Surgical removal of an exceedingly rare papillary fibroelastoma of the aortic wall causing unstable angina
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Abstract
Difficult diagnosis is due to rarity of the case. TT or TE echocardiography is sufficient to make a correct diagnosis. The risk of embolism or coronary ostia occlusion should guide the decision for surgery.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

We report an exceedingly rare case of aortic wall papillary fibroelastoma simulating unstable angina, diagnosed with echocardiography and CT scan and surgically successfully removed.

Primary aortic wall tumors are very rare and consist mainly of malignant tumors.\textsuperscript{1} Papillary fibroelastomas (PFEs) are benign neformations usually originating from the valvular endocardium, consisting of non-vascularized papillary tissue surrounded by an endothelium layer.\textsuperscript{2} The localization of PFE on the aortic wall is extremely rare.\textsuperscript{3,4} The clinical presentation of aortic PFE is usually related to embolization with myocardial infarction and/or stroke.\textsuperscript{4,5} We report a case of aortic wall PFE simulating unstable angina, diagnosed with transthoracic and transesophageal echocardiography (TTE/TEE) and surgically removed.

2 | CASE REPORT

A 73-year-old woman, hypertensive and diabetic, came to ER with typical angina symptoms. The patient had undergone PTCA with DES on the anterior descending and first diagonal coronary arteries, following acute myocardial infarction, 3 years before. Subsequently, one and a half years later a further coronary artery examination, performed after a chest pain episode with positive ECG, showed a good success of the previous PTCAs. No other coronary lesions were found, and nothing was detected in
the ascending aorta. At the current admission, the ECG showed ST depression in II-III-aVF that spontaneously resolved and there was no significant HS troponin enzymatic release. At a routine TTE, the presence of a mass in the upper part of the right coronary sinus just above the right coronary ostium was noted. A TEE was quickly performed confirming the presence of a mobile mass of about 1 × 1.5 cm in diameter with irregular profile (Figure 1A). A CT scan was then performed confirming the location, aspect, and size of the mass (Figure 1B). Although the patient remained asymptomatic, the mobility of the mass associated with the previous episode of chest pain made us put an indication to urgent surgery.

After a median sternotomy, the extracorporeal circulation was started by cannulation of the ascending aorta and left atrium. After aortic clamping, longitudinal aortic root exposure was obtained. A transparent gelatinous mass was found, implanted by a small peduncle in the upper part of the right coronary sinus slightly laterally to the coronary ostium, which appeared partially covered by the mass itself (Figure 2A). The tumor was excised at the base, without the need to remove part of the aortic root (Figure 2B). The total CPB time was 32 min and the cross-clamp 16 min. The postoperative course was uncomplicated, and the patient discharged home on the seventh POD. Before discharge, a new coronary artery angiography showed an unchanged finding. The histopathological examination confirmed that the mass was a papillary fibroelastoma without thrombotic component (Figure 2C).

3 | DISCUSSION

PFEs are second in frequency after myxomas, or ever more common, among primary cardiac tumors. Some authors reported higher rates of PFEs, and they have been considered more common than myxomas in recent publications. They present pathologically with the appearance of a sea anemone with leafy arms, starting from a stalked central core, consisting of avascular papillary tissue. The 88% of PFE originate from the valvular surfaces and the remaining 12% from other cardiac localizations; aortic localization is extremely rare and can occur in all aortic segments. To the best of our knowledge, only few cases of aortic wall PFE have been described in literature.

In aortic wall PFE, although the majority of patients remain asymptomatic, clinical onset usually occurs with cerebral ischemic or coronary symptoms due to embolization or partial or total coronary occlusion. The diagnosis is very difficult mainly due to their low frequency and consequently the lack of knowledge of the case; this is the unique case of aortic PFE reported in our center after about 20,000 cardiac interventions. In addition, presentation with angina symptoms in a patient with previous PTCA caused diagnostic confusion. Usually, transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) is sufficient to allow a diagnosis with high sensitivity and specificity (88.9% and 87.8%, respectively) where TEE has high sensitivity (76.6%) even for PFEs smaller than 0.2 cm. The execution of a CT scan can be useful to define the diagnosis itself which, due to its extreme rarity, is hardly immediately evaluated. In fact, in our case the typical anemia symptoms would probably have led us to perform a new coronary angiogram, with the associated embolic risk, given the difficulty to think of a PFE of the aorta. The presence of the mass, already evident in TTE, led us to the execution of TEE which allowed a diagnosis with high probability; the CT confirmed the size and position of the mass allowing us to place the clinical suspicion and the consequent surgical indication to the removal. Coronary angiography was not performed to avoid mobilization of the mass.

There are no current guidelines for the treatment of PFE. In patients with embolic events, surgery is strongly recommended. In asymptomatic patients, the opinions are different and are based on mass mobility, position, concomitant surgery, and embolic risk. Preoperative CT coronary angiography can be performed to evaluate coronary artery lesions needing revascularization instead of coronary angiography that carries embolization risk. We
believe that, since the tumor is positioned in the aortic flow, surgery should always be indicated for the potential embolic risk, except in asymptomatic high-risk patients. Given the benign nature of the tumor, a simple but accurate excision is sufficient. After surgical resection, no recurrence of the tumor has ever been reported.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

PFEs of the aortic wall are exceptionally rare and can be found accidentally or show symptoms of cerebral or cardiac ischemia. The diagnosis is particularly difficult mainly due to the lack of experience of the operators. TTE/TEE is the method of choice for their diagnosis while CT scan or MRI can be helpful in the diagnostic doubt. Surgical resection, where possible, is mandatory due to the risk of embolism and is generally low-risk surgery. Our case highlights how clinical suspicion should be placed even when the mass is in such atypical positions (Video S1).
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