Review

Antibiotic Resistance to Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Potential Use of Natural and Biological Products as Alternative Anti-Mycobacterial Agents

Roberto Arrigoni 1,*, Andrea Ballini 2, Skender Topi 3, Lucrezia Bottalico 3, Emilio Jirillo 4 and Luigi Santacroce 4

1 CNR Institute of Biomembranes, Bioenergetics and Molecular Biotechnologies (IBIOM), 70124 Bari, Italy
2 Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, 80138 Naples, Italy
3 Department of Clinical Disciplines, School of Technical Medical Sciences, “A. Xhuvani”, 3001 Elbasan, Albania
4 Interdisciplinary Department of Medicine, Section of Microbiology and Virology, School of Medicine, University of Bari “Aldo Moro”, 70124 Bari, Italy
* Correspondence: r.arrigoni@ibiom.cnr.it

Abstract: Background: Tuberculosis (TB) is an infectious disease caused by the bacillus Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb). TB treatment is based on the administration of three major antibiotics: isoniazid, rifampicin, and pyrazinamide. However, multi-drug resistant (MDR) Mtb strains are increasing around the world, thus, allowing TB to spread around the world. The stringent response is demonstrated by Mtb strains in order to survive under hostile circumstances, even including exposure to antibiotics. The stringent response is mediated by alarmones, which regulate bacterial replication, transcription and translation. Moreover, the Mtb cell wall contributes to the mechanism of antibiotic resistance along with efflux pump activation and biofilm formation. Immunity over the course of TB is managed by M1-macrophages and M2-macrophages, which regulate the immune response against Mtb infection, with the former exerting inflammatory reactions and the latter promoting an anti-inflammatory profile. T helper 1 cells via secretion of interferon (IFN)-gamma, play a protective role in the course of TB, while T regulatory cells secreting interleukin 10, are anti-inflammatory. Alternative therapeutic options against TB require further discussion. In view of the increasing number of MDR Mtb strains, attempts to replace antibiotics with natural and biological products have been object of intensive investigation. Therefore, in this review the anti-Mtb effects exerted by probiotics, polyphenols, antimicrobial peptides and IFN-gamma will be discussed. All the above cited compounds are endowed either with direct antibacterial activity or with anti-inflammatory and immunomodulating characteristics.
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1. Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is an infectious disease caused by the bacillus Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb), and is characterized by an elevated rate of morbidity and mortality [1]. According to the World Health Organization in 2019, newly diagnosed TB cases around the world numbered 7.1 million, with 206,030 confirmed cases of rifampicin-resistant multi-drug resistant (MDR) TB [2]. Furthermore, co-infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) seems to aggravate the pathogenicity of Mtb [3].

TB treatment is based on the six month administration of a multi-drug combination of the antibiotics isoniazid (INH), rifampicin (RMP), and pyrazinamide (PZA) [4,5]. This regimen can be extended up to nine months if there is extensive disease (longer treatments are required under specific condition, i.e., for XDR infections). Isoniazid inhibits the synthesis of mycolic acids, which represent major components of the mycobacterial cell wall.
wall [6]. Rifampicin hampers RNA synthesis, while pyrazinamide alters both the plasma membrane and bacterial metabolism [7,8]. In the first two months of treatment, the above drugs are administered with ethambutol. This, in turn, inhibits arabinosyl transferase, which participates in the synthesis of the mycobacterial cell wall arabinogalactan. Despite the activity of these antibiotics against replicant mycobacteria, the coexistence of dormant bacteria affects the efficiency of the treatment. Thus, a prolonged drug administration is implied, which may lead to the development of MDR strains [9]. In fact, under hostile circumstances, \textit{Mtb} demonstrates the so-called stringent response for surviving [10]. This type of response is mediated by alarmones, which regulate fundamental bacterial processes, such as replication, transcription, and translation [11,12]. The stringent response is mostly based on the downregulation of rRNA and ribosomal protein synthesis, thus, leading to the up-regulation of amino acid biosynthetic operons, which supply amino acids for \textit{Mtb} survival [13–15]. Furthermore, the \textit{Mtb} cell wall becomes an insurmountable barrier against antibiotic penetration, using the activation of efflux pumps and biofilm formation [16–18].

Even when \textit{Mtb} evokes a robust immune response in the lungs, it can escape from it. In fact, \textit{Mtb} enters alveolar macrophages via receptor-mediated phagocytosis; for its survival to occur, it triggers the formation of the phagolysosome, neutralizing the process of acidification, then, it escapes from lysosomal hydrolases [19,20]. In this context, granuloma also present a habitat where \textit{Mtb} can survive, modulating the host immune response [21]. For instance, in TB patients the release of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha, an antimycobacterial cytokine, is reduced, which contributes to \textit{Mtb} survival [22,23]. The above data are corroborated by the evidence that in non-tuberculous mycobacteria patients, a defective production of certain cytokines, such as interleukin (IL)-12 and interferon (IFN)-gamma has been detected, thus, abrogating the adaptive immune response against mycobacterial disease [24].

Antimicrobial resistance of \textit{Mtb} poses a serious problem to public health, and, therefore, alternative treatments are under investigation. In the present review, we will place emphasis on natural and biological products as novel remedies to treat TB. Among natural products, probiotics are defined as “live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host” [25]. They exert protective functions, modulating the local immune response, avoiding the phenomenon of bacterial translocation and, in particular, inhibiting the growth of \textit{Mtb} strains [26]. Polyphenols are another class of natural products present in fruits, vegetables, cereals, red wine, and extra virgin olive oil (EVOO) [27]. They possess antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities and have been used to prevent and/or treat various pathologies [28,29]. Among biological molecules, antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), components of the innate immune system, are endowed with antimicrobial and immunomodulating activities [29,30]. IFN-gamma is a cellular product released by a variety of immune cells (macrophages and T lymphocytes) that exhibits the microbicidal activity of macrophages against \textit{Mtb} [31].

On these bases, specific aims of this review will be the description of the mechanisms of antibiotic resistance against \textit{Mtb}, and the ability of this bacterium to survive in a hostile environment. Finally, novel therapeutic approaches with natural products and biotherapeutics will be illustrated.

2. Genetic Factors That Predispose to TB Risk

Genome-wide association studies have identified certain loci that predispose patients to TB risk, such as a single nucleotide polymorphism on chromosome 18q11.2, 14q24.3, and 20p13 [32,33]. Conversely, protective loci have been identified on chromosomes 11p13 and 10q26.2 [34–36]. Polymorphisms in the region of class II leukocyte antigens, including rs557011 [T] and rs92713 [G], are associated with increased TB risk [37]. Furthermore, polymorphisms of the vitamin D receptor are associated with TB risk, especially in HIV-negative or Asian patients [38]. Immunologically, mutations in genes encoding IL12p40, IL12Rbeta1, IFN-gammaR1, and IFN-gammaR2 abrogate the IL-12/IFN-gamma axis that is very protective against TB risk [39].
3. The Impact of \textit{Mtb} on the Immune System

Most of the research on this specific topic has been conducted in rodent models of tuberculosis, owing to the scarce access to lung tissues of TB patients. Therefore, data illustrated in this section are mostly based on experimental models. From an antigenic point of view, the cell wall of \textit{Mtb} consists of mycolic acid, that surrounds the peptidoglycan layer [40]. Fatty acid synthases (FAs) govern the synthesis of mycolic acids that render \textit{Mtb} resistant to the majority of antibiotics. Therefore, the FAs-II system represents the main target of anti-TB drugs [41]. The \textit{Mtb} cell wall first interacts with macrophages, binding the toll-like receptor (TLR)-2 via ERK and p38 pathways. Then, activated macrophages undergo epigenetic modifications of their genes, i.e., DNA methylation [42]. In this respect, \textit{Mtb}-mediated methylation of the inflammasome NLRP3 leads to its activation [43], while hypermethylation of IL-6 receptor (R), IL-4R, and IL-17R suppresses the same receptors [44]. Notably, mycobacterial-encoded methyl transferases are responsible for modifications of methylation patterns during TB infection with Rv1988 and Rv2966c as the major enzymes involved [45]. Particularly, extensively drug resistant \textit{Mtb} strains (XDR) account for increased methylation patterns of macrophage inflammatory genes, thus, supporting the survival of virulent \textit{Mtb} [46]. Metabolically, \textit{Mtb} binding to TLR-2 activates the AKT-mTOR pathway with the prevalence of the inflammatory subset of macrophages, M1, that secrete IL-12, IL-1-beta and TNF-alpha [47]. Such an initial inflammatory response is essential for the progression of TB infection. Furthermore, in the course of sustained infection, \textit{Mtb} inhibits glycolysis with conversion of the M1-macrophages to the anti-inflammatory subset, M2-macrophages [48]. Then, a decrease of IL-1-beta and increase of IL-10 (an anti-inflammatory cytokine) lead to the suppression of bacterial killing [49]. Another tolerogenic cytokine, transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta, polarizes the immune response towards M2-macrophages and the inhibition of this cytokine may represent a potential target of anti-TB therapy [50]. In this immune scenario, it is worth mentioning that M1 macrophages secrete IL-12, which polarizes the immune response towards the T helper(h)-1 subset. This leads to the subsequent production of IFN-gamma, that, in turn, exerts protective functions against TB infection [51–53]. Furthermore, IL-12 stimulation that leads to IL-27 neutralization limits \textit{Mtb} growth, increasing IL-6 and TNF-alpha synthesis [54]. Considered together, all the above data suggests that inflammatory cytokines are microbicidal against \textit{Mtb}, but their exaggerated release may damage the host.

The role of T regulatory (TREG) cells in the course of TB needs to be clarified. Specifically activated by dendritic cells (DCS) (major antigen-presenting cells) acquire the phenotype CD4+CD25+FOXP3+ [55]. As recently reviewed [56], TREG cells exert different mechanisms of suppression, such as: deprivation of IL-2, which is a growth factor for T cells; secretion of anti-inflammatory cytokines, i.e., IL-10, TGF-beta, and IL-35; granzyme B-dependent killing of target cells; and the inhibition of DC maturation and programmed death-1-mediated suppression of target cells. With special reference to TB, TREG cells exert a functional role, which is dependent on the disease stage. In fact, in the early stage of infection, \textit{Mtb}-mediated activation of TREG cells delays the Th1-mediated protection with the release of IFN-gamma, while in the chronic phase of disease it attenuates the exaggerated inflammatory response [57]. The immune response in the course of TB is illustrated in Figure 1.
TB [58,59]. In this respect, mice deprived of commensal bacteria suffered from severe lung pyrazinamide, but also to fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides, as in the case of extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR TB) and totally drug-resistant TB strains, respectively [60–62].

Figure 1. Immune responsiveness during TB infection. Immunity to Mtb relies on a fine balance between inflammation (M1 macrophages) and anti-inflammation (M2 macrophages and TREG cells). Cytokines such as IL-12, IL-1-beta, TNF-alpha, and IL-10, according to their cellular source and disease stage, may play both beneficial and detrimental roles.

4. The Gut–Lung Axis

There is consolidated evidence that the gut microbiota is able to modulate both regional and systemic immunity, even including respiratory immune response in the course of TB [58,59]. In this respect, mice deprived of commensal bacteria suffered from severe lung disease but restoration of intestinal microbiota attenuated the disease progression [60–62]. Moreover, evidence has been provided that colonization of Helicobacter hepaticus in the murine gut increased susceptibility to TB, along with elevated production of IL-10 [63,64]. Conversely, indole propionic acid, derived from the intestinal commensals, Clostridia spp., could reduce the splenic Mtb burden in mice [65,66]. The gut–lung axis function is also supported by the notion that antibiotic-mediated depletion of murine gut microbiota increased the Mtb load in the lung, promoting the spread of bacilli towards the spleen and the liver [67]. Immunologically, the same mice exhibited more TREG cells and fewer IFN-gamma and TNF-alpha-producing Th1 cells. Conversely, in mice infected with Mtb, a depletion of Bacteroidetes and Clostridia was observed in the gut [68].

In human recurrent TB, the gut microbiota is enriched in pathogenic bacteria, even including Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Faecalibacterium, and Roseburia with a marked reduction of Bacteroidetes and Prevotella [69,70]. In this framework, another important issue is represented by the intestinal production of short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) through the fermentation of dietary fibers. In diabetic patients with increased TB risk, SCFAs were shown to reduce TB-induced release of pro-inflammatory cytokines along with exaggerated liberation of IL-10, thus, promoting TB progression [71].

5. Mtb-Mediated Resistance against Antibiotics

Despite the availability of antibiotics, TB is increasing in developing and underdeveloped countries. This coincides with the continuous emergence of MDR Mtb strains that are resistant not only towards the first-line drugs: isoniazid, rifampicin, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide, but also to fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides, as in the case of extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR TB) and totally drug-resistant TB strains, respectively [72,73]. Resistant strains adopt different strategies to evade antibiotics: i. Increase in cell division
under hypoxic conditions; ii. Utilization of the cell wall as an impermeable barrier against antibiotic access; iii. Efflux pump activation to escape from antibiotics; iv. Biofilm formation [16–18,74].

Persistence is a major feature of \textit{Mtb} cells as a few persistent cells can evade antibiotics even at concentrations higher than minimum inhibitory concentrations [75]. Furthermore, persistent \textit{Mtb} cells can sustain the \textit{Mtb} population, maintaining disease status as active. Persistence also implies cellular dormancy, which correlates with increased triacylglycerol and transcription activator protein levels [76,77]. \textit{Mtb} resistance seems to rely on pre-transcriptional mutations and peptidome studies have allowed researchers to better understand the mechanisms of action [78]. \textit{Mtb} adaptive response to antibiotic treatment is mediated by alarmones, that are composed by tetraphosphate guanosine and pentaphosphate guanosine, known as ppGpp [11]. Alarmones allow \textit{Mtb} to survive, modulating biofilm formation, antibiotic resistance, persistence and virulence, demonstrating the so-called stringent response. In Mycobacteria, the alarmones are regulated by the enzyme Rel, which is encoded by the gene rv2583c [79]. In particular, the \textit{Mtb} stringent response relies on the down-regulation of rRNA and ribosomal protein synthesis with the subsequent up-regulation of amino acid biosynthetic operons, supplying amino acids for \textit{Mtb} survival to occur [13,14]. Among major stresses, \textit{Mtb} has to overcome oxidative, nitrosative, and nutrient challenges before infecting macrophages and surviving for years, in the context of a granuloma [80,81]. In addition, PE-PGRS proteins of \textit{Mtb} allow its survival in the granuloma, as well as its interaction with host cells [82–84]. Deletion of Rel affects \textit{Mtb} survival or inhibits its growth [10,85]. In fact, the H37Rv delta-Rel-\textit{Mtb} causes a mild form of lung TB with few granulomas and organ architecture still conserved in comparison to the parental strain, H37rv, which causes a serious pulmonary damage in mice [86]. These data suggest that \textit{Mtb} in the absence of a stringent response cannot induce a chronic status of TB infection. Interestingly, inhibitors of Rel kill \textit{Mtb} and increase antibiotic susceptibility to isoniazid, thus enhancing its microbicidal activity [87].

Lastly, biofilms with a matrix composed of extracellular DNA, carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins protect \textit{Mtb} from antibiotics [88,89]. In the double knockout deltaRel /deltaRel Z \textit{Mycobacterium smegmatis} strain, evidence has been provided that Rel-induced stringent response regulates the expression of genes involved in glycopeptidolipid synthesis, that are necessary for biofilm formation [90]. Major mechanisms of antibiotic resistance to \textit{Mtb} infection are expressed in Figure 2.
Probiotics have been identified by the WHO as “Living microorganisms that when administered in adequate amounts as a part of food confer a health benefit to the host” [93]. Probiotics are able to increase the protective effect of the intestinal epithelial barrier, also shifting the immune profile towards a tolerogenic pathway with the production of IL-10 by TREG cells [95, 96].

Experimentally, the probiotic Nyaditum resae® (Nr), enriched in heat-killed Mycobacterium manresensis, abrogated the development of active murine TB, through increasing the function of memory TREG cells [97]. Furthermore, Nr effects were evaluated in patients with or without latent TB infection and an increase in T effector cells and memory TREG cells was observed [98]. Lactocaseibacillus rhamnosus PMC203, isolated from the vaginal microbiota of healthy women, reduced the growth of MtbH37Rv in broth, and MtbH37Rv and Mtb XDR TBs in macrophages [101].

6.1. Probiotics

There is evidence that antibiotics against TB alter the gut and pulmonary microbiota [91]. Moreover, antibiotic-mediated dysbiosis may affect the microbiota–immune axis, thus, aggravating the clinical course of TB and increasing the risk of reinfection [65, 92]. Probiotics have been identified by the WHO as “Living microorganisms that when administered in adequate amounts as a part of food confer a health benefit to the host” [93]. Just recently, the bactericidal activity of probiotics has been demonstrated to suppress a few antibiotic-resistant superbugs and, therefore, attempts have been made to apply them against tuberculosis [94]. In general terms, probiotics are able to increase the protective effect of the intestinal epithelial barrier, also shifting the immune profile towards a tolerogenic pathway with the production of IL-10 by TREG cells [95, 96].

6. Novel Treatment to Overcome Antibiotic Resistance against Mtb

The emergence of MDR Mtb strains has prompted very intensive studies aimed at developing new anti-TB therapies, which are more effective and less toxic. Therefore, in the next paragraphs natural products (probiotics and polyphenols) and biological products (anti-microbial peptides (AMPs) and IFN-gamma), respectively, will be described.

Figure 2. Mtb-induced mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. Stringent response elaborated by Mtb relies on Rel enzyme through the activity of alarmones. Synthesis of glycopeptidolipids contributes to the formation of biofilms, which increase resistance to antibiotics. Increased supply of amino acids allows Mtb to survive for years inside macrophages in the context of granuloma.
6.2. Polyphenols

Polyphenols are natural products largely contained in fruits, vegetables, cereals, red wine, and extra virgin olive oil [27]. They are endowed with antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and microbicidal activities and, therefore, are currently used in the prevention or treatment of chronic disease [28]. In fact, polyphenols exert their anti-inflammatory activity either inhibiting the NF-kB pathway with reduced expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines or activating TREG cells with the enhanced expression of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10.

To the best of our knowledge, the use of polyphenols in the treatment of TB has been poorly investigated. A mixed flavonoid mixture treatment of TPH-1 infected macrophages and human granuloma reduced the intracellular survival of Mtb and increased granuloma formation, with higher levels of IL-12 and IFN-gamma, and lower levels of IL-10 [102]. Polyphenols, extracted from Areca catechu and enriched in catechin, epicatechin, and epigallocatechin, were able to inhibit Mtb growth [103]. Polyphenols extracted from the roots of Anogeissus (A.) leiocarpa could inhibit Mycobacterium smegmatis growth in view of high concentration of ellagic acid derivatives, ellagitannins, and flavonoids [104]. Clinical data support the use of A. leiocarpa in the treatment of cough related to TB. A saponin-polybrophenol antibiotic (CU1), extracted from Cassia fistula inhibited transcription from Mtb polymerases, and its ethanol extract was very effective against MDR bacteria [105]. Sirtuin (Sirt) 1 is a NAD-dependent deacetylase, that is able to inhibit apoptosis and inflammation in human cells [106]. Resveratrol, a non-flavonoid polyphenol, is a Sirt 1 activator, which abrogated Mtb-induced apoptosis in peritoneal macrophages [107]. Furthermore, Sirt 1 inhibited Mtb growth, enhancing GSK3 beta phosphorylation, thus, inducing its deacylation. Therefore, Sirt 1 can be considered as potential anti-TB agent. The use of natural products as anti-TB agents is described in Figure 3.

| Natural Products as anti-TB agents | PROBIOTICS | POLYPHENOLS |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| **Action**                        | Co-adjutants during treatment for TBC Infection | Microbicidal activities against Mtb (in vitro) |
| • Increase in epithelial gut barrier protection | Niyatiin rosea (Galenic preparation of heat killed Mycobacterium mamenumensis, increase in T effector cells and memory TREG cells in TB patients) | Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities (IL-10) |
| • Anti-inflammatory activity (IL-10) | Lactobacillus rhamnosus PMC 202 (decrease in pro-inflammatory cytokines and increase in phosphatidyl serine, mannol-1 and phosphatidyl colina) | Mixed flavonoid mixture |
|                                  | Lactobacillus crispatus (reduction of Mtb strains in broth culture and macrophages) | Areca catechu polyphenols |
|                                  |                                 | Anogeissus leiocarpa polyphenols |
|                                  |                                 | Cassia fistula polyphenols |
|                                  |                                 | Resveratrol as an inducer of Sirt-1 |

Figure 3. Potential use of natural products as anti-TB agents. Both probiotics and polyphenols have been experimented with as anti-TB pharmaceuticals. Probiotics can modulate the gut-lung axis that is compromised in the course of TB. Polyphenols exert anti-TB microbicidal activity in vitro and their application in human TB needs to be better explored.

6.3. Antimicrobial Peptides

AMPs have been shown to act as antimicrobial compounds alone or in combination with other drugs [108–111]. In general terms, they are cationic with less than 50 amino acid residues. Additionally, synthetic analogues are more effective than natural AMPs demonstrating a lower minimum inhibitory concentration [112]. AMPs act through different modalities: i. Disruption of bacterial membrane with formation of pores or via electroporation; ii. Interaction with bacterial components and cell death induction; iii. Inhibition of cell wall biosynthesis; iv. Antimicrobial activity mediated via the activation of the innate immune system [113–115]. Cathelicidins, human defensins, and
lactoferrin (LF) are the major categories of AMPs. LL-37 is a human cathelicidin, that is able to bind to the negatively charged outer leaflet of the microbial membrane, leading to its disruption [116]. In addition, LL-37 exerts its microbicidal activity against Mtb via modulation of the innate immune response [117]. In the course of Mtb infection, LL-37 was expressed following the up-regulation of the vitamin D receptor, as well as after oral administration of phenylbutyrate and vitamin D3, with reduction of the intracellular burden of Mtb [118,119]. Of note, LL-37 stimulation of infected macrophages led to the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines, IL-10 and TGF-beta, thus, suggesting a modulation of the immune response in the earlier phase of Mtb infection [120]. Among analogues of AMPs, acyl depsipeptides (ADEPs) seem to represent a future generation of antibiotics against tuberculosis [121]. ADEPs exert antibacterial activity on Mtb strains through activation of the caseinolytic protease ClpP1P2. Biotherapeutics for the treatment of TB are indicated in Figure 4.

**Figure 4.** Biotherapeutics for treatment of experimental and human TB. AMPs target Mtb in different ways, inhibiting intracellular mycobacterial growth and reducing pro-inflammatory cytokines and IL-12 release by Th1 cells. Nebulized, aerosol, subcutaneous, and intramuscular IFN-gamma administration leads to sputum conversion, reduction of fever, and improvement of life quality in severe human TB.

### 6.4. Interferon-Gamma and Mesenchymal Stem Cells

IFN-gamma represents a protective cytokine against TB progression that is produced by Th1 and T cytotoxic lymphocytes a few days after infection [122–124]. Several genes that encode IFN-gamma and its receptors are required for anti-TB response to occur [125]. In particular, IFN-gamma potentiates the microbicidal response of macrophages, with the release of nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species, and the enhancement of antigen presentation [126]. In TB patients infected with a MDR Mtb strain, levels of IFN-gamma were reduced and the same was true in TB patients treated with beta-lactams [127,128]. In a meta-analysis, evidence has been provided that IFN-gamma represents an adjunctive therapy against lung TB [129]. In separate clinical trials, nebulized IFN-gamma, aerosol, subcutaneous injected IFN-gamma, and intramuscularly administered IFN-gamma were very effective in negative sputum conversion and reduction in fever symptoms [130,131]. Remarkably, intramuscular injection of IFN-gamma was very effective in patients with...
MDR TB [132]. Another three studies in patients with MDR TB demonstrated the efficacy of adjuvant therapy with IFN-gamma: i. A case of brain TB with complete remission following 12 months of treatment [133,134]; ii. Increase in IL-12/IL-27-induced secretion of IFN-gamma in MDR TB [130]; iii. Improvement of macrophage function in MDR TB patients [135]. Finally, adjunct therapy with IFN-gamma was very beneficial in patients with destructive lung TB, also significantly improving life quality [136]. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are pluripotent stem cells present in the bone marrow, skin, thymus, placenta, and umbilical cord tissue, that can be induced to differentiate into osteoblasts, adipocytes, chondroblasts, and neuron cells [137,138]. Over recent years, a few reports have attributed a role to MSCs in the regulation of tuberculosis granuloma (TG). These cells generate nitric oxide, which can inhibit the growth of Mtb within the granuloma [139]. Conversely, MSCs are niches for Mtb dormancy in the context of TG and may contribute to the development of Tuberculosis [140]. Notably, there is evidence that MSCs can affect lipid homeostasis in macrophages, also reducing the expression of MHC II [141]. These activities, in turn, may decrease the Mtb energy supply, regulating the lipid metabolism in macrophages. As reported by Zhang and associates [141,142], the application of MSCs for the treatment of tuberculosis is at the beginning stages and some issues should be taken into consideration, such as, tissue sources of MSCs, culture conditions in vitro, and possible side effects.

7. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

Nowadays, the emergence of MDR Mtb strains represent a serious health problem considering the notion that HIV exacerbates human TB pathogenicity. Alternative treatments to anti-TB antibiotics are the objective of current investigations. As reviewed by [143], pharmacomicrobiomics investigates the relationship between drug and gut microbiota with the aim to correct alterations of gut microbiota and treat several diseases, including human lung TB. Polyphenols have scarcely been exploited as anti-TB agents, despite their ability to induce activation of IL-10 [144]. In our opinion, in the later phase of human TB, polyphenols even in conjunction with antibiotics may reduce the exaggerated inflammation, which culminates to destructive lung TB.

Among non-animal AMPs, antibiotics produced by Lactococcus lactis exert anti-tubercular activity [145]. Especially, nisin A and lactcin 3147, as well as their mutated variants, are very effective against some MDR Mtb strains. With special reference to alarmones, use of photo-cross-linkable (p) ppGpp has allowed researchers to identify specific targets of alarmones [146]. This method seems to be very useful to study the stringent response demonstrated by all mycobacterial species. With special reference to essential oils, evidence has been provided that those extracted from Micromeria (M.) barbata, Eucalyptus globulus, and Juniperus excelsa in vitro possess antibacterial activity against Mtb, even including MDR strains [147]. The reported data are encouraging for in vivo trials mostly with essential oils from M. barbata. Despite the availability of natural and synthetic products, more clinical trials are needed to validate their full efficacy as alternative treatment to anti-TB antibiotics in the case of MDR Mtb strains.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.S. and E.J.; methodology, R.A.; validation, R.A., A.B., S.T. and E.J.; investigation, L.B., E.J. and L.S.; resources, R.A.; data curation, L.B., E.J. and L.S.; writing—original draft preparation, A.B. and E.J.; writing—review and editing, E.J. and L.S.; visualization, all the authors; supervision, E.J.; project administration, L.S.; funding acquisition, R.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All available data are reported in the text.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge Ioannis A. Charitos for his valuable help in preparing the figures, and Giuseppe Divittorio and Michelangelo Ferri for technical support.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Furin, J.; Cox, H.; Pai, M. Tuberculosis. Lancet 2019, 393, 1642–1656. [CrossRef]
2. World Health Organization. Global Tuberculosis Report; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2020; ISBN 978-92-4-001313-1.
3. Bruchfeld, J.; Correia-Neves, M.; Källenius, G. Tuberculosis and HIV Coinfection. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 2015, 5, a017871. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Onyebujoh, P.; Zumuia, A.; Ribeiro, I.; Rustomjee, R.; Mwaba, P.; Gomes, M.; Grange, J.M. Treatment of Tuberculosis: Present Status and Future Prospects. Bull. World Health Organ. 2005, 83, 857–865. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Harichander, S.; Wafe, E.; Mensah, K.B.; Bangalae, V.; Oosthuizen, F. The Incidence of TB and MDR-TB in Pediatrics and Therapeutic Options: A Systematic Review. Syst. Rev. 2022, 11, 157. [CrossRef]
6. Vilchez, C. Mycobacterial Cell Wall: A Source of Successful Targets for Old and New Drugs. Appl. Sci. 2020, 10, 2278. [CrossRef]
7. Wehrli, W. Rifampin: Mechanisms of Action and Resistance. Clin. Infect. Dis. 1983, 5, S407–S411. [CrossRef]
8. Zhang, Y. Mode of Action of Pyrazinamide: Disruption of Mycobacterium tuberculosis Membrane Transport and Energetics by Pyrazinonic Acid. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2003, 52, 790–795. [CrossRef]
9. Sosnik, A.; Carcaboso, A.M.; Glisoni, R.J.; Moreton, M.A.; Chiappetta, D.A. New Old Challenges in Tuberculosis: Potentially Effective Nanotechnologies in Drug Delivery. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2010, 62, 547–559. [CrossRef]
10. Gupta, K.R.; Arora, G.; Mattoo, A.; Sajid, A. Stringent Response in Mycobacteria: From Biology to Therapeutic Potential. Pathogens 2021, 10, 1417. [CrossRef]
11. Srivatsan, A.; Wang, J.D. Control of Bacterial Transcription, Translation and Replication by (p)PpGpp. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2008, 11, 100–105. [CrossRef]
12. Mestre, A.A.; Zhou, P.; Chi, J.-T. Metazoan Stringent-like Response Mediated by MESH1 Phenotypic Conservation via Distinct Mechanisms. Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J. 2020, 20, 2680–2684. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Traxler, M.F.; Summers, S.M.; Nguyen, H.-T.; Zacharia, V.M.; Hightower, G.A.; Smith, J.T.; Conway, T. The Global, PpGpp-Mediated Stringent Response to Amino Acid Starvation in Escherichia Coli. Mol. Microbiol. 2008, 68, 1128–1148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Eymann, C.; Homuth, G.; Scharf, C.; Hecker, M. Bacillus Subtilis Functional Genomics: Global Characterization of the Stringent Response by Proteome and Transcriptome Analysis. J. Bacteriol. 2002, 184, 2500–2520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
15. Travis, B.A.; Schumacher, M.A. Diverse Molecular Mechanisms of Transcription Regulation by the Bacterial Alarmone PpGpp. Mol. Microbiol. 2022, 117, 252–260. [CrossRef]
16. Buriánková, K.; Doucet-Populaire, F.; Dorson, O.; Gondran, A.; Ghnassia, J.-C.; Weiser, J.; Perndot, J.-L. Molecular Basis of Intrinsic Macrolide Resistance in the Mycobacterium tuberculosis Complex. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2004, 48, 143–150. [CrossRef]
17. te Brake, L.H.M.; de Knecht, G.J.; de Steenwinkel, J.E.; van Dam, T.J.P.; Burger, D.M.; Russel, F.G.M.; van Crevel, R.; Koenderink, J.B.; Aarnoutse, R.E. The Role of Efflux Pumps in Tuberculosis Treatment and Their Promise as a Target in Drug Development: Unraveling the Black Box. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2018, 58, 271–291. [CrossRef]
18. Fux, C.A.; Costerton, J.W.; Stewart, P.S.; Stoodley, P. Survival Strategies of Infectious Biofilms. Trends Microbiol. 2005, 13, 34–40. [CrossRef]
19. Pai, M.; Behr, M.A.; Dowdy, D.; Dheda, K.; Divangahi, M.; Boehme, C.C.; Ginsberg, A.; Swaminathan, S.; Spigelman, M.; Getahun, H.; et al. Tuberculosis. Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim. 2016, 2, 16076. [CrossRef]
20. Russell, D.G. Mycobacterium tuberculosis and the Intimate Discourse of a Chronic Infection: Mycobacterium’s Subversion of Host Function. ImmunoI. Rev. 2011, 240, 252–268. [CrossRef]
21. Silva Miranda, M.; Breiman, A.; Allain, S.; Deknyufy, F.; Altare, F. The Tuberculous Granuloma: An Unsuccessful Host Defence Mechanism Providing a Safety Shelter for the Bacteria? Clin. Dev. Immunol. 2012, 2012, 1–14. [CrossRef]
22. Bermudez, L.E.; Young, L.S. Tumor Necrosis Factor, Alone or in Combination with IL-2, but Not IFN-Gamma, Is Associated with Macrophage Killing of Mycobacterium Avium Complex. J. Immunol. 1988, 140, 3006–3013. [PubMed]
23. Lutzky, V.P.; Ratnatumga, C.N.; Smith, D.J.; Kupz, A.; Doolan, D.L.; Reid, D.W.; Thomson, R.M.; Bell, S.C.; Miles, J.J. Anomalies in T Cell Function Are Associated with Individuals at Risk of Mycobacterium Abscessus Complex Infection. Front. Immunol. 2018, 9, 1319. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
24. Kwon, Y.S.; Kim, E.J.; Lee, S.-H.; Suh, G.Y.; Chung, M.P.; Kim, H.; Kwon, O.J.; Koh, W.-J. Decreased Cytokine Production in Patients with Nontuberculous Mycobacterial Lung Disease. Lung 2007, 185, 337–341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
25. Santacroce, L.; Charitos, I.A.; Bottalico, L. A successful history: Probiotics and their potential as antimicrobials. Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Ther. 2019, 17, 635–645. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Gavrilova, N.N.; Ratnikova, I.A.; Sadanov, A.K.; Bayakishcheva, K.; Tourilbaeva, Z.J.; Belikova, O.A. Application of probiotics in complex treatment of tuberculosis. Int. J. Eng. Res. Appl. 2014, 4, 13–18.
27. Magrone, T.; Magrone, M.; Russo, M.A.; Jirillo, E. Recent Advances on the Anti-Inflammatory and Antioxidant Properties of Red Grape Polyphenols: In Vitro and In Vivo Studies. Antioxidants 2019, 8, 35. [CrossRef]
28. Watson, R.R. Polyphenols: Prevention and Treatment of Human Disease, 2nd ed.; Elsevier: San Diego, CA, USA, 2018.
29. Magrone, T.; Russo, M.A.; Jirillo, E. Antimicrobial Peptides in Human Disease: Therapeutic Approaches. Second of Two Parts. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2018, 24, 1148–1156. [CrossRef]
30. Haney, E.F.; Strauss, S.K.; Hancock, R.E.W. Reassessing the Host Defense Peptide Landscape. Front. Chem. 2019, 7, 43. [CrossRef]
31. Magrone, T.; Jirillo, E. Immunity to Tuberculosis and Novel Therapeutic Strategies. MAGRONE, Thea; JIRILLO, Emilio. Immunity to tuberculosis and novel therapeutic strategies. Clin. Immunol. Endocr. Metab. Drugs 2014, 1, 46–60. [CrossRef]
32. Thye, T.; Vannberg, F.O.; Wong, S.H.; Owusu-Dabo, E.; Osei, I.; Gyapong, J.; Sirugo, G.; Sisay-Joof, F.; Enimil, A.; Chinbua, M.A.; et al. Genome-wide association analyses identifies a susceptibility locus for tuberculosis on chromosome 18q11.2. Nat. Genet. 2010, 42, 739–741. [CrossRef]
33. Zheng, R.; Li, Z.; He, F.; Liu, H.; Chen, J.; Chen, J.; Xie, X.; Zhou, J.; Chen, H.; Wu, X.; et al. Genome-Wide Association Study Identifies Two Risk Loci for Tuberculosis in Han Chinese. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 4072. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Thye, T.; Owusu-Dabo, E.; Vannberg, F.O.; van Crevel, R.; Curtis, J.; Sahiratmadja, E.; Balabanova, Y.; Ehmen, C.; Muntabu, B.; Ruge, G.; et al. Common Variants at 11p13 Are Associated with Susceptibility to Tuberculosis. Nat. Genet. 2012, 44, 257–259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Chimusa, E.R.; Zaitlen, N.; Daya, M.; Möller, M.; van Helden, P.D.; Mulder, N.J.; Price, A.L.; Hoal, E.G. Genome-Wide Association Study of Ancestry-Specific TB Risk in the South African Coloured Population. Hum. Mol. Genet. 2014, 23, 796–809. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
36. Quistrebert, J.; Orlová, M.; Kerner, G.; Ton, L.T.; Luong, N.T.; Danh, N.T.; Vincent, Q.B.; Jabot-Hanin, F.; Seeleuthner, Y.; Bustamante, J.; et al. Genome-Wide Association Study of Resistance to Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection Identifies a Locus at 10q26.2 in Three Distinct Populations. PLoS Genet. 2021, 17, e1009392. [CrossRef]
37. Sveinbjörnsson, G.; Gudbjartsson, D.F.; Halldorsson, B.V.; Kristinsson, K.G.; Gottfredsson, M.; Barrett, J.C.; Gudmundsson, L.J.; Blondal, K.; Gylfason, A.; Gudjonsson, S.A.; et al. HLA Class II Sequence Variants Influence Tuberculosis Risk in Populations of European Ancestry. Nat. Genet. 2016, 48, 318–322. [CrossRef]
38. Huang, L.; Holtzinger, A.; Jagan, I.; BeGora, M.; Lohse, I.; Ngai, N.; Nostro, C.; Wang, R.; Muthuswamy, L.B.; Crawford, H.C.; et al. Ductal pancreatic cancer modeling and drug screening using human pluripotent stem cell- and patient-derived tumor organoids. Nat. Med. 2015, 21, 1364–1371. [CrossRef]
39. Rosain, J.; Kong, X.-F.; Martinez-Barricarte, R.; Oleaga-Quintas, C.; Ramirez-Alejo, N.; Markle, J.; Okada, S.; Boisson-Dupuis, S.; Casanova, J.-L.; Bustamante, J. Mendelian Susceptibility to Mycobacterial Disease: 2014-2018 Update. Immunol. Cell Biol. 2019, 97, 360–367. [CrossRef]
40. Alahari, A.; Trivelli, X.; Guérardel, Y.; Dover, L.G.; Besra, G.S.; Sacchettini, J.C.; Reynolds, R.C.; Coxon, G.D.; Kremer, L. Thiacetazone, an Antitubercular Drug That Inhibits Cyclopropanation of Cell Wall Mycolic Acids in Mycobacteria. PLoS ONE 2007, 2, e1343. [CrossRef]
41. Mi, J.; Gong, W.; Wu, X. Advances in Key Drug Target Identification and New Drug Development for Tuberculosis. BioMed Res. Int. 2022, 2022, 5099312. [CrossRef]
42. Roy, S.; Schmeier, S.; Kaczkowski, B.; Arner, E.; Alam, T.; Ozturk, M.; Tamguez, O.; Parihar, S.P.; Kawaji, H.; Itoh, M.; et al. Transcriptional landscape of Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in macrophages. Sci. Rep. 2018, 8, 6758. [CrossRef]
43. Wei, M.; Wang, L.; Wu, T.; Xi, J.; Han, Y.; Yang, X.; Zhang, D.; Fang, Q.; Tang, B. NLRP3 Activation Was Regulated by DNA Methylation Modification during Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection. BioMed Res. Int. 2016, 2016, 4323281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
44. Zheng, R.; Leung, E.T.; Wong, H.K.; Lui, G.; Lee, N.; To, K.F.; Choy, K.W.; Chan, R.C.; Ip, M. Unraveling methylation changes of host macrophages in Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. Tuberculosis 2016, 98, 139–148. [CrossRef]
45. Sharma, A.; Gupta, P.; Kumar, R.; Bhardwaj, A. dPABBs: A Novel in silico Approach for Predicting and Designing Anti-biofilm Peptides. Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 21839. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
46. Behrouzii, A.; Hadifar, S.; Amanzadeh, A.; Riazi Rad, F.; Vaziri, F.; Siadat, S.D. Aberrant Methylation of Host Macrophages Induced by Tuberculosis Infection. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2019, 35, 168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
47. Singh, V.; Prakash, P.; Rajmani, R.S.; Mahadik, K.; Borbora, S.M.; Balaji, K.N. Histone Methyltransferase SET8 Epigenetically Reprograms Host Immune Responses to Assist Mycobacterial Survival. J. Infect. Dis. 2017, 216, 477–488. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
48. Marin Franco, J.L.; Genoula, M.; Corral, D.; Duette, G.; Ferreyra, M.; Maio, M.; Dolotowicz, M.B.; Aparicio-Trejo, O.E.; Charton, A.; et al. Host-Derived Lipids from Tuberculous Pleurisy Impair Macrophage Microbicidal-Associated Metabolic Activity. Cell Rep. 2020, 33, 108547. [CrossRef]
49. Gleeson, L.E.; Sheedy, F.J.; Palsson-McDermott, E.M.; Triglia, D.; O’Leary, S.M.; O'Sullivan, M.P.; O'Neill, L.A.J.; Keane, J. Cutting Edge: Mycobacterium tuberculosis Induces Aerobic Glycolysis in Human Alveolar Macrophages That Is Required for Control of Intracellular Bacillary Replication. J. Infect. Dis. 2016, 216, 2444–2449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
50. Wu, K.-T.; Chou, W.-Y.; Wang, C.-J.; Chen, C.-Y.; Ko, J.-Y.; Chen, P.-C.; Cheng, J.-H.; Yang, Y.-J. Efficacy of Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy on Calcified and Noncalcified Shoulder Tendinosis: A Propensity Score Matched Analysis. J. Immunol. 2017, 2017, 477–488. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
51. Cooper, A.M.; Roberts, A.D.; Rhoades, E.R.; Callahan, J.E.; Getzy, D.M.; Orme, I.M. The Role of Interleukin-12 in Acquired Immunity to Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection. Immunology 1995, 84, 423–432. [CrossRef]
52. Verreck, F.A.W.; de Boer, T.; Langenberg, D.M.L.; Hoeve, M.A.; Kramer, M.; Vaisberg, E.; Kastelein, R.; Kolk, A.; de Waal-Malefyt, R.; Ottenhoff, T.H.M. Human IL-23-Producing Type 1 Macrophages Promote but IL-10-Producing Type 2 Macrophages Subvert Immunity to (Myco)Bacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2004, 101, 4560–4565. [CrossRef]
53. Chandra, P.; Grigsby, S.J.; Philips, J.A. Immune Evasion and Provocation by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2022, 25, 1–17. [CrossRef]

54. Robinson, C.M.; Nau, G.J. Interleukin-12 and Interleukin-27 Regulate Macrophage Control of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J. Infect. Dis. 2008, 198, 359–366. [CrossRef]

55. Zorn, E.; Nelson, E.A.; Mohseni, M.; Porcheray, F.; Kim, H.; Litsa, D.; Bellucci, R.; Radershall, E.; Canning, C.; Soiffer, R.J.; et al. IL-2 Regulates FOXP3 Expression in Human CD4+CD25+ Regulatory T Cells through a STAT-Dependent Mechanism andInduces the Expansion of These Cells in Vivo. Blood 2006; 108, 1571–1579. [CrossRef]

56. Majlessi, L.; Sayes, F.; Bureau, J.-F.; Pawlik, A.; Michel, V.; Jouvion, G.; Huerre, M.; Severgnini, M.; Consolandi, C.; Peano, C.; et al. Indole Propionic Acid: A Small Molecule Links between Gut Microbiota and Tuberculosis. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2017, 15, 55–63. [CrossRef]

57. Wang, J.; Li, F.; Wei, H.; Lian, Z.-X.; Sun, R.; Tian, Z. Respiratory Influenza Virus Infection Induces Intestinal Immune Injury via Microbiota-Mediated Th17 Cell-Dependent Inflammation. J. Exp. Med. 2014, 211, 2397–2410. [CrossRef]

58. Shah, T.; Shah, Z.; Baloch, Z.; Cui, X. The Role of Microbiota in Respiratory Health and Diseases, Particularly in Tuberculosis. Biomed. Pharm. 2021, 143, 112108. [CrossRef]

59. Arnold, I.C.; Hutchings, C.; Kondova, I.; Hey, A.; Powrie, F.; Beverley, P.; Tchilian, E. Helicobacter Hepaticus Infection in BALB/c Mice Abolishes Subunit-Vaccine-Induced Protection against M. Tuberculosis. Vaccine 2015, 33, 1808–1814. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

60. Budden, K.F.; Gellatly, S.L.; Wood, D.L.A.; Cooper, M.A.; Morrison, M.; Hugenholtz, P.; Hansbro, P.M. Emerging Pathogenic Links between Microbiota and the Gut-Lung Axis. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2017, 15, 1178–1189. [CrossRef]

61. Maji, A.; Misra, R.; Dhakan, D.B.; Gupta, V.; Mahato, N.K.; Saxena, R.; Mittal, P.; Thukral, N.; Sharma, E.; Singh, A.; et al. The Gut Microbiota Plays a Protective Role in the Host Defence against Pneumococcal Pneumonia. Gut 2016, 65, 575–583. [CrossRef]

62. Wang, J.; Li, F.; Wei, H.; Lian, Z.-X.; Sun, R.; Tian, Z. Respiratory Influenza Virus Infection Induces Intestinal Immune Injury via Microbiota-Mediated Th17 Cell-Dependent Inflammation. J. Exp. Med. 2014, 211, 2397–2410. [CrossRef]

63. Schuijt, T.J.; Lankelma, J.M.; Scicluna, B.P.; de Sousa e Melo, F.; Roelofs, J.J.T.H.; de Boer, J.D.; Hoogendijk, A.J.; de Beer, R.; de Vos, W.W. Colonization with Helicobacter Is Concomitant with Modified Gut Microbiota and Drastic Failure of the Immune Control of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Mucosal Immunol. 2017, 10, 1178–1189. [CrossRef]

64. Negatu, D.A.; Liu, J.J.; Zimmerman, M.; Kaya, F.; Dartois, V.; Aldrich, C.C.; Gengenbacher, M.; Dick, T. Whole-Cell Screen of Fragment Library Identifies Gut Microbiota Metabolite Indole Propionic Acid as Antitubercular. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2018, 62, e01571-17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Kaufmann, S.H.E. Indole Propionic Acid: A Small Molecule Links between Gut Microbiota and Tuberculosis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2018, 62, e00389-18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Khan, N.; Mendonca, L.; Dhariwal, A.; Fontes, G.; Menzies, D.; Xia, J.; Divangahi, M.; King, I.L. Intestinal Dysbiosis Compromises Alveolar Macrophage Immunity to Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Alveolar Macrophage. J. Infect. 2008, 57, 359–366. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Prasad, S.; Shilpa, V.P.; Abbas, H.S.; Kotakonda, M. Mechanisms of Antimicrobial Resistance: Highlights on Current Advance Methods for Detection of Drug Resistance and Current Pipeline Antitubercular Agents. Front. Physiol. 2017, 8, 822. [CrossRef]

68. Maji, A.; Misra, R.; Dhanak, D.B.; Gupta, V.; Mahato, N.K.; Saxena, R.; Mittal, P.; Thukral, N.; Sharma, E.; Singh, A.; et al. Gut Microbiome Contributes to Impairment of Immunity in Pulmonary Tuberculosis Patients by Alteration of Butyrate and Propionate Producers. Environ. Microbiol. 2018, 20, 402–419. [CrossRef]

69. Lachmandas, E.; van den Heuvel, C.N.A.M.; Damen, M.S.M.A.; Cleophas, M.C.P.; Netea, M.G.; van Crevel, R. Diabetes Mellitus Loss of Diversity in Gut Microbiota. PLoS ONE 2014, 9, e97048. [CrossRef]

70. Luo, M.; Liu, Y.; Wu, P.; Luo, D.-X.; Sun, Q.; Zheng, H.; Hu, R.; Pandol, S.J.; Li, Q.-F.; Han, Y.-P.; et al. IL-2 Regulates FOXP3 Expression in Human CD4+CD25+ Regulatory T Cells through a STAT-Dependent Mechanism andInduces the Expansion of These Cells in Vivo. Blood 2006; 108, 1571–1579. [CrossRef]

71. Lachmandas, E.; van den Heuvel, C.N.A.M.; Damen, M.S.M.A.; Cleophas, M.C.P.; Netea, M.G.; van Crevel, R. Diabetes Mellitus Loss of Diversity in Gut Microbiota. PLoS ONE 2014, 9, e97048. [CrossRef]

72. Prasad, S.; Shilpa, V.P.; Abbas, H.S.; Kotakonda, M. Mechanisms of Antimicrobial Resistance: Highlights on Current Advance Methods for Detection of Drug Resistance and Current Pipeline Antitubercular Agents. Front. Physiol. 2017, 8, 822. [CrossRef]

73. Prasad, S.; Shilpa, V.P.; Abbas, H.S.; Kotakonda, M. Mechanisms of Antimicrobial Resistance: Highlights on Current Advance Methods for Detection of Drug Resistance and Current Pipeline Antitubercular Agents. Front. Physiol. 2017, 8, 822. [CrossRef]

74. Ramón-García, S.; Martin, C.; Thompson, C.J.; Ainsa, J.A. Role of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis P55 Efflux Pump in Intrinsuc Drug Resistance, Oxidative Stress Responses, and Growth. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2009, 53, 3675–3682. [CrossRef]

75. Bottalico, L.; Charitos, I.A.; Potenza, M.A.; Montagnani, M.; Santacroce, L. The war against bacteria, from the past to present and beyond. Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Ther. 2012, 10, 681–706. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Ehrt, S.; Schnappinger, D.; Rhee, K.Y. Metabolic Principles of Persistence and Pathogenicity in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2018, 16, 496–507. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Hauryliuk, V.; Atkinson, G.C.; Murakami, K.S.; Tenson, T.; Gerdes, K. Recent Functional Insights into the Role of (p)PpGpp in Bacterial Physiology. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2015, 13, 298–309. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

78. Yang, Y.; Wu, J. Significance of the Differential Peptidase in Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis. BioMed Res. Int. 2019, 2019, 5653424. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

79. Atkinson, G.C.; Tenson, T.; Hauryliuk, V. The RelA/SpoT Homolog (RSH) Superfamily: Distribution and Functional Evolution of PpGpp Synthetases and Hydrolases across the Tree of Life. PLoS ONE 2011, 6, e23479. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
80. Mishra, J.; Rajput, R.; Singh, K.; Bansal, A.; Misra, K. Antioxidant-Rich Peptide Fractions Derived from High-Altitude Chinese Caterpillar Medicinal Mushroom Ophiocordyceps Sinensis (Ascomycetes) Inhibit Bacterial Pathogens. Int. J. Med. Mushrooms 2019, 21, 155–168. [CrossRef][PubMed]

81. Barry, C.E.; Boshoff, H.L.; Dartois, V.; Dick, T.; Ehrt, S.; Flynn, J.; Schnappinger, D.; Wilkinson, R.J.; Young, D. The Spectrum of Latent Tuberculosis: Rethinking the Biology and Intervention Strategies. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2009, 7, 845–855. [CrossRef]

82. Banu, S.; Honore, N.; Saint-Joanis, B.; Philoott, D.; Prevost, M.-C.; Cole, S.T. Are the PE-PGRS Proteins of Mycobacterium tuberculosis Variable Surface Antigens? Mol. Microbiol. 2002, 44, 9–19. [CrossRef]

83. Ramakrishnan, L.; Federspiel, N.A.; Falkow, S. Granuloma-Specific Expression of Mycobacterium Virulence Proteins from the Glycine-Rich PE-PGRS Family. Science 2000, 288, 1346–1349. [CrossRef][PubMed]

84. De Maio, F.; Battah, B.; Palmieri, V.; Petrone, L.; Corrente, F.; Salustri, A.; Bellesi, S.; Papi, M.; Rubino, S.; et al. PE_PGRS3 of Mycobacterium tuberculosis Is Specifically Expressed at Low Phosphate Concentration, and Its Arginine-Rich C-Terminal Domain Mediates Adhesion and Persistence in Host Tissues When Expressed in Mycobacterium Smegmatis. Cell Microbiol. 2018, 20, e12992. [CrossRef][PubMed]

85. Dutta, N.K.; Klinkenberg, L.G.; Vazquez, M.J.; Segura-Carro, D.; Colmenarejo, G.; Ramon, F.; Rodriguez-Miquel, B.; Mata-Cantero, L.; Porras-De Francisco, E.; Chuang, Y.M.; et al. Inhibiting the stringent response blocks Mycobacterium tuberculosis entry into quiescence and reduces persistence. Sci. Adv. 2019, 5, eaav2104. [CrossRef][PubMed]

86. Dah, J.L.; Kraus, C.N.; Boshoff, H.I.M.; Doan, B.; Foley, K.; Avarbock, D.; Kaplan, G.; Mizrahi, V.; Rubin, H.; Barry, C.E. The Role of RelMtb-Mediated Adaptation to Stationary Phase in Long-Term Persistence of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in Mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2003, 100, 10026–10031. [CrossRef]

87. Dutta, N.K.; Karakousis, P.C. Latent tuberculosis infection: Myths, models, and molecular mechanisms. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 2014, 78, 343–371. [CrossRef]

88. Chakraborty, P.; Kumar, A. The Extracellular Matrix of Mycobacterial Biofilms: Could We Shorten the Treatment of Mycobacterial Infections? Microb. Cell 2019, 6, 105–122. [CrossRef]

89. Basaraba, R.J.; Ojha, A.K. Mycobacterial Biofilms: Revisiting Tuberculosis Bacilli in Extracellular Necrotizing Lesions. Microbiol. Spectr. 2017, 5, 10.1128. [CrossRef]

90. Petchiappan, A.; Naik, S.Y.; Chatterji, D. RelZ-Mediated Stress Response in Mycobacterium Smegmatis: PGpp Synthesis and Its Regulation. J. Bacteriol. 2020, 202, e00444-19. [CrossRef]

91. Santacroce, L.; Man, A.; Charitos, I.A.; Haxhirexka, K.; Topi, S. Current knowledge about the connection between health status and gut microbiota from birth to elderly. A narrative review. Front. Biosci. (Landmark Ed.) 2021, 26, 135–148. [CrossRef]

92. Genestet, C.; Bernard-Barret, F.; Hodille, E.; Ginevra, C.; Ader, F.; Goutelle, S.; Lina, G.; Dumitrescu, O.; Lyon, T.B.; Lyon TB study group. Antituberculosis Drugs Modulate Bacterial Phagolysosome avoidance and Autophagy in Mycobacterium tuberculosis-Infected Macrophages. Tuberculosis 2018, 111, 67–70. [CrossRef]

93. Liu, Y.; Tran, D.Q.; Rloods, J.M. Probiotics in Disease Prevention and Treatment. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2018, 58, S164–S179. [CrossRef][PubMed]

94. Pamer, E.G. Resurrecting the intestinal microbiota to combat antibiotic-resistant pathogens. Science 2016, 352, 535–538. [CrossRef][PubMed]

95. Hörmannsperger, G.; Hailer, D. Molecular crosstalk of probiotic bacteria with the intestinal immune system: Clinical relevance in the context of inflammatory bowel disease. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 2010, 300, 63–73. [CrossRef][PubMed]

96. Ghadimi, D.; Fölster-Holst, R.; de Vrese, M.; Winkler, P.; Heller, K.J.; Schrezenmeir, J. Effects of Probiotic Bacteria and Their Main Metabolites on the Human Gut Microbiota. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 2019, 63, e1800226. [CrossRef][PubMed]

97. Cardona, P.J. The Progress of Therapeutic Vaccination with Regard to Tuberculosis. Front. Microbiol. 2016, 7, 1536. [CrossRef]

98. Montáne, E.; Barriocanal, A.M.; Arellano, A.L.; Valderrama, A.; Sanz, Y.; Perez-Alvarez, N.; Cardona, P.; Vilaplana, C.; Cardona, P.-J.; Pilot, Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial of the Supplement Food Nyaditum Resae In Adults with or without Latent TB Infection: Safety and Immunogenicity. PLoS ONE 2017, 12, e0171294. [CrossRef]

99. Rahim, M.A.; Seo, H.; Kim, S.; Tajdozian, H.; Barman, I.; Lee, Y.; Lee, S.; Song, H.-Y. In Vitro Anti-Tuberculosis Effect of Probiotic Lacticacibacillus thannosus PMC203 Isolated from Vaginal Microbiota. Sci. Rep. 2022, 12, 8290. [CrossRef]

100. Jiang, L.; Wang, J.; Xu, L.; Cai, J.; Zhao, S.; Ma, A. Lactobacillus Casei Modulates Inflammatory Cytokines and Metabolites during Tuberculosis Treatment: A Post Hoc Randomized Controlled Trial. Asia. Pac. J. Clin. Nutr. 2022, 31, 66–77. [CrossRef]

101. Lee, Y.; Seo, H.; Kim, S.; Rahim, M.D.A.; Yoon, Y.; Jung, J.; Lee, S.; Beom Ryu, C.; Song, H.-Y. Activity of Lactobacillus Crispatus Isolated from Vaginal Microbiota against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J. Microbiol. 2021, 59, 1019–1030. [CrossRef]

102. Cao, R.; Teskey, G.; Islamoglu, H.; Gutierrez, M.; Salaiz, O.; Munjal, S.; Fraix, M.P.; Sathananthan, A.; Nieman, D.C.; Venketaraman, V. Flavonoid Mixture Inhibits Mycobacterial Biofilms: Revisiting Tuberculosis Bacilli in Extracellular Necrotizing Lesions. Molecules 2019, 24, 851. [CrossRef]

103. Raju, A.; Degani, M.S.; Khambete, M.P.; Ray, M.K.; Rajan, M.G. Antifolate Activity of Plant Polyphenols against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Phytother. Res. 2015, 29, 1646–1651. [CrossRef][PubMed]

104. Salih, E.Y.A.; Julkunen-Tiitto, R.; Luukkanen, O.; Sipi, M.; Fahmi, M.K.M.; Fyhrist, P. Potential Anti-Tuberculosis Activity of the Extracts and Their Active Components of Anogeissus leiocarpa (DC.) Guill. and Perr. with Special Emphasis on Polyphenols. Antibiotics 2020, 9, 364. [CrossRef][PubMed]
105. Chakraborty, A.K.; Saha, S.; Poria, K.; Samanta, T.; Gautam, S.; Mukhopadhyay, J. A Saponin-Polybromophenol Antibiotic (CU1) from Cassia Fistula Bark Against Multi-Drug Resistant Bacteria Targeting RNA Polymerase. *Curr. Res. Pharm. Drug Discov.* **2022**, *3*, 100090. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

106. Shinozaki, S.; Chang, K.; Sakai, M.; Shimizu, N.; Yamada, M.; Tanaka, T.; Nakazawa, H.; Ichinose, F.; Yamada, Y.; Ishigami, A.; et al. Inflammatory Stimuli Induce Inhibitory S-Nitrosylation of the Deacetylase SIRT1 to Increase Acetylation and Activation of P38 and P65. *Sci. Signal* **2014**, *7*, ra106. [CrossRef]

107. Yang, H.; Chen, J.; Chen, Y.; Jiang, Y.; Ge, B.; Hong, L. Sirtuin Inhibits, *M. Tuberculosis*-Induced Apoptosis in Macrophage through Glycogen Synthase Kinase-3β. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2020**, *694*, 108612. [CrossRef]

108. Magana, M.; Pushpanathan, M.; Santos, A.L.; Leanse, L.; Fernandez, M.; Ioannidis, A.; Giulianotti, M.A.; Apidianakis, Y.; Bradfute, S.; Ferguson, A.L.; et al. The Value of Antimicrobial Peptides in the Age of Resistance. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *20*, e216–e230. [CrossRef]

109. Gaglione, R.; Pizzo, E.; Notomista, E.; de la Fuente-Nunez, C.; Arciello, A. Host Defence Cryptides from Human Apolipoproteins: Applications in Medicinal Chemistry. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **2020**, *20*, 1324–1337. [CrossRef]

110. Mehta, K.; Sharma, P.; Mujawar, S.; Vyas, A. Role of Antimicrobial Peptides in Treatment and Prevention of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: A Review. *Int. J. Pept. Res. Ther.* **2022**, *28*, 132. [CrossRef]

111. Jadhav, K.; Singh, R.; Ray, E.; Singh, A.K.; Verma, R.K. Taming the devil: Antimicrobial peptides for safer TB therapeutics. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* **2022**, *22*. [CrossRef]

112. Travis, S.M.; Anderson, N.N.; Forsyth, W.R.; Espiritu, C.; Conway, B.D.; Greenberg, E.P.; McCray, P.B., Jr.; Lehrer, R.I.; Welsh, M.J.; Racke, R.S.; Kamal, S.M.M.; Arifuzzaman, A.S.M.; Rahim, Z.; Khan, L.; Haq, M.A.; Zaman, K.; Bergman, P.; Brighenti, S.; et al. Significant Effects of Oral Phenylbutyrate and Vitamin D3 Adjunctive Therapy in Pulmonary Tuberculosis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *PloS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0138340. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Torres-Juarez, F.; Cardenas-Vargas, A.; Montoya-Rosales, A.; Gonzalez-Curiel, I.; Garcia-Hernandez, M.H.; Enciso-Moreno, J.A.; Hancock, R.E.W.; Rivas-Santiago, B. LL-37 Immunomodulatory Activity during *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Infection in Macrophages. *Infect. Immun.* **2015**, *83*, 4495–4503. [CrossRef]

114. Cobongela, S.; Makatini, M.M.; Mduli, P.S.; Sibuyi, N. Acyldepsipeptide Analogues: A Future Generation Antibiotics for Tuberculosis Treatment. *Pharmaceutics* **2022**, *14*, 1956. [CrossRef]

115. Desvignes, L.; Wolf, A.J.; Ernst, J.D. Dynamic Roles of Type I and Type II IFNs in Early Infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Immunol.* **2012**, *188*, 6205–6215. [CrossRef]

116. Petruccioli, E.; Scriba, T.J.; Petrone, L.; Hatherill, M.; Cirillo, D.M.; Joosten, S.A.; Ottenhoff, T.H.; Denkinger, C.M.; Goletti, D. Correlates of Tuberculosis Risk: Predictive Biomarkers for Progression to Active Tuberculosis. *Eur. Respir. J.* **2016**, *48*, 1751–1763. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

117. Berns, S.A.; Isakova, J.A.; Pekhtereva, P.I. Therapeutic Potential of Interferon-Gamma in Tuberculosis. *Admt Dmyk* **2022**, *10*, 63–73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

118. Ernst, J.D. The Immunological Life Cycle of Tuberculosis. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2012**, *12*, 581–591. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

119. Hickman-Davis, J.M.; Fang, F.C.; Nathan, C.; Shepherd, V.L.; Voelker, D.R.; Wright, J.R. Lung surfactant and reactive oxygen-nitrogen species: Antimicrobial activity and host-pathogen interactions. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.* **2001**, *281*, L517–L523. [CrossRef]

120. Fortes, A.; Pereira, K.; Antas, P.R.Z.; Franken, C.I.M.C.; Dalcolmo, M.; Ribeiro-Carvalho, M.M.; Cunha, K.S.; Geluk, A.; Kritski, A.; Kolk, A.; et al. Detection of in Vitro Interferon-Gamma and Serum Tumour Necrosis Factor-Alpha in Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis Patients. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* **2005**, *141*, 541–548. [CrossRef]

121. Brooks, B.M.; Hart, C.A.; Coleman, J.W. Differential Effects of Beta-Lactams on Human IFN-Gamma Activity. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **2005**, *56*, 1122–1125. [CrossRef]

122. Gao, X.-F.; Yang, Z.-W.; Li, J. Adjunctive Therapy with Interferon-Gamma for the Treatment of Pulmonary Tuberculosis: A Systematic Review. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **2011**, *15*, 594–600. [CrossRef]

123. Condos, R.; Rom, W.N.; Schluger, N.W. Treatment of Multidrug-Resistant Pulmonary Tuberculosis with Interferon-Gamma via Aerosol. *Lancet* **1997**, *349*, 1513–1515. [CrossRef]
131. Park, S.-K.; Cho, S.; Lee, I.-H.; Jeon, D.-S.; Hong, S.-H.; Smego, R.A.; Cho, S.-N. Subcutaneously Administered Interferon-Gamma for the Treatment of Multidrug-Resistant Pulmonary Tuberculosis. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 2007, 11, 434–440. [CrossRef]

132. Suárez-Méndez, R.; García-García, I.; Fernández-Olivera, N.; Valdés-Quintana, M.; Milanés-Virelles, M.T.; Carbonell, D.; Machado-Molina, D.; Valenzuela-Silva, C.M.; López-Saura, P.A. Adjuvant interferon gamma in patients with drug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis: A pilot study. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2004, 4, 44. [CrossRef]

133. Raad, I.; Hachem, R.; Leeds, N.; Sawaya, R.; Salem, Z.; Atweh, S. Use of Adjunctive Treatment with Interferon-Gamma in an Immunocompromised Patient Who Had Refractory Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis of the Brain. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 1996, 22, 572–574. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

134. Pisarenko, M.S. Peculiarities of IFN-g secretion in drug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis. *Fundam. Res.* 2013, 9, 444–447.

135. Khan, T.A.; Mazhar, H.; Saleha, S.; Tipu, H.N.; Muhammad, N.; Abbas, M.N. Interferon-Gamma Improves Macrophages Function against M. Tuberculosis in Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis Patients. *Chemoth. Res. Pr.* 2016, 2016, 7295390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

136. Ballini, A.; De Frenza, G.; Cantore, S.; Papa, F.; Grano, M.; Mastrandangelo, F.; Tetè, S.; Grassi, F.R. In vitro stem cell cultures from human dental pulp and periodontal ligament: New prospects in dentistry. *Int. J. Immunopathol. Pharmacol.* 2007, 20, 9–16. [CrossRef]

137. Charitos, I.A.; Ballini, A.; Cantore, S.; Boccellino, M.; Di Domenico, M.; Borsani, E.; Nocini, R.; Di Cosola, M.; Santacroce, L.; Bottalico, L. Stem Cells: A Historical Review about Biological, Religious, and Ethical Issues. *Stem. Cells Int.* 2021, 2021, 9978837. [CrossRef]

138. Raghuvanshi, S.; Sharma, P.; Singh, S.; Van Kaer, L.; Das, G. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* evades host immunity by recruiting mesenchymal stem cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, 107, 21653–21658. [CrossRef]

139. Das, B.; Kashino, S.S.; Pulu, I.; Kalita, D.; Swami, V.; Yeger, H.; Felsher, D.W.; Campos-Neto, A. CD271(+) bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells may provide a niche for dormant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2013, 5, 170ra13. [CrossRef]

140. Zhang, X.; Huang, F.; Li, W.; Dang, J.L.; Yuan, J.; Wang, J.; Zeng, D.L.; Sun, C.X.; Liu, Y.Y.; Ao, Q.; et al. Human Gingiva-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Modulate Monocytes/Macrophages and Alleviate Atherosclerosis. *Front. Immunol.* 2018, 9, 878. [CrossRef]

141. Zhang, X.; Xie, Q.; Ye, Z.; Li, Y.; Che, Z.; Huang, M.; Zeng, J. Mesenchymal Stem Cells and Tuberculosis: Clinical Challenges and Opportunities. *Front. Immunol.* 2021, 12, 695278. [CrossRef]

142. Maslennikov, A.A.; Obolonkova, N.I.; Belgorod State National Research University. Efficiency of ingaron in the treatment of patients with destructive pulmonary bacteriologicaly proven tuberculosis. *Res. Result* 2016, 2, 10–16. [CrossRef]

143. Diallo, D.; Somboro, A.M.; Diabate, S.; Baya, B.; Kone, A.; Sarro, Y.S.; Kone, B.; Diarra, B.; Diallo, S.; Diakite, M.; et al. Antituberculosis Therapy and Gut Microbiota: Review of Potential Host Microbiota Directed-Therapies. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2021, 11, 673100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

144. Marzulli, G.; Magrone, T.; Kawaguchi, K.; Kumazawa, Y.; Jirillo, E. Fermented Grape Marc (FGM): Immunomodulating Properties and Its Potential Exploitation in the Treatment of Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2012, 18, 43–50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

145. Oliveira, G.S.; Costa, R.P.; Gomes, P.; Gomes, M.S.; Silva, T.; Teixeira, C. Antimicrobial Peptides as Potential Anti-Tubercular Leads: A Concise Review. *Pharmaceuticals* 2021, 14, 323. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

146. Sun, Z.; Wu, R.; Zhao, B.; Zeiner, R.; Chien, P.; You, M. Live-Cell Imaging of (p)ppGpp with RNA-based Fluorescent Sensors. *bioRxiv* 2021, 60, 24070–24074. [CrossRef]

147. El Omari, K.; Hamze, M.; Alwan, S.; Osman, M.; Jama, C.; Chihib, N.E. In-vitro evaluation of the antibacterial activity of the essential oils of *Miconeria barbata*, *Eucalyptus globulus* and *Juniperus excelsa* against strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (including MDR), *Mycobacterium kansasi* and *Mycobacterium gordonae*. *J. Infect. Public Health* 2019, 12, 615–618. [CrossRef]