Extracellular Vesicle IncRNA Metastasis-Associated Lung Adenocarcinoma Transcript 1 Released From Glioma Stem Cells Modulates the Inflammatory Response of Microglia After Lipopolysaccharide Stimulation Through Regulating miR-129-5p/High Mobility Group Box-1 Protein Axis
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Glioma stem cell (GSC)–derived extracellular vesicles (EVs) can mediate the communication between GSCs and microglia. Metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT1) expression in GSCs, EVs, and supernatant was detected by real-time PCR. The direct targeting between MALAT1 and miR-129-5p, miR-129-5p, and HMGB1 were tested with luciferase reporter analysis. The expression and secretion of interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α were determined in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated microglia or miR-129-5p inhibitor transferred to microglia exposed to GSC EVs or EVs derived from siMALAT1 pre-transferred GSCs. MALAT1 was enriched in GSC EVs compared with GSCs, and up-regulated MALAT1 was also observed in microglia upon GSC EVs incubation. The relative expression and secretion of IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated microglia were up-regulated in the GSC supernatant group, which could be reversed by dimethyl amiloride (DMA) (EV secretion inhibitor) co-administration or si-MALAT1 pre-transfection of GSCs. Luciferase reporter assay testified the direct binding of MALAT1 and miR-129-5p, miR-129-5p, and HMGB1, and si-MALAT1 could up-regulate miR-129-5p expression and down-regulate HMGB1 expression in microglia cells. The concentration of IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated microglia exposed to EVs from siMALAT1...
transfected GSCs could be up-regulated by miR-129-5p inhibition. EVs IncRNA MALAT1 released from GSCs could modulate the inflammatory response of microglia after lipopolysaccharide stimulation through regulating the miR-129-5p/HMGB1 axis.
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INTRODUCTION

Originated from brain or spine glial cells, glioma may account for nearly 80% of malignant cerebral tumors. According to the WHO Neuropathological Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System, glioma can be classified into low-grade gliomas (WHO grade II) and high-grade (WHO grade III–IV). The ineffectiveness of the treatments for glioma, especially high-grade glioma, majorly results from the multipotent tumor-initiating glioma stem cells (GSCs), which have been indicated to participate in tumor aggressiveness and radio- or chemoresistance. It has been further testified that major histocompatibility complex and α-stimulatory molecules are down-regulated on GSCs, while immune-inhibitory molecules are up-regulated (1). Thus, further understanding of the immunosuppression mechanism relevant to GSCs will be necessary for developing efficient therapeutic strategies (2, 3).

Macrophages and/or microglia may account for a considerable portion of glioma mass (4), and the number and intensity of the infiltrated microglia/macrophages are intimately correlated with the metastasis and progression of glioma. Substantial evidence indicates the indispensable role of the immune-suppressive milieu induced by the interaction between glioma and microglia/macrophages, which will eventually favor the growth, invasion, metastasis, and neoangiogenesis of glioma cell (5). However, the precise functioning and underlying mechanism involved in the interaction of GSCs and tumor-infiltrated microglia are still to be addressed.

Extracellular vesicles (EVs), newly identified lipid bilayer-delimited particles of endocytic origin (30–100 nm), can convey cargoes such as signal peptides, lipids, mRNA, microRNA, and IncRNA to distant and nearby cells as intercellular communicators and regulate the function of recipient cell (6). Tumor-derived EVs may act on different types of immune cells, such as effector T cells, nature regulatory T cells, natural killer cells, and macrophages, which contributes to the induction and maintenance of the immune-suppression environment (7, 8). Our previous data indicate that the EVs released from glioblastoma can transfer miR-214-5p to brain microglia/macrophages to modulate the corresponding inflammatory response that favors the survival of glioblastoma cells (9).

All of these indicate the potential of glioma in manipulating the immunosuppressive environment. This study was designed to decipher the potential role of GSC-derived EVs in microglia functions and the further detailed mechanism of the EV IncRNA metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT1) (Supplementary Figure S1).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Samples

Forty-five primary glioma tissues based on the WHO 2016 glioma classification were obtained during operation from the Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients involved. The whole study procedure was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University (HMUSH-7223-o2).

Cell Culture

Gentle MACS dissociators were utilized to dissociate and homogenize the glioma tissue into primary glioma tissue cells (GTCs), which were cultured in multipotent adult stem cell medium (60% DMEM low glucose, 40% MCDB-201, 2% fetal bovine serum, 10 ng/ml hPDGF, 10 ng/ml hEGF, 1 mg/ml linoleic acid–BSA, 10^{-9} M dexamethasone, 1 × ITS, and 10^{-4} M ascorbic acid-2 phosphate). After reaching 80% confluence, the GTCs were detached with trypsin and then stained with anti-CD24-FITC and anti-CD44-PE (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) antibodies (10 μg per 10^6 cells). The CD44^+CD24^- cells were considered to be GSCs (10). Microglia cells (HMC3, ATCC® CRL-3304(TM)) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, VA, USA), which were stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS, 1 μg/ml) and cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco, Scoresby, VIC, Australia) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% glutamine. All the cells were maintained in a humidified incubator (37°C, 5% CO_2).

EV Isolation

It is well-known that the technique adopted to isolate EVs is crucial in obtaining a homogenous population. In addition to ExoQuick-TC Exosome Precipitation, ultra-centrifuge was also utilized in this investigation to purify the EVs, which further strengthens and testifies the inflammatory modulation function of GSC-derived EVs. The conditioned medium from GSCs cells was firstly centrifuged to remove the cellular debris (10,000 × g, 10 min). Then, the commercially available kit ExoQuick-TC Exosome Precipitation Solution (System Biosciences, CA, USA) was adopted to isolate the EVs following the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, conditioned medium and precipitation solution were mixed (1:1) and incubated (4°C, overnight), and then serially, centrifuges were performed (10,000 × g for 30 min; 140,000 × g for 5 min at 4°C) to get the GSC supernatant and the resultant EV pellet, which was re-suspended with 250–500 μl.

Abbreviations: GSCs, glioma stem cells; GTCs, glioma tissue cells; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; HMGB1, high mobility group box-1 protein; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.
cell medium. The equal volume of EVs derived from GSCs was added to the microglia cells for 48 h of consecutive culture (9).

**Cell Transfection**

si-MALAT1, miR-129-5p mimic, inhibitor, and normal control (NC) were manufactured by Genepharma Company (Shanghai, China) and transfected into GSCs and microglia cells at exponential phase (40–50% confluence, 50 nm) with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) on a six-well plate, which were further incubated for 48 h to extract RNA/protein. All of these processes were followed by the protocol recommended by the manufacturer.

**Cell-Counting Kit-8 Assay**

Cell viability was detected using a Cell-Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Cell-Counting Kit-8 Assay protocol recommended by the manufacturer.

**5-ethyl-2'-deoxyuridine Staining**

si-MALAT1 or si-NC transfected GSCs were seeded into a 96-well plate and cultured with 50 μmol/L 5-ethyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) (24 h, 37°C), which was further fixed with 3.75% paraformaldehyde for 10 min and permeated with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 30 min. After that, 100 μl Click-it® reaction cocktail was incubated with the cells for 30 min, and 5 μg/ml of Hoechst 33342 was utilized to stain the cell nuclei. Five random observation fields of each well were captured with a fluorescence microscope (Nikon 80i; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

**ELISA**

The relative contents of interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α in the conditioned medium of microglia were assayed with commercially available ELISA kits (Abcam, La Jolla, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instruction. The absorption (at 450 nm) was assayed with the SpectraMax Plus 384 Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, MD, USA).

**Real-Time PCR**

Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) was utilized to extract total RNA from GSCs, GTCs, and microglia following the manufacturer's instruction. RNA (1 μg) was utilized to reversely transcribe with High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kits (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and miScript Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA). Quantitative PCR was performed with 2× FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche Ltd., Basel, Switzerland) on ABI STEPONE with an initial denaturation of 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. The relative mRNA expressions and MALAT1 expressions were calculated after being normalized to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) or U6 expression. The primer sequences are listed in Table 1. Relative expression was quantified using the comparative ∆CT method.

**Western Blot**

Protein (50 μg, in reducing conditions) was uploaded on 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel, which was further transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. The non-specific binding was blocked with 5% skim milk (0.05% sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel, which was further fixed with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 30 min. After that, 100 μl Click-it® reaction cocktail was incubated with the cells for 30 min, and 5 μg/ml of Hoechst 33342 was utilized to stain the cell nuclei. Five random observation fields of each well were captured with a fluorescence microscope (Nikon 80i; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

**Luciferase Reporter Assay**

TargetScan and miRcode databases were utilized to predict the target fragment regulated by miR-129-5p. MALAT1 wild type (WT), MALAT1 mutant (MUT), HMGB1 WT, and HMGB1 MU, which were predicted to bind with miR-129-5p, were subcloned into pGL4 luciferase reporter plasmid and co-transfected into microglial cells with miR-129-5p mimics or miR-NC. After 48 h of culture, the Dual-Luciferase Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was used to measure the relative luciferase activities.

---

**TABLE 1**

| Gene            | Forward primer (5’-3’) | Reverse Primer (5’-3’) |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| GAPDH           | CAAGGTGATCCATGAC       | GTTCACCACCGCTTGGTG    |
| U6              | AATCTGGTACATCTC        | GGTGGTTTCTGCG          |
| MALAT1          | AAAGCAAGGGCTTCCC       | GTGCTGTGTCTGATACCC    |
| HMGB1           | TGGAGTTGCAAGGC         | GTGCGATGAGGTC          |
| miR-129-5p      | CGGGCTTTTTTGGCGG       | GGCT                  |
| IL-6            | AAATTGCTGATACCTC       | GGTGTGGTTTCTGCG       |
| IL-8            | GACCCACGCTGCG          | CCTCCCAACACCT          |
| TNF-α           | AGGCGGCTTCCCAAG        | TCCGTGCGAACCC          |
| Nos2            | TCCAGTATCAGACCT        | TGGAGCTGGCAAGTTA       |
| Arg1            | GTCGGAATGTCATG         | AATCTGGCAGCTAC         |

GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; MALAT, metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 11; HMGB1, high mobility group box-1 protein; IL, interleukin; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.
TABLE 2 | Patient characteristics.

| Variables                        | n (%) |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Patients                         | 45    |
| Gender (m/f)                     | 28/17 |
| Age in year, median (range)      | 49.8 (19.3–81.7) |
| WHO grade                        |       |
| II                               | 6 (13.3%) |
| III                              | 23 (51.1%) |
| IV                               | 16 (35.6) |
| Tumor location                   |       |
| Frontal                          | 9 (20%) |
| Temporal                         | 19 (42.2%) |
| Parietal                         | 7 (15.6%) |
| Occipital                        | 4 (8.9%) |
| Midline/basal ganglia/corpus callosum | 6 (13.3%) |
| IDH1 R132H status                |       |
| Wild type                        | 24 (53.3%) |
| Mutated                          | 21 (46.7%) |

Statistical Analysis

SPSS was used to analyze the data. The Student’s t-test, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test, or two-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni test was utilized to indicate the significance between different groups. Standard Spearman’s rank correlation analysis was performed on the relative gene expression. The level of significance was set as \( P < 0.05 \).

RESULTS

IncRNA MALAT1 Correlates With miR-129-5p and HMGB1 Expression in GSCs

A total of 45 glioma patients were enrolled in this study, and the detailed demographics and pathology information are presented in Table 2. The GSCs and GTCs were further isolated, and the relative expressions of MALAT1 (Figure 1A), miR-129-5p (Figure 1B), and HMGB1 (Figure 1C) were detected. MALAT1 and HMGB1 expression in GSCs were remarkably higher than that of GTCs (\( P < 0.001 \)), while miR-129-5p expression in GSCs was remarkably low compared with GTCs (\( P < 0.001 \)). Spearman’s rank correlation analysis in GSCs indicated that MALAT1 expression was negatively correlated with miR-129-5p expression (Figure 1D, \( r = -0.615, P < 0.001 \)) and positively correlated with HMGB1 expression (Figure 1E, \( r = 0.518, P < 0.001 \)), and it was further testified that HMGB1 expression was negatively correlated with miR-129-5p expression (Figure 1F, \( r = -0.774, P < 0.001 \)).

MALAT1 Promotes the Growth and Proliferation of GSCs

To testify the function of MALAT1 involved in GSCs, si-MALAT1 and si-NC transfected GSCs systems were established. As shown in Figure 2A, more than 50% reduction of MALAT1 was achieved in the si-MALAT1 interfering group compared with the control and si-NC groups (\( P < 0.01 \)), which indicated the successful establishment of MALAT1 interfering GSCs. Cell viability indicated by CCK-8 assay showed that si-MALAT1 could significantly suppress GSC growth when compared with the si-NC group and control group (Figure 2B, \( P < 0.01 \)). At the same time, EdU-positive cells in the si-MALAT1 group declined significantly when compared with control and si-NC groups, which suggested that MALAT1 interfering repressed GSCs proliferation (Figures 2C,D, \( P < 0.01 \)). All of these indicated that MALAT1 could promote GSC growth and proliferation.

GSC-Derived EV MALAT1 Modulates the LPS-Induced Inflammatory Response of Microglia

Whether the abundance of MALAT1 in GSCs was packaged into EVs and secreted into the extracellular microenvironment as the intercellular messenger was determined in this investigation. GSC-derived EVs were isolated and characterized by the detection of EV specific markers, such as Tsg101, Alix, and CD63 (Figure 3A). It is worth noting that in reducing conditions, CD63 exhibited a clear band at 26 kDa corresponding to unprocessed CD63 together with another band at 63 kDa, which represented a glycosylated form. The size distribution of EVs derived from GSCs is shown in Figure 3B, which indicated that the isolated EVs were uniform in size. MALAT1 was significantly enriched in GSC-derived EVs compared with GSCs (Figure 3C, \( P < 0.01 \)). Application of GSC-derived EVs and GSC supernatant (GSC sup) could remarkably increase the intracellular contents of MALAT1 in cultured microglia, and the relative expression of MALAT1 in microglia was significantly higher in the GSC-derived EVs group compared with the GSC supernatant group \((1.5\text{-fold,} \ P < 0.01\text{, Figure 3D})\), which indicated that EVs derived from GSCs could promote the accumulation of MALAT1 in microglia.

The impact of EV MALAT1 on the inflammatory response of microglia challenged by LPS was evaluated. The relative mRNA expression of IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-\( \alpha \) in LPS-stimulated microglia was simultaneously induced by conditioned medium from GSCs and GSC-derived EVs (Figure 4A). Consistently, the concentration of IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-\( \alpha \) in the microglia culture medium increased as indicated by ELISA assay (Figure 4B). Pretreated with dimethyl amiloride (DMA) for 2 h, EV releasing inhibitor and EV pathway blocker could diminish the up-regulated expression and secretion of IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-\( \alpha \) cultured with GSC supernatant (Figures 4C,D), and the expression of MALAT1 in microglia was also down-regulated by DMA administration (Figure 4C), which indicated that the accumulation of MALAT1 in microglia could be down-regulated by EV releasing inhibition. Furthermore, the supernatant derived from si-MALAT1 interfering GSCs, which could diminish the EV transfer of MALAT1 from GSCs to microglia, down-regulated the expression (Figure 4E) and secretion (Figure 4F) of IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-\( \alpha \) when compared with the supernatant derived from si-NC interfering GSCs.
MALAT1 Inhibits miR-129-5p to Up-Regulate HMGB1 Expression in Microglia Cells

The potential binding site of MALAT1 and miR-129-5p revealed by the miRcode database is presented in Figure 5A, and the predicted binding site between miR-129-5p and HMGB1 indicated by the TargetScan database is displayed in Figure 5B. In order to testify the reallness of specific binding and further transcriptional activity, luciferase reporter vectors containing MALAT1 WT, MALAT1 MUT, HMGB1 WT, and HMGB1 MUT were constructed. Luciferase reporter assay showed that miR-129-5p could restrain the luciferase activity of the reporting vector containing the MALAT1 sequence significantly (Figure 5C, P < 0.01), and miR-129-5p could inhibit the luciferase activity of the reporting vector containing the HMGB1 sequence significantly in microglia cells (Figure 5D, P < 0.01). It was further demonstrated that microglia cells transfected with si-MALAT1 showed increased miR-129-5p expression compared with the si-NC group (Figure 5E, P < 0.01), and microglia cells transfected with miR-129-5p mimics could down-regulate the expression of HMGB1 compared with the miR-NC group (Figure 5F, P < 0.01). On the other hand, microglia cells transfected with si-MALAT1 could down-regulate the mRNA (Figure 5G, P < 0.01) and protein (Figures 5H, I, P < 0.001) expression of HMGB1 when compared with the si-NC group. All of these indicated that MALAT1 could inhibit miR-129-5p to regulate HMGB1 expression in microglia cells.

EV IncRNA MALAT1 Released From GSCs Modulates the Inflammatory Response of Microglia After LPS Stimulation Through the miR-129-5p/HMGB1 Axis

HMGB1 mRNA (Figure 6A) and protein (Figures 6B, C) expression were down-regulated by si-MALAT1 treatment (P < 0.01), and miR-129-5p inhibitors could rescue such decrease (P < 0.001). To further evaluate the regulatory effect of GSC EV-derived MALAT on miR-129-5p and HMGB1 expression in LPS-stimulated microglia, miR-129-5p inhibitor transfected microglia were co-cultured with GSC EVs, which were isolated after GSCs were transfected with siMALAT1 and cultured for 48 h. The supernatant detected with ELISA revealed that the secretion of IL-6 (Figure 6D), IL-8 (Figure 6E), and TNF-α (Figure 6F) in microglia was significant down-regulated by EVs derived from si-MALAT1 transfected GSCs (P < 0.01), and such effects could be restored by the transfection of miR-129-5p inhibitor in microglia (P < 0.05 or P < 0.01). It was worth noting that...
FIGURE 2 | MALAT1 can promote glioma stem cell growth. (A) IncRNA MALAT1 expression in GSCs decreased significantly after si-MALAT1 transfection. (B) The growth of GSCs transfected with si-MALAT1 was inhibited significantly, indicated by the Cell-Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) test. Representative 5-ethyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) staining (C) and the percentage of EdU-positive cells (D) among different groups. Data were presented as mean ± SD from three independent experiments. **P < 0.01 between the indicated groups.

FIGURE 3 | Highly expressed MALAT1 in the extracellular vesicles (EVs) of GSCs. (A) Western blot characterization of GSC EVs with EV marker antibody against Tsg101, Alix, and CD63. (B) The size distribution of EVs from glioma stem cells. (C) Highly expressed MALAT1 in the EVs of GSCs. (D) qRT-PCR analysis of MALAT1 after microglia were exposed to glioma stem cell supernatant (GSC sup), EVs from glioma stem cells (GSC EVs), or pure media (Control) for 48 h. The relative MALAT1 expressions were calculated after being normalized to U6 expression. Data were presented as mean ± SD from three independent experiments. **P < 0.01 between the indicated groups.
EV-derived MALAT1 released from GSCs could promote the polarization of LPS-stimulated microglia into the M2 phenotype (up-regulated Nos2, Supplementary Figure S2). All of these findings indicated that EV-derived MALAT1 could modulate the inflammatory response of microglia after LPS stimulation through regulating the miR-129-5p/HMGB1 axis in vitro.

**DISCUSSION**

In this investigation, both the GSCs isolated from low-grade glioma and high-grade glioma can modulate the inflammatory response of microglia after LPS stimulation. It is further testified that IncRNA MALAT1 is transferred from GSC cells to surrounding microglia via EV secretion, which consequently...
FIGURE 5 | MALAT1 inhibits miR-129-5p to regulate the expression of HMGB1 in microglia cells. (A) The suspected binding sites of miR-129-5p with the wild type of MALAT1 are shown. MALAT1 fragment with mutated binding site with miR-129-5p is also indicated. (B) The suspected binding sites of miR-129-5p with the wild type of HMGB1 are shown. A mutated miR-129-5p binding sequence of HMGB1 is also shown. (C) Luciferase reporter plasmids containing wild-type MALAT1, mutated MALAT1, were co-transfected with miR-129-5p mimics or miR-NC into microglial cells. The firefly luciferase reporter activities were normalized with Renilla luciferase reporter activities. (D) Luciferase reporter plasmids containing wild-type HMGB1, mutated HMGB1, were co-transfected with miR-129-5p mimics or miR-NC into microglia cells. The firefly luciferase reporter activities were normalized with Renilla luciferase reporter activities. (E) The relative expression of miR-129-5p in microglia cells transfected with si-MALAT1 or si-NC was analyzed by qRT-PCR. (F) The mRNA expression of HMGB1 in microglia transfected with miR-129-5p mimics or miR-NC was analyzed by qRT-PCR. (G-I) The relative mRNA and protein expression of HMGB1 in microglia cells transfected with si-MALAT1 or si-NC were analyzed by qRT-PCR and Western blotting. Data were presented as mean ± SD from three independent experiments. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 between the indicated groups.

modulates inflammatory response through the miR-129-5p/HMGB1 axis to influence the secretion of IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α.

Tumor microenvironment and the interactions between glioma cells and other origins of cells are vital for glioma development and progress; for a low incidence of metastasis,
glioma can be considered as a localized solid tumor. Previous investigations are focused on the cytokine- and chemokine-mediated intercellular communications between glioma cells and immune cells. Recently, EV relevant nucleotide transfer is increasingly recognized to play a cardinal function in the establishment of a supportive and immunosuppressive environment for glioma survival and aggressive invasion. Metastasis-associated MALAT1 is a favorable prognostic factor for lung cancer, colorectal cancer, bladder cancer, and glioma (11–13). It is also indicated that the aberrant overexpression of MALAT1 is associated with WHO glioma grade significantly (I–II vs. III–IV; \( P = 0.007 \)) and with glioma size (\(<3 \text{ cm vs. } T \geq 3 \text{ cm}; P = 0.008\)). This investigation is consistent with the previous report that MALAT1 expression is up-regulated significantly in GSCs compared with tumor cells, and miR-129-5p is down-regulated in GSCs (10). Notably, MALAT1 is greatly enriched in the secreted EVs from the cultured GSCs, which indicates the highly selective package of MALAT1 in the EVs.

MicroRNAs could be sponged and regulated by lincRNA MALAT1 (14). It is testified in this research that MALAT1 can function as a competing endogenous ceRNA to up-regulate HMGB1 expression by sponging miR-129-5p in microglia upon LPS stimulation. Cumulative evidence has indicated the context-dependent roles of HMGB1, which can protect microglia from injury and inflammatory stimulation. Consequently, it is testified in this research that GSCs could induce microglia to produce cytokines for their own survival through MALAT1 dependent EV-mediated cellular interaction. Elevated expression of IL-6 is inversely correlated with the survival time of glioma patients, and IL-6 is involved in glioma growth, angiogenesis, and resistance to chemotherapy, radiation, and apoptosis (15–17). Besides, IL-8 is critical to glial tumor neovascularity and progression, which correlates with the histologic grade in glioblastoma (18). TNF-\( \alpha \) is testified to induce glioma cell invasion (19). All of these suggest that MALAT1 dependent EV-mediated microglia stimulation and IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-\( \alpha \) secretion could contribute to glioma progression (20).

The systemic immunomodulatory character of EVs is recognized in neuro-oncology. Glioblastoma multiforme-derived EVs could affect the cytokine secretion, and the
migratory ability of healthy peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) stimulated with mitogen (21) skews the differentiation of PBMCs into tumor-supportive macrophages (22) and boosts a Th2 type inflammation environment (23, 24). All of these indicate that glioma can exert a systemic immunosuppressive function beyond the boundaries of the CNS.

On the other hand, the technique adopted to isolate EVs is crucial in obtaining a homogenous population and reliable downstream clinical data (25). As EVs derived from GSCs represent a mediator for intercellular communication, they could be designed as a tool to reprogram anti-tumor immunity (26). Nucleotide chemistry and nanoparticle technology have been advanced to improve lincRNA stability and cell penetration ability, and immune-targeting has also been designed to promote the specificity of lincRNA delivery. Although not all the aspects of MALAT1 derived from EVs in tumor inflammation have been deciphered, MALAT1 derived from GSC EVs could represent a specific target to treat glioma (27).

CONCLUSIONS

Our study highlights the role of EV MALAT1-miR-129-5p-HMGB1 in the modulation of the inflammatory response in microglial cells, which holds great promise to serve as a therapeutic target for glioma treatments.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

1. Hatiboglu MA, Wei J, Wu AS, Heimberger AB. Immune therapeutic targeting of glioma cancer stem cells. Target Oncol. (2010) 5:217–27. doi: 10.1007/s11523-010-0151-8
2. van Schaijik B, Wickremesekera AC, Mantamadiotis T, Kaye AH, Tan ST, Styli SS, et al. Circulating tumor stem cells and glioblastoma: a review. J Clin Neurosci. (2019) 61:5–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2018.12.019
3. Domenis R, Cesselli D, Toffoletto B, Bourkoula E, Caponnetto F, Manini I, et al. Systemic T cells immunosuppression of glioma stem cell-derived exosomes is mediated by monocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells. (2017) 12:e0169932. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169932
4. Grimaldi A, Serpe C, Cheze G, Nigro V, Sarra A, Ruzicka B, et al. Microglia-derived microvesicles affect microglia phenotype in glioma. Front. Cell. Neurosci. (2019) 13:41. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2019.00041
5. da Fonseca AC, Badie B. Microglia and macrophages in malignant gliomas: recent discoveries and implications for promising therapies. Clin Dev Immunol. (2013) 2013:264124. doi: 10.1155/2013/264124
6. Bullerdiek J, Flor I. Exosome-delivered microRNAs of “chromosome 19 microRNA cluster” as immunomodulators in pregnancy and tumorigenesis. Mol Cytoenet. (2012) 5:27. doi: 10.1186/1755-8166-5-27
7. Clayton A, Mitchell JP, Court J, Mason MD, Tabi Z. Human-tumor-derived exosomes selectively impair lymphocyte responses to interleukin-2. Cancer Res. (2007) 67:7458–66. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.can-06-3456

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of The Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JiaY, GS, YH, JipY, YS, HongLi, CL, YW, ZL, JN, HonghL, XS, HW, PL, and BJ; did the experiments. JiaY, GS, JipY, YS, HongLi, CL, YW, ZL, JN, and HonghL: analyzed the data. JiaY, GS, HW, PL, and BJ: conceived this study. JiaY: wrote the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Youth Talent Support Program of Hebei Educational Committee (BJ2018060), the Youth Grant from the Science Foundation of Hebei Province (H2018206232), and the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei Province Basic Research Cooperation Project 19JCZDJC65300(Z).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Liqun Wang and Dr. Zongmao Zhao for their technical advice.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2019.03161/full#supplementary-material

8. Filipazzi P, Burdek M, Villa A, Rivoltini L, Huber V. Recent advances on the role of tumor exosomes in immunosuppression and disease progression. Semin Cancer Biol. (2012) 22:342–9. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2012.02.005
9. Yang JK, Liu HJ, Wang Y, Li C, Yang JP, Yang L, et al. Exosomal miR-214-5p released from glioblastoma cells modulates inflammatory response of microglia after lipopolysaccharide stimulation through targeting CXCR5. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets. (2019) 18:78–87. doi: 10.2174/187152731766618105112009
10. Xiong Z, Wang L, Wang Q. LncRNA MALAT1/miR-129 axis promotes glioma tumorigenesis by targeting SOX2. J Cell Mol Med. (2018). 22:3929–40. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.13667
11. Ma KX, Wang HJ, Li XR, Li T, Su G, Yang P, et al. Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 associates with the malignant status and poor prognosis in glioma. Tumour Biol. (2015) 36:3355–9. doi: 10.1007/s13277-014-2969-7
12. Xu C, Yang M, Tian J, Wang X, Li Z. MALAT-1: a long non-coding RNA and its important 3’ end functional motif in colorectal cancer metastasis. Int J Oncol. (2011) 39:169–75. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2011.1007
13. Ying L, Chen Q, Wang Y, Zhou Z, Huang Y, Qiu F. Upregulated MALAT-1 contributes to bladder cancer cell migration by inducing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Mol Biosyst. (2012) 8:2289–94. doi: 10.1039/c2mb25070e
14. Zhao Z, Qu S, Li X, Zhong J, Chen X, Qu Z, et al. miR-129 suppresses tumor cell growth and invasion by targeting PAK5 in hepatocellular carcinoma. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. (2015) 464:161–7. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.06.108
15. Saiki A, Hagedorn M, Allain N, Verpelli C, Sala C, Bello L, et al. Combined targeting of interleukin-6 and vascular endothelial growth factor potentially
Yang et al. Extracellular Vesicle lncRNA MALAT1 inhibits glioma growth and invasiveness. *Int J Cancer.* (2009) 125:1054–64. doi: 10.1002/ijc.24380

16. Tchirkov A, Rolhion C, Bertrand S, Dore JF, Dubost JJ, Verrelle P. IL-6 gene amplification and expression in human glioblastomas. *Br J Cancer.* (2001) 85:518–22. doi: 10.1054/bjoc.2001.1942

17. Weissenberger J, Leoffler S, Kappeler A, Kopf M, Lukes A, Afaanasieva TA, et al. IL-6 is required for glioma development in a mouse model. *Oncogene.* (2004) 23:3308–16. doi: 10.1080/sj.onc.1207455

18. Brat DJ, Bellail AC, Van Meir EG. The role of interleukin-8 and its receptors in gliomagenesis and tumoral angiogenesis. *Neuro Oncol.* (2005) 7:122–33. doi: 10.1215/s115285170001061

19. Huang HC, Huang CY, Lin-Shiau SY, Lin JK. Ursolic acid inhibits IL-1beta or TNF-alpha-induced C6 glioma invasion through suppressing the association ZIP/p62 with PKC-zeta and downregulating the MMP-9 expression. *Mol Carcinogen.* (2009) 48:517–31. doi: 10.1002/mc.20490

20. Samaras V, Piperi C, Korkolopoulou P, Zisakis A, Levidou G, Themistocleous MS, et al. Application of the ELISPOT method for comparative analysis of interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-10 secretion in peripheral blood of patients with astroglial tumors. *Mol Cell Biochem.* (2007) 304:343–51. doi: 10.1007/s11010-007-9517-3

21. de Vrij J, Maas SL, Kwappenberg KM, Schnoor R, Kleijn A, Dekker L, et al. Glioblastoma-derived extracellular vesicles modify the phenotype of monocytic cells. *Int J Cancer.* (2015) 137:1630–42. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29521

22. Hellwinkel JE, Redzic JS, Harland TA, Gunaydin D, Anchordoquy TJ, Graner MW. Glioma-derived extracellular vesicles selectively suppress immune responses. *Neuro Oncol.* (2016) 18:497–506. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nov170

23. Graner MW, Alzate O, Dechkovskaia AM, Keene JD, Sampson JH, Mitchell DA, et al. Proteomic and immunologic analyses of brain tumor exosomes. *FASEB J.* (2009) 23:1541–57. doi: 10.1096/fj.08-122184

24. Harshyne LA, Nasca BJ, Kenyon LC, Andrews DW, Hooper DC. Serum exosomes and cytokines promote a T-helper cell type 2 environment in the peripheral blood of glioblastoma patients. *Neuro Oncol.* (2016) 18:206–15. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nov107

25. Taylor DD, Shah S. Methods of isolating extracellular vesicles impact down-stream analyses of their cargoes. *Methods.* (2015) 87:3–10. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2015.02.019

26. Katakowski M, Chopp M. Exosomes as tools to suppress primary brain tumor. *Cell Mol Neurobiol.* (2016) 36:343–52. doi: 10.1007/s10571-015-0280-9

27. Redzic JS, Ung TH, Graner MW. Glioblastoma extracellular vesicles: reservoirs of potential biomarkers. *Pharmacog Pers Med.* (2014) 7:65–77. doi: 10.2147/pgpm.s39768

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Yang, Sun, Hu, Yang, Shi, Liu, Li, Wang, Lv, Niu, Liu, Wang, Li and Jiao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.