Comparison of fundus changes following silicone oil and sterilized air tamponade for macular-on retinal detachment patients
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Abstract

Background: To investigate different tamponade effects of intravitreal silicone oil (SO) and sterilized air on macular vasculature and structure after successful retinal repair for macular-on rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) patients.

Method: 21 eyes (21 patients) with macular-on RRD underwent single pars plana vitrectomy following intravitreal SO or sterilized air (Gas) tamponade. Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) and angiography were used to evaluate retinal layer thickness and flow density (FD) changes throughout the observation period of 12 weeks. Retinal layers were segmented into 7 sets: NFL, GCL + IPL, INL, OPL, ONL + IS, OS+RPE and BRM. Macular perfusion system was segmented into superficial and deep capillary plexus flow density (SCPFD, DCPFD), and choriocapillaries plexus flow density (CCPFD).

Result: Compared to Gas tamponade, SO tamponade led to more decrease in both superficial and deep retinal blood flow during observation. NFL thickness was found to decrease in both Gas tamponade and SO tamponade eyes. SO tamponade resulted in more pronounced decrease which led to significant intergroup difference. Opposite changing trends were found in GCL + IPL and ONL + IS thicknesses due to different means of tamponade. SO tamponade caused thicknesses of these two segmented layers to decrease, which led to significant intergroup differences. SO tamponade also led to more decrease in INL, OPL thicknesses. No significant intergroup difference of choroidal thickness was observed.

Conclusion: Compared to gas, silicone oil could have more negative tamponade effects on both fundus vasculature and structure.
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Background

Retinal detachment (RD), characterized by a separation of the sensory retina from the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), is one of the leading causes for permanent vision loss. Among its subcategories, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) is the most common one with annual incidence to be about 10.5 people per 100,000 population [1]. One of the most popular and effective surgical options is pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), which could achieve amazing reattachment rates in RRD patients. According to clinical observations, researchers have demonstrated that the maintenance of macular structure might be related to the postoperative visual outcome [2–5]. However, concerns have been raised that patients who seemed to have no macular abnormalities, confirmed by means of conventional Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT), could also suffer from progressive visual impairment after successful reattachment surgery.
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A series of reports have indicated the presence of unexplained severe visual damage, especially after intravitreal silicone oil (SO) use, which is also known as: Silicone Oil-Related Visual Loss (SORVL) [6–8].

For fundus surgeons, SO and gas are frequently-used intravitreal tamponade mediums for retinal repair. As a biochemically inert polymer, SO is widely used in vitreoretinal surgery and was considered to be well-tolerated and not threatening to retinal physiology [9–11]. However, some researchers have noticed potential harmful effects of silicone oil to retinal structure [12, 13]. Moreover, in RRD patients without macular involvement, incidence of unexplained vision loss after SO tamponade is by far higher than that after gas tamponade [5, 14]. Recent studies also considered that visual abnormalities like central scotoma, decreased foveal sensitivity and macular dysfunction might also be related to intravitreal SO application [6–8, 15]. Detailed fundus imaging and observation on SO tamponade effects on fundus structure could be essential to understand the underlying mechanisms.

To our knowledge, most related studies were designed as cross-sectional clinical observations. Negative tamponade effects of SO have been described such as thickness change in specific retinal layers [16, 17] and choroidal thinning [18]. However, few studies focused on the demonstration of different tamponade effects owing to different intravitreal tamponade materials, or included follow-up observations. On the other hand, in most previous researches, the study designs were widely divergent. Patients with macular-on or macular-off RRD were often mixed up for analysis. Different intraoperative pathological conditions, especially the preoperative macular status, may have a much larger contribution to differences on OCT and OCTA metrics than tamponade choices or surgical options. For fundus structure, various retinal cells underwent irreversible cell death after detachment [19]. For fundus vasculature, macular vasculature might maintain intact in macular-on RRD patients, rather than macular-off RRD patients [20]. Furthermore, various surgical options: combined operation with cataract surgery during retinal repair [21, 22], either or both scleral buckling and PPV for retinal reattachment [15, 21–26] and multifarious intravitreal tamponade choices [15, 17, 22, 23], could all have impact on fundus structure and vasculature. Thus, the comparison of different tamponade effects of silicone oil and other intravitreal tamponade deserve further study with rigorous design, especially in RRD patients without macular involvement.

To eliminate the influence of preoperative macular status and the impact of different surgical options on macular vasculature and structure, we prudently chose macular-on RRD patients, who only received single PPV for retinal reattachment in the present study. Detailed OCT/OCTA examinations on postoperative fundus vasculature and structure changes were performed during the follow-up observations. We focused on the intergroup comparison of fundus changes between SO tamponade and air tamponade eyes, and we intended to demonstrate whether SO tamponade could lead to more macular abnormalities.

**Methods**

**Patients**

This retrospective, single-center study included 21 patients of the inpatients Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai General Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University, from October 2017 to March 2019. This study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai General Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University. All patients suffered from primary RRD without macular involvement (Fig. 1). Exclusion criteria included macular involvement in detachment, proliferative vitreoretinopathy over B level, previous history of intraocular surgery, sign of epiretinal membrane, macular hole, macular degeneration or other macular disorders, high myopia (axial length>26 mm), secondary glaucoma, lens turbidity or massive vitreous hemorrhage, persistent subretinal fluid and intraretinal cystic space. Patients with diabetes mellitus, poorly controlled hypertension or other severe systemic vascular diseases were also excluded.

**Surgery**

Standard three-port 23-gauge PPV was performed using the Alcon Constellation system (Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Fort Worth, TX, USA) under retrobulbar anesthesia by the same surgeon (Dr. Haiyun Liu). Preoperative macular status, extent of RRD (number of quadrants) and number of retinal tears were recorded before surgery, and double confirmed during the procedure. Before
surgery, adequate doctor-patient communications were carried with each of our patients. The choice for type of tamponade was first discussed during the conversation, mainly based on intraocular pathological condition, and was finally decided by the surgeon during the operation. Intravitreal tamponade was used after drainage of subretinal fluid during air-fluid exchange. 7 patients with intravitreal SO tamponade were defined as Silicone Oil (SO) group, and 14 patients with intravitreal sterilized air tamponade were defined as Gas group. Usually, sterilized air could be spontaneously absorbed in 2 weeks. Upon the first postoperative follow-up visit (at 2 weeks), patients of the Gas group actually had no remaining intravitreal air tamponade. But for ease of expression, we denominated the 'Gas/SO group' and 'Gas/SO tamponade' in the present study, based on intravitreal tamponade choices during surgery. No patient underwent combined cataract surgery during the procedure. SO removal was performed around 4 months after retinal reattachment surgery. No patient suffered from recurrent retinal detachment.

**Retinal and choroidal vascular layer imaging**

OCT-angiogram imaging was performed using the RTVue XR Avanti device (AngioVue software, version 2017.1.0.155; Optovue Inc., Fremont California, USA) with the Angio Retina mode (6 × 6 mm, blood flow density) by the same operator (H, Zhou). The technique including the SSADA method has been previously described [26, 27]. The software automatically segmented the vasculature into three layers: superficial capillary plexus (SCP), deep capillary plexus (DCP), and choriocapillaris plexus (CCP) (Fig. 2). The segmentation of the capillary plexus was checked before any data measurement was recorded. An experienced doctor (S, Zhang) and technician (H, Zhou) independently reviewed the images and occasionally corrected the segmentation lines when necessary. For each layer, flow density (FD) was calculated separately in three annulus regions: fovea (1 mm), parafovea (1–3 mm) and perifovea (3-6 mm) according to the ETDRS grids. The images with poor image quality were excluded based on one or more of the following criteria: low signal strength index (< 60), presence of blink artifacts, poor fixation leading to motion or doubling artifacts, and media opacities obscuring the view of the vasculature.

**Retinal and choroidal layer imaging**

With the automatic segmentation of retinal layers by RTVue XR Avanti device, we got seven sets of retinal layer thickness data for analysis in the present study:
NFL (nerve fiber layer), GCL + IPL (ganglion cell layer and inner plexiform layer), INL (inner nuclei layer), OPL (outer plexiform layer), ONL + IS (outer nuclei layer and inner segment), OS+RPE (outer segment and retinal pigment epithelium) and BRM (Bruch’s membrane) (Fig. 3). Choroidal thickness was obtained with the automatic built-in software of the SS-OCT device (Topcon FastMap, version 10.13.003.06, Topcon Medical Systems). All patients underwent three times of postoperative examinations at 2 weeks, 6 weeks and 12 weeks after surgery.

Data analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using Python (version 3.7.3, Python Software Foundation). All values were given as mean ± SD. To demonstrate different tamponade effects, we emphasized on the changes in FD and layer thickness between two adjacent time points. Intergroup comparison of these changes between two tamponade groups was carried out using independent-samples t test. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant.

Results
All 21 patients underwent three times of postoperative examinations. The mean age of our patients was 53.86 ± 8.25 years. The mean duration of presumed RD (duration of corresponding visual symptoms) before surgery was 11.19 ± 4.1 days. The mean axial length was 24.06 ± 0.68 mm. Demographics and clinical characteristics of different subgroups were shown in Table 1. No significant difference of mean age, gender, axial length or symptom duration before surgery was found between SO group and Gas group. The intraocular pathological conditions of retinal detachment had some differences between SO and Gas groups. Although the number of retinal tears had no significant difference between these two groups, the SO group had relatively larger extent of retinal detachment than the Gas group ($p = 0.017$).

To describe different tamponade effects, Tables 2 and 3 showed intergroup comparison of the changes of all evaluation indicators. To illustrate these changing values, Tables 4 and 5 presented all the measured values. During our observation, no significant result of intergroup comparison of choroidal thickness was found, thus, related data was not shown in Tables.

Fundus vasculature
From 2 weeks to 6 weeks, we found opposite changing trends of SCPFD in Gas group and SO group, which led to significant intergroup differences (Table 2). SO tamponade led to more decrease in SCPFD, while the blood flow had a slight increase in Gas tamponade eyes. Similarly, foveal DCPFD also had opposite changing trends between Gas group and SO group (Gas: 1.28 ± 3.02, SO: $-2.62 ± 4.92$, $p = 0.036$, Table 2). Furthermore, parafoveal CCPFD showed more increase in SO tamponade eyes which also led to significant intergroup difference (Gas: $-0.21 ± 1.55$, SO: 3.35 ± 5.27, $p = 0.028$, Table 2).

From 6 weeks to 12 weeks, we found decreased foveal SCPFD in both SO and Gas tamponade eyes. SO tamponade eyes had more decrease in blood flow which led to significant intergroup difference (Gas: $-1.03 ± 1.12$, SO: $-6.28 ± 6.39$, $p = 0.006$, Table 2). Compared to gas tamponade, SO tamponade also resulted in more decrease in parafoveal DCPFD, which led to significant difference (Gas: 1.28 ± 1.26, SO: $-0.62 ± 2.78$, $p = 0.042$, Table 2).

No significant result was found in other analysis of retinal or choroidal capillary plexus flow density changes during observation.

Retinal layers and choroidal thickness
From 2 weeks to 6 weeks, NFL thickness was found to decrease in both Gas tamponade and SO tamponade eyes (Table 3). SO tamponade resulted in more pronounced decrease which led to significant intergroup differences. Opposite changing trends were found in GCL + IPL and ONL + IS thicknesses due to different means of tamponade. SO tamponade led to more decrease in these two segmented layers, which also led to significant intergroup differences. Foveal INL thickness had more decrease in SO tamponade eyes as well ($p = 0.006$, Table 3).

From 6 weeks to 12 weeks, SO tamponade led to more decrease in INL and OPL thicknesses, while gas tamponade led to a slight increase, which led to significant difference.
intergroup difference (Table 3). Foveal ONL + IS thickness also showed opposite changing trends due to different tamponades. SO tamponade led to more decrease in ONL + IS thickness, while Gas tamponade led to an increase ($p = 0.001$, Table 3). OS+RPE thickness had more increase in Gas tamponade eyes compared to SO tamponade eyes ($p = 0.032$, Table 3).

No significant result was found in other analysis of retinal or choroidal layer thickness changes during observation.

Discussion

As described before, the present study had a rigorous design. Before us, few studies focused on the postoperative macular changes in macular-on RRD patients and different tamponade effects due to various intravitreal tamponade choices. We made prudent criteria to avoid potential influence caused by preoperative macular status and surgical options on macular area. Furthermore, we emphasized on the changing value of all OCT/OCTA evaluation indicators during follow-up. Thus, compared to previous studies, we believe that our work could be more reliable in demonstrating different tamponade effects of silicone oil and sterilized air on postoperative fundus change.

It is unethical to randomly choose intravitreal SO or sterilized air as intravitreal tamponade. The criterion of the endotamponade selection was based on surgeon’s comprehensive estimation of intraocular pathological conditions (tear size/tear number/tear location/detachment extent etc.). In the present study, we tried to pick up patients with similar intraocular pathological conditions. The SO group had relatively larger extent of detachment than the Gas group, while the number of retinal tears and symptom duration had no significant intergroup difference (Table 1). More importantly, the macular area was not involved in detachment. Macular vasculature could remain intact in eyes with macula-on RRD [20]. Thus, we believe that our results could represent the true different tamponade effects between the two intravitreal tamponade materials without being much influenced by other factors. The best time for SO removal is yet to reach a consensus in practice. The removal of SO is recommended to reduce potential SO-related complications such as cataract, glaucoma, and keratopathy. As routine practice for our ophthalmic clinical center, anatomically well-reattached retina based on OCT examination and no sign of progressive PVR after PPV for RRD patients over 3 months indicate SO removal. Thus, the duration of observation in the present study was 12 weeks.

Retinal and choroidal flow density

The macular perfusion changes after RRD surgery have not reached a commitment according to previous researches, which is due to different study designs and observation length. Wu et al. found significantly lower blood flow in both SCP and DCP than fellow eyes after retinal repair [26]. The surgical operation included vitrectomy and scleral buckling. The follow-up time was

### Table 1

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population

|                | Gas (n=14) | SO (n=7) | P value |
|----------------|------------|----------|---------|
|                | Mean±sd    | Mean±sd  |         |
| Age (Years)    | 53.00±7.61 | 55.57±9.83 | 0.515   |
| Sex (M/F)      | 4/10       | 4/3      | 0.224   |
| Symptom Duration (Days) | 11.07±4.61 | 11.42±3.15 | 0.860   |
| Axial length (mm) | 24.12±0.71 | 23.94±0.65 | 0.587   |
| Extent of detachment | 1.16±0.43 | 1.71±0.53 | 0.017   |
| Number of tears | 1.79±1.32 | 2.14±0.99 | 0.554   |

### Table 2

Intergroup comparison of fundus vasculature changes

| Layer | Section | Gas 2 weeks to 6 weeks | SO 2 weeks to 6 weeks | P value | Gas 6 weeks to 12 weeks | SO 6 weeks to 12 weeks | P value |
|-------|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| SCPFD | Fovea   | 1.78±(±0.87)           | -2.63(±3.66)          | 0.002   | -1.03(±1.12)           | -6.28(±6.39)          | 0.006   |
| SCPFD | ParaFovea | 2.38(±3.83)           | 0.65(±3.94)           | 0.33    | -0.78(±1.53)           | -1.06(±3.78)          | 0.815   |
| SCPFD | PeriFovea | 1.53(±2.91)           | -1.32(±2.75)          | 0.044   | 0.93(±1.07)           | 1.02(±2.16)           | 0.893   |
| SCPFD | Whole ETDRS | 1.89(±3.02)      | -1.50(±3.26)          | 0.029   | 0.62(±1.08)           | -0.35(±2.58)          | 0.233   |
| DCPFD | Fovea   | 1.38(±2.60)           | 1.62(±2.71)           | 0.784   | 1.28(±1.26)           | -0.62(±2.78)          | 0.042   |
| DCPFD | ParaFovea | 0.81(±2.09)           | 1.42(±2.71)           | 0.328   | -0.23(±1.88)          | 0.22(±5.37)           | 0.777   |
| DCPFD | PeriFovea | 1.27(±2.93)           | -0.53(±5.37)          | 0.203   | 0.43(±1.53)           | -0.50(±4.37)          | 0.442   |
| DCPFD | Whole ETDRS | 1.37(±2.54)       | -0.94(±5.57)          | 0.983   | 0.12(±2.32)           | -0.73(±5.94)          | 0.81    |
| CCPFD | Fovea   | 1.14(±3.36)           | 1.11(±2.87)           | 0.026   | 1.56(±2.16)           | 0.26(±1.20)           | 0.161   |
| CCPFD | ParaFovea | -0.21(±1.56)         | 3.55(±5.27)           | 0.028   | 1.88(±2.16)           | 0.26(±1.20)           | 0.161   |
| CCPFD | PeriFovea | -0.05(±1.50)         | 2.50(±4.40)           | 0.107   | 0.78(±1.69)           | -0.57(±1.23)          | 0.777   |
| CCPFD | Whole ETDRS | 0.05(±1.37)       | 2.29(±4.53)           | 0.107   | 0.46(±1.63)           | -0.19(±1.12)          | 0.355   |
relatively longer than our research (3.6 ± 2.4 months, ranging 2–9 months). Wang et al., found significant increase in retinal blood flow in RRD eyes with successful PPV [22], however, the operation was combined with cataract surgery in their study. Phacoemulsification operation has been demonstrated to increase macular perfusion after surgery for up to 3 months [28, 29]. Furthermore, these two studies enrolled RRD patients with macular involvement. Detachment of macula before retinal repair could possibly influence macular perfusion even after successful reattachment [25]. Thus, the finding of differences in the alterations of various retinal blood flow among our macular-on RRD patients is more likely to present the exact fundus vasculature changes after surgery. For retinal blood flow, from 2 weeks to 6 weeks, we found an increasing trend in gas tamponade eyes (Tables 2 and 4). The short-term increase of blood flow might be related to postoperative inflammation [22]. On the other hand, we found a decrease of blood flow in SO tamponade eyes. More importantly, such opposite changing trend led to significant intergroup difference (Tables 2 and 4). From 6 weeks to 12 weeks, we could still found that SO tamponade led to more blood flow decrease in both SCPFD and DCPFD than Gas tamponade (Table 2). Thus, the present study demonstrated that SO tamponade may result in poorer macular perfusion in both superficial and deep retinal capillary plexus.

For postoperative choroidal status after retinal repair, previous studies used choroidal thickness as an indicator. Akkoyun et al. reported increased subfoveal choroidal thickness (SFCT) at 1 week after retinal repair, which they thought might represent inflammatory reaction [30]. Karimi et al. reported time-related reduce of SFCT in SO tamponade eyes which may possibly be due to tamponade effect of silicone oil [18]. However, change of choroidal thickness is not necessarily associated with choroidal vasculature change [31, 32]. We failed to find any significant result from choroidal thickness comparison during observation. On the other hand, we found significant intergroup difference in parafoveal CCPFD between Gas tamponade and SO tamponade eyes (Table 2). From 2 weeks to 6 weeks, parafoveal CCPFD had more increase in SO tamponade eyes. We suspect the increased of choroidal blood flow in SO group may indicate more severe postoperative choroidal inflammation, which could be related to the relatively greater amount of cryopexy or laser photocoagulation during procedure following SO use. We postulate that choroidal blood flow change could be a more sensitive indicator than choroidal thickness change.

**Retinal structural change**

In previous studies on retinal structure change after retinal repair, most researchers divided the retinal layer in...
a relatively general way. In the present study, the retinal layers were strictly segmented into seven layers as introduced in the method section. As components of inner retina, both NFL and GCL + IPL have shown significant intergroup differences in their thickness changes. From 2 weeks to 6 weeks, SO tamponade led to more pronounced thickness decrease of these layers than gas tamponade (Tables 3 and 5). Such finding is consistent to the hypothesis of the toxic effect of SO on ganglion cell [16]. Kamila et al. also reported more pronounced decrease of thickness in GCL-IPL complex after SO tamponade in PPV, compared to gas (C3F8&SF6) tamponade [16]. As macular was not involved in our RRD patients, our findings may strongly indicate negative tamponade effects of silicone oil on inner retinal structures (NFL&GCL + IPL), which could possibly lead to poorer final visual acuity [23].

We found relatively more decrease of INL and OPL thicknesses in SO tamponade eyes than in Gas tamponade during our observation (Table 3). Such findings seemed to contradict with results from certain previous studies. Yasin et al. reported thicker central INL and OPL in SO tamponade than in fellow eyes [17]. Marcel et al. also reported a time related increase of INL-OPL thickness after successful retinal reattachment [23]. However, their patients all suffered from macular-off detachment. Theoretically, the inflammatory reactions after retinal detachment and reattachment repair may be observed in the pattern of increase in the volume of INL and OPL thickness [33]. However, in the present study, we found more decrease of these two retinal layers in thicknesses in SO tamponade eyes than in Gas tamponade eyes, which led to significant intergroup difference (Table 3). We thought that in the process of structural re-modeling of Müller cells after retinal detachment and reattachment, the INL and OPL layer thickness might also be influenced by factors other than postoperative inflammation. SO may have potential negative effects on INL and OPL in the undetached retinal area. Whether our finding indeed revealed variable impacts of different intra-vitreal tamponades deserve further studies.

Photoreceptor lies in the ONL + IS and OS+RPE layers. The integrity and thickness of IS/OS has been reported to be an important predictor of postoperative VA after RD surgery [34, 35]. On the other hand, due to ischemia in external layer and neuron cell loss, reduction of ONL thickness after retinal repair has been demonstrated, and maintenance of ONL thickness is also found to be correlated with postoperative VA [23, 24, 36–38]. We found that SO tamponade lead to more decrease in both ONL + IS and OS+RPE thicknesses (Table 3). We hypothesized that compared to gas tamponade, SO tamponade may lead to more thickness loss in ONL, and it might suppress photoreceptor recovery, which could both be related to poorer VA prognosis. Such finding might indicate potential negative impact of SO tamponade on visual prognosis.

During recent years, numerous researches has been performed for the underneath mechanism of Silicone Oil-Related Visual Loss (SORVL), and several pathophysiologic hypotheses have been proposed [39]. The following factors may all play their roles: photo-toxicity...
fat soluble elements from the retina dissolved by SO [41], lost buffering capacity of the vitreous cavity and presence of intravitreal SO leading to impaired retinal homeostasis [5, 42, 43]. However, the exact underlying mechanism remains unknown.

Several remarks should be made with respect to the results of this study. On account of tamponade choice and timely SO removal clinical practice in our ophthalmic center, the observation time was relatively short and only comparison between gas and SO tamponade were analyzed. Extended observation period for SO tamponade impact would be necessary in future studies. Due to practical routine of intravitreal tamponade choice in our center, we only compared sterilized air and SO. We obviously lacked fundus vasculature and structure data before surgery as baseline, and we did not take fellow eye data as control like several previous studies [16, 17, 22, 24, 26]. Unaffected eyes with asymptomatic feature could have vascular abnormalities in fundus diseases like retinal vein occlusion and primary open-angle glaucoma [44, 45]. Retinal detachment could also be bilateral in quite a number of patients. Thus, we did not take the fellow eye data of our patients as baseline or control to avoid potential bias. After all, the present study emphasized on the changes of fundus vasculature and structure during follow-up visits. Furthermore, the sample size of our study was relatively small.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we investigated different intravitreal tamponade effects of silicone oil and gas on fundus vasculature and structure in macular-on rhegmatogenous retinal detachment patients underwent single pars plana vitrectomy. Silicone oil tamponade could lead to more macular abnormalities, which may be related to the ‘Silicone oil-related visual loss’.

Abbreviations
RRD: Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment; PPV: Pars plana vitrectomy; SO: Silicone oil; ILM: Inner Limiting Membrane; NFL: Nerve Fiber Layer; GCL: Ganglion Cell Layer; IPL: Inner Plexiform Layer; INL: Inner Nuclei Layer; OPL: Outer Plexiform Layer; ONL: Outer Nuclear Layer; IS/OS: Inner/Outer Segment; RPE: Retinal Pigment Epithelium; BRM: Bruch’s Membrane; SCPFD: Superficial capillary plexus flow density; DCPFD: Deep capillary plexus flow density; CCOPFD: Choroidal capillary plexus flow density; OCT: Optical Coherence Tomography; OCTA: Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography; mm: Millimeter

Acknowledgements
We would like to acknowledge all the patients that participated in this study.

Authors’ contributions
YFZ: write this article, data analysis, SQZ, HZ, MG: perform the experiment. HYL and XDS: design the experiment. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This study was supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2019YFC0840607), National Science and Technology Major Project of China (2017ZX09304010), Shanghai Science and Technology Innovation action medical key Program (1341195400). The grants had no role in the design or conduct of this research.

Availability of data and materials
Available on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
This study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai General Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University. All patients signed informed consent forms.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
None of the authors has financial or other conflicts of interest concerning this study.

Author details
1Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai General Hospital (Shanghai First People’s Hospital), School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China. 2National Clinical Research Center for Eye Diseases, Shanghai, China. 3Shanghai Engineering Center for Visual Science and Photomedicine, Shanghai, China. 4Shanghai engineering center for precise diagnosis and treatment of eye diseases, Shanghai, China. 5Shanghai Key Laboratory of Fundus Disease, Shanghai, China.

Received: 15 May 2020 Accepted: 17 June 2020
Published online: 22 June 2020

References
1. Mitty D, , Charteris DG, Fleck BW, Campbell H, , Singh J, . The epidemiology of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment: geographical variation and clinical characteristics. Br J Ophthalmol. 2009; 94(6):678.
2. Rooshpos R, Mohammadi N, Ghassemi F, Karkhanesh R, Rezaei M, Nili-Ahmadabadi M, Ebrahimiadib N. Foveal structure in macula-off Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment after scleral buckling or Vitrectomy. J Ophthalmic Vis Research. 2015;10(2):172–7.
3. Baba T, Mizuno S, Tatsumi T, Hagiwara A, Sato E, Oshitari T, Yamamoto S. Outer retinal thickness and retinal sensitivity in macula-off rhegmatogenous retinal detachment after successful reattachment. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2012; 22(6):1032–8.
4. Delolme MP, Dugas B, Nicot F, Muselier A, Bron AM, Creuzot-Garcher C. Anatomical and functional macular changes after rhegmatogenous retinal detachment with macula off. Am J Ophthalmol. 2012;153(1):128–36.
5. Scheerlinck LM, Schellekens PA, Liem AT, Steijns D, Rv L. Incidence, risk factors, and clinical characteristics of unexplained visual loss after intraocular silicone oil for macula-on retinal detachment; 2015.
6. Wong DT. Visual loss following removal of intraocular silicone oil. Br J Ophthalmol. 2005;89(7):799–802.
7. Newsom RSB, Roberts J, Sullivan PM, Aylward GB, Holder GE, Gregor ZJ. Sudden visual loss after removal of silicone oil. Retina. 2004;24(6):871–7.
8. Herbert EN, Habib M, Steel D, Williamson TH. Central scotoma associated with intraocular silicone oil tamponade develops before oil removal. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2006;244(2):248–52.
9. Le Mer Y. Reports by the silicone study group. Arch Ophthalmal. 1993; 111(4):429.
10. Cibis PA, Becker B, Okun E, Canaan S. The use of liquid silicone in retinal detachment surgery. Arch Ophthalmol. 1962;68(5):590.
11. Inoue M, Iriyama A, Kadonosono K, Tamaki Y, Yanagi Y. Effects of perfluorocarbon liquids and silicone oil on human retinal pigment epithelial cells and retinal ganglion cells. Retina. 2009;29(5):677–81.
12. Bambas B, Eckardt C, Vowinkel E, Kruse H. Toxic substances with silicone oil after intraocular injections. Ophthalmologe. 1995;92(5):663–7.
13. Pastor JC, Lopez MI, Saornil MA, Refojo MF. Intravitreal silicone and fluorosilicone oils: pathologic findings in rabbit eyes. Acta Ophthalmol. 1992;70(5):651–8.
14. Christensen UC, Morten LC. Visual loss after use of intraocular silicone oil associated with thinning of inner retinal layers. Acta Ophthalmol. 2012;90(8):733–7.
15. Scheerlinck LM, Schellekens PA, Liem AT, Steijns D, Van LR. Retinal sensitivity following intraocular silicone oil and gas tamponade for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Acta Ophthalmol. 2018;96(6):e641–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/aos.13868.

16. Raczyńska D, Mitrozk R, Raczyńska K, Glassner L. The influence of silicone oil on the ganglion cell complex after pars plana vitrectomy for Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Curr Pharm Des. 2018;24(29):3476–93.

17. Goker YS, Yuksel K, Turan MF, Sonnek K, Tekin K, Yilmazbas P. Segmental analysis of macular layers in patients with Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment treated with Perfluoropropane or silicon oil. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging Retina. 2018;49(1):41–7.

18. Karimi S, Entezari M, Nikkhah H, Esfandiari H, Darvishpoor T, Tavakoli M, Safi S. Effects of Intravitreal Silicone Oil on Subfoveal Choroidal Thickness. Ophthalmologica. 2018;239(2–3).

19. Murakami Y, Notomi S, Hisatomi T, Nakazawa T, Ishibashi T, Miller JW, Vavvas DG. Photoreceptor cell death and rescue in retinal detachment and degenerations. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2013;33:114–40.

20. Yoshikawa Y, Shoji T, Kanno J, Ibuki H, Ozaki K, Ishii H, Ichikawa Y, Kimura I, Murakami Y, Notomi S, Hisatomi T, Nakazawa T, Ishibashi T, Miller JW, Vavvas DG. Photoreceptor cell death and rescue in retinal detachment and degenerations. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2013;33:114–40.

21. Jia Y, Tan O, Tokayer J, Potsaid B, Wang Y, Liu JJ, Kraus MF, Subhash H, Menke MN, Kowal JH, Dufour P, Wolf-Schnurrbusch UE, Ceklic L, Framme C, Yoshikawa Y, Shoji T, Kanno J, Ibuki H, Ozaki K, Ishii H, Ichikawa Y, Kimura I, Murakami Y, Notomi S, Hisatomi T, Nakazawa T, Ishibashi T, Miller JW, Vavvas DG. Photoreceptor cell death and rescue in retinal detachment and degenerations. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2013;33:114–40.

22. Goker YS, Yuksel K, Turan MF, Sonmez K, Tekin K, Yilmazbas P. Segmental analysis of macular layers in patients with Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment treated with Perfluoropropane or silicon oil. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging Retina. 2018;49(1):41–7.

23. Karimi S, Entezari M, Nikkhah H, Esfandiari H, Darvishpoor T, Tavakoli M, Safi S. Effects of Intravitreal Silicone Oil on Subfoveal Choroidal Thickness. Ophthalmologica. 2018;239(2–3).

24. Sato EA, Shindo K, Kimura I, Olhade Y, Inoue M. Microcirculation in eyes after rhegmatogenous retinal detachment surgery. Curr Eye Res. 2007;32(9):737–9.

25. Wang H, Xu X, Sun X, Ma Y, Sun T. Macular perfusion changes assessed with optical coherence tomography angiography after vitrectomy for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2019;257(4):733–40.

26. Menke MN, Kowal JH, Dufour P, Wolf-Schnurrbusch UE, Ceklic L, Framme C, Wolf S. Retinal layer measurements after successful macula-off retinal detachment repair using optical coherence tomography. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2014;55(10):6575–9.

27. Han KJ, Lee YH. Optical coherence tomography automated layer segmentation of macula after retinal detachment repair. PLoS One. 2018;13(5):e0197058.

28. Woo JM, Yoon YS, Woo JE, Min JK. Foveal avascular zone area changes analyzed using OCT angiography after successful Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment repair. Curr Eye Res. 2018;43(5):674–8.

29. Tsen CL, Sheu SJ, Chen SC, Wu TT. Imaging analysis with optical coherence tomography angiography after primary repair of macula-off rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2019;257(9):1847–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-019-04381-4.

30. Ya J, Tian O, Tokayer J, Potsaid B, Wang Y, Liu JJ, Kraus MF, Subhash H, Fujimoto JG, Homegger J, et al. Split-spectrum amplitude-decorrelation angiography with optical coherence tomography. Opt Express. 2012;20(4):4710–25.

31. Zhao Z, Wen W, Jiang C, Lu Y. Changes in macular vasculature after uncomplicated phacomemulsification surgery: optical coherence tomography angiography study. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2018;44(4):453–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrs.2018.02.014.

32. Zhou Y, Zhou M, Wang Y, Ben S, Gao M, Zhang S, Liu H, Sun X. Short-term changes in retinal vasculature and layer thickness after phacomemulsification surgery. Curr Eye Res. 2020;45(1):31–7. https://doi.org/10.1080/02713683.2019.1649703.

33. Akkoyun I, Yilmaz G. Choroidal thickness after scleral buckling surgery versus pars plana vitrectomy in macula-off rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Klinische Monatsblatt fur Augenheilkunde. 2014;231(10):1029–33.

34. Qian W, Chen S, Jing YY, Bing Y, Wang XY, Jonas JB, Wen BW. Vascular density in retina and Choroiocapillaris as measured by optical coherence tomography angiography. Am J Ophthalmol. 2016;168(5):95–109.

35. Al-Sheikh M, Falavarjani KG, Pfau M, Uji A, Le PP, Sadda SR. Quantitative features of the Choroiocapillaris in healthy individuals using swept-source optical coherence tomography angiography. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging Retina. 2017;48(6):623–31.

36. Fisher SK, Lewis GP, Müller cell and neuronal remodeling in retinal detachment and reattachment and their potential consequences for visual recovery: a review and reconsideration of recent data. Vis Res. 2003;43(8):887–97.

37. Nakashima H, Hangai M, Unoki N, Sakamoto A, Tsujikawa A, Kita M, Yoshimura N. Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography imaging of the detached macula in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Retina. 2009;29(2):322–42.

38. Wakabayashi T, Oshima Y, Fujimoto H, Murakami Y, Sakaguchi H, Kusaka S, Tano Y. Foveal microstructure and visual acuity after retinal detachment repair: imaging analysis by Fourier-domain optical coherence tomography. Ophthalmology. 2009;116(3):519–28.

39. Dooley I, Treacy M, O’Rourke M, Khalid I, Kilmartin D. Serial spectral domain ocular coherence tomography measurement of outer nuclear layer thickness in Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment repair. Curr Eye Res. 2015;40(10):1073–6.

40. Kim JH, Park DY, Ha HS, Kang SW. Topographic changes of retinal layers after resolution of acute retinal detachment. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012;53(11):7316–21.

41. Xie Z, Chen F, Wu X, Zhuang C, Zhu J, Wang J, Ji H, Wang Y, Hua X. Safety and efficacy of intravitreal injection of recombinant erythropoietin for protection of photoreceptor cells in a rat model of retinal detachment. Eye 2012;26(1):144.

42. Rani RK, Raman R, Bhende P, Sharma T. Visual loss may be due to silicone oil tamponade effect rather than silicone oil removal. Br J Ophthalmol. 2005;89(12):1667.

43. Azzolini C, Docchio F, Brancato R, Trabucchi G. Interactions between light and vitreous fluid substitutes. Arch Ophthalmol 1992, 110(10):1468.

44. Rejofo MF, Leong FL, Chung H, Ueno N, Nemiroff B, Tolentino Fl: Extraction of retinol and cholesterol by intraocular silicone oils. Ophthalmology 1988, 95(5):614–618.

45. Scheerlinck LM, Kuiper JJ, Liem AT, Schellekens PA, Van LR. Electrtye composition of retro-ocular fluid and silicone oil-related visual loss. Acta Ophthalmol. 2016;94(5):449–53.

46. Asaria RH, Kon CH, Bunce C, Sethi CS, Limb GA, Khaw PT, Aylward GW, Charteris DG. Silicone oil concentrates fibrogenic growth factors in the composition of retro-oil fluid. Br J Ophthalmol. 2004;88(11):1439–42.

47. Wang Q, Chen SY, Yan Y, Yang J, Zhou W, Jonas JB, Wei WB. Optical coherence tomography angiography in retinal vein occlusions. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2018;256(9):1615–22.

48. Yarmohammadi A, Zangwill LM, Manalastas PIC, Fuller NJ, Diniz-Filho A, Saunders LJ, Suh MH, Hasenstab K, Weinreb RN. Peripapillary and macular vessel density in patients with primary open-angle Glaucoma and unilateral visual field loss. Ophthalmology. 2018;125(4):578–87.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.