ARF6-mediated endosomal transport of Telencephalin affects dendritic filopodia-to-spine maturation
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Abstract

Dendritic filopodia are dynamic structures thought to be the precursors of spines during synapse development. Morphological maturation to spines is associated with the stabilization and strengthening of synapses, and can be altered in various neurological disorders. Telencephalin (TLN), also known as intercellular adhesion molecule-5 (ICAM5), localizes to dendritic filopodia, where it facilitates their formation/maintenance, thereby slowing spine morphogenesis. As spines are largely devoid of TLN, its exclusion from the filopodia surface appears to be required in this maturation process. Using HeLa cells and primary hippocampal neurons, we demonstrate that surface removal of TLN involves internalization events mediated by the small GTPase ADP-ribosylation factor 6 (ARF6), and its activator EFA6A. This endocytosis of TLN affects filopodia-to-spine transition, and requires Rac1-mediated dephosphorylation/release of actin-binding ERM (ezrin/radixin/moesin) proteins from TLN. At the somato-dendritic surface, TLN and EFA6A are confined to distinct, flotillin-positive membrane subdomains. The co-distribution of TLN with this lipid raft marker also persists during its endosomal targeting to CD63-positive late endosomes. This suggests a specific microenvironment facilitating ARF6-mediated mobilization of TLN that contributes to promotion of dendritic spine development.
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Introduction

Dendritic filopodia are long, thin, actin-rich, and dynamic protrusions that are considered to be the precursors of mature, mushroom-shaped spines, both during early neural development and later into adulthood (Yuste and Bonhoeffer, 2004). This maturation process that generates post-synaptic sites of synapses is reversible, and reflects the plastic nature of synaptic connections (Matus, 2000). Alterations of the underlying remodelling machinery can result in abnormal spine structures, as seen in various neurological disorders including Fragile X syndrome and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (Kaufmann and Moser, 2000; Knaflo et al, 2009). Since the mechanism driving spine morphogenesis is still unclear, gaining further insight herein remains an important challenge.

Telencephalin (TLN), also known as intercellular adhesion molecule-5 (ICAM5), plays an important role in spine morphogenesis. It prominently localizes to dendritic filopodia where it facilitates their formation and maintenance in a process that requires actin-binding ERM (ezrin/radixin/moesin) proteins (Matsuno et al, 2006; Furutani et al, 2007). In contrast to other adhesion molecules, TLN slows maturation and stabilization of synapses. In agreement, TLN deficiency or knockdown of ERM proteins increases spine maturation, while overexpression of TLN or constitutively active ezrin favours more filopodia (Matsuno et al, 2006; Furutani et al, 2007). Although the exact mechanism governing filopodia to spine transition is still unclear, it may require exclusion of TLN from filopodia, as spines are largely devoid of it (Yoshihara et al, 2009). We hypothesize that this is most likely mediated by until now unexplored internalization events, aside of the already described proteolytic shedding of TLN (Tian et al, 2007).

We previously demonstrated that TLN accumulates aberrantly and prominently in presenilin1 (PSEN1)-deficient hippocampal neurons, as opposed to culture-matched wild-type neurons (Annaert et al, 2001; Esselens et al, 2004). PSEN1 is a key component of the γ-secretase complex important in AD (De Strooper and Annaert, 2010). It interacts with TLN, but rather than cleaving it, PSEN1 modulates its trafficking in a γ-secretase-independent manner (Esselens et al, 2004). Importantly, intracellular entrapment of TLN may affect its normal surface levels/function. As aberrant endosomal trafficking and synaptic dysfunctions have both been described as early stage events in various neurodegenerative diseases, including AD (Pimplikar et al, 2010), we searched for regulators of internalization/trafficking of TLN, and explored how they affect its role in spine morphogenesis.

The small GTPase, ADP-ribosylation factor 6 (ARF6), is known to regulate endosomal trafficking and actin dynamics (D’Souza-Schorey and Chavrier, 2006; Grant and Donaldson, 2009). Its role herein has been mostly studied in HeLa cells, while in neurons, ARF6 was shown to participate in spine morphogenesis, where its activation by the guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF), EFA6A (Sakagami, 2008), promoted formation and maintenance of spines in a Rac1-dependent manner (Choi et al, 2006). This clearly contrasts...
the aforementioned function of TLN in slowing spine maturation (Matsuno et al., 2006). In this study, we demonstrate that (i) TLN recruits EFA6A and (ii) requires ARF6-activation for internalization. We furthermore show that its endosomal targeting to CD63-positive multivesicular bodies (MVBs)/late endosomes involves the association with flotillin-positive microdomains.

Collectively, our data support the ARF6-dependent mobilization of TLN from dendritic filopodia, which consequently contributes to their maturation into spines.

Results

TLN and the ARF6 activator, EFA6A, interact in vitro, and associate with flotillin-positive domains in neurons

In order to identify novel regulators of TLN internalization, we focused on proteins involved in membrane trafficking and spine development. We opted to assess if the endogenous distribution pattern of such candidates changed as a consequence of an induced change in the somato-dendritic localization of TLN. We established previously that the addition of polystyrene microbeads to primary hippocampal neurons results in a marked re-distribution of TLN to the microbead attachment sites (Esselens et al., 2004). TLN appears to act here specifically as microbeads seem not to adhere to axons (Supplementary Figure S1), nor to young neurons (Supplementary Figure S2), both of which are devoid of TLN. The feature of TLN herein is further reinforced by the lack of prominent recruitment to such sites of another dendritic cell adhesion protein, N-Cadherin (Figure 1A).

Interestingly, we showed that the cup-like dendritic protrusions at microbead attachment sites also contain phosphatidylinositol 4,5-biphosphate (PIP2; Esselens et al., 2004). PIP2 is a surface-associated phospholipid generated by phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate 5-kinase (PIP5-kinase) upon its activation by ARF6 (Brown et al., 2001). Besides regulating endosomal transport and actin dynamics (D’Souza-Schorey and Chavrier, 2006), ARF6 also promotes spine development (Choi et al., 2006), making it a prime candidate regulator of TLN trafficking. As the ARF6-GEF, EFA6A, has a similar somato-dendritic localization (Sakagami et al., 2007; Sannerud et al., 2011) as TLN, we first tested if it co-enriched with TLN at microbead attachment sites in hippocampal neurons and (transfected) HeLa cells. HeLa cells do not normally express TLN, but they do offer the advantage of working with a well-established cell system for studying EFA6A/ARF6-related trafficking (Donaldson et al., 2009). For transfection experiments in HeLa cells and in neurons, we tagged TLN with an internal, sequence of an induced change in the somato-dendritic localization of TLN. We recently developed a system for studying EFA6A/ARF6-related trafficking via an exchange activity mediated by EFA6A (Figure 2C; D’Souza-Schorey and Chavrier, 2006; Franco et al., 1999). As TLN can interact with EFA6A, we therefore studied how altering the activation state of ARF6 affects the localization of TLN. When expressed alone in HeLa cells, TLN is found almost exclusively at the cell surface, localizing to filopodia and microvilli-like structures, which are induced by its expression (Figure 2A). On the contrary, co-expression with EFA6A causes filopodia and microvilli-like structures to disappear and TLN to redistribute from the surface to a punctate vesicular localization. This likely reflects its enhanced internalization, as these punctae are not immunostained by a TLN ectodomain-specific antibody in non-permeabilized cells (Figure 2A and B; Supplementary Figure S9, and see later). This agrees with the role of EFA6A in co-ordinating endo-

along the dendritic shaft and within filopodia. At the basal surface of neuronal cell bodies, they also displayed a strikingly similar mesh-like pattern (Figure 1B and D), suggesting their mutual preference for a defined membrane (lipid/protein) microenvironment. This is further strengthened by the observation that N-Cadherin has a more homogeneous surface distribution (Supplementary Figure S4). Interestingly, the nature of these microdomains is distinct from that of focal adhesion molecules as both vimentin and α-actinin are excluded from TLN-positive domains in TLN-expressing HeLa cells, where a reminiscent surface distribution of TLN is observed (Supplementary Figure S4). On the other hand, the lipid raft-associated protein flotillin/reggie displays a similar distribution (Neumann-Giesen et al., 2004) and our co-expression analysis of tagged TLN and flotillin2 in HeLa cells (Supplementary Figure S5) and in hippocampal neurons (Figure 1C and D) also confirms this. Here, along dendrites, both proteins were closely apposed within filopodia and in the shaft (Figure 1C), where the patchy staining pattern often persisted. This congruent microdomain association of expressed TLN and flotillin is further supported through their mutual recruitment to microbeads added to HeLa cells (Supplementary Figure S6) and the ability to, unlike N-Cadherin, resist the detergent extraction in neurons (Supplementary Figure S7; Ledesma et al., 1998).

As EFA6A co-distributes with TLN we next assessed their interaction biochemically. EFA6A was previously shown to interact with two neuronal potassium channels, both depending on ARF6 for their trafficking (Decressac et al., 2004; Gong et al., 2007). Using similar co-immunoprecipitation conditions, we show in a reciprocal set-up (Figure 1E; Supplementary Figure S8) that EFA6A may indeed interact (in)directly with TLN and that this interaction could depend on the presence of the intracellular domain of TLN.

Taken together, these data suggest that TLN and EFA6A may associate with flotillin-positive membrane domains. The relevance of these observations is underscored by the fact that flotillin, like EFA6A, plays a role in protein trafficking (Stuermer, 2010), has synaptic functions (Swanwick et al., 2010b), and as TLN, affects filopodia formation (Neumann-Giesen et al., 2004).

Traffic of TLN is regulated by ARF6 and its internalization involves EFA6A-mediated activation of ARF6

ARF6 regulates the traffic of specific plasma-membrane proteins by switching from a GDP- to an active GTP-bound form via an exchange activity mediated by EFA6A (Figure 2C; D’Souza-Schorey and Chavrier, 2006; Franco et al., 1999). As TLN can interact with EFA6A, we therefore studied how altering the activation state of ARF6 affects the localization of TLN. When expressed alone in HeLa cells, TLN is found almost exclusively at the cell surface, localizing to filopodia and microvilli-like structures, which are induced by its expression (Figure 2A). On the contrary, co-expression with EFA6A causes filopodia and microvilli-like structures to disappear and TLN to redistribute from the surface to a punctate vesicular localization. This likely reflects its enhanced internalization, as these punctae are not immunostained by a TLN ectodomain-specific antibody in non-permeabilized cells (Figure 2A and B; Supplementary Figure S9, and see later). This agrees with the role of EFA6A in co-ordinating endo-
cytosis with cytoskeletal remodelling at the cell surface, both requiring the activity of ARF6 and its downstream target, the Rho family GTPase, Rac1 (Franco et al., 1999; Figure 2C). To demonstrate that EFA6A-mediated TLN internalization indeed occurs via ARF6 activation, we co-expressed TLN/EFA6A with ARF6T27N, a dominant mutant keeping ARF6 in its GDP-locked state. As expected, here we noticed little or no TLN internalization, while
filopodia and microvilli-like structures remained preserved (Figure 2A and B).

We next studied how ARF6 activation affects intracellular sorting of TLN using well-described ARF6 mutants, including ARF6T27N (and the GDP-locked (constitutively active) ARF6Q67L. In HeLa cells, expression of ARF6Q67L results in the accumulation of grape-like vacuoles in which ARF6-cargo proteins like MHCI and CD59 are trapped (Naslavsky et al., 2004). Likewise, co-expressed TLN readily colocalized with MHCI in ARF6Q67L-positive endosomal structures (Figure 2D). ARF6 cargos, like MHCI and CD59, internalize in a clathrin-independent manner as opposed to, e.g., the transferrin receptor (TIR) that uses clathrin-mediated endocytosis (Naslavsky et al., 2004). As such, TIR, did not accumulate in ARF6Q67L vacuoles, thereby underscoring the selective ARF6-dependent endosomal sorting of TLN (Supplementary Figure S10). Importantly, in primary hippocampal neurons, endogenous as well as overexpressed TLN similarly accumulated in ARF6Q67L vacuoles (Figure 2D). On the other hand, the GDP-locked mutant ARF6T27N is known to block recycling of specific ARF6 cargos from a perinuclear recycling compartment to the cell surface (D’Souza-Schorey et al., 1998; Sannerud et al., 2011). Expectedly, upon co-expression with ARF6T27N in HeLa cells, TLN co-accumulated with ARF6T27N and endogenous CD59 in discrete perinuclear compartments (Supplementary Figure S11). Notably, colocalization of expressed TLN and ARF6T27N was also observed in hippocampal neurons (Supplementary Figure S12).

Taken together, these data identify TLN as a novel cargo protein of ARF6-mediated internalization and endosomal sorting.

Internalization of TLN involves Rac1-mediated actin remodelling, ERM dephosphorylation as well as its release from binding to ERM proteins

As Rac1 activity is required for ARF6-mediated spine maturation (Choi et al., 2006), we tested how this downstream target of ARF6 (Figure 3D; Franco et al., 1999; Koo et al., 2007) affects TLN localization. In HeLa cells, co-expression of TLN with a dominant active Rac1 mutant (GTP-bound Rac1V12; Ridley et al., 1992) resulted in prominent dorsal ruffling and endocytosis of TLN (Figure 3A). Cortical actin polymerization/remodelling underlies membrane ruffling (Franco et al., 1999) and is usually accompanied by the uptake of large portions of extracellular fluid (macropinocytosis) (Donaldson et al., 2009). To assess if internalized TLN indeed arises from macropinocytic uptake, we incubated TLN/Rac1V12-expressing cells with 70 kDa dextran beads. Confocal analysis indeed revealed a clear colocalization of a part of TLN-positive endosomes with this macropinocytic marker (Figure 3B).

As interaction of TLN with phosphorylated ERM (pERM) proteins is essential for its surface anchorage and function in filopodia formation/maintenance (Furutani et al., 2007), we next show that in addition to TLN internalization, Rac1 activation also causes a general reduction in staining intensity of pERMs (Figure 3C). This implies that the mechanism of TLN endocytosis could involve ERM dephosphorylation. Earlier studies in lymphocytes reported that Rac1-mediated dephosphorylation of ERMs translated into disassembly of microvilli via a loss of anchorage of membrane proteins to the underlying actin cytoskeleton (Faure et al., 2004; Nijhara et al., 2004; Figure 3D). Thus, we tested whether disrupting the TLN–ERM interaction is sufficient to induce TLN internalization (Figure 3E). Co-expression of TLN with the FERM domain of ERM proteins (which binds the cytosolic domain of target proteins including TLN, but not actin; Amieva et al., 1999; Allenspach et al., 2001), outcompeted binding of endogenous pERMs to TLN, resulting in the emergence of TLN-positive endosomal punctae, both in HeLa cells and in neurons (Figure 3E).

Together, these data demonstrate the dependence of TLN internalization on Rac1-mediated cortical actin remodelling and ERM dephosphorylation.

Deletion of an acidic cluster/ERM-binding domain of TLN enhances its internalization

To elucidate further the endosomal route of TLN, we searched for sorting motifs within its cytosolic domain (Figure 4A). As sequence homology searches did not conclusively reveal any known sorting sequences, and in light of our findings (Figure 3), we hypothesized that the deletion of the ERM-binding domain of TLN on its own may be sufficient to facilitate its internalization. Previously, it has been suggested that ERM binding to TLN requires well-conserved amino-acid residues (Furutani et al., 2007), which we now show are situated within a conserved acidic cluster (AC) (Figure 4A), slightly resembling the EX motif of the KAC (potassium channel AC), a sorting sequence involved in ARF6-mediated trafficking (Gong et al., 2007). We therefore generated a mutant TLN in which the AC was deleted (TLLNAC).

Expression of TLLNAC in HeLa cells resulted in a loss of staining for active pERM proteins and fewer filopodia/microvilli-like structures, as compared to full-length TLN. This was
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paralled by a clear redistribution of TLNAAC towards a more internal localization (Figure 4B). Moreover, the observation that TLNAAC still got trapped in the ARF6Q67L compartment (Supplementary Figure S13) suggests that the AC of TLN does not affect its ARF6-dependent routing, but rather plays a role in its surface retention, likely by binding the ERM proteins.

To quantify the differential internalization of TLNAAC relative to full-length TLN, we co-expressed each construct together with the dominant active GTP-locked RAB5 mutant (RAB5Q79L; Stenmark et al., 1994). This mutant blocks sorting and recycling from early endosomes resulting in the accumulation of surface-internalized cargos in enlarged RAB5 endosomes. This approach allowed us to clearly discriminate between surface-associated and endosomal TLN. As expected, in both HeLa cells and primary neurons, TLNAAC accumulated more prominently in RAB5Q79L-positive enlarged endosomes compared to full-length TLN (Figure 4C and D). Using an antibody uptake assay, we could furthermore demonstrate that TLNAAC in Rab5Q79L-containing endosomes indeed originates from the cell surface (Supplementary Figure S14). Noteworthy, these experiments additionally showed that TLN has very slow internalization kinetics, as a 2-h uptake after surface labelling was required for its detection in Rab5Q79L endosomes. Such slower kinetics is reminiscent to that of other ARF6-cargo proteins, like for instance BACE1 (Sannerud et al., 2011).

Collectively, these findings demonstrate that TLNAAC, a deletion mutant with disrupted ERM-binding capacity, has a more prominent surface to endosomal routing as compared to full-length TLN. This is in agreement with the relative exclusion of TLN from mature spines (Matsuno et al., 2006), where the functional interaction between pERM and TLN does not take place (Furutani et al., 2007). Moreover as knockdown of ERM proteins promotes spine maturation, while expression of a constitutively active ERM (ezrin) mutant results in more dendritic filopodia (Furutani et al., 2007), our data extend these reports by providing a missing link between TLN–ERM interaction, TLN internalization and filopodia-to-spine maturation.

**ARF6-mediated TLN internalization contributes to filopodia-to-spine maturation**

Activation of ARF6, by either expression of EFA6A or the ARF6 fast-cycling mutant (ARF6T157A; Santy, 2002), promotes spine formation and reduces filopodia number (Choi et al., 2006). However, expression of TLN is known to cause the opposite effect (Matsuno et al., 2006). We therefore postulated that ARF6-driven internalization of TLN from the cell surface may constitute a contributing factor that promotes spine development.

To test this, we compared the effects of ARF6 activation on spine development in culture-matched wild-type and TLN knockout (TLN<sup>−/−</sup>) primary hippocampal neurons (Supplementary Figure S15). We used the same experimental conditions as in Choi et al. (2006) and expressed in both neuronal genotypes the fast-cycling mutant (ARF6T157A; active), the dominant-negative mutant (ARF6T27N; inactive), or farnesylated GFP (IGFP) alone as a control. In wild-type (TLN<sup>+</sup>/+ ) neurons, ARF6T157A expression induced a significant decrease in the number of filopodia (and concomitant increase in spine number) when compared to IGFP alone (Figure 5A and B). This effect was not observed for the ARF6 inactive mutant ARF6T27N. Interestingly, in TLN-deficient neurons, the promoting effect of ARF6T157A in filopodia-to-spine transition was reduced almost by half (from 8.7% relative difference in wild type to only 4.7% relative difference in TLN<sup>−/−</sup> neurons). Like in TLN<sup>+</sup>/+ neurons, expression of inactive ARF6T27N here as well did not affect spine density. These findings imply a partial yet significant (negative) contributing role of TLN in ARF6-driven spine maturation and suggest that ARF6-mediated mobilization of TLN from the filopodial surface may indeed contribute to and in part explain the promoting effect of ARF6 activation herein. However, this complex process of spine morphogenesis, in which ARF6 participates in, likely requires a well-orchestrated balance of contributions from several other key molecules as well.

To determine whether this partial contribution to spine maturation of TLN is mediated via its ARF6 activity-dependent removal/internalization from the cell surface (filopodia), like we observed in HeLa cells (Figure 6A), and in line with our previous findings (Figures 2–4), we next stained neurons for: (1) fGFP to define the membrane periphery, (2) synaptophysin to control for synapse density, and (3) TLN to determine its relative distribution along dendrites (surface versus internal). A quantitative analysis showed that in neurons expressing ARF6T157A, endogenous TLN co-distributes less with surface-anchored fGFP, as compared to those expressing ARF6T27N (Figure 6B). This implies that ARF6 activation results in a more central localization of TLN within the dendritic shaft, suggesting an increased internalization. Because the kinetics of endogenous TLN internalization is very slow (see above, Supplementary Figure S14) and in order to further discriminate between internal and surface localized TLN in primary neurons, we
co-expressed TLN and RAB5Q79L with the respective ARF6 mutants. This demonstrated that the fast-cycling ARF6T157A, but not the inactive ARF6T27N indeed caused a more marked entrapment of TLN in somato-dendritically localized RAB5Q79L-positive enlarged endosomes, thereby confirming a more pronounced endocytosis of TLN when ARF6 is activated.

We next assessed the effect of ARF6 knockdown on TLN distribution by using lentiviral particles expressing shRNAs targeting ARF6. Levels of ARF6 were knocked down to 30% relative to the control (Supplementary Figure S16), and this resulted in an increase in both TLN levels and the total/average length of TLN-positive dendritic protrusions. These results indicate a more abundant surface (functional) locali-
zation of TLN, that not only agrees with a role of ARF6 in internalization and endosomal transport of TLN, but is also in line with an earlier report describing the stimulatory effect of TLN expression on filopodia formation (Matsuno et al., 2006).

Collectively, these findings provide novel insights into the molecular mechanism of ARF6-mediated promotion of spine morphogenesis, by demonstrating its involvement in the removal of TLN from dendritic filopodia.

**Internalized TLN is routed to CD63-positive MVBs**

To further elucidate the endosomal itinerary of TLN, which could also affect its surface presence/function, we expressed TLNAAC in HeLa cells and observed it colocalizing with CD63 (Figure 7A), a marker of late endosomes/MVBs (Pols and Klumperman, 2009). An antibody uptake assay further shows that TLNAAC in CD63-positive endosomes originates from the cell surface (Figure 7B), while immuno-electron microscopy (EM) clearly demonstrates its localization within intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) of MVBs (Figure 7C). This implies that following internalization TLN is routed to lysosomal degradation and/or exosomal release. Interestingly, RAB5Q79L-induced enlarged endosomes were also recently shown to acquire certain MVB features, including formation of ILVs, thereby resembling CD63-containing late endosomes (Wegner et al., 2010). In agreement, co-expression of TLNAAC with RAB5Q79L indeed resulted in their marked colocalization with CD63 (Supplementary Figure S17). Herein, TLNAAC and CD63 colocalized on ILVs, as demonstrated by subsequent immuno-EM analysis (Supplementary Figure S17).

Finally in hippocampal neurons the tagged TLNAAC and CD63 were also found colocalizing in the cell body and along the dendritic shaft (Figure 7D), thereby underscoring that also in neurons, following internalization, TLN can be targeted to CD63-positive MVBs.

As flotillin is known to be concentrated in ILVs of MVBs and exosomes (de Gassart et al., 2003) and since we showed that TLN and flotillin co-distribute in similar mesh-like membrane subdomains (Figure 1C and D), this prompted us to look in more detail into the endosomal localization of TLN and flotillin. In HeLa cells co-expressing tagged TLNAAC and flotillin2/flotillin1 almost 70% of flotillin-positive endosomes indeed contain TLNAAC (Figure 8A; Supplementary Figure S18). This was also confirmed in hippocampal neurons where tagged TLN and flotillin2/flotillin1 co-distributed internally in the cell body and along the dendrites, where numerous vesicles were found containing both proteins (Figure 8B; Supplementary Figure S18).

Finally, we previously demonstrated that in PSEN1-deficient hippocampal neurons, and to a lesser degree in culture-matched wild-type neurons, TLN accumulates aberrantly in distinct intracellular vacuoles that do not co-stain for a number of intracellular marker proteins of the biosynthetic and endosomal pathways (Annaert et al., 2001; Esselens et al., 2004). We now show that in mature wild-type neurons (DIV 26), both endogenous CD63 and the flotillin2 can co-accumulate with TLN in these vacuoles (Figure 8C). This further strengthens our findings by implying that these proteins are mutually associated with a related trafficking route, which under certain (stress) conditions, can potentially get altered.

**Discussion**

Despite TLN’s important role as a negative regulator of spine development, both its internalization and trafficking, which likely affects its surface function, have hardly been explored. One of the factors that regulates trafficking of surface proteins, and also mediates spine maturation is ARF6 (Choi et al., 2006; D’Souza-Schorey and Chavrier, 2006). Because its role contrasts that of TLN (Matsuno et al., 2006), we hypothesized that ARF6 is involved in the internalization and trafficking of TLN. Our findings show that: (1) TLN internalization is indeed regulated by ARF6 and involves its activation by EFA6A; (2) in neurons this partially contributes to ARF6-mediated promotion of spine development; (3) the underlying mechanism requires Rac1-mediated actin remodelling and release of ERM-binding to TLN, while (4) further trafficking of TLN involves the lipid raft marker flotillin, and targeting to the CD63-containing late endosomal/MVBs.

These findings allow us to propose a model for the regulated trafficking of TLN in hippocampal neurons (Figure 9). By binding EFA6A, TLN confines its activity within the dendritic filopodium. Herein, EFA6A activates ARF6, which subsequently triggers Rac1 activity. Together, they affect actin dynamics and membrane trafficking. Active Rac1 additionally dephosphorylates/inactivates ERM proteins, consequently causing loss of TLN’s anchorage at the cell surface, thus facilitating its internalization. In this way, TLN can no longer act as a brake to the filopodia-to-spine transition, while Rac1 drives local shape changes causing spines to mature. Once internalized, TLN-containing vesicles could theoretically recycle back to the cell surface to reform filopodia, and thereby allow the establishment of new axonal contacts. Alternatively, they can be transported along with flotillin to

---

**Figure 3 Internalization of Telencephalin involves Rac1-mediated actin remodelling, ERM dephosphorylation as well as its release from binding to ERM proteins.**

(A) HeLa cells co-expressing TLN-Cherry and Rac1V12–GFP were stained for surface TLN (blue) in non-permeabilized cells using anti-Cherry antibody to show the internal nature of the TLN-containing vesicles (arrowheads). (B) HeLa cells co-expressing untagged TLN (colour-coded: red) and Rac1V12–GFP (colour coded: blue) were incubated with fluorescent dextran beads (70 kDa) (colour coded: green) to assay macropinocytosis. Arrowheads indicate overlap of beads with some of the TLN-positive endosomes. (C) HeLa cells co-expressing TLN-Cherry and Rac1V12–GFP were stained for phosphorylated ERM proteins (pERM; blue). Arrowheads indicate internalized TLN, while arrows point out a membrane ruffle where TLN and Rac1 are found colocalizing. Note that the transfected cell displays overall reduced pERM staining and is largely devoid of filopodia and microvilli-like structures. (D) Literature-based scheme depicting how GTP-bound ARF6 activates Rac1 by activating its GEF, thereby inducing actin remodelling and membrane trafficking. The active Rac1 mutant (Rac1V12) used in (A–C) is indicated. Also shown is the Rac1-mediated dephosphorylation/inactivation of ERM proteins, and their subsequent release from binding to plasma membrane proteins, like TLN. Together, these effects lead to the disassembly of filopodia and microvilli-like structures. (E) HeLa cell (top panel) and primary hippocampal neuron (DIV 14) (bottom panel) co-expressing TLN-Cherry and VSVG-tagged FERM domain were stained for VSVG (green). Arrowheads indicate TLN- and FERM-containing internal vesicles, while the arrow (bottom panel) indicates their association within a filopodium from where TLN likely internalizes. Bars: 10 μm.
Figure 4 Deletion of an acidic cluster/ERM-binding domain of Telencephalin enhances its internalization. (A) Scheme depicting the signal peptide (SP), extra- and intracellular domains of TLN, as well as the position of the internal Cherry tag, proximal to the trans-membrane region (TM). Also shown is the amino-acid sequence of the intracellular domain of human TLN, and its alignment with those from other species. Boxed in blue is a juxta-membrane cluster of acidic residues that fall within a well-conserved region. The residues essential for binding to ERM proteins (blue arrowheads) and the alignment of the TLN acidic cluster with the acidic EX motif of the KAC motif, which is implicated in ARF6-dependent trafficking are also depicted. (B) HeLa cells expressing either TLN–Cherry (top panel) or its acidic cluster-deleted mutant (TLN\_AC) (bottom panel) were stained for pERM (green). Insets show that in contrast to full-length TLN, which localizes to pERM-positive filopodia and microvilli-like structures, TLN\_AC is found mostly in internal vesicles. Cells expressing TLN\_AC also have fewer filopodia and microvilli-like structures. (C) HeLa cells co-expressing either TLN–Cherry (top panel) or TLN\_AC–Cherry (bottom panel) with RAB5Q79L–Cerulean, fixed at the same time point after transfection. Insets show entrapment of TLN in enlarged RAB5 endosomes, which is more prominent for TLN\_AC than for full-length TLN. Multiple randomly selected cells were analysed and the accompanying quantification is shown in graphs. The obtained means ± s.e.m. values were expressed relative to full-length TLN, which was defined as 100% (TLN: 100.0 ± 12.9; TLN\_AC: 331.3 ± 35.3). (D) As in (C) but studied in hippocampal neurons (DIV 14). The values are TLN: 100.0 ± 6.4 and TLN\_AC: 176.5 ± 22.4. Arrowheads indicate entrapment of TLN in Rab5Q79L endosomes. Note that, in contrast to TLN\_AC, full-length TLN is still evidently visible at the cell surface. P-values (Student’s t-test): **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. Bars: 10 μm.
CD63-containing MVBs for degradation/exosomal release. In well-developed neurons, however, both proteins, likely under certain (stress) conditions, can end up with TLN in aberrant intracellular vacuoles (Esselens et al., 2004), implying their involvement in a related trafficking pathway.

**(In)activation of ARF6, Rac1 and ERMs: lessons from immunological synapses**

Although the involvement of ARF6, and its activator, EFA6A, in spine morphogenesis was demonstrated to depend on Rac1 (Choi et al., 2006), the underlying mechanism remained unclear. In separate studies, induction of spine morphogenesis in neurons was shown to depend on recruitment and activation of the Rac1 activator, Kalirin (Penzes et al., 2003), while in HeLa cells both the recruitment and the activation were shown to be mediated by ARF6 (Koo et al., 2007). Noteworthy, endogenous TLN and Kalirin can be found within the same dendritic protrusions (Supplementary Figure S19). However, unlike its association with EFA6A (Figure 1), TLN’s more prominent colocalization with Kalirin occurs in mature neurons and seems to coincide with the beginning of a spine maturation process (Supplementary Figure S19). This agrees with the study of Penzes et al. (2003) and our working model, whereby EFA6A

---

**Figure 5** ARF6-mediated promotion of spine development is reduced in hippocampal neurons deficient for Telencephalin. (A) Primary hippocampal neurons (DIV 13) expressing farnesylated GFP (fGFP) (to visualize membrane structures) alone or together with ARF6T157A–HA or ARF6T27N–HA for 48 h were analysed in wild-type (+/+) and TLN-deficient (−/−) neurons. Insets show dendritic protrusions. Acquired images were subjected to morphometric analysis as described in Materials and methods. For TLN+/− neurons, depicted in graphs spine percentages are fGFP alone (46.9 ± 0.9), fGFP + ARF6T157A (55.6 ± 2.2) and fGFP + ARF6T27N (44.7 ± 1.3). For TLN−/− neurons, spine percentages are fGFP alone (52.6 ± 1.4), fGFP + ARF6T157A (57.3 ± 1.6) and fGFP + ARF6T27N (51.2 ± 1.2). Note that TLN+/− neurons expressing ARF6T157A show a significant increase in number of spines when compared to fGFP expressing control. This is also the case in TLN−/− neurons, but here the observed relative difference is less apparent: 4.7 versus 8.7% in TLN−/− and TLN+/+ neurons, respectively. Note also that TLN−/− neurons expressing fGFP alone show significantly more spines (less filopodia) when compared to fGFP expressing wild-type neurons. Data were collected from 400 to 1400 protrusions on dendrites of 6–8 neurons in three independent experiments. (B) Representative images of dendritic sections as described in (A). Note the more prominent presence of spine structures in both TLN−/− and wild-type neurons when ARF6T157A (activated) mutant is expressed. P-values (Student’s t-test): *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; NS: no significant difference. Bars: 10 µm.
would have an initiating role in this process, while its
downstream targets, including ARF6, Kalirin and Rac1
would require appropriate triggering for their recruitment to
sites of maturing spines (synapses).

Interestingly, Rac1 was shown to participate in morphologi-
cal changes also during immunological synapse formation
in a dual way. First, by inactivating/dephosphorylating ERM
proteins, it creates flexible regions of the plasma membrane.
by disanchoring the actin cytoskeleton from the membrane. Second, by increasing actin polymerization it creates ruffles/ lamellipodia that enhance binding and scanning for appropriate ligands (Faure et al, 2004; Nijhara et al, 2004). A very similar situation could be envisioned in the transition of filopodia to spines during neuronal synapse formation. In such a scenario, upon establishment of a contact with the axon, maybe through binding of TLN to presynaptic integrins, like LFA1 (Wakabayashi et al, 2008), a known ligand of TLN (Mizuno et al, 1997; Tian et al, 1997), a cascade of events could be triggered including activation/recruitment of EFA6A, ARF6, Kalirin and finally Rac1. Rac1 activation would then work as in immunological synapses, only now by regulating the internalization of TLN, as well as actin remodelling, both of which would promote the formation of mushroom-shaped spines. As our data, using TLN−/− primary hippocampal neurons, show a significant, yet only partial contribution of TLN in this ARF6-mediated process, involvement of other (adhesion) molecules cannot be excluded. The ultimate morphological outcome in such a working model would thus depend on an integration of a number of factors, including internalization and recycling events of the key proteins.

Parallels between the immunological and neuronal synapse have indeed been made before, however, in a different perspective (Matsumo et al, 2006; Gahmberg et al, 2008; Tian et al, 2009). In fact, another member of the ICAM family, namely ICAM1, is expressed in lymphocytes, and like TLN, binds LFA1. Interestingly, ICAM1 has been identified as a novel ARF6-cargo protein in a recent proteomic screen (Eyster et al, 2009) and like TLN and other ICAMs it interacts with ERM proteins at the cell surface (Bretschler et al, 2002).

**Flotillin, lipid rafts and TLN-mediated filopodia formation**

Flotillin is a lipid raft protein (Bickel et al, 1997), and interestingly, synapse formation has been shown to be promoted by lipid rafts, mainly through the action of glia-derived and neuronal-synthesized cholesterol (Mauch et al, 2001; Suzuki et al, 2007). ARF6-mediated endocytosis has also been described to require cholesterol (Naslavsky et al, 2004), and trafficking of lipid rafts may be regulated by ARF6, as shown during adhesion-dependent signalling (Balsubramanian et al, 2007). A further similarity between ARF6 and flotillin is that both are believed to modulate several cell surface-associated activities, including cell-cell adhesion and migration (D’Souza-Schorey and Chavrier, 2006; Stuermer, 2010). They also both regulate trafficking of CD59, a GPI-anchored protein that resides in lipid rafts (Naslavsky et al, 2004; Glebov et al, 2006).

In our experiments, TLN and flotillin associate closely, both intracellularly (within the same vesicles), as well as at specific cell surface microdomains with a distinct patchy appearance, found at the neuronal cell body. These microdomains appear to extend along the dendritic shaft and filopodia at a smaller scale, where they could act as platforms for internalization and recycling events, involving TLN and other proteins. This could in turn influence filopodia formation/spine morphogenesis. Interestingly, flotillin2 was shown to promote filopodia formation in HeLa cells (Neumann-Giesen et al, 2004), and a recent study in hippocampal neurons demonstrated that flotillin1 promotes specifically glutamatergic, but not GABAergic, synapse formation (Swanwick et al, 2010b). Coincidentally, expression of TLN is confined to these excitatory neurons (Benson et al, 1998). As flotillin is a lipid raft protein, it may promote filopodia formation by locally altering the plasma-membrane composition, and thereby membrane tension, thus facilitating initial protrusion of filopodia. In fact, this same mechanism likely accounts for the increased neurite branching induced by flotillin expression (Swanwick et al, 2010a), and correlates well with the high TLN expression levels in well-arborized neurons (Benson et al, 1998). Noteworthy, ARF6 has also been shown to regulate neurite branching (Hernández-Deviez et al, 2002).

**Trafﬁcking of TLN to CD63-containing late endosomes/MVBs**

TLN colocalizes with CD63-containing MVBs in HeLa cells and neurons (both at the cell body and to a lesser extent along the dendrites). These endosomes are likely destined for lysosomal degradation, however, another possibility is that they may also be recycled back to the plasma membrane where the ILVs could be released as exosomes. This in turn, would enable a new way of communication of TLN with the extracellular environment, potentially important (1) for proper establishment of axonal contacts, by guiding LFA1-expressing axons (Wakabayashi et al, 2008) to filopodia; (2) for dendritic filopodia formation, which is promoted by homophilic interactions of TLN (Tian et al, 2007); and (3) during inflammation, to sensitize and guide the migration of LFA1-expressing microglia to affected neurons, much like how antigen-presenting cells secrete ICAM1-containing exosomes to stimulate immune responses (Théry et al, 2002; Segura et al, 2005).

**Figure 6** Internalization of Telencephalin is coupled to ARF6-mediated promotion of spine development. (A) HeLa cells co-expressing TLN–Cherry and ARF6T157A–HA mutant were stained for HA (green). Arrowheads indicate intracellular vesicles where both proteins are found colocalizing. (B) Wild-type hippocampal neurons (DIV 13) expressing IgGF (to visualize membrane periphery) alone or together with ARF6T157A–HA or (inactive) ARF6T27N–HA. Neurons were stained for HA to check for ARF6 expression, synaptophysin (blue) to control for synaptic density, and TLN (red) to determine its relative distribution (surface versus internal) along dendrites. Note that in neurons expressing ARF6T157A, TLN staining appears more centrally localized within the dendritic shaft compared to neurons expressing IgGF alone (control) or together with ARF6T27N. This was confirmed through the quantitative threshold analysis of acquired images that revealed a significant decrease in the relative area containing endogenous TLN as compared to IgGF. The obtained percentages depicted in the graph are IgGF alone: 102.7 ± 15.4; IgGF + ARF6T157A: 46.7 ± 3.1; IgGF + ARF6T27N: 118 ± 7.8. Data were collected from multiple dendritic sections of several different neurons. (C) Hippocampal neurons (DIV 13) co-expressing TLN–Cherry and RAB5Q79L–Cerulean (green) alone or together with ARF6T157A–HA or ARF6T27N–HA were stained for HA (colour coded: blue). Arrowheads show more prominent entrapment of TLN in RAB5Q79L endosomes in neurons expressing ARF6T157A when compared to those expressing ARF6T27N or no ARF6 mutant. Neurons were fixed at the same time point after transfection. The data indicate that ARF6 activation can induce TLN internalization. *P-values (Student’s t-test): *P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001. Bars: 10 μm.
Figure 7  Internalized Telencephalin is routed to CD63-positive multivesicular bodies. (A) HeLa cells expressing TLNΔAC–Cherry were stained for endogenous CD63 (green). Arrowheads indicate juxta-positioning of TLN and CD63. The percentage of CD63-positive endosomes that contain TLNΔAC is shown in the graph. Quantifications were made using multiple, randomly selected cells, and the obtained percentage and s.e.m. value are 74.8 ± 4.2. (B) Antibody uptake assay using HeLa cells expressing TLNΔAC–Cherry (2-h uptake). Arrowheads indicate internalized TLNΔAC (red) within CD63- (green) positive endosomes. Surface TLNΔAC (blue) was stained for before cell permeabilization. (C) Immuno-EM micrograph of a HeLa cell expressing TLNΔAC–Cherry, stained for TLNΔAC (5 nm) and CD63 (15 nm). Arrows show intraluminal vesicles, where TLNΔAC and CD63 are closely apposed. M: mitochondria, N: nucleus. Bar: 200 nm. (D) Hippocampal neuron (DIV 13) co-expressing TLN–Cherry and CD63-GFP. Arrowheads indicate the juxta-positioning of TLN and CD63 both at the cell body (top panel), and along the dendrites (bottom panel). The extent of colocalization was quantified like in (A). The obtained percentages and s.e.m. values depicted in graphs are 43.5 ± 2.7 (cell body) and 20.5 ± 3.1 (dendrites). Bars: 10 μm, unless otherwise indicated.
We previously showed that TLN trafficking is altered in PSEN1-deficient hippocampal neurons, and to a lesser degree in well-developed wild-type neurons, where TLN accumulates intracellularly in autophagic-like vacuoles (Esselens et al., 2004). Recently, a convergence of autophagic and CD63-containing degradation routes was revealed (Fader et al., 2008; 2009), suggesting that the TLN-CD63 positive organelles observed in older neurons may reflect an initiation of transport jamming or delayed degradation, an aspect that requires further investigation.

Taken together, we provide a novel mechanism how TLN trafficking affects the filopodia-to-spine transition in hippocampal neurons. Whereas its surface presence/function is largely determined by ARF6-mediated internalization/sorting...
events, later intracellular routing of TLN involves both the lipid raft marker protein, flotillin and targeting to CD63-positive late endosomes. These findings extend the known role of ARF6 in dendritic spine morphogenesis by implying its involvement in the regulated internalization of a major dendritic adhesion molecule, TLN. So far, TLN is the only known adhesion molecule executing a negative regulation on spine maturation. By demonstrating that TLN functionally interacts with ARF6 via EFA6A, we now show that the function of ARF6 in positively regulating spine maturation is at least in part mediated through the downregulation of TLN surface expression in dendritic filopodia. Interestingly, TLN-deficient neurons display larger spine heads than neurons from wild-type mice suggesting an additional role of TLN in refinement of functional neuronal circuits in telencephalon, with potential impact on higher brain functions (Matsumo et al., 2006). This puts our findings in a physiologically relevant context of learning and memory acquisition and shows that insights into TLN’s trafficking could open up novel avenues that would allow the modulation of its function in spine morphogenesis. This is of pivotal importance during development (Barkat et al., 2011), as well as later into adulthood (Nakamura et al., 2001).

Materials and methods

Cell culture, transfection and cell-based assays

HeLa cells were transfected using FuGENE 6 (Roche Diagnostics) in a reverse transfection procedure. The culture of primary hippocampal neurons has been described previously (Esselens et al., 2004; Kaech and Banker, 2006). Neurons were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) or calcium phosphate precipitation method (Köhmann et al., 1998). All the transfection experiments lasted between 24 and 72 h depending on the experimental setting. TLN–/– mice were provided by Y Furutani and Y Yoshihara (Riken, Wako, Japan). Macropinocytosis was assayed using fluorescent dextrans beads (70 kDa; 1 mg/ml) that were incubated with cells for 1 h, and subsequently washed out prior to fixation and staining. Details regarding the antibody uptake assays, the detergent-based extraction procedure, as well as information on the used constructs/antibodies can be found in Supplementary data.

Co-immunoprecipitation and western blot analysis

Transfected HeLa cells were lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer (10 mM TrisCl (pH 8), 1% NP40, 100 mM NaCl, complemented with protease inhibitors and 1 mM Pefabloc; Roche Diagnostics) for 30 min, and cleared by centrifugation (13 000 g, 15 min). Immuno-precipitates were collected (4000 g, 1 min) after incubating the supernatant (250 μg protein diluted with lysis buffer without NP-40) with 6 μg/ml rabbit anti-GFP (Invitrogen) or anti-TLN (B36.1) antibody overnight at 4 °C. The beads were subsequently washed in lysis buffer with higher salt (400 mM NaCl), and thereafter with 0.3 × TBS. The bound proteins were eluted and separated by SDS–PAGE (4–12%) Bis-Tris NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen). Samples were processed for western blotting and immunodetection, while the Western Lightning-Plus ECL reagent (Perkin-Elmer) and AIDA Image Analyzer were used for protein band visualization/intensity quantification, respectively.

Confocal microscopy and immunofluorescence

HeLa cells and hippocampal neurons that were plated on glass coverslips were fixed in (4% paraformaldehyde/4% sucrose in PBS, 20 min or in –20 °C methanol, 5 min), permeabilized (0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, 5 min), blocked (2% BSA, 2% FBS and 1% gelatin in PBS supplemented with 5% serum, incubated with primary antibody (4°C, overnight), washed (PBS), incubated with fluorophore conjugated secondary antibody (RT, 1 h), rinsed, and mounted in Mowiol-containing medium. Alexa-conjugated phalloidin (Invitrogen) was used to label filamentous actin. The secondary antibodies were conjugated with the following fluorophores: Alexa-488, -568, -647 and Pacific Blue (Invitrogen). Images were captured on a confocal (Radiance 2100; Carl Zeiss) connected to an upright microscope (Eclipse E800; Nikon) and using an oil-immersion plan Apo 60 × /1.40 NA objective lens. Final processing was done using Lasersharp 2000 and Photoshop (Adobe) and restricted to

---

**Figure 9** Proposed model of Telencephalin trafficking in hippocampal neurons and its implications for spine morphogenesis. Within filopodia, EFA6A associates with TLN at defined membrane subdomains that contain flotillin. After getting triggered it activates ARF6, which indirectly activates Rac1 (not shown), thereby affecting actin dynamics and membrane trafficking. Active Rac1 dephosphorylates and inactivates ERM proteins. This causes TLN to lose its anchorage at the surface and facilitates its internalization. Concomitant with its endocytosis, Rac1 drives shape changes through actin polymerization, thus causing filopodia to mature into spines. Once internalized, TLN-containing vesicles in the dendritic shaft may be either recycled (recycling endo) back to the cell surface, to reform filopodia and to establish new axonal contacts. Alternatively they are transported along with flotillin to CD63-containing late endosomal/multivesicular bodies (LE/MVBs) found either locally in dendritic shafts, or within the cell body. Part of this pathway may become altered under certain (stress) conditions in well-developed neurons causing TLN to accumulate aberrantly together with CD63 and flotillin in intracellular autophagic-like vacuoles (as observed in younger PSEN1+/– neurons; Esselens et al., 2004).

---

**Co-immunoprecipitation and western blot analysis**

Transfected HeLa cells were lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer (10 mM TrisCl (pH 8), 1% NP40, 100 mM NaCl, complemented with protease inhibitors and 1 mM Pefabloc; Roche Diagnostics) for 30 min, and cleared by centrifugation (13 000 g, 15 min). Immuno-precipitates were collected (4000 g, 1 min) after incubating the supernatant (250 μg protein diluted with lysis buffer without NP-40) with 6 μg/ml rabbit anti-GFP (Invitrogen) or anti-TLN (B36.1) antibody overnight at 4 °C. The beads were subsequently washed in lysis buffer with higher salt (400 mM NaCl), and thereafter with 0.3 × TBS. The bound proteins were eluted and separated by SDS–PAGE (4–12%) Bis-Tris NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen). Samples were processed for western blotting and immunodetection, while the Western Lightning-Plus ECL reagent (Perkin-Elmer) and AIDA Image Analyzer were used for protein band visualization/intensity quantification, respectively.

**Confocal microscopy and immunofluorescence**

HeLa cells and hippocampal neurons that were plated on glass coverslips were fixed in (4% paraformaldehyde/4% sucrose in PBS, 20 min or in –20 °C methanol, 5 min), permeabilized (0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, 5 min), blocked (2% BSA, 2% FBS and 1% gelatin in PBS supplemented with 5% serum, incubated with primary antibody (4°C, overnight), washed (PBS), incubated with fluorophore conjugated secondary antibody (RT, 1 h), rinsed, and mounted in Mowiol-containing medium. Alexa-conjugated phalloidin (Invitrogen) was used to label filamentous actin. The secondary antibodies were conjugated with the following fluorophores: Alexa-488, -568, -647 and Pacific Blue (Invitrogen). Images were captured on a confocal (Radiance 2100; Carl Zeiss) connected to an upright microscope (Eclipse E800; Nikon) and using an oil-immersion plan Apo 60 × /1.40 NA objective lens. Final processing was done using Lasersharp 2000 and Photoshop (Adobe) and restricted to
limited linear colour balance adjustments to interpret merged pictures.

**Image analysis and quantification**

Morphometric measurements were performed using Imaris image analysis software (Bitplane Scientific Software, Zurich). Neurons were selected randomly, and aspiny interneurons were excluded from the analysis. To determine the percentage of spines or filopodia, spines were defined as dendritic protrusions of 0.4–3 μm length (with or without a head) and filopodia as dendritic protrusions of 3–10 μm in length, as previously described (Choi et al., 2006). The percentages of protrusions were grouped (filopodia versus spines) and averaged. Multiple individual neurons were analysed to obtain a population mean.

For the analysis of the presence of endogenous TLN within the dendrite (surface versus internal), acquired images of dendritic sections (40 μm) were processed using ImageJ software (NIH) set for Auto thresholding using the Minimum Method. The obtained data reflect area percentages of the TLN distribution relative to that of IGFP. For IGFP, the percentage defines the complete dendritic area, as it is limited linear colour balance adjustments to interpret merged pictures.

For TLN, the area percentages differed, as TLN's distribution varied. To quantify TLN entrapment in RAB5Q79L endosomes acquired images were analysed using ImageJ software. The relative levels of internalized TLN (TLN AC) were compared by dividing its fluorescence intensity, confined to Rabs5Q79L endosomes, by its total cell fluorescence. The reported differences were observed in at least three independent experiments and quantified in multiple, randomly selected cells.

For the endosomal overlap analysis, vesicles that stained positive for CD63 or flotillin were scored for the presence/absence of TLN. The percentages of vesicles containing both proteins were calculated. Means from multiple individual cells were averaged to obtain a population mean.

For the microdomain overlap analysis between TLN and EFA6A or flotillin2 at the cell body of neurons, acquired images were processed in Imaris using the JACop method (Bolte and Cordelières, 2006).
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