Application of Whole Exome Sequencing and Functional Annotations to Identify Genetic Variants Associated with Marfan Syndrome
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Abstract: Marfan syndrome (MFS) is a rare disease that affects connective tissue, which causes abnormalities in several organ systems including the heart, eyes, bones, and joints. The autosomal dominant disorder was found to be strongly associated with FBN1, TGFBR1, and TGFBR2 mutations. Although multiple genetic mutations have been reported, data from Asian populations are still limited. As a result, we utilized the whole exome sequencing (WES) technique to identify potential pathogenic variants of MFS in a Taiwan cohort. In addition, a variety of annotation databases were applied to identify the biological functions as well as the potential mechanisms of candidate genes. In this study, we confirmed the pathogenicity of FBN1 to MFS. Our results indicated that TTN and POMT1 may be likely related to MFS phenotypes. Furthermore, we found nine unique variants highly shared in a MFS family cohort, of which eight are novel variants worthy of further investigation.
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1. Introduction

Marfan syndrome (MFS) is a connective tissue disease with an estimated prevalence rate of 10.2/100,000 in Taiwan [1]. Typical characteristics of MFS patients include a tall and thin stature, long limbs, pectus, lens dislocation, overly flexible joints, and scoliosis. Despite ocular and musculoskeletal deformities, the disease most significantly manifests in the cardiovascular system. Valvular disorders and aortic aneurysm are common among patients, which may result in the progression of aortic dissection, and the rupture of the aortic root has been the leading cause of mortality [2]. Since there is currently no cure for MFS, treatment strategies focus mostly on preventing fatal complications. Prophylactic medications such as β-blockade, calcium channel blockers (CCB), or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) have been used to reduce hemodynamic stress on the aortic root. Surgical replacement of the heart valves or aortic root is necessary for patients with a more
severe condition [3]. Diagnosis of MFS relies mainly on Ghent nosology. It provides a set of defined criteria to describe the clinical signs, family history, and pathogenic mutations [4]. Transthoracic echocardiography [5] and magnetic resonance imaging [6] could be ordered in addition to assess cardiovascular abnormalities. With the advancement of molecular diagnostics, physicians can also identify potential MFS patients more efficiently and accurately by searching known mutation sites [7].

Previous studies have found FBN1, TGFBR1, and TGFBR2 to be notable genes associated with the pathogenesis of MFS. FBN1 encoding fibrillin-1 is an essential protein for forming microfibrils. Mutations in FBN1 results in the malformation of the extracellular matrix, which leads to the decrease in integrity and function of connective tissues. MFS patients showed highly variable mutation types in the FBN1 gene, but cysteine substitution has known to be the most common class of mutation among MFS patients [8,9]. More recently, TGFBR1 and TGFBR2 were found to be linked to progressive dilation of the aortic root [10,11]. TGFBR2 heterozygous knockout mice presented a dilation compared to normal mice by eight months, demonstrating that a single allele is sufficient to be pathogenic [12].

Even though patterns of genetic inheritance have been reported for MFS, only a few were from Asian populations. Therefore, in the current study, we aimed to utilize whole exome sequencing (WES) technique to investigate the genetic factors associated with MFS. We particularly focused on the potential pathogenic rare variants shared in a family cohort to investigate the hidden unique inheritance pattern within a Taiwanese MFS family. In addition, functional annotation databases including knockout mouse phenotypes, Gene Ontology (GO), and KEGG pathways were used to gain further insights into the biological level and possible disease mechanisms. Our study workflow is illustrated in Figure 1.

![Study workflow of the MFS WES analysis.](image)

**Figure 1.** Study workflow of the MFS WES analysis.

2. Results

2.1. Patient Basal Characteristic

We recruited 10 MFS patients and one healthy volunteer including five females and six males (Table 1). Participants were between 20 to 52 years old, with a mean age of 37.7 years old. Out of the 11 subjects, five individuals were a family cohort with one family member acting as the healthy control.
Table 1. Basal characteristic of MFS patients.

| Sample | Gender | Age | Relatedness | Note | Systemic Score |
|--------|--------|-----|-------------|------|----------------|
| pt.1   | F      | 52  | -           | -    | 9              |
| pt.2   | F      | 42  | -           | -    | 5              |
| pt.3   | F      | 40  | -           | -    | 4              |
| pt.4   | M      | 43  | -           | -    | 7              |
| pt.5   | M      | 42  | -           | -    | 7              |
| pt.6   | M      | 37  | -           | -    | 4              |
| pt.7   | F      | 52  | Family 1-Mother | - | 4              |
| pt.8   | M      | 23  | Family 1-Son-01 | - | 6              |
| pt.9   | M      | 26  | Family 1-Son-02 | - | 4              |
| pt.10  | M      | -   | Family 1-Father | Healthy control | - | 4 |
| pt.11  | F      | 20  | Family 1-Daughter | - | 7              |

2.2. Pathogenic Rare Variants on Previously Reported MFS-Related Genes

First, we collected the discovered genes related to MFS from previous studies (Supplementary Table S1). We hypothesized that some of the established results could be replicated through WES analysis in our MFS cohort. As shown in Table 2, a total of 19 rare variants were identified on eleven previously reported MFS-related genes (Table 2, Supplementary Table S2). The 19 rare variants were further filtered through the ClinVar and CADD/REVEL score for pathogenicity predictions. Four pathogenic rare variants, chr9:134758250 on COL5A1, chr15:48448860 and chr15:48503803 on FBN1, and chr16:15714999 on MYH11, were identified by ClinVar; three additional variants, chr15:48366991 and chr15:48448860 on FBN1, and chr19:8136499 on FBN3, were predicted to be pathogenic by CADD/REVEL score. We found that chr15:48448860 on FBN1 was predicted to be pathogenic by both criteria. Three of the six identified pathogenic rare variants were located on FBN1. We further observed from the clinical data that seven (pt.3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11) out of ten MFS patients carried one FBN1 variants (Table 2); pt.1 who carried two variants on FBN1 had the highest systematic score (Supplementary Table S3). Furthermore, none of the FBN1 variants were found in the healthy subject (pt.10). These results aligned with previous findings that the penetrance for FBN1 is typically high, and carrying more FBN1 variants tends to correlate with a more severe clinical manifestation (Supplementary Table S3).

2.3. Functional Annotation of MFS Candidate Genes

Through screening the sequencing data with the selection criteria described in the method, we included 11 MFS-related genes, 66 genes were generated by ClinVar pathogenicity results, and 151 genes from CADD and REVEL annotations. After excluding the overlapping genes in three categories, a total of 219 genes were defined as “MFS candidate genes” and proceeded to enrichment analysis (Supplementary Table S4). The significance threshold was set at \( q \)-value (FDR) < 0.05 for each functional annotation.

We first performed an over-representation analysis (ORA) based on the mammalian phenotype ontology database to determine the phenotypic impact of the candidate genes in mouse models. A total of 219 human gene names were converted to 229 mouse gene names for further analysis. Eight knockout mouse phenotypes were significantly enriched (Table 3). The top significant phenotype was “abnormal cardiovascular system morphology”, which is frequently observed in MFS patients. We also found several other cardiovascular phenotypes and fatal disorders enriched in MFS candidate genes. The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) was used to perform molecular pathway enrichment analysis. However, no pathway was significantly enriched in this category. Furthermore, Gene Ontology (GO) annotations were used to evaluate protein–protein interaction. A total of 15 GO terms were significantly enriched (Table 3). Among all, “sensory perception of light stimulus” was the most significant term. Patients with MFS usually suffer from nearsightedness, with a thinner and flatter cornea [13]. A cohort study
also reported a correlation between MFS and the thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) [14]. Progressive loss of RNFL would lead to a decrease in retinal ganglion cells and cause visual function impairment [15]. Moreover, we observed three GO terms, “extracellular structure organization”, “extracellular matrix structural constituent”, and “extracellular matrix”, which are all related to ECM in three different aspects. MFS is a connective tissue disorder caused by mutations in the ECM protein, indicating ECM organization is crucial.

### Table 2. Alternative allele of the 19 rare variants on MFS-related genes in MFS patients.

| Chr. Position | Ref. | Alt. | Gene | Type | Number of Alt. Allele in MFS Patients |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|--------------------------------------|
| 1            | 11794020 | G    | A    | MTHFR| nsSNV                  | 1 - - - - - - - - - - |
| 2            | 189051324 | T    | C    | COL5A2| nsSNV                  | 1 - - - - - - - - - - |
| 3            | 30623240 | A    | C    | TGFBR2| nsSNV                  | - - - 1 - - - - - - - |
| 9            | 99105216 | C    | T    | TGFBR1| nsSNV                  | - - - - - - - 1 - - |
| 9            | 134758250 | G    | A    | COL5A1| nsSNV                  | - - - - - - - 1 - - |
| 11           | 6555785 | CAG  | CCAGCACGCAGC | LTBP3| Ins (NF)                | - 1 - - - 1^a - - - - |
| 12           | 57167041 | C    | T    | LRP1 | nsSNV                  | - - - - 1 - - - - - |
| 15           | 48420690 | C    | T    | FBN1 | nsSNV                  | - - - 1 - - - - - - |
| 15           | 48436991 | C    | A    | FBN1 | nsSNV                  | - - - - 1 1 1 1 - - |
| 15           | 48437824 | G    | T    | FBN1 | nsSNV                  | - - - - 1 - - - - - |
| 15           | 4848860 | C    | T    | FBN1 | nsSNV                  | - - - 1 - - - - - - |
| 15           | 48487321 | GC   | G    | FBN1 | Del (F)                | - - - - - 1 - - - |
| 15           | 48503803 | GAC  | G    | FBN1 | Del (F)                | 1 - - - - - - - - - |
| 15           | 15714999 | T    | C    | MYH11| nsSNV                  | - - - - 1 1 - - - - |
| 17           | 63494011 | G    | T    | ACE  | nsSNV                  | - - 1 - - - - - - - |
| 17           | 63497343 | G    | T    | ACE  | stop gain              | - - 1 - - - - - - - |
| 18           | 8123973 | C    | T    | FBN3 | nsSNV                  | - 1 - - - - - - - - |
| 19           | 8133099 | CGTT | C    | FBN3 | Del (NF)               | - - - - - - - - 1 |
| 19           | 8136499 | A    | G    | FBN3 | nsSNV                  | - - 1 - - - - - - - |

^a For the second allele listed in Alt. ^b Healthy subject. Pathogenic variants are shown in bold.

#### 2.4. Pathogenic Rare Variants on Candidate MFS Genes

A total of 74 rare variants on 66 genes, and 167 rare variants on 151 genes were determined as pathogenic, according to the ClinVar and CADD/REVEL score, respectively. Among all pathogenic rare variants predicted by ClinVar, four were located on TTN and three were on PRSSI (Figure 2a). It is noteworthy that the TTN gene encodes titin, which has been reported to associate with muscular [16] and cardiomyopathy diseases [17], implying a potential relationship between TTN and MFS. Most of the genes predicted by CADD/REVEL score included only one pathogenic variant, while ATP11B and SHPRH each had three variants (Figure 2b). Importantly, three variants were found pathogenic by both the ClinVar database and CADD/REVEL scores including chr9:131513282 on POMT1, chr15:48448860 on FBN1, and chr17:75831135 on UNC13D (Table 4). POMT1 was reported to be important in a series of metabolic diseases called muscular dystrophy-dystroglycanopathies (MDDGs), accompanied by variable degrees of intellectual disability, with brain and ocular abnormalities [18]. This FBN1 variant was reported to associate with MFS [19] and familial thoracic aortic aneurysm and aortic dissection (TAAD) [20]. Mutations on UNC13D lead to defects in the cell destruction process, which cause a severe inflammatory syndrome called hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis [21].
Table 3. Functional annotations of MFS candidate genes.

| Functional Annotations | No. of Reference Genes in the Category | No. of MFS Candidate Genes in the Category | p-Value | q-Value (FDR) |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|---------------|
| **Knockout Mouse Phenotype Category** | | | | |
| Abnormal cardiovascular system morphology | 1794 | 55 | \(1.40 \times 10^{-7}\) | \(9.11 \times 10^{-4}\) |
| Abnormal sarcomere morphology | 60 | 9 | \(3.13 \times 10^{-7}\) | \(1.02 \times 10^{-3}\) |
| Premature death | 952 | 34 | \(2.80 \times 10^{-6}\) | \(6.09 \times 10^{-3}\) |
| Kyphosis | 162 | 12 | \(7.80 \times 10^{-6}\) | \(1.27 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Abnormal heart morphology | 1297 | 40 | \(1.17 \times 10^{-5}\) | \(1.49 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Abnormal blood vessel morphology | 1070 | 35 | \(1.38 \times 10^{-5}\) | \(1.49 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Abnormal stria vascularis morphology | 40 | 6 | \(3.16 \times 10^{-5}\) | \(2.92 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Ascending aorta aneurysm | 5 | 3 | \(3.59 \times 10^{-5}\) | \(2.92 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| **GO term Category** | | | | |
| Sensory perception of light stimulus | 209 | 14 | \(7.25 \times 10^{-7}\) | \(9.45 \times 10^{-4}\) |
| ATPase activity | 438 | 18 | \(2.09 \times 10^{-5}\) | \(1.01 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Extracellular structure organization | 400 | 17 | \(2.33 \times 10^{-5}\) | \(1.01 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Contractile fiber development | 226 | 12 | \(4.70 \times 10^{-5}\) | \(1.53 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Sensory system development | 355 | 15 | \(7.60 \times 10^{-5}\) | \(1.98 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Extracellular matrix | 496 | 18 | \(1.04 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(2.27 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Actinin binding | 39 | 5 | \(1.52 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(2.83 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Extracellular matrix structural constituent | 158 | 9 | \(2.50 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(3.54 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Vacuolar membrane | 397 | 15 | \(2.58 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(3.54 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Structural constituent of muscle | 44 | 5 | \(2.71 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(3.54 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Organic hydroxy compound metabolic process | 500 | 17 | \(3.49 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(3.93 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Urogenital system development | 326 | 13 | \(4.00 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(3.93 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Cell junction organization | 285 | 12 | \(4.11 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(3.93 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Multicellular organismal signaling | 170 | 9 | \(4.29 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(3.93 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Protein kinase C binding | 49 | 5 | \(4.52 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(3.93 \times 10^{-2}\) |
Table 4. Rare variants on MFS candidate genes POMT1, FBN1, and UNC13D predicted by ClinVar and CADD/REVEL.

| Chr. Position | Ref. Alt. Gene | Type | avSNP150 | Allelic Frequency | Pathogenicity | MFS a |
|---------------|----------------|------|----------|------------------|---------------|-------|
|               |                |      |          | 1KGP (EAS)       | 1KGP (EAS)    |       |
|               |                |      |          | gnomAD ExAC (EAS)| gnomAD ExAC (EAS)|   |
|               |                |      |          | TWB              | TWB           |       |
|               |                |      |          | ClinVar          | ClinVar       |       |
|               |                |      |          | CADD             | CADD          |       |
|               |                |      |          | REVEL            | REVEL         |       |
| 9 131513282   | G A POMT1      | nsSNV| rs146869947| 0.001            | 0.0019        | 0.0027| 0.003| Conflicting interpretations of pathogenicity | 26.9 | 0.836 | 7, 9, 11 |
| 15 4848860    | C T FBN1       | nsSNV| -        | -                | -             | -    | -    | Pathogenic                                      | 32   | 0.988 | 3     |
| 17 75831135   | C T UNC13D     | nsSNV| rs140184929| 0.006            | 0.0054        | 0.0043| 0.003| Conflicting interpretations of pathogenicity | 27.9 | 0.933 | 4     |

a Patients who carry the variant.

2.5. The Inheritance Pattern within a Taiwanese MFS Family Cohort

A family cohort of five subjects was recruited in the study, consisting of father (pt.10), mother (pt.7), two sons (pt.8 and 9), and a daughter (pt.11). The father is a healthy subject while the other four individuals were MFS patients. As shown in Table 5, nine nonsynonymous single nucleotide variations of nine genes predicted by ClinVar or CADD/REVEL score were highly shared (≥75%) in the patients. Two variants on ALAS1 and FBN1 were shared in all four MFS patients.

Table 5. Rare variants on MFS candidate genes shared in MFS family cohort.

| Chr. Position | Ref. Alt. Gene | Type | Allelic Frequency | Alt. Allele of a MFS Family |
|---------------|----------------|------|------------------|------------------------------|
|               |                |      | TWB 7 8 9 10 11 a |
| 2 178794954   | C T TTN        | nsSNV| 0.007            | 1 - - 1 1 1 1 -             |
| 3 52199279    | G A ALAS1      | nsSNV| 0.0005           | 1 - 1 - 1 1 -               |
| 3 107716694   | A G BBX        | nsSNV| -                | 1 - 1 - 1 -                 |
| 9 131513282   | G A POMT1      | nsSNV| 0.003            | 1 - 1 - 1 -                 |
| 12 109264325  | C T ACACB      | nsSNV| -                | 1 - 1 - 1 -                 |
| 15 40856938   | A G SPINT1     | nsSNV| -                | 1 - 1 - 1 -                 |
| 15 48436991   | C A FBN1 #     | nsSNV| -                | 1 - 1 - 1 -                 |
| 20 45895081   | G A CTSA       | nsSNV| 0.004            | 1 - 1 - 1 -                 |
| 20 63350423   | C T CHRNA4     | nsSNV| -                | 1 - 1 - 1 -                 |

a Healthy subject. # Previously reported MFS-related genes.
2.6. Pathogenic Rare Variants Carried by Patient no.2 and no.5

Most MFS patients in this study carried at least one **FBN1** variant, except for pt.2 and pt.5. Thus, we examined their variants to investigate whether any novel pathogenic variations are from the two patients. Variants carried by the healthy subject were excluded from analysis. Through ClinVar and CADD/REVEL score prediction, we identified 23 variants of 22 genes in pt.2, none of which were found to be associated with MFS in the previous studies (Supplementary Table S5). By querying the GTEx portal, we found that **RBM20** and **PKP2** were highly expressed in the heart. **LPIN1** was expressed in skeletal muscle. **TTN**, the pathogenic variant predicted in the previous section, was also found to be highly expressed in both the heart and muscle (Figure 3a). On the other hand, a total of 21 pathogenic variants on 21 genes were carried by pt.5 (Supplementary Table S6). Among all genes, **CAVIN4** (MURC) was highly expressed in skeletal muscle and heart tissue (Figure 3b). Surprisingly, hardly any genes carried by pt.2 and pt.5 were overlapped, indicating that the genetic pathology of these two patients were distinct. Further investigation is required to confirm the genetic pathology in patients 2 and 5.

![Figure 3. (a) Expression of MFS pt.2 candidate genes among all tissues.; (b) Expression of MFS pt.5 candidate genes among all tissues.](image)

3. Discussion

Our study investigated the pathogenic variants within 10 MFS patients and one healthy subject through WES and identified the biological functions of MFS candidate genes. Most pathogenic variants on MFS-related genes were located on **FBN1**. Eight of the ten patients were found to carry at least one **FBN1** variant. Thus, the critical role of **FBN1** for MFS was confirmed in the Taiwanese population. We further found nine variants highly shared in a MFS family, of which eight were on novel genes and one was on **FBN1** with its pathogenic variant not previously reported. Furthermore, the two pathogenic variants on **FBN1** and
were shared in all four patients. ALAS1 encodes the mitochondrial enzyme that catalyzes the rate-limiting step in heme biosynthesis and is associated with acute porphyria and sideroblastic anemia [22]. A recent study showed that ALAS1 heterozygous mice had an age-dependent reduction of free heme in skeletal muscle [23]. In addition, a case report study also indicated that MFS patients might present anemia after aortic dissection surgery [24]. However, the direct association between MFS and ALAS1 variants need further investigation.

Among all the MFS candidate genes we identified, TTN and POMT1 are considered as the most crucial genes (Figure 2a, Tables 4 and 5). TTN mainly expresses in the heart and the muscle according to the GTEx portal. It is responsible for encoding sarcomere, the largest muscle filament in the heart. Consistent with previous studies, genetic variants on TTN have been reported to be associated with heart conditions [25]. Moreover, four pathogenic variants were found in TTN, while most genes we identified included only one pathogenic variant. The TTN variant was also highly shared in the family cohort. Likewise, POMT1 is considered as an important target because one of its variants, rs146869947, was observed in 75% of the MFS family members. This variant was also predicted to be pathogenic by both ClinVar and CADD/REVEL scoring tools. Although the underlying mechanism remains unclear, POMT1 was reported to associate with the presentation of cardiomyopathy among patients with limb-girdle muscular dystrophy [26].

With regard to patients whose genetic defects cannot be explained by FBN1, we utilized the GTEx portal to investigate genes that are particularly expressed in heart or muscle tissues. For patient 2, we focused on PKP2, LPIN1, RBM20, and TTN. PKP2 encodes plakophilin-2, which is a component of the desmosome and its pathogenic role has been recognized in inherited cardiac arrhythmias syndromes [27]. LPIN1 plays a role in lipid synthesis and storage, and mutation in LPIN1 is associated with myoglobinuria as well as rhabdomyolysis [28]. RBM20 and TTN are a pair of genes that are strongly related to dilated cardiomyopathy. RBM20 regulates the splicing event of TTN. The mis-spliced exon is located in the elastic PEVK region in the I-band of the heart, and thus leads to an increased elasticity of the sarcomere [29]. For patient 5, CAVIN4 (MURC) was considered as a potential candidate gene since it reaches a certain expression level in the heart and muscle. CAVIN4 (MURC) modulates cardiac muscle cell signaling and myofibrillar organization [30]. It was reported to be associated with pulmonary hypertension [31] and familial dilated cardiomyopathy [32]. Therefore, the results implied that PKP2, LPIN1, RBM20, TTN, and CAVIN4 (MURC) may be related to the MFS phenotypes of patient 2 and 5, respectively.

While our study illustrates the power of applying WES to identify disease causing genes in a rare disease cohort, it also displayed several limitations. First, we found that patient 1, who carried two FBN1 variants, had the highest systemic score. However, some patients with no FBN1 variant still presented higher scores compared to other patients with the variant. As a result, a larger sample size is needed in order to construct a MFS genotype-phenotype correlation map. Second, we have only recruited one family cohort thus far. More samples from family cohorts will be helpful to verify the variants and to construct pedigrees in order to identify the disease-causing genes precisely. Third, rare variants we included must present a MAF below 0.01 of the East Asian population in multiple databases. Although this is a relatively conservative strategy, we might miss some variants that is particularly rare in the Taiwanese population, but not in other East Asian populations. Therefore, this approach might ignore the variants that are likely to be unique and important for Taiwanese MFS patients.

To understand the biological functions of our candidate genes, we performed three functional annotations. The results strongly resemble the clinical manifestations of MFS, which strengthens the confidence in our candidate genes and further support the feasibility of utilizing the WES analysis for variant identification in rare diseases. In conclusion, by the use of whole exome sequencing, we confirmed the pathogenicity of the FBN1 gene to MFS in the Taiwanese patients. Our results also implied that TTN and POMT1 are likely to be the most potential candidate genes, supported by their important biological functions in
heart and muscle. Furthermore, we found nine unique variants highly shared in a MFS family cohort, of which eight were novel variants that are worth further investigation.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Study Subjects and Sample Preparation

All subjects were recruited from Taipei Veterans General Hospital. The Revised Ghent criteria was used for the diagnosis of Marfan syndrome [4]. All of the index cases and their family who were diagnosed as Marfan syndrome were made by two doctors. One was in charge of the index case, the other a pediatric geneticist. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before the initiation of the study. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Taipei Veterans General Hospital (2018-01-005B). Peripheral whole blood samples were collected from all subjects at Taipei Veterans General Hospital and transferred to Taipei Medical University for genomic DNA isolation. Nine of the DNA samples were extracted by the phenol-chloroform method and the other two were isolated using QIAamp DNA Blood Maxi Kit (QIAGEN, Germantown, MD, USA).

4.2. Whole Exome Sequencing and Bioinformatic Analysis

We analyzed samples from 11 patients by PCR and Nextera whole exome sequencing (WES) using genomic DNA as a template. Paired-end sequencing was performed on NovaSeq 6000 platform, with sequencing depth above 50× (6G). Sequence capture, enrichment, and elution were performed according to the manufacturer’s instruction and protocols. All raw sequences were analyzed through the GATK germline short variant discovery pipeline. Adapters and low quality reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic under the PE module (ILLUMINAQUALITY: TruSeq3-PE-2.fa: 2:30:10 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:36). Clean sequences were then aligned to the human reference genome GRCh38 using Burrows–Wheeler Aligner with default parameters. GATK was used to remove PCR duplicates and perform base quality score recalibration. Non-synonymous single nucleotide variants, insertions, and deletions were called by GATK HaplotypeCaller. Rare variants with minor allele frequency <0.01 in Taiwan Biobank [33], 1000 Genomes Project [34] (1KGP; East Asian), The Genome Aggregation Database [35] (gnomAD; East Asian), and The Exome Aggregation Consortium [36] (ExAC; East Asian) were selected. Finally, functional annotations were performed with ANNOVAR to interpret the information of these variants. Pathogenicity was determined using clinical significance annotations on: (A) ClinVar (v.20210501) with variants annotated with either (1) association, (2) conflicting interpretations of pathogenicity, (3) likely pathogenic, (4) pathogenic, or (5) pathogenic/likely pathogenic; (B) variants with CADD Phred scores >20, indicating the variant is in 1% of the most pathogenic variants, and REVEL raw scores ≥0.75, predicted as pathogenic variants with high specificity but low sensitivity. These criteria were used to filter the pathogenic variants from the pool of rare variants.

4.3. Marfan Syndrome Related Genes

We recruited 18 studies [37–54] related to MFS, and defined 26 genes as “MFS-related genes” (Supplementary Table S1). Studies were accessed from PubMed on 27 March 2020, according to the keywords “Marfan”, “gene”, “variants”, “mutations”, “association”, “Marfan syndrome”, “Marfan-like disease”, “Loey-Dietz syndrome”, “Vascular Ehlers-Danlos syndrome”, and “Thoracic Aortic Aneurysm and Dissection (TAAD)”. Genes reported to be associated with the onset of MFS, MFS severity, MFS susceptibility, or related to MFS-like diseases were enrolled in our study. Gene List Automatically Derived For You (GLAD4U) was used to help prioritize the gene list [55].

4.4. Functional Annotation

A list of candidate genes was selected after WES analysis. The inclusion criteria were depicted as: (1) genes with at least one potential pathogenic rare variant and (2) MFS-related genes identified in our sequencing data. Three gene-based annotations
were conducted by the WebGestalt 2019 functional enrichment analysis web tool [56] to gain mechanistic insights into our gene list. (1) Knockout mouse phenotype: genes were first converted to the mouse gene name using BioMart [57]. The Mammalian Phenotype Ontology database [58] was then used to generate mouse phenotype information associated with our candidate genes, which is helpful for understanding the phenotypic trait in human disease. (2) Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes [59] (KEGG): molecular pathway analysis was carried out using the integrated database to determine how genes are networked and enriched within the pathways. (3) Gene Ontology [60,61] (GO): terms derived from molecular function, cellular component, and biological process were annotated to decipher protein–protein interaction. Only non-redundant categories were contained by selecting the most general categories in each branch of the GO DAG structure. Significance of an enrichment result was set at \( q \)-value (FDR) <0.05 for all functional annotations.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/jpm12020198/s1, Table S1: The list of previously reported MFS-related genes, Table S2: Profile of 19 rare variants on MFS-related genes, Table S3: Systemic score of MFS patients, Table S4: MFS candidate genes, Table S5: Rare variants on MFS candidate genes carried by patients no.2, Table S6: Rare variants on MFS candidate genes carried by patients no.5.
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