Associations of plasma homocysteine levels with peripheral systolic blood pressure and noninvasive central systolic blood pressure in a community-based Chinese population
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Previous studies indicated that homocysteine (Hcy) is associated with higher peripheral systolic blood pressure (pSBP). There have been few data on the relationship between Hcy and central SBP (cSBP). A total of 4,364 Chinese subjects from the Shijingshan community in Beijing were included. cSBP and pSBP were measured with an Omron HEM-9000AI device. Subjects were 57.20 ± 8.9 years old, 37.9% were male. The median of Hcy was 11.96 μmol/L. The mean of cSBP and pSBP was 129.94 ± 18.03 mmHg and 133.25 ± 18.58 mmHg. InHcy was associated with cSBP (adjusted β = 2.17, SE = 0.80, P = 0.007) and pSBP (adjusted β = 2.42, SE = 0.75, P = 0.001). With increasing Hcy, there were enhanced correlations of Hcy with cSBP and pSBP (p for trend between quartiles < 0.01). Using Q1 for reference, the Q4 was associated with cSBP (adjusted β = 1.77, SE = 0.89, P = 0.047) and pSBP (adjusted β = 2.15, SE = 0.84, P = 0.011). The correlations were more significant in non-obese subjects than in obese subjects (cSBP: β = 4.30 vs 0.46, pSBP: β = 5.04 vs 1.18, P for interaction < 0.001). Our study showed that Hcy was associated with higher cSBP and pSBP, especially in non-obese subjects.

Hyperhomocysteinemia (HHcy) has emerged as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD)¹. However, whether homocysteine (Hcy) is a risk factor for hypertension still remains controversial. Several findings in conventional observational analyses supported a positive association between Hcy concentration and blood pressure (BP)²−⁴ as well as higher Hcy in hypertensive patients compared to normotensive patients in case-control studies⁵−⁷, but subsequent prospective studies yielded considerably weaker associations⁸−¹⁰. In contrast, observations that homocysteine-lowering therapies with folic acid-based treatments were associated with decreases in BP raise the possibility that the link between Hcy and BP is causal¹¹−¹⁴.

Systolic pressure varies throughout the arterial tree; in most circumstances, aortic (central) systolic pressure (cSBP) is lower than the peripheral BP (pSBP)²−⁴ as well as high Hcy in hypertensive patients compared to normotensive patients in case-control studies⁵−⁷, but subsequent prospective studies yielded considerably weaker associations⁸−¹⁰. In contrast, observations that homocysteine-lowering therapies with folic acid-based treatments were associated with decreases in BP raise the possibility that the link between Hcy and BP is causal¹¹−¹⁴.
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rate, pulse wave velocity, and many other risk factors, such as body mass index (BMI), lipids and diabetes. However there are few data about the relationship between Hcy and central BP.

The present study aims to elucidate the association of plasma Hcy with cSBP and pSBP in a Chinese community-based population.

**Methods**

**Subjects.** Participants were from the Gucheng and Pingguoyuan communities of the Shijingshan district in Beijing, China, and participated in an atherosclerosis cohort survey performed from December 2011 to April 2012. The methods and primary results of this study have been reported elsewhere. After excluding those with missing covariates, a total of 4,364 eligible participants aged ≥40 years old were included in this analysis. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Peking University and Peking University First Hospital, and each participant provided written informed consents before enrollment. We adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The procedures followed were in accordance with institutional guidelines.

**Data collection.** Baseline data were collected by trained research staff according to standard operating procedures. All participants were interviewed using a standardized questionnaire that was specifically designed for the present study, providing information including sociodemographic status, education, occupation, diet, lifestyle, health behavior, medical history and medication use. Anthropometric measurements were taken according to a standard operating procedure. Current smoking was defined as smoking one cigarette per day for at least half a year. Current drinking was defined as drinking once per week for at least half a year. Diabetes was defined from self-reported history or index abnormality (fasting blood glucose (FBG) ≥7 mmol/L or oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) ≥11.1 mmol/L); hypertension was defined from self-reported history or SBP ≥140 mmHg or DBP ≥90 mmHg. Dyslipidemia was defined from self-reported history or abnormal lipid profiles. CVD was defined as any self-reported history of coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, stroke, or transient ischemic attack. BMI was calculated as weight (kg)/height^2 (m^2).

**Brachial blood pressure and central systolic blood pressure.** Radial artery pressure waveforms and brachial BP were recorded simultaneously using a fully automated device (HEM-9000AI, Omron Healthcare, Kyoto, Japan) to calculate late systolic pressure in the radial artery (SBP2) and estimate central systolic BP. Brachial BP was measured with an oscillometric manometer and the radial pulse waveforms were recorded non-invasively using an applanation tonometer. Inflection points or peaks corresponding to early and late SBP were obtained from multidimensional derivatives of the original pulse waveforms. Then, the maximal SBP and DBP in the radial artery were calibrated with the brachial SBP and DBP. Finally, an estimate of cSBP was calculated by the pressure at the late systolic shoulder of the radial pressure waveform using linear regression with SBP2 as a major independent variable.

**Blood sample collection and laboratory methods.** A venous blood sample was obtained from the forearm of each participant after an overnight fast of at least 12 hours. Serum or plasma samples were separated within 30 minutes of collection and were stored at −80°C. Plasma Hcy was measured using an autobiochemical analyzer (Beckman Coulter AU480) with the enzymatic method. This method mainly uses the S-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH) hydrolase reaction principle, in which SAH is hydrolyzed by hydrolytic enzymes into adenosine and Hcy, adenosine is immediately hydrolyzed into ammonia and hypoxanthine, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) is converted to NAD with ammonia and glutamic dehydrogenase, and the concentration of Hcy in the sample is proportional to the NADH transformation rate. Folate was measured using an automated chemiluminescence immunoassay analyzer (MAGLUMI4000) with the electrochemiluminescence method. Hcy and folate were all tested at the core laboratory of the National Clinical Research Center for Kidney Disease, at the Nanfang Hospital in Guangzhou, China. FBG and the standard OGTT as well as the lipid profiles and serum creatinine (Scr) at baseline were measured on the Roche C8000 Automatic Analyzer in the laboratory of the Chinese PLA General Hospital.

**Statistical analysis.** Categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentages. Continuous variables were described using means with standard deviations for data with normal distribution, and medians for non-normally distributed data. Univariate comparison were made between groups using ANOVA test for continuous variables and the $\chi^2$ test for categorical variables. A generalized additive model (GAM) with a spline smoothing function was applied to examine the relationship between cSBP, pSBP and Hcy, and a piecewise linear regression analysis was conducted to fit the smoothing curve, with adjustments for potential confounders, including age, sex, BMI, Scr, current smoking, current drinking, diabetes, dyslipidemia, CVD and antihypertension drug use. Univariate and multivariate analysis were performed to assess the associations between cSBP, pSBP and Hcy. The multivariable regression model was adjusted for other variables as well, including age, sex, BMI, Scr, current smoking, current drinking, diabetes, dyslipidemia, CVD and antihypertension drug use. Subgroup analyses examined the relationships of cSBP, pSBP and Hcy stratified by covariates, including sex, age, BMI, Scr, smoking, drinking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, CVD, antihypertensive medication and folate. Tests for interactions in the linear regression model were used to compare $\chi^2$ between the analyzed subgroups. Analyses were performed using Empower (R) (www.empowerstats.com, X&Y solutions, Inc. Boston MA) and R (http://www.R-project.org). A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Declarations.** Ethics approval, accordance and informed consent to participate: The proposal was approved by the ethics committee of Peking University and Peking University First Hospital, and all subjects signed informed consent before enrollment. We adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The procedures followed were in accordance with institutional guidelines.
Table 1. Baseline Characteristics stratified by Hcy quartiles. Abbreviations: Hcy, homocysteine; Scr, serum creatinine; BMI, body mass index; cSBP, central systolic blood pressure; pSBP, peripheral systolic blood pressure; CVD, cardiovascular disease.

| Hcy quartiles (μmol/L) | Median | Q1 (8.87 ≤ 10.02) | Q2 (11.02 ≤ 11.96) | Q3 (13.16 ≤ 14.91) | Q4 (18.48 ≥ 14.92) | P-value |
|------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| N                      | 4364   | 1085               | 1097               | 1089               | 1093               |
| Age (year-old), mean ± SD | 57.20 ± 8.91 | 53.76 ± 7.51       | 56.87 ± 8.08       | 58.70 ± 9.06       | 59.25 ± 9.76       | <0.001  |
| BMI (Kg/m²), mean ± SD | 26.86 ± 3.37       | 25.88 ± 3.54       | 26.01 ± 3.31       | 26.08 ± 3.34       | 26.25 ± 3.29       | 0.016   |
| Scr (μmol/L), mean ± SD | 66.89 ± 15.57      | 57.38 ± 9.06       | 63.37 ± 12.01      | 68.88 ± 13.32      | 77.88 ± 18.39      | <0.001  |
| Sex, N (%)             | Female: 1652 (37.90%) | 117 (10.80%)       | 302 (27.50%)       | 486 (44.60%)       | 747 (68.30%)       |
|                        | 2712 (62.10%)       | 968 (89.20%)       | 795 (72.50%)       | 603 (55.40%)       | 346 (31.70%)       |
| Current smoking, N (%) | 848 (19.40%)         | 70 (6.50%)          | 152 (13.90%)       | 232 (21.30%)       | 394 (36.00%)       | <0.001  |
| Current alcohol drinking, N (%) | 1022 (23.40%)     | 119 (11.00%)       | 216 (19.10%)       | 266 (24.40%)       | 427 (39.10%)       | <0.001  |
| Hypertension, N (%)    | 2188 (50.10%)        | 450 (41.50%)       | 523 (47.70%)       | 580 (53.30%)       | 635 (58.10%)       | <0.001  |
| Diabetes, N (%)        | 1089 (25.00%)        | 254 (23.40%)       | 288 (26.30%)       | 294 (27.00%)       | 253 (23.10%)       | 0.082   |
| Hyperlipidemia, N (%)  | 3120 (71.50%)        | 773 (71.20%)       | 782 (71.30%)       | 800 (73.50%)       | 765 (70.00%)       | 0.342   |
| Self-reported CVD, N (%) | 574 (13.20%)         | 109 (10.00%)       | 132 (12.00%)       | 166 (15.20%)       | 167 (15.30%)       | <0.001  |
| Antihypertension Drugs, N (%) | 1408 (32.50%)   | 284 (26.30%)       | 348 (32.00%)       | 367 (33.90%)       | 409 (37.70%)       | <0.001  |
| pSBP (mmHg)            | 129.94 ± 18.03       | 126.54 ± 17.33     | 128.70 ± 17.15     | 131.04 ± 18.11     | 133.48 ± 18.74     | <0.001  |
| cSBP (mmHg)            | 133.25 ± 18.58       | 131.60 ± 17.94     | 132.22 ± 17.87     | 133.89 ± 18.96     | 135.30 ± 19.31     | <0.001  |
| Hcy (μmol/L, Median (IQR)) | 11.96 (10.03–14.92) | 8.87 (8.12–9.55)   | 11.02 (10.52–11.47) | 13.16 (12.52–13.94) | 18.48 (16.39–25.01) | <0.001  |
| Folate (ng/ml, Median (IQR)) | 6.18 (5.00–8.19) | 7.71 (6.04–10.15) | 6.68 (5.49–8.73) | 5.94 (5.04–7.60) | 4.90 (4.22–5.91) | <0.001  |

Results

The baseline characteristics of included participants stratified by Hcy quartiles are shown in Table 1. Subjects were 57.20 ± 8.91 years old, and 37.9% were male. The median value of Hcy was 11.96 (IQR: 10.03–14.92) μmol/L, and folate was 6.18 (IQR: 5.00–8.19) ng/ml. Of the subjects, 50.1% had hypertension, of whom 32.5% received antihypertensive medications. The mean value of cSBP and pSBP was 129.94 ± 18.03 mmHg and 133.25 ± 18.58 mmHg, respectively. To achieve an even distribution in each group, the subjects were divided into subgroups using Hcy quartiles: Q1: 8.87 ≤ 10.02 μmol/L; Q2: 11.02 ≤ 11.96 μmol/L; Q3: 13.16 (11.97–14.91) μmol/L; and Q4: 18.48 (≥ 14.92) μmol/L. Higher Hcy levels were significantly associated with female gender, older age, higher BMI, Scr, SBP, DBP and cSBP as well as a higher proportion of smokers, drinkers, subjects with hypertension, CVD and antihypertensive treatment usage. However, with increasing Hcy, folate levels have a downward trend (P < 0.001). No differences were observed for dyslipidemia or diabetes between groups. These data are presented in Table 1.

The smoothing curve showed that, after adjusting for confounders, including age, sex, BMI, smoking status, drinking status, Scr, DM, dyslipidemia, CVD and antihypertension drug use, there is a positive linear correlation between cSBP, pSBP and lnHcy, cSBP and pSBP were increasing linearly with lnHcy (Figs 1 and 2). Univariable and multivariable analyses were carried out to assess whether Hcy is independently associated with both cSBP and pSBP after adjusting for likely confounders as mentioned above. For cSBP and pSBP, β coefficients were 2.17 mmHg and 2.15 mmHg, respectively, after adjusting for cardiovascular risk factors4. The interaction test showed no significant interactions between Hcy levels and the covariates mentioned above. For cSBP, the β coefficient was 2.17, SE 0.80, P = 0.007 and for pSBP: β = 2.42, SE = 0.75, P = 0.001. With increasing Hcy, there were significantly enhanced correlations of Hcy with both cSBP and pSBP (P < 0.001). Using Quartile 1 (Q1) for reference, Quartile 4 (Q4) group was positively associated with both cSBP and pSBP (β = 1.77, SE = 0.89, P = 0.047) and pSBP (β = 2.15, SE = 0.84, P = 0.011). These data are presented in Table 2. In addition to these confounders, we furtherly adjusted for folate, and the relationships of Hcy with pSBP and cSBP remained statistically significant. (for cSBP: adjusted β = 2.24, SE = 0.83, P = 0.007; for pSBP: adjusted β = 2.62, SE = 0.78, P < 0.001). Using Quartile 1 (Q1) for reference, Quartile 4 (Q4) group was positively associated with both cSBP (adjusted β = 1.86, SE = 0.94, P = 0.047) and pSBP (adjusted β = 2.39, SE = 0.88, P = 0.007).

The interaction test showed no significant interactions between Hcy levels and the covariates mentioned above when different SBP traits were used to determine the outcomes, except for BMI (Table 3). The relationships were more significant in non-obese subjects than in obese subjects (for cSBP: β = 4.30 vs 0.46, P for interaction = 0.006; for pSBP: β = 5.04 vs 1.18, P for interaction = 0.004).

Discussion

The major findings of our study were that Hcy levels were independently associated with both pSBP and cSBP, especially in non-obese subjects. The relationship between HHcy and hypertension has been proposed by multiple researchers, most of whom only used brachial BP as the BP parameter. The results of the present study are consistent with some of the results from prior studies. Cross sectional data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey showed that one standard deviation (5 μmol/l) increase in Hcy was associated with increases of 0.5 and 0.7 mmHg in diastolic and systolic blood pressure, respectively, after adjusting for cardiovascular risk factors1. The
Hordaland study examined a very large sample (16,176 individuals) and reported a weak association of plasma Hcy with SBP and DBP that was confined to younger individuals. Data from a total of 3,524 schoolchildren including children and adolescents in a study of cardiovascular health showed that Hcy was independently associated with SBP. Regina reported that SBP was correlated with Hcy levels and inversely correlated with plasma folates in juvenile essential hypertension patients. Similar findings concerning the relationship between plasma Hcy and BP were provided by the SHEP study, which showed a direct correlation between Hcy and SBP in the elderly population. A cross sectional study including 7,130 Chinese participants showed that HHcy was independently associated with the risk of hypertension in males (OR = 1.501, 95%CI: 1.012–2.227, P = 0.001). The relationship between SBP and Hcy was also found in hypertensive patients from Chinese rural areas, smokers, diabetic patients, hemodialysis patients, patients with stroke, and several other small sample studies. On the other hand, numerous studies have yielded conflicting results. The Framingham Heart Study investigated for the first time in a community–based setting the relationships between plasma Hcy levels and hypertension.
Table 3. Stratified interaction analysis for effects of Hcy on cSBP and pSBP. Adjusted: age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, drinking status, serum creatinine, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular disease, antihypertension drug use. Abbreviations: Hcy, homocysteine; Scr, serum creatinine; BMI, body mass index; cSBP, central systolic blood pressure; pSBP, peripheral systolic blood pressure; DM, diabetes mellitus.

However, in age- and sex-adjusted analyses, the association was not statistically significant34, 35. In addition, no associations between SBP and DBP with Hcy concentrations were found in the Iranian population36, in Chinese subjects without antihypertensive medication use37, in young African American women38 or in the Brazilian population39. There were few prospective studies that illustrated the causal association between Hcy and BP. In Framingham Heart Study, no major relationship between baseline Hcy levels and hypertension incidence or longitudinal BP progression was found8. Wang reported that Hcy is related to hypertension incidence, with the results approximating a U-shaped curve in the Chinese population9.

Most interestingly, we find that Hcy is independently associated with cSBP. The studies addressing the link between central arterial BP and Hcy were very limited. Xiao et al reported that, in a cross-sectional study with a community-based sample of 1680 Chinese subjects, neither peripheral nor central BP differed according to Hcy levels in normotensive and hypertensive subjects40. The BROOF study demonstrated that lnHcy was strongly linked HHcy and hypertension. In addition, it has been established that HHcy is a risk factor for CVD, and the association between Hcy and cSBP may contribute to the elevated CVD risks that HHcy induced.
We also found that Hcy levels were more associated with both cSBP and pSBP in the non-obese subgroup. There were very few prior studies that could explain these results, so we raise some hypotheses. First, obesity is considered a risk factor for hypertension and other CVD related factors, therefore, in higher BMI groups, the association between Hcy and SBP might be negated by other factors. Second, studies have reported that Hcy is associated with insulin resistance, which hypertension is one of the features of this syndrome. And this may link the Hcy and hypertension in non-obese population. Third, The sympathetic nervous system is an important regulator of blood pressure, especially in non-obese subjects, but the effects of Hcy on its activity do not appear to have been studied. The interference of BMI to Hcy and hypertension needs more basic and independent sample researches to be further verified.

Compared to prior studies, the population of our study was from a Chinese urban community, which is not covered as much in previous studies. The sample size of our study is relatively larger. The median level of Hcy was 11.98 μmol/L, which was comparable to the other data. The prevalence of hypertension is similar to that reported in prior research. However, the proportion of diabetes is relatively higher. Moreover, as previous observation studies have shown that the relationship between BP and Hcy attenuated after adjustments, it is possible that plasma Hcy is a marker for age, age-related renal dysfunction and hypertensive drugs with Hcy-elevating effects. Thus, many factors that might have contributed to hypertension and HHcy were taken into count in the present study, and after adjustments and subgroups analyses, the association remained statistically significant. Furthermore, we assessed the association using both pSBP and cSBP, which provided more solid evidence in support of the findings from previous studies.

The present study has several limitations. First, it was a cross-sectional study, and thus, predictions about the incidence of hypertension due to HHcy in the general population cannot be extrapolated from these data. Longitudinal studies are required for the further investigation of these findings. Second, the data are not necessarily representative of populations in other locations within China, but many studies conducted in different regions reported data that were consistent with ours. Third, pSBP and cSBP values were based on a single assessment, which may introduce variation, but the large sample of the study can also attenuate the variation. In addition, antihypertensive drugs, and particularly beta-blockers, exert differential effects on central blood pressure, but we weren’t able to detail the effects of antihypertensive drugs. Fourth, other Hcy related factors, including lifestyle factors such as coffee consumption and physical activity, genetics data, vitamin B intake except folate, that were not assessed in detail due to lack of such data.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we found that plasma Hcy levels are independently associated with pSBP and cSBP especially in non-obese subjects, which provide potential evidence that Hcy may play an important role in regulating blood pressure and hypertension. Large prospective studies and independent replications are required to elucidate these issues.
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