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ABSTRACT

Detection of occlusal carious lesions with visual scoring systems is in a continuous validation with the histological depth of carious demineralization. **Objective:** The present study aimed to compare the International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS), the World Health Organization (WHO) system and histological examination in detecting occlusal carious lesions. **Material and Methods:** 20 premolars were evaluated by visual examination using ICDAS, WHO and histological examination using stereomicroscope (SM) for occlusal caries detection. Occlusal surfaces were evaluated by two examiners then all teeth were visually and histologically assessed. **Results:** For each of three systems the inter class correlation coefficient was examined, the differences between the three systems of occlusal caries detection were minimal. The visual examination through WHO recorded the higher intercorrelation coefficient followed by ICDAS system then histological examination respectively. **Conclusion:** WHO and ICDAS have demonstrated reproducibility and diagnostic accuracy when compared with histological examination for detecting occlusal caries.
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RESUMO

A detecção de lesões cariosas oclusais através de sistemas de pontuação visual está em contínua validação com a profundidade histológica do processo de desminerização da cárie. **Objetivo:** O presente estudo teve como objetivo comparar o Sistema Internacional de Detecção e Avaliação de Cáries (ICDAS), o Sistema da Organização Mundial da Saúde (OMS) e o exame histológico na detecção de lesões cariosas oclusais. **Material e Métodos:** 20 pré-molares foram avaliados por exame visual ICDAS, OMS e exame histológico usando estereomicroscópio (SM) para a detecção de cárie oclusal. As superfícies oclusais foram avaliadas por dois examinadores, e todos os dentes foram avaliados visualmente e histologicamente. **Resultados:** Para cada um dos três sistemas, foi realizada uma análise do coeficiente de correlação interclasses, sendo que a diferença entre os três sistemas de detecção de cárie oclusal foi mínimo. O exame visual através da OMS registrou o maior coeficiente de intercorrelação seguido pelo sistema ICDAS, em seguida, o exame histológico, respectivamente. **Conclusão:** OMS e ICDAS demonstraram reprodutibilidade e precisão diagnóstica quando comparado com o exame histológico para detecção de cáries oclusais.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Sistema de detecção e avaliação internacional de cáries; Organização mundial de saúde; Exame histológico; Cárie oclusal.
INTRODUCTION

Dental caries continues to be the major challenge that attracts the attention of public health and clinical dentists. This is encountered in dental practice, research dental education and dental epidemiology thus the most popular means of caries determination and detection is enforced. Recently this conducted the expansion of literature about how to diagnose and determine carious lesions in different settings. [1]

Visual inspection of tooth surfaces is the standard and traditional technique used. Many improvements have been considered in the development of novel technologies that help in carious lesions detection. This aids for detection of caries to expand the sensitivity of visual detection of caries and preserving a high degree of specificity [2]. Most of these systems and techniques were validated by using methods for visual caries detection [3-5]. The great need for reliable methods for caries detection has however led to the development of visual systems as ICDAS and WHO systems.

Although occlusal surfaces records represents only 12.5% of surfaces exposed to caries attack; studies in literature have shown that between 80-90% of the overall carious lesions that have happened during childhood and adolescence stages are located in occlusal pits and surfaces [6,7]. Given that these carious lesions have an increased incidence and they require quiet a long time to turn into cavitory lesions [8]. Dentists may find these lesions in various stages of their evolution and manage them as conservatively as possible.

A lot of the earlier research that was based on the correlation between clinical and histologic changes of the carious lesions, revealed an elevated specificity of the visual diagnosis [9]. However; the low sensitivity of the visual method in the detection of the initial signs of the carious lesions makes dentists underestimate the prevalence of the carious lesions; so, many of the carious lesions remain either untreated [10] or over treated by sealing.

In most of the epidemiological surveys; the carious lesions are diagnosed using the WHO criteria. There are three of the four criteria of this system that could help make a diagnosis for irreversible stages of the carious lesions; characterized by enamel disruption or dentin exposure. Most probably, these carious lesions are much extended into dentin and are evolving very rapidly [11]. Hence, it is necessary to introduce more subtle diagnostic systems; that can record carious lesions in their noncavitary early stages. The ICDAS system allows clinicians, researchers and epidemiologists to make a better diagnosis based on the objective clinical signs; standardizing all the collected data and then comparing them to similar studies. This system not only provides a great understanding of the carious lesions, but also helps in its management within each individual as well as among the whole population [12]. Systematizing the literature regarding the systems of clinical carious lesions detection [9] and also data from other sources [13-18] greatly helped building up international consensus on diagnosing many occlusal carious diseases.

The current study was conducted to compare the validity of ICDAS system, WHO system and the histological examination in the detection of incipient occlusal carious lesions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Samples selection

Sample consisted of 20 extracted human premolars from output clinics of oral and maxillofacial surgery of Ain Shams University
and Future University in Egypt. Teeth with crown fracture, restorations, calculus remnants and endodontic treatments were excluded from the study. Teeth were cleaned then washed with distilled water and were kept in 0.02% sodium azide solution.

**Examination methods**

Premolars were then evaluated by visual examination using ICDAS and WHO criteria and histologically using stereomicroscope (SM) for detection of carious lesions. The surfaces were visually evaluated by two examiners who have been trained in utilizing both systems of carious lesions detection. Each examiner analyzed every premolar independently after being dried by water/air spray of the unit. All the premolars were examined wet and also after being dried with compressed air.

**Visual examination with Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS) criteria**

Occlusal surfaces of teeth were positioned at an average of 25 cm from the eyes of each examiner, in a highly illuminated room, and then examined in both wet and dry conditions; (drying is done with compressed air for about 5 seconds independently by each examiner)., the examiners applied independently the ICDAS scoring [19]; in which score 0 represents a sound tooth surface, score 1; represents enamel opacity or discoloration which is visible after air drying of the surface, score 2; represents enamel opacity discoloration; which is visible when the surface is wet and also still visible after air drying, score 3; represents localized breakdown in opaque or discolored enamel before and after air drying, score 4; represents an underlying dark shadow within dentin with/without enamel breakdown, score 5; represents distinct dentin cavitation and score 6; represents distinct dentin cavitation that involves more than half of the occlusal surface.

**Visual examination with the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria**

Occlusal surfaces of teeth were positioned at an average of 25 cm from the eyes of each examiner, in a highly illuminated room, and then examined in both wet and dry conditions; (drying is done with compressed air for about 5 seconds independently by each examiner)., the examiners applied independently the WHO scoring [20]; D0 represents sound surface of the tooth, D1 represents non cavitary enamel lesion, D2 represents cavitary lesion in enamel, D3 represents cavitary lesion in dentin and D4 represents cavitary lesion in dentin with pulp complications.

**Histological examination using stereomicroscopy (SM)**

Undemineralized longitudinal sections for each premolar were prepared and were oriented buccolingually, sectioned with a diamond disc of 0.15 mm thick using a low speed motor with water irrigation. Each section was given a score for the lesion depth according to the following histological scoring system; 0 represents no enamel demineralization or edge phenomenon, 1 represents demineralization which is limited to the outer 50% of enamel, 2 represents demineralization between the inner 50% of enamel and the outer third of dentin, 3 represents demineralization which involves the middle third of dentin and 4 represents demineralization that involves the inner third of dentin. Then each section was scored histologically according to the lesion depth by using the SM with no contrast solution. These sections were then classified according to Ekstrand criteria [21] as shown in table I.
Table I - The categorical frequency distribution of studied teeth based on the level of dye penetration in the test groups in millimeters.

| ICDAS criteria                                      | WHO criteria                         | Histological examination |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Code 0: Sound tooth surface with no evidence of caries after air drying for five seconds | D0: Sound tooth surface              | H0: No enamel demineralization or edge phenomenon |
| Code 1: First visual changes in enamel (opacity or discoloration) which is visible at the entrance to the pits or fissures after air drying (not or hardly seen on a wet surface) | D1: Non-cavitary enamel lesion       | H1: Enamel demineralization which is limited to outer 50% of enamel |
| Code 2: Distinct visual changes in enamel (opacity/ discoloration); which is visible at the entrance to the pit and fissure when wet (lesion must still be visible when dry) | D2: Cavitary enamel lesion           | H2: Demineralization that involves inner 50% of enamel and outer third of dentin |
| Code 3: Localized enamel breakdown as a result of caries, with no visible dentin or any underlying shadow (opacity/dyscoloration) wider than the natural fissure/fossa when wet and after prolonged drying | D3: Cavitary dentinal lesion         | H3: Demineralization that involves middle third of dentin |
| Code 4: Underlying dark shadow from dentin and localized breakdown of enamel | D4: Cavitary lesion with pulp complicity | H4: Demineralization which involves the inner third of dentin |
| Code 5: Extensive distinct cavitation with visible dentin and more than half of the surface were involved |                                      |                          |

RESULTS

Out of the twenty lesions which were included in the present study using the ICDAS examination, WHO system and histological examination; the 2 (10%) carious lesions which were included in the 0 category by using the ICDAS examination, were confirmed by the WHO system to be D0 and also 2 were confirmed by histological examination to be H0.

As for the 5 (25%) carious lesions which received score 1 at ICDAS examination the 5 were confirmed by WHO system to be D1, 3 carious lesions we certified to be H1, 1 lesion in higher score category H2 and 1 lesion in lower score category H0.

Regarding the 4 (20%) carious lesions scored 2 in ICDAS; 1 were confirmed as D2 in WHO system and 3 with a lower score category D1, 3 were confirmed as H1 in histological examination and 1 with a lower score category H0. As for the 7 (35%) carious lesions with score 3 in ICDAS; the 7 were confirmed to be D2 in WHO system while 2 were confirmed to be H2 histological examination, 2 with lower score category and 3 with high score category. Regarding the 2 (10%) carious lesions with score 4 in ICDAS system, 2 were confirmed to be D3 with WHO system and 1 confirmed with H3 in histological examination and 1 with lower score category H2 as shown in table II and figure 1.

Table II - ICDAS, WHO and histological examination criteria.

| Variables | Carious Lesions Examinations |
|-----------|-----------------------------|
|           | n   | %   |
| ICDAS     |     |     |
| 0         | 2   | 10% |
| 1         | 5   | 25% |
| 2         | 4   | 20% |
| 3         | 7   | 35% |
| 4         | 2   | 10% |
| 5         | -   | -   |
| 6         | -   | -   |
| D0        | 2   | 10% |
| WHO       |     |     |
| D1        | 8   | 40% |
| D2        | 8   | 40% |
| D3        | 2   | 10% |
| D4        | -   | -   |
| H0        | 4   | 20% |
| Histological |     |     |
| H1        | 8   | 40% |
| H2        | 4   | 20% |
| H3        | 4   | 20% |
| H4        | -   | -   |
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For each of three systems the inter class correlation coefficient was examined. A statistically significance difference was found between ICDAS and WHO examinations where \( p<0.001 \) with inter class correlation coefficient (ICC) \( (0.946) \) which states a very strong reliability and agreement between the two examinations. Also statistically significance difference was found between ICDAS and Histological examinations where \( p<0.001 \) with inter class correlation coefficient (ICC) \( (0.727) \) which states a strong reliability and agreement between the two examinations and a statistically significance difference was found between WHO and histological examinations where \( p<0.001 \) with inter class correlation coefficient (ICC) \( (0.748) \) which states a strong reliability and agreement between the two systems. Differences between the three examination systems in detection of occlusal caries were very minimal. The WHO visual examination recorded highest inter correlation coefficient followed by ICDAS system then histological examination respectively as shown in table III.

Figure 1 - Photograph of SM showing: a) H0 score with edge phenomenon (arrow), b) H1 score with enamel demineralization only limited to outer 50% of enamel (arrow), c) H2 score with a demineralized lesion involving 50% of enamel and outer third of dentin (arrow) and d) H3 score with a demineralized lesion involving enamel and middle third of dentin (arrow).
Table III - ICDAS, WHO and histological examination correlation coefficient.

| Correlations          | WHO criteria | WHO criteria | WHO criteria |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| ICDAS                 | Correlation  | .1000        | .946**       | .727**       |
| Spearman              | Coefficient  | .946**       | 1.000        | .748**       |
| Sig. (2-tailed)       |              | .000         | .000         |              |
| N                     |              | 20           | 20           | 20           |

Table IV - Table showing relationship between the two readings for different groups.

| Measurements | Groups       | Mean | S.D. | p-value  | ICC   |
|--------------|--------------|------|------|----------|-------|
| ICDAS        | First Examiner | 2.10 | 1.21 | <0.001*  | 0.974 |
|              | Second Examiner | 2.00 | 0.98 | <0.001*  | 0.946 |
| WHO          | First Examiner | 1.50 | 0.83 | <0.001*  | 0.946 |
|              | Second Examiner | 1.32 | 0.74 | <0.001*  | 0.954 |
| Histological | First Examiner | 1.40 | 1.05 | <0.001*  | 0.954 |
|              | Second Examiner | 1.29 | 0.98 | <0.001*  | 0.954 |

Relationship and Inter-observers reliability between two readings for different groups as shown in table IV.

1) ICDAS:

A statistically significance difference was found between the two readings where (p<0.001) with inter class correlation coefficient (ICC) (0.974) which states a strong reliability and agreement between the two readings.

2) WHO:

A statistically significance difference was found between the two readings where (p<0.001) with inter class correlation coefficient (ICC) (0.946) which states a strong reliability and agreement between the two readings.

3) Histological:

A statistically significance difference was found between the two readings where (p<0.001) with inter class correlation coefficient (ICC) (0.954) which states a strong reliability and agreement between the two readings.

DISCUSSION

Occlusal carious lesions diagnosis is not considered to be a simple yes or no decision; as several aspects have to be considered. Recognizing surface color changes, intrinsic and also extrinsic reasons have to be assessed. The opacities which are related to enamel developmental defects must be differentiated from demineralization and carious lesions. Therefore; the rough enamel structures denotes active decay. Also, dry tooth surface can be checked for chalkiness. If there is a doubt concerning a real cavitation of the surface; a ball ended probe shall be used for the gentle inspection of surface texture and this is done to detect if there is any discontinuity or breakdown. The reason for the differentiated carious lesions diagnosis is that non cavitated carious lesions can remineralize without tooth
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A recent study assessed ICDAS scoring versus radiographic evaluation of occlusal surfaces as well as their association with treatment and management decisions. [30]. The results of this assessment are in accordance with those of the current study as data suggested that the visual evaluation by ICDAS scoring system showed better performance for the detection of occlusal caries lesions.

Histological assessment of caries was used as a gold standard in the current study. Different magnification and staining techniques were also used in previous studies to histologically evaluate the carious lesion extent. However, other previous studies have done their research without the staining technique and evaluated the carious tissues at a magnification of 16-fold. [24]

CONCLUSION

WHO and ICDAS systems have demonstrated high reproducibility and diagnostic accuracy when compared with histological examination for detecting occlusal carious lesions thus they are considered an adjunct method to clinical decision making and preventive treatment planning.
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