CD24 as a Potential Therapeutic Target in Patients with B-Cell Leukemia and Lymphoma: Current Insights
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Abstract: CD24 is a highly glycosylated glycophasphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored protein that is expressed in many types of differentiating cells and some mature cells of the immune system as well as the central nervous system. CD24 has been extensively used as a biomarker for developing B cells as its expression levels change over the course of B cell development. Functionally, engagement of CD24 induces apoptosis in developing B cells and restricts cell growth in more mature cell types. Interestingly, CD24 is also expressed on many hematological and solid tumors. As such, it has been investigated as a therapeutic target in many solid tumors including ovarian, colorectal, pancreatic, lung and others. Most of the B-cell leukemias and lymphomas studied to date express CD24 but its role as a therapeutic target in these malignancies has, thus far, been understudied. Here, I review what is known about CD24 biology with a focus on B cell development and activation followed by a brief overview of how CD24 is being targeted in solid tumors. This is followed by an assessment of the value of CD24 as a therapeutic target in B cell leukemia and lymphoma in humans, including an evaluation of the challenges in using CD24 as a target considering its pattern of expression on normal cells.
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Background

Cluster of Differentiation (CD) 24, also called heat stable antigen or nectadrin, is a highly glycosylated glycophasphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored protein consisting of a mature peptide of 27 residues in mouse and 32 residues in humans.1–3 It was first discovered in 1978 by Springer et al through the screening of monoclonal antibodies binding to unique blood cell antigens.4 The mature peptide is derived from a precursor peptide of 76 residues in mice and 82 residues in humans. The cleavage of the N-terminal signal sequence and C-terminal glycophasphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchor sequence in addition to multiple glycosylation sites generates a mature peptide of 20 to 70 KDa.5

CD24 is located on chromosome 6q21 in humans and chromosome 10 in mice. Analysis of the evolution of CD24 suggests that it arose prior to the divergence of reptiles and avians but was lost in marsupials and monotremes.2 The consensus sequence of the mature peptide shows multiple highly conserved residues.2 These residues are sites, or potential sites, of glycosylation, which is consistent with a critical role of these post-translational modifications for the interaction of CD24 with its ligands (see below).

In a healthy individual, CD24 is expressed on and regulates many different cell types. In general, CD24 expression is dynamic with higher expression on immature cells followed by decreased expression at mature cell stages.2,6 CD24 promotes the normal maturation of B lymphocytes (B cells), T lymphocytes (T cells), neuronal cells, adipocytes, colon crypt cells, and epithelial cells in the mammary gland.7–9 CD24 acts as a co-stimulatory molecule for T cells10 and regulates dendritic cell activation in response to danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs).11,12 CD24 is constitutively expressed in erythrocytes where it decreases aggregation and promotes their half-life in circulation in mice but does not appear to be expressed in human erythrocytes.14 It is also highly expressed on developing neurons and regions of active neurogenesis in the adult brain.9 In addition, CD24 is highly expressed on many different types of cancer and...
can be associated with both increased or decreased cancer aggressiveness. Interestingly, while low levels of CD24 in combination with high levels of CD44 have been associated with breast cancer stem cells, high CD24 levels have also been associated with pancreatic cancer stem cells and other cancer types (please see Altevogt et al for a more in-depth discussion).

CD24 has multiple ligands that interact either in cis (on the same cell) or in trans (on a different cell). These interactions are mediated by the differential glycosylation of CD24. In trans, CD24 on cells from the myeloid lineage, splenic B cells activated by lipopolysaccharide, and thymocytes, as well as breast cancer cells binds to P-selectin to mediate adhesion to endothelial cells. Similarly, CD24 on MCF-7 breast cancer cells mediates adhesion to E-selectin. The L1 cell adhesion molecule (L1CAM) is the ligand for CD24 in neurons and neuroblastoma where it regulates neurite outgrowth by binding to CD24 in cis. In dendritic cells, CD24 acts in cis with Siglec-G (Siglec-10) to suppress DAMPs-mediated activation of these cells. Lastly, CD24 can interact with itself and so might act as its own ligand in some cases. However, the natural ligand for CD24 on developing B cells or B cell leukemias or lymphomas is unknown.

CD24 Expression in B Cells

B cells develop in the bone marrow in discrete stages that are defined by expression of key biomarkers, one of these being CD24 (Figure 1). CD24 levels are low in the earliest stages of lineage commitment and increase in mRNA and protein expression until they peak at the pre-B cell stage (Fraction C’/D). CD24 expression drops when B cells exit the bone marrow but rebounds at transitional stage 1, which occurs in the spleen, followed by a reduction in circulating mature B cells and beyond. Moreover, CD24 is highly expressed on both B1 B cells and regulatory B cells. In the bone marrow, CD24 is not simply a biomarker; it is a key regulator of B cell development (Figure 1). Both transgenic mice that overexpress CD24 and CD24 knock-out mice display a reduction in developing B cell numbers, particularly at the pro and pre-B cell stages. However, there are normal numbers of B cells in the periphery demonstrating that reduction in early B cell numbers is compensated by increased proliferation at later cell stages. In vitro, engaging CD24

![Figure 1 CD24 induces apoptosis in developing B cells. Shown are stages of B cell development in the bone marrow with both Philadelphia and Hardy nomenclature shown. The relative levels of CD24 are indicated by the number and size of CD24 molecules shown. Engagement of CD24 with antibody or overexpression in transgenic mice leads to increased apoptosis of B cells early in development. Indicated are the stages susceptible to CD24-mediated apoptosis. B cells continue to have low level of CD24 expression after they egress from the bone marrow with an increase in transitional splenic B cells (not shown); however, they are no longer susceptible to CD24-induced apoptosis. Also, shown is the expression of the B cell receptor (BCR) during B cell development. Created in BioRender.com.](https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S366625)
with stimulating antibodies induces apoptosis in developing B cells and suppresses the proliferation of splenic B cells. Furthermore, apoptosis of pre-B cells in CD24 transgenic mice is observed in vivo. Thus, the main role for CD24 in B cell development is to control B cell survival at the pro- and pre-B cell stages.

More recently, CD24 has been linked to extracellular vesicles (EVs) secreted from B lymphocytes and EVs that are present in other bodily fluids such as saliva, urine and amniotic fluid. EVs are nano-sized particles derived from the endocytic pathway (exosomes) or budded off the plasma membrane (microvesicles, ectosomes). EVs are important mediators of cell communication that can transport lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids from donor to recipient cells. Cancer cells have been shown to release more EVs than normal cells and CD24 on EVs from plasma has been suggested to be a marker for detection and monitoring of different cancers.

CD24 in Immune Regulation and Evasion

CD24 regulates immune activation in cis and in trans. Chen et al demonstrated that the interaction of CD24 with Siglec-10 (Siglec-G in mouse) on dendritic cells (DCs) acts a molecular brake to limit inflammation in response to DAMPs. CD24 does this by interacting directly with Siglec-10 through its sialic acid modifications when DAMPs such as HMBG1, HSP70, or HSP90 are present. This binding activates inhibitory signaling downstream of Siglec-10, which, through the immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif (ITIMs), activates the Shp-1 phosphatase. This in turn downregulates signaling downstream of Toll-like receptors (TLR) to limit DC activation. Interestingly, CD24 specifically interacts with DAMPs but not pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) to specifically inhibit the overactivation of the immune system in response to signals of injury but not infection.

Acute graft-vs-host (GVHD) disease can occur post hematopoietic transplantation whereby the donor immune cells mount an immune response against the host. High dose chemotherapy and/or total body irradiation causes damage to the host and this conditioning it thought to be the first step in the development of GVHD. In this situation, CD24 on T cells interacts with Siglec-G expressed on DCs to reduce T cell activation and inflammatory cytokine release in response to the DAMPs released by the injured tissue. The soluble CD24-Fc fusion protein (CD24-Fc) is able to recapitulate the function of CD24 by interacting with Siglec-G and activating its inhibitory signaling function to limit immune activation. In a pre-clinical model of GVHD, CD24-Fc was able to significantly mitigate the effects of GVHD and improve survival.

CD24-Fc has been further investigated as a non-chemical inhibitor of immune activation in vivo to target chronic inflammation. In a simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) model, injection of CD24-Fc reduced chronic inflammation and improved overall survival of these animals. Similarly, in a human clinical trial, injection of CD24-Fc reduced chronic inflammation associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. In a mouse model of sepsis, bacterial sialidases inhibit the sialic acid-mediated interaction between CD24 and Siglec-G, which exacerbates inflammation that is not accompanied by changes in bacterial load. Therefore, in the absence of the limiting effect of CD24 on DCs, inflammation itself causes severe tissue damage and subsequent death in this mouse model of sepsis. Overactivation of the immune system, called a cytokine storm, can be a major cause of mortality in sepsis and viral infection. Thus,

| Disease                        | Therapeutic | Remarks                                      | Model                        | Year | Ref |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------|-----|
| SIV infection                   | CD24-Fc     | SIV-infected rhesus monkeys i.v. injection   | Reduced chronic inflammation | 2018 | [50]|
| COVID-19-associated systemic inflammation | CD24-Fc | Human clinical trial (NCT04317040; 22 patients) | Reduced chronic inflammation | 2022 | [51]|
| COVID-19-associated systemic inflammation | Exo-CD24 | Exosomes loaded with CD24 derived from mouse or human origin | Reduced chronic inflammation | 2022 | [53]|
| Metaflammation and metabolic disorder | CD24-Fc | Obese mice and human clinical trial (NCT02650895, 6–10 subjects) | Reduced inflammatory cytokines | 2022 | [52]|
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limiting inflammation via CD24-Fc-mediated activation of Siglec-10/G can ameliorate the immune mediated damage occurring in response to pathogenic infection.

Metaflammation is the low-level chronic inflammation caused by obesity. It has recently been demonstrated that metaflammation is regulated by interactions of CD24 with Siglec-E. Acting as an innate immune check point inhibitor, CD24 represses the metabolic disorders associated with obesity, including dyslipidemia, insulin resistance and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Similar to the interaction of CD24 with Siglec-G, CD24 promotes the activation of Shp-1 phosphatase by Siglec-E to downregulate immune cell activation. CD24-Fc treatment of mice ameliorated these diet-induced metabolic dysfunctions and decreased expression of inflammatory cytokines. Similarly, the group showed that CD24-Fc decreased expression of inflammatory cytokines in healthy human subjects.

Recently, EVs have been loaded with CD24 by transfecting a HEK-293-derived cell-line (293-TREx™) for human studies or a NIH/3T3 cell line (Expi293™) for mouse studies with plasmids encoding the human or murine CD24, respectively, under control of tetracycline. The resulting EVs, called EXO-CD24, were then administered via inhalation to either mice challenged with LPS or SARS-CoV-2-infected humans. A significant reduction in inflammatory cytokines, including IL-17A, TNF-α, and IL-6 among others, was observed in both situations as well as a reduction in systemic C-reactive protein (CRP). This observed decrease in inflammatory markers supports the idea that EXO-CD24 is mediating a reduction in a cytokine storm response. While EXO-CD24 appeared to be safe in humans, the efficacy of this treatment remains to be determined. In any case, EXO-CD24 is presumably activating the Siglec-10/G inhibitory cascade to block NF-κB activation similar to CD24-Fc. These data further suggest that uncoupling viral clearance response from the DAMP response by activation of Siglec-10/G by EXO-CD24 can potentially reduce the overall degree of the inflammatory cytokine response. This uncoupling could, therefore, reduce mortality from viral infections normally due to cytokine storm; similar to the effects of CD24-Fc.

In cancer, CD24 expression on some tumors serves as a “don’t eat me” signal (ie an immune evasion strategy). Specifically, the presence of CD24 impairs phagocytosis of ovarian and triple negative breast cancer cells by macrophages. In the absence of CD24, macrophage activity caused a significant decrease in tumor volume in vivo. These data suggest that CD24, in concert with Siglec-10, acts as a mechanism to regulate self/non-self-interactions by the innate immune system. It is proposed that interfering with the CD24/Siglec-10 interaction via monoclonal antibody (mAb) treatment may improve the innate immune response to cancer by removing this innate immune checkpoint.

### CD24 in B-Cell Leukemias and Lymphomas

In Canada, leukemia is the most diagnosed cancer in children at 32% but is one of the rarer cancers in adults at 2.4–3.4%. Lymphomas are the third most common cancer in children (13%) following central nervous system cancers (17%) while lymphomas make up approximately 5% of cancers in Canadian adults. Similar prevalence of leukemias and lymphoma exist globally.

Leukemias and lymphomas arise from B cells at many different stages of development (Figure 2). These neoplasms can be classified based on cytomorphological features as well as expression of distinct cell surface receptors linked to the stage from which it derived. Furthermore, molecular characterization of leukemias have been used to further delineate the origin of different leukemias and lymphomas. However, characterization of the leukemic cell of origin is not simple as leukemic cells will continue to acquire additional mutations while it develops. Nevertheless, B cell derived leukemias and lymphomas share many of the same features as the cells they arise from; including susceptibility to pro-apoptotic stimuli and expression of key B cell markers. For example, expression of the pan B-cell marker CD20 on many B cell leukemias and lymphomas has made this a valuable therapeutic target for mAb therapy Rituximab. Activation of pro-apoptotic signaling pathways in response to Rituximab along with activation of complement, recruitment of NK cells to induce antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC), and phagocytosis all contribute to the anti-tumor effects of the mAb therapy. Targeting surface receptors in this manner has the advantage over general chemotherapeutics in that only cells expressing the receptor will be targeted. However, unless the target is only expressed on cancer cells, and not normal cells, both cell types will be affected by the therapy thereby reducing the targeted advantage of the therapy.
CD24 expression on hematological malignancies has been well documented (Table 2). While it is expressed on most subtypes of leukemias and lymphomas, CD24 is notably low or absent in a subset of B-ALL with KMT2A rearrangements. In general, high CD24 expression has been associated with poor prognosis except in the case of multiple myeloma. For chronic lymphocytic leukemia, high CD24 expression was associated with progressive vs stable disease. Even though CD24 has been found to be expressed in most B cell malignancies to date, the protein expression levels do not always differentiate healthy from cancer patients due to high variability in expression. It is possible that combining CD24 expression with expression of other surface antigens will increase the diagnostic or prognostic potential of CD24. However, this remains to be determined in a clinical setting. Interestingly, the presence of CD24 in pediatric B-ALL was associated with sensitivity to radiotherapy, suggesting that CD24 could be used as a prognostic marker if radiotherapy is advised.

### Targeting CD24 for Cancer Treatment

CD24 is highly expressed in multiple tumors and has, thus, been targeted in preclinical trials for lymphoma, as well as lung, colorectal, bladder, pancreatic, ovarian, liver, breast, and neuroendocrine cancers in addition to osteosarcoma and multiple myeloma (Table 3). Similar to CD20 targeting, the anti-CD24 mAb can bind directly and cluster CD24 to induce apoptosis, recruit NK cells via their Fc receptors to induce ADCC, induce complement-mediated cytotoxicity, as well as the recruitment of phagocytes to the tumor cells. In addition, conjugation of a toxin to the mAb can allow for directed interaction and killing of the cancer cell by the toxin. Furthermore, bispecific antibodies targeting both CD24 and the Natural Killer cell receptor, NKG2D, have been shown to enhance ADCC in liver cancer. However, in most studies the mechanism of action has not been directly determined. Nevertheless, all the published data show thus far show that targeting CD24 via mAb in the cancer types tested reduces the growth of cancer cells in animal models (Table 3). With respect to B cell leukemia and lymphoma, naturally EBV-transformed B cells and Burkitt’s lymphoma (BL) have been tested in preclinical animal models. In the EBV-transformed cells, unconjugated mAb was found to improve survival of SCID mice to approximately 40% at...
150 days with treatment by i.v. infusion at days 30–42 days post tumor cell injection.\(^{88}\) In the BL situation, the direct cytotoxic effects of the anti-CD24 SWA11 antibody conjugated to Ricin-A (SWA11.dgA) were shown against BL cell lines.\(^{73}\) Furthermore, injection of SCID mice with disseminated BL disease approximately doubled the tumor-free survival time when injected 4 days after tumor establishment and cured all mice when injected 1 day after tumor establishment.\(^{73}\) To the best of my knowledge, no recent reports on targeting CD24 in leukemia or lymphoma have been published. Therefore, more remains to be done to determine if targeting CD24 is effective in pre-clinical models of B cell leukemia and lymphoma.

The biggest challenge in targeting CD24 as a direct cancer target is that CD24 is also expressed in cells of the immune system and the nervous system (see above). However, the observation that anti-CD24 mAb does not bind to human erythrocytes\(^{14}\) is reassuring as this treatment should, thus, not result in hemolytic anemia in humans. One major limitation of the pre-clinical models tested to date is that they are, necessarily, performed in immunodeficient mice (Table 3). Furthermore, the mAbs used are specific to human CD24 and do not cross-react with murine CD24. Therefore, it is not possible to truly appreciate the potential side-effects of this treatment as the binding of the mAb to CD24 on host immune cells and neuronal cells does not occur in the pre-clinical models used. The most likely side-effects of mAb treatment would be immunosuppression and disruption of cognitive function consistent with inhibition of immune cell development\(^{1,3,13,32}\) and neurogenesis.\(^{89–91}\) Moreover, it has been shown that the lack of CD24 on DCs causes the rapid homeostatic proliferation of T cells when lymphopenia was induced.\(^{92}\) This rapid and massive expansion of T cells

### Table 2 Expression of CD24 in Hematological Cancers

| Tumor Type          | mAb Clone | Observations                                                                 | Year  | Ref       |
|---------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| B-ALL               | BA-1      | Protein expressed on patient samples                                          | 1990  | [102]     |
| B-ALL               | Unknown   | Protein expression detected on pediatric and adult patient samples, as part of biomarker pool to differentiate B type from T-ALL and AML | 1994  | [68]      |
| B-ALL               | ML5       | High mRNA expression associated with B-ALL, particularly with TCF3-PBX1 translocation, protein expression (n=270) not statistically significantly different from healthy donors (n=4) | 2011  | [66]      |
| Burkitt’s lymphoma | SN3       | mRNA expression did not correlate with survival                               | 2022  | [69]      |
| Burkitt’s lymphoma | 32D12     | High mRNA expression                                                          | 2022  | [65]      |
| Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma | n/a | High mRNA expression associated with better response to rituximab plus chemotherapy (R-CHOP) in activated B-cell (ABC) subtype, associated with reduction in cytotoxic immune cell infiltration | 2021  | [64]      |
| Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma | SN3 | mRNA expression did not correlate with survival                               | 2022  | [69]      |
| Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma | 32D12 | High mRNA expression associated with poor prognosis of germinal center B cells (GCB) subtype, enriched in Myc rearrangement, associated with reduction in innate immune cell infiltration | 2022  | [65]      |
| Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma | 32D12 | mRNA expression did not correlate with survival of activated B-cell (ABC) subtype | 2022  | [65]      |
| Follicular lymphoma | SN3       | High mRNA expression correlated with poor survival                           | 2022  | [69]      |
| Mantle cell lymphoma | SN3       | High mRNA expression correlated with poor survival, mAb treatment increased phagocytosis by macrophages in vitro | 2022  | [69]      |
| Multiple myeloma   | ALB9      | High protein expression associated with improved patient outcome; expression highest in relapsed/resistant cells | 2022  | [70]      |

**Abbreviation:** B-ALL, B-acute lymphoblastic leukemia.
causes death of the immunocompetent mice. Therefore, off-target killing of DCs in secondary lymphoid organs upon injection of an anti-CD24 mAb is likely to induce an expansion of T cells that will be severely detrimental to the human host.

In addition, transport of the mAb to the bone marrow via the circulation will cause the killing of developing B cells; resulting in a severe reduction in circulating B cells. Moreover, mAb against CD24 prevents co-stimulation of T cells to further induce immunosuppression. This immunosuppression may be similar to that induced by current chemotherapeutic strategies and could be mitigated by avoidance of pathogenic organisms. Thus, the issue of immunosuppression is much less of a concern than the expansion of T cells covered above.

In cancer treatment, CD24 could also be targeted as an innate immune checkpoint inhibitor to promote phagocytosis of tumor cells by macrophages. The use of innate immune checkpoint inhibitors to target the phagocytic inhibitory ligand CD47, which binds to signal-regulatory protein-α (SIRPα) on macrophages have gone through clinical trials for both solid and hematological cancers. Similarly, targeting the interaction of TIGIT on NK cells or its ligands CD112 (also called PVRL2 and nectin-2) and CD155 (also called PVR) have been tested in clinical trials. However, response

### Table 3 CD24-Targeted mAb-Based Therapeutic Studies Showing a Reduction in Tumor Growth in vivo

| Cancer Type                      | mAb Clone      | Model                                      | Comments                                                                 | Year | Ref |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----|
| EBV-transformed B cells         | IOB3           | SCID mice xenograft                        |                                                                           | 1992 | [88]|
| Small cell lung cancer          | SWA11.dgA      | In vitro cell line, Nude mouse xenograft   | Ricin A-chain immunotoxin conjugate                                       | 1993 | [73]|
| Burkitt’s lymphoma              | SWA11.dgA      | SCID mice xenograft                        | Ricin A-chain immunotoxin conjugate                                       | 1996 | [73]|
| Colorectal                      | SWA11, ML5     | Nude mouse xenograft                       |                                                                           | 2008 | [77]|
| Bladder                         | ALB9           | Nude mouse xenograft                       | Lung metastasis                                                          | 2011 | [79]|
| Colorectal                      | SWA11-ZZ-PE38  | Nude mouse xenograft                       | Pseudomonas exotoxin derivative (PE38) conjugate                         | 2011 | [78]|
| Lung; Pancreatic; ovarian       | SWA11          | Xenografts- SCID/beige; Nod/SCID; CD1      |                                                                           | 2012 | [74]|
| Lung; Ovarian                   | SWA11          | SCID mice xenograft                        | Evidence for immune regulation; combination with gemcitabine             | 2013 | [80]|
| Osteosarcoma                    | ALB9           | Nude mouse xenograft                       |                                                                           | 2014 | [85]|
| Hepatocellular carcinoma        | G7             | Nude mouse xenograft                       | Single-chain antibody fragment (scFv)                                    | 2015 | [81]|
| Lung; Hepatocellular carcinoma; colorectal | G7mAb   | Nude mouse xenograft                       | Combination of cetuximab (anti-EGFR) with G7mAb                         | 2017 | [75]|
| Triple Negative Breast Cancer   | ALB9           | SCID mice xenograft                       | Lung metastasis                                                          | 2019 | [84]|
| Hepatocellular carcinoma        | G7             | Nude mouse xenograft                       | NO donor HL-2 conjugated to anti-CD24 mAb                                | 2019 | [82]|
| Hepatocellular carcinoma        | G7             | Nude mouse xenograft                       | Bispecific mAb fused to MHC class I-related chain A (MICA) NKG2D ligand  | 2019 | [83]|
| Luminal Breast Cancer; pancreatic; pancreatic neuroendocrine; small cell lung cancer; ovarian | SN3           | NSG xenograft                              | Promotes phagocytosis by macrophage by neutralizing CD24-Siglec 10 interaction | 2019 | [14]|
| Multiple myeloma                | SWA11          | NOD-Rag1null xenograft                     | Combination of bortezomib with SWA11                                      | 2020 | [86]|
| Ovarian                         | Unknown        | Nude mouse xenograft                       | Liposomal nanoparticle encapsulated cisplatin                             | 2020 | [103]|

**Abbreviations:** ADCC, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity; mAb, monoclonal antibody.
rates thus far to these checkpoint inhibitors have been variable. Nevertheless, further clinical trials are in progress to test the effectiveness of these and other innate checkpoint inhibitors, often in combination with other treatments.

Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy targeting CD19 has been proven to be very successful in relapsed or refractory B-ALL.\(^9\) Using similar logic, Klapdor et al\(^9\) have generated third generation CD24-CAR NK cells to target ovarian cancer showing that CD24\(^+\) ovarian cell lines and primary tumor cells were selectively killed by the CD24-NK-CAR cells. However, a dual-CAR cell targeting both CD24 and Mesothelin, an ovarian cancer specific antigen, did not enhance killing over the CD24-targeted CAR alone. Thus, CD24-NK-CAR could potentially be trialed against CD24\(^+\) B-cell neoplasms, with the caveat that they may also target normal CD24-expressing cells, similar to the cytotoxic antibody situation described above. Application of bispecific T-cell engagers (BiTEs) may also be an immunotherapeutic strategy to pursue as these molecules can also act to engage CD24-directed T cell-mediated killing of leukemia and lymphomas.\(^9\)

**Future Directions**

While CD24 is a promising target for B-cell leukemias and lymphomas, questions still remain on the viability of this therapy given the expression on many normal cell types. Thus, identification of a therapeutic window may be difficult. The variable glycosylation of CD24 on different cells may be an unexpected benefit to this conundrum. The development of anti-CD24 mAbs that target tumor-specific forms of CD24 would generate the specificity that is needed for high efficacy of this treatment. Multiple versions of anti-CD24 mAb have been generated with some binding only to the carbohydrates (eg BA-1\(^9\) and SN3b\(^10\)) and some to the peptide backbone (eg SWA11\(^11\)). The generation of a mAb with specificity towards the tumor-specific carbohydrate-peptide conjugate may further improve tumor-specific targeting.

Lastly, there has been a lack of pre-clinical in vivo studies directly assessing efficacy of targeting CD24 in B cell leukemias and lymphomas. Even though expression data on CD24 in these cancers is promising, the in vivo data are necessary to move forward with using CD24 as a target in B cell neoplasms. Once these models are tested, further studies on safety will be necessary.

**Conclusion**

Overall, the outlook for CD24 as a target in the treatment of B cell leukemias and lymphomas is promising. However, care will need to be taken to limit or avoid off-target effects on normal CD24-expressing cells.
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