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**A B S T R A C T**

A field experiment was conducted during the *kharif*, 2018 at Agronomy Farm, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri (M.S.), to study the “Nutrient management in *kharif* rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) through inorganic and organic sources for enhancing productivity and profitability in *konkan* region of Maharashtra.” The experiment was laid out in split plot design which comprising of 25 treatment combinations replicated thrice. Main plot treatment consisted of five levels of nitrogen through urea (N<sub>1</sub>-100 per cent RDN, N<sub>2</sub>-75 per cent RDN, N<sub>3</sub>-50 per cent RDN, N<sub>4</sub>-25 per cent RDN and N<sub>5</sub>-No fertilizer (control) and sub-plot treatment comprised of five levels of nitrogen through FYM (M<sub>1</sub>-100 per cent RDN, M<sub>2</sub>-75 per cent RDN, M<sub>3</sub>-50 per cent RDN, M<sub>4</sub>-25 per cent RDN and M<sub>5</sub>-No FYM (control). The experimental plot was sandy clay loam in texture, moderately acidic in reaction with very high in organic carbon content. Soil was low in available nitrogen, low in available phosphorus and moderately high in available potassium. The experimental results revealed that application of 100 per cent RDN through urea recorded significantly higher growth parameters and yield attributes resulting into significantly more grain and straw (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) than remaining levels of nitrogen. The highest gross returns of Rs.1,25,685 ha<sup>-1</sup>, net returns of Rs. 6,974 ha<sup>-1</sup> and benefit to cost ratio of 1.06 were obtained due to application of 100 per cent RDN through urea. Among the levels of nitrogen through FYM, 100 per cent RDN (M<sub>1</sub>) recorded significantly higher value of growth parameters and yield attributes which resulting into significantly more grain and straw yields (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) than remaining levels of nitrogen under the investigation. Significantly highest net monitory returns of Rs. 22,650 ha<sup>-1</sup> and B:C ratio of 1.30 registered under the treatment (N<sub>5</sub>) No FYM (control) than other higher levels of FYM during year of experimentation. Among the different treatment combinations, the highest net returns and B:C ratio of Rs. 32,749 ha<sup>-1</sup> and 1.41, respectively were obtained under treatment combination of (N<sub>1</sub>M<sub>5</sub>) i.e. 100 per cent RDN through urea with no FYM.
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**Introduction**

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the world’s second most important cereal crop and staple food of large number of people. India exports around 10.3 million metric tonnes of rice. Total global consumption of milled rice amounted to approximately 477.77 million metric
tonnes in 2016-2017. China consumed around 146 million metric tonnes of milled rice per year and was the world’s leading rice consumer in that year. In comparison, the U.S. consumed some 3.85 million metric tonnes (Anonymous-2017). In Maharashtra area under rice crop in 2016-17 was 1.63 million hectares with production of 3.35 million tonnes. An average productivity of the state is 2059 kg ha\(^{-1}\). The average productivity of the Maharashtra state is low as compared to other rice growing states viz. West Bengal, Uttarakhand, Punjab, Odisha, Tamil Nadu, Haryana, Andhra Pradesh etc. (Anonymous-2017). Konkan region occupies an area of about 3.69 lakh hectares under rice with production of about 10.83 lakh tonnes and productivity around 2930 kg ha\(^{-1}\). The area, production and average productivity of the Konkan region was more as compared to Western Maharashtra, Marathwada and Vidarbha (Anonymous-2016).

The effect of continuous and indiscriminate use of inorganic fertilizers has resulted in contamination of ground water and decrease in the productivity of soil, which in turn affects the rice production in long term. Use of organic manures may help to improve the soil health, however, the available quantity is insufficient therefore the farmers cannot achieved optimal growth and production. Hence use of organic manures in combination with inorganic fertilizers in judicious manner is the need of current era to obtained optimum yield and maintain soil health.

The integrated nutrient management approach aims at efficient and judicious use of all the major sources of plant nutrients in an integrated manner, so as to get maximum economic yield without any deleterious effect on physico-chemical and biological properties of the soil. Thus, the basic concept underlying the principles of integrated nutrient management is the maintenance and possible improvement in soil fertility for sustained crop productivity on long term basis. The major components of integrated nutrient supply system are fertilizer, FYM, vermicompost, green manure, crop residue/recyclable wastes and bio-fertilizers. These components possess great diversity in terms of chemical and physical properties, nutrient release efficiencies, positional availability, crop specificity and farmers acceptability.

Biofertilizers are a substance which contains living microorganisms which, when applied to seed, plant surfaces, or soil, colonizes the rhizosphere or the interior of the plant and promotes growth by increasing the supply or availability of the nutrients to the host plant (Vessey, 2003). Bio-fertilizers add nutrients through the natural processes of nitrogen fixation, solubilizing phosphorus and stimulating plant growth through the synthesis of growth-promoting substance. Bio fertilizers, an alternate low cost resource have gained prime importance in recent decades and play a vital role in maintaining long term soil fertility and sustainability. They are cost effective, eco-friendly and renewable sources of plant nutrients to supplement chemical fertilizers. Nitrogen fixing and P-solubilizing inoculants are important biofertilizers used in rice.

Therefore, the combination of different components to ensure optimum nutrient supply to a production system may depend on land use, ecological, social and economic condition. Indian agriculture had been traditionally dependent on organic manure sources and the inclusion of FYM regulates nutrient uptake, crop yields and physical status of soil and thus has a synergistic effect. Nutrient management is an important aspect in rice to be given pivotal importance so as to attain sustainability of grain and straw production. The integration of fertilizers
along with organic manures may affect biometric characteristics and ultimately on grain and straw yields of rice.

Nutrient management technology is oriented towards better utilization of organic sources that may be available cheaply, along with improving the formulation, time of application and placement of chemical fertilizers so that the nutrient uptake by plants is maximized. Keeping these points in the view, the proposed research entitled “Nutrient management in kharif rice (Oryza sativa L.) through inorganic and organic sources for enhancing productivity and profitability” is planned at Agronomy Farm, College of Agriculture, Dapoli during kharif season of 2018.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during the kharif, 2018 at Agronomy Farm, plot No. 20, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri (M.S.) to study the “Nutrient management in kharif rice (Oryza sativa L.) through inorganic and organic sources for enhancing productivity and profitability” on lateritic soil having low to moderate soil fertility status. The topography of the experimental plot was uniform and levelled. The soil of experimental site was well drained. The composite soil sample from 0 to 30 cm layer was taken with the help of a screw auger before starting of a field experiment. Agronomy farm, college of Agriculture, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri is situated in a tropical region at 17° 4’ North latitude and 73° 1’ East longitude having an elevation of 250 meters above mean sea level. The experiment was laid out in split plot design which comprising of 25 treatment combinations replicated thrice. Main plot treatment consisted of five levels of nitrogen through urea (N₁-100 per cent RDN, N₂-75 per cent RDN, N₃-50 per cent RDN, N₄-25 per cent RDN and N₅-No fertilizer (control)) and sub-plot treatment comprised of five levels of nitrogen through FYM (M₁-100 per cent RDN, M₂-75 per cent RDN, M₃-50 per cent RDN, M₄-25 per cent RDN and M₅-No FYM (control)).

During the course of investigation, growth observations of rice were recorded periodically from 30 DAT till the harvest at an interval of 30 days. The yield contributing characters and yield were recorded at harvest to evaluate the treatment effects. The uptake of major nutrients by the rice was analyzed and interpreted. Similarly, economics of different treatments was worked out along with the interaction. The experimental plot was sandy clay loam in texture, moderately acidic in reaction with very high in organic carbon content. Soil was low in available nitrogen, low in available phosphorus and moderately high in available potassium. In case of nutrient management factor, at the time of transplanting 50 per cent nitrogen from each level of 100 per cent, 75 per cent, 50 per cent, and 25 per cent of the recommended N ha⁻¹ was applied as per the treatment with full dose of P₂O₅ and K₂O as a basal dose. The remaining 50 percent nitrogen from the four levels was applied in two equal split i.e. at maximum tillering stage (30 DAT) and panicle initiation stage (60 DAT) as per the treatments.

Results and Discussion

Effect of levels of nitrogen through urea

The data presented in Table 1 revealed that, the mean plant height of rice was significantly influenced due to the application of nitrogen through urea throughout the growth period of the crop. Among the different levels of nitrogen through urea the treatment N₁ (100 per cent RDN) produced significantly taller plant at harvest over the treatment which
supplied 50 per cent RDN, 25 per cent RDN and control and it was at par with treatment N2 (75 per cent RDN). The mean number of tillers hill\(^{-1}\) of rice was influenced significantly due to the different levels of nitrogen through urea during the entire growth period of the crop. Among the different levels of nitrogen, the treatment N1-100 per cent RDN produced significantly higher number of tillers hill\(^{-1}\) at harvest over (N3) 50 per cent RDN, (N4) 25 per cent RDN and (N5) control treatment. However, it was at par (N2) i.e. 75 per cent RDN at all the growth stages of rice. The different levels of fertilizer significantly influenced the mean number of functional leaves hill\(^{-1}\) of rice throughout the growth stages. Significantly more number of functional leaves hill\(^{-1}\) was recorded with application of 100 per cent RDN (N1) than 25 per cent RDN (N4) and control (N5) and it was at par with 75 per cent RDN (N2) and 50 per cent RDN (N3) at all the growth stages of rice.

Further, it was found that, application of 100 per cent RDN (N1) through urea recorded significantly higher dry matter accumulation hill\(^{-1}\) over 50 per cent RDN (N3), 25 per cent RDN (N4) and control (N5) treatment and was at par with 75 per cent RDN (N2) at 60 DAT and at harvest stage. However, at 90 DAT it was at par with 75 per cent RDN and 50 per cent RDN and significantly superior over rest of the treatments. However, application of 100 per cent RDN through urea (N1) recorded significantly more number of effective tillers hill\(^{-1}\) over rest of the levels of nitrogen supplied through urea. It is evident from the data presented that a remarkable influence of various levels of nitrogen through urea on the growth characters of rice crop was observed during the entire growth stages of the crop. Moreover, the nitrogen levels showed significant variation in the growth and development parameters of rice viz. plant height (cm), number of tillers hill\(^{-1}\), number of functional leaves hill\(^{-1}\), dry matter accumulation hill\(^{-1}\) and number of effective tillers hill\(^{-1}\) due to the different levels of nitrogen through urea during the experimentation. These findings were supported by Chaturvedi (2005), he stated that, the increase in plant height in response to application of N fertilizers is probably due to enhanced availability of nitrogen which enhanced more leaf area resulting in higher photo assimilates and thereby resulted in more dry matter accumulation. The results are in agreement with the findings reported by Kumar, et al., (2017) and Marskole et al., (2017).

Data regarding yield contributing characters viz., length of panicle (cm), weight of panicle (g), number of filled grains panicle\(^{-1}\), number of unfilled grains panicle\(^{-1}\) and test weight (g) as affected by the various treatments are presented in Table 2.

Application of 100 per cent RDN (N1) significantly increased the length of panicle over the 50 per cent RDN, 25 per cent RDN and control treatment and it was at par with 75 per cent RDN (N2). Weight of panicle increased with increase in the level of nitrogen and it was higher in treatment (N1)100 per cent RDN (5.95) than (N4) 25 per cent RDN and (N5) control. However, it was at par with 75 per cent RDN (N2) and 50 per cent RDN (N3) through urea. Application of fertilizers significantly influenced the number of filled grains panicle\(^{-1}\). The treatment 100 per cent RDN through urea (N1) produced significantly higher number of filled grains panicle\(^{-1}\) over the treatments (N4) 25 per cent RDN and (N5) control. Further, 100 per cent RDN (N1) was at par with 75 per cent RDN (N2) and 50 per cent RDN (N3). The number of unfilled grains panicle\(^{-1}\) was significantly influenced due to the different levels of nitrogen through urea. The number of unfilled grains panicle\(^{-1}\) was significantly more in treatment (N1) 100 per cent RDN (52.41) over...
rest of the levels of nitrogen under study except (N2) 75 per cent RDN which was at par with (N1). The lower number of unfilled grains panicle\(^{-1}\) (37.32) was found in control treatment. The treatment (N1) 100 per cent RDN recorded significantly higher thousand grain weight (17.39 g) over (N3) 50 per cent RDN, (N4) 25 per cent RDN and (N5) control and (N2) 75 per cent RDN which was at par with (N1). Among the different levels lowest value of test weight found in control treatment of (16.17 g).

The different levels of fertilizer significantly influenced the grain yield (q ha\(^{-1}\)) of rice. These treatments can be arranged in the following order of significance in respect of the grain yield viz. 100 per cent RDN (N1)> 75 per cent RDN (N2)> 50 per cent RDN (N3)> 25 per cent RDN (N4)> (N5) control. Higher growth and yield attributing characters of rice obtained due to the application of 100 per cent RDN through urea (N1) resulted into production of significantly higher grain, straw and total biological yields as compared to the other levels. The increment in grain and straw yields in this study at higher nitrogen levels might be due efficient absorption of nitrogen and other elements which raise the production and translocation of the dry matter from source to sink. The results are in the conformity with the work done by Babu, et al., (2017) & Kumar, et al., (2017).

The different levels of fertilizer significantly influenced the straw yield (q ha\(^{-1}\)) of rice. Application of 100 per cent RDN through urea (N1) recorded significantly higher straw yield of (76.73 q ha\(^{-1}\)) than the remaining levels of nitrogen i.e. 75 per cent RDN (N2), 50 per cent RDN (N3), 25 per cent RDN (N4) and (N5) control in that descending order of significance.Increased gross returns, net returns and benefit to cost ratio under higher nitrogen levels was mainly due to increase in the grain and straw yield. Similar findings were also reported by Kafle (2014), Dangi (2016) and Babu, et al., (2017). Yadav et al., (2008) reported that, the output capacity in terms of Rs. ha\(^{-1}\) was more under M3 (RDF 80:50:50 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)). The experimental results revealed that application of 100 per cent RDN through urea recorded significantly higher growth parameters and yield attributes resulting into significantly more grain and straw (q ha-1) than remaining levels of nitrogen. The highest gross returns of Rs. 1,25,685 ha-1, net returns of Rs. 6,974 ha-1 and benefit to cost ratio of 1.06 were obtained due to application of 100 per cent RDN through urea.

**Effect of levels of nitrogen through FYM**

The mean plant height of rice at the different stages of crop growth was significantly influenced due to the levels of nitrogen applied through FYM. The data presented in Table 1 showed that among the different levels of nitrogen the treatment M1-100 per cent RDN recorded significantly higher plant height over the other levels of nitrogen at 30 DAT. However, at 90 DAT and at harvest the treatment M1 (100 per cent RDN) recorded significantly taller plant height than treatment M4 -25 per cent RDN and M5 –No FYM (Control) and it was at par with treatment M2 (75 per cent RDN) and M3 (50 per cent RDN). Further, it was noticed that at 60 DAT the treatment M1-100 per cent RDN recorded significantly taller plant height over rest of the levels of nitrogen through FYM under study except the treatment M2-75 per cent RDN, which was at par with M1-100 per cent RDN.

The mean number of tillers hill\(^{-1}\) of rice was significantly influenced due to the levels of nitrogen through FYM. The data indicated that treatment M1-100 per cent RDN produced significantly higher number of tillers hill\(^{-1}\) at harvest than control treatment and it was at par with 75 per cent RDN, 50
per cent RDN and 25 per cent RDN. However, at 90 DAT significantly higher number of tillers hill\(^{-1}\) was produced in the treatment with 100 per cent RDN over the remaining levels of nitrogen.

The data indicated application of 100 per cent RDN (M\(_1\)) recorded significantly more number of functional leaves hill\(^{-1}\) over application of 25 per cent RDN (M\(_4\)) and control (M\(_5\)) at all the stages of crop growth and it was at par with 75 per cent RDN (M\(_2\)) and 50 per cent RDN (M\(_3\)) except at harvest where it has recorded more number of functional leaves hill\(^{-1}\) over 50 per cent RDN, 25 per cent RDN and control treatment and it was at par with 75 per cent RDN. Dry matter accumulation (g) hill\(^{-1}\) was significantly influenced due to different levels of nitrogen through FYM at harvest.

However, significantly higher dry matter accumulation (g) hill\(^{-1}\) was recorded by the crop in treatment (M\(_1\)) 100 per cent RDN through FYM than 25 per cent RDN (M\(_4\)) and control (M\(_5\)) and it was at par with 75 per cent RDN (M\(_2\)) and 50 per cent RDN at 60 DAT and at harvest. However, at 90 DAT, treatment 100 per cent RDN through FYM (M\(_1\)) was at par with 75 per cent RDN through FYM (M\(_2\)) and significantly superior over rest of the treatments. The treatment (M\(_1\)) i.e. 100 per cent RDN through FYM produced significantly taller plants in all the growth stages as compared to other levels. On the other hand, lower plant height was found in control treatment (M\(_3\)) at all growth stages. Srivastava et al., (2013) reported that, the plant height increases with increase in the dose of nitrogen through FYM. This could be due to sufficient amount of N supplied through FYM having narrow C:N ratio that might have helped in mineralization and release of nutrients in adequate amount. This has contributed in promoting the growth as nitrogen is very important for plant growth.

Similar findings were noted by Shah, et al., (2001), Gopakkali (2010) and Kafle (2014).

The data in Table no. 1 summarized that significantly higher number of effective tillers hill\(^{-1}\) was recorded in treatment which supplied 100 per cent RDN (M\(_1\)) through FYM than control treatment (M\(_3\)) and it was at par with 75 per cent RDN, 50 per cent RDN and 25 per cent RDN through FYM. Among the various levels of nitrogen applied through FYM, 100 per cent RDN (M\(_1\)) recorded significantly longer length of panicle than 25 per cent RDN and control treatment. However, the treatment 100 per cent RDN (M\(_1\)) was at par with 75 per cent RDN (M\(_2\)) and 50 per cent RDN (M\(_3\)).

It is seen from the data presented in Table 2 that, the treatment 100 per cent RDN i.e. (M\(_1\)) recorded significantly higher weight of panicle over 25 per cent RDN and control and it was at par with 75 per cent RDN (M\(_2\)) and 50 per cent RDN (M\(_3\)) through FYM. Application of 100 per cent RDN (M\(_1\)) through FYM produced significantly higher number of filled grains panicle\(^{-1}\) than (M\(_4\)) 25 per cent RDN and (M\(_5\)) control treatment. However, 100 per cent RDN (M\(_1\)) was at par with 75 per cent RDN (M\(_2\)) and 50 per cent RDN (M\(_3\)).

Application of FYM to rice significantly affected the number of unfilled grains panicle\(^{-1}\). The Number of unfilled grains panicle\(^{-1}\) in treatment (M\(_1\))100 per cent RDN (47.61) was significantly higher over (M\(_5\)) control (41.00). Further, treatment (M\(_1\)) was at par with (M\(_2\)) 75 per cent RDN, (M\(_3\)) 50 per cent RDN and (M\(_4\)) 25 per cent RD. Among the different levels of nitrogen through FYM, 100 per cent RDN (M\(_1\)) recorded higher thousand grain weight (17.03 g) than (M\(_3\)) 50 per cent RDN, (M\(_4\)) 25 per cent RDN and (M\(_3\)) control. However, (M\(_2\)) 75 per cent RDN was at par with (M\(_1\)).
**Table.1** Mean plant height (cm), number of tiller hill⁻¹, number of functional leaves hill⁻¹, dry matter accumulation hill⁻¹ and number of effective tillers hill⁻¹ at harvest

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) | Number of tillers hill⁻¹ | Number of functional leaves hill⁻¹ | Dry matter accumulation hill⁻¹ (g) | Number of effective tillers hill⁻¹ |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **Main plot treatment – Level of nitrogen through urea** | | | | | |
| N₁-100% RDN | 75.30 | 5.75 | 4.17 | 67.08 | 5.41 |
| N₂-75% RDN | 74.20 | 5.31 | 3.68 | 64.48 | 5.03 |
| N₃-50% RDN | 73.02 | 4.99 | 3.36 | 60.89 | 4.59 |
| N₄-25% RDN | 71.54 | 4.60 | 2.76 | 58.35 | 4.33 |
| N₅-No fertilizer (Control) | 67.19 | 4.03 | 2.19 | 54.60 | 3.88 |
| **SE ±** | 0.63 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 1.21 | 0.08 |
| **CD @ 5%** | 2.05 | 0.51 | 1.22 | 3.93 | 0.26 |
| **Sub plot treatment – Level of nitrogen through FYM** | | | | | |
| M₁-100% RDN | 73.44 | 5.31 | 4.09 | 64.26 | 4.97 |
| M₂-75% RDN | 72.92 | 5.08 | 3.27 | 62.26 | 4.76 |
| M₃-50% RDN | 72.33 | 4.89 | 3.17 | 60.97 | 4.71 |
| M₄-25% RDN | 71.80 | 4.84 | 3.00 | 59.70 | 4.55 |
| M₅-No FYM (Control) | 70.77 | 4.55 | 2.63 | 58.20 | 4.25 |
| **SE ±** | 0.49 | 0.16 | 0.30 | 1.41 | 0.17 |
| **CD @ 5%** | 1.40 | 0.47 | 0.86 | 4.02 | 0.47 |
| **Interaction** | | | | | |
| **SE ±** | 1.10 | 0.36 | 0.67 | 3.15 | 0.37 |
| **CD @ 5%** | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **General mean** | 72.25 | 4.93 | 3.23 | 61.08 | 4.65 |
Table 2: Yield attributing characters, grain and straw yield and economics (q ha⁻¹) of rice as influenced by the different treatments

| Treatment                     | Length of panicle (cm) | Weight of panicle (g) | No. of filled grains panicle | No. of unfilled grains panicle | Test weight (g) | Grain yield (q ha⁻¹) | Straw yield (q ha⁻¹) | Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha⁻¹) | Gross Returns (Rs. ha⁻¹) | Net Returns (Rs. ha⁻¹) | B: C Ratio |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| **Main plot treatment** – Level of nitrogen through urea |                        |                       |                               |                                |                 |                      |                      |                               |                          |                         |            |
| N₁-100 % RDN                  | 25.55                  | 5.95                  | 324.54                        | 52.41                          | 17.39           | 60.86                | 76.73                | 118711                        | 125685                   | 6974                    | 1.06       |
| N₂-75 % RDN                   | 25.22                  | 5.70                  | 311.09                        | 50.00                          | 16.95           | 57.57                | 69.70                | 117093                        | 118176                   | 1083                    | 1.01       |
| N₃-50 % RDN                   | 25.04                  | 5.50                  | 305.75                        | 45.43                          | 16.77           | 54.32                | 63.67                | 115527                        | 110977                   | -4550                    | 0.96       |
| N₄-25 % RDN                   | 24.60                  | 5.31                  | 293.25                        | 45.25                          | 16.46           | 51.60                | 58.94                | 114166                        | 105043                   | -9123                    | 0.92       |
| N₅–No fertilizer (Control)    | 23.88                  | 4.84                  | 259.82                        | 37.32                          | 16.17           | 34.68                | 38.72                | 103534                        | 70374                    | -33160                   | 0.68       |
| SE ±                          | 0.13                   | 0.14                  | 8.28                           | 1.64                           | 0.15            | 0.85                 | 1.01                 |                               |                          |                         |            |
| CD @ 5%                       | 0.42                   | 0.47                  | 26.99                         | 5.35                           | 0.48            | 2.77                 | 3.30                 |                               |                          |                         |            |
| **Sub plot treatment** – Level of nitrogen through FYM |                        |                       |                               |                                |                 |                      |                      |                               |                          |                         |            |
| M₁-100 % RDN                  | 25.08                  | 5.69                  | 308.65                        | 47.61                          | 17.03           | 54.22                | 65.13                | 150865                        | 111162                   | -39703                   | 0.74       |
| M₂-75 % RDN                   | 25.04                  | 5.60                  | 306.92                        | 46.17                          | 16.82           | 53.29                | 63.72                | 132437                        | 109180                   | -23258                   | 0.82       |
| M₃-50 % RDN                   | 24.98                  | 5.51                  | 303.07                        | 45.34                          | 16.75           | 52.21                | 61.99                | 113954                        | 106864                   | -7090                    | 0.94       |
| M₄-25 % RDN                   | 24.68                  | 5.30                  | 290.34                        | 44.30                          | 16.64           | 50.85                | 60.08                | 95381                         | 104006                   | 8625                     | 1.09       |
| M₅–No FYM (Control)           | 24.51                  | 5.19                  | 285.47                        | 41.00                          | 16.49           | 48.48                | 56.83                | 76394                         | 99044                    | 22650                    | 1.30       |
| SE ±                          | 0.13                   | 0.13                  | 5.64                           | 1.44                           | 0.07            | 0.39                 | 0.44                 | 150865                        | 111162                   | -39703                   | 0.74       |
| CD @ 5%                       | 0.37                   | 0.36                  | 16.12                         | 4.12                           | 0.21            | 1.11                 | 1.26                 | 132437                        | 109180                   | -23258                   | 0.82       |
| Interaction                   |                        |                       |                               |                                |                 |                      |                      |                               |                          |                         |            |
| SE ±                          | 0.29                   | 0.29                  | 12.61                         | 3.22                           | 0.16            | 0.87                 | 0.98                 |                               |                          |                         |            |
| CD @ 5%                       | NS                     | NS                    | NS                            | NS                             | NS              | 2.48                 | 2.81                 |                               |                          |                         |            |
| **General mean**              | 24.86                  | 5.46                  | 298.89                        | 44.88                          | 16.75           | 51.81                | 58.95                |                               |                          |                         |            |
Among the various levels the lowest value of thousand grain weight found in control treatment of (16.49 g). It is seen for the data presented in Table 2 that, among the various levels of nitrogen through FYM, the treatment supplied with 100 per cent RDN (M1) produced the grain yield at par with (M2) 75 per cent RDN and it was significantly superior over (M3) 50 per cent RDN, (M4) 25 per cent RDN and control (M5). Among the different levels of nitrogen application through FYM, the treatment with 100 per cent RDN (M1) produced significantly higher straw yield (65.13 q ha⁻¹) over rest of the levels i.e. (M2) 75 per cent RDN, (M3) 50 per cent RDN, (M4) 25 per cent RDN and control (M5).

Among the levels of nitrogen through FYM, 100 per cent RDN (M1) recorded significantly higher value of growth parameters and yield attributes which resulting into significantly more grain and straw yields (q ha⁻¹) than remaining levels of nitrogen under the investigation. Significantly highest net monitory returns of Rs. 22,650 ha⁻¹ and B:C ratio of 1.30 registered under the treatment (N5) No FYM (control) than other higher levels of FYM during year of experimentation. Among the different treatment combinations, the highest net returns and B:C ratio of Rs. 32, 749 ha⁻¹ and 1.41, respectively were obtained under treatment combination of (N1M5) i.e. 100 per cent RDN through urea with no FYM.

The remaining treatments can be arranged in the following order of significance i.e. (M2)> (M3)> (M4)> (M5). Satyanarayana, et al., (2002) reported that, application of organic materials such as farmyard manure considerably improves soil physical properties and nutrient uptake resulting in greater growth, yield and yield components. Similar finding was confirmed by Singh, et al., (2014).

On the basis of the objectives of the present investigation following conclusions can be made the application of 100 per cent RDN through urea in combination with 100 per cent RDN through FYM recorded significantly higher growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of kharif rice.
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