Complex Regional Pain Syndrome of Non-hemiplegic Upper Limb in a Stroke Patient: A Case Report
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INTRODUCTION

Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) is a syndrome showing regional pain accompanied with vasomotor, sudomotor, sensory, motor, and/or trophic changes. It is a not a rare complication in stroke patients. It is usually known to affect the hemiplegic upper limb (UL). However, to the best of our knowledge, there has been no report of CRPS type I (CRPS-1) in the non-hemiplegic limb of stroke patients. Here we report a case of CRPS-1 in the non-hemiplegic UL after a left middle cerebral artery infarction.

CASE REPORT

A 72-year-old right-handed woman complained sudden right sided weakness and aphasia. She was diagnosed with an infarction of the left middle cerebral arterial territory (Fig. 1A) and treated with intravenous tissue plasminogen activators. A few hours later, the infarction
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had undergone hemorrhagic transformation, resulting in midline shifting (Fig. 1B). As the midline shift secondary to mass effect and brain swelling progressed, the patient received decompressive craniectomy on the next day.

Her past medical history included hypertension and atrial fibrillation for which she was taking medication including aspirin. She did not have history of prior cerebrovascular attack, head injury, or trauma.

At 2 months after onset, she was referred to receive rehabilitation for her right sided flaccid hemiplegia, cognitive impairment, and global aphasia. She was bedridden and unable to follow 1-step command. Her right limbs were flaccid. However, her left limbs showed spontaneous movements. They were able to move against gravity. Spasticity of the left elbow flexor muscles was grade 2 according to the Modified Ashworth Scale.

Her left UL showed sustained contraction, resulting in a dystonic posture with a flexed elbow, wrist, and fingers (Fig. 2). The patient’s frown face suggested pain on her left UL when it passively moved. Painful ranges of motion (ROM) and hyperalgesia of the left hand, wrist, and shoulder areas were present. Her left hand was swollen mildly without trophic changes. She had no definite history of trauma or nerve injury in her ipsilesional UL. All blood tests for infections, deep vein thrombosis, liver, and renal disease were within normal ranges. There were no epileptiform discharges on electroencephalography or any structural lesion of the contralesional right cerebral hemisphere. These clinical findings were sufficient to fulfill the revised International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) diagnostic criteria (also called the Budapest criteria suggested by the Budapest IASP consensus group in 2003 [1]) for CRPS. After CRPS was clinically suspected, a three-phase bone scintigraphy was performed, resulting in higher perfusion and blood pools in the left UL than those in the right (Fig. 3A, 3B). Delayed images showed diffusely increased radionuclide uptakes in the left UL (Fig. 3C). These findings were compatible with CRPS.

To investigate underlying neuroanatomical mechanisms, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) was performed using a 3.0-T magnetic resonance imaging (MAGNETOM Trio a Tim system; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany)
to evaluate corticospinal tract (CST) and spinothalamic tract (STT)—parameters: matrix=128x128, field of view=230.4x230.4 mm², voxel size (x,y,z)=1.8x1.8x2 mm³, TE=89 ms, TR=9,100 ms, b=1,000 s/mm², slice thickness=2.3 mm, flip angle=90°, and directions=20. Fiber tracking of CST and STT was done using DTI Studio software (V3.0.2; free software from the Department of Radiology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA) (minimum fractional anisotropy=0.1, and tract-turning angle=70°). CST or STT was not visualized using two regions of interest (ROIs) method (CST, the anterior mid-pons and the primary sensorimotor cortex; STT, the posterolateral medulla and the primary sensory cortex). Additional tract reconstruction was performed manually placing one seed ROI on the anterior mid-pons and the posterolateral medulla for CST and STT, respectively. Fiber numbers of CST and STT were significantly decreased in both unaffected and affected hemispheres (Table 1, Fig. 4).

Oral steroids were used 50 mg/day for 7 days. They were then tapered out over 9 days. Passive ROM exercise was applied gently on her left UL. Limited ROM, swelling, and pain in the left UL improved after the treatment.

DISCUSSION

Our case was diagnosed as CRPS-1 in a non-hemiplegic UL after an ischemic stroke. There has been no such report to the best of our knowledge. The pathophysiology of CRPS is not well known yet. Inflammation, nociceptive sensitization, vasomotor dysfunction, and maladaptive neuroplasticity have been proposed [2]. Although the central mechanism of post-stroke CRPS is still unclear, microtraumas to the hemiplegic shoulder and deterioration of biomechanical factors might play significant roles in the genesis of CRPS in stroke patients [3]. Although major injuries were not reported in our case, minor soft tissue or peripheral nerve trauma might have resulted from unrecognized shoulder traction or nerve compres-

Table 1. Parameters of diffusion tensor tractography of CST and STT in each hemisphere

| CST                  | STT                  | Left (affected) | Right (unaffected) | Left (affected) | Right (unaffected) |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Number of fibers     | 12                   | 18              | 144               | 54              |
| FA                   | 0.5315               | 0.6189          | 0.4597            | 0.4938          |
| AD                   | 1.083                | 1.113           | 1.630             | 1.546           |
| RD                   | 0.491                | 0.410           | 0.849             | 0.753           |
| MD                   | 0.688                | 0.644           | 1.109             | 1.017           |

CST, corticospinal tract; STT, spinothalamic tract; FA, fractional anisotropy; AD, axial diffusivity (10⁻³ mm²/s); RD, radial diffusivity (10⁻³ mm²/s); MD, mean diffusivity (10⁻⁷ mm²/s).
The patient’s severe right hemiplegia and poor cooperation due to global aphasia might have led to repeated minor traumas unrecognized by caregivers or clinicians.

Neuroplastic change of the central nervous system is also suggested as a possible pathophysiologic mechanism of CRPS. It includes supraspinal involvement and spinal neuronal sensitization characterized by hyperexcitability of excitatory neurons and disinhibition of nociceptive neurons [2]. Functional neuroimaging studies have demonstrated that maladaptive cortical reorganization and dysfunctions of primary motor and somatosensory cortices are associated with CRPS [4]. Some studies have suggested that subcortical structures may also contribute to clinical presentation of CRPS. A previous study has shown that CRPS patients show reorganizations of white matter connectivity with reduced fractional anisotropy in the left cingulum-callosal bundle [5]. Another study has suggested that basal ganglia dysfunctions might be a possible pathophysiologic cause of CRPS [6]. One study has found that an absent median somatosensory evoked potential is a primary predictor of post-stroke CRPS [7]. Therefore, it has been proposed that the somatosensory pathway is involved in the genesis of CRPS [7]. In our case, diffusion tensor tractography showed markedly decreased fiber numbers of CST and STT not only in the affected hemisphere, but also in the unaffected left hemisphere. This might be due to hemorrhagic transformation of the infarction which causes midline shifting with subfalcine herniation [8]. No known study has successfully investigated the association between an injury of CST or STT and CRPS in stroke patient, although some studies have proposed that injury of the STT is a prerequisite for post-stroke central pain [9]. Considering that CRPS and...
central pain after stroke show considerable overlapping clinical presentations, injury of STT or CST might play some roles in the development of CRPS.

Considering the role of central nervous system in the pathogenesis of CRPS [2,4-7] and the hypothesis that peripheral lesion might initiate a vicious cycle of pain in some post-stroke CRPS patients [10], destructive changes of the motor and sensory system in the contralesional hemisphere might be the underlying neuroanatomical mechanism in our case. Unrecognized minor peripheral lesions of the non-hemiplegic UL might trigger ipsilateral CRPS-1.

In summary, we report a rare case of CRPS-1 in an unaffected upper extremity. CRPS was easily diagnosed by a three-phase bone scan in addition to clinical symptoms and signs. The patient showed very good response to oral corticosteroid therapy. Therefore, CRPS should be taken into consideration if a stroke patient complains severe regional pain accompanied by vasomotor or sudomotor symptoms in an unaffected limb.
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