HYBRID SYSTEM SIMULATION TO SUPPLY HEATED AIR TO A SOLAR FOOD DRYER
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ABSTRACT
The intermittence of solar radiation, due to continuous rainy or cloudy days, is a limitation of simple and small solar dryers. These conditions often make them impossible to use. By including storage systems (thermal accumulation) and/or auxiliary energy sources, drying processes or dehydration can be conducted continuously, even during periods of low insolation. Therefore, the present work simulates and evaluates the thermal and energetic behavior of a hybrid system for heating the air that is directed to the dehydration chamber of a solar food dryer. The software selected for the simulation was TRNSYS. The simulated hybrid system consists of a flat plate solar collector and an arrangement of electrical resistors that guarantee the entry of air, at a constant temperature, into the dehydration chamber. The target temperature selected is 70°C, and the absence of food products in the chamber is assumed. An arrangement with four electric resistors totaling 1900 W, with three different powers of 1000 W, 500 W, and 200 W proved adequate to guarantee the entrance of air at a constant temperature when considering the climatic conditions of a city in the South of Brazil.

INTRODUCTION
Solar energy is commonly used for the sustainable development of the agricultural sector, standing out as a viable option, particularly in remote rural areas (Mekhilef et al., 2013). A direct application is drying, which reduces spoilage of food after harvest. This technique is widely used in developing countries (Mohanraj & Chandrasekar, 2008; Queiroz et al., 2011; Shamekhi-Amiri et al., 2018) because of its low cost, low payback time (El Hage et al., 2018), and for providing increased income to small producers in a sustainable manner.

However, the intermittent behavior of solar radiation and the climatic conditions of the site do not allow the continuous functioning of solar dryers. These characteristics make continuous dehydration of the food impossible, resulting in incomplete dehydration, an unsuitable product, or a product with unsatisfactory characteristics for consumption. The complete drying process, in most cases, is longer than the daily insolation period (El Hage et al., 2018). Thus, for the simpler solar dryers, the challenge is to ensure that the drying process is continuous, irrespective of periods of low insolation, such as cloudy or rainy days, and no insolation at night.

In the last decades, several studies have been conducted (Ekechukwu & Norton, 1999; Murthy, 2009; El-Sebaii & Shalaby, 2012; El Hage et al., 2018; Lamidi et al., 2019; Anannob et al. 2020). Most of them aim to increase the efficiency of solar dryers and even allow their nocturnal use (Queiroz et al., 2011; El Khadraoui et al., 2017), focusing on modeling (Prakash et al., 2016; Dhanushkodi et al., 2017; Anannob et al. 2020), in the simulation (Hernandez et al., 2016; Sonthikun et al., 2016; Basso, 2017), and the experiment (Pangavhane et al., 2002; Mohanraj & Chandrasekar, 2008; Amer et al., 2010; Okoroigwe et al., 2013; Sonthikun et al., 2016; Bhardwaj et al., 2017; Shamekhi-Amiri et al., 2018) of different types of solar dryers and sustainable drying techniques.

For example, solar dryers with an auxiliary power source need less time to reach the desired humidity levels (Mekhilef et al., 2013). Among the auxiliary energy sources, biomass (Okoroigwe et al., 2013; Sonthikun et al., 2016; Dhanushkodi et al., 2017) and electricity (Amer et al., 2010) stand out. Indirect drying with natural convection (Pangavhane et al., 2002) or forced drying (Mohanraj & Chandrasekar, 2008) provide better quality products than direct drying, in which the product is exposed to the sun. Also, forced convection shortens the
drying time. Regarding thermal energy storage systems, water reservoirs (Queiroz et al., 2011), water reservoirs with electrical resistors and heat exchangers (Amer et al, 2010), sand (Mohanraj & Chandrasekar, 2008), stone bed (Shamekhi-Amiri et al., 2018), granite bed (Nemš et al., 2018), and materials with phase change - PCM (Kant et al., 2016), such as paraffin (Bhardwaj et al., 2017; El Khadraoui et al., 2017), can be used.

Therefore, the use of an auxiliary energy source and/or a thermal energy storage system, such as thermo-accumulation, is presented as an alternative to minimize the effects arising from the intermittence and seasonality of solar radiation. However, for simple solar dryers, it is important to highlight that their main characteristics (low cost, easy handling, and inexpensive maintenance) should be maintained, particularly for applications in remote rural areas.

In this context, this study aims to perform the thermal and energy evaluation of a hybrid air heating system through simulation. Using this system, we aim to ensure the entry of air, at a constant temperature, into the dehydration chamber. The system comprises a flat plate solar collector and an electrical resistor and is simulated in the TRNSYS software (Klein et al., 2014).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The simulated model, shown in Figure 1C, is similar to a prototype, shown in Figures 1A and 1B, which does not yet have a hybrid heating system and is located in the metropolitan region of Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil. The climate of this region is humid subtropical and presents the four well-defined seasons of the year. However, it shows great variability in the elements of meteorological weather because it is located in a transition zone. The most covert season of the year starts around May 1 and ends in early February.

The simulated solar collector is of the flat plate type, with a simple glass cover similar to the prototype collector shown in Figure 1A. The air circulation takes place under the absorber plate, and the flow is forced, as shown in Figure 1B. It also has constant flow of 0.028 kg s$^{-1}$ (Basso, 2017). Its dimensions are 2 m long by 1 m wide. The geographical north orientation and 42° slope used in the simulation are the same as the prototype.

The meteorological data are obtained directly from the TRNSYS software database for the city of Porto Alegre (latitude 30° 1′ South and longitude 51° 13′ 43″ West). These data are generated by the Meteonorm software (Klein et al., 2014).

To model the hybrid system shown in Figure 2, the following Types were used: weather data (Type15), flat plate solar collector with simple glass cover (Type561), energy integrator (Type24), output data export (Type25), electrical resistor arrangement (Type930), and schedule (Type14h).
The temperature used in the drying process of food products varies according to the type of product and, in some cases, for the same product different drying temperatures are used, as studied in Cruz et al. (2012). The value for the target temperature, $T_{set}$, of 70 °C was selected based on the following works available in specialized literature: Queiroz et al. (2011), Corrêa et al. (2015), Basso (2017), and Nunes et al. (2017). In such cases, the temperature of 70 °C was among the temperatures used for different types of food products.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Three days were selected for the thermal and energy evaluation of the hybrid system. These days represent the following situations: day when there was the largest demand of extra energy (Aug/03), day when there was the smallest demand of extra energy (15/Dec), and day when there was the largest constant demand of extra energy (02/Oct), in the period between 8 h and 18 h in real solar time.

The daily profile of the ambient temperature ($T_{amb}$) for the three days is shown in Figure 3A. The daily temperature profiles of the absorber plate ($T_p$), which is part of the collector, and the air temperature at the outlet of the collector ($T_{air}$) are shown in Figures 3B (Aug/03), 3C (Dec/15), and 3D (Oct/02), respectively.
The cloudy day is the one that presents the smallest difference between the maximum and minimum $T_{\text{amb}}$, 1.6 °C (Oct/02), in contrast with the days of greater irradiation, in clear sky conditions, 10.2 °C (Aug/03) and 12.1 °C (Dec/15), as shown in Figure 3A. Regarding the maximum difference between $T_p$ and $T_{\text{air}}$, we have 8.6 °C in Aug/03, 8.4 °C in Dec/15, and 0.6 °C in Oct/02.

The difference is 2.8 °C when assessing the maximum difference between $T_p$ and $T_{\text{amb}}$ for the day of low irradiation. That is, the value of $T_p$ is very close to the value of $T_{\text{amb}}$ throughout the day, so that the rate of heat transfer (losses) between the collector and the environment is practically negligible, which is desirable.

However, the small maximum difference between $T_p$ and $T_{\text{air}}$ results in a negligible heat transfer rate for air heating. Therefore, in situations like these, much if not all, of the energy needed to heat the air must be supplied by the auxiliary heating system.

For clear sky days, the heat transfer rate between the plate and the air is higher, even though there is a greater loss of energy from the collector to the environment, as the maximum differences between $T_p$ and $T_{\text{amb}}$ are 40.3 °C in Aug/03 and 39.0 °C in Dec/15. In these situations, the solar collector is able to deliver some of the energy needed to heat the air.

The maximum values of $T_{\text{air}}$ are 41.3 °C on Aug/03, 63.5 °C on Dec/15, and 18.5 °C on Oct/02. In only one of the three days selected, the maximum $T_{\text{air}}$ arrives close to the value of $T_{\text{set}}$. This result shows that the flat plate solar collector alone is not enough to guarantee the heating of the air up to $T_{\text{set}}$, even on the day with the highest daily direct irradiation in the collector plane. That is, without the hybrid system (Sun + auxiliary energy source) the dryer is not able to provide the thermal conditions for continuous dehydration.

Concerning solar radiation, the software provides the energy incident in the collector plane every hour per area unit, instead of the flow (irradiance, $G$, in W m$^{-2}$), which is instantaneous. Thus, the concept of mean hourly irradiance, $G_m$ in W m$^{-2}$, is defined as the ratio between the direct hourly irradiance in the collector plane and the period of one hour. The behavior of $G_m$ is shown in Figure 4A.
FIGURE 4. Mean hourly irradiance in the collector plane (A) and total hourly energy ($Q_{\text{tot}}$) presented in terms of extra energy and useful energy gain for August 3 (B), December 15 (C), and October 2 (D).

In the case of August 3, the maximum value of $G_m$ (922 W m$^{-2}$) occurs at 12 h, as seen in Figure 4A. The useful energy gain, that is, the net rate at which energy is transferred to the fluid through the solar collector (Klein et al., 2014), is shown in Figure 4B. As expected, the behavior of the useful energy gain resembles the irradiance behavior, reaching the maximum value of 3183 kJ m$^{-2}$ at 12 h. That same behavior is observed on the other days.

The total hourly energy ($Q_{\text{tot}}$) is the energy required to raise $T_{\text{air}}$ to $T_{\text{set}}$ temperature within one hour. That is, it is the sum of the useful energy gain and extra energy, with the latter being supplied by the auxiliary system. In the present case, the largest extra hourly energy demand occurs at 8 a.m. (6808 kJ m$^{-2}$) while the smallest demand occurs at 12 p.m. (3000 kJ m$^{-2}$), as shown in Figure 4B.

$Q_{\text{tot}}$'s behavior throughout the evaluated period is what is expected for a clear day, decreasing throughout the day due to the thermal inertia of the system. However, due to the significant difference between $T_{\text{amb}}$ and $T_{\text{set}}$, the amount of energy needed for heating the air is greater than the amount needed for day Dec/15, as shown in Figure 4C.

On this day, the ambient temperature is higher and, consequently, the energy required for heating the air will be lower than in other cases. The largest demand for extra energy occurs at 8 a.m. (4291 kJ m$^{-2}$) and the smallest demand occurs at 1 p.m. (748 kJ m$^{-2}$), as seen in Figure 4C. The useful energy gain reaches its maximum at 1 pm (3808 kJ m$^{-2}$).

For day Oct/2, it can be observed that the useful energy gain value is practically zero. As $T_{\text{amb}}$ is practically constant and of low value, $Q_{\text{tot}}$ remains nearly constant throughout the day, around 5000 kJ m$^{-2}$.

As in the thermal analysis, the energy analysis from Figures 4B, 4C, and 4D show that the heating provided by the solar collector alone is not enough to heat the air to the $T_{\text{set}}$ temperature. We again see the need to use an auxiliary system.

As previously mentioned, the auxiliary power source is electrical (set of resistors) with variable power. In this sense, knowing the extra energy required, it is possible to determine the mean power ($P_m$) of the set of resistances for each hour, as stated in Table 1, and scale the hybrid system. The maximum and minimum values of $P_m$ are between 208 W and 1891 W.
TABLE 1. Ratio between the extra energy and the total hourly energy, and the respective mean power of the electrical resistances ($P_m$) for each hour of the simulated days.

| Schedule | Aug/03 | Oct/02 | Dec/15 |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|
|          | $Q_e/Q_{tot}$ (%) | $P_m$ (W) | $Q_e/Q_{tot}$ (%) | $P_m$ (W) | $Q_e/Q_{tot}$ (%) | $P_m$ (W) |
| 8        | 100    | 1891   | 100    | 1555   | 90    | 1192   |
| 9        | 86     | 1596   | 99     | 1501   | 76    | 969    |
| 10       | 79     | 1434   | 98     | 1492   | 54    | 663    |
| 11       | 61     | 1075   | 97     | 1456   | 38    | 442    |
| 12       | 49     | 833    | 96     | 1446   | 25    | 283    |
| 13       | 51     | 857    | 98     | 1468   | 20    | 208    |
| 14       | 53     | 873    | 100    | 1511   | 21    | 216    |
| 15       | 55     | 901    | 100    | 1527   | 32    | 328    |
| 16       | 70     | 1139   | 100    | 1509   | 51    | 510    |
| 17       | 81     | 1328   | 100    | 1544   | 80    | 805    |
| 18       | 100    | 1676   | 100    | 1546   | 93    | 944    |

Since the selected days represent situations where there was the highest demand for extra power, lowest demand for extra power, and highest constant demand for extra power, a set consisting of two 200 W resistors, a 500 W resistor and a 1000 W resistor, totaling 1900 W, is enough to supply the power needed to heat the air to $T_{set}$ for any day of the year.

Figure 5 presents the behavior throughout the year of the total energy in terms of useful energy gain and extra energy. In all months of the year, the use of the auxiliary heating system is required. The lowest demands for extra energy are in December and January, since the extra energy is equivalent to 55.3% of the total monthly energy. In June, we have the highest demand for extra energy, since the extra energy is equivalent to 88.5% of the total monthly energy.

CONCLUSIONS

This study performed the thermal and energy evaluation of a hybrid air heating system through simulation. With this system, the aim was to guarantee the entry of air, at a constant temperature, into the dehydration chamber. This condition is of paramount importance, as it allows the continuous drying of food, avoiding incomplete dehydration and/or products outside the conditions proper for consumption.

For the type of simulated solar dryer, the use of an auxiliary air heating system proved to be mandatory. In no month of the year, the use of the solar collector alone is sufficient to heat the air to 70 °C. In the best of the cases evaluated, the maximum air temperature at the collector
outlet was equivalent to 90% of the target temperature. The energy evaluation confirmed the necessity of using this type of system and allowed the scaling of the electrical resistors. The period in which there is the greatest demand for extra energy is between April and October. The highest demand occurs in June and the lowest demand occurs in December and January. From the energy analysis, a set-up consisting of four resistors, two of 200 W, one of 500 W, and one of 1000 W of power, totaling 1,900 W, was designed. In the simulation, this arrangement was able to guarantee the entry of air at a constant temperature, equal to 70º C, in the dehydration chamber. In this manner, the dehydration of food can be performed continuously in periods of low insolation, such as cloudy or rainy days, with the use of the resistor arrangement. Nevertheless, the operating time of the dryer and the drying time can be increased, since the auxiliary heating system allows its operation in the first hours of the day and in the period before sunset, in which both have low insolation.
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