The extent of cyclin C promoter occupancy directs changes in stress-dependent transcription
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The Cdk8 kinase module (CKM) is a detachable Mediator subunit composed of cyclin C and one each of paralogs Cdk8/Cdk19, Med12/Med12L, and Med13/Med13L. Our previous RNA-Seq studies demonstrated that cyclin C represses a subset of hydrogen peroxide–induced genes under normal conditions but is involved in activating other loci following stress. Here, we show that cyclin C directs this transcriptional reprogramming through changes in its promoter occupancy. Following peroxide stress, cyclin C promoter occupancy increased for genes it activates while decreasing at loci it represses under normal conditions. Promoter occupancy of other CKM components generally mirrored cyclin C, indicating that the CKM moves as a single unit. It has previously been shown that some cyclin C leaves the nucleus following cytotoxic stress to induce mitochondrial fragmentation and apoptosis. We observed that CKM integrity appeared compromised at a subset of repressed promoters, suggesting a source of cyclin C that is targeted for nuclear release. Interestingly, mTOR inhibition induced a new pattern of cyclin C promoter occupancy indicating that this control is fine-tuned to the individual stress. Using inhibitors, we found that Cdk8 kinase activity is not required for CKM movement or repression but was necessary for full gene activation. In conclusion, this study revealed that different stress stimuli elicit specific changes in CKM promoter occupancy correlating to altered transcriptional outputs. Finally, although CKM components were recruited or expelled from promoters as a unit, heterogeneity was observed at individual promoters, suggesting a mechanism to generate gene- and stress-specific responses.

Cells exhibit multiple adaptive responses following exposure to cytotoxic agents. The failure to mitigate the cellular damage caused by these stressors can initiate regulated cell death (RCD) pathways (1). One common cause of cellular damage is reactive oxygen that can be derived either endogenously (e.g. elevated respiration, oxidase overexpression) or by environmental exposure to pro-oxidants (2), such as H2O2 or chemotherapeutics (3). An important early adaptation is transcriptional reprogramming, which directs many molecular processes within the cell. The transcriptional adaptations induced by oxidative stress include the up-regulation of both pro-death and prosurvival genes. For example, oxidative stress stimulates the tumor suppressor p53-dependent activation of genes that promote intrinsic RCD (iRCD) (4). In addition, genes encoding proteins mitigating the effects of oxidative stress are also induced (4). This system allows the cell to balance the amount of damage incurred with its ability to facilitate repairs.

In contrast to oxidative stress, amino acid starvation inhibits mTOR, resulting in induction of several genes necessary for cell survival pathways including autophagy (5). However, prolonged mTOR inhibition represses cell growth eventually inducing cell death (6). Therefore, the balance between survival and death is influenced by the type, intensity, and duration of the stress that is relayed to the nucleus to impact transcription.

Our previous RNA-Seq studies identified the oxidative stress cyclin C-regulated transcriptome in mouse (Mus musculus) embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells (7). Cyclin C was identified as a transcriptional repressor of meiotic and stress-response genes in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae (8–11). However, mammalian cyclin C functions as both a transcriptional activator and a repressor, with an essential role in development (12–14). Cyclin C is a component of the Cdk8 kinase module (CKM), which is a detachable and transiently interacting subunit of the Mediator complex (15). The other members of the CKM include Cdk8 or Cdk19, Med12 or Med12L, and Med13 or Med13L (16). Cdk8/Cdk19, Med12/Med12L, and Med13/Med13L are paralogs with similar but not identical functions that are incorporated independently into CKMs, along with cyclin C, in a 1:1:1:1 stoichiometry (17). Given this dual role in transcription, it may not be surprising that genetic and epidemiological studies have provided evidence that the CKM can either stimulate or suppress tumorigenesis (18). For example, CDK8 overexpression stimulates colon and breast cancer progression (19, 20). Accordingly, Cdk8 inhibitors have been developed and tested in preclinical studies, demonstrating a positive impact within multidrug combination regimens (21). Conversely, deleting Ccnc (cyclin C) stimulates hyperplasia in thyroid and acute lymphoblastic leukemia mouse models (18, 22). Interestingly, there is evidence that the CKM regulates transcription in both a Cdk8 kinase-dependent and kinase-independent manner (23). These studies indicate that the CKM plays a complicated role in transcriptional control responding to diverse inputs. However, how the CKM itself is controlled to mediate this dual transcriptional activity is unclear.

In addition to its nuclear role, ~15–20% of cyclin C, but not Cdk8, translocates to the cytoplasm in response to oxidative stress (24). In addition to inactivating Cdk8 to alter transcription, cytoplasmic cyclin C directly binds both the dynamin-like GTPase Drp1 and the pro-apoptotic factor Bax to promote...
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Our transcriptome analysis revealed that cyclin C mediates both positive and negative transcriptional control of genes induced by H$_2$O$_2$ exposure (7). To begin investigating this observation, we used Gene Ontology (31) to identify biological processes enriched in the data set for genes induced by H$_2$O$_2$ treatment. We chose to study two pathways, p53-induced genes and those required for autophagy as they represent stresses leading to cell death and survival, respectively. The p53 stress-response genes included Trp53inp1 (tumor protein p53-inducible nuclear protein 1), p21 (Cdkn1a; cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1), and Jmy (junction-mediating and -regulatory protein) (labeled in figures in **bold italic font**). The autophagic genes chosen were Gabarap (GABA receptor–associated protein) and Prkaa2 (5’-AMP-activated protein kinase catalytic subunit α-2) (labeled in figures in *underlined italic font*). In addition, three genes, Adrm1 (proteasomal ubiquitin receptor Adrm1), Gtf2hi (general transcription factor IIH subunit 1), and Crebri (CREB3 regulatory factor), were chosen that were induced by H$_2$O$_2$ but are neither the p53-dependent nor autophagy-responsive genes (labeled in figures in italic font). Finally, Sall1 (Sal-like protein 1) and Col4a1 (Collagen α-I(IV) chain) were chosen as cyclin C–repressed and activated their transcription under nonstress conditions, respectively, but their transcript levels are not affected by H$_2$O$_2$ stress.

We first confirmed the transcriptional changes observed with RNA-Seq by RT-qPCR analysis. As expected, the three gene sets exhibited various levels of induction in response to H$_2$O$_2$ treatment, whereas Sall1 and Col4a1 were not affected (Fig. 1A). Two patterns of transcription were observed for cyclin C–activated genes. For the p53-dependent set, cyclin C is required for steady-state levels of Trp53inp1 and p21 but accounted for only partial H$_2$O$_2$ induction (Fig. 1A, left side).

The transcriptional analysis of nine additional H$_2$O$_2$-induced genes controlled by p53 identified seven that required cyclin C for activation and two that were repressed during normal growth (Fig. S1). The same patterns were observed in that cyclin C is required for maintaining both steady-state transcription and full H$_2$O$_2$-induced induction. A second pattern was observed for Adrm1 and Gabarap transcription in that cyclin C was required for both steady-state levels and any H$_2$O$_2$-mediated induction. For the cyclin C–repressed genes, two transcription patterns were again observed depending on p53 requirement. Deleting Ccnc resulted in a 4-fold derepression of Jmy mRNA that was not enhanced by H$_2$O$_2$ treatment (Fig. 1A, right side). However, H$_2$O$_2$-treated WT cells only displayed a 2-fold elevation in Jmy mRNA, suggesting that the pro-oxidant treatment only partially relieves cyclin C–dependent repression. Similar to Jmy regulation, two repressed genes (Dyrk3 and Noxa) exhibited elevated mRNA levels in the absence of cyclin C beyond that induced by H$_2$O$_2$ treatment in WT cells (Fig. S1). These findings suggest that H$_2$O$_2$ treatment does not elicit the full activation of these loci. A second profile was observed for autophagy-induced Prkaa2 and two additional repressed genes Gtf2hi and Crebri. These loci displayed mRNA levels in Ccnc−/− that matched WT H$_2$O$_2$-treated cells (Fig. 1A, right side). Moreover, the addition of H$_2$O$_2$ did not increase transcription in Ccnc−/− cells. These findings suggest that H$_2$O$_2$ treatment was able to remove all cyclin C–dependent repression.

Next, ChIP analysis was used to monitor cyclin C promoter occupancy at these promoters before and after H$_2$O$_2$ treatment. For all genes, cyclin C demonstrated promoter enrichment over background in unstressed cells (Fig. 1B), suggesting that its control of the transcription at each locus is direct. Antibody specificity controls are shown in Fig. S2 for the Trp53inp1 locus. Following H$_2$O$_2$ exposure, there was a significant increase in promoter occupancy for the two p53-dependent genes activated by cyclin C (Trp53inp1 and p21) (Fig. 1B, left side). Previous reports demonstrated increased promoter occupancy at the p21 promoter by the CKM members cyclin C, Cdk8, and Med12 following Nutlin, but not UVC, treatment (32). In contrast, there was a significant decrease in promoter occupancy of cyclin C following oxidative stress for the repressed gene Jmy (Fig. 1B, right side). These results indicate that cyclin C is recruited to promoters when its transcriptional activity is employed but removed when its repression function is no longer wanted. Similar increases in occupancy were observed for the activated autophagy Gabarap and independent Adrm1 genes. Likewise, loss in cyclin C occupancy was observed for the repressed genes Prkaa2, Gtf2hi, and Crebri, consistent with removing CKM repression. No changes in promoter occupancy were observed for the H$_2$O$_2$-independent genes Col4a1 and Sall1. Taken together, these results are consistent with a model that cyclin C recruitment helps direct normal gene induction, whereas its removal is important for transcriptional derepression. To test whether this model is predictive, we examined cyclin C promoter occupancy for a
member of an expression class that requires cyclin C for its steady state expression but is downregulated in response to H₂O₂ (Fig. 1C). According to our model, this gene set should exhibit loss of cyclin C occupancy from its promoter following stress. We tested one member of this group, Angptl4, and found that both cyclin C and Cdk8 were removed from the promoter following H₂O₂ treatment (Fig. 1D). Therefore, changes in CKM occupancy may be predictive from the transcriptional profile (repression vs. activation) and the transcriptional change after stress treatment.

**The promoter occupancy of CKM components largely mirrors that of cyclin C**

Our results indicate that cyclin C occupancy is increased when it serves a positive role in transcription but removed to
relieve its repressor function. Biochemical studies purifying the CKM suggest that it is mostly found as an intact complex (15). Therefore, we next asked whether other components of the CKM also display similar dynamic changes in promoter occupancy. ChIP experiments were conducted using antibodies targeting three additional members of the CKM (Cdk8, Med13, and Med13L). Med13 and Med13L were chosen because their individual activities have not been clearly delineated. The results indicated significant promoter occupancy by each of the four members for each gene in WT unstressed cells for both cyclin C–activated (Fig. 2A) and –repressed (Fig. 2B) genes. Following H2O2 exposure, promoter occupancy patterns of the CKM members generally mirrored that observed for cyclin C for each of the induced genes Trp53inp1, p21, Gabarap, and Adrm1 (Fig. 2A). As expected, no change in promoter occupancy for any of these proteins was observed for H2O2-independent Col4a1. For the repressed genes Jmy and Gtf2h1, there was a significant decrease in promoter occupancy of each CKM member in response to oxidative stress similar to that observed for cyclin C (Fig. 2B). However, CKM promoter occupancy at Prkaa2 and Crebrf gave an intermediate result. Specifically, although cyclin C levels were diminished to nearly background levels at these promoters, significant levels of the remaining CKM members were detected (Fig. 2B, arrows). These findings indicate that cyclin C was separated from other CKM components at a subset of the Prkaa2 or Crebrf promoters. Interestingly, ChIP analysis showed that Cdk8 occupancy at the Trp53inp1 promoter was at the limits of detection in Ccnc−/− cells (Fig. S2). These results suggest a different fate for Cdk8 promoter occupancy when cyclin C is completely absent versus removed in response to stress. Together, these data indicate that promoter movements by cyclin C and other CKM components are largely similar, arguing that the CKM moves as a single unit to and from promoters. A potential caveat to this conclusion is the two repressed promoters, Prkaa2 and Crebrf, in which cyclin C and CKM removal appear disconnected.

A subset of genes is regulated by cyclin C in response to both oxidative stress and mTOR inhibition

Previous studies have revealed regulatory cross-talk between the oxidative stress and autophagic signaling systems (33). Specifically, it has been reported that low-level oxidative stress induces autophagy (34), whereas amino acid starvation triggers a low-level oxidative stress response (35). To determine whether cyclin C exhibited coregulation of H2O2- and autophagy-induced genes, WT MEF cultures were treated with the mTOR inhibitor Torin1 (32). Similar to H2O2 stress, cyclin C was required for both steady-state and Torin1-induced transcription of Gabarap and Adrm1 (Fig. 3A, left side). In addition,
two repressed genes, Prkaa2 and Crebrf, exhibited derepression following Torin1 treatment that was similar to those observed in Ccnc2/2 cells, suggesting that removing cyclin C–dependent repression was sufficient for maximal induction (Fig. 3A, right side). Interestingly, one gene repressed by cyclin C, Gtf2h1, exhibited reduced mRNA levels following Torin1 treatment (Fig. 3A, arrow). These findings indicate that Torin1 and H2O2 treatment is processed similarly though cyclin C activity, although differences exist.

Similar to H2O2 stress, ChIP analysis following Torin1 exposure revealed increased cyclin C promoter occupancy for the induced genes Gabarap and Adrm1 (Fig. 3B, left side). In addition, cyclin C promoter occupancy was reduced at both Prkaa2 and Crebrf promoters. These results are consistent with our model that removing cyclin C from promoters is part of the derepression process. Although the impact of cyclin C is opposite, these data suggest the possibility that a common pathway is used to induce these loci following both H2O2 exposure and mTOR inhibition. However, Gtf2h1 mRNA levels decreased following Torin1 treatment (Fig. 3A, arrow), opposite to that observed for H2O2 stress. Because our results indicate that cyclin C is recruited to promoters demonstrating transcriptional induction, we would predict that its promoter occupancy would also increase following Torin1 treatment to establish additional Torin1-induced repression. ChIP analysis proved this prediction correct with a significant increase in cyclin C promoter occupancy following Torin1 treatment compared with untreated cells (Fig. 3B). Further analysis of the p53-mediated stress-response genes Trp53inp1, p21, and Jmy found no or little change in mRNA levels between control and Torin1-treated cells (Fig. S3A). Consistent with this result, cyclin C promoter occupancy also remained unchanged (Fig. S3B). Our ChIP results from the repressed Prkaa2 and Crebrf promoters suggested a possible source for nuclear released cyclin C following H2O2 treatment (Fig. 2B). Similarly, cyclin C is removed from these two promoters in response to Torin1 treatment. However, unlike H2O2 exposure, cyclin C remained nuclear following Torin1 treatment, and the mitochondria remained intact (Fig. 3C). Finally, there was no significant difference in cyclin C levels (Fig. 3D) or iRCD induction (Fig. 3E) in response to Torin1 treatment. These results suggest that not only is CKM promoter occupancy altered in response to a particular

Figure 3. Cyclin C activates and represses an overlapping gene set induced following H2O2 and Torin1 treatment. A, mRNA levels for the indicated genes were determined by RT-qPCR following exposure to oxidative stress and Torin1. Genes known to be up-regulated under starvation conditions are underlined. Results from Fig. 1 for Ccnc2/2 cells are shown for comparison. Arrow indicates Gtf2h1 repression following Torin1 treatment. B, cyclin C ChIP analysis of the genes described in A. The arrow indicates the elevated occupancy of cyclin C at Gtf2h1 coincident with increased repression. C, subcellular localization of cyclin C was determined by immunocytochemistry. Mitochondria and nuclei were identified with MitoTracker Red and 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole staining, respectively. The scales in the lower left corners of the images indicate 10 and 40 nm for the zoomed-in image. The percentage of the cells exhibiting mitochondrial fragmentation is indicated (n = 3, ± S.D). D, cyclin C levels in cultures following exposure to Torin1 (250 nM, 4 h). β-Actin was used as a loading control. Molecular mass markers (kDa) are indicated. E, the percentage of the population annexin V–positive following Torin1 treatment was determined by flow cytometry. Statistical significance is indicated by the following: *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; ****, p < 0.0001; ns, not significant.
stimuli, its integrity may also be precisely controlled. These findings underscore the flexibility the cell has in employing cyclin C to fine-tune transcription and mitochondrial responses to various stressors.

**Locus-specific release of cyclin C is regulated by the UPS**

Under normal growth conditions, mammalian Med13 and Med13L exhibit a steady-state turnover mediated by the UPS (36). This study found that Med13 or Med13L degradation correlated with decreased CKM-Mediator association (36). In yeast, Med13 degradation in response to oxidative stress is also mediated by the UPS (27). Therefore, we tested whether H₂O₂-induced cyclin C promoter release and transcriptional derepression required the UPS. First, WT cells were treated with the proteasome inhibitor MG-132 prior to H₂O₂ treatment. Analysis of the mRNA levels from the cyclin C-repressed genes exhibited three different responses. The mRNA levels of the p53-regulated gene Jmy were unchanged compared with H₂O₂ treatment alone (Fig. 4A). Conversely, Gtf2h1 and Crebrf transcription was elevated above H₂O₂ treatment alone, suggesting that both stressors contributed to their increased transcription. Finally, H₂O₂-induced Prkaa2 mRNA induction was reduced upon MG-132 addition. This result suggested that MG-132 inhibited full derepression. ChIP analysis for the genes Jmy, Gtf2h1, and Crebrf showed a reduction in promoter occupancy following exposure to the combination treatment, comparable with that of oxidative stress alone (Fig. 4B). However, although cyclin C occupancy was reduced at the Prkaa2 promoter following treatment with both drugs, the level of reduction was significantly less than observed in H₂O₂-treated cells. These results are consistent with a model that MG-132 prevented Med13 and/or Med13L proteolysis, allowing at least partial retention of the CKM and reduced transcriptional repression. If correct, we would predict that Med13 and/or Med13L levels would be reduced in response to H₂O₂, but this effect was blunted by UPS inhibition. Consistent with this possibility, Western blotting analysis of Med13 and Med13L in extracts prepared from MEF cultures treated with H₂O₂ revealed a reduction of about 20% in protein levels compared with the untreated controls (Fig. 4C; quantified in Fig. 4D). However, this reduction was reversed upon addition of MG-132. Taken together, these results are consistent with the previous results from yeast and mammalian cells that cyclin C promoter release is associated with Med13/Med13L degradation. Importantly, not all promoters demonstrated elevated cyclin C retention following MG-132 treatment is consistent with our previous findings that the regulation of cyclin C occupancy and CKM integrity are controlled in a locus-specific manner.

**Cyclin C regulates transcription both dependent and independent of Cdk8 kinase activity**

Our yeast studies revealed that Cdk8 phosphorylation is required for Med13 destruction and subsequent cyclin C nuclear release into the cytoplasm (28). To test whether Cdk8 activity is required for the changes observed for the CKM promoter occupancy in mammalian cells, we directly compared transcription and promoter occupancy in CcnC⁻/⁻ mutants, and WT cells treated with the Cdk8 kinase inhibitor Senexin A (37). Following 24 h of Senexin A treatment, the mRNA levels of cyclin C–activated genes Trp53inp1, p21, Gabarap, and Adrm1 were reduced comparable with the CcnC⁻/⁻ cells (Fig. 5A, left side). These data indicate that before stress, steady-state transcription of these genes depends on Cdk8 kinase activity. Similarly, the p53-dependent genes Trp53inp1 and p21 were induced to levels similar to that observed in CcnC⁻/⁻ MEFs following H₂O₂ and Senexin A treatment (Fig. 5A). Moreover, the two additional cyclin C–activated genes, Gabarap and Adrm1,
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Figure 5. Cyclin C transcriptional activation, but not repression, is dependent on Cdk8 kinase activity. A and B, RT-qPCR (A) and ChIP (B) analyses are shown for the indicated genes following treatment with Senexin A and H₂O₂. Values for H₂O₂-treated cultures are from Fig. 1 to aid in comparisons. C, cyclin C levels in MEFs following Senexin A treatment (1 µM, 24 h), and Senexin A + H₂O₂ (0.4 mM, 4 h) were determined by Western blotting analysis. β-Actin was used as a loading control. D, the percentage of the population annexin V–positive following Torin1 treatment was determined by flow cytometry (n = 3). Statistical significance is indicated by the following: *, *p < 0.05; **, *p < 0.01; ***, *p < 0.001; ****, *p < 0.0001.

remained down-regulated following Senexin A treatment phenocopying the CcnC<sup>−/−</sup> deletion results (Fig. 5A). These results indicate that Cdk8 kinase activity is required for both steady-state transcription and induction following H₂O₂ treatment although for differing levels depending on the locus. The examination of cyclin C promoter occupancy for these activated genes revealed that cyclin C recruitment to these promoters following H₂O₂ treatment did not require Cdk8 kinase activity (Fig. 5B, left side).

Surprisingly, the two cyclin C–repressed genes, Jmy and Crebfr, were slightly down-regulated following Senexin A treatment compared with controls (Fig. 5A, right side). This is in contrast to CcnC<sup>−/−</sup> MEFs that exhibited derepression of both genes. These results indicate that transcriptional repression of Jmy and Crebfr is independent of Cdk8 kinase activity. The up-regulation of Jmy and Crebfr following exposure to oxidative stress is associated with the promoter release of cyclin C. Compared with WT unstimulated MEFs, ChIP analysis shows loss of cyclin C occupancy with Senexin A treatment (Fig. 5B, right side). However, subtle differences were observed with cyclin C release in Senexin A + H₂O₂-treated cells at the Crebfr promoter. Specifically, the gene Crebfr showed significantly more cyclin C at its promoter under these conditions (p = 0.02; Student’s t test). However, the observed increase in cyclin C promoter occupancy for the gene Jmy was not statistically significant. These data indicate that although differences in cyclin C promoter occupancy are largely independent of Cdk8 kinase activity, its complete removal following H₂O₂ stress may be compromised. These differences are not due to changes in overall cyclin C levels following Senexin A addition (Fig. 5C). Interestingly, there was a significant decrease in iRCD efficiency in Senexin A–treated cells following exposure to oxidative stress (Fig. 5D). These results indicate that Cdk8 kinase activity was required for efficient iRCD. This reduction was not as severe as that observed in CcnC<sup>−/−</sup> cells (Fig. 5D), suggesting differences exist between Senexin A treatment and CcnC deletion for iRCD control. This difference may be due to the additional role of cyclin C at the mitochondria in oxidatively stressed cells (see “Discussion”). Taken together, these data demonstrate that Cdk8 kinase activity is required for full transcriptional activation, whereas repression appears independent of this function.

Discussion

The CKM interacts with the Mediator complex to induce the transcription of genes responsive to several stress signaling pathways including p53-mediated (32), oxidative (7), or hypoxia (38). In addition, we found that cyclin C represses steady-state transcription of genes that are also induced by H₂O₂ treatment (7). However, mechanisms underlying how the CKM performs both positive and negative locus-specific roles in response to the same stress remain unclear. Because the CKM is a removable, substoichiometric component of Mediator, this report tested whether its gain or loss from promoters provided a regulatory mechanism to achieve this control. Using the CKM component cyclin C as a probe to monitor promoter occupancy, we examined both activated and repressed loci that respond to two stressors: H₂O₂-induced oxidative stress and starvation through mTOR inhibition. As observed in previous reports (32, 38), we found that cyclin C is recruited to promoters that require the CKM for stress-induced activation. In addition, we found that cyclin C occupancy also increases when additional repression is required. Conversely, cyclin C is removed from stress-induced genes repressed by the CKM under normal conditions. These patterns of cyclin C occupancy are specific to whether H₂O₂ or starvation stress was administered, suggesting that changes in CKM promoter occupancy may provide a strategy to support this complicated regulatory system. Our results also revealed that the other CKM members largely followed cyclin C promoter recruitment or release patterns, indicating that this complex moved as a unit, although exceptions were noted (see below). Given the relatively low concentration of CKM in the cell, these results suggest that the
CKM being released from a promoter undergoing derepression has two fates. First, the intact CKM is recruited to a promoter requiring this complex for transcriptional activation (Fig. 6). Although speculative, this model takes into account early RNA polymerase II holoenzyme/Mediator purifications revealing that most of the CKM in the cell was associated with the Mediator and not part of a significant free pool (39). More comprehensive studies are required to determine whether the CKM removed from one promoter can be accounted for by occupancy increase at others. The other path involves dissolution of the CKM to allow cyclin C alone to translocate to the cytoplasm where it interacts with proteins at the mitochondria to induce fission and iRCD. To definitively establish this model, additional genes will need to be examined that are repressed by cyclin C and induced following H2O2 treatment. Taken together, these results reveal that the CKM exhibits remarkable locus-specific movement to and from promoters based on its transcriptional role. This heterogeneity in response observed at individual promoters suggests that this system offers the cell a myriad of combinations with which to combine transcriptional control with CKM fate.

CMK dysregulation is implicated in a wide variety of disorders and cancers (18). The finding that Med13 ablation leads to early embryonic lethality (40) suggested that Med13 and Med13L have at least partially independent regulatory roles. However, our analysis of cyclin C, Cdk8, Med13, and Med13L promoter occupancy found that the CKM generally moves as a unit both to and from promoters, although some differences were observed (Fig. 2). In addition, with the possible exception of the repressed Inmy locus, Med13 and Med13L were found at all promoters analyzed and behaved similarly with respect to promoter recruitment or removal. These results may suggest that, at least for this gene subset, Med13 and Med13L have similar functions regulating transcription. Therefore, another interpretation of the knockout results is that removing Med13 or Med13L may invoke a gene dosage-related phenotype. For example, recent studies found that MED13 or MED13L haploinsufficiency results in several overlapping, but not identical, developmental syndromes (41–46). Therefore, transcriptional control by Med13 and Med13L may be exquisitely sensitive to gene copy number. Eliminating both copies of Med13 or Med13L may be sufficient to disrupt embryonic transcriptional control sufficient to cause lethality. Analyzing the fate of mice heterozygous for both Med13 and Med13L may address this question.

Our previous results from yeast revealed that Med13 destruction is required for the nuclear release of cyclin C following oxidative stress (27). These results fit well with structural studies indicating that Med13 tethers the CKM to the Mediator (17). Additional yeast studies found that the CKM is also disrupted in response to many stressors including starvation (47). However, closer examination revealed that the fate of the CKM components changed depending on the stress. For example, in response to oxidative stress, the yeast Med13 is destroyed by the UPS, allowing cyclin C release into the cytoplasm to stimulate mitochondrial fragmentation and RCD prior to its destruction (28). In response to nitrogen starvation, cyclin C has a different fate. Rather than undergoing nuclear release, cyclin C is degraded before cytoplasmic relocalization, protecting the cell from its mitochondrial role promoting regulated cell death (48). Similar to the yeast results, we found that in response to H2O2 or Torin1 treatment, cyclin C is removed from promoters that it represses under normal growth conditions (Fig. 3B). However, several differences were observed between yeast and mammalian cells. First, cyclin C levels do not change significantly following H2O2 or starvation stress in murine cells. In addition, unlike yeast, in which the CKM is completely dismantled, this complex appears to remain mostly...

Figure 6. Model for CKM fate following H2O2 stress treatment. Examples of cyclin C–repressed (top panel) and activated (bottom panel) genes are shown. The stress signal induces CKM release from genes repressed under normal conditions. The CKM has two fates. Intact CKM leaving repressed promoters transits to loci to stimulate transcription (bottom panel). A subpopulation of CKMs dissolves allowing free cyclin C to exit the nucleus (top panel) and initiate mitochondrial fragmentation and iRCD via Drp1 and Bax recruitment, respectively.
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Intact as it moves from one promoter to another. These differences may reflect the additional transcriptional responsibilities the CKM has in mammalian cells. In addition to stress or developmental responsive genes, RNA-Seq analysis also revealed a role for cyclin C in the transcriptional activation of genes involved in energy production and proliferation (7). Therefore, metazoans may have leveraged the requirement for cyclin C nuclear release with the increased role of the CKM in stress-independent transcription.

ChIP analysis revealed that CKM regulation was not always uniform. For example, H2O2 treatment resulted in nearly complete removal of cyclin C at the Prkaa2 and Crebf promoters, but only partial reduction in Cdk8, Med13, or Med13L. This pattern was not observed for other repressed genes, Jmy and Gtf2h1. In yeast, this release is triggered by Med13 destruction via the UPS. If a similar strategy is employed in MEFs, we would predict that MG-132 treatment would prevent CKM promoter release. In addition, failure to release the CKM may disrupt either its repressor function, which would not be dependent on cyclin C's ability to mediate iRCD. We have previously demonstrated that cyclin C nuclear release is not dependent on Cdk8 activity (24). Therefore, deleting Ccnc removes both functions, resulting in more cellular protection from H2O2 treatment. This conclusion may be a bit simplistic because deleting Ccnc also removes the repressor function of the CKM. The contribution of the repressor aspect of cyclin C function to iRCD efficiency has yet to be separated from its other activities. Taken together, these findings reveal the contribution of cyclin C at multiple levels of the oxidative stress-response pathway.

**Experimental procedures**

**Cell culture**

Ccnc<sup>+/+</sup> (WT) and Ccnc<sup>−/−</sup> (24) immortalized MEF cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C and 5% CO2. WT and Ccnc<sup>−/−</sup> MEF cultures were grown to 75% confluence and treated as follows. Oxidative stress included exposure to 0.4 mM H2O2 (Sigma–Aldrich, CAS: 7722-84-1) in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium without fetal bovine serum for 4 h. The cells were treated with 50 μM Torin1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; CAS: 1222998-36-8) for 4 h. The cells were treated with 1 μM Senexin A (Tocris; CAS: 1780390-76-2) for 24 h. The cells were treated with 5 μM MG-132 (Calbiochem; CAS: 133407-82-6) for 1 h before oxidative stress treatment. The treatment protocols above were completed for 24 h for iRCD assay analysis. All experiments were conducted with three independent trials conducted in triplicate for each condition.

**Immunocytochemistry/immunofluorescence**

MEFs were cultured as described above on coverslips and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 for 10 min, blocked with 2% BSA, and incubated with primary antibody (1:2000 dilution of anti-cyclin C–Bethyl-A301-989A). Following a washing step, the coverslips were incubated with secondary antibody (1:2000 dilution of anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488; Invitrogen; A-11008) and washed again before coverslips were mounted with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole–containing medium (Vector Laboratory). The images were acquired with a Nikon Eclipse 90i microscope equipped with a Retiga Exi charge-coupled device camera and NIS software for data analysis. Mitochondrial staining was completed using MitoTracker Red CMXRos 30 min before fixing, as described by the manufacturer (Molecular Probes). Quantitation was accomplished with three independent
cultures, with 200 cells counted per sample. All microscopy experiments were conducted with three independent experiments conducted in triplicate for each condition.

**Western blotting analysis**

Total cell lysate was prepared from cultured cells, as described above, in RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% Igepal CA-630, 0.5% deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 5 mM EDTA, 20 mM NaF, 0.2 mM sodium orthovanadate, and Sigma mammalian protease inhibitors) for 30 min with mild agitation at 4°C. Lysates were centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad), and 30 μg of total protein was used for analysis. Western blotting analysis for cyclin C was conducted with rabbit polyclonal primary antibody (1:2500 dilution) directed against cyclin C (Bethyl-A301-989A) and anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:5000 dilution) (Abcam; ab6702). Western blotting analysis for Med13 and Med13L were conducted with mouse mAb (1:2500 dilution) directed against Med13 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc515557) and anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:5000 dilution) (Abcam; ab6708); and rabbit polyclonal primary antibody (1:2500 dilution) directed against Med13L (Bethyl-A302-421A) and anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:5000 dilution) (Abcam; ab6702). Western blots were incubated with CDP-STAR (CDP*) substrate, and signals were visualized using an iBright FL1500 imaging system (Thermo). β-Actin (1:2500 dilution) (Abcam-Ab8227) was used as loading controls. All Western blotting analyses were conducted with three independent experiments conducted in triplicate for each condition. The quantitation of Med13 and Med13L protein signals were completed using iBright Analysis software.

**Flow cytometry iRCD assays**

MEFs were seeded in culture conditions described above in 12-well plates at a density of 5 × 10⁴ cells and grown for 24 h, before stress application for 24 h, as described above. Annexin V assays were conducted following the manufacturer’s instructions (BD Biosciences), using a BD Accuri™ C6 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). All iRCD experiments were conducted with three independent experiments conducted in triplicate for each condition.

**ChIP assays**

WT and CcnC−/− MEF cultures treated as described above were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. The cross-linking reaction was quenched by the addition of 125 mM final concentration glycine. The cells were washed with cold PBS (containing Sigma mammalian protease inhibitors); lysed with RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% Igepal CA-630, 0.5% deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 5 mM EDTA, 20 mM NaF, 0.2 mM sodium orthovanadate, and Sigma mammalian protease inhibitors); and subjected to sonication to produce DNA fragments of <500 bp. For immunoprecipitation, 1 μg of antibody (α-cyclin C (Bethyl-A301-989A), α-Cdk8 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc1521), α-Med13 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc515557), α-Med13L (Bethyl-A302-421A), or α-GFP (Takara Bio; 632375)), was added to samples and incubated for 8 h at 4°C. The α-GFP antibody served as a nonspecific control. The lysates were then incubated with magnetic Dynabeads (protein G) (Invitrogen; 10004D) to collect immunoprecipitated samples. Following incubation, the beads were washed twice with RIPA buffer, four times with ChIP wash buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 500 mM LiCl, 1% (v/v) Nonidet P-40, 1% (w/v) deoxycholic acid), twice with RIPA buffer again, and twice with 1× TE (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). Immunocomplexes were eluted for 10 min at 65°C with 1% SDS, and cross-linking was reversed by adding NaCl to a final concentration of 200 mM and incubating for 5 h at 65°C. DNA was purified for each sample, and a fraction (1/100) was used in qPCR amplification using ThermoFisher™ PowerSYBR™ Green PCR Master Mix and a StepOne™ real-time PCR system. Primers specific to each individual gene promoter region were designed for quantification of the signal via the percentage input method. Primer sequences for ChIP qPCR analysis can be found in Table S1. ChIP assays were conducted with three independent preparations in triplicate for statistical analysis via Student’s t test.

**RT-qPCR analysis**

Total RNA was prepared from the cell samples using Monarch® total RNA miniprep kit. On-column DNase treatment was performed to eliminate contaminating DNA during RNA extraction. Total RNA (500 ng) was converted to cDNA using the ThermoFisher™ Maxima CDNA synthesis kit. The cDNA from each sample (1/100 dilution) was subjected to qPCR amplification using ThermoFisher™ PowerSYBR™ Green PCR Master Mix and a StepOne™ real-time PCR system. These assays were conducted with three independent preparations assayed in duplicate. Gapdh was used as the internal standard for comparative (ΔΔC_T) quantitation (51). Statistical significance was determined via Student’s t test analysis. Primer sequences for RT-qPCR analysis can be found in Table S1.

**Data availability**

All data are contained with the article.
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