The Use of MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry, Ribotyping and Phenotypic Tests to Identify Lactic Acid Bacteria from Fermented Cereal Foods in Abidjan (Côte d’Ivoire)
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Abstract: Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) protein analysis, automated ribotyping, and phenotypic tests (e.g., cell morphology, gas production from glucose, growth and acid production on homofermentative-heterofermentative differential (HHD) agar medium, sugar fermentation patterns) were used to identify 23 lactic acid bacteria (LAB) isolated from fermented cereal foods available in Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire. Pediococcus acidilactici (56.5%), Lactobacillus fermentum (30.4%), L. salivarius (4.3%), P. pentosaceus (4.3%) and L. plantarum (4.3%) were the species and subspecies identified. Protein based identification was confirmed by automated ribotyping for selected isolates and was similar to that provided by the phenotypic characterization. MALDI-TOF MS protein analysis provided a high level of discrimination among the isolates and could be used for the rapid screening of LAB starter cultures.
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INTRODUCTION

Fermented cereal foods offer West African consumers an affordable source of food and make a significant contribution to their food and nutritional security. Examples of these foods in Côte d’Ivoire include baca or wômi [1, 2]. Like other fermented cereal foods available in the region, these products suffer from inconsistent quality. With increasing urbanization, efforts are currently geared towards developing small-scale facilities for producing fermented cereal foods where the quality of the finished product will be assured. The development and improvement of inoculants containing high concentrations of live microorganisms, referred to as starter cultures, is a subject of increasing interest in efforts to standardize the fermentation step [2]. The use of dried starter cultures has already been shown to be of great use in small-scale processing units [3].

In order to provide West African consumers with fermented products of consistent quality, the microbiology of many fermented cereal foods has been investigated. These investigations indicate that the fermentation was natural and involved mixed cultures of lactic acid bacteria (LAB), yeasts and fungi. The lactic acid bacteria species identified were Lactobacillus fermentum, L. plantarum, L. salivarius, L. delbrueckii, L. amylolyticus, L. reuteri, L. paraplantarum, Lactococcus lactis, Leuconostoc mesenteroides, Pediococcus acidilactici, P. pentosaceus, Streptococcus gallolyticus and Weissella confusa [4-11]. Species identification was performed using phenotypic tests such as cell morphology, sugar fermentation patterns and gas production from glucose, as well as molecular typing techniques, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR), repetitive PCR (Rep-PCR), 16S rRNA sequencing, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), PCR-DGGE fingerprinting, multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA), intergenic transcribed spacers (ITS)-PCR, restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and restriction enzyme analysis with pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (REA-PFGE). Although these procedures provide good discriminatory power and accuracy, most of them are labor-intensive, time-consuming, expensive and difficult to carry out in routine analysis [12, 13]. In addition, identification based on morphological and biochemical tests has been reported to be limited to the genus or species level and to have poor reproducibility and discriminatory power [14, 15].
The selection of LAB as dried starter cultures for cereal fermentation is a complex process, involving the identification as well as the evaluation of some desired metabolic traits and technological performance. The use of an innovative, rapid and accurate technique to identify LAB isolates could be useful for improving the processing of fermented cereal foods. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) is a chemotaxonomic method in which LAB species are identified based on mass spectra patterns of ribosomal proteins [16, 17]. Short turnaround times, low reagent costs or sample volume requirements and the ease of conducting in routine analysis are some of the major advantages that have been reported. Despite high instrument costs, proteomic identification using MALDI-TOF MS is being increasingly used to identify food-associated bacteria such as LAB starter cultures. Lactobacillus plantarum, L. fermentum, P. acidilactici and P. pentosaceus have been accurately identified in fermented vegetables and meat in Vietnam [12, 18]. Bifidobacterium animalis, L. delbrueckii, L. casei, Lact. lactis and S. thermophilus species or subspecies have been successfully differentiated in probiotic foods and yoghurts [19-21]. MALDI-TOF MS protein analysis could be used alone or in combination with a molecular method such as ribotyping in order to differentiate closely related species [14, 22]. Ribotyping is a molecular typing method, which can be performed automatically using the RiboPrinter® microbial characterization system (Qualicon TM, USA).

With regard to identifying LAB from African fermented foods, although the use of phenotypic and genotypic methods have often been reported, no detailed information is available on their proteomic identification using MALDI-TOF MS. This work sought to evaluate the use of MALDI-TOF MS protein analysis for the identification of twenty-three LAB isolated from fermented cereal foods available in Abidjan. Automated ribotyping and phenotypic tests were used to confirm the identity of selected isolates and all the isolates, respectively.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Sample Collection and Isolation Procedure**

Millet gruel (bâcu), fermented millet dough for wômi production and fried millet cake (wômi) samples were collected from street and market sellers in Abidjan. Microbial isolation was performed on MRS agar containing 3.0 g calcium carbonate at 30°C. Fifty-five isolates were obtained and were purified by continued streaking on MRS calcium carbonate agar. An initial screening of the 55 isolates was carried out based on their growth parameters (pH, OD_{600nm} cell concentration) after 18 h culture on MRS broth. Twenty-three broth cultures showed a pH ≤ 4.5, an OD_{600 nm} ≥ 2 and cell concentration ≥ 5×10^8 cfu/g dry weight, and the corresponding presumptive LAB were selected for identification. The selected isolates were purified by continued streaking on trypticase/soy/yeast extract agar (medium 92, German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures [DSMZ] Catalogue of Strains). Microscopic examinations and plate counts on MRS agar were used to check the purity of the isolates. Each isolate was inoculated in MRS broth and stored at 30°C for 18 h. A Microbank® (Pro-Lab Diagnostics, Richmond Hill, Canada) of each isolate was obtained following the manufacturer’s instructions, and then stored at -20°C.

**Phenotypic Characterizations**

The isolates were characterized by cell morphology using an Axio Scope.A1 (Carl-Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) phase-contrast microscope equipped with an Axio Cam MRC5 (Carl-Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) camera. Gas production from glucose was assessed on MRS broth supplemented with Durham tubes [24]. Growth and acid production were determined after 3-day incubation at 30°C on homofermentative-heterofermentative differential (HHD) agar medium [25]. The sugar fermentation patterns of the isolates were determined using the API 50 CHL test (Biomerieux, Marcy l’étoile, France) and following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**MALDI-TOF MS Protein Analysis**

MALDI-TOF MS protein analysis was carried out using a MALDI Bio-Typer (Bruker Daltonics, Karlsruhe, Germany) as described previously [26]. Biomasses on Trypticase/soy/yeast extract agar (medium 92, DSMZ Catalogue of Strains) were subjected to an ethanol/formic acid extraction. Cell extracts obtained were added with a matrix solution (1.5 ml; saturated solution of α-hydroxycinnamic acid in 50% aqueous acetonitrile containing 2.5% trifluoroacetic acid) and dried. Proteomic analysis was performed using a Microflex L20 mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Germany) equipped with an N2 laser and a mass range of 2,000-20,000 m/z was used for analysis. The MALDI-TOF mass spectra were analyzed with MALDI-bioTyper 3.0 software (Bruker Daltonics, Karlsruhe, Germany). The results were expressed by MALDI-bioTyper matching scores (ranging from 0.000 to 3.000), which indicated the similarity of the unknown MALDI-TOF MS profile to available profiles on the MALDI-bioTyper 3.0 software database. Proteomic identification was approved for an isolate with a matching score of more than 2.000. A score-oriented MALDI-TOF MS dendogram was created using MALDI-bioTyper 3.0 software (Bruker Daltonics, Karlsruhe, Germany).

**Automated Ribotyping**

Automated ribotyping of DNA fragments obtained from EcoRI-digested UN3, UN5, UN6, UN20, UN25, UN26, UN32, UN35 and UN47 cells were carried out using the RiboPrinter® microbial characterization system (Qualicon TM, Wilmington, USA) as described previously [27, 28]. The ribopatterns obtained were compared to previously run reference patterns by using the Pearson similarity coefficient and the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

MALDI-TOF MS protein and automated ribotyping, alongside phenotypic tests (e.g., cell morphology, gas production from glucose, growth and acid production on HHD agar medium, and sugar fermentation patterns) were used to identify 23 LAB isolated from fermented cereal foods available in Abidjan. MALDI-TOF mass spectra profiles of the 23 isolates were compared with those of reference strains in the MALDI-bioTyper 3.0 database (Fig. 1). Thirteen isolates formed a cluster with the type strain of *Pediococcus acidilactici* DSM 20284T and there was low diversity in this group. The isolate UN32 appeared as the sister group of these 13 isolates and showed spectra that were similar to the type strain of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* DSM 20336T. The isolates UN47 and UN6 were set well apart and formed two distinct clusters with type strains of *Lactobacillus plantarum subsp. plantarum* DSM 20174T and *Lactobacillus salivarius* DSM 20555T. Seven isolates formed clusters nearby the *Lactobacillus fermentum* type strain DSM 20052T. There was high diversity among these isolates, with six distinct mass spectra profiles observed in the same cluster. Among the 23 isolates identified by MALDI-TOF MS protein analysis, nine isolates were further subjected to automatic EcoRI ribotyping. The resulting patterns for four *Pediococcus* and five *Lactobacillus* isolates are shown in Figs (2 and 3), respectively. The isolate UN32 showed 92% similarity with the type strain of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* DSM 20336T. The similarity between UN20, UN25, UN26 and the type strain of *Pediococcus acidilactici* DSM 20284T exceeded 90%. The isolate UN47 was identified at the subspecies level, showing a similarity of 95% with the *Lactobacillus plantarum subsp. argentoratensis* type strain DSM 16365T (type strain of the Fig (1)). Score-oriented dendogram showing the similarity of the MALDI-TOF mass spectra of 23 LAB isolates and reference strains from the MALDI-bioTyper 3.0 database: *Pediococcus acidilactici* DSM 20284T, *Pediococcus pentosaceus* DSM 20336T, *Lactobacillus fermentum* DSM 20052T, *Lactobacillus salivarius* DSM 20555T and *Lactobacillus plantarum subsp. plantarum* DSM 20174T. The dendogram was created with BioTyper 3.0 software (Bruker Daltonics, Karlsruhe, Germany).

**Fig. (2).** Riboprint patterns of the isolates UN20, UN25, UN26 and UN32. Cluster analysis was performed by UPGMA based on the Pearson correlation (Opt: 1.20%) [0.0%-100.0%]. VCA indicates a standard EcoRI batch. The molecular masses of the bands range from 1 kb (right) to 60 kb (left).
Phenotypic Identification of Lactic Acid Bacteria

The isolates were tentatively identified using phenotypic tests such as cell morphology, CO₂ formation from glucose, and growth and acid production on HHD agar medium (Tables 1, 2, Fig. 4). The coccoid-shaped isolates accounted for 61% (14 isolates), whereas the rod-shaped ones accounted for 39% (9 isolates) of the population. About 70% (16 isolates) of the isolates were unable to produce gas from glucose, indicating that they were homofermentative strains. All the other isolates, accounting for 30% (seven isolates), were related to heterofermentative species. Obligately homofermentative colonies were blue on an acidified HHD agar and were recorded as positive for the test (+) (Fig. 4a). Facultatively homofermentative colonies were white with a blue spot on an acidified HHD agar and were recorded as negative/positive (-/+ for the test (Figure 4b). Obligately heterofermentative colonies were white on a blue HHD agar (medium without acidification) and were recorded as negative (-) for the test (Fig. 4c). All the coccoid-shaped isolates were related to homofermentative species not able to produce CO₂, whereas 78% (7 isolates) of the rod-shaped isolates were related to obligately heterofermentative species. The isolates UN47 and UN6 were related to heterofermentative species. Obligately homofermentative colonies were blue on an acidified HHD agar and were recorded as negative/positive (-/+ for the test (Figure 4b). Obligately heterofermentative colonies were white on a blue HHD agar (medium without acidification) and were recorded as negative (-) for the test (Fig. 4c). All the coccoid-shaped isolates were related to homofermentative species not able to produce CO₂, whereas 78% (7 isolates) of the rod-shaped isolates were related to obligately heterofermentative species. The isolates UN47 and UN6 were related to facultatively homofermentative and obligately homofermentative rod-shaped species, respectively. The isolates belonged to homofermentative coccoid-shaped (P. acidilactici, P. pentosaceus), obligately heterofermentative rod-shaped (L. fermentum), facultatively homofermentative rod-shaped (L. plantarum subsp. plantarum) and obligately homofermentative rod-shaped (L. salivarius) species (Tables 1, 2).

subspecies L. plantarum subsp. plantarum is DSM 20174). Isolate UN 6 could be identified as Lactobacillus salivarius by automated ribotyping. Further on the analysis revealed that the Lactobacillus fermentum group formed several clusters also distantly related to the type strain DSM 20052. Proteomic identification was confirmed by automated ribotyping of selected isolates. A clear differentiation between related L. fermentum species, which showed high diversity among them, was achieved. This had been previously reported in a MALDI-TOF MS protein analysis of L. fermentum species isolated from fermented dua muoi and ca muoi [18]. Our results showed great homogeneity within the P. acidilactici strains as analysed on the protein and DNA (ITS spacer region) level.

Pediococcus acidilactici (57%) and L. fermentum (30%) were the dominant species identified from fermented cereal based foods of Abidjan. Pediococcus acidilactici and L. fermentum have been reported to be the dominant species in other fermented cereal foods in West Africa [5-8, 10, 11]. Lactobacillus salivarius, P. pentosaceus, and L. plantarum have been reported to be less dominant species in mawè [5], ogi [8] and ben-saalga [29] processing, respectively. Others studies have reported that P. pentosaceus is one of the main species in koko or fura [6, 10] and gowé [11] processing. Differences in fermentation length, initial number of microorganisms present in the raw material used, type of containers used for fermentation, and climatic conditions of the local area could explain variations in species proportions among the various fermented cereal foods.

Fig. (3). Riboprint patterns of the isolates UN3, UN5, UN6, UN35 and UN47. Cluster analysis was performed by UPGMA based on the Pearson correlation (Opt: 1.56%) [0.0%-100.0%]. VCA indicates a standard EcoRI batch. The molecular masses of the bands range from 1 kb (right) to 60 kb (left).
Table 1. MALDI-TOF MS identification and phenotypic characterization of the coccoid-shaped isolates.

| MALDI-TOF MS identification a | Pediococcus acidilactici | P. pentosaceus |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Isolates                      | UN2 | UN13 | UN14 | UN18 | UN19 | UN20 | UN25 | UN26 | UN28 | UN29 | UN27, UN39, UN44 | UN32 |
| Source                        |     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |                   |      |
| CO₂ from glucose              | -   | -    | A    | A    | A    | A    | C    | C    | B    | A    | C                  | A    |
| Acid production b             | (-/+)/+ | (-/+)/+ | (-/+)/+ | (-/+)/+ | (-/+)/+ | (-/+)/+ | (-/+)/+ | (-/+)/+ | (-/+)/+ | (-/+)/+ | (-/+)/+             | (-/+)/+ |
| Sugar patterns                |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |                   |      |
| L-Arabinose                   | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | -    | +    | +    | +    | +                  |      |
| Ribose                        | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +                  |      |
| D-Xylose                      | -   | +    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    |                    |      |
| Galactose                     | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +                  |      |
| D-Glucose                     | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +                  |      |
| D-Fructose                    | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +                  |      |
| D-Mannose                     | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +                  |      |
| Mannitol                      | -   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |                    |      |
| Sorbitol                      | -   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | +                  |      |
| N-Acetyl-glucosamine          | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +                  |      |
| Amygdalin                     | -   | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -                  | +    |
| Arbutin                       | -   | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -                  |      |
| Esculin                       | -   | +    | -    | +    | +    | +    | -    | +    | +    | +    | +                  |      |
| Salcin                        | -   | +    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    |                    |      |
| Celllobiose                   | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +                  |      |
| Maltose                       | -   | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    | -    |                    |      |
| Lactose                       | -   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |                    |      |
| Melibiose                     | -   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |                    |      |
| Sucrose                       | -   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |                    |      |
| Trehalose                     | +   | -    | +    | -    | +    | +    | +    | -    | -    | +    |                    |      |
| D-Raffinose                   | -   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |                    |      |
| Starch                        | -   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |                    |      |
| β-Gentobiose                  | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +                  |      |
| D-Lyxose                      | -   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |                    |      |
| D-Tagatose                    | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |                    |      |

Source of the isolates: (A) millet gruel (bùcà), (B) fermented millet dough for wòmi production, (C) fried millet cake (wòmi)

a Identification by species mass spectra
b Based on acid production on homofermentative-heterofermentative differential (HHD) agar medium
c (−/+): White colonies with a blue spot on an acidified HHD agar
d: weak acidification
+: positive/−: negative for the test
Table 2. MALDI-TOF MS identification and phenotypic characterization of the rod-shaped isolates.

| MALDI-TOF MS identification | Lactobacillus fermentum | L. plantarum subsp. plantarum | L. salivarius |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Idolates                    | UN3                     | UN5, UN16                      | UN33         | UN35 | UN37, UN49 | UN47 | UN6 |
| Source                      | A                       | B                              | C            | A    | B          | C    | B   | B  | A |
| CO₂ from glucose            | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | -    | -   | -  |
| Acid production b           | (-) c                   | (-)                            | (-)          | (-)  | (-)        | (-/+ d) | (+) e |
| Sugar patterns              |                         |                                |              |      |            |      |     |    |
| L-Arabinose                 | +                       | -                              | +            | +    | +          | -    | -   | +  |
| Ribose                      | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | +    | -   | -  |
| D-Xylose                    | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | -    | -   | -  |
| Galactose                   | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | +    | +   | +  |
| D-Glucose                   | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | +    | +   | +  |
| D-Fructose                  | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | +    | +   | +  |
| D-Mannose                   | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | +    | +   | +  |
| Dulcitol                    | -                       | -                              | -            | -    | -          | -    | +   | +  |
| Mannitol                    | -                       | -                              | -            | -    | -          | +    | +   | +  |
| Sorbitol                    | -                       | -                              | -            | -    | -          | +    | +   | +  |
| N-Acetyl-glucosamine        | -                       | -                              | -            | +    | -          | +    | +   | +  |
| Amygdalin                   | -                       | -                              | -            | +    | -          | +    | -   | -  |
| Arbutin                     | -                       | -                              | -            | -    | -          | -    | +   | -  |
| Esculin                     | -                       | -                              | -            | -    | -          | +    | -   | -  |
| Salicin                     | -                       | -                              | -            | -    | -          | -    | +   | -  |
| Cellobiose                  | +                       | -                              | -            | +    | -          | +    | -   | -  |
| Maltose                     | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | +    | -   | -  |
| Lactose                     | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | +    | +   | +  |
| Melibiose                   | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | +    | +   | +  |
| Sucrose                     | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | +    | +   | +  |
| Trehalose                   | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | +    | +   | +  |
| D-Raffinose                 | +                       | +                              | +            | +    | +          | +    | +   | +  |
| Starch                      | -                       | -                              | -            | -    | -          | -    | -   | -  |
| β-Gentobiose                | -                       | -                              | -            | +    | -          | -    | -   | -  |
| D-Arabitol                  | -                       | -                              | -            | -    | -          | -    | -   | -  |
| Gluconate                   | +                       | +                              | -            | +    | +          | +    | -   | -  |
| 2-Keto-gluconate            | -                       | -                              | -            | -    | -          | -    | -   | -  |
| 5-Keto-gluconate            | -                       | -                              | -            | -    | -          | -    | -   | -  |

Source of the isolates: (A) Millet gruel (baca), (B) fermented millet dough for wômi production, (C) fried millet cake (wômi).

a: Identification by species mass spectra
b: Growth and acid production on homofermentative-heterofermentative differential (HHD) agar medium
c: (+) White colonies on a blue HHD agar medium
d: (-/+) White colonies with a blue spot on an acidified HHD agar medium
e: (+) Blue colonies on an acidified HHD agar medium
f: weak acidification
+ : positive/-: negative for the test

The sugar fermentation patterns of the isolates were accessed using the API 50 CHL test (Tables 1, 2). All the isolates related to *P. acidilactici*, *P. pentosaceus*, *L. fermentum*, *L. salivarius* and *L. plantarum* subsp. *plantarum* were able to ferment galactose, D-glucose, D-fructose and D-mannose. All homofermentative coccoid shaped isolates...
fermented from all strains and 10 strains were also able to produce acid from D-tagatose (Table 1). From the disaccharides tested, cellobiose and β-gentobiose were utilized from all strains. Reaction for trehalose was variable among the isolates. Only two strains, UN25 and UN 32, were able to ferment melibiose. The same was true for the polysaccharide D-raffinose. Strain UN 32 was the only one able to ferment sorbitol. UN 32 showed a typical metabolic profile of a Pediococcus pentosaceus strain, whereas as all other profiles were more related to Pediococcus acidilactici; except that the profile of strain UN 25 was also closely related to P. pentosaceus caused by the ability to ferment maltose, melibiose, sucrose and D-raffinose. Furthermore only two strains, UN 20 and UN 32, were able to ferment all of the glucosids (amygdalin, arbutin, esculin and salicin) included in the test kit. Taken together more than 77% of the coccoid strains were able to ferment L-arabinose, and D-tagatose. Trehalose, salicin, D-xylose, D-tagatose and amygdalin were fermented by 65%, 46%, 38%, 18% and 8% of them, respectively. All the isolates related to P. acidilactici showed a similar sugar pattern to that reported previously, despite the use of amygdalin and L-fucose by only 8% and none of them, respectively [5]. The isolate related to P. pentosaceus, which did not ferment D-tagatose, was able to ferment more sugars (e.g., sorbitol, sucrose and D-raffinose). Within the P. acidilactici isolates, it is possible that UN 25 was related to P. pentosaceus because it was able to ferment D-raffinose. Pediococcus acidilactici and P. pentosaceus were difficult to discriminate using biochemical tests because they were more closely related to each other than to other pediococci species [30].

All the isolates related to L. fermentum were able to ferment most of the hexoses, pentoses and disaccharides, except D-arabinose, L-sorbose and D-turanose. (Table 2). More than 70% of them were able to ferment L-arabinose, gluconate and 5-keto-gluconate. Eighty-six percent and 71% of them fermented gluconate and 5-keto-gluconate, respectively. Only two strains isolate UN3 and UN35 were able to ferment cellobiose and esculin The isolate UN35 showed a more distantly related profile as compared to the other obligately heterofermentative rod-shaped isolates. This strain was able to utilize all glucosides, N-acetyl-glucosamin, and β-gentobiose. The two homofermentative strains showed a completely different metabolic profile. Mannitol, sorbitol, N-acetyl-glucosamine and D-raffinose were fermented by the L. salivarius isolate (UN6), which was unable to ferment all the 5-carbon sugars tested (e.g., arabinose, ribose and xylene). The L. plantarum subsp. plantarum isolate (UN47) was able to ferment dulcitol, mannitol and D-arabitol that were not fermented by any of the other isolates. The sugar fermentation pattern of the isolates related to L. fermentum was similar to that reported previously, and the isolate UN35 could be related to L. fermentum (biotype cellobiosus) [5, 31]. Ribotyping also indicated that the DNA fingerprint of strain UN35 was more related to strain DSM 20055 as compared to the type strain of Lactobacillus fermentum DSM 20052T. The species Lactobacillus cellobiosus (type strain DSM 20055T) have been reclassified as a later heterotypic synonym of Lactobacillus fermentum [32]. Based on high 16S rRNA and recA gene sequence similarity L.cellobiosus was assigned to the species L. fermentum.

Fig. (4). Growth and acidification on homofermentative-heterofermentative differential (HHD) agar medium of isolates UN6 (a), UN29 (b), and UN5 (c)

were not able to produce acid from D-arabinose or L-lyxose. Both pentoses are found rarely in nature only. L-arabinose was in contrast widespread among crop products and could be fermented from all strains except UN 26. All coccoid strains were able to ferment ribose in addition, and D-xylose was utilized from 5 strains only. Hexoses like galactose, D-glucose, D-fructose, D-mannose, N-acetyl-glucosamine were
The isolate UN6 related to *L. salivarius* was able to ferment all the sugars reported previously for the same species, except maltose [5]. The isolate UN47 showed a similar sugar pattern to that reported for *L. plantarum* species and *L. plantarum* subsp. *argentoratensis* DKO 22T isolated from sour cassava, although there were some differences [33, 34]. This isolate was able to ferment more sugars (e.g., dulcitol, sorbitol, arbutin, D-arabitol and 2-keto-glucosonate), but it did not ferment mannitol. Inters-strain variability in fermentation ability could explain the differences in sugar patterns among isolates of the same species [35]. The API 50 CHL test, together with the Durham test and acid production on HHD agar medium, could be used for a rapid phenotypic screening of LAB, based on the great similarity in the results provided by each of them. At least one of the 5-carbon sugars tested (e.g. arabinose, ribose and xylose) was fermented by all the isolates related to *P. acidilactici*, *P. pentosaceus*, *L. fermentum* and *L. plantarum* subsp. *plantarum*, whereas none of them was fermented by the obligately homofermentative isolate UN6, related to *L. salivarius*.

MALDI-TOF MS identification of the isolates corresponded with that provided by the phenotypic characterization. MALDI-TOF MS protein analysis was also useful for differentiating *L. fermentum* strains (UN 37, UN 49), which showed similar sugar patterns. Identification based on biochemical tests was related more to metabolic functions than to genetic proximity [7]. MALDI-TOF MS protein analysis provided accurate identification as well as a high level of discrimination among isolates from fermented cereal foods available in Abidjan. We suggest that it could be used for the accurate and rapid screening of LAB starter cultures in general. Microbial investigation of the various fermented cereal food samples resulted into the detection of two homofermentative *Lactobacillus* strains. Homofermenters produce lactate efficiently from the fermentation of glucose. Acidification and acid tolerance of a starter culture is important for the fermentation process itself. Notably strain UN47 was able to ferment most of the disaccharides. The combination of biochemical testing and MALDI-TOF could efficiently be used for quick identification and selection of ideal candidates to be adapted as starter cultures for cereal fermentation foods.
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