Case report

Retinoblastoma presenting as pseudohypopyon and preserved visual acuity
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Purpose: To describe a Case of retinoblastoma that presented subtly as a pseudohypopyon in a child with preserved visual acuity.

Observations: A 3-year-old male was referred for concern of hypopyon in the left eye. Initial examination revealed 20/30 vision, a pseudohypopyon, and a large white mass on fundoscopy. Examination under anesthesia revealed extensive retinoblastoma with vitreous seeds and anterior chamber involvement. Enucleation was performed and histology demonstrated retinoblastoma with tumor cells found within the ciliary body, iris, iridocorneal angle, and Schlemm canal. Based on the high-risk histopathology findings, adjuvant chemotherapy was performed.

Conclusions and importance: Retinoblastoma is the most common primary intraocular malignancy in children. Though the classic presentation is leukocoria and/or strabismus, it can present in a variety of ways. Physicians should be aware that retinoblastoma, even severe forms, can present subtly with pseudohypopyon and preserved vision. Adjuvant chemotherapy for anterior segment involvement remains controversial.

1. Introduction

Retinoblastoma is the most common primary intraocular malignancy of childhood. Leukocoria is the most common presenting sign and is seen in the majority of cases (56%), followed by strabismus (24%). Retinoblastoma may present with a diverse range of signs and symptoms. Specifically, retinoblastoma can masquerade as uveitis or infection. Diagnosis of retinoblastoma is difficult in patients who present atypically. Here, we present a child with excellent vision who presented with a pseudohypopyon. Multimodal imaging revealed extensive unilateral retinoblastoma. The patient underwent enucleation and adjuvant systemic chemotherapy based on high-risk features on histopathology.

2. Case presentation

A 3-year-old boy was referred by an outside ophthalmologist who noted a “white spot” in his eye. He was a healthy child with an unremarkable, full-term birth history, and there were no significant diseases in the family. This very cooperative patient denied any vision changes or symptoms, including photophobia, and a comprehensive review of systems was negative, including a lack of fever, chills, fatigue, weight loss, joint pain, and rash. Uncorrected Lea visual acuity was 20/20 OD and 20/30 OS. Intraocular pressure by iCare tonometry was 17 OD and 24 OS. Pupils and eye movements were within normal limits OU. The conjunctiva was without hyperemia in both eyes. Slit lamp examination of the left eye revealed a 2 mm pseudohypopyon in the anterior chamber with layered red cells within it (Fig. 1) as well as punctate white lesions on the left iris. No circulating cells or flare was present in the anterior chamber and no keratoprecipitates were present. There were clumps of white cells in the vitreous, and on fundoscopic exam superonasally there was a large, white mass with tortuous feeder-vessels and subretinal exudation (Fig. 2A). Examination of the right eye was unremarkable.

Initial exam raised concern for likely retinoblastoma. The patient was urgently taken for an exam under anesthesia, where fluorescein angiography demonstrated prominent feeder and drainage vessels, retinal vascular dilatation and telangiectasias, late vascular leakage, terminal vascular bulbs with extensive subretinal exudation, and prominent iris neovascularization with leakage (Fig. 2B and C). B-scan ultrasonography of the left eye showed a large 6 × 8mm supranasal retinal mass with calcifications (Fig. 3). The right eye was confirmed to be unremarkable. An MRI of the brain and orbits did not show any extraocular extension of the tumor or any intracranial lesions.

This multimodal imaging confirmed retinoblastoma, Group E (cT3c),
with infiltration of the anterior segment. After a fully informed discussion of the findings, prognosis, and treatment options, including systemic chemotherapy, intra-arterial chemotherapy, likely with intracameral chemotherapy, and enucleation, the family opted to pursue primary enucleation.

Histopathology of the enucleated eye revealed extensive tumor in both posterior and anterior segments including infiltration into the ciliary body, iris, and iridocorneal angle (pT2b). Tumor cells were identified within Schlemm canal with concern for possible extraocular extension through the aqueous outflow system (Fig. 4). Given massive ciliary body involvement and involvement of outflow structures the eye was considered best categorized as high risk. Options regarding continued surveillance versus adjuvant chemotherapy was undertaken with the family and oncology service. They opted for chemotherapy and 6 cycles of vincristine, etoposide and carboplatin were administered. Genetic testing was negative, as were the ocular examinations of all family members. Surveillance of the right eye is ongoing and is still unremarkable.

---

**Fig. 1.** External photograph of the left eye at initial presentation with a 2mm pseudohypopyon in the anterior chamber. A streak of blood is seen (arrow) within the pseudohypopyon.

**Fig. 2A.** Fundus photography during exam under anesthesia showed a large white vascular mass with tortuous feeder vessels, subretinal exudation, and vitreous seeding.

**Fig. 2B.** Fluorescein angiography showing tortuous feeder vessels and telangiectasias.

**Fig. 2C.** Fluorescein angiogram of the iris. Hyperfluorescence is present diffusely from most of the iris and more profuse leakage is present at the iris margin. Note blockage inferiorly from the tumor mass.

**Fig. 3.** B-scan showing a large $6 \times 8$mm mass with shadowing from calcifications.
3. Discussion

Retinoblastoma is the most common primary intraocular malignancy in children. Atypical presentations may masquerade as other ocular conditions and postpone the diagnosis of retinoblastoma. Here we present an unusual Case of retinoblastoma with anterior segment involvement in a child with excellent vision.

The most common presenting sign of retinoblastoma is leukocoria, followed by strabismus. In very rare instances, it can present as a pseudohypopyon when tumor cells accumulate in the anterior chamber. Retinoblastoma can be mistaken initially as uveitis or endophthalmitis when there is anterior segment involvement and vitreous seeding. The lack the usual signs of iritis, including photophobia, conjunctival hyperemia, white blood cells and flare in the anterior chamber and keratoprecipitates can help distinguish these entities.

Despite recent breakthroughs in the treatment of intraocular retinoblastoma, retinoblastoma with anterior segment involvement continues to portend a very poor visual prognosis with exceedingly low rates of globe salvage. Although intra-arterial chemotherapy (IAC) can be an effective primary therapy, and is particularly useful for improving ocular survival of Group D eyes, the efficacy is limited in Group E eyes, particularly with diffuse seeding. Tumor control in Group E eyes has been improved significantly with the combination of IAC and adjuvant intravitreal chemotherapy; however rates of globe salvage remain low and nearly half of all eyes undergo secondary enucleation. Orbital metastasis and even death have been observed following sole IAC therapy in Group E tumors after apparent tumor regression.

Data regarding the treatment of anterior chamber involvement (ASI) remains limited. A report by Pavlidou described failure of anterior segment seeding to respond to IAC. A report by Shields et al. described treatment of RB limited to the anterior chamber, without vitreous involvement, with systemic chemotherapy in three eyes with radiation in two of those eyes. Another report by Munier et al. described globe salvage in 6/11 eyes treated with intracameral chemotherapy for anterior segment seeding despite aggressive primary treatment. Nonetheless, enucleation remains a conservative option, particularly in a unilateral Case with negative genetic testing.

Classically, high-risk features have included massive (>3mm) choroidal involvement and tumor invasion into the post-laminar optic nerve, though rarely tumor can also spread through the trabecular meshwork and direct scleral extension. The role of adjuvant systemic chemotherapy after enucleation in eyes with ASI is somewhat controversial. Although Honavar et al. did report metastasis in a patient with ASI alone, this has not been found to be a consistent risk factor. In a retrospective review, Khelfaoui reported anterior chamber seeds to be a risk factor for metastasis, but this did not hold in multivariate analysis. Sreelakshmi et al. found higher mortality among patients with ASI and other high-risk factors than those with high-risk characteristics alone, but ASI alone did not appear to be a risk factor for extraocular involvement. Baroni et al. did not find ASI to be a separate risk factor, but the number of patients with ASI without other risk factors was relatively small.

Relative contribution of involvement of different anterior segment tissues with regards to extraocular spread is uncertain since generally ASI has not been parsed by specific tissue in the literature. It may be that massive ciliary body involvement may be similar to choroidal involvement as they are both uveal tissue and adjacent to sclera.

In the present Case, tumor cells found within the ciliary body, iris, trabecular meshwork and Schlemm canal raised concern for metastasis through the aqueous outflow system and prompted treatment with adjuvant systemic chemotherapy.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we present a Case of an atypical presentation of retinoblastoma in a patient with excellent vision. When the anterior segment and vitreous contain fine seeds, the clinical scenario can be mistaken for uveitis or endophthalmitis. Adjuvant chemotherapy for ASI remains controversial.

Fig. 4. Hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) staining of the enucleated eye revealed tumor infiltration (blue cells) into Schlemm canal (arrow), iris, and ciliary body. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
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