Orbital-selective coherence-incoherence crossover and metal-insulator transition in Cu-doped NaFeAs
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We study the effects of electron-electron interactions and hole doping on the electronic structure of Cu-doped NaFeAs using the density functional theory plus dynamical mean-field theory (DFT+DMFT) method. In particular, we employ an effective multi-orbital Hubbard model with a realistic bandstructure of NaFeAs in which Cu-doping was modeled within a rigid band approximation and compute the evolution of the spectral properties, orbital-dependent electronic mass renormalizations, and magnetic properties of NaFeAs upon doping with Cu. In addition, we perform fully charge self-consistent DFT+DMFT calculations for the long-range antiferromagnetically ordered Na(Fe,Cu)As with Cu $x = 0.5$ with a real-space ordering of Fe and Cu ions. Our results reveal a crucial importance of strong electron-electron correlations and local potential difference between the Cu and Fe ions for understanding the k-resolved spectra of Na(Fe,Cu)As. Upon Cu-doping, we observe a strong orbital-dependent localization of the Fe 3d states accompanied by a large renormalization of the Fe $xy$ and $xz/yz$ orbitals. Na(Fe,Cu)As exhibits bad metal behavior associated with a coherence-to-incoherence crossover of the Fe 3d electronic states and local moments formation near a Mott metal-insulator transition (MIT). For heavily doped NaFeAs with Cu $x \sim 0.5$ we obtain a Mott insulator with a band gap of $\sim$0.3 eV characterized by divergence of the quasiparticle effective mass of the Fe $xy$ states. In contrast to this, the quasiparticle weights of the Fe $xz/yz$ and $e_g$ states remain finite at the MIT. The MIT occurs via an orbital-selective Mott phase to appear at Cu $x \simeq 0.375$ with the Fe $xy$ states being Mott localized. We propose the possible importance of Fe/Cu disorder to explain the magnetic properties of Cu-doped NaFeAs.

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of unconventional superconductivity in high-$T_c$ cuprates and in Fe-based pnictides and chalcogenides (Fe-based superconductors, FeSCs) has received enormous attention over the past several decades [1, 2]. The high-$T_c$ cuprates and FeSCs show many similarities, e.g., in the vast class of FeSCs and cuprates superconductivity appears as a result of the suppression of a long-range, antiferromagnetic (AFM) or nematic phase [1–3]. It has been proposed that antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations play a decisive role in the mechanism of the $s^\pm$ (in FeSCs) and $d$-wave (in cuprates) high-$T_c$ superconductivity [1, 4]. In addition, both FeSCs and cuprates reveal the crucial importance of strong correlations which favor to electronic localization and severe (orbital-selective) quasiparticle mass renormalizations [8–14].

At the same time, the electronic properties of the parent phases of FeSCs and cuprate superconductors are significantly different. In fact, the parent phase of cuprates is a Mott (or charge transfer) insulator with localized magnetic moments [1, 13]. Its doping leads to a Mott insulator-metal transition which is followed by the emergence of high-$T_c$ superconductivity. In contrast to that FeSCs are bad metals in their parent phase [2, 8, 10–13]. This suggests an intermediate range of correlation strength in FeSCs and seems to point out itinerant nature of their magnetic moments. The latter has been attributed to the multiorbital character of the electronic structure of FeSCs, in stark contrast to the one-band behavior of cuprates. Although bad-metal behavior and large band renormalizations in FeSCs can in principle be explained by the proximity to an orbital-selective Mott phase, no correlated insulating state has been reported in FeSCs despite significant research efforts [10, 12, 13, 16]. For quite a long time it was unclear whether or not a correlated (Mott or charge transfer) insulator can be realized in the phase diagram of FeSCs.

In order to address this question Song et al. conducted a detailed experimental study of the iron pnictide NaFeAs doped with Cu [17]. It was established that the heavily-doped Na(Fe$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$)As with $x \sim 0.5$ exhibits a real space ordering of Fe and Cu ions and makes a phase transition in a Mott insulating state. Na(Fe$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$)As with $x \sim 0.5$ exhibits insulating behavior in the dc resistivity up to room temperature with an activation energy of $\sim$100 meV [17, 18]. Below the Néel temperature $T_N \simeq 200$ K the insulating phase concurs with a long-range AFM $(1, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ ordering. The insulating behavior was found to persist well above $T_N$, implying that Na(Fe,Cu)As with Cu $x \simeq 0.5$ is a Mott insulator, in accordance with scanning tunneling microscopy measurements near $x = 0.3$ [20]. Moreover, consistent with a more local origin of magnetism in Na(Fe,Cu)As with $x \simeq 0.5$, the ordered magnetic moment of Fe ions in AFM NaFe$_{0.5}$Cu$_{0.5}$As $\sim 1.1$ $\mu_B$ is sufficiently higher than that in the spin-density wave AFM phase of NaFeAs, $\sim 0.89$–$0.32$ $\mu_B$ [21, 22]. It is also interesting to note that the Néel temperature in NaFeAs (Cu $x = 0$) is relatively small, $T_N \sim 40$ K [23, 24]. In fact, it is significantly
smaller than that in the heavily Cu-doped NaFeAs, implying the rise of magnetic correlations in NaFeAs upon Cu doping.

Most notably, upon decreasing \( x \) from 0.5, the value of the ordered magnetic moment was found to gradually decrease until bulk superconductivity emerges below \( x \sim 0.05 \), with a critical temperature \( T_c \approx 11\, \text{K} \) [12, 22]. In this respect Na(Fe\(_{1-x}\)Cu\(_x\))As is a unique system among FeSCs in which superconductivity seems to be "smoothly" connected to a Mott-insulating state, implying the importance of electron correlations for sustaining of the high-\( T_c \) superconductivity in FeSCs. In addition, angle-resolved photoemission (ARPES) measurements combined with the electronic band structure calculations within the DFT+U method have shown that at \( x \approx 0.44 \) Na(Fe\(_{1-x}\)Cu\(_x\))As is a narrow-gap insulator with the energy gap originating from the on-site Coulomb interactions of the Fe \( 3d \) orbitals [19, 20]. This behavior has been confirmed by the DFT+dynamiﬁcal mean-ﬁeld theory (DFT+DMFT) analysis given by Charnukha et al. [27, 28]. In particular, it was shown that mutual agreement between the theoretical and experimental ARPES spectra can be signiﬁcantly improved by taking into account the dynamical on-site Coulomb correlations within DFT+DMFT. Based on a detailed comparison of optical spectroscopy and DFT+DMFT results, the authors proposed that Na(Fe,Cu)As is a correlated Slater insulator, characterized by the crossover from a correlated-insulator to metal phase with highly incoherent charge transport due to large ﬂuctuating moments. Moreover, nuclear magnetic resonance measurements of the magnetic phase of Na(Fe,Cu)As for \( x \leq 0.5 \) performed by Xin et al. reveal the possible existence of defects of the Fe and Cu stripes in Na(Fe,Cu)As [29]. This result suggests that the electronic state of Na(Fe\(_{1-x}\)Cu\(_x\))As can also be affected by Cu/Fe disorder which plays as an extra mechanism promoting the correlated insulating state at \( x \sim 0.5 \) due to Anderson localization. Overall, these results demonstrate that electronic correlation effects in the Fe \( 3d \) states are an essential ingredient for understanding the electronic structure of Na(Fe,Cu)As.

Applications of DFT+DMFT have proven to give a good quantitative description of the electronic structure and magnetic properties of various FeSCs, including NaFeAs [13, 30, 31]. However, these investigations mostly deal with the electronic structure and magnetic properties of FeSCs in their normal metallic state, while the studies of a Mott insulating phase in the phase diagram of FeSCs are still open to debate. In our work, we explore the effects of electron-electron interactions and hole doping (substitution of Fe with Cu) on the electronic structure and magnetic properties of Cu-doped NaFeAs. We employ an effective multi-orbital Hubbard model with a realistic bandstructure of NaFeAs in which Cu-doping was modeled using a rigid band approximation. We use DMFT to compute the evolution of the spectral properties, orbital-dependent quasiparticle band renormalizations \( m^*/m \), local spin susceptibilities, and symmetry of spin fluctuations of NaFeAs upon doping with Cu. In addition, we perform DFT+DMFT calculations for the long-range antiferromagnetically ordered Na(Fe,Cu)As with \( Cu \) \( x = 0.5 \). In this calculation we consider NaFe\(_{0.5}\)Cu\(_{0.5}\)As supercell with real-space ordering of Fe and Cu ions as determined from x-ray diffraction [17]. Our results reveal a crucial importance of strong electron-electron correlations and local potential difference between the Cu and Fe ions in Na(Fe,Cu)As. Upon Cu-doping, we observe a strong orbital-selective localization of the Fe \( 3d \) states accompanied by a large renormalization of the Fe \( xy \) and \( xz/yz \) orbitals. Na(Fe,Cu)As shows bad metal behavior associated with a coherence-to-incoherence crossover of the Fe \( 3d \) electronic states and local moments formation. For \( Cu \) \( x > 0.375 \) it undergoes a Mott-Hubbard metal-insulator transition. It is found to occur via an intermediate orbital-selective Mott phase to appear at \( Cu \) \( x \approx 0.375 \), in which the Fe \( 3d \) \( xy \) orbital is Mott localized while other Fe \( 3d \) orbitals are metallic [10]. Moreover, our results suggest the possible importance of Fe/Cu disorder to explain the magnetic properties of Cu-doped NaFeAs.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Model approach to PM Na(Fe,Cu)As

We start our theoretical analysis of the effects of electron correlations and Cu doping on the electronic structure of paramagnetic (PM) Na(Fe,Cu)As by constructing a multi-orbital Hubbard model for stoichiometric NaFeAs (Cu \( x = 0 \)). For this purpose, we built up a model tight-binding Hamiltonian which explicitly includes the Fe \( 3d \) and As \( 4p \) valence states employing atomic-centered Wannier functions constructed within the energy window spanned by the Fe \( 3d \) and As \( 4p \) valence states of NaFeAs [22]. For the Fe \( 3d \) states the tight-binding Hamiltonian is supplemented by the on-site Coulomb interaction \( U = 3.5\, \text{eV} \) and Hund’s exchange coupling \( J = 0.85\, \text{eV} \). These values are typical for FeSCs according to different estimations [30]. In our calculations we employ the DFT+DMFT method [33, 34] implemented within the plane-wave pseudopotential formalism with a gradient-corrected approximation in DFT [35].

For Cu-doping \( x = 0 \) the calculated within DFT the Wannier Fe \( 3d \) electron density is about 7.35 (per Fe ion). To model the effects of Cu doping on the electronic structure of Na(Fe,Cu)As we apply a rigid-band shift of the Fermi level within DFT. We note that in such an approach the effects of a local potential difference between Cu and Fe are not taken into account. We consider them explicitly in the supercell DFT+DMFT calculations for Cu \( x = 0.5 \), see Sec. II B. In fact, Cu \( x = 0.5 \) corresponds to the hole doping by two electrons (\( \delta \equiv 2.0 \)) of the unit cell containing two formula units of NaFeAs. The DMFT many-body problem was solved using the hybridization expansion continuous-time (segment) quan-
FIG. 1: Orbitally-resolved Fe-3d spectral functions of a tight-binding model of PM NaFeAs for various hole doping $\delta$ obtained within DMFT at $T = 290$ K.

The Coulomb interaction was treated in the density-density form neglecting the effects of spin-orbit coupling. We use the fully localized double-counting correction, evaluated from the self-consistently determined local occupations, to account for the interactions already described by DFT. The angle resolved spectra were evaluated from analytic continuation of the self-energy results using Padé approximants. We begin with an evaluation of the electronic structure of PM Na(Fe,Cu)As. In Fig. 1 we display our results for the Fe 3d spectral functions computed by DMFT for the model Hamiltonian of NaFeAs upon different hole doping from $\delta = 0$ to 2.0. Our results for the k-resolved spectral functions calculated within DMFT along the $\Gamma$-X-M-$\Gamma$ path in the Brillouin zone (BZ) are shown in Fig. 2. In agreement with previous results, for $x = 0$ DMFT yields a correlated metal with the electronic structure being typical for FeSCs. In particular, the Fe 3d states are ~4 eV wide and show a sharp peak below the Fermi level due to the Van Hove singularity of the Fe $xy$ and $xz/yz$ orbitals at the BZ M-point. Our results for the Fermi surface are similar to those in FeSCs, with two elliptic electron pockets near the M point (due to the Fe $xz/yz$ and $xy$ bands) and two nearly degenerate circular hole pockets at the $\Gamma$ point. We note that for $x = 0$ our DMFT results for the Fermi surface are qualitatively similar to those obtained by DFT. In addition, we observe a remarkable orbital-selective renormalization of the Fe 3d bands, resulting in a sizable shift (in comparison to the DFT result) of the Van Hove singularity of the Fe $t_2$($xy$ and $xz/yz$ bands) and two nearly degenerate circular hole pockets at the $\Gamma$ point. We note that for $x = 0$ our DMFT results for the Fermi surface are qualitatively similar to those obtained by DFT. In addition, we observe a remarkable orbital-selective renormalization of the Fe 3d bands, resulting in a sizable shift (in comparison to the DFT result) of the Van Hove singularity of the Fe $t_2$($xy$ and $xz/yz$ bands) at the BZ M-point towards the Fermi level. In fact, our analysis of the orbitally-resolved quasiparticle mass enhancement evaluated as $m^*/m = 1 - \partial \text{Im} \Sigma(\omega)/\partial \omega|_{\omega=0}$ using Padé extrapolation of the self-energy $\Sigma(\omega)$ to $\omega \to 0$ on the imaginary axis yields $m^*/m \sim 4.3$ and 3.5 for the Fe $xy$ and $xz/yz$ orbitals (see Table I). The effective mass of the Fe $x^2-y^2$ and $3z^2-r^2$ orbitals reveals a weaker renormalization of ~2.2–2.6.

Upon hole doping our nonmagnetic DFT results show a smooth shift of the Fermi level of NaFeAs. Thus, for Cu $x = 0$ it shifts by ~330 meV (see Fig. 2). For Cu $x = 0.5$ DFT gives a metal, in contrast to a Mott insulating behavior determined in the experiments (as expected due to the neglect of the effect of correlations). This suggests the crucial importance of electronic correlations.
of the Fe $3d$ states in NaFeAs. In agreement with this, using DMFT we obtain a large spectral weight transfer from low to high energies upon Cu-doping, which is accompanied by a metal-to-insulator phase transition for $\delta > 1.5$ (Cu $x > 0.375$). It is accompanied by a remarkable shift of the Fe $3d$ spectral function peaks across the Fermi level $E_F$ (see Fig. 1). In particular, the peaks due to the $xy$ and $xz/yz$ orbitals shift above the Fermi level for $\delta > 0.5$, while for the $x^2 - y^2$ it is at about 1.5. In the same time, the spectral function of the $x^2 - y^2$ orbital reveals different behavior upon Cu-doping. Unlike the Fe $t_2$ and $3z^2 - r^2$ orbitals, it shows two peaks below and above $E_F$, shifting to the higher energies (in the unoccupied part).

Most notably, for $\delta > 1.5$ we observe a sharp reconstruction of the electronic structure of PM Na(Fe,Cu)As, associated with a Mott metal-insulator transition (MIT), in agreement with experiment [11, 19]. Our results reveal a remarkable importance of orbital selectivity in Na(Fe,Cu)As. Thus, for $\delta = 1.5$ the most heavily renormalized Fe $xy$ orbital is seen to be insulating (Mott localized), whereas other Fe $3d$ orbitals are still metallic (itinerant), which is indicative of an orbital-selective Mott phase [10]. We obtain that Na(Fe,Cu)As with $\delta = 2.0$ ($x = 0.5$) is a Mott insulator with a $d$-$d$ energy gap of 0.2 eV. We note that this result agrees well with the previous model DMFT calculations based on an entirely different, slave-spin, approach which also found a Mott insulator in the absence of a long-range antiferromagnetic order in heavily Cu-doped NaFeAs [38]. At the same time, we observe a sizable difference in the $k$-resolved spectral function of Na(Fe,Cu)As as compared to the ARPES measurements [19]. It is presumably due to the absence of the effects of a local potential difference between the Cu and Fe ions in our model DMFT calculations. We note that using different Hubbard $U$ and Hund’s coupling $J$ does not improve the $k$-resolved spectral functions of Na(Fe,Cu)As.

The Mott transition is accompanied by strong orbital-selective localization of the Fe $3d$ electrons [10]. In fact, we obtain a large orbital-dependent enhancement of the effective mass of the Fe $3d$ states upon doping as shown in Table 1. In particular, at the verge of a Mott transition, at $\delta = 1.5$, $m^*/m$ is about 9.6 and 6.1 for the Fe $xy$ and $xz/yz$ bands, respectively. For the $e_g$ states the mass renormalizations are significantly weaker, $m^*/m \sim 2.8$ and 3.5 for the $x^2 - y^2$ and $3z^2 - r^2$ orbitals, respectively. This result points out that the planar $xy$ orbital is most renormalized, consistent with the appearance of an orbitally-selective Mott state [6, 12]. Moreover, our results suggest that the quasiparticle effective mass $m^*/m$ of the Fe $xy$ states diverges (i.e., $\text{Im} \Sigma(m)\to 0$) at the metal-insulator transition in Na(Fe,Cu)As [33, 39]. In contrast to this the $xz/yz$ and $e_g$ quasiparticle weights remains finite at the MIT. This implies the crucial importance of strong orbital-selective correlations of the Fe $3d$ states to determine the electronic and magnetic properties of heavily Cu-doped NaFeAs.

Upon hole doping, we observe a significant enhancement of incoherence of the spectral weight of the Fe $3d$ states, suggesting a bad metallic behavior of Na(Fe,Cu)As associated with the proximity to a Mott transition [8, 10, 15]. This behavior is accompanied by a doping-induced local moments formation in Na(Fe,Cu)As which results in a significant growth of the fluctuating local magnetic moments. In fact, upon doping from $\delta = 0$ to 2.0 the local magnetic moments increase from 2.3 $\mu_B$ to 4.4 $\mu_B$ (the corresponding fluctuating moments are 1.5 $\mu_B$ and 4.3 $\mu_B$, respectively). We therefore conclude that the transition is accompanied by a crossover from itinerant to localized moment behavior of the Fe $3d$ states. The latter is seen from our results for the orbitally-resolved spin susceptibility $\chi(\tau) =$

TABLE 1: Orbitally resolved quasiparticle band mass enhancement $m^*/m$ in the tight-binding model of PM NaFeAs computed by DMFT at different hole doping and $T = 290$ K.

| hole doping | $3z^2 - r^2$ | $xz/yz$ | $xy$ | $x^2 - y^2$ |
|-------------|--------------|---------|------|--------------|
| 0.0         | 2.60         | 3.49    | 4.34 | 2.16         |
| 0.5         | 3.31         | 3.06    | 5.68 | 2.03         |
| 1.0         | 3.43         | 4.95    | 8.29 | 2.27         |
| 1.5         | 3.46         | 6.14    | 9.60 | 2.83         |

FIG. 3: Orbitally-resolved local spin correlation functions $\chi(\tau) = (m_{\tau}(m_{\tau}(0)))$ of a tight-binding model computed at different hole doping $\delta$ by DFT+DMFT at $T = 290$ K.
It is interesting to note that upon doping (in the metallic phase) we observe a remarkable reconstruction of the electronic band structure of Na(Fe,Cu)As, associated with a change of the Fermi surface topology. It is accompanied by a reconstruction of magnetic correlations which can be approximately estimated by using the momentum-dependent static spin susceptibility $\chi(q)$. Our result for $\chi(q)$ at $x = 0$ evaluated using the particle-hole bubble approximation shows a maximum at the BZ M-point (see Fig. 3), which is characterized by an in-plane nesting wave vector $(\pi, \pi)$, consistent with $s^\pm$ pairing symmetry in FeSCs [32, 33]. This confirms that the leading magnetic instability of pure NaFeAs at ambient pressure occurs at the wave vector $(\pi, \pi)$, consistent with the spin excitation spectra of FeSCs [40]. Upon doping we observe a smooth decrease of $\chi(q)$ for the $e_g$ orbitals which becomes almost flat and featureless already at $\delta = 0.5$. In contrast, the shape of the $e$ orbitals susceptibility shows a less trivial doping dependence: at $\delta = 0.5$ $\chi(q)$ for the $\delta^2 - r^2$ states exhibits a sharp damping of the peak at the $M$ point which is accompanied by a slight increase of ferromagnetic fluctuations. $\chi(q)$ for the $x^2 - y^2$ states displays a flattening and a uniform increase followed by a sharp drop on the verge of the Mott transition.

Overall, our results imply strong localization of the 3$d$ electrons upon a doping-induced Mott metal-insulator transition in Na(Fe,Cu)As. Upon Cu-doping from $x = 0$ to 0.5, Na(Fe,Cu)As shows a remarkable reconstruction of the electronic structure and coherence-to-incoherence crossover of the Fe 3$d$ electronic states, associated with a Mott transition and the effect of local moments formation. This implies the crucial importance of strong correlations which favor to electronic localization and strong orbital-selective quasiparticle mass enhancement in Na(Fe,Cu)As near the Mott insulating phase [8, 10, 12, 13]. While the above DMFT calculations do not consider the effects of a local potential difference between the Cu and Fe ions, these results demonstrate that electron correlations in the Fe 3$d$ states are an essential ingredient for understanding the electronic properties of Na(Fe,Cu)As.

**B. DFT+DMFT calculations of AFM NaFe$_{0.5}$Cu$_{0.5}$As**

Next, we perform a realistic DFT+DMFT study of the electronic structure and magnetic properties of Na(Fe$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$)As with the real-space stripe-type ordering of the Fe and Cu ions as found experimentally near $x = 0.5$ (shown in Fig. 3). In our calculations, we employ the state-of-the-art fully self-consistent in charge density DFT+DMFT method implemented within the plane-wave pseudopotential formalism [33, 34]. In our DFT+DMFT calculations we explicitly include the Fe 3$d$, As 4$p$ and Cu 3$d$ states for the Cu $x = 0.5$ doped Na(Fe,Cu)As by constructing a basis set of atomic-centered Wannier functions within the energy window spanned by these bands [32]. This allows us to take into account a charge transfer between the partially occupied 3$d$ and 4$p$ states, accompanied by the strong on-site Coulomb correlations of the Fe 3$d$ electrons. The Cu 3$d$ states are nearly fully occupied with a Cu$^{1+}$ 3$d^{10}$ configuration and therefore in our DFT+DMFT calculations we do not consider subtle correlations effects in the Cu 3$d$ states. We use the same Hubbard $U = 3.5$ eV and Hund’s rule coupling $J = 0.85$ eV for the Fe 3$d$ states as those in the model calculation (see Sec. II A). In DFT+DMFT the quantum impurity problem was solved using the continuous time quantum Monte Carlo (segment) method [36, 37]. The fully localized double-counting correction, evaluated from the self-consistently determined local occupations was employed. The DFT+DMFT calculations are performed for an antiferromagnetically ordered state of Na(Fe,Cu)As at a temperature $T \sim 290$ K. We use the experimentally established stripe configuration of the in-plane Fe moments as shown in Fig. 3 [17].
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FIG. 5: In-plane static magnetic configurations of (a) sto-

chiometric NaFeAs and (b) Cu-doped NaFe0.6Cu0.3As used
in DFT+DMFT calculations. The dashed lines show domi-
nating exchange paths.

AFM structure of the Cu-doped compound is obtained
from that of NaFeAs by replacing the ferromagnetic
stripes by Cu ions. In our spin-polarized DFT+DMFT
calculations we employ the spin-polarized DFT. More-
over, we explore the effect of Cu-doping on the magnetic
properties of Na(Fe,Cu)As by computing the exchange
couplings of the Heisenberg model within spin-polarized
DFT+DMFT using the magnetic force theorem [41].

We perform spin-polarized DFT+DMFT calculations
of the spectral properties of Na(Fe,Cu)As with Cu x = 0.5. Our results for the orbitally-resolved Fe 3d, Cu 3d,
and As 4p spectra are shown in Fig. 6 along with the k-
resolved spectral functions of AFM Na(Fe0.5Cu0.5)As
obtained by DFT+DMFT. Our results exhibit Mott-
Hubbard insulating behavior with a band gap of ~0.3 eV,
in agreement with experiments and previous theoretical
estimates [17, 19]. Interestingly, Na(Fe,Cu)As with long-
range antiferromagnetic ordering reveals a more coherent
electronic structure near the Fermi level as compared to
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By taking into account both the local potential difference
between the Cu and Fe ions and on-site Coulomb correlations we obtain a much better agreement between
the k-resolved spectral function of Na(Fe,Cu)As with

x = 0.5 and ARPES [19] compared to the model Hamiltonian results in Sec. [41]. In particular, the spectral weight
at the Γ point forms a weakly dispersive band in the energy interval from -0.5 — -0.7 eV along the Γ-X direction,
in agreement with ARPES measurements [19]. We also observe a dispersive convex band along the X-M-Γ line
at the top of the valence band, which was absent in the model DMFT result for PM Na(Fe,Cu)As. It is also inter-
resting to note high coherence of the electronic states of AFM NaFe0.5Cu0.5As to that in the PM phase (see
Fig. 2).

We observe a remarkable sensitivity of the electronic
structure and magnetic correlations in NaFeAs with re-
spect to doping with Cu. In Fig. 5 we display the in-
plain magnetic states and exchange couplings of AFM
NaFe_{1-x}Cu_{x}As for Cu x = 0 and 0.5. Our results for
magnetic exchange couplings obtained from the spin-

FIG. 6: Electronic structure along the Γ-X-M-Γ path (upper

panel) and spin-resolved atomic-projected spectral functions
of AFM Na(Fe0.5Cu0.5)As obtained by DFT+DMFT at T =

290 K.
polarized DFT+DMFT magnetic force theorem calculations (for the Wannier Fe 3d states) show a relatively large antiferromagnetic inter-site exchange coupling $J_{1_a} \sim 23$ meV (assuming an effective $S = 2$ state per Fe ion) in AFM NaFe$_{0.5}$Cu$_{0.5}$As at a temperature 290 K. Our results for the exchange couplings in AFM NaFeAs ($x = 0$) are $J_{1_a} = 31$ K and $J_{1_b} = -22$ K for the nearest-neighbour and $J_2 = 11$ K for the next-nearest-neighbor (here we assume a $S = 1/2$ state) as obtained by the spin-polarized DFT+DMFT at $T = 145$ K. This result is compatible with that obtained by a more precise spin-wave calculations [24, 45]. The calculated local (fluctuating) and ordered magnetic moments in AFM NaFeAs are 2.03 and 0.77 μB/Fe, respectively. Moreover, in stoichiometric NaFeAs the DFT+DMFT magnetization is found to sharply collapse to the PM state at temperatures above 145 K. Our results therefore suggest an intermediate range of correlation strength pointing out to itinerant nature of magnetic moments in pure NaFeAs.

III. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, using DFT+DMFT we explored the effects of Coulomb correlations and hole doping on the electronic structure and magnetic properties of Cu-doped NaFeAs. Upon Cu-doping, we observe a strong orbital-dependent localization of the Fe 3d states accompanied by a large renormalization of electronic mass of the Fe $xy$ and $xz/yz$ states. Na(Fe,Cu)As shows bad metal behavior associated with a coherence-to-incoherence crossover of the Fe 3d electronic states and local moments formation. For Cu $x > 0.375$ it is found to undergo a Mott-Hubbard metal-insulator transition which is accompanied by divergence of the quasiparticle effective mass of the Fe $xy$ states. In contrast to this, the $xz/yz$ and $e_g$ quasiparticle weights remain finite at the MIT. The Mott transition occurs via an intermediate orbital-selective Mott phase to appear at Cu $x \approx 0.375$ characterized by Mott localized Fe $xy$ orbitals. Our DFT+DMFT results suggest a crucial importance of electron-electron correlations and local potential difference between the Cu and Fe ions in Na(Fe,Cu)As. We propose a possible importance of Fe/Cu disorder to explain the magnetic properties of Cu-doped NaFeAs.
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