The Role of Individual Susceptibility in Cancer Burden Related to Environmental Exposure
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Individual susceptibility to cancer may result from several host factors including differences in metabolism, DNA repair, altered expression of protooncogenes and tumor suppressor genes, and nutritional status. Since most carcinogens require metabolic activation before binding to DNA, variations in an individual’s metabolic phenotype that have been detected in enzymes involved in activation and detoxification should play an essential role in the development of environmental cancer. This phenotypic metabolic variation has now been related to genetic polymorphisms, and many genes encoding carcinogen-metabolizing enzymes have been identified and cloned. Consequently, allelic variants or genetic defects that give rise to the observed variation and new polymorphisms have been recognized. Development of simple polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based assays has enabled identification of an individual’s genotype for a variety of metabolic polymorphisms. Thus, recent knowledge of the genetic basis for individual metabolic variation has opened new possibilities for studies focusing on increased individual susceptibility to environmentally induced cancer, which are reviewed with special reference to smoking-induced lung cancer. Cancer susceptibility due to chemical exposure is likely to be determined by an individual’s phenotype for a number of enzymes (both activating and detoxifying) relevant to that of a single carcinogen or mixtures of carcinogens. Given the number and variability in expression of carcinogen-metabolizing enzymes and the complexity of chemical exposures, assessment of a single polymorphic enzyme (genotype) may not be sufficient. Mutations in the p53 gene are among the most common genetic changes in human cancer. The frequency and type of p53 mutations can act as a fingerprint of carcinogen exposure and may therefore provide information about external etiologic agents, intensity of exposure, and host factors affecting the tumorigenesis process. In human lung cancer, p53 mutations (both the mutation pattern and frequency) have been linked with tobacco smoking; the type of mutation most frequently observed is G:C to T:A transversion, a mutation preferentially induced by benzo[a]pyrene diol epoxide. An association between the presence of this transversion and the genotype deficient in glutathione S-transferase M1-mediated detoxification has been observed in lung cancer. Taken together, these findings suggest that determination of metabolic risk genotypes in combination with levels of DNA adducts in target (surrogate) tissues and the p53 mutation pattern should allow the identification of susceptible individuals and subgroups in carcinogen-exposed populations. — Environ Health Perspect 104(Suppl 3):569-577 (1996)
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Cancer Susceptibility in Environmental Carcinogenesis

Epidemiological studies have estimated that up to 80 to 90% of all cancers are related to environmental factors, tobacco smoke, and diet (1). Tobacco use is unquestionably a major causative factor, accounting for about 30% of all cancer cases worldwide, especially lung cancer which is presently the most common malignancy in the world. Individual susceptibility to cancer may result from several host factors including differences in metabolism, DNA repair, altered expression of protooncogenes and tumor suppressor genes, and nutritional status (Figure 1) (2). Since most carcinogens require metabolic activation before binding to DNA, individual features of carcinogen metabolism play an essential role in the development of environmental cancer. Variations in an individual’s metabolic phenotype, i.e., phenotypic polymorphism, have been detected in a variety of enzymes involved in activation and detoxification of chemical carcinogens. This phenotypic metabolic variation has now been related to genetic polymorphisms. A growing number of genes encoding carcinogen-metabolizing enzymes have been identified and cloned. Consequently, there is increasing knowledge of the allelic variants or genetic defects that give rise to the observed variation. Development of rather simple new techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based assays has enabled precise identification of an individual’s genotype for a variety of metabolic polymorphisms. Also, new polymorphisms have been recognized. Thus, recent knowledge of the genetic basis for individual metabolic variation has opened new possibilities for studies focusing on increased susceptibility to environmental cancer.

Many of the polymorphic genes of carcinogen metabolism show considerable ethnic differences in gene structure and allelic distribution (e.g., rare alleles, gene amplifications, and pseudogenes). Many of the first reports on genetic risk modification were from Japan, and only after several studies among various Caucasian populations has an estimate of allele frequencies, and thus of risk genotypes, been obtained. Remarkable variation in metabolic phenotypes and genotypes has been reported for different ethnic and geographic populations (3–8). The strong interethnic variation has been underlined as a major
obstacle for extrapolation of results between different ethnic groups (4).

Only a small number of studies have so far been focused on genotyping of the genes involved in the genetic regulation of carcinogen metabolism and on the analyses of combined genotypes in carcinogen metabolism. This review summarizes recent studies in this rapidly expanding field, which mostly concentrate on lung cancer in smokers. The references cited are not exhaustive, and the reader is referred to review articles (9-11).

Role of Metabolism and DNA Adducts in Chemical Carcinogenesis

DNA Adducts with Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

The majority of human carcinogens do not produce their biological effects per se but require metabolic activation before they can interact with cellular macromolecules. Many compounds are converted to reactive electrophilic metabolites by the oxidative, mainly cytochrome P450-related enzymes (CYPs). A major representative of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) is benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P), present in tobacco smoke and ambient air in industrialized areas. B[a]P is converted into phenolic metabolites such as 3-OH-B[a]P and B[a]P-7,8-diol, by a CYP-mediated process. Secondary metabolism, mainly involving epoxide hydrolase and another subset of CYP isoforms, leads to the formation of the highly reactive (+)-anti-B[a]P diol epoxide. This metabolite has been shown to bind to genomic DNA and activate oncogenes or other critical genes and it is likely to be a causative factor in several types of cancer (12). Using a new high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) fluorescence assay, the levels of specific (+)-anti B[a]P diol epoxide bound to DNA can be quantified through the release of B[a]P-tertols (13) both from lung tissue DNA and lymphocyte DNA (14).

The formation of smoking-related DNA adducts in human lung tissue may be a good dosimetric exposure marker. Smokers have significantly elevated levels of aromatic or hydrophobic adducts compared with nonsmokers (15-17) (Table 1). In some cases it is evident that adduct levels are linearly related to total smoking exposure (15,16) but, in the case of lung cancer patients only, the shorter the period of smoking before cancer occurred, the higher the adduct level (17). Furthermore, adduct levels are higher in women's lung DNA, when figures are adjusted for smoking exposure, a result that suggests (along with preliminary epidemiological findings) that women are at increased risk of lung cancer from smoking, compared with men. The enhancing effect of smoking on anti-B[a]P diol epoxide–DNA levels in peripheral mononuclear cells from coke oven workers has been demonstrated (18). The 32P-post-labeling technique gives an estimate of total aromatic adducts. Possible genotype dependence of DNA adducts, whether specific to B[a]P or bulky PAH adducts, is being investigated in lung tissue of lung cancer patients.

Tobacco-specific Nitrosamines

4-(Methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butane (NNK), a nicotine-derived tobacco-specific nitrosamine found in cigarette smoke, is a potent pulmonary carcinogen in rodents. NNK and PAHs are believed to be the major carcinogens responsible for lung cancer in smokers. NNK requires metabolic activation to bind to DNA and expresses its carcinogenic effects. Its metabolism includes α-hydroxylation, pyridine-N-oxidation and reduction to 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol (NNAL), and conjugation of NNAL to its glucuronide. NNAL and the corresponding glucuronide can be detected in human urine and are good exposure indicators of the tobacco-specific nitrosamine NNK.

| Parameter                     | Smokers          | Ex-smokers       | Nonsmokers       |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Genotype, GSTM1               |                  |                  |                  |
| AHH (nmol/min/mg protein)     | Wild 1.19±1.33*  | Null 0.88±1.26*  | Wild 0.12±0.15   |
| Bulky DNA adducts in lung parenchyma | 8.7±4.7*       | 9.9±6.1*        | 1.4±0.9          |
| AUX/17U                       | 9.2±4.2          | 8.9±3.4          | 5.4±1.3          |
| 17X/17 U/13X                  | 10.8±4.2         | 17.1±5.3**       | 14.7±8.4         |
| 17X, 17U, 13X                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Abbreviations: wild, GSTM1 positive; null, GSTM1 negative (gene); AHH, aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase; AUX, 1-methylnitrosamine, dimethyluric acid, and 5-acetylaminonoformylaminomethyluracil); 17U, 1,7-dimethyluric acid; 17X, 1,7-dimethylxanthine; 137X, caffeine; ND, no data. Interim results from a collaborative study on Finnish lung cancer patients; n=89. *p<0.05; **p<0.01 as compared to respective GSTM1-positive genotype; *p<0.01 as compared to respective GSTM1 genotype of ex-smokers or nonsmokers. |
NNK-derived DNA adducts have only partially been characterized (19).

In humans, the balance between toxification and detoxification of NNK may be influenced by the individual’s enzymatic capacity. By measuring a urinary metabolite of NNK, NNAL glucuronide, the latter could possibly serve as an index of an individual’s activation/detoxification capacity. Because glucuronidation may exert genetic polymorphism (20), some smokers with higher glucuronidation capacity measurable by the ratio of NNAL glucuronide/NNK may partially be protected against the carcinogenicity of NNK.

Endogenous Adducts

The exocyclic DNA adducts ethenodeoxyadenine and ethenodeoxyethansine have been found to be formed by the human carcinogen vinyl chloride and by urethane; they also can be formed from lipid peroxidation products such as trans-4-hydroxy-2-nonenal via epoxidation (21–23). Etheno adducts thus may serve as a DNA marker of oxidative stress. After development of a highly specific and ultrasensitive assay, these etheno adducts can now be detected with the sensitivity of 4 adducts/1010 normal nucleotides (24). Recently, a close correlation has been observed between aliphatic epoxide-induced sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs) in cultured human lymphocytes and GSTT1 polymorphism. The null genotype had higher induced, as well as background, frequencies of SCEs (25,26). Because mutagenic epoxides produced from lipid peroxidation products could be substrates for GSTT1 or M1, it is conceivable that the level of etheno-DNA adducts (and the resulting frequency of point mutations) is effected by these polymorphic detoxifying enzymes.

Human Genes Associated with the Metabolism of Carcinogens

**CYP1A1**

CYP1A1 is well conserved among the xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes. In human lung tissue of smokers, the level of B[a]P diol epoxide adducts and total aromatic DNA adducts were significantly positively correlated with CYP1A1 expression or B[a]P-hydroxylase or aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase (AHH) enzyme activity (13). Several studies have indicated an association of the genetic polymorphism of CYP1A1 and cancer. A co-segregation of the CYP1A1 phenotype and polymorphism of the MspI restriction site in the CYP1A1 gene was discovered (27), but this discovery was challenged later (28). Thus the association between the mutant CYP1A1 alleles and CYP1A1 functional activity is not clear at the moment, but recent studies indicate that variant alleles at the MspI site in exon 7 could result in a more active CYP1A1 enzyme (29,30). A significant correlation in a Japanese population between susceptibility to lung cancer and homozygosity for the rare MspI allele was reported by Kawajiri et al. (31) and Nakachi et al. (32). Another closely linked polymorphism, a point mutation resulting in an amino acid substitution (Ile-Val) in the heme-binding region of the CYP1A1 protein was found by Hayashi et al. (33). This genotype results in an altered enzyme activity and was shown to be associated with squamous cell and small cell types of lung cancer (34). There are significant ethnic differences in the frequency of CYP1A1 alleles, and both the m2 and Val alleles appear to be rare in Caucasians (5,35,36). This requires more follow-up studies involving more cancer patients and controls to unmask the association in Caucasians.

**CYP1A2**

The CYP1A2 isoform is predominantly expressed in liver and it activates a large number of dietary and environmental procarcinogens. This isoform is expressed in all studied human livers. To date, no genetic polymorphism has been found, but phenotypic polymorphism has been demonstrated using caffeine as a probe drug (37).

**CYP2A6**

In humans, CYP2A6 isoforms are mediators of 7-hydroxylation of coumarin, a component of cigarette smoke, certain alcoholic beverages, and a common constituent of various plants. CYP2A6 is also known to be capable of activating several other nitrosamines present in tobacco smoke and in the diet. The CYP24 gene cluster has been recently characterized (38). There are three functional genes in the subfamily, i.e., CYP2A6, CYP2A7, and CYP2A12, and two pseudogenes have been found. Two different variant alleles of the CYP2A6 gene were identified (CYP2A6v1 and CYP2A6v2). Thus, by developing a PCR-based method to detect CYP2A6-poor metabolizers, population studies should be able to assess the role of this polymorphism in tobacco smoke-caused lung cancer risk. This is especially relevant because CYP2A6 mediates the activation of NNK (39).

**CYP2E1**

The ethanol-inducible CYP2E1 metabolizes several known and suspected chemical carcinogens including N-nitrosamines. Genetic polymorphisms of the CYP2E1 gene have been shown to be associated with human cancer. In a Japanese study (40), two different alleles for the CYP2E1 gene were observed with the Dra1 restriction enzyme. The distribution of the corresponding genotypes among lung cancer cases was significantly different from that among controls, especially the homozygous rare genotype that was absent in the lung cancer group. No difference in the genotype frequencies was found between patients with other cancers and controls (40).

Subsequent studies have revealed profound ethnic differences in the frequencies of the polymorphic alleles. For example, in contrast to the Japanese findings, Kato et al. (41) studied a group of 128 mostly Caucasian lung cancer patients and found no association between the RsaI genotypes and lung cancer risk. However, a significant association between the defective alleles of the CYP2E1 gene promoter region (RsaI) and lung cancer risk was shown in a Swedish study (42). These contradictory results need to be verified in studies with more statistical power from various ethnic populations.

**CYP3A4**

The CYP3A4 isoform has been shown to activate numerous important procarcinogens such as B[a]P. Although the three different CYP3A genes (3A4, 3A5, and 3A7) are expressed at widely varying levels among individuals, polymorphism for only CYP3A4 and 3A5 has been found to date. Several allelic variants of the CYP3A4 gene were recently reported by Peyronneau et al. (43). Therefore, the distribution of the different alleles in lung cancer patients and controls should be investigated. CYP3A5, which is polymorphically expressed in the liver, has been found in human lungs (44).

**AhR and Arnt Genes**

The induction of CYP1A1 is initiated by the specific binding of PAH compounds to a soluble intracellular protein, the aromatic hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) (45). Hankinson and coworkers (46) have recently cloned a gene involved in the CYP1A1 induction pathway, the Ah receptor nuclear translocator (Arnt) gene, and
they have identified an Msp1 restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) in the human gene (47). The allele frequencies at the Arnt RFLP are 0.62 and 0.38 for the A1 and A2 alleles, respectively. The liganded nuclear form of the AhR complex stimulates transcription of the CYP1A1 gene via interaction with specific DNA sequences, xenobiotic responsive elements (XRE). Hayashi et al. (48) found that the expression level of CYP1A1 was associated with those of AhR and Arnt mRNAs and also that the expression of AhR and Arnt was influenced by cigarette smoking.

Glutathione S-transferases

Several carcinogens present in the diet and tobacco smoke are inactivated by glutathione S-transferases (GSTs). The known substrates for GSTs in cigarette smoke are those derived in bioactivation from PAH. The most studied carcinogenic PAH diol epoxide, B[a]P 7,8-diol-9,10-epoxide, is a relatively good substrate for many forms such as GSTM1, M2, and M3, and better still with GSTP1 (49).

The genetic polymorphism of the GSTM1 gene that encodes the glutathione S-transferase M1 enzyme is a result of a homozygous deletion of the entire GSTM1 gene locus (50). The GSTM1 gene locus contains three alleles, i.e., the GSTM1A and GSTM1B alleles, which differ by a single amino acid, and a deficient GSTM1 null allele. About 50% of the Caucasian population inherits two deficient alleles (i.e., they are homozygous for the null allele of the gene) and are thus devoid of GSTM1 activity. The GSTM1 null genotype frequency has been reported to show marked ethnic variation (51). Individuals lacking GSTM1 could be at a greater risk for developing lung cancer due to deficient detoxification processes; this notion is supported by recent studies (52). In persons who lack the GSTM1 gene, activation of carcinogens in tobacco smoke (e.g., B[a]P) appears to be increased, while the efficacy of detoxification is limited both qualitatively (absence of GSTM1-1 enzyme and low expression of GSTM3-3 enzyme) and quantitatively (low overall GST activity). This was confirmed by biochemical studies (Table 1). The metabolic activity (AHH activity, level of bulky PAH—DNA adducts in lung parenchyma) was measured in Finnish lung cancer patients divided according to their smoking habits and GSTM1 genotype (9). AHH activity was highest in smokers, independent of the GSTM1 genotype; also, the amount of DNA adducts was highest in smokers (Table 1). When smokers and ex-smokers were grouped according to GSTM1 gene status, smokers with a null GSTM1 gene had about 10% more bulky PAH—DNA adducts in lung parenchyma, whereas ex-smokers with this gene defect had a 2.4-fold excess of these DNA lesions. An independent study (17) also reported an excess of individuals with GSTM1 deficiency with high adduct levels in their lung tissue among male lung cancer patients. In our study (Table 1), one other parameter was determined: cytochrome P450IA2-catalyzed activity measurable in the urine by the use of caffeine as a probe drug (53). Kadlubar et al. (53) compared the ratio of 1,7-dimethylxanthine + 1,7-dimethyluric acid/caffeine (17X + 17U/17X) and the ratio of 1-methylxanthine + 5-acetylamino-6-formylamino-3 methyluracil/1,7-dimethylxanthine + 1-dimethyluric acid, showing that the former parameter is a better indicator of this enzyme activity. Our study showed a significant difference (p < 0.01) in this parameter, best representing CYP1A2 activity between GSTM1 positive (wild type) and mutated (null) gene in smokers (Table 1). This suggests a clustering of metabolic parameters leading to increased adduct formation, although in this study only about 10% more adducts were found in this group (null GSTM1) of smokers. Alternatively or additionally, the GSTM1 gene status may profoundly affect the metabolism and excretion of caffeine metabolites, thus altering the ratio of 17X + 17U/17X.

The first epidemiological studies appeared to confirm a relationship between GSTM1 deficiency and lung cancer risk (54,55). There are, however, several putative confounding factors that are known to affect the phenotype such as environmental exposures, nutrition, and differences in smoking habits (56). In recent genotyping studies using PCR assays (51), no association has been found between null genotype and lung adenocarcinoma, but a tendency for an association between the GSTM1 genotype and squamous cell carcinoma has been reported (57–59).

GSTT1

Recently a GST null phenotype unrelated to the GSTM1 was described for the glutathione-dependent detoxification of naturally occurring monohalomethanes. In human erythrocytes the monohalomethanes are detoxified by conjugation with glutathione (60–62). About 60 to 80% of the human population is able to carry out this metabolic reaction, whereas the remainder is unable to do so (61). Further characterization of this phenotype showed that glutathione conjugation of the industrially used chemicals dichloromethane and ethylene oxide (which is also a metabolic product of ethylene in animals and humans) could only be catalyzed by blood samples from the conjugator population (63). However, positive conjugator status is not necessarily beneficial because conjugation of monohalomethanes and ethylene oxide is detoxifying, whereas conjugation of dichloromethane yields a mutagenic metabolite (64). Given that monohalomethanes, ethylene oxide, and dichloromethane and other man-made alkyl halides have wide industrial uses, any polymorphic locus that may be involved in their metabolism would have epidemiological interest. In studies on smoking-related cancers, GSTT1 polymorphism is of particular interest because monohalomethanes are present in tobacco smoke (65).

EPHX

Human microsomal epoxide hydrolase (mEH) is an important biotransformation enzyme that metabolizes reactive epoxide intermediates to more water-soluble trans-dihydriodiol derivatives (66,67). Substrates for the enzyme include epoxides of environmental toxins such as the carcinogenic PAHs, aromatic amines, and benzene (67–69). Frequently, the metabolism of epoxide-containing compounds by mEH results in the production of inherently less reactive and less toxic intermediates (66,70). However, in certain instances, notably in concert with oxidative metabolism by the cytochrome P450s, hydrolysis of particular PAH epoxides by mEH can lead to the formation of highly electrophilic and mutagenic dial epoxides (67,69). The gene encoding mEH (EPHX) is inducible by certain chemicals. Recently certain allelic variants of the EPHX gene, encoding different combinations of amino acid residues at positions 113 and 139 in mEH protein, were shown to directly influence enzyme activity, possibly by affecting protein stability (71). Therefore, it is reasonable to postulate that individuals with specific allelic combinations, especially at homozygous state, may be at differential risk for the ability to metabolize reactive epoxides efficiently.

Uridine Diphosphate Glucuronosyltransferases

The uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs) comprise a family of
isoforms. It is known that at least four cDNA-expressed human hepatic UGTs hydroxylute gluconononide derivatives of the model carcinogens B[a]P and 2-acetylaminofluorene (72). Recently, there has been considerable progress in the molecular genetics of this enzyme family (73). Nine human cDNAs have been cloned to date, and they have been classified into two families, UGT1 and UGT2, on the basis of the similarity of their deduced amino acid sequences (74). Evidence for variability in the general population has been obtained, but no genetic polymorphism of the UGT gene has so far been observed (75). The data based on phenotyping analysis of NNK metabolites, NNAL and NNAL glucuronic acids, in the urine of smokers suggest the presence of polymorphism in UGT (20).

Susceptible Genotypes and Genetic Alterations in Lung Cancer

Genotypes and Lung Cancer Risk

Cancer susceptibility due to chemical exposure is likely to be determined by an individual's phenotype for a number of enzymes, both activating and detoxifying, relevant to that of single carcinogens or mixtures of carcinogens. Given the number and variability in expression of carcinogen-metabolizing enzymes now identified and the complexity of chemical exposures, assessment of a single polymorphic enzyme or genotype may not be sufficient. Several recent reports have evaluated effects of combinations of the risk genotypes on cancer susceptibility. Hazashi et al. (34) described a 5.8-fold relative risk for all lung cancer types and a 9.1-fold relative risk for squamous cell carcinoma in Japanese individuals who were homozygous both for the CYPIAl Val and GSTM1 null risk alleles. In a recent study by Nakachi et al. (32), 85 patients with squamous cell lung carcinoma were genotyped for CYPIAl and GSTM1 alleles. Individuals with the susceptible CYPIAl MspI genotype combined with deficient GSTM1 were at a remarkably high risk of developing the carcinoma with an odds ratio of 16. The risk was even higher in individuals who had the other susceptible genotype of CYPIAl (Val/Val) combined with GSTM1 null genotype (OR = 41). The great differences in these odds ratios suggest that the CYPIAl allelic defects leading to the risk genotypes affect the function of the CYPIAl gene in a distinct way. These findings are consistent with the notion that some procarcinogens in cigarette smoke are activated by CYPIAl and inactivated by GSTM1 enzymes. The rare occurrence of the CYPIAl MspI m2 allele in the Caucasian population (35,36) precludes any conclusions about the extent of risk modification by the genotype homozygous for both CYPIAl m2 and GSTM1 null alleles in lung cancer in Caucasian populations and thus has to be clarified further.

DNA Adducts and Cancer Susceptibility Genes

A number of studies have tried to relate metabolic phenotype or, more recently, genotype to cancer risk. These efforts are presently extended to studies on various other biomarkers of cancer such as markers of exposure and (early) effects that included DNA adducts, urinary mutagenicity, cytogenetic damage, and p53 mutations. In a genotyping study, no correlation was found between the homozygous risk MspI genotype or amino acid replacement genotype of CYPIAl and DNA adducts in smokers (3); in a phenotype study, however, a correlation between aromatic lung DNA adducts and CYPIAl activity among smokers was observed (13,76). Unexpectedly an association of the CYPIAl genotype heterozygous for the rare risk allele (m1/m2) with low adduct levels in white blood cell DNA was observed among chimney sweeps in Sweden (77). In the same study, an increased level of adducts was detected in the GSTM1 null individuals. Also, a Norwegian report has indicated that mutations detected in the tumour suppressor gene p53 in lung tumors from GSTM1 null patients who smoked were more frequently the type experimentally known to be caused by (+)-anti-B(a)P diol epoxide (78).

Genetic Alterations

The tumor suppressor gene p53 encodes a nuclear protein that has several biological functions including cell cycle control and DNA repair and replication. This protein has been suggested to have a role in early response to cellular DNA damage. Mutations in the p53 gene are among the most common genetic changes in human cancer and are found in more than 50% of all cancers. p53 mutations have also been shown to have important clinical implications. The frequency and type of p53 mutations can act as a fingerprint of carcinogen exposure and may provide information about external etiologic agents and internal factors affecting the tumorigenesis process (79,80). In human lung cancer, p53 mutations (both the mutation pattern and frequency) have been linked with tobacco smoking (78,81–83). The type of mutation most frequently observed in lung cancer is G:C to T:A transversion (80), a type of mutation preferentially induced in experimental systems by (+)-anti-B(a)P diol epoxide. Furthermore, an association between the genotype deficient in GSTM1-mediated detoxification and presence of G:C to T:A transversions has been observed in lung cancer patients (78). These findings suggest that investigation of p53 mutation patterns in relation to metabolic at-risk genotypes and levels of DNA adducts in lung tissue will provide valuable information for understanding mechanisms of pulmonary carcinogenesis.

Alterations in microsatellite sequences (simple sequence repeats) of the human genome were originally observed in sporadic and hereditary forms of colon cancer (84,85). Since the discovery, a variety of human tumors including small cell and non-small cell lung cancer have been found to contain similar instability of microsatellite sequences (86–88). Somatic and germ-line mutations of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes have been found in the patients with tumors showing replication errors (RER) (89,90). The present data suggest that, although many sporadic tumors have mutations in MMR genes, microsatellite instability has been observed in many tumors without such mutations and is therefore probably due to other alterations (91). Similarly, it has been shown that various forms of genetic instability are increased in frequency in cells that lack a normal p53 gene; as a consequence, additional genetic alterations may result (92). Studies on somatic microsatellite instability in lung cancer in relation to p53 mutations and possible polymorphisms in mismatch repair genes should open new approaches to identify high-risk subjects.

Chromosomal Mapping of Loci Affecting Predisposition to Lung Cancer

Besides genes affecting carcinogen metabolism, other genes appear to affect inherited predisposition to lung cancer (93,94). On mouse chromosome 8, an important locus affecting inherited predisposition to lung cancer in a region homologous to the human 12p12 has recently been mapped (94,95). Sellers et al. (96) suggested that, in humans, the pattern of lung cancer is
best explained by Mendelian co-dominant inheritance of a single autosomal locus which is expressed only in the presence of tobacco smoke and that influences the age at onset of lung cancer. However, lung cancers do not show familial clustering of cases, indicating a possible low penetrance or a multigenic nature of the lung cancer predisposition trait. Even if rare pedigrees of lung cancer could be identified, genetic linkage studies in these pedigrees would be very difficult to carry out, considering the poor prognosis of lung cancer. Nevertheless, genetic linkage studies may also be performed in affected sibling pairs (97), and this may represent a feasible alternative approach to identify chromosomal locations of lung cancer susceptibility genes in humans. Genetic linkage studies have constituted an important approach to study the genetics of diseases through the identification of the number and chromosomal location of loci affecting a disease (98,99). The chromosomal mapping of a disease locus allowed the subsequent cloning of disease genes whose germ-line mutations cause inherited predisposition to common tumor types (colon carcinoma, breast cancer, etc.). Thus, genetic linkage studies in lung cancer pairs of siblings and of second degree relatives may facilitate finding the chromosomal location of loci affecting inherited predisposition to lung cancer.

Perspectives

Recent knowledge of the genetic basis for individual metabolic variation has opened new possibilities for studies focusing on increased individual susceptibility to environmentally induced cancer, and the development of simple PCR-based assays has enabled the identification of an individual’s genotype for a variety of metabolic polymorphisms. Cancer susceptibility due to chemical exposure is likely to be determined by an individual's phenotype for a number of enzymes, both activating and detoxifying, relevant to that of a single carcinogen or mixtures of carcinogens. Given the number and variability in expression of carcinogen-metabolizing enzymes and the complexity of chemical exposures, assessment of a single polymorphic enzyme (genotype) may not be sufficient, and the establishment of a risk profile for each individual or subgroup seems to be required. Mutations in the p53 gene are among the most common genetic changes in human cancer. The frequency and type of p53 mutations can act as a fingerprint of carcinogen exposure and may therefore provide information on external etiological agents, intensity of exposure, and host factors affecting the tumorigenesis process. Given the rapid advances in methodology, the determination of metabolic at-risk genotypes in combination with levels of DNA adducts in target (surrogate) tissues and p53 mutation patterns should allow identification of susceptible individuals/subgroups in carcinogen-exposed populations. Once identified, these high-risk subjects might be persuaded more easily to stop their smoking habits or to avoid hazardous exposure, or in the case of smokers, it might be possible to offer an intensive or personalized smoking cessation program.
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In the context of human carcinogenesis, environmental factors play a significant role. Several studies have explored the involvement of polymorphisms in the metabolic pathways of aromatic amines, benz(a)pyrene, and 2-acetylaminofluorene. These studies highlight the importance of individual genetic variability in determining susceptibility to cancer induction.

For instance, Wang et al. (2010) investigated the relationship between polymorphisms in the N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2) gene and the risk of lung cancer in a Chinese population. They found that individuals with the NAT2 slow acetylator phenotype had a significantly higher risk of lung cancer compared to the rapid acetylator phenotype.

Furthermore, Kiyohara et al. (1999) studied the association between polymorphisms in the CYP1A1 gene and the risk of lung cancer in a Japanese population. They reported a significant increase in the risk of lung cancer in individuals with the CYP1A1*2 allele.

In another study, Frigo et al. (2005) evaluated the impact of polymorphisms in the epoxide hydrolase (EH) gene on the risk of lung cancer. They observed that individuals with the EH-2* allele had a higher risk of lung cancer than those with the EH-2*1 allele.

Overall, these studies underscore the critical role of genetic polymorphisms in the variability of cancer susceptibility and highlight the importance of considering individual genetic factors in the prevention and treatment of lung cancer.
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