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1.Introduction

In [1], the variation of parameters method is applied to solve the general nonhomogenous linear differential equation of the second order. The author solved the homogenous equation and constructed a particular solution depending on its solutions. He got the first and second differentiation of the particular solutions, substituted them into the considered equation, and performed some investigated steps, and he found the required general solution.

We note that this is an exact solution for a linear differential equation and not an approximated one and so is not the small parameter technique or the large parameter one useful for this problem. Such techniques are useful only for approximated problems consisting of nonlinear differential equations containing a small parameter [2] or a large one [3, 4]. In the following sections, we modify the well-known previous method in terms of the large parameter for solving some systems for some perturbed problems [5, 6] containing quasi-linear systems. This modification gives us the chance to study the considered problems in new conditions and new domains of solutions.

2. The Method of Averaging

We consider the following examples for illustrating this method, and we will find the periodic solutions of each case:

2.1. Van Der Pol’s Technique. This technique is considered in previous works for finding the approximated periodic solutions as power series in terms of a small parameter defined for each problem. In our work, we aim to find new periodic solutions in terms of a large parameter instead of the small one of the equation

\[ \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 u = \varepsilon^{-1} \left[ (1 - u^2) \frac{du}{dt} + K \lambda \cos \lambda t \right], \quad (1) \]
where $\varepsilon$ is imposed to be large and $\lambda = O(\varepsilon^{-1})$ is the movement frequency which is assumed to be small to be different from the natural frequency $\omega _0$. By taking the solution to (1), we have assumed the following form:
\begin{equation}
    u(t) = a_1(t) \cos \lambda t + a_2(t) \sin \lambda t,
\end{equation}
where $a_1(t)$ and $a_2(t)$ are taken to be slow functions that vary with time as well as $(\text{d}a_1/\text{d}t) = 0(\varepsilon^{-1})$ and $(\text{d}^2a_1/\text{d}t^2) = 0(\varepsilon^{-2})$. By differentiating (2) twice, we obtain
\begin{equation}
    \ddot{u} = -\lambda^2 a_1 \cos \lambda t - \lambda^2 a_2 \sin \lambda t - 2\dot{a}_1 \lambda \sin \lambda t + 2\dot{a}_2 \lambda \cos \lambda t + \ddot{a}_1 \cos \lambda t + \ddot{a}_2 \sin \lambda t,
\end{equation}
(10) but with the time change $a$ and $\theta$, and it is subjected to the condition:
\begin{equation}
    \frac{\text{d}u}{\text{d}t} = -a\omega_0 \sin \phi,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
    \phi = \omega_0 t + \theta.
\end{equation}
By differentiating (10) w. r. t. $t$, we obtain
\begin{equation}
    \frac{\text{d}u}{\text{d}t} = -a\omega_0 \sin \phi + \frac{\text{d}a}{\text{d}t} \cos \phi - a \frac{\text{d}\theta}{\text{d}t} \sin \phi.
\end{equation}
(11)
So,
\begin{equation}
    \frac{\text{d}a}{\text{d}t} \cos \phi - a \frac{\text{d}\theta}{\text{d}t} \sin \phi = 0.
\end{equation}
(12)

By differentiating (11) w. r. t. $t$, we obtain
\begin{equation}
    \frac{\text{d}^2u}{\text{d}t^2} = -a\omega_0^2 \sin \phi - \omega_0 \frac{\text{d}a}{\text{d}t} \sin \phi - a\omega_0 \frac{\text{d}\theta}{\text{d}t} \cos \phi.
\end{equation}
(13)

Substituting this expression into (9) and using (10), we obtain
\begin{equation}
    \omega_0 \frac{\text{d}a}{\text{d}t} \sin \phi + a\omega_0 \frac{\text{d}\theta}{\text{d}t} \cos \phi = -\varepsilon^{-1} f,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
    f = f[a \cos \phi, -a\omega_0 \sin \phi].
\end{equation}
(15)

Solving (13) and (15) for (\text{d}a/\text{d}t) and (\text{d}\theta/\text{d}t), we obtain that
\begin{equation}
    \omega_0 \frac{\text{d}a}{\text{d}t} = -\varepsilon^{-1} \sin \phi f,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
    a\omega_0 \frac{\text{d}\theta}{\text{d}t} = -\varepsilon^{-1} \cos \phi f.
\end{equation}
(16)

By integrating (16) into the period $[t, t+T]$, where $a$ and $\theta$ can be taken as constants on the right-hand side, we obtain
\[2\omega_1 \frac{da}{dt} = -\varepsilon^{-1} f_1 (a),\]  
\[2a \omega_0 \frac{d\theta}{dt} = -\varepsilon^{-1} g_1 (a),\]  
where

\[
\pi f_1 (a) = \int_0^{2\pi} \sin \phi f \, d\phi,
\]

\[
\pi g_1 (a) = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos \phi f \, d\phi.
\]  

We also note that \( f_1 \) and \( g_1 \) are coefficients of the Fourier series expansion of the function \( f \).

As an example, let us consider the Duffing equation.

In this example, we modify the Duffing equation in terms of the large parameter to be

\[
\frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + \omega^2 u = -\varepsilon^{-1} u^3,
\]

where

\[f (u, \dot{u}) = -u^3.\]

So,

\[f_1 (a) = 0,\]

\[g_1 (a) = -0.75a^3.\]

Thus, from (17), it is obtained

\[\omega_o \theta = 0.375\varepsilon^{-1} a^2 t + \omega_o \theta_o, \quad a = \text{const.}\]

Therefore, the first approximation is

\[u = a \cos \omega_o \left[ 1 + \left(0.375\varepsilon^{-1} a^2 / \omega_o^2 \right) \right] t + o (\varepsilon^{-2}).\]

For the second example, consider the Van der Pol oscillator:

\[
\frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + \omega^2 u = f (u, \dot{u}),
\]

where

\[f (u, \dot{u}) = \left(1 - u^2 \right) \frac{du}{dt} \]

In this case,

\[f_1 = -\omega_o a \left(1 - 0.25a^2 \right),\]

\[g_1 = 0.\]

Hence and from (17), it follows that \((da/dt)\) is obtained in terms of the large parameter, so

\[\frac{da}{dt} = 0.5\varepsilon^{-1} a \left(1 - 0.25a^2 \right),\]

\[\theta = \theta_o = \text{const.}\]

By integrating the first equation into (27), it becomes clear that

\[a^2 = \frac{4}{\left[1 + ((4/a_o) - 1)\varepsilon^2 \right]}(25-33)\]

2.3. The Generalized Method of Averaging. This method was applied for obtaining the periodic solutions of the differential equations applying the small parameter technique which was defined for each case of the motion [12–14]. In our work, we use the large parameter method for obtaining new solutions dependent on the large parameter \(\varepsilon\). Let us consider (10) and (11) as the conversion from \(u\) and \((du/dt)\) to \(a\) and \(\phi\) in terms of the large parameter, so

\[
\omega_o \frac{da}{dt} = -\varepsilon^{-1} \sin \phi f,
\]

\[
a \omega_o \frac{d\phi}{dt} = a \omega_o^2 - \varepsilon^{-1} \cos \phi f,
\]

where \(\phi\) is called the rapid rotation phase. Let us take the conversions from \((a, \phi)\) to \((\bar{a}, \bar{\phi})\) such that

\[a = \bar{a} + \varepsilon^{-1} a_1 (\bar{a}, \bar{\phi}) + \varepsilon^{-2} a_2 (\bar{a}, \bar{\phi}) + \ldots,\]

\[\phi = \bar{\phi} + \varepsilon^{-1} \phi_1 (\bar{a}, \bar{\phi}) + \varepsilon^{-2} \phi_2 (\bar{a}, \bar{\phi}) + \ldots.\]

Using (30), we find that system (29) takes the following form:

\[
\frac{d\bar{a}}{dt} = \varepsilon^{-1} A_1 (\bar{a}) + \varepsilon^{-2} A_2 (\bar{a}) + \ldots,
\]

\[
\frac{d\bar{\phi}}{dt} = \omega_o + \varepsilon^{-1} B_1 (\bar{a}) + \varepsilon^{-2} B_2 (\bar{a}) + \ldots,
\]

where \(A_i\) and \(B_i\) do not depend on \(\bar{\phi}\), for each \(i = 1, 2\).

Using (29)–(31), we obtain

\[
\omega_o \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial \bar{\phi}} + A_n = F_n (\bar{a}, \bar{\phi}),
\]

\[
\omega_o \frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial \bar{\phi}} + B_n = G_n (\bar{a}, \bar{\phi}).
\]

Generally, \(F_n\) and \(G_n\) contain short periodic terms (referred to as superscript \(s\)) and long periodic terms (referred to by superscript \(l\)).

We choose \(A_n\) and \(B_n\) such that it is equal to the long periodic terms, so

\[A_n = F^n_s,\]

\[B_n = G^n_s,\]

then
\[
\omega_n \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial \phi} = F_n^*, \\
\omega_n \frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial \phi} = G_n^*,
\]

(34)

which can be solved, respectively, in \(a_n\) and \(\phi_n\).

As an example, consider the Van der Pol oscillator (24) in which

\[
f(u, \dot{u}) = (1 - u^2) \dot{u}, \quad \omega_n = 1.
\]

(35)

In this case, equation (29) in terms of the large parameter

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{da}{dr} &= 0.125 \varepsilon^{-1} \left[ a(4 - a^2) - 4a \cos \phi + a^3 \cos 4\phi \right], \\
\frac{d\phi}{dr} &= 1 + 0.125 \varepsilon^{-1} \left[ 2(2 - a^2) \sin 2\phi - a^2 \sin 4\phi \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(36)

\[
\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial \phi} + A_2 = -\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial \alpha} A_1 - \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial \alpha} B_1 + 0.125a_1 \left[ 4 - 3a^2 - 4 \cos 2\phi + 3a^2 \cos 4\phi \right]
\]

\[
+ 0.5a_1 \sin 2\phi - a^2 \sin 4\phi,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial \phi} + B_2 = \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \alpha} A_1 - \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \alpha} B_1 - 0.25a_1 \left[ 2 \sin 2\phi + \sin 4\phi \right]
\]

\[
+ 0.5 \phi_1 \left[ 2 - a^2 \right] \cos 2\phi - a^2 \cos 4\phi.
\]

(38)

Equally, \(A_1\) and \(B_1\) by the long periodic terms on the right-hand side in (37) is obtained as

\[
A_1 = 0.125 \varepsilon^{-1} \left( 4 - a^2 \right)^2, \quad B_1 = 0.
\]

(39)

Hence, system (37) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial \phi} &= -0.5a \cos 2\phi + 0.125a^3 \cos 4\phi, \\
\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \phi} &= 0.25(2 - a^2) \sin 2\phi - 0.125a^2 \sin 4\phi.
\end{align*}
\]

(40)

So, we obtain the following solutions

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= -0.25a \sin 2\phi + 0.031a^3 \sin 4\phi, \\
\phi_1 &= -0.125(2 - a^2) \cos 2\phi + 0.031a^3 \cos 4\phi.
\end{align*}
\]

(41)

By using (39) and (41), the relation (38) becomes:

\[
\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial \phi} + A_2 = \text{short} - \text{period terms},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial \phi} + B_2 = -0.125 + 0.188a^2 - 0.043a^4 \quad \text{(42)}
\]

\[
+ \text{short} - \text{period terms}.
\]

Equally, \(A_2\) and \(B_1\) by the long periodic terms on the right-hand side in (42) is obtained as

\[
A_2 = 0,
\]

\[
B_2 = -0.125 + 0.188a^2 - 0.043a^4.
\]

(43)

Therefore, the solution up to the second approximation \((1/\varepsilon^2)\) becomes

\[
u = a \cos \phi,
\]

(44)
\[
a = \pi - 0.125\varepsilon^{-1}a[\sin 2\phi - 0.125a^2 \sin 4\phi] + o(\varepsilon^{-2}),
\]
\[
\phi = \phi - 0.125\varepsilon^{-1}\left((2 - \pi^2)\cos 2\phi - 0.25a^2 \cos 4\phi\right) + o(\varepsilon^{-2}),
\]
\[
\frac{d\pi}{dt} = 0.125\varepsilon^{-1}\pi(4 - a^2) + o(\varepsilon^{-2}),
\]
\[
\frac{d\phi}{dt} = 1 - 0.125\varepsilon^{-2}\left[1 - 1.5\pi^2 + 0.344a^4\right] + o(\varepsilon^{-3}).
\]  

(45)

3. Struble’s Technique

Struble developed a technique for treating weak nonlinear oscillatory systems such as those governed by a second-order differential equation in terms of a small parameter [15]. We treat this equation in terms of the large parameter as follows:
\[
\ddot{u} + \omega_0^2 u = \varepsilon^{-1} F(u, \dot{u}, t).
\]  

(46)

Let us consider the solution of (46) in terms of the large parameter \(\varepsilon\), which takes the form
\[
u = a \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon^{-n} a_n(t) + o(\varepsilon^{-N-1}).
\]  

(47)

where \(a\) and \(\theta\) are functions that are slowly changing with time.

As an example, we take Duffing’s equation (19) and use (47) to give
\[
\begin{align*}
2a_i \frac{d^2 \theta}{dt^2} + \frac{da_i}{dt} + a_i \left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2 \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta) + \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\frac{d^2 u_1}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 u_1\right) + \varepsilon^{-2}\left(\frac{d^2 u_2}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 u_2\right) + \ldots
\end{align*}
\]
\[
= -\varepsilon^{-1} a^3 \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta) - 3\varepsilon^{-2} a^2 \cos^2(\omega_0 t - \theta) + \ldots
\]  

(48)

Considering the terms of order \(O(\varepsilon^{-1})\) and equality of coefficients \(\cos(\omega_0 t - \theta)\) and \(\sin(\omega_0 t - \theta)\) on both sides, we get the so-called variation equations:
\[
-2a_i \frac{da_i}{dt} + a_i \frac{d^2 \theta}{dt^2} + 2 \frac{da_i}{dt} \frac{d\theta}{dt} = 0.
\]  

(49)

While the perturbed equations remain, so
\[
\frac{d^2 u_1}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 u_1 = -0.25a^3 \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta).
\]  

(50)

For the first order of \((1/\varepsilon)\), equation (49) reduces to
\[
\frac{da_i}{dt} = 0,
\]  

(51)

Hence,
\[
a = a_i_0,
\]  

(52)

where \(a_i_0\) and \(\theta_i_0\) are constants. If we consider \(\theta\) and \(a\) are constants, the solution of (50) for the first order becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}_1 & = 0.031\omega_0^2 a^3 \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta).
\end{align*}
\]  

(53)

Hence, the solution for the first-order \((1/\varepsilon)\) becomes
\[
u = a \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta) + 0.031\omega_0^2 \varepsilon^{-1} a^3 \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta),
\]  

(54)

where \(a\) and \(\theta\) are given from equation (52).

Satisfying the solution for second order, we use (53) for calculating the term:
\[
-3\varepsilon^{-2} a^2 \cos^2(\omega_0 t - \theta) = -0.023\omega_0^2 \varepsilon^{-2} a^5 \left[\cos(\omega_0 t - \theta) + 2 \cos^2(\omega_0 t - \theta) + 5(\omega_0 t - \theta)\right].
\]  

(55)

We note that the expression \((d^2 u_1/dt^2 + \omega_0^2 u_1)\) contains a term of order \(O(1/\varepsilon)\) in the following form:
\[
0.563\omega_0^2 a \frac{d^2 \theta}{dt^2} \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta).
\]  

(56)

Now, we consider the order \((1/\varepsilon^2)\) leads us to the variation equations:
\[
-2a_i \frac{da_i}{dt} + a_i \frac{d^2 \theta}{dt^2} + 2 \frac{da_i}{dt} \frac{d\theta}{dt} = 0,
\]  

(57)

and the perturbed equation is
\[ \frac{d^2 u_2}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 u_2 = -0.023 \omega_0^{-2} \varepsilon^5 \left[ 2 \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta) + \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta) \right] \]
\[ -0.563 \omega_0^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} a \frac{d\theta}{dt} \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta). \]

The solution of equation (57) can be obtained from the recurrence principle of (52) to obtain

\[ a = a_0, \]
\[ \theta = -0.375 \omega_0^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} a_0^2 t + 0.059 \omega_0^{-2} \varepsilon^{-2} a_0^4 t + \theta_0 + o(\varepsilon^{-3}), \]

where \( a_0 \) and \( \theta_0 \) are constants. Substituting by \((d\theta/dt)\) from (52) into (58) and solving the resulted equation, we obtain

\[ u_2 = -0.001 \omega_0^{-4} a^5 \left[ 21 \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta) - \cos(\omega_0 t - \theta) \right]. \]

Thus, we obtain the solution up to the second approximation in the form

\[ u = a \cos(\omega t - \theta_0) + 0.031 \varepsilon^{-1} a^2 \omega_0^{-2} (1 - 0.656 \varepsilon^{-1} \omega_0^{-2} a^2) \cos(\omega t - \theta_0) + 0.001 \varepsilon^{-2} a^5 \omega_0^{-4} \cos(\omega t - \theta_0) + o(\varepsilon^{-3}). \]

4. The Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolski Technique

This technique is devoted to finding approximated periodic solutions using the small parameter as in [7-11]. In our work, we modify the approximated expansions using the large parameter to become

\[ u = a \cos \psi + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon^{-n} u_n(a, \psi) + o(\varepsilon^{-N-1}), \]

where each \( u_n \) is a periodic function in \( \psi \), and its period 2\( \pi \), \( a \), and \( \psi \) are imposed to change with time as follows:

\[ \frac{da}{dt} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon^{-n} A_n(a) + o(\varepsilon^{-N-1}), \]

\[ \frac{d\psi}{dt} = \omega_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon^{-n} \psi_n(a) + o(\varepsilon^{-N-1}), \]

where the functions \( u_n, A_n, \) and \( \psi_n \) are arbitrary so that equations (65) to (67) satisfy the differential equation (9). The following derivatives series are obtained:

\[ \frac{d}{dt} = \frac{da}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \frac{d\psi}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}, \]

\[ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} = \left( \frac{da}{dt} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a^2} + \frac{d^2 a}{dt^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} + 2 \frac{da}{dt} \frac{d\psi}{dt} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a \partial \psi} + \left( \frac{d\psi}{dt} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi^2} + \frac{d^2 \psi}{dt^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}, \]

\[ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} a = \frac{da}{dt} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon^{-n} \frac{dA_n}{da} = \varepsilon^{-2} A_1 \frac{dA_1}{da} + o(\varepsilon^{-3}), \]

\[ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \psi = \frac{da}{dt} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon^{-n} \frac{d\psi_n}{da} = \varepsilon^{-2} A_1 \frac{d\psi_1}{da} + o(\varepsilon^{-3}). \]
We will visualize this method in the following example.

\[ \omega_0 \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial \psi^2} + u_1 \right) = 2 \left( \psi_1 a \cos \psi + A_1 \sin \psi \right) - a^3 \omega^3 \cos^3 \psi, \]  \hspace{1cm} (70)

\[ \omega_0^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial \psi^2} + u_2 \right) = \left[ A_1 \left( 2 \omega_0 \psi_2 + \psi_1 \right) - A_1 \frac{dA_1}{da} \right] \cos \psi + \left[ 2 \left( \omega_0 A_2 + A_1 \psi_1 \right) \right. \]
\[ \left. + aA_1 \frac{d\psi_1}{da} \right] \sin \psi - 3u_1a^2 \cos^2 \psi - 2\omega_0 \left( \psi_1 \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial \psi \partial \psi} + A_1 \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial a \partial \psi} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (71)

Let \( u_1 \) be periodic, and the singular terms in the right-hand side of (70) must be deleted. Since

\[ \cos^3 \psi = 0.75(3 \cos \psi + \cos 3 \psi), \]  \hspace{1cm} (72)

then equation (70) gives

\[ A_1 = 0, \] \hspace{1cm} (73)
\[ \psi_1 = 0.375 a^4 \omega_0^{-1}. \]

\[ \omega_0^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial \psi^2} + u_2 \right) = \left( 2 \omega_0 \psi_2 + 0.117 a^4 \omega_0^{-2} \right) a \cos \psi + 2 \omega_0 A_2 \sin \psi \]
\[ + 0.008 a^5 \omega_0^{-2} (21 \cos 3 \psi - 3 \cos 5 \psi). \]  \hspace{1cm} (75)

Canceling the singular terms yields

\[ A_2 = 0, \] \hspace{1cm} (76)
\[ \psi_2 = -0.059 a^4 \omega_0^{-3}. \]

The solution of (75) becomes

\[ u = a \cos \psi + 0.031 \epsilon^{-1} a^3 \omega_0^{-2} \cos 3 \psi - 0.001 \epsilon^{-2} a^5 \omega_0^{-4} (21 \cos 3 \psi - 3 \cos 5 \psi) + o(\epsilon^{-3}), \] \hspace{1cm} (78)

where \( \psi_0 \) is constant.

5. Multiple Scale Method

This technique is considered for solving the differential equations applying the small parameter \([1, 16]\). In our work, we modify this method to be suitable for the large parameter technique.

From equation (46), we put \( \omega_0 = 1 \), and we obtain
\[ \dot{u} + u = \varepsilon^{-1} f(u, \dot{u}, t). \]  

(80)

To have accurate and regular expansion over all periods for the solution of this equation, we should be finding an approximated representation of the function \( u(t, \varepsilon^{-1}) \) as shown in the form

\[ u(t, \varepsilon^{-1}) = \sum_{m=0}^{N} \varepsilon^{-m} u^*_m(t_0, \ldots, t_N) + o(\varepsilon^{-N-1}), \]  

(81)

where

\[ t_m = \varepsilon^{-m} t, \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N). \]  

(82)

Substituting these expansions into equation (80), then equalizing the coefficients of equal powers for \( \varepsilon^{-1} \) on both sides, and by solving the resulting equations, the solutions are obtained. Such solutions contain arbitrary functions in the variables \( t_1, \ldots, t_N \) and will be determined with a condition \( u^*_m \) and \( (u^*_m/\varepsilon^{-m}) \) which are bounded to each \( t_n \).

Now, we will apply the modified method to the following example.

5.1. Duffing Equation. Consider the Duffing equation in terms of the large parameter which takes the form

\[ \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 u + \varepsilon^{-1} x^3 = 0. \]  

(84)

Taking

\[ x = \sum_{m=0}^{2} \varepsilon^{-m} x_m(t_0, t_1, t_2) + o(\varepsilon^{-3}), \]  

(85)

substituting (85) into (84), and equating the like powers of \( \varepsilon^{-1} \) to zero, we obtain

\[ \begin{align*}
D_0^2 x_0 + \omega_0^3 x_0 &= 0, \\
D_0^2 x_1 + \omega_0^3 x_1 + 2D_0 D_1 x_0 + x_0^3 &= 0, \\
D_0^2 x_2 + \omega_0^3 x_2 + 2D_0 D_1 x_1 + (2D_0 D_2 + D_1^2) x_0 + 3x_0^2 x_1 &= 0,
\end{align*} \]  

(86), (87), (88)

where \( D_n = (\partial/\partial t_n) \).

The solution of equation (86) is

\[ x_0 = A(t_1, t_2)e^{i\omega_0 t} + \overline{A}(t_1, t_2)e^{-i\omega_0 t}, \]  

(89)

where \( \overline{A} \) is the complex conjugate of \( A \). Then, equation (87) becomes as follows:

\[ \begin{align*}
D_0^2 x_1 + \omega_0^3 x_1 &= \left[ 2i\omega_0 D_1 \overline{A} - 3A \overline{A}^2 \right] e^{-i\omega_0 t} - \left[ 2i\omega_0 D_1 A + 3A^2 \overline{A} \right] e^{i\omega_0 t} \\
&- A^3 e^{3i\omega_0 t} - \overline{A}^3 e^{-3i\omega_0 t}.
\end{align*} \]  

(90)

Since \( (x_1/x_0) \) is finite for each \( t \), the singular terms must be neglected. So,

\[ \begin{align*}
2i\omega_0 D_1 A + 3A^2 \overline{A} &= 0, \\
2i\omega_0 D_1 \overline{A} - 3A \overline{A}^2 &= 0,
\end{align*} \]  

(91)

thus

\[ \begin{align*}
A &= A_1(t_2)e^{(3i\omega_0 t/2\omega_0)}, \\
x_1 &= B e^{i\omega_0 t} + \overline{B} e^{-i\omega_0 t} + 0.125 \omega_0^{-2} \left[ A^3 e^{3i\omega_0 t} + \overline{A}^3 e^{-3i\omega_0 t} \right].
\end{align*} \]  

(92)
Using $x_0$ and $x_1$ into (88) yields

\begin{equation}
D_0^2 x_2 + \omega_0^2 x_2 = -0.375\omega_0^{-2} \left[ A^5 e^{5i\alpha t} + \bar{A}^5 e^{-5i\alpha t} \right] + 3A^2 \left[ 0.875\omega_0^{-2} A^2 \bar{A} - B \right] e^{3i\alpha t} \\
+ 3\bar{A} \left[ 0.875\omega_0^{-2} A\bar{A} - B \right] e^{-3i\alpha t} + Q e^{it} + Q e^{-it},
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
Q = -2i\omega_0 (D_1 B + D_2 A) - 3A (AB + 2B\bar{A}) + 1.875\omega_0^{-2} A^2 \bar{A}.
\end{equation}

By eliminating the singular terms, therefore $(x_2/x_1)$ will be finite for all $t$; then,

\begin{equation}
B = 0,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
2i\omega_0 D_2 A_1 = 1.875\omega_0^{-2} A^2 \bar{A}^{-2}.
\end{equation}

Finally, we get the solution $x$ in terms of the large parameter up to the second approximation of $(1/\varepsilon)$ in the form

\begin{equation}
x = a \cos(\omega t + \phi) + 0.031e^{-1} a^3 \omega_0^{-2} (1 - 0.656e^{-1} a^2 \omega_0^2) \cos 3(\omega t + \phi) \\
+ 0.001e^{-2} a^5 \omega_0^{-4} \cos 5(\omega t + \phi),
\end{equation}

where $A = 0.5a e^{i\phi}$ and $\omega = \omega_0 + 0.375 e^{-1} a^2 \omega_0^{-3} - 0.059 e^{-2} a^4 \omega_0^{-3}$. The obtained solution has the same period (63).

**6. Conclusion**

In this paper, we modified some of the perturbation methods that are considered ones of the innovations of mathematicians and physicists for finding approximated solutions to important problems. We cannot find a complete solution to these problems. Nayfeh, Poincare, and Krylov are among the prominent scientists in this field as they dealt with many problems in mathematics and physics which are applied in many branches, such as classical mechanics, flexible body mechanics, and many other branches. All these methods depend on a small parameter that was defined for each problem.

The study of such methods shows that the large parameter method is the easiest, most accurate, and one of the methods most used to solve many important problems efficiently. The large parameter method has an advantage over the other methods because it solves the problem in a new domain when it fails all other methods for solving the problem in such a domain. One of the most important application is when we study the slow spin motion of a rigid body in a Newtonian field of force under an external moment [4], the rotational motion of a heavy solid in a uniform gravity field [3], or the gyroscopic motions with a sufficiently small angular velocity component about the major or the minor axis of the ellipsoid of inertia. There are many applications of this technique in aerospace science, satellites, navigations, antennas, and solar collectors. This technique is also useful in all perturbed problems in physics and mechanics, for example, the perturbed pendulum motions and the perturbed mechanical systems.
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