Prevalence of Sleep Disturbances and Sleep Quality in Chinese Healthcare Workers During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Lei Xia1†, Changhao Chen2†, Zhiqiang Liu3†, Xiangfen Luo1,4, Chunya Guo3, Zhiwei Liu5, Kai Zhang1* and Huanzhong Liu1*

1 Department of Psychiatry, Chaohu Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China, 2 Department of Psychiatry, Suzhou Second People’s Hospital, Suzhou, China, 3 Department of General Medicine, Chaohu Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China, 4 Department of Psychiatry, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College, Bengbu, China, 5 Department of Psychiatry, Fuyang Third People’s Hospital, Fuyang, China

Objectives: Healthcare workers (HWs) experienced high levels of work stress during the COVID-19 pandemic, leading to a high risk of sleep disturbances. This meta-analysis aimed to explore the prevalence of sleep disturbances and sleep quality in Chinese HWs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: English (PubMed, EMBASE, PsycINFO, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library) and Chinese databases (WanFang, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, and SinoMed) were systematically and independently searched for relevant studies published from December 1, 2019, to May 20, 2020. The pooled prevalence of sleep disturbances and sleep quality were calculated using a random-effects model.

Results: A total of 17 studies involving 12,682 Chinese HWs were included in the meta-analysis. The pooled prevalence of sleep disturbances in Chinese HWs was 45.1% (95% CI: 37.2–53.1%). We found that the prevalence of sleep disturbances varied among frontline, infected, and non-frontline HWs ($Q = 96.96, p < 0.001$); females and males ($Q = 9.10, p = 0.003$); studies using different assessment instruments ($Q = 96.05, p < 0.001$); and studies with different sample sizes ($Q = 5.77, p = 0.016$) and cut-off values ($Q = 62.28, p < 0.001$). The pooled mean total score of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) was 9.83 (95% CI: 8.61–11.04). HWs in Wuhan had a higher total PSQI score than those in other regions ($Q = 9.21, p = 0.002$).

Conclusion: Sleep disturbances were common in Chinese HWs during the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly in frontline and infected HWs. Our results indicate the heavy mental health burden on HWs during the COVID-19 pandemic in China and can provide other countries with valuable information to assist HWs during the crisis.
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INTRODUCTION

In December 2019, the 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) was officially reported for the first time in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China; it then spread rapidly worldwide (1). Patients infected with COVID-19 may develop acute respiratory distress syndrome, which is associated with a high risk of intensive care unit (ICU) admission and mortality (2). By the end of May 2020, more than 84,000 people in China had been confirmed to have COVID-19 (3).

In recent months, people have been directed to stay at home to minimize the spread of COVID-19; however, healthcare workers (HWs) are at high risk of infection due to the nature of their work in fighting the virus. According to official statistics, a total of 3,387 Chinese HWs were infected with COVID-19 during the COVID-19 pandemic, and more than 90% of them were from Hubei (4). As the number of cases in China increased, HWs had to care for an increasing number of confirmed and suspected cases that required strict isolation. Due heavy workloads, HWs tended to experience excessive fatigue, tension, and even exhaustion (5). They were worried about themselves and their families being infected, and they were also concerned about their family members’ worrying about them. In addition, they may have become overexcited in clinical work and refused reasonable rest to ensure their health (6).

A previous study in Toronto reported that 29% of HWs suffered from emotional distress during the acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak in 2003 (7). Another study in Hong Kong found that 68% of frontline HWs experienced high levels of stress, and 57% reported psychological distress (8). COVID-19 has a stronger ability to spread than SARS (9). The COVID-19 pandemic was more panic-inducing than the SARS epidemic and created new challenges regarding the mental health of key population subgroups, such as HWs. HWs experienced high levels of work stress during the COVID-19 pandemic, which may have led to an increased risk of sleep disturbances (10, 11).

Poor sleep can weaken HWs’ attention and decision-making ability and reduce clinical work efficiency (12), which may hinder the fight against COVID-19. Moreover, sleep disturbances can contribute to the development of many other mental health problems in HWs, such as depression and anxiety (13–15), and may have an impact on their long-term health (16). Undoubtedly, sleep disturbances are very harmful to HWs and deserve our attention. If HWs have early signs of mental health problems, such as poor sleep quality or insomnia complaints, early interventions should be performed to minimize the risk of mental illness.

The prevalence of sleep disturbances in Chinese HWs during the COVID-19 pandemic varies greatly among studies, ranging from 11.3 to 100% (17, 18). To date, there is no meta-analysis of the prevalence of sleep disturbances and sleep quality in Chinese HWs during the COVID-19 pandemic despite the fact that many relevant studies have been published in Chinese. Thus, we conducted this meta-analysis to explore the prevalence of sleep disturbances and sleep quality in Chinese HWs during the COVID-19 pandemic using English- and Chinese-language databases and the possible reasons for the inconsistencies in the current estimates.

METHODS

Search Strategy

This study was conducted and reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (19) and the Meta-analyses Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) recommendations (20). The study was registered in PROSPERO under registration number CRD42020186785.

Two independent investigators (LX and Z-QL) systematically searched English databases (i.e., PubMed, Embase, PsycINFO, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library) and Chinese databases (i.e., WanFang, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure [CNKI], and SinoMed) for articles published from December 1, 2019, to May 20, 2020, using the following terms: (“sleep disorder” OR “sleep disturbance” OR “insomnia” OR “sleep problem” OR “sleep symptom” OR “sleep quality”) AND (“epidemiology” OR “cross-sectional study” OR “prevalence”) AND (“COVID-19” OR “SARS-CoV-2” OR “2019-nCoV” OR “SARS-CoV-2”) AND (“health personnel” OR “medical staff” OR “healthcare workers”). An additional search was also performed manually by inspecting the references of the identified studies.

Study Selection

Studies were included if they fulfilled the following criteria: (a) the subjects were HWs, including doctors, nurses, and other HWs (such as hospital administration staff), who worked in hospitals or other medical institutes; (b) the studies had cross-sectional or cohort study designs (we extracted only the baseline data) and conducted in China during the COVID-19 pandemic; (c) the studies included data on the prevalence of sleep disturbances as measured by sleep questionnaires (such as the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index [PSQI], Insomnia Severity Index [ISI], and Athens insomnia scale [AIS]) or standard diagnostic criteria, and/or sleep quality was measured by the PSQI with means and standard deviations reported. Of note, studies with unclear assessment instruments or studies that reported neither the prevalence of sleep disturbances nor the mean score of sleep quality were excluded. Case studies, reviews, and duplicate articles were also excluded. Two investigators (LX and Z-QL) screened the potentially relevant studies independently. Any disagreement during the study selection process was resolved through discussion with a third investigator (HL).

Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

Two investigators (LX and Z-QL) conducted data extraction independently, and a third investigator (HL) checked the final data. The following information was extracted from each identified study: first author, publication year, geographic location, study time (when each study was conducted), sampling methods, sample size, study design, proportion of HWs in Wuhan, proportion of frontline HWs, proportion of infected...
HWS, proportion of female HWs, mean age, assessment instruments, cut-off scores for sleep disturbances, number of HWs with sleep disturbances, and mean score of sleep quality. During data extraction, frontline HWs were considered to be HWs who were currently working in fever clinics and designated isolation hospitals or wards to care for confirmed and suspected COVID-19 patients (21). Infected HWs were those HWs who had been diagnosed with COVID-19 before the investigation began and were not included in frontline or non-frontline HW groups. In this study, the main outcome was the prevalence of sleep disturbances and the secondary outcome was sleep quality measured by the PSQI, with means and standard deviations reported. We evaluated the quality of each included study using an 8-item assessment tool for epidemiological studies, with a total score of 0–8 (high quality: ≥7; moderate quality: 4–6; and low quality: ≤3) (22, 23).

### Statistical Analysis

We conducted data synthesis and analysis using STATA version 12.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA) and Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Version 2 (Biostat Inc., Englewood, NJ, USA). As in previous studies (24, 25), the random-effects model was used to calculate the pooled prevalence of sleep disturbances and the mean total score of the PSQI with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The $I^2$ statistic was used to estimate the studies’ heterogeneity, with $I^2 > 50\%$ indicating significant heterogeneity (26). Subgroup analyses of pooled prevalence were performed considering the following variables: assessment instrument, sex, area (Wuhan/other regions), type of HWs (frontline/non-frontline/infected HWs), professional group (doctors/nurses), sample size (<927 or ≥927), and PSQI cut-off values (≥7 or ≥7). If more than 10 studies were included, meta-regression analyses were performed to detect heterogeneity in continuous variables (i.e., sample size, mean age, and study quality) (27). Publication bias was evaluated with funnel plots and Egger’s test (28). The “trim and fill” method was used to estimate the number of missing studies using a random-effects model with a “linear” estimator. Sensitivity analysis was conducted by subtracting each study sequentially and evaluating the consistency of the results to identify studies that may affect the prevalence and the total scores. Statistical significance was set at a $P$-value of 0.05 (two-tailed).

### RESULTS

#### Search Results

A total of 96 potentially relevant studies were initially identified. After removing duplicate records, the titles and abstracts of 70 studies were screened. After full-text reviews of the remaining 26 studies, nine studies were excluded due to the following reasons: duplicate data (n = 2); non-HW study population (n = 3); and missing prevalence data or scores (n = 4). Finally, seventeen studies (17, 18, 21, 29–42) (nine published in English and eight published in Chinese) were included (Figure 1).

#### Study Characteristics and Quality Assessment

Table 1 lists the general characteristics of the 17 included studies involving 12,682 Chinese HWs (sample size range, 92–2,250). Of note, these studies were conducted across China during the implementation of closed-off management that started in January 2020 [Wuhan reopened on April 8 after a 76-day lockdown (43)]. The mean age of the HWs ranged from 29.0 to 43.2 years, and the proportion of females ranged from 55.1 to 98.3%. Most studies (64.7%, 11/17) used the PSQI; four studies used the ISI; two used the AIS, and one used four items from the PSQI. In total, 88.2% (15/17) of the studies reported the prevalence of sleep disturbances, and 52.9% (9/17) reported mean sleep quality scores with standard deviations. The mean quality assessment score was 4.8 (range, 4–6), and all the included studies were rated as having “moderate quality” (Supplementary Table 1).

#### Prevalence of Sleep Disturbances

The pooled prevalence of sleep disturbances in 15 studies with 12,261 HWs was 45.1% (95% CI: 37.2–53.1%, $I^2 = 98.7\%$) (Figure 2). Table 2 presents the results of the subgroup analyses of the prevalence of sleep disturbances in Chinese HWs. There was a significant difference in prevalence among studies using different assessment instruments, at 58.0% (95% CI: 43.4–71.9%) in those that used the PSQI, 32.2% (95% CI: 25.1–39.9%) in those that used the ISI, 32.8% (95% CI: 29.8–35.9%) in those that used the AIS, and 11.3% (95% CI: 8.3–15.0%) in the study that used four items from the PSQI ($Q = 96.05$, $p < 0.001$). The prevalence rates of sleep disturbances among frontline, infected, and non-frontline HWs were 57.4% (95% CI: 38.8–75.0%), 97.0% (95% CI: 92.5–99.7%), and 40.0% (95% CI: 29.9–50.6%) ($Q = 96.96$, $p < 0.001$), respectively. The prevalence of sleep disturbances in females (36.7%, 95% CI: 34.8–38.7%) was higher than that in males (28.6%, 95% CI: 24.2–33.2%) ($Q = 9.10$, $p = 0.003$). The prevalence of sleep disturbances in studies with sample sizes < 927 (65.7%, 95% CI: 36.4–89.6%) was significantly higher than that in those with sample size ≥ 927 (29.6%, 95% CI: 24.2–35.2%) ($Q = 5.77$, $p = 0.016$). The prevalence of sleep disturbances in studies with a PSQI cut-off value ≥ 7 (93.5%, 95% CI: 89.4–96.7%) was significantly higher than that in those with a PSQI cut-off value ≥ 8 (44.4%, 95% CI: 32.4–56.8%) ($Q = 62.28$, $p < 0.001$). Meta-regression analyses revealed that a smaller sample size ($B = −0.0002$, $z = −2.55$, $p = 0.024$) was associated with a higher sleep disturbance prevalence. Mean age ($B = 0.02584$, $z = 0.85$, $p = 0.419$) and study quality ($B = −0.08162$, $z = −0.83$, $p = 0.420$) were not associated with prevalence.

#### Sleep Quality Assessed by the PSQI

The pooled mean total score of the PSQI in 9 studies with 3,338 HWs was 9.83 (95% CI: 8.61–11.04, $I^2 = 98.8\%$) (Figure 3). In the subgroup analyses, HWs from Wuhan had a higher total PSQI score (10.87, 95% CI: 9.00–12.74) than those from other regions (7.54, 95% CI: 6.50–8.59) ($Q = 9.21$, $p = 0.002$). A statistical difference in the score among frontline (9.59, 95% CI: 7.77–11.40), infected (13.82, 95% CI: 13.03–14.62), and non-frontline...
FIGURE 1 | Flowchart of the study selection process.

Sensitivity Analysis and Publication Bias
The sensitivity analyses showed that no individual study significantly changed the prevalence of sleep disturbances or the mean total score of the PSQI (Supplementary Figures 1, 2). The funnel plot graphic and Egger’s test ($t = 2.74$, $p = 0.017$) for the prevalence of sleep disturbances indicated some potential publication bias (Figure 4). The “trim and fill” method was performed. However, we did not identify missing studies according to the “trim and fill” method.

DISCUSSION
This systematic review and meta-analysis explored the pooled prevalence of sleep disturbances and sleep quality in Chinese HWs during the COVID-19 pandemic. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis examined the prevalence of sleep disturbances (34.32%, 95% CI: 27.45–41.54%) in HWs but included only five studies and did not report the results of sleep quality or data from articles published in Chinese (44). In this current meta-analysis of 18 studies with 12,736 participants, we found that the pooled prevalence of sleep disturbances in Chinese HWs during the COVID-19 pandemic was 45.1% (95% CI: 37.2–53.1%), which was approximately 1.5 times higher than that in the general population (29.2%, 95% CI: 28.8–29.6%) during the same period (45). Our finding was slightly higher than that in Chinese HWs before the COVID-19 outbreak (39.2%, 95% CI: 36.0–42.7%) (46). These findings indicate that a considerable number of Chinese HWs suffered from sleep disturbances, and the number increased during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Furthermore, we found that the prevalence of sleep disturbances in frontline and infected HWs was numerically higher than that in non-frontline HWs. During the most trying months, frontline HWs were at high risk of infection in an isolated environment, had a lack of contact with their families, and experienced frustration and discrimination. Consequently, they were prone to a series of mental health problems (47). Two recent studies found that frontline HWs were twice as likely to develop symptoms of depression and anxiety as nonclinical workers who were not in direct contact with patients with coronavirus pneumonia (48, 49). Frequent sleep disturbances in HWs may be a clinical symptom of depression and anxiety.
| No. | Principal author (year) | City/Province; Time | Sampling method | Sample size | Study design | HWs in Wuhan (%) | Frontline HWs (%) | Infected HWs (%) | Mean age (mean±SD) | Female (%) | Sleep information | Instrument | Cut-off | Quality score |
|-----|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|------------|--------|--------------|
| 1   | Li X 2020               | Wuhan, Ningbo; 15/02–22/03 | O               | 948         | Cross- sectional | 23.1            | 23.1            | 0               | NA              | 76.8       | Insomnia (%)     | AIS         | ≥6     | 5            |
| 2   | Zhou Y 2020             | Liaoning, Hubei; 21/02–06/03 | O               | 1,001       | Cross- sectional | NA              | 100             | 0               | 33.8 ± 6.6     | 88.9       | Insomnia (%)     | PSQI        | ≥8     | 5            |
| 3   | Zhang C 2020            | Hubei and other regions; 29/01–03/02 | O               | 1,563       | Cross- sectional | NA              | 44.1            | 0               | NA              | 82.7       | Insomnia (%)     | ISI         | ≥8     | 4            |
| 4   | Zhang W 2020            | NA; 19/02–06/03     | O               | 927         | Cross- sectional | NA              | 14.9            | 0               | NA              | 73.1       | Insomnia (%)     | ISI         | ≥8     | 4            |
| 5   | Lai J 2020              | Wuhan, Hubei, and other regions; 29/01–03/02 | CL, R          | 1,257       | Cross- sectional | 60.5            | 41.5            | 0               | NA              | 76.7       | Insomnia (%)     | ISI         | ≥8     | 6            |
| 6   | Yin Q 2020              | NA; 01/02–05/02     | O, SN           | 371         | Cross- sectional | 3.2             | 34              | 0               | 35.30 ± 9.48   | 61.5       | Insomnia (%)     | Four questions from PSQI | ≥3     | 5            |
| 7   | Wang S 2020             | Wuhan; 30/01–07/02  | O               | 123         | Cross- sectional | 80.5            | 0               | 0               | 33.75 ± 8.41   | 90.2       | PSQI score (M ± SD, %) | PSQI        | ≥8     | 4            |
| 8   | Huang Y 2020            | NA; 03/02–17/02     | O               | 2,250       | Cross- sectional | NA              | NA              | 0               | NA              | 74.1       | Insomnia (%)     | PSQI        | ≥8     | 5            |
| 9   | Wu K 2020               | Wuhu, Before 07/03  | O               | 120         | Cross- sectional | 0               | 50              | 0               | 33.5 ± 12.4, 33.8 ± 11.9* | 55.1       | PSQI score (M ± SD, %) | PSQI        | ≥7     | 5            |
| 10  | Deng L 2020             | Zhongshan; Before 10/03 | NA             | 230         | Cross- sectional | 0               | 100             | 0               | NA              | 96.7       | PSQI score (M ± SD) | PSQI        | NA     | 5            |
| 11  | He Y 2020               | Wuhan; 24/01–02/03  | O               | 256         | Cross- sectional | 100             | 85.2            | 14.8            | 38.7 ± 6.3, 43.2 ± 7.2* | 55.1       | PSQI score (M ± SD, %) | PSQI        | ≥8     | 4            |
| 12  | Liu X 2020              | Beijing; 01/02–18/02 | O               | 1,097       | Cross- sectional | 0               | NA              | 0               | 29.00 ± 5.88   | 98.3       | Insomnia (%)     | ISI         | ≥8     | 5            |
| 13  | Mei J 2020              | Wuhan; Before 09/02  | O               | 140         | Cross- sectional | 100             | 50.0            | 50.0            | 35.10 ± 8.39, 36.24 ± 9.20² | 77.1       | PSQI score (M ± SD, %) | PSQI        | ≥7     | 4            |
| 14  | Nong Q 2020             | Nanning; 31/01–03/02 | O, CL, R       | 92          | Cross- sectional | 0               | 0               | 0               | 32.60 ± 5.07   | 68.5       | PSQI score (M ± SD, %) | PSQI        | ≥8     | 5            |
| 15  | Wei L 2020              | Shanghai; Before 16/03 | O, CL, R       | 2,150       | Cross- sectional | 0               | 0               | 0               | NA              | 59.4       | PSQI score (M ± SD, %) | PSQI        | ≥8     | 6            |
| 16  | Wu J 2020               | Sichuan; Before 02/02 | O, CO          | 106         | Cross- sectional | 0               | 100             | 0               | 30.84 ± 4.52   | 80.2       | PSQI score (M ± SD, %) | PSQI        | ≥8     | 5            |
| 17  | Li X 2020               | Wuhan; Before 24/03  | O               | 121         | Cohort         | 100             | 100             | 0               | 30.32 ± 5.39   | 89.3       | PSQI score (M ± SD) | PSQI        | NA     | 4            |

HWs, healthcare workers; NA, not applicable.

Instruments: PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Assessment; ISI, Insomnia Severity Index; AIS, Athens insomnia scale.

Mean age (years), the mean age of all HWs in each study, except those listed below: a33.5 ± 12.4 and 33.8 ± 11.9 in frontline (n = 60) and non-frontline HWs (n = 60), respectively; b38.7 ± 6.3 and 43.2 ± 7.2 in frontline (n = 218) and infected HWs (n = 38), respectively; c36.24 ± 9.20 and 35.10 ± 8.39 in infected (n = 70) and non-infected HWs (n = 70).

*This study reported only the prevalence of sleep disturbances in infected HWs (n = 70).

Sampling methods: O, online; CL, cluster sampling; CO, convenient sampling; R, random sampling; SN, snowball sampling; ST, stratified sampling.

Frontline HWs were considered to be HWs who were currently working in fever clinics and designated isolation hospitals or wards to care for confirmed and suspected COVID-19 patients. Infected HWs were those HWs who had been diagnosed with COVID-19 before the investigation began.
and could also contribute to their development (13–15). Infected HWs experienced more fear and loneliness than other populations, as well as a range of symptoms of infection, including fever, cough, and difficulty breathing. In addition, side effects of treatment for COVID-19, such as corticosteroids, may cause sleep disturbances.

In this study, significant differences in the prevalence of sleep disturbances in HWs among studies that used

### Table 2: Subgroup analyses of the prevalence of sleep disturbances in Chinese healthcare workers.

| Subgroups | Categories (No. of studies) | Events | Sample size | prevalence (%) | 95% CI | I² (%) | p-value | Q (p-value) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------|-------------|----------------|-------|--------|---------|------------|
| Instruments | PSQI (9) | 1,998 | 6,168 | 58.0 | 43.4–71.9 | 99.1 | <0.001 | 96.05 (<0.001) |
| | ISI (4) | 1,581 | 4,844 | 32.2 | 25.1–39.9 | 96.8 | <0.001 | |
| | AIS (1) | 311 | 948 | 32.8 | 29.8–35.9 | – | – | |
| | Item from PSQI (1) | 42 | 371 | 11.3 | 8.3–15.0 | – | – | |
| Sex | Female (3) | 871 | 2,368 | 36.7 | 34.8–38.7 | 0 | <0.001 | 9.10 (0.003) |
| | Male (3) | 167 | 575 | 28.6 | 24.2–33.2 | 0 | <0.001 | |
| Area | Wuhan (4) | 618 | 1,334 | 49.4 | 34.2–64.7 | 96.20 | <0.001 | 1.245 (0.264) |
| | Other regions (12) | 2,234 | 7,106 | 39.3 | 30.9–48.1 | 97.94 | <0.001 | |
| Frontline/infected HWs | Frontline (7) | 1,075 | 2,815 | 57.4 | 38.8–75.0 | 98.88 | <0.001 | 96.96 (<0.001) |
| | Non-frontline (4) | 567 | 1,792 | 40.0 | 29.9–50.6 | 93.45 | <0.001 | |
| | Infected (2) | 101 | 108 | 97.0 | 92.5–99.7 | 0 | <0.001 | |
| Professional group | Doctor (3) | 288 | 996 | 36.1 | 24.4–46.6 | 90.48 | <0.001 | 0.84 (0.356) |
| | Nurse (6) | 1,076 | 3,118 | 43.0 | 30.7–55.9 | 97.72 | <0.001 | |
| Sample size | <927 (7) | 566 | 1,138 | 65.7 | 36.4–89.6 | 98.9 | <0.001 | 5.77 (0.016) |
| | ≥927 (8) | 3,366 | 11,193 | 29.6 | 24.2–35.2 | 97.6 | <0.001 | |
| Cut-off of PSQI | ≥7 (2) | 173 | 190 | 93.5 | 89.4–96.7 | 98.6 | <0.001 | 62.28 (<0.001) |
| | ≥8 (7) | 1,825 | 5,978 | 44.4 | 32.4–56.8 | 95.0 | <0.001 | |

HWs, healthcare workers.
different assessment instruments were observed, consistent with the findings of previous meta-analyses including different populations (24, 25). In the nine studies using the PSQI, the prevalence was 58.0%, which was higher than that in other studies. There are some possible explanations for this discrepancy. Two studies (32, 37) used a lower PSQI cut-off value (≥7), and the study by Mei et al. (37) reported a 100% prevalence rate for sleep disturbances in infected HWs, which led to an overall higher prevalence rate in the studies using the PSQI. After excluding these two studies, the prevalence of sleep disturbances decreased to 44.4% in the subgroup analysis (Table 2). It should be noted that different methodologies in sampling and assessment across studies may affect the results to an uncertain extent. In addition, we found that a higher prevalence of sleep disturbances was associated with a smaller sample size. Studies with small sample sizes usually have unstable results, which could lead to a biased prevalence of sleep disturbances (24).

We found that female HWs were more likely to experience sleep disturbances than male HWs. The relationship between sex and sleep disturbances has been difficult to determine in different population groups. For example, in two previous studies, the gender difference in the prevalence of sleep disturbances was not statistically significant in college students (25) or elderly individuals (24). In contrast, a recent meta-analysis (46) found that female HWs had a higher prevalence than male HWs (46.4% vs. 33.8%, $p < 0.001$), similar to the results of this study. This finding suggests that more attention should be paid to the sleep problems in female HWs.

Sleep quality was assessed by the PSQI in 9 included studies using the mean and standard deviation of total scores. HWs in this study had a higher total PSQI score (9.83) than those in the period before the COVID-19 outbreak (5.33–7.34) (50–52), indicating that they experienced decreased sleep quality during...
the COVID-19 pandemic. Both the high prevalence of sleep disturbances and poor sleep quality revealed that the COVID-19 pandemic had a serious negative impact on the mental health of Chinese HWs.

The prevalence of sleep disturbances in HWs in Wuhan appeared to be higher than those in other regions (49.4 vs. 39.3%), although the difference was not statistically significant. Moreover, we found that compared to HWs in other regions, those in Wuhan had a higher total PSQI score (10.87 vs. 7.54). HWs in Wuhan were under tremendous pressure to fight COVID-19. Especially in the early stage of the outbreak, there was a serious shortage of medical personnel and protective equipment in Wuhan. As a result, the mental health effects of the COVID-19 pandemic vary among different regions and periods, which requires targeted psychological interventions according to the intensity of the epidemic.

A position paper calling for the protection of HWs during the COVID-19 pandemic emphasized that in addition to supplies of personal protective equipment and food, family support and psychological support were also needed. To address sleep problems in HWs who had an increased work burden during the COVID-19 pandemic, the European Academy for Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment of Insomnia (the European CBT-I Academy) taskforce provided some recommendations, such as expressing stress and concerns to family members; relaxing by reading or performing yoga instead of exercising or eating before going to bed; addressing the consequences of sleep problems in a timely manner, etc.

However, several limitations of this meta-analysis should be noted. First, most studies reported an online sampling method with a lack of details and did not clearly describe non-responders, which lowered the quality of the studies. Second, high heterogeneity was observed among studies and remained in the subgroup analyses; however, this was also difficult to avoid in previous meta-analyses of observational surveys. The heterogeneity among studies was probably related to additional factors, such as workload, stress, and mental health, which were not included in the analyses. Third, publication bias in the prevalence of sleep disturbances may exist. However, no missing studies were found according to the “trim and fill” method. The asymmetry of funnel plots has many possible sources other than publication bias, such as poor methodological quality, true heterogeneity, artifactual, and chance.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the prevalence of sleep disturbances was very high in Chinese HWs during the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly in frontline and infected HWs. Our results indicate a heavy mental health burden on HWs during the COVID-19 pandemic in China and provide other countries with valuable information that may help guide interventions during the crisis. Targeted interventions are urgently needed to protect the vulnerable group of HWs from mental injury and enhance their resilience.
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