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Abstract We prove that for the Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker metric, the field equations of any generic gravity theory in arbitrary dimensions are of the perfect fluid type. The cases of general Lovelock and $F(R,G)$ theories are given as examples.

1 Introduction

The Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) metric is the most known and most studied metric in General Relativity (GR). FLRW metric is mainly used to describe the universe as a homogeneous isotropic fluid distribution [1–5]. For inhomogeneous cosmological solutions, see for example [6–8]. On the other hand, current cosmological observations indicate that our universe is undergoing an accelerating expansion phase. The origin of this accelerating expansion still remains an open question in cosmology. Several approaches for explaining the current accelerated expanding phase have been proposed in the literature such as introducing cosmological constant [9], dynamical dark energy models and modified theories of gravity [10–13]. Amongst the latter, higher order curvature corrections to Einstein’s field equations have been considered by several authors [14–17]. In the context of modified theories, some attempts for a geometric interpretation of the dark side of the universe as a perfect fluid have been done [18–23] but the picture is not complete yet. In this work, we put one step forward to prove that the perfect fluid from of the dark component of the Universe is true for any generic modified theory of gravity. A generic gravity theory derivable from a variational principle can be given by the action

$$I = \int d^Dx \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} (R - 2\Lambda) + \mathcal{F}(g, \text{Riem, } \nabla\text{Riem, } \nabla\nabla\text{Riem, } \cdots) + \mathcal{L}_M \right),$$

where $g$, Riem, $\nabla$Riem, $\nabla\nabla$Riem, etc in $\mathcal{F}$ denote the spacetime metric, Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives at any order, respectively, and $\mathcal{L}_M$ is the Lagrangian of the matter fields. The function $\mathcal{F}(g, \text{Riem, } \nabla\text{Riem, } \nabla\nabla\text{Riem, } \cdots)$ is the part of the Lagrange function corresponding to higher order couplings, constructed from the metric, the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives. The corresponding field equations are

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} (G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}) + E_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2)$$

Here $E_{\mu\nu}$ is a symmetric divergent free tensor obtained from the variation of $\mathcal{F}(g, \text{Riem, } \nabla\text{Riem, } \nabla\nabla\text{Riem, } \cdots)$ with respect to the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Our treatment, in this work, is to consider this tensor, $E_{\mu\nu}$, as any second rank tensor obtained from the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives at any order. Since the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$ and Ricci scalar $R$ are obtainable from the Riemann tensor we did not consider the function $\mathcal{F}$ depending on explicitly on the Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar. There are some works showed recently that the tensor $E_{\mu\nu}$ takes the perfect fluid form for the FLRW spacetimes when the function $\mathcal{F}$ depends only the Ricci and the Gauss–Bonnet scalars $R$ and $G$ respectively [18,19], as well as the Ricci scalar $R$ and $\Box R$ of any order [20]. In the present work, we prove that the tensor $E_{\mu\nu}$ takes the perfect fluid form for any generic modified gravity theory in the FLRW spacetimes in arbitrary dimensions. We then apply our result to two special cases $\mathcal{F}(R,G)$ and Lovelock theory in any dimension $D$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 2, we give the covariant description of D-dimensional FLRW metric and derive all the corresponding geometrical quantities.
In Sect. 3, we introduce the closed FLRW-tensor algebra by proving that all the geometrical quantities for FLRW spacetimes, the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives at any order, are expressed in terms of the metric tensor \( g_{\mu \nu} \) and the product of Lovelock and \( \mathcal{F}(R, \mathcal{G}) \) theories, respectively. Section 6 is devoted to our concluding remarks.

2 Covariant description of the FLRW spacetimes in D-dimensions

We begin with the definition of the \( D \)-dimensional FLRW spacetime.

**Definition 1** The \( D \)-dimensional FLRW spacetime is defined with the following metric

\[
g_{\mu \nu} = -u_\mu u_\nu + a^2 h_{\mu \nu},
\]

where \( x^\mu = (t, x^i), \mu, \nu = 0, \ldots, D-1, a = a(t), u_\mu = \delta_\mu^0 \), and \( h_{\mu \nu} \) reads as

\[
h_{\mu \nu} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \\
0 & & & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( h_{ij} = h_{ij}(x^\alpha) \) with \( i, j = 1, \ldots, D-1 \) is the metric of a space of constant curvature \( k \).

One can verify

\[
u_\mu h_{\mu \nu} = u_\mu h^{\mu \nu} = 0,
\]

\[
h^\alpha_\alpha = h^{\mu \alpha} h_{\alpha \nu} = \delta^\mu_\alpha + u^\mu u_\nu.
\]

The corresponding Christoffel symbols to the metric (3) can be obtained as

\[
\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha \beta} = \gamma^\mu_{\alpha \beta} - a \dot{a} h_{\alpha \beta} + H \left( 2u_\alpha u_\beta u_\gamma + u_\beta \delta^\mu_{\alpha} + u_\alpha \delta^\mu_{\beta} \right),
\]

where the dot sign represents the derivative with respect to time \( t \), \( H = \dot{a}/a \) is the Hubble parameter and \( \gamma^\mu_{\alpha \beta} \) is defined as

\[
\gamma^\mu_{\alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{2} a^2 h^{\mu \gamma} \left( h_{\gamma \alpha, \beta} + h_{\gamma \beta, \alpha} - h_{\alpha \beta, \gamma} \right).
\]

One can also prove the following properties for \( u_\alpha \) and \( h_{\alpha \beta} \)

\[
u_\mu h^{\mu \nu}_{\alpha \beta} = 0 = u_\mu \gamma_{\alpha \beta},
\]

\[
\nabla_\mu u_\beta = -\dot{a} \dot{a} h_{\alpha \beta} = -H \left( \gamma_{\alpha \beta} + u_\alpha u_\beta \right),
\]

\[
\nabla_\nu h_{\alpha \beta} = -H \left( 2u_\gamma h_{\gamma \alpha} + u_\alpha h_{\gamma \beta} + u_\beta h_{\gamma \alpha} \right)
+ \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \left( 2u_\gamma g_{\alpha \beta} + u_\beta g_{\gamma \alpha} + u_\alpha g_{\gamma \beta} + 4u_\gamma u_\beta u_\gamma \right).
\]

Using the Christoffel symbols (6), one can find the components of the Riemann curvature tensor as

\[
\begin{align}
R^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} &= \partial_\mu \Gamma^{\nu \alpha \beta} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^{\mu \alpha \beta} + \Gamma^{\mu \gamma \alpha} \Gamma^{\nu \beta \gamma} - \Gamma^{\mu \gamma \beta} \Gamma^{\nu \alpha \gamma}, \\
R^{\mu \nu} &= 2H^2 \left(u_\beta u_\alpha \delta^\mu_{\beta} - u_\nu u_\alpha \delta^\mu_{\nu} \right) \\
&- \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} (\delta^\mu_{\beta} h_{\nu \gamma} + \delta^\mu_{\gamma} h_{\nu \beta} \\
&- 2u_\beta u_\gamma h_{\nu \alpha} + 2u^\mu u_\nu h_{\alpha \beta})
\end{align}
\]

where the curvature tensor \( R^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \) is defined as

\[
r^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} = \gamma^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} - \gamma^{\mu \nu \beta \alpha} + \gamma^{\mu \beta \alpha \nu} - \gamma^{\mu \beta \nu \alpha}.
\]

On the other hand, the curvature tensor \( r^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \) for a Riemannian space with the constant curvature \( k \) can be written as

\[
r^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} = k \left( h^\mu_{\beta} h_{\alpha \gamma} - h^\mu_{\gamma} h_{\alpha \beta} \right),
\]

where it vanishes if one of \( \mu, \nu, \alpha \) or \( \gamma \) is zero.

Using (3) and (11), the components of the Riemann curvature tensor (9) can be written in the following linear form in terms of the metric \( g_{\mu \nu} \) and the four vector \( u_\mu \)

\[
R_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} = \left( g_{\mu \beta} g_{\nu \alpha} - g_{\mu \alpha} g_{\nu \beta} \right) \rho_1 \\
+ \left( u_\mu \left( g_{\nu \beta} u_\alpha - g_{\nu \alpha} u_\beta \right) - u_\alpha \left( g_{\mu \beta} u_\nu - g_{\mu \nu} u_\beta \right) \right) \rho_2,
\]

where \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_2 \) are defined as

\[
\rho_1 = H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2},
\]

\[
\rho_2 = H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} - \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\dot{H} + \frac{k}{a^2}.
\]

The contractions of the Riemann tensor (12) gives the Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar, respectively, as

\[
R_{\mu \nu} = g_{\mu \nu} \left( (D-1) \rho_1 - \rho_2 \right) + u_\alpha u_\nu \left( D-2 \right) \rho_2,
\]

\[
R = (D-1) (D \rho_1 - 2 \rho_2).
\]

One can also verify that the Weyl tensor defined as

\[
c^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} = R^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} - \frac{1}{D-2} \left( \delta^\mu_{\beta} R_{\alpha \gamma} - \delta^\mu_{\gamma} R_{\alpha \beta} - g_{\alpha \beta} R^\mu_{\gamma} - g_{\alpha \gamma} R^\mu_{\beta} \right)
+ \frac{1}{(D-1)(D-2)} \left( \delta^\mu_{\beta} g_{\gamma \alpha} - \delta^\mu_{\gamma} g_{\alpha \beta} \right) R.
\]

\[\square\] Springer
vanishes for the metric (3). Hence we have the following theorem [24–27]:

**Theorem 2** FLRW spacetimes are conformally flat for all values of spatial curvature $k$ in any dimensions.

### 3 FLRW-tensor algebra

For some spacetimes, such as spherically symmetric and Kerr–Schild–Kundt spacetimes, it is possible to simplify the field equations of any generic gravity theories. To achieve such a simplification we need a closed tensorial algebra. By the use of this tensorial algebra, the goal is to find the most general symmetric and second rank tensor in this tensor algebra. This is the way of finding universal metrics in general relativity [28–30]. In this section, we construct such a closed tensor algebra for the $D$-dimensional FLRW spacetimes and with the use of this tensor algebra we show that the field equations of any generic gravity theory, in $D$-dimensional FLRW spacetimes, have the perfect fluid form.

The geometrical tensors, Riemann and Ricci, are expressed solely by the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the timelike vector $u_\mu$, as

\[ R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} = \left( g_{\mu\beta} g_{\alpha\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \rho_1 + \rho_2 (g_{\alpha\beta} u_\nu - g_{\alpha\nu} u_\beta) - u_\alpha \left( g_{\mu\beta} u_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} u_\beta \right), \]

\[ R_{\nu} = g_{\nu\alpha} \left( (D - 1) \rho_1 - \rho_2 \right) + u_\alpha u_\nu (D - 2) \rho_2, \]

\[ R = (D - 1) (D \rho_1 - 2 \rho_2), \]

where $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ are defined in (13) and (14), respectively. Not only these tensors but also tensors produced by taking the covariant derivatives of them are also represented by the metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and the vector $u_\alpha$. As examples, the covariant derivatives of the four vector $u_\alpha$ and the Ricci tensor $R_{\alpha\beta}$ are given as follows

\[ \nabla_{\alpha} u_{\beta} = -H \left( g_{\alpha\beta} + u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} \right), \]

\[ \nabla_{\gamma} R_{\alpha\beta} = \left( (D - 2) \rho_1 - \rho_2 \right) g_{\alpha\gamma} u_{\beta} \]

\[ - (D - 2) \rho_2 H (g_{\alpha\gamma} u_{\beta} + g_{\beta\gamma} u_{\alpha}) \]

\[ - 2 (D - 2) \rho_2 H u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} u_{\gamma}, \]

and consequently one can obtain

\[ \Box R_{\alpha\beta} = -\left[ \dot{P} + (D - 1) H \dot{P} - 2 Q H^2 \right] g_{\alpha\beta} \]

\[ + 2QDQH^2 - \ddot{Q} + 2(D - 1) H \dot{Q} \]

\[ \Box R = -D \dot{P} - D(D - 1) H \dot{P} + \dot{Q} - 2(D - 1) H \dot{Q}, \]

where $P$ and $Q$ are defined as

\[ P = (D - 1) \rho_1 - \rho_2, \]

\[ Q = (D - 2) \rho_2. \]

The covariant derivative of the Riemann tensor has the similar structure. We have the similar structure for the higher order covariant derivatives of the Riemann and Ricci tensors. They are all expressed as the sum of monomials of the same rank which are products of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the vector $u_{\mu}$.

**Definition 3** A tensor $M$ of rank $k$ denoting the monomials of the product of metric and the vector $u_{\mu}$ is given by

\[ M_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \cdots \mu_k} = g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} g_{\mu_3 \mu_4} \cdots u_{\mu_k - 1} u_{\mu_k} \]

(21)

There are $r$ number of metric tensor and $k - r$ number of vector $u_{\mu}$ in a monomial of rank $k$. Here $r$ is any nonnegative integer.

**Proposition 4** In $D$-dimensional FLRW spacetimes any tensor generated by the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives at any order is the sum of the different monomials of the same rank.

All scalars and functions depend only on the time variable $t$. Hence, the derivative of the Ricci scalar is given by

\[ \nabla_{\gamma} R = \ddot{R} u_{\gamma}. \]

(22)

This is valid also for any scalars obtained from the Riemann and Ricci tensors and their covariant derivatives at any order. Let $\Theta$ be any of such a scalar then

\[ \nabla_{\gamma} \Theta = \ddot{\Theta} u_{\gamma}. \]

(23)

Now we are ready to obtain the most general symmetric and second rank tensor from the contractions of higher order tensors. For illustration, let us consider the following example. If $E_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m}$ is a tensor of rank $m$ obtained from the Ricci and Riemann tensors and their covariant derivatives at any order, then, by Proposition 4, it takes the following form for $m = \text{even integer}$

\[ E_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m} = A_1 g_{a_1 a_2} \cdots g_{a_m - 1 a_m} + A_2 g_{a_1 a_2} \cdots u_{a_m - 1} u_{a_m} + \cdots + A_m u_{a_1} u_{a_2} \cdots u_{a_m}, \]

(24)

and for $m = \text{odd integer}$ as

\[ E_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m} = B_1 g_{a_1 a_2} \cdots g_{a_m - 2 a_m - 1} u_{a_m} \]

\[ + B_2 g_{a_1 a_2} \cdots u_{a_m - 2} u_{a_m - 1} u_{a_m} + \cdots \]

\[ + B_{m - 1} g_{a_1 a_2} u_{a_3} \cdots u_m + B_m u_{a_1} u_{a_2} \cdots u_{a_m}, \]

(25)

where $A_k, B_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \cdots, m$) are functions of the time parameter $t$. All the tensors of rank two obtained by the contraction of such tensors are of our interests. To see the result of such a contraction, let us consider the contraction of the monomials of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the vector $u_{\mu}$. As an example

\[ R_{a_1 a_2} g_{a_3 a_4} u_{a_5} u_{a_6} u_{a_7}, \]

(26)
is a monomial of rank seven. Since \( u_\alpha u^\alpha = -1 \) and \( g_{\mu\nu} \) is the metric tensor then any second rank tensor obtained from the contraction of such two different monomials is either \( g_{\mu\nu} \) or \( u_\mu u_\nu \). Therefore, if \( E_{\alpha\beta\gamma\cdots\delta\epsilon\mu\nu} \) and \( F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_m} \) are two tensors obtained from the Riemann, Ricci tensors and their covariant derivatives at any order, then we have

\[
E_{\alpha\beta\gamma\cdots\delta\epsilon\mu\nu} F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_m} = C_1 g_{\mu\nu} + C_2 u_\mu u_\nu, \tag{27}
\]

where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are some scalars. In the general case the idea of obtaining a symmetric and second rank tensor from the above tensor algebra is similar. The main points are: (1) all tensors are the sum of monomials of the metric tensor and the vector \( u_\mu \), (2) any symmetric tensor of the second rank obtained from the products of monomials is either the metric tensor \( g_{\mu\nu} \) or \( u_\mu u_\nu \), and (3) due to the first two facts any symmetric second rank tensor obtained from the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives at any order will be similar to (27). Then, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 5** Any second rank tensor obtained from the metric tensor, Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor, scalar \( \psi \) and their covariant derivatives at any order is a combination of the metric tensor \( g_{\mu\nu} \) and \( u_\mu u_\nu \), that is

\[
E_{\mu\nu} = A g_{\mu\nu} + B u_\mu u_\nu, \tag{28}
\]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are functions of \( a(t) \) and \( \psi(t) \) and their time derivatives at any order.

Some special cases of this theorem are given in [18–20]. In these references, this theorem was proved for the field equations of special cases \( \mathcal{F}(R, G) \) and \( \mathcal{F}(R, \Box R, \Box^2 R, \cdots) \). In [20], the considered geometry is the generalized FLRW spacetime. We have the following corollary of this theorem:

**Corollary 6** The field equations of any generic gravity theory takes the form

\[
G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} + E_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{29}
\]

where \( G_{\mu\nu} \) is the Einstein tensor, \( \Lambda \) is the cosmological constant, \( T_{\mu\nu} \) is the energy momentum tensor of perfect fluid distribution and \( E_{\mu\nu} \) comes from the higher order curvature terms. Hence the general field equations take the form

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{2} (D - 1) (D - 2) \rho_1 - \Lambda + B - A, \tag{30}
\]

\[
p = (D - 2) \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (D - 1) \rho_1 + \rho_2 \right] + \Lambda + A. \tag{30}
\]

Thus, regarding (30), the interpretation of \( A \) and \( B \) in \( E_{\mu\nu} \) tensor (28) as is follows. \( A \) is the effective pressure, and the combination \( B - A \) is the sum of effective pressure and effective energy density of an effective perfect fluid of the geometric origin. As the applications of the theorem in the following sections, we prove that the field equations of the Einstein-Lovelock theory and a generalized version of Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet theory \( \mathcal{F}(R, G) \), as two examples for general higher order curvature theories, reduce to the perfect fluid form with the energy density \( \rho \) and pressure \( p \) given in (30).

### 4 Einstein–Lovelock theory

The action of the Lovelock theory in \( D \)-dimensions is given by [15]

\[
I = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} (R - 2\Lambda) + \sum_{n=2}^N \alpha_n L_n \right), \tag{31}
\]

where \( \alpha_n \)'s are constants and

\[
L_n = 2^{-n} g^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_2n} v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{2n} R^{v_1 v_2}_{\mu_1 \mu_2} R^{v_3 v_4}_{\mu_3 \mu_4} \cdots R^{v_{2n-1} v_{2n}}_{\mu_{2n-1} \mu_{2n}}. \tag{32}
\]

The corresponding field equations take the form [15]

\[
\frac{1}{\kappa} \left( G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \sum_{n=2}^N \alpha_n (\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu})_n = T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{33}
\]

where the tensor \((\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu})_n\) is given by [16]

\[
(\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu})_n = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} g^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n} \rho^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n} R_{\rho^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n}} R_{\rho^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n}} \cdots R_{\rho^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n}} R_{\rho^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n}}. \tag{34}
\]

In the case of the FLRW metric, \((\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu})_n\) reduces to the following form

\[
(\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu})_n = \frac{n (D - 2)!}{(D - 2n - 1)!} (\rho_1)^{n-1} \left( \rho_2 - \frac{D - 1}{2n} \right) g_{\mu\nu} + \rho_2 u_\mu u_\nu, \tag{35}
\]

representing a linear combination of metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) and \( u_\mu u_\nu \). Then, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 7** The pressure \( p \) and the energy density \( \rho \) in the context of Einstein-Lovelock theory for any \( n \) can be obtained as

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left[ (D - 2) \left( \rho_2 - \frac{1}{2} (D - 1) \rho_1 \right) + \Lambda \right] + \sum_{n=2}^N \alpha_n \frac{n (D - 2)!}{(D - 2n - 1)!} (\rho_1)^{n-1} \left( \rho_2 - \frac{D - 1}{2n} \rho_1 \right), \tag{36}
\]

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left[ (D - 1) (D - 2) \rho_1 - \Lambda \right] + \sum_{n=2}^N \alpha_n \frac{(D - 1)!}{2 (D - 2n - 1)!} (\rho_1)^{n}. \tag{36}
\]
When $k = 0$ and a barotropic equation of state $p = w\rho$ is considered, the Hubble parameter $H$ satisfies the following first order nonlinear ordinary differential equation
\[
\frac{(D - 2)}{2\kappa} + \sum_{n=2}^{N} \tilde{a}_n H^{2n-2} \frac{\dot{H}}{H} = -(w + 1) \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} \left[ \frac{(D - 1)}{2} H^2 - \Lambda \right] \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{N} (D - 1) \tilde{a}_n H^{2n},
\]
(37)
where
\[
\tilde{a}_n = \frac{(D - 2)!}{(D - 2n + 1)!} a_n,
\]
(38)
are the re-scaled coupling constants of the theory. The case $H = \text{constant}$ solves the Eq. (37) for all $D$ and $n$ but the energy density $\rho$ vanishes for this kind of solutions with a linear equation of state. For any $D$ and $n$ it is possible to integrate the above Eq. (37) and the solution is given in the following proposition.

**Proposition 8** Let the polynomial
\[
P_N(H^2) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left[ \frac{(D - 1)}{2} H^2 - \Lambda \right]
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{N} (D - 1) \tilde{a}_n H^{2n},
\]
(39)
of $H^2$ and of the degree $N$ has the $N$ roots $k_i^2$ ($i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$), then the solution of the Eq. (37) is given by
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_i \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{q_i H}{k_i} \right) = t - t_0,
\]
(40)
where $p_i$ and $q_i$ are some constants depending on the constants of the theory.

The exact solutions corresponding to $n = 2$ and as $N \to \infty$ will be discussed in [32].

### 5 Generalized Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet theory

The generalization of the action of the Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet theory is given by
\[
I = \int d^Dx \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} (R - 2\Lambda) + \alpha \mathcal{F}(R, G) \right)
\]
\[
+ \int d^Dx \sqrt{-g} L_M,
\]
(41)
where $G$ represents the Gauss–Bonnet topological invariant, i.e. $G = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\rho\sigma} R^{\rho\sigma} + R^2$. The corresponding field equations read as
\[
\frac{1}{\kappa} (G_{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda g_{\alpha\beta}) + \alpha \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta},
\]
(42)
where the modified Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet tensor $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by
\[
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_R(R, G) g_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{F}_R(R, G) R_{\alpha\beta}
\]
\[
- \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \mathcal{F}_R(R, G) + g_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_R(R, G)
\]
\[
+ 2 \left( R_{\alpha\beta} - 2R^\rho_\alpha R_{\rho\beta} + 2R_{\alpha\rho\beta\rho}\right) R^\rho_\sigma
\]
\[
+ R_{\rho\mu\nu\sigma} R_{\alpha\rho\mu\sigma} \mathcal{F}_G(R, G)
\]
\[
- 2 R (\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta - g_{\alpha\beta} \nabla^2) \mathcal{F}_G(R, G) + 4 \left( R^\mu_\rho \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu + R^\mu_\rho \nabla_\nu \nabla_\rho \right)
\]
\[
+ R_{\rho\mu\nu\sigma} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \mathcal{F}_G(R, G)
\]
\[
- 4 R_{\alpha\beta} \nabla^2 + g_{\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu + R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\rho} \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\rho \mathcal{F}_G(R, G),
\]
(43)
where $\mathcal{F}_R = \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta R}$ and $\mathcal{F}_G = \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta G}$.

One can define a second rank tensor $H_{\alpha\beta}$ as
\[
H_{\alpha\beta} = 2 \left[ R R_{\alpha\beta} - 2R^\rho_\alpha R_{\rho\beta} + 2R_{\alpha\rho\beta\rho} R^\rho_\sigma
\]
\[
+ R_{\rho\mu\nu\sigma} R_{\alpha\rho\mu\sigma} \right] \mathcal{F}_G(R, G),
\]
(44)
which vanishes in four dimensions [31]. Then, $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta}$ can be written in terms of the $H_{\alpha\beta}$ as
\[
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_R(R, G) g_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{F}_R(R, G) R_{\alpha\beta}
\]
\[
- \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \mathcal{F}_R(R, G) + g_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_R(R, G)
\]
\[
+ \left( H_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} G g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \mathcal{F}_G(R, G)
\]
\[
- 2 R (\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta - g_{\alpha\beta} \nabla^2) \mathcal{F}_G(R, G) + 4 \left( R^\mu_\rho \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu + R^\mu_\rho \nabla_\nu \nabla_\rho \right)
\]
\[
+ R_{\rho\mu\nu\sigma} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \mathcal{F}_G(R, G)
\]
\[
- 4 R_{\alpha\beta} \nabla^2 + g_{\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu + R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\rho} \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\rho \mathcal{F}_G(R, G).
\]
(45)

Hence, in four dimensions, $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta}$ (43) reduces to the following form
\[
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_R(R, G) g_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{F}_R(R, G) R_{\alpha\beta}
\]
\[
- \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \mathcal{F}_R(R, G) + g_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_R(R, G)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} G \mathcal{F}_G(R, G) - 2 R \left( \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta - g_{\alpha\beta} \nabla^2 \right) \mathcal{F}_G(R, G)
\]
\[
+ 4 \left( R^\mu_\rho \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu + R^\mu_\rho \nabla_\nu \nabla_\rho \right) \mathcal{F}_G(R, G)
\]
\[
- 4 \left( R_{\alpha\beta} \nabla^2 + g_{\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu + R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\rho} \nabla_\sigma \nabla_\rho \right) \mathcal{F}_G(R, G).
\]
(46)
The geometric tensor $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta}$ (46) corresponds to the tensor $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \left( R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\alpha\beta} \right)$ in equation (4) in [18]. Here one notes that for an arbitrary number of dimensions $D$, the correct form of the geometric fluid is given by (43), and the form
(46) is true only in the specific case: \( D = 4 \). This implies that the results in [18] based on the obtained \( \Sigma_{\alpha\beta} \) tensor in equation (4) is correct only in four dimensions. 

Defining \( \phi = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(R, G) \) and \( \psi = \mathcal{F}_{\beta}(R, G) \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(R, G) &= -H \dot{\phi} g_{\alpha\beta} + (\ddot{\phi} - H \dot{\phi}) u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}, \\
\nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{F}_{\beta}(R, G) &= -H \dot{\psi} g_{\alpha\beta} + (\ddot{\psi} - H \dot{\psi}) u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}, \\
\end{align*}
\]

(47)

where the dot sign represents the derivative with respect to the time coordinate \( t \). Then, we can show that \( \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} \) tensor in (43) takes the perfect fluid form (28) in which \( A \) and \( B \) read as

\[
A = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}(R, G) + ((\text{D} - 1)\rho_{1} - \rho_{2}) \psi - (\text{D} - 2) H \dot{\psi} - \ddot{\psi} + \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G} + 2\rho_{1}(\text{D} - 2) - 2\rho_{2} \right] \rho_{2} - \frac{D - 1}{4} \rho_{1} \right] \phi \\
+ 2(D - 2)(D - 3) \rho_{1}(\text{D} - 2) - 2\rho_{2} H \dot{\phi} \\
+ 2D - 2 - 2(D - 3) \rho_{1} \phi \dot{\phi} \\
B = (D - 2) \rho_{2} \psi + H \dot{\psi} - \ddot{\psi} \\
- 2(D - 2) \rho_{2} \rho_{1}(D - 2) - 2(D - 3) \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \phi \dot{\phi} \\
+ 2D - 2 - 2(D - 3) \rho_{2} H \dot{\phi} \\
+ 2(D - 2)(D - 3) \rho_{1} - 4(D - 1) \rho_{2} \phi \dot{\phi}. \\
\]

(48)

Then for any generic \( \mathcal{F}(R, G) \) gravity theory in \( D \)-dimensions we have the following Proposition.

**Proposition 9** The field equations of the general \( \mathcal{F}(R, G) \) gravity theory are of the perfect fluid type with the energy density \( \rho \) and pressure \( p \) given by

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left[ (D - 1)(D - 2) \rho_{1} - \Lambda \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G} \mathcal{F}(R, G) + (D - 1)(\rho_{2} - \rho_{1}) \alpha \psi + (D - 1) \alpha H \dot{\psi} \\
- \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G} + 2\rho_{1}(D - 2)(D - 3)(D - 4) \left( \rho_{2} - \frac{D - 1}{4} \rho_{1} \right) \right] \alpha \phi \\
+ 2\rho_{1}(D - 1)(D - 2)(D - 3) \alpha H \dot{\phi} + 8\rho_{2}(D - 1) \alpha \phi, \quad (49)
\]

\[
p = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left[ (D - 2) \left( \rho_{2} - \frac{1}{2}(D - 1) \rho_{1} \right) + \Lambda \right] \\
- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G} \mathcal{F}(R, G) + (D - 1)(\rho_{2} - \rho_{1}) \alpha \psi + (D - 2) \alpha H \dot{\psi} - \alpha \ddot{\psi} \\
+ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G} + 2\rho_{1}(D - 2)(D - 3)(D - 4) \left( \rho_{2} - \frac{D - 1}{4} \rho_{1} \right) \right] \alpha \phi \\
- 2(D - 2)(D - 3) \left[ (\rho_{1} - 2) - 2\rho_{2} \right] \alpha H \dot{\phi} \\
- 2(D - 2)(D - 3) \rho_{1} \alpha \phi. \quad (50)
\]

For \( D = 4 \) this proposition is proved in [18]. However, as mentioned before, one notes that the proof in [18] is correct only for \( D = 4 \) due to the identically vanishing property of \( H_{\alpha\beta} \) in four dimensions. For cosmological applications of \( \mathcal{F}(R, G) \) theory, see for example [33].

### 6 Conclusion

In this work considering the FLRW spacetimes we have shown that the contribution of any generic modified gravity theories to the field equations is of the perfect fluid type. As examples, we have studied the field equations of general \( \mathcal{F}(R, G) \) and Lovelock theories. In a forthcoming publication we investigate exact solutions of these equations by assuming certain equations of state.

**Data Availability Statement** This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors’ comment: This is a purely theoretical work, so we have not used any real data.]

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Funded by SCOAP³.

### References

1. S. Weinberg, *Cosmology* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008)
2. S. Weinberg, R.H. Dicke, *Gravitation and cosmology: principles and applications of the general theory of relativity* (Wiley, New York, 1973)
3. P.J.E. Peebles, *Physical cosmology* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2015)
4. J.N. Islam, *An introduction to mathematical cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002)
5. A.A. Coley, G.F.R. Ellis, *Theoretical cosmology*. Class. Quant. Gravity 37, 013001 (2020)
6. A. Krasiński, *Inhomogeneous cosmological models* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997)
7. M. Gürses, H. Heydarzade, *New classes of spherically symmetric, inhomogeneous cosmological models*. Phys. Rev. D 100, 064048 (2019)
8. M. Gürses, H. Heydarzade, B. Tekin, *Superposition of FLRW universes*. JCAP 06, 060 (2020)
9. T. Padmanabhan, *Cosmological constant-the weight of the vacuum*. Phys. Rep. 380, 235 (2003)
10. T. Clifton, P.G. Ferreira, A. Padilla, C. Skordis, *Modified gravity and cosmology*. Phys. Rep. 513, 1 (2012)
11. S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, *Introduction to modified gravity and gravitational alternative for dark energy*. Int. J. Geom. Methods Modern Phys. 4(01), 115 (2007)
12. T.P. Sotiriou, V. Faraoni, *f(R) theories of gravity*. Rev. Mod. Phys. 82(1), 451 (2010)
13. E.J. Copeland, M. Sami, S. Tsujikawa, *Dynamics of dark energy*. Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 15(11), 1753 (2006)
14. S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, *Modified Gauss–Bonnet theory as gravitational alternative for dark energy*. Phys. Lett. B 631(1–2), 1 (2005)
15. D. Lovelock, The Einstein tensor and its generalizations. J. Math. Phys. 12, 498 (1971)
16. A. Casalino, A. Coll’eaux, M. Rinaldi, S. Vicentini, Regularized Lovelock gravity. arXiv:2003.07068v1
17. S. Carloni, J.L. Rosa, J.P.S. Lemos, Cosmology of $f(R, \Box R)$ gravity. Phys. Rev. D 99, 104001 (2019)
18. S. Capozziello, C.A. Mantica, L.G. Molinari, Cosmological perfect fluids in Gauss–Bonnet gravity. Int. J. Geom. Methods Modern Phys. 16, 1950133 (2019)
19. S. Capozziello, C.A. Mantica, L.G. Molinari, Cosmological perfect fluids in $f(R)$ gravity. Int. J. Geom. Methods Modern Phys. 16, 1950008 (2019)
20. S. Capozziello, C.A. Mantica, L.G. Molinari, Cosmological perfect fluids in higher-order gravity. Gen. Relat. Gravity 52, 36 (2020)
21. S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, Dark energy: The Equation of state description versus scalar-tensor or modified gravity. Phys. Lett. B 634, 93 (2006)
22. S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, A. Troisi, Cosmological viability of $f(R)$-gravity as an ideal fluid and its compatibility with a matter dominated phase. Phys. Lett. B 639, 135 (2006)
23. S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, Introduction to modified gravity and gravitational alternative for dark energy. Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. 4, 115 (2007)
24. B.Y. Chen, A simple characterization of generalized Robertson-Walker space-times. Gen. Relat. Gravity 46, 1833 (2014)
25. C.A. Mantica, L.G. Molnari, On the Weyl and Ricci tensors of generalized Robertson–Walker space-times. J. Math. Phys 57, 102502 (2016)
26. C.A. Mantica, L.G. Molnari, U. Chand, A condition for a perfect-fluid space-time to be a generalized Robertson–Walker space-time. J. Math. Phys 57, 022508 (2016)
27. C.A. Monicita, Y.J. Suh, U.C. De, A note on generalized Robertson–Walker spacetimes. Int. J. Geometrical Methods Mod. Phys. 13, 1650079 (2016)
28. M. Gurses, E. Sermutlu, Static-spherically symmetric solutions to Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton field equations 12, 1799 (1995)
29. M. Gürses, T.ş. Şışman, B. Tekin, Anti-de Sitter wave solutions of higher derivative theories. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 101101 (2013)
30. M. Gürses, T.ş. Şışman, B. Tekin, Kerr–Schild–Kundt metrics are universal. Class. Quant. Gravity 34, 075003 (2017)
31. M. Gürses, T.ş. Şışman, B. Tekin, Is there a novel Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory in four dimensions?. Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 647 (2020)
32. M. Gürses, Y. Heydarzade, Some exact solutions of cosmological field equations in Lovelock and Gauss-Bonnet theories, in progress
33. G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, S. Zerbini, Dark energy in modified Gauss–Bonnet gravity: late-time acceleration and the hierarchy problem. Phys. Rev. D 73, 084007 (2006)