Neurological complications of breast cancer: study protocol of a prospective cohort study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The improvement in breast cancer survival rates, along with the expected overdiagnosis and overtreatment associated with breast cancer screening, requires a comprehensive assessment of its burden. Neurological complications can have a devastating impact on these patients; neuropathic pain and chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy are among the most frequently reported. This project aims to understand the burden of neurological complications of breast cancer treatment in Northern Portugal, and their role as mediator of the impact of the treatment in different dimensions of the patients’ quality of life.

Methods and analysis: A prospective cohort study was designed to include 500 patients with breast cancer, to be followed for 3 years. The patients were recruited at the Portuguese Oncology Institute of Porto and evaluations were planned at different stages: pretreatment, after surgery, after chemotherapy (whenever applicable) and at 1 and 3 years after enrolment. Patients diagnosed with neuropathic pain or chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (subcohorts), were also evaluated at the moment of confirmation of clinical diagnosis of the neurological complication and 6 months later. In each of the follow-up periods, a neurological examination has been performed by a neurologist. Data were collected on sociodemographic and clinical characteristics, quality of life, sleep quality, and anxiety and depression. Between January and December 2012, we recruited and conducted the baseline evaluation of 506 participants. The end of the follow-up period is scheduled for December 2015.

Ethics and dissemination: The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Portuguese Oncology Institute of Porto and all patients provided written informed consent. All study procedures were developed in order to assure data protection and confidentiality. Results from this project will be disseminated in international peer-reviewed journals and presented in relevant conferences.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most frequent form of cancer and an important cause of cancer death among women, with an estimated 1.7 million new cases and half a million deaths worldwide.1 Despite upward trends in incidence rates, due to an increasing exposure to risk factors and widespread use of mammography screening,2 mortality has been declining in most affluent settings,3 reflecting improvements in access to earlier diagnosis and effective treatments.4,5 In Northern Portugal, the number of cases is expected to be nearly 50% higher in 2020,6 assuming the most recent trends remain, and mortality rates have been declining since the 1990s in several regions.7

The improvement in breast cancer survival,8 along with the expected overdiagnosis and overtreatment associated with breast cancer screening,9 requires a comprehensive assessment of the burden of cancer, accounting for disability and losses in quality of life (QoL) due to the disease, treatment and sequelae.10 Although health-related QoL in women with breast cancer has been addressed in several studies,11–13 little attention has been dedicated to understanding the role of specific physical and psychological adverse effects of cancer management14–17 in different dimensions of the patients’ QoL.
Neurological complications of breast cancer treatment, including cognitive impairment, chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN), neuropathic pain (NP), encephalopathy and stroke, may cause symptoms more disabling than the cancer itself. CIPN and NP are among the most frequently reported. CIPN is a dose-limiting side effect of many chemotherapeutic agents that may lead to dose reduction and/or discontinuation of treatment. The incidence of CIPN depends on chemotherapy regimens, but the role of conditions such as diabetes or alcohol consumption have seldom been addressed. Chronic NP is estimated to affect over a third of treated patients, especially younger ones. Despite some studies addressing the relationship between quality of sleep, anxiety and depression and the occurrence of pain, there is little information on the impact of these factors, specifically in NP. Moreover, data on type of surgery and radiotherapy as risk factors for NP are conflicting. Although QoL is known to be impaired by pain, to our knowledge no previous studies addressed the role of NP or CIPN as mediators of the effect of breast cancer treatment in different dimensions of QoL.

The burden of neurological complications in women with breast cancer, including NP and CIPN, remains poorly understood, namely regarding their aetiology, frequency and impact on patients’ QoL. Prospective studies providing a comprehensive characterisation of these frequent side effects, and a methodologically sound assessment of their determinants and associations with specific dimensions of QoL, may contribute to a more accurate characterisation of the burden associated with breast cancer in different settings, as well as help to develop strategies to minimise the impact of these conditions during treatment.

This project aims to understand the burden of neurological complications of breast cancer treatment and their role as mediators of the impact of the treatment in different dimensions of the patients’ QoL in Northern Portugal. The main specific objectives are as follows:

1. To estimate the incidence of neurological complications during the first 3 years after the diagnosis of breast cancer, and to characterise the clinical features and management of NP and CIPN.
2. To quantify the relationship between factors such as type of treatment, depression, anxiety and sleep disturbance or diabetes and alcohol consumption and the occurrence of NP and CIPN;
3. To assess the role of NP and CIPN as determinants of the variation in different dimensions of the patients’ QoL.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study design
This prospective cohort study was designed to evaluate a cohort of 500 women with incident breast cancer (main cohort) and subcohorts of patients diagnosed with NP (NP subcohort) and CIPN (CIPN subcohort), during a 3-year follow-up period (figure 1).

The study comprises the evaluation of all participants at baseline (before any treatment), 2 weeks after surgery, 3 weeks after chemotherapy (if applicable) and at 1 and 3 years after enrolment. In addition, the subcohorts of patients are evaluated at the moment of confirmation of clinical diagnosis of the neurological complication and 6-months after the diagnosis of the side effect (figure 1), in order to evaluate the chronicity of such conditions. The evaluations are performed by trained interviewers or clinicians, as applicable.

Selection of participants
Women admitted to the Breast Clinic of the Portuguese Institute of Oncology of Porto (IPO-Porto) suspected of having an incident breast cancer were potentially eligible. In 2012, we invited those who were proposed for surgery, either as primary treatment or after neoadjuvant therapy, aged 18 years or older, with histologically confirmed breast cancer diagnosed in the previous 3 months, not treated with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy for other primary cancer, not having received any treatment for breast cancer before, not submitted to a previous breast surgery and capable of understanding the purposes of the study and willing to collaborate. We excluded those expected to receive cancer treatments other than surgery, if applicable, outside IPO-Porto.

We evaluated the cognitive function of each patient who accepted the invitation to participate, using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment. Those scoring less than 17, or less than 16 for women over 65 years old, were excluded from further evaluation.

Study questionnaires
Table 1 depicts the questionnaires used to evaluate the participants at baseline and at different stages of follow-up, and table 2 describes the instruments validated for the Portuguese population, which were used to assess cognitive function, QoL, quality of sleep, anxiety and depression, pain severity and pain-related disability.

Neurological evaluation
Newly occurring cases of neurological complications are identified through referral by any member of the clinical team, or during the systematic neurological evaluations described in table 1. Prevalent cases identified at the time of the scheduled evaluations are assigned an estimated date of onset based on information provided by the patients.

The systematic neurological evaluation, performed by a neurologist, comprises the assessment of cognitive functions, cranial nerves, muscular strength, sensitive function, reflexes, Babinski sign and evaluation of gait and coordination.
Data analysis and sample size

We will compute cumulative incidence estimates and the corresponding 95% CIs for each of the neurological complications at 6, 12 and 36 months of follow-up. A sample of about 500 participants is needed to estimate cumulative incidences between 30 and 70% with a 95% CI up to 10% wide, or cumulative incidences near or under 30% with a 95% CI up to 8% wide. We will conduct descriptive analyses to characterise NP and CIPN regarding their clinical features and management among the patients included in the corresponding subcohorts.

To quantify the association between different factors and the occurrence of NP and CIPN, we will compute incidence rate ratios and 95% CI estimates, crude and adjusted for sociodemographic, clinical and QoL variables, using Poisson regression. A sample of approximately 500 women was estimated to be necessary, assuming a statistical power of 80%, a level of significance of 5%, one-third of the sample exposed to each of the risk factors evaluated (e.g., mastectomy; anxiety and/or depression; poor sleep quality), an incidence rate of NP of at least 30/100 person-years in the first year and a relative risk estimate of at least 1.5; and (2) approximately half of the women submitted to chemotherapy, 10% of the sample exposed to each of the risk factors evaluated (e.g., diabetes; high alcohol consumption), an incidence rate of CIPN of at least 20/100 person-years in the first year and a relative risk estimate of at least 2.

The association between NP and CIPN and the variation in QoL from baseline to 1-year evaluation and from 1-year to 3-year follow-up assessments will be quantified through crude and adjusted incidence rate ratios and 95% CI estimates, using Poisson regression. A sample of approximately 500 women was estimated to be necessary, assuming a statistical power of 80%, a level of significance of 5%, one-third of the sample with incident NP, an incidence rate of 25/100 person-years for moderate clinically meaningful worsening in QoL (decrease of at least 10 points in the Quality of Life Questionnaire of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (QLQ-C30) score) and a relative risk estimate of at least 1.5. A sample of approximately 200 women was estimated to be necessary, assuming a statistical power of 80%, a level of significance of 5%, one-fifth of the sample with incident CIPN, an incidence rate of 25/100 person-years for moderate clinically meaningful worsening in QoL (decrease of at least 10 points in the QLQ-C30 score) and a relative risk estimate of at least 2.

Training of the interviewers and use of standardised procedures for data collection is expected to contribute to a low proportion of missing data, and no imputation is being planned.

We estimate that the 3-year evaluation will be accomplished for at least 90% of the participants, taking into account the most recent survival data from Northern Portugal and the fact that all women in our cohort were submitted to surgical treatment. The evaluations will be matched with routine appointments in the hospital, which is expected to contribute to minimise further loss to follow-up.
Table 1  Description of methods used for evaluation of participants at baseline and at different stages of follow-up

| Timing of evaluation       | Methods used for evaluation of participants | Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics | Neurologic evaluation | MoCA | HADS | PSQI | QLQ-BR23 | QLQ-C30 | BPI | DN4 | PDI |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------|------|------|----------|---------|------|------|------|
| Main cohort of patients with breast cancer |                                             |                                               |                       |      |      |      |          |         |      |      |      |
| Baseline                   | ✓*                                          | ✓                                             | ✓                     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓        | ✓       |      |      |      |
| Postsurgery                | ✓†                                          |                                               |                       |      |      |      |          |         |      |      |      |
| Postchemotherapy           | ✓‡                                          |                                               |                       |      |      |      |          |         |      |      |      |
| 1-year follow-up           | ✓§                                          | ✓                                             | ✓                     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓        | ✓       |      |      |      |
| 3-year follow-up           | ✓¶                                          | ✓                                             | ✓                     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓        | ✓       |      |      |      |
| Subcohort of patients diagnosed with NP†† |                                             |                                               |                       |      |      |      |          |         |      |      |      |
| Baseline                   | ✓††                                         | ✓                                             | ✓                     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓        | ✓       |      |      |      |
| 6-month follow-up          | ✓‡‡                                         | ✓                                             | ✓                     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓        | ✓       |      |      |      |
| Subcohort of patients diagnosed with CIPN†† |                                             |                                               |                       |      |      |      |          |         |      |      |      |
| Baseline                   | ✓††                                         | ✓                                             | ✓                     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓        | ✓       |      |      |      |
| 6-month follow-up          | ✓‡‡                                         | ✓                                             | ✓                     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓        | ✓       |      |      |      |

* Data is collected on sociodemographic (birth date, address, marital status, education, occupation and alcohol consumption) and clinical (medication used, history of previous neurological disease, diabetes, hypertension, thyroid pathology and oncological history) characteristics.
† Data is collected on type of surgery, cancer stage and proposed treatment after surgery.
‡ Data is collected on chemotherapy (drugs used, duration of treatment and total dose).
§ Data is collected on radiotherapy (irradiated areas, total dose and duration of treatment) and hormonotherapy (drug), and other data is updated (marital status, cancer stage and information regarding chemotherapy and radiotherapy).
¶ Data is collected on smoking habits, fruits and vegetables consumption, and physical activity. Marital status, alcohol consumption and information regarding cancer stage and treatment are reviewed.
** Applicable only when NP is present at the moment of evaluation.
†† In addition to the evaluations that are performed in the main cohort.
‡‡ Data is collected concerning NP symptoms, aetiology, duration, localisation and pain management.
§§ Data is collected regarding CIPN symptoms and chemotherapy details; CIPN is graded using Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events V.4.0 and Total Neuropathy score.
BPI, Brief Pain Inventory; CIPN, chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy; DN4, Neuropathic Pain Questionnaire; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; MoCA, The Montreal Cognitive Assessment; NP, neuropathic pain; PDI, Pain Disability Index; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; QLQ-BR23, Breast cancer-specific module of the Quality of Life Questionnaire of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; QLQ-C30, Quality of Life Questionnaire of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer.
Assembling of the main cohort and subcohorts and 1-year follow-up

Figure 2 describes the assembling of the main cohort and the NP and CIPN subcohorts. During 2012, all patients admitted to the IPO-Porto with a potential diagnosis of breast cancer were evaluated (n=961) and those who were proposed for surgical treatment and met the eligibility criteria were invited to participate (n=588).
Eighty patients with possible cognitive impairment were excluded and two refused to participate (no reason for refusing was specified). A total of 506 patients underwent a baseline evaluation before the first proposed treatment, constituting the main cohort. The subcohorts of NP and CIPN patients included those with a diagnosis of these conditions in the first year of follow-up.

The end of the follow-up period is scheduled for December 2015.

**ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after the aims and procedures of the investigation had been fully explained by a member of the study group.

This is an observational investigation; as such we do not anticipate the occurrence of harmful effects related to participation in the study. To minimise the possible discomfort due to the need to go to the hospital for face-to-face evaluations or the duration of interviews, data collection procedures were designed to last no more than 60 min, and are scheduled to take place on the same day as other appointments in the hospital as part of regular clinical care.

All data regarding clinical aspects are collected by clinical members of the research team and privacy is assured. We guarantee data protection in accordance with Portuguese law. Participants were coded with a unique non-identifying number; the correspondence between this code and the personal identifiable information is stored in a file, to which only the principal investigator can have access. Only the research team has access to the database with anonymised data, saved on a password-protected secure computer.

The expected results may contribute to a better understanding of the burden of neurological complications of breast cancer treatment and their role as mediators of the impact of the treatment in different dimensions of the patients’ QoL. The main findings of the study will be submitted for publication in international peer-reviewed journals and proposed for presentation at relevant international and national conferences. We will issue press releases to promote the dissemination of information relevant to the general population in the mass media. Moreover, this study will also contribute to the training of researchers through the production of master and doctoral theses.
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