The Sufism of Abū al-Qāsim Al-Qusyairī

MA Achlami HS¹ Siti Huzaimah²

¹ Faculty of Dakwah and Islamic Communication, Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia
² Faculty of Usuluddin and Religious Studies, Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan Lampung Bandar Lampung, Indonesia
*Corresponding author. Email: ma.achlami@radenintan.ac.id

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this article is to explain concepts of Sufism by Abū al-Qāsim al-Qusyairī, by focusing on explaining his thoughts. It uses qualitative methods based on historical social approaches. By the time of al-Qusyairī’s life (376 H / 986 M-465 H / 1073 AD), Sufism was considered to have been deviated from the Qur’an, the hadith, and the religious tradition of the earlier generation of pious Muslims (salaf al-shālih), especially after the rise of the concept of ittihād (union) and hulūl (confluence) along with its syahāt (bewildered utterance). Besides, some Sufism tends to shy away from sharia (Islamic jurisprudence). Al-Qusyairī is a Sufi figure and thinker who wants to return the teachings of Sufism to the Qur’an, the hadith, and religious traditions of the salaf al shālih, and especially to the assumed authentic theology (tawhid) of the Sunni school (Ahl al-Sunnah aw al-Jama’ah). This paper concludes that the teachings of Sufism by Al-Qusyairī, as stated in his work "al-Risālah al-Qusayriyyah fi l’Im al-Tashawwuf", likely focus on introducing terms of Sufism such as maqāmāt (stations), al-ahwāl (states), al-karāmāt (grace), and on classical Sufi figures.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Human beings are made up of two aspects: physical and spiritual entities, as it is stated by the Qur’an in its explanation about the creation of human. The physical aspect is linked to elements of earth, and the spiritual is directly to God. What is directly linked to God is considered to possess a sort of nobility. The nobility of a human’s heart, is the source of inner life and the center for the whole orchestration of Islam as religion. If Islam is a human’s body, Sufism is its heart. That is why defenders of Sufism argue that Sufism is the heart of Islam.[1] The development of Sufism in Islam has undergone several phases. Firstly, it is called as zuhūd phase (asceticism). This phase began in the first and beginning of the second century of Hijrah, as a reaction to political conflicts in Muslim society after the assassination of the caliph of Uthman ibn Affan and a civil war between Ali and Mu’awiya ibn Abi Sufyan (the Shīffīn war), which resulted to a split in Muslim society. As such has caused many people to avoid getting involved in political issues, seen as detrimental to one’s piety. Apart from that, it was also a reaction to the lavish and exaggerated lifestyle of some of the rulers and authorities of the Umayyad dynasty, after the expansion of Islam to Syria, Egypt, Mesopotamia, and Persia.[2] Secondly, the phase in which the appearance of two distinct schools of Sufism in the third and fourth centuries Hijrah. They are, firstly, the school of sunnī Sufism. Followers of this school enclosed their Sufism closely to the Qur’an and the Sunnah, and followed the practice of al-Salaf al-Shālih. Secondly, the school of semi-philosophical Sufism. Figures and followers of this school tend to express syahāt (bewildered utterance), and they recognized various maqāmāt (stations) of a spiritual experience, ranging from maqām fānā` (station of disappearance) to maqām hulūl (station of confluence).[3] Thirdly, the phase in which the appearance of a different form and by a different Sufi-philosopher figure. The disappearance of the semi-philosophical Sufism was perhaps due to widespread accusation that the school is at odd with sharia law, and its leaders such as al-Hallāj, because of such accusation, was assassinated. This has in turn led to the prohibition of Sufism of this school, and many people walked away from Sufism. Therefore, Sufism of the fifth century tended to carry out some renewals, that is, by returning its teachings to the Qur’an and the Sunnah. Among the most prominent Sufi figures of this century was Abū al-Qāsim al-Qusyairī, who tried to return the teachings of Sufism to the essence and foundation of Islam (the Qur’an and the Sunnah), through his great work entitled, al-Risālah al-Qusayriyyahfi l’Im al-Tashawwuf. His importance, according to Al-Tahtāzānī,
is due to its attempt of reforming the teachings of Sufism, which was then followed by al-Ghazâlî.[4] Obviously, he was the one who defended Sufism from those who regarded it incompatible with the sharia law, and made it popular again throughout the Islamic world. Because of such roles of al-Qusyairî, this paper attempts to explore his ideas on how to integrate Sufism with sharia law, and to examine the extent to which his Sufistic teachings are shaped by the social conditions in which he lived.

This paper is consisted of three parts. In the beginning, we will explain briefly the biography of al-Qusyairî, and after that explore the socio-political and intellectual conditions that become the backgrounds of his life. In the last part of the paper, we will examine the thoughts of al-Qusyairî and his teachings of Sufism, by putting them in the contexts of his biographical accounts and socio-political backgrounds.

2. SOCIO HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF AL-QUSYAIRÎ’S LIFE

2.1. A Short Biography

The full name of al-Qusyairî is “Abd al-Karîm Abû al-Qâsim al-Qusyairî. His lineage was Abû al-Karîm ibn Hawâzin ibn Abû Al-Mulkbin Thalhuh Ibn Muhammad. He was born in the month of Rabbi al-Awwal (unspecified date), 376 H / 986 AD, in Astawâ, and had passed away on Sunday morning, 16th Rabî’ al-Akhir, 465 H / 1073 AD, in Naghabur, the capital of Khurasan, at the age of 87.[5, 6] Furthermore, al-Qusyairî had received a number of titles, such as al-Imâm, al-Ustdâd al-Shaykh, Zain al-Islâm, al-Jâmi’ ba‘in al-Syari‘âhwa al-Haqqâgh, and many more. These titles indicate the high position of al-Qusyairî in his society, especially in relation to his expertise in Islamic knowledge and Sufism.[5]

When al-Qusyairî was growing up, he migrated to the Nishapur area, one of the centers of science in Khurasan at that time. It was here that he met Abû ‘Alî al-Daqqâq, a famous Sufi master. Al-Qusyairî always attended his teacher’s lecture, and from that teacher, he learned and deepened his knowledge about Sufism. Yet, before pursuing the Sufism lesson, his teacher urged him to study shârâ. Therefore, al-Qusyairî studied Islamic jurisprudence (fiqh) with a fiqh scholar, Abû Bakr Muhammad Ibn Abû Bakr al-Thîsî, and studied the science of kalâm (theology) and ushul fiqh (basic principles of Islamic jurisprudence) to Abû Bakr Ibn Fârûq. Besides, he also studied ushuluddîn (sciences of basic religion) to Abû Ishâq al-Farayînî, especially about aqidah (theology) of the school of Imam Abû al-Hasan al-Asy’ârî.[5]

In the area of Islamic jurisprudence (fiqh), al-Qusyairî was the follower of al-Syâfi‘î, referring to a fiqh school founded by Al-Imâm Muhammad Ibn Idrîs al-Syâfi‘î. In the area of theology, he was an adherent of al-Asy’ârî, referring to Al-Imâm Abû al-Hasan al-Asy’ârî. It means that al-Qusyairî is one of the strongest defenders of the Abû al-Sunnah wa al-Jamâ’ah theology (the Sunni school), and he was an opponent of the Mu’tazilah and Syâhî (the Shi’ite) school.[3] As a result of his confrontation with both the Mu’tazilah dan Syî‘ah schools, al-Qusyairî was put pressure and was put in jail by Tughril Bek for the report of al-Kunduri, a salûk prime minister who belonged to Mu’tazilah theology.

2.2. Political and Intellectual Conditions

Al-Qusyairî spent much of his life in Nishapur. At that time, Nishapur was under the rule of the Buwaihi Dynasty. This dynasty was ruling in the capital of Bani Abbas, Baghdad, from 915 M-1055.M/334 H-447.H.[7] This dynasty was a loyal adherent to the Shi’ite Islam. In the field of theology, Shi’ite has the same basic understanding as that of the Mutazilah school. Therefore, it can be concluded that during the reign of the Buwaihi Dynasty, the Mu’tazilah theology had the opportunity to develop again, although they had been outclassed by the popularity of the al-Asy’ârî school of theology (Abî al-Sunnahwa al-Jamâ’ah).[8]

The religious mission of Baghdad’s ruling government, during the Buwaihi era, seemed to have diminished. This is due to the difference between the madzhab (school) of the caliphs and the amirs (local governors) of the Buwaihi dynasty. Nevertheless, the Buwaihi dynasty did not completely destroy the remnant of Sunni influences in Baghdad from the Abbasid era. This is because the Buwaihi is loyal adherents of the Zaidiyah Shiite school, a sub-school in the Shiites that recognizes the leadership of the caliphs Abu Bakr, Umar, and Utsman. These caliphs were considered as majdhal leaders, not afîdal leaders among the Shiite Muslims.[9]

However, rulers of the Buwaihi dynasty only recognized the theology school of the caliphs of Baghdad. While in practice, they enforced the practice of Shiite ritual ceremonies inside the palace. As a way to unite the two dynasties, it was decided that the 10th of Muharram (al-‘Asyûra) is a day of national mourning to commemorate the death of Imam Hussein as a syâhid (martyr).[10] Also, The Buwaihi dynasty forbade mentioning the name of the caliph of the Abbasid dynasty in the Friday sermon, and it was replaced by the name of the caliph of the Buwaihi dynasty.[10]

One positive fact about the period of the Buwaihi dynasty in Baghdad was that the development of science had progressed quite rapidly, compared to the previous period.[11] During the Buwaihi period, there were cultural and science centers, which become the center of attention among the scholars, such as Bashrah and Kufah. But, one of the most important in the field of philosophy is the emergence of philosophy studies centers. For example, Bashrah had become the center of the congregation of Ibn Khwân al-Shafa‘î, a group of renowned philosophers of the fourth century Hijra.[12] They loyally adhered to the Shiite theology and received a great honor from the Buwaihi dynasty.
If before the third century Hijra, the use of the term “the zuhhād community” was widespread in society, but in the third and fourth centuries of Hijra, the term was not used anymore. The more commonly used term were now the Sufi people. They liked to develop and discuss previously unknown concepts in Sufism. While many of these Sufis were still referring to the Qur’an and the Sunnah for their foundation of Sufistic thoughts, a few of them have walked away from both texts, some even completely ignored the rules the concept of sharia law. Thus in the fifth century, they made a renewal of returning Sufism to the Qur’an and the Sunnah, and re-combining between Sufism and sharia. And it is Al-Qusayrī who had been in the front line of this renewal movement in Sufism. There is an ample reason why al-Qusayrī persistently sought to return the teachings of Sufism to the fundamentals of the Qur’an and the Sunnah, or to combine Sufism and Shari'a together. This is because he was a loyal follower of the Sunni school. As a loyal adherent of the Sunni, his main ambition is to return the fundamental teachings of Sufism, into Sufism of the Sunni, which is different from that of the Shiite school. Not the least, there were also a tendency among the Sufis to ignore the sharia altogether.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Al-Qusayrī’s Thoughts and Teachings of Sufism

Al-Qusayrī was one of the main Sufī figures in the fifth century Hijra. The position of al-Qusayrī is very important, especially because of his works entitled “al-Risālah al-Qusayrīyyah” which discussed Sufi figures and their teachings of the Sunni school living in the third and fourth centuries Hijra. This means the book has conserved the teachings of Sufism at that time, both from the theoretical and practical aspects. The teachings of Sufism of al-Qusayrī tend to return Sufism to the fundamentals of the Sunni school, namely the Qur’an, the Sunnah, and the tradition of the early generation of Muslim scholars ( safaf al-shālih). This is because the teachings of ittihād, ha’il, and expressions of syahhāt, had been developed widely by that time. According to Ibn Taymiyyah as quoted by Layli Mansur, that the book of al-Risālah by al-Qusayrī was compiled to reject influences of the teachings of hu’il and ittihād, which were considered detrimental to Islam.[12] According to al-Qusayrī, the teachings of the Sufism must be based on a true understanding of tawhid (the belief in God’s oneness), so that Sufism can be freed from heresy and superstitions.[5] Al-Qusayrī states that the true tawhid is a tawhid that is based on the Qur’an and the Sunnah. He also states that a tawhid that is not justified by the Qur’an and the Sunnah is a denial of Allah the God, and as such is not tawhid. Every ma‘rifah (act of seeing) to God that is not followed by humility and sincerity of the soul, is false and thus, as such is not ma‘rifah.[3] Al-Qusayrī's statement above implicitly shows his rejection to the Syathahī Sufi, or a Sufi whose speaking is claimed to be a combination between the divine and human characters, or widely know as syathahāt. This claim is considered by al-Qusayrī as an understanding that contradicts the true tawhid. Moreover, expression of an extreme syahhāt is often (miss)understood as the confluence between the Sufi’s soul and the God in the Sufi’s physical body, such as what was popularly expressed by al-Hallaj; “Ana al-Haqq” (I am al-Haqq, or God), and that of AbūYazīd’s: Subhān, Subhānī, ma A’zhamâ Sya’ni” (glory to me, glory to me, my shape is the greatest).[2] To an extent, the syahhāt expression, esoterically, can be understood as an expression of the unconscious Sufi, triggered by the powerful and overwhelming divine experience. Yet, al-Qusayrī opted to understand the syahhāt from a theological and sharia point of view.

In his al-Risālat al-Qusayrīyyah fi ‘ilm al-Tashawwuf, al-Qusayrī has explained in details his thoughts on the teachings of Sufism. They are examined as follows:

3.1.1. The first:

As a preface, al-Qusayrī presented a topic on the basics of faith, which is called tawhid, arguing that the true tawhid is fundamental to the correctness of the teachings of the Sufi. In this section, al-Qusayrī explains the concept of ma‘rifah, the attribute of God, on faith, and others.[5] Additionally, al-Qusayrī states that to reach ma‘rifah, the first obligation of a servant is to have a correct tawhid, that is a tawhid that does not have any elements of bid’ah (heresy).[13] A sanctity of a worship is only possible to achieve through the true tawhid.[5] This is the kind of tawhid that becomes the foundation of the Sufism teachings among the Sunni Sufi masters.[5] Ma‘rifah means seeing the God, here Allah: that Allah is the only One. The One who is neither born or giving birth, has neither a match or a spare, has neither resemblance, or can be resembled, has neither a shape or a similarity, and is not similar to anything. To know God, a servant must know His creation, how he was created: then he knows the Creator. People who do not know the makhlūq (creature), will never know the khāliq (creator). This statement is a reflection of a proverb “Who does not know himself, will not be able to know his Lord”.

3.1.2. The Second:

A discussion of terms commonly used in the Sufism (mushthahāhāt al-tashawwuf), such as, al-Waqf, al-Maqām, al-Hāl, al-Qabāh wa al-Basth, al-Haibah wa al-Uns, al-Tawājud wa al-Wujūd, al-Jam’ wa al-Farq, al-Fanā` wa al-Baqā’, al-Ghabah wa al-Hudhār, al-
Shawā‘ wa al-Sakr, al-Dzauq wa al-Syarh, al-Mahwū wa al-Iṣbat, al-Sīrū wa al-Tajallī, etc.

Therefore, Al-Qusayrī explained the terms of the Sufism in detail in 27 words by commenting on the Sufis. The terms commonly used by the Sufis are presented by al-Qusayrī in a complete and quite comprehensive form. These terms seem rather difficult to be interpreted because they are of Sufi expressions having derived from their spiritual experience. It seems that al-Qusayrī wanted to explain that these Sufi-specific terms are to be known and understood by all those who want to deepen the teachings of Sufism. If someone wants to deepen the teachings of Sufi, he should know and understand the terms of Sufism for the first. Knowing the terms of Sufism will make it easier to learn the teachings of Sufism.

What is interesting in his description about the terms popular in Sufism presented is the part of "al-Syarī'ah wa al-Haṣāfah" (shari’a and essence). Al-Qusayrī does emphasize that the sharia and the haqīqa (reality, here, referring to Sufism) are inseparable. The practice of sharia law must reach its reality, and the achievement of reality cannot leave its shari’a.[14] Al-Qusayrī states that every sharia that is not reinforced by the reality, it will not be accepted (ghair maqbūl), whereas a reality that is not supported by sharia will be useless (ghair al-mahshūl).[14] This explanation is interesting because for many Sufis assuming that their maqam (station) had reached the reality, shari’a is no longer important for them, while at the same time some of the jurists formally emphasized the fulfillment of shari’a aspects (of a worship) rather than an achievement of the reality. Yet, for al-Qusayrī, both the sharia and the reality are strengthening each other.

3.1.3. The Third:

A discussion of the maqāmāt and the path that a person must undertake, namely al-Taubah, al-Mujāhadah, al-Khalwah wa al-'Uzlah, al-Taqṿā, al-Wara’, al-Zuhd, al-Shamt, al-Khaṭf, al-Rajā’, al-Huzn, al-Ju ‘aTark al-Syavah, al-Khūṣyaa’ wa al-Tawādhū’, al-Khaṭfat al-Nafs, al-Ghaibah, al-Qanā’ah, al-Tawakkul, al-Synkru, etc. Al-Qusayrī describes these maqāmāt as a collection of Sufi paths that a sālik (Sufi traveler) should pass in order to become closer to God (tqarrab ila Allāh). Here, Al-Qusayrī seems to make a suggestion that it is a must for a sālik to walk a long journey in order to reach the reality as to be as close as possible to God.[5]

In this paper, the writer wishes to present some of the maqāmāt stated by al-Qusayrī. Almost all literature of Sufism places al-Taubah (repentance) as the first station,[15] as it is according to al-Qusayrī in his work “al-Risālat al-Qusayriyyah fī Ilm al-Tashhawif”.[5] It seems that repentance is the first way for a sālik to be closer to God. Repentance is seen as a way to purify a soul from sin. A sālik must purify the soul from sin in order to make it holy. This is because the most holy essence, or the God, can only be approached by a holy soul of His servant. Thus the next station offered by al-Qusayrī is muḥāhadah. It is a serious effort made by a sālik in both guarding and restraining his worldly desire from going ashtray and committing wrongdoings. This station is also closely related to an effort of purifying the soul. And the next station discussed by al-Qusayrī is al-Khalwah wa al-'Uzlah (contemplation and self-isolation). It means that to retreat and get away from the crowd. The aim of this station is to avoid a sālik from bad environmental influences and to keep him/herself only with God, hoping for an enlightenment.

The essence of all stations presented by al-Qusayrī is that a sālik must always maintain the purity of his or her soul, keep striving to be obedient and devout, and has admirable qualities.

3.1.4. The Fourth:

Talking about al-ahwāl (mental conditions) and al-kaorrhāt (miracles), this section discusses the kaorrhāt of guardians embodied in the Qur'an and the Sunnah, the definition of guardians, maintenance of a guardian, the fear of guardians of Allah, seeing Allah with bashirah (heart’s eyes), and many more. Al-Qusayrī did not explain the al-Ahwāl as thoroughly as when he did with other terms in Sufism and maqāmāt. Instead, he was quite thorough when discussing about the guardians, shaykh, and the disciplines. Thus, it seems that Al-Qusayrī wants to emphasize the quality of mental conditions and the privileges that have been granted by Allah to the saints, shaykh, and the disciples. The mental conditions and privileges that they get are of course the abundance of God’s gifts through the muḥāhadah and riyyādhah that they did.

If the maqāmāt is meant as an attempt by a sālik to ascend up the stairs to Allah, the station of ahwāl is commonly called taraqqi (or ascending) with kasb (effort) that requires struggle and resistance. So ahwāl is the inner strength which is only granted by Allah through His grace (waḥbiyyah), and as such only come with a sense of tanazzul, or a feeling by a Sufi traveler of his or her closeness to the God.

3.1.5. The Fifth:

This part is a discussion about a number of famous figures in Sufism, such as Abdullah al-Abhuri, Ruwainimn Ahmad, Ibrahim ibn Adham, Ali Al-Ashbahani, Hatim al-Asham, Abu Sa “id bin al-A” rabi, Abu al-Khaīr al-Aqtha Ahmad, Ahmad al-Anthakī, Abu Hamzah al-Bazzār, Abu „Ubaid al-Bisri, Abu Yazid al-Busthamī, and many other figures, number of which is amounted to 83. This part focused on biographies of these figures and their prominent characters. Apparently al-Qusayrī also wanted to introduce these Sufi figures to people who are beginner in and want to learn Sufism. Therefore, the figures that he presented here are those Sufis are similar to him, namely the Sunni Sufi masters.
4. CONCLUSION

Referring to the explanations above, it can be concluded that, Al-Qusyairī is at once a Sufi master and scholar. As a Sufi master he wanted to return the teachings of Sufism to the fundamentals of the Sunni school, they are, the Qur'an, the Sunnah, and traditions of the earliest generation of devout Muslim scholars, salaf al-shālih. This is even crucial when it comes to tawhid: it must be the tawhid of the Sunni school theology. This research finds out that, as a Sufi scholar, al-Qusyairī wants to introduce basic terms and teachings of Sufism to those who are not familiar with, yet want to study Sufism. Thus, his book, al-Risālah al-Qusyairīyyah fi ‘ilm al-Tashawwuf, focuses on discussing specific terms in Sufism, on maqāmāt, ahwāl, on karāmāt, and on classical Sufi figures.

REFERENCES

[1] K. A. Noer, “Tasawuf Dalam Peradaban Islam: Apresiasi dan Kritik,” Ulumuna, vol. 10, no. 2, 2006.

[2] Harun Nasution, Falsafat Dan Mistisisme Dalam Islam. Jakarta: Bulan Bintang, 1973.

[3] Abū al-Wafā’ al-Ghunaimī al-Taftāzānī, Al-Madkhal Ilā al-Tashawwuf al-Islāmī. Bandung: Pustaka, 1985.

[4] Ghallab, No Title. .

[5] A. al-Karīm al-Qusyairī, Al-Risālah al-Qusyairīyyah Fī ‘ilm al-Tashawwuf. Mesir: Dār al-Khair, n.d.

[6] A. Listiana, “Menimbang Teologi Kaum Sufi Menurut Al-Qusyairī Dalam Kitab Al-Risalah al-Qusyairiyah,” KALAM, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 201–216, 2013, doi: 10.24042/klm.v7i1.441.

[7] S. W. Muir, The Chaliphate: Its Rise, Decline and Fall. New York: AMS Press, 1975.

[8] M.A. Shaban, Islamic History, A New Interpretation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1981.

[9] Harun Nasution, Islam Ditinjau Dari Berbagai Aspeknya. Jakarta: Universitas Indonesia Press, 1978.

[10] J. Zaidan, History of Islamic Civilization. New Delhi: Kitab Bhavan, 1981.

[11] Ahmad Amin, Zhuhr al-Islām. Kairo: Maktabah al-Nahdhah al-Mishriyah, 1967.

[12] A. Amin, Dluha al-Islam. Beirut: Dar al-Kitab al-’Arabī, 1980.

[13] M. Arifin, “Landasan Pendidikan Spiritual Abu al-Qasim al-Qusyairī (W. 465/1072),” MIQOT J. Ilmu-Ilmu Keislam., vol. 41, no. 2, 2018, doi: 10.30821/miqot.v41i2.341.

[14] A. Mannan, “Hubungan Antara Syari’at dan Hakikat,” J. Dakwah Tabligh Media Pengkaj. Dakwah Dan Komun. Islam, vol. XXII, 2010.

[15] M. In’amuzzahidin, “Taubat dan Istighfar Dalam Hadis Nabi: Sebuah Kajian Tematik,” Riwayah J. Studi Hadis, vol. 1, no. 1, 2015, doi: 10.21043/riwayah.v1i1.1234.