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ABSTRACT

We report on the X-ray spectrum of the 401 Hz X-ray pulsar and type I burst source SAX J1808.4-3658 during its 1998 April/May hard outburst. The observations were made with RXTE over a period of three weeks. The spectrum is well-described by a power law with photon index $1.86 \pm 0.01$ that is exponentially cut off at high energies. Excess soft emission above the power law is present as well as a weak Fe-K line. This is the first truly simultaneous broad-band (2.5–250 keV) spectrum of a type I burst source in the hard state. The spectrum is consistent with other hard state burster spectra which cover either only the soft (1–20 keV) or hard ($\gtrsim 20$ keV) bands, or cover both, but not simultaneously. The cut-off power law spectrum resembles that of black hole candidates (BHCs) in their low states, observed with RXTE. We compare the SAX J1808.4-3658 spectrum to three BHCs and find that the power law is somewhat softer. This suggests that the photon index may provide a way to distinguish between low state emission from Galactic black holes and type I bursters.

Subject headings: stars: individual (SAX J1808.4-3658) — stars: neutron — X-rays: stars

1. Introduction

SAX J1808.4-3658 is the first object thought to display both type I X-ray bursts and coherent X-ray pulsations. Its low implied magnetic field ($B \approx 2 \times 10^8$ G, Wijnands & van der Klis 1998b) and high spin frequency may make SAX J1808.4-3658 a missing link in the evolution of the millisecond radio pulsars. It was discovered in observations of the
Galactic center region made during 1996 September 12–17 with the Wide Field Camera (WFC) on BeppoSAX (in’t Zand et al. 1998). During six days of observations, the flux level was $\sim 50–100$ mCrab (2–10 keV). Earlier and later observations which did not detect the source limit the outburst duration to between 6 and 40 days. This duration was confirmed with data from the All Sky Monitor (ASM) on the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE), which detected SAX J1808.4-3658 for about 20 days beginning 1996 September 8 (in’t Zand et al. 1998). Two type I X-ray bursts were also detected during the BeppoSAX observations, making the identification of the source as a neutron star in a low mass X-ray binary highly probable. Assuming that these bursts reached the Eddington luminosity for a $1.4 M_\odot$ neutron star implies a distance of $\sim 4$ kpc.

Following the 1996 outburst, SAX J1808.4-3658 remained undetected until a slew of the RXTE pointed instruments on 9 April 1998 serendipitously detected a source (designated XTE J1808-3658) whose location is consistent with the BeppoSAX error region (Marshall 1998). The flux level at this time was $\sim 50$ mCrab (2–10 keV), corresponding to a luminosity of $1.5 \times 10^{36}$ ergs/s at a distance of 4 kpc. Twenty-one RXTE pointed observations over the next 4 weeks saw the flux increase to 60 mCrab (2.5-20 keV) and decrease approximately exponentially with a time constant of about 10 days (see Figure 1). After 26 May, the source dimmed rapidly by a factor of $\sim 5$ in 2 days.

Timing analyses of RXTE/Proportional Counter Array (PCA) data from 11 April 1998 revealed that SAX J1808.4-3658 is an X-ray pulsar with a frequency of 401 Hz, making it the first accretion-powered millisecond X-ray pulsar (Wijnands & van der Klis 1998a, Wijnands & van der Klis 1998b). The pulsed amplitude was quite low, only $\sim 4\%$ RMS (2–60 keV). Chakrabarty & Morgan (1998a), also using PCA data, detected the binary orbit. They derived an orbital period of 7249.119(1) s, a projected semimajor axis of $a \sin i = 62.809(1) \text{ lt-ms}$, and an X-ray mass function of $3.85 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot$ (Chakrabarty & Morgan 1998b). They also placed upper limits on the pulse frequency derivative and the eccentricity ($< 5 \times 10^{-4}$) of the orbit. The very small mass function implies that the companion mass is $< 0.18 M_\odot$ for a neutron star less massive than 2 $M_\odot$ (Chakrabarty & Morgan 1998b).

During the recent X-ray outburst, optical imaging of the SAX J1808.4-3658 field revealed an object with magnitude $V = 16.6$ that was not present in the Digitized Sky Survey (DSS) to a limiting magnitude of $V > 19$ (Roche et al. 1998). This object was confirmed as the likely optical counterpart of SAX J1808.4-3658 when multiple V-band exposures covering the 2 hr binary orbit showed “roughly sinusoidal” variability of 0.12 mag (Giles, Hill & Greenhill 1998).

Early work with the RXTE data indicated that the SAX J1808.4-3658 spectrum was
Fig. 1.— The light curve of the 1998 April outburst of SAX J1808.4-3658 in three energy bands from the ASM, PCA, and HEXTE on RXTE. The ASM data are the publicly available daily averages provided by the ASM/RXTE team (http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xte/asm_products.html).
Crab-like and continued unbroken to energies greater than 100 keV (Heindl, Marsden & Blanco 1998, Giles, Hill & Greenhill 1998). In this letter, we perform detailed spectral studies and show that the spectrum is somewhat harder than the Crab at low energies (\( \lesssim 30 \text{ keV} \)) and is exponentially cut off at higher energies.

2. Observations and Analysis

The recent outburst was the subject of a monitoring campaign with the PCA and the High Energy X-ray Timing Experiment (HEXTE) on RXTE. The PCA (Jahoda et al. 1996) is a set of 5 Xenon proportional counters (2–60 keV) with a total area of \( \sim 7000 \text{ cm}^2 \). The HEXTE consists of two clusters of 4 NaI(Tl)/CsI(Na) phoswich scintillation counters (15–250 keV) totaling \( \sim 1600 \text{ cm}^2 \) area (Gruber et al. 1996, Rothschild et al. 1998). The two clusters alternate rocking between source and background fields to measure the background. The PCA and HEXTE share a common 1° full width half maximum field of view. In this Letter we discuss the X-ray spectra obtained during 13 observations made between 1998 April 11 and 25. Figure 1 shows the flux history of SAX J1808.4-3658 in three energy bands. The outburst peaked between April 10 and 12 and declined over the next 20 days.

For each observation, we accumulated PCA and HEXTE spectra without regard to the binary orbit and the X-ray pulse phase. These spectra are quite hard, being superficially similar to the Crab Nebula and pulsar (a power law with photon index of \( \sim 2 \)) and extending to over 100 keV (Heindl, Marsden & Blanco 1998, Gilfanov et al. 1998). The PCA background was estimated using PCABACKEST version 1.5, with the background model based on blank-sky pointings. We then used XSPEC to fit various models to the observed counts spectra. PCABACKEST and XSPEC are standard NASA software tools. In all fits the relative normalizations of the PCA and the two HEXTE clusters were taken as free parameters, owing to uncertainties (\( \lesssim 5\% \)) in the HEXTE deadtime measurement. We then verified that the fitted relative normalizations were consistent with those derived from fits to the Crab.

No single component model could adequately fit the full 2.5–250 keV spectrum. In particular, excess emission above a power law at low energies (\( \lesssim 10 \text{ keV} \)), Fe-K line emission, and (for the more significant observations) a cutoff at high energies (\( \gtrsim 35 \text{ keV} \)), are all required. Several complex models fit the spectra with acceptable \( \chi^2 \). For example, the broad-band continuum (above the Fe-K line) could be fit by any of a broken power law, a power law with an exponential cutoff above \( \sim 30 \text{ keV} \), or a Comptonized spectrum (Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1980). Further, a black body, a disk (multicolor) black body (see e.g. Mitsuda et al. 1984), and a thermal bremsstrahlung model were all adequate to reproduce
the low energy excess.

Because it provided the best fits and is simple in form, we concentrated on a model made up of a power law with an exponential cutoff at high energies ($E^{-\Gamma}$, $E \leq E_{\text{cut}}$; $E^{-\Gamma} \times e^{-\frac{(E-E_{\text{cut}})}{E_{\text{fold}}}}$, $E > E_{\text{cut}}$) plus a Gaussian Fe-K line and a disk black body. Low energy absorption due to intervening interstellar and/or local gas was also allowed.

Fits to the individual pointings showed no evidence for spectral variability prior to the rapid dimming of the source which began around MJD 50929. Spectra after this time may have been slightly softer, but the change was not highly significant. We therefore added all the data prior to that date to obtain the most significant spectrum. The PCA data below 25 keV are of extremely high statistical significance, so that uncertainties are dominated by small systematics in the instrument response matrix. We applied systematic errors to the spectrum of between 0.5 and 2% of the count rate per channel, inferred from fits to the Crab. In addition, we fixed the Gaussian width of the Fe-K line at 0.1 keV ($\sigma$), narrow compared to the PCA energy resolution.

3. Results

The total spectrum for observations between MJD 50914 and 50928 together with the best fit model and the inferred incident spectrum of the form described above are shown in Figure 2. The model parameters are listed in Table 1. Although we included systematic uncertainties in the PCA data, we were concerned that the residuals to a simple power law model may have been caused by small errors in the response matrix. So, to verify our results, we divided the PCA data by a Crab Nebula and Pulsar spectrum (provided by K. Jahoda of the PCA team) which was obtained on MJD 50914. The ratio is shown in Figure 3. Because the Crab is a very bright source, the statistics of its spectrum are negligible in the ratio. Since the spectrum of SAX J1808.4-3658 is similar in overall shape, the ratio gives a fairly matrix-independent picture of features which differ from the Crab. In particular, the overall positive slope is due to the harder power law in SAX J1808.4-3658, and the soft excess and an iron line are clear below 10 keV. While we remain cautious of the physical interpretation of the soft excess as a disk black body and the quantitative iron line model parameters, we are confident that both the soft excess and the iron line are present.

Figure 3 also shows that the resemblance to the Crab is at best superficial. In contrast to the power law fits to the individual observations made by Gilfanov et al. (1998), we find strong deviations from such a simple model ($\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 22.4$ with 94 degrees of freedom (DOF)), even in the limited range of 3–100 keV which they used. The most important excursions are
Table 1: Spectral fits to the X-ray burster SAX J1808.4-3658 and three black hole candidates.

|                     | SAX J1808.4-3658 | Cyg X-1 | 1E 1740.7–2942 | GRS 1758-258 |
|---------------------|------------------|---------|----------------|--------------|
| $N_H$ ($\times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $0.37^{+0.26}_{-0.21}$ | 0.3 ± 0.2 | 8.6 ± 0.6 | 1.3 ± 0.3 |
| $T_{in}$ (keV)      | $0.99^{+0.08}_{-0.02}$ | 1.4 ± 0.1 | 1.15 ± 0.30 | 1.4 ± 0.2 |
| $K_{dbb}$           | $13^{+2}_{-5}$ | 18 ± 4 | 1.2$^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$ | 0.87$^{+0.99}_{-0.30}$ |
| $E_{Fe}$ (keV)      | $6.8^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$ | $6.47^{+0.09}_{-0.06}$ | 5.7 ± 0.7 | $6.28^{+0.12c}_{-0.07c}$ |
| $E_{WFe}$ (eV)      | $52^{+9}_{-8}$ | $90^{+13}_{-8}$ | 19$^{+19c}_{-14}$ | 77 ± 9c |
| $\Gamma$            | $1.86 \pm 0.01$ | 1.488 ± 0.014 | 1.53 ± 0.06 | 1.54 ± 0.04 |
| $E_{cut}$ (keV)     | 34 ± 4 | 34 ± 5 | 19.1 ± 3.5 | $30^{+20}_{-10}$ |
| $E_{fold}$ (keV)    | $127^{+22}_{-13}$ | 230 ± 20 | 116 ± 20 | $185^{+75}_{-50}$ |
| $L_{1-20\text{keV}}$ | 2.5 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 5.7 |
| $L_{20-200\text{keV}}$ | 1.9 | 11 | 15 | 12 |

$^a$Temperature at the disk inner edge.

$^b$Normalization of the disk blackbody component: $K_{dbb} = (R_{in}/D_{10}) \cos \theta$. $R_{in}$ is the disk inner radius in km, $D_{10}$ is the distance in units of 10 kpc, and $\theta$ is the angle of the disk.

$^c$Additional systematic uncertainties apply due to the subtraction of diffuse Galactic plane Fe-K emission.

$^d$Fe-K line equivalent width.

$^e$Power law photon index.

$^f$Luminosity ($10^{36}$ ergs s$^{-1}$). Assuming distances of SAXJ1808.4-3658: 4 kpc, Cyg X-1: 1.9 kpc, 1E 1740.7–2942: 8.5 kpc, and GRS 1758-258: 8.5 kpc.
Fig. 2.— The spectrum of SAX J1808.4-3658 between MJD 50914 and 50928. The upper panel shows the measured and best fit counts spectra (data points and histograms) and the inferred incident photon spectrum (smooth curve).
at low and high energies where we find a soft excess and an exponential cutoff respectively. The fit in Table 1 for SAX J1808.4-3658 had $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.65$ for 99 DOF (2.5–250 keV). If we disallow the exponential cutoff and refit all the other parameters, we find $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 4.34$ for 101 DOF. Applying an F-test (Bevington 1969) to the ratio of the improvement in $\chi^2$ and $\chi^2$ of the full model (divided by 2 and 99 DOF, respectively), we find that the probability that the cutoff is unnecessary is only $7 \times 10^{-22}$.

We also analyzed the PCA spectra in eight bins by orbital phase. Due to the limited amount of data, each phase bin samples the different parts of the decaying lightcurve to different degrees. To compensate for this effect, we fit an exponential decay to the lightcurve and looked for additional variations. We find an apparent intensity variation with orbit, in agreement with Chakrabarty & Morgan (1998b): roughly sinusoidal, with an amplitude of 2%, and a minimum when the neutron star is behind its companion. The modulation is several times larger than could be explained by uncertainties in the background model. We find no differences in the spectrum with phase: the disk blackbody and power law components share the extinction equally, and the absorption column is unchanged. This may imply that the modulation is due to Compton scattering in a thin, ionized intervening medium (e.g. the ablated wind suggested by Chakrabarty & Morgan (1998b)), which would scatter photons out of the line of sight nearly independently of energy. Alternately, the modulation could be an artifact of the limited amount of data, caused by random correlations between the orbital phase and small variations in the accretion rate: the total amount of data available is only about 14 orbits.

4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison to Type I Burst Sources

Prior to this work, the only bursters with nearly simultaneous coverage of the X-ray and hard X-ray bands during episodes of hard outburst were Cen X-4 and 4U 1608-52. In 1979, Cen X-4 was observed with Hakucho (1.5–30 keV) (Matsuoka et al. 1980), Ariel 5 (3–6 keV) (Kaluzienski, Holt & Swank 1980), and Prognoz 7 (13-163 keV) (Bouchacourt et al. 1984). Bouchacourt et al. (1984) fit the Prognoz 7 spectrum to a hard power law (photon index fixed: $\Gamma \equiv 1$) times an exponential cutoff with a folding energy of $\sim 50$ keV – not unlike the RXTE SAX J1808.4-3658 spectrum. They also noted that the low energy extrapolation of the Prognoz 7 data fell below the simultaneous Ariel 5 spectrum, suggesting soft excess emission, although this could be due to the true power law differing from $E^{-1}$. Zhang et al. (1996a) used the Ginga spectrum (Yoshida et al. 1993) from the middle of a $\sim 170$ day (1991 June – December) outburst to constrain the low energy portion of
Fig. 3.— The ratio of the PCA counts spectra of SAX J1808.4-3658 and the Crab Nebula and Pulsar. The data have been arbitrarily normalized to 1 at 10 keV.
the 4U 1608-52 spectrum observed by BATSE. The Ginga data showed a power law with photon index of $-1.75 \pm 0.01$, and together with the BATSE data the spectrum was fit by a Comptonized model \cite{Sunyaev:1980} with $kT = 23\text{ keV}$ and optical depth 4.4 (for a spherical geometry). For SAX J1808.4-3658, we find nearly identical values of $22.0^{+1.6}_{-0.8}\text{ keV}$ and $4.02^{+0.11}_{-0.15}$ for the electron temperature and optical depth. Thus, the broad band spectra of all three well-studied hard state burst sources are quite similar.

Hard X-ray emission from other bursters has been observed (without concurrent soft X-ray coverage) with BATSE and SIGMA \cite[see Barret, McClintock, & Grindlay 1996 and references therein]{Barret:1996}. When these data are fit to broken power law spectra, photon indices of between 2.5 and 3 above the break are generally found. Fitting the HEXTE data above 40 keV gives an index of $2.4 \pm 0.1$ for SAX J1808.4-3658, in reasonable agreement with the high energy spectra of other low state bursters.

### 4.2. Comparison to Black Hole Candidates

Historically, hard emission extending to $\gtrsim 100\text{ keV}$ from X-ray binaries has been considered a “black hole signature”, distinguishing black hole sources from low magnetic field neutron stars. Clearly with several burst sources emitting hard X-rays, the situation is not so simple. However, it may still be possible to separate these neutron stars by other properties of their emission. For example, Barret, McClintock, & Grindlay \cite{Barret:1996} noted that neutron stars only produce hard tails when the tails dominate the emission, as opposed to black holes, which can produce hard tails along with very bright ultrasoft emission. They also noted that the luminosity when the hard emission dominates can be higher for black holes than for neutron stars. SAX J1808.4-3658 is consistent with a neutron star by both these criteria, showing only a very weak soft component and having a modest luminosity ($\sim 5 \times 10^{36} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ assuming a distance of 4 kpc). Given that distances are often poorly known and that black hole candidates can also be seen at low luminosities, luminosity alone is an insufficient means to distinguish between source types.

To see if the spectrum alone can serve the purpose, we fit RXTE observations of the persistent low state black hole candidates (BHCs) Cyg X-1 \cite[1998 April]{CygX:1998}, 1E 1740.7-2942 \cite[1996 March]{1E1740:1996}, and GRS 1758-258 \cite[1996 August]{GRS1758:1996} to the same model used for SAX J1808.4-3658. These fits are listed in Table I. One feature of the BHC spectra clearly differs from the bursters: the photon indices are significantly harder in the BHCs. The BHC’s power laws are between 1.4 – 1.6, while the SAX J1808.4-3658 index is 1.86. From Ginga observations, 4U 1608-522 had an index of 1.75 during the period of hard emission described above. Thus, from this limited sample, the broad-band spectrum
separates the BHCs and neutron stars via the hardness of the power law. Given the realities of intercalibrating multiple instruments and the modest separation of the indices, this characteristic may be difficult to use for comparisons between different observatories. However, it will clearly be useful for observations made with a single instrument complement or between instruments which are well intercalibrated.

5. Conclusions

The spectrum of the unique 401 Hz pulsar and type I burster SAX J1808.4-3658 during its recent outburst was quite hard. The photon spectral index was 1.86, with a slow cutoff at high energies. There was clear evidence of excess soft emission and a weak Fe-K line. These RXTE observations have provided the highest quality broad-band spectrum of a suspected X-ray burster during a period of hard emission. The spectrum is in good agreement with previous observations of other bursters made over more limited energy bands and with lower statistical significance. By comparing to observations of low state BHCs, we find that BHCs and bursters can be distinguished by the slope of their power law emission. In particular, the photon indices of bursters are greater (i.e. softer) by about 0.3, even though the overall spectral shapes are quite similar.

Thanks to Keith Jahoda for his help with the PCA response matrix and for providing the Crab data. We would also like to acknowledge the RXTE Science Operations Center for scheduling these Target of Opportunity observations. This work was supported by NASA grant NAS5-30720.
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