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Abstract. The use of open Spectrum broadcasting, which is a restricted and valuable resource, has been put under a range of constraints due to the growing interest in wireless applications. There is a huge spectrum requirement nowadays but radio spectrum is an extremely terrifying resource in a normal system. The frequency detection challenge has gained new angles with cognitive radio and artful spectrum allocation principles. However a fixed spectrum allocation needs to result in the use of spectrum as an extraordinary bit of enabled spectrum is not effectively used. To remain away from interference and optimise spectrum immersion, the Cognitive Radio (CR) based Internet of Things (IoT) concept was developed. The best strategy for effective spectrum usage is CR IoT. The fundamental goal of CR IoT would be to use the small set of resources without interfering with the main users (PUs). The different spectrum detection techniques used in CRs are explained in this paper and the advantages and disadvantages of each sensing technique are also discussed. We explained the detection of the cooperative spectrum and all its structures, and also the multi-dimensional dynamic spectrum method. From a cognitive radio point of view, different aspects of a problems of spectrum identification are concentrated and a multi-dimensional idea of spectrum sensing is introduced. Spectrum management problems are provided and techniques to empower spectral detection are presented.
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1. Introduction

Due to the expansion of wireless devices and software, the open cognitive radio spectrum is a limited natural resource and becomes busy day after day. It has also been found that, considering the static classification of the spectrum, the allocated spectrum is underutilised. In addition, the executives’ daily way of dealing with spectrum is absolutely unyielding as a select permit to operate in a particular recurrence band is named in every remote administrator. What’s more, with much of the previously established useful radio spectrum, it is difficult to track down empty groups to either send new administrations or strengthen existing ones. To address this situation, we need to build methods for optimising the use of the spectrum to establish open doors for complex spectrum access. RF spectrum is increasingly swarming with the huge number and variety of remote gadgets and developments, drastic growth in the quantity of remote supporters, the increase of new technologies, and the non-stop interest in higher data rates. These enhancements in the market for exchanges involve frameworks and gadgets that are aware of their RF
environment and can promote adaptable, knowledgeable, strong operation and use of accessible phantom properties. Spectrum identification and its ability to discern underutilized spectrum is thus logically becoming more important to current and future remote correspondence systems in order to identify underutilized spectrum with interruption in representation and thus to conduct accurate and efficient operation.

The ability to measure, feel, learn and know the boundaries established by the characteristics of the radio channel, spectrum and force accessibility, the working environment of radio, consumer requirements and applications, accessible organizations (frameworks) and hubs, nearby approaches and other working limitations is one of the main components of the intelligent radio concept. "Essential customers can be described in Cognitive radio phrasing as customers with greater need or inheritance rights for the use of a specific part of the spectrum." Then again, optional customers, who have a lower need, misuse this spectrum so that important customers do not cause interference. Therefore, auxiliary customers need to have intelligent radio capabilities, such as "reliably detecting the spectrum to check whether it is being used by an essential customer and changing the radio boundaries to misuse the unused part of the spectrum." There are two key components of the operation of a psychological radio for complex spectrum access: spectrum identification and spectrum opportunity violence. A psychological radio might not be able to monitor the entire spectrum at the same time due to equipment constraints and energy limitations. Consequently, either individually or cooperatively, a detection technique that characterizes when and which recurrence band to detect must be modified. Similarly, on the basis that concurrent transmission and detection on a similar recurrence band is typically inefficient, we can presume that the detecting times have just been coordinated between separate psychological radios. Such a spectrum characterizes whether in a given time, a cognitive radio detects and if this is so, which channel or channels it detects.

It is widely expected that group detection approaches provide advantages over an explicitly selected spectrum. Nonetheless, it has been suggested that singular detection approaches[1,2] use a choice hypothesis approach by describing the plan of an ideal detection strategy as a rather perceptible Markov choice period (POMDP). In[3, 4], close-sighted approaches to detecting attempts to raise a swift prize are investigated. After being differentiated, psychological radios should also determine their entry strategy to abuse accessible ghastly open doors. An entry strategy appears to be when and on which channels to send, or whether to communicate at all if it is essential to moderate the energy of battery-operated terminals or the efficiency of the channel is poor. 'Access strategy must be overcome independently or all in all, such as detecting strategy. A central aspect of spectrum misuse is the board’s intervention. The psychological radio system must ensure that it stays within the limits set by administrative specialists to block the necessary frameworks. Approaches to identification and entry are closely intertwined, and these are fields where insight is most generally an integral factor. The ability to achieve the most efficient use of usable assets is crucial in specific sign-climate methods, such as fortification learning[5, 6]. The spectrum detected by a long shot is the key segment of the basis of intelligent radio, becoming the focal point of this paper." Spectrum detection is the job of paying attention to the use of spectrum and the presence of important customers in a geological area[7, 8]. This knowledge can be achieved by using geo position and database information, by using signals, or by detecting neighbourhood spectrum on cognitive radios[9,10].

The transmitted data may be the habitability of a spectrum at the point where guides are used, much as other advanced highlights, such as channel efficiency. In this paper, in view of its more comprehensive implementation areas and lower foundation prerequisites, we concentrate on spectrum detection performed by psychological radios. Other techniques of detection have also been referred to when appropriate[11]. Despite the fact that spectrum detection is generally
interpreted as estimating the ghost substance or estimating the energy of radio recurrence over the spectrum; it is a broader concept when intelligent radio is thought of which involves getting attributes of spectrum usage over various dimensions, such as time, space, recurrence, and code[12]. It often involves finding out what kinds of signs include the continuum, including tweak, waveform, data transmission, recurrence of the transporter, and so on. Nevertheless this includes all the more impressive sign investigation procedures with an additional multifaceted computational design. Various sections of the role for spectrum detection are delineated in Fig. 1. As appears in this chart, the purpose of this paper is to bring up a few sections of spectrum detection. In the rest of this article, these angles are spoken about.

Figure 1. Various Aspects of Spectrum Sensing for Cognitive Radio.

2. Cognitive Radio
For unused recurrence classes, CR progression was familiar and produced at the entrance. The definition of CR was initially proposed by Joseph Mitola and Gerald Maguire [13] in 1999. The CR system must, according to the models, be fundamental, i.e. I) can be progressively reconfigured, ii) if there is contact without irksome PUs anywhere and iii) productively utilising the spectrum. The framework must be aware of the environment of the spectrum and change the data from it in order to gradually schedule the device so that it can reconfigure the framework with the climate difference. The main goals of the CR are to use standard spectrum properties effectively, like space, recurrence, time without obstruction to PU’s. The CR’s key goals are to efficiently use standard spectrum properties, like space, recurrence, time without obstruction to PU’s. A spectrum opening (or void region) of a spectrum means that at the particular time a particular band or channel is eligible for optional use in a specific area, the particular brand is not used by a PU as of now. In order to maximise spectrum use, Fig.2 shows that optional unlicensed consumers have good access to spectrum openings or blank areas in the PU topographical district.

In CR, there is a strong need for the spectrum to be used only by the PUs and an optional client (SUs) has a lower need to use this spectrum without PU interference. The intellectual working pattern of CR behaviour is illustrated in Fig.3. Spectrum detection, spectrum choice or administrators, spectrum sharing, and portability[14] as discussed below are the fundamental
elements of CR innovation.

Spectrum Sensing: Spectrum detection alludes to the discovery of a particular period in a specific geological region of open PU spectrum use. It also aims to define the opening of the available spectrum to make it usable for SUs. The SU must be aware of the channel structure of the spectrum that PUs use.

Spectrum Decision: In the wake of receiving spectrum detection data, CR’s point destinations are to send and receive data beyond what anyone would think possible with the best administration in existence. In order to enhance its operational display, CR can use an information base.

Spectrum Sharing: There are abundant amounts of SUs in “CR that are interested in using the available spectrum openings. To achieve a balance between psychological and non-intellectual clients, open assets are exchanged by CR for moving data.

Spectrum Mobility: as of late used cognitive radio spectrum, CR needs to close its current operation or void it is and alters the cognitive radio recurrence as PU begins operating with that channel to stay away from obstruction to PUs. CR is able to constantly analyze possible open spectrum door openings.

![Figure 2. Illustration of Spectrum Holes.](image)

3. CR IoT Architecture

The simple IoT architecture is presented in Fig.4. The topology illustrates a network necessity for facilitating data flow with worldwide interoperability, that is internet access. This interconnectivity has historically been established by GSM or some other conventional technologies. However, IoT artefacts should provide a cognitive facility in the cognitive IoT network to render wise spectrum judgments and execute intelligent operations by observing network circumstances. Fig.5 illustrates the cognitive wireless system for interconnectivity provision. The smooth cognitive functions of these CR artefacts must be tested. Fig.4 demonstrates the topology of a data flow network with global bandwide network and portability, an Internet Protocol (IP) network, and a global network-based wireless system/network. Wireless networking is focused on CR, where the entire device should be clever enough to reach the usable spectrum holes. Since large IoT systems may use large quantities of data, this
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Figure 3. Cognitive work cycle of Cognitive Radio.

Figure 4. Basic IoT network architecture.

4. Spectrum Sensing Techniques for Cognitive Radio
Identification of the spectrum allows a cognitive radio to measure, read, and know its working environment, such as spectrum accessibility and obstruction status. The spectrum can be
used by optional customers at the point where a particular recurrence band is distinguished as underused by the essential/authorized customer at a specific time in a specific location i.e. there is a probability of spectrum. In this way, ”spectrum detection can be performed over time, recurrence, and space regions.” With the ongoing development of bar shaping innovation, in a similar topographical region, various customers can simultaneously use a similar channel/recurrence. Subsequently, ’if an important client (PU) does not interact in any way, spectrum openings can be rendered for optional clients in ways that are not helpful, and spectrum detection must also take into account the point of appearance’[15]. Similarly, the’ essential customers would use their assigned groups through spread-spectrum or recurrence jumping methods, and auxiliary customers will then be able to send to the essential customers in a similar band all the while without extreme disruption if they accept asymmetric code comparable to the codes obtained by the essential customers’[16]. In the code area, this allows spectrum openings, but needs the location of the codes used by the critical customers just as multipath boundaries. Since it is always exceptionally troublesome to identify critical customers who accept information, numerous spectrum detection tests have zeroed in on essential transmitter discovery based on the neighbourhood estimates of optional customers’ spectrum detection and station testing to obtain ongoing spectrum/station data needed by the intellectual MAC layer.

As a rule, the following tasks[17] are performed by spectrum detection: (1) identification of spectrum openings, (2) confirmation of ghostly targets for each spectrum opening, (3) evaluation of the spatial headings of an approaching meddling symbol, and (4) order of the signal. Among these the location of spectrum openings is probably the main undertaking and is examined by means of a parallel testing theory problem. In this way, detecting spectrum openings on a thin recurrence band is typically referred to as spectrum identification, which distinguishes the presence or non-attendance of significant customers in the basic band. "Spectrum detection techniques can be divided into two main classes: non-pleasant/transmitter discovery and useful identification” (Fig.6). Transmitter recognition approaches rely on the identification of signs transmitted from an important framework through the neighbourhood experiences of cognitive radio clients. Techniques of acknowledgement, whether transmitter or non-pleasant, are typically based on the premise that the vital transmitter area is inaccessible to the intellectual gadget. Intellectual customers may then rely solely on the discovery of fragile critical transmitter signals to conduct spectrum detection using nearby perceptions[18]. A cognitive gadget does not have full awareness of spectrum habitability in its inclusion region. As a consequence, evading hurtful obstruction of critical clients is beyond the realm of imagination. Also a shrouded terminal issue can not forestall transmitter recognition. For vital transmitter discovery, three plans are usually used: synchronised channel recognition, energy detection, and location of highlights[19]. A’ Cognitive unit (CU) will have a decent view of an important receiver, but due to the shadowing
wonder, which is extraordinarily fundamental in metropolitan/indoor settings, the existence of an essential transmitter (shrouded terminal) may not be able to discern. To address this problem, good processes of recognition are altered. Agreeable recognition refers to techniques for spectrum detection that allow several cognitive radios to exchange data to detect their neighbourhood for a more precise critical transmitter position[20]. In either a concentrated or a transmitted manner, helpful recognition may be altered. A focal unit collects data from psychological gadgets in the Unified Strategy, identifies open spectrum classes and transmits this data to other cognitive radios[21]. There is no focal centre in a transmitted system, and the detection data is shared between the psychological gadgets[22]. "Circulated discovery is easier to perform and does not require a spine structure, whereas the concentrated location is more precise and can relieve both multi-way blurring and shadowing effects adequately." The focal hub can also send a specific load to any spectrum detecting result to minimise blurring marvels[23]. In addition, as indicated by the concept of data shared between intelligent customers, it is possible to delegate a delicate or hard blend to friendly discovery procedures. The delicate mix refers to an effective scheme in which a particular recurrence band is detected by each hub and then sends the effects of its measures to the focal hub[24], i.e. the energy of the signal received. The potential spectrum detection needed is indicated in Figure 7. Designing a cognitive radio with a capacity for spectrum detection that satisfies each of these prerequisites is however, obstructed by a few problems. The outcomes of the location significantly affect the output of the other components of cognitive radio. Spectrum identification in cognitive radio is thus a fundamental concern and has been considered by various analysts as of late. In order to secure substantial data about its environmental factors, including the existence of critical clients and the presence of spectrum openings during spectrum detection, cognitive radio may be correlated with its radio climate[25]. In order to achieve proficient spectrum use, it is only with this knowledge that it can change its communicating and accepting restrictions, such as transmission force, recurrence and regulation plans. Consequently, the primary fundamental step towards the complex spectrum of the board is spectrum identification and investigation. Area, we are talking about three sections of spectrum detection: (1) discovery of spectrum opening, for deciding additional accessible spectrum properties, including a correlation of a few recognition strategies; (2) appropriate detection, which involves cooperation between various psychological clients; and (3) identification of interference temperature, which quantifies the degree of obstruction seen at a recipient.

Figure 6. Spectrum Sensing Techniques.

5. Transmitter Detection Scheme
Spectrum detection strategies that include earlier data on the sign of the important client for comparing particular sign highlights with the obtained signal from the psychological client are
Figure 7. Potential Requirements of Spectrum Sensing.

called methods of recognition of cognizant signs. Non-cognizant recognition techniques contrast the signal obtained with a limit characterized on the basis of highlights that are independent of knowledge about important signs. In the other hand, spectrum identification techniques can also be spectrum from the point of view of transmission speed to wideband and narrowband discovery techniques. The position of the non-pleasant/transmitter is so called because cognitive radio detection merely distinguishes a sent sign from an essential client transmitter[26]. Transmitter discovery is assigned as follows:

5.1. Energy Detection

Energy identification is the most commonly used form of spectrum detection for evaluating the presence or non-appearance of an important customer signal without requiring any information about the concept of the essential customer signal. The variation in the essential sign is heartfelt in energy exploration because it does not need to mess with any of the earlier awareness of the essential sign. In the energy location phase, the energy of a received signal is used to classify a significant client signal, as seen in Fig.8., and the presence of a sign in the channel is recognised if the energy present is more visible than just commotion overall. Initially, the energy identifier sifts from the undesirable recurrence band via the undesired symbol. The channel’s subsequent yield tests are then squared and applied effectively figuring the energy of the symbol. At long last the yield is contrasted with an edge [27] to determine whether an approved client is available or not as appeared in Fig.8. It is a challenging task to set the correct edge, as it must distinguish between the sign and commotion. The simplest technique for detection is energy recognition. In any event, the earlier information on the level of commotion energy is relevant because its vulnerability corrupts the execution of the identifier [28]. Moreover, energy exploration does not require the preparation of confused signs and has a low multifaceted nature, which is especially reasonable for the detection of wideband spectrum. For this case it is possible to recognize the simultaneous detection of different sub-groups by essentially analyzing the force phantom thickness (PSD) of the wideband sign obtained. In any event, it is beneficial to detect complete wideband spectrum through the following two phases: (1) Low-multifaceted energy discovery of nature is extended to scan for possible sub-groups of inert nature. (2) For effective inactive band recognition, more sophisticated spectrum detection techniques with higher identification affectability are often applied along these lines for greater intricacy. In addition, the detection of time and sporadic detection stretches are upgraded in a cognitive radio organization to improve the detection of accuracy or intelligent client results. Detecting time impacts indicator execution in the energy identifier as far as the probability of bogus warning and the probability of missing location are concerned.
5.2. Matched Filter Detection
The organized channel is a lucid recognition mechanism that utilizes, for example, pilot and planning successions, a coordinated correlate to the sign of interest or clear pieces of it. Sound recognition handling gives awesome execution under ostensible circumstances. The got signal is synchronized with the PU signal with this approach, and the presence or non-attendance of PU will thus be able to be resolved. Coordinated channel discovery expects Gaussian commotion to occur, for which the ideal position technique is coordinated separation[27]. Notwithstanding the organized channel recognition, the psychological client should be fully synchronized with the PU, a skill that much of the time particularly with low SNRs, is beyond the scope of imagination. By processing the relation between the obtained signal and a recognized duplicate of the symbol, the organized channel strategy distinguishes a sign. As the ideal location process, be that as it may, it needs amazing information on the sign of the critical customer, such as the working recurrence, transmission power, type of balance, and order, beat shape and design of parcel. Similarly, identification execution would be debased if off-base data is used for organized separation. Then again, such examples are shown by most remote correspondence frameworks, such as pilot tones, introductions, midambles, and spreading codes, which are used for power, leveling, synchronization, congruity, or reference purposes. In any case, if ideal data on the sign of an essential customer is not feasible, if a particular example is identified from the signals received, cognizant recognition can be used to determine if an essential customer communicates[29] (Fig.9).

5.3. Cyclostationary Feature Detection
The discovery of highlights depends on identifiable evidence of key signs based on their deterministic or observable properties. Since discovery depends on removed sign highlights, it may acknowledge signals with different highlights. In general, the computational multifaceted existence of highlight recognition is higher than the discovery of energy or organised sifting. One significant subset of highlight identifiers is the cyclostationarity-based markers, which is more efficient against commotion weakness than energy-based detection, because the clamour is not regularly cyclostationary. Cyclostationarity-based discovery can however be susceptible to synchronisation errors, resulting in recurrence of transporters and counterbalances of clock recurrence inspection. The cyclostationary inclusion recognition method used in cognitive radio is a highly appealing spectrum identification plan since it is designed to differentiate the vital sign from interference and commotion [30]. This spectrum detection technique relies on tweaking and
inspecting the occasional excess brought into the sign as balanced signs are usually coupled with sine wave transporters, beat trains, spreading groupings, or cyclic prefixes, causing periodicity of the communicated signal. By noticing the mean and autocorrelation of the signal acquired by the cyclostationary, the finder uses these non-irregular periodic measurements of signs for exploration. If the mean and autocorrelation often differ as expected, at that point the received signal is linked to the vital client, otherwise it needs periodicity to clamour. Subsequently, cyclostationary includes indicators that can function efficiently in conditions of very low SNR and can differentiate between the sign and clamour of the vital client. Such types of finders abuse certain PU signal properties, such as pilots or cyclostationary highlights, in order to play out the spot. Be that as it may, this kind of position needs a highly accurate synchronisation that under low-SNR conditions is difficult to sustain. A cyclostationary inclusion recognition diagram has appeared in Fig.10. Taking all into account, the cyclostationary approach is revised to differentiate between the critical sign of the client and the commotion signal by misusing the exceptional idea of the signal obtained. This is achieved by changing the received signal using periodic groupings and then measuring the ability of the phantom link to discern the relationship.

![Figure 10. Schematic of Cyclostationary Feature Detection.](image)

6. Cooperative Detection
The concealed terminal problem is a basic issue in spectrum detection. It happens when the cognitive radio is shadowed and the presence of the essential client cannot be reliably identified due to the extremely low SNR of the received signal. This cognitive radio assumes that the observed channel is empty and starts to get to the channel, creating interference while the essential client is still inactive. A few difficulties are inalienable in spectrum detection, as discussed in the first regions, which can adversely affect the detection of reliability. What’s more, each of the methods for detecting the nearby spectrum has its own attributes and restrictions, and there is no optimal strategy for all applications and circumstances. Different spectrum detection assumes that cooperation between a few spatially disseminated intellectual customers is required to moderate neighbourhood spectrum detection strategies problems. Different cognitive radios can therefore perform spectrum detection in an expected and helpful manner. A few late works have shown that helpful spectrum detection in blurring channels can incredibly increase the probability of recognition. Agreeable spectrum detection procedures can be sorted into two key groups in view of the methods used by psychological clients to exchange their detecting data: unified and disseminated.

6.1. Centralized Cooperative Spectrum Sensing
In this class, all psychological clients sense a band of interest using the similar or various methods of detection and ultimately submit to a focal unit their neighbourhood choices, either difficult or sensitive, via a control channel. Consequently, with regard to the current state of the PU, all knowledge is melded to show up at one last or worldwide preference. Curiously, it is possible to organise concentrated, helpful spectrum detection into both coherent and relevant forms. The
helpful system is viewed as an oriented model if the combined cycle is conducted at a focal base station. Then again there is no base station in cognitive radio specially named organisations (CRAHNs), and one of the participating hubs promotes the synchronisation and combination steps.

6.2. Distributed Cooperative Spectrum Sensing

Instead of relying on a focal FC, psychological hubs exchange data detection and finally meet in the wake of exchanging data a few times to decide on one worldwide option. In view of the fact that their base does not require any framework, sufficient suitable spectrum detection frameworks will not cost precisely distinct models. In suitable spectrum detection, a few calculations were used to promote the detected information at different intellectual hubs. A discrete-time tattle convention has been used in which, during a particular time allotment, an optional client senses a band of interest and later sends its impressions to a bunch of neighbouring psychological clients chosen aimlessly. Essentially, a dispersal scheme has also been proposed to detect data between intellectual clients, where a small gathering of coordinating psychological clients trades their nearby choices during a particular schedule opening, after which an intellectual client within this gathering sends all information to a randomly chosen neighbour who fills in as the assigned client whenever the assigned client.

7. Challenges And Comparison of Various Sensing Methods

There are various issues that are still a subject area of review with the spectrum detection for CR discussed below:

- **Hardware requirements:** For spectrum detection in a CR system, a high inspection rate (HSR) with enormous specific ranges is required. Quick sign preparation is also a big problem for degrading the detecting time. For this issue, the noise variety evaluation method may be a response to the ideal acceptance of hub plans to enhance handoff and channel evaluation.

- **Hidden PUs Problem:** This problem can be caused by a few components. A common example of this problem is multipath blurring or shadowing seen by the SUs at the time of detection.

- **Spread Spectrum PUs detection:** Spread spectrum flagging recognition is another obstacle for SU hubs to distinguish the existence of PUs. PU uses a larger data transmission for this example, even though the real transfer speed is much slower.

- **Security Issues:** With all technologies, security is a constantly difficult component. CR has some customers who are malicious or childish; they may change its air interface to PUs. The spectrum detecting result is not all around fine for these reasons. The approach to collaboration is more helpless against assaults between cooperation and non-participation, the focused and transmitted models. Fundamental similarities or downside benefit of various detection strategies are: There is no need for any PU sign data in energy identifier-based detection, which is the reason why its plan is free to perplex. It is also used in broad band spectrum detection. The downside is that this sort of detection is proficient in selecting the edge. This technique is wasteful enough to keep away from obstruction with PUs on the off chance that the SNR is poor. Cyclostationary recognition offers favored execution over energy exploration, but its multifaceted nature is more computational and requires longer perception time. The fundamental benefit of organised channel exploration is its improved implementation of identification and less an ideal chance to plan for pickup. The downside of an organized channel recognition strategy is that it needs sufficient information on the highlights of the PUs flagging, such as data transmission, operating recurrence, sort and request for regulation, beat moulding, and edge design. It is a huge technique of force utilisation.
8. Conclusion
The Spectrum is a genuinely useful resource for wireless communication devices and has been a significant topic of research for a very long time. Cognitive radio is a promising advance that allows the detection of spectrum by providing a way to use white spaces for pioneering use of spectrum. Given the difficulties experienced by cognitive radios, the use of the spectrum detection strategy appears to be a critical prerequisite for satisfactory performance in terms of efficient use of the usable spectrum and minimal interference with registered primary users. In this paper, the spectrum potential and spectrum detecting ideas are reconsidered by considering various components of the spectrum space. The modern understanding of spectrum space presents new possibilities and challenges for the identification of spectrum while at the same time taking care of a portion of the conventional problems. In depth, several aspects of the role of spectrum detection are described. Several methods of sensing are studied and collective sensing is seen as a solution to some issues of simple spectrum detection.
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