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ABSTRACT
N-body simulation is an invaluable tool to understand cosmic velocity field. However, simulation samples velocity only at particle locations that leads to information loss when projecting particle fields onto structured grid. Here we extract two-point statistics without field projection. These statistics, i.e. correlation, structure, dispersion functions in real space and spectrum functions in Fourier space, are modeled on both small and large scales. Kinematic relations between statistical measures are fully developed for incompressible, constant divergence, and irrotational flow. The nature of dark matter flow is identified by these relations. Much more complex than incompressible flow, peculiar velocity of dark matter flow is of constant divergence on small scale and irrotational on large scale. Incompressible and constant divergence flow share same kinematic relations for even order correlations. The limiting correlation of velocity $\rho_L = 1/2$ on the smallest scale ($r = 0$) is a unique feature of collisionless flow ($\rho_L = 1$ for incompressible flow). Assuming gravity is the only interaction and no radiation produced, this feature leads to an increase in particle mass converted from kinetic energy upon "annihilation". On large scale, transverse velocity correlation has an exponential form $T_2 = e^{-r/r_2}$ with a comoving scale $r_2 = 21.3<$Mpc$/h$ that maybe related to the size of sound horizon. All other correlation, structure, dispersion, and spectrum functions for velocity, density, and potential are derived analytically from kinematic relations for irrotational flow. On small scale, longitudinal structure function follows one-fourth law of $S_L \propto r^{1/4}$. All other statistical measures can be obtained from kinematic relations for constant divergence flow. Vorticity is negatively correlated for scale $r$ between 1 and 7Mpc$/h$. Divergence is negatively correlated for $r > 30<$Mpc$/h$ that leads to negative density correlation.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Cosmic flow velocity is a key component for the dynamics of self-gravitating collisionless dark matter flow (SG-CFD). Many insights were obtained from the velocity field on the structure formation and evolution, and the interpretation of observational data. Due to the complicated nonlinear nature, N-body simulation is an invaluable tool to study the dynamics of SG-CFD, capturing the very complex gravitational collapse and many effects beyond Newtonian approximations (Angulo et al. 2012; Springel 2005; Peebles et al. 1989; Efstathiou et al. 1985).

Traditionally, two distinct approaches have been applied to study the velocity field from N-body system, i.e. a halo-based approach and a statistical approach. Most of our previous study focus on the former category, of which the inverse mass and energy cascade and halo mass functions can be rigorously developed (Xu 2021b,e,a). In addition, velocity distributions of dark matter particles that maximize system entropy were also formulated (Xu 2021c). Halo mass functions can be directly related to these maximum entropy distributions (Xu 2021d).

An alternative strategy without identifying halos, i.e. a statistical approach, works with statistical measures, such as the correlation and spectrum functions, to make statistical statement about SG-CFD. In this approach, halos are not explicitly considered. Instead, we focus on the scale and redshift dependence of these statistical measures,
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which are crucial for structure formation and dynamics on both small and large scales (Kitaura et al. 2016; Pueblas & Scoccimarro 2009).

However, it is not a trivial task to extract and characterize the statistics of velocity field from N-body simulations. Some fundamental problems are:

(i) velocity field is defined everywhere in the entire phase space, but is only sampled by N-body simulations at discrete locations of particles. This sampling has a poor quality at locations with low particle density that leads to large uncertainties on the measure of velocity power spectrum (Jennings et al. 2011).

(ii) the velocity field can be multi-valued and discontinuous after shell-crossing due to the collisionless nature. The traditional statistical approach involves computing the power spectrum of velocity (and its gradients) in Fourier space (Pueblas & Scoccimarro 2009; Hahn et al. 2015; Jelic-Cizmek et al. 2018). It uses cloud-in-cell (CIC) (Hockney & Eastwood 1988) or triangular-shaped-cloud (TSC) schemes to project density/velocity fields onto the regular mesh, which unavoidably introduces a finite sampling error (Baugh & Efstathiou 1994; Baugh et al. 1995).

Since both real- and Fourier-space contain the same information, there is no reason for preference of one over the other except the computational cost. Directly working on the density/velocity fields in real-space avoids an additional layer of systematic errors and information loss due to the mapping of particles onto structured grid and the conversion between real- and Fourier-space.

The statistical analysis for incompressible flow was developed in the theory of isotropic and homogeneous turbulence (Taylor 1935, 1938; de Karman & Howarth 1938; Batchelor 1953). However, the self-gravitating collisionless dark matter flow is intrinsically different and much more complicated. The cosmic peculiar velocity is not incompressible (or vanishing divergence) on all scales. Instead, on small scale, the virialized halos has a vanishing (proper) radial flow and the peculiar velocity satisfies constant divergence (see Xu 2022e, Eq. (60)). While on large scale, flow becomes irrotational. The nature of two different types of flow on small and large scales and the transition between them are the key to understand the SG-CFD velocity field. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a complete set of kinematic relations for different statistical measures and different types of flow on different scales.

This paper focuses on the two-point second order statistical measures of velocity field for SG-CFD. Using second order velocity statistics fully extracted from N-body simulation without projecting onto structured grid, we are able to:

(i) demonstrate the dark matter flow is of irrotational nature on large scale and constant divergence on small scale (Fig. 5);

(ii) identify an exponential form of transverse velocity correlation on large scale (Eq. (110)), such that all other relevant statistical measures for density, velocity and potential fields can be analytically derived;

(iii) identify a one-fourth scaling law for second order velocity structure function on small scale ($S^2_v (r) \propto r^{1/4}$ in Eq. (137)) such that all other statistical measures on small scale can be derived;

(iv) limiting velocity correlation approaches 1/2 on the smallest scale ($r \to 0$), where particle “annihilation” may happen (Fig. 16).

Table 1. Numerical parameters of N-body simulation

| Run | $\Omega_0$ | $\Lambda$ | $h$ | $\Gamma$ | $\sigma_8$ | $L$ (Mpc/h) | $N$ | $m_p$ ($M_\odot$/h) | $l_{soft}$ (Kpc/h) |
|-----|-----------|----------|-----|---------|-----------|-----------|-----|----------------|------------------|
| SCDM | 1.0       | 0.0      | 0.5 | 0.5     | 0.5       | 239.5     | 256 | $2.27\times10^{11}$ | 36 |

2 N-BODY SIMULATIONS AND NUMERICAL DATA

The numerical data were public available and generated from the N-body simulations carried out by the Virgo consortium. A comprehensive description of the data can be found in (Frenk et al. 2000; Jenkins et al. 1998). As the first step, the current study was carried out using the simulation runs with $\Omega = 1$ and the standard CDM power spectrum (SCDM) and started from $z = 50$ to focus on the matter-dominant self-gravitating flow of collisionless particles. Similar analysis can be extended to other simulation with different model assumptions and parameter in the future. The same set of data has been widely used in a number of studies from clustering statistics (Jenkins et al. 1998) to formation of cluster halos in large scale environment (Colberg et al. 1999), and test of models for halo abundances and mass functions (Sheth et al. 2001). Some key numerical parameters of N-body simulations are listed in Table 1.

Two relevant datasets from this N-boby simulation, i.e. halo-based and correlation-based statistics of dark matter flow, can be found at Zenodo.org (Xu 2022a,b), along with the accompanying presentation slides, ”A comparative study of dark matter flow & hydrodynamic turbulence and its applications” (Xu 2022c). All data files are also available on GitHub (Xu 2022d).

3 FUNDAMENTAL KINEMATIC RELATIONS

To describe the random and multiscale nature of self-gravitating collisionless dark matter flow (SG-CFD), various statistical measures can be introduced to characterize the density, velocity, and potential fields of collisionless flow. There are restrictions on these quantities due to the symmetry implied by the assumptions of homogeneity and isotropy. Based on these assumptions, kinematic relations between different statistical measures can be rigorous developed for a given nature of flow, i.e. incompressible, constant divergence, or irrotational flow.

In this section, we focus on the statistical measures of velocity field. Three types of statistical quantities will be introduced that are related to each other and are traditionally used to characterize the turbulent flow, i.e. the correlation functions, structure functions, and power spectrum functions. The real-space correlation functions are the most fundamental quantity and building blocks of the statistical theory for any stochastic flow. The structure functions and the power spectrum are intimately related to correlation functions to describe how the system energy is distributed and transferred across different scales. This section will introduce/review these fundamental statistical quantities and their relation with each other. This will prepare us for better understanding and interpreting the data and results from N-body simulations.

For simplicity, we restrict our discussion to the homogeneous and
isotropic flow with translational and rotational symmetry in space, respectively. The assumption of homogeneity and isotropy will greatly simplify the form of velocity correlation tensors and facilitate the development of statistical theory. The SG-CFD flow is not required to be stationary (translational symmetry in time), with flow dynamically evolving in the course of time. These statistical quantities are first introduced for generic flow. Their properties for a given type of flow (i.e., the incompressible, constant divergence, and irrotational flow) are presented subsequently. This is important as we will demonstrate that the peculiar velocity is of constant divergence and isotropic nature on small and large scales (Section 4), respectively.

The starting point is to define a one-dimensional RMS (root-mean-square) velocity $u$,

$$ u (a) = \left( \frac{1}{3} \langle u (x) \cdot u (x) \rangle \right)^{1/2}, $$

where $\langle \rangle$ denotes the average over all particles in system. Here $x$ is the coordinates of a particle. The RMS velocity $u$ is a measure of mean specific kinetic energy (per unit mass). $N$-body simulation used here gives $u_0 = u (a = 1) = 354.61 km/s$. The time variation of $u^2 (a)$ can be found in Fig. 20 with $u^2 (a) \sim t$ once statistically steady state is established. This leads to a constant rate of energy cascade $\varepsilon_u \propto u^2 / t$, a fundamental constant in the theory of mass and energy cascade (Xu 2011).

### 3.1 Two-point first order velocity correlation tensor

We first define a correlation tensor between velocity field $u (x)$ and a scalar field $p (x)$. Examples are the correlations between velocity field and pressure, density, or gravitational potential at two different locations $x$ and $x = x + r$ that are separated by a vector $r$.

The most general form of this tensor in the index notation reads

$$ Q_i (x, r) = \left( u_i (x) \cdot p (x) \right). $$

For homogeneous flow, all statistical quantities should be, by definition, independent of location $x$. The translational symmetry associated with the spatial homogeneity leads to $Q_i (x, r) = Q_i (r)$. The isotropy symmetry further reduces this tensor to:

$$ Q_i (r) = \delta_i r_j, $$

where $A_1 (r)$ is a symmetric function of $r (A_1 (r) = A_1 (-r))$ and $r_i$ is the Cartesian components of vector $r$. The divergence of two-point first order correlation tensor $Q_i (r)$ reads

$$ \nabla \cdot Q_i (x, r) = \frac{\partial u_i (x)}{\partial x_i} p (x) = \frac{\partial Q_i (x, r)}{\partial x_i} = - \frac{\partial Q_i (r)}{\partial r_i}, $$

where the average operator and differential operator can commute $\nabla \cdot (\cdot) = (\nabla \cdot \cdot)$. Because of $r = x - x$, we should have

$$ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i}. $$

With Eqs. (3) and (5), the divergence of $Q_i (r)$ (Eq. (4)) reduces to

$$ \frac{\partial Q_i (r)}{\partial r_i} = A_1 r_j + \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial r} r_i = 3 A_1 + \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial r} r. $$

Similarly, the curl of two-point first order correlation tensor is

$$ \nabla \times Q_i (x, r) = \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial Q_i (x, r)}{\partial x_k} = - \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial Q_i (r)}{\partial r_k} = - \varepsilon_{ijk} \left( A_1 \delta_{ik} + \frac{r_i r_k}{r} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial r} \right) = 0, $$

where $\varepsilon_{ijk}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol that satisfies the identity $\varepsilon_{ijk} \delta_{jk} = 0$ and $\varepsilon_{ijk} r_j r_k = r \times r = 0$.

The velocity field of self-gravitating collisionless dark matter flow (SG-CFD) can be very complex. We demonstrated that on small scale, virialized halos are spherical and isotropic with incompressible proper velocity. The peculiar velocity of small halos should have a constant divergence of $- 3 H_\alpha$ (see Xu 2022e, Eq. (60)). On large scale, velocity is proportional to the gradient of the gravitational potential, curl free, and irrotational (Zeldovich approximation (Zeldovich 1970)). In this regard, velocity field in SG-CFD is much more complicated than the velocity in incompressible, homogeneous, and isotropic turbulence. Next, we will extend the discussion to three different types of flow.

#### 3.1.1 Results for incompressible flow

The incompressible flow requires $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ such that the divergence of the correlation tensor vanishes everywhere (Eqs. (4) and (6)) which leads to $A_1 (r) = 0$ or $A_1 (r) \sim r^3$. Since $A_1 (r)$ must be symmetric of $r$ and regular at origin $r = 0$, $A_1 (r) = 0$ must be satisfied for incompressible flow. An important conclusion is that $Q_i (r)$ must be zero for incompressible, homogeneous, isotropic velocity field.

#### 3.1.2 Results for constant divergence flow

For flow with constant divergence everywhere such that $\nabla \cdot u = \theta$. This leads to a constant divergence for correlation tensor (Eq. (6)),

$$ \frac{\partial Q_i (r)}{\partial r_i} = 3 A_1 + \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial r} r = - \theta (p (x)) $$

such that solution $A_1 (r) = - \theta (p (x)) / 3$ is independent of $r$.

#### 3.1.3 Results for irrotational flow

The irrotational flow requires the curl free for velocity field ($\nabla \times u = 0$). The curl of $Q_i (r)$ is always zero, i.e. $\nabla \times Q_i (x, r) = 0$ (Eq. (7)).

### 3.2 Two-point second order velocity correlation tensor

The two-point second order velocity correlation tensor quantifies the degree to which extent velocities at two locations are statistically correlated. It can be defined as (similarly, the dependence on location $x$ and direction $r$ is dropped due to homogeneity and isotropy),

$$ Q_{ij} (r) = Q_{ij} (r) = \left\langle u_i (x) u_j (x) \right\rangle. $$

In $N$-body simulation, this average $\langle \rangle$ is taken over all pairs of particles with the same separation $r$ (Fig. 1). A more compact alternative notation is to write $Q_{ij} (r) \equiv \left\langle u_i u_j \right\rangle$, where the prime indicates that quantity is evaluated at $x' = x + r$. Due to symmetry in Eq. (10),

$$ Q_{ij} (-r) = \left\langle u_i u_j \right\rangle = Q_{ji} (r) = Q_{ij} (r) = \left\langle u_i u_j \right\rangle. $$

Einstein index notation is adopted hereafter that implies the summation over a specific index,

$$ Q_{ii} (r) = u_i u_i = u^2 = u^2 + 2 \left\langle u_i u_j \right\rangle + \left\langle u_i u_j \right\rangle. $$

The most general form of $Q_{ij} (r)$ reads

$$ Q_{ij} (r) = Q_{ij} (r) = A_2 (r) r_i r_j + B_2 (r) \delta_{ij}, $$

where $A_2 (r)$ and $B_2 (r)$ are two scalar functions of $r$ only. Finally, the divergence of $Q_{ij} (r)$ can be derived from Eq. (13),

$$ \frac{\partial Q_{ij} (r)}{\partial r_i} = 4 A_2 + \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{B_2} B_2 (r) r_i. $$
The angle between velocity vector increases with both of which should vanish at large distance.

The curl of second order correlation tensor is derived as,

\[
\nabla \times Q_{ij}(r) = e_{imk} Q_{mj,k} = \left( A_2 \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial B_2}{\partial r} e_{ijk} r \right) = e_{imj} r m \left( A_2 \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_2}{\partial r} \right).
\]

(15)

3.2.1 Second order velocity correlation functions

It is more convenient to introduce three scalar velocity correlation functions by index contraction of \(Q_{ij}(r)\) in Eq. (13). Namely, a total correlation function \(R_2(r)\) can be defined as

\[
R_2(r) = Q_{ij} \delta_{ij} = \left( u \cdot u' \right) = A_2 r^2 + 3 B_2.
\]

(16)

The longitudinal correlation function \(L_2(r)\) is defined as

\[
L_2(r) = Q_{ij} r_i r_j = \left( u_L u'_L \right) = A_2 r^2 + B_2,
\]

(17)

where \(u_L = u \hat{r} \) is the longitudinal velocity and \(r_i = r / r\) is the normalized Cartesian component of vector \(r\) between two particles. The transverse (lateral) correlation function reads

\[
T_2(r) = Q_{ij} n_i n_j = \left( u_T \cdot u'_T \right) / 2 = B_2(r),
\]

(18)

where \(n\) is normal vector perpendicular to the direction of separation \(r (n \cdot \hat{r} = 0)\) and

\[
u_T = -(u \times \hat{r} \times \hat{r}) = u - (u \cdot \hat{r}) \hat{r}
\]

(19)

is the transverse velocity perpendicular to vector \(r\) with \(\times\) standing for the cross product. Figure 1 provides a sketch of the longitudinal and transverse velocities.

Two correlation coefficients can be defined for longitudinal and transverse velocity,

\[
\rho_L(r) = \frac{u_L u'_L}{u_L^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_T(r) = \frac{u_T u'_T}{u_T^2},
\]

(20)

both of which should vanish at large distance \(r \to \infty\) (velocities of two particles far from each other should be uncorrelated) and increases with \(r \to 0\) due to the increasing gravitational interaction. Similarly, we can define angles between two longitudinal and transverse velocities,

\[
\langle \cos(\theta_L) \rangle = \frac{u_L u'_L}{u_L^2 u'_L} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \cos(\theta_T) \rangle = \frac{u_T u'_T}{u_T^2 u'_T}.
\]

(21)

The angle between velocity vector \(u\) and \(r\) vector and the angle between two velocities \(u\) and \(u'\) can also be defined for all pair of particles with the same separation \(r\).

\[
\langle \cos(\theta_{ur}) \rangle = \frac{u \cdot r}{|u||r|} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \cos(\theta_{ur'}) \rangle = \frac{u \cdot u'}{|u||u'|}.
\]

(22)

Note that all averaging is performed over all pairs of particles with the same separation \(r\). These quantities will greatly improve our understanding of velocity field (Figs. 16, 17, 18, and 19).

The total velocity correlation can be written as (Eqs. (16)-(18)),

\[
R_2(r) = 2 R(r) = L_2(r) + 2T_2(r),
\]

(23)

where \(R(r)\) is the standard form of velocity correlation function in turbulence literature. Three second order correlation functions from N-body simulations are presented in Figs. 2, 3, and 4.

The velocity power spectrum \(E_u(k)\) and correlation function \(R(r)\) are related to each other through the Fourier transform pair,

\[
R(r) = \int_0^\infty E_u(k) \frac{\sin(\pi r k)}{\pi r k} dk,
\]

(24)

\[
E_u(k) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty R(r) \ k \sin(\pi r k) \ dr.
\]

(25)

A length scale \(l_{a0}\) (integral scale) is conventionally introduced as

\[
l_{a0} = \frac{1}{u^2} \int_0^\infty R(r) \ dr = \frac{\pi}{4u^2} \int_0^\infty E_u(k) k^{-1} dk
\]

(26)

that defines a characteristic length scale within which, velocities are appreciably correlated. This is the length scale at which energy is injected into or taken out of the system.

3.2.2 Second order velocity dispersion functions and energy distribution in real space

The one-dimensional variance of smoothed velocity (the bulk flow velocity) with a spatial filter of size \(r\) can be written as:

\[
\sigma_u^2(r) = \frac{1}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_u(k) W(kr)^2 \ dk = \int_r^{\infty} E_{ur} \left( r' \right) dr',
\]

(27)

which is the variance of smoothed velocity field with a filter of size \(r\), or equivalently the kinetic energy contained in all scales above \(r\). Here \(E_{u'}(r)\) is the energy density in real space that describes the energy distribution with respect to scale \(r\), just like the energy spectrum \(E_u(k)\) in Fourier space with respect to wavenumber \(k\) (Fig. 11). Here \(W(x)\) is a window function. For a tophat spherical filter,

\[
W(x) = \frac{3}{\pi^3} [\sin(x) - x \cos(x)] = \frac{3}{\pi^3} j_1(x),
\]

(28)

where \(j_1(x)\) is the first order spherical Bessel function of the first kind. The velocity dispersion on the same scale \(r\) is:

\[
\sigma_u^2(r) = \frac{1}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_u(k) \left[ 1 - W(kr)^2 \right] dk,
\]

(29)

which represents the kinetic energy contained in scales below \(r\).

The exact relation between total velocity correlation \(R_2(r)\) and \(\sigma_u^2(r)\) can be derived from Eqs. (24) and (27)

\[
R_2(2r) = \frac{1}{24r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{3}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \sigma_u^2(r) r^4 \right) \right] \right).
\]

(30)

The total kinetic energy are decomposed into two parts for any \(r\),

\[
\sigma_u^2(r) + \sigma_d^2(r) = u^2.
\]

(31)

The specific kinetic energy of entire system \(u^2\) can have two separate
contributions on any scale of \( r \), i.e. the velocity dispersion \( \sigma_d^2 (r) \) for kinetic energy contained in scales below \( r \) and velocity variance \( \sigma_u^2 (r) \) for kinetic energy contained in scales above \( r \) (Fig. 9). From Eq. (30), total velocity correlation \( R_2 (r) \) can be used to derive both dispersion functions.

Just like the energy spectrum \( E_u (k) \) in Fourier space, the energy distribution in real space with respect to scale \( r \) can be found from dispersion functions as (from Eq. (27)),

\[
E_{ur} (r) = - \frac{\partial \sigma_u^2 (r)}{\partial r}.
\]

The connection with energy spectrum \( E_u (k) \) in Fourier space can be found from Eq. (27),

\[
E_{ur} (r) r^2 = - \frac{4}{3} \int_0^\infty E_u \left( \frac{x}{r} \right) W (x) W' (x) dx,
\]

where \( x = kr \). In principle, \( E_{ur} (r) \) and \( E_u (k) \) are equivalent and contain the same information on the distribution of energy.

Two velocity dispersion functions \( \sigma_d^2 (r) \) and \( \sigma_u^2 (r) \) can be derived from the velocity correlation function \( R_2 (r) \), which in turn can be obtained accurately from N-body simulation (Fig. 14). Specifically, for a top hat filter, a power-law spectrum \( E_u (k) \equiv bk^{-m} \) will lead to (from Eqs. (24) and (27)),

\[
R_2 (r) = 2b \Gamma (-m) \sin \left( \frac{m \pi}{2} \right) r^{m-1},
\]

\[
\sigma_d^2 (r) = -6 \cdot 2^{2m} (1 + m) (4 + m) \cdot \Gamma (-5 - m) \sin \left( \frac{m \pi}{2} \right) br^{m-1},
\]

where the two functions satisfy relation in Eq. (30). For self-gravitating collisionless dark matter flow,

\[
\sigma_d^2 (r) = \left( \sigma_{11}^2 (r) + \sigma_{22}^2 (r) + \sigma_{33}^2 (r) \right) / 3,
\]

where \( \sigma_{ji}^2 (r) \) is the velocity dispersion tensor on the scale of \( r \). The off-diagonal terms are usually small and can be neglected (Fig. 15).

### 3.2.3 Results for incompressible flow

The divergence free condition for \( Q_{ij} \) leads to (from Eq. (14))

\[
\frac{\partial B_2}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 B_2 \right) - 2B_2 = - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^4 A_2 \right).
\]

Equation (37) can be rewritten as

\[
B_2 = \frac{1}{2r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^4 A_2 + r^2 B_2 \right).
\]

With the help of Eqs. (17), (18) and (23), the kinematic relations between three scalar correlations functions can be easily obtained

\[
T_2 = \frac{1}{2r} \left( r^2 L_2 \right)_r \quad \text{and} \quad R_2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \left( r^2 L_2 \right)_r.
\]

The two scalar functions \( A_2 (r) \) and \( B_2 (r) \), and the correlation tensor \( Q_{ij} (r) \) can be finally expressed in terms of the longitudinal correlation function \( L_2 (r) \) as,

\[
A_2 = - \frac{1}{2r} \left( L_2 \right)_r \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{2r} \left( r^2 L_2 \right)_r,
\]

and

\[
Q_{ij} (r) = - \frac{1}{2r} \left( L_2 \right)_r r \epsilon_{ij} - \left( r^2 L_2 \right)_r \delta_{ij}.
\]

The relations between the longitudinal \( L_2 (r) \), transverse correlation functions \( T_2 (r) \), and velocity power spectrum \( E_u (k) \) can be equivalently derived using Eqs. (25) and (39),

\[
L_2 (r) = \int_0^\infty E_u (k) \frac{2j_1 (kr)}{kr} dk,
\]

\[
T_2 (r) = \int_0^\infty E_u (k) \left( j_0 (kr) - \frac{j_1 (kr)}{kr} \right) dk,
\]

where \( j_n (kr) \) is the nth order spherical Bessel function of the first kind. The first two spherical Bessel functions are

\[
j_0 (x) = \frac{\sin (x)}{x} \approx 1 - \frac{x^2}{6}
\]

and

\[
j_1 (x) = \frac{\sin (x) - \cos (x)}{x} \approx \frac{x}{3} - \frac{x^3}{30}.
\]

With Eqs. (39), (42) and integration by parts, the integral length scale \( l_{u0} \) for incompressible flow is

\[
l_{u0} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty R (r) dr = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty L_2 (r) dr
\]

and

\[
\int_0^\infty T_2 (r) dr = 0.
\]

#### 3.2.4 Results for constant divergence flow

For constant divergence flow with \( \nabla \cdot u = \theta \), we should have,

\[
Q_{ij,i} = \frac{\partial Q_{ij} (r)}{\partial r} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \int \theta (r) \right) = \theta \delta_{ij}.
\]

It can be shown that \( Q_{ij,i} = 0 \) for velocity field with a zero mean \( \langle u_j \rangle = 0 \) such that Eq. (37) is still valid for constant divergence flow. Therefore, all results for second order correlation functions in Section 3.2.3 are valid for both incompressible and constant divergence flow. More general, the incompressible and constant divergence flow share the same kinematic relations among all even order correlation functions. However, kinematic relations can be different for odd order correlations (Xu 2022).

#### 3.2.5 Results for irrotational flow

The curl free condition for irrotational flow (Eq. (15)) leads to different kinematic relations between three correlation functions,

\[
R_2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \left( r^2 T_2 \right)_r \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 = ( r T_2 )_r.
\]

Similarly, the velocity correlation tensor \( Q_{ij} (r) \) can be written in terms of the transverse correlation function \( T_2 (r) \) only,

\[
Q_{ij} (r) = \left( T_2 \right)_r \frac{r \epsilon_{ij}}{r} + T_2 \delta_{ij}.
\]

Relations between longitudinal and transverse correlation functions and velocity power spectrum \( E_u (k) \) can be found as (from Eq. (47)) or see Gorski (1988),

\[
L_2 (r) = 2 \int_0^\infty E_u (k) \left( j_0 (kr) - 2 \frac{j_1 (kr)}{kr} \right) dk
\]

and

\[
T_2 (r) = \int_0^\infty E_u (k) \frac{2j_1 (kr)}{kr} dk.
\]
The integral length scale $l_{u0}$ for irrotational velocity field reads
\[
l_{u0} = \frac{1}{u^2} \int_0^\infty R (r) \, dr = \frac{1}{u^2} \int_0^\infty T_2 (r) \, dr
\]
and
\[
\int_0^\infty L_2 (r) \, dr = 0. \tag{51}
\]

### 3.2.6 The nature of dark matter flow on small and large scales

Clearly, different kinematic relations between correlation functions (Eqs. (39) and (47)) can be used to characterize the nature of flow (flow characterization). For example, we can compute the integral $R_{21} (r)$ of total velocity correlation function $R_2 (r)$ as,
\[
R_{21} = \frac{1}{r^3} \int_0^r R_2 (r) \, r^2 \, dy. \tag{52}
\]

It can be shown that $R_{21} = L_2$ (from Eq. (39)) if the flow is incompressible (or constant divergence flow) and $R_{21} = T_2$ (from Eq. (47)) if the flow is irrotational. This information can be used to demonstrate that the self-gravitating collisionless dark matter flow is of constant divergence on small scale and irrotational on large scale (Fig. 5).

### 3.3 Second order velocity structure functions

Structure functions are common statistical measures for turbulence. We will introduce different structure functions as quantitative measures for kinetic energy and enstrophy contained in scales below $r$. Structure functions are relatively easy to measure from observation.

#### 3.3.1 Second order longitudinal structure function and limiting correlations on small scale

The most common one is the second order longitudinal structure function originally defined as
\[
S_{L}^{lp} (r) = \left( \langle \Delta u_L \rangle^2 \right) = \left( \langle u_L' - u_L \rangle^2 \right) = 2 \left( \langle u_L^2 \rangle - L_2 (r) \right), \tag{53}
\]
where $\langle u_L^2 \rangle$ is the second moment of longitudinal velocity $u_L$ on scale $r$. The velocity difference on scale $r$ (or pairwise velocity in cosmology) is defined as $\Delta u_L (r) = u_L' - u_L$. The first order longitudinal velocity structure function reads
\[
S_{L}^{lp} (r) = \langle \Delta u_L \rangle = \langle u_L' - u_L \rangle, \tag{54}
\]
which is essentially the mean pairwise velocity.

A modified (alternative) definition of second order longitudinal structure function is
\[
S_{L}^{lp} (r) = 2 \lim_{r \to 0} \left( u_L^2 - L_2 (r) \right) = 2 \left( u^2 - L_2 (r) \right), \tag{55}
\]
where $u^2$ is one-dimensional velocity dispersion in Eq. (1). Two structure functions $S_{L}^{lp} (r) \neq S_{L}^{lp} (r)$ is one of the manifestations due to the collisionless nature of dark matter flow.

#### Remarks:
For collisionless incompressible flow $\langle u_L^2 \rangle = u^2$ on all scales, where $\langle u_L^2 \rangle$ is independent of the scale $r$. In addition,
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} L_2 (r) = \lim_{r \to 0} \left( u_L^2 - L_2 (r) \right) = \lim_{r \to 0} \left( u^2 - L_2 (r) \right), \tag{56}
\]
where $u_L$ and $u_L'$ are fully correlated when $r \to 0$ due to the collisional interaction. Or equivalently, the correlation coefficient $\rho_L (r = 0) = 1$ (see Eq. (20)). For incompressible flow, two definitions are equivalent, i.e. $S_{L}^{lp} (r) = S_{L}^{lp} (r)$ and $S_{L}^{lp} (0) = S_{L}^{lp} (0) = 0$.

However, this picture is completely different for dark matter flow (SG-CFD). Due to the collisionless nature, the longitudinal velocities $u_L$ and $u_L'$ are not fully correlated on small scale ($r \to 0$). The maximum correlation coefficient between $u_L$ and $u_L'$ is $\rho_L (r = 0) = 0.5$ that can be estimated as follows:

Based on the halo description of self-gravitating system, any pair of particles with small separation $r \to 0$ should come from the same halo, while different pairs can be from different halos of different size. The particle velocity dispersion in halos can be decomposed into (see Xu 2021e, Eq. (17)),
\[
\sigma^2 (m_h) = \sigma^2 (m_h) + \sigma^2 (m_h) = (1 - \rho_{cor} (m_h)) \sigma^2 + \rho_{cor} (m_h) \sigma^2, \tag{57}
\]
i.e. the sum of halo velocity dispersion $\sigma^2 (m_h)$ and halo virial dispersion $\sigma^2_h$. The correlation coefficient $\rho_{cor}$ between velocities of pair of particles in halos of mass $m_h$ is,
\[
\rho_{cor} (m_h) = \frac{\sigma^2 (m_h)}{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{1 + \sigma^2_h / \sigma^2}. \tag{58}
\]

Velocities of pair of particles with separation $r \to 0$ in small halos should be fully correlated, i.e. $\rho_{cor} (m_h \ll m^*_h) \approx 1$ with $\sigma^2_h \ll \sigma^2$. For small halos, while velocities of particle pairs from large halos should be independent of each other, i.e. $\rho_{cor} (m_h \gg m^*_h) \approx 0$ with $\sigma^2_h \gg \sigma^2$. Here $m^*_h$ is a characteristic mass scale.

The average correlation for all pairs with same $r$ can be from halos of different size and estimated as $\sigma^2 = \sigma^2_h$ such that $\rho_{cor} = 0.5$ when $r \to 0$ (see Fig. 16 from N-body simulation). The average correlation coefficient of longitudinal velocity for all pairs on the limiting scale $r \to 0$ is $\rho_L (r = 0) = (\rho_{cor}) = 0.5$ such that
\[
\lim L_2 (r) = \lim_{r \to 0} \left( u_L^2 - L_2 (r) \right) = \lim_{r \to 0} \rho_L \left( u_L^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{r \to 0} \left( u_L^2 \right). \tag{59}
\]

Therefore, in SG-CFD, two structure functions are not equivalent, i.e. $S_{L}^{lp} (r) \neq S_{L}^{lp} (r)$ (Eqs. (53) and (55)). On the smallest scale $r \to 0$, the original definition
\[
S_{L}^{lp} (r = 0) = \lim_{r \to 0} \left( u_L^2 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{L}^{lp} (r = 0) = 0, \tag{60}
\]
while on the largest scale (see Fig. 14),
\[
S_{L}^{lp} (r = \infty) = S_{L}^{lp} (r = \infty) = 2u^2. \tag{61}
\]

#### 3.3.2 Mass-energy and momentum conservation for collisionless "annihilation" at $r = 0$

The fact that $\rho_L (r = 0) \neq 1$ might lead to some interesting phenomena on the smallest scale. Just like the electron-positron annihilation, let’s check the simplest "one-dimension annihilation" scenario of two dark matter particles on the smallest scale $r = 0$. Assuming that gravity is the only interaction and no radiation produced from "annihilation", two particles with the same mass $m$ only annihilate when the separation between them vanishes ($r = 0$). The conservation of momentum requires,
\[
m v_1 + m v_2 = m' v_3 \quad \text{such that} \quad v_3 = \frac{m}{m'} (v_1 + v_2), \tag{62}
\]
where $m'$ and $v_3$ are the rest mass and velocity of new particle after "annihilation". Taking the average for all pairs of particles with $r = 0$,
\[ v_1 = u_L, \text{and } v_2 = u'_L \]
\[
\left\langle v_2^2 \right\rangle = \left( \frac{m}{m'} \right)^2 \left( \left\langle v_1 + v_2 \right\rangle^2 \right) = 2 \left( \frac{m}{m'} \right)^2 \left( \left\langle v_1^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle v_1 v_2 \right\rangle \right) = 2 \left( \frac{m}{m'} \right)^2 \left( v_2^2 \right) (1 + \rho_{L0}),
\]

where \( \rho_{L0} \equiv \rho_L (r = 0) \) is the limiting velocity correlation coefficient at \( r = 0 \). Assuming no radiation is produced, the conservation of total mass-energy can be written as,
\[
mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv_1^2 + mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv_2^2 = m'c^2 + \frac{1}{2}m'v_2^2, 
\]

where \( c \) is the speed of light. Taking average, solving for mass \( m' \):
\[
m' = m \left[ 1 + \frac{\left\langle u_2^2 \right\rangle}{2c^2} \right] = \frac{m}{1 - \frac{\left\langle u_2^2 \right\rangle}{2c^2}}.
\]

Therefore, for \( \rho_{L0} = 1/2 \neq 1 \), the particle "annihilation" leads to extra mass converted from kinetic energy if gravity is the only interaction and no radiation is produced from that "annihilation".

### 3.3.3 Second order total structure function for kinetic energy

The second order total velocity structure functions are introduced in the vector forms,
\[
S^{tip}_2 (r) = \left\langle \Delta \mathbf{u}^2 \right\rangle = \left( \left\langle \mathbf{u}^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathbf{u} \right\rangle^2 \right) = 6 \left\langle u_2^2 \right\rangle - 2R_2 (r),
\]

\[
S^I_2 (r) = 6a^2 - 2R_2 (r),
\]

where \( S^{tip}_2 (r) \) is the original version and \( S^I_2 (r) \) is the modified version. Just like the longitudinal structure functions in Section 3.3.1, two definitions are equivalent for incompressible flow and \( S^I_2 (r) \neq \left[ S^{tip}_2 (r) \right] \) for SG-CFD. Relation between structure function \( S^I_2 (r) \) and velocity spectrum \( E_u (k) \) can be found from Eq. (24),
\[
S^I_2 (r) = 4 \int_0^\infty E_u (k) \left( 1 - j_0 (kr) \right) dk,
\]

where \( S^I_2 (r = 0) = 0 \), just like the modified longitudinal structure function \( S^I_2 (r = 0) = 0 \).

For a power-law velocity spectrum \( E_v (k) \equiv bk^{-m} \), we have a power law structure function
\[
S^I_2 (r) = 4 \int_0^\infty E_u (k) [1 - j_0 (kr)] dk = \frac{2^{2-m} \Gamma (3/2) \Gamma ((1 - m)/2)}{\Gamma (m + 2)/2} br^{m-1}.
\]

The exact relation between the total structure function \( S^I_2 (r) \) and one-dimensional velocity dispersion \( \sigma^2_u (r) \) can be derived using Eqs. (67), (31) and (30),
\[
S^I_2 (2r) = \frac{1}{12r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{2r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \sigma^2_u (r) r^4 \right) \right),
\]

where \( S^I_2 (r) \) is a quantity relevant to the specific kinetic energy contained below the scale \( r \) (i.e. \( \sigma^2_u (r) \) in Eq. (29)) through Eq. (70). While the total second order correlation \( R^I_2 (r) \) is related to the specific kinetic energy above the scale \( r \) (i.e. \( \sigma^2_u (r) \) in Eq. (31)) through Eq. (30).

### 3.3.4 Second order structure function for enstrophy and real space enstrophy distribution

The enstrophy of entire system can be of interest for some applications, where the total enstrophy \( E_n \) in the system is defined as,
\[
E_n = \int_0^\infty E_u (k) k^2 dk.
\]

The enstrophy is related to the integral of the gradient of velocity field with contributions from both divergence and vorticity (Eq. (92)).

We can introduce a different structure function that is relevant to enstrophy on different scales. For a tophat filter of size \( r \), we introduce the structure function \( S^I_2 (r) \) (see Fig. 12 for simulation result),
\[
S^I_2 (r) = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^\infty E_u (k) k^2 W^2 (kr) dk = \int_0^\infty E_{nr} (r) dr',
\]

where \( W (kr) = 3j_1 (kr) / (kr) \) is the window function and \( r \) is the radius of spherical filter. The structure function \( S^I_2 (r) \) can be related to one-dimensional enstrophy of smoothed velocity field, similar to the definition of velocity dispersion \( \sigma^2_u (r) \) in Eq. (72).

\[
S^I_2 (r) = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^\infty E_u (k) k^2 W^2 (kr) dk = \int_0^\infty E_{nr} (r) dr'.
\]

shows that \( S^I_2 (r) / (2r^2) \) is a measure of the one-dimensional enstrophy smoothed at the scale of \( r \), or the total enstrophy contained in scales above \( r \).

Here \( E_{nr} (r) \) is the enstrophy density in real space. For collisional incompressible flow, total enstrophy of entire system is finite with \( S^I_2 (r \to 0) \propto r^2 \). By contrast, the total enstrophy of collisionless system diverges with \( r \to 0 \) such that structure function \( S^I_2 (r) \) should approach zero at a rate slower than \( r^2 \) (Eq. (73)). Let’s apply a sharp spectral filter in the Fourier space with a cutoff wavenumber \( K \), at which the cutoff enstrophy equals that of the filtered velocity field, namely
\[
\int_0^\infty E_u (k) k^2 W (kr) dk = \int_0^K E_u (k) k^2 dk.
\]

A relation can be established between the cutoff wavenumber \( K \) and scale \( r \) from Eq. (73),
\[
\frac{3}{2} \frac{S^I_2 (r)}{r^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^K E_u (k) k^2 dk = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty E_u (k) k^2 W (kr) dk.
\]

With \( K \to \infty \), \( S^I_2 (r) \) must approach zero with a rate slower than \( r^2 \) to enable a diverging enstrophy. The exact relation between two structure functions \( S^I_2 (r) \) and \( S^I_2 (r) \) can be obtained from Eqs. (68) and (72),
\[
\frac{1}{3r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( S^I_2 (r) r^4 \right) \right) = \frac{\partial S^I_2 (2r)}{\partial r},
\]

where both \( S^I_2 (r) \) and \( S^I_2 (r) \) can be found from the total velocity correlation function \( R^I_2 (r) \).

The structure function \( S^I_2 (r) \) has a special property that itself is a measure of the specific kinetic energy in scales below \( r \) (see Eq. (76), just like \( \sigma^2_u (r) \)), while \( S^I_2 (r) / (2r^2) \) is a direct measure of the enstrophy contained in scales above \( r \) (Eq. (73) and Fig. 12). Specifically, for the power-law velocity spectrum \( E_u (k) \equiv bk^{-m} \),
\[
S^I_2 (r) = -3 \cdot 2^{1+m} (m + 2) (m - 1) \cdot \Gamma (-3 - m) \sin \left( \frac{\pi m}{2} \right) br^{m-1}.
\]
Clearly, both Eqs. (69) and (77) satisfy the relation in Eq. (76). Just like the energy distribution in real space (Eq. (32)), the enstrophy distribution in real space can be obtained from \( S_{2}^{\theta} (r) \) as (from Eq. (73) and see Fig. 14),

\[
E_{rr} (r) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ S_{2}^{\theta} (r) / (2r^{2}) \right].
\]

(78)

The relation between \( E_{rr} (r) \) and velocity spectrum \( E_{u} (k = x/r) \) is

\[
E_{rr} (r) r^{4} = \frac{2}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{u} \left( \frac{x}{r} \right) W (x) W (x) x^{3} dx.
\]

(79)

### 3.3.5 Results for incompressible flow

Some kinematic relations between second order structure functions can be derived and presented here. First, the longitudinal structure function can be related to the velocity spectrum for incompressible flow by using Eqs. (42) and (55),

\[
S_{2}^{\theta} (r) = \frac{4}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{u} (k) \left( 1 - 3 \frac{j_{1} (kr)}{kr} \right) dk.
\]

(80)

The exact relations with the second order total velocity structure function can be found from Eqs. (68) and (80),

\[
S_{2}^{\theta} (r) = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r^{2} S_{2}^{\theta} (r) \right].
\]

(81)

The exact relation with the velocity dispersion function \( \sigma_{d}^{2} (r) \) is,

\[
S_{2}^{\theta} (2r) = \frac{1}{12 r^{5}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \sigma_{d}^{2} (r) r^{4} \right) \right),
\]

(82)

where the longitudinal structure function \( S_{2}^{\theta} (r) \) is also a quantitative measure of the specific kinetic energy contained in scales below \( r \) for incompressible flow.

For power-law velocity spectrum \( E_{u} (k) \equiv b k^{-m} \) (from Eq. (80)),

\[
S_{2}^{\theta} (r) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{2^{m-2} \Gamma (1 + 3/2) \Gamma ((1 - m) / 2)}{\Gamma (m + 4) / 2} b r^{m-1}.
\]

(83)

Let’s assume a power law scaling for velocity dispersion function \( \sigma_{d}^{2} (r) \equiv b r^{n} \) that can be inserted into Eqs. (70), (76), and (82) to obtain all three velocity structure functions that follow the same power-law scaling as,

\[
S_{2}^{\theta} (r) = \frac{(4 + n) (6 + n)}{12 \cdot 2^{n}} b r^{n},
\]

(84)

\[
S_{2}^{\theta} (r) = \frac{(3 + n) (4 + n) (6 + n)}{12 \cdot 2^{n}} b r^{n},
\]

(85)

\[
S_{2}^{\theta} (r) = \frac{n (3 + n) (6 + n)}{4 (2 + n)} b r^{n}.
\]

(86)

We will use these equations in Section 4 to understand the distribution of kinetic energy across different scales in N-body simulations.

### 3.3.6 Results for constant divergence flow

Just like the correlation functions in Section 3.2, all results for second order structure functions of incompressible flow in Section 3.3.5 are still valid for constant divergence flow.

### 3.3.7 Results for irrotational flow

Similar relations for longitudinal structure function can be derived exactly for irrotational flow. The relation to the velocity spectrum is (from Eqs. (49) and (55)),

\[
S_{2}^{\theta} (r) = \frac{4}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{u} (k) \left( 1 - 3 j_{0} (kr) + 6 \frac{j_{1} (kr)}{kr} \right) dk.
\]

(87)

The relation with the second order total velocity structure function \( S_{2}^{\theta} (r) \) is derived as,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r S_{2}^{\theta} (r) \right] = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r^{2} S_{2}^{\theta} (r) \right].
\]

(88)

### 3.4 Correlation functions for velocity gradients

In this section, we focus on the two-point second order correlations for velocity gradients, namely the divergence field \( \theta (x) = \nabla \cdot u (x) \) and vorticity field \( \omega (x) = \nabla \times u (x) \). The correlation function of velocity divergence can be written as

\[
\left\langle \theta \cdot \theta' \right\rangle \equiv \left\langle u_{k} k \cdot u_{q} q \right\rangle = -\delta_{kj} \delta_{kp} Q_{kq,ip} \equiv -Q_{pq,ipq}. \]

(89)

where the second order derivative of the correlation tensor \( Q \) is:

\[
Q_{pq,ipq} = \frac{\partial^{2} Q_{pq} (r)}{\partial r_{p} \partial r_{q}} = \frac{\partial^{2} A_{2}}{\partial r^{2}} r^{2} + 8 \frac{\partial A_{2}}{\partial r} + 12 A_{2}
\]

\[
+ \frac{\partial^{2} B_{2}}{\partial r^{2}} + 2 \frac{\partial B_{2}}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left( r^{2} L_{2} \right), \quad 2 r T_{2} \right). \]

(90)

The correlation function of vorticity field can be written as,

\[
\left\langle \omega \cdot \omega' \right\rangle = \left\langle \omega \cdot \omega' \right\rangle + \left\langle \theta \cdot \theta' \right\rangle.
\]

(91)

It can be easily verified that

\[
-\nabla^{2} \left( u \cdot u' \right) = \left( \omega \cdot \omega' \right) + \left\langle \theta \cdot \theta' \right\rangle.
\]

(92)

The general expression for \( \nabla^{2} \left( u \cdot u' \right) \) is (with the help of Eq. (16)),

\[
\nabla^{2} \left( u \cdot u' \right) = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r^{2} \frac{\partial R_{2}}{\partial r} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{3}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} B_{2}}{\partial r^{2}} + 6 \frac{\partial B_{2}}{\partial r} + r^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} A_{2}}{\partial r^{2}} + 6 r \frac{\partial A_{2}}{\partial r} + 6 A_{2}.
\]

(93)

Two terms \( -\nabla^{2} \left( u \cdot u' \right) \) and \( E_{u} (k) k^{2} \) form a Fourier transform pair,

\[
-\nabla^{2} \left( u \cdot u' \right) = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{u} (k) k^{2} \frac{\sin (kr)}{kr} dk.
\]

(94)

Sum of divergence and vorticity correlation functions can be found from Eqs. (68) and (94),

\[
R_{\theta} + R_{\omega} = \frac{1}{4 r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^{2} \frac{\partial S_{2}^{\theta} (r)}{\partial r} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{96 r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( S_{2}^{\theta} (r) r^{2} \right) \right) \right).
\]

(95)

The general expression for vorticity correlation reads (using Eq. (91))

\[
R_{\omega} = \frac{\left\langle \omega \cdot \omega' \right\rangle}{2} = \frac{\partial^{2} B_{2}}{\partial r^{2}} - 2 \frac{\partial B_{2}}{\partial r} + 3 A_{2} + r \frac{\partial A_{2}}{\partial r}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left( A_{2} - \frac{\partial B_{2}}{\partial r} \right). \]

(96)
The expression for divergence correlation reads (from Eq. (89)),

$$ R_θ = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \cdot \dot{\theta}}{r^2} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B_2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \partial B_2 + \frac{r^2}{\partial r^2} + 8r^2 \frac{\partial^2 A_2}{\partial r^2} + 12A_2 \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( 4A_2 r + \partial A_2 \frac{r^2}{\partial r^2} + \partial B_2 \right). \tag{97} $$

The correlation functions for both divergence and vorticity are fully determined by two scalar functions $A_2$ and $B_2$ from the second order velocity correlation tensor $Q_{ij}$ (Eq. (13)) for arbitrary homogeneous and isotropic flow. Both functions can be identified from N-body simulation (Fig. 26).

### 3.4.1 Results for incompressible flow

The divergence correlation must be zero everywhere ($\langle \theta \cdot \dot{\theta} \rangle = 0$, see Eqs. (97) and (14)). Because of the incompressibility, $Q_{ij},ij = 0$, the vorticity correlation function can be reduced to (using Eq. (39)),

$$ \left\langle \omega \cdot \dot{\omega} \right\rangle = -Q_{ii,ij} = -\nabla^2 \left( 2R \right) = 30A_2 + 18r \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial r} + 2r^2 \frac{\partial^2 A_2}{\partial r^2}, \tag{98} $$

and

$$ \left\langle \omega \cdot \dot{\omega} \right\rangle = -\nabla^2 \left( \mathbf{u} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} \right) = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial r} \right) = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial r} \right) \right). \tag{99} $$

where the vorticity correlation is fully determined by the longitudinal correlation function $L_2(r)$.

The vorticity correlation can be related to the velocity power spectrum function $E_u(k)$ as,

$$ R_ω(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \omega \cdot \dot{\omega} \right\rangle = \int_0^\infty E_u(k) k^2 \frac{\sin(kr)}{kr} dk. \tag{100} $$

### 3.4.2 Results for constant divergence flow

All results for incompressible flow in Section 3.4.1 are still valid for constant divergence flow.

### 3.4.3 Results for irrotational flow

Similarly, the vorticity correlation function should vanish for irrotational flow ($\langle \omega \cdot \dot{\omega} \rangle = 0$). The divergence correlation function can be reduced to

$$ \left\langle \theta \cdot \dot{\theta} \right\rangle = -\nabla^2 \left( \mathbf{u} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} \right) = -\left( r^2 \frac{\partial^2 A_2}{\partial r^2} + 9r \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial r} + 15A_2 \right). \tag{101} $$

Using Eq. (47), it can be related to the transverse correlation $T_2(r)$,

$$ \left\langle \theta \cdot \dot{\theta} \right\rangle = -\nabla^2 \left( \mathbf{u} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} \right) = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial r} \right) = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial r} \right) \right). \tag{102} $$

In addition, it can be related to the velocity power spectrum as,

$$ R_θ(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \theta \cdot \dot{\theta} \right\rangle = \int_0^\infty E_u(k) k^2 \frac{\sin(kr)}{kr} dk. \tag{103} $$

**Figure 2.** The variation of two-point second order velocity correlation functions (normalized by $u_0^2$) with scale $r$ at $z=0$. The longitudinal correlation $L_2(r)$ becomes negative at 21.4 Mpc/h. The total velocity correlation $R_2(r)$ becomes negative at around 75.2 Mpc/h, and goes back to positive at 96.6 Mpc/h. The transverse correlation $T_2(r)$ is positive for all scale $r$. The cross-over of $T_2(r)$ and $L_2(r)$ is at $r_t = 1.3$ Mpc/h.

### 4 STATISTICAL MEASURES FROM N-BODY SIMULATION

The simulation data described in Section 2 is used to compute all statistical measures described in Section 3. For a given scale $r$, all particle pairs with a separation $r$ are identified and particle position and velocity data are recorded to compute the correlation and structure functions by averaging that quantity over all pairs with the same separation $r$, i.e a pairwise average.

### 4.1 Velocity correlation functions and flow characterization

Figures 2 and 3 present three second order velocity correlation functions varying with scale $r$ at $z=0$. The transverse correlation $T_2(r)$ is positive on all scales, while the longitudinal correlation $L_2(r)$ turns negative at $r \geq 21.4 Mpc/h$, at which pair of particles separated by that distance will more likely move in opposite longitudinal directions, but in the same transverse directions. The total velocity correlation $R_2(r)$ becomes slightly negative at around $r = 75.2$ Mpc/h, and switch to positive at $r = 96.6$ Mpc/h.

The crossover of $T_2(r)$ and $L_2(r)$ can be found at around $r_t = 1.3$ Mpc/h, a characteristic length scale dividing two different flow regimes. Below $r_t$, most particle pairs are from the same halo. Two-point correlation functions reflect the correlation of particles from the same halo. The longitudinal correlation is dominant over transverse correlation. While above $r_t$, most pairs are from different halos. The two-point correlation functions reflect the correlation of halo velocity and the transverse correlation is dominant.

Obviously, the comoving length scale $r_t$ can be related to the diameter of spherical halo with the characteristic mass $m_h^* (a)$. With $m_h^* (a) \propto a^{3/2}$ ((see Xu 2021a, Table 2)) and constant density ratio $\Delta_c$, we expect $r_t (a) \propto (m_h^*/(\Delta_c \rho_0))^{1/3} \propto a^{1/2}$ (Fig. 10).

Next, the kinematic relations developed in Section 3.2 (Eq. (52)) can be applied to identify the nature of flow on small and large scales. Figure 5 plots the variation of $R_2(r)$ with scale $r$, along with two
correlation functions $L_2(r)$ and $T_2(r)$. In Fig. 5, on small scale $r < r_1$, $R_2 \approx L_2$ means constant divergence flow for peculiar velocity on small scale ($\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \text{const}$). While on large scale $r \gg r_1$, $R_2 \approx T_2$ means irrotational velocity field ($\nabla \times \mathbf{u} = 0$). The critical length scale $r_1 = 1.3 \, \text{Mpc}/h$ is defined as the scale at which $L_2(r) \approx T_2(r)$ (indicated by an arrow). The irrotational flow on large scale is expected, where the Zeldovich approximation and linear perturbation theory apply. The peculiar velocity field of dark matter is scale dependent, i.e. a constant divergence flow on small scale and an irrotational flow on large scale.

Figure 6 presents the transverse velocity correlation function $T_2(r)$ at different redshifts $z$. The correlation functions are normalized by $u^2(a)$, i.e. the one-dimensional velocity dispersion at different redshifts (Eq. (1)). Figure 6 hints an exponential form for $T_2(r)$ on large scale at different $z$. Detail models for correlation functions are provided in Section 5 (Eqs. (110) and (140) for large and small scales, respectively).

Figure 7 presents the variation of longitudinal velocity correlation function $L_2(r)$ (normalized by $u^2(a)$) with scale $r$ at different redshifts $z$. With more and more halos formed, the number of pairs of particles from the same halo with small separation $r$ increases with time, along with the longitudinal correlation being gradually developed on small scales after $z=3$. A comoving length scale $r_2 \approx 21.4 \, \text{Mpc}/h$ can be identified that does not vary with time, where longitudinal ve-
velocity correlation becomes negative. Models are presented in Section 5 (Eqs. (111) and (138) for large and small scales, respectively).

Figure 8 presents the total velocity correlation function \( R_2 (r) \) at different redshift \( z \) (from Eq. (23)). Detail models are presented in Section 5 (Eqs. (112) and (139)) for large and small scales.

### 4.2 Velocity dispersion functions and energy distribution

Figure 9 presents the variation of two dispersion functions with comoving scale \( r \) for different redshift \( z \). The dispersion of smoothed velocity \( \sigma_{u}^{2} (r) \) is obtained from Eq. (30) (solid line), while the velocity dispersion \( \sigma_{\dot{u}}^{2} (r) \) is obtained from Eq. (31) (dash line). Increasing small scale power with time can be seen from the increasing \( \sigma_{\dot{u}}^{2} (r) \) for a given \( r \). The dispersion function \( \sigma_{\dot{u}}^{2} (r) \) on large scale can be modeled by Eq. (115) and plotted in dash line with good agreement with N-body simulation. By setting the energy in scales above \( r \) equals the energy contained in scales smaller than \( r \), i.e. \( \sigma_{\dot{u}}^{2} (r_{2}) = \sigma_{\dot{u}}^{2} (r_{2}) = 0.5 \), a second comoving length scale \( r_{2} \) can be introduced. The kinetic energy contained in scales less than \( r_{2} \) is 50% of the entire kinetic energy. Figure 10 presents the variation of comoving length scales, i.e. \( r_{2} \sim a^{-5/2} \) and \( r \sim a^{1/2} \).

Figure 11 presents the energy distribution in real space from Eq. (32). Kinetic energy contained in large scale is decreasing with time, with more energy contained in small scale. With more halos from, the small scale power \( \propto u^{2} \sim a^{-1/2} \) Eq. (139) increases faster than the large scale \( \propto (h/Mpc)^{2} \), Eq. (112) with increasing fraction of kinetic energy in small scales.

### 4.3 Velocity structure function \( S_{x}^{2} \) and enstrophy distribution

Figure 12 presents the variation of structure function \( S_{x}^{2} (r) \) (from Eq. (76)) with comoving scale \( r \) for different redshifts \( z \) (solid lines). The corresponding models of \( S_{x}^{2} (r) \) on large and small scales are discussed in Section 5 (Eqs. (117) and (143)). The one-dimensional enstrophy of smoothed velocity \( S_{\dot{x}}^{2} \) \( /2a^{2} \) (Eq. (73)), normalized by \( a^{2} \) with unit of \( (h/Mpc)^{2} \), i.e. the enstrophy contained in all scales above \( r \), is also plotted in dash lines.

Figure 13 presents the distribution of enstrophy \( E_{\dot{x}} (r) \) (Eq. (73)) in real space \( r \) at different redshift \( z \). With more halos formed with finite angular velocity (or vorticity), the enstrophy distribution gradually extends to smaller and smaller scales.
Figure 10. The variation of comoving length scales $r_{12}$ for $\sigma_d^2 (r_{12}) = \sigma_d^2 (a) = 0.5$ and $r_L$ for $L_2 (r_{12}) = T_2 (r)$ (Mpc/h) with scale factor $a$. A scaling of $r_{12} \propto a^{-5/2}$ and $r_L \propto a^{1/2}$ can be found. The scale $r_d \propto a$ is for the maximum velocity dispersion $(u_d^2)$ in Eq. (136) (see Fig. 21).

Figure 11. The real space distribution of energy $E_{\text{nr}} (r)$ (Eq. (32)) with unit of $h/Mpc$ on scale $r$ at different redshifts $z$ (normalized by $u^2 \langle a \rangle$). The (normalized) kinetic energy contained in large scale decreases with time, while the energy contained in small scale increases with time.

4.4 Velocity structure functions $S_1^L$ and one-fourth law

Figure 12. The variation of structure function $S_2^L (r)$ (normalized by $u^2$ in solid lines) with scale $r$ for different redshifts $z$. The variation of $S_2^L (r)/[2 r^2]$ (Eq. (73) normalized by $u^2$, i.e. the enstrophy contained in scales larger than $r$) is also presented in dash lines.

Figure 13. The distribution of enstrophy $E_{\text{nr}} (r)$ (Eq. (73) with unit of $(h/Mpc)^4$) in real space $r$ at different redshift $z$ (normalized by $u^2 \langle a \rangle$). In comoving system, the enstrophy contained in small scale increases with time, while the enstrophy contained in large scale decreases with time.

The dispersion function $\sigma_a^2 (r)$ should share the same power-law scaling with structure functions $S_2^L (r)$ (Eq. (70)) and $S_1^L (r)$ (Eq. (82)). The reason for a power-law scaling is briefly outlined here. Let’s first compute the potential energy for a sphere of radius $r$.

$$U (r) = -\int_0^r \frac{G}{2} \rho (y) M (y) \frac{y}{4 \pi y^2} dy \int_0^r \rho (x) 4 \pi x^2 dx,$$

(104)

where $M (y) = \int_0^y \rho (x) 4 \pi x^2 dx$ is the total mass in all shells with a radius smaller than $y$.

The density $\rho (y)$ in that sphere can be related to the correlation function $\xi (r)$. By assuming a power law of $\xi (r)$ ($\xi (r) = \xi_L (\frac{r}{a}) \propto r^{1/4}$)
(r/6.1)^{-1.75} in (Zehavi et al. 2002), density ρ (y) reads

$$\xi (r) = a^m (r/r_0)^{-n}$$

and

$$\rho (y) = \rho_0 (1 + \xi (y)) \approx \rho_0 a^m (y/r_0)^{-n},$$

where $r_0 \approx 3.08 Mpc/h$, $m \approx 2$, $n \approx 1.75$, and $\rho_0$ is the mean background density at $z=0$. Inserting density into Eq. (104) leads to

$$U (r) = \frac{3 - n}{5 - 2n} \frac{GM (r)}{r} = -\frac{4\pi G \rho_0}{5 - 2n} \frac{r}{r_0}^{2n-3} = -\frac{3a^m H_0^2 r^2}{2(5 - 2n)} \frac{r}{r_0}^{2n-3}. \quad (106)$$

The specific kinetic energy of that sphere can be written as $T (r) = 3/2\sigma_d^2$, where $\sigma_d^2$ is the one-dimensional velocity dispersion of particles in that sphere. Applying the virial theorem $2T (r) + yU (r) = 0$ leads to equation (where $\gamma$ is virial ratio),

$$\sigma_d^2 (r) = \frac{\gamma (H_0 r)^2}{2\pi^5} \frac{\int_0^r (1 + \xi (y)) (1 + \xi (y)) y^4 dy}{(1 + \xi (r))}. \quad (107)$$

For power-law density correlation in Eq. (105),

$$\sigma_d^2 (r) = \frac{\gamma a^m (H_0 r)^2}{2(5 - 2n)} \frac{r}{r_0}^{2n-3}. \quad (108)$$

The structure function can be computed from Eq. (82) (peculiar velocity is of constant divergence on small scale, where Eq. (82) can be applied) as,

$$S_2 (r) = \frac{\gamma (6 - n)(8 - n)}{24(5 - 2n)^2} a^m (H_0 r)^2 \frac{r}{r_0}^{2n-3}. \quad (109)$$

With $n = -7/4$, we can estimate $\sigma_d^2 (r) \approx 0.19\gamma a^m r^{1/4} u_0^2$ and $S_2 (r) \approx 0.3533\gamma a^m r^{1/4} u_0^2$, both of which follows a power-law scaling $\propto r^{1/4}$. Detail models for structure function are provided in Section 5 (Eqs. (116) and (137)).

Finally, the velocity dispersion tensor $\sigma_{dij} (r)$ was found from simulation by computing the average velocity dispersion within a sphere of radius $r$ centered around randomly selected particles. Figure 15 presents the six components of the velocity dispersion tensor varying with scale $r$, where red color indicates the value is negative. The off-diagonal terms are much smaller compared to the diagonal terms. The velocity dispersion tensor is isotropic with diagonal terms $\sigma_{d11} \approx \sigma_{d22} \approx \sigma_{d33}$ on all scales.

### 4.5 Longitudinal and transverse velocities on different scales

Figure 16 presents the correlation coefficients of longitudinal and transverse velocities ($\rho_L (r)$ and $\rho_T (r)$ in Eq. (20)) varying with scale $r$. The transverse correlation is positive $\rho_T (r) > 0$ for all scales, while the longitudinal correlation becomes negative for scales $r > r_2 \approx 21.4 Mpc/h$. It can be shown that $u_L$ (or $u_p$) and $u_T$ (or $u_p$) are strongly correlated (both $u_L$ and $u_T$ point to the same direction) on small scales with both $\rho_L$ and $\rho_T$ approaching 0.5 for small $r$. This is a distinct feature of SG-CFD (Eq. (58)) discussed in Section 3.3.1. By contrast, velocity is fully correlated $\rho_L = \rho_T = 1$ for incompressible collisional flow. On large scale with $r > r_2$, the longitudinal velocities $u_L$ and $u_L$ are inversely correlated. The increase of correlation coefficient between scales 1 and 4 Mpc/h may be due to the sharp drop in the velocity dispersion $\left(\langle u_L^2 \rangle \right)$ and $\langle u_T^2 \rangle$ (Fig. 22) also see Xu 2022g, Fig. 20).

Similarly, Fig. 17 plots the variation of $\langle \cos \theta_L \rangle$, $\langle \cos \theta_T \rangle$ (defined in Eqs. (21) and (22)) with scale $r$ at $z=0$, note that velocity $\mathbf{u}$ and vector $\mathbf{r}$ fields are independent in incompressible homogeneous turbulence. This is not true for SG-CFD since $\langle u_L \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{r} \rangle \neq 0$. Both fields are only statistically uncorrelated on small or large scales, but correlated on intermediate scale.

To further investigate the statistical properties of $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{r}$ fields, we identify all pairs of particles with a given separation $r$ and compute...
the histogram of three angles \( \theta_{\text{ur}}, \theta_T, \text{and } \theta_{\text{uu'}} \) in Eqs. (21) and (22). Figures 18 and 19 present the probability distribution of the cosine of three angles (i.e. \( \cos \theta_{\text{ur}}, \cos \theta_T, \text{and } \cos \theta_{\text{uu'}} \)) and the distribution of angles (i.e. \( \theta_{\text{ur}}, \theta_T, \text{and } \theta_{\text{uu'}} \)) on three scales of \( r \) at \( z=0 \).

The cosine of angle between \( \mathbf{u} \) and \( \mathbf{r} \), i.e. \( \cos \theta_{\text{ur}} \), has a uniform distribution on both small and large scales where all pairs are either from the same or different halos (\( r=0.1 \text{Mpc}/h \) and \( r=100 \text{Mpc}/h \)). Namely, \( \mathbf{u} \) and \( \mathbf{r} \) fields are uncorrelated on small and large scales. For a particle with a given \( \mathbf{u} \), the unit vector \( \hat{\mathbf{r}} \) is uniformly distributed on a unit sphere (distribution is isotropic with equal probability to find another particle separated by \( r \) along any direction). However, on the intermediate scale where pairs of particles can be either from same halos or different halos (\( r = 1.3 \text{Mpc}/h \)), the skewed distribution of angle \( \theta_{\text{ur}} \) indicates that for a particle with given \( \mathbf{u} \), probability to find another particles is not isotropic and prefers an angle \( \theta_{\text{ur}} > \pi/2 \).

The distribution of angle \( \theta_T \) skews toward \( \theta_T < \pi/2 \) on small scale where two transverse velocities are strongly correlated. Angle \( \theta_T \) shifts to uniform distribution between [0 \( \pi \)] on large scale where two transverse velocities \( \mathbf{u}_T \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{u}}_T \) are uncorrelated.

The angle \( \theta_{\text{uu'}} \), also skews toward \( \theta_{\text{uu'}} < \pi/2 \) on small scale due to strong gravity and \( \cos \theta_{\text{uu'}} \) has a uniform distribution on large scale where \( \mathbf{u} \) and \( \mathbf{u} \) are uncorrelated. On large scale, the possibility to find the velocity \( \mathbf{u}' \) is same along any direction for a given \( \mathbf{u} \).

5 MODELS FOR VARIOUS STATISTICAL MEASURES

In this section, we present models for second order statistical measures from N-body simulation. The kinematic relations in Section 3 and simulation results in Section 4 can be combined to provide simple models for density, velocity, and potential fields. Since the self-gravitating collisionless dark matter flow has two distinct regimes: 1) irrotational flow on large scale, and 2) constant divergence flow on small scale, models for each regime are discussed separately followed by combined models for the entire range.

5.1 Statistical measures on large scale (linear regime)

5.1.1 Velocity correlation/dispersion/structure functions

The N-body simulation (Fig. 6) strongly suggests an exponential form for transverse velocity correlation function on large scale,

\[
T_2 (r, a) = a_0 a^2 \exp \left(-\frac{r}{r_2^2} \right),
\]  

(110)

which should be an intrinsic property of large-scale dynamics. Here pre-factor \( a_0 (a) \) is function of time and \( r_2 = 21.3 \text{Mpc}/h \) is a charac-
functions of the same order can be derived easily. For irrotational flow, the relation between three scalar correlation functions (Eq. (47)) enables us to derive the longitudinal correlation function,

\[ L_2 (r, a) = a_0 u^2 \exp \left( -\frac{r}{r_2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (111)

with \( L_2 = 0 \) at \( r = r_2 \). The plot of \( L_2 \) at different redshift \( z \) in Fig. 7 clearly indicates that \( r_2 = 21.3 \text{Mpc}/h \) should be relatively independent of time or redshift.

The total correlation function (See Fig. 8) reads

\[ R_2 (r, a) = \left( \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) = 2R (r) = a_0 u^2 \exp \left( -\frac{r}{r_2} \right) \left(3 - \frac{r}{r_2} \right), \]  \hspace{1cm} (112)

with \( R_2 = 0 \) at \( r = 3r_2 \). The \( m \)th order moment of \( R_2 (r) \) is

\[ \int_0^\infty R_2 (r) m^m dr = (2 - m) \Gamma (1 + m) r_2^{2m} a_0 u^2, \]  \hspace{1cm} (113)

where \( a_0 = 0.45a \) and \( r_2 = 23.13 \text{Mpc}/h \). In principle, the constant comoving lengths scale \( r_2 \) should be related to the size of sound horizon, as shown in Eq. (122).

The correlation length of velocity field (Eq. (51)),

\[ l_{u0} = \frac{1}{a_0} \int_0^\infty R (r) dr = \frac{1}{2a_0} \int_0^\infty R_2 (r) dr = 2a_0 r_2. \]  \hspace{1cm} (114)

The velocity dispersion \( \sigma_u^2 (r) \) on scale \( r \) reads (from Eq. (30))

\[ \sigma_u^2 (r) = \frac{3}{2} a_0 u^2 \left\{ -15 \left( \frac{r_2}{r} \right)^4 + 3 \left( \frac{r_2}{r} \right)^2 + \exp \left(-\frac{2r}{r_2} \right) \right\}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (115)

where \( \sigma_u^2 (r) \propto r^{-4} \) for large \( r \rightarrow \infty \) and \( \sigma_u^2 (r) = a_0 u^2 \propto a \) for \( r \rightarrow 0 \). Comparison with N-body simulation is provided in Fig. 9.

The second order longitudinal structure function \( S^l_2 (r) \) on large scale reads (from Eq. (88)),

\[ S^l_2 (r) = 2u^2 \left[ 1 + a_0 \left( \frac{r}{r_2} - 1 \right) \exp \left(-\frac{r}{r_2} \right) \right], \]  \hspace{1cm} (116)

while the second order structure function \( S^s_2 (r) \) can be found from Eqs. (67) and (76),

\[ S^s_2 (r) = \frac{3}{2} a_0 u^2 \left[ 1 + 3 \left( \frac{r_2}{r} \right)^2 \left( \frac{r_2}{r} \right)^2 \right] \left( \frac{r_2}{r} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{2r}{r_2} \right) \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r_2}{r} \right)^2 \right] \left( \frac{r_2}{r} \right)^2 + 6 \left( \frac{r_2}{r} \right)^4 \right]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (117)

The correlation function of the vorticity field vanishes on large scale \( R_\alpha = 0 \) because of the irrotational nature, while the correlation of divergence field can be derived from Eq. (102),

\[ R_{\theta} (r, a) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) \right\} = \frac{a_0 u^2}{2r_2} \exp \left(-\frac{r}{r_2} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right)^2 - 7 \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right)^3 + 8 \right]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (118)

5.1.2 Density/potential correlation functions

We first introduce a log-density field \( \eta (x) = \log (1 + \delta) = \delta \) and \( \eta (x) \approx \delta (x) \) for small overdensity \( \delta \). For weakly nonlinear regime, the well-established Zeldovich approximation (Zeldovich 1970) and
linear perturbation theory relate the density $\delta(x)$ to potential $\phi(x)$ and velocity fields,
\[
\delta \approx \eta = - \frac{\nabla \cdot u}{aHf(\Omega_m)} , \quad u = \frac{Hf(\Omega_m)}{4\pi G \rho a} \nabla \phi ,
\]
and
\[
\delta \approx \eta = \frac{\nabla^2 \phi}{4\pi G \rho a^2} ,
\]
where $f(\Omega_m)$ is a function of matter content $\Omega_m$ and $f(\Omega_m = 1) = 1$ for matter dominant model. With the help of Eqs. (102) and (119), the density correlation on large scale should read,
\[
\xi(r, a) \approx \left( \delta(x) \cdot \delta(x) \right) = \frac{\left( \theta(x) \cdot \theta(x) \right)}{(aHf(\Omega_m))^2} = - \frac{1}{(aHf(\Omega_m))^2} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial R^2}{\partial r} \right) \right].
\]
Density correlation can be modelled as (with $R_2$ from Eq. (112)),
\[
\xi(r, a) = \frac{a_0u^2(r_r^2)}{(aHf(\Omega_m))^2} \exp \left( - \frac{r}{r_r^2} \right) \left( \frac{r}{r_r^2} \right)^2 - 7 \left( \frac{r}{r_r^2} \right) + 8 \right].
\]
The derivative of density correlation identifies the peak of correlation
\[
\frac{d\xi}{dr} = - \frac{a_0u^2(r_r^2)}{(aHf(\Omega_m))^2} \exp \left( - \frac{r}{r_r^2} \right) \left( \frac{r}{r_r^2} \right)^3 - 8 \left( \frac{r}{r_r^2} \right)^2 + 8 \left( \frac{r}{r_r^2} \right) + 8 \right].
\]
Two peaks of correlations can be found at $2r_2$ and $(3+\sqrt{13})r_2 \approx 6.6r_2$, respectively. In principle, $r_2$ may be related to the size of sound horizon $r_s$ (Eisenstein et al. 2005), the peak in the correlation function. The nth order moment of the density correlations reads
\[
\int_0^\infty \xi(r) r^m dr = \frac{a_0u^2^m}{(aHf(\Omega_m))^2} (m-4) (m-2) \Gamma(m).
\]
The averaged correlation $\bar{\xi}(r, a)$ on large scale should read,
\[
\bar{\xi}(r, a) = 3 \int_0^\infty \xi(y, a) dy = - \frac{3}{r^2} \frac{\partial R^2}{\partial r} \left( \frac{r}{r_r^2} \right)^3 - 8 \left( \frac{r}{r_r^2} \right)^2 + 8 \left( \frac{r}{r_r^2} \right) + 8 \right].
\]
Equation (124) can be combined with pair conservation equation (Peebles 1980) to derive the mean pairwise velocity on large scale (see Xu 2022g, Eq. (48)).

Figure 21 presents the comparison of density correlation model in Eq. (121) with $\xi(r)$ from simulation at $z=0$. The model of $\xi(r)$ derived from velocity correlation function agrees well with simulation on large scale. Model agrees with $\ln(1+\xi(r))$ even better. The proposed model can be applied to a wider range for the correlation of log-density field $\eta(x) = \log(1 + \delta)$.

\[
R_{\nabla^2 \phi} = - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r^2 \frac{\partial R^2}{\partial r} \right] = \frac{\partial^2 R^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{4}{r} \frac{\partial^3 R^2}{\partial r^3}.
\]

The correlation function of the potential field can be finally found as,
\[
R_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi(x) \cdot \phi \left( x \right) \right) = 9 \left( \frac{aH}{f(\Omega_m)} \right)^2 a_0u^2 r_r^2 \exp \left( - \frac{r}{r_r^2} \right) \left( \frac{r}{r_r^2} \right) + 1 \right],
\]
where $R_\phi \propto a^0$ such that the potential fluctuation $\langle \phi^2 \rangle$ is independent of time on large scale, i.e. a constant potential perturbation in the linear regime.

### 5.1.3 Velocity/density/potential spectrum in Fourier space

With all correlation functions developed, the corresponding spectrum functions in Fourier space can be easily obtained. The spectrum of velocity (from Eq. (25)) reads
\[
E_u(k) = a_0u^2 \frac{8}{\pi r_r^2} \frac{k^2}{1 + \left( k r_r^2 \right)^2}.
\]

where $E_u(k) \propto k^4$ for $kr_r^2 \ll 1$. Note that $E_u(k) \propto k^{-2}$ for $kr_r^2 \gg 1$ (a signature of the Burger’s equation in the weakly nonlinear regime). The maximum $E_u(k)$ is
\[
E_u(k_{\text{max}}) = \frac{256}{125\pi} r_r a_0u^2 \text{ with } k_{\text{max}} r_r = \sqrt{2}.
\]

The spectrum of divergence is obtained from correlation in Eq. (118),
\[
E_\theta(k) = a_0u^2 \frac{8}{\pi r_r^2} \frac{1}{1 + \left( k r_r^2 \right)^2}.
\]
The density spectrum $E_\delta (k)$ can be obtained from the density correlation in Eq. (121),

$$E_\delta (k) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \xi (r, a) k r \sin (kr) dr = \frac{16 a_0 u^2}{(a H f (\Omega_m))^2 \pi r_2 \left(1 + 1/(kr_2)^2\right)^3}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (132)

The usual matter power spectrum $P_\delta (k, a)$ is related to the density spectrum $E_\delta (k, a)$ as,

$$P_\delta (k, a) = 2\pi^2 E_\delta (k, a)/k^2 = \frac{32\pi a_0 u^2 r_2}{(a H f (\Omega_m))^2 \left(k r_2\right)^2 \left(1 + 1/(k r_2)^2\right)^3}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (133)

With $k_{\text{max}} r_2 = \sqrt{2}$, the maximum matter power spectrum is

$$P_\delta (k_{\text{max}}, a) = \frac{128\pi a_0 u^2 r_2}{27 (a H f (\Omega_m))^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (134)

The spectrum of potential field $E_\phi (k)$ can be obtained from Fourier transform of Eq. (128),

$$E_\phi (k) = \frac{18}{\pi r_2} \left(\frac{a H}{f (\Omega_m)}\right)^2 \frac{a_0 u^2 k^{-4}}{\left(1 + 1/(k r_2)^2\right)^3}.  \hspace{1cm} (135)$$

### 5.1.4 Modeling the longitudinal structure function on large scale

The model for structure function $S_L^2 (r)$ is presented in Eq. (116). With longitudinal correlation function $L_2 (r)$ derived on large scale (Eq. (111)), the other second order structure function $S_P^2 (r) = \left(\langle \Delta u_L \rangle^2\right) = 2 \left(\langle u_L^2 \rangle - L_2 (r)\right)$ (the dispersion of pairwise velocity $\Delta u_L$ in Eq. (53)) requires a detailed model of longitudinal velocity dispersion function $\langle u_L^2 \rangle$.

Figure 22 presents the variation of $\langle u_L^2 \rangle$ with scale $r$ at different redshifts $z$. Initially $\langle u_L^2 \rangle = u^2$ on all scales at early $z$ such that $S_L^2 (r) = S_L^2 (r)$. With halo structure gradually formed, $\langle u_L^2 \rangle$ quickly converges to $2u^2$ on small scale where pair of particles are from the same halo, as discussed in Section 3.3.1 (Eq. (59)). The comoving length scale $r_d$ at which $\langle u_L^2 \rangle$ is at its maximum increases with time, where $r_d \approx 0.7aMpc/h$ (Fig. 10) might be related to the size of halos that increases with time.

Inspired by the functional form of correlation functions in Section 5.1.1, a good equation to fit $\langle u_L^2 \rangle$ at large scale can be found as,

$$\langle u_L^2 \rangle = u^2 \left[1 + a_d \exp \left(-\frac{r}{r_{d1}}\right) - 1 - a_d \exp \left(-\frac{r}{r_{d2}}\right)\right].  \hspace{1cm} (136)$$

where $a_d = 0.44a^3/4$, $r_{d1} = 11.953Mpc/h$ and $r_{d2} = 27.4a^3/4Mpc/h$. The dispersion of pairwise velocity $\langle (\Delta u_L)^2 \rangle$ on large scale can be easily obtained with Eqs. (136) and (53). Its behavior on small scale follows a two-thirds law that is discussed in a separate paper (Xu 2022g) that might be also relevant to predict dark matter particle mass and properties (Xu 2022h). Figure 23 presents the variation of $\langle u_L^2 \rangle - 1$ with scale $r$ at different redshifts $z$, compared with model in Eq. (136).

### 5.2 Statistical measures on small scale (nonlinear regime)

#### 5.2.1 Structure functions on small scale (nonlinear regime)

The small-scale structure functions follow a power-law scaling, as suggested from the N-body simulation data and virial theorem discussed in Section 4.4. The longitudinal structure function $S_L^2 (r) = 2 \left(u^2 - L_2 (r)\right)$ (Eq. (55)) follows a power-law on small scale as $S_L^2 (r) \propto r^{1/4}$. Figure 24 plots the variation of $S_L^2 (r)$ with
scale $r$ at different redshift $z$. A power-law scaling of $S^l_2(r) \propto r^{1/4}$ is fully developed on small scale after $z=1.0$ with more halos formed in the system. From data in Fig. 24, we model the longitudinal structure function in a general power-law form as

$$S^l_2(r) = 2a^2 \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n,$$  \hfill (137)

with $n \approx 1/4$ and $r_1 (a) \approx r_0 a^{-3}$ is a length scale dependent on the scale factor $a$ with $r_0 = 19.4 \text{Mpc}/h$. The one-fourth power-law scaling of $S^l_2$ was discussed in Section 4.4 (Eq. (109)) that might be related to the power-law scaling of density correlation $\xi(r)$ via virial theorem. Model for the other longitudinal structure function $S_2^l$ (two-thirds law) is discussed in a separate paper that can be related to the energy production rate $\epsilon_u$ (Xu 2021e).

### 5.2.2 Correlation/structure/dispersion functions on small scale

With structure function from Eq. (137) and kinematic relation in Eq. (82), the longitudinal velocity correlation $L_2(r)$ has the form of,

$$L_2(r) = u^2 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n \right].$$  \hfill (138)

Similarly, kinematic relations developed in Section 3 can be used to derive the total velocity correlations (Eq. (39)) and transverse correlation from Eq. (23),

$$R_2 = u^2 \left[ 3 - (3 + n) \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n \right].$$  \hfill (139)

$$T_2 = u^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{2 + n}{2} \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n \right].$$  \hfill (140)

On small scale, the velocity dispersion function reads (from Eq. (30))

$$\sigma_x^2(r) = \frac{24 \cdot 2^n}{(4 + n)(6 + n)} u^2 \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n \approx 1.0745 u^2 \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n$$  \hfill (141)

for $n = 1/4$.

Corresponding structure functions are (from Eqs. (55) and (67))

$$S^l_2(r) = 2a^2 \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n \quad \text{and} \quad S^t_2(r) = 2 \left( 3 + n \right) u^2 \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n.$$  \hfill (142)

The structure function for enstrophy is (from Eq. (76))

$$S_2(r) = \frac{6n (3 + n) \cdot 2^n}{(4 + n) (2 + n)} u^2 \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n = 0.6063 a^2 \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n.$$  \hfill (143)

On small scale, the peculiar velocity has a constant divergence such that $R_0 = 0$. The vorticity correlation can be obtained from Eq. (99),

$$R_\omega = \frac{1}{2} \left( \omega \cdot x \right) = n \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n \left( \frac{n}{2r^2} \right) a^2 \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n.$$  \hfill (144)

The corresponding velocity and vorticity spectrum functions are (from Eqs. (25) and (103)):

$$E_u(k) = C_au^2 r_1^{-n} k^{-(1+n)} \quad \text{and} \quad E_\omega(k) = C_\omega u^2 r_1^{-n} k^{1-n}.$$  \hfill (145)

where with parameters $n = 1/4$, $r_1 = 19.4 a^{-3} \text{Mpc}/h$, the constant $C = - 2 (3 + n) \Gamma ((n + 3)/2) \Gamma (-n/2) = 0.4485.$  \hfill (146)

The other two power spectrums $P_u(k) \propto E_u(k)/k^2$ and $P_\omega(k) \propto E_\omega(k)/k^2$.

### 5.3 Statistical measures on entire range of scales

With correlation functions determined on both small and large scales, we are now ready to model correlation functions for the entire range of flow. The goal is to provide a smooth and differentiable velocity correlations for the entire range such that the correlations of vorticity and divergence can be obtained as derivatives of velocity correlations (Eqs. (96) and (97)). The modeling results in this section are good for redshift $z=0$, while the same method can be extended to model correlation functions at any redshift.

We first introduce a general method to smoothly connect two known functions $f_1(r)$ and $f_2(r)$,

$$f_{(i\,\, m)}(r) = f_1(r) \left( 1 - s(r)^{m} \right) + f_2(r) s(r)^{m},$$  \hfill (147)

where $s(r)$ is the interpolation function to smoothly connect $f_1(r)$ and $f_2(r)$ with

$$s(r) = \frac{1}{1 + x_\alpha e^{-(r-x_\alpha)/x_\alpha}}.$$  \hfill (148)

With the property that $s(r \gg x_\alpha) = 1$ and $s(r \ll x_\alpha) = 0$, $f_{(i\,\, m)}(r \gg x_\alpha) = f_2(r)$ and $f_{(i\,\, m)}(r \ll x_\alpha) = f_1(r)$, where $x_\alpha$, $x_\beta$, $x_\gamma$, $n_1$, and $n_2$ are parameters for each smooth connection.

The velocity correlation function $R_2(r)$ will be modelled first as an example. Here two functions corresponding to $R_2(r)$ on small and large scales are (Eqs. (139) and (112)):

$$f_1(r) = R_{2s}(r) = 3 - (3 + n) \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right)^n$$  \hfill (149)

and

$$f_2(r) = R_{2l}(r) = a_0 \exp \left( - \frac{r}{r_2} \right)^{3 - \frac{r}{r_2}}.$$  \hfill (150)

The fitted correlation function for entire range can be expressed as

$$R_{2,(i\,\, m)}(r) = R_{2s}(1 - s(r)^{n_1}) + R_{2l}(s(r)^{n_2}).$$  \hfill (151)

Here five fitting parameters can be obtained by minimizing the discrepancy between $R_{2,(i\,\, m)}(r)$ and the correlation function from N-body simulation. The longitudinal and transverse correlations can
be modelled in a similar way. The five fitting parameters for three correlation functions are listed in the first three rows in Table 3.

Table 2. Key parameters for correlation functions at $z=0$

| n  | $a_0$ (km/s) | $u_0$ (Mpc/h) | $r_1$ (Mpc/h) | $r_2$ (Mpc/h) | $r_3$ (Mpc/h) | $r_1$ (Mpc/h) |
|----|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 0.25 | 0.45 | 354.61 | 19.4 | 23,132 | 12.5 | 1.3 |

Table 3. Fitting parameters for modeling correlation functions

| $R_{f(fit)}$ = ($R_3$ $\Rightarrow$ $R_1$) | $x_a$ (Mpc/h) | $x_b$ (Mpc/h) | $x_c$ (Mpc/h) | $n_1$ | $n_2$ |
|------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------|------|
| $R_{1(fit)}$ = ($R_{1m}$ $\Rightarrow$ $R_{fit}$) | 2.70 | 1.35 | 1.83 | 0.59 | 1.09 |
| $L_{2(fit)}$ = ($L_2$ $\Rightarrow$ $L_{2f}$) | 3.62 | 2.02 | 6.36 | 0.78 | 3.52 |
| $T_{2(fit)}$ = ($T_2$ $\Rightarrow$ $T_{2f}$) | 1.28 | 2.64 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 1.57 |
| $R_{1(fit)}$ = ($R_{1m}$ $\Rightarrow$ $R_{fit}$) | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.5 | 7.2 |
| $R_{2(fit)}$ = ($R_{m}$ $\Rightarrow$ $R_{2f}$) | 1.10 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

Fig. 25. The second order velocity correlation functions (solid lines) with fitting parameters in Tables 2 and 3. Good agreement can be found when compared to original correlation functions (dash lines) from N-body simulation. All correlations are normalized by the velocity dispersion $u_0^2$ at $z=0$.

6 CONCLUSIONS

Understanding the velocity field is critical for the dynamics of self-gravitating collisionless dark matter flow (SG-CFD). To describe the random and multiscale nature of velocity field, different statistical measures are introduced that involve the correlation, structure, dispersion functions in real space and spectrum functions in Fourier space. Being intrinsically much more complicated than incompressible flow, velocity in SG-CFD exhibits a scale-dependent flow behavior, i.e. constant divergence on small scale and irrotational nature on large scale (Fig. 5).

To understand the nature of flow across entire range of scales, fundamental kinematic relations between different statistical measures...

\[ R_0(r) = \text{dominant on large scale (irrotational flow)} \]
\[ R_{\theta}(r) = \text{dominant on small scale (constant divergence flow)} \]
\[ \theta \text{ is slightly negatively correlated on scale } r > 30Mpc/h, \text{ while the vorticity } \omega \text{ is negatively correlated for scale } r \text{ between 1Mpc/h and 7Mpc/h (pair of particles from different halos) and positively correlated on small scales } r < 1Mpc/h (pair of particles from the same halo). \]
need to be developed for different types of flow. Current discussion is restricted to the homogeneous and isotropic flow with translational and rotational symmetry in space. This greatly simplifies the development of kinematic relations for incompressible, constant divergence, and irrotational flow.

The incompressible and constant divergence flow share the same kinematic relations for even order correlations, while they can be different for odd order correlations. One example is that the first order velocity correlation tensor \( Q_1(r) \equiv 0 \) (Eq. (6)) for incompressible flow, while \( Q_1(r) \equiv \text{const} \) is required for constant divergence flow (Eq. (9)). Both types of flow have the same kinematic relations for second order correlations.

For second order velocity correlation tensor \( Q_{ij}(r) \), three scalar correlation functions (total \( R_2 \), longitudinal \( E_L \), and transverse \( E_T \) in Figs. 6, 7 and 8) can be obtained by contraction of indices (Eqs. (16) to (18)), with kinematic relations in Eqs. (39) and (47) for three different types of flow. These relations are then used to characterize the nature of SG-CFPD flow. Simulation results confirm the constant divergence flow on small and irrotational flow on large scales (Eq. (52) and Fig. 5). The velocity dispersion functions \( \sigma_{uu}^2(r) \) and \( \sigma_{vv}^2(r) \) (Eqs. (27) and (29) and Fig. 9) represent the kinetic energy contained below or above a given scale \( r \) and are related to the correlation function \( R_2 \) (Eq. (30)). The function \( E_{ux} \) describes the real-space energy distribution on different scales that contains the same information as velocity spectrum function (Eq. (32) and Fig. 11).

Two definitions of longitudinal structure functions \( S_{ij}^{2l} \) and \( S_{ij}^{2t} \) are introduced (Eqs. (53), (55) and Figs. 12, 24). Both definitions are equivalent for incompressible flow and are different for dark matter flow due to the collisionless nature. The limiting correlation of longitudinal velocity on the smallest scale \( \rho_L (r=0) = 0.5 \) is a distinct feature of collisionless flow (Eq. (58) and Fig. 16), while \( \rho_L (r=0) = 1 \) for incompressible flow. Assuming gravity is the only interaction and no radiation produced from particle "annihilation" on the smallest scale, this feature leads to an increase in particle mass converted from kinetic energy during annihilation (Eq. (65)).

The other two structure functions \( S_{ij}^{2l} \) and \( S_{ij}^{2t} \) in Figs. 12 and 14 are used to represent the kinetic energy below a given scale and the total energy above a given scale. They are related to each other via Eqs. (76) and to dispersion functions via Eq. (70). The real-space energy distribution on different scales (function \( E_{ux} \)) can be obtained directly from \( S_{ij}^{2l} \) (Eq. (78) and Fig. 13). The correlation functions of vorticity and divergence are also analytically developed for different types of flow (Eqs. (95) to (97)).

On large scale, the transverse velocity correlation follows a simple exponential form (Eq. (110) and Fig. 6) with a single co-moving constant length scale \( r_s \). All other second order velocity correlation/structure/dispersion functions can be analytically derived using kinematic relations for irrotational flow (Eqs. (111) to (118)). The density and potential correlations on large scale can be derived accordingly (Eqs. (121) to (128) and Fig. 21), along with the spectrum functions of velocity, density, and potential in Eqs. (129) to (135).

On small scale, the longitudinal structure function exhibits a one-fourth power law \( S_{ij}^{2l} (r) \propto r^{1/4} \) (Eq. (137) and Fig. 24), which can be derived using density correlation (Eqs. (108) and (109)) and virial theorem. Similarly, all other correlation and structure functions are obtained analytically using the kinematic relations for constant divergence flow on small scale (Eq. (138) to (144)). The spectrum function of velocity follows \( E_u (k) \propto k^{-5/4} \) (or equivalently \( P_u (k) \propto k^{-13/4} \)) and vorticity spectrum follows \( E_\omega (k) \propto k^{3/4} \) (or equivalently \( P_\omega (k) \propto k^{-5/4} \)).

Finally, the correlation functions for entire range of scales can be constructed by smoothly connecting correlations on small and large scales with a given interpolation function (Eq. (148) and Fig. 25). The divergence and vorticity correlations on entire range of scales can be obtained and presented in Fig. 26. The vorticity \( \omega \) is negatively correlated on intermediate scale \( r \) between 1Mpc/h and 7Mpc/h, while divergence \( \theta \) is negatively correlated on large scale \( >30Mpc/h \) that leads to a negative correlation in matter density (Fig. 21).

In this paper, the kinematics provides relations between statistical measures of the same order. Dynamic equations for evolution of velocity field are needed to derive the dynamic relations between statistical measures on different orders. Future work involves the general kinematic relations for high order statistical measures and dynamic relations on different scales (Xu 2022f, see).

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

Two datasets underlying this article, i.e. a halo-based and correlation-based statistics of dark matter flow, are available on Zenodo (Xu 2022a,b), along with the accompanying presentation slides "A comparative study of dark matter flow & hydrodynamic turbulence and its applications" (Xu 2022c). All data files are also available on GitHub (Xu 2022d).
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