Modeling of urban heat island using adjusted static database
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Abstract — In this study, the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model was applied to examine the spatial and temporal formation of urban heat island (UHI) phenomenon in Szeged, Hungary. In order to achieve a more accurate representation of complex urban surface properties in WRF, a modified static database (consists of land use and urban canopy parameters) had been developed using satellite images and building information. In the new database, the number of urban grids increased by 76% related to the default case. The urban landscape in WRF has become more complex after employing two urban land use classes instead of only one. The modification of the default parameters of a single layer urban scheme (i.e., Single Layer Urban Canopy Model – SLUCM) revealed that urban fractions decreased in all urban categories, while street widths increased resulting in narrower urban canyons. For testing the impact of the modifications on near-surface temperature estimation, a four-day heatwave period was selected from 2015. The model outputs had been evaluated against the observations of the local urban climate monitoring system (UCMS). WRF with the modified parameters simulated most of the features of UHI reasonably well. In most cases, biases with the simulations of the adjusted static database tended to be significantly lower than with the default parameters. Additionally, we picked out a longer time period (i.e., the summer of 2015) when the extreme values of near-surface air temperature and maxima of UHI intensities were evaluated on the basis of an urban and a rural site of UCMS. It was concluded that the maxima and minima of observed near-surface air temperature were underestimated (overestimated) by about 1–3 °C at the urban (rural) site. The maxima of UHI intensities indicated cold biases on 86 of 91 days.
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1. Introduction

Because of rapid urbanization since the 1850s, more than the half of Earth’s population live in cities nowadays, and estimations (UN, 2014) states that this ratio will likely to increase to 70% by the 2050s. The growing amount of artificial land cover alters the surface-atmosphere interaction of heat, moist, and momentum (Oke, 1973). Urban areas can be characterized by the urban heat island (UHI) phenomenon, which is a heat surplus related to rural regions (Oke, 1982). UHI has been widely investigating recently, because climate change exacerbates this temperature anomaly (as a positive feedback) and also modifies urban bioclimatic conditions (Li and Bou-Zeid, 2013, IPCC, 2013). In addition to that, anthropogenic heat and pollutant release from industry, transportation, and residents gain energy consumption and medical expenditures (Crutzen, 2004, Kolokotroni et al., 2015). Monitoring of urban environment is mostly disposed by using in-situ measurements, satellite observations, and numerical meteorological models. Owing to a consecutive development of computer technology, application of numerical models comes with lower computational costs. The other obvious advantage of this method in contrast with in-situ measurements is that models can produce a nearly contiguous, high-resolution, three-dimensional meteorological field (Hidalgo et al., 2008). The quality and reliability of satellite products highly depend on atmospheric attenuation, which limits their application during the overpass time (Streutker, 2003). Contrarily, numerical simulations are not restricted by time and weather condition.

One of the major modeling challenges is to characterize complex urban surfaces (Mirzaei, 2015). It must be determined that whether a given land use grid (or a pixel on satellite images) is urban or non-urban, and if urban to which sub-category should be classified. In practice, the sub-categories consist of aerodynamic, thermodynamic, and geometric properties of the surface. The derivation of these parameters is troublesome, because the process requires a vast and accurate land surface information. In case of lack of such data, default values are used to give a “first guess” for the parameters, but this stipulation increases the uncertainty of simulations. It is also problematic that there is only a limited number of methods regarding the characterization of the complicated urban geometry.

A great effort was made by Stewart and Oke (2012) to address this issue with the Local Climate Zones (LCZs) scheme. LCZs aimed to define classes with a minimum extension of 200–400 m and analogous parameter-sets of geometric/surface cover (e.g., sky view factor, aspect ratio, building surface fraction, and terrain roughness class) and thermal/radiative/metabolic attributes (e.g., surface albedo and anthropogenic heat output). The categories were designated according to building type (from LCZ 1 to LCZ 10), land cover type (from LCZ A to LCZ G), and various land cover properties (from b to w). The World Urban Database and Portal Tool (WUDAPT) (Mills et al., 2015) project
has also been contributing to the global application and spread of urban climate modeling. Within this framework, a global, community land use database had been created utilizing the LCZ classification. Depending on complexity, the database of each city was separated into three levels. The lowest level (Level 0) includes LCZ maps with only a few parameters, while the others contain a more precise and detailed description of the measures. Recently (in April of 2018), the WUDAPT data is available to view for 21 European cities.

The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) numerical model has been employed in a wide range of applications, such as the simulation of UHI in specific cities (e.g., Sarkar and De Ridder, 2011, Salamanca et al., 2012, Giannaros et al., 2013), heatwave events (e.g., Li and Bou-Zeid, 2013, Wang et al., 2013, Chen et al., 2014), heavy rainfalls (e.g., Miao et al., 2011, Zhong and Yang, 2015), the impact of land use change on local climate (e.g., Pathirana et al., 2014, Brousse et al., 2016). Miao et al. (2009) investigated the behavior of urban heat island and boundary layer in Beijing. The results suggested that WRF coupled with the Single Layer Urban Canopy Model (SLUCM) scheme simulated reasonably well the spatial distribution and temporal variability of temperature field. Moreover, the model system captured the magnitude and direction of mountain-valley wind as well. Bhati and Mohat (2015) analyzed the impact of different land use categories on land surface temperature and near-surface air temperature in Delhi. The simulations were performed with (WRF-SLUCM) and without (WRF) the application of canopy parameterization scheme. By switching the SLUCM scheme on, RMSE of the land surface temperature decreased in urban grids (in non-urban grids) from 1.63 °C to 1.13 °C (from 2.89 °C to 2.75 °C). The hit rate of mean UHI enhanced by coupling WRF with SLUCM from 72% to 75% in urban grids, from 65% to 73% in coastal grids, and from 52% to 71% in vegetation grids.

In Szeged, urban climate investigations have been typically based on in-situ (e.g., Unger et al., 2015) and mobile (e.g., Unger et al., 2001) measurements, but WRF was not used up to now. The study of Gál et al. (2016) must be pointed out, who evaluated a one-year long observation of the local urban climate monitoring system established in the spring of 2014. On average, UHI released around sunset and decreased to 0 °C after a few hours after sunrise. The maximum values of UHI arose generally three hours after sunset. The highest intensities culminated in LCZ 2, LCZ 3, and LCZ 5 in summer, with around 3.5 °C. The springtime means were just a little below 3 °C. On the other hand, the wintertime mean intensities remained only around 2 °C.

The specific aims of this study is i) to modify the default land use database and urban canopy parameter to allow a better representation for the surface geometry of Szeged, ii) to compare the spatial and temporal behavior of UHI with the default and adjusted dataset under anticyclonic weather condition, and iii) to evaluate the model performance during a longer time period using the observations of our local urban climate monitoring system.
2. Material and methods

2.1. Study area and urban climate monitoring system

Szeged (46.26° N; 20.15° E) lies in the middle of the Hungarian Great Plain, at an elevation of 78–82 m AMSL, between sandy (to the west) and loamy (to the east) soil covered flat terrains. The Tisza River crosses the city, and several small-sized lakes are located to the northwest (Fig. 1), that may influence the local climate through evaporation cooling.

According to the Köppen-Geiger’s classification, Szeged is on the border of Cfb (marine temperate) and Dfb (humid continental) climates (Kottek et al., 2006), with an annual mean temperature of 10–12 °C and total precipitation of 500–600 mm. The dominance of high-pressure synoptic situation and local hot, dry wind blowing from the south in summer results in a high amount of annual sunshine duration (around 2000 hours).

With the population of 162,000, Szeged is the third largest city in Hungary. Szeged has a concentric structure with radial avenues and several boulevards. The inner city accommodates offices, administrative and educational buildings, apartment houses. Towards outer parts, blocks of flats (mostly in the northwestern part), family and gardening houses are found. At the outermost areas of Szeged, industrials, logistics, railway and highway junctions are located.

Fig. 1. Study area with the corresponding LCZs and urban climate monitoring sites.
An urban climate monitoring system (UCMS) has been established in the spring of 2014 within the framework of an EU project (URBAN-PATH Project, 2014, Lelovics et al., 2014). UCMS consists of 22 urban and two rural stations (Fig. 1.) and measures near-surface air temperature (with 0.2 °C accuracy between 10 °C and 60 °C) and humidity (with 1.8% accuracy between 10% and 90%) at the height of 4 m (Unger et al., 2015). Since urban canopy layer is well-mixed close to the surface, temperature measurements at this height are representative for lower layers as well (Nakamura and Oke, 1988). Until April of 2018, almost a four-year-long observation data is accessible for the evaluation of urban climate simulations, the model system, and further parameter fine-tuning purposes.

2.2. Modification of the land use database and specification of the SLUCM parameters

For accounting urban environment in numerical models, several urban canopy schemes have been created (Masson, 2000, Kusaka et al., 2001, Kusaka and Kimura, 2004, Martilli et al., 2002, Best et al., 2006, Oleson et al., 2008, Salamanca et al., 2010, Salamanca and Martilli, 2010). Here, we focused on the Single Layer Urban Canopy Model (SLUCM) of Kusaka et al. (2001), because other schemes (e.g., Building Energy Model (BEM) of Salamanca and Martilli (2010) require more complex parameters of anthropogenic activity in buildings (e.g., peak heat and heating profile generated by indoor equipment, etc.). SLUCM describes a ‘default’ city with a series of infinitely-long urban canyons where the effects of shadowing, reflection, and building orientation on short- and long-wave radiation are considered. Prognostic variables are skin temperature of wall, road and canopy, wind speed and direction at canopy level.

In order to achieve the best performance of a numerical weather prediction model, high-quality meteorological input, precise surface information (land use or soil data), physically consistent parameterization is needed. For representing the land use/land cover (LULC) of a given area, WRF offers the USGS (US Geological Survey) database (Homer et al., 2004) with a horizontal resolution of 30 m as an option. Over Europe, the CORINE (Coordination of Information on the Environment) data (Bossard et al., 2000) provides an alternate source of input static data with a horizontal resolution of 25 m. For the total study area, both databases largely underestimate the complexity of urban geometry, with only one urban category (Fig. 2). Therefore, we carried out a new LULC classification, based on the spectral features of urban grids, using eight Landsat 8 images. For non-urban grids, CORINE data was considered.
Fig. 2. USGS (a), CORINE (b), and modified (c) land use database at a model grid spacing of 2 km.

The Landsat 8 images (with a cloud fraction less than 3% for the entire scene) were merged into one false color composite to execute a maximum likelihood classification (Fig. 3). During the supervised classification, such small areas/clusters (region of interests; ROIs) were assigned (Fig. 3), that includes information about the size, location, and statistics of a given ROI representing LULC classes (i.e., commercial/industrial/transportation (CIT), low-intensity (LIR), and high-intensity residential (HIR)) in WRF.

Fig. 3. Methods utilized along the modification of land use (left panel) and SLUCM (right panel) databases.
After the classification, it is concluded that 19% of the total urban (313) grids were categorized to LIR, 4% to HIR, and 0% to CIT, at a horizontal resolution of 2 km (Table 1 and Fig. 2).

| LULC category                  | Number of grids [default LULC] | Number of grids [modified LULC] |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Urban built-up and land        | 178                            | 240                             |
| Low-intensity residential      | 0                              | 59                              |
| High-intensity residential     | 0                              | 14                              |
| Commercial/Industrial/Transportation | 0                              | 0                               |

WRF-SLUCM parameters (Kusaka et al., 2001; Kusaka and Kimura, 2004) tend to describe thermodynamic (e.g., wall, road, and roof albedo, heat capacities, and heat conductivities) and geometric (e.g., wall, road, and roof widths and heights, urban fraction) properties of an idealized urban canyon. Since default SLUCM values are not always suitable for any type of urban area, it is worth to modify these parameters if adequate surface information is available. In order to give a better representation of the surface elements in Szeged, we calculated some of the SLUCM parameters utilizing RapidEye satellite images and three-dimensional building database (containing building and roof height, building area and volume). First, the NDVI (normalized difference vegetation index) distribution of the satellite images was computed to separate water (NDVI < 0.0), built-up (0.0 ≤ NDVI ≤ 0.2), and vegetated (NDVI > 0.2) surfaces (Sobrino et al., 2004). In the following step, the building and NDVI databases were spatially joined to the three urban categories (Fig. 3). Assuming square-shaped urban canyons (on top-view), the road ($R_x$) and roof widths ($r_x$) was predicted as follows:

$$r_x = \frac{T_{bx}}{M_x},$$

$$R_x = \frac{1}{N_x} \frac{T_{ox}}{M_x},$$

where $T_{bx}$ is the total building area, $T_{ox}$ is the total open area (difference of total area and total building area), $M_x$ is the mean building height, and $N_x$ is the number
of buildings (x refers to the corresponding urban LULC class). Aggregating and averaging the given parameters by urban categories, four new canopy parameters were achieved per LIR/HIR/CIT (Table 2).

| LULC category/canopy parameter | Low-intensity residential | High-intensity residential | Commercial/Industrial/Transportation |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Building height [m]            | 6 (5)                     | 6 (7.5)                    | 6 (10)                              |
| Roof width [m]                 | 5.5 (8.3)                 | 5.5 (9.4)                  | 6.2 (10)                            |
| Road width [m]                 | 5.5 (8.3)                 | 5.5 (9.4)                  | 6.2 (10)                            |
| Urban fraction [-]             | 0.4 (0.5)                 | 0.6 (0.9)                  | 0.7 (0.95)                          |

It was concluded that building height decreased (increased) to 6 m in HIR and CIT (LIR). Lower road and roof width (and building height) in each class resulted in a narrower urban canyon compared to the default case (in LIR the urban canyon is higher but also narrower), which may limit the shadowing effect (more energy on the surface) and enhance the reflection (trapping) of daytime incoming shortwave radiation and nocturnal outgoing long-wave radiation in the urban canyon. Consequently, simulated near-surface air temperatures may be higher in the daytime and lower in the nighttime as a result of the modification of canopy parameters. Urban fraction also decreased with an order between 10% and 30% (Table 2). Elevated evapotranspiration by lower urban fraction (and higher vegetation fraction) may counteract the potential warming during the daytime and strengthen the nocturnal cooling in the simulations. The rest of the default parameters have not been changed, because they fit to the local conditions or more suitable information were not obtained for further modifications.

3. Model setup

For testing the influence of the aforementioned modifications on near-surface air temperature ($T_a$) prediction over Szeged, we performed two types of model experiment with common grid and physical configurations but different static databases. Hereafter, simulations with the default (updated) land use and canopy parameters will be referred to as WRF-DEF (WRF-MOD) (Table 3).

WRF version 3.8. (Skamarock et al., 2008) had been used for each modeling purpose. Initial and boundary conditions were generated from the 0.25°×0.25° resolution outputs of the Global Forecasting System (GFS) model at a three-hour interval. Parent domain (d01) employed a 10-km grid spacing, hosting a telescopic nest at 1:5 grid ratio resulting in a child domain (d02) with a final horizontal resolution of 2 km, with 96×96 grids centered over Szeged. 44 vertical sigma
levels were applied between the surface and 20 hPa. Physical parameterizations were chosen according to the applied urban scheme and above domain setup: WSM 5-class scheme for microphysics \cite{Hong2004}, RRTMG scheme for long- and shortwave radiation \cite{Iacono2008}, MM5 Similarity scheme for surface layer \cite{Jimenez2012}, Unified Noah LSM \cite{Tewari2004}, BouLac scheme for boundary layer \cite{Bougeault1989}, and Kain–Fritsch scheme for cumulus convection \cite{Kain2004}, applied only for the parent domain.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Model configuration and physical schemes applied to the modeling experiments}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline
\textbf{WRF-DEF} & \textbf{Configurations} & \textbf{WRF-MOD} \\
\hline
\textit{General information} & & & \\
\textbf{WRF v3.8} & Model version & \textbf{WRF v3.8} & \\
\textbf{24 h and 48 h} & Spin-up and simulation time & \textbf{24 h and 48 h} & \\
\textbf{GFS 0.25°×0.25° with 3-h interval} & Initial and boundary condition & \textbf{GFS 0.25°×0.25° with 3-h interval} & \\
\hline
\textit{Grid information} & & & \\
\textbf{2 km} & Grid spacing of finest domain & \textbf{2 km} & \\
\textbf{2 and 1:5} & Number of domains and grid ratio & \textbf{2 and 1:5} & \\
\textbf{44 (sigma)} & Number of vertical levels & \textbf{44 (sigma)} & \\
\hline
\textit{Physical parameterization} & & & \\
Noah LSM & Land surface model & Noah LSM & \\
Revised MM5 & Surface layer & Revised MM5 & \\
BouLac & Boundary layer & BouLac & \\
RRTMG & Short- and long-wave radiation & RRTMG & \\
WSM5 & Microphysics & WSM5 & \\
Kain-Fritsch & Cumulus convection & Kain-Fritsch & \\
\hline
\textit{Static parameters} & & & \\
SLUCM & Urban physics & SLUCM & \\
Default & Urban table & Modified & \\
FAO & Soil & DKSIS & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

For representing soil texture in WRF-DEF, we employed the 16-category FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization) global database. To give a better characterization for the soil distribution in WRF-MOD, FAO was replaced to the DKSIS (Digital Kreybig Soil Information System) database \cite{Pasztor2012} for those grids that located within the administrative border of Hungary (Fig 4).
The integration of each day was initialized at 00 UTC and lasted for 48 hours. The first 24 hours were dropped as spin-up, only the second half of the results (from 00 UTC to the next day's midnight) were considered and compared to the measurements under the verification process. The time-series of $T_a$ at urban locations during their cooling stages showed a high-frequency noise of up to 3 °C amplitude. One-minute moving averages, however, induced pretty much reliable and low-biased results compared to the observations. For the reasons above, we performed a one-minute rolling average to the time-series of $T_a$.

The model output had been analyzed through the UHI intensity parameter, which was defined as follows:

$$\Delta T_{OBS} = T_{OBS x} - T_{OBS D-1},$$

$$\Delta T_{WRF} = T_{WRF x} - T_{WRF D-1},$$

where $\Delta T_{OBS}$ is the observed UHI intensity, $T_{OBS x}$ is the observed $T_a$ at a given monitoring site, $T_{OBS D-1}$ is the observed $T_a$ at the D-1 reference rural station, $\Delta T_{WRF}$ is the modelled UHI intensity, $T_{WRF x}$ is the modeled $T_a$ in the grid that located closest to the interested monitoring site, and $T_{WRF D-1}$ is the modeled $T_a$ in the grid that located closest to the D-1 reference rural station. For the evaluation, we selected time periods when urban effects were observed to be very well pronounced ($\Delta T_{OBS MAX} > 4.5$ °C). Based upon the above criterion, four consecutive days between August 11 and August 14, 2015 was selected for the evaluation process of the two static datasets.

Once the standard model setup (WRF-MOD) was established, a series of background model integration was carried out for the whole period of the summer of 2015. On 48 days, the daily means of $T_a$ ranged between 25–30 °C, or even above 30 °C on nine days in July and early August. Maxima were over 35 °C in 18 days in July and August. These heatwaves were characterized by high evening $T_a$ and large
differences between urban and rural $T_a$. On the other hand, on 18 days daily means were under 20 °C. Urban effect was completely missing or very weak during these periods. Otherwise, $\Delta T_{OBS}$ were in most cases (on 56 nights) over 5 °C.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Evaluation of the default and adjusted static dataset

Both static databases had been verified against $\Delta T_{OBS}$ of UCMS during the 4-day heatwave period. Fig. 5 represents the spatial distribution of $\Delta T_{WRF}$ on each day at 03 UTC and 23 UTC, when this variable, on average, was observed to be the most intense. It is concluded that $\Delta T_{MAX}$ with WRF-DEF was simulated to be 1–2 °C at 03 UTC and 2–3 °C at 23 UTC. Spatially, $\Delta T_{WRF}$ correlated well with the distribution of USGS LULC data, and so it was limited to a small part of the city, with highest magnitudes over the northeast.

![Fig. 5. Spatial distributions of $\Delta T_{WRF}$ with WRF-DEF (A) and WRF-MOD (B) over Szeged between August 11 and 14, 2015 at 03 UTC (left side) and 23 UTC (right side). The black dots indicate the location of the monitoring sites D-1 (rural) and 2–1 (urban).](image-url)
$\Delta T_{WRF}$ with WRF-MOD extended towards the outer areas, with peaks ranged from 2.5 °C to 4.5 °C. The tendency in $\Delta T_{WRF,\text{MAX}}$ between the two hours was not such straightforward in this case as previously: on the last two days, $\Delta T_{WRF}$ was generally stronger at 03 UTC than at 23 UTC, by around 0.5 °C. $\Delta T_{WRF,\text{MAX}}$ was culminated along a southwest-northeast axis being reflected to the location of HIR classes (building fraction is 0.6). A more significant spatial variability of $\Delta T_{WRF}$, induced by the updated database, stemmed from the distinction of two urban categories (and two sets of canopy parameters) instead of using only the 'urban built-up and land' class to typify the sophisticated urban geometry.

Another remarkable peak (around 3 °C) was predicted over the south-eastern periphery of Szeged, particularly around 03 UTC. This obvious overestimation of $\Delta T_{OBS}$ was the consequence of the horizontal resolution applied during the integration. Family houses and blocks of flats exist nearby, thus this area was classified to LIR. Those transition areas that lying near to the border of a given city are always difficult to threat on urban climate modeling, because they are characterized by urban and rural land cover features as well. Enhancing the horizontal resolution and introducing further LULC classes (e.g., LCZs) can be a potential way to avoid such inaccuracies.

The mean diurnal variation of $\Delta T_{OBS}$ and $\Delta T_{WRF}$ averaged according to LULC classes was illustrated in Fig. 6. The highest $\Delta T_{OBS,\text{MAX}}$ occurred in HIR on August 12 (around 5.5 °C), while the lowest was in LIR on August 14 (around 4 °C). Overall, it was confirmed that the model, with WRF-MOD in particular, had the ability to estimate the daily fluctuation of $\Delta T_{OBS}$ and to distinguish the evolution of $\Delta T_{OBS}$ in different LULC. Regardless of the day of the simulation period, $\Delta T_{OBS}$ was approximated with lower accuracy in LIR, especially with WRF-DEF. On the other hand, biases with both parameter-sets decreased in HIR.

In the nighttime, $\Delta T_{OBS}$ was mostly underestimated by the simulations of all datasets. With WRF-DEF, $\Delta T_{WRF}$ remained around a constant value of 0.5–1 °C in LIR and showed only a moderate variability during the entire day. In HIR, the underestimation of $\Delta T_{OBS}$ with WRF-DEF clearly decreased, especially in a couple of hours after sunset. The introduction of the new dataset decreased the uncertainties of nocturnal $\Delta T_{WRF}$ with an order of 1–1.5 °C in LIR and 0.5 °C in LIR. In both urban categories, the underestimations simulated by WRF-MOD was shifted by an overestimation of 0.5–1 °C around sunset. The transition of nighttime to daytime $\Delta T_{OBS}$ around sunrise (04 UTC) was captured properly in LIR and HIR as well. However, a slight time lag and faster balance of urban and rural $T_a$ (i.e., faster decrease of $\Delta T_{WRF}$ to 0 °C) existed.

The lower $\Delta T_{WRF}$ in the nighttime could be the result of higher $T_{WRF}$ in the rural reference grid or lower $T_{WRF}$ in urban grids. In the next subsection it will be seen, that WRF generally underestimated $T_{OBS}$ over the urban area, thus $\Delta T$ was not being intensified by the simulation as by the observations. The substantial overestimations of $\Delta T_{OBS}$ in WRF-MOD after sunset might be the consequence of an excessive energy income by the release of the formerly stored heat from artificial materials.
In the daytime, $\Delta T_{WRF}$ with each of the two databases tended to be around 0 °C being in line with $\Delta T_{OBS}$ of -0.5–0 °C. Therefore, no significant difference was given between WRF-DEF and WRF-MOD in neither LULC class at that time of the days. Otherwise, the magnitude of $\Delta T_{WRF}$ was almost independent on the selection of the static parameters during the day.

**Fig. 6.** Mean diurnal variations of $\Delta T_{OBS}$ and $\Delta T_{WRF}$ with WRF-DEF and WRF-MOD in LIR (diagrams on the left) and HIR (diagrams on the right) LULC categories.
To analyze the accuracy of $\Delta T_{WRF}$ with the different datasets on a statistic approach, the model outputs were compared with the observations through the daily means of bias, absolute error (AE), root mean squared error (RMSE), index of agreement (IOA), and spatial correlation coefficient (SCC) measures (Table 4). Additionally, with the independent two-sample t-test, we investigated whether or not WRF-MOD was significantly enhanced the quality of the simulations.

As it was pointed out earlier, a robust underestimation of $\Delta T_{OBS}$ occurred in each urban category. The biases ($\Delta T_{WRF}$-$\Delta T_{OBS}$) ranged from $-1.60$ °C to $-0.26$ °C. The outputs of WRF decreased the corresponding errors, with around $0.8$ °C in LIR and $0.4$ °C in HIR. AEs of $\Delta T_{WRF}$ with WRF-MOD stayed below $1.18$ °C, with the best performance on August 14 (around $0.75$ °C). Even though WRF with each dataset performed similarly over the four days, some uncertainties still emerged on August 12 because of the less accurate initial field of GFS. In the future, it would be important to determine a threshold below which the erroneous data should be filtered out. Emery et al. (2001) employed a desired model accuracy of $0.8$–$1.0$ for IOA. While WRF-DEF did not manage to exceed that limit, WRF-MOD, except on August 12 and 13 in LIR, fulfilled the expectations.

We performed the independent two-sample t-test at a confidence level of 0.95 and 0.99. The results suggested that $\Delta T_{WRF}$ with WRF-MOD was estimated significantly better either in LIR or in HIR LULC categories, at a confidence of 95%. By increasing the confidence to 99%, the significance still persisted in LIR but not in HIR. Indeed, in HIR only a mean difference of $0.4$ °C in $\Delta T_{WRF}$ existed between the two datasets. In other words, the selection of the default static data does not generate larger uncertainties in a little part of the city (four HIR grids at 2 km horizontal resolution) under such conditions, although in the vast majority of the grids, the introduction of new parameters can contribute to a more efficient simulation of $\Delta T$.

| Statistics/Date | August 11, 2015 | August 12, 2015 | August 13, 2015 | August 14, 2015 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **LIR** | | | | |
| Bias [°C] | -1.55 | -0.65 | -1.60 | -0.88 | -1.50 | -0.73 | -1.15 | -0.26 |
| AE [°C] | 1.70 | 1.07 | 1.85 | 1.18 | 1.77 | 1.06 | 1.40 | 0.80 |
| RMSE [°C] | 2.34 | 1.40 | 2.55 | 1.66 | 2.42 | 1.43 | 2.01 | 1.08 |
| IOA | 0.55 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 0.73 | 0.51 | 0.71 | 0.52 | 0.86 |
| SCC | 0.57 | 0.77 | 0.35 | 0.80 | 0.48 | 0.85 | 0.52 | 0.83 |
| **HIR** | | | | |
| Bias [°C] | -1.07 | -0.52 | -1.18 | -0.83 | -1.08 | -0.71 | -0.90 | -0.40 |
| AE [°C] | 1.22 | 1.00 | 1.38 | 1.03 | 1.33 | 0.96 | 1.05 | 0.76 |
| RMSE [°C] | 1.68 | 1.22 | 1.86 | 1.26 | 1.82 | 1.31 | 1.56 | 1.04 |
| IOA | 0.72 | 0.88 | 0.68 | 0.88 | 0.65 | 0.86 | 0.71 | 0.91 |
| SCC | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 0.86 | 0.87 |
4.2. *Analysis of the model performance with WRF-MOD*

Through the detailed analysis of the measured data at all urban and rural locations (not shown here), it was found that the typical time variation of $T_a$ at the 2–1 station represents the urban thermal conditions properly: compared to other time-series, this site was among the warmest ones at nights. Additionally, the station D-1 was still considered as rural station during the analysis. In this sub-section, we examined $\Delta T_{OBS}$ and $\Delta T_{WRF}$ based solely on $T_{OBS}$ and $T_{WRF}$ at these two stations. The simulations were executed with the WRF-MOD configuration under a longer, 91-day period from June 1 to August 31, 2015. In order to validate the model results, we compared the mean and extreme values of $T_{OBS\ 2-1}$, $T_{OBS\ D-1}$, and $\Delta T_{OBS}$ to the corresponding $T_{WRF\ 2-1}$, $T_{WRF\ D-1}$, and $\Delta T_{WRF}$.

It can be seen in Fig 7 that the daily averages of $T_{OBS}$, on average, were underestimated (overestimated) at the urban (rural) site by about 1.5 °C. Same can be stated for the $T_{OBS\ MAX}$ with slightly higher biases (around 2 °C). For $T_{OBS\ MIN}$, a negative bias evolved at station 2–1 and a slight positive bias at station D-1. It must be noted that the underestimation of nocturnal $T_{OBS}$ over urban grids were also reported, for example, in the study of Bhati and Mohan (2015) and Kim et al. (2013).

The daily $\Delta T_{OBS\ MAX}$ was systematically underestimated on most of the days. $\Delta T_{WRF\ MAX}$ was higher than $\Delta T_{OBS\ MAX}$ only in five cases. Negative biases in $\Delta T_{WRF}$ were even higher during the nights with a minimum of $T_{OBS\ 2-1} > 20$ °C (warm nights in climate definition). It must be emphasized again that even if the magnitude of the $\Delta T_{WRF\ MAX}$ had a systematic negative bias compared to the observations, the temporal variation was captured reasonably well.

If we concentrate on those days when both $\Delta T_{OBS\ MAX}$ and $\Delta T_{WRF\ MAX}$ (i.e., for example, around August 20) are low (i.e., less than 2.5 °C), it can be discovered that the minima of $T_{2-1}$ and $T_{D-1}$ was modeled with lower biases. On the other hand, large underestimations occurred in $T_{OBS\ MAX}$ at each station. At this time of the simulation period, a cut-off low pressure system dominated the Carpathian Basin causing a high degree of instability. This synoptic situation favored for daytime convective precipitation, which was not forecasted adequately by the model. Therefore, we intend to perform a data assimilation using the UCMS measurements and local radio sounding data of the Hungarian Meteorological Service. This time-consuming technique has been proven to be an efficient tool to predict most of the urban climate indicators (Liu et al., 2006 Tan et al., 2015).
Fig. 7. Maxima, means, and minima of $\Delta T_{\text{OBS}}$ and $\Delta T_{\text{WRF}}$ at a representative urban (station 2-1) and rural (station D-1) site of UCMS during the summer of 2015.

5. Conclusions and further plans

Using detailed city-specific built-up information and remote sensing data of Landsat 8 and RapidEye satellites, an adjusted static database (in terms of land use and urban canopy parameters; UCPs) had been created in order to better represent the physical characteristics of the urban forms in Szeged related to the default WRF dataset (WRF-DEF). In contrast with WRF-DEF, the modified land use dataset (WRF-MOD) consists of 76% more urban grids in the study area and employs two urban classes instead of only one at a model horizontal resolution of 2 km. After the calculation of canopy parameters, it was revealed that the urban canyons have become narrower, i.e., the parameters of building height, roof, and road width decreased related to WRF-DEF. Additionally, the building fraction also decreased from 90% (50%) to 60% (40%) in HIR (LIR). In turn, the vegetation fraction increased in both categories.

Following a predefined criterion ($\Delta T_{\text{OBS \, MAX}} > 4.5 \, ^\circ\text{C}$), four days were selected to evaluate WRF with the above databases in simulating $T_a$. The model with WRF-MOD was able to capture the spatiotemporal variation of $\Delta T_{\text{OBS}}$ properly. On the other hand, the simulations with WRF-DEF contained large uncertainties. $\Delta T_{\text{WRF}}$ with WRF-DEF restricted only to the downtown of Szeged, with maxima of around 2–3 $^\circ\text{C}$. In WRF-MOD, $\Delta T_{\text{WRF}}$ covered a much wider area of Szeged, with maxima (of around 4–4.5 $^\circ\text{C}$) along a southwest-northeast axis located over the HIR LULC category. Some overestimations occurred near to the borders of the city, where a gradual transition of urban to rural surface is typical, that is really difficult to handle at such model resolution.
According to the observations of the local urban climate monitoring system, the highest $\Delta T_{\text{OBS}}$ formed in HIR in a couple hours after sunset (18 UTC), with values of up to 5–5.5 °C. The nocturnal $\Delta T_{\text{OBS}}$ was systematically underestimated, particularly in LIR. The biases decreased in HIR with the simulations of both static datasets. With WRF-MOD, slight overestimations occurred around sunset caused by the inaccurate timing of the nighttime heat release from artificial surface elements. $\Delta T_{\text{WRF}}$ with WRF-DEF remained almost identical (0.5–1 °C) in LIR during the entire day. In the daytime, only a weak (0.5 °C) or even a negative (cool) (heat) island formed. Then $\Delta T_{\text{WRF}}$ with either WRF-DEF or WRF-MOD was in line with the measurements in LIR and HIR as well.

Statistic scores of AE less than 1.18 °C with WRF-MOD indicated a good overall performance on $\Delta T_{\text{OBS}}$ estimation. Moreover, the desired model accuracy of $\Delta T$ (IOA between 0.8 and 1.0) was mostly overachieved with $\Delta T_{\text{WRF}}$ of WRF-MOD, except on August 12 and 13, in LIR. On the other hand, IOAs of WRF-DEF stayed always below 0.8. An independent two-sample t-test at a confidence level of 0.95 and 0.99 was performed to decide how much the introduction of the modified static database contributed to a better estimation of $\Delta T_{\text{OBS}}$ during the 4-day heatwave event. It was concluded that $\Delta T$ with WRF-MOD was modeled significantly better (at both 95% and 99% confidence) in LIR than with WRF-DEF. In HIR, however, the significance was only valid at 95% confidence.

We analyzed the extreme values of $T_{\text{OBS}}$, $T_{\text{WRF}}$, $\Delta T_{\text{OBS MAX}}$, and $\Delta T_{\text{WRF MAX}}$ based upon the observations and simulations (solely with WRF-MOD) of an urban (station 2–1) and rural (station D-1) site during the whole summer of 2015. $T_{\text{OBS MAX}}$ was underestimated (overestimated) by about 2 °C at the urban (rural) site. Similar tendencies were drawn out for $T_{\text{OBS MIN}}$ at both sites, with lower warm biases (around 1.5 °C), however, at station D-1. During this longer simulation period, $\Delta T_{\text{OBS MAX}}$ was still underestimated (86 of 91 nights). If we considered those days when $T_{\text{OBS 2-1}} > 20$ °C, the biases of $\Delta T_{\text{OBS MAX}}$ increased further on. Nonetheless, as we have seen earlier, the biases were generally within the acceptance limit, which implied an adequate quality of the simulations with WRF-MOD.

In order to improve our results and take the three-dimensionality of urban canopy into consideration even more accurately, we intend to test other urban parameterizations of WRF (i.e., BEM and BEP), with different parametrization schemes (for example, changing radiation, PBL, or LSM schemes) and domain setups (e.g., higher resolution). Inclusion of anthropogenic heat in the calculation and definition of further urban categories based on the LCZs may also contribute to better simulations. Furthermore, based on the high amount of measurements yielded by the unique urban temperature measurement network, we plan to assimilate the measured temperature data using three-dimensional variational technique.
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