A classification of two-dimensional integrable mappings and rational elliptic surfaces
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Abstract
We classify two-dimensional integrable mappings by investigating the actions on the fiber space of rational elliptic surfaces. While the QRT mappings can be restricted on each fiber, there exist several classes of integrable mappings which exchange fibers. We also show an equivalent condition when a generalized Halphen surface becomes a Halphen surface of index \( m \).

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 02.30.Ks

1. Introduction

Discrete integrability has attracted a lot of attention in the last 20 years. Although the studies started with lattice (partial difference) equations, gradually they focussed on discrete non-autonomous ordinary discrete equations called mappings. Among them the most difficult and perhaps the ones which contain the very heart of integrability are discrete Painlevé equations. Initially, in continuous setting, Painlevé equations appeared as transcendental functions defined by non-autonomous nonlinear differential equations generalizing the elliptic functions (which become the solutions in the autonomous limit). These equations are integrable not in the Liouville sense (since they are non-autonomous) but in all other aspects, namely Painlevé property (only movable singularities are ordinary poles), monodromy preserving deformations of linear differential equations, existence of Lax pairs, \( \tau \) functions, Hirota bilinear forms, Bäcklund/Schlesinger transformations, etc, see [1, 6] for examples. Moreover, they appear as similarity reductions of completely integrable partial differential equations.

In the discrete setting, the first breakthrough was the so-called singularity confinement criterion [8], which imposes a finite number of iterations of singular/indeterminate behavior until it reaches regular dynamics with recovery of initial condition memory. This criterion was very productive and many of the discrete Painlevé equations have been obtained [7].
Their integrable character has been settled definitively by finding Lax pairs, bilinear forms, Bäcklund/Schlesinger transformations, special solutions, etc [18].

In [15], Sakai showed that every discrete Painlevé equation can be obtained as a translational component of an affine Weyl group which acts on a family of generalized Halphen surfaces, i.e. a rational surface with special divisors obtained by nine blow-ups from \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). From this viewpoint, the Quispel–Roberts–Thomson (QRT) mappings [14] are obtained by specializations of the surfaces so that they admit elliptic fibrations.

In autonomous setting, Diller and Favre [4] showed that if a Kähler surface \( S \) admits an automorphism \( \phi \) of infinite order, then (i) \( \phi \) is ‘linearizable’, i.e. it preserves the fibrations of a ruled surface [17]; (ii) \( \phi \) preserves an elliptic fibration of \( S \); or (iii) the algebraic (or topological) entropy of \( \phi \) is positive. The typical example of the second case is the so-called QRT mappings [14], while mappings not belonging to the QRT family are discovered by several authors [12, 19, 20, 22, 23].

In this paper, we classify these types of mappings by their relation with rational elliptic surfaces. For this purpose, we consider not only rational elliptic surfaces but also generalized Halphen surfaces. In section 2, we propose a classification of autonomous rational mappings preserving elliptic fibrations. We also show an equivalent condition when a generalized Halphen surfaces becomes a Halphen surface of index \( m \). Although our classification is rather simple, the existence of (simple) examples is nontrivial. In section 3, we investigate the properties of some known examples and construct some new examples. Section 4 is devoted to the conclusions.

Before entering into details, some preliminaries on elliptic surfaces and (generalized) Halphen surfaces may be needed.

A complex surface \( X \) is called a rational elliptic surface if there exists a fibration given by the morphism \( \pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) such that

- for all but finitely many points \( k \in \mathbb{P}^1 \), the fiber \( \pi^{-1}(k) \) is an elliptic curve;
- \( \pi \) is not birational to the projection \( E \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \);
- no fiber contains exceptional curves of first kind.

It is known that a rational elliptic surface can be obtained by nine blow-ups from \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) and that the generic fiber of \( X \) can be put into a Weierstrass form:

\[
\Delta = -b_2^3 b_8 - 8b_2 b_3^3 - 27b_2^2 - 9b_2 b_3 b_6,
\]

where all the coefficients \( a_i \) depend on \( k \). Singular fibers can be computed easily by the vanishing of the discriminant

\[
\Delta = -a_0^3 + a_1 a_2 - 8a_1 a_3 - 27a_3 - 9a_1 a_2 a_3 + a_2^2 - a_3.
\]

A rational surface \( X \) is called a generalized Halphen surface if the anti-canonical divisor \(-K_X\) is decomposed into effective divisors \( D_1, \ldots, D_s \) as \( D = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i D_i \) (\( m_i \geq 1 \)), where the linear equivalence class of \( D \) is \(-K_X \) and \( D_i \cdot K_X = 0 \) for all \( i \). A generalized Halphen surface can be obtained from \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) by successive nine blow-ups. Generalized Halphen surfaces are classified by the type of \( D \) into elliptic, multiplicative and additive type (see [15] for more details).

A rational surface \( X \) is called a Halphen surface (or a Halphen pencil or an elliptic surface) of index \( m \) if the dimension of the linear system \( |-kK_X| \) is zero for \( k = 1, \ldots, m - 1 \) and 1 for \( k = m \). Here, the linear system \( |-kK_X| \) is the set of curves on \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) of degree \( 3k \) passing
through each point of blow-up (base point) with multiplicity \(k\). It is known that if \(m \geq 2\), a Halphen pencil of index \(m\) contains a unique cubic curve \(C\) with multiplicity \(m\), i.e. \(C\) is the unique element of \(\{-K_X\}\). It is well known that if \(X\) is a Halphen surface of index \(m\) and \(C\) is nonsingular, then \(k(P_1 + \cdots + P_k - 3P_0)\) is not zero for \(k = 1, \ldots, m - 1\) and zero for \(k = m\) (here \(+\) is the group law on \(C, P_1, \ldots, P_k\) are base points of blow-ups and \(3P_0\) is equal by the group law to three crossing points with a generic line in \(\mathbb{P}^2\)). Conversely, for a nonsingular cubic curve \(C\) in \(\mathbb{P}^2\), if \(k(P_1 + \cdots + P_k - 3P_0)\) is not zero for \(k = 1, \ldots, m - 1\) and zero for \(k = m\), then there exists a family of curves of degree \(3m\) passing through \(P_1, \ldots, P_k\) with multiplicity \(m\), which constitutes a Halphen pencil of index \(m\) (see chapter 5 (section 6) of [3] for more details). In the next section, we extend this result into the case where \(C\) is singular using ‘the period map’ for generalized Halphen surfaces.

2. Classification

Let \(X\) be a rational elliptic surface obtained by nine blow-ups from \(\mathbb{P}^2\). The main result is the following classification.

**Classification:** let \(m\) be a positive integer and \(\varphi\) an automorphism of \(X\) which preserves the elliptic fibration \(\alpha f_0(x, y, z) + \beta g_0(x, y, z) = 0\). Such cases are classified as follows.

(i-m) \(\varphi\) preserves \(\alpha : \beta\) and the degree of fibers is \(3m\);
(ii-m) \(\varphi\) does not preserve \(\alpha : \beta\) and the degree of fibers is \(3m\).

**Remark 2.1.**

- The QRT mappings belong to case (i-1) [21].
- In case (i-m), elliptic fibrations admit exchange of fibers.
- The integer \(m\) corresponds to the index \(m\) of \(X\) as a Halphen surface.
- As Duistermaat pointed out in [5, section 6.3], if a rational elliptic surface is a Halphen surface of index 1, or equivalently if a rational elliptic surface has a holomorphic section, it can be written in Wierstrass normal form. This corresponds to case (i-1) or case (ii-1).
- On the other hand, the Mordell–Weil group is a normal subgroup of its automorphism group that preserves each fiber, and the Mordell–Weil groups were classified by Oguiso and Shioda [13]. Therefore, case (i-1) has been completely classified in this sense.
- It is well known (for example, van Hoeij gave an algorithm [11] and Viallet et al used it [22]) that there exists a birational transformation on \(\mathbb{P}^2\) which maps a (possibly singular) elliptic curve in \(\mathbb{P}^2, \alpha f_0(x, y, z) + \beta g_0(x, y, z) = 0\), onto the Wierstrass normal form. Since in general the coefficients of this transformation are algebraic on a rational function \(\alpha/\beta = g_0(x, y, z)/f_0(x, y, z)\), there exists a bialgebraic transformation from a Halphen surface of index \(m\) to that of index 1 that preserves the elliptic fibrations. On the other hand, as shown in proposition 11.9.1 of [5], there does not exist a birational transformation from a Halphen surface of index \(m\) to that of index \(m'\) (\(m \neq m'\)) that preserves the elliptic fibrations. If two infinite-order mappings preserving rational elliptic fibrations are conjugate to each other by a birational mapping \(\psi\), the mapping \(\psi\) preserves the elliptic fibrations. Thus, two infinite-order mappings belonging to different classes of the above classification are not birationally conjugate to each other.

In the rest of this section, we characterize Halphen surfaces as generalized Halphen surfaces.

Let \(X\) be a generalized Halphen surface and \(Q\) the root lattice defined as the orthogonal complement of \(D\) with respect to the intersection form and \(\omega\) a meromorphic 2-form on \(X\) with
Div(ω) = −D_{red}, where D_{red} = \sum D_i. Then, the 2-form ω determines the period mapping χ from Q to C by

\[ \chi(α) = \int α \]

modulo \( \sum χ(γ) \), where the summation is taken for all the cycles on \( D_{red} \) (see examples in the next section and \cite{15} for more details). Note that if X is not a Halphen surface of index 1, then the divisor D and thus ω (modulo a nonzero constant factor) are unique. The divisor D (or X itself if X is not a Halphen surface of index 1) is called elliptic, multiplicative or additive if the rank of the first homology group of \( D_{red} \) is 2, 1 or 0, respectively.

**Theorem 2.2.**

* (ell) If a member of \( |−K_X| \) is of elliptic type, then X is a Halphen pencil of index m iff \( χ(−kK_X) \neq 0 \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, m−1 \) and \( χ(−mK_X) = 0 \).

* (mult) If a member of \( |−K_X| \) is of multiplicative type, then the same assertion holds as in the elliptic case.

* (add) If a member of \( |−K_X| \) is of additive type, then X is a Halphen pencil of index 1 iff \( χ(−K_X) = 0 \), and never a Halphen pencil of index \( m \geq 2 \).

**Proof.** Case (ell) is a classical result (see remark 5.6.1 in \cite{3} or references therein). Cases (mult) and (add) of index 1 are proposition 23 in \cite{15}. Similar to that proof, we can vary \( D \) and \( χ \) continuously to the nonsingular case. Indeed, let \( P_1, \ldots, P_9 \) be the points of blow-ups (possibly infinitely near, we assume that \( P_0 \) is the point for the last blow-up) and \( f_0 \) be the cubic polynomial defining \( D \). There exists a pencil of cubic curves \( C_λ : f_λ = f_0 + λf_1 = 0 \) \( λ \in \mathbb{P}^1 \) passing through the eight points \( P_1, \ldots, P_8 \). For small \( λ \), the cubic curve \( C_λ \) is close to \( D \), and the meromorphic 2-form \( ω_x \) for \( C_λ \) is also close to \( ω \). Let \( P'_9 \) be a point close to \( P_9 \) on \( C_λ \) such that

\[ \lim_{λ \to 0} χ_λ(−mK_X) = \lim_{λ \to 0} \int_{−mK_X} ω' = \int_{−mK_X} ω' = χ(−mK_X) \]

holds, where \( X' \) is the surface obtained by blow-ups at \( P_1, \ldots, P_8 \) and \( P'_9 \) instead of \( P_0 \). Thus, if \( χ(−mK_X) \neq 0 \) for small \( λ \), and therefore X does not have a pencil of degree 3m. Conversely, if \( χ(−mK_X) = 0 \), then \( χ(−mK_X) \) is close to zero, and there exists \( P''_9 \) close to \( P'_9 \) on \( C' \) such that \( χ_λ(−mK_X) = 0 \). Thus, we have

\[ \lim_{λ \to 0} χ_λ(−mK_X) = χ(−mK_X). \]

Since \( X'' \) has (at least) a pencil of curves of degree 3m passing through the nine points with multiplicity m and this condition is closed in the space of coefficients of polynomials defining curves, X also has the same property. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.3.** In Painlevé context, for the multiplicative case, χ is normalized so that \( χ(γ) = 2πi \) for a simply connected cycle γ on some \( D_i \), and the parameter ‘q’ is defined as \( q = \exp χ(−K_X) \), i.e. the condition \( χ(−mK_X) = 0 \) corresponds to \( q^m = 1 \). We must point out here that in \cite{9, 10} a similar study has been done on q-Painlevé equations, and it is reported that equation (3.1) of \cite{9} with \( q = \sqrt{−1} \) preserves degree (4,4) pencil, which seems to contradict the above theorem, but there the definition of q is different from ours (its square root is our q).
3. Examples

In this section, we give examples for cases (i-2), (ii-1) and (ii-2). A typical example of case (i-1) is the QRT mappings. There is some literature on their relation to rational elliptic surfaces [21, 5], and we do not discuss it here. In the first subsection, we investigate the action on the space of initial conditions of some mapping of case (ii-1), which was proposed in [20]. In the second subsection, we show that one of the HKY mappings belongs to case (i-2). Theoretically, from theorem 2.2, we can construct mappings of type i-2 for any integers. Actually, let \( \phi(q) \) be some \( q \)-discrete Painlevé equation and \( q \) a primitive \( m \)th root of unity; then \( \phi^m(q) \) is autonomous and preserves the Halphen fibration of index \( m \). However, the degrees of mappings obtained in this way are very high. The HKY mapping is a much simpler example. In the third subsection, we construct some example for case (ii-2), which we believe is the first example for this case.

3.1. Case (ii-1)

We start with a mapping [2, 20, 9] which preserves elliptic fibration of degree \((2, 2)\) but exchanges the fibers:

\[
x_{n+1} = -x_n - \frac{(x_n - a)(x_n - 1/a)}{(x_n + a)(x_n + 1/a)}.
\]

(1)

In this subsection, studying space of initial conditions (values), we compute the conserved quantity, the parameter ‘\( q \)’ and all singular fibers. We also clarify the relation with the \( q \)-discrete Painlevé VI equation \((qP(A_3^{(1)}))\) by Sakai’s notation by deautonomizing mapping (1), where the label \( A_3^{(1)} \) corresponds to the type of space of initial conditions.

First of all, in order to compactify the space of dependent variables, we write the equations in projective space as a two-component system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi &: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \\
\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 &= (x, y) \\
\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 &= (x, y) \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[\phi(x, y) = (\overline{x}, \overline{y}), \]

\[\overline{x} = y, \quad \overline{y} = -x \frac{(y - a)(y - 1/a)}{(y + a)(y + 1/a)}.\]

(2)

We use \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) instead of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) just because the parameters of blowing-up points become easy to write. The projective space \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is generated by the following coordinate system \((X = 1/x, Y = 1/y)\):

\[
\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 = (x, y) \cup (X, y) \cup (x, Y) \cup (X, Y).
\]

The indeterminate points for the mappings \( \phi \) and \( \phi^{-1} \) are

\[
P_1 : (x, y) = (0, -a), \quad P_2 : (x, y) = (0, -1/a), \]

\[
P_3 : (X, y) = (0, a), \quad P_3 : (X, y) = (0, 1/a), \]

\[
P_5 : (x, y) = (a, 0), \quad P_6 : (x, y) = (1/a, 0), \]

\[
P_7 : (x, Y) = (-a, 0), \quad P_8 : (x, Y) = (-1/a, 0).
\]

Let \( X \) be the surface obtained by blowing up these points. Then, \( \phi \) is lifted to an automorphism of \( X \). Such a surface \( X \) is called the space of initial conditions. More generally, if a sequence of mappings \( \{\phi_n\} \) is lifted to a sequence of isomorphisms from a surface \( X_n \) to a surface \( X_{n+1} \), each surface \( X_n \) is called the space of initial conditions.

The Picard group of \( X \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module:

\[\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}H_2 \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{8} \mathbb{Z}E_j,\]
where $H_x$ and $H_y$ are the total transforms of the lines $x = \text{const}$, $y = \text{const}$, and $E_i$ are the total transforms of the eight points of blow-ups. The intersection form of divisors is given by $H_x \cdot H_w = 1 - \delta_{zw}$, $E_i \cdot E_j = -\delta_{ij}$, $H_x \cdot E_k = 0$ for $z, w = x, y$ (see figure 1). Also the anti-canonical divisor of $X$ is

$$-K_X = 2H_x + 2H_y - \sum_{i=1}^{8} E_i.$$  

Let us denote an element of the Picard lattices by $A = h_0H_x + h_1H_y + \sum_{i=1}^{8} e_iE_i (h_i, e_i \in \mathbb{Z})$; then the induced bundle mapping acts on it as

$$\phi^* \begin{pmatrix} h_0 & h_1 & e_1 & \ldots & e_8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

It preserves the decomposition of $-K_X = \sum_{i=0}^{3} D_i$:

$$D_0 = H_x - E_1 - E_2, \quad D_1 = H_x - E_5 - E_6$$
$$D_2 = H_x - E_3 - E_4, \quad D_3 = H_x - E_7 - E_8,$$

which constitute the $A_3^{(1)}$-type singular fiber: $xy = 1$.

One can see that the elliptic curves

$$F = \alpha xy - \beta ((x^2 + 1)(y^2 + 1) + (a + 1/a)(y - x)(xy + 1)) = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad kxy - ((x^2 + 1)(y^2 + 1) + (a + 1/a)(y - x)(xy + 1)) = 0$$

correspond to the anti-canonical class (these curves pass through all $E_i$s for any $(\alpha : \beta)$). This family of curves defines a rational elliptic surface. One can see that even though the

Figure 1. Singular fiber and orthogonal complement.
straightforward calculations, we can compute the roots of the elliptic discriminant \( \Delta(k) \) as

\[
\begin{align*}
  k_1 &= 0, \quad \text{multiplicity} = 2 \\
  k_{2,3} &= \pm 4(1 + a^2)/a, \quad \text{multiplicity} = 1 \\
  k_{4,5} &= \pm (1 - a^2)^2/a^2, \quad \text{multiplicity} = 2 \\
  k_6 &= \infty, \quad \text{multiplicity} = 4.
\end{align*}
\]

We have the \( A_1^{(1)} \) singular fiber for \( k_1 \) and \( A_6^{(1)} \) fiber for \( k_{2,3} \) and \( A_4^{(1)} \) fiber for \( k_6 \). The mapping acts on these singular fibers as an exchange as \( (k_1 \to k_1, k_2 \to k_3 \to k_2, k_4 \to k_5 \to k_4, k_6 \to k_6) \).

**Remark 3.1.** In order to have a Weierstrass model, we perform some homographic transformations according to the algorithm of Schwartz [16]. Then, after long but straightforward calculations, we can compute the roots of the elliptic discriminant \( \Delta(k) \) as

\[
\begin{align*}
  k_1 &= 0, \quad \text{multiplicity} = 2 \\
  k_{2,3} &= \pm 4(1 + a^2)/a, \quad \text{multiplicity} = 1 \\
  k_{4,5} &= \pm (1 - a^2)^2/a^2, \quad \text{multiplicity} = 2 \\
  k_6 &= \infty, \quad \text{multiplicity} = 4.
\end{align*}
\]

We have the \( A_1^{(1)} \) singular fiber for \( k_1 \) and \( A_6^{(1)} \) fiber for \( k_{2,3} \) and \( A_4^{(1)} \) fiber for \( k_6 \). The mapping acts on these singular fibers as an exchange as \( (k_1 \to k_1, k_2 \to k_3 \to k_2, k_4 \to k_5 \to k_4, k_6 \to k_6) \).

**Remark 3.2.** If a surface is a generalized Halphen surface but not a Halphen surface of index 1, then the anti-canonical divisor \(-K_X\) is uniquely decomposed to a sum of effective divisors as \(-K_X = \sum m_iD_i\) and we can characterize the surface by the type of decomposition. However, if the surface is Halphen of index 1, it may have several types of singular fibers as this example.

Next, we consider deautonomization of the mapping \( \phi \). For that, we will use decomposition (3) of \(-K_X\) which is preserved by the mapping, though the decomposition and hence the deautonomization are not unique (the fiber corresponding to \( k = 0 \) is also preserved).

The affine Weyl group symmetries are related to the orthogonal complement of \( D_{\text{red}} = \{D_1, \ldots, D_6\} \). In order to see this, we note that rank \( \text{Pic}(X) = \text{rank} \langle H_1, H_6, E_1, \ldots, E_8 \rangle = 10 \). The orthogonal complement of \( D_{\text{red}} \).

\[
\langle D \rangle^\perp = \{\alpha \in \text{Pic}(X) | \alpha \cdot D_i = 0, i = 0, 3\},
\]

has six generators:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \langle D \rangle^\perp &= \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_5\} \mathbb{Z} \\
  \alpha_0 &= E_1 - E_2, \quad \alpha_1 = E_3 - E_4, \quad \alpha_2 = H_1 - E_1 - E_3 \\
  \alpha_3 &= H_1 - E_5 - E_7, \quad \alpha_4 = E_5 - E_6, \quad \alpha_5 = E_7 - E_8.
\end{align*}
\]

Related to them, we define elementary reflections:

\[
\begin{align*}
  w_i : \text{Pic}(x) &\to \text{Pic}(x), \quad w_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - c_{ij}\alpha_i,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( c_{ij} = 2(\alpha_j \cdot \alpha_i)/(\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_i) \). One can easily see that \( c_{ij} \) is a Cartan matrix of \( D_8^{(1)} \) type for the root lattice \( Q = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \). We also introduce permutations of roots:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \sigma_{10} : \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle &\to \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\
  \sigma_{\text{tot}} : \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle &\to \langle \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 \rangle.
\end{align*}
\]

The group generated by reflections and permutations becomes an extended affine Weyl group:

\[
\tilde{W}(D_8^{(1)}) = \langle w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_5, \sigma_{10}, \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle.
\]
This extended affine Weyl group can be realized as an automorphism of a family of generalized Halphen surfaces which are obtained by allowing the points of blow-ups to move so that they preserve the decomposition of $-K_X$ as

$$
P_1 : (x, y) = (0, a_1), \quad P_2 : (x, y) = (0, a_2),
$$
$$
P_3 : (X, y) = (0, a_3), \quad P_4 : (X, y) = (0, a_4),
$$
$$
P_5 : (x, y) = (a_5, 0), \quad P_6 : (x, y) = (a_6, 0),
$$
$$
P_7 : (x, Y) = (a_7, 0), \quad P_8 : (x, Y) = (a_8, 0),
$$

which can be normalized as $a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_5a_6a_7a_8 = 1$. Accordingly, our mapping lives in an extended affine Weyl group $W(D_5^{(1)})$ and deautonomized as

$$\tilde{\phi} : \begin{cases} 
\Sigma = a_1a_2y \\
\tau = -x(y - a_3)(y - a_4) \\
\nu = (y - a_1)(y - a_2)
\end{cases}
$$

with

$$
(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, q)
$$

$$
\mapsto \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{qa_6}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{qa_5}}, -\sqrt{q}a_8, \frac{a_7}{a_8} a_3, a_4, a_1, a_2, q \right),
$$

where

$$q = \frac{a_1a_2a_3a_8}{a_3a_4a_5a_6}. \tag{4}$$

This mapping can be decomposed by elementary reflections as

$$\tilde{\phi}_s = \sigma_{10} \circ \sigma_{tot} \circ \sigma_{10} \circ \sigma_{tot} \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0$$

and acts on the root lattice as

$$(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)
$$

$$\mapsto (-a_5, -a_4, -a_3, a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4 + a_5, a_0, a_1).$$

Hence, $\tilde{\phi}^4$ is a translational element of the extended affine Weyl group, and therefore one of the $q$-Painlevé VI equations ($qP(A_4^{(1)})$) in Sakai’s sense, while the original $q$-Painlevé VI studied in [15] was

$$qP_{VI} : \begin{cases} 
\Sigma = -\frac{y}{a_1a_2} \\
\tau = \frac{(y - a_1)(y - a_2)}{x(y - a_3)(y - a_4)} \\
\nu = \frac{x(y - a_3)(y - a_4)}{x(y - a_3)(y - a_4)}
\end{cases}
$$

with

$$
(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, q)
$$

$$\mapsto \left( -\sqrt{qa_5}, -\sqrt{qa_6}, \frac{a_7}{\sqrt{q}} - \frac{a_5}{\sqrt{q}}, -a_1, -a_2, -a_3, -a_4, q \right),$$

which is decomposed by elementary reflections as

$$qP_{VI} = \sigma_{10} \circ w_1 \circ w_0 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0$$

and acts on the root lattice as

$$(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)
$$

$$\mapsto (-a_4, -a_5, -a_3, -a_2 + \delta, -a_0, -a_1)
$$

$$\delta = a_0 + a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4 + a_5).$$
Finally in this subsection, we define the period map $\chi : Q \to \mathbb{C}$ and compute $q$ using

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{dx \wedge dy}{xy}. \quad (5)$$

For example, $\chi(\alpha_0)$ is computed as follows. The exceptional divisors $E_1$ and $E_2$ intersect with $D_0$ at $(x, y) = (0, a_1)$ and $(0, a_2)$, and $\chi(\alpha_0)$ is computed as

$$\chi(\alpha_0) = \int_{|x|=t, \ y=a_2-a_1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{dx \wedge dy}{xy}$$

$$= -\int_{a_2}^{a_1} \frac{dy}{y}$$

$$= \log \frac{a_2}{a_1}$$

where $y = a_2 \sim a_1$ denotes a path from $y = a_2$ to $y = a_1$ in $D_1$. According to the ambiguity of paths, the result should be considered in modulo $2\pi i \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, we obtain

$$\chi(\alpha_0) = \log \frac{a_2}{a_1}, \quad \chi(\alpha_1) = \log \frac{a_3}{a_4}, \quad \chi(\alpha_2) = \log \frac{a_1}{a_3}, \quad \chi(\alpha_3) = \log \frac{a_2}{a_5}, \quad \chi(\alpha_4) = \log \frac{a_5}{a_6}, \quad \chi(\alpha_5) = \log \frac{a_6}{a_7}$$

and therefore we have

$$\chi(-K_X) = \log \frac{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6}{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6}$$

and $q$ as (4) (see remark 2.3). For the mapping $\phi$, we have $q = 1$.

3.2. Case (i-2)

We consider the following HKY mapping which is a symmetric reduction of $qP_Y$ for $q = -1$ [12] (also [7] p 311):

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{(x - t)(x + t)}{y(x - 1)}$$

$$\tilde{y} = x. \quad (6)$$
We define the space of initial conditions as a rational surface obtained by blow-ups from \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) at eight points (see figure 2):

- \(P_1 : (x, y) = (a_1, 0) = (t, 0),\)
- \(P_2 : (x, y) = (a_2, 0) = (-t, 0),\)
- \(P_3 : (x, y) = (0, a_3) = (0, 1),\)
- \(P_4 : (x, y) = (0, a_4) = (0, -t),\)
- \(P_5 : (x, y) = (1, \infty),\)
- \(P_6 : (x, y) = (\infty, 1),\)
- \(P_7 : (x, y) = (\infty, a_5) = (\infty, 1),\)

where \(a_8\) will be used for deautonomization later. The system acts on the surface as a holomorphic automorphism.

Again we investigate the linear system of the anti-canonical divisor class \(-K_X = 2H_s + 2H_t - E_1 - \cdots - E_8\). For the example, \(\dim -K_X = 0\) and \(\dim -2K_X = 1\). Actually, we have

\[
|2K_X| = \alpha x^2 y^2 + \beta (2x^3 y^3 + 2x^3 y^3 + x^4 y^4 + x^3 y^3 - 2x^3 y - 2xy^4 - 2x^4 y + x^4 + y^4
+ 2r^2 (x^2 y^2 + x^2 y - y^2 - x^2) + r^4) \equiv \alpha f + \beta g,
\]

and

\[
k = \frac{8}{f} = (2x^3 y^3 + x^3 y^3 + x^4 y^4 + x^3 y^3 - 2x^3 y - 2xy^4 - 2x^4 y
+ x^4 + y^4 + 2r^2 (x^2 y^2 + x^2 y - y^2 - x^2) + r^4) / (x^2 y^2)
\]

is the conserved quantity. So it belongs to case (ii-1).

**Remark 3.3.** We say that a curve \(f(x, y) = 0\) passes through a point \((x_0, y_0)\) with multiplicity \(m\) if \(\frac{y'(x_0, y_0)}{x'(x_0, y_0)} = 0\) for any \(j \leq m\) and \(p + q = j\). The calculation of multiplicity at \(P_8\) is very sensitive. For example, for \(f(x, y) = x^2 y^2\), we relate \(F(X, Y) = X^2 Y^2\) so that the sum of degrees is \((4, 4)\). Since this curve passes through \(P_8\) with multiplicity 2, the proper transform of the curve in the coordinate \((u, v) = (X, Y/X)\) is given by \(F(u, uv)/u^2 = u^2 v^2\), which passes through \(P_8 : (u, v) = (0, 1)\) with multiplicity 2.

**Remark 3.4.** The unique anti-canonical divisor \(-K_X\) is decomposed as \(-K_X = \sum_{i=0}^4 D_i\) by

\[
D_0 = H_s - E_1 - E_2, \quad D_1 = H_t - E_0 - E_7
\]
\[
D_2 = E_7 - E_8, \quad D_3 = H_s - E_5 - E_6, \quad D_4 = H_t - E_3 - E_4,
\]

which constitute the \(A_4^{11}\)-type singular fiber \(xy = 1\). The orthogonal complement of \(D_8\) is generated by

\[
a_0 = H_s + H_t - E_1 - E_3 - E_7 - E_8, \quad a_1 = E_1 - E_2
\]
\[
a_2 = H_t - E_1 - E_5, \quad a_3 = H_s - E_5 - E_6, \quad a_4 = E_3 - E_4,
\]

which forms the Dynkin diagram of type \(D_4^1\). Let \(\omega\) be the same as (5); then the period map \(\chi : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\) for \(Q = \bigoplus_{i=0}^4 \mathbb{Z} a_i\) is computed as

\[
\chi(a_0) = \log \left( -\frac{a_3}{a_1 a_5} \right), \quad \chi(a_1) = \log \frac{a_1}{a_2}, \quad \chi(a_2) = \log \frac{1}{a_1},
\]
\[
\chi(a_3) = \log a_3, \quad \chi(a_4) = \log \frac{a_4}{a_3},
\]

and therefore

\[
\chi(-K_X) = \log \frac{a_3 a_4}{a_1 a_2 a_5} + \pi i.
\]

Hence, we can take \(q\) as

\[
q = -\frac{a_3 a_4}{a_1 a_2 a_5}
\]

and we have \(q = -1\) for the original mapping.
Mapping (6) can be deautonomized to one of $qP_V (qP(A_4^{(1)}))$ as
\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{x} &= \frac{a_3(x-a_1)(x-a_2)}{y(x-1)} \\
\vec{y} &= x
\end{align*}
\]
with
\[(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, q) \mapsto \left( \frac{a_4}{q}, \frac{a_3}{q}, a_1, a_2, -\frac{1}{a_5}, q \right),\]
which acts on the root lattice as
\[(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \mapsto (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, -\alpha_4, -\alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_1).\]

While the original $qP_V$ mapping was
\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{x} &= \frac{a_5(x-a_1)(x-a_2)}{y(x-1)} \\
\vec{y} &= x
\end{align*}
\]
(same with the above) with
\[(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, q) \mapsto \left( \frac{a_4}{q}, \frac{a_3}{q}, a_2, a_1, -\frac{1}{a_5}, q \right),\]
which acts on the root lattice as
\[(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \mapsto (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, -\alpha_4, -\alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, -\alpha_1).\]

3.3. Case (ii-2)

We consider the following mapping $\varphi$:
\[
\varphi : \begin{cases}
\vec{x} &= \frac{x(-ix(x+1) + y(bx+1))}{y(x-b) + iby(x-1)} \\
\vec{y} &= \frac{x(x(x+1) + iby(x-1))}{b(x(x+1) - iy(x-1))}
\end{cases}
\]
which is obtained by specializing one of $qP(A_4^{(1)})$ equation. Note that the space of initial conditions for both $qP_{III}$ and $qP_{IV}$ is the generalized Haphen surface of type $A_3^{(1)}$ [15], and thus we may not be able to say that a translational element of the corresponding affine Weyl group is one of $qP_{III}$ equations or $qP_{IV}$ equations.

The inverse of $\varphi$ is
\[
\varphi^{-1} : \begin{cases}
\vec{x} &= \frac{y(bxy-bx-by+1)}{xy-x+by+1} \\
\vec{y} &= -iy(bxy-bx-by+1)(bxy+x-by+1)
\end{cases}
\]
and the space of initial conditions is obtained by blow-ups from $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at eight points (see figure 3):
\[
\begin{align*}
P_1 : (x, y) &= (-1, 0), \quad P_2 : (x, y) = (0, 1/b) \\
P_3 : (x, y) &= (1, \infty), \quad P_4 : (x, y) = (\infty, 1) \\
P_5 : (x, y) &= (0, 0), \quad P_6 : (x, y/x) = (0, i) \\
P_7 : (x, y) &= (\infty, \infty), \quad P_8 : (x, y/x) = (\infty, -ib).
\end{align*}
\]
Then \( \varphi \) acts on the surface as a holomorphic automorphism.

For the above example, \( \dim |-K_X| \) is 0 and \( \dim |-2K_X| \) is 1. Actually, we have

\[
0 = k f_0(x, y) - f_1(x, y)
\]

\[
|-2K_X| : \quad kx^2y^2 - (ix(x + 1)^2 - i(x + i)(x^2 - 1)y + b(x - 1)^2y^2)(-ix(y - 1) + y(by - 1))
\]

By \( \varphi \), the parameter

\[
k = \frac{f_1(x, y)}{f_0(x, y)}
\]

is mapped to \(-k\). So

\[
k^2 = \left( \frac{f_1(x, y)}{f_0(x, y)} \right)^2
\]

is the conserved quantity and \( \varphi \) belongs to case (ii-2).

We found this example by observing the following facts.

- Let \( X \) be a generalized Halphen surface of multiplicative type; then \( \exp(\chi(-K_X)) \) is the parameter \( q \) of the corresponding \( q \)-discrete Painlevé equation. From theorem 2.2, if \( q \) is a primitive \( m \)th root of unity, then \( \dim |-kK_X| \) is 0 for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1 \) and 1 for \( k = m \).

- Let \( \psi \) be an automorphism of the surface. If there exists another automorphism \( \sigma \) of the surface such that \( \sigma \) acts on the base space of \( |-mK_X| \) nontrivially, then \( \varphi = \sigma \circ \psi \) belongs to case (ii-2) unless it is of finite order.

First, we consider the family of generalized Halphen surfaces of type \( A_6^{(1)} \). Those surfaces are obtained by blow-ups from \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) at eight points:

- \( P_1 : (x, y) = (b_1, 0) \)
- \( P_2 : (x, y) = (0, 1/b_2) \)
- \( P_3 : (x, y) = (1, \infty) \)
- \( P_4 : (x, y) = (\infty, 1) \)
- \( P_5 : (x, y) = (0, 0) \)
- \( P_6 : (x, y/x) = (0, c) \)
- \( P_7 : (x, y) = (\infty, \infty) \)
- \( P_8 : (x, x/y) = (\infty, 1/(cb_0)) \).

The anti-canonical divisor \( xy = 0 \) is decomposed by

\[
H_x - E_2 - E_5, \quad E_5 - E_6, \quad H_y - E_1 - E_4, \quad H_x - E_4 - E_7, \quad E_7 - E_8, \quad H_y - E_3 - E_7
\]
and their orthogonal complement is generated by

\[\alpha_0 = H_x + H_y - E_5 - E_6 - E_7 - E_8\]
\[\alpha_1 = H_x - E_1 - E_3\]
\[\alpha_2 = H_y - E_2 - E_4\]
\[\beta_0 = H_x + H_y - E_1 - E_2 - E_7 - E_8\]
\[(\beta_1 = H_x + H_y - E_3 - E_4 - E_5 - E_6).\]

The period map \(\chi : Q \to \mathbb{C}\) for the same \(\omega\) with \((5)\) is computed as

\[\chi(\alpha_0) = -\log b_0, \quad \chi(\alpha_1) = -\log b_1, \quad \chi(\alpha_2) = -\log b_2,\]
\[\chi(\beta_0) = -\log(-c b_0 b_1 b_2), \quad \chi(\beta_1) = \log(-c),\]

and therefore \(\chi(-K_x) = -\log(b_0 b_1 b_2).\) We set \(g = (b_0 b_1 b_2)^{-1}\).

The following actions generate the group of automorphisms of the family of surfaces, whose type is \(A_2^{(1)} + A_1^{(1)}:\)

\[(x, y; b_0, b_1, b_2, c)\text{ is mapped to}\]
\[w_{\alpha_1} : \left(\frac{x}{b_1}, \frac{y(x - 1)}{b_1}, \frac{b_0 b_1, 1}{b_1}, b_1 b_2, c\right)\]
\[w_{\alpha_2} : \left(\frac{b_2 y - 1}{b_2 y - 1}, \frac{b_2 y; b_0 b_1, 1}{b_2}, b_1 b_2, c\right)\]
\[\pi : \left(\frac{1}{y}, \frac{1}{b_0}, \frac{1}{b_1}, \frac{1}{b_2}, \frac{1}{c}\right)\]
\[\rho : \left(\frac{1}{y}, \frac{1}{b_0}, \frac{1}{b_1}, \frac{1}{b_2}, \frac{1}{c}\right)\]
\[w_{\beta_1} : \left(\frac{-c x (y - x - y)}{xy + c x - y}, \frac{y (x y - x - y)}{(c x y - c x + y); b_0, b_1, 1}{c}\right)\]
\[\sigma : \left(\frac{1}{b_1}, \frac{1}{b_2}, \frac{1}{b_0 b_1 b_2 c}\right),\]

\[w_{\alpha_0} = \rho^{-1} \circ w_{\alpha_1} \circ \rho \quad \text{and} \quad w_{\beta_0} = \sigma \circ w_{\beta_1} \circ \sigma.\]

Here, \(w_{\alpha_i}\) acts as the elementary reflection of the affine Weyl group of type \(A_2^{(1)}\) on \(\bigoplus \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i\) and trivially on \(\bigoplus \mathbb{Z} \beta_j\). Similarly, \(w_{\beta_i}\) acts trivially on \(\bigoplus \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i\) and as the elementary reflection of the affine Weyl group of type \(A_1^{(1)}\) on \(\bigoplus \mathbb{Z} \beta_j\). The generators \((\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_0, \beta_1)\) are mapped by \(\pi, \rho, \sigma\) to

\[\pi : (\alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1)\]
\[\rho : (\alpha_2, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1)\]
\[\sigma : (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_0, \beta_1).\]

If \(g = (b_0 b_1 b_2)^{-1} = -1\), then \(\chi(-K_x) = -\log(-1) = -\pi i \mod 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}\), and \(|-2K_x|\), i.e. the set of curves of degree \((4, 4)\) passing through the blow-up points with multiplicity 2, is given by

\[k_0 x^2 y^2 + k_1 (c^2 x^2 (y - 1)^2 + 2 b_1 c x^2 (c x y - c x + y + b_2 y^2 (x y - x - y)) + b_1^2 (c^2 x^2 + 2 c x y (b_2 y - 1) + (y + b_2 y^2 (x - 1))^2)) = 0.\]

Moreover, if \(c = i\), then \(\sigma\) acts identically on the parameter space and maps \(k_1/k_0\) to \(-k_1/k_0\).
Let $\psi = (w_{u_1} \circ w_{u_2} \circ \rho)^2$, where $w_{u_1} \circ w_{u_2} \circ \rho$ is the original $qP_{III}$ equation

$$
(x, y; b_0, b_1, b_2, c) \mapsto \left( \frac{cx - y}{b_2(y(cx - y) - y(b_0(cx - y))}, \frac{b_0}{q}, b_1, b_2, c \right)
$$

and acts on the root lattice as

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_0, \beta_1) \mapsto (\alpha_0 - \delta, \alpha_1 + \delta, \alpha_2, \beta_0, \beta_1)$$

$$(\delta = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1).$$

Then the mapping $\psi$ acts trivially on the parameter space. Since it is a very intricate mapping, we restrict the parameters to $b_0 = 1/b, b_1 = -1$ and $b_2 = b$; then we have $\varphi = \sigma \circ \psi$ as (7), which acts on the root lattice as

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_0, \beta_1) \mapsto (\alpha_0 - 2\delta, \alpha_1 + 2\delta, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_0).$$

As a conclusion, the mapping $\sigma \circ w_{u_1} \circ w_{u_2} \circ \rho \circ w_{u_1} \circ w_{u_2} \circ \rho$ with the full parameter $b_1, b_2, b_3, c$ is one of $qP(A_2^{(1)})$ equations and gives the mapping by specializing the parameters.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we tried to extend the algebraic–geometric approach (given in [15, 4]) to second-order mappings preserving elliptic fibrations. The case of invariants of higher degree occurs when the corresponding surface is a Halphen surface of higher index or when fibers are exchanged. We gave a classification of such mappings and also a theorem which characterizes their space of initial conditions as Halphen pencils of higher index. Finally, three examples showed explicit realizations of the above-mentioned results. Existence of examples of case (ii-m) for $m \geq 3$ is a future problem.

A finer classification may be done by the types of singular fibers and the automorphism of surfaces. Indeed, the symmetries of generalized Halphen surfaces have a close relationship with the Mordell–Weil lattice of rational elliptic surfaces. However, there are too many types of surfaces and we gave a coarse but useful classification in this paper.
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