The bZIP transcription factor HY5 interacts with the promoter of the monoterpene synthase gene QH6 in modulating its rhythmic expression
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The Artemisia annua L. β-pinene synthase QH6 was previously determined to be circadian-regulated at the transcriptional level, showing a rhythmic fluctuation of steady-state transcript abundances. Here we isolated both the genomic sequence and upstream promoter region of QH6. Different regulatory elements, such as G-box (TGACACGTGGCA, −421 bp from the translation initiation site) which might have effects on rhythmic gene expression, were found. Using the yeast one-hybrid and electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA), we confirmed that the bZIP transcription factor HY5 binds to this motif of QH6. Studies with promoter truncations before and after this motif suggested that this G-box was important for the diurnal fluctuation of the transgenic β-glucuronidase gene (GUS) transcript abundance in Arabidopsis thaliana. GUS gene driven by the promoter region immediately after G-box showed an arrhythmic expression in both light/dark (LD) and constant dark (DD) conditions, whereas the control with G-box retained its fluctuation in both LD and DD. We further transformed A. thaliana with the luciferase gene (LUC) driven by an 1400 bp fragment upstream QH6 with its G-box intact or mutated, respectively. The luciferase activity assay showed that a peak in the early morning disappeared in the mutant. Gene expression analysis also demonstrated that the rhythmic expression of LUC was abolished in the hy5-1 mutant.
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Introduction

As sessile organisms, higher plants are capable of anticipating daily and annual requirements to coordinate biochemical and physiological activities. This helps plants to optimize their growth and adaptation and may confer selective advantages (Green et al., 2002; Dodd et al., 2005). The circadian clock is the key machinery regulating plant metabolism and development (Kreps and Kay, 1997) and can be modulated by external light and temperature signals, which also fluctuate daily and annually (McClung, 2001).

Kloppstech (1985) showed that mRNA levels of genes encoding light-harvesting chlorophyll a/b protein, Rubisco small subunit protein, and early light-inducible protein (ELIP) varied according to diurnal and circadian rhythms. This was probably the earliest report on plant circadian gene expression. Now it has been estimated that approximately one-third of Arabidopsis thaliana genes are regulated by the circadian clock at the transcriptional level (Michael and McClung, 2003; Edwards et al., 2006; Michael et al., 2008), not only for photosynthesis-related processes but also for
functions such as metabolic adaptation, hormone signaling and photomorphogenesis (Harmer et al., 2000; Covington and Harmer, 2007).

In addition to photosynthesis and other primary metabolic activities, plant secondary metabolism has also been studied on a long-term basis. The biosynthesis and emission of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including floral scents and green leaf volatiles, have also drawn much attention because of their economic and ecological impacts. Many plants were found to release VOCs from their leaves and flowers, and specific rhythmic emissions were reported in Nicotiana spp. (Raguso et al., 2003), Quercus robur (Bruggemann and Schnitzler, 2002), Hoya carnosa (Altenburger and Matile, 1990) and a number of other plants, including A. thaliana (Chen et al., 2003). Hemiterpene (isoprene) and monoterpenes (e.g., linalool and pinene) are major constituents of VOCs and possess important physiological and/or chemical ecological functions. It has been clearly demonstrated that the emission of isoprene and monoterpenes could protect photosynthetic organelles from photooxidative damage and increase their tolerance to heat (Sharkey and Yeh, 2001; Peñuelas and Llusia, 2002; Owen and Peñuelas, 2005). Plants can also regulate their flower scent by emitting a distinctive bouquet of volatiles at different light phases as an adaptation for pollinators, which are active either in the day or at night (Kolosova et al., 2001; Raguso et al., 2003).

Although there is a large body of evidence showing that both biosynthesis and emission of terpenoid volatiles can be circadian-regulated, the molecular regulatory mechanisms are not well understood. In 2002, a β-pinene synthase gene (QH6) from Artemisia annua was isolated and found to be expressed in a circadian pattern at the transcriptional level (Lu et al., 2002). While terpene synthases were known to directly account for terpene formation, further studies showed that the steady-state abundances of transcripts of certain enzymes in upstream pathways and at metabolic branching points also fluctuated diurnally (Cordoba et al., 2009). This suggested that specific transcription factors might be involved in the global regulation of terpene metabolism at the pathway level.

In terms of the molecular machinery involved in plant terpenoid metabolic regulation, phytochromes and cryptochromes were found to be involved in the crosstalk between mevalonate (MVA) and meythylerythritol phosphate (MEP) pathways, both of which provide the common substrate isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) for terprenoid biosynthesis (Lichtenhaler, 1999). It was also suggested that HY5 (LONG HYPOCOTYL5), a bZIP transcription factor, might integrate signals from photoreceptors to suppress MVA pathway gene expression after illumination (Rodriguez-Concepcion et al., 2004). Lee et al. (2007) did a genome-wide survey to identify A. thaliana HY5 binding sites by chromatin-immunoprecipitation using DNA chip hybridization (ChIP-chip), and revealed that at least a 2C-methyl-D-erythritol 2,4-cyclodiphosphosphate synthase (At1g63970) in the upstream MEP pathway, two terpene synthases (At3g14520, At3g25830), and one geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate synthase (GGPS, At4g38460) are light induced and contain HY5 binding sites in the promoter region of their genes. Zhang et al. (2011) also showed that HY5 could bind to over 9000 genes, of which more than 1100 genes were detectably affected in their expression.

In the present work, we isolated the upstream promoter sequence of the circadian-expressed β-pinene synthase gene QH6 from A. annua, which we reported previously (Lu et al., 2002). An HY5 binding motif, G-box, was located and found to modulate gene expression. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the regulation of monoterpenic biosynthesis by transcription factor binding.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

Seeds of A. annua were kindly provided by Dr. Xiaoya Chen at Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences. Seeds were surface-sterilized and germinated in flasks on 0.3% (w/v) Gelrite (Duchefa Biochemistry B.V., Haarlem, The Netherlands) with 1/2 Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962). Seedlings that were approximately 10 cm in height were moved into the soil. Seeds of A. thaliana Col-0, Ler-0 and hy5-1 (Ler-0 background) lines from ABRC (Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center) were stratified at 4°C in dark for 2 days and then grown in pots containing a mixture of peat and vermiculite (3:1, v/v). Growth conditions for both A. annua and A. thaliana were 12h/12h light/dark photoperiod at 22°C, with a light intensity of 100 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹.

Isolation of Genomic DNA and Total RNA, and Reverse Transcription

Genomic DNA was extracted from A. thaliana and A. annua following the standard CTAB protocol (Porebski et al., 1997). Total RNA was isolated from leaves using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions. One microgram of total RNA was reverse-transcribed using the PrimeScript 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TaKaRa) with oligo dT primer in a 20-µL system following the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was stored at −80°C till further use.

Cloning of the QH6 Gene and Its Upstream Flanking Sequence

The full-length cDNA sequence of QH6 (GenBank Accession No. AF276072.1) (Lu et al., 2002) was aligned with both genomic and cDNA sequences of a myrcene/(E)-β-ocimene synthase gene of A. thaliana (At4g16740) (Bohllmann et al., 2000) to estimate the positions of the exons and introns (Supplementary Figure S1). Because there was no information on the gene size of QH6, to increase the accuracy and efficiency of amplification, we designed primers according to the alignment to amplify QH6 genomic DNA in three overlapping fragments (primers and sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S1), each of which covers two predicted introns. Sequences of three amplified fragments were assembled. Approximately 0.1 µg genomic DNA of A. annua was amplified again with primers targeting two ends of the cDNA sequence (H-F and E-R) to confirm the assembly. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications in this research were all conducted using LA Taq (TaKaRa) following the manufacturer's instructions, unless specifically noted. All
amplicons were purified after agarose gel electrophoresis and subcloned into pGEM-T (Promega) to transform *Escherichia coli* DH5α and were sequenced for confirmation following standard molecular operation protocols (Sambrook et al., 1989). Sequences of all oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

The upstream flanking sequence of *QH6* was cloned using the genome walking strategy, following the instruction of a GenomeWalker Universal Kit (Clontech). Approximately 160 μg genomic DNA of *A. annua* was digested in 100 μL with 80 units of *DraI*, *EcoRV*, *PvuII*, and *Stul* (Promega) for 15 h and then ligated to adaptors at 16°C overnight. Three gene-specific reverse primers (GSP1-R, GSP2-R, and GSP3-R, Figure 1) were designed from the first exon of *QH6* to amplify the upstream fragment with adaptor primers AP1 and AP2 by nested PCR.

**Sequence Analysis**

Approximately 20 μg genomic DNA of *A. annua* was digested in a 50 μL system with *Clal*, HindIII, and *ApaI* overnight, respectively, resolved in a 1.2% agarose gel and blotted onto a Hybond N+ membrane (GE Healthcare). A 442-bp gene-specific fragment was amplified by primers *Probe-F* and *Probe-R* (Supplementary Table S1) and purified as a template for probe preparation. AlkPhos Direct Labeling Reagents and a CDP-Star™ Kit (GE Healthcare) were used for labeling and detection following the manufacturer’s instructions. Membranes with probes were exposed to Kodak X-Omat Blue film (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) for 48 h. The film was developed using standard methods.

We searched the region upstream from the *QH6* translation initiation site for known cis-elements in plants using PLACE (A Database of Plant Cis-acting Regulatory DNA Elements) (Higo et al., 1999) and NSITE-PL (RegSite Plant DB, Softberry Inc., Mount Kisco, NY) databases.

**Binary Constructs and Plant Transformation**

Different truncations of the *QH6* promoter region were amplified from the cloned upstream fragment using a common reverse primer, *QH6*-R, of which two nucleotides were mutated to generate an *NcoI* site for subsequent gene expression, and different forward primers with a *PstI* restriction site. All amplified promoter fragments were purified after gel electrophoresis, subcloned into the pGEM-T vector, and confirmed by sequencing.

For expressing *GUS* in *A. thaliana*, two different truncations immediately before and after G-box of *QH6* promoter were amplified using forward primers *QH6*+*GB*-F and *QH6*+*GB*-F, respectively, with *QH6*-R. Each of the forward primers contains a *PstI* site at the 5’ end for further cloning work. Both of the promoter truncations were digested by *NcoI* and *PstI* and ligated to the same digested pCAMBIA1391Z vector (CAMBIA) to drive *GUS* expression. These constructs were named GB+ (with promoter beginning at the predicted G-box), and GB− (with promoter beginning immediately after the predicted G-box) (Figure 2).

For expressing luciferase gene (*LUC*), the 1400 bp fragment upstream translation initiation site was amplified using *QH6*-1400-F, which also contains a *PstI* site at the 5’-end, and *QH6*-R. To mutate its G-box core from CACGTG to ACGATC, in brief, the forward primer *QH6*-1400-MF was used with *QH6*-R to amplify the first short fragment and to introduce the mutation. This fragment was purified and used as a megaprimer with 1400-F to generate the 1400 bp promoter fragment with the G-box changed. Full open reading frame of luciferase gene (*LUC*) was digested from pSPLuc+NF (Promega) and then cloned between *NcoI* and *HpaI* sites in pCAMBIA1390 (CAMBIA) to give pCAMBIA1390-LUC. 1400 bp full length promoter of *QH6* and 1400M (mutate G-box of 1400) were fused between *PstI* and *NcoI* in pCAMBIA1390-LUC to give 1400::LUC and 1400M::LUC, respectively.

Constructs were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3130 by electroporation with an Eppendorf 2510 electroporator (Yi and Kao, 2008). A positive colony for each construct was confirmed by PCR and inoculated for *A. thaliana* transformation by floral dipping according to Clough and Bent (Clough and Bent, 1998).

**T0 seeds** were germinated on 0.3% Gelrite plates with 1/2 MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) and 15 μg/mL hygromycin for screening. Seedlings growing well were moved...
into pots containing a mixture of peat and vermiculite (3:1, v/v) after 2 weeks. Genomic DNA was extracted from mature leaves to study the copy number and T-DNA insertion site by genome walking. Ten independent homozygote T2 lines were screened by PCR according to the T-DNA Primer Design Tool (http://signal.salk.edu/tdnaprime2.2.html) and the previous genome walking result (data now shown).

**Gene Expression Analysis**

For *A. thaliana* plants transformed with different promoter truncations, mature leaves from homozygous transgenic plants with approximately 12 rosette leaves were sampled every 2 h for two continuous days and were frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately. RNA was isolated from a bulk of leaves from at least four plants to minimize individual variation, and 1 µg was reverse-transcribed as described above. To quantify gene expression, a 25-µL reaction system contained 12.5 µL SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (TaKaRa), 1 µL of each forward and reverse primers, 0.4 µL cDNA template and 9.1 µL RNase-free H2O. A Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System TP800 (TaKaRa) was used and the reaction program was 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s and 60°C for 30 s.

The second exon of GUS was amplified by primers GUS-Q-F and GUS-Q-R for real-time quantification. *Actin8* (Hewezi et al., 2010) was amplified by primers ACT8-Q-F and ACT8-Q-R as a reference for normalizing GUS expression. For each sample, PCR assays were carried out in triplicate. Melting curve analysis was used to confirm the specificity of the method. The results were analyzed using a TP800 Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System (Takara). The threshold cycle (CT) values were automatically determined by the TP800 software (Takara). Expression values were calculated according to the 2−ΔΔCT method (for each gene, ΔCT = CT_Gene - CT_Actin) (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

For luciferase activity assay, the luminescent signal was detected and 4 min exposure images were acquired by IVIS Lumina XR Imaging system (Perkin Elmer). 2.5 mmol/L luciferin (with 0.01% Triton X-100) was sprayed 1 h before detection (Millar et al., 1992). The light response was calculated by Living Image Software and ROI (Region of Interest) tool.

In all treatments, the time when lights were switched on (dawn) was defined as Zeitgeber time 0 (ZT0). Prism (GraphPad Software) was used to perform the statistic analysis. Results were presented as mean ± SD. Transcript abundances were expressed as relative values to corresponding ZT0 levels of each line. An unpaired Student’s t-test was conducted to examine the differences between the groups.

**Yeast One Hybrid Assay and Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay**

The fragment from −258 to −425 bp upstream of the *QH6* translation initiation site was amplified by PCR with primers +GB-F and GB-R and was subcloned into the EcoRI/SpeI sites of pHIS2.1 (Clontech) as +GB. G-box -deleted (-GB) and -mutated (GBM) fragments were amplified using reverse primer GBR and forward primer -GB-F or GBM-F, respectively, and were fused to pHIS2.1. A fragment containing four tandem repeats of G-box (GACACGTGGC) was synthesized by annealing 4GB-F and 4GB-R primers (Supplementary Table S1) and cloned to pHIS2.1 as 4GB. The HY5-AD fusion vector was constructed by amplifying the HY5 (A15g11260) open reading frame from Arabidopsis cDNA with primers HY5-F and HY5-R and introducing the purified PCR product to the Smal site of pGADT7-Rec2 (Clontech). The plasmids were transformed into Saccharomyces cerevisiae Y187 and HY5/+GB, HY5/-GB, HY5/GBM and HY5/4GB double transformants were selected on -Trp/-Leu double drop-out plates. A binding ability assay was performed by dotting 5 µl liquid culture on -Trp/-Leu/His triple drop-out plates. The liquid culture was series-diluted while the initial concentration of OD600 = 0.1 was taken as 0.1.

For EMSA, the HY5 open reading frame was amplified with primers HY5EX-F and HY5EX-R from *A. thaliana* cDNA and cloned into pGEY-4T-1 (GE Healthcare) between EcoRI/XhoI sites. The expression construct was transformed into E. coli BL21(DE3)pLysS (Invitrogen) for prokaryotic expression. The GST-HY5 fusion protein was purified using a MagneGST protein purification system (Promega). The biotin labeled 52-bp probes of the *QH6* promoter containing G-box (391-442) and the mutant (M391-442) were synthesized by GenScript (Nanjing). An unlabeled probe was used as a competitor. The GST-only protein was purified and was used as a negative control. The interaction of the HY5 protein and DNA fragment was determined using a LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA kit (Pierce) following the manufacturer’s instruction. The 20-µL binding system was resolved on an 8% polyacrylamide gel in 1 × TBE and was semi-dry blotted onto Hybond-N+ membrane (GE Healthcare). After a three-hour cross-linking at 60°C, the signal was detected according to the manufacturer’s instruction with Kodak X-Omat Blue film (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA).

**Results**

**QH6 Sequence Analysis**

According to the alignment of the *A. annua* QH6 cDNA sequence (Lu et al., 2002) with *A. thaliana* ocimene/myrcene synthase (At4g16740) cDNA and genomic DNA sequences (Chen et al., 2003), approximate positions for introns and exons of *QH6* were predicted (Supplementary Figure S1). Three primer pairs were designed to amplify QH6 genomic DNA into three overlapping fragments, each of which covered two introns according to the prediction. The amplified fragments were assembled and reconfirmed by amplifying *A. annua* genomic DNA with primers H-F and E-R from two ends of the *QH6* coding region. The *QH6* gene has seven exons and six introns with the highly conserved tandem arginine domain (RR) in the first exon and the aspartate-rich divalent binding domain (DDXXD) in the fourth exon, as a typical Class III terpene synthase (Trapp and Croteau, 2001; Aubourg et al., 2002; Lange and Ghassemian, 2003). There was no hit with obvious similarity when we searched GenBank with sequence of any of introns (data not shown). The gene structure and positions of conserved domains and designed primers are shown in Figure 1.

The sequence upstream of *QH6* was cloned by genome walking, and the first 2-kb fragment flanking the *QH6*
transcription initiation site was confirmed by re-amplification from *A. annua* genomic DNA directly. By searching databases of PLACE (A Database of Plant cis-acting Regulatory DNA Elements) (Higo et al., 1999) and NSITE-PL (RegSite Plant DB, Softberry Inc., Mount Kisco, NY), an HY5-binding G-box motif (CACGTTGGA) was found at −421 bp (Figure 2). Other motifs, such as SORLIPI (GCCCAC, −320), LEAFY response element (CCAATG, −545), W-box (TTGAC core, −425), and Morning element (ME, CCACAC, −537) were also found (Priest et al., 2009) (Figure 2). The entire *A. annua* QH6 sequence, including the upstream promoter region, was deposited in GenBank under the accession number GU929215.

When QH6 was originally isolated and characterized, there was no information suggesting that it was a single copy gene (Lu et al., 2002). This raised the possibility that other genes with a high sequence identity to QH6 might be analyzed, either instead of or together with QH6 itself. Here, by Southern blotting, a single band was detected from Cmi-1, HindIII-, and ApaLI-digested genome DNA samples (2471, 2269, 1267 bp), confirming that the *A. annua* genome has only one copy of QH6 and providing a rationale for our subsequent studies (data not shown).

### Gene Expression Driven by QH6 Promoter Truncations

To figure out whether the G-box functions in regulating QH6 expression, we expressed β-glucuronidase gene (GUS) driven by the QH6 upstream fragment immediately before (GB+) or after G-box (GB−), respectively, in *A. thaliana*. For each construct, 10 independent transgenic lines were screened and genome walking was adopted to study the insertion site of each line to ensure that T-DNA insertion did not interrupt any gene known or presumed to affect either GUS expression or terpenoid metabolism (data not shown).

For both GB+ and GB− plants, rosette leaves were sampled every 2 h for 1 day in 12h/12h light/dark (LD) condition (Figure 3, from ZT0 to ZT24) and then for 24 h in constant dark (DD) (Figure 3, from ZT24 to ZT48). In GB+ plants in LD, two peaks of the steady state GUS transcripts were detected at ZT08 and ZT16, corresponding to the afternoon and midnight in nature. In DD, the fluctuation of GUS transcript abundance also showed two peaks at ZT28 and ZT36, both were 4 h ahead their LD counterparts. The ratios of transcript abundances at the highest level to the lowest were 5.39 in LD and 4.42 in DD, showing a significant fluctuation. For GB− plants, we could not identify a clear rhythmic change as the fluctuation of transcript abundance looked more frequently and the highest-to-lowest ratios were only 2.77 and 2.35 in LD and DD, respectively (Figure 3).

For better understanding the function of this G-box, we transformed *Arabidopsis* with luciferase gene (*LUC*) driven by an 1400 bp promoter fragment of QH6 with its G-box either intact or mutated from CACGTG to ACGATC to abolish its possible function. Transformed lines were screened and confirmed by genome walking as mentioned above. We monitored the luciferase activity by measuring radiance emitted with an IVIS Lumina XR Imaging System (Caliper Life Sciences) every 2 h under LD condition for 2 days. For control lines transformed with intact G-box (1400::LUC), we observed two peaks at ZT02 and ZT12 in Day 1, and another two peaks at ZT26 and ZT38 in Day 2. However, for the mutant lines (1400M::LUC), the ZT02 and ZT26 peaks no longer existed whereas the ZT12 and ZT38 peaks stayed as the control (Figure 4).

We then transformed Ler-0 and hy5-1 with 1400::LUC to study the expression of LUC when HY5 was silenced. The transcript abundance of LUC showed a synchronized accumulation in both lines under normal light/dark photoperiod (Figure 5). However, when entrained plants were placed into constant dark, only in 1400::LUC-transformed Ler-0 plants could a rhythmic fluctuation in LUC expression level be identified (Figure 5). The abundance of LUC transcripts in the transformed hy5-1 mutant decreased to a much lower level, and its fluctuation was not as significant as what we observed in Ler-0 (Figure 5).

#### Yeast One-hybrid and Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)

To test whether the QH6 promoter binds HY5, a fragment from −258 to −425 bp to the QH6 translation initiation site was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as a bait (+GB) for binding assays by yeast one-hybrid. The interaction between HY5 and this promoter fragment was screened by growth on Trp−/Leu−/His triple dropout plates. Different concentrations of 3-aminotriazole (3AT), a histidine synthase inhibitor, were supplemented to the media to suppress background activation. These assays showed that the −258 to −425 bp region of the QH6 promoter was able to bind HY5 in vivo (Figure 6). The assay with a bait containing four consecutive repeats of the G-box motif
showed strong interaction with HY5, whereas that with G-box either removed or mutated had no interaction.

EMSA was performed to further determine the binding of HY5 to a specific DNA motif in this promoter region. A biotin-labeled 52-bp double-strand DNA probe containing the G-box motif and its flanking region was synthesized, while HY5 was prokaryotically expressed and purified as described in the Materials and Methods Section. The labeled probe was incubated with or without HY5 protein preparation and separated on native polyacrylamide gels. Shifted bands were routinely observed with HY5 and QH6 G-box oligonucleotides that were abolished by using a competitor against the entire 52-bp region. Thus, we confirmed that HY5 binds to the QH6 promoter at the G-box motif (Figure 7).

Discussion

The A. annua β-pinene synthase gene QH6 is among a small number of terpene synthase genes reported to be regulated by the circadian clock at the transcriptional level. Its expression was found to be suppressed by either mechanical wounding or fungal elicitor (Lu et al., 2002). However, two other A. annua monoterpene synthase genes (QH1 and QH5), both of which encode linalool synthases, were induced by mechanical wounding (Jia et al., 1999), and a sesquiterpene (caryophyllene) synthase gene QHS1 was capable of being upregulated by fungal elicitor (Cai et al., 2002). This suggested that the plant could fine-tune its metabolic flux among different terpenoid metabolic branches in response to environmental stimuli. Although monoterpene synthases all function spatially in plastids, diurnal expression in higher plants might provide the potential to temporally separate these enzyme activities for better adaptation.

For terpene metabolism, not only monoterpene synthases but also enzymes for hemiterpene, sesquiterpenes and carotenoid biosynthesis showed rhythmic fluctuations at the transcriptional level. For example, both isoprene (hemiterpene) synthase and phytoene synthase (for carotenoid biosynthesis) in the poplar displayed a diurnal variation in gene expression (Loivamäki et al., 2007). Similarly, a (E)-β-caryophyllene (sesquiterpene) synthase gene (OsTPS3) from rice (Oryza sativa L.) showed circadian regulation, with its peak transcript abundance appearing in the morning (Cheng et al., 2007). However, we are still far from achieving a molecular understanding of the endogenous rhythmicity of plant terpene metabolism.

The bZIP transcription factor HY5 (LONG HYPOCOTYL5) has been found to bind G-box and to promote activation of a number of light-induced genes, such as Rubisco small subunit (Ang et al., 1998; Chattopadhyay et al., 1998). There are also results showing that the G-box was over-represented in eight of nine promoters for rhythmically co-expressed genes in flavonol/anthocyanin metabolic pathway, suggesting that HY5 plays an essential role in plant secondary metabolism regulation through the G-box (Pan et al., 2009). In our work, GUS driven by QH6 promoter truncation immediately after G-box didn’t reveal a significant rhythmic fluctuation as we reported in A. annua.
This infers that G-box motif is essential for regulating this monoterpene synthase gene expression. Both yeast one-hybrid and EMSA studies in our work confirmed that this regulation is through the direct binding by the bHLH transcription factor HY5. Moreover, when we compared the expression of LUC under the control of the promoter region of QH6 in Ler-0 and hy5-1, the rhythmic fluctuation of the steady state abundance of LUC transcripts turned to be insignificant in HY5-silencing plants. This suggests that HY5 is essential for the rhythmic expression of QH6. Because the transcript abundance of GUS and the enzyme activity of luciferase reached their highest levels at different time phases under the control of two different native promoter truncations of 1400 bp (1400::LUC) and 424 bp (GB+), it is possible that other regulatory elements upstream G-box exist between −1400 and −424. When we searched for known cis-elements, a morning element (ME) motif CCACAC was found at −537. It has been reported that ME and G-box upstream of the translation initiation site, including the G-box, was used as the bait (+GB), and the same fragment with the G-box removed (−GB) or mutated (GBM) was used as the control. A sequence containing four consecutive repeats of the G-box motif (4 GB) was also synthesized to test enhanced interactions. pHIS2.1 harboring +GB, −GB, GBM, or 4GB was co-transformed with pGADT7-HY5. Cells were grown in liquid medium to OD600 = 0.1 (10−1) and diluted in a 10 × series (10−2 to 10−5). At each dilution, 5 µL was spotted on media selecting for the existence of both plasmids (-Leu/-Trp double drop-out) or the interaction (-Leu/-Trp/-His triple drop-out).

Currently unclear how multiple factors are coordinated in planta for QH6. In addition to this, it is worthwhile to note that, there are two other putative elements around G-box and ME. A LEAFY response element (CCAATGT) locates only two nucleotides upstream ME, and an atypical W-box (T TGACA) overlaps with G-box. It is yet to be determined if these two elements confer any further regulation on QH6 gene expression in response to developmental or resistance signal, respectively (Maleck et al., 2000; Kamiya et al., 2003).

To the best of our knowledge, this was the first report of HY5 regulation of plant gene expression for monoterpene biosynthesis. Our results suggested a short signaling pathway from HY5 to plant secondary metabolism by direct binding to the promoter, which modulates gene transcription. However, given the global regulatory function of HY5, it is also likely that induction of other genes/transcription factors by HY5 could be involved. Detailed studies on circadian rhythm, light induction, and the spatial/temporal specificity of QH6 expression might help us to better understand the complicated regulatory network involved in plant terpene metabolism.
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