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Frogs from Madagascar constitute one of the richest groups of amphibian fauna in the world, with currently 238 described species; caecilians and salamanders are absent [1]. Several frog radiations of the island are species-rich and parallel lemurs and tenrecs in their astonishing morphological and ecological diversity. According to the Global Amphibian Assessment (GAA), Madagascar ranks as the country with the 12th highest amphibian species richness [2,3] (see also http://www.globalamphibians.org), but this is likely an underestimate, because an additional 182 candidate species have been identified since [1]. Diversity is concentrated in rainforests and can locally reach over 100 species. Impressively, 100% of the autochthonous species and 88% of the genera are strictly endemic to Madagascar and its inshore islands [1]. Most of these species belong to two radiations of astonishing ecomorphological and reproductive diversity, the mantellids and the scaphiophrynine plus cophyline microhylids [4,5] (Figure 1).

So far, no extinctions of amphibian species have been reported from Madagascar, and chytridiomycosis, a threat for amphibians globally [6,7], has not been detected [8]. Of 220 species assessed by the World Conservation Union (IUCN), nine are listed as Critically Endangered, 21 Endangered, and 25 Vulnerable [3]. This proportion of 25% threatened species is higher than the per-country average of 12%, but lower than that detected globally (32%) and those in various other amphibian hot spots such as Sri Lanka (63%), Mexico (54%), Ecuador (37%), or Colombia (30%) [2].

At first glance, it might seem paradoxical to advocate amphibian conservation actions for a place where catastrophic declines have not yet been detected. However, we argue here that the unique combination of three factors qualifies Madagascar as a top priority for amphibian conservation: (a) an endemic, diverse amphibian fauna, as yet unaffected by emergent diseases, exists; (b) heavy anthropogenic pressures are put on the remaining primary vegetation and amphibian populations; and (c) a strong commitment of the national government to improve conserving biodiversity is present. In other words, Madagascar represents a tractable opportunity to apply what has been learned from the devastated amphibian faunas of areas such as the Neotropics and Australia. In Madagascar, amphibian conservation efforts have the possibility of being pro-active, rather than reactive, or simply post-mortem.

Extinctions of Malagasy amphibians have not yet been detected: in fact, all historically described species have been observed during the past 15 years [3], most in the past 5 years. New populations of rare species are discovered at a constant pace, even if some of them are in small forest fragments. Over 500 frog specimens of almost 90 species sampled from most of Madagascar’s biogeographic regions and elevational zones tested negative for amphibian chytrid infection using both histological and molecular techniques [8]. So far, no amphibian
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chytrid may have catastrophic effects assisted introduction of amphibian already compromised and populations confounding threat where habitats are and over-collecting may represent a demand in the international pet trade, species experience high levels of massifs in Madagascar [12]. Several to the summits of most of the major restricted to narrow elevations close for montane endemics, which are [11]. This is a particular problem to the edge of a drastic decline. Here we posit that unprecedented pro-active efforts of habitat protection and vigilance against pathogens may avert an otherwise predictable catastrophic loss of biodiversity. An important and timely opportunity for conservation in Madagascar exists since President Marc Ravalomanana announced at the Durban World Park Congress in 2003 a commitment to triple the surface of Madagascar’s network of protected areas. This declaration gave rise to the so-called Madagascar Action Plan, a political process which is generating strategies for development and conservation. Since 2003, the protected area network has nearly been doubled, and an opportunity exists now to protect small fragments of remaining habitat that are critical for many restricted-range endemic amphibians (Figure 2). A proposal for new candidate areas to protect, based on a high-resolution multi-taxonomic analysis of plants, invertebrates, mammals, reptiles, and amphibians, has been developed [14]. This study suggests that multi-taxonomic rather than single-taxon approaches are critical for identifying areas likely on the amphibian fauna. Hence, without intensive conservation efforts, Malagasy amphibians may be on the edge of a drastic decline. Here we posit that unprecedented pro-active efforts of habitat protection and vigilance against pathogens may avert an otherwise predictable catastrophic loss of biodiversity. Figure 1. Four Prominent Representatives of Madagascar’s Amphibians (A) Dyscaphus antongilii (Near Threatened), (B) Scaphiophryne gottlebei (Critically Endangered), (C) Boophis williamsi (Critically Endangered), (D) Mantella cowani (Critically Endangered).
rapid detection of possible declines or die-offs, amphibian monitoring in the Menabe region and in the Ranomafana National Park will be coordinated by the Durrell Wildlife Conservation Trust and by the Tropical Ecology Assessment and Monitoring Network (http://www.teaminitiative.org). A variety of amphibian species from Madagascar will be exposed in a South African lab to chytrid infection, to understand their susceptibility to chytridiomycosis. In collaboration with the Amphibian Ark initiative, an agreement has been reached for promoting tailored captive-breeding programs, and target species have been prioritized (http://zims.isis.org/aark/). The experiences acquired in these initial captive-breeding efforts will be crucial if amphibian chytrid reaches the island despite efforts to prevent its introduction. An immediate implementation of large-scale, captive-breeding efforts as an extension of the Amphibian Ark [7] (http://www.amphibianark.org) will become necessary in such a case to ensure survival of the bulk of the Malagasy amphibian species until protocols to induce resistance or inoculate frogs with bacterial antifungal peptides can be applied [7,22,23].

An amphibian action plan for Madagascar has now been developed that includes precise yearly budgets for future actions [24]. Unconventional funding sources are being explored, such as name auctions for undescribed species (http://www.biopat.de), which currently support a conservation program for the tomato frog, *Dyscophus antongilii*. However, significant investments from major conservation agencies will also be necessary to generate momentum. Madagascar may be the only worldwide amphibian diversity hot spot still in a pre-decline phase where intensive pro-active conservation measures are feasible, and where the impacts of climate change can be measured without the confounding influences of emergent diseases such as chytrid fungus [11,25]. This opportunity to preserve a globally significant and intact amphibian sanctuary should not be missed, yet ironically, its pre-decline status could actually hinder timely conservation action being taken. We thus advocate urgency rather than complacency towards implementing a comprehensive conservation initiative for the Malagasy amphibian fauna.
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**Figure 2. Habitat Fragments as Last Refuges for Amphibian Diversity**
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