Putative resistance genes in the CitEST database
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Abstract

Disease resistance in plants is usually associated with the activation of a wide variety of defense responses to prevent pathogen replication and/or movement. The ability of the host plant to recognize the pathogen and to activate defense responses is regulated by direct or indirect interaction between the products of plant resistance (R) and pathogen avirulence (Avr) genes. Attempted infection of plants by avirulent pathogens elicits a battery of defenses often followed by the collapse of the challenged host cells. Localized host cell death may help to prevent the pathogen from spreading to uninfected tissues, known as hypersensitive response (HR). When either the plant or the pathogen lacks its cognate gene, activation of the plant’s defense responses fails to occur or is delayed and does not prevent pathogen colonization. In the CitEST database, we identified 1,300 reads related to R genes in Citrus which have been reported in other plant species. These reads were translated in silico, and alignments of their amino acid sequences revealed the presence of characteristic domains and motifs that are specific to R gene classes. The description of the reads identified suggests that they function as resistance genes in citrus.
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Introduction

During their life cycle, plants are subjected to numerous and diverse threats from the outside environment. Infections by pathogenic fungi, bacteria, and viruses are among the most serious menaces that plants have to cope with (Wojtaszek, 1997). Since plants are sessile, they have developed a broad range of strategies, including genetic mechanisms to react and to protect themselves against biotic and abiotic stresses.

Genetic control of plant disease resistance often relies on the simultaneous occurrence of a resistance (R) gene in the plant genome and a specific corresponding avirulence (Avr) gene in the pathogen genome (Flor, 1971). The resistance provided by these genes is highly specific and effective only against pathogens expressing a corresponding avirulence gene. These observations are consistent with R genes encoding receptors that detect, directly or indirectly, the products of the pathogen Avr genes (Dangl and Jones, 2001). Upon pathogen recognition, the R proteins trigger defenses that often result in a hypersensitive response (HR), which leads to a rapid induction of host cell death at the site of the pathogen invasion (Noutoshi et al., 2005).

This plant response is associated with massive cellular ion fluxes, generation of reactive oxygen species, cell wall strengthening, and also with the expression of many defense proteins, including pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins (Heath, 2000).

The largest group of R genes encodes cytoplasmic proteins containing a central nucleotide binding (NBS) and a carboxyl Leu-rich repeat (LRR) domain, encoded by the NBS-LRR genes (Tör et al., 2004). This protein group is subdivided into two major subclasses: (1) those containing an amino-terminal coiled-coil (CC) domain (CC-NBS-LRR), such as RPS2, RPM1, RPS5, RPP13 (Bittner-Eddy et al., 2000) and RPP8 (McDowell et al., 1998), and (2) those containing an amino-terminal domain resembling the cytoplasmic signaling domain of the Toll and Interleukin-1 (TIR) transmembrane receptors (TIR-NBS-LRR), such as RPS4, RPP1, RPP5 and N (Whitham et al., 1994; Dangl and Jones, 2001; Tör et al., 2004). In addition, the TIR-NBS-LRR group can be divided into two subgroups depending on the presence of a C-terminal non-LRR (CNL) domain (Dodds et al., 2001).

The TIR and non-TIR NBS-LRR sequences can be distinguished by motifs internal to their NBS-domains or by a single amino acid residue in the final portion of the Kinase-2 motif, which invariably is an aspartic acid in the TIR NBS-LRR subclass, and a tryptophan in the non-TIR NBS-LRR subclass (Meyers et al., 1999). The TIR se-
quences are present in dicot species, whereas the non-TIR sequences have been reported throughout the angiosperms (Meyers et al., 1999; Pan et al., 2000). Studies have emphasized the importance of these specific domains for resistance. The LRR-kinase receptor proteins have been assigned functions in normal plant development and hormone perception as well as R function (Trotchaud et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2001). In contrast, the NBS-LRR class has been genetically linked to disease-resistance function (Nimchuk et al., 2003). Other R structures may have been derived from protein families with pleiotropic functions in plant growth and development (Nimchuk et al., 2003).

The second group of R genes contains the cytoplasmic serine-threonine kinase represented by the Pt1 genes. These genes confer resistance to the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv tomato (Martin et al., 1993). The third group of R genes encodes the receptor-like kinases (RLKs) and they contain an extracellular LRR domain with a single transmembrane spanning region and a cytoplasmic kinase domain (Tör et al., 2004). The resistance gene Xa21, which confers resistance to Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae in rice (Song et al., 1995), belongs to this group (Tör et al., 2004). The Arabidopsis genome contains 174 sequences with homology to transmembrane kinases, but only one has an assigned role in resistance (The Arabidopsis Genome Initiative, 2000).

The Cf gene family and HcrVf2 are examples in the fourth group of R genes, which are receptor-like proteins (RLPs) (Tör et al., 2004). They are similar to the RLK genes in that they encode extracellular LRRs and a C-terminal membrane anchor but lack the cytoplasmic kinase domain (Dixon et al., 1996).

In the present work, putative R genes were identified in Citrus from searches in the Citrus EST (CitEST) database.

Materials and Methods

The Citrus EST database (CitEST) was developed at the Centro APTA Citros ‘Sylvio Moreira’, in São Paulo State, Brazil. It consists of EST (expressed sequence tag) sequences, prepared from mRNAs retro-transcribed (cDNAs) from diverse citrus species, grown under different conditions (details of cDNA sequences are described in Targon et al., this issue). The CitEST database was surveyed aiming to identify putative R genes. Reads were searched by keyword and tBLASTn against the CitEST database using characterized gene product sequences from citrus as query, when available and other species, such as Arabidopsis thaliana, tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum), rice (Oryza sativa) and tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) when no citrus sequences were available in the GenBank. Reads not related to the resistance proteins or those that exhibited E-values greater than 10^-10 were excluded from the analyses. The remaining ones were clustered using the CAP3 (Huang and Madan, 1999) according to bioinformatic parameters established for all analyses of the CitEST database (Reis et al., this issue).

The deduced amino acid sequences were aligned using ClustalW (http://www2.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw/), and the alignments were shaded using Boxshade (http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/ BOX_form.html). In this software, the name of each protein is indicated on the left of the alignment, and identical amino acids are shaded in black while conservative substitutions are shaded in gray.

Results and Discussion

Numerous R genes have been cloned from a wide range of plant species, including Arabidopsis thaliana, flax (Linum usitatissimum), tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum), tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum), sugar beet (Beta vulgaris), apple (Malus domestica), rice (Oryza sativa), barley (Hordeum vulgare), and maize (Ze a may s). Sequencing of the complete Arabidopsis genome has revealed approximately 149 NBS-LRR genes (Meyers et al., 2003), while about 600 NBS-LRR genes have been identified in the rice genome (Goff et al., 2002). No other function has been ascribed to these rice genes, suggesting that all these functional members may be involved in plant defense (Ayliffe and Lagudah, 2004).

The CitEST database search resulted in 1,300 identified reads related to R genes. They formed 259 contigs and 332 singletons after clusterization, and show similarities with a total of 137 R genes of different classes: 101 NBS-LRR (including NBS-LRR, CC-NBS-LRR and TIR-NBS-LRR); 30 RLPs (receptor-like proteins); two RLKs (receptor-like kinases); two cytoplasmic Ser/Thr kinases; and two seven-transmembrane (7-TM) family of resistance proteins (this last one is not classified into classical groups). All genes identified are shown in Table S1. Below is a description of each class of the R genes studied.

NBS-LRR class

ADR1 belongs to the CC-NBS-LRR class. The over-expression of this protein produces constitutive activation of salicylic acid-dependent defense genes and conveys broad-spectrum disease resistance in A. thaliana (Grant et al., 2003). This mutant line also exhibited enhanced drought tolerance suggesting significant overlap between biotic and abiotic stress signaling networks (Chini et al., 2004). Two contigs were identified in the CitEST database, C219 and C216, which are closely related to this protein (Table S1). The contig C219 (e-116) is composed of five reads from Poncirus trifoliata bark libraries and three reads from Citrus reticulata fruit libraries, and one read from leaf libraries. The contig C216 (e = 0.0) presents 12 reads in which four are from C. reticulata and C. sinensis fruit libraries and six are from C. reticulata and P. trifoliata leaf libraries. These results show a non-specific pattern of expression of this gene in citrus plants. The alignment of these contigs with the Arabidopsis
ADR1 protein (Genbank Accession Number NP_195056) shows conservative motifs of the NBS region (P-loop, kinase2, RNBS-A, GLPL, RNBS-D, QHDD, TVS and PKAE) (Meyers et al., 1999; Cannon et al., 2002; Grant et al., 2003; Chini and Loake, 2005) as shown in Figure 1. Only the ADR1 protein family contains a glutamine (Q) instead of a methionine (M) as the third residue of the MHDV motif, and is referred to as QHDDV in this family (Chini and Loake, 2005). TVS and PKAE motifs also seem to belong to the ADR1 protein family (Chini and Loake, 2005), and are also present in the citrus contigs C216 and C219.

Figure 1 - Alignment (ClustalW) of the contigs C216 and C219 and *Arabidopsis* ADR1 (GenBank Accession Number NP_195056). Amino acids boxed in black and (*) are invariant, whereas residues shaded in gray and (_) are conserved in > 75% of the sequences. The motifs of the NBS domain (P-loop, kinase2, RNBS-A, GLPL, RNBS-D, QHDD, TVS and PKAE) are underlined.
The *Arabidopsis* AIG1 protein confers resistance to the *Pseudomonas syringae* pv *maculicola* strain ES4326 carrying the avrRpt2 gene and exhibiting RPS2 and avrRpt2-dependent induction early after infection (Reuber and Ausubel, 1996). Two contigs (C150 and C240) were identified as related to AIG1. The contig 150 (e-120) presents reads from *C. sinensis* (12 reads) and *C. reticulata* (five reads) libraries, while the contig 240 (e-119) contains two reads from *P. trifoliata* libraries. These results suggest species-specific expression. The singleton CR05-C1-102-032-F05-CT.F is similar (3e-36) to the B149 (CC-NBS-LRR) protein, which confers resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* in *Solanum bulbocastanum* (van der Vossen et al., 2003). This singleton belongs to *C. reticulata* infected with *X. fastidiosa* library, suggesting a possible role in defense against bacterial pathogens in this plant species.

The putative citrus disease resistance proteins Pt19 and 11P31 from *Citrus grandis* x *Poncirus trifoliata* (Deng et al., 2000) were also identified in the CitEST. The two singletons related to these proteins are from *C. reticulata* *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf libraries, while the contig representing the 11P31 (C235) protein contains two reads, one from *C. sinensis* genome library and the other from *P. trifoliata* *Citrus tristeza virus*-infected leaf (Figure 2), suggesting the possible expression in infection condition. The singleton similar (4e-45) to putative disease resistance TIR-NBS R4 protein from *Malus baccata* (Table S1) is from the *P. trifoliata* *Citrus tristeza virus*-infected leaf library, suggesting the possible role in plant defense in this species.

Twenty-one contigs and four singletons were found in the citrus EST database similar to the *Quercus suber* resistance protein (RPc) (NBS-LRR) (Table S1). The contig 194 (1e-42) is formed by two reads: one of them is from the *C. reticulata* *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library (Figure 2). Contig 249 (2e-50) also presents two reads and one of them is from the *P. trifoliata* *Phytophthora*-infected bark library. Those two contigs show higher similarity to RPc genes and since they are from the libraries of pathogen infected genes, we believe that those genes are disease-resistance related.

The tomato *B*5*4* resistance TIR-NBS-LRR gene specifies recognition to *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *vesicatoria* (Ballvora et al., 2001). In the CitEST database, one contig (C115) was identified as having similarity (1e-63) to this protein. This contig contains three reads from *C. sinensis* libraries: two of them are from *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf libraries and one is from the fruit library. Since *Xylella fastidiosa* and *Xanthomonas campestris* are related bacteria (Van Sluys et al., 2002), it is reasonable to infer that this gene can also provide *X. fastidiosa* resistance in citrus fruits.

Sequence coding for internally conserved domains in known resistance genes have been seen in numerous plant species. The existence of conserved motifs provides opportunities for the design of degenerate primers and the isolation of disease-resistance gene analogues (RGAs) (Noir et al., 2001) and disease resistance gene homologues (RGHs) (Cannon et al., 2002) by PCR from plant genomes. In the CitEST database, contigs and singletons similar to RGAs and RGHs were identified (Table S1). Contig 271 shows similarity (9e-46) with RGA S-9201 (CC-NBS-LRR) from *Hordeum vulgare*. This contig presents four reads, two of them are from the library of *P. trifoliata* leaf infected by *Citrus tristeza virus*. Contig 197 presents two reads from *C. reticulata* *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library (30 days after infection) (Figure 2), and is similar (3e-19) to RGC1b (NBS-LRR) from *Lactuca sativa*. Contig 231 is also similar (2e-20) to RGC1b protein and contains only two reads: one from *P. trifoliata* *Citrus tristeza virus*-infected leaf library and the other is derived from the *C. sinensis* *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library. The contig 149 is highly similar (e-114) to the RGA2 (CC-NBS-LRR) protein from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. This contig contains six reads related to all species sequenced; however, the reads from *C. reticulata* and *P. trifoliata* are from the *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library and *Phytophthora*-infected bark library, respectively. These data suggest the disease resistance role of these reads.

The two CC-NBS-LRR class genes *RPM1* from *A. thaliana* and *RPG1*-B from *Glycine max* confer resistance to races of *Pseudomonas syringae*, the causative agent of bacterial blight, that express the avirulence gene *avrB* (Keen and Buzzel, 1991; Innes et al., 1993). Searches in the CitEST identified two contigs (C95 and C210) and one singleton related to RPM1, also one contig (C10) and one sing-
singleton with similarity to RPG1-B (Table S1). Contig 95 (e-102) contains most of reads from *C. sinensis* fruit libraries and contig 210 (2e-69) presents a tendency to be expressed in the fruit *C. reticulata* libraries. Contig 10 (e-108) shows a tendency to express in the *C. sinensis* and *C. reticulata* non-infected leaf libraries. The only singleton similar (4e-26) to RPG1-B is derived from *C. sinensis X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf. These sequences contain the characteristic domains and motifs related to these proteins (van der Biezen and Jones, 1998) (Figures 3 and 4).

A great number of contigs and singletons with similarities to *RPP* genes (Recognition of *Peronospora parasitica*) from *Arabidopsis thaliana* resistant to *P. parasitica* that causes downy mildew (Rehmany et al.,...
2005) were found in the CitEST database (Table S1). Similarities to RPP13 (CC-NBS-LRR) resulted in four contigs (C189, C225, C196 and C139). Contig 189 (1e-49) is formed by two reads from leaf libraries; one of these reads is from *C. reticulata* derived from *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf. Contig 225 (5e-39) contains six reads; three of them are from *C. reticulata* *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf libraries. Contig 196 (2e-54) shows two reads: one is from *C. sinensis* fruit library and the other is from *C. reticulata* *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library (30 days after infection). Contig 139 (1e-31) contains two reads, one is from the *C. sinensis* genome library and one from the leaf *C. reticulata* *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library. Data indicated a possible species-specific expression pattern of this gene.

The *Arabidopsis thaliana* RPS4 (TIR-NBS-LRR) protein confers resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* carrying avrRps4 (Zhang and Gassmann, 2003), and in the CitEST database there are sequences similarities with this protein (Table S1). Contig 117 (3e-30) is formed by three reads, one from non-infected *Citrus aurantifolia* library and two from *C. sinensis* *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf libraries, suggesting a different pattern of species-dependent expression and a possible role in *C. sinensis* defense.

*Vel1* and *Ve2* genes from tomato confer race-specific resistance to the pathogenic fungi *Verticillium albo-atrum* in potato (Kawchuk et al., 2001). One contig (C113), with similarity (3e-75) to the putative Ve2 from rice was identified in the CitEST database. This contig consists of six reads from *C. sinensis* and *C. reticulata* *Xylella*-infected leaf libraries (Figure 2), which also suggests a putative role in pathogen defense.

Reads similar to other *R* genes that confer resistance to fungus were also found in the CitEST database. For example, there are reads with similarities to *I2* locus from tomato, which confer resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* sp *lycopersici*. In this locus, six homologous were identified, including the *I2C-1, I2C-2* (Simons et al., 1998) and *I2C-5* genes (Sela-Buurlage et al., 2001). In the CitEST, two singletons were identified for each of these proteins.

The *Citrus tristeza virus* (CTV) is one of the most important pathogens of citrus (Bernet et al., 2004). A single dominant NBS-LRR class gene, *Ctv*, provides broad-spectrum resistance to CTV in *Poncirus trifoliate* L. Raf. (Gmitter et al., 1996). In the CitEST database, 28 contigs and 35 singletons with similarity to *Ctv* gene (Table S1) were found in *Citrus reticulata, Citrus sinensis, Citrus aurantium*, and *Poncirus trifoliate* libraries. Contig 181 is highly similar (e = 0.0) to CTV resistance protein, and consists of two reads from the *C. reticulata* leaf library derived from *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf (30 days after infection). Contig 65 (3e-54) consists of seven reads; three of these are from the *C. sinensis* *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library (30 days after infection). Contig 138 (e = 0.0) is formed by three reads: two from the *C. sinensis* genomic library and one from the leaf *C. sinensis* *X. fastidiosa*-infected library. Contig 193 (e-160) is composed of four reads: one from the fruit *C. sinensis* library and three from the *C. reticulata* library from *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf (30 days after infection). Contig 28 (3e-47) consists of two reads: one is from the *C. sinensis* fruit library and the other is from the *C. reticulata* *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library (60 days after infection), suggesting its possible expression in *C. reticulata* *X. fastidiosa*-infected tissue. The possible expression of this protein in *C. sinensis* is not clear because, in this species, the read is from the genomic library. Contig 54, similar (9e-38) to RPP5 (TIR-NBS-LRR) consisted of three reads, two of them from *C. sinensis* fruit libraries and one from the *C. reticulata* *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library. Data indicated a possible species-specific expression pattern of this gene.

Contigs and singletons in this work also were identified with similarities to *R* genes related to virus resistance, such as the *KRI, KRA*, 3gg2 and *Rsv3* mosaic resistance in soybean (Jeong et al., 2002; He et al., 2003; Hayes et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2004), *ry-1* resistant to *Potato virus Y* (Vidal et al., 2002) and *N* gene for mosaic virus resistance in tobacco and *Arabidopsis* (Hammond-Kosack and Jones, 1997). In the CitEST, contig 68 shows similarity (1e-44) with the *ry-1* (TIR-NBS-LRR) protein. This contig comprises four reads: three of them are from the *C. sinensis* and *C. reticulata* fruit libraries and one is from the *C. sinensis* library derived from *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf. The single-
ton with similarity (6e-35) to KR1 protein is from the C. sinensis X. fastidiosa-infected leaf library.

The N protein, or rather, an N protein-containing complex, is hypothesized to specifically recognize a TMV (Tobacco mosaic virus) protein (p50) and triggers signal transduction cascades, leading to the induction of HR, restriction of virus spread, and onset of SAR (systemic acquired resistance) (Liu et al., 2004). Nineteen contigs and thirteen singletons were identified in the CitEST database with similarity to the N (TIR-NBS-LRR) protein from Arabidopsis thaliana and Nicotiana tabacum (Table S1). Among those contigs, contig 268 (5e-51) is formed by eight reads, four of them are from the C. sinensis leaf X. fastidiosa-infected library, in an early phase of infection. Contig 1 (1e-39) presents three reads: two are from the C. sinensis X. fastidiosa-infected leaf library (in the early stage of infection) and one is from the C. reticulata fruit library. In contrast, contig 182 (2e-37) consists of two reads from C. reticulata X. fastidiosa-infected leaf libraries and one from the C. sinensis fruit library, suggesting a species and tissue-dependent expression of these proteins. Contig 3 (3e-42) shows a species-dependent expression and consists of three C. sinensis reads, two are from the X. fastidiosa-infected leaf library in an early stage of infection, and one is from the fruit library. Finally, contig 122 (3e-30) presents a specific expression pattern, and contains two reads from C. sinensis X. fastidiosa-infected leaf libraries (Figure 2), suggesting a possible role of this protein, similar to viral protein defense, in C. sinensis defense against bacteria.

RLPs class

Tomato Cf genes confer resistance to leaf mold caused by Cladosporium fulvum and belong to the RLPs group (Joosten and De Wit, 1999). Contigs and singletons related to a wide variety of Cf genes were found in the CitEST (Table S1). Contig 52 is similar (4e-86) to Cf-2.1 from rice and consists of three reads from C. sinensis, two of them are from the X. fastidiosa-infected leaf library (30 days after infection) and one is from the fruit library, showing a possible species specific expression. The protein Cf-2.2 identified from L. pimpinellifolium is represented by seven contigs and one singleton. Contig 136 (e-129) presents eight reads. Five are from the C. sinensis X. fastidiosa-infected leaf library (30 days after infection), two are from C. reticulata and one is from C. sinensis fruit libraries, suggesting a possible role in C. sinensis resistance. Contig 204 (2e-54) shows expression only in X. fastidiosa-infected leaf libraries, and consists of two reads from C. sinensis and one from C. reticulata (Figure 6), indicating specific expression in bacteria-infection condition in both species. Contig 232 (1e-71) does not show a pattern of

Figure 5 - Alignment (ClustalW) of the contigs C2 and C181 and Poncirus trifoliata Cv protein (GenBank Accession Numbers AAN62350 and AAN62353). Amino acids boxed in black and (*) are invariant, whereas residues shaded in gray and (.) are conserved in > 75% of the sequences. Characteristic motifs are underlined.

Figure 6 - Transformed data representing the relative abundance of EST by library, expressed in 10^4 reads, in contigs related to RLPs class. CS: Citrus sinensis; CR: Citrus reticulata; PT: Poncirus trifoliata; C1: leaf cDNA; 100: non-infected material; 101: infected with Xylella fastidiosa; 102: 30 days after X. fastidiosa infection; 103: 60 days after X. fastidiosa infection.
expression and consists of two reads, one is from the *C. reticulata* fruit library and the other is from *P. trifoliata* *Citrus tristeza virus*-infected leaf. Contigs 226 and 200 are examples of the Cf-5 protein from *L. esculentum*, which is also present in the CitEST database (Table S1). Contig 226 (1e-65) shows a tendency of expression in *P. trifoliata* *Citrus tristeza virus*-infected leaf and *Phytophthora*-infected bark, suggesting that this protein is important in defense against different types of pathogens (virus and fungus). Contig 200 (8e-61) shows species and tissue specific patterns of expression; this contig presents only two reads and they are from the *C. reticulata X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library (60 days after infection) (Figure 6), indicating a possible role in *C. reticulata* bacterial defense.

The Cf-4 and Cf-9 genes are members of the Hcr9 (homologues of *C. fulvum* resistance gene Cf-9) family (Parniske et al., 1997; Thomas et al., 1997; Takken et al., 1999), whereas the Cf-2 and Cf-5 genes belong to the Hcr2 subgroup (Dixon et al., 1996, 1998). In the citrus EST database, putative proteins are related to Hcr2 and Hcr9 groups from *Lycopersicon* species (Table S1). The contig 144, similar (4e-55) to Hcr2-0B, presents reads from leaf *C. sinensis* libraries, three of them are from juvenile plants and two are from leprose virus-infected plants (with *Brevivalpus* and CILV), suggesting a role in the early infection of *C. sinensis*. The contigs 184 (9e-76) and C202 (2e-60) are related to the Hcr2-5D protein, and these contigs present two reads from *C. reticulata X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf libraries (60 days after infection) (Figure 6), suggesting a pattern of expression that is species and tissue specific to disease resistance in this plant. With regard to the Hcr9-4C protein, one contig C61 (2e-66) is observed, which contains four reads and a tendency of expression in *C. sinensis X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf (30 days after infection), also indicating a role in disease defense.

*HcrVf* genes confer resistance to the apple scab pathogen *Venturia inaequalis* (Belfanti et al., 2004) and they are RLPS. In the citrus database, contigs and singletons are related to a wide variety of these genes (Table S1). Contig 264 (7e-92) shows similarity with the *Malus floribunda* HcrVf2 protein, and presents a tendency of expression in *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf libraries from *C. sinensis* (30 days after infection). Contig 239 (5e-64) is related to *Malus floribunda* HcrVf3 protein and presents three reads; two of them are made up by *P. trifoliata* from *Citrus tristeza virus*-infected leaf and one is from non-infected leaf from *C. aurantifolia*, suggesting a role in *P. trifoliata* disease resistance.

The RPP27 protein from *A. thaliana* provides resistance to the oomycete pathogen *Peronospora parasitica* and shows extensive homology to tomato Cf proteins (Tör et al., 2004). In the CitEST, 15 contigs and 14 singletons are related to this protein (Table S1). Among these contigs, four (C125, C198, C133 and C9) show specific expression in *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf libraries. The contigs 125 (e-101) and 133 (1e-24) did not show a species specific expression pattern. Contig 125 presents four reads, three are from *C. reticulata* and one is from *C. sinensis* libraries; contig 133 contains two reads from *C. sinensis* and one from *C. reticulata* (Figure 6). The contigs 9 (5e-46) and 198 (4e-23) show species specific expression, since contig 9 presents two reads only from *C. sinensis* and contig 198 is formed by two reads from *C. reticulata* (Figure 6). Among the singletons, 11 are from *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaves and three are from the fruit of *C. sinensis* and *C. reticulata* libraries. These results suggest the involvement of these proteins in *C. sinensis* and *C. reticulata* disease resistance.

The EILP (Takemoto et al., 2000), EIX1 and EIX2 (Ron and Avni, 2004) genes also have homology to the Cf resistance genes in tomato. An ethylene-inducing xylanase (EIX) is a potent elicitor of plant defense responses in specific cultivars of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) and tomato (Ron and Avni, 2004). Contig 4 is similar to EIX1 (1e-61) and despite this protein being related to fungal resistance in other species (Ron and Avni, 2004), in citrus EST database this contig is formed by four reads from leaf *X. fastidiosa*-infected libraries of *C. sinensis* (Figure 6), suggesting the possible role also in defense against bacterial pathogens.

**RLKs**

The genes *Xa21* and *Xa26* from rice provide resistance to a broad spectrum of bacterial blight pathogen *Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae* strains (Li et al., 2001; Sun et al., 2004). The resistance activity of *Xa21* is developmentally controlled. Its resistance increases progressively from being susceptible at the juvenile stage to fully resistant at the adult stage (Sun et al., 2004), while *Xa26* confers resistance to *Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae* at both seedling and adult stages in rice (Yang et al., 2003) and is constitutively expressed (Sun et al., 2004). In the CitEST database, 22 contigs and 19 singletons (Table S1) similar to *Xa21* that are distributed into different libraries were found. Contig 116 (2e-80) presents three *C. sinensis* reads: two of them are from *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf libraries and one is from the fruit library. Contig 165 (2e-75) shows tissue specific expression. While in *C. sinensis* the gene is present in the *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library (one read), in *C. reticulata*, there is one read in the non-infected leaf library; the opposite occurs in contig 91 (2e-37). Contigs 23 (e-111) and 129 (3e-32) show species specific patterns of expression; these contigs present only *C. sinensis* reads. In contig 23, three of them are from the *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library in the early stage of infection, and one is from the fruit library (Figure 7). In contig 129, two reads are from the *X. fastidiosa*-infected leaf library (30 days after infection) and one is from the fruit library, indicating the action in *C. sinensis* defense of these proteins. Contig 21 (5e-94) does not show a pattern of expression. This contig presents two reads: one from the *C. sinensis* fruit library and other from *P. trifoliata* *Citrus tristeza virus*-infected leaf. Contig 191
(8e-52) shows a pattern of expression in X. fastidiosa-infected leaf libraries from C. sinensis (one read) and C. reticulata (one read) (Figure 7). The contigs 6, 137 and 233 show a pattern of expression that is specific and tissue specific. While the contigs 6 (2e-76) and 137 (2e-50) are formed by four and two reads, respectively - they all are from C. sinensis X. fastidiosa-infected leaf libraries - contig 233 (6e-56) contains only reads from P. trifoliatia Citrus tristeza virus-infected leaf library (Figure 7), suggesting a role in plant disease resistance in these species.

Five contigs and one singleton related to Xa26 were found in the citrus database. The reads are distributed into all different citrus libraries. Contig 110 (e-153) presents 11 reads. These reads are derived from X. fastidiosa-infected leaf libraries of C. sinensis while the reads from C. reticulata and P. trifoliatia have the tendency of expression in non-infected leaf libraries. Contig 120 (4e-71) comprises reads from C. sinensis fruit libraries (two reads) and from C. sinensis and C. reticulata leaf libraries (four reads), showing a tendency of expression in the X. fastidiosa-infected leaf libraries in these species. Contig 199 (4e-75) shows a pattern of expression in X. fastidiosa-infected leaf libraries from C. sinensis (two reads) and C. reticulata (one read) (Figure 7), indicating a possible relation to plant defense.

Cytoplasmic Ser/Thr kinase class

The Pto gene confers resistance to strains of Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato, expressing avrPto and it was introgressed into the cultivated species L. esculentum from the related species L. pimpinellifolium (Pilowsky and Zutra, 1982; Martin et al., 1993). Pto is a small gene. The open reading frame (ORF) consists of 963 nucleotides with no introns and encodes a functional serine-threonine kinase (Martin et al., 1993; Loh and Martin, 1995). In the CitEST database, three contigs were found that were similar to this protein (Table S1). Among them, contig 237 shows similarity (7e-37) with the Capsicum chinense Pto protein. This contig presents two reads and they are from the P. trifoliatia Citrus tristeza virus-infected leaf library, suggesting that in this species there is a possible role in plant defense against pathogen, as in Capsicum chinense.

Seven-transmembrane (7-TM) family

The protein of the disease resistance gene of barley, MLO, does not contain the recognizable structural domains of most of the known plant R gene products (Liu and Wang, 2002). This protein is the prototype of a family of seven-transmembrane (7-TM) proteins that is found in flower plants and bryophytes, but not in prokaryotes, yeast, or animals (Büsches et al., 1997; Devoto et al., 1999). In the dicot plant species Arabidopsis and the monocot barley, the presence of specific isoforms of the family of MLO proteins is required for successful host-cell invasion by ascomycete powdery mildew fungi species (Panstruga, 2005). barley genotypes lacking functional MLO, either due to natural genetic variation (Piffanelli et al., 2004) or induced lesions in the Mlo gene (Piffanelli et al., 2002), are resistant against all known isolates of the fungal pathogen. In the CitEST database, two contigs and four singletons were found (Table S1) which are similar to the MLO protein from A. thaliana and Oryza sativa. Contig 236 (2e-59), related to the MLO protein from A. thaliana, presents two reads: one of them is from the P. trifoliatia Citrus tristeza virus-infected leaf library and the other is from C. sinensis fruit library. Among the singletons, one of them is derived from the C. sinensis X. fastidiosa-infected leaf library (2e-61), and the others are from non-infected tissues.

Concluding Remarks

Even though plants are constantly threatened by potentially pathogenic microbes, surprisingly, most of them appear generally healthy. Most likely, this healthy state is due to preformed physical barriers as well as to an elaborate surveillance system of plasma membrane-anchored and possibly also cytoplasmic immune receptors. They enable plants to quickly recognize the potential pathogen by detection of conserved molecular structures, as these proteins are produced from R genes. This recognition mechanism is the first cellular signal that will trigger a variety of biochemical responses, which will result in the activation of defense mechanisms, lead to a HR development, and ultimately prevent the dispersion of the pathogen through the unin-
sistent with the function of these genes, suggesting a possible role in the plant pathogen defense. Further studies regarding the expression and specific functions of these identified genes would lead to a better understanding of the genetic and biochemical basis of pathogen resistance in citrus and other plant species. Moreover, data described herein represent a useful knowledge base for studies on the manipulation of particular resistance proteins in an attempt to enhance or to induce plant disease resistance. In order to achieve these goals, it is necessary to confirm the protein expressions and functional characterization of these genes, and also to try to overcome the diseases not only in citrus species but also in other species of plants.
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Table S1 - Number of contigs and singletons of the CitEST database, similar to known R genes.

| Ortholog name | Genbank Accession number | Best e-value | Number contigs* | Singletons |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|
| NBS-LRR/NBS/LRR |                          |              |                 |            |
| AIG1          | AAM65167 *Arabidopsis thaliana* | e-120 | 2 (C150; C240) | 3          |
| B8            | AAK61315 *Phaseolus vulgaris* | 3e-29       | 0               | 1          |
| B11           | AAK61320 *Phaseolus vulgaris* | 3e-18       | 1               | 1          |
| cD7           | AAD13036 *Phaseolus vulgaris* | 1e-17       | 0               | 3          |
| cD8           | AAD13037 *Phaseolus vulgaris* | 3e-17       | 1               | 2          |
| clone 82-4    | AAO89149 *Gossypium barbadense* | 6e-30       | 0               | 1          |
| clone 409     | AAL00995 *Theobroma cacao*   | 2e-33       | 0               | 1          |
| Ctv           | AAN62335; AAN62348; AAN62350; AAN62351; AAN62352; AAN62353 | 0.0 | 28 (C2; C12; C28; C65; C138; C181; C193; C201; C250) | 35 |
|               | Poncirus trifoliata        |             |                 |            |
| I2            | AAD27815 *Lycopersicon esculentum* | 3e-30       | 0               | 3          |
| I2C-1         | AAB63274 *Lycopersicon esculentum* | 2e-32       | 0               | 2          |
| I2C-2         | AAB63275 *Lycopersicon esculentum* | 3e-17       | 0               | 2          |
| I2C-5         | AAL01986 *Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium* | 1e-28       | 0               | 2          |
| I2GA-SH194-2  | AAW48302 *Solanum tuberosum* | 2e-30       | 1               | 0          |
| Accession | Accession Number | Species                           | Score | Rank | Position |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|------|----------|
| J71       | AAK61321         | Phaseolus vulgaris                | 9e-41 | 1    | 0        |
| J78       | AAK61318         | Phaseolus vulgaris                | 2e-10 | 0    | 1        |
| KR1       | AF327903         | Glycine max                       | 6e-35 | 1    | 1        |
| KR4       | AAO15846         | Glycine max                       | 1e-14 | 1    | 0        |
| MHD30     | AF369833         | Vitis vinifera                    | 2e-51 | 0    | 2        |
| MHD106    | AAM21288         | Vitis vinifera                    | 2e-28 | 0    | 3        |
| MsR1      | AAN62760         | Medicago sativa                   | 2e-43 | 2    | 0        |
| PM3b      | BAD53385         | Oryza sativa                      | 2e-19 | 1    | 0        |
| Pt3       | AAN08169         | Citrus grandis x Poncirus trifoliata | 2e-66 | 1    | 0        |
| Pt6       | AAN08166         | Citrus grandis x Poncirus trifoliata | 2e-57 | 1    | 0        |
| Pt14      | AAN08168         | Citrus grandis x Poncirus trifoliata | 3e-28 | 0    | 1        |
| Pt19      | AAN08179         | Citrus grandis x Poncirus trifoliata | 7e-34 | 0    | 1        |
| RCa2      | AAO38214         | Manihot esculenta                 | 1e-27 | 0    | 3        |
| RGA       | CAD56833         | Lens culinaris                    | 7e-39 | 2    | 1        |
| RGA-II24  | AF516646         | Malus prunifolia                  | 2e-12 | 0    | 1        |
| RGA s-reg19 | CAD45035       | Hordeum vulgare                   | 2e-16 | 1    | 2        |
| rga S-120 | AJ507100         | Hordeum vulgare                   | 1e-17 | 1    | 0        |
| rga S-226 | CAD45027         | Hordeum vulgare                   | 6e-13 | 0    | 2        |
| rga S-9201 | CAD45029        | Hordeum vulgare                   | 9e-46 | 3    | (C271) 5 |
| RGA1      | AAP45163         | Solanum bulbocastanum             | e-106 | 1    | 0        |
| RGA2      | CAA72178         | Arabidopsis thaliana              | e-114 | 1    | (C149) 0 |
| Gene  | Accession   | Species            | Score  | Coefficient | Rank |
|-------|-------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|------|
| RGA2  | AAP86601    | Solanum bulbocastanum | 6e-14  | 0           | 3    |
| RGA3  | AAP45165    | Solanum bulbocastanum | 1e-52  | 1           | 1    |
| RGA4  | AAP45166    | Solanum bulbocastanum | 2e-12  | 0           | 3    |
| RGA9  | AAU89643    | Poncirus trifoliata  | 5e-31  | 0           | 1    |
| RGA22 | AY746418    | Poncirus trifoliata  | 8e-19  | 1           | 0    |
| RGC1b | AF017751    | Lactuca sativa      | 4e-34  | 1           | 0    |
| RGC2  | AAQ72576    | Lactuca sativa      | 6e-54  | 5           | 6    |
| RGC2a | AF017752    | Lactuca sativa      | 8e-11  | 0           | 2    |
| RGC2B | AAD03156    | Lactuca sativa      | 7e-11  | 0           | 1    |
| RGC2J | AAD03671    | Lactuca sativa      | 9e-11  | 0           | 1    |
| RGC2K | AAD03672    | Lactuca sativa      | 4e-27  | 0           | 4    |
| RGC2K | AAP44460    | Lactuca serriola    | 4e-22  | 2           | 0    |
| RGC20 | AAD03673    | Lactuca sativa      | 9e-28  | 0           | 4    |
| RGH1  | AAO37645    | Manihot esculenta   | 1e-31  | 3           | 4    |
| RGH1  | BAD08985    | Oryza sativa        | 5e-25  | 0           | 3    |
| RGH2  | AAO37646    | Manihot esculenta   | 7e-33  | 0           | 1    |
| RPc   | AY526717    | Quercus suber       | 5e-81  | 21          | 4    |
| RPH8A | BAC15497    | Oryza sativa        | 2e-11  | 0           | 1    |
| Rsv3  | AAL76166    | Glycine max         | 4e-58  | 1           | 2    |
| SlVe1 | AAP20229    | Solanum lycopersicoides | 2e-29  | 0           | 1    |
| Ve1   | AAK58682    | Lycopersicon esculentum | 9e-28  | 0           | 2    |
| Ve2   | XP_550023   | Oryza sativa        | 3e-75  | 1           | 0    |
| YR1   | AAN03738    | Oryza sativa        | 3e-27  | 0           | 1    |
| YR5   | AAN03740    | Oryza sativa        | 5e-12  | 0           | 1    |
| Accession | Description | E-value | P | Q |
|-----------|-------------|---------|---|---|
| YR9       | AAK93796 *Oryza sativa* | 3e-12   | 0 | 1 |
| 3gG2      | AY518517 *Glycine Max*  | 2e-33   | 1 | 1 |
| 5gG3      | AY518518 Glycine Max    | 2e-81   | 3 | 0 |
| 11P31     | AAN08158 *Citrus grandis x Poncirus trifoliata* | 8e-41   | 1 (C235) | 1 |
| BAB02054  | *Arabidopsis thaliana* leucine-rich repeat disease resistance protein-like | 3e-43   | 0 | 1 |
| AAO00824; BAB10347; T46170 | *Arabidopsis thaliana* (disease resistance protein) | 9e-16   | 0 | 3 |
| AAD50010; AAG51872; AAG51873; AAF01520; AAO50553; BAC42094; CAB40943 | *Arabidopsis thaliana* (putative disease resistance protein) | 4e-91   | 2 | 8 |
| CAA06201  | *Glycine Max* (resistance protein) | 6e-12   | 0 | 1 |
| AAG21897; NP_915900 *Oryza sativa* | (putative resistance protein) | 5e-15   | 0 | 2 |
| NP_908793  | *Oryza sativa* (putative stripe rust resistance protein) | 6e-10   | 0 | 1 |
| AAF78445  | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | e-122   | 1 | 9 |

**CC-NBS-LRR**

| Accession | Description | E-value | P | Q |
|-----------|-------------|---------|---|---|
| ADR1      | NP_195056 *Arabidopsis thaliana* | 0.0     | 2 (C216; C219) | 2 |
| B149      | AAR29073 *Solanum bulbocastanum* | 3e-36   | 0 | 1 |
| clone | Ha-clone | Gene | Species | Bit Score | Identity | Similarity |
|-------|----------|------|---------|-----------|----------|------------|
| NTIR3B | AY490797 | *Helianthus annuus* | 6e-95 | 1 | 0 |
| MLA6 | NP_919661 | *Oryza sativa* | 5e-10 | 0 | 1 |
| RPG1-B | AAR19097 | *Glycine max* | e-108 | 1 (C10) | 1 |
| RPM1 | AAD41050 | *Arabidopsis lyrata* | 3e-12 | 0 | 1 |
| RPM1 | AAT09451 | *Prunus persica* | e-102 | 2 (C95; C210) | 0 |
| RPP8 | AAP82810 | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | 0.0 | 5 | 2 |
| RPP13 | NP_190237 | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | e-158 | 8 (C189; C225; C196; C139) | 10 |
| RPS2 | AAK96709 | *Arabidopsis thaliana*; *Arabidopsis lyrata*; *Brassica oleracea* | 1e-13 | 0 | 3 |
| | AAM90858 | *Arabidopsis lyrata*; | |
| | AAF19803 | *Brassica oleracea* | |
| RPS2 | BAD53266 | *Oryza sativa* | 4e-37 | 2 | 0 |
| StEIG-A51 | AB124833 | *Solanum tuberosum* | 3e-44 | 2 | 1 |

**TIR-NBS-LRR**

| clone | AAR21295 | *Lycopersicon esculentum* | 1e-63 | 1 (C115) | 0 |
|-------|----------|---------------------------|-------|----------|---|
| LM6   | AAG09951 | *Glycine max*             | 3e-23 | 0 | 1 |
| L20a  | AAG48132 | *Glycine max*             | 6e-12 | 0 | 1 |
| MRGH63| AAO45749 | *Cucumis melo*            | 1e-29 | 1 | 0 |
| N     | CAA16928; CAB40942; BAB08447; BAB11635 | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | 0.0 | 20 (C1; C3; C122; C182; C268) | 12 |
| N     | AAT37497; BAD12594 | *Nicotiana tabacum* | e-104 | 3 | 1 |
| NBS7  | AAL07542 | *Helianthus annuus*       | 2e-22 | 1 | 0 |
| Protein ID | Accession | Species | Similarity Score | Identity | E-value | Databases | RLP class |
|------------|-----------|---------|------------------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| NBS9       | AAL07544  | *Helianthus annuus* | 7e-14 | 0 | 1 |
| NL25       | CAA08797  | *Solanum tuberosum* | 6e-58 | 0 | 1 |
| PU3        | AAL07535  | *Helianthus annuus* | 9e-30 | 0 | 1 |
| RPP1       | CAB96660  | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | 2e-43 | 1 | 1 |
| RPP1-WsA   | AAC72977  | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | 2e-12 | 0 | 1 |
| RPP1-WsB   | NP_197270 | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | 3e-50 | 0 | 3 |
| RPP5       | CAB40943  | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | 4e-72 | 3 (C54) | 1 |
| RPS4       | BAB11393  | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | 2e-42 | 6 (C117) | 5 |
| RRS1       | Q9FH83    | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | 7e-17 | 0 | 1 |
| ry-1       | CAC82811  | *Solanum tuberosum* | 2e-61 | 3 (C68) | 2 |
| R4         | AAQ93076  | *Malus baccata* | 4e-45 | 0 | 1 |
| R11        | AAQ93077  | *Malus x domestica* | 9e-70 | 1 | 0 |
| NP_176305  |          | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | 5e-82 | 3 | 2 |

(disease resistance protein (TIR class))

| RLP class |
|-----------|
| Cf-2.1     | BAC22244 | *Oryza sativa* | 4e-86 | 3 (C52) | 8 |
| Cf-2.1     | T10504   | *Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium* | 4e-54 | 0 | 14 |
| Cf-2.2     | AAC15780 | *Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium* | e-129 | 7 (C136; C204; C232) | 1 |
| Cf-2       | BAB64604 | *Oryza sativa* | 6e-30 | 0 | 3 |
| Cf2/Cf5    | CAD42634 | *Hordeum vulgare* | 9e-10 | 0 | 1 |
| Cf2/Cf5    | NP_917533 | *Oryza sativa* | 2e-11 | 0 | 1 |
| Cf-4       | CAA05268 | *Lycopersicon hirsutum* | 2e-26 | 1 | 0 |
| Cf-4A      | CAA73187 | *Lycopersicon esculentum* | 2e-37 | 1 | 0 |
| Cf-5       | AAN15323 | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | e-166 | 1 | 1 |
| ID     | Accession   | Species                        | E-value | Position | Notes                  |
|--------|-------------|--------------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------|
| Cf-5   | AAC78591    | *Lycopersicon esculentum*      | 1e-65   | 7 (C200; C226) | 5                      |
| Cf-9   | CAA05274    | *Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium*| 2e-54   | 1        | 3                      |
| Cf-9   | AAP03881    | *Nicotiana tabacum*            | 9e-31   | 0        | 3                      |
| Cf-9   | BAD54033    | *Oryza sativa*                 | 1e-38   | 2        | 0                      |
| EILP   | BAA88636    | *Nicotiana tabacum*            | 4e-38   | 2        | 0                      |
| Eix1   | AY359965    | *Lycopersicon esculentum*      | 1e-61   | 1 (C4)   | 0                      |
| Eix2   | AY359966    | *Lycopersicon esculentum*      | 4e-72   | 1        | 0                      |
| HcrVf1 | CAC40825    | *Malus floribunda*             | e-104   | 2        | 4                      |
| HcrVf2 | CAC40826    | *Malus floribunda*             | 7e-92   | 5 (C264) | 4                      |
| HcrVf3 | CAC40827    | *Malus floribunda*             | e-111   | 3 (C239) | 3                      |
| Hcr2-0A| AAC78592    | *Lycopersicon esculentum*      | 8e-46   | 1        | 4                      |
| Hcr2-0B| AAC78593    | *Lycopersicon esculentum*      | 4e-55   | 2 (C144) | 6                      |
| Hcr2-2A| AAC78594    | *Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium*| 6e-25   | 1        | 0                      |
| Hcr2-5B| AAC78595    | *Lycopersicon esculentum*      | 5e-34   | 1        | 0                      |
| Hcr2-5D| AAC78596    | *Lycopersicon esculentum*      | 9e-76   | 4 (C184; C202) | 8                      |
| Hcr9-4C| CAA05267    | *Lycopersicon hirsutum*        | 2e-66   | 1 (C61)  | 3                      |
| Hcr9-9D| CAA05275    | *Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium*| 9e-30   | 0        | 1                      |
| Hcr9-NL0D| AAD13301   | *Lycopersicon esculentum*      | 6e-95   | 1        | 2                      |
| Hcr9-SC0A| AAD13305   | *Lycopersicon esculentum*      | 1e-13   | 0        | 1                      |
| Peru 2 | AAV41396    | *Lycopersicon peruvianum*      | 8e-34   | 1        | 0                      |
| RPP27  | CAE51864    | *Arabidopsis thaliana*         | e-101   | 15 (C9; C125; C133; C198) | 14 |
| **RLK class**          | Accession Number | Species               | E-Value | Contigs (C) | Position |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------------|----------|
| Xa21                   | AAU44210; BAD69455; NP_919177; XP_464646; XP_464648; XP_464649; XP_468209; XP_481680 | *Oryza sativa*       | e-125   | 22 (C6; C21; C23; C91; C116; C129; C137; C165; C191; C233) | 19       |
| Xa26                   | AY364476         | *Oryza sativa*        | e-153   | 5 (C110; C120; C199) | 1        |

| **Cytoplasmic Ser/Thr kinase class** | Accession Number | Species               | E-Value | Contig (C) | Position |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------|----------|
| Pto                                 | AAQ82657         | *Capsicum chinense*   | 7e-37   | 1 (C237)   | 0        |
| Pto                                 | AAF76306         | *Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium* | 6e-66   | 2          | 0        |

| **Seven-transmembrane (7-TM) family** | Accession Number | Species               | E-Value | Contig (C) | Position |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------|----------|
| Mlo                                  | AAM45040; NP_201398; NP_565902; O49621 | *Arabidopsis thaliana* | 2e-59   | 1 (C236)   | 3        |
| Mlo                                  | AAG46114; AAN17391 | *Oryza sativa*        | 2e-61   | 1          | 4        |

*Between parentheses are represented the contigs (C) commented in the Results and Discussion.*