The stability of a graphene oxide (GO) nanofiltration (NF) membrane in an aqueous environment: progress and challenges
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Recently, advanced membranes based on a 2D material of graphene oxide (GO) for NF have drawn great attention due to their striking, dramatic separation performances which are much higher than traditional membranes. However, stability, which is a precondition for practical applications, has become the bottleneck of the GO membranes for water treatment. Fortunately, the stability of GO membranes in water has received significant attention, and many fruitful efforts have been recently devoted to solving this problem. It is therefore critical to update the broader scientific community on the important advances in this interdisciplinary field. Herein, we review the recent progress made in improving the stability of GO membranes in water. Fundamental issues, including the origin of the instability of GO membranes in water, and influences of the chemical properties of GO itself on the stability of the resultant membranes have been discussed. We also explore the diverse structural design and surface/interface engineering strategies for improving the stability of GO membranes in water, including the introduction of hydrogen bonding or intermolecular interactions via insertion of polyelectrolytes, cross-linking by molecules or ions, or blending of graphene-based materials, as well as improving the interactions between GO selective layers and substrates. The existing challenges and a forward-looking perspective are also outlined, suggesting directions to take in the design of GO and other 2D material-based membranes with outstanding stability and high separation performance for practical applications.
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1. Introduction

A nanofiltration (NF) membrane is a barrier with well-defined nano-size pores and surface properties which can realize the selective transmission of solute with a low molecular weight and low valence ions while blocking other species.1–4 NF membranes have been widely used for wastewater treatment, pure water production, and other industrial productions.3–9 An ideal NF membrane for water treatment should have high water flux while maintaining the required rejection rate under a relatively low pressure. As according to the classical hydrodynamics theory described by the Hagen–Poiseuille Equation10

\[ J = \varepsilon r_p^2 \frac{\Delta p}{8\mu L} \]  

(1)

where \( J \) is the permeation flux, \( \varepsilon \) is the surface porosity, \( r_p \) is the effective pore radius, \( \Delta p \) is the hydraulic pressure difference, \( \mu \) is the liquid viscosity, and \( L \) is the membrane thickness. According to the equation, to obtain high permeation flux, the selective layer of an NF membrane should be as thin as possible. Moreover, to realize the effective rejection of certain small organic molecules or high valence ions, the selective layer should have precisely adjustable nanopores or channels.

Recently, 2D materials of graphene oxide (GO) have drawn great attention due to their great advantages for the preparation of advanced NF membranes.11–19 On the one hand, the monolayer GO nanosheets with a thickness of less than 1 nm can form an ultrathin selective layer on many substrates via filtration, self-assembly,20,21 blade coating, spraying, spin coating, printing, and shear alignment.22 On the other hand, the nanochannels in
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the GO selective layer can be precisely modulated by adjusting the interlayer spacing between the GO layers. The nanochannels in the ultrathin selective layer, as well as the nanopores on the GO sheets, can endow the GO-based NF membrane with ultrahigh water permeation flux that is orders of magnitude higher than that of traditional polymer-based NF membranes. The precisely adjustable nanochannels of the GO-based selective layer can realize precise molecular sieving as needed.

To date, a series of GO NF membranes with excellent performances has been prepared via various ingenious strategies, and scaling-up of these membranes to large-areas has also been overcome, which suggest that the industrial application prospects of GO NF are increasing. However, as far as we know, the application of GO NF membranes remains still at the laboratory or pilot-plant scale, without industrialization. One of the biggest stumbling blocks is the instability of GO membranes in water. Without long-term stability in water, the industrial application of GO membranes is merely a castle in the air. Therefore, the stability of GO NF membranes in water has received significant attention recently, and many fruitful efforts...
have been devoted to solving this problem. It is therefore critical to update the broader scientific community on the important advances in this interdisciplinary field.

Herein, we review the recent progress made in improving the stability of GO membranes in water (Scheme 1). Fundamental issues, including the origin of the instability of GO membranes in water, and influences of the chemical properties of GO itself on the stability of the resultant membranes have been discussed. We also explore the diverse structural design and surface/interface engineering strategies for improving the stability of GO membranes in water, including the introduction of hydrogen bonding or intermolecular interactions via insertion of polyelectrolytes, cross-linking by molecules or ions, or blending of graphene-based materials, as well as improving the interaction between GO layers and substrates. The existing challenges and a forward-looking perspective are also discussed, suggesting directions to take in the design of GO and other 2D material-based membranes with outstanding stability and high separation performance for practical applications.

2. The origin of the instability of neat GO membranes in an aqueous environment

It is well known that there are many hydrophilic oxygenated functional groups in GO sheets, and these highly hydrophilic groups attract water molecules into the interlayer space of the GO membrane, hydrating the GO sheets and increasing the interlayer space (Fig. 1).\textsuperscript{35,36} The hydration as well as the electrostatic repulsion generated by negatively charged oxygen-containing groups leads to the swelling of the GO membrane in water, and the swelling will finally overcome the van der Waals attractions or hydrogen bonding that holds the GO sheets together, leading to the disintegration of the GO membrane.\textsuperscript{37} Note that the high or low pH value will increase the electrostatic repulsion of GO sheets, further aggravating the instability of the GO membrane in water.\textsuperscript{38} Moreover, some cations in water can also affect the structural instability of GO membranes. For example, the GO membrane structure can be disrupted by Na\textsuperscript{+} (Fig. 2),\textsuperscript{39,40} while the stability of the GO membrane can be improved by Al\textsuperscript{3+}.\textsuperscript{37}

Fig. 4 (A) Cross-linking with diamine monomers to prepare GO membranes with varying amounts of d-spacing.\textsuperscript{49} (a) Structural diagram of GO and three composite graphene oxide-framework (GOF) membranes (GO-EDA, GO-BDA, and GO-PPD). Each GOF was produced by cross-linking GO with a diamine monomer (EDA, BDA, or PPD). (b) XRD patterns of GO and GOF membranes in dry and wet states, respectively. (B) pH-Responsive d-spacing of graphene-based stable pH-responsive membranes (GPMs).\textsuperscript{58} (a) Permeation flux of the GPM prepared from GO and the linear PEI (denoted as ML) or the branched PEI (denoted as MB) in the pH range from 3 to 11, respectively, (b) permeation flux of MB and ML when the pH value shifted from 11 to 3 and back to 11 for four cycles, (c) XRD spectra of MB and ML, (d) film thickness of MB and ML at different pH values, and (e) schematic of potential conformation change of GPM at pH 3 and 11. (C) NH\textsubscript{2}–Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} regulated GO membrane with well-defined laminar nanochannels.\textsuperscript{64} (a) mechanisms of the transport process of GO and GO/NH\textsubscript{2}–Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} membranes and (b) the effect of operating pressure on water flux of GO and GO/NH\textsubscript{2}–Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}–8 membranes. (A–C) were reprinted with permission from ref. 49, 58 and 64, respectively.
Another stability problem of the GO membrane is related to the substrates. The mechanical strength of a thin GO membrane is not good enough for application, so porous substrates are usually needed to support the GO membrane. If the interaction between the GO membrane and the substrate is not strong enough, the GO membrane will be easily peeled off. Besides, the drying-related shrinkage of the GO membrane on rigid substrates such as a ceramic membrane will produce enormous tensile stress in the GO membrane and lead to defects.

3. Strategies for improving the stability of GO membranes in water

The stability of GO membranes in water is a precondition for practical applications. So far, many efforts have been devoted to solving this problem, and some effective strategies have been developed. For example, the stability of GO membranes in water can be improved via covalent cross-linking, non-covalent cross-linking, and protective coating.

3.1 Improving the stability of the GO membrane itself

3.1.1 Covalent cross-linking. One of the instability problems of the GO membrane is the disintegration or re-dispersion of GO nanosheets in water. Covalent cross-linking is an effective strategy to solve this problem. The functional groups such as carboxyl groups and hydroxyl groups on the GO nanosheets provide convenient sites for covalent cross-linking with different kinds of cross-linking agents. Mi et al. prepared a GO membrane cross-linked by 1,3,5-benzenetricarbonyl trichloride via a covalent reaction between carboxyl groups and acyl chloride, and they found that the cross-linking effectively provided the GO membrane with necessary stability to overcome its inherent dispensability in a water environment (Fig. 3A). Due to the covalent reaction between amino groups and carboxyl groups on the GO nanosheets, molecules or nanoparticles (NPs) with two or more amino groups have also been utilized as cross-linking agents to improve the stability of the GO membrane in water (Fig. 3B).

Furthermore, glutaraldehyde and 1-allyl-3-vinylimidazolium chloride have also been utilized as cross-linking agents to improve the stability of the GO membrane in water. Although covalent cross-linking can endow the GO membranes with enough stability in water, the excessive cross-linking will disturb and block water transport within the GO nanochannels, leading to the decrease of the water flux of the membrane. So, how to improve the stability of the GO membrane in water, while maintaining or improving the water permeation flux is still a challenge. An effective strategy is to adjust the d-spacing via changing the chain length of the cross-linking agent, which can increase the water flux to some extent (Fig. 4A and B). Another method is to incorporate some NPs decorated with cross-linking groups. These NPs can not only act as cross-linking agents, but also adjust the interlayer spacing to increase the water flux (Fig. 4C).

Besides organic molecules, metal ions (Al\(^{3+}\), Fe\(^{3+}\), La\(^{3+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ni\(^{2+}\), etc.) can also act as cross-linking agents to improve the stability of GO membranes in water. This is because the GO nanosheets can be cross-linked by a multivalent cationic metal via formation of complexes. 

Fig. 5 (A) GO membranes obtained from Teflon and porous anodized aluminium oxide (AAO) filters, which have different stabilities in water. (B) Variation of Al-GO and Ca-GO membrane stability with cation quantity in a 1 M NaCl solution. (A) and (B) were reprinted with permission from ref. 37 and 69, respectively.

Fig. 6 (A) Schematic illustration for stabilizing a GO membrane through hierarchical interlaminar short-chain and interfacial long-chain molecular bridges. (B) Anti-swelling capabilities of interlaminar short-chain molecular-bridged GO membranes; (a) XRD patterns (left) of pristine GO and EDA-, PPD-, and PDA bridged GO membranes (color coded). (b) Operation time dependence of water permeance and rejection of pristine GO and EDA-, PPD-, and PDA-bridged GO membranes. (c) Relative variation ratios of water permeance and rejection of pristine GO and EDA-, PPD-, and PDA-bridged GO membranes. (A) and (B) were reprinted with permission from ref. 63.
of a coordination bond. These above-mentioned strategies can effectively improve the water permeation flux, but the swelling is still obvious, which may result in a decrease in the rejection rate.

In fact, the instability of GO membranes in water refers to not only the disintegration, but also the swelling that is often ignored. Swelling (without disintegration) can lead to an unstable separation performance (rejection rate). Therefore, restraining swelling is also important to solve the instability of GO membranes in water. Most recently, Jin et al. reported a molecular bridge strategy, in which an interlaminar short-chain molecular bridge generates a robust GO laminate that resists the tendency to swell, while an interfacial long-chain molecular bridge adheres the GO laminate onto a porous substrate to increase the mechanical strength of the membrane (Fig. 6).\textsuperscript{63} By rationally creating and tuning the molecular bridges, the stabilized GO membranes exhibit outstanding durability under harsh operating conditions, such as cross-flow, high-pressure, and long-term filtration.\textsuperscript{63} Besides, this strategy is universal for various porous substrates such as ceramics, nylon and mixed-cellulose acetate. Moreover, this strategy is also scalable. This versatile approach will open up new opportunities for GO membranes used in aqueous environments.\textsuperscript{63}

In brief, amine-based molecules can give a stable membrane structure because the GO nanosheets can be effectively cross-linked via a covalent reaction. However, excessive cross-linking will disturb and block water transport within the GO nanochannels, leading to a decrease in the water flux of the membrane. Therefore, endowing the GO NF membrane with excellent stability while maintaining the high permeation flux and precise separation performance of the membrane are very important.

3.1.2 Non-covalent cross-linking. Besides covalent cross-linking, non-covalent cross-linking via van der Waals forces, hydrophobic interactions, $\pi-\pi$ interactions, electrostatic and hydrogen bonding interactions has also been utilized to improve the stability of the GO NF membranes in water.

Incorporation of reduced graphene oxide (rGO) or partial reduction of GO membranes can effectively alleviate the swelling of GO membranes, making the GO membranes stable in water. This is because the partially reduced GO nanosheets can provide enough $\pi-\pi$ stacking and hydrophobic interactions to overcome the repulsive hydration and electrostatic repulsion between adjacent negatively charged GO layers. It has been reported that rGO NF membranes exhibit excellent stability in water.\textsuperscript{31,75,76} However, a narrowed interlayer space between the rGO layer caused by the reduction, as well as the hydrophobic nature of the rGO, significantly decrease the water permeation flux of the resultant membranes. Utilization of partially reduced GO to fabricate GO/rGO composite NF membranes is an effective strategy to diminish the decrease in water flux (Fig. 7).\textsuperscript{38,74,77–81} The partially reduced GO can lead to weakened repulsive hydration

Fig. 7 (A) Schematic illustration of the fabrication strategy and mechanism of the partially reduced graphene oxide (prGO)-doped GO membranes with high stability in aqueous solutions and controllable lamellar spacing.\textsuperscript{74} The GO sheets with plentiful oxidation regions (a) are partially reduced to prGO sheets (b). The pure GO membranes have a large inter layer spacing $d_1$ (c) and more oxidation groups on lamellar sheets, leading to a stronger repulsive hydration force making the GO membranes disintegrate in aqueous solutions (d). The prGO-doped membranes have a closer interlayer spacing $d_2$ that creates stronger $\pi-\pi$ attractions between GO sheets (e) and a weak repulsive hydration force to keep GO membranes stable in aqueous solutions (f). (B) Stability of GO@Teflon membranes in water, acid, and base solutions.\textsuperscript{74} (a and b) GO@Teflon membranes prepared with 0 wt% prGO. (c and d) GO@Teflon membranes doped with 50 wt% prGO. (A) and (B) were reprinted with permission from ref. 74.
and enhanced π–π attraction in GO NF membranes, while the hydrophilic GO can provide water permeation channels with enough interlayer space. Based on GO and rGO, Morelos-Gomez et al. proposed an easy, scalable, low-energy and environmentally friendly spray-coating deposition method to fabricate a GO/rGO NF membrane. Due to the synergistic effect of GO and rGO, as well as the distinct method, the resultant GO/rGO membrane possesses enhanced shear resistance, good filtration and chlorine resistance, making this kind of membrane attractive for prolonged use with chemical endurance.

Since the rGO plays very important roles, the detailed influence of the reduction degree of GO or the additive amount of rGO on the performance of the resultant membrane should be investigated. By controlling the content of oxidized groups via changing the reducing conditions, Chu et al. prepared GO/rGO membranes with different lamellar distances and studied the influence of lamellar distance on the stability of the obtained GO/rGO membranes (Fig. 8). The authors provided a critical lamellar distance that varies in aqueous solutions depending on pH values and found that if the lamellar distance is less than the critical value, the membrane could be
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**Fig. 8** (A) Schematic illustration of the effect of lamellar distances on the stability of graphene-based membranes. (a) A GO membrane that was stacked by pristine GO nanosheets with plenty of oxidized groups is unstable in aqueous solution due to the weak π–π attraction force ($F_\pi$) and the strong hydration repulsive force ($F_H$). (b) A facilely reduced graphene oxide (FRGO) membrane that was stacked by the FRGO nanosheets with mild reduction is still unstable in aqueous solution although the $F_\pi$ increases to some extent. (c) An FRGO membrane that was stacked by the FRGO nanosheets with a large reduction degree is stable in aqueous solution due to the increased $F_\pi$ and decreased $F_H$. (d) Schematic relationship between the lamellar distance and the $DF(F_\pi - F_H)$ inside the graphene-based membranes. The gray region represents the unstable membranes, while the yellow region represents the stable membranes. The red dashed line represents the critical lamellar distance ($d_c$). (B) Systematic results on the stability of graphene-based membranes with diverse oxidized-group-supported lamellar distances in acidic solutions (pH 1.0) (a), water (pH 6.6) (b), and basic solutions (pH 11.2) (c) using a stirring test during different time periods. The black circles mean that the membranes are intact, and the red crosses mean that the membranes are broken at the observation moment. The blue dotted lines mark the estimated critical lamellar distance of graphene-based membranes for different situations. The yellow regions represent the range of lamellar distances of stable graphene-based membranes in aqueous solutions, while the gray regions represent the unstable membranes. (A) and (B) were reprinted with permission from ref. 38.
physically stable and exhibit good water permeation performance in water for a long time. Ren et al. found that weak reduction increased the number of pristine graphitic sp2 domains in rGO nanosheets while keeping the large interlayer spacing of the GO membranes in most regions at the same time, endowing the resultant membrane with better structural stability and

Fig. 9  (A) Non-covalent cross-linking to boost the stability of GO membranes.  (a) Illustration of non-crosslinking GO membranes via π–π, electrostatic and hydrogen bonding interactions. (b) The models used for calculating the binding energies between Im cations on Im-PPO and epoxy on the GO as well as between sulfonic acid on the S-PPO and GO. (c) Stability of dry and solvated GO, C-GO and A-GO membranes in water. (d) Permeance and rejection of EB dye solutions of dry and solvated GO, C-GO and A-GO membranes. (B) A polysulfone-grafted GO membrane.  (a) Schematic diagram of the GO-g-PSf composite membrane fabrication. (b) Photographs of a GO composite membrane (M-GO-0.4, left) and GO-g-PSf composite membrane (M-GP-0.4, right) at different times during the ultrasonic irradiation experiment. CMPSF: chloromethylated polysulfone. (C) Janus GO (JGO)-doped composite membranes.  (a) Illustration of molecular transport through the nanochannel constructed in (a) GO lamellar membranes and (b) JGO-doped composite membranes. (b) Membranes with different JGO contents at pH = 2, pH = 6.5, and pH = 12 after being soaked in water for 2 h with mechanical agitation. (A–C) were reprinted with permission from ref. 82, 92 and 94, respectively.
Fig. 10  (A) Schematic of the water–organic separation process using the synergistic effect of a hydrophilic polymer and GO laminates.\textsuperscript{95} (a) Schematic of the water–organic separation process using the synergistic effect of a hydrophilic polymer and GO laminates. (b) Schematic of the nanoscratch test. (c) Scratch depth–displacement curve of the CS@GO membrane. (d) FESEM images of the interfacial failure of the GO laminates and the CS@GO membranes. (e) Load–displacement curve of the CS@GO membrane. (B) A stable CNT/rGO/PAN membrane.\textsuperscript{96} (a) The distinct advantages of the CNT/rGO/PAN membrane. (b) Exposure of the 20–40CNT\textsubscript{29.5}/rGO\textsubscript{48}/PAN membrane to strong ultrasound generated by an ultrasonic cell crusher (270 W). (c) 20–40CNT\textsubscript{29.5}/rGO\textsubscript{48}/PAN membrane after exposure for 5 min. (d) Back flushing of the 20–40CNT\textsubscript{10.0}/rGO\textsubscript{48}/PAN membrane at a transmembrane pressure difference of 0.1 MPa. (C) GO membrane with a sandwich structure.\textsuperscript{97} (a) Schematic illustration of the sandwich structure of the GO composite membrane. (b) Digital image of a free-standing TiO\textsubscript{2} intercalated PA6@GO(120)@PA6 membrane. (c) The cross-sectional SEM image of a PA6@GO(120)@PA6 membrane. (d) The top view SEM image of a TiO\textsubscript{2} intercalated PA6@GO(120)@PA6 membrane. (D) Sandwich GO (SGO) hollow fiber membranes.\textsuperscript{98} (a) The schematic of the preparation of SGO hollow fiber membranes. (b and c) The schematics of GO membranes with increased interlayer spacing and SGO membranes with confined interlayer spacing in water. SEM images of (d and e) the GO/PES hollow fiber membrane and (f–h) the SGO–W/PES hollow fiber membrane. (i) Long-term stability of the SGO–W/PES membrane at 2.0 bar. The separation performance showed a small fluctuation over 3 days under five cycles of ultrasonic treatment. (A–D) were reprinted with permission from ref. 95–98, respectively.
separation performance than GO membranes in acid and alkali environments.\textsuperscript{78}

Although incorporation of rGO can improve the stability of GO membranes in water, the rGO incorporated in GO membranes will decrease channel sizes and solvation levels due to the loss of oxygen sites, which may cause barriers for water entry and subsequent transport.\textsuperscript{82} Incorporation of other nanomaterials can increase the d-spacing, thus improving the water flux while maintaining enough stability in water. So far, many kinds of nanomaterials such as CNTs,\textsuperscript{83–85} Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4},\textsuperscript{86} TiO\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{86} UiO-66,\textsuperscript{86} attapulgite,\textsuperscript{87} niobate nanosheets,\textsuperscript{88} MoS\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{89} boron nitride nanosheets,\textsuperscript{90} and MXenes\textsuperscript{91} have been utilized to improve the stability and permeation of GO membranes.

However, the rejection property of the GO membranes incorporated with nanomaterials was reduced significantly due to the fact that it was difficult to regulate the intercalating materials and achieve evenly-distributed deposition between interlayers.\textsuperscript{86,92} Compared with incorporated nanomaterials, polymers can be better distributed on GO nanosheets, thus they can significantly decrease the disarranged laminar structure and larger interlayer spacing, achieving improved water flux and dye rejection.\textsuperscript{92,93} Most importantly, the entanglement of polymer chains grafted on GO nanosheets can provide enough interaction to maintain the structural stability of the GO membrane in water. Xu et al. reported an effective strategy to prepare highly stable GO NF membranes by intercalating ionic polymers rich in benzene and cationic imidazolium (or anionic sulfonic acid) moieties (Fig. 9A).\textsuperscript{82} The incorporated ionic polymers can non-covalently cross-link neighbouring GO nanosheets via π–π, electrostatic and hydrogen bonding interactions. Li et al. reported a strategy to improve the structural stability and water permeance of GO membranes by grafting polysulfone onto GO nanosheets (Fig. 9B).\textsuperscript{92} Wang et al. prepared amphiphilic Janus GO nanosheets by modification of one side of the GO nanosheets with dodecylamine and found that the Janus GO nanosheets can strengthen interactions among neighbouring GO nanosheets and thus prevent structural disintegration in water (Fig. 9C).\textsuperscript{94}

3.1.3 Protective coating. As has been mentioned, cross-linking agents in the GO membrane will disturb and block water transport, resulting in the decrease in water flux. An alternative is to utilize a protective coating. Unlike the cross-linking agents in the channels of a GO NF membrane, the protective layer is on the outer surface of the GO membrane. Thus, the transport channels of GO NF membranes can be fully utilized to obtain fast water transport. Moreover, the whole layer on the surface of the GO NF membrane can act as a protective coating to increase the stability in water.

Jin et al. prepared a hydrophilic polymer layer on a GO membrane, and found that the polymer layer can efficiently improve the mechanical stability of the GO membrane (Fig. 10A).\textsuperscript{95} Zhao et al. reported a structurally durable rGO NF membrane coated with a carbon nanotube (CNT). The CNT protective layer cross-linked by polyvinyl alcohol and succinic acid can endow the GO membrane with high-pressure (at least 1 bar) back-flushing (Fig. 10B).\textsuperscript{96} In fact, besides stability, the protective coating can also provide other benefits such as anti-fouling and easy regeneration,\textsuperscript{96} making it a potential way to fabricate high-performance GO NF membranes. Lou et al. prepared a sandwich-structured GO NF
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(A) GO/FLG membrane preparation.\textsuperscript{77} (a) Porous polysulfone substrate, PVA coating on the substrate (blue); the GO/FLG solution is deposited by spray-coating the composite membrane with the GO and FLG sheets oriented parallel to the surface. (b) Photographs of GO/FLG membranes without and with PVA as the intermediate layer after 60 min under cross flows of 400 and 1000 mL min\textsuperscript{-1} at 1 MPa for 1 h. PVA considerably improves membrane adhesion, as seen on the images under a cross-flow of 1000 mL min\textsuperscript{-1}. The white arrow indicates the area where peel-off begins. (B) Nanofiltration performance of a GO–PDA/O\textsubscript{1}–CS/ceramic membrane.\textsuperscript{63} (a) Photographs of GO/ceramic, GO–PDA/ceramic, and GO–PDA/O\textsubscript{1}–CS/ceramic membranes after high-power sonication for 30 min in water. Cross-sectional SEM images of (b) GO–PDA/O\textsubscript{1}–CS/nylon and (c) GO–PDA/O\textsubscript{1}–CS/MCE membranes. MCE: mixed-cellulose acetate. Insets are photographs of each membrane. (d) Photograph of the scaled inner surface of the GO–PDA/O\textsubscript{1}–CS/ceramic membrane with 40 cm length. (A) and (B) were reprinted with permission from ref. 77 and 63, respectively.
membrane via an electrospaying/electrospinning method, and found that the GO NF membrane coated with polyamide nanofiber networks can remain stable even under ultrasonication (Fig. 10C).97 Most recently, Li et al. reported that the out-of-plane swelling and interlayer-spacing expansion of GO membranes in water can be suppressed bilaterally using porous coats and hollow fiber substrates (Fig. 10D).98

3.2 Improving the interfacial stability between the GO layer and substrates

Another instability problem is that GO membranes easily peel off the underlying substrate due to the insufficient interfacial adhesion between the GO layer and substrate,63 which severely limits its practical application. Surface modification of the substrates is an effective way to improve the interfacial interaction between substrates and GO nanosheets. For example, the modification of a ceramic support by saline can improve interfacial adhesion with the GO layer.99 Morelos-Gomez et al. used a polysulfone membrane coated with polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) as the substrate to improve the interfacial interaction and found that the obtained GO membrane achieved a steady performance for up to 120 h under intense cross-flow with the help of the PVA intermediate layer (Fig. 11A).77 Jin et al. designed aldehyde (glutaraldehyde or maleic anhydride)-modified chitosan (O-CS), with a moderate molecular weight and abundant functional groups, as the interfacial long-chain molecular bridge,63 and found that the O-CS can offer sufficient physical and chemical interactions between the substrate and the GO layer, avoiding the peeling off of the GO layer from the substrate even under a harsh water separation process (Fig. 11B).63

Fig. 12 (A) Highly stable GO membrane based on PDA functionalized supports.113 (a) Schematic diagram of the synthesis of GO membranes on the PDA-modified α-Al2O3 supports through a vacuum filtration method. (b) FESEM images of the GO membrane prepared on a PDA-modified Al2O3 disk. (c) Ion rejection and water flux of the GO membrane prepared on a PDA-modified Al2O3 disk as a function of the operating temperature for desalination of 3.5 wt% seawater by pervaporation. (B) Photographs of (a) a GO membrane supported by a PES polymeric filter, and (b) a GO membrane supported by an alumina hollow fiber. (B) Sacrificial layer stabilised GO membranes.116 (a) A scheme of the working principle of the use of sacrificial layer to stabilise the GO membrane on a hollow fibre substrate. (b) The whole view of the cross section of an yttrium stabilised zirconia (YSZ) hollow fibre. (c) A SEM image showing the GO/PMMA/YSZ tri-layer structure, where the sacrificial PMMA layer is sandwiched in the middle by the top GO layer and the bottom YSZ substrate (Scale bar = 200 nm in the smaller image). (d) A SEM image showing the GO/YSZ structure after the PMMA layer was washed with acetone. (e) The results of gas tightness tests of the GO/YSZ hollow fibre membrane prepared using a sacrificial layer. (f) The result of repeated N2 gas permeation tests of a dry GO/YSZ hollow fibre membrane without using a sacrificial layer. (g) The result of long-term stability test with O2 on a 150 nm-thick sacrificial layer stabilised GO/YSZ hollow fibre. (A) and (B) were reprinted with permission from ref. 113.

Fig. 13 (A) Drying-related shrinkage of GO membranes on supports.42 Photographs of (a) a GO membrane supported by a PES polymeric filter, and (b) a GO membrane supported by an alumina hollow fiber. (B) Sacrificial layer stabilised GO membranes.116 (a) A scheme of the working principle of the use of sacrificial layer to stabilise the GO membrane on a hollow fibre substrate. (b) The whole view of the cross section of an yttrium stabilised zirconia (YSZ) hollow fibre. (C) A SEM image showing the GO/PMMA/YSZ tri-layer structure, where the sacrificial PMMA layer is sandwiched in the middle by the top GO layer and the bottom YSZ substrate (Scale bar = 200 nm in the smaller image). (d) A SEM image showing the GO/YSZ structure after the PMMA layer was washed with acetone. (e) The results of gas tightness tests of the GO/YSZ hollow fibre membrane prepared using a sacrificial layer. (f) The result of repeated N2 gas permeation tests of a dry GO/YSZ hollow fibre membrane without using a sacrificial layer. (g) The result of a long-term stability test with O2 on a 150 nm-thick sacrificial layer stabilised GO/YSZ hollow fibre. (A) and (B) were reprinted with permission from ref. 42 and 116, respectively.
Considering the diversity of substrates available for supporting GO membranes, it is necessary to develop a universal method to realize the surface modification of various substrates. Mussel-inspired adhesive materials such as polydopamine (PDA), tannic acid, and other polyphenols can form coatings with active groups on almost any substrate surface, making these coatings a powerful tool for surface modification.\(^6,100–112\) Thanks to the high adhesive ability of PDA, GO nanosheets can be attracted and firmly bound to the surface of substrates, remarkably enhancing the stability of the GO membrane (Fig. 12).\(^{113–115}\)

It should be noted that GO membranes on ceramic hollow fiber substrates are unstable in the dry state, mainly due to the drying-related shrinkage (Fig. 13A).\(^42\) Li et al. demonstrated that a GO hollow fiber membrane can be stabilised using a porous poly(methyl methacrylate) sacrificial layer, which creates a space between the GO membrane and substrate thus allowing stress-free shrinkage (Fig. 13B).\(^{116}\)

Most recently, B. Kaner et al. developed a novel thin-film liftoff (T-FLO) technique that enables the fabrication of robust GO membranes (Fig. 14A).\(^{117}\) In detail, the active layer GO is cast onto a glass pane, and the thickness and chemistry of the GO selective layer can be facilely tuned during this casting process. Then, a fiber-reinforced, epoxy-based resin is cured on top of the GO layer to form a covalently bound support layer. After submersion in water, the cured membrane lifts off from the substrates, providing a robust, freestanding, asymmetric GO composite membrane.\(^{117}\) Compared with a common scenario such as the deposition of a GO film by filtering a GO suspension on substrates, the T-FLO method results in much stronger adhesion between the GO membrane and substrates.\(^{118}\) As a result, the obtained T-FLO-GO membrane can remain stable in water for at least 30 days (Fig. 14B), and it exhibits stable separation performance under different pressures and long-time operation.\(^{118}\)

4. Challenges and perspective

Although significant progress has been made in improving the stability of GO NF membranes in an aqueous environment,
there are still some challenges for the commercial application of GO NF membranes.

Firstly, the long-term stability of the GO NF membrane is still a major concern. The testing time for the stability of GO membranes is only from several hours to a few months, which is far below the duration for practical applications. Therefore, the long-term stability of the GO NF membranes should be further investigated.

Secondly, the GO NF membranes should be resistant to back washing and chemical cleaning, as these operations are usually applied to resolve membrane fouling. However, GO membranes that can withstand back washing and chemical cleaning are still scarce.

Thirdly, the stable precise separation of GO NF membranes in water is still a challenge. It has been reported that a GO membrane can be reduced to rGO using certain operation conditions. The reduction of some GO nanosheets during operation will change the interlayer spacing, leading to unstable nanochannels, which is not suitable for precise separation. Besides, the size of the channels in water may also be changed due to the operation pressure.

Fourthly, as far as we know, the effects of physical properties such as surface wrinkles and lateral width of GO nanosheets on the stability of a GO membrane in an aqueous environment are rarely reported. Correspondingly, facile and scalable methods for the fabrication of GO nanosheets with controllable surface wrinkles and lateral width also need to be developed.

In brief, more research studies are needed to endow the GO NF membrane with excellent stability while maintaining the high permeation flux and precise separation performance of the membrane.
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