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Abstract

We introduce a representation of compact 3-manifolds without spherical boundary components via (regular) 4-colored graphs, which turns out to be very convenient for computer aided study and tabulation. Our construction is a direct generalization of the one given in the seventies by S. Lins for closed 3-manifolds, which is in turn dual to the construction introduced independently in the same period by Pezzana’s school in Modena.

In this context we establish some results concerning fundamental groups, connected sums, moves between graphs representing the same manifold, Heegaard genus and complexity, as well as an enumeration and classification of compact 3-manifolds representable by graphs with few vertices (≤ 6 in the non-orientable case and ≤ 8 in the orientable one).
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1. Introduction and preliminaries

The representation of closed 3-manifolds by 4-colored graphs has been independently introduced in the late seventies by S. Lins and by Pezzana’s research group in Modena (see [17] and [29]), by using dual constructions. A 4-colored graph is a regular edge-colored graph of valence 4, which represents a closed 3-manifold iff it satisfies certain combinatorial conditions.

The extension of the representation to 3-manifolds with boundary was performed by C. Gagliardi in [19] by using a slightly different class of colored graphs satisfying a notion of regularity weaker than the one required in the closed case. The study of this kind of representation has yielded several results especially with regard to the definition of combinatorial invariants and
their relations with topological invariants of the represented manifolds (see [23], [14], [12], [3], [8]). Unfortunately, Gagliardi’s representation is not suitable for a satisfactory computer tabulation of non-closed 3-manifolds.

In this paper we show that any 4-colored graph, with no additional conditions, can represent a compact 3-manifold without spherical boundary components, and the whole class of such manifolds admits a representation of this type. As a consequence, an efficient computer aided tabulation of 3-manifolds with boundary can be performed by this tool.

The construction is described in Section 2 and a set of moves connecting graphs representing the same manifold is given in Section 3. However, these moves are not sufficient to ensure the equivalence of any two graphs representing the same manifold.

Examples of 4-colored graphs representing relevant classes of compact 3-manifolds, such as handlebodies (both orientable and non-orientable) and products of closed surfaces with the compact interval $I$, are given in Section 5.

In Section 4 we establish the relation between the connected sum of graphs and the (possibly boundary) connected sum of the represented 3-manifolds. In Section 6 we associate to any 4-colored graph a group which is strictly related to the fundamental group of the associated manifold and, therefore, it is a convenient tool for its direct computation (in many cases the two groups are in fact isomorphic). Combinatorial invariants are defined and their relations with (generalized) Heegaard genus and Matveev complexity are discussed in Sections 7 and 8 respectively.

The last section presents some computational results, obtained by means of a C++ program, in terms of the number of non-isomorphic graphs representing compact 3-manifolds, up to 12 vertices, and the number of compact 3-manifolds admitting a graph representation up to 8 vertices in the orientable case and up to 6 vertices in the non-orientable one.

In the following we fix some notations and recall some definitions and results about Heegaard splittings/diagrams which will be largely used throughout the paper.

Let $S_g$ be a closed, connected orientable surface of genus $g$ either orientable (with $g \geq 0$) or non-orientable (with $g \geq 1$). A system of curves on $S_g$ is a (possibly empty) set of simple closed orientation-preserving curves $C = \{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k\}$ on $S_g$ such that $\gamma_i \cap \gamma_j = \emptyset$, for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$. Moreover, we denote with $V(C)$ the set of connected components of the surface obtained by cutting $S_g$ along the curves of $C$. The system $C$ is said to be proper if all elements of $V(C)$ have genus zero, and reduced if either $|V(C)| = 1$ or no element of $V(C)$ has genus zero.

Note that a proper reduced system of curves on $S_g$ contains exactly $g$ curves in the orientable case and $g/2$ curves in the non-orientable one, with $g$ even. Of course, in the non-orientable case no proper system can exist when $g$ is odd. In the following $C$ will be also considered as a subspace of $S_g$ in the obvious sense.

A compression body $K_g$ of genus $g$ is a 3-manifold with boundary obtained from $S_g \times I$, where $I = [0, 1]$, by attaching a finite set of 2-handles along a system of curves (called attaching circles) on $S_g \times \{0\}$ and filling in with balls all the spherical boundary components of the resulting manifold, except for $S_g \times \{1\}$ when $g = 0$. The set $\partial_+ K_g = S_g \times \{1\}$ is called the positive boundary of $K_g$, while $\partial_- K_g = \partial K_g - \partial_+ K_g$ is the negative boundary of $K_g$. Notice that a compression body is a handlebody if an only if $\partial_- K_g = \emptyset$ (i.e., the system of the attaching circles on $S_g \times \{0\}$ is proper). Obviously homeomorphic compression bodies can be obtained via (infinitely many)

\footnote{This means that each curve $\gamma_i$ has an annular regular neighborhood, as it always happens if $S_g$ is an orientable surface.}
non isotopic systems of attaching circles. Moreover, any non-reduced system of curve properly contains at least a reduced one inducing the same compression body. Operations of reduction correspond to elimination of complementary 2- and 3-handles.

Let $M$ be a compact, connected 3-manifold without spherical boundary components. A Heegaard surface for $M$ is a closed surface $S_g$ embedded in $M$ such that $M - S_g$ consists of two components whose closures $K'$ and $K''$ are homeomorphic to genus $g$ compression bodies. The triple $(S_g, K', K'')$ is called a generalized Heegaard splitting of genus $g$ of $M$. It is a well-known fact that each compact connected 3-manifold without spherical boundary components admits a Heegaard splitting, and at least one of the two compression bodies can be assumed to be a handlebody (in this case the splitting is simply called Heegaard splitting).

Since two compact 3-manifolds are homeomorphic if and only if (i) they have the same number of spherical boundary components and (ii) they are homeomorphic after capping off by balls these components, there is no loss of generality in studying compact 3-manifolds without spherical boundary components.

On the other hand, a triple $H = (S_g, C', C'')$, where $C'$ and $C''$ are two systems of curves on $S_g$, such that they intersect transversally, uniquely determines a 3-manifold $M_H$ corresponding to the Heegaard splitting $(S_g, K', K'')$, where $K'$ and $K''$ are respectively the compression bodies whose attaching circles correspond to the curves in the two systems. Such a triple is called a generalized Heegaard diagram for $M_H$. In the case of a generalized Heegaard diagram $H$ of a closed 3-manifold, both systems of curves are obviously proper; if they are also reduced, $H$ is simply a Heegaard diagram in the classical sense (see [26]).

The minimum $g$ such that a manifold $M$ admits a generalized Heegaard splitting (resp. a Heegaard splitting) of genus $g$ is called the generalized Heegaard genus (resp. the Heegaard genus) of $M$, denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{H}}(M)$ (resp. by $\mathcal{H}(M)$). Of course the two notions coincide in the case of connected boundary. The only 3-manifold of (generalized) Heegaard genus zero is the 3-sphere. Examples of compact non-closed 3-manifold of generalized Heegaard genus one are $S^1 \times S^1 \times I$ and the orientable handlebody of genus one $H_1$. Of course $\overline{\mathcal{H}}(M) \leq \mathcal{H}(M)$, for every manifold $M$ and it is easy to find examples where the two genera differ: for example $\overline{\mathcal{H}}(S^1 \times S^1 \times I) = 1$ by construction but $\mathcal{H}(S^1 \times S^1 \times I) > 1$ since the Heegaard genus of a manifold can not be less than the sum of the genera of its boundary components.

For general PL-topology and elementary notions about graphs and embeddings, we refer to [28] and [35] respectively.

2. Construction

Let $\Gamma$ be a finite connected graph which is 4-regular (i.e., any vertex has valence four), possibly with multiple edges but with no loops. A map $\gamma : E(\Gamma) \to \Delta = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is called a 4-coloration of $\Gamma$ if adjacent edges have different colors. An edge of $\Gamma$ colored by $c \in \Delta$ is also called a $c$-edge.

A 4-colored graph is 4-regular graph equipped with a 4-coloration. It is easy to see that 4-colored graphs have even order, but not all 4-regular graphs of even order can be 4-colored. Easy examples of 4-colored graphs are the graph of order two and the complete bipartite graph $K_{4,4}$. Two 4-colored graphs $\Gamma'$ and $\Gamma''$, with coloration $\gamma'$ and $\gamma''$ respectively, are (color-)isomorphic if there exist a graph isomorphism $\phi$ between $\Gamma'$ and $\Gamma''$ and a permutation $\sigma$ of $\Delta$ such that $\gamma'' \circ \phi = \sigma \circ \gamma'$. If $\Delta' \subset \Delta$, any connected component of the subgraph $\Gamma_{\Delta'}$ of $\Gamma$ containing exactly all $c$-edges, for each $c \in \Delta'$, is called a $\Delta'$-residue as well as a $|\Delta'|$-residue. Of course 0-residues
are vertices, 1-residues are edges and 2-residues are bicolored cycles with an even number of edges.

We can associate a compact connected 3-manifold $M$ with any 4-colored graph $\Gamma$ via the following construction.

First of all consider $\Gamma$ as a 1-dimensional cellular complex. By attaching to $\Gamma$ a disk for each 2-residue we obtain a 2-dimensional polyhedron $P_\Gamma$, which is special in the sense of [33]. The vertices (resp. points of the edges) of $\Gamma$ have links in $P_\Gamma$ homeomorphic to a circle with three radii (resp. with two radii). Each 3-residue of $\Gamma$, with the relative associated disks is a closed connected surface $S$. If $S$ is a 2-sphere the residue is called ordinary and otherwise singular. By attaching a 3-ball to $S$ when the residue is ordinary, and just thickening it by attaching $S \times I$ along $S \times \{0\}$ when the residue is singular, we obtain a compact connected 3-manifold $M_\Gamma$ with non-spherical boundary components. We will say that $\Gamma$ represents $M_\Gamma$. Obviously isomorphic 4-colored graphs represent homeomorphic 3-manifolds.

For closed 3-manifolds the construction reduces to the one introduced by Lins (see [29]), and it is dual to the one introduced by Pezzana and others (see [17]). So, the novelty of our construction is that it works also in case of 3-manifolds with (non-spherical) boundary. Actually, a graph representation for manifolds with boundary has been introduced by Gagliardi in [19], by using colored graphs which are not 4-regular. So, our idea is to give, for the whole class of compact 3-manifolds, a unitary representation by 4-colored graphs, which seems to be more efficient than Gagliardi’s for a computer aided tabulation and classification of 3-manifolds with boundary.

Now we show that any compact connected 3-manifold $M$ with non-spherical boundary components can be realized by the above construction.

Take a handle decomposition of $M$: the union of the 0-handles and 1-handles gives a genus $g$ handlebody $H_g$, which can be either orientable or non-orientable. Let $D_0, \ldots, D_g$ be a system of $g+1$ pairwise disjoint disks properly embedded in $H_g$, such that, by cutting along them, $H_g$ splits into two balls $B'$ and $B''$. If $C'_i = \partial D_i$, for $i = 0, \ldots, g$, then $C' = \{C'_0, \ldots, C'_g\}$ is a system of curves on $\Sigma_g = \partial H_g$. Let $C''_j$, for $j = 1, \ldots, m$, be the attaching circles of the 2-handles. Also $C'' = \{C''_1, \ldots, C''_m\}$ is a system of curves on $\Sigma_g$. Possibly by adding a trivial 2-handle, we can suppose that $m > 0$. Moreover, up to isotopy we can always suppose that any curve of $C''$ intersect transversally the curves of $C'$, the graph $\bar{\Gamma} = C' \cup C''$ is connected and cellularly embedded in $\Sigma_g$ (i.e., the regions of the embedding are disks). Now take a set $\{N_1, \ldots, N_m\}$ of pairwise disjoint regular (closed) neighborhoods in $\Sigma_g$ of all curves of $C''$, such that $\partial N_j$ intersects transversally the curves of $C'$ in such a way that any component of $N_j \cap C'$ contains exactly one point of $C' \cap C''$. So, $C = \{\partial N_1, \ldots, \partial N_m\}$ is a system of $2m$ curves on $\Sigma_g$, and the graph $\Gamma = C' \cup C$ is a finite connected 4-regular graph embedded on $\Sigma_g$. Now color the arcs of $\Gamma$ in the following way: the arcs of the curves of $C$ are colored by 2 if they belong to $\partial B'$ and by 3 if they belong to $\partial B''$. Furthermore, color the arcs of the curves of $C'$ by 0 if they belong to some $N_j$ and by 1 otherwise. It is easy to see that the resulting coloring is proper, and the 3-manifold $M_\Gamma$ associated to $\Gamma$ via the previous construction is homeomorphic to $M$.

Let $i, j \in \Delta$ be different colors and let $\Delta - \{i, j\} = \{h, k\}$, then by removing from $P_\Gamma$ all disks bounded either by $\{i, j\}$-residues or by $\{h, k\}$-residues, we obtain a closed connected surface $S_{i,j}$ which is a Heegaard surface for $M_\Gamma$. Moreover, both the $\{i, j\}$-residues and the $\{h, k\}$-residues are two systems of curves $C'$ and $C''$ on $S_{i,j}$ such that the triple $(S_{i,j}, C', C'')$ is a generalized Heegaard diagram of $M_\Gamma$. So, any 4-colored graph $\Gamma$ defines three different generalized Heegaard diagrams for $M_\Gamma$.

\textsuperscript{2}Note that $\Sigma_g = S_g$ in the orientable case and $\Sigma_g = S_{2g}$ in the non-orientable one.
Some properties of the manifold $M_{\Gamma}$ correspond to properties of the representing graph $\Gamma$. For example:

**Proposition 1** $M_{\Gamma}$ is orientable if and only if $\Gamma$ is bipartite.

**Proof.** Of course $M_{\Gamma}$ is orientable if and only if $S_{i,j}$ is orientable, for arbitrarily fixed $i, j \in \Delta$, since $M_{\Gamma}$ is obtained from $S_{i,j} \times I$ by adding 2-handles and possibly 3-handles. First of all let $S_{i,j}$ be orientable, and consider the induced orientation on its 2-cells, which are disks bounded by $\{l, m\}$-residues, with $l \in \{i, j\}$ and $m \in \{h, k\} = \Delta - \{i, j\}$. These orientations defines for each $v \in V(\Gamma)$ a cyclic permutation of $\Delta$ which is $\sigma = (ihjk)$ or its inverse, corresponding to the local orientation induced on the vertices. Since permutations of adjacent vertices are inverse each other, the graph $\Gamma$ cannot have odd cycles and therefore it is bipartite. Viceversa, let us suppose that $\Gamma$ is bipartite. Then $V(\Gamma) = V' \cup V''$, where $V', V'' \neq \emptyset$ and $V' \cap V'' = \emptyset$, such that any $e \in E(\Gamma)$ connects a vertex of $V'$ with a vertex of $V''$. Let $R$ be a 2-cell of $S_{i,j}$, and suppose its boundary is a $\{l, m\}$-residue such that $m = \sigma(l)$. Then orient $R$ in such a way that the induced orientation on its $m$-edges goes from vertices of $V'$ to vertices of $V''$. It is easy to see that the chosen orientations on the 2-cells of $S_{i,j}$ define a global orientation for the whole $S_{i,j}$. ■

For $i, j \in \Delta$, with $i \neq j$, we denote by $g_{i,j}$ the number of $\{i, j\}$-residues of $\Gamma$. Moreover, for each $c \in \Delta$, the number of 3-residues corresponding to the colors of $\hat{c} = \Delta - \{c\}$ will be denoted by $g_c$. We say that $\Gamma$ is $c$-contracted if either $g_c = 1$ or all $\hat{c}$-residue are singular. The graph is said to be contracted if it is $c$-contracted for all $c \in \Delta$. We will see in Section 3 that any 3-manifold can be represented by a contracted graph.

Moreover, boundary components of $M_{\Gamma}$ correspond to colors $c$ such that there exists at least a singular $\hat{c}$-residue. We call them singular colors. By the previous construction, which produces the graph $\Gamma$ from a handle decomposition of the manifold $M$, it is always possible to represent a manifold by a 4-colored graph with at most one singular color. In fact, colors 2 and 3 are not singular by construction and color 0 is non-singular since 0-residues are attaching boundaries of the 2-handles.

A vertex of $\Gamma$ is called a boundary vertex if it belongs to at least one singular 3-residue, otherwise it is called internal. A boundary vertex is called of order $k$ if it belongs to exactly $k$ singular 3-residues. So $k \leq 4$ and, as a convention, an internal vertex is considered as a boundary vertex of order zero. Next section shows that any 3-manifold can be represented by a graph with at least one internal vertex and, when the boundary is not empty, it can also be represented by a graph with at least a boundary vertex of order one.

### 3. Moves

**Definition 1** ([16]) Given a 4-colored graph $\Gamma$, an $h$-dipole ($1 \leq h \leq 3$) involving colors $c_1, \ldots, c_h \in \Delta$ is a subgraph $\theta$ of $\Gamma$ consisting of two vertices $v'$ and $v''$ joined by $h$ edges, colored by $c_1, \ldots, c_h$, such that $v'$ and $v''$ belong to different $\{c_1, \ldots, c_h\}$-residues of $\Gamma$, where $\{c_1, \ldots, c_h\} = \Delta - \{c_1, \ldots, c_h\}$.

By cancelling $\theta$ from $\Gamma$, we mean to remove $\theta$ and to paste together the hanging edges according to their colors, thus obtaining a new 4-colored graph $\Gamma'$. Conversely, $\Gamma$ is said to be obtained from $\Gamma'$ by adding $\theta$. An $h$-dipole $\theta$ is called proper if and only if $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma'$ represent the same manifold.
Proposition 2 An h-dipole $\theta$ in a 4-colored graph $\Gamma$ is proper if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- $h > 1$
- $h = 1$ and at least one of the $\hat{c}_1$-residues containing $v'$ and $v''$ is ordinary.

Proof. Let $\theta = \{v', v''\}$ be an $h$-dipole involving colors $\{c_1, \ldots, c_h\}$.

If $h = 1$, let $X'$ (resp. $X''$) be the $\hat{c}_1$-residue of $\Gamma$ containing $v'$ (resp. $v''$). Cancelling $\theta$ corresponds to removing a tunnel $T$ in $M_\Gamma$ connecting the two surfaces represented by $X'$ and $X''$ respectively. The boundary of the tunnel is a cylinder $S^1 \times I$ composed by three bands $\alpha_1 \times I, \alpha_2 \times I, \alpha_3 \times I$, whose sides $P_1 \times I, P_2 \times I, P_3 \times I$ are portions of the $d$-edges ($d \in \hat{c}_1$) involved in the dipole. It is obvious that, if the $\hat{c}_1$-residue containing a vertex of $\theta$, say $v'$, is ordinary, then $X'$ represents the boundary of a 3-ball and the cancellation of $\theta$ yields a new 4-colored graph still representing $M_\Gamma$.

If $h = 2$, then $\theta$ is a 2-component (i.e., a 2-cell) of the complex $P_\Gamma$ which is a special spine of $M_\Gamma$; then our claim follows from observing that the cancellation of $\theta$ is the inverse of the lune move defined by Matveev and Piergallini on spines of 3-manifolds (see [31] and [34]). Since $\theta$ is a dipole, the two $\{c_1, c_2\}$-residues containing $v'$ and $v''$ respectively are different 2-components of $P_\Gamma$, so the cancellation of $\theta$ transforms $P_\Gamma$ into another special spine of $M_\Gamma$.

If $h = 3$, then there exist two proper 1-dipoles, both involving the only color of $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$, adjacent to $v'$ and $v''$ respectively. The cancellation of $\theta$ is equivalent to the cancellation of one of these 1-dipoles. ■

As a consequence of the above proposition, we can obtain some useful properties.

Corollary 3 Let $M$ be a 3-manifold without spherical boundary components, then:

(i) $M$ can be represented by a contracted 4-colored graph;
(ii) $M$ can be represented by a 4-colored graph with at least an internal vertex;
(iii) $M$ can be represented by a 4-colored graph with at least a boundary vertex of order one, if $\partial M \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma$ be a 4-colored graph representing $M$.

(i) If $\Gamma$ is not contracted with respect to a color $c \in \Delta$, then there exists a 1-dipole $\theta$, involving color $c$, such that at least one of the two $\hat{c}$-residues containing $v'$ and $v''$ is ordinary. Hence $\theta$ is proper and by cancelling it we obtain a new 4-colored graph which still represents $M$. A finite sequence of such cancellations of 1-dipoles obviously yields a contracted 4-colored graph representing $M$.

(ii, iii) If $\partial M = \emptyset$ there is nothing to prove. Let $v$ be a boundary vertex with minimal order $k > 0$ and let $c \in \Delta$ be such that the $\hat{c}$-residue containing $v$ is singular. By adding a 3-dipole along the $c$-edge containing $v$ we obtain two new vertices, $v'$ and $v''$, which are both singular of order $k - 1$. In fact, the $\hat{c}$-residue containing them is obviously a 2-sphere, and for each $d \in \hat{c}$ any $d$-residue containing them is singular if and only if the $\hat{d}$-residue containing $v$ in $\Gamma$ is singular. So by induction on $k$ we can obtain an internal vertex (resp. a boundary vertex of order one) in not more than four steps (resp. three steps). ■

In the closed case all 1-dipoles are proper and Casali proved in [4] that dipole moves are sufficient to connect different 4-colored graphs representing the same manifold. A similar fact is not
generally true in our context; in fact dipole moves do not change the singular colors of the involved graph, and for any 3-manifold with disconnected boundary it is easy to find two different graphs representing it, the first one with only a singular color and the second one with (at least) two singular colors.

The proof of Proposition 2 case \( h = 1 \), shows the effect of the cancellation of a non-proper 1-dipole. More precisely, we have the following result:

**Proposition 4** Given a 4-colored graph \( \Gamma \), let \( t \) be a non-proper 1-dipole involving color \( c \). If \( \Gamma' \) is the graph obtained from \( \Gamma \) by cancelling \( t \), then \( M_{\Gamma'} \) is the manifold obtained from \( M_{\Gamma} \) by removing a tunnel along \( t \).

4. Connected sums

Suppose that \( \Gamma'\) and \( \Gamma'' \) are two 4-colored graphs and let \( v' \in V(\Gamma') \) and \( v'' \in V(\Gamma'') \). We can construct a new 4-colored graph \( \Gamma \), called connected sum of \( \Gamma' \) and \( \Gamma'' \) (along \( v' \) and \( v'' \)), and denoted by \( \Gamma = \Gamma'_v \#_{v''} \Gamma'' \), by removing the vertices \( v' \) and \( v'' \) and by welding the resulting hanging edges with the same color.

Obviously, the connected sum of two 4-colored graphs depends on the choice of the cancelled vertices. But when vertices are both either internal or boundary vertices of the same order with respect to the same colors (the latter condition always holds, up to color permutation in one of the two graphs), then the connected sum of the graphs is strictly connected with the connected sum of the represented manifolds.

**Proposition 5** Let \( \Gamma', \Gamma'' \) be 4-colored graphs and \( v' \in V(\Gamma') \), \( v'' \in V(\Gamma'') \).

(i) if \( v' \) and \( v'' \) are both internal vertices, then \( M_{\Gamma'_v \#_{v''} \Gamma''} = M_{\Gamma'} \# M_{\Gamma''} \);

(ii) if \( v' \) and \( v'' \) are both boundary vertices of order one each belonging to a singular \( \hat{c} \)-residue, then \( M_{\Gamma'_v \#_{v''} \Gamma''} = M_{\Gamma'} \# M_{\Gamma''} \), where the boundary connected sum of the manifolds is performed along the boundaries corresponding to the singular residues.

**Proof.** (i) Since \( V' \) and \( V'' \) are internal vertices we can perform the connected sum between \( M_{\Gamma'} \) and \( M_{\Gamma''} \) by erasing two balls \( B' \subset M_{\Gamma'} \) and \( B'' \subset M_{\Gamma''} \) containing only the vertices \( v' \) and \( v'' \) respectively and such that \( L' = \partial B' \cap P_{\Gamma'} \) and \( L'' = \partial B'' \cap P_{\Gamma''} \) are both homeomorphic to the 1-skeleton of a tetrahedron, where each edge belongs to a certain bicolored residue containing either \( v' \) or \( v'' \). By gluing the two spheres \( \partial B' \) and \( \partial B'' \) in such a way that \( L' \) is glued with \( L'' \) coherently with the above 2-residues, we obtain a 4-colored graph \( \Gamma = \Gamma'_v \#_{v''} \Gamma'' \) embedded in \( M_{\Gamma'} \# M_{\Gamma''} \), and representing it in accordance with the main construction.

(ii) With regard to the case of boundary connected sum, if the singular \( \hat{c} \)-residue containing \( v' \) (resp. \( v'' \)) is the surface \( S' \) (resp. \( S'' \)), then we can retract \( S' \times I \subset M_{\Gamma'} \) to \( S' \) (resp. \( S'' \times I \subset M_{\Gamma''} \) to \( S'' \)) obtaining a new 3-manifold \( M' \) homeomorphic to \( M_{\Gamma'} \) (resp. \( M'' \) homeomorphic to \( M_{\Gamma''} \)) such that \( v' \in \partial M' \) (resp. \( v'' \in \partial M'' \)). Now we can perform the boundary connected sum between \( M' \) and \( M'' \) by erasing two balls \( B' \subset M' \) and \( B'' \subset M'' \) containing only the vertices \( v' \) and \( v'' \) respectively and such that \( L' = \partial B' \cap \text{int}(M') \cap P_{\Gamma'} \) (resp. \( L'' = \partial B'' \cap \text{int}(M'') \cap P_{\Gamma''} \)) is homeomorphic to the 1-skeleton of a tetrahedron, with three edges belonging to \( \partial M' \) (resp. \( \partial M'' \)) and corresponding to a \( \{i, c\}\)-residue, \( i \in \hat{c} \), containing \( v' \) (resp. \( v'' \)), and the other three edges
not containing \( v' \) (resp. \( v'' \)) and corresponding to the other \{i, j\}-residues, \( j \in \hat{c} \). By gluing the
two emispheres \( E' = \partial B' \cap \text{int}(M') \) and \( E'' = \partial B'' \cap \text{int}(M'') \) in such a way that \( L' \) is glued with \( L'' \) coherently with the above 2-residues, we obtain a 4-colored graph \( \Gamma = \Gamma'_{_{\hat{c}} \#_{_{\hat{d}}} \Gamma''} \) embedded in \( M = M' \#_{_{\partial}} M'' \). By gluing \( S \times I \) to the \( \hat{c} \)-residue \( S = S' \# S'' \) we obtain the manifold \( M_{\Gamma} \) which is obviously homeomorphic to \( M \).

When the order of the boundary vertices involved in the connected sum is greater than one, more complicated topological facts occur. If \( M', M'' \) are two manifolds with at least two boundary components \( B'_1, B'_2 \subset M' \) and \( B''_1, B''_2 \subset M'' \), we define the double boundary connected sum of \( M' \) and \( M'' \) as the manifold \( M = M' \#_{_{\partial}} M'' \) obtained by removing a tunnel from \( M' \) and \( M'' \) connecting \( B'_1 \) with \( B'_2 \) and \( B''_1 \) with \( B''_2 \) respectively, and adding a "holed" 1-handle \( S^1 \times I \times \{0\} \) attached to \( B'_1 \) and \( S^1 \times I \times \{1\} \) attached to \( B''_1 \) as in Figure 1. An example of such operation is the double boundary connected sum of \( S_{g'} \times I \) with \( S_{g''} \times I \) performed by choosing \( \{P'\} \times I \) and \( \{P''\} \times I \) respectively as tunnels, for any \( P' \in S_{g'} \) and \( P'' \in S_{g''} \). It is easy to see that, if both \( S_{g'} \) and \( S_{g''} \) are orientable, the sum is homeomorphic to \( S_{g' + g''} \times I \).

Of course, the double boundary connected sum in general depends on the choice of tunnels, but in the next proposition tunnels are trivial, and the sum is uniquely defined, up to homeomorphism.

**Figure 1: double boundary connected sum**

**Proposition 6** Let \( \Gamma', \Gamma'' \) be 4-colored graphs and \( v' \in V(\Gamma'), v'' \in V(\Gamma'') \). If \( v' \) and \( v'' \) are both boundary vertices of order two such that they belong to a singular \( \hat{c} \)-residue and to a singular \( \hat{d} \)-residue, with \( c \neq d \), then \( M_{\Gamma'_{_{\hat{c}} \#_{_{\hat{d}}} \Gamma''}} = M'_{\Gamma'} \#_{_{\partial}} M''_{\Gamma''} \), where the double boundary connected sum of the manifolds is performed between the boundaries corresponding to the singular residues.

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we can suppose \( c = 0 \) and \( d = 1 \). Add a 3-dipole to the 0-edge containing \( v' \), as well as to the 0-edge containing \( v'' \), obtaining two new 4-colored graphs called again \( \Gamma' \) and \( \Gamma'' \). The new vertices \( w', v' \in V(\Gamma') \) and \( w'', v'' \in V(\Gamma'') \) are boundary vertices of order one. Performing \( \Gamma'_{w' \# w''} \) we obtain a graph \( \Gamma \) representing \( M = M_{\Gamma'} \#_{_{\partial}} M_{\Gamma''} \), where the boundary connected sum is made by connecting by a 1-handle \( Y \) the boundaries corresponding to the 1-residues containing \( v' \) and \( v'' \) respectively. After that we remove the 3-dipole containing \( u' \).
and $u''$, obtaining a new graph $\Gamma_1$ still representing $M$. Now the vertices $v'$ and $v''$ are boundary vertices of order two (with respect to colors 0 and 1), and they are connected by a 0-edge, which is a non-proper 1-dipole, and which is the core of the 1-handle $Y$. The result is achieved by applying Lemma 4, using as tunnel a regular neighborhood of $Y_1 \cup Y_2$, where $Y_1 = \{v'\} \times I \subset S_1 \times I$, $Y_2 = \{v''\} \times I \subset S_2 \times I$, where $S_1$ and $S_2$ are respectively the 0-residue and 1-residue containing $v'$ (see Figure 1).

Remark 1 Note that the graph $\Gamma_1$ appearing in the proof of the above proposition can be simply obtained directly from $\Gamma'$ and $\Gamma''$ by “switching” the two 0-colored edges containing $v'$ and $v''$. More precisely, if we call $\bar{v}'$ (resp. $\bar{v}''$) the vertex of $\Gamma'$ (resp. $\Gamma''$) 0-adjacent to $v'$ (resp. $v''$), we join $v'$ with $v''$ and $\bar{v}'$ with $\bar{v}''$ by a 0-colored edge. More generally, we can obtain a graph representing the boundary connected sum performed along the boundary components corresponding to two given $\hat{i}$-residues $X' \subset \Gamma'$ and $X'' \subset \Gamma''$, by switching two $d$-colored edges $e'$ and $e''$ belonging to $X'$ and $X''$ respectively and such that for each $i \notin \{c, d\}$, $e'$ and $e''$ belong to ordinary $\hat{i}$-residues.

5. Basic examples

Any color of a 4-colored graph $\Gamma$ can be interpreted as an involution of $V(\Gamma)$ without fixed points. When $\Gamma$ is bipartite with vertex bipartition $V'$ and $V''$, a color $c \in \Delta$ can also be interpreted as a bijection $f_c : V' \to V''$, and the maps $\varphi_1 = f_1^{-1} \circ f_0$, $\varphi_2 = f_2^{-1} \circ f_0$ and $\varphi_3 = f_3^{-1} \circ f_0$ are permutations of $V'$ which completely determine $\Gamma$, up to isomorphism.

5.1 4-colored graphs representing $S_g \times I$

Let $S_g$ be a closed orientable (resp. non-orientable) surface of genus $g$ and let $\Gamma$ be the 4-colored bipartite (resp. non-bipartite) graph with $p = 2(2g + 1)$ (resp. $p = 2(g + 1)$) vertices obtained from the standard 3-colored graph representing $S_g$ described in [24], by adding 3-edges parallel (i.e. having the same endpoints) to the 0-edges (see Figures 2a and 2b)).
Figure 2b: 4-colored graph representing $S_g \times I$, non-orientable case

Note that the singular colors of $\Gamma$ are 0 and 3, while 1 and 2 are not singular. Moreover, $\Gamma$ is contracted.

Let us consider the generalized Heegaard diagram for $M_\Gamma$ associated to $\Gamma$ and the pair $\{0, 2\}$. The Euler characteristic of the Heegaard surface $S_{0,2}$ can be computed via the cellular decomposition induced by $\Gamma$ on it. More precisely, we have:

$$
\chi(S_{0,2}) = p - 2p + g_{0,1} + g_{1,2} + g_{2,3} + g_{0,3} = -p + 1 + 1 + \frac{p}{2} = 3 - \frac{p}{2}
$$

Hence, $S_{0,2}$ has genus $g$ and therefore it is homeomorphic to $S_g$.

The system of curves $C'$ (resp. $C''$) on $S_{0,2}$ consisting of the $\{0, 2\}$-residues (resp. $\{1, 3\}$-residues) contains a single curve $l$ (resp. $l'$), and it is neither proper nor reduced. In fact, $l$ (resp. $l'$) bounds a disk on $S_{0,2}$; therefore it can be removed from $C'$ (resp. $C''$) without changing the related compression body, which is homeomorphic to $S_g \times I$. As a consequence, we obtain a reduced generalized Heegaard diagram for $M_\Gamma$, where both systems of curves on $S_{0,2}$ are empty. Hence $\Gamma$ represents $S_g \times I$.

Note that the above 4-colored graph for the case $g > 1$ can be also obtained from the one representing $S_1 \times I$ by performing iterated connected sums of this graph with itself, which correspond to double boundary connected sums as described in the previous section.
5.2 4-colored graphs representing handlebodies

A representation of the handlebody $H_g$ can be easily obtained starting from one of $H_1$ and then performing boundary connected sums.

In the orientable case, we start with the solid torus $H_1$, which can be represented by the bipartite 4-colored graph $\Gamma_1$ with 6 vertices $a, b, c, A, B, C$, such that $f_0(x) = X$, and by the three permutations of $\{a, b, c\}$: $\varphi_1 = (a b c)$, $\varphi_2 = (a c b)$ and $\varphi_3 = (a b)$ (see Figure 3). All vertices of $\Gamma_1$ are boundary vertices of order one, corresponding to a singular 3-residue, which is a torus.

By performing the connected sum of two copies of $\Gamma_1$ along any pair of vertices, we obtain a bipartite graph $\Gamma_2$ representing $H_2$ with 10 vertices $a, b, c, d, e, A, B, C, D, E$ and defined by the permutations $\varphi_1 = (a b c d e)$, $\varphi_2 = (a e b d c)$ and $\varphi_3 = (a b c)$ (see Figure 3).

![Figure 3: 4-colored graphs representing $H_1$ and $H_2$, orientable case](image)

By iterating the boundary connected sum we obtain (see Figure 4):

![Figure 4: 4-colored graph representing $H_g$, orientable case](image)

**Proposition 7** The genus $g$ orientable handlebody $H_g$ is represented by a bipartite 4-colored graph $\Gamma_g$ with $4g + 2$ vertices $a_1, \ldots, a_{2g+1}, A_1, \ldots, A_{2g+1}$, defined by the permutations $\varphi_1 = (a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{2g+1})$, $\varphi_2 = (a_1 a_{2g+1} a_2 a_{2g} \ldots a_i a_{2g+2-i} \ldots a_g a_g a_{2g+1})$, $\varphi_3 = (a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{g+1})$.

In the non-orientable case, we start with the solid Klein bottle $H_1$, which can be represented by the (non-bipartite) 4-colored graph $\Gamma'_1$ with 6 vertices $a, b, c, A, B, C$ with the same $c$-edges ($c \in \{0, 1, 3\}$) as $\Gamma_1$, and connecting by 2-edges $b$ with $c$, $A$ with $C$ and $a$ with $B$ (see Figure 5).
All vertices are boundary vertices of order one, corresponding to a singular 3-residue which is a Klein bottle.

By performing the connected sum of two copies of $\Gamma'_1$ along any pair of vertices, we obtain a graph $\Gamma'_2$ representing $H_2$ with 10 vertices $a, b, c, d, e, A, B, C, D, E$ with the same $c$-edges ($c \in \{0, 1, 3\}$) as $\Gamma_2$ and 2-edges connecting $A$ with $E$, $b$ with $e$, $B$ with $D$, $c$ with $d$ and $a$ with $C$ (see Figure 5).

By iterating the boundary connected sum we obtain (see Figure 6):

**Proposition 8** The genus $g$ non-orientable handlebody $H_g$ is represented by a 4-colored graph $\Gamma'_g$ with $4g + 2$ vertices $a_1, \ldots, a_{2g+1}, A_1, \ldots, A_{2g+1}$, with the same $c$-edges, $c \in \{0, 1, 3\}$, as the graph $\Gamma_g$ of Proposition 7 and 2-edges connecting $a_1$ with $A_{g+1}$, $a_i$ with $a_{2g+3-i}$, for $i = 2, \ldots, g + 1$, and $A_i$ with $A_{2g+2-i}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, g$.

6. Fundamental group

If $\Gamma$ is a 4-colored graph, then the fundamental group of the represented manifold $M_\Gamma$ coincides with the fundamental group of the associated 2-dimensional polyhedron $P_\Gamma$, since $M_\Gamma$ is obtained from $P_\Gamma$ by adding to $P_\Gamma$ 3-balls and pieces which are retractable. Therefore, the computation of $\pi_1(M_\Gamma)$ is a routine algebraic topology exercise: a finite presentation has generators corresponding
to edges which are not in a fixed spanning tree of $\Gamma$ and relators corresponding to all 2-residues of $\Gamma$.

In several cases the group can be obtained by selecting a particular class of edges and 2-residues, as follows. Let $c \in \Delta$ be any color, we define the $c$-group of $\Gamma$ as the group $\pi(\Gamma, c)$ generated by all $c$-edges (with a fixed arbitrary orientation) and whose relators correspond to all $\{i, c\}$-residues, for each $i \in \hat{c}$. Just give an orientation to any involved 2-residue, choose a starting vertex and follow the cycle according to orientation. The relator is obtained by taking the $c$-edges of the cycle in the order they are reached in the path and with the exponent $+1$ or $-1$ according to whether the orientation of the edge is coherent or not with the one of the cycle.

In general $\pi(\Gamma, c)$ depends on $c$, but when $c$ is a non-singular color, the group is strictly connected with the fundamental group of $M_\Gamma$ (see [30] and [25] for the case of closed manifolds).

**Proposition 9** Let $\Gamma$ be a 4-colored graph, and $c$ be a non-singular color for $\Gamma$. Then $\pi_1(M_\Gamma)$ is a quotient of $\pi(\Gamma, c)$, obtained by adding to the relators a minimal set of $c$-edges which connect $\Gamma_{\hat{c}}$.

**Proof.** The group $\pi_1(M_\Gamma) = \pi_1(P_\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the space $X$ obtained by adding to $P_\Gamma$ only the 3-balls corresponding to the $\hat{c}$-residues. The space $X$ has the same homotopy type of a 2-complex with 0-cells corresponding to the $\hat{c}$-residues, 1-cells corresponding to the $c$-edges of $\Gamma$ and 2-cells corresponding to the $\{c, i\}$-residues of $\Gamma$, for $i \in \hat{c}$. So the result is straightforward. ■

**Corollary 10** Let $\Gamma$ be a 4-colored graph, and $c$ be a non-singular color for $\Gamma$ such that $g_c = 1$, then $\pi_1(M_\Gamma) \cong \pi(\Gamma, c)$.

# 7. Generalized regular genus

A cellular embedding of a 4-colored graph $\Gamma$ into a closed surface $S$ is called regular if there exists a cyclic permutation $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3)$ of $\Delta$ such that any region of the embedding is bounded by a $\{\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}\}$-residues of $\Gamma$, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$.

We recall the following result from [22]:

**Proposition 11** Let $\Gamma$ be a bipartite (resp. non-bipartite) 4-colored graph. Then:
(i) for any cyclic permutation $\varepsilon$ of $\Delta$, the graph $\Gamma$ regularly embeds into a closed orientable (resp. non-orientable) surface $S_\varepsilon$ of Euler characteristic

$$\chi(S_\varepsilon) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_4} g_{\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}} - p$$

where $p$ is the number of vertices of $\Gamma$;
(ii) up to equivalence there exist exactly three regular embeddings of $\Gamma$ into closed orientable (resp. non-orientable) surfaces, one for each cyclic permutation of $\Delta$, up to inversion, and there exist no regular embeddings of $\Gamma$ into non-orientable (resp. orientable) surfaces.

We denote by $\rho(\Gamma)$ the minimum genus of $S_\varepsilon$ among all cyclic permutations $\varepsilon$ of $\Delta$. 
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Definition 2 Given a compact 3-manifold $M$, the \textit{generalized regular genus} of $M$ is:

$$
\overline{\mathcal{G}}(M) = \min \{ \rho(\Gamma) \mid \Gamma \text{ represents } M \}.
$$

As observed in Section 2, any 4-colored graph defines three generalized Heegaard splittings of the represented 3-manifold, which are induced by the choice of two colors of $\Delta$. Since any two colors $i, j \in \Delta$ define (up to inversion) a cyclic permutation of $\Delta$ where they are non-consecutive, the following relation between regular embeddings of $\Gamma$ and generalized Heegaard splittings of $M_{\Gamma}$ can be established.

Proposition 12 Given a 4-colored graph $\Gamma$, for each unordered pair $\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}\}$, where $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3)$ is a cyclic permutation of $\Delta$, there exists a generalized Heegaard splitting $(S_{\varepsilon}, K'_{\varepsilon}, K''_{\varepsilon})$ of $M_{\Gamma}$ such that $\Gamma$ regularly embeds into $S_{\varepsilon}$ and $K'_{\varepsilon}$ (resp. $K''_{\varepsilon}$) is obtained from $S_{\varepsilon} \times I$ by attaching 2-handles on $S_{\varepsilon} \times \{0\}$ (resp. on $S_{\varepsilon} \times \{1\}$) along the $\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_2\}$-residues (resp. $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_3\}$-residues). Moreover, $(S_{\varepsilon}, K'_{\varepsilon}, K''_{\varepsilon})$ is a Heegaard splitting (i.e. at least one of $K'_{\varepsilon}$ or $K''_{\varepsilon}$ is a handlebody) if and only if there are two non-singular colors which are non-consecutive in $\varepsilon$.

As a consequence we have:

Corollary 13 If $M$ is any compact 3-manifold, then $H(M) \leq \overline{\mathcal{G}}(M)$.

Let us denote by $G(M)$ the minimum $\rho(\Gamma)$ where $\Gamma$ is taken among all 4-colored graphs representing $M$ and having at most one singular color. It is proved in [14] and [12] that $G(M)$ coincides with the \textit{regular genus} of $M$, as originally defined by Gagliardi\textsuperscript{3}, and that the regular genus equals the Heegaard genus (resp. is twice the Heegaard genus) of an orientable (resp. non-orientable) 3-manifold.

Obviously $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(M) \leq G(M)$ and there exist 3-manifolds for which the strict inequality holds, as proved in the following proposition.

Proposition 14 Let $S_g$ be a closed surface of genus $g$, then

$$
\overline{\mathcal{G}}(S_g \times I) = g < G(S_g \times I) = 2g.
$$

Proof. The regular genus of $S_g \times I$ has been proved to be $2g$ in [3]. In order to prove the first equality, let $\Gamma$ be the graph described in Section 5.1 as representing $S_g \times I$ (see Figure 2a or 2b, according to the orientability of $S_g$). The genus $g$ Heegaard surface $S_{0,2}$ described in Section 5.1 is precisely the surface $S_{\varepsilon}$ (with $\varepsilon = (0 1 2 3)$) into which $\Gamma$ regularly embeds. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(S_g \times I) \leq g$. On the other hand, by Remark 2 below, we have $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(S_g \times I) \geq g$. This completes the proof.

However, if $M$ has connected boundary, then it follows easily from the construction in Section 2 that $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(M) = G(M)$. More generally, we can state:

\textsuperscript{3}Gagliardi’s definition of regular genus of a 3-manifold with non-empty boundary was given in [28] through a representation of the manifold by means of \textit{4-colored graphs regular with respect to color 3} (i.e., obtained from a 4-colored graph by deleting some 3-edges). In fact, an analogous of Proposition 11 holds for these graphs, by a suitable adaptation of the concept of regular embedding into surfaces with boundary.
Proposition 15  For each compact 3-manifold $M$, we have

\[ \overline{\mathcal{G}}(M) = \min \{ \rho(\Gamma) \mid \Gamma \text{ represents } M \text{ and has at most two singular colors} \}. \]

The result is a direct consequence of Lemma 16 below. In order to prove it, we first introduce a suitable transformation on 4-colored graphs (see [20]).

Given $a, b \in \Delta$, let $X$ be a singular $\hat{a}$-residue, with $V(X) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$, and $b \in \hat{a}$. A bisection of type $(a, b)$ on $X$ is a transformation of $\Gamma$ producing a new 4-colored graph $\Gamma$ in the following way (see Figure 7 for a local picture around a vertex of $X$):

- add to $V(\Gamma)$ a set $\tilde{V}(X) = \{\tilde{v}_1, \ldots, \tilde{v}_r\}$ in bijective correspondence with $V(X)$;
- for each $i \in \Delta - \{a, b\}$, add an $i$-colored edge between two vertices of $\tilde{V}(X)$ if and only if the corresponding vertices of $V(X)$ are $i$-adjacent;
- substitute all $b$-colored edges of $X$ by $a$-colored edges with the same endpoints;
- for each $j = 1, \ldots, r$, add a $b$-colored edge between $v_j$ and $\tilde{v}_j$.

![Figure 7: a bisection of type $(a, b)$](image)

Lemma 16  Let $\Gamma$ be a 4-colored graph representing a compact 3-manifold $M$, then there exists a 4-colored graph $\Gamma'$ representing $M$, having at most two singular colors and such that $\rho(\Gamma) = \rho(\Gamma')$.

Proof. Suppose that $\Gamma$ has more than two singular colors, and let $\varepsilon$ be a cyclic permutation of $\Delta$ such that the surface $S_\varepsilon$ where $\Gamma$ regularly embeds has genus $\rho(\Gamma)$. Let $X$ be a singular $\hat{a}$-residue of $\Gamma$ and $\tilde{X}$ the graph obtained by performing a bisection of type $(a, b)$ on $X$, where $b \in \hat{a}$ is a color which is not consecutive to $a$ in $\varepsilon$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{X}$ has one more singular $\hat{b}$-residue (which is isomorphic to $X$) and one less singular $\hat{a}$-residue than $\Gamma$, while the number of singular residues of the other two colors does not change. An easy computation shows that the embedding surface of $\tilde{X}$ with respect to $\varepsilon$ has still genus $\rho(\Gamma)$.

On the other hand, if we compare the generalized Heegaard splittings associated to $\varepsilon$ of $\Gamma$ and $\tilde{X}$ respectively, we see that the systems of curves defined by the $\{a, b\}$-residues are the same, while the system of curves defined by the $\{c, d\}$-residues in $\tilde{X}$, where $\{c, d\} = \Delta - \{a, b\}$, is obtained by simply doubling the corresponding system for $\Gamma$. Hence $\tilde{X}$ still represents $M$. By performing bisections of type $(a, b)$ on all singular $\hat{a}$-residues of $\Gamma$, we obtain a 4-colored graph.
number of true vertices of an almost simple spine of \( M \) will turn out to be an upper bound for the value of Matveev complexity.\footnote{Remark 2} Given a compact 3-manifold \( M \) with \( h \) boundary components \( B_1, \ldots, B_h \), let \( g_i \) be the genus of \( B_i \) if it is orientable and half of its genus otherwise. It is known from \cite{23} that \( \mathcal{G}(M) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{h} g_i \) (resp. \( \mathcal{G}(M) \geq 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{h} g_i \)) if \( M \) is orientable (resp. non-orientable). Example \ref{example} shows that this inequality does not hold for the generalized regular genus. However, by Proposition \ref{proposition} we can state

\[
\mathcal{G}(M) \geq \min \{ \max \{ \sum_{i \in I'} g_i, \sum_{j \in I''} g_j \} \mid I', I'' \subseteq \{1, \ldots, h\}, I' \cup I'' = \{1, \ldots, h\}, I' \cap I'' = \emptyset \}
\]

(resp. \( \mathcal{G}(M) \geq 2 \cdot \min \{ \max \{ \sum_{i \in I'} g_i, \sum_{j \in I''} g_j \} \mid I', I'' \subseteq \{1, \ldots, h\}, I' \cup I'' = \{1, \ldots, h\}, I' \cap I'' = \emptyset \} \)).

8. Complexity

The (Matveev) complexity \( c(M) \) of a compact 3-manifold \( M \) was defined in \cite{32} as the minimal number of true vertices of an almost simple spine of \( M \). In this section we will define a concept of complexity starting from generalized Heegaard splittings/diagrams of compact 3-manifolds, which will turn out to be an upper bound for the value of Matveev complexity.

Let us consider a non-reduced system of curves \( \mathcal{C} \) on a surface \( S_g \), and let \( G(\mathcal{C}) \) be the graph which is dual to the one determined by \( \mathcal{C} \) on \( S_g \) (i.e., the vertex-set of \( G(\mathcal{C}) \) is in one-to-one correspondence with \( V(\mathcal{C}) \) and the edges correspond to curves of \( \mathcal{C} \)). Denote by \( V^+(\mathcal{C}) \) the set of vertices of \( G(\mathcal{C}) \) corresponding to the components with positive genus. Now let \( T \) be a subgraph of \( G(\mathcal{C}) \) satisfying the following conditions:

1. \( T \) contains all vertices of \( G(\mathcal{C}) \),
2. if \( V^+(\mathcal{C}) = \emptyset \) then \( T \) is a tree of \( G(\mathcal{C}) \),
3. if \( V^+(\mathcal{C}) \neq \emptyset \) then each connected component of \( T \) is a tree containing exactly one vertex of \( V^+(\mathcal{C}) \);

and let \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \) be the set of all such subgraphs of \( G(\mathcal{C}) \). Observe that any choice of an element \( T \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \) yields a reduced system on \( S_g \) obtained by removing from \( \mathcal{C} \) the curves corresponding to the edges of \( T \) (i.e., removing complementary 2- and 3-handles from the complex).

Let \( \mathcal{D} = (S_g, \mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}'') \) be a generalized Heegaard diagram of a compact 3-manifold \( M \) without spherical boundary components. If at least one of the two systems of curves is non-reduced, we can associate to \( \mathcal{D} \) several reduced diagrams, still representing \( M \), obtained by reducing both systems of curves. For a reduced generalized diagram \( \tilde{\mathcal{D}} = (S_g, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}') \), we call singular vertices of \( \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \) the points of \( \tilde{\mathcal{C}}' \cap \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'' \) and denote their number by \( n(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}) \). Moreover, we call a region of \( \mathcal{D} \) any connected component \( \mathcal{R} \) of \( S_g - (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}' \cup \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'') \) and denote by \( n(\mathcal{R}) \) the number of singular vertices belonging to its boundary.

The modified Heegaard complexity \( \tilde{c}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}) \) of \( \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \) is:
\[
\tilde{c}(\mathcal{D}) = \begin{cases} 
n(\mathcal{D}) - \max\{n(\mathcal{R}) \mid \mathcal{R} \text{ is a region of } \mathcal{D}\} & \text{if either } \tilde{C}' \text{ or } \tilde{C}'' \text{ is proper}, \\
n(\mathcal{D}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

Then we define the \textit{modified Heegaard complexity} of a compact 3-manifold \(M\) as:

\[
\tilde{c}(M) = \min \{\tilde{c}(\mathcal{D}) \mid \mathcal{D} \text{ is a generalized reduced Heegaard diagram of } M\}.
\]

In [11] modified Heegaard complexity was originally defined by means of (non-generalized) Heegaard splittings and in that setting it was proved to be an upper bound for the value of Matveev complexity.

We can now generalize that result.

**Proposition 17** For each compact 3-manifold without spherical boundary components \(M\), we have

\[
\tilde{c}(M) \leq c(M).
\]

**Proof.** Let \(\mathcal{D} = (S_g, \tilde{C}', \tilde{C}'')\) be a reduced generalized Heegaard diagram for \(M\) such that \(\tilde{c}(\mathcal{D}) = \tilde{c}(M)\). If \(\mathcal{D}\) is a Heegaard diagram, i.e. one of the two systems of curves is proper, the statement was already proved in [11, Proposition 2.3]. Suppose that neither \(\tilde{C}'\) nor \(\tilde{C}''\) are proper. Let \(P\) be the 2-complex obtained by attaching to \(S_g\) the 2-cells which are the cores of the 2-handles corresponding to \(\tilde{C}'\) and \(\tilde{C}''\). Then \(M - P\) collapses to \(\partial M\), i.e. \(P\) is a spine of \(M\). Moreover, \(P\) is special and its true vertices are exactly the singular vertices of \(\mathcal{D}\). Hence, \(\tilde{c}(\mathcal{D}) \leq c(M)\). 

We recall that any 4-colored graph \(\Gamma\) representing a compact 3-manifold defines three generalized (non-reduced) Heegaard diagrams. Therefore, by reducing the diagrams as described above, we can compute their modified Heegaard complexity and thus get upper bounds for Matveev complexity of the represented manifold.

Observe that, for any closed 3-manifold \(M\), the complexity \(\tilde{c}(M)\) is always realized by a Heegaard diagram associated to a 4-colored graph representing \(M\), as proved in [9] (see also [5] and [7]).

### 9. Computational results

The combinatorial nature of colored graphs makes them particularly suitable for computer manipulation. In particular, it is possible to generate catalogues of 4-colored graphs for increasing number of vertices, in order to analyze the represented compact 3-manifolds. In this context, we can obtain interesting manifolds even with a low number of vertices.

By the results of Section 3, without loss of generality we can restrict the catalogues to contracted graphs with no 2-dipoles. Therefore, given a positive integer \(p\), the catalogue of contracted 4-colored graphs with \(2p\) vertices and no 2-dipoles is generated algorithmically in the following way. First of all the set \(S^{(2p)}\) of all (possibly disconnected) 3-colored graphs \(\Gamma\) with \(2p\) vertices, such that either \(\Gamma\) is connected or each connected component of \(\Gamma\) represents a surface of positive genus is constructed. After that, the 3-edges are added to each graph of \(S^{(2p)}\) in all possible ways which give rise to a contract 4-colored graph without 2-dipoles. Moreover, since the Euler
characteristic of the represented manifold can be easily computed directly through the graph, we can drop closed manifolds, since they have been already catalogued (see [2], [6] and also [1]).

A 4-colored graph $\Gamma$ is called $m$-bipartite if all r-residues are bipartite, for any $r \leq m \leq 4$, and there exists a non-bipartite $(m + 1)$-residue when $m < 4$. Obviously any $\Gamma$ is at least 2-bipartite. Note that, by construction and by Proposition 1, $\Gamma$ is 4-bipartite (resp. 3-bipartite) if and only if $M_\Gamma$ is orientable (resp. non-orientable with orientable boundary). The code of a $m$-bipartite 4-colored graph $\Gamma$ with $2p$ vertices is a “string” of length $(7 - m)p$ which completely describes both combinatorial structure and coloration of $\Gamma$ (see also [10]). The importance of the code as a tool for representing 4-colored graphs relies on the following result:

**Proposition 18 ([10])** Two 4-colored graphs are color-isomorphic if and only if they have the same code.

As a consequence, by representing each colored graph by its code, we can produce catalogues containing only graphs which are pairwise not color-isomorphic.

The following table shows the output data, up to 12 vertices, of a C++ program implementing the generating algorithm.

$C^{(2p)}$ (resp. $\tilde{C}^{(2p)}$) denotes the catalogue of bipartite (resp. 2-bipartite) contracted 4-colored graphs with $2p$ vertices and no 2-dipoles.

$C^{(2p)}_c$ (resp. $\tilde{C}^{(2p)}_c$) denotes the subset of $C^{(2p)}$ (resp. $\tilde{C}^{(2p)}$) consisting of the graphs with connected boundary and $C^{(2p)}_t$ (resp. $\tilde{C}^{(2p)}_t$) denotes the subset of $C^{(2p)}_c$ (resp. $\tilde{C}^{(2p)}_c$) consisting of those graphs having toric boundary. Each row of the table shows the cardinality of the corresponding set.

| 2p  | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|
| $C^{(2p)}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 57 | 903 |
| $\tilde{C}^{(2p)}$ | 0 | 1 | 6 | 90 | 3967 | 395881 |
| $C^{(2p)}_c / C^{(2p)}_t$ | 0/0 | 0/0 | 1/1 | 0/0 | 0/5 | 26/28 |
| $\tilde{C}^{(2p)}_c / \tilde{C}^{(2p)}_t$ | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0/1 | 0/0 | 0/10 | 24/73 |

**Table 1. Catalogues up to 12 vertices.**

With regard to the classification of the manifolds represented by the above graphs, we state some results concerning cases with few number of vertices.

**Proposition 19** There exist exactly seven non-closed compact non-orientable 3-manifolds without spherical boundary components, which can be represented by a 4-colored graph of order $\leq 6$.

**Proof.** The unique element of $\tilde{C}^{(4)}$ is the graph already described in Section 5 representing $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times I$. The six elements of $\tilde{C}^{(6)}$ have all distinct boundaries, which are also different from $\mathbb{RP}^2 \cup \mathbb{RP}^2 \cong \partial(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times I)$.

More precisely, one of the graphs in $\tilde{C}^{(6)}$ represents the genus one non-orientable handlebody (see Section 5.2) and the other five have the following boundaries:
- four Klein bottles;
- two Klein bottles;
- one Klein bottle and two projective planes;
- one torus, one Klein bottle and two projective planes;
- four projective planes.

\[\text{Proposition 20} \quad \text{There exist exactly five non-closed compact orientable 3-manifolds without spherical boundary components, which can be represented by a 4-colored graph of order} \leq 8.\]

\textbf{Proof.} The two elements of} \(C(6)\) \text{are the graphs already described in Section 5 representing} \(S^1 \times S^1 \times I\) \text{and the genus one orientable handlebody} \(H_1\) \text{respectively.}

\text{We list below the four elements of} \(C(8)\) \text{by means of their codes. The vertex-set is}\ \{a, b, c, d, A, B, C, D\} \text{and the coloration is defined by} \(f_0(x) = X\) \text{and} \(f_i(x) = Y\) \((0 < i \leq 3)\), \text{where} \(x\) \text{is the} \(j\)-th letter of the alphabet and \(Y\) \text{is the}(4(i - 1) + j)-th letter in the string of the code.}

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_1 &: DABCCDABBCDA \\
\Gamma_2 &: DABCDCABCADB \\
\Gamma_3 &: DABCDCABCBDA \\
\Gamma_4 &: DABCDCABCDAB
\end{align*}
\]

\text{The graphs} \(\Gamma_1\) \text{and} \(\Gamma_3\) \text{(resp.} \(\Gamma_2\) \text{and} \(\Gamma_4\)) \text{have both boundary consisting of four (resp. three) tori.}

\text{The fundamental groups of} \(M_{\Gamma_1}\) \text{and} \(M_{\Gamma_3}\) \text{admit the following presentations:}

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi_1(M_{\Gamma_1}) &=< x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid x_1 x_2 x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1}, x_3 x_4 x_3^{-1} x_4^{-1}, x_3 x_2 x_4 x_1 x_3^{-1} x_2^{-1} x_4^{-1} x_1^{-1} > \\
\pi_1(M_{\Gamma_3}) &=< x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid x_1 x_2 x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1}, x_3 x_4 x_3^{-1} x_4^{-1}, x_2 x_4 x_2^{-1} x_4^{-1} >
\end{align*}
\]

\text{Since the two groups have different number of subgroups with index} \leq 6, \text{as checked by GAP program [18], the manifolds represented by} \(\Gamma_1\) \text{and} \(\Gamma_3\) \text{are distinct.}

\text{With regard to the manifolds represented by} \(\Gamma_2\) \text{and} \(\Gamma_4\) \text{(see Figure 8), by choosing color 0, which is the only non-singular color of these graphs, it is easy to see that both fundamental groups admit the presentation}

\[
< x, y, z \mid xz = zx, yz = zy >
\]

\text{and hence they are isomorphic to} \((Z \ast Z) \times Z\).

\text{Since the above group is not a free product, the manifolds} \(M_{\Gamma_2}\) \text{and} \(M_{\Gamma_4}\) \text{are irreducible. A compact, orientable, irreducible 3-manifold with toroidal boundary whose fundamental group is a (non-trivial) direct product is homeomorphic to a trivial bundle over} \(S^1\), \text{where the fiber is a compact surface (see [15], [27] and also [28]). Therefore, in our case, we have} \(M_{\Gamma_2} \cong M_{\Gamma_4} \cong S^1 \times F\), \text{where} \(F\) \text{is} \(S^2\) \text{with the interior of three disjoint disks deleted.} \]
As it is clear from Table 1, the number of generated graphs quickly increases with the number of vertices and becomes very large even in the initial segment of the catalogues. However, Table 1 also shows that numbers are much smaller in the case of connected boundary, especially if it is toric. Therefore, with regard to future developments, we first intend to restrict our attention to the study of manifolds with (toric) connected boundary.
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