Overexpression and Suppression of Artemisia annua 4-Hydroxy-3-Methylbut-2-enyl Diphosphate Reductase 1 Gene (AaHDR1) Differentially Regulate Artemisinin and Terpenoid Biosynthesis
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4-Hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl diphosphate reductase (HDR) catalyzes the last step of the 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) pathway toward the formation of isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) and dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP). To date, little is known regarding effects of an increase or a decrease of a HDR expression on terpenoid and other metabolite profiles in plants. In our study, an Artemisia annua HDR cDNA (namely AaHDR1) was cloned from leaves. Expression profiling showed that it was highly expressed in leaves, roots, stems, and flowers with different levels. Green fluorescence protein fusion and confocal microscope analyses showed that AaHDR1 was localized in chloroplasts. The overexpression of AaHDR1 increased contents of artemisinin, arteannuin B and other sesquiterpenes, and multiple monoterpenes. By contrast, the suppression of AaHDR1 by anti-sense led to opposite results. In addition, an untargeted metabolic profiling showed that the overexpression and suppression altered non-polar metabolite profiles. In conclusion, the overexpression and suppression of AaHDR1 protein level in plastids differentially affect artemisinin and other terpenoid biosynthesis, and alter non-polar metabolite profiles of A. annua. Particularly, its overexpression leading to the increase of artemisinin production is informative to future metabolic engineering of this antimalarial medicine.

Keywords: Artemisia annua, artemisinin, arteannuin B, 4-Hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl diphosphate reductase, methylerythritol phosphate pathway, terpene

INTRODUCTION

4-Hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl diphosphate (or pyrophosphate) reductase (HDR, EC 1.17.1.2) is a member of the NADP/NAD-dependent oxidoreductase family. It is also called isoprenoid synthesis H (IspH) or lysis-tolerant B (LytB) (Rohdich et al., 2002; Hsieh and Hsieh, 2015). It catalyzes the last step of the 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) pathway toward the formation of isopentenyl pyrophosphate (or diphosphate) (IPP or IDP) and dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (diphosphate) (DMAPP) (Figure 1) (Guevara-Garcia et al., 2005). In the
presence of NADPH, it catalyzes the reductive dihydroxylation of 4-Hydroxy-3-methyl-but-2-enyl pyrophosphate (HMBPP) to produce IPP and DMAPP, while in the presence of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP+), it oxidizes dehydration of IPP to HMBPP. To date, HDR has been particularly characterized to catalyze HMBPP to both IPP and DMAPP, with a high proportion of IPP but a low proportion of DMAPP. In Escherichia coli, HDR was reported to reduce HMBPP to IPP and DMAPP with a ratio of 5:1-6:1 (Rohdich et al., 2002, 2003). A HDR homolog characterized from Burkholderia glumae, a Gram-negative rice-pathogenic bacterium, was shown to catalyze the formation of IPP and DMAPP with a ratio of 2.22:1–2.28:1 (Kwon et al., 2013). A few of HDR homologs have been characterized from plants. A HDR from tobacco BY-2 has been reported to convert HMBPP to IPP and DMAPP with a ratio of 5:7:1 (Tritsch et al., 2010). With a sodium dithionite (DT)-methyl viologen (MV) reducing buffer system, a recombinant Ginkgo biloba HDR homolog, namely GbIspH1 from chloroplast, was reported to catalyze the formation of IPP and DMAPP with a ratio of 15:1 (Shin et al., 2015). In comparison with the current understanding of biochemical characterization, fewer reports have showed effects of a HDR expression manipulation on plant metabolisms. A mutation of HDR in Arabidopsis thaliana was reported to lead to albino phenotype of plants and reduction of chlorophyll contents (Hsieh and Goodman, 2005). A virus-induced gene silencing of HDR in Nicotiana benthamiana was reported to lead to severe albino leaves, which were characterized by disorganization of palisade mesophyll, reduction of cuticle, decrease of plastids, and abnormal thylakoid membranes (Page et al., 2004). To our knowledge, effects of a high expression of HDR in chloroplast on plant metabolism remain open for investigation.

Artemisia annua L. is an effective antimalarial plant (Klayman, 1993; Alejos-Gonzalez et al., 2011). This medicinal plant biosynthesizes artemisinin, a sesquiterpene lactone with a unique endoperoxide bridge (Liu et al., 1979; Klayman, 1985; Xie et al., 2016). To date, artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) forms the first line treatment of malaria to save millions of people every year (WHO, 2006, 2013; Maude et al., 2009). In 2015, Professor Youyou Tu was awarded the Nobel Prize in Medicine for her discovery of artemisinin and innovation of antimalarial treatment (Molyneux and Ward, 2015; Xie, 2016). Artemisinin is mainly extracted from the aerial parts of A. annua. Given that the content of artemisinin is low (0–0.8% DW) in the leaves and flowers of wild-type A. annua in nature (Charles et al., 1990; Alejos-Gonzalez et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011), global efforts have been undertaken to improve low production of artemisinin for ACT. These efforts include genetic breeding, understanding of biosynthesis, metabolic engineering, and synthetic biology coupled with semi-synthesis. All those efforts have led to considerable progresses. Breeding efforts have created hybrid varieties with a content higher than 1% (DW) in flower tissues during the blooming period of plants (Delabays et al., 1993; Wallaart et al., 1999; Graham et al., 2010). Promising metabolic engineering for more than 1% artemisinin (DW) was reported in A. annua (Tang et al., 2014). In addition, tobacco plant metabolic engineering showed another alternative to explore new strategies for artemisinin and its precursors (van Herpen et al., 2010; Farhi et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011). In comparison with plant metabolic engineering, the novel strategy using synthetic biology and semi-synthesis has recently achieved a fundamental industry goal to produce artemisinin. Since the first success of artemisinic acid engineering using recombinant laboratory yeast strains (Ro et al., 2006), continuous improvements of technology have led to production of tons of artemisinic acid from engineered industrial yeast strains (Paddon et al., 2013; Turconi et al., 2014). In 2014, Sanofi, Inc. developed its capacity to produce 60 tons synthetic semi-synthesis artemisinin (SSA) through synthetic biology of artemisinic acid (Turconi et al., 2014; Corsello and Garg, 2015; Peplow, 2016). Although, to date in reality, SSA is not cost-effective for ACT (Peplow, 2016), this success shows the potential application of synthetic biology for artemisinic acid.

To date, data from metabolic engineering, genetic breeding, and synthetic biology has fundamentally enhanced the understanding of artemisinin biosynthetic pathway (Bouwmeester et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2011; Farhi et al., 2013; Paddon et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015; Suberu et al., 2016). Its C15 skeleton results from two molecules of isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) and one molecule of dimethyl allyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP), which can be synthesized from the mevalonic acid (MVA) and MEP pathways (Figure 1). Seven genes have been mainly cloned from glandular trichomes (Covello et al., 2007; Tang et al., 2014) and demonstrated to involve catalytic steps from beta-farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) to artemisinic acid and dihydroartemisic acid (Figure 1). These genes encode armorpha-4,11-diene synthase (ADS), alcohol dehydrogenase 1 (ADH1), aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH1), cytochrome P450 reductase 1 (CPR1), cytochrome b5 mono-oxygenase (CYB5), cytochrome P450 mono-oxygenase (CYP71AV1) and artemisinic aldehyde delta-11(13)-double bond reductase (DBR2) (Figure 1) (Suberu et al., 2016). Our recent pyrosequencing study using 6 different tissues annotated all of these seven genes, indicating that their expression is not limited to trichomes only (Ma et al., 2015). Furthermore, our pyrosequencing annotated two members of CPR (CPR1 and CPR2), and multiple homologs of ADH1 and CYB5, suggesting the biosynthetic pathway of artemisinin is more complicated than our present understanding.

Here, we report cloning of a HDR homology from A. annua and its effects on formation of artemisinin and other terpene molecules. We previously used pyrosequencing of self-pollinated A. annua to annotate two HDR homolog cDNAs, namely AaHDR1 and AaHDR2 (Ma et al., 2015). In this study, we cloned AaHDR1 from leaves and then characterized that it encoded a protein localized in plastids. After its anti-sense overexpression was introduced into A. annua, respectively, multiple transgenic plants were selected to show that these two types of transgene expressions differentially controlled contents of artemisinin and other terpene molecules.
FIGURE 1 | A scheme showing pathways to artemisinin and other groups of terpenes starting with both the mevalonate and 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) pathways in *Artemisia annua*. Metabolite abbreviations: G3P, glycerol-3-phosphate; HMBPP, 4-Hydroxy-3-methyl-but-2-enyl pyrophosphate; MVAPP, mevalonate pyrophosphate; IPP, isopentyl pyrophosphate; DMAPP, dimethylallyl pyrophosphate; GPP, geranyl pyrophosphate; FPP, farnesyl pyrophosphate; and GGPP, geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate. Enzyme abbreviations: HDR, 4-Hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl pyrophosphate reductase. The wide arrow from HMBPP to IPP means HDR activity preferring to IPP; IPPI, isopentenyl pyrophosphate isomerase; ADS, armorpha-4, 11-diene synthase; ADH1, alcohol dehydrogenase 1; ALDH1, aldehyde dehydrogenase; CPR1, cytochrome P450 reductase 1; CYB5, cytochrome b5 mono-oxygenase, CYP71AV1, cytochrome P450 mono-oxygenase; DBR2, artemisinic aldehyde delta-11(13)-double bond reductase. Question marks (?) mean unknown.

RESULTS

Isolation of *AaHDR1* cDNA, Sequence Analysis, and Phylogenetic Tree

Our previous pyrosequencing annotated two HDR homologs, *AaHDR1* and *AaHDR2*, the former of which contained a full length of nucleotide sequences (Ma et al., 2015). Based on our sequences, a cDNA fragment of *AaHDR1* consisting of 1664 bp nucleotides (GenBank #: KY288069) was cloned from leaf tissues of self-pollinated *A. annua* using RT-PCR. Sequence analysis showed that this fragment contained the open reading frame (ORF) consisting of 1365 bp nucleotides, which were deduced to encode 455 amino acids. An rooted phylogenetic tree was built using the nucleotide sequences of *AaHDR1* and *AaHDR2* from *A. annua* and 16 other homologs from four algae, one gymnosperm, and 13 angiosperms (one monocot species and 12 dicot species) (Figure 2A). This tree was characterized by four clades, four algae species, banana (monocot, *M. acuminata*), gingko (gymnosperm), and angiosperms. In angiosperms, *AaHDR1* and *AaHDR2* are clustered with the *Tanacetum parthenium* HDR homolog, the two plant species of which are in the family Asteraceae, suggesting a close phylogenetic relationship. In addition, an analysis of amino acid sequences using an online ProtParam tool revealed that its theoretical molecular weight and isoelectric point of the protein were 51405.46 Dalton and 5.63, respectively. Further sequence alignment with *A. thaliana* and *Ginkgo biloba* HDR homologs using the online TargetP ver. 1.0 software suggested that the 33 N-terminal amino acids (Figure 2B) likely form a chloroplast targeting signal peptide. This feature suggests that *AaHDR1* is located in plastids. In addition, sequence alignment revealed that *AaHDR1* contains four conserved cysteine residues at positions 111, 202, 256, and 339 (marked with triangle shapes in Figure 2B), which have been well-characterized to be essential for the activity of HDR homologs (Grawert et al., 2004).

Expression Profiles of cDNA and *AaHDR1* Localization in Plastids

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis showed that *AaHDR1* is expressed in roots, stems and leaves of sterile seedlings grown on MS medium contained in baby jars, and open flowers, flower buds, and leaves of plants on soil in the phytotron (Figure 3A). Further real time qRT-PCR analysis showed different levels in these tissues (Figure 3A). This result was in agreement of our pyrosequencing results that *AaHDR1* was expressed in different positional leaves and flowers (Ma et al., 2015).

1http://cn.expasy.org/tools/protparam.html

2http://www.cb.dtu.dk/services/TargetP
FIGURE 2 | Phylogenetic tree and amino acid alignment. (A) A rooted phylogenetic tree was developed from nucleotide sequences of 18 4-Hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl diphosphate reductase cDNA (HDR). (B) Alignment of the amino acids of AaHDR1 with two other HDRs from Arabidopsis thaliana (AtHDR) and Ginkgo biloba HDR (GbHDR). Four triangle shapes indicate conserved cysteine residues. The diamond shape indicates the possible site, from which the first 33 amino acids form a transit peptide.

The ORF was fused to the N-end of an enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) for a transient expression in N. benthamiana. Confocal microscopy analysis determined that the green fluorescence was detected in chloroplasts of leaf tissues, demonstrating the plastidial localization of AaHDR1 (Figure 3B).

Overexpression of AaHDR1 Increases Content of Artemisinin

The ORF of AaHDR1 driven by a 2 × 35S promoter was transformed into self-pollinated A. annua. Multiple hygromycin-resistant plants were obtained from selection media containing 20 mg/L hygromycin. Two overexpression lines, namely OE-3
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FIGURE 3 | Expression pattern of AaHDR1 and subcellular localization. (A) Semi-quantitative RT-PCR (upper panel) and real time quantitative RT-PCR (bottom panel) analysis of AaHDR1 expression levels in different organs of A. annua, OF: full open flowers, FB: flower buds, L2: young leaves from plants grown on soil in the phytotron, L1, S and R: stems and roots of 8-week old seedlings grown on MS-medium contained in baby jars, Con: buffer control without the first strand of cDNA, a–f: different low case labeling indicating significant difference (p-value < 0.01). (B) Subcellular localization of AaHDR1-EGFP in the chloroplast. Green: the green fluorescence of GFP, RED: autofluorescence of chloroplast, Merged: the merged image of the green fluorescence of GFP and red autofluorescence of chloroplasts. Bar = 20 um.

and OE-4, were selected for further analysis (Figure 4A). RT-PCR analysis demonstrated the elevated expression levels of AaHDR1 in OE-3 and OE-4 transgenic plants (Figure 4B). Western blot demonstrated the increase of protein in these two transgenic lines (Figure 4C). After plants were grown in pot soil for 8 weeks in phytotron, leaves were collected for artemisinin and other metabolites analysis. HPLC–MS analysis showed the significant increase of artemisinin content in leaves of these two lines than in those of wild-type plants (Figure 4D).

Overexpression of AaHDR1 Alters Non-polar Metabolite Profile, Increases Levels of Arteannuin B and Eight Other Terpenes, and Reduces Level of Caryophyllene

Untargeted GC–MS analysis annotated 85 non-polar metabolites from leaves of two transgenic and two wild-type control plants, after grown on pot for 8 weeks. These compounds and their peak values were used as data matrix for principal component analysis (PCA). The resulting two-dimensional PCA plot consisting of PC1 (33.43%) and PC2 (11.94%) showed a distinct ordinate separation between two transgenic lines and two wild-type plants in the PC1 (Figure 5A), indicating alternations of non-polar metabolite profiles by the overexpression of AaHDR1.

Of 85 non-polar metabolites detected, 15 were terpenoid molecules including eight monoterpenes and seven sesquiterpenes. The peak value of each metabolite was used to compare their levels in leaves of transgenic vs. wild-type plants. The resulting data showed that the levels of six monoterpenes were significantly higher in transgenic leaves than in wild-type ones (Figure 5B). The levels of two other monoterpenes were only slightly higher in transgenic leaves. Of seven sesquiterpenes, one is arteannuin b, the peak values of which were 1.5–1.8 folds (p-value < 0.05) higher in transgenic leaves than in wild-type ones (Figure 5C and Supplementary Figure S1). In addition, transgenic leaves produced significantly higher levels of cis-lanceol and 1-ethyl-1-methyl-cyclohexane than wild-type control. The levels of beta-farnesene and germacrene D were lightly increased in transgenic leaves. In contrast with these metabolites, the level of caryophyllene was significantly decreased in transgenic leaves.

Anti-sense of AaHDR1 Decreases Content of Artemisinin

An anti-sense binary vector of AaHDR1 (Figure 6A) was constructed and introduced into A. annua. Multiple transgenic lines were obtained, two of which, namely AS-14 and AS-15 (Figure 6B), were selected for further analysis. RT-PCR analysis showed the decreased expression levels of AaHDR1 in leaves (Figure 6C). Western blot analysis determined that the level of AaHDR1 was reduced in transgenic leaves than in wild-type ones (Figure 6D). After plants were grown on pot soil for 9 weeks (Supplementary Figure S3) in the phytotron, leaves were collected for artemisinin and other metabolites analysis. HPLC–MS analysis demonstrated that contents of artemisinin were decreased 27–33% in transgenic leaves (Figure 6E).

Antisense of AaHDR1 Alters Non-polar Metabolite Profile and Decreases Levels of Arteannuin B and Seventeen Other Terpenes

Untargeted GC–MS analysis annotated 82 non-polar metabolites. These metabolites were used as data matrix for PCA. The
resulting two dimensional plot including PC1 (35.87) and PC2 (12.71%) showed an ordinate distinction of metabolite profiles in the PC1 (Figure 7A).

Of 82 metabolites, there were 18 terpenes, including β-amyrin and phytol (Figure 7B), six sesquiterpenes (Figure 7C), and 10 monoterpenes (Figure 7D). Peak values of these metabolites were recorded to compare their levels in transgenic vs. wild-type leaves. In comparison, the level of arteannuin B (Figure 7C and Supplementary Figure S2) was reduced approximately twofold in transgenic leaves. In addition, the levels of five other sesquiterpenes were significantly or slightly decreased in transgenic leaves. Furthermore, the levels of 10 monoterpenes were significantly reduced in transgenic leaves (Figure 7D). The levels of β-amyrin and phytol were significantly reduced in transgenic leaves (Figure 7B).

**DISCUSSION**

4-Hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl diphosphate reductase catalyzes the last step of the MEP pathway from HMBPP to IPP and DMAPP in organisms (Figure 1) (Wolff et al., 2003; Seemann et al., 2009; Vranova et al., 2013; Banerjee and Sharkey, 2014). However, to date, there is no evidence regarding to what an extent HDR controls the contents of artemisinin and other terpenoid molecules in *A. annua*. Our experiments undertaken in present study show that the expression level of *AaHDR1* is closely associated with the contents of artemisinin and numerous other terpenes. The overexpression of *AaHDR1* increased the total content of protein (Figure 4C), while the suppression by its anti-sense decreased the total content of the enzyme (Figure 6D). Corresponding to these two consequences,
The biosynthetic differentiation of artemisinin and other sesquiterpenes oppositely regulated by the overexpression and suppression of AaHDR1 provides transgenic evidence to support the observation that IPP can be exchanged from plastids to the cytosol (Wanke et al., 2001; Nagata et al., 2002; Bick and Lange, 2003; Laule et al., 2003). HDR catalyzes HMBPP to IPP and DMAPP (Figure 1), two essential molecules for all life (Vranova et al., 2013). In plants, these molecules are synthesized by the aid of the cytosolic MVA and independently by the plastidial MEP pathway (Figure 1) (Vranova et al., 2013). Our EGFP fusion and confocal microscope analysis showed that AaHDR1 was localized in the chloroplasts. This result indicated that the in vivo catalysis from HMBPP to IPP and DMAPP by AaHDR1 was localized in the chloroplasts. As well-characterized, the backbone structure of sesquiterpenes is derived from one DMAP and two IPP molecules (Figure 1). Accordingly, it can be suggested that the increase of artemisinin and other sesquiterpenes by the overexpression of AaHDR1 in transgenic plants OE-3 and OE-4 would result from an enhanced pool size of IPP and DMAPP, which were transported from the chloroplasts. The transportation of IPP and geranyl pyrophosphate (GPP) from the chloroplasts to the cytosol was metabolically observed in other studies. When A. annua was fed with $^13$CO$_2$ and artemisinin was then extracted for nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum analysis, the resulting isotopologue patterns showed that its precursor, farnesyl diphasphate, was predominately derived from the assimilation of $^13$CO$_2$ (Schramek et al., 2010). GPP is generally considered being synthesized toward IPP and DMAPP (Figure 1). In transgenic tomato fruits, when the content of GPP was increased in the plastids, the cytosol was observed to produce monoterpenes, indicating the export of GPP from plastids to the cytosol (Gutensohn et al., 2013). In addition, we recently introduced a synthetic plant-insect GPPS into Camelina. The overexpression of the synthetic GPPS led to significant reduction of isoprene (a direct product of DMAPP) (Figure 1) but significant increase of amyrin in tissues, implying that more IPP molecules were exported to the cytosol from plastids (Xi et al., 2016).

In summary, A HDR homolog, namely AaHDR1, is cloned from self-pollinated A. annua. The enzyme is localized in plastids. Both overexpression and suppression in A. annua demonstrate that its transcription and protein levels are closely associated with the contents of artemisinin (Figure 4D), arteannuin B and three other sesquiterpenes (Figure 5C), and six monoterpenes (Figure 5B) were increased in the AaHDR1 overexpressing plants. By contrast, the contents of artemisinin (Figure 6E), arteannuin B and four other sesquiterpenes, 10 monoterpenes, phytol, and beta-amyrin (Figures 7B–D) were decreased in the AaHDR1 suppressing (anti-sense) plants. These transgenic data indicate that the in planta expression level of AaHDR1 affects the production of artemisinin and other terpenes. In addition to A. annua, genetic and transgenic studies in other plants have shown that a reduction of HDR level can cause chlorophyll pigmentation alterations. A mutation of HDR in A. thaliana was reported to lead to severe albino phenotypes (Hsieh and Goodman, 2005). Gene silencing of HDR in tobacco was also reported to lead to albino phenotypes of transgenic plants (Page et al., 2004). Those albino phenotypes in both A. thaliana and tobacco plants resulted from the reduced biosynthesis of chlorophyll from the MEP pathway in mutant or gene silencing plants. Taken together, it is apparent that the activity of HDR in the chloroplasts is critical in the control of profiles of downstream metabolites (Figure 1), such as monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, chlorophyll, and others.
FIGURE 6 | Anti-sense of AaHDR1 leading to decrease of artemisinin in leaves of transgenic plants. (A) a cassette scheme shows anti-sense orientation in plasmid PMDC-antiAaHDR1 to down-regulate AaHDR1 expression; (B) phenotypes of two transgenic lines vs. wild-type plants after grown on pot soil for 6 weeks; (C) RT-PCR images show reduced expression levels of AaHDR1 in young leaves of 6-week old transgenic plants; (D) western blot images show decrease of protein level; (E) contents of artemisinin is reduced in two transgenic lines. Bars, which are labeled with different low case letters for each metabolite, mean significant difference (p-values < 0.05), while, bars, which are labeled with the same low case letters, mean insignificant difference. AS-14 and 15, two anti-sense transgenic plants; WT, wild-type.

contents of artemisinin, arteannuin B and other sesquiterpenes, and numerous monoterpenes. All results suggest that the levels of IPP and DMAPP from the AaHDR1 catalysis are critical for metabolic engineering of high artemisinin production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

A self-pollinated A. annua variety was bred from an ecotype collected from USA (Alejos-Gonzalez et al., 2011). Progenies of plants have been grown in the phytotron for seeds as described previously (Alejos-Gonzalez et al., 2011). Wild-type seedlings of the F3 progeny grown on agar-solidified MS medium contained in baby jars in a growth chamber as described previously (Alejos-Gonzalez et al., 2011) were used for genetic transformation and gene expression profiling. Wild-type and transgenic plants grown on soil in the phytotron were used to analyze transgene expression, western blot, artemisinin analysis, and metabolic profiling. The photoperiod in the growth chamber and phytotron was 16/8 h (light/dark). The light intensities in the growth chamber and the phytotron were 50 and 200 µmol/m² s⁻¹, respectively.

Isolation of AaHDR1 cDNA

We recently reported two HDR contigs, AaHDR1 and AaHDR2, assembled from six EST libraries (including leaves and flowers) of the self-pollinated A. annua (Ma et al., 2015). The first strand cDNA libraries remained after sequencing were stored in −80°C freezer and then used to clone genes. Based on EST sequence, we designed a pair of primers: HDR-F (5’-ATG GCG TCT TTG CAG CTA ACA-3’) and HDR-R (5’-CTA CAC CAA TTG CAG GGC CTC-3’). By following our gene cloning protocol reported previously (Ma et al., 2015), RT-PCR was carried out to clone the ORF sequence of AaHDR1.
Effects of the down-regulation of AaHDR1 on non-polar metabolite and terpene profiles in leaf samples of transgenic plants. Non-polar metabolites were extracted from mixed samples of leaf #7-13. (A) A PCA plot shows non-polar metabolite profile differentiation between samples of transgenic and WT plants; (B-D) effects of antisense on β-amyrin and phytol (B), six sesquiterpenes (C), and 10 monoterpenes (D) in leaves of two transgenic lines. Bars, which are labeled with different lowercase letters for each metabolite (a, b, c, d, or e) in B-D, mean significant difference (p-values < 0.05), while, bars, which are labeled with the same lowercase letters, mean insignificant difference. AS-14 and 15, two anti-sense transgenic plants; WT, wild-type.

Analysis of Sequence, Phylogeny, and Sequence Alignment

Deduced amino acid sequences were analyzed using an online ProtParam tool to predict molecular properties. Amino acid sequences were also analyzed using an online TargetP ver. 1.0 software to predict conserved active amino acid residues. The full length nucleotide sequence (1664 pb) of AaHDR1 was used for blastn search at NCBI. The blasting result included 16 homologous sequences with an e-value < 10E-8. All sequences were converted to fasta format for phylogenetic analysis using the online phylogeny.fr program. In addition, amino acids for these homologs were released from NCBI and also converted to fasta format for sequence alignment using an online Cluster Omega program.

Subcellular Localization Analysis

A GATEWAY cloning technique was used to clone the AaHDR1 ORF into pENTY/D-TOPO vector (Gateway, Invitrogen, USA) by following the manufacturer’s protocol. The resulting recombinant plasmid was named pENTY/D-TOPO-HDR. Then, the ORF of AaHDR1 in the pENTY/D-TOPO-HDR vector was subsequently cloned to the destination vector pSITEII-N1-EGFP (with EGFP epitope tagging in the C-terminus) by LR reactions by following the manufacturer’s protocol. The resulting plasmid was named as pSITEII-N1-HDR/EGFP, in which the stop codon of AaHDR1 was removed and ligated to the adjacent place immediately prior to the start codon ATG of EGFP. The new plasmid was then introduced to Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101. A positive colony was obtained using 100 mg/L streptomycin for selection. The positive colony was activated for agroinfiltration of N. benthamiana leaves to analyze transient protein expression by following the protocol reported previously (Martin et al., 2009). After 30 h of infection, leaf tissues were analyzed using a confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss). The GFP fluorescence was excited at 488 nm and observed between 495 and 550 nm as reported (Wang et al., 2015).

Development of Binary Vectors and Genetic Transformation of A. annua

The ORF of AaHDR1 with its terminal codon was cloned to the pENTY/D-TOPO vector (Gateway, Invitrogen) to obtain a recombinant pENTY-AaHDR1 plasmid, which was then introduced to competent cells of E. coli DH5α. Given that pENTY/D-TOPO vector can allow both sense and anti-sense orientation ligation, 10 positive individual colonies were selected to isolate plasmids for sequencing to identify sense or anti-sense insertion orientations of the AaHDR1 ORF. Sequencing showed that eight colonies contained a sense orientation of the ORF cDNA, namely pENTR-AaHDR1 and two colonies contained an anti-sense orientation the ORF cDNA, namely pENTR-antiAaHDR1. The destination vector used for development of our binary vectors was PMDC-84 (Curtis and Grossniklaus, 2003). The pENTR-AaHDR1 and pENTR-antiAaHDR1 and the
destination vector PMDC-84 were digested by LR Clonase II enzyme mix (Invitrogen) by following the manufacturer’s protocol. This reaction generated recombinant binary vectors, PMDC-AaHDR1 (Figure 4A) (from PMDC-84 and pENTR-AaHDR1) and PMDC-antiAaHDR1 (from PMDC-84 and pENTR-antiAaHDR1) (Figure 6A), in which the sense and antisense orientations of AaHDR1 ORF were driven by a 2 × 35S promoter.

The overexpression and anti-sense constructs, PMDC-AaHDR1 (Figure 4A) and PMDC-antiAaHDR1 (Figure 6A), were introduced to A. thaliana strain LBA4404 for genetic transformation of A. annua as described previously (Xie et al., 2004; Ma et al., 2015). In summary, seeds were sterilized and germinated on an agar-solidified basal MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) and leaves at the 5, 6, and 7 nodes of one and a half month old seedlings were used as explants for infection of Agrobacterium. The infected leaf disks were transferred onto an agar-solidified selection medium, which was composed of basal MS medium, 1.0 mg/L 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP), 0.05 mg/L naphthalene-1-acetic acid (NAA), 20 mg/L hygromycin, and 200 mg/L timentin. Survival infected leaf disks were transferred to freshly prepared selection medium every 10 days of interval (subculture). After approximately 50 days of selection, multiple hygromycin-resistant adventitious shoots were obtained, excised from calli, and then inoculated on a rooting medium (half-strength MS, 0.5 mg/L NAA, 20 mg/L hygromycin, and 200 mg/L timentin). Hygromycin-resistant adventitious shoots started to grow roots to develop into plantlets after 1–2 weeks of induction. Regenerated plantlets were planted on pot soil and grown in the phytotron by following our growth protocol as described above. The transgenic plant growth in the phytotron was observed carefully to compare their development. Those transgenic plants that grew at similar developmental stage to wild-type plants (Figures 4A and 6B) were used for transgene expression, western blot, and metabolite analysis described below.

**Sampling for RT-PCR, GC–MS, and LC–MS Analyses**

For AaHDR1 expression profile analysis in wild-type plants, 8-week old seedlings grown in baby jars were used to collect roots, leaves, and stems, while 10-week old plants grown in the phytotron were used to collect open flowers, flower buds, and leaves. Three biological replicates were collected for each tissue. For transgene expression and western blot analysis, young leaves were collected from 6-week old transgenic vs. wild-type plants grown on pot soil in the phytotron. For GC–MS, and LC–MS analysis, leaves were collected 8-week old overexpression lines and 9-week old anti-sense expression lines (Supplementary Figure S3). For each plant, leaves were collected from nodes #7-13 of and then pooled together. All samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in 80°C freezer until use.

**Western Blot Analysis**

Polypeptides consisting of CIDGGEKWQFDVVDKKG were designed for preparing polyclonal antibody. This peptide was synthesized and then used to develop polyclonal antibody at the GenScript Company (Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA). Total protein extraction from leaves and immunoblot analysis were performed by following a method previously reported for deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate synthase analysis (Estevez et al., 2001). In brief, total protein was extracted from the leaves of A. annua, separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membrane, and probed with rabbit anti-AaHDR1 antibodies. An anti-rabbit IgG HPR conjugate was used as a second antibody (Promega, USA). The enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) system was used for detection in the immunoblot analysis (Thermos Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA).

**Extraction of Metabolites and GC–MS Analysis**

We developed a protocol to extract and profile non-polar metabolites from tissues of A. annua (Ma et al., 2015). In brief, hexane was used to extract non-polar metabolites from mixed
samples of leaves on nodes #7-13. Three replicates were prepared for each transgenic line (anti-sense and overexpression) and wild-type plants. GC–MS was performed using a gas chromatograph 6890 coupled with 5975C MSD (Agilent Technologies, USA). A RTX-5 capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm) was used to separate metabolites. The splitless mode was used in the inlet. The injection temperature was set at 250°C. The temperature was initially set at 60°C and then ramped to 260°C at a constant rate of 10°C/min, and held at 260°C for 25 min. Pure helium was used as the carrier gas, with a flow rate of 1 ml/min. A positive electron impact ion source (70 EV) was used to ionize compounds, and mass fragments were scanned in the range of 40–800 (m/z), with 4 min of solvent delay.

Deconvolution of Metabolite Peaks and Statistical Analysis
We have developed a method to use an Agilent MassHunter Mass Profiler (MHMP) and Mass Profiler Professional (MPP) software to deconvolute peaks detected by GC–MS (Ma et al., 2015). This software has been used for characterizing metabolic profiles (Chen et al., 2012; Chan et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2013; Bannur et al., 2014). The fold-change for all metabolites was obtained using log 2 values, which were calculated values that resulted from the transformation of original chromatographic peak accounts compared with each metabolite median value. The median value of each metabolite was obtained from four biological samples analyzed. The peak value of each metabolite was compared with the median value for log 2 normalization. Then, normalized data were used for PCA.

HPLC–MS Analysis of Artemisinin
We have developed a HPLC–MS protocol for artemisinin analysis on a 2010 eV LC/UV/ESI/MS instrument (Shimadzu) (Alejos-Gonzalez et al., 2011, 2013). Mixed samples of leaves on nodes #7-13 from transgenic vs. wild-type plants were stored in freezer contents. Three replicates were carried out for each transgenic line and wild-type plants.
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