Polarons and Molecules in a Fermi Gas with Orbital Feshbach Resonance
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We study the impurity problem in a gas of $^{173}\text{Yb}$ atoms near the recently discovered orbital Feshbach resonance. In an orbital Feshbach resonance, atoms in the electronic ground state $^1S_0$ interact with those in the long-lived excited $^3P_0$ state with magnetically tunable interactions. We consider an impurity atom with a given hyperfine spin in the $^3P_0$ state interacting with a single-component Fermi sea of atoms in the ground $^1S_0$ manifold. Close to the orbital Feshbach resonance, the impurity can induce collective particle-hole excitations out of the Fermi sea, which can be regarded as the polaron state. While as the magnetic field decreases, a molecular state becomes the ground state of the system. We show that a polaron to molecule transition exists in $^{173}\text{Yb}$ atoms close to the orbital Feshbach resonance. Furthermore, due to the spin-exchange nature of the orbital Feshbach resonance, the formation of both the polaron and the molecule involves spin-flipping processes with interesting density distributions among the relevant hyperfine spin states. We show that the polaron to molecule transition can be detected using Raman spectroscopy.

PACS numbers: 67.85.Lm, 03.75.Ss, 05.30.Fk

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, alkaline-earth and alkaline-earth-like atoms have attracted much research interest. With two valence electrons, these atoms acquire interesting features such as long-lived electronically excited states and the separation of nuclear- and electronic-spin degrees of freedom in the so-called clock states. These features have been extensively investigated for proposals and applications in precision measurements [1–3], as well as quantum information and quantum simulation [4–9]. However, it has long been considered difficult to realize a stable, strongly-interacting gas of alkaline-earth or alkaline-earth-like atoms, due to the lack of ground-state magnetic, strongly-interacting gas of alkaline-earth or alkaline-earth-like atoms, due to the lack of ground-state magnetic.

In the scope of quantum simulation in these systems [13–15], magnetic Feshbach resonances have been extensively studied as a promising scenario to confirm experimentally using $^{153}\text{Yb}$ atoms. Further studies show that the OFR is a narrow resonance in terms of the resonance width, but a wide one in terms of the magnetic field [19]. The latter makes the OFR easily accessible in $^{173}\text{Yb}$ atoms.

A particularly interesting feature of OFR is the spin-exchange nature of the two-body interaction potential. While this interesting feature has been seen in highly excited states in quantum information, it would be interesting to see its effects in a many-body setting. A promising scenario to examine is the impurity problem close to an OFR. In alkaline atoms, impurity problems across the conventional magnetic Feshbach resonance have been extensively stud-
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ied in recent years [20–32]. These theoretical and experimental investigations provide physical insights into the underlying many-body system in the highly-polarized limit, and serve to bridge few- and many-body physics. In systems with OFR, it is natural to expect that similar studies should offer valuable information of the system on both the few- and the many-body levels.

In this work, we consider an impurity atom in an excited state $|e\uparrow\rangle$ interacting with a Fermi sea of atoms in $|g\downarrow\rangle$ of the ground-state manifold. In the presence of a finite magnetic field, the OFR mechanism leads to a tunable interaction strength between the impurity atom and atoms in the Fermi sea. As a result, the impurity atom can either induce collective particle-hole excitations out of the Fermi sea, or form tightly bound molecular states, depending on the parameters. Typically, this should lead to the so-called polaron to molecule transition as the interaction strength is tuned. Due to the inter-orbital spin-flipping processes can actually be quite important and lead to considerable weight in the corresponding variational wave functions. We then show that a polaron to molecule transition exists in the system close to the limit, and serve to bridge few- and many-body physics.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the formalism of the impurity problem in Fermi gases with orbital Feshbach resonance. We consider an impurity atom in an excited state $|e\uparrow\rangle$ interacting with a Fermi sea of atoms in $|g\downarrow\rangle$ of the ground-state manifold. In the presence of a finite magnetic field, the OFR mechanism leads to a tunable interaction strength between the impurity atom and atoms in the Fermi sea. As a result, the impurity atom can either induce collective particle-hole excitations out of the Fermi sea, or form tightly bound molecular states, depending on the parameters. Typically, this should lead to the so-called polaron to molecule transition as the interaction strength is tuned. Due to the inter-orbital spin-flipping processes can actually be quite important and lead to considerable weight in the corresponding variational wave functions. We then show that a polaron to molecule transition exists in the system close to the limit, and serve to bridge few- and many-body physics.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the formalism of the impurity problem under consideration. The solutions of the molecule and the polaron states throughout the resonance region are discussed in Sec. III and Sec. IV, respectively. With these knowledge, we study the polaron–molecule transition, and propose a detection scheme using Raman spectroscopy in Sec. V. Finally, we summarize the main findings in Sec. VI.

II. IMPURITY PROBLEM IN FERMI GASES WITH ORBITAL FESHBACH RESONANCE

We consider a Fermi gas of alkaline-earth (-like) atoms across its OFR, where the two nuclear spin states and the two orbital states combined together to form the open and the closed channels with a magnetically tunable relative energy. The Hamiltonian of the system can be written as [13, 19]

$$H = \sum_k \left( c_k + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \left( a_{q\uparrow}^\dagger a_{q\downarrow} + a_{q\downarrow}^\dagger a_{q\uparrow} \right) + \sum_k c_k \left( a_{k\uparrow}^\dagger a_{k\downarrow} + a_{k\downarrow}^\dagger a_{k\uparrow} \right) + H_{\text{int}}, \tag{1}$$

where $a_{q\sigma}^\dagger$ and $a_{q\sigma}$ ($p = e, g$ and $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$) are fermionic operators associated with the corresponding states with three-dimensional linear momentum $k$. Due to the difference of Landé factors in the $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$ orbitals, the Zeeman shifts $\delta_e$ and $\delta_g$ between two hyperfine states within each electronic manifold are distinct, as illustrated in Fig. 1. As a consequence, the differential Zeeman shift $\delta = \delta_g - \delta_e$ between the open channel, consisting of the $|g\downarrow\rangle$ and $|e\uparrow\rangle$ states, and the closed channel composed with the $|g\uparrow\rangle$ and $|e\downarrow\rangle$ states, can be tuned by sweeping the magnetic field. An OFR occurs as one of the closed-channel bound states moves across the open-channel threshold, or vice versa [13, 15]. Notice that by writing down Eq. (1), we shift the single-particle dispersions such that they can be written in a symmetric form, with $|g\uparrow\rangle$ and $|e\downarrow\rangle$ at the zero-energy reference, while with $|g\downarrow\rangle$ and $|e\uparrow\rangle$ both detuned by $\delta/2$.

By using the basis of electronic singlet and triplet channels $|\pm\rangle$, the interaction term takes the following form

$$H_{\text{int}} = \sum_q \left( \frac{g_+}{2} \hat{A}^\dagger_{q+} \hat{A}_{q+} + \frac{g_-}{2} \hat{A}^\dagger_{q-} \hat{A}_{q-} \right) \tag{2}$$

with the operators defined as

$$\hat{A}_{q+} = \sum_k \left( a_{-k+q\uparrow} a_{k+q\downarrow} - a_{-k+q\downarrow} a_{k+q\uparrow} \right),$$

$$\hat{A}_{q-} = \sum_k \left( a_{-k+q\downarrow} a_{k+q\uparrow} + a_{-k+q\uparrow} a_{k+q\downarrow} \right). \tag{3}$$

The interaction strength $g_{\pm}$ are related to the physical ones via the standard renormalization relation $1/g_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{\pm}}$.
where $Q$ denotes the center-of-mass momentum, and $|g\downarrow\rangle_{N-1}$ represents the Fermi sea of $N-1$ majority particles. Notice that in the expression above, the two particles constituting the molecular state can be either in the open or in the closed channel, with the corresponding coefficients $\alpha_k$ or $\beta_k$. This ansatz has been employed in the discussion of fermion impurity problems in different dimensions close to a magnetic Feshbach resonance, and is usually referred to as the bare molecular state, as all particle-hole fluctuations atop the Fermi sea are neglected [24, 25].

The Schrödinger equation for the ansatz wave function Eq. (4) is then given by

$$H|M\rangle = \tilde{E}_M(Q)|M\rangle_Q,$$

(5)

with $\tilde{E}_M(Q)$ the eigen energy. By substituting the ansatz wave function into the Hamiltonian Eq. (4) and matching terms, we can obtain a set of linear equations for the coefficients $\alpha_k$ and $\beta_k$, after dropping some higher-order terms. This can also be done by evaluating the expectation value of $Q_i(M|H - \tilde{E}_M(Q)|M\rangle_Q$ and taking derivatives with respect to $\alpha_k$ and $\beta_k$. The coefficient equations can be grouped into the following two equations

$$
\begin{align*}
(1 + \frac{g_+ - g_+}{2})\Theta_Q \sum_{|k| > k_F} \alpha_k + \frac{g_+ - g_+}{2} \Theta_Q \sum_{|k| > k_F} \beta_k &= 0, \\
\frac{g_+ - g_+}{2} \Theta_Q \sum_{|k| > k_F} \alpha_k + \left(1 + \frac{g_+ + g_+}{2} \Theta_Q \right) \sum_{|k| > k_F} \beta_k &= 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(6)

where the parameters are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_Q &= \sum_{|k| > k_F} \frac{1}{\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{Q-k} + \delta - \tilde{E}_M}, \\
\Theta'_Q &= \sum_k \frac{1}{\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{Q-k} - \tilde{E}_M}.
\end{align*}
$$

(7)

To simplify notation, we shift the energy reference $E_M = E_F = \sum_{|k| < k_F} (\epsilon_k + \delta/2)$. Note that in this reference, the threshold energy $E_{th} = E_F + \delta$ for a non-interacting impurity $|e\uparrow\rangle$ immersed in a majority $|g\downarrow\rangle$ Fermi sea with $N$ particles. The secular equation obtained from Eq. (6) hence leads to a closed equation for the eigen energy of the molecular state, which takes the following form after renormalization

$$
\frac{1}{g_+ g_+} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{g_-} + \frac{1}{g_+}\right) (\Theta_Q + \Theta'_Q - 2\Lambda_c) + (\Theta_Q - \Lambda_c)(\Theta'_Q - \Lambda_c) = 0.
$$

(8)

with $\Lambda_c \equiv \sum_k 1/(2\epsilon_k)$.

We first discuss the molecular state with a zero center-of-mass momentum $Q = 0$. By numerically solving Eq. (6), we find two solutions for $E_M$: one shallow branch with energy close to the threshold energy $|E_M - E_{th}| \sim E_F$, and another deeply bound state with energy $|E_M - E_{th}| \gg E_F$. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show

\[\text{Figure 2. (Color online) (a-b) The eigen energy shifted by the threshold energy $E_{th}$, (c) the distribution of wave functions in the open and the closed channels, and (d) the effective mass are plotted for the shallow molecular state. Parameters used here are compatible with a gas of $^{173}$Yb atoms with a number density of $n = 2 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$. The resonance takes place at $\delta/E_F \approx -5.8$ and the position $\delta/E_F = 0$ corresponds to $1/(k_F a_s) \approx 1.67$ within this parameter set. Notice that the effective mass diverges and changes sign at $\delta/E_F \approx -6.6$, which resides on the BCS side of the resonance with $1/(k_F a_s) \approx -0.18$.}\]
shown in Fig. 1, leading to a negligible fraction in the channel is largely detuned above the closed channel as follows the opposite trend. This observation can be un-
dominant for large channel fraction of the deep state. While the closed-
we show the fraction of population in the open and the
coefficient equations, we can solve for the coefficients
threshold.
the
is supported by the positive background interaction in
Bohr radius [15, 19]. With these parameters, the energy
length is given by the relation [19]
\begin{equation}
F(a_0) = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{1}\left(\delta / E_F\right)^{2} - 1
\end{equation}
with \(a_0\) equal weights.
a linear combination of the open and the closed channels, reflecting the fact that the \(-\) state is a linear combination of the open and the closed channels with equal weights.

We then turn to the general case of \(Q \neq 0\). By considering a small deviation of \(Q\) away from zero, we can calculate the effective masses of the molecules. As shown in Fig. 2(d), the effective mass for the shallow-branch molecule increases from a limiting value of unity in the deep BEC limit, where the molecule is essentially a structureless boson with unit mass, diverges at some finite detuning, and becomes negative when moving further to-
due to the BCS side. This trend of variation is qualita-
tively consistent with previous studies of molecular state for both wide [33] and narrow [34] magnetic Feshbach resonances. Quantitatively, the effective mass diverges at the point \(\delta / E_F \approx -6.6\), which resides on the BCS side of resonance with \(1/(k_F a_s) \approx -0.18\). While the divergence of the effective mass here suggests the acquisition of a finite center-of-mass momentum for the molecules, this result also implies that the OFR is a narrow resonance with a finite effective range potential, as the divergence of molecular effective mass typically occurs on the BEC side of a wide magnetic Feshbach resonance [34] [35]. As a comparison, the molecular state in the deep branch remains to be a structureless boson with \(m_M/(2m) \approx 1\) across the entire resonance regime.

IV. THE POLARON STATE

To study the polaron state of the system, we consider the following ansatz wave function

\begin{equation}
|P\rangle_Q = \sum_{|q|<k_F} \alpha_{Q} a^\dagger_{q\uparrow} \gamma_{q\uparrow} \right| g_i \right> + \sum_{|k|>k_F} \alpha_{Q} a^\dagger_{q\uparrow} a^\dagger_{k\uparrow} a_{q\uparrow} \right| g_i \right> + \sum_{|k|<k_F} \beta_{Q} a^\dagger_{q\uparrow} \right| g_i \right>.
\end{equation}

In this expression, the first term corresponds to a bare impurity and an unperturbed Fermi sea, the second term represents a state with one pair of particle-hole excitation atop the Fermi sea in the open channel, and the third term corresponds to the state where the majority fermion created above the Fermi surface interacts with the impurity and both are scattered into the closed channel.

By writing down the Schrödinger equation \(H|P\rangle_Q = E_F|P\rangle_Q\), and following the same procedure as outlined in the previous section, we obtain the closed equa-
For the eigen energy of the polaron

\[ E_P - \frac{\delta}{2} - \epsilon_Q = \sum_{|k| < k_F} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{g_n^0} + \frac{1}{g_p^0} \right) + \Gamma_Q - \Lambda_c \right\} - \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{g_n^0} - \frac{1}{g_p^0} \right)^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{g_n^0} + \frac{1}{g_p^0} \right) + \Gamma_Q - \Lambda_c \right\}^{-1} \]

where

\[ \Gamma_Q = \frac{1}{\sum_{|k| < k_F} (\epsilon_k + \delta/2)}, \]

\[ \Gamma_Q = \frac{1}{\sum_k (\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{Q+k} - \frac{\delta}{2} - E_P)}. \]

Notice that the polaron energy in the expression above is also shifted by the same zero-point energy \( E_P = E_P - \sum_{|k| < k_F} (\epsilon_k + \delta/2) \).

We then analyze the polaron state by solving Eq. (11) and the corresponding coefficient equations. Similar to the molecular state, the polaron state also has two solutions with a shallow branch close to the threshold and a deep branch with energy \( |E_P - E_{th}| \gg E_F \). As the deep branch is a direct consequence of positive background scattering length in the |\(-\rangle channel, and is not easily accessible experimentally, in the following discussion we focus on the shallow branch. In Fig. [3, we show the energy, the wave function fractions of the open-, closed-, and bare-particle-channels, as well as the effective mass for the shallow state with a fixed momentum \( Q = 0 \).

A key finding is that the wave function is dominated by the bare impurity sector \( \gamma^2 \) as it becomes energetically less favorable.

Next, we discuss the general situation of \( Q \neq 0 \). Similar to the molecular case, we calculate effective mass of the shallow polaron state by considering a small deviation from \( Q = 0 \). From Fig. [3, we find that the polaron effective mass approaches a limiting value of \( 1/2 \) in the BCS limit, where the system reduces to a non-interacting impurity atom of mass \( 1/2 \) atop a unperturbed Fermi sea. By moving toward the resonance point, the effective mass increases and presents a diverging behavior as in the molecular case. The diverging point locates at \( \delta/E_F \approx -0.35 \), which is on the BEC side of the resonance with \( 1/(k_Fa_s) \approx 1.40 \). To get further insight of this divergence, we extend our calculation to large \( |Q| \), and find that the zero-momentum polaron is stable for large negative \( \delta \), becomes metastable, and eventually unstable with increasing \( \delta \). As one can see clearly in Fig. [3(a)], the dispersion of the shallow polaron acquires another local minimum around \( |Q|/k_F \approx 1 \). The competition between the two local minima hence leads to a first-order-like transition around \( \delta_p/E_F \approx -2.1 \) for the parameters discussed here, as indicated in Fig. [3(b)].

The emergence of a finite-momentum polaron with \( |Q|/k_F \approx 1 \) can be understood by noticing that such a solution resembles the zero-momentum molecular state in the BEC limit with a large positive \( \delta \). This can be confirmed by comparing the energy [Figs. [3(a)]], the “effective mass” [Fig. [3(b)]], and the wavefunction fractions [Figs. [3(d-f)] between the \( |Q|/k_F \approx 1 \) polaron and the
shallow-branch molecule. For the $|M\rangle_{Q=0}$ state, as shown in Eq. (4), the main contribution to the wave function thus corresponds to a Fermi sea of $(N-1) |g\downarrow\rangle$ atoms plus a zero center-of-mass molecule consisting of two particles in the $|g\uparrow\rangle$ and $|e\downarrow\rangle$ states, respectively. Meanwhile, for the $|P\rangle_{Q=k_F}$ state, the wave function is dominated by terms with $|Q+q|/k_F \sim 0$, as illustrated in Fig. 4(c). Physically, this result shows that the $|P\rangle_{Q=k_F}$ state is essentially composed of a Fermi sea of $N |g\downarrow\rangle$ atoms, with a very close hole to the surface with $|q| \sim k_F$, and a zero center-of-mass molecule within the closed channel. This state thus resembles the $|M\rangle_{Q=0}$ state and becomes energetically favorable compared to the $Q=0$ polaron in the BEC limit. However, as we will show later, the $|Q|/k_F \approx 1$ polaron is metastable against the shallow-branch molecular state.

V. POLARON–MOLECULE TRANSITION

With the knowledge of molecule and polaron solutions, we show in Fig. 5(a) the energies for both the polaron and the molecule in the shallow branch, from which one can see clearly that there exists a polaron–molecule transition at $\delta_c/E_F \approx -2.3$. This translates to a scattering length $1/(k_F a_c) \approx 0.81$ for the parameters we have chosen [Fig. 5(b)]. When $\delta < \delta_c$, the ground state of the system is a polaron with zero momentum, as one would expect for a weakly interacting Fermi gas in the BCS limit. On the other hand, for $\delta > \delta_c$, the molecular state with a zero center-of-mass momentum is more favorable. Notice that the polaron–molecule transition point $\delta_c$ is smaller than the zero-momentum polaron to finite-momentum polaron transition point $\delta_p$, which indicates that the finite-momentum polaron state, although could be energetically favorable against a zero-momentum polaron state in some parameter regime, remains only metastable. We stress that by considering particle-hole fluctuations in the molecular ansatz, the polaron–molecule transition would be shifted toward the BCS side, leading to an even larger stability region of the molecule.

The variation of the polaron–molecule transition point with respect to the atomic number density is shown in Fig. 5(c), from which one can see that $\delta_c$ increases with the particle density. This clearly shows that the system does not have a universal behavior around the resonance point, as the Fermi energy in this system is comparable to the differential Zeeman splitting $\delta$ and can alter the scattering process.

As the lack of universality implies a narrow resonance, we plot in Fig. 5(d) the polaron–molecule transition point as a function of the effective range $r_0$, which is determined by

$$r_0 = \frac{a_{s1}^2}{\sqrt{m|\delta|/\hbar^2}} \left( a_{s0} - \sqrt{m|\delta|/\hbar^2}(a_{s0} - a_{s1}) \right)$$

(13)

As compared to the previous results obtained for narrow Feshbach resonances [34, 35], where the transition point $1/(k_F a_c)$ decreases monotonically with increasing $k_F|r_0|$, in the present case, we observe a non-monotonic dependence around the resonance [see the inset of Fig. 5(d)]. This behavior corresponds to the divergence of $|r_0|$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ [19], in which limit the thresholds of the open and closed channels become degenerate. We stress that such a feature is a key difference from the case of a magnetic Feshbach resonance, where the open and the closed channels are differentiated by the electronic-spin states, with far-detuned thresholds around the resonance point.

In alkaline atoms, the polaron–molecule transition has been detected experimentally using radio-frequency (r.f.) spectroscopy [20, 22]. This is done by coupling the impurity state to a third by-stander state using an r.f. field [36, 37]. In $^{173}$Yb atoms, however, r.f. spectroscopy in which the impurity is coupled to another state in the same hyperfine manifold can be challenging, due to the particularly small Landé $g$-factor of the clock states. Instead, we propose to detect the polaron–molecule transition using Raman spectroscopy, where one of the states in $\{|g\downarrow\rangle, |g\uparrow\rangle, |e\downarrow\rangle, |e\uparrow\rangle\}$ is coupled to a by-stander state by a two-photon Raman process. For states in the $^1S_0$ manifold, a Raman process via the $^3P_1$ manifold can be used to transfer the population to another hyperfine state in the $^1S_0$ manifold. The transferred population can then be measured by coupling the state to the $^1P_1$ manifold. For states in the $^3P_0$ manifold, a Raman pro-
The Hamiltonian accounting for this process can then be written as

\[ V_{ph} = V_0 \sum_k \left( a_{k+q,3}^\dagger a_{kq}^\dagger + H.C. \right), \]  

(14)

where \( V_0 \) is the effective Rabi frequency, \( k_p e_x \) is the momentum recoil of the Raman process, and H.C. stands for Hermitian conjugate.

According to the Fermi's golden rule, the total spectrum can be evaluated as

\[ \Gamma(\delta \omega_L) = \sum_{\psi_f, \psi_i} |\langle \psi_f | V_{ph} | \psi_i \rangle|^2 \delta (\hbar \delta \omega_L - E_f + E_i), \]  

(15)

where \( \delta \omega_L \) is the two-photon detuning of the Raman process, \( |\psi_f\rangle \) and \( |\psi_i\rangle \) are the initial and final states with the corresponding energies \( E_f \) and \( E_i \). The total spectrum should satisfy the condition \( \int \Gamma(\omega)d\omega = 1 \). The resulting spectrum for the molecular state is then given by

\[ \Gamma(\delta \omega_L) = V_0^2 \sum_k |\beta_{kq}|^2 \delta (\hbar \delta \omega_L + E_M - \epsilon_{Q-k} - \epsilon_{k+ke_x}), \]  

while for the polaron the spectrum is given by

\[ \Gamma(\delta \omega_L) = V_0^2 \sum_{kq} |\beta_{kq}|^2 \times \delta (\hbar \delta \omega_L + E_P - \epsilon_{Q+q-k} - \epsilon_{k+ke_x} + \epsilon_q + \delta \frac{q}{2}). \]  

(16)

In Figs. 6(a-c), we show typical examples of Raman spectroscopy for both the polaron and the molecule cases close to the polaron–molecular transition. Note that although the spectra look similar, those of the polarons are significantly lower in magnitude than those of the molecules. This is because the spectra intensity is closely related to the weight of wave functions in the closed channel, which acquires a finite jump by changing from the polaron to the molecular state. Therefore, as the magnetic field is swept across the polaron–molecule transition, the spectra of the many-body ground state undergo a sudden change across the transition point [see Fig. 6(d)]. This would allow us to identify the transition experimentally.

Alternatively, the polaron–molecule transition, as well as the polaron residue \( \gamma \) of the polaron, can be probed by driving Rabi oscillations between the polaron and an initial non-interacting state \( |22, 38\rangle \). The initial non-interacting state can be prepared by loading an impurity near the orbital Feshbach resonance. Due to the spin-exchanging nature of the underlying two-body interactions, the formation of both the polaron

VI. CONCLUSION

We study the impurity problem in a Fermi gas of \(^{173}\)Yb atoms near the orbital Feshbach resonance. Due to the spin-exchanging nature of the underlying two-body interactions, the formation of both the polaron
and the molecule can have interesting spin-flipping processes. These lead to the occupation of originally empty hyperfine states. We show that a polaron to molecule transition exists close to the orbital Feshbach resonance, which can be detected experimentally using Raman spectroscopy. Our findings can be confirmed under current experimental conditions. Finally, we note that in the present work, we only focus on the attractive polarons. Repulsive branch of polarons, which has been investigated near magnetic Feshbach resonances [22, 23, 38], may also be stabilized near an OFR, for which the spin-exchange interaction of an OFR may have interesting implications.
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