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Abstract

Objective: Inequity in physician distribution poses a challenge to many health systems. In Japan, a new postgraduate training program for all new medical graduates was introduced in 2004, and researchers have argued that this program has increased inequalities in physician distribution. We examined the trends in the geographic distribution of pediatricians as well as all physicians from 1996 to 2010 to identify the impact of the launch of the new training program.

Methods: The Gini coefficient was calculated using municipalities as the study unit within each prefecture to assess whether there were significant changes in the intra-prefectural distribution of all physicians and pediatricians before and after the launch of the new training program. The effect of the new program was quantified by estimating the difference in the slope in the time trend of the Gini coefficients before and after 2004 using a linear change-point regression design. We categorized 47 prefectures in Japan into two groups: 1) predominantly urban and 2) others by the definition from OECD to conduct stratified analyses by urban-rural status.

Results: The trends in physician distribution worsened after 2004 for all physicians (p value < 0.0001) and pediatricians (p value = 0.0057). For all physicians, the trends worsened after 2004 both in predominantly urban prefectures (p value = 0.0012) and others (p value < 0.0001), whereas, for pediatricians, the distribution worsened in others (p value = 0.0343), but not in predominantly urban prefectures (p value = 0.0584).

Conclusion: The intra-prefectural distribution of physicians worsened after the launch of the new training program, which may reflect the impact of the new postgraduate program. In pediatrics, changes in the Gini trend differed significantly before and after the launch of the new training program in others, but not in predominantly urban prefectures. Further observation is needed to explore how this difference in trends affects the health status of the child population.

Background

Optimizing the distribution of physicians poses a challenge to the health systems of many countries [1–15]. The maldistribution of physicians may arise along different geographical dimensions, such as between urban and sparsely populated rural areas or between areas of medical specialization.

In Japan, attempts have been made to increase medical student quotas and the number of medical schools in order to increase the numbers of physicians. Thus far, however, these efforts have not resolved the disparity in the distribution of physicians [4,13].

The Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare (MHLW) introduced a new postgraduate medical education program to improve residency training in 2004. The new scheme was introduced to address deficiencies in clinical training in the country’s 6-year undergraduate medical program. The generally accepted view in the Japanese media is that access to medical care has become more unequal, particularly since the advent of the new postgraduate training program [16,17]. The new program includes two years of mandatory post-graduate training focused on primary care in designated clinical training hospitals. Before this program, most new medical graduates underwent postgraduate...
training at a hospital associated with the university from which they graduated. Under the new program, new medical graduates select urban hospitals for their training rather than rural university hospitals [18]. Consequently, the number of physicians in university hospitals has decreased. Most of the hospitals in Japan have ties to university hospital departments, and physicians are dispatched to hospitals under the supervision of a particular university department. Because the number of physicians at university hospitals has decreased, university hospitals find it increasingly difficult to send physicians to affiliated hospitals, which are often located in rural areas. Furthermore, physicians working in rural areas return to university hospitals to fill the void left by the lack of new medical graduates. The Japan Medical Association Research Institute reported that almost 80% of all university hospitals have reduced the dispatch of physicians to other medical institutions since the launch of the new training program [16]. However, studies on the impact of the new postgraduate training system on physician distribution in Japan have been limited [4,19–21].

The situation in pediatrics in Japan is particularly serious. There have been reports of pediatricians’ deaths due to overwork and suicides resulting from depression due to overwork [22]. Moreover, there are regions without pediatricians, forcing parents and guardians to travel long distances to obtain treatment for sick children. Medical practitioners and patients alike are adversely affected by the shortage of pediatricians.

There is a need to address the distribution of physicians according to geographic and specialization needs [16–18]. However, previous investigations have been limited to a focus on physician totals [1,2,4,13,23] with one notable study by Ehara focusing on the number of pediatricians in Japan [21].

In this study, trends in the distribution of pediatricians as well as total numbers of physicians in Japan from 1996 to 2010 were examined to identify the impact of the launch of the new training program in 2004 on physician, especially pediatrician, distribution in Japan.

Methods

The Gini coefficient was used to assess the distribution of physicians, as in several previous studies [2,4,13,23,24]. The Gini coefficient is traditionally used to analyze the distribution of income and wealth and has a theoretical range from 0 (perfect evenness) to 1 (maximum possible unevenness). It provides a standardized value to reflect the relative unevenness of distribution. The Gini coefficient is calculated by a method described elsewhere [2,4,13,23,24].

Japan has three levels of government: municipal, prefectural and national. Municipalities are the basic geographical units of administration. Prefectures and municipalities in Japan are roughly comparable to states and counties in the United States. There are 47 prefectures in Japan. Data on the number of all physicians and pediatricians by municipality level were obtained from the Survey of Physicians, Dentists, and Pharmacologists [25], which is conducted by the MHLW every two years. All licensed physicians must complete this survey and register their working address and specialty according to the Medical Practitioners Law [26]. The estimated registry rate is reported to be between 87% and 90% [27]. Data from 1996 to 2010 were publicly available online at the time of this study. Therefore, the following eight time points were used for the analysis: 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008 and 2010. In this study, pediatricians are defined as physicians whose main specialty is pediatrics, and we did not include physicians who provide pediatric care although their main specialty is not pediatrics.

Data on municipal populations were obtained from the Basic Resident Registers, which are collected and compiled by the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications in March of each year [20].

To calculate the Gini coefficient for all physicians, the general population of each locality was used (i.e. plotting the Lorenz curve which is based on the cumulative proportion of the total population served by physicians within each locality). The x-axis of the Lorenz curve represents the cumulative proportion of total population ranked by physician-to-population ratio and the y-axis represents that of total physicians. To calculate the Gini coefficient for pediatricians, the child population in each locality was used. The x-axis of the Lorenz curve represents the cumulative proportion of child population ranked by pediatrician-to-child population ratio and the y-axis represents that of pediatricians. The child population is defined as the population under 15 years old because children in this age group are treated by pediatricians in Japan.

This study is composed of the following four steps. First, the trends in the Gini coefficient are shown for all of Japan using prefectures as the study unit. Second, the trends in the Gini coefficient are analyzed for all of Japan using municipalities as the study unit. Third, the Gini coefficient is calculated using municipalities as the study unit within each prefecture to assess whether there are significant changes in inequity in the distribution of all physicians and pediatricians before and after the launch of the new training program. The effect of the 2004 postgraduate training program is quantified by estimating the difference in the slope of the pediatrician Gini using a linear change-point regression procedure. We fit the following model for the study outcome:

| Table 1. Trend in total population, child population, number of total physician and pediatricians, and per 100,000 capita total physician and per 100,000 child capita pediatricians. |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total population (*1,000,000)                  | 124.9           | 125.6           | 126.1           | 126.5           | 126.8           | 127.1           | 127.1           | 127.1           |
| Child population (*1,000,000)                   | 19.7            | 19.1            | 18.6            | 18.1            | 17.8            | 17.5            | 17.3            | 17.1            |
| Number of total physicians                     | 230,297         | 236,933         | 243,201         | 249,574         | 256,668         | 263,540         | 271,897         | 280,431         |
| Number of pediatricians                        | 13,781          | 13,989          | 14,160          | 14,481          | 14,677          | 14,700          | 15,236          | 15,870          |
| Per capita total physicians                    | 184.4           | 188.7           | 192.9           | 197.3           | 202.4           | 207.4           | 214.0           | 220.7           |
| Per child capita pediatricists                 | 70.3            | 73.2            | 76.3            | 79.9            | 82.5            | 83.8            | 88.1            | 93.0            |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077045.t001
\[ E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{year} + \beta_2 Z + \beta_3 \text{year} \cdot Z \]

where \( E(Y) \) is the expected value of the dependent variable, which is the Gini coefficient, and \( z \) is defined as a function that equals 1 when \( \text{year} \geq 2004 \) and is otherwise equal to 0. When expressed in terms of the mean response prior to and after 2004,

\[ E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{year} \cdot \text{year} < 2004; \]

\[ E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 \text{year} \cdot \text{year} \geq 2004. \]

Thus, \( \beta_3 \) provides a measure of the difference in the trend in \( E(Y) \) prior to and after the year 2004 and can be interpreted as the effect of the new postgraduate medical education program. Hereafter, the pre-period is defined as the period from 1996 to 2002 and the post-period is defined as the period from 2004 to 2010 because the survey in 2004 reflected the impact of the new program since the new program was introduced on April 1, 2004 and the Survey of Physicians, Dentists, and Pharmacologists is conducted in December every year. Fourth, stratified analyses by urban/rural status were conducted to explore the impact of the launch of a new postgraduate medical education program in 2004 in urban and rural areas. To classify 47 prefectures into urban or rural status, we employed the definition from Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD regional typology). The definition from OECD classifies regions into predominantly Urban, Intermediate and Predominantly Rural by prefecture.

### Table 2. Gini coefficient using prefecture, municipality as study units.

|                      | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 | 2004 | 2006 | 2008 | 2010 |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| **Physician totals** |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Gini coefficient by prefecture | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Gini coefficient by municipality | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| **Pediatrician**     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Gini coefficient by prefecture | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Gini coefficient by municipality | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.37 |

### Table 3. Results of linear change-point regression models for intra-prefectural distributions.

| Effect      | Estimate | SE*   | p value |
|-------------|----------|-------|---------|
| all physicians |         |       |         |
| \( \beta_0 \) intercept | 0.2838 | 0.00916 | <.0001 |
| \( \beta_1 \) year | -0.0028 | 0.00073 | 0.0002 |
| \( \beta_2 \) \text{year} | -0.0185 | 0.0043 | <.0001 |
| \( \beta_3 \) \text{year} \cdot \text{year} | 0.00625 | 0.00103 | <.0001 |
| pediatrician |         |       |         |
| \( \beta_0 \) intercept | 0.3444 | 0.01035 | <.0001 |
| \( \beta_1 \) year | -0.0042 | 0.00146 | 0.0042 |
| \( \beta_2 \) \text{year} | -0.0248 | 0.00865 | 0.045 |
| \( \beta_3 \) \text{year} \cdot \text{year} | 0.00575 | 0.00207 | 0.0057 |

*SE: standard error.
*b: \( Z \): a function that equals 1 when \( \text{year} \geq 2004 \) and 0 otherwise.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077045.t002
level in Japan. In our study, we categorized prefectures in Japan into two groups: 1) predominantly urban and 2) others [29]. Because no standard definition of the term “rural” exists [30–33], we also conducted a series of robustness checks. Previous studies have employed one of the following definitions [30]: 1) metropolitan statistical area [32,34], which is comparable to metropolitan area codes in Japan: 2) population size [13,30,32]; and 3) population density [30,35]. In this study, we used the following two definitions for robustness checks. First, we employed the definition of the metropolitan area code by the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications: Metropolitan areas outside of Tokyo consist of central cities (cities with a population of 500,000 or more) as well as surrounding municipalities where 1.5% or more of the population commutes to the central cities. Tokyo has 23 wards, each of which is considered a central city, although some of the wards have population of less than 500,000 [36]. The Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications classifies municipalities into the following five categories: 1) central cities for major metropolitan areas, 2) surrounding municipalities of central cities for major metropolitan areas, 3) central cities for metropolitan areas, 4) surrounding municipalities of central cities for metropolitan areas, and 5) other municipalities. In this study, the prefectures that include central cities for major metropolitan areas are defined as urban, and others are defined as rural. Second, we employed population density to define urban/rural status as an alternate definition. Under this alternative definition, the prefectures with population density more than 1000/km² are defined as urban, and others are defined as rural. Japan underwent administrative reorganization by a large-scale merging of municipalities. Therefore, the total number of municipalities dramatically decreased during the study period. The number of physicians and the population of the municipality in every data set were adjusted for the new municipal boundaries, by merging former smaller municipality into later larger ones. To examine the trend in the geographic distribution of physicians using the Gini coefficient, the number and boundaries of geographic units must be fixed. Therefore, the 2010 boundaries (n = 1,750) were used for all time points.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** Figure 2-a Mean Gini coefficients of all physicians in intra-prefectural distributions; Prefectures were classified into predominantly urban prefectures and others according to the definition of OECD. Figure 2-b Mean Gini coefficients of pediatricians in intra-prefectural distributions; Prefectures were classified into predominantly urban prefectures and others according to the definition of OECD. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077045.g002

| Table 4. Results of stratified analyses by the definition of OECD regional typology in linear change-point regression models for intra prefectural distributions using municipalities as the unit of analysis. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Effect | Predominantly urban prefectures | Others |  |
| | (n = 13) | (n = 34) |  |
| Effect | Estimate | SE | p value | Estimate | SE | p value |  |
| all physicians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| β0 intercept | 0.3192 | 0.02013 | <.0001 | 0.2702 | 0.00968 | <.0001 |  |
| β1 year | −0.00510 | 0.00136 | 0.0003 | −0.0019 | 0.00079 | 0.0201 |  |
| β2 z' | −0.0206 | 0.00806 | 0.0124 | −0.0177 | 0.00468 | 0.0002 |  |
| β3 z' year | 0.00645 | 0.00193 | 0.0012 | 0.00617 | 0.00112 | <.0001 |  |
| pediatricians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| β0 intercept | 0.3542 | 0.01746 | <.0001 | 0.3406 | 0.0127 | <.0001 |  |
| β1 year | −0.0042 | 0.00249 | 0.0943 | −0.0042 | 0.00178 | 0.0187 |  |
| β2 z' | −0.0245 | 0.01476 | 0.1008 | −0.0249 | 0.01054 | 0.0192 |  |
| β3 z' year | 0.00677 | 0.00353 | 0.0584 | 0.00536 | 0.00252 | 0.0343 |  |

a: Miyagi, Saitama, Chiba, Tokyo, Kanagawa, Shizuoka, Aichi, Kyoto, Osaka, Hyogo, Nara, Hiroshima, and Fukuoka were defined as predominantly urban prefectures.
b: SE: standard error.
c: z: a function that equals 1 when year ≥ 2004 and 0 otherwise.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077045.t004
A two-tailed p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SAS software 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

**Results**

Table 1 shows the total population, child population, number of total physicians, pediatricians, per capita total physicians and per child capita pediatricians between 1996 and 2010. The child population decreased, the number of pediatricians increased, and the number of per child capita pediatricians increased over the study period (Table 1).

Table 2 shows trends in the Gini coefficient for Japan using prefectures and municipalities (Table 2). The Gini coefficients using both prefectures and municipalities as the units of analysis show little change during the study period.

Figure 1 shows the mean of the Gini coefficient using municipalities as the unit of analysis each year for all physicians (Figure 1-a) and pediatricians (Figure 1-b). The linear change-point regression model shows (Table 3) that there was a statistically significant change (improvements in distribution) in the Gini coefficient in the pre-period for all physicians (p-value = 0.0002) and pediatricians (p-value = 0.0042), and the changes statistically differed between the pre- and the post-period for all physicians (p-value <.0001) as well as pediatricians (p-value =0.0057), i.e. deterioration in the post-period. This result suggests that intra-prefectural distribution of physicians worsened because the Gini coefficients using both prefectures and municipalities as the units of analysis showed little change during the study period.

A total of 13 prefectures (Miyagi, Saitama, Chiba, Tokyo, Kanagawa, Shizuoka, Aichi, Kyoto, Osaka, Hyogo, Hiroshima, and Fukuoka) were defined as predominantly urban prefectures and the remaining 34 are defined as others. In 2010, 58.4% of the total population of Japan lived in predominantly urban prefectures, and the remaining of 41.6% lived in others.

Figure 3 shows the mean of the Gini coefficient stratified by predominantly urban prefectures and others for all physicians.
The mean of the Gini coefficient in predominantly urban prefectures is higher for all physicians and pediatricians during the study period. The stratified analyses by predominantly urban prefectures and others (Table 4) shows that for all physicians, predominantly urban and others showed the same trends; there is a significant decrease in the Gini coefficient in the pre-period ($\beta_1 = -0.00510$, p-value = 0.0003 in predominantly urban prefectures and $\beta_1 = -0.0019$, p-value = 0.0201 in others) and there is a significant change in trends for the worse between the pre- and the post-period ($\beta_2 = 0.00645$, p-value = 0.0012 in predominantly urban prefectures and $\beta_2 = 0.00617$, p-value <0.0001). For pediatricians, in predominantly urban prefectures, there is no evidence of a significant change in Gini trends in the pre-period (p-value = 0.0943), and the trends do not differ between the pre- and the post-period (p-value = 0.0594), whereas in other prefectures, there is a statistically significant decrease (i.e. improvement in Gini) in the pre-period ($\beta_3 = -0.0042$, p-value = 0.0187) and the trend significantly differs between the pre- and the post-period ($\beta_3 = 0.00536$); becoming worse in the post-period ($\beta_3 = 0.00536$). Adjusted Gini Coefficients multiplied by $n/(n-1)$ (n is the number of municipalities in each prefecture) [37] were also used for a linear change-point regression procedure; however, the results did not change.

Table 5 shows the result from robustness check using the metropolitan area code as the urban/rural definition. A total of 14 prefectures (Hokkaido, Miyagi, Saitama, Chiba, Tokyo, Kanagawa, Niigata, Shizuoka, Aichi, Kyoto, Osaka, Hyogo, Hiroshima, and Fukuoka) include central cities for metropolitan areas and are defined as urban, and the remaining 33 are defined as rural. In 2010, 63.5% of the total population of Japan lived in urban areas, and the remaining of 36.5% lived in rural areas. The pattern of results was similar under this definition. The mean of the Gini coefficient in urban areas is higher for all physicians and pediatricians during study period (Figure 2-a and 2-b). The mean of the Gini coefficient in predominantly urban prefectures is higher for all physicians and pediatricians during the study period. The stratified analyses by predominantly urban prefectures and others (Table 4) shows that for all physicians, predominantly urban and others showed the same trends; there is a significant decrease in the Gini coefficient in the pre-period ($\beta_1 = -0.00510$, p-value = 0.0003 in predominantly urban prefectures and $\beta_1 = -0.0019$, p-value = 0.0201 in others) and there is a significant change in trends for the worse between the pre- and the post-period ($\beta_2 = 0.00645$, p-value = 0.0012 in predominantly urban prefectures and $\beta_2 = 0.00617$, p-value <0.0001). For pediatricians, in predominantly urban prefectures, there is no evidence of a significant change in Gini trends in the pre-period (p-value = 0.0943), and the trends do not differ between the pre- and the post-period (p-value = 0.0594), whereas in other prefectures, there is a statistically significant decrease (i.e. improvement in Gini) in the pre-period ($\beta_3 = -0.0042$, p-value = 0.0187) and the trend significantly differs between the pre- and the post-period ($\beta_3 = 0.00536$); becoming worse in the post-period ($\beta_3 = 0.00536$).

Table 6. Results of stratified analyses by population density in linear change-point regression models for intra prefectural distributions using municipalities as the unit of analysis.

| Effect | Estimate | SE | p value | Effect | Estimate | SE | p value |
|--------|----------|----|---------|--------|----------|----|---------|
| all physicians | &gt; = 1000/km² * (n = 7) | &lt; 1000/km² (n = 40) |
| b0 | intercept | 0.329 | 0.026 | 0.2759 | 0.00969 | < 0.0001 |
| b1 | year | -0.00587 | 0.001322 | &lt; 0.0001 | -0.00221 | 0.000754 | 0.0036 |
| b2 | year | -0.01104 | 0.007818 | 0.1646 | -0.01979 | 0.004462 | &lt; 0.0001 |
| b3 | year | 0.004126 | 0.001869 | 0.0323 | 0.006622 | 0.001067 | &lt; 0.0001 |
| pediatricians | &lt; = 1000/km² * (n = 7) | &lt; 1000/km² (n = 40) |
| b0 | intercept | 0.3516 | 0.01839 | &lt; 0.0001 | 0.3431 | 0.01179 | &lt; 0.0001 |
| b1 | year | -0.0023 | 0.002569 | 0.3752 | -0.00455 | 0.00166 | 0.0065 |
| b2 | year | -0.01255 | 0.0152 | 0.4131 | -0.02689 | 0.009821 | 0.0066 |
| b3 | year | 0.001765 | 0.003634 | 0.6295 | 0.006449 | 0.002348 | 0.0064 |

a: Saitama, Chiba, Tokyo, Kanagawa, Aichi, Osaka, and Fukuoka have population with more than 1000/km².
b: SE: standard error.
c: Z: a function that equals 1 when year $ij$ &gt; = 2004 and 0 otherwise.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077045.t006

Figure 4. Mean Gini coefficients of all physicians in intra-prefectural distributions: Prefectures were classified into urban and rural according to the population density. Figure 4-b Mean Gini coefficients of pediatricians in intra-prefectural distributions; Prefectures were classified into urban and rural according to the population density.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077045.g004

Table 6. Results of stratified analyses by population density in linear change-point regression models for intra prefectural distributions using municipalities as the unit of analysis.
the pre- and the post-period in rural areas (p-value = 0.0156), but not in urban areas (p-value = 0.1877). (Table 5).

When population density was used as the urban/rural definition, a total of 7 prefectures (Saitama, Chiba, Tokyo, Kanagawa, Aichi, Osaka, and Fukuoka) are defined as urban and the remaining 40 are defined as rural. In 2010, 44.5% of the total population of Japan lived in urban areas, and the remaining 55.5% lived in rural areas. Namely, almost half of Japanese population lived in the above-mentioned 7 prefectures. The pattern of results was similar under this definition as well. The mean of the Gini coefficient in urban areas is higher for all physicians and pediatricians during study period (Figure 4-a and b). For all physicians, there were significant changes in trends for the worse between the pre- and the post-period both in urban and rural areas (p-values = 0.0323 and <0.0001), whereas for pediatricians, there was a significant change in trends for the worse between the pre- and the post-period in rural areas (p-value = 0.0064), but not in urban areas (p-value = 0.6295). (Table 6).

Discussion

In this study, distributions of all physicians and pediatricians were examined over the period straddling the introduction of the new postgraduate medical training scheme in 2004. We note the following two major findings. First, the changes in trends in the geographic intra-prefectural distribution both all physicians and pediatricians differed significantly between the pre- and the post-period, with numbers trending upward after the introduction of the new postgraduate training scheme, which implies that the intra-prefectural distributions of physicians worsened. Second, in the stratified analyses by predominantly urban and other prefectures for pediatrics, there was a significant deterioration in trends in the post-period in other prefectures, though not in predominantly urban prefectures.

Previous quantitative analyses of physician distribution in Japan [4,19–21] have not corroborated the public perception that physician distribution deteriorated after the launch of the new postgraduate training program. [38–40] For example, Ono K et al. [19] assessed the distribution of physicians and ophthalmologists before and after the new postgraduate training program using Gini coefficients for two time points: 1996 and 2006. They concluded that the geographical distribution of ophthalmologists and physicians did not worsen after the introduction of the new postgraduate training program. Similarly, Tanahara S et al. [20] examined geographic disparities in physician distribution using Gini coefficients for six time points between 1998 and 2006 and concluded that the Gini coefficient changed little during the study period. Toyabe S. [4] examined physician distribution using three measures: Gini coefficients, the Atkinson index and the Theil index, for six time points between 1998 and 2008 and concluded that the Gini coefficient changed little during the study period.

Our study has the following limitations. First, the Survey of Physicians, Dentists, and Pharmacologists does not include data on whether a physician works full time or part time. As a result, this analysis was based on an overall headcount, which might overestimate the number of physicians. In particular, the percentage of female physicians in pediatrics is high [49], as is the percentage of female physicians who work part time [50]. Therefore, it is likely that the numbers of practicing pediatricians were overestimated. Furthermore Nomura et al. found that female physicians were more likely than male physicians to work in university-affiliated hospitals. [51] Because these hospitals are more likely to dispatch physicians to rural areas, the gender balance of physicians in urban versus rural areas may exacerbate the shortage of clinicians practicing in rural areas. Because our
data did not include information on physician gender, we were unable to analyze trends by gender. Second, information about work sites, such as clinics or hospitals, was not publicly available at the municipality level. Therefore, this variable could not be considered in the analysis, although the declining number of physicians working in hospitals has been seen as a problem in Japan [4,19–21]. Third, we are only able to comment on whether the trends improved or worsened before and after the launch of the new postgraduate training program; we are unable to explain how the change in trends affects the health status of the population. No absolute optimal value for the Gini coefficient has been determined. Clearly, a longer observation period is needed to assess the ongoing impact of the new postgraduate training program on the health status of the population. Fourth, Gini coefficients were calculated for each prefecture. Therefore, this study considered the trend in distribution within each prefecture despite the ability of physicians and residents to migrate across prefectures. Fifth, urban-rural status is defined by prefecture level, but there are variations within each study unit (e.g., rural southern Illinois vs Chicago), which complicates the interpretation of prefecture-based findings. Sixth, we should note that the choice of spatial unit could lead to different conclusions regarding the pattern of geographic inequalities in the number of physicians. Lastly, the concentration of pediatricians did not necessarily worsen access to health care services. More specifically, at the beginning of the 21st century, the Japan Pediatric Society proceeded to concentrate the workforce of pediatricians into regional pediatric centers. The move was made in order to use them efficiently and to prevent the burnout of the physicians [52]. Ehara analyzed the time for patients to reach the regional pediatric centers and concluded that 90% of the child population in Japan would be able to arrive at the regional pediatric centers within two hours; therefore, there would be only a limited number of patients who would be unable to access health care as a result of the concentration of the workforce of pediatricians [53].

Despite these limitations, we believe that our study contributes to the debate about the impact of the new postgraduate training program on inequality in the physician supply for the following reasons. First, we used time series data over a comparatively long period. Second, this is the first study to show statistically significant differences in the trends of physician distribution before and after the launch of the new training program. Third, our analyses reveal that a detailed and disaggregated approach by specialties is needed although previous studies considered only the overall number of physicians [1,2,4,13,23]. The stratified analyses by urban/rural status of physicians and pediatricians showed different trends. This result suggests that trends in the distribution of physicians vary according to specialty. Forth, we used three different definitions of urban/rural status because no standard definition of the term “rural” exists [30–33], and all analyses showed the similar results. Last, we also conducted the same analysis using “Secondary Tier of Medical Care” (STM) as the unit of analysis; however, the change of spatial unit did not greatly affect our conclusions. (Detailed results are shown in tables S1–S4).

Conclusion

The changes in trends in the intra-prefectural distribution of physicians and pediatricians differed significantly before and after the launch of the new postgraduate training program, and our findings suggest an adverse impact of the new postgraduate training system. In pediatrics, changes in the Gini trend differed significantly before and after the launch of the new postgraduate training program in other prefectures, but not in predominantly urban prefectures. Further observation is needed to explore how this difference in trends affects the health status of the child population.
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