Muramyl Dipeptide Synergizes with \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} Lipoteichoic Acid To Recruit Neutrophils in the Mammary Gland and To Stimulate Mammary Epithelial Cells
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\textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, a major pathogen for the mammary gland of dairy ruminants, elicits the recruitment of neutrophils into milk during mastitis, but the mechanisms are incompletely understood. We investigated the response of the bovine mammary gland to muramyl dipeptide (MDP), an elementary constituent of the bacterial peptidoglycan, alone or in combination with lipoteichoic acid (LTA), another staphylococcal microbial-associated molecular pattern (MAMP). MDP induced a prompt and marked influx of neutrophils in milk, and its combination with LTA elicited a more intense and prolonged influx than the responses to either stimulus alone. The concentrations of several chemotaxatrants for neutrophils (CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL8, and C5a) increased in milk after challenge, and the highest increases followed challenge with the combination of MDP and LTA. MDP and LTA were also synergistic in inducing in vitro chemokine production by bovine mammary epithelial cells (bMEpC). Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain 2 (NOD2), a major sensor of MDP, was expressed (mRNA) in bovine mammary tissue and by bMEpC in culture. The production of interleukin-8 (IL-8) following the stimulation of bMEpC by LTA and MDP was dependent on the activation of NF-κB. LTA-induced IL-8 production did not depend on platelet-activating factor receptor (PAFR), as the PAFR antagonist WEB2086 was without effect. In contrast, bMEpC and mammary tissue are known to express Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) and to respond to TLR2 agonists. Although the levels of expression of the inflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and IL-1β were increased by LTA and MDP at the mRNA level, no protein could be detected in the bMEpC culture supernatant. The level of induction of IL-6 was low at both the mRNA and protein levels. These results indicate that MDP and LTA exert synergistic effects to induce neutrophilic inflammation in the mammary gland. These results also show that bMEpC could contribute to the inflammatory response by recognizing LTA and MDP and secreting chemokines but not proinflammatory cytokines. Overall, this study indicates that the TLR2 and NOD2 pathways could cooperate to trigger an innate immune response to \textit{S. aureus} mastitis.

\textit{Staphylococcus aureus} is a pathogen frequently isolated from mastitis milk or mammary abscesses in the lapine, ovine, bovine, and human species (4, 5, 23, 41, 53). The recognition of \textit{S. aureus} by the mammary gland is not as well known as the recognition of \textit{Escherichia coli}, another major pathogen for the mammary gland. The \textit{E. coli} outer membrane lipopolysaccharide (LPS) has been shown to be the major pathogen-associated molecular pattern (MAMP) recognized by the mammary gland through interactions with Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), which is expressed by bovine mammary epithelial cells (MEpC) (bMEpC) (18, 24). LPS has been extensively used to mimic mastitis due to \textit{E. coli}, and it was shown that the responses that it provokes are in many respects representative of those induced by live bacteria (7). The counterpart of LPS, as a proinflammatory bacterial agonist of the mammary gland innate immune system, has not yet been established for \textit{S. aureus}. This pathogen releases various MAMPs during infection, and two of these have been the subject of many studies. Lipoteichoic acid (LTA) was shown to be an important pattern for immune recognition of \textit{S. aureus} (66). LTA signals through TLR2 (56), a receptor which is expressed in the mammary gland, in particular by bMEpC (18, 24). We have recently established the ability of LTA to elicit an intense inflammatory response in the bovine mammary gland (49). Another major staphylococcal MAMP is the minimal bioactive constituent of the bacterial peptidoglycan MurNAc-L-Ala-D-iso-Gln (MDP). A major sensor of MDP is the nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain 2 (NOD2) protein, which is encoded by the \textit{CARD15} gene (17). NOD2 is expressed mainly by two cell types that are exposed to microorganisms that produce peptidoglycan, i.e., antigen-presenting cells and epithelial cells (25, 63).

During infection, host-pathogen interactions are very complex and difficult to unravel. The use of isolated MAMPs makes it possible to decipher the components of the innate immune response at play in the mammary gland. Information gained from in vitro studies of the responses of relevant cells to the same bacterial agonists would increase our understanding of udder-pathogen interactions. The in vitro data can be compared with the immune response to experimentally induced infections. Similarities and differences are expected to point to important bacterial agonists of the host response and to important facets of this response. In turn, the new knowledge...
acquired can be used to devise new approaches with a view to modulating the inflammatory and the immune responses in the mammary gland. As information on the immune response of the mammary gland and mammary epithelial cells to staphylococcal MAMPs is relatively scarce, we decided to use two major staphylococcal MAMPs to induce mastitis and to stimulate MEpC. Epithelial cells, which line the lumen of the mammary gland, are the first and most abundant cells to be in contact with invading bacteria and their secreted products. Bovine MEpC have been shown to react to MAMPs such as LPS and LTA (62, 72).

We investigated the ability of MDP to trigger an inflammatory response in the bovine mammary gland. As it was reported previously that MDP and LTA exert synergistic effects on a variety of cells (30, 63), we sought to determine whether these results were applicable to bMEpC. Our results indicate that MDP induces neutrophilic inflammation and synergizes with LTA in the mammary gland to recruit neutrophils. Moreover, MDP and LTA synergized to induce the secretion of neutrophil-oriented chemokines by bMEpC but did not induce the secretion of the major proinflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and interleukin-1β (IL-1β). These new findings support the hypothesis that MDPane and LTA are likely to play an important part in the initiation of inflammation during S. aureus mastitis. They contribute to an improved understanding of the detection of S. aureus by the mammary gland.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents. The staphylococcal LTA used in this study was purified S. aureus lipoproteinic acid (PSSL TA; InvivoGen, Toulouse, France), which was prepared according to the n-butanol procedure (42), followed by phenol treatment to remove lipoproteins. This commercial preparation contained <1.24 EU endotoxin/mg LTA. Endotoxin-free MDP (N-acetyl muramyl-l-alanyl-d-isoglutamine) was obtained from InvivoGen. The inactive D-D isoform (N-acetylmuramyl-l-alanyl-d-isoglutamine) from InvivoGen was used as a negative control. Lyophilized LTA and MDP were made soluble in sterile endotoxin-free water and then diluted in cell culture medium. ATP and Pefabloc SC were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), platelet-activating factor (PAF; C-16) was obtained from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA), and recombinant human PAF-acetyl hydrolase (PAF-AH) was obtained from Peprotech (Rocky Hill, NJ). InSolution NF-κB activation inhibitor, phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA) (an activator of protein kinase C), and ionomycin were obtained from Calbiochem (Merck Biosciences, Nottingham, United Kingdom), and the NF-κB inhibitor WEB2086 was obtained from Tocris Biosciences (Bristol, United Kingdom). A nuclear extract kit and the TransAM transcription factor NF-κB p65 activation assay kit were obtained from Active Motif (Carlsbad, CA).

Experimentally induced mastitis. Inflammation of the mammary gland (mastitis) was induced by infusing MDP, LTA, or a combination of MDP and LTA in the lumen of the gland via the teat canal. Fourteen healthy milking Holstein cows of the experimental herd of the Institute at Nougily were selected on the basis of an absence of detectable bacterial growth from two weekly consecutive aseptically collected milk samples in their four mammary quarters and fewer than 100,000 cells/ml in milk. The cows were in their second or third lactation and between 2 and 6 months in lactation; they were milked twice a day, at 0800 and 1600 h. The use and care of the cows in this study were approved by the Regional Committee of Ethics for Animal Experimentation (CREEA) (approval CL2007-47).

A pilot experiment was designed to assess the doses of MDP active on the mammary gland. Doses of 1 μg, 10 μg, and 100 μg in 0.5 ml RPMI medium were infused in different mammary glands of each of three crossbred Holstein cows with aseptic precautions. In the major experiment, 11 cows were used. At time zero, each cow received 0.5 ml RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco) in one quarter, 20 μg MDP in another quarter, 5 μg LTA in the third quarter, and a mix of 20 μg MDP with 5 μg LTA in the fourth quarter. In both experiments, the infused quarters and the control quarter were aseptically sampled just after the morning milking, and infusion was carried out within 1 h postmilk. The quarters were then sampled at 4, 8, 12, 24, 32, 48, 72, and 96 h postinfusion (hpi).

Cells in milk were counted with an automated cell counter (Fossomatic model 90; Foss Food Technology, Hillerød, Denmark) as described previously (2). For the determination of the proportion of neutrophils among milk cells, cytopsin slides were prepared and stained with May-Grünwald-Giemsa reagent as described previously (49).

Quantification of IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, C5a, CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, and CXCL8 by ELISA. Gamma interferon (IFN-γ) concentrations were determined by using a bovine IFN-γ enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Mabtech AB, Sweden). The lowest level of quantification in milk was 15 pg/ml. To measure the concentrations of IFN-γ, a sandwich ELISA using mouse anti-IL-1β monoclonal antibody (Mab 1D4; Serotec, Inc.), recombinant bovine IL-1β (Serotec, Inc.), and rabbit anti-bovine IL-1β (Serotec, Inc.) was performed as described previously (3). The lowest level of detection in milk was 0.10 ng/ml. Bovine IL-6 concentrations were measured by using a commercial ELISA (bovine IL-6 screening set; Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) as indicated by the manufacturer. ELISAs were performed as described previously for TNF-α (50), C5a (52), CXCL8 (IL-8), CXCL1 (GRO-o), CXCL2 (GRO-β), and CXCL3 (GRO-γ) (48, 51). The lowest levels of quantification were 0.2, 0.1, 0.15, 0.01, and 0.1 ng/ml for CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL8, and TNF-α, respectively.

bMEpC were isolated from five lactating cows as previously described and cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen (34). When needed, bMEpC were thawed and cultured without serum and antibiotics in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (D-MEM)–F12 advanced medium (Gibco), which contains insulin (10 ng/ml), albumin (0.4 mg/ml), and transferrin (7.5 μg/ml) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 10 ng/ml insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) (Peprotech), 5 mg/ml human recombinant epidermal growth factor (EGF) (Sigma), 1 μg/ml hydrocortisone (Sigma), and 20 mM HEPES (Cambrex BioWhittaker). Cells were used at their third passage. Cells were seeded into six-well tissue culture plates at a density of 4 × 10^6 cells/well and cultured until confluence, and the growth medium was then replaced with stimulation medium made up of D-MEM–F12 advanced medium with 2 mM L-glutamine, 20 mM HEPES, and 4 ng/ml hydrocortisone (Sigma). Stimulations with bacterial agonists were carried out 16 to 24 h later. The medium was removed, and agonists (LTA, MDP, LTA plus MDP, PMA, ATP, and PAF) were added in 4 ml of stimulation medium. Control wells were treated with stimulation medium only. At the indicated times after exposure to the MAMP, culture medium was aspirated and stored at −20°C. The cell monolayers were then washed twice with Hanks balanced salt solution (HBSS), and cells were harvested for RNA extraction (see below). For some experiments, LTA was inactivated by deacetylation with PAF-AH as previously described (57). Briefly, 1 μg LTA was incubated with 1 μg PAF-AH for 2 h at 37°C. PAF-AH was inactivated by adding 100 μM Pefabloc SC (an inhibitor of PAF-AH) prior to the stimulation of bMEpC.

Monocytes and PBMC. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were prepared from the Buffy coat, and the centrifugation of peripheral blood was coagulated with EDTA, followed by Na_2HCl lysis of erythrocytes. Cells were washed with HBSS and resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium plus 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at a concentration of 1 × 10^6 cells/ml. Stimulation was performed with 200 ng/ml PMA and 4 μg/ml ionomycin for 4 h. Monocytes were isolated from PBMC by adherence to plastic in six-well dishes for 4 h at 37°C, followed by washing with HBSS. Stimulation was carried out with LTA plus MDP (1 μg/ml each) for 16 h in stimulation medium. After stimulation, cell supernatants were collected and stored at −20°C.

Tissue sample preparation. In order to investigate the constitutive expression of the receptor to bacterial agonists of the innate immune system, RNA was prepared from mammary tissues of two lactating cows. For each cow, one uninfected, uninfamed quarter (~50,000 cells/ml milk) was sampled. Immediately after the cows were sacrificed, mammary tissue samples were taken at a depth of 2 to 5 cm from the cisterna (deep parenchyma). Samples of approximately 0.05 cm³ were dispensed in cryovials and immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Reverse transcription and PCR analysis. Total RNA was extracted from bMEpC by using the NucleoSpin RNA II extraction kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), and the residual genomic DNA was removed by using DNase digestion with RNase-free DNase (Macherey-Nagel). The total RNA quantity and quality were assessed by using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). RNA quality was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis, and RNA integrity was analyzed by using the Agilent Bioanalyzer system (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA). Total RNA (1 μg) was then reverse transcribed to CDNA: 1 μg RNA was incubated with 1 μg of random primers (Promega, Madison, WI) for 10 min at 65°C and then for 5 min
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on ice in a final volume of 10 µl. Reverse transcription (RT) was carried out by adding avian myeloblastosis virus (AMV) reverse transcriptase buffer (Promega), 4 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTP) (Promega), 15 U of AMV reverse transcriptase (Promega), and 40 U of RNasin (Promega) to the mixture. The mixture was incubated for 1.5 h at 42°C and 5 min at 95°C. Diluted cDNA samples were stored at 4°C until use. All primers (Table 1) used in this study were designed by using Clone Manager 9 (Scientific & Educational Software, Cary, NC) using publicly available bovine sequences and were purchased from Eurogentec (Liège, Belgium). Primers were designed to span an intron-exon boundary to prevent the amplification of genomic DNA.

PCR was performed using specific primers for GAPDH, TLR2, TLR6, NOD2 (CARD15), PEPT1, and PAfr (Table 1). Each amplification began with a 2-min denaturation step at 94°C followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at the gene-specific temperature (Table 1) for 30 s, extension with a 2-min denaturation step at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 10 s, and a final cycle at 94°C for 10 min. Amplification was performed for 30 s, annealing at the gene-specific temperature (Table 1) for 30 s, extension at the annealing temperature for 30 s, and a final cycle at 94°C for 10 min. Amplification was performed by using a LightCycler instrument (Roche). The mRNA copy numbers were calculated for each sample using the standard curve to convert the obtained threshold cycle (Ct) value into mRNA copy numbers. Results were then expressed in copy numbers after normalization against 18S rRNA (reference gene).

**Assessment of NF-kB activation.** The detection and quantification of NF-kB p65 were carried out with the TransAM transcription factor NF-kB p65 activation assay kit (Active Motif). This assay, which involves the use of antibodies specific for the activated form of p65, has been shown to detect bovine p65 (43). bMEpC were cultured on six-well plates and stimulated for 2 h and 8 h with 1 µg/ml of LTA and MDP alone or in combination. The nuclear fraction was isolated according to the manufacturer’s protocol and was stored at −80°C. Protein concentrations were determined by MicroBCA (Uptima, Montluçon, France). Equal amounts of nuclear proteins (7 µg) of the testing samples diluted in 20 µl of complete lysis buffer were added per well of a 96-well plate containing an immobilized NF-kB consensus oligonucleotide. Wells receiving 2.5 µg of the provided Jurkat nuclear extract were used as a positive control, and blank wells received 20 µl of Complete lysis buffer only. The plate was incubated for 1 h at room temperature, and the absorbance was read on a spectrophotometer at 450 nm. Results are expressed as optical density (OD) values.

The involvement of NF-kB activation in CXCL8 secretion was evaluated by use of a specific inhibitor, bMEpC (2 × 10⁶ cells) cultivated in 12-well plates were preincubated with 15 µM InSolution NF-kB p65 activation inhibitor (Calbiochem) for 1 h prior to 8 h of stimulation with 1 µg/ml of LTA and MDP alone or in combination. After stimulation, cell supernatants were collected and stored at −20°C before assessments of CXCL8 concentrations by ELISA.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analyses of the concentrations of analytes in milk or cell culture supernatants were performed with the nonparametric Friedman test. When variations were significant, the significance of variations relative to control quarters (milk cell concentrations) or relative to time zero (chemokines and cytokines) was analyzed by multiple comparisons for each time point and a Bonferroni correction. A probability level of a P value of <0.05 was considered significant. The same procedure was used to analyze the responses of bMEpC to MAMPs compared to unstimulated cells.

**RESULTS**

**Induction of leukocyte recruitment in milk by MDP and LTA.** Owing to the absence of published information, a pilot experiment was necessary to assess the dose-response of the presence of a single amplicon. The mRNA copy numbers were calculated for each sample using the standard curve to convert the obtained threshold cycle (Ct) value into mRNA copy numbers. Results were then expressed in copy numbers after normalization against 18S rRNA (reference gene).
mammary gland to MDP. Three cows received 3 doses (1, 10, or 100 μg) of MDP simultaneously in three different quarters. An inflammatory response resulted from the intraluminal infusion of MDP via the teat canal. With the highest dose (100 μg), an intense cellular recruitment occurred as soon as 8 hpi and peaked at 12 hpi (Fig. 1A). A sizeable influx of cells in milk was also induced by 10 μg MDP and peaked at 12 hpi (Fig. 1A). As the individual variability was high with 10 μg MDP, but 1 μg MDP had no effect (Fig. 1A). As the individual variability was high with 10 μg MDP, the dose of 20 μg was retained to investigate the inflammatory response of the mammary gland to the simultaneous challenge with LTA (TLR2 agonist) and MDP (NOD2 agonist). Previous experiments established that LTA is not active versus either LTA or MDP. SCC, somatic cell count.

FIG. 1. Cellular response induced by LTA and MDP in the mammary gland. Concentrations of cells in milk of mammary glands (quarters) infused at 0 h with different amounts of MDP or S. aureus LTA, alone or in combination, were monitored at 4, 8, 12, 24, 32, 48, 72, and 96 hpi. Data are median values and interquartile ranges. (A) Pilot experiment with three cows which received 1, 10, or 100 μg MDP in three different quarters. The fourth quarter served as a control. (B) Eleven cows received 20 μg MDP, 5 μg LTA, or the combination of 20 μg MDP and 5 μg LTA in three different quarters. * statistical significance (P < 0.05, multiple comparisons) of values from challenged versus control quarters; $, significance (P < 0.05, multiple comparisons) of values from quarters challenged with MDP plus LTA versus either LTA or MDP. SCC, somatic cell count.

Intramammary infusion of 5 μg LTA or 20 μg MDP induced a prompt cell influx but of a relatively short duration, since cell concentrations in milk were not different from the values for control quarters at 96 hpi (Fig. 1B). The cell recruitment after infusion of the combination of MDP plus LTA tended to be more intense at the beginning of the inflammatory response (although not significantly) than with either agonist alone, but the main difference was the protracted cell influx. Differences between quarters that had received LTA plus MDP and quarters that had received either LTA or MDP were significant (P < 0.05) from 24 h to 96 hpi (Fig. 1B), showing that the cell response to the mixture was synergistic, with a more-than-1-log difference. There was a comparatively slight reaction in the control quarters, illustrating that mammary glands of the same udder are not completely independent with respect to inflammation.

Examination of milk cells on cytospin slides indicated that before challenge, mononuclear cells were the major population (60 to 75% of milk cells), but in all samples with cell concentrations above 1 million cells/ml, neutrophils were the dominant population (80 to 96%). Very few if any epithelial cells were shed in milk during the experiment.

Infusion of the pathogen recognition receptor (PRR) agonists induced a transient febrile episode: rectal temperatures significantly increased as soon as 8 hpi and peaked (39.6°C) at 12 hpi but had returned to prechallenge values (38.2°C versus 38.4°C; median values for the 11 cows) at 24 hpi. No systemic clinical sign was apparent, and local signs were mainly modified milk, such as clots and flakes, and yellowish coloration in three quarters that had received the mix of MDP plus LTA at 12 and 24 hpi.

Milk concentrations of chemoattractants for neutrophils. As neutrophils were massively recruited in milk following challenge with MDP and LTA, we measured the concentrations of several mediators that are chemotactic for this cell type. The ELR plus CXC (ELR+CXC) chemokines, which display the three amino acids glutamate-leucine-arginine (ELR) before the CXCL motif, are neutrophil chemoattractants (32). Variations in the concentrations of four of the ELR+CXC chemokines were monitored in milk during the inflammatory response: CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, and CXCL8. Concentrations of CXCL1 in milk increased significantly only at 4 and 8 hpi with the three stimuli (Fig. 2A). Concentrations of CXCL1 after infusion with LTA plus MDP were significantly above concentrations after infusion with LTA or MDP at 8 hpi. Concentrations of CXCL2 increased significantly at 4, 8, 12, and 24 hpi with the three stimuli (Fig. 2B). The increases were also significant at 32, 48, and 72 hpi with LTA plus MDP. Increases in CXCL2 concentrations were significantly higher with LTA plus MDP than with LTA or MDP at 4, 48, and 72 hpi but not at the peak of the response (8 to 24 hpi). Concentrations of CXCL3 were already high before infusion (about 170 ng/ml). We have recently established that CXCL3 is the constitutive bovine milk chemokine responsible for the chemotactic activity of normal milk (51). Concentrations of CXCL3 increased significantly only at 8 hpi with the three stimuli (Fig. 2C). Later on, concentrations significantly decreased at 32, 48, 72, and 96 hpi with the LTA and MDP stimuli, whereas with the LTA plus MDP stimulus, concentrations did not differ from the initial concentration. Concentrations with LTA plus MDP were significantly above concentrations with LTA or MDP at 8, 32, 48, 72, and 96 hpi. CXCL8 concentrations were significantly above prechallenge values at 4, 8, 12, and 24 hpi with the LTA and MDP stimuli and up to 96 hpi with the mix of LTA plus MDP (Fig. 2D). Concentrations after challenge
with LTA plus MDP were significantly above concentrations with LTA or MDP at 8, 32, 48, and 72 hpi.

Overall, the concentrations of the four ELR + CXC chemokines were augmented as soon as 4 hpi, peaked at 8 hpi (except for CXCL2 which peaked at 12 hpi), and fell abruptly at 24 hpi. This fall in concentrations may have been amplified by proteolysis or trapping in clots, because the 12-hpi samples were those which showed the strongest modifications (clots, flakes, and yellowish coloration). The challenge with LTA plus MDP induced significantly greater increases at the beginning of the inflammatory response (4 and 8 hpi) but also later on, at 32 to 96 hpi for CXCL3 and CXCL8, thus causing a protracted secretion.

C5a is the main neutrophil-oriented chemotactic component derived from the activation of the complement system. After challenge with either LTA or MDP, C5a concentrations significantly increased only at 12 hpi, whereas with LTA plus MDP, increases in concentrations were significant from 8 to 32 hpi (Fig. 3). Concentrations after challenge with LTA plus MDP were significantly higher than concentrations with LTA or MDP at 12 hpi.

Milk concentrations of inflammatory cytokines. Inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1β, and IFN-γ are known to induce chemokines indirectly by stimulating myeloid and stromal cells. IFN-γ was not found in milk except in two quarters challenged with the mix of LTA plus MDP at 12 hpi (15 pg/ml). In contrast, IL-1β was found in all quarters infused with MDP or LTA plus MDP but in only six quarters infused with 5 μg LTA and at rather low concentrations. Only the peak value, which was reached at 12 hpi, differed significantly from the baseline for MDP, whereas the values at 8 and 12 hpi differed significantly for LTA plus MDP (Fig. 4). After challenge with LTA plus MDP, IL-1β concentrations were significantly higher than concentrations with LTA or MDP at 8 hpi, as a result of an earlier increase. Concentrations of IL-6 increased very slightly after the infusion of LTA or MDP, but increases after the infusion of LTA plus MDP were marked at 8 and 12 hpi (Fig. 4). Values returned to baseline as soon as 24 hpi. TNF-α was found in only 7 of the 11 quarters challenged with LTA plus MDP at 8 hpi (median value, 330 pg/ml) and 12 hpi (90
pg/ml) (Fig. 4). Overall, very small amounts of inflammatory cytokines were detected in milk, even when MDP was associated with LTA. Concentrations were sizeable only at the peak of the inflammatory response, when cell concentrations were of several millions per ml in milk (Fig. 1).

Chemokines and proinflammatory cytokines can be secreted by bMEpC stimulated with bacteria or bacterial components (31, 62, 70, 72). We thus made the assumption that MEpC could be important contributors of proinflammatory cytokines and of neutrophil-oriented chemokines and set out to investigate the response of these cells to stimulation with the PRR agonists used to challenge mammary glands.

**Secretion of chemokines by bMEpC in response to MDP and LTA.** In order to check whether MDP stimulated bMEpC and to titrate the MDP and LTA preparations, we performed a pilot experiment with different concentrations of MDP, LTA, or MDP plus LTA to stimulate bMEpC of one cow. Both LTA and MDP induced the secretion of CXCL8 by MEpC, but LTA was a stronger inducer (Fig. 5a). It was shown previously that NOD2 does not detect MDP-dd, in which the second amino acid, -Glx, is replaced by the enantiomer -Gls (17). We therefore checked if MEpC reacted to MDP-DD. The isofrom MDP-DD was inactive, showing the stereospecificity of the response to the active isofrom MDP-LD (Fig. 5a). Purified \( S.\) aureus LTA induced bMEpC to secrete CXCL8 with a dose-response from 0.1 to 10 \( \mu g/ml\). Synthetic MDP was less efficient than LTA, and increasing its concentration did not improve the CXCL8 response (Fig. 5a). To check the purity of the LTA preparation, LTA was submitted to heat treatment, proteinase K digestion, and PAF-AH. LTA activity resisted boiling at 95°C for 10 min and proteinase K but was inactivated by PAF-AH (Fig. 5b), suggesting that its activity was due to LTA and not to lipoproteins, which were shown to resist PAF-AH (58).

As there was a trend for the potentiation of activity between the two agonists, they were tested in combination at suboptimal concentrations (1 \( \mu g/ml\)) to stimulate bMEpC of five cows. The association of LTA plus MDP induced concentrations of IL-8 that exceeded the concentrations induced by either component alone (Fig. 5c). The CXCL3 response was additive with the agonists at 1 \( \mu g/ml\) (Fig. 5d).

**Secretion of inflammatory cytokines by bMEpC.** We investigated the capacity of bMEpC to produce proinflammatory cytokines in response to LTA and MDP. These agonists were used at the suboptimal concentration of 1 \( \mu g/ml\) with a view to revealing additive or synergistic effects. LTA or MDP did not significantly increase the expression of IL-6 at 2 h poststimulation, even when used in combination. At 8 h poststimulation, only the combination of the two agonists induced a significant increase of IL-6 expression (Fig. 6a). At the protein level, the secretion of IL-6 by bMEpC was significantly increased only by the combination of LTA and MDP (Fig. 6b).

There was a significant increase in the level of expression of TNF-\( \alpha\) mRNA at 2 and 8 h poststimulation (Fig. 7a), but TNF-\( \alpha\) was not detectable in the cell culture supernatant at 16 h poststimulation (Fig. 7b). ELISA determinations were performed on the supernatants collected at 1 h and 6 h poststimulation to detect a possible early and transient secretion, but results were negative (result not shown). Monocytes and PBMC were stimulated with LTA plus MDP and PMA plus ionomycin, respectively, and TNF-\( \alpha\) was detected in the culture supernatant (Fig. 7b), showing that the absence of detectable TNF-\( \alpha\) in the bMEpC supernatants was not due to technical reasons. As PMA was shown to stimulate the protease TACE (TNF-\( \alpha\)-converting enzyme), which is required to release TNF-\( \alpha\) from the cell membrane (10), bMEpC were stimulated with LTA plus MDP and 200 ng/ml PMA, but TNF-\( \alpha\) was not detected in the cell supernatant (Fig. 7b). Also, immunoblot analysis of whole-cell extracts with an antibody to bovine TNF-\( \alpha\) (rabbit polyclonal antibody; LifeSpan Biosciences, Seattle, WA) after SDS-PAGE did not reveal a TNF-\( \alpha\) band (results not shown).

Upon stimulation with LTA, bMEpC increased the level of expression of IL-1\( \beta\) mRNA (\( P < 0.05\) by a Friedman test). Increased levels of expression were noticeable at 2 and 8 h poststimulation with LTA but only at 8 h poststimulation with
MDP (Fig. 7c). The combination of LTA plus MDP tended to induce a more-than-additive effect but not significantly due to the high individual response variability (Fig. 7c). At the protein level, IL-1β was not detected in bMEpC culture supernatants after stimulation with LTA plus MDP (Fig. 7d). Using the same ELISA, sizeable concentrations of IL-1β were found in monocyte or PBMC culture supernatants in response to LTA plus MDP or PMA plus ATP (Fig. 7d).

Overall, the capacity of bMEpC to produce major proinflammatory cytokines appeared to be limited to small concentrations of IL-6.

Expression of PRR targeted by MDP and LTA in bMEpC and mammary tissue. We checked whether bMEpC expressed the receptors supposed to detect LTA and MDP under our culture conditions. In addition, we looked for the expression of these receptors in mammary tissue of uninflamed udders. Due to the lack of commercially available specific antibodies for bovine receptors, expression was investigated by RT-PCR only.

The main sensor of MDP is NOD2, a member of the NACHT-LRR receptor family (17). We found transcripts for NOD2 in cDNA prepared from unstimulated and stimulated bMEpC cultures as well as cDNA of healthy mammary tissue (Fig. 8). Both MEpC and mammary tissue yielded an amplicon of the expected size. The identity of NOD2 was checked by sequencing of the PCR product. Complete homology with the known sequence of bovine NOD2 was obtained. As NOD2 is in the cytosol and MDP is a hydrophilic molecule which cannot passively cross the cytoplasmic membrane, the response of bMEpC to extracellular MDP implies a translocation mechanism. In intestinal epithelial cells, MDP has been shown to gain entry by using the dipeptide/tripeptide transporter PEPT1, also known as SLC15A1 (68). By RT-PCR, bMEpC and mammary tissue were found to express SLC15A1 (Fig. 8). Complete homology of the amplicon sequence with the known sequence of bovine SLC15A1 was obtained.

The main receptor for LTA is TLR2, in association with TLR6 and CD14 (1, 56), and bovine TLR2 has been shown to sense staphylococcal LTA (13). It was shown previously that...
bMEpC express TLR2 in culture and in vivo although in small amounts in unstimulated cells or uninflamed mammary tissue (47). We checked by RT-PCR that bMEpC expressed TLR2 and TLR6 mRNAs under our culture conditions. Transcripts were detected (Fig. 8), and the sequences of the amplicons corresponded to the expected bovine sequences.

Another receptor for staphylococcal LTA was hypothesized to be at the surface of epithelial cells, i.e., PAF receptor (PAFR), the receptor for platelet-activating factor (36). The generation of NO by macrophages was shown previously to depend on the indirect stimulation of PAFR by LTA through the generation of PAF (21), and mucin production by human epithelial cells in response to LTA was previously stated to result from the direct interaction of LTA with PAFR (36). Consequently, we investigated whether CXCL8 secretion by bMEpC in response to LTA could involve PAFR stimulation. First, we looked for the expression of PAFR by MEpC and found mRNA expression in bMEpC (Fig. 8). We then checked whether bMEpC responded to PAF. Culture for 8 h or 16 h with PAF-C16 (10, 50, or 100 nM) did not induce CXCL8 secretion (results not shown). We also used WEB2086, an inhibitor of PAFR which was proven previously to be efficient on bovine PAFR (6), to test whether PAFR contributed to CXCL8 secretion. The inhibitor WEB2086 did not significantly reduce CXCL8 secretion by bMEpC in response to LTA (Fig. 9). Overall, these results do not substantiate a role for PAFR in the stimulation of bMEpC by staphylococcal LTA.
The contribution of the NF-κB activation (Fig. 10). The combination of LTA and MDP did not inhibit more NF-κB activation pathway was tested by using a pharmacological inhibitor of NF-κB (Fig. 11). Overall, these results indicate that NF-κB was involved in the stimulated secretion of CXCL8 by bMEpC of four cows. Reductions of CXCL8 concentrations by WEB2086 were not statistically significant (Friedman test).

was involved in the stimulated secretion of CXCL8 by measuring the activation of NF-κB p65 in nuclear cell extracts of bMEpC unstimulated or stimulated for 2 h or 8 h with LTA or MDP by using the TransAM transcription factor NF-κB p65 ELISA. The activation of p65 occurred with LTA as soon as 2 h poststimulation and with LTA or MDP at 8 h poststimulation (Fig. 10). The combination of LTA and MDP did not activate more NF-κB p65 than did LTA alone (Fig. 10). Also, the contribution of the NF-κB activation pathway was tested by using a pharmacological inhibitor of NF-κB. The production of CXCL8 by bMEpC stimulated with LTA, MDP, or LTA plus MDP was significantly reduced by the NF-κB inhibitor (Fig. 11). Overall, these results indicate that NF-κB was involved in the CXCL8 response of bMEpC to LTA and MDP.

**DISCUSSION**

*S. aureus* is a leading cause of mastitis and one of the most successful pathogens for the mammary gland. In spite of numerous studies on the pathogenesis of *S. aureus* mastitis, the bacterial molecular patterns causing inflammatory and innate immune responses to *S. aureus* in the bovine mammary gland are not well defined. Recently, we have shown that staphyloccocal LTA is recognized by the mammary gland and induces a massive influx of neutrophils (49). Peptidoglycan fragments are other major agonists of the innate immune system. MDP is the minimal peptidoglycan structure recognized by NOD2 (17), but it does not seem to be produced in significant amounts naturally by *S. aureus* or host enzymes (11). Recently, it was reported that a natural *S. aureus* peptidoglycan fragment, including the MDP structure, activates NOD2 (69). Here, we show that MDP is another MAMP sensed by the mammary gland and that the combination of LTA and MDP exerts a synergistic effect on the recruitment of leukocytes in milk. More than 80% of these leukocytes were neutrophils, indicating that the local response to the intramammary infusion of LTA, MDP, or both was a neutrophilic inflammation. In line with the preferential recruitment of neutrophils was the synergistic effect of LTA plus MDP on the production of ELR+CXC chemokines (CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, and CXCL8) and of C5a, chemoattractants which target mainly neutrophils (2). With the suboptimal amounts of LTA and MDP used in this study to favor the demonstration of synergy, the inflammatory cytokines TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 were detected in milk only with the combination of LTA and MDP (Fig. 4), indicating that the synergy extends to the generation of proinflammatory cytokines in the mammary gland. Because MEpC are considered sentinels of the mammary gland, we investigated the response of these cells to the MAMPs that we had used in vivo.

In our hands, LTA proved to be an efficient inducer of the secretion of chemokines by bMEpC. This somewhat contradicts a previous report showing that LTA was a poor stimulator of bovine MEpC compared to LPS (62). This may be because in the latter study, streptococcal LTA was used, as the response to *Streptococcus pneumoniae* LTA was only 1% compared to...
that of *S. aureus* LTA (20). The commercial LTA preparation that we used was prepared by the n-butanol extraction method, which preserves its activity (42), and is devoid of TLR4-stimulating capacity. The possible contamination of staphylococcal LTA preparations with lipoproteins has fuelled controversies as to the real activity of LTA (22). This is why we subjected the LTA preparation to enzymatic treatment with PAF-AH, as this enzyme was shown previously to inactivate LTA but not lipopeptides (58). The almost complete loss of CXCL8-stimulating activity after treatment with PAF-AH indicates that the activity was indeed due to LTA and not to lipoproteins. Both staphylococcal LTA and diacylated lipopeptides signal through the dimeric receptor TLR2/TLR6. We checked that under our culture conditions, bMEpC expressed transcripts of genes encoding TLR2 and TLR6. Our positive result is in line with data from previously published reports (18, 47, 62). Cofactors like CD14 and CD36 are known to increase responses to LTA (37, 56). CD36 is expressed by MEpC (61), and although CD14 may not be expressed at the membrane by bMEpC, soluble CD14 is found in milk and renders CD14-negative cells responsive to LPS (3, 33). Overall, it is not surprising that bMEpC are able to respond to LTA.

Besides TLR2, PAFR has been shown to act as an activating receptor for LTA on epithelial cells and macrophages (21, 36). The infusion of PAF in the teat cistern of bovine mammary gland induces the recruitment of leukocytes in the lumen, and this response is reduced by WEB2086, an inhibitor of PAFR (46). This finding suggests that PAFR is expressed in the mammary gland by cells that have access to the luminal compartment. We found transcripts of the gene encoding PAFR in bMEpC and healthy mammary tissue, but under our conditions, bMEpC did not secrete CXCL8 in response to PAF. Also, there was only a slight, insignificant reduction in the level of secretion of CXCL8 by bMEpC stimulated by LTA in the presence of high concentrations of WEB2086, an inhibitor of PAFR. These results suggest that PAFR did not contribute significantly to CXCL8 production in response to LTA.

Another finding of this study is that bMEpC are responsive to MDP. When used alone, MDP was not a strong inducer of chemokines compared to LTA, but it was able to potentiate responses to LTA at suboptimal concentrations, there was a clear synergy between the two agonists for the secretion of IL-8 and IL-6 (Fig. 5 and 6). Previous studies have shown that bMEpC respond to streptococcal LTA (62) or staphylococcal LTA (72), but to our knowledge, MDP had never been used to stimulate MEpC. It was demonstrated previously that the NOD-like receptor (NLR) NOD2 is a major MDP sensor (17, 31). As MDP induced inflammation when infused in the lumen of the mammary gland, we searched for the expression of NOD2 in mammary tissue and bMEpC. We found transcripts of NOD2 in uninflected mammary tissue and in unstimulated MEpC under our culture conditions (Fig. 8). The unavailability of antibodies cross-reacting with bovine NOD2 prevented us from checking that bMEpC expressed this PRR at the protein level. Although there is no previous report on the expression of NOD2 by MEpC in the bovine species, it was shown previously that various human epithelial cell lines, including a breast epithelial cell line, express functional NOD2 (65). MDP has been shown to signal via other NLRs such as Nalp1 and Nalp3, components of inflammasomes triggering the processing of pro-IL-1β (14, 40). Specifically, IL-1β was secreted by human monocytes or macrophages following stimulation with MDP, and this secretion was dependent on the processing of pro-IL-1β by caspase-1 (40). In our study, MDP did not induce the secretion of IL-1β, although IL-1β transcripts were produced. This may be because mammary epithelial cells do not use inflammasomes as macrophages or a reconstituted cellular system does. Whatever it may be, the absence of the IL-β protein in spite of the presence of IL-β mRNA is not in favor of inflammasome activation by MDP in bMEpC.

Under our culture conditions, bMEpC responded to MDP when exposed to this hydrophilic molecule in solution, although all known sensors of MDP are cytosolic. It was reported previously that the extracellular presentation of MDP failed to induce a response from macrophages, which could result from the incapacity of MDP to cross the hydrophobic cytoplasmic membrane and reach the cytosolic NOD2 (17). The hypothesis of a membrane transporter allowing MDP to cross the cytoplasmic or endosomal membranes has been put forward but not yet substantiated (28), even though the cytosolic delivery of diverse bacterial products by the hemichannel pannexin-1 was recently described (27). In colonic epithelial cells, MDP is taken up by the apical dipeptide/tripeptide transporter PEPT1, and the uptake of MDP activates NF-κB by a mechanism involving NOD2 (68). We found transcripts of the *PEPT1* transporter in bMEpC in culture and in mammary tissue, and thus, this could be an explanation for the responsiveness of bMEpC to extracellular MDP. Recently, it was shown that MDP is internalized and traffics via the endocytic machinery, involving the clathrin-dependent coated-pit pathway, probably in conjunction with a membrane receptor (35). Whatever the mechanism, the fact that MDP induced an inflammatory response after infusion in the lumen of the lactating mammary gland indicates that this mechanism may operate *in vivo*. Alternatively to MEpC, mammary macrophages may be responsible for the response to MDP.

We found that the combination of LTA and MDP resulted in much stronger neutrophilic inflammation after infusion in the mammary gland and induced a stronger chemokine response by bMEpC than did the use of LTA or MDP alone. Synergistic activity between LTA and MDP *in vivo* and *in vitro* on macrophages, monocytes, or dendritic cells was reported previously (30, 56, 64). Our results indicate that NF-κB was involved in the response of bMEpC to LTA and MDP. A pharmacological inhibitor of NF-κB significantly reduced CXCL8 secretion (Fig. 11), and activated NF-κB p65 was found in increased amounts in nuclear extracts of stimulated cells (Fig. 10). These results are at odds with a previous report showing that whole killed *S. aureus* or staphylococcal LTA failed to activate NF-κB in bMEpC (72). We have no explanation for this discrepancy. One biological consequence of this synergy would be that the mammary gland is able to detect lower concentrations of *S. aureus* MAMPs, thus triggering an innate immune response early during the infection process.

The milk chemokine and cytokine profile of the mammary inflammatory response to the combination of LTA plus MDP was comparable to the profile induced by *S. aureus* experimental infections, in that low concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines are found in milk of *S. aureus*-infected glands compared to responses induced by *Escherichia coli* (3, 54). In par-
ticular, TNF-α is not found in milk from *S. aureus*-infected quarters (2). The inability of bMEpC to secrete TNF-α and IL-1β in response to LTA and MDP is in keeping with these in vivo observations. However, several studies have reported increases in TNF-α or IL-1β gene expression levels in bMEpC stimulated with *S. aureus* or LTA (19, 62, 70, 72). It is noteworthy that in these studies, the secretion of TNF-α or IL-1β was not investigated. In our study, we found a divergence between TNF-α mRNA expression and protein secretion. The dissociation between transcription and translation (or secretion) is not uncommon, because the production of these two potent proinflammatory cytokines is tightly regulated (55). At present, we have no explanation for the absence of secretion of TNF-α by bMEpC stimulated by LTA and MDP. The divergence between the increase in numbers of TNF-α transcripts and protein secretion may depend on the cell type and species. For the human monocytic Monomac-6 cell line, MDP was reported previously to induce a weak induction of TNF-α mRNA but not protein (71), and there was a previously reported example of dissociation between mRNA and the protein for TNF-α by bMEpC (44). In a previous study we found transient and low levels of TNF-α secretion in the supernatant of bMEpC cocultured with live *S. aureus* (34). This finding suggests that even whole and metabolically active staphylococci are not good inducers of TNF-α for bMEpC.

Contrary to TNF-α, the absence of production of IL-1β by bMEpC is not unexpected. After the transcription of the coding gene, IL-1β is produced by monocytes and macrophages as an inactive cytoplasmic precursor (pro-IL-1β) whose maturation and secretion are mediated by caspase-1, a protease that processes pro-IL-1β into biologically active IL-1β (9). The activation of caspase-1 is controlled by inflammasomes, multicomponent complexes which play a prominent role in inflammation (15). Agonists of TLR may trigger the transcription of the gene encoding IL-1β, but this is not sufficient for inflammasome activation. The case of MDP/NOD2 is less clear. Depending on the cell type and stimulation conditions, MDP was unable to stimulate IL-1β production (29, 39) or, on the contrary, was supposed to activate caspase-1 and release IL-1β (38, 67). It was recently reported that no combination of pure TLR2 and NOD2 agonists is sufficient for inflammasome activation and secretion of IL-1β by murine macrophages (59). In macrophages and monocytes, the induction of IL-1β secretion by TLR agonists requires a second signal provided by extracellular ATP to be efficient (45). ATP activates the purinergic receptor P2X7R, thus promoting an efflux of K⁺, which is implicated in IL-1β secretion (26). We tested whether the addition of ATP to LTA and MDP induced IL-1β secretion by bMEpC, but the attempt was unsuccessful, although ATP augmented the secretion of IL-1β by bovine monocytes stimulated under the same conditions (Fig. 7). In relation to the induction of IL-1β production by *S. aureus*, it was shown previously that although TLR agonists or MDP (NOD2 agonist) did not induce the activation of caspase-1, living *S. aureus* cells induced the activation and secretion of IL-1β (39). Recently, it was shown that for *S. aureus* to activate the macrophage Nalp3 inflammasome, the combined action of TLR agonists, MDP, and a pore-forming toxin was necessary (8). To our knowledge, there is no published report on the inflammasomes of MEpC. In fact, the production of IL-1β by bMEpC is not documented. The lack of production of TNF-α in response to LTA and MDP and of IL-1β in the additional presence of ATP indicates that bMEpC do not respond to bacterial agonists like monocytes or macrophages do. As IL-1β was found in milk after the infusion of MDP or LTA plus MDP, but not in the culture supernatant of bMEpC stimulated with these MAMPs, the question arises of the contribution of MEpC to the production of milk IL-1β. It is possible that cells other than MEpC were responsible for the presence of IL-1β in milk or that a cooperation between different cell types is required. Much remains to be done to investigate the production of IL-1β by MEpC and to assess the role of the inflammasomes in the defense of the mammary gland against its common bacterial pathogens. In particular, the expression by bMEpC of functional proteins of the NOD-like receptor family, comprising NOD1, NOD2, and inflammasomes, remains to be established. In this study, LTA and MDP were considered agonists of TLR2 and NOD2, respectively. Although the reactivity of bovine TLR2 to LTA and expression at the protein level were verified previously (12, 47, 72), the case for NOD2 is not settled. We found an expression of transcripts by bMEpC, but protein production was not checked for the lack of proper reagents. Also, we did not establish that bMEpC reacted to MDP through the NOD2 activation pathway. Although this is plausible, because there is at the moment no described alternative pathway leading to NF-κB activation and the production of chemokines such as CXC Chemokine Ligand 8, the role of NOD2 remains to be proven.

Whereas this study focused on the effect of two individual staphylococcal agonists of PRR, the infection pathophysiology is more complex, because *S. aureus* produces an array of MAMPs and toxins that are sensed by cells of the immune system and because the end response results from the integration of multiple incoming signals. Individual or combined purified agonists and complex and only partially defined stimuli like bacterial culture supernatants or whole dead or living bacteria can be used to progressively unravel the network of PRR signaling pathways that shape the response of MEC and mammary tissue to bacterial pathogens.

In conclusion, this study shows that the mammary gland recognizes MDP and responds by mounting neutrophilic inflammation and that MDP synergizes with LTA. Our results strongly suggest that MEpC contribute to this response. A new finding was that bMEpC have the capacity to sense MDP. Another finding was that the bMEpC response was characterized by the secretion of chemokines but not proinflammatory cytokines. We are now investigating whether this characteristic still applies when MEpC are confronted with staphylococcal supernatants or whole bacteria. This study confirms that bovine MEpC are geared to react to MAMPs, a feature which is in conformity with an important role of sentinels of the lumen of the mammary gland.
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