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ABSTRACT
We report the result of a new Chandra observation of the black hole X-ray transient H 1705–250 in quiescence. H 1705–250 was barely detected in the new ∼50 ks Chandra observation. With five detected counts, we estimate the source quiescent luminosity to be $L_X \sim 9.1 \times 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 0.5–10 keV band (adopting a distance of 8.6 kpc). This value is in line with the quiescent luminosities found among other black hole X-ray binaries with similar orbital periods. By using images taken with the Faulkes Telescope North, we derive a refined position of H 1705–250. We also present the long-term light curve of the optical counterpart from 2006 to 2012, and show evidence for variability in quiescence.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Soft X-ray transients (SXTs), or X-ray novae, are a subclass of low-mass X-ray binaries which contain a neutron star or a black hole primary accreting matter from the companion donor star via Roche lobe overflow. SXTs spend most of their lifetime in the quiescent state, and occasionally undergo dramatic outbursts which could last from weeks to months, and in some special cases, the outburst can go on for years (e.g. GRS 1915+105). The typical maximum outburst X-ray luminosity of such systems ranges from $10^{36}$ to $10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$, while the minimum luminosity can go as low as a few $\times 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$. However, the true quiescent luminosities (defined as the luminosities when no accretion on to the black hole occurs) are unclear due to the insufficient sensitivity of current instruments.

There are several theoretical attempts to explain the weak X-ray emission from X-ray binaries during their quiescent phase (see Narayan, Garcia & McClintock 2001, for a review and references therein). The most widely used idea is perhaps through the advection-dominated accretion flow (ADAF) model (Narayan & Yi 1994, 1995; Narayan & McClintock 2008, and references therein). The thermal energy that is created by the mass transfer is stored in the ADAF and be reradiated away. In the case of a black hole, no solid surface is present but an event horizon. A large fraction of the energy carried by the gas in the ADAF is then transported beyond the event horizon when the gas falls into the black hole before this energy could be emitted. The consequence of this scenario is that we expect to observe the quiescent luminosity of a black hole to be much fainter than a quiescent neutron star. With the sensitivity of current X-ray observatories (i.e. Chandra and XMM–Newton), we are able to observe this discrepancy and this provides strong evidence of the existence of the black hole event horizon (see Narayan & McClintock 2008, for a review and references therein). In addition, there is also evidence showing that part of the energy could be dissipated as outflows moving away from the system resulting in low observed X-ray luminosities (Fender, Gallo & Jonker 2003; Gallo et al. 2006).

H 1705–250 (also Nova Ophiuchi 1977 and V2107 Oph) was discovered independently by both the HEAO-1 (High Energy Astronomy Observatory 1) scanning modulation collimator and the Ariel 5 all-sky monitor in 1977 September (Kaluzienski & Holt 1977; Griffiths et al. 1978), and subsequently found to be associated with a bright 16.5 mag optical nova from observations taken at the Anglo-Australian Telescope and UK Schmidt Telescope (Longmore et al. 1977; Griffiths et al. 1978). A maximum X-ray flux (2–18 keV) of $\sim$3.5 Crab followed by a slow decline in the light curve was reported by Watson, Ricketts & Griffiths (1978). Griffiths et al. (1978) also noted a dim object (at $B \sim 21$) near the nova position on Palomar Sky Survey plates, which later was confirmed as the companion star (a K dwarf star with mass 0.3–0.6 $M_\odot$) of the binary system.

H 1705–250 has a confirmed dynamical measurement for the mass of its black hole in a range of $5.6–8.3 M_\odot$ (Remillard & McClintock 2006), and it was not observed with any high-sensitivity instruments.
X-ray instrument prior our proposed Chandra observation. This is the first deep X-ray observation to measure the quiescent luminosity of this source. The last X-ray observation was taken with ROSAT and an upper limit of $5 \times 10^{33} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ (assuming a distance of 8.6 kpc) was placed (Narayan, Garcia & McClintock, 1997). Our 50 ks Chandra observation has improved the sensitivity by a factor of $\sim 1000$.

In this paper, we present results of the X-ray observation and new optical monitoring data of H 1705–250 in quiescence. We also derive a more accurate source position which allows us to estimate the quiescent luminosity of the source more precisely.

2 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

H 1705–250 was observed with Chandra on 2010 May 2 UT 23:43:29 for a total duration of about 50 ks (PI: Kong, ObsID:11041). The observation was carried out with the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) operating in the very faint mode, and the target was placed on a back-illuminated ACIS chip (S3). In addition to our X-ray observation, H 1705–250 has been monitored with the 2-m Faulkes Telescope North since 2006. Most of the data were obtained using the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) $i'$-band filter, and a few in Bessel $V, R$ and the Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System (Pan-STARRS) $y$ filters. The only solid detections of the SXT ($\geq 4\sigma$) were made in $i'$ band.

2.1 Astrometry correction for both Faulkes images and Chandra position

For the first examination of our Chandra data, we adopted the coordinates obtained from NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) and the Set of Identifying Measurements, and Bibliography for Astronomical Data (SIMBAD) (based on Griffiths et al. 1978; optical nova position), which is inconsistent with the coordinates reported in Remillard et al. (1996). Within a 2 arcsec extraction radius, there are two counts found at the Griffiths position, but no count at the Remillard position. However, we noticed a photon excess in between these two reported positions. We speculated that this might be the true position of H 1705–250, and a new astrometry correction for the position is necessary. We obtained a 200 s $i'$-band image from the Faulkes Telescope North in 2010 (see Section 2.3), which was taken under excellent seeing conditions and the quiescent optical counterpart of the source is clearly detected.

We tied the Faulkes image’s world coordinate system (WCS) with the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) point-source catalogue by using ‘ccmap’ task in IRAF. The task results in extremely small registration errors (0.064 arcsec for RA, and 0.072 arcsec for Dec.). This provides us with good accuracy to determine the position of H 1705–250. The coordinates (J2000) we obtained are RA = 17:08:14.515 and Dec. = 25:05:30.15. The typical precision of 2MASS point-source astrometry is <0.2 arcsec with respect to Tycho-2 reference system. We therefore use 0.2 arcsec as our systematic position error.

To compare with the optical position, we also astrometrically corrected our Chandra image. We selected several nearby bright X-ray sources in which their positions were determined by using the task ‘celldetect’ in standard Chandra Interactive Analysis Observation (CIAO) package. We then compared the positions of these X-ray sources with their 2MASS counterparts, and updated the WCS of our Chandra image. To check the consistency of the Chandra position of H 1705–250 with the optical position, we tried to detect the source using ‘celldetect’. Because the source is very faint, we reset the default value of the parameter ‘thresh’ to 1 (the default setting is 3). We detected the source at position RA = 17:08:14.525, and Dec. = 25:05:30.46 with errors 0.10 and 0.51 arcsec, respectively. The Chandra position found by celldetect matches the optical counterpart position very well, and we can clearly see photons clustering at the overlapped region (see Fig. 1 for more detail).

2.2 Chandra

We analysed our Chandra data by using CIAO package version 4.3. In order to apply the most updated calibrations, we first ran the Chandra reprocessing script to create a new level 2 event file. The new level 2 event file was then used throughout the whole analysis. To reduce the background contamination and the calibration uncertainties, we extracted an energy filtered event list in the 0.3–7 keV energy band using the task ‘dmcopy’. We created a source region.

Figure 1. The left-hand panel shows the Chandra image of the field around H 1705–250 in the 0.3–7 keV band. The right-hand panel shows the Faulkes Telescope $i'$-band image with the same field of view. The large blue ellipses in both images are the best-fitting Chandra positions (with CIAO celldetect errors), and the small yellow circles are the best-fitting optical positions derived from the Faulkes Telescope image compared with the 2MASS point-source catalogue (the errors are 0.2 arcsec, typical 2MASS point-source precision). Green circles indicate the coordinates reported by Griffiths et al. 1978, and the magenta circles indicate the coordinates from Remillard et al. (1996) (the circles are 0.5 arcsec in radius).
We obtained a flux of \(1.03 \times\) of the black hole binaries in quiescent state; e.g. Kong et al. (2002). The source flux by inputting the count rate obtained from CIAO, the Interactive Multi-Mission Simulator (WebPIMMS) was used to estimate a spectrum for spectral analysis. The web-based Portable Interactive Multi-Mission Simulator (WebPIMMS) was used to estimate the source flux by inputting the count rate obtained from CIAO ‘dmextract’. There were five photons found within the 1.5 arcsec source region, and the average background within 10 arcsec is around 0.304 counts. With five detected events, the Poisson distribution gives 95 per cent confidence intervals from 1.6 to 11.7 counts. We calculated the Poisson probability of getting five photons at a random position to be \(\sim 8.5 \times 10^{-7}\), making the five photons detection significant. Because only a small number of photons were detected, we were not able to construct a spectrum for spectral analysis. The web-based Portable Interactive Multi-Mission Simulator (WebPIMMS) was used to estimate the source flux by inputting the count rate obtained from CIAO, the Galactic column density \(N_\text{H} = 2.23 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}\) along the line of sight towards the source position (Dickey & Lockman 1990), and assuming a power-law photon index \(\Gamma = 2\) (a typical value for most of the black hole binaries in quiescent state; e.g. Kong et al. 2002). We obtained a flux of \(1.03 \times 10^{-15} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\) in the 0.5–10 keV energy band. Assuming a distance of 8.6 ± 2 kpc (Barret, McClintock & Grindlay 1996; Jonker & Nelemans 2004), we estimated the minimum luminosity of H 1705–250 to be \(9.1 \times 10^{30} \text{erg s}^{-1}\). Since the distance uncertainty contributes the major errors, we estimated the lower and upper bounds of the luminosity to be \(5.4 \times 10^{30}\) and \(1.4 \times 10^{31} \text{erg s}^{-1}\.

2.3 Faulkes Telescope North

H 1705–250 has been monitored since 2006 with the 2-m Faulkes Telescope North, located at Haleakala on Maui, as part of an ongoing monitoring campaign of \(~30\) low-mass X-ray binaries (Lewis et al. 2008).1 122 images of the source were taken between 2006 February and 2012 May, on a total of 78 dates. Exposure times were 200 s and the pixel scale was 0.278 arcsec pixel\(^{-1}\). Bias subtraction and flat-fielding were performed via automatic pipelines. Photometry was performed on H 1705–250 and five field stars using PHOT in IRAF.

The source was detected on 31 out of 78 dates with signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) \(4 \leq \text{S/N} \leq 16\), all in the \(i'\)-band filter. Images in which S/N < 5.5 were discarded because the field star magnitudes were varying by \(\pm 0.2\) mag, and images in which the seeing was \(\pm 1.4\) arcsec were also removed due to the contamination of nearby stars to the aperture in this crowded field in the Galactic plane. The relative magnitudes were flux calibrated using Landolt standard stars observed in \(i'\)-band on three dates in which the seeing was \(< 1.7\) arcsec and the airmass of H 1705–250 was \(< 1.5\). Five stars in the standard star field SA 110 were used on 2010 April 10 and 2011 July 16, and four stars in the field Mark A were used on 2010 July 9. All standards were taken within 4 h of H 1705–250 and at a similar airmass (\(< 0.3\) difference) on each date. The SDSS \(i'\)-band magnitudes of the standard stars were calculated from their known \(R\)- and \(I\)-band magnitudes using the transformations of Jordi, Grebel & Ammon (2006). Taking into account airmass-dependent atmospheric extinction, we calculated the magnitudes of three isolated stars of magnitudes \(i' = 16.3–20.0\) within 20 arcsec of H 1705–250 in order to calibrate our images. On the three dates, the derived magnitude of each star agreed within \(\pm 0.02\) to 0.06 mag (the agreement was better for the brighter stars), confirming that the conditions were photometric on all three nights.

We then used the brighter two of these three stars2 to perform relative photometry on H 1705–250 and two further faint field stars of similar magnitude to the SXT, which we designate star C and star 4. Star C is shown in fig. 1 of Martin et al. (1995). We estimate the systematic error on the resulting magnitudes to be small; 0.03 mag. The magnitude errors are dominated by the low S/N of the SXT and faint field stars. In Fig. 2 we present the long-term Faulkes light

---

1 http://faulkes-telescope/xrb

2 The faintest of the three stars was discarded because it was not detected with sufficiently high S/N in all images.
The very low luminosity of H1705−250 obtained by the Faulkes Telescope North, refined the best position of the source and obtained a long-term light curve. It has been observed that the quiescent X-ray luminosity of black hole binaries is usually very dim (e.g. Garcia et al. 2001; Kong et al. 2002). They are ∼100 times fainter than neutron stars with similar orbital periods. It is unclear why the X-ray luminosity is much dimmer for black hole systems compared with the neutron star systems apart from the reason of a difference between a solid surface and an event horizon. The emission may originate from a truncated accretion disc that is detached from the central advective flow, or perhaps from the jet or outflow launched during quiescence or perhaps it is a combination for both disc and jet components. Several theoretical studies have attempted to explain the origin of the weak X-ray emission in the quiescent state of black hole binaries, yet none of the models can fully satisfy the observed properties. The ADAF is by far the most used scenario (as described in Section 1). However, it has recently been realized that the jet/outflow can also play an important role in carrying away some fraction of the accretion energy from black holes in quiescence (Gallo et al. 2006), possibly resulting in weaker emissions comparing to emissions from neutron star systems. The true picture might fall in between the two scenarios (ADAF + outflows). Due to this, some theoretical models have evolved into more complicated forms to incorporate both disc and jet (or wind) properties (Blandford & Begelman 1999; Yuan & Cui 2005). It is still a work in progress since most of the models are only able to explain some sources but not all. In addition, how do we know what we observed is the true quiescent state of the black hole? Due to current sensitivities of X-ray instruments, we are not able to observe most of the very faint black hole systems in detail and resolve their spectral properties in quiescence. However, several quiescent optical studies have revealed evidence for strong optical activities in quiescent light curves of several black hole systems (Zurita, Casares & Shahbaz 2003; Casares et al. 2009; Shahbaz et al. 2010). Most of these systems show short-term variability or flares superimposed on the weak elliptical modulation of companion star, and sometimes show long-term aperiodic variability or magnitude colour changes implying optical state changes (Cantrell et al. 2008).

From our 6 yr long-term optical light curve, we observed two dips and an increasing brightness of the source since the end of 2011, indicating that the source is still active even at this very low rate of accretion. The origin of this variability is not yet fully understood, but it is probably associated with the accretion disc. There are several possible explanations: it could be due to the X-ray reprocessing in the accretion disc (Kong et al. 2002; Hynes et al. 2002, 2004), magnetic reconnection events (Zurita et al. 2003) or the emission from the ADAF (Shahbaz et al. 2003, 2010). Unfortunately, our optical data are not sufficiently sensitive for such detailed analysis. Future observations are needed for further investigation. In addition, the next-generation X-ray observatory with improved sensitivity will certainly bring us new insights and shed light on understanding accretion physics of binary systems in very low accretion regimes.

**Table 1.** Optical variability properties of H 1705−250 and two field stars of similar magnitude. All values are given in i-band magnitudes.

| Star     | Mean mag | Mean mag error | Scatter | Full range |
|----------|----------|----------------|---------|------------|
| H 1705−250 | 20.51    | 0.14           | 0.20    | 0.88       |
| Star C   | 20.07    | 0.09           | 0.09    | 0.33       |
| Star 4   | 20.39    | 0.13           | 0.08    | 0.32       |

3 CONCLUSION

We have observed the black hole transient H 1705−250 during its quiescent state with Chandra. The 50 ks long exposure reveals five photons at the source position (within 1.5 arcsec radius), yielding a source luminosity of $L_X \sim 9.1 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (in 0.5–10 keV) when assuming a distance of 8.6 kpc. This improves the quiescent sensitivity of the source by a factor of ∼1000 compared to the previous reported ROSAT value. In the context of the ADAF models, the quiescent luminosities depend on the orbital period of the system. The very low luminosity of H1705−250, together with its orbital period (∼0.5 d) are thus consistent with this framework. We have also examined the optical monitoring data of H 1705−250 obtained by the Faulkes Telescope North, refined the best position of the source and obtained a long-term light curve.

We also folded the data on the known orbital period of 0.5213 ± 0.0013 d (Remillard et al. 1996), and no phase-dependent variability is seen. Over the 6.3 yr of observations, using the above period, the source will have performed 4385 ± 11 orbits during this time. Since the uncertainty is 11 periods, we cannot fold the light curve on the period and obtain meaningful results.
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