Mitigating the Impacts of COVID-19 on Global Child Health: a Call to Action
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Abstract

Purpose of Review Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the cause of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), continues to affect individuals, communities, and health systems worldwide. Here, we highlight how COVID-19 threatens to jeopardize the tremendous gains made over the last few decades on improving children’s health globally.

Recent Findings In contrast to adults, children with COVID-19 are less likely to develop severe disease requiring hospitalization or die as a direct result of infection. However, the pandemic will likely have other important health impacts disproportionately affecting vulnerable children globally. Possible effects include worsening of poverty and food insecurity; disruption of already strained routine child health services; damage to already imperiled healthcare workforces; a wave of mental health challenges; interruption of education; and increased risks of violence, abuse, exploitation, and neglect. These challenges notwithstanding, the response to COVID-19 may also provide opportunities, such as for health system strengthening, that could improve child health after the pandemic.

Summary The negative impacts of COVID-19 on global child health may be substantial. However, these are not foregone conclusions and much can be done to mitigate the worst outcomes. Child health providers should advocate for an equitable response to COVID-19 that prioritizes the health of vulnerable children and furthers the gains made in global child health.
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Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the cause of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), has progressed to a global pandemic that will continue to impact communities and health systems worldwide for the foreseeable future. Thankfully, the vast majority of children with COVID-19 will have an asymptomatic or mild illness, and mortality in children has remained very low [1–4]. Despite this apparent diminished risk of severe COVID-19 disease, the pandemic will still affect children, both directly and indirectly, in multiple ways.

Significant strides have been made globally over the last three decades in improving child health. Global child and adolescent mortalities have fallen from 14.18 million deaths in 1990 to 7.26 million deaths in 2015 [5]. Despite such tremendous progress, this reduction has been unevenly distributed; 75% of deaths occur in lower income countries compared to 61% in 1990 [5]. Now, COVID-19 threatens to erase many
of these gains, primarily through its indirect effects [6••]. If pandemic responses fail to prioritize the well-being of all children, the negative impacts of COVID-19 will pose serious, long-lasting threats to child health, with the most susceptible children, especially those in resource-limited settings, at greatest risk.

Here, we highlight the potential impacts of COVID-19 on global child health, particularly those in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), and call for an equitable global response that prioritizes the most vulnerable children.

Potential Impacts of COVID-19 on Global Child Health

Economic Impacts

Physical distancing and large-scale community isolation mandates to mitigate viral transmission have had devastating economic consequences worldwide, which will continue even as they are gradually lifted. Precise estimates of the pandemic’s economic impact vary, but all suggest that for the first time in 20 years, global poverty will increase. As new economic data has emerged, the World Bank has continued to increase estimates for the number of people newly living in extreme poverty (under $1.90 a day) in 2020; from 62 million in April 2020 to 88–115 million in June 2020, and more recently, to 119–125 million in January 2021 [7]. Models suggest that 40–50% of the anticipated increase in poverty secondary to the pandemic will likely be concentrated in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia [7, 8], with 42–66 million more children globally expected to live in extreme poverty [8, 9]. Poverty has ill effects on almost all aspects of a child’s well-being, including physical health, neurodevelopment, educational attainment, and psychosocial well-being, which may persist throughout their lifetime [10]. As a consequence of the pandemic, more children will face food insecurity and develop undernutrition, posing immediate risks of morbidity and mortality and lifelong impacts on health and neurodevelopment [11–13]. Predictive models suggest that 18–23% of excess childhood mortality from indirect effects of COVID-19 will be due to an increased prevalence of undernutrition [6]. Interruptions in education will also have long-term effects on the well-being of children and may interrupt access to nutritional, health, and psychosocial support often provided through schools; the ability to mitigate these interruptions through distance learning is likely limited in many settings [9]. Although these negative effects may disproportionally affect LMICs, vulnerable children everywhere will be impacted, including those in high-income regions [14–16]. Through international cooperation and implementation of creative policies, there are opportunities to reduce the economic impacts of COVID-19 and even revise current economic practices that could result in a more equitable, sustainable global economy [17–19].

Vulnerable Healthcare Workforce

A critical component of providing quality healthcare for children is ensuring access to healthcare and a sufficient healthcare workforce [20]. Most resource-limited settings already have workforce challenges with minimal ability to absorb further losses [21, 22]. An international survey on pediatrician density published in early 2019 reported a median of 0.5 pediatricians per 100,000 children in low-income countries compared to 72 per 100,000 children in high-income countries [23]. Although pediatricians in resource-limited settings represent a relatively small proportion of those caring for children, this discrepancy highlights the fragility of the current systems. Important healthcare workforce expansions have been made in recent years; however, COVID-19 threatens to reverse these gains [24]. Tools have been developed and used to help human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) programs in LMICs adjust staffing in response to COVID-related disruptions to avoid interruption of critical HIV care [25•]. The sharing of these tools and lessons learned from their use to date could lessen some of the impact on the healthcare workforce and service delivery.

These healthcare workers must be protected. With the global pandemic, all countries sought to bolster supplies of personal protective equipment (PPE), with concomitantly reduced production capacity because of interrupted manufacturing and supply chains. Increased supply costs disadvantaged lower income countries, while well-resourced countries procured a disproportionate share of the available PPE. This disparity continues to place frontline healthcare workers in LMICs at increased risk of COVID-19 infection. Shortages of N95 masks have also put healthcare workers at risk while caring for other infectious diseases, such as tuberculosis (TB) and measles, in settings where effective infection prevention and control are already difficult. If large numbers of healthcare workers become ill and unable to continue meeting their responsibilities, this could have drastic short- and long-term consequences on child health, as the capacity to train new healthcare workers remains constrained in these settings [26–28].

 Interruption of Routine Health Services

The interruption of non-COVID-19 preventive and curative health services may take a tremendous toll on child health. Infectious diseases and neonatal deaths represent the majority of under-5 mortality, with preterm birth complications, pneumonia, and intrapartum-related complications being the leading causes globally [5]. Closed clinics and deferment of routine care, limited travel, and disrupted supply chains during
periods of widespread quarantine measures may reduce necessary vaccine access, medications, and other critical supplies. Approximately 40% of excess child deaths from indirect effects of COVID-19 are expected to be related to limited access to antibiotics for pneumonia and neonatal sepsis, oral rehydration for diarrhea, and immunizations for vaccine-preventable diseases, with additional large contributions from interruptions to antenatal care, neonatal care, and growth and nutrition monitoring [6••]. The WHO has provided operational guidance for maintaining routine health services geared toward resource-limited settings, which—if effectively implemented—would reduce the extent and duration of interruptions [29].

In some settings, especially sub-Saharan Africa, TB, HIV, and malaria contribute to a significant proportion of child morbidity and mortality. For children with these diseases, interruptions in routine care will have further detrimental effects. Mathematical models estimate that potential short-term disruptions in HIV care (e.g., 6 months) could result in more than 500,000 excess deaths, with a 104% increase in new pediatric HIV infections due to interruptions in the prevention of mother-to-child transmission programs [30]. Other models have suggested that, through interruption of TB services, COVID-19 could result in an additional 6.3 million TB cases and 1.3 million TB-related deaths (among all ages) globally between 2020 and 2025 [31, 32]. Physical distancing and widespread mask wearing could potentially reduce TB transmission, but the effects are expected to be outweighed by disruption of TB services [33–35]. The ultimate outcome of these divergent effects on TB burden will only become apparent over time. Mitigating the indirect effects of COVID-19 on TB and HIV will depend on maintaining these crucial health services. Despite the challenges, innovative solutions to avoid service delivery interruptions for HIV and TB care are being implemented and lessons shared and include expanded community-based testing and treatment delivery, extended medication refills, and phone-based counseling and support [25•, 36, 37]. Additionally, HIV and TB programs in LMICs offer many opportunities to support the COVID-19 response. For example, supply chains, laboratory, and pharmacy networks developed for HIV and TB care are being utilized to distribute relevant lab supplies and facilitate testing for SARS-CoV-2 and could be used to help distribute COVID-19 treatments when available [25•].

Large-scale quarantines and physical distancing measures have led to dramatic decreases in routine childhood immunization of 25–66% across diverse settings [38–42]. Globally, the COVID-19 pandemic has decreased vaccine supply chains, with United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) reporting a 70–80% reduction in planned vaccine shipments [43]. By late May 2020, 80 million children worldwide were deemed already at increased risk for vaccine-preventable diseases due to immunization access issues [44]. Models estimate that sustaining routine immunization in sub-Saharan Africa would prevent 84 deaths in children for every 1 excess COVID-19 death attributable to the vaccination program [45•]. Delivery of routine immunizations has the potential to avert many preventable child deaths and must remain a priority. Specific advice for healthcare workers in resource-limited settings on maintaining routine immunizations during the pandemic, such as those from the Indian Academy of Pediatrics, is likely to be helpful [46]. Additionally, plans should be made now for catch-up programs addressing missed immunizations once pandemic restrictions are reduced.

**Mental Health, Child Protection, and Other Considerations**

At the outset of the pandemic, it was speculated that COVID-19 would create a wave of mental health challenges for children due to interruption of education, isolation, increased poverty, food insecurity, and the risk of illness and death for themselves and family members. Social isolation and a lost feeling of belonging are among the highest risks for young people in these circumstances. The experience of prolonged stress in children may cause irreversible damage, hindering cognitive development and altering long-term health. The capacity to provide mental health services for children is woefully inadequate worldwide, with limited access even in high-income regions, but particularly in many resource-limited settings where the psychosocial impacts of COVID-19 are likely to exact a tremendous long-term toll on children’s well-being [47, 48]. Many of these concerns have been born out, with emerging data showing an increase in pediatric mental health issues [49, 50]. The pandemic represents an opportunity to prioritize child and adolescent mental health needs and re-imagine how pediatric mental health services could be improved and delivered globally. Innovations developed in response to COVID-19, including improved access to online educational resources about child mental health and provision of virtual mental health services, could continue and be strengthened post pandemic [51].

As social and community networks come under stress, children are at increased risk of violence, abuse, exploitation, and neglect [52, 53]. As life continues to be disrupted by COVID-19, many children face increased exposure to domestic violence and neglect from caregivers, especially where financial and health burdens combined with other stressors have increased; while access to adult observers who can detect and respond to abuse, such as teachers, law enforcement, and religious leaders, has decreased. Gender inequities may be further amplified, and vulnerable children could face an increased risk of being forced into early marriage, teen pregnancy, hazardous child labor, and human trafficking. Child protection services responsible for the prevention and response to violence, exploitation, and abuse of children in all contexts are
already constrained in many resource-limited settings and maybe even less available to respond during the pandemic [54]. Support for caregivers must not be overlooked as a critical component of a holistic strategy to protect children during this crisis. Supporting caregivers’ mental health and encouraging their development of positive parenting skills will have a catalytic effect on their children’s mental health and well-being. In many LMICs, active community and civil society organizations established to support HIV- and TB-affected persons could be leveraged to support children and families affected by COVID-19 [25•].

Children of all ages rely on their primary caregivers to provide a sense of safety and security and to help them make sense of stressful or traumatic events. Like the HIV pandemic, which orphaned over 15 million children across sub-Saharan Africa, the direct and indirect impacts of COVID-19 will result in a large number of new orphans and vulnerable children in communities already stretched beyond capacity [55]. For children outside of parental care, investments should be made in family-based care alternatives. Additionally, children living in densely populated refugee camps are a particularly vulnerable subgroup that will require specific targeted protective interventions [56, 57].

**Urgent Call to Action**

Child health providers must continue to provide local, national, and international leadership for the COVID-19 response and advocate for high-quality care for children in their communities. All those committed to child health must join together in solidarity to demand a rapid, equitable response to COVID-19 that prioritizes preventive services and care for the most vulnerable children, especially those in resource-limited settings. Additionally, equitable access for LMICs to COVID-19 treatment and prevention tools, including equitable vaccine access for healthcare workers, teachers, childcare workers, and families/children, must be ensured as the negative effects of COVID-19 on child health will disproportionately impact these settings. In a compelling and unified manner, the child health community must demand that political leaders prioritize global child health.

Table 1 lists a number of high-priority actions to mitigate COVID-19’s effects on child health.

Sharing of best practices among all child health advocates is critical. A truly collaborative approach must specifically acknowledge that many widely applicable lessons on how to respond to the pandemic may arise from resource-limited settings. Healthcare workers in these settings are resilient, versatile, and innovative and draw on extensive experience in responding to infectious disease epidemics, including HIV, Ebola, malaria, measles, and TB, through the use of both technologically and nontechnologically based strategies to support community health [58, 59]. In fact, examples of successful innovative responses by HIV programs in LMICs supported by the President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) [25•] and other strategies from Liberia, Rwanda, Peru, and Bangladesh have already been highlighted and could be more broadly adopted [60].

The many challenges notwithstanding, the response to COVID-19 may also provide opportunities. Resources mobilized to respond to COVID-19 could be synergistic with responses to other diseases and other efforts at health system strengthening [61]. Investments in health systems to rapidly deploy a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine could benefit routine vaccination programs. Diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2 could be paired with testing for HIV, TB, or other diseases. However, taking advantage of these and many other opportunities will require intention, planning, and a commitment to do so.

**Conclusion**

The global COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated how interconnected our transnational world has become. In this crisis,
we are confronted with critical choices and reminded of the moral imperative for equity. Will we engage in cooperative action that addresses every person’s health in an equitable manner in order to help current and future generations overcome the widespread impact of this pandemic? Or will we miss this opportunity to rise and defend the well-being of our children? Will we utilize this crisis to fundamentally re-envision the health of children globally as a central priority rather than an afterthought? We call on the child health community to partner together to limit the impact of COVID-19 on all children globally.
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