Subclinical Magnetic Resonance Imaging Markers of Cerebral Small Vessel Disease in Relation to Office and Ambulatory Blood Pressure Measurements
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Background: Twenty-four-hour and nighttime blood pressure (BP) levels are more strongly associated with cardiovascular risk than office or daytime BP measurements. However, it remains undocumented which of the office and ambulatory BP measurements have the strongest association and predictive information in relation to the presence of type I, or arteriolosclerosis type, cerebral small vessel diseases (CSVD).

Methods: A subset of 429 participants from the Maracaibo Aging Study [aged ≥40 years (women, 73.7%; mean age, 59.3 years)] underwent baseline brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to visualize CSVD, which included log-transformed white matter hyperintensities (log-WMH) volume and the presence (yes/no) of lacunes, cerebral microbleeds (CMB), or enlarged perivascular spaces (EPVS). Linear and logistic regression models were applied to examine the association between CSVD and each +10-mmHg increment in the office and ambulatory systolic BP measurements. Improvement in the fit of nested logistic models was assessed by the log-likelihood ratio and the generalized $R^2$ statistic.
Cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD) has repeatedly been linked to poor cognition, increased risk of stroke, and dementia (1, 2). Arteriolosclerosis or type I CSVD is the most common type of CSVD and includes white matter hyperintensities (WMH), lacunes, cerebral microbleeds, and enlarged perivascular spaces (3). Within the etiological framework of type I CSVD, hypertension plays an essential role. Numerous studies have documented that the optimal control of blood pressure (BP) level significantly reduced the progression of these lesions (4–6), which, in turn, lowers the risk for adverse health outcomes associated with aging (7, 8). To monitor BP control through antihypertensive therapy, adequate measurement of the BP is imperative (9). Current guidelines recognize that ambulatory BP monitoring is the state-of-art method to quantify the BP level compared to office BP and conventional risk factors in the prediction of CSVD, but the nighttime systolic BP level had the strongest association. Controlling ambulatory BP levels might provide additional improvement in the prevention of CSVD.

**Results:** Office and ambulatory systolic BP measurements were related to log-WMH ($\beta$-correlation coefficients $\geq 0.08$; $P < 0.001$). Lacunes and CMB were only associated with ambulatory systolic BP measurements (odds ratios [OR] ranged from 1.31 [95% confidence interval, 1.10–1.55] to 1.46 [1.17–1.84], $P < 0.003$). Accounted for daytime systolic BP, both the 24-h ($\beta$-correlation, 0.170) and nighttime ($\beta$-correlation, 0.038) systolic BP measurements remained related to log-WMH. When accounted for 24-h or daytime systolic BP levels, the nighttime systolic BP retained the significant association with lacunes (ORs, 1.05–1.06; 95% CIs, 1.01 to 1.13), whereas the 24-h and daytime systolic BP levels were not associated with lacunes after adjustments for nighttime systolic BP (ORs, <0.88; 95% CI, >0.77 to <1.14). On top of covariables and office systolic BP, ambulatory systolic BP measurements significantly improved model performance (1.05% $\geq R^2 \leq 3.82$%). Compared to 24-h and daytime systolic BP, nighttime systolic BP had the strongest improvement in the model performance; for WMH (1.46 vs. 1.05%) and lacunes (3.06 vs. 2.05%).

**Conclusions:** Twenty-four-hour and nighttime systolic BP were the more robust BP measurements associated with CSVD, but the nighttime systolic BP level had the strongest association. Controlling ambulatory BP levels might provide additional improvement in the prevention of CSVD.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD) has repeatedly been linked to poor cognition, increased risk of stroke, and dementia (1, 2). Arteriolosclerosis or type I CSVD is the most common type of CSVD and includes white matter hyperintensities (WMH), lacunes, cerebral microbleeds, and enlarged perivascular spaces (3). Within the etiological framework of type I CSVD, hypertension plays an essential role. Numerous studies have documented that the optimal control of blood pressure (BP) level significantly reduced the progression of these lesions (4–6), which, in turn, lowers the risk for adverse health outcomes associated with aging (7, 8). To monitor BP control through antihypertensive therapy, adequate measurement of the BP is imperative (9). Current guidelines recognize that ambulatory BP monitoring is the state-of-art method to quantify the BP level compared to office BP and conventional risk factors in the prediction of CSVD, but the nighttime systolic BP level had the strongest association. Controlling ambulatory BP levels might provide additional improvement in the prevention of CSVD.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Cohort**

The Maracaibo Aging Study is a prospective population-based study of community-dwelling individuals $\geq 40$ years of age from the Santa Lucia (since 1998) and Santa Rosa de Agua (since 2010) neighborhoods located in the city of Maracaibo, Venezuela (21). Detailed methodology of the Maracaibo Aging Study is described elsewhere (21). From August 2011 until June 2016, 534 participants were additionally enrolled, using a sampling frame prioritizing the female ancestral lines with the intention to engage in genetic studies. In this study phase, participants underwent neurological and cardiovascular assessments. The Institutional Review Boards of the Cardiovascular Institute at the University of Zulia, Maracaibo, and Columbia University, New York, approved the Maracaibo Aging Study, which complied with the Helsinki declaration for investigations into human subjects (22). All participants signed an informed consent form. For this study, we included participants who had ambulatory BP monitoring with a minimum number of 15/5 daytime and nighttime ambulatory BP recordings (10), and MRI scans evaluated between 2011 and 2016.
Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)
Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans were obtained on a 1.5-T scanner (GE Healthcare). It included T1-weighted, T2-weighted, gradient echo, diffusion-weighted imaging, angiography, and, for the purposes of this study, T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) scans. The FLAIR image parameters were TR = 8,000 ms, TE = 123 ms, 2,000 [ms] inversion time, 25-cm FOV, 2 NEX, 256 × 192 matrix with 2-mm slice thickness, 0 mm spacing, 63 slices; 6:01; COIL 8NHEAD_A, and an oblique orientation (axial following commissural plane). Individuals with a pacemaker, aneurysm clip, neurostimulator, cochlear implant, or body weight >110 kg were excluded from MRI scans. The MRI images were transferred to Columbia University for morphometric analysis.

Cerebral Small Vessel Disease
Subclinical CSVD was categorized into quantitative (total WMH) and qualitative (presence of lacunes, cerebral microbleeds, and enlarged perivascular spaces) measurements (23). WMH were automatically quantified as hyperintensities observed on T2-weighted MRI. The full description of how WMH are automatically quantified has been published elsewhere (24). Briefly, each participant's T2-weighted FLAIR image was brain-extracted, and a single Gaussian curve was fit to voxel intensity values in the brain-extracted image. Intensity values of >2.1 standard deviations (SD) above the whole-brain mean intensity value were labeled as hyperintense voxels. The threshold was set by a trained operator who visually inspected the results. After visual inspection of each image, and correction, if necessary, the total WMH volume was derived by summing the number of labeled voxels and multiplying the value by the voxel dimensions. The WMH volumes were co-registered to the brain-extracted T1-weighted volumes defined by FreeSurfer (version 6.0, surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu), and the Euclidean distance between each WMH-labeled voxel was computed and accounted for total cranial volume. Lacunes were characterized as focal lesions of at least 3-mm diameter, with signal intensities corresponding to liquid (hyperintense on T2-weighted images and hypointense on FLAIR images). Cerebral microbleeds were defined as rounded hypointense lesions on T2-weighted gradient echo-images with a diameter of <10 mm, an additional visualization on T1-weighted scans for differential diagnosis against other microvascular lesions. Symmetrical hypointensities in the globi pallidi, likely representing calcifications, sulcal flow voids from cortical vessels, and hypointensities, possibly due to partial volume artifacts from bone, were disregarded. Cerebral microbleeds were identified as definite microbleeds based on the Microbleed Anatomical Rating Scale (25), and were classified as: total microbleeds and, also, based on their localization as lobar, deep, or mixed cerebral microbleeds. Enlarged perivascular or Virchow–Robin spaces were defined as round, oval, or linear-shaped lesions with a diameter of >5 mm, with a smooth margin, absence of mass effect, and with a signal intensity equal to interstitial fluid on T2-weighted images, with a flair rim around them, and (if visible) hypointense on FLAIR images without a hyperintense rim to distinguish them from old lacunar infarcts.

Blood Pressure Measurement
A nurse or physician measured the office BP with a validated oscillometric device (Dynamap®). The office BP was the average of five consecutive readings in the sitting position. Validated oscillometric 90207 Spacelabs monitors (Snoqualmie, WA) (26) were programmed to obtain readings at 15-min intervals from 6 AM until 11 PM, and at 30-min intervals from 11 PM until 6 AM (Supplementary Table 1). The same SAS macro-processed all ambulatory BP recordings, which stayed unedited, with the exception of readings with higher diastolic BP than systolic BP, or flagged with an error code. The within-subject 24-h BP was a time-weighted average, giving weights to each individual reading proportional to the time lag with the previous reading. Hypertension categories based on office and ambulatory BP measurements were defined according to the ACC/AHA 2017 guidelines (11). Office hypertension was a systolic or diastolic BP of ≥130/80-mm Hg or use of antihypertensives. 24-h, daytime, and nighttime hypertension were systolic or diastolic BP levels of ≥125/75, ≥130/80, and ≥110/65 mmHg, respectively. The dipping ratio was calculated by dividing the nighttime by the daytime BP level (27).

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics
We documented demographic and clinical information related to CSVD, and this was collected by physicians and trained nurses. The observers administered questionnaires to collect each participant's medical history: smoking status, drinking habits, use of antihypertensive or anti-diabetic medications, and educational attainment. History of smoking included past and current smoking. Obesity was defined as a body mass index (BMI) of ≥30 kg/m². Diabetes mellitus was defined based on the criteria of the Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee (28) as a glucose serum level of ≥126 mg/dL or intake of anti-diabetic drugs. The glomerular filtration rate was estimated according to the method of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Disease (29). History of cardiovascular disease included ischemic coronary diseases, heart failure, or stroke prior to the MRI and BP assessments.

Statistical Analyses
We compared means by t-tests or Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney, and proportions by Fisher exact test. Due to a non-parametric distribution, we log-transformed total WMH (log-WMH) volume to conduct regression analyses and expressed associations as per +1 SD increment in the log-WMH. After stratification for sex, we interpolated missing values of BMI (n = 56), total serum cholesterol (n = 56), low-density (n = 79), and high-density serum cholesterol (n = 79), and serum creatinine (n = 60) from the regression slopes on age. We selected covariates based on their pathological role with CSVD (sex, age, BMI, diabetes mellitus, use of antihypertensive treatment, glomerular filtration rate, high-density serum cholesterol, and history of cardiovascular diseases) or potential confounders (education and cephalic circumference). We expressed odds ratios (for lacunes, cerebral microbleeds, and enlarged perivascular spaces) and correlation coefficients (for log-WMH) per +10/5-mm Hg increment in the systolic/diastolic BP, and per +0.10-mm
Hg increment in the systolic dipping ratio. We estimated the probability of having CSVD according to categories of office, 24-h, daytime, and nighttime systolic BP (normal BP, elevated BP, stage-1, stage-2, and severe hypertension). The probability was derived from logistic regression models that accounted for the selected confounders, and heatmaps were constructed to visualize the contribution of each category to the probability of having CSVD. To estimate the probability for WMH, we had to divide log-WMH into normal (<90th of the percentile distribution) and high (≥90th) WMH.

To determine which office or ambulatory BP measurements were better associated with CSVD, we simultaneously included two BP measurements in the same model (e.g., 24-h and daytime BP). Because of the collinearity effect, we applied the residuals method to uncorrelated ambulatory BP measurements by regressing one measurement on the other. For example, when assessing whether nighttime BP was better associated with CSVD than 24-h BP, we regressed nighttime BP on 24-h BP and used the residuals to compute side effects (beta coefficients, odds ratios) while adjusting the model by 24-h BP. Improvement in the fit of nested logistic models was assessed by the log-likelihood ratio and the generalized R² statistic. In sensitivity analyzes, we associated CSVD with office and ambulatory diastolic BP measurements, and we also conducted analyzes by excluding participants with previous strokes to ensure that the association between ambulatory BP levels and CSVD was not driven by the presence of stroke. A two-tailed α-level of ≤0.05 was deemed statistically significant. For database management and statistical analysis, we used SAS software, version 9.4, maintenance level five.

RESULTS
Baseline Characteristics
The study population had a mean age of 59.3 (range, 55-90 years) and included 73.7% (n = 316) women (Table 1). Among 429 participants, one-third were smokers (28.7%), had obesity (32.4%), or were on antihypertensive treatment (n = 33.8%). The prevalence of diabetes mellitus, history of cardiovascular diseases, and stroke was 16.3, 7.5, and 2.6%, respectively. Almost half of the participants had an office (67.4%) or a 24-h (45.4%) hypertension, whereas the prevalence of daytime and nighttime hypertension was 35 and 68.1%, respectively. In addition, we reported 8.9% (n = 38) participants with lacunes, 11.9% (n = 51) with cerebral microbleeds, and 7.46% (n = 32) with enlarged perivascular spaces were detected (Table 1).

Cerebral Small Vessel Disease and Blood Pressure Measurements
In univariate regression analyzes (Table 2), the office and ambulatory BP measurements were all significantly associated with CSVD (P ≤ 0.041). In adjusted regression models (Table 2), each +10-mm Hg increment in the office systolic was associated with larger total log-WMH (β correlation coefficient per ±1-SD larger log-WMH = 0.08; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.05-0.12; P < 0.001). Similarly, the associations were retained for the 24-h, daytime, and nighttime systolic BP (β coefficients between 0.12 and 0.14; 95% CI, ≥0.08 ≤0.19, P < 0.001). The odds ratios (ORs) for lacunes and cerebral microbleeds per +10-mm Hg increment in the 24-h, daytime, and nighttime systolic BP ranged between 1.31 (95% CI, 1.10–1.55; P = 0.002) and 1.47 (95% 1.21–1.79; P < 0.001). Based on the locations of the cerebral microbleeds (Supplementary Table 2), office systolic BP was only associated with mixed microbleeds (OR, 1.79; 95% CI, 1.17–2.73; P = 0.006); whereas, the presence of lobar or deep cerebral microbleeds was significantly associated with 24-h, daytime, and nighttime systolic
BP (OR ≥ 1.30; 95% CI ranged from 1.04 to ≤ 4.31; P ≤ 0.022). Every +5-mm Hg increase on the level of ambulatory diastolic BP measurements was significantly associated with larger log-WMH [β correlation coefficient ≥ 0.05; 95% CI, ≥ 0.01 to ≤ 0.10; P ≤ 0.033 (Supplementary Table 3)], and the presence of enlarged perivascular spaces [ORs ranged between 1.22 and 1.36; 95% CI, ≥ 1.02 to ≤ 1.70; P ≤ 0.030 (Supplementary Table 3)]. Our findings were consistent after excluding the participants with history of stroke (Supplementary Table 4).

The probability of having a high volume of WMH and lacunes increased with nighttime systolic BP categories, ranging from 0.73–3.70% (normal BP, <100 mm Hg) to 10.8–15.3% (severe hypertension, ≥140 mm Hg), respectively (Figure 1). For these same categories, the probability according to office systolic BP categories ranged from 1.18 to 7.63%, and 4.53 to 9.15%. Similar trends were observed between ambulatory BP categories and other markers of CSVD.

Comparison of Blood Pressure Measurements

When adjusted for 24-h ambulatory BP measurements, the office systolic BP lost significance relationship with log-WMH (Supplementary Table 5; P ≥ 0.053). The ambulatory systolic BP measurements remained associated with log-WMH after adjustment for office BP (Supplementary Table 5; P ≤ 0.006). Adjustment for daytime systolic BP did not remove the statistical significance of 24-h and nighttime systolic BP in relation to total WMH (P ≤ 0.023), whereas the significance of higher daytime systolic BP level did not remain after adjustment for 24-h or nighttime systolic BP levels (Figure 2). For lacunes Table 3, nighttime systolic BP level retained the significance after accounted for 24-h or nighttime systolic BP (ORs between 1.05 and 1.06; 95% CI ranged between ≥1.01 and ≤1.10; P ≤ 0.036). However, the 24-h (OR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.91–1.04; P = 0.46) and daytime (OR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.77–1.02; P = 0.09) systolic BP were not associated after adjustments for nighttime systolic BP. No association between ambulatory BP measurements and cerebral microbleeds (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 6), or enlarged perivascular spaces, (Table 3) were observed when these BP measurements were simultaneously included in the same logistic regression models (ORs ratios ranged from 0.96 to 1.10; 95% CI ranged from 0.84 to 1.23; P ≥ 0.17). After accounting for ambulatory 24-h or nighttime systolic BP measurements, the systolic dipping ratio was not associated with CSVD (Supplementary Table 7, P ≥ 0.18).

Model Performance

The basic model accounted for sex, age, education, cephalic circumference, BMI, diabetes mellitus, use of antihypertensive treatment, glomerular filtration rate, and previous history of cardiovascular diseases (Table 4). On top of the basic model, office systolic BP significantly improved model performance for top-90th log-transformed WMH (R² = 5.02%; P < 0.001), but not for lacunes, enlarged perivascular spaces, or cerebral microbleeds. In contrast, the inclusion of ambulatory systolic BP measurements on top of basic models and office systolic BP resulted in significant improvement of the model performance for top-90th log-WMH, lacunes, and cerebral microbleeds (R² ranged from 0.97 to 3.09%; P ≥ 0.072).

DISCUSSION

In this population-based study, higher 24-h and nighttime systolic BPs, compared with office and daytime BP measurements, were better associated with a larger accumulation of WMH volume and a higher probability of having lacunes or cerebral microbleeds. The daytime BP, rather than nighttime BP, was better associated with enlarged perivascular spaces. The probability (%) of high burden of WMH volume and lacunes were higher across nighttime systolic BP categories.
FIGURE 1 | Heat maps displaying the probability (%) of having subclinical markers of cerebral small vessel disease according to office and ambulatory blood pressure Categories. BP indicates blood pressure; WMH, white matter hyperintensities; HT, hypertension. Normal, elevated BP, stage-1, stage-2, and severe hypertensions thresholds were 120/130/140/160 mm Hg for office systolic BP, 115/125/130/145 for 24-h, 120/130/135/145 for daytime, and 100/110/120/140 for nighttime BP. Numbers inside the box indicates the probability of having top-90th total log-WMH (A), lacunes (B), cerebral microbleeds (C), or enlarged perivascular spaces (D).

The probability was derived by multivariable logistic regression and was standardized to the average of the distributions in the whole study population of sex, age, education, cephalic circumference, body mass index, diabetes mellitus, use of antihypertensive treatment, glomerular treatment, and history of cardiovascular diseases.

compared to other BP measurements, with corresponding rates of 0.73–15.3% between normal systolic BP and severe systolic hypertension. In adjusted regression models, office systolic BP was only related with total WMH volume. However, this association did not remain significant after accounting for ambulatory BP measurements. Our findings were consistent in participants stroke-free history. The model performance was significantly improved by 24-h and nighttime systolic BP levels on top of conventional risk factors and office systolic BP from 0.69 to 3.06%.

Being consistent with previous publications, the prevalence of cerebral microbleeds, lacunes, and enlarged perivascular spaces was 11.9, 8.9, and 7.5%, respectively (13, 30). In comparison to other Hispanic participants residing in or outside of the United States, our prevalence is comparable.

In the Northern Manhattan Study, constituted by ~60–70% of Hispanic participants from the general population, the prevalence of lacunes and cerebral microbleeds was 13.4 and 4.92%, respectively (13, 31). Similarly, in a Mexican-American cohort, the prevalence of lacunes and cerebral microbleeds was 4.3 and 10.3%, respectively (32). In addition, in the Atahuapla Project, which is a population-based study of older adults living in rural areas of Ecuador, the rate of lacunes and cerebral microbleeds was 14.7 and 14%, respectively (33). Of note, the distribution of deep, lobar, and mixed cerebral microbleeds was also similar in our study compared to those cohorts of Hispanics participants from the general population.

Numerous studies documented that nighttime BP carries the most valuable prognostic information in relation to adverse health outcomes (12, 34–36). In line with these studies, we
Association between total log-transformed white matter hyperintensities and standardized ambulatory systolic blood pressure measurements. The ambulatory blood pressure (BP) measurements were all standardized by sex, age, education, cephalic circumference, body mass index (BMI), diabetes mellitus, high-density serum cholesterol, use of antihypertensive treatment, glomerular filtration rate, and history of cardiovascular diseases. The 24-h systolic BP was additionally adjusted for daytime (A) and nighttime (B) systolic BP levels. The daytime systolic BP was additionally adjusted for 24-h (C) and nighttime (D) systolic BP levels. Finally, the nighttime systolic BP was additionally adjusted for 24-h (E) and daytime (F) systolic BP levels.

TABLE 3 | Association between categorical subclinical markers of cerebral small vessel disease and ambulatory systolic blood pressure measurements.

| Quantitative markers of cerebral small vessel disease | Adjusted for 24-h systolic BP | Adjusted for daytime systolic BP | Adjusted for nighttime systolic BP |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                                      | OR (95% CI)                  | P                               | OR (95% CI)                      | P                               | OR (95% CI)                  | P                               |
| Lacunes (n = 38)                                      |                              |                                 |                                 |                                 |                                |                                 |
| 24-H systolic BP                                      | NA                           | NA                              | 1.16 (1.02–1.33)                | 0.026                           | 0.97 (0.91–1.04)               | 0.40                           |
| Daytime systolic BP                                   | 0.88 (0.77–1.02)             | 0.09                            | NA                              | NA                              | 1.02 (0.98–1.07)               | 0.32                           |
| Nighttime systolic BP                                 | 1.06 (1.01–1.13)             | 0.036                           | 1.05 (1.01–1.10)                | 0.001                           | NA                            | NA                             |
| Cerebral microbleeds (n = 51)                         |                              |                                 |                                 |                                 |                                |                                 |
| 24-H systolic BP                                      | NA                           | NA                              | 1.04 (0.92–1.16)                | 0.56                            | 1.03 (0.97–1.09)               | 0.33                           |
| Daytime systolic BP                                   | 0.99 (0.88–1.13)             | 0.97                            | NA                              | NA                              | 1.02 (0.98–1.07)               | 0.32                           |
| Nighttime systolic BP                                 | 1.01 (0.95–1.06)             | 0.91                            | 1.01 (0.98–1.05)                | 0.53                            | NA                            | NA                             |
| Enlarged perivascular spaces (n = 18)                 |                              |                                 |                                 |                                 |                                |                                 |
| 24-H systolic BP                                      | NA                           | NAA                             | 0.96 (0.84–1.11)                | 0.60                            | 1.04 (0.97–1.12)               | 0.20                           |
| Daytime systolic BP                                   | 1.07 (0.92–1.23)             | 0.38                            | NA                              | NA                              | 1.03 (0.98–1.09)               | 0.17                           |
| Nighttime systolic BP                                 | 0.98 (0.93–1.04)             | 0.54                            | 0.99 (0.95–1.04)                | 0.73                            | NA                            | NA                             |

OR, odds ratio; BP, blood pressure; WMH, white matter hyperintensities; NA, no applicable. OR are given by mm Hg unit increase in the systolic BP. Models accounted sex, age, education, cephalic circumference, body mass index, diabetes mellitus, high-density serum cholesterol, use of antihypertensive treatment, glomerular filtration rate, and previous history of cardiovascular diseases. Models including 2 correlated systolic BP measurements were constructed, using the residual method (see statistical analysis section). Office systolic BP did not remain associated with log-transformed total WMH after adjustment for 24-h systolic BP (P = 0.053, see Supplementary Table 6).
TABLE 4 | Nested fit of logistic models relating quantitative and categorical subclinical markers of cerebral small vessel disease and blood pressure measurements.

| Models                                      | χ² statistic | P     | R² (%)† |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|-------|---------|
| **Top-90th log-transformed-WMH (no/yes)**    |              |       |         |
| Base model*                                 | 64.3         | <0.001| 15.2    |
| + Office systolic BP                        | 80.3         | <0.001| 4.00    |
| + Office systolic BP + 24-h systolic BP     | 84.4         | 0.042 | 1.05    |
| + Office systolic BP + Daytime systolic BP  | 83.0         | 0.098 | 0.69    |
| + Office systolic BP + Nighttime systolic BP| 86.0         | 0.016 | 1.46    |
| + Office systolic BP + Ambulatory BP measures | 88.6     | 0.004 | 2.10    |
| **Lacunes (no/yes)**                        |              |       |         |
| Base model*                                 | 25.3         | <0.001| 6.27    |
| + Office systolic BP                        | 28.5         | 0.074 | 0.81    |
| + Office systolic BP + 24-h systolic BP     | 36.6         | 0.004 | 2.06    |
| + Office systolic BP + Daytime systolic BP  | 34.4         | 0.015 | 1.50    |
| + Office systolic BP + Nighttime systolic BP| 40.7         | <0.001| 3.06    |
| + Office systolic BP + Ambulatory BP measures | 43.8         | <0.001| 3.82    |
| **Cerebral microbleeds (no/yes)**           |              |       |         |
| Base model*                                 | 16.5         | <0.001| 4.12    |
| + Office systolic BP                        | 19.7         | 0.072 | 0.82    |
| + Office systolic BP + 24-h systolic BP     | 26.9         | 0.007 | 1.83    |
| + Office systolic BP + Daytime systolic BP  | 26.6         | 0.008 | 1.74    |
| + Office systolic BP + Nighttime systolic BP| 25.9         | 0.012 | 1.59    |
| + Office systolic BP + Ambulatory BP measures | 27.0       | 0.007 | 1.86    |
| **Enlarged perivascular spaces (no/yes)**   |              |       |         |
| Base model*                                 | 9.7          | 0.002 | 2.45    |
| + Office systolic BP                        | 12.1         | 0.119 | 0.62    |
| + Office systolic BP + 24-h systolic BP     | 13.6         | 0.222 | 0.38    |
| + Office systolic BP + Daytime systolic BP  | 14.0         | 0.167 | 0.48    |
| + Office systolic BP + Nighttime systolic BP| 12.8         | 0.405 | 0.18    |
| + Office systolic BP + Ambulatory BP measures | 15.7         | 0.057 | 0.92    |

WMH denotes white matter hyperintensities, BP, blood pressure. On top of 24-h or nighttime systolic BP, office systolic BP only improved the model performance for top-90th log-transformed WMH (R² from 1.02 to 1.14%; P = 0.045 and P = 0.034). When basic model additionally accounted for all the ambulatory systolic BP measurements, office systolic BP did not longer improve model performance (P = 0.074).

*Basic models accounted for sex, age, education, cephalic circumference, body mass index, diabetes mellitus, high-density serum cholesterol, use of antihypertensive treatment, glomerular filtration rate, and history of cardiovascular diseases.

†R² is an estimate of the additional variance explained (https://apha.confex.com/apha/134am/techprogram/paper_135906.htm).

also observed that 24-h and nighttime systolic BP showed the strongest association with CSVD. Of note, the role of 24-h systolic BP might be driven by nighttime BP levels due to the high prevalence of nighttime hypertension (68.1%), which can be explained by the change on the ORs of the 24-h systolic BP from above 1 unit to below 1 unit when accounted for nighttime BP levels. The mechanisms involved in the association between CSVD and nighttime BP might be (i) uncontrolled nocturnal hypertension due to lack of antihypertensive therapy during the nighttime (37), or (ii) inherent pathophysiological mechanisms that increase the nighttime BP (38). Antihypertensive therapy is a major confounder when ambulatory BP is examined as the medication is usually taken during the daytime, making it plausible that the BP-lowering effect of the drugs decreases or wean off at night (37). On the other hand, nocturnal hypertension might result from sympathetic modulation of the nighttime BP, disturbed baroreflex sensitivity, sleep apnea, decreased daytime sodium excretion, nocturnal pressure natriuresis, impaired endothelial function, or all (38). Individuals with nocturnal hypertension usually suffer from comorbidities, such as diabetes, dyslipidemia, or obesity, which substantially increase their cardiovascular risk. Patients at high-risk would benefit from antihypertensive therapy guided by ambulatory BP monitoring.

The role of hypertension in the presence and development of CSVD is irrefutable. High office BP relates to CSVD. Considering our findings, nocturnal hypertension might have a greater impact on the brain microcirculation, predisposing to a higher extent the presence of CSVD. Therefore, it is important to describe the physiopathological changes in the cerebral microcirculation associated with hypertension. Based on its etiology, CSVD can be classified into arteriolosclerosis, cerebral amyloid angiopathy, inherited or genetic, inflammatory and immune-mediated, venous collagenosis, and others (3). The most common types are arteriolosclerosis (or type
I) and cerebral amyloid angiopathy (type II), but type I pertains to vascular risk factors commonly associated with aging such as hypertension. The physiopathological vascular changes associated with arteriolosclerosis include fibrinoid necrosis, microaneurysm, hyalinosi s, fibrohyalinosis, microatheroma, lipohyalinosis, atherosclerosis, and segmental arterial disorganization. These pathological findings define the functional and structural changes of small arteries associated with hypertension. Therefore, by understanding the possible etiologies in CSVD, the identification of risk factors potentially leads to better diagnostic and preventive strategies. This is clinically valuable as hypertension, which is the main risk factor for arteriolosclerosis CSVD, is a preventable modifiable risk factor and yet, it remains the first cause of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular complications worldwide due to the poor diagnosis, treatment, and control rates (9).

The clinical implications of our study rely on the management of nocturnal hypertension for prevention of CSVD. Given the compelling evidence, hypertension guidelines indicated ambulatory BP monitoring as the state-of-art method to measure BP level (10, 11). Yet, substituting office for ambulatory BP measures in clinical settings remains controversial. CSVD is considered as a subclinical marker of target-organ damage, and based on The Lancet Commissions (9), controlling BP is the second avoidable level in the prevention of major cardiovascular events, and loss of quality of life, especially in advanced age. With CSVD being a common result of hypertension-related arteriolosclerosis (3), antihypertensive therapy should be guided by both office and ambulatory BP measures, and should be aimed at nighttime normotension. To date, the superiority of bedtime vs. daytime therapy to control BP remains unclear and only one study has addressed such superiority—the Hygia Chronotherapy Trial (39). The study showed that bedtime medication, as opposed to upon waking, improved ambulatory BP control and diminished the risk of major cardiovascular events by 45%. The findings from the Hygia study should be interpreted with caution as its findings remains under debate (40, 41). Until now, the guidelines do not propose nighttime BP treatment as the main therapy target but aiming at daytime and nighttime BP normotension seems reasonable.

No studies have documented the absolute risk of CSVD according to ambulatory BP categories. Due to the cross-sectional design of our study, we were unable to assess absolute risk. However, the probability (%) derived from adjusted logistic regression modeling may put our findings in perspective. As an example, for the same ambulatory hypertension categories, the probability of having a high accumulation of WMH, lacunes, or cerebral microbleeds was higher than the corresponding office hypertension categories—between 3 and 10%. This information is clinically relevant as current international hypertension guidelines recommend treatment for hypertension based on an absolute risk of cardiovascular diseases (e.g., the Framingham Risk Score) (9). Moreover, the absolute risk also influences the decision-making in treating high-risk individuals as demonstrated by the Systolic blood pressure intervention trial (SPRINT) study, where individuals with a higher cardiovascular risk had more benefits with intense antihypertensive treatment compared to patients at low risk (42). Documenting the absolute risk for CSVD based on BP levels, as well as other risk factors, might guide the decision-making process to determine which patients should undergo further cardiovascular assessments.

Daytime BP seemed to be the strongest BP index associated with enlarged perivascular spaces, which agrees with the two previously published studies available in the current literature in patients with stroke (18, 43). We hypothesized that the two mechanisms might explain such association. First, the response of cerebral autoregulatory mechanisms to BP. A surge of the BP beyond the cerebral autoregulatory capacity induces dilatation of cerebral vessels and leads to changes in the permeability of the blood-brain barrier (44, 45). Because daytime BP is higher than nighttime BP, the autoregulatory capacity of the cerebral vessels would be more likely exceeded during the daytime. Subsequent changes in the permeability allow fluid to leaks out and overload in the perivascular space (44). Second, the physiological changes of the amount of fluid within the perivascular spaces throughout the day (46) can be exacerbated by higher daytime BP. These hypothetical mechanisms need to be validated, and longitudinal data are needed to determine whether controlling ambulatory BP level will result in lower perivascular spaces.

**Strengths and Limitations**

The strengths of our study included the comprehensive information on cardiovascular risk factors, the availability of assessment for ambulatory BP and MRI scans at the same time point, consideration of multicollinearity among BP measurements by applying the residual method, and the separate analyses of subclinical markers of CSVD. The predictive value of nighttime systolic BP was replicable in three outcomes (WMH, lacunes, and cerebral microbleeds), further strengthening our hypothesis. Nevertheless, the present study should be interpreted within the context of its limitations. First, the cross-sectional design prevented causal inferences. Although we were unable to assess the predictive power of ambulatory BP measurements, numerous studies have documented that ambulatory BP measurements predicted the development and/or progression of cerebral small vessel disease (6, 16, 47). Second, we did not record the BP diary and, therefore, we were unable to adequately address the role of antihypertensive treatment in the association between cerebral small vessel disease and ambulatory BP measurements. Third, the generalizability of these findings is limited to the general population, especially because of the overrepresentation of women in the MAS. Lastly, detection of subclinical markers of CSVD strongly depends on MRI characteristics and the detection criteria used.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our study demonstrated that ambulatory BP measurements were associated with subclinical markers of CSVD, and such association was superior to office BP measurements. Nighttime systolic BP was the strongest BP measurement associated with WMH, as well as with lacunes. Nevertheless, we observed that the presence of enlarged perivascular spaces was better related to daytime BP than any other
BP measurements. The 24-h ambulatory BP monitoring may identify individuals who are at high risk of major cerebrovascular outcomes. In view of the widely available antihypertensive therapy, physicians should focus on controlling ambulatory BP, especially in high-risk individuals (e.g., elderly patients, subjects with history of cardiovascular disease, or with high burden of cardiovascular risk factors). By preventing progression of brain target-organ damage, the risk of major cerebrovascular disease would be substantially reduced, which improves the quality of life and reduces the disability-adjusted life years lost.
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