Abstract— Rabies is one of the most important zoonotic disease in Indonesia that need to be eliminate. The rabies control/elimination program has been undertaken by local government to achieve 2023 West Java Province rabies free. The aim of this study is to measure the effectiveness of rabies control program in West Bandung Regency. We modified RabiesEcon, the mathematical of dog-dog and dog-human rabies transmission to simulate rabies control program in West Bandung Regency. The human population data, dog population and the rabies control program (dog vaccination, postexposure prophylaxis (PEP) and dog population control program data) are using to this model based on the data from local government. We build 3 optional control program: no vaccination (Scenario 1), annual vaccination of 70% of dogs population (Scenario 2) (Based on WHO recommendation for rabies elimination) and 35% of dogs vaccinated program biannually (Scenario 3) . We estimate the number of preventable dog rabies and the cost of DALY averted due to this disease. We estimate the accumulative rabies cases in 5 years’ period of the program will be reduce by 97% and 94% when Scenario 1 and 2 was implemented in West Bandung Regency. Human death rabies can be averted approximately 28 cases from this program and the cost per death that can be averted are US$ 7,896-10,007 cumulatively and cost per DALY averted are approximately US$ 246-270. Human rabies could be eliminated on the second year, meanwhile dog rabies on the third year of the program. Annual vaccination program of 70% of dog population is the best option to be implemented to prevent the human cases and the economically lost from this disease. We strongly recommend the implementation of this rabies control program in West Bandung Regency.

Keywords— rabies, dog, effectiveness, control program, DALY

I. INTRODUCTION

Rabies still the priority zoonotic that has to be eliminated in Indonesia. Rabies caused the death around 656 people during the period 2011-2015 in Indonesia [1]. This disease not only impact on public health but also in environmental health, wildlife sectors and socioeconomic sectors. Counting rabies cost can be determined from some aspect such as the impact on livestock and working animals, on tourism, recreation and wildlife conservation and also the public health impact that can be expressed as disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) [2].

Hampson et al. [3] explained that the estimation of rabies causes 59,000 human death, over 3.7 million DALY and 8.6 billion USD economic losses annually. The largest component is due to premature death and post exposure prophylaxis (PEP). Post exposure prophylaxis will very costly in the free area with significant and unnecessary costs and unfavorable benefit [4]. In developed country, where rabies is not the main issue for domestic animals, prevention of rabies has been performed in wild animals to prevent the infection of this disease [5]. In developing country where rabies is endemic disease like in many African and Asian Country, accessibility of PEP treatment is the main burden that impact in human especially in rural area and children particularly [6].

Implementation of rabies elimination program has been developed in many rabies endemic country. This action need multisectoral collaboration such as public health sectors, animal health, environmental and also wildlife sectors. World Health Organization summarize that successful rabies control program consist of three
pillars i.e. community participation; education, public awareness and access to mass vaccination of dogs; and access to post bite treatment [7].

In Indonesia, rabies control program has developed with One Health approach involving public health and animal health sectors. To implement this program, decision maker need the justification to obtain the effective program to eliminate or prevent rabies in that area. Cost effectiveness of rabies control program has been studied in several areas in Indonesia such as Flores island which is the rabies-endemic area in Indonesia. West Java is the one of province that still infected by certain area. To prevent the spread of the disease, rabies control program in surrounding area has to be done. The aim of this study is to demonstrate the effectiveness of rabies control program in West Bandung Regency as a threatened area in West Java Province.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

We use Rabiesecon tools, a spreadsheet tool that use mathematical model of dog and dog and dog-human rabies transmission to estimated ward of rabies [8]. West Bandung Regency is the threatened rabies area because its geographical surrounded by non-free rabies area.

We use the West Bandung Regency as setting area as the setting area with approximately 1.7 million human population in 1,311 km\(^2\) area. Cost inputs are based on their rabies control program on 2018 (Table 1). The Regency government has implemented dog mass vaccination, population control and also mass education campaign program.

| Program Area | Area Setting | Reference |
|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| Square kilometres (km\(^2\)) of program area | 1,311 | (9) |
| Human population | 1,710,088 | (9) |
| Human per km\(^2\) | 1,308 | Calculated |
| Human birth rate (per 1,000 population) | 29.00 | (9) |
| Human life expectancy | 72.0 | (9) |
| Number of humans per dog (Human:Dog ratio) | 362.0 | Calculated |
| Dog population | 4,724 | Calculated |
| Dogs per km\(^2\) | 3.6 | 6 |
| Dog birth rate (per 1,000 dogs) | 572 | 5.0 |
| Dog life expectancy, years | 5.0 | assumption |
| Number of bites per dog to another dog | 2.40 | |
| Rabies R0,Dog to Dog | 1.080 | |
| Dog-Human transmission rate | 0.0002054 | Calculated |
| Human deaths annually in current program (at week 0) | 0 | |

Intervention Option

Three option of intervention for these models has developed. Firstly (scenario 1), no vaccination at all for all population, secondly (scenario 2) is the WHO recommendation of vaccination program that vaccine for 70% dog population with spayed and neutered program annually and lastly (scenario 3) 35% of biannually rabies vaccination program with spayed and neutered program annually as in Table 2. Program cost was justified with the local cost that implemented in Bandung Barat Regency. We apply 3% of discount rate on this study.

III. RESULTS

On scenario 1 of setting program, there no vaccinated given to the dog population cumulative estimation of infected dogs are 1,428 dogs in this setting area. Using the Scenario 2 and 3 that implement the vaccination program with 70% coverage levels annually and 35% biannually (respectively) dog rabies cases will eliminate at 3\(^{rd}\) year of the program.

![Fig 1. Canine rabies cases in the setting scenario](image1)

Human deaths from dog rabies exposure are 28 people in 5 years if no program implemented and it will be increases with time. Using scenario 2 and 3, human deaths will be averted and will be zero on 2\(^{nd}\) year.

![Fig 2. Human rabies cases in the setting scenario](image2)

Program cost for the scenario 2 and 3 program cost is almost the same in the implementation of scenario 2 and 3 in this study. Cumulative cost on 5 years’ implementation of rabies control program will be US$248,388 for scenario 2 and US$ 247,132 for scenario 3. Meanwhile, cumulative cost per human death averted is US$ 10,007 and US$ 10,398, and cost per DALY averted is 270 and 290 for scenario 2 and 3.
respectively. Almost 80% of total cost of this program allocated to dog vaccination.

### Table 3 Program Cost Rabies Control Program in West Java Regency at 5th Year

| Scenario | Annual Program Costs ($US) | Cumulative Annual Cost ($US) |
|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Scenario 1 | 47,264                      | 248,388                      |
| Scenario 2 | 47,116                      | 247,132                      |
| Scenario 3 | 246                         | 270                          |

| Cost per DALY averted (discounted) ($US) | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 |
|----------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| N/A                                    | N/A        | N/A        | N/A        |

### IV. Discussion

Based on our finding, rabies outbreak will appear and increase over time if no action implemented against this disease in setting area of Wes Bandung regency because of the risk from un-free neighbor area. The increase of the rabid dog will increase the risk of human death due to rabies, in accordance with previous study [10]. By implementing a program where 80% of the cost is vaccination in animal that cost approximately 10 USD per dog, it can reduce the probability of rabid dogs and human death up to 98% in 5 years. This is consistent with the previous research where if annual mass vaccination of 70% of the total number of dogs using a long-acting vaccine was the most cost-effective strategy in reducing rabies cases in dogs in Flores Indonesia [8]. If we compare with the cost of PEP (120 USD) in this study the vaccination program will be much effective against rabies disease in human and also affordable.

Our stimulation estimated that rabies can be eliminated in 3 years after the program. This finding will be achieved if the scenario 2 or 3 has implemented consistently. This finding is consistence with the previous study in Africa City that dog rabies-mass vaccination campaign achieving a coverage of least 70% will be effective to break the transmission dog rabies to humans for at least 6 years [11].

DALY as the estimation the burden of the disease can be used to prioritize the program of some disease such as rabies by the decision maker [12]. DALY was estimated using score of the years of life lost due to premature mortality and years of life lost with disability caused by the disease. Mortality rate for rabies in human almost 100% and in Indonesia the average age of date due to rabies is 10 years old with life expectancy in this country is 72 years old so the YYL of rabies based on this study is 32.13 much higher than previous study [3].

Based on this study, whereas the data that we used are the estimation such as the dog population and the estimation of the number human infected, this impact should not be underestimated. A study in South Africa using highly dynamic population shown that 70% coverage rabies vaccination is effective to maintain critical threshold of rabies infection [13]. Study in Japan, the free rabies area, in contra said that implementation of annual vaccination of domestic dogs is very inefficient, it is probably because Japan has very low risk of rabies outbreak in past 26 years [14]. It will be very different with Indonesia which is rabies endemic area. The effective investment on preventing rabies in animals still the best option [15] against rabies and preventing human death based on this study. Using cost effectiveness of the certain program can give the picture for the stakeholder [16] to take actions against rabies especially in Indonesia.
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