Design, Synthesis and in Vivo Anti-inflammatory Activities of 2,4-Diaryl-5-4H-imidazolone Derivatives
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Abstract: A series of 2,4-diaryl-5(4H)-imidazolones were prepared and evaluated for their anti-inflammatory activities. Some selected 2,4-diaryl-5(4H)-imidazolones exhibited excellent anti-inflammatory activity in the carrageenan-induced rat paw edema model. Structure Activity Relationships within the series were studied. The substitution at the N-sulfonamide moiety by a small hydrophilic acetyl group resulted in compounds with superior in vivo anti-inflammatory properties. As expected from their COX-2 selectivity, most of the active compounds lacked gastrointestinal toxicity in vivo in rats after a 3-day treatment of 25 mg/kg/day.
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1. Introduction

Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibition was identified two decades ago as the 21st century’s promising control for orthopedic pain and inflammation [1]. Celecoxib (Celebrex)™ was the first selective COX-2 inhibitor (coxibs) that appeared on the world markets in 1999 as a safer replacement for NSAIDs (non-selective COX-1/COX-2 inhibitors) as it causes less gastrointestinal complications [2]. After the launch of several successful anti-inflammatory medicines on world markets belonging to the selective COX-2 inhibitors class (Table 1), rofecoxib (Vioxx)™ and valdecoxib (Bextra)™ were withdrawn subsequent to evidence of atherothrombotic cardiovascular adverse effects (AEs) [3]. This dramatic turn raised serious questions about the safety of the COX-2 inhibition concept. Moreover, the U.S. FDA ordered explicit warnings on other marketed coxibs [4]. Fortunately, further studies revealed that cardiac adverse effects are related to certain drug structures and their metabolic byproducts rather than the COX-2 physiological role [5]. For instance, rofecoxib was hypothesized to produce highly reactive oxidized metabolites via oxidation of the core unsaturated lactone nucleus into a maleic anhydride peroxide radical species [6]. Rofecoxib may cause accumulation of oxidized LDL and 20-HETE, two biomarkers involved in atherosclerotic events [7,8]. Celecoxib was unable to produce similar metabolic products and hence, less cardiovascular associated risk was observed. Lumiracoxib is another selective COX-2 inhibitor that was also withdrawn due to non-cardiovascular toxicity issues [9,10].

Table 1. Selective inhibitors of COX-2. The structures of some examples of the first-generation (that is, rofecoxib and celecoxib) and second-generation (etoricoxib, lumiracoxib and valdecoxib).

|                | Celecoxib | Rofecoxib | Valdecoxib | Lumiracoxib | Etoricoxib |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| Approval       | 1999      | 1999      | 2001       | 2006        | 2005      |
| Withdrawal     | --------- | 2004      | 2005       | 2007        | --------- |
| Main AEs       | --------- | Cardiovascular | Cardiovascular | Hepatotoxicity | --------- |
| Authority      | FDA       | FDA       | FDA        | EMA, TGA    | UK        |

These findings led to a revitalization of medicinal chemistry research directed towards development of novel chemical classes of anti-inflammatory agents which are designed to act through inhibition of COX-2 [11–13]. Rapid progress in the discovery of novel anti-inflammatory agents may depend on their in vivo anti-inflammatory activities compared to ulcerogenic and other side effects [14]. For design purpose, it is useful to build on well established structural features of selective COX-2 inhibitors to maintain GI safety; and replace the central scaffold to avoid cardiovascular side effects. In this direction, we are presenting here compounds belonging to 1,2-diaryl-4-aylidene-5-4H-imidazolone with comparable anti-inflammatory potencies to reference NSAIDs, but with controlled ulcerogenic properties.
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Rationale and Structure-Based Design

We envisaged 2,3-diaryl-5(4H)-imidazolones as promising molecular targets to develop novel COX-2 anti-inflammatory agents with selective COX-2 inhibition due to their similarity to celecoxib’s pyrazole core (Figure 1). The structure satisfies the basic requirements of selective COX-2 inhibitors as two adjacent aryl groups are attached to a heterocyclic core. One of the aryl groups is confined on the replacement of the methyl group of the lead celecoxib by fluorine, a small lipophilic group frequently employed as a metabolic blocker. A bulky lipophilic arylidene group on the imidazolone scaffold was used in place of celecoxib’s CF₃ group. The side pocket, the major selectivity element towards COX-2 over COX-1, is occupied by the common phenylsulfonamide moiety. Some compounds contained an acetylsulfonamide, a water soluble group, to give a pharmacokinetic advantage. The acetylsulfonamido series was prepared as water soluble sodium salt.

Figure 1. (A) Overlay of imidazolone 3a and SC-558 inside the active site. Compound 3a (magenta carbons) is completely eclipsing the structure of SC-558 (white carbons). The figure also illustrates interaction of the C-2 p-fluorophenyl with hydrophobic amino acids. (B) Initial docking of compound 3a,b (brown carbons) showing unfavorable approach of the arylidene group with Val-116. (C) Optimized docking after torsional changes in the arylidene moiety.

The validity of our design was investigated using structure-based molecular modeling tools. The study was performed by docking the new imidazolones on the active site of the crystal structure of COX-2. All the molecular modeling works were performed on the SYBYL-X Suite [15]. Docking experiments were performed on the COX-2 structure coordinates downloaded from the Brookhaven Protein Databank (PDB entry: 6COX) [16]. This crystal structure complex contains the inhibitor SC-558, a closely related analogue of celecoxib. The ligand was extracted and modified to a representative of our compounds (3a, see Scheme 1 below). The geometry of the arylidene was adjusted to Z configuration because it was the least energy stereoisomer (Figure 1A).

The docking procedure revealed that fluorophenyl group maintains very good binding distances with the main hydrophobic channel residues Leu-384, Tyr-385, Trp-387, Leu-503, Phe-518 and the backbone of Ser-530.
Scheme 1. Synthesis of target compounds 3–5.

Reagents and Conditions: (a) NaOH 10%. (b) Ac2O, NaOAc, heat. (c) HOAc, heat.

The pocket around the sulfonamide is apparently hydrophilic as the sulfonamide group is interacting with the imidazole nitrogen of His-90 (one sulfonyl oxygen), the free amide group of glutamine at position 192 (the NH₂ of the sulfonamide) and the NH of backbone nitrogen of Phe-518 (Figure 2a). The docking of N-pyridyl substituted sulfonamides showed a tight distance between the pyridyl ring and the backbone C=O of the Leu-352 causing the docking energy to become very high. Energy minimization of the new complex caused a slight shift in the peptide chain at this position with some distortion in bond angle of this carbonyl. Since this adjustment is not very likely in reality, this N-pyridyl series was expected to be less potent than the free sulfonamide group one. Volume surface illustration (Figure 2b) revealed that the pyridyl group would be possibly jammed in a narrow space that does not fit well in the active site. On the other hand, N-acetyl derivatives have reasonable size; hence, they fitted smoothly without need for computational optimizations. The added carbonyl established a new H-bond with the Gln-192 side chain, therefore, it synergizes the other hydrogen bond network of the sulfonamide NH forming a bifurcated H-bond with C=O of Gln-192 and Ser-353 (Figure 2c).

2.2. Chemistry

The compounds in the present work are prepared as described in Scheme 1. The key starting material 4-fluorobenzoylglycine (1) has been prepared by the reaction of 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride with glycine in aqueous sodium hydroxide solution. The hippuric acid derivative 1 underwent condensation with a set of aromatic aldehydes to obtain the oxazolone derivatives 2a–i [17]. The target imidazolones 3–5 were prepared by the reaction of the oxazolones 2 with various substituted aromatic amines in boiling acetic acid [18]. The structures of all the newly synthesized compounds were elucidated with ¹H-NMR, FT-IR and elemental analyses.
2.3. Biological Screening

2.3.1. Anti-inflammatory Activity

The in-vivo anti-inflammatory activity was studied using carrageenan-induced rat paw edema test [19]. The anti-inflammatory activity of the tested compounds relative to that of indomethacin was also determined (Table 2).

Table 2. Effect of imidazolone compounds on carrageenan-induced rat paw edema (mL), % protection and activity relative to indomethacin and meloxicam.

| Tested Compounds | Increase in paw edema (mL) ± SEM a,b | % Protection | Activity relative to indomethacin |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Control          | 0.96 ± 0.026                         | 0.0          | 0.0                              |
| Indomethacin     | 0.25 ± 0.024                         | 74.0         | 100                              |
| Meloxicam        | 0.23 ± 0.019                         | 76.0         | 103                              |
| 3-a              | 0.32 ± 0.028                         | 66.7         | 90                               |
| 3-c              | 0.42 ± 0.032                         | 56.3         | 76                               |
| 3-d              | 0.36 ± 0.016                         | 62.5         | 84                               |
| 3-g              | 0.31 ± 0.027                         | 67.7         | 91                               |
| 3-h              | 0.28 ± 0.022                         | 70.8         | 96                               |
| 4-a              | 0.64 ± 0.026                         | 33.3         | 45                               |
| 4-c              | 0.73 ± 0.022                         | 24.0         | 32                               |
| 4-d              | 0.71 ± 0.028                         | 26.0         | 35                               |
| 4-g              | 0.68 ± 0.032                         | 29.2         | 39                               |
| 4-h              | 0.49 ± 0.024                         | 49.0         | 66                               |
| 5-a              | 0.17 ± 0.016                         | 82.3         | 111                              |
| 5-c              | 0.27 ± 0.023                         | 71.9         | 97                               |
| 5-d              | 0.20 ± 0.024                         | 79.2         | 107                              |
| 5-g              | 0.19 ± 0.029                         | 80.2         | 108                              |
| 5-h              | 0.08 ± 0.032                         | 91.7         | 124                              |

a SEM denotes the standard error of the mean. b All data are significantly different from control (p < 0.001).
2.3.2. Ulcerogenic Effects

Selected synthesized compounds were evaluated for their ulcerogenic potential in rats [20]. Indomethacin was used as reference standard. As shown in Table 3, most of tested compounds had much weaker ulcerogenic effect than indomethacin, but slightly higher than that of meloxicam.

| Compound | ED$_{50}$ (μM/kg) | % Ulceration | Compound | ED$_{50}$ (μM/kg) | % Ulceration |
|----------|-------------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|--------------|
| Indomethacin | 9.7 | 100 | 4-d | 29 | 20 |
| Meloxicam | 12 | 0 | 4-g | 28 | 20 |
| 3-a | 23 | 10 | 4-h | 27 | NT |
| 3-c | 25 | NT | 5-a | 17.9 | 5 |
| 3-d | 24 | NT | 5-c | 18 | 10 |
| 3-g | 22 | NT | 5-d | 16.5 | 5 |
| 3-h | 19.4 | 10 | 5-g | 14.4 | NT |
| 4-a | 28.7 | 25 | 5-h | 12 | 5 |
| 4-c | 31 | NT | | | |

NT = Not Tested.

2.4. Discussion

It is well established that scaffold-hopping can lead to new successful leads with better pharmacological profiles than older prototypes [21]. This approach was applied and lead to identification of compounds with good therapeutic index. The anti-inflammatory activity profile of our present compounds shows that the aryldene moiety replacing the CF$_3$ of celecoxib had no detrimental effect on the anti-inflammatory activity. It was also proved that the \textit{in silico} design work was beneficial in predicting activities of different compounds and hence, guiding the synthetic plan while reducing laboratory work as it leads to high \textit{in vivo} activities. It also predicted lower activities of the N-pyridyl series. The outstanding potencies, low ulcerogenicity and excellent water solubility of N-acetylsulfonamide series 5 make it suitable for further development towards introduction of viable drug candidates. For instance, compound 5h was as potent as the reference meloxicam with minimum ulcerogenic side effects on rats. In addition, this compound can be prepared and tested as a water soluble sodium salt to provide extra advantage in pharmacokinetic properties [22]. The model also revealed that the aryldene moiety may not cause steric conflicts with the active site although classic COX-2 inhibitors usually contain smaller groups at this position. Again, experimental results confirmed that the anti-inflammatory activity tolerates the presence of this group unless if it contains substitution on the \textit{para} position. However, the anti-inflammatory results did not confirm the modeling projections regarding this relation.
3. Experimental

3.1. General

All melting points are uncorrected and were determined by the open capillary tube method, using a Griffin melting point apparatus. IR spectra recorded on a Nicolet FT-IR-vector 22 instrument at the Pharmaceutical Analytical Unit, Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University, Egypt, using KBr pellets and are expressed in cm\(^{-1}\). The \(^1\)H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian EM 390 (300 MHz) NMR spectrometer (in DMSO-d\(_6\)) at the Microanalytical Center, Cairo University, Egypt, using TMS as internal reference and chemical shifts is measured in \(\delta\) ppm. Mass spectra were recorded on a HP Model MS 5988 spectrometer at the Microanalytical Center, Cairo University, Egypt. Elemental analyses were performed using a Perkin Elmer 2400 Series II CHNS/O analyzer at the Pharmaceutical Analytical Unit, Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University, Egypt. Progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC using sheets precoated with UV fluorescent silica gel Merck 60F 254.

Preparation of 4-Fluorobenzoylglycine (1) [23]. Glycine (0.03 mol, 2.5 g) was dissolved in sodium hydroxide solution (10%, 25 mL). 4-Fluorobenzoyl chloride (0.03 mol) was added portionwise with constant stirring for two hours. Crushed ice was added into the solution, and then it was slowly acidified with hydrochloric acid while stirring. The product was filtered, washed and crystallized from water; the mp 167–169 °C, lit [169–171 °C], yield 89%.

General Procedure for Preparation of 4-Arylidene-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-oxazolones (2) [18]. A mixture of 4-fluorobenzoylglycine (1, 0.01 mol, 2 g), the appropriate aromatic aldehyde (0.01 mol) and freshly fused sodium acetate (0.5 g) in acetic anhydride (20 mL) was heated at 100 °C for 2 h. The crystalline product obtained was filtered, washed with water then with aqueous ethanol and finally crystallized from ethanol.

\((Z)-4\)-Benzylidene-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-oxazolone (2a) [24]. Yield: 72%; mp: 204–206 °C; \(^1\)H-NMR: 8.31–8.28 (m, 2H, CH aromatic) 8.22–8.17 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.45–7.54 (m, 5H, CH aromatic), 7.39 (s, 1H, olefinic proton). IR: aromatic C–H stretch, 3073 cm\(^{-1}\); C=O stretch, 1794 cm\(^{-1}\); C=N stretch, 1652 cm\(^{-1}\); C=C stretch, 1597. MS \(m/z\) 267 (M\(^+\), 16.20%). Anal. Calcd for C\(_{16}\)H\(_{10}\)FNO\(_2\): C, 71.91; H, 3.77; N, 5.24. Found: C, 71.96; H, 3.73; N, 5.19.

\((Z)-2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(2-hydroxybenzylidene)-5(4H)-oxazolone (2b). Yield: 66%; mp: 194–195 °C; IR: O–H stretch, 3384 cm\(^{-1}\); aromatic C–H stretch, 3079 cm\(^{-1}\); C=O stretch, 1792 cm\(^{-1}\); C=N stretch, 1674 cm\(^{-1}\); C=C stretch, 1607. Anal. Calcd for C\(_{16}\)H\(_{10}\)FNO\(_3\): C, 67.84; H, 3.56; N, 4.94. Found: C, 67.78; H, 3.49; N, 4.98.

\((Z)-2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(4-hydroxybenzylidene)-5(4H)-oxazolone (2c). Yield: 85%; mp: 201–203 °C; \(^1\)H-NMR: 8.34–8.31 (d, 2H, \(J = 9\) Hz, CH aromatic), 8.20–8.15 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.49–7.43 (m, 2H, CH aromatic) 7.35 (s, 1H, olefinic proton), 7.31–7.27 (t, 2H, CH aromatic), 2.38 (s, 1H, OH proton). Anal. Calcd for C\(_{16}\)H\(_{10}\)FNO\(_3\): C, 67.84; H, 3.56; N, 4.94. Found: C, 67.76; H, 3.51; N, 4.97.
(Z)-2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(4-methoxybenzylidene)-5(4H)-oxazolone (2d) [25]. Yield: 78%; mp: 189–191 °C; \(^1\)H-NMR: 8.30–8.18 (t, 2H, CH aromatic), 8.18–8.13 (d, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.49–7.43 (d, 2H, CH aromatic) 7.31 (s, 1H, olefinic proton). IR: aromatic C–H stretch, 3070 cm\(^{-1}\); C=O stretch, 1784 cm\(^{-1}\); C=N stretch, 1651 cm\(^{-1}\); C=C stretch, 1602. Anal. Calcd for C\(_{17}\)H\(_{12}\)FNO\(_3\): C, 68.68; H, 4.07; N, 4.71. Found: C, 68.71; H, 4.11; N, 4.67.

(Z)-4-(2-Chlorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-oxazolone (2e). Yield: 74%; mp: 220–223 °C; Anal. Calcd for C\(_{16}\)H\(_9\)ClFNO\(_2\): C, 63.70; H, 3.01; N, 4.64. Found: C, 63.65; H, 2.97; N, 4.58.

(Z)-4-(4-Chlorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-oxazolone (2f). Yield: 89%; mp: 228–230 °C; \(^1\)H-NMR: 8.25–8.12 (m, 4H, CH aromatic), 7.54–7.27 (m, 4H, CH aromatic), 7.25 (s, 1H, olefinic proton). IR: aromatic C–H stretch, 3057 cm\(^{-1}\); C=O stretch, 1792 cm\(^{-1}\); C=N stretch, 1656 cm\(^{-1}\); C=C stretch, 1592. Anal. Calcd for C\(_{16}\)H\(_9\)ClFNO\(_2\): C, 63.70; H, 3.01; N, 4.64. Found: C, 63.67; H, 2.95; N, 4.71.

(Z)-2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(1-naphthylidene)-5(4H)-oxazolone (2g). Yield: 56%; mp: 217–219 °C; IR: aromatic C–H stretch, 3056 cm\(^{-1}\); C=O stretch, 1793 cm\(^{-1}\); C=N stretch, 1644 cm\(^{-1}\); C=C stretch, 1599. MS m/z 313 (M\(^+\), 6.3%). Anal. Calcd for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{12}\)FNO\(_2\): C, 75.70; H, 3.81; N, 4.41. Found: C, 75.63; H, 3.87; N, 4.56.

(Z)-4-(4-Fluorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-oxazolone (2h) [23]. Yield: 69%; mp: 214–216 °C; IR: aromatic C–H stretch, 3081 cm\(^{-1}\); C=O stretch, 1794 cm\(^{-1}\); C=N stretch, 1655 cm\(^{-1}\); C=C stretch, 1600. Anal. Calcd for C\(_{16}\)H\(_9\)F\(_2\)NO\(_2\): C, 67.37; H, 3.18; N, 4.91. Found: C, 67.42; H, 3.25; N, 4.82.

(Z)-2-(4-Fluorobenzylidene)-4-(2-thienylmethylene)-5(4H)-oxazolone (2i) [26]. Yield: 78%; mp: 214–216 °C; IR: aromatic C–H stretch, 3081 cm\(^{-1}\); C=O stretch, 1794 cm\(^{-1}\); C=N stretch, 1655 cm\(^{-1}\); C=C stretch, 1600. Anal. Calcd for C\(_{14}\)H\(_8\)FNO\(_2\)S: C, 61.53; H, 2.95; N, 5.13. Found: C, 61.56; H, 2.90; N, 5.17.

3.2. General Procedure for Preparation 3-[4-Substituted aminosulfonyl]-phenyl]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-arylidene-5(4H)-imidazolones 3–5

A mixture of the appropriate 4-arylidene-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-oxazolone 2 (0.01 mol) and 4-substituted aminobenzenesulphonamide (0.01 mol, 2.14 g) in glacial acetic acid (5 mL) containing freshly fused sodium acetate (0.3 g) was heated on boiling water bath with constant stirring for the appropriate time. The separated product was filtered, washed with aqueous ethanol and crystallized from ethanol.

(Z)-1-[4-(Aminosulfonyl)-phenyl]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-benzylidene-5(4H)-imidazolone (3a). Prepared from 2a. Yield: 62%; mp: 238–240 °C; IR: broad N–H stretch, 3450–3050 cm\(^{-1}\); overlap C=O stretch, amide band, 1603 cm\(^{-1}\); N–H bend, 1514 cm\(^{-1}\); asymmetric S(=O)\(_2\) stretch 1319 cm\(^{-1}\); symmetric S(=O)\(_2\) stretch 1161 cm\(^{-1}\). Anal. Calcd for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{16}\)FN\(_3\)O\(_2\)S: C, 62.70; H, 3.83; N, 9.97. Found: C, 62.67; H, 3.79; N, 9.94.
(Z)-1-[4-(Aminosulfonyl)-phenyl]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(2-hydroxybenzylidene)-5(4H)-imidazolone (3b). Prepared from 2b. Yield: 54%; mp: 267–269 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{22}H_{16}FN_{3}O_{4}S: C, 60.40; H, 3.69; N, 9.61. Found: C, 60.37; H, 3.65; N, 9.63.

(Z)-1-[4-(Aminosulfonyl)-phenyl]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(4-hydroxybenzylidene)-5(4H)-imidazolone (3c). Prepared from 2c. Yield: 64%; mp: 279–281 °C; ^1H-NMR: 10.33 (s, 1H, OH), 10.03 (broad NH \textsubscript{2} proton), 8.13–8.08 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.98–7.86 (d, 2H, J = 9 Hz, CH aromatic), 7.78–7.75 (d, 2H, J = 9 Hz, CH aromatic), 7.51–7.48(d, 2H, J = 9 Hz, CH aromatic), 7.40–7.34 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.15 (s, 1H, olefinic CH). Anal. Calcd for C_{22}H_{16}FN_{3}O_{4}S: C, 60.40; H, 3.69; N, 9.61. Found: C, 60.36; H, 3.72; N, 9.65.

(Z)-1-[4-(Aminosulfonyl)-phenyl]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(4-methoxybenzylidene)-5(4H)-imidazolone (3d). Prepared from 2d. Yield: 76%; mp: 290–292 °C; IR: N–H stretch, 3260 cm\(^{-1}\); aromatic C–H stretch, 3105 cm\(^{-1}\); overlap C=O stretch, amide band, 1600 cm\(^{-1}\); N–H bend, 1514 cm\(^{-1}\); asymmetric S(=O)\(_2\) stretch 1330 cm\(^{-1}\), symmetric S(=O)\(_2\) stretch 1163 cm\(^{-1}\). ^1H-NMR: 10.32 (broad NH \textsubscript{2} proton), 8.14–8.09 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.89–7.86 (d, 2H, J = 9 Hz, CH aromatic), 7.77–7.75 (d, 2H, J = 6 Hz, CH aromatic), 7.63–7.60 (d, 2H, J = 9 Hz, CH aromatic), 7.39–7.33 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.17 (s, 1H, olefinic CH), 6.98–6.95 (d, 2H, J = 9 Hz, CH aromatic), 3.77 (s, 3H) OCH\(_3\). Anal. Calcd for C_{23}H_{18}FN_{3}O_{4}S: C, 61.19; H, 4.02; N, 9.31. Found: C, 61.15; H, 3.97; N, 9.28.

(Z)-1-[4-(Aminosulfonyl)-phenyl]-4-(2-chlorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-imidazolone (3e). Prepared from 2e. Yield: 79%; mp: 240–242 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{22}H_{15}ClFN_{3}O_{3}: C, 57.96; H, 3.32; N, 9.22. Found: C, 57.91; H, 3.30; N, 9.26.

(Z)-1-[4-(Aminosulfonyl)-phenyl]-4-(4-fluorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-imidazolone (3f). Prepared from 2f. Yield: 77%; mp: 239–241 °C; IR: broad N–H stretch, 3385–3117 cm\(^{-1}\); overlap C=O stretch, amide band, 3105 cm\(^{-1}\); N–H bend, 1482 cm\(^{-1}\); asymmetric S(=O)\(_2\) stretch 1324 cm\(^{-1}\), symmetric S(=O)\(_2\) stretch 1151 cm\(^{-1}\). Anal. Calcd for C_{22}H_{15}ClFN_{3}O_{3}: C, 57.96; H, 3.32; N, 9.22. Found: C, 57.99; H, 3.28; N, 9.19.

(Z)-1-[4-(Aminosulfonyl)-phenyl]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(naphthalen-1-ylmethylene)-5(4H)-imidazolone (3g). Prepared from 2g. Yield: 67%; mp: 218–220 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{26}H_{18}FN_{3}O_{3}S: C, 66.23; H, 3.85; N, 8.91. Found: C, 66.17; H, 3.92; N, 8.82.

(Z)-1-[4-(Aminosulfonyl)-phenyl]-4-(4-fluorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-imidazolone (3h). Prepared from 2h. Yield: 72%; mp: 270–272 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{22}H_{13}F_{2}N_{3}O_{3}S: C, 60.13; H, 3.44; N, 9.56. Found: C, 60.16; H, 3.47; N, 9.60.

(Z)-1-[4-(Aminosulfonyl)-phenyl]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(thien-2-ylmethylene)-5(4H)-imidazolone (3i). Prepared from 2i. Yield: 72%; mp: 245–247 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{20}H_{14}FN_{3}O_{3}S_{2}: C, 56.19; H, 3.30; N, 9.83. Found: C, 56.21; H, 3.26; N, 9.87.
(Z)-4-Benzylidene-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-[4-(2-pyridylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-5(4H)-imidazolone (4a). Prepared from 2a. Yield: 73%; mp: 255–257 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{27}H_{19}FN_{4}O_{3}S: C, 65.05; H, 3.84; N, 11.24. Found: C, 65.11; H, 3.87; N, 11.19.

(Z)-2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(2-hydroxybenzylidene)-1-[4-(2-pyridylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-5(4H)-imidazolone (4b). Prepared from 2b. Yield: 43%; mp: 273–275 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{27}H_{19}FN_{4}O_{4}S: C, 63.03; H, 3.72; N, 10.89. Found: C, 63.07; H, 3.69; N, 10.87.

(Z)-2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(4-hydroxybenzylidene)-1-[4-(2-pyridylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-5(4H)-imidazolone (4c). Prepared from 2c. Yield: 72%; mp: 294–296 °C; IR: broad N–H stretch, 3410–3085 cm⁻¹; overlap C=O stretch, amide band, 1604 cm⁻¹; N–H bend, 1515 cm⁻¹; asymmetric S(=O)₂ stretch 1385 cm⁻¹, symmetric S(=O)₂ stretch 1147 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR: 10.47 (s, 1H, OH), 10.01 (s, 1H, NH), 8.11–8.00 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.85–7.82 (m, 4H, CH aromatic), 7.67–7.63 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.50–7.47 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.38–7.32 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.18–7.08 (m, 3H, 2CH aromatic, 1CH olefinic), 6.85–6.75 (m, 2H, CH aromatic). Anal. Calcd for C_{27}H_{19}FN_{4}O_{4}S: C, 63.03; H, 3.72; N, 10.89. Found: C, 63.08; H, 3.75; N, 10.92.

(Z)-2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(4-methoxybenzylidene)-1-[4-(2-pyridylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-5(4H)-imidazolone (4d). Prepared from 2d. Yield: 72%; mp: 294–296 °C; IR: N–H stretch, 3290 cm⁻¹; aromatic C–H stretch, 3060 cm⁻¹; overlap C=O stretch, amide band, 1604 cm⁻¹; N–H bend, 1510 cm⁻¹; asymmetric S(=O)₂ stretch 1383 cm⁻¹, symmetric S(=O)₂ stretch 1153 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR: 10.38 (s, 1H, NH), 8.11–8.07 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.83–7.82 (d, 2H, J = 3 Hz, CH aromatic), 7.67–7.57 (m, 4H, CH aromatic), 7.39–7.33 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.14–7.09 (m, 5H, 4CH aromatic, 1CH olefinic), 6.97–6.94 (d, 2H, J = 9 Hz, CH aromatic), 3.76 (s, 3H) OCH₃. MS m/z 528 (M⁺, 50.10%). Anal. Calcd for C_{28}H_{21}FN_{4}O_{4}S: C, 63.63; H, 4.00; N, 10.60. Found: C, 63.57; H, 4.03; N, 10.67.

(Z)-4-(2-Chlorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-[4-(2-pyridylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-5(4H)-imidazolone (4e). Prepared from 2e. Yield: 84%; mp: 244–246 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{27}H_{18}ClFN_{4}O_{3}S: C, 60.85; H, 3.40; N, 10.51. Found: C, 60.87; H, 3.37; N, 10.46.

(Z)-4-(2-Chlorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-[4-(2-pyridylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-5(4H)-imidazolone (4f). Prepared from 2f. Yield: 89%; mp: 245–247 °C; ¹H-NMR: 10.17 (s, 1H, NH), 8.09–8.01 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.84 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.64–7.61 (m, 4H, CH aromatic), 7.48–7.45 (d, 2H, J = 9 Hz, CH aromatic), 7.38–7.33 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.14–7.09 (m, 3H, 2CH aromatic, 1CH olefinic), 6.87 (m, 2H, CH aromatic). MS m/z 531 (M⁺, 13.50%). Anal. Calcd for C_{27}H_{18}ClFN_{4}O_{3}S: C, 60.85; H, 3.40; N, 10.51. Found: C, 60.87; H, 3.43; N, 10.47.

(Z)-2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(naphthalen-1-ylmethylene)-1-[4-(2-pyridylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-5(4H)-imidazolone (4g). Prepared from 2g. Yield: 76%; mp: 236–238 °C; IR: N–H stretch, 3303 cm⁻¹; aromatic C–H stretch, 3061 cm⁻¹; overlap C=O stretch, amide band, 1648 cm⁻¹; N–H bend, 1496 cm⁻¹; asymmetric S(=O)₂ stretch 1338 cm⁻¹. MS m/z 548 (M⁺, 21.10%). Anal. Calcd for C_{31}H_{21}FN_{4}O_{3}S: C, 67.87; H, 3.86; N, 10.21. Found: C, 67.82; H, 3.91; N, 10.15.
(Z)-4-(4-Fluorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-[4-(2-pyridylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-5(4H)-imidazolone (4h). Prepared from 2h. Yield: 81%; mp: 250–252 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{27}H_{18}F_{2}N_{4}O_{3}S: C, 62.78; H, 3.51; N, 10.85. Found: C, 62.81; H, 3.54; N, 10.82.

(Z)-2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-[4-(2-pyridylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-4-(thien-2-ylmethylene)-5(4H)-imidazolone (4i). Prepared from 2i. Yield: 76%; mp: 251–252 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{25}H_{17}FN_{4}O_{3}S_{2}: C, 59.51; H, 3.40; N, 11.10. Found: C, 59.54; H, 3.36; N, 11.14.

(Z)-1-[4-(Acetylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-4-benzylidene-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-imidazolone (5a). Prepared from 2a. Yield: 43%; mp: 231–233 °C; 1H-NMR: 10.53 (s, 1H, NH), 8.10–8.08 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.92–7.85 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.69–7.63 (m, 2H, CH aromatic), 7.38–7.33 (m, 5H, CH aromatic), 7.31–7.30 (d, 2H, J = 3 Hz, CH aromatic), 7.19 (s, 1H, CH olefinic), 2.5 (s, 3H) CH_{3}. Anal. Calcd for C_{24}H_{18}FN_{3}O_{4}S: C, 62.19; H, 3.91; N, 9.07. Found: C, 62.22; H, 3.87; N, 9.11.

(Z)-1-[4-(Acetylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(2-hydroxybenzylidene)-5(4H)-imidazolone (5b). Prepared from 2b. Yield: 38%; mp: 255–257 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{24}H_{18}FN_{3}O_{5}S: C, 60.12; H, 3.78; N, 8.76. Found: C, 60.07; H, 3.81; N, 8.80.

(Z)-1-[4-(Acetylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(4-hydroxybenzylidene)-5(4H)-imidazolone (5c). Prepared from 2c. Yield: 48%; mp: 279–281 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{24}H_{18}FN_{3}O_{5}S: C, 60.12; H, 3.78; N, 8.76. Found: C, 60.15; H, 3.82; N, 8.81.

(Z)-1-[4-(Acetylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(4-methoxybenzylidene)-5(4H)-imidazolone (5d). Prepared from 2d. Yield: 53%; mp: 285–287 °C; IR: N–H stretch, 3240 cm^{-1}; aromatic C–H stretch, 3110 cm^{-1}; overlap C=O stretch, amide band, 1650 cm^{-1}; N–H bend, 1500 cm^{-1}; asymmetric S(=O)_{2} stretch 1340 cm^{-1}, symmetric S(=O)_{2} stretch 1162 cm^{-1}. Anal. Calcd for C_{25}H_{20}FN_{3}O_{5}S: C, 60.84; H, 4.08; N, 8.51. Found: C, 60.87; H, 4.11; N, 8.56.

(Z)-1-[4-(Acetylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-4-(2-chlorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-imidazolone (5e). Prepared from 2e. Yield: 48%; mp: 241–243 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{24}H_{17}ClFN_{3}O_{4}S: C, 57.89; H, 3.44; N, 8.44. Found: C, 57.86; H, 3.39; N, 8.47.

(Z)-1-[4-(Acetylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-4-(2-chlorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-imidazolone (5f). Prepared from 2f. Yield: 64%; mp: 237–239 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{24}H_{17}ClFN_{3}O_{4}S: C, 57.89; H, 3.44; N, 8.44. Found: C, 57.88; H, 3.47; N, 8.42.

(Z)-1-[4-(Acetylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-4-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-imidazolone (5g). Prepared from 2g. Yield: 51%; mp: 231–233 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{28}H_{20}FN_{3}O_{4}S: C, 65.49; H, 3.93; N, 8.18. Found: C, 65.53; H, 3.95; N, 8.25.

(Z)-1-[4-(Acetylaminosulfonyl)phenyl]-4-(2-fluorobenzylidene)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(4H)-imidazolone (5h). Prepared from 2h. Yield: 54%; mp: 250–253 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{24}H_{17}F_{2}N_{3}O_{4}S: C, 59.87; H, 3.56; N, 8.73. Found: C, 59.92; H, 3.61; N, 8.77.
(Z)-1-[4-(Acetyliminosulfonyl)-phenyl]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(thien-2-ylmethylene)-5(4H)-imidazolone (5i). Prepared from 2i and recrystallized from ethanol. Yield: 43%; mp: 237–239 °C; Anal. Calcd for C_{22}H_{16}FN_{3}O_{4}S_{2}: C, 56.28; H, 3.43; N, 8.95. Found: C, 56.31; H, 3.40; N, 8.97.

3.3. Anti-inflammatory Test

Male albino rats weighing 150–180 g (National Research Institute, Cairo) were used throughout the work. They were kept in the animal house under standard conditions of light and temperature with free access to food and water. The animals were randomly divided into groups of six rats each. The paw edema was induced by sub-plantar injection of 50 µL of 1% carrageenan solution in saline (0.9%). Indomethacin, meloxicam and the test compounds were dissolved in DMSO and injected subcutaneously in different dose levels of 1, 5 and 10 mg/kg body weight respectively, 1 h prior to carrageenan injection. DMSO was injected to the control group. The volume of paw edema (in mL) was determined by means of a water plethysmometer immediately after injection of carrageenan and 4 h later. ED_{50} was calculated for the test compounds and reference drugs through dose response curves by measuring the inhibition of edema volume 4 h after the carrageenan injection. The percentage protection against inflammation was calculated as follows: V_c – V_d/V_c × 100, where V_c is the increase in paw volume in the absence of the test compound (control) and V_d is the increase of paw volume after injection of the test compound. Data were expressed as means ± SEM. Significant differences between the control and the treated groups were obtained using Student’s t-test and p-values. The differences in results were considered significant when p < 0.001.

3.4. Ulcerogenicity Test

Male albino rats (120–150 g) were fasted for 12 h prior to the administration of the compounds. The animals were divided into groups, each of six animals. The control group received 0.2 mL DMSO orally, reference groups received 5 mg/kg indomethacin and test groups received 10 mg/kg tested compounds orally for three successive days. Animals were sacrificed by diethyl ether 6 h after the last dose and the stomach was removed. An opening at the greater curvature was made and the stomach was cleaned by washing with cold saline and inspected with a 3× magnifying lens for any evidence of hyperemia, hemorrhage, definite hemorrhagic erosion, or ulcer. An arbitrary scale was used to calculate the ulcer index which indicates the severity of the stomach lesions (Table 3). The % ulceration for each group was calculated as follows:

\[
\% \text{ Ulceration} = \frac{\text{Number of animals bearing ulcer in a group}}{\text{Total number of animals in the same group}} \times 100.
\]

4. Conclusions

The arylidene-5-4H-imidazolone framework was proved to be a promising molecular target to develop anti-inflammatory agents. COX-2 inhibition, though not tested, is the likely mechanism of action as predicted by molecular docking experiments. Water soluble derivatives of the present scaffold were effective in vivo compared to reference marketed drugs, providing an extra molecular druggability feature. The present imidazolone anti-inflammatory compounds showed weak ulcerogenic
side effects which are comparable, but not superior, to the positive reference. Further biochemical and pharmacological studies are undergoing to optimize their pharmacological profile and to explore the exact mechanism of action.
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