Modelling the Amounts of Carbon Dioxide in the Headspace to Assess the Coliforms of Mozzarella Cheese
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Abstract

This article proposes an approach based on the evaluation of CO₂ in the headspace produced by coliforms to assess their viable count in mozzarella cheese, packaged in diluted salt solution with and without lysozyme and Na₂-EDTA. The data were modelled by a re-parameterized Gompertz equation in order to calculate the Minimum Detection Time (MDT), defined as the time to attain 3% of CO₂.

The proposed method showed a good correlation with the standard plate count and could be an alternative and simple approach to study the shelf-life of mozzarella-cheese.
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Introduction

Mozzarella is a stretched curd cheese, originally produced in Italy and nowadays-worldwide spread [1]. It is produced by traditional procedures or by using commercial starter cultures of lactic acid bacteria, and/or direct acidification by addition of citric acid [2,3]. It is an ideal medium for the growth of a wide range of microorganisms [4]. The natural microbiota includes thermophilic or mesophilic lactic acid bacteria (Streptococcus thermophilus, Lactobacillus delbrueckii, L. helveticus, Lactococcus lactis, Leuconostoc, Enterococcus), psychrophilic bacteria, and some yeasts, such as Debaryomyces hansenii and Kluyveromyces marxianus [5-11].

Coliforms live in the intestine of mammals and the recovery of some of them in dairy products (Enterobacter, Escherichia, Citrobacter, and Serratia) could be the result of poor sanitation conditions and/or the use of unpasteurized milk [12]. Coliforms are responsible of gas defects, small holes, and off-odours of mozzarella [13].

The standard plate count on a selective medium (Violet Red Bile Agar) is the method usually employed to assess the viable count of coliforms; however, bacterial cells could experience the state on VBNC (viable but not cultivable cells) for different factors (e.g. the presence of antimicrobial compounds etc…).

Some alternative and indirect methods have been proposed. A promising technique is the gas-chromatographic approach, because of its simplicity, linearity, high sensitivity and recovery capacity [14,15].

Therefore, the main goal of this paper is a preliminary approach to design and optimize an alternative method to assess the coliforms in mozzarella cheese, with and without antimicrobial compounds, and exploit the possible benefits and limits of the protocol.

Materials and Methods

Samples preparation

Mozzarella cheese was purchased from a local market in Foggia (Italy) and packaged with diluted salt (50...
g of mozzarella cheese in 200 ml of solution), containing phosphate buffer (50 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$/KH$_2$PO$_4$; J.T. Baker, Milan, Italy), lysozyme (0.25 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich, Milan), and Na$_2$-EDTA (50 mM or 20 mM; J.T. Baker). Samples of mozzarella cheese packaged in salt solution without lysozyme and Na$_2$-EDTA were used as control. The samples were stored at 4 °C and analyzed immediately after packaging and 1, 3, 6 and 8 days.

**Microbiological analyses**

Twenty grams of mozzarella cheese were diluted with 180 ml of a sterile saline solution (0.9% w/v NaCl) in a Stomacher bag and blended for 1 min with a Stomacher Lab Blender 400 (PBI International, Milan, Italy). Decimal dilutions of cheese homogenates were performed and microbiological counts of total coliforms were carried out on VRBA (Violet Red Bile Agar, Oxoid, Milan), incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 h. The experiments were performed over two independent batches and for each batch the analyses were made in duplicate; the data were submitted to One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s test, through the software Statistica for Windows (Statsoft, Tulsa, USA).

**Metabolism of coliforms**

The metabolism of coliforms was studied in a model system as follows: 1 ml of cheese homogenate from each time of sampling (0, 1, 3, 6, and 8 days of storage) and each sample (with and without antimicrobials) was added to 20 ml vials, containing 10 ml of a synthetic medium (yeast extract, 3.0 g/l; bacteriological peptone, 7.0 g/l; NaCl, 5.0 g/l and biliar salts n. 3,1.5 g/l; Oxoid). The composition of the medium is the same of VRBA, the solid medium traditionally used to assess coliforms (defined as the time to attain 3% of CO$_2$ in the headspace) as a reliable instrumental parameter related to the level of some microorganism, as reported for sausages [19], ready-to-eat salads [20], milk [15], and mushrooms [21].

Gardini, *et al.* [14] proposed the use of the content of CO$_2$ in the headspace of a sealed system to indirectly determine the level of *Salmonella* sp. and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, and proposed the Minimum Detection Time (defined as the time to attain 3% of CO$_2$ in the headspace) as a reliable instrumental parameter related to microbial growth. Recently, Bevilacqua, *et al.* [15] proposed a similar approach to determine the level of *Pseudomonas* spp. in milk. This paper represents an up-grade of the research of Bevilacqua, *et al.* [15], as it focuses on the head-space method to evaluate the coliforms of mozzarella cheese with a special emphasis on the preliminary steps (laboratory and mathematical models) required to develop and optimize a new protocol.

The optimization of the protocol was done in two steps:

a) Assessment of the level of coliforms through the standard plate count and study of their metabolic activity (CO$_2$ produced in the vials);

b) Building a simple regression model to correlate the standard plate count and an indirect parameter (Minimum Detection Time, MDT) related to the production of CO$_2$.

Table 1 reports the results from the standard plate count.

---

**Confirmation of the prevalence of coliforms in the sealed vials**

The prevalence of coliforms in the sealed vials was assessed by the isolation of at least 10-15 colonies from each vial after 24, 48, and 72 h of incubation. The isolates were preliminary characterized through microscopic examination, Gram staining, catalase test, and oxidifermentative metabolism. Some isolates were also recovered from VRBA to confirm the results of the standard plate count.

**Results and Discussion**

Many food spoilers consume oxygen and produce carbon dioxide in the headspace of packed foods; thus, the evaluation of the content of CO$_2$ is expected to increase because of the increase of the level of spoiling microorganisms [17,18]. Therefore, the evaluation of CO$_2$ in the headspace could be successfully used to directly assess the beginning of a spoiling event and determine the level of some microorganism, as reported for sausages [19], ready-to-eat salads [20], milk [15], and mushrooms [21].
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The fitting parameters of the Gompertz equation were analyzed through one-way ANOVA to assess the effect of the storage time and antimicrobials.

Table 1: Gompertz parameters regarding the production of CO$_2$ by coliforms of mozzarella cheese in the headspace of sealed vials, incubated at 37 °C. For each parameter the letters indicate significant differences (one-way ANOVA, P < 0.05).

|        | (CO$_2$)$_{max}$ * | $\mu_{max}$ | $\lambda$ | MDT  | $R^2$ | Cell load$^e$ |
|--------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-------|---------------|
| Control |                   |             |           |      |       |               |
| 0      | 20.41 ± 0.69 F    | 1.21 ± 0.15 D,E,F,G | 9.22 ± 1.98 A,B | 10.63 ± 1.57 A,B | 0.998 | 3.46 ± 0.24 C |
| 1      | 20.29 ± 0.83 F    | 1.27 ± 0.14 E,F,G,H | 9.44 ± 1.54 A,B | 10.75 ± 1.21 A,B | 0.998 | 3.43 ± 0.19 C |
| 3      | 19.37 ± 0.18 F    | 1.66 ± 0.07 H,I | 9.96 ± 0.51 A,B | 10.98 ± 0.44 A,B | 0.998 | 4.07 ± 0.05 D |
| 6      | 20.06 ± 0.53 F    | 1.32 ± 0.10 F,G,H | 9.04 ± 1.00 A,B | 10.34 ± 0.78 A,B | 0.998 | 5.53 ± 0.19 E |
| 8      | 20.43 ± 0.57 F    | 1.19 ± 0.07 D,E,F,G | 7.29 ± 0.81 A | 8.86 ± 0.55 B | 0.998 | 5.87 ± 0.08 E |
| Na$_2$-EDTA 50 mM |                   |             |           |      |       |               |
| 0      | 19.84 ± 0.93 F    | 1.55 ± 0.23 G,H,I | 11.56 ± 1.54 B | 12.95 ± 1.18 B,C | 0.988 | 3.46 ± 0.24 C |
| 1      | 20.56 ± 0.99 F    | 0.60 ± 0.07 B,C | 11.92 ± 2.27 B | 14.81 ± 1.52 C | 0.994 | 3.19 ± 0.21 C |
| 3      | 15.52 ± 0.20 E    | 0.83 ± 0.16 B,C,D | 20.41 ± 0.67 C,D | 22.83 ± 0.28 E | 0.998 | 1.22 ± 0.11 A |
| 6      | 6.37 ± 0.06 B     | 0.51 ± 0.03 A,B | 19.96 ± 0.32 C,D | 24.37 ± 0.11 E | 0.998 | 1.16 ± 0.09 A |
| 8      | 3.40 ± 0.12 A     | 0.12 ± 0.01 A | 25.20 ± 1.13 E | 45.87 ± 1.13 G | 0.996 | -e           |
| Na$_2$-EDTA 20 mM |                   |             |           |      |       |               |
| 0      | 19.47 ± 0.78 F    | 1.85 ± 0.29 I | 11.98 ± 1.38 B | 13.04 ± 1.09 B,C | 0.992 | 3.46 ± 0.24 C |
| 1      | 19.19 ± 1.60 F    | 0.95 ± 0.20 C,D,E,F | 17.41 ± 2.24 C | 19.03 ± 1.89 D | 0.986 | 3.18 ± 0.25 C |
| 3      | 16.44 ± 0.20 D,E | 0.91 ± 0.04 B,C,D,E | 19.37 ± 0.32 C,D | 21.68 ± 0.22 D,E | 0.998 | 1.93 ± 0.05 B |
| 6      | 12.64 ± 0.06 C,D  | 1.47 ± 0.02 G,H,I | 22.84 ± 0.05 D,E | 24.25 ± 0.04 E | 0.998 | 1.47 ± 0.18 A,B |
| 8      | 10.97 ± 0.05 C    | 1.19 ± 0.02 D,E,F,G | 26.32 ± 0.09 E | 28.19 ± 0.05 F | 0.998 | -           |

*(CO$_2$)$_{max}$: Maximum increase of the amount of CO$_2$ attained in the headspace (% v/v); $\mu_{max}$: Maximal rate of CO$_2$ production (CO$_2$/h); $\lambda$: Time (h) before the beginning of the production of CO$_2$; MDT: Minimum detection time (h); $R^2$: Determination coefficient. The parameters are accompanied by the standard error; $^e$Days of storage at 4 °C of mozzarella cheese; $\log$ CFU/g (mean values ± standard deviation); *Below the detection limit.

Figure 1: Evolution of CO$_2$ produced by coliforms in the headspace of sealed vials, containing 9 ml of lab medium and 1 ml of mozzarella homogenate. $t_0$, $t_1$, $t_3$, $t_6$, and $t_8$: cheese homogenate immediately after packaging ($t_0$), or after 1, 3, 6 and 8 days of storage at 4 °C. The brine solution of mozzarella cheese was supplemented with lysozyme and 50 mM Na$_2$-EDTA.
In the control samples, no differences were found in the maximum amount of the CO$_2$ in the headspace. On the other hand, the parameters $\lambda$ and MDT were significantly lower in the vials inoculated with cheese homogenate after 8 days of storage; this result was probably related to a higher count of coliforms (5.87 log CFU/g).

The presence of the antimicrobials exerted a significant effect. In the samples containing the highest amount of Na$_2$-EDTA, the amount of CO$_2$ was similar to control for cheese homogenate immediately after the storage and after 1 day (ca. 20%); then it decreased (15.52% for cheese homogenate after 3 day-storage, 6.37% after 6 days, and 3.40% after 8 days). The antimicrobial compounds also determined a decrease of the rate (from 1.55% CO$_2$/h immediately after the packaging to 0.12% CO$_2$/h after 8 days), as well as an increase of the Minimum Detection Time up to 45.87 h.

This effect was related to a strong antibacterial activity, as one could infer from the decrease of the viable count of coliforms from 3.46 log CFU/g immediately after the packaging to the undetectable level after 8 days.

The significance of the antimicrobials on the metabolism of coliforms was found also at the lowest amount of Na$_2$-EDTA (20 mM), although the effect on the maximum amount of CO$_2$ and lag/MDT was less strong: in fact, after 8 days (CO$_2$)$_{\text{max}}$ was 10.97% and 28.19 h the MDT. As previously stated, this effect was probably related to the contemporary reduction of the viable count of coliforms throughout storage.

This approach is a promising way to focus on the metabolism of coliforms of mozzarella cheese in presence of some antimicrobials and suggests a limit of the traditional approach. After 8 days, the inoculation of cheese homogenate in the sealed vials determined an increase of CO$_2$, thus suggesting a residual level of coliforms, although the result of the plate count was below the detection limit.

Mozzarella cheese could support the growth of microorganisms other than coliforms (lactic acid bacteria, yeasts, other Gram negative bacteria) and some of them could experience different level of resistance to bile salts; therefore, the results of the standard plate count, as well as the prevalence of coliforms in the sealed vials were confirmed. All isolates recovered from VRBA plates were preliminary identified as coliforms; concerning the broth in the vials, the isolates were all coliforms after 24 h. Some other bacteria (mainly Gram positive) were recovered after 48 and 72 h; however, their prevalence was low (5-7%), thus suggesting that CO$_2$ could be mainly attributed to coliforms.

The second step of the research was a regression analysis “MDT/viable count” to assess how the evaluation of CO$_2$ is a reliable tool to study coliforms of mozzarella cheese (Figure 2). The determination coefficient (0.897)

$$y = -3.5406x + 27.016$$

$R^2 = 0.897$

**Figure 2:** Linear correlation of Minimum Detection Time ± standard error (MDT) vs. the standard plate count of coliforms of mozzarella cheese.
highlights the adequacy of the approach and pinpoints the existence of a correlation of the kinetic of the production of CO₂ in the vials with the initial count of coliforms in mozzarella cheese.

Coliforms were for a long period the target group to label the acceptability of mozzarella cheese, as Italian law set up a critical level to 5 log CFU/g. The traditional pour plate count could offer the results after 24 h. on the other hand, the correlation MDT vs plate count could give a feedback in a lower time. Figure 2, in fact, suggests that for a viable count of 5 log CFU/g or higher the MDT should be 10 h or lower, thus a MDT < 10 h could highlight a high level of coliforms, and a poor microbiological quality.

The results showed some interesting evidences:

1. The linear relationship of MDT vs cell load of coliforms and the possibility to find out a level of 5 log CFU/g or higher in 10 h;
2. The possibility to highlight a residual level of coliforms in presence of antimicrobials, even if the feedback of the traditional plate count gives as feedback “below the detection limit”.

Further efforts are required to effectively design and propose this method for a validation at industrial level. This paper gives a first insight and highlights a possibility.
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