DETERMINATION OF THE LOAD-BEARING CAPACITY FOR DRILLING PILES CONSIDERING THE COEFFICIENT OF THE SOIL POROSITY

The influence of the coefficient of porosity of sandy soils on the bearing capacity of drilling piles according to the results of their static tests in field conditions is analyzed. Piles of different lengths were tested. The pile lengths are in the range of 2 to 12.0 m. The diameter of the pile trunk is in the range of 0.4 m to 0.83 m. The load, which can be sustained by a specific drilling pile, was determined when the settling limits $s = 40$ mm. It is established that the method of determining the bearing capacity adopted in the applicable standards corresponds to sandy soils with a high porosity coefficient; therefore, at low porosity coefficients, the real bearing capacity exceeds the one determined by the standards.

A table is proposed to determine the specific soil resistance on the lateral surface of the pile, taking into account the porosity coefficient. To solve this problem, we used the geotechnical software complex "Plaxis 3D Foundation", which simulated the stress-strain state of the drill pile in sands of different size and density. 14 types of sandy soils were modeled with a change in the porosity ratio from 0.45 to 0.75. The results of the calculations using the proposed table are compared with the results of static testing of drilling piles. The results showed better compliance with the test data compared to the norm methodology.
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The purpose of the article. The purpose of the article is to determine the influence of the void ratio on the load-bearing capacity of the drilled piles in sand soils.

The main subject of the article

To solve the given task the results of statics testing of the drilled piles done at the Research Institute of Construction Work Execution and the Research Institute of Structural Engineering in Kyiv were used. The results of site testing of 15 drilled borings from 10 different sites were considered. The testing sites are located in following cities: Kyiv, Brovary and Boryspil. The sites had different soil conditions. The completely in sand soil submerged piles were chosen.
The piles of different length were tested. The range of length is from 2 to 12.0 m. The width of pile shafts is in the range from 0.4 to 0.83 m. The load, which the given pile can bear, was determined after reaching the settlement limit $s = 40$ mm.

Fig. 1 shows the results of comparison for the load-bearing capacity for the drilling piles, determined according to the methods of code documents [1] with the use of data based table H.2.2 and the load-bearing capacity according to the results of the field tests with the static load.

The load-bearing capacity of the pile $F$ according to the results of statics research is shown in the horizontal axis of the given diagram. The load-bearing capacity of the pile $F_1$ calculated according to the existing code documents is shown in the vertical axis of the diagram. The diagonal solid graph corresponds to the optimum compliance of calculation and testing results.

The results of calculation and statics testing coincided in four cases (27% of all considered cases). The reserve coefficient $(F/F)$ of the load-bearing capacity in comparison to the code document calculation makes to 1.97. The analysis of the obtained reserve coefficients shows, that there is a direct dependence of their value from the sand void ratio. The reserve is large at low coefficients, at high coefficients the reserve is low or absent.

The geotechnical software “Plaxis 3D Foundation” was used to solve the given task. With the help of this software, the stress strain behavior of the drilling pile in sands of different specific gravity and grading was simulated. The model of the soil base is the compressed-tensile model of Mohr-Coulomb. Such model of the soil permits to simulate the pile work under the load with satisfactory accuracy. The obtained value is compared with the values of the field research [3]. Sandy soils of 14 kinds with following physics-mathematics characteristics were used for simulation:

1) coarse sands $e = 0.55$; $c_l = 2$ kPa; $\phi_l = 43^\circ$; $E = 50$ MPa;
2) coarse sands $e = 0.55$; $c_l = 1$ kPa; $\phi_l = 40^\circ$; $E = 40$ MPa;
3) coarse sands $e = 0.65$; $c_l = 0$ kPa; $\phi_l = 38^\circ$; $E = 30$ MPa;
4) concrete sands $e = 0.45$; $c_l = 3$ kPa; $\phi_l = 40^\circ$; $E = 50$ MPa;
5) concrete sands $e = 0.55$; $c_l = 2$ kPa; $\phi_l = 38^\circ$; $E = 40$ MPa;
6) concrete sands $e = 0.65$; $c_l = 1$ kPa; $\phi_l = 35^\circ$; $E = 30$ MPa;
7) fine sands $e = 0.45$; $c_l = 6$ kPa; $\phi_l = 38^\circ$; $E = 48$ MPa;
8) fine sands $e = 0.55$; $c_l = 4$ kPa; $\phi_l = 36^\circ$; $E = 38$ MPa;
9) fine sands $e = 0.65$; $c_l = 2$ kPa; $\phi_l = 32^\circ$; $E = 28$ MPa;
10) fine sands $e = 0.75; c_1 = 0 \text{ kPa}; \varphi_1 = 28^\circ; E = 18 \text{ MPa};$
11) loose sands $e = 0.45; c_1 = 8 \text{ kPa}; \varphi_1 = 36^\circ; E = 39 \text{ MPa};$
12) loose sands $e = 0.55; c_1 = 6 \text{ kPa}; \varphi_1 = 34^\circ; E = 28 \text{ MPa};$
13) loose sands $e = 0.65; c_1 = 4 \text{ kPa}; \varphi_1 = 30^\circ; E = 18 \text{ MPa};$
14) loose sands $e = 0.75; c_1 = 2 \text{ kPa}; \varphi_1 = 26^\circ; E = 11 \text{ MPa}.$

The load-bearing capacity of the drilling pile with the diameter of 0.5 m and the length of 10 m was determined at the total strain of 4 cm.

Table 1 gives the results of the calculations for the load-bearing capacity of the pile for the determined soils using the methods, the proposed codes [1], and according to the results of numerical modeling with “Plaxis 3D Foundation”. When determining the load-bearing capacity according to the code documents the resistance under the lower pile edges was calculated with the formula (H.3.2), taking into account the internal friction angle and specific weigh of the soil. The side friction was calculated either with the schedule H.2.2 or with the formula (H.2.2) (as the function of strength characteristic).

The results obtained with the numeric modeling have shown that the load-bearing capacity of the drilling pile decreases 1.5 – 2 times when the voids ratio increases.

As long as the strength properties depend on voids ratio, the with the code documents determined load-bearing capacity has the indirect dependence on soil density. When using the lateral resistance calculated with the formula (H.2.2), this dependence equals to the dependence received with the numeric modeling. When using the lateral resistance, determined with the schedule H.2.2, this dependence is far more less, because only the resistance under the pile lower edge dictates it.

The disadvantage of the formula (H.2.2) used to determine the lateral resistance is the lower total load-bearing capacity (it is lower than the load-bearing capacity determined with the help of the schedule H.2.2, which in turn is less than the results of the field research shown in the Fig.1).

That means that the schedule equal to H.2.2 can be used with considering the influence of the voids ratio.

The comparison of the load-bearing capacity of the drilling pile, determined according to the code documents and with the numeric modeling “Plaxis 3D Foundation”

| Soils      | Physical-mechanical characteristics of soils | Load-bearing capacity of the pile |
|------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|            | $e$  | $c$, kPa | $\varphi$, ° | $E$, mPa | with the formula H.2.2, DBN, kN | with the table H.2.2, DBN, without taking into account +30% for tight sand, kN | with the table H.2.2, DBN, with taking into account +30% for tight sand, kN | according to Plaxis, kN |
| Coarse sands | 0.45 | 2 | 43 | 50 | 1498.3 | 1736.7 | 1909.8 | 1740 |
|            | 0.55 | 1 | 40 | 40 | 1463.1 | 1736.7 | 1736.7 | 1393 |
|            | 0.65 | 0 | 38 | 30 | 1103.3 | 1405.5 | 1405.5 | 1095 |
| Concrete sands | 0.45 | 3 | 40 | 50 | 1476.3 | 1736.7 | 1909.8 | 1651 |
|            | 0.55 | 2 | 38 | 40 | 1299.7 | 1590.9 | 1590.9 | 1282 |
|            | 0.65 | 1 | 35 | 30 | 868.3  | 1192.5 | 1192.5 | 989  |
| Fine sands  | 0.45 | 6 | 38 | 48 | 1313.9 | 1404   | 1526.6 | 1503 |
|            | 0.55 | 4 | 36 | 38 | 925.9  | 1049   | 1171.6 | 1182 |
|            | 0.65 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 571.9  | 743.3  | 743.3  | 833  |
|            | 0.75 | - | 28 | 18 | 355.7  | 577.7  | 577.7  | 556  |
| Loose sands | 0.45 | 8 | 36 | 39 | 1014   | 995.3  | 1084   | 1301 |
|            | 0.55 | 6 | 34 | 28 | 761.8  | 773.8  | 862.5  | 968  |
|            | 0.65 | 4 | 30 | 18 | 510.7  | 533.8  | 533.8  | 665  |
|            | 0.75 | 2 | 26 | 11 | 339.3  | 443.7  | 443.7  | 481  |
For the further voids ratio influence analysis the modeling results were processed in such a manner:
- The load on the lower edge of the pile and the lateral resistance were determined (the part of the lower edge was equal to the part calculated according to the code documents).
- Regularity accepted in the schedule H.2.2 [1] that takes into account the increasing factors for tight sands was used to determine the relative density for lateral resistance with different depths through the pile length.

As a consequence the table 2 with the values of the relative density in the lateral surface of the pile was received which is similar to the schedule H.2.2 but with the introducing of an additional increasing factors in the form of voids ratio. This schedule was made out for piles with the length 10 m. The lateral resistance is determined by means of the rate from the values of the load-bearing capacity, received from the DBN schedule with taking into account +30% for dense soils.

**Table 2**

| Depth of the layer, m | Voids ratio | coarse sands | concrete sands | fine sands | loose sands |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|
| 1                    | 0.45        | 44.7         | 41.5           | 33.4      | 30.1        |
|                      | 0.55        | 24.6         | 24.8           | 34.6      | 27.3        |
|                      | 0.65        | 23.4         | 26             | 27.5      | 21.6        |
|                      | 0.75        | -            | -              | 21.6      | 17.3        |
| 2                    | 0.45        | 51.7         | 48.5           | 40.4      | 36.1        |
|                      | 0.55        | 31.6         | 31.8           | 41.6      | 33.3        |
|                      | 0.65        | 30.4         | 33             | 34.5      | 27.6        |
|                      | 0.75        | -            | -              | 28.6      | 23.3        |
| 3                    | 0.45        | 57.7         | 54.5           | 45.4      | 40.1        |
|                      | 0.55        | 37.6         | 37.8           | 46.6      | 37.3        |
|                      | 0.65        | 36.4         | 39             | 39.5      | 31.6        |
|                      | 0.75        | -            | -              | 33.6      | 27.3        |
| 4                    | 0.45        | 62.7         | 59.5           | 48.4      | 42.1        |
|                      | 0.55        | 42.6         | 42.8           | 49.6      | 39.3        |
|                      | 0.65        | 41.4         | 44             | 42.5      | 33.6        |
|                      | 0.75        | -            | -              | 36.6      | 29.3        |
| 5                    | 0.45        | 65.7         | 62.5           | 50.4      | 44.1        |
|                      | 0.55        | 45.6         | 45.8           | 51.6      | 41.3        |
|                      | 0.65        | 44.4         | 47             | 44.5      | 35.6        |
|                      | 0.75        | -            | -              | 38.6      | 31.3        |
| 6                    | 0.45        | 67.7         | 64.5           | 52.4      | 46.1        |
|                      | 0.55        | 47.6         | 47.8           | 53.6      | 43.3        |
|                      | 0.65        | 46.4         | 49             | 46.5      | 37.6        |
|                      | 0.75        | -            | -              | 40.6      | 33.3        |
| 7                    | 0.45        | 69.7         | 66.5           | 53.4      | 47.1        |
|                      | 0.55        | 49.6         | 49.8           | 54.6      | 44.3        |
|                      | 0.65        | 48.4         | 51             | 47.5      | 38.6        |
|                      | 0.75        | -            | -              | 41.6      | 34.3        |
| 8                    | 0.45        | 71.7         | 68.5           | 54.4      | 48.1        |
|                      | 0.55        | 51.6         | 51.8           | 55.6      | 45.3        |
|                      | 0.65        | 50.4         | 53             | 48.5      | 39.6        |
|                      | 0.75        | -            | -              | 42.6      | 35.3        |
| 9                    | 0.45        | 73.2         | 70             | 55.4      | 48.6        |
|                      | 0.55        | 53.1         | 53.3           | 56.6      | 45.8        |
|                      | 0.65        | 51.9         | 54.5           | 49.5      | 40.1        |
|                      | 0.75        | -            | -              | 43.6      | 35.8        |
| 10                   | 0.45        | 74.7         | 71.5           | 56.4      | 49.1        |
|                      | 0.55        | 54.6         | 54.8           | 57.6      | 46.3        |
|                      | 0.65        | 53.4         | 56             | 50.5      | 40.6        |
|                      | 0.75        | -            | -              | 44.6      | 36.3        |
Table 3

| № | Name of facility | Soil on the lateral area | Size of the drilling pile | Physical-mechanical properties of the soil on the lateral area | Load-bearing capacity of the drilling pile according to the field research, kN | Load-bearing capacity of the drilling pile according to the table, kN | Load-bearing capacity of the drilling pile according to the formula DBN, kN | Load-bearing capacity of the drilling pile according to the table, DBN, kN |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Kyiv, NSC Olympiyskiy test drilling-1(TD), TD-5, TD-6 | loose sands | 7.0 | 0.42 | 0.7 | 2 | 33 | 19.9 | (930+550+500)/3=660 | 536 | 474 | 514 |
| 2 | Boryspil TD-12 (pile group 6) | fine sands | 7.0 | 0.6 | 0.63 | 1 | 30 | 18.9 | 2475 | 912 | 811 | 890 |
| 3 | Kyiv, Vyborhs'ka street, TD-1 (№33) | concrete sands | 8.0 | 0.42 | 0.616 | 0 | 31 | 17.8 | 425 | 470 | 296 | 533 |
| 4 | Kyiv, motorcycle factory, building №5 | fine sands | 5.0 | 0.6 | 0.66-0.68 | 0 | 37 | 17.9 | 1129 | 1024 | 890 | 997 |
| 5 | Kyiv, health center №2, Verhniaya street | fine sands | 10.76 | 0.66 | ~0.65 | | ~17.9 | | 2500 | 2200 | 2164 |
| 6 | Kyiv, CHPP-5, chimney neck №1 | concrete sands | 12.0 | 0.58 | ~0.65 | | ~17.8 | | 3000 | 2013 | 2137 |

The values of the load-bearing capacity were determined with the help of the table 2 for research sites. The research led to the deformation of 4 sm. The pile length equals 10 m; the pile was completely immersed into the sandy soil. Table 3 shows the comparison of the experimental results with the results from the table 2. The resistance under the lower pile edge was determined according to the formula (H.3.2) (1). The results of the load-bearing capacity, determined according to the code documents, are shown in table 3.

The results better correspond to the research data in comparison with the results determined according to the code documents.

Conclusions

As the result of mathematical simulation, the schedule of the relative density for sandy soils on the lateral area of drilling piles was obtained with taking into account the soil porosity ratio for the piles with the length up to 10 m. The use of this schedule helps to receive more economical solutions for the design.
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ВИЗНАЧЕННЯ НЕСУЧОЇ ЗДАТНОСТІ БУРОВИХ ПАЛЬ З ВРАХУВАННЯМ КОЕФІЦІЄНТУ ПОРИСТОСТІ ГРУНТІВ
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ВІННИЦЬКИЙ НАЦІОНАЛЬНИЙ ТЕХНІЧНИЙ УНІВЕРСИТЕТ
ВІННИЦЬКИЙ НАУКОВО-ДОСЛІДНИЙ ЕКСПЕРТНО-КРИМІНАЛИСТИЧНИЙ ЦЕНТР

Проаналізовано вплив коефіцієнту пористості піщаних грунтів на несучу здатність бурових паль за результатами їх статичних випробувань у полівих умовах. Випробувались пали різної довжини. Довжина паль знаходиться в діапазоні від 2 до 12,0 м. Діаметр ствола паль знаходиться в межах від 0,4 м до 0,83 м. Навантаження, яке може витримати конкретна бурова пала, визначалося при досягненні межі осідання $s = 40 \text{ mm}$. Встановлено, що прийнята в чинних нормах методика визначення несучої здатності відповідає піщаним грунтам з високим коефіцієнтом пористості, отже при низьких коефіцієнтах пористості реальна несучча здатність перевищує визначену за нормами.

Запропонована таблиця для визначення питомого опору грунту по боковій поверхні пала за ефектами відчуттяй пористості. Для розрахунку поставлена задача використовується геотехнічний програмний комплекс «Plaxis 3D Foundation», за допомогою якого моделювалась напружено-деформований стан бурової пали в пісках різної крупності та щільності. Моделювався 14 видів піщаних грунтів із зміненням коефіцієнту пористості від 0,45 до 0,75. Результати розрахунків з використанням запропонованої таблиці порівняні з результатами статичних випробувань бурових паль. Результати показали кращу відповідність дослідним даним у порівнянні з методикою норм.

Ключові слова: пала, коефіцієнт пористості, напруженоСдеформований стан, числове моделювання.

ОПРЕДЕЛЕНИЕ НЕСУЩЕЙ СПОСОБНОСТИ БУРОВЫХ СВАЙ С УЧЕТОМ КОЭФФИЦИЕНТА ПОРИСТОСТИ ГРУНТОВ
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ВИНИЦКИЙ НАЦІОНАЛЬНИЙ ТЕХНІЧНИЙ УНІВЕРСИТЕТ
ВИНИЦКИЙ НАУКОВО-ДОСЛІДНИЙ ЕКСПЕРТНО-КРИМІНАЛИСТИЧНИЙ ЦЕНТР

Проанализировано влияние коэффициента пористости песчаных грунтов на несущую способность буровых свай по результатам их статических испытаний в полевых условиях. Использовались свай разной длины. Длины свай находятся в диапазоне от 2 до 12,0 м. Диаметр ствола свай находится в пределах от 0,4 м до 0,83 м. Нагрузка, которую может выдержать конкретная буровая свая, определялась при достижении предельной осадки $s = 40 \text{ мм}$. Установлено, что принятая в действующих нормах методика определения несущей способности отвечает песчанным грунтам с высоким коэффициентом пористости, таким образом, при низких коэффициентах пористости реальная несущая способность превышает определенную по нормам.
Предложена таблица для определения удельного сопротивления грунта по боковой поверхности сваи с учетом коэффициента пористости. Для решения поставленной задачи использовался геотехнический программный комплекс «Plaxis 3D Foundation», с помощью которого моделировалось напряженно-деформированное состояние буровой сваи в песках разной крупности и плотности. Моделировалось 14 видов песчаных грунтов с изменением коэффициента пористости от 0,45 до 0,75.

Результаты расчетов с использованием предложенной таблицы сравнивались с результатами статических испытаний буровых свай. Результаты показали лучшее соответствие опытным данным по сравнению с методикой норм.

Ключевые слова: свая, коэффициент пористости, напряженно-деформированное состояние, Численное моделирование.
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