-being. An appropriate nutritional composition seemed to be best provided by floral pollen, suggesting that pollen is an essential dietary component not only for larvae but also for adult bees.

Keywords: nutrition; nutrients; foraging; pollen; resources; adult bees

1. Introduction

An insect’s stress tolerance and survival depend, among other things, on the amount and ratio of particular nutrients consumed [1–4]. Thus, food source quality and availability play a major role in insect survival, as they directly determine the nutritional composition of the diets [5,6].

The diet of bees is usually comprised of nectar and pollen [7,8]. Nectar primarily contains carbohydrates, but also low amounts of protein, free amino acids, lipids, and phytochemicals [9–11]. Nectar is considered the main food source of adult bees [12]. Pollen, in turn, is predominantly consumed by larvae and represents the primary source of protein and fat [7,13,14]. Pollen is further
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comprised of carbohydrates, vitamins, sterols, carotenoids, and flavonoids [15,16], rendering pollen an important source of various nutrients.

As bees are thought to consume pollen primarily during larval growth and female egg production [12,17,18], it was assumed that pollen, in contrast to nectar, does not seem to play a major nutritional role for adult bees [12]. However, recent studies in honey bees (Apis mellifera) and bumble bees (Bombus impatiens) showed that longevity of adult bees depended on the protein-to-carbohydrate [19–21] or protein-to-lipid ratio [4,22] of food consumed and decreased with increasing (excess) protein content or protein-to-carbohydrate ratio [23,24]. On the other hand, diets of high (non-excess) protein content positively affected the immune response against pathogens in adult honey bees [19,25–28] and increased reproduction [29,30] and survival [29,31], suggesting that, besides carbohydrates, protein may also have an impact on adult bees.

While many studies focused on short-term diet effects and observed colonies for only a few days (e.g., one to two weeks [e.g., 22,23]), our goal was to investigate the effect of different diets (i.e., different protein and amino acid sources) on food consumption, longevity, and reproduction of adult B. terrestris workers over longer periods. We observed workers kept in queenless micro-colonies for 110 days. The effect of diet on queenless micro-colonies was comparable to effects on queenright macro-colonies, as has been shown by Génissel, Aupinel, Bressac, Tasei, and Chevrier [29] and Smeets and Duchateau [31]. We tested diets that differed in nutritional composition of protein, i.e., (1) commercial pollen blends as natural nutrient source, (2) the milk protein casein (which has been used in the past as protein substitute for bees [e.g., 20,32]) as nearly pure protein source, and (3) pure amino acids added to a sucrose solution, mimicking the amino acid content of nectar.

As nectar is considered the primary food source of adult bumble bees, we hypothesized that amino acid concentrations as found in nectar are sufficient to increase longevity (compared to pure sucrose). Given the positive effect of pollen protein content on adult bee immunity and breeding performance shown by previous studies (see above), we further expected that longevity and reproductive success increased for diets containing some sort of protein even in relatively low concentration. Because pollen contains other nutrients in addition to protein, we expected that longevity was highest when fed pollen and slightly reduced when fed casein, which lacks such additional nutrients.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Experimental Setup

The experiments were conducted at the University of Würzburg from May to December 2014. We tested 25 micro-colonies, each with ten Bombus terrestris workers, which were randomly composed from five commercial queenright colonies (Koppert, The Netherlands) to ensure that each colony comprised workers of different age and origin and to exclude potential colony-specific pathogen effects. Workers were placed in wooden boxes (14.5 × 13.0 × 10.0 cm), which were thoroughly cleaned with soap, water, and ethanol before usage, and had ad libitum access to a 60% (weight by weight (w/w)) sucrose solution provided in two plastic feeders. Micro-colonies were shown to be comparable to queenright colonies in terms of nutritional intake and reproductive behavior and, therefore, in their nutritional requirements [29]. Workers in micro-colonies typically start to lay unfertilized eggs after a few days, which develop into males. Each of five micro-colonies received one out of five diets (resulting in five micro-colonies for each of the five diets). Different diets were provided on petri dishes and composed of (1) either pure bee-collected pollen (Ppure, Naturwaren-Niederrhein GmbH, Germany; see Table S1 for amino acid composition), (2) casein (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) mixed with sucrose (Csuc, mimicking pollen), (3) pure 60% (by mass) sucrose solution (sucrose), or 60% sucrose solution with 10 essential amino acids and proline (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) in (4) high (AAhigh) or (5) low (AAlow) concentration to mimic a nectar-like diet. Casein is a protein powder obtained from milk, which contains all 20 proteinogenic amino acids and is frequently used in studies on bee nutrition and, therefore, can be used as pollen surrogate [20,24,32,33]. We added sugar and water to the casein
diet to create a similar texture as obtained for pollen paste. Amino acid amounts used for the low concentration mixture were the average concentrations found for essential amino acids (which cannot be synthesized by the bees themselves [34]) and proline (a non-essential amino acid which was added because it is important for flight muscle activity in bees [35]) in floral nectar of eight bee-pollinated Fabaceae plants ([36], Table S2). The high concentration mixture contained a hundred-fold higher concentration of each amino acid compared to the natural concentration.

2.2. Diet Preparation

To remove sugar (controlled for with Fehling’s test) from bee-collected pollen, and thus better control for total sugar consumption, 200 g of pollen were ground, diluted in 600 mL de-ionized water for 24 h, and filtered. The procedure was repeated with ethanol and the pollen finally dried at 30 °C for 24 h. Pollen was then mixed with de-ionized water in a ratio of 1:1 until it became a viscid mass. Casein was mixed with sucrose (1:3; i.e., one volume equivalent (VE) of casein and three VEs of sucrose) and water to obtain a food mixture that was readily accepted and collected by bees, because they did not forage on pure casein powder mixed with water in preliminary experiments.

2.3. Amino Acid Analysis

For each diet, we ground all components with a mortar to produce a homogenous food slurry. The slurry was mixed with de-ionized water to facilitate stirring and then dried at 30 °C for 24 h. The protein content (i.e., sum of free and protein-bound amino acids) of each of these diets was determined by ion-exchange chromatography ([IEC], Biochrom 20 plus amino acid analyzer, Laborservice Onken, Gründau, Germany) [37,38].

In a first step, free amino acids were dissolved in 100 µL of deionized water using an ultrasonic bath (Emmi 20HC, EMAG, Mörfelden-Walldorf, Germany). The resulting extract was kept at 7 °C for 60 min and subsequently membrane filtered for 10 min. It was boiled for 2 min at 100 °C, cooled down to room temperature, and centrifuged for 5 min. The supernatant was mixed with 10 µL of 12.5% 5-sulfosalicylic acid (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and kept at 7 °C for another 30 min. It was then centrifuged for 10 min and 50 µL of the supernatant was mixed with 50 µL buffer (Laborservice Onken GmbH, Gründau, Germany). The extract was filtered again and then analyzed by IEC.

The residue of the membrane filtration in step 1 was hydrolyzed with 200 µL of 6 N HCl to obtain protein-bound amino acids. After boiling for 4 h, the mixture was centrifuged for 10 min. Water was evaporated and the sample was resolved in 200 mL deionized water and centrifuged thrice. Then, 100 µL of the supernatant was mixed with 20 µL of 12.5% sulfo salicylic acid and refrigerated for 30 min. After centrifuging for 10 min, 100 µL of the supernatant was mixed with 100 µL buffer and filtered. The filtrate was analyzed by IEC. With this procedure, all proteinogenic amino acids besides tryptophan can be detected. Since tryptophan concentrations are highly variable in nectar [39–42], we estimated a plausible concentration for tryptophan by calculating the mean concentration of all other amino acids.

2.4. Data Acquisition

All diets were provided fresh and ad libitum every day. Consumption of diets was recorded daily by weighing each dish before placing it inside boxes and again the next day. To obtain mean individual consumption, amounts consumed were divided by the number of individuals present when removing the dish. To account for evaporation, we placed control dishes of each diet next to our boxes and measured their weight loss every 24 h. We had measured evaporation rates with different methods for a previous study [5] and found that evaporation was similar for dishes placed inside a colony (i.e., box) and dishes placed outside. The values for evaporation were then used to correct our consumption records by subtracting the daily weight lost due to evaporation. Likewise, consumption of sucrose solution per individual was obtained by dividing the overall amount of sucrose solution consumed within one day by the number of individuals present. In addition, we recorded longevity by counting
the number of dead bees per day and scored successful breeding behavior (i.e., rearing of larvae to adult drones) every day. Workers that died in the first week were replaced with new workers from the original colonies, as we attributed their death to experimental stress or age, but not diet. Bees were replaced initially because they are more likely to start breeding in relatively larger worker groups. Freshly hatched drones were removed daily and frozen to prevent any bias of food consumption. Colonies were observed for a total of 110 days.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

All analyses were conducted in R (version 3.1.1) [43].

To analyze differences in daily diet (overall food, protein, and sucrose) consumption per individual, the amount of food each individual consumed from each diet was averaged over the number of days the colony survived. Exact amounts of protein consumed were determined based on the mass proportion of pollen/casein fed and the protein concentrations (i.e., sum of all amino acids) obtained by the IEC analysis. Differences in overall food, sucrose, and protein consumption (in mg) were examined by analyses of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey tests for post hoc analyses. All data were tested for normality (using Shapiro test) and homogeneity of variances (Bartlett test) and were log- or square root-transformed when necessary. If one or both criteria were not met (even after transformation), we used nonparametric tests instead (i.e., Kruskal–Wallis-tests and pairwise Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney-tests or Spearman rank correlations).

Differences in longevity of individuals fed different diets were analyzed with Kaplan–Meier statistics (log-rank test, R-packages: survival & KMsurv). The Bonferroni method was used to correct for potential type I errors due to (1) data dependency as a consequence of using the same bee individuals for the consumption and survival analysis and (2) multiple testing when comparing single diets for survival.

3. Results

3.1. Consumption

Daily individual overall food consumption (total amount of food consumed in mg, including food consumed from petri dishes and from the 60% sucrose solution) differed significantly between different diets (ANOVA: \( F = 10.64, p < 0.001 \), significance level after Bonferroni: \( \alpha = 0.0125 \), Figure 1A). Bumble bees fed pure pollen consumed the highest amount of food, while bumble bees receiving only sucrose solution or sucrose solution with amino acids consumed the lowest amounts (Figure 1A).

Daily individual overall consumption of sucrose was not significantly different between diets after Bonferroni correction (ANOVA: \( F = 3.42, p = 0.028 \), Figure 1B). However, bumble bees consumed more than two times more sucrose when additionally fed pure pollen than when fed pure sucrose solution only (Figure 1B).

Treatment groups further differed in the amount of protein consumed per individual and day (ANOVA: \( F = 114.80, p < 0.001 \), Figure 1C). Most protein was consumed by bees fed the casein-sucrose mixture followed by bees fed pure pollen (Figure 1C).
Figure 1. Daily individual consumption (in mg) of (A) overall food (including food consumed from petri dishes and from 60% sucrose solutions (with or without amino acids)), (B) sucrose (from the 60% sucrose solution plus sucrose from the casein-sucrose mixture), and (C) protein (from either pollen, casein, or amino acid mixtures). Different letters indicate significant differences between diets based on Tukey post hoc tests for analyses significant after Bonferroni correction. Abbreviations as follows: Ppure, pure pollen; Csuc, casein-sucrose; AAlow, 60% sucrose solution with concentrations of essential amino acids and proline as can be found in some Fabaceae species; AAhigh, 60% sucrose solution with amino acids at a 100 times higher concentration; Sucrose = only sucrose solution (60% by mass); NA, no data available.

3.2. Longevity

Overall, longevity of bumble bee workers in micro-colonies differed between diets (log-rank test: $\chi^2 = 186, p < 0.001$, Figure 2). It was highest for bees fed pure pollen and lowest in colonies fed pure sucrose solution and sucrose solution with low concentrations of amino acids (Figure 2, Table 1).
Figure 2. Survival probability of bumble bees (N = 50 per treatment) kept in micro-colonies fed pure pollen (Ppure), casein-sucrose (1:3, Csuc), only sucrose solution (60% by mass, Sucrose), 60% sucrose solution with concentrations of essential amino acids and proline (AAlow), and 60% sucrose solution with amino acids at a 100 times higher concentration (AAhigh).

Table 1. Differences in longevity of bumble bees kept in micro-colonies fed different diets. P-values < 0.05 are marked in bold. P-values that are significant after Bonferroni correction are additionally marked in italics. Abbreviations as follows: Pure pollen (Ppure), casein-sucrose (Csuc), only sucrose solution (60% by mass, Sucrose), 60% sucrose solution with natural concentrations of essential amino acids and proline (AAlow), and 60% sucrose solution with amino acids at a 100 times higher concentration (AAhigh).

| Diet   | Sucrose | AAlow | AAhigh | Ppure |
|--------|---------|-------|--------|-------|
| AAlow  | 0.030   | −     | −      | −     |
| AAhigh | 0.300   | 0.005 | −      | −     |
| Ppure  | <0.001  | <0.001| <0.001 | −     |
| Csuc   | 0.030   | <0.001| 0.300  | <0.001|

Median survival time (Table 2) of bumble bee workers significantly differed between treatments (ANOVA: F = 16.61, p = 0.001). Only micro-colonies consuming the pure pollen diet successfully raised brood, whereas larvae in colonies consuming other diets died after 2–4 days (data not shown).
Table 2. Median survival time (in days) of bumble bees kept in micro-colonies fed different diets, including the lower and upper 95% confidence interval (CI). Days separated by a slash represent the upper and lower median. Abbreviations as follows: Pure pollen (Ppure), casein-sucrose (Csuc), only sucrose solution (60% by mass, Sucrose), 60% sucrose solution with natural concentrations of essential amino acids and proline as can be found in nectar (AAlow), and 60% sucrose solution with amino acids at a 100 times higher concentration (AAhigh).

| Diet   | Median Survival Time (Days) | Lower 95% CI | Upper 95% CI |
|--------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Sucrose| 20                          | 17           | 23           |
| AAlow  | 14/15                       | 13           | 18           |
| AAhigh | 20/21                       | 16           | 26           |
| Ppure  | 54/55                       | 43           | 101          |
| Csuc   | 21/22                       | 18           | 33           |

4. Discussion

Adult longevity, and thus survival, was strongly influenced by diet in Bombus terrestris workers. As expected, longevity was low when bees were fed only sucrose solution, as has also been shown by Smeets and Duchateau [31]. However, longevity was also low when bees were fed artificial diets containing protein (casein) or amino acids, including “artificial nectar” with average amino acid concentrations as can be found in some Fabaceae plants (see Table S2 for species list). This finding disagreed with our hypothesis and previous assumptions (e.g., [31]) that longevity increases when amino acids or protein are provided in addition to sucrose. It is possible, however, that neither casein nor our “artificial nectar” provided appropriate ratios of (essential) amino acids, even though at least casein contained all 20 proteinogenic amino acids [24,44].

Nevertheless, compared to pure sucrose solution or sucrose solution enriched with amino acids, adult bees lived longer when fed the casein-sucrose mixture. Such a positive effect of casein on longevity was also found by Altaye, Pirk, Crewe, and Nicolson [20] for honey bees. In our study, longevity was highest for adult bumble bees fed pure pollen, which indicates that not only larvae, but also adult bees need additional nutrients, which are typically found in pollen besides carbohydrates and protein, to maximize life span.

Animal fitness depends on food of a species-specific nutrient ratio [45]. Because this optimal ratio is rarely ever found in nature, animals must frequently choose between over- or undereating one nutrient to consume sufficient amounts of another [45]. Some studies showed that there can be a trade-off between longevity and reproduction, caused by the amount of consumed protein, as seen e.g., in Drosophila [46,47], where high protein content led to increased reproductive success, but reduced longevity. Moreover, some free amino acids can be toxic even at low concentrations [48]. The positive effect of protein can, therefore, become negative if it is consumed in excess amounts or if a protein source contains certain amino acids in detrimentally high concentrations. Interestingly, the bees in our study consumed similar amounts of carbohydrates per diet, suggesting that they regulated carbohydrate rather than amino acids or protein intake. This finding is surprising because B. terrestris workers can taste some amino acids dissolved in water as well as differences in their concentrations [49]. They should, therefore, be able to also taste them in the artificial nectar diets used in our study, which is a prerequisite for regulation of their intake. The lack of amino acid regulation suggested by this study’s findings does, however, agree with another study from our group, which also found no regulation of amino acid intake in B. terrestris foraging on pollen [50], suggesting that B. terrestris may instead regulate other nutrients, such as lipids [50] or carbohydrates.

The regulation of carbohydrates instead of amino acids could in turn have resulted in the bees overeating amino acids. Previous studies also found that honey bees and bumble bees overeat protein to obtain sufficient carbohydrates when offered a diet with a sub-optimal protein-to-carbohydrate ratio (i.e., more protein and less carbohydrate than would be optimal), which decreased their longevity [23,24]. The bumble bees in our study had, however, ad libitum access to carbohydrates (i.e., a 60% sucrose...
solution) and were, therefore, not forced to overeat protein to obtain sufficient carbohydrates. They may, however, have overeaten protein to obtain sufficient lipids or a suitable protein-lipid ratio, at least when fed the casein diet (according to the manufacturer, lipids are the main other component of casein), as indicated by the high protein consumption on this diet. In fact, lipids appear to be more strongly regulated by foraging bumble bees than carbohydrates [4,22,51] and may significantly affect colony [4,52,53] and also individual health [50]. Alternatively, the B. terrestris workers in our study may simply have ignored amino acids and may have focused on sugar intake only. If the bees indeed regulated carbohydrates/sugar, the nectar-like diets might not have provided sufficient amino acids (or other nutrients, which we did not provide), resulting in reduced longevity.

In contrast to casein, pollen contains much lower protein proportions, a comparably higher fat content and additional nutrients, such as sterols, vitamins, and lipids [14–16], which most likely explains why adult bumble bees performed best when fed pollen (in addition to sucrose solution). Génissel, Aupinel, Bressac, Tasei, and Chevrier [29] further suggested that ovary formation in bumble bees most likely depends not only on protein access, but also on the availability of sterols and lipids, which may explain why, in our study, only bumble bees with access to pollen could successfully raise brood. This likely led to a higher pollen consumption and prevented us from comparing reproductive success between different treatments. The lack of these nutrients may also have contributed to the lower survival in those micro-colonies that did not receive any pollen. In fact, sterols and lipids are known to positively affect longevity (e.g., [54]) and reproduction [54–58] in insects. Hymenopterans cannot synthesize sterols themselves and, therefore, need phytosterols to synthesize, e.g., molting hormones [56,59]. Bees can obtain sterols only from floral pollen, which may explain why bumble bees tend to collect pollen of high phytosterol content [60]. In contrast to sterols, vitamins appear not to be essential for brood rearing in honey bees [61]. If and how they affect longevity in honey bees and bumble bees is however still unknown.

5. Conclusions

Our results demonstrated that adult B. terrestris workers, which have access to nutrients other than sucrose and pure protein, as provided by pollen, can live significantly longer than bees fed diets containing only sucrose, sucrose and casein, or sucrose refined by a specific concentration and composition of amino acids. It remains to be investigated, however, how different proportions and ratios of amino acids, as found in nectar of different plant groups, affect worker longevity. In our study, workers further needed pollen to successfully rear male brood, indicating that healthy B. terrestris adults require the same spectrum (albeit different ratios) of micro- and macro-nutrients as larvae in order to thrive and reproduce. Future experiments should aim to better understand the underlying factors explaining reduced survival of bees that consumed inappropriate diets. For example, bee survival could be impaired by physiological or pathological effects of diets, as found in other studies investigating effects of malnutrition in bees (e.g., [19,21,62]).
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Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.S. and S.D.L.; Formal analysis, S.D.L.; Investigation, N.G.-M. and F.A.R.; Supervision, J.S. and S.D.L.; Validation, F.A.R. and S.D.L.; Writing—original draft, N.G.-M., F.A.R., J.S. and S.D.L.; Writing—review & editing, N.G.-M., F.A.R., J.S. and S.D.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG projects: LE 2750/1-1 and LE 2750/5-1 to SDL and SP1380/1-1 to J.S.) and S.D.L. was further supported by a grant of the German Excellence Initiative to the Graduate School of Life Sciences, University of Würzburg.

Acknowledgments: We thank Katharina Grund-Müller for help with data collection and anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments on a previous version of this manuscript.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References
1. Lee, K.P.; Cory, J.S.; Wilson, K.; Raubenheimer, D.; Simpson, S.J. Flexible diet choice offsets protein costs of pathogen resistance in a caterpillar. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 2006, 273, 823–829. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Povey, S.; Cotter, S.C.; Simpson, S.J.; Lee, K.P.; Wilson, K. Can the protein costs of bacterial resistance be offset by altered feeding behaviour? J. Anim. Ecol. 2009, 78, 437–446. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Hawlena, D.; Schmitz, O.J. Herbivore physiological response to predation risk and implications for ecosystem nutrient dynamics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2010, 107, 15503–15507. [CrossRef]
4. Vaudo, A.D.; Stabler, D.; Patch, H.M.; Tooker, J.F.; Grozinger, C.M.; Wright, G.A. Bumble bees regulate their intake of the essential protein and lipid pollen macronutrients. J. Exp. Biol. 2016, 219, 3962–3970. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Ruedenauer, F.A.; Spaethe, J.; Leonhardt, S.D. Hungry for quality—Individual bumblebees forage flexibly to collect high-quality pollen. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 2016, 70, 1209–1217. [CrossRef]
6. Behmer, S.T. Insect herbivore nutrient regulation. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 2009, 54, 165–187. [CrossRef]
7. Haydak, M.H. Honey bee nutrition. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 1970, 15, 143–156. [CrossRef]
8. Faegri, K.; Van der Pijl, L. Principles of Pollination Ecology; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2013.
9. Gardener, M.C.; Gillman, M.P. Analyzing variability in nectar amino acids: Composition is less variable than concentration. J. Chem. Ecol. 2001, 27, 2545–2558. [CrossRef]
10. Nepi, M.; Soligo, C.; Nocentini, D.; Abate, M.; Guarnieri, M.; Cai, G.; Bini, L.; Puglia, M.; Bianchi, L.; Pacini, E. Amino acids and protein profile in floral nectar: Much more than a simple reward. Flora-Morphol. Distrib. Funct. Ecol. Plants 2012, 207, 475–481. [CrossRef]
11. Baker, H.G. Non-sugar chemical constituents of nectar. Apidologie 1977, 8, 349–356. [CrossRef]
12. Plowright, R.C.; Pendrel, B.A. Larval growth in bumble bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Can. Entomol. 1977, 109, 967–973. [CrossRef]
13. Dobson, H.E.M.; Peng, Y.S. Digestion of pollen components by larvae of the flower-specialist bee Chelostoma florisomne (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae). J. Insect Physiol. 1997, 43, 89–100. [CrossRef]
14. Roulston, T.H.; Cane, J.H. Pollen nutritional content and digestibility for animals. Plant Syst. Evol. 2000, 222, 187–209. [CrossRef]
15. Day, S.; Beyer, R.; Mercer, A.; Ogden, S. The nutrient composition of honeybee-collected pollen in Otago, New Zealand. J. Apic. Res. 1990, 29, 138–146. [CrossRef]
16. Roulston, T.a.H.; Cane, J.H.; Buchmann, S.L. What governs protein content of pollen: Pollinator preferences, pollen-pistil interactions, or phylogeny? Ecol. Monogr. 2000, 70, 617–643. [CrossRef]
17. Pernal, S.F.; Currie, R.W. Pollen quality of fresh and 1-year-old single pollen diets for worker honey bees (Apis mellifera L.). Apidologie 2000, 31, 387–409. [CrossRef]
18. Ribeiro, M.F. Growth in bumble bee larvae: Relation between development time, mass, and amount of pollen ingested. Can. J. Zool. 1994, 72, 1978–1985. [CrossRef]
19. Alaux, C.; Ducloz, F.; Crauser, D.; Le Conte, Y. Diet effects on honeybee immunocompetence. Biol. Lett. 2010, 6, 562–565. [CrossRef]
20. Altaye, S.Z.; Pirk, C.W.W.; Crewe, R.M.; Nicolson, S.W. Convergence of carbohydrate-biased intake targets in caged worker honeybees fed different protein sources. J. Exp. Biol. 2010, 213, 3311–3318. [CrossRef]
21. Archer, C.R.; Pirk, C.W.W.; Wright, G.A.; Nicolson, S.W. Nutrition affects survival in African honeybees exposed to interacting stressors. Funct. Ecol. 2014, 28, 913–923. [CrossRef]
22. Vaudo, A.D.; Patch, H.M.; Mortensen, D.A.; Tooker, J.F.; Grozinger, C.M. Macronutrient ratios in pollen shape bumble bee (Bombus impatiens) foraging strategies and floral preferences. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2016, 113, E4035–E4042. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Paoli, P.P.; Donley, D.; Stabler, D.; Saseendranath, A.; Nicolson, S.W.; Simpson, S.J.; Wright, G.A. Nutritional balance of essential amino acids and carbohydrates of the adult worker honeybee depends on age. Amino Acids 2014, 46, 1449–1458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
24. Stabler, D.; Paoli, P.P.; Nicolson, S.W.; Wright, G.A. Nutrient balancing of the adult worker bumblebee (Bombus terrestris) depends on the dietary source of essential amino acids. J. Exp. Biol. 2015, 218, 793–802. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
25. Dolezal, A.G.; Toth, A.L. Feedbacks between nutrition and disease in honey bee health. Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 2018, 26, 114–119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Brunner, F.S.; Schmid-Hempel, P.; Barribeau, S.M. Protein-poor diet reduces host-specific immune gene expression in Bombus terrestris. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 2014, 281. [CrossRef]
27. Rinderer, T.E.; Rothenbuhler, W.C.; Gochnauer, T.A. The influence of pollen on the susceptibility of honey-bee larvae to Bacillus larvae. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 1974, 23, 347–350. [CrossRef]
28. Rinderer, T.E.; Dell Elliott, K. Worker honey bee response to infection with Nosema apis: Influence of diet. J. Econ. Entomol. 1977, 70, 431–433. [CrossRef]
29. Génissel, A.; Upinel, P.; Bressac, C.; Tasei, J.N.; Chevrier, C. Influence of pollen origin on performance of Bombus terrestris micro-colonies. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 2002, 104, 329–336. [CrossRef]
30. Tasei, J.N.; Upinel, P. Nutritive value of 15 single pollens and pollen mixes tested on larvae produced by bumblebee workers (Bombus terrestris, Hymenoptera: Apidae). Apidologie 2008, 39, 397–409. [CrossRef]
31. Smeets, P.; Duchateau, M.J. Longevity of Bombus terrestris workers (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in relation to pollen availability, in the absence of foraging. Apidologie 2003, 34, 333–337. [CrossRef]
32. Pirk, C.W.W.; Boodhoo, C.; Human, H.; Nicolson, S. The importance of protein type and protein to carbohydrate ratio for survival and ovarian activation of caged honeybees (Apis mellifera scutellata). Apidologie 2010, 41, 62–72. [CrossRef]
33. Lee, K.P. The interactive effects of protein quality and macronutrient imbalance on nutrient balancing in an insect herbivore. J. Exp. Biol. 2007, 210, 3236–3244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. DeGroot, A.P. Protein and amino acid requirements of the honey bee (Apis mellifica L.). Physiol. Comp. Oecologia 1953, 3, 197–285.
35. Micheu, S.; Craelisheim, K.; Leonard, B. Importance of proline and other amino acids during honeybee flight. Amino Acids 2000, 18, 157–175. [CrossRef]
36. Venjakob, C.; Klein, A.M.; Leonardt, S.D.; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany; University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany; Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany. Personal communication, 2012.
37. Leonardt, S.D.; Blüthgen, N. The same, but different: Pollen foraging in honeybee and bumblebee colonies. Apidologie 2012, 43, 449–464. [CrossRef]
38. Kriesell, L.; Hilpert, A.; Leonardt, S.D. Different but the same: Bumblebee species collect pollen of different plant sources but similar amino acid profiles. Apidologie 2017, 48, 102–116. [CrossRef]
39. Baker, H.; Baker, I. Intraspecific constancy of floral nectar amino acid complements. Bot. Gaz. 1977, 138, 183–191. [CrossRef]
40. Gottsberger, G.; Arnold, T.; Linskens, H.F. Intraspecific variation in the amino acid content of floral nectar. Bot. Acta 1989, 102, 141–144. [CrossRef]
41. Lake, J.C.; Hughes, L. Nectar production and floral characteristics of Tropaeolum majus L. grown in ambient and elevated carbon dioxide. Ann. Bot. 1999, 84, 535–541. [CrossRef]
42. Grünfeld, E.; Vincent, C.; Bagnara, D. High-performance liquid chromatography analysis of nectar and pollen of strawberry flowers. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1989, 37, 290–294. [CrossRef]
43. R Core Team R: A language and Environment for Statistical Computing; R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria, 2018.
44. Arganda, S.; Bouchebti, S.; Bazazi, S.; Le Herzan, S.; Puga, C.; Latil, G.; Simpson, S.J.; Dussutour, A. Parsing the life-shortening effects of dietary protein: Effects of individual amino acids. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 2017, 284. [CrossRef]
45. Simpson, S.J.; Raubenheimer, D. The Nature of Nutrition: A Unifying Framework from Animal Adaptation to Human Obesity; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 2012.
46. Lee, K.P.; Simpson, S.J.; Clissold, F.J.; Brooks, R.; Ballard, J.W.O.; Taylor, P.W.; Soran, N.; Raubenheimer, D. Lifespan and reproduction in Drosophila: New insights from nutritional geometry. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2008, 105, 2498–2503. [CrossRef]
47. Fanson, B.G.; Weldon, C.W.; Perez-Staples, D.; Simpson, S.J.; Taylor, P.W. Nutrients, not caloric restriction, extend lifespan in Queensland fruit flies (Bactrocera tryoni). Aging Cell 2009, 8, 514–523. [CrossRef]
48. Huang, T.; Jander, G.; de Vos, M. Non-protein amino acids in plant defense against insect herbivores: Representative cases and opportunities for further functional analysis. *Phytochemistry* 2011, 72, 1531–1537. [CrossRef]

49. Ruedenauer, F.A.; Leonhardt, S.D.; Lunau, K.; Spaethe, J. Bumblebees are able to perceive amino acids via chemotactile antennal stimulation. *J. Comp. Physiol. A* 2019, 205, 321–331. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

50. Ruedenauer, F.A.; Raubenheimer, D.; Kessner-Beierlein, D.; Grund-Mueller, N.; Noack, L.; Spaethe, J.; Leonhardt, S.D. Best be(e) on low fat: Linking nutrient perception, regulation and fitness. *Ecol. Lett.* 2020, 23, 545–554. [CrossRef]

51. Vaudo, A.D.; Tooker, J.F.; Grozinger, C.M.; Patch, H.M. Bee nutrition and floral resource restoration. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 2015, 10, 133–141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

52. Avni, D.; Hendriksma, H.P.; Dag, A.; Uni, Z.; Shafir, S. Nutritional aspects of honey bee-collected pollen and constraints on colony development in the eastern Mediterranean. *J. Insect Physiol.* 2014, 69, 65–73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Hendriksma, H.P.; Shafir, S. Honey bee foragers balance colony nutritional deficiencies. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 2016, 70, 509–517. [CrossRef]

54. Norris, D.M.; Moore, C.L. Lack of dietary Δ7-sterol markedly shortens the periods of locomotor vigor, reproduction and longevity of adult female *Xyleborus ferruginus* (Coleoptera, Scolytidae). *Exp. Gerontol.* 1980, 15, 359–364. [CrossRef]

55. Svoboda, J.A.; Thompson, M.J.; Robbins, W.E.; Kaplanis, J.N. Insect steroid metabolism. *Lipids* 1978, 13, 742–753. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Svoboda, J.A.; Thompson, M.J.; Herbert, E.W.; Shortino, T.J.; Szczepanik-Vanleeuwen, P.A. Utilization and metabolism of dietary sterols in the honey bee and the yellow fever mosquito. *Lipids* 1982, 17, 220–225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Vanderplanck, M.; Moeran, R.; Rasmont, P.; Lognay, G.; Wathelet, B.; Wattiez, R.; Michez, D. How does pollen chemistry impact development and feeding behaviour of polylectic bees? *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e86209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

58. Roger, N.; Michez, D.; Wattiez, R.; Sheridan, C.; Vanderplanck, M. Diet effects on bumblebee health. *J. Insect Physiol.* 2017, 96, 128–133. [CrossRef]

59. Nation, J.L. *Insect Physiology and Biochemistry*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2015.

60. Somme, L.; Vanderplanck, M.; Michez, D.; Lombaerde, I.; Moerman, R.; Wathelet, B.; Wattiez, R.; Lognay, G.; Jacquemart, A.L. Pollen and nectar quality drive the major and minor floral choices of bumble bees. *Apidologie* 2015, 46, 92–106. [CrossRef]

61. Herbert, E.W., Jr.; Shimanauki, H. Effect of fat soluble vitamins on the brood rearing capabilities of honey bees fed a synthetic diet. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 1978, 71, 689–691. [CrossRef]

62. Ruedenauer, F.A.; Spaethe, J.; Leonhardt, S.D. How to know which food is good for you: Bumblebees use taste to discriminate between different concentrations of food differing in nutrient content. *J. Exp. Biol.* 2015, 218, 2233–2240. [CrossRef]