Management of recurrent aphthous ulcer using corticosteroids, local anesthetics and nutritional supplements
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Abstract:
Recurrent Aphthous ulcers are the most common oral lesions among dental patients. The ulcers, which usually occur on the non-keratinized oral mucosa, can cause considerable pain and may interfere with eating, speaking, and swallowing. Therefore, it is of interest to report data on the management of recurrent aphthous ulcer using corticosteroids, local anesthetics and nutritional supplements. Case sheets of 76 patients who underwent treatment for recurrent aphthous ulcer between June 2019 and March 2020 at the Saveetha Dental College, India were used in this analysis. Data was analyzed using Chi square test at a P value < 0.05 that is statistically significant. Results show that topical anaesthetics in population (49%) were more effective that corticosteroids. Thus, topical anaesthetics are recommended for recurrent aphthous ulcer.
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Background:
Among the various mucosal diseases, ulcers are the most common type of mucosal disease. The ulcers of the oral cavity due to various reasons and can have varied sizes [1]. The most common ulcers of the oral cavity are the idiopathic aphthous ulcers, which are relatively easy to manage. On the other hand ulcers can also occur
in response to tobacco usage in the form of a malignancy [2]. Recurrent aphthous ulcers represent a very common but poorly understood oral mucosal lesion. It is spontaneous, self-limiting ulceration. It occurs in men and women of all ages, gender and geographic regions. The prevalence in Caucasians is in the 3-9% [3]. The cause of recurrent aphthous ulcer is idiopathic in nature. There has also been a viral etiology implicated for aphthous recurrent aphthous ulcer is classified as minor, major and herpetiform, on the basis of ulcer size and number. Major aphthous ulcers are recurrent, large, chronic, and usually solitary ulcers that begin as nodules, destroy deep tissue, and heal with scarring. It affects the non-keratinised mucosa and posterior mucosal surfaces. Minor aphthous ulcers are recurrent punched-out ulcers, affecting the non-keratinized oral mucosa (lips, buccal mucosa, mucobuccal and mucolabial sulci, and tongue). Herpetiform ulcers are recurrent, multiple, shallow and pinpoint ulcers that may affect any part of the oral mucosa. Major and herpetiform variants are distinctly less common than Minor variant [4]. Behcets disease is a severe variant of Aphthous that consists of a triad of oral, genital and ocular lesions [5]. Various factors have been suggested to precipitate outbreaks of recurrent aphthous stomatitis in predisposed persons, including oral trauma, the cessation of smoking for reasons that are unclear [2], anxiety or stress, dietary habits [6], poor oral hygiene [4], sensitivities to food preservatives and agents such as benzoic acid cinnamaldehyde etc. and systemic diseases like Behcet’s disease [7]. Treatment of recurrent aphthous ulcer remains till date, empirical and nonspecific. Some patients are managed with proper oral hygiene instructions, toothpaste with non-irritant properties and sometimes-palliative therapy for pain [8]. Sometimes natural therapy such as aloe vera was also considered. Patients with history of multiple episodes of recurrent aphthous ulcer are given drug therapy. Corticosteroids are traditional treatment of choice for recurrent aphthous ulcer. They are administered as topical gels, mouthwashes and also systemically by oral route. There have been immuno modulators like etanercept also used in the management [9]. Topical anaesthetics are also widely used to relieve pain. [10] In case of nutritional deficiencies, haematological work up including CBC, folate and vitamin B12 are done and replacement therapy such as administration of vitamin B12 supplements is advised. There has also been a role for helicobacter in the role of gastric ulcer management [11]. It is of interest to report the data on the management of recurrent aphthous ulcer using corticosteroids, local anaesthetics and nutritional supplements.

Materials and Methods:
Ethical clearance: 

The study setting was set in a university setting, Institutional Ethics Committee approval (ethical approval number - SDC/SIHEC/2020/DIASDATA/0619-0320).

Dataset:
The case records of 76 patients who underwent treatment for recurrent aphthous ulcer between June 2019 and April 2020 from Saveetha Dental College is used in this study. The data regarding treatment was divided into 3 groups: topical steroids, topical anaesthetics and nutritional supplements. The inclusion criteria were patients treated with topical anaesthetics, corticosteroids and nutritional supplements and exclusion criteria were patients treated with antimicrobials.

Analysis:
The variables recorded were age, gender, therapy and variant. These data were entered into Excel sheet and was cross verified by 2 clinicians. They were obtained using SPSS statistics software and chi-square test was used to determine correlation between gender and recurrent aphthous ulcer clinical variants, usage of topical anaesthetics and recurrent aphthous ulcer variant, usage of topical corticosteroids and recurrent aphthous ulcer variant and usage of nutritional supplements and recurrent aphthous ulcer variant. The internal validity of the study was established as the data was collected from a verifiable and standardised database. The external validity is established as the data is from a clinical setup that is duplicatable.

Figure 1: This bar graph represents the association of the variant of the lesion with the gender. X-axis represents the clinical variant and
Y-axis represents the percentage of cases. The blue colour represents the male gender (51) of which 45 are minor aphthous, 5 major and 1 herpetiform type. The green colour represents the female gender (25) of which 24 are minor and 1 are major. A Chi square analysis was done and (chi square 1.317; df=5;p - 0.051)

**Results & Discussion:**

The data obtained were plotted in the form of bar charts and was analysed. The results showed that, in this study, it was observed that topical anaesthetics was prescribed more frequently for aphthous ulcer (49%) among study population and corticosteroids were prescribed least among study population. **Figure 1** shows clinical variant distribution of aphthous ulcer among study population between the gender and minor variant was found to be most common among study population. **Figure 2** shows that usage of topical anaesthetics was high (49%) and **Figure 3** shows that topical corticosteroids were less prescribed among the study population (23.7%). **Figure 4** shows that nutritional supplements were equally prescribed among the study population.

Recurrent aphthous ulcers or recurrent aphthous stomatitis is the most common oral mucosal disease among. Despite much clinical and research attention, the causes of Recurrent aphthous ulcer remain a mystery. The ulcers cannot be prevented and the treatment is symptomatic [12]. The recurrent aphthous ulcers cause discomfort and pain in patients, affecting their eating habits and quality of their lifestyle [13]. Current treatments mainly used are topical agents such as antimicrobials, amlexanox, anesthetics, and corticosteroids. Other drugs such as azathioprin, colchicine, cyclosporine, thalidomide, should be reserved only in severe cases, as these medications are associated with several side effects when compared to topical medications. Prescription of nutritional supplements also found to have therapeutic effects of lesions [14]. The etiology of the disease is unclear [15]. Salivary genomics has also been extensively applied to arrive at the possible etiological agent for aphthous but with no success till date [16]. In this study, it was observed that topical anaesthetics were prescribed more frequently for aphthous ulcer (49%) and topical corticosteroids were prescribed least among the study population (23.7%). In the clinical variant distribution graph, it was observed that the minor variant was found to be the most prevalent type of variant among the study population. It was observed that topical anaesthetics were prescribed more commonly among the study population. Studies by Altenberg et al. [16] showed that lidocaine in xylcaine, viscous 2% solution can be applied over the lesion for better results. Lidocaine, as a 2% containing gel (Dynexan mouth gel, Gelicaine 2% gel, Xylocaine 2% gel, Lidocaine 2% gel, lidocaine and chamomile extract in Kamistad gel N), or as a spray (Xylocaine pump spray, Xylestesin pump spray, Wick Sulagil throat spray), polidocanol as a paste (Solcoseryl adhesive dental paste), and benzoic in the form of lozenges (Anaesthesin or Dolo-Dobendan lozenges) are recommended as treatment for Recurrent Aphthous Ulcer. This can be attributed to the fact that patients seek pain relief and want complete recovery from pain within short period of time.
this disease may play a role in exacerbating the ulcers. These immune system changes are mainly characterized by low CD4 cell counts. Figure 4 showed that nutritional supplements were prescribed equally with topical anaesthetics (38%), but not individually. Previous studies by Brocklehurst P et al. [20] also showed that, many investigators in these studies cited earlier found that high dose replacement therapy with specific vitamins was helpful in the treatment of RAS in patients with documented vitamin deficiencies but that daily multivitamin supplementation, with the RDI of essential vitamins, did not result in a reduction in the number or duration of RAS episodes. No correlation between the type of treatment and the variant of recurrent aphthous ulcer was found. Limitations to this study, included limitation to one geographic area and the limited amount of data acquired. However, there have been several studies done in the past from the existing data, which had matched with the already well-established literature data. 9 This study can be utilised to study the effects of each therapy over each variant of aphthous ulcer with a larger sample to increase the accuracy of the study.

Figure 3: This bar graph represents the association of the variant of the lesion with the usage of corticosteroids. X-axis represents the clinical variant and Y-axis represents the percentage of cases. Blue colour represents usage of corticosteroids and green colour represents no usage of corticosteroids. Corticosteroids were used in 19 patients of whom 16 are minor, 2 major variant. Corticosteroids were not used in 58 patients of which 53 are minor, 4 major and 1 herpetiform variant. Chi square analysis was done to compare the usage of corticosteroids and variants of aphthous stomatitis, (chi square 0.629; df-5; p=0.730 (P>0.05)) which was not statistically significant due to the disproportionate number of patients in each clinical variant.

Figure 4: This bar graph represents the association of the variant of the lesion with the usage of nutritional supplements. X-axis represents the clinical variant and Y-axis represents the percentage of cases. Blue colour represents usage of nutritional supplements and green colour represents no usage of nutritional supplements. Nutritional supplements were used in 38 patients of which 34 are minor, 3 major and 1 herpetiform variant. Nutritional supplements were not used in 38 patients of whom 35 are minor, 3 major and 1 herpetiform variant. A Chi square analysis was done to compare the usage of corticosteroids and variants of aphthous stomatitis. (Chi square 1.014; df-5;p=0.6(P>0.05)) which was not statistically significant due to the disproportionate number of patients in each clinical variant.

Conclusion:
Aphthous stomatitis represents the most common ulcer occurring in the oral cavity. We showed that that topical anaesthetics in population (49%) were more effective that corticosteroids. Thus, topical anaesthetics are recommended for recurrent aphthous ulcer. However, it should be noted that a combination therapy of corticosteroids with local anesthetics is effective in the long-term management of aphthous ulcer.
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