Detection of anti-fungal genes in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and their effects on fungal growth

A. A. Shahid, B. Chaudhry, Mahmood-ur-Rahman and S. Riazuddin

National Centre of Excellence in Molecular Biology, 87-West Canal Bank Road, Thoker Niaz Baig, Lahore-53700, Pakistan

**Abstract:** Anti-fungal genes chitinase, beta-glucanase and ribosomal inactivating proteins (RIP) were detected in chickpea plants when they were artificially exposed to *Ascochyta rabiei* spores. Immunological studies provided evidence for the presence of a chitinase-like proteins in blight-infected chickpea leaves using a poplar chitin ase antibody. No activity was detected when a barley chitinase antibody was used, indicating that antifungal proteins in chickpea recognize different antigenic determinants. Purified barley ribosomal inactivating protein (RIP 30) and chitinase (Chi 26) were shown to inhibit the growth of *Ascochyta rabiei* *in vitro*. The role of antifungal proteins in the protection of chickpea against pathogen is discussed.
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**Introduction**

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is an important legume crop and a source of quality protein. It is cultivated on approximately 10 million hectares in more that 40 countries worldwide, with 95% cultivation in developing countries (ICRISAT, 2004). However, its productivity is low in developing countries due to several reasons including poor agricultural practices, use of low yielding varieties, insect pests and diseases. Chickpea blight caused by *Ascochyta rabiei* L. is one of most devastating disease (Pande et al., 2005) and causes significant yield loss (Davidson and Kimber, 2007).

Plants use various self defense mechanisms to protect themselves from pathogenic infections (Boller, 1985; Yedidia et al., 1999). These mechanisms include inducible modifications to plant cell walls, the synthesis of toxic phytoalexins, and the accumulation of pathogenesis resistant proteins such as protease inhibitors or pathogen targeted
hydrophytic enzymes (Cho and Muehlbauer, 2004; Darvill and Albersheim, 1984; Pernas et al., 2000; Van Loon, 1985). Examples of the latter group are chitinase and β1-3 glucanase, which are synthesized in the vegetative tissues of many plants in response to fungal invasion (Legrand et al., 1987). These enzymes limit fungal growth by degrading chitin and β 1-3 glucans, which comprise major structural polysaccharides of fungal cell walls (Boller, 1987). Chitinase and β 1-3 glucanase are thus involved in self defense mechanism of plant and host signaling during pathogen attack.

Many scientists isolated ribosomal inactivating proteins (RIPs) which are produced in the plants in response to fungal pathogens and thus act as antifungal agents. Park et al., (2002) isolated a novel type-1 RIP from root culture of pokeweed (Phytolacca americana). Leah et al., (1991) isolated three RIPs from barley seeds (Hordeum vulgare L.), which synergistically inhibited the growth of Trichoderma reesei and Fusarium sporotrichioides. Coram and Pang (2006) studied resistant genes in chickpea during attack of Ascochyta blight. RIPs were also isolated from maize (Bass et al., 2004) and differential regulation of these proteins in maize suggests that they may be involved in defense-related functions.

Currently, the level of resistance in cultivated chickpea is not sufficient to withstand disease pressure. So, it is needed to study the mechanisms of internal defense system of crop plants. The aim of present study was to understand the defense mechanism of chickpea against A. rabeie under artificial infestation conditions and effects of antifungal proteins on its growth. This study may lead to develop the understanding of internal defense mechanism in chickpea against fungal diseases.

Materials and Methods

Plant growth

Chickpea cultivar (CM72) was obtained from Nuclear Institute of Agriculture and Biology (NIAB), Faisalabad, Pakistan and grown in pots under natural environmental conditions. After four months, the plants were sprayed with a spore suspension of A. rabiei (10^5 spore/ml) and then kept in 100% humidity. After 48 hours of infection, the samples from leaves, stems and pods were collected from affected with fungus and control (un-affected) plants. These samples were frozen in – 70°C freezer until further studies.

Probe labeling, hybridization and detection

The ECL direct nucleic acid labeling and detection system (Amersham) was used for labeling of Chi26 and bgl32 probes. The probes were labeled, hybridized and detected according to the instructions provided by manufacturer.

Plant genomic DNA isolation and Southern blotting

Frozen samples were taken from – 70°C freezer and 1g of each of the sample was ground into fine powder in liquid nitrogen. The powder was immediately resuspended in extraction buffer (100mM HCl, 200mM EDTA, 500mM NaCl, 1% Sarkosyle) and incubated at 55°C for two hours. DNA was isolated according to the method described by Ausubel et al., (1990). The DNA was digested with BamHI, EcoRI and/or HindIII restriction enzymes at 37°C for over night. The digested DNA was separated on 0.8% (w/v) agarose gel. A standard capillary transfer by the method of Southern, (1975) was used to blot DNA onto Hybond-N nylon membranes (Amersham).
The samples were taken from −70°C freezer and 1g of each sample was ground into fine powder in liquid nitrogen and transferred to 12 ml of ice cold denaturing solution (40mM sodium citrate, 0.83% sarcosine, 0.2M Betamercaptoethanol and guanidine thiocyanate). RNA was isolated according to the method described in Promega technical bulletin number 082. Sixty µg of RNA was separated on 1% (w/v) formaldehyde-agarose gel and transferred to Hybond-N nylon membranes (Amersham). The integrity of the RNA was assessed by visualization of ribosomal RNA with ethidium bromide staining. Standard capillary transfer by the method of Southern, (1975) was used to blot RNA onto Nylon membranes.

Preparation of protein extracts and immunoblotting

One gram of chickpea plant material was ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen. The ground material was re-suspended in one mL ice cold NEB extraction buffer (10mM Tris, HCl, pH7.5, 25mM PMSF, 40mM, EDTA, 150mM Nacl, 10% glycerol and 50mM DTT) in 1.5ml tube and centrifuged at 40°C for 15 minutes at 14 000 rpm. The clear supernatant was used to measure the concentration of protein according to the method described by Bradford, (1976).

The protein of infected/un-infected chickpea plant material was solubilized in sample buffer and loaded on 12.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. After electrophoresis the proteins were transferred by semi-dry electrophoresis onto nitrocellulose filters. Immuno- blot analysis was carried out by the method described by Towbin et al., (1979).

Antifungal activity of protein on \textit{A. rabiei}

Fungus was grown on agar medium according to the procedure described by Leah et al., (1991). Spores of \textit{A. rabiei} were spread on to the medium and incubated in a fungus growth room at 22°C. After 2-3 days, purified barley RIP and/or chitinase (Chi), and in combination were studied against the growth of \textit{A. rabiei} on sterilized discs of white filter paper. In microtiter well plate assay the effect of these proteins on spore germination was recorded after 48 hours.

Results

Detection of antifungal genes in chickpea

Probing of chickpea genomic DNA with barley chitinase gene revealed a number of hybridizing fragments. The size of these fragments varied depending on the enzymes used for restriction. It showed homology by giving significant signals at the levels of 4.3, 9.4 & 23.0Kb with \textit{Chi26} probe (Figure 1A) and 1.9, 3.5, 6.5 and 9.4Kb with \textit{Bgl32} probe (Figure 1B), indicating the presence of antifungal genes in the chickpea. \textit{Chi 26} banding pattern of \textit{Hind}III digest was different as compare to \textit{Bam}HI & \textit{EcoRI} but in probe \textit{Bgl32} the entire three enzymes presented different banding pattern.

Antifungal genes do express in chickpea

Northern blot analysis revealed the expression of \textit{Chi26} gene in chickpea plants. By using barley \textit{Chi26} as probe, the control leaves, stems and pods (without infection) did not show any transcript level, whereas infected leaves and pods indicated 1.4 and 1.5 Kb transcript and infected stem presented 1.5 & 1.9 Kb fragments (Figure 2). This represents the presence of antifungal like genes in chickpea under stress conditions.
Immunological studies by western blot analysis using polyclonal antibodies against poplar chitinase were carried out and 66KD antifungal protein was detected in all samples (Figure 3). Expression level of antifungal like genes in infected leaves stems and pods was higher as compared to the un-affected samples (Figure 3). The infected leaves showed additional proteins of 55 and 14KD.

Two additional bands of 50 and 14KD were observed in infected stems. Infected pods also showed induced proteins of 95, 80 and 70KD in addition to 55, 50 and 30KD (Figure 3). Overall results demonstrated different isoforms of chitinase like proteins present in precursor or as active form. Presence of same kind of proteins was also detected by silver stained SDS gel (Figure 4).
Growth inhibition of *A. rabiei*

Agar plate assay using barley purified chitinase and same gene expressing in bacteria inhibited the fungal growth (Figure 5) as indicated by the inhibitory zones.

Spore suspension of the fungus was added in wells of microtiter plate, followed by different concentrations of RIP and chitinase separately and in combination. They were incubated at 22±2°C for 48 hours. After 48 hours spore germination was observed under microscope.

The antifungal activity was observed against *A. rabiei* growth (Figure 6). The percentage of spore germination was calculated by counting the spore under microscope. The spores were shrunken and deformed with the effect of RIP 30 (Figure 6C) and by the combination of both proteins, they were disintegrated (Figure 6D).

The combined effect of proteins was more pronounced as compare to single protein (Figure 7). A gradual decrease of spore germination was noticed with combination of RIP and chitinase (Figure 7).

**Discussion**

The plant hydrolases β-glucanase and Chitinase have attracted considerable interest as defence related genes in a wide variety of plants (Cutt and Klessig, 1992; Chun et al., 2001; Coram et al., 2006). In this study the ability of defence related genes in chickpea was studied. Southern and northern blot analyses detected antifungal genes from chickpea using heterologous probes from barley antifungal chitinase (*Chi26*), 1-3 Beta-glucanases (*bg132*) and ribosomal inactivating protein (RIP). The genes have 50-60% homology to other antifungal genes.
is in agreement with Mauch and Staehelin (Mauch and Staehelin, 1989) who suggested that bg1 gene acts as a last line of defence when plant cells are lysed. Pritsch et al., (2000) reported defence related genes PR-1, PR-2 and PR-3 from wheat in response to fungal attack.

The antifungal protein detected by using poplar chitinase antibody showed the protein homology by using barley antibodies against chitinase. RIP and bg132 could not detect much protein indicating the difference in antigenic determinant of low protein levels. Kalaitzis, et al., (1999) identified an Endo-beta-1, 4-glucanase from tomato. Bartnicki-Garcia, (1968) has shown that chitinase and 1-3 Beta-glucanase inhibited fungal growth degrading chitin and 1-3 Beta-glucanase major structural cell wall polysaccharides in growth hyphae. In present studies it is observed that purified chitinase inhibited the growth of A. rabiei. The spore germination was also inhibited by the purified antifungal proteins (RIP or chitinase) at concentrations as low as 0.5 µg/well, in microtiter well plate assay, indicating that the proteins are active as any reported elsewhere (Mauch et al., 1988). The inhibition was more pronounced when combination of both proteins was used suggesting that they synergistically retarded the fungal growth. The results presented here and elsewhere (Oldach et al., 2001; Roberts, 1986) indicate that single chain ribosomal inactivating proteins inhibit fungal growth. Using microarray technology, Coram and Pang, (2005) identified defence related genes from chickpea. Sharma et al., (2004) isolated a 26 KD RIP from tobacco leaves and purified using ion exchange and gel filtration chromatography. Antimicrobial assays by using highly purified tobacco RIP conducted against various fungi and bacterial pathogens showed the strongest inhibitory activity.

The synergistic inhibition of fungal growth by the mixtures of RIP and chitinase suggests that inhibition by RIP can be enhanced with the combination of chitinase. Ability of these defence genes to inhibit A. rabiei growth suggest that they may be capable of inhibiting pathogenic infection in vivo. This information looks extremely valuable for developing genetic engineering strategies in making plant expression vectors to develop transgenic plants against Ascochyta blight of chickpea.
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