Coral metabolite gradients affect microbial community structures and act as a disease cue
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Corals are threatened worldwide due to prevalence of disease and bleaching. Recent studies suggest the ability of corals to resist disease is dependent on maintaining healthy microbiomes that span coral tissues and surfaces, the holobiont. Although our understanding of the role endosymbiotic microbes play in coral health has advanced, the role surface-associated microbes and their chemical signatures play in coral health is limited. Using minimally invasive water sampling, we show that the corals Acropora and Platygyra harbor unique bacteria and metabolites at their surface, distinctly different from surrounding seawater. The surface metabolites released by the holobiont create concentration gradients at 0–5 cm away from the coral surface. These molecules are identified as chemo-attractants, antibacterials, and infochemicals, suggesting they may structure coral surface-associated microbes. Further, we detect surface-associated metabolites characteristic of healthy or white syndrome disease infected corals, a finding which may aid in describing effects of diseases.
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Unlike many animals in the oceans, corals lack the ability to change their habitat when faced with unfavorable conditions and must adapt to changes in their environment (e.g., temperature, salinity, and light) in order to survive. In ecosystems like the Great Barrier Reef, and other reefs worldwide, failure to acclimate to such extreme conditions leads to the demise of whole coral communities.

Corals and their complex symbiotic communities are often referred to collectively as the holobiont, with a mucus layer as the first line of defense against perturbations from the immediate environment. Coral mucus generally consists of a gel-like matrix of glycoproteins (e.g., mucins), polysaccharides, and lipids, which is constantly regenerated by the animal host. This layer serves numerous functions including sediment cleansing, protection against UV damage and desiccation, growing substrate for bacteria and protection from pathogens and other stressors. The mucus layer harbors a diverse microbial community that includes bacteria, viruses, archaea, and some fungi while simultaneously allowing the influx of the symbiotic dinoflagellates, *Symbiodinium*, which are constantly expelled during bleaching events at high temperatures.

*Flavobacteriaceae*, *Idiomarinaceae*, and *Pocillopora damicornis* harbor specific groups of bacteria and classes of molecules that have been observed in association with corals (Fig. 2a). *Flavobacteriaceae* and *Idiomarinaceae* may possess microbial communities different from 50-cm seawater.

During stress the chemical composition of the coral mucus changes concomitant with a shift in the composition of the mucus microbiome. Changes to this microbial community are believed to be due to the invasion of pathogenic microbes and immune deficiency of the coral holobiont. Under normal conditions, the dinoflagellate symbiont produces essential sugars that are used in coral mucus production and regeneration; however, this symbiont is expelled during bleaching events at high temperatures. These observations suggest that environmental stress often leads to the disruption of this mucus layer and/or its resident microbiome, which could ultimately be detrimental to the coral host.

A major cause leading to the decline of coral populations is the increase of a wide variety of coral diseases, with diverse morphologies and etiologies, such as white syndrome and black band or yellow band diseases. Although the mechanisms of coral infections are still unknown, several microbes have been shown to be implicated in coral diseases. For example, cyanobacteria that dominate the microbiome of corals infected with black band disease produce quorum sensing inhibitors that may disrupt communication between members of the indigenous microbiome, leading to a potential disruption of a stable coral microbiome and weakening of the holobiont system. On the other hand, white band disease, a type of white syndrome diseases, though widely investigated to have rapid disease progression and high infection rates in corals under stress, has an unclear cause of infection.

The profile of intracellular metabolites in corals varies depending on environmental factors, such as increases in oceanic temperature and pH as reported in *Pocillopora damicornis*. Corals also show specific shifts in abundance of ubiquitous metabolites and produce molecules that allow self/non-self-recognition, e.g., specific lipids that lead to a defensive immune response. Coral chemical ecology has been examined with respect to whether the microbial communities within coral tissues or in the mucus layer. The best-studied example is dimethylsulmoniopropionate (DMSP), which is widely recognized as one of the early indicators of heat stress and has been shown to function as a chemo-attractant for coral-associated microbes and coral pathogens. Corals have also been shown to release amino acid derivatives that may attract bacteria in seawater and structure their microbial community. In fact, interactions between the coral and its microbiome and between members of the microbiome, likely mediated by chemical signaling, may be major drivers of adaptation to environmental changes. However, the distribution and abundance of nutrients and inorganic near coral surfaces and their potential importance in structuring the coral microbiome are unknown.

Due to their sedentary nature, we hypothesize that corals and their associated microbiome exude molecules that are first retained in the mucus layer and then slowly diffuse away into the water column. These molecules may help the coral holobiont to attract beneficial microbes or repel harmful ones. Biotic and abiotic stresses to the coral holobiont lead to a change in the coral microbiome that may influence the molecular composition retained in the mucus layer.

Here, we use minimally invasive sampling, meta-barcoding of microbial populations and metabolomics to show that two coral species harbor specific groups of bacteria and classes of molecules on their surfaces that are distinctly different from surrounding seawater. Many of these molecules may play a role in structuring the microbial community around corals. Finally, the minimally invasive sampling reported here can be used to discover candidate biomarkers to help characterize coral disease across species.

**Results**

**Microbial gradients surrounding corals.** Microbial communities associated with corals have been shown to differ from microbes in the surrounding seawater. To confirm this observation in the Arabian Gulf, water samples were collected at various distances from stony coral colonies belonging to *Acropora* (8 colonies) and *Platygryra* (10 colonies) species in the Arabian Gulf over the period of six months (Supplementary Table 1). Colonies included equal numbers of white-syndrome infected and healthy colonies that were monitored over 2 years. Instead of removing parts of coral colonies and sampling mucus/water by air exposure, we collected samples on site using a minimally invasive device from the coral surface as described in the Methods. In brief, coral surface samples (0 cm) were collected with a soft silicon nozzle attached to a syringe, coral vicinity samples (5 cm) were collected with a 5-cm capped cylinder connected to a syringe with inlets at 5 cm above the coral surface and seawater samples (50 cm) were collected with a regular syringe placed ~50 cm away from the coral colony (Fig. 1). We reasoned that 0 cm and 5 cm samples may possess microbial communities different from 50-cm samples.

Using meta-barcoding of the bacterial 16S rDNA gene and sequencing using the Illumina MiSeq platform, we characterized the microbial composition of samples collected in March 2017 at distances of 0, 5, and 50 cm (Supplementary Table 2). For all samples, the recovered reads were dominated by *Pelagibacterales* (~97–99%), one of the most abundant prokaryotes in the marine environment and a common group of bacteria in coral mucus and surrounding seawater. We reasoned that the dominance of *Pelagibacterales* would interfere with any interesting patterns of other bacterial abundance at the sampled distances; thus, we examined the composition of the 10 most abundant bacterial operational taxonomic units (OTUs) after removing *Pelagibacterales* reads. The remaining bacterial OTUs were dominated by *Endozoicoccales*, a suspected bacterial symbiont of corals, *Flavobacteriaceae*, *Idiomarinaceae*, and *Rhodobacterales*, all of which have been observed in association with corals. Across all *Acropora* samples, Pearson’s rank correlation (r) shows a stronger correlation between bacterial diversity and abundance of 0 and 5 cm compared to 5 and 50 cm samples (Fig. 2a). This pattern suggests specific bacterial OTUs concentrate at (0 cm) and near (5 cm) the branching coral surface and eventually intermix with bacterial populations from surrounding seawater. However, in *Platygryra* samples the stronger correlation between 0 and 5 cm vs 5 and 50 cm samples is lost perhaps due to stronger
Molecular gradients surrounding corals. Samples were collected as described above during August and October 2016 and were filter sterilized and extracted using solid-phase extraction immediately after collection. Mass spectrometry data were acquired using two instruments: an electrospray ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer coupled to a liquid chromatography system (LC-QToF-MS), which exhibits high sensitivity towards metabolites including carbohydrates (e.g., arabinonic acid) and amino acids (e.g., valine, lysine, leucine, tyrosine) (Supplementary Table 3). These nutrients, infochemicals, and toxins may potentially play specific roles in structuring the holobiont community or influencing coral health. In the Acropora and Platygyra samples, 306 and 286 molecules, respectively, showed statistically significant differences in relative abundances between 0, 5, and 50 cm across all biological replicates (ANOVA p ≤ 0.0086). Among these molecules, 84 and 65 molecules showed higher abundances at 0 cm relative to 5 and 50 cm in the Acropora and Platygyra samples, respectively (0 ≥ 5 > 50, with ANOVA p ≤ 0.0086 and Welch’s t-test p ≤ 0.0098, Bonferroni tested). Classification of these molecules according to their putative annotations shows a marked difference between the abundance of specific classes of molecules between both species of corals. Phospholipids, prenolipids, and steroids dominated the Platygyra 0 cm samples while prenolipids, phospholipids, glycerolipids fatty-acyl molecules dominated the Acropora 0 cm samples (Fig. 4c). Thirty-seven of these molecules were shared in both species (Fig. 4c) and had either higher relative abundances at 0 cm followed by a gradual decrease to 5 cm and then 50 cm or showed higher abundance at both 0 and 5 cm followed by a sharp decrease in abundance at 50 cm, resembling a diffusion profile (Fig. 4d). Therefore, we hypothesized that these 37 molecules are produced by the coral holobiont and gradually diffuse from the surrounding mucus layer. Using high-resolution mass-to-charge ratios (m/z), exact mass isotope patterns obtained from the FT-ICR-MS together with tandem mass spectrometry (MS²)
and additional support by in silico fragment prediction, 30 of the 37 molecular features released by the coral holobiont were putatively annotated (Supplementary Table 4). Most of these molecules belong to the phospholipid, steroids, steroid-gluco-side, prenolipids, and terpenoid chemical classes (Fig. 4c), which are abundant in corals45–47. Interestingly, triterpenes and other terpenes from several sponges and macroalgae have been shown to disrupt coral symbiosis with dinoflagellates by increasing respiration rates of adjacent corals and interfering with the photosynthetic capabilities of dinoflagellates48,49. Additionally, several byproducts of the coral gametogenesis hormone estradiol-17β, 2-hydroxyestradiol, and methoxyestriodiol, were detected (Supplementary Table 4) in increased amounts close to the coral surface.

**Coral disease indicators.** White band disease is a common coral disease observed at high temperatures throughout the Pacific Ocean and the Arabian Gulf and is manifested with tissue loss at the lesion site that exposes the coral skeleton21 (Supplementary Figure 3). White band disease causes rapid tissue loss during the hot summer months in the Arabian Gulf in both *Acropora* and *Platygyra*³, spreading a few millimeters daily²³. Although infected corals in this study exhibited tissue loss characteristic of this disease, we have not confirmed this and thus refer to these colonies as suffering from a white syndrome disease. Only 0 cm samples were compared across healthy and diseased colonies with the assumption that metabolites that characterize diseased and healthy colonies would be concentrated near the surface. Partial least squares discriminant analysis showed a distinct separation of healthy and diseased samples (Fig. 5a), suggesting that there is indeed a chemical signature unique to surfaces of diseased and healthy corals. The number of features with significant (ANOVA, \(p \leq 0.038\)) differential presence between healthy and diseased colonies of *Acropora* and *Platygyra* were 238 and 168, respectively (Fig. 5b). Of 18 molecules that were common to both species (Fig. 5b), six molecules exhibited statistically significant differential abundances between healthy and diseased samples (Fig. 5c). These molecules were either more abundant in all diseased coral colonies examined or more abundant in all healthy colonies from both species. Molecules that were more abundant on healthy coral surfaces were 17-β-estradiol-glucuronide, xanthine- and pyrimidine-derivatives, and phosphatidyl-inositol suggesting that they may serve an important role in either disease resistance or regulating bacterial colonization of coral surfaces (Fig. 5c, Table 1). Dihomomethionine and a norspermine derivative were more abundant in diseased corals, possibly playing a defense mechanism role (Fig. 5c).
**Fig. 3** Analysis of molecular features shared among all coral samples and their elemental composition. 

**a** A schematic Venn diagram of detected molecular features using the LC-QToF-MS at 0, 5, and 50 cm from Acropora sp. (green) or Platygrya sp. (purple). **b** Bar chart showing the elemental diversity in chemical formulae at the three-distance sample sets of Acropora sp. as predicted by their FT-ICR-MS exact masses and isotopic fine-structure patterns. The bar graphs show the total number of compounds and their predicted elemental composition. **c, d** Van Krevelen diagrams showing H/C vs O/C ratios of specific compounds unique to 0 and 5 cm only (c) or common to 0, 5, and 50 cm (d). Size of dots corresponds to compound abundance extracted from measured total ion current. Colors correspond to the elemental composition in panel (b).
Discussion
Our results show that two coral species that possess starkly different phylogenies and skeletal structures are surprisingly surrounded by a sphere of characteristic bacteria and shared metabolites. Our results show that the microbial diversity in water samples surrounding the coral colonies varies at different distances (Fig. 2). Predictably, the most dominant microbes in all samples were *Pelagibacterales* (SAR 11), the most abundant...
bacterium in the marine environment\textsuperscript{30}. A core 0/5-cm micro-
biome was not apparent for either Acropora or Platygyra species (Fig. 2).
Interestingly, microbial populations seemed to be retained more strongly on
Acropora colonies than on Platygyra colonies (Fig. 2b), which could be due to different fluid dynamics caused by the branching and brain type coral structures.

The chemical composition of the coral surface (0–5 cm) is characterized by an increasing chemical diversity and abundance of heteroatom (N, O, S)-containing organic molecules, which suggests that these dissolved organic sulfur and nitrogen molecules are biologically-derived from the coral holobiont (Fig. 3). The presence of fewer heteroatom-containing molecules in seawater relative to 0 and 5 cm may be due to the consumption of these molecules by microbes in seawater and/or diffusion of these molecules away from the coral holobiont, which leads to dilution below our detection limit. In contrast, 50-cm samples displayed more CHO species that likely represent dissolved organic carbon, like complex carboxylic-rich alicyclic molecules (Fig. 3, Supplementary Figure 1).

Although the uniformity and size of this sphere of molecules surrounding the coral holobiont are uncertain and likely vary depending on shear in seawater, our analysis consistently detect diffusion-like patterns from the surface of corals outwards up to 5 cm away, where concentrations of molecules are still significantly above background levels (50 cm) (Fig. 4). Movement of epidermal cilia covering coral surfaces has been shown to enhance transfer of gases and molecules in the microenvironment of corals\textsuperscript{13,25}, which may partially account for the observed bacterial and molecular patterns observed near coral surfaces. However, cilia movement is estimated to increase mass transfer ~1–2 cm away from coral surfaces which does not fully account for the observation of molecular diffusion up to 5 cm away from coral surfaces. Interestingly, the outermost layer of coral tissue consists of a thin mucus layer that hosts a unique microbial community\textsuperscript{14,44}, an observation that is corroborated by our bacterial community profiles at 0 and 5 cm (Fig. 2). This layer likely retains chemical compounds released from either host or microbial community and allows for slow but constant diffusion into the environment. This diffusion can then produce a concentration gradient close to the holobiont surface, which is measured in this work.

The existence of molecular gradients around coral holobionts is analogous to diffusive boundary layers around plants roots (i.e., the rhizosphere)\textsuperscript{52} and phytoplankton cells (i.e., the phycosphere)\textsuperscript{53}. Like these regions, the presence and composition of a holosphere around corals may be essential for the coral host and potentially indicative of its health state. For example, metabolite excretion by the coral and retention within this region may help recruit symbionts and beneficial microbes to the coral host (e.g., amino acid derivatives\textsuperscript{30}). Likewise, signaling molecules and antimicrobial compounds must be retained in an equilibrium at sufficient concentrations to allow beneficial microbes to properly colonize the coral surface or to fend off harmful microbes. The patterns of bacterial (Fig. 2) and molecular abundances (Fig. 4) and identity of the molecules detected in this region support this depiction (e.g., central metabolites, hormones, antimicrobial molecules, and quorum sensing molecules) (Table 1, Supplementary Tables 3, 4). Many of these molecules produced by the coral holobiont are hypothesized to play an important role in structuring the coral surface and mucus microbial composition.

The molecular diffusion profiles observed between the 30 shared molecules found in Acropora and Platygyra samples exhibit different behavior as a function of distance from the coral surface. For example, Acropora diffusion profiles show an average drop of 7% (±5.3%) in molecular abundance from the surface to 5 cm whereas the same metabolites drop by an average of 47% (±4.2%) for Platygyra (Fig. 4d). This large difference may be attributed to differentially altered fluid dynamics due to structural and morphological differences between both corals. Acropora forms branched structures with large surface areas that may lead to better retention of molecules and bacteria, whereas Platygyra forms uniform, brain-like structures that are prone to seawater turbulence and thus may be relatively inefficient at retaining molecules or bacteria (Supplementary Figure 2). These structural attributes of different coral species may be indicative of divergence in species-specific adaptation. Further work is needed to examine how these morphological differences influence the fluid dynamics surrounding corals and how this, in turn, affects
Table 1 Putative annotations for candidate white syndrome disease indicators

| Putative compounds | Chemical formula | Mono-isotopic mass | PubChem | LC/MS (+) | FT-ICR (--) |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| 17-β-Estradiol-3-glucuronide | C_{20}H_{32}O_{8} | 448.2097 | HMDB0010317 | [M+2 + NH₄]^+ 9 | [M-H] -14 0.1 |
| Xanthine derivative | C_{10}H_{10}N_{4}O_{2} | 312.1586 | | [M + H]^+ 11 | [M-H] -12 2.6 |
| Pyridine derivative | C_{20}H_{13}N_{4}O_{2} | 431.2754 | | [M + H]^+ 6.7 | [M-H] -13 2.5 |
| Phosphatidyl-inositol | C_{47}H_{80}O_{15}P_{2} | 970.5547 | | [M + H]^+ 10 | NA |
| Dihomomethionine | C_{15}H_{33}N_{4}O | 659.2072 | | [M + H]^+ 4 | [M-H] -4 0.4 |
| norspermine | C_{14}H_{24}N_{6}O_{3} | 302.2794 | | [M + H]^+ 4 | [M-H] -4 0.2 |

Microbial and chemical exchanges between the coral holobiont and surrounding waters.

Coral diseases are widespread owing to anthropogenic interference and rising temperatures. Understanding the mechanisms of these diseases is essential to support coral survival through coastal management efforts. The detection of candidate disease indicators using minimally invasive methods represents a valuable tool to detect pathogens and molecules responsible for this disease, as well as others and maybe used to aid etiology studies. It is hypothesized that specific molecules in 0 cm samples can serve as candidate biomarkers or disease indicators by comparing samples of Acropora and Platygyra colonies that were either healthy or exhibited a white syndrome disease. In this study, six potential indicator metabolites for Acropora and Platygyra suffering from a white syndrome disease have been detected (Table 1). Although the role these molecules play in disease, if any, is not clear, their consistent presence suggests an essential role in either infection or response to the disease by the holobiont. Diseased colonies showed increased levels of dihomomethionine and a norspermine derivative. Dihomomethionine is a methionine derivative and precursor for several metabolites in the glucosinolate biosynthesis pathway, which comprises stress-signaling metabolites in green plants involved in heat shock protein production and host-pathogen recognition. The elevated levels of dihomomethionine found in diseased corals may indicate a stress signal in response to an active infection (Fig. 5c). norspermine, a polyamine, is also a methionine derivative that shows elevated levels in diseased colonies. norspermine and other polyamines play important roles in abiotic stress responses and have been shown to disrupt biofilm formation in Gram-positive bacteria, a mechanism that may explain its relatively high abundance in diseased corals (Fig. 5c). The significantly low abundance of 17-β-estradiol-glucuronide, xanthine- and pyrimidine-derivatives, and phosphatidyl-inositol around diseased colonies indicates a change in the metabolism of the coral that is struggling to cope with either infection or other environmental stresses. In addition, the higher abundance of 17-β-estradiol-glucuronide, a byproduct of the coral sex hormone 17-β-estradiol, in healthy corals indicates that sex hormones are not only important in coral propagation during spawning events but that downregulation of constitutive hormone pathways may be indicative of coral stress, as reported for UV exposed coral larvae. This observation is especially important since other products of the estradiol pathway were also detected (Table 1, Supplementary Tables 3, 4).

Our findings support the presence of a small molecular environment surrounding corals, which could be part of the coral signaling and defense pathways. Since coral surfaces represent the first line of defense against disease, healthy corals may maintain beneficial or healthy microbial communities by secreting a combination of antibacterial and chemo-attracting molecules to keep these microbial communities in check. At the onset of disease, the metabolism of the coral holobiont shifts from maintaining a healthy microbial community at the surface to likely fighting the disease, which may include preventing biofilm-forming pathogens from colonizing the colony surface among other mechanisms.

Further work is needed to characterize the temporal and spatial variations in the chemical and microbial composition of the holobiont to identify the sources of molecules that play an important role in this region. The present in situ work shies the possibility of defining specific candidate disease indicators for a coral disease in two coral species using minimal invasive sampling on site; however, future work needs to include a wider range of species from diverse geographic locations to verify potential global chemical markers. Such studies will facilitate our ability to potentially predict coral disease before its occurrence and perhaps develop mechanisms to combat such diseases especially as corals face global extinction.

Materials and methods

Sampling: Samples were collected around Saadiyat Reef, Abu Dhabi, UAE, (N 24° 54′ 25′′ 12″, E 54° 23′ 12″) by SCUBA from either Acropora sp. or Platygyra sp. corals at a depth of 6–7 m. Sampling for DNA meta-barcoding was conducted in March 2017 while sampling for metabolome samples was conducted in August and October 2016. Environmental parameters (GPS coordinates, temperature, salinity, pH, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, and redox potential) were measured with a YSI Multimeter (Xylem, US) at each sampling site (Supplementary Table 1). Seawater samples were collected from three different distances: 0 cm (coral surface), 5 cm, and 50 cm (seawater control) away from the coral surface. A sketch of the sampling can be found in Fig. 1. Samples were collected with 50 mL syringes at 10–20 random spots, only from non-infected visibly viable tissue containing parts on the same coral colony, withdrawing 2.5–5 mL from each spot. Eight and ten replicate samples from each distance, 0 cm, 5 cm, and 50 cm from the coral surface, were taken from 8 and 10 different tagged and monitored Acropora and Platygyra colonies, respectively. Both species contained 50% visibly healthy and 50% white-syndrome diseased colonies. Samples were filter sterilized immediately onboard the boat using sterile 25 mm 0.2 µm nylon filters (Phenomenex, US) and kept on ice in the dark until compound recovery on the same day.

16S rDNA sequencing: For the collection of microbes, 30 mL seawater samples were combined from each distance prior to filtering on 47 mm 0.2 µm nylon filters (Whatman, UK) in SwinLok filter holders (Sigma, Germany). Filters were stored in 100% ethanol on ice until DNA extraction in the laboratory on the same day. DNA was extracted using the Qiangen All-prep Kit (Qiangen, US) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Recovered DNA was amplified with forward primer V4_515F_New (5′-GTGCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-) and reverse primer V4_806R_New (5′-GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT) by Fluidigm (Fluidigm Co., CA, USA) and sequenced using MiSeq 2 × 300 (Illumina, CA, USA) paired-end library at the Carver Biotechnology Center (University of Illinois-Urbana, Chicago, USA).

Sequences were analyzed using the Mothur platform. After contig alignment and trimming, identical sequences were merged using the ‘unique.seqs’ command to save computation time, and the command ‘count.seqs’ was used to keep a count of the number of sequences over samples represented by the remaining representative sequence. Rare sequence reads were removed (r < 2) and the remaining sequences were aligned against the SILVA database (release 128). Chimeric sequences were removed using UCHIME as implemented in MOTHUR. Chloroplasts, mitochondria, eukaryotes, and unknown reads were also removed. Sequences were classified against Greengenes using bootstrapping of 60, and the sample compositions were compared on the family level. For further analyses, a 97% similarity cut-off level was chosen to obtain OTUs. After trimming, singleton and chimera removal, and chloroplast filtering, the sequencing resulted in
2.15 × 10^6 reads from 18 samples. Samples contained a minimum of 89,934 reads and a maximum of 140,127 reads (Supplementary Table 2).

**Compound recovery.** All glassware used for sample processing were baked at 420 °C for 24 h. All samples were directly loaded onto HLB solid-phase extraction columns (60 mg, Waters, US) that were conditioned according to the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were loaded onto the columns using a multi-channel peristaltic pump (Masterflex, US) at 1 ml per min. Subsequently, columns were washed with 20 column volumes of ultra-pure water (Milli-Q H2O) and stored at −80 °C until elution. All collected samples were eluted on the same day with 2 ml of 100% methanol (LC-MS grade, BDH Scientific, Dubai, UAE) into glass tubes. The methanol was removed by evaporation using a Savant Speedvac (ThermoFisher, CA) at room temperature. The dry metabolites were re-dissolved in methanol with 0.1% formic acid.

**UHPLC-Q-ToF-MS metabolic profiling.** Metabolites were analyzed using an Agilent 1290 HPLC system coupled to an Agilent Technologies 6540 Accurate Mass Q-ToF LC/MS (Agilent, US). Metabolites were separated using a reversed-phase separation method. In reverse-phase mode, medium-polarity and non-polar metabolites (Jupiter C6 column) and polar metabolites (Zycomas IL-115, 5 μm, 2.1 mm i.d.) were resolved sequentially. The gradient started with 90% A, 5% B, and 5% C, with an initial gradient of 2 min to 65% A, 5% B, and 30% C. The second and third gradients were 2 min to 65% A, 5% B, and 30% C after 10% C for 2 min. The column was allowed to equilibrate for 5 min in the starting conditions. Detection was carried out in the positive ionization mode with the following parameters: ES settings: dry gas temperature = 350 °C, dry gas flow = 8.0 l/min, nebulizer pressure = 35 psi, VCap = 2100 V, end plate; MS-ToF setting: fragmentor = 175 V, skimmer = 65 V, and Off-peak Vpp = 750 V. (collision energy for full scan MS = 0, targeted MS/MS = 10, 30, 50 eV); acquisition setting: mass range = 60–1400 m/z, rate 1 spectra/s, 1000 ms/spectrum.

**FT-ICR-MS metabolic profiling.** FT-ICR-MS allows the measurement of high-resolution accurate mass and isotopic fine structure for direct determination of the chemical formula of a compound. FT-ICR direct infusion measurements are prone to salt contamination, therefore a second purification step with PPL-epoxide SPE (Agilent Technologies, US) was applied. High-resolution mass spectra were acquired on a Bruker solariX Ion Cyclotron Resonance Fourier Transform Mass Spectrometer (FT-ICR-MS) (Bruker Daltonics GmbH, Germany) equipped with a 12T superconducting magnet (Magnex Scientific Inc., UK) and an APOLO II ESI source (Bruker Daltonics GmbH, Germany) operated in the negative ionization mode. The negative ion mode mass spectra allow for a smaller number of adducts, as well as higher resolution compared to positive ionization in the FT-ICR-MS. Spectra were internally calibrated using NOM (natural organic matter) as well as higher resolution compared to positive ionization in the FT-ICR-MS.

**Mass spectrometry data processing and statistical analysis.** Calibration, alignment, and peak picking of individual LC-MS runs were performed using the Genedata Expressionist for MS 10.0 software (Genedata AG, Basel, Switzerland). Individual data pre-processing steps, peak and retention-time alignment was performed in Genedata Refiner. Background noise was removed by applying an intensity cut-offs determined by the software. The acquired MS data was scaled across all samples (200–800 m/s) before data analysis. Three-hundred scans were acquired for each sample. The FT-ICR mass spectra were exported to peak lists with a cut-off signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 4. Peak alignment was performed with maximum error thresholds of 0.01 ppm and filtered for masses occurring at a minimum of 50% of the samples. Chemical formula calculation was performed with an error threshold of 0.5 ppm from the exact mass for the chemical formula and isotopic fine structure. Chemical formulae were only generated if all theoretical isotope peaks (100%) were found in spectra.

**Data availability** The mass spectral datasets generated in this manuscript are available in the MassI/VE database under accession No. MSV000082930. The 16S rDNA reads generated here are available in GenBank’s short read archive under accession No. SRP154822.
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