Arithmetically defined dense subgroups of Morava stabilizer groups

Niko Naumann

Abstract

For every prime $p$ and integer $n \geq 3$ we explicitly construct an abelian variety $A/F_p^n$ of dimension $n$ such that for a suitable prime $l$ the group of quasi-isogenies of $A/F_p^n$ of $l$-power degree is canonically a dense subgroup of the $n$-th Morava stabilizer group at $p$. We also give a variant of this result taking into account a polarization. This is motivated by the recent construction of topological automorphic forms which generalizes topological modular forms [BL1]. For this, we prove some arithmetic results of independent interest: a result about approximation of local units in maximal orders of global skew-fields which also gives a precise solution to the problem of extending automorphisms of the $p$-divisible group of a simple abelian variety over a finite field to quasi-isogenies of the abelian variety of degree divisible by as few primes as possible.

1. Introduction

One of the most fruitful ways of studying the stable homotopy category is the chromatic approach: after localizing, in the sense of Bousfield, at a prime $p$, one is left with an infinite hierarchy of primes corresponding to the Morava $K$-theories $K(n)$, $n \geq 0$, see [R2]. The successive layers in the resulting filtration are the $K(n)$-local categories [HS] the structure of which is governed to a large extend by (the continuous cohomology of) the $n$-th Morava stabilizer group $S_n$, i.e. the automorphism group of the one-dimensional commutative formal group of height $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. A fundamental problem in this context is to generalize the fibration

$$L_{K(1)}S^0 \to E_1^{hf} \to E_1^{hf},$$

c.f. the introduction of [GHMR], to a resolution of the $K(n)$-local sphere for $n \geq 2$. Substantial progress on this problem for $n = 2$ and in many other cases as well has been achieved by clever use of homological algebra for $S_n$-modules [GHMR], [H]. Recently, pursuing a question of M. Mahowald and C. Rezk, M. Behrens was able to give a modular interpretation of one such resolution in the case $n = 2$ [B].

A basic observation is that $S_2$ is the automorphism group of the $p$-divisible group of a super-singular elliptic curve $E$ over a finite field $k$. Hence it seemed plausible, and was established in loc. cit., that the morphisms in a resolution of a spectrum closely related to $L_{K(2)}S^0$ should have a description in terms of suitable endomorphisms of $E$. A key result for seeing this was to observe that for suitable
primes $l$

(1) \[
\left( \text{End}_k(E) \left[ \frac{1}{l} \right] \right)^* \subseteq S_2
\]

is a dense subgroup [BL2, Theorem 0.1].

One of our main results, Theorem 25, is the direct generalization of (1) to arbitrary chromatic level $n \geq 3$ in which $E$ is replaced by an abelian variety of dimension $n$ which is known to be the minimal dimension possible.

In Corollary 21 we give a variant of the arithmetic result underlying Theorem 25 in which on the left-hand-side of (1) we only allow endomorphisms which are unitary with respect to a given Rosati-involution. The motivation for this stems from recent work of M. Behrens and T. Lawson [BL1] bringing the arithmetic of suitable (derived) Shimura varieties to bear on homotopy theoretic problems of arbitrary chromatic level, generalizing the role of topological modular forms for problems of chromatic level at most two, c.f. [BL1, Theorem 15.2.1].

This paper is organized as follows.

In subsection 2.1 we record a well-known result about generically trivial torsors for later reference.

In subsection 2.2 we determine the structure of certain naturally occurring integral models for forms of $SL_d$, see Theorems 2 and 7.

As a first application, in section 3, we consider the problem of approximating local units of maximal orders in finite-dimensional skew-fields over $\mathbb{Q}$ (carrying a positive involution of the second kind) by global (unitary) units with as few denominators as possible. This is naturally an approximation problem for specific integral models of the general linear (certain unitary) group(s) and will be reduced to a similar problem for $G_m$ (a specific integral model $T'$ of a one-dimensional anisotropic torus) in Theorems 9 and 14.

In section 4 we can solve the approximation problem for $G_m$ using class field theory and settle a special case for $T'$, see Theorems 17 and 19.

In subsection 5.1 we explain the application of the results obtained so far to the following problem: given a simple abelian variety $A$ over a finite field one would like to extend an automorphism of the $p$-divisible group $A[p^\infty]$ of $A$ to a quasi-isogeny of $A$ the degree of which should be divisible by as few primes as possible. Subsection 5.2 contains the proof of Theorem 25 reviewed above.
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2. Arithmetic

2.1 Triviality of torsors

The following result is well-known but we wish to state it in the form most suitable for later references.

**Proposition 1.** Let \( k \) be a number-field with ring of integers \( \mathcal{O}_k \), \( \emptyset \neq U \subseteq \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k) \) an open sub-scheme and \( G/U \) an affine smooth group-scheme. Assume that \( G/U \) has connected fibers, that the generic fiber \( G_k = G \times_U \text{Spec}(k) \) is \( k \)-simple, semi-simple and simply-connected and that there is a place \( v \) of \( k \) outside \( U \) such that \( G \) is isotropic at \( v \).

Then the canonical map of pointed sets

\[
H^1(U, G) \to H^1(\text{Spec}(k), G)
\]

has trivial kernel.

**Proof.** We use a result of A. Nisnevich, see [Gi, Théorème 5.1]. Since \( G/U \) has connected fibers we have \( H^1_{fppf}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_x, G) = 0 \) in the notation of loc. cit. It is thus sufficient to see that for every finite set \( \Sigma \) of closed points of \( U \) we have

\[
(2) \quad \left| \prod_{p \in \Sigma} G(\mathcal{O}_{k,p}) \setminus G(k_p) \right| / G(U - \Sigma) \mid = 1.
\]

Here \( \mathcal{O}_{k,p} \) is the completion of \( \mathcal{O}_k \) at \( p \) and \( k_p \) is the field of fractions of \( \mathcal{O}_{k,p} \). The proof of (2) using strong approximation is very similar to the proof of Proposition 11 and is therefore left to the reader. \( \square \)

2.2 The geometry of some groups

In this subsection we consider forms of \( \text{Sl}_d \). These can be described in terms of skew-fields (with involution). The choice of a maximal order in the skew-field determines an integral model of the algebraic group and we will study the geometry of these group-schemes. The referee points out that some of these results, notably Theorem 2 and Theorem 7, part ii) are part of Bruhat-Tits theory, c.f. [BT2, §5] and [BT1, 4.6.32].

2.2.1 Type \( A_{d-1} \)

Let \( D \) be a finite dimensional skew-field over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and \( \mathcal{O} \subseteq D \) a maximal order [D Kapitel VI]. The center of \( D \), denoted \( k \), is a number field and we denote by \( d \) the reduced dimension of \( D \), i.e. \( \text{dim}_k D = d^2 \). We denote by \( \mathcal{O}_k \subseteq k \) the ring of integers and note that \( k \cap \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_k \) as an immediate consequence of [D Kapitel VI, §11, Satz 7].

Recall that \( D \) is determined by its local invariants as follows [PR, Section 1.5.1]. Writing \( \Sigma_k \) for the set of places of \( k \), for every \( v \in \Sigma_k \) there is a local invariant \( \text{inv}_v(D) \in \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \) and \( \text{inv}_v(D) = 0 \) for almost all \( v \). For a given place \( v \), we denote by \( k_v \) the completion of \( k \) with respect to \( v \). Then \( D_v := D \otimes_k k_v \) is a central simple \( k_v \)-algebra which determines a class \( [D_v] \in \text{Br}(k_v) \) in the Brauer group of \( k_v \). There are specific isomorphisms.
such that \( \text{inv}_v(D) = \tau_v([D_v]) \). Note that for every \( v \in \Sigma_k, D_v \) is a skew-field if and only if the order of \( \text{inv}_v(D) \) is \( d \).

The group-valued functor \( G \) on \( \mathcal{O}_k \)-algebras

\[
G(R) := (\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_k} R)^*
\]

is representable by an affine group scheme of finite type \( G/\text{Spec}(<\mathcal{O}_k>) \). The reduced norm induces a morphism of group-schemes \( N : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \) over \( \text{Spec}(<\mathcal{O}_k>) \) and writing \( G' := \ker(N) \) gives an exact sequence of representable \( fppf \)-sheaves on \( \text{Spec}(<\mathcal{O}_k>) \)

\[
(3) \quad 1 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1.
\]

To see that \( N \) is \( fppf \)-surjective, note that the inclusion \( R^* \subseteq (\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_k} R)^* \) defines a closed immersion \( i: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G \) such that \( N \circ i \) is multiplication by \( d \) as can be checked on the generic fiber.

THEOREM 2. The groups \( G \) and \( G' \) are smooth with connected fibers over \( \text{Spec}(<\mathcal{O}_k>) \).

For the proof, we will need the following which might be compared with [SGA III 1, Exposé VI B, Proposition 9.2 (xi)]

PROPOSITION 3. Let \( S \) be a scheme, \( G, H/S \) group-schemes of finite presentation with affine fibers and \( G/S \) flat and let \( \phi : G \rightarrow H \) be a morphism of \( S \)-group-schemes. Then the following are equivalent and imply that \( H/S \) is flat:

i) \( \phi \) is faithfully flat.

ii) \( \phi \) is an epimorphism of \( fppf \)-sheaves.

iii) For every geometric point \( \text{Spec}(\Omega) \rightarrow S \), \( \phi_\Omega \) is an epimorphism of \( fppf \)-sheaves.

Proof. Since \( \phi \) is of finite presentation, the implications i)\( \Rightarrow \)ii)\( \Rightarrow \)iii) are trivial, so assume that iii) holds true. Then, for every geometric point \( \text{Spec}(\Omega) \rightarrow S \), the morphism of \( \Omega \)-Hopf algebras corresponding to \( \phi_\Omega \) is injective, this follows from the existence of a \( fppf \)-local section of \( \phi_\Omega \), and thus faithfully flat [Wa2, Theorem 4.1]. This shows that \( \phi \) is surjective and the fiber-wise criterion for flatness [EGA IV 3, Corollaire 11.3.11, a) \( \Rightarrow \) b)] implies that \( \phi \) and \( H/S \) are flat. \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 2. To see that \( G/\text{Spec}(<\mathcal{O}_k>) \) is smooth we use the lifting criterion [EGA IV 3, Remarques 17.1.2,i) et 17.5.4]: if \( A \rightarrow A/I \) is the quotient of an Artinian \( \mathcal{O}_k \)-algebra \( A \) by an ideal \( I \subseteq A \) of square zero, the surjectivity of \( G(A) \rightarrow G(A/I) \) is clear from the definition of \( G \), hence \( G/\text{Spec}(<\mathcal{O}_k>) \) is smooth. By Proposition 3, ii)\( \Rightarrow \)i), \( N : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \) is (faithfully) flat, hence so is its base change \( G'/\text{Spec}(<\mathcal{O}_k>) \).

We shall now show that all geometric fibers of \( G \) (resp. \( G' \)) are smooth and connected of dimension \( d^2 \) (resp. \( d^2 - 1 \)). This will also imply that \( G'/\text{Spec}(<\mathcal{O}_k>) \) is smooth by the fiber-wise criterion [EGA IV 3, Théorème 17.5.1] and thus conclude the proof.

Geometric fibers of \( G \) (resp. \( G' \)) in characteristic zero are isomorphic to \( \text{Gl}_d \) (resp. \( \text{Sl}_d \)). Let \( 0 \neq p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k \) be a prime, \( \kappa := \mathcal{O}_k/p \) and \( \bar{\kappa} \) be an algebraic closure of \( \kappa \). We have \( D_p \simeq M_n(\mathcal{D}) \) for a
central skew-field $\mathcal{D}$ over $k_p$. Denoting by $r$ the reduced dimension of $\mathcal{D}$, we have $d = nr$. Since $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}_k \subseteq \mathcal{D}_p$ is a maximal order \cite[Corollary 11.2]{Re}, we have $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}_k \simeq M_n(\mathcal{O}_D)$ as $\mathcal{O}_k$-algebras by \cite[Theorem 17.3]{Re} where $\mathcal{O}_D \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ is the unique maximal order \cite[Theorem 12.8]{Re}.

Let $\Pi \in \mathcal{O}_D$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_k$ be uniformizers. Then $\mathcal{A} := (\mathcal{O}_D/\pi \mathcal{O}_D) \otimes \kappa$ is a $\kappa$-algebra with radical $\mathcal{R} = (\Pi \mathcal{O}_D/\pi \mathcal{O}_D) \otimes \kappa$ and maximal semi-simple quotient $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R} \simeq \kappa^r$. Since $G_\kappa = \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{A})$, we have an extension

$$1 \rightarrow U \rightarrow G_\kappa \rightarrow (\text{GL}_n(\kappa))^r \rightarrow 1$$

where $U$ is a unipotent group of dimension $n^2(r-1)r$ (recall that $\pi \mathcal{O}_D = \Pi^r \mathcal{O}_D$ and $(\Pi^r \mathcal{O}_D/\Pi^{r+1} \mathcal{O}_D) \otimes \kappa \simeq \kappa^r$). So $G_\kappa$ is connected and smooth of dimension $n^2r + n^2(r-1)r = d^2$.

Since the reduced norm $N_{\kappa} : G_\kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}$ is trivial on $U$ it factors over some $\alpha : (\text{GL}_n(\kappa))^r \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}$. We have $\alpha(g_1, \ldots, g_r) = \prod_{i=1}^r \det(g_i)$ as an immediate consequence of \cite[Lemma 3.8]{K}. This exhibits $G_\kappa^r$ as an extension of $V := \ker(\alpha)$ by $U$. We can factor $\alpha = \beta \circ \gamma$ with $\gamma : (\text{GL}_n(\kappa))^r \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}$, $\gamma(g_1, \ldots, g_r) := (\det(g_i))_i$ and $\beta : \mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}$, $\beta(x_1, \ldots, x_r) := x_1 \ldots x_r$ and thus obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \text{SL}_n(\kappa) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_n(\kappa) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}^r \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}^r \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\
1 & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
$$

with $T := \ker(\beta)$. Looking at character groups for example, one sees that $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,\kappa}^{r-1}$ and hence $V$ is connected and smooth of dimension $\dim(T) + \dim(\text{SL}_n(\kappa)) = n^2r - 1$ and $G_\kappa^r$ is connected and smooth of dimension $\dim(V) + \dim(U) = d^2 - 1$.

\[\square\]

**Remark 4.** The maximal locus inside $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ over which $G$ (resp. $G'$) is reductive (resp. semi-simple) is obtained by inverting the discriminant of $D$, i.e. by removing all $p \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ such that $\text{inv}_p(D) \neq 0$.

### 2.2.2 Type $2A_{d-1}$

Let $D$ be a finite-dimensional skew-field of reduced dimension $d$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ carrying a positive involution of the second kind $*$, i.e. for all $x \in D^*$ we have $\text{tr}_D^D(*xx) > 0$ (positivity) and $*$ restricted to the center $L$ of $D$ is non-trivial. Then $L$ is a CM-field with $k := \{x \in L \mid x = ^* x\} \subseteq L$ as its maximal real subfield \cite[page 194]{Min}. Note that $*$ is $k$-linear. We assume that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq D$ is a maximal order which is invariant under $*$. The existence of such an order is claimed without proof in \cite[7.1.1]{HI}. Then $\mathcal{O} \cap L = \mathcal{O}_L$ and $\mathcal{O} \cap k = \mathcal{O}_k$ are the rings of integers of $L$ and $k$. We consider the affine
group-schemes of finite type $U$ and $T$ over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ whose groups of points are given for every $\mathcal{O}_k$-algebra $R$ by

$$U(R) = \{g \in (\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_k} R)^* | \gamma g = 1\} \text{ and } T(R) = \{g \in (\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_k} R)^* | N_k^L(g) = 1\}.$$ 

There is a homomorphism $N : U \to T$ over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ given on points by the reduced norm of $D$ and we put $SU := \ker(N)$. Over $\text{Spec}(k)$ we have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow SU_1(D,1) = SU \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)} \text{Spec}(k) \longrightarrow U_1(D,1) = U \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)} \text{Spec}(k) \xrightarrow{N_k} \text{Res}_k^L(G_{m,L})^{(1)} \longrightarrow 1,$$

where "1" denotes the standard rank one Hermitian form on $D$ and

$$\text{Res}_k^L(G_{m,L})^{(1)} := \ker(\text{Res}_k^L(G_{m,L}) \xrightarrow{N_k} G_{m,k})$$

is a one-dimensional anisotropic torus over $k$; c.f. [PR, Section 2.3] for notation and general background on unitary groups.

We first study the integral model $T/\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ of $\text{Res}_k^L(G_{m,L})^{(1)}$. We define the open subscheme $U \subseteq \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ by

$$U := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k) - \{0 \neq p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k \text{ is a prime of residue characteristic } 2 \text{ and ramified in } L/k\}.$$ 

The following result makes [CTS, Proposition 5.2] slightly more precise in the present special case.

**Proposition 5.** $T/\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ is an affine flat group-scheme such that $T_k \simeq \text{Res}_k^L(G_{m,L})^{(1)}$. For a prime $0 \neq p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$ we have

$$T_{k}(p) \simeq \begin{cases} G_{m,k}(p) & \text{if } p \text{ splits in } L/k, \\ \text{Res}_n^{\kappa(p)(2)}(G_{m,k}(p)/(\kappa(p)))^{(1)} & \text{if } p \text{ is inert in } L/k, \\ G_{\alpha,k}(p) \times \mu_2(k(p)) & \text{if } p \text{ is ramified in } L/k. \end{cases}$$

In particular, the maximal locus inside $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ over which $T$ is smooth equals $U$.

Here, $\kappa(p) := \mathcal{O}_k/p$ and $\kappa(p)^{\text{(2)}}$ is the unique quadratic extension of $\kappa(p)$.

**Proof.** We know that $\text{Res}^{\mathcal{O}_k^L}_{\mathcal{O}_k^L}(G_{m,\mathcal{O}_L})/\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ is an affine and smooth group-scheme from [BLR, 7.6, proof of Theorem 4 and Proposition 5, h)]. There is an obvious subgroup $i : G_{m,\mathcal{O}_k} \hookrightarrow \text{Res}^{\mathcal{O}_k^L}_{\mathcal{O}_k^L}(G_{m,\mathcal{O}_L})$ such that $N_k^L \circ i$ is multiplication by 2, hence $N_k^L : \text{Res}^{\mathcal{O}_k^L}_{\mathcal{O}_k^L}(G_{m,\mathcal{O}_L}) \to G_{m,\mathcal{O}_k}$ is an $ppf$-epimorphism and the first assertion follows from Proposition 3 since by definition $T = \ker(N_k^L)$. Since restriction commutes with base change, for every $\mathcal{O}_k$-algebra $R$ we have

$$T_R = \ker(\text{Res}^{\mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathcal{O}_k}_R(G_{m,R}) \to G_{m,R})$$

which makes the assertions concerning the generic fiber and the fibers over split and inert primes obvious.

For ramified $p$ we have $\mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathcal{O}_k \kappa(p) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{L,q}/q^2 \mathcal{O}_{L,q}$ for $q$ the unique prime of $\mathcal{O}_L$ lying above $p$. There exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{L,q}$ with $\mathcal{O}_{L,q} = \mathcal{O}_{k,p}[\alpha]$ and $\alpha$ satisfies an Eisenstein polynomial $x^2 - ax + b \in \mathcal{O}_{k,p}[x]$. Since $a \in p\mathcal{O}_{k,p} \subseteq q^2 \mathcal{O}_{L,q}$, the non trivial automorphism $\sigma$ of $\mathcal{O}_{L,q}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{k,p}$ satisfies
\[ \sigma(\alpha) = a - \alpha \equiv -\alpha \text{ in } O_{L,q}/q^2O_{L,q}. \]

As \( O_{L,q}/q^2O_{L,q} \simeq \kappa(p)[\alpha]/(\alpha^2) \) we conclude that for every \( \kappa(p) \)-algebra \( R \)

\[ T_{\kappa(p)}(R) \simeq \{ x + y\alpha \in (R[\alpha]/(\alpha^2))^* \mid 1 = (x + y\alpha)\sigma(x + y\alpha) = (x + y\alpha)(x - y\alpha) = x^2 \} \]

and we have an exact sequence

\[ 1 \longrightarrow G_{a,\kappa(p)}(R) \longrightarrow T_{\kappa(p)}(R) \longrightarrow \mu_{2,\kappa(p)}(R) \longrightarrow 1. \]

which is split by \( x \mapsto x + 0\alpha. \)

We will need the following.

**Proposition 6.** Let \( k \) be a commutative ring, \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) \( k \)-algebras and \( \tau \) an involution on \( B := B_1 \times B_2 \) such that \( \tau(x,y) = (y,x) \) for all \( x, y \in k \). Then there is an isomorphism of \( k \)-algebras with involution

\[ (B, \tau) \simeq (B_1 \times B_2^{opp}, (x,y) \mapsto (y,x)). \]

**Proof.** The proof of [KNRT Proposition 2.14] carries over without any change. 

Now let \( C \) be the set of non-zero primes \( p \in \mathcal{U} \) such that \( U_{\kappa(p)} \) is an extension of a symplectic group. We will see during the proof of Theorem 7 that this set only contains primes which are ramified in \( L/k \). Let \( T' \subseteq T \) be the open subscheme obtained by removing from \( T \) the non-identity component of \( T_{\kappa(p)} \) for all \( p \in C \), c.f. Proposition 5. Clearly, \( T' \subseteq T \) is a subgroup-scheme.

**Theorem 7.** i) The morphism \( N_{U} : U_{U} \longrightarrow T_{U} \) factors through \( T'_{U} \subseteq T_{U} \) and the resulting sequence of fppf-sheaves on \( U \)

\[ 1 \longrightarrow SU_{U} \longrightarrow U_{U} \xrightarrow{N_{U}} T'_{U} \longrightarrow 1 \]

is exact.

ii) The group \( U/U \) (resp. \( SU/U \)) is smooth (resp. smooth with connected fibers).

**Remark 8.** It is easy to give examples of the situation in Theorem 7 in which \( C \neq \emptyset \), i.e. \( T' \neq T \). From case 3’2) in the proof of Theorem 7 it will however be clear that \( C = \emptyset \) if \( d \) is odd.

**Proof.** Fix \( p \in \mathcal{U} \). We will study the local groups

\[ U_p := U \times_{\text{Spec}(O_k)} \text{Spec}(O_{k,p}) \text{ and } \]

\[ SU_p := SU \times_{\text{Spec}(O_k)} \text{Spec}(O_{k,p}) \]
over Spec($\mathcal{O}_{k,p}$). For this, we need to understand the $\mathcal{O}_{k,p}$-algebra with involution

$$\mathcal{O}_p := \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_k} \mathcal{O}_{k,p}.$$ 

We distinguish three cases:

1) $p$ is inert in $L/k$: For the unique prime $q \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$ lying above $p$ we have $\text{inv}_q(D) = 0$ [Mu (B) on page 199] and

$$\mathcal{O}_p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{k,p}} k_p \simeq D \otimes_L L_q \simeq M_d(L_q)$$

is a maximal order, hence $\mathcal{O}_p \simeq M_d(\mathcal{O}_{L,q})$ as $\mathcal{O}_{k,p}$-algebras. Denote by $\sigma$ the non-trivial automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{L,q}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{k,p}$. We obtain for every $\mathcal{O}_{L,q}$-algebra $R$:

$$U_p \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k,p})} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{L,q})(R) = U_p(R) \simeq \{ g \in M_d(\mathcal{O}_{L,q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{k,p} R) \mid ^* g g = 1 \}.$$

Since $p$ is unramified we have $\mathcal{O}_{L,q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{k,p} \mathcal{O}_{L,q} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{L,q} \times \mathcal{O}_{L,q}$ and under this isomorphism $(\sigma \otimes 1)$ switches the factors. Since by Proposition 6 we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{L,q}$-algebras with involution

$$(M_d(\mathcal{O}_{L,q}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{k,p}} \mathcal{O}_{L,q}, ^*) \simeq (M_d(\mathcal{O}_{L,q}) \times M_d(\mathcal{O}_{L,q})^{\text{opp}}, (x,y) \mapsto (y,x)),$$

where $^t$ denotes the transpose of a matrix, we find that

$$U_p(R) \simeq \{(x,y) \in M_d(R \times R) \simeq M_d(R) \times M_d(R) \mid (\cdot ^t y, \cdot ^t x)(x,y) = 1 \} \simeq \text{Gl}_d(R).$$

We have thus shown that $U_p \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k,p})} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{L,q}) \simeq \text{Gl}_d \mathcal{O}_{L,q}$. By decent, we conclude that $U_p/\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k,p})$ is smooth. Furthermore, the special fiber $U_{\kappa(p)} := U_p \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k,p})} \text{Spec}(\kappa(p))$ is a $\kappa(p)/\kappa(p)$- form of $\text{Gl}_{d,\kappa(p)}$ and since $H^1(\text{Spec}(\kappa(p)), \text{PGL}_d) = 1$ we have $U_{\kappa(p)} \simeq \text{Gl}_{d,\kappa(p)}$.

2) $p$ splits in $L/k$: In this case we have

$$\mathcal{O}_p \simeq \mathcal{O}_q \times \mathcal{O}_{q'}$$

where $q$ and $q'$ are the primes of $\mathcal{O}_L$ lying above $p$ and $\mathcal{O}_q := \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathcal{O}_{L,q}$ and similarly for $q'$. By Proposition 7 we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{k,p}$-algebras with involution

$$(\mathcal{O}_p, ^*) \simeq (\mathcal{O}_q \times \mathcal{O}_{q'}^{\text{opp}}, (x,y) \mapsto (y,x))$$

and thus $U_p \simeq \text{Gl}_1(\mathcal{O}_q)$ and this group is trivially smooth over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k,p})$.

3) $p$ is ramified in $L/k$: as in case 1) we have
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\[ \mathcal{O}_p \simeq M_d(\mathcal{O}_{L,q}) \]

as \( \mathcal{O}_{k,p} \)-algebras with \( q \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L \) the unique prime lying above \( p \). We check the smoothness of \( U_p/\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k,p}) \) using the lifting criterion: let \( A \) be an Artinian \( \mathcal{O}_{k,p} \)-algebra and \( I \subseteq A \) an ideal with \( I^2 = 0 \). Given

\[ x \in U_p(A/I) = \{ g \in (\mathcal{O}_p \otimes \mathcal{O}_{k,p} A/I)^* \mid *gg = 1 \} \]

there is some \( y \in \mathcal{O}_p \otimes \mathcal{O}_{k,p} A \) lifting \( x \) and we have

\[ *yy = 1 + z \text{ for some } z \in \mathcal{O}_p \otimes \mathcal{O}_{k,p} I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_p \otimes \mathcal{O}_{k,p} A \]

with \( *z = z \) because \( *(yy) = * yy \). As \( p \in \mathcal{U} \) we have \( 2 \in A^* \) and can define

\[ y' := y(1 - \frac{1}{2}z) \in \mathcal{O}_p \otimes \mathcal{O}_{k,p} A \]

which still lifts \( x \) and satisfies

\[ *y'y' = (1 - \frac{1}{2}*z)*yy(1 - \frac{1}{2}z) = (1 - \frac{1}{2}*z)(1 + z)(1 - \frac{1}{2}z) \quad (I^2 = 0, *z = z) \quad 1. \]

Hence we have found \( y' \in U_p(A) \) lifting \( x \).

At this point we have established that \( U/\mathcal{U} \) is smooth and now proceed to study \( SU/\mathcal{U} \). We first consider the geometric fibers showing in particular that they are all connected and smooth.

Let \( \text{Spec}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \) be a geometric point. If the characteristic of \( \Omega \) is zero, we have \( SU_\Omega \simeq \text{Sl}_d,\Omega \), hence we can assume that \( \Omega = \kappa(p) \) for some \( p \in \mathcal{U} \). We again have to distinguish three cases as above:

1') \( p \) is inert in \( L/k \): from 1) above we have \( U_\Omega \simeq \text{Gl}_d,\Omega \) and from Proposition 5 we know that \( T_\Omega \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,\Omega} \). Under these isomorphisms, \( N_\Omega \) is identified with the determinant, hence \( SU_\Omega \simeq \text{Sl}_d,\Omega \).

2') \( p \) splits in \( L/k \): from 2) above and Proposition 5 we know that \( U_\Omega \simeq \text{Gl}_1(A) \) and \( T_\Omega \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,\Omega} \) for the \( \Omega \)-algebra \( A := \mathcal{O}_q \otimes \mathcal{O}_{k,p} \) for \( q \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L \) is a prime lying above \( p \). This is the situation studied in Theorem 2 from which we read off that \( SU_\Omega/\text{Spec}(\Omega) \) is connected and smooth (and, in fact, also the dimensions of the semi-simple, toric and unipotent parts of \( SU_\Omega \) in terms of the order of \( \text{inv}_q(D) \)).

3') \( p \) is ramified in \( L/k \): recall from 3) above that \( \mathcal{O}_p \simeq M_d(\mathcal{O}_{L,q}) \). We have to study the involution induced by \( * \) on

\[ \mathcal{O}_p \otimes \mathcal{O}_{k,p} \Omega \simeq M_d(\Omega(e)). \]
Recall that $\Omega(\epsilon) := \Omega[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ and that the involution $\ast$ on $M_d(\Omega(\epsilon))$ satisfies $\ast\epsilon = -\epsilon$ as established during the proof of Proposition 5. Denoting by $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\Omega-\text{alg}}(\Omega(\epsilon))$ the element determined by $\sigma(\epsilon) = -\epsilon$ and by $+$ the involution on $M_d(\Omega(\epsilon))$ defined by

$$+(x_{i,j}) := (\sigma(x_{j,i})),$$

the Theorem of Skolem-Noether [Mi, Chapter IV, Proposition 1.4] shows that there exists a $g \in \text{Gl}_d(\Omega(\epsilon))$ such that

$$\ast x = g^+ x g^{-1} \text{ for all } x \in M_d(\Omega(\epsilon)).$$

From $\ast \ast x = x$ one sees that

$$g = \alpha^+ g$$

for some $\alpha \in \Omega(\epsilon)^*$. This gives $^+g = \sigma(\alpha)g$ and by multiplying we obtain $g^+ g = \alpha \sigma(\alpha)^+ g g$ which using (5) implies that $\alpha \sigma(\alpha) = 1$.

Writing $\alpha = x + y\epsilon$ with $x, y \in \Omega$ we get

$$1 = \alpha \sigma(\alpha) = x^2 - y^2 \epsilon^2 = x^2$$

hence

$$\alpha = \pm 1 + ye \text{ for some } y \in \Omega.$$

Replacing $g$ by $\beta g$ for $\beta := 1 \mp \frac{1}{2} ye \in \Omega(\epsilon)^*$ (the sign opposite to the one occurring in (6)) does not affect (4) and replaces $\alpha$ by

$$\alpha \beta \sigma(\beta)^{-1} \equiv (\pm 1 + ye)(1 \mp \frac{1}{2} ye)(1 \pm \frac{1}{2} ye)^{-1} = (\pm 1 + ye)(1 \mp \frac{1}{2} ye)^2 = (\pm 1 + ye)(1 \mp ye) = \pm 1.$$ 

Hence we can assume that

$$\alpha = \pm 1.$$

To further simplify the involution, note that for every $h \in \text{Gl}_d(\Omega(\epsilon))$, $(M_d(\Omega(\epsilon)), \ast)$ is isomorphic, via conjugation with $h$, to $(M_d(\Omega(\epsilon)), \tau)$ with

$$\tau x = h^*(h^{-1} x h) h^{-1} = h g^+(h^{-1} x h) g^{-1} h^{-1} = h g^+ h^+ x (h g^+ h)^{-1},$$

i.e.
For every $h \in \operatorname{Gl}_d(\Omega(\epsilon))$ we can replace $g$ in (4) by $hg^+h$.

We now distinguish two cases according to (7):

3’.1) Assume that $\alpha = 1$. Writing $g = A + B\epsilon$ with $A \in \operatorname{Gl}_d(\Omega), B \in M_d(\Omega)$ we have

$$A + B\epsilon = g \overset{(5)}{=} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \end{pmatrix} = g^t A - t B\epsilon,$$

hence $A = t A, B = -t B$ and there exists some $S \in \operatorname{Gl}_d(\Omega)$ with $A = S^t S$. Using (8) with $h = S^{-1}$ we can replace $g$ by

$$hg^+h = S^{-1}(A + B\epsilon)^t S^{-1} = 1 + S^{-1}B^t S^{-1}\epsilon.$$

Put $T := S^{-1}B^t S^{-1}$ and note that $B = -t B$ implies that $t T = -T$. Using (8) again with $h = 1 - \frac{1}{2}T\epsilon$ replaces $g$ by

$$hg^+h = (1 - \frac{1}{2}T\epsilon)(1 + T\epsilon)(1 + \frac{1}{2}t T\epsilon) = 1,$$

i.e. we can assume that $^*x = + x$ for all $x \in M_d(\Omega(\epsilon))$. For every $\Omega$-algebra $R$ we thus obtain

$$U_\Omega(R) \simeq \{ x \in X + Y\epsilon \in M_d(R(\epsilon)) \mid 1 = + x = (t X - t Y\epsilon)(X + Y\epsilon) =$$

$$t XX + (-t YX + t XY)\epsilon \}$$

and hence an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow F(R) := \{ 1 + Y\epsilon \in M_d(\Omega(\epsilon)) \mid Y = t Y \} \longrightarrow U_\Omega(R) \longrightarrow \Omega_d(R) \longrightarrow 1,$$

$\Omega_d$ denoting the orthogonal group, which is split by $X \mapsto X + 0 \cdot \epsilon$. Evidently, $F \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha,\Omega}^{2d(d+1)}$. We have the following diagram with exact rows and columns:
This is obtained as follows: the lower row is taken from Proposition 5. The reduced norm induces the determinant on \(M_d(\Omega(\epsilon))\). This shows that \(\pi N_{1,2} \) is trivial and \(N_{1,2}\) factors through some \(F' \to G_{1,2}\). As \(\det(1+Y\epsilon) = 1 + \text{tr}(Y)\epsilon\), the map \(F' \to G_{1,2}\) is in fact the trace and \(F' := \ker(\text{tr})\). This also shows that the map \(\Omega_{d,\Omega} \to \mu_{2,\Omega}\) induced by \(N_{1,2}\) is the determinant which is visibly \(fppf\)-surjective, in fact, it is surjective as a morphism of pre-sheaves as is the trace \(\text{tr}\). It is also clear that \(F' \cong G_{1,2}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}}\).

Now the \(fppf\)-surjectivity of \(\alpha\) and \(N_{1,2}\) follows from a 5-lemma argument (which does not use commutativity). In particular, \(SU_{\Omega}\) is connected and smooth.

3'.2) Assume that \(\alpha = -1\). Writing \(g = A + B\epsilon\) with \(A \in \text{Gl}_d(\Omega), B \in M_d(\Omega)\) we have

\[
A + B\epsilon = g (\equiv_{\alpha = -1}) -g = -^tA + ^tB\epsilon,
\]
i.e. \(A = -^tA\) and \(B = ^tB\). The conditions on \(A\) force \(d\) to be even, say \(d = 2m\). Let \(J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_m \\ -1_m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Gl}_d(\Omega)\) be the standard alternating matrix. Then there exist a \(S \in \text{Gl}_d(\Omega)\) such that \(SA^tS = J\). Using (8) with \(h = S\) we can replace \(g\) by

\[
hg' = S(A + B\epsilon)^tS = J + SB^tS\epsilon.
\]

Put \(T := SB^tS\) and note that \(B = ^tB\) implies that \(T = ^tT\). Using (8) again with \(h = 1 + \frac{1}{2}TJ\epsilon\) replaces \(g\) by

\[
hg' = (1 + \frac{1}{2}TJ\epsilon)(J + T\epsilon)(1 - \frac{1}{2}^tJ^tT\epsilon) = J + (\frac{1}{2}TJ^2 + T - \frac{1}{2}^tJ^tJ^tT)\epsilon = J + 2T(-1) + T - \frac{1}{2}^tJ^t(\equiv_{\text{iso}}) J,
\]
i.e. we can assume that \(g = J\).

For every \(\Omega\)-algebra \(R\) we thus obtain, using \(^tJ = J^{-1}\),
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\[ U_{\Omega}(R) \simeq \{ x = X + Y \epsilon \in M_d(\Omega(\epsilon)) \mid 1 = x = J^+(X + Y \epsilon)^t J(X + Y \epsilon) = J^t(X - Y \epsilon)^t J(X + Y \epsilon) = J^t X J^t X + (J^t Y J X + J^t X J Y) \epsilon \} \]

Note that \( 1 = J^t X J X \) if and only if \( t_a = d, t_b = -b, t_c = -c \)

\[ 1 \rightarrow F(R) := \{ 1 + Y \epsilon \in M_d(\Omega(\epsilon)) \mid Y = J^t Y J \} \rightarrow U_{\Omega}(R) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2m}(R) \rightarrow 1, \]

where \( \text{Sp}_{2m} \) denotes the symplectic group, which is split by \( X \mapsto X + 0 \epsilon \). Writing \( Y = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \) with \( a, b, c, d \in M_m(\Omega) \) one obtains

\[ F(R) \simeq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2m}(R) \mid t_a = d, t_b = -b, t_c = -c \right\}, \]

hence \( F \simeq G_{2m^2 - m} \). The analogue of diagram (9) in this case reads as follows.

Note that since the determinant of every symplectic matrix equals 1, \( N_{\Omega} \) factors as indicated in diagram (10). In particular, \( N_{\Omega} : U_{\Omega} \rightarrow T_{\Omega} \) is not an \( fppf \)-epimorphism but has image the connected component \( G_{a,\Omega} \simeq T^0_{\Omega} \subseteq T_{\Omega} \). Since \( F' \simeq G_{2m^2 - m - 1} \) we conclude that \( SU_{\Omega} \) is connected and smooth.

We now establish the exactness of the sequence

\[ 1 \rightarrow SU_{U_{\ell}} \rightarrow U_{U_{\ell}} \rightarrow T'_{U_{\ell}} \rightarrow 1 \]

over \( U \). Since \( T' \subseteq T \) is an open subscheme, the fact that \( N_{U_{\ell}} \) factors through \( T'_{U_{\ell}} \) can be checked on fibers where it follows from what has been shown above: since the determinant is trivial on \( \text{Sp}_{2m} \) in diagram (10), \( N_{\Omega} \) factors through \( G_{a,\Omega} = T^0_{\Omega} \subseteq T_{\Omega} \). We now need to see that the resulting
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morphism $N_U : U_U \to T'_U$ is an fppf-epimorphism and we will show that it is in fact faithfully flat: by Proposition 3 it is enough to see that $U_\Omega \to T'_\Omega$ is an fppf-epimorphism for every geometric point $\text{Spec}(\Omega) \to U$ which follows again from what has been proved above. The flatness of $U_U \to T'_U$ implies that $SU/U$ is flat, hence smooth by the fiber-wise criterion. The proof of Theorem 7 is now complete.

\[
\square
\]

3. Approximation of local units

In this section we study the problem of $p$-adically approximating local units of a maximal order (with involution) by global (unitary) units of bounded denominators. Using the results of subsections 2.1 and 2.2.1/2.2.2 this problem will be reduced in subsection 3.1/3.2 to an approximation problem for tori which will be solved in subsection 4.1/solved in a special case in subsection 4.2.

3.1 Type $A_{d-1}$

In this subsection we consider the problem of $p$-adically approximating local units of a maximal order $O \subseteq D$ where $D$ is a finite dimensional skew-field over $\mathbb{Q}$. We denote by $k$ the center of $D$ and by $d$ its reduced dimension. We fix a prime $0 \neq p \subseteq O_k$ at which we wish to approximate. There is a unique prime $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq O$ lying above $p$ [D VI, §12, Satz 1] and we denote by $O_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the $\mathfrak{p}$-adic completion of $O$, c.f. [D Kapitel VI, §11].

To describe the denominators we allow the approximating global units to have, we fix a finite set of places $S$ of $k$ such that $p \notin S$ and there exists a place $v_0 \in S$ such that $D_{v_0}$ is not a skew-field.

We write $S^{\text{fin}}$ for the set of finite places contained in $S$ and consider the ring $O_{k,S^{\text{fin}}}$ of $S^{\text{fin}}$-integers

\[
O_k \subseteq O_{k,S^{\text{fin}}} := \{x \in k \mid v(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all finite } v \notin S\} \subseteq k.
\]

Since $p \notin S$ we have $O_{k,S^{\text{fin}}} \subseteq O_{k,p}$. We define

\[
X := \{x \in O_{k,S^{\text{fin}}}^* \mid v \text{ infinite and } \text{inv}_v(D) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ imply } v(x) > 0\} \subseteq O_{k,p}^* \text{ and}
\]

\[
(O \otimes O_k O_{k,S^{\text{fin}}})^* \subseteq O_{\mathfrak{p}}^*.
\]

Recall that $N$ denote the reduced norm of $D$.

**Theorem 9.** The closure of $(O \otimes O_k O_{k,S^{\text{fin}}})^*$ inside $O_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ equals

\[
\{x \in O_{\mathfrak{p}}^* \mid N_p(x) \in O_{k,p}^* \text{ lies in the closure of } X\}.
\]

**Example 10.** 1) For $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $D$ a definite quaternion algebra, i.e. $d = 2$ and $\text{inv}_v(D) = \frac{1}{2}$ for the unique infinite place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, we can choose $S = \{l\}$ for any prime $l \neq p$ at which $D$ splits, i.e. $\text{inv}_l(D) = 0$. Then $O_{k,S^{\text{fin}}}^* = \{\pm 1\} \times l^S$ and $X = l^S \subseteq O_{k,p}^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. For $p \neq 2$ we can choose $l$ as above such that in addition $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is dense and conclude that in this case $O[\frac{1}{l}]^* \subseteq O_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ is dense.
For \( p = 2 \) we can choose \( l \) such that the closure of \( X \) equals \( 1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2 \) and conclude that the closure of \( \mathcal{O}_{[4]}^1 \) inside \( \mathcal{O}_{[p]}^* \) equals

\[
\ker(\mathcal{O}_{[p]}^* \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{Z}_2^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^*/(1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \{\pm 1\}),
\]

c.f. Remark 24. In the special case in which \( D \) is the endomorphism algebra of a super-singular elliptic curve in characteristic \( p \), i.e. \( \text{inv}_v(D) = 0 \) for all \( v \neq p, \infty \), this result has been established by different means in [BL2, Theorem 0.1].

2) See Theorem 17 in subsection 4.1 for a further discussion of the closure of \( X \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{[k,p]}^* \).

The rest of this subsection is devoted to the proof of Theorem 9.

Remember the groups \( G, G'/\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k) \) defined by \( G(R) = (\mathcal{O}_{[v]} \otimes R)^* \) and \( G'(R) = \{g \in G(R) \mid N(g) = 1\} \).

**Proposition 11.** The subgroup \( G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,v]}^\text{fin}) \subseteq G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,p]}) \) is dense.

**Proof.** First note that \( G'/\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k) \) is representable by an affine group scheme, hence the injectivity of the homomorphism \( G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,v]}^\text{fin}) \rightarrow G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,p]}) \) follows from the injectivity of \( \mathcal{O}_{[k,v]}^\text{fin} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{[k,p]}^* \).

Secondly, \( G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,p]}) \) is canonically a topological group [We, Chapter I] and we claim density with respect to this topology. We know that \( G'_k := G' \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)} \text{Spec}(k) = \text{Sl}_1(D) [PR, \text{Section 2.3}] \) is an inner form of \( \text{Sl}_{d,k} \) and thus is \( k \)-simple, semi-simple and simply connected. Furthermore, \( G'_k \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(k_{v_0}) = \text{Sl}_n(\bar{D}) \) for some central skew-field \( \bar{D} \) over \( k_{v_0} \) and some \( n \geq 1 \). Since \( D_{v_0} \) is not a skew-field by assumption, we have \( n \geq 2 \) and \( \text{rk}_{k_{v_0}}(G'_k \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(k_{v_0})) = n - 1 \geq 1 \) [PR, Proposition 2.12], i.e. \( G'_k \) is isotropic at \( v_0 \). From strong approximation [S, Theorem 5.1.8] we conclude that

\[(12) \quad G'(k) \cdot G'(k_{v_0}) \subseteq G'(\mathbb{A}_k) \text{ is dense,} \]

where \( \mathbb{A}_k \) denotes the adèle-ring of \( k \). Fix \( x \in G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,p]}) \) and an open subgroup \( U_p \subseteq G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,p]}) \). Denote by \( \tilde{x} \in G'(\mathbb{A}_k) \) the adèle having \( p \)-component \( x \) and all other components equal to 1. Then

\[
U := U_p \times \prod_{v \neq p \text{ finite}} G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,v]}) \times \prod_{v \text{ infinite}} G'(k_v) \subseteq G'(\mathbb{A}_k)
\]

is an open subgroup and by \( (12) \) there exist \( \gamma \in G'(k) \) and \( \delta \in G'(k_{v_0}) \) such that \( \gamma \delta \in \tilde{x}U \). Since \( p \neq v_0 \) this implies that \( \gamma_p \in \tilde{x}_pU_p = xU_p \), where \( \gamma_p \) is the \( p \)-component of the principal adèle \( \gamma \), equivalently, the image of \( \gamma \) under the inclusion \( G'(k) \subseteq G'(k_p) \). Since \( x \) and \( U_p \) are arbitrary, we will be done if we can show that \( \gamma \in G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,v]}^\text{fin}) \subseteq G'(k) \), i.e. that for every finite place \( v \notin S \) we have \( \gamma_v \in G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,v]}) \). For \( v = p \) this is clear since \( xU_p \subseteq G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,p]}) \) whereas for \( v \neq p \) we have, using that \( \delta_v = 1 \) since \( v \neq v_0 \in S \),

\[
(\gamma \delta)_v = \gamma_v \in (\tilde{x}U)_v = \tilde{x}_v \cdot G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,v]}) = G'(\mathcal{O}_{[k,v]}).
\]

To proceed, we apply (3) to the inclusion \( \mathcal{O}_{[k,v]}^\text{fin} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{[k,p]}^* \) to obtain a commutative diagram
By definition, 
\[ G(O_k, S_{\text{fin}}) = \left( O \otimes O_k O_k, S_{\text{fin}} \right)^* \]  
and 
\[ G(O_k, p) = \left( O \otimes O_k O_k, p \right)^* = O_p^{*} \]  
[D, Kapitel VI, §11, Satz 6], so Theorem 9 is concerned with the closure of the image of \( \iota \). Recall the subgroup 
\[ X \subseteq O_k^{*} \]  
from (11).

**Proposition 12.** In (13) we have \( \text{im}(N) = X \subseteq O_k^{*} \).

**Proof.** Eichler’s norm theorem [PR, Theorem 1.13] states that
\[ \text{im}(N : G(k) \to k^*) = \{ \alpha \in k^* \mid v \in \Sigma_k \text{ infinite and } \text{inv}_v(D) \neq 0 \implies v(\alpha) > 0 \} \]
and the inclusion \( \text{im}(N) \subseteq X \) is trivial by the definition of \( X \).

From the cohomology sequence associated with (3) we have
\[ G(O_k, S_{\text{fin}}) \xrightarrow{N} O^*_{k, S_{\text{fin}}} \to H^1(\text{Spec}(O_k, S_{\text{fin}}), G') \to G(k) \xrightarrow{\iota} k^* \to H^1(\text{Spec}(k), G'). \]

Now, \( G'/\text{Spec}(O_k) \) is smooth with connected fibers by Theorem 2 and the generic fiber \( G'_k \) is an inner form of \( \text{SL}_d \) and is thus \( k \)-simple, semi-simple and simply connected. Finally, the place \( v_0 \) is outside \( U := \text{Spec}(O_{k, S_{\text{fin}}}) \) and since \( D_{v_0} \) is not a skew-field, \( G'_{v_0} \) is isotropic at \( v_0 \) [PR, Proposition 2.12]. We can thus apply Proposition 1 to \( G'/U \) to conclude that \( \iota \) has trivial kernel. This, jointly with \( (14) \), implies that \( X \subseteq \text{im}(N) \).

We know that \( H^1(\text{Spec}(O_k, p), G') = 0 \) from the fact that \( G' \times_{\text{Spec}(O_k)} \text{Spec}(O_k, p)/\text{Spec}(O_k, p) \) is smooth with connected fibers and Lang’s Theorem. Hence, in (13), \( N_p \) is surjective and we can, using Proposition 12, rewrite (13) as
\[ 1 \to G'(O_{k, S_{\text{fin}}}) \to (O \otimes O_k O_k, S_{\text{fin}})^* \xrightarrow{N} X \to 1 \]
\[ 1 \to G'(O_k, p) \to O^*_{p} \xrightarrow{N_p} O^*_{k, p} \to 1. \]

Since the image of \( \alpha \) is dense by Proposition 11 and \( O^*_{p} \) is compact, all that remains to be done to conclude the proof of Theorem 9 is to apply Proposition 13 below to (15).

For a subset \( Y \) of a topological space \( X \) we denote by \( Y^X \) the closure of \( Y \) in \( X \).
In the rest of this subsection we give the proof of Theorem 14. Let

\[
\begin{array}{c}
1 \xrightarrow{} H' \xrightarrow{} H \xrightarrow{\rho} H'' \xrightarrow{} 1 \\
1 \xrightarrow{} G' \xrightarrow{} G \xrightarrow{\pi} G'' \xrightarrow{} 1
\end{array}
\]

be a commutative diagram of first countable topological groups with exact rows, \(G\) compact, and such that \(H' \subseteq G'\) is dense. Then

\[
\overline{\Pi}^G = \pi^{-1}(\overline{\Pi}^{G''}).
\]

**Proof.** Assume that \(g \in \overline{\Pi}^G\). Then \(g = \lim h_n\) for suitable \(h_n \in H\) and \(\pi(g) = \lim \pi(h_n) \in \overline{\Pi}^{G''}\). Conversely, given \(g \in G\) with \(\pi(g) = \lim h''_n\) for suitable \(h''_n \in H''\), choose \(h_n \in H\) with \(\rho(h_n) = h''_n\).

The sequence \((h_n g^{-1})_n\) in \(G\) has a convergent subsequence, \(\tilde{g} := \lim h_n g^{-1} \in G\). Then \(\pi(\tilde{g}) = 1\), i.e. \(\tilde{g} \in G'\) and we have \(\tilde{g} = \lim h'_i\) for suitable \(h'_i \in H'\). The sequence \(((h'_i)^{-1} h_n)_i\) in \(H\) satisfies \(\lim (h'_i)^{-1} h_n = \tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{g} = g\), hence \(g \in \overline{\Pi}^G\).

\[\square\]

### 3.2 Type \(2A_{d-1}\)

Let \(D\) be a finite-dimensional skew-field of reduced dimension \(d > 1\) over \(\mathbb{Q}\) carrying a positive involution of the second kind \(*\) and assume that \(O \subseteq D\) is a maximal order which is stable under \(*\).

In subsection 2.2.2 we associated with these data group schemes \(SU \subseteq U\) and \(T' \subseteq T\) over \(\text{Spec}(O_k)\) and an open subscheme \(U \subseteq \text{Spec}(O_k)\).

To formulate our approximation problem, we fix a prime \(0 \neq p \subseteq O_k\) and a finite set of finite places \(S\) of \(k\) such that

\(p \notin S, S\) contains all primes of residue characteristic 2 ramified in \(L/k\) and \(S\) contains a place \(v_0\) split in \(L/k\) such that for \(w_0|v_0\) \(D_{w_0}\) is not a skew-field.

This implies in particular that \(\text{Spec}(O_{k,S}) \subseteq U\). Note that we do not really restrict generality by insisting that \(S\) consists of finite place because, unlike the case treated in subsection 3.1 the group \(SU\) is anisotropic at every infinite place of \(k\).

**Theorem 14.** The closure of \(U(O_{k,S}) \subseteq U(O_{k,p})\) equals

\[
\{g \in U(O_{k,p}) | N_p(g) \text{ lies in the closure of } T'(O_{k,S}) \subseteq T'(O_{k,p})\}.
\]

See Corollary 20 for the computation of the closure of \(T'(O_{k,S}) \subseteq T'(O_{k,p})\) in a special case.

Note that

\[
U(O_{k,S}) = \{g \in (O \otimes O_k O_{k,S})^* | ^* gg = 1\}
\]

by definition but the structure of \(U(O_{k,p})\) depends on the splitting behavior of \(p\) in \(L\), c.f. the proof of Theorem 7. In particular, if \(p\) splits in \(L/k\) then

\[
G(O_{k,p}) \simeq O'_{D_q} \simeq O'_{D_{q'}}
\]

where \(q\) and \(q'\) are the primes of \(L\) lying above \(k\).

In the rest of this subsection we give the proof of Theorem 14.
Proposition 15. $\text{SU}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S}) \subseteq \text{SU}(\mathcal{O}_{k,p})$ is a dense subgroup.

Proof. Since $\text{SU}_k := \text{SU} \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)} \text{Spec}(k)$ is an outer form of $\text{Sl}_{d,k}$, it is $k$-simple, semi-simple and simply connected. In the proof of Theorem 7 we saw that $\text{SU}_{k_{v_0}} \cong \text{Sl}_1(D_{w_0})$ and since $D_{w_0}$ is not a skew-field by assumption, $\text{SU}_k$ is isotropic at $v_0$. Now one proceeds as in the proof of Proposition 11.

Recall from Theorem 7 that we have an exact sequence

(16) $1 \rightarrow \text{SU}_U \rightarrow U \rightarrow \text{U} \rightarrow T' \rightarrow 1$

over $U \supseteq \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$.

Proposition 16. The diagram obtained by applying (16) to $\mathcal{O}_{k,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{k,p}$

(17) $1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S}) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{O}_{k,S}) \rightarrow T'(\mathcal{O}_{k,S}) \rightarrow 1$

 fulfillment the assumptions of Proposition 13.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 14 by applying Proposition 13 to (17).

Proof of Proposition 16. Clearly, diagram (17) is made up of first-countable groups and is commutative, $\text{SU}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S}) \subseteq \text{SU}(\mathcal{O}_{k,p})$ is dense by Proposition 13 and $U(\mathcal{O}_{k,p})$ is compact. It remains to prove the exactness of the rows, i.e. the surjectivity of $N$ and $N_p$. Since $\text{SU}_p/\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k,p})$ is smooth with connected fibers by Theorem 7, Lang’s Theorem implies that $\text{H}^1(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k,p}), \text{SU}) = 0$ and thus $N_p$ is surjective. We now show that $N_k : U(k) \rightarrow T'(k)$ is surjective: we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U(k) & \xrightarrow{N_k} & T'(k) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\prod_{v \in \Sigma_k^\infty} U(k_v) & \xrightarrow{\prod N_v} & \prod_{v \in \Sigma_k^\infty} T'(k_v) \\
\prod_{v \in \Sigma_k^\infty} U(k_v) & \xrightarrow{\prod N_v} & \prod_{v \in \Sigma_k^\infty} U(k_v) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\prod_{v \in \Sigma_k^\infty} U(k_v) & \rightarrow & \prod_{v \in \Sigma_k^\infty} T'(k_v) \\
\end{array}
\]

Here, $\Sigma_k^\infty$ denotes the set of infinite places of $k$ and the right-most vertical arrow is an isomorphism by the Hasse-principle for $\text{SU} \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)} \text{Spec}(k)$ [PR, Theorem 6.6]. Hence the surjectivity of $N_k$ will follow from the surjectivity of $N_v$ for all $v \in \Sigma_k^\infty$ which is easy to see:

For $v \in \Sigma_k^\infty$ we have, using [Mu, Step IV on page 199],

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U(k_v) & \xrightarrow{N_v} & T'(k_v) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\{ (x_{i,j}) \in \text{Gl}_d(\mathbb{C}) \mid (x_{i,j})(x_{j,i}) = 1 \} & \xrightarrow{\det} & \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^* \mid \alpha \bar{\alpha} = 1 \}.
\end{array}
\]
where a bar denotes complex conjugation, and the lower horizontal arrow is surjective since it is split by \( \alpha \mapsto \text{diag}(\alpha, 1, \ldots, 1) \). Next we look at the commutative diagram with exact rows

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U(O_{k,S}) & \longrightarrow & T'(O_{k,S}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U(k) & \longrightarrow & T'(k) \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \longrightarrow \\
H^1(\text{Spec}(O_{k,S}), \text{SU}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\text{Spec}(k), \text{SU}). \\
\end{array}
\]

We need to see that \( \iota \) has trivial kernel for then the desired surjectivity of \( N \) will follow from the already proved surjectivity of \( N_k \): since \( \text{Spec}(O_{k,S}) \subseteq U \) we know that \( \text{SU}/\text{Spec}(O_{k,S}) \) is smooth with connected fibers from Theorem 7, \( \text{SU}_k \) is \( k \)-simple, semi-simple and simply connected and the place \( v_0 \) lies outside \( \text{Spec}(O_{k,S}) \) and \( \text{SU}_k \) is isotropic at \( v_0 \) as explained in the proof of Proposition 15. Hence the kernel of \( \iota \) is indeed trivial by Proposition 1. \( \square \)

4. The commutative case

4.1 Type \( A_{d-1} \)

In subsection 3.1 the problem of approximating a local unit in a maximal order was reduced to a similar problem involving solely numberfields:

Let \( k \) be a numberfield, \( 0 \neq p \subseteq O_k \) a prime dividing the rational prime \( p \) and \( \Sigma \) a possibly empty set of real places of \( k \). For a finite set of finite places \( S \) of \( k \) not containing \( p \) we consider

\[
X_S := \{ x \in O_{k,S}^* \mid v(x) > 0 \text{ for all } v \in \Sigma \} \subseteq O_{k,S}^*
\]

and wish to understand when \( X_S \subseteq O_{k,p}^* := U_p \) is a dense subgroup. The principal units

\[
U_p^{(1)} := 1 + pO_{k,p} \subseteq U_p
\]

are canonically a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module and \( U_p/U_p^{(1)p} \) is a finite abelian group.

It follows from Nakayama’s lemma that a subgroup \( Y \subseteq U_p \) is dense if and only if the composition \( Y \hookrightarrow U_p \twoheadrightarrow U_p/U_p^{(1)p} \) is surjective: since \( U_p \) is pro-finite, \( Y \subseteq U_p \) is dense if and only if it surjects onto every finite quotient of \( U_p \). Assume that \( Y \) does surject onto \( U_p/U_p^{(1)p} \) and \( V \subseteq U_p \) is arbitrary of finite index. In order to see that \( Y \) surjects onto \( U_p/V \) we can assume that \( V \subseteq U_p^{(1)p} \). Then the image of \( Y \) in \( U_p/V = \mu_{q-1} \times U_p^{(1)p}/V \) surjects onto \( \mu_{q-1} \) and \( U_p^{(1)p}/V \) is a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module which modulo \( p \) is generated by the image of \( Y \). By Nakayama’s lemma, \( Y \) surjects onto \( U_p/V \).

For an infinite place \( v \) of \( k \) we write \( k_v^{s,+} \) for the connected component of 1 inside \( k_v^* \), i.e. \( k_v^{s,+} \simeq \mathbb{R}^+ \) (resp. \( k_v^{s,+} \simeq \mathbb{C}^* \)) if \( v \) is real (resp. complex).

We denote by

\[
E^+ := \ker(O_k^* \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} \bigoplus_{v \in \Sigma} k_v^* \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in \Sigma} k_v^*/k_v^{s,+})
\]

the group of global units of \( k \) which are positive at all places in \( \Sigma \). We write

\[
\psi : E^+ \subseteq O_k^* \rightarrow U_p
\]
for the inclusion. Then $U_p/\psi(E^+)U_p^{(1)}p$ is a finite abelian group the minimal number of generators of which we denote by $g(p, \Sigma)$.

**Theorem 17.** In the above situation:

i) If $X_S \subseteq U_p$ is dense then $|S| \geq g(p, \Sigma)$.

ii) Given a set $T$ of places of $k$ of density 1, there exists $S$ as above such that $X_S \subseteq U_p$ is dense, $|S| = g(p, \Sigma)$ and $S \subseteq T$.

iii) $$g(p, \Sigma) \leq \begin{cases} [k_p : \mathbb{Q}_p], & \text{if } \mu_p^\infty(k_p) = \{1\}, \\ 1 + [k_p : \mathbb{Q}_p], & \text{if } \mu_p^\infty(k_p) \neq \{1\}. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 18.**

1) In general, the inequalities in iii) are strict: for $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, $p$ dividing 7 and $\Sigma = \emptyset$ one can check that $g(p, \Sigma) = 0$, i.e. $O_k^* \subseteq U_p$ is dense.

2) The proof of Theorem 17(ii) is rather constructive: one has to find principal prime ideals $(\lambda)$ of $O_k$ with $\lambda$ positive at all places in $\Sigma$ (this corresponds to being trivial in $\text{Gal}(M/k)$ in the notation of the proof) and determine the image of $\lambda$ in $U_p/\psi(E^+)U_p^{(1)}p$.

**Proof.** We consider the following subgroups of $I_k$, the idèles of $k$:

$$U_K := \prod_{v \mid \infty, v \neq p} U_v \times U_p^{(1)p} \times \prod_{v \in \Sigma} k_v^{*+} \times \prod_{v \mid \infty, v \not\in \Sigma} k_v^*,$$

$$U_M := \prod_{v \mid \infty} U_v \times \prod_{v \in \Sigma} k_v^{*+} \times \prod_{v \mid \infty, v \not\in \Sigma} k_v^*$$

and

$$U_+ := \prod_{v \mid \infty} U_v \times \prod_{v \mid \infty} k_v^{*+}.$$

Then $U_K \subseteq U_M$ and $k^*U_K \subseteq I_k$ is of finite index. Class field theory, e.g. [Ne, Chapter VI], yields finite abelian extensions $k \subseteq M \subseteq K$ and the upper part of diagram (18) below. The field corresponding to $k^*U_+$ is the big Hilbert class field of $k$ which we denote by $H^+$. Since $k^*U_K \cdot k^*U_+ = k^*U_M$ we have $H^+ \cap K = M$ and we put $L := H^+K$. We have the following diagram of fields

```
L
```
```
H^+           K
```
```
M
```
```
k
```

and some of the occurring Galois groups are identified as follows:
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(18)  
\[
\begin{array}{c}
1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K/M) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Gal}(K/k) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Gal}(M/k) \rightarrow 1 \\
1 \rightarrow k^*U_M/k^*U_K \xrightarrow{\alpha} I_k/k^*U_K \xrightarrow{\gamma} I_k/k^*U_M \rightarrow 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

The isomorphism $\alpha$ is induced by the inclusion $U_p \hookrightarrow k^*U_M$: one has $k^*U_M = k^*U_PU_K$, hence

\[k^*U_M/k^*U_K = k^*U_PU_K/k^*U_K \cong U_p/(U_p \cap k^*U_K)\]

and $U_p \cap k^*U_K = k^*U_P \cap U_K = \psi(E^+)U_p^{(1)p}$.

To prove i), assume that $X_S \subseteq U_p$ is dense. Then $X_S \subseteq U_p \rightarrow U_p/U_p^{(1)p}$ is surjective, hence so is $X_S/E^+ \rightarrow U_p/\psi(E^+)U_p^{(1)p}$. The group $X_S/E^+$ is easily seen to be torsion-free and Dirichlet’s unit Theorem determines its rank, hence $X_S/E^+ \simeq \mathbb{Z}[S]$ and $|S| \geq g(p, \Sigma)$.

To prove ii), fix generators $x_i \in U_p/\psi(E^+)U_p^{(1)p} (1 \leq i \leq g(p, \Sigma))$. Let $\sigma_i \in \text{Gal}(L/M) \subseteq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ be the unique element such that $\sigma_i|_{H^+} = \text{id}$ and $\sigma_i|_K = (i\beta\sigma)(x_i)$. Note that $(i\beta\sigma)(x_i)|_M = (\pi_i\beta\sigma)(x_i)$ is surjective, hence so is $X_S/E^+$.

By Chebotarev’s density Theorem [Ne, Chapter VII, Theorem 13.4], there is a finite place $v_i \in \mathcal{T}$, unramified in $L/k$ such that $\sigma_i = \text{Frob}_{v_i}$, where $\text{Frob}_{v_i}$ denotes the Frobenius at the place $v_i$, in $\text{Gal}(L/k)$. Then $(i\beta\sigma)(x_i) = \text{Frob}_{v_i}^{-1}$ in $\text{Gal}(K/k)$. Since $\text{Frob}_{v_i}|_{H^+} = \sigma_i^{-1}|_{H^+} = \text{id}$, the prime ideal $p_i \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$ corresponding to $v_i$ is principal, generated by a totally positive element $\pi_i \in \mathcal{O}_k$ [Ne, Chapter VI, Theorem 7.3]. We claim that the image of $\pi_i$ in $U_p/\psi(E^+)U_p^{(1)p}$ equals $x_i$.

To see this, we apply the Artin-map $(-, K/k) : I_k \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K/k)$ to the identity $\pi_i = \pi_i \cdot 1$ in $I_k$, where $\pi_i$ denotes the idèle having $\pi_i$ as its $p$-component and all other components equal to 1.

By Artin-reciprocity we obtain $1 = (\pi_i, K)(\mu_{\pi_i}, K/k)$. Denoting $y := \frac{\pi\pi_i}{\pi_i}$, we have $(y, K/k) = \prod(y_v, K_v/k_v)$ [Ne, Chapter VI, Theorem 5.6] and evaluate the local terms $(y_v, K_v/k_v)$ as follows:

For $v = p$ we obtain 1 since $y_p = 1$; for $v \neq p, v_i$ finite we obtain 1 since $y_v \in \mathcal{O}_k^*$ and $v$ is unramified in $K/k$; for $v = v_i$ we obtain $\text{Frob}_{v_i}$ since $K/k$ is unramified at $v_i$ and $y_{v_i} \in \mathcal{O}_{k_v}$ is a local uniformizer: finally, for $v | \infty$ we obtain 1 since $y_v > 0$ because $\pi_i$ is totally positive.

Hence $(\pi_i, K/k) = \text{Frob}_{v_i}^{-1} = (i\beta\sigma)(x_i)$ in $\text{Gal}(K/k)$. Denoting by $\tau : U_p \rightarrow U_p/\psi(E^+)U_p^{(1)p}$ the projection we have $(\pi_i, K/k) = (i\beta\sigma\tau)(\pi_i)$ by construction, hence $x_i = \tau(\pi_i)$ by the injectivity of $i\beta\sigma$. This establishes the above claim saying that the global elements $\pi_i \in \mathcal{O}_k$ have the prescribed image $x_i$ in $U_p/\psi(E^+)U_p^{(1)p}$. To conclude the proof of (ii), put $S := \{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq g(p, \Sigma)\}$ and note that $\pi_i \in X_S$ with this choice of $S$, hence $X_S \rightarrow U_p/\psi(E^+)U_p^{(1)p}$ is surjective and since $E^+ \subseteq X_S$, so is $X_S \rightarrow U_p/U_p^{(1)p}$, i.e. $X_S \subseteq U_p$ is dense and by construction we have $S \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ and $|S| = g(p, \Sigma)$.

To see (iii) we use

\[U_p = \mu_{q-1} \times U_p^{(1)} \cong \mu_{q-1} \times \mu_{p^\infty}(k_p) \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{[k_p:Q]}\]

where $q = |\mathcal{O}_{k,p}/p\mathcal{O}_{k,p}|$ [Ne, Chapter II, Theorem 5.7, i)] which implies that the upper bound claimed in (iii) is in fact the minimal number of generators of $U_p/U_p^{(1)p}$ which obviously is greater than or equal to the minimal number of generators of $U_p/\psi(E^+)U_p^{(1)p}$, i.e. $g(p, \Sigma)$.
In Theorem 14 we reduced the problem of approximating a local unit of a maximal order carrying a positive involution of the second kind by global unitary units to an approximation problem for a specific integral model $T'$ of a one-dimensional anisotropic torus over a totally real number-field. This approximation problem seems to be substantially harder than the problem settled in Theorem 17 and we only treat the following special case here.

Let $k$ be an imaginary quadratic field in which the rational prime $p$ splits, $pO_k = p\mathfrak{p}$, and put

$$T := \ker(\text{Res}^O_k(G_m, O_k) \xrightarrow{N_k} G_m, \mathbb{Z}).$$

**Theorem 19.** *In the above situation, there exist infinitely many rational primes $l \neq p$ which split in $k/\mathbb{Q}$ and are such that $T(\mathbb{Z}[1/l]) \subseteq T(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a dense subgroup.*

**Proof.** Note that for every rational prime $l \neq p$ (19) $T(\mathbb{Z}[1/l]) = \{\alpha \in O_k[1/l]^* | \alpha\bar{\alpha} = 1\} \subseteq T(\mathbb{Z}_p) = U_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}^*$.

the local units of $k$ at $\mathfrak{p}$, the final equalities following from the fact that $p$ splits in $k$. Here, $-$ denotes complex conjugation. The following proof is similar to the argument of Theorem 17 ii) but extra care is needed to deal with the norm condition $\alpha\bar{\alpha} = 1$.

Consider the following subgroups of the ideles of $k$:

$$U_K := \prod_{v \neq p, \mathfrak{p}, \text{finite}} U_v \times U^{(1)p}_p \times U^{(1)p}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \prod_{v|\infty} k_v^*$$

and

$$U_H := \prod_{v \text{ finite}} U_v \times \prod_{v|\infty} k_v^*.$$  

We have a corresponding tower of abelian extensions $k \subseteq H \subseteq K$ and since $U_K$ is stable under $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$, the extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois, though rarely abelian. We have an isomorphism

$$\phi : U_pU_{\mathfrak{p}}/U^{(1)p}_p U^{(1)p}_{\mathfrak{p}} O_k^* \simeq \frac{U_p/U^{(1)p}_p \times U_{\mathfrak{p}}/U^{(1)p}_{\mathfrak{p}}}{O_k^*} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K/H)$$

induced by the Artin-map, where $O_k^*$ is embedded diagonally. Since $p$ splits in $k$ we have $U_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}^*_p$, $U_p/U^{(1)p}_p O_k^*$ is cyclic and we fix a generator $x$ of this group. By Chebotarev’s Theorem applied to $K/\mathbb{Q}$ there exist infinitely many rational primes $l \neq p$, unramified in $K/\mathbb{Q}$ and such that for a suitable prime $\Lambda$ of $K$ lying above $l$ we have

$$\text{Frob}_{\Lambda|l}^{-1} = \phi([(x, 1)]) \text{ in } \text{Gal}(K/H) \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}).$$

We claim that every such $l$ satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 19.

Put $\lambda := \Lambda|k$. Since $((\text{Frob}_{\Lambda|l})|H = \text{id}$, $l$ is split in $k/\mathbb{Q}$ and $\lambda$ is a principal ideal of $O_k$ a generator of which we denote by $\pi$. Then
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$$\beta := \frac{\pi}{n} \in \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_k[1/l]^* \mid \alpha \bar{\alpha} = 1 \} = T[1/l],$$

and we claim that $\beta$ goes to $x$ under the map induced by (19): as in the proof of Theorem 17(ii) one sees that

$$(\pi_p, \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) = [(x, 1)] \text{ and similarly } ((\pi)_p, (\pi)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = [(1, x)] \text{ in } \frac{U_p/U_p^{(1)p} \times U_{\mathfrak{p}}/U_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)p}}{\mathcal{O}_k^*},$$

hence indeed

$$(\beta_p, \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}) = [(x, x^{-1})]$$

and a fortiori $\beta_p = x$ in $U_p/U_p^{(1)p} \mathcal{O}_k^*$. Since we have $\mathcal{O}_k^* \subseteq T[1/l]$ because $\mathcal{O}_k^*$ consists of roots of unity which have norm 1, we are done.

To use Theorem 14 we must study approximation for the open sub group-scheme $T'$ obtained from $T$ by removing the non-identity components of finitely many special fibers of $T$, c.f. subsection 2.2.2. Let $\mu \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k^*$ denote the group of roots of unity. While we have $\mu \subseteq T[1/l]$, and this was used at the end of the proof of Theorem 19, in general we also have $-1 \notin T'(1/l)$.

**Corollary 20.** In the above situation there exist infinitely many rational primes $l \neq p$ which split in $k/\mathbb{Q}$ and are such that the closure of $T'(1/l) \subseteq T'(Z_p) = T(Z_p)$ has index at most $|\mu|$.

**Proof.** We have $T' \times_{\text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec}(Z_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} T \times_{\text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec}(Z_p)$ by the construction of $T'$ and the fact that $p$ if unramified (in fact, split) in $k/\mathbb{Q}$. Now observe that the element $\beta \in T[1/l]$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 19 satisfies $\beta \in T'(1/l)$.

**Corollary 21.** Let $D$ be a finite-dimensional skew-field over $\mathbb{Q}$ of reduced dimension $d > 1$ with a positive involution of the second kind $*$ and $\mathcal{O} \subseteq D$ a maximal order, stable under $*$. Assume that the center of $D$ is an imaginary quadratic field $k$ and let $p \neq 2$ be a rational prime which splits in $k$ and $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ a prime lying above $p$. Then there exists a rational prime $l \neq p$ such that the closure of

$$\left\{ g \in \mathcal{O} \left[ \frac{1}{2l} \right] \mid ^*gg = 1 \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_p^*$$

has index at most $|\mu|$.

**Proof.** From the data $(D, *)$ and $\mathcal{O} \subseteq D$ we construct group-schemes $SU \subseteq U$ and $T' \subseteq T$ over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ as in subsection 2.2.2. Using Corollary 20 we choose a prime $l \neq 2, p$ which splits in $k/\mathbb{Q}$ such that the closure of $T'[1/l] \subseteq T'(Z_p)$ has index at most $|\mu|$ and such that for every place $\lambda$ of $k$ lying above $l$ we have $\text{inv}_\lambda(D) = 0$. We apply Theorem 14 with $S := \{2, l\}$ to conclude that the index of the closure of $U[1/2l] \subseteq U(Z_p)$ equals the index of the closure of $T'[1/2l] \subseteq T'(Z_p)$ and is thus bounded above by $|\mu|$. It remains to recall that
and, since $p$ splits in $k/Q$, 

$$U(Z_p) \simeq O_{pQ}^*.$$ 

\[ \square \]

Remark 22. The conclusion of Corollary 21 can be sharpened in special cases, for example: if the reduced dimension of $D$ is odd and $2$ is unramified in $k/Q$, then ($p = 2$ being allowed) there is a rational prime $l \neq p$ such that \{ $\alpha \in O[l]^{-1}$ | $^*\alpha \alpha = 1$ \} $\subseteq O_{pQ}^*$ is dense. This is because $d$ being odd implies that $T' = T$ and $2$ being unramified implies that $U = \text{Spec}(Z)$.

5. Applications

5.1 Extending automorphisms of $p$-divisible groups

Here we explain the application of some of the above results to the following problem: Let $k$ be a finite field of characteristic $p$ and $A/k$ a simple abelian variety such that $\text{End}_k(A)$ is a maximal order in the skew-field $D := \text{End}_k(A) \otimes Z Q$. The center $K$ of $D$ is a number field and $K \cap \text{End}_k(A) = O_K$ is its ring of integers. The $p$-divisible group of $A/k \otimes [T]$ splits as

$$A[p^\infty] = \prod_{p | p^\infty} A[p^\infty],$$

the product extending over all primes $p$ of $O_K$ dividing $p$. According to J. Tate, c.f. [MW, Theorem 6], the canonical homomorphism

$$\text{End}_k(A) \otimes Z p \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_k(A[p^\infty])$$

is an isomorphism. We have

$$\text{End}_k(A) \otimes Z p \simeq \prod_{p | p} \text{End}_k(A) \otimes O_K \simeq \prod_{p | p} \text{End}_k(A)_{pQ}$$

with $Q$ the unique prime of $\text{End}_k(A)$ lying above $p$. Similarly, (20) implies that

$$\text{End}_k(A[p^\infty]) \simeq \prod_{p | p} \text{End}_k(A[p^\infty]).$$

These decompositions are compatible with (21) in that the canonical homomorphism
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$$\text{End}_k(A) \otimes_{O_K} O_K,D \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_k(A[p^\infty])$$

is an isomorphism for every $p|p$. We fix some $p|p$ and ask for a finite set $S$ of finite primes of $K$ such that $p \notin S$ and

$$\text{(22)} \quad (\text{End}_k(A) \otimes_{O_K} O_{K,S})^* \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_k(A[p^\infty])$$

is a dense subgroup. Note that this density is equivalent to the following assertion:

For every $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_k(A[p^\infty])$ and integer $n \geq 1$ there is an isogeny $\phi \in \text{End}_k(A)$ of degree divisible by primes in $S$ only and some $x \in O_{K,S}^*$ such that

$$\phi x|_{A[p^n]} = \alpha|_{A[p^n]}.$$

i.e. the quasi-isogeny $\phi x$ of $A$ extends the truncation at arbitrary finite level $n$ of $\alpha$.

By Theorem 2, the inclusion (22) is dense if and only if $X \subseteq U_p$ is dense where $X \subseteq O_K^*$ is the subgroup of global units which are positive at all real places of $K$ at which $D$ does not split and $U_p := O_{K,p}^*$ are the local units of $K$ at $p$. The density of $X \subseteq U_p$ in turn is firmly controlled by Theorem 17. We would like to illustrate all of this with some examples:

According to the Albert-classification [Mu, Theorem 2, p. 201], note that types I and II do not occur over finite fields, there are two possibilities:

**Type III**: here, $K$ is a totally real numberfield and $D/K$ is a totally definite quaternion algebra. The simplest such case occurs if $A/k$ is a super-singular elliptic curve with $\text{End}_k(A) = \text{End}_F(A)$. In this case, it follows from Example III/2 that, in case the characteristic of $k$ is different from 2, for a suitable prime $l$

$$\left(\text{End}_k(A) \left[\frac{1}{l}\right]\right)^* \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_k(A[p^\infty])$$

is dense.

To see another example of this type, let $A/F_p$ correspond to a $p$-Weil number $\pi$ with $\pi^2 = p$. Then $\text{dim}(A) = 2$ and $A \otimes_{F_p} F_{p^2}$ is isogeneous to the square of a super-singular elliptic curve. We have $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ and $p = (\sqrt{p})O_K$, hence $A[p^\infty] = A[p^\infty]$. Furthermore, $O_K^* = \{\pm 1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ for a fundamental unit $\epsilon$ and $X \subseteq O_K^*$ is of index 4. To find a small set $S$ such that (22) is dense one first needs to compute the minimal number of generators of $U_p/XU_p^{(1)p}$, denoted $g(p, \Sigma)$ in Theorem 17, where, in the present situation, $\Sigma$ consists of both the infinite places of $K$. For $p = 2$ one can choose $\epsilon = 1 + \sqrt{2}$, then $X = \epsilon^{2\mathbb{Z}}$. Since $U_p/U_p^{(1)p} \simeq \mathbb{F}_3^2$ and $\epsilon^2 \notin U_p^{(1)p}$ one gets $g(p, \Sigma) = 2$.

For $p = 3$ we may take $\epsilon = 2 + \sqrt{3}$, then $X = \epsilon^{2\mathbb{Z}}$ again. Since now $U_p/U_p^{(1)p} \simeq \mu_2 \times \mathbb{F}_3^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/6 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$ the fact that $\epsilon^2 \notin U_p^{(1)p}$ is not enough to conclude that $g(p, \Sigma) = 1$. However, one checks in addition that $\epsilon^2 \notin U_p^{(1)p}$, and concludes that $U_p/XU_p^{(1)p} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/6$ and hence indeed $g(p, \Sigma) = 1$.

For $p \geq 5$ one has $U_p/U_p^{(1)p} = \mu_{p-1} \times \mathbb{F}_p^2$ and since $\mu_{p-1} \not\subset K$ the image of a generator of $X$ in $U_p/U_p^{(1)p}$ will have non-trivial projection to $\mathbb{F}_p^2$ and one concludes that $g(p, \Sigma) = 1$.

**Type IV**: In this case, $K$ is a CM-field and $X = O_K^*$. The easiest such example occurs for an ordinary elliptic curve and we give two examples:

A solution of $\pi^2 + 5 = 0$ is a 5-Weil number to which there corresponds an elliptic curve $E/F_5$ with
Let \( A \) be a dense subgroup of quasi-isogenies in the Morava stabilizer group \( S \). Similarly, a solution of \( \pi^2 - 4\pi + 5 = 0 \) gives an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{F}_5 \) with \( D = K = \mathbb{Q}(i) \) and since 5 splits in \( K \) one has \( U_p/U_p^{(1)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/10 \times \mathbb{Z}/5 \), hence \( g(p, \Sigma) = 2 \).

Finally, we leave it as an easy exercise to an interested reader to check that for every prime \( p \) and integer \( N \geq 1 \) there exists a simple abelian variety \( A/\mathbb{F}_p \) such that every set \( S \) for which (22) is dense necessarily satisfies \( |S| \geq N \).

### 5.2 A dense subgroup of quasi-isogenies in the Morava stabilizer group

Let \( p \) be a prime and \( n \geq 1 \) an integer. The \( n \)-th Morava-stabilizer group \( S_n \) is the group of units of the maximal order of the central skew-field over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) of Hasse-invariant \( \frac{1}{n} \).

In this section we will construct an abelian variety \( A/k \) over a finite field \( k \) of characteristic \( p \) such that for a suitable prime \( l \) the group \( \text{End}_k(A)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l) \) is canonically a dense subgroup of \( S_n \). We will completely ignore the case \( n = 1 \) as it is very well understood. In case \( n = 2 \) one can take for \( A \) a super-singular elliptic curve \([BL]_2\) and the resulting dense subgroup of \( S_2 \) has been used to great advantage in the construction of a modular resolution of the \( K(2) \)-local sphere \([R]\).

For general \( n \) we remark that, since \( \text{End}_k(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \text{End}_k(A[p^{\infty}]) \), in order that \( \text{End}_k(A) \) have a relation with \( S_n \) one needs \( A[p^{\infty}] \otimes \mathbb{F}_k \) to have an isogeny factor of type \( G_{1,n-1} \) \([Ma]_4, \S 2, 2\). By the symmetry of \( p \)-divisible groups of abelian varieties \([Ma]_4, \S 3, \text{Theorem} 4.1\), there must then also be a factor of type \( G_{n-1,1} \) which shows that \( n = 2 \) is somewhat special since \( (1, n-1) = (n-1, 1) \) in this case. For \( n \geq 3 \) the above considerations imply that the sought for abelian variety must be of dimension at least \( n \), as already remarked by D. Ravenel \([R]\) Corollary 2.4 (ii)]. Following suggestions of M. Behrens and T. Lawson we will be able to construct \( A \) having this minimal possible dimension. We start by constructing a suitable isogeny-class as follows.

**Proposition 23.** Let \( p \) be a prime and \( n \geq 3 \) an integer. Then there is a simple abelian variety \( A/\mathbb{F}_p^n \) such that the center of \( \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p^n}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) is an imaginary quadratic field in which \( p \) splits into, say, \( p \) and \( p' \) such that \( \nu_p(\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p^n}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = \frac{1}{n} \), \( \nu_{p'}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p^n}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = \frac{1}{n} \) and \( \dim(A) = n \).

Furthermore, \( A \) is geometrically simple with \( \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p^n}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p^n}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \).

**Proof.** We use Honda-Tate theory, see \([MW]\) for an exposition. Let \( \pi \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) be a root of \( f := x^2 - px + p^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \). Since the discriminant of \( f \) is negative, \( \pi \) is a \( p^n \)-Weil number and we choose \( A/\mathbb{F}_p^n \) simple associated with the conjugacy class of \( \pi \). Then \( \mathbb{Q}(\pi) \) is an imaginary quadratic field and is the center of \( \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p^n}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \). Since \( n \geq 3 \) the Newton polygon of \( f \) at \( p \) has different slopes 1 and \( n-1 \) which shows that \( f \) is reducible over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) \([Ne]_2, \text{Chapter} II, \text{Theorem} 6.4\], hence \( p \) splits in \( \mathbb{Q}(\pi) \) into \( p \) and \( p' \) and, exchanging \( \pi \) and \( \overline{\pi} \) if necessary, we can assume that \( v_p(\pi) = 1 \) and \( v_p(\overline{\pi}) = n-1 \). Then \([MW]_4, \text{Theorem} 8, 4\]

\[

\nu_p(\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p^n}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = \frac{v_p(\pi)}{v_p(p^n)} |\mathbb{Q}(\pi) : \mathbb{Q}_p| = \frac{1}{n}

\text{and similarly}

\[

\nu_{p'}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p^n}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = \frac{n-1}{n} = -\frac{1}{n}.

\]
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Furthermore [MW] Theorem 8, 3., \(2 \cdot \dim(A) = |\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} : \mathbb{Q}(\pi)|^{1/2} : [\mathbb{Q}(\pi) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2n\). The final statement follows easily from the fact that \(\pi^k \not\in \mathbb{Q}\) for all \(k \geq 1\), c.f. [IZ] Proposition 3(2)], which in turn is evident since \(v_p(\pi) \neq v_p(\pi)\).

Since the properties of \(A/\mathbb{F}_p\) in Proposition 23 are invariant under \(\mathbb{F}_p\)-isogenies, we can, and do, choose \(A/\mathbb{F}_p\) having these properties such that in addition \(\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A) \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\) is a maximal order [Wa1] proof of Theorem 3.13. Denoting by \(\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A)\) the unique prime lying above the prime \(p\) constructed in Proposition 23 we have \((\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A))^{\mathfrak{P}} = S_n\) since \(\text{inv}_p(\text{End}^0_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = 1/n\). We choose a prime \(l\) as follows: If \(p \neq 2\) we take \(l = 2\), and if \(p \neq 2\) we take \(l = 5\).

**Remark 24.** Note that for \(p \neq 2\) a prime \(l \neq p\) topologically generates \(\mathbb{Z}_p^*\) if and only if \((l \text{ mod } p^2)\) generates \((\mathbb{Z}/p^2)^*\). Hence, by Dirichlet’s Theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions, the set of all such \(l\) has a density equal to \((p-1)\phi(p-1)^{-1} > 0\) and is thus infinite. Such an \(l\) can be found rather effectively: given \(l \neq p\), compute \(\alpha_k := (l^{(p-1)/k} \text{ mod } p^2)\) for all primes \(k\) dividing \(p(p-1)\). If for all \(k\), \(\alpha_k \neq 1 (p^2)\), then \(l\) is suitable.

**Theorem 25.** In the above situation

\[(\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A)[1/l])^* \hookrightarrow (\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A))^{\mathfrak{P}} = S_n\]

is a dense subgroup.

**Proof.** We apply Theorem 3 with \(\mathcal{O} := \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A), k := \mathbb{Q}(\pi), p\) the prime of \(\mathcal{O}\) constructed in Proposition 23 and \(S := \{\infty, l\}\) the set consisting of the unique infinite place \(\infty\) of \(k\) and all places dividing \(l\). Clearly, \(p \not\in S\) and \(D := \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Q}\) is not a skew-field at \(\infty\) since \(k_{\infty} \simeq \mathbb{C}\) and \(n > 1\). Using the notation of Theorem 3 we have \(\mathcal{O}_{k,\text{fin}} = \mathcal{O}_k[1/l]\) and \(X = (\mathcal{O}_k[1/l])^*\) since \(k\) has no real place. Theorem 3 shows that the claim of Theorem 25 is equivalent to the density of \((\mathcal{O}_k[1/l])^* \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{k,p}^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^*\). Since \(l \in (\mathcal{O}_k[1/l])^*\), this density is clear for \(p \neq 2\) by our choice of \(l\) whereas for \(p = 2\) we have that \(\{\pm 1\} \times 5^2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^*\) is dense and \(-1, 5 \in (\mathcal{O}_k[1/5])^*\).
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