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Deciphering the Molecular Targets and Mechanisms of HGWD in the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis via Network Pharmacology and Molecular Docking
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Background. Huangqi Guizhi Wuwu Decoction (HGWD) has been applied in the treatment of joint pain for more than 1000 years in China. Currently, most physicians use HGWD to treat rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and it has proved to have high efficacy. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the potential mechanism of action of HGWD in RA treatment based on network pharmacology and molecular docking methods.

Methods. The active compounds of HGWD were collected, and their targets were identified from the Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology Database (TCMSP) and DrugBank database, respectively. The RA-related targets were retrieved by analyzing the differentially expressed genes between RA patients and healthy individuals. Subsequently, the compound-target network of HGWD was constructed and visualized through Cytoscape 3.8.0 software. Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed to explore the potential mechanisms of HGWD on RA using the plugin BisoGenet of Cytoscape 3.8.0 software. Gene ontology (GO) analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) were performed in R software (Bioconductor, clusterProfiler). Afterward, molecular docking was used to analyze the binding force of the top 10 active compounds with target proteins of VCAM1, CTNNB1, and JUN.

Results. Cumulatively, 790 active compounds and 1006 targets of HGWD were identified. A total of 4570 differentially expressed genes of RA with a p value < 0.05 and |log2 (fold change)| > 0.5 were collected. Moreover, 739 GO entries of HGWD on RA were identified, and 79 pathways were screened based on GO and KEGG analysis. The core target gene of HGWD in RA treatment was JUN. Other key target genes included FOS, CCND1, IL6, E2F2, and ICAM1. It was confirmed that the TNF signaling pathway and IL-17 signaling pathway are important pathways of HGWD in the treatment of RA. The molecular docking results revealed that the top 10 active compounds of HGWD had a strong binding to the target proteins of VCAM1, CTNNB1, and JUN.

Conclusion. HGWD has important active compounds such as quercetin, kaempferol, and beta-sitosterol, which exert its therapeutic effect on multiple targets and multiple pathways.

1. Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA), a common chronic systemic autoimmune disease, is characterized by synovial hyperproliferation and inflammatory/immune cell infiltration [1, 2]. Typical clinical symptoms of RA include tender and swelling of the joints, accompanied by morning stiffness of the affected joints. In severe cases, large joints can be injured leading to joint deformity and loss of function. The current global incidence of RA is 0.24%, and it is expected to increase [3–6]. Besides, RA is ranked 74th as a social burden and 42nd as a disability [3–6]. Current international guidelines for the management of RA recommend the use of disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), with methotrexate...
(MTX) as the first-line drug [7, 8]. However, MTX is not an ideal therapeutic agent. It has damaging side effects on the neuronal, gastrointestinal, and immune systems [9]. Therefore, it is imperative to explore safe and effective clinical treatment for RA.

The traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) is based on the theory of syndrome differentiation and has long been established as an effective treatment of RA. Huangqi Guizhi Wuwu Decoction (HGWD), a classical prescription described in *Jingui YaoLue*, has been used over 1000 years in China to treat joint pain. HGWD was formulated by Zhang Zhongjing during the Han dynasty. It is a mixture of five Chinese medicines including *Astragalus membranaceus* (Huangqi), Cassia Twig (Guizhi), *Radix Paeoniae Alba* (Baishao), ginger (Shengjiang), and Chinese date (Dazao). HGWD is considered to have the ability to reinforce Qi and nourish blood, warm and smoothen meridian, and dredge arthritic pain traditionally [10]. In a study of rats with RA, HGWD administration reduced joint inflammation, synovial hyperplasia, and cartilage damage [11]. Previous studies have revealed that HGWD improves the clinical symptoms and signs, as well as laboratory indices, in RA patients [12]. Moreover, a systematic review and meta-analysis showed that when HGWD was combined with Western medicine therapy to treat RA, better efficacy, improved morning stiffness, reduced C-reactive protein, and rheumatoid factor content were achieved compared to Western medicine only [13]. However, the mechanisms of HGWD in RA treatment are not clear, a major limiting factor for its extensive application.

Network pharmacology is partly bioinformatics and was first proposed by Hopkins [14]. It has been successfully used to study complex TCM formulations. This is because it not only combines system network analysis and pharmacology but is also based on the connotation of holistic theory, multicomponents, multitargets, and multipathways of Chinese medicine [15, 16]. Network pharmacology can elucidate the mechanisms of HGWD in the RA treatment at the molecular level via compound-compound network, compound-target network, and target-disease network.

In the present study, network pharmacology was used to establish a compound-target-disease network for exploring the potential HGWD mechanism of action in RA treatment. This study provides a reference for future pharmacological studies and clinical applications. The flow diagram of the network is shown in Figure 1.

2. Methods

2.1. Collection of Active HGWD Compound Information. Information on the HGWD compounds was retrieved from the Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology Database (TCMSP, http://tcmspw.com/tcmsp.php) [17]. TCMSP is a unique system pharmacology database of Chinese herbal medicines with data on absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME)-related parameters of herbal ingredients as well as the relationships between diseases, targets, ingredients, and drugs [18]. The active compounds of HGWD were primarily screened based on oral bioavailability (OB) and drug-like (DL) properties, the two important indicators for bioinformatics evaluation of ADME characteristics [19]. The OB is a major pharmacokinetic parameter of oral drugs and is the proportion of oral drug dose in the systemic circulation [20]. DL properties are the physical and chemical properties that qualitatively evaluate whether a compound is similar to existing drugs [21]. Subsequently, the compounds with OB ≥ 30% and DL ≥ 0.18 were chosen as the candidate compounds for further analysis.

2.2. Identification of HGWD Potential Targets. Target identification is an important aspect of drug exploration [22]. The target candidate compounds were imported into the DrugBank database (https://www.drugbank.ca/) to identify the corresponding potential targets of HGWD [23].

2.3. Known RA-Related Targets. The differentially expressed genes in RA were retrieved from the GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov; series: GSE21959; samples: there were 36 samples, 18 for healthy individuals and 18 for those with rheumatoid arthritis). The genes with a *p* value <0.05 and |log2 (fold change)| > 0.5 were regarded as differentially expressed genes and RA-related targets.

2.4. Network Construction. The compound-target network of HGWD was constructed and visualized via Cytoscape 3.8.0 software [24]. Protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks were constructed to explore the potential mechanisms of HGWD on RA using the plugin BisoGenet of Cytoscape 3.8.0 software [25]. Afterward, the topological importance of each node by calculating degree centrality (DC), betweenness centrality (BC), closeness centrality (CC), eigenvector centrality (EC), local average connectivity-based method (LAC), and network centrality (NC) was evaluated with a Cytoscape plugin CytoNCA. Their definitions and computational formulas have been reported and are the topological importance representative of each node [26].

2.5. Gene Ontology and Pathway Enrichment Analysis. Gene ontology (GO) analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) are important methods that describe the features of candidate targets. The two were performed in R software (Bioconductor, clusterProfiler) with the standard *p* value cutoff of 0.05 and the *q* value of 0.05 [27, 28]. The GO analysis was applied for target protein analysis, and the top 20 functional categories in biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), and molecular function (MF) were chosen. Based on the targets of HGWD in RA treatment, KEGG enrichment analysis was carried out. The top 20 KEGG pathways were selected for plotting a histogram. Meanwhile, the network diagram of the gene pathway was drawn.
2.6. Molecular Docking of the Main Active Constituents of HGWD and Core Proteins. The 3D protein structure of the three core proteins corresponding to the core targets, VCAM1, CTNNB1, and JUN, was downloaded from the UniProt database. Subsequently, the structure of the active ingredient in HGWD (the top 10 places in the number of targets) was downloaded from the PubChem database and saved in the PDB format. Using PyMOL software, the three proteins were virtually dehydrated and hydrogenated, the original ligands were extracted in each protein, and then were stored separately. AutoDockTools 1.5.6 was utilized to convert compounds, ligands, and proteins into the “pdbqt” format and to define if the location of each protein or its ligands was the active pocket of the protein. Finally, Vina 1.5.6 was run to assess molecular docking. At a binding energy value <0, the molecular proteins were considered to spontaneously bind and interact with each other. Accordingly, the lower the energy is, the more stable the molecular conformation is.

3. Results

3.1. Target Screening of HGWD and RA. A total of 790 compounds of the five herb medicines in HGWD were retrieved from the TCMSP database. This included 87 compounds in Huangqi, 220 in Guizhi, 85 in Baishao, 265 in Shengjiang, and 133 in Dazao. Among them, 74 compounds passed OB ≥ 30% and DL ≥ 0.18 filtering. Specifically, the numbers of candidate compounds in Huangqi, Guizhi, Baishao, Shengjiang, and Dazao were 20, 7, 13, 5, and 29, respectively. The candidate compounds in HGWD used for further analysis are shown in Table 1. The DrugBank database retrieval predicted a total of 1006 differentially expressed genes in RA were collected from the GEO database. As shown in Figure 2, a volcano plot was drawn to show the distribution of the differentially expressed genes. The genes are represented by the red and green dots in the plot. We compared the target genes regulated by the active compounds in HGWD, and different genes in RA were compared, obtaining 49 common target genes. These 49 target genes were found to be regulated by 28 active compounds.

3.2. Compound-Target Network Analysis. The compound-target network of HGWD was established with the collected compounds and their targets as shown in Figure 3. The network contains 77 nodes (28 compounds in HGWD and 49 compound targets) and 130 edges elucidating the compound-target interactions. Quercetin, kaempferol, and beta-sitosterol acted on 33, 14, and 8 targets, respectively. The OB of quercetin, kaempferol, and beta-sitosterol was 43.43, 41.88, and 36.91%, respectively. Therefore, they are potential key active compounds due to their relative positioning in the network. Besides, it has been revealed that Guizhi, Baishao, and Dazao share a common component (+)-catechin (ID: MOL000492); Shengjiang and Dazao have the same ingredient stigmasterol (ID: MOL000449); Guizhi, Baishao, Shengjiang, and Dazao share a common ingredient beta-sitosterol (ID: MOL000358); Huangqi and Baishao share the same constituent kaempferol (ID: MOL000422); and Huangqi and Dazao share the same component quercetin (ID: MOL000098).

3.3. The Candidate Targets for HGWD against RA. To elucidate the mechanism by which HGWD ameliorates RA, the potential target network was merged with the RA-related
| Molecule ID | Name                                                                 | OB      | DL    | Source                        |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------|-------------------------------|
| MOL000211  | Mairin                                                              | 55.38   | 0.78  | Huangqi, Baishao, Dazao        |
| MOL000239  | Jaranol                                                              | 50.83   | 0.29  | Huangqi                       |
| MOL000296  | Hederagenin                                                         | 36.91   | 0.75  | Huangqi                       |
| MOL00033   | (3S,8S,9S,10R,13R,14S,17R)-10,13-Dimethyl-17-[(2R,5S)-5-propan-2-yl-octan-2-yl]-2,3,4,7,8,9,11,12,14,15,16,17-dodecahydro-1H-cyclopenta[| 36.23   | 0.78  | Huangqi                       |
| MOL000354  | Isorhamnetin                                                        | 49.6    | 0.31  | Huangqi                       |
| MOL000371  | 3,9-Di-O-methylsinosolin                                            | 53.73   | 0.48  | Huangqi                       |
| MOL000374  | 5'-Hydroxyiso-muronulatol-2',5'-di-O-glucoside                      | 41.72   | 0.69  | Huangqi                       |
| MOL000378  | 7-O-Methylisomuronulatol                                            | 74.69   | 0.3   | Huangqi                       |
| MOL000380  | (3R)-3-(2-Hydroxy-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)chroman-7-ol                  | 68.96   | 0.71  | Huangqi                       |
| MOL000383  | (3S,8S,9S,10R,13R,14S,17R)-10,13-Dimethyl-17-[(2R,5S)-5-propan-2-yl-octan-2-yl]-2,3,4,7,8,9,11,12,14,15,16,17-dodecahydro-1H-cyclopenta[| 46.43   | 0.28  | Huangqi, Dazao                |
| MOL000391  | (3R)-3-(2-Hydroxy-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)chroman-7-ol                  | 61.51   | 0.27  | Guizhi, Baishao, Shengjiang, Dazao |
| MOL000395  | Beta-sitosterol                                                     | 36.91   | 0.75  | Guizhi, Baishao, Shengjiang, Dazao |
| MOL000396  | Sitosterol                                                          | 36.91   | 0.75  | Guizhi, Baishao, Shengjiang, Dazao |
| MOL000422  | Kaempferol                                                          | 41.88   | 0.24  | Huangqi, Baishao              |
| MOL000433  | FA                                                                  | 68.96   | 0.71  | Huangqi                       |
| MOL000438  | (3R)-3-(2-Hydroxy-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)chroman-7-ol                  | 67.67   | 0.26  | Huangqi                       |
| MOL000439  | Isomuronulatol-7,2'-di-O-glucosiole                                 | 49.28   | 0.62  | Huangqi                       |
| MOL000442  | 1,7-Dihydroxy-3,9-dimethoxy pterocarpene                            | 39.05   | 0.48  | Huangqi                       |
| MOL000498  | Quercetin                                                           | 46.43   | 0.28  | Huangqi, Dazao                |
| MOL000525  | Paeoniflorin                                        | 68.18   | 0.4   | Baishao                       |
| MOL000527  | (3S,5R,8R,9R,10S,14S)-3,17-Dihydroxy-1,4,8,10-pentamethyl-2,3,5,6,7,9-hexahydro-1H-cyclopenta[| 43.56   | 0.53  | Baishao                       |
| MOL000530  | Benzyol paeoniflorin                                                | 31.27   | 0.75  | Baishao                       |
| MOL000531  | Paeoniflorin                                                       | 53.87   | 0.79  | Baishao                       |
| MOL000532  | Lactiflorin                                                        | 49.12   | 0.8   | Baishao                       |
| MOL000533  | 6-Methylgingediacetate2                                            | 48.73   | 0.32  | Shengjiang                    |
| MOL000534  | Stigmasterol                                                       | 43.83   | 0.76  | Shengjiang, Dazao             |
| MOL000535  | | 36.91   | 0.75  | Shengjiang, Dazao             |
| MOL000536  | Dihydrocapsaicin                                                   | 47.07   | 0.19  | Shengjiang                    |
| MOL000537  | Stepharine                                                         | 31.55   | 0.33  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000538  | Spiradine A                                                        | 113.52  | 0.61  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000539  | Zizyphus saponin L_qt                                             | 32.69   | 0.62  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000540  | Jujuboside A_qt                                                   | 36.67   | 0.62  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000541  | Coumestrol                                                         | 32.49   | 0.34  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000542  | Daechuine S6                                                      | 46.48   | 0.79  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000543  | Daechuine S7                                                      | 44.82   | 0.83  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000544  | Jujubosaponin V_qt                                                | 36.99   | 0.63  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000545  | Jujuboside C_qt                                                   | 40.26   | 0.62  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000546  | Mauritine D                                                       | 89.13   | 0.45  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000547  | Berberine                                                          | 36.86   | 0.78  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000548  | (S)-Coclaurine                                                    | 42.35   | 0.24  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000549  | Ruvoside_qt                                                       | 66.95   | 0.62  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000550  | Malkangunin                                                       | 36.12   | 0.76  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000551  | | 57.71   | 0.63  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000552  | Stepholidine                                                       | 33.11   | 0.54  | Dazao                         |
| MOL000553  | Nuciferin                                                         | 34.43   | 0.4   | Dazao                         |
3.4. GO and KEGG Pathway Enrichment Analysis. To further confirm the biological responses from RA treatment with HGWD, GO analysis of the 49 RA-related potential therapeutic target genes was performed based on BP, CC, and MF. The analysis results revealed a total of 739 entries. In BP enrichment analysis, 666 entries were obtained including response to antibiotic, response to alcohol, and response to steroid hormone. In CC enrichment analysis, 34 entries involved in membrane raft, membrane microdomain, membrane region, etc. were obtained. The MF enrichment analysis revealed 39 entries, including kinase regulator activity, protein kinase regulator activity, and serine hydrolase activity. The top 20 terms are shown in Figure 5.

To further show the biological processes of these targets, the KEGG pathway analysis was performed. The analysis results showed that these targets were enriched in 79 pathways with an adjusted \( p \) value < 0.05. The top 20 KEGG pathways’ enrichment analysis is shown in Figure 6. Among these pathways, the top three were fluid shear stress and atherosclerosis, TNF signaling pathway, and IL-17 signaling pathway based on the number of the pathway target genes.

3.5. The Gene-Pathway Network. The gene-pathway network analysis was constructed according to the significantly enriched pathways and genes which regulate these pathways as shown in Figure 7. The diagram shows the network relationship between the top 20 pathways and their regulated target genes. According to the network analysis, JUN had the
Figure 4: Protein interaction network of the HGWD formula.

Biological process

- Response to antibiotic
- Response to alcohol
- Response to steroid hormone
- Response to lipopolysaccharide
- Response to molecule of bacterial origin
- Response to ethanol
- Response to radiation
- Response to metal
- Cellular response to drug
- Response to reactive oxygen species
- Cellular response to oxygen species
- Negative regulation of ion transport
- Response to oxidative stress
- Neuron death
- Regulation of calcium ion transport
- Ethical cellular apoptosis process
- Six differentiation
- Response to alkaloid
- Regulation of metal ion transport

Cellular component

- Plasmamembrane raft
- Membrane microdomain
- Membrane region
- Caveola
- RNA polymerase II transcription factor complex
- Nuclear transcription factor complex
- Plasma membrane raft
- Synaptic membrane
- Pre-synaptic membrane
- Mitochondrial outer membrane
- Synaptic ribosome
type lumen
- Microvillus
- Postsynaptic membrane
- Organelle outer membrane
- Outer membrane
- Transcription factor complex
- Apical part of cell
- Nuclear chromatin
- External side of plasma membrane

Figure 5: Continued.
highest volume, hence the core target gene. Additionally, other genes had a relatively high volume including FOS, CCND1, IL6, E2F2, and ICAM1. These genes are potential key target genes involved in the HGWD treatment of RA.

3.6. The Molecular Docking of the Main Active Constituents of HGWD and Core Proteins. Normally, high connectivity compounds are associated with more targets. According to the number of related targets, the top 10 active compounds in HGWD with high connectivity in the compound-target network were selected for molecular docking (Table 2, binding energy of the main potential active ingredients in HGWD). Based on the binding energy value, the lower the binding energy value, the stronger the binding to the target protein. Among them, stigmasterol and stepholidine had the strongest binding force with VCAM1 (PDB: 1ij9), betacarotene and quercetin had the strongest binding force with

Figure 5: Gene ontology terms of the candidate targets of the HGWD formula against RA. (a) Biological process. (b) Cellular component. (c) Molecular function.

Figure 6: KEGG pathway enrichment of the candidate targets of the HGWD formula against RA.
CTNNB1 (PDB: 1jdh), and beta-carotene and stigmasterol had the strongest binding force with JUN (PDB: 2g01). The corresponding molecular docking diagram of stepholidine, stigmasterol, and VCAM1, beta-carotene, quercetin and CTNNB1, and beta-carotene, stigmasterol, and JUN is illustrated in Figure 8.

4. Discussion

TCM, characterized by multicomponent and multitarget medicines, cures diseases via multiple targets, multiple pathways, and multiple links. Due to the complex chemical ingredients of TCM, conventional research methods such as clinical and pharmacological research are not capable of fully elucidating the mechanism of action of TCM. Fortunately, network pharmacology provides a solution to this challenge since it is suitable for multicomponent and multitarget research. In the present study, the mechanism of action of HGWD on RA was explored via network pharmacology methods. This provided a clear direction for further basic and clinical research.

Modern pharmacology has shown that HGWD has a specific therapeutic effect on RA, where it elicits obvious anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects [30]. Specifically, Huangqi has an anti-inflammatory effect and improves the immunity [31]. The volatile oil of Guizhi has good anti-inflammatory, immunological, and chondrocyte proliferation effects [32]. The total glycosides, the main components of Baishao, have anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and autoimmune reaction pharmacological effects, thus providing specific pharmacological basis for its clinical function in the treatment of RA.

In this study, the compound-target network of HGWD was constructed using 28 compounds and their 49 targets. The network diagram demonstrated that most of the HGWD compounds affected multiple targets. For instance, quercetin, kaempferol, and beta-sitosterol acted on 33, 14, and 8 targets, respectively. Therefore, they were the likely key active compounds for HGWD. Quercetin is a common active component of Huangqi and Dazao. Kaempferol is a common active component of Huangqi and Baishao. Beta-sitosterol is a common active component of Guizhi, Baishao, and JUN had the strongest binding force with JUN (PDB: 2g01). The corresponding molecular docking diagram of stepholidine, stigmasterol, and VCAM1, beta-carotene, quercetin and CTNNB1, and beta-carotene, stigmasterol, and JUN is illustrated in Figure 8.

**Table 2: The binding energy of the main potential active ingredients in the HGWD formula.**

| Compound             | Molecular formula | Binding energy (VCAM1) | Binding energy (CTNNB1) | Binding energy (JUN) |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Quercetin            | C_{15}H_{10}O_{7}  | -7.1                   | -7.3                    | -7.3                 |
| Kaempferol           | C_{15}H_{10}O_{6}  | -7.1                   | -6.5                    | -7.4                 |
| Beta-sitosterol      | C_{29}H_{50}O      | -7.3                   | -7.1                    | -7.8                 |
| Formononetin         | C_{16}H_{12}O_{6}  | -7                      | -6.3                    | -6.9                 |
| 7-O-Methylisomucronulatol | C_{18}H_{26}O_{5}  | -6.7                   | -6                      | -6.9                 |
| Isorhamnetin         | C_{18}H_{14}O_{7}  | -6.9                   | -6.5                    | -7.5                 |
| Beta-carotene        | C_{40}H_{56}O_{5}  | -6.5                   | -7.9                    | -8.1                 |
| Stepholidine         | C_{16}H_{28}NO_{4} | -7.5                   | -6.7                    | -6.9                 |
| (S)-Coclaurine       | C_{12}H_{18}NO_{3} | -7.1                   | -6.3                    | -7.1                 |
| Stigmasterol         | C_{29}H_{48}O      | -7.6                   | -7                      | -8.1                 |

Huangqi has an anti-inflammatory effect and improves the immunity [31]. The volatile oil of Guizhi has good anti-inflammatory, immunological, and chondrocyte proliferation effects [32]. The total glycosides, the main components of Baishao, have anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and autoimmune reaction pharmacological effects [33]. Shengjiang resists oxidation, scavenges free radicals, and relieves pain [34]. The polysaccharide of Dazao can significantly inhibit pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6 and TNF, with anti-inflammatory activity [35]. It is suggested that this prescription has anti-inflammatory, antioxidation, analgesic, and autoimmune reaction pharmacological effects, thus providing specific pharmacological basis for its clinical function in the treatment of RA.

FIGURE 7: Gene-pathway network of the HGWD formula against RA. The yellow squares represent the target genes, and the red v-shapes represent pathways. The large size represents the larger betweenness centrality.

FIGURE 8: Molecular docking diagram of stepholidine, stigmasterol, and VCAM1, beta-carotene, quercetin and CTNNB1, and beta-carotene, stigmasterol, and JUN is illustrated.
Shengjiang, and Dazao. These drugs have been mainly used together in Chinese medical history. It indicates that the compatibility between these drugs has a synergistic effect and increases their efficacy.

Quercetin is a flavonol that has unique therapeutic biological properties including anticarcinogenic, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antiviral, and psychostimulant activities [36, 37]. Kaempferol, a representative flavonoid, has been known to exert a range of pharmacological actions including the mediation of antioxidant, antimicrobial, and anti-inflammatory effects [38, 39]. Kaempferol is a potent immunosuppressant that reduces the harmful immune responses including autoimmunity and chronic inflammation [40]. Beta-sitosterol, a main constituent of plants and vegetables, has versatile activities that impact cell activities including anti-inflammatory effect [41, 42]. In this study, quercetin, kaempferol, and beta-sitosterol regulated most RA-related targets and exhibited anti-inflammatory effects. Besides, they have a high oral bioavailability. Therefore, they were identified as the representative compounds of HGWD.

The PPI networks of HGWD targets and RA-related targets were constructed and merged to identify the candidate targets of HGWD against RA. To accurately obtain the targets, two parameters including DC and BC were set to construct a new network. Through PPI analysis, VCAM1, CTNNB1, and JUN were established as the important targets in RA.

Figure 8: Molecular docking diagram. (a) Stepholidine-VCAM1. (b) Stigmasterol-VCAM1. (c) Beta-carotene-CTNNB1. (d) Quercetin-CTNB1. (e) Stigmasterol-JUN. (f) Beta-carotene-JUN.
Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

Stimulating osteoclast bone damaging [51–53].

Inhibiting chondroprogenitor cell formation, and metalloproteinases, possibly promoting cartilage degradation. RANKL. Consequently, this increases the content of matrix nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-

It has been revealed that IL-17 upregulates the levels of proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-10, and TNF-α [46]. Elsewhere, it has been shown that CD44 can activate the transcription factor AP-1 (the whole is a protein) expressed by the gene JUN, thus promoting the activation of T cells and aggravating RA synovitis [47].

The targets of HGWD against RA were enriched in BP, CC, and MF through GO analysis. Results suggested that HGWD mainly regulated response to antibiotics, response to steroid hormones, and response to radiation. Furthermore, it was shown to affect some cellular components and molecular functions including membrane raft, membrane microdomain, membrane region, and kinase regulator activity. In the present study, 79 KEGG pathways including TNF signaling pathway and IL-17 signaling pathway were significantly enriched. IL-17 and TNF-α are classic proinflammatory cytokines. IL-17 is mainly secreted by helper T-cells type 17 and has strong proinflammatory effects. Analysis of synovial fluid in patients with RA found that IL-17 content was significantly increased and positively correlated with Disease Activity Score-28 (DAS28) [48]. This was a confirmation that IL-17 is involved in the occurrence of RA. Fischer et al. [49] found that, after IL-17 treatment of fibroblast synovial cells, the expression of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, IL-8, and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) increases, aggravating the inflammatory damage of fibroblast synovial cells. Besides, IL-17 can also induce joint bone and cartilage damages [50]. It has been revealed that IL-17 upregulates the levels of nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) receptor activator ligand RANKL. Consequently, this increases the content of matrix metalloproteinases, possibly promoting cartilage degradation, inhibiting chondroprogenitor cell formation, and stimulating osteoclast bone damaging [51–53].

TNF-α plays an important role in the development of RA. On the one hand, it can bind to the TNFR1 receptor on fibroblast synovial cells, promoting the release of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, and IL-8 and aggravating the damage of the articular cartilage and bone [54]. On the other hand, TNF-α can stimulate immune cells in blood to enter the joint through VCAM-1, aggravating the joint injury [44]. Besides, the other pathways in the first 20 pathways have not been reported to be related to RA, providing new ideas and clues for future research.

The gene-pathway network was constructed to explore the main target genes of HGWD against RA. The results revealed that JUN, FOS, CCND1, IL6, E2F2, and ICAM1 are important target genes. A study found that the ERK-JNK-P38 signaling pathways in autoimmune disease are activated, leading to high levels of downstream JUN and Fos protein. Subsequently, the two combine to form dimer transcription factor-activating protein 1 (AP-1), which is involved in the occurrence and development of RA. It regulates the transformation of initial T cells into effector T cells, hence regulating the immune response and inflammatory process [55–58]. Inflammatory response runs throughout RA. The proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-17 play a crucial role in synovial fibroblast inflammation. Studies have demonstrated that TNF-α upregulates the E2F2 expression by activating the nuclear transcription factor NF-κB pathway. This enhances synovial cell proliferation and synovial tissue thickening leading to joint injury [59]. The serum level of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) is high in RA patients. It has been found that ICAM-1 induces inflammatory cell aggregation and promotes synovial cell inflammation once it combines with ligand lymphocyte function antigen-1 (LFA-1) [60]. Through the molecular docking analysis of the effects of the chief active components in HGWD and the core target proteins of RA, the molecular mechanism of the treatment of RA by the active components of TCM can be predicted. This is of great reference significance for subsequent research and development of targeted drugs. The results showed that the main compounds in HGWD have a strong binding force to the core proteins VCAM1, CTNNB1, and JUN. Among them, stigmasterol and stepholidine closely link to VCAM1 through hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic forces. Beta-carotene and quercetin closely link to CTNNB1 through hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions. Stigmasterol closely links to JUN through hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions. Beta-carotene closely links to JUN only through hydrophobic interactions. Based on this, it can be concluded that stigmasterol, stepholidine, beta-carotene, and quercetin are the key compounds in the treatment of RA.

5. Conclusion

Our study systematically elucidated the mechanisms of action and molecular targets of HGWD against RA via the network pharmacology approach. Quercetin, kaempferol, and beta-sitosterol regulated most of the targets related to RA. The HGWD can regulate gene function through their related pathways including TNF signaling pathway and IL-17 signaling pathway. The key target genes in the gene-pathway network of HGWD against RA were JUN, FOS, CCND1, IL6, E2F2, and ICAM1. Furthermore, according to molecular docking analysis, important compounds such as steviolidine, stigmasterol, beta-carotene, quercetin, and the core protein CTNNB1, VCAM1, and JUN all have good binding ability. The network pharmacology is a promising suitable approach for the study of TCM formulations.
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