Role of Glycosylation/Deglycosylation Processes in *Francisella tularensis* Pathogenesis
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Francisella tularensis is able to invade, survive and replicate inside a variety of cell types. However, in vivo *F. tularensis* preferentially enters host macrophages where it rapidly escapes to the cytosol to avoid phagosomal stresses and to multiply to high numbers. We previously showed that human monocyte infection by *F. tularensis* LVS triggered deglycosylation of the glutamine transporter SLC1A5. However, this deglycosylation, specifically induced by *Francisella* infection, was not restricted to SLC1A5, suggesting that host protein deglycosylation processes in general might contribute to intracellular bacterial adaptation. Indeed, we later found that *Francisella* infection modulated the transcription of numerous glycosidase and glycosyltransferase genes in human macrophages and analysis of cell extracts revealed an important increase of N and O-protein glycosylation. In eukaryotic cells, glycosylation has significant effects on protein folding, conformation, distribution, stability, and activity and dysfunction of protein glycosylation may lead to development of diseases like cancer and pathogenesis of infectious diseases. Pathogenic bacteria have also evolved dedicated glycosylation machineries and have notably been shown to use these glycoconjugates as ligands to specifically interact with the host. In this review, we will focus on *Francisella* and summarize our current understanding of the importance of these post-translational modifications on its intracellular niche adaptation.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Protein glycosylation is one of the most common post-translational modifications (PTM) of proteins, as present in all kingdoms of life. It consists in the covalent attachment of glycans onto amino acid side chains, this reaction being catalyzed by an enzyme. In eukaryotic cells, glycosylation has significant effects on protein folding, conformation, distribution, stability, and activity. Particularly, the sugar chains of glycoproteins are essential for maintaining the order of intercellular interactions among all differentiated cells in multicellular organisms. Therefore, alterations in the sugar chains may range from being essentially undetectable to a complete loss in particular functions (Varki, 1993). Indeed, dysfunction of protein glycosylation may lead to development of diseases like cancer and pathogenesis of infectious diseases (Moran et al., 2011). In the innate immune system, which is the major actor for protection against microbial
HOST POINT OF VIEW

*Francisella* infection modifies numerous “glyco-genes” involved in glycosylation pathways in human macrophages. Indeed, using a glycan processing gene microarray (Chacko et al., 2011), we observed significant changes in the level of glycosyltransferase and glycosidase gene expression profiles in human THP-1 monocytes, infected for 24 h with *F. tularensis* LVS (Barel et al., 2016). Expression of eight genes, encoding four glycosyltransferases and four glycosidases, was down-regulated upon infection. These four glycosidases belonged to the EDEM family, which is involved in ER-associated degradation (ERAD). The expression of six genes was up-regulated upon infection, corresponding to five glycosyltransferases and one glycosidase. The up-regulated glycosyltransferases were involved either in N-glycosylation or in O-glycosylation of glycoproteins. The glycosidase gene whose expression was up-regulated, encoded the glycosidase HEXA, which is involved in the Hexosamine Biosynthetic Pathway (HBP) (Vaidyanathan et al., 2014).

Glycosylation occurred as soon as 1 h after entry of the bacteria into the cells. Only three proteins were found and characterized as carrying potential N-glycosylation residues, while nine proteins contained potential O-glycosylation residues. Among them, we characterized BiP/GRP78/HSPA5 protein, a member of the HSP70 heat shock protein family. BiP expression was increased both at transcription and translation level, by *F. tularensis* LVS infection immediately after binding to the cells. BiP glycosylation was also induced at early stage of infection. BiP being a key regulator of the UPR (Ni et al., 2009; Pfaffnback and Lee, 2011), we hypothesized that the glycosylation-deglycosylation processes could be modified by *Francisella*. This could result in direct triggering of the UPR (including BiP) in infected cells with a decrease of the load of newly synthesized “abnormal” proteins. In addition, among the nine proteins containing potential O-glycosylation residues and being glycosylated by *Francisella* infection, we also found PRKCSH, the beta-subunit of glucosidase 2. This enzyme is acting upstream BiP, in the calnexin pathway, which is also involved in correcting misfolded proteins (Hetz et al., 2011).

Infection of human monocytes by *F. tularensis* LVS also triggered the deglycosylation of the glycosylated amino acid transporter SLC1A5 and other glycoproteins (Barel et al., 2012). Deglycosylation induced by *F. tularensis* LVS was maximum at 24 h when intracellular multiplication occurred and depended on the capacity of the bacteria to escape from the phagosomes (Barel et al., 2012). It was not an inhibition of glycosylation since tunicamycin had no inhibiting effect on this deglycosylation.

The enzymes involved in these glycosylation-deglycosylation mechanisms are still not characterized.

We tried to summarize the cascade of events triggered upon infection of macrophages by *Francisella* in the hypothetical model depicted in Figure 1. The transporter SLC1A5 was chosen as a prototypic glycosylated membrane protein. After its synthesis and translocation into the ER, the protein is transported to the Golgi where it is first glycosylated and, from there, addressed to the membrane via secretory vesicles. In the plasma membrane, SLC1A5 is present only as a glycosylated protein (Console...
et al., 2015). Upon re-entry into the cytoplasm via endocytosis, glycosylated SLC1A5 becomes available to glucosidases such as HEXA (whose expression is induced upon Francisella infection). The deglycosylated form of SLC1A5 has been indeed localized only in the cytoplasm (Console et al., 2015). This deglycosylated form of the protein (possibly misfolded) could trigger increase of BiP expression and its glycosylation.

It is tempting to suggest that the intracellular survival of Francisella would be favored both by the control exerted on the UPR response of the host and by the availability of free oligosaccharides resulting from deglycosylation processes, that could serve as nutrients.

**PATHOGEN POINT OF VIEW**

A large number of bacterial proteins have been found to be glycosylated (Tan et al., 2015). They show a surprising degree of diversity, both within and between bacterial species. Protein glycosylation can be classified according to the glycosidic linkage. Attachment to the amide nitrogen of asparagine (Asn) is known as N-glycosylation, with that of serine or threonine (Ser/Thr) to the hydroxyl oxygen being known as O-glycosylation. N- and O-linked glycosylation may occur either through the action of an oligosaccharyltransferase (OST) or via the action of glycosyltransferases (GTs). OSTs substrates are lipid-linked oligosaccharides while the GTs substrates are usually nucleotide-activated sugars. It was only very recently (Dankova et al., 2016) that the glycosylation machinery of Francisella was found to involve a variety of sugar biogenesis enzymes, glycosyltransferases, a flippase, and a protein-targeting oligosaccharyltransferase. As both type A and type B strains of *F. tularensis* subspecies expressed an O-linked protein glycosylation system, which utilizes core biosynthetic and assembly pathways, O-linked protein glycosylation may be a feature common to members of the *Francisella* genus (Egge-Jacobsen et al., 2011).

The initial attempts to elucidate the glycan repertoire of Francisella and their structures had failed because of the enzymatic and chemical release techniques used. Some proteins were found after transcriptional profiling of mutants. Indeed, FTT_0905 was characterized as a glycosylated Type IV pili protein, which is transcriptionally regulated by MglA. As MglA controls the expression of the Francisella pathogenicity island, FTT_0905 was considered as a new virulence factor (Brotcke et al., 2006). However, by mapping the glycoproteome of the FSC200 strain of *F. tularensis* subsp. holarctica, several candidate proteins were found that could be target for glycosylation as DsbA (FTH_1071), an uncharacterized protein FTH_0069, FopA, Tul4, and LemA (Balonova et al., 2010). In contrast, the PglA protein was identified as a targeting oligosaccharyltransferase because it is necessary for PilA glycosylation in *F. tularensis* (Egge-Jacobsen et al., 2011). Indeed, this protein undergoes multisite O-linked glycosylation, with a pentasaccharide of the structure...
HexNac-Hex-Hex-HexNac-HexNac. PglA is highly conserved in Francisella genus, supporting the general feature of O-glycosylation. Then, the detailed characterization of the DsbA glycan and the putative role of the FTT0789–FTT0800 gene cluster in glycan biosynthesis were reported (Thomas et al., 2011). Indeed, these authors observed that the essential virulence factor DsbA migrated as multiple protein spots on two-dimensional electrophoresis gels. The protein was modified with a 1,156-Da glycan moiety in O-linkage. The glycan is a hexasaccharide, comprised of N-acetylhexosamines, hexoses, and an unknown monosaccharide. Loss of DsbA glycan modification was obtained by disruption of two genes within the FTT0789–FTT0800 putative polysaccharide locus, including a galE homolog (FTT0791) and one gene encoding a putative glycosyltransferase (FTT0798). As the mutants remained virulent in the murine model of subcutaneous tularemia, it indicated that glycosylation of DsbA does not play a major role in virulence under these conditions (Thomas et al., 2011). When defining the previously uncharacterized FTH_0069 protein as a novel glycosylated lipoprotein required for virulence, Balonova et al. (2012) also showed that the glycan structure modifying its two C-terminal peptides was identical to that of DsbA glycoprotein, as well as to one of the multiple glycan structures modifying the type IV pilin PilA. They therefore suggested a common biosynthetic pathway for the protein modification and a relationship between synthesis of the O-antigen and the glycan in the early steps of their biosynthetic pathways. Indeed, the pglA gene, encoding pilin oligosaccharyl transferase PglA, was involved in both pilin and general F. tularensis protein glycosylation.

In another study on activation of pulmonary inflammation after F. tularensis Schu S4 exposure (Walters et al., 2013), altered expression level of bacteria-specific mRNA transcripts was found. Among these transcripts, a hypothetical protein FTT_0797 was characterized which shared homology with a glycosyl transferase. This protein is part of a gene cluster, which is thought to encode a polysaccharide additional to the lipopolysaccharide O antigen. Another protein, encoded by FTS_1402, was found to be involved in glycoprotein synthesis and to also contribute in part to LPS/capsule and/or Capsule Like Complex (CLC) production (Dankova et al., 2016). The resulting FTS_1402 mutant presented more sensitivity to serum complement.

All these proteins are summarized in Table 1.

Concerning enzymes involved in degradation pathways, analysis of F. tularensis genomes showed a difference in the number of genes coding for proteins with such enzymatic activity (Table 2). Five genes were found in LVS, while only two genes were found in SchuS4 strain and only one gene in FSC200 strain. None of them was characterized.

### ROLE OF POST-TRANSLATIONAL MODIFICATIONS (PTM) ON BACTERIA/HOST CELL PROTEINS

While two-third of all eukaryotic proteins are estimated to be glycosylated, the number of prokaryotic glycoproteins is still way behind understanding. This is mainly due to the enormous variability of their glycan structures and variations in the underlying glycosylation processes. In 2016, Schäffer and Messner (2016) combined glycan structural information with bioinformatic, genetic, biochemical and enzymatic data for in-depth analyses of glycosylation processes in prokaryotes. This study included the major classes of prokaryotic (i.e., bacterial and archaeal) glycoconjugates without any example on Francisella. Furthermore, in a very recent publication (Bastos et al., 2017), while F. tularensis was shown to exhibit the

### TABLE 1 | Genes published involved in glycosylation pathway.

| Published Gene | Gene Number (FTT) | Protein name | Characteristics | Function | References |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| FTT_0905       |                   | FTT_0905     | Type IV pilin glycosylation protein | Virulence Factor | Brotcke et al., 2006 |
| FTH_1071       | Dsba              | Dsba         |                  |          | Thomas et al., 2011 |
| FTH_0069       |                  | FopA         | Putative Glycosylation |          | Balonova et al., 2010 |
| tu14           | Tu14              | Lema         | Putative Glycosylation |          | Balonova et al., 2010 |
| lemA           |                  | Pga          | Oligosaccharyltransferase | Pilin and Protein glycosylation | Egge-Jacobsen et al., 2011 |
| pglA           |                  |              |                 |          |            |
| FTT_0789       | Ribulose-phosphate 3-epimerase | Glycosyltransferase family protein | Glycan Biosynthesis | Virulence Factor | Thomas et al., 2011 |
| FTT_0798       |                   | Hypothetical protein | Glycosyltransferase |          | Thomas et al., 2011 |
| FTH_0069       | FTT_1676          | Hypothetical protein | Glycosyltransferase | Involved in O antigen glycosylation | Balonova et al., 2012 |
| FTT_0797       |                   |                   |                 |          | Walters et al., 2013 |
| FTS_1402       | FTT_0793          | ABC transporter | Putative glycan flippase | Involved in LPS and CLC product | Dankova et al., 2016 |

FTT, Francisella tularensis ssp. tularensis; FTH, Francisella tularensis ssp. holarctica; FTS, Francisella tularensis ssp. tularensis; FSC200 stain nomenclature.
largest number of glycoproteins in common with M. tuberculosis (Mtb), by sharing 16% of its glycoproteome, none of the glycosylated proteins of Francisella, as well as none of the enzymes involved in glycosylation pathway, have been found to play a specific role in pathogenesis. At the opposite, in M. tuberculosis, glycosylation of HbN, a truncated hemoglobin protein, was demonstrated to be necessary for its maintenance at the bacterial membrane and wall (Arya et al., 2013). Mutation in its mannosine glycan linkage disrupted the facilitation of Mtb and M. smegmatis entry within the macrophages. These data suggested that glycosylation processes allowed Mtb survival within the hazardous environment of macrophages and the establishment of long term persistent infection in the host (Dey and Bishai, 2014).

Of note, Francisella did not belong to the list of prokaryotes that catalyzed glycosylation of host cell proteins (Bastos et al., 2017). In contrast, Legionella was cited as targeting eEF1A through effect of the glucosyl transferase Lgt1, with as result, the killing of eukaryotic cells (Belyi et al., 2014).

CONCLUSION

While 146 examples of protein glycosylation were cited for Francisella and only 111 for Helicobacter pylori (Bastos et al., 2017), the importance of these PTM, observed in Francisella, as well as none of the enzymes involved in glycosylation pathway, have been found to play a specific role in pathogenesis. At the opposite, in M. tuberculosis, glycosylation of HbN, a truncated hemoglobin protein, was demonstrated to be necessary for its maintenance at the bacterial membrane and wall (Arya et al., 2013). Mutation in its mannosine glycan linkage disrupted the facilitation of Mtb and M. smegmatis entry within the macrophages. These data suggested that glycosylation processes allowed Mtb survival within the hazardous environment of macrophages and the establishment of long term persistent infection in the host (Dey and Bishai, 2014).

Of note, Francisella did not belong to the list of prokaryotes that catalyzed glycosylation of host cell proteins (Bastos et al., 2017). In contrast, Legionella was cited as targeting eEF1A through effect of the glucosyl transferase Lgt1, with as result, the killing of eukaryotic cells (Belyi et al., 2014).

TABLE 2 | Genes found in KEGG, with a putative deglycosylation function.

| Francisella tularensis | Gene number | Name | Function |
|------------------------|-------------|------|----------|
| Subsp. tularensis SCHU S4 | FTT_0928c | Beta-N-acetyltetraosaminidase [EC:3.2.1.52] | Beta-glucosidase |
|                         | FTT_0412c | Pullulanase [EC:3.2.1.41] | PullB; pullulanase |
| Subsp. holarctica LVS (Live Vaccine Strain) | FTL_1282 | Beta-N-acetyltetraosaminidase [EC:3.2.1.52] | Beta-glucosidase-related glycosidase |
|                         | FTL_1052 | Pullulanase [EC:3.2.1.41] | Pullulanase |
|                         | FTL_0482 | Pullulanase [EC:3.2.1.41] | Pullulanase |
|                         | AW21_68 | Glycosyl hydrolase family 3 N terminal domain | Hypothetical protein |
|                         | AW21_1415 | Glycosyl hydrolase family 3 N terminal domain | Hypothetical protein |
| subsp. holarctica FSC200 | FTS_1254 | Beta-N-acetyltetraosaminidase [EC:3.2.1.52] | Glycosyl hydrolase family protein |
| Subsp. novicida U112    | FTN_0911 | Alpha-glucosidase [EC:3.2.1.20] | Glycosyl hydrolases family 31 protein |
|                         | FTN_0627 | Chitinase [EC:3.2.1.14] | Chitinase, glycosyl hydrolase family 18 |
|                         | FTN_0806 | Beta-N-acetyltetraosaminidase [EC:3.2.1.52] | Glycosyl hydrolase family 3 |
|                         | FTN_1474 | BglX | Glycosyl hydrolase family 3 |

CONCLUSION

While 146 examples of protein glycosylation were cited for Francisella and only 111 for Helicobacter pylori (Bastos et al., 2017), the importance of these PTM, observed in Francisella, as well as none of the enzymes involved in glycosylation pathway, have been found to play a specific role in pathogenesis. At the opposite, in M. tuberculosis, glycosylation of HbN, a truncated hemoglobin protein, was demonstrated to be necessary for its maintenance at the bacterial membrane and wall (Arya et al., 2013). Mutation in its mannosine glycan linkage disrupted the facilitation of Mtb and M. smegmatis entry within the macrophages. These data suggested that glycosylation processes allowed Mtb survival within the hazardous environment of macrophages and the establishment of long term persistent infection in the host (Dey and Bishai, 2014).

Of note, Francisella did not belong to the list of prokaryotes that catalyzed glycosylation of host cell proteins (Bastos et al., 2017). In contrast, Legionella was cited as targeting eEF1A through effect of the glucosyl transferase Lgt1, with as result, the killing of eukaryotic cells (Belyi et al., 2014).
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