Abstract— In the context of dense urban development, it is challenging to assess possible risks for buildings and structures being influenced by construction work, especially in the historical part of the city where historical and cultural properties and architectural monuments are located [10-12]. The paper presents observation and test methods to determine criteria of structural assessment of buildings being influenced by construction work. The paper describes the preparatory stage to monitor structural state of the listed buildings of federal importance: the Trinity Monastery and the building of former Commercial School in Tyumen. Vertical and horizontal displacements and tilts, which are measured by geodetic methods and modern geodetic equipment, are the main parameters for structural assessment of buildings being influenced by construction work. To define a set of parameters and to choose the needed measuring methods and tools is an urgent task, which requires practical tests, taking into account the specific features of the building [3-9].
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1. INTRODUCTION

The most significant and interesting monuments of temple architecture are located in the historical part of any ancient city of Russia, and Tyumen is no exception. First, these are the buildings of the Trinity Cathedral, the Church of Peter and Paul, the Rector's Corps, the Church of the Exaltation of the Holy Cross, etc.

Modern buildings and engineering facilities are being built in the immediate vicinity of the listed buildings.

One of the most ambitious projects in the city’s history was launched in 2010 – the construction of the Tura River embankment to strengthen the right bank, landscape and beautify the architectural look of the central part of the city.

The working project of bank protection includes the following technical solutions:

- filling the back wall with imported soil reinforced with geotechnical grid; Reno mattresses and MAK-MAT roll material are placed on the soil;
- making a trench drain from box-shaped gabions;
- using a ready peat-sand mixture with seeds of grass plants which is laid on a pre-installed geogrid to accelerate formation of grass cover.

When choosing the system of bank protection, geotechnical modeling was carried out to study performance of the right bank of the Tura River. This work was carried out by the Institute for North Development of the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences. The results obtained make it possible to determine that stability of the slope is ensured when it is fixed with gabions strengthened with filling in the direction of the river-bed.

The need to carry out geotechnical monitoring was considered at the stages of pre-project and project control of construction works to apply timely checks of the state of buildings under construction and existing facilities.

In 2016, construction work began near the listed buildings of federal importance: Trinity Monastery (Fig. 1) located in 10, Communisticheskaya St. and the building of former Commercial School (Fig. 2), now the building of Industrial University of Tyumen located in 2, Lunacharskogo St.

Fig. 1 Trinity Monastery
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Ultimate limit values of extra settlements, differential settlements and tilts are the main parameters for safe construction work in the immediate vicinity of the buildings under monitoring. These parameters should not be exceeded when performing all types of construction work at the site [1].

Safety of the structures under monitoring is ensured if the following requirements are met:

- The total extra settlements of buildings, relative difference in settlements and tilts must be within the characteristic values depending on the structural state.

- Acceleration of foundation vibrations in the buildings under monitoring should be within the limits of background values measured prior to commencement of basic construction works.

- Gain in the width of crack growth should not exceed 1 mm.

In order to ensure that sitework meets the specified final requirements, the intermediate requirements are needed to be introduced.

The intermediate requirements showing errors in sitework are as follows:

- The total settlement reaches ¾ of the ultimate limit settlement and more.

- Acceleration of ground vibrations which exceed background values.

- Regular gain in the width of crack growth which exceeds 0.5 mm per month.

Monitoring and structural inspection of buildings being influenced by construction work are carried out to control satisfaction of the given requirements.

The monitoring mode can be regular or urgent depending on the impacts on heritage properties.

As a rule, the first cycle of monitoring should be preceded by structural inspection of heritage properties and surrounding buildings.

### II. RESULTS

When surveying the area around the Monastery and the building of Commercial School, the specialists from NGO "TyumGASU" found out defects caused by differential settlements, slope processes and the dynamic impacts due to immersion of sheet piles and construction of a pile field in strengthening and improving the slope of the right bank of the Tura River [2].

Due to the high historical value of the Trinity Monastery and the building of Commercial School, it was preliminary determined that the buildings under survey were in a limited operating condition; the 3rd category of the structural state of all buildings was adopted in accordance with GOST R 56198-2014.

The intervals between the cycles of monitoring and normative values of the ultimate additional deformations of foundations for the 3rd category of structural state of the listed buildings of federal importance are presented in Table 1 [14].

| Parameters of monitoring                                                                 | Value of the parameter of monitoring |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Maximum interval between the cycles of the standard mode of monitoring the state of buildings, structures and adjacent soils | Biennially                           |
| Maximum interval between the cycles of the permanent standard mode of monitoring the temperature-humidity regime and ecological state | Annually |
| Limit additional deformations of foundations:                                           |                                     |
| Relative difference in settlements                                                      | 0.0004                              |
| Maximum settlement, cm                                                                  | 0.5                                 |
| Curvature of the foundation footing, 1 / m                                              | 3x10^-5                             |

Periodic surveys are performed to update the data on the structural state of buildings. The surveys aim at:

- analysis of archival documents;
- visual examination;
- inspection of stone structures;
- inspection of wooden structures;
- evaluation of brickwork strength;
- evaluation of water content in walls;
- inspection of foundations;
- The following structures are subject to inspection:
  - foundation soils, foundations;
  - walls, columns, pillars;
  - slabs and surfaces.

When performing construction and installation works in the immediate vicinity of buildings and structures, namely historical and cultural properties, excessive deformations must be eliminated in buildings being influenced by construction work [1]. For this purpose, prior to commencement of
construction work, a geotechnical monitoring system should be created to ensure safety for buildings and structures being influenced by construction work [13].

The system of geotechnical monitoring must consist of a network of geotechnical equipment designed to perform manual or automated monitoring of the structural state of buildings. The geotechnical monitoring system aims at:

- considering the excesses of the criteria for safe construction work;
- analysis of the causes of excesses.

Since January 2017, the specialists from NGO "TyumGASU" have been monitoring the structural state of the Trinity Monastery and the building of former Commercial School. The procedure consists of the following stages:

1. Preparatory stage.
2. Main (working) stage.

The paper deals with the preparatory stage which includes:

a) installation of geodetic marks, crack meters and reflective marks in accordance with the developed and approved schemes; drilling of piezometric wells;

b) recording of "zero" readings of the installed equipment;

c) recording of level values of background vibrations resulting from transport and other sources at the site;

d) drawing up a technical statement (report) [2].

Geodetic marks are installed in the base course of buildings and structures to monitor extra settlements.

As a rule, settlement marks, spaced at 15 m, are installed along the perimeter of buildings and structures at the points of internal walls abutment and in areas of unfavorable engineering and geological conditions. It is allowed to use steel bolts which are fixed in the walls in pre-made holes, e.g. with epoxy adhesive or dowels which are firmly embedded in the masonry (Fig. 3).

Ninety geodetic settlement marks and seventy reflective marks were installed at the listed buildings and sections of bank protection structures of the embankment. Schematic illustrations of geodesic settlement marks and reflective marks are given in Figures 4 and 5.

Fig. 4. Schematic illustration of geodesic settlement marks at the Trinity Monastery

Fig. 4 shows the objects of monitoring: 1 - the Trinity Cathedral; 2 - the Monastery walls; 3 - the Rector's Corps; 4 - the Church of Peter and Paul; 5 - bank protection structures of the embankment.

Fig. 5. Schematic illustration of geodetic settlement marks at the Commercial School

Fig. 5 shows the following objects of monitoring: 5 – the building of Commercial School; 6 - bank protection structures of the embankment.

Geodetic monitoring of settlements in the building which was carried out from 26.11.2016 to 26.12.2016 resulted in the
increase of foundation settlement exceeding the maximum allowable settlement for buildings of the 3rd category according to the structural state; this was observed on marks No. 46, №47, №80 and №81.

Observations were made by direct leveling using precision digital batter levels when the marks moved in relation to the initial observation. The difference between the elevation points of zero and subsequent measurement cycles makes it possible to analyze the absolute values of deformations and rate of their variations. The measurements with LeicaDNA03 batter level incorporating two invar three-meter rails were made at the Trinity Monastery and the building of former Commercial School (Fig. 6).

Width of crack growth on the vertical and horizontal axes were observed using Mayak-ZI-2.2 crack meter (Fig. 7).

The crack meters were installed at places specified after the inspection of buildings. The scale division of Mayak-ZI-2.2 working surface is 1mm; the range of observations along the X-axis is 15 + 20 mm, along the Y-axis - 10 + 10 mm. A total of 27 crack meters were installed.

When measuring crack width with crack meters for the period from 25.12.2016 to 25.01.2017, gain over 1 mm in the growth of crack width was revealed during the entire period of observation at crack meters No. 16 and 21.

To control extra tilts in the buildings under monitoring, reflective marks were installed on the walls (Fig. 8).

Observations were made with a tachymeter when the marks moved in relation to the initial observation.

When carrying out geodetic measurements of tilts with reflective marks for the period from 25.12.2016 to 25.01.2017, areas with extra tilts exceeding the ultimate limit values were revealed.

Hydrogeological monitoring is being performed at the area of the Trinity Monastery due to the unfavorable geotechnical conditions caused by: natural slope processes, regular breakages at the heating and water supply networks (the area is saturated with underground communications), unregulated discharge of utilities and regulated drainage of storm water which reduce the slope stability.

To monitor hydrogeological conditions, some piezometers were installed: three – at the area of the Trinity Monastery and two – at the area of the building of former Commercial School (Fig. 9, 10).

Variations in the groundwater level in the wells are determined with special water level indicators (Fig.11).
To record ground vibrations under dynamic effects from transport and other sources, a digital 1-class four-channel vibrometer - SVAN 948 (Fig. 12, a) and accelerometer - D3143M1 (Fig. 12, b) are used for simultaneous measurement of vibrations along X, Y and Z axes.

Instrumental monitoring of the seismic effect is performed applying a multichannel method. This means that oscillations are recorded simultaneously at several points and several components. Thus, the seismogram registers oscillations on several tracks. This method makes it possible to compare ground movement at different zones in conjunction with building motion.

III. CONCLUSION

Preservation of historical, cultural and architectural monuments is undoubtedly one of the priorities of state policy. On the other part, improvement and development of the city provide for renovation of existing buildings and construction of new structures and engineering facilities. Thus, the need to monitor structural state of historical and cultural heritage sites becomes particularly important. Timely measures to identify and assess the influence of new construction on cultural heritage sites make it possible to preserve these buildings and improve urban environment.

The described observation and test methods help determine criteria for structural assessment of buildings being influenced by construction work.

The given methods make it possible to determine values of deformations, to assess structural state of the buildings and to take appropriate measures to prevent their further deterioration.
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