Injuries to the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) are accompanied by combined anterior and rotatory instability. Although numerous advances have been made in surgical reconstruction techniques and graft choices, it is well recognized that some patients continue to experience persistent knee instability.

Recently, the scientific focus has centered on the structures of the anterolateral side of the knee, with some authors suggesting that extra-articular procedures are indicated in the setting of ACL injury. For example, numerous studies have promoted the existence of a discreet ligament located on the anterolateral aspect of the knee, which was recently termed the anterolateral ligament (ALL). This ligament has been suggested to play an important role in the restraint of internal tibial rotation and the pivot-shift phenomenon. However, published descriptions of the femoral insertion, the obliquity of the ligament position, and other morphologic parameters have varied widely. These discrepant anatomic findings are further magnified.
when we consider biomechanical studies of the ALL. While some study groups found this ligament to be an important restraint of internal tibial rotation,1,26,27,30 others described the biomechanical role of the ALL to be negligible.20,32,43 Considering the inconsistent terms and conflicting findings, it is crucial that the anterolateral knee structure is clearly defined and a consistent terminology is developed. A thorough appreciation of the anatomic characteristics will clarify the role of the lateral extra-articular structures as well as the indications for lateral-side extra-articular procedures. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to describe the anatomic characteristics of the anterolateral complex of the knee as determined through systematic, detailed dissections of young, fresh-frozen human cadaveric knees.

**METHODS**

Twenty fresh-frozen cadaveric knees (mean age, 47.6 years; range, 38-56 years; 12 males, 8 females) with no history of previous knee injuries or surgeries were used for this study. Prior approval was obtained by the Committee for Oversight of Research and Clinical Training Involving Decedents (CORID No. 224) at the University of Pittsburgh for the use of cadaveric knee specimens. Specimens were obtained from Research for Life and Science Care. The cadaveric knees were stored at –20°C and thawed for 24 hours at room temperature prior to dissection. During all stages of dissection, anatomic structures were critically analyzed, and their physical relationships and functional properties were documented.

**Dissection**

After the skin and subcutaneous tissue were removed, a longitudinal incision was made in the anterior-most part of the iliotibial band (ITB) to separate it from the iliopatellar band. Distally, the incision traveled along the lateral border of the patellar tendon and ended at the level of the tibial tubercle. Next, the superficial ITB was reflected posteriorly via blunt, proximal-to-distal dissection, leaving its posterior fibers and distal insertion at the Gerdy tubercle intact. With anterior retraction of the vastus lateralis muscle, the lateral intermuscular septum and the distinct distal femoral insertion of the ITB (Kaplan fibers) were visualized.18 Thereafter, the ITB was released in stepwise fashion from its insertion on the Gerdy tubercle to further reflect it posteriorly and to assess its deeper layers.

Next, an incision was made between the ITB (posterior to the lateral intermuscular septum) and the fascia of the short head of the biceps femoris muscle.40,41 The long and short heads of the biceps femoris muscle were carefully removed from proximal to distal, and its connections to the posterolateral capsule and deep layers of the ITB as well as its distal insertions on the tibia and fibula were documented.40

After removal of the deep layers of the ITB, the anterolateral capsule was assessed. First, the superficial layer of the capsule according to Seebacher et al33 was dissected to visualize the lateral collateral ligament (LCL) followed by an anterior capsular incision. The meniscofemoral and meniscotibial ligaments (coronary ligament) were identified, and the presence or absence of a mid-third capsular thickening (ie, mid-third capsular ligament14,15,41) was recorded.

**Quantitative Measurements**

Quantitative measurements of the capsulo-osseous layer of the ITB and the Kaplan fibers were obtained with a digital caliper (Fisher Scientific; ISO 17025 calibrated; accuracy 0.03 mm) at 90° of knee flexion. Every step of the dissection was documented with a digital camera (Canon EOS Rebel T5i). Measurements were expressed as mean ± 1 SD.

**RESULTS**

**Superficial Iliotibial Band**

The superficial ITB was located in layer 1 (as described by Seebacher et al33). It inserted in a wide area spanning from the Gerdy tubercle anteriorly to the anterolateral and lateral tibia posteriorly. Anteriorly, curved fibers ran from the ITB to the lateral aspect of the patella and patellar tendon (iliopatellar band). Posteriorly, the superficial ITB reinforced the fascia of the biceps femoris muscle (Figure 1). Posterior reflection of the superficial ITB demonstrated its femoral insertion along the linea aspera of the femur via the lateral intermuscular septum. Posteriorly, the fascia of the biceps femoris muscle showed fascial and aponeurotic extensions, which inserted on the proximal tibia, posterior to the Gerdy tubercle.

**Figure 1. Layer 1 including the superficial iliotibial band (sITB) and iliopatellar band (IPB). Asterisks indicate the folding of the posterior part of the sITB at higher degrees of knee flexion. GT, Gerdy tubercle.**
Middle and Deep Iliotibial Band

The middle layer could be separated only via sharp dissection from the superficial ITB. This layer was characterized by obliquely aligned fibers running in a proximal-lateral to distal-medial direction (Figure 2). The fibers crossed the superficial ITB and could best be visualized in the supracondylar region. In continuity with the middle layer, the deep layer resided in the posterior-most aspect of the ITB and blended with the superficial ITB distal to the lateral femoral epicondyle. The deep layer inserted slightly posterior to the Gerdy tubercle together with the posterior-most fibers of the superficial ITB. Proximally, the so-called Kaplan fibers were part of this deep layer. These firm and distinct fiber bundles connected the superficial ITB to the distal femoral metaphysis and condyle and were in close proximity to the branches of the superior genicular artery (Figure 3). Compared with the obliquely aligned fibers of the intermuscular septum, the distinct and thicker Kaplan fibers were characterized by their transverse course from lateral to medial. The Kaplan fiber insertion onto the lateral distal femoral metaphysis (Table 1) was found in 100% of the dissections, whereas 2 additional thin fiber bundles were seen more distally in 80% (16/20) of the specimens. These accessory condylar insertions were located proximal and anterior to the lateral femoral epicondyle (Figures 3 and 4).

Capsulo-osseous Layer of the Iliotibial Band

Further release and posterior reflection of the superficial ITB revealed the capsulo-osseous layer of the ITB (Figure 4, Table 1). Morphologically, the capsulo-osseous layer had a triangular shape, with a slightly wider tibial insertion than femoral origin. Proximally, this structure was continuous with the fascia of the lateral gastrocnemius muscle. Additionally, multiple flimsy, variable, and indistinct attachments around the lateral femoral epicondyle were observed. The fascia of the biceps femoris muscle reinforced the capsulo-osseous layer posteriorly (Figure 4).

TABLE 1
Quantitative Anatomic Results\(^a\)

|                          |                |                |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Kaplan fiber insertion   |                |                |
| Length                   | 20.6 ± 3.6     |                |
| Width                    | 4.7 ± 0.6      |                |
| Proximal distance from LFE| 17.1 ± 5.0     |                |
| Anterior distance from LFE| 7.4 ± 2.8      |                |
| Capsulo-osseous layer of the iliotibial band | | |
| Length                   | 59.7 ± 8.4     |                |
| Width at LFE             | 6.7 ± 1.9      |                |
| Width at joint line      | 8.1 ± 1.4      |                |
| Length of insertion to lateral gastrocnemius fascia | 9.1 ± 2.0 | |
| Lateral tibial tuberosity|               |                |
| Distance from tip of the Gerdy tubercle  | 23.4 ± 1.6 | |
| Distance from posterior cortex of fibular head | 31.9 ± 5.7 | |
| Distance from lateral cartilage margin      | 6.6 ± 0.9      |                |

\(^a\)Measurements are reported in millimeters as mean ± SD. LFE, lateral femoral epicondyle.
As the most medial and posterior portion of the ITB, the capsulo-osseous layer merged with the ITB in its distal segment; its insertion was continuous with the aponeurotic extension of the biceps femoris in an area approximately midway between the posterior aspect of the fibular head and the tip of the Gerdy tubercle. In the center of the insertion site, a small but well-defined tubercle was observed (see Table 1). The location of this tubercle was consistent with descriptions of the lateral tibial tuberosity by Terry and LaPrade,\(^4\) which was found in the present study to be 6.6 ± 0.9 mm distal to the lateral articular cartilage border (Figure 5).

### Anterolateral Capsule

After removal of the ITB and its layers, the anterolateral joint capsule was dissected. The superficial layer of the capsule encompassed the LCL, whereas the deep layer passed deep to the LCL. Anterior to the LCL, the 2 layers were found to be fused into 1 contiguous layer. In the area where the 2 layers of the joint capsule merged, a capsular thickening, or mid-third capsular ligament as described by Hughston et al.,\(^1\) was present in 35% (7/20) of the specimens (Figure 6). Furthermore, the coronary ligaments, consisting of meniscofemoral and meniscotibial ligaments, were observed in all specimens (Figure 7).

### Anterolateral Ligament

No discrete ligament completely matching the original published parameters\(^3\) of the ALL was observed. However, the capsulo-osseous layer of the ITB (observed in all specimens) and the mid-third capsular ligament (observed in 35% of specimens) most closely resembled the recent ALL descriptions and were in line with previous classic accounts of these structures.\(^4\)
DISCUSSION

The data from this study showed that the various components of the ITB are intricately and substantially associated with each other, resulting in multiple layers and discreet femoral and tibial attachments. In agreement with previous studies, these dissections demonstrated that the anterolateral knee is complex and contains several integrated structures, namely the ITB with its multiple layers and the anterolateral capsule.

Interestingly, a distinct ALL exactly matching original descriptions5,7,19 was not observed in any of the dissected specimens. While different authors have provided varying descriptions of the ALL, many of the recently published articles regarding ALL are consistent with the current study’s observations of the capsulo-osseous layer of the ITB and/or the mid-third capsular ligament.14,15,39,40,44 Furthermore, the current findings are in line with previous descriptions in the classic literature regarding the capsulo-osseous layer and the mid-third capsular ligament.14,15,40-42

While the original reports by Hughston and colleagues14,15 of the mid-third capsular ligament in 1976 did not describe the number of studied cadavers containing a mid-third capsular ligament, the 35% incidence of a capsular thickening in the present study, corresponding with the originally described mid-third capsular ligament14,15,41 is similar to the incidence of an ALL described in some recent studies,31,37,47 lending support to the concept that the recently described ALL is synonymous with the mid-third capsular ligament.31,37,47 However, other recent studies have found the ALL in up to 100% of their dissections.4-6,19 The capsular thickening observed as the mid-third capsular ligament is likely due to the unique relationship between the LCL and the capsule. The superficial layer of the joint capsule crosses the LCL superficially, whereas the deep layer lies medial to the LCL.33 Anterior to the LCL, the 2 layers of the joint capsule merge into a single layer, which might lead to the morphological appearance of a thickening in some specimens.

Furthermore, the current study demonstrated that the capsulo-osseous layer of the ITB and the mid-third capsular ligament were spatially related to each other, and both occupied anatomic locations that are similar to recent descriptions of the ALL.6,7 In fact, Hughston et al15 reported that the mid-third capsular ligament and ITB worked synergistically.

The reasons for discrepant findings between the current study and some recently published studies is likely multifactorial. First, most recent anatomic studies have described the quantitative and qualitative anatomic characteristics of the ALL on embalmed specimens.6,7,31,37 However, it has been well established that the tissue quality in embalmed specimens is deteriorated and not ideal for detailed dissection compared with fresh-frozen cadavers (as used in the current study).2,35 As such, the dissections in the current...
study likely allowed for a more anatomic identification of native tissue planes than those performed on embalmed specimens. Additionally, in previous studies authors have described rotating the tibia internally to better visualize the ALL. It is possible that this technique inadvertently misrepresented the natural state of the anterolateral structures. In fact, biomechanical studies have suggested that the anterolateral capsule acts as a sheet of tissue rather than a ligament, which calls into question the appropriateness of isolating 1 strip of tissue. In the present study, a layer-by-layer dissection without rotational manipulation of the joint was performed, and native anatomic relationships were preserved. Further, the average age of the specimens in this study is notably lower than that reported in previous studies. It is likely that the tissue condition of these younger cadavers more accurately represented the anatomic characteristics of patients who typically have rotatory knee instability, who tend to be younger in age. Moreover, it is possible that the mid-third capsular thickening located at the confluence of capsular layers is more noticeable in some individuals than others, further contributing to the widely ranging prevalence of reported ALL and/or mid-third capsular ligament in published literature.

Compared with the medial part of the knee, the lateral layer structure is more complex. Seebacher et al described 3 layers of the lateral knee. Layer 1 consists of the deep fascia, including the ITB and the fascia of the biceps femoris muscle. Layer 2 includes the retinacula and aponeurosis of the quadriceps muscle and the lateral patellofemoral ligaments. Thus, this layer is incomplete posteriorly and fuses with layer 1 anteriorly. Layer 3 is composed of the joint capsule. This layer-by-layer structure was confirmed by the current study; however, the retinaculum and lateral patellofemoral ligaments were not the focus of these dissections.

The superficial ITB, which has been described as the most robust soft tissue structure of the anterolateral knee, inserts proximally via the deep layer onto the lateral distal femoral metaphysis. A previous study described the presence of these fibers in 93% of specimens, compared with 100% in the current study. Interestingly, Kaplan fibers exist in close proximity to branches of the superior genicular artery, and hemorrhage is frequently evident in this lateral suprapatellar area on magnetic resonance images of patients with rotatory knee trauma. The aspect of the superficial and deep ITB that lies between the Kaplan fibers proximally and the insertion at the proximal tibia distally forms a functional unit that may contribute to rotatory knee stability. Similarly, in the classic literature, lengthening and tightening of this part of the superficial and deep ITB were observed with increased knee flexion. This increase in length is greatest at 60° of knee flexion, where the distance between the Kaplan fiber insertion and the Gerdy tubercle increases by 18%. Recently, a robotic study confirmed that both the superficial and deep ITB, including the Kaplan fiber insertion as well as the capsulo-osseous layer, are the greatest contributors to internal rotation restraint other than the ACL. The superficial and deep ITB were shown to supply more than 70% of the total internal rotation restraint at knee flexion angles greater than 60° in both ACL-intact and ACL-deficient knees.

While the biomechanical functions of the capsulo-osseous layer and deep ITB have only been investigated in tandem, the capsulo-osseous layer is anatomically distinct from the deep ITB. However, distal to the lateral femoral epicondyle, the different components of the ITB merge together and function as a single unit. As seen in the dissections of the current study, the deep and capsulo-osseous layers of the ITB compose the medial- and posterior-most portions of the ITB and insert just posterior to the Gerdy tubercle. Interestingly, a small bony tubercle 23.4 mm posterior to the tip of the Gerdy tubercle and 6.6 mm distal to the lateral articular cartilage border was observed. The capsulo-osseous layer insertion is located at this point, termed the lateral tibial tuberosity by Terry and LaPrade in 1996.

The close topographical relationships of the anterolateral knee structures suggest that they act jointly to provide rotatory knee stability. Clinically, it may be most appropriate to refer to these various anterolateral knee structures as the anterolateral complex, consisting of the ITB with its superficial and deep layers as well as the anterolateral joint capsule. Importantly, biomechanical studies have shown that the components of the anterolateral complex form a cohesive functional unit that provides significant contributions to rotatory knee stability. Furthermore, studies have shown that high-grade injuries to either the Kaplan fibers or the anterolateral capsule might result in increased rotatory laxity.

CONCLUSION

The anterolateral complex consists of the superficial and deep ITB, the capsulo-osseous layer of the ITB, and the anterolateral capsule. Distally, the different layers of the ITB merge into a single functional unit. In a subset of specimens (35%), a capsular thickening (which might refer to the mid-third capsular ligament) could be observed at the confluence of the superficial and deep capsule. A discrete ALL was not observed; however, the ALL described in recent studies likely refers to the capsulo-osseous layer and/or the mid-third capsular ligament described in the current study and classic literature. When considering rotatory knee stability, surgeons must thoroughly understand the structural and functional contributions of the anterolateral complex. Furthermore, researchers and clinicians must strive to use consistent terms and precise descriptions when referring to the complex anatomic characteristics of the anterolateral knee.
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