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**Abstract** Cellulose emerges as an alternative for the treatment of water contaminated with heavy metals due to its abundant biomass and its proven potential in the adsorption of pollutants. The aquatic plant *Eichhornia crassipes* is an option as raw material in the contribution of cellulose due to its enormous presence in contaminated wetlands, rivers, and lakes. The efficiency in the removal of heavy metals is due to the cation exchange between the hydroxyl groups and carboxyl groups present in the biomass of *E. crassipes* with heavy metals. Through different chemical and physical transformations of the biomass of *E. crassipes* the objective of this review article is to provide a discussion on the different mechanisms of adsorption of the biomass of *E. crassipes* to retain heavy metals and dyes. In addition to estimating equilibrium, times through kinetic models of adsorption and maximum capacities of this biomass through equilibrium models with isotherms, in order to design one biofilter for treatment systems on a larger scale represented the effluents of a real industry.
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**Introduction**

The contamination of rivers, lakes, and wetlands among others is increasingly evident; the lack of corporate responsibility and the lack of alternatives for water treatment is one of the main problems that leads to the serious affectation of these water resources with different pollutants like heavy metals.

At present, different technologies are available for the removal of heavy metals using treatment systems such as advanced chemical oxidation, membrane separation, photocatalysis, oxidation, and adsorption (Crini 2005), but these treatment systems are usually very costly. Among all the available methods for the treatment of industrial effluents, adsorption remains the most widely used methods in the treatment of wastewater due to a low capital cost; in addition, it can eliminate most types of contaminants and facilitate regeneration (Hokkanen et al. 2013; Putro et al. 2017a, b).

The process of adsorption of heavy metals with cellulose is interesting due to its abundant availability and its great potential in removing heavy metals present in
water, demonstrating in experimental processes in the treatment of water (Chitpong et al. 2017; Putro et al. 2017a, b; Lu et al. 2017; Pillai et al. 2013; Saman et al. 2017). The presence in the cellulose of hydroxyl (OH), amino (NH₂), and carboxyl (C=O) groups facilitate the adsorption of heavy metals through cation exchange (Lin et al. 2018; Anirudhan et al. 2016).

Nowadays, almost all adsorbents are developed for the removal of heavy metal ions and based on the interaction with the functional groups that are present in the adsorbents (Hokkanen et al. 2014; Yu et al. 2013; Ruan et al. 2016).

Different types of biomass have the ability to adsorb heavy metals, for example, chitosan (Zhou et al. 2014) coconut residues (Pillai et al. 2013), banana (Saman et al. 2017), bacterial cellulose (Baldikova et al. 2017; Jin et al. 2017), plant cellulose from camphor leaf (Cinnamomum camphora) (Wang et al. 2018), and cellulose from Eichhornia crassipes (Gupta and Balomajumder 2015; Hadad et al. 2011; Swain et al. 2014; Borker et al. 2013).

The biomass of E. crassipes with a large amount of hemicellulose (30%) and cellulose (35%) in it is vegetal structure is an alternative for the treatment of waters contaminated with heavy metals, and it is very abundant in contaminated wetlands and lagoons. (Abdel-Fattah and Abdel-Naby 2012; Ganguly et al. 2012; Bronzato 2016; Rahman et al. 2016; Park et al. 2017).

The aquatic plant, E. crassipes, grows in wetlands and is usually contaminated with organic matter, which tends to its rapid expansion in the surface where a layer is created that does not allow oxygen access to the interior of the water; therefore, they cease to exist microorganisms vital to the ecosystem. (Martínez et al. 2013; Saraswat and Rai 2010; Feng et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2018; Mohammed et al. 2018; Adornado et al. 2017; Rani et al. 2017; Mahunon et al. 2018).

In experimental processes at laboratory scale, the dry and crushed biomass of E. crassipes has been contacted with water contaminated with different heavy metals, with removals that do not exceed 75%. (Lin et al. 2009; Atehortua and Gartner 2003; Sarkar et al. 2017; Ibrahim et al. 2012; Yi et al. 2016).

One way to optimize the process of removing heavy metals is through the chemical or physical transformation of the biomass of E. crassipes. Various modifications, such as processes, modification of cellulose with carbon disulfide (xanthogenate), and the impregnation of iron (Fe) (III), have been used to improve the sorption capacity of the dead biomass of E. crassipes (Ri et al. 2017; Nagarajan et al. 2017; Anirudhan et al. 2015). To evaluate the removals and the adsorption capacities, the isotherm models have been used, finding through this the maximum adsorption capacity of the different biomass transformed from E. crassipes, specifically the Langmuir isotherm. (Ammar et al. 2014).

In addition, adjustments to second-order kinetic adsorption models have been reported in different experiments, finding with this model the saturation equilibrium time of the different biomasses and concluding that the chemisorption is the form by which there was the exchange of the metals heavy in biomass transformed from E. crassipes. (Mohanty et al. 2006; Chisutia and Mmari 2014; Liu et al. 2018; Vijetha et al. 2014; Gogoi et al. 2017; Yi et al. 2016; Lin et al. 2012).

The objective of this review article is to provide a discussion on the different mechanisms of adsorption of the biomass of E. crassipes to retain heavy metals and dyes. In addition to this objective is to estimate the equilibrium times through kinetic models of adsorption and maximum capacities of this biomass through equilibrium models with isotherms, in order to design biofilter systems on a larger scale that represented the effluents of a real industry.

**Characteristics of E. crassipes as adsorbent**

The macrophyte E. crassipes, also known as “water hyacinth”, is a floating vascular plant (macrophyte) of fresh water native to South America (Brazil and equatorial region). Its stems and leaves are shape of by air-filled sacs allowing it to permanently suspend on the surface of the water; it presents both sexual and asexual reproduction and prevails mainly in tropical and sub-tropical water (Chuang et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2015a, b).

E. crassipes is considered as an invasive species, due to its adaptability to a wide type of ecosystems, which considerably affects the natural balance of aquatic systems (lagoons, lakes, wetlands, among others) and feeds mainly on the concentration of nutrients of effluents from agro-industry, deforestation, or insufficient water treatment. E. crassipes has significant amounts of cellulose and hemicellulose in its plant morphology, which is because it has been used in many phytoremediation and bioenergy projects (Kumar et al. 2017; Mishima et al. 2008; Magdum et al. 2012; Baldeón et al. 2017; Wu et al. 2015).
Among its main attributes is its very low content of lignin present in its structure, in contrast to the high content of cellulose, per unit volume of dry matter, making it easily degradable. Table 1 shows different characterizations of the *E. crassipes* for phytoremediation purposes or other treatments.

The dry and ground biomass of the plant *E. crassipes* are being used for the creation of biological filters and to treat waters contaminated with heavy metals. Atehortua and Gartner (2003) dried and ground the roots of *E. crassipes*, placing them in contact with a solution of zinc (II), chromium (VI), and cadmium (II), with initial concentrations of 100 mg/L of each pollutant, removing less than about 70% of each heavy metal. Figure 1 shows the molecular structure of *E. crassipes* cellulose in which the functional groups (OH) involved in heavy metal removal processes are highlighted, since they have a negative charge, which favors the adsorption of these contaminants (Tan et al. 2008). Initial concentrations of 100 mg/L of each pollutant remove less than about 70% of each heavy metal. Figure 1 shows the molecular structure of *E. crassipes* cellulose in which the functional groups (OH) involved in heavy metal removal processes are highlighted, because they have a negative charge, which favors the adsorption of these contaminants.

Ibrahim et al. (2012) characterized physicochemically the cellulose structure of the plant *E. crassipes* and characterized the mathematical models of adsorption of this biomass to remove cadmium (cd), conducting a batch experiment, removing a total of 75%, with an initial concentration of 100 mg/L. A cation exchange is reported between the hydrogen bonds of the functional groups of the plant with the cadmium, favoring the process of removal. The mechanism of adsorption of uranium by dried and crushed biomass of *E. crassipes* has also been reported. The results showed that the adsorption of uranium (VI) was highly dependent on pH, reaching the best values at pH 5.5. The adsorption of U (VI) progressed rapidly with an equilibrium time of 30 min and adjusted to a second order kinetics (Yi et al. 2016).

### Modification of cellulose with carbon disulfide (xanthogenate)

The cellulose xanthogenate is one of the cellulose transformations of *E. crassipes* to improve the adsorption performance of heavy metals; this compound is produced from dry and ground biomass, mixed with sodium hydroxide (NaOH) to eliminate the lignin, creating alkaline biomass; then carbon disulfide (CS₂) is added (Tan et al. 2008; Den et al. 2012; Zhou et al. 2011). Figure 2 shows the union of sulfur disulfide (CS₂) in the bond of plant cellulose, along with sodium (Na) responsible for the exchange with metal ions; it can be seen that for each glucose, it adheres the disulfide and sodium.

One of the examples is the use for the removal of lead (II), with cellulose xanthogenase reported by Wang et al. (2018), represented in Fig. 3. The lead remains adhered to cellulose xanthogenase in a cation exchange chemisorption process.

The pH has a significant effect on the adsorption capacity of cellulose xanthogenase. Ranges of pH 2.0–7.0 were studied. When the pH is in the range of 2.0–5.0, there is a competitive state with the H⁺ to form a chelate complex with the cellulose xanthogenase groups and among the heavy metals. This is because the percentage of protonation of the groups of cellulose xanthogenase can increase and the number of active sites for the absorption of Pb (II) decreases with...
decreasing pH (Jin et al. 2017; Zhou et al. 2011; Pillai et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2018).

As the pH increases, the cell surface change of xanthogenase becomes more negative and the adsorption capacity towards metal ions increases substantially, due to the electrostatic attractions between the opposite charges ions. However, when the pH reaches eight, the large amount of OH anions can lead to the metal oxide formation. Under this condition, the mechanism of adsorption of heavy metals at a high pH would be complicated and it would be difficult to distinguish between adsorption and precipitation of metal ions; therefore, the optimum pH for the adsorption of metal ions is between five and eight (Huang et al. 2018; Jin et al. 2017; Stoica-Guzun et al. 2016).

Modifications of cellulose with iron

The impregnation of iron (Fe) (III) through iron chloride to the surface of E. crassipes was used for the adsorption of different heavy metals and dyes. The (Fe) (III) reacts with hydratable hydroxyl cellulose, forming iron hydroxides (FeOOH); these are responsible for the cation exchange with heavy metals; the metal ions enter the interior of E. crassipes with FeOOH, exchanging with protons of hydroxyl groups. The ionic interaction was mainly responsible for the adsorption of As (III), As (VI), and Cr (IV) (Wei et al. 2017; Lin et al. 2018). Figure 4 shows the reaction of cellulose with iron chloride (FeCl), forming iron hydroxides (FeOH).

The elimination of metal ions species by Fe was explained by their adsorption on the surface of the corrosion product of the original Fe particles. Therefore, when contacted with a solution, Fe (0) gradually oxidizes plant cellulose allowing the ions of different heavy metals to form internal sphere complexes with oxidized sites (Hokkanen et al. 2015). In Figs. 5 and 6, the adsorption of Arsenic (III) and (V) can be seen.

Through FTIR spectrophotometry, it was shown that hydroxyl groups are the main ones involved in the Arsenic bond, forming a coordination complex between cellulose and arsenic with the participation of the functional group (OH) (Chen et al. 2012).

The adsorption on the cell surface of chromium ions is a product by the physicochemical interaction between the metal and the functional groups present on the surface of the cell of the microorganism (Dhankhar and Hooda 2011). The Cr (VI) in aqueous solution was removed by dead biomass from two mechanisms. The first mechanism consists of direct reduction, in which the Cr (VI) was reduced to Cr (III) in the aqueous phase by contact with the electron donor groups present in the biomass such as the (OH) and (NH2) groups. In Fig. 7, the reduction of Cr (VI) to Cr (III) by cellulose modified with iron can be seen.

### Table 1 Composition of the biomass of E. crassipes

| Lignin (%) | Cellulose (%) | Hemicellulose (%) | Others* (%) | Reference          |
|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 1.1       | 17.3         | 24.7              |             | Chuang et al. (2012) |
| 4.1       | 19.7         | 27.1              |             | Mishima et al. (2008) |
| 3.5       | 18.2         | 48.7              | 13.3        | Magdum et al. (2012) |
| 1.1       | 17.3         | 24.7              |             | Lay et al. (2013)    |
| 11        | 31           | 27                | 10          | Tan et al. (2008)    |
| 11        | 27           | 27                | 10          | Zhou et al. (2009)   |
| 12        | 36           | 42                |             | Balasubramaniana et al. (2012) |

*Ashes

![Vegetable cellulose from E. crassipes modification](Pillai et al. 2013)
The second mechanism is the indirect reduction, in which three stages are identified: (1) the binding of the anionic species of Cr (VI), with the functional groups present on the surface of the biomass positively charged; (2) the reduction from Cr (VI) to Cr (III) by the adjacent electron donor groups, and finally, (3) the release of Cr (III) ions in the aqueous phase due to the repulsion between the positively charged groups and the ions Cr (III), or by the complexation of Cr (III) with adjacent groups capable of binding Cr. In conclusion, the mechanism of removal of Cr (VI) by biomaterials is a combined mechanism between adsorption and reduction (Park et al. 2005; Park et al. 2006). In Fig. 8, the process of adsorption of Cr (III) by iron-modified cellulose can be seen.

The effect of pH on the cellulose impregnated with iron in the adsorption of heavy metals is a material of many researches to achieve the optimum level of adsorption, due to the exposure to water at different pH. The surface of the iron oxide develops charges on the surface and, by adsorbing the metal ions, completes the coordination layers with the OH groups, which bind or release H+. Under neutral and acidic conditions (less than 8), the OH2+ and OH forms on the surface are dominant and responsible for the selective binding of the molecular and ionic forms of the metal ion species (Hokkanen et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2018).

The effects of pH on the adsorption of Cu (II) and Pb (II) on modified bacterial cellulose were evident. When the pH is low, large numbers of hydrogen ions compete with Cu (II) and Pb (II) for the adsorption site of −NH2. With the increase in pH, the amino groups are gradually de-activated and their chelation capacity with Cu (II) and Pb (II) is improving, which causes the increase of the adsorption capacity (Niu et al. 2017; Huang et al. 2018). In addition, the abundant hydroxyl in bacterial cellulose was ionized under high pH conditions and can show a strong electrostatic attraction with metal ions in the solution (Jin et al. 2017).

Ammar et al. (2014) developed a composite with biomass of *E. crassipes* and chitosan, creating microspheres with sodium tripolyphosphate solution (TPP). *E. crassipes* cellulose fibers are embedded in the chitosan matrix. In the experimental treatment, these areas removed about 95% lead (II) with initial concentrations of 100 mg/L. In the gelled cellulose compound of *E. crassipes* and chitosan, the lead was adhered through a cation exchange of chemisorption. The ionic interaction was mainly responsible for the adsorption of Pb (II) in the spheres.

![Fig. 2](image1.png)  
*Fig. 2* Representation of xanthogenase cellulose production, based on Zhou et al. (2011)

![Fig. 3](image2.png)  
*Fig. 3* Adsorption of lead by cellulose xanthogenase, based on Wang et al. (2018)
Another composite using cellulose from *E. crassipes*, chitosan and titanium oxide (TiO), has been investigated, experienced removals above 90% Congo red as a function of pH. There is an electrostatic interaction between the OH-negatively charged hydroxyl groups of cellulose fibers of *E. crassipes* together with the NH (III) anion of chitosan; the TiO2 binds to this compound and forms a chelating network; this new compound increases the cation exchange capacity (El-Zawahry et al. 2016).

With *E. crassipes* and polyvinyl alcohol, hydrogels have been created, becoming a more versatile adsorption material in wastewater treatments for the elimination of dyes and heavy metals due to the unusual chemical molecular structure, because it has a chelating group of amide (-CONH2) which is an active site where metals are housed (Rahchamani et al. 2011; Zhou et al. 2014). These compounds have a high porosity (99.56%) and low density (0.0065 g/cm) (Yin et al. 2017). The polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) helps in the removal of heavy metals, since this polymer has too many electrons, potentially activating the exchange with heavy metals, due to its positive charge of these pollutants (Ajitha et al. 2017).

Models of adsorption isotherms

Adsorption models have been studied, and different mathematical models have been created by isotherms. An adsorption isotherm is a curve that describes the phenomenon that regulates the retention of a substance from aqueous media to a solid phase at variable temperature and pH (Zhou et al. 2009; Ariff et al. 2017).

The Langmuir isothermal model assumes that adsorption occurs at specific homogenous sites in the biomass of *E. crassipes* and was successfully used in many monolayer adsorption processes (Yap et al. 2017; Sastry and Rao 2017; Djehaf et al. 2017). The non-linear and linearized forms of the Langmuir isotherm equation is as follows:

\[
q_e = \frac{Q_o B C_e}{1 + B C_e}
\]  

(1)

\[
\frac{1}{q_e} = \frac{1}{Q_o} + \frac{1}{Q_o B} \frac{1}{C_e}
\]  

(2)

\(q_e\) the capacity in the adsorption equilibrium in a given time (mg of metal/g of *E. crassipes*)

\(C_e\) concentrations of heavy metal in equilibrium (mg/L)

\(Q_o\) maximum capacity (mg/g of biomass).

\(B\) Langmuir constant isotherm parameter related to affinity of binding sites.
RL is also known as the separation factor, given by:

$$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + BCe^2}.$$  \hfill (3)

The Langmuir model represents the experimental data of heavy metal adsorption on *E. crassipes* better than the other adsorption models. (Yi et al. 2016; Lin et al. 2012; El-Zawahry et al. 2016; Li et al. 2013; Lin et al. 2018; Ammar et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2015; Sumanjit et al. 2015; Feng et al. 2017; Gogoi et al. 2017). These evidences indicate that the adsorption of heavy metals on the adsorbents of *E. crassipes* are monolayer adsorption. The modification of *E. crassipes* gave significant effects on the adsorption performance of heavy metals, because more functional groups of modifying agents was bound on the surface of *E. crassipes*, and the surface became more homogeneous. Table 2 shows a summary of each adsorption experiment that was adjust to the Langmuir isotherms, along with the parameter count, the $R^2$, and the capacities of each biomass to retain heavy metals.

The Langmuir model shows (mg) the maximum amount of heavy metal retained on 1 g of biomass *E. crassipes* raw or transformed, obtaining the maximum capacity (qm) in (mg/g) as a source of future designs of waste treatment systems sewage water.

The microspheres, containing *E. crassipes*, chitosan, and sodium tripolyphosphate (TPP), were analyzed for the adsorption of lead (II); this new adsorbent resulted in a maximum loading capacity of 312.5 mg of Pb (II), where they adsorbed a gram of gelled spheres of *E. crassipes* and chitosan. The adsorption data followed the Langmuir model. The cellulose from *E. crassipes* exhibited an adsorption capacity of only 12 mg/g of Pb (II) (Ammar et al. 2014).

The maximum adsorption capacity of arsenic (As) (III) and (V) by *E. crassipes* with FeOOH was determined through the Langmuir model, resulting in 5.5 and 9.21 mg/g of arsenic respectively. Also the model of Langmuir determined the maximum capacity of adsorption (Qm) of arsenic (As) (III) and (V) by part of raw *E. crassipes*, resulting in 1.1 and 3.21 mg/g of arsenic respectively, increasing almost 4 times more than the maximum capacity of adsorption with this chemical transformation (Lin et al. 2012; Lin et al. 2018).

Through experimentation and modeling with the Langmuir equation, it has been concluded that biochars retain 70.3 mg/g of cadmium (III) per gram of this new adsorbent (Zhang et al. 2015; Feng et al. 2017).
The compound of *E. crassipes*, chitosan, and TiO$_2$; the adsorption followed the Langmuir equation; and a maximum adsorption capacity of 256.4 mg/g of Cd (II) were reported for a pH of 7.0 (Chen et al. 2012). The xanthogenase cellulose from *E. crassipes* obtained interesting copper adsorption capacities through the Langmuir isotherm model, retaining 262 mg/g (Tan et al. 2008) and 302 mg/g (Zhou et al. 2011) and 252 mg/g of lead (II) (Deng et al. 2012).

The Freundlich isothermal model is applicable for non-ideal adsorption on heterogeneous and multilayer sorption surfaces (Sastry and Rao 2017; Djechef et al. 2017; Wanyonyi et al. 2014; Alimohammadi et al. 2017; Mahmoud et al. 2017). Non-linear forms are presented below:

$$q_e = \frac{K_f * C_e^{1/n}}{4}$$

Moreover, the linear shapes was shown in the following equation:

![Fig. 8 Reaction of chromium (III) adsorption by iron-modified cellulose based on Park et al. (2006)](image)

| Table 2 | Langmuir isotherms adjusted in heavy metal treatment with *E. crassipes* |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Author          | Contaminate                  | Transformations of the biomass | Isotherm of adsorptions of Langmuir |
|                 |                             |                               | b     | qm(mg/g) | $R^2$ | pH  |
| Yi et al. (2016)| Uranio                      | No                            | 0.28  | 142     | 0.99  | 6–7 |
| Lin et al. (2012)| Arsenic As (V)              | No                            | 0.1   | 2.5     | 0.9987| 8   |
|                 | Arsenic As (III)             | No                            | 0.1   | 1.1     | 0.9987| 8   |
| Li et al. (2013)| Copper (2)                  | No                            | 0.2   | 32      | 0.998 | 5   |
|                 | Chrome (3)                  | No                            | 0.3   | 33      | 0.998 | 2   |
| Liu et al. (2018)| sulfocloropiridazina (SCP) | No                            | 2.4   | 0.163   | 0.998 |     |
| Lin et al. (2018)| Arsenic As (V)              | FeOOH                         | 0.3   | 9.61    | 0.9987| 8   |
|                 | Arsenic As (III)             | FeOOH                         | 0.3   | 5.5     | 0.9987| 8   |
| Ammar et al. (2014)| Lead (2)                   | Esferas gelificadas con quitosano| 0.9  | 312.5   | 0.989 | 6   |
| Zhang et al. (2015)| Chrome                    | Biochar                       | 0.137 | 64      | 0.96  | 3   |
| Feng et al. (2017)| Cadmium                   | Biochar                       | 0.7   | 70.3    | 0.94  | 5   |
| El-Zawahryaty et al. (2016)| Copper               | Celulosa xantogenato          | 0.33  | 262     | 0.97  | 5   |
| Tan et al. (2008)| Copper                     | Celulosa xantogenato          | 0.44  | 302     | 0.88  | 4   |
| Zhou et al. (2011)| Copper                    | Celulosa xantogenato          | 0.55  | 252     | 0.98  | 8   |
| Deng et al. (2012)| Lead                     | Celulosa xantogenato          | 0.44  | 256     | 0.98  | 7   |
| Chen et al. (2012)| Cadmium(II)               | Qitosano, Cronister y TiO$_2$ | 0.44  | 256     | 0.98  | 7   |
\[
\ln q_e = \ln K_F \times \frac{1}{n} \times \ln C_e
\]

\(q_e\) the capacity in the adsorption equilibrium in a given time \((\text{mg of metal/g of } E.\ crassipes)\)

\(C_e\) concentrations of heavy metal in equilibrium \((\text{mg/L})\)

\(Q_0\) maximum capacity \((\text{mg/g of biomass})\)

\(K_F\) indicator of adsorption capacity and \(1/n\) is the intensity of adsorption \(((\text{Mg/g}) (\text{L mg})/n)\)

Table 3 shows that the Freundlich isotherm model is the most adequate to describe the adsorption by the biomass of \(E.\ crassipes\) on dyes, indicating the heterogeneity of the surface of these biomasses, responsible for the adsorption of multiple layers due to the presence of heterogeneous energy adsorption sites (El-Zawahry et al. 2016; Chisutia and Mmari 2014; Liu et al. 2018; Sumanjit et al. 2015; Singha and Das 2011).

This model shows an empirical relationship that does not allow an accurate determination of the adsorption capacity, which is why it is only applied to low and intermediate concentration ranges such as dyes (Muñoz Carpio 2007).

**Kinetics of adsorption of second order**

In different studies, it has been found that the adsorption of heavy metals with biomass in phytoremediation processes was adjusted to a second-order model (Mobasherpour et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2010). The pseudo second order model is expressed as:

\[
\frac{d q}{d t} = K_2 (q_e - q_t)^2
\]

When the initial condition is \(q_t = 0, t = 0\), the integration of Eq. 6 is:

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{K_2 q_{e}^2} + \frac{t}{q_{e}}.
\]

\(q_{e}\) the capacity in the adsorption equilibrium in a given time \((\text{mg of metal/g of } E.\ crassipes)\)

\(q_t\) capacity of adsorption in determined time \((\text{mg of metal/g of } E.\ crassipes)\)

\(K_2\) pseudo second order rate of constant adsorption \((\text{g} \times \text{mg/min})\)

\(q_e\) and \(q_t\) are the amounts of chromium adsorbed \((\text{mg/g})\) at equilibrium and at time \(t\) (min), respectively.

The ability to predict the kinetics of heavy metal adsorption is very important for the proper design of a treatment system. Among the available kinetic models, pseudo first order and pseudo second order are the most used to correlate the kinetic absorption data of hazardous substances on raw and treated biomass. In kinetic adsorption studies, the amount of heavy metal adsorbed by the biomass of \(E.\ crassipes\) was recorded as a function of time. Through the kinetic profile of adsorption, the type of adsorption mechanism that controls the adsorption process was obtained (Lin et al. 2018).

Most of the kinetic adsorption data conform to a second-order model and can be seen in Table 4. Therefore, the main mechanism of control in adsorption using \(E.\ crassipes\) as adsorbent is chemisorption; the binding between molecules of heavy metals and functional surface groups of the biomass of \(E.\ crassipes\) plays an important role during the adsorption process (Mohanty et al. 2006; Chisutia and Mmari 2014; Liu et al. 2018; Vijetha et al. 2014; Gogoi et al. 2017; Yi et al. 2016; Lin et al. 2012; El-Zawahry et al. 2016; Li et al. 2013; Lin et al. 2018; Ammar et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2015; Sumanjit et al. 2015; Feng et al. 2017).

Table 4 shows the research of Ammar et al. (2014), where they showed that through the second-order kinetic adsorption model, lead (II) was adhered to the gelled spheres of \(E.\ crassipes\) and chitosan reaching the equilibrium in 30 min, demonstrating with this model that there was a chemisorption cation exchange. Also, Yi et al. (2016) concluded that the adsorption of U (VI) advanced rapidly with an equilibrium time of 30 min and was satisfied with a kinetic of pseudo second order.

Zhang et al. (2015), in the experimental process of adsorption cadmium by biochar of \(E.\ crassipes\), followed the kinetic model of pseudo second order, reaching equilibrium after 24 h with an initial Cd ranging between 100 and 500 mg/L, concluding that quimiadsorcion is a process by which there was a removal.

Lin et al. (2018) concluded that the removal capacity of \(E.\ crassipes\) cellulose with FeOHH forms hydroxides that are responsible for the cation exchange with arsenic...
This process was modeled through the second order model, determining a cationic exchange of chemisorption, and with this model, the equilibrium capacity of 20 min was determined with initial concentration of 100 mg/L of As (V).

Design of treatment systems

In order to design different biological filters, different adsorption capacities of several biomass materials of chemically modified E. crassipes were studied, and

| Author                  | Contaminate       | Transformations of biomass | Isoterm of adsorptions         | $k$   | $n$   | $R^2$   | pH |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|-----|
|                        |                   |                            | Freundlich                     |       |       |         |     |
| Yi et al. (2016)        | Uranio            | No                         |                                | 4.013 | 3.44  | 0.88    | 6–7 |
| El-Zawahry et al. (2016)| Colorant          |                            |                                | 5.666 | 1.05  | 0.999   | 2   |
| Chisutia and Mmari (2014)| Red Congo        | No                         |                                | 1.7   | 0.5   | 0.923   | 5   |
| Liu et al. (2018)       | Sulfocloropiridazina (SCP) | No                           |                                | 4.82  | 0.7   | 0.999   | 4   |
| Sumanjit et al. (2015)  | Colorant          | Tensoactivo catiónico y pirolisis de biomasa |                           | 1.41  | 1.64  | 0.98    | 7   |
| Singha and Das (2011)   | Colorant          | No                         |                                | 5.6   | 0.75  | 0.97    | 5   |

| Author                  | Contaminate       | Transformation of biomass  | Second order                   | Time of equilibrium | $q_e$ (mg/g) | $R^2$  |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------|
|                        |                   |                            |                                |                     |             |        |
| Yi et al. (2016)        | Uranio            | No                         |                                | 30                  | 115         | 0.99   |
| Lin et al. (2012)       | Arsenic As (V)    | No                         |                                | 50                  | 2.4         | 0.998  |
|                        | Arsenic As (III)  | No                         |                                | 50                  | 1.1         | 0.998  |
| El-Zawahry et al. (2016)| Colorant          | Cellulose EC               |                                | 25                  | 0.28        | 0.9868 |
| Li et al. (2013)        | Copper            | No                         |                                | 55                  | 13.2        | 0.999  |
|                        | Chrome            | No                         |                                | 65                  | 13.1        | 0.885  |
| Chisutia and Mmari (2014)| Red Congo        | No                         |                                | -                   | 5.2         | 0.9998 |
| Liu et al. (2018)       | Sulfocloropiridazina (SCP) | No                           |                                | -                   | 0.187       | 0.99   |
| Lin et al. (2018)       | Arsenic As (V)    | FeOOH                      |                                | 50                  | 9.61        | 0.993  |
|                        | Arsenic As (III)  | FeOOH                      |                                | 50                  | 5.2         | 0.998  |
| Ammar et al. (2014)     | Cadmium           | No                         |                                | 30                  | 3.14        | 0.99999|
|                        | Lead(II)          | No                         |                                | 30                  | 3.93        | 0.9898 |
| Ammar et al. (2014)     | Lead              | Esferas gelificadas con quitosano |                                | 30                  | 7.8         | 0.9999 |
| Zhang et al. (2015)     | Chrome            | biochar                    |                                | 500                 | 62          | 0.992  |
| Sumanjit et al. (2015)  | Colorant          | Tensoactivo catiónico y pirolisis de biomasa |                           | 400                 | 0.00344     | 0.9998 |
| Feng et al. (2017)      | Cadmium           | Biochar                    |                                | 450                 | 62          | 0.9992 |
| Singha and Das (2011)   | Colorant          | No                         |                                | -                   | 0.05        | 0.97   |
| Tan et al. (2008)       | Copper            | Celulosa xantogenato       |                                | 20                  | 262         | 0.93   |
| Zhou et al. (2011)      | Copper            | Celulosa xantogenato       |                                | 22                  | 302         | 0.90   |
| Deng et al. (2012)      | Lead              | Celulosa xantogenato       |                                | 25                  | 222         | 0.88   |
Kinetic studies were carried out, determining the saturation times of each biomass. With these parameters, we will proceed to design an economical and efficient biofilter when it comes to retaining heavy metals.

Biofilters are industrial wastewater treatment systems contaminated mainly by dyes and heavy metals; they are composed of a bioreactor, where it stores biological material (plant, fungus, or bacteria) (Lesmana et al. 2009; Rengel 2018). The main variables in the design of filters proposed by Tchobanoglous (2000) are:

- Characteristics of the filter medium (biomass of *E. crassipes*).
- Porosity of the bed (biomass of *E. crassipes*).
- Depth of the bed (biomass of *E. crassipes*).
- Filtration rate (adsorption capacity).
- Saturation of the (biomass of *E. crassipes*).
- Characteristics of the tributary.

The main components of a biofilter are the regulating tank, regulating valves, input devices, biomass, base, and sampling, based on Sarkar et al. (2017). Biofilters with red algae *Chondracanthus chamissoi* (Macassi 2014) and *Lantana camara* (Rengel 2018) with cane bagasse (Macassi 2014) have been designed and constructed.

Filters with ground *E. crassipes* have been designed (see Fig. 9) using about 20 g of dry biomass, and from this plant, with a vertical descending flow treatment, 5 l of contaminated water from a tannery were treated, removing about 70% chromium (VI), with initial concentrations of 200 mg/L (Sarkar et al. 2017).

With the models of adsorption kinetics, (seen in chapter 4) where the equilibrium time of the biomass of *E. crassipes* was obtained and with the model of isotherms of adsorption of Langmuir where the maximum capacity was obtained (seen in chapter 3) of the biomass to retain heavy metals and dyes, it proposed in this article some designs based on these two models to treat water on an industrial scale.

The designs will be adapted to the one proposed by Sarkar et al. (2017), where the treatment column would be made of a reactor of 30 cm of internal diameter and 150 cm of height, where the treatments should be carried out with a vertical downward flow.

Table 5 shows the different types of *E. crassipes* biomass transformed with the heavy metal adsorption capacities and the costs associated with this treatment system to treat a flow of 5 l of contaminant. In addition, this table summarizes six different biomass of *E. crassipes* to remedy some types of contaminated water from different industries. Next, each process is described, adapting to the needs of the contaminated effluents.

1. The case study is that of a small tannery in the south of the city of Bogotá; this tannery has a flow rate of 5 l/day of water contaminated with chromium (VI), with a concentration of 150 mg/L. The treatment ideal is cellulose xanthogenate, according to Tan et al. (2008); this cellulose has a capacity to remove 232 mg/g of chromium (VI), retaining 90% of the initial concentration, where it would take 20 g of *E. crassipes* and 15 g of NaOH, 2 ml of Cs2, and 5 g of MgSO4. It was estimated that the total cost of this treatment system is 55 dollars and the replacements of the biomass have a cost of 15 dollars each month.

2. Arsenic was generated in the industrial process of glass, pigments, textiles, paper, metal adhesives, wood protectors, ammunition, among others, for this type of polluted water; this industry generates a total of 6 L/day of water contaminated with 100 mg/L of arsenic (V). To treat this water, the biomass transformed by Lin et al. (2018) is propos, since it can retain 9.21 mg of As (V), per gram of biomass, it would need a treatment system with 50 g
of biomass of *E. crassipes* and 400 g of iron chloride to prepare 50 g of EC FeOOH; this treatment system would cost $100 and $10 each month in the replacements of the biomass. The modification of the iron in the biomass of *E. crassipes* reduces the amount of dry biomass of this plant, since in the treatment of raw biomass it would take almost 250 g to retain the same amount of arsenic, increasing costs and time of treatment.

3. The mining industry throws large concentrations of lead and mercury; a system with gelled spheres of chitosan and *E. crassipes* is proposed for its treatment. According to Ammar et al. (2014), these spheres retain 321 mg/g heavy metal per gram of gelled biomass, requiring a treatment system with 25 g of biomass to treat 10 L with concentrations of 100 mg/L of both mercury and lead, with a cost of $80 for the construction of this treatment system and $15 each month in the replacement of biomass.

4. In the treatment of contaminated effluents from a textile industry, the biomass worked by El-Zawahry et al. (2016) was proposed, where they created a network composed of cellulose of *E. crassipes*, chitosan, and titanium oxide (TiO). This treatment would have removals above 98% Congo red as a function of pH, where a treatment system would be needed with 25 g of biomass of *E. crassipes* and 25 g of chitosan, together with 1 L of titanium oxide. These materials would cost $100 and $15 each month in replacing the biomass, a bit more expensive due to the cost of titanium oxide (TiO).

5. In the paint manufacturing industry, large amounts of cadmium (Cd) are spilled; for this water, the biochar of *E. crassipes* proposed by Zhang et al. (2015) is proposed; with the model of Lagmuir, the amount of 35 was determined. 

6. For the treatment of oil spills, aerogels with *E. crassipes* and polyvinyl alcohol created by Yin et al. (2017) was used; it would be necessary, unlike the other oven treatments and a lyophilizer, increasing the costs of their treatment; it takes 25 g of biomass of *E. crassipes* and 10 L of polyvinyl alcohol. These materials would cost $4500 to treat about 10 L.

**Discussion and conclusions**

Colombia is the country more contaminated with mercury of latinamerica, due the conflicted armaded of very years. This biotechnology is one solutions economic and efficient for treatment of water contaminated with different heavy metals.

The design of biofilter would have one characterization of water that can develop the system treatment viability. It recommended the use xanthogenato of the cellulose of *E. crassipes* for treatment of water contaminated with chromium. For the treatment of water contaminated with arsenic, it is a must to develop the biofilter with biomass of *E. crassipes* transformed with iron. In the treatment of contaminated effluents from a textile, industry would develop the biofilter with *E. crassipes*, chitosan, and titanium oxide (TiO).

The mechanism of adsorption of the biomass of the *E. crassipes* about heavy metals and colorant is very efficient, and this must make transformation chemistry for the optimizing of treatment.

---

**Table 5** Treatment design on a larger-scale biomass transformed from *E. crassipes*

| Biomass of *E. crassipes* + Industry | Treatment L/day | Concentration initial, contaminate mg/L | Price of treatment (dollars)/implementation | Author |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------|
| Cellulose xantogenato Tenneries      | 5              | 150 Cr(V)                                | 55                                        | Tan et al. 2008 |
| Cellulose FeOOH Papers              | 10             | 100 As(V)                                | 100                                       | Lin et al. 2018 |
| Spheres Minery                      | 10             | 100 Pb (II)                              | 80                                        | Ammar et al. 2014 |
| Biochar Pains                       | 10             | 120 Cd                                   | 1200                                      | Zhang et al. 2015 |
| Nanoparticles Textile               | 5              | 150 Congo red                            | 100                                       | El-Zawahry et al. 2016 |
| Gels-cellulose Oil spills           | 10             | 100 Aceite en agua                       | 1000                                      | Yin et al. (2017) |
The maximum capacity found through the isothermal models was fundamental to propose designs on a larger scale of treatment of waters contaminated with heavy metals, since it provides the ideal capacity of metal per gram of biomass of *E. crassipes*.

The equilibrium capacities were determined through second order models, finding that the chemisorption is the mechanism that most adjusts between the biomass of *E. crassipes* and different heavy metals in the water.

Large-scale designs of water treatment, contaminated with heavy metals and dyes, must be developed and executed, as there is much research, but none of them is about treating water on a larger scale.
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