Three-body correlations in Borromean halo nuclei
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Three-body correlations in the dissociation of two-neutron halo nuclei are explored using a technique based on intensity interferometry and Dalitz plots. This provides for the combined treatment of both the n-n and core-n interactions in the exit channel. As an example, the breakup of $^{14}\text{Be}$ into $^{12}\text{Be}+n+n$ by Pb and C targets has been analysed and the halo n-n separation extracted. A finite delay between the emission of the neutrons in the reaction on the C target was observed and is attributed to $^{13}\text{Be}$ resonances populated in sequential breakup.

The quest for the drip-lines, which define the limits of binding for nuclear systems, has only been attained for light nuclei. As such, these nuclei are unique in displaying the manner in which nucleons bind in an A nucleon system from the most neutron deficient to the most neutron rich. Clustering phenomena, observed for example recently in excited states close to threshold, appear as haloes in ground states near the neutron drip-line. The most intriguing manifestation of clustering are the Borromean two-neutron halo nuclei (6He, 11Li and 14Be), in which the two-body subsystems are unbound beyond the global properties, such as the abnormal sizes or the low momentum content of the constituents, the experimental challenge lies in determining the structure of these three-body systems.

The dissociation in the field of a target nucleus, followed by the measurement of the momenta of the fragments (core+n+n), has been used in attempts to probe correlations in two-neutron halo nuclei. Vestiges, however, of the two-body forces that stabilise the projectile in the ground state may affect the three-particle decay in the form of final-state interactions (FSI). Experimentally, beyond the reconstruction of the core+2n invariant mass, the analyses so far reported have been restricted to the binary channels. The relative energy in the core+n channel has been used, for example, to probe the formation of core+n resonances. The n-n observables, which should provide access to correlations within the halo, have often been compared only to simplified interpretations, such as a di-neutron configuration or three-body phase space, neglecting the n-n FSI.

In the present paper the three-body correlations in the dissociation of two-neutron halo nuclei are explored. In particular, a new method for analysing triple coincidence events (core+n+n) from kinematically complete experiments is described. The method incorporates the techniques of intensity interferometry and Dalitz plots and permits the halo n-n separation and time delay between the emission of the two neutrons to be derived. As will be seen, the latter is related to the presence of core-n FSI in the exit channel. In principle, the present approach also allows the energies and lifetimes of these resonances (or virtual states if $t=0$) to be derived.

When neutrons are emitted in close proximity in space-
time, the wave function of relative motion is modified by the known FSI and quantum statistical symmetries [1]. Two-neutron intensity interferometry, and in particular the correlation function \( C_{nn} \), relates this modification to the space-time separation of the particles at emission:
\[
C_{nn}(p_1, p_2) = \frac{d^2n/dp_1 dp_2}{(dp_1/dn)} (dp_2/dn) \quad (1)
\]
The numerator is the measured two-particle distribution and the denominator the product of the independent single-particle distributions [3,4], which are commonly projected onto one dimension, the relative momentum \( q = \|\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2\| \). In an earlier paper [4], the correlation function was extracted for the dissociation of \(^{14}\text{Be}\) by Pb with the aim of probing the spatial configuration of the halo neutrons. The analysis followed the formalism of Ref. [3] and assumed that the neutrons were emitted simultaneously following dissociation in the Coulomb field of the target. A \( n-n \) separation of \( r_{nn}^{\text{rms}} = 5.6 \pm 1.0 \text{ fm} \) was thus obtained.

The same analysis has been applied to dissociation of \(^{14}\text{Be}\) by a C target, in order to investigate the influence of the reaction mechanism [16]. The \( n-n \) separation obtained was somewhat larger, \( r_{nn}^{\text{rms}} = 7.6 \pm 1.7 \text{ fm} \). This raises the question as to whether simultaneous emission can be assumed a priori. In principle, the analysis of the correlation function in two dimensions, transverse and parallel to the total momentum of the pair, would allow for the unfolding of the source size and lifetime [3,4]. Such an analysis requires a large data set and was thus not applicable to the present measurements. The system being studied here is far less complex, however, than those usually encountered in interferometry (for example compound nuclei evaporating particles or systems of colliding heavy ions [11]). Moreover, the simple three-body nature of the system breaking up suggests immediately that any delay in the emission of one of the neutrons will arise from core-\( n \) FSI/resonances in the exit channel (Fig. [1]). As will be seen later, the degree to which such resonances are present may depend on the reaction mechanism.

The data examined here were acquired from the dissociation of a 35 MeV/N \(^{14}\text{Be}\) beam into \(^{12}\text{Be}+n+n\) by Pb and C targets. Details of the experiment and previous analyses have been reported elsewhere [14,17]. Each event was reconstructed from the momenta \( \vec{p}_{1,2} \) of the neutrons measured using the DEMON array [18] as follows: (i) we calculate the average velocity \( \beta \) of the core+\( n+n \) frame at dissociation as that for which the mean for all events of the total neutron momentum along the beam axis \( (\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_2) \) is 0 and the average decay energy of the system \( E_d \) (see below) is a minimum; (ii) in this frame, momentum conservation is applied event-by-event to reconstruct the core momentum \( \vec{p}_3 = -(\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_2) \). From the four-momenta of the three particles we calculate the total energy available in the center-of-mass of the system and extract the kinetic part, the decay energy:
\[
E_d = \sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{3} m_i} \quad (2)
\]
Note that in the present analysis the decay has been reconstructed from only the neutron momenta \( \vec{p}_{1,2} \). The use of this reconstruction algorithm instead of the more classical analysis employing the core momentum \( \vec{p}_3 \) measured in the charged-particle telescope eliminates the limited energy and position resolution of this detector and the uncertainty related to the depth within the target at which the reaction took place. Importantly, the form of the spectra obtained here for the \(^{14}\text{Be}\) and core-\( n \) invariant masses agree well with those obtained using the core momentum [7,14].

An interacting phase-space model has been developed for the analysis of triple correlations in the data. In brief, the experimental decay energy distribution is used as input to generate events \( \vec{p}_{1,2,3}(E_d) \) following three-body phase space [20]. The core-\( n \) resonances are introduced following the sequential breakup of the system (Fig. [1]) into one neutron and the core-\( n \) resonance with a relative energy \( E_{23} \) given by a Breit-Wigner distribution \( (E_{0}, \Gamma) \); the resonance is then allowed to decay into the core plus neutron. In the \( n-n \) channel, the FSI is introduced via a probability \( P(|\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2|) \) to accept the event following the form of the measured \( n-n \) correlation function [4,16]. The final momenta \( \vec{p}_{1,2,3} \) are filtered through a simulation including all experimental effects [14,18] and the reconstruction algorithm described above is applied to \( \vec{p}_{1,2} \).

Correlations in three-particle decays have been extensively studied in particle physics by means of Dalitz plots of the particle energies \( (E_i, E_j) \) or the squared invariant masses of particle pairs \( (M_{ij}^2, M_{ik}^2) \), with \( M_{ij}^2 = (p_i + p_j)^2 \). In these representations, FSI/resonances lead to a non-uniform population of the surface within the kinematic boundary defined by energy-momentum conservation and the decay energy [13]. The classic example of such an analysis is the three-body decay of an unstable particle [21]. In the present case, the core+\( n+n \) system exhibits a distribution of decay energies. Consequently, the value
of $E_d$ associated with each event will lead to a different boundary for the Dalitz plot, and the resulting plot containing all events cannot be easily interpreted. We have thus introduced a normalized invariant mass:

$$m_{ij}^2 = \frac{M_{ij}^2 - (m_i + m_j)^2}{(m_i + m_j + E_d)^2 - (m_i + m_j)^2}$$

which ranges between 0 and 1 ($E_{ij}$ between 0 and $E_d$) for all events and exhibits a single kinematic boundary. Examples of how n-n and core-n FSI present in the decay are manifested in core-n versus n-n Dalitz plots are displayed in Fig. 2, whereby events have been simulated with the model described above. The inputs were an $E_d$ distribution following that measured (Fig. 3), the $C_{nn}$ obtained with the C target [16] (Fig. 4), and core-n resonances with $\Gamma = 0.3$ MeV at $E_0 = 0.8, 2.0, 3.5$ MeV.

![FIG. 2. Dalitz plots (core-n versus n-n) for simulations with the interacting phase-space model of $^{14}$Be dissociation: without FSI (a), with n-n FSI (b) and with a core-n resonance at $E_0 = 0.8$ (c), 2.0 (d) and 3.5 MeV (e). The combination of the n-n and the core-n FSI of (c) is shown in (f).](image)

In the absence of any FSI, the Dalitz plot exhibits, as noted above, a uniform population (Fig. 3a). The n-n FSI appears as a concentration of events with $m_{nn}^2 \lesssim 0.25$ (Fig. 3b), which correspond to small relative momenta. The core-n resonance at $E_0 = 0.8$ MeV (Fig. 2c) appears as horizontal bands around $m_{cn}^2 = 0.25$ and 0.75. The location of these bands depends on the energy of the resonance with respect to the mean decay energy of the system: a single band at $m_{cn}^2 \approx 0.5$ if $E_0 \sim \langle E_d \rangle$ (Fig. 2d) and two symmetric bands if $E_0 \gtrapprox \langle E_d \rangle$ (Fig. 2c,e) [16]. This feature arises as when one of the neutrons forms a resonance with the core at a given value of $m_{23}^2$, the relative core-n energy of the other is essentially fixed at $m_{13}^2 \approx 1 - m_{23}^2$ [16]. Importantly, the Dalitz plot representation provides not only for the identification of the different FSI between the particle pairs, but also a direct comparison of the relative importance of each in the decay (Fig. 2f).

![FIG. 3. Dalitz plots (core-n versus n-n), and the projections onto both axes, for the data from the dissociation of $^{14}$Be by Pb (upper) and C (lower panels). The lines are the results of the phase-space model simulations with/without (solid/dashed) n-n FSI. The insets correspond to the $^{14}$Be decay energy.](image)
significant extent. This result confirms the hypothesis of simultaneous n-n emission employed in the original analysis of the dissociation of $^{14}$Be by Pb \cite{13}. The value of $r_{\text{nn}}^{\text{rms}}$ so extracted, $5.6 \pm 1.0$ fm, thus corresponds to the n-n separation in the halo of $^{14}$Be.

For dissociation by the C target (Fig. 3), despite the lower statistics, two differences are evident. Firstly, the n-n signal is weaker, indicating, as discussed earlier, that a significant delay has occurred between the emission of each neutron. Second, and more importantly, the agreement between the model including only the n-n FSI and the data for $m_{\text{n}}^{2}$ is rather poor. In order to verify whether this disagreement corresponds to the presence of core-n resonances, which would be responsible for the weakening of the n-n signal, we have investigated the core-n relative energy, $E_{\text{cn}}$. It has been reconstructed for the simulations incorporating only the n-n FSI and compared in Fig. 4 to the data (the model calculations have been normalized to the data above 4 MeV). For dissociation by Pb, the inclusion of only the n-n FSI provides a very good description of the data, with the exception of small deviations below 1 MeV. This is in line with the Dalitz plot analysis discussed above.

The deviations observed for the C target between the measured $m_{\text{n}}^{2}$ and the simulation including only the n-n FSI (Fig. 3) clearly correspond to structures in the $E_{\text{cn}}$ spectrum. Moreover, these structures are located at energies that are in line with those of states previously reported in $^{13}$Be: the supposed $d_{\text{nn}}^{\text{nn}}$ resonance at 2.0 MeV \cite{22} and a lower-lying state(s) \cite{19,22,23}, also suggested by various theoretical calculations \cite{24}. The model-to-data ratio is about 1/2, indicating that the peaks correspond to resonances formed by one of the neutrons in almost all decays; the solid line in Fig. 4 accounts for the contribution of the neutron not interacting with the core. If we add to the phase-space model with n-n FSI core-n resonances ($\Gamma = 0.3$ MeV) for all events at $E_{0} = 0.8, 2.0 \text{ MeV}$ \cite{22} and 3.5 MeV \cite{15} with intensities of 45, 35 and 20%, respectively, the data are well reproduced (dashed line). In the case of dissociation by Pb, the lowest-lying resonance(s) appears to be present in at most 10% of events.

The different results obtained for the Pb and C targets may be attributed to the associated reaction mechanisms \cite{25}. In the case of the Pb target, the dominant process is electromagnetic dissociation \cite{17}, whereby the halo neutrons behave as spectators and only the charged core is acted on by the Coulomb field of the target \cite{29}. Qualitatively then, the n-n FSI may be expected to influence most strongly the decay. In the case of the C target, nuclear breakup dominates and the reaction takes place at smaller impact parameters, in general through the interaction of one of the halo neutrons with the target \cite{7}. As such the population of core-n resonances is favoured \cite{8,9}.

As such the population of core-n resonances is favoured \cite{8,9}.

---

\[ \text{\textsuperscript{\small{1}}The present data are not particularly sensitive to the location and form of the states, in particular below 1 MeV, and a resonance at 0.5 MeV would, for example, equally well describe the data.} \]

---

FIG. 4. Core-n relative energy distributions (upper) and n-n correlation functions (lower panels) for the dissociation of $^{14}$Be by Pb and C. The lines in the $E_{\text{cn}}$ spectra are the result of the phase-space model simulations with n-n FSI (solid) plus core-n resonances (dashed, see text). The histograms presented in the middle panels are the difference between the data and the n-n FSI simulations. The solid lines in the lower panels are the $C_{\text{nn}}$ for $r_{\text{nn}}^{\text{rms}} = 5.6$ fm and $\tau_{\text{nn}} = 0$; the shaded area corresponds to the inclusion of a finite $\tau_{\text{nn}}$ (see text).

By combining the information extracted from the core-n channel with the n-n correlation functions, we can extend the analysis and also extract the average lifetime of the core-n resonances. If we fix the n-n distance in $^{14}$Be as that obtained for dissociation by Pb, $r_{\text{nn}}^{\text{rms}} = 5.6$ fm, we can introduce the delay between the emission of the neutrons $\tau_{\text{nn}}$ needed to describe the n-n correlation function for the C target. As discussed earlier, this delay should
correspond to the lifetime of the resonances (Fig. 1). The result, shown as the shaded area in Fig. 4, suggests an average lifetime of 150$^{+200}_{-100}$ fm/c, or $(5^{+7}_{-3}) \times 10^{-22}$ s.

In summary, three-body correlations in the dissociation of two-neutron halo nuclei have been explored. A new analysis technique employing intensity interferometry and Dalitz plots has been presented and applied to the breakup of $^{14}$Be by Pb and C targets. Through the combined treatment of both the n-n and core-n correlations, the halo n-n separation has been extracted and a finite delay found between the emission of the neutrons for the reaction on C. This delay can be attributed to resonances in $^{13}$Be populated in sequential breakup.

The application of the techniques presented here to a well established system such as $^6$He would be of particular interest, as would the investigation of multi-neutron haloes. Finally, as the technique of intensity interferometry is applicable to protons, proton-rich nuclei exhibiting similar few-body clustering may also be explored.
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