ABSTRACT

Introduction Multimorbidity is highly prevalent among older patients and has been shown to be associated with poor health outcomes and lower quality of life. Adherence to medication treatments is essential in order to maximise the efficacy of treatments and improve health outcomes. However, nearly half of the older patients with multimorbidity fail to adhere to their medications, which can result in an increased risk of adverse health events, lower quality of life and higher healthcare cost. Only a few studies have explored the underlying mechanism and influencing factors of medication adherence among older patients with multimorbidity, which are inadequate to provide robust evidence for the development and evaluation of the medication adherence interventions. This study aims to examine and adapt the information–motivation–behavioural skills (IMB) model, a widely used social behaviour theory, to explain the medication adherence behaviour among community-dwelling older patients with multimorbidity.

Methods and analysis A cross-sectional study will be conducted in community settings in China. Around 309 older patients with multimorbidity will be recruited to complete questionnaires on adherence knowledge, adherence motivation, adherence self-efficacy, medication adherence, medication treatment satisfaction, depressive symptoms, treatment burden, disease burden and basic demographic information. Structural equation modelling will be used to analyse and validate the relationships among variables in the IMB model.

Ethics and dissemination This study has been approved by the Survey and Behavioral Research Ethics Committee of the Chinese University of Hong Kong (reference number SBRE-18-675). The study results will be published in peer-reviewed journals and presented in academic conferences and workshops.

Trial registration number ChiCTR1900024804.

INTRODUCTION

Multimorbidity, that is, the existence of multiple medical conditions in a single individual, is common among older patients. A large survey found that the prevalence of multimorbidity in Chinese older adults was 47.5%. Medications are one of the most effective treatments for multimorbidity and adherence to medication treatments is, therefore, the key factor to reach potential maximum benefits of medications. Medication adherence is the extent to which a patient acts in accordance with the prescribed interval, and dose of a dosing regimen. Older patients with multimorbidity are usually at a high risk of poor adherence to medication. They have to contribute much effort and time to manage and adhere to numerous medications. Also, the single condition-oriented and poorly coordinated healthcare system can further increase patients' medication burden and negative experience with medication taking. Medication non-adherence has been reported to be associated with adverse effects, leading to worse quality of life and increased healthcare costs.
health outcomes, increased risk of hospitalisation, poor quality of life and high healthcare cost.

As medication taking is a complex behaviour, health behaviour theories and models can help researchers to understand the mechanism of action and refine medication adherence interventions. The information–motivation–behavioral skills (IMB) model is one of the established social behaviour theories. This model has been widely used in understanding and improving health behaviour in various populations and chronic diseases since it was proposed in 1992 by Fisher and Fisher. It adequately captures three essential constructs (information, motivation and behavioural skills) to improve health-related behaviours. Each construct can have a direct influence on health-related behaviours, but the behavioural skills mainly mediate the effects of information and motivation on behaviours. The model proposes that individuals who are well informed, highly motivated and having skills to perform health-related behaviours are more likely to enact and maintain health-related behaviours. The IMB model is not only providing a relatively simple explanation for complex health behaviours but also identifying the key factors to implement and maintain the adherence behaviour.

Although the IMB model has been used to explain and understand patients’ medication adherence behaviour across a variety of patients, it has not been tested among community-dwelling older patients with multimorbidity. The relationships between the IMB constructs and the contribution of each construct may be different among different groups of patients. Furthermore, the model can be improved as previous studies have found that the IMB model with extended variables explained more variance in health behaviour than the original non-extended model. Several frequently reported predictors of medication adherence including depressive symptoms, treatment burden, disease burden and medication treatment satisfaction are not included in the IMB model. The IMB model with extended variables may increase the fitness of the model in explaining medication adherence.

The present study aims to test the IMB model in explaining medication adherence among community-dwelling older patients with multimorbidity and to adapt the model by incorporating new variables. We hypothesise that the IMB model of medication adherence is fit for community-dwelling older patients with multimorbidity, and the IMB model with extended variables is more suitable than the original model.

**Conceptual framework: IMB model of medication adherence**

**Overview of the IMB model of medication adherence**

Based on the original IMB model and previous studies, the IMB model of medication adherence is proposed (figure 1). In this model, adherence information, adherence motivation (including adherence personal motivation and adherence social motivation) and adherence behavioural skills can have an impact on medication adherence. Consistent with the original IMB model assumptions, adherence information, adherence personal motivation and adherence social motivation are interrelated and can directly and positively affect medication adherence. Adherence information and adherence motivation can positively affect adherence behavioural skills, which can in turn affect medication adherence. Patients who are well informed, motivated and have behavioural skills can enact and maintain medication adherence. The hypothesised extended IMB model includes four interrelated potential predictors of medication adherence, as shown in figure 2. Based on the previous research, it is hypothesised that depressive symptoms, treatment burden and disease burden have negative effects on original IMB constructs, as shown in figure 1, while high medication treatment satisfaction would produce a positive effect.

![Figure 1](http://bmjopen.bmj.com/)

*Figure 1* The proposed information–motivation–behavioural skills model of medication adherence, adapted from Fisher et al and Mayberry and Osborn.
The key constructs and empirical support of the model are discussed below.

**Adherence information**
Adherence information is the prerequisite of consistent and correct use of medications.\(^\text{15}\) It contains patients’ information, which is relevant to medication taking in terms of dosage, timing, frequency, purpose and side effects. Some studies have found a significant correlation between medication knowledge and adherence in various chronic diseases.\(^\text{16,17}\) However, some other studies have shown that medication knowledge is not associated with medication adherence in some chronic conditions.\(^\text{18,19}\) A systematic review on the effectiveness of medication informational/educational interventions on medication adherence in chronic diseases also reported mixed results.\(^\text{20}\) Therefore, information alone does not seem to be adequate in fully explaining and improving medication adherence, which is consistent with the proposition of the original IMB model.

**Adherence motivation**
Individual’s adherence motivation, which is based on the one’s adherence personal and social motivation, is another fundamental factor of adherence to medications. In the original model, personal motivation and social motivation are two sub-areas of the motivation construct. Some researchers have identified that personal motivation and social motivation are independently interrelated and associated with various health behaviours.\(^\text{21,22}\) Therefore, the adherence personal and social motivation will be separated in the proposed IMB model of medication adherence, and their relationships with other variables will be explored respectively.

Adherence personal motivation is the individual’s attitude and belief toward medication adherence. Four constructs (necessity of medication, concerns about medication, harm of medication and overuse of medication), which were extracted by Horne and colleagues\(^\text{23}\) from literature review and interviews with chronically ill patients, can represent patients’ key beliefs and motivation underpinning patients’ decisions about medication treatment. The necessity of medication indicates patients’ perceptions of the necessity of medications for controlling conditions and maintaining health. The concerns about medication indicate patients’ perceptions of the medications’ potential adverse consequences. The harm of medication is patients’ beliefs about how harmful medications are and the overuse of medication is patients’ beliefs about how medications are overused by doctors. Studies have demonstrated that patients perceiving higher necessity of medication and lower concerns about medication treatments are more likely to have better medication adherence among patients with various chronic conditions.\(^\text{23–25}\) Patients who believe that medications in general are harmful substances, which are overused by doctors are more likely to report lower medication adherence.\(^\text{23}\)

Adherence social motivation is patients’ perceptions of social norms of medication taking and social support for enactment of medication adherence. Patients can receive support from their family, friends and healthcare providers. A great number of studies have identified a positive relationship between social support and medication adherence in various chronic diseases.\(^\text{26–28}\)

**Adherence behavioural skills**
Behavioural skill is the key construct of the IMB model to determine whether a well-informed and highly motivated
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**Figure 2** The proposed extended information–motivation–behavioural skills model of medication adherence.
individual can adhere to medication. Behavioural skills are patients’ abilities including their confidence (self-efficacy) to perform medication adherence behaviours. Various abilities are needed for patients to complete complex medication-taking tasks, including integrating complex medication treatment into one’s daily life, identifying and coping with adverse drug events and communicating effectively with healthcare providers. Patients’ self-efficacy, which is the core concept of several behaviour change theories, is individual’s belief and confidence that he or she can successfully perform a specific behaviour to achieve a desired outcome. A systematic review has identified that higher self-efficacy is associated with better medication adherence in hypertension. Also, self-efficacy has been found to play a key role in mediating the relationships between medication adherence and other variables, such as patients’ personality, depression and social support.

**Other potential predictors of medication adherence**

**Depressive symptoms**
Depressive symptoms are common among older patients and can reduce patients’ physical activity, impair abilities to communicate with clinicians and directly limit their adherence to medications. A meta-analysis found that patients with depression were 1.76 times more likely to have medication non-adherence. Cross-sectional studies have also identified that depressive symptoms could directly or indirectly affect medication adherence.

**Treatment burden**
Treatment burden is a relative new patient-centred concept considering its complexity for patients with chronic diseases. In general, the tasks that patients with chronic conditions must perform to respond to the requirements of their healthcare providers and the impact that these practices have on their functioning and well-being are defined as treatment burden. It is fundamentally distinct from disease burden, which is concerned with the physical and emotional impact of one or more diseases on patients. Treatment burden focuses on patients’ negative experiences in the procedure of undertaking or engaging in treatment. High medication regimen complexity, financial burden and numerous chronic diseases self-management tasks can contribute significantly to patients’ treatment burden.

A survey found that high treatment burden was associated with low medication adherence. Several factors with medication treatment have also been identified as the predictors of medication non-adherence, including a great number of medications, presence of drug side effects, and a heavy burden of drug costs.

**Disease burden**
Patients with multimorbidity usually have a heavy disease burden. The impact of chronic conditions on patients’ functioning can further impair their ability to adhere to medications. Longer duration of chronic diseases, a great number of co-existing conditions and higher severity of diseases have been found to be associated with lower medication adherence.

**Medication treatment satisfaction**
Medication treatment satisfaction is patients’ evaluation of the process of taking the medication and the outcomes associated with the medication. The effectiveness of medication efficacy, side effects and convenience can influence patients’ satisfaction and experience with medication treatments. Available evidence has confirmed the positive relationship between medication treatment satisfaction and medication adherence.

**Moderators**
The IMB model proposes that certain situational and personal characteristics can moderate the relationships between the constructs of the model. In this study, demographic factors will be tested as potential moderators. Variables including age, gender, income, marital status, education and medical insurance are found to be associated with medication adherence. However, the relationships are not found to be consistent in previous studies. One large cross-sectional study found that age and gender were not associated with medication adherence. Another study also reported that there was no effect of gender, age and education on medication adherence in older patients with multiple diseases. The role of demographic factors will be explored how these factors influence the direction and magnitude of the relationships between the constructs of the IMB model in the proposed study.

**Objectives**
The objectives of the study are to (1) explore whether the IMB model can explain medication adherence among community-dwelling older patients with multimorbidity and examine the associations among medication adherence information, adherence personal motivation, adherence social motivation, adherence behavioural skills and medication adherence; (2) evaluate whether other potential predictors (depressive symptoms, treatment burden, disease burden and medication treatment satisfaction) can influence the effect of the IMB model of medication adherence and extend the model by incorporating associated factors and (3) explore the demographic factors that may moderate the relationships between the constructs of the IMB model of medication adherence.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Study design and setting**
A cross-sectional study will be conducted at two community health centres (CHCs) in Changsha of Hunan province, China. CHCs are the major primary care providers in urban China, which provide various primary care services to community-dwelling populations including...
health education, medical treatment services and chronic disease management.51

Participants
The participants of the study are community-dwelling older patients with multimorbidity. Multimorbidity is defined as the co-occurrence of three or more chronic conditions as patients with three or more chronic conditions are more likely to have complex needs and higher utilisation of healthcare services.52 Participants will be asked an open-ended question ‘what chronic diseases have you ever been told by a doctor that you have?’ Chronic diseases will be coded, based on a list of 38 chronic diseases adapted from a previous large Chinese-based survey.7

The inclusion criteria are 60 years old or over, having at least 3 chronic conditions of the 38 chronic diseases, having at least 1 chronic prescription medication, independently managing their medications, able to speak and understand Chinese, capable to provide a written informed consent to participate in the study.

The exclusion criteria are being institutionalised in a nursing home or in any other long-term care facility, currently participating in research involving chronic disease management, cognitive impairment, having severe mental diseases or deafness.

Sample size
The power analysis approach proposed by MacCallum et al.53 is adopted to estimate the sample size required to test the hypothesised model on the basis of the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) assessment. According to MacCallum et al.,53 the minimum sample size depends only on the degree of freedom if the alpha level, desired level of power, null and alternative values of RMSEA are established. The required sample size would increase as the degree of freedom decreases. Therefore, the hypothesised non-extended model (figure 1), which has less degree of freedom than the extended model (figure 2), is used to guide the sample size calculation for this phase of the study. For this structural model (figure 1), there are 8 manifest variables with 22 parameters to be estimated. The degree of freedom of the model is thus 14. Using the SAS V.9.4 program of MacCallum,53 a sample size of n=250 is adequate to assure 80% statistical power to reject the null hypothesis of good fit (RMSEA ≤0.05) to the data at 5% level of significance for the hypothesised model, if the observed RMSEA is more than 0.1 (larger than this level is considered to be poor fit).54 The required total sample size will be 309 taking account for a non-response rate of 19%.55

Recruitment procedures and data collection
Participants will be recruited in CHCs using a convenience sampling method by physician referrals and approaching patients in waiting rooms from July to September 2019. Interested patients will be informed of the study objectives and their eligibility will be verified. Researchers will describe the procedure, potential benefits and risks of the study to eligible participants. Medical conditions will be interviewed and recorded by trained research nurses. Participants will complete self-administered questionnaires on obtaining written informed consent. Investigators will provide assistance with questionnaire completion as required. The questionnaires are to be completed in approximately 30–45 min, and participants who complete the survey will be given a small gift (approximately 0.6 US$ in value) for their participation.

Measurements
Social-demographic information
Participants will answer the one-item question about age in years (60–69=1, 70–79=2, ≥80=3), gender (male=1, female=2), education levels (illiteracy=1, elementary school=2, junior high school=3, senior high school=4 and technical school or college=5), marital status (married=1, widowed/divorced=2), monthly income in Chinese Yuan (<1000=1, 1000–2999=2, 3000–4999=3, 5000–6999=4, ≥7000=5), insurance status (basic medical insurance for urban employees and residents=1, new rural cooperative medical system=2 and not covered by medical insurance=3) and the number of medications.

Adherence information
The adherence information will be measured by using Patients’ Perceived Knowledge in Medication Use Questionnaire, which was developed by Okere et al.56 The questionnaire contains five items covering two dimensions: general knowledge in medication use and drug interaction knowledge. Responses are on a 5-Likert scale from 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree and the individual item scores are summed to give a total score ranging from 5 to 25, with a higher score indicating a higher level of medication knowledge. The Cronbach’s alpha of the Chinese version of this scale among kidney transplant recipients was 0.647 for the whole scale, 0.912 and 0.861 for two subscales, respectively.57

Adherence motivation: adherence personal motivation
Adherence personal motivation will be measured by using the Beliefs about Medication Questionnaire (BMQ), which assesses people’s beliefs and concerns about taking medications. BMQ has two subscales: the BMQ-Specific that evaluates representations of medications prescribed for personal use and BMQ-General that evaluates beliefs about medications in general.58 BMQ-Specific subscale assesses beliefs about the necessity of medications (five-item Specific-Necessity) and concerns about the medications (five-item Specific-Concerns). BMQ-General contains eight items that include two four-item subscales: the General-Harm that evaluates beliefs about harm of medication and the General-Overuse that evaluates beliefs about overuse of medication by doctors.59 All items have a 5-point Likert answer ranging from 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree. Points of each individual item in each subscale are summed to give a subscale score. A higher
score indicates stronger beliefs about the corresponding concepts in each subscale.

BMQ is a widely used instrument in China. A recent systematic review which included 58 articles that used the Chinese-version of BMQ concluded that the BMQ is a reliable tool for assessing medication beliefs in the Chinese population. The Cronbach’s alpha of overall Chinese-version questionnaire and each subscale ranged from 0.67 to 0.94 (overall), 0.60–0.92 (Specific-Necessity), 0.58–0.91 (Specific-Concerns), 0.55–0.73 (General-Harm) and 0.47–0.79 (General-Overuse).66

Adherence motivation: adherence social motivation
The eight-item Medication-Specific Social Support Questionnaire (MSSS) will be administered to participants to measure adherence social motivation. MSSS was developed to identify how often others help participants with their medications over a 3-month period.66 Participants reported their perceived medication social support on a scale from 0=never to 4=very often. A mean item score is calculated as the sum of each item score divided by the number of items. A higher score indicates more medication social support. The Chinese version of MSSS has been tested among patients with HIV and the Cronbach’s alpha was 0.92.62

Adherence behavioural skills
The Self-Efficacy for Appropriate Medication Use Scale (SEAMS) will be used to measure patients’ medication behavioural skills.63 The SEAMS assesses medication self-efficacy in patients with chronic diseases. It has been identified as the most appropriate measure of self-efficacy for medication self-management considering its high psychometric properties in a recent systematic review.29 The SEAMS has 13 items that require participants to identify their level of confidence in taking medications under various circumstances. Response options range from 1=not confident to 3=very confident and are summed to create a score ranging from 13 to 39, with a higher total score indicating higher medication self-efficacy. The Chinese version of SEAMS has been tested in patients with stroke and has demonstrated very good internal reliability (Cronbach’s alpha=0.915).54

Medication adherence
Medication adherence will be measured by the five-item Medication Adherence Report Scale,65 which has been demonstrated to be a reliable and valid measure of medication adherence in various chronic conditions. It contains five items of unintentional and intentional non-adherent behaviours with a 5-point scale that ranges from 1=always to 5=never. The total score is based on the sum of the points in each item and ranges from 5 to 25. Patients with higher scores have better medication adherence. It has been translated into Chinese (Cronbach’s alpha=0.762) and has been used in Chinese patients with various chronic conditions.59,66

Depressive symptoms
Depressive symptoms will be measured by the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9), which is the most commonly used instrument for screening depression.67 The PHQ-9 contains nine items and each item evaluates the frequency of a depressive symptom experienced in the previous 2 weeks. PHQ-9 is rated based on a 4-Likert answer option that ranges from 0=not at all to 3=nearly every day. A total score is obtained by summing responses to each of the nine individual items. The total score ranges from 0 to 27 with 10 commonly recommended as the cut-off score.68 A higher score indicates a higher level of depression. The PHQ-9 has been tested in the general Chinese population with good internal reliability (Cronbach’s alpha=0.86).69

Treatment burden
Treatment burden will be measured by using the Treatment Burden Questionnaire (TBQ).41,70 The TBQ evaluates patients’ global treatment burden in multiple chronic diseases, which takes into account the patients’ burden associated with medication taking, self-care activities, financial burden and the impact of healthcare on social relationships. The TBQ is composed of 15 items that are rated on an 11-Likert scale ranging from 0=not a problem to 10=big problem. A sum score is calculated ranging from 0 to 150 with higher scores indicating a higher level of treatment burden. It has been shown to be a reliable instrument for patients with chronic conditions across several countries with the Cronbach’s alpha=0.89,41,70 The Chinese version of TBQ has been validated among patients with chronic conditions.71

Medication treatment satisfaction
Medication treatment satisfaction will be measured by using the Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire with Medication (TSQM). There are three existing versions of the TSQM and the TSQM V. II will be used in this study because it has been demonstrated good psychometric properties among the Chinese population.72 TSQM V. II consists of 11 items across 4 domains: effectiveness, convenience, side effects and global satisfaction. Each item is rated on a 5-Likert scale or 7-Likert scale that ranges from ‘extremely dissatisfied’ to ‘extremely satisfied’. Item scores can be summed into a total score ranging from 0 to 100 with a higher score indicating higher convenience, better effectiveness, higher global satisfaction and fewer side effects. The Chinese version of TSQM V. II has been tested among patients with kidney transplant recipients. The Cronbach’s alpha for the whole scale was 0.707 and ranged from 0.847 to 0.961 for each subscale.73

Disease burden
Disease burden will be measured by using Cumulative Illness Rating Scale-Geriatric (CIRS-G). The CIRS was originally developed by Linn et al74 and later revised by Miller et al74 specifically for older people. The scale rates the severity of diseases across 14 organ systems.
on a 5-Likert point that ranges from 0=no problem to 4=extremely severe. The total score is the sum of each of the individual system score, with a higher score indicating a higher multimorbidity burden. The severity of diseases in each system will be rated by trained assessors according to the guidelines for scoring the CIRS-G developed by Savli et al.\textsuperscript{25} in 2008. The scale and scoring guideline have both been translated into Chinese and validated among Chinese older people.\textsuperscript{70}

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients were not involved in the development of the research question, study design or the outcome measures. Participants’ phone number or email will be recorded after obtaining their permission during the survey. Participants who might have severe depression or very poor medication adherence will be contacted to provide appropriate healthcare recommendations after data analysis, such as suggesting a further psychiatric test or educating participants on the importance of medication adherence.

**Data analyses**

All data will be analysed in IBM SPSS Statistics V.25.0 and Mplus V.7.4. The SPSS V.25.0 will be used for data entry and performing the descriptive statistical analyses. Normality of continuous data will be examined by skewness statistic and normal probability plot, and appropriate transformations will be made on skewed variables before being subjected to inferential analysis. Pearson correlation will be used to examine the associations among variables.

Structural equation modelling (SEM) approach will be used to examine the path model derived from the hypothesised model. Mplus V.7.4 will be used to estimate the parameters of the path model. The relationships between the potential predictors of medication adherence and IMB constructs will also be examined using SEM. The IMB model will be extended and adapted by incorporating associated variables into the original model (figure 2). Guided by Schermelleh-Engel et al.\textsuperscript{22} the goodness of fit of the path model will be assessed by the $\chi^2$ test and several goodness-of-fit indices, including the RMSEA, the standardised root mean square residual, the comparative fit index and the non-normed fit index. The relationships between the model variables will be assessed by the direction and magnitude of the path coefficients and relative coefficients. Furthermore, the path model will be refined on the basis of the modification indices by adding theoretically plausible paths and variables with non-significant loading or pathways will be omitted from the model. Moderators will be tested by multigroup analysis. Missing data will be imputed by using multiple imputation methods. All statistical tests will be two sided and $p \leq 0.05$ will be considered significant.

**Ethics and dissemination**

This study is approved by the Survey and Behavioral Research Ethics Committee of the Chinese University of Hong Kong (reference number SBRE-18-675). Informed consent will be obtained from all participants before the administration of the survey. Patients will be informed of the purpose and procedures of the study and their right to withdraw from the study at any time without any impact on their present and future medical treatments and care. Data will be collected by an anonymous questionnaire. Patients are free to take short breaks during the survey if they experience some fatigue and discomforts. To protect the privacy of the participants, no identifying information will be collected in the survey. The study results will be published in peer-reviewed journals and presented in academic conferences and workshops.

**Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank Dr Kai Chow Choi for his help and support in sample size calculation and statistics.

**Contributors**

CY and DTL contributed to the conception, design and methods as well as drafting of this manuscript. ZH, DZ and LL contributed to the refinement of study design and methods. All authors revised the manuscript, and all have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

**Funding**

The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests**

None declared.

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

**Patient consent for publication**

Not required.

**Provenance and peer review**

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Open access**

This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

**ORCID iD**

Chen Yang http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1415-8752

**REFERENCES**

1. Academy of Medical Sciences. Multimorbidity: a priority for global health research. 2018. Available: https://acmedsci.ac.uk/file-download/69630838 [Accessed 31 July 2019].
2. Wang HHX, Wang JJ, Wong SYS, et al. Epidemiology of multimorbidity in China and implications for the healthcare system: cross-sectional survey among 162,464 community household residents in southern China. BMC Med 2014;12:188.
3. Cramer JA, Roy A, Burrell A, et al. Medication compliance and persistence: terminology and definitions. Value Health 2008;11:44–7.
4. Mohammed MA, Moles RJ, Chen TF. Medication-Related burden and patients’ lived experience with medicine: a systematic review and metasynthesis of qualitative studies. BMJ Open 2016;6:e010005.
5. DiMatteo MR, Giordani PJ, Lepper HS, et al. Patient adherence and medical treatment outcomes: a meta-analysis. Med Care 2002;40:794–811.
6. Ho PM, Rumsfeld JS, Masoudi FA, et al. Effect of medication nonadherence on hospitalization and mortality among patients with diabetes mellitus. Arch Intern Med 2006;166:1836–41.
7. Ágh T, Dömötör P, Bártfai Z, et al. Relationship between medication adherence and health-related quality of life in subjects with COPD: a systematic review. Respir Care 2015;60:297–303.
8. Cutler RL, Fernandez-Llimos F, Frommer M, et al. Economic impact of medication non-adherence by disease groups: a systematic review. BMJ Open 2018;8:e016982.
9. World Health Organization. Adherence to long-term therapies: evidence for action. 2003. Available: https://www.who.int/chp/knowledge/publications/adherence_report/en/ [Accessed 31 July 2019].
10 Fisher JD, Fisher WA. Changing AIDS-risk behavior. Psychol Bull 1992;111:455–74.
11 Amico KR, Mugavero M, Krousel-Wood MA, et al. Advantages to using social-behavioral models of medication adherence in research and practice. J Gen Intern Med 2018;33:207–15.
12 Nelson LA, Wallston KA, Kripalani S, et al. Assessing barriers to diabetes medication adherence using the Information-Motivation-Behavioral skills model. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2018;142:374–84.
13 Jiang H, Chen X, Li J, et al. Predictors of condom use behavior among men who have sex with men in China using a modified information-motivation-behavioral skills (IMB) model. BMC Public Health 2019;19:261.
14 Van Huy N, P Dunne M, Debattista J. Predictors of condom use behaviour among male street labourers in urban Vietnam using a modified Information-Motivation-Behavioral skills (IMB) model. Cult Health Sex 2016;18:321–36.
15 Fisher JD, Fisher WA, Amico KR, et al. An information-motivation-behavioral skills model of adherence to antiretroviral therapy. Health Psychol 2006;25:462–73.
16 Okuyan B, Sancar M, Izzettin FV. Assessment of medication knowledge and adherence among patients under oral chronic medication treatment in community pharmacy settings. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2013;22:209–14.
17 Jankowska-Polańska B, Uchmanowicz I, Dudek K, et al. Relationship between patients’ knowledge and medication adherence among patients with hypertension. Patient Prefer Adherence 2016;10:2437–47.
18 Lin AH-A, Kendrick JG, Wilcox PG, et al. Patient knowledge and pulmonary medication adherence in adult patients with cystic fibrosis. Patient Prefer Adherence 2017;11:691–8.
19 Chen AMH, Vehie KS, Albert NM, et al. Relationships between health literacy and heart failure knowledge, self-efficacy, and self-care adherence. Res Social Adm Pharm 2014;10:378–86.
20 Kripalani S, Yao X, Haynes RB. Interventions to enhance medication adherence in chronic medical conditions: a systematic review. Arch Intern Med 2007;167:540–9.
21 Osborn CY, Egede LE. Validation of an Information-Motivation-Behavioral skills model of diabetes self-care (IMB-DSC). Patient Educ Couns 2010;79:49–54.
22 Mayberry LS, Osborn CY. Empirical validation of the information-motivation-behavioral skills model of diabetes medication adherence: a framework for intervention. Diabetes Care 2014;37:1246–53.
23 Horne R, Chapman SCE, Parham R, et al. Understanding patients’ adherence-related beliefs about medicines prescribed for long-term conditions: a meta-analytic review of the Necessity-Concerns framework. PLoS One 2013;8:e60633.
24 Sweiheh WM, Zyyoud SH, Abu Nab’a RJ, et al. Influence of patients’ disease knowledge and beliefs about medicines on medication adherence: findings from a cross-sectional survey among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Palestine. BMC Public Health 2014;14:94.
25 Niriayo YL, Mamo A, Gidey K, et al. Medication belief and adherence among patients with epilepsy. Behav Neurol 2019;2019:1–7.
26 Lemstra M, Nwankwo C, Simons S, et al. Medication adherence among patients with chronic diseases: a survey-based study in pharmacies. JQM 2019;112:505–12.
27 Hilliard ME, Eakin MN, Borrelli B, et al. Medication beliefs mediate between depressive symptoms and medication adherence in cystic fibrosis. Health Psychol 2013;34:499–505.
28 Mercer S, Chris S, Fortin M. ABC of multimorbidity. Somerset: John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated, 2014: 30–4.
29 Kahn LS, Vest BM, Madurali N, et al. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) treatment burden among low-income primary care patients. Chronic Illn 2015;11:171–83.
30 Tran V-T, Harrington M, Montori VM, et al. Adaptation and validation of the treatment burden questionnaire (TBQ) in English using an Internet platform. BMJ Med 2014;12:109.
31 Park HY, Seo SA, Yoo H, et al. Medication adherence and beliefs about medication in elderly patients living alone with chronic diseases. Patient Prefer Adherence 2018;12:175–81.
32 Shippée ND, Shah ND, May CR, et al. Cumulative complexity: a functional, patient-centered model of patient complexity can improve research and practice. J Clin Epidemiol 2012;65:1041–51.
33 DiMatteo MR, Haskard KS, Williams SL. Health beliefs, disease severity, and patient adherence: a meta-analysis. Med Care 2007;45:521–8.
34 Shikriar R, Rentz AM. Satisfaction with medication: an overview of conceptual, methodological, and regulatory issues. Value Health Med Econ 2017;20:204–15.
35 Tavares NUL, Bertoldi AD, Mengue SS, et al. Factors associated with low adherence to medicine treatment for chronic diseases in Brazil. Rev Saúde Pública 2016;50:10s.
36 Efthymiadis C, Gidlow E, et al. Medication adherence and associated factors among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Gaza strip, Palestine, Front Endocrinol 2017;8:100.
37 Berner C, Erlacher L, Fenz KH, et al. Medication adherence and coping strategies in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a cross-sectional study. Int J Rheumatol 2019;2019:1–8.
38 Kroussel-Wood M, Joyce C, Holt E, et al. Predictors of decline in medication adherence: results from the cohort study of medication adherence among older adults. Hypertension 2011;58:804–10.
39 Schüz B, Marx C, Wurm S, et al. Medication beliefs predict medication adherence in older adults with multiple illnesses. J Psychosom Res 2011;70:179–87.
40 Wang HHX, Wang J-L, Wang SYS, et al. The development of urban community health centres for strengthening primary care in China: a systematic literature review. Br Med Bull 2015;116:139–53.
41 Harrison C, Britt H, Miller G, et al. Examining different measures of multimorbidity, using a large prospective cross-sectional study in Australian general practice. BMJ Open 2014;4:e004694.
42 MacCallum RC, Browne MW, Sugawara HM. Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. Psychol Methods 1996;1:130–49.
43 Browne MW, Cudeck R. Alternative ways of assessing model fit. In: Bollen KA, Long JS, eds. Testing structural equation models. Newbury Park, CA: Sage, 1993: 136–65.
44 Gao J, Wang J, Zhu Y, et al. Validation of an information-motivation-behavioral skills model of self-care among Chinese adults with type 2 diabetes. BMC Public Health 2013;13:100.
45 Okere AN, Renier CM, Morse J. Development and validation of a survey to assess patient-perceived medication knowledge and confidence in medication use. J Nurs Meas 2014;22:120–34.
46 Shang Y. Influencing factors of immunosuppressive medications adherence among kidney transplant recipients [Master thesis]. Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, 2017.
47 Horne R, Weirman J, Hanks S, et al. The beliefs about medicines questionnaire: the development and evaluation of a new method for assessing the cognitive representation of medication. Psychol Health 1999;14:1–24.
48 Wei L, Champman S, Li X, et al. Beliefs about medicines and non-adherence in patients with stroke, diabetes mellitus and rheumatoid arthritis: a cross-sectional study in China. BMJ Open 2017;7:e017293
60. Nie B, Chapman SCE, Chen Z, et al. Utilization of the beliefs about medicine questionnaire and prediction of medication adherence in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Psychosom Res* 2019;122:54–68.

61. Lehavot K, Huh D, Walters KL, et al. Buffering effects of general and medication-specific social support on the association between substance use and HIV medication adherence. *AIDS Patient Care STDS* 2011;25:181–9.

62. Mi T, Li X, Zhou G, et al. HIV disclosure to family members and medication adherence: role of social support and self-efficacy. *AIDS Behav* 2020;24:45–54.

63. Risser J, Jacobson TA, Kripalani S. Development and psychometric evaluation of the self-efficacy for appropriate medication use scale (SEAMS) in low-literacy patients with chronic disease. *J Nurs Meas* 2007;15:203–19.

64. Dong X-F, Liu Y-J, Wang A-X, et al. Psychometric properties of the Chinese version of the self-efficacy for appropriate medication use scale in patients with stroke. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2016;10:321–7.

65. Horne R, Weinman J. Self-Regulation and self-management in asthma: exploring the role of illness perceptions and treatment beliefs in explaining non-adherence to preventer medication. *Psychol Health* 2002;17:17–32.

66. Zou D, Liang B, Li J. The related factors of medication adherence in patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis [Chinese]. *Chinese J Integr Tradit West Nephrol* 2017;18:723–6.

67. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The patient health Questionnaire-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001;16:606–13.

68. Levis B, Benedetti A, Thombs BD, et al. Accuracy of patient health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for screening to detect major depression: individual participant data meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2019;365:l1476.

69. Wang W, Bian Q, Zhao Y, et al. Reliability and validity of the Chinese version of the patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9) in the general population. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2014;36:539–44.

70. Tran V-T, Montori VM, Eton DT, et al. Development and description of measurement properties of an instrument to assess treatment burden among patients with multiple chronic conditions. *BMC Med* 2012;10:68.

71. Yang Z, Wang H, Ou W, et al. Current status of treatment burden of patients with noninfecious chronic diseases based on ordinal logistic regression [Chinese]. *Chinese Gen Med* 2019;22:559–63.

72. Atkinson MJ, Kumar R, Cappelleri JC, et al. Hierarchical construct validity of the treatment satisfaction questionnaire for medication (TSQM version II) among outpatient pharmacy consumers. *Value Health* 2005;8 Suppl 1:S9–24.

73. Linn BS, Linn MW, Gurel L. Cumulative illness rating scale. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1968;16:622–6.

74. Miller MD, Paradis CF, Houck PR, et al. Rating chronic medical illness burden in geropsychiatric practice and research: application of the cumulative illness rating scale. *Psychiatry Res* 1992;41:237–48.

75. Salvi F, Miller MD, Grilli A, et al. A manual of guidelines to score the modified cumulative illness rating scale and its validation in acute hospitalized elderly patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2008;56:1926–31.

76. Zhang H. A study on the methods and application of comprehensive assessment of healthcare for cadres [Doctoral thesis]. Army Medical University, 2010.

77. Schermelleh-Engel K, Moosbrugger H, Müller H. Evaluating the fit of structural equation models: tests of significance and descriptive goodness-of-fit measures. *Methods Psychol Res* 2003;8:23–74.