Diagnosing Chronic Fatigue Syndrome in South Asians: Lessons from a Secondary Analysis of a UK Qualitative Study
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Abstract

Background: Chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalitis (CFS/ME) is rarely diagnosed in South Asia (SA), although the symptoms of this condition are seen in the population. Lessons from UK based South Asian, Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) communities may be of value in identifying barriers to diagnosis of CFS/ME in SA. Objectives: To explore why CFS/ME may not be commonly diagnosed in SA. Settings and Design: A secondary analysis of qualitative data on the diagnosis and management of CFS/ME in BME people of predominantly South Asian origin in the UK using 27 semi-structured qualitative interviews with people with CFS/ME, carers, general practitioners (GPs), and community leaders. Results: CFS/ME is seen among the BME communities in the UK. People from BME communities in the UK can present to healthcare practitioners with vague physical complaints and they can hold a biomedical model of illness. Patients found it useful to have a label of CFS/ME although some GPs felt it to be a negative label. Access to healthcare can be limited by GPs reluctance to diagnose CFS/ME, their lack of knowledge and patients negative experiences. Cultural aspects among BME patients in the UK also act as a barrier to the diagnosis of CFS/ME. Conclusion: Cultural values and practices influence the diagnosis of CFS/ME in BME communities. The variations in the perceptions around CFS/ME among patients, carers, and health professionals may pose challenges in diagnosing CFS/ME in SA as well. Raising awareness of CFS/ME would improve the diagnosis and management of patients with CFS/ME in SA.
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Introduction

Chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) or myalgic encephalitis (ME) is characterized by severe, disabling, medically unexplained fatigue that is not alleviated by rest and lasts for at least 6 months [Tables 1 and 2]1,3 CFS/ME causes high levels of disability and burden to affected individuals, families, and society; and is associated with high economic costs in the UK.3,4 The National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) guideline for CFS/ME in England for CFS/ME emphasizes the importance of confident diagnosis in primary care, starting treatment early, and working in partnership with people with CFS/ME to manage the condition.2

The population prevalence of CFS/ME in UK has been estimated to be 0.2-0.4%,3,4 and recent research suggests that the prevalence of CFS/ME may be higher for some minority ethnic groups than for White people in the UK.5 Research on CFS/ME is mostly available from the US and the UK, and data from the East is scarce. The prevalence of disabling fatigue from a World Health Organization (WHO) multinational study, including South Asia (SA) was found to be 1.69%,1 and a community study among women in India revealed that chronic fatigue was seen in 1 in 10 women, although the prevalence of CFS/ME is not known.6 A study carried out among Chinese people in Hong Kong also revealed that the estimated prevalence of CFS/ME...
Materials and Methods

The study reported is a secondary analysis of qualitative data on diagnosis and management of CFS/ME in the North West England. Secondary analysis involves the utilization of existing data in order to pursue a research interest which is distinct from that of the original work. The current study focuses on the CFS/ME in BME people of predominantly South Asian origin living in the UK to ascertain patients’, carers’, community leaders’, and GP’s perceptions of CFS/ME. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Greater Manchester East Ethics Committee, and the study was carried out in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee.

Data

Data were collected during 2011-2012. A purposive sample of BME patients, carers, GPs, and community leaders were recruited for the study [Tables 3 and 4]. All interviews were digitally audiotaped with consent and were transcribed verbatim.

Analysis

A new analytic framework was developed on “culture and barriers to diagnosis”. The secondary researcher was naive to original research findings. The process of refinement and validation of findings was conducted through a collaborative exercise creating feedback loops between the secondary researcher and the primary researchers. Further discussion between authors resulted in the identification of themes specifically relevant to BME patients presented in this paper.

Results

Data are presented in three key themes, models of illness, access to care, and having a label. Data will be presented to support the analysis, and is identified by participant code [Table 5].

Table 1: Centers for disease control and prevention (CDC) criteria for diagnosing CFS/ME (1994) – includes three criteria

| Fatigue | Endurance | Memory | Sleep | Infections | Transformation | Temperature |
|---------|-----------|--------|-------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| Yes     | Yes       | No     | No    | Yes        | Yes           | No          |

Table 2: The Oxford criteria for diagnosing chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalitis (CFS/ME) (1991)

| Fatigue | Endurance | Memory | Sleep | Infections | Transformation | Temperature |
|---------|-----------|--------|-------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| Yes     | Yes       | Yes    | Yes   | Yes        | Yes           | Yes         |

Table 3: Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) patient, carer, and community leader demographics

| ID number | Gender | Age (years) | Ethnicity (self-disclosed) |
|-----------|--------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Patient 1 | Male   | 61          | Indian                     |
| Patient 2 | Female | 37          | Pakistani                  |
| Patient 3 | Female | 52          | Pakistani                  |
| Patient 4 | Female | 32          | Indian                     |
| Patient 5 | Male   | 35          | Indian                     |
| Patient 6 | Female | 36          | Pakistani                  |
| Patient 7 | Female | 48          | Pakistani                  |
| Patient 8 | Male   | 38          | Pakistani                  |
| Patient 9 | Female | 40          | Black British              |
| Patient 10| Female | 39          | Black British              |
| Patient 11| Female | 82          | Pakistani                  |
| Carer 1   | Female | 57          | Indian                     |
| Carer 2   | Male   | 71          | Pakistani                  |
| Community leader 1 | Male | Indian | |
| Community leader 2 | Male | Pakistani | |
| Community leader 3 | Male | Indian | |
| Community leader 4 | Female | Pakistani | |
| Community leader 5 | Female | Pakistani | |
Models of illness
Patients and community leaders suggested that health professionals might focus on physical symptoms and patients may not be encouraged to discuss nonspecific symptoms such as fatigue. The majority of GPs described CFS/ME as a set of symptoms which they found hard to understand, but alluded to a ‘biopsycho-social’ etiology and impacting on function. [Table 4, (Quotes 1 and 2)].

Some patients suggested that their symptoms might be attributed in their cultures to black magic, thus patients may consult a spiritual healer rather than go to the GP (Quotes 3 and 4).

Access to care
Some GPs described uncertainty and unwillingness to make a diagnosis of CFS/ME, attributing this to their own lack of knowledge about CFS/ME and its management. CFS/ME was described as a diagnosis of exclusion, a difficult diagnosis to

| Health professional ID | Gender | Ethnicity | Number of years qualified | List size |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| GP1                    | Female | White British | 24 | 5,800 (45% BME) |
| GP2                    | Male   | White British | 24 | 6,300 (7% BME) |
| GP3                    | Female | White British | 14 | 6,300 (51% BME) |
| GP4                    | Female | White British | 10 | 16,000 (60% BME) |
| GP5                    | Female | South Asian   | 6  | 1,640 (less than 1% BME) |
| GP6                    | Male   | South Asian   | 8  | 8,150 (1% BME) |
| GP7                    | Female | White British | 8  | 18,000 (5% BME) |
| GP8                    | Female | White British | 16 | 5,000 (50% BME) |
| GP9                    | Male   | Indian        | 8  | 7,000 (5% BME) |

Table 5: Quotes of general practitioners (GPs), Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) patients, carers, and community leaders

Models of Illness
Quote 1 “Sort of slightly nebulous symptoms that don't fall into any particular pigeonhole I suppose, so not into any particular body system and where blood tests are often completely normal. Perhaps symptoms suggesting psychosomatic almost depressive symptoms I suppose. Just not coping. Needing more sleep, not sleeping, physical problems.” GP8, female, White British

Quote 2 “CFS is such; I think everyone does agree that it is something. And the patients definitely do agree that it really is debilitating. I mean, fatigue is the main one, that's the big one that may be a thingy, un-refreshed sleep if there's fatigue, lack of energy not related to exertion and which is not improved by rest.” GP9, male, Indian

Quote 3 “South Asians do somatize, they think the GP can only help with physical problems like pain.” Community leader 2, male, Pakistani

Quote 4 “I think what some of the reason might be, that if you do get symptoms like that, sometimes within the Asian culture, I'm not sure if you've heard, people think it's due to sort of black magic or something like that. And that's the first thing they think of.” Patient 8, male, Pakistani

Quote 5 “I lack any information, so I don't want to suggest it to a patient if I don't know what's available for them there.” GP5, female, Pakistani

Quote 6 “They don't help us for fatigue. And I don't know why. Then I think well, what's the point of saying? Sometimes it's kind of frustration because they don't really do anything. They don't help you in this way.” Patient 3, female, Pakistani

Quote 7 “It's just been, like, a long journey for me, really, just to get this far. Just angry and upset, just, like, it's very, very hard to make them understand.” Patient 9, female, Black British

Quote 8 “That is the hardest thing, that is what I find the hardest, even if they didn't find they can cure me, but, just to believe me and have understanding of me, that's all I want.” Patient 6, female, Pakistani

Quote 9 “He's telling me that there's nothing wrong with your husband, he's being lazy. And that really got me so angry.” Carer 1, female, Indian

Quote 10 “The problem with the Asian GPs is they become like friends of the family. Now when you're going to the GP, it's better for him to be like a professional person, because they've known some of these older people, you know, maybe 30 odd years and he's seen them grow. And sometimes they have the attitude 'oh well, its age, old age has caught up to you'. The doctor just says 'oh look after him', and all that, you know, and not really referring them on to the hospital to be diagnosed properly.” Community leader 3, male, Indian

Access to care

Quote 11 “We didn't know what it was, or why I was feeling like this. And I was under a lot of stress at the time as well, because I had three very young children.” Patient 7, female, Pakistani

Quote 12 “I think actually, when it was diagnosed formally, that was actually a relief for me, because at least I knew that finally I could tell people what I had.” Patient 5, male, Indian

Quote 13 “It was really hard, I think again because I look well, and again I think because of the stigma generally attached to chronic fatigue, and sort of things like being you're lazy or it's like yuppie disease, you know. So I think there is that assumption that you're probably not doing enough.” Patient 11, female, Pakistani

Quote 14 “I think it's a negative label. I would try very hard not to use the label because I think it's so pejorative and so unhelpful.” GP1, female, White British
make, but also as a negative label which might mean patients cannot improve (Quote 5).

Many patients and carers reported negative experiences of consulting GPs about their symptoms of CFS/ME, and they had chosen not to consult in the future (Quote 6). Patients reported having waited a considerable time before a diagnosis of CFS/ME was achieved (Quote 7).

Patients of BME origin described the importance of being believed and taken seriously by their healthcare professionals, and they described how difficult it had been to convince the GPs of their symptoms (Quotes 8 and 9).

BME patients and community leaders described how GPs who were family friends or part of the community might be less likely to suggest a diagnosis of CFS/ME, and this can contribute to patients not seeking a medical opinion (Quote 10).

**Having a label**

Patients described how important it is to have a diagnosis or label, as this can legitimize their symptoms and help them to understand and cope with their illness. The lack of a label can be stressful to them as well as their families (Quote 11) and the diagnosis provides an explanation they could communicate to other people, their family, friends, and employers (Quote 12).

Some patients, however, suggested that the stigma attached to the label of CFS/ME can make it more difficult to accept. Community leaders described how people with CFS/ME could be given stigmatizing labels such as ‘lazy’, ‘liars’, or ‘crazy’ by their community and BME patients may therefore want to avoid this potentially stigmatizing diagnosis (Quote 13).

Many GPs stated that CFS/ME is a negative label as they lacked knowledge on the management of CFS/ME, which they felt, would lead to lack of hope for recovery in the patient (Quote 14).

**Discussion**

**Summary of results**

CFS/ME is seen among the BME communities of predominantly South Asian origin in the UK, and is also reported in some Asian countries. However, even in the UK, CFS/ME is recognized and diagnosed only by some GPs, while others fail to make a diagnosis. This may be seen in SA as well, where healthcare professionals are not recognizing CFS/ME and therefore patients may be labeled as ‘somatizers’.

This study suggests people from BME communities report only consulting with their GP for physical symptoms. Some GPs in this study reported not being comfortable in making a diagnosis of CFS/ME, and they described lacking knowledge about CFS/ME. Patients and carers reported negative experiences of consulting GPs when the GP is skeptical about the condition, resulting in access to healthcare being negatively affected. Patients have had symptoms of CFS/ME for a long duration before they were diagnosed with CFS/ME. Patients reported they require a label although some GPs who lacked knowledge on the management of CFS/ME stated CFS/ME to be a negative label.

**Comparison with the literature**

Evidence suggests that health professionals can hold a “somatization” model of illness where patients are thought to be expressing social and emotional problems in physical symptoms.[9] A community study in India revealed that women with chronic fatigue were more likely to report other physical symptoms and that the rates of chronic fatigue lasting for at least 6 months to be similar to or higher than those reported from developed countries.[6] This was also seen in our study where GPs reported that patients tended to present with other physical symptoms. Although MUSs are among the most common causes of morbidity in developing countries,[10] these complaints tend to be associated with multiple other complaints specially among the poor.[20]

Patients report benefiting from a label as this enables them to discuss their illness with their families, places of work, and colleagues; which may improve understanding of the condition. However, access to care for people with CFS/ME is limited, acting as a barrier to early diagnosis of CFS/ME even in countries where it is recognized with accepted guidelines for patient care. Previous studies in the UK, also report that GPs felt that current training and education failed to prepare them for diagnosing and managing patients with CFS/ME in the way recommended by current guidelines.[14,21] Guise et al., report that patients describe themselves as experiencing limited medical care and attention.[22] Candidacy describes the ways in which people’s eligibility for medical attention and intervention is jointly negotiated between individuals and health services,[21] thus disadvantaged people may have barriers in experiencing healthcare. The concept of recursivity, describes how the response of the system to individuals may reinforce or discourage future health behaviors.[23,24] Self-evaluation of the symptoms of fatigue left patients and their families unsure of whether it was appropriate to consult, thus not possessing candidacy[22] and reluctance of GPs to make a diagnosis and patients’ negative experiences can act recursively to become a barrier to access to future healthcare.

**Strengths and limitations of the study**

A strength of this study is that a range of stakeholders; including patients, carers, community leaders, and GPs were interviewed to provide insights into the barriers faced in both obtaining and providing a diagnosis of CFS/ME in BME groups in the UK. This is valuable data as this group is often hard to reach due to language and cultural barriers.

The BME participants in this study were South Asian and Black British. Results cannot be generalized from these data as there will be differences within and between BME groups. It is also
important to recognize that not all the findings are unique to BME groups. However, the participants in this study describe how such barriers can be exaggerated in BME groups. Study carried out in one geographical area in England therefore may not be generalizable to SA.

Implications for clinical practice, teaching, and training

The variations in the perceptions around CFS/ME among patients, carers, and GPs may pose challenges in diagnosing CFS/ME in SA as seen in similar communities in the UK. It is important to raise awareness of patients with MUS such as CFS/ME in SA in order to encourage patients to seek healthcare. Lack of continuity in care can also act as a barrier in diagnosing CFS/ME as patients may present to different healthcare practitioners thus delaying the diagnosis of CFS/ME.

This study also highlights the importance of training of healthcare professionals to be aware of symptoms of CFS/ME, cultural aspects affecting presentation, and be sensitive to the needs of patients with CFS/ME.

Future research directions

Further research to understand patient, community, and healthcare practitioner beliefs; and experiences in CFS/ME and other MUSs will be of value, in developing a culturally sensitive consultation model and in improving the diagnosis of CFS/ME in SA.
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