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Ursodeoxycholic Acid Attenuates Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress-Related Retinal Pericyte Loss in Streptozotocin-Induced Diabetic Mice
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Loss of pericytes, an early hallmark of diabetic retinopathy (DR), results in breakdown of the blood-retinal barrier. Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress may be involved in this process. The purpose of this study was to examine the effects of ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), a known ameliorator of ER stress, on pericyte loss in DR of streptozotocin- (STZ-) induced diabetic mice. To assess the extent of DR, the integrity of retinal vessels and density of retinal capillaries in STZ-induced diabetic mice were evaluated. Additionally, induction of ER stress and the unfolded protein response (UPR) were assessed in diabetic mice and human retinal pericytes exposed to advanced glycation end products (AGE) or modified low-density lipoprotein (mLDL). Fluorescein dye leakage during angiography and retinal capillary density were improved in UDCA-treated diabetic mice, compared to the nontreated diabetic group. Among the UPR markers, those involved in the protein kinase-like ER kinase (PERK) pathway were increased, while UDCA attenuated UPR in STZ-induced diabetic mice as well as AGE- or mLDL-exposed retinal pericytes in culture. Consequently, vascular integrity was improved and pericyte loss reduced in the retina of STZ-induced diabetic mice. Our findings suggest that UDCA might be effective in protecting against DR.

1. Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy (DR), a retinal microvascular disease, is one of the leading causes of severe vision loss among the working-age population [1, 2]. Proliferative retinopathy and diabetic macular edema are major complications of DR and lead to severe visual impairment [3]. Strict control of blood glucose as well as early detection and treatment are often effective measures in preventing severe vision loss due to DR [3]. However, the currently available treatment modalities, such as laser photocoagulation, intravitreal injection of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) agents, or surgery, are mainly focused on macular edema or late-stage DR [3].

The pathogenesis of DR is extremely complex, involving multiple mechanisms. Numerous studies have revealed apoptosis of retinal ganglion cells and inner nuclear layer degeneration in diabetic retina, suggesting that neuroretinal degeneration is an important step in DR development [4–6]. Inflammation is additionally believed to be involved in DR [7]. Our group has focused on vascular alterations, as DR is generally considered a microvascular complication of diabetes. One of the early key pathological events of DR is breakdown of the blood-retinal barrier (BRB) [1, 7]. Pericytes are essential in the maintenance of vascular integrity/BRB, and their functional abnormalities and eventual loss may play a critical role in the breakdown of BRB in DR [1, 4, 8–10]. Hyperglycemia leads to pericyte loss, either directly or through nonenzymatic formation of advanced glycation end products (AGE) [11, 12]. Modified low-density lipoprotein (mLDL), associated with accelerated atherosclerosis in diabetes, may also induce injury of retinal capillary pericytes [13–16]. Loss of pericytes subsequently results in vascular abnormalities accompanied by upregulation of angiogenic
The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is an intracellular compartment that functions in protein biosynthesis and folding [18]. When ER function is disrupted, unfolded and misfolded proteins accumulate within the organelle, a situation termed ER stress [18, 19]. ER stress induces the unfolded protein response (UPR) in cells to restore ER homeostasis. Although UPR is regarded as a cellular mechanism triggered to overcome ER stress, it may lead to apoptosis in cases of prolonged and severe ER stress [20]. UPR begins with an increase in interactions between binding protein/glucose-regulated protein 78 (Bip/GRP78), a key ER stress regulatory chaperone protein, and unfolded proteins in the ER [21, 22]. Three signaling branches of the UPR are triggered by the dissociation of Bip/GRP78 from three integral ER membrane proteins, specifically, protein kinase-like ER kinase/eukaryotic translation initiation factor-2α (PERK/eIF2α), inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1), and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) [18–22]. In cases where initiation of these branch pathways cannot resolve the accumulation of unfolded proteins, apoptotic pathways are triggered [20]. The most significant apoptotic pathway in the ER is PERK/eIF2α- or ATF6-dependent transcriptional induction of CCAAT-enhancer-binding protein homologous protein (CHOP) [20]. UPR was initially detected in neurodegenerative disorders, such as Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease. Recent reports suggest that ER stress and UPR may be associated with diabetes [22, 23]. Moreover, ER stress has been shown to contribute to retinal inflammation in DR [18, 19, 22]. Fluctuations in glucose levels that often occur in diabetes can induce ER stress in retinal pericytes, a change associated with the early stage of DR [22–25].

Ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) and tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA), drugs for primary biliary cirrhosis and other cholestatic disorders, act as chemical chaperones that alleviate ER stress and exert protective effects on retinal cells under conditions of hyperglycemia, retinal detachment, choroidal neovascularization, and light-induced retinal damage [26, 27]. ER stress, potentially involved in the early stage of DR, may be an ideal target for early therapeutic intervention [28]. This study was performed to investigate the role of ER stress in DR and further ascertain whether UDCA, a known ER stress alleviator, exerts a therapeutic effect in streptozotocin- (STZ-) induced diabetic mice.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals. STZ, UDCA, fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). AGE was purchased from BioVision (Milpitas, CA, USA). mLDL was prepared by diluting low-density lipoprotein (Calbiochem; La Jolla, CA, USA) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and incubating with 10 μM CuCl₂ at 37°C for 24 h [29, 30].

2.2. Animals. The ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research was followed, and experimental protocols were approved by the Internal Review Board for Animal Experiments of the Asan Life Science Institute, University of Ulsan College of Medicine (Seoul, Korea). C57BL/6NCrSlc male mice 8 weeks of age (22–25 g) were obtained from Japan SLC, Inc. (Hamamatsu, Japan) and maintained at 24 ± 0.5°C under a 12 h light/dark cycle. Animals were injected once intraperitoneally with STZ (150 mg/kg body weight in 50 mM citrate buffer, pH 4.5) or citrate buffer alone (control) after 4 h of fasting [31, 32]. Mice with blood glucose levels higher than 300 mg/dL were considered diabetic at 1 week after STZ injection. Two weeks after injection, the experiment was initiated by injecting animals intraperitoneally with UDCA (100 mg/kg/d body weight) or 10% cyclodextrin buffer in saline daily for 6 weeks. This dose of UDCA was selected based on earlier experiments by Woo et al. [33] and our preliminary studies. UDCA (100 mg/kg/d body weight) attenuated UPR marker expression and reduced vascular leakage in fluorescein angiography. The dose used was lower than that by Woo et al. [33].

2.3. Fundus Photography and Fluorescein Angiography. Fluorescein angiography was performed with the Micron III retinal imaging system (Phoenix Research Laboratories, Inc., Pleasanton, CA, USA) under inhalation anesthesia with 1.5% isoflurane at 8 weeks after STZ injection. Pathological vascular changes are reported to be diverse even within the same species of chemically induced diabetic animal models [34]. Vascular leakage was reported to appear at 2 months [35], and we performed angiography at 8 weeks in our setting. Images were captured with a contact lens in Micron III after intraperitoneal injection with 0.2 ml of 2% fluorescein sodium (Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Fort Worth, TX, USA). Fluorescein angiographs of the early phase were obtained 3 min after fluorescein injection while those of the late phase were taken at 15 min. The intensity of fluorescein leakage was quantified with ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA) and averaged from 10 different measurements.

2.4. FITC Staining of Retinal Flat-Mount. We sacrificed mice 8 weeks after STZ injection, when we evaluated as the time-point of prominent vascular change, from our previous experiment [36]. Anesthesia was performed via intramuscular injection of 0.3 ml of Zoletil (diluted 1:5) and cardiac perfusion with 0.5 ml of 15 mg/ml fluorescein-dextran (2,000 kDa, #FD2000S, Sigma). After 5 min, eyes were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 h and shifted to a culture dish filled with PBS. Retinal tissues were dissected, flat-mounted on glass slides with coverslips, and examined via confocal microscopy (Carl-Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Capillary density was measured as the number of capillaries counted in a 500 μm straight line, and 15 measurements of capillary density were averaged for each retina [37].

2.5. Immunohistochemistry. Collected retinas were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, washed in PBS, and incubated with permeabilizing and blocking solution, specifically, PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100 and 1% bovine serum albumin. The
presence of retinal pericytes was assessed by immunostaining of retinal tissues incubated with anti-PDGFR-β antibody (1:100, Epitomics, Burlingame, CA, USA) at 4°C for 7 days and subsequently with Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies at 4°C for 1 day (1:500; Alexa Fluor 555-donkey anti-rabbit IgG, Invitrogen).

2.6. Human Retinal Pericyte Culture. The human retinal pericyte cell line was obtained from the Applied Cell Biology Research Institute (Suite B, Kirkland, WA, USA) and cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium with 1g/L glucose (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The primary antibodies used were rabbit IgG or anti-mouse IgG, as appropriate (1:10,000; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), and anti-β-actin (1:2500; Sigma). Protein band intensities were quantified by densitometry using ImageJ software.

2.7. Cell Death Assay. We performed propidium iodide (PI, Sigma) staining under similar conditions as above to determine the number of apoptotic cells [38, 39]. Briefly, human retinal pericytes were plated on 24-well plates and exposed to either 100 μg/ml AGE or 500 μg/ml mLDL with or without 100 μg/ml UDCA dissolved in DMSO. mLDL prepared in PBS and incubated with CuCl₂ was used as a control. The doses of AGE or mLDL used were based on data from a previous study by our group [36], while 100 μg/ml UDCA was the lowest concentration effective in attenuating expression of UPR markers in cultured retinal pericytes.

2.8. Western Blot Analysis. Eyeballs were enucleated for evaluation of UPR markers at 4 weeks after STZ injection, while those for evaluation of inflammatory cytokines were harvested at 8 weeks [36]. Pericytes and whole eyeball tissues were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, 1 μg/ml leupeptin, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). Lysates were centrifuged and supernatant protein concentrations determined using the DC Protein Assay Reagent (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Samples containing equal amounts of protein were separated via sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies, followed by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG or anti-mouse IgG, as appropriate (1:10,000; Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). The primary antibodies used were anti-GRP78 (1:2500; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), anti-ATF6 (1:1000, NOVUS, Littleton, CO, USA), anti-pPERK (1:500, Santa Cruz Technology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), anti-pepF2α (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), anti-CHOP (1:1000, Santa Cruz), anti-MCP-1 (1:1000; NOVUS), anti-p-TNFα (1:1000; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), and anti-β-actin (1:2500; Sigma). Protein band intensities were quantified by densitometry using ImageJ software.

2.9. Statistical Analysis. All results are presented as means ± SEM. Statistical tests were performed using SPSS version 23.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney U test was used to evaluate the significance of differences between groups, and P values < 0.05 were considered significant. All statistical analyses and graphical presentations were conducted using Sigma Plot version 10.0 software.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of UDCA on Vascular Integrity in STZ-Induced Diabetic Mice. Leakage of fluorescein dye occurs in cases of increased capillary permeability and is considered a marker for BRB breakdown in DR [12]. Using fluorescein angiography obtained with a retinal imaging system for living mice (Micron III), we examined the effect of UDCA on vascular integrity in diabetic mice. UDCA treatment was initiated 2 weeks after STZ injection and then continued for 6 weeks. Increased fluorescein leakage was observed at a late phase in retinas of STZ diabetic mice, compared with controls, which was significantly attenuated upon UDCA treatment (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). To evaluate the effect of UDCA on retinal microvasculature in diabetic mice, we measured the linear capillary density by counting the number of capillaries intersected by a 500 μm line on fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) images at 8 weeks after STZ injection [36, 37]. Linear capillary density was decreased in nontreated diabetic mice, compared with controls, but not in UDCA-treated mice (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). Immunostaining with anti-platelet-derived growth factor receptor-β (PDGFR-β) antibody specific to pericytes showed loss of retinal pericytes in retinal whole flat mounts of nontreated diabetic mice, which was protected in UDCA-treated mice (Figures 2(c) and 2(d)).

3.2. Attenuation of UPR and Inflammatory Cytokine Induction in Diabetic Mice by UDCA. Based on the recent finding that ER stress is involved in early-stage DR, we performed western blot of eye tissue harvested 2 to 6 weeks after STZ injection. Expression of UPR markers began to increase at 3 weeks, peaked at 4 weeks, and decreased at 6 weeks after STZ injection (data not shown). Based on these data, we selected 4 weeks showing prominent expression of UPR as the time-point to examine the effects of UDCA on UPR markers. As shown in Figure 3, expression levels of GRP78, pPERK, and pepF2α were elevated in retinal tissues of diabetic mice, which were attenuated following UDCA treatment. However, ATF6 and CHOP displayed no significant alterations in expression patterns. Concurrent with the increased expression of specific UPR markers, levels of MCP-1, and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), cytokines associated with diabetic retinopathy were elevated. Notably, these increases were attenuated upon UDCA treatment. To eliminate the possibility that UDCA
induces better control of blood glucose levels to exert a protective effect against DR. Body weight and blood glucose levels in all three groups of mice were measured throughout the experimental period. These metabolic parameters were not markedly different among the control, diabetic, and UDCA-treated diabetic groups (Figure 4).

3.3. UDCA Attenuates Induction of UPR and Inflammatory Cytokines in Retinal Pericytes. Hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia, considered systemic risk factors in DR [12], may act through multiple pathways. One hyperglycemia-induced factor is AGE, which exerts toxic effects in cells. For lipid metabolites, modified LDL may be a significant toxic factor. Accordingly, we examined the effects of AGE and mLDL on cultured retinal pericytes [8, 15, 40, 41]. First, to determine the effects of UDCA in vitro, western blot analysis for UPR markers was performed in AGE- or mLDL-exposed human retinal pericytes. Cells were treated with either 500 \( \mu \)g/ml AGE or 100 \( \mu \)g/ml mLDL for 24 or 12 h, respectively, with or without cotreatment with 100 \( \mu \)M UDCA. Notably, expression levels of pPERK, peIF2\( \alpha \), and CHOP were increased in both AGE (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)) and mLDL-treated groups (Figures 6(a) and 6(b)). These increases in expression were suppressed upon cotreatment with UDCA. In the STZ model of diabetes in mice, neither AGE nor mLDL affected the levels of GRP78 or ATF6. In addition, consistent with in vivo findings, MCP-1 and TNF-\( \alpha \) levels in pericyte cultures were increased by AGE or mLDL and suppressed upon UDCA cotreatment (Figures 5 and 6). Finally, we examined the effects of UDCA on cell death induced by AGE or mLDL. The number of PI-positive dead cells was increased following exposure to AGE (Figures 5(c) and 5(d)) or mLDL (Figures 6(c) and 6(d)). Cotreatment with UDCA attenuated AGE- and mLDL-induced pericyte cell death.

4. Discussion

The key findings of the present study are that ER stress and inflammation occur early in the course of DR in STZ-treated diabetic mice, which are effectively suppressed by UDCA. UDCA is already in clinical use for biliary disease and may therefore be relatively quickly introduced as a new drug for DR once its efficacy is established.

DR, the most common microvascular complication of diabetes, is one of the leading causes of blindness [42, 43]. The global prevalence of diabetes is increasing, with numbers expected to reach 300 million by 2025 [44]. Recently, the global prevalence of DR was reported as ~35%. The World Health Organization has estimated that DR is responsible for 15–17% total blindness in the United States and Europe [2, 42, 43, 45]. Early clinical manifestations of DR include loss of retinal pericytes and a disrupted BRB, resulting in microaneurysms, hard exudates, and retinal hemorrhage [44, 45]. Microvascular dysfunction in DR triggers capillary hyperpermeability and occlusion, leading to macular edema and retinal neovascularization [3, 45]. Multiple randomized controlled trials have reported that intensive control of hyperglycemia and hypertension can inhibit the progression of DR, but the sight-threatening complications at the late stages remain responsible for the significant socioeconomic burden and personal costs [2, 46, 47]. The mainstay of current DR therapy is focused on vascular complications, such as...
diabetic macular edema or retinal neovascularization at the late stage, and is not effective in restoring previously impaired vision [48].

The ER is an intracellular organelle responsible for protein biosynthesis, folding, and maturation [18, 20]. Since the ER also acts as a sensor of environmental changes and cellular stress, various pathological conditions can compromise its protein folding capacity, resulting in accumulation of unfolded or misfolded proteins (a condition known as ER stress) [20]. The UPR is consequently activated to eliminate unfolded or misfolded proteins and restore cellular homeostasis. However, prolongation of the UPR has been shown to induce apoptosis [20, 23]. Recent studies on the molecular mechanisms of DR suggest that ER stress plays important roles in its pathogenesis [49]. Furthermore, ER stress is reported to be associated with diabetes-induced inflammation [18, 22, 25]. Multiple ER stress markers, including GRP78, p-IRE1α, and p-eIF2α, are upregulated in the retina of Akita mice, a genetic model of type 1 diabetes [18]. Zhong et al. [25] demonstrated increased secretion of MCP-1 and expression of ATF4 and CHOP in human retinal pericytes during intermittent hyperglycemia, a similar condition of glucose fluctuation in diabetic patients. Data from the present study showed that expression levels of UPR markers, in particular pPERK and p-eIF2α (signals involved in the PERK/eIF2α branch pathway), chemokines,

Figure 2: Effect of UDCA on pericyte loss in STZ-induced diabetic mice. (a) Representative images of FITC staining in control, nontreated diabetic, and UDCA-treated diabetic mice. Original magnification: ×200; scale bar: 500 μm. (b) Capillary density was lower in nontreated diabetic mice, while no differences were observed between UDCA-treated diabetic and control mice. Fifteen measures of capillary density were averaged for each retina from control (n = 5), nontreated diabetic mice (n = 5), and UDCA-treated diabetic mice (n = 5). p < 0.001 versus control mice, *p < 0.005 versus nontreated diabetic mice. (c) Representative images of PDGFR-β staining for retinal pericytes in control, nontreated diabetic and UDCA-treated diabetic mice. Original magnification: ×200; scale bar: 200 μm. (d) The number of pericytes was lower in nontreated diabetic mice while no differences between UDCA-treated diabetic and control mice were evident. Measurements of pericyte number were averaged for each retina from control (n = 5), nontreated diabetic mice (n = 5), and UDCA-treated diabetic mice (n = 6). CTL: control mice, DM: nontreated diabetic mice, and +UDCA: diabetic mice treated with UDCA, **p < 0.001 versus control mice.
and cytokines, such as MCP-1 and TNFα, are significantly increased in diabetic mice at time-points before the prominent manifestation of retinopathy.

Pericytes are essential for the integrity of retinal capillaries and regulation of capillary diameter and blood flow, and their loss is a characteristic feature in the early events of DR [1, 9, 17]. Clinically, diffuse leakage of fluorescein from hyperpermeable nascent vessels in fluorescein angiography typical of late-stage DR was consistently demonstrated in our experiments with STZ-induced diabetic mice using a mouse-specific FA imaging system. STZ-induced diabetic mice models seem to present pathological characteristics of various ranges [34, 35]. As vascular changes began to appear since 6 weeks after STZ injection [37], we observed retinal vascular changes at 8 weeks. AGE and mLDL were used, which specifically induced MCP-1 in human retinal pericytes among the several diabetes-involved cytokines examined previously [36]. While several studies reported
an induction of UPR in cultured retinal pericytes under either hyperglycemic or hypoglycemic condition, [23, 50, 51], our preliminary experiments using various concentrations of glucose could not induce cytotoxic changes in cultured retinal pericytes. Instead, we were able to reproduce UPR induction with using AGE and mLDL, which are end products formed from hyperglycemia, as reported in previous studies [13, 15, 52]. Under these conditions, pIF2α and CHOP levels were specifically increased, supporting the significance of the PERK/eIF2α pathway in DR.

UDCA, an FDA-approved drug for primary biliary cirrhosis, is a chemical chaperone alleviating ER stress [53]. Protective effects of UDCA have been reported in multiple retinal diseases, such as retinal detachment, choroidal neovascularization, and light-induced retinal damage [26, 33]. Accordingly, we hypothesized that UDCA may exert protective effects via modulating ER stress in DR [28]. The mouse-specific imaging system (Micron III) was used to compare severity of retinopathy between experimental groups, as fluorescein dye leakage is an indicator of BRB loss [54]. Our experiments disclosed decreased leakage in the UDCA-treated group, compared to extensive leakage in non-treated diabetic mice, especially at the late stage of fluorescein angiography. This finding was consistent with the preserved retinal capillary density and number of retinal pericytes observed following UDCA treatment, suggesting a protective role of UDCA in vascular integrity. While some studies using db/db or ob/ob type 2 diabetic mice reported that UDCA treatment induced normalization of hyperglycemia, resulting in the protective effect on vascular change [53, 55],

Figure 5: AGE-induced UPR, inflammatory cytokine expression, and cell death are attenuated by UDCA in retinal pericytes. (a) Typical results of five independent western blots are shown. (b) Increase in pPERK, pIF2α, CHOP, and MCP-1 was attenuated upon cotreatment with UDCA. GRP78, ATF6, and TNF-α did not display significant changes in expression among groups. (c, d) PI staining demonstrating a protective effect of UDCA against cell death in AGE-exposed retinal pericytes. Original magnification: ×100; scale bar: 100 μm. CTL: control pericytes, AGE: AGE-exposed pericytes, and +UDCA: AGE-exposed pericytes cotreated with UDCA, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
UDCA treatment did not normalize hyperglycemia in our STZ-induced diabetic mice. We speculate that the different method of inducing diabetes in animal models could result in this discrepancy. Attenuated cell death with UDCA cotreatment in AGE- and mLDL-exposed retinal pericytes further supports the protective role of UDCA. Moreover, this protective effect may be associated with attenuation of ER stress and diabetes-related cytokines, both in vivo and in vitro.

5. Conclusions

Here, we demonstrated that ER stress and UPR markers are increased at early stages in STZ-induced diabetes in mice and AGE or mLDL-exposed retinal pericytes. UDCA clearly attenuates the increase in ER stress and prevents loss of pericytes and vascular integrity in DR. Our results suggest that induction of ER stress is involved in early DR. Further research is warranted to evaluate the utility of UDCA as a therapeutic agent for DR.
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