Manipulating Amino Acid Metabolism to Improve Crop Nitrogen Use Efficiency for a Sustainable Agriculture
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In a context of a growing worldwide food demand coupled to the need to develop a sustainable agriculture, it is crucial to improve crop nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) while reducing field N inputs. Classical genetic approaches based on natural allelic variations existing within crops have led to the discovery of quantitative trait loci controlling NUE under low nitrogen conditions; however, the identification of candidate genes from mapping studies is still challenging. Amino acid metabolism is the cornerstone of plant N management, which involves N uptake, assimilation, and remobilization efficiencies, and it is finely regulated during acclimation to low N conditions and other abiotic stresses. Over the last two decades, biotechnological engineering of amino acid metabolism has led to promising results for the improvement of crop NUE, and more recently under low N conditions. This review summarizes current work carried out in crops and provides perspectives on the identification of new candidate genes and future strategies for crop improvement.
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INTRODUCTION

More than half of the world's population are fed by crops grown with the addition of synthetic nitrogen (N) fertilizers (Zhang et al., 2015). This supply of N coupled to other essential nutrients has allowed farmers to increase crop production per unit to meet food demand over the last century. However, nearly 50% of N fertilizers applied to the field are not used by crops, independently of world region or crop type. This N surplus has led to disastrous consequences that threaten the sustainability of agricultural production systems. The application of inorganic N fertilizers in agricultural areas has largely contributed to the increase of soil acidification, gaseous ammonia emissions in the atmosphere, and nitrate levels in our water resources, with dangerous consequences for human health (Behera et al., 2013; Ward et al., 2018). Therefore, it is crucial to improve crop N use efficiency (NUE) while reducing N inputs to the field to meet the growing food demand in a sustainable agriculture context. Plant NUE can be defined as the maximal quantity of seeds or biomass (depending on downstream applications) obtained from a defined amount of N supplied to plants (Xu et al., 2012). Plant NUE comprises the capacity to take up N from the soil (N uptake efficiency, NUpE) and the capacity to utilize this N efficiently within the plant to produce the harvested product.
(N utilization efficiency, NUtE). NUtE integrates the capacity to assimilate inorganic N into carbon (C) skeletons (N assimilation efficiency, NAE) to produce amino acids (AA) and subsequently essential N-containing molecules (proteins, DNA, RNA, chlorophylls, etc.) and the capacity to remobilize assimilated N from source-to-sink tissues (N remobilization efficiency, NRE; Avice and Etienne, 2014). AA metabolism is the cornerstone of plant N management since it is actively involved in both NUpE and NUtE through AA and protein biosynthesis, senescence-induced degradation of proteins into AAs, and overall source-to-sink AA transport (Tegeder and Masclaux-Daubresse, 2018). Comparison of pool sizes between free AAs and AAs in proteins nicely highlights the fine regulation exerted on AA metabolism to support primary metabolism and plant growth (Hildebrandt et al., 2015). Regulation of AA metabolism also plays an active role during acclimation to low N conditions and other abiotic stresses including heat, cold, dark, drought, and salt (Kant et al., 2011; Hildebrandt, 2018). Therefore, AA metabolism is an interesting target for the improvement of crop NUE in both sustainable agriculture and future climate change contexts. The use of classical genetic approaches based on natural allelic variations existing within plant species and varieties for this purpose have already been undertaken for rice, maize, wheat, barley, and rapeseed (Han et al., 2015; Bouchet et al., 2016). While some quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with crop NUE under low N conditions have been found, the identification of determinant candidate genes from mapping studies is still a challenge. Over the last two decades, genetic engineering of AA metabolism has given some promising results with respect to the improvement of crop NUE and more recently under low N conditions. This review summarizes current and promising future targets for the genetic manipulation of AA metabolism in crops with respect to NUE improvement and tolerance to low N conditions and other abiotic stresses.

**AMMONIA ASSIMILATION**

Nitrogen is first taken up from the soil by plant roots in the form of nitrate ions, ammonium ions, and AAs. Prior to be assimilated, nitrate is reduced to nitrite in the cytosol, which is then reduced to ammonium in plastids. This reduction can occur either directly in the roots or in the leaves after a transport step via the xylem (Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2010; Yoneyama and Suzuki, 2019). In plants, the major ammonia assimilation route is via the glutamine synthetase/glutamate dehydrogenase (GS/GDH) cycle, although asparagine synthetase (ASN) and glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) can also participate depending on plant status (Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2010).

**Glutamine Synthetase/Glutamate:2-Oxoglutarate Aminotransferase Cycle**

GS catalyzes the ATP-dependent condensation of ammonia and Glu to form Gln. Then, GOGAT transfers the amide group of Gln to 2-oxoglutarate to produce two molecules of Glu with the consumption of reducing power (either as reduced ferredoxin or as NADH). There are two types of GS enzyme in plants: GS1 and GS2. In Arabidopsis, a single gene (GLN2) encodes the chloroplast-localized GS2 isoform that is mainly responsible for ammonia assimilation in photosynthetic tissues and for the reassimilation of photorespiratory ammonia released by the activity of mitochondrial glycine decarboxylase complex (GDC; Wallsgrove et al., 1987). In Arabidopsis, GS1 is encoded by five genes (GLN1-1 to GLN-5). While GS1 enzymes are located to the cytosol, their expression patterns in leaves, roots, and phloem companion cells can significantly vary depending on environmental conditions. GS1 enzymes play a key role in roots for ammonium assimilation and in vascular tissues for N reallocation as Gln to sink tissues during senescence (Martin et al., 2006; Lothier et al., 2011; Avila-Ospina et al., 2015). There are also two types of GOGAT in plants: Ferredoxin-GOGAT (Fd-GOGAT), present in leaf chloroplasts and encoded by two genes in Arabidopsis (GLU1 and GLU2) and NADH-GOGAT, present in plastids of phloem companion cells and encoded by a single gene in Arabidopsis (GLT1; Suzuki and Knaff, 2005).

GS1 has attracted attention for many years as a potential target for the improvement of crop NUE, due to its central role in ammonia assimilation in roots and N remobilization during senescence (Thomsen et al., 2014). Indeed, this last role has been reinforced recently by the characterization of a triple gln1-1/gln1-2/gln1-3 mutant of Arabidopsis. This multiple T-DNA insertion line had a lower seed yield and N remobilization activity from cauline and rosette leaves to seeds under both high and low N conditions (Moison et al., 2018).

In terms of biotechnological applications, a major strategy was to constitutively overexpress specific GS1 cDNAs under the control of a CaMV35S promoter to improve NUpE and NAE in crops under low N conditions (Table 1). From a global point of view, some interesting results were obtained for Lotus japonicus, Nicotiana tabacum, and Zea mays in terms of NUE. However, significant differences were found depending on the GS1 genes used. Indeed, overexpression of GS1-5 from Glycine max in Nicotiana tabacum decreased plant growth and pod number per plant while only the overexpression of GS1 genes from Pisum sativum succeeded to increase plant biomass under high, moderate, and low N conditions. Since GS1 activity seemed to be rate-limiting for NAE in Nicotiana tabacum, a possible explanation for these differences was that the efficient regulation of the assembly/disassembly of GS1 subunits by phosphorylation, nitration and the redox state of the cells may depend on specific exogenous GS1 isoforms (Thomsen et al., 2014). That said, toxicity of a strong GS1 activity in multiple organs has been observed for Pisum sativum and Oryza sativa. Indeed, GS1 activity has a high energetic cost and can stimulate the import of ammonium from roots thereby contributing to its toxic accumulation. Nevertheless, the simultaneous overexpression of OsGS1.1 and OsGS2 in Oryza sativa increased NAE and plant biomass (Table 1). This surprising result suggested that the GS2 could be a better candidate than GS1 isoforms for NUE improvement. To address the negative effects of GS1 overexpression, either organ-specific or moderate promoters have been used. These strategies were successful for Sorghum bicolor and especially Pisum sativum, where the root-specific
expression of GS1-5 from *Glycine max* increased plant biomass and N uptake in both high and low N conditions. Recently, the impact of GS1 overexpression has been investigated in *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Oryza sativa*, and *Hordeum vulgare* during abiotic stresses such as drought, salt stress, osmotic stress or elevated CO$_2$ combined with N limitations. The results indicated that GS1 was a suitable target for improving NUE, grain yield, and tolerance to several different stresses. Taken together, all the results summarized here give interesting perspectives for improving NUE and tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses in many crops by targeting both GS enzymes.

Regarding GOGAT engineering, overexpression of an endogenous NADH-GOGAT (*OsGOGAT1*) in *Oryza sativa* increased NUpE in low N conditions but grain yield was decreased by up to 50% (*Table 1*). Interestingly, pyramiding *OsGOGAT1* with a rice gene encoding an ammonium transporter succeeded to rescue this low grain yield phenotype. Unfortunately, this pyramiding strategy was not successful for maize. While the constitutive expression of NADH-GOGAT from wheat decreased shoot dry weight and kernel yield, the introduction of an alternative pathway to boost the biosynthesis of 2-oxoglutarate and glutamine (the two substrates of GOGAT) did not recue the negative phenotype (Canas et al., 2020). Overall, the results were somewhat deceiving, and they appear to suggest that GOGAT activity has a low control coefficient on N assimilation.

**Asparagine Synthetase**

Asparagine synthetase (ASN) can produce asparagine either by the condensation of ammonia and aspartate or by the transamination of glutamine and aspartate. In *Arabidopsis*, ASN is encoded by three genes: ASN1, ASN2, and ASN3 (Gaufichon et al., 2016). ASN1 and ASN2 are important for AA metabolism and transport (redistribution/remobilization) at the seed filling stage (Gaufichon et al., 2013, 2017). However, ASN1 is preferentially induced by leaf senescence compared to ASN2 and ASN3 (*Figure 1*; Have et al., 2017). In *Arabidopsis*, constitutive overexpression of ASN1 using a CaMV35S promoter enhanced N allocation to seeds by promoting the phloem source-to-sink remobilization of asparagine and significantly increased the thousand seed weight (Lam et al., 2003; Gaufichon et al., 2017).
### TABLE 1 | Transgenic approaches manipulating amino acid metabolism and transport to improve nitrogen use efficiency in crops.

| Genes | Gene source | Promoter | Target plant | Phenotype observed | References |
|-------|-------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|------------|
| **Glutamine synthetase** | | | | | |
| GS1b  | Medicago sativa | CaMV35S | Lotus japonicus | Increase plant biomass and N uptake at the vegetative stage | Ortega et al., 2004 |
| | | | Nicotiana tabacum | Increase leaf AA content in high N conditions but not in low N conditions; No effect on plant growth, photosynthesis and chlorophyll content | Fuentes et al., 2001 |
| GS1   | Pisum sativum | CaMV35S | Nicotiana tabacum | Increase plant biomass at the vegetative stage in high, moderate and low N conditions | Oliveira et al., 2002 |
| GS1.2 | Populus simoni x Populus nigra | CaMV35S | Nicotiana tabacum | Increase plant biomass, photosynthesis, AA content and cell wall biosynthesis | Lu et al., 2018b |
| GS-5  | Glycine max | CaMV35S | Nicotiana tabacum | Decrease plant growth and final pod number per plant | Seger et al., 2015 |
| | | LBC, rolD | Pism sativum | No effect on plant biomass and N uptake | Fei et al., 2006 |
| | | GLN1-3 | Zea mays | Increase plant biomass and N uptake in high and low N conditions | Gao et al., 2019 |
| | | GLN1-2 | Sorghum bicolor | Increase total biomass and N content of greenhouse- grown or field-grown plants in high N conditions but not in low N conditions | Urimola and Rathore, 2015 |
| GS1.1 | Oryza sativa | CaMV35S | Oryza sativa | Increase N uptake and N assimilation; decrease plant growth, total biomass and grain yield in high, moderate and low N conditions | Bao et al., 2018; Cai et al., 2009 |
| GLN1-3 | Zea mays | CsVMV | Zea mays | Increase grain yield and grain number without affecting the thousand kernel weight and grain N content | Martin et al., 2006 |
| GLN1-2 | Sorgonium bicolor | Ube | Sorgonium bicolor | Increase total biomass and grain number of greenhouse- grown or field-grown plants in high N conditions but not in low N conditions | Urimola and Rathore, 2015 |
| GLN1-3 | Zea mays | CsVMV | Zea mays | Increase grain yield and grain number without affecting the thousand kernel weight and grain N content | Martin et al., 2006 |
| **NADH-Glutamate:2-oxoglutarate aminotransferase** | | | | | |
| NADH-GOGAT | Medicago sativa | CaMV35S | Nicotiana tabacum | Increase plant biomass and total C and N contents at the flowering stage | Chichkova et al., 2001 |
| OsGOGAT1 | Oryza sativa | endogenous | Oryza sativa | Increase grain weight | Yamaya et al., 2002 |
| OsGOGAT1 | Activation tagging lines | Oryza sativa | Oryza sativa | Increase NUpE in low N conditions; increase N content of grains; decrease grain yield per plant | Lee et al., 2020a |
| OsGOGAT1, OsAMT1 | Oryza sativa | Activation tagging lines | Oryza sativa | Increase NUpE in low N conditions; increase N content of grains; maintain grain yield per plant | Martinez-Andujar et al., 2013 |
| NADH-GOGAT | Triticum aestivum | Actin1 | Zea mays | Decrease shoot dry weight and kernel yield | Canas et al., 2020 |
| **Asparagine synthetase** | | | | | |
| ASN1 | Pisum sativum | CaMV35S | Nicotiana tabacum | Increase asparagine biosynthesis without affecting overall plant biomass | Brears et al., 1993 |
| ASN1 | Oryza sativa | Ubi | Oryza sativa | Increase N content of grains; no impact on grain yield | Lee et al., 2020b |
| ASN-A | Escherichia coli | CaMV35S | Brassica napus | Decrease seed yield and N seed content in high and low N conditions | Seiffert et al., 2004 |
| | | 3SS/AAS | Lactuca sativa | Increase plant biomass; no impact on final seed yield per plant | Giarinno et al., 2007 |
| | | PCpea | Lycopersicum solanum | Increase NUpE with different ratios of NO3/NH4 present in the soil; no impact on plant biomass | Martinez-Andujar et al., 2013 |
| **NADH-Glutamate dehydrogenase** | | | | | |
| legdh1 | Lycopersicum solanum | CaMV35S | Nicotiana tabacum | No impact on plant biomass | Purnell et al., 2005 |
| GDHA | Nicotiana plumbaginifolia | CaMV35S | Nicotiana tabacum | Decrease plant biomass; increase tolerance to salt stress | Terce-Laforgue et al., 2013, 2015 |

(Continued)
### TABLE 1 | Continued

| Genes                        | Gene source          | Promoter         | Target plant | Phenotype observed                                                                 | References               |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| NADPH-Glutamate dehydrogenase| gdhA Aspergillus niger | CaMV35S          | Oryza sativa | Increase ammonia assimilation and plant biomass under high N conditions; Increased grain yield under field conditions | Abiko et al., 2010       |
|                              | gdhA Aspergillus nidulans | CaMV35S          | Solanum tuberosum | Increase tuber number, tuber dry weight and carbon and nitrogen content per tuber in both moderate and low N conditions | Egami et al., 2012       |
|                              | GDH Pleurotus cystidiosus | Ubi              | Oryza sativa | Increase N assimilation and thousand grain weight under moderate and low N field conditions | Zhou et al., 2014        |
|                              | GDH Trichurus        | Ubi              | Oryza sativa | Increase N assimilation, thousand grain weight, grain number and seed protein content under high, moderate and low N field conditions | Du et al., 2019          |
|                              | GDH Magnaporthe grisea | Ubi       | Oryza sativa | No impact on plant growth; increase tolerance to dehydration                          | Zhou et al., 2015        |
|                              | GDH Sclerotinia scelorotorum | Ubi     | Oryza sativa | No impact on plant growth and grain yield; decrease seedling growth                   | Du et al., 2014          |
|                              | gdhA Escherichia coli | CaMV35S         | Oryza sativa | Increase N uptake, N assimilation and plant biomass under both controlled and field conditions | Ameziean et al., 2000    |
|                              | GDH | Ubi               | Zea mays       | Increase grain biomass production in field conditions; Improve tolerance to drought stress | Lightfoot et al., 2007   |
| Glycine decarboxylase complex/ serine hydroxymethyl aminotransferase | GDCH1 Arabidopsis thaliana ST-LS1 | Nicotiana tabacum | Oryza sativa | Increase photosynthesis and plant biomass Decrease plant growth and biomass | Lopez-Calcagno et al., 2019        |
|                              | SHMT1 Oryza sativa actin | Nicotiana tabacum | Oryza sativa | Increased photosynthesis and grain number per panicle | Wu et al., 2015          |
| Alanine:2-oxoglutarate aminotransferase | ALAAT Hordeum vulgare btg26 | Brassica napus | Ant1 Oryza sativa | Increase nitrate influx, NUEP, plant biomass and seed yield in greenhouse conditions and in the field under low N conditions | Good et al., 2007        |
|                              | Ant1 Oryza sativa | Saccharum officinarum | Increase plant biomass and NUE in low N conditions | Snyman et al., 2015     |
|                              | Ant1 Triticum aestivum UBI4 | Triticum aestivum | Ant1 UBI4 Sorghum bicolor | Increase plant biomass and grain yield in moderate N conditions but not in low N conditions | Pena et al., 2017        |
|                              | Ant1 UBI4 Sorghum bicolor | Ant1 | Oryza sativa | No impact on plant biomass |                                |
|                              | ALAAT2 Cucumis sativa | Ant1 Oryza sativa | Increase NUEP and grain yield in high and moderate N conditions | Sisharmini et al., 2019  |
| Amino acid permease          | AAP1 Vicia faba LeB4 | Vicia narbonensis | Pismum sativum | Increase N uptake from roots and seed protein content | Rolletschek et al., 2005 |
| AAP1 Pismum sativum AAP1  | Pismum sativum | Pismum sativum | Increase NUEP and NUE under both high and low N conditions | Perchlik and Tegeder, 2017 |
| AAP1 Oryza sativa CaMV35S  | Oryza sativa | CaMV35S | Oryza sativa | Decrease tiller number and grain yield | Ji et al., 2020          |
| AAP3 RNAi Oryza sativa | Oryza sativa | NA | Oryza sativa | Increase bud outgrowth, tiller number and grain yield | Lu et al., 2018a          |
| AAP5 CRISPR Oryza sativa CaMV35S | Oryza sativa | NA | Oryza sativa | Decrease tiller number and grain yield | Wang et al., 2019a        |
| AAP5 RNAi Oryza sativa | Oryza sativa | NA | Oryza sativa | Increase bud outgrowth, tiller number and grain yield | Liu et al., 2020          |
| AAP6 Glycine max | Gmamy max | CaMV35S endogenous | Glycine max | Increase source-to-sink AA transport and N content in seeds under both high and low N conditions | Liu et al., 2020          |
| AAP6 Oryza sativa CaMV35S | Oryza sativa | Increase AA uptake from roots, AA transport and grain protein content at final harvest; maintain grain yield | Peng et al., 2014        |
Since plant ASNs play a minor role in primary N assimilation (compared to GS), an interesting strategy was the overexpression of a bacterial type-A isoform from \textit{E. coli} (ASN-A), which can only use ammonia as an amide donor compared to plant ASNs. This led to an increase in biomass of \textit{Lactuca sativa} and NUpE of \textit{Lycopersicum solanum} but brought about opposite effects in transformed \textit{Brassica napus} in both high and low N conditions (Table 1). However, \textit{Brassica napus} has a highly dynamic apoplastic NH₃ pool, which may explain a higher sensibility to an accumulation of ammonia triggered by ASN-A activity (Nielsen and Schjoerring, 1998). A second strategy has consisted of boosting N reallocation to seeds by overexpressing plant ASNs. Indeed, an increase in total N, protein, and AA content of seeds without affecting grain yield per plant was observed when \textit{OsASN1} was overexpressed in \textit{Oryza sativa} (Lee et al., 2020b). Although plant ASNs have been shown already to represent interesting targets to modulate seed quality without affecting plant biomass, their potential for NUE improvement under low N conditions must still be addressed.

**Glutamate Dehydrogenase**

Glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) is involved in ammonia assimilation and production by catalyzing the reversible amination of 2-oxoglutarate to glutamate. Plant GDH is located in the cytosol and mitochondria as a multiprotein complex comprising three NAD(H)-dependent subunits (α, β, and γ) encoded by three genes in \textit{Arabidopsis} (GDH1, GDH2, and GDH3). A fourth gene GDH4 encoding a putative NADP(H)-dependent GDH has been identified in \textit{Arabidopsis} but its exact catalytic activity and function are both still not clear (Igarashi et al., 2009). Although NADH-GDH can incorporate ammonia into 2-oxoglutarate to form glutamate in response to high levels of ammonia under stress conditions (Skopelitis et al., 2006), the major catalytic activity of NADH-GDH in plant cells is restricted to glutamate deamination and thus ammonia production (Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2006). However, NADH-GDH-mediated glutamate deamination in roots significantly contributes to AA catabolism and TCA cycle activity during dark-induced senescence and hypoxia recovery (Miyashita and Good, 2008; Fontaine et al., 2012; Diab and Limami, 2016). Without surprise, biotechnological engineering of higher plants by overexpressing plant GDH isoforms did not increase either plant biomass or NUpE (Table 1). In tobacco, a better tolerance to salt stress was observed, but plant biomass remained lower when compared to control lines in normal conditions. Consequently, some research groups focused their work on the overexpression of either bacterial or fungal NADPH-GDH (Table 1). Indeed, \textit{Aspergillus niger} NADPH-GDH α-subunit (gdhA) exhibited a higher maximal velocity and affinity for ammonia when compared to plant NADH-GDH and even cytosolic GS1 (Abiko et al., 2010). Except for GDH from two fungi (\textit{Sclerotinia sclerotiorum} and \textit{Magnaporthe grisea}), this strategy gave strikingly results in \textit{Solanum tuberosum}, \textit{Oryza sativa}, \textit{Nicotiana tabacum}, and \textit{Zea mays}. All overexpression lines showed higher N assimilation when compared to control lines coupled to positive effects on either plant biomass and/or grain yield under field and/or low N conditions. Interestingly, fungal and bacterial GDH have a higher potential for the improvement of NUE in crops compared to plant GS1. Indeed, NAD(P)H-GDH activity produces glutamate, a major AA, which is transported and used in many transamination reactions, by using 2-oxoglutarate, an organic acid efficiently produced by the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, as a carbon skeleton to fix ammonia. Conversely, GS activity produces glutamine, a transported AA requiring GOGAT enzyme activity to be further converted to glutamate. Therefore, GOGAT activity may become limiting in GS1-overexpressing lines while in GDH-overexpressing lines N assimilation becomes directly connected to TCA cycle activity and mitochondrial respiration. Considering \textit{Oryza sativa}, these interesting results with GDH-overexpressing lines may also question a potential toxic accumulation of ammonia in some plant GS1-overexpressing lines, since a strong bacterial/fungal GDH activity could also stimulate ammonia import. An issue that still needs to be addressed is the phenotypical differences observed when overexpressing different fungal GDHs in \textit{Oryza sativa}. Indeed, these phenotypical differences cannot be explained by different kinetic parameters since recombinant GDH from \textit{Aspergillus niger}, \textit{Trichuris}, and \textit{Sclerotinia sclerotiorum} exhibited similar Km values for NH₃ and 2-oxoglutarate (Abiko et al., 2010; Du et al., 2014, 2019).

**AMINO ACID BIOSYNTHESIS AND DEGRADATION**

**Photosynthetic N-Related Enzymes**

Under current atmospheric conditions (415 \(\mu\text{L}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}\)), ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) oxygenase activity produces 2-phosphoglycolate, which is an inhibitor of Calvin-Benson cycle enzymes (Flugel et al., 2017). The photosynthetic cycle metabolizes this toxic 2-phosphoglycolate to make useful 3-phosphoglycerate, a metabolite hub of C metabolism (Hodges et al., 2016; Timm and Hagemann, 2020). This important pathway takes place in all photosynthetic cells and contributes to the production and degradation of glycine and serine through the successive action of the following enzymes: glutamate: glyoxylate aminotransferase (GGAT), glycine decarboxylase complex (GDC), serine hydroxymethyl aminotransferase (SHMT), and serine-glyoxylate aminotransferase (SGAT). In \textit{Arabidopsis}, it has been shown that \textit{GGTI}, \textit{SHMT1}, and \textit{SGAT1} are the photosynthetic genes (Somerville and Ogren, 1980; Voll et al., 2006; Dellero et al., 2015, 2016). GDC is a multiprotein complex comprising four subunits which are also involved in other enzymatic complexes: the P-protein encoded by a single gene (GDP1), the L-protein encoded by two genes (LPD1 and LPD2), the T-protein encoded by a single gene (GDT1), and the H-protein encoded by three genes (GDCH1, GDCH2, and GDCH3; Douce et al., 2001; Engel et al., 2007). Considering that the GDC step releases CO\(_2\) and ammonia and that photosorption cannot be completely suppressed, researchers have improved plant productivity by introducing alternative pathways to optimize the refixation of photosynthetic CO\(_2\) and to minimize ammonia losses (Mauroini, 2019).

The recent overexpression of specific subunits of the photosynthetic GDC complex intriguingly gave promising...
results that suggested GDC to be the rate-limiting step of the cycle (Timm and Hagemann, 2020; Figure 1, Table 1). Indeed, Arabidopsis lines overexpressing LPD or GDCH genes exhibited an increase in net CO₂ assimilation rate and overall plant biomass by up to 20% at the vegetative stage compared to control lines (Timm et al., 2012, 2015). In tobacco, similar improvements were achieved in field conditions but only when GDCH1 overexpression was driven by a leaf-specific and light-regulated promoter (Lopez-Calacgado et al., 2019). Perhaps surprisingly, the constitutive overexpression of OsSHMT1 in rice significantly increased photosynthetic efficiency and grain number per panicle by up to 25% (Wu et al., 2015), whereas the overexpression of either SGAT1 or GGAT1 in Arabidopsis did not increase plant biomass (Igarashi et al., 2006; Modde et al., 2017).

Given these results, it is tempting to think that the phenotype of plants overexpressing subunits of the GDC complex or SHMT1 may be due to a modified flux through the photosynthetic cycle thus reducing the accumulation of toxic photorespiratory intermediates rather than an altered glycine/serine metabolism. Indeed, lower amounts of 2-phosphoglycerate would improve Calvin-Benson cycle activity, RuBisCO net CO₂ fixation, and ultimately plant growth and N management. That said, the overexpression of PGLP1 in Arabidopsis did not significantly increase plant biomass and photosynthetic performances under current atmospheric conditions (21% O₂; Flugel et al., 2017). However, these transgenic lines showed a higher photosynthetic rate when compared to control lines without changing their water use efficiency under drought stress (Timm et al., 2019). As yet, we do not know whether overexpressing GDC subunits or SHMT1 confers an advantage under drought stress or low N inputs. This perspective may be promising for improving plant NUE with respect to climate change conditions.

**Alanine:2-Oxoglutarate Aminotransferase**

Plant alanine:2-oxoglutarate aminotransferase (ALAAT) catalyzes the reversible transamination of glutamate and pyruvate to produce 2-oxoglutarate and alanine in the cytosol and mitochondria of various cell types. In Arabidopsis, mitochondrial ALAAT is encoded by two genes: ALAAT1, which is strongly expressed in vascular tissues of shoots and roots compared to ALAAT2, which is weakly expressed in vascular tissues of shoots (Ricault et al., 2006; Miyashita et al., 2007). Interestingly, ALAAT1 is induced in roots when plants are facing hypoxia stress such as waterlogging, where it plays an essential role in storing C and N skeletons and optimizing ATP production (Diab and Limami, 2016).

A successful strategy for crop improvement consisted in the root specific expression of a barley HvALAAT1 cDNA (Table 1). This led to an increase in NUE, plant biomass, and seed yield in Brassica napus, Oryza sativa, Saccharum officinarum, and Triticum aestivum, although these results were sometimes only observed in high N conditions or low N conditions depending on the crop tested. In addition, neither root-specific nor constitutive expression of HvALAAT1 using an ubiquitin promoter succeeded to increase plant biomass in Sorghum bicolor, and thus suggesting that ALAAT activity was not rate-limiting for NUE in Sorghum bicolor. Recent results have confirmed a link between a higher ALAAT activity in roots and improved N-related phenotypes since the expression of ALAAT2 from Cucumis sativus, ALAAT1 and ALAAT2 from Mus musculus, and ALAAT from Pyrococcus furiosus in either Arabidopsis or Oryza sativa successfully increased plant growth in high or low N conditions (McAllister and Good, 2015; Sisharmini et al., 2019). How ALAAT activity leads to improved agronomical performance of plants has not been clearly elucidated (McAllister et al., 2012). However, based on some of the phenotypes observed in overexpressing lines, ALAAT could contribute to plant fitness in several ways. First, ALAAT may stimulate glycolysis and thereafter TCA cycle activity in roots by actively consuming pyruvate. Second, ALAAT may boost N uptake and assimilation in roots by actively recycling glutamate to 2-oxoglutarate for GOGAT activity. Finally, overproduction of alanine through ALAAT activity may efficiently activate alanine transport between plant organs thereby increasing the sink demand for N.

**Amino Acid Catabolism During Leaf Senescence**

The regulation of the balance of AA and protein biosynthesis and degradation in plant cells is of primary importance to support different plant growth stages (Hildebrandt et al., 2015). Specifically, when cells are facing senescence or adverse stresses, the activation of autophagy processes results in an intense degradation of stored proteins (Mascalux-Daubresse et al., 2017). This mechanism allows plants to remobilize C and N for the production of valuable compounds for stress tolerance/adaptation, for the production of energy, and for the establishment of sink tissues (Hildebrandt, 2018; Tegeder and Muscalux-Daubresse, 2018). Interestingly, developmental and dark-induced senescence (prolonged dark stress) share common pathways for the regulation of AA metabolism and this includes the activation of the mitochondrial catabolism of branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs; namely leucine, valine, and isoleucine; Chrobok et al., 2016; Law et al., 2018; Figure 1). This metabolic pathway is of primary importance since it recycles the N of each BCAA while redirecting their C skeleton to the TCA cycle in the form of acetyl-CoA. At the same time, this AA catabolism actively participates in mitochondrial energy production, by providing electrons to a flavoprotein of the ubiquinone complex of the mitochondrial electron transfer chain (METC; Figure 2; Araujo et al., 2010; Hildebrandt et al., 2015). Since ammonia reassimilation during senescence and AA transport both require ATP to function, BCAA catabolism represents a promising target to boost source-to-sink N remobilization in plants by remobilizing N from BCAAs while participating in mitochondrial ATP production.

Besides BCAAs, the catabolism of other AAs can also contribute to mitochondrial energy production during dark-induced senescence (Figure 2). The characterization of a D-2-hydroxylutarate dehydrogenase (D2HGDH) Arabidopsis mutant d2hdh coupled to L-lysine labeling experiments confirmed that lysine degradation via D2HGDH supplied electrons to the METC during dark-induced senescence (Araujo et al., 2010). Recently, the analysis of Arabidopsis tyrosine aminotransferase 1 mutants showed that Tyr degradation...
significantly contributed to TCA cycle activity during dark-induced senescence by supplying fumarate (Wang et al., 2019b). Proline dehydrogenase (ProDH), the first enzyme of mitochondrial degradation of proline, has also attracted attention. In *Arabidopsis*, ProDH1 can form part of a low-molecular-mass complex in the mitochondrial membrane and actively contribute to the METC by acting as an electron donor (Cabassa-Hourton et al., 2016). The analysis of a *prodh1prodh2* double mutant confirmed that the proline oxidation significantly contributed to the METC during dark-induced senescence (Launay et al., 2019). In oilseed rape, certain *BnProDH* homologous genes (*BnaA&CProlDH1a, BnaA&CProlDH1b, BnaAProDH2a*, and *BnaCProDH2a*) were induced by prolonged dark conditions (Dellero et al., 2020a). However, variations of proline content between sink and source-to-senescent leaves at the vegetative stage were mostly correlated to a reduction of proline biosynthesis rather than to an increase of proline oxidation (Dellero et al., 2020a,b). This recent finding has questioned the importance of proline catabolism with respect to crop improvement. In potato, the short-term inhibition of the activity of the 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase complex (involved in the production of succinate in the TCA cycle) with a phosphate analog resulted in a severe inhibition of mitochondrial respiration (Araujo et al., 2008). Nevertheless, long-term downregulation of this complex by antisense inhibition in tomato slightly reduced plant biomass and flowering time without affecting final fruit size, thereby suggesting that METC activity required for fruit production can be supported by alternative substrates (Araujo et al., 2012). Since isoleucine, leucine, lysine, proline, valine, and tyrosine are used as alternative respiratory substrates for mitochondrial respiration in plants during dark-induced senescence, enzymes of their respective catabolic pathways represent interesting and promising targets to boost source-to-sink N remobilization in plants. Extending this work to other crops will definitely provide exciting results on the exact contribution of their catabolism on plant NUE and perhaps under low N conditions.

**AMINO ACID UPTAKE AND TRANSPORT**

During vegetative growth and reproductive stages, AAs are transported from soil to roots (AA uptake) and between roots, shoots, and sink tissues through the vascular tissues of xylem and phloem. AA transport from roots to shoots is apoplastic. A successful achievement of the xylem and xylem loading is expected to be a passive step since it is facilitated by the difference of water potential between roots and leaves (Rennie and Turgeon, 2009). AA transport from source leaves to sink tissues is symplastically achieved through the phloem. However, phloem loading and unloading events and xylem-to-phloem loading can also follow an apoplastic route, which require the activity of specific plasma membrane AA transporters (Tegeder and Masclaux-Daubresse, 2018). These transporters are involved in the cellular import of a broad range of AAs in co-transport with protons, and they belong to five multigene families: amino acid permeases (AAP; eight genes in *Arabidopsis*), cationic amino acid transporters (CAT; nine genes in *Arabidopsis*), lysine histidine transporters (LHT; ten genes in *Arabidopsis*), proline transporters (ProT; three genes in *Arabidopsis*), and “usually multiple amino acid move in and out transporters” (UMAMIT; 43 genes in *Arabidopsis*; Su et al., 2004; Lehmann et al., 2011; Tegeder and Ward, 2012; Dinkeloo et al., 2018).

Reverse genetic approaches based on the characterization of *Arabidopsis* mutants have succeeded to identify the function of many AA transporters in recent years (Figure 1). Concerning AA uptake, the disruption of AAP1, AAP5, LHT1, LHT6, or ProT2 expression successfully decreased AA uptake capacities in the corresponding transgenic plants (Lee et al., 2007; Svennerstam et al., 2007, 2011; Lehmann et al., 2011; Perchlik et al., 2014). In addition, AAP1 can contribute to salt stress-induced proline uptake while LHT1 plays a role in AA import into leaf cells (Hirner et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2017). However, the importance of AAP1 for crop improvement can be questioned since potato *StAAP1* antisense lines showed no differences with respect to C and N contents in seeds and overall seed yield when compared to control lines (Koch et al., 2003). Concerning AA export from either roots or source leaves, AAP2 and AAP6 play a key role in xylem-to-phloem loading of AAs while the role of AAP3 seems to be easily compensated by other AA transporters (Figure 1; Okumoto et al., 2004; Hunt et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010). AAP8 and UMAMIT18 are involved in phloem loading of AA for leaf export (Figure 1; Ladwig et al., 2012; Santiago and Tegeder, 2016, 2017). Interestingly, deletion of *Arabidopsis* AAP8 decreased AA exudation from leaves at both vegetative and reproductive stages and ultimately decreased seed yield by up to 40% compared to the control line. Concerning AA import into seeds, UMAMIT11 and UMAMIT14 are both responsible for phloem unloading of AAs to seeds while UMAMIT24, UMAMIT25, UMAMIT28, and UMAMIT29 are involved in seed loading of AAs (Figure 1; Muller et al., 2015; Besnard et al., 2016, 2018). Perhaps surprisingly, single deletion of UMAMIT11, UMAMIT14, UMAMIT28, or UMAMIT29 decreased seed size at final harvest. From a global point of view, many AAP and UMAMIT transporters mentioned here are transcriptionally upregulated during natural leaf senescence and represent interesting targets for crop improvement (Figure 1; Have et al., 2017).

Up until now, biotechnological engineering of AA transport has been essentially focused on AAs (*Table 1*). A successful strategy has consisted in the overexpression of *AAP1* and *AAP6* in different tissues (phloem, embryo, seed, or all plant organs) to improve AA transport from source-to-sink tissues in *Vicia narbonensis, Pisum sativum, Oryza sativa,* and *Glycine max*. Consequently, these overexpressing plants exhibited higher N root uptake and/or AA transport capacities in both high and low N conditions, thereby resulting in higher N seed content and/or seed yield. From a global view, similar phenotypes were observed by using promoters differing in strength and conferring different tissue localizations. This confirmed the multifunctional role of *AAP1* and *AAP6* in plant N metabolism and highlighted them as valuable targets for crop improvement. However, this overexpression strategy was not successful for other AAs, hence reinforcing the interest of exploring the roles of AAs.
specifically in crops. Indeed, the constitutive overexpression of OsAAP5 and OsAAP3 in rice gave negative NUE phenotypes while either RNA interference or genome-editing of these genes using CRISPR technologies (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats) increased bud outgrowth and tiller number by up to 30% and grain yield by up to 50%. Perhaps such results reflect the fact that OsAAP3 and OsAAP5 can promote the uptake of lysine and arginine from roots and these AAs can inhibit specifically root growth and auxillary bud outgrowth in rice through the negative regulation of cytokinin production. Similarly, Arabidopsis knock-out lines for AAP2 showed a better NUpE and seed yield under both high and low N conditions. Nevertheless, interesting phenotypes obtained by downregulating AA transport seems to be restricted to AAP only. In fact, the downregulation of OslHT1 in rice through either knock-out or CRISPR technology inhibited AA uptake from roots and their translocation to leaves while negatively impacting plant growth, and finally, seed yield by up to 50% (Wang et al., 2019c; Guo et al., 2020).

It can be seen above that the potential of AAP and UMAMIT genes for improving NUE in N-limiting conditions has not been fully investigated among crops while the recent genome-wide identification of AAP genes and their regulation during various stresses in many crops will definitely provide interesting targets for the future improvement of crop NUE (Ma et al., 2016; Wan et al., 2017; Qu et al., 2019; Duan et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020).

**CONCLUDING REMARKS AND PERSPECTIVES**

Over the two last decades, major advances have been achieved to improve NAE and NUE under high and low N conditions through the genetic manipulation of AA metabolism. Concerning NAE improvement, while plant GS1 has represented an interesting target, breakthrough results in low N conditions for many crops have been obtained mainly by expressing in bacterial ASN and fungal and bacterial GDH genes in plants. Indeed, plant GS1 activity is regulated at multiple levels and represents a rather high energetic cost; therefore, an uncontrolled increase of GS1 activity is prone to interfere with plant physiology and metabolic cell homeostasis. Probably bacterial ASN and fungal and bacterial GDH are insensitive to plant cell regulations and their activity is prone to interfere with plant physiology (via cytokinin balance), and that this behavior is largely dependent on N metabolism topology in the tested crop. Of course, boosting AA uptake by overexpressing AAP1 and AAP6 in different tissues always resulted in a higher final seed N content in many different crops and even in low N conditions for the two leguminous species Pisum sativum and Glycine max. However, there was not always a positive impact on plant biomass or grain yield, perhaps due to limitations in sink size. In fact, successful engineering of sink/source relationships was mainly achieved through multi-target transformations improving both C/N remobilization and sink strength/size (Sonnewald and Fernie, 2018). It was recently shown that N reassimilation via the photosynthetic pathway increased plant net CO₂ uptake (Busch et al., 2018). Therefore, overexpression of either AAP1/AAP6 in crops could be coupled with the overexpression of photosynthetic proteins at the interface between C and N metabolisms, such as GDCH and SHMT1.

The identification of new targets for genetic engineering represents a major challenge. Given that a systematic knock-out/overexpression approach for each potential target in different crops would represent a tedious and time-consuming strategy, the development of ¹⁵N-based fluxomic approaches using crops could help to identify novel, suitable metabolic steps to target for NUE improvement. Whole-plant ¹³N-based fluxomic approaches have been deployed already to analyze the impact of low N conditions on global NUpE and NUE at the organ level and to identify the origin of N allocated to seeds (Have et al., 2017; Salon et al., 2017). Therefore, the further development of ¹⁵N-based fluxomics to explore AA metabolism in crops would be highly beneficial. For instance, the recent use of scalable metabolic flux modeling after ¹³N-glucose labeling showed that metabolic fluxes associated with de novo biosynthesis of proline and valine were strongly affected by leaf sink/source balances in Brassica napus (Dellero et al., 2020b). Future work...
should focus on developing more detailed and complete isotopic models of compartmented AA metabolism in crops under normal and low N conditions to identify new targets to improve NUE (and abiotic stress tolerance).

An important future challenge to consider regarding the improvement of crop NUE for sustainable agriculture is to propose non-GMO (Genetically Modified Organism) strategies. Indeed, genetically modified plants are not always publicly accepted especially in Europe due to a strong lobbying by environmental organizations. Forward genetic approaches based on natural genetic variation in crops have led to some interesting results for GS and AAPs. A QTL analysis of *Oryza sativa* identified OsAAP6 as a positive regulator of grain protein content and AA uptake. Interestingly, the genetic diversity of grain protein content within *indica* cultivars was associated to two variations in the potential cis-regulatory elements of the *OsAAP6* 5′-untranslated region (Peng et al., 2014). Similarly, a genetic analysis in *Oryza sativa* identified an *indica* haplotype containing a 51 bp insertion in the promoter sequence of AAP5 compared to *japonica* haplotypes. The *indica* haplotype had a lower OsAAP5 transcript level and produced more tillers than *japonica* haplotypes (Wang et al., 2019a). Different genetic analysis in *Triticum aestivum* and *Zea mays* successfully identified GS-containing QTLs related to NUE parameters (N uptake and biomass; Fontaine et al., 2009; Li et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2018). In addition to these interesting perspectives, it is well-known that monocultural farming practices can impoverish the genetic diversity of soil microbiota, which is an important and overlooked actor of plant N metabolism by directly connecting plants to their neighboring environment.

A major beneficial effect of bacteria on plant N acquisition is the symbiotic relationship between *rhizobia* and leguminous plants (Dellagi et al., 2020). While this bacteria-plant symbiotic interaction essentially occurs within Fabaceae, some plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi with a wide-range of specificity for crops may have an interesting potential for the improvement of plant N nutrition that should be further evaluated.
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