Pure sensitive chronic inflammatory axonal polyneuropathy following Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine
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Abstract

Background During the worldwide mass vaccination campaign against SARS-CoV-2, multiple side effects have been observed. We described the case of a patient who developed pure sensitive chronic inflammatory axonal polyneuropathy (CIAP) in a close temporal relationship with the administration of the BNT162b2 (Pfizer®) vaccine.

Case report An 82-year-old woman developed lower limb sensory loss and “pricking” associated with marked gait imbalance after she had received her second dose of Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine. At the electroneurographic examination, the motor nerves conduction study was normal. Median, ulnar, and sural nerves sensory compound nerve action potential (CNAP) were bilaterally absent. Somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEPs) were not recordable. Spine MRI demonstrated roots enhancement from C3 to Th2 and diffuse enhancement of cauda equina nerve roots. She was treated with IV methylprednisolone with benefit. A follow-up visit was made 4 months after the disease onset; a diagnosis of pure sensitive CIAP has been made.

Discussion To the best of our knowledge, this is the first description of CIAP occurring in a close temporal relationship with the administration of Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine.
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Background

The huge global impact of COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in a fast-tracked development of vaccines. During the worldwide mass vaccination campaign, multiple side effects ranging from mild (fever, fatigue, injection site pain, headache) to severe (Guillain-Barré syndrome) have been observed following the administration of COVID-19 mRNA vaccine [1–3].

As of August 31, 2021, in Italy, around 55 million doses of BNT162b1-COVID-19 vaccine (Pfizer-BioNTech) have been administered [4]. Here, we report the case of a woman who developed pure sensitive chronic inflammatory axonal polyneuropathy (CIAP) in a close temporal relationship with the second dose of Pfizer-BioNTech vaccination.

Report of case

An 82-year-old woman without significant medical comorbidities was taken to the emergency room complaining lower limb sensory loss and “pricking” associated with marked gait imbalance. Six weeks before presentation, she had received her second dose of Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine. During the first 3 days after vaccination, she reported fever and body pain. From the 4th day, the fever passed but the patients began to complain worsening symptoms with slow and progressive gait difficulties until bedridden.

She was admitted to the Neurology Unit of the University-Hospital “Policlinico-San Marco” of Catania, Italy. At the neurological examination, mental status and speech were normal. Cranial nerve examination was unremarkable. Standing position was achieved with assistance; Romberg test and gait were impossible to perform; muscle tone, mass,
and strength (Medical Research Council 5/5) were normal in bilateral upper and lower extremities. Deep tendon reflexes were absent. Limbs ataxia was observed at the finger-to-nose and heel-knee-shin tests. Sensation to light touch, vibration, and proprioception were reduced. Routine labs were unremarkable. Coronavirus CoV-2 PCR was negative. CSF had albumin-cytological dissociation [proteins 89.6 mg/dL (normal values 20–45 mg/dL), cell count 1 µL (normal values 0–5 µL)]. GM3 antibodies were detected in the CSF. At the electroneurographic examination, motor nerves conduction study was normal. Median, ulnar, and sural nerves sensory compound nerve action potential (CNAP) were bilaterally absent (Table 1). Somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEPs) were not recordable. Spine MRI demonstrated roots enhancement from C3 to Th2 and diffuse enhancement of cauda equina nerve roots (Fig. 1). The patient was treated with IV methylprednisolone without complications during and after treatment. Clinical improvement was appreciated after 5 days of treatment and patients became able to walk for few meters with mono-lateral support due to gait ataxia. Romberg maneuver was positive. During the recovery, the patient received physical therapy. Once discharged, she was referred to an acute rehabilitation clinic. At a follow-up visit after 4 months from the onset, she presented a further gait improvement, still ataxic. The median and ulnar nerve velocity conduction study were bilaterally normal and CNAP presented low amplitude. Sural nerves CNAP were still absent.

Table 1  Electroneurographic evaluation at baseline and at follow-up

| Nerve stimulated | Stimulation site | Amplitude (baseline) | Amplitude (follow-up) | Latency (ms) (baseline) | Latency (ms) (follow-up) | Conduction velocity (m/s) (baseline) | Conduction velocity (m/s) (follow-up) | F wave (ms) (baseline) | F wave (ms) (follow-up) |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Median (s)       | Wrist           | Absent               | Absent                | 7.5                    | 5                      | 2.8                                 | 2.8                                 | 40                   | 41                   |
| Ulnar (s)        | Wrist           | Absent               | Absent                | 6.6                    | 4                      | 39                                  | 39                                  |
| Sural (s)        | Calf            | Absent               | Absent                | Absent                 | Absent                 |                                      |                                      |
| Median (m)       | Wrist           | 9                    | 5                     | 8                      | 6                      | 2.8                                 | 2.8                                 | 40                   | 41                   |
|                  | Elbow           | 7                    | 4                     | 8                      | 5                      | 60                                  | 51                                  | 62                   | 58                   |
|                  | Axilla          | 7                    | 4                     | 8                      | 5                      | 65                                  | 55                                  | 63                   | 62                   |
| Ulnar (m)        | Wrist           | 6                    | 5                     | 7                      | 7                      | 2.2                                 | 2.1                                 | 25                   | 26                   |
|                  | Below elbow     | 5                    | 5                     | 7                      | 6                      | 50                                  | 49                                  | 51                   | 52                   |
|                  | Above elbow     | 5                    | 5                     | 6                      | 6                      | 60                                  | 58                                  | 55                   | 56                   |
|                  | Axilla          | 5                    | 5                     | 6                      | 6                      | 52                                  | 64                                  | 57                   | 62                   |
| Tibial (m)       | Ankle           | 4                    | 5                     | 5                      | 5                      | 5.2                                 | 3.6                                 | 45                   | 48                   |
|                  | Popliteal fossa | 4                    | 5                     | 5                      | 4                      | 40                                  | 42                                  | 41                   | 44                   |
| Peroneal (m)     | Ankle           | 4                    | 3                     | 5.2                   | 3.2                   | 3.9                                 | 4.2                                 | 46                   | 49                   |
|                  | Below fibula    | 4                    | 3                     | 4                      | 4                     | 3.9                                 | 4.2                                 | 46                   | 49                   |
|                  | Above fibula    | 4                    | 3                     | 4                      | 4                     | 3.9                                 | 4.2                                 | 46                   | 49                   |

Legend: R, right; L, left; amplitude motor = mV, sensory = µV; m, motor conduction study; s, sensory conduction study (antidromic). Pathological values are marked in bold.
A diagnosis of CIAP, pure sensory variant has been made. The case was notified to the pharmacovigilance unit. Written informed consent for the publication of this case was obtained by the patient.

**Comment**

CIAP represents an uncommon variant of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) and is mainly characterized by progressive course (at least 2 months), electrophysiological evidence of axonal neuropathy in at least two nerves, and good response to immunotherapy. The presence of a high CSF protein concentration represents a supportive criterion for the diagnosis [5]. To the best of our knowledge, to date, no cases of chronic inflammatory polyneuropathy, neither CIAP nor CIDP, following COVID-19 vaccine have been reported. Although we are aware that the close temporal relationship between vaccination and CIAP development does not necessarily imply a causal relationship, it could be hypothesized that the immune response consequent to vaccination could trigger, in some patients, autoimmune processes leading to acute and/or chronic polyneuropathies. Nevertheless, considering the very low risk of vaccine-associated complications, we strongly encourage and support mass COVID-19 vaccination program.
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