Comparison of transport crates contamination with *Campylobacter* spp. before and after the cleaning and disinfection procedure in broiler slaughterhouses
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ABSTRACT Campylobacteriosis is one of the most common types of bacterial gastroenteritis affecting humans, and poultry is considered a major source of the causative organism, *Campylobacter* spp. Broilers may arrive contaminated at slaughterhouses, and transport crates could be considered a potential source of contamination. Thus, cleaning and disinfection procedures are crucial to avoid cross-contamination among flocks. Despite its public health importance in Latin American countries, virulence factors of *Campylobacter jejuni* remain poorly studied in this region. Thus, this study aimed to: 1) determine the occurrence of contaminated crates at a poultry slaughterhouse, 2) compare the contamination before and after the cleaning and disinfection procedures, and 3) detect virulence-associated genes in *C. jejuni* strains by PCR. *Campylobacter* spp. were recovered from 8 of the 10 flocks evaluated, and *C. jejuni* was detected as the main species. There was no significant difference in the *Campylobacter* detection or quantification between crates at the reception platform and crates after the cleaning/disinfection processes. However, crates after 24 h of natural drying, presented a significant (*P < 0.05) lower amount of *Campylobacter* cells than before the cleaning and disinfection processes. A negative relationship (*R^2 = 0.210, P = 0.045*) between environmental conditions and *Campylobacter* quantification was found for transport crates after 24 h of natural drying. There was no significant difference (*P > 0.05) in the detection of two *C. jejuni* virulence genes, flaA (encode a major flagellin protein) and cadF (encode an adhesion and fibronectin-binding protein), among various stages of the cleaning and disinfection processes. Our results demonstrate the high contamination levels of *Campylobacter* strains in broiler flocks and the potential involvement of poultry transport crates in transmitting these bacteria. This study also suggests that ineffective cleaning and disinfection procedures can increase *Campylobacter* contamination and facilitate the spread of bacteria in poultry establishments.
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INTRODUCTION

*Campylobacter* spp. is the agent of campylobacteriosis, one of the most common types of human bacterial gastroenteritis worldwide (CDC, 2021; EFSA and ECDC, 2021; Rodrigues et al., 2021; WHO, 2021). Poultry is considered a major reservoir of *Campylobacter* and a source of bacterial transmission to humans (Humphrey et al., 2014). Campylobacteriosis is usually associated with handling raw poultry, consuming raw or undercooked poultry meat, and cross-contamination of raw and cooked foods (Silva et al., 2011).

Poultry is considered a major reservoir of *Campylobacter* and a source of bacterial transmission to humans (Humphrey et al., 2014). Campylobacteriosis is usually associated with handling raw poultry, consuming raw or undercooked poultry meat, and cross-contamination of raw and cooked foods (Silva et al., 2011).

Broiler infection usually occurs on the farm, and during the transportation of live birds, stress could cause a disturbance of intestinal functions and may increase the spread of pathogens through feces (Keener et al., 2004; Hansson et al., 2005). As the crates are reused among flocks from different farms, they can be a potential reservoir for microorganisms, including *Campylobacter* spp.,
representing a risk of infection for susceptible broilers (Slader et al., 2002; Hansson et al., 2005; Hastings et al., 2011). Thus, cleaning and disinfection procedures are crucial to avoid cross-contamination among flocks. Previous studies have shown that Campylobacter contamination was retained or substantially increased when the cleaning and disinfection procedures were ineffective (Peyrat et al., 2008; Borges et al., 2020; Perdoncini et al., 2022).

Considering that Campylobacter may be transferred from animals to humans, it is important to know the genetic profile of circulating strains in broiler populations. However, despite its public health importance in Latin American countries, virulence factors and mechanisms of Campylobacter jejuni pathogenesis remain poorly studied (Levican et al., 2019). These virulence marks are associated with some of the mechanisms essential for bacterial survival and pathogenesis, including bacterial motility, adhesion, colonization/invasion of intestinal epithelial cells, production of toxins, and secreted proteins (Biswas et al., 2011; Bolton, 2015).

C. jejuni exhibits bipolar flagella, and its extracellular filament structural components are mainly composed of a major flagellin protein (FlaA; encoded by flaA), which is highly conserved among isolates (Guerry, 2007; Hermans et al., 2011). Campylobacter adhesion to fibronectin (CadF), encoded by cadF, is an adhesion and fibronectin-binding protein involved in the process of invasion, influencing the microfilament organization in host cells (Monteville et al., 2003; Bolton 2015).

The present study aimed to determine the occurrence of contaminated transport crates at slaughterhouses by qualitative and quantitative microbiological analysis, compare the contamination before and after the cleaning/disinfection procedures, and detect virulence-associated genes in C. jejuni strains.

**Materials and Methods**

**Experimental Design**

Sample collection was performed via a cross-sectional observational study using convenience sampling. The samples were collected over a time period of nine months and were carried out in a slaughterhouse located in the Rio Grande do Sul state in southern Brazil. The slaughterhouse was under the authority of the Federal Inspection Service and had a slaughter capacity of 150,000 broilers per day.

A total of ten visits were conducted at the establishment, and at each visit, one flock was evaluated, totaling 10 flocks analyzed. For each flock, three crates were randomly sampled, totaling 30 crates at the end of sampling period. The crates were evaluated at three stages: reception platform after broiler removal, after cleaning and disinfection procedures in the transport crate sanitation room, and after 24 h of natural drying in a separated room.

**Sample Collection**

Crates selected for this study were made of high-density polyethylene, presented bottom surface with orifices, and all have the same size and weight (77 cm length × 57 cm width × 27 cm height, 5 kg weight). For sample collection, crates floor (bottom surface) was divided into three sections (25.6 cm × 19 cm each) using a paper mold, which was autoclaved at 121°C for 30 min prior to use and discarded after each sample collection. Crates were identified using a numbered security seal to identify the first quadrant to be collected: reception platform after broiler removal (left side of the compartment), immediately after the cleaning and disinfection procedures (center area of the compartment), and after 24 h of natural drying (right side of the compartment) (Figure 1A). Each section of the crate was swabbed throughout in the same direction using commercial sponge-sticks with neutralizing buffer (SSL10NB; 3MTM, Maplewood, MN) (Figure 1B). The sponges were packed in sterile bags with 50 mL of Brucella broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK). After collection, all samples were transported to the laboratory in isothermal boxes containing ice. The samples were analyzed within 2 to 4 h of being transported from the slaughterhouse.

**Cleaning and Disinfection Procedures of Transport Crates**

The cleaning procedure of transport crates started immediately after removal of birds at the slaughterhouse and included the physical removal with water spray of fecal material, dirt, and debris. The cleaning and disinfection procedures were carried out in four stages within a spray tunnel: 1) water spray, 2) application of chlorinated alkaline detergent (Idrosan; AEB Group, Viseu, Portugal), 3) rinsing with water spray, and 4) application of 1% benzalkonium chloride-based disinfectant (Quatesan, AEB Group, Viseu, Portugal). After applying the disinfectant, the crates were not rinsed again. The compounds used did not change during the collection period. The water used in the tunnel was pumped at a pressure of 10 kg/cm² with an average temperature of 45 to 50°C, pH 6.75 to 7.02, and chlorination at 0.3 to 2 ppm.

**Temperature and Relative Humidity**

The temperature and relative humidity inside the cleaning and disinfection rooms were measured during each visit using a digital thermometer (Thermo-Hygrometer AK28; Akso, São Leopoldo, Brazil). The thermometer was placed above the crates.

**Qualitative Detection Method**

Detection of Campylobacter spp. by conventional isolation was performed according to the methodology described by Sierra-Arguello et al. (2021). An aliquot (1 mL) of each sample was homogenized in Bolton broth (9
mL) (Oxoid) supplemented with antimicrobials (cefoperazone 20 mg/L, vancomycin 20 mg/L, trimethoprim 20 mg/L, and cycloheximide 50 mg/L; SR0183, Oxoid) and incubated under microaerophilic conditions using a gas tank with a mixture of 10% CO₂, 5% O₂, and 85% N₂ for 48 h at 41.5°C. After incubation, 10 μL of the suspension was spread onto an acetate membrane with 0.65 μm pores (Sartorius, Gottingen, Germany) and placed on the surface of a modified charcoal cefoperazone deoxycholate agar (mCCDA) plate (Oxoid) with selective supplements (cefoperazone, 32 mg/L; amphotericin B, 10 mg/L; SR0155, Oxoid). The plate was incubated under microaerophilic conditions for 48 h at 41.5°C. Subsequently, one suspect colony (grayish, often with a metallic appearance, flat and moist with a tendency to spread) were seeded in blood agar (BA) plates (Oxoid) supplemented with 5% sterile defibrinated sheep blood (Laborclin, Curitiba, Brazil). *Campylobacter* spp. colonies were examined under a phase-contrast microscope (Olympus B201 optical microscope; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) to monitor its typical movement and morphology (Fonseca et al., 2006). Colonies were characterized based on their ability to hydrolyze hippurate and indoxyl acetate, and catalase reaction (Public Health England, 2018). Isolates were stored in 15% glycerol at −80°C.
Quantification by Most Probable Number Method

The most probable number (MPN) method was used to quantify *Campylobacter* spp. in all crates, as described by Silva et al. (2017). Briefly, samples were homogenized for 20 s and an aliquot (1 mL) was transferred to 9 mL of Bolton broth supplemented with 2% novobiocin. Successive decimal dilutions were performed in triplicate, in three tubes MPN series. Material was incubated under microaerophilic conditions for 48 h at 41.5°C. Colonies were confirmed based on their growth on BA supplemented with sterile defibrinated sheep blood, typical movement and morphology in phase-contrast microscopy, their ability to hydrolyze hippurate and indoxyl acetate, and catalase reaction. Counting was performed according to the protocol proposed by Blodgett (2006). Because of the lack of a normal distribution of the data, these values may not be compared by the calculation of simple means. Thus, the results were expressed as MPN/g and then transformed into \( \log_{10} \) MPN/g to perform statistical analysis (Perdoncini et al., 2022). In addition, we used the formula described by Thomas Jr., (1942) to calculate the overall or pooled MPN for each stage of cleaning and disinfection processes:

\[
pooled \text{ MPN/ml} = \frac{P}{\sqrt{N \times T}}
\]

where P is the number of positive tubes, N is the total quantity of sample in all negative tubes, and T is the total quantity of sample in all tubes.

**DNA Extraction**

The template DNA for PCR was extracted using a protocol adapted from Borsoi et al. (2009). Briefly, the bacterial culture (1 mL) was boiled at 95°C for 10 min. After centrifugation at 8,000 g for 2 min, the supernatant was stored at –20°C and used as template DNA.

**Multiplex-PCR Assay for Identification of Campylobacter spp**

After bacterial isolation, *Campylobacter* spp. (*C. jejuni* and *C. coli*) were identified by multiplex PCR (Perdoncini et al., 2015). The primer sequences, amplicon size, and controls are described in Table 1. *C. jejuni* (ATCC 29428) and *C. coli* (ATCC 43478) were used as positive controls. *Arcobacter* spp. was used as a negative control.

**PCR for the Detection of Virulence-Associated Genes (flaA and cadF)**

The confirmed *Campylobacter* isolates were screened for the presence of two virulence-associated genes, *flaA* and *cadF*. The primers, PCR conditions, and amplicon size generated in this study are listed in the Table 1. All PCR amplifications were performed in a reaction mixture (25 \( \mu L \)) consisting of 10X PCR Buffer (3 \( \mu L \); 200 mM Tris–HCl [pH 8.4], 500 mM KCl; Invitrogen, Waltham, MA), Taq thermostable DNA polymerase (0.3 \( \mu L \), 5 U/\( \mu L \) (Invitrogen), MgCl2 (1.2 \( \mu L \), 25 mM (Invitrogen), dNTPs (2.5 \( \mu L \), 2.5 mM) (Invitrogen), extracted template DNA (2 \( \mu L \)), and forward and reverse primers (0.5 \( \mu L \) each, 10 pmol/L) (Invitrogen). Sterile Milli-Q water was added in sufficient quantity to achieve a final volume of 25 \( \mu L \). All reactions were performed in a thermal cycler (Swift MaxPro; Esco, Singapore), as described in Table 1. For visualization of the PCR products, 10 \( \mu L \) aliquots were subjected to electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel in Tris-acetylated EDTA (TAE) buffer for 2 h at 100 V. The DNA bands stained with ethidium bromide were photographed. The size of the PCR amplicons was compared to that of the 100 bp DNA ladder. *C. jejuni* (ATCC 33291) was used as a positive control.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics software (version 22.0; IBM, Armonk, NY). Fisher’s exact test was used to compare flock positivity by the qualitative detection method. One-way ANOVA,

### Table 1. Virulence-associated genes: target genes, primers, sequences, PCR conditions, amplicon size, gene function, and references.

| Target gene | Primers | Sequence (5’→3’) | PCR conditions | Amplicon size (bp) | Campylobacter species or gene function | References |
|-------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| 16S-rRNA    | F: ATCTAATCGGTGCTACCATACCAAC | 35 cycles: 95°C – 30 s | 857          | Campylobacter genus | Denis et al., 1999; Linton et al., 2000 |
|             | R: GGACCTTAACCTAAGTTATTATT | 99°C – 40 s |               |                   |                                       |           |
|             |         | 59°C – 50 s |               |                   |                                       |           |
|             |         | 72°C – 60 s |               |                   |                                       |           |
| uncA        | F: MDAmapA1 | CTTATTTATTGGTGTGTGTTT | 72°C – 60 s | 589          | Campylobacter jejuni | Denis et al., 1999 |
|             | R: MDAmapA2 | GCTTATTTGGCTGTGTGTTTTA |               |                   |                                       |           |
| cadF        | F: SmapA1 | GCTTATTTGGCTGTGTGTTTTA | 30 cycles: 94°C – 60 s | 1100         | encodes the major flagellin protein (FlaA) | http://campynet.vetinst.dk/Fla.htm |
|             | R: SmapA2 | GCTTATTTGGCTGTGTGTTTTA | 48°C – 60 s |               |                                       |           |
|             |         | 72°C – 60 s |               |                   |                                       |           |
|             |         | 94°C – 60 s |               |                   |                                       |           |
followed by Tukey’s Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) test, was used to compare Campylobacter quantification by MPN (log10 MPN/g) at the three stages (Perdoncini et al., 2022). The t test was used to compare the average temperature and relative humidity of the crates. Simple and multiple linear regressions were performed to predict the influence of temperature and relative humidity on Campylobacter quantification. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05, and Bonferroni correction was applied to adjust the confidence intervals for multiple hypothesis testing.

RESULTS

Qualitative Detection Method

Campylobacter spp. was recovered from 8 of 10 (80%) evaluated flocks. C. jejuni was detected in 6 (60%) flocks, whereas both C. jejuni and C. coli were simultaneously detected in 2 (20%) flocks. The results of the qualitative detection at each stage of cleaning and disinfection procedures are shown in Table 2. There were no significant differences (P > 0.05) in Campylobacter detection between the cleaning and disinfecting stages.

Quantification by Most Probable Number Method

The results of the quantitative detection according to the stages of cleaning and disinfection procedures are shown in Table 2. There was no significant difference (P > 0.05) in Campylobacter quantification between crates at the reception platform after broiler removal and crates after the cleaning/disinfection procedures. This is likely related to the significant variation within each group (0–1,100 MPN/g). However, after 24 h of natural drying, Campylobacter strains could not be detected or counted using the MPN method.

Temperature and Relative Humidity

Table 3 describes the temperatures and relative humidity obtained during the sample collection period, according to the cleaning and disinfection stages. Temperature and relative humidity remained similar (P > 0.05) before and after the cleaning and disinfection processes and during the collection after 24 h of natural drying.

Simple linear regression was applied to predict the influence of temperature and relative humidity on the growth and survival of Campylobacter. MPN quantification in crates at the reception platform after broiler removal was not related to temperature (r² = 0.090, P = 0.875) or relative humidity (r² = 0.015, P = 0.513). Similarly, the levels of Campylobacter in crates after the cleaning and disinfection procedure were not associated with temperature (r² = 0.037, P = 0.311) or relative humidity (r² = 0.021, P = 0.442). Finally, no relationships were found in the quantification of crates after 24 h of natural drying with temperature (r² = 0.017, P = 0.816) and relative humidity (r² = 0.082, P = 0.124). No relationship was found between

### Table 2. Campylobacter detection in 30 transport crates: frequencies of positive crates by qualitative method, quantification by most probable number (MPN) method (log10 MPN/g), and overall or pooled MPN, according to the stage of cleaning and disinfection processes.

| Stage of cleaning and disinfection processes | Frequencies of Campylobacter detection | Quantification of Campylobacter (log10 MPN/g) | Overall or pooled MPN (MPN/g) |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| before the cleaning and disinfection processes | 63 (19/30)¹ | 0.81 ± 0.89ᵇ | 40.13 |
| after the cleaning and disinfection processes | 70 (21/30)ᵇ | 0.70 ± 0.77ᵃ | 24.12 |
| after 24 hours of natural drying | 46 (14/30)ᵃ | 0.30 ± 0.57ᵇ | 5.79 |

¹Three transport crates of each flock, totaling 30 crates evaluated in this study.
ᵇDifferent letters in the same line represent significant differences among stages of cleaning and disinfection processes.
⁻Fisher’s exact test (adjusted P-value = 0.0169).
⁰One-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) test (P < 0.05).
⁴According to the formula described by Thomas (1942).

### Table 3. Temperatures and relative humidity obtained over the sample collection period, according to the cleaning and disinfection stage: mean, standard-deviation, maximum, and minimum values.

| Parameters | During cleaning and disinfection process (before and after) | At the collection after 24 h of natural drying |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|            | Temperature (°C) | Relative humidity (%) | Temperature (°C) | Relative humidity (%) |
| Mean (SD)  | 17 (5.43)ᵃ | 80 (10.38)ᵇ | 16.4 (5.97)ᵇ | 80 (9.09)ᵇ |
| Maximum    | 25.2         | 90              | 24.3           | 90              |
| Minimum    | 10.3         | 61              | 10             | 62              |

ᵃDifferent letters in the same line represent significant differences (P < 0.05) between temperatures OR relative humidity.
ᵇThe temperature and relative humidity were measured inside the cleaning and disinfection rooms, and the thermometer was placed above the crates.
environmental conditions and *Campylobacter* quantification during the cleaning and disinfection procedures (before: $R^2 = 0.017$, $P = 0.497$; after: $R^2 = 0.039$, $P = 0.485$). However, a negative relationship ($R^2 = 0.210$, $P = 0.046$) was found for crates after 24 h of natural drying, indicating that every unit increase in both temperature and relative humidity led to a decrease in the MPN/g values (Figure 2).

### Detection of Virulence-Associated Genes (flaA and cadF)

A total of 20 *C. jejuni* strains were identified in this study and were selected for detecting virulence-associated genes by PCR. The frequencies of *flaA* and *cadF* are listed in Table 4. There was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) in the detection frequencies of the genes among various stages of cleaning and disinfection procedures.

## DISCUSSION

Although Brazil is among the largest producers and exporters of poultry worldwide, data on *Campylobacter* infections in Brazilian flocks are still insufficient (Gomes et al., 2018). In addition, there is currently no internal monitoring program adopted by the Brazilian poultry companies, which most likely explains the lack of national data (Borges et al., 2020). However, recent studies have shown that *Campylobacter* contamination is high among broiler flocks in this country (Würfel et al., 2019; Borges et al., 2020; Pozza et al., 2020; Perdoncini et al., 2022). Thus, control procedures during the slaughtering process are essential to avoid carcass contamination.

Previous studies have shown that most flocks arrive to the slaughterhouse with *Campylobacter*. Positive cloacal swabs at the reception of slaughterhouses show that broilers were contaminated on the farm (Borges et al., 2020; Sierra-Arguello et al., 2021; Perdoncini et al., 2022). Since the probability of a broiler to become colonized increases during rearing, it is estimated that 60−80% of the flocks may be *Campylobacter*-positive at slaughter age (Hermans et al. 2012). This is in agreement with our findings, since 80% (8/10) of the flocks tested positive for this pathogen in the present study. This high occurrence of *Campylobacter* in broiler transport crates may reflect a high prevalence of this microorganism among flocks during the pre-slaughter period. However, this hypothesis requires further evaluation.

### Table 4. Absolute and relative frequencies of virulence-associated genes, *flaA* and *cadF*, detected by PCR in *Campylobacter jejuni* strains (n = 20), according to the stage of cleaning and disinfection processes.

| Stage of cleaning and disinfection processes¹ | Frequency of detection % (n/N) |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                            | *flaA* | *cadF* |
| Before the cleaning and disinfection processes (n = 8) | 75 (6/8) $a$ | 87.5 (7/8) $a$ |
| After the cleaning and disinfection processes (n = 9) | 66.6 (6/9) $a$ | 100 (9/9) $a$ |
| After 24 h of natural drying (n = 3) | 100 (3/3) $a$ | 100 (3/3) $a$ |
| TOTAL (n = 20) | 75 (15/20) | 95 (19/20) |

¹Different letters in the same column represent significant difference (adjusted $P$-value = 0.0169) (Fisher’s exact test).  
²Crates were swabbed using commercial sponge-sticks with neutralizing buffer (SSL10NB; 3MTM) and the sponges were packed in sterile bags with 50 mL of Brucella broth (Oxoid). The template DNA for PCR was extracted using a protocol adapted from Borsoi et al. (2009).
As expected, *C. jejuni* was the most frequently detected species and is the main reported member of thermotolerant *Campylobacter* in chicken meat (Perdoncini et al., 2015; Skarp et al., 2016; Sinulingga et al., 2020; Perdoncini et al., 2022). In addition, the persistence of this pathogen in slaughterhouses has been reported worldwide (Melo et al., 2019). *C. coli*, also detected in this study, is the second most reported *Campylobacter* species that cause human infections (Gomes et al., 2018). However, these infections are usually sporadic and seasonal in high-income countries (Igwaran and Okoh, 2019).

A high level of contamination in the cloacal swabs suggested the likelihood of contaminated feces being deposited in transport crates, thereby increasing the risk of contamination. Our results indicate that 63% of the crates were *Campylobacter*-positive prior to the cleaning and disinfection processes. Contaminated crates, which are reused among flocks from different farms, can be a potential reservoir for *Campylobacter* spp. and represent a risk of infection for susceptible broilers (Slader et al., 2002; Berrang et al. 2003; Hastings et al., 2011). In addition, the ineffective cleaning and disinfection processes can contribute to increased levels of chicken meat contamination. According to the local animal health authorities, the insufficient cleaning of transport crates in one establishment was responsible to the spread of *Campylobacter* strains among farms in Sweden. The high levels of flocks contamination contributed to the occurrence of large outbreaks widespread in all regions of the country in 2 periods: 2016/2017 and 2020. In 2016/2017, more than 5,000 campylobacteriosis cases were reported by the authorities (Food Safety News 2020, Moazzami et al., 2021).

Thus, cleaning and disinfection processes are crucial to avoid cross-contamination among flocks. However, quantitative results from the MPN method have shown that values obtained before (0.81 log_{10} MPN/g) and after (0.70 log_{10} MPN/g) cleaning/disinfection processes present a non significant reduction in the number of total bacteria. In addition, the total number of positive crates increased from 19 to 21. This suggested an increase in the number of contaminated crates, even if the number of bacteria present in these crates remained similar. Similar results were observed by Perdoncini et al. (2022), who also described that crates from one flock that tested negative before cleaning and disinfection were positive after the process.

Several factors can explain the ineffectiveness of the cleaning and disinfection processes. The characteristics of crate surfaces, such as cracks, crevices, texture, and hydrophobic tendency, may also affect the ability of these processes to reduce *Campylobacter* contamination. Furthermore, long-term use of these crates induces damage to crate surfaces, creating more harborage sites for the accumulation of contaminated material (Berrang and Northcutt, 2005; Allen et al., 2008; Moazzami et al., 2021).

The process of transporting and slaughtering broilers is often hastened so that the crates can be reused on the same day to transport birds from different farms. Thus, these crates are potential vehicles for the transmission of *Campylobacter* (Dzieciolowski et al., 2022). However, previous studies have reported that drying transport crates for an extended period (24 h or 48 h) could effectively reduce the microbial load to an undetectable level (Berrang and Northcutt, 2005). In this study, the qualitative method detected *Campylobacter* in 46% of the crates, with no significant reduction compared to the contamination in the crates before and immediately after the cleaning and disinfection process. However, the quantitative method detect a significant *Campylobacter* reduction in these crates, when compared to the crates before the cleaning and disinfection procedures. This difference between the 2 techniques may be related to the limit of detection of the quantitative method, which is 3 MPN/mL for the three-tube MPN series (Perdoncini et al., 2022). This result indicates that natural drying crates favors the reduction of contamination. Recently, Dzieciolowski et al. (2022) have shown that using a chemical disinfectant in combination with hot air drying (dehumidifier) was the most effective treatment for significantly reducing bacterial contamination.

In addition to the characteristics of crate surfaces and slaughterhouses, the cleaning and disinfection processes themselves are important to guarantee a decrease in *Campylobacter* contamination. The type of treatment (such as manual wash, spray, dipping), the active compound, exposure time, sanitizer concentration, temperature, relative humidity, and pH may affect the process efficiency (Berrang and Northcutt, 2005; Moazzami et al., 2021). Thus, we also evaluated the influence of relative humidity and temperature on the bacterial load in the cleaning room. The average relative humidity and temperature before and after cleaning/disinfection processes were similar to those observed after 24 h of natural drying. Our results demonstrated that, when analyzed individually, these two factors did not influence bacterial contamination during the cleaning and disinfection processes. However, when these factors were analyzed together, they negatively affected the bacterial count after 24 h of drying, but not before and after the cleaning/disinfection processes. Both relative humidity and temperature have a major influence on the growth of *Campylobacter* spp. (Smith et al., 2016). Previous studies have shown that dry conditions at relatively warm temperatures cause stress in *Campylobacter* (Berrang et al., 2004; Dzieciolowski et al., 2022). These results are interesting because *Campylobacter* persists throughout slaughter, surviving at the temperatures associated with the different processing steps, drying conditions, and oxygen levels (Slader et al., 2002).

To reduce *Campylobacter* contamination on transport crates, researchers have investigated the efficacy of numerous physical, chemical, and biological methods for reducing the bacterial load. Consequently, new methods (such as heating, irradiation, and ultraviolet light) have been developed to act synergistically with temperature, relative humidity, and disinfectants to reduce contamination (Tam et al., 2006; Peyrat et al., 2008; Moazzami et al., 2021).
Berrang et al., 2011; Moazzami et al., 2021; Dzieciolowski et al., 2022).

Studies during the last decade have demonstrated the increased pathogenic potential of C. jejuni isolates from Brazilian poultry (Melo et al., 2019). Thus, we also evaluated the pathogenic potential of C. jejuni strains based on the detection of two virulence markers; high overall frequencies of flaA (75%) and cadF (95%) were detected. The results were similar among the three sample collection stages. These high frequencies were expected, as previous studies have reported similar results (Wieczorek and Osek, 2008; Mizel and Bates, 2010; Rizal et al., 2010; Melo et al., 2019; Sierra-Arguello et al., 2021). The high occurrence of flaA, which codes for the flagellar protein FlaA, demonstrates its importance to the survival and viability of Campylobacter strains under varying environmental and stress-inducing conditions (Le et al., 2012). cadF is one of the markers that determine the adherence of Campylobacter spp.; it is required for maximal attachment and invasion of mammalian cells by binding to fibronectin (Monteville et al., 2003). CadF protein may play a role in gut colonization during human infection and may also be an important mediator of material and information transfer between the cells and their environment (Shoaf-Sweeney et al., 2008).

Our results demonstrate the high contamination of Campylobacter strains in broiler flocks and the potential involvement of poultry transport crates in the transmission of Campylobacter. However, it is important to emphasize that a larger number of establishments in a shorter sample collection period should be analyzed in the future since biosecurity measures may vary among them. This study suggests that ineffective cleaning and disinfection procedures maintain bacterial count at similar levels, and, thus, may facilitate the spread of bacteria in poultry establishments. Drying crates is essential to reduce Campylobacter contamination. It can be also controlled by improving the existing approaches, such as including a microbiological contamination control in transportation crates after the cleaning and disinfection procedures. Other preventive strategies could include the use of biodegradable crates or disposable crate liners to avoid cross-contamination between different flocks of birds and drying the cleaned crates before reusing them.
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