Placenta is Capable of Protecting the Male Fetus from Exposure to Environmental Bisphenol A
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Abstract
Embryo–fetal exposure to bisphenol A (BPA) could be related to poor male reproductive parameters in rodents, but this concept has not been convincingly confirmed in humans. We investigated the association of environmental BPA exposure of pregnant women with selected endocrine and anthropometric parameters of male newborns. We analyzed plasma BPA from pregnant mothers, umbilical cord, and placental tissues (n = 117/group) by liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry. LH, FSH, AMH, TGFβ2, inhibin B, and selected sex steroids were measured in cord plasma. The infant anthropometric parameters included anogenital distance, stretched penile length, head circumference, birthweight, and length. The median BPA concentrations in maternal and umbilical cord plasma, and in placental tissue were 19.0, 8.0, and 22.2 nmol/L, respectively, the levels thus being over twofold lower in the fetal circulation than in the mother or placenta. The BPA concentrations measured were 100–1000-fold lower than those demonstrated in animal experiments to have endocrine disrupting effects. Multivariable regression analysis indicated no significant correlations between the maternal/fetal/placental BPA concentrations and any of the hormone levels or anthropometric parameter measured. Plasma concentrations of BPA confirmed both maternal, placenta, and fetal exposure to environmental BPA, but the concentrations were orders of magnitude lower than those with documented endocrine disrupting activity. Moreover, the maternal/fetal concentration gradient as well as the lack of correlations of BPA levels with any major endocrine or anthropometric parameters measured in the newborns suggest a protective role for the placenta in reducing fetal exposure to the environmental BPA.

Keywords Bisphenol A · Environmental exposure · Pregnancy · Male fetus · Placenta

Introduction
Endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) are synthetic or natural molecules that may interfere with the body’s endocrine system and produce developmental, reproductive, neurological, and immune effects (Li et al. 2015). Many EDCs possess estrogenic, anti-estrogenic, or anti-androgenic activity (Combarnous and Nguyen 2019), which may affect the reproductive system. They have been potentially associated with the impairments of the male reproductive system such as cryptorchidism and hypospadias, the testicular dysgenesis syndrome, and decreased semen quality (Carlsen et al. 1992; Jorgensen et al. 2012; Mathews and Hamilton 2005; Skakkebaek et al. 2001, 2016; Toppari et al. 1996, 2010). In numerous animal studies, EDCs acted as estrogen receptor antagonists and showed adverse effects on testicular development and function of the hypothalamic–pituitary–testicular axis (Doshi et al. 2011; Fenichel et al. 2013; Gould et al. 1998; Liu et al. 2013; Nakamura et al. 2010). Data on major harmful effects of EDCs on humans cannot as yet be considered convincing.

Among the EDCs, bisphenol A (BPA) is regarded as the most common and is by far the most studied EDC (Sharpe and Irvine 2004) (over 12,000 hits in PubMed). BPA is...
mostly found in plastic products (water bottles, CDs, DVDs, and medical equipment) and epoxy resins (water pipe and beverage can linings), and it easily leaches out of cans, microwavable containers, dental sealants, and polycarbonate bottles during normal use (Allard 2011; Rochester 2013; Rubin 2011; Vandenberg et al. 2007). BPA binds to estrogen receptors (both nuclear and membrane receptors such as GPR30) with similar affinity (Kd = 10^-6 M) as estradiol (E2) binds to membrane estrogen receptors (Gould et al. 1998; Molina-Molina et al. 2013; Routledge et al. 2000). There is a growing concern regarding BPA exposure in the general population (including children and pregnant women) (Huo et al. 2015; Vandenberg 2014; vom Saal et al. 2007). The National Toxicology Program and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) first banned BPA use in baby bottles (FDA 2012a, b); however, later on, they have amended the regulations [https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2012/07/17/2012-17366/indirect-food-additives-polymers], as companies no longer use BPA-based polycarbonate resins in baby bottles. In 2015, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) announced that the BPA used in the production of plastic and the BPA present in the environment was safe for humans, although they reduced the BPA safety level from 50 to 4 µg/kg body weight/day [https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/press/news/150121].

Exposure to BPA, even at low doses, has been implied to pose a health risk (1), while others suggest that human exposure is lower than the harmful BPA concentrations (Calafat 2012; Vandenberg et al. 2007) [https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/press/news/171214; CLARITY-BPA project]. Nevertheless, in all these cases, the exposure levels were at high-nano to micromolar concentrations. Epidemiological studies and clinical observations have found that male fertility has declined over the past few decades (Vandenberg et al. 2007; Virtanen et al. 2017), e.g., in some studies sperm counts have decreased by 50% in the last 50 years (Carlsen et al. 1992). Moreover, BPA, similar to some other EDCs (e.g., diethylstilbestrol, DES) (McLachlan et al. 1975), may elevate the ratio of estrogenic/androgenic activity during the male programming window (MPW) for fetal testicular masculinization during prenatal development (Fenichel et al. 2013; Rochester 2013; Rubin 2011; Shelnutt et al. 2013; Skakkebaek et al. 2001, 2016). This may cause persistent changes in spermatogenesis and testicular steroidogenesis in adult life (Skakkebaek et al. 2001, 2016). Animal studies with in vivo exposure suggest that at doses exceeding normal environmental exposure, BPA affects male reproductive development and may cause testicular dysgenesis and atrophy, enlargement of the prostate gland, decreased AGD, and changes in semen parameters (Lee et al. 2018). Moreover, BPA may influence the hypothalamic–pituitary–testicular axis and the secretion of gonadotropins and steroid hormones, and alter their receptor expression (Anjum et al. 2011; Arase et al. 2011; Fenichel et al. 2013; Jin et al. 2013; LaRocca et al. 2011; Medwid et al. 2016; Nakamura et al. 2010; Tyl et al. 2008; Vrooman et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2010; Xi et al. 2011). BPA actions are not only limited to fetuses and adults but could also be passed on to future generations through epigenetic effects (Skinner 2011a, b, c). The question of whether environmental BPA exposure is sufficient to influence testicular development and male fertility in humans remains contentious.

Herein, we revisited the potential effects of environmental BPA exposure in pregnant women, and consequently checked the possible associations of environmental BPA exposure with a number of parameters related to function of the hypothalamic–pituitary–testicular axis of male fetuses and newborns. We also investigated whether the placenta could be capable of reducing BPA transport from the mother to the fetus, thus potentially protecting the male fetus from environmental BPA exposure.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

The inclusion criteria of pregnant mothers for this study were a singleton male pregnancy, consent to well-informed participation and willingness to complete a detailed questionnaire. The exclusion criteria were as follows: any severe chronic disease (e.g., diabetes, hypertension, or thyroid pathology) and the development of any disease-related pathology due to pregnancy that may affect fetal development or growth. One hundred and seventeen pregnant Caucasian women (n = 117, nulliparous n = 57, multiparous n = 60) fulfilling the inclusion criteria took part in the present study. The participants were questioned about their prior medical history, eventual occupational BPA exposure, age, socioeconomic status, and weight before pregnancy and at delivery. Gestational age was estimated based on the first day of the last menstrual cycle or the first ultrasound.

The primary measure of the socioeconomic status was weighted income quintile calculated from total family income in the country, adjusted for family size using the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development’s equivalency scale. (Development 2015; Martinson and Reichman 2016). We also considered maternal education coded as less than high school or equivalent, high school or equivalent, some postsecondary education or college, and at least a college education, as an additional measure of socioeconomic status (Pilkauskas and Martinson 2014).
**Anthropometric Parameters**

Anthropometric parameters were evaluated in male newborns, including the anogenital distance (AGD see below), the stretched penile length (SPL), the head circumference, and the birthweight and length, as described earlier (Fenichel et al. 2012). A digital caliper, with a measuring range: 0–150 mm/0–6”, resolution: 0.01 mm/0.0005”, accuracy: ±0.02 mm/±0.008” [https://www.cromwell.co.uk/shop/measuring-and-test-equipment/digital-calipers/polycal-digital-caliper-0-150mm-0-6inch/p/SEN3311700K], was used for all measurements. AGD was measured by placing the infants in a dorsal decubitus position, with spread legs and bent knees. Infants were measured from the center of the anus to the anterior base of the penis (AGDap) and from the center of the anus to the anterior base of the scrotum (ASDas). Two measurements were taken to minimize errors. SPL was assessed by measuring the distance from the penile base under the pubic symphysis to the tip of the glans. Birthweight was measured with a calibrated digital scale. External genitalia were examined using an orchidometer, including urethral orifice positioning and testicular positioning and size.

Peripheral blood was obtained from 117 mothers during admission to hospital for delivery (~ 38–41th week of pregnancy), in order to avoid the hospital-stay effects in relation to BPA exposures/contaminations. Hospital-stay contaminations could depend on BPA in the hospital dietary sources or eating/drinking containers. Cord venous blood was obtained from 117 male newborns at the time of delivery. All blood samples were collected in BPA-free tubes with EDTA (Corning Incorporated, NY, # catalog number MCT-200-L-C), plasma was isolated by centrifugation, and stored at −80 °C. Ten pieces of 0.5 cm placental trophoblast tissue were collected after delivery were snap-frozen and stored at −80 °C, thawed when needed at room temperature, and homogenized in 0.01 mol/L ammonium acetate buffer pH 4.5 with methanol and 4 mol/L perchloric acid. The homogenates were then vortexed and centrifuged at 4 °C and 5000 g for 10 min. The supernatants were extracted using preconditioned SPE C18 columns (Solid Phase Extraction). BPA was eluted from the BEADS with a solution of diethyl ether and methanol at a volume ratio of 9:1. The eluates were evaporated at 37 °C. The pellets were dissolved in methanol and injected into UHPLC microcolumns coupled with a mass spectrometer (TripleTOF 5600 + mass spectrometer, AB SCIEX, US). Deuterated BPA was used as an internal standard. The BPA concentrations were calculated using a calibration curve for concentrations from 0 to 4.3 nmol/L.

**Analytical Methods**

**BPA Measurement from the Umbilical Cord and Mater’s Blood Plasma**

Bisphenol A was measured from thawed at room temperature plasma samples. BPA was extracted three times from the samples, using sonication in acetonitrile and vortex mixing. The collected supernatants were first centrifuged for 10 min at 5000 g and then evaporated at 37 °C under nitrogen atmosphere until complete solvent evaporation. The formed solids were then dissolved in methanol and injected into UHPLC microcolumns coupled with a mass spectrometer (TripleTOF 5600 + mass spectrometer, AB SCIEX, US). Deuterated BPA was used as an internal standard. The BPA concentrations were calculated using a calibration curve for concentrations from 0 to 4.3 nmol/L.

**AMH Measurements**

An infinite M200 Pro microplate reader (Tecan, Tecan Group Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland) was used to detect anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH), together with AMH Gen II ELISA (Beckman Coulter CA, U.S.A.), following the manufacturer’s (Beckman Coulter) instructions. Before measurement, the samples were thawed to room temperature and diluted tenfold in the AMH Gen II Sample Diluent supplied in the kit. The AMH concentration was calculated using the calibration curve for concentrations between 0 and 0.16 nmol/L.

**Inhibin B Measurements**

The Inhibin B EIA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used to detect inhibin B, following the manufacturer’s instructions. Absorbance at 450 nm was measured with an Infinite M200 Pro microplate reader (Tecan). Inhibin B concentration was calculated using the calibration curve for concentrations between 0 and 7.14 nmol/L.
TGF-β 2 Measurements

The human TGF-β 2 ELISA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to detect transforming growth factor-beta 2, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. An Infinite M200 Pro microplate reader (TECAN) was then used to measure absorbance at 450 nm. The TGF-β 2 concentration was calculated using calibration concentrations between 0 and 125 μmol/L.

Testosterone and Androstenedione Measurements

Methyl tert-butyl ether was used to extract the steroid hormones. The organic fractions were then evaporated under a nitrogen atmosphere at 50 °C to complete dryness. The residue was dissolved in a derivatization solution (0.7 M hydroxylamine dissolved in a methanol/water solution, volume ratio 3:7) and incubated at 70 °C for 1 h. Before analysis, the same volume of water was added to the samples. Steroid hormone levels were assessed using liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) using the Shimadzu LCMS/MS 8040 kit. A Kinetex 5u EVO C18 100A 100 × 2.1 mm column was used for separation. A water/methanol solution gradient was the separating mobile phase; 10 mM of formic acid (from 15 to 97% methanol for 1.5 min) was added to both solvents. Testosterone and androstenedione were identified by the retention time and typical fragmentation spectrum in the positive ion mode. Deuterated steroid hormones were used as internal standards. The testosterone and androstenedione concentrations were calculated using calibration curve for concentrations from 0 to 50 nmol/L.

Statistical Analysis

The normality of distribution was tested using the Shapiro–Wilk test. There was no normal distribution in any of the analyzed quantitative features. Thus, to characterize the analyzed quantitative variables, the median and quartile (Q1 and Q3) indicators were used. The non-parametric Friedman test with adjusted post hoc Conover test was used to compare BPA concentrations among the maternal, placental, and umbilical cord plasma samples. Due to their high variations, BPA concentrations and umbilical cord plasma inhibit B levels were transformed to logarithmic scale. The Spearman’s rank test was used to determine the correlation coefficient between the analyzed quantitative variables. A p value lower than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistica 12.0 Software (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK) was used for biostatistical calculations.

Results

Tables 1 and 2 feature the general characteristics of the pregnant women and their male newborns, respectively. Briefly, the mothers had a narrow age range (29–33 years) and only ~ 5% of them had BMI > 24. The median BMI increase during pregnancy was 4.7 (Table 1). Approximately 48% of the women were expecting their first child. The majority of the women (n = 68) had their children delivered by Caesarian sections and 48 delivered by vaginal birth (37–42 weeks of gestation), all without fetal anomalies (Table 2). The birthweights ranged between 3.2 and 3.8 kg with a median of ~ 3.5 kg. Birth lengths, head circumferences, AGDAs, AGDap, and SPLs as median and quartiles (Q1 and Q3) are shown in Table 2.

BPA concentrations were measured in maternal blood in late pregnancy (during the time of admission to hospital for delivery) and umbilical cord vein collected during delivery, as well as in placental tissue extracts (Fig. 1). There was a statistically significant (p < 0.001) difference between serum BPA concentrations in maternal plasma vs. placental tissue (p < 0.05), and maternal plasma vs. cord plasma (p < 0.001) by post hoc analysis (Fig. 1). The highest BPA concentration was observed in placental tissues, with a median value of 22.3 nmol/kg (Q1 = 17.4 nmol/kg, Q3 = 37.0 nmol/kg). A lower concentration was detected
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In maternal plasma [19.0 nmol/L (Q1 = 14.9 nmol/L, Q3 = 29.3 nmol/L)], and the lowest BPA concentration was detected in cord plasma [8.01 nmol/L (Q1 = 4.4 nmol/L, Q3 = 11.4 nmol/L)] (Fig. 1). A significant pair correlation ($R = 0.39$) ($p < 0.01$) between maternal plasma vs. placental tissue could be found, although no paired correlations existed between the mother vs. umbilical cord or umbilical cord vs. placental tissue BPA concentration levels (Suppl. Fig. 1).

There were no significant correlations between the maternal plasma BPA and umbilical cord plasma hormone concentrations (testosterone, androstenedione, AMH, FSH, inhibin B, and TGF-β2) (Fig. 2 and Suppl. Fig. 1). Neither was there correlation between umbilical cord plasma BPA concentrations and AGDas, AGDap, newborn penis length, and newborn head circumference (Fig. 3).

We did not find any significant correlation between the placental tissue BPA concentrations and cord plasma hormones or anthropometric parameters (Fig. 4). No correlations between the placental BPA concentrations and the assessed hormone levels in umbilical cord plasma were found (Suppl. Figs. 2–4).

**Discussion**

**Pregnant women and newborns were exposed to BPA**

Some studies suggest that the elevated serum BPA levels ranging from 2.0 to 32.6 nmol/L (Chou et al. 2011; Ikezuki et al. 2002; Kuroda et al. 2003; Schonfelder et al. 2002; Shekhar et al. 2017) are not due to environmental exposure but rather to contamination during blood sampling (Teegarden et al. 2016). We trust this is not the case with our samples because they were collected with BPA-free equipment. We confirmed that all the examined pregnant women were exposed to environmental BPA, with a median concentration of 19.0 nmol/L in maternal peripheral plasma, in line with the earlier reports (Chou et al. 2011; Ikezuki et al. 2002; Kuroda et al. 2003; Schonfelder et al. 2002; Shekhar et al. 2017). Administration of a massive BPA dose, 100 µg/kg body weight orally with food in a single dose, caused the maximum blood plasma concentration of unconjugated BPA of 6.5 nmol/L (Thayer et al. 2015). This concentration was lower than observed in our analyses, possible due to saturation of the BPA transport from the gut. However, the kinetics of BPA absorption in human digestive track has not been well studied, and only a part of this compound was apparently absorbed. People are rarely exposed to high single doses of BPA, and typical exposure occurs from low doses absorbed constantly (when environment and dietary habits remain constant). Therefore, the saturation of BPA transport is rare, and plasma concentration may reach higher values. Due to strict criteria of avoiding “hospital-stay” effects in relation to EDC exposure (hospital dietary sources or eating/drinking containers with BPA), we could exclude the additional source of contamination. In our study, the median BPA concentration in maternal peripheral plasma was more than twofold higher than in cord blood. Earlier studies suggest that fetuses and newborns are exposed to BPA as well, with a wide concentration range from 2.2 to 10.1 nmol/L in cord blood (Chou et al. 2011; Kolatorova et al. 2018; Lee et al. 2018; Yamamoto et al. 2016). Some studies have shown significantly higher BPA levels in cord than maternal blood (Kolatorova et al. 2018; Lee et al. 2018), whereas others observed no correlation between maternal and fetal blood levels of BPA (Chou et al. 2011; Yamamoto et al. 2016).

It has been suggested that BPA may pose a potential risk for the developing fetus due to its higher sensitivity to chemical factors than of adult organs (Gatimel et al. 2016). In this study, we revisited the exposure in pregnant women and male fetuses to BPA. The novelty of our study lies in the simultaneous measurement of BPA levels in maternal and umbilical cord vein blood, and placental tissue, and assessment of their potential associations with several endocrine parameters related to the hypothalamic–pituitary–testicular function of male fetuses and newborns, as well as with several important anthropometric parameters. Some studies showed that BPA urinary level is higher than in blood plasma because the BPA is mainly removed from the body with urine (Calafat 2012). However, in our study, we decided to analyze the maternal plasma BPA concentration.
Fig. 2 Correlation between the mother’s peripheral plasma BPA concentration and the umbilical cord plasma steroid hormone levels (testosterone and androstenedione), anthropometric parameters (AGDas anogenital distance anus–scrotum, AGDap anogenital distance anus–penis, SPL the stretched penile length), or newborn head circumference (n = 117/group)
Placenta is capable of protecting the male fetus from exposure to environmental Bisphenol A as a source of direct exposure of the fetus to this compound. Comparison of the different BPA concentrations could indicate to what extent the placenta is able to reduce the BPA burden received by the fetus from the mother.

Transfer of BPA from Mother to Fetus was Significantly Reduced

Our study confirmed statistically significantly ($p < 0.001$) higher BPA concentrations in the maternal and placental compartments as compared to cord plasma. One cannot rule out
Fig. 4 Correlation between the placental tissue BPA concentration and the umbilical cord plasma steroid hormone levels (testosterone and androstenedione), anthropometric parameters (AGDas anogenital distance anus–scrotum, AGDap anogenital distance anus–penis, SPL the stretched penile length), or newborn head circumference (n=117/group)
out that the lower concentration of BPA in the fetal could be a result of selective transfer of BPA through placenta and placental protective effect on fetal BPA exposure. However, such a potentially protective effect of the placenta on fetal BPA exposure requires further investigation throughout pregnancy.

Given that the placenta may work as a barrier between the fetus and mother in terms of BPA transport, the interaction of BPA and placenta remains largely unknown. Another group (Rajakumar et al. 2015) tested recently the impact of BPA on the placental gene expression profile in vitro. BPA stimulation of placental tissue at micromolar concentrations significantly upregulated the expression of hydroxysteroid 11-beta hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 2 (11ß-HSD2), aromatase (CYP19A1), glucose transporter 1, corticotropin-releasing hormone, and human chorionic gonadotropin. It was suggested that BPA may affect gene expression in trophoblasts with an impact on placental function and lead to complications during pregnancy, such as miscarriages, premature labor, poor fetal growth, and increased risk of hypertension and eclampsia (Rajakumar et al. 2015). However, it should be emphasized that the BPA concentrations tested were 100–1000-fold higher than detected in placental tissue (Rajakumar et al. 2015). BPA concentrations in placental tissues of cryptorchid newborn boys with hypospadias were significantly higher that of in a group of healthy children (Fernandez et al. 2016). A statistically significant correlation between placental BPA concentration and birthweight was also reported in this cryptorchid newborn group (Fernandez et al. 2016). In another study, a negative correlation between birthweight and placental BPA levels [ranging from 17.6 to 1096 nmol/kg (mean 412 ± 246 nmol/kg)] was shown (Troisi et al. 2014), which could not be replicated in the present study.

In adult humans, nearly all of the BPA is eliminated through urine, which takes place right after an extensive hepatic first-pass conjugation into BPA-glucuronide (BPA-G) by uridine 5’-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferases, and/or by sulfotranferases to a much less extent into BPA-sulfate (BPA-S) (Volkel et al. 2002). Due to the non-estrogenic character of the metabolites, these reactions are usually considered as detoxification (Nakagawa and Tayama 2000; Matthews et al. 2001). Animal studies have shown that at the late stage of pregnancy BPA is efficiently conjugated by the fetoplacental unit, mainly into BPA-G, which remains trapped within that organ. BPA-G could in turn possibly be reactivated into BPA by other enzymes in the fetal circulation or placenta, suggesting that the placenta transfer/conjugation of BPA after environmental exposure makes it less harmful to the fetus than estimated.

It may be difficult to compare the BPA concentrations in serum and placental tissue, as there is no way of knowing how BPA is distributed in the placental residual blood and extracellular and intracellular spaces. The only meaningful comparison may be between the maternal and fetal/umbilical blood. Whether BPA remains in the placenta is unclear despite the studies comparing the placental and blood concentrations. We found significantly lower (2.3-fold) BPA concentrations in the fetus than maternal plasma or placental tissues. Placental tissue BPA concentrations mimic the maternal plasma concentrations, as there was no significant difference in between their levels, providing further evidence that the placental barrier protects the fetus from exposure to BPA.

Environmental BPA Exposure May Not Impair Fetal Steroidogenesis

Studies on animals have shown that the exposure of pregnant females to BPA affects testicular steroidogenesis, as well as induces abnormalities of the reproductive system, especially in terms of testicular structure and sperm quality in the male descendants (Castro et al. 2013; El-Beshbishy et al. 2013; N’Tumba-Byn et al. 2012; Nakamura et al. 2010; Nanjappa et al. 2012; Xi et al. 2011). BPA exposure decreases intratesticular testosterone levels (Castro et al. 2013; El-Beshbishy et al. 2013; N’Tumba-Byn et al. 2012; Nakamura et al. 2010; Nanjappa et al. 2012; Xi et al. 2011) and decreases steroidogenic enzyme expression (D’Cruz et al. 2012; Xi et al. 2011). The epigenetic effect of BPA resulting in decreased steroidogenic enzyme expression has been detected in subsequent rat generations (Salian et al. 2009). Importantly, the limitation of the animal BPA data is the need of micromolar (Castro et al. 2013; El-Beshbishy et al. 2013; Nakamura et al. 2010; Xi et al. 2011) concentrations, as well as the route of gavage with 0.5–0.9 mg/kg BW doses (Fang et al. 2013; N’Tumba-Byn et al. 2012; Nanjappa et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2011) to demonstrate the harmful effects.

No association was found between cord blood BPA and sex steroid concentrations, in agreement with earlier studies (Kobayashi et al. 2010; LaRocca et al. 2011; Sanchez et al. 2013). Free BPA in cord blood has been shown to be inversely associated with INSL3, but conflicting results have been reported on the association of BPA levels with reproductive hormone concentrations, including testosterone (Fenichel et al. 2012; Galloway et al. 2010; Lassen et al. 2014; Meeker et al. 2010; Mendola et al. 2010). Our data showed that the environmental BPA exposure did not affect any of the hormone concentrations measured, thus contradicting several earlier animal studies (see above).
No Correlation was Found Between BPA Levels and Anthropometric Parameters, Birthweight, or Infant Head Circumference

We did not find any statistically significant correlations between AGDAs or AGDap and BPA levels in maternal plasma, placental tissue, or cord plasma. AGD is considered to respond to androgen action in utero (Dean and Sharpe 2013). In animal studies, reduced androgen action due to BPA exposure during fetal life was reported to shorten AGD (Tyl et al. 2008), although all studies have not reached such conclusions (Kobayashi et al. 2010; LaRocca et al. 2011). In contrast to our negative data, a positive dose-related correlation was found between shorter AGD in babies whose mothers were exposed to BPA (AGD 8.11 mm shorter, \( p = 0.003 \)) (Miao et al. 2011). However, in this study, the mothers were exposed to higher BPA levels due to their occupation, not environmentally. Earlier, another study also reported no significant correlation between among AGD, EDC exposure, and steroid hormone concentration in cord blood (Liu et al. 2016).

We did not either find any correlation between the birthweight and BPA concentration (placenta, cord blood, or serum) in contrast to positive correlations found between BPA concentration and low birthweight in the infant cord blood from a group of 97 women (11.0 nmol/L vs. 2.2 nmol/L (Chou et al. 2011) or between urine BPA concentrations and lower infant birthweight (Huo et al. 2015). A negative correlation between BPA and fetal growth and infant head circumference was shown in mothers with a BPA concentration above 18.5 nmol/kg creatinine; where the fetal weight gain was slow (Snijder et al. 2013). A negative correlation between amniotic fluid BPA and birthweight was also found (Pinney et al. 2017), although another study showed an opposite positive correlation between serum BPA levels and birthweight and height (Lee et al. 2014). An animal study showed that a concentration of 10 μg/kg/d of BPA in pregnant mice was associated with a lower birthweight and a higher body fat content as well as perturbed glucose homeostasis in F1 and F2 male offspring (Susiarjo et al. 2015). A plausible explanation for the lack of any correlation between the birthweight and BPA concentration in the present study could be that we strictly followed the criteria to qualify the mother from very similar socioeconomic status (Development 2015; Martinson and Reichman 2016; Pilkauskas and Martinson 2014), so that it would not have an impact on birthweight. Earlier, an association between the socioeconomic status and environmental toxicants has been shown (Tyrrell et al. 2013). Hence, our study could not detect any associations of the environmental BPA exposure with any of the anthropometric parameters measured either.

A limitation of this study could be that we excluded the female infants, as some epidemiological studies report effects of other EDCs (e.g., phthalates) on both males and females (Arbuckle et al. 2018). We decided to focus on males, because many reproductive end point outcomes in male infants are easier accessible (for example, the penile measurements). Fetal body burden may not be the primary/only mechanism by which BPA affects fetal development, as some reports demonstrate maternal thyroid hormone alterations in response to BPA, either consequent impairment of nutrient transport or oxygen supply (Bell and Ehrhardt 2002; Sur et al. 2019). Thyroid hormone levels were not measured in this study.

In the present study, there was a positive correlation between maternal weight before pregnancy and BPA levels in blood. This is a credible finding; if BPA originates from food it should accumulate more in individuals with higher BMI and higher food intake. The accumulation of BPA to adipocytes has been suggested to affect adiponectin and leptin secretion and to increase the risk of insulin resistance and obesity (Jayashree et al. 2013; Menale et al. 2017); these data are further strengthened by animal studies that showed the stimulation of adipogenesis by BPA (Somm et al. 2009) and the inhibition of adiponectin secretion by human adipocytes (Hugo et al. 2008).

Conclusion

In light of the BPA concentrations found in maternal, placental, and cord blood in this study, both the mother and the newborn are exposed to environmental BPA. However, our results suggest that the placenta may significantly reduce BPA transport from the mother to the fetus, thus protecting the fetus from environmental BPA exposure. The concentrations of BPA found in the different maternal–fetoplacental compartments were much lower than the concentrations shown to be harmful in other studies. Environmental BPA exposure showed no negative impact on fetal testicular steroidogenesis, as monitored by umbilical cord testosterone levels. In this study, no effects of BPA on anthropometric parameters were found. A limitation of our study could be that the maternal serum BPA levels were measured only once during admission to hospital for delivery; however, after anamnesis, the patients confirmed a constant environment and the same dietary habits, suggesting similar BPA levels throughout the pregnancy. Further studies on the mechanistic aspects of the placental protective role in BPA exposure towards the early gestational male programing window are needed.
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