Interaction between Axons and Specific Populations of Surrounding Cells Is Indispensable for Collateral Formation in the Mammillary System
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Abstract

Background: An essential phenomenon during brain development is the extension of long collateral branches by axons. How the local cellular environment contributes to the initial sprouting of these branches in specific points of an axonal shaft remains unclear.

Methodology/Principal Findings: The principal mammillary tract (pm) is a landmark axonal bundle connecting ventral diencephalon to brainstem (through the mammillotegmental tract, mtg). Late in development, the axons of the principal mammillary tract sprout collateral branches at a very specific point forming a large bundle whose target is the thalamus. Inspection of this model showed a number of distinct, identified cell populations originated in the dorsal and the ventral diencephalon and migrating during development to arrange themselves into several discrete groups around the branching point. Further analysis of this system in several mouse lines carrying mutant alleles of genes expressed in defined subpopulations (including Pax6, Foxb1, Lrp6 and Gbx2) together with the use of an unambiguous genetic marker of mammillary axons revealed: 1) a specific group of Pax6-expressing cells in close apposition with the prospective branching point is indispensable to elicit axonal branching in this system; and 2) cooperation of transcription factors Foxb1 and Pax6 to differently regulate navigation and fasciculation of distinct branches of the principal mammillary tract.

Conclusions/Significance: Our results define for the first time a model system where interaction of the axonal shaft with a specific group of surrounding cells is essential to promote branching. Additionally, we provide insight on the cooperative transcriptional regulation necessary to promote and organize an intricate axonal tree.

Introduction

Outgrowing axons commonly branch immediately proximal to the growth cone sending offshoots to nearby targets [1]. However, stereotyped (i.e. identical in all individuals) axonal collaterals form through sprouting and branching at the axonal shaft away from the growth cone [2,3]. Although it remains unclear how the precise branching points are initiated, it has been suggested that cells in close apposition to the axon could contribute to branching [4]. Here we use the development of the pm (Fig. 1) and its surrounding cells as a model to study the possible interaction between local environment and axonal collaterals. The mammillary body (MBO) is a nuclear complex in the postero-ventral diencephalon with defined functions in learning and memory [5]. The MBO generates the pm which is continued by the mtg (Fig. 1). The mammillothalamic tract (mth) is a large, stereotyped collateral of the pm connecting MBO with thalamus (Th in Fig. 1) [6]. The mammillotectal tract (mtc) connects MBO to the tectum [7,8].

We approached this model through analysis of its development in wild type and in several mouse lines carrying null phenotypes for genes expressed in identified cellular subpopulations surrounding the branching point. We also made use of the Foxb1-tauLacZ allele, an unambiguous genetic marker of mammillary axons.

Our results show that the future branching point in the pm is marked by a complex arrangement of specific cells including a unique cell group formed by at least two distinct, specific subpopulations originated, respectively, in the ventral and in the dorsal diencephalon. We found evidence strongly supporting that...
interaction between the axonal shaft and specific populations of surrounding cells is indispensable for collateral branching. Additionally, we show that *Foxb1* cooperates with *Pax6* to differentially regulate navigation of mammillary axonal bundles targeting the tectum and tegmentum, probably through control of fasciculation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mouse lines**

Animals were handled in ways that minimize pain and discomfort, in agreement with the European Communities Council Directive (86/609/EEC). To obtain embryos, timed-pregnant females of the appropriate crossings were killed by cervical dislocation.

*Foxb1-tau-lacZ*. This mouse mutant line [7] carries axonal marker tau-lacZ [9] as a reporter of *Foxb1* expression. *Foxb1* heterozygotes show normal phenotype [7,10–12] and no homozygotes were used in this study. Since *Foxb1* is specifically expressed in the MBO including the dorsal premammillary nucleus [7,13] expression of beta-galactosidase in heterozygotes provided us with a clear-cut genetic marker of this nuclear complex and its axonal projections.

*Foxb1::Cre*. This line carries the Cre recombinase under the control of *Foxb1* regulatory sequences [knockin-knockout] [14]. Upon crossing with reporter line ROSA26R [15], it reveals the *Foxb1* cell lineage [16].

*Pax6-Small eye (Sey)*. A spontaneous null mutant allele of *Pax6* [17,18].

*Pax6::lacZ*. This targeted null allele of *Pax6* expresses beta-galactosidase as expression reporter [19].

*Lrp6* mouse mutant line. Courtesy of Dr. Kenji Imai (Helmholtz Center Munich, Germany) [20].

*Gbx2* mouse mutant line. Courtesy of Drs. Gail Martin (University of California San Francisco) and Alex Joyner (Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Embryos of the appropriate ages were obtained and fixed by immersion in paraformaldehyde 4% in phosphate buffer saline (PBS). Paraffin sections (15 micrometer) of mouse brains were dewaxed, preincubated in PBT/10% fetal calf serum and incubated overnight (4°C) in rabbit anti-beta-galactosidase antibody (Molecular Probes-Invitrogen Cat. Nr. A11132), or chicken anti-beta-galactosidase antibody (1:500) (Abcam Cat. Nr. 9361) and/or mouse monoclonal anti-Pax6 antibody (1:50) (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank). Either fluorescent secondary antibodies (Alexa 488 and Alexa 594, Invitrogen), or biotinylated antibodies (Vector Laboratories, Cat. Nrs. BA-9010, BA-9200 or BA-1000) followed by Streptavidin-POD (GE Healthcare, RPN 1231V) and diaminobenzidine (Sigma-Aldrich, D3939) were used for visualization.

**In situ hybridization**

Was performed on cryostat sections of fresh-frozen embryo brains according to current protocols [16,21,22].

**Counting axons on histological sections**

Immunodetection of beta-galactosidase was performed on sagittal paraffin sections of three E16.5 brains per genotype. E16.5 was chosen since at this age there is no mth yet in normal animals (see Results section). Three sections were counted per side of the brain, and the right and left sides of the brain were considered separately. The immunolabeled axons coming out of the dorsal side of the pm were scored as belonging to one of two groups—the ones oriented rostro-dorsally (the “problem axons”, see Results section) from the ones oriented caudo-dorsally (mtc). Statistic analysis was performed with Prism software (GraphPad, La Jolla, California).

**Axonal tracing with DiI**

The lipophilic carbocyanine dye DiI (Invitrogen, Darmstadt, Germany) was dissolved (25%) in dimethylformamide and a very small amount of the solution (it is not possible to know exactly how much) was injected in paraformaldehyde-fixed brains with a glass capillary. The brains were left at 37°C protected from the light for several days, then embedded in 4% agarose, cut with a vibrating microtome and analyzed and photographed in a fluorescence microscope with a rhodamine filter.

**Microscopy**

Nikon A1 confocal (Nikon Engineering, Yokohama, Japan), Leica DMR and MZ APO microscopes (Leica Mikrosysteme, Wetzlar, Germany), Olympus DP50 cameras (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and Cell-F 2.6 software (Olympus Soft Imaging Solutions GmbH, Münster, Germany) were used for analysis and photography. Image contrast was enhanced by applying Photoshop 7.0 software tools (Adobe Systems Inc., San José, California) to one whole image file at a time. IMARIS software (Bitplane, Zurich) was used for reconstructions of DiI-labeled axons.

**Results**

**Arrangement of specific cell groups at the pm branching point**

The pm branching point finds itself in the posterior hypothalamus (ventral diencephalon), dorsal to the mammillary body, and approximately in register with the boundary between two dorsal diencephalic subdivisions classically named dorsal and ventral thalamus. Based on recent advances in our understanding of diencephalic development a new terminology is being introduced (see for instance [23–25]) in which the names prethalamus (formerly known as ventral thalamus) and thalamus (formerly known as dorsal thalamus) are preferred. In order to avoid confusion, we will call these two structures prethalamus/ventral thalamus (PTh/VTh, labeled in the Figures with an asterisk) and thalamus (Th). Transcription factor gene *Pax6* is a marker of PTh/VTh [26,27] and we used it as the basis of our analysis. We found a trail of *Pax6*-positive cells joining the most ventral end of the PTh/VTh to the branching point (black arrow in Fig. 2A, B).
Closer examination (Fig. 2B) revealed an intriguing and complex distribution of *Pax6*-expressing cells around the mammillary axonal tree. The *Pax6*-expressing trail of cells was in contact with the mth and ended in a group of cells closely apposed to the branching point (black arrowheads in Fig. 2B). *Pax6*-positive cells were also present between the mth axons (white arrowhead in Fig. 2B) lending the first stretch of this tract its characteristic reticulate appearance [7,20]. Finally, numerous *Pax6*-positive cells were found scattered in the area defined by the mtg and the mth (white arrow in Fig. 2B). Transcription factor *Foxb1* is a specific marker of the MBO (Fig. 2C, D) [7,29]. We detected a group of *Foxb1*-expressing cells apposed to the caudal side of the branching point (arrowhead in Fig. 2D). To elucidate the relation between the *Pax6*-expressing and the *Foxb1*-expressing cells around the pm branching point, we performed double immuno-staining for beta-galactosidase and *Pax6* on E18.5 *Foxb1::tau-lacZ* heterozygous brains [7] (beta-galactosidase detection indicates *Foxb1* expression and the tau-beta-galactosidase fusion protein is localized to the corresponding axons) (Fig. 2E). The results showed a group of *Pax6*-positive cells and *Foxb1*-positive cells (arrowhead in Fig. 2E) in the caudal side of the branching point. Closer observation at higher magnification (Fig. 2F) revealed that marker expression was mutually exclusive—no green labeled cell somata (*Foxb1*-positive) (white arrowheads in Fig. 2F) had red nuclei (*Pax6*-positive) (white arrows in Fig. 2F).

The *Foxb1*-expressing cells originate in the MBO

Since *Foxb1*-positive and *Pax6*-positive cells are distinct populations, we asked if they have different origins. Detection of *Foxb1* expression on wild type embryonic brains at E10.5 (Fig. 3A)

---

**Figure 2. A complex and specific cell aggregate around the bifurcation point.** A–D) In situ hybridization for *Pax6* (A, B) and *Foxb1* (C, D) on sagittal sections of wild type E18.5 brains (rostral to the left). (B) and (D) show high magnification details of (A) and (C). Black arrowheads, specific cell groups around the branching point. ac, anterior commissure; CTX, cortex; PT, pretectum. B) *Pax6*-expressing cells are also found forming a trail under the mth (black arrow) continuous with the PTh/VTh (asterisk), between the mth axons (white arrowhead), and in the area between mth and mtg (white arrow). E, F) Confocal pictures of antibody detection of *Pax6* (red cell nuclei) and beta-galactosidase (green cell bodies; proxy for *Foxb1* expression) on a sagittal section of an E18.5 *Foxb1::tau-lacZ* heterozygous brain. Blue labeling, DAPI nuclear staining. E) Double labeling of the branching point shows a compact group of *Pax6*- and *Foxb1*-positive cells (arrowhead). F) *Foxb1*-positive (arrowheads, green cell bodies) and *Pax6*-positive (arrows, red nuclei) cells are distinct from each other. Asterisk in A, B, C: PTh/VTh. Scale bars 100 micrometers. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020315.g002
revealed strong expression in the MBO [29] as well as in a "column" spreading dorsally from this nucleus (arrowheads in Fig. 3A). This column of *Foxb1*-expressing cells expanded dorsally through E14.5 (Fig. 3B) and E16.5 (Fig. 3C), finally reaching the boundary between thalamus and PTh/VTh in the dorsal diencephalon (dotted line in Fig. 3C). The *Foxb1*-positive cell column seemed to be apposed to the lateral side of the pm axons (Fig. 3B, C).

Sagittal sections (Fig. 3D, E) confirmed that the labeled cells form a numerous group along the pm and mtg, and there is a looser group more dorsally positioned at the future branching point (arrow in Fig. 3D, E).

The *Pax6*-expressing cells originate in the PTh/VTh and are missing in *Pax6*-deficient brains

To elucidate the origin of the *Pax6*-expressing cells we used the *Pax6-lacZ* mouse line, which carries a null mutation of *Pax6* followed by *lacZ* as reporter [19] (see also Table 1). In E15.5 heterozygotes, a trail of beta-galactosidase-positive cells can be followed from the PTh/VTh to a specific point of the rostral side of the pm, where they aggregate (arrowhead in Fig. 4A). By E16.5, in heterozygous brains the trail of beta-galactosidase-positive cells connecting PTh/VTh and pm is still evident (Fig. 4B). In addition to the labeled cell group on the rostral side of the pm (arrowhead in Fig. 4B), a second group is forming on the caudal side (arrow in Fig. 4B). Because of the close proximity between the cells and the branching point, we hypothesized that they play a role in the branching process. Since mice deficient in *Pax6* lack a PTh/VTh [27], we first asked if the branching point cells are also absent in these mutants. Homozygous brains at E15.5 showed only very few reporter-expressing cells in this region (arrowheads in Fig. 4C), and none of them reached the pm. Homozygotes at E16.5 showed again few labeled cells and none of them was situated next to the pm (arrowhead in Fig. 4D). Other PTh/VTh marker gene, *Arx* [30] (Fig. 4E) also labels the trail of cells between PTh/VTh and pm as well as a cell group around the pm branching point. Examination of the expression pattern database www.genepaint.org (in the public domain) in search for other markers of this region suggested that the cannabinoid receptor *Cnr1* [31] could be a good candidate. Our in situ confirmed this, since *Cnr1* is expressed like *Arx* and *Pax6* in this region (Fig. 4G). Both *Arx* and *Cnr1* confirmed the lack of PTh/VTh cells around the pm in the mutant (Fig. 4F, H).

We concluded that the branching point cells are an extension of the PTh/VTh and that, like the rest of the PTh/VTh, they are absent in the *Pax6* mutant.

Mammillary axons growing towards the thalamus in the *Pax6* mutant

We then analyzed the mammillary axonal tree in wild type and in the *Pax6* mutant by injecting DiI tracer into the MBO (Fig. 5A, B). In the wild type, the mth, mtg and mtc were easy to recognize (Fig. 5A). In the mutant diencephalon, the mth was absent. Instead, there was a number of axons apparently originated in the branching point and sometimes oriented towards the thalamus (arrowheads in Fig. 5B) which are however less in number and of shorter length than the axons of the wild type mth. They also lack the characteristic morphology of the early mth axons (thin, beaded axons weaving their way around local cell bodies that leave "holes" in an otherwise compact bundle) [7,28]. We termed them "problem axons" and set out to investigate their origin.

The problem axons develop earlier than the mth

To label the mammillary axons unambiguously, we crossed the *Pax6*-deficient Small eye (Sey) mutant, carrying no reporter gene,
There is a number of short mammillary axons extended in the mammillary axonal tree has three branches. The mammillary axonal tracing. The Foxb1-tau-lacZ antibody to compare the axons of Foxb1-tau-lacZ and these appeared to be more numerous in the growing in the direction of the thalamus (red arrow in Fig. 5G, H). Indeed the normal brain at that age showed also some axons at E16.5, when there is no mth yet in the normal brain (Fig. 5G, F), some of the problem axons followed a caudal path similar to some of the non-bundled axons found in the wild type (Fig. 5F, black arrow and arrowhead). There was however a number of short axons extended in a dorsal and rostral direction towards the thalamus (Fig. 5F, red arrow). We asked if these short, thalamus-oriented axons were also present in the wild type, but hidden by the mth. To solve this question we analyzed mutants at an earlier age, E16.5, when there is no mth yet in the normal brain (Fig. 5G, H). Indeed the normal brain at that age showed also some axons growing in the direction of the thalamus (red arrow in Fig. 5G), and these appeared to be more numerous in the Pax6 mutant at the same age (red arrows in Fig. 5H).

We concluded that, in wild type as well as in Pax6 mutant brains there is a number of short mammillary axons extended in the direction of the thalamus as well as axons coursing dorsal/caudal before the mth is formed at all.

The mammillary axonal tree has three branches

The realization that there are some mammillary axons unaccounted for in the current descriptions of the mammillary axonal tree, prompted us to examine the normal development of this fiber system using Foxb1-tau-lacZ heterozygotes. Foxb1 is specifically expressed by neurons of the MBO as well as by the dorsal premammillary nucleus (DPM) [29]. The first pm axons can be seen at E10.5 growing towards the tegmentum (Fig. 6A, B) [32]. At E14.5 some axons from the pm start growing towards the tectum—they form the mtc (Fig. 6C, D). A pronounced bend in the pm is visible at E14.5 (Fig. 6C, D) and increases through E16.5 (Fig. 6E, F) and E18.5 (Fig. 6G, H). It is precisely in this bend that the mth develops. Although the first sprouts of the mth can be seen at E17.5 (not shown), its full extent however is only visible from E18.5 on (Fig. 6G, H), more than a week later than the earliest pm axons (Fig. 6A, B). In agreement with the beta-galactosidase data and our previous results [7], at E18.5 three components of the mammillary axonal tree (mtc, mth and mtc) can be anterogradely visualized by injecting DiI tracer in the MBO (Fig. 6I). This confirms that the mammillary body generates not two but three axonal bundles (Fig. 6J, K).

The "problem axons" in the Pax6 mutant are probably misdirected mtc axons

Our observations suggested that the problem axons seen in the Pax6 mutant are not the product of pm branching, but simply an increased number of the mtc axons also found in normal animals. In that case, they would not be the product of a branching event but simply misdirected axons that set out in the wrong path and are unable to proceed (schematized in Fig. 7A).

Specific Cell Group Elicits Collateral Branching
Specific Cell Group Elicits Collateral Branching

The pm does not branch in Pax6-deficient mutants

In order to directly confirm that there is no morphological branching of the pm axons in the Sey/Sey mutant, we took resource to 3-D confocal microscopy imaging of our DiI data. In the wild type E18.5 brain, at low magnification, it was possible to observe images of axonal bifurcation (arrowheads in Fig. 7D) which could be confirmed at high magnification (arrowhead in Fig. 7E).

The same technique made obvious that the axons that can be seen in Sey/Sey at right angles with the principal mammillary (red arrows in Fig. 7F) do not arise from bifurcations. In wild type brains, at earlier stages, the pm axons show swellings or varicosities from where the branches arise (not shown). Later, axons become thicker and the varicosities disappear (Fig. 7D, E). Interestingly, in the Sey/Sey mutant brain, which does not have a mammillothalamic tract, the axonal varicosities were still present at this age, but no branches where visible (Fig. 7G). We concluded that the Pax6 mutant shows a specific non-cell autonomous defect in pm branching.

Normal mth outgrowth in mutants with severe thalamic phenotypes and intact PTh/VTh

Pax6 is expressed in the early dorsal thalamus, target of the mth. If target attraction was essential for pm branching, differentiation defects in the Pax6-deficient thalamus [34] could contribute to the branching defect. If on the other hand target attraction was not essential for pm branching, mutant mouse brains showing an altered thalamus but preserving a normal PTh/VTh (together with branching point cells) should have a mth. The gene Lp6 encodes an important co-receptor of Wnt ligands expressed in the thalamus [35,36]. Accordingly, the thalamus of Lp6 mutant mice is dramatically defective and unable to develop thalamocortical efferents [37]. Our analysis shows, however, that the Lp6 mutant PTh/VTh expresses Pax6, and Pax6-expressing branching point cells are present in the appropriate position around the pm (Fig. 8A). Gbx2 is a transcription factor gene essential for thalamus differentiation, and Gbx2 mutant mice show severely impaired thalamic development and absence of thalamocortical axons [38–40]. Pax6 was expressed in the Gbx2 mutant PTh/VTh and there were Pax6-expressing cells in the cell groups around the pm branching point (Fig. 8B). Consistently, Dil tracings showed that the pm branches into a mth of normal appearance in Lp6 mutants (Fig. 8C) and Gbx2 mutants (Fig. 8D). Together, these results suggest that an intact thalamus is not a precondition for the initial outgrowth of mth axons for as long as the local interactions (e.g. with the Pax6-expressing branching point cells) are maintained.

Axonal fascilitation and cell aggregation impaired in the Foxb1/Pax6 double mutant

Foxb1::tau-lacZ homozygotes show a mth navigational phenotype that has been analyzed [7]. They showed however no alteration in mtg or mtc. Double homozygous brains for Foxb1::tau-lacZ and Sey, however, showed a slight increase in the number of misguided mtc axons (former “problem axons”). This increase was statistically significant (Fig. 9A) (see also Table 1) and histologically visible (compare Fig. 5E, F with Fig. 9B, C red arrows) but not large enough to be reflected in a significant decrease of mtc axons (Fig. 9A). We then used sections along the dotted line in Fig. 9B to analyze the mtg. While in Foxb1 homozygotes the mtg consisted of one compact axonal bundle (arrowhead in Fig. 9D), in Foxb1 heterozygous/Sey homozygous brains the mtg was subdivided in a number of bundles (arrowheads in Fig. 9E). Double homozygotes showed an mtg disgregated into numerous smaller axonal fascicles (arrowheads in Fig. 9F). The Foxb1-expressing

Figure 4. Pax6-expressing cells are continuous with the PTh/VTh and are missing in the Pax6 mutant. A–D) Beta-galactosidase antibody detection on sagittal sections, rostral to the left. Ages and genotypes as indicated. A trail of Pax6-expressing cells (arrowheads in A, B) from the PTh/VTh lands on the pm branching point at E15.5 (arrowhead in A). At E16.5 there is a second labeled cell group on the caudal side (arrow in B). In the Pax6 mutant these cells (arrowheads in C, D) are very scarce and do not contact the pm. E–H) In situ hybridization detection of PTh/VTh markers on sagittal sections. Both Arx (E) and Cnr1 (G) expression label the branching point cells continuous with the PTh/VTh (arrowheads in E, G). Both markers are absent in the Pax6-deficient diencephalon (F, H). Asterisk in A, B, E, G: PTh/VTh. Scale bars 100 micrometers. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020315.g004

Our results show (Fig. 7B) that the Foxb1::tau-lacZ heterozygotes (i.e. normal animals) have a certain small number of problem axons [33], confirming our previous observation (Fig. 5G, red arrow). Sey/Sey mutants displayed significantly more problem axons than normal animals (Fig. 7B, compare white bars). Next we counted the mtc axons in the same samples and found that the Sey/Sey mutant had significantly less mtc axons than the normal animals (Fig. 7B, compare black bars), and that the difference in number approximately matched the difference found in problem axons. These results support the hypothesis that the problem axons are misdirected mtc axons and not the product of pm branching (schematized in Fig. 7C). Since Pax6 is not expressed by the MBO, the effect is non cell-autonomous and caused by the scattered cells, which control navigation in this area.
branching point cells showed also progressively impaired aggregation (Fig. 9D through F).

We concluded that Foxb1 has a role in the control of cell adhesion and axonal fasciculation. This role could be non cell-autonomous (through loss-of-function in the Foxb1-expressing branching point cells) or cell autonomous (since the neurons originating the mtc and mtg axons express Foxb1). In this way...
Foxb1 cooperates with a non-cell autonomous role of Pax6 to guarantee the appropriate anatomy of the mammillary tree.

Discussion

How does the immediate cellular environment contribute to the formation and navigation of different fiber bundles in a complex, stereotyped axonal tree? While in vitro evidence suggests that the local environment could secrete a variety of factors eliciting branching (see below), no example of a group of identified cells has been found which is essential for the formation of a specific axonal bundle by collateral branching in a certain system. We have identified an elaborate arrangement of specific cell populations migrating from different sources and converging around the branching point of a major forebrain axonal tract, the pm. We offer several kinds of evidence (digital reconstruction of confocal images, axon counting, analysis of mutants with differential phenotypes) pointing to an indispensable role of these cells in collateral branching and navigation. This concept complements previous reports of axonal guidance supported by surrounding cells that serve as guideposts [41].

Several cell groups organize around the pm branching point

We show that migration from the PTh/VTh as well as from the hypothalamus results in several groups of cells arranged around the future pm branching point. Some of the Pax6-expressing cells could arise in a Pax6-expressing domain of the midbrain neuroepithelium [26]. Particularly curious is the cell group at the caudal side of the pm branching point, formed as the meeting point of Foxb1-expressing and Pax6-expressing cells originated respectively in the ventral and dorsal diencephalon. Although the diencephalon is the source of extensive non-radial migrations across dorso-ventral and rostro-caudal boundaries [16], formation of such cell groups of heterogeneous origin is not obvious from current paradigms of hypothalamic development [42–44]. Arranged along axonal bundles, some of the Pax6-expressing cells could act as guideposts for mth axons as shown for other systems [45–47] and the Foxb1-expressing cells could fulfill a similar role for pm axons.

Pax6-expressing cells and collateral branching

We show 1) that the Pax6-positive cells that surround the pm branching point are absent in Pax6-deficient brains and 2) that this absence is ensued by major alterations in the axonal tree. We have investigated these alterations with a specific genetic marker of mammillary axons (the Foxb1::tau-lacZ allele) and digital reconstructions of confocal microscopy data to show unambiguously that the pm axons do not branch in the mutant. Previous descriptions of a number of pm collaterals in Sey/Sey brains [33,48] probably result from unintentional co-labeling (DiI tracing or silver impregnation) of the mtc when attempting to label MBO projections.

Our results strongly suggest that close contact with the Pax6-expressing cells plays a role in fulfilling the potential of the
initial branching bud. This agrees with previous work showing that direct physical contact with growth factor-soaked beads elicits branching in cultured axons [49,50] (see [51] for a review) and that contact with nearby dendrites enhances collateral branching of cortico-spinal axons [4]. That humoral factors, including those locally secreted, can elicit axonal branching is well established (see for instance [52]) and therefore an altered Pax6-deficient thalamus [34,46,53,54] could cause the pm branching failure through lack of target attraction as shown in other models [2,55,56]. Our finding that a mth is present in mutants showing severe thalamic differentiation defects while preserving Pax6-expressing cells around the pm branching point (Fig. 8) rather reinforces the notion that, in this model, branching and initial outgrowth depend on the local influence of a specific cell group.

Pax6, Foxb1 and adhesion

Adhesion proteins have a role in collateral branching [57] and specifically in mth development [58]. Abundant literature shows that a number of adhesion-related genes are downregulated in Sey/Seys brains: cadherin 4 [43,59], L1cam [60], alpha 3 beta 1 integrin [61], olfactomedin 3 (optimedin) [62], delta catenin [63], tenascin C [64], semaphorin-3c and semaphorin-a3 [65]. Intriguingly, Pax6 seems to be involved in the pruning of inappropriate collateral branches of cortical pyramidal neurons [66]. Finally, previous analyses of Pax6-deficient phenotypes support a function for this gene in contact guidance of pioneer axons in the forebrain [45–47,65,67].

In contrast, Fox transcription factors have not been associated with adhesion gene expression [68,69], with the possible exceptions of Viam1 [70] and Cdh7 [71].

The mtc

An interesting observation made previously by us [7] and confirmed here is the existence of the mtc. Mammillo-tectal axons homologous to our mtc have been traced in the adult rat [8]. Specific expression of Foxb1 in the dorsal premammillary nucleus [7,29] as well as in the MBO evidences a molecular kinship of these nuclei and supports the proposed inclusion of the dorsal premammillary in an extended definition of the MBO [8]. The mtc bundle should be included in any discussion of the formation of the mammillary axonal tree. We show that non cell-autonomous Pax6 expression is essential for mtc navigation. Intriguingly, although the abundant netrin 1-expressing cells in the mammillary region do not express Pax6, their position around the mammillary axons is dramatically altered in Pax6 mutant brains [33,67]. This suggests that the Pax6-expressing cells of the PTh/VTh secrete a not yet identified factor contributing to the appropriate positioning of the netrin 1-expressing cells and, through this effect, they could influence also mammillary axonal navigation indirectly.

Foxb1 and Pax6 in the control of mammillary axonal organization

The double homozygotes demonstrate a Foxb1-regulated component in mtc and mtg navigation, probably mediated by
proteins involved in fasciculation. Since the Foxb1-expressing branching point cells are still present in the Foxb1-deficient brain, and the gene is also expressed by the neurons originating the affected axons, the role of this transcription factor could be or not cell-autonomous. A non cell-autonomous role has been suggested for the mth navigational phenotype found in the Foxb1 mutant [7].

Conclusions

This work uncovers a series of complex cell migration events giving rise to several specific groups of cells of different origin essential for the formation and organization of an axonal crossroads linking hypothalamus, thalamus, midbrain and hindbrain. We show that many of these cells express Pax6, depend on expression of this gene for their origination, and are necessary for the formation of specific axonal collaterals through branching of the pm. Finally, we show cooperation of Pax6 and Foxb1 in mtc and mtg navigation. This work offers new insights into the development of a specific cellular environment that favors the formation and navigation of specific axonal collaterals.
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