Novel retrotransposed imprinted locus identified at human 6p25

Aiping Zhang1, David A. Skaar1, Yue Li2, Dale Huang1, Thomas M. Price3, Susan K. Murphy3 and Randy L. Jirtle1,*

1Department of Radiation Oncology, 2Department of Community and Family Medicine and 3Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA

Received September 12, 2010; Revised January 24, 2011; Accepted February 11, 2011

ABSTRACT
Differentially methylated regions (DMRs) are stable epigenetic features within or in proximity to imprinted genes. We used this feature to identify candidate human imprinted loci by quantitative DNA methylation analysis. We discovered a unique DMR at the 5′-end of FAM50B at 6p25.2. We determined that sense transcripts originating from the FAM50B locus are expressed from the paternal allele in all human tissues investigated except for ovary, in which expression is biallelic. Furthermore, an antisense transcript, FAM50B-AS, was identified to be monoallelically expressed from the paternal allele in a variety of tissues. Comparative phylogenetic analysis showed that FAM50B orthologs are absent in chicken and platypus, but are present and biallelically expressed in opossum and mouse. These findings indicate that FAM50B originated in Therians after divergence from Prototherians via retrotransposition of a gene on the X chromosome. Moreover, our data are consistent with acquisition of imprinting during Eutherian evolution after divergence of Glires from the Euarchonta mammals. FAM50B expression is deregulated in testicular germ cell tumors, and loss of imprinting occurs frequently in testicular seminomas, suggesting an important role for FAM50B in spermatogenesis and tumorigenesis. These results also underscore the importance of accounting for parental origin in understanding the mechanism of 6p25-related diseases.

INTRODUCTION
Genomic imprinting results in the differential expression of the two inherited alleles of a gene in a parent-of-origin specific manner. Imprinted genes play important roles in embryonic growth and development as well as in placental function. Genetic and epigenetic disruption of imprinted genes are also associated with a wide range of diseases, including cancer, behavioral disorders and congenital disorders (1,2).

Approximately 60 genes are known to be imprinted in humans (3,4). Genome-wide methods used to screen for imprinted genes have relied on the differential abundance of the maternal and paternal alleles using subtractive hybridization (5,6), detection of differential expression using marker polymorphisms (7,8) or transcriptome sequencing (9). Global screens for novel imprinted loci based on differential methylation between paternal and maternal alleles have also been performed, and include the use of methylation-sensitive representational difference analyses (10,11) or detection of differentially methylated regions (DMRs) in the gametes (12). Each of these methods has resulted in the identification of a relatively small number of novel imprinted genes. Recently, we performed a genome-wide screen using a bioinformatics approach that resulted in the prediction of 156 candidate imprinted genes in humans (13); however, the imprint status of many of these genes has not yet been experimentally confirmed.

Perhaps the most consistent and experimentally amenable feature associated with imprinted genes is the presence of DMRs located adjacent to or within these genes. Many such DMRs are established in the gametes, the only time in development when the maternal and paternal genomes are in distinct cellular compartments. Methylation is therefore established in a manner reflecting the sex of the parental individual in which the gametes reside. This methylation pattern is normally maintained in all somatic tissues throughout life, irrespective of gene expression levels (14,15). In the study described in this article, we used quantitative methylation analysis to search for novel human DMRs associated with the genes we predicted to be imprinted on chromosome 6p (13). Imprinted genes have not previously been identified at 6p, but a parent-of-origin effect in psoriasis is linked to this region of the genome (16). Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at chromosome 6p25 is also reported in a variety
of malignancies, including prostate cancer and cervical carcinoma (17–19). Trisomy or monosomy of 6p25 results in a variety of congenital malformations that include neuronal defects, psychomotor retardation, craniofacial defects and abnormalities in organogenesis (20–24). Most of these characteristics are apparent at birth, indicating important roles of the involved genes in early development.

Herein, we report the identification of a novel DMR in the 5′-region of the FAM50B locus (GenBank accession no.: NM_012135) at chromosome 6p25.2. FAM50B originated from a retrotransponson, and encodes for the protein XAP-5-like (X5L), which is highly expressed during spermatogenesis (25). We show that FAM50B transcripts are monoallelically expressed from the paternal allele in a variety of human tissues. Using phylogenetic comparisons in vertebrates, we found FAM50B to be absent in chicken and platypus, but present and biallelically expressed in the opossum and mouse. Importantly, our results also show that DMR methylation at the FAM50B locus is significantly reduced in testicular germ cell tumors (TGCTs), frequently resulting in the dysregulation of FAM50B expression, including loss of imprinting in seminomas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissues

Human conceptus tissues were obtained from the National Institutes of Health-funded Laboratory of Human Embryology at the University of Washington, Seattle. Surgically resected tumor samples and the pathological diagnoses of tumor types were obtained from the Department of Pathology, Duke University Medical Center and the National Development and Research Institutes, Inc (NDRI, New York, NY, USA). Eleven TGCTs were used in this study, including two embryonal carcinomas, one seminoma mixed with embryonal carcinoma, five seminomas and three mixed germ cell tumors. Normal adult human tissues were provided by NDRI and BioChain Institute (Hayward, CA, USA). All human tissue specimens were anonymized and used under a protocol approved by the Duke University Institutional Review Board.

Gray short-tailed opossum (Monodelphis domestica) kidney, liver, placenta, brain and embryo samples were kindly provided by Dr Kathleen Smith (Duke University, Durham, NC, USA). Mouse liver, brain, kidney and testis samples were obtained from the F1 generation of a cross between C57BL/6J and CAST/Ei mouse strains (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA). These animal tissues were used under protocols approved by the Duke University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Genomic DNA purification and sodium bisulfite conversion

Total DNA was isolated from multiple tissues using buffer ATL, proteinase K and RNase A (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) followed by phenol–chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Bisulfite conversion of DNA was carried out using the Epitect Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen Inc.).

Northern blot analysis of FAM50B antisense transcript (FAM50B-AS)

Northern blot analysis of total RNA from multiple human tissues was performed using Dig Northern Starter Kit (Roche Diagnostic, Indianapolis, IN, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Two different probes to the antisense transcript were made using two primer pairs (FAM-18F/18R; FAM19F/18R) respectively by PCR. T7 promoters in the primers FAM-18R and FAM19-R were used to generate DIG-labeled RNA complementary to FAM50B-AS by in vitro transcription. Total RNA was isolated from multiple human conceptus tissues using RNA-Stat 60 as recommended by the manufacturer (Tel-Test, Inc., Friendswood, TX, USA). Adult human total RNA was provided by BioChain Institute.

5′- and 3′-rapid amplifications of cDNA ends

RNAs from human conceptus brain and adult testis were analyzed to locate the 5′- and 3′-termini of the FAM50B-AS using the 5′/3′-rapid amplifications of cDNA ends (RACE) kit according to the manufacturer’s suggested protocol (second generation, Roche Diagnostics). For 5′-RACE, first-strand cDNA was generated using primer FAM-12F and purified using the Roche Diagnostics PCR Product Purification kit (Roche Diagnostics). The 5′-end of the purified cDNA was further modified by a tailing reaction. The tailed cDNA was amplified using two primers: oligo dT-anchor primer and FAM-13F. The DNA was re-amplified with a set of nested primers including the PCR anchor primer and FAM-14F (Supplementary Table S1).

For 3′-RACE of FAM50B-AS RNA, first-strand cDNA was generated using the oligo dT-anchor primer. The reverse-transcribed cDNA was amplified using semi-nested PCR: the first round with the PCR anchor primer and FAM-14R, the second round with the same PCR anchor primer and FAM-15R (Supplementary Table S1). For all specimens, control reactions were performed using cDNA prepared in the absence of primers to eliminate the possibility of genomic DNA and RNA contamination. These PCR products were then sequenced on an ABI 3730 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Quantitative methylation analysis

Quantitative methylation analysis of DNA in the FAM50B promoter was performed using MassARRAY Epityper assays (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA). Primers for human FAM50B (Supplementary Table S1, FAM-1F/1R to FAM-6F/6R) were designed using Epidesigner (Sequenom; http://www.epidesigner.com) to cover the CG-rich regions with amplicons in a target range of 400-600 bp. Each reverse primer was designed to contain a T7 promoter sequence tag (5′-CAG TAA TAC GAC TCA CTA TAG GGA GAA GGC T-3′) for in vitro transcription, and each forward primer incorporated a 10-mer tag (5′-AGG AAG AGA G-3′) to
balance the primer annealing temperature with that of the primer containing the T7 tag. Polymerase chain reaction amplification was performed using HotStarTaq (Qiagen, Inc.) with the following parameters: polymerase activation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by denaturation at 94°C for 20 s, annealing at 60°C for 25 s and extension at 72°C for 1 min for a total of 40 cycles, with a final incubation at 72°C for 5 min. After dephosphorylation of unincorporated dNTPs, the processed PCR products were used in in vitro transcription reactions (T-cleavage assay) according to the manufacturer’s standard protocol (Sequenom). The transcription products were conditioned to remove bivalent cation adducts by dilution with 20 μl H2O and addition of 6 mg of Clean Resin (Sequenom). The samples were then spotted on a 384-pad SpectroCHIP (Sequenom) using a MassARRAY Nanodispenser (Samsung, Irvine, CA, USA), followed by spectral acquisition on a MassARRAY analyzer compact MALDI-TOF MS (Sequenom). Fragments containing CpG sites were analyzed with EpiTyper software (Sequenom) to generate quantitative methylation fractions at these sites. The MassARRAY analysis was repeated three times for each sample and the results were averaged.

Bisulfite sequencing of cloned alleles
Genotyping of rs2239713 in the FAM50B promoter region was carried out to allow for allele-specific determination of methylation status. PCR was performed with primers FAM-7F and FAM-7R (Supplementary Table S1), followed by BigDye sequencing (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI 3730 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems). The sense DNA sequence was amplified from bisulfite converted genomic DNA from conceptus tissues using primers FAM-2F and FAM-4R. The antisense DNA sequence of heterozygous individuals was amplified from bisulfite converted genomic DNA from conceptus tissues and sperm specimens using the following primers: FAM-8F and FAM-8R. PCR products were directly ligated into the PCR anchor primer. First strand cDNA was generated from Dnase I-treated RNA using the oligo dT-anchor primer as described above. RT–PCR was performed using primer FAM-14R and the PCR anchor primer. For all specimens, control reactions were performed using primer FAM-11F and the PCR anchor primer.

Relative quantitative RT real-time PCR analysis of FAM50B and FAM50B-AS
Relative quantification of both FAM50B and FAM50B-AS mRNA expression levels was performed on an ABI PRISM 7900 HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed with an oligo dT primer on Dnase I-treated RNA. Real-time reactions were carried out in duplicate with QuantiFast SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Qiagen, Inc.) in a total reaction volume of 20 μl, using thermal conditions recommended by the manufacturer. For detection of FAM50B transcripts, real-time PCR was performed using primers FAM-16F and FAM-16R. For detection of FAM50B-AS transcript levels, real-time PCR was performed using primers FAM-17F and FAM-17R that anneal to sequence within intron 1 of FAM50B. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as an endogenous RNA control. Target and endogenous control amplifications were carried out in separate tubes. Results were analyzed using SDS RQ Manager 1.2 software. Each ΔCt value
(ΔCt = Ct target – Ct control) was transformed to a percentage (percent target = 2−ΔCt × 100).

Analysis of opossum and mouse FAM50B orthologs

Opossum and mouse were screened for genomic DNA polymorphisms by PCR amplification using primer pairs (Supplementary Table S2, Opossum-1F/1R to -3F/3R; Mouse-1F/1R to -3F/3R) covering the entire coding region, followed by sequencing. Total RNA was isolated from multiple tissues of heterozygotes by homogenization in RNA-Stat 60 (Tel-Test). First strand cDNA was synthesized from Dnase I-treated RNA using strand-specific primers (Supplementary Table S2, Opossum-RT; Mouse-RT). cDNA fragments amplified with primers Opossum-1F/1R and Mouse-4F/4R were purified, and directly sequenced on an ABI 3730 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative DNA methylation analysis of the FAM50B promoter was performed using MassARRAY Epityper assays as described above (primers shown in Supplementary Table S2, Opossum-4F/4R to -7F/7R and Mouse-5F/5R to -18F/18R).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.; La Jolla, CA, USA). Average CpG methylation and expression between TGCTs and control tissues were compared by ANOVA and t-test. Results were considered statistically significant at the 5% significance level.

RESULTS

Identification of FAM50B antisense transcripts

Many imprinted genes are associated with non-coding RNAs, often taking the form of antisense transcripts (26,27). We therefore tested the candidate imprinted gene FAM50B (13) for the presence of an antisense transcript using northern blot analysis and RACE. Such a transcript was detected at this locus, and hereafter referred to as FAM50B antisense (FAM50B-AS) (Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure S1). Using RNA probes specific to the putative FAM50B-AS sequence, an appropriately sized band (~1.7 kb) was detected by northern blotting, with probes to different sequences in FAM50B-AS detecting the same band (Supplementary Figure S1). We then identified the 5′ end of FAM50B-AS at +1306 relative to the FAM50B translational start codon (Figure 1C). This is also the nucleotide position where the sense strand mRNA terminates (GenBank accession no.: NM_012135.1). The results from 3′-RACE demonstrated the terminus of FAM50B-AS occurs within intron 1 of FAM50B, at position +237 relative to the translational start codon for FAM50B. Also, when sequencing the RT–PCR product of 3′-RACE, the polyA sequence is evident (Figure 1B) in addition to a correctly positioned consensus AAUAAA motif that has been shown to be essential for cleavage and polyadenylation (27 nt upstream, within the 10–30 nt range; underlined, Figure 1B) (28). A CA dinucleotide motif which defines the polyadenylation site for most genes is also present at the polyadenylation site identified for the FAM50B-AS. These results confirm the presence of FAM50B-AS with length of 1743 bp.

Identification of a FAM50B-associated DMR

Many imprinted genes are associated with CpG-rich regions that exhibit differential methylation of the maternal and paternal alleles. For detection of DMRS, regions are identified for which multiple CpG sites show a methylated to unmethylated ratio of ~1:1, a pattern consistent with DNA methylated on only one chromosome. To identify potential DMRS, we used the Sequenom MassARRAY Epityper (Sequenom) for quantitative DNA methylation analysis on bisulfite treated DNA. Validation tests on previously identified DMRS of known imprinted genes (PEG3, NAP1L5 and MEG3),
showed methylation of 30–60% for these regions (unpublished data).

We then searched genomic regions 10-kb upstream and downstream of the candidate imprinted gene FAM50B for GC rich regions (G+C >40%). We identified a CpG island spanning the FAM50B promoter and most of the gene (−1353 to +1066 relative to the translational start codon; Chr6: 3848692-3851100, Version February 2009: GRCh37/hg19; Figure 1B). We quantified methylation at the 166 CpG sites within this region in human conceptus liver (n = 3) and brain (n = 3). The core region, from the 12th CpG to 110th CpG (−884 bp to +415 bp relative to the translational start codon), was 30% to 60% methylated in these tissues, whereas the CpG sites in the 5′- and 3′-boundary regions were more highly methylated (Figure 2A). These findings indicate the existence of a candidate DMR in the core region.

The average methylation in brain, liver and kidney conceptus tissues (gestational ages 81 and 110 days) did not significantly vary for the region from the 12th to 110th CpG (P = 0.12, n = 2, ANOVA) (Figure 2B). We also determined the degree of methylation for this region in ejaculated spermatozoa (n = 2) and found that it was strikingly hypomethylated in comparison to the somatic tissues analyzed (Figure 2B). These findings indicate that the methylation observed in this region is either derived from the oocyte, or is established post-fertilization.

To determine if the DNA methylation present in this region is allele-specific, we performed bisulfite sequencing of individual cloned alleles from human conceptus liver (n = 2). These sequences showed that CpG sites in this region for any cloned allele were either completely methylated or unmethylated (Figure 3A). These findings are consistent with this region comprising a DMR of ~740 bp that is likely involved in regulating imprinting at the FAM50B locus. We also used the single nucleotide polymorphism rs2239713, which is located between CpG sites 20 and 21, to distinguish between the parental alleles. On the sense strand, SNP rs2239713 is a C/T polymorphism that is indistinguishable following bisulfite conversion, so we instead designed primers to analyze the complementary DNA strand, where the polymorphism is present as a G or A. Analysis of bisulfite-modified DNA from three individual conceptus liver tissues of individuals heterozygous for this SNP who have homozygous G/G mothers showed that methylation is allele specific, with the maternally derived G allele fully methylated and the paternally derived A allele fully unmethylated (Figure 3B). Bisulfite sequencing of cloned alleles of mature sperm DNA (n = 2) showed a complete lack of methylation in this region (Figure 3C), consistent with the previously described quantitative analysis. These results confirm the presence of a DMR with maternal DNA methylation at the FAM50B locus.

**Imprinting and expression analysis of the FAM50B locus in human tissues**

To determine if transcripts associated with the FAM50B locus are monoallelically expressed during early development, RT–PCR was performed followed by nucleotide sequencing of the cDNA in a panel of conceptus tissues from multiple individuals with gestational ages ranging from 81 to 113 days. We analyzed a 206 bp region containing a single nucleotide polymorphism (rs6597007) located in exon 2. For human conceptuses showing heterozygosity at the polymorphic site, monoallelic expression of FAM50B was observed in nearly all fetal tissues analyzed including brain (n = 5), liver (n = 5), placenta (n = 6), adrenal gland (n = 2), kidney (n = 1), heart (n = 1) and testis (n = 2), while expression was biallelic in the ovary (n = 1) (Figure 4). Furthermore, RT–PCR followed by nucleotide sequencing of cDNA from adult testis (n = 1) and liver (n = 1) from individuals heterozygous for SNP rs6597007 demonstrated that FAM50B monoallelic expression was maintained in adulthood (Supplementary Figure S2A).

Matched maternal decidual tissues of informative conceptuses were genotyped to determine the parental origin of the expressed FAM50B allele in humans. DNA sequencing data was then retrospectively used to confirm monoallelic expression of FAM50B in maternal tissues. A total of 26 maternal decidual tissues were analyzed, including 11 tissue pairs from individuals with SNPs rs2239713 and rs6597007 in the homozygous state and 15 tissue pairs from individuals with SNPs rs2239713 and rs6597007 in the heterozygous state. The maternal tissues showed a complete lack of methylation at the polymorphic site, consistent with a high degree of parental expression of the FAM50B allele in maternal tissues.
from the maternal decidua for a conceptus polymorphic at site rs6597007 (G/C) was homozygous C/C for this SNP (Supplementary Figure S2B). Transcripts in the conceptus were derived only from the allele containing G at this SNP position, indicating paternal expression. Identical results for parental allele-specific expression were obtained for three additional matched sets of decidua and conceptus tissues, demonstrating that only the paternally inherited allele was expressed.

To determine if both the \textit{FAM50B} and \textit{FAM50B-AS} transcripts are monoallelically expressed, we performed strand-specific RT-PCR by amplifying the 3'-end of \textit{FAM50B} and \textit{FAM50B-AS} containing SNP rs6597007 using 3'-RACE-derived cDNA (Supplementary Figure S3A). We demonstrated that the \textit{FAM50B} sense and antisense transcripts were both monoallelically expressed from the same allele in conceptus brain (\(n = 1\)), adult liver (\(n = 1\)) and adult testis (\(n = 1\)) (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figures S2 and S3B).

The level of expression of \textit{FAM50B} and \textit{FAM50B-AS} in human tissues was determined by relative quantitative RT–PCR using cDNA derived from a panel of conceptus and adult tissues (\(n = 2\)). \textit{FAM50B} exhibits the highest expression in pre- and post-natal testis tissue, while \textit{FAM50B-AS} expression is highest in conceptus testis and brain (Supplementary Figure S4A and S4B).

### Evolutionary analysis of \textit{FAM50B}

\textit{FAM50B}, also known as \textit{XAP-5} like gene (\textit{X5L}), encodes a 325 amino acid (AA) protein and arose from the \textit{X}-linked \textit{XAP-5} gene, most likely by retrotransposition (25). \textit{XAP-5} is a conserved protein found in a wide range of eukaryotes ranging from the roundworm (\textit{Caenorhabditis elegans}) to the human. Amino acid alignment of \textit{XAP-5} and \textit{X5L} demonstrates that they are 77% identical in the human.

To determine the timing of the retrotransposition event responsible for creating the \textit{FAM50B} locus during vertebrate evolution, we compared the region encompassing \textit{FAM50B} in human and mouse (Eutherian), opossum (Metatherian), platypus (Prototherian) and chicken (Aves) using the UCSC and Ensembl genome browsers (http://genome.ucsc.edu and http://www.ensembl.org/, respectively). In the mouse, \textit{FAM50B} is near \textit{C6orf145}.
and between SLC22A23 and PRPF4B (Figure 5). It encodes a 334 AA protein with 83% sequence similarity to the human ortholog. BLAST analysis of the platypus and opossum genomes (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genomes) showed that the opossum, but not the platypus, contains a gene orthologous to FAM50B. Unlike mouse and human, the opossum FAM50B ortholog is not located between SLC22A23 and PRPF4B, but rather resides 78 Mb from the 3'-end of SLC22A23. The opossum FAM50B gene also has an intronless open reading frame, and encodes a 338 AA protein (Gene ID: LOC100027108; GenBank accession no.: XM_001370796) with 76% similarity to the human ortholog. Interestingly, both FAM50B and C6orf145 are absent in the chicken genome. These findings are consistent with FAM50B having undergone retrotransposition from the X chromosome source gene after Therians split from Prototherians. As in the human and mouse, FAM50B is located between C6orf145 and PRPF4B (SuperContig Scaffold54 from Ensembl genome browser) in the African elephant (Loxodonta africana), a member of the most ancestral placental super order, Afrotheria. Thus, FAM50B appears to have integrated into the genomic region between C6orf145 and PRPF4B after the divergence of marsupials from ancestral Eutherian mammals.

We determined that transcripts from the opossum FAM50B ortholog have high levels of expression in kidney, liver, embryo and placenta, but expression was not detected in the brain (Supplementary Figure S6A). Transcripts of the mouse FAM50B ortholog were expressed in liver, kidney, brain and testes (Supplementary Figure S6B). Nevertheless, expression of FAM50B in the opossum (Figure 6A) and mouse (Figure 6C) was biallelic in all tissues investigated. We did not test for the presence...
of an antisense transcript in the opossum and mouse. Moreover, CpG methylation of the genomic region orthologous to the DMR in the human is comparatively hypomethylated in the opossum (Figure 6B), and hypermethylated in the mouse (Figure 6D).

**Loss of the methylation imprint in human TGCTs**

DMR methylation and allele-specific expression of FAM50B in TGCTs was determined to assess the role of this gene in cancer formation (Figure 7A). Methylation of the FAM50B DMR was significantly reduced ($P < 0.001$, unpaired $t$-test) in 10 of 11 TGCTs specimens we investigated, including two embryonic carcinomas (C1, 5.1 ± 3.9%; C2, 25.8 ± 7.0%) one seminoma mixed with embryonic carcinoma (SC1, 14.5 ± 5.3%), five seminomas (S1, 4.5 ± 2.8%; S2, 8.1 ± 3.8%; S3, 11.7 ± 4.3%; S4, 6.2 ± 4.7%; S5, 21.0 ± 7.0%) and mixed germ cell tumors (M2, 15.7 ± 8.4%; M3, 24.2 ± 6.5%) relative to that in normal testis (TN, 36.6 ± 7.7%, $n = 3$). DMR methylation was not significantly altered in a mixed germ cell tumor (M1, 32.4 ± 14.7%; $P = 0.07$).

Quantitative PCR demonstrated that the decreased level of methylation at the FAM50B DMR in TGCTs was frequently associated with a reduced level of FAM50B and FAM50B-AS expression (Figure 7B and C). Only seminoma S3 demonstrated a significant increase in expression of both FAM50B ($P < 0.001$) and FAM50B-AS ($P = 0.002$) relative to that in normal testis. These findings indicate that DMR methylation status alone is insufficient for regulation of FAM50B and FAM50B-AS transcript levels in some TGCTs.

To determine if FAM50B imprinting is maintained in TGCTs, we analyzed tumors heterozygous for SNP rs3196512 (C/G), which included embryonic carcinomas C1 and C2, seminomas S2 and S3, and mixed germ cell tumors M2 and M3, to distinguish the allelic expression pattern for the FAM50B transcript. We also analyzed the seminoma mixed with embryonic carcinoma (SC1), which is heterozygous for SNP rs6597007 (C/G), to determine the allelic expression pattern for both the sense (S) and antisense (AS) transcripts (Figure 8). In the embryonic carcinomas (C1 and C2) and mixed germ cell tumors (M2 and M3) investigated, monoallelic expression of FAM50B was maintained (Figure 8A); however, in the seminomas (S2 and S3) both alleles of FAM50B were expressed (Figure 8B). Furthermore, both alleles of FAM50B and FAM50B-AS were expressed in the seminoma mixed with embryonic carcinoma (SC1) (Figure 8B). These results indicate that loss of imprinting at the FAM50B locus is a common event in seminomas.

To determine if the DNA methylation in the DMRs is involved in regulating imprinting of the FAM50B locus, expression of the FAM50B in mouse brain and testis. Arrows indicate the position of the SNP used to determine the allelic expression patterns. (D) Methylation is $>60\%$ at most CpG sites in proximity to the translational start codon of murine FAM50B (from $\sim8800$ to $1120$ bp relative to translational start codon). Arrow indicates the position of the translational start codon. Blue line, DNA from kidney; red line, DNA from liver.
we performed bisulfite sequencing of individual cloned alleles of S2 (Supplementary Figure S7A) and S3 (Supplementary Figure S7B). Our results showed that allele-specific methylation was partially lost in S2 and S3. These results were associated with the loss of imprinted expression of FAM50B transcripts in seminomas, indicating that this DMR is required for maintaining the imprinted status of this gene.

**DISCUSSION**

In our search for imprinting regulatory regions on chromosome 6p, we identified a novel DMR at the FAM50B locus, a gene we previously predicted to be imprinted (13). The unique parent-of-origin-dependent expression of imprinted genes is in large part regulated by the methylation status of associated DMRs. There are two distinct types of DMRs that regulate the expression of genomically imprinted genes: germline DMRs that are established during gametogenesis and somatic DMRs that are formed post-fertilization, sometimes in a tissue-specific
manner (29). Using the Sequenom MassARRAY system, we performed methylation analysis at the FAM50B locus in human tissues derived from the three germ layers. These methylation analyses, combined with those of mature human spermatozoa, identified a novel DMR at the promoter region of FAM50B. The FAM50B DMR showed uniform differential methylation in embryonic tissues of different germ layer origin. It was maternally methylated in conceptus liver and unmethylated in sperm. Thus, this DMR is either a maternal methylation imprint mark established in oocytes or it is acquired post-fertilization. Our results therefore support the use of the Sequenom MassARRAY as an effective tool for experimentally identifying novel DMRs of imprinted genes.

We identified both sense and antisense transcripts of FAM50B, and confirmed the imprint status of both, with each transcript displaying paternal expression in normal human tissues. Thus, we demonstrated for the first time that FAM50B is an imprinted gene in humans. The function of the gene products from both FAM50B and its X-linked resource gene XAP-5 are currently unknown. However, phylogeny indicates related genes with XAP5 domains in both Brassica and Arabidopsis, indicating that the origin of FAM50-related genes occurred early in the development of eukaryotes. Hints as to function may come from Arabidopsis XCT (XAP-5 circadian timekeeper), which regulates the circadian clock in response to light, acting as a global regulator of growth (30).

XAP-5 contains runs of CG-rich trinucleotide repeats (CCG) in the 5’-untranslated region, and therefore is considered a candidate disease gene (31); however, this triplet repeat is not present in FAM50B. We found high expression of FAM50B in embryonic tissues, indicating an important role in prenatal development. We also found that FAM50B is highly expressed in testis, consistent with the prior observation that X-derived retrogenes are more frequently expressed in spermatocytes than autosomally-derived retrogenes (32).

A considerable proportion of imprinted genes produce both sense and antisense transcripts, which was our impetus for searching for a FAM50B antisense transcript. Non-coding antisense transcripts are broadly classified into large non-coding RNAs and small regulatory RNAs, acting in cis or in trans, respectively, to repress the flanking protein-coding imprinted genes (26,27). FAM50B-AS, together with IGF2AS (IGF2 antisense) (33), APEG3 (PEG3 antisense) (34), SLC22A18AS (SLC22A18 antisense) (35) and PEG1-AS (PEG1 antisense) (36), may be more suitably classified into a new RNA group due to their specific features. First, all are paternally expressed from the same allele with their sense transcripts, which differs from the reciprocal imprinting pattern of large and small non-coding RNAs (for example, paternally expressed antisense Air and maternally expressed sense IGF2R; and maternally expressed miRNA Mir-431 and paternally expressed sense RTL1).

Second, the length of the antisense transcripts is 1–2.5 kb, much shorter than the large non-coding RNAs (usually 10–100 kb), but much longer than the small non-coding RNAs (<300 bases). The biological functions of these antisense transcripts, whether involved in regulating the imprinting of their sense transcripts or in maintaining normal tissue function, remain elusive. Interestingly, imprinted FAM50B-AS exhibits the highest level of expression in fetal brain, indicating that FAM50B-AS is potentially involved in preserving normal brain function.

Multiple transcribed and functional retrotransposed genes that originated from X-linked housekeeping genes have been uncovered in the genomes of mammals (37,38). The percentage of expressed retrotransposons that originate from X chromosome genes is higher than those originating from autosomal chromosomes. Five of these X-derived retrotransposed genes in mice (i.e. MCTS2, NAPIL5, U2AF1-RS1, Inpp5f_x2 and Peg12) and three orthologues in humans (i.e. MCTS2, known as PSMCT-1, INPP5F_V2 and NAPIL5) are known to be imprinted, and all are paternally expressed (39,40). In contrast, KLF14 retrotransposed from an autosomal chromosome, and is maternally expressed (41). Of particular interest is Rbl1, which is not a retrotransposed gene; however, the preferential maternal expression of the Rbl1 transcript and the paternal expression of an alternative transcript of this gene is linked to a retrotransposed pseudogene derived from an autosomal chromosome (42). In the case of Rbl1, the pseudogene is not transcribed, but rather acts as a weak promoter for an alternate Rbl1 transcript, regulated by a DMR in the pseudogene (43). Clearly, retrotransposed elements play an important role in parental allele silencing of imprinted genes. It has been hypothesized that the retrotransposition of X-derived genes is a mechanism to insure continued activity for essential genes that are otherwise silenced by transient X-chromosome inactivation during spermatogenesis (32,44). FAM50B is another example of a maternally silenced imprinted gene derived from an X-linked gene by retrotransposition. This adds further support to the hypothesis that imprinting functions in part as a dosage compensation mechanism (45). However, unlike other known retrotransposed imprinted genes, FAM50B is not located within an intron of a host gene.

Comparison of X-inactivation and retrotransposed genes between marsupials and eutherians may be highly informative for understanding the evolution of imprinted gene silencing, especially with regard to the idea that retrotransposition of X-linked genes compensates for X-inactivation during spermatogenesis. The recent discovery of X-chromosome inactivation during spermatogenesis in opossum has led to a model for the origins of X-chromosome silencing for dosage compensation in females. In female marsupials, it is always the paternal X chromosome that is silenced. Persistence of X-inactivation that was originally established during spermatogenesis might explain this paternal-specific X chromosome silencing in females. If this is the ancestral method of mammalian X-inactivation, then the reactivation and subsequent random inactivation seen in eutherians is a modification of the simpler marsupial mechanism, possibly providing resiliency by not forcing dependency on one X chromosome (46).
It may be possible to elucidate the mechanism by which retrotransposed imprinted genes acquired parent-of-origin expression by investigating their evolution in mammals. Only a small number of imprinted genes in eutherians are also monoallelically expressed in marsupials (47), and none of the known eutherian imprinted genes that originated from retrotransposition events are imprinted in marsupials. The imprinting of MCTS2, INPP5F_V2 and NAP1L5 is conserved in the human and mouse, indicating retrotransposition occurred before radiation of the human and rodent lineages (42). U2af1-rs1 and Peg12 are postulated to have been created by retrotransposition during mouse evolution after the divergence of mice and humans since their orthologs are not present in the human (48). Phylogenetic comparison of the orthologs of the FAM50B locus indicates that this gene was integrated into the opossum genome in a position different from that in human, mouse and elephant. Although it is expressed in a variety of opossum tissues, we showed that FAM50B is not imprinted in the opossum. Furthermore, FAM50B in the mouse does not contain a DMR in the promoter region, and it is also not imprinted. Hence imprinted expression of human FAM50B appears to have originated from a species-specific retrotransposition event that occurred subsequent to the radiation of the human and rodent lineages. These findings demonstrate that the repertoires of imprinted genes vary between species, which is consistent with previous reports indicating that imprinted genes did not arise at a single point during evolution (49).

To obtain insight into the importance of FAM50B in normal spermatogenesis, we analyzed its methylation profile and expression pattern in seminomatous and non-seminomatous TGCTs. TGCTs have a pluripotent nature and display histology reflecting that of primordial germ cells (PGCs) to embryonal and somatic cell differentiation. Seminomas recapitulate some aspects of spermatogenesis, whereas non-seminomas demonstrate embryonal and extraembryonal differentiation patterns (50). Besides histological distinctions, they have epigenetic differences. Seminomas have widespread hypomethylation, both in CpG islands and globally, unlike non-seminomas, which have higher methylation overall (51). This hypomethylation is a significant similarity between seminomas and PGCs, which also have very low methylation levels. All these similarities distinguish seminomas from other types of TGCTs, and suggest that seminomas originate from a more primitive, less differentiated cell type that may be more prone to tumorigenesis.

The FAM50B DMR showed hypomethylation in seminomatous and the majority of non-seminomatous TGCTs; however, complete loss of imprinted expression of FAM50B and FAM50B-AS was only observed in seminomas. Although hypomethylation of the reciprocally imprinted genes, H19 and IGF2, is also observed in TGCTs, null expression, monoallelic expression and biallelic expression of these genes are observed in both seminomatous and non-seminomatous TGCTs (52,53). Thus, the methylation status of the DMR for H19 and IGF2 is not always correlated with their allelic expression. Similarly, imprinted gene expression at the FAM50B locus is not always associated with the methylation status of its DMR in non-seminomatous TGCTs. This indicates that factors other than methylation may contribute to transcriptional regulation of imprinted expression of FAM50B in non-seminomatous TGCTs. In support of this idea, a recent study suggests that histone methylation may act as the principal regulator of gene expression in non-seminomas (54). Determination of histone modifications at the FAM50B locus may help clarify the mechanism regulating imprinted expression of FAM50B in non-seminomatous TGCTs. Analysis of copy number variations in TGCTs has also been extensively studied (55). A number of chromosomal aberrations have been observed, but none of them are associated with the 6p25 locus containing FAM50B. Additionally, the similarities of seminomas to undifferentiated PGCs suggest that seminomas have reduced epigenetic regulation besides the observed hypomethylation, which would be consistent with the loss of FAM50B imprinting in only this tumor type. The high frequency of loss of imprinting of FAM50B and FAM50B-AS in the genesis of seminomas also indicates that imprinted expression of this gene needs to be tightly regulated during normal spermatogenesis.

Both segmental duplications and deletions at 6p25 have been associated with diseases and developmental disorders (56–59). Genetic loss or gain sometimes detrimentally alters expression of biallelically expressed genes. In contrast, when imprinted genes are present at the location of copy number variation, gene dosage is usually altered dramatically, ranging from complete loss of expression to overexpression. Our finding that the FAM50B locus is imprinted stresses the importance of determining parent-of-origin inheritance patterns, in order to better understand the pathogenesis of diseases linked to chromosome location 6p25.
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