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ABSTRACT

The oxygen abundance gradients among nebular emission line regions in spiral galaxies have been used as important constraints for models of chemical evolution. We present the largest-ever full-wavelength optical spectroscopic sample of emission line nebulae in a spiral galaxy (M31). We have collected spectra of 253 H\textsc{ii} regions and 407 planetary nebulae (PNe) with the Hectospec multi-fiber spectrograph of the MMT. We measure the line-of-sight extinction for 199 H\textsc{ii} regions and 333 PNe; we derive oxygen abundance directly, based on the electron temperature, for 51 PNe; and we use strong-line methods to estimate oxygen abundance for 192 H\textsc{ii} regions and nitrogen abundance for 52 H\textsc{ii} regions. The relatively shallow oxygen abundance gradient of the more extended H\textsc{ii} regions in our sample is generally in agreement with the result of Zaritsky et al., based on only 19 M31 H\textsc{ii} regions, but varies with the strong-line diagnostic employed. Our large sample size demonstrates that there is significant intrinsic scatter around this abundance gradient, as much as $\sim3$ times the systematic uncertainty in the strong-line diagnostics. The intrinsic scatter is similar in the nitrogen abundances, although the gradient is significantly steeper. On small scales (deprojected distance $\sim0.5$ kpc), H\textsc{ii} regions exhibit local variations in oxygen abundance that are larger than 0.3 dex in 33% of neighboring pairs. We do not identify a significant oxygen abundance gradient among PNe, but we do find a significant gradient in the [N\textsc{ii}] ratio that varies systematically with surface brightness. Our results underscore the complex and inhomogeneous nature of the interstellar medium of M31, and our data set illustrates systematic effects relevant to future studies of the metallicity gradients in nearby spiral galaxies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The galactocentric radial gradient of chemical abundance within spiral galaxies has become an important parameter in modeling the chemical evolution of galaxies (Henry & Worthey 1999). The gradient is the manifestation of a variety of physical processes acting from galaxy formation to the present, including gas infall, star formation history, stellar initial mass function, and radial migration. Historically, the observation of abundance gradients has motivated the development of analytic models of chemical evolution (Lynden-Bell 1975 and references therein) and served as a valuable constraint for detailed modeling (Maciel 1999). The gradient is the manifestation of a variety of processes acting from galaxy formation to the present, including the study of supernova explosion sites (Levesque et al. 2011).

The oxygen abundance of gas throughout the disk of star-forming galaxies can be measured via optical spectroscopy. O is the most easily accessible metallicity proxy due to its high relative abundance and its strong optical emission lines from both of its major ionization states (O$^+$ and O$^{++}$). A variety of diagnostics have been developed to estimate O abundance from the flux ratios of prominent optical emission lines (see, e.g., Stasińska 2002; Kewley & Ellison 2008; López-Sánchez & Esteban 2010). These include “direct” methods, whereby the electron temperature of the nebula is derived from measurement of the weak auroral line [O\textsc{iii}] $\lambda4363$ (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006; Garnett 1992), and “statistical” or “strong-line” measures, where the abundance is inferred from ratios of only the brightest elemental lines. Different abundance diagnostics carry systematic discrepancies as large as 0.7 dex (a factor of five), which must be carefully considered when interpreting results (Kewley & Ellison 2008).

Strong-line methods have enabled extensive observational studies of the abundance distribution of distant spiral galaxies. While high-quality spectra can be obtained for the nearby H\textsc{ii} regions of the Milky Way, galactocentric distance can be determined more easily for external galaxies (Stanghellini et al. 2008; Henry et al. 2010). Shields & Searle (1978) derived a negative (outward-decreasing) abundance gradient from just three H\textsc{ii} regions in M101, and by this time it was already expected from theory that negative radial abundance gradients would be characteristic of all spiral galaxies. Zaritsky et al. (1994) compiled radial O abundance profiles for 39 spiral galaxies, finding gradients ranging from 0 to $-0.23$ dex kpc$^{-1}$—only the abundance profile of NGC 2541 was inconsistent with a negative or flat gradient.

Because planetary nebulae (PNe) abundances should reflect the older interstellar medium (ISM) of their progenitors, differences between the H\textsc{ii} region and PNe abundance gradients can be used to infer time variation in the radial abundance trend of a galaxy (e.g., Magrini et al. 2009; for M33). A sample of high-luminosity PNe should reflect current oxygen abundances in a galaxy, by selecting massive progenitors which would have formed recently. The oxygen abundances in a sample containing only the brightest PNe in a galaxy would represent populations with ages from $\sim3 \times 10^7$ years earlier than the H\textsc{ii} regions in the same galaxy (Magrini et al. 2009). For surveys penetrating more deeply, the proportion of PNe from less massive progenitors will grow quickly both because less massive stars are more common and because their resulting PNe are longer lasting.
However, stars of mass $\lesssim 1.5 M_\odot$ may not form PNe because their envelope is ejected so slowly as to disperse before being ionized (Stasińska 2002).

While $\sim 10^5$ bright H II regions ($L_{H\alpha} \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$) are known in M31 (Baade & Arp 1964; Pellet et al. 1978; Walterbos & Braun 1992; Azimlu et al. 2011), previous spectroscopic surveys to determine abundance have provided abundance estimates for $\lesssim 7\%$ of them. Blair et al. (1982) found a significant radial abundance gradient in a sample of 11 H II regions: O/H decreases by a factor of four from about 4–23 kpc in galactocentric distance. This gradient is in agreement with an earlier study of eight H II regions by Kunth (1981). In analysis of the data from both Blair et al. (1982) and Kunth (1981), and Kunth & Blair (1982), but they report a flattening at larger radii.

M31 hosts as many as $10^4$ PNe, about twice as many as the Milky Way (Nolthenius & Ford 1987). In a kinematic survey, Merrett et al. (2006) cataloged 2615 of M31’s PNe. Abundances have been previously derived for less than 1% of these. Jacoby & Ford (1986) determined abundances for three PNe: two in the halo and one in the outer disk. The results of the largest previous spectroscopic survey of M31 PNe, including 70 objects, have not yet been published (Kniazev et al. 2005). A survey of 30 nebulae in the bulge of the galaxy was performed by Richer et al. (1999), but only a lower limit of abundance could be derived for 14 of the nebulae. Because the survey only extended out to $\lesssim 4$ kpc and because only the bulge population is sampled, Richer et al. (1999) did not investigate the radial abundance gradient. Recently, Kwitter et al. (2012) have derived abundances for 16 PNe in the outer disk of M31.

By providing a more thorough characterization of the abundance profile of M31, this paper seeks to enable an improved understanding of the chemical evolution of M31 and similar spiral galaxies. In Tables 1–4, we present the largest available spectroscopic catalogs of H II regions and PNe in M31. Our observations come from the Hectospec multibber spectrograph on the MMT, whose multiplexing ability provides a large advantage over previous surveys of these objects. In Section 2, we describe the observational parameters and analytical techniques used to produce the catalog. In Section 3, we compare our results to previous publications and discuss trends and implications identified in the catalog. Our principal findings are that a significant negative abundance gradient is only demonstrated among the brightest and most diffuse H II regions. The radial profile is more flat among dimmer or more compact H II regions, and in general there is a large amount of scatter in the physical properties of the ISM of M31. We characterize this scatter in terms of the radial distribution of extinction and abundance in H II regions and PNe, and also in terms of the discrepancies among

### Table 1

| ID   | R.A.         | Decl.    | $R$ (kpc)$^a$ | Morph. Type$^b$ | SB$^c$ | ADC$^d$ | Velocity (km s$^{-1}$) | M06$^e$ | RBC$^f$ | AMB$^g$ |
|------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------------|--------|---------|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| HII01| 0:37:24.12   | +40:17:56.2 | 23.0          | s               | 2      | n       | −483.6                 |        |        |        |
| HII02| 0:37:29.91   | +40:15:37.2 | 21.9          | s               | 1      | n       | −517.5                 |        |        |        |
| HII03| 0:37:47.35   | +39:51:30.8 | 23.7          | s               | 1      | n       | −492.6                 |        |        |        |
| HII04| 0:37:59.17   | +40:15:37.2 | 19.2          | s               | 1      | n       | −476.2 M2372           |        |        |        |
| HII05| 0:38:22.51   | +40:10:52.8 | 18.4          | s               | 2      | n       | −564.5                 |        |        |        |
| HII06| 0:38:39.79   | +40:34:48.0 | 18.2          | d               | 1      | y       | −469.3                 |        |        |        |
| HII07| 0:38:41.29   | +39:47:40.0 | 28.2          | s               | 1      | y       | −526.8                 |        |        |        |
| HII08| 0:39:03.75   | +39:53:28.9 | 26.9          | s               | 1      | y       | −479.0                 | HII9   |        |        |
| HII09| 0:39:07.69   | +40:40:05.1 | 16.2          | s               | 2      | y       | −486.1                 | HIII   |        |        |
| HII10| 0:39:13.09   | +40:41:13.9 | 15.9          | s               | 1      | y       | −488.5                 | HIII   |        |        |

**Notes.** The table is divided into sections based on the spectroscopic classification of the object as either an H II region (H II), planetary nebula (PN), PN in the halo population (PNh), or unclassified (X). This classification is based on line ratio diagnostics, as described in Section 2.2.

$^a$ The galactocentric radius of the object, calculated as described in Section 2.3.

$^b$ The morphological classification of the object based on LGGS Hα imaging, as described in Section 2.2.

$^c$ The surface brightness class of the object as defined in Section 2.4 based on the Hα flux.

$^d$ The status of the atmospheric dispersion compensator during the observation of this object: “y” indicates that it was functioning, “n” indicates that it was not.

$^e$ The ID number of the object from the PNe catalog of Merrett et al. (2006).

$^f$ The name of the object from version 3.5 of the Revised Bologna Catalog, Galleti et al. (2007).

$^g$ The ID number of the object from the M31 region catalog of Azimlu et al. (2011).
than 50 by a single Hectospec fiber, which subtends 1′.5 on the sky.

Survey of Caldwell et al. (2009) had strong emission, and are neighboring objects. We provide a summary of major results in Section 4.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Data Collection

Small, resolved objects and unresolved Hα features were selected as H II region candidates by inspecting the images of the Local Group Galaxies Survey (LGGS; Massey et al. 2007). Additionally, some objects observed as part of the M31 cluster survey of Caldwell et al. (2009) had strong emission, and are included in the present study. Some objects from the planetary nebula (PN) catalog of Merrett et al. (2006) as well as strong and unresolved [O III] features from the LGGS images were observed as PN candidates. We have excluded from our sample any objects identified as emission line stars by Massey et al. (2007), one object which was found to have broad emission lines characteristic of supernova remnants, and ~20 objects which showed broad emission features characteristic of WR stars.

We note that many M31 H II regions have diameters greater than 50″ (Arp & Brueckel 1973), far too large to be encompassed by a single Hectospec fiber, which subtends 1′.5 on the sky. H II regions which would have a large internal velocity dispersion. For both of these reasons, the largest H II

Table 2

| ID    | [O II] | [O III] | [O III] | [O III] | [N II] | Hα   | [N II] | [S II] | [S II] |
|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|
|       | λ 3727 | λ 4363  | λ 4959  | λ 5007  | λ 6548 | λ 6562| λ 6584 | λ 6717 | λ 6731 |

H II regions

| HII001 | 50 ± 20 | ···    | ···    | ···    | 48 ± 3  | 442 ± 5| 150 ± 3| 57 ± 4  | 45 ± 5  |
| HII002 | ···    | ···    | ···    | ···    | 54 ± 6  | 255 ± 4| 151 ± 5| ···    | ···    |
| HII003 | ···    | ···    | ···    | ···    | 26 ± 6  | 264 ± 6| 76 ± 7 | 22 ± 4  | 20 ± 4  |
| HII004 | ···    | ···    | 40 ± 10| 100 ± 10| ···    | 252 ± 8| 70 ± 8 | ···    | ···    |
| HII005 | ···    | ···    | ···    | ···    | 87 ± 9  | 409 ± 9| 270 ± 10| ···    | ···    |
| HII006 | 430 ± 40| ···    | ···    | ···    | 110 ± 20| ···    | 340 ± 20| ···    | ···    |
| HII007 | ···    | 299 ± 6| 852 ± 9| ···    | 213 ± 6| ···    | ···    | ···    |
| HII008 | ···    | 15 ± 4 | 286 ± 5| 830 ± 10| ···    | 221 ± 5| ···    | ···    |
| HII009 | ···    | ···    | 50 ± 10| ···    | 606 ± 10| ···    | ···    | ···    |
| HII010 | 270 ± 10| ···    | ···    | ···    | 27 ± 6  | 306 ± 6| 82 ± 6 | 43 ± 6  | 33 ± 6  |

Notes. This table is organized into sections similarly to Table 1. Extinction correction has not been applied. Line fluxes are reported relative to Hβ = 100; however, line flux ratios for lines with large wavelength separations are unreliable for spectra where the ADC was not functioning (see Table 1). For objects where Hβ is not detected, fluxes are instead normalized relative to another line whose flux is given as 100.

(This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.)

Table 3

| ID    | A_V (mag) | Direct Z94 (log(O/H)+12) | KD02 Z94 (log(O/H)+12) | N06 N2 (log(O/H)+12) | N06 O3N2 (log(O/H)+12) | PT05 (log(O/H)+12) | PVT ONS (log(N/H)+12) |
|-------|-----------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| HII001| ···       | ···                      | ···                    | ···                  | 9.06 ± 0.03            | ···                 | ···                    |
| HII002| ···       | ···                      | ···                    | ···                  | ···                    | ···                 | ···                    |
| HII003| ···       | ···                      | ···                    | ···                  | ···                    | ···                 | ···                    |
| HII004| ···       | ···                      | ···                    | ···                  | ···                    | ···                 | ···                    |
| HII005| ···       | ···                      | ···                    | ···                  | ···                    | ···                 | ···                    |
| HII006| 0.6 ± 0.8 | ···                      | ···                    | ···                  | ···                    | ···                 | ···                    |
| HII007| ···       | ···                      | ···                    | ···                  | ···                    | ···                 | ···                    |
| HII008| ···       | ···                      | ···                    | ···                  | ···                    | ···                 | ···                    |
| HII009| 2.6 ± 0.4 | ···                      | ···                    | ···                  | ···                    | ···                 | ···                    |
| HII010| 0.2 ± 0.3 | ···                      | 8.85 ± 0.05            | 8.83 ± 0.06          | ···                    | ···                 | ···                    |

Notes. The abundance diagnostics applied in this table are described in Section 2.7. The reported uncertainties are derived by propagation of the line flux uncertainties, as described in Section 2.7 and does not include other systematic effects. When this “statistical” uncertainty is less than 0.01 dex, we do not report it.

(This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.)

Table 4

| ID    | A_V (mag) | log(O/H)+12 |
|-------|-----------|-------------|
| PN001 | ···       | ···         |
| PN002 | 1.3 ± 0.1 | ···         |
| PN003 | 0.3 ± 0.2 | ···         |
| PN004 | 0.09 ± 0.05| 8.51 ± 0.04|
| PN005 | 0.4 ± 0.5 | ···         |
| PN006 | 1.5 ± 0.3 | ···         |
| PN007 | 0.4 ± 0.2 | ···         |
| PN008 | 0.6 ± 0.3 | ···         |
| PN009 | ···       | ···         |
| PN010 | ···       | ···         |

Notes. See the caption for Table 3.

(This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.)
regions were therefore intentionally omitted from the sample, although some objects remain (mostly the star clusters) that were estimated by Blair et al. (1982) to be at least 48 pc in diameter. We assume that any inhomogeneities in spectral properties within each H\textsc{ii} region are small and random and therefore that the region sampled by the Hectospec fiber is representative of the whole (see, e.g., McCall et al. 1985; Pellegrini et al. 2010).

Optical spectra were obtained with the Hectospec multi-fiber positioner and spectrograph on the 6.5 m MMT telescope (Fabricant et al. 2005). The Hectospec 270 gpm grating was used and provided spectral coverage from 3650 to 9200 Å at a resolution of ~5 Å. Some spectra did not cover [O\textsc{iii}] \lambda 3727, because of the design of the spectrograph (alternate fibers are shifted by 30 Å), and the small blueshift of M31. The observations were made in the period from 2004 to 2011 as a component of a survey previously described in Caldwell et al. (2009) and were reduced in the uniform manner outlined there. The frames were first debiased and flat fielded. Individual spectra were then extracted and wavelength calibrated. Standard star spectra obtained intermittently were used for flux calibration and instrumental response. Sky subtraction is achieved with Hectospec by averaging spectra from “blank sky” fibers from the same exposures or by offsetting the telescope by a few arcseconds (see Caldwell et al. 2009). Because local background subtraction could potentially subtract object flux from extended H\textsc{ii} regions, we compare the spectra reduced from repeated observations of the same objects using different sky spectra, local and distant. For both stellar and diffuse objects, we find only small differences in the ratios of H\alpha/H\beta and also [N\textsc{iii}]/H\alpha. The rms of the discrepancy in the log of the flux ratio is ~0.05 dex and the mean difference is ~0.01 dex. We therefore conclude that the sky subtraction is adequate.

Each of the 25 one-degree fields in M31 was exposed for between 1800 and 4800 s. The spectra of objects that were observed multiple times (in overlapping fields) have been combined, effectively summing those integration times. Sample spectra are shown in Figure 1. The locations of emission nebulae included in this study are shown in Figure 2. Many of our H\textsc{ii} regions fall in the “Ring of Fire,” a circular feature visible in H\alpha density maps extending from about 8 to 15 kpc in the disk of M31 (Sofue & Kato 1981).

Velocities were measured using the SAO xcsao software and emission line templates (one typical of H\textsc{ii} regions and another of PNe). Repeat measurements of 114 objects gave an rms of a single measurement of 2.1 km s\(^{-1}\). We also compared our velocities with the work of Halliday et al. (2006), who also used a multifiber spectrograph, and Merrett et al. (2006) who used the Planetary Nebula Spectrograph (which imaged in [O\textsc{iii}]). The Halliday et al. (2006) comparison revealed a mean offset of +7.1 km s\(^{-1}\) with an rms of 5.4 km s\(^{-1}\), while the Merrett et al. (2006) comparison resulted in a mean offset of +2.9 km s\(^{-1}\) with an rms of 15.6 km s\(^{-1}\). The Merrett et al. (2006) rms was expected to be larger because of their use of the single emission line. These comparisons led us to estimate our mean error to be 3 km s\(^{-1}\). The final step in the reduction was the transformation of the spectra to zero velocity using the observed velocities.

### 2.2. Classification

These candidates were formally classified according to twodimensional emission line ratio tests of excitation mechanism (BPT diagrams; Baldwin et al. 1981). Specifically, we apply Equation (1) of Kniazev et al. (2008), which distinguishes H\textsc{ii} regions from PNe based on their locations in a diagram of [O\textsc{iii}]/H\beta (O3) versus [N\textsc{iii}]/H\alpha (N2) (Figure 3). These tests have the advantage of relying on ratios of strong emission lines that are near to each other in wavelength and therefore are not sensitive to reddening corrections or instrumental response. The measurement of line fluxes is described in Section 2.4. We adopt a slight amendment to the dividing line proposed by Kniazev et al. (2008), illustrated by the dashed line in Figure 3. This amendment is favored because it classifies as PNe a number of objects that we have reason to believe are not H\textsc{ii} regions. These objects have stellar morphologies (see below) and many
are colored to oxygen abundance. Using the N06 N2 diagnostic, and the PNe are colored according to their N2 flux ratio (see Section 2.7). Halo PNe are denoted with squares and objects whose abundance

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 2. Locations of M31 H ii regions and PNe observed with Hectospec, overlaid on the M31 mosaic from the Digitized Sky Survey (DSS). The H ii region symbols are colored to oxygen abundance. Using the N06 N2 diagnostic, and the PNe are colored according to their N2 flux ratio (see Section 2.7). Halo PNe are denoted with squares and objects whose abundance flux ratio could not be measured are marked in black.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

we adopt to distinguish H ii regions from PNe is

\[ \text{O3} > (0.61/(N2 - 0.47)) + 1.0. \]  

(1)

In total, we identify 407 PNe and 253 H ii regions among our spectroscopic sample. Of these objects, 392 PNe and 200 H ii region spectra were observed with the atmospheric dispersion compensator (ADC; Fabricant et al. 2008). For some spectra, the ADC malfunctioned, and for those we do not present quantities derived from emission line flux ratios with large wavelength separations. Eighty-one of the PNe do not appear to be projected onto the disk of M31 (according to the distance along the minor axis, \(|Y| > 4 \text{kpc}\), see Section 2.3), and we therefore assume they are associated with the halo population. Twenty-three of the PNe are hosted by the dwarf satellite galaxy NGC 205 rather than in M31, according to their position and velocity in the kinematic survey of Merrett et al. (2006). Because the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of these spectra are such that it is not possible to derive their abundances, we remove them from the sample and do not discuss them further. An additional 25 objects could not be classified because the S/N in their [O iii] and H\(\beta\) emission lines were too weak. We do not discuss these unclassified objects further.

In addition to this spectroscopic classification, we have made a morphological classification for each object based on its appearance in the LGGS H\(\alpha\) images. Objects whose H\(\alpha\) emission appears unresolved at the resolution of these images (~1") are classified as “stellar” and those that appear extended and nebulous are classified as “diffuse.” We note that this is similar to the classification scheme of Walterbos & Braun (1992) referred to by Galarza et al. (1999), where their type “C” or “center-brightened” corresponds to our “stellar” and their type “D” or “diffuse” corresponds to the same. We find that seven spectroscopically classified PNe are diffuse. Because most of

Figure 3. Excitation mechanism diagnostic diagram (Baldwin et al. 1981) distinguishing PNe from H ii regions for M31 emission line nebulae observed in this study. Emission line flux ratio error bars are typically smaller than the points. The solid black classification divider is from Kniazev et al. (2008); the dashed line is our amended divider, on which our spectroscopic classification is based. The data points are color coded according to H\(\alpha\) morphology (stellar or diffuse); objects identified as being in the halo based on their position along the minor axis (\(|Y| > 4 \text{kpc}\)) are colored black. Objects shown on the edge of the figure denote flux ratio limits for lines which were not detected.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

of them are in the halo, based on their position along the minor axis (\(|Y| > 4 \text{kpc}\), as is illustrated in Figure 3. It is not surprising that there may be small offsets in the appropriate line ratio diagnostics for different samples given slight differences in flux-measurement methodology. The amended classification
these objects are near the dividing line in the BPT diagram, it is difficult to determine whether these are PNe embedded in H ii regions or simply poor spectroscopic classifications. However, these only amount to ∼1% of our full sample of PNe.

About half of our spectroscopically classified H ii regions are stellar. From Figure 3, it is clear that the stellar H ii regions or simply poor spectroscopic classifications. However, these objects are near the dividing line in the BPT diagram. It is possible that some of these objects are PNe with an unusually low value of [O iii]/Hβ. Among the sample of Galactic PNe in Henry et al. (2010), there are some objects (M1–11 and M1–12) that fall on a similar region of the BPT diagram. According to the Catalogue of Galactic Planetary Nebulae (Kohoutek 2001), these objects were originally classified as PNe by Minkowski (1946), whose classifications were essentially morphological. It is also possible that these are extended H ii regions whose Hα emission is simply dominated by the emission in the immediate vicinity of one bright star, and therefore appear compact on the LGSS images. Therefore the diffuse subset of H ii regions in our sample may represent a cleaner sample less likely to have PN contamination.

2.3. Galactocentric Distance

The deprojected galactocentric distance was calculated following Haud (1981). We assume a distance to M31 of 770 kpc (Freedman & Madore 1990) and an inclination of $i = 12.5\degree$ (Simien et al. 1978). The adopted coordinates of the galactic center and position angle of the major axis, precessed from Haud (1981), are

$$\alpha_0 = 00^h42^m44.5^s \quad (J2000)$$

$$\delta_0 = +41^\circ16'08"69 \quad (J2000)$$

$$\phi_0 = 37^\circ42'54".$$  

Hereafter, we use “galactocentric distance” to refer to this deprojected distance. Any PNe with a distance projected along the semimajor axis ($r_a$) greater than 4 kpc we associate with the halo population and do not consider in our sample when fitting for radial trends in the disk. Additionally, there are three objects that we consider halo PNe given their projected distance $|r_a| > 5$ kpc, despite the fact that they fall in the H ii region regime of the BPT diagram.

2.4. Emission Line Fluxes

The line fluxes were measured using the line profile-fitting capabilities provided by the IRAF package fitprofs. We fit Gaussian profiles to a wavelength range 20 Å in width centered on the rest-frame wavelength of each line. We fit a linear continuum to 20 Å regions of the spectra off the wings of each line. For groups of nearby lines likely to be blended, we fit simultaneous Gaussians. The line flux is estimated as the integral of the fitted profile with the continuum subtracted. We estimate the uncertainty in the line fluxes using the Monte Carlo methodology implemented by fitprofs. In these Monte Carlo simulations, random noise is repeatedly added to the spectrum according to a simple linear noise model dependent on two parameters: the gain of the CCD and a noise floor. We estimate the gain and noise floor for each individual line profile by comparing the spectrum of the background regions to the corresponding variance spectrum. We have compared this estimate of the line flux uncertainty to the discrepancy between line flux ratios measured in repeated observations of the same objects and find them to be comparable; for the Hα/Hβ ratio, the Monte Carlo uncertainty estimate and the discrepancy among the repeated observations are each ∼3% in the mean. Based on the Monte Carlo estimate of the uncertainty in the line flux, we require that S/N > 3 and otherwise report a non-detection. Additionally, we record the equivalent width as measured by fitprofs, if the sky-subtracted continuum level is more than 2σ greater than zero (where the continuum level and its standard deviation, $\sigma$, are measured from the background regions of each line).

The [O ii] $\lambda\lambda 3726–3729$ doublet is not resolved in these spectra, and the sum effectively measured will henceforth be referred to as [O ii] $\lambda 3727$. Moreover, because $\lambda 3727$ is on the very edge of our spectral range, it has a large flux-calibration uncertainty associated with it, which is propagated through to our estimate of the flux uncertainty. As mentioned above, for some spectra, $\lambda 3727$ is outside of the observed spectral range.

We note that we have made no correction for underlying stellar absorption, but we estimate that this will not significantly affect the measurement of the Balmer line fluxes. Using models from Starburst99 (Leitherer et al. 1999), we subtracted off underlying continuum for populations with ages ranging from 4 to 20 Myr for a representative low- and high-metallicity H ii region (objects HII166 and HII153, see Figure 1). We then measured Hγ and Hβ. The ratios of those two lines change at worst by 1% from the uncorrected values (the worst-case results from assuming the youngest age for the underlying population). As a further test of the small effect that the underlying continuum has on our measurements, we also measured Hα equivalent widths and compared those with $A_V$ (derived from the Hα/Hβ emission line ratio), and found no significant correlation. This indicates in general that Balmer absorption does not significantly affect the measured Balmer ratios for the objects in our sample.

We present the measured line fluxes and their uncertainties for each object in Table 2. For all parameters derived from these line fluxes, we propagate the uncertainty in the line flux via Monte Carlo simulations. In these simulations, we sample from a Gaussian line flux probability distribution with a mean and standard deviation as reported in Table 2. We then report the median and standard deviation of the resulting distribution as our best estimate of the derived parameters and its uncertainty.

We characterize the surface brightness of each emission line region based on its observed Hα emission line flux, even if the objects are unresolved. Hα is used for this purpose because it is strong and easily detected in nearly every spectrum. However, the 1.5 Hectospec fibers generally do not cover the entire area of the diffuse emission nebulae. Moreover, as the observation nights were not all photometric, there could be photometric uncertainties of a factor of a few. We attempted to place all the spectra on the same photometric scale by using the multiple observations, and find that this was successful to within a factor of 1.3.

We therefore divide our sample into three surface brightness classes (1: “dim,” 2: “normal,” 3: “bright”; see Figure 4) based on the extinction-corrected Hα line flux, rather than assert a precise flux measurement. We establish separate brightness classes for the H ii regions (based on the diffuse subset only) and PNe. For spectra where the Hβ line is not detected, we assume the median $A_V$ for that class (H ii region or PNe) for the purpose of calculating the extinction-corrected Hα line flux. The diffuse H ii regions in our sample are at ∼2.4 times higher surface brightness than the PNe in the median (or ∼4.4 times brighter after extinction correction). Moreover, the H ii regions
encompass a larger range in surface brightness, extending to nearly two orders of magnitude brighter in Hα.

We have estimated the apparent brightness limits corresponding to these surface brightness classes by matching the H II regions in our sample to the catalog of Azimlu et al. (2011), using the nearest objects within 5''. They use an automated code to segment diffuse emission in continuum-subtracted Hα images of M31 for the identification of H II regions and PNe and to measure the Hα flux and diameter. Figure 4 shows the relation between our spectroscopic Hα flux measurements and the photometry from Azimlu et al. (2011). The scatter in the relation is correlated with the H II region diameter because H II regions which Azimlu et al. (2011) segment as larger objects have a correspondingly smaller fraction of their flux fall on our Hectospec fibers. Among small objects (D < 16 pc), the scatter is ~0.3 dex. This relation implies that our H II region flux bins have edges at ~[7.0, 31.1]×10^{-15} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} for PNe, ~[2.6, 5.4]×10^{-15} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1}.

2.5. Extinction

A reddening correction was applied to restore the Balmer recombination decrement of each spectrum to its theoretical value. We assume Hα/Hβ = 2.85, which corresponds to T = 10,000 K and n_e = 10^4 cm^{-3} for Case B recombination. However, the Balmer ratios are not very sensitive to any of these parameters: the assumption of Case A only alters the ratio by ≤0.05 and extreme temperatures and densities also have little effect: Hα/Hβ = 3.04 for T = 5000 K and n_e = 10^2 cm^{-3}, Hα/Hβ = 2.73 for T = 20,000 K and n_e = 10^6 cm^{-3} (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006). The extinction curve given in Cardelli et al. (1989) was applied, with a value of 3.1 adopted for R_V. In cases where we derive a negative value of the extinction in the visual band (A_V < 0), we instead assume A_V = 0.

The value of the extinction derived for each object is presented in Tables 3 and 4.

2.6. Direct Abundance Estimation

We derive “direct” oxygen abundance estimates for PNe by estimating the electron temperature of the gas’s dominant excitation zone, which can be done only if a temperature-sensitive line is detected. We use [O III] λ4363 exclusively for this purpose; although auroral lines from other ions (e.g., [N II] λ5755) are detected in a few spectra, their numbers are insufficient for a statistical sample and their S/N is typically much lower than [O III] λ4363. This prescription is also applied to our H II regions; however, direct abundances can be derived for only four H II regions due to the weakness of the [O III] λ4363 line.

The electron temperatures are estimated using IARF’s five-level nebular modeling package nebular (Shaw & Dufour 1994). The nebular task tenden is first applied to iteratively estimate the O++ temperature (T_e(O++)) and density (n_e) of the nebula from the [O III] and [S II] line ratios, respectively. When the [S II] lines are not available, we assume a reasonable range of densities, n_e = 15 ± 2 × 10^2 cm^{-3} (see, e.g., Kwitter et al. 2012). If the measured line ratios correspond to unphysical conditions (outside the range for which tenden is calibrated), 500 < T_e(O++) < 10^5 K and 1 < n_e < 10^8 cm^{-3}, we do not calculate the direct abundance. The O+ temperature is then estimated using the linear empirical relation of Garnett (1992). The O+ and O++ abundances are then estimated using the density, ionic temperatures, and [O III] and [O II] line ratios following the ionization correction factor prescription of Shi et al. (2006). The total oxygen abundance is taken to be the sum of these two ionic abundances. This methodology is similar to that applied in, for example, Bresolin et al. (2010).

A variety of studies have shown that stellar evolution of PNe progenitors should not modify oxygen abundance at a level significant for the identification of abundance gradients from the nebulae (Richer & McCall 2007 and references therein). Furthermore, it has been shown that bright PNe (within ~2 mag of the brightest in the galaxy), presumably from more massive stars with shorter lifetimes, have approximately the same oxygen abundances as the surrounding ISM and H II regions, to within the observational uncertainty (Richer & McCall 2007). It has been demonstrated that O, as well as Ar and Ne, abundance gradients in the Milky Way as measured with H II regions are reflected in observations of PNe (Pottasch & Bernard-Salas 2006). However, oxygen may be dredged up in some low-metallicity cases (log(O/H)+12 ≤ 8) and thereby enrich the PNe relative to its progenitor by Δlog(O/H) ≤ 0.3 dex (Richer & McCall 2007; Hernandez-Martinez et al. 2009; Magrini & Gonzalves 2009). In high-mass PNe, oxygen may be depleted by Δlog(O/H) ≤ 0.1 dex during the lifetime of the progenitor via the ON-cycle (Hernandez-Martinez et al. 2009). Moreover, it has been observed that the N abundance of PNe will often exceed that of the local ISM (Richer & McCall...
2007; Hernandez-Martinez et al. 2009)—justified theoretically by nitrogen production via the CN or ON cycle during the first and second dredge ups. Henry et al. (2010) have established empirically that the oxygen abundance gradient of Milky Way PNe does not depend on the Peimbert type of the PNe or the vertical distance from the plane of the Galaxy; we therefore do not consider these parameters here.

2.7. Strong-line Diagnostics

H\textsc{ii} region abundances were calculated from the extinction-corrected line flux ratios according to a number of independently calibrated abundance diagnostics from the literature. These diagnostics each depend on a different combination of emission line ratios. Each calibration has its own characteristic scatter and systematic offset as compared to the other methods (Kewley & Ellison 2008). It is unclear that any particular method is superior, and some methods may be more applicable than others for certain comparisons. In particular, diagnostics tied to the direct abundance scale may agree better with stellar oxygen abundances within the same galaxy (see, e.g., Bresolin et al. 2009). We therefore employ multiple methods and keep their differences in mind when discussing results. We report the abundance derived from each method in Tables 3 (H\textsc{ii} regions) and 4 (PNe).

First, we apply the $R_{23}$ oxygen abundance calibration of Zaritsky et al. (1994), an average of three earlier methods, hereafter referred to as “Z94.” Z94 is only calibrated for the higher-metallicity upper branch of the well-known $R_{23}$-abundance degeneracy. The majority of M31 H\textsc{ii} regions may be expected to fall on this upper branch, given that all the M31 H\textsc{ii} regions in the compilation of Zaritsky et al. (1994) did. If the measured line ratios correspond to an abundance outside of the range for which Z94 is calibrated (genuinely, $8.4 < \log(O/H) + 12 < 9.6$), we do not record the measurement.

Second, we apply the [N\textsc{ii}]/[O\textsc{iii}] oxygen abundance calibration of Kewley & Dopita (2002), hereafter referred to as “KDO2.” Kewley & Dopita (2002) synthesize a variety of modern photoionization models and observational calibrations to produce recommendations for producing an abundance estimate given different permutations of available emission lines. We implement the prescription outlined in the appendix of Kewley & Ellison (2008), as follows. We use the [N\textsc{ii}]/[O\textsc{iii}] ratio to break the degeneracy between the upper and lower branches of $R_{23}$. For the upper branch, we employ the [N\textsc{ii}]/[O\textsc{ii}] calibration of Kewley & Dopita (2002). For the rare lower branch cases, we average the $R_{23}$ diagnostics of McGaugh (1991) and Kobulnicky & Kewley (2004). If the measured line ratios correspond to an abundance outside of the range for which KDO2 is calibrated ($8.2 < \log(O/H) + 12 < 9.6$), we do not record the measurement.

Third, we apply the empirical [N\textsc{ii}]/H$\alpha$ (“N2”) and [O\textsc{ii}]/[N\textsc{ii}] (“O3N2”) oxygen abundance calibrations of Nagao et al. (2006), hereafter referred to as “N06.” We prefer the N06 diagnostic to the similar “PP04” N2 and O3N2 diagnostics of Pettini & Pagel (2004) because N06 is well calibrated in the high-metallicity regime of M31 using data from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) galaxies (Tremonti et al. 2004). If the measured line ratios correspond to an abundance outside of the range for which N06 is calibrated ($7.0 < \log(O/H) + 12 < 9.5$), we do not record the measurement. Because this diagnostic relies on the N\textsc{ii} lines to measure the O abundance, scatter is introduced by variations in the N/O ratio (Pérez-Montero & Contini 2009). We note also that the O3N2 diagnostic is not reliable when O3N2 $\gtrsim 100$, due to line saturation, but this only occurs in a metallicity regime lower than that sampled here ($\log(O/H) + 12 \lesssim 7.5$; Pettini & Pagel 2004; Nagao et al. 2006).

Fourth, we apply the excitation parameter (“P method”) oxygen abundance calibration of Pilyugin & Thuan (2005), hereafter referred to as “PT05.” This is an updated version of the calibration first defined in Pilyugin (2001b; P01). P is calculated from the ratio of [O\textsc{iii}] to [(O\textsc{ii})+[O\textsc{iii}]). PT05 additionally relies on the $R_{23}$ line ratio, so the [N\textsc{ii}]/[O\textsc{ii}] ratio is used to break the $R_{23}$ degeneracy. If the measured line ratios correspond to an abundance outside of the range for which PT05 is calibrated ($6.8 < \log(O/H) + 12 < 9.1$), we do not record the measurement.

Fifth, we apply the nitrogen abundance calibration of Pilyugin et al. (2010) hereafter referred to as “PVT,” relying on the combination of $P$ and [O\textsc{iii}], [N\textsc{ii}], and [S\textsc{ii}] (“ONS”) line ratios. The PVT diagnostic is calibrated separately for each of three different [N\textsc{ii}] regimes. If the measured line ratios correspond to an abundance outside of the range for which PVT is calibrated ($7.3 < \log(N/H) + 12 < 8.9$), we do not record the measurement.

We have not attempted to factor in the systematic error in the abundance diagnostics, although they are typically much larger ($\sim 0.1$ dex) than our reported errors, which are derived by propagation of the line flux uncertainties. For example, Kewley & Ellison (2008) estimates the rms scatter between relative metallicities measured with the Z94 diagnostic, as compared to other popular diagnostics, is 0.07 dex based on a sample of 30,000 SDSS galaxies. They find that the scatter in the other diagnostics is similar, the largest mean rms belonging to P01 (related to PT05) at 0.11 dex. Because the only references for the accuracy of each abundance estimation technique are estimates from other diagnostics, which are not necessarily independent, any quantification of uncertainty must be interpreted with caution.

We have compared the oxygen abundance measurements made for the same H\textsc{ii} region in different diagnostics. Among the strong-line methods, there is very good agreement between the Z94 and KD02 methods (standard deviation of 0.07 dex). There is fairly good agreement between Z94 and N06 N2 (median offset of $-0.10$ dex and standard deviation of 0.21 dex) and between the N06 N2 and O3N2 diagnostics (negligible median offset, standard deviation of 0.12 dex). The PT05 diagnostic does not agree well with the other strong-line methods, having a median offset as large as $-0.50$ dex (Z94) and a standard deviation as large as 0.30 dex (N06 N2).

In Figure 5, we show the cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) of oxygen abundance for the H\textsc{ii} regions and PNe in our sample as derived by the different diagnostics. The total range in PNe abundances is about $7.6 \lesssim \log(O/H) + 12 \lesssim 8.8$ (a factor of 16). The range of H\textsc{ii} region abundances varies widely by diagnostic. For example, PT05 abundances range from $8.0 \lesssim \log(O/H) + 12 \lesssim 8.5$ while N06 N2 abundances range from $7.9 \lesssim \log(O/H) + 12 \lesssim 9.5$.

The difference in both the shape and median value of the CDFs of different diagnostics is due to two factors: the systematic discrepancy between the calibrations, and the selection effects imposed by the requirement for certain emission lines to be detected in order to apply each diagnostic. One additional selection effect is the range over which the diagnostics are calibrated; for example, the Z94 abundance scale is only calibrated down to $\log(O/H) + 12 = 8.4$, as described above. If the diagnostic transformations of Kewley & Ellison (2008) are applied (along with the trivial transformation between...
3. DISCUSSION

Our analyses are primarily concerned with looking for radial trends in the ISM properties of M31, with the goal of identifying any information that describes the chemical evolution history of the galaxy. For this purpose, we focus on objects in the disk of the galaxy. While all H\textsc{ii} regions studied in this survey are attributed to the disk, a large population (N = 81) of PNe appear in projection to be outside of the disk (\(|Y| > 4 \text{ kpc}\)); this is the halo population discussed in Section 2.3. We exclude these disk PNe from our analysis, except where explicitly described.

We look for radial trends in the optical extinction, oxygen abundance, and nitrogen abundance of H\textsc{ii} regions and PNe in M31. Our initial analyses, fitting linear trends and looking for correlations, are summarized in Table 5. This table summarizes the significance of radial trends in two different ways.

1. Bootstrap. We fit a line by ordinary least squares to the radial distribution of the parameter, and then repeat many times with resampling. We simultaneously resample from the set of all objects with measurements of that parameter (with replacement) and also from the probability distribution function of the derived parameter. In this way, we can estimate the slope and intercept (extrapolated value at the center of M31) of the radial trend in a way that is not sensitive to outliers or objects with poor-quality spectra.

2. Spearman. We report the Spearman rank correlation statistic \(\rho\) and its \(p\)-value. A \(p\)-value much less than zero indicates a strongly negative gradient. A \(p\)-value much greater than zero would indicate that any apparent correlation with radius could be due merely to chance.

We discuss these gradient analyses in the following section.

3.1. Extinction

The estimated values of extinction in the visual band (\(A_V\)) as derived from the Balmer decrement are reported in Tables 3 and 4 and vary from 0 to nearly 5 mag. Blair et al. (1982) sampled H\textsc{ii} regions with extinction up to \(\sim 2.3\) mag and Galarza et al. (1999) up to \(\sim 4\) mag.

Figure 6 illustrates the \(A_V\) distribution versus galactocentric distance for 199 H\textsc{ii} regions and 333 PNe. The extinction is patchy. Because reddening imposes a selection effect on our sample, the maximum extinction we observe at a given radius is just a lower limit. Nonetheless, the maximum extinction varies radially: as high as \(\sim 5\) mag from \(\sim 10\)–15 kpc, in the Ring of M31, to \(\lesssim 1.5\) mag beyond 20 kpc, in the outskirts of the disk. However, objects fill the figure down to \(\sim 0\) mag at all radii. The maximum extinction of PNe follow a similar radial trend, but typically have smaller extinction than H\textsc{ii} regions (\(A_{V,PNe} \lesssim 3\) mag). It is expected that H\textsc{ii} regions will have higher extinction than PNe because they are near the large dust clouds associated with star formation and because they are primarily found in spiral arms rather than evenly throughout the disk. PNe from the halo population are also likely to be found above the disk of M31 where extinction should be negligible. Moreover, H\textsc{ii} regions are typically an order of magnitude brighter than PNe and therefore may be observed through greater

![Cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) of oxygen abundance for M31 H\textsc{ii} regions and PNe (both disk and halo) as derived by different diagnostics. The strong-line methods are shown as solid lines, while the direct abundances are dashed. The number \((N)\) of objects for each diagnostic is noted in the legend and is limited by the available line flux ratios from each spectrum and the abundance range over which the diagnostic is calibrated. PNe include halo objects.](https://example.com/CDFs.png)
extinction (Panagia 1978). Kumar (1979) asserted that a plateau at $\lesssim 1$ mag in the extinction of H\textsc{ii} regions begins at 12 kpc, while our data demonstrate that large (>2 mag) values of extinction are common out to nearly twice this distance.

PNe in the halo population have consistently small extinction values. The median (and 16th, 84 percentile) value for extinction among halo PNe is $A_{V}\text{halo} = 0.08^{+0.19}_{-0.08}$ mag for $N_{\text{halo}} = 75$, while for disk PNe it is much larger: $A_{V}\text{disk} = 0.47^{+0.76}_{-0.46}$ mag for $N_{\text{disk}} = 333$.

It is reasonable to expect the extinction to trace abundance because dust grains that cause reddening are composed of heavy elements (Shields 1990). Some studies have reported such parallel gradients in spiral galaxies (Sarazin 1976; Viallefond & Goss 1986; van der Hulst et al. 1988, for M33, M101, and M51, respectively), while others have measured flat extinction profiles (Viallefond & Goss 1986; Kaufman et al. 1987, for M33 and M81). In Figure 7, we show the oxygen abundance of H\textsc{ii} regions and PNe in M31 against the extinction ($A_{V}$). This figure illustrates that there is not a clear correlation between extinction and oxygen abundance among the objects in our survey.

Regardless of any trends in the extinction with radius or abundance, it is clear that the extinction in M31 is patchy. For example, neighboring H\textsc{ii} regions (<0.5 kpc in deprojected distance, or $\approx 2.2$ separation on the sky) differ in extinction by as much as 2.9 mag. Among the 98 such neighboring pairs in our sample with extinction measurements, 33% have a discrepancy in $A_{V}$ of more than 1.1 mag (Figure 11). Some of this deviation is attributable to the large inclination of M31 introducing somewhat disparate column density into the line

---

Table 5

|       | Central (dex) | Slope (dex kpc$^{-1}$) | Spearman $\rho$ | $p$     |
|-------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|---------|
| H\textsc{ii} regions—all |               |                        |                |         |
| $A_{V}$ | 199           | 2.31 ± 0.26            | −0.0690 ± 0.0168 | −0.31   | $8 \times 10^{-06}$ |
| log([N\textsc{ii}]/H\alpha) | 223           | −0.42 ± 0.06           | 0.0087 ± 0.0048 | −0.17   | 0.01      |
| $R_{23}$ | 61            | 0.43 ± 0.06            | 0.0169 ± 0.0044 | 0.54    | $8 \times 10^{-06}$ |
| $P$ | 61            | 0.26 ± 0.08            | 0.0077 ± 0.0061 | 0.18    | 0.18      |
| log(O/H)+12 (Z94) | 60           | 9.10 ± 0.06            | −0.0208 ± 0.0048 | −0.57   | $2 \times 10^{-06}$ |
| log(O/H)+12 (KD02) | 136           | 8.96 ± 0.06            | −0.0096 ± 0.0049 | −0.33   | $1 \times 10^{-04}$ |
| log(O/H)+12 (N06 N2) | 192         | 9.13 ± 0.07            | −0.0195 ± 0.0055 | −0.26   | $3 \times 10^{-04}$ |
| log(O/H)+12 (N06 O3N2) | 100          | 8.98 ± 0.08            | −0.0130 ± 0.0068 | −0.19   | 0.06      |
| log(O/H)+12 (PT05) | 48            | 8.42 ± 0.09            | −0.0054 ± 0.0064 | −0.08   | 0.60      |
| log(N/H)+12 (PVT ONS) | 52            | 7.83 ± 0.07            | −0.0303 ± 0.0049 | −0.53   | $5 \times 10^{-05}$ |
| H\textsc{ii} regions—stellar |               |                        |                |         |
| $A_{V}$ | 92            | 2.20 ± 0.36            | −0.0555 ± 0.0231 | −0.27   | 0.01      |
| log([N\textsc{ii}]/H\alpha) | 98            | −0.34 ± 0.07           | −0.0080 ± 0.0056 | −0.14   | 0.18      |
| $R_{23}$ | 14            | 0.54 ± 0.12            | 0.0107 ± 0.0096 | 0.52    | 0.06      |
| $P$ | 14            | 0.04 ± 0.11            | 0.0218 ± 0.0068 | 0.55    | 0.04      |
| log(O/H)+12 (Z94) | 14            | 9.00 ± 0.16            | −0.0152 ± 0.0133 | −0.52   | 0.06      |
| log(O/H)+12 (KD02) | 47            | 8.92 ± 0.12            | −0.0037 ± 0.0092 | −0.16   | 0.27      |
| log(O/H)+12 (N06 N2) | 72            | 9.24 ± 0.11            | −0.0224 ± 0.0082 | −0.39   | $6 \times 10^{-04}$ |
| log(O/H)+12 (N06 O3N2) | 25            | 9.10 ± 0.13            | −0.0191 ± 0.0110 | −0.33   | 0.10      |
| log(O/H)+12 (PT05) | 10            | 8.18 ± 0.19            | 0.0077 ± 0.0141 | 0.33    | 0.35      |
| log(N/H)+12 (PVT ONS) | 10            | 7.80 ± 0.16            | −0.0283 ± 0.0112 | −0.42   | 0.23      |
| H\textsc{ii} regions—diffuse |               |                        |                |         |
| $A_{V}$ | 107           | 2.75 ± 0.37            | −0.1066 ± 0.0261 | −0.40   | $2 \times 10^{-05}$ |
| log([N\textsc{ii}]/H\alpha) | 125           | −0.37 ± 0.06           | −0.0177 ± 0.0049 | −0.26   | $4 \times 10^{-03}$ |
| $R_{23}$ | 47            | 0.38 ± 0.07            | 0.0210 ± 0.0044 | 0.52    | $2 \times 10^{-04}$ |
| $P$ | 47            | 0.33 ± 0.11            | 0.0033 ± 0.0089 | 0.08    | 0.60      |
| log(O/H)+12 (Z94) | 46            | 9.16 ± 0.07            | −0.0248 ± 0.0048 | −0.56   | $6 \times 10^{-05}$ |
| log(O/H)+12 (KD02) | 89            | 9.01 ± 0.04            | −0.0160 ± 0.0031 | −0.43   | $2 \times 10^{-05}$ |
| log(O/H)+12 (N06 N2) | 120           | 9.09 ± 0.09            | −0.0195 ± 0.0070 | −0.20   | 0.03      |
| log(O/H)+12 (N06 O3N2) | 75            | 8.93 ± 0.11            | −0.0102 ± 0.0095 | −0.11   | 0.35      |
| log(O/H)+12 (PT05) | 38            | 8.51 ± 0.09            | −0.0113 ± 0.0065 | −0.16   | 0.33      |
| log(N/H)+12 (PVT ONS) | 42            | 7.83 ± 0.08            | −0.0300 ± 0.0058 | −0.54   | $2 \times 10^{-04}$ |
| PNe—disk |               |                        |                |         |
| $A_{V}$ | 333           | 0.58 ± 0.08            | 0.0070 ± 0.0071 | 0.04    | 0.51      |
| log([N\textsc{ii}]/H\alpha) | 277           | −0.57 ± 0.05           | −0.0023 ± 0.0044 | −0.05   | 0.38      |
| $R_{23}$ | 148           | 1.20 ± 0.03            | −0.0016 ± 0.0027 | −0.07   | 0.42      |
| $P$ | 148           | 0.93 ± 0.02            | −0.0027 ± 0.0020 | −0.17   | 0.04      |
| log(O/H)+12 (direct) | 51            | 8.47 ± 0.09            | −0.0056 ± 0.0076 | −0.11   | 0.45      |

Notes. The bootstrap fitting reported in this table is described in Section 3.2. The central value and slope define the best-fit line to the data with respect to the de-projected radius in M31.
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Figure 7. Oxygen abundance of H\textsc{ii} regions (N06 N2) and disk PNe (direct) in M31 vs. the extinction ($A_V$) as measured from the Balmer decrement. Statistical error bars (derived as described in the text) are shown for each dimension, but are typically too small to be visible.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

of sight for apparently adjacent objects that are in front of and behind the disk.

3.2. Radial Oxygen Abundance Gradient

The measurement of any abundance gradient among the M31 H\textsc{ii} regions depends strongly on the choice of abundance diagnostic. For example, if we employ the N06 N2 diagnostic, we measure a gradient \((-0.0195\pm0.0055\text{ dex kpc}^{-1})\) that is negative at the $\sim4\sigma$-level and consistent with the canonical value \((-0.020\pm0.007\text{ dex kpc}^{-1})\) of Zaritsky et al. (1994). If we instead use the Z94 diagnostic, we find a gradient that is much less steep and only different from zero at the $\sim1\sigma$ level \((-0.0208\pm0.0048\text{ dex kpc}^{-1})\). The N06 O3N2 \((-0.0130\pm0.0068\text{ dex kpc}^{-1})\) and KD02 \((-0.0096\pm0.0049\text{ dex kpc}^{-1})\) diagnostics yield similar results. The $p$-value of the Spearman test suggests that a real correlation exists in all four cases, to varying degrees ($p_{\text{N2}} = 3 \times 10^{-04}$, $p_{\text{Z94}} = 2 \times 10^{-06}$, $p_{\text{O3N2}} = 0.06$, $p_{\text{KD02}} = 1 \times 10^{-04}$). If the PT05 diagnostic is used, however, we do not find a significant gradient \((-0.0054\pm0.0064\text{ dex kpc}^{-1})\). The Spearman test reflects the lesser significance of the radial trend in this diagnostic ($p_{\text{PT05}} = 0.60$). Moreover, different results can be achieved if the sample is divided by morphological type or surface brightness (Section 3.4). We do not detect the temperature-sensitive auroral lines for enough H\textsc{ii} regions to investigate the abundance gradient in the direct diagnostic.

An illustrative radial oxygen abundance profile of M31 H\textsc{ii} regions is shown in Figure 8, using the N06 N2 diagnostic. This diagnostic is highlighted because it relies on only the brightest emission lines and is insensitive to flux calibration and reddening correction. It therefore produces reliable abundance estimates for a very large number of H\textsc{ii} regions ($N = 192$). Also shown is the abundance gradient as fit by the bootstrap method: \((8.98\pm0.08)\text{ dex} + (-0.0195\pm0.0055)\text{ dex kpc}^{-1})\). This represents a relatively shallow gradient among the nearby spiral galaxies studied by Zaritsky et al. (1994), falling in the 11–26 percentile range based on the 1$\sigma$ error bars quoted above. Employing the isophotal radius $r_\text{0} = 16\text{ kpc}$ they define for M31, the size normalized gradient falls in the 16–32 percentile range.

The radial oxygen abundance profile of M31 PNe is shown in Figure 9, using the direct abundance diagnostic. Also shown in the figure is the abundance gradient as fit by the bootstrap method, \((-0.0056\pm0.0076)\text{ dex kpc}^{-1})\), which is consistent
with zero. The Spearman $p$-value is fairly large (0.45), emphasizing that there is no significant correlation between the PNe abundances and galactocentric radius. However, various systematic effects influence the interpretation of this correlation, as we will discuss in Section 3.5.

### 3.3. Intrinsic Scatter

It is clear from Figures 8 and 9 that, regardless of what the true slope of the abundance gradients may be, there is significant intrinsic scatter about the trend.

In Figure 10, we characterize this scatter by calculating the standard deviation of the abundance of all H\textsc{ii} regions in different radial bins for each abundance diagnostic. By dividing the sample into radial bins, as opposed to calculating the standard deviation of the entire sample, we partially remove the variance that would be introduced by an abundance gradient. While the KD02, PT05, and Z94 diagnostics seem to produce the least scatter ($\sim 0.1$ dex), this could be in part due to selection effects; the emission lines necessary for calculating the $R_{33}$ ratio are not accessible in fainter H\textsc{ii} regions. If we consider the diagnostics with the largest sample size, N06 N2, the scatter in abundance rises from $\sim 0.2$–0.3 dex from the inner to outer regions of the disk. This is significantly larger than the scatter inherent to the abundance diagnostics themselves (e.g., $\sim 0.07$ dex for Z94 and PP04 N2; Kewley & Ellison 2008).

The conclusion that the intrinsic scatter in the abundance gradient is larger than the observational uncertainty reflects some studies in the literature. If the best-fit gradient is subtracted from the M31 H\textsc{ii} region abundances measured in Zaritsky et al. (1994), then the standard deviation among the abundances is $\sim 0.16$ dex—similar to what we measure with the Z94 diagnostic. Rosolowsky & Simon (2008) found an intrinsic scatter of 0.11 dex among their 61 H\textsc{ii} regions in M33, and asserted that this is larger than the precision of the measurement. However, Bresolin (2011) have argued that certain systematic effects have artificially increased the scatter measured by Rosolowsky & Simon (2008), particularly the inclusion of high-excitation H\textsc{ii} regions and low S/N spectra. Because only four of our spectra meet the strict S/N threshold suggested by Bresolin (2011; e.g., S/N ([O \textsc{iii}] $\lambda 4363$) > 5), our data set is not sufficient to address the intrinsic scatter in a subset of the data as they recommend. However, any high-excitation objects in our sample of the type discussed by Bresolin (2011) would instead be classified as PNe (Section 2.2), and therefore would not contaminate the H\textsc{ii} region statistics. Moreover, the uncertainties in the strong-line abundance measurements are negligible compared to the measures intrinsic scatter. For example, for the N06 N2 diagnostic our measurement uncertainties as propagated from the emission line flux uncertainties have a median of 0.03 dex and 90th percentile value 0.10 dex, much smaller than the $\geq 0.2$ dex intrinsic scatter we measure in the radial abundance profile. Future spectroscopic studies of H\textsc{ii} regions in M31 should acquire spectra of sufficient S/N in [O \textsc{iii}] $\lambda 4363$ to measure the intrinsic scatter in the direct diagnostics.

In Figure 11, we investigate local fluctuations in the ISM of M31. We do so by considering the discrepancy in extinction and abundance measurements among H\textsc{ii} regions and disk PNe separated by less than $\approx 2.2$ on the sky, corresponding to $<0.5$ kpc in deprojected distance in M31. As we have previously discussed in Section 3.1, local fluctuations in extinction are often quite large—$\Delta$O(H) > 0.3 dex and $\Delta A_V$ > 1.1 mag for one-third of H\textsc{ii} regions and $\Delta$O(H) > 0.2 dex for one-third of disk PNe.

As illustrated in Figure 2, the oxygen abundance of the ISM of M31 is inhomogeneous. Neighboring H\textsc{ii} regions ($<0.5$ kpc...
in deprojected distance) differ in oxygen abundance (N06 N2) by as much as 0.6 dex, an order of magnitude. Among the 132 such neighboring pairs in our sample with N06 N2 abundance measurements, 33% have a discrepancy in log(O/H) of more than 0.3 dex (Figure 11). These discrepancies could be partially explained by measurement uncertainty; however, 0.4 dex is ~5× the scatter expected from the systematic uncertainties in the diagnostic (~0.07 dex for the similar PP04 N2 diagnostic; Kewley & Ellison 2008). This scatter is similar to the maximum discrepancy among the eight PNe and five H II region pairs (~0.3 and ~0.2 dex, respectively) in the immediate solar neighborhood (<2 kpc) observed by Rodríguez & Delgado-Inglada (2011). Only 20 neighboring PNe pairs have direct abundance estimates, so we do not consider their distribution of discrepancies.

We present spectra for an example of two neighboring H II regions with discrepant abundances in Figure 1. These are two diffuse H II regions (objects HII153 and HII166) that are separated by only 1.93 on the sky, corresponding to a separation of ~0.4 kpc at the distance of M31. When we calculate their galactocentric radii, the difference is 0.84 kpc, and their velocities only differ by 24 km s⁻¹. Despite being so nearby, object HII153 is low metallicity (log(O/H)_{N06N2} + 12 = 8.36 ± 0.04) and object HII166 is high metallicity (log(O/H)_{N06N2} + 12 = 8.94 ± 0.02).

While it would be interesting to search for nonlinearity in the abundance profile (such as breaks near the well-known star-forming ring of M31), the high level of intrinsic abundance scatter present throughout the disk would make it difficult to evaluate different models.

For PNe, we estimate the intrinsic scatter in the O abundance of M31 disk PNe as ≥0.26 dex. Because we find no evidence of a significant radial trend in oxygen abundance for PNe, we simply calculate this number as the standard deviation of the 51 disk PNe with directly measured abundances. We consider this to be a lower limit because high-metallicity PNe are systematically excluded from our direct abundance sample due to the weakness of the auroral line. The median oxygen abundance is log(O/H)+12 = 8.46 dex. For the 17 PNe in the halo of M31 for which we can measure direct abundances, we find a median value and standard deviation of log(O/H)+12 = 8.50 ± 0.22 dex. The median abundance in the halo is therefore lower than that in the disk, but this distinction is small given the intrinsic scatter in the abundances of each population.

Henry et al. (2010) derive the oxygen abundance gradient in the Galaxy from observations of 124 PNe with high-quality spectra and well-determined distances. They report a best-fit gradient of −0.058 ± 0.006 dex kpc⁻¹. They assert that the scatter around this best-fit gradient is ~40% larger than the uncertainties they ascribe to the abundance estimates. Similarly, we find an intrinsic scatter in PNe abundances that is larger than the measurement error, the scatter in the M31 disk PNe abundances we report above is ≥2× larger than the median uncertainty in our disk PNe direct abundance estimates (σ ~ 0.10 dex, as derived by propagation of the line flux uncertainties). An accounting of systematic errors could inflate the asserted measurement error, but they could not account for the intrinsic scatter unless they alter the measured abundances by a factor of ≥2.

Rosolowsky & Simon (2008) and Magrini et al. (2010) invoke inefficient azimuthal mixing to explain local fluctuations in the ISM metallicity of M33 (but see also Bresolin 2011). In this scenario, mixing performed by velocity shear due to differential rotation occurs on a longer timescale (~10⁸ yr) than enrichment by star formation in the spiral arms of the galaxy. Such a scenario could also apply to the inhomogeneities we observe in the ISM of M31.

3.4. Dependence on H II Region Properties

Due to its size and proximity, M31 provides a unique laboratory for studying the ISM of a spiral galaxy; for many extragalactic studies, only the brightest H II regions in the galaxy are accessible to spectroscopy. While the large number of relatively dim H II regions included in our survey (Section 2.4) allows us to probe the ISM properties of M31 more thoroughly than ever before, it also has the potential to introduce discrepancies with past work. Here, we investigate whether the measured abundance profile varies systematically with the brightness or compactness of the H II regions in the sample.

In Figure 12, we investigate the fitted abundance gradient parameters (slope and characteristic abundance at 12 kpc) for the diffuse H II regions as a function of Hα emission line flux density by dividing our sample into surface brightness bins (see Section 2.4). Although the bins are comprised of equal numbers of H II regions, because the S/N of spectral lines depends strongly on surface brightness, there are typically fewer abundance measurements available in the lower surface brightness bins. We adopt a minimum of five abundance measurements for performing abundance gradient analysis, which is the minimum number of H II regions sampled for any galaxy by Zaritsky et al. (1994). PT05 abundance measurements are not sufficient to perform this analysis with that diagnostic. We find that the fitted slope and characteristic abundance parameters are essentially independent of surface brightness, varying by amounts consistent with the error bars on the fitted parameters.

This result contrasts with that reported by Magrini et al. (2010), who found that the abundance gradient in M33 was more than twice as steep for bright “giant” H II regions as for
exclude ∼ direct abundance measurements. Because Magrini et al. (2010) derive metallicity gradients based on for diffuse H\textsc{ii} regions in M31 in bins of Hα emission line flux density. The bins were chosen such that they each have an equal sample size, as described in Section 2.4. The dotted vertical lines denote the width of the bin. The points are given small x-offsets for clarity. The y-error bars are the bootstrap parameter uncertainties as described in the text. Different abundance diagnostics are used as noted in the legend.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 12. Fitted parameters of the oxygen abundance (log(O/H)+12) gradient for diffuse H\textsc{ii} regions in M31 in bins of Hα emission line flux density. The points are given small x-offsets for clarity. The y-error bars are the bootstrap parameter uncertainties as described in the text. Different abundance diagnostics are used as noted in the legend.

3.5. Time Variation in the Abundance Gradient

The H\textsc{ii} regions in our sample are in general more enriched than the PNe, as is demonstrated by Figure 5. Similarly, the difference in the abundances of H\textsc{ii} regions and PNe in M33 reported by Magrini et al. (2010) was ∼0.1 dex and interpreted in the context of the time-varying composition of the ISM. The median oxygen abundance and standard deviation for M31 disk PNe is log(O/H)+12 = 8.46 ± 0.26 dex. Among H\textsc{ii} regions studied with the N06 N2 diagnostic, the typical abundances are much larger (log(O/H)+12 = 8.89 ± 0.24). This would suggest a similar discrepancy between H\textsc{ii} regions and PNe as in M33; however, the median abundance we measure for PNe may be depressed because of the selection effect on the [O\textsc{iii}] λ4363 line ratio required to estimate direct abundances. Moreover, using a diagnostic that typically correlates between with direct abundance measurements, PT05, we find a smaller median metallicity for H\textsc{ii} regions (log(O/H)+12 = 8.34 ± 0.12); again, selection effects should act to exclude higher-metallicity objects. This illustrates the complicating role of systematic effects in comparing abundance measurements for a statistical sample of extragalactic H\textsc{ii} regions and PNe.

In Figure 13, we bin the PNe by surface brightness to investigate the potential time-dependence of the abundance gradient. As discussed in Section 1, the luminosity of PNe are related to the masses of their progenitor stars and therefore to their ages. As in Section 3.4, we adopt a minimum of five measurements for performing gradient analysis in each bin, and we are therefore not able to fit an abundance gradient for the least bright PNe.

If the radial abundance gradient has strengthened over time, we would expect to find a gradient that is more negative with increasing PN surface brightness. In fact, the trend in the direct abundance is never strongly inconsistent with zero for either surface brightness bin. Among the brightest PNe, the slope is −0.0023 ± 0.0097 dex kpc\(^{-1}\). We do find that the median metallicity increases with brightness class, with [8.26, 8.42, 8.49] dex for (dim, normal, bright) PNe. However, because the auroral lines are only detectable in lower-metallicity PNe, selection effects could be eliminating high-metallicity PNe from
the gradient is positive at the interesting trends in other physical properties. For $N_{\text{in}}$ in H$^{\text{II}}$ line ratios are not directly abundance-sensitive as they are

Figure 13. Fitted parameters of the oxygen abundance (log(O/H)+12) gradient and line ratios for PNe in M31 in bins of H$\alpha$ emission line flux density. The figure is constructed similarly to Figure 12.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

our sample, which could reveal a significant abundance gradient. Moreover, this selection effect would act more strongly to remove high-metallicity objects among the dimmer PNe, which would mimic the signature of increasing metallicity with PN surface brightness.

Because direct abundance estimates can only be made for 51 of our 326 disk PNe spectra, it is worthwhile to look for gradients in strong-line ratios such as $R_{23}$ and $[N\text{ii}]/H\alpha$. While these PN line ratios are not directly abundance-sensitive as they are in H$^{\text{II}}$ regions, significant gradients (if present) could indicate interesting trends in other physical properties. For $[N\text{ii}]/H\alpha$, the gradient is positive at the $\sim 2\sigma$ level for the lowest-surface brightness bin ($0.0178 \pm 0.0108$ dex kpc$^{-1}$), and increases significantly with surface brightness such that it is positive among the brightest PNe ($-0.0122 \pm 0.0059$ dex kpc$^{-1}$). The existence of this N2 gradient, and its correlation with surface brightness, could indicate a time-varying gradient in excitation, chemical composition, or both. For $R_{23}$, the slope is never significantly different from zero in any brightness bin.

It would be difficult to distinguish time evolution in the abundance profile of M31 by comparing its PNe to H$^{\text{II}}$ regions, due to the intrinsic scatter in the populations and the uncertainties in the determination of the abundance gradients. Results would be particularly influenced by the choice of strong-line diagnostic for H$^{\text{II}}$ region abundances, and by the cuts made on morphology/surface brightness (Section 3.2).

3.6. H$^{\text{II}}$ Region Nitrogen Abundance Gradient

While the oxygen abundance gradient is the most observationally accessible (Section 1), the radial nitrogen gradient is of particular interest because it may be the steepest of any observable element (e.g., in M33; Magrini et al. 2010). The models of Magrini et al. (2010) show that the N gradient should be steeper than the O gradient due to the different timescales for production; N is produced primarily in low- and intermediate-mass stars, while high-mass stars more efficiently produce O.

The radial nitrogen abundance profile of M31 H$^{\text{II}}$ regions is shown in Figure 14, using the diagnostics of Pilyugin et al. (2010). Also shown is the highly significant ($\sim 4\sigma$) radial gradient for the diffuse objects as fit by the bootstrap method, $(7.83 \pm 0.08) \text{ dex} + (-0.0300 \pm 0.0058) \text{ dex kpc}^{-1}$. This slope is approximately as steep or steeper than all the oxygen abundance gradients reported for any diagnostic and morphological selection in Table 5. However, it is only one-third as steep as the nitrogen gradient in M33 ($-0.08 \pm 0.03 \text{ dex kpc}^{-1}$, Magrini et al. 2010). If we include the stellar H$^{\text{II}}$ regions ($N = 10$), we find a gradient that is similar ($-0.0303 \pm 0.0049 \text{ dex kpc}^{-1}$).

As for the oxygen abundances, we find a large intrinsic scatter about this gradient. Subtracting the fitted trend among all the H$^{\text{II}}$ regions, we find an rms scatter of 0.11 dex. This is significantly larger ($\sim 2$ times) the systematic uncertainty attributable to the strong-line diagnostic of Pilyugin et al. (2010), who report an rms scatter of 0.05 dex (smaller than for the equivalent oxygen abundance diagnostic).

3.7. Comparison to Previous Observations of M31

A variety of authors have previously derived abundance gradients for M31 from other surveys of H$^{\text{II}}$ regions, as well as O and B stars.

As we have discussed, Dennefeld & Kunth (1981), Blair et al. (1982), Zaritsky et al. (1994), and Galarza et al. (1999) have previously derived the abundance gradient of M31 from surveys of H$^{\text{II}}$ regions. From those works, we have the canonical result of $-0.020 \pm 0.007 \text{ dex kpc}^{-1}$, produced by Zaritsky et al. (1994) from the combined sample of 19 H$^{\text{II}}$ regions from Dennefeld & Kunth (1981) and Blair et al. (1982). In their survey of 46 H$^{\text{II}}$ regions in M31, Galarza et al. (1999) found agreement with this gradient, but only among center-brightened H$^{\text{II}}$ regions. Among H$^{\text{II}}$ regions of other morphologies, they found no significant trends.

Trundle et al. (2002) derived oxygen abundances for seven stars of type O and B from about 5 to 30 kpc in the disk of M31. Additionally, they provided a re-analysis of the
H II regions of Blair et al. (1982) using modern diagnostics, producing gradients that range from −0.013 (P method) to −0.027 (M91) dex kpc\(^{-1}\). Their least-squares fit to the stars yielded an abundance gradient of −0.017 ± 0.02 dex kpc\(^{-1}\), in good agreement with the canonical result. However, they noted that if they omitted the possible multiple system OB stars, the apparent gradient would change. Moreover, they showed that the H II regions in M31 and the H II regions surveyed by Blair et al. (1982) and Dennefeld & Kunth (1981) at a given radius.

The results of previous surveys of H II regions and high-mass stars suggest that any discrepancy between the M31 abundance gradients derived from different sources are dependent on systematic effects related to sample selection and diagnostic. Similarly, Urbanæa et al. (2005) have found that the nebular oxygen abundance varies significantly with PN brightness, as illustrated in Figure 13.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented optical spectroscopy of an unprecedented sample of H II regions and PNe in a massive spiral galaxy, M31. In total, we reported line flux measurements for 253 H II regions and 407 PNe. We have derived the extinction, nitrogen abundance, and oxygen abundance for subsets of these objects using a variety of methods, as described in Section 2. From the analysis of these observations, we emphasize the following conclusions.

1. For H II regions, we find an oxygen abundance gradient generally consistent with that found by Zaritsky et al. (1994). Using the N06 N2 diagnostic, we find a gradient of (−0.0195 ± 0.0055) dex kpc\(^{-1}\) among 100 H II regions. We find a significantly steeper gradient in nitrogen abundance (−0.0303 ± 0.0049) dex kpc\(^{-1}\) among 52 H II regions. These represent relatively shallow gradients compared to other nearby spiral galaxies.

2. For PNe, we detect no significant oxygen abundance gradient among 51 objects for which the [O iii] λ4363 line is detected and the direct method can be applied. However, using the line ratio [N ii]/H\alpha, which is measurable for most PNe (N = 277), we find significant gradients that vary systematically with PN brightness, as illustrated in Figure 13.

3. The ISM of M31 is highly inhomogeneous. Both the visual extinction (A\(_{V}\)) and oxygen abundance vary significantly among even very nearby H II regions (2.9 mag and 0.6 dex for some H II regions separated by <2 kpc; see Figures 2 and 11). Moreover, the intrinsic scatter observed about the H II region oxygen abundance gradient (∼0.1–0.3 dex; see Figure 10) is larger than the uncertainty inherent to the strong-line diagnostics (∼0.1 dex). Similarly, the scatter among PNe in our sample is ≥0.26 dex.

4. The abundance gradient derived for H II regions in M31 is dependent upon the strong-line metallicity diagnostic employed, and can be affected systematically by sample characteristics such as H II region morphology and surface brightness. In particular, for observations to a given depth, some diagnostics can only be applied to low- or high-metallicity H II regions, unless they have sufficient surface brightness. Among more compact (not extended, i.e., “stellar”) nebulae that are spectroscopically classified as H II regions, we find evidence of PN contamination that can lead to erroneous strong-line abundance measurements. Sample characteristics of this type should be taken into careful consideration to mitigate systematic effects in future surveys of the ISM of nearby spiral galaxies.
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