Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Reveals Early Connectivity Changes in the Auditory and Vestibular Cortices in Idiopathic Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss With Vertigo: A Pilot Study
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The underlying pathophysiology of idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss (ISSNHL) with vertigo has yet to be identified. The aims of the current study were (1) to elucidate whether there are functional changes of the intrinsic brain activity in the auditory and vestibular cortices of the ISSNHL patients with vertigo using resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) and (2) whether the connectivity alterations are related to the clinical performance associated with ISSNHL with vertigo.

Twelve ISSNHL patients with vertigo, eleven ISSNHL patients without vertigo and eleven healthy subjects were enrolled in this study. Rs-fMRI data of auditory and vestibular cortices was extracted and regional homogeneity (ReHo) and seed-based functional connectivity (FC) were evaluated; the chi-square test, the ANOVA and the Bonferroni multiple comparison tests were performed. Significantly decreased ReHo in the ipsilateral auditory cortex, as well as increased FC between the inferior parietal gyrus and the auditory cortex were found in the ISSNHL with vertigo groups. These findings contribute to a characterization of early plastic changes in ISSNHL patients with vertigo and cultivate new insights for the etiology research.
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INTRODUCTION

Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss (ISSNHL) is defined as a sensorineural hearing loss of at least 30 dB for three or more contiguous audiometric frequencies. Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss typically develops over 72 h and affects 10, 20 and 300 out of every 100,000 people in China, the United States and Germany every year (Fang et al., 1999; Michel, 2011;
Scores were listed in January 2017 and December 2018. Vertigo and hearing loss portended greater stroke risk than sudden hearing loss or vertigo alone (Chang et al., 2018). Moreover, the burden of ISSNHL with vertigo on the patient is considerable since the impact of both the hearing loss and vertigo cannot be adequately diminished as there is a lack of effective treatments. Therefore it’s necessary to identify the underlying pathophysiology of ISSNHL with vertigo which represents a unique clinical entity dissimilar to ISSNHL without vertigo (Rauch, 2018).

Brain structural alterations have been reported in patients with auditory impairments such as unilateral hearing loss, tinnitus or deafness (Fan et al., 2015). Resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) has enabled the mapping of brain activity based on the blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal in ISSNHL patients. In particular, the regional homogeneity (ReHo) metric reflects the consistency of neuronal activity in a local brain region by measuring the similarity of the BOLD signal fluctuation between adjacent voxels. Historically, the ReHo metric has successfully identified biomarkers of various neurological diseases such as Alzheimer’s Disease and depression (Liu et al., 2008; Yao et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2011), and is thought to provide a pure measure of time-resolved brain connectivity patterns.

We speculated that the auditory and vestibular cortices have functional connectivity in the ISSNHL patients with vertigo. The aim of this study was to elucidate whether there are changes to the ReHo signals in the central auditory and vestibular cortices of the ISSNHL patients with vertigo and how the auditory-vestibular cortex is integrated. Another purpose was to determine whether these connectivity variations related to clinical performance changes occur during the onset of the disease.

**Materials and Methods**

**Participants**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Ethics Committee of the Huazhong University of Science and Technology. Informed consent was obtained by each subject before participating in this study. Patients were enrolled between January 2017 and December 2018.

Twelve right-handed, previously untreated patients with acute unilateral ISSNHL with vertigo participated in this study. The pure tone audiometry and dizziness handicap inventory (DHI) scores were listed in Table 1. All patients met the following inclusion criteria: (1) suffering from ISSNHL for the first time; (2) unknown cause of hearing loss; (3) the level of hearing loss was at least 30 dB in at least three contiguous frequencies with no air-bone gap; (4) a history of vertiginous episodes near the onset of hearing loss; (5) the interval between the onset of vertigo and the MRI examination was ≤7 days; (6) absence of other neurological signs existed; (7) CT and MRI were performed to ensure normal ear structure and no brain lesions. The exclusion criteria included: (1) vertigo caused by benign paroxysmal positional vertigo, Meniere’s disease or acute vestibular neuritis; (2) fluctuating hearing loss; (3) inflammation of the external or middle ear; (4) a history of ear surgery; (5) spatial claustrophobia. The concomitant symptom of vertigo was defined as episodic rotational vertigo which occurred one day before/after hearing loss and lasted for several hours to several days. The onset was not related to head position and vertigo attacks did not recur after recovery.

Eleven right-handed, age, gender, and education matched patients with acute unilateral ISSNHL without vertigo were included (Table 1). The patients met the same inclusion criteria as the ISSNHL with vertigo group except the items 4 and 5.

Eleven age, gender, and education matched healthy people with normal hearing and negative otoscopic findings were included as the control group in the study. The control group had no history of auricular or neurological diseases.

**Hearing and Vestibular Testing**

Pure tone audiometry testing (CONERA OB922 Audiometer, Madsen, Denmark) was performed for all participants. The pure-tone hearing thresholds at 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, and 8000 Hz were recorded at the beginning and the end of the treatment. The outcome assessment was performed according to the American clinical practice guidelines.

**Table 1** | Demographic and clinical characteristics.

| Loss     | Vertigo | F/X² | P     |
|----------|---------|------|-------|
| Number (n) | 11      | 12   |       |
| Sex (n)   |         |      |       |
| Female    | 7       | 8    | 0.023 | 0.879† |
| Male      | 4       | 4    |       |       |
| Age (year, mean ± SD) |         |      |       |
| Ear affected |        |      |       |
| Left      | 6       | 6    | 0.048 | 0.827 |
| Right     | 5       | 6    |       |       |
| P Pretreatment PTA (mean ± SD) | 91.2 ± 18.98 dB | 97.03 ± 15.95 dB | 0.877 | 0.362 |
| Posttreatment PTA (mean ± SD) | 56.29 ± 23.61 dB | 74.38 ± 22.30 dB |       |       |
| DHI (mean ± SD) |       |       | 62.67 ± 10.77 |       |
| PTA gain (n) |       |       |       | 3.884 | 0.049† |
| No recovery | 2      | 7    |       |       |
| Partial recovery | 8 | 5    |       |       |
| Complete recovery | 1 | 0    |       |       |

n means number. Loss: ISSNHL without vertigo; Vertigo: ISSNHL with vertigo; PTA, pure tone audiometry; DHI, dizziness handicap inventory; p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. † P value was derived from Fisher’s Exact test. 1 The comparison was between “No recovery” group and “Partial+Complete” recovery group and P value was derived from Fisher’s Exact test.
Acoustic reflex measurements were performed by an acoustic impedance audiometer (Impedance Audiometer, Itera, Madsen, Denmark). Ipsilateral and contralateral stapes reflexes were examined at 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 Hz. For each of these four frequencies, an acoustic stimulus of 80 dB HL was presented, and an additional 10 dB was used until a reflex curve was detected. To avoid acoustic trauma, a maximum acoustic stimulus of 110 dB was applied. The reflex curves were recorded and plotted and the latencies of the reflexes were calculated at the intersection of the baseline with the rising edge of the reflex curves.

The symptom of vertigo was assessed using the Chinese version of the dizziness handicap inventory (DHI) (Fang et al., 1999). Peripheral vestibular excitability was tested using videonystagmography with bithermal caloric irrigation (ICS CHART R200, Otometrics, Germany) and saccular function was assessed via the cervical vestibular-evoked myogenic potential (cVEMP) using a Medelec Synergy unit (ICS CHART diagnostic systems MOU-90, Otometrics, Germany). In all the ISSNHL patients with vertigo, the initial nystagmus examination was performed at the first visit and daily during the acute stage. Spontaneous nystagmus was checked for in an upright-seated position. Nystagmus examination lasted for 2 min.

Resting-State Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Data Acquisition

fMRI data was collected using a 3.0 T MRI scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) equipped with a 32-channel head coil in the department of Radiology. The head of the subject was fixed in a head coil using rubber pads and both ears were plugged. Patients were instructed to close eyes during the functional scans. Anatomical imaging included a high-resolution three-dimensional sagittal magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo T1-weighted sequence with a 6 mm Gaussian kernel, field of view (FOV) = 256 mm × 256 mm, matrix size = 64 × 3.5 mm, slice thickness = 3.5 mm, and slice number = 40. The fMRI data were processed with SPM8® and Data Processing & Analysis of Brain Imaging (DPABI) software. Preprocessing included: (a) discarding of the first 10 time points, (b) slice timing correction, (c) realignment and motion correction (framework displacement, FD) (Power et al., 2013), (d) co-registration of the individual anatomical and the realigned functional volumes, (e) spatial normalization into Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI152) space through Diffeomorphic Anatomical Registration Through Exponentiated Lie Algebra (DARTEL) (Ashburner, 2018), (f) spatial smoothing with 6 mm full width half maximum (FWHM) Gaussian (this step was only performed for functional connectivity, and the ReHo was smoothed lastly), (g) reduction of confounding factors via linear regression, including the signals from the white matter and cerebrospinal fluid, and linear and quadratic trends, (h) temporal filtering (0.01–0.1 Hz) of the time series, and finally (i) motion scrubbing (Power et al., 2012; Yan et al., 2013) with a threshold of 0.5. According to the realignment parameters of fMRI run head motion, subjects were excluded from the analysis if they showed motion more than 2.0 mm maximum displacement in any of the x, y, or z directions or more than 2.5° of angular motion.

Regional Homogeneity and Seed-Based Functional Connectivity

ReHo is one of the frequently used methods to analyze image data of brain activities. An increase in ReHo means an increase in the neuronal synchrony in a specific brain region. In our experiment, the individual ReHo maps were computed using the DPABI, with the Kendall’s coefficient of concordance (KCC) algorithm and local neighborhood of 26 voxels. The ReHo maps were smoothed with a 6 mm Gaussian kernel.

A voxel-wise FC analysis of the ROI was used. The two ROI seeds were selected from the clusters that were statistically significant in the ANOVA analysis of the ReHo at the bilateral auditory regions. The Pearson correlation coefficient was obtained between all brain voxels and seed time series and was then transformed using the Fisher Z transformation to ensure a normally distributed dataset.

Statistical Analyses

The Chi-square and Fisher’s exact tests were performed to analyse the clinical data of the ISSNHL with vertigo (Vertigo group), without vertigo groups (Loss group) and those with normal hearing (Normal group) using SPSS 22.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, United States). The results were considered significant at a threshold of p < 0.05.

The DPABI (edition 4.3_200401) was used for the statistical analyses. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze voxel-wise whole brain inter-group differences. The resultant map was corrected using a cluster-level AlphaSim algorithm (voxel p < 0.001 and cluster p < 0.05) under effective smoothing kernel estimation. This correction is equivalent to a voxel level of p < 0.001 and a minimum cluster size of > 54 voxels. The brain regions displaying significantly different ReHo values were used to create a mask for further Bonferroni multiple comparison tests (post-hoc analyses).

The ReHo values of each group were extracted within the clusters presenting statistical significance in the ANOVA results. Correlation of the ReHo and DHI scores mentioned above was assessed using Person’s correlations. The result was considered significant at p < 0.05.
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FIGURE 1 | The image acquisition and statistical analysis process were summarized and depicted in this flowchart. BOLD, blood oxygen level-dependent; ReHo, regional homogeneity; DICOM-NIFIT, three-dimensional fMRI data is generally in DICOM format or NIFIT format.

FIGURE 2 | (A) ReHo comparison among groups. ReHo signal differences among ISSNHL with vertigo (Ver), ISSNHL without vertigo (Los), and normal hearing (Nor) groups. Slice coordinates according to Montreal Neurological Institute space are shown in the left corner of the slices, indicating the Z-axis in axial orientation and the X-axis in sagittal slices. Red denotes the regions that differed among the three groups. Differences were considered significant at a threshold of $p < 0.001$, corrected via Alphasim correction. (B) Post hoc comparison of clusters showing significant differences in the three groups pairwise analysis (Ver vs. Nor, Los vs. Nor, Ver vs. Los). $P^{***} < 0.001$. 

*FC preprocessing includes: DICOM-NIFIT, Slice timing, Realign, Normalize, Smooth, Detrend, Filter, Nuisance, Regression.
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FIGURE 3  Sagittal planes of the auditory cortex were exhibited in the ReHo maps of the left and right hearing loss patients separately. The clusters of significant difference in the Bonferroni multiple comparison tests correspond to regions listed in Table 2. Slices (A–F) showed contralateral brain changes and (G–L) showed ipsilateral changes. Crosshair demonstrated the peak value of the cluster and color bars were displayed in the right corner. Ver, ISSNHL with vertigo group; Los, ISSNHL without vertigo group, and Nor, normal hearing group.

TABLE 2  Changes in ReHo signal of the auditory cortex by Vertigo vs. Loss vs. Normal hearing groups.

| Hemi sphere | Los VS Nor | Ver VS Nor | Ver VS Los |
|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|
|             | Voxel      | T value    | MNI coordinate | Voxel  | T value  | MNI coordinate | Voxel  | T value  | MNI coordinate |
|             | X Y Z      |            |               | X Y Z |            |               | X Y Z |            |               |
| Left ear    | L 41       | 3.472      | –48 –33 22  | 53    | –2.538 | –60 –15 16 | 50      | –4.101 | –53 –25 25 |
|             | R 71       | –3.394     | 48 –18 –1   | 46    | –3.846 | 58 –23 13 | 35      | –2.363 | 57 –22 13 |
| Right ear   | L 35       | –2.420     | –56 –20 19  | 72    | –2.522 | –64 –18 –4 | 28      | –2.387 | –45 –31 13 |
|             | R 63       | 2.342      | 60 –5 4    | 61    | –3.252 | 53 –25 19 | 46      | –4.962 | 50 –25 16 |

Voxel number, T-values were obtained from the statistical parametric mapping of the ReHo \( (p < 0.001) \). The MNI coordinates reflected the center of gravity of the cluster as found in the map. Los: ISSNHL without vertigo; Ver, ISSNHL with vertigo; Nor, Normal hearing group.

The image acquisition and statistical analysis process were summarized and depicted in the flowchart in Figure 1.

RESULTS

Demographic and Clinical Data
No significant difference in sex \( (F = 0.023, p = 0.827) \) or age \( (X^2 = 2.146, p = 0.851) \) was found among the three groups. There was a significant difference between the ISSNHL without vertigo group and with vertigo group in Pure Tone Audiometry (PTA) gain for prognosis \( (X^2 = 3.884, P = 0.049) \) (Table 1).

Comparison of Regional Homogeneity Among Groups
Significant differences in the ReHo values were found in both auditory and vestibular regions among the three groups, including the posterior insular, inferior parietal gyrus, superior and middle temporal regions (Figure 2); there were also differences in premotor and somatosensory cortices which are considered as vestibular related regions (Brandt et al., 1998; Frank and Greenlee, 2018). Specifically, when we focused on auditory cortex (AC) and separated the affected side, we found that the ReHo signals in the contralateral (contrary to the affected side) superior temporal gyrus were both decreased in the Vertigo and Loss groups, whereas the signals of ipsilateral (on the same side of the affected ear) AC was increased in the Loss group and decreased in the Vertigo group (Figure 3 and Table 2).

Comparison of the Functional Connectivity Among Groups
In the participants with the left sided hearing loss, we identified altered FC of the left AC and cerebellum with the vestibular regions and related areas such as the inferior parietal gyrus, premotor areas, somatosensory cortex, angular gyrus, V2 and posterior insular cortex. A post-hoc analysis showed a statistically significant difference in the FC between the right inferior parietal gyrus, left and right premotor cortex, left and right somatosensory cortex for the Vertigo and Loss groups (Figure 4A and Table 3).

In the participants with the right sided hearing loss, we identified altered FC of the left AC and cerebellum with the premotor cortex, associative visual cortex, inferior parietal gyrus, posterior insular cortex, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, posterior insular cortex and middle temporal gyrus. A post-hoc analysis showed a statistically significant difference in the FC between the left and right inferior parietal gyrus, right middle temporal gyrus and right premotor cortex for the Vertigo and Loss groups (Figure 4B and Table 3).
FIGURE 4 | Three-dimensional rendering of the functional connectivity results (P < 0.001, Alphasim correction). A represented the left auditory cortex functional connectivity results and B represented the right auditory cortex results. The clusters of significant difference in the two sample T tests correspond to regions listed in Table 3. MNI coordinate of the ROI seed (left auditory cortex, -55/-26/12; right auditory cortex, 58/-25/16) were shown in gray ball and the positive FC connectivity areas were shown in red ball. The blue line indicated decreased connectivity and the red line indicated increased connectivity. PC, Premotor Cortex; SC, Somatosensory Cortex; IPG, Inferior Parietal Gyrus; AC, auditory cortex; MTG, Middle Temporal Gyrus; ITG, Inferior Temporal Gyrus; DPC, Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex; L, left; R, Right.

Relationship Between the Dizziness Handicap Inventory Score and the Regional Homogeneity Value
There was a significant negative correlation between the DHI scores (Table 4) and the ReHo values in the right superior temporal gyrus of the Vertigo group (r = -0.595, p = 0.031), as well as a significant positive correlation in the right inferior parietal gyrus (r = 0.834, p < 0.01) and the left inferior parietal gyrus (r = 0.579, p = 0.049) (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION
To our best knowledge, this study firstly identified the altered activities in the auditory and vestibular cortices of the ISSNHL patients with vertigo by rs-fMRI. The major findings of this study demonstrated that: (1) the auditory and vestibular cortices both exhibited altered local activities; (2) In comparison to the normal hearing, the ReHo signals of the ipsilateral AC were increased in the ISSNHL without vertigo and decreased in the ISSNHL with vertigo; (3) There was a negative association between the DHI scores and the ReHo values in the inferior parietal gyrus. In sum, these results demonstrated that the ISSNHL patients with vertigo exhibited different intrinsic brain activity patterns compared to the ISSNHL patients without vertigo and healthy controls.

The Auditory and Vestibular Cortices Showed Functional Changes
The presence of vertigo in some but not all ISSNHL patients suggests that the brain areas involved in the additional dizziness may demonstrate a distinctive pattern of activity. From our results, we observed the ReHo signal changes in the auditory and vestibular cortices, such as the superior and middle temporal cortices, posterior insular cortex, inferior parietal gyrus (Figure 2). Furthermore, when comparing the ISSNHL without vertigo and the vestibular neuritis, the confirmed hypothesis was obtained: in the ISSNHL patients without vertigo, the activity of the contralateral AC was decreased, and the vestibular cortex was normal (Micarelli et al., 2017); in the vestibular neuritis patients (Bense et al., 2004), the activity of the right vestibular cortices was changed (increased or decreased) and the activity of the AC was normal; in the ISSNHL patients with vertigo, the activities of the AC and left vestibular cortices were decreased and the right parieto-insular vestibular cortex and inferior parietal cortex were increased (Figure 1).

The Activity of the Ipsilateral Auditory Cortex Was Decreased in Idiopathic Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss With Vertigo
The auditory projections from the inner ear to the AC cause contralateral activation of the brain in response to sound. Such
TABLE 3 | Brain regions with significant FC differences between ISSNHL with vertigo and without vertigo group.

| Index | Brain region                  | ROI-1 | ROI-2 |
|-------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|
|       | Voxel | T value | MNI coordinate | Voxel | T value | MNI coordinate |
|       | X     | Y     | Z           | X     | Y     | Z           |
| 1     | Middle temporal gyrus (BA21_L) | 115   | −4.962       | −44   | −32   | 56          |
| 2     | Somatosensory Cortex (BA3_L)  | 105   | −3.312       | 30    | −32   | 57          |
| 3     | Somatosensory Cortex (BA3_R)  | 106   | 3.526        | 58    | −43   | 38          |
| 4     | Inferior parietal gyrus (BA40_L) | 117   | −3.254       | 14    | −18   | 61          |
| 5     | Inferior parietal gyrus (BA40_R) | 101   | −4.954       | −21   | −18   | 62          |
| 6     | Premotor cortex (BA6_R)       | 116   | 4.095        | 18    | 38    | 46          |
| 7     | Premotor cortex (BA6_L)       | 113   | 4.778        | 48    | −56   | 34          |
| 8     | Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (BA9R) | 90    | 6.655        | −23   | 32    | 46          |
| 9     | Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (BA9L) | 107   | 5.998        | 48    | −56   | 34          |
| 10    | Inferior temporal gyrus (BA20R) | 97    | 3.680        | −47   | −62   | 42          |
| 11    | Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (BA20L) | 99    | 3.998        | 48    | −56   | 34          |
|       | IPSNIHL with vertigo          |       |              | IPSNIHL without vertigo |       |              |

Voxel number, T-values were obtained from the statistical parametric mapping of the ReHo (p < 0.001). ROI-1 (MNI coordinate: -55/-26/12) and ROI-2 (MNI coordinate: 58/-25/16) served as the seeds for the FC calculation. L means left brain; R means right brain; BA means Brodmann area.

TABLE 4 | Summary of the characteristics of ISSNHL patients with vertigo.

| Patient number | Age (years) | Sex (F/M) | Affected ear | Onset time(d) | PTA (dB) | ART Ipsi/con | Vestibular test | DHI |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|-----|
| 1              | 49          | F         | L            | 4             | 120      | 85           | ~85             | +   |
| 2              | 61          | F         | R            | 5             | 85       | 83.3         | ~85             | −   |
| 3              | 38          | M         | L            | 6             | 105      | 71.6         | ~85             | −   |
| 4              | 57          | F         | L            | 7             | 88.3     | 71.6         | ~85             | 0   |
| 5              | 42          | F         | R            | 2             | 90       | 90           | ~85             | −   |
| 6              | 31          | F         | L            | 6             | 120      | 63           | −               | L   |
| 7              | 52          | F         | R            | 5             | 94       | 64           | ~80             | −   |
| 8              | 45          | M         | R            | 4             | 75       | 25           | ~80             | −   |
| 9              | 40          | F         | R            | 7             | 120      | 120          | ~85             | L   |
| 10             | 25          | F         | R            | 1             | 92       | 80           | ~85             | 0   |
| 11             | 53          | M         | L            | 5             | 78       | 60           | ~80             | −   |
| 12             | 40          | F         | R            | 2             | 97       | 79           | ~85             | +   |

PTA, pure tone audiometry; ISSNHL, idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss; BCT, bithermal caloric test; cVEMP, cervical vestibular evoked myogenic potential; DHI, dizziness handicap inventory; “+”, abnormal of waveforms or results; “−”, normal waveforms or results; 0, no response; N, Test was not finished and terminated. ART, Acoustic Reflex Thresholds (ART) was a 3 frequency average (500, 1k, and 2k Hz) of the sensation level in dB HL; ipsi, ipsilesion; con, contralesion; “−”, absent. Spont-N, spontaneous nystamus; “−”, absent; R, right ear; L, left ear.

a cross-projection is useful in binaural facilitation of hearing and localization of sounds (Moore, 1991). However, when unilateral hearing is deprived, the corresponding AC activities may change in response.

In the contralateral AC of the unilateral ISSNHL patients (with or without vertigo, Figure 2), we observed a reduction of the ReHo values compared to the normal hearing. The underlying mechanism of this contralateral deactivation could be related to the misrepresentation of the sound intensity at the cortical level (Musiek et al., 2013). The perception of loudness or intensity at the cortical level is affected by the interaction between the excitatory and inhibitory neurons (Phillips et al., 1994). If deprived sound input activates fewer excitatory fibers, the activities of excitatory and inhibitory neurons can become unbalanced and, as a result, the neuronal response in one hemisphere will be reduced compared to the other (Fan et al., 2015). This finding is consistent with the previous studies in patients with chronic deafness or tinnitus reporting reduced blood flow in the AC (Lanting et al., 2009; Okuda et al., 2013; Micarelli et al., 2017). However, some recent studies reported no significant difference in the ReHo value between the ISSNHL patients and the healthy controls in any brain region. The various neuroimaging methods employed and the participants' heterogeneity may have contributed to the inconsistent results (Cai et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2020).

We observed a different effect in the activity of the ipsilateral AC when vertigo was present. The ipsilateral AC showed the restrained status after vertigo happened: the ReHo signals of the ipsilateral AC were increased in the ISSNHL group without vertigo, whereas they were
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FIGURE 5 | Relationship between Dizziness Handicap Index (DHI) and ReHo signals in ISSNHL with vertigo by scatter plot. The DHI showed significantly negative correlation ($r = -0.595$, $p < 0.05$) with ReHo signals from the right superior temporal gyrus, and significantly positive correlations with ReHo signals in the left ($r = 0.579$, $p < 0.05$) and right inferior parietal gyri ($r = 0.834$, $p < 0.01$). PC, Premotor Cortex; SC, Somatosensory Cortex; IPG, Inferior Parietal Gyrus; STG, Superior Temporal Gyrus; MTG, Middle Temporal Gyrus; ITG, Inferior Temporal Gyrus; PI, Posterior Insular; L, left; R, Right.

decreased in the ISSNHL with vertigo (Figure 3). In two magnetoencephalography reports on ISSNHL, the activity of the ipsilateral AC was observed and elucidated. Morita and Li reported a stronger N100 evoked response in the ipsilateral compared to the contralateral hemisphere in response to ear stimulation during the acute phase of hearing loss (Li et al., 2006; Morita et al., 2007). The authors hypothesized that a cochlear lesion might induce a bilateral effect through retrocochlear crossing fibers that may influence the function of the auditory pathway associated with the ipsilateral healthy ear. This pattern of the reduced contralateral and increased ipsilateral AC activities in ISSNHL without vertigo may reflect the compensatory mechanism of the brain after unilateral hearing deprivation. However, how to explain the decreased activity of the ipsilateral AC in the ISSNHL patients with vertigo and what is the mechanism of this reduction? Did the activities of vestibular cortices lead to this reduction?

The Functional Connectivity of the Auditory-Vestibular Cortices in the Idiopathic Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss With Vertigo

Our research demonstrated that the inferior parietal gyrus and the AC displayed functional connectivity in the ISSNHL patients with vertigo (Figures 4A,B). It is known that the inferior parietal gyrus belongs to the multisensory area predominantly in the temporoparietal cortex of the human brain. These multisensory areas are involved in the processing of vestibular information and have been delineated during the last 7 years by functional imaging studies in humans (Bremmer et al., 2001; Fasold et al., 2002; Emri et al., 2003; Stephan et al., 2005).

In contrast to the integration of visual-vestibular perception, less is known about the neural mechanism that mediates the integration of vestibular and auditory processing. An early human functional study revealed a consistent focal activation...
in the superior temporal region during vestibular activation (Friberg et al., 1985). A vestibular galvanic study demonstrated activations in the superior temporal gyrus and as well in the middle temporal gyrus (Bense et al., 2001). These results depicted a functional connectivity between the vestibular cortex and the auditory cortex in the human brain. Our findings also revealed that the inferior parietal cortex and the AC exhibited functional connectivity in the ISSNHL patients with vertigo (Figures 4A,B).

A mechanism similar to the inhibitory visual-vestibular cortex interaction (Brandt and Dieterich, 1998; Della-Justina et al., 2015; Frank et al., 2020) may explain our experimental findings in the auditory-vestibular cortex of the patients. That means the dysfunction of the inferior parietal cortex perturbs the activity of the temporal cortex and worsens the auditory function. Confirmation of this theory and the mechanism or interaction must be examined in further experiments.

Correlation Analysis
A positive correlation was found between the DHI scores and the inferior parietal gyrus activity (Figure 5). In fact, activation of inferior parietal gyrus has been seen during caloric vestibular stimulation in fMRI and PET studies (Dieterich and Brandt, 2008; Helmchen et al., 2014). Brodmann Area 40 belongs to a multisensory area in the inferior parietal lobe, part of with strong projections the temporal lobe. Monkey studies revealed two vestibular areas in the parietal lobe, named the visual temporal sylvian area and area 7b (Faugier-Grimaud and Ventre, 1989; Guldin and Grusser, 1998). The location of these regions is comparable to parts of our activation area in inferior parietal gyrus. However, these findings do not imply that the observed fMRI changes are a contributing factor to the vertigo symptom. Our results are based on an observational study focusing on the central correlates of symptoms with a peripheral origin. The mechanisms involved in the observed changes to the central auditory areas, that occur in those that develop vertigo, need further investigation.

Limitations
Despite the promising results, there were certain limitations in our study. The small sample size of this research may cause type II statistical errors. Acute otoneurological patients, due to their very severe symptoms, often refused or delayed the MRI examination due to discomfort of lying still. This issue had made the recruitment of an adequate number of subjects difficult in functional neuroimaging studies, including ours (Micarelli et al., 2017).

Secondly, our study did not touch upon the pathogenesis of peripheral vestibular organs in this disease. The occurrence of vertigo was an integrated response of the whole vestibular pathway and it is becoming increasingly suggested that peripheral vestibular organs play a role in vertigo symptoms in the patients with sudden deafness. An overall vestibular pathway investigation should be carried out to fully uncover the pathogenesis of vertigo during sudden deafness.

CONCLUSION
Our research provides the first evidence for the altered activity in the AC and vestibular cortical areas of the ISSNHL patients with vertigo by rs-fMRI. The decreased activity of the ipsilateral AC in ISSNHL patients with vertigo was our main finding. We also found that ReHo value of the inferior parietal cortex was related to the DHI scores of the patient and this area demonstrated positive functional connectivity with the AC. The auditory and vestibular cortices demonstrate functional connectivity in the ISSNHL patients with vertigo. These findings contribute to the identification of neural plasticity in ISSNHL patients with vertigo and warrant further investigation to understand the mechanisms involved in the generation of vertigo.
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