**Introduction**

In regenerative medicine, the methods based on autologous subcutaneous white adipose tissue (WAT) transfer are widely used.\(^1,4\) In regenerative surgical procedures using autologous WAT, the choice of the donor site is generally based on empirical considerations,\(^3\) due to the absence of specific data about the different sites, obtained with modern methods of WAT analysis.\(^4,9\) Data concerning the choice of the donor site should derive from the follow up of clinical trials, but knowledge of the different types of WATs could be relevant in the setting up of a harvesting protocol for the correction of the different pathologies.\(^10\) At the present, data about each single fat depot are scarce.\(^11-15\) In addition, the knowledge about adipose stem niches, which play an important role in the healing processes based on autologous WAT,\(^16\) is particularly defective. There are no specific studies on the microvascular compartments containing the niches in the fat depots that are common WAT donor sites (e.g. the trochanteric fatty pad or other WAT depots in the limbs). It is generally believed that there are no significant morphologic differences between these depots and the abdominal subcutaneous WAT, even if no comparative study specifically aimed at verifying such features has ever been performed. As a matter of fact, while the abdominal WAT represents essentially a site of triglycerides storing, the limbs depots also play mechanical functions as they are often associated to articular interlines or sites of particular mechanic stress. Possible morphologic differences in the adipocyte, microvascular or stem compartment could be related to different functional roles and biochemical differences among the fat depots. The stem cell compartment could be especially sensitive to the cellular turnover problems aroused by stress elements on the delicate adipose cells.

Among the depots of WAT located in the limbs, the trochanteric fatty pad is of great interest for several reasons.\(^17\) First of all, it plays such a high aesthetic role, especially in female individuals, to often require plastic surgery; in addition, it is one of the privileged sources of autologous WAT and can be considered a common donor site.\(^18\) This depot can be considered as a true organ with clear anatomicic delimitations and a vascular peduncle. This depot has a mechanic function linked to hip motility and the trochanteric shear stress exclude its role as a mere metabolic storing site. Despite such reasons for interest, the studies about the trochanteric depots are very limited, and structural and ultrastructural data are totally absent. These data could be important also to explain the general biology of WAT, which recently revealed unexpected complexity\(^19-21\) and plasticity,\(^22,23\) which must be taken into account in the perspective of possible complications.\(^24\) In particular, it would be interesting to elucidate why such depots do exhibit so profitable properties in adipose tissue transplantation. The present study was therefore performed on the trochanteric depots using light and electron microscopy in order to describe the morphology of the adipocytes and microcirculation, with particular reference to the stem niches.

**Materials and Methods**

Fat was harvested, after informed consent, from twelve female patients ranging in age from 45 to 60 years (average age: 52.7 years), who underwent fat harvesting from September to March 2010 for autologous fat implant. A mixture of 0.5% xylocaine + ephinephrine 1:200,000 in saline solution was injected before suction. Fat harvesting was performed by a 3 mm cannula (1-hole, bullet tip) connected to a 10 cc syringe for vacuum; fat was then gently washed in saline and decanted. Cenrifugation was not performed. In six patients, harvesting was performed from the trochanteric fatty pad. In six patients, control specimens were sampled from the flank area. As controls, we used WAT removed from other locations (abdomen n=26, flank n=9, thorax n=6, limbs n=7). For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), parts of the specimens were immediately fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in Sorensen buffer pH 7.4 for 2 h, postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in Sorensen buffer for 2 h, postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in Sorensen buffer for 1 h, dehydrated in graded acetones (60-100%), embedded in Epon-Araldite and cut with an Ultracut E Ultramicrotome (Reichert, Wien, Austria).
Austria). Ultrathin sections were stained with lead citrate and observed in an electron microscope Morgagni 268D (FEI-Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands). The semithin sections were stained with Toluidine blue. For scanning electron microscopy (SEM), the specimens were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in Sorensen buffer for 2 h, postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in Sorensen buffer for 1 h, and dehydrated in graded acetone (Fluka); the specimens were then treated by a critical point dryer (CPD 030; Balzers Union Ltd., Balzers, Liechtenstein) and coated by gold, mounted on stubs and observed in an XL 30 ESEM (FEI-Philips). Data about adipocyte size were obtained during SEM examination using Scandium Sem Imaging Platform (Olympus).

Results

After removal, the pad is characterized by a high degree of fluidity and subsequent histological and ultrastructural evaluations were aimed at clarifying the tissutal basis of this organoleptic feature that makes this WAT deposit a preferred source for operation of adipose-based connective restructuring. Light and electron microscopy examinations showed that the trochanteric pad is composed of a peculiarly organized WAT. According to Sbarbati et al.,

it can be classified as a variety of structural WAT (sWAT), which is abundant in the limbs, thorax and flanks. As in the other types of sWAT, in the trochanteric pad as well an adipocyte compartment and a stromal compartment can be recognized (Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4). The adipocyte compartment mainly consists of mature adipose cells, whose mean diameter is 86.91±15.85; these cells are slightly smaller than the adipocytes found in the large metabolic depots of the abdominal region (97.69±15.61), although the difference found in the present study was not statistically significant. The morphology of the mature adipose cells of the trochanteric pad is similar as in the other sWAT depots (Figure 1, A-C): adipose cells show a thin rim of cytoplasm, in which micro-pinocytotic vesicles are the most common structures (Figure 1D).

The main characteristic of the trochanteric fat is surely represented by its stromal component (Figure 2). At light microscopy (Figure 1A), the stroma appears to be less dense than in the other sWATs located in the limbs or in the thorax; in the perivascular spaces among the adipocytes, the cellular elements are scarce (Figure 1B) and generally have a high nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratio and a cytoplasm poor in organelles. The intra-parenchymal collagen stroma (Figure 2 A,B) is poor, and the extracellular compartment shows rather large electron-density, and are crossed by robust connective bundles made of tightly packed collagen fibers. At SEM, it is possible to note that these bundles form a tridimensional network...
in which the adipocytes are embedded (Figure 2D).

The collagen bundles do not appear to be in particular relation with the blood capillaries; instead, they are often strictly associated to the adipocytes which are wrapped by a structure that has never been previously described and therefore deserves an accurate description. The mature adipocytes are covered by connective sheaths composed by a network of collagen fibers (Figures 2 C and 3). In general, these connective sheaths are extremely thin when compared to the sheaths present in other subcutaneous adipose tissues. In fact, high-resolution SEM images (Figure 3 C,D) reveal that this structure, which could be called natural basket of the adipocytes, is composed by a very loose network of isolated collagen fibers in contact with the plasmalemma, as it can be easily observed at both TEM and SEM examinations. Moreover, the collagen basket that wraps these cells appears relatively easy to detach allowing the visualization of a smooth plasmalemma (Figure 3B). At TEM, the microvascular bed can also be studied (Figure 4). Capillary vessels are frequent and often show large lumina; they are surrounded by peculiar perivascular elements when compared to those in other subcutaneous adipose tissues. These cells are large, long and thin, and are surrounded by an external lamina; they often contact the vascular wall, but sometimes this connection is not visible; their cytoplasm contains few organelles among which polyribosomes are the most frequent. Moderate amounts of glycogen particles are visible in some cells. Thin elements with the morphologic features of pericytes were also observed surrounding the capillaries.

Control specimens, removed from deposits of WAT located in different regions (i.e. abdomen, flanks, thorax and limbs), revealed light and electron microscopic features according to those reported in the literature (Figure 5). When compared to the trochanteric pad, these adipose tissues are characterized by differences in particular in the stromal compartment. Briefly, the collagenic stroma and the natural basket of the adipocytes appear regularly thicker than those visible in the trochanteric pad. On the contrary, the walls of the microvascular elements are generally thinner and the capillary walls are generally made of endothelial cells only.

Discussion

To date, despite the well-known usefulness of the trochanteric fat pad in plastic surgery reconstructions, histological and ultrastructural studies about this depot are not available. In particular, it recently emerged the use of this tissue in regenerative procedures based on the Coleman method or its variations.1,25 In this case too, despite some anecdotic reports, to our knowledge no morphologic study has ever been performed before on the isolated or implanted WAT.

The present work describes for the first time in detail the histology and ultrastructure of the trochanteric WAT depot and provides evidence to explain the behaviour of the trochanteric fat in regenerative procedures. The ultrastructur-
approaching reconstructive procedures or ed. The data emerging from the present study trochanteric WAT should not be underestimated differences between abdominal and tissues are widely considered equivalent, the surgical procedures, despite the fact that the two adequate methodologies are performed. In sur-
not to withstand when rigorous studies with one variant of subcutaneous WAT exists seems structural identity of WAT. The idea that only medicine that seemed to be untouchable: the abdominal fat, calls into question a concept of other WAT deposits and in particular to the particularity of the trochanteric pad as compared to from the present work, i.e. the structural pecu-
liarity of the trochanteric WAT. The capillaries are often covered by pericytic elements, often rich in cytoplasm, that overlap the endothelium: these duplications of the capillary basal membrane are often visible and suggest a high traffic through these elements toward the stroma. The microcirculation features suggest a structural sturdiness and a noteworthy regenerative potential linked to the richness in stem niches.

All these aspects (resistance, dissociability, sturdiness of the microvascular weft and high regenerative potential) suggest that trochanteric WAT might be a good harvesting source for autologous adipose tissue based procedures. Because of these specific feature of its microcirculation, it seems possible that the potential of differentiation of adipose-derived stem cells isolated from the adipose tissue of the trochanteric fat pad might be particularly suited for regenerative procedures based on the use of autologous fat.

In conclusion, the main evidence emerging from the present work, i.e. the structural peculiarity of the trochanteric pad as compared to other WAT deposits and in particular to the abdominal fat, calls into question a concept of medicine that seemed to be untouchable: the structural identity of WAT. The idea that only one variant of subcutaneous WAT exists seems not to withstand when rigorous studies with adequate methodologies are performed. In surgical procedures, despite the fact that the two tissues are widely considered equivalent, the differences between abdominal and trochanteric WAT should not be underestimated. The data emerging from the present study should therefore be considered when approaching reconstructive procedures or transplants based on autologous adipose tissue. In this case, the material used is not only a common filler, but it is a living tissue employed to perform a true regeneration procedure, whose final result could depend on which tissue source had been selected. Therefore the harvesting site should not be chosen randomly, but based on evidence.

The present research suggests that follow up studies are required to assess possible differences between surgical procedures performed using WAT removed from different donor sites. In such a context, the knowledge of the differences among adipose tissues surely represents an important step toward the optimization of reconstructive procedures.
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