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Abstract

Eucalyptus camaldulensis (Ec) is considered as a traditional medicinal plant with valuable therapeutic effects. Here we evaluated the antiviral activity of its ethanolic leave extract on different herpes viruses. Vero cells were infected with either of the tested viruses [herpes simplex virus -1 and 2 (HSV-1, HSV-2) and Varicella-Zoster Virus (VZV)] with or without treatment with Ec extract and viral infection development was evaluated by plaque assay. Our results showed significant antiviral activity of the examined extract against all tested viruses. The 80%-MeOH fraction of this extract offered the highest activity against these viruses with 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) of 0.1±0.08, 0.3±0.02 and 1±0.03 μg/ml against HSV-1, HSV-2 and VZV respectively and 50% cytotoxicity (CC 50) at 700 μg/ml. The highest antiviral effect of this fraction was obtained mainly when it was added during and post infection (p.i.) or when it was added only p.i. Also, this fraction significantly reduced the amount of infective endogenous viral particles in cells that were treated with the 80%-MeOH fraction only post viral entry into the host cells. A synergistic antiviral effect against all tested viruses was also observed when cells were treated with a combination of acyclovir (ACV) and 80%-MeOH fraction of Ec. Further study is required for the isolation and identification of the anti-virally active component/s of this fraction.

Introduction

Viral infections are considered as one of the major causes of death worldwide despite the significant progress made in antiviral drug development [1,3]. Herpes viruses are DNA v belonging to the family Herpesviridae and are responsible for a variety of mild to severe human diseases, which are sometimes life threatening particularly in immune-compromised patients and neonates [4,5]. After the acute infection, herpes viruses establish latency and persist in different cells of the body, according to the infecting virus, for the life-time. The latent virus is reactivated spontaneously causing recurrent infections in infected patients [6]. Although it can effectively be treated with nucleoside analogs such as acyclovir (ACV), but still the search for new effective antiherpetic drugs is important due to the development of ACV-resistant herpes viruses mutants particularly in immunosuppressed and in immunodeficiency syndrome patients [7-15]. In addition, ACV and other available nucleoside analogs have different undesired side effects (like nausea, vomiting, headache and others) [16] and are not highly effective against reactivated herpes viruses [17,18]. Therefore, there is a need for novel effective anti-herpetic drugs with different mode of action from nucleoside derivatives.

Many natural products are known with their antiviral activity and part of them has been already used for the treatment of human viral infections with RNA and DNA viruses [18,22]. Different secondary plant metabolites such as flavonoids, saponins,
lignans, tannins, alkoloids, polyines, thiophenes, phenolic acids and different sugars were found to have significant antiviral activity against variety of viruses [23,25]. Despite the fact that many plant extracts were previously reported for their antiviral activities, their antiviral mechanism of action is still poorly understood.

Eucalyptus camaldulensis (Ec) is an Australian native tree of the genus Eucalyptus and spread in many parts of the world [26]. According to the World Health Organization and different previous studies Eucalyptus leaves and oil have medicinal use for treatment of mild respiratory tract inflammation, bronchitis, asthma, fever and inflammation of the throat [27,28]. In addition, eight different components were isolated from Eucalyptus globulus leaves and found to have antiviral activity against Epstein Barr virus [29]. The essential oils of different Eucalyptus species were found to exhibit various biological activities like strong antibacterial activity against different gram (+) and gram (-) bacteria, antifungal, antiviral activities [26,27,30,31], antiinflammatory [28] and antitumor cells activities [32]. The main biologically active components in Eucalyptus essential oil are erpenes and phenylpropanoids [31,33].

Only little information is known about the antiviral activity of Eucalyptus extracts. It was found that crude methanolic extracts of Ec and E. torelliana were active against human enteroviruses: Poliovirus type I, Coxsackievirus B and Echovirus 6 [34]. The main functional components of Ec leaves ethanolic extract were found to include tannins, saponins, cardenolides and flavonoids [34]. In addition, aqueous extract of Eucalyptus fruit had significant activity against HSV-1 while the ethanolic extracts showed little anti-HSV-1 activity [35].

In the present study we investigated the antiviral activity of ethanolic extract of Eucalyptus camaldulensis leaves on various members of the herpes family of viruses (HSV-1, HSV-2 and VZV)

\textit{In vitro}. Our results showed promising antiviral activity of the examined extract against the tested viruses.

\textbf{Materials and Methods}

\textbf{Material}. Eucalyptus camaldulensis (Ec) leaves were obtained from trees growing in Israel.

\textit{Acyclovir (ACV)} was purchased from Sigma Israel.

\textbf{Preparation of Ec leaves ethanolic extract}. Ethanolic extract was prepared from fresh leaves of Ec. The leaves were cut and smashed in 95\% ethanol solution, incubated at 4\(^\circ\mathrm{C}\) with shaking for 48 hs, centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 10 min, the supernatant was collected into a clean flask and the pellet was discarded. Then the collected supernatant was dried by evaporation and dissolved in a 95\% ethanol to give a stock with a concentration of 10mg/ml. The extracts were diluted with medium containing 2\% newborn calf serum (NBCS) to the appropriate concentrations. Fractionation of ethanolic plant extract. The ethanolic extract was fractionated into different fractions by reverse phase chromatography (RP-C18 Sepack) with a stepwise methanol gradient: 0\%, 20\%, 40\%, 60 \%, 80 \% and 100 \%. Cells and viruses. African green monkey kidney (Vero) cells, which were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Rockville, MD, USA, were grown in RPMI medium with 10\% new born calf serum and antibiotic mixture (penicillin, streptomycin and nystatin) and incubated at 37\(^\circ\mathrm{C}\) in a humidified air containing 5\% CO\(_2\). HSV-1 was obtained from the ATCC (VR-735), HSV-2 and VZV were obtained from the virology laboratory Soroka University Medical Center, Beer-Sheva, Israel. 2.5. Cytotoxicity examination. Vero cells were treated with different doses of the extract and the toxicity of the extract was evaluated by: (a) Daily morphological changes observation by optical inverted microscope. (b) MTT assay which was performed as previously described [36]. Briefly, the appropriate cell
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Viral infection. The cells were plated at $2 \times 10^5$ cells/well in 24-well culture plates, in RPMI with 10% NBCS and antibiotics. After overnight incubation the medium was removed and the cells were infected with 1 PFU/cell for two hours at 37°C. The infection development was evaluated by plaque assay as previously described [37]. Briefly, the unabsorbed virus was removed after 2h infection and cells were overlaid with either a layer of 0.6% carboxymethylcellulose (CMC). Two days post-infection (p.i.) the CMC overlay was removed, cell monolayers were fixed with 10% formalin in saline, stained with crystal violet and plaques were counted.

Antiviral mechanism of action of the examined product. The infected cells were treated with increasing concentrations of the product at different times before, during or after infection as follow: (1) only before infection- the cell monolayers were incubated with medium containing the fraction for 2h, then the medium was removed and the cells were infected with 1 moi of the appropriate virus without further treatment with the fraction; (2) only during infection time and then the cell cultures medium replaced with medium without the examined product; (3) only p.i.- cells were treated with the tested product immediately after 2h infection with the virus. The treatment was continued up to the end of the experiment; (4) during and p.i.- cells were treated with the product at the time of infection and the treatment continued up to the end of the experiment.

To study possible direct effect of the tested product on virus particles infectivity, $10^4$ PFU (plaque forming unit) of the appropriate virus particles were pre-incubated with 10μg/ml of the tested product at 22°C for 30 min. Then these mixtures were diluted $10^4$ fold with fresh medium (to minimize the concentration of the antiviral agent at the time of infection) and cell monolayers were infected with the diluted mixture.

In order to examine possible effect of the tested product on intracellular- HSV-1 replication, cells were infected for 2h by HSV-1 without treatment with the tested product. Then, the medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium with or without the tested extract and the infected cells were removed from the wells by treatment with trypsin at 20 hrs. p.i. The obtained cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 1500 rpm for 5 minutes, washed three times with saline solution. Each pellet was resuspended with 100 μl of physiological saline solution and the cells were broken by freezing and thawing them. Cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 1500 rpm for 5 minutes and the mixture containing the endogenous virus was then used for infecting cell monolayers.

Cooperative activity. Synergistic or additive activity between the tested Ec fraction and acyclovir (ACV) was examined by treating the cells with different combinations of the fraction and ACV at the time and post-infection with the virus.

Results and Discussion

Cytotoxicity of extract. Vero cell monolayers were treated with various doses of Ec leaves ethanolic extract for 3 days and the cytotoxicity of the extract was evaluated by daily morphological observations and by MTT assay as described in “Materials and Methods” section. The concentration found to cause 50% toxicity ($CC_{50}$) of this extract was 200 μg/ml (data not shown).

Antiviral activity of Ec extract against herpes viruses. In order to examine possible antiviral activity of Ec extract on various herpes viruses’ infection, vero cell monolayers...
were treated with different doses of the extract at the time of infection with 1 m.o.i. of HSV-1, HSV-2 or VZV. The treatment was continued up to the end of the experiment and the antiviral activity was evaluated by plaque assay. The obtained results showed a significant inhibition of all tested viruses infection as can be seen in Figure 1. The concentrations of the extract that caused a 50% plaque inhibition (IC50) of HSV-1, HSV-2 and VZV infection were 1, 3, 0.1 μg/ml respectively (Figure 1). These results are in agreement with different previous studies which showed significant antiviral activity of ethanolic plant extracts against herpes viruses infections [21,38,39]. However, some other previous studies, in contrast to our results, had reported differential antiviral activity of plant extracts on these viruses [40-43], probably due to their different biochemical and serological properties [44, 45].

The selectivity index (SI) (determined as CC50/IC50) of Ec extract against VZV was the highest and the most impressive compared to HSV-1 and HSV-2 as can be seen in figure 2. Also, it can be seen that the SI of this extract against VZV was markedly higher than that of HSV-1 and HSV-2. Although the SI values of this extract against the tested viruses were lower than that of the positive control ACV (Figure 2), it still worthwhile to continue examining their antiviral potential against these viruses because after isolating and purifying the anti-virally active component of this extract it will most likely improve its antiviral potential.

Ec extract’s fractions antiviral activity. Ec extract was separated into different fractions with a methanol gradient as detailed in “Materials and Methods” section and tested for antiviral activity. Our results showed that the highest antiviral activity

![Figure 1: Antiviral activity of Ec leaf ethanolic extract on herpes viruses. Vero cells were infected with 1m.o.i. of different herpes viruses with or without treatment with various concentrations of Ec extract. The cells were treated with the extract at the time of infection and post infection (p.i.) up to the end of the experiment. Plaque numbers (PFU) are presented as a percentage of the positive control (infected but untreated cell cultures). Values are means±SD (n=3).](image-url)

![Figure 2: Selectivity index (SI) of Ec leaf ethanolic extract and acyclovir (ACV) against HSV-1, HSV-2 and VZV. SI was determined as CC50/IC50. Values are means±SD (n=3).](image-url)
against all tested viruses was obtained with the 80%-MeOH fraction while the other fractions did not show any significant activity (Table 1). As expected the 80%-MeOH fraction had significantly higher SI compared to the crude extract and also interestingly higher than the SI of ACV (Table 1). This result is reasonable due to the isolation and purification of the anti-virally active component. These results are in contrast to our previous findings which showed that 80% MeOH fraction of F. binjamina and L. candidum leaves ethanolic extracts was active only against HSV-1 and HSV-2 while the 60% MeOH fraction was highly active against VZV infection [21].

Effect of the Ec 80%-MeOH fraction on various steps of the viral infection. Trying to examine which step of the viral infection is blocked by Ec 80%-MeOH fraction; cells were infected with 1 m.o.i. of the tested herpes viruses and treated with 5 μg/ml of this fraction at different time before, at or after infection as detailed in “Materials and Methods” section. As can be seen from the results presented in figure 3 the highest and most effective inhibition of all tested viruses infection was obtained when the treatment with the product was at the time and after infection (about 100% inhibition). These results are in agreement with previous studies reported that the anti-virally active natural products mainly prevent early steps of the viral infection such as direct effect on the viral particles, virus adsorption and/or penetration into the host cells [5,14,38,40,46]. When the cells were treated only at the time of infection or only p.i. there was also a significant inhibition (over 50%) of the viral infection development.

Table 1: Antiviral activity of Ec MeOH fractions and ACV against Herpes viruses.

| Ec Fractions     | HSV-1 CC<sub>50</sub> (μg/ml) | IC<sub>50</sub> (μg/ml) | SI | HSV-2 CC<sub>50</sub> (μg/ml) | IC<sub>50</sub> (μg/ml) | SI | VZV CC<sub>50</sub> (μg/ml) | IC<sub>50</sub> (μg/ml) | SI |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----|
| Ethanol extract  | 200±8                         | 2±0.4                   | 100| 100±5                         | 5±0.05                  | 40 | 0.5±0.04                    | 400                     | 100|
| 0%-MeOH         | 50±65                         | Inactive                |    | 200±14.5                      | 3                       | 280±8.0 | 2.1                       | 170±5.1                 | 3.5 |
| 20%-MeOH        | 600±40                       | 150±5.5                 | 4  | 120±6.6                      | 5                       | 190±7.3                 | 3.1                       |
| 40%-MeOH        | 550±16                       | 100±4.0                 | 5.5| 110±4.5                      | 5                       | 90±1.8                  | 6.1                       |
| 60%-MeOH        | 500±45                       | 0.5±0.03                | 1000| 1.5±0.03                    | 333                    | 0.4±0.01                | 1250                     |
| 80%-MeOH        | 400±32                       | 250±13.1                | 1.6| 150±13.7                     | 2.6                    | 185±3.9                 | 2.1                       |
| ACV             | 70±4                         | 0.1±0.005               | 700| 0.25±0.01                    | 280                   | 0.15±0.007               | 466.7±25                 |

Vero cell monolayers were treated with different doses of Ec purified fractions at the time and p.i. The CC<sub>50</sub> and the IC<sub>50</sub> values of these fractions were determined by MTT and plaque assay as detailed in “Material and Methods” section. Values are means±SD (n=3).

Figure 3: Effect of the treatment time with Ec 80%-MeOH fraction on herpes viruses’ infection. Vero cells were infected with 1 m.o.i. of the herpes viruses and treated with 5μg/ml of Ec 80%-MeOH fraction for different periods of time as follow: only for 2h before infection, only during infection, only p.i. or during and p.i. up to the end of the experiment. Furthermore, 10<sup>4</sup> PFU of the appropriate virus particles were pre-incubated with 10μg/ml of Ec 80%-MeOH fraction at 22°C for 30 min. Then these mixtures were diluted 10<sup>4</sup> fold with fresh medium and cell monolayers were infected with the diluted mixture. PFU of the treated cultures are presented as a percentage of the positive control. Values are means±SD (n=3).
however, when treated only before the infection, there was only a small reduction (about 15%) in the viral infection (Figure 3). This result may indicate that there is no significant interaction between the examined product and the host cells receptors or probably this interaction is a weak and reversible and therefore, the product was easily washed and removed from the cells before infection. This result is supported also by previous studies which did not find any significant interaction between plant alcoholic extracts and cell receptors [22,39,42]. In addition, when the viral particles were preincubated with the examined extract fraction there was no significant inhibition of the viral infection (Figure 3), which means that there was no direct interaction between the tested extract fraction and either of the tested viruses. These results are in contrast with previous studies [21,42,47] which showed strong direct interaction between the ethanolic extracts of different plants and HSV-1 or HSV-2 particles, while our previous study showed that there was no notable interaction with VZV in the case of Passiflora extract [48].

As mentioned above, treatment of the infected cells only p. i. caused significant partial inhibition of the viral infection with the different examined viruses (Figure 3). This inhibition may be a result of interfering with internal steps during the viral replication cycle after the penetration of the virus into the host cells or probably a result of reinfection inhibition. In order to examine these possibilities, cells were infected with either of the tested viruses and treated with 10 μg/ml of the extract fraction after 2h of infection. At 20 hours p.i. the internal virus infectivity was examined as detailed in"Materials and Methods" section. Our results (Figure 3) showed that all treated cell monolayers with Ec fraction showed over 50% inhibition of the different tested viruses' infection development (Figure 3). It seems that this fraction caused a significant inhibition in the production of infective viral particles production inside the host cells. It is well established that the 80%-MeOH fraction of various plant leaves is rich with flavonoids such as quercetin, quercetin 3-rhamnoside and quercetin 7-rhamnoside which are known to have antiviral activities [21,49,50].

Cooperative activity of Ec 80%-MeOH fraction with the commercial anti-herpetic drug ACV. In order to examine possible cooperative activity between ACV and Ec 80%-MeOH fraction, vero cells were infected and treated with various combinations of ACV and Fc fraction consisting of various doses of either product (focusing in lower concentrations which are not significantly active against herpes viruses' infection). Our results showed a significant cooperative antiviral activity between ACV and Ec fraction (Figure 4). When the cells were treated separately with 0.1 μg/ml of Ec fraction or

![Figure 4: Synergistic activity between Pe 80%-MeOH fraction and ACV. Vero cells were treated separately with 0.1 μg/ml of Pe fraction or 0.01μg/ml ACV at the time and p.i. with the different examined viruses or with a mixture of both 0.1 μg/ml of Pe fraction and 0.01μg/ml ACV. PFU of the treated cultures are presented as a percentage of the positive control. Values are means±SD (n=3).](image)
0.01μg/ml ACV at the time of infection and p.i., a low antiviral activity (10-20%) was obtained. However, when the cells were treated with a mixture of both 0.1 μg/ml of Ec fraction and 0.01μg/ml ACV, a significant inhibition (~75 %) of the viral infection was observed. This cooperative activity which probably is a synergistic activity between the examined Ec fraction and ACV is very important and can be beneficial for future use contributing to a significant reduction in both the side effects and costs of ACV.

Conclusions

1. Ec ethanolic extract showed strong antiviral activity against different members of the herpes viruses’ family (HSV-1, HSV-2 and VZV).

2. The 80%-MeOH fraction of Ec was the most anti-virally effective against all tested viruses with SI significantly higher that of the crude extract and even higher than that of ACV.

3. It seems that the 80%-MeOH fraction of Ec interferes with different stages of the viral infection such as inhibiting early stages of the infection (viral adsorption/penetration into the host cells) and probably blocking one or more steps during the virus replication inside the host cells after their penetration. This effect on the different stages of the viral infection can be done by different components of this EC fraction.

4. A strong cooperative antiviral activity was found between the 80%-MeOH fraction of Ec and ACV.

5. Further study is required for the isolation and purification of the anti-virally active components of the 80%-MeOH fraction of Ec.
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