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Abstract
Let \( g \) be a complex classical simple Lie algebra and let \( O \) be a nilpotent orbit of \( g \). The fundamental group \( \pi_1(O) \) is finite. Take the universal covering \( \pi^0 : X^0 \to O \). Then \( \pi^0 \) extends to a finite cover \( \pi : X \to \tilde{O} \). By using the Kirillov–Kostant form \( \omega_{KK} \) on \( O \), the normal affine variety \( X \) becomes a conical symplectic variety. In this article we give an explicit construction of a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial terminalization of \( X \).

Mathematics Subject Classification 14E15 · 17B08

Introduction
Let \( g \) be a complex semisimple Lie algebra and let \( O \) be a nilpotent orbit of \( g \). Then \( O \) admits a symplectic 2-form \( \omega_{KK} \) called the Kirillov-Kostant 2-form. In general \( O \) is not simply connected, but \( \pi_1(O) \) is finite. Let \( \pi_0 : X^0 \to O \) be a finite etale covering. The function field \( \mathbb{C}(X^0) \) of \( X^0 \) is a finite algebraic extension of \( \mathbb{C}(O) \). Let \( \tilde{O} \) be the closure of \( O \) in \( g \). The normalization \( X \) of \( \tilde{O} \) in \( \mathbb{C}(X^0) \) determines a finite covering \( \pi : X \to \tilde{O} \). Then \( X^0 \) is contained in \( X \) as a Zariski open subset so that \( \pi|_{X^0} = \pi_0 \). If \( G \) is a simply connected complex semisimple Lie group with \( \text{Lie}(G) = g \), then the adjoint \( G \)-action on \( O \) (resp. \( \tilde{O} \)) lifts to a \( G \)-action on \( X^0 \) (resp. \( X \)). The 2-form \( \omega := (\pi^0)^*\omega_{KK} \) is a \( G \)-invariant symplectic 2-form on \( X^0 \). The pair \( (X, \omega) \) is then a symplectic variety in the sense of Beauville [1]. Brylinski and Kostant [3] extensively studied such varieties in the context of shared orbits. A nilpotent orbit closure \( \tilde{O} \) has a natural scaling \( \mathbb{C}^\ast \)-action for which \( \omega_{KK} \) has weight 1. Let \( s : \mathbb{C}^\ast \to \text{Aut}(\tilde{O}) \) be a homomorphism determined by the scaling action. In general this \( \mathbb{C}^\ast \)-action does not lift to a \( \mathbb{C}^\ast \)-action on \( X \). But, if we instead define a new \( \mathbb{C}^\ast \)-action on \( \tilde{O} \) by the composite \( \sigma \) of
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\[ C^* \to C^* \ (t \to t^2), \quad \text{and} \quad s : C^* \to \text{Aut}(\tilde{O}), \]

then the new \( C^* \)-action \( \sigma \) always lifts to a \( C^* \)-action on \( X \) by [3], §1. By definition, \( wt(\omega) = 2 \) with respect to this \( C^* \)-action. Therefore \((X, \omega)\) is a conical symplectic \( G \)-variety with \( wt(\omega) = 2 \).

A main purpose of this article is to study the birational geometry for the resolutions of \((X, \omega)\). A crepant projective resolution \( f : Y \to X \) of \( X \) is, by definition, a projective birational morphism \( f \) from a nonsingular variety \( Y \) to \( X \) such that \( K_Y = f^* K_X \). In general \( X \) does not have a crepant projective resolution. But, instead, \( X \) always has a nice crepant projective partial resolution \( f : Y \to X \) called a \textit{Q-factorial terminalization} by [2]. A \( Q \)-factorial terminalization \( f \) is, by definition, a projective birational morphism from a normal variety \( Y \) to \( X \) such that \( Y \) has only \( Q \)-factorial terminal singularities and \( K_Y = f^* K_X \). It is natural to expect that such a \( Q \)-factorial terminalization can be constructed very explicitly in a group theoretic manner when \((X, \omega)\) is the above.

When \( X^0 \) is the nilpotent orbit \( O \) itself, \( X \) is nothing but the normalization \( \tilde{O} \) of \( \tilde{O} \). Namikawa [20] and Fu [6] respectively constructed a \( Q \)-factorial terminalization of \( \tilde{O} \) quite explicitly when \( g \) is a classical simple Lie algebra and when \( g \) is an exceptional simple Lie algebra (See also [17] for a unified treatment). However, when \( \text{deg}(\pi) > 1 \), this problem has not yet been enough pursued though there is an interesting observation by [7], Theorem 1.4 for the case \( \text{deg}(\pi) \) is odd.

In this article we construct a \( Q \)-factorial terminalization of \( X \) when \( O \) is a nilpotent orbit of a classical simple Lie algebra \( g \) and \( X^0 \) is the universal covering of \( O \). We shall explain here a basic idea for the construction. Let \( Q \subset G \) be a parabolic subgroup of \( G \) and let \( Q = U \cdot L \) be a Levi decomposition of \( Q \) by the unipotent radical \( U \) and a Levi subgroup \( L \). Correspondingly the Lie algebra \( q \) decomposes \( q = n \oplus l \) as a direct sum of \( n := \text{Lie}(U) \) and \( l := \text{Lie}(L) \). Let \( O' \) be a nilpotent orbit of \( l \). Then there is a unique nilpotent orbit \( O \) of \( g \) such that \( O \) meets \( n + O' \) in a Zariski open subset of \( n + O' \). In such a case we say that \( O \) is induced from \( O' \) and write \( O = \text{Ind}^g_l(O') \).

There is a generically finite map

\[
\mu : G \times Q \ (n + \tilde{O}') \to \tilde{O} \quad ([g, z] \to Ad_g(z)),
\]

which we call a generalized Springer map. Let \((X')^0 \to O' \) be an etale covering (which is not necessarily the universal covering) and let \( X' \to \tilde{O}' \) be the associated finite cover. Then we can consider the space \( n + X' \) which is, by definition, a product of an affine space \( n \) and the affine variety \( X' \). There is a finite cover \( n + X' \to n + \tilde{O}' \). If the \( Q \)-action on \( n + \tilde{O}' \) lifts to a \( Q \)-action on \( n + X' \), then we can make \( G \times Q \ (n + X') \) and get a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G \times Q \ (n + X') & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & Z \\
\mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G \times Q \ (n + \tilde{O}') & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \tilde{O},
\end{array}
\]

(1)
where $Z$ is the Stein factorization of $\mu \circ \pi'$. For an arbitrary nilpotent orbit $O$ of a classical Lie algebra $g$, we will give an explicit algorithm for finding $Q$, $O'$ and $X'$ such that

1. $O = \text{Ind}^g_{O'}(O')$,
2. $X'$ has only $Q$-factorial terminal singularities, and
3. the $Q$-action on $n + O'$ lifts to a $Q$-action on $n + X'$ and the finite covering $Z \to \tilde{O}$ in the diagram coincides with the finite covering $\pi : X \to \tilde{O}$ associated with the universal covering $X^0$ of $O$.

Then $\mu'$ gives a $Q$-factorial terminalization of $X$. As for the existence of such $(Q, O', X')$, there is another interesting viewpoint [17]. Along the argument of [17], Matvieievskyi [18], Corollary 4.3 assures the existence in a more general situation by using the universal Poisson deformation of $X$ [24].

Once we get an explicit $Q$-factorial terminalization $G \times^Q (n + X')$ of $X$, it is easy to see if it is nonsingular or not (cf. Lemma 1.6). If it is nonsingular, $\mu'$ is a crepant projective resolution of $X$. If it is singular, then any other $Q$-factorial terminalizations of $X$ are also singular by [22], Corollary 25; hence $X$ has no crepant projective resolution in such a case.

In the subsequent article [26], we will determine the Weyl group $W(X)$ of $X$ and count how many different $Q$-factorial terminalizations $X$ has.

0 Preliminaries

(P.1) Symplectic varieties

Let $X$ be a normal variety over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\omega$ be a regular 2-form on $X_{\text{reg}}$. Then $(X, \omega)$ is a symplectic variety if

(a) $\omega$ is non-degenerate and $d$-closed, and

(b) for a resolution $f : \tilde{X} \to X$ of $X$, the 2-form $f^*\omega$ on $f^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}})$ extends to a regular 2-form on $\tilde{X}$.

A symplectic variety $X$ has only canonical singularities; hence it has only rational singularities. The following properties of a symplectic variety will be frequently used in this article.

**Proposition 0.1** Let $(X, \omega)$ be a symplectic variety of dim $2n$ and let $f : \tilde{X} \to X$ be a resolution of $X$. Write $K_{\tilde{X}} = f^*K_X + \sum a_i E_i$ with $f$-exceptional prime divisors $E_i$ (each coefficient $a_i$ being called the discrepancy of $E_i$). Let $E_{i_0}$ be an $f$-exceptional prime divisor with $a_{i_0} = 0$. Then $\dim f(E_{i_0}) = 2n - 2$.

**Proof** Put $S := f(E_{i_0})$. We blow up $\tilde{X}$ further to get a projective birational morphism $\nu : Z \to \tilde{X}$ such that $F := (f \circ \nu)^{-1}(S)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor of $Z$. $F$ contains the proper transform $F_0$ of $E_{i_0}$ by $\nu$ as an irreducible component. By definition $\omega$ lifts to a regular 2-form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $Z$. Since the discrepancy of $F_0$ is zero, $\tilde{\omega}$ is a non-degenerate 2-form on $Z$ at a general point $p \in F_0$. We may assume that $p$ is a smooth point of $F_0$. Let $\phi$ be the defining equation of $F_0$ at $p$. Then one can take a
system of local parameters $\phi, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_{2n}$ of $Z$ at $p$ in such a way that

$$\tilde{\omega}(p) = d\phi \wedge d\phi_2 + \ldots + d\phi_{2n-1} \wedge d\phi_{2n} \in \wedge^2(T^*Z)_p.$$ 

This implies that $\wedge^{n-1} \tilde{\omega}|_{F_0} \neq 0$. According to [5], §1, we put $\hat{\Omega}_F^i := \Omega_F^i / \tau_i$ for $i \geq 0$, where $\tau_i$ is the subsheaf of $\Omega_F^i$ consisting of the sections supported on $\text{Sing}(F)$. In particular, $\hat{\Omega}_F^0 = \mathcal{O}_F$. Then $\tilde{\omega}|_F$ determines a nonzero element of $H^0(F, \hat{\Omega}_F^2)$. Take a (non-empty) smooth open set $U$ of $S$ so that the fiber $F_x$ of $(f \circ v)|_F : F \to S$ over $x \in U$ is a simple normal crossing variety for any $x \in U$ and that $F$ is locally a product of $F_x$ and $U$. Replace $S$ by $U$ and $F$ by $(f \circ v)^{-1}(U)$. Define

$$\mathcal{G} := \text{Ker}[\hat{\Omega}_F^2 \to \hat{\Omega}_{F/S}^2].$$

Then there are exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathcal{G} \to \hat{\Omega}_F^2 \to \hat{\Omega}_{F/S}^2 \to 0$$

$$0 \to (f \circ v)|_F^* \Omega_S^2 \to \mathcal{G} \to (f \circ v)|_F^* \Omega_S^1 \otimes \hat{\Omega}_{F/S}^1 \to 0.$$

This can be checked as follows. Let $F_0, \ldots, F_k$ be the irreducible components of $F$. For each $p$ with $p \leq k$, we put

$$F^{[p]} := \bigsqcup_{0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \ldots < i_p \leq k} F_{i_0} \cap \ldots \cap F_{i_p}.$$ 

Denote by $\mu_p : F^{[p]} \to F$ the natural map. For simplicity, we write $\alpha$ for $(f \circ v)|_F$, and $\alpha_p$ for $(f \circ v)|_F \circ \mu_p$. Note that $F^{[p]}$ is a disjoint union of smooth varieties. If we put

$$\mathcal{G}_p := \text{Ker}[\Omega_{F^{[p]}}^2 \to \Omega_{F^{[p]}/S}^2],$$

then there are exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathcal{G}_p \to \Omega_{F^{[p]}}^2 \to \Omega_{F^{[p]}/S}^2 \to 0$$

$$0 \to \alpha_p^* \Omega_S^2 \to \mathcal{G}_p \to \alpha_p^* \Omega_S^1 \otimes \Omega_{F^{[p]}/S}^1 \to 0.$$ 

By taking $(\mu_p)_*$ of these exact sequences, we get the exact sequences

$$0 \to (\mu_p)_* \mathcal{G}_p \to (\mu_p)_* \Omega_{F^{[p]}}^2 \to (\mu_p)_* \Omega_{F^{[p]}/S}^2 \to 0$$

$$0 \to \alpha_* \Omega_S^2 \otimes (\mu_p)_* \mathcal{O}_{F^{[p]}} \to (\mu_p)_* \mathcal{G}_p \to \alpha_* \Omega_S^1 \otimes (\mu_p)_* \Omega_{F^{[p]}/S}^1 \to 0.$$ 

On the other hand, the sheaves $\hat{\Omega}_F^i$ and $\hat{\Omega}_{F/S}^i$ respectively have resolutions (see [5], Proposition (1.5) for details):

$$0 \to \hat{\Omega}_F^i \to (\mu_0)_* \Omega_{F^{[0]}}^i \to (\mu_1)_* \Omega_{F^{[1]}}^i \to \ldots$$
By combining these exact sequences, we finally get the following commutative diagrams with exact rows and exact columns

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \hat{\Omega}_r^{i} & \rightarrow & (\mu_0)_*\hat{\Omega}_r^{i} & \rightarrow & (\mu_1)_*\hat{\Omega}_r^{i} & \rightarrow & \ldots \\
\end{array}
$$

The exact sequences at the bottom rows are nothing but the desired exact sequences.

We derive a contradiction by assuming that $i := \text{Codim}_X S \geq 3$. $\tilde{\omega}|_F \in H^0(F, \hat{\Omega}_F^2)$ cannot be written as the pull-back of a 2-form $\omega_S$ on $S$. In fact, since $\dim S \leq 2n - 3$, $\wedge^{n-1}\omega_S = 0$. If $\tilde{\omega}|_F$ is the pull-back of $\omega_S$, then $\wedge^{n-1}\tilde{\omega}|_F = 0$, which means that $\wedge^{n-1}\tilde{\omega}|_{F_0} = 0$. This contradicts that $\wedge^{n-1}\tilde{\omega}|_{F_0} \neq 0$. Since $\tilde{\omega}|_F \in H^0(F, \hat{\Omega}_F^2)$ is not the pull-back of a 2-form on $S$, we see that $H^0(F_x, \hat{\Omega}_F^2) \neq 0$ or $H^0(F_x, \hat{\Omega}_F^1) \neq 0$ for a general point $x \in S$ by the exact sequences above. On the other hand, since $(X, x)$ is a rational singularity, we have $H^0(F_x, \hat{\Omega}_F^p) = 0$ for all $p > 0$ by [21], Lemma (1.2). This is a contradiction. Therefore $\text{Codim}_X(S) = 2$. \hfill $\square$

**Corollary 0.2** Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a crepant partial resolution of a symplectic variety $X$ of dim $2n$ and let $E$ be a $\pi$-exceptional prime divisor. Then $\dim \pi(E) = 2n - 2$.

**Proof** Let $\nu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ be a resolution and let $\tilde{E}$ be the proper transform of $E$ by $\nu$. Put $f = \pi \circ \nu$. Then the discrepancy of $\tilde{E}$ is 0. By Proposition 0.1 $\dim f(\tilde{E}) = 2n - 2$. \hfill $\square$
Corollary 0.3 Let $(X, \omega)$ be a symplectic variety of dim $2n$ with $\text{Codim}_X \text{Sing}(X) \geq 4$. Then $X$ has only terminal singularities.

Proof Let $f : \tilde{X} \to X$ be a resolution of $X$ such that $f|_{f^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}})} : f^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}}) \cong X_{\text{reg}}$. Assume that $X$ has worse singularities than terminal singularities. Then there is an $f$-exceptional prime divisor $F$ such that its discrepancy is 0. By Proposition 0.1, $\dim f(F) = 2n - 2$. This contradicts that $\text{Codim}_X \text{Sing}(X) \geq 4$. □

Let $(X, \omega)$ be an affine symplectic variety with $R = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Assume that $R$ is a positively graded ring $R = \oplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ with $R_0 = \mathbb{C}$. This means that $X$ has a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action such that the closed point $0 \in X$ corresponding to the maximal ideal $m_R := \oplus_{i > 0} R_i$ is a unique fixed point of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. If $\omega$ is homogeneous with respect to this $\mathbb{C}^*$-action (i.e. $t^*\omega = t^l\omega$ for some integer $l$ and for $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$), then we call $(X, \omega)$ a conical symplectic variety. By the property (b) of a symplectic variety, the weight $l$ is a positive integer.

(P.2) Induced orbits and generalized Springer maps

Let $Q \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$ and let $Q = U \cdot L$ be a Levi decomposition of $Q$ by the unipotent radical $U$ and a Levi subgroup $L$. Correspondingly the Lie algebra $q$ decomposes $q = n \oplus l$ as a direct sum of $n := \text{Lie}(U)$ and $l := \text{Lie}(L)$. Let $O'$ be a nilpotent orbit of $l$. Then there is a unique nilpotent orbit $O$ of $g$ such that $O$ meets $n + O'$ in a Zariski open subset of $n + O'$. In such a case we say that $O$ is induced from $O'$ and write $O = \text{Ind}^O_l(O')$. There is a generically finite map

$$\mu : G \times^Q (n + O') \to \tilde{O} \quad ([g, z] \to \text{Ad}_g(z)),$$

which we call a generalized Springer map. Let $\mu^0 : \mu^{-1}(O) \to O$ be the induced map and let $\omega_{KK}$ be the Kirillov-Kostant form on $O$. Put $Y^0 := G \times^Q (n + O')$. Then $(\mu^0)^*\omega_{KK}$ extends to a symplectic 2-form on $Y^0$ by [25], Proposition 4.2 (see also [20], Lemma (1.2.4)). By taking the wedge product of the symplectic forms, we get $K_{Y^0} = (\mu|_{Y^0})^* K_{\tilde{O}}$. Take the normalization $\tilde{O}'$ of $\tilde{O}'$ and put $Y := G \times^Q (n + \tilde{O}')$. Let $\tilde{O}$ be the normalization of $\tilde{O}$. Then the induced map $\mu^n : Y \to \tilde{O}$ satisfies $K_Y = (\mu^n)^* K_{\tilde{O}}$. In particular, when $\mu$ is birational, $Y$ is a crepant partial resolution of $\tilde{O}$.

(P.3) Nilpotent orbits and their finite coverings

Let $O \subset g$ be a nilpotent orbit of a complex semisimple Lie algebra $g$. Take a simply connected complex algebraic group $G$ with $\text{Lie}(G) = g$. Let $\pi_0 : X^0 \to O$ be a finite etale covering. Then the $G$-action on $O$ extends to a $G$-action on $X^0$ and $\pi_0$ is a $G$-equivariant covering. Let $\tilde{O}$ be the closure of $O \subset g$. We can extend $\pi_0$ to a finite covering map $\pi : X \to \tilde{O}$. Since $X = \text{Spec}(\Gamma(X^0, \mathcal{O}_{X^0}))$ and $G$ acts on $X^0$, $G$ acts on $X$ in such a way that $\pi$ is a $G$-equivariant.

For a point $x \in O$, let $G^x \subset G$ be the stabilizer group of $x$. We take the universal covering of $O$ as $X^0$ and pick a point $\tilde{x} \in X^0$ such that $\pi_0(\tilde{x}) = x$. Let $G^\tilde{x}$ be the stabilizer group of $\tilde{x}$ for the $G$-action on $X^0$. Then $G^\tilde{x}$ coincides with the identity component of $G^x$. Therefore $\pi_1(O) \cong G^\tilde{x}/(G^x)^0$. By the Jacobson-Morozov theorem we take an $sl(2)$-triple $x, y$ and $h$ in $g$. We denote by $\phi$ the map $sl(2) \to g$ determined
by the $sl(2)$-triple. Put

$$g^\phi := \{ z \in g \mid [z, x] = [z, y] = [z, h] = 0 \}. $$

Obviously $g^\phi \subset g^x$. Let $u^x$ be the nilradical of $g^x$. Note that $u^x$ is the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of $G^x$. By Barbasch-Vogan and Kostant (cf. [4], Lemma 3.7.3), there is a direct sum decomposition $g^x = u^x \oplus g^\phi$. Correspondingly we have a semi-direct product $G^x = U^x \cdot G^\phi$. Moreover, the inclusion $G^\phi \to G^x$ induces an isomorphism

$$G^\phi/(G^\phi)^0 \simeq G^x/(G^x)^0,$$

which, in particular, means that $\pi_1(O) \cong G^\phi/(G^\phi)^0$.

A nilpotent orbit closure $\bar{O}$ has a natural scaling $\mathbb{C}^*$-action for which $\omega_{KK}$ has weight 1. Let $s : \mathbb{C}^* \to \text{Aut}(\bar{O})$ be a homomorphism determined by the scaling action. In general this $\mathbb{C}^*$-action does not lift to a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $X$. But, if we instead define a new $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\bar{O}$ by the composite $\sigma$ of

$$\mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}^* \ (t \to t^2), \quad \text{and} \quad s : \mathbb{C}^* \to \text{Aut}(\bar{O}),$$

then the new $\mathbb{C}^*$-action $\sigma$ always lifts to a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $X$ by [3], §1. By definition, $\text{wt}(\omega) = 2$ with respect to this $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. $\pi$ is etale in codimension one because $\text{Codim} \bar{O} - O \geq 2$. The map $\pi$ factors through the normalization $\tilde{O}$ of $\bar{O}$. Put $\omega := \pi_0^* \omega_{KK}$. Then this means that $(X, \omega)$ is a symplectic variety. In fact, take a resolution $f : Y \to \bar{O}$ so that $f^{-1}(O) \cong O$, and make a commutative diagram

$$X \times \bar{O} \rightarrow Y \quad \quad \quad \quad (4)$$

$$\downarrow f' \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow f \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \pi \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \pi$$

Then $Z^0 := X^0 \times_O f^{-1}(O)$ is isomorphically mapped to $X^0$ by $f'$. Let $Z$ be the closure of $Z^0$ in $X \times \bar{O}$, and let $\tilde{Z}$ be a resolution of $Z$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\tilde{Z} \rightarrow Y \quad \quad (5)$$

$$\downarrow \tilde{f} \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow f \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \pi \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \pi$$

$$X \rightarrow \bar{O}$$

where $\tilde{Z}$ and $Y$ are both smooth varieties. Since $\bar{O}$ has symplectic singularities, $\omega_{KK}$ extends to a 2-form $\omega_Y$ on $Y$. Then $\tilde{\pi}^* \omega_Y$ is a 2-form on $\tilde{Z}$ and we see that the 2-form $\omega$ on $X^0$ extends to the 2-form $\tilde{\pi}^* \omega_Y$ on $\tilde{Z}$. This means that $(X, \omega)$ is a symplectic variety. Since $\text{wt}(\omega) = 2$, $(X, \omega)$ is a conical symplectic variety.
A nilpotent orbit $O$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(d)$ is uniquely determined by its Jordan type. If the Jordan normal form of $x \in O$ has $j_1$ Jordan blocks of size $d_1$, $j_2$ Jordan blocks of size $d_2$, ..., and $j_k$ Jordan blocks of size $d_k$, then the Jordan type of $O$ is a partition $[d_1^{j_1}, \ldots, d_k^{j_k}]$ of $d$. In the remainder we assume that $d_1 > d_2 > \ldots > d_k$. We indicate by $O_{[d_1^{j_1}, \ldots, d_k^{j_k}]}$ the nilpotent orbit with Jordan type $[d_1^{j_1}, \ldots, d_k^{j_k}]$.

We first consider the case when $O$ is the regular nilpotent orbit $O_{[d]}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(d)$. In this case $\bar{O}_{[d]}$ is the nilpotent cone of $\mathfrak{sl}(d)$.

**Proposition 1.1** (1) $\pi_1(O_{[d]}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$.

(2) $X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial for any etale covering $\pi_0 : X^0 \rightarrow O_{[d]}$.

**Proof** Take $x \in O_{[d]}$ and consider an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$-triple $\phi$.

(1) As already remarked above, $\pi_1(O_{[d]}) = G^\phi/(G^\phi)^0$. By Springer and Steinberg (cf. [4], Theorem 6.1.3), one has

$$G^\phi \cong \{(\zeta, \ldots, \zeta) \in GL(1)^d \mid \zeta^d = 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}.$$  

(2) It is enough to prove that Pic$(X^0)$ is a finite group for $X^0$. $X^0$ can be written as $G/H$ with a subgroup $H$ with $(G^x)^0 \subset H \subset G^x$. Then we have an exact sequence (cf. [13], Proposition 3.2)

$$
\chi(H) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(G/H) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(G),
$$

where $\chi(H) = \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Since Pic$(G)$ is finite, we need to show that $\chi(H)$ is finite. By the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow U^x \rightarrow (G^x)^0 \rightarrow (G^\phi)^0 \rightarrow 1$$

we have an exact sequence

$$\chi((G^\phi)^0) \rightarrow \chi((G^x)^0) \rightarrow \chi(U^x).$$

Since $(G^\phi)^0 = 1$, the 1-st term is zero. The 3-rd term is zero because $U^x$ is unipotent. Hence $\chi((G^x)^0) = 0$. Now, by the exact sequence

$$\chi(H/(G^x)^0) \rightarrow \chi(H) \rightarrow \chi((G^x)^0)$$

we see that $\chi(H)$ is finite because $\chi(H/(G^x)^0)$ is finite.

**Proposition 1.2** Assume that $\pi_0 : X^0 \rightarrow O_{[d]}$ is the universal covering. Then $\text{Codim}(X, \text{Sing}(X)) \geq 4$. In particular, $X$ has only terminal singularities.

**Proof** Take a point $z$ from the subregular nilpotent orbit $O_{[d-1,1]}$ and let $S$ be a (complex analytic) transverse slice for $O_{[d-1,1]} \subset \bar{O}_{[d]}$ at $z$ (cf. [14], Theorem 3.2). Then $S$
is a surface with an $A_{d-1}$-singularity at $z$. This means that the complex analytic germ $(S, z)$ is a 2 dimensional quotient singularity $V_d$, where

$$V_d := (\mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}), 0).$$

Here $\bar{\iota} \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^2$ by

$$(x, y) \mapsto (\xi x, \xi^{-1} y)$$

with $\xi$ a primitive $d$-th root of unity. Note that, for any $e$ with $e|d$, the quotient map $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to V_d$ factorizes as $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to V_e \to V_d$.

The inclusion map $S \setminus \{z\} \to O_{[d]}$ induces a homomorphism $\pi_1(S \setminus \{z\}) \to \pi_1(O_{[d]})$. We prove that it is an isomorphism. Suppose to the contrary. Then $\pi_0^{-1}(S)$ splits into more than one connected components, each of which is a copy of $V_e$ with some divisor $e(\neq d)$ of $d$; namely,

$$\pi_0^{-1}(S) = V_e^{(1)} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup V_e^{(e)},$$

$$\pi_1^{-1}(S \setminus \{z\}) = (V_e^{(1)} - \{0\}) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup (V_e^{(e)} - \{0\}).$$

If we put $f := d/e$, then $V_e^{(i)} \to S$ is a cyclic cover of degree $f$.

First we shall construct a cyclic covering $v : Y \to O_{[d]}$ of degree $e$ in such a way that $v$ is etale not only over $O_{[d]}$ but also over $O_{[d-1, 1]}$. Take a point $p \in O_{[d]}$. Then $\pi_1^{-1}(p)$ consists of exactly $d$ points $\{p_1, \ldots, p_d\}$ and $\pi_1(O_{[d]})$ acts on them. We may renumber these points so that

(a) each $V_e^{(i)}$ contains $p_j$ with $j = i \pmod{e}$, and
(b) $\pi_1(O_{[d]}) = \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ acts on these $d$ points so that the generator $\bar{\iota}$ acts as the permutation $(1, 2, \ldots, d)$; namely, $p_1 \to p_2, p_2 \to p_3, \ldots, p_{d-1} \to p_d, p_d \to p_1$.

The natural surjection $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ determines a $\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$-covering $v : Y \to \bar{O}_{[d]}$. By definition $v^{-1}(S)$ splits up into $d$ copies of $V_d$. This is the desired cyclic covering.

On the other hand, $\bar{O}_{[d]}$ can be resolved by the cotangent bundle $W := T^*(SL(d)/B)$ of the full flag variety $SL(d)/B$. We call this resolution the Springer resolution and denote it by $s : W \to \bar{O}_{[d]}$. The Springer resolution $s$ is a symplectic resolution; hence, $s$ is a semi-small map. Put $Z := \bar{O}_{[d]} - O_{[d]} - O_{[d-1, 1]}$. Then, by the semi-smallness of $s$, we have $\text{Codim}_W s^{-1}(Z) \geq 2$. Since $\pi_1(W) = \{1\}$, we have $\pi_1(W - s^{-1}(Z)) = \{1\}$. By the construction $v : Y \to \bar{O}_{[d]}$ is etale over $\bar{O}_{[d]} - Z$. Then one can construct a (connected) non-trivial etale cover of $W - s^{-1}(Z)$ by pulling back $v$ by the map $W - s^{-1}(Z) \to \bar{O}_{[d]} - Z$. This contradicts that $\pi_1(W - s^{-1}(Z)) = \{1\}$.

In the following we construct a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $X$ for an arbitrary etale cover $\pi_0 : X^0 \to O_{[d]}$. Let us begin with the simplest cases.

**Example 1.3** (1) When $g = sl(2), \pi_1(O_{[2]}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ for the universal covering $X^0 \to O_{[2]}$, and $\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \bar{O}_{[2]}$ is the quotient map of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by the action $(x_1, x_2) \to (-x_1, -x_2)$. 
When $g = sl(4)$, $\pi_1(O_{[4]}) = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. When $X^0$ is the universal cover of $O_{[4]}$, we already know that $X$ has only $Q$-factorial terminal singularities by Proposition 1.1, (2) and Proposition 1.2.

Next assume that $X^0$ is a double cover of $O_{[4]}$. The nilpotent cone $\tilde{O}_{[4]}$ has a Springer resolution $T^*(SL(4)/Q_{1,1,1,1})$. Here $Q_{1,1,1,1}$ is a parabolic subgroup of $SL(4)$ stabilizing a flag $0 \subset F^3 \subset F^2 \subset F^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ with $\dim Gr^i_F = 1$ for all $i$. Let $n_{1,1,1,1}$ be the nilradical of $Q_{1,1,1,1}$. By using the Killing form of $sl(4)$, we have an identification

$$T^*(SL(4)/Q_{1,1,1,1}) \cong SL(4) \times Q_{1,1,1,1} n_{1,1,1,1}.$$  

Let $Q_{2,2}$ be the parabolic subgroup of $SL(4)$ stabilizing the flag $0 \subset F^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$. Then $Q_{2,2}$ has a Levi decomposition

$$Q_{2,2} = U \cdot L,$$

where $U$ is the unipotent radical of $Q_{2,2}$ and $L$ is a Levi part of $Q_{2,2}$. In our case

$$U = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_2 & * \\ 0 & I_2 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$L = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{array} \right) \mid \det(A)\det(B) = 1 \right\}.$$  

Corresponding to the decomposition we have a direct sum decomposition of Lie algebras

$$q_{2,2} = n \oplus l.$$

In our case

$$l = sl(2)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathfrak{z},$$

where $\mathfrak{z}$ is a 1-dimensional center of $l$. Take a nilpotent orbit closure $\tilde{O}_{[2]} \times \tilde{O}_{[2]}$ in $sl(2)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$. The parabolic subgroup $Q_{2,2}$ acts on $q_{2,2}$. Since $n$ is an ideal of $q_{2,2}$, $n$ is stable under the $Q_{2,2}$-action. On the other hand, $l$ is not stable. Let $z \in l$ and $q \in Q_{2,2}$. Then $Ad_q(z)$ decomposes into the sum of the nilradical part $(Ad_q(z))_n$ and the Levi part $(Ad_q(z))_l$. Let $Q_{1,1} \rightarrow Q_{1,1}/U = L$ be the quotient map and let $\tilde{q} \in L$ be the image of $q \in Q_{2,2}$ by this map. The Levi subgroup $L$ acts on $l$ by the adjoint action. Then

$$(Ad_q(z))_l = Ad_{\tilde{q}}(z).$$

In particular, if $z \in \tilde{O}_{[2]} \times \tilde{O}_{[2]}$, then $(Ad_q(z))_l \in \tilde{O}_{[2]} \times \tilde{O}_{[2]}$. Therefore $Q_{2,2}$ acts on $n + (\tilde{O}_{[2]} \times \tilde{O}_{[2]})$. Then $SL(4) \times Q_{2,2} (n + \tilde{O}_{[2]} \times \tilde{O}_{[2]})$ gives a crepant partial
resolution of $\tilde{O}_{[4]}$. Moreover, the Springer resolution of $O_{[4]}$ factors through this partial resolution:

$$SL(4) \times O_{[4]} \to SL(4) \times O_{[4]} \to \tilde{O}_{[4]}.$$  

We want to make a double cover $X'$ of $SL(4) \times O_{[4]}$ so that the diagram

$$X' \xrightarrow{\mu} X \xrightarrow{\pi} \tilde{O}_{[4]}$$

commutes and $\mu$ gives a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $X$. By (1) we have a finite covering

$$C^2 \times C^2 \to \tilde{O}_{[4]} \times \tilde{O}_{[4]}$$

of degree 4. Then $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $C^4 (= C^2 \times C^2)$ by $(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \mapsto (-x_1, -x_2, -y_1, -y_2)$. We denote by $C^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle$ the quotient space. The covering map above then factors through $C^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle$:

$$C^4 \to C^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle \to \tilde{O}_{[4]} \times \tilde{O}_{[4]}.$$  

We want to make $n + C^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle$ into a $\mathbb{Q}_{2,2}$-space and to define $X'$ to be $SL(4) \times O_{[4]}$ ($n + C^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle$).

**Claim 1.3.1** The adjoint action of $L$ on $\tilde{O}_{[4]} \times \tilde{O}_{[4]}$ lifts to an action on $C^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle$.

**Proof** As already remarked above,

$$L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \mid \det(A)\det(B) = 1 \right\}.$$  

Define a subgroup $T$ of $L$ by

$$T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} I_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\}.$$  

We identify $SL(2) \times SL(2)$ with a subgroup of $L$ by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \mid A, B \in SL(2) \right\}.$$
Then the inclusion map $SL(2) \times SL(2) \subset L$ induces an isomorphism

$$SL(2) \times SL(2)/\langle +1, -1 \rangle \cong L/T.$$  

$SL(2) \times SL(2)$ naturally acts on $\mathbb{C}^4$; hence $SL(2) \times SL(2)/\langle +1, -1 \rangle$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle$. As a consequence, $L/T$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle$. In particular, $L$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle$, which is a lift of the adjoint action of $L$ on $\tilde{O}_{[2]} \times \tilde{O}_{[2]}$. \hfill $\square$

Now $Q_{2,2}$ acts on the space $n + \mathbb{C}^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle$ as follows. Take a point $z + v$ from the space. Here $z \in n$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle$. We denote by $\tilde{v}$ the image of $v$ by the map $\mathbb{C}^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle \to \tilde{O}_{[2]} \times \tilde{O}_{[2]}$. For $q \in Q_{2,2}$ we denote by $\tilde{q} \in L$ the image of $q$ by the map $Q_{2,2} \to Q_{2,2}/U = L$. We define

$$q \cdot (z + v) := (Ad_q(z + \tilde{v}))_n + \tilde{q} \cdot v \in n + \mathbb{C}^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle.$$  

Here $\tilde{q} \in L$ acts on $v \in \mathbb{C}^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle$ as described in Claim 1.3.1. Let us consider the composed map

$$SL(4) \times Q_{2,2} (n + \mathbb{C}^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle) \to SL(4) \times Q_{2,2} (n + \tilde{O}_{[2]} \times \tilde{O}_{[2]}) \to \tilde{O}_{[4]}.$$  

The Stein factorization of this map is nothing but $X$. As a consequence we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
SL(4) \times Q_{2,2} (n + \mathbb{C}^4/\langle +1, -1 \rangle) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \\
\pi' \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\
SL(4) \times Q_{2,2} (n + \tilde{O}_{[2]} \times \tilde{O}_{[2]}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{O}_{[4]}
\end{array} \quad (6)$$

We can generalize this construction to more general situations. Let us consider the regular nilpotent orbit $O_{[d]}$ of $sl(d)$. Assume that $e$ is a divisor of $d$. We put $f := d/e$. By Proposition 1.1, (1) $\pi_1(O_{[d]}) = \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. The surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ determines an etale cover $X^0 \to O_{[d]}$ of degree $e$. We will construct a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $X$ by the same idea of Examples 1.3. Let $O_{[d]}$ be a parabolic subgroup of $SL(d)$ with flag type $(e, \ldots, e)$. Let $Q_{e,\ldots,e} = U \cdot L$ be a Levi decomposition where

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
I_e & * & * & * \\
0 & I_e & * & * \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & I_e \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_e
\end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

$$L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
A_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{f-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_f
\end{pmatrix} \mid A_i \in GL(e), \det(A_1) \cdots \det(A_f) = 1 \right\}.$$
We have

\[ q_{e,...,e} = n \oplus l, \]
\[ l = sl(e)^{\oplus f} \oplus \mathfrak{z}, \]

where \( \mathfrak{z} \) is the \( f - 1 \) dimensional center of \( l \). We take a nilpotent orbit closure \( \tilde{O}^{xf}_{[e]} \) inside \( l \). Then

\[ SL(d) \times Q_{e,...,e} (n + (\tilde{O}^{xf}_{[e]})) \]

is a crepant partial resolution of \( \tilde{O}_{[d]} \). Let \( X_{[e]} \rightarrow \tilde{O}_{[e]} \) be the finite covering of degree \( e \) corresponding to the universal covering of \( O_{[e]} \). The adjoint action of \( SL(e) \) on \( \tilde{O}^{xf}_{[e]} \) extends to an action on \( X_{[e]} \). The center \( Z \) of \( SL(e) \) is written as

\[ \{ \xi I_e \in SL(e) \mid \xi ^e = 1 \}. \]

Then \( Z \) acts effectively on \( X_{[e]} \) as covering transformations of \( X_{[e]} \rightarrow \tilde{O}_{[e]} \). In fact, let \( x \in O_{[e]} \) and put \( G := SL(e) \). Then the universal cover \( X^0_{[e]} \) of \( O_{[e]} \) is written as \( G/(G^x)^0 \). Now \( G^x/(G^x)^0 \cong G^\phi/(G^\phi)^0 \). By the description of \( G^\phi \) in the proof of Proposition 1.1, (1) we see that \( G^\phi = Z \) and \( (G^\phi)^0 = \{1\} \). Since \( G^x/(G^x)^0 \) acts effectively on \( G/(G^x)^0 \), we see that \( Z \) acts effectively on \( G/(G^x)^0 \); hence, acts effectively on \( X_{[e]} \). Then \( Z^{xf} \) acts on \( X^{xf}_{[e]} \). Define a subgroup \( S(Z^{xf}) \) of \( Z^{xf} \) by

\[ S(Z^{xf}) := \{(\xi_1 I_e, \ldots, \xi_f I_e) \in Z^{xf} \mid \xi_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot \xi_f = 1\}. \]

Notice that \( S(Z^{xf}) \cong (Z/eZ)^{\oplus f - 1} \). Then the map \( X^{xf}_{[e]} \rightarrow \tilde{O}^{xf}_{[e]} \) factors through \( X^{xf}_{[e]} / S(Z^{xf}) \):

\[ X^{xf}_{[e]} \rightarrow X^{xf}_{[e]} / S(Z^{xf}) \rightarrow \tilde{O}^{xf}_{[e]} . \]

By definition the 2-nd map is a \( Z/eZ \)-Galois covering.

**Claim 1.3.2** The adjoint action of \( L \) on \( \tilde{O}^{xf}_{[e]} \) lifts to an action on \( X^{xf}_{[e]} / S(Z^{xf}) \).

**Proof** Define a subgroup \( T \) of \( L \) by

\[
T := \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} 
\xi_1 I_e & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \xi_2 I_e & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_{f-1} I_e & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_f I_e 
\end{pmatrix} & | \xi_i \in \C^*, \xi_1 \cdots \xi_f = 1 
\end{cases},
\end{align*}
\]
We identify $SL(e)^{× f}$ with a subgroup of $L$ defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{f-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_f
\end{pmatrix} \quad | \quad A_i \in SL(e) \forall i \}
\]

Then the inclusion $SL(e)^{× f} \to L$ induces an isomorphism $SL(e)^{× f} / S(Z^{× f}) \cong L / T$. As $SL(e)^{× f}$ acts on $X_{[e]}^{× f} / L / T$ acts on $X_{[e]}^{× f} / S(Z^{× f})$. Hence $L$ acts on $X_{[e]}^{× f} / S(Z^{× f})$.

Now $Q_{e, ..., e}$ acts on $n + X_{[e]}^{× f} / S(Z^{× f})$ as follows. Take a point $z + v \in n + X_{[e]}^{× f} / S(Z^{× f})$. Here $z \in n$ and $v \in X_{[e]}^{× f} / S(Z^{× f})$. We denote by $\tilde{v}$ the image of $v$ by the map $X_{[e]}^{× f} / S(Z^{× f}) \to \tilde{O}_{[2]}^{× f}$. For $q \in Q_{e, ..., e}$ we denote by $\tilde{q} \in L$ the image of $q$ by the map $Q_{e, ..., e} \to Q_{e, ..., e} / U = L$. We define
\[
q \cdot (z + v) := (Ad_q(z + \tilde{v}))_n + \tilde{q} \cdot v \in n + X_{[e]}^{× f} / S(Z^{× f}).
\]

Here $\tilde{q} \in L$ acts on $v \in X_{[e]}^{× f} / S(Z^{× f})$ as described in Claim 1.3.2.

Recall that $\pi : X \to \tilde{O}_{[d]}$ is a finite $\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$-cover. We have a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
SL(d) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{e, ..., e}} (n + X_{[e]}^{× f} / S(Z^{× f})) \xrightarrow{\mu} X \\
\downarrow{\pi^\prime} \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow{\pi} \\
SL(d) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_{e, ..., e}} (n + \tilde{O}_{[2]}^{× f}) \xrightarrow{s} \tilde{O}_{[d]}
\end{array}
\tag{7}
\]

Here $\mu$ is the Stein factorization of $s \circ \pi^\prime$. $X_{[e]}^{× f}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial by Proposition 1.1, (2). Then $X_{[e]}^{× f} / S(Z^{× f})$ is also $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial by the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.4** Let $f : V \to W$ be a finite Galois covering of normal varieties. If $V$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, then $W$ is also $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

**Proof** Let $D$ be a prime Weil divisor of $W$. We need to show that $mD$ is a Cartier divisor for a suitable $m$. Put $E := f^{-1}(D)$ and regard it as a reduced Weil divisor. By the assumption $rE$ is a Cartier divisor on $V$ for some $r > 0$. Take a point $x \in W$. By [19], Lecture 10, Lemma B, one can choose an open neighborhood $U$ of $x \in W$ such that $rE$ is a principal divisor of $f^{-1}(U)$. We take a defining equation $\varphi$ of $rE|_{f^{-1}(U)}$. Let $G$ be the Galois group of $f$. Then
\[
\Phi := \prod_{g \in G} \varphi^g
\]
is a local equation of the Cartier divisor $|G|rE$. Since $\Phi$ is $G$-invariant, it can be regarded as an element of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_W)$. Then $\Phi$ is a local equation of some multiple of $D$ on $U$. 

The variety $\text{SL}(d) \times \mathbb{Q}^e \ldots e (n+X_{[e]}^f / S(Z^x f))$ is a fiber bundle over $\text{SL}(d) / \mathbb{Q}^e \ldots e$ with a typical fiber $n+X_{[e]}^f / S(Z^x f)$. By [9], II, Proposition 6.6, we have

$$\text{Cl}(X_{[e]}^f / S(Z^x f)) \cong \text{Cl}(n + X_{[e]}^f / S(Z^x f)),$$

where $\text{Cl}$ denotes the divisor class group. By using this we see that $n + X_{[e]}^f / S(Z^x f)$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial since $X_{[e]}^f / S(Z^x f)$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. Then $\text{SL}(d) \times \mathbb{Q}^e \ldots e (n+X_{[e]}^f / S(Z^x f))$ is also $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial by the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.5** Let $f : V \to T$ be an etale fiber bundle over a nonsingular variety $T$ with a typical fiber $Y$. Assume that

1. $Y$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial normal variety.
2. $Y$ has only rational singularities with $\text{Codim} \text{Sing}(Y) \geq 3$.

Then $V$ is also $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

**Proof** Take a closed point $v \in V$ and put $t = f(v)$. Replace $T$ by a suitable open neighborhood of $t$. Put $n = \dim T$. Then one has a sequence of nonsingular subvarieties $\{t\} \subset T_1 \subset T_2 \subset \ldots \subset T_{n-1} \subset T_n = T$ with $\dim T_i = i$. Put $V_i := V \times_T T_i$. Then we get a sequence $V_0(= Y) \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \ldots \subset V_n = V$. Here each $V_i$ is a Cartier divisor of $V_{i+1}$. Since rational singularities are Cohen-Macaulay, we can apply [12], Corollary (12.1.9) for $Y \subset V_1$ to see that $V_1$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial around $V_0$. Now $V_1$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2). Therefore we can apply [ibid, Corollary (12.1.9)] repeatedly for $V_1 \subset V_{i+1}$ and finally see that $V$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial around $V_{n-1}$. In particular, $V$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial around $f^{-1}(t) \subset V$. Since $v$ is an arbitrary closed point, $V$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. 

**Lemma 1.6** For $e > 2$, $X_{[e]}$ is singular. For $e > 1$ and $f > 1$, $X_{[e]}^f / S(Z^x f)$ is singular.

**Proof** Assume that $X_{[e]}$ is smooth for $e > 2$. Since $X_{[e]} \to \tilde{O}_{[e]}$ is a finite quotient map, $\tilde{O}_{[e]}$ would be a symplectic quotient singularity. Then the closure of any symplectic leaf of $\tilde{O}_{[e]}$ would be again a quotient singularity. On the other hand, the closure $\tilde{O}_{[2,1^{-2}],e}$ of the minimal nilpotent orbit $\tilde{O}_{[2,1^{-2}],e}$ is not a quotient singularity if $e > 2$. In fact, the Springer resolution $s$ of $\tilde{O}_{[2,1^{-2}],e}$ is given by the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{P}^{e-1}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{e-1}$. The exceptional locus of the Springer resolution is the zero locus of the cotangent bundle, which has codimension $e - 1(\geq 2)$. This means that $\tilde{O}_{[2,1^{-2}],e}$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. In fact, take an $s$-ample effective divisor $H$ on $T^*\mathbb{P}^{e-1}$ and consider $sH$. If $\tilde{O}_{[2,1^{-2}],e}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, then $msH$ is Cartier for some $m > 0$. Then $s^*(msH)$ and $mH$ coincide outside $\text{Exc}(s)$, which has codimension $> 1$. Hence, they coincide on $T^*\mathbb{P}^{e-1}$. Take a curve $C \subset \text{Exc}(s)$ so that $s(C)$ is a point. Then $(s^*(msH), C) = 0$. On the other hand, $(mH, C) > 0$ because $H$ is $s$-ample. This is a contradiction.
In particular, \( \tilde{O}_{\{2,1\}^{-2}} \) is not a quotient singularity. Therefore \( X_{[\varepsilon]} \) is singular. When \( e > 2 \), we can prove similarly that \( X_{[\varepsilon]}^x f / S(Z^x f) \) is singular by using the quotient map \( X_{[\varepsilon]}^x f / S(Z^x f) \to \tilde{O}_{[\varepsilon]}^x f \). When \( e = 2 \), \( X_{[\varepsilon]} = \mathbb{C}^2 \). But, if \( f > 1 \), then any non-zero element of \( S(Z^x f) \) has the fixed locus of codimension \( \geq 4 \). Hence \( X_{[\varepsilon]}^x f / S(Z^x f) \) is singular.

Since \( X_{[\varepsilon]} \) has terminal singularities, the product \( X_{[\varepsilon]}^x f \) has terminal singularities. The fixed locus of any nonzero element of \( S(Z^x f) \) has codimension \( \geq 4 \); hence \( X_{[\varepsilon]}^x f / S(Z^x f) \) has only terminal singularities.

**Lemma 1.7** Let \( O_{[\varepsilon]} \subset sl(d) \) be the regular nilpotent orbit with \( d > 2 \). Assume that \( X^0 \to O_{[\varepsilon]} \) is an etale covering of degree \( e > 1 \) and let \( X \to O_{[\varepsilon]} \) be the associated finite cover of \( O_{[\varepsilon]} \). Then the map

\[
SL(d) \times \mathbb{Q}^e \cdot \cdots (n + X_{[\varepsilon]}^x f / S(Z^x f)) \to X
\]

is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial terminalization of \( X \). In particular, \( X \) has no crepant resolutions.

We next consider the nilpotent orbit \( O_{[d^i]} \) of \( sl(d) \). Put \( G = SL(d) \). As before, take an element \( x \) from \( O_{[d^i]} \) and fix an \( sl(2) \)-triple \( \phi \) containing \( x \). Then

\[
G^\phi = \{(A, \ldots, A) \in GL(i)^\times d \mid \det(A)^d = 1\}.
\]

\( G^\phi \) has exactly \( d \) connected components. Let \( \zeta \) be a primitive \( d \)-th root of unity. Then each connected component is given by

\[
\{(A, \ldots, A) \in GL(i)^\times d \mid \det(A) = \zeta^i, \ i = 0, 1, \ldots, d - 1\}.
\]

Note that \( G^\phi / (G^\phi)^0 \cong \mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proposition 1.8** (1) \( \pi_1(O_{[d^i]}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z} \).

(2) \( X \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial for any etale covering \( \pi_0 : X^0 \to O_{[d^i]} \).

**Proof** (1) We have already seen that (1) holds.

(2) We can prove (2) in the same manner as in Proposition (1.1), (2). Note that \( \chi((G^\phi)^0) = 0 \) because \( (G^\phi)^0 \cong SL(i)^\times d \).

The closure \( \tilde{O}_{[d^i]} \) contains the largest orbit \( O_{[d^i-1,d-1,1]} \) in \( \tilde{O}_{[d^i]} - O_{[d^i]} \). Note that \( \tilde{O}_{[d^i]} \) has \( A_{d-1} \)-surface singularities along \( O_{[d^i-1,d-1,1]} \) (cf. [14], Theorem 3.2). Moreover, \( \tilde{O}_{[d^i]} \) has a Springer resolution. Since the universal covering of \( O_{[d^i]} \) is a cyclic covering of degree \( d \), we can prove the following in the same way as Proposition 1.2.
Proposition 1.9 Assume that $\pi_0 : X^0 \rightarrow O_{[d]}$ is the universal covering. Then $\text{Codim}_X \text{Sing}(X) \geq 4$. In particular, $X$ has only terminal singularities.

For a partition $[d_1, \ldots, d_k]$ of $j_1 d_1 + \ldots + j_k d_k$, consider the nilpotent orbit $O_{[d_1, \ldots, d_k]} \subset \mathfrak{sI}(j_1 d_1 + \ldots + j_k d_k)$. Let $d := \gcd(d_1, \ldots, d_k)$. By [4], Corollary 6.1.6, we have $\pi_1(O_{[d_1, \ldots, d_k]}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\pi^0 : X^0 \rightarrow O_{[d_1, \ldots, d_k]}$ be the universal covering and let $\pi : X \rightarrow \tilde{O}_{[d_1, \ldots, d_k]}$ be the associated finite cover. We will construct a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $X$ by using Proposition 1.9.

Example 1.10 Let $Q_{i_1, \ldots, i_r} \subset \mathfrak{sI}(i_1 + \ldots + i_r)$ be parabolic subgroup of flag type $(i_1, \ldots, i_r)$. We assume that $\gcd(i_1, \ldots, i_r) = 1$. Let $Q_{i_1, \ldots, i_r} = U \cdot L$ be a Levi decomposition with

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{i_1} & \ast & \ast & \ldots & \ast \\ 0 & I_{i_2} & \ast & \ldots & \ast \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & I_{i_{r-1}} & \ast \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & I_{i_r} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

and

$$L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & A_{r-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & A_r \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The subgroup of $L$ consisting of the matrices with $A_1 \in \mathfrak{gl}(i_1)$, $\ldots$, $A_r \in \mathfrak{gl}(i_r)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(i_1) \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{sl}(i_r)$. In the remainder we identify $\mathfrak{sl}(i_1) \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{sl}(i_r)$ with this subgroup of $L$. Let us consider the product of the nilpotent orbits $\tilde{O}_{[d_1]} \times \ldots \times \tilde{O}_{[d_r]}$ in $sI(i_1) \times \ldots \times sI(i_r)$. Let $X_{[d_i]} \rightarrow \tilde{O}_{[d_i]}$ be the finite cover associated with the universal covering of $O_{[d_i]}$ for each $1 \leq \alpha \leq r$. Then we have a finite covering

$$f : X_{[d_i]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_r]} \rightarrow \tilde{O}_{[d_1]} \times \ldots \times \tilde{O}_{[d_r]}.$$ 

We consider the finite subgroup $\mu_{i_1} \times \ldots \times \mu_{i_r}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(i_1) \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{sl}(i_r)$ consisting of the elements $(t_1 I_{i_1}, \ldots, t_r I_{i_r})$ with $t_1^{i_1} = \ldots = t_r^{i_r} = 1$. Moreover let $H'$ be the subgroup of $\mu_{i_1} \times \ldots \times \mu_{i_r}$ determined by $t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_r^{i_r} = 1$. Define a surjection

$$\alpha : \mu_{i_1} \times \ldots \times \mu_{i_r} \rightarrow \mu_1 \times \ldots \times \mu_d$$

by $(t_1, \ldots, t_r) \mapsto (t_1^{i_1}, \ldots, t_r^{i_r})$. Similarly, define a surjection

$$\beta : \mu_d \times \ldots \times \mu_d \rightarrow \mu_d$$
by \((t_1, \ldots, t_r) \rightarrow t_1 \ldots t_r\). Then \(H'\) is nothing but the kernel of the composed map

\[
\mu_{i_1d} \times \ldots \times \mu_{i_r d} \rightarrow H.
\]

Here \(\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \mu_{i_1} \times \ldots \times \mu_{i_r}\) and \(\iota\) is the natural inclusion. By the snake lemma, \(\text{Coker}(\iota) \cong \text{Ker}(\beta)\). We put \(H := \text{Ker}(\beta)\). The subgroup \(\mu_{i_1d} \times \ldots \times \mu_{i_r d}\) of \(SL(i_1d) \times \ldots \times SL(i_r d)\) acts on \(X_{[d_1^i]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_r^i]}\) as covering transformations of \(f\). But \(\text{Ker}(\alpha)\) acts trivially on \(X_{[d_1^i]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_r^i]}\). This means that \(\mu_d \times \ldots \mu_d\) acts on \(X_{[d_1^i]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_r^i]}\), which is nothing but the Galois group of the map \(f\). Since \(\text{Coker}(\iota) \cong \text{Ker}(\beta)\), the finite covering

\[
\frac{X_{[d_1^i]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_r^i]}}{H} \rightarrow \tilde{O}_{[d_1^i]} \times \ldots \times \tilde{O}_{[d_r^i]}
\]

is a cyclic covering of degree \(d\).

**Claim 1.10.1** The adjoint action of \(L\) on \(\tilde{O}_{[d_1^i]} \times \ldots \times \tilde{O}_{[d_r^i]}\) lifts to an action on \((X_{[d_1^i]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_r^i]})/H\).

**Proof** Define a subgroup \(T\) of \(L\) by

\[
T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t_1 I_{i_1 d} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 I_{i_2 d} & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & t_{r-1} I_{i_{r-1} d} \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_r^{i_r} = 1 \right\}.
\]
Then the inclusion $SL(i_1 d) \times \ldots \times SL(i_r d) \to L$ induces an isomorphism $(SL(i_1 d) \times \ldots \times SL(i_r d))/H \cong L/T$. Since $(SL(i_1 d) \times \ldots \times SL(i_r d))/H$ acts on $(X_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_{i_r}]}//H$, $L$ acts on $(X_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_{i_r}]//H}$, which gives a lift of the adjoint action. \( \square \)

By Claim 1.10.1, $Q_{i_1 d, \ldots, i_r d}$ acts on $n + (X_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_{i_r}]//H}$. Then we have a cyclic covering

$$SL((i_1 + \ldots + i_r d) \times Q_{i_1 d, \ldots, i_r d} (n + (X_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_{i_r}]//H})$$

$$\to SL((i_1 + \ldots + i_r d) \times Q_{i_1 d, \ldots, i_r d} (n + \tilde{O}_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times \tilde{O}_{[d_{i_r}]})$$

of degree $d$. \( \square \)

Now look at a nilpotent orbit $O_{[d_{i_1}^j, \ldots, d_{k}^{j_k}]} \subseteq sl(j_1 d_1 + \ldots + j_k d_k)$. Put $d := \gcd(d_1, \ldots, d_k)$. Then the dual partition of $[d_{i_1}^j, \ldots, d_{k}^{j_k}]$ can be written as the form $[i_1^j, \ldots, i_r^j]$ by using suitable set of positive integers $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$. Here the same number may possibly appears more than once in $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$. For example, the partition $[9, 6]$ has the dual partition $[2^3, 2^3, 1^3]$. Note that $3 = \gcd(9, 6)$. The dual partition of $i_j^d$ ($j = 1, \ldots, r$) equals $[d_f^j]$ ($j = 1, \ldots, r$). Now let us consider the product of the nilpotent orbit closures $\tilde{O}_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times \tilde{O}_{[d_{i_r}]}$ in $sl(i_1 d) \times \ldots \times sl(i_r d)$. Then

$$SL((i_1 + \ldots + i_r d) \times Q_{i_1 d, \ldots, i_r d} (n + \tilde{O}_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times \tilde{O}_{[d_{i_r}]})$$

gives a crepant partial resolution of $\tilde{O}_{[d_{i_1}^j, \ldots, d_{k}^{j_k}]}$. \( \square \)

**Construction of a Q-factorial terminalization:** Let $X \to \tilde{O}_{[d_{i_1}^j, \ldots, d_{k}^{j_k}]}$ be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of $O_{[d_{i_1}^j, \ldots, d_{k}^{j_k}]}$. By using Example 1.10, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{align*}
SL((i_1 + \ldots + i_r d) \times Q_{i_1 d, \ldots, i_r d} (n + (X_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_{i_r}]//H}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} X \\
SL((i_1 + \ldots + i_r d) \times Q_{i_1 d, \ldots, i_r d} (n + \tilde{O}_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times \tilde{O}_{[d_{i_r}]}) & \xrightarrow{\nu} \tilde{O}_{[d_{i_1}^j, \ldots, d_{k}^{j_k}]}
\end{align*}$$

Since $X_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_{i_r}]}$ has terminal singularities and the fixed locus of each nonzero element of $H$ has codimension $\geq 4$, $(X_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_{i_r}]//H}$ has only terminal singularities. Since $X_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_{i_r}]}$ is Q-factorial, $(X_{[d_{i_1}]} \times \ldots \times X_{[d_{i_r}]//H}$ is Q-factorial by Lemma 1.4. Therefore $\mu$ gives a Q-factorial terminalization of $X$.

Next assume that $X \to \tilde{O}_{[d_{i_1}^j, \ldots, d_{k}^{j_k}]}$ is the finite covering associated with an etale covering of $O_{[d_{i_1}^j, \ldots, d_{k}^{j_k}]}$ of degree $e$. We put $f := d/e$. In this case we take instead the product of nilpotent orbit closures $\tilde{O}_{[e_{i_1}]}^X \times \ldots \times \tilde{O}_{[e_{i_r}]}^X$ and consider the finite covering

$$X_{[e_{i_1}]}^X \times \ldots \times X_{[e_{i_r}]}^X \to \tilde{O}_{[e_{i_1}]}^X \times \ldots \times \tilde{O}_{[e_{i_r}]}^X.$$
The situation being the same as the previous case, we define similarly a subgroup $H$ of $\mu_{i_1} \times \ldots \times \mu_{i_r}$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
SL((i_1 + \ldots + i_r)d) \times \mathcal{O}(q_{i_1 \ldots i_r}) \to (n + (X_{[e_{i_1}]}^{x_i} \times \ldots \times X_{[e_{i_r}]}^{x_i})/H) \xrightarrow{\mu} X \\
\downarrow \pi' \\
SL((i_1 + \ldots + i_r)d) \times \mathcal{O}(q_{i_1 \ldots i_r}) \to (n + \tilde{O}_{[e_{i_1}]}^{x_i} \times \ldots \times \tilde{O}_{[e_{i_r}]}^{x_i}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} \tilde{O}_{[d_1^{j_1} \ldots d_k^{j_k}]} 
\end{array}
$$

and $\mu$ gives a $Q$-factorial terminalization.

**Corollary 1.11** Let $\pi : X \to \tilde{O}$ be the finite covering associated with a nontrivial etale covering of a nilpotent orbit $O$ of $sl(d)$. Then $X$ has no crepant resolutions except when $\pi$ is the double covering $C^2 \to \tilde{O}_{[2]} \subset sl(2)$.

**2 $g = sp(2n)$**

We write a partition $p$ of $2n$ as $[r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_d]$ with $r_d \neq 0$. Other $r_i$ may possibly be zero; in such a case $i$ does not appear in the partition. If $r_i > 0$, then we call $i$ a member of the partition. Each nilpotent orbit of $sp(2n)$ is uniquely determined by its Jordan type. Such a Jordan type is a partition $p$ of $2n$ with all odd members having even multiplicities (cf. [4], §5). Conversely, for each such partition $p$ of $2n$, there is a nilpotent orbit with Jordan type $p$. Let $b$ be the number of distinct even members of $p$. Then we have

**Proposition 2.1**

1. $\pi_1(O_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^b$.
2. Assume that $r_i \neq 2$ for all even members $i$ of $p$. Then $X$ is $Q$-factorial for any etale covering $X^0 \to O_p$.

**Proof** Put $G = Sp(2n)$. Let $x \in O_p$ and take an $sl(2)$-triple $\phi$ in $sp(2n)$ containing $x$. Put

$$Sp(r_i)_{\Delta}^{x_i} := \{(A, \ldots, A) \in Sp(r_i)^{x_i} | A \in Sp(r_i)\}$$

and

$$O(r_i)_{\Delta}^{x_i} := \{(A, \ldots, A) \in O(r_i)^{x_i} | A \in O(r_i)\}.$$ 

By [C-M, Theorem (6.1.3)] we have

$$G^\phi \cong \prod_{i: \text{odd}} Sp(r_i)_{\Delta}^{x_i} \times \prod_{i: \text{even}} O(r_i)_{\Delta}^{x_i}.$$ 

Hence

$$(G^\phi)^0 \cong \prod_{i: \text{odd}} Sp(r_i)_{\Delta}^{x_i} \times \prod_{i: \text{even}} SO(r_i)_{\Delta}^{x_i}.$$
An important remark is that each factor of the right hand side is a simple Lie group except that $SO(2) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ and $SO(4)$ is a semisimple Lie group of type $A_1 + A_1$. Since $\pi_1(O_p) \cong G^\phi / (G^\phi)^0$, (1) is clear from the above. If the condition of (2) holds, then $(G^\phi)^0$ does not have $SO(2)$ as a factor; hence $\chi((G^\phi)^0) = 0$. The etale covering $X^0$ of $O_p$ can be written as $G/H$ for a suitable subgroup $H$ with $(G^\phi)^0 \subset H \subset G^\phi$. By the same argument as in Proposition (1.1), (2) we see that Pic$(G/H)$ is a finite group, which means that $X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. □

**Example 2.2** Let $O_{[2n]}$ be the regular nilpotent orbit. By Proposition 2.1, (1) we have $\pi_1(O_{[2n]}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let $X \rightarrow \bar{O}_{[2n]}$ be the double covering associated with the universal covering $X^0 \rightarrow O_{[2n]}$. Put the $n \times n$ matrix

$$J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Then

$$Sp(2n) = \{ A \in GL(2n) | A^t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_n \\ -J_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_n \\ -J_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \}.$$ 

Now let us consider the isotropic flag

$$0 \subset \langle e_1 \rangle \subset \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \subset \ldots \subset \langle e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{2n-1} \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$$

and let $Q_{1^{n-1}, 2, 1^{n-1}}$ be the parabolic subgroup of $Sp(2n)$ stabilizing the flag. Let $U$ be the unipotent radical of $Q_{1^{n-1}, 2, 1^{n-1}}$. One has a Levi decomposition $Q_{1^{n-1}, 2, 1^{n-1}} = U \cdot L$ with

$$L = \{ \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & t_{n-1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & A & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & t_{n-1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \end{pmatrix} | t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}^*, A \in Sp(2) \}.$$ 

The Lie algebra $l$ decomposes into the direct sum

$$l = gl(1)^{\oplus n-1} \oplus sp(2).$$
Take a nilpotent orbit $O_{[2]}$ of $sp(2)$. Then we have a crepant partial resolution

$$Sp(2n) \times Q_{[1^{a-1},2,1^{a-1}} (n + \tilde{O}_{[2]}) \rightarrow \tilde{O}_{[2n]}.$$ 

Let $C^2 \rightarrow \tilde{O}_{[2]}$ be the double covering associated with the universal covering of $O_{[2]}$. The adjoint action of $Sp(2)$ on $\tilde{O}_{[2]}$ lifts to an action on $C^2$. Since there is a natural projection $L \rightarrow Sp(2)$, $L$ acts in the adjoint way on $\tilde{O}_{[2]}$, which lifts to an $L$-action on $C^2$. This means that $Q_{[1^{a-1},2,1^{a-1}}$ acts on $n + C^2$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Sp(2n) \times Q_{[1^{a-1},2,1^{a-1}} & \longrightarrow & X \\
\mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
Sp(2n) \times Q_{[1^{a-1},2,1^{a-1}} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{O}_{[2n]}
\end{array}$$

The map $\mu$ is a crepant resolution of $X$. $\Box$

Let us consider a partition $p = [d^r_d, (d - 1)^{r_{d-1}}, \ldots, 2^{r_2}, 1^{r_1}]$ of $2n$ such that

(i) $r_i$ is even for each odd $i$, and
(ii) $r_i \neq 0$ for each even $i$.

For example, the partitions $[5^2, 4, 2]$ and $[6, 4, 2, 1^4]$ satisfy these conditions, but $[8, 4, 2, 1^2]$ does not satisfy (ii) because 6 does not appear. If $d$ is even, then all $d$, $d - 2$, $d - 4$, ..., 2 must appear in the partition. If $d$ is odd, then all $d - 1$, $d - 3$, ..., 2 must appear in the partition. The following is a key proposition.

**Proposition 2.3** Let $p$ be a partition satisfying (i) and (ii). Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p$ be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of $O_p$. Then $\text{Codim}_X \text{Sing}(X) \geq 4$.

**Proof** Let $i > 1$ be a member of $p$ such that $r_{i-1} = 0$. This means that $p$ has the form

$$[d^r_d, \ldots, i^{r_i}, (i - 2)^{r_{i-2}}, (i - 3)^{r_{i-3}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}]$$

Such an $i$ is called a gap member. By the condition (ii) any gap member must be even. For a gap member $i$, one can find a nilpotent orbit $\tilde{O}_p \subset \tilde{O}_p$ with

$$\tilde{p} = [d^r_d, \ldots, i^{r_{i-1}}, (i - 1)^2, (i - 2)^{r_{i-2}}, (i - 3)^{r_{i-3}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}]$$

By Kraft and Procesi [15], 3.4 we see that $\text{Codim}_{\tilde{O}_p} O_{\tilde{p}} = 2$ and the transversal slice $S$ for $O_{\tilde{p}} \subset \tilde{O}_p$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity. Note that $S$ is a quotient singularity $(C^2/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), 0)$. Then we have a double cover $(C^2, 0) \rightarrow S$.

Let $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}$ be the set of all gap members of $p$. As defined above, for these gap members, we have nilpotent orbits

$$O_{\tilde{p}_1}, \ldots, O_{\tilde{p}_k}.$$
Notice that $\tilde{O}_{p_1}, \ldots, \tilde{O}_{p_k}$ are nothing but the irreducible components of $\text{Sing}(\tilde{O}_p)$ which have codimension 2 in $\tilde{O}_p$. To prove that $\text{Codim}_X \text{Sing}(X) \geq 4$, we only have to show that $X$ is smooth along $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{O}_p)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k$. Let $S_j$ be the transversal slices for $O_{p_j} \subset \tilde{O}_p$. Then it is equivalent to showing that $\pi^{-1}(S_j)$ are disjoint union of finite copies of $(C^2, 0)$.

The gap member is closely related to the notion of induced orbits. There are two types of inductions:

(Type I): Let $i$ be a gap member of $p$ (which may possibly be odd or even). Put $r := r_d + \ldots + r_i$ and let $Q \subset Sp(2n)$ be a parabolic subgroup of flag type $(r, 2n - 2r, r)$ with Levi decomposition $q = n \oplus l$. Notice that $l = gl(r) \oplus sp(2n - 2r)$. There is a nilpotent orbit $O_{p'}$ of $sp(2n - 2r)$ with Jordan type

$$p' = [(d - 2)^{r_d}, \ldots, (i - 2)^{r_i + 2}, (i - 3)^{r_i - 2}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}]$$

such that $O_p = \text{Ind}_p^\theta(O_{p'})$. Notice that $p'$ satisfies (i).

Claim 2.3.1 (cf. [10], Theorem 7.1, (d)). The generalized Springer map

$$\mu : Sp(2n) \times Q(n + \tilde{O}_{p'}) \to \tilde{O}_p$$

is a birational map.

Proof This is true for any partition $p$ with the condition (i). We write $p$ as $[d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_s]$ with $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \ldots \geq d_s > 0$. The partition $p$ determines a Young diagram $Y(p)$. By definition $Y(p)$ is a subset of $Z_{>0}^2$ such that $(l, j) \in Y(p)$ if and only if $(l, j)$ satisfies $1 \leq l \leq d_j$.

We can take a basis $\{e(l, j)\}_{(l, j) \in Y(p)}$ of $C^{2n}$ so that

(a) $\{e(l, j)\}$ is a Jordan basis for $x$, i.e. $x \cdot e(l, j) = e(l - 1, j)$ for $l > 1$ and $x \cdot e(1, j) = 0$.

(b) $\langle e(l, j), e(p, q) \rangle \neq 0$ if and only if $p = d_j - l + 1$ and $q = \beta(j)$. Here $\beta$ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$ such that $\beta^2 = id$, $d_{\beta(j)} = d_j$, and $\beta(j) \neq j$ if $d_j$ is odd. The basis $\{e(l, j)\}$ are the same one as in [10], 5.1 (cf. [27], p.259, see also [4], 5.1). The notation in [S-S] is slightly different, but we here employ the notation in [10], 5.1.

Put $F := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} Ce(1, j)$. Then $F \subset F^\perp$ is an isotropic flag such that $x \cdot F = 0$ and $x \cdot C^{2n} \subset F^\perp$ and $x$ is an endomorphism of $F^\perp/F$ with Jordan type $p'$. This is actually a unique isotropic flag of type $(r, 2n - 2r, r)$ satisfying these properties. Hence $\mu^{-1}(x)$ consists of one element. □

(Type II): Let $i$ be an even member of $p$ with $r_i = 2$. Put $r := r_d + \ldots + r_{i-1} + 1$ and let $Q \subset Sp(2n)$ be a parabolic subgroup of flag type $(r, 2n - 2r, r)$ with Levi decomposition $q = n \oplus l$. Notice that $l = gl(r) \oplus sp(2n - 2r)$. There is a nilpotent orbit $O_{p'}$ of $sp(2n - 2r)$ with Jordan type

$$p' = [(d - 2)^{r_d}, \ldots, i^{r_i + 2}, (i - 1)^{r_{i+1} + 2 + r_{i-1}}, (i - 2)^{r_{i-2}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}]$$
such that \( \mathbb{O}_p = \text{Ind}^0 \mathbb{O}_p \). Notice that \( r_{i+1} + 2 + r_{i-1} \) is even because \( i \) is even; hence \( \mathbb{p}' \) satisfies the condition (i).

**Claim 2.3.2** (cf. [10], Theorem 7.1, (d)). The generalized Springer map

\[
\mu : \text{Sp}(2n) \times \mathbb{Q} (n + \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_p) \to \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_p
\]

is generically finite of degree 2.

**Proof** Fix an element \( x \in \mathbb{O}_p \) and take the same basis \( \{ e(l, j) \} \) of \( \mathbb{C}^m \) as the previous claim. We may assume that the permutation \( \beta \) satisfies \( \beta(r) = r + 1 \) and \( \beta(r + 1) = r \) after a suitable change of the basis.

We put \( F := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \text{Ce}(1, j) \). Then \( F \subset F^\perp \) is an isotropic flag such that \( x \cdot F = 0 \) and \( x \cdot \mathbb{C}^{2n} \subset F^\perp \) and \( x \) is an endomorphism of \( F^\perp / F \) with Jordan type \( \mathbb{p}' \).

On the other hand, put \( F' := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r+1, j \neq r} \text{Ce}(1, j) \). Then \( F' \subset (F')^\perp \) is an isotropic flag such that \( x \cdot F' = 0 \) and \( x \cdot \mathbb{C}^{2n} \subset (F')^\perp \) and \( x \) is an endomorphism of \( (F')^\perp / F' \) with Jordan type \( \mathbb{p}' \).

The isotropic flags of type \( (r, 2n - 2r, r) \) with these properties are exactly two flags above. Indeed, at first, it can be checked that such a flag \( F \) contains the subspace \( \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r-1} \text{Ce}(1, j) \). Then \( F \) is written as

\[
F = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r-1} \text{Ce}(1, j) + \mathbb{C}(\alpha e(1, r + 1) + \beta e(1, r))
\]

for some \( (\alpha, \beta) \neq 0 \). Put \( \langle e(1, r + 1), e(i, r) \rangle = a_1, \langle e(2, r + 1), e(i - 1, r) \rangle = a_2, \ldots, \langle e(i, r + 1), e(1, r) \rangle = a_i \). Here \( a_1, \ldots, a_i \) are all nonzero. Since \( x \in sp(2n) \), we have \( a_2 = -a_1, a_3 = -a_2, \ldots, a_i = -a_{i-1} \). Since \( i \) is even, \( a_i = -a_1 \). Then we see that

\[
F^\perp := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r-1, 1 \leq l \leq d_j - 1} \text{Ce}(l, j) + \mathbb{C}(\alpha e(l, r + 1) - \beta e(l, r)).
\]

If \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are both nonzero, then \( x^{-1} (\alpha e(i, r + 1) - \beta e(i, r)) \neq 0 \). This contradicts that \( x \) is an endomorphism of \( F^\perp / F \) with Jordan type \( \mathbb{p}' \). Therefore, \( \alpha = 0 \) or \( \beta = 0 \).

Assume that \( Q \) is the parabolic subgroup of \( \text{Sp}(2n) \) stabilizing the flag \( F \subset F^\perp \).

We have an \( \text{Sp}(2n) \)-equivariant (locally closed) immersion

\[
i : \text{Sp}(2n) \times \mathbb{Q} (n + O_{\mathbb{p}'}) \subset \text{Sp}(2n) / Q \times sp(2n), \quad [g, y] \to (gQ, Ad_g(y)).
\]

Consider \( (F \subset F^\perp, x) \) and \( (F' \subset (F')^\perp, x) \) as elements of \( \text{Sp}(2n) / Q \times sp(2n) \). Note that \( i([1, x]) = (Q, x) = (F \subset F^\perp, x) \).

We want to prove that \( (F' \subset (F')^\perp, x) \) is also contained in \( \text{Im}(i) \). Let \( Q' \) be the parabolic subgroup stabilizing the flag \( F' \subset (F')^\perp \). Then one can write \( Q' = gQg^{-1} \) for some \( g \in \text{Sp}(2n) \). Let \( \mathbb{q}' = \mathbb{n}' \oplus \mathbb{l}' \) be a Levi decomposition. By definition \( x \in \mathbb{n}' + O_{\mathbb{p}'} \), where \( O_{\mathbb{p}'} \) is a nilpotent orbit in \( \mathbb{l}' \) with Jordan type \( \mathbb{p}' \). Then \( g^{-1} x g \in \mathbb{n} + g^{-1} O_{\mathbb{p}'} g \), where \( g^{-1} O_{\mathbb{p}'} g \in g^{-1} \mathbb{l}' g \). The Lie algebra \( g^{-1} \mathbb{l}' g \) is a Levi subalgebra.
of $q$. Hence $l$ and $g^{-1}O_p'g$ are conjugate by an element of $Q$. By changing $g$ by $g q'$ for a suitable $q' \in Q$, we may assume from the first that $g^{-1}O_p'g \subset l$. Since $g^{-1}O_p'g$ and $O_p'$ have the same Jordan type and the nilpotent orbits of $sp(2n-2r)$ are completely determined by their Jordan types, we see that $g^{-1}O_p'g = O_p'$. This means that 

$$x, \ g^{-1}xg \in n + O_p'.$$

The $Q$-orbit of $x$ and the $Q$-orbit of $g^{-1}xg$ are both dense in $n + O_p'$. Hence they intersect. In other words, there is an element $q \in Q$ such that $g^{-1}xg = qxq^{-1}$. Then $gq \in Z_{Sp(2n)}(x)$ and $Q' = (gq)Q(gq)^{-1}$. Now let us consider an element $[gq, x] \in Sp(2n) \times Q(n + O_p')$. Then $\iota([gq, x]) = (gQ, x) = (F' \subset (F')^\perp, x).$ \hfill $\square$

Let $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}$ be the set of all gap members of $p$ and let $i_j$ be one of them. Put $r := r_d + \ldots + r_{i_j}$ and let $Q_j \subset Sp(2n)$ be a parabolic subgroup of flag type $(r, 2n - 2r, r)$ with Levi decomposition $q_j = n_j \oplus l_j$. There is a nilpotent orbit $O_{p_j}$ of $l_j$ with Jordan type 

$$p_j' = [(d - 2)^{r_d}, \ldots, (i_j - 1)^{r_{i_j} + 1}, (i_j - 2)^{r_{i_j} + r_{i_j} - 2}, (i_j - 3)^{r_{i_j} - 3}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}]$$

such that $O_p = \text{Ind}_l^g(O_{p_j})$. We have a generically finite morphism called the generalized Springer map

$$\mu_j : Sp(2n) \times Q_j(n_j + \tilde{O}_{p_j}) \rightarrow \tilde{O}_{p}.$$ 

By the previous claim, $\mu_j$ is a birational morphism. Let $b_j'$ be the number of distinct even members of $p_j'$. Then $b_j' = b - 1$. This, in particular, means that 

$$\pi_1(O_{p_j}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus b-1}.$$ 

Let $X_{p_j'} \rightarrow \tilde{O}_{p_j'}$ be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of $O_{p_j}$. Then $n_j + X_{p_j'}$ is a $Q_j$-space; hence we get a $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus b-1}$-covering map 

$$\pi_j' : Sp(2n) \times Q_j(n_j + X_{p_j'}) \rightarrow Sp(2n) \times Q_j(n_j + \tilde{O}_{p_j'})$$

Let $X_j$ be the Stein factorization of $\mu_j \circ \pi_j'$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Sp(2n) \times Q_j(n_j + X_{p_j'}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_j'} & X_j \\
\downarrow{\pi_j'} & & \downarrow{\pi_j} \\
Sp(2n) \times Q_j(n_j + \tilde{O}_{p_j'}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_j} & \tilde{O}_p
\end{array}$$

(12)
By definition \( \pi_j \) is a \((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus b-1}\)-covering and \( X_j \) factorizes \( \pi \) as
\[
\pi : X \to X_j \to \tilde{O}_p,
\]
where \( \rho_j \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \)-covering.

**Claim 2.3.3** (1) \( \mu_j \) is a crepant resolution around \( O_{p_j} \subset \tilde{O}_p \). In other words, \( \mu_j^{-1}(S_j) \) is the minimal resolution of the \( A_1 \)-surface singularity \( S_j \). On the other hand, \( \mu_j \) is an isomorphism over open neighborhoods of \( O_{p_1}, \ldots, O_{p_{j-1}}, O_{p_{j+1}}, \ldots, O_{p_k} \). In other words, the maps \( \mu_j^{-1}(S_1) \to S_1, \ldots, \mu_j^{-1}(S_{j-1}) \to S_{j-1}, \mu_j^{-1}(S_{j+1}) \to S_{j+1}, \ldots, \mu_j^{-1}(S_k) \to S_k \) are all isomorphism.

(2) \( \pi_j^{-1}(S_j) \) is a disjoint union of \( 2^{b-1} \) copies of \( S_j \). \( \pi_j^{-1}(S_j) \) is a disjoint union of \( 2^{b-1} \) copies of \( (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \). In other words, \( \pi_j \) is an etale cover over an open neighborhood of \( O_{p_j} \subset \tilde{O}_p \) and \( \rho_j \) is a ramified double covering over an open neighborhood of \( \pi_j^{-1}(O_{p_j}) \subset X_j \).

Notice that Claim 2.3.3, (2) implies Proposition 2.3.

**Proof** (1) The gap members of \( p_j' \) are
\[
i_1 - 2, \ldots, i_{j-1} - 2, i_{j+1}, \ldots, i_k.
\]

For the later convenience we put
\[
i'_1 := i_1 - 2, \ldots, i'_{j-1} := i_{j-1} - 2, i'_{j+1} := i_{j+1}, \ldots, i'_k := i_k.
\]

Corresponding to these gap members, we get nilpotent orbits \( O_{(p_j')_1}, \ldots, O_{(p_j')_{j-1}}, O_{(p_j')_{j+1}}, \ldots, O_{(p_j')_k} \) in \( \tilde{O}_{p_j'} \). These are irreducible components of \( \text{Sing}(\tilde{O}_{p_j'}) \) which have codimension 2 in \( \tilde{O}_{p_j'} \). For each \( 1 \leq l \leq k \) \((l \neq j)\), we have a natural embedding
\[
Sp(2n) \times Q_j \cdot (n_j + \tilde{O}_{(p_j')_l}) \subset Sp(2n) \times Q_j \cdot (n_j + \tilde{O}_{p_j'}).
\]

One can check that
\[
\mu_j( Sp(2n) \times Q_j \cdot (n_j + \tilde{O}_{(p_j')_l}) ) = \tilde{O}_{p_l}.
\]

From this fact we see that there is an irreducible component of \( \text{Sing}( Sp(2n) \times Q_j \cdot (n_j + \tilde{O}_{p_j'}) ) \) which dominates \( \tilde{O}_{p_l} \) for each \( l \neq j \), but there is no irreducible components of \( \text{Sing}( Sp(2n) \times Q_j \cdot (n_j + \tilde{O}_{p_j'}) ) \) which dominates \( \tilde{O}_{p_j} \).

Since \( \mu_j \) is a crepant partial resolution of \( \tilde{O}_p \) and \( \tilde{O}_p \) has \( A_1 \)-surface singularity along \( O_{p_l} \), this means that \( \mu_j \) is an isomorphism over an open neighborhood of \( O_{p_l} \subset \tilde{O}_p \) for \( l \neq j \), but \( \mu_j \) is a crepant resolution around \( O_{p_j} \subset \tilde{O}_p \).
Since \( \mu_j \) is a crepant partial resolution and \( \pi_j' \) is etale in codimension 1, the partial resolution \( \mu_j' \) is a crepant partial resolution. By (1) there is a \( \mu_j' \)-exceptional divisor \( E \) of \( Sp(2n) \times O_j (n_j + \bar{O}_j') \) which dominates \( \bar{O}_p \). Then \( (\pi_j')^{-1}(E) \) is a \( \mu_j' \)-exceptional divisor of \( Sp(2n) \times O_j (n_j + X_{p_j}^0) \) which dominates \( \pi_j^{-1}(\bar{O}_p) \). If \( \pi_j \) is ramified over \( O_{\bar{p}_j} \), then \( X_j \) is smooth along \( \pi_j^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}_j}) \). This contradicts that \( \mu_j' \) is a crepant partial resolution. Hence \( \pi \) is unramified over \( \bar{O}_p \), which is nothing but the first statement of (2). Next suppose that the second statement of (2) does not hold. Then \( \rho_j \) is etale over an open neighborhood of \( \pi_j^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}_j}) \subset X_j \). Let \( (\bar{O}_p)^0 \) be an open set obtained from \( \bar{O}_p \) by excluding all irreducible components of \( \text{Sing}(\bar{O}_p) \) different from \( \bar{O}_p \). We put \( X^0_j := \pi_j^{-1}((\bar{O}_p)^0) \). Then \( \rho_j^{-1}(X^0_j) \) is an etale cover. On the other hand, let \( X^0_{p_j} \) be the universal covering of \( O_{p_j} \). Then \( Sp(2n) \times O_j (n_j + X^0_{p_j}) \) is simply connected. In fact, we have an exact sequence

\[
\pi_1(n_j + X^0_{p_j}) \to \pi_1(Sp(2n) \times O_j (n_j + X^0_{p_j})) \to \pi_1(Sp(2n)/O_j) \to 1
\]

Since \( \pi_1(n_j + X^0_{p_j}) = \{1\} \) and \( \pi_1(Sp(2n)/O_j) = \{1\} \), we have the result.

For \( l \neq j \), \( \mu_j \) is an isomorphism over an open neighborhood of \( O_{\bar{p}_j} \subset \bar{O}_p \) by (1). By Zariski’s Main Theorem \( \mu_j' \) is also an isomorphism over an open neighborhood of \( \pi_j^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}_j}) \subset X_j \). Moreover, \( \mu_j' \) is a crepant resolution around \( \pi_j^{-1}(O_{p_j}) \subset X_j \). Write

\[
\bar{O}_p = O_p \cup O_{\bar{p}_1} \cup \ldots \cup O_{\bar{p}_k} \cup F,
\]

where \( F \) is the union of all nilpotent orbits in \( \bar{O}_p \) with codimension \( \geq 4 \). Then

\[
Sp(2n) \times O_j (n_j + X_{p_j}^0) = (\pi_j \circ \mu_j')^{-1}(O_p) \sqcup (\pi_j \circ \mu_j')^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}_1}) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup (\pi_j \circ \mu_j')^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}_k}) \sqcup (\pi_j \circ \mu_j')^{-1}(F).
\]

For \( l \neq j \), we see that \( (\pi_j \circ \mu_j')^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}_l}) \) has codimension 2 in \( Sp(2n) \times O_j (n_j + X_{p_j}^0) \). Moreover, since \( \text{Codim}_{X_j} \pi_j^{-1}(F) \geq 4 \), we also see that \( (\pi_j \circ \mu_j')^{-1}(F) \) has codimension \( \geq 2 \) by Corollary 0.2. This means that \( (\mu_j')^{-1}(\pi_j^{-1}(O_p \cup O_{\bar{p}_j})) \) is obtained from \( Sp(2n) \times O_j (n_j + X_{p_j}^0) \) by removing a closed subset of codimension \( \geq 2 \). Since \( (\mu_j')^{-1}(\pi_j^{-1}(O_p \cup O_{\bar{p}_j})) \) is smooth, it is contained in \( Sp(2n) \times O_j (n_j + X_{p_j}^{\text{reg}}) \). This implies that \( (\mu_j')^{-1}(X^0_{p_j}) \) is obtained from a smooth variety \( Sp(2n) \times O_j (n_j + X_{p_j}^{\text{reg}}) \) by removing a closed subset of codimension \( \geq 2 \). There is a surjection map

\[
\pi_1(Sp(2n) \times O_j (n_j + X_{p_j}^0)) \to \pi_1(Sp(2n) \times O_j (n_j + X_{p_j}^{\text{reg}})).
\]
As already shown, the left hand side is trivial; hence, the right hand side is also trivial. Now we have
\[
\pi_1((\mu'_j)^{-1}(X^0_j)) \cong \pi_1(Sp(2n) \times Q_j (n_j + X^\text{reg}_{p_j}^j)) = \{1\}.
\]
Since \(\mu'_j\) is birational, \(\pi_1(X^0_j) = \{1\}\). This contradicts that \(\rho_j^{-1}(X^0_j)\) is a (connected) etale cover of \(X^0_j\) of degree 2. \(\square\)

We here consider an additional condition for \(p\):
(iii) \(r_i \neq 2\) for each even \(i\).

**Corollary 2.4** Let \(p\) be a partition satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii). Let \(\pi : X \to \bar{O}_p\) be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of \(O_p\). Then \(X\) has only \(Q\)-factorial terminal singularities.

**Proof** By the condition (iii), \(X\) is \(Q\)-factorial by Proposition 2.1, (2). Then the result follows from Proposition 2.3. \(\square\)

**Construction of a \(Q\)-factorial terminalization:** Let \(\bar{O}_p\) be an arbitrary nilpotent orbit closure of \(sp(2n)\), and let \(X \to \bar{O}_p\) be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of \(O_p\). We shall construct explicitly a \(Q\)-factorial terminalization of \(X\). Since \(p\) is a Jordan type of a nilpotent orbit, \(p\) satisfies the condition (i). Let \(b\) be the number of distinct even members of \(p\).

By using the inductions of type (I) repeatedly for \(p\), we can finally find a parabolic subgroup \(Q\) of \(Sp(2n)\) and a nilpotent orbit \(O_p'\) of a Levi part \(l\) of \(q\) such that
(a) \(O_p = \text{Ind}_l^{sp(2n)}(O_p')\),
(b) \(p'\) satisfies the condition (ii), and \(b' = b\) (where \(b'\) is the number of distinct even members of \(p'\)).

Notice that \(l\) is a direct sum of a simple Lie algebra \(sp(2n')\), some simple Lie algebras of type \(A\) and the center. \(O_p'\) is a nilpotent orbit of \(sp(2n')\).

For the partition \(p'\) thus obtained, we let \(e\) be the number of even members \(i\) of \(p'\) such that \(r_i = 2\). By using the inductions of type (II) repeatedly for \(p'\), we can finally find a parabolic subgroup \(Q'\) of \(Sp(2n')\) and a nilpotent orbit \(O_{p''}\) of a Levi part \(l'\) of \(q'\) such that
(a') \(O_{p'} = \text{Ind}_{l'}^{sp(2n')}(O_{p''})\),
(b') \(p''\) satisfies the conditions (ii), (iii), and \(b'' = b' - e\) (where \(b''\) is the number of distinct even members of \(p''\)).

All together, we get a generalized Springer map
\[
\mu'' : Sp(2n) \times Q'' (n'' + \bar{O}_{p''}) \to \bar{O}_p,
\]
where \(\mu''\) is generically finite of degree \(2^{b-b''}\). Let \(\pi'' : X'' \to \bar{O}_{p''}\) be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of \(O_{p''}\). By Corollary 2.4 \(X''\) has only \(Q\)-factorial terminal singularities. Note that \(\deg(\pi'') = 2^{b''}\). There is a finite cover
\[
\pi'' : Sp(2n) \times Q'' (n'' + X'') \to Sp(2n) \times Q'' (n'' + \bar{O}_{p''})
\]
of degree $2^b''$. Then the Stein factorization of $\mu'' \circ \pi''$ coincides with $X$. We have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
Sp(2n) \times O'' (n'' + X'') \\
\downarrow \pi''
\end{array}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\longrightarrow \ X \\
\downarrow \pi
\end{array}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
Sp(2n) \times O'' (n'' + \tilde{O}_p'') \\
\downarrow \mu''
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

(13)

The map $Sp(2n) \times O'' (n'' + X'') \to X$ here obtained is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $X$.

**Example 2.5** (1) Let us consider the nilpotent orbit $O_{[6^2,4^2]} \subset sp(20)$ and let $\pi : X \to \tilde{O}_{[6^2,4^2]}$ be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of $O_{[6^2,4^2]}$. We have $\deg(\pi) = 4$ by Proposition 2.1, (1). We shall construct a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $X$ and we shall show that it is actually a crepant resolution.

Let $Q_{4,12,4}$ be a parabolic subgroup of $Sp(20)$ with flag type $(4, 12, 4)$. Let $q_{4,12,4} = n_{4,12,4} \oplus l_{4,12,4}$ be a Levi decomposition. Then $l_{4,12,4} = sp(12) \oplus gl(4)$ and $O_{[4^2,2^2]}$ is induced from the nilpotent orbit $O_{[4^2,2^2]} \subset sp(12)$. This is a type I induction, and the generalized Springer map

$$Sp(20) \times Q_{4,12,4} (n_{4,12,4} + \tilde{O}_{[4^2,2^2]}) \to \tilde{O}_{[6^2,4^2]}$$

is a birational map. Let $Q_{1,10,1}$ be a parabolic subgroup of $Sp(12)$ with the Levi decomposition $q_{1,10,1} = n_{1,10,1} \oplus l_{1,10,1}$. Then $l_{1,10,1} = sp(10) \oplus gl(1)$ and $O_{[3^2,2^2]}$ is induced from $O_{[3^2,2^2]} \subset sp(10)$. This is a type II induction. Hence we get a generically finite map of degree 2

$$Sp(20) \times Q_{4,1,10,1,4} (n_{4,1,10,1,4} + \tilde{O}_{[3^2,2^2]}) \to Sp(20) \times Q_{4,12,4} (n_{4,12,4} + \tilde{O}_{[4^2,2^2]}).$$

Let $Q_{3,4,3}$ be a parabolic subgroup of $Sp(10)$ with flag type $(3, 4, 3)$ with a Levi decomposition $q_{3,4,3} = n_{3,4,3} \oplus l_{3,4,3}$. Then $l_{3,4,3} = sp(4) \oplus gl(3)$ and $O_{[3^2,2^2]}$ is induced from $O_{[3^2,2^2]} \subset sp(4)$. This is a type II induction, and we get a generically finite map of degree 2

$$Sp(20) \times Q_{4,1,3,4,3,1,4} n_{4,1,3,4,3,1,4} \to Sp(20) \times Q_{4,1,10,1,4} (n_{4,1,10,1,4} + \tilde{O}_{[3^2,2^2]}).$$

We can illustrate the induction step above by

$$([1^4], sp(4)) \overset{TypeII}{\Rightarrow} (O_{[3^2,2^2]}, sp(10)) \overset{TypeII}{\Rightarrow} (O_{[4^2,2^2]}, sp(12)) \overset{TypeI}{\Rightarrow} (O_{[6^2,4^2]}, sp(20)).$$
Composing these 3 maps together, we have a generically finite map of degree 4

$$Sp(20) \times \mathcal{Q}^{4,1,3,4,3,1,4} n_{4,1,3,4,3,1,4} \to \tilde{O}_{[6^2,4^2]}.$$ 

This map factors through $X$ and $Sp(20) \times \mathcal{Q}^{4,1,3,4,3,1,4} n_{4,1,3,4,3,1,4}$ gives a crepant resolution of $X$.

(2) Let $\pi : X \to \tilde{O}_{[8,5^2,4,3^2]}$ be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of $O_{[8,5^2,4,3^2]}$. By Proposition 2.1, (1) we have $\deg(\pi) = 4$. We can take the following inductions

$$\begin{align*}
(O_{[4,3^2,2,1^2]}, sp(14)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Type I}} (O_{[6,5^2,4,3^2]}, sp(26)) \xrightarrow{\text{Type I}} (O_{[8,5^2,4,3^2]}, sp(28)).
\end{align*}$$

Note that in each step the number of distinct even members does not change, and the partition $[4, 3^2, 2, 1^2]$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). Let $X_{[4,3^2,2,1^2]} \to \tilde{O}_{[4,3^2,2,1^2]}$ be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of $O_{[4,3^2,2,1^2]}$. By Corollary 2.4, $X_{[4,3^2,2,1^2]}$ has only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. Then $Sp(28) \times \mathcal{Q}^{1,6,14,6,1}$ ($n_{1,6,14,6,1} + X_{[4,3^2,2,1^2]}$) gives a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $X$. \hfill $\square$

3. $g = so(m)$

We write a partition $p$ of $m$ as $[d^r, (d-1)^{r-1}, \ldots, 2^{r_2}, 1^{r_1}]$ with $r_d \neq 0$. Other $r_i$ may be possibly zero; in such a case $i$ does not appear in the partition. If $r_i > 0$, then we call $i$ a member of the partition. Let $O$ be a nilpotent orbit of $g$. Then its Jordan type $p$ is a partition of $m$ such that all even members have even multiplicities (cf. [4], §5). When $p$ consists of only even members, we call $p$ very even. If $p$ is not very even, then the orbit $O$ is uniquely determined by the Jordan type $p$, and we denote by $O_p$ the nilpotent orbit. On the other hand, if $p$ is very even, there are two nilpotent orbits with Jordan type $p$. The two orbits are conjugate to each other by an element of $O(m) \setminus SO(m)$. When we want to distinguish them, we denote them by $O_p^+$, $O_p^-$, but we usually denote by $O_p$ one of them. A partition $p$ is called rather odd if all odd members have multiplicity 1. Note that a very even partition is rather odd. Let $a$ be the number of distinct odd members of $p$. When $g = so(2n + 1)$, we always have $a > 0$, but when $g = so(2n)$, we may possibly have $a = 0$.

**Proposition 3.1** (1) If $p$ is not rather odd, then

$$\pi_1(O_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\oplus \max(a-1,0).$$

If $p$ is rather odd, then there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \pi_1(O_p) \to (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\oplus \max(a-1,0) \to 1$$

so that $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is contained in the center of $\pi_1(O_p)$. 


(2) Assume that \( r_i \neq 2 \) for all odd members \( i \) of \( p \). Then \( X \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial for any etale covering \( X^0 \to O_p \).

**Proof** (1) Put \( G = \text{Spin}(m) \). There is a double covering \( \rho_m : G \to SO(m) \) and \( g = so(m) \). Take an element \( x \) from \( O_p \) and take an \( sl(2) \)-triple \( \phi \) in \( g \) containing \( x \). By [C-M, Theorem (6.1.3)] \( SO(m) \) is isomorphic to

\[
S \left( \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \right)
\]

\[
:= \left\{ \left( \prod_{i: \text{even}} A_{r_i}^{\times i}, \prod_{i: \text{odd}} B_{r_i}^{\times i} \right) \in \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \mid \prod_{i: \text{odd}} \det(B_{r_i})^i = 1 \right\}
\]

Then \( G^\phi = \rho_m^{-1}(SO(m) \phi) \); hence \( G^\phi \) is a double cover of \( S(\prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta}) \). When \( p \) has only even members, \( S(\prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta}) = \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \) which is connected. When \( p \) has some odd members, \( S(\prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta}) \) has \( 2^{a-1} \) connected components. Therefore, in any case, \( S(\prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta}) \) has \( 2^{\text{max}(a-1,0)} \) connected components.

If \( p \) is not rather odd, then the identity component of \( S(\prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta}) \) is \( \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \). Note that \( \pi_1(SO(r_i)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) for \( r_i > 2 \) and \( \pi_1(SO(2)) = \mathbb{Z} \). For an odd \( i \) with \( r_i \geq 2 \), there is a unique surjective homomorphism \( \phi_i : \pi_1(SO(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \). We then have a surjection

\[
\sum_{i: \text{odd}, r_i \geq 2} \phi_i : \prod_{i: \text{odd}, r_i \geq 2} \pi_1(SO(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.
\]

The left hand side is identified with \( \pi_1(\prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta}) \). Therefore it determines a connected etale double covering of \( \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \).

One can check that \( \rho_m^{-1}(\prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta}) \) is such an etale covering. Hence \( \rho_m^{-1}(\prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta}) \) has \( 2^{\text{max}(a-1,0)} \) connected components. If \( p \) is rather odd, then each connected component of \( \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \) is isomorphic to \( \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \), which is simply connected. Hence \( \rho_m^{-1}(\prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta}) \) has \( 2 \cdot 2^{\text{max}(a-1,0)} \) connected components.

(2) If \( p \) is rather odd, \( (G^{\phi})^0 \) is isomorphic to \( \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \). Then \( \chi((G^{\phi})^0) = 0 \).

If \( p \) is not rather odd, then \( (G^{\phi})^0 \) is a double covering of \( \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \). Note that \( SO(r) \) is a simple Lie group except that \( SO(2) \cong \mathbb{C}^* \) and \( SO(4) \) is a semisimple Lie group of type \( A_1 + A_1 \). This means that, if \( r_i \neq 2 \) for all odd \( i \), then

\[
\chi \left( \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{\times i}_{\Delta} \right) = 0.
\]
Then the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to (G^\phi)^0 \to \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^x_i \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} SO(r_i)^x_i \to 1$$

yields an exact sequence of character groups

$$\chi \left( \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^x_i \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} SO(r_i)^x_i \right) \to \chi((G^\phi)^0) \to \chi(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

The 1-st term is zero by the observation above, and the 3-rd term is a finite group. Hence \( \chi((G^\phi)^0) \) is also finite. As a result, \( \chi((G^\phi)^0) \) is finite in any case under the assumption (2). The remainder is the same as in the proof of Proposition (1.1), (2).

\[ \square \]

Let \( \pi : X \to \tilde{O}_p \) be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of \( O_p \) and \( \tau : Y \to \tilde{O}_p \) a finite covering determined by the surjection \( \pi_1(O_p) \to (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \max(\alpha(p)-1,0)} \) in Proposition 3.1, (1).

**Proposition 3.2** The adjoint action of \( SO(m) \) on \( \tilde{O}_p \) lifts to an \( SO(m) \)-action on \( Y \). If \( p \) is not rather odd, then \( X = Y \). If \( p \) is rather odd, then \( X \) is a double cover of \( Y \) and the \( SO(m) \) action on \( Y \) does not lift to an \( SO(m) \)-action on \( X \).

**Proof** Put \( X^0 := \pi^{-1}(O_p) \) and \( Y^0 := \tau^{-1}(O_p) \). Choose \( x \in O_p \). By the proof of Proposition 3.1, the double cover \( \rho_m : Spin(m) \to SO(m) \) induces a double cover \( (Spin(m)^x)^0 \to (SO(m)^x)^0 \) when \( p \) is not rather odd, and induces an isomorphism \( (Spin(m)^x)^0 \cong (SO(m)^x)^0 \) when \( p \) is rather odd. In any case, \( Y^0 = SO(m)/(SO(m)^x)^0 \). Hence \( SO(m) \) naturally acts on \( Y^0 \). Since \( \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \Gamma(Y^0, \mathcal{O}_{Y^0}) \), \( SO(m) \) acts on \( Y \). The natural \( SO(m) \)-action on \( SO(m)/SO(m)^x \) is nothing but the adjoint action of \( SO(m) \) on \( O_p \). This means that the \( SO(m) \)-action on \( Y^0 \) is a lift of the adjoint \( SO(m) \)-action on \( O_p \). Therefore the \( SO(m) \)-action on \( Y \) is a lift of the adjoint action of \( SO(m) \) on \( \tilde{O}_p \).

Assume that \( p \) is not rather odd. Then

$$X^0 = Spin(m)/(Spin(m)^x)^0 = SO(m)/(SO(m)^x)^0 = Y^0.$$  

Hence \( X = Y \).

Assume that \( p \) is rather odd. Then \( \pi^0 \) factorizes as \( X^0 \xrightarrow{\rho^0} Y^0 \xrightarrow{\pi^0} O_p \). Let us consider the composite \( SO(m) \times X^0 \to Y^0 \) of the map \( SO(m) \times X^0 \xrightarrow{id \times \rho^0} SO(m) \times Y^0 \) and the map \( SO(m) \times Y^0 \to Y^0 \) determined by the \( SO(m) \)-action on \( Y^0 \). The map \( SO(m) \times X^0 \to Y^0 \) lifts to a map to \( X^0 \) if and only if \( \pi_1(SO(m) \times X^0) \to \pi_1(Y^0) \) is the zero map. Take a point \( \tilde{x} \in X^0 \) such that \( \pi^0(\tilde{x}) = x \). Then the maps

$$SO(m) \times \{ \tilde{x} \} \to SO(m) \times X^0 \to Y^0$$
induces homomorphisms of fundamental groups

\[ \pi_1(SO(m) \times \{\tilde{x}\}) \to \pi_1(SO(m) \times X^0) \to \pi_1(Y^0) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}. \]

Since \( \pi_1(X^0) = 1 \), the first map is an isomorphism. Since \( SO(m) \times \{\tilde{x}\} \) is a fibre bundle over \( Y^0 \) with a typical fiber \( (SO(m)^x)^0 \), the map \( \pi_1(SO(m) \times \{\tilde{x}\}) \to \pi_1(Y^0) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) is a surjection. As a consequence, \( \pi_1(SO(m) \times X^0) \to \pi_1(Y^0) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) is a surjection. This means that the \( SO(m) \)-action on \( Y^0 \) does not lift to an \( SO(m) \)-action on \( X^0 \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.3** Assume that \( p \) is not very even. Then the adjoint action of \( O(m) \) on \( \tilde{O}_p \) lifts to an \( O(m) \)-action on \( Y \).

**Remark** A lifting of an \( O(m) \)-action is not unique.

**Proof** We fix an element \( x \) of \( O_p \) and an \( sl(2) \)-triple \( \phi \) containing \( x \). Then \( O(m)^\phi \) is isomorphic to

\[
\prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{x_i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{x_i}_{\Delta} \\
:= \left\{ \left( \prod_{i: \text{even}} A_{r_i}^{x_i}, \prod_{i: \text{odd}} B_{r_i}^{x_i} \right) \in \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{x_i} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} O(r_i)^{x_i} \right\}.
\]

Note that

\[
(O(m)^\phi)^0 = \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{x_i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd}} SO(r_i)^{x_i}_{\Delta}.
\]

Since \( p \) is not very even, there is an odd member \( i_0 \). We put

\[
H^\phi = \prod_{i: \text{even}} Sp(r_i)^{x_i}_{\Delta} \times \prod_{i: \text{odd} \neq i_0} SO(r_i)^{x_i}_{\Delta} \times O(r_{i_0})^{x_{i_0}}_{\Delta},
\]

and \( H^x = U^x \cdot H^\phi \). Then we have an isomorphism

\[
Y^0 := SO(m)/(SO(m)^x)^0 \cong O(m)/H^x.
\]

The right hand side has a natural \( O(m) \)-action. This \( O(m) \)-action determines an \( O(m) \)-action on \( Y \). \( \square \)
Let us consider the following conditions for a partition $p$ of $m$.

(i) $r_i$ is even for each even $i$.

(ii) $r_i \neq 0$ for every odd $i$.

**Proposition 3.4** Let $p$ be a partition of $m$ which is not rather odd. Assume that $p$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Let $X \to \overline{O}_p$ be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of $O_p$. Then $\text{Codim}_X \text{Sing}(X) \geq 4$.

**Proof** Notice that the conditions (i) and (ii) are replacements of the conditions (i) and (ii) in the previous section where the roles of odd and even members are reversed. In this sense, this proposition is an $SO(m)$-analogue of Proposition 2.3. By virtue of Proposition 3.2, this proposition is proved completely in the same way as Proposition 2.3. \hfill $\square$

When $p$ is rather odd, we encounter a different situation as the following example illustrates.

**Example 3.5** In this example, we show that a usual induction step (cf. §2) does not work well for a rather odd partition $p$. Put the $m \times m$ matrix

$$J_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \ldots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \ldots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 & 1 \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \ldots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Then

$$SO(m) = \{ A \in SL(m) \mid A^t J A = J \}.$$ 

Fix positive integers $s_1, \ldots, s_k, q$ so that $m = 2 \sum s_i + q$. Assume that $q \geq 3$. Let $Q'$ be a parabolic subgroup of $SO(m)$ fixing the isotropic flag of flag type $(s_1, \ldots, s_k, q, s_k, \ldots, s_1)$

$$0 \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{s_1} \rangle \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{s_1+s_2} \rangle \subset \ldots \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{\sum_{i=1}^k s_i} \rangle \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{\sum_{i=1}^k s_i+q} \rangle$$

$$\subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{\sum_{i=1}^k s_i+q+s_k} \rangle \subset \ldots \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{\sum_{i=1}^k s_i+q+\sum_{k=1}^k s_i} \rangle = C^m$$

One has a Levi decomposition $Q' = U' \cdot L'$ with

$$L' = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & A_k & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & B & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & A_k' & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \ldots \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & A_k' & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & A_k' \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & A_1' \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A_i \in GL(s_i)$, $A_i' = J_{s_i} (A_i')^{-1} J_{s_i}$, $B \in SO(q)$. 

$$| A_i \in GL(s_i), A_i' = J_{s_i} (A_i')^{-1} J_{s_i}, B \in SO(q) \rangle \}.$$
In particular, \( L' \cong \prod GL(s_i) \times SO(q) \). Let \( Q := \rho_m^{-1}(Q') \) and \( L := \rho_m^{-1}(L') \) for \( \rho_m : Spin(m) \rightarrow SO(m) \). The Lie algebra \( l \) of \( L \) is isomorphic to \( \oplus gl(s_i) \oplus so(q) \).

Let \( p' \) be a rather odd partition of \( q \) such that \( a(p) = a(p') \) and let \( O_p \) be a nilpotent orbit of \( so(q) \) with Jordan type \( p' \). Assume that \( p' \) is obtained from \( p \) by a succession of type I inductions and \( O_p = \text{Ind}_{l}^{m}(O_{p'}) \). For an odd \( s_i \), we consider the map \( SL(s_i) \times C^* \rightarrow GL(s_i) \) determined by \( (X, \lambda) \rightarrow \lambda^2 X \). This is a cyclic covering of order \( 2s_i \). The cyclic group \( \mu_{2s_i} \) acts on \( SL(s_i) \times C^* \) so that \( (X, \lambda) \rightarrow (\xi^{-2}_{2s_i} X, \xi_{2s_i} \lambda) \) for a primitive \( 2s_i \)-th root \( \xi_{2s_i} \) of unity. Let us consider a unique subgroup \( \mu_{s_i} \) of \( \mu_{2s_i} \) of order \( s_i \). Then \( SL(s_i) \times C^*/\mu_{s_i} \rightarrow GL(s_i) \) is a double cover of \( GL(s_i) \). For an even \( s_i \), we consider the map \( SL(s_i) \times C^* \rightarrow GL(s_i) \) determined by \( (X, \lambda) \rightarrow \lambda X \). This is a cyclic covering of order \( s_i \). The cyclic group \( \mu_{s_i} \) acts on \( SL(s_i) \times C^* \) so that \( (X, \lambda) \rightarrow (\xi_{s_i}^{-1} X, \xi_{s_i} \lambda) \) for a primitive \( s_i \)-th root \( \xi_{s_i} \) of unity. Let us consider a unique subgroup \( \mu_{s_i/2} \) of \( \mu_{s_i} \) of order \( s_i/2 \). Then \( SL(s_i) \times C^*/\mu_{s_i/2} \rightarrow GL(s_i) \) is a double cover of \( GL(s_i) \). Here we put \( t_i = s_i \) when \( s_i \) is odd, and put \( t_i := s_i/2 \) when \( s_i \) is even. We then have a covering map

\[
(SL(s_1) \times C^*)/\mu_{t_1} \times \ldots \times (SL(s_k) \times C^*)/\mu_{t_k} \times Spin(q) \rightarrow GL(s_1) \times \ldots \times GL(s_k) \times SO(q).
\]

The Galois group of this covering is \( (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus k+1} \). Put \( H := \text{Ker}[(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}] \), where \( \sum \) is defined by \( (x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1}) \rightarrow \sum x_i \).

**Claim 3.5.1**

\[ L = \{(SL(s_1) \times C^*)/\mu_{t_1} \times \ldots \times (SL(s_k) \times C^*)/\mu_{t_k} \times Spin(q)\} / H. \]

**Proof** We first prove that \( \rho_m^{-1}(GL(s_i)) = (SL(s_i) \times C^*)/\mu_{t_i} \) and \( \rho_m^{-1}(SO(q)) = Spin(q) \). For each \( 1 \leq j \leq s_1 + \ldots + s_k \), we consider the non-degenerate quadratic subspace \( V_j := \{ e_j, e_{m+1-j} \} \) of \( C^m \). Then \( SO(V_j) \) is a subgroup of \( SO(m) \) and \( \rho_m^{-1}(SO(V_j)) = Spin(V_j) \) (cf. [8], (20.31)). Note that \( GL(s_i) \) contains some \( SO(V_j) \). Since \( \rho_m^{-1}(SO(V_j)) \) is connected, we see that \( \rho_m^{-1}(GL(s_i)) \) is a connected double cover of \( GL(s_i) \). Since \( \pi_1(GL(s_i)) = \mathbb{Z} \), we have a unique surjective homomorphism \( \pi_1(GL(s_i)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \). This means that \( \rho_m^{-1}(GL(s_i)) = (SL(s_i) \times C^*)/\mu_{t_i} \). Since the \( q \) dimensional subspace \( \{ e_{\sum_{j=1}^{i} s_1 + \ldots + e_{\sum_{j=1}^{i} s_i + q} \} \) is a non-degenerate quadratic space, we have \( \rho_m^{-1}(SO(q)) = Spin(q) \) by the same reason as above.

We then have Cartesian diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(SL(s_i) \times C^*)/\mu_{t_i} & \xrightarrow{i_j} & L \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
GL(s_i) & \xrightarrow{i_j} & L'
\end{array}
\]

(14)
\[ \begin{align*} \Spin(q) & \xrightarrow{i_q} L \\
& \downarrow \downarrow \\
SO(q) & \xrightarrow{i_q} L' \end{align*} \]  

(15)

Here \( i_t \) and \( i_q \) are natural injections and all vertical maps are induced by \( \rho_m \).

By using the group structure of \( L \), we have a commutative maps

\[ \begin{align*} \prod (SL(s_i) \times \mathbb{C}^*)/\mu_{t_i} \times \Spin(q) & \xrightarrow{i_{t_1} \cdots i_{t_k} i_q} L \\
& \downarrow \\
\prod GL(s_i) \times SO(q) & \xrightarrow{\cong} L' \end{align*} \]  

(16)

The covering group of the left vertical map is \((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus k+1\). The etale covering \( L \rightarrow L' \) is determined by a certain surjection \( \phi : (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus k+1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \). Let \( t_i : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus k+1 \) be the inclusion map into the \( i \)-th factor. By the previous Cartesian diagrams, the composite \( \phi \circ t_i \) must be isomorphisms for all \( 1 \leq i \leq k+1 \). This means that \( \phi \) is defined by \( (x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1}) \mapsto \sum x_i. \) \( \square \)

Let \( \pi' : X' \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p' \) be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of \( O_p' \). Let \( \tau' : Y' \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p' \) be a finite covering determined by the surjection \( \pi_1(O_p') \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \max (u(p)-1,0) \) in Proposition 3.1, (1). Put \( (Y')^0 := \tau'^{-1}(O_p') \) and \( (X')^0 := \pi'^{-1}(O_p') \). The adjoint action of \( SO(q) \) on \( O_p' \) lifts to an \( SO(q) \)-action on \( (Y')^0 \).

Since \( L' = \prod GL(s_i) \times SO(q) \), \( L' \) acts on \( (Y')^0 \) (and on \( Y' \)) by the projection map \( L' \rightarrow SO(q) \). By the surjection \( L \rightarrow L' \), \( L \) also acts on \( (Y')^0 \) (and \( Y' \)).

We prove that this \( L' \)-action never lifts to an \( L \)-action on \( (X')^0 \). Let \( p : L \rightarrow SO(q) \) be the composite of the map \( L \rightarrow L' \) and the projection map \( L' \rightarrow SO(q) \). Take a point \( y_0 \in (Y')^0 \) and define a map \( L \rightarrow (Y')^0 \) by \( g \mapsto g \cdot y_0 \). By definition this map factorizes as \( L \xrightarrow{p} SO(q) \rightarrow (Y')^0 \). It induces homomorphisms

\[ \pi_1(L) \xrightarrow{p} \pi_1(SO(q)) \rightarrow \pi_1((Y')^0). \]

If the \( L \)-action on \( (Y')^0 \) lifts to \( (X')^0 \), then the composite of these homomorphisms is the zero map (cf. the proof of Proposition 3.2). By Claim 3.5.1, the map \( p \) has connected fibers. This means that \( p_* \) is a surjection. Hence the map \( \pi_1(SO(q)) \rightarrow \pi_1((Y')^0) \) must be zero. But this map is not the zero map because the \( SO(q) \)-action on \( (Y')^0 \) does not lift to \( (X')^0 \) by Proposition 3.2.

Since \( L \) acts on \( Y' \), \( Q \) acts on \( n + Y' \). We have a commutative diagram

\[ \begin{align*} \Spin(m) \times^Q (n + Y') & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} Z \\
& \downarrow \\
\Spin(m) \times^Q (n + \tilde{O}_p') & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}} \tilde{O}_p \end{align*} \]  

(17)
Here $Z$ is the Stein factorization of $s \circ \hat{\tau}$. The horizontal maps are both crepant partial resolutions. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p$ be the finite covering of $\tilde{O}_p$ associated with the universal covering of $O_p$. Then $\pi$ factorizes as $X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p$. By the construction $\deg(\rho) = 2$. Since $SO(m)$ acts on $Spin(m) \times^Q (n + Y')$, the finite map $Z \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p$ is an $SO(m)$-cover. Therefore this cover is nothing but the cover $Y \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p$ determined by the surjection $\pi_1(O_p) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\max(a-1,0)}$. Then we have the following claim.

**Claim 3.5.2** The $Q$-action on $n + (Y')^0$ does not lift to a $Q$-action on $n + (X')^0$. Moreover, we have

$$\pi_1(Spin(m) \times^Q (n + (Y')^0)) = \{1\}.$$

**Proof** Applying the homotopy exact sequence to the $Q$-bundle: $Spin(m) \times (n + (Y')^0) \rightarrow Spin(m) \times^Q (n + (Y')^0)$, we get an exact sequence

$$\pi_1(Q) \xrightarrow{ob} \pi_1(Spin(m) \times (n + (Y')^0)) \rightarrow \pi_1(Spin(m) \times^Q (n + (Y')^0)) \rightarrow 1.$$ 

The map $ob$ is induced from a map

$$\beta_{y_0} : Q \rightarrow Spin(m) \times (n + (Y')^0) \rightarrow (q^{-1}, q(0 + y_0))$$

with some $y_0 \in (Y')^0$. We shall prove that $ob$ is the obstruction map to lifting the $Q$-action on $n + (Y')^0$ to a $Q$-action on $n + (X')^0$. Let us consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Q \times (n + (X')^0) & \rightarrow & n + (X')^0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Q \times (n + (Y')^0) & \rightarrow & n + (Y')^0
\end{array} \quad (18)$$

Here the horizontal map on the bottom row is given by

$$Q \times (n + (Y')^0) \rightarrow n + (Y')^0 \quad (q, n + y) \rightarrow q(n + y).$$

The map

$$\alpha : Q \times (n + (X')^0) \rightarrow n + (Y')^0$$

lifts to a map

$$\tilde{\alpha} : Q \times (n + (X')^0) \rightarrow n + (X')^0$$

if and only if

$$\alpha : \pi_1(Q \times (n + (Y')^0) \rightarrow \pi_1(n + (Y')^0)$$
is the zero map. If such a lift $\tilde{\alpha}$ exists, then we can find an element $\tau \in \text{Aut}_{(Y')}^0(X')^0$ such that
\[
Q \times (n + (X')^0) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} n + (X')^0 \xrightarrow{id + \tau} n + (X')^0
\]
is a group action. We take a lift $\tilde{y}_0 \in (X')^0$ of $y_0 \in (Y')^0$. Define a map $i_{\tilde{y}_0} : Q \to Q \times (n + (X')^0)$ by $i_{\tilde{y}_0}(q) = (q, 0 + \tilde{y}_0)$. Then we have a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Q & \xrightarrow{\beta_{y_0}} & \text{Spin}(m) \times (n + (Y')^0) \\
\downarrow i_{\tilde{y}_0} & & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 \\
Q \times (n + (X')^0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & n + (Y')^0
\end{array}
\] (19)

Correspondingly we have a commutative diagram of fundamental groups
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_1(Q) & \xrightarrow{ob = (\beta_{y_0})_*} & \pi_1(\text{Spin}(m) \times (n + (X')^0) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\pi_1(Q \times (n + (X')^0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} & \pi_1(n + (Y')^0)
\end{array}
\] (20)

The vertical maps are isomorphisms because $\pi_1((X')^0) = \{1\}$ and $\pi_1(\text{Spin}(m)) = \{1\}$. Therefore $ob$ is the obstruction map to a lift.

We shall prove that $ob$ is not the zero map. Let us consider the composite
\[
\tilde{\beta}_{y_0} : Q \xrightarrow{\beta_{y_0}} \text{Spin}(m) \times (n + (Y')^0) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} n + (Y')^0 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} (Y')^0.
\]
If we restrict $\tilde{\beta}_{y_0}$ to $U \subset Q$, then it is a constant map sending all elements $g \in U$ to $y_0 \in (Y')^0$. By the homotopy exact sequence
\[
\pi_1(U) \to \pi_1(Q) \to \pi_1(L) \to 1,
\]
we see that $\tilde{\beta}_{y_0}$ induces homomorphisms
\[
\pi_1(L) \to \pi_1(\text{Spin}(m) \times (n + (Y')^0)) \to \pi_1(n + (Y')^0) \to \pi_1((Y')^0).
\]
Here the last two maps are both isomorphisms because $\pi_1(\text{Spin}(m)) = 1$ and $\pi_1(n) = 1$. Since the $L$-action on $(Y')^0$ does not lift to $(X')^0$, the map $\pi_1(L) \to \pi_1((Y')^0)$ is not the zero map. This means that $ob = (\beta_{y_0})_*$ is not the zero map.

Return to the original homotopy exact sequence. Then we have $\pi_1(\text{Spin}(m) \times (n + (Y')^0) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. In our case the $Q$-action on $n + (Y')^0$ does not lift to a $Q$-action on $n + (X')^0$. This means that $\pi_1(\text{Spin}(m) \times Q (n + (Y')^0) = \{1\}$. \hfill \Box

We are now in a position to consider a rather odd partition $\mathbf{p}$. Let $\mathbf{p} = [d^r d, (d - 1)^{d_2}, \ldots, 2^{r_2}, 1^{r_1}]$ be a partition of $m$ such that
(i) $r_i$ is even for each even $i$.

Let $i$ and $j$ be different members of $p$. Then $i$ and $j$ are called adjacent if there are no members of $p$ between $i$ and $j$ except themselves. We consider the following conditions for $p$:

(iii) For any couple $(i, j)$ of adjacent members, $|i - j| \leq 4$. Moreover, $|i - j| = 4$ occurs only when $i$ and $j$ are both odd. The smallest member of $p$ is smaller than 4.

For example, both $[11, 8^2, 7, 3]$ and $[7, 6^2, 3^2]$ satisfy the conditions (i) and (iii). Then we have

**Proposition 3.6** Assume that a partition $p$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (iii). Moreover, assume that $p$ is rather odd. Let $\pi : X \to \bar{O}_p$ be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of $O_p \subset so(m)$. Then $\text{Codim}_X \text{Sing}(X) \geq 4$. In particular, $X$ has $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities.

$X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial by Proposition 3.1, (2). If $\text{Codim}_X \text{Sing}(X) \geq 4$, then $X$ has terminal singularities by Corollary 0.3. We will prove Proposition 3.6 after some preliminaries. Assume that $p$ is a rather odd partition with (i) and (iii). Recall that a gap member $i$ of $p$ is a member of $p$ such that $i > 1$ and $r_{i-1} = 0$. For each gap member $i$, we will take an orbit $\bar{O}_p \subset \bar{O}_p$ and look at the singularity of $\bar{O}_p$ along $O_p$ by using [15], 3.4. Let us say that a gap member $i$ is exceptional if $i$ is an odd number with $i \geq 5$ and $r_{i-1} = r_{j-2} = r_{j-3} = 0$. In this case $r_i = 1$ and $r_{i-4} = 1$ by the rather oddness and the condition (iii). Otherwise we say that $i$ is ordinary. For an exceptional gap member $i$, one can find a nilpotent orbit $O_{\bar{p}} \subset \bar{O}_p$ with

$$\bar{p} = [d^r, \ldots, (i + 1)^{r_{i+1}}, (i - 2)^2, (i - 5)^{r_{i-5}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}].$$

Then $\text{Codim}_{O_p} O_{\bar{p}} = 2$ and the transverse slice for $O_{\bar{p}} \subset \bar{O}_p$ is an $A_3$-surface singularity.

If $i$ is an even gap member, then there are two cases. The first case is when $r_{i-1} = r_{i-2} = 0$ and $r_{i-3} \neq 0$. The second case is when $r_{i-1} = 0$, but $r_{i-2} \neq 0$ (the case $i = 2$ being in this case). In the first case, $r_i$ is a non-zero even number by the condition (i); hence, $r_i \geq 2$. Then one can find a nilpotent orbit $O_{\bar{p}} \subset \bar{O}_p$ with

$$\bar{p} = [d^r, \ldots, i^{r_i-2}, (i - 1)^3, (i - 3)^{r_{i-3}-1}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}].$$

In the second case, $r_i$ and $r_{i-2}$ are both nonzero even numbers; hence $r_i, r_{i-2} \geq 2$. Then one can find a nilpotent orbit $O_{\bar{p}} \subset \bar{O}_p$ with

$$\bar{p} = [d^r, \ldots, i^{r_i-2}, (i - 1)^4, (i - 2)^{r_{i-2}-2}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}].$$

In both cases, $\text{Codim}_{O_p} O_{\bar{p}} = 2$. In the first case, the transverse slice $S$ for $O_{\bar{p}} \subset \bar{O}_p$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity. Next let us consider the second case. If $p$ is not very even, then $S$ is isomorphic to a union of two $A_1$-surface singularities intersecting each other in the singular point. In particular, $\bar{O}_p$ is not normal along $O_{\bar{p}}$. If $p$ is very even, $S$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity. This can be understood more conceptually. In fact, there
are two orbits $O_p^\pm$ with Jordan type $p$. The transverse slice for

$$O_p \subset \tilde{O}_p^+ \cup \tilde{O}_p^-$$

is then isomorphic to the union of two $A_1$-surface singularities intersecting each other in the singular point. Since we denote by $O_p$ one of the two orbits, the transverse slice for $O_p \subset \tilde{O}_p$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity.

If $i$ is an ordinary, odd gap member, then there are two cases. The first case is when $r_{i-1} = r_{i-2} = 0$ and $r_{i-3} \neq 0$. The second case is when $r_{i-1} = 0$, but $r_{j-2} \neq 0$. In the first case, $r_{i-3}$ is a nonzero even number by the condition (i); hence $r_{i-3} \geq 2$. Then one can find a nilpotent orbit $O_p \subset \tilde{O}_p$ with

$$\bar{p} = [d^r, \ldots, i^{r_{i-1}}, (i - 2)^3, (i - 3)^{r_{i-3}-2}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}].$$

In the second case, one can find a nilpotent orbit $O_p \subset \tilde{O}_p$ with

$$\bar{p} = [d^r, \ldots, i^{r_{i-1}}, (i - 1)^2, (i - 3)^{r_{i-3}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}].$$

In this last case, $\bar{p}$ may possibly be very even. In such a case, we will have two orbits $O_p^\pm$. In both cases, $\text{Codim}_{O_p} O_p = 2$ and the transverse slices for $O_p \subset \tilde{O}_p$ are $A_1$-surface singularities.

As a consequence, for an ordinary gap member $i$ of $p$, the transverse slice for $O_p \subset \tilde{O}_p$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity or the union of two $A_1$-surface singularities. In the first case we call $i$ an ordinary gap member of type $A_1$. In the latter case we call $i$ an ordinary gap member of type $A_1 \cup A_1$.

Let $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}$ be the set of all gap members of $p$. As defined above, for these gap members, we have nilpotent orbits

$$O_{\tilde{p}_1}, \ldots, O_{\tilde{p}_k}.$$ 

More exactly, when $\tilde{p}_j$ is very even, we have two different orbits with Jordan type $\tilde{p}_j$. In such a case we understand that $O_{\tilde{p}_j}$ is both of them.

To prove that $\text{Codim}_X \text{Sing}(X) \geq 4$, we only have to show that $X$ is smooth along $\pi^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}_j})$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k$. Let $S_j$ be the transversal slices for $O_{\tilde{p}_j} \subset \tilde{O}_p$. More exactly, when $\tilde{p}_j$ is very even, we must consider two slices $S_j^\pm$ for $O_{\tilde{p}_j}^\pm$. The claim is then equivalent to showing that $\pi^{-1}(S_j)$ are disjoint union of finite copies of $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. Note that $S_j$ is an $A_3$-surface singularity if $i_j$ is exceptional, and $S_j$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity or of type $A_1 \cup A_1$ if $i_j$ is ordinary.

The gap member is closely related to the notion of induced orbits.

(Type I) Let $i$ be a gap member of $p$. Let $O_p \subset \mathfrak{so}(m)$ be a nilpotent orbit with Jordan type. Put $r := r_d + \ldots + r_t$ and let $\tilde{Q} \subset S\mathfrak{O}(m)$ be a parabolic subgroup of flag type $(r, m - 2r, r)$, and put $Q := \rho_m^{-1}(\tilde{Q}) \subset \mathfrak{Spin}(m)$. Take a Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{l}$. Notice that $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{gl}(r) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(m - 2r)$. There is a nilpotent orbit $O_q$ of
so(m − 2r) with Jordan type
\[ p' = [(d - 2)^{r_d}, \ldots, (i - 1)^{r_{i+1}}, (i - 2)^{r_{i+1}+r_{i-2}}, (i - 3)^{r_{i-3}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}] \]
such that \( O_p = \text{Ind}_p^\mathcal{Q}(O_{p'}) \).

Claim 3.6.1 (cf. [10], Theorem 7.1, (d)). The generalized Springer map
\[ \mu : \text{Spin}(m) \times \mathcal{Q} (n + \tilde{O}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p \]
is a birational map.

Proof First notice that \( \text{Spin}(m) \times \mathcal{Q} (n + \tilde{O}_p) = SO(m) \times \tilde{Q} (n + \tilde{O}_p) \). It is enough to prove the claim by replacing \( \text{Spin}(m) \) by \( SO(m) \). Then it is completely analogous to the corresponding statement for \( Sp(2n) \) in the previous section.

For \( x \in O_p \), we take a basis \( \{e(l, j)\}_{(l, j) \in \mathcal{Y}(p)} \) of \( \mathcal{C}^m \) so that

(a) \( \{e(l, j)\} \) is a Jordan basis for \( x \), i.e. \( x \cdot e(l, j) = e(l - 1, j) \) for \( l > 1 \) and \( x \cdot e(1, j) = 0 \).

(b) \( \{e(l, j), e(p, q)\} \neq 0 \) if and only if \( p = d_j - l + 1 \) and \( q = \beta(j) \). Here \( \beta \) is a permutation of \( \{1, 2, \ldots, s\} \) such that \( \beta^2 = id, d_\beta(j) = d_j \), and \( \beta(j) \neq j \) if \( d_j \) is even (cf. [27], p.259, see also [4], 5.1).

Put \( F := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \mathcal{C}e(1, j) \). Then \( F \subset F^\perp \) is an isotropic flag such that \( x \cdot F = 0 \) and \( x \cdot \mathcal{C}^m \subset F^\perp \) and \( x \) is an endomorphism of \( F^\perp / F \) with Jordan type \( p' \). This is actually a unique isotropic flag of type \( (r, m - 2r, r) \) satisfying these properties. Hence \( \mu^{-1}(x) \) consists of one element. \( \square \)

For later convenience, we also introduce an induction of type II.

(Type II) Let \( i \) be an odd member of \( p \) with \( r_i = 2 \). Put \( r := r_d + \ldots + r_{i-1} + 1 \) and let \( \tilde{Q} \subset SO(m) \) be a parabolic subgroup of flag type \( (r, m - 2r, r) \) and put \( Q := \rho_m^{-1}(\tilde{Q}) \subset Spin(m) \). Take a Levi decomposition \( q = n \oplus l \). Notice that \( l = gl(r) \oplus so(m - 2r) \). There is a nilpotent orbit \( O_{p'} \) of \( so(m - 2r) \) with Jordan type
\[ p' = [(d - 2)^{r_d}, \ldots, i^{r_i+2}, (i - 1)^{r_{i+1}+2+r_{i-2}}, (i - 2)^{r_{i-2}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}] \]
such that \( O_p = \text{Ind}_p^\mathcal{Q}(O_{p'}) \).

Claim 3.6.2 (cf. [10], Theorem 7.1, (d)). The generalized Springer map
\[ \mu : \text{Spin}(m) \times \mathcal{Q} (n + \tilde{O}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p \]
is a birational map if one of the following holds:

(a) \( p' \) is very even, or

(b) \( m = 2r \).

Otherwise \( \mu \) is a generically finite map of degree 2.
Proof} Since $\text{Spin}(m) \times O(n + \bar{O}_p) = SO(m) \times \bar{O}(n + \bar{O}_p)$, it is enough to prove the claim by replacing $\text{Spin}(m)$ by $SO(m)$. For $x \in O_p$, we take the same basis $\{e(l, j)\}$ of $C^m$ as the previous claim. After a suitable change of the basis, we may assume that $\beta(r) = r + 1$ and $\beta(r + 1) = r$. We put $F := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} Ce(1, j)$. Then $F \subset F^\perp$ is an isotropic flag such that $x \cdot F = 0$ and $x \cdot C^m \subset F^\perp$ and $x$ is an endomorphism of $F^\perp/F$ with Jordan type $p'$. On the other hand, put $F' := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r+1, j \neq r} Ce(1, j)$. Then $F' \subset (F')^\perp$ is an isotropic flag such that $x \cdot F' = 0$ and $x \cdot C^m \subset (F')^\perp$ and $x$ is an endomorphism of $(F')^\perp/F'$ with Jordan type $p'$. By a similar argument as in the proof of Claim 2.3.2, the isotropic flags of type $(r, m - 2r, r)$ with these properties are exactly two flags above.

Assume that $\bar{Q}$ is the parabolic subgroup of $SO(m)$ stabilizing the flag $F \subset F^\perp$. We have an $SO(m)$-equivariant (locally closed) immersion

$$\iota : SO(m) \times \bar{Q} (n + O_p) \subset SO(m) / \bar{Q} \times so(m), \quad [g, y] \rightarrow (g \bar{Q}, Ad_g(y)).$$

Consider $(F \subset F^\perp, x)$ and $(F' \subset (F')^\perp, x)$ as elements of $SO(m) / \bar{Q} \times so(2n)$. Note that $\iota([1, x]) = (\bar{Q}, x) = (F \subset F^\perp, x)$. Let $\bar{Q}'$ be the parabolic subgroup of $SO(m)$ stabilizing the flag $F' \subset (F')^\perp$. If $m \neq 2r$, then $\bar{Q}$ and $\bar{Q}'$ are conjugate parabolic subgroups. Moreover, if $p'$ is not very even, a nilpotent orbit of $so(m - 2r)$ with Jordan type $p'$ is unique. Hence, if neither (a) nor (b) holds, we have $(\bar{Q}', x) \in \text{Im}(\iota)$ by the same argument as in $Sp(2n)$. This means that $\mu^{-1}(x)$ consists of two elements.

If (b) holds and $r \neq 1$, then $Q'$ is not conjugate to $Q$. In particular, $(\bar{Q}', x) \notin \text{Im}(\iota)$. This means that $\mu^{-1}(x)$ consists of one element. When $m = 2$ and $r = 1$, two flags $F \subset F^\perp$ and $F' \subset (F')^\perp$ are different, but $\bar{Q} = \bar{Q}'$. Therefore $\mu^{-1}(x)$ consists of one element.

Finally, if (a) holds, we have one more nilpotent orbit $O_p^-$ of $so(m - 2r)$ different from $O_p$ with Jordan type $p'$. We have one more $SO(m)$-equivariant immersion

$$\iota^- : SO(m) \times \bar{Q} (n + O_p^-) \subset SO(m) / \bar{Q} \times so(m).$$

We have $\text{Im}(\iota) \cap \text{Im}(\iota^-) = \emptyset$. Then $(F' \subset (F')^\perp, x) \in \text{Im}(\iota^-)$. This implies that $\mu^{-1}(x)$ consists of one element. \hfill \Box

For each gap member $i_j$, consider an induction of type I:

$$p_j = [(d - 2)^{r_{i_j}}, \ldots, (i_j - 1)^{r_{i_j} + 1}, (i_j - 2)^{r_{i_j} + r_{i_j} - 2}, (i_j - 3)^{r_{i_j} - 3}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}].$$

We then have a generalized Springer map

$$\mu_j : \text{Spin}(m) \times O_j (n_j + \bar{O}_{p_j}) \rightarrow \bar{O}_p,$$

which is a birational map.

**Lemma 3.7** (1) Assume that $i_j$ is an ordinary gap member of $p$. If $S_j$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity, then $\mu_j^{-1}(S_j)$ is the minimal resolution of $S_j$. If $S_j$ is of type $A_1 \cup A_1$,
then $\mu_j^{-1}(S_j)$ is the minimal resolution of the normalization of $S_j$. On the other hand, $\mu_j^{-1}(S_l) \to S_l$ is an isomorphism for each $l \neq j$.

(2) Assume that $i_j$ is an exceptional gap member of $\mathbf{p}$. Then $\mu_j^{-1}(S_j)$ is a crepant partial resolution of $S_j$ with one exceptional curve $C_j \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. $\mu_j^{-1}(S_j)$ has $A_1$-surface singularities at two points $p_j^+ \in C_j$. On the other hand, $\mu_j^{-1}(S_l) \to S_l$ is an isomorphism for each $l \neq j$.

Proof (1) Assume that $i_j$ is ordinary. Then the gap members of $p_j'$ are

$$i_1 - 2, \ldots, i_{j-1} - 2, i_j + 1, \ldots, i_k.$$

For the later convenience we put

$$i_1' := i_1 - 2, \ldots, i_{j-1}' := i_{j-1} - 2, i_j' := i_j + 1, \ldots, i_k' := i_k.$$

Corresponding to these gap members, we get nilpotent orbits $O_{(p_j')}_{1}, \ldots, O_{(p_j')}_{j-1}, O_{(p_j')}_{j+1}, \ldots, O_{(p_j')}_{k}$ in $\overline{O}_{p_j'}$. Here we follow the notation explained below Proposition 3.6. These are irreducible components of $\text{Sing}(\overline{O}_{p_j'})$ which have codimension 2 in $\overline{O}_{p_j'}$. Take $l$ so that $1 \leq l \leq k$ and $l \neq j$. $(\overline{p}_j')_l$ is very even if and only if $\overline{p}_l$ is very even. If $i_l$ is ordinary gap member of $\mathbf{p}$ of type $A_1$ (resp. of type $A_1 \cup A_1$), then $i_l'$ is also an ordinary gap member of $\mathbf{p}_j'$ of type $A_1$ (resp. of type $A_1 \cup A_1$). If $i_l$ is an exceptional gap member of $\mathbf{p}$, then $i_l'$ is an exceptional gap member of $\mathbf{p}_j'$. For each $l$, we have a natural embedding

$$\text{Spin}(m) \times Q_j (n_j + \overline{O}_{(p_j')}_{l}) \subset \text{Spin}(m) \times Q_j (n_j + \overline{O}_{p_j'}).$$

One can check that

$$\mu_j (\text{Spin}(m) \times Q_j (n_j + \overline{O}_{(p_j')}_{l})) = \overline{O}_{p_l}.$$

This implies the last statement of (1). There are no singularities of $\text{Spin}(m) \times Q_j (n_j + \overline{O}_{p_j'})$ lying over $O_{\overline{p}_j}$. This implies the first and the second statements of (1).

(2) Assume that $i_j$ is exceptional. Then $i_j - 2$ is still a gap member of $\mathbf{p}_j'$. Hence the gap members of $\mathbf{p}_j'$ are

$$i_1 - 2, \ldots, i_{j-1} - 2, i_j - 2, i_{j+1}, \ldots, i_k.$$

We put

$$i_1' := i_1 - 2, \ldots, i_{j-1}' := i_{j-1} - 2, i_j' := i_j - 2, i_{j+1}' := i_{j+1}, \ldots, i_k' := i_k.$$
Corresponding to these gap members, we get nilpotent orbits \( O_{(p_j^l)^1}, \ldots, O_{(p_j^l)^k} \). Note that we have an additional orbit \( O_{(p_j^l)^l} \) in the exceptional case. Take \( l \) so that \( 1 \leq l \leq k \). For each \( l \), we have a natural embedding

\[
Spin(m) \times O_j (n_j + \bar{O}_{(p_j^l)^l}) \subset Spin(m) \times O_j (n_j + \bar{O}_{p_j^l}).
\]

One can check that

\[
\mu_j ( Spin(m) \times O_j (n_j + \bar{O}_{(p_j^l)^l}) ) = \bar{O}_{p_j^l}.
\]

For \( l \neq j \), we apply the same argument as in (1), and we see that the last statement of (2) holds true. Let us consider the case \( l = j \). We have

\[
\bar{p}_j = [d', \ldots, (i_j + 1)^{r_{ij}+1}, (i_j - 2)^2, (i_j - 5)^{r_{ij} - 5}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}],
\]

\[
p_j' = [(d - 2)'d', \ldots, (i_j - 1)^{r_{ij}+1}, i_j - 2, i_j - 4, (i_j - 5)^{r_{ij} - 5}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}],
\]

\[
(p_j')_j = [(d - 2)'d', \ldots, (i_j - 1)^{r_{ij}+1}, (i_j - 3)^2, (i_j - 5)^{r_{ij} - 5}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}].
\]

We then see that \((p_j')_j \rightarrow \bar{p}_j\) is an induction of type II. When \((p_j')_j\) is not very even, the generalized Springer map

\[
Spin(m) \times O_j (n_j + \bar{O}_{(p_j^l)^l}) \rightarrow \bar{O}_{p_j}
\]

is a generically finite map of degree 2. Moreover, it is an etale double cover over \( O_{p_j} \). Since \( \bar{O}_{p_j} \) has an \( A_1 \)-surface singularity along \( O_{(p_j^l)^l} \), \( Spin(m) \times O_j (n_j + \bar{O}_{p_j^l}) \) has an \( A_1 \)-singularity along \( Spin(m) \times O_j (n_j + O_{(p_j^l)^l}) \). This implies the first and second statements of (2). Assume that \((p_j')_j\) is very even. Then there are two orbits \( O_{(p_j^l)^l}^\pm \).

Then each generalized Springer map

\[
Spin(m) \times O_j (n_j + \bar{O}_{(p_j^l)^l}^\pm) \rightarrow \bar{O}_{p_j}
\]

is a birational map. Moreover, it is an isomorphism over \( O_{p_j} \). \( Spin(m) \times O_j (n_j + \bar{O}_{p_j^l}) \) has an \( A_1 \)-surface singularity along two disjoint subvarieties \( Spin(m) \times O_j (n_j + O_{(p_j^l)^l}^\pm) \) and \( Spin(m) \times O_j (n_j + O_{(p_j^l)^l}) \). Therefore the first and the second statements of (2) still hold in this case.

\( \square \)

In the above, we associate a nilpotent orbit \( O_{\bar{p}} \subset \bar{O}_{p} \) with a gap member \( i \) of \( p \).

Assume that \( p \) is not very even and \( i \) is an even gap member with \( r_{i-2} \neq 0 \). We already remarked that the transverse slice \( S \) for \( O_{\bar{p}} \subset \bar{O}_{p} \) is of type \( A_1 \cup A_1 \). In this case, \( \bar{O}_{p} \) is not normal. So let us consider the normalization map \( v : \bar{O}_{p} \rightarrow \bar{O}_{p} \). Before going to the proof of Proposition 3.6, we will give a description of \( v \).
Lemma 3.8 \( v^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}}) \) is a connected, etale double cover of \( O_{\bar{p}} \).

**Proof** By the description of \( S \), the statement is clear except that \( v^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}}) \) is connected.

We apply inductions to \( p \) repeatedly by using the gap members different from \( i \) and finally get a partition \( p' \) such that \( p' \) has a unique gap member \( i' \), which comes from the originally fixed gap member \( i \). For example, start with \( p = [10^2, 7, 5, 4^2, 2^2] \) and \( i = 4 \). Then 10, 7, 2 are gap members different from 4. Take an induction for 2 to get new partition \( p_1 = [8^2, 5, 3, 2^2] \). The partition \( p_1 \) has gap members 8, 5 except 2, which comes from the originally fixed gap member 4. We next take an induction for 5 to get \( p_2 = [6^2, 3^2, 2^2] \). Finally we get \( p' = [4^2, 3^2, 2^2] \) by taking an induction for 6.

Write the partition \( p' \) as

\[ p' = [d^{i''}d', \ldots, (i' + 1)^{r_{i'+1}'}i'^{r_i'}, (i' - 2)^{r_{i'-2}'}i'^{r_i'} - 2, (i' - 3)^{r_{i'-3}'}i'^{r_i'} - 3, \ldots, 1^{r_1'}]. \]

By the construction, \( r_d'\), \( r_i' \), \( r_{i'-2}' \), \( r_{i'-3}' \), \( \ldots \) are all nonzero. There is a generalized Springer map

\[ \mu' : Spin(m) \times Q' (n' + \bar{O}_{p'}) \rightarrow \bar{O}_{p'}. \]

Put

\[ \bar{p}' = [d^{i''}d', \ldots, i'^{r_{i'-2}'}, (i' - 1)^4, (i' - 2)^{r_{i'-2}'} - 2, \ldots, 1^{r_1'}]. \]

Then \( \bar{O}_{p'} \) has \( A_1 \cup A_1 \)-singularity along \( O_{\bar{p}} \). Let \( v' : \bar{O}_{p'} \rightarrow \bar{O}_{p} \) be the normalization map. The subvariety \( Spin(m) \times Q' (n' + \bar{O}_{p'}) \subset Spin(m) \times Q' (n' + \bar{O}_{p'}) \) is birationally mapped to \( \bar{O}_{p} \) by \( \mu' \). Put \( \bar{\mu}' := \mu'_{|Spin(m) \times Q' (n' + \bar{O}_{p'})} \). Then \( (\bar{\mu}')^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}}) \subset Spin(m) \times Q' (n' + O_{\bar{p}'}) \) and \( (\bar{\mu}')^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}}) \rightarrow O_{\bar{p}} \) is an isomorphism. Moreover, \( \mu' \) induces a birational map of the normalizations of both sides:

\[ \mu'^{n} : Spin(m) \times Q' (n' + \bar{O}_{p'}) \rightarrow \bar{O}_{p}, \]

which induces a dominating map

\[ Spin(m) \times Q' (n' + (v')^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}})) \rightarrow v^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}}). \]

Therefore it suffices to show that \( (v')^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}}) \) is connected in order to show that \( v^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}}) \) is connected. In fact, if \( (v')^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}}) \) is connected, then \( (v')^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}}) \) is irreducible. Then \( v^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}}) \) is also irreducible by the dominating map. This means that \( v^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}}) \) is connected. By the argument above, we may assume that \( p \) contains all numbers from 1 to \( d \) except \( i - 1 \). Apply the induction for the unique gap member \( i \) of \( p \). We get a partition

\[ p_{\text{full}} := [(d - 2)^{r_d}, \ldots, (i - 1)^{r_{i'+1}}, (i - 2)^{r_{i'+2}}, (i - 3)^{r_{i'+3}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}]. \]
Notice that \( p_{full} \) has full members, i.e. all numbers from 1 to \( d-2 \). Put \( r := r_d + \ldots + r_i \) and let \( Q_{r,m-2r,r} \) be a parabolic subgroup of \( SO(m) \) with flag type \((r, m-2r, r)\). Put \( Q := \rho_m^{-1}(Q_{r,m-2r,r}) \) for \( \rho_m : Spin(m) \to SO(m) \). Then the Levi part \( l \) of \( q \) contains \( so(m-2r) \) as a direct factor. In particular, the nilpotent orbit \( O_{p_{full}} \) is contained in \( l \).

By [20], Corollary (1.4.3), the normalization \( \tilde{O}_{p_{full}} \) of \( O_{p_{full}} \) has \( Q \)-factorial terminal singularities except when \( p_{full} = [2^{(m-2r-2)/2}, 1^2] \).

Therefore the generalized Springer map

\[
\mu : Spin(m) \times Q (n + \tilde{O}_{p_{full}}) \to \tilde{O}_p
\]

gives a \( Q \)-factorial terminalization of \( \tilde{O}_p \) except when

(a) \( p_{full} = [2^{(m-2r-2)/2}, 1^2] \).

In case (a), \( \tilde{O}_{p_{full}} \) is not yet \( Q \)-factorial. To construct a \( Q \)-factorial terminalization of \( \tilde{O}_p \), we take a parabolic subgroup \( Q_{r,(m-2r)/2,(m-2r)/2,r} \) of \( SO(m) \) and put \( \tilde{Q} := \rho_m^{-1}(Q_{r,(m-2r)/2,(m-2r)/2,r}) \). Then

\[
\tilde{\mu} : T^*(Spin(m)/\tilde{Q}) = Spin(m) \times \tilde{Q} \to \tilde{O}_p
\]
gives a crepant resolution of \( \tilde{O}_p \).

Assume that (a) does not occur. Suppose that \( \nu^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}}) \) is not connected, Then we have two different \( \mu \)-exceptional divisors \( E^\pm \) which respectively dominate the closures of two connected components of \( \nu^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}}) \). We consider the following two cases separately

(Case I) \( p_{full} = [1^2] \)

(Case II) otherwise.

When Case (II) occurs, \( Q \) is a maximal parabolic subgroup. Hence, the relative Picard number \( \rho(\mu) \) of \( \mu \) must be one. This contradicts that \( Exc(\mu) \) contains 2 irreducible divisors. We next consider Case (I). In this case \( Q \) is not maximal and \( \rho(\mu) = 2 \). It is easily checked that Case (I) happens only when \( p = [3^2, 2^2] \). In this case \( \pi_1(O_{p}) = \{1\} \). Moreover, since the odd member 3 has multiplicity 2, \( \tilde{O}_p \) is not \( Q \)-factorial. In fact, take \( x \in O_p \) and put \( G := Spin(m) \). By the proof of Proposition 3.1, (1), we see that \( \chi((G^x)^0) \) is infinite. Now let us look at the proof of Proposition (1.1), (2). Then we see that \( \chi((G^x)^0) \) is infinite and \( Pic(G/G^x)^0 \) is infinite. Since \( \pi_1(O_p) = \{1\} \), we have \( G^x = (G^x)^0 \). This means that \( Pic(O_p) \) is infinite; hence, \( \tilde{O}_p \) is not \( Q \)-factorial.

On the other hand, since \( \rho(\mu) = 2 \), \( [E^+] \) and \( [E^-] \) span \( \text{NS}(\mu) \otimes Q \), which means that \( \tilde{O}_p \) is \( Q \)-factorial by [20], Lemma (1.1.1). This is a contradiction.

Finally assume that (a) occurs. In this case \( \rho(\tilde{\mu}) = 2 \). It is easily checked that (a) happens only when \( p = [4^2, 3^2, 2^2] \). Then \( \pi_1(O_{\tilde{p}}) = \{1\} \). Moreover, since the odd member 3 has multiplicity 2, \( \tilde{O}_p \) is not \( Q \)-factorial by the same argument as above. On the other hand, since \( \rho(\tilde{\mu}) = 2 \), \( [E^+] \) and \( [E^-] \) span \( \text{NS}(\tilde{\mu}) \otimes Q \), which means that \( \tilde{O}_p \) is \( Q \)-factorial by [ibid, Lemma (1.1.1)]. This is a contradiction. \( \square \)

Let \( \tau : Y \to \tilde{O}_p \) be the finite covering determined by the surjection \( \pi_1(O_{\tilde{p}}) \to (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\max(\alpha(p)(\mu)^{-1},0) \) in Proposition 3.1, (1). Then \( \tau \) is the finite covering associated
with the $SO(m)$-universal covering of $O$. The map $\pi$ factors through $Y$:

$$X \xrightarrow{\rho} Y \xrightarrow{\tau} \tilde{O}_p.$$ 

Assume that $p$ is a rather odd partition of $m$. Let $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$ be the set of gap members of $p$. For $1 \leq j \leq k$, we take a transverse slice $S_j$ for $O_{\tilde{p}_j} \subset \tilde{O}_p$.

**Proposition 3.9** (1) Assume that $i_j$ is an odd, ordinary gap member of $p$ such that $r_{i_j-2} \neq 0$. Then $a(p) \geq 2$ and $S_j$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity. Moreover, $\tau^{-1}(S_j)$ is a disjoint union of $2^{a(p)-2}$ copies of $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$.

(2-i) Assume that $i_j$ is an ordinary gap member of $p$ such that $r_{i_j-2} = 0$. Then $S_j$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity. Moreover, $\tau^{-1}(S_j)$ is a disjoint union of $2^{\max(a(p)-1, 0)}$ copies of $A_1$-surface singularity.

(2-ii) Assume that $i_j$ is an even, ordinary gap member of $p$ with $r_{i_j-2} \neq 0$. If $p$ is very even, then $S_j$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity. If $p$ is not very even, then $S_j$ is a union of two $A_1$-singularities $S_j^+$ and $S_j^-$ intersecting in one point. In the latter case, $\tau^{-1}(S_j)$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity. In the former case, $\tau^{-1}(S_j)$ is not rather odd because $2^{\max(a(p)-1, 0)}$ copies of $A_1$-surface singularity.

(3) Assume that $i_j$ is an exceptional gap member of $p$. Then $a(p) \geq 2$ and $S_j$ is an $A_3$-surface singularity. Moreover, $\tau^{-1}(S_j)$ is a disjoint union of $2^{a(p)-2}$ copies of an $A_1$-surface singularity.

**Proof** For simplicity we respectively write $\tilde{p}$ for $\tilde{p}_j$, $p'$ for $p'_j$ and $i$ for $i_j$. The generalized Springer map $\mu_j$ is simply denoted by $\mu$, and put $Q := Q_j$ ($\mu_j$ and $Q_j$ are defined just before Lemma 3.7). Moreover, we put $S := S_j$.

(1) It remains to prove the last statement. We can write

$$p = [d^{r_d}, \ldots, (i + 1)^{r_{i+1}}, i, i - 2, (i - 3)^{r_{i-3}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}],$$

$$\tilde{p} := [d^{r_d}, \ldots, (i + 1)^{r_{i+1}}, (i - 1)^2, (i - 3)^{r_{i-3}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}]$$

and

$$p' := [(d - 2)^{r_d}, \ldots, (i - 1)^{r_{i+1}}, (i - 2)^2, (i - 3)^{r_{i-3}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}].$$

Then $p'$ is a partition of $m' := m - 2(r_d + \ldots + r_{i+1} + 1)$, which is not rather odd because the odd member $i - 2$ has multiplicity 2. Let us consider the nilpotent orbit $O_{p'} \subset so(m')$. Since $a(p') = a(p) - 1$ and $p'$ is not rather odd, $\pi_1(O_{p'})$ has order $2^{a(p)-2}$. Let $Q_{r_d + \ldots + r_{i+1} + 1, m', r_d + \ldots + r_{i+1} + 1} \subset SO(m)$ be a parabolic subgroup of flag type $(r_d + \ldots + r_{i+1} + 1, m', r_d + \ldots + r_{i+1} + 1)$ and put $Q := \rho_m^{-1}(Q_{r_d + \ldots + r_{i+1} + 1, m', r_d + \ldots + r_{i+1} + 1})$ for the double covering $\rho_m : Spin(m) \to SO(m)$. We have a generalized Springer map

$$\mu : Spin(m) \times^Q (n + \tilde{O}_p') \to \tilde{O}_p.$$
Assume that \( \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \) is not very even. We calculate \( \pi_1(O_p \cup O_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}) \). We can write \( \text{Sing}(\bar{O}_p) \) as a union of \( \bar{O}_p \) and finite number of other nilpotent orbits:

\[
\text{Sing}(\bar{O}_p) = O_p \sqcup O_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup O_k \sqcup O_{k+1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup O_{k+l}
\]

Here \( \text{Codim}_{O_p} O_\alpha = 2 \) for \( 1 \leq \alpha \leq k \) and \( \text{Codim}_{O_p} O_\alpha \geq 4 \) for \( k + 1 \leq \alpha \leq k + l \). By Lemma 3.7, (1), the map \( \mu \) is an isomorphism over \( O_p \cup O_1 \cup \ldots \cup O_k \), and \( \mu \) induces a crepant resolution of an open neighborhood of \( O_p \subseteq \bar{O}_p \). Write

\[
\text{Spin}(m) \times \mathbb{Q} \left( n + \bar{O}_p \right) = \mu^{-1}(O_p) \sqcup \mu^{-1}(O_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}) \sqcup \mu^{-1}(O_1) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \mu^{-1}(O_k) \sqcup \mu^{-1}(O_{k+1}) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \mu^{-1}(O_{k+l})
\]

Then \( \mu^{-1}(O_1), \ldots, \mu^{-1}(O_k) \) have codimension 2 in \( \text{Spin}(m) \times \mathbb{Q} \left( n + \bar{O}_p \right) \). On the other hand, since \( \text{Codim}_{O_p} O_\alpha \geq 4 \) for \( k + 1 \leq \alpha \leq k + l \), the inverse images \( \mu^{-1}(O_{k+1}), \ldots, \mu^{-1}(O_{k+l}) \) have codimension \( \geq 2 \) by Corollary 0.2. This means that \( \mu^{-1}(O_p \cup O_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}) \) is obtained from \( \text{Spin}(m) \times \mathbb{Q} \left( n + \bar{O}_p \right) \) by removing a closed subset of codimension \( \geq 2 \). There is an isomorphism

\[
\mu_* : \pi_1(\mu^{-1}(O_p \cup O_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}})) \to \pi_1(O_p \cup O_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}})
\]

by [11], Theorem 7.8 because \( O_p \cup O_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}} \) has only quotient singularities. Since \( \mu^{-1}(O_p \cup O_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}) \) is smooth, it is contained in \( \text{Spin}(m) \times \mathbb{Q} \left( n + O_p \right) \). Therefore, \( \mu^{-1}(O_p \cup O_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}) \) is obtained from the smooth variety \( \text{Spin}(m) \times \mathbb{Q} \left( n + O_p \right) \) by removing a closed subset of codimension at least 2. Hence we have

\[
\pi_1(\mu^{-1}(O_p \cup O_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}})) \cong \pi_1(\text{Spin}(m) \times \mathbb{Q} \left( n + O_p \right)).
\]

By the exact sequence

\[
\pi_1(n + O_p) \to \pi_1(\text{Spin}(m) \times \mathbb{Q} \left( n + O_p \right)) \to \pi_1(\text{Spin}(m)/\mathbb{Q}) \to 1
\]

we see that \( \pi_1(\text{Spin}(m) \times \mathbb{Q} \left( n + O_p \right)) \) has order at most \( 2^{a(p)-2} \) because \( \pi_1(O_p) \) has order \( 2^{a(p)-2} \). Let us consider the finite covering \( \tau \). By definition, \( \deg(\tau) = 2^{a(p)-1} \). Since \( S \) is an \( A_1 \)-surface singularity, there are two possibilities. The first case is when \( \tau^{-1}(S) \) is a disjoint union of \( 2^{a(p)-1} \) copies of an \( A_1 \)-surface singularity. The second case is when \( \tau^{-1}(S) \) is a disjoint union of \( 2^{a(p)-1} \) copies of \( (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \). We only have to show that the first case does not occur. Actually, if the first case occurs, \( \tau \) induces an etale covering of \( O_p \cup O_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}} \). Since \( \deg(\tau) = 2^{a(p)-1} \), this implies that \( |\pi_1(O_p \cup O_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}})| = 2^{a(p)-1} \). This is a contradiction.

Next assume that \( \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \) is very even. In this case, there are two nilpotent orbits \( O^\pm_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}} \) with Jordan type \( \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \). We can write

\[
\text{Sing}(\bar{O}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}) = \bar{O}_p^+ \cup \bar{O}_p^- \cup \bar{O}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \bar{O}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}_k \cup \bar{O}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}_{k+1} \cup \ldots \cup \bar{O}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}_{k+l}
\]
Here $\text{Codim}\, \overline{\rho}_p \, O_\alpha = 2$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$ and $\text{Codim}\, \overline{\rho}_p \, O_\alpha \geq 4$ for $k + 1 \leq \alpha \leq k + l$. By the same reasoning as the case when $\overline{\rho}$ is not very even, we see that $\pi_1(O_p \cup O^+_p \cup O^-_p)$ has order at most $2(a(p)-2)$. Let $S^\pm$ be respectively transverse slices for $\overline{\rho}_p \subset \overline{\rho}_p$. The adjoint action of $O(m)$ interchanges $O^+_p$ and $O^-_p$. Since $\tau$ is $O(m)$-equivariant by Lemma 3.3, $\tau^{-1}(S^+)$ and $\tau^{-1}(S^-)$ have the same splitting type. Therefore, there are two possibilities. The first case is when $\tau^{-1}(S^\pm)$ are both disjoint unions of $2^{a(p)-1}$ copies of an $A_1$-surface singularity. The second case is when $\tau^{-1}(S^\pm)$ are both disjoint unions of $2^{a(p)-2}$ copies of $(C^2,0)$. Then we see that the first case does not occur by the same reasoning as in the case $\rho$ is not very even. This completes the proof of (1).

(2) Assume that $i$ is a gap member of $p$ except the case (1). Then $p'$ is also rather odd. Moreover, $a(p') = a(p)$. When $p$ is very even, $p'$ is also very even. In this case there are two orbits with Jordan type $p'$. But there is a unique nilpotent orbit $O_{p'}$ with Jordan type $p'$ such that $O_p$ is induced from $O_{p'}$. Let $X_{p'} \to \tilde{O}_{p'}$ be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of $O_{p'}$. Then the $Q$-action on $n + \tilde{O}_{p'}$ does not lift to a $Q$-action on $n + X_{p'}$ by Claim 3.5.2. Instead, we take a cover $\tau' : Y_{p'} \to \tilde{O}_{p'}$ corresponding to the surjection $\pi_1(O_{p'}) \to (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\geq\text{max}(a(p')-1,0)}$ in Proposition 3.1, (1). Then the $Q$-action on $n + \tilde{O}_{p'}$ lifts to a $Q$-action on $n + Y_{p'}$. Therefore we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{align*}
Spin(m) \times Q \to \quad & (n + Y_{p'}) \quad \xrightarrow{\mu'} \quad Y' \\
\pi' \downarrow & \quad \tau' \downarrow \\
Spin(m) \times Q \to \quad & (n + \tilde{O}_{p'}) \quad \xrightarrow{\mu} \quad \tilde{O}_p
\end{align*}$$

(21)

Here $Y'$ is the Stein factorization of $\mu \circ \pi'$. $\pi$ factorizes as

$$X \xrightarrow{\rho'} \quad Y' \xrightarrow{\tau'} \quad \tilde{O}_p,$$

where $\text{deg}(\rho') = 2$ and $\text{deg}(\tau') = 2^{\text{max}(a(p)-1,0)}$. Notice that $Q = \rho^{-1}_m(\tilde{Q})$ for a parabolic subgroup $\tilde{Q} \subset SO(m)$. Hence $Spin(m) \times Q \to SO(m) \times \tilde{Q}$ (n + $Y_{p'}$). In particular, $SO(m)$ acts on $Y'$ and $\tau'$ is a $SO(m)$-finite cover. Since $\text{deg}(\tau') = \text{deg}(\tau)$, we see that $\tau' = \tau$ and $Y' = Y$.

(2-i): We only have to prove the last statement. The transverse slice $S$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity. By Lemma 3.7, (1), $\mu$ induces a crepant resolution of an open neighborhood of $O_p \subset \tilde{O}_p$. Put $E := \mu^{-1}(O_p)$. Then $E$ is a divisor and $Spin(m) \times Q \to SO(m) \times \tilde{Q}$ (n + $O_{p'}$) is smooth around $E$. Since $\mu'$ is a crepant partial resolution, $\pi'$ must be unramified at $E$. In fact, suppose that $\pi'$ is ramified around $E$. Let us consider the commutative diagram above over an open neighborhood of $O_p \subset \tilde{O}_p$. Put $E' := (\pi')^{-1}(E)$. Then $K_{Spin(m) \times Q(n + Y_{p'})} = (\pi')^* K_{Spin(m) \times Q(n + \tilde{O}_{p'})} + rE'$ for some $r > 0$. Since $Spin(m) \times Q(n + \tilde{O}_{p'}) = \mu^* K_{\tilde{O}_p}$, we have

$$K_{Spin(m) \times Q(n + Y_{p'})} = (\pi')^* \mu^* K_{\tilde{O}_p} + rE'.$$
On the other hand, since $\mu'$ is crepant,

$$K_{Spin(m) \times O(n+Y')} = (\mu')^* K_Y = (\mu')^* \tau^* K_{\tilde{O}_p} = (\pi')^* \mu^* K_{\tilde{O}_p}.$$  

This is a contradiction; hence, $\pi'$ is unramified along $E$.

This means that $\tau^{-1}(S)$ is a disjoint union of $2^{\max(a(p)-1,0)}$ copies of an $A_1$-surface singularity.

(2-ii): If $p$ is very even, then $\deg(\tau) = 1$. Hence $\tau^{-1}(S)$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity. Assume that $p$ is not very even. Then $S$ is a union of two $A_1$-surface singularities. Let $v : \tilde{O}_p \to \tilde{O}_p$ be the normalization. $SO(m)$ naturally acts on $\tilde{O}_p$. Then $v^{-1}(O_p) \to O_p$ is an etale double cover. Moreover, $v^{-1}(O_p)$ is connected by Lemma 3.8. Hence $SO(m)$ acts on $v^{-1}(O_p)$ transitively. $\tau$ induces a finite covering $\tilde{\tau} : Y \to \tilde{O}_p$. Then $\tilde{S} := v^{-1}(S)$ is a disjoint union of two $A_1$-surface singularities: $\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}^+ \sqcup \tilde{S}^-$. We may assume that $\tilde{S}^+$ and $\tilde{S}^-$ are interchanged each other by a suitable element of $SO(m)$. 

Let us consider $\tau^{-1}(S)$. Notice that $\tau^{-1}(S) = \tilde{\tau}^{-1}(\tilde{S}^+) \sqcup \tilde{\tau}^{-1}(\tilde{S}^-)$. By Lemma 3.7, (ii), $\mu$ induces a crepant resolution of an open neighborhood of $O_p \subset \tilde{O}_p$. Put $E := \mu^{-1}(O_p)$. Then $E$ is a divisor and $Spin(m) \times O(n+\tilde{O}_p)$ is smooth around $E$. Since $\mu'$ is a crepant partial resolution, $\pi'$ must be unramified at $E$ by the same argument as in (2-i). This means that $\tilde{\tau}^{-1}(\tilde{S}^+)$ and $\tilde{\tau}^{-1}(\tilde{S}^-)$ are respectively disjoint unions of $2^{\max(a(p)-1,0)}$ copies of an $A_1$-surface singularity.

(3) The transverse slice $S$ for $O_p \subset \tilde{O}_p$ is an $A_3$-surface singularity. By Lemma 3.7, $\mu^{-1}(S)$ is a crepant partial resolution of $S$ with one exceptional curve $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. $\mu^{-1}(S)$ has $A_1$-surface singularities at two points $p^\pm \in C$. We prove that $\tau^{-1}(\mu^{-1}(S))$ is a disjoint union of $2^{a(p)-2}$ copies of the minimal resolution of an $A_1$-surface singularity. This would mean that $\tau^{-1}(S)$ is a disjoint union of $2^{a(p)-2}$ copies of $A_1$-surface singularity. For this purpose we must look at the finite cover $\tau' : Y' \to \tilde{O}_p'$ induced from the $SO$-universal covering of $O_p'$. Recall that

$$p' = [(d-2)^{r_d}, \ldots, (i-1)^{r_{i+1}}, i-2, i-4, (i-5)^{r_{i-5}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}].$$

The nilpotent orbit closure $\tilde{O}_p'$ contains nilpotent orbits of Jordan type $(\tilde{p}')_j$. By definition

$$(\tilde{p}')_j = [(d-2)^{r_d}, \ldots, (i-1)^{r_{i+1}}, (i-3)^2, (i-5)^{r_{i-5}}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}].$$

Notice that we write $i$ for $i_j$ and the subscript of $(\tilde{p}')_j$ indicates this $j$.

The partition $(\tilde{p}')_j$ may possibly be very even. In such a case, there are two orbits with Jordan type $(\tilde{p}')_j$. If $(\tilde{p}')_j$ is not very even, such an orbit is unique. In any case, let $O_{(\tilde{p}')_j}$ be one of such orbits, and let $T$ be a transverse slice for $O_{(\tilde{p}')_j} \subset \tilde{O}_p'$. $T$ is an $A_1$-surface singularity. By applying Lemma (3.9) to $\tau'$, we see that $(\tau')^{-1}(T)$ is a disjoint union of some copies of $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. Look at the map $\pi'^{-1}(\mu^{-1}(S)) \to \mu^{-1}(S)$ induced by $\tau'$. Recall that there are two points $p^\pm \in C$ such that $\mu^{-1}(S)$ has $A_1$-surface singularities at these points. By the observation above, this map is ramified at $p^\pm$. This means that $\pi'^{-1}(\mu^{-1}(S))$ is a disjoint union of $2^{a(p)-2}$ copies of the minimal resolution of an $A_1$-surface singularity. □
Proof of Proposition 3.6 The map $\pi$ factors through $Y$:

$$X \xrightarrow{\rho} Y \xrightarrow{\tau} \bar{O}_p.$$ 

By Proposition 3.9, $\pi^{-1}(S_j)$ is a disjoint union of the copies of $(C^2, 0)$ or a disjoint union of the copies of $A_1$-surface singularity. We prove that the latter case does not happen.

(a) Assume that Case (1) in Proposition 3.9 occurs. By the proposition, $\tau^{-1}(S_j)$ is already a disjoint union of $(C^2, 0)$. Then $\rho$ is etale over an open neighborhood of $\tau^{-1}(S_j)$; hence $\pi^{-1}(S_j)$ is a disjoint union of the copies of $(C^2, 0)$.

(b) Next consider one of the following cases of Proposition 3.9:

Case (2-i), Case (2-ii) and $p$ is very even,
Case (3).

When $p$ is not very even in Case (2-ii), we will need an additional care. Such a case will be treated in (c).

Since $\pi$ is a Galois cover, there are two possibilities for $\pi^{-1}(S_j)$. The first case is when $\pi^{-1}(S_j)$ is a disjoint union of the copies of $(C^2, 0)$. The second case is when $\pi^{-1}(S_j)$ is a disjoint union of the copies of an $A_1$-surface singularity. If the second case occurs, then $\rho$ induces an etale cover of $\tau^{-1}(O_p \cup \bar{O}_p_j)$ of degree 2. In particular, $\pi^{-1}(O_p \cup \bar{O}_p_j) \neq \{1\}$. Let $\tau^0_j : Y_{p_j} \rightarrow O_{p_j}'$ be the $SO$-universal covering and let $\tau_j : Y_{p_j} \rightarrow \bar{O}_{p_j}'$ be its associated covering. Look at the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Spin(m) \times O_j (n_j + Y_{p_j}') & \xrightarrow{\mu_j' \downarrow} & Y \\
\pi & \downarrow & \tau \\
Spin(m) \times O_j (n_j + \bar{O}_{p_j}') & \xrightarrow{\mu_j} & \bar{O}_p
\end{array}$$  

The birational map

$$(\mu_j')^{-1}((\mu_j')^{-1}(O_p \cup O_{p_j})) \rightarrow \tau^{-1}(O_p \cup O_{p_j})$$

induces an isomorphism of the fundamental groups of both sides by [11], Theorem 7.8 because $\tau^{-1}(O_p \cup O_{p_j})$ has only quotient singularities. We next calculate $\pi_1((\mu_j')^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(O_p \cup O_{p_j})))$. The closure $\bar{O}_p$ contains $O_p$ as an open dense orbit and contains $O_{p_1}, \ldots, O_{p_k}$ as codimension 2 orbits. Other nilpotent orbits in $\bar{O}_p$ have codimension $\geq 4$. Therefore, one can write

$$\bar{O}_p = O_p \sqcup O_{p_1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup O_{p_j} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup O_{p_k} \sqcup F,$$

where $F$ is the union of all nilpotent orbits with codimension $\geq 4$. Hence

$$Y = \tau^{-1}(O_p) \sqcup \tau^{-1}(O_{p_1}) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \tau^{-1}(O_{p_j}) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \tau^{-1}(O_{p_k}) \sqcup \tau^{-1}(F).$$
For \( l \neq j \), the birational map \( \mu_j \) is an isomorphism over an open neighborhood of \( O_{\tilde{p}_j} \subset O_{\tilde{p}} \) by Lemma 3.7, (1). By Zariski’s Main Theorem, \( \mu'_j \) is also an isomorphism over an open neighborhood of \( \tau^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}_j}) \subset Y \). Moreover, \( \mu'_j \) gives a crepant resolution of an open neighborhood of \( \tau^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}_j}) \subset Y \). Here write

\[
Spin(m) \times Q_j (n_j + Y_{p_j}) = (\tau \circ \mu'_j)^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}}) \sqcup (\tau \circ \mu'_j)^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}_j}) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup (\tau \circ \mu'_j)^{-1}(O_{\bar{p}_k}) \sqcup (\tau \circ \mu'_j)^{-1}(F).
\]

Then \( (\tau \circ \mu'_j)^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}_j}) \) has codimension 2 in \( Spin(m) \times Q_j (n_j + Y_{p_j}) \) for \( l \neq j \). Since \( \text{Codim}_Y \tau^{-1}(F) \geq 4 \), we see that \( (\tau \circ \mu'_j)^{-1}(F) \) has codimension \( \geq 2 \) in \( Spin(m) \times Q_j (n_j + Y_{p_j}) \) by Corollary 0.2. Therefore, \( (\mu'_j)^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}} \cup O_{\tilde{p}_j})) \) is obtained from \( Spin(m) \times Q_j (n_j + Y_{p_j}) \) by removing a subset of codimension \( \geq 2 \). Let \( Y_{p_j}^{\text{reg}} \) be the smooth part of \( Y_{p_j} \). Since \( (\mu'_j)^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}} \cup O_{\tilde{p}_j})) \) is smooth, there is an inclusion

\[
(\mu'_j)^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}} \cup O_{\tilde{p}_j})) \subset Spin(m) \times Q_j (n_j + Y_{p_j}^{\text{reg}}).
\]

Hence, \( (\mu'_j)^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}} \cup O_{\tilde{p}_j})) \) is obtained from a smooth variety \( Spin(m) \times Q_j (n_j + Y_{p_j}^{\text{reg}}) \) by removing a subset of codimension \( \geq 2 \). Therefore we have an isomorphism

\[
\pi_1((\mu'_j)^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(O_{\tilde{p}} \cup O_{\tilde{p}_j}))) \cong \pi_1(Spin(m) \times Q_j (n_j + Y_{p_j}^{\text{reg}})).
\]

Put \( Y_{p_j}^0 \) := \( \tau_j^{-1}(O_{p_j}) \). Then, by Claim 3.5.2, \( \pi_1(Spin(m) \times Q_j (n_j + Y_{p_j}^0)) = \{1\} \). Since there is a surjection

\[
\pi_1(Spin(m) \times Q_j (n_j + Y_{p_j}^0)) \rightarrow \pi_1(Spin(m) \times Q_j (n_j + Y_{p_j}^{\text{reg}})),
\]

we see that \( \pi_1(Spin(m) \times Q_j (n_j + Y_{p_j}^{\text{reg}})) = \{1\} \). This is a contradiction. As a consequence, \( \pi^{-1}(S_j) \) is a disjoint union of the copies of \( (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \).

(c) We finally assume that Case (2-ii) occurs and \( p \) is not very even. Then \( S_j \) is of type \( A_1 \cup A_1 \). Let \( \nu : \hat{O}_p \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p \) be the normalization map. Then \( \hat{S}_j := \nu^{-1}(S_j) \) is a disjoint union of two \( A_1 \)-surface singularities: \( \hat{S}_j = \hat{S}_j^+ \sqcup \hat{S}_j^- \). \( \hat{S}_j^+ \) and \( \hat{S}_j^- \) are interchanged each other by a suitable element of \( SO(m) \). Let us look at the double cover \( \rho \). Since \( \rho \) is \( Spin(m) \)-equivariant, there are two possibilities. The first case is when \( (\tilde{\tau} \circ \rho)^{-1}(\hat{S}_j^\pm) \) are both disjoint unions of the copies of \( (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \). The second case is when \( (\tilde{\tau} \circ \rho)^{-1}(\hat{S}_j^\pm) \) are both disjoint unions of the copies of \( A_1 \)-surface singularity. Here \( \tilde{\tau} \) is the map from \( Y \) to the normalization \( \tilde{O}_p \) of \( O_{\tilde{p}} \) induced from \( \tau : Y \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p \). By the same argument as in (b), the second case does not occur. As a consequence, \( \pi^{-1}(S_j) \) is a disjoint union of the copies of \( (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \). \( \square \)
**Construction of a Q-factorial terminalization**

Let \( p \) be a partition of \( m \) with Condition (i). Let \( O_p \subset so(m) \) be a nilpotent orbit with Jordan type \( p \), and let \( X \to \bar{O}_p \) be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of \( O_p \). We are now in a position to construct a \( Q \)-factorial terminalization of \( X \).

(A) The case when \( p \) is rather odd.

We will use the following induction step. (Double Induction of type I) Let \( i \) be a gap member of \( p \). When \( i \) is odd, we assume that \( i \geq 5 \) and \( r_i - 1 = \ldots = r_i - 4 = 0 \). When \( i \) is even, we assume that \( i \geq 4 \) and \( r_i - 1 = \ldots = r_i - 3 = 0 \). We remark that such a gap member exists if \( p \) does not satisfy the condition (iii) (which is defined just before Proposition 3.6). Put

\[
p' = [(d-4)^{r_d}, \ldots, (i-3)^{r_i+1}, (i-4)^{r_i+r_i-4}, (i-5)^{r_i-5}, \ldots, 1^{r_1}].
\]

Then \( p' \) satisfies (i) and is still rather odd. If we put \( s := r_d + \ldots + r_i \), then \( p' \) is a partition of \( m - 4s \). Let \( Q_{2s,m-4s,2s} \subset SO(m) \) be a parabolic subgroup of flag type \( (2s, m-4s, 2s) \) and put \( \tilde{Q} := \rho_m^{-1}(Q_{2s,m-4s,2s}) \) for the double cover \( \rho_m : Spin(m) \to SO(m) \). We write the Levi decomposition of \( q \) as \( q = l \oplus n \). We have \( l = gl(2s) \oplus so(m - 4s) \). One can take a nilpotent orbit \( O_{[2s]} \times O_{p'} \) in \( gl(sr) \oplus so(m - 4s) \) so that \( O_p = \text{Ind}_{[2s]}^{so(m)}(O_{[2s]} \times O_{p'}) \). The generalized Springer map

\[
\mu : Spin(m) \times \tilde{Q} (n + \tilde{O}_{[2s]} \times \tilde{O}_{p'}) \to \tilde{O}_p
\]

is a birational map. We indicate this process simply by

\[
p \xrightarrow{\text{Type I}^2} p' ;
\]

Recall that \( a(p) \) is the number of distinct odd members of \( p \). Now assume that \( p \) does not satisfy the condition (iii). Then we can repeat double induction step of type I to \( p \) so that \( a \) does not change, and finally get a partition \( p' \) with conditions (i) and (iii).

\[
p \xleftarrow{\text{Type I}^2} p_1 \xleftarrow{\text{Type I}^2} \ldots \xleftarrow{\text{Type I}^2} p_k = p'
\]

In each step, we record the number \( s_i \) and put \( q := m - 4 \sum s_i \).

**Case 1: \( q \geq 3 \)**

Put the \( m \times m \) matrix

\[
J_m = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then
\[ SO(m) = \{ A \in SL(m) \mid A^T J A = J \}. \]

Now let us consider the isotropic flag of type \((2s_1, 2s_2, \ldots, 2s_k, q, 2s_k, \ldots, 2s_2, 2s_1)\):

\[
0 \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{2s_1} \rangle \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{2s_1+2s_2} \rangle \subset \cdots \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{\sum_{i=1}^{k} 2s_i} \rangle \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{\sum_{i=1}^{k} 2s_i + q} \rangle \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{\sum_{i=1}^{k} 2s_i + q + 2s_k} \rangle \subset \cdots \subset \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{\sum_{i=1}^{k} 2s_i + q + \sum_{i=1}^{k} 2s_i} \rangle = C^m
\]

Let \(Q'\) be the parabolic subgroup of \(SO(m)\) stabilizing this flag. One has a Levi decomposition \(Q' = U' \cdot L'\) with

\[
L' = \begin{pmatrix}
A_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & A_2 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & A_k & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
|A_i \in GL(2s_i), A_i' = J_{2s_i} (A_i) J_{2s_i}, B \in SO(q) \mid
\end{pmatrix}
\]

In particular, \(L' \cong \prod GL(2s_i) \times SO(q)\). We define a parabolic subgroup \(Q\) of \(Spin(m)\) by \(Q := \rho_m^{-1}(Q')\) for \(\rho_m : Spin(m) \to SO(m)\). Then the Levi part \(L\) of \(Q\) is a double cover of \(L\), which is described as follows. There is a natural map \(SL(2s_i) \times \mathbb{C}^* \to GL(2s_i)\) defined by \((X, \lambda) \to \lambda X\). The kernel of this map is the cyclic group

\[
\mu_{2s_i} := \{ (\lambda^{-1} I_{2s_i}, \lambda) \mid \lambda^{2s_i} = 1 \}.
\]

Let \(\mu_{s_i}\) be the subgroup of \(\mu_{2s_i}\) of order \(s_i\). Then the covering map \(SL(2s_i) \times \mathbb{C}^*/\mu_{s_i} \to GL(2s_i)\) has degree 2. We then have a covering map

\[
(SL(2s_1) \times \mathbb{C}^*/\mu_{s_1}) \times \cdots \times (SL(2s_k) \times \mathbb{C}^*/\mu_{s_k} \times Spin(q) \to GL(2s_1)
\times \cdots \times GL(2s_k) \times SO(q).
\]

The Galois group of this covering is \((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\oplus k + 1\). Put \(H := Ker[(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\oplus k + 1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}]\), where \(\sum\) is defined by \((x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1}) \to \sum x_i\). By Claim 3.5.1 we have

\[
L = \{(SL(2s_1) \times \mathbb{C}^*/\mu_{s_1}) \times \cdots \times (SL(2s_k) \times \mathbb{C}^*/\mu_{s_k} \times Spin(q)) / H\}.
\]

The Levi part \(L\) has the same Lie algebra of that of \(L'\). Hence we have \(l = \oplus gl(2s_i) \oplus so(q)\). We consider the nilpotent orbit \(\prod O_{[2s]} \times O_{p'}\) in \(l\). By the construction

\[
O_p = \text{Ind}_{l}^{so(m)}(\prod O_{[2s]} \times O_{p'}).
\]
Let $X_{[2^n]} \to \tilde{O}_{[2^n]}$ be the double covering associated with the universal covering of $O_{[2^n]}$. By Proposition 1.8, (2) and Proposition 1.9, $X_{[2^n]}$ has only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. Let $X_{p'} \to \tilde{O}_{p'}$ be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of $O_{p'}$. By Proposition 3.6 $X_{p'}$ has only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. $(SL(2s_1) \times \mathbb{C}^*)/\mu_{s_1} \times \ldots \times (SL(2s_k) \times \mathbb{C}^*)/\mu_{s_k} \times Spin(q)$ acts on $\prod X_{[2^n]} \times X_{p'}$ because each $SL(2s_i) \times \mathbb{C}^*/\mu_{s_i}$ acts on $X_{[2^n]}$, and $Spin(q)$ acts on $X_{p'}$. By Proposition 3.1, (1) we have an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \pi_1(O_{p'}) \to (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\oplus(a(p')-1,0) \to 1.$$ 

Then the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ induces a covering involution $-1$ for $X_{p'} \to \tilde{O}_{p'}$. Hence $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\oplus k+1$ acts on $\prod X_{[2^n]} \times X_{p'}$. Let $H$ be the subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\oplus k+1$ defined as above. The quotient space $\prod X_{[2^n]} \times X_{p'}/H$ has only terminal singularities because the fixed locus of every nonzero element of $H$ has codimension $\geq 4$. Moreover, since $\prod X_{[2^n]} \times X_{p'}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, $\prod X_{[2^n]} \times X_{p'}/H$ is also $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial by Lemma 1.4. The Levi part $L$ acts on $(\prod X_{[2^n]} \times X_{p'}/H$. This action is a lifting of the adjoint $L$-action on $\prod O_{[2^n]} \times O_{p'}$. Let us consider the Levi decomposition $q = l \oplus n$. Then the observation above means that $n + (\prod X_{[2^n]} \times X_{p'}/H$ is a $Q$-space. There is a finite cover

$$\pi' : Spin(m) \times^Q (n + (\prod X_{[2^n]} \times X_{p'}/H) \to Spin(m) \times^Q (n + \prod \tilde{O}_{[2^n]} \times \tilde{O}_{p'})$$

and $\deg(\pi) = \deg(\pi')$. We now have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Spin(m) \times^Q (n + (\prod X_{[2^n]} \times X_{p'}/H) & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & X \\
\downarrow{\pi} & & \downarrow{\pi} \\
Spin(m) \times^Q (n + \prod \tilde{O}_{[2^n]} \times \tilde{O}_{p'}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \tilde{O}_p
\end{array}$$

(23)

Here $X$ coincides with the Stein factorization of $\mu \circ \pi'$. The map $\mu'$ gives a $Q$-factorial terminalization of $X$.

**Case 2:** $q \leq 2$

When $q = 0$, $p' = [0]$. In this case, $p$ is very even. Hence $\pi : X \to \tilde{O}_p$ is a double covering. The cases $q = 1, 2$ do not occur. We define $\sum : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^\oplus k \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by $\sum(x_1, \ldots, x_k) := \sum x_i$ and put $H := \ker(\sum)$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Spin(m) \times^Q (n + (\prod X_{[2^n]}/H) & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & X \\
\downarrow{\pi} & & \downarrow{\pi} \\
Spin(m) \times^Q (n + \prod \tilde{O}_{[2^n]}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \tilde{O}_p
\end{array}$$

(24)

Here $X$ coincides with the Stein factorization of $\mu \circ \pi'$. The map $\mu'$ gives a $Q$-factorial terminalization of $X$. 


**The case when \( p \) is not rather odd**

We will use two induction steps of type (I) and of type (II).

By using the inductions of type (I) repeatedly for \( p \), we can finally find a parabolic subgroup \( Q \) of \( Spin(m) \) and a nilpotent orbit \( O_{p'} \) of a Levi part \( l \) of \( q \) such that

(a) \( O_p = \text{Ind}_l^{\text{Spin}(m)} (O_{p'}) \).

(b) \( a(p') = a(p) \), and

c) \( p' \) satisfies the condition (ii).

For the partition \( p' \) thus obtained, we let \( e \) be the number of odd members \( i \) of \( p' \) such that \( r_i = 2 \). By using the inductions of type (II) repeatedly for \( p' \), we can finally find a parabolic subgroup \( Q' \) of \( Spin(m) \) and a nilpotent orbit \( O_{p''} \) of the Levi part \( l' \) of \( q' \) such that

(a') \( O_p = \text{Ind}_l^{\text{Spin}(m)} (O_{p''}) \).

(b') \( a(p'') = a(p') - e \),

c') \( p'' \) satisfies the condition (ii) and

(d') the multiplicity of any odd member of \( p'' \) is not 2.

All together, we get a generalized Springer map

\[
\mu'': \text{Spin}(m) \times Q'' (n'' + \tilde{O}_{p''}) \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p.
\]

Hereafter we consider two cases separately.

**Case 1:** \( r_i \geq 3 \) for some odd member \( i \) of \( p \)

In this case, \( p'' \) is not rather odd. By the assumption \( a(p) \geq e + 1 \). Let \( X'' \rightarrow \tilde{O}_{p''} \) be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of \( O_{p''} \). Then \( X'' \) has only terminal singularities by Proposition 3.4. On the other hand, \( X \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial by (d'). Since \( p'' \) is not rather odd, the \( Q'' \)-action on \( n'' + \tilde{O}_{p''} \) lifts to a \( Q'' \)-action on \( n'' + X'' \). There is a finite cover

\[
\pi'': \text{Spin}(m) \times Q'' (n'' + X'') \rightarrow \text{Spin}(m) \times Q'' (n'' + \tilde{O}_{p''}).
\]

We have

\[
\deg(\mu'') = 2^e, \quad \deg(\pi'') = 2^{\max(a(p'') - 1, 0)} = 2^{\max(a(p) - e - 1, 0)} = 2^{a(p) - e - 1}
\]

and \( \deg(\pi) = 2^{a(p) - 1} \). It can be checked that \( \deg(\pi) = \deg(\pi'') \cdot \deg(\mu'') \). Therefore we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spin}(m) \times Q'' (n'' + X'') & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow \pi'' & & \downarrow \pi \\
\text{Spin}(m) \times Q'' (n'' + \tilde{O}_{p''}) & \overset{\mu''}{\longrightarrow} & \tilde{O}_p
\end{array}
\]

where \( X \) is obtained as the Stein factorization of the map \( \mu'' \circ \pi'' \). The map \( \text{Spin}(m) \times Q'' (n'' + X'') \rightarrow X \) here obtained is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial terminalization of \( X \).
Case 2: $r_i \leq 2$ for any odd member $i$ of $p$

In this case $p''$ is rather odd. If there is an odd $i$ such that $r_i = 1$, then $a(p) \geq e + 1$. If $r_i = 2$ for all odd $i$, then $a(p) = e \geq 1$. The last inequality holds because if $e = 0$, then $p$ is rather odd and this is not our case. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(O_p) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \max(a(p'') - 1, 0)} \rightarrow 1.$$ 

Let $Y'' \rightarrow \tilde{O}_p$ be the finite covering determined by the surjection from $\pi_1(O_p)$ to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \max(a(p'') - 1, 0)}$. Since $p''$ has only odd, ordinary gap members, we can apply Proposition 3.9, (1) for each gap member of $p''$ to conclude that Codim$_Y\text{Sing}(Y'') \geq 4$. Since $p''$ is rather odd, $Y''$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial by Proposition 3.1, (2). Therefore $Y''$ has only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. Then the $Q''$-action on $n'' + \tilde{O}_p$ lifts to a $Q''$-action on $n'' + Y''$. There is a finite cover

$$\tau'': \text{Spin}(m) \times Q''(n'' + Y'') \rightarrow \text{Spin}(m) \times Q''(n'' + \tilde{O}_p).$$

We have

$$\deg(\mu'') = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2^e & (r_i = 1 \text{ for some odd } i) \\ 2^{e-1} & (r_i = 2 \text{ for all odd } i) \end{array} \right.$$ 

$$\deg(\tau'') = 2^{\max(a(p'') - 1, 0)} = 2^{\max(a(p) - e - 1, 0)}$$

and $\deg(\pi) = 2^{\max(a(p) - 1, 0)}$. It can be checked that $\deg(\pi) = \deg(\tau'') \cdot \deg(\mu'')$ in any case. Therefore we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spin}(m) \times Q''(n'' + Y'') & \longrightarrow & X \\
\tau'' \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\
\text{Spin}(m) \times Q''(n'' + \tilde{O}_p) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{O}_p
\end{array} \tag{26}$$

where $X$ is obtained as the Stein factorization of the map $\mu'' \circ \tau''$. The map $\text{Spin}(m) \times Q''(n'' + Y'') \rightarrow X$ here obtained is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $X$.

Example 3.10 (1) Let us construct a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of the finite covering $X \rightarrow \tilde{O}_{[15,8^2,3]} \subset so(34)$ associated with the universal covering of $O_{[15,8^2,3]}$. By double inductions of type I

$$\begin{align*}
[15, 8^2, 3] & \leftrightarrow TypeI^2 \\
[11, 8^2, 3] & \leftrightarrow TypeI^2 \\
[7, 4^2, 3] & \leftrightarrow TypeI^2
\end{align*}$$

we get a partition $[7, 4^2, 3]$ of 18 with conditions (i) and (iii). Let $\tilde{Q} \subset SO(34)$ be a parabolic subgroup stabilizing an isotropic flag of type $(2, 6, 18, 6, 2)$ and put $Q := \rho_{34}^{-1}(\tilde{Q})$ for $\rho_{34} : \text{Spin}(34) \rightarrow SO(34)$. Let $X_{[2]}$ (resp. $X_{[2^3]}$) be a finite covering of $\tilde{O}_{[2]} \subset sl(2)$ (resp. $\tilde{O}_{[2^3]} \subset sl(6)$) associated with the universal covering
of $O_{[2]}$ (resp. $O_{[2^3]}$). Moreover, let $X_{[7,4^2,3]}$ be the finite covering of $\tilde{O}_{[7,4^2,3]} \subset so(18)$ associated with the universal covering of $O_{[7,4^2,3]}$. Then

$$Spin(34) \times \mathbb{O} \ (n + (X_{[2]} \times X_{[2^3]} \times X_{[7,4^2,3]})/H)$$

is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $X$. Here $H := \text{Ker}[\sum : (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 3} \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}]$.

(2) Let $X$ be the finite covering of $\tilde{O}_{[11^3,3^2,1]} \subset so(40)$ associated with the universal covering of $O_{[11^3,3^2,1]}$. By inductions of type I and of type II

$$[11^3, 3^2, 1] \quad \overset{Type I}{\leftarrow} \quad [9^3, 3^2, 1] \quad \overset{Type I}{\leftarrow} \quad [7^3, 3^2, 1] \quad \overset{Type I}{\leftarrow} \quad [5^3, 3^2, 1] \quad \overset{Type II}{\leftarrow} \quad [3^3, 2^2, 1]$$

we finally get a partition $[3^3, 2^2, 1]$ of 14. Let $\tilde{Q} \subset SO(40)$ be a parabolic subgroup stabilizing an isotropic flag of type $(3, 3, 3, 4, 14, 4, 3, 3)$ and put $Q := \rho_{40}^{-1}(\tilde{Q})$ for $\rho_{40} : Spin(40) \to SO(40)$. Let us consider the nilpotent orbit $O_{[3^3,2^2,1]} \subset so(40)$. Let $X_{[3^3,2^2,1]} \to \tilde{O}_{[3^3,2^2,1]}$ be the finite covering associated with the universal covering of $O_{[3^3,2^2,1]}$. Then

$$Spin(40) \times \mathbb{O} \ (n + X_{[3^3,2^2,1]})$$

is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $X$.

(3) Let $X$ be the finite covering of $\tilde{O}_{[13^2,3,1]} \subset so(30)$ associated with the universal covering of $O_{[13^2,3,1]}$. By inductions of type I and of type II

$$[13^2, 3, 1] \quad \overset{Type I}{\leftarrow} \quad [11^2, 3, 1] \quad \overset{Type I}{\leftarrow} \quad [9^2, 3, 1] \quad \overset{Type I}{\leftarrow} \quad [7^2, 3, 1] \quad \overset{Type I}{\leftarrow} \quad [5^2, 3, 1] \quad \overset{Type II}{\leftarrow} \quad [4^2, 3, 1]$$

we finally get a partition $[4^2, 3, 1]$ of 12. Let $\tilde{Q} \subset SO(30)$ be a parabolic subgroup stabilizing an isotropic flag of type $(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 12, 1, 2, 2, 2)$ and put $Q := \rho_{30}^{-1}(\tilde{Q})$ for $\rho_{30} : Spin(30) \to SO(30)$. Let us consider the nilpotent orbit $O_{[4^2,3,1]} \subset so(12)$. Since the partition $[4^2, 3, 1]$ is rather odd, there is an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \pi_1(O_{[4^2,3,1]}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to 1.$$

Let $Y_{[4^2,3,1]} \to \tilde{O}_{[4^2,3,1]}$ be a double covering determined by the surjection $\pi_1(O_{[4^2,3,1]}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$Spin(30) \times \mathbb{O} \ (n + Y_{[4^2,3,1]})$$

is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $X$. 
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