The Influence of Blending Different Molecular Weights of Cellulose Acetate Butyrate for CO₂/N₂ Separation
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ABSTRACT: Public awareness of the environment and legislation has promoted intensive studies on the reduction of greenhouse gases (GHGs) emission. One of the promising breakthroughs discovered was the polymer blend membrane that demonstrated significant carbon dioxide (CO₂)/nitrogen (N₂) separation performance. Instead of other polymers, cellulose acetate butyrate (CAB) polymer was chosen due to its high CO₂ sorption ability. This membrane was prepared by optimising the ratios of the CAB molecular weights (Mn) of 12000, 70000 and 30000 to enhance the CO₂/N₂ separation. The single gas permeation test results showed that the CO₂/N₂ separation performance had increased from 0.7396 ± 0.0396 (M3) to 1.8986 ± 0.0195 (M6) due to the presence of the hydroxyl (OH) group in the membrane. In this study, the newly fabricated polymer blend membrane demonstrated an improvement in the CO₂/N₂ separation performance. Therefore, this membrane qualifies to be applied in the industry.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

The effects of global warming caused by the emission of greenhouse gases (GHGs) have been categorised as one of the most important issues since the Industrial Revolution.\(^1\) It is widely known that the application of alternative energy sources such as renewable energy and nuclear power is an option for reducing GHGs. However, until sufficient research studies prove that these methods are able to generate significant amount of energy, conventional combustion of fossil fuels is likely to continue.\(^2\) In order to reduce the global warming effects, it is necessary to perform carbon dioxide (CO\(_2\)) separation from flue gas since CO\(_2\) dominates 77% of the total emission of GHGs.\(^3\)

In recent years, membrane technology has drawn much attention because of high performance and high selectivity.\(^4\) The technology inherits numerous benefits like low energy, low capital costs, environmental friendly materials and modular designs that allow further expansion effortlessly.\(^5\) One of the materials utilised is cellulose acetate butyrate (CAB).\(^6\) It has been tested and proven to be an excellent material for CO\(_2\) separation because of its high tolerance to chemicals.\(^7\) Moreover, it is easy to modify its structure for high selectivity characteristics.\(^8\) In addition, Shanbhag et al. reported that the CAB membrane surpassed the results when compared to the standard CA membrane for membrane stability and drying time requirements.\(^9\)

However, one of the most important limitations of the polymeric membrane is the challenge in achieving high permeability and high selectivity at the same time due to the morphology of the fabricated membrane.\(^10\) Therefore, it has become relatively important to control its morphology and one of the methods employed is by controlling the polymer molecular weight (Mn) when preparing the casting solution.\(^11\) According to Kee and Idris, the pore diameter, the effective surface porosity and permeability of the membrane exhibits an equal increment when the Mn of the polymer in the casting solution increases.\(^12\) In addition, when a higher Mn polymer is present in the casting solution, it promotes a lower diffusion rate, which encourages the establishment of a thinner membrane with lower resistance to gas permeation.\(^13\) A major drawback of a polymer blend membrane is the difficulty in establishing an optimum ratio when its hydrophilic characteristic is modified.\(^14\)

Based on this, the aim of this study is to fabricate a polymer blend membrane by optimising the polymer’s Mn. Over the decades, numerous studies and researches have been undertaken to demonstrate the advantages of the CAB membrane in the gas separation field as well as separation of CO\(_2\) from flue gas due to its high emission since the industrial revolution.\(^15\) Nevertheless, no studies have been conducted to evaluate the effect of blending different ratios of the CAB polymer
with Mn of 12000, 70000 and 30000 with the polar acetyl group of 16–19 wt%, 12–15 wt% and 12–15 wt%, respectively, on the separation of the non-polar CO₂/N₂. It is believed that this work will contribute significantly towards the environment with its high permeation rate, high selectivity, energy efficient and low-cost characteristics.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Materials

CAB of different Mn of 12000, 70000 and 30000 was acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (Malaysia). The solvent chloroforms (≥99.7%) of 2-isopropyl alcohol and n-hexane were purchased from Merck (Malaysia).

2.2 Fabrication of CAB Membrane

The CAB membrane (M1) was fabricated by preparing the casting solution from 4 wt% of the CAB polymer of different Mn of 12000 and 70000 at a ratio of 1:2 and 96% of chloroform. The solution was then stirred for 24 h. After the stirring process, the mixture was sonicated for 20 min to remove any bubble present in the solution in order to develop a neat membrane with smooth surface. The methodology continued with the membrane casting process by controlling the casting thickness at 250 μm with the assistance of a casting machine. Next, solvent evaporation was performed on the membrane for 5 min under room temperature conditions. Subsequently, the cast membrane was immersed in distilled water at room temperature for 24 h. The goal was to eliminate all the residual solvent. With regards to the membrane drying process, the solvent exchange drying method was applied by using 2-isopropyl alcohol as the first solvent for 30 min followed by n-hexane as the second solvent for 60 min. Lastly, the fabricated membrane was left to dry for 24 h by evaporation under room temperature to remove any volatile liquid.

2.3 Effect of Polymer Molecular Weight

In this research study, the casting solution was prepared by using different ratios for Mn 12000 and 70000 and thus, obtaining the best solvent exchange time. This is tabulated in Table 1.
2.4 Effect of Low Mn (30000) Polymer Blend

The polymer of Mn 30000 was added to the polymer matrix M3 of Mn 12000 and 70000 at a ratio of 1:2, as tabulated in Table 1.

Table 1. The composition prepared for membrane fabrication with various polymer concentration.

| Membrane samples | CAB (wt%) | Chloroform solvent concentration (wt%) | 2-isopropyl alcohol exchange time (min) | n-hexane exchange time (min) | Membrane casting thickness (mm) | Evaporation time (min) |
|------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
|                  | 12000     | 70000                                  | 30000                                  |                            |                               |                        |
| M1               | 2         | 1                                     | 0                                      | 96                         | 60                            | 60                     | 96                     |
| M2               | 1         | 1                                     | 0                                      | 96                         | 60                            | 60                     | 96                     |
| M3               | 1         | 2                                     | 0                                      | 96                         | 60                            | 60                     | 96                     |
| M4               | 1         | 3                                     | 0                                      | 96                         | 60                            | 60                     | 96                     |
| M5               | 1         | 2                                     | 1                                      | 96                         | 60                            | 60                     | 96                     |
| M6               | 1         | 2                                     | 2                                      | 96                         | 60                            | 60                     | 96                     |

2.5 Gas Permeation Test

The gas permeation test of the membrane was carried out with purified CO$_2$ and N$_2$ gases at room temperature conditions. The flow rate of the feed (CO$_2$ or N$_2$) was controlled in order to release at a rate of 100 ml min$^{-1}$ from a compressed gas cylinder with the aid of a mass flow controller (Aalborg AFC 26, United States). At the same time, the controller was linked to a two-channel digital set point/readout unit device (Aalborg 0–200 ml min$^{-1}$, United States). The appointed gas was thereafter injected into the stainless-steel membrane cell with pressure ranging from 1 bar to 3 bars.

To ensure that the results were precise and accurate, several steps were taken during preparation and membrane testing. This included cutting the membrane into the shape of a disc and subsequently, placing it on top of the membrane cell and covering it tightly. In addition, leak detection analysis was carried out to ensure that no circumstance of leakage occurred during the test. The procedure of measuring the flow rate of permeation and retentate was conducted by the assistance of a soap bubble flow meter that measures each volume displacement individually. Figure 1 shows the schematic diagram of an experimental rig set-up.
Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the experiment test rig.

The performance of gas separation in terms of gas permeability and selectivity were determined by the application of various dedicated formulas as shown in the subsequent discussion.

The membrane presence \( \frac{P}{l} \) expressed in GPU was determined by applying Equation 1 below into the calculation.\(^{20}\)

\[
\frac{P}{l} = \frac{Q}{A \Delta p}
\] (1)

where:
\( l \) = The membrane thickness (cm)
\( A \) = Effective membrane area (cm\(^2\))
\( Q \) = The volumetric flow rate at standard condition (cm\(^3\)/s)
\( \Delta p \) = The pressure drop across the membrane (cmHg)
1 GPU = \([1 \times 10^{-6} \text{cm}^3\text{(STP)}/\text{cm}^2\text{scmHg}]\)

Secondly, the calculation of the ratio of permeability for CO\(_2\)/N\(_2\) was obtained by the application of Equation 2.\(^{21}\)

\[
\alpha_{CO_2/N_2} = \frac{P_{CO_2}}{l_{N_2}} = \frac{(P/l)_{CO_2}}{(P/l)_{N_2}}
\] (2)
2.6 Membrane Characterisation

2.6.1 ATR-FTIR

The Attenuated Total Reflectance Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) was utilised in this study with the aid of the NicoletIS10 (United States) spectrometer having specifications that ranged from 400 cm\(^{-1}\) to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) across the diamond crystal. The information on background room condition was recorded in advance before collecting the wavelengths of the samples. The process was repeated three times to obtain the average for each sample.

2.6.2 Membrane Contact Angle

To obtain the wettability of each membrane sample, the Rame-Hart Model 3000 Advanced Goniometer was utilised in this study. The recorded angles were employed to analyse the membrane properties pertaining to liquid interaction and repulsion forces among its interfacial properties. Ten measurements were obtained from each sample to ensure preciseness of data.

2.6.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy

The scanning electron microscope (SEM, Hitachi TM30000, Tokyo, Japan) was used to obtain the morphology of the CAB membrane. At the beginning of the process, the CAB membrane specimen was fractured into smaller pieces followed by freezing at a temperature of \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) in the cryogenic freezer for 24 h. This was to ensure that the consistency and clean crack of the membrane could be achieved. This process was continued by coating the cracked specimen with platinum in order to generate high contrast images. The minimum number of samples required to produce genuine consistent results was decided at five.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of CAB Molecular Weight

According to Chakarabarty et al., the morphology of a membrane is highly dependent on the Mn available in the casting solution due to variation in the diffusion rate that affects the membrane thickness.\(^1\) Therefore, it is relatively important to obtain the optimum ratio of different Mn of the CAB polymer in order to fabricate a high CO\(_2\)/N\(_2\) permeance and selectivity membrane. Thus, the CAB of Mn 12000 was first optimised, followed by optimisation of the CAB of Mn 70000 with solvent exchange time of 60 min for 2-isopropyl and 60 min for n-hexane.
3.1.1 Membrane characterisation

Both the surface and cross-sectional morphologies of the fabricated CAB membrane of Mn 12000 and 70000 at ratios of 2:1 (M1), 1:1 (M2), 1:2 (M3) and 1:3 (M4) are demonstrated in Figure 2. Based on Figures 2(a, c, e), the surface structure of the membrane was rough when the Mn of the CAB was at 12000 but smooth and defect-free when the Mn of the CAB was higher, i.e., at 70000. The rough surfaces formed on M1 and M2 were due to the increase in the hydrophobicity of the membranes, as displayed in Figure 3. The hydrophobic membrane surface reduces the ability of hydrogen to bond to form water, which affects the surface morphology. Based on Figure 3, the contact angle increased from 68.0° (M2) to 69.2° (M1) when the ratio of Mn 12000 was increased from 1 to 2.

On the other hand, the cross-sectional morphology of the membranes was exhibited in Figures 2(b, d, f and h). As indicated in Figures 2(b and d), the membrane thickness increased from 21.75 ± 0.0216 µm (M2) to 31.49 ± 0.0542 µm (M1) when the ratio of Mn 12000 increased from 1 (M2) to 2 (M1) in the casting solution. This was because the membrane cast with the lower Mn of 12000 had a low propensity of shrinking.12 However, the membrane displayed a significant reduction in thickness from 31.49 ± 0.0542 µm (M1), 21.75 ± 0.0216 µm (M2) to 9.80 ± 0.0399 µm (M3) when the ratio of the higher Mn of 70000 was increased from 1 (M2) to 2 (M3). The higher Mn of 70000 had a lower diffusion rate as compared to the lower Mn of 12000. Therefore, when the cast membrane was in contact with water, the lower Mn of 12000 with higher diffusion rate flowed out from the matrix rapidly causing the higher Mn of 70000 to aggregate.13 As a result, a thinner membrane was formed, shown in Figure 2(f). Additionally, the higher Mn of 70000 (M4) had the propensity of shrinking during fabrication due to its higher compact structure.12 Thus, M4 had a thickness of 13.39 ± 0.2098 µm.

3.1.2 Separation performance of the membranes

3.1.2.1 Single gas permeation test of CO₂

The fabricated membranes M1, M2, M3 and M4 were tested using the single gas permeation test of CO₂. Based on Figure 4, when the ratio of the lower Mn of CAB at 12000 increased from 1 (M2) to 2 (M1), the CO₂ permeance of the membranes decreased significantly from 29.81 ± 1.3203 GPU (M2) to 17.32 ± 1.1039 GPU (M1). However, the thickness increased from 21.75 ± 0.0216 µm (M2) to 31.49 ± 0.0542 µm (M1). This is shown in Figure 2.
Figure 2: SEM images of CAB membrane surface and cross sectional structure fabricated from various ratio of CAB of Mn 12000 and 70000; (a, b) 2:1 (M1), (c, d) 1:1 (M2), (e, f) 1:2 (M3) and (g, h) 1:3 (M4) with the 250 µm casting thickness, 5 min solvent evaporation time, 4 wt% polymer concentration and 60 min 2-isopropyl alcohol exchange drying time, followed by 60 min n-hexane drying time.
On the other hand, when the ratio of the higher Mn of CAB at 70000 increased from 1 (M2) to 2 (M3) the CO$_2$ permeance increased dramatically from 29.81 ± 1.3203 GPU (M2) to 61.04 ± 1.0288 GPU. The high permeance of CO$_2$ for M3 was due to the higher surface hydrophilicity. This is demonstrated in Figure 3. Based on this figure, when the Mn of 70000 was increased to the ratio of 2 (M3), a more hydrophilic membrane was formed where M3 displayed the lowest contact angle with a value of 67.8° when compared to M2 and M1, which had higher contact angles of 68.0° and 69.2°, respectively. The reason for the reduction in the value of the contact angle when the ratio of the CAB of Mn 70000 increased was due to the extra hydroxyl (-OH) present in the polymer. This is shown in the higher stretching vibrations of the FTIR in Figure 5 where the hydrophilicity of the membrane surface is enhanced. According to a study, the -OH functional group increases the hydrophilicity of the membrane surface. Thus, the CO$_2$ permeance of M3 increased. The increase was attributed mainly to the availability of the polar functional groups (-OH), which have the potential to react with the non-polar CO$_2$ gas. On the contrary, when the ratio of the CAB of Mn 70000 was increased to 3 (M4), the CO$_2$ permeance decreased dramatically to 19.15 ± 1.6949 GPU resulting in the increase of the membrane thickness from 9.80 ± 0.0399 µm (M3) to 13.39 ± 0.2098 µm (M4), as shown in Figure 2. This is because the permeance of a membrane is inversely proportional to its cross-sectional thickness.

![Figure 3: Contact angle of CAB membrane fabricated from various ratios of CAB of Mn 12000 and 70000, M1 (2:1), M2 (1:1) and M3 (1:2), with the 250 mm casting thickness, 5 min solvent evaporation time, 4 wt% polymer concentration and 60 min 2-isopropyl alcohol exchange drying time, followed by 60 min n-hexane drying time.](image-url)
Figure 4: CO₂ permeance of CAB membrane fabricated from various ratio of CAB of Mn 12000 and 70000, M1 (2:1), M2(1:1), M3 (1:2) and M4 (1:3) with the 250 µm casting thickness, 5 min solvent evaporation time, 4 wt% polymer concentration and 60 min 2-isopropyl alcohol exchange drying time, followed by 60 min n-hexane drying time.

Figure 5: ATR-FTIR spectra of selected CAB membrane M3 (1:2) and M2 (1:1) fabricated from CAB of Mn of 12000 and 70000, with the 250 µm casting thickness, 5 min solvent evaporation time, 4 wt% polymer concentration and 60 min 2-isopropyl alcohol exchange drying time, followed by 60 min n-hexane drying time.
3.1.2.2 Single gas permeation test of $\text{N}_2$

Similarly, the membranes were evaluated using the single gas permeation test of $\text{N}_2$. Based on Figure 6, the $\text{N}_2$ permeance decreased from $38.36 \pm 0.6954$ GPU to $23.99 \pm 0.7500$ GPU when the ratio of the Mn of 12000 increased from 1 (M2) to 2 (M1) causing the membrane thickness to increase from $21.75 \pm 0.0216 \mu\text{m}$ (M2) to $31.49 \pm 0.0542 \mu\text{m}$ (M1). This is presented in Figure 2.

![Figure 6: $\text{N}_2$ permeance of CAB membrane fabricated from various ratio of CAB of Mn 12000 and 70000, M1 (2:1), M2 (1:1), M3 (1:2) and M4 (1:3), with the 250 $\mu$m casting thickness, 5 min solvent evaporation time, 4 wt% polymer concentration and 60 min 2-isopropyl alcohol exchange drying time, followed by 60 min n-hexane drying time.](image)

On the other hand, when the ratio of the Mn of 70000 was increased from 1 (M2) to 2 (M3), the $\text{N}_2$ permeance showed a notable increase from $38.36 \pm 0.6954$ GPU to $66.49 \pm 0.8172$ GPU. The high $\text{N}_2$ gas permeance achieved for M3 was due principally to the occurrence of blending of the higher Mn of CAB at 70000 into the casting solution resulting in the formation of a thinner membrane. This is discussed in Figures 2(b, d, f and h). These results agreed with Du et al., who stated that the permeance of a membrane is inversely proportional to its cross-sectional thickness.\textsuperscript{24} Therefore, thinner membrane promotes an easier pathway as well as lower resistance for the gases to go through more rapidly.\textsuperscript{25} Thus, when the ratio of the Mn of 70000 increased to 3 (M4), the $\text{N}_2$ permeance significantly reduced to $25.70 \pm 0.9598$ GPU. This was due to the formation of a thicker membrane of $13.39 \pm 0.2098 \mu\text{m}$ (M4) as compared to $9.80 \pm 0.0399 \mu\text{m}$ (M3).
3.1.2.3 Separation performance of CO$_2$/N$_2$

Figure 7 demonstrates the CO$_2$/N$_2$ separation performance for the membranes M1, M2, M3 and M4. According to this figure, the selectivity of CO$_2$/N$_2$ across the membrane increased from 0.8447 ± 0.0110 to 0.9186 ± 0.0113 when the ratio of Mn 70000 was increased from 1 (M2) to 2 (M3). The high CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity obtained for M3 was due to the presence of the polar functional group (-OH) at the ratio of 1:2 for Mn 12000 and 70000, respectively. Based on Figure 5, M3 had a higher polar functional group (-OH) compared with M2. With the presence of this functional group, the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity was enhanced. Further, Zhang et al. proved that the functional groups demonstrated in the CAB polymer were highly interactive toward CO$_2$ because it was one of the gas-responsive polymers.$^{26}$

As illustrated in Figure 8, when M3 was prepared with Mn of 12000 and 70000 at a ratio of 1:2, it proved in having the best CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity (0.9186 ± 0.0113) compared with the other membranes (M1, M2 and M4). Figure 5 shows the high CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity attributed to the Mn of 70000, which contained a higher OH group. The OH group increased the membrane surface hydrophilicity from 69.2° (M1) to 67.8° (M3) that were more favourable to the non-polar molecules of CO$_2$. $^{27}$ Thus, the permeance of CO$_2$ and the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity were further enhanced.
3.2 Effects of CAB of Mn of 30000 Polymer Blends

In order to enhance the performance of the CAB membrane, the best membrane (M3) was fabricated at the ratio of 1:2 and the Mn of 12000 and 70000 was further optimised with additional CAB of Mn 30000 at various ratios of 1:2:1 (M5) and 1:2:2 (M6) of Mn 12000, 70000 and 30000, respectively.

3.2.1 Membrane characterisation

The surface and cross-sectional morphologies of the cast membranes M5 (1:2:1) and M6 (1:2:2) are demonstrated in Figure 9. According to Figures 9(a, c), a rough surface formed when the ratio of Mn 30000 increased from 1 (M5) to 2 (M6) resulting in the increase of the membrane hydrophilicity when synthesised with Mn of 30000. This is displayed in Figure 10. As seen from this Figure, the contact angle reduced from $80.1^\circ$ (M5) to $70.0^\circ \pm 0.0200$ (M6). Du et al. proved that as the hydrophilicity of the membrane increased, a rougher membrane surface was formed because of higher surface tension and the ability of forming hydrogen bond with water. As a result, this affected the surface morphology.28

Figure 8: CO\textsubscript{2} permeance and N\textsubscript{2} permeance (left) and selectivity of CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} (right) CAB membrane fabricated from various ratio of CAB Mn (12000 and 70000) M1 (2:1), M2 (1:1), M3 (1:2) and M4 (1:3) with 250 µm casting thickness, 5 min solvent evaporation time, 4 wt% polymer concentration and 60 min 2-isopropyl alcohol exchange drying time, followed by 60 min n-hexane drying time.
On the other hand, Figures 9(b and d) show that the membrane thickness decreased from $9.21 \pm 0.0428 \, \mu m$ (M5) to $8.23 \pm 0.0245 \, \mu m$ (M6) when the ratio of Mn 30000 increased from 1 (M5) to 2 (M6) in the casting solution. This result was due to the varying diffusion rates between Mn 12000, 70000 and 30000.\textsuperscript{29} The higher ratios of Mn 30000 and 70000 had a relatively lower diffusion rate when compared to the lower ratio of Mn 12000. Therefore, during immersion in water the lower Mn of 12000 flowed out first due to the higher diffusion rate instead of the higher CAB of Mn 30000 and 70000.\textsuperscript{13} In addition, the higher Mn of 70000 and 30000 had a higher propensity of shrinking when fabricated.\textsuperscript{12} Thus, membrane M6, which was fabricated at a higher concentration of Mn 70000 and 30000 formed a thinner membrane ($8.23 \pm 0.0245 \, \mu m$) in comparison to M5 ($9.21 \pm 0.0428 \, \mu m$) and M3 ($9.80 \pm 0.0399 \, \mu m$).

![Figure 9](image_url)

Figure 9: SEM images of CAB membrane surface and cross sectional structure fabricated from various ratios of CAB Mn (12000, 70000 and 30000), M5 (1:2:1), M6 (1:2:2) with the 250 μm casting thickness, 5 min solvent evaporation time, 4 wt% polymer concentration and 60 min 2-isopropyl alcohol exchange drying time, followed by 60 min n-hexane drying time.
3.2.2 Separation performance of the membrane

3.2.2.1 Single gas permeation test of CO₂

The results obtained from the single gas permeance test of CO₂ for M5 (1:2:1) and M6 (1:2:2) were then compared with M3. This is demonstrated in Figure 11. Based on this figure, the CO₂ permeance increased with the addition of Mn 30000 into the polymer matrix of M3. Figure 11 also shows that the highest CO₂ permeance was 262.58 ± 1.2138 GPU for M6 (1:2:2), which was 5 times higher than the CO₂ permeance of M3 (61.04 ± 1.0288 GPU). The increase was due to reduction in the membrane thickness from 9.80 ± 0.0399 µm (M3, Figure 2(d)) to 8.23 ± 0.0254 µm (M6, Figure 9(f)) when the ratio of Mn 30000 increased to 2 (M6). Further, when the ratio of Mn 30000 increased from 0 (M3) to 2 (M6), the stretching of the polar groups (-OH) increased, as presented in the ATR-FTIR spectroscopy (Figure 12). Hence, the CO₂ permeance increased because of the high interaction between the polar group (-OH) and the non-polar CO₂.
Figure 11: CO₂ permeance of CAB membrane fabricated at Mn of 12000, 70000 and 30000, 1:2:1 (M5), 1:2:2 (M6) and M3 (1:2) with the 250 µm casting thickness, 5 min solvent evaporation time, 4 wt% polymer concentration and 60 min 2-isopropyl alcohol exchange drying time, followed by 60 min n-hexane drying time.

Figure 12: ATR-FTIR spectra of CAB membrane fabricated at Mn of 12000, 70000 and 30000, 1:2:2 (M6) and M3 (1:2), with the 250 µm casting thickness, 5 min solvent evaporation time, 4 wt% polymer concentration and 60 min 2-isopropyl alcohol exchanges drying time, followed by 60 min n-hexane drying time.
3.2.2.2 Single gas permeation test of \(N_2\)

As displayed in Figure 13, the \(N_2\) permeance increased from \(66.49 \pm 1.3390\) GPU to \(178.25 \pm 3.6033\) GPU when the ratio of \(Mn\) 30000 increased from 0 (M3) to 1 (M5). This was due to the formation of a thinner membrane that resulted in lower resistance to gas permeation. On the contrary, when the ratio of \(Mn\) 30000 was increased to 2 (M6) the \(N_2\) permeance showed gradual reduction to \(138.36 \pm 1.5479\) GPU. This is presented in Figure 13. In addition, this reduction might be attributed to the increase in the carbonyl (C=O) groups as demonstrated in Figure 14, that are competent to interact with the \(N_2\) molecules by its \(\pi\)-electron system.\(^{16}\) Thus, this strengthened the intermolecular interactive force between \(N_2\) molecules and \(C=O\) group that indicate a greater mass transfer resistant that reduced the \(N_2\) gas permeance through the membrane.\(^{16}\)

![Figure 13: \(N_2\) permeance of CAB membrane fabricated at \(Mn\) of 12000, 70000 and 30000, 1:2:1 (M5), 1:2:2 (M6) and M3 (1:2), with the 250 µm casting thickness, 5 min solvent evaporation time, 4 wt% polymer concentration and 60 min 2-isopropyl alcohol exchange drying time, followed by 60 min n-hexane drying time.](image-url)
3.2.2.3 Separation performance of CO$_2$/N$_2$

The optimisation of the blended ratios of CAB of Mn 30000 into the best membrane that was obtained upon the optimisation of CAB of Mn 12000 and 70000 proved that the separation performance successfully improved. The CO$_2$ permeance of the membrane upon increasing the Mn of CAB to 30000 in the polymer blend, dramatically increased from 61.04 ± 1.0288 GPU (M3) to 262.58 ± 1.2138 GPU (M6). As exhibited in Figure 15, this resulted in the enhancement of CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity from 0.9186 ± 0.0113 (M3) to 1.8986 ± 0.0195 (M6). This was due to the increase in the surface hydrophilicity of M6 that attracted the non-polar molecules of CO$_2$, as proven by the contact angle results (Figure 10), which reduced from 80.1° (M5) to 70.0° ± 0.0200 (M6). In addition, the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity further improved when the ratio of Mn 30000 increased from 0 (M3) to 2 (M6) thereby, increasing the polar functional group (-OH) of the membrane (Figure 12). Eventually, the attraction between CO$_2$ and the membrane improved.

Noticeably, the blending of Mn 30000 within CAB membrane structure had improved the CO$_2$ permeance of the present work as compared to other research works with the same casting conditions (Table 2). According to this table, the
membrane fabricated with CAB of Mn 30000 at ratio of 2 showed the highest permeance of CO₂. This might be due to the enhanced surface hydrophilicity that attracted non-polar molecules CO₂, as discussed previously in Figure 10.

![Figure 15: CO₂ permeance and N₂ permeance (left) and selectivity of CO₂/N₂ (right) of CAB membrane fabricated at Mn of 12000, 70000 and 30000 1:2:1 (M5), 1:2:2 (M6) and M3 (1:2), with the 250 μm casting thickness, 5 min solvent evaporation time, 4 wt% polymer concentration and 60 min 2-isopropyl alcohol exchange drying time, followed by 60 min n-hexane drying time.](image)

| References | CAB (wt%) (Mn) | Permeability (GPU) | Selectivity |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Present work | 12000 70000 65000 30000 | CO₂ | N₂ | CO₂/N₂ |
| 1 2 0 0 | 61.04 | 66.49 | 0.7396 | (−76.75%) | (−51.95%) | (−51.62%) |
| 1 2 0 1 | 223.38 | 178.25 | 1.2529 | (265.95%) | (168.09%) | (36.39%) |
| 1 2 0 2 | 262.58 | 138.36 | 1.8986 | (330.16%) | (108.10%) | (106.68%) |
| Cha et al.¹⁶ | 1 0 1 0 | 106.87 | 15.31 | 6.982 | (−55.77%) | (−51.80%) |
| Lee et al.¹⁹ | 1 1 1 0 | 205.09 | 249.34 | 0.84 | (10.63%) | (100.61%) |
| Lee et al.¹⁰ | 0 1 0 0 | 40.51 | 119.20 | 2.94 | (−62.83%) | (−73.17%) |
4. CONCLUSION

In this study, the CAB membranes with different molecular weights (Mn) were successfully fabricated by optimising the CAB polymer blends with Mn of 12000, 70000 and 30000. The results showed that the Mn of CAB significantly affected the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity of the membrane. When the CAB blend ratio of Mn 70000:12000 increased from 2:1 (M1) to 1:2 (M3), the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity enhanced from 0.7396 ± 0.0396 (M1) to 0.9186 ± 0.0113 (M3) due to the presence of the higher hydroxyl group (-OH) that attracted the non-polar CO$_2$. However, on further increasing the ratio to 1:3 (M4) the separation performance showed insignificant changes due to long plugging effect by the long chain polymer. To enhance the separation performance, M3 (1:2) was optimised by adding CAB of Mn 30000 into the polymer blend. When CAB of Mn 30000 was increased from the ratio of 0 (M3) to 2 (M6), the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity increased significantly from 0.9186 ± 0.0113 (M3) to 1.8986 ± 0.0195 (M6), resulting in a higher CO$_2$ permeance of 262.58 ± 1.2138 GPU as compared to 61.04 ± 1.0288 GPU (M3). This was mainly due to the thinner membrane fabricated for M6 (8.23 ± 0.0245 µm) and M3 (9.80 ± 0.0399 µm). In summary, different molecular weights of CAB influences the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity and permeance of the membrane significantly.
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