Neonatal disease due to Herpes simplex virus (HSV) is still of major concern. Our understanding of the epidemiology, pathogenesis and natural history of HSV disease has improved significantly in recent years. However, some aspects of this disease remain poorly understood. This note summarizes recent developments relating to the prevention, diagnosis, treatment and follow-up care of neonatal HSV infection.

FEATURES OF NEONATAL HSV INFECTIONS
Preliminary data suggest that in 2001 there were five proven cases of neonatal HSV infection per 100,000 live births in Canada (1). Neonates who have HSV infection are classified into three clinical categories: disseminated HSV infection, skin/eye/mouth (SEM) disease and central nervous system (CNS) disease. Vesicular skin lesions may be absent in a significant proportion of patients: 39% of neonates with disseminated disease, 32% with CNS disease and 17% with SEM disease. Table 1 shows the proportions of infants in the above clinical categories who have abnormal outcomes (2,3)

PREVENTION OF NEONATAL HSV INFECTION
While transmission during labour and delivery accounts for 85% of cases of neonatal HSV infection per 100,000 live births in newborns, in utero (less than 5%) and postnatal (less than 15%) transmission may also occur. Women who have their first infection during pregnancy are more likely to transmit the infection than mothers who have reactivation of latent infection or a second infection with HSV 1 or HSV 2. The approach to the prevention of neonatal HSV infection is based on an understanding of the categories of maternal infection as they relate to the risk of transmission of HSV from mother to newborn as indicated below (4).

Maternal infection and risk of transmission
Maternal infection may be classified as newly acquired or recurrent as follows:

Newly acquired
- First episode primary (mother is seronegative for HSV types 1 and 2 at the onset of infection)
- First episode nonprimary (mother has a new infection with one virus type, in the presence of antibodies to the other virus type)

Recurrent
- Mother has preexisting antibodies to the virus type that has been isolated from the genital tract

Infants born to mothers who have true primary infections at the time of delivery are at the highest risk of acquiring HSV, with transmission rates of 50% or greater (5,6). For infants born to mothers who have new infections that are nonprimary, the transmission rates are in the order of 30%. The lowest risk of neonatal transmission occurs in the setting where the mother has an active infection that was acquired before pregnancy or at stages of gestation before the onset of labour. The attack rate for neonatal HSV in these infants is less than 2% (4).

Mode of delivery
It has been recommended that a cesarean section should be performed if active lesions are present at the onset of labour (7). However, if the membranes have been ruptured for more than 6 h, the benefit of a cesarean section has not been determined. A cesarean section reduces, but does not eliminate, the risk of newborn infection (5,7). A recent prospective cohort study suggested that a cesarean section delivery reduces the risk of HSV among newborns by 86% (8).

Role of suppressive therapy
Oral acyclovir given in the late third trimester has been suggested as a means of preventing recurrent genital HSV and possibly obviating the need for a cesarean section in women with genital herpes (9-12). Pregnant women with first episode HSV infections appear to benefit from acyclovir suppressive therapy (200 mg four times daily, starting one week before confinement). The evidence is weaker for women who have a history of genital HSV before pregnancy.

The use of acyclovir in pregnancy has given rise to several questions, some of which have been answered. Accumulating data suggest that there are no significant
short term fetal and maternal adverse effects resulting from
the use of acyclovir in late pregnancy (9). There are con-
cerns, however, that the use of acyclovir may merely change
the clinical scenario at delivery from one of symptomatic
shedding to asymptomatic shedding of HSV. While there
are no randomized trials to show definitively that this does
not occur, the data available to date suggest that this is
unlikely (9). Acyclovir resistance is unlikely to be a major
concern given the expected short duration of acyclovir pro-
phylaxis if the drug is started at 36 weeks. However, this is
an area in need of further study, given a recent report indi-
cating that an acyclovir-resistant mutant virus was observed
in a neonate after only seven days of acyclovir in a setting
where there was no maternal use of the drug (13).

MANAGEMENT OF THE EXPOSED
ASYMPTOMATIC NEWBORN

Infants whose mothers have a history of genital herpes, who
were delivered vaginally or by cesarean section, and whose
mothers do not have active genital lesions at the time of
delivery, are at a very low risk of acquiring neonatal HSV
infection. Such infants require no special evaluation during
the newborn period. The following apply to infants whose
mothers have active lesions at the time of delivery:

Infants born by vaginal delivery

Newborns who are exposed to HSV during labour and vagi-
nal delivery and who are asymptomatic should have HSV
cultures performed at 48 h after birth. In the unlikely sce-

LABORATORY DIAGNOSIS

HSV may be detected by viral cultures of the oropharynx,
nasopharynx, stool, blood buffy coat, CSF and urine, poly-
merase chain reaction (PCR) testing of CSF and blood,
direct immunofluorescent antibody staining of skin lesions
and enzyme immunoassays for HSV antigens. The utility of
these testing modalities varies according to the type of sam-
ple that is being tested. It is very important for the clinician
to speak with the virologist when cases of neonatal HSV
are suspected.

Virus isolation by culture remains the definitive diagno-
sic method for neonatal HSV infection (4,14). However, if
skin lesions are present, rapid diagnostic techniques are of
value, such as direct immunofluorescence for virus-infected
cells and enzyme immunoassays for the presence of HSV
antigens. Direct immunofluorescence staining is not reli-
able unless the sample was obtained from a skin lesion.
Cells from oropharyngeal and CSF samples are not ade-
quate for testing by direct immunofluorescence (4).

The isolation of virus from superficial cultures may rep-
resent colonization if such samples are obtained within the
first 24 h after birth (4). If such cultures are positive more
than 48 h after birth, they are likely to represent active viral
replication and not colonization. Among exposed infants,
data from prospective studies are lacking regarding the util-
ity of regular surveillance cultures in the early diagnosis of
neonatal HSV infection. An approach that is favoured by
some experts is weekly cultures for the first four to six weeks
of life as a guide to the need for acyclovir therapy (15).

While HSV cultures may be positive in infants with dis-
seminated disease, in patients with localized CNS disease,
CSF cultures are usually negative. For patients with loca-
ized CNS disease, PCR is an important diagnostic test. CSF
DNA HSV PCR has obviated the need for brain biopsies in
infants with presumed HSV CNS disease. However, since
there is no commercially available HSV DNA PCR assay,
the results of this test vary across laboratories (16-18). Due

Empiric acyclovir at birth is recommended by most
experts for asymptomatic infants who were delivered vagi-
nally and whose mothers had proven or presumed primary
infection. Some experts prefer waiting on the results of
HSV cultures, or signs or symptoms of infection before ini-
tiating therapy. If therapy is being initiated, a cerebrospinal
fluid (CSF) sample should be obtained before treatment.
Acyclovir therapy is not recommended for the asympto-
matic infants of mothers who had known recurrent genital
lesions.

Infants born by cesarean delivery

Infants born by cesarean delivery, whose mothers had active
herpetic lesions at delivery, should be observed carefully
and cultured as above. Antiviral therapy is not routinely
started for such infants who are asymptomatic, but such
therapy should be initiated if culture results from the
infants are positive for HSV or if HSV infection is strongly
suspected.

| Table 1 |
| Characteristics of neonatal *Herpes simplex* infection |
| Clinical categories | CNS | SEM | Disseminated |
| Clinical features   |     |     |             |
| Frequency (%)       | 34  | 34  | 32          |
| Onset               | 2-3 weeks | 7-10 days | 1-2 weeks |
| Percentage with skin lesions at onset (%) | 63 | 83 | 58 |
| Percentage with skin lesions at any time (%) | 68 | 83 | 61 |
| CNS involvement (%) | 100 | -   | 60-70      |
| Mortality rate (%)  | 15  | 0   | 47          |
| Abnormal development at 1 year of age (%) | 70 | 2 | 25 |

Data from references 2 and 3. CNS Central nervous system; SEM Skin/mouth.
to this variability, caution should be exercised when using a negative CSF HSV PCR, to rule out HSV encephalitis, particularly if this decision is based on the results of a single lumbar puncture done in the early stages of the illness.

Patients without obvious clinical evidence of CNS disease should also have a lumbar puncture performed for CSF DNA HSV PCR, because some of these infants may have unrecognized CNS HSV infection. It has been well established that neonatal HSV CNS infection may occur despite the finding of ‘normal’ CSF cell counts and biochemical features, particularly during the early stages of infection. Thus, CSF HSV DNA PCR testing should still be performed even if the above parameters are normal.

Serological testing using HSV immunoglobulin M is usually not useful in the diagnosis of neonatal HSV infection. Each of the specific laboratory tests for HSV has important limitations. Thus, the results of these tests should be interpreted with careful consideration of the clinical picture and the results of general laboratory tests that may be consistent with HSV infection. These tests include electroencephalography, computerized tomography or magnetic resonance imaging, liver transaminases and complete blood count.

**TREATMENT AND FOLLOW-UP OF NEONATAL HSV INFECTION**

Acyclovir is the agent of choice for the treatment of neonatal HSV disease. Intravenous therapy is required, because oral acyclovir remains contraindicated for the treatment of HSV infections in neonates (19). Data are lacking on the use of newer agents such as famciclovir and valacyclovir in newborns. Recent data support the use of high-dose acyclovir (60 mg/kg/day in three divided doses) for the treatment of neonatal HSV. Data from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Collaborative Study suggest that mortality and morbidity were lower in infants who were treated with higher doses of acyclovir (20).

Current data indicate that a 21 days duration of acyclovir rather than 14 days should be used to treat CNS and disseminated disease. The longer duration of therapy should always be used unless CNS infection is definitively ruled out. A repeat lumber puncture is recommended at the end of the treatment of CNS disease.

Given an association between late sequelae and recurrent HSV skin lesions, questions have arisen regarding the role of long term suppressive therapy with oral acyclovir. Administration of oral acyclovir as suppressive therapy can prevent recurrences of HSV after SEM disease (21). However, data are lacking regarding whether the suppression of cutaneous recurrences with oral acyclovir will result in a reduction in the incidence of late neurological sequelae (21).

Because there is the potential for significant neurological sequelae among survivors of neonatal HSV infection (2), careful follow-up of affected neonates is critical. They should have a structured follow-up program that allows for neurodevelopmental, ophthalmological and hearing assessments.

While relapses may occur after cessation of therapy, the optimal management of these recurrences is unclear. Infants with HSV infection should be followed and evaluated for recurrent disease and neurological sequelae. Such sequelae are most likely to occur among infants who were diagnosed with CNS or disseminated disease. Recurrent skin lesions are frequent in infants with neonatal HSV and may be associated with CNS sequelae if they occur during the first six months of life.

Finally, there are important infection control procedures that are required in the management of infants with neonatal HSV. Health care providers should work closely with their local infection control personnel to ensure that appropriate procedures are in place based on the most up to date guidelines.

**KEY POINTS**

While our understanding of HSV disease has improved, there are several goals yet to be achieved. This document summarizes important developments relating to the management of perinatal HSV infection.

- Women with primary HSV infections at delivery are at greatest risk of transmitting infection to their newborns;
- Newborns who are exposed to HSV during labour and vaginal delivery and who are asymptomatic should have HSV cultures performed at 48 h after birth. The isolation of virus from superficial cultures may represent colonization if such samples are obtained within the first 24 h after birth;
- Cesarean section reduces (in the order of 85%), but does not eliminate the risk of newborn HSV infection;
- Oral acyclovir given in the late third trimester should be considered in high-risk women with gestational HSV infection as a means of preventing recurrent genital HSV, obviating the need for cesarean section and reducing the risk of neonatal transmission of HSV;
- PCR has emerged as the test of choice for diagnosing neonatal HSV CNS disease. Further development and standardization of PCR assays are required to determine the utility of this test on different body fluids. A negative PCR test does not rule out neonatal HSV infection of the CNS;
- CNS HSV infection may occur with normal CSF cell counts and biochemical parameters;
- High-dose acyclovir (60 mg/kg/day) is recommended for the treatment of neonatal HSV disease;
- The duration of acyclovir treatment for CNS and disseminated HSV is 21 days;
- While the administration of oral acyclovir as suppressive prophylaxis can prevent recurrences after SEM disease, it is unclear if oral acyclovir used in this manner will result in a reduction in the incidence of late neurological sequelae;
• Oral acyclovir is contraindicated for the treatment of HSV disease in newborns;

• Survivors of neonatal HSV infection should be followed closely in a structured program that enables adequate neurodevelopmental, ophthalmological and hearing assessments; and

• Close collaboration with the infection control team is required in the management of different clinical scenarios, including but not limited to neonates with HSV, neonates exposed during delivery, and women who are intrapartum or postpartum and who have active HSV lesions.
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