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Abstract
In Japanese, there are different expressions used in speech depending on the speaker’s and listener’s social status, called honorifics. Unlike other languages, Japanese has many types of honorific expressions, and it is vital for machine translation and dialogue systems to handle the differences in meaning correctly. However, there is still no corpus that deals with honorific expressions based on social status. In this study, we developed an honorific corpus (KeiCO corpus) that includes social status information based on Systemic Functional Linguistics, which expresses language use in situations from the social group’s values and common understanding. As a general-purpose language resource, it filled in the Japanese honorific blanks. We expect the KeiCO corpus could be helpful for various tasks, such as improving the accuracy of machine translation, automatic evaluation, correction of Japanese composition and style transformation. We also verified the accuracy of our corpus by a BERT-based classification task. We release our corpus KeiCO for further research: https://github.com/Liumx2020/KeiCO-corpus/blob/main/keico_corpus.csv.
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1. Introduction

Japanese honorific or Keigo (敬語) is an expression of respect used in Japanese to indicate social rank, intimacy and other relationships among the speaker, the listener and the person mentioned in the conversation. (Aapakallio, 2021)

In many social situations in Japan, honorifics are necessary to express appropriate social status relationships and politeness. Japanese honorifics are generally divided into three categories: respectful (sonkeigo, 尊敬語), humble (kenjogo, 謙譲語), polite (teineigo, 丁寧語). In addition, depending on the content of the conversation and the listener, the speaker may use honorific prefixes, verb morphing, which forms two particular types of honorific: word beautification (bikago, 美化語), and courteous language (teicho, 丁重語).

However, there is no corpus that contains detailed information on the language used by social groups, such as the situation of language use, social role relationships among interlocutors, and means of interaction. Therefore, it is not easy to construct a machine learning model that takes social factors into account and uses appropriate honorifics.

In this study, we attempt to construct and validate a Japanese honorific corpus (KeiCO corpus) which contains more detailed information on social factors based on systemic functional linguistics, which analyzes language from the viewpoint of language use in social groups. We will also make the constructed KeiCO corpus available as a language resource.

Our work has the following contributions.

• We contributed a corpus of 10,007 Japanese sentences. It is the first corpus about honorific sentences. The corpus is based on systemic functional linguistics and contains detailed information on the honorific level, the social relationship between the speaker and the listener, and conversational situations or topics. They filled in the honorific blanks of machine translation, dialogue system, and semantic analysis.

• On the base of our corpus, we took another step on analysis and we got some characteristics of honorific sentences. Through these characteristics, we can help people to better understand honorific sentences under natural circumstances.

2. Related Work

Because politeness is usually regarded as a style, the level of honorifics in Japanese can be thought of as several different politeness styles.

Recently, there is much research using machine learning to deal with the politeness of sentences. For example, Resmi and Naseer (2019) created a politeness classifier to classify responses as polite, rude or neutral. Niu and Bansal (2018a) proposed three weakly supervised models that could generate different polite (or rude) conversational responses in the absence of parallel data.

In addition, a lot of controllable natural language generation (NLG) research develop generation methods that incorporate various style transformations, such as length, politeness, perspective, descriptiveness, emotion, and so on. Tsai et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022. Tsai et al. (2021) propose schema-guided NLG focusing on semantic stylistic control, and showed that disentangling context generation and stylistic variations is more effective at achieving semantic correctness and style accuracy. Liu et al. (2022) propose an Edit-Invariant Sequence Loss (EISL), which computes the matching loss.
of a target \( n \)-gram with all \( n \)-grams in the generated sequence, and shows the usefulness of EISL applying it to style transferred NLG.

In the task of polite style transformation for English, Madaan et al. (2020) introduce a new task of politeness transfer which involves converting non-polite sentences to polite sentences while preserving the meaning. They also provide a dataset of more than 1.39 million instances from Enron corpus following the same pre-processing by Shetty and Adibi (Klimt and Yang, 2004), and assign politeness scores to those sentences by using a politeness classifier (Niu and Bansal, 2018). There are some existing corpora of English that use manual annotation of the politeness and formality of utterances. For example, Rao and Tetreault (2018) has been studied to classify sentences into six levels of formality; and Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil et al. (2013) requires the annotator to indicate how polite she or he considers the request to be using a slider from “very impolite” to “very polite”, normalized by a standard Z-score to obtain a definite value. However, there has been no work related to assigning ranks to Japanese honorifics and paraphrasing between the ranks. This is due to two reasons: (1) no corpus exists to support such work, and (2) existing NLP models are not mature enough in their treatment of honorifics. For example, Feely et al. (2019) classifies Japanese sentences into one of three levels of informal, polite or formal speech in parallel texts.

They used the NMT model to learn the difference in the degree of formality in Japanese by identifying honorifics in Japanese in parallel training data and by labelling the source language with additional features. This is a way to control the level of formality of Japanese output in English to Japanese Neural Machine Translation (NMT). However, by simply classifying sentences as informal, polite or formal speech, important linguistic information such as respectful speech and modest speech is lost, which does not help to improve the accuracy of the results after machine learning. Among other honorific tasks, such as the task of judging the correctness of honorific use, Shirado et al. (2011) constructs a set of rules for evaluating the appropriateness of misused honorific expressions based on subject-verb-object and some grammatical features of honorifics to help judge the appropriateness of honorific use, which can help identify the social relationship between the speaker and the hearer, but still missing information about the different degrees of respect of honorifics. Due to the limitations of automatically extracting linguistic knowledge based on grammatical rules, it is impossible to obtain deep knowledge from a corpus with tags of shallow information or the original corpus. This also leads to challenges in data annotation or data collection due to the subjective nature of language style compared to other NLP tasks such as question answering (Xu, 2017). A possible solution is to assign deep information tags to the corpus depend-

| SFL | Meaning | Annotation labels in KeiCO corpus |
|-----|---------|----------------------------------|
| Field | What we want to talk about. | Field |
| Tenor | The social relationship between the participants in a conversation. | Honorific level |

Table 1: Field-Tenor-Mode Framework in SFL

![Figure 1: System Network of Mode system](image)

3. Systemic Functional Linguistics

In Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL, refer to appendix [Appendix A] for details), a language system is a concentric hierarchy of different types of symbolic systems - semantics, lexico-grammar, and phonology - surrounded by a context. It is a comprehensive model for the representation of language use in situations based on the values and common senses of a social group (Refer to Appendix: Figure 2). The context layer defines situations under three characteristics: the field, which describes the area of language use; the tenor, which describes the social relations between speakers; and the mode, which describes the medium used.

The characteristics are shown in Table 1. There are three meta-functions in the language system corresponding to each of the three properties of the context: ideational, interpersonal, and textual meanings, which constrain the selection of linguistic resources from the selection system network, called “system network”, to form utterances appropriate to the situation.

In this study, we annotate each sentence with those mentioned above contextual elements of the three meta-functions to obtain the latent information necessary for language generation. In particular, the annotation tags of the KeiCO corpus are defined based on the features of the system net-
4. The KeiCO Corpus

In the construction of the KeiCO corpus, we collected the original texts containing honorific expressions from the dictionary (Sakamoto and Nishikata, 2009), the articles on the internet, and crowdsourcing services. Furthermore, we crowdsourced about 40 native Japanese annotators to annotate the level of honorific expressions and other SFL features. Each annotator was assigned about 75 source texts and asked to rewrite them into other honorific levels as much as possible while maintaining the meaning of the source texts. We have allowed annotators to do nothing if they have difficulty in rewriting. After completing the annotation part, we asked another 20 native Japanese speakers to check the annotations and manually correct any errors in the corpus. After all annotating and checking, we got the result: 10,007 sentences in total and 5 annotations per sentence.

More details on annotation are shown in the following Section 4.1 and detailed corpus analysis is in Section 5.

4.1. Structure and Annotation

In the KeiCO corpus, each sentence is annotated with seven annotations: honorific level, respectful (尊敬語), humble (謙譲語), polite (丁寧語) and field. Detailed definitions are given below.

4.1.1. Honorific Level

The choice of honorifics primarily reflects role relationships (tenor). Tenor includes social, interpersonal relationships such as hierarchical relationships based on social status (e.g., boss-subordinate, teacher-student, etc.) and relationships (e.g., friend, acquaintance, etc.). In the KeiCO corpus, we set up four honorific levels reflecting the tenor. Each level is defined as follows.

Level 1: The Highest Honorific Level

Level 1 is the level of respect most commonly used in the news, very formal speeches, and formal business emails. In sentences at the highest level of respect, it is common for verbs to be transformed into respectful or humble forms according to Japanese grammatical rules and for words that are originally respectful. It could also be a form of honorific linking, combining respectful (尊敬語) and humble (謙譲語) forms.

Level 2: Secondary Honorific Level

Level 2 is widely used in business letters, general academic and business speeches, and the service industry. According to grammatical rules, the verb is transformed into respectful or humble, but few honorific linking forms are used.

Level 3: Third Honorific Level

Complicated verb inflections are not used, and at most times, only polite (丁寧語) or word beautification (美化語) are used.

Level 4: No Honorifics Used

No honorifics are used at all. Level 4 is more informal than Level 3 and may include polite expressions, abbreviations, and internet terminology.

4.1.2. Respectful, Humble and Polite

Based on the features of the system network in the mode system, we use three kinds of honorific expressions as annotations: respectful, humble, and polite. Respectful expressions express the speaker’s respect for the subject of the conversation and are used for actions, objects, and names of the respected person. Modest speech indicates the speaker’s intention to show respect to the listener by lowering his or her words and actions. Polite speech is mainly used to encourage helping verb endings such as “です” and “ます” to beautify the topic and show respect for the language.

4.1.3. Field

The field of activity indicates the area of use of the language, which includes the situation of having a conversation or the topic of the conversation. The use of honorifics is influenced by the specific activity field, such as business documents or lectures. To take this into account, In the KeiCO corpus, annotations are given to indicate specific activity areas. Currently, the KeiCO corpus has 122 different fields as options. (Refer to Appendix: Table B)

5. KeiCO Corpus Analysis

5.1. Statistics of KeiCO

To analyze the use of vocabulary in KeiCO, we counted the number of sentences, the average sentence length, the average Kanji used in each sentence, the number of word tokens, word types and Yule’s characteristic K. The characteristic statistics of KeiCO are shown in Table B.

The $K$-characteristic was proposed by Yule (Yule, 1944). The smaller the value, the more diversity the vocabulary has. The $K$ characteristic value assumes that the occurrence of words follows a Poisson distribution. Here, $N$ means the number of word tokens and $V(m,N)$ means the number of word types that occur $m$ times in a dataset. The K characteristic value is defined by the following equation 1.

$$K = 10^4 \times \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[ m^2 V(m,N) \right] - N}{N^2}$$  \tag{1}

In Table B, the $K$ characteristic value decreased with the increase of the honorifics level, except for level 2. In fact, this exception is due to the fact that the number of sentences in honorifics level 2 is smaller than in other
| Sentences from KeiCO corpus   | Honorific level | Respectful | Humble  | Polite | Field |
|-----------------------------|----------------|------------|---------|--------|-------|
| 本日は、かねてより相談したいことがあり、参上しました。（I have come here today to discuss something that I have been wanting to discuss for some time.） | 1 0 1 0 |相談 consult |         |        |       |
| 今日は、折り入ってご相談したいことがあって伺ったのですが。（I came here today because I wanted to ask you about something.) | 2 0 1 0 |相談 consult |         |        |       |
| 今日は相談したいことがあったため、来ました。（I came here today because I had something I wanted to discuss.) | 3 0 0 1 |相談 consult |         |        |       |

Table 3: Statistical results of KeiCO

| Honorific level | Sentences | Average sentence length | Average Kanji in one sentence | Word tokens | Word types | Yule’s characteristic $K$ |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Level 1         | 2584      | 18.2                    | 2.6                           | 47111       | 4744       | 135.70                   |
| Level 2         | 2046      | 16.4                    | 2.1                           | 33476       | 3897       | 136.23                   |
| Level 3         | 2694      | 15.2                    | 1.8                           | 40980       | 4448       | 130.28                   |
| Level 4         | 2683      | 13.5                    | 1.6                           | 36233       | 4315       | 129.80                   |
| Total           | 10007     | 15.8                    | 2.0                           | 157806      | 6465       | 125.54                   |

levels. Regarding vocabulary, we confirmed that the use of Kanji increased with the honorific level.

5.2. KeiCO-based Classification

Since the advent of BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), BERT has achieved excellent performance in many tasks, making it one of the researchers’ most commonly used models. In this section, we use BERT to perform a classification task, one of the most common and fundamental tasks, on our corpus KeiCO to examine how our corpus can improve performance in the NLP tasks.

To create a classification model, we use the KeiCO corpus to fine-tune the pre-trained BERT BASE-Japanese, which was developed by Tohoku University. We divided the KeiCO corpus data into training, validation and evaluation in the ratio 6 : 2 : 2, respectively. The number of epochs was set to 30.

We randomly select sentences from the corpus in the ratio 1%, 10%, 100% (100, 1000, and 10007 sentences) to check the effect of the data quantity on the classification accuracy. Table 4 shows the average of the classification accuracy (10 times) for each extracting ratio and each annotation of the KeiCO corpus.

As a result, respectful (尊敬語), polite (丁寧語) are expressed obviously in sentences, and models can quickly identify those features. Therefore, it is easy to get high classification accuracy and average increase rate.

Humble (謙譲語) We consider the classification model, which caused the low average accuracy increase rate, could not be trained well, because humble (謙譲語) is biased toward one label in the corpus (Refer to Table 5).

Honorific Level As mentioned in Section 4.1.1, the honorific levels are categorised into four levels; therefore, it is natural to assume that the accuracy of the task is lower than other binary classification tasks. The high accuracy increase rate is also due to the balanced number of levels in the corpus, which contributes to the improvement of the accuracy increase rate of the task.
Table 4: Classification accuracy of each feature in the KeiCO corpus (10 times average)

| Classification accuracy | Honorific level | Respectful | Humble | Polite |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------|--------|--------|
| data using 1%           | 0.482           | 0.646      | 0.894  | 0.706  |
| data using 10%          | 0.653           | 0.686      | 0.887  | 0.810  |
| data using 100%         | 0.727           | 0.698      | 0.906  | 0.842  |
| Average accuracy increase rate | 23.4% | 17.3% | 0.7% | 9.4% |

Table 5: Percentage of each annotation in the KeiCO corpus

| Honorific level1 | Honorific level2 | Honorific level3 | Honorific level4 | Respectful | Humble | Polite |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|--------|--------|
| 26%              | 20%              | 27%              | 27%              | 39%        | 9%     | 24%    |

6. Conclusion

Based on the language use taking social roles into account presented in systemic functional linguistics, we have created the KeiCO corpus, a corpus of Japanese honorifics that reflects the social status of speakers and listeners. The KeiCO corpus is annotated to take into account the social roles of dialogue participants in different domains of activity, as well as their modes of communication. As a general-purpose language resource, the corpus is expected to be useful for various tasks, such as improving the accuracy of machine translation, automatic evaluation, correction of Japanese composition and style transformation. We have not yet addressed the following issues: (1) The number of short sentences in each label is not balanced, (2) We need to review how copious the vocabularies are. Because some complex nouns are left intact in the rewritten sentences, which does not reflect the diversity of the vocabulary. In the future, we will increase the number of short sentences in the KeiCO corpus and put our main focus on the rewriting of nouns.
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Appendix A. Systemic Functional Linguistics

Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL) is a linguistic theory established by M.A.K. Halliday, who was influenced by the ideas of Malinowski, a cultural anthropologist, and Firth of the London School of Linguistics, who studied under Firth.

The major difference between SFL and other linguistics is that SFL introduces context, including the cultural background of a social group, into its theory and examines the language system from the viewpoint of its function in society, while most linguistics avoid dealing with various meanings comprehensively, limit the treatment of language meanings, and focus on the aspect of grammar. In contrast, SFL introduces a context that includes the cultural background of a social group into its theory, and examines the language system from the perspective of its function in society. The language system represented by SFL is shown in Figure 2.

Each layer of the language system expresses constraints on the choice of language resources through a network of choices called a choice network. The layers are organically connected by constraints called “realization statements”. The systematization of linguistic resources using SFL and the procedures for their selection were considered to be directly applicable as algorithms for sentence generation, and in the 1980s they were called “systemic grammars” and used as the main linguistic theory for natural language sentence generation.

Table 6: List of fields in KeiCO corpus

| Rank | Field            | Num. | Rank | Field            | Num. | Rank | Field            | Num. | Rank | Field            | Num. |
|------|------------------|------|------|------------------|------|------|------------------|------|------|------------------|------|
| 1    | email            | 527  | 26   | enjoy           | 112  | 51   | calculation      | 60   | 76   | go back          | 56   |
| 2    | food             | 329  | 27   | control         | 105  | 52   | seasons          | 60   | 77   | seek             | 56   |
| 3    | money            | 326  | 28   | like            | 103  | 53   | advice           | 60   | 78   | shop             | 56   |
| 4    | guest            | 234  | 29   | write           | 101  | 54   | application      | 60   | 79   | recommend        | 56   |
| 5    | buy              | 229  | 30   | work            | 100  | 55   | ask              | 59   | 80   | recognize        | 56   |
| 6    | attitude         | 227  | 31   | celebrate       | 80   | 56   | gather           | 59   | 81   | ask              | 56   |
| 7    | apologize        | 217  | 32   | anger           | 74   | 57   | see              | 59   | 82   | exist            | 56   |
| 8    | contact          | 173  | 33   | letter          | 66   | 58   | ideas            | 59   | 83   | visit            | 56   |
| 9    | gift             | 162  | 34   | say             | 64   | 59   | consider         | 59   | 84   | announcement     | 55   |
| 10   | greeting         | 159  | 35   | baby            | 62   | 60   | physique         | 59   | 85   | body             | 55   |
| 11   | questions        | 158  | 36   | play            | 62   | 61   | research         | 59   | 86   | farewell          | 55   |
| 12   | political        | 158  | 37   | invitation      | 61   | 62   | sports           | 58   | 87   | rejection        | 55   |
| 13   | speech           | 156  | 38   | life            | 60   | 63   | acquire          | 58   | 88   | experience       | 55   |
| 14   | words            | 136  | 39   | surprisingly    | 60   | 64   | hate             | 58   | 89   | free             | 54   |
| 15   | home             | 134  | 40   | win             | 60   | 65   | refuse           | 58   | 90   | help             | 54   |
| 16   | reception        | 120  | 41   | plan            | 60   | 66   | escape           | 58   | 91   | thanks           | 54   |
| 17   | relations        | 120  | 42   | refrain         | 60   | 67   | Manage           | 58   | 92   | heart            | 53   |
| 18   | work             | 120  | 43   | send            | 60   | 68   | do               | 58   | 93   | preparation      | 53   |
| 19   | public           | 119  | 44   | contract        | 60   | 69   | socialize        | 58   | 94   | return           | 53   |
| 20   | concern          | 117  | 45   | wear            | 60   | 70   | wait             | 57   | 95   | report           | 52   |
| 21   | secret           | 116  | 46   | physical        | 60   | 71   | take in          | 57   | 96   | medical          | 52   |
| 22   | seat             | 116  | 47   | choose          | 60   | 72   | entrust          | 57   | 97   | anxiety          | 51   |
| 23   | phone            | 116  | 48   | teaching        | 60   | 73   | Get in trouble   | 57   | 98   | humble           | 51   |
| 24   | school           | 116  | 49   | flattery        | 60   | 74   | end              | 57   | 99   | know             | 50   |
| 25   | death            | 114  | 50   | consultation    | 60   | 75   | disappointed     | 57   | 100  | visit            | 50   |

Appendix B. Ethical Considerations and Broader Impact

The corpus is collected through the crowdsourcing platform Lancers [https://www.lancers.jp] and has been stripped of any information in the text that might be specific to the individual, such as gender, sexual orientation, health status, etc. All private information such as the name and address of the person appearing in the text has been anonymised.
Due to the presence of offensive content in the least honorific sentences, we removed uncomfortable content such as sexual topics, excessive swearing, and allegedly discriminatory statements by manually checking the samples. Although differences in language use due to gender and age were not taken into account in the design of this corpus for the time being, we tried to have multiple (three or more) native Japanese speakers annotate the same sentence during the data collection phase, and later calculated the average of each annotation as the final determined value. This was done in order to reproduce, as far as possible, the most common and accepted language expressions in everyday life.

**Appendix C. Data Statement**

We record information about our dataset following the data statement format proposed by Bender and Friedman (2018).

**Data set name:** KeiCO Corpus  
**Data set developer:** Muxuan Liu  
**Dataset license:** Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)  
**Link to dataset:** [https://github.com/Liumx2020/KeiCO-corpus](https://github.com/Liumx2020/KeiCO-corpus)

**Appendix C.1. Curation Rationale**

We provide a corpus of Japanese honorifics with information on social stance and attempt to reflect specific Japanese honorific usage and levels of respect through label. The corpus consists of sentences from the dictionary (Sakamoto and Nishikata, 2009), the articles on the internet, and crowdsourcing services. As the generalizability of the dataset has not been tested for the time being, we didn’t actively split the corpus into a training, development and test set, but rather encouraged the data to be split randomly by a certain percentage when performing machine learning tasks.

**Appendix C.2. Language Variety**

N/A

**Appendix C.3. Speaker Demographic**

No detailed information was collected regarding the demographics of the authors of the collected sentences. However, we only collected the text or the speech from Japanese native speakers.

**Appendix C.4. Annotator Demographic**

The annotators are all Japanese native speaker but anonymous from internet, and no restrictions on age, gender or job.

**Appendix C.5. Speech Situation**

See table 6

**Appendix C.6. Text Characteristics**

The sentences in this dataset come from the dictionary (Sakamoto and Nishikata, 2009), the articles on the internet, and crowdsourcing services.

**Appendix C.7. Recording Quality**

N/A

**Appendix C.8. Other**

N/A

**Appendix C.9. Provenance Appendix**

The dictionary (Sakamoto and Nishikata, 2009), the articles on the internet, and crowdsourcing services.