USING CHEMISTRY TO UNVEIL THE KINEMATICS OF STARLESS CORES: COMPLEX RADIAL MOTIONS IN BARNARD 68
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ABSTRACT

We present observations of $^{13}$CO, C$^{18}$O, HCO$^{-}$, H$^{13}$CO$^{-}$, DCO$^{-}$, and N$_2$H$^+$ line emission toward the Barnard 68 starless core. The line profiles are interpreted by use of a chemical network coupled with a radiative transfer code, in order to reconstruct the radial velocity profile of the core. Our observations and modeling indicate the presence of complex radial motions, with inward motions in the outer layers of the core but outward motions in the inner layers of the core, suggesting radial oscillations. The presence of such oscillations would imply that the Barnard 68 starless core is relatively old, typically 1 order of magnitude older than the age inferred from its chemical evolution and statistical core lifetimes. Our study demonstrates that chemistry can be used as a tool to constrain the radial velocity profiles of starless cores.

Subject headings: astrochemistry — ISM: abundances — ISM: individual (Barnard 68) — ISM: molecules — stars: formation

1. INTRODUCTION

Starless cores likely represent the earliest stage of the formation of a star. In the standard view of star formation, cores form out of an initially magnetically subcritical cloud and evolve in a quasi-static fashion by ambipolar diffusion (Shu et al. 1987; Mouschovias & Morton 1991). In another, opposite view, cores are dynamic objects that form by shocks in a turbulent flow, fragmenting and collapsing (or disappearing) in a few crossing times (Padoan et al. 2001; Ballesteros-Paredes et al. 2003). Velocity measurements can provide important constraints on these two scenarios. For example, Tafalla et al. (1998) examined the kinematics of L1544 and inferred infall velocities of up to 0.1 km s$^{-1}$ that are a priori incompatible with subcritical ambipolar diffusion models. However, Ciolek & Basu (2000) argued that these velocities can be understood if the core is supercritical.

Line-of-sight velocities in cores can be established from observations of self-absorbed line profiles. In an infalling core, if the excitation temperature increases toward the center (as one can expect in a centrally condensed core with a roughly constant temperature), self-absorbed lines are expected to be asymmetric, with the blue peak brighter than the red peak (see Evans 1999). This technique has been used in the past to detect collapse motions in starless cores and protostars (see Myers et al. 2000 for a review). One of the major difficulties of this technique is the large degree of chemical complexity within these objects. For example, numerous molecules are observed to deplete in the densest regions of starless cores (e.g., Bergin et al. 2002; Tafalla et al. 2002), thus hampering our ability to measure the velocity in the dense central regions of these objects.

Nevertheless, with a detailed knowledge of the chemistry of these objects, one can choose appropriate molecular transitions to trace different parts of the cores and to unveil their radial kinematic structure (Bergin 2003; van der Tak et al. 2005). In this Letter, we use line observations of $^{13}$CO, C$^{18}$O, HCO$^{-}$, H$^{13}$CO$^{-}$, DCO$^{-}$, and N$_2$H$^+$ to reconstruct the velocity profile of the Barnard 68 core (hereafter B68). With a well-defined physical (Alves et al. 2001; Bergin et al. 2006) and chemical (Bergin et al. 2002; Maret et al. 2006; Maret & Bergin 2007) structure, this core is an ideal target for such a study. For this, we compare the observed line profiles with the predictions of a chemical network coupled with a radiative transfer code. This Letter is organized as follows. Observations are presented in § 2, the analysis is detailed in § 3, and the results are discussed in § 4.

2. OBSERVATIONS

Maps of H$^{13}$CO$^{-}$(1–0) ($\nu = 86.754288$ GHz), HCO$^{-}$(1–0) ($\nu = 89.188523$ GHz), N$_2$H$^+$(1–0) ($\nu = 93.173772$ GHz) C$^{18}$O(1–0) ($\nu = 109.782173$ GHz), DCO$^{-}$(2–1) ($\nu = 144.077289$ GHz), DCO$^{-}$(3–2) ($\nu = 216.112604$ GHz), and HCO$^{-}$(3–2) ($\nu = 267.557526$ GHz) transitions were observed toward B68 ($\alpha = 17^\mathrm{h}22^\mathrm{m}38.2^\mathrm{s}$ and $\delta = -23^\circ49^\prime34.0^\prime\prime$; J2000) in 2002 April and September with the IRAM 30 m telescope.

The $^{13}$CO(2–1) ($\nu = 220.398684$ GHz) transition was observed in 2003 September with the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory (CSO). These observations have already been presented in Bergin et al. (2002, 2006), Maret et al. (2006), and Maret & Bergin (2007), and we refer the reader to these papers for technical details.

Transitions of HCO$^{-}$(4–3) ($\nu = 356.734134$ GHz) and N$_2$H$^+$(3–2) ($\nu = 279.511832$ GHz) were observed in 2005 August using the Atacama Pathfinder Experiment (APEX) telescope. The APEX-2A receiver was used together with the fast Fourier transform spectrometer, which provides a spectral resolution of 61 kHz. The telescope half-power beam sizes are 22$''$ at 280 GHz and 17$''$ at 357 GHz. The data were calibrated using the chopper wheel method, and the system temperatures were $\sim$100 K at 280 GHz and 130–180 K at 357 GHz. The observations were converted on the $T_{\text{MB}}$ scale by assuming a main-beam efficiency of 70% and a forward efficiency of 95% (C. de Breuck 2007, private communication). These observations were obtained in beam switching mode.

In Figure 1, we present the observations, together with our model predictions (see § 3 for a description of the model). The
Fig. 1.—Comparison between the line profiles observed toward the extinction peak of B68 (solid black histograms) and the predictions of our “best-fit” model (solid gray lines). For the clarity of the plot, lines are shifted vertically, and some of them are scaled by the factor mentioned on the right of each curve.

lines show a variety of different profiles, from Gaussian to self-absorbed and asymmetric double-peaked profiles. C\(^{18}\)O, \(^{13}\)CO, and H\(^{13}\)CO\(^+\) are probably optically thin (or only moderately optically thick) and have centrally peaked Gaussian profiles. On the other hand, the HCO\(^+\) (1–0) and (3–2) lines are self-reversed and show the typical blue asymmetry (blue peak brighter than the red peak). These line profiles suggest that collapsing motions are present along the line of sight. Surprisingly, the self-absorbed HCO\(^+\) (4–3) and DCO\(^+\) (3–2) lines and the central component of the \(^3\)H\(^2\)O (1–0) lines exhibit red asymmetry (red peak brighter than the blue peak), indicating expansion. Finally, the DCO\(^+\) (2–1) line is self-absorbed but symmetric, hinting at the presence of static gas along the line of sight. The absorption dip velocity of self-reversed lines is consistent with the \(v_{\text{LSR}}\) of the source (3.31 km \(s^{-1}\)), as determined from the C\(^{18}\)O (1–0) line. In the following, we model the line profiles in order to constrain the line-of-sight velocity of the core.

3. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

We have modeled the spectral line profiles shown in Figure 1 after the approach described in Bergin et al. (2006), Maret et al. (2006), and Maret & Bergin (2007). The abundance profiles were computed by use of a chemical network that includes gas grain interactions as well as the fractionation reactions for carbon, oxygen, and deuterium (Bergin & Langer 1997). These abundance profiles were used to compute the line emission, by use of a one-dimensional Monte Carlo radiative transfer code (Ashby et al. 2000). These model predictions were convolved at the appropriate spatial resolution in order to be compared with the observations, assuming a distance of 125 pc.

The density profile from Alves et al. (2001) and the gas and dust temperature from Bergin et al. (2006) were used. We have adopted the same initial abundances and cosmic ionization rate as those in the Maret & Bergin (2007) “best-fit” model. As a first approach, we have assumed that no systematic radial motions are present in the core. We have used the turbulent velocity profile determined by Bergin et al. (2006) from C\(^{18}\)O (1–0) line observations. The turbulent velocity contribution is 0.3 km \(s^{-1}\) (FWHM) at the surface of the core and decreases toward the center down to 0.15 km \(s^{-1}\). Rotation of the cloud has been neglected. Both the \(^{13}\)CO (2–1) line and the H\(^{13}\)CO\(^+\) (1–0) line have an unresolved hyperfine structure that needs to be taken into account in order to properly model the line profiles. Following the approach used by Tafalla et al. (2006), we have artificially broadened these two lines by 0.13 km \(s^{-1}\), which corresponds to the separation between the two hyperfine components of each species (Schmid-Burgk et al. 2004).

In Figure 2, we present the abundances predicted by our chemical model. From this figure, we can roughly estimate which lines originate in different parts of the core. For example, the HCO\(^+\) abundance peaks in the outer part of the core and decreases toward the center, because of the freezeout of its parent molecule CO. Consequently, HCO\(^+\) lines predominantly probe the outer layer. Both N\(_2\)H\(^+\) and DCO\(^+\) abundances peak deeper inside, at an \(A_v\) of 5 and 13, respectively. Therefore, lines from these two species preferentially trace the inner regions of the core. Of course, excitation effects are also important, and different transitions of the same molecule are expected to probe different depths in the core as well. For example, the opacity in the HCO\(^+\) (4–3) line is likely lower than that in the (1–0) and (3–2) lines, and thus the HCO\(^+\) (4–3) line likely arises from deeper regions than do the HCO\(^+\) (3–2) and (1–0) lines. Altogether, our observations suggest the presence of complex radial motions in B68, with inward motions in the outer part of the core—as indicated by the blue HCO\(^+\) (1–0) and (3–2) line profiles—and outward motions in the inner region—as shown by the HCO\(^+\) (4–3),...
species as a function of the visual extinction in the core. C 18O(1–0), and 13CO(2–1) line profiles are also well matched. DCO 

Although the model predicts correct integrated intensities for blue asymmetry of the (4–3) line. H13CO 

observations is fairly good. The model reproduces quite well the overall agreement between the model predictions and the chemical network coupled with a radiative transfer model—perfect. However, given the complexity of our modeling—our model predictions for this velocity profile. The fit is notward motions. The velocity is assumed to be negative (inward motions, whereas positive velocities correspond to outward motions. The velocity is assumed to be negative (−0.045 km s−1) at a radius >8000 AU and positive (0.025 km s−1) at a radius <8000 AU. Figure 1 compares the observations with our model predictions for this velocity profile. The fit is not perfect. However, given the complexity of our modeling—chemical network coupled with a radiative transfer model—the overall agreement between the model predictions and the observations is fairly good. The model reproduces quite well the infall asymmetry of HCO+ (1–0) and (3–2), as well as the blue asymmetry of the (4–3) line. H13CO+ (1–0), N2H+(3–2), C18O(1–0), and 13CO(2–1) line profiles are also well matched. Although the model predicts correct integrated intensities for DCO+ (3–2) and N2H+(1–0), it does not reproduce the blue asymmetries seen in these lines. The predicted line profiles have a Gaussian shape, whereas the observed lines are self-absorbed. In these cases, it appears that our model underestimates the opacity of these lines.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Our observations and modeling indicate that the outer part of the core is collapsing while the inner part is expanding. The velocities in both regions are relatively small (a few tens of meters per second) and are largely subsonic. The transition between the collapsing and expanding regions occurs at a radius of about 8000 AU. It is important to note that the fit to the observations is probably not unique. However, large changes in the transition and velocities between the regions are likely ruled out. As already mentioned, our model does not reproduce the asymmetries of the N2H+ (1–0) and DCO+ line profiles, due to an underestimating of the opacity. There are several possible explanations for this discrepancy. First, our chemical model might not predict the correct abundance profile for these two species. For example, increasing the DCO+ abundance at intermediate radii, with a subsequent reduction at small radii to keep the column density constant, would increase the opacity of line without changing the line flux significantly (which is correctly predicted). Indeed, our model predicts a DCO+ (2–1) line intensity at 10 < A < 20 that is lower than observed (see Fig. 3 in Maret & Bergin 2007). Second, the physical structure of the core is also somewhat uncertain. For example, the temperature profile may be slightly different than the one predicted by Bergin et al. (2006; see Crapsi et al. 2007), although small changes (±3 K) in the temperature profile were found to have little effect on the line intensities. Turbulence at the center of the core might also be different than what was assumed here. The turbulent profile was determined by Bergin et al. (2006) using C18O observations, which are not a good probe of the innermost regions of the core because of heavy depletion. Decreasing the turbulent velocity profile in the innermost region of the core would also increase the opacity of these two lines. Regardless of the discrepancy with our model predictions, we emphasize that these two line profiles, together with the HCO+(4–3) line profile, unambiguously indicate the presence of outward motions at the center of the core. We found that no constant infalling velocity profile could reproduce the observations.

The steplike velocity profile that we obtain is suggestive of the presence of radial oscillations in the core. To interpret the asymmetry of the CS(2–1) and HCO+(3–2) lines observed in Barnard 68, Lada et al. (2003) and Redman et al. (2006) have hypothesized the existence of small nonradial oscillations of the outer layers of the core around a stable equilibrium. Lada et al. (2003) also suggested that, in addition to the surface oscillations seen in the CS(2–1) line, some radial oscillation might also be present. Our observations and modeling are in agreement with this hypothesis.

Keto & Field (2005) proposed a hydrodynamic model for the evolution of cores in quasi-equilibrium. In their modeling,
a small perturbation of a stable core (according to the Bonnor-Ebert criterion) is found to result in damped oscillations around the equilibrium position. Keto et al. (2006) showed that the surface pattern observed in the CS(2–1) line toward B68 is consistent with the \( n = 1, l = m = 2 \) quadrupolar oscillation mode for a specific orientation (\( \lambda = \theta = 30^\circ \)). For the same oscillation mode, the radial velocity is qualitatively similar to the one obtained in this study, but it is out of phase: the inner part of the core has a negative radial velocity, whereas the outer part of the core has a positive velocity. Half an oscillation period later, the velocity would be reversed and would be in qualitative agreement with the profile that we obtain (E. Keto 2007, private communication).

As pointed out by Keto et al. (2006) and Redman et al. (2006), the presence of oscillations in B68 suggests that the core is relatively old, typically older than a few sound speed crossing times \((\sim 10^5 \text{ yr})\). This is comparable to the ambipolar diffusion timescale at the center of the core and is more than 1 order of magnitude higher than the free-fall timescale \((10^6 \text{ yr} \times 7 \times 10^4 \text{ yr})\;\text{respectively};\;\text{Maret & Bergin 2007})\). This is also about an order of magnitude higher than the age of the core as determined from the degree of CO depletion \((10^3 \text{ yr};\;\text{Bergin et al. 2002, 2006})\). For \( t = 3 \times 10^4 \text{ yr} \), the Bergin et al. (2006) model predicts a \(^{13}\text{CO}(1–0)\) line intensity that is 3 time smaller than the observations, although, at \( t = 10^5 \text{ yr} \), the greater CO depletion leads to an even greater mismatch between observations and model predictions. \(^{13}\text{CO},\;\text{HCO}^+,\;\text{H}^1\text{CO}^+,\;\text{and DCO}^+\) would also be underestimated by the model, because of the freezeout of their parents of their precursors, i.e., \(^{12}\text{CO},\;\text{CO},\;\text{and}^{13}\text{CO}\). Thus, the “chemical age” of B68 inferred from the CO depletion appears to be hard to reconcile with the “dynamical age” implied by the presence of surface oscillations. It is also interesting to compare the sound crossing time in B68 to typical statistical starless core lifetimes (see Ward-Thompson et al. 2007 for a review). Using submillimeter continuum emission maps, Kirk et al. (2005) obtained lifetimes of \((1–3) \times 10^4 \text{ yr}\), which are in good agreement with the age of the B68 determined from CO depletion but, again, are about an order of magnitude higher than the sound crossing time.

The present study emphasizes the importance of understanding the chemistry of starless cores in order to constrain their kinematics by use of spectral line profiles. Because of the strong chemical gradients that exist in these cores—as well as excitation effects—different lines can be used to selectively trace different parts of the core. We have demonstrated that, using lines that are appropriately chosen, it is possible to reconstruct the core radial velocity profile. In B68, our observations and modeling suggest the presence of complex line-of-sight motions that are consistent radial oscillations. The presence of such oscillations indicates that some cores are long-lived, with lifetimes about an order of magnitude higher than those derived from their chemical evolution or submillimeter surveys. So far, oscillations have been inferred in only one other core, FeSt 1-457 (Aguti et al. 2007). Clearly, similar studies on a larger number of cores are needed to establish whether such cores are common or not.
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