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1. INTRODUCTION

‘Irq al nasa’ an Arabic term to which we call ‘Sciatica’ is clinically defined as the pain along with Sciatic nerve and its component nerve roots.¹ Sciatic pain mostly occurs unilaterally and may start suddenly with physical activity or even a gradual onset. Pain may be aching and sharp, may vary from mild ache to severe burning or shooting, or tingling in nature. According to Unani literature, sciatica (Irq al-nasa’) may be caused by accumulation of morbid matter or phlegm (Khilt balgham galeez) in the affected area. Hijama means “to suck” and is a Unani regimental method of treatment, which helps to evacuate morbid materials from the body and reassure the blood to evacuate the pain.
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fire cupping (hijama bil-nar) vacuum is created inside a glass cup, before applying, using fire. In case of wet cupping for which term hijama was originally designed and now appropriately called as hijama bil-shart a plastic cup is applied using different sucking apparatus to create vacuum and few incisions are given at the site prior to sucking to remove the morbid material. This type of hijama is considered to be more superior and is enormously narrated in Islamic and Greco-Arab medicinal literature. Various theories which explain the mechanism of action in hijama include; Pain-Gate Theory (PGT), Diffuse Noxious Inhibitory Controls (DNICs), Reflex Zone Theory, Release of Nitric Oxide Theory, Activation of Immune System Theory, Blood detoxification theory, Chinese theory, and Taibah theory. In the much demanded current study, comparison of the safety and efficacy of wet cupping (hijama bil-shart) and fire cupping (hijama bil-nar) in Sdatica (Iqra al-nasa’) was evaluated.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

An open, randomized, controlled study comparing safety and efficacy of Hijama bil shart (wet cupping) and Hijama bil nar (fire cupping) in Iqra al-nasa’ (sstatica)’ was conducted on patients attending OPD/ IPD of Regional Research Institute of Unani medicine (RRIUM), Srinagar. A Comprehensive Protocol was framed and approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) of RRIUM, Srinagar. The study was done within the ethical standards of IEC and declaration of Helsinki. After taking written consent, sciatica patients were selected and allocated randomly into control and test group. Diagnosis and selection of the cases was made on the basis of clinical features, along with other laboratory parameters (LFT, KFT, CBC, ESR, blood sugar fasting & Post prandial, BT, CT, HbsAg, HIV, ECG, and X-ray (L-S spine).

2.1 criteria for selection of cases

a) Inclusion criteria

Patients Irrespective of the gender between 20 to 65 years of age, complaining of radiating shooting pain from back to anterior thigh muscles, posterior thigh muscles, Buttock, calf muscle, posterolateral or anterolateral foot, Ankle, and toe.

b) Exclusion criteria

• Patients below 20 and above 65 years of age
• Patients who fail to give consent

2.3 Intervention

Test group (Group A) was applied with Hijama Bil Shart (Wet cupping) and control group (Group B) with Hijama Bil Nar (Fire Cupping) by two medium sized (No.2) cups on either side of lumbosacral spine and one large sized cup (No.1) on the calf muscle on the affected side were applied. Each group received respective therapy using two Cups on either side of lumbosacral spine and one Cup on the calf muscle on the affected side for 15 to 20 minutes. In group A amount of blood drained by each cup was approximately 10 ml in each sting. In both the groups (test and control) hijama cups were applied four times each at 0 day, 15th day, 30th day and 45th day.

2.4 Assessment

After the completion of study protocol duration of 45 days, the improvement in both group A and group B was assessed with the help of Visual Analogue Scale (VAS 0–10 Point Scale) for pain (0 for ‘no’ and 10 for ‘agonizing pain’), muscle stiffness (present or absent), joint mobility scale (graded as 0 for free voluntary movement, 1 for partial voluntary movement, 2 for free passive movement, and 3 for partial passive movement), SRRT (angle between raised leg and horizontal is scored as 0 if >90°, 1 if 71°-90°, 2 if 51°-70°, 3 if 31°-50° and score 4 is given if angle is <30°), Bragard’s sign (positive or negative), and Deep tendon reflexes at knee and ankle joints (scored as 0 when reflexes are absent, 1 for hypoactive, 2 for normal, 3 for hyperactive, 4 for hyperactive with clonus, and 5 for sustained clonus). ‘Baseline’ and ‘after study’ results were compared in both the groups (intragroup comparison) as well as between the groups (intergroup comparison), to observe the improvement in subjective and objective parameters. Various safety parameters were also checked before and after study to evaluate the safety of the regimes. The patients’ summary is given by consort flow diagram below in figure 1.

Figure 1: Patients’ Summary (CONSORT Flow Diagram)
2.5 Data analysis

Data was entered in a spread sheet and then exported to data editor of SPSS version 20.0 and Graph pad prism software. The continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and categorical variables were expressed in terms of frequency and percentage. Students’ independent t-test was employed for inter-group (test group vs. control group) analysis of data, and for intra-group analysis paired t-test was applied. Chi-square test was employed for comparison of categorical variables. The graphical representation of data was presented by means of bar and line graphs. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

In this study the mean age of the patients in group A and group B was 43 and 41 years respectively in which overall 50.3% were males and 41.7% were females. Among the male population majority (25%) were from business profession and among female population majority (33.3%) were house wives. Similarly keeping Kappu-Swami socioeconomic scale into consideration, out of 60 patients only 5 (8.6%) belonged to upper class, 22 (36.6%) belonged to upper-middle class, 21 (35%) from lower-middle class, 8 (13.8%) from upper-lower class and 4 (6.9%) belonged to lower class. Interestingly 95% patients were married and only 5% were unmarried. While assessing temperament (mizaj) of patients 35% were having sanguine temperament (damvi al-mizaj), 48.3% with phlegmatic temperament (balghami al-mizaj), 6.7% with biliious (safrawi al-mizaj) and 10% were having melancholic temperament (sawdawi al-mizaj).

Interestingly majority of the patients (73.3%) were having a positive family history. Furthermore, in group A most of the cases (93.3%) were suffering from sciatica associated with lumbar spondylosis as observed on X-ray findings and after completion of trial only 30% of patients sustained lumbar spondylosis. Similarly, in group B 90% patients were having associated lumbar spondylosis which was found to persist in 60% of patients only after treatment (table 1). Overall improvement in X-ray findings of group A was statistically significant as compared to group B (p<0.001).

| X-ray findings | Group A (BT) | Group A (AT) | Group B (BT) | Group B (AT) |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lumber spondylosis | 28 (93.33%) | 9 (30.00%) | 27 (90.00%) | 18 (60.00%) |
| Normal | 1 (3.33%) | 20 (66.66%) | 1 (3.33%) | 12 (40.00%) |
| Kyphoscoliotic deformed spine | 1 (3.33%) | 1 (3.33%) | 0 (0.00%) | 0 (0.00%) |
| Lumber spondylolisthesis | 0 (0.00%) | 0 (0.00%) | 1 (3.33%) | 0 (0.00%) |
| Lumber spondylosis with Spondylolisthesis | 0 (0.00%) | 0 (0.00%) | 1 (3.33%) | 0 (0.00%) |

One of the parameters i.e., measuring score of shooting pain using VAS scale was performed at every follow up of 15 days and the improvement observed in both the groups was recorded and shown in table 2.

| Day | Group | Mean | Standard deviation | Mean difference | P-value (group A vs group B) |
|-----|-------|------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| B1 (base line) (0th day) | A | 9.233 | 0.7279 | 0.034 | 0.859 |
| | B | 9.200 | 0.7144 | 0.034 | |
| F1 (follow-up 1st (15th day) | A | 7.967 | 0.7184 | -0.734 | 0.001 |
| | B | 8.700 | 0.6513 | -0.734 | | |
| F2 (follow-up 2nd (30th day) | A | 7.200 | 0.7611 | -0.634 | 0.003 |
| | B | 7.833 | 0.8339 | -0.634 | | |
| F3 (follow-up 3rd (45th day) | A | 5.267 | 0.8683 | -1.600 | 0.001 |
| | B | 6.867 | 0.9732 | -1.600 | | |

The mean and standard deviation of mean of ‘difficulty in limb movement’ in group A at base line and after completion of treatment protocol was 1.77±0.04 and 0.17±0.38 respectively. Likewise, the mean and standard deviation of ‘difficulty in limb movement’ mean in group B at base line and after completion of treatment protocol was 1.30±0.87 and 0.40±0.56 respectively (table 3).
Table 3-Change in difficulty in movement before (BT) and after treatment (AT)

| Group   | Spot | Mean | Std. Deviation | P-value |
|---------|------|------|----------------|---------|
| Group A | BT   | 1.77 | 1.04           | 0.001   |
|         | AT   | 0.17 | 0.38           |         |
| Group B | BT   | 1.3  | 0.87           | 0.001   |
|         | AT   | 0.40 | 0.56           |         |

60% of patients in group A and 50% in group B were having calf muscle stiffness before treatment which gets reduced to 3% and 6% respectively post treatment (table 4).

Table 4-Change in stiffness in calf muscles before (BT) and after treatment (AT)

| Stiffness   | Group A | Difference | P-value |
|-------------|---------|------------|---------|
| Present     | BT      | 18 (60%)   |         |
|             | AT      | 3 (10%)    | P<0.001 |
| Absent      | BT      | 12 (40%)   |         |
|             | AT      | 27 (90%)   |         |

| Stiffness   | Group B | Difference | P-value |
|-------------|---------|------------|---------|
| Present     | BT      | 15 (50%)   |         |
|             | AT      | 6 (20%)    | P<0.001 |
| Absent      | BT      | 15 (50%)   |         |
|             | AT      | 24 (80%)   |         |

While measuring SLRT at base line and at every follow up of 15, 30, 45 days mean standard deviation in both the groups was calculated (table 5). At day 0 mean and standard deviation of SLR score in group A and group B was 54.33±11.35 and 60.50±13.54 respectively. After completion of treatment period the mean improvement in SLR was found as 82.67 ±6.40 and 75.50±11.55 respectively.

Table 5- Change in straight leg raising (SLR) test before and after treatment

| Day              | Group | Mean | Std. Deviation | Mean difference | P-value |
|------------------|-------|------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| BL (base line) (0th day) | Group A | 54.33 | 11.35 | -6.167 | 0.061  |
|                  | Group B | 60.50 | 13.54 | -6.167 |         |
| F1 (follow-up 1st) (15th day) | Group A | 58.33 | 9.59  | -5.167 | 0.079  |
|                  | Group B | 63.50 | 12.60 | -5.167 |         |
| F2 (follow-up 2nd) (30th day) | Group A | 70.33 | 9.99  | 0.0      | 1.000  |
|                  | Group B | 70.33 | 13.58 | 0.0      |         |
| F3 (follow-up 3rd) (45th day) | Group A | 82.67 | 6.40  | 7.167   | 0.004  |
|                  | Group B | 75.50 | 11.55 | 7.167   |         |

In 80% of patients in group A Bragard’s sign was positive before treatment which remained only in 13.33% after treatment. Similarly in group B it was present in 63.33% at base line and in 43.33% cases after treatment (table 6).

Table 6- Change in Braggard’s sign before (BT) and after treatment (AT)

| Braggard’s sign | Group A | Difference | P-value |
|-----------------|---------|------------|---------|
| Present         | BT      | 24 (80%)   | 4 (13.33%) | 20 (66.67%) | P<0.001 |
|                 | AT      | 6 (20%)    | 26 (86.67%) |         |         |
| Absent          | BT      |             |         |         |         |
|                 | AT      |             |         |         |         |
| Braggard’s sign | Group B | Difference | P-value |
| Present         | BT      | 19 (63.33%) | 13 (43.33%) | 6 (20%) | P=0.03  |
|                 | AT      |             |         |         |         |
| Absent          | BT      |             |         |         |         |
|                 | AT      |             |         |         |         |
Again in group A deep tendon reflexes were found to be hyperactive in 30% patients before treatment and 0% after treatment. On the other hand in group B 7% patients were having hyperactive deep tendon reflexes before treatment and 4% after completion of treatment (table 7).

| Change in deep tendon, knee, ankle reflexes | Group A | Group B | Difference |
|-------------------------------------------|---------|---------|------------|
| Hypoactive                                | BT      | AT      |            |
| 10 (33.33%)                               | 13 (43.33%) | 3 (10.00%) |
| Normal                                    | 11 (36.67%) | 17 (46.67%) | 6 (20.00%) |
| Hypoactive                                | 9 (30.00%) | 0 (0.00%) | -9 (30.00%) |

All the safety parameters (CBC, LFT, KFT, etc.) were checked at baseline and post treatment in both the groups and the both the regimens were found to be safe for such a period of time.

4. DISCUSSION

In this comparative, open, randomized clinical trial 60 patients with sciatica thirty in each group (A&B), were treated for 45 days. The pre and post treatment effects were assessed based on Visual Analogue Scale (VAS). In this study majority of the patients with sciatica (58.3%) were males and relatively smaller percentage (41.7%) of female patients were observed. This study confirms the prevalence of sciatica (Iṛq al-naṣa') found by Ulla Euro.\(^7\) The maximum number of patients observed, were in the age group of 31-40 years and the data is in agreement with the findings reported by B.W. Koes et al.\(^4\) Again the maximum number of patients observed in the sample, were house wives. This finding also correlates the claims of B.W. Koes et al.\(^4\) and M.A. Stafford et al.,\(^5\) as occupational factors have a definite link with sciatica. Majority of the cases, 22 (36.6%) were from Upper Middle class of society, 21 (35%) from lower middle class, 8 (13.8%) were from Upper Lower class, 5 (8.6%) were from Upper class and only 4 patients (6.9%) were belonging to Lower class. The grading of socio-economic status was done on the basis of modified Kappuswamy’s scale 2018. This study also evidenced 57 married patients (95%) and only 3 patients (5.0%) were unmarried. This finding is in correspondence with the etiological concept mentioned by various Unani authors as higher the quantum of sexual activity more will be sufferers\(^6\). The current Study shows most of patients i.e., 29 patients (48.3%) having balghami al-mizaj followed by 21 (35.0%) patients having damwi al-mizāj while 6 (10.0%) patients having safrāwī al-mizāj and 4 patients (6.7%) having sawdawi al-mizāj. This finding is in accordance to the description given by Razī, that sciatica (Iṛq al-naṣa') is more frequent among the Phlegmatic and Sanguine Temperament people.\(^7\) In Table 1 we can see the X-ray findings of Group A before treatment where the maximum patients, 28 (93.33%), were affected from lumber spondylolisthesis and after completion of the treatment protocol only 9 patients were found with lumbar spondylolisthesis depicting a tremendous improvement with hijāma bil-shart. Likewise, X-ray finding of Group B in the sample before treatment suggests maximum patients, 27 (90.00%), affected from lumbar spondylolisthesis and after treatment 18 patients with lumbar spondylolisthesis were found indicating a relatively less improvement by hijāma bil-nar. This study however correlates with finding and description of B.W. Koes et al.,\(^4\) showing that in 90% of patients, sciatica is caused by a herniated disc with nerve root compression. Table 2 illustrates that effect on pain as mean VAS score\(^7\) of group A before treatment was 9.23 and after treatment 5.267, and the mean score in group B at baseline was 9.200 and after treatment it was 6.867. The p-value of both groups at baseline was 0.859 and after 45\(^{th}\) day p value of both groups was <0.001. The improvement was however found to be relatively significant in group A (p<0.001) compared to group B. From table 3 we can see that mean score of the effect on difficulty in movement in group A at baseline was 1.77 and after treatment it was 0.17 while mean score of group B at baseline was 1.3 and after treatment it was 0.40. Statistically it was found that the difference between the median score of both groups at 45\(^{th}\) day with respect to baseline was highly significant (p < 0.001). Similarly table 4 shows changes in stiffness in calf muscle. In group A at the baseline stiffness was present in 18 patients (60%) and after treatment stiffness was present in only 3 patients (10%). Meanwhile in group B at the baseline stiffness was present in 15 patients (50%) and after treatment stiffness was present in 6 patients (20%). Comparing the median score of stiffness in calf muscle in both groups A&B statistically, it was found that the significant improvement appeared in group A than B (p<0.001). SLR was assessed and graded as, very severe, severe, moderate, mild and absent and were coded as 4,3,2,1 and 0 respectively. The median score of SLR in group A was 54.33 & group B 60.50. When the median scores of SLR in both groups, A & B, were compared statistically using independent sample t-test for intraingroup comparison, it was found that the difference between the median score of test group at 45\(^{th}\) day compared with baseline was significant (p<0.001). Intergroup comparison after 45\(^{th}\) day showed significant improvement in group A than B (p= 0.004). Results of SLRT are discussed in table 5. Thus the wet cupping (hijama bil-shart) exhibited marked improvement in SLR in comparison to the fire cupping (hijama bil-nar). Braggard’s sign was assessed and graded as positive & negative and was coded as 1, 2 respectively. The median score of Braggard’s sign in group A at the baseline was 1.20 & group B 1.37. When the median score of Braggard’s sign in both groups A & B were compared statistically using independent sample t-test for intraingroup compression it was found that the difference
between the median scores of test group at 45th day compared with baseline was significant (p < 0.009). Interestingly the intergroup comparison of results after 45th day showed a highly significant improvement in group A compared to group B (p<0.001), (table 6). Deep tendon reflexes, knee reflexes and ankle reflexes were assessed and graded as absent, hyporeactive, normal, hyperreactive, hyperactive with clonus and sustained clonus were coded as 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively. The median score of deep tendon reflexes, knee reflexes and ankle reflexes in group A was 1.97 & in group B it was 2.00 while as after treatment median results were found to be 1.57 and 2.03 in group A and B respectively. Statistically a significant improvement was observed in group A after treatment but there was an insignificant improvement found in group B (table 7). Moreover, during intergroup comparison of final results regarding median of deep tendon reflexes, knee reflexes and ankle reflexes in groups A&B it was found that the improvement was highly significant in group A than B (p < 0.0001). Razí elaborated in his book Alhāvī ḍīṭīb that all kinds of joint diseases are caused by accumulation of morbid matter in joints and it penetrates into rebāt (tendons) as it become chronic, and basic principle of management (usūl ilā) in all these conditions is evacuation of morbid matter (istīfrāḥ). Thus, wet cupping shows effect by the same mechanism (istīfrāḥ akhlatе fasida)? Finally it can be summed up that in group A, wet cupping (hijama bil-shart) draws out the blood and evacuates the morbid matter from the body, so makes it more effective than fire cupping (hijama bil-nar) in which blood is not drawn out.

5. CONCLUSION

In the current comparative study the data revealed that both regimens i.e., wet cupping (hijama bil-shart) and fire cupping (hijama bil-nar), were found to be effective in relieving the pain, reducing muscle stiffness, improving SLR and difficulty in movement, without producing any adverse effects during and after the treatment. It was also observed that the effects of hijama bil-shart were more than the effects of hijama bil-nar. However, multicentre study on larger sample size and for longer duration is needed for further exploration of the effects of both the regimens, and also it is more important to determine their mechanism of action with modified methodology.
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