Sub-gap spectroscopy of thermally excited quasiparticles in a Nb contacted carbon nanotube quantum dot

M. Gaass,1 S. Pfaller,2 T. Geiger,1 A. Donarini,2 M. Grifoni,2 A. K. Hüttel,1 and Ch. Strunk1,†

1Institute for Exp. and Applied Physics, University of Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany
2Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany

(Dated: March 19, 2014)

We present electronic transport measurements of a single wall carbon nanotube quantum dot coupled to Nb superconducting contacts. For temperatures comparable to the superconducting gap peculiar transport features are observed inside the Coulomb blockade and superconducting energy gap regions. The observed temperature dependence can be explained in terms of sequential tunneling processes involving thermally excited quasiparticles. In particular, these new channels give rise to two unusual conductance peaks at zero bias in the vicinity of the charge degeneracy point and allow to determine the degeneracy of the ground states involved in transport. The measurements are in good agreement with model calculations.
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Introduction—Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are highly versatile quantum systems, whose properties can be investigated by attaching them to a wide variety of different contact materials.1–3 By using superconducting metals as electrodes, a significant increase of spectroscopic resolution due to the sharp peaks at the gap edges in the BCS density of states can be achieved.4 Depending on the coupling strength between the carbon nanotube and its leads, the nanotube can act as a Josephson weak link, and proximity-induced supercurrent can flow through the quantum dot.5–7 The supercurrent is carried by Andreev bound states, whose presence is revealed by peculiar subgap features.8–12 By fabricating the contacts from sputtered Nb, they can remain superconducting up to a critical temperature $T_c = 8.5$ K and a correspondingly large critical magnetic field $B_c$.

In this work we report on sub-gap features observed in a CNT quantum dot weakly coupled to superconducting leads. Strikingly, such features are not visible at the lowest temperatures achieved in the experiment but only when the temperature becomes comparable to the superconducting gap. This clearly suggests that they are not due to Andreev reflections but rather to thermal excitation of quasiparticles across the gap, as predicted recently by some of us.13 We perform a systematic analysis of the temperature dependence of the observed features. A good agreement between experimental data and theoretical predictions in the linear as well as in the nonlinear regime is obtained.

Experimental details—The measurements presented here were performed on a single wall carbon nanotube grown by chemical vapour deposition (CVD).14 As substrate highly p-doped Si capped with 300 nm SiO$_2$ is used. The electrodes to the nanotube are composed of 3 nm Pd as contact layer and 45 nm sputtered Nb with a contact spacing of the order of 300 nm. The typical room temperature resistance of our device is in the range of 100 kΩ.

For performing two- and four-point measurements, each superconducting electrode is connected to two AuPd leads as resistive on-chip elements that are, among other filter stages, supposed to damp oscillations at the plasma frequency of the Josephson junction.7,15 A scanning electron micrograph of the sample is shown in Fig. 1(a). The device was measured in a dilution refrigerator with a base temperature of 25 mK.

Transport spectroscopy—Fig. 1(b) shows an overview plot of the differential conductance $dI/dV_{sd}$ as a function of source-drain bias voltage $V_{sd}$ and gate voltage $V_{gate}$ at $T = 25$ mK. This temperature here is much smaller.
than the critical temperature $T_c$ expected for our Nb contacts. The measurement of Fig. 1(b) serves as a reference for the high temperature experiments and theoretical predictions discussed below. Besides regular Coulomb diamonds, a rich substructure of both elastic and inelastic cotunneling lines is observed in Figs. 2(b)-(d) reflecting the high spectroscopic resolution brought about by the sharp peaks in the BCS density of states (cf. Fig. 1(c)).

The superconducting energy gap estimated from the sequential tunneling features at roughly $V_{sd} \sim \pm 0.64 \text{ meV} = \pm 2\Delta/e$ (see details below) is $\Delta \approx 320 \mu\text{eV}$, compared to an expected value of $\Delta = 1.5 \text{ meV}$ for bulk Nb. This reduction of the superconducting gap by about a factor of five has been reported before in similar Nb-based devices. Measurements of a pure Nb strip of comparable dimension on the same chip yielded a critical temperature of $T_c = 8.5 \text{ K}$. Estimated from $\Delta = 320 \mu\text{eV}$, the resulting effective critical temperature would be $T_c \sim 2.1 \text{ K}$. However, features in the data that can be attributed to superconductivity remain present up to temperatures of about $3 \text{ K}$ to $5 \text{ K}$.

From additional stability diagrams similar to the one shown in Fig. 1(b) but taken at higher temperatures and finite magnetic field to suppress superconductivity (not shown), we estimate a charging energy $U \sim 15 \text{ meV}$. From the fitting between experiments and theory discussed below (cf. in particular Eq. (4)), a coupling strength between the quantum dot and the leads of $\Gamma \sim 0.093 \text{ meV}$ is extracted. This places our measurement into the parameter range $\Gamma < \Delta < U$ where Coulomb repulsion dominates transport and superconductivity enhances the spectroscopic resolution, see e.g. Ref. 19. No obvious traces of Kondo phenomena are observed neither in the normal nor in the superconducting state.

Thermally activated transport– For quantum dots connected to superconducting leads, transport is usually blocked in the energy gap range $|eV_{sd}| \leq 2\Delta$. At high temperature, transport becomes possible both at low bias and in parts of the Coulomb blockade region due to quasiparticles excited across the superconducting energy gap. This is illustrated in Fig. 1(c), showing the product (black solid line) of the quasiparticle density of states (blue dash-dotted line) and the Fermi function (red dotted line). For sufficiently high temperature, corresponding to a thermal broadening of the Fermi function of the order of the gap, a small peak at $E \approx \Delta$ emerges. This peak vanishes at low temperature when the broadening of the Fermi function is much smaller than the gap. The focus of this work is the systematic investigation of features due to this extra thermal channel, both from the theoretical and experimental point of view. In the following we distinguish between standard resonance lines, which are also present at low temperatures, and thermal lines due to the presence of the extra thermal peak.

Fig. 2(a)-(c) displays detailed measurements of the differential conductance at increasing temperatures, close to the charge degeneracy point marked by the black rectangle in Fig. 1(b). The comparison of Fig. 2(a) and Figs. 2(b) and (c) gives direct evidence that at temperatures above $T \approx 300 \text{ mK}$ additional transition lines parallel to the sequential tunneling lines emerge within the region of Coulomb blockade, see e.g. the green arrow in Fig. 2(b)-(d). These lines are separated from the sequential tunneling lines by a characteristic region of negative differential conductance (NDC, dark). As can be seen in Fig. 2(d), the observed additional resonance lines and the NDC regions are reproduced by our transport calculations described in detail below, which account for sequential tunneling processes of thermally excited quasiparticles. At the intersection of such lines we obtain two zero bias conductance peaks indicated by blue arrows and separated by $\partial V_g = 2|\Delta|/e\alpha_g$, with $\alpha_g$ being the back gate coupling factor.

Theoretical model– Our calculations are based on a master equation approach for the reduced density matrix (RDM) to lowest order in the tunneling to the leads, including only quasiparticle tunneling. The theory is generalized here to include also the shell and orbital degrees of freedom $(s, \tau)$, respectively, of the CNT. Specifically, the CNT quantum dot is modeled by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{\text{CNT}} = \sum_{\alpha \sigma} \epsilon_{\alpha \sigma} \hat{d}^{\dagger}_{\alpha \sigma} \hat{d}_{\alpha \sigma} + \frac{U}{2} \hat{N} (\hat{N} - 1), \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{d}^{\dagger}_{\alpha \sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{d}_{\alpha +} + (-1)^\sigma \hat{d}_{\alpha -} \right), \quad \hat{d}_{\alpha \sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{d}_{\alpha +} - (-1)^\sigma \hat{d}_{\alpha -} \right)$$

FIG. 2. (Color online) Differential conductance $dI(V_{sd}, V_{\text{gate}})/dV_{sd}$ as a function of source-drain voltage $V_{sd}$ and gate voltage $V_{\text{gate}}$ measured at (a) $T = 0.3 \text{ K}$, (b) $T = 1.2 \text{ K}$, (c) $T = 2.0 \text{ K}$, and (d) corresponding transport simulation at $T = 1.2 \text{ K}$. One of the additional lines emerging at high temperature is marked by a diagonal green arrow. Around zero bias two conductance peaks are clearly visible (vertical blue arrows). The dotted rectangles in (a) and (d) as well as the horizontal lines in (a) frame regions used to extract the line plots in Figs. 2(a) and (c). The maximum of the $dI/dV_{sd}$ scale was set to $0.031 \times 2e^2/h$ to increase the contrast of the thermally induced lines.
where $\alpha = (s, \tau)$ is a collective quantum number accounting for longitudinal and orbital degrees of freedom, respectively, and $\sigma$ labels the spin. Finally, we employ a constant interaction model for the Coulomb repulsion on the tube with strength $U$. Including two longitudinal modes, $s = 1, 2$, and accounting for the two orbital degrees of freedom, $\tau \in \{a, b\}$, of the CNTs, $\epsilon_{\alpha \sigma}$ represents four energy levels with energies $\epsilon_0$, $\epsilon_0 + \delta$, $\epsilon_0 + \Delta \epsilon$, and $\epsilon_0 + \Delta \epsilon + \delta$. The characteristic fourfold degeneracy of the carbon nanotube spectrum is assumed to be lifted by $\delta = \sqrt{\Delta^2_{sd} + \Delta^2_{SO}}$, that originates from the spin orbit splitting $\Delta_{sd}$ and valley-mixing energy $\Delta_{SO}$.

The size of the experimentally measured Coulomb diamonds and the positions of the excited state lines in the stability diagrams are consistent with the assumption that the transitions occur between states with $(4n + 3)$ and $(4n + 4)$ electrons. They are correctly reproduced in our model with $\delta = 1.3$ meV, a spacing between the longitudinal modes $\Delta \epsilon = 1.55 \delta$, and $U = 15$ meV. The gate voltage is assumed to linearly shift the single particle energy levels $\epsilon_{\alpha \sigma} \rightarrow \epsilon_{\alpha \sigma} + \alpha \epsilon eV_g$. At finite bias voltage the electrochemical potentials in the source and drain electrodes are $\mu_{S/D} = \mu_0 \pm \alpha_{S/D} eV_b$, where $\alpha_{S/D} = 1 - \alpha_{sd}$ account for the asymmetric bias drop at the source and drain contact, respectively. From our simulations, we find an effective back gate coupling $\alpha_g = 0.1$ and an asymmetric bias drop $\alpha_{sd} = 0.4$.

The expected positions of the differential conductance lines of the stability diagrams are displayed in Fig. 3(a). The solid blue lines show the $(4n + 3)$ electron ground state to $(4n + 4)$ electron ground state transition $(3g)$, the broken blue lines are instead transition lines between a ground state and an excited state of the neighbouring particle number, see Fig. 3(b). Each of the possible standard transition lines is accompanied by an associated thermal line (in orange, same line style) due to thermally activated quasiparticles. We set the zero of the gate voltage at the charge degeneracy point. The position of the blue transition lines is then dictated by the standard sequential tunneling requirements 

$$eV_{sd} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{S/D}} \left( \pm \alpha_g eV_g + \Delta E + |\Delta| \right), \quad (2)$$

for source lines (+) and drain (-) lines. Here, $\Delta E$ is the energy difference between an excited state and a ground state with the same particle number in the many-body spectrum of Fig. 3(c). In the case of a source (drain) transition $\Delta E$ is calculated in the $N$ $(N + 1)$ particle subspace. For a ground state to ground state transition, $\Delta E = 0$ in Eq. (2).

The conditions for the occurrence of an orange thermal line are

$$eV_{sd} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{S/D}} \left( \pm \alpha_g eV_g + \Delta E - |\Delta| \right). \quad (3)$$

Thus, each replica runs parallel to the diamond edge at a distance $2|\Delta|/\alpha_{S/D}$ from the standard line associated to it.

**Low bias conductance**— Fig. 4(a) shows the gate voltage dependence of the low bias differential conductance for increasing temperature. Each trace is an average of several measurements taken at small but finite bias values symmetrically located around $V_{sd} = 0$ and corresponding to the area between the dashed horizontal lines in Fig. 2(a). Note that due to the existence of a superconducting energy gap, no current would be expected in this bias voltage range. Two clearly distinguishable peaks are observed. They result from the zero-bias crossing of the thermally induced transition lines. Due to their thermal nature, they decrease for decreasing temperature. At $T = 0.3$ K the double peak is absent. A single peak observed at approximately the position of the charge degeneracy point may be due to higher order processes not captured by the theory discussed below.

In Fig. 4(b) the maximal conductance measured at the two peaks denoted by A and B in Fig. 4(a) is plotted as a function of the temperature (squares and triangles, respectively). The observed behaviour is well reproduced by an analytic expression for the linear conductance derived around the $N$ to $(N + 1)$ charge degeneracy point (solid lines). By taking into account the ground state energy levels of the relevant $N$ and $N + 1$-particles sub-
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space, we find

$$\frac{dI}{dV_{sd}}|_{V_{sd}=0} = \frac{e^2}{2} \frac{\Gamma}{k_B T} \text{Re} \left( \cosh \left( \frac{\Delta E_g}{2k_B T} \right) \right)^{-2}$$

(4)

$$\times D(\Delta E_g)(\rho_N + \rho_{N+1}),$$

with the BCS density of states D(E), and the occupation probability of the N-particle ground state \(\rho_N\). The energy difference \(\Delta E_g\) between the two ground states scales linearly with \(V_{gate}\) and equals zero at the charge degeneracy point. Here, \(\gamma\) is a phenomenological Dynes parameter related to a finite lifetime of the quasiparticles in the superconducting leads. The Dynes parameter is introduced to renormalize the BCS density of states and therefore leads to a broadening of the conductance peaks.

We notice a good agreement between experiment and theory at temperatures above \(T \sim 1\) K. From the fit we extract the coupling parameter \(\Gamma = 0.093\) meV and the Dynes parameter \(\gamma = 0.015\) meV. The temperature de-

pendence of the conductance peaks can be divided into two regimes. At low temperature, i.e., in the thermal ac-
tivation regime, the “cosh” term of Eq. (4) dominates, leading to a steep increase of the peaks with tempera-
ture. In the high temperature regime, we see the typi-
ical \(1/T\) decay known from standard sequential tunneling processes.

Of particular interest is the ratio of the conductance maxima of the left and the right peak. Since Eq. (4) is symmetric around \(\Delta E_g = 0\), we find that the ratio is equal to

$$\frac{dI/dV_{sd}}{dI/dV_{sd}} = \frac{(\rho_N + \rho_{N+1})_A}{(\rho_N + \rho_{N+1})_B} = \frac{d_{N+1} + d_N e^{-\Delta E_g/k_B T}}{d_N + d_{N+1} e^{-\Delta E_g/k_B T}}.$$  

(5)

where canonical expressions were used for the occupa-
tion probabilities \(\rho_N\) and \(\rho_{N+1}\), and \(d_N\) (\(d_{N+1}\)) denotes the degeneracy of the N and \((N + 1)\) particles ground state. Thus, it is possible to directly probe the degener-
acy of the two ground states using Eq. (5). Fig. 4(a) and (b) show that the conductance at point (B) is larger than at point (A), leading to the conclusion that the N-
particles ground state has a larger degeneracy than the N + 1-particles ground state. This confirms the assump-
tion that the data are measured around a \((4n+3)-(4n+4)\) type charge degeneracy point, as also supported by the correspondence between the theory and experiment of excited state transition lines (see Fig. 2).

According to our model, the four fold degeneracy of the CNT is broken and the degeneracy of a ground state with odd number of particles, due to time reversal symmetry, equals \(d_{2N+1} = 2\). Taking the ratio of the measured peak height of the two thermally induced conductance peaks provides, to our knowledge, a new method to deter-
mine the degeneracy of the ground state of multi-electron quantum dot single electron transistors.

**Finite bias conductance**—The behavior of thermal and standard transitions at finite bias is depicted in Fig. 3(c), which shows \(dI/dV_{sd}(V_{sd})\) traces at various tempera-
tures. These traces result from an average taken over the voltage range marked by the dotted box in Fig. 2(a).

We observe two peaks which evolve in opposite ways at increasing temperature: the standard peak (s.p.) at higher \(V_{sd}\) decreases as expected from standard sequential tunneling. The second one at lower \(V_{sd}\) increases and hence confirms thermally assisted quasiparticle tunneling (thermal peak, th.p.). A characteristic dip evolv-
ing into NDC is also clearly observed in the line traces in Fig. 2(c).

In Fig. 4(d) the extracted temperature dependence of both the thermally activated and the standard sequential tunneling peak is depicted (triangles). Similar to the data analysis of the experiments, also the theoretical curves for the peak height were calculated via averaging over the voltage range marked with the dotted rectangle in Fig. 2(d). Our perturbative theory is overestimating the height of the standard peak. Hence, the theoret-
cal curve (dash-dotted line) was multiplied by 0.28 to
allow a better comparison with experimental data. A decrease of the peak is observed with increasing temperature. The calculation for the thermally activated peak (solid black line) is in good agreement with experiments; it shows a similar temperature dependence as the conductance peaks in Fig. 1(b).

Preliminary calculations show that a renormalization of the lowest order theory taking into account also charge fluctuations in the framework of a dressed second order theory (DSO) can reproduce the broadening (linewidth) of the resonance peaks and gives the correct ratio between the peak height of the thermally induced and the standard sequential tunneling peak. This study will be the subject of an upcoming publication.

Conclusions—We demonstrate thermally activated quasiparticle transport in a carbon nanotube quantum dot with superconducting contacts. Our theoretical analysis shows that the new lines in the otherwise blockaded regions of the stability diagram appear already in the sequential tunneling regime. The splitting of the thermally induced conductance peaks at low bias can be used to probe the degeneracy of the ground states, and provides a particularly useful method to determine charge configurations from transport characteristics.
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