The MRN complex is transcriptionally regulated by MYCN during neural cell proliferation to control replication stress
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The MRE11/RAD50/NBS1 (MRN) complex is a major sensor of DNA double strand breaks, whose role in controlling faithful DNA replication and preventing replication stress is also emerging. Inactivation of the MRN complex invariably leads to developmental and/or degenerative neuronal defects, the pathogenesis of which still remains poorly understood. In particular, NBS1 gene mutations are associated with microcephaly and strongly impaired cerebellar development, both in humans and in the mouse model. These phenotypes strikingly overlap those induced by inactivation of MYCN, an essential promoter of the expansion of neuronal stem and progenitor cells, suggesting that MYCN and the MRN complex might be connected on a unique pathway essential for the safe expansion of neuronal cells. Here, we show that MYCN transcriptionally controls the expression of each component of the MRN complex. By genetic and pharmacological inhibition of the MRN complex in a MYCN overexpression model and in the more physiological context of the Hedgehog-dependent expansion of primary cerebellar granule progenitor cells, we also show that the MRN complex is required for MYCN-dependent proliferation. Indeed, its inhibition resulted in DNA damage, activation of a DNA damage response, and cell death in a MYCN- and replication-dependent manner. Our data indicate the MRN complex is essential to restrain MYCN-induced replication stress during neural cell proliferation and support the hypothesis that replication-born DNA damage is responsible for the neuronal defects associated with MRN dysfunctions.
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factors and key regulators of mammalian development. Both c-Myc- and Mycn-deficient mice die at early embryonic stages\textsuperscript{18} and show severe hypoplasia in several organs including the nervous system.\textsuperscript{19–21} Their role in directing neural stem and precursor cells fate, cycling and metabolism is clearly established.\textsuperscript{22,23} Underlying its pivotal role in CNS development and pre- and post-natal cerebellar maturation, Mycn conditional KO in the nervous system severely impairs brain development and results in microcephaly with extreme cerebellar hypoplasia.\textsuperscript{24} all phenotypes shared with the CNS-restricted Nbs1 knockout.\textsuperscript{5} Intriguingly, MYCN haploinsufficiency, due to heterozygous mutations or deletions, causes the Feingold syndrome, an inherited human disease characterized by microcephaly, digital anomalies, facial dysmorphisms, gastrointestinal atresias and variable learning disabilities.\textsuperscript{25–27} Thus, MYCN and NBS1 inactivation lead to overlapping phenotypes both in mice and humans.

As many other proto-oncogenes, MYC overexpression induces replication stress and a DDR by multiple mechanisms, which include direct binding on and boosting of DNA replication factories.\textsuperscript{28–31} Interestingly, c-MYC promotes the expression of DDR proteins such as CHK1 and WRN helicase, both of which are necessary for MYC-dependent cancer cell proliferation/survival,\textsuperscript{32,33} indicating that restraining replication stress is necessary and intrinsically promoted by MYC. Whether MYC-dependent replication stress might occur in more physiological conditions and independent of MYC oncogenic activity, and how is this eventually controlled is presently unknown.

The substantial overlap of the cerebellar phenotypes of MYCN and NBS1 genetic defects in humans and mice prompted us to explore the functional connections between MYCN and the MRN complex in neural cells. We report here that the MRN complex is induced by MYCN and is essential to restrain MYCN-dependent replication stress during neural cell proliferation.

Results

**MYCN transcriptionally regulates MRN complex expression.** Proliferating and retinoic acid (RA)-differeniatated human LAN-5 cells have been previously used as a neuron-like model to assess the function of DNA repair pathways.\textsuperscript{34} In these and similar settings, where MYCN repression is required for cell growth inhibition and morphological differentiation induced by RA\textsuperscript{25,36} (Supplementary Figure S1A), we reproducibly observed the downregulation of MRE11 and NBS1 (Figure 1a), while a sharp RAD50 decrease occurred only in some models. Owing to the coregulation of MYCN and MRN complex during RA-induced differentiation of neuron-like cells, we used both overexpression and knockdown approaches to address whether MYCN directly controls MRN complex expression. Transient

---

**Figure 1** The MRN complex is transcriptionally controlled by MYCN. (a) Western blot (WB) analysis of MYCN and MRN protein components in the LAN5 and SK-N-BE neuron-like cell models. (b, c) Analysis of the expression of the indicated proteins (b) and transcripts (c) in the SHEP Tet 21/N inducible-MYCN overexpression model. Transcripts expression were normalized on GAPDH levels and given as fold induction compared with MYCN uninduced controls. (d) Organization of the promoters of the MRE11, RAD50 and NBS1 human genes. Typical E-Boxes (CACGTG) are present in the human MRE11, RAD50 and NBS1 promoters at the indicated positions. Black arrows indicate exons one and two, and nucleotide positions are given from the first nucleotide of exon 1, for each gene. P1, P2 and P3 indicate GC-reach sequences of the NBS1 promoter. (e) PCR amplification of immunoprecipitated chromatin prepared from MYCN induced or uninduced SHEP Tet 21/N (MYCN\textsuperscript{+/-}) cells. Chromatin was incubated with anti-IgG (IgG), anti-acetyl histone 3 (acH3) or with anti-MYC antibodies. Prothymosin alpha (Ptm\textalpha) and \beta-actin primers were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.
(in SK-N-SH) or inducible MYCN overexpression (in the SHEP Tet21/N cell model, since now on MYCN+ and MYCN− cells) clearly increased the expression of the three components of the MRN complex at the protein and mRNA levels (Figures 1b and c and Supplementary Figure S1B). Conversely, MYCN knockdown caused MRN complex reduction in LAN-1 cells (Supplementary Figure S1C), suggesting that MYCN might directly control MRE11, RAD50 and NBS1 transcription in neuron-like cells. Unique canonical CACGTG MYC binding sequences (E-boxes) are located in the intron 1 of both MRE11 and NBS1 human genes, while two E-boxes are present in human RAD50 gene (Figure 1d). A similar organization also occurred in the homologous genes, in mice (Supplementary Figure S2A). Importantly, MYCN bound transcriptionally active H3-acetylated chromatin encompassing the E-boxes in human MRE11 and NBS1 genes, in addition to its known target pro-thymosin-α (Figure 1e). It also bound RAD50 E-box2, but not RAD50 E-box1 and actin promoter (Figure 1e). Moreover, in a luciferase reporter assay, MYCN activated a NBS1 promoter fragment containing the wild-type intron-1 E-Box (Supplementary Figure S1D), but not its mutant version, further supporting a direct transcriptional regulation of the MRN complex.

The MRN complex is required for MYCN-dependent proliferation. Subsequently, we addressed the role of the MRN complex in MYCN-dependent proliferation in neuron-like cultures. Partial NBS1 knockout with two different shRNAi impaired cell proliferation selectively in MYCN+ cells (Figures 2a and b, Supplementary Figure S3A). Moreover, inhibition of the MRN complex activity with the recently described MRE11 pharmacological inhibitor mirin impaired Tet21/N cell proliferation, with a much stronger effect on MYCN+ cells (Figure 2c). Interestingly, mirin caused no significant death, but altered cell cycle profile and impaired BrdU incorporation, in MYCN− cells (Figure 2d and Supplementary Figures S3B and C), suggesting it might impair cell proliferation by delaying cell cycle progression in this setting. Notably, however, mirin did not impair BrdU incorporation and mainly induced cell death in MYCN+ cells, as indicated by death cell count and PARP1 cleavage (Figure 2d), in addition to cell cycle modifications.
(Supplementary Figures S3B and C). Therefore, MRN complex function seems to be required for a safe progression through the cell cycle in neuron-like cell models and to prevent cell death in particular in a MYCN-dependent context.

**The MRN complex controls MYCN-dependent replication stress.** MYC protein overexpression has been consistently shown to cause DNA damage, DDR and genetic instability. This seems to be largely, although not exclusively, due to the induction of replication stress. Indeed, it increases the density of early replicating origins and triggers elevated replication fork stalling or collapse. Several transcription-dependent and -independent mechanisms have been shown to contribute to this end. We have recently shown that MYCN expression in neuron-like models also induce a time-dependent activation of ATM, H2AX and p53 phosphorylation, possibly as consequence of a replication stress-dependent DDR. Consistent with this hypothesis, MYCN induced accumulation of RPA and CHK1 phosphorylation (Figure 3a), known reporters of the replication stress response (indicated by RPA and CHK1 phosphorylation) more promptly and more efficiently in MYCN+ cells, consistent with the previously reported activation of a DDR and with the appearance of DNA damage (Figure 3c). Moreover, impairing regeneration of nucleotide pools via hydroxyurea induced a replication stress response (indicated by RPA and CHK1 phosphorylation) more promptly and more efficiently in MYCN+ compared with MYCN− cells (Figure 3d), further supporting the idea that MYCN increases replication stress in neuron-like models.

Because the MRN complex is involved in restarting stalled and collapsed replication forks, we tested whether it might be required to protect cells from MYCN-dependent replication stress and DNA damage. Indeed, MRN inhibition via mirin was clearly associated with increased signs of DDR (including ATM, H2AX and p53 phosphorylation) even at time points in which they are barely detectable in untreated MYCN+ cells (Figure 4a). Consistently, mirin treatment caused the accumulation of DNA DSB in MYCN+, but not in MYCN− cells (Figure 4b). Supporting the occurrence of replication stress-associated DNA lesions, mirin also caused accumulation of multiple S3BP1 foci in a larger number of MYCN+ compared with MYCN− cells (Figure 4c). Not unexpectedly, we failed to detect phosphorylation of CHK1 upon mirin treatment (Figure 4a and Supplementary Figure S3E), which is coherent with the reported requirement of MRE11 exonuclease function for RPA foci formation and ATR-CHK1 pathway activation. Indeed, mirin also inhibited CHK1 phosphorylation induced by hydroxyurea in MYCN+ cells (Supplementary Figure S3D). Consistent with the absence of activation of the ATR-CHK1-dependent checkpoint despite replication stress, mirin did not lead to inhibition of DNA synthesis in MYCN+ cells (Supplementary Figure S3B). Overall, these data suggest that the MRN complex prevents MYCN-dependent DNA damage by controlling MYCN-dependent replication stress. To directly prove that induction of DNA damage by mirin is related to replication stress, we synchronized MYCN+ cells in the S phase of the cell cycle, by thymidine block; upon release, we exposed the cells to mirin either immediately (S-phase cells) or after 6 h, when most of the cells were in the G2/M phase (Figures 4d and e). Exposure of S-phase-enriched MYCN+ cells to mirin resulted in both high levels of DNA DSBs and cell death, while this did not occur if cells were treated in the G2/M phase (Figure 4f) confirming that mirin-induced and MYCN-dependent DNA damage and cell death require DNA replication.

**The Myc-MRN axis prevents the deleterious effects of replication stress during Hh- and Myc-dependent GCP proliferation.** In mice, an Hh-dependent proliferative expansion of the external granule cell layer of the cerebellum characterizes the first 5–10 days post partum, supported by the expression of the Hh target Mycn. Shortly after, Hh signal declines, external granule cell layer cells migrate through the molecular and Purkinje layers towards the internal granule cell layer, and their differentiation progressively takes over, leading to completion of the cerebellar development by the end of postnatal week 3. Accordingly, Mycn expression declines and differentiation markers such as Zip1 and Gabra6 rise up (Figures 5a and b). Concerning the three partners of the MRN complex, their protein and mRNA expression remains high during the Hh-driven external granule cell layer expansion and progressively declines during the differentiation phase, to reach very low levels by post-natal day 21, when cerebellar maturation is complete (Figures 5a and b). Isolated GCPs cultured in the absence of Hh pathway stimulation spontaneously stop proliferation and...
undergo differentiation. This is underlined by a sharp decrease in Mycn expression and acquisition of morphological features and markers of differentiation, such as β3-tubulin expression (Figure 5c inset). Also, in isolated GCP primary cultures, growth arrest and differentiation were accompanied by a progressive decline in the MRN complex expression, paralleled by a reduction in the expression of Mre11, Rad50 and Nbs1 transcripts (Figures 5c and d). In these settings, Hh pathway activation via the Smoothened agonist (SAG) induces the Gli1 transcription factor which stimulates cell proliferation and prevents differentiation by transcriptionally regulating the expression of a large number of targets, among which is Mycn (Figure 5e). Hh pathway activation also increased Mre11 and Nbs1 expression and to a lesser extent also Rad50 expression (Figures 5c and d). Importantly, Mycn knockdown impaired SAG-dependent induction of the MRN complex (Figure 5g), indicating that Mycn controls MRN complex expression levels during Hh-dependent GCPs proliferation.

Interestingly, Mycn expression obtained in MYCN+-neuron-like cells was not dramatically different from that observed in freshly isolated GCPs (DIV0, Figure 6a), while both of them were far above the levels obtained in cultured SAG-treated GCPs (Figure 6a). Very importantly, mirin induced cell death selectively in SAG-treated, but not in non-proliferating, GCPs (Figure 6b), suggesting that MRN function is indispensable even in the more physiological context of Hh-induced and Mycn-dependent GCPs proliferation. Of relevance, mirin treatment yielded accumulation of multiple 53BP1 foci and typical signs of DDR (including p53 accumulation and H2AX phosphorylation) in Hh-induced and Mycn-dependent GCPs proliferation, but not in non-proliferating GCPs (Figures 6c and d) supporting the hypothesis that MRN complex induction by Mycn is required to prevent the accumulation of DNA damage due to Mycn-dependent replication stress during the physiological expansion of the cerebellar external granule cell layer.
Discussion

Prompted by the striking similarities in the CNS phenotypes associated with MYCN and NBS1 genetic defects, we investigated on the functional links between MYCN and the MRN complex. The expression of the MRN components is generally believed to be ubiquitous and largely constitutive. However, here, we provide evidence that Mycn and the MRN complex are coregulated during mouse cerebellar development and in the Hh-dependent proliferation of primary cerebellar GCPs. Moreover, MYCN transcriptionally controls the MRN complex expression in human neuron-like models, and its depletion impairs Hh-induced regulation of the MRN complex in primary GCPs. Interestingly, MYCN coordinates the expression of a complex pattern of S-phase promoting factors, including MCM proteins and other ORC components, cyclin/cdk complexes, their regulatory kinases and phosphatases (i.e., WEE1 and CDC25) and CDK inhibitors.45 In addition, it also promotes the expression of DNA repair factors involved in S-phase transition, including RAD51, CHK1 and BLM.45–47 Therefore, our data on the MRN complex regulation might be part of a much broader picture suggesting that a main orchestrator of neural progenitor cell expansion, such as
evidences of replication stress and/or DNA damage occurring in physiologically proliferating neuronal precursors are scant and their mechanisms of generation unknown. Filling these gaps, we now show that the replication mode imposed by a prominent regulator of neuronal progenitor cell expansion, like MYCN, is a source of endogenous stress requiring the MRN complex activity to prevent DNA DSBs accumulation and eventually cell death. Indeed, MYCN-dependent replication is associated with appearance of known markers of replication stress such as increased levels of chromatin-bound RPA, CHK1 and RPA phosphorylation, and with DNA damage and DDR activation. Genetic and pharmacological inactivation of the MRN complex more strongly impairs MYCN-dependent, rather than MYCN-independent, proliferation. Moreover, MRE11 pharmacological inhibition results in DNA damage, DDR and cell death not only in a MYCN overexpression model, but also in the more physiologocal context of MYCN-dependent and SAG-induced murine GCPs expansion. Importantly, DNA damage unveiled by mirin and cell death failed to occur if treatment started after completion of S-phase, confirming they both depend on DNA replication.

Our data clearly indicate that the MRN complex is induced by MYCN and is necessary to restrain the deleterious effects of the replication stress. By contextualizing our observations directly in continuous and primary neuronal cells, our data are in line with and extend the observations of Bruhn et al. reporting that the accumulation of aberrant replication intermediates is responsible for DDR activation, p53-dependent cell cycle slow down and cell death in NBS1-defective primary mouse embryo fibroblasts. Interestingly, MRE11 pharmacological inhibition by mirin prevented activation of the ATR-CHK1-dependent checkpoint, allowed cell cycle progression in the presence of unrepaired DNA damage and caused cell death, in MYCN overexpressing neuron-like cells. The apparent inconsistency in the final outcome of MRN complex inactivation in the two models might be related to the different methodological approaches that were used: that is, depletion by NBS1 KO versus MRE11 pharmacological inhibition. Indeed, it has been shown that mirin and mirin-derived selective inhibitors of MRE11 exonuclease activity prevent end resection, RPA and RAD51 coating, and impair repair via homologous recombination, leading to DNA DSBs accumulation. In contrast, the selective inhibition of MRE11 endonuclease activity (which works upstream of the exonuclease activity) allows for DNA DSB repair via alternative pathways, limiting the accumulation of DNA damage. Although the effects of these MRN inhibitors have not yet been studied at stressed/collapsed replication forks, we speculate that mirin and other MRE11 exonuclease inhibitors may allow engagement of the MRN complex on collapsed forks, while inhibiting its end-resection ability. This would impair RPA-coating, ATR activation and fork restart via homologous recombination. As a consequence, high levels of DNA DSB would accumulate, eventually inducing cell death, all of which we observed upon mirin treatment in our MYCN-dependent replication stress model. Selective inhibition of MRE11 endonuclease activity might be predicted to impair the engagements of the MRN complex on the collapsed forks, leaving room for alternative pathways to intervene. Similar to the absence of MRN components, this, however, could also

Figure 6 The MRN complex controls replication stress during HH-induced mouse cerebellar GCPs proliferation. (a) WB analysis of Mycn protein levels in freshly isolated GPC (DIV0); SAG treated (48 h) GPCs and MYCN+ cells. (b) Tunel assays in GPC cultures pre-treated with SAG and then incubated with mirin for 18 h. (c) Quantitation of the cells showing more than three 53BP1 foci in GPC cultures pre-treated with SAG and then incubated with mirin (6 h). (d) WB analysis of the expression of the indicated proteins and phosphoepitopes in cells treated as in panel c. (*p < 0.05)

MYCN, may require and directly impose a high rate of DNA repair activity.

The MRN complex function has been mainly characterized in the context of DNA DSBs repair where it has a pivotal role in sensing DNA lesions, activating ATM/ATR-dependent DDR, tethering broken ends and allowing their rejoining. Most recent evidence, however, indicates an essential role of the MRN complex also in faithful DNA replication. Indeed, it is required to stabilize and restart stalled and collapsed forks. MRE11 nucleolitic activities appear to be crucial even for the choice of which DNA DSB repair pathway should come into play. Most recent evidence, however, indicates an essential role of the MRN complex also in faithful DNA replication. Indeed, it is required to stabilize and restart stalled and collapsed forks. MRE11 nucleolitic activities appear to be crucial even for the choice of which DNA DSB repair pathway should come into play. MRE11 endonuclease activity (which works upstream of the MRN complex and N-Myc-dependent replication stress inactivation in the two models might be related to the different methodological approaches that were used: that is, depletion by NBS1 KO versus MRE11 pharmacological inhibition. Indeed, it has been shown that mirin and mirin-derived selective inhibitors of MRE11 exonuclease activity prevent and cell death failed to occur if treatment started after completion of S-phase, confirming they both depend on DNA replication.
lead to the accumulation of replication intermediates, checkpoint activation and cell cycle slowdown, as reported for NBS1 deficiency by Bruhn et al.\textsuperscript{16}

While our data clearly demonstrate that MYCN upregulates the expression of the MRN complex to restrain the replication stress it induces during neural cell proliferation, they also open to the possibility that replication stress and the need for high MRN complex activity is intrinsically associated with neural progenitor cell expansion, perhaps also in CNS territories not dependent on MYCN for their proliferation. Therefore, they support the hypothesis that replication-born DNA damage is responsible for the major neuronal defects associated with MRN dysfunctions.

Materials and Methods

GPCs and neuron-like cell cultures. GPCs were isolated and grown as described.\textsuperscript{13,14} Human neuron-like cells were as follows: LAN-5 were acquired from Deutsche Sammlung Von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (Braunschweig, Germany; www.dsmz.de); SK-N-BE were obtained from European Collection of Cell Cultures, (Porton Down, UK: https://www.phe-culturecollections.org.uk/collections/ecacc); SK-N-SH and LAN-1 were a kind gift of Dr. Carol J. Thiele, MBBS, NCJ, Bethesda, MD, USA. These cells were grown in standard conditions\textsuperscript{14} and validated by genetic search of MYCN amplification, p53 loss (for LAN-1) or other genetic aberrations. SHEP TET21/N cells, received from Dr. Schwabb, DKFZ, Heidelberg, Germany, were cultured as reported\textsuperscript{55} and validated for MYCN indubiosity in the presence or absence of doxycycline (2 μg/ml). Differentiation of LAN-5 and SK-N-BE cells was obtained by RA treatment (5 μM, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 4 and 15 days, respectively.\textsuperscript{56} If pathway activation was obtained treating GPC culture with SAG (0.2 μM, Alexio, Enzo Life Sciences, Inc, Farmingdale, NY, USA) for the indicated times. The MRE11 inhibitor mini (Sigma Aldrich) was used at 40 μM concentration. S-phase synchronization was obtained by treating cells with thymidine (2.5 mM, Sigma Aldrich) for 24 h. Hydroxyurea (Sigma Aldrich) was used at 1 mM concentration for the indicated times.

Transfection, lentivirus infection and luciferase assay. MYCN-expressing plasmid was transfected in SK-N-SH line with Lipofectamine Plus Reagent (Invitrogen Corporation, San Diego, CA, USA). RNA interference experiments were all performed using validated small interfering RNA sequences (Sigma Aldrich) transfected by Dharmactf 2 Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) or by means shRNA lentiviral transduction particles (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA and Sigma Aldrich). IPTG-inducible NBS1 knockdown was obtained by infecting SHEP TET21/N cells with Mission Custom shRNA lentiviral transduction particles (pLKO-puro-IPTG-3xLacO-NBS1, Sigma Aldrich). Details on siRNA and shRNA constructs are given as Supplementary materials. The NBS1 promoter luciferase reporter construct (NBSuc-WT and NBSuc-mut) was a kind gift of Yu-Chi Chiang.\textsuperscript{1} Luciferase assay was conducted on triplicate samples via Dual luciferase reporter assay (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) and measured by a Glomax Multidetection luminometer (Promega Corporation).

Cell proliferation, cell death analysis and cell cycle analysis. MTS assay was performed using the MTS kit (Promega Corporation) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For BrdU incorporation assays, BrdU pulse was applied to MYCN+/− cells for 2 h, and BrdU-staining was assayed by the 5-Bromo-2’-deoxy-uridine Labeling and Detection Kit I (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Cell proliferation and cell death were evaluated by plating an equal number of cells and counting alive and death cells by trypan blue exclusion test at the indicated time points and different conditions. For TUNEL staining, fixed cells were labeled using the in situ Cell Death Detection Kit (Roche Diagnostics). At least 200 cells/slide were counted and each experiment was performed at least at three times. For cell cycle analysis, cells were harvested, washed in sucrose with 10% FBS/PBS, 50% FBS/0.1%Na2/PBS, fixed in 70% ethanol ON at −20 °C, washed twice in 0.1%Na/PBS and resuspended in propidium iodide staining solution (50 μg/ml propidium solution/PBS, RNase 40 μg/ml) for 30 min at room temperature. We acquired at least 20,000 events. Fluorescence was measured using a FACScanto II (BD Biosciences, San José, CA, USA) and the percentages of cells in different phases of the cell cycle were determined using the FlowJo V9.3.2 computer software (TreeStar, Ashland, OR, USA).

Comet assay. Neutral comet assay was conducted as described\textsuperscript{57} with minor modifications. Briefly, cell suspensions were mixed with low melting point agarose (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) at a final concentration of 0.5%, cast on a microscope slide precoated with 1% agarose (Bio Optica, Milano, Italy). After solidification, slides were immersed in cold neutral lysing solution (NaCl 2.5 M, EDTA 0.1 M, Tris-HCl 0.01 M, Na-Lauryl-sarcosine 0.34 M, pH 9.5) for 2 h at 4 °C. After lysis, the slides were placed on a horizontal gel electrophoresis unit (Bio-Rad) filled with fresh electrophoretic buffer (sodium acetate 0.3 M and Tris-HCl 0.1 M, pH 8). Electrophoresis was carried out for 30′ at fixed Volts and Ampere (40 mA). Slides were stained with ethidium bromide and tail moment was measured by the Tritek Comet Score TM freeware 1.6.1.13 Software (Tritek Corp., Summertuck, VA, USA). At least 200 cell/sample were counted and each experiment was performed at least three times.

Immunofluorescence. Cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde/PBS for 10 min at RT, permeabilized and blocked in 3% goat serum in PBS and incubated with the primary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Primary antibodies anti-p- tubulin (NB16373, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and 53BP1 (NB100-304, Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO, USA) were detected with AlexaFluor (Invitrogen Corporation) secondary antibodies.

RNA and protein preparation, chromatin fractionation Q-PCR and western blot. mRNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen Corporation) and quantitative reverse transcription PCR was performed as described.\textsuperscript{55} Primer sequences are given as Supplementary materials. Total protein extraction and western blot protocol have been previously described.\textsuperscript{54} Chromatin fractionation was performed as described.\textsuperscript{55} Primary antibodies were as follows: MYCN (B8.4.B), SC53993, p53 (DO-1) SC 126, CHK1 (G4), SC4508, Tubulin, (TU-02), SC-9035, and β-Actin (I-19) SC 1616 Santa Cruz Biotechnology; phospho-CHK1 (S317), DR1025, Calbiochem (San Diego, CA, USA); RAD50 (13B3/C26), ab89, MRE11 (12D7) ab214, ZIC1 ab27694, Histone H3, ab1791, phospho-ATM (Ser 1981), ab12892, Rad50, ab499, and Mre11, ab6511, Abcam (Cambridge, UK); NBS1 (1C3) GTX70222, Gene Tex (Irvine, CA, USA); p53 (TC12), #2524, phospho-p53 (Ser 15), #9284, and phospho- histone H2AX (ser 139), #2577, Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA); PARP p85 fragment, G7341, Promega Corporation; RPA32, A300-244 A, phospho-RPA32 S54, A300-245 A, Bethyl Laboratories (Montgomery, TX, USA); Rab11 (Y112), NB110-57272, Novus Biologicals; Immunoreactive bands were visualized by enhanced chemo- luminescence (Advanta Inc., Menlo Park, CA, USA).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay was performed as described\textsuperscript{16} with minor modifications. Briefly, cross-linked chromatin was lysed in buffer L2 (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 5 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5% SDS, 150 mM NaCl plus protease inhibitors) and chromatin was sheared to 500–800 bp by sonication. Samples were centrifuged and supernatants were diluted fivefold with dilution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 5 mM EDTA, 200 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP40 plus protease inhibitors). Chromatin extracts were immunoprecipitated overnight with anti-MYCN (Santa Cruz, sc-791, anti-aclh3 (Millipore, 06-599) and normal IgG as a control. The antibody-bound chromatin was collected with pre-blocked Dynabeads protein A (Invitrogen Corporation) for 3 h at 4 °C. The supernatant of the no antibody sample was saved as input chromatin. Chromatin immunoprecipitation complexes were washed three times for 5 min in RIPA buffer (1% NP-40, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% deoxycholate plus protease inhibitors), once with LCI buffer (Tris-HCl pH 8.0 10 mM, EDTA 1 mM, Deoxycholate 1%, NP40 1%, LCI 250 mM) and twice with TE buffer (Tris-HCl pH 7.5 10 mM, EDTA 1 mM). Cross-linking was reversed by heating DNA complexes at 65 °C for at least 5 h after addition of 200 mM NaCl, then digested with 100 μg/ml protease K for 2 h at 51 °C. DNA was extracted using Chromatin IP DNA purification kit (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA, USA). For each PCR reaction, 1/20 of the eluted DNA was used. PCR primer sequences are given as Supplementary materials.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed by a standard two-tailed Student’s t test. P < 0.05 was considered significant.
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