Are Phthalate Exposure Related to Oxidative Stress in Children and Adolescents with Asthma? A Cumulative Risk Assessment Approach
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Abstract: Childhood asthma has become one of the most common chronic diseases in children and adolescents. However, few case–control studies investigating the relationship between phthalate exposure and asthma in children and adolescents have been conducted, especially in Asia. Therefore, we assessed the potential associations between phthalate exposure and asthma among children and adolescents in Taiwan. Because various demographic and environmental variables may influence the incidence and prognosis of asthma, we performed a case–control study with propensity score matching. Out of 615 Childhood Environment and Allergic Diseases Study participants, we conditionally matched 41 children with clinically diagnosed asthma with 111 controls. We then analyzed 11 phthalate metabolites by using liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry. Compared with the control group, the median urinary phthalate levels for most phthalate metabolites in the case group were slightly increased, including monomethyl phthalate, mono-n-butyl phthalate, monobenzyl phthalate, monoethylhexyl phthalate, mono-(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate, mono-(2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl) phthalate, mono-(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl) phthalate, and mono-(2-carboxymethylhexyl) phthalate. Hence, our results suggest that phthalate exposure may be associated with the development of asthma. In addition, prenatal environmental factors, such as active or passive smoking during pregnancy, may increase the risk of asthma.
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1. Introduction

Childhood asthma has become one of the most common chronic diseases in children and adolescents [1]. Asthma is a chronic lung disorder that involves inflammation and swelling of the airways, resulting in the production of a large amount of mucus. The muscles surrounding the airways also tend to contract, further restricting the already congested airways. Asthma presents with coughing, wheezing, and shortness of breath. Researchers have argued that a combination of genetic and environmental factors affecting the immune system is largely linked to the increased incidence of asthma [2–5].

Environmental chemicals, such as phthalates, phenols, and parabens, may impair a child’s immunological development and increase the risk of atopic illness and asthma [6,7].
Multiple animal studies have demonstrated links between reduced lung function, atopic dermatitis, and enhanced T helper 2 (TH2) cell response and phthalate exposure [6,8,9]. The activity of TH2 lymphocytes may influence the biological mechanisms of asthma and aeroallergies [10]. Moreover, prenatal phthalate exposure is associated with elevated oxidative stress biomarkers, poor birth outcomes, neurological and behavioral disorders, and an increased risk of asthma and atopic disease in children worldwide [11–14].

Multiple epidemiological studies have highlighted a link between phthalate exposure and asthma, finding that emissions from polyvinyl chloride materials act as the main source of phthalate exposure [15–18]. Since the 1970s, phthalate exposure has been associated with an increased prevalence of asthma, allergies, and related respiratory symptoms [19–23]. Since the late 1990s, multiple epidemiological studies have investigated the possible relationship between phthalate exposure and airway diseases in children. For example, some researchers have highlighted that the level of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) in indoor dust is significantly associated with the onset of asthma in children [24,25]. Other epidemiological studies have also linked phthalate exposure to the development of asthma and its symptoms [16]. Hsu et al. determined that increased exposure to monobenzyl phthalate (MBzP) increases the incidence of asthma [26]. In another study, Gascon et al. highlighted that prenatal exposure to DEHP and butyl benzyl phthalate (BBzP) increases the risk of asthma and respiratory tract infections during childhood [27]. Recent cross-sectional studies have discovered that children with high levels of urinary DEHP metabolites had an increased risk of asthma [28–30]. All of these epidemiological studies demonstrate the relationship between phthalate exposure and asthma. However, case–control studies investigating the relationship between phthalate exposure and asthma in children and adolescents have infrequently been conducted, especially in Asia.

In this study, we used a propensity score matching (PSM) approach to create a balanced covariate distribution between patient (case) and healthy (control) groups. We compared the levels of phthalate exposure among children and adolescents with or without asthma. We also assessed the potential relationship between phthalate exposure and asthma in a case–control setting.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethics Statement

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Taipei Hospital (TH-IRB-11-02). Written informed consent was obtained after the study, and the sampling process was explained to the parents of the children and to the adolescents and their parents.

2.2. Participants and Study Design

All children included in this study were part of the Childhood Environment and Allergic Diseases Study (CEAS) cohort in 2010 in Taipei, Taiwan [31]. Exclusion criteria included an inability to answer question in Chinese and congenital and chronic diseases. The anthropometric data of the children were measured and recorded according to a standard procedure. In addition, a standardized self-reported questionnaire including basic demographics, birth history, family income, parental history of allergic diseases, and history of environmental exposure to pets and tobacco smoke was used to interview the parents.

To assess the incidence of postnatal exposure to pets, carpets, and tobacco smoke, among other allergens, an experienced pediatric allergist administered the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood questionnaire. For the detailed interview process, please refer to our previous study [31]. According to the 2015 Global Initiative for Asthma guidelines, the pediatric allergist confirmed doctor-diagnosed asthma on the basis of the following three criteria: (i) in the absence of a cold, the recurrence of at least two of the three symptoms of asthma (i.e., coughing, wheezing, and shortness of breath) within the past 12 months; (ii) a doctor’s diagnosis of asthma with ongoing treatment; and (iii) a clinical response to treatment with β2-agonists or inhaled corticosteroids [32].
Here, we used a PSM approach, which, in clinical and epidemiological investigations, helps create a balanced covariate distribution between patient (case) and healthy (control) groups [33]. In this approach, standardized mean difference (SMD) is a commonly used and valid statistic for assessing the balance of covariate distribution between case and control groups. Another measure that allows for matched sample comparison is the variance ratio (VR). We thus set the proper matching criteria as follows: SMD < 0.1 and 0.5 < VR < 2. We also matched three control participants on the basis of their sex, age, body mass index (BMI), and date of enrollment within 3 months to one case after the completion of both the questionnaire and the urine specimens. Among 615 eligible participants, we excluded 115 participants without body weight or height measurement, 203 participants without urine samples, and 97 participants who only analyzed 4 phthalates metabolites. From the remaining 201 participants, we then employed PSM to select 41 children with clinically diagnosed asthma and 111 controls without (see Supplementary Table S1 and Figure S1).

In this study, Power is conventionally set at 0.80, which implies that a study investigating a true effect will correctly reject the null hypothesis 80% of the time and will report a false negative (commit a Type II error) in the remaining 20% of cases. The sample size estimate is ranged from 31 to 181, which means the number of our study participants achieved the requirement of the medium effect.

2.3. Analytical Method for Detecting Phthalate Metabolites

First, mid-stream urine in the morning was collected. In order to analyze phthalate metabolites, urine samples were collected in a polypropylene container and stored at −80 °C. Eleven phthalate metabolites, namely monoethylhexyl phthalate (MEHP), mono-(2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl) phthalate (MEOHP), mono-(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate (MEHHP), mono-(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl) phthalate (MECPP), mono-(2-carboxymethylhexyl)phthalate (MCMHP), mono-n-butyl phthalate (MnBP), monoisobutyl phthalate (MiBP), monoethyl phthalate (MEP), monoisononyl phthalate (MiNP), MBzP, and monomethyl phthalate (MMP), were detected using liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-ESI-MS/MS) [34]. Briefly, a urine sample (100 μL) was incubated at 37 °C for 90 min with ammonium acetate (20 μL, >98%; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), β-glucuronidase (10 μL, E. coli K12; Roche Biomedical, Mannheim, Germany), and a mixture of 10 isotopic ([13C4]) phthalate metabolite standards (100 μL, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, MA, USA). An online system was used together with LC-ESI-MS/MS (Agilent 1200/API 4000; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The limits of detection (LODs) for MMP, MEP, MiBP, MnBP, MBzP, MEHP, MEHHP, MEOHP, MECPP, MCMHP, and MiNP were 0.3, 0.3, 1.0, 1.0, 0.3, 0.7, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.1, and 0.1 ng/mL, respectively. Each batch contained one blank repeated quality control (QC) sample and one spiked QC sample, with the concentration of the blank samples set below twice the detection limit. For the QC sample, a pooled urine sample was spiked with phthalate metabolite standards (20–50 ng/mL). The relative percentage difference between the repeated samples and the recovered spiked QC sample was within ±30%. Concentrations below the calibration curve were evaluated as 1/2 LOD value [35].

2.4. Analytical Method for Detecting Oxidative and Nitrosative Stress Biomarkers

We used isotope dilution LC-MS/MS to evaluate the levels of four oxidative and nitrosative stress biomarkers: 8-hydroxy-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), 8-nitroguanine (8-N2Gua), 8-isoprostaglandin F2α (8-isoPGF2α), and 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal-mercapturic acid (HNE-MA). We also assessed the levels of malondialdehyde (MDA) in urine samples by measuring the level of thiobarbituric acid–reactive substances. For more detailed information, please refer to Cheng et al. [36].

2.5. Estimating Daily Exposure to Phthalates

We estimated the daily intake (DI) of each phthalate from urinary phthalate metabolites. Equation (1) shows the formula used [37–39], where U&E is the urinary excretion of the
assessed urinary phthalate metabolites per gram of creatinine; $CE_{smoothed}$ is the smoothed creatinine excretion rate, a value based on age, body weight ($BW$), height ($ht$), and sex, proposed by Mage et al. [40,41]; $F_{UE}$ is the molar fraction, which describes the molar ratio between the excreted amounts of specific metabolites of each phthalate corresponding to the dietary intake of the parent phthalate; $MW_d$ is the molar weight of the diester parent compound; $MW_m$ is the molar weight of the corresponding monoester.

$$\text{Daily intake (µg/kg/day)} = \frac{UE(µg/g) \times CE_{smoothed}(mg/day)}{F_{UE} \times BW(kg) \times 1000(µg/g)} \times \frac{MW_d}{MW_m} \quad (1)$$

For adults ($\geq 18$ years old):

$$CE_{smoothed} = 1.93 \times (140 - Age) \times BW^{1.5} \times h t^{0.5} \times 10^{-3} \ldots \text{ (male)}$$

$$CE_{smoothed} = 1.64 \times (140 - Age) \times BW^{1.5} \times h t^{0.5} \times 10^{-3} \ldots \text{ (female)}$$

For minors ($3 < 18$ years old):

$$CE_{smoothed} = ht \times \{6.265 + 0.0564 \times (ht - 168)\} \ldots 168 \text{ cm} \ldots \text{ (male)}$$

$$CE_{smoothed} = ht \times \{6.265 + 0.2550 \times (ht - 168)\} \ldots ht \geq 168 \text{ cm} \ldots \text{ (male)}$$

$$CE_{smoothed} = 2.045 \times ht \times \exp \{0.01552 \times (ht - 90)\} \ldots \text{ (female)}$$

Equation (2) shows the formula used to assess the DI of DEHP and diibutyl phthalate (DBP), where $UE$ is the urinary excretion of the assessed total urinary DEHP or DBP metabolites per gram of creatinine:

$$\text{Daily intake (µg/kg/day)} = \frac{UE(\text{moles/g}) \times CE_{smoothed}(mg/day) \times MW_d}{F_{UE} \times BW(kg) \times 1000(µg/g)} \quad (2)$$

2.6. Tolerable Daily Intake and Reference Dose of Phthalates

The tolerable daily intake (TDI) values of seven phthalates, according to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the World Health Organization (WHO), are outlined in Supplementary Table S2 [39,42]. Although phthalates have been intensively studied for years, only a few studies have assessed the health risks of asthma. Therefore, in this study, we used the results of previous animal experiments on allergic or immune reactions for our reference doses (RfDs). We adopted the lowest-observed-adverse-effect levels (LOAELs) of Zou et al. for DEHP (3 mg/kg/body) [43], Li et al. for DBP (4 mg/kg/body) [44], and Sadakane et al. for diisononyl phthalate (DiNP; 15 mg/kg/body) [45]. We then used these LOAELs to evaluate no-observed-adverse-effect levels (NOAELs) according to the recommendations of the US Environmental Protection Agency. Generally, the NOAEL is equal to the LOAEL divided by a modifying factor (MF), which was 10 in this study. Similarly, the RfD is equal to the NOAEL divided by an uncertainty factor (UF), which was 100 in this study. This means that the RfDs of DEHP, DBP, and DiNP were 3, 4, and 15 µg/kg/day, respectively. The formulas for NOAEL and RfD are as follows:

$$\text{NOAEL} = \frac{\text{LOAEL}}{\text{MF}} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{RfD} = \frac{\text{NOAEL}}{\text{UF}} \quad (4)$$

2.7. Cumulative Risk Assessment: Hazard Quotient and Hazard Index

We used the hazard quotient (HQ) to calculate the risk posed by each phthalate to each participant. The formula for HQ is as follows:

$$HQ = \frac{DI}{\text{Reference limit value}} \quad (5)$$

A hazard index (HI) below 1 means a low probability of adverse effects from exposure to several chemicals [46]. The HI derived from TDI values is the sum of the HQs of DEHP, DBP, di-n-butyl phthalate (DnBP), diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP), BBzP, diethyl phthalate
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(DEP), and DiNP (TDI as the reference limit value). Similarly, the HI for asthma is the sum of the HQs of DEHP, DBP, and DiNP (RfD as the reference limit value).

\[
HI_{TDI} = HQ_{DEHP \ TDI} + HQ_{DBP \ TDI} + HQ_{DiBP \ TDI} + HQ_{BBzP \ TDI} + HQ_{DEP \ TDI} + HQ_{DiNP \ TDI}
\]

(6)

\[
HI_{asthma} = HQ_{DEHP \ RFD} + HQ_{DBP \ RFD} + HQ_{DiNP \ RFD}
\]

(7)

2.8. Statistical Analysis

All descriptive statistics regarding participant demographics are presented as median and interquartile ranges for the continuous variables of age and BMI at enrollment and as percentages for the categories of family history of asthma, tobacco exposure, carpet exposure, pet exposure, and annual family income, among others. We calculated the detection rate as the percentage of samples with detectable phthalate metabolites among all samples. The distributions of urinary phthalate metabolites and oxidative and nitrosative stress biomarkers are described using detection rates, geometric means (GMs), and selected percentiles (25th, 50th, 75th, and 95th) for case and control groups. We used a Wilcoxon signed-rank test to compare the medians of urinary phthalate metabolites and oxidative and nitrosative stress biomarkers between the case and control groups. We also compared the concentrations of urinary phthalate metabolites across different demographic factors. Finally, we used logistic regression to obtain the odds ratios (ORs) of higher oxidative and nitrosative stress biomarkers with different HIs.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic Characteristics of the Study Participants

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the study participants. No significant differences were observed in age, sex, or BMI. Mothers who smoked or were exposed to secondhand smoke during pregnancy were significantly more likely than their counterparts to have children with asthma (60.0% vs. 39.6%, \(p = 0.043\)). Moreover, low-income families were more likely than their counterparts to have children with asthma (59.4% vs. 24.8%, \(p = 0.001\)).

Table 1. Characteristics of subjects in control (n = 111) and case (n = 41) groups.

| Characteristics          | Items   | All Participants | Control (n = 111) | Case (n = 41) | p-Values * |
|--------------------------|---------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|
| **Continuous variables** |         |                  |                   |              |            |
| Age (years)              | Median (Range) | 7 (3–18)         | 7 (3–18)          | 7 (3–17)     | 0.479      |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\))         | Median (Range) | 16.1 (13.2–23.4) | 16.1 (13.2–23.2) | 16.0 (13.4–23.4) | 0.579      |
| **Categorical variables**|         |                  |                   |              |            |
| Sex                      | n (%)   | 113 (74.3)       | 82 (73.9)         | 31 (75.6)    | 0.993      |
| Female                   | 39 (25.7) | 29 (26.1)       | 23 (25.1)         | 10 (24.4)    |            |
| Annual House income (USD) |         |                   |                   |              | 0.001 **   |
| <18,750                  | Median (Range) | 44 (33.1) | 25 (24.8)         | 19 (59.4)    |            |
| 18,750–31,250            | 37 (27.8) | 33 (32.7)        | 4 (12.5)          |              |            |
| >31,250                  | 52 (39.1) | 43 (42.5)        | 9 (28.1)          |              |            |
| Parents (at least one) have asthma or allergy | n (%) | 18 (12.3) | 11 (10.5) | 7 (17.1) | 0.418 |
| No                       | 128 (87.7) | 94 (89.5)       | 85 (82.9)         |              |            |
| Mother smoked or being exposed to secondhand smoke during pregnancy | n (%) | 66 (45.2) | 42 (39.6) | 24 (60.0) | 0.043 * |
| No                       | 80 (54.8) | 64 (60.4)        | 36 (40.0)         |              |            |
| Children exposed to secondhand smoke | n (%) | 88 (59.9) | 62 (58.5) | 26 (63.4) | 0.720 |
| No                       | 59 (40.1) | 44 (41.5)        | 32 (36.6)         |              |            |
| Home within 1 km from the main road | n (%) | 120 (86.3) | 88 (87.1) | 32 (84.2) | 0.866 |
| No                       | 19 (13.7) | 13 (12.9)        | 6 (15.8)          |              |            |
Table 1. Cont.

| Characteristics                          | Items                     | All Participants | Control (n = 111) | Case (n = 41) | p-Values |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------|
| Cockroaches exist at home b             | Yes                       | 118 (79.7)        | 89 (82.4)         | 29 (72.5)    | 0.271    |
|                                         | No                        | 30 (20.3)         | 19 (17.6)         | 11 (27.5)    |          |
| Have pets at home h                     | Yes                       | 29 (19.5)         | 20 (18.5)         | 9 (22.0)     | 0.810    |
|                                         | No                        | 120 (80.5)        | 88 (81.5)         | 32 (78.0)    |          |
| Incense use at home i                   | Yes                       | 73 (50.3)         | 55 (51.9)         | 18 (46.2)    | 0.671    |
|                                         | No                        | 72 (49.7)         | 51 (48.1)         | 21 (53.8)    |          |
| Pesticide use at home j                 | Yes                       | 57 (39.6)         | 39 (36.8)         | 18 (47.4)    | 0.342    |
|                                         | No                        | 87 (60.4)         | 67 (63.2)         | 20 (52.6)    |          |
| Indoor carpet k                         | Yes                       | 13 (8.8)          | 10 (9.3)          | 3 (7.5)      | 0.981    |
|                                         | No                        | 134 (91.2)        | 97 (90.7)         | 37 (92.5)    |          |
| Have an air conditioner at home l       | Yes                       | 146 (100)         | 106 (100)         | 40 (100)     | 1.000    |
|                                         | No                        | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)        |          |
| Have mold plaque (wall cancer or moss)  | on the walls or bathroom at home m | Yes | 53 (36.3) | 37 (34.6) | 16 (41.0) | 0.692 |
|                                         | No                        | 93 (63.7)         | 70 (65.4)         | 23 (59.0)    |          |
| Have children take vitamin C or E n     | Yes                       | 47 (35.9)         | 38 (37.3)         | 9 (31.0)     |          |
|                                         | No                        | 84 (64.1)         | 64 (62.7)         | 20 (69.0)    |          |
| Have children take cod liver oil o      | Yes                       | 16 (12.3)         | 10 (9.8)          | 6 (21.4)     | 0.182    |
|                                         | No                        | 114 (87.7)        | 92 (90.2)         | 22 (78.6)    |          |
| Have children take deep sea fish oil p  | Yes                       | 9 (6.9)           | 7 (6.9)           | 2 (6.9)      | 1.000    |
|                                         | No                        | 122 (93.1)        | 95 (93.1)         | 27 (93.1)    |          |
| Have children take vitamin D and calcium tablets q | Yes | 52 (40.0) | 40 (39.2) | 12 (42.9) | 0.896 |
|                                         | No                        | 78 (60.0)         | 62 (60.8)         | 16 (57.1)    |          |
| Have children take lactic acid bacteria f | Yes | 75 (58.1) | 61 (60.4) | 14 (50.0) | 0.441 |
|                                         | No                        | 54 (41.9)         | 40 (39.6)         | 14 (50.0)    |          |
| Have children take propolis s          | Yes                       | 9 (6.9)           | 6 (5.9)           | 3 (10.3)     | 0.673    |
|                                         | No                        | 122 (93.1)        | 96 (94.1)         | 26 (89.7)    |          |
| Have children take traditional Chinese medicine (American ginseng) t | Yes | 32 (24.4) | 23 (22.5) | 9 (31.0) | 0.488 |
|                                         | No                        | 99 (75.6)         | 79 (77.5)         | 20 (69.0)    |          |

a Wilcoxon signed rank test (continuous variables) and Chi-square test (categorical variables) was applied to compare the control group and case group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001; parameters showing statistical significance are highlighted in bold. b Ten and 9 missing data of annual house income in control and case group, respectively; currency exchange rate of USD to new Taiwan dollar is 1:32. c Six missing data of parents (at least one) have asthma or allergy in control group. d Five and 1 missing data of mother smoked or was exposed to secondhand smoke during pregnancy in control and case group, respectively. e Five missing data of children exposed to secondhand smoke in control group. f Ten and 3 missing data of home within 1 km from the main road in control and case group, respectively. g Three and 1 missing data of cockroaches exist at home in control and case group, respectively. h Three missing data of have pets at home in control group. i Five and 2 missing data of incense use at home in control and case group, respectively. j Five and 3 missing data of pesticide use at home in control and case group, respectively. k Four and 1 missing data of have home carpeted in control and case group, respectively. l Five and 1 missing data of have an air conditioner at home in control and case group, respectively. m Four and 2 missing data of have mold plaque (wall cancer or moss) on the walls or bathroom at home in control and case group, respectively. n Nine and 12 missing data of have children take vitamin C or E in control and case group, respectively. o Nine and 13 missing data of have children take cod liver oil in control and case group, respectively. p Nine and 12 missing data of have children take deep sea fish oil in control and case group, respectively. q Nine and 13 missing data of have children take vitamin D and calcium tablets in control and case group, respectively. r Five and 3 missing data of insecticide use at home in control and case group, respectively. s Four and 1 missing data of have children use lactic acid bacteria in control and case group, respectively. t Five and 1 missing data of have children take traditional Chinese medicine (American ginseng) in control and case group, respectively.
3.2. Distribution of Urinary Phthalate Metabolites and Oxidative and Nitrosative Stress Biomarkers

Table 2 compares the levels of phthalate metabolites between patients with asthma and healthy controls. Outliers, such as participants with phthalate metabolite levels exceeding 1000 ng/mL, were removed from the table. The detection rate of phthalate metabolites was similar in both the case and the control groups, ranging from 44.7% to 100%. In addition, MnBP (41.1), MECPP (36.5), and MEHP (36.1) exhibited the highest GMs of urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations (ng/mL) in the control group, whereas MECCPP (53.7), MnBP (39.9), and MEHHP (34.9) exhibited the highest GMs in the case group. The median urinary phthalate levels in the case group were slightly higher than in the control group for most phthalate metabolites (MMP: 15.5 vs. 14.0; MnBP: 33.7 vs. 31.2; MBzP: 3.2 vs. 2.4; MEHP: 35.9 vs. 33.5; MEHHP: 50.0 vs. 28.93; MEOPH: 20.8 vs. 14.9; MECCPP: 36.9 vs. 27.7; and MCMEHP: 16.1 vs. 9.9 ng/mL). Table 3 shows the levels of oxidative and nitrosative stress biomarkers in the case and control groups.

Table 2. Levels of urinary phthalate metabolites (ng/mL) for control and case groups.

|          | Phthalate Metabolites | 50th (95% CI) | 75th (95% CI) | 95th (95% CI) |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| MMP      | Control               | 95           | 91.9 (76.0-14.3) | 140.0 (115.5-187.4) | 29.7 (22.2-40.1) |
|          | Case                  | 38           | 82.3 (69.0-95.6) | 167.0 (150.0-200.0) | 5.9 (3.3-8.5) |
| MnBP     | Control               | 95           | 90.8 (81.0-100.0) | 130.0 (114.0-147.5) | 27.7 (21.0-37.7) |
|          | Case                  | 38           | 78.0 (69.0-101.0) | 120.0 (99.4-147.4) | 8.9 (5.9-11.9) |
| MEHP     | Control               | 95           | 89.7 (81.5-100.0) | 120.0 (114.0-147.5) | 27.7 (21.0-37.7) |
|          | Case                  | 38           | 79.0 (69.0-101.0) | 120.0 (99.4-147.4) | 8.9 (5.9-11.9) |
| MCPP     | Control               | 95           | 89.7 (81.5-100.0) | 120.0 (114.0-147.5) | 27.7 (21.0-37.7) |
|          | Case                  | 38           | 79.0 (69.0-101.0) | 120.0 (99.4-147.4) | 8.9 (5.9-11.9) |
| MCEHP    | Control               | 95           | 89.7 (81.5-100.0) | 120.0 (114.0-147.5) | 27.7 (21.0-37.7) |
|          | Case                  | 38           | 79.0 (69.0-101.0) | 120.0 (99.4-147.4) | 8.9 (5.9-11.9) |
| MCEHP    | Control               | 95           | 89.7 (81.5-100.0) | 120.0 (114.0-147.5) | 27.7 (21.0-37.7) |
|          | Case                  | 38           | 79.0 (69.0-101.0) | 120.0 (99.4-147.4) | 8.9 (5.9-11.9) |

ΣDEHPm (nmol/mL) y:

|          | Control               | 95           | 0.3 (0.2-0.4) | 0.1 (0.1-0.2) | 0.3 (0.2-0.6) | 1.0 (0.8-1.6) | 3.7 (3.0-5.2) | 5.7 |
|          | Case                  | 38           | 0.3 (0.2-0.5) | 0.1 (0.1-0.2) | 0.3 (0.2-0.7) | 1.2 (0.5-1.6) | 2.3 (1.6-5.0) | 5.0 |
Table 2. Cont.

| Phthalate Metabolites | 25th (95% CI) | 50th (95% CI) | 75th (95% CI) | 95th (95% CI) | p-Value b |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
|                       | Min          | Selected Percentiles | Max          |              |           |
| Control               | 0.6 (0.5–0.8) | <0.1          | 0.2 (0.2–0.3) | 0.8 (0.5–0.9) | 1.7 (1.1–2.6) | 3.9 (3.6–6.1) | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Case                  | 0.6 (0.4–1.0) | <0.1          | 0.2 (0.1–0.5) | 0.8 (0.3–1.2) | 1.7 (1.1–2.8) | 5.9 (2.8–8.0) | 8.0 |

**Abbreviation:** not detectable (ND), interquartile range (IQR), confidence interval (CI), mono-methyl phthalate (MMP), mono-ethyl phthalate (MEP), mono-n-butyl phthalate (MnBP), mono-iso-butyl phthalate (MiBP), monobenzyl phthalate (MBzP), mono-isononyl phthalate (MiNP), mono-ethylhexyl phthalate (MEHP), mono-(2-ethyl-5-oxo-hexyl) phthalate (MEOHF), mono-(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate (MEHHF), mono-(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl) phthalate (MECPP), mono-(2-carboxymethylhexyl) phthalate (MCMHP).

**a** Limit of detection, ND was calculated as half of detection limit. The limit of detection for MMP, MEP, MiBP, MnBP, MBzP, MEHP, MEHHP, MEOHF, MECPP, MCMHP, and MiNP were 0.3, 0.3, 1.0, 1.0, 0.3, 0.7, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.1, and 0.1 ng/mL, respectively.

**b** Comparison of control and case groups by Wilcoxon signed rank test. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

**c** ΣDBPm = sum molar concentrations of MiBP and MnBP.

**d** ΣDEHPm = sum molar concentrations of MEHP, MEHHP, MECPP, and MCMHP.

Table 3. Levels of oxidative/nitrosative stress biomarker for control and case groups.

| Phthalate Metabolites | GM (95% CI) | Min | Selected Percentiles | Max | p-Value a |
|-----------------------|------------|-----|----------------------|-----|-----------|
|                       |            |     | 25th (95% CI)        | 50th (95% CI) | 75th (95% CI) | 95th (95% CI) |       |
| MDA (µmol/L)          |            |     |                      |     |            |            | 0.708 |
| Control               |            |     | 6.0 (5.2–6.9)        | 2.0 (3.0–4.2) | 5.7 (4.8–6.8) | 8.3 (7.2–10.6) | 19.2 (13.8–30.3) |           |
| Case                  |            |     | 6.0 (4.8–7.5)        | 1.2 (2.6–4.9) | 6.3 (4.2–7.7) | 8.4 (7.7–12.8) | 16.1 (12.8–29.9) |           |
| 8-OHdG (µg/L)         |            |     | 4.2 (3.7–4.7)        | 0.9 (2.3–3.1) | 4.1 (3.4–4.7) | 6.4 (5.2–8.0) | 10.5 (9.1–11.9) | 13.0 |
| Control               |            |     | 4.2 (3.9–4.4)        | 0.9 (1.8–2.9) | 3.4 (2.5–4.2) | 5.2 (4.0–8.1) | 10.0 (8.1–12.0) | 12.0 |
| Case                  |            |     | 3.1 (2.7–3.6)        | <LOQ (1.4–2.4) | 3.6 (2.7–4.3) | 5.2 (4.6–6.0) | 7.9 (6.7–8.6) | 8.7  |
| 4-HNEMA (µg/L)        |            |     | 2.8 (2.2–3.6)        | <LOQ (1.1–2.8) | 2.4 (2.6–3.8) | 3.4 (3.8–6.0) | 4.9 (6.0–8.0) | 8.0  |
| Control               |            |     | 2.8 (2.6–3.3)        | <LOQ (1.4–2.4) | 2.1 (2.7–3.8) | 3.4 (4.6–6.0) | 7.9 (6.7–8.6) | 8.7  |
| Case                  |            |     | 2.8 (2.6–3.3)        | <LOQ (1.1–2.8) | 2.4 (2.6–3.8) | 3.4 (3.8–6.0) | 4.9 (6.0–8.0) | 8.0  |
| 8-IsoPGF2α (µg/L)     |            |     | 29.8 (26.4–33.6)     | 6.2 (17.9–23.6) | 21.6 (24.1–36.9) | 45.3 (37.8–53.0) | 72.6 (64.0–79.8) | 86.5 |
| Control               |            |     | 27.2 (21.9–33.8)     | 5.9 (13.2–20.4) | 16.0 (18.1–34.8) | 39.7 (32.8–66.2) | 72.4 (66.2–80.1) | 80.1 |
| Case                  |            |     | 4.7 (4.1–5.2)        | 1.1 (2.5–3.8) | 3.1 (4.0–5.8) | 4.8 (6.3–8.4) | 7.0 (9.6–13.5) | 14.1 |
| 8–IsoPGF2α (µg/L)     |            |     | 4.7 (3.7–5.9)        | 1.3 (1.9–4.4) | 3.9 (3.9–5.9) | 8.8 (5.6–11.2) | 12.6 (11.2–12.8) | 12.8 |

**LOQ:** limit of quantitation, LOQ for 8-OHdG is 1 µg/L. **a** Comparison of control and case groups by Wilcoxon signed rank test. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

3.3. Hazard Index and Distribution of the Daily Intake Values of Phthalates

Table 4 shows the estimated DI values (µg/kg/day) in the case and control groups. After comparing the DI value of each phthalate with the TDI and RfD values, we determined that the DI more commonly exceeded the RfD than the TDI. Furthermore, in the control group, DEHP exceeded the TDI in 16.8% of instances but exceeded the RfD in 85.3% of instances. Tables 5 and 6 show the HQs and HIs by TDI and RfD for both the case and control groups. The HIs by TDI exceeding one were 68.4 and 65.8 for the control and case groups, respectively, whereas the HIs by RfD exceeding one were 94.7 and 97.4 for the control and case groups, respectively. Figure 1 compares the HIs and HQs between RfD and TDI.
**Table 4.** Levels of estimated daily phthalate intakes (µg/kg/day) for control and case groups.

|  | 25th (95% CI) | 50th (95% CI) | 75th (95% CI) | 95th (95% CI) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Control | 13.06 (10.16–18.67) | 8.98 (7.76–20.72) | 21.68 (15.32–29.75) | 36.64 (22.47–48.02) |
| Case | 1.61 (1.02–2.75) | 1.48 (1.02–2.75) | 1.43 (1.01–1.91) | 1.29 (1.00–1.60) |

**Abbreviation:** confidence interval (CI), benzyl butyl phthalate (BBzP), di-butyl phthalate (DBP), di-iso-butyl phthalate (DiBP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DnBP), di-ethyl phthalate (DEP), di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP), dimethyl phthalate (DMP), di-isooetyl phthalate (DiNP), tolerable daily intake (TDI), reference dose (RfD). A TDI for DEHP, DBP (i+n), DiBP, BBzP, DEP, and DiNP are equal to 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, and 50 µg/kg/day, respectively. A RfD for DEHP, DBP (i+n), and DiNP are equal to 3, 4, and 15 µg/kg/day, respectively. A Comparison of control and case groups by Wilcoxon signed rank test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

**Table 5.** Hazard quotients and hazard index by tolerable daily intake for control and case groups.

|  | 25th (95% CI) | 50th (95% CI) | 75th (95% CI) | 95th (95% CI) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Control | 0.16 (0.02–0.34) | 0.02 (0.01–0.1) | 0.01 (0.00–0.01) | 0.01 (0.00–0.00) |
| Case | 0.26 (0.20–0.34) | 0.20 (0.16–0.24) | 0.10 (0.06–0.12) | 0.09 (0.06–0.10) |

**Abbreviation:** confidence interval (CI), benzyl butyl phthalate (BBzP), di-butyl phthalate (DBP), di-iso-butyl phthalate (DiBP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DnBP), di-ethyl phthalate (DEP), di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP), dimethyl phthalate (DMP), di-isooetyl phthalate (DiNP), tolerable daily intake (TDI), reference dose (RfD). A TDI for DEHP, DBP (i+n), DiBP, BBzP, DEP, and DiNP are equal to 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, and 50 µg/kg/day, respectively. A RfD for DEHP, DBP (i+n), and DiNP are equal to 3, 4, and 15 µg/kg/day, respectively. A Comparison of control and case groups by Wilcoxon signed rank test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
Table 5. Cont.

|    | >1 (%) | GM (95% CI) | Min | 25th (95% CI) | 50th (95% CI) | 75th (95% CI) | 95th (95% CI) | Max | p-Value * |
|----|--------|-------------|-----|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----|-----------|
| HQDNP |        |             |     |               |               |               |               |     |           |
| Control | 95     | 26.3        | 0.28 (0.15–0.55) | <0.01 | 0.02 (0.01–0.02) | 0.08 (0.04–0.75) | 3.46 (1.62–9.46) | 119.28 | 0.472 |
| Case   | 38     | 15.8        | 0.17 (0.07–0.42) | <0.01 | 0.01 (0.01–0.02) | 0.08 (0.02–0.61) | 1.52 (0.49–5.40) | 16.75 | 0.356 |
| HI (HQDEHP + HQDNP) |        |             |     |               |               |               |               |     |           |
| Control | 95     | 68.4        | 2.46 (1.68–3.60) | 0.05 | 0.76 (0.44–1.02) | 1.69 (1.03–2.42) | 6.68 (3.95–12.20) | 121.21 | 0.02 |
| Case   | 38     | 65.8        | 1.64 (1.04–2.58) | 0.12 | 0.52 (0.38–1.14) | 1.52 (0.94–2.09) | 3.23 (2.00–7.97) | 74.74 | 0.523 |

Abbreviation: confidence interval (CI), hazard quotient (HQ), hazard index (HI). * Comparison of control and case groups by Wilcoxon signed rank test. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

Table 6. Hazard quotients and hazard index by reference dose for control and case groups.

|    | >1 (%) | GM (95% CI) | Min | 25th (95% CI) | 50th (95% CI) | 75th (95% CI) | 95th (95% CI) | Max | p-Value * |
|----|--------|-------------|-----|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----|-----------|
| HQDEHP |        |             |     |               |               |               |               |     |           |
| Control | 95     | 85.3        | 4.34 (3.32–5.67) | 0.17 | 1.93 (1.20–2.85) | 4.35 (3.39–5.62) | 10.10 (7.49–16.01) | 33.21 | 0.599 |
| Case   | 38     | 89.5        | 3.95 (2.78–5.61) | 0.54 | 2.02 (1.23–2.85) | 3.30 (2.59–6.91) | 7.89 (5.11–9.92) | 20.77 | 0.411 |
| HQDBP  |        |             |     |               |               |               |               |     |           |
| Control | 95     | 53.7        | 1.16 (0.87–1.56) | 0.02 | 0.42 (0.30–0.66) | 1.21 (0.75–1.46) | 2.79 (2.13–4.03) | 12.27 | 0.700 |
| Case   | 38     | 47.4        | 1.08 (0.78–1.49) | 0.17 | 0.58 (0.39–0.70) | 0.90 (0.64–1.35) | 1.76 (1.22–3.14) | 8.37 | 0.472 |
| HQDNP  |        |             |     |               |               |               |               |     |           |
| Control | 95     | 35.8        | 0.26 (0.15–0.55) | <0.01 | 0.02 (0.01–0.02) | 0.08 (0.04–0.75) | 0.46 (1.62–9.46) | 119.28 | 0.472 |
| Case   | 38     | 31.6        | 0.17 (0.07–0.42) | <0.01 | 0.01 (0.01–0.02) | 0.08 (0.02–0.61) | 1.52 (0.49–5.40) | 16.73 | 0.520 |
| HI (HQDEHP + HQDBP + HQDNP) |        |             |     |               |               |               |               |     |           |
| Control | 95     | 94.7        | 9.53 (7.12–12.77) | 0.25 | 3.85 (2.63–4.68) | 8.16 (5.81–10.84) | 24.78 (13.01–37.90) | 134.39 | 0.320 |
| Case   | 38     | 97.4        | 6.97 (4.87–9.96) | 0.73 | 3.20 (1.62–4.85) | 7.89 (4.21–10.29) | 11.38 (9.82–19.84) | 39.94 | 0.730 |

Abbreviation: confidence interval (CI), hazard quotient (HQ), hazard index (HI). * Comparison of control and case groups by Wilcoxon signed rank test. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

Figure 1. Comparisons of HI and HQs between RfD and TDI by boxplot. (A) HI (B) HQDEHP (C) HQDBP (D) HQDNP. A HQ of <0.2 is often considered acceptable, while a HI of <1.0 is considered acceptable.
3.4. Stratified Analysis of Urinary Phthalate Metabolites

Supplementary Table S3 shows the levels of urinary phthalate metabolites across different demographic factors in both the case and control groups. In the control group, participants with an annual income exceeding USD 31,250 had higher medians of urinary MMP levels (17.9 vs. 12.7 and 6.5 ng/mL) and urinary MBzP levels (3.8 vs. 2.4 ng/mL and ND) than those of their counterparts in other income categories. Participants who did not use incense at home had higher medians of urinary MMP levels (17.9 vs. 12.7 and 6.5 ng/mL) and urinary MBzP levels (3.8 vs. 2.4 ng/mL and ND) than those of their incense-using counterparts. Participants whose mothers did not smoke or were not exposed to secondhand smoke during pregnancy had a higher median urinary MEP level (no: 10.2, yes: 4.7 ng/mL) than that of their counterparts. Participants who did not have pets at home had higher medians of urinary MiNP level (no: 7.0, yes: ND) and ΣDEHPm levels (no: 1.5, yes: 0.5 nmol/mL) than those of their counterparts. Participants who did not have cockroaches at home had higher medians of urinary MEP levels (no: 10.2, yes: 4.7 ng/mL) and urinary MiNP levels (no: 7.0, yes: ND) than that of their counterparts. Participants who did not have pets at home had a higher median urinary MiNP level (no: 4.0 ng/mL, yes: ND) than that of their counterparts. Participants whose homes were located away 1 km from the main road had a higher median ΣDEHPm level (away: 4.5, within: 2.1 nmol/mL) than that of their counterparts. In the case group, participants who did not use incense at home had a higher median ΣDEHPm level (no: 1.26, yes: 0.5 nmol/mL) than that of their counterparts.

3.5. Association between Hazard Indices and Oxidative and Nitrosative Stress Biomarkers

As shown in Table 7, the adjusted ORs for higher oxidative and nitrosative stress biomarkers were stratified by HI. After adjustment for age, urinary creatinine, annual house income, and mother smoked or being exposed to secondhand smoking during pregnancy, HI_TDI was significantly associated with higher 8-IsoPGF_2α as HI_TDI ≥ 1.

Table 7. Odds ratios \(^a\) and 95% confidence intervals of higher \(^b\) oxidative/nitrosative stress biomarkers with different hazard indices (N = 126).

| Hazard Index | Categories | MDA | 8-OHdG | 8-NO2_Gua | 4-HNEMA | 8-IsoPGF_2α |
|--------------|------------|-----|--------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| HI_asthma    | HI < 1 (Ref.) | 1   | -      | -         | 1        | -           |
|              | HI ≥ 1     | 0.58 | 0.02-8.80 | 1.90 | 0.16-44.35 | 2.43 | 0.22-54.68 | 2.41 | 0.22-54.11 | 3.06 | 0.27-71.28 |
| HI_TDI       | HI < 1 (Ref.) | 1   | -      | -         | 1        | -           |
|              | HI ≥ 1     | 1.26 | 0.52-3.11 | 0.91 | 0.40-2.06 | 1.31 | 0.59-2.92 | 1.06 | 0.47-2.36 | 3.83 | 1.65-9.35 |

\(^a\) Adjusted for age, urinary creatinine, annual house income, and mother smoked or being exposed to secondhand smoke during pregnancy. \(^b\) Oxidative/nitrosative stress biomarkers larger than 50th percentile of the population.

4. Discussion

In this study, the median urinary phthalate metabolite levels in the case group were slightly higher than in the control group for most phthalate metabolites (i.e., MMP, MnBP, MBzP, MEHP, MEHHP, MEOHP, MECPP, and MCMHP). The levels of MMP, MEP, and MEHP were higher than those obtained by Hsu et al. [26], whereas the levels of MBzP, MEHHP, and MEOHP were lower. In addition, the levels of most urinary phthalate metabolites in this study were lower than those of Bertelsen et al. [28]. Hsu et al. reported that increasing the level of exposure to MBzP increases the incidence of asthma [26]. In another study, Bertelsen et al. reported that the presence of high levels of urinary DEHP metabolites in children increases the risk of asthma [28]. Wu et al. identified significant associations between the risk of asthma and the levels of MBzP (OR = 1.17, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.06–1.28), MEHHP (OR = 1.13, 95% CI: 1.03–1.24), and MECPP (OR = 1.20, 95% CI: 1.00–1.42) [47]. Overall, our results are consistent with previous studies, even at lower doses.
In their study, Bekö et al. did not observe a clear positive association between phthalate metabolites in urine and disease-specific case status. However, they highlighted an association for certain phthalates between nondietary phthalate exposure occurring in an indoor environment and allergic sensitization among children with asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis, and atopic dermatitis [29]. Generally, the effect of phthalate on a certain health indicator varies depending on the mode of exposure. However, the total intake of phthalates is calculated using the levels of urinary phthalate metabolites; this provides no information regarding the contribution of different exposure modes [48,49]. In addition, in an indoor environment, inhalation and dermal absorption may be more relevant in terms of health effects than total exposure, including dietary exposure. In this study, the fact that urinary phthalate metabolite exposure was regarded as total phthalate exposure may have been the reason why differences in urinary phthalate metabolites between the case and control groups were not significant.

Here, we proposed RfDs for DEHP, DBP, and DiNP and an HI for asthma. An HI value exceeding one was taken as indicative of the cumulative health risk of asthma. However, the TDI values set by the EFSA and WHO mostly pertained to reproductive adverse health effects and were, therefore, not suitable for this study. Because of the small number of studies on the assessment of the risk of asthma from phthalates, we used the results of previous animal experiments on allergic or immune reactions for RfDs. In an animal experiment involving injection and oral and nasal instillation, Zou et al. highlighted that although DEHP exposure did not affect immune responses in the absence of sensitization, DEHP increased the production of reactive oxygen species and MDA at 3 mg/kg/body. [43]. In another animal experiment, Li et al. used skin sensitization and fluorescein isothiocyanate to compare sensitized and nonsensitized groups. They reported that, in the absence of sensitization, exposure to 40.0 mg/kg/body DBP did not cause considerable allergic or immune responses. However, in the presence of sensitization, 4.0 mg/kg/body DBP caused substantial histological and immunological changes [44]. In another study, Sadakane et al. investigated the effects of DEHP and DiNP on the exacerbation of atopic dermatitis through a neck skin injection. However, they observed no significant differences in DiNP exposure at the highest concentration of 15 mg/kg/body [45]. Therefore, in this study, we adopted the LOAELs of Zou et al. for DEHP (3 mg/kg/body) [43], Li et al. for DBP (4 mg/kg/body) [44], and Sadakane et al. for DiNP (15 mg/kg/body) [45]. The RfDs that we obtained for DEHP, DBP, and DiNP were lower than the TDI values set by the EFSA and WHO, which resulted in a high rate of HI exceedance (larger than 1). This high rate of HI exceedance for asthma is indicative of the high risk of asthma due to phthalate exposure.

Kimber and Dearman investigated whether phthalates themselves are causative agents [50]. Some phthalates may function as adjuvants for agents that cause allergic sensitization. Using murine models (i.e., BALB/c mice), researchers have examined the potential of phthalates to function as adjuvants for immunological responses. Allergic asthma is characterized by immune responses involving antigen-presenting dendritic cells, T_{H2} cells, mast cells, and eosinophils, as well as the production of specific IgE, all of which underpin the development of allergic sensitization. In different situations, several phthalates may have immunomodulatory effects, immunosuppressive effects, or adjuvant effects on T_{H2} responses [15,16,50].

In our study, maternal active or passive smoking during pregnancy was significantly higher in children with asthma than in healthy children. Several studies have indicated that maternal smoking can lead to the onset of asthma during early childhood and even exacerbate its symptoms [51,52]. In addition, low-income families did have more mothers who smoked or were exposed to secondhand smoke during pregnancy in our study, which could be the potential reason why low-income families were more likely than their counterparts to have children with asthma. We also demonstrated that other risk factors of asthma, including exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke [53], a family history of asthma, and exposure to furry pets [54], were slightly higher in children with asthma than in healthy children.
Here, the diagnosis of asthma was made by a well-trained physician, thereby eliminating the risk of misclassification. We also evaluated the inclusion of the control group with a variety of strategies, which allowed us to maintain a large sample size and simultaneously ensure successful matching. However, the sample size used in this study was smaller than those of other studies, and the results may not be representative on a global scale. In addition, this was a case–control study in which causality cannot be established. We were also unable to determine whether patients with asthma changed their behavior after receiving their diagnosis. Although the half-life of phthalates is short, previous studies have only employed a single morning urine sample [55–57]. We did not have measures of asthma control (i.e., lung function, fraction exhaled nitric oxide, symptoms, exacerbations), which is another limitation.

5. Conclusions

The results obtained in this study indicate that environmental factors such as exposure to phthalates may be associated with asthma and its development in children. The median urinary phthalate levels in the case group were slightly higher than in the control group for most phthalate metabolites, although we were unable to pinpoint the reason. Further mechanistic, prospective, large-scale, epidemiological studies are required to verify the risk mechanism of asthma.
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