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Direct interaction of FliX and FlbD is required for their regulatory activity in *Caulobacter crescentus*

Zhaohui Xu1,2*, Rachel J Dutton1,3 and James W Gober1

Abstract

Background: The temporal and spatial expression of late flagellar genes in *Caulobacter crescentus* is activated by the transcription factor FlbD and its partner trans-acting factor FliX. The physical interaction of these two proteins represents an alternative mechanism for regulating the activity of σ54 transcription factors. This study is to characterize the interaction of the two proteins and the consequences of the interaction on their regulatory activity.

Results: FliX and FlbD form stable complexes, which can stand the interference of 2.65 M NaCl. The stability of FliX and FlbD was affected by the co-existence of each other. Five FliX mutants (R71A, L85K, Δ117-118, T130L, and L136K) were created by site-directed mutagenesis in conserved regions of the protein. All mutants were successfully expressed in both wild-type and ΔfliX *Caulobacter* strains. All but FliXL85K could rescue the motility and cell division defects of a ΔfliX mutant strain. The ability of FliX to regulate the transcription of class II and class III/IV flagellar promoters was fully diminished due to the L85K mutation. Co-immunoprecipitation experiment revealed that FliXL85K was unable to physically interact with FlbD.

Conclusions: FliX interacts with FlbD and thereby directly regulates the activity of FlbD in response to flagellar assembly. Mutations in highly conserved regions of FliX could severely affect the recognition between FliX and FlbD and hence interrupt the normal progress of flagellar synthesis and other developmental events in *Caulobacter*.

Background

*Caulobacter crescentus* undergoes a series of programmed differentiation events within each cell cycle and generates two dissimilar progeny cells, a motile swarmer cell possessing a single polar flagellum and a sessile stalked cell. A hallmark of this asymmetric cell division event is the temporal expression and asymmetric targeting of regulatory proteins as well as proteins comprising cellular structures such as the flagellum [1-5]. Over fifty genes are required for flagellar biogenesis in *C. crescentus*, and their temporal and spatial expression is regulated by both cell cycle events and the progression of flagellum assembly. Epistasis experiments have revealed that flagellar gene expression is subject to a regulatory hierarchy that reflects the assembly sequence of major flagellum sub-structures [6-15].

The expression of the early flagellar genes (class II) encoding components of basal body switch, MS-ring, and flagellum-specific type-three secretion system (TTSS) is regulated by the timed synthesis and phosphorylation of the transcription factor CtrA [16-18]. The polar assembly of the MS-ring/switch/TSS complex is required, in turn, for the transcription of genes (class III) encoding structures such as the rod, outer membrane rings, and the hook [8,10,13,14]. Finally, the complete construction of these class III-encoded structures are required to derepress the translation of flagellin mRNA (class IV), leading to the assembly of flagellar filament structure [19-22]. Thus, during *C. crescentus* flagellar biogenesis two different regulatory checkpoints link structural assembly to flagellar gene expression.

The transcription of class III and IV flagellar genes requires σ54-containing RNA polymerase and the DNA binding protein, integration host factor (IHF) [23-28]. Transcription of these flagellar genes is under cell cycle control and, late in the cell cycle, is restricted to the...
swarmer cell compartment of the predivisional cell. This temporal and spatial transcription is regulated by FlbD, a σ^{54} transcription factor [29–34]. The conserved receiver domains of this class of proteins are usually phosphorylated by a cognate sensor histidine kinase, which in turn stimulates oligomerization and DNA-binding of these proteins at enhancer sequences. Rather than phosphorylation, FlbD activity is regulated by FliX, a conserved trans-acting factor that is present in polarly flagellated α-proteobacteria and has no demonstrated histidine kinase activity [35–38]. *Caulobacter* strains bearing mutations in *fliX* are non-motile and do not transcribe class III and IV flagellar genes. Gain-of-function mutations in FlbD can by-pass the transcriptional requirement for FliX, suggesting that FliX is a trans-acting factor rather than a structural component of the flagellum [36]. Additionally, FliX enhances FlbD-activated transcription in vitro by stimulating purified FlbD to form higher-order oligomers [35]. Interestingly, overexpression of FliX suppresses FlbD-activated transcription in vivo, and a mutant allele of *fliX*, *fliX^1*, has been isolated that can by-pass the early flagellar assembly requirement for class III and IV transcription [38]. These observations suggest that upon the complete assembly of an early class II flagellar basal body structure, FliX switches from a negative to a positive regulator of FlbD.

The physical interaction of FliX and FlbD represents a novel mechanism for regulating the activity of a σ^{54} transcription factor [35]. Here, we describe a genetic and biochemical analysis dissecting the role of FliX in regulating FlbD activities. We present evidence that FliX and FlbD are in stable complexes under physiological conditions. Furthermore, we show that highly-conserved regions of FliX are critical for its productive interaction with FlbD and for proper regulation of flagellar gene expression in response to the progression of flagellar assembly.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains and plasmids**

Bacterial strains and plasmids involved in this work are summarized in Table 1. *Caulobacter crescentus* strains were grown in peptone-yeast extract (PYE) [39] at 31°C. Antibiotics were supplemented when necessary to a final concentration of 2.5 μg/ml of chloramphenicol, 2 μg/ml of tetracycline, or 20 μg/ml of nalidixic acid. PYE motility plates contained 0.3% (w/v) agar. *E. coli* strains were grown at 37°C in Luria-Bertani broth supplemented with one or more of the following antibiotics:

| Table 1 Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| **Strains or plasmids** | **Genotypes or descriptions** | **Sources** |
| *C. crescentus* | | |
| LS107 | syn-1000, bla-6, amp^r | Stephens et al. [45] |
| JG1172 | syn-1000 bla-6 ΔfliX | Muir et al. [38] |
| SC1032 | flbD108::Tn5 | Ohta et al. [41] |
| *E. coli* | | |
| S17-1 | Rps-2, Tc::Mu, Km::Tn7 | Simon et al. [46] |
| BL21(DE3) | F ompT gal (dcm) [lon] hsdS5 (F^T mGal^T mB^T; an *E. coli* B strain) with DE3, a λ prophage carrying the T7 RNA polymerase gene | Novagen |
| **Plasmids** | | |
| pX21b | derivative of pET-21b carrying histidine-tagged Flix under the control of T7 promoter, Ap^r | Muir & Gober [36] |
| pBBR1MCS | broad host range cloning vector, multicopy, Cm^r | Kovach et al. [47] |
| pZXfliX | derivative of pBBR1MCS carrying PCR generated 894 bp BamHI-HindIII fragment containing fliX gene and its promoter | This study |
| pZX71A | derivative of pZXfliX, codon 71 cgcc→gcc | This study |
| pZX85K | derivative of pZXfliX, codon 85 ctg→aag | This study |
| pZXΔ117-8 | derivative of pZXfliX, deletion of codon 117 and 118 | This study |
| pZX130L | derivative of pZXfliX, codon 130 acc→ctg | This study |
| pZX136K | derivative of pZXfliX, codon 136 ctg→aag | This study |
| pfliX1 | derivative of pBBR1-MCS expressing fliX^1, an allele of fliX carrying a point mutation at the sixteenth codon (R16G), a frame shift at codon 141, and an extended carboxyl terminus of 67 amino acids | Muir et al. [38] |
| pfliF/lacZ/290 | fliF-lacZ transcriptional reporter vector, Tc^r | Wingrove & Gober [48] |
| pfliK/lacZ/290 | fliK-lacZ transcriptional reporter vector, Tc^r | Gober & Shapiro [25] |
chloramphenicol (30 μg/ml), tetracycline (12.5 μg/ml), or ampicillin (50 μg/ml). DNA manipulations were carried out according to standard procedures. Plasmids were introduced into C. crescentus by conjugation with E. coli S17-1.

Identification of FliX-bound proteins with mass spectrometry
About 1.64 g of CNBr-activated sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA) were swelled and washed as recommended by the manufacture and incubated overnight with 36.6 mg of histidine-tagged FliX (FliX-His) that was prepared as previously described [35]. After incubation at 4°C with end-over-end rotation, the bead complexes were alternately washed with acidic buffer (0.1 M acetate, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 4.0) and alkaline buffer (90 mM Tris-Cl, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.5) for 3 cycles. Such prepared sepharose-FliX complexes were then conditioned by PBS buffer (0.1 M sodium phosphate, 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.2) and stored at 4°C for later use. Meanwhile, 5 liters of C. crescentus LS107 culture was harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 100 ml of PBS buffer, lysed by French Press, and centrifuged at 26,690 μg for 1 h. The supernatant was mixed with the above sepharose-FliX complexes and incubated at 4°C for overnight with gentle rocking. Cell extract was then removed by centrifugation. The pellet containing the sepharose bead complexes was washed with 20 ml of PBS buffer for three times and resuspended in 5 ml of the same buffer. An aliquot of 100 μl was removed and loaded with loading buffer for sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) analysis. The gel was visualized with Coomassie staining. The apparent bands were excised, partially digested with trypsin, and were analyzed by electrospray ionization (ESI)-ion trap mass spectrometry at Stanford University http://mass-spec.stanford.edu/.

Stability assays of FliX and FlbD
Protein synthesis in cultures grown to mid-log phase was inhibited by addition of chloramphenicol to a final concentration of 3 mg/ml. One milliliter of cell culture was taken at 0, 15, 30, and 45 min after the addition of the antibiotic. Cell pellets were electrophoresed in 12% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels and were analyzed using anti-FliX or anti-FlbD antibodies.

Site-directed mutagenesis of fliX
A fragment of 894 bp covering the coding sequence of fliX and its promoter region was amplified by PCR from C. crescentus chromosome and was inserted into pBBR1MCS to give raise to pZXfliX, which was then used as the template to create fliX mutants. For every mutant to be generated, two pairs of primers were designed to amplify the two fragments covering each side of the desired mutation site. The intended mutation sequence was overhung at the 5’ end of the downstream fragment. For the convenience of manipulation, BamHI recognition sequence was engineered at the 5’ end of the upstream fragment, and HindIII at the 3’ end of the downstream fragment. The two fragments were then phosphorylated, treated with BamHI or HindIII, and inserted into pBBR1MCS to generate pZX series plasmids (Table 1). All mutants were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Protein expression analysis of FlbD and the FliX alleles
Overnight cultures of C. crescentus were transferred to fresh PYE media at a ratio of 1 to 10 (v/v) and were allowed to grow at 31°C until mid-log phase. Culture biomass was measured as optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}), normalized, and was subject to 14% (w/v) SDS-PAGE. After electrophoresis, protein profiles were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and were detected using anti-FliX or anti-FlbD antibodies purified with affinity columns (AminoLink® Plus Immobilization Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Rockford, IL, USA).

Measurement of the transcription of flagellar genes
The pZX serial plasmids bearing various fliX mutants were introduced into the wild-type strain LS107 or the ΔfliX strain JG1172 via conjugation, along with the reporter genes fliF-lacZ or fliK-lacZ. β-Galactosidase activity was measured as described previously [40].

Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP)
Cells in middle log stage were harvested, normalized, and treated with 5 mg/ml lysozyme. The clear cell extract was incubated with Agarose-Protein A beads (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN, USA) to eliminate non-specific associated proteins. The pre-cleared cell lysate was then incubated overnight with Agarose-Protein A anti-FlbD complexes prepared as instructed by the manufacturer. After extensive washing, the bead complexes were spun down, resuspended in SDS-PAGE sample buffer and were subjected to electrophoresis followed by immunoblotting with anti-FliX antibodies.

Results
FlbD forms stable in vivo complex with FliX
Previous experiments have shown that FliX and FlbD interact in a two-hybrid assay [37], FliX can be precipitated from cell extracts of Caulobacter by anti-FlbD antibodies, and that FliX regulates FlbD-activated transcription in vitro [35]. In order to gain further understanding of the physical recognition between the two and to find out whether there are other proteins associated with FliX-FlbD complex, we performed an
affinity pull-down experiment in which cell extracts of *Caulobacter* were treated with sepharose beads coated with histidine-tagged wild-type FliX. Cellular proteins that physically associated to FliX were then retrieved from the bead complexes and resolved by electrophoresis (Figure 1). Five major bands with corresponding molecular weights of approximately 70, 60, 48, 44, and 19 kilodaltons were observed. Mass spectrometry analysis revealed that these proteins were heat shock proteins DnaK and CH60 (GroEL), FlbD, elongation factor EF-Tu, and FliX-His, respectively. Proteins DnaK, CH60, and EF-Tu are among the most abundant cellular proteins found in bacteria, including those possessing no flagellum. It is unlikely that these proteins would interact with FliX in a specific manner. Furthermore, when washing the sepharose bead complexes with phosphate buffer containing NaCl ranging from 0.3 to 2.65 M, these three proteins were readily released to the washing buffer throughout the salt gradient, whereas no FlbD or FliX protein could be washed off even with the highest salt strength used. The co-occurrence of FliX and FlbD in the sepharose bead complexes demonstrates that FlbD indeed directly interacts with FliX inside of *Caulobacter* cells, and that the affinity between the two proteins is remarkably high. We did not observe any other major specific component of the FlbD-FliX complex, although we cannot rule out the possibility that there might be transiently associated proteins, which are not detectable by the method described here.

**Interaction between FlbD and FliX is required for stabilizing each other in vivo**

The finding that FlbD and FliX form high affinity in vivo complexes motivated us to examine whether the two proteins depend on each other for existence. We assayed the half-life of each protein in a wild-type *Caulobacter* strain (LS107), a strain bearing a deletion in *fliX* (JG1172), and a strain having a Tn5 insertion in *flbD* (SC1032). Chloramphenicol was added to cell cultures at mid-log phase to inhibit protein synthesis, and the protein contents of FlbD and FliX were analyzed periodically. In strain LS107, both FlbD and FliX were stable; neither exhibited significant reduction in concentration following 45 min of exposure to chloramphenicol (Figure 2). In contrast, after 45 min, less than 40% of FlbD remained in strain JG1172. Likewise, a similar decrease in FliX level was evident in SC1032 cells. These results indicate that FlbD has a reduction in stability in the absence of FliX, and vice versa.

**Site-directed mutagenesis of FliX**

To learn more about the interaction between FliX and FlbD, we performed site-directed mutagenesis with *fliX* and investigated the effects of mutations on FlbD activity. Both FlbD and FliX homologs are present in dozens of α-proteobacteria species that possess polar flagella. Among the FliX homologs, the central (residues 53-95) and carboxyl-terminal (residues 116-142) regions are
highly conserved (Figure 3). To determine the roles of these regions in FliX functionality, five conserved sites were selected as the target sites for mutation: R71, L85, D117-D118, T130, and L136 (Figure 3). In the region from amino acids 69 to 73, there are five consecutive charged residues. This pattern is less common in protein sequences and may be important for FliX activity; so we chose to replace the central residue R71 with alanine to disrupt this pattern. We also deleted residues D117 and D118 in order to abolish these potential phosphorylation sites. In addition, we noticed that the 130th residue of FliX is a threonine, which is different from the majority of its homologs where a leucine is found. We then replaced T130 with an L and hoped to create a “super” FliX, because a conserved residue in a given position is often the most suitable one. Finally, we replaced L with K at sites 85 and 136 with the intention to disrupt any potential secondary structures of the conserved regions. Plasmid bearing either the wild-type or a mutant fliX allele, along with the fliX promoter region, was introduced into LS107 (wild-type strain) and JG1172 (ΔfliX strain) for further analyses.

Role of conserved FliX residues in protein expression

We first examined the expression of the FliX alleles and FlbD. Cell extracts were subject to SDS-PAGE analysis followed by immunoblotting with anti-FliX and anti-FlbD antibodies (Figure 4). Strain SC1032 (flbD::Tn5) [41] and a constitutively active fliX allele (fliX1), which carries an extended carboxyl terminus [38], were also included as controls. As was previously reported [36], the flbD::Tn5 cells possessed markedly reduced levels of FliX (lane 1); similarly, Δcells contained little FlbD (lane 10). These observations are also in support of the findings that FlbD and FliX interact with each other in vivo (Figure 1) and that the absence of either protein reduces the stability of the other (Figure 2). In both LS107 and JG1172 cells, FliXΔ71A, FliXT130L, and FliXL136K were present at levels comparable to wild-type FliX carried on a multi-copy plasmid (Figure 4, lanes 3 and 11). However, the concentrations of FliXL85K and FliXΔ117-118 in JG1172 cells were significantly reduced (greater than ten-fold) compared to other FliX mutants; the FlbD levels in these cells were also diminished (lane 13 and 14). Nevertheless, all mutants were successfully expressed in both wild-type and ΔfliX strains. We next examined the effects of these mutations on FlbD-mediated cellular processes.

Role of conserved FliX residues in flagellar synthesis

Cells expressing each fliX allele were tested for motility using soft agar plates, on which motile cells swim away from the point of inoculation, forming a visible halo. In LS107 cells, the over-expression of either wild-type or mutant alleles of fliX from a multi-copy plasmid resulted in reduced swarm sizes, indicating that motility was slightly impaired by the over-expression (Figure 5). In JG1172 cells, all fliX alleles but fliXL85K were able to restore motility to the ΔfliX host (Figure 5); mutant

![Figure 3 Site-directed mutagenesis of C. crescentus FliX](image)

Homologs of C. crescentus FliX are aligned with CLUSTAL W 1.81 and are shaded with BOXSHADE 3.3.1. Black, identical residues; grey, similar residues; asterisks, sites of mutation. C._cau: C. crescentus, R. rub: Rhodospirillum rubrum, B. jap: Bradyrhizobium japonicum, M. mag: Magnetospirillum magnetotacticum, and R._pal: Rhodopseudomonas palustris.
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fliXΔ117-118 resulted in the smallest swarm size. Since fliXL85K and fliXΔ117-118 were found at similar levels in JG1172 cells, it was intriguing to notice that the two mutants rendered distinctive physiological properties to their host cells.

Previous experiments indicate that FliX functions as a positive regulator of FlbD activity [38]. In order to find out whether fliXL85K and fliXΔ117-118 can effectively regulate FlbD-mediated transcription of flagellar genes, the two mutants were introduced into LS107 and JG1172 cells that also contained either a fliF- (class II) or a fliK-lacZ (class III) transcriptional reporter fusion. When no fliX plasmid was involved, β-galactosidase activity generated from the fliF promoter was increased (Figure 6A) and from the fliK promoter (Figure 6B) was reduced in JG1172 cells compared to LS107 cells. This is in agreement with previous findings that FlbD represses the transcription of class II genes and activates the expression of class III genes [36]. In both LS107 and JG1172 backgrounds, transcriptional activity from either promoter in cells expressing fliXL85K was equivalent to that obtained in cells carrying no plasmid (Figure 6), suggesting that this fliX allele was completely impaired in activating FlbD. In both wild-type and ΔfliX cells, mutant FliXΔ117-118 regulated flagellar gene expression in a similar pattern as wild-type FliX did, albeit the overall activity of the reporter genes was lower, which could be due to the low cellular level of this mutant (Figure 4).

**Effect of conserved FliX residues on cell morphology**

In *Caulobacter*, cells bearing mutations in early class II flagellar genes, including fliX, exhibit a cell division phenotype consisting of filamentous growth at the onset of late log phase [38]. As shown in Figure 7, the culture of JG1172 was dominated by filamentous cells, whereas JG1172 cells expressing the wild-type fliX gene had normal cell morphology. All fliX mutants, except fliXL85K (Figure 7), were able to restore the normal pattern of cell division in JG1172 cells. Once more, fliXL85K displayed no activity in complementing a physiological defect in ΔfliX cells.

**Role of conserved FliX residues in interaction with FlbD**

Based on previous findings [35,37] and the new evidence of this study (Figure 1), it has been conclusively
established that FliX and FlbD bind each other both in vivo and in vitro. The above genetic analyses revealed that although the cellular contents of FliXΔ117-118 and FliX L85K were similar in a ΔfliX background, they exerted distinctive effects on FlbD activity. Their ability to interact with FlbD must have played a role. To test this idea, we performed an immunoprecipitation experiment in which cell extracts of Caulobacter were treated with agarose beads coated with anti-FlbD antibodies, and elutes from the beads were probed with anti-FliX antibodies. As presented in Figure 8, mutants FliXR71A, FliXT130L, and FliXL136K interacted as well with FlbD as wild-type FliX did, if their cellular contents (Figure 4) were taken into consideration. In spite of the fact that FliXL85K, FliXΔ117-118, and FliX 1 were maintained at similar protein levels in JG1172 cells (Figure 4, lanes 13, 14, and 17), the precipitated amounts of these proteins were dramatically different (Figure 8, lanes 6, 7, and 10). Abundant FliX 1 and a small amount of FliXΔ117-118 were obtained, whereas no detectable level of FliXL85K was observed. This indicates that FliX 1 has a strong association to FlbD, FliXΔ117-118 binds to FlbD with a low affinity, and FliXL85K no longer interacts with FlbD. Since a large amount of FliX 1 was successfully precipitated, the results of this experiment reflect a lack of interaction between FlbD and FliXL85K rather than a lack of FliXΔL85K protein in the cell extracts.

Discussion
The interaction between FliX and FlbD, two class II flagellar regulatory proteins in Caulobacter, represents a novel mechanism for regulating the activity of a σ54 transcription factor. The activities of many such factors are regulated by the phosphorylation of a conserved aspartate residue in their receiver domains [42,43]. However, the receiver domain of FlbD diverges substantially from others [37]. For example, it lacks some key residues necessary for the phosphorylation process [44]. No corresponding cognate histidine kinase for FlbD has been identified so far, and FlbD is active in the absence

Figure 6 Effects of fliX alleles on the transcription of flagellar genes. Wild-type fliX and mutant alleles were introduced to LS107 or JG1172 cells containing reporter genes fliF-lacZ (A) or fliK-lacZ (B). Results of five independent experiments.

Figure 7 Allele fliXΔL85K was unable to rescue the cell division defect of JG1172. Cells harvested from overnight cultures were mounted on poly-L-lysine coated slides and examined by differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy.

Figure 8 Co-immunoprecipitation of FlbD and the FliX mutants. Extracts of JG1172 cells expressing various fliX alleles were incubated with agarose beads coated with anti-FlbD antibodies. Proteins bound to the bead complexes were detected using anti-FliX antibodies following SDS-PAGE electrophoresis. The immunoblot was developed to an extended period of time to visualize the band of FliXΔ117-118 (indicated by the arrow).
of phosphorylation [30,34]. In addition, purified FliX can regulate FlbD-activated transcription in vitro, probably by affecting the oligomerization state of FlbD [35]. In this study, we further demonstrated that through a remarkably high affinity, the two proteins bind to each other to perform their regulatory activity and to escape the fate of premature degradation. Mutations in conserved regions of FliX could interrupt the recognition between the two and hence their activity.

The observed low concentrations of FliXΔL85K, FliXΔ117-118, and FliX 1 in JG1172 cells may be caused by their intrinsically low expression levels or their short half-life, or a combination of both. DNA or mRNA sequences of the alleles may carry intrinsic defects that inhibit the transcription or translation efficiency of the mutated genes. It is also possible that the mutations unfortunately expose target sites to intracellular proteases, making the gene products prone to degradation. Lack of protection from FlbD may also play a role in the case of FliXΔL85K. No matter what might be the main cause, the final result is that the cellular levels of the three are about the same (Figure 4). Nevertheless, their differential binding affinities to FlbD lead to dramatically different physiological outcomes. FliXΔL85K completely loses the ability to interact with FlbD and exerts no influence to FlbD-mediated cellular processes. The fair amount of cellular FliXΔL85K (Figure 4) does not benefit the ΔfliX host in any observable way (Figure 5, 6 and 7). The mutation must have altered the gross structure of FliX and thus prevented an effective binding to FlbD. FliXΔ117-118 can still interact with FlbD to a certain degree; therefore, it is largely functional in regulating FlbD activity (Figure 5 and 6). With a strong affinity to FlbD, FliX 1 becomes constitutively active; it turns on the transcription of class III/IV genes in the absence of FlbD, FliX 1 becomes constitutively active; it turns on the transcription of class III/IV genes in the absence of FlbD, and hence the regulatory activity of the two partners.

Since the three dimensional structure of FliX (or a homolog) remains to be solved, it is still unclear which residues or regions of FliX and FlbD are in direct contact. An alanine scanning analysis should be helpful to probe the structural basis of the interaction. Relevant insights could also be gathered by selection for extragenic suppressors in FlbD that are specific to loss-of-function FliX alleles like FliXΔL85K.

Conclusions

Direct association of FliX and FlbD is required for their regulation on flagellar synthesis and other developmental events in Caulobacter. FliX and FlbD form high affinity complexes under physiological conditions, which is essential for the in vivo stability of each protein. Highly conserved regions of FliX are critical for binding to FlbD. Mutations in these regions could severely impact the recognition between the two and compromise their regulatory activity.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Jill Zelisra-Ryalls at BGSU for helpful discussions. This work was supported by Public Health Service Grant GM48417 from the National Institutes of Health to JWG.

Author details

1. Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1569, USA. 2. Department of Biological Sciences and Center for Photochemical Sciences, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH 43403-0208, USA. 3. FAS Center for Systems Biology, Harvard University, 52 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.

Authors’ contributions

JWG conceived and coordinated the study and helped to draft the manuscript. RJD performed the protein stability assay. ZX carried out the rest experiments and drafted the manuscript. All authors participated in experiments designs and data analyses. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Received: 10 February 2011 Accepted: 2 May 2011 Published: 2 May 2011

References

1. Brun YV, Marcynski G, Shapiro L: The expression of asymmetry during Caulobacter cell differentiation. Annu Rev Biochem 1994, 63:419-450.
2. Gober JW, England J: Regulation of flagellum biosynthesis and motility in Caulobacter Prokaryotic Development. Edited by: Brun KV, Shinkets LJ. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology; 2000:319-339.
3. Gober JW, Marques MV: Regulation of cellular differentiation in Caulobacter crescentus. Microbiol Rev 1995, 59(1):31-47.
4. Wu J, Newton A: Regulation of the Caulobacter flagellar gene hierarchy; not just for motility. Mol Microbiol 1997, 24(2):233-239.
5. England JC, Gober JW: Cell cycle control of cell morphogenesis in Caulobacter. Curr Opin Microbial 2001, 4(6):674-680.
6. Bryan R, Purucker M, Gomes SL, Alexander W, Shapiro L: Analysis of the pleiotropic regulation of flagellar and chemotaxis gene expression in Caulobacter crescentus by using plasmid complementation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1984, 81(5):1341-1345.
7. Champer R, Dingwall A, Shapiro L: Cascade regulation of Caulobacter flagellar and chemotaxis genes. J Mol Biol 1987, 194(1):71-80.
8. Mangan EK, Bartamian M, Gober JW: A mutation that uncouples flagellum assembly from transcription alters the temporal pattern of flagellar gene expression in Caulobacter crescentus. J Bacteriol 1995, 177(11):3167-3184.
9. Minnich SA, Newton A: Promoter mapping and cell cycle regulation of flagellin gene transcription in Caulobacter crescentus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1987, 84(5):1142-1146.
10. Newton A, Ohta N, Ramakrishnan G, Mullin D, Raymond G: Genetic switching in the flagellar gene hierarchy of Caulobacter requires negative as well as positive regulation of transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1989, 86(17):6651-6655.
11. Ohta N, Chen LS, Mullin DA, Newton A: Timing of flagellar gene expression in the Caulobacter cell cycle is determined by a transcriptional cascade of positive regulatory genes. J Bacteriol 1991, 173(4):1514-1522.
12. Ohta N, Chen LS, Swanson E, Newton A: Transcriptional regulation of a periodically controlled flagellar gene operon in Caulobacter crescentus. J Mol Biol 1985, 186(1):107-115.
13. Ramakrishnan G, Zhao JX, Newton A: Multiple structural proteins are required for both transcriptional activation and negative autoregulation of Caulobacter crescentus flagellar genes. J Bacteriol 1994, 176(24):7587-7600.
14. Xu H, Dingwall A, Shapiro L: Negative transcriptional regulation in the Caulobacter flagellar hierarchy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1989, 86(17):6656-6660.

15. Curtis PD, Brun YV: Getting in the loop: regulation of development in Caulobacter crescentus. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2010, 74(1):13-41.

16. Quon KC, Marcynski GT, Shapiro L: Cell cycle control by an essential bacterial two-component signal transduction protein. Cell 1996, 84(1):83-93.

17. Reisenauer A, Quon K, Shapiro L: The CtrA response regulator mediates temporal control of gene expression during the Caulobacter cell cycle. J Bacteriol 1999, 181(8):2430-2439.

18. Xu H, Dingwall A, Shapiro L: A sigma 54 promoter and downstream sequence elements for transcription in Caulobacter crescentus. Mol Microbiol 1994, 15(4):939-943.

19. Gober JW, Xu H: The conserved flaA gene has a critical role in coupling flagellin translation and assembly in Caulobacter crescentus. Mol Microbiol 2005, 57(4):1127-1142.

20. Mullin DA, Newton A: A short course in bacterial genetics: A Laboratory Manual and Handbook for Escherichia coli and Related Bacteria. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY 1992.

21. Ohla N, Swanson E, Ely B, Newton A: Physical mapping and complementation analysis of transposon Tn5 mutations in Caulobacter crescentus: organization of transcriptional units in the hook gene cluster. J Bacteriol 1984, 158(3):897-904.

22. Xu H, Dingwall A, Shapiro L: Regulation of FlbD activity by flagellum assembly is accomplished through direct interaction with the trans-acting factor, FlxX. Mol Microbiol 2004, 54(3):715-730.

23. Gober JW, Shapiro L: A set of positively regulated flagellar gene promoters in Caulobacter crescentus with sequence homology to the nif gene promoters of Klebsiella pneumoniae. Journal of molecular biology 1987, 195(4):939-943.

24. Mangan EK, Malakooti J, Caballero A, Anderson P, Ely B, Gober JW: FluB couples flagellum assembly to gene expression in Caulobacter crescentus. J Bacteriol 1999, 181(16):6160-6170.

25. Mullin DA, Newton A: Ntr-like promoters and upstream regulatory sequence elements for are required for transcription of a developmentally regulated Caulobacter crescentus flagellar gene. Journal of bacteriology 1989, 171(6):3218-3227.

26. Xu H, Dingwall A, Shapiro L: A sigma 54 promoter and downstream sequence elements for transcription in Caulobacter crescentus. Mol Microbiol 1993, 175(7):2067-2076.

27. Gober JW, Xu H: Identification of cis and trans-elements involved in the timed control of a Caulobacter flagellar gene. Journal of molecular biology 1991, 217(2):247-257.

28. Benson AK, Ramakrishnan G, Ohta N, Feng J, Ninfa AJ, Newton A: A homologue of the NtrC (NRI) protein and activates sigma 54-dependent protein secretion system. J Bacteriol 1997, 179(17):5355-5365.

29. Benson AK, Ramakrishnan G, Ohta N, Feng J, Ninfa AJ, Newton A: The role of FlbT in regulation of flagellar gene expression in Caulobacter crescentus. Res Microbiol 1994, 145(5-6):420-430.

30. Mulrennan JS: Biological Properties and Classification of the Caulobacter Group. Bacteriol Rev 1964, 28:231-295.

31. Miller JH: A short course in bacterial genetics: A Laboratory Manual and Handbook for Escherichia coli and Related Bacteria. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY 1992.

32. Miller JH: A short course in bacterial genetics: A Laboratory Manual and Handbook for Escherichia coli and Related Bacteria. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY 1992.

33. Xu H, Dingwall A, Shapiro L: A set of positively regulated flagellar gene promoters in Caulobacter crescentus with sequence homology to the nif gene promoters of Klebsiella pneumoniae. Journal of molecular biology 1987, 195(4):939-943.