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We propose and demonstrate a new approach for realizing spin-orbit coupling with ultracold atoms. We use orbital levels in a double-well potential as pseudospin states. Two-photon Raman transitions between left and right wells induce spin-orbit coupling. This scheme does not require near resonant light, features adjustable interactions by shaping the double-well potential, and does not depend on special properties of the atoms. A pseudospinor Bose-Einstein condensate spontaneously acquires an antiferromagnetic pseudospin texture which breaks the lattice symmetry similar to a supersolid.

Spin-orbit coupling is the mechanism for many intriguing phenomena, including $Z_2$ topological insulators, the spin quantum Hall effect [1, 2], Majorana fermions [3], and spintronics devices [4]. Realizing controllable spin-orbit coupling with ultracold atoms should make it feasible to explore fundamental aspects of topology in physics and applications in quantum computing [5].

Spin-orbit coupling requires the atom’s motion to be dependent on its spin state. Spin-orbit coupling without spin flips is possible for schemes which are diagonal in the spin component $\sigma_z$. Such spin-dependent vector potentials, which are sufficient for realizing quantum spin Hall physics and topological insulators, can be engineered using far-detuned laser beams to completely suppress spontaneous emission [6, 7].

However, spin flips (i.e., spin-orbit coupling terms involving $\sigma_x$ or $\sigma_y$ operators) are necessary for Rashba [8] and Dresselhaus [9] spin-orbit coupling [10]. Experiments with ultracold atoms couple pseudospin states using optical dipole transitions, which couple only to orbital angular momentum of the atom. Most realizations, including the first demonstration [11], use hyperfine states of an alkali atom as pseudospins. In this case, the coupling of the two states occurs due to internal spin-orbit coupling in the excited state of the atom, which causes the fine-structure splitting between the $D_1$ and $D_2$ lines. The optimum detuning of the lasers is comparable to this splitting, leading to heating. Special atomic species with orbital angular momentum in the ground state can avoid this problem, as recently realized with dysprosium [12]. Here we present a new method which can be applied to any atomic species, using an external orbital degree of freedom as pseudospin to avoid the need for near-resonant light.

An external degree of freedom as pseudospin could be realized for a two-dimensional system by using the ground and first excited states of the confinement along the third dimension as pseudospin states. However, the excited state would rapidly relax due to elastic collisions, typically on a millisecond time scale [13]. This is also the case for the recent implementation of SOC with hybrid $s–p$ Floquet bands in a one-dimensional optical lattice [14]. To solve this issue, we choose an asymmetric double-well potential (Fig. 1). Pseudospins up and down are realized as the two lowest eigenstates of the double-well potential. For $J/\Delta \ll 1$, they can be expressed by the tight-binding states $|l\rangle$ and $|r\rangle$ localized in the left and right wells, respectively: $|\downarrow\rangle = |l\rangle + \frac{\Delta}{J} |r\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\rangle = |r\rangle - \frac{\Delta}{J} |l\rangle$. The tunneling $J$ and offset $\Delta$ between the two wells are used to adjust the overlap—and therefore interactions and collisional relaxation rate—between the two pseudospins. We couple the two states via a two-photon Raman transition with large detunings to achieve SOC with spin flips. (For convenience, we will refer to pseudospin as spin in this paper.) Recent work on two-leg ladders can be mapped to SOC between the two legs of the ladder [15, 16]. Our scheme is qualitatively different from other realizations of orbital pseudospin since it realizes spin-orbit coupling in free space as compared to lattice models.

An intriguing prediction for spin-orbit coupled Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) is the existence of a stripe phase [17–19], a spontaneous density modulation which realizes a supersolid [20]. However, when the inter-spin ($g_{\uparrow\downarrow}$) and intra-spin ($g_{\uparrow\uparrow}$, $g_{\downarrow\downarrow}$) interaction strengths are the same, increased interaction energy of the density modulation drives spatial phase separation, eliminating the stripes. The system can be kept in the miscible phase when inter-spin interactions are weaker than intra-spin interactions $g_{\uparrow\downarrow} < g_{\uparrow\uparrow} g_{\downarrow\downarrow}$ [19]. In our realization, $g_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ is proportional to the overlap squared of the wavefunctions on the two sides of the double-well. An analogous scheme can be realized with hyperfine pseudospins and spin-dependent lattices [21], but requires near-resonant light. Our scheme does not depend on specific atomic properties and addresses three challenges to realizing the stripe phase: (1) Spin-orbit coupling without near-resonant light, (2) miscible system with adjustable inter-spin interactions, (3) long lifetime against collisional relaxation.

Instead of one double-well system, we create a lattice of double wells using an optical superlattice (Fig. 1(c)). The
advantages of working with a stack of coherently coupled double wells are twofold: increased signal to noise ratio and use of interference between the double wells to separately observe the two spin states. In the present work, the degree of freedom along the superlattice direction is purely an aid to observation [22].

Our main result is the observation of the momentum structure of a BEC modified by a superlattice and spin-orbit coupling (SOC). We first describe the effects of the superlattice without adding SOC. A one-dimensional superlattice of double wells was realized by combining lattices of 1064 nm light and 532 nm light obtained by frequency doubling the 1064 nm light. The shape of the double-well unit cell is determined by the relative strength and spatial phase $\phi_{z}$ between the two lattices. The phase is controlled by a rotatable dispersive glass plate and an acousto-optical modulator for rapidly switching the IR lattice frequency.

The experiment starts with a BEC of $\sim 3 \times 10^{5}$ $^{23}$Na atoms in $|F = 1, m_{F} = -1 \rangle$ state in a crossed optical dipole trap. The superlattice is adiabatically ramped up within 250 ms. For an offset $\Delta \gg J$, all the atoms equilibrate at the band minimum $q = 0$ of the lowest superlattice band, putting 100% of the population in the $|\downarrow \rangle$ state. The relative population of the two spin states can be controlled by first adjusting $\Delta$ for the loading stage to achieve a desired state population and then rapidly lifting one well up to the final offset [23]. The upper well corresponds to the first excited band which has its minimum energy at quasimomentum $q = \pi/d$ with $d = \lambda_{IR}/2$ (2(a),(b)). Since the lowest energy $|\uparrow \rangle$ and $|\downarrow \rangle$ states have different quasimomenta and experience different transverse confinement, they can be separately observed in ballistic expansion images without the band-mapping techniques [23].

The $\pi/d$ quasimomentum difference also leads to an interesting spin texture for an equal population of $|\uparrow \rangle$ and $|\downarrow \rangle$ states. For this, atoms are prepared in both bands with $q = 0$ (Fig. 2(c)), corresponding to a wavefunction periodicity of 532 nm, i.e., the lattice constant. However, after relaxation, the periodicity has doubled to 1064 nm, as indicated by the doubled number of momentum components in ballistic expansion images (Fig. 2(d)). Specifically, the system was prepared in the symmetric state $\sum_{n} (|\uparrow_{n}\rangle + |\downarrow_{n}\rangle)$ where $n$ denotes the lattice site, which is a ferromagnetic spin state in the $x - y$ plane. After relaxation into the state $\sum_{n}(|\uparrow_{n}\rangle + (-1)^{n} e^{i\theta} e^{-i\Delta t} |\downarrow_{n}\rangle)$ an antiferromagnetic spin texture has developed which reduces the translational symmetry of the lattice. This system breaks both U(1) symmetry (the phase of the BEC) and the translational symmetry of the superlattice. In addition to the spin-density wave, it also has a density wave with the same period due to the interference of the $|\uparrow \rangle$ and $|\downarrow \rangle$ satellites. The position of the spin and density modulations is determined by the spontaneous phase $\theta$ and oscillate at frequency $\Delta$ [23]. It is a simple system fulfilling one definition of supersolidity [25–27].

The small satellites allow spin-orbit coupling, but also lead to collisional decay of the $|\uparrow \rangle$ state. We observed lifetimes on the order of 200 ms for both the $|\uparrow \rangle$ and equally mixed states at a density of $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$. The similar lifetimes for both states and its sensitivity to daily alignment indicate the lifetime being limited by technical noise and misalignment of the lattice rather than by collisions. Collisions would lead to a shorter lifetime for the mixed state by a factor of $4 (J/\Delta)^{2}$. Adding Raman beams (with the parameters presented in Fig. 4) increases the loss rate by $\sim 10/s$, probably caused by technical issues. While previous work with $^{87}$Rb reports a lifetime of seconds [11], the Raman hyperfine spin flip scheme is not promising for lighter atoms because of substantially higher heating rates compared with $^{87}$Rb, which are $10^{3} (10^{5})$ times higher for $^{23}$Na($^{6}$Li) [28]. Even without major improvements, the lifetimes achieved in our work are longer than any relevant dynamic time scale and should be sufficient for further studies, including observation of the stripe phase [29].

Coupling between the two spin states is provided by two $\lambda_{IR}$ beams: one along the superlattice direction $z$, the other orthogonal to it (along $x$). The frequency difference of the two beams is close to the offset in the double well, allowing near-resonant population transfer. The recoil $k_{z}$ along the lattice is necessary to couple the two
orthogonal spin states in the double well, and was chosen to be \(k_z = \pi/d\). The recoil kick \(k_z\) in the transverse plane provides the coupling between the free-space motion in the transverse plane and the spin. It has opposite signs for the transition \(|↓\rangle\) to \(|↑\rangle\) and the reverse transition.

The Raman coupling can be described as a moving potential \(V_{\text{Raman}} = \Omega \cos(k_xx + k_zz - \delta \cdot t)\), characterized by a 2-photon Rabi frequency \(\Omega\), a detuning of Raman beams \(\delta\) and a wave vector \((k_x,0,k_z)\). We characterize the states by their spin, quasimomentum \(q\), and \(x\) momentum \(k_x\) (the \(y\) momentum is always zero).

If the system is initially prepared in the state \(|↓, q = 0, k_x = 0\rangle\), the adiabatically ramped Raman beams will transfer it to a new eigenstate:

\[
\begin{align*}
|\Psi_1\rangle &= |↓, 0, 0\rangle + K_1 e^{-i\Delta t} |↑, \pi/d, k_x\rangle \\
&+ M_1 e^{-i\delta t} |↓, \pi/d, k_x\rangle + M'_1 e^{i\delta t} |↓, -\pi/d, -k_x\rangle
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

If prepared in \(|↑, \pi/d, 0\rangle\), the new state will be:

\[
\begin{align*}
|\Psi_2\rangle &= e^{-i\Delta t} |↑, \pi/d, 0\rangle + K_2 e^{i(\delta - \Delta)t} |↓, 0, -k_x\rangle \\
&+ M_2 e^{i(\delta - \Delta)t} |↑, 0, -k_x\rangle + M'_2 e^{-i(\delta + \Delta)t} |↑, 0, k_x\rangle
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

The amplitudes obtained from 1st order perturbation theory appear in Table I. The spin-orbit coupling is described by the second term in (1), (2). In addition, the

| States | \(M_i\) | \(M'_i\) | \(K\) |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| \(|\Psi_1\rangle\) | \(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_r - \delta}\) | \(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_r + \delta}\) | \(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_r - \delta + \Delta}\) |
| \(|\Psi_2\rangle\) | \(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_r - \delta}\) | \(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_r + \delta}\) | \(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_r - \delta + \Delta}\) |

Raman beams act as a co-moving lattice and (in the limit \(\delta \gg \varepsilon_r\)) create a moving density modulation in the two spin states, described by the third and fourth terms. The spin-orbit coupling shows a resonant behavior for \(\delta \approx \Delta\)—the range of interest for SOC—where the moving density modulation is non-resonant. Both contributions are proportional to \(\Omega/\Delta\). The off-resonant counter-rotating spin flip term is proportional to \(\Delta^{-2}\) and has been neglected. For \(\delta \gg \varepsilon_r\), all off-resonant amplitudes \(M_i, M'_i\) become \(\approx \Omega/\delta\). For \(\delta = \Delta\) and both spin states populated, the spin-orbit admixture of \(|\Psi_i\rangle\) is expected to form a stationary interference pattern with \(|\Psi_2\rangle\) along \(x\) with wavevector \(k_x\), and vice versa which constitutes the stripe phase of spin-orbit coupled BECs in the perturbative limit. (In general, the periodicity of the stripes depends on \(\beta\) and the atoms’ interactions [19].)

The resonant Raman coupling leads to the standard spin-orbit Hamiltonian [23]:

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{SOC}} = \left(\frac{\hat{p} + \alpha \hat{\sigma}_z}{2m}\right)^2 + \beta \hat{\sigma}_x + \delta_0 \hat{\sigma}_z,
\]

(3)

which can be considered as equal contributions of Rashba and Dresselhaus interactions. The parameters \(\alpha = -k_x/2, \beta = (1/\sqrt{2})\Omega \varepsilon_r/\Delta,\) and \(\delta_0 = (\delta - \Delta)/2\) are independently tunable in our experiment.

To characterize all the components of the wavefunctions above, the Raman coupling was adiabatically switched on by ramping up the intensity of the two Raman beams. The momentum space wavefunction was observed by suddenly switching off the lattice and trapping beams and measuring the resulting density distribution with absorption imaging after 10 ms of ballistic expansion (Fig. 3).

| TABLE I. The amplitudes of the wavefunctions in eqs. (1)(2) obtained from 1st order perturbation theory. (\(i = 1,2\)) |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| \(|\Psi_1\rangle\) | \(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_r - \delta}\) | \(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_r + \delta}\) | \(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_r - \delta + \Delta}\) |
| \(|\Psi_2\rangle\) | \(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_r - \delta}\) | \(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_r + \delta}\) | \(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_r - \delta + \Delta}\) |

The momentum components created by the Raman beams are displaced in the \(x\) by the recoil shift \(\hbar k_x\). For off-resonant Raman beams, the pattern is symmetric for the \(+x\) and \(-x\) directions—signifying the moving density modulation (see (1)(2)). The resonant spin-orbit coupling is one-sided, with opposite transfer of momentum for the two spin states—as observed in Fig. 3. We separate the momentum peaks due to the moving density modulation (see (1)(2)). The resonant spin-orbit coupling was adiabatically switched on by ramping up the intensity of the two Raman beams. The momentum space wavefunction was observed by suddenly switching off the lattice and trapping beams and measuring the resulting density distribution with absorption imaging after 10 ms of ballistic expansion (Fig. 3).

The momentum components created by the Raman beams are displaced in the \(x\) by the recoil shift \(\hbar k_x\). For off-resonant Raman beams, the pattern is symmetric for the \(+x\) and \(-x\) directions—signifying the moving density modulation (see (1)(2)). The resonant spin-orbit coupling is one-sided, with opposite transfer of momentum for the two spin states—as observed in Fig. 3. We separate the momentum peaks due to the moving density modulation from SOC by evaluating the difference between the momentum peaks along the \(+x\) and \(-x\).
Raman detuning was varied. The resonances for the two processes \(|\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\uparrow\rangle\) and \(|\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow |\downarrow\rangle\) should be separated by \(2E_r \approx 15.3\) kHz. The observed discrepancy is consistent with mean field interactions which reduce the separation by \(\sim 2\mu \approx 5\) kHz, where \(\mu\) is the single site chemical potential. The observed widths of the resonances are probably dominated by the inhomogeneity of \(\Delta\) due to the Gaussian beam profile of the IR lattice laser [23].

Having established spin-orbit coupling at the single-particle level, the next step is to explore the phase diagram of spin-orbit coupled Bose-Einstein condensates with interactions [17, 19, 21], particularly the stripe phase. The clear signature of the stripe phase is the stationary, periodic density modulation on the BEC mentioned above. The periodicity is tunable through the spin-orbit coupling strength and can be directly observed via Bragg scattering [30]. In contrast to experiments carried out with \(^{87}\)Rb, which has similar inter- and intraspin scattering lengths, our system has an adjustable inter-spin interaction \(g_{\downarrow\uparrow} \approx (J/\Delta)^2g_{\downarrow\downarrow} = (J/\Delta)^2g_{\uparrow\uparrow}\).

Small values of \(g_{\downarrow\uparrow}/g_{\uparrow\uparrow}\) lead to a large window of \(\beta\) for observing the stripe phase and enable higher contrast stripes [19]. Fig. 3(i) shows the momentum distribution of an equal spin mixture with SOC. We observed \(\sim 40\) ms lifetime for parameters presented in Fig. 4. After adding Bragg detection, the observation of the stripe phase is in reach.

In conclusion, we proposed and demonstrated a new scheme for realizing spin-orbit coupling using superlattices. An asymmetric double-well potential provides attractive features for pseudospins, including long lifetimes, adjustable interactions, and easy detection. This scheme can be applied to a wide range of atoms including lithium and potassium, which suffer from strong heating when hyperfine pseudospins are coupled. On the other hand, by combining multiple hyperfine states with the orbital degree of the double well, our scheme can realize two-dimensional Rashba spin-orbit coupling [31] and suggestions made for alkaline-earth atoms, for example synthetic non-abelian gauge potentials [32, 33], and Kondo lattice models [34–36].
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