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ABSTRACT

The development of tissue engineering has led to new strategies for mitigating clinical problems; however, the design of the tissue engineering materials remains a challenge. The limited sources and inadequate function, potential risk of microbial or pathogen contamination, and high cost of cell expansion impair the efficacy and limit the application of exogenous cells in tissue engineering. However, endogenous cells in native tissues have been reported to be capable of spontaneous repair of the damaged tissue. These cells exhibit remarkable plasticity, and thus can differentiate or be reprogrammed to alter their phenotype and function after stimulation. After a comprehensive review, we found that the plasticity of these cells plays a major role in establishing the cell source in the mechanism involved in tissue regeneration. Tissue engineering materials that focus on assisting and promoting the natural self-repair function of endogenous cells may break through the limitations of exogenous seed cells and further expand the applications of tissue engineering materials in tissue repair. This review discusses the effects of endogenous cells, especially stem cells, on injured tissue repairing, and highlights the potential utilisation of endogenous repair in orthopaedic biomaterial constructions for bone, cartilage, and intervertebral disc regeneration.

Introduction

The fast–developing field of materials science has been integrated with the field of life sciences to give rise to tissue engineering. The concept of tissue engineering was first proposed by Yuan-Cheng Fung in 1985 and was clearly defined by the National Science Foundation in 1994.1 Tissue engineering is an area of study that develops biological substitutes for the repair, maintenance, and promotion of the functional and morphological properties of damaged tissues or organs, based on an understanding of the relationship between an organism’s tissue structure and function.2 To date, tissue engineering has been widely applied to the repair and regeneration of tissues including cartilage, bone, intervertebral disc, nerve tissue, blood vessels, corneal tissue, and skin.3–6

The three fundamental elements of tissue engineering are seed cells, growth factors, and scaffolds. Sourcing seed cells has been found to be a bottleneck, restricting the development of tissue engineering.7 Most primary tissue cells (such as chondrocytes, nerve cells, and endothelial cells) have limited donor sources and poor growth ability, meaning that a small number of these cells cannot multiply in vitro to produce an adequate number of cells for in vivo repair.8 Consequently, stem cells with good proliferation and directional differentiation ability to develop into corresponding native cells have become an important source of seed cells.7–8 Materials loaded with stem/progenitor cells can achieve ideal tissue regeneration. For example, compared with the scaffold alone, a porous β-tricalcium phosphate scaffold loaded with autologous bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs), significantly increased the bone mass and proportion of
Endogenous repair involves local tissue repair that relies on the naturally available endogenous cells in situ. Further, it provides a feasible solution to the limitations of seed cells in tissue engineering. \(^9\) When developing tissue engineering materials, the ability of an organism to self-renew or self-repair is a significant aspect that should not be ignored. When patients, without underlying diseases, suffering from stable fractures are bandaged and immobilized, the fractures are completely healed within 1–6 months, indicating that they are capable of self-repair. \(^10\) Fracture healing and remodelling mainly depend on a variety of endogenous cells, including endothelial cells, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), and osteoblasts. \(^11\) Cell programming, that is, the plasticity of the endogenous stem/progenitor cells, has been identified as a major pathway to differentiate and supplement the residual adult cells in response to tissue injury. \(^12\) Therefore, focusing on the activity of the endogenous cells and fully elucidating their latent regeneration capacity may provide a new, effective strategy for developing tissue engineering materials. This review mainly discusses the challenges and key applications of endogenous repair in orthopaedic biomaterials for bone, cartilage, and intervertebral disc regeneration.

### Search Strategy
The articles about the endogenous repair in bone, cartilage or intervertebral disc were retrieved by the search terms: (endogenous pair (Title/Abstract Terms) AND stem cells (MeSH Terms) OR progenitor cells (MeSH Terms) OR cell plasticity (MesH Terms)) AND (bone (MeSH Terms) OR cartilage (MeSH Terms) OR intervertebral disc (MeSH Terms)). All these searches were performed on PubMed and Web of Science prior to February, 2021. The results were further screened by title and abstract. Irrelevant articles were excluded. In the end, 231 articles were included in this review (Figure 1).

### Plasticity of Endogenous Cells
Endogenous repair depends on the natural endogenous cells that are responsible for the self-repair of local tissues, the endogenous stem/progenitor cells being the main functional cells. \(^13\) Endogenous stem/progenitor cells possess three main characteristics: self-renewal, pluripotent differentiation, and settlement in specific tissue sites. Most endogenous stem/progenitor cells are dormant under physiological conditions but can be activated by pathological stimuli or other inductive factors, following which they display regenerative ability that involves replacing the damaged or dead cells while producing tissue-specific ECM. \(^14\) Cell plasticity, which is a change in behaviour and functional contribution, is a characteristic of endogenous cells that can result in a phenotypic alteration and reprogramming after tissue injury. \(^15\) Although intracellular defects and environmental factors may impair the biofunction...
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of the endogenous cells and hinder the self-repair of degenerated/injured tissue, the remarkable plasticity of these cells offers feasible strategies for functional modifications (Figure 2).

Endogenous repair in bone reconstruction

Cell plasticity after bone injury

After fracture, the process of bone repair depends on a variety of endogenous cells. Osteoblasts and osteoclasts are key endogenous cells for bone tissue regeneration and reconstruction. After an injury, the MSCs are programmed and differentiated into osteoblasts that are responsible for bone formation by directing the synthesis, secretion, and mineralization of the bone matrix. Osteoblasts not only affect the growth and metabolism of the bone tissue under normal physiological conditions but also influence its reconstruction in the injured state. Osteoclasts are multinucleated cells that originate from bone marrow monocytes. Myeloid precursors enter the blood circulation after being activated by chemokines, reach the bone tissue defect site, and differentiate into osteoclasts in the presence of macrophage colony-stimulating factor and receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) ligand (RANKL). Therefore, the plasticity of endogenous cells contributes to tissue regeneration when a bone is injured and its repair capability is impaired.

Figure 2. Schematic overview of the strategies for constructing biomaterials, inspired by endogenous repair failure that occurs owing to injury or ageing-related pathophysiological changes. Aberrant external impacts cause tissue damage, while ageing often leads to tissue degeneration. After tissue damage or degeneration, the resulting unfavourable microenvironment is characterized by inflammation, oxidative stress, hypoxia, insufficient nutrition, hyperacidity, and abnormal mechanical properties, which impose a great burden on the endogenous cells and non-cellular components. Specifically, mature endogenous cells and stem/progenitor cells typically suffer from cell death and endoplasmic reticulum stress (ERS), and secrete pro-inflammatory factors (interleukin 1β, interleukin 6, tumour necrosis factor α, etc.), while immune cells are also involved in aggravating the inflammation. In addition, the harsh environment also leads to an imbalance in the matrix metabolism and impairs the endothelial cells that are essential for angiogenesis. Cellular and non-cellular alterations in unfavourable environments contribute to endogenous repair failure. However, tissue engineering materials and other bioactive agents are efficient in relieving the pathological changes and their damaging impact on cells and extracellular components, which may help re-establish endogenous repair mechanisms and alleviate tissue damage or degeneration.
Bone homeostasis is regulated by a balance between bone formation by osteoblasts and bone resorption regulated by osteoclasts. On the one hand, the osteoblasts construct a non-mineralized bone matrix, which is the basis of bone formation at the site of bone resorption, by synthesizing and secreting collagen and glycoproteins. Calcium and phosphorus then form crystalline deposits in the bone matrix to generate new bone tissue, that is, the formation of new bone. In addition, the osteoblasts can secrete alkaline phosphatase to mediate bone mineralization, while simultaneously, the osteoblasts also interact with osteoclasts to regulate bone resorption. The glycoprotein osteopontin, which is synthesized and secreted by osteoblasts, is an important chemotactic factor for osteoclasts, inducing them to attach to the bone surface and initiate bone resorption. Furthermore, bone sialoprotein secreted by osteoclasts is directly involved in bone resorption. Normally, once osteoclasts leave the bone defect surface, osteoblasts start to cluster and mediate osteogenic activity.

Endogenous repair failure
In the initial stage of bone tissue injury, bleeding causes a hematoma at the fracture site; consequently, cytokines are released, which enlarge the gaps between endothelial cells and increase vascular permeability. A variety of chemokines induce the migration of leukocytes, monocytes, macrophages, and endogenous mesenchymal cells to the fracture site. Simultaneously, the blood supply to both sides of the fracture site is temporarily interrupted, resulting in local anoxic necrosis. Necrosis also leads to the release of growth factors such as bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), which promote the differentiation of peripheral mesenchymal cells into osteoblasts. The local blood supply is an important factor that affects fracture healing. After bone injury, insufficient penetration and vascularisation of the endothelial cells result in a limited supply of nutrients and oxygen to the osteoblasts and osteoclasts, thereby impairing bone regeneration.

The adipose tissue of the bone marrow significantly affects the function of osteoclasts and osteoblasts. When BMSCs differentiate into preadipocytes in the bone marrow adipose tissue, the secretion of RANKL increases concomitantly, which induces the BMSCs to differentiate toward osteoclasts, promotes osteoclast activation, and reduce the bone mass. Similarly, adiponectin secreted by adipocytes reduces the activity of Forkhead box protein O1 in a PI3 kinase-dependent manner, which inhibits the proliferation of osteoblasts and promotes their apoptosis. Hence, inhibiting adiponectin...
secretion by adipocytes may be favourable for bone formation. However, this needs to be verified and supported by extensive and robust studies.

Endoplasmic reticulum stress (ERS) plays a key role in maintaining protein homeostasis and intracellular environment stability. However, when intracellular and extracellular stresses (including Ca\(^{2+}\) overload, hypoxia, abnormal glycosylation, and viral infection) become overwhelming after a fracture, the excessive ERS causes cellular dysfunction. For instance, the inflammatory microenvironment formed as a result of bone injury causes excessive ERS in osteoblasts, as implied by the significant increase in intracellular activated transcription factor 3, which inhibits the expression of alkaline phosphatase and other osteogenic marker proteins induced by BMP-2. Oxidative stress in the inflammatory microenvironment also inhibits osteoblast differentiation and proliferation and induces osteoblast apoptosis, resulting in bone defects.

**Endogenous repair modification**

Intramembranous and endochondral ossification are the main processes involved in the formation of new bones. The formation of a primary bone is followed by extensive remodelling until the damaged bone recovers to its original shape and size. In this process, with remarkable cell plasticity, the regulation of certain signal pathways significantly alters the cellular behaviour and functional contribution, and affects bone repair. For instance, activation of the Wnt-\(\beta\)-catenin pathway increases the binding of \(\beta\)-catenin to transcription factors and promotes osteogenic gene expression. Related to this, the activation of BMP expression in endogenous MSCs by prostaglandins activates \(\beta\)-catenin to accelerate bone repair. In addition, expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) mediated by hypoxia-inducible factor-1\(\alpha\) is a key regulator of endothelial cell vascularization. Human umbilical cord mesenchymal-derived exosomes have been used to promote hypoxia-inducible factor-1\(\alpha\)-mediated endothelial cell vascularisation. As a result, extensive angiogenesis and ideal fracture healing were observed in a rat fracture model. Interfering with ERS and pro-inflammatory factors are also feasible approaches that promote osteoblast survival and bone regeneration.

Antagonists against critical inflammatory signals, including NOD-\(\alpha\), LRR- and pyrin domain-containing protein 3 inflammasomes, NF-xB, and toll-like receptor pathways, reduce osteoblast and BMSC death and the pro-inflammatory phenotype, thus favouring endogenous repair.

In summary, the endogenous cells in bone tissue are directly involved in bone regeneration after tissue injury. The survival and biofunction of these cells can be modified using appropriate agents or stimuli, to achieve ideal tissue regeneration.

**Biomaterials for endogenous bone repair**

Based on the remarkable plasticity and environmental dependence of the endogenous cells, tissue engineering materials with bionic structures and biological activity have been constructed to provide a suitable microenvironment for the endogenous cells, which may be a promising strategy for tissue regeneration. Furthermore, recruiting and activating endogenous stem/progenitor cells can replace the traditional strategy of exogenous cell delivery in tissue biomaterials, which helps resolve the aforementioned problems associated with seed cells, such as insufficient sources and tissue rejection. Therefore, endogenous repair provides a highly inspiring and promising strategy for the construction of tissue engineering materials.

A decellularised bone matrix contains abundant endogenous pro-osteogenic proteins, including bone sialoprotein, osteopontin, fibroblast growth factor, BMP-2, BMP-4, BMP-7, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), transforming growth factor \(\beta\) (TGF-\(\beta\)), VEGF, and platelet growth factor (PDGF). These endogenous bioactive substances endow the decellularised bone matrix with a satisfactory regeneration capacity. By decalcifying three-dimensional (3D) decellularised bone scaffolds for a specific time, a 3D bone scaffold with a bionic microstructure and suitable matrix stiffness can be established. Without supplementary agents or cytokines, this scaffold effectively promotes the osteogenic differentiation of endogenous stem cells into osteoblasts. However, the content of endogenous active factors in the decellularised matrix is greatly affected by the age and species of the donors. In addition, the delayed release of encoded bioactive substances may reduce efficiency. In one study, diluted recombinant BMP-induced osteoblast markers began to increase in number on day 5 after application, while the number of osteoblast markers started to increase after 14 days in the decellularised bone matrix rich in endogenous BMP, indicating that the release rate of endogenous cytokines in the decellularised bone matrix was relatively low. Thus, different batches of decellularised bone matrix may cause variations in the reparative effect owing to the unstable release of endogenous cytokines. Since the application of the decellularised bone matrix alone may encompass the characteristics of unstable clinical efficacy, loading exogenous agents to enhance and stabilize the efficacy of the decellularised matrix may be a feasible material construction strategy.

Many decellularised matrices and polymer materials have achieved satisfactory outcomes with the assistance of bioactive substances. Decellularised bone matrix loaded with TGF-\(\beta\)-1 released 80–90% of incorporated TGF-\(\beta\)-1 within 25 days, and the release kinetics were adjustable by varying the composition of poly(DL-lactic-co-glycolic acid). When a composite matrix was applied to skull defects, the defects were filled with new bone after 4 weeks. Three forms of poly(DL-lactic-co-glycolic acid) scaffold materials containing BMP-2 showed similar sustained release kinetics of BMP-2, and all of them achieved a complete repair of bone defects in vivo. Therefore, bioactive molecules can enhance the pro-osteogenic differentiation activity of bone tissue-engineering materials. At the same time, the combination of appropriate materials and bioactive substances is also a reliable approach to preserve the activity of these substances. For example, the N-terminal domain of BMP-2 has a collagen-binding region. Compared with native
BMP-2, BMP-2 conjugated with collagen has a longer half-life, with better bone formation being observed in mandibular defects in vivo. Agents targeting specific signalling pathways are also a potential strategy for the construction of functional materials. Gelatine hydrogel loaded with arginine-glycine-aspartate-tripeptide effectively improved the viability of MSCs and the release of VEGF by activating the integrin pathway, which significantly promoted mineralisation and bone tissue regeneration in vivo.

Tissue engineering also provides an effective solution to improve the living environment of the endogenous cells. A 3D-printed alginate hydrogel and calcium peroxide material can increase the oxygen supply to the endogenous stem/progenitor cells in adipose tissue, thus reducing hypoxia-induced apoptosis and ensuring cell proliferation activity. Melatonin significantly affects the release of various antioxidant enzymes, thereby playing a key role in alleviating the cytotoxicity of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and free-radical intermediates. After being coated with gels and loaded onto a titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanotube matrix, continuously-released melatonin promoted the cell proliferation and osteogenic differentiation of MSCs. Chitosan–glycerol phosphate/blood implants in a subchondral bone defect site appropriately activated the macrophages that released more arginase-1 and VEGF without aggravating the inflammation, which induced ideal angiogenesis and bone tissue remodelling within 8 weeks. In addition, the combination of 3D-printed poly(DL-lactic-co-glycolic acid) scaffolds and decellularised matrix helped achieve a successful polarisation of the macrophages to M2 and promoted local bone tissue remodelling.

Endogenous repair in cartilage reconstruction

Cell plasticity after cartilage damage

Articular cartilage comprises chondrocytes and abundant cartilage ECM. Collagens and proteoglycans provide mechanical support, enabling the articular cartilage to withstand hydrostatic pressure at rest and shear force during movement. However, when osteoarthritis occurs, the inflammation of the ECM is obvious, causing a significant decrease in collagen, proteoglycan, and glycosaminoglycan. As a result, the articular cartilage is progressively destroyed. As the main cellular component in articular cartilage, chondrocytes play a critical role in maintaining homeostasis of the ECM anabolic and catabolic metabolism. Barbero et al. found that adult dedifferentiated chondrocytes have the ability to form clones and induce osteogenesis, adipsogenesis, and chondrogenic differentiation. Alsalameh et al. reported the presence of mesenchymal progenitor cells in normal and osteoarthritic cartilage. These cells share the same cell surface markers – CD105 and CD166 – and have the same capacity for proliferation and multi-differentiation as BMSCs, with the ability to differentiate into osteocytes and adipocytes. Thus far, cartilage stem/progenitor cells have been confirmed to have the potential for self-renewal, multi-lineage differentiation, and the common phenotype of mesenchymal cells (CD9, C29, CD44, CD49e, CD54, CD73, CD90, CD105, CD166, and Notch-1).

The presence of chondrocytes and cartilage stem/progenitor cells enables the self-repair of cartilage. A case series study suggested that after full-thickness chondral fractures, internal fixation of the fragments back to the bone using headless metal compression screws achieved stable fixation and ideal healing after 8 weeks, as suggested by the fibrous tissue at the small interface between the fragment and surrounding articular cartilage as well as the obvious covering of cartilage tissue on the surface of the screw. Cartilage stem/progenitor cells usually appear in the ECM around the injury after 7–14 days in cases where the cartilage damage was caused by impact. Soluble molecules, including high-mobility group protein B1, IGF-1, and PDGF, which are released after a cartilage injury, can act as chemotactic factors that induce cartilage stem/progenitor cells to aggregate in the injured area.

Endogenous repair failure

When the articular cartilage is injured, chondrocytes often cannot achieve self-renewal and cartilage repair because of their weak proliferation and limited secretory capacity in vivo. Moreover, inflammation increases the apoptosis rate of chondrocytes. Consequently, chondrocyte death releases damage-associated molecular patterns, which further promote the secretion of inflammatory factors and aggravate the local inflammatory microenvironment. Therefore, reducing chondrocyte death after an injury, maintaining their phenotype, and increasing the secretory activity of chondrocytes after an injury are important factors to be considered in the reconstruction of articular cartilage.

Macrophages, which exhibit high plasticity and can be extensively modified, are the primary innate immune cells that induce and maintain the pro-inflammatory microenvironment in osteoarthritis. When osteoarthritis occurs, macrophages are activated by TNF-α, interferon γ, or pathogen-related molecular models and are polarised into the M1 phenotype, which secretes a large amount of pro-inflammatory factors such as IL-1, IL-6, and IL-12. The establishment of an inflammatory cascade induces the local activation of macrophages and accelerates the removal of pathogens and tissue fragments. Moreover, the M1 inflammatory phenotype of macrophages indicates a clinical manifestation of osteoarthritis. Specifically, the M1 phenotype is positively correlated with knee pain and arthritis severity scores (Kellgren–Lawrence grade). Therefore, the M1 phenotype may act as an indicator of the progression of osteoarthritis. Adaptive immune cells are also involved in the development of osteoarthritis. The number of T and B cells in the synovium and synovial fluid of patients with osteoarthritis is significantly higher than that in healthy controls. CD4+ and CD8+ T cells infiltrate into articular tissues, scavenge pathogens, and secrete cytokines such as IL-2, interferon γ, and TNF-α, causing the activation of macrophages and secretion of catabolic cytokines, which accelerates the destruction of the articular cartilage matrix.

In the induced inflammatory microenvironment, IL-1, IL-6, and TNF-α are vital pro-inflammatory cytokines. Chondrocytes and cartilage stem/progenitor cells living in unfavourable inflammatory conditions often fail to produce an
ECM. Instead, they tend to aggravate the inflammatory reaction and tissue damage by secreting pro-inflammatory factors and matrix-degrading enzymes, including metalloproteinases and a disintegrin, and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin-like motifs. Moreover, chondrocyte death, autophagy, and senescence are induced by IL-1β. ROS play an important role in the cellular responses to inflammatory environments. Pro-inflammatory stimuli often induce ROS production and decrease antioxidant enzyme production. ROS accumulation then induces chondrocyte senescence, apoptosis, imbalance of ECM metabolism, synovitis, and subchondral bone dysfunction, which leads to endogenous failure.

**Endogenous repair modification**

The release of IL-4 and IL-13 can induce macrophage transformation into the M2 type, which is beneficial for tissue regeneration in osteoarthritis. M2 macrophages with an anti-inflammatory phenotype secrete TGF-β, epidermal growth factor, and VEGF, which promote fibre remodelling in impaired tissues. As a result, cells tend to exert anti-inflammatory effects and enhance ECM deposition. Some studies have attempted to regulate the inflammatory microenvironment and have achieved reasonable tissue regeneration. Squid collagen type II and exosomes extracted from MSCs employed an increased number of CD163+ M2 macrophages to infiltrate into cartilage defects and downregulate pro-inflammatory cytokines, which enhanced the proliferation and migration of chondrocytes and achieved ideal cartilage regeneration. ROS scavengers and anti-inflammatory agents have been developed to reduce chondrocyte death and matrix imbalance. For example, casticin, a flavonoid isolated from *Vitex trifolia*, regulated oxidative stress and reduced inflammation in the cartilage of mice with osteoarthritis through the NF-κB signalling pathway. Loureirin A, a traditional Chinese medicinal plant extract with strong antioxidant activity, inhibited the release of inflammatory mediators and ROS induced by IL-1β through inhibition of the phosphorylation of protein kinase B (AKT) and activation of the NF-κB and nuclear factor E2-related factor 2 pathways. Lubricin is an important articular cartilage surface protein that can prevent the excessive proliferation of synovial cells and the apoptosis of chondrocytes. Injecting lubricin into a cartilage defect effectively attracts cartilage stem/progenitor cells located in the defect and alleviated joint degeneration. Although the excessive inflammatory response caused by macrophages and lymphocytes in the progression of osteoarthritis often leads to repair failure, chondrocytes and cartilage stem/progenitor cells still have the potential to repair cartilage defects after appropriate modification. In view of the high plasticity of these endogenous cells, the construction of multifunctional materials to regulate inflammation and promote the biofunction of chondrocytes and cartilage stem/progenitor cells is a feasible strategy for treating cartilage injury and inflammation.

**Biomaterials for endogenous chondrogenic repair**

Collagen type II accounts for 90% of the collagen in the cartilage ECM. Other types of collagen combine with collagen type II to form a collagen fibre network that entraps proteoglycans. The most common proteoglycan is aggrecan, which is cross-linked with hyaluronic acid to form a stable protein structure. Many glycosaminoglycans, such as chondroitin sulphate and keratan sulphate, attach to the aggrecan–hyaluronan protein complex, which endows the cartilage with hydration and pressure-resisting capacity. Therefore, many biomaterials have utilised these basic cartilage components to form biomimetic materials and have achieved good biocompatibility in vitro. However, biomaterials comprising only collagen type II cannot induce cartilage formation in vivo, and only by loading exogenous stem cells or exogenous chondrocytes into the materials can we achieve ideal repair of cartilage defects. Synthetic materials provide excellent mechanical and cross-linking properties while potentially supporting the biofunction of natural components when used to construct composite materials. In addition, compared to natural components alone, the combination of natural components and synthetic polymers in appropriate proportions can greatly promote chondrogenic differentiation. However, these composite materials fail to induce endogenous cell assembly at the injured site. That is to say, for these biomaterials, exogenous stem cells are required to enhance cartilage regeneration in vivo.

It has been reported that tissue engineering materials can activate endogenous stem cells and achieve in vivo regeneration without using exogenous stem cells. For example, a decellularized matrix prepared by removing the cellular components from tissues and retaining the ECM components minimised the immunogenicity of the materials and maximally retained the matrix composition, microstructure, and biological properties of the natural tissues. With the provision of a bionic natural microenvironment, decellularized matrix hydrogels do not require an additional design of binding sites for cell adhesion; this also reduces dependence on exogenous bioactive molecules that induce cell migration and differentiation. A decellularized cartilage matrix is proven to induce chondrocyte migration and promote chondrogenesis of cartilage stem/progenitor cells. However, further studies are essential to prove the feasibility and effectiveness of such a material in clinical applications. Interestingly, polymeric scaffolds have been found to help enhance the mechanical properties of decellularized cartilage matrix and improve its ability to facilitate chondrogenic differentiation. Certain growth factors and cytokines effectively support cartilage maturation, including BMP-2, BMP-7, IGF-1, and fibroblast growth factor-2. A biodegradable poly lactide-co-glycolide/poly-e-caprolactone mesh loaded with IGF-1 effectively induced chondrocytes around a defect to break through the host/graft interface and induced the chondrocytes to synthesise ECM that was similar to the structure of natural cartilage. Platelets are also highly functional, active ingredients. After being activated by thrombin, platelets release alpha-granule contents that include mitotic factor and chemokines, such as PDGF, IGF-1, TGF-β, VEGF, and epidermal growth factor, which play a critical role in wound healing. Therefore, platelets, as a growth factor-rich component, are a natural material with the purpose of preserving the activity of growth factors. The issues of short half-life and high cost of purification are resolved to a
greater extent by platelets than by addition of a single growth factor. The application of a hydrogel loaded with autologous platelet-rich plasma into a cartilage defect effectively promoted the repair of bone-cartilage interface defects; conspicuous chondrocyte aggregation and ECM synthesis were observed on the cartilage surface, and the bone surface exhibited adequate bone calcification. These materials combine the excellent properties of bioactive molecules and scaffolds, thereby supplementing the ECM of endogenous cells or stem/progenitor cells and directly inducing their migration, proliferation, and differentiation.

Inflammation is an important factor in the development of osteoarthritis. In addition, the response of the immune system to an implant determines whether a material can achieve the desired effect after implantation. If the size of the degradation particles released from implants is > 100 μm, macrophages are activated to promote cell phagocytosis. The activation of macrophages further aggravates local inflammation and severely affects the biological activity of the materials. The lack of binding sites for cell adhesion in some synthetic materials, such as polyethylene oxide, polyethylene glycol, and polycaprolactone, reduces the local aggregation and activation of inflammatory cells. Natural materials of a high molecular weight, such as hyaluronic acid and chitosan, promote the scavenging of oxygen radicals, thus relieving inflammation. Once injected into a cartilage defect, hyaluronic acid hydrogel loaded with platelet-rich plasma releases anti-inflammatory factors, including TGF-β, which significantly reduce the local inflammatory response and induce the accumulation of endogenous chondrocytes in the defect to promote tissue repair. In addition, a drug delivery system that achieves regional enrichment of anti-inflammatory agents and bioactive molecules provides an effective means to alleviate local inflammation. A light-responsive drug delivery system for the sustained release of dexamethasone maintained its local therapeutic effect after irradiation, which ameliorated the inflammatory environment of the articular cavity and alleviated the progression of osteoarthritis.

**Endogenous repair in intervertebral disc regeneration**

**Cell plasticity in intervertebral disc regeneration**

Intervertebral discs are complex and multi-tissue organs composed of the nucleus pulposus (located in the central area), peripheral annulus fibrosus, and cartilage endplate (on both cranial and caudal sides). For many mammals, such as humans, goats, and dogs, the quantity of intervertebral disc cells and ECM decreases gradually with age. Further, the height and elasticity of the intervertebral discs progressively declines and age-related degeneration occurs. In addition, excessive labour and abnormal spinal force lead to excessive pressure on the intervertebral discs, resulting in damage to the annulus fibrosus, prolapse of the nucleus pulposus, and eventually IDD. Therefore, the occurrence of IDD is an age-related pathological change in humans. The self-repair capacity of mature intervertebral disc cells is also quite limited, especially in elderly people.

Recently, a group of intervertebral disc cells has been found to express MSC series markers, including OCT3/4, CD90, and stromal cell antigen 1, and they are highly homologous. A study on the distribution of 5-bromodeoxyuridine-labeled cells in intervertebral discs revealed a possible stem cell niche in the perichondrium region adjacent to the epiphyseal plate and outer layers of the annulus fibrosus (Figure 4). Henriksson et al. showed that cartilage formation markers SRY-box transcription factor-9, growth differentiation factor-5, cell migration markers (snail family transcriptional repressor 1, SLUG, integrin β1), and other genes related to cell proliferation, migration, and differentiation were found in the stem cell niches, peripheral annulus fibrosus, and the central nucleus pulposus of rabbits of different ages. Some studies have revealed the migration path from the stem/progenitor cell niche to the intervertebral disc (Figure 4).

**Figure 4.** Anatomical structure of an intervertebral disc and identification of the stem cell niche and hypothetical migration paths. (A) The potential stem cell niche is in the perichondrium region adjacent to the epiphyseal plate and outer layers of the annulus fibrosus (AF). In the hypercellular region 1 (HCR 1), the cells are densely distributed, and this is where the stem cell niche is located; while in the hypercellular region 2 (HCR 2), the cells are relatively dispersed and morphologically mature. (B) A magnification of the region of interest shows slow cycling stem/progenitor cells (outlined in orange), the transit amplifying cells (outlined in green), and differentiated cells (outlined in white). CEP: cartilage endplate; NP: nucleus pulposus.
The 5'-bromodeoxyuridine-labeled cells appeared in the area around the annulus fibrosus and the central nucleus pulposus when stimulated by controllable axial pressure, whereas stem/progenitor cell migration decreased under increased pressure. Therefore, when an injury is caused by microenvironmental factors, such as pressure, the stem/progenitor cells can be activated, and migrate into the intervertebral disc to perform self-repair.

**Endogenous repair failure**

The microenvironment of the intervertebral disc is quite different from that of other tissues. Being the largest non-vascular structure in the human body, both oxygen and nutrients are mainly supplied by blood vessels in the adjacent vertebrae through diffusion. Owing to the problems of pressure caused by body weight and exercise, limited supply of oxygen and nutrients, and gradual accumulation of cell metabolites, the microenvironment of the intervertebral disc is characterized by high hydrostatic pressure, hypoxia, hyperacidity, and lack of nutrients. In such an unfavourable microenvironment, oxidative stress and release of inflammatory factors aggravate cell death and matrix decline, causing excessive oxidative stress, autophagy, apoptosis, and necrosis of stem/progenitor cells, thereby inhibiting endogenous repair.

**Endogenous repair modification**

The accumulation of senescent disc cells in degenerative intervertebral discs suggests the detrimental role of cell senescence in the pathogenesis of IDD. Moreover, senescent disc cells are thought to accelerate the process of IDD via their aberrant paracrine effects, by which these cells cause the senescence of neighbouring cells and enhance matrix catabolism and inflammation in the intervertebral discs. Therefore, anti-senescence-based therapies are essential to restore endogenous cell biofunction. Inhibiting the senescent phenotype of nucleus pulposus cells, which is induced by excessive disc compression, has been found to be effective in decreasing cell apoptosis and disc degeneration. Metformin has also been found to be protective against oxidative stress-induced senescence in nucleus pulposus cells, but its clinical applications in IDD therapy require further investigation.

When IDD occurs, inflammatory factors aggravate the deterioration of the microenvironment. Consequently, endogenous repair is disturbed or deactivated by environmental factors. Some studies have attempted to regulate these harmful factors, such as pressure, to prevent the proliferation of intervertebral disc cells, which alleviates IDD. For example, the injection of exosomes derived from MSCs into the nucleus pulposus of intervertebral discs promoted cell proliferation and prevented IDD by reducing activation of the immunomasome and ROS levels. The homeobox protein Mohawk is an important transcription factor in the outer annulus fibrosus. Overexpression of the Mohawk protein significantly increased collagen fibre synthesis and restored the functional structure of the annulus fibrosus defect. In addition, nucleus pulposus cells extracted from degenerative intervertebral discs continued to exhibit good proliferation ability in a nutritive medium. Annulus fibrous cells can also dedifferentiate into an MSC-like phenotype and be reprogrammed to a state of increased plasticity so that additional stimuli, such as cell-matrix and cell-cell interactions, can promote differentiation at a high frequency after reversing treatment. Therefore, endogenous intervertebral disc cells exhibit remarkable plasticity and can be modified for tissue regeneration. Regulating cell apoptosis and autophagy may improve their survival rate and biological activity and promote intervertebral disc regeneration.

**Biomaterials for intervertebral disc endogenous repair**

The nucleus pulposus and annulus fibrous constitute the basic components of the intervertebral disc that can withstand mechanical loading caused by body weight and shear force during movement. The isotropic and gelatinous nature of the nucleus pulposus in the centre of the intervertebral disc endows it with the ability to resist axial pressure and torque. The hydration structure of the nucleus pulposus mainly comprises collagen fibres together with various proteoglycans that assemble on the fibre network. Collagen type II accounts for 80% of the total collagen in the nucleus pulposus. Proteoglycans (e.g., aggrecan, hyaluronic acid, and decorin) and glycosaminoglycans (e.g., chondroitin sulphate and keratan sulphate) provide copious hydrophilic groups in the fibre network to attract water and maintain hydration of the nucleus pulposus. Collagen fibres are arranged into layers that are superimposed to form the annulus fibrosus. The composition of the annulus fibrosus is area-specific. The ratio of collagen type I to type II in the annulus fibrous gradually decreases from the outer to the inner layers. In addition, the amount of proteoglycan gradually increases from the outer to the inner layers along with the water content, while the mechanical strength decreases. While the outer annulus fibrosus resists external tensile force, the inner part helps the nucleus pulposus to withstand hydrostatic pressure. The endplate is a thin layer of hyaline cartilage covering the interface of the vertebral body, which is also composed of collagen and proteoglycan. Blood vessels in the adult vertebral body cannot pass through the endplate. Oxygen and nutrients can only permeate into the intervertebral disc through the endplate; therefore, the endplate plays a key role in controlling the infiltration of soluble materials into the annulus fibrosus and nucleus pulposus.

Because of the marked impact of ECM components on maintaining intervertebral disc function, biomaterials composed of natural polymers, synthetic polymers, or composite materials have been developed to supplement ECM components. However, simply supplementing the ECM cannot achieve an ideal therapeutic effect without restoring cell function. Therefore, the delivery of exogenous stem cells into the intervertebral disc has been widely applied, where they can differentiate into local mature cells and secrete ECM. Most cell-conjugated scaffolds or nanoparticles have succeeded in restoring the composition and physiological function of intervertebral discs. However, there are still some problems to be solved, which hinder the effectiveness of exogenous stem cells in intervertebral disc repair. For instance, BMSCs tend to undergo osteogenic differentiation
in unfavourable microenvironments and adipose-derived MSCs have tumorigenic potential. Moreover, MSCs may still retain the differentiation trend related to tissue specificity, that is, MSCs are pluripotent progenitor cells that retain the source tissue tag. Therefore, the discovery of endogenous intervertebral disc stem/progenitor cells provides potential therapeutic targets for biomaterials based on endogenous repair.

The decellularized matrix of the intervertebral disc retains the microstructure of the ECM, cytokines, and other bioactive components, and exhibits the ideal effects of inducing endogenous cell migration and differentiation, resulting in local tissue repair in vivo. Therefore, a decellularized matrix that can motivate endogenous cells is a promising strategy for intervertebral disc regeneration. Moreover, the degenerative intervertebral disc tissue can recruit stem cells by releasing chemotactic cytokines, such as IGF-1, TGF-β,stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1) and chemokine ligand 5. These cytokines provide cues which activate the resting endogenous stem/progenitor cells. Albumin/heparin nanoparticles, as an injectable carrier of SDF-1α, achieved sustained release of SDF-1α in intervertebral discs. It was also observed in vivo that SDF-1α induced the migration of endogenous stem cells into degenerative intervertebral discs and restored the disc height and water content. Similarly, a compound system, based on the combination of pullulan microbeads, was found to release a variety of cytokines. After injection into the intervertebral disc, the sustained release of IGF-1 promoted the migration of endogenous stem cells to the injection site, while TGF-β1 and growth differentiation factor-5 induced stem cells to differentiate into nucleus pulposus cells and replenish local ECM components. Biomaterials designed with cytokines can quickly and effectively exert the biological function of endogenous stem/progenitor cells and have broad prospects for application in intervertebral disc regeneration.

It is noteworthy that the intervertebral disc naturally has a microenvironment of persistent pressure, low pH, and hypoxia. When IDD occurs, inflammatory factors aggravate the deterioration of the microenvironment, causing excessive oxidative stress, autophagy, apoptosis, and necrosis of cells, which severely impairs the number and function of intervertebral disc stem/progenitor cells and inhibits endogenous repair. Additional regulatory reagents may promote the endogenous repair function of intervertebral disc stem cells by improving the microenvironment of the intervertebral disc. Puerarin relieved the compression-induced apoptosis of the intervertebral disc endogenous stem cells and improved their proliferative activity, which alleviated IDD in a rat model. Local release of dexamethasone effectively alleviated inflammation in the intervertebral disc tissue, contributing to the accumulation of MSCs in the intervertebral disc. Diuretic amiloride may help endogenous cells survive in acidic environments by blocking acid-sensing channels. Small leucine-rich proteoglycans may relieve intervertebral disc stem/progenitor cell apoptosis induced by hypoxia (3.5% oxygen). Interestingly, other studies found that a hypoxic environment (2–5% oxygen) may significantly promote cell proliferation and the differentiation of stem cells into nucleus pulposus-like cells, when compared with that in a normoxic environment (20% oxygen). The effect of hypoxia on intervertebral disc tissue needs to be further investigated in vivo.

**Summary**

Endogenous repair, a concept of tissue regeneration depending on the natural endogenous cells in the original tissue, considers the biofunction and living environment of endogenous cells as the critical factors that determine the outcomes of tissue repair. The activation of endogenous cells would eliminate the limitations of exogenous seed cells and provide new strategies for the construction of tissue engineering materials. Therefore, tissue engineering materials that are based on endogenous repair require that considerable attention is paid to bionic microstructures, improved cell survival rates, and modified cell bioactivity.

However, there are many challenges that need to be tackled (Table 1). The quantity and quality of the endogenous cells largely rely on the regional microenvironment. If an injury is too severe or degeneration develops too drastically, overwhelming inflammation and unfavourable environmental factors may lead to irreversible cell death and deactivation, particularly in tissues that are naturally cell-depleted, such as intervertebral discs. In addition, many factors (e.g., ageing, inflammation, and mechanical loading) impair the differentiation potential of stem cells. A shortening of the telomere length may explain the failure of aged MSCs to differentiate. Liu et al. reported that telomerase knockout MSCs (mTR−/−MSCs) demonstrated a complete failure in terms of the differentiation of mTR−/−MSCs into chondrocytes. Inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α and IL-1, inhibit the differentiation of growth plate chondrocytes and longitudinal growth in a mouse model overexpressing TNF-α. Excessive mechanical loading on the nucleus pulposus stem cells also leads to a reduced capacity for osteogenic, adipogenic, and chondrogenic differentiation. Therefore, strategies should be properly designed to drive the migration of endogenous regenerative cells in order to achieve targeted differentiation and to generate the necessary matrix components and chemokines for ideal regeneration.

| Table 1. Challenges in endogenous repair. |
|------------------------------------------|
| How to maintain the viability and multi-lineage differentiation potential of endogenous stem cells in an injured tissue? |
| How to mobilise the endogenous stem cells to sufficiently proliferate and restore the decreased cell number? |
| How to enable the targeted migration of endogenous stem cells to damaged areas? |
| How to induce the targeted differentiation of endogenous stem cells into progenitors capable of regenerating desired cell types in vivo? |
| How to ensure that newly-generated cells integrate into the surrounding tissues and establish functional connectivity? |
The immunity of biomaterial scaffolds is also an essential issue for tissue regeneration. The interactions between scaffolds and the host are bound to alter the local microenvironment of endogenous cells. For example, degraded scaffolds may provoke monocytes and be harmful to resident cells.\textsuperscript{208} Thus, the immune reaction after implantation must be considered. The main concern revolves around the question of how to avoid pro-inflammatory reactions and induce a regenerative immune response.\textsuperscript{209}

Finally, although growth factors may be powerful in activating resting cells and promoting endogenous repair, these agents suffer from fragile structural stability and a short in vivo half-life, which limits their therapeutic potential.\textsuperscript{210} Platelets are natural preservers of many cytokines (PDGF, IGF-1, TGF-β, VEGF, and epidermal growth factor).\textsuperscript{157} Thus, platelets may be suitable for the maintenance and delivery of cytokines and bioactive substances, but some limitations remain, including the uncontrollable cytokine concentration, insufficient autologous platelets, immune reactions associated with allogeneic proteins, and the lack of standardisation owing to variations in the individual platelet quantity and growth factor composition.\textsuperscript{211} Some stable chemicals have been found to possess the functions of certain growth factors. For example, kartogenin is a chondrogenic and chondroprotective agent. A kartogenin-conjugated chitosan-hyaluronic acid hydrogel achieved controllable release of kartogenin, promoting stem cell survival and nucleus pulposus regeneration.\textsuperscript{212} Therefore, developing controllable preservers or chemically/biologically stable agents may be a potential strategy for delivering or substituting growth factors.
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