Circadian Clock Protein Content and Daily Rhythm of Locomotor Activity Are Altered after Chronic Exposure to Lead in Rat
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Lead exposure has been reported to produce many clinical features, including parkinsonism. However, its consequences on the circadian rhythms are still unknown. Here we aimed to examine the circadian rhythms of locomotor activity following lead intoxication and investigate the mechanisms by which lead may induce alterations of circadian rhythms in rats. Male Wistar rats were injected with lead or sodium acetate (10 mg/kg/day, i.p.) during 4 weeks. Both groups were tested in the “open field” to quantify the exploratory activity and in the rotarod to evaluate motor coordination. Then, animals were submitted to continuous 24 h recordings of locomotor activity under 14/10 Light/dark (14/10 LD) cycle and in complete darkness (DD). At the end of experiments, the clock proteins BMAL1, PER1-2, and CRY1-2 were assayed in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) using immunohistochemistry. We showed that lead significantly reduced the number of crossing in the open field, impaired motor coordination and altered the daily locomotor activity rhythm. When the LD cycle was advanced by 6 h, both groups adjusted their daily locomotor activity to the new LD cycle with high onset variability in lead-intoxicated rats compared to controls. Lead also led to a decrease in the number of immunoreactive cells (ir-) of BMAL1, PER1-2, and CR Y1-2 were assayed in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) using immunohistochemistry. We showed that lead significantly reduced the number of crossing in the open field, impaired motor coordination and altered the daily locomotor activity rhythm. When the LD cycle was advanced by 6 h, both groups adjusted their daily locomotor activity to the new LD cycle with high onset variability in lead-intoxicated rats compared to controls. Lead also led to a decrease in the number of immunoreactive cells (ir-) of BMAL1, PER1, and PER2 without affecting the number of ir-CRY1 and ir-CRY2 cells in the SCN. Our data provide strong evidence that lead intoxication disturbs the rhythm of locomotor activity and alters clock proteins expression in the SCN. They contribute to the understanding of the mechanism by which lead induce circadian rhythms disturbances.
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INTRODUCTION

Lead poisoning has been reported to induce Parkinsonism and epidemiological studies suggested that lead plays a synergistic role with other heavy metals in the incidence of Parkinson's disease (PD) (Gorell et al., 1997, 1999a,b). In fact, the role that lead may play to generate Parkinsonism has been strongly supported by many studies demonstrating that this heavy metal...
is affecting the dopaminergic (DA) system as reported in PD (Ehringer and Hornykiewicz, 1960). Jason and Kellogg (1981) reported that neonatal exposure to lead in rats induced an irreversible degeneration of the nigro-striatal dopaminergic system in parallel with behavioral and neurochemical abnormalities (Jason and Kellogg, 1981). Other studies have shown that lead exposure in adolescent rat also decreased dopamine levels (Kala and Jadhav, 1995) and that this decrease may not be related to the loss of tyrosine hydroxylase immunoreactive neurons in the pars compacta of substantia nigra as reported by Tavakoli-Nezhad et al. (2001).

We have recently shown that sub-chronic exposure to lead acetate induced motor deficits in parallel with a decrease in the content of both DA in the striatum and noradrenaline (NA) in the cortex (Unpublished data).

Non-motor deficits, such as anxiety disorder have also been observed in the rat following lead exposure (Sabbar et al., 2012). Similar motor and non-motor disorders have been reported in PD patients (Shulman et al., 2001; Barone et al., 2009; Breen et al., 2014; Alzahrani and Venneri, 2015), in animal models of PD (Delaville et al., 2012; Faggiani et al., 2015) and in animals exposed to manganese (Bouabid et al., 2014). Interestingly, non-motor symptoms have been shown to precede the manifestation of motor disabilities in PD patients (Chaudhuri et al., 2006; Ishihara and Brayne, 2006). Furthermore, one of the common non-motor disorders reported in PD patients is sleep disorder, including insomnia, excessive daytime sleepiness and disturbed rapid eye movement (REM) sleep behavior (Gunn et al., 2010; Menza et al., 2010; Willison et al., 2013).

The sleep-wake cycle is one of the many daily rhythms regulated by the circadian timing system (Pace-Schott and Hobson, 2002). Circadian rhythms are controlled by the master clock localized in the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) of the anterior hypothalamus in mammals (Stephan and Zucker, 1972). The circadian clock generates molecular circadian rhythms through cell-autonomous autoregulatory transcriptional/translational feedback loops consisting of the bHLH/PAS transcription factors BMAL1 and CLOCK, which heterodimerize and drive transcription of many genes, including their own negative feedback repressors, such as Period (Per1, Per2, Per3), Cryptochrome (Cry1, Cry2) and Revver genes, which repress BMAL1/CLOCK-mediated transcription (Reppert and Weaver, 2001; Lowrey and Takahashi, 2004; Okamura, 2004).

The consequences of lead exposure on the circadian system have been investigated by few studies who showed that lead exposure affected the locomotor activity rhythm in rats (Collins et al., 1984). Using the rat model of lead-induced Parkinsonism, the present study aimed to investigate whether lead exposure affects both the circadian rhythms of locomotor activity and the molecular machinery of the SCN. The effects of a sub-chronic low-level lead treatment on motor behavior and coordination was first evaluated; then, we monitored the daily and circadian locomotor activity rhythm and quantified clock proteins expression; BMAL1, PER1, PER2, CRY1, and CRY2 in the SCN.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal Housing

Male Wistar rats (Central animal service, Mohammed V University, Faculty of Sciences, Rabat, Morocco) weighing 70–80 g were used for behavioral and immunohistochemical studies. Rats were kept individually in polycarbonate cages, in a thermostatically controlled room (temperature: 24°C, relative humidity: 45%) under a 14 h/10 h light/dark cycle (14/10 LD; lights on at 06:00 h) for 3 weeks, with access to food and water ad libitum. Body weights were monitored throughout the experiment. All experiments were performed in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive 2010/63/UE. Approval was granted by the Ethic Committee of Veterinarians of Hassan II Institute of Agronomy and Veterinary Medicine of Rabat, Morocco, and all efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering.

Lead Administration

Animals were randomly divided into two groups: lead-intoxicated (n = 26) and control (n = 20) rats. In our experiments, lead-intoxicated rats received daily i.p. injections of lead acetate free-pyrogen solution (10 mg/kg; Sigma, France) at zeitgeber time 4 (ZT4, 4 h after light on), for 30 days. Control rats received sodium acetate (10 mg/kg; Sigma, France) solution in the same conditions.

Behavioral Assessments

Assessment of Spontaneous Locomotor Activity

Exploratory behavior was evaluated in the open field test as previously described by Rodrigues et al. (1996) with modification. The apparatus is a wooden box (75 cm long, 45 cm wide and 35 cm high), the surface was divided into 15 similar squares of 15 cm each side. Prior to lead or sodium acetate administration, all rats were habituated to the open field for 3 days. At the first and the last day of the i.p. injections of lead or sodium acetate, rats were tested once in a quiet and dimly lit for 5 min session. Exploratory behavior was evaluated by counting the number of crossing (transition from one square to another) and rearing (animal stands on its two legs).

Assessment of Motor Coordination

Motor coordination was evaluated using the rotarod test. The apparatus is equipped with a rotating bar which rotates at different and adjustable speeds. Rats were placed on the rotating bar with a fixed speed of 20 rotations per minute (rpm). The performance on the rotarod was measured once a day at ZT4 (10:00 h) for 4 consecutive days, and the time was recorded until the rat failed to stay on the bar.

Assessment of Locomotor Activity Rhythm

Locomotor activity was continuously monitored using infrared motion captors placed over the cages and a computerized data acquisition system CAMS (Circadian Activity Monitoring System, INSERM, France) as previously described (El Moussaouiti et al., 2010), and analyzed using Clocklab software (Actimetrics, Evanston, Illinois, USA). Different parameters were analyzed: the period and the amplitude using Chi-squared
periodogram, daytime and nighttime activities, onset and offset variabilities, activity onset and offset which correspond to the average clock (or circadian) time of activity onset or activity offset respectively. The diurnality index [mean activity during the light phase/(mean activity during the light phase + mean activity during the dark phase)] as previously described (Refinetti, 2006). Animals with indices above 0.5 are more active during the day than during the night. Alpha (α) was also analyzed and is defined as the duration of the active period of the animal. To assess the entrainment of the rhythm of locomotor activity by light, animals were subjected to 6 h phase advance of the 14/10 LD cycle (lights on at 06:00 h; LD AT) for 3 weeks after the last injection of either lead or sodium acetate. The phase angle and the number of days necessary to entrain to the new 14/10 LD cycle were determined for each rat. The phase angle was defined as the difference between the onset activity and the time of lights-off. In our study, the rhythm of locomotor activity is considered entrained to the new LD cycle when the onset of activity presents a stable phase relationship relative to the time of light off (±0.3 h) for at least 10 days as previously described (Lahouaoui et al., 2014).

Recording of the circadian locomotor activity was pursued in constant darkness (DD) for 15 days, and the free-running periods (Tau, τ) were calculated using Clocklab (Actimetrics, Evanston, Illinois, USA). Then, rats were exposed to 14/10 LD cycle (lights on at 06:00 h) for 10 days.

Experimental Procedures

**Experiment 1:** Prior to lead or sodium acetate administration, all rats were maintained under 14/10 h LD cycle, with lights on at 06:00 h and were habituated to the open field for 3 days. On day 1 (Figure 1A), rats were assigned randomly to receive an i.p. injections of one of the following substances: lead acetate (10 mg/kg; n = 6), and sodium acetate (10 mg/kg; n = 6) at ZT 4 (10:00 h) for 30 days. On day 30, after the last injection of lead or sodium acetate, a rat was placed into the open field box and allowed to explore the box for about 5 min. Exploratory behavior was evaluated by counting the number of crossing (transition from one square to another) and rearing (animal stands on its two legs).

Twenty-four hours after the open field test, the performance on the rotarod was evaluated in the same rats. Rats were placed on the rotating bar with a fixed speed of 20 rpm. The performance on the rotarod was measured once a day at ZT4 for 4 consecutive days, and the time was recorded until the rat failed to stay on the bar (Figure 1A).

**Experiment 2:** Rats were maintained under 14/10 h LD cycle, with lights on at 06:00 and daily locomotor activity rhythms of rats were recorded for 22 days, prior lead or sodium acetate treatment (LD: Figure 1B). On day 1, rats were assigned randomly to receive an i.p. injection of one of the following substances: lead acetate (10 mg/kg; n = 6), sodium acetate (10 mg/kg; n = 6) at ZT 4 (10:00 am) for 30 days and were maintained under 14/10 h LD cycle (LD+T; Figure 1B). On day 30, after the last injection of lead or sodium acetate, rats were subjected to 6 h phase advance of the 14/10 LD cycle (lights on at 00:00 h; LD AT) to examine the ability of animals to re-entrain to a new LD cycle for 22 days (Figure 1B). Rats underwent under total darkness (DD; Figure 1) to assess the circadian locomotor activity rhythm for 14 days followed by 10 days in 14/10 LD cycle (light on at 06:00 h).

**Immunohistochemistry**

At the end of the locomotor activity recording period, rats were deeply anesthetized by an injection of sodium pentobarbital (100 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused with 0.9% saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, 300 ml) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4). Brains were removed and post-fixed in 4% PFA at 4°C for an additional 24 h, rinsed in PBS, and cryo-protected in 30% sucrose in 0.1 M PBS (phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4) for additional 24 h at 4°C. Collected brains from control (n = 12) and lead-intoxicated (n = 12) rats were cut into 20 µm coronal sections and two series of three alternated sections were collected. We used one of the series for the primary antibodies; anti-PER1, anti-PER2 and anti-BMAL1, and the second serie for the primary antibodies; anti-CRY1 and anti-CRY2.

SCN sections were pre-incubated in 0.1 M PBS, 5% normal goat serum with 0.4% Triton X-100 and 1% bovine albumin serum for 1 h and then transferred to 2% normal goat serum containing primary antibody for 48 h at 4°C (1:5,000). After washes in 0.1 M PBS (3 × 10 min), sections were incubated during 2 h in 0.1 M PBS containing 2% normal goat serum and biotinylated rabbit anti-goat IgG (1:2,000, Vector laboratories) for 2 h at room temperature. Sections were then washed in PBS (3 × 10 min), and incubated with the avidin/biotin complex (1:1,000; ABC, Vectastain kit, Vector Laboratories) for 1 h at room temperature. After several washings (two in 0.1M PBS and one in 0.05 M Tris buffer (TB, pH 7.6), immunoreactivity was visualized with 0.025% DAB (Sigma, France), 0.5% ammonium nickel sulfate (Sigma, France), in 0.1 M TB containing 0.03% hydrogen peroxide (Sigma, France), for 6–10 min. Sections were finally washed three times in 0.1 M TB and once in 0.1 M PB. After processing, tissue sections were mounted onto gelatin-coated slides, dehydrated in graded ethanol, cleared in xylene and coverslipped with Eukitt (Sigma, France).

**Data Analysis**

All Statistical analyses (behavioral or immunohistochemical analyses) were done using GraphPad Prism program version 6.05 (California, USA). Data are shown as mean ± SEM and statistical significance was considered for P < 0.05.

Two-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Bonferroni were used to compare body weight, number of crossing and rearing, response latency on the rotarod (failure time) between the two groups. Period, tau, activity onset and offset variabilities, α, phase angle, and diurnality index were compared using Mann-Whitney test between controls and lead-intoxicated rats. Mean activity counts during the light and the dark phases and the total activity were also analyzed using Mann-Whitney test.

Labeled cell nuclei by PER 1-2, CRY1-2, and BMAL1 were counted manually in 4–5 sections per animal for each primary antibody) of the SCN using a computerized image system (Image J software, imagej.nih.gov/ij/) attached to a light microscope.
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FIGURE 1 | Experimental design of experiments 1 and 2. (A) Experiment 1: Sequence of behavioral tests. (B) Experiment 2: Sequence of lighting protocols and the duration of each cycle. Under LD cycle, locomotor activity was recorded before (LD, 3 weeks), during (LD + T, 4 weeks) and after lead treatment (LDAT, 3 weeks). Locomotor activity was recorded in total darkness (DD) for 15 days.

Locomotor activity was recorded in total darkness (DD) for 15 days. (Leica Microsystems, Germany). The number of immunoreactive cells (ir-cells) in the SCN was counted in each section and averaged among these coronal sections. Statistical analysis was determined using the Mann-Whitney test.

RESULTS

Lead Intoxication Decreased Body Weight Gain

Figure 2 shows the mean body weight (±SEM) of lead-intoxicated rats and their respective controls. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA showed significant effects of lead on time [$F_{(14,168)} = 294.6, P < 0.0001$], treatment [$F_{(1,12)} = 5.672, P < 0.05$] and interaction (treatment $\times$ time) [$F_{(14,168)} = 13.57, P < 0.0001$]. At the beginning of the experiment, all animals had similar body weights (lead-intoxicated rats: 69.75 ± 3.22 vs. control rats: 71.33 ± 2.39). From day 19 to day 29 of injections, post-hoc test revealed that body weight of lead-intoxicated rats became significantly reduced compared to controls and resulted in 23% loss of body weight gain on day 29 (Bonferroni post-hoc test, $P < 0.001$; Figure 2).

Lead Intoxication Induced Hypoactivity Measured in the Open Field Test

In the open field test, we expected that exploratory activity will be higher independently to the treatment in day 30, because the age of the rat influences the exploration rate; infant or adolescent rats had less exploratory activity than adults (Smith and Morrell, 2007). We focused, then in our data analysis in the effect of lead intoxication on the exploratory activity on day 30 compared to their controls on the same test day.

Figure 3 shows the spontaneous locomotor activity (crossing; Figures 3A,B) as measured in the open field test. There were no statistically significant differences among the groups in the number of crossing and rearing movements (Figures 3A,B) on...
the habituation period before injections, as well as on the first day of injections.

Upon a re-introduction into the open field on day 30, the pattern of the exploratory activity in rats was notably different; the number of crossings significantly decreased in lead-intoxicated rats compared to controls [−45.59%, $F_{(4, 40)} = 3.20$, $P < 0.05$, two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test; **Figure 3A**]. However, the number of rearings did not significantly change [$F_{(4, 40)} = 0.040$, $P > 0.05$, two-way ANOVA, **Figure 3B**].

**Lead Intoxication Impaired Motor Coordination Evaluated in the Rotarod Test**

The motor coordination was assessed using the rotarod test. Latency time in seconds was recorded. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA of motor coordination after lead acetate or sodium acetate injections showed a significant effects on time [$F_{(1, 10)} = 6.932$, $P = 0.0250$] but not on treatment × time interaction [$F_{(1, 10)} = 0.0002$, $P = 0.982$]. Lead-intoxicated rats did not significantly increase their latency time on the rotating bar, in contrast to controls, in which the latency time on the rotating bar increased significantly (Bonferroni post-hoc test, $P < 0.01$, **Figure 3C**).

**Lead Intoxication Disturbed the Daily and the Circadian Locomotor Activity Rhythm**

As mentioned earlier (see section Material and Methods), all rats were randomly divided into two groups; controls and lead-intoxicated rats. The daily locomotor activity rhythm of all rats was monitored when animals were exposed to 14/10 LD cycle prior to any treatment. Each animal served as its own control. Representative actograms before treatment (LD), and during lead or sodium acetate injections (LD+T) are shown in **Figure 4**.

In LD, during the pre-treatment, all rats showed a strong daily profile of locomotor activity with the main activity was recorded during the dark phase. The average daytime activity counts was 447.1 ± 29.34 and the average nighttime activity counts was 1,390 ± 94.28. After this pre-treatment rats, we divided randomly all rats to two groups lead-intoxicated and control group and the data were re-analyzed and no significant differences were observed in the mean activity.

The activity profile for representative control and lead-intoxicated rats are shown in **Figure 5A**. During lead intoxication (LD+T), daytime activity counts were significantly increased in lead-intoxicated rats when compared to their controls (666.8 ± 43.14 vs. 430.6 ± 61.68 in controls $P < 0.01$, Mann Whitney test, **Figure 5B**). However, no significant differences were found between lead-intoxicated rats and controls in the nighttime activity counts (1,236 ± 83.03 vs. 1,506 ± 376.5 in controls, **Figure 5C**) or in the total activity counts (1,903 ± 100.5 vs. 1,937 ± 399.2 in control rats, **Figure 5D**). The diurnality index was significantly higher in lead-intoxicated rats (0.35 ± 0.02) compared to controls (0.25 ± 0.03) ($P < 0.01$, Mann Whitney test; **Figure 5E**).

Periodogram analysis showed that both groups remained entrained to the 14/10 LD cycle during lead intoxication (LD+T), with similar period (23.96 ± 0.009 h in controls vs. 23.98 ± 0.006 h in lead-intoxicated rats; **Figure 5F**) but with a delay in their activity onset. The nighttime activity began in lead-intoxicated rats before light OFF (onset variability was 0.94 ± 0.22 h in controls vs. 1.67 ± 0.34 h in lead-intoxicated rats; $P < 0.05$, Mann Whitney test; **Figure 5G**). No difference in the offset of activities was observed (offset variability was 0.49 ± 0.13 h in controls vs. 0.88 ± 0.16 h in lead-intoxicated rats; $P = 0.054$, Mann Whitney test, **Figure 5H**). α significantly decreased in lead-intoxicated rats with a mean value of 13.01 ± 0.16 h
by comparison to controls (13.71 ± 0.08 h; P = 0.0034, Mann Whitney test, Figure 5I).

One day after the last injection of lead or sodium acetate, rats have been exposed to a 6 h phase advance of the 14/10 LD cycle to examine their ability to entrain to a new LD cycle. Representative actograms from control and lead-intoxicated rats are shown in Figure 6A. Control and lead-intoxicated rats were able to synchronize their locomotor activity rhythm to the new LD cycle. In controls, entrainment was achieved after 8.67 ± 1.17 days, whereas in lead-intoxicated rats, the entrainment was attained after 8.85 ± 1.81 days. No significant difference between the two groups was observed (Mann Whitney test, P = 0.80, Figure 6B).

Three weeks after cessation of lead and sodium acetate injections (LD AT; light on at 00:00), rats showed a strong daily profile of the locomotor activity with a similar period (23.96 ± 0.009 in controls vs. 23.98 ± 0.001 in lead-intoxicated rats; Figure 7A) but a number of parameters were altered. The variability of activity offset was significantly higher in lead-intoxicated rats (0.66 ± 0.103 h vs. 0.41 ± 0.047 h in control rats; P < 0.05, Mann Whitney test; Figure 7B), whereas, no difference was observed between the two groups for the variability of activity onset (0.89 ± 0.15 h in lead-intoxicated rats vs. 0.72 ± 0.09 h in control rats; P > 0.05, Mann Whitney test, Figure 7B).

A significant decrease in the mean nighttime activity was observed in lead-intoxicated rat (1,425 ± 110.3 vs. 2,282 ± 294.8 in control rats; Figure 7C, P < 0.05). No difference was observed in daytime activity (501.1 ± 99.11 in controls vs. 503.1 ± 64.62 in lead-intoxicated rats; Figure 7C). The total activity counts were 2,783 ± 380.7 and 1,928 ± 164.4 in control and lead-intoxicated rats, respectively (Figure 7C) and the diurnality index was significantly increased in lead-intoxicated rats (0.26 ± 0.02) compared with control rats (0.17 ± 0.02) (Figure 7D, Mann Whitney test, P < 0.01).

Figure 7E shows the daily change in activity during the light and dark phase under the new LD cycle of rats 3 weeks after lead treatment and the analysis of the daily activity levels (mean activity counts) showed that lead profoundly induced hypoactivity during the dark phase (Two-way repeated measures ANOVA showed a significant effect of treatment [P < 0.0001, F(3, 489) = 509.6], whereas neither the time nor the interaction (time x treatment) were significant (P > 0.05).

In order to examine the impact of lead on the circadian clock, we subjected rats to constant conditions of darkness (DD) for 15 days. Under these conditions, lead-intoxicated rats exhibited a locomotor circadian rhythm with a similar free-running period (r) when compared to controls (23.95 ± 0.038 h in controls vs. 23.93 ± 0.087 h in lead-intoxicated rats; P = 0.72, Mann Whitney test; Figure 8A). The activity onsets variability was significantly higher in lead-intoxicated rats (1.15 ± 0.143 h vs. 0.69 ± 0.09 h in controls, Mann Whitney test, P < 0.05; Figure 8B) whereas the activity offsets variability was not significantly altered by lead intoxication (0.91 ± 0.148 h vs. 0.45 ± 0.09 h in controls, Mann Whitney test, P = 0.084, Figure 8B). Likewise, no differences
were found in the activity during the subjective day, in the activity during the subjective night or the total activity (Figure 8C).

**Effect of Lead Intoxication on the Clock Proteins Immunoreactivity in the SCN**

Figures 9–11 show the mean number (±SEM) of clock protein immunoreactivity (ir-) in the SCN of lead-intoxicated rats and their respective controls. Lead induced a significant decrease in the mean number of -BMAL1, -PER1, and -PER2 ir-cells in the SCN. In control rats, the average of ir-BMAL1 cells is 374 ± 25.9, whereas, in lead-intoxicated rats, the average of ir-BMAL1 cells is 303.2 ± 19.7 (Mann-Whitney test, *P* < 0.05; Figure 9).

The mean number of ir-PER1 cells is 416 ± 22.72 in controls, whereas, in lead-intoxicated rats, the mean number of ir-PER2 cells is 302.1 ± 35.93 (Mann-Whitney test, *P* < 0.05; Figure 10), and the mean number of ir-PER2 cells is 409.7 ± 19.40 in controls, whereas, in lead-intoxicated rats, the mean number of ir-PER2 cells is 277.7 ± 25.91 (Mann-Whitney test, *P* < 0.05; Figure 10).

In contrast, lead did not affect CRY1 and CRY2 immunoreactivity in the SCN (Figure 11). The number of ir-CRY1 cells is 227 ± 45.3 and 311.1 ± 24.5 in lead-intoxicated and control rats. For CRY2, the number of ir-CRY2 cells is 296 ± 22.7 in controls and 227 ± 28.5 in lead-intoxicated rats.

**DISCUSSION**

The main interesting result obtained in this study is the alteration in the locomotor activity rhythm induced by lead toxicity which parallels with a decrease in clock protein expression. Interestingly, these alterations are obtained in all groups of rats that express neurobehavioral dysfunction.
Figure 6 | Lead treatment did not affect photic entrainment. (A) Three double-plotted actograms of locomotor activity for control and two lead-intoxicated rats under LD cycle (LD + T.→→ LD AT). The horizontal axis represents time (h), with 48 h of data shown on each row, while the vertical axis represents study day. The gray bar represents the dark phase and white bars represent the light phase. (B) Phase angles of activity onsets showing the low onset precision after the entrainment to the new LD (LDAT) cycle for all rats (Mean ± SEM) and individual data from controls and lead-intoxicated rats.

In the current study, we have confirmed in Wistar rat (experiment 1) that lead induces progressive decline in body weight gain, decreased the exploratory activity (number of crossing in open field; Figure 3A) and impaired motor coordination (Figure 3C) as early shown by our previous findings in Sprague dawley rat (Sabbar et al., 2012) and other works (Reiter et al., 1975; Overmann, 1977; Gill et al., 2003; NourEddine et al., 2005; Sansar et al., 2011). Strangely, it seems that in lead-intoxicated rats, the number of rearing in the open field was not significantly different compared to controls (Figure 3B). However, based on previous data (Shafiqur-Rehman et al., 1991), it is more likely that rearing response has decreased the first days following lead intoxication and then increased on day 30. In addition to motor symptoms (Reiter et al., 1975; NourEddine et al., 2005), non-motor symptoms like the rest/activity rhythm is also affected by lead (Collins et al., 1984). In the present study, we have shown for the first time that lead intoxication impaired the locomotor activity rhythm together with a decrease in BMAL1, PER1, and PER2 content in the SCN without inducing any changes in CRY1 and CRY2 content. These results provide strong evidence that lead disturbs circadian function by probably affecting clock protein expression in the SCN.
by 6 h, both groups adjusted their daily locomotor activity to
the new LD cycle; however, the offset variability was higher
in lead-intoxicated rats than controls (Figure 7B). Our results
enhance earlier observations reported by Collins et al. (1984)
and Shafiq ur Rehman et al. (1986). Collins et al. (1984) showed
that in pups chronically exposed to lead for many weeks,
the circadian spontaneous locomotor activity was significantly
affected, whereas Shafiq ur Rehman et al. (1986) reported
that lead intoxication affects circadian rhythm of ambulatory
activity. In addition, another study has also demonstrated that
lead intoxication affects the circadian patterns of the complex
stereotyped behaviors (such as rearing, preening, scratching
and biting/licking) (Shafiq ur Rehman, 1999). These alterations
disturb the ability of the animal to cope and interact with its
environment.

Although no definitive causal links between lead intoxication
and PD have been proven, there are a considerable number
of epidemiological studies suggested that occupational exposure
to specific metals including lead may be a high-risk factor for
PD (Gorell et al., 1997; Coon et al., 2006; Weisskopf et al.,
2010), we then compared 24 h locomotor activity alterations
in lead-intoxicated rats to those observed in PD patients and
animal models of PD and reported in the literature. Interestingly,
actograms analysis revealed a significant increase in the mean
daytime activity during lead intoxication followed by a decrease
in the nighttime activity in lead-intoxicated rats, reflecting a
poor consolidation of locomotor activity as previously reported
(Collins et al., 1984). These findings reproduced those previously
reported in PD patients (van Hilten et al., 1994) and in animal
models of PD (Ben and Bruguerolle, 2000; Almirall et al.,
2001; Boulamery et al., 2010). They strengthen the hypothesis
that lead-intoxicated rats lack the ability to maintain robust
locomotor activity rhythm under LD cycle, which is similar
to the impairment observed in the circadian rhythms of PD
patients. In fact, many studies have demonstrated that lower
amplitude rest/activity rhythm affected sleep quality (Langmesser
et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2009). Indeed, PD patients expressed
a number of circadian rhythm alterations such as insomnia and
excessive daytime sleepiness (van Hilten et al., 1994; Stevens
et al., 2004; Thorpy and Adler, 2005; Ferreira et al., 2006)
and nighttime sleep fragmentation (van Hilten et al., 1993; Gunn
et al., 2010) strengthening the hypothesis that the alteration
in circadian rhythm parameters in lead-intoxicated rats could
explain partially sleep/wake cycle disturbances that occur in PD
patients (Arnulf et al., 2002). Several parameters in the sleep-
wake pattern of animal models of PD have also been described
(Fifel et al., 2016). For example, MPTP injection induced
a dramatic disruption of sleep/wake architecture associated
with reduced (REM) sleep and excessive daytime sleepiness
in non-human primates (Barraud et al., 2009). Another study
reported a drastic decrease in the latency to the onset of
slow wave sleep (SWS) with REM sleep ablation in MPTP-
treated rats (Lima et al., 2007). Despite lack of investigations
on lead-induced sleep disturbances in human or animals, we
found only one report (Kumar and Desiraju, 1992) where the
authors showed that lead induced a significant reduction in the
delta, theta, alpha and beta band electroencephalogram
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**FIGURE 7** | Circadian parameters for controls and lead-intoxicated rats in LD after lead treatment (LDAT). (A) Period, (B) Onset and offset variability, (C) daytime, nighttime and total activity counts, and (D) diurnality index. Data from controls (n = 7) and lead-intoxicated rats (n = 7) were compared using
Mann-Whitney test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 in comparison with controls. (E) The daily average activity counts from controls (n = 7) and lead-intoxicated rats (n = 7) were compared using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 in comparison with controls.

In the present work, we show that in lead-intoxicated rats,
the 24 h rest/activity cycle is fragmented under a 14/10 LD
cycle with activity predominantly expressed during the dark
phase (Figure 4). Animals showed impaired locomotor activity
rhythm consisting of abnormal phasing to the LD cycle, and
strong change in the diurnality index (Figure 5E) than those
observed in controls. Moreover, lead-intoxicated rats showed
also less precision in their daily locomotor activity rhythm
as reflected in the increased activity onsets variability (Figure 5F).
No difference was however observed in the period of the 24 h
locomotor activity rhythm. When the LD cycle was advanced

Shafiq ur Rehman et al. (1986). For example, MPTP injection induced
PD patients expressed a
hypothesis
Collins et al. (1984)
showed
van Hilten et al., 1993; Gunn
Ben and Bruguerolle, 2000; Almirall et al.,
alterations
parameters in the sleep-
Another study
These findings reproduced those previously
reported
van Hilten et al., 1994.
animal models of PD
(Ben and Bruguerolle, 2000; Almirall et al.,
2001; Boulamery et al., 2010). They strengthen the hypothesis
lead-intoxicated rats lack the ability to maintain robust
locomotor activity rhythm under LD cycle, which is similar
to the impairment observed in the circadian rhythms of PD
patients. In fact, many studies have demonstrated that lower
amplitude rest/activity rhythm affected sleep quality (Langmesser
et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2009). Indeed, PD patients expressed
a number of circadian rhythm alterations such as insomnia and
excessive daytime sleepiness (van Hilten et al., 1994; Stevens
et al., 2004; Thorpy and Adler, 2005; Ferreira et al., 2006)
and nighttime sleep fragmentation (van Hilten et al., 1993; Gunn
et al., 2010) strengthening the hypothesis that the alteration
in circadian rhythm parameters in lead-intoxicated rats could
explain partially sleep/wake cycle disturbances that occur in PD
patients (Arnulf et al., 2002). Several parameters in the sleep-
wake pattern of animal models of PD have also been described
(Fifel et al., 2016). For example, MPTP injection induced
a dramatic disruption of sleep/wake architecture associated
with reduced (REM) sleep and excessive daytime sleepiness
in non-human primates (Barraud et al., 2009). Another study
reported a drastic decrease in the latency to the onset of
slow wave sleep (SWS) with REM sleep ablation in MPTP-
treated rats (Lima et al., 2007). Despite lack of investigations
on lead-induced sleep disturbances in human or animals, we
found only one report (Kumar and Desiraju, 1992) where the
authors showed that lead induced a significant reduction in the
delta, theta, alpha and beta band electroencephalogram
spectral power in both wakeful and SWS stages. Moreover, our results are in accordance with previous study in PD animal model reporting that ASO (α-synuclein over-expressing) mice did not show neither entrainment deficits to 6h changes in the LD cycle or, alteration in the locomotor activity rhythm in DD (Kudo et al., 2011). Even that lead-intoxicated rats were able to adjust their locomotor activity rhythm to new LD cycle, the precision of the daily offset of activity was altered, sign of greater fragmentation in activity. All those changes described above and those reported in the studies mentioned previously postulate that lead intoxication may affect the structures and/or functions involved in the circadian timing system. Indeed, anatomical investigations suggested that in addition to other brain region (i.e., hippocampus, cerebellum, retina...), hypothalamus is a target to the neurotoxic action of lead (Wang et al., 2006; Rojas-Castaneda et al., 2011).

In mammals, SCN of the hypothalamus, the main clock pacemaker is involved in the generation and entrainment of circadian rhythms (Meijer and Rietveld, 1989; Lowrey and...
The circadian oscillations are generated by molecular mechanism based on feedback loops which is responsible of rhythmic transcription and translation of clock genes (Reppert and Weaver, 2001; Hastings and Herzog, 2004; Lowrey and Takahashi, 2004; Okamura, 2004). Bmal1 and Clock play a key role in feedback loop by acting as a positive regulator; CLOCK-BMAL1 heterodimer is able to induce a rhythmic transcription of other clock genes (Gekakis et al., 1998).

In the present study, we examined the clock protein expression, BMAL1, CRY1, CRY2, PER1, and PER2 in the SCN, and we found that BMAL1, PER1, and PER2 immunoreactivity were significantly declined in lead-intoxicated rats without any changes in CRY1 and CRY2 immunoreactivity in the SCN compared to controls (Figure 11). In this regard, it has been reported that in leukocytes, the expression of BMAL1 was lower in PD patients (Cai et al., 2010). Thus, the decrease in BMAL1 content in the SCN of lead-intoxicated rats could impair the molecular clock by disturbing the transcription factors of other clock genes or clock-controlled output genes.

Bunger et al. (2000) showed that under LD, locomotor activity rhythm is impaired and activity levels are reduced in Bmal1−/− mice which may explain the alteration of the circadian rhythm of locomotor activity in lead-intoxicated rats. Bung et al. (2000) demonstrated also that in Bmal1−/− mice the expression of Per1 and Per2 were very low and not rhythmic. Zheng et al. (1999) provided evidence that Per1 gene is essential for the functioning of the circadian clock and that Per2 may regulate Per1; Per2 mutation leads to a change in the expression of other genes (Per1). This mutation displays a shorter circadian period followed by a loss of circadian rhythmicity in constant darkness (Zheng et al., 1999). In DD, lead-intoxicated rats continued to express a rhythmic locomotor activity with a period of approximately 24 h, suggesting that the decrease in PER1 and PER2 contents in the SCN may not be enough to be translated into rhythmicity loss in lead-intoxicated rats. The cryptochrome proteins, CRY1 and CRY2, act as negative regulators in the transcriptional feedback loop (van der Horst et al., 1999; Vitaterna et al., 1999), and inhibit the expression of their own genes and of period genes (Kume et al., 1999).
Cry1 and Cry2 content in the SCN and this strength the finding that lead-intoxicated rats were rhythmic in total darkness.

In other hand, there is relevant evidence of the implication of several neurotransmitters systems in the sleep/wake regulation/modulation. In parkinsonism, sleep/wake disturbance may result in several neurotransmission failures additionally to DAergic system, i.e., NAergic neurons in the locus coeruleus (Jellinger, 1991; Zarow et al., 2003; Fulceri et al., 2007), and serotonergic neurons in the raphe (Kish, 2003; Kish et al., 2008). Lead is also known to affect neurotransmitter systems, including NA and DA systems (Silbergeld, 1983; Sabbar et al., 2012). We did not measure concentrations of NA or DA following lead intoxication in this study, but we recently find a decrease in striatal DA concentration (unpublished data) and changes in cortical NA concentration in lead-intoxicated rats (same dose and same route of administration; 8). Since NA containing fibers and terminals were demonstrated in the SCN (Cagampang et al., 1994; Jacomy and Bosler, 1995; Vacher et al., 2003), the role of either NA or DA cannot be excluded to explain the circadian rhythm alterations during lead intoxication.

NA might modulate SCN circadian rhythms by regulating the expression of arginine-vasopressin and vasoactive intestinal peptides, two neuropeptides involved in the control of circadian rhythms as previously reported by Vacher et al. (2003). This neurotransmitter might also affect clock genes expression as reported in the astroglial cells of the SCN (Morioka et al., 2010). Furthermore, DA has been shown to modulate the expression of the clock genes (Imbesi et al., 2009) and regulate the BMAL1/CLOCK heterodimer activity (Yujnovsky et al., 2006). A decrease of DA level in the striatum in lead-intoxicated rats (same dose and same route of administration; 8). Since NA containing fibers and terminals were demonstrated in the SCN (Cagampang et al., 1994; Jacomy and Bosler, 1995; Vacher et al., 2003), the role of either NA or DA cannot be excluded to explain the circadian rhythm alterations during lead intoxication.

In conclusion, we have confirmed that lead intoxication induced motor disabilities similar to those reported in animal models of PD. Moreover, we have shown that several 24 h locomotor activity parameters were altered, associated with a decrease in bmal1, per1, and per2 contents in the SCN. Tough, 24 h rest/activity disturbances, have never been extensively explored following lead intoxication, it may be interesting to investigate the mechanism(s) by which lead disrupt circadian rhythmicity, thereby providing evidence that might link lead neurotoxicity to induce Parkinsonism.
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