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Highlights
• Measurement of the stopping range and energy loss of α-particles in air at various pressures.
• In this study, surface barrier silicon detector was used.
• Experimental result for stopping power was compared with ICRU and SRIM results.

Abstract
This paper is to investigate stopping power of α-particles in air at various pressure of 0 to 1 bar, using a surface barrier silicon detector. The energy loss has been obtained at a different distance from 0 to 10 cm. It was found that the energy of the passed α-particles has been decreased in a small vacuum chamber with increasing pressure. It is also studied that the amount of α-particles reaching the detector follows a decreasing behavior as the distance increases. The result of stopping power was compared with ICRU and SRIM result. Experimental, ICRU and SRIM results for stopping power are closely in good agreement.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the general public has become more aware of the sea of radiation that we live in, and its health implications. They are frightened by reports of the high increase in cancer due to exposure to ionizing radiation. Nuclear radiation is said to be ionizing when it possesses a high enough kinetic energy to be able to eject electrons from the atom of any medium it traverses or collides with. This loss of electrons causes the target atom to become positively charged [1,2]. There are two major types of ionizing radiations: directly and indirectly ionizing radiations. The directly ionizing radiations such as the α-particle, β-particles and many other charged particles ionize atoms of their target material due to Coulombic collisions with the electrons of the material, and the amount of kinetic energies of these particles determines the amount of this Coulombic force [3,4]. On the other hand, indirectly ionizing radiations are neutral particles such as high photons and neutrons which do not directly ionize atoms but undergo collisions to eject an energetic electron called the secondary electron [5-10]. Therefore undergoes direct ionization ejecting many other electrons leaving the atom ionized. High energy photons such as the X-rays, γ-rays and cosmic-rays have the highest penetration power and are therefore the least harmful of the ionizing radiations since the radiation spends little time ionizing the atoms of its target material [11-13]. Whereas, heavy charged particles interact with matter such as α-particles which are the least penetrating and hence lose more energy by ionizing atoms of its target [14]. Thus, α-particles are the most harmful internal hazard as compared with β-particles and γ-rays.

The most important parameters characterizing the energy loss is the stopping power, mass stopping power and range of α-particle. Stopping power in different materials has been a subject that has received great in both applied and theoretical science [15,16]. SRIM (The Stopping and Range of Ions in Matter) is a software...
code which calculate the stopping and range of ions into matter using a quantum mechanical treatment of ion-atom collisions [17-20]. Furthermore, Report 49 of the ICRU [21] measured the range and stopping power for different media. In this work, the energy loss of α-particles in air at different pressure and distances using silicon Surface barrier detectors is discussed. Then the stopping power value was compared with ICRU and SRIM value.

2. THEORETICAL CALCULATIONS

This section summarizes background theoretical relations used for determination of stopping power \( S(E) \), Mass stopping power \( MSP \), Range \( R(E) \). The average energy loss of the α-particle \( dE \) per unit path length \( dx \) is called stopping power [22]. It relies on the energy of the α-particles and on the properties of the material it passes which can be written as [23]:

\[
-\frac{dE}{dx} = S(E).
\]

(1)

The negative sign makes \( S(E) \) positive which is used for the particles lose energy as they pass through the materials [24]. The mass stopping power is obtained by dividing the stopping power by the density (air density = 0.001225 g/cm\(^3\)) [25]. It refers to the energy lost by the α-particles falling on the target as it passes through which is expressed by the following equation [26]:

\[
\frac{S(E)}{\rho} = MSP.
\]

(2)

It is important to consider the range of the α-particle in any given medium. The range is defined as the distance of that α-particle travel from its source to the target material [27]. This can be calculated using the following relation:

\[
\int_{E_0}^{0} \left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right)^{-1} dE = R(E).
\]

(3)

Empirically, the average linear range of α-particle in air is given as [28]:

\[
0.56E = R_{\text{air}} \quad \text{for } E < 4 \text{ MeV}
\]

(4)

\[
1.24E - 2.62 = R_{\text{air}} \quad \text{for } 4 \leq E < 8 \text{ MeV}
\]

(5)

In above equation, \( E \) is the energy of the particle in MeV.

3. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The energy loss of α-particles throughout air at different distances and different pressures has been investigated in a small vacuum chamber. ANS42 source was used which contains three components of the radioactive source such as \( ^{241}\text{Am}, ^{244}\text{Cm} \), and \( ^{239}\text{Pu} \). These sources emit α-radiation with energies of 5485.56, 5804.77, 5156.59 keV. It was one containing the three isotopes mentioned which are \( ^{241}\text{Am} \). But, any of the other sources could have been used for finding the optimum voltage of the surface barrier silicon detector. The detector has an area of 450 mm\(^2\) and 100 µm of depletion depth [29]. Figure 1 shows the schematic view of the experimental setup in which the source and the detector were placed inside a small vacuum chamber. The distance between the source and the detector was 1 cm for finding the best voltage of the detector. Now the voltage of 50 to 350 V was applied for 180 s. the corresponding values of channel number and full width at half maximum were recorded to determine the energy resolution. Now, the small vacuum chamber was filled with air and the energy of the source inside the small vacuum chamber was measured at different distances from 1 to 10 cm and for 0 to 1 bar of the air pressure.
4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The stopping power of air for $\alpha$-particles at different distances and pressures in the small vacuum chamber was measured. During this study, $\alpha$-particle energy losses in air was determined for $^{241}$Am source. The results are shown in Figure 2 that as the pressure in the small vacuum chamber increases, the energy of the $\alpha$-particle decreases. For instance, the measured energy loss of $\alpha$-particles at lower pressure of 0 bar is less since there is almost no molecule of air to interact within the small vacuum chamber. But, the measured energy loss of $\alpha$-particles at a higher pressure of 10 bar is more since the number of air molecules in the traversing path of the $\alpha$-particle is larger, which results in more collisions. Nevertheless, the energy loss of $\alpha$-particle increases as distance from the source to detector increases in the vacuum chamber. More precisely, Figure 2 shows that at a pressure equal to 1 bar, the energy of the $\alpha$-particle is lost completely at distances equal from 5.8 to 10 cm.

![Diagram of experimental setup](image-url)
Figure 2. Energy loss of alpha particles in air as a function of distance for different values of pressure

The overall calculated results of the stopping power of α-particles in air have been shown in Figure 3. In this figure, the stopping power is low at lower pressure of 0 bar whereas the stopping power increases when the pressure is increasing. The values of mass stopping power of α-particles in air at different pressure have been presented in Table 1. They have been calculated by Equation (2). The results show that the mass stopping power increases as the air pressure increases.

Table 1. The mass stopping power of α-particle in air at different values of pressure

| Pressure (bar) | MSP (keV cm²/g) |
|---------------|----------------|
| 0             | 13344.74       |
| 0.2           | 139108.03      |
| 0.4           | 331178.8       |
| 0.6           | 552765.5       |
| 0.8           | 568543.45      |
| 1.0           | 579232.41      |

Comparison of the α-particle energy losses in air obtained from data of ICRU [21] and SRIM code [30]. They provide the stopping power values of α-particles in the energy range of 100-5000 keV. Compared to the results of both calculations in Figure 4 that as the energy increases from 100 to 800 keV, the stopping power increases too until a minimum is reached, after that the behavior of the stopping power is exactly different, it decreases dramatically until the end of the figure. The predicted values based on the ICRU show good agreement with the SRIM code.

Table 2 shows the result of the value of the measured range and stopping power for air. An independent check of the discrepancies among the experimental, ICRU and SRIM value can be performed by looking at the inferred α-particle ranges in air. A commonly used empirical formula from Equation (5) that the range with the energy of 5.4856 keV to be 4.18 cm, which is very close to the experimental and ICRU and SRIM value. Furthermore, the range for experimental value is 5.8 cm at pressure 1bar, therefore the energy became zero at a distance of 5.8 cm as shown in Figure 2. Notice that in Table 2, the larger range has the shorter stopping power, thus the stopping power for experimental value is shorter than SRIM and ICRU.
Figure 4. Stopping power as a function of ion energy for SRIM and ICRU

Table 2. The value of the experimental, SRIM and ICRU value for range and stopping power in air

|         | Range (cm) | Stopping power (keV/cm) |
|---------|------------|-------------------------|
|         | Experimental | SRIM | ICRU | Experimental | SRIM | ICRU |
| Air     | 5.8         | 4.1  | 4.76 | 709.5597     | 882.245 | 863.168 |

5. CONCLUSIONS

The stopping power values of air for $^{241}$Am source with pressures 0 to 1 bar have been investigated. It was observed that as the pressure is increased, the energy loss of $\alpha$-particle decreases due to the traversing path of the alpha particle in air when the distance between the detector and source decreases. As the pressure increases to 1 bar, the energy loss of alpha particles increases more due to the increase in the amount of interaction with the molecules. The results of the stopping power and range were compared with SRIM and ICRU, showing a good agreement with experimental results.
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