The Formation, Detriment and Solution of Residual Lithium Compounds on Ni-Rich Layered Oxides in Lithium-Ion Batteries
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Ni-rich layered transition-metal oxides with high specific capacity and energy density are regarded as one of the most promising cathode materials for next generation lithium-ion batteries. However, the notorious surface impurities and high air sensitivity of Ni-rich layered oxides remain great challenges for its large-scale application. In this respect, surface impurities are mainly derived from excessive Li addition to reduce the Li/Ni mixing degree and to compensate for the Li volatilization during sintering. Owing to the high sensitivity to moisture and CO2 in ambient air, the Ni-rich layered oxides are prone to form residual lithium compounds (e.g., LiOH and Li2CO3) on the surface, subsequently engendering the detrimental subsurface phase transformation. Consequently, Ni-rich layered oxides often have inferior storage and processing performance. More seriously, the residual lithium compounds increase the cell polarization, as well as aggravate battery swelling during long-term cycling. This review focuses on the origin and evolution of residual lithium compounds. Moreover, the negative effects of residual lithium compounds on storage performance, processing performance and electrochemical performance are discussed in detail. Finally, the feasible solutions and future prospects on how to reduce or even eliminate residual lithium compounds are proposed.
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INTRODUCTION

Although LiCoO2 is one of the earliest successfully commercialized cathode materials, with a low energy density, high cost and toxicity, it is not suitable to be applied as a power battery material (Lu et al., 2019; Xian et al., 2020; Cheng et al. 2020). By substituting cobalt (Co) with nickel (Ni), LiNiO2 has a similar layered crystal structure to LiCoO2. However, it possesses a larger energy density and costs less (Ohzuku et al., 1993; Deng et al., 2019; Mu et al., 2020). Unfortunately, due to the large cation mixing degree during synthesis (preparing stoichiometry LiNiO2 is actually difficult) and extreme air sensitivity, the practical application of LiNiO2 is very challenging (Liu et al., 2007; Manthiram et al., 2016; Das et al., 2017). In this respect, substituting the fractional Ni atoms in
LiNiO₂ with other metallic elements, Ni-rich layered oxide materials (LiNiₓMₓO₂, M = Co, Mn, Al, x + y = 1 and x ≥ 0.6) will be more stable than pristine LiNiO₂ (ChongYoon et al., 2015; Manthiram et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018; Ryu et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019c). Taking NCM (LiNiₓCoₓMn₁₋ₓO₂) materials as an example, through replacing some Ni atoms at the 3b site with Co and Mn, with a favorable synergistic effect, they exhibit equalizing performance, where Ni is the main capacity provider with two redox couples including Ni²⁺/Ni³⁺ and Ni³⁺/Ni⁴⁺, Co³⁺ is beneficial in suppressing Li/Ni mixing and enhances systematic ionic conductivity, furthermore, Mn³⁺ can also stabilize the lattice structure and improve thermal stability (Deng and Manthiram, 2011; Liang et al., 2016; Masoumi et al., 2017). However, with the increase of Ni content, some intrinsic defects originating from LiNiO₂ are prone to be more obvious, such as: Li/Ni cation mixing, air sensitivity, serious slurry gelation, and unwanted gas evolution, which should be solved urgently before their large-scale application (Park et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2019a; Duán et al., 2019; Zhang, 2020).

Li/Ni mixing is one of the characteristic defects of Ni-rich layered oxides since Ni²⁺ (0.69 Å) and Li⁺ (0.76 Å) have a similar ionic radius, and Ni²⁺ is difficult to fully oxidized into Ni³⁺ during the sintering synthesis process. In order to minimize the Li/Ni mixing degree, the synthesis process needs to be carried out under an oxygen atmosphere by adding an excessive Li source (Wu et al., 2015; Park and Choi, 2018; Li et al., 2019a; Zhang et al., 2019b). However, after sintering, the residual lithium remains on the surface of the final product, which is prone to absorbing and reacting with moisture and carbon dioxide (CO₂) in air. Therefore, residual lithium compounds spontaneously generate (Cho et al., 2014). In addition, the external Ni³⁺ ions with high chemical activity have a tendency to be reduced into Ni²⁺, together with the lattice oxygen release, the growth of residual lithium compounds, and the surface phase transition during air exposure (Jo et al., 2014b; Tian et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019a). Those are the main reasons why residual lithium compounds increase on the surface of Ni-rich cathode materials with an air exposure time extension.

Furthermore, the formation of residual lithium compounds not only influences the electrode preparation, but also results in capacity fading and is a safety hazard. During the electrode slurry preparation, the high alkaline Ni-rich cathode materials (pH = 11) will give rise to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) degradation and slurry gelation, thus worsening the processability of the electrode slurry (Ross et al., 2000). Another issue is that due to the intrinsic insulativity of surface impurities, the Li⁺ diffusion is seriously restricted, leading to the increased cell polarization and inferior cycling performance (Chen et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). Moreover, the electrochemical decomposition of residual lithium compounds will cause cell swelling and localized heating, which are potential safety risks in its practical application (Min et al., 2017; Mao et al., 2019; Renfrew et al., 2019). As a consequence, how to effectively reduce surface residual lithium compounds of Ni-rich cathode materials, has become a current research hotspot.

Up to now, various strategies have been put forward to overcome the aforementioned issues, such as a washing process, secondary sintering, surface coating, heterogeneous doping and slurry additives, which will be introduced later. In addition, recently, Renfrew et al. found that, up to 4.8 V vs Li/Li⁺ on the first charge, the decomposition of residual lithium compounds was the main source of CO and CO₂ evolution, not the electrolyte decomposition (Renfrew and McCloskey, 2017). They also suggested that oxygen evolution from the lattice of Ni-rich layered oxides decreased after removing partial residual Li₂CO₃, implying that the residual lithium impurities may facilitate the generation of oxygen, which is different from what we knew before, that lattice oxygen release originates from an irreversible H₂ to H₃ phase transition (Flores et al., 2020). Therefore, understanding the origin of residual lithium compounds and the possible solutions of how to remove them appear to be particularly necessary.

**SOURCES OF RESIDUAL LITHIUM COMPOUNDS**

**Excessive Addition of Lithium in Synthesis**

For the purpose of gaining Ni-rich layered oxides, the transition metal hydroxide precursor, usually prepared by a co-precipitation method, is uniformly mixed with a lithium source (e.g. lithium hydroxide and lithium carbonate) and sintered in high temperature at oxygen atmosphere for a period of time. The sintering temperature must be higher than the melting point of the lithium sources. For example, LiOH, the most commonly used lithium source, with a melting point lower than 500°C, is basically sintered above 750°C with a Ni-rich hydroxide precursor. Therefore, the volatilization of lithium during sintering should be taken into consideration. Moreover, due to the similar radius of Li⁺ (0.76 Å) and Ni²⁺ (0.69 Å), if the ratio of lithium and the precursor is 1, the Li⁺/Ni²⁺ cation mixing will be severe. To reduce the impact of this defect, Arai et al. demonstrated that excessive lithium addition was beneficial to suppress cation mixing so that the resultant Ni-rich materials remained a well-ordered layered structure with ameliorative cycling performance (Arai et al., 1995). For those reasons, in order to compensate for the lithium volatilization at high temperature and to reduce the cation mixing degree, it is necessary to introduce excessive lithium, which normally ranges from 1% to 5%. However, the mass of excess lithium addition is highly related to the sintering temperature and sintering time (Wang et al., 2017; Kong et al., 2019). As a result, after sintering, a part of unreacted lithium remains on the surface mainly in the form of lithium oxide (Li₂O), which is worth noting that the lithium oxide on the surface is chemically unstable, and tends to absorb and react with moisture and CO₂ in air to further form residual lithium compounds, including LiOH, LiHCO₃, and Li₂CO₃. Cho et al. also reported that, if too much residual lithium (Li₂O) remained on the surface of the final product after calcination, LiOH would be the major constituent part (Cho et al., 2014). The total reactions of residual lithium and air can be described as Equation 1-3:

\[
\text{Li}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{LiOH} \\
\text{LiOH} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{LiHCO}_3
\]
Li₂O + CO₂ → Li₂CO₃  \hspace{1cm} (3)

**Air Sensitivity**

Apart from the formation of Li₂O after sintering, with a strong alkaline surface, Ni-rich cathode materials are also intrinsically sensitive to moisture and CO₂, forming residual lithium compounds on the surface during the unavoidable air contact. However, the surface degradation mechanisms of Ni-rich cathode materials exposed to ambient air still remains controversial, which can be mainly divided into two kinds: a redox reaction mechanism and a proton–lithium exchange mechanism.

By comparing the amounts of surface impurities on \( \text{LiNi}_x\text{Co}_y\text{Mn}_z\text{O}_2 \) with different Ni contents, Noh et al. found more carbonates and hydroxides grew on the higher Ni content cathode surface (Noh et al., 2013), revealing that the formation of surface residual lithium compounds was related to surface Nickel ions. Similarly, on the basis of density functional theory (DFT) calculation, Qian et al. promoted that with the increase of Ni content, the charge transfer ability and moisture adsorption energy of Ni-rich layered oxides were prone to being more robust (Qian et al., 2019), suggesting that the growth of Li₂CO₃ in high Ni content cathodes was faster than it is in a low Ni content one (Figure 1A). As a matter of fact, in view of the crystal field theory, Ni\(^{3+}\) at the octahedral site with an electron configuration of \( t_2g^6e_g^1 \) is not stable and is prone to giving rise to the Jahn-Teller (J-T) effect, inducing lattice distortion, while Ni\(^{2+}\) with an electron configuration of \( t_2g^6e_g^2 \) is an inactive J-T ion (Figure 1B) (Kong et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2019b). The chemical active Ni\(^{3+}\) ions therefore tend to be converted to Ni\(^{2+}\), which could alleviate local lattice distortion of Ni-O octahedral and can release partial residual stress and reduce system energy at the same time. Furthermore, the reduction reaction of Ni\(^{3+}\) will accelerate the production of highly active oxygen species (Xiao and Sun, 2018). Consequently, when Ni-rich layered oxides are exposed to ambient air, the active oxygen species will react with the absorbed moisture and CO₂ to form hydroxyl and carbonate species, along with the release of lattice oxygen to form oxygen gas. The formed hydroxyl and carbonate species react with lithium ions from host material and finally generate residual lithium compounds (mainly LiOH and Li₂CO₃), together with the phase transition from a layered structure to a NiO-like rock-salt structure (Huang et al., 2019a; Martinez et al., 2020). The total reaction can be expressed as the following equations:

\[
\text{Ni}^{3+} + O^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{2+} + O^-(\text{lattice}) \hspace{1cm} (4)
\]

\[
O^- + O^- \rightarrow O^2^- + O^-(\text{active}) \hspace{1cm} (5)
\]

\[
O^2^- (\text{active}) + CO_2 \rightarrow CO_3^{2-} \hspace{1cm} (6)
\]

\[
O^2^- (\text{active}) + H_2O \rightarrow 2OH^- \hspace{1cm} (7)
\]

\[
O + O \rightarrow O_2 \hspace{1cm} (8)
\]

Except for the formation of Li₂CO₃ and LiOH, LiHCO₃ is also considered to be a residual lithium compound which may be produced by the reaction between LiOH and CO₂ under ambient atmosphere.

In addition, the composition and content of residual lithium compounds are extremely concerned with the temperature, moisture, and CO₂ concentration around the storage environment. Thus, the
**NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF RESIDUAL LITHIUM COMPOUNDS**

The formation of residual lithium compounds not only puts forward a strict requirement of storage atmosphere for Ni-rich cathode materials, but also sets great challenges for the subsequent slurry processing, cycling performance, and safety issues. In this section, the negative effects of residual compounds are analyzed.

**Increase Storage Costs**

As shown by the spontaneous growth of detrimental residual lithium compounds on the surface of Ni-rich cathode materials during air exposure, it is inappropriate to store Ni-rich cathode materials in ambient air. Generally, for practical application, Ni-rich cathode materials are stored under vacuum or inert atmosphere conditions, which significantly enhance the storage cost to some extent, leading to inconveniences in production and application. Recently, Faenza et al. compared the growth of residual lithium compounds on LiNi0.8Co0.15Al0.05O2 stored at different conditions for 2 weeks, including ambient air, dry CO2 with less 10 ppm H2O, pure argon (Ar) and a dry room (with dew point about -35°C) (Faenza et al., 2017). The results clearly demonstrate that the formation of residual lithium compounds can be effectively suppressed when the sample is stored in a dry room with a low moisture content. It is therefore suggested that Ni-rich layered oxides are stored at a very low relative humidity condition. Additionally, in order to reduce storage requirements and cost, some surface modifications need to be conducted, such as surface coating and doping, which will be discussed later.

**Slurry Processing Performance Deterioration**

Poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) is the most used binder for the preparation of a cathode slurry. Generally, PVDF can be fully dissolved in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solution, but it is insoluble in an aqueous solution. It is worth noting that PVDF is prone to a defluorination reaction under an alkaline condition (Ross et al., 2001). As a result, when it encounters a Ni-rich cathode, strong alkaline residual lithium compounds, such as LiOH, can react with PVDF, thereby causing PVDF degradation, reducing the cohesiveness of slurry, and generating H2O simultaneously. Additionally, PVDF will be rapidly condensed and gelatinized in water, severely deteriorating the slurry processing performance. In fact, slurry is unavoidably exposed to air, making alkaline slurry prone to absorbing the moisture from air, which will make the slurry fabrication difficult. Hence, the air humidity should be strictly controlled in the manufacturing plant to reduce the harmful effects of moisture absorption.

**Electrochemical Degradation**

As mentioned above, Ni-rich cathode materials exposed to ambient air may cause severe surface degradation, consuming Li⁺ from host materials, further leading to the formation of the delithiation layer, the rock-salt cubic phase layer, and the residual lithium compounds layer. As a result, the loss of Li from host
material directly triggers the initial capacity fading. Even worse, being covered by poor ionic/electron conductivity impurities and subsurface rock-salt cubic phase layer, the Li\(^+\) diffusion in the interface of the cathode and electrolyte will be significantly suppressed. As a consequence, the charge transfer resistance increases so drastically that battery polarization obviously intensifies, further cutting down discharge capacity and worsening rate performance. By coating LiNi\(_{0.7}\)Mn\(_{0.3}\)O\(_2\) with a Li\(_2\)O layer, Cho et al. simulated the effect of residual lithium compounds growing on the surface of Ni-rich cathode materials (Cho et al., 2014). They indicated that a larger irreversible capacity with limited discharge capacity emerged in LiNi\(_{0.7}\)Mn\(_{0.3}\)O\(_2\), which was attributed to the suppressed Li\(^+\) diffusion by insulating the Li\(_2\)O layer. In addition, the electrochemical reaction heterogeneity caused by surface insulating the Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\) film was reported, which was demonstrated by the splitting of the (003) reflection using the \textit{in-situ} XRD analysis as presented in Figure 2A (Grenier et al., 2017). In a further step, Qian et al. proposed that the reaction heterogeneity that originated from different energy barriers and activation energies for (de)lithiation of individual particles was due to the uneven growth of residual lithium compounds (Figure 2B) (Qian et al., 2019). More seriously, the augment of impedance may lead to the accelerated localized heating, which increases the risk of catastrophically destroying the batteries or igniting the liquid organic electrolyte. For this reason, safety issues derived from the formation of insulating residual lithium compounds should be taken seriously.

Furthermore, the presence of residual lithium compounds at the interface of electrode and electrolyte could also cause adverse reactions with the LiPF\(_6\)-based electrolyte, generating CO\(_2\) gas and LiF (Figure 2C). In order to confirm the influence of surface LiF and Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\) on the performance of Ni-rich cathode materials, Bi et al. compared the electrochemical performance of both Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\)-
coated and LiF-coated LiNi0.8Co0.1Mn0.1O2 (NCM811) (Bi et al., 2016). As shown in Figure 2D, the surface Li2CO3 coating layer had a severely negative impact on the cycling stability while it was negligible for the surface LiF coating, which could be attributed to the decomposition of Li2CO3 reacting with the electrolyte. This reaction can be described as follows:

$$\text{LiPF}_6 + \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 \rightarrow \text{POF}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + 3\text{LiF} \quad (12)$$

In fact, Li2CO3 is mainly in the form of the amorphous state, and its electrochemical stability is very poor, which is easily decomposed at a high potential (Wang et al., 2018). Hatsukade et al. employed an isotope labeling method to investigate the origins of CO2 during the cycling of LiNi0.4Co0.2Mn0.4O2 (NCM824) (Hatsukade et al., 2018). The CO2 formation at the first charge process was attributed to the surface Li2CO3 decomposition at high potential. However, with prolonged cycling and the decrease of surface Li2CO3, CO2 stemming from the chemical oxidation (with lattice oxygen) and electrochemical oxidation at high potential of electrolyte solvent became dominant (Figure 2F). In addition, there is an ambiguous understanding on whether the decomposition of Li2CO3 will produce oxygen simultaneously, because oxygen may also originate from lattice. In this respect, the oxidation of Li2CO3 has already been studied in Li-air cells (Mahne et al., 2018), producing O2 and CO2, which can be described as follows:

$$2\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 - 4e^- \rightarrow 4\text{Li}^+ + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{CO}_2 \quad (13)$$

Subversively, Renfrew et al. observed the CO2/CO emission caused by the decomposition of residual Li2CO3 during the first charge, and the oxygen evolution from the lattice of Ni-rich cathode materials also decreased after removing a portion of surface Li2CO3 (Renfrew and McCloskey, 2017), suggesting that the oxidation of Li2CO3 is related to the lattice oxygen release (Figure 2E). Inspired by this research, quantitative studies of the influence of surface impurities on the structure evolution of Ni-rich cathode materials during the cycling tests are needed. Although the decomposition mechanism of Li2CO3 is not fully understood, the decomposition of Li2CO3 reduces the Coulombic efficiency of the initial several cycles. It also highlights the seriousness of safety issues, such as increasing batteries’ internal pressure and heat generation.

Moreover, the CO2 stemming from the decomposition of Li2CO3 and electrolyte solvents can react with LiOH to reformate trace H2O and Li2CO3 (Robert et al., 2015). Even worse, the trace H2O is harmful to electrolyte salt, resulting in the decomposition of LiPF6 and the formation of HF and other by-products. This process can be expressed as follows:

$$\text{LiOH} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{LiHCO}_3 \quad (14)$$
$$\text{LiHCO}_3 + \text{LiOH} \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (15)$$
$$\text{LiPF}_6 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{LiF} + \text{POF}_3 + 2\text{HF} \quad (16)$$
$$2\text{POF}_3 + 3\text{Li}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 6\text{LiF} + \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{(or Li}_x\text{POF}_y\text{)} \quad (17)$$

The resultant HF is detrimental to the electrochemical performance of Lithium-ion batteries. On the one hand, HF accelerates the decomposition of surface impurities including Li2CO3 and LiOH. On the other hand, HF corrodes the surface of Ni-rich cathode materials to promote the dissolution of transition metal ions, especially Ni ion, leading to rapid capacity loss and surface degradation. Moreover, after the removal of surface impurities, a greater electrochemically active surface will be exposed to the electrolyte, which will further boost the dissolution of transition metal ions and the decomposition of electrolyte solvent at high potential (Kleiner et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2019).

**CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS TO RESIDUAL LITHIUM COMPOUNDS**

To avoid the adverse effects of residual lithium compounds on powder storage, slurry processing and electrochemical performance of Ni-rich cathode materials, numerous methods are conducted to remove the surface impurities and to enhance the air-storage stability.

**Washing & Annealing Process**

Washing with water is widely applied in practical industrial manufacturing to eliminate the detrimental residual lithium compounds. To be specific, the alkaline Ni-rich cathode materials are stirred in deionized water to remove surface residual lithium compounds and dried at a high temperature in an air-free atmosphere after filtration, which is called the washing & annealing process (Kim et al., 2018). The concentration of residual lithium compounds and powder pH value can be effectively reduced after washing. As a consequence, gas evolution of the washed cathode materials is significantly suppressed during the charging process. Furthermore, after the washing and annealing process, the cycling performance and capacity retention are dramatically improved with a slight initial discharge capacity decline.

However, it should be mentioned that the capacity degradation of Ni-rich cathode materials may be accelerated after the washing process owing to an improper annealing temperature. As Xiong et al. reported, NCM811 annealed at 300°C after washing exhibited the worst specific capacity and cycling stability compared to the washed or non-washed sample annealed at 700°C (Xiong et al., 2013). Except for water washing, a faintly acidic solution is also a substitution (Park et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018). Park et al. compared two kinds of solvents, de-ionized water and diluted nitric acid with a solvent evaporation process. They suggest that the diluted nitric acid eliminates surface residual compounds more efficiently than de-ionized water. The sample treated with diluted nitric acid exhibited the superior cycling performance (Park et al., 2017). Analogously, compared to water washing, mild polyaniline (PANI) could also be utilized as a solvent to remove residual impurities, and to synchronously form a protective layer on the surface, which greatly enhances the surface stability of Ni-rich
cathode materials (Xu et al., 2017). In addition to the above washing solvents, alcohol washing is a “compromise” process, which can reduce the lithium residue and does not destroy the surface structure (Cao et al., 2019). However, the solubility of LiOH and Li₂CO₃ in ethanol is low, which should be further improved. Apart from annealing temperature and washing solvent, the electrochemical performance of washed materials is closely related to the powder-to-solvent ratio and washing time, which is a complex technical method.

Although the residual lithium compounds and powder pH can be effectively reduced by the washing process, the washed Ni-rich cathode materials are more air sensitive than non-washed materials because of more chemically active surfaces (Xiong et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2018). After being exposed to the air for the same time, a thicker amorphous residual lithium layer is formed on the surface of washed Ni-rich cathode materials (Jo et al., 2016). During the formation of an amorphous residual lithium layer, a part of lithium on the subsurface of Ni-rich cathode materials will be extracted from the host structure along with the increased cationic disorder. As a result, a rock-salt NiO-like surface is generated, which significantly deteriorates the electrochemical performance of Ni-rich cathode materials. Therefore, taking air sensitivity of washed Ni-rich cathode materials into consideration, it is very important to store them in an air free- or humidity and CO₂ controlled conditions. Moreover, in practical application, washing treatment is generally combined with other treatments such as surface coating, aiming to prevent a chemically unstable surface from ambient air. In addition, due to the generation of waste water, the increased processing time and capital cost of waste water treatment should also be considered, and still remains a huge challenge for its practical implementation.

**Secondary Sintering**

Secondary sintering is similar to the annealing process after washing. However, compared to the washing process, secondary sintering does not involve the generation of waste water, which is a solid phase reaction process mainly including the decomposition of residual lithium compounds and surface reconstruction. In order to decompose the residual lithium compounds sufficiently, the sintering temperature is usually increased to the initial sintering temperature, sometimes even higher. It is important to note that the evaporation of Li₂O should be taken into account to reduce capacity loss, so that the secondary sintering time is not long. Furthermore, calcination atmosphere is another factor effecting secondary sintering as well. According to previous literature reported by Jo and co-workers (Ross et al., 2001), for freshly sintered or few surface residual impurities materials, when being reheated in air atmosphere, a sintering temperature between 200°C and

---

**FIGURE 3** (A) Scheme illustration of the failure and recovery behaviors of the stored NCM701515 with the structure and surface morphology evolution. Adapted from [Huang et al., 2019a] with permission from American Chemical Society. (B) Schematic view of the effect of spinel LiMn1.9Al0.1O4 coating on the Ni-rich layered oxide surface and (C) The cycling performance of spinel LiMn1.9Al0.1O4 modified sample after air exposure. Adapted from [Oh et al., 2016] with permission from Royal Society of Chemistry.
400°C is optimal, otherwise the structural integrity will be destroyed at a higher temperature.

Recently, Huang et al. conducted secondary sintering on the degraded LiNi0.70Co0.15Mn0.15O2 under various atmospheres at a high temperature (800°C) *(Figure 4A)* (Huang et al., 2019a). To get the degraded sample, the aging process was carried out at 60°C and 80% relative humidity for 30 days, in which a residual lithium compound layer, mainly composed of Li2CO3 with ∼90 nm thickness, was detected on the surface with a NiO-like layer formed at the near surface region. Successfully, the degraded LiNi0.70Co0.15Mn0.15O2 re-sintered at 800°C under flowing oxygen atmosphere for 3 h, exhibited a comparable electrochemical performance to the pristine one. Interestingly, after high temperature calcination under oxygen atmosphere, the surface impurities layer and NiO-like layer disappeared, and the surface was reconstructed to the layered structure. However, under other atmospheres such as air and argon, the surface structure can barely recover to the original layered structure and a NiO-like layer still remains, indicating that the oxidizing atmosphere is necessary for the reversion of degraded materials during the secondary sintering treatment.

**Slurry Additives**

Some additives are often added into slurry to reduce residual lithium compounds and slurry pH, thereby meliorating slurry processability. In detail, the additives should be moderate so that they only react with surface impurities without other undesirable side effects. This strategy is considered to be one of the most convenient methods for practical manufacturing. For instance, LiPF6 is adopted as a slurry additive to wipe off alkaline residual lithium compounds in NCM811 slurry, finally forming into LiF and Li3PO4 (Zhang et al., 2019d). With 0.5–1.0 wt. % LiPF6 addition (versus the mass of NCM811), the cycling stability and rate capacity of NCM811-Li cells are improved, which can be attributed to the formation of stable LiF and Li3PO4 and the decrease of insulating residual impurities.

**Surface Coating**

Although the surface residual impurities and lithium compounds can be removed after washing or secondary sintering treatment, the air sensitivity of Ni-rich cathode materials still cannot be fully solved. Therefore, Ni-rich cathode materials should be strictly stored in an atmosphere-controlled condition to avoid contact with H2O and CO2. In order to overcome this problem, various surface modification methods are developed. In this respect, surface coating is one of the most widely used methods in laboratory investigation. Generally, surface coating layers can not only enhance the air-storage stability of Ni-rich cathode materials, but also protect Ni-rich cathode materials from HF corrosion and detrimental side effects.

**Figure 4**

- **(A)** Schematic views of the Li-reactive coating process and phase diagram for P2O5−LiOH/Li2CO3−O2. Adapted from [Min et al., 2017] with permission from American Chemical Society.
- **(B)** Schematic diagrams of the working mechanism of CNT& Li3PO4 coating layer and cycling stability of CNT& Li3PO4 coated sample compared to pristine and (C and D) SEM images of the CNT& Li3PO4 coated sample. Adapted from [Yang et al., 2019c] with permission from American Chemical Society.
- **(E)** Schematic views of the sublimation-induced gas-reacting process on the surface and inside of the secondary particles and (F) The improved cycling performance of surface Li5S3O3 coated sample. Adapted from [Kim et al., 2020] with permission from American Chemical Society.
reactions with electrolyte at high potential. Up to now, various surface coating agents have been adopted to reduce the residual lithium impurities and to enhance the air storage stability of Ni-rich cathode materials, including phosphates (Jo et al., 2014a; Chen et al., 2017a; Min et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2019; Zou et al., 2020), fluoride (Dai et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2019b), conducting polymers (Sun et al., 2018; Gan et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019b), and metallic oxides (Min et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2018; Becker et al., 2019; Ho et al., 2020; Mo et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). However, the formation mechanisms and functions of these coating layers are quite different, and need to be further investigated.

First, a coating layer can be physically formed on the Ni-rich cathode surface without reacting with residual lithium compounds. This kind of coating layer is electrochemically stable and nonreactive with electrolytes. As shown in Figure 3B, C, the air-storage stability of LiNi_{0.7}Co_{0.15}Mn_{0.15}O_{2} coated with chemically stable spinel LiMn_{1.9}Al_{0.1}O_{4} is significantly improved. After being exposed to ambient air for 1 month, the capacity retention of the LiMn_{1.9}Al_{0.1}O_{4} coated LiNi_{0.7}Co_{0.15}Mn_{0.15}O_{2} sample is compared to the fresh one (Oh et al., 2016). In addition, MnO_{2} coated LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O_{2} can effectively prevent LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O_{2} from absorbing the
moisture and CO2 in air atmosphere and the HF erosion during long-term cycling (Zhao et al., 2018). Furthermore, TiO2 (Mo et al., 2020), ZrO2 and other oxides are also employed to construct protective layers on the surface of the Ni-rich cathode to decrease air sensitivity.

Moreover, the coating layers can be formed in-situ on the surface of Ni-rich cathode materials via chemical reactions between coating media and residual lithium compounds, which could eliminate surface impurities as well as form a functional film on the surface. For example, H3PO4 (Jo et al., 2014a; Min et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2019c), an acidic coating media, can react with the residual lithium compounds, such as LiOH and Li2CO3 to form a Li3PO4 coating layer, which has been verified to effectively enhance the surface stability of Ni-rich cathode materials. Due to the reduced surface insulating impurities and high ionic conductivity of newly generated Li3PO4, the capacity retention and rate capability of Li3PO4-coated NCM622 are greatly improved (Jo et al., 2014a).

Additionally, Li3PO4 reacts with trace water in electrolytes to suppress the formation of HF, thereby preventing electrode erosion, which can be described as follows:

\[
\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{O} + \text{Li}_x\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \text{ (or PO}_x\text{H}_y\text{)}
\]  

Furthermore, Min et al. investigated metal phosphates and metal oxides reacted with residual lithium compounds using a hybrid functional theory calculation (Min et al., 2017). As shown in Figure 4A, metal phosphates could effectively reduce both LiOH and Li2CO3, whereas metal oxides tend to react with LiOH more, in which P2O5 may be an optimal choice. In a further step, Yang et al. constructed a four-phase cathode electrolyte interface on NCM811, as described in Figure 4B (Yang et al., 2019c). The phosphoric acid reacted with surface
residual lithium compounds to form an ionic conductive Li$_3$PO$_4$ layer, and carbon nanotubes were coated on the surface to penetrate through the Li$_3$PO$_4$ layer (Figure 4C, D). Thus, the ionic conductivity and electronic conductivity of NCM811 were both enhanced, leading to the greatly improved rate performance and capacity retention after surface modification. More importantly, even after being exposed to high moist air for 2 weeks, the modified sample could still deliver 154.2 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 0.5 C after 500 cycles (Figure 4B).

Apart from the liquid-solid reaction, the gas-solid reaction is also employed to eliminate surface impurities, which could essentially avoid the generation of waste water. As illustrated in Figure 4E, the pioneering work (Kim et al., 2020) reported by Kim and co-workers presented a novel sublimation-induced gas-reacting (SIGR) process on NCM811. The gas-phase sulfur (S) reacted with residual lithium compounds at a low temperature of 300°C and in-situ constructed a Li$_x$S$_y$O$_z$ coating layer. Remarkably, the Li$_x$S$_y$O$_z$ phases uniformly generated on the surface of secondary particles, as well as in the boundaries between internal primary particles. Consequently, the SIGR-treated NCM811 exhibited improved cycling stability, which were mainly attributed to the protected surface and suppressed anisotropic volume changes during (de)lithiation (Figure 4F).

Since moisture is one of the essential conditions for the formation of residual lithium compounds, thereby constructing a hydrophobic layer tightly coated on the cathode surface is highly expected to overcome this intractable issue (Doo et al., 2019; Gu et al., 2020). The modified hydrophobic surface effectively blocks the direct contact between moisture and the chemically unstable surface of Ni-rich cathode materials, further suppressing the formation of residual lithium compounds. Till now, various organic molecules are adopted as surface coating materials for modifying Ni-rich cathode materials. As shown in Figure 5A-E, hydrophobic polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) coated NCM811, with a strong M$–$O$–$Si covalent bond, exhibited the enhanced air storage stability (Doo et al., 2019). Additionally, octadecyl phosphate (OPA) can be utilized to form a hydrophobic self-assembled monolayer on the surface of Ni-rich cathode materials.

![Figure 7](image.png)
materials to improve air-storage stability (Figures 5F-K) (Gu et al., 2020).

Unfortunately, hydrophobic organic coating layers can only improve the storage property, but it has no obvious improvement in electrochemical performance. Meanwhile, the organic surface coating layer may dissolve into organic electrolyte during the long-term cycling. Even worse, the organic surface coating layers may be oxidized at high voltage, which will deteriorate the electrochemical performance of batteries, such as battery swelling, a thick solid electrolyte interface (SEI) layer and increased polarization.

**Doping**

Doping is another important strategy to enhance surface chemical and structural stability of Ni-rich cathode materials. By incorporating a small amount of cationic or anionic atoms into the crystal lattice of Ni-rich cathode materials, the air stability is significantly improved. The functions of cationic doping can be classified into two types. One type is the forming a strong covalent bond between doping cation and lattice oxygen to boost lattice structure and to suppress the loss lattice oxygen (You et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2019c). For example, You et al. successfully introduced a small amount of Al$^{3+}$ into the surface lattice of LiNi$_{0.94}$Co$_{0.06}$O$_2$ by sintering a mixture of LiOH and precursor coated with Al(OH)$_3$ at a high temperature (You et al., 2018). The Al-doped LiNi$_{0.94}$Co$_{0.06}$O$_2$ exhibited superior storage stability and capacity retention compared to the pristine one (Figure 6A, B). The enhanced surface lattice stability is mainly attributed to the robust Al-O bond (Figure 6C). Moreover, some high valent cations are doped to reduce the content of surface active Ni$^{3+}$ (being reduced to stable Ni$^{2+}$ to keep charge balance) (Han et al., 2018; Lai et al., 2019). As displayed in Figure 6D, E cation-mixed nanolayer with ~5 nm thickness forms on the surface of NCM811 after doping Zr$^{4+}$, in which Zr$^{4+}$ ions occupy the transition metal site and increase the amount of Ni$^{2+}$ to maintain the charge balance (Han et al., 2018). Owing to the highly reactive Ni$^{3+}$ ions that are effectively reduced, the air-storage stability of NCM811 is improved. Similarly, Lai et al. demonstrated that the air-storage stability of LiNi$_{0.815}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.035}$O$_2$ can be significantly improved after 1% nano-ZrO$_2$ doping (Figure 6F) (Lai et al., 2019).

Anions doping, such as boron-based polyanion doping, has been verified as an effective strategy to improve the air-exposure stability of the Ni-rich cathode. It is worth noting that the discharge capacity, cycling retention, and air exposure stability are simultaneously strengthened. However, the working mechanism of the boron-based polyanion doped Ni-rich cathode is seldomly investigated (Park et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019a; Yang et al., 2020). Recently, Xie et al. promoted a boron spatial distribution of the B$_2$O$_3$ doped LiNi$_{0.94}$Co$_{0.06}$O$_2$ cathode material, in which BO$_x$ / LiBO$_x$ species mainly existed on the surface and boron ions (B$^{3+}$) infiltrated into the host lattice in the tetrahedral interstitial sites of oxygen packing (Figure 6J) (Xie et al., 2019). The surface species were related to the formation of electrochemically stable cathode electrolyte interface (CEI), and the boron doping could effectively enhance the oxygen lattice stability, thus excellent performances were achieved after boron doping (Figures 6G, H, I).

Furthermore, the combination of doping strategy and surface coating technology is considered to be a promising method to improve overall performance of Ni-rich cathode materials. For instance, Li et al. reported the La/Al co-doping and La$_2$O$_3$ coating co-modified NCM811 Ni-rich cathode (Li et al., 2019b). The La and Al doped into the inner lattice play the role of a pillar, which could enhance the structural stability as well as suppress the phase transition. Furthermore, Ni concentrations decrease in the La-Al co-doped layer in the subsurface region. Additionally, the surface La$_2$O$_3$ coating protects the Ni-rich cathode from the detrimental reaction with air and electrolyte. Thus, the air storage stability and cycling performance are effectively improved. Moreover, the cycling performance and air storage stability of NCM811 could be successfully enhanced via the combination strategy of Li$_2$ZrO$_3$ coating and Zr$^{4+}$ doping. The surface Li$_2$ZrO$_3$ protective layer as a good Li$^{+}$ conductor can suppress a surface side reaction as well as improve the Li-ion diffusion rate. More importantly, Zr$^{4+}$ doping can stabilize the structural stability and reduce oxygen loss. In this way, the overall performance of NCM811 is improved. (Zhai et al., 2020).

**Gradient Materials**

It is widely accepted that the air-storage stability and thermal property of Ni-rich cathode materials are negatively related to the Ni concentration. In other words, the air storage stability can be improved by decreasing Ni concentration. However, this strategy will cause the capacity declination. So, can we reach an optimal equilibrium point where both relatively high discharge capacity and stable air-storage performance exist? Constructing gradient materials, with low a Ni concentration outer surface and high Ni content inner core, provides the answer. By changing the proportion of transition metal ions at different periods of coprecipitation, Shi et al. synthesized a surface concentration-gradient spherical Ni-rich cathode material with diverse elemental composition, in which the core inside was LiNi$_{0.80}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ and the surface was composed of LiNi$_{1-y}x$Co$_{1/3}Mn$_{1/3}$O$_4$ (Figures 7A, B) (Shi et al., 2017). Compared to the pristine LiNi$_{0.80}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$, the gradient material presented significantly enhanced air stability (Figures 7D, E) and excellent cycling performance (Figures 7C).

Moreover, depending on the different precipitation pH values for transition metal ions, a gradient precursor can be generated by regulating co-precipitation pH as well. Figure 7F illustrates the gradient Ni-rich cathode material consisting of a layer-structure LiNi$_{0.80}$Co$_{0.15}$Mn$_{0.05}$O$_2$ core, gradient Ni concentration interlayer, and spinel-like LiNi$_{0.9}$Co$_{0.1}$Mn$_{2-x}$O$_4$ shell (Zhang et al., 2017). Due to the reduced Ni concentration and the formation of an air-stable spinel layer in the surface region, the gradient Ni-rich cathode material presents greatly improved long-term air storage stability (Figure 7G).

**Summary and Perspectives**

In this review, the origins and negative effects of residual lithium compounds on air storage performance, processing performance,
and electrochemical performance of Ni-rich cathode materials were analyzed. Owing to the residue lithium and chemical active Ni\textsuperscript{2+} on the surface, Ni-rich cathode materials are chemically sensitive to moisture and CO\textsubscript{2}. Residual lithium compounds generate on the surface during air exposure, which have adverse effects on the processing and electrochemical performance of Ni-rich cathode materials. Residual lithium compounds with a strong alkaline feature will cause the decomposition of PVDF and lead to the gelation of the cathode slurry. In addition, due to the insulting impurities on the surface, the Li\textsuperscript{2+} diffusion in the interface of the cathode and electrolyte will be suppressed and battery polarization will increase. Even worse, at the cost of consuming Li\textsuperscript{2+} from host materials, the structure near the surface region of Ni-rich cathode materials is deteriorated, as well as the electrochemical performance. It is worth noting that the existence of Li\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} has negative effects on the cycling stability of Ni-rich cathode materials. Quantitative studies related to the mechanism of the effect of Li\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} on the electrochemical performance of Ni-rich cathode materials are therefore still required. In addition, under high temperature and high voltage (≥ 55°C > 4.3 V), the mechanism of CO\textsubscript{2} production and its influence on electrochemical performance should be further studied.

Since Ni-rich cathode materials will deteriorate during the long-term air exposure, one option is to store them in a vacuum or in an inert atmosphere. However, this strategy will increase the cost of storage, and it is not convenient for production and application. Instead, storing them in a low relative humidity condition seems more practical. To remove surface residual lithium compounds, new types of cleaning agents that can effectively remove lithium residue, but not destroy the surface structure, should be further screened. Furthermore, washing combined with an annealing process and secondary sintering are widely implemented in large-scale application. The washing time and powder-to-solvent ratio should be systematically studied to obtain an optimal design. Moreover, the washing process always takes place in conjunction with surface coating to overcome the disadvantages as Ni-rich cathode materials are more sensitive to air after washing. Secondary sintering is preponderant in the reversion of the severely degraded Ni-rich materials, in which sintering temperature and time should be carefully controlled.

Although the surface residual impurities and lithium compounds can be removed after washing or secondary sintering treatment, the intrinsic air sensitivity of Ni-rich cathode materials still cannot be fully solved. Combining a doping strategy and surface coating technology is one useful solution to reinforce the air storage stability of Ni-rich cathode materials. In future studies, it is important to enhance the lattice matching of the doping and coating interface and to develop a facile method for large-scale production. Another solution is constructing gradient materials with low Ni concentration at the outer surface. We believe that this review provides an in-depth understanding of the residual lithium compounds in Ni-rich cathode materials.
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