Increase the elongation at break of poly (lactic acid) composites for use in food packaging films
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Poly (3-hydroxy butyrate) (PHB), cellulose nano crystal (CNC) and a plasticizer (TBC) are mixed together with PLLA with the aim to increase the elongation at break for use in the food packing sector. Spherical (CNC) and fibril nano crystal (CNF) were prepared by hydrolysis of microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) in distilled water, and then stirred using a magnetic stirrer for 15 days and ultrasonic treatment without using any acids as green method. The morphology, thermal, and mechanical properties were studied using POM, DSC, WAXD, SEM and tensile testing, respectively. DSC demonstrated that the addition of PHB, CNC and TBC to PLLA matrix lead to reduce Tg, TCC and Tm than pure PLLA. FT-IR verified that the carbonyl group C=O appeared broad and some peaks in the PLLA composites 5, 6 and 7 shifted from 3.98 × 10^8 to 4.07 × 10^8 Hz, at 3.54 × 10^8 to 3.44 × 10^8 Hz, at 3.19 × 10^8 to 3.13 × 10^8 Hz. Mechanical testing shows that pure PLLA is brittle, and the elongation at break of PLLA composites reaches up to 205%, making it suitable to use in food packaging.

Recently, interest in renewable resources and the production of bio-polymers has increased worldwide in response to many environmental and economic issues, such as rising oil prices and carbon dioxide emissions that result from burning plastic waste. Therefore, the disposal of packaging from petrochemical-based plastic is a major concern for governments and scientists in many countries. The amount of bio-plastic produced in the world is greatly less in comparison to petrochemical plastic1. Sugar and cellulose, in their various chemical forms, are potential alternatives to crude oil and offer a possible avenue for creating work opportunities in several countries. These materials can be used to produce biopolymers like PHB, PLLA and cellulose in polymer blends. For instance, lactic acid is derived from renewable resources, such as molasses, by fermentation2 and the lactic acid can then be polymerized to poly (lactic acid) by polycondensation or ring opening polymerization3. The resulting PLLA is biodegradable aliphatic polyester, and it is nontoxic to people and the environment. PLLA is an optically active, crystallizable thermoplastic. PLLA can be used in the food packing sector, in surgical sutures, and in drug delivery systems45. Pure PLLA has poor processing like air blown extrusion, because PLLA has lower shear and elongation properties6. The novelty of this paper is to solve the problem of brittleness of PLLA in order to use in food packing film. The physical and thermal properties of PLLA depend on its crystal structure, crystallinity, and morphology7–9. The reasons of brittle of PLLA; first the glass transition temperature (Tg) is 60 °C, thus, the polymer chains are rigid and inflexible near room temperature; Second, PLLA has low nucleation density, therefore it has slow crystallization rates leading to the formation of large spherulites. These reasons have a negative effect on the mechanical properties. In order to overcome these problems and improve the elongation at break of PLLA, PHB, CNC and TBC with certain ratio were mixed together by solvent casting and then melting by hydraulic hot press to manufacture biocomposites film. Many studies have focused on improving the crystallization and mechanical properties of PLLA by attempting to copolymerize the lactide with another monomer10,11 or physically blending PLLA with immiscible biopolymers such as polycaprolactone (PCL)12, poly (butylene succinate) (PBS)13, poly (propylene carbonate) PPC14, and PHB15–22. PHB may be added to PLLA to accelerate the crystallization of PLLA; PHB is a fast crystallizing biomaterial. PHB and PLLA have similar chemical structures and their melting points 175 °C and 170 °C, respectively. It is noted, that PHB (10–20%) is miscible with PLLA15,16.

Micro cellulose crystals (MCC) and Cellulose nanocrystals (CNC) are a type of biodegradable nano-filler that has been added to PLLA matrix as bio nucleation and reinforcement. In recent years, the application of CNC as a
bio filler in polymer composites has attracted expansive interest because of its renewable nature. Cellulose nanocrystal (CNC) may be used as bio-nuclei for PLLA; it should increase the number of spherulites crystallizing and result in a reduction of crystal size. MCC is typically found as a powder with particles between 20 and 80 µm in size. As indicated previously. Natural fibers, such as rice straw, rice husk, oil palm, hemp, flax, jute, kenaf and other agricultural residues, have many advantages. These advantages include low cost, high performance, low weight, renewable and biodegradability relative to petrochemical fibers, such as glass fiber or carbon fiber. Numerous studies have concentrated on developing biodegradable composites by mixing PLLA with a natural fiber. This mixing includes flax, kenaf, jute, abaca, sisal fiber, wood fiber, bamboo fiber, newspaper fibers, microcrystalline cellulose MCC23–26, cellulose whiskers27,28, lignin29, carbon nanospheres30 and rice straw31, cellulose nanocrystal (CNC)32–35. It is well known that plasticizers lead to increase the flexibility, to the reduction of the glass transition temperature, to increase the elongation at break and reduce the tensile strength of polymers. Another way to improve the elongation at break of PLLA is the use of plasticizers56–59 to reduce the glass transition temperature. These plasticizers must be non-toxic and biodegradable. There is one important condition to use PLLA film in the food packaging process by deep drawing article. This film must be high elasticity at room temperature, high transparency, and low crystallization. Small amount of PHB are used to improve the crystallization behavior and reduce the crystal size of PLLA50,51 as a bio-nucleo. The addition of plasticizer decreases glass transition temperature (Tg) from 60 °C to 10 °C in blend51. There are a large number of papers in this field that add only PHB and PLLA blend or CNC and PLLA blend, or MCC and PLLA blend or PLLA and plasticizers blend, as previously mentioned in the literature. They studied the miscibility, morphology and crystal structure, spherulite size, and mechanical properties of the PLLA was investigated. In this paper the physical interaction between PHB, CNC, TBC and PLLA has been studied, as well as the preparation of CNC as new green method.

Results and Discussion

**DSC Analysis.** The second heating curves of the biocomposites 1, 2, 3 and 4 are shown in Fig. 1a. The addition of PHB, MCC and TCB to PLLA, resulted in a lower Tg, Tcc, and Tm than those associated with pure PLLA (Fig. 1b). From the DSC results, this can be calculated using the glass transition temperature (Tg), cold crystallization temperature (Tcc) and melting points (Tm1 and Tm2). It can be observed that the Tg, Tcc and Tm peaks shift towards lower temperatures with the addition of PHB, MCC, and TBC. α 1 shows that the Tg decreased from 60 °C for neat PLLA to 43 °C for biocomposite 1, to 38 °C for biocomposite 2, to 36 °C for biocomposite 3 and to 30 °C for biocomposite 4. Similarly, the Tm decreased from 168 °C for pure PLLA to 160–165 °C for all biocomposites. The Tcc increased from 107 °C for pure PLLA to 106 °C for biocomposite 1, 103 °C for biocomposite 2, and 100 °C for biocomposite 3, but decreased to 83 °C for biocomposite 4.

The second heating curves of pure PLLA and the biocomposites 5, 6 and 7 are shown in Fig. 1b. For pure PLLA showed one melting peaks at approximately 170 °C, but its biocomposites 5, 6 and 7 two peaks. The melting temperatures of composites were lower than that pure PLLA. It was detected that the adding of PHB, CNC and plasticizer in PLLA affected the Tg, Tc, and Tm during the second heating. The addition of plasticizer resulted in a lower Tg. The DSC results can be calculated, the Tg, Tcc and Tm1 and Tm2. The Tg of PLLA was affected by the addition of various contents of plasticizer. Table 1 shows that the Tg decreased for biocomposite 5, 22 °C for biocomposite 6, 16 °C for biocomposite 7 and 14 °C. The Tcc was 107 °C for pure PLLA, 96 °C for biocomposite 5, 94 °C for biocomposite 6, 90 °C for biocomposite 7. Similarly, the Tm decreased from 168 °C of pure PLLA to 160–165 °C for all biocomposites. In both Fig. 1a and b and Table 1 show that the Tm was reduced to 164 °C for 159 °C for biocomposite 5, 157 °C for biocomposite 6 and 156 °C biocomposite 7. This corresponds to two lamella thicknesses; the smaller one melts at a low temperature and the larger melts at a higher temperature. The reduction of Tm is a typical phenomenon for miscible blends containing a crystalline polymer17,20,31. The cold crystallization peak of PLLA is shifted to lower temperatures with the addition of PHB beside CNC. Both PHB and CNC are also effective bio-nucleation agents for PLLA, therefore a large reduction of Tm, in composites 5, 6 and 7 in comparison with pure PLLA. The composites 5, 6 and 7 are crystallized at temperatures between 80–90 °C. By the addition of PHB, CNC and TBC, the cold crystallization temperature (Tcc) is moved from 106 °C to 84 °C to more in comparison to pure PLLA. Therefore the physical properties of PLLA has improved.

**Polarizing optical microscopy analysis.** It is well known that materials with large spherulites are more brittle than those with fine spherulites with the same percentage of crystallinity. It was found that the size of spherulite is bigger and more cracks are formed after annealing at 160 °C (180 min.)32. Figure 2 show the optical micrographs of spherulites of samples of pure PLLA and composites 1, 2 and 3 that were obtained during isothermal crystallization at 110 °C. Figure 3(a) shows the molten polymer as a dark amorphous film. Numerous white MCC spots were observed in the PLLA matrix after melting, indicating good dispersion of the additive in the PLLA matrix.

High contents MCC in the PLLA matrix led to smaller spherulites structure; the growth of the PLLA spherulites was hindered and this suggests that MCC deters the expansion of spherulites. The crystal morphology of...
these composites revealed a typical spherulitic structure with a diameter of approximately 100–50 μm and the number of spherulites were produced and increased; the spherulites were much smaller than those produced with pure PLLA (Fig. 2a). It is known that pure PLLA exhibited a lesser number of large spherulites. It is important to understand the suitable melting and crystallization conditions for poly lactic acid (PLLA). Similar to other semi-crystalline polyesters, PLLA can be manufactured into either the amorphous or crystalline morphology by changing melting temperature processing and crystallization conditions (fast cooling, we have amorphous PLLA, its glass transition temperature near 60 °C, it can never crystallize below this temperature, any crystallization process near the glass transition temperature cannot occur, but when we increase the annealing temperature to 90–160 °C, it turns into crystalline materials. Below 60 °C PLLA is amorphous, rigid and brittle. PLLA is a semi-crystalline polymer and by choice the bio nucleating agent like PHB and choice of the temperatures of melting and crystallization, one can obtain amorphous or crystalline morphology. It is acceptable to obtain PLLA in

| Samples            | T_g (°C) | T_c (°C) | T_onset (°C) | T_m1 (°C) | T_m2 (°C) |
|--------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Pure PLLA          | 60       | 106      | 82           | 156       | 168       |
| Biocomposite 1     | 42       | 106      | 95           | 153       | 164       |
| Biocomposite 2     | 38       | 103      | 88           | 149       | 163       |
| Biocomposite 3     | 32       | 100      | 70           | 147       | 161       |
| Biocomposite 4     | 27       | 91       | 81           | 145       | 160       |
| Biocomposite 5     | 23       | 96       | 83           | 145       | 158       |
| Biocomposite 6     | 18       | 94       | 75           | 141       | 157       |
| Biocomposite 7     | 17       | 91       | 71           | 141       | 156       |

| Table 1. Thermal characteristics of PLLA and its composites with different contents as determined by the second heating scan (Fig. 1). Glass transition temperature (T_g), cold crystallization temperature (T_c) of composites, (T_onset) first melting temperature, second melting temperature (T_m2). |
Figure 2. Polarized optical microscopy of spherulite of pure PLLA and its composite 1, 2 and 3 crystallized isothermal at 110 °C with optical polarizer (a) Neat PLLA, (b) biocomposite 1, (c) biocomposites 2, (d) biocomposite 3; without optical polarizer (a’) Neat PLLA, (b’) biocomposite 1 (c’) biocomposite 2, (d’) biocomposite 3.
the amorphous morphology. Amorphous morphology is achieved by not adding any nucleating agents and fast cooling. By adding PHB as bio nucleation agent, it has crystalline part. If the melting processing temperatures is higher than 180 °C, PLLA stick in the frame and becomes very soft and flexible and take longer time to leave the frame, at the end, PLLA become brittle. My arrangements in this paper, are how one can improve the crystallization behavior of PLLA without using annealing process.

It is concluded that PHB and CNC act as the heterogeneous nucleation agents for PLLA, the T_{cc} shifts from 107 °C to 84 °C for the composite 7, this means that the crystallization of PLLA became easier due to very fine dispersion of PHB and CNC into PLLA matrix. Figure 3(a–f) show micrographs of composite 7. The addition of PHB and CNC resulted in a decrease in the final size of spherulites in the PLLA biocomposites. One can see that the CNC, shown as bright spots, are homogeneously dispersed in the PLLA matrix without any visible agglomerates. It is concluded that the addition of CNC and PHB to PLLA lead to increase the number of spherulites with diameter less than 1 μm and the crystallization of PLLA is accelerated, therefore the elongation has improved than adding MCC. There is a clear difference between the MCC and CNC in terms the effect of the crystals size of PLLA, and mechanical properties. CNC is certainly better more than MCC.

Figure 3. Polarized optical microscopy of spherulite of composite 7 crystallized isothermal at 80 °C after different time (a) 0, (b) 2 min, (c) 3 min, (d) 5 min, (e) 7 min, (f) 10 min. using a tinted plate (λ/4).
Study the morphology of CNC by TEM. The TEM images of CNC samples after preparation are shown in Fig. 4. It is known that the MCC particles have a rod-like fiber morphology. The average particle length of MCC was about 20–80 μm; after hydrolysis, the particle size of MCC decreased to 10–90 nm and the shape of the particles changed from micro rod to nano spherical and fibril. It was observed that CNC particles and CNF were spherical and fibril particles with nano dimensions; the average diameter of spherical CNC and CNF observed in a PLLA matrix by TEM was about 10–100 nm. CNC aggregates through the strong hydrogen bonds between the single cellulose crystallites, although single nanoparticles are sometimes detected, i.e. many small single particles and fibril collected together and form like fibril and spherical shape (Fig. 4c and d). Similar results were found in refs 53–57 for spherical cellulose nanocrystals with chemical hydrolysis of cellulose fibers. TEM investigation of biocomposite 7 showed well-dispersed spherical nano particles in a PLLA matrix. It is found both fibril like and spherical cellulose nano crystals by water hydrolysis using a magnetic stirrer and ultrasonic treatment.

Crystalline structure analysis. Figure 5a and b shows WAXD patterns of PLLA and its composites. Pure PLLA crystals are known to be typical orthorhombic. A broad amorphous peak for PLLA at 20 = of 16.6° is observed with additional small crystalline diffraction peaks. After addition of PHB, MCC or CNC and TBC to the PLLA matrix, two strong crystalline peaks appeared at 20 of 16.6° and 22.4° corresponding to the (110)/ (200) and (015) reflections, respectively. Figure 5b shows CNC with peaks at 20 of 16.6, 22.5, and 34.5° assigned to planes (110), (200), and (004), which are believed to represent the typical cellulose structure. The 22.6° peak of the (200) plane of CNC is more observable than that of MCC. This indicates a higher perfection of the crystal lattice.
in the (200). The peak at 2θ of 14.7° for the (110) plane also became more intense for CNC in comparison to MCC and separated from the (110) reflection at 2θ of 16.4°. It is detected that the CNC peaks are broader than the MCC peaks, which confirms that the nanocrystals are more crystalline than MCC. Two overlapped peaks for CNC at 2θ = 14.8° and 16.6°, that is assigned to (101) and (101-) planes. We observed that the intensity peak of CNC at 2θ = 34° is larger comparison with MCC peak. The peak at 16.6° showed sharpening due to the presence of PHB and different content of CNC; this means that PLLA composites 5, 6 and 7 have some structural rearrangement. All of these peaks are typical diffraction peaks of the α forms of PLLA, while the peak observed at 2θ at 19° is a reflection of the (203) and typical of the β form. The intensities of both the α and β crystal forms of the composites were higher and sharper than those observed for pure PLLA. The new small peak appeared at 2θ at 13.5° which indicates that PHB has changed and improved on the crystallinity of PLLA. The intensity of the crystalline peaks of PLLA increased with the additions of PHB and CNC to the composites compared to pure PLLA at room temperature. The inter-planar spacing (d) and crystal size can be calculated using the Bragg and Scherrer formulas:

\[ d = \frac{n\lambda}{2 \sin \theta} \]  

(1)

\[ L_{hkl} = \frac{k\lambda}{B \cos \theta} \]  

(2)

Where \( d \) is the spacing between the diffracting planes, \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the x-ray (1.54 × 10^{-10} m), \( \theta \) is the Bragg angle, \( L_{hkl} \) is the thickness of crystallite where h, k, and l are the Miller indices, K is the constant dependent on crystallite shape (0.94), and \( \beta \) is the full width at half max (FWHM) or the integral breadth. Table 2 summarizes the structural parameters of the crystal, determined based on the WAXD patterns. The d spacing calculated for various peaks of the PLLA composites showed little change compared to those observed with pure PLLA. Although the thickness of the α form crystals at (110) increases from 23.7 to 30.5 nm in composites from 1 to 7. In addition, the thickness of β form crystals at (203) increases from 19.53 to 21.5 nm in the composites from 1 to 7.

**FT-IR spectroscopy analysis.** Figure 6(a) shows the FTIR spectra of the pure PLLA, PHB, MCC and their composites in the region 800–1900 cm^{-1}. The strong peak of the C=O ester band of PHB, PLLA and MCC appeared at 1723, 1752 and 1650 cm^{-1}, respectively. By adding PHB, MCC and TBC, the peaks in PLLA moved to a lower wave number with composites 1, 2, 3 and 4, (1749, 1747, 1745 and 1744 cm^{-1}), respectively. In case of PLLA and PHB blends. It has been observed by the FT-IR spectrum, the band at 1723 cm^{-1}, due to C=O stretching mode of the crystalline part of PHB. This peak appeared in the composites with very small shoulder.
the carbonyl group centered at 1750 cm\(^{-1}\), due to the crystalline carbonyl stretching of PLLA and the peak at 1744 cm\(^{-1}\), assigned to C=O amorphous carbonyl vibration. The peak centered at 1740 cm\(^{-1}\) which is assigned to C=O stretching mode of the amorphous PHB.

FTIR spectra of the pure PLLA, PHB, CNC and their composites in the region of 600–1900 cm\(^{-1}\) is shown in Fig. 5b. The band at 1723 cm\(^{-1}\) due to the C=O stretching mode of the crystalline part of PHB has been observed by FTIR\(^{59,60}\); this peak appeared in the composites with a very small shoulder. Additionally, there is a broad band centered near 1740 cm\(^{-1}\) which is assigned to C=O stretching mode of the amorphous PHB\(^{20}\). It is found that pure PLLA has a main peak at 1744 cm\(^{-1}\) due to C=O amorphous carbonyl vibration with a small shoulder attributed to the C=O crystalline carbonyl vibration. The band at 1224 cm\(^{-1}\) was assigned to the C–O–C stretching modes of the crystalline state and the band at 1185 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to the C–O–C of the ester group of the amorphous part of the PLLA. The two peaks at 1085 and 1044 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to C-O bond stretching of the C-O-C group, respectively, in PLLA and the composites. The band at 1452 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to CH\(_3\) of PLLA. The band at 1385 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to the symmetric deformation mode of the CH\(_3\) group. The band at 1385 cm\(^{-1}\) is characteristic of the crystalline state and corresponds to the stretching of CH\(_3\) in PLLA and PHB. The intensity of the bands at 1385 and 1224 cm\(^{-1}\) for the crystalline segments of both PLLA and PHB were greater for the composites 5, 6 and 7 compared with pure PLLA; therefore, the addition of PHB and CNC made the crystallization of PLLA easier.

Some peaks appear in the PLLA at 735, 844 and 921 cm\(^{-1}\) and then disappear or weakly existing in biocomposites 5, 6 and 7. These peaks shift to lower frequency from 4.07 × 10\(^8\) to 3.98 × 10\(^8\) Hz, at 3.54 × 10\(^8\) to 3.44 × 10\(^8\) Hz, at 3.19 × 10\(^8\) to 3.13 × 10\(^8\) Hz and its intensity changes can be observed in these spectra. The curves at 753, 866 and 956 cm\(^{-1}\) appear in the pure PLLA and their composites and its intensity is greater in comparison with intensity of pure PLLA. Two bands appear at 870 and 753 cm\(^{-1}\). These two bands can be attributed to the amorphous and crystalline phases of PLLA, respectively. The band at 866 cm\(^{-1}\) shifts to the lower wavenumbers, and it becomes sharper by improving the crystallization process. The C-O stretching modes of the ester group appear at 1224 cm\(^{-1}\) and the C-O-C asymmetric mode appears at 1085 cm\(^{-1}\). In pure PLLA and their composites appears bands at 956 and 921 cm\(^{-1}\), these peaks are characteristic of the helical vibrations with the CH\(_3\) rocking modes. The band at 921 cm\(^{-1}\) is used to exam the kinetics of 10, helix formation. With increasing the polymer crystallization, the peak heights at 1445, 1224, and 921 cm\(^{-1}\) are designed as a function for the crystallization. The carbonyl group C=O appeared broad, as well as the peaks at 735 to 753, 844 cm\(^{-1}\) moved to 866, 923 to 956 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. These bands became broad in PLLA biocomposites as a result of a change in C=O that was

| Sample     | Diffraction peak (hkl) | 2θ (degree) | d (nm) | Lhkl (nm) |
|------------|------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|
| Composite 1| 010                    | 13.418      | 0.659  | 25.14     |
|            | 110                    | 16.893      | 0.534  | 16.15     |
|            | 203                    | 18.89       | 0.469  | 20.23     |
|            | 015                    | 22.31       | 0.398  | 16.56     |
| Composite 2| 010                    | 13.45       | 0.658  | 26.39     |
|            | 110                    | 16.65       | 0.532  | 16.15     |
|            | 203                    | 19.04       | 0.466  | 13.90     |
|            | 015                    | 22.29       | 0.398  | 20.36     |
| Composite 3| 010                    | 13.44       | 0.658  | 33.5      |
|            | 110                    | 16.65       | 0.532  | 20.23     |
|            | 203                    | 18.99       | 0.467  | 24.12     |
|            | 015                    | 22.29       | 0.398  | 20.39     |
| Composite 4| 010                    | 13.45       | 0.657  | 29.89     |
|            | 110                    | 16.68       | 0.531  | 19.24     |
|            | 203                    | 19.07       | 0.465  | 12.63     |
|            | 015                    | 22.36       | 0.397  | 13.97     |
| Composite 5| 010                    | —           | —      | —         |
|            | 110                    | 16.55       | 0.535  | 23.7      |
|            | 203                    | 19.04       | 0.466  | 17.83     |
|            | 015                    | 22.25       | 0.399  | 19.53     |
| Composite 6| 010                    | —           | —      | —         |
|            | 110                    | 16.61       | 0.533  | 28.29     |
|            | 203                    | 19.01       | 0.466  | 21.39     |
|            | 015                    | 22.25       | 0.399  | 21.5      |
| Composite 7| 010                    | —           | —      | —         |
|            | 110                    | 16.64       | 0.532  | 30.5      |
|            | 203                    | 18.90       | 0.469  | 25.25     |
|            | 015                    | 22.25       | 0.399  | 21.5      |

Table 2. Estimation of Crystallite Size of PLLA Composites.
Figure 6. FT–IR spectra of (a) (a) PHB, (b) PLLA, (c) bioomposite 1, (d) bioomposite 2, (e) bioomposite 3, (f) bioomposite 4, (g) MCC, (b) (a) CNC, (b) pure PLLA, (c) pure PHB (d) biocomposite 5, (e) biocomposite 6, (f) bioomposite 7. [c, c′] FT–IR spectra of (a) CNC, (b) pure PLLA, (c) pure PHB (d) biocomposite 5, (e) biocomposite 6, (f) bioomposite 7.
directly attributed to intermolecular interactions, due to hydrogen bond interaction between the carbonyl groups of PLLA, PHB, CNC and TBC. The peak at 1640 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to the O-H bending of absorb water. It is well known that water is absorbed in the cellulose molecules and it is difficult to be completely removed due to the interaction of water with cellulose. This peak appears in both PLLA and CNC and as shoulder in biocomposites 5, 6 and 7. While another peaks at 1227 cm\(^{-1}\) for C-OH bending.

Wave number or wave number in the physical sciences is belonging to the wave. In spectroscopic uses wave-number with the unit (cm\(^{-1}\)). It is known that the unit of frequency is cycles per second or Hz. It is equal to the number of wave cycles in \(3 \times 10^{10}\) cm (the speed of light in one second), i.e. wave number \(= \text{Hz/speed of light} = (1/\text{sec})/(\text{cm/sec}) = 1/\text{cm or cm}^{-1}\). FT-IR 6 (C) verified that the carbonyl group C=O appeared broad and of some peaks in the PLLA composites shifted from 3.98 \times 10^4 to 4.07 \times 10^4 Hz, at 3.54 \times 10^4 to 3.44 \times 10^4 Hz, at 3.19 \times 10^4 to 3.13 \times 10^4 Hz.

**Stress-strain behavior.** To improve the elongation at break of PLLA, the T\(_g\) of PLLA must be lower or near than the testing temperature and the size of the spherulites must be smaller (1–10 \(\mu m\)) together. If the T\(_g\) is lower than the testing temperature, the movement of the chains segments increases. The tensile tester of PLLA and its biocomposites was tested; the stress–strain curves are shown in Fig. 7. If we add PHB and CNC to PLLA matrix, the size of spherulite is small in comparison to pure PLLA, The addition PHB, CNC and TBC make PLLA like rubbery material. If we add small amount of PHB and plasticizer only to PLLA matrix, the material become very flexible and elongation at break stretches to 204\%, therefore the addition of the plasticizer is important. By add the PHB, plasticizer and CNC or MCC together to PLLA matrix, the elongation at break decreases to 150\%. The control in this research is pure PLLA without any additives for all samples. PLLA is brittle while PLLA biocomposites containing PHB, CNC or MCC and TBC together are ductile. A polymer is considered brittle, if its elongation at break is less than 15\%. There is a clear difference when adding PHB, MCC and TBC to PLLA the stress becomes decreasing (25–14 MPa) and strain increasing (22–80\%) in comparison to pure PLLA. But when adding PHB, CNC and TBC to PLLA together becomes the stress decreasing (8–10 MPa) and strain increasing (140–190\%) more in comparison to pure PLLA. When adding PHB and TBC only to PLLA, the stress (15 MPa) is decreased and strain (205\%) is increased more in comparison to pure PLLA. The effect of micro- and nano-cellulose content on the mechanical properties of PLLA composites was studied. It was obtained and evaluated the tensile strength and elongation at break, for MCC and CNC. The results showed that the tensile strength decreased and elongation at break increased. It is found too that the content of CNC ratio is very smaller in comparison with the content of MCC in PLLA matrix.

Toughness is integration of the area under the stress-strain curve. Toughness shows how much energy a material can absorb before breaking. Tough material must be both strong and ductile. For example, brittle materials (like pure PLLA) that are strong and the ductility are not tough. Blend 1 and 2 with low strengths are also not enough tough. Blend 3 to 7 with low strengths and enough tough. PLLA has a large strength of 27 MPa and an elongation at break of 6\%. With the addition of PHB, CNC or MCC and TBC, the stiffness of PLLA is reduced while the elongation at break increases by 6–205\%; the strength decreases to 27–8 MPa. A decrease in T\(_g\) and an decrease in spherulite size results in an increase of the elongation at break. Fig. 8 shows a SEM study of broken surfaces after cold drawn biocomposites 4 and 7; the composite samples elongate parallel to the stretching direction and produce fibril structures with elongation (see Fig. 8). The deformation takes place by shearing of crystals.

**Conclusions**

Biocomposites from bioplastics are great interest for packaging materials due to its biodegradation and improved the elongation at break. The study of the thermal, crystallization behavior and tensile properties of PLLA biocomposites with PHB, CNC and plasticizer (TBC) were investigated by DSC, POM, TEM, SEM, WAXD and tensile testing. It can be observed that the T\(_g\), T\(_c\) and T\(_m\) peaks shift towards lower temperatures with the addition of TBC, PHB and CNC together in PLLA matrix. POM demonstrated that pure PLLA had large spherulites, whereas all the biocomposites had small spherulites and the nucleation density of composites was enhanced compared with pure PLLA. DSC results indicated that the presence of PHB and CNC in PLLA matrix accelerated the crystallization process of biocomposites compared to PLLA. FT-IR revealed that the ester group C=O are...
Figure 8. SEM micrographs fracture surface structure obtained from cold drawn film from biocomposites 4 (i) (a,b,c and d) and 7 (ii) (a,b,c and d).
broad and some peaks appear in the PLLA at 735, 844 and 921 cm\(^{-1}\) and then disappear in biocomposites 5, 6 and 7. These peaks shift from 3.98\(\times\)10\(^8\) to 4.07\(\times\)10\(^8\) Hz, at 3.54\(\times\)10\(^8\) to 3.44\(\times\)10\(^8\) Hz, at 3.19\(\times\)10\(^8\) to 3.13\(\times\)10\(^8\) Hz and its intensity changes. A new peak appeared at 2\(\theta\)=13.5° for PHB, at 2\(\theta\)=14.6° for PLLA, whereas the peak at 2\(\theta\)=16.4° is dramatically increased with addition PHB and CNC. The peak appeared at 2\(\theta\)=19° for PLLA, the peak at 22.4° appeared for PLLA and CNC. The dispersed PHB and CNC acted as bio-nuclei in PLLA matrix to help the crystallization rate and reduce the spherulite size, and therefore improve the the elongation at break from 6% for pure PLLA to more than 140–190% for the composites with CNC. PLLA biocomposites are suitable for packaged plastics especially in food sectors for deep drawing articles.

Experimental Section

Materials. Poly lactic acid (PLLA), Poly (R)-3-hydroxybutyrate (PHB), Tributyl citrate (TBC), a plasticizer, and microcrystalline cellulose fiber (MCC) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Ltd.

Preparation of cellulose nanocomposites. MCC (1 g) was hydrolyzed in distilled water (300 mL) with magnetic stirring for 15 days at room temperature without use any acid (green method). Every 3 hours the suspension is heating for 30 minutes at 120 degree three time in the day. The suspension was then placed in an ice bath and stirred mechanically at 0°C every 10 minutes. The CNC suspension was dried in a metal petri dish in an oven at 120°C; the water evaporated and the CNC powder was collected.

Preparation of compositions. The compositions of PLLA/PHB/TBC/CNC were prepared with different weight ratios as listed in Table 3. All composites were prepared by solvent casting of a film in a petri dish, and then dried at 60°C for 24 hours to evaporate the solvent (chloroform). The biocomposites casting films were cut into small pieces and compression molded between two sheets of aluminum folder in a hydraulically heated press between 170°C and 180°C for 2 min without pressure and 1 minute with pressure 20 kN. After molding, the samples were cooled between two metal plates. At this temperature, thermal decomposition cannot occur. All samples are melted again at a temperature equal to T_m+20 °C and at this temperature, thermal decomposition for DSC and POM does not happen.

Measurements. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). Thermal analysis was carried out with a differential scanning calorimeter (Shimadzu-DSC 50, Japan). Samples of 5 ± 0.1 mg were sealed in an aluminum sample pan under N\(_2\) and kept under a dry N\(_2\) atmosphere. DSC analysis was carried out from –50 °C to 190°C with a heating and cooling rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\). Analysis of the second heating run was completed to determine the glass transition temperature (T_g), melting temperature (T_m) and cold crystallization temperature (T_c); the sample was held at 190°C isothermally for 3 minutes to eliminate any thermal history, after which the sample was cooled to room temperature.

Polarized Optical Microscopy (POM). The evolution of the microstructure of all composites was examined using a polarizing microscope (Nikon Eclipse E600, Japan) equipped with a hot-stage (Instec STC200, USA). A small amount of polymer was sandwiched between two microscope glass slides, placed on the heated stage, and melted at 200°C, kept at this temperature for 3 minutes, and then cooled to the crystallization temperature (T_c) of 80–120°C. Samples were then maintained at this temperature as long as necessary for isothermal crystallization. POM micrographs were recorded using a digital camera.

Wide-angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD). The crystalline phases were analyzed by wide-angle X-ray diffraction (PANalytical X’pert PRO diffractometer, Netherlands) with Ni-filtered Cu-K\(_\alpha\) radiation of \(\lambda = 1.54178 \text{Å}\) in the range of 5–35° at 40 kV. The WAXD data for the PLLA composites were obtained at room temperature (–25°C) with a scan rate of (2\(\theta\)) 20 min\(^{-1}\). Films were cut into rectangular pieces (4 cm\(^2\)) and mounted on the sample holder for analysis.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The shape and size of CNC in a PLLA matrix was analyzed using a transmission electron microscopy (JOEL, model JEM1011) operating at 100 kV. Samples were prepared using

| Composites | PLLA | PHB | TBC | MCC | CNC |
|------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Composite 1 | 65   | 10  | 15  | 10  | —   |
| Composite 2 | 57.5 | 10  | 20  | 12.5| —   |
| Composite 3 | 50   | 10  | 25  | 15  | —   |
| Composite 4 | 50   | 10  | 20  | —   | —   |
| Composite 5 | 54.9 | 10  | 15  | —   | 0.1 |
| Composite 6 | 72.3 | 10  | 17.5| —   | 0.15|
| Composite 7 | 69.8 | 10  | 20  | —   | 0.2 |

Table 3. Percentage weight compositions of the different ratios from poly lactic acid (PLLA), polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB), tributyl citrate (TBC), micro crystalline cellulose (MCC) and cellulose nano crystal (CNC).
chloretone as the solvent. One drop of the solution containing CNC and polymer was placed on a copper grid, the samples were prepared and then dried, placed into the TEM.

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR). Infrared spectra in reflection mode were recorded with a Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR 6100 Jasco spectrometer, Japan) in the wavenumber range of 600–4000 cm⁻¹. The films from all composites were cut into rectangular pieces (4 cm²) and spectra were recorded at room temperature.

Mechanical analysis. Uniaxial tensile tests were performed with dog bone specimens. All samples for testing in the tensile test device were cut in a dumbbell shape (Dumb Bell Ltd SDL-100 Japan). Sample thickness was measured using a micrometer. Tensile tests were performed at room temperature, at a crosshead speed of 1 mm·min⁻¹, using a Shimadzu universal testing machine equipped with a 10 kN load cell and interfaced with a computer. Five specimens of each formulation were tested and the average values are reported. From the correlation between stress σ (in Pa) and elongation ε (in %), $\varepsilon = (L_0 - L)/L_0$, $L_0$ = original length, $L$ = length after elongation. At the end, the fracture surface of the electrospun samples was studied by SEM.
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