The Detection of Ionized Carbon Emission at $z \sim 8$
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Abstract

We present deep Keck/MOSFIRE $H$-band spectroscopic observations covering the $\text{[C\,\text{III}]}/\text{[C\,\text{III}]}\lambda\lambda 1907, 1909$ doublet for three $z \sim 8$ galaxy candidates in the AEGIS field. Along with nondetections in two galaxies, we obtain one of the highest-redshift detections to date of $\text{[C\,\text{III}]}/\lambda 1907$ for the galaxy AEGIS-33376, finding $z_{\text{spec}} = 7.945 \pm 0.001$. We measure a $\text{[C\,\text{III}]}\lambda 1907$ flux of $2.24 \pm 0.71 \times 10^{-18}\text{erg s}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-2}$, corresponding to a rest-frame equivalent width of $20.3 \pm 6.5 \text{\AA}$ for the single line. Given the not very constraining upper limit for $\text{C\,\text{III}}\lambda 1909$ based on strong sky-line contamination, we assume a $\text{[C\,\text{III}]}/\lambda 1907/\text{[C\,\text{III}]}\lambda 1909$ doublet ratio of $1.5$ and infer a total $\text{[C\,\text{III}]}/\lambda\lambda 1907, 1909$ equivalent width of $33.7 \pm 10.8 \text{\AA}$. We repeat the same reductions and analysis on multiple subsets of our raw data divided on the basis of time and observing conditions, verifying that the $\text{[C\,\text{III}]}/\lambda 1907$ emission is present for AEGIS-33376 throughout our observations. We also confirm that the significance of the $\text{[C\,\text{III}]}/\lambda 1907$ detection in different subsets of our data tracks that of brighter emission features detected on the same multi-slit mask. These multiple tests suggest that the observed emission line is real and associated with the $z \sim 8$ target. The strong observed $\text{[C\,\text{III}]}/\lambda\lambda 1907, 1909$ in AEGIS-33376 likely indicates ISM conditions of low metallicity, high ionization parameter, and a hard ionizing spectrum, although AGN contributions are possible. This single detection represents a sizable increase in the current sample $\text{[C\,\text{III}]}/\lambda\lambda 1907, 1909$ detections at $z > 7$, while JWST will provide the first statistical samples of such measurements at these redshifts.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Galaxy evolution (594); High-redshift galaxies (734)

1. Introduction

Understanding the properties of the earliest galaxies and their role in the reionization of the universe comprises one of the current frontiers for extragalactic and cosmology. Because of the apparent faintness of galaxies from the reionization epoch, and the associated challenge of observing such objects, much of our understanding of the galaxy population in the $z > 7$ universe to date, including star formation rates, star formation histories, and stellar masses, is based on photometric measurements (e.g., Labbé et al. 2013; Bouwens et al. 2014, 2015; Finkelstein et al. 2015; Stark 2016). Such broadband photometric measurements can also be used to estimate properties including the strengths of prominent rest-frame optical emission lines (Ono et al. 2012; Smit et al. 2014, 2015; Roberts-Borsani et al. 2016; De Barros et al. 2019; Endsley et al. 2021), but these still only represent indirect inferences. Indeed, while many features of the galaxy population during the reionization epoch have been described with photometry, such methods are not ideal when trying to establish and understand the detailed internal physical processes that govern galaxy evolution.

Obtaining the spectra of galaxies at the highest redshifts provides the most effective tool for understanding detailed galaxy properties. At a very basic level, spectroscopic redshift measurements yield luminosities that enable the precise determination of intrinsic luminosities and rest-frame colors. In addition to redshift measurements, spectroscopic observations provide windows into the properties of massive stars and the interstellar medium during crucial evolutionary periods in the history of the universe, such as cosmic reionization. During this early epoch, strong rest-optical emission lines are redshifted to wavelengths that are inaccessible from the ground. Accordingly, measurements in the rest-UV and millimeter can comprise the best options for inferring galaxy properties through spectroscopic means. One key feature is $\text{[C\,\text{III}]}/\lambda\lambda 1907, 1909$, which is often the second strongest emission feature in the rest-UV, after H I Ly$\alpha$ (Shapley et al. 2003). The $\text{[C\,\text{III}]}/\lambda 1907$ doublet—in combination with $\text{C\,\text{IV}}\lambda\lambda 1548,1550$, He II$\lambda 1640$, $\text{O\,\text{III}}\lambda\lambda 1661, 1666$, and $\text{Si\,\text{III}}\lambda\lambda 1882, 1892$—also provides an estimate of the ionizing radiation field and gas-phase metallicity within the ISM (see, e.g., Byler et al. 2018). However, observing these faint emission lines is difficult, and only a small number of detections have been achieved to date (Stark et al. 2015a, 2015b; Mainali et al. 2017; Laporte et al. 2017; Stark et al. 2017; Hutchison et al. 2019; Jiang et al. 2021). Thus far, measurements of these rest-UV features at high redshifts have implied ISM properties consistent with low metallicities, high ionization parameters, and the presence of a hard ionizing spectrum.

The next generation of ground-based ELTs will enable us to probe to fainter emission-line fluxes, covering a larger range of galaxy properties. In addition, the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) will allow observation of strong rest-optical emission lines that are currently inaccessible from the ground. With these facilities, observations of faint emission lines during the reionization era will become standard, and the detection of
et al. 2015

the rest-UV emission features of telescopes and sensitive near-infrared spectrographs and pursue to push the current generation of 10 meter-class ground-based meantime, it is still possible, although extremely challenging, galaxies during this epoch will come within reach. In the many emission features for a statistical sample of typical galaxies during this epoch will come within reach. In the meantime, it is still possible, although extremely challenging, to push the current generation of 10 meter-class ground-based telescopes and sensitive near-infrared spectrographs and pursue the rest-UV emission features of \( z > 7 \) galaxies. Here we present deep near-infrared spectroscopic measurements of three \( z > 7 \) galaxy candidates with \( M_{UV} \approx -21 \) using the MOSFIRE spectrograph (McLean et al. 2012) on the Keck I telescope, including a detection of [CIII]\( \lambda 1907 \) emission at \( z = 7.945 \).

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 outlines our observations and reductions. Section 3 presents our main results, and Section 4 provides a brief discussion and summary. Throughout this paper, we adopt cosmological parameters of \( H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \), \( \Omega_M = 0.3 \), and \( \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7 \).

2. Data and Methods

2.1. Observations and Reductions

We obtained near-infrared spectroscopic observations in the AEGIS field using the Keck/MOSFIRE spectrograph (McLean et al. 2012). MOSFIRE slitmasks were filled with a variety of targets benefitting from long exposure times. These primarily included star-forming galaxies at \( z \sim 1.5 \) for which we were attempting deep observations of [NII]\( \lambda 6584/H_\alpha \) to achieve a high detection fraction of the faint [NII]\( \lambda 6584 \) feature. We also targeted galaxy candidates at \( z > 7 \) drawn from the catalogs of Bouwens et al. (2015). We constructed broadband SEDs for each of our \( z > 7 \) targets, utilizing multiband photometric data that were assembled for the AEGIS field (Stefanon et al. 2017). The best-fit SEDs were derived using the BEAGLE SED fitting code, with which we also recalculated the photometric redshift probability distribution functions (Chevallard & Charlot 2016). BEAGLE utilizes the latest Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population models and incorporates self-consistent photoionization models (Gutkin et al. 2016) using Cloudy (Ferland et al. 2017). The three most robust \( z > 7 \) candidates were AEGIS-33376, AEGIS-3434, and AEGIS-22108 (Figure 1), where the identification numbers are taken from the 3D-HST v4.1 catalog (Skelton et al. 2014).

MOSFIRE data were obtained through two different slitmasks in the AEGIS field, one (ae1_w1) containing 33376, and the other (ae1_w2) containing 3434 and 22108. The ae1_w1 mask was observed on 2020 March 11 and 12, and 2021 May 1 and 2 (UT), while the ae1_w2 mask was observed on 2021 April 19 and 2021 May 1 (UT). The AEGIS masks were observed in the \( H \) band with 0\"7 slits, yielding a spectral resolution of \( \sim 3650 \). We integrated for a total of 806 and 240 minutes, respectively, on the ae1_w1 and ae1_w2 masks. Individual exposures were 120 s, and followed an ABA\’B\’ dither pattern characterized by, respectively, 1\"5 and 1\"5 outer and inner nods. Conditions were clear, and the median seeing for both masks was 0\"6.

The data were reduced using a custom IDL pipeline that yields two-dimensional rectified, flat-fielded, sky-subtracted, cosmic-ray-cleaned, wavelength-calibrated and flux-calibrated science and error spectra for each slitlet (Kriek et al. 2015). One-dimensional science and error spectra were optimally extracted and corrected for slit losses using Hubble Space
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Telescope (HST) F160W images and the median seeing for each mask.

2.2. Spectrum Extraction

For objects on the masks with visible emission lines, we obtained 1D spectra from the reduced images by performing an optimal extraction defined by fitting a Gaussian to the spatial profile of the emission line (Horne 1986). If no emission lines were visible, we blindly extracted a spectrum based on the expected position in the slit with a width defined by the average seeing measured from a brighter (AB mag = 19–20) star on the mask. Limiting line fluxes for nondetections were estimated by integrating the square of the error spectrum over a typical line width centered on each pixel, and taking the square root of the resulting value. For objects with no spectroscopic redshift, the line flux upper limit is not clearly defined, since the noise is dominated by the sky spectrum and a very strong function of wavelength (i.e., redshift). To reflect this dependence and report typical line flux uncertainties, we estimated the 5σ line-flux limit as a function of wavelength over the range of possible observed wavelengths of C III] based on the photometric redshift uncertainty. We then report the median 5σ line-flux limit over this wavelength range as the C III] flux error for nondetections with only photometric redshifts. Upper limits for the combined [C III],[C III]λλ1907, 1909 doublet were measured using a similar procedure, in which we performed the integration over two wavelength windows with a fixed separation to match the doublet members.

3. Results

We visually inspect the 2D H-band spectra of all three z > 7 targets to find emission lines. These spectra cover an observed wavelength range of 1.47–1.80 μm, 1.45–1.76 μm, and 1.51–1.81 μm for AEGIS-33376, AEGIS-3434, and AEGIS-22108 respectively. We identify one emission line in the spectrum of AEGIS-33376 at λ = 17055 Å at the expected spatial position of the galaxy, and do not find any detections in the spectrum of either AEGIS-3434 or AEGIS-22108. The detected emission line in AEGIS-33376 is coincident with a sky line. However, this sky line is one of the weakest in the H band. Despite the increased noise due to sky contamination, we measure a flux of $2.24 \pm 0.71 \times 10^{-18}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$, with an associated significance of 3.2σ.

Based on the photometric redshift of AEGIS-33376, we identify this feature as one of the [C III],[C III]λ1907, 1909 doublet members. To determine if this emission line is [C III] λ1907 or [C III]λ1909, we assess the expected position of the other doublet member. For an observed line of C III]λ1909, the second doublet component would lie in a region of the spectrum clean from sky lines at ~17028 Å. However, given the range of anticipated [C III]/C III] flux ratios (1.2–1.5; Stark et al. 2017; Hutchison et al. 2019), we would expect the line at 17028 Å to be stronger than the observed line at 17055 Å, and no such emission is detected. Therefore, the observed emission is likely associated with [C III]λ1909, with the C III]λ1909 doublet component expected at ~17073 Å. This redder component is coincident with a strong sky line, and thus we do not expect to detect it. For the observed emission of [C III] λ1907, we establish a redshift of $z = 7.945$ for AEGIS-33376, which is within the uncertainty of the photometric redshift, $z_{\text{phot}} = 7.8 \pm 0.5$. To calculate an upper limit on the flux of C III]λ1909, we integrate the 3σ error spectrum centered on the expected location for C III]λ1909, adopting the same width used to measure [C III]λ1907, resulting in a 3σ line-flux limit of $<3.0 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. Based on the H-band magnitude of AEGIS-33376 galaxy and the inferred continuum flux density, the [C III]λ1907 flux and C III]λ1909 flux limit correspond to equivalent widths of 20.3 ± 6.5 Å and 27.3 Å, respectively. Based on the large uncertainty for C III]λ1909 due to strong sky-line contamination, we follow Hutchison et al. (2019) in assuming a [C III]λ1907/C III]λ1909 doublet ratio of 1.5, and infer a total [C III],[C III]λλ1907, 1909 equivalent width of 33.7 ± 10.8 Å. We additionally search for the [O III] λλ1661, 1666 doublet, which is covered by our H-band spectrum based on the measured spectroscopic redshift of AEGIS-33376. However, the derived upper limit on the [O III] λλ1661, 1666 flux and the corresponding rest-frame EW limit ($<4.2 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ and <29 Å, respectively) do not place meaningful constraints on the physical properties of AEGIS-33376. Figure 2(a) shows the extracted 1D spectrum of AEGIS-33376 and the 1σ error spectrum.

Figure 2. Reduced 1D and 2D spectra of AEGIS-33376. (a) The 1D spectrum extracted from the all data set. The 1σ error spectrum is displayed as the gray shaded region. The vertical dotted lines show the wavelengths of [C III]λ1907 and C III]λ1909 at the spectroscopic redshift of $z = 7.945$. (b) Reduced 2D spectrum of AEGIS-33376 for the all data set showing the characteristic arrangement of a positive line surrounded on either side by a negative. The vertical positions of the positive and negative traces are indicated by white and black lines, respectively. (c) Same as in panel (b) but only for data collected during 2020. (d) Same as in panel (b) but only for data collected during 2021. The emission line is present in the 2D spectra from both 2020 and 2021, though at lower significance than in the 2020+2021 data.
referred to as the all data set. The reduced 2D spectra for each of these data sets show a visible emission line at $\lambda = 17055$ Å, which are also present in the extracted 1D spectra. Figures 2(b), (c), and (d) shows the reduced 2D spectra for the all, 2020, and 2021 data sets. The line is most apparent in the 2D all spectrum; however, it is visible in all three subsets.

As an additional verification of the observed emission line, we measure the significance of the line, as well as several bright H$\alpha$ emission lines from other $z \sim 1.5$ galaxies on the mask. These H$\alpha$ comparison lines typically have significances of 20–75σ when measured from the all data set. We perform this measurement for the same lines in each of the five constructed data sets, and test that the [C III] line exhibits the same relative significance across the different data sets compared to H$\alpha$ from the other targets. As the significance of very bright lines on the mask is well defined, these bright features allow for a comparison that ensures the observed emission line in AEGIS-33376 has the expected significance among the data sets, indicating it is not due to an artifact or the result of poor sky subtraction. The emission line in AEGIS-33376 follows the same trend as the bright lines, suggesting that the line is a real feature and not an artifact in the data.

We do not detect any emission lines in the spectrum of AEGIS-3434. The spectrum of this object extends up to $\lambda = 17660$ Å, which allows observation of C III] to a maximum redshift of $z = 8.22$. This range covers the majority of redshift space expected for this object based on the photometric redshift confidence interval of $z_{\text{phot}} = 7.9^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$. However, there is some probability that AEGIS-3434 is also too high a redshift for C III] to fall within the H band. In order to estimate the line-flux upper limit for AEGIS-3434 (and AEGIS-22108 below), which lacks a spectroscopic redshift, we use a more conservative 5σ noise level. Within the wavelength range expected for [C III], C III] $\lambda 1907$, 1909 within the 1σ photometric redshift, half of the spectrum within this range has a 5σ limiting flux of $< 2.7 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ for an individual component of [C III],C III] $\lambda 1907$, 1909. If the line is coincident with a strong sky line the flux limit can be much higher; however, the 5σ limiting flux in a clean part of the spectrum is $< 1.6 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. This corresponds to an upper limit on the EW of C III] of 18.7 Å for an individual line, and 11.0 Å within clear parts of the spectrum, between sky lines.

Finally, we do not detect any emission lines for AEGIS-22108. The placement of the AEGIS-22108 slit on the MOSFIRE mask resulted in coverage to redder wavelengths, so we could observe C III] up to a redshift of $z = 8.5$. This coverage allows detection of C III] for the full photometric redshift confidence interval of $z_{\text{phot}} = 8.0^{+0.5}_{-0.7}$. Within this photometric redshift range, half of the spectrum has a 5σ flux limit of $< 3.0 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, corresponding to an EW limit of $< 22.2$ Å. Regions of the spectrum clear of sky lines for this object have a flux limit of $< 1.7 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, associated with an EW limit of 12.5 Å. Table 1 summarizes the measurements presented here for the three $z > 7$ objects in our sample.

### 4. Discussion and Summary

We investigate doubly ionized carbon emission in three galaxies at $z \sim 8$. While detections of C III] are common at low redshift, they are quite rare at $z > 6$ (Zitrin et al. 2015; Stark et al. 2015a; Maina et al. 2017; Laporte et al. 2017; Stark et al. 2017; Hutchison et al. 2019). We present the detection of [C III] $\lambda 1907$ in one galaxy at $z = 7.945$, and upper limits for two galaxies at $z \sim 8$. Spectroscopic measurements such as these provide precise redshift measurements, and crucial constraints on the ionization mechanism and properties of the ISM during this epoch.

Figure 3 shows the total [C III], C III] $\lambda 1907$, 1909 EW as a function of $M_{UV}$ for the measurements from our sample compared to other sources in the literature at similar redshifts (i.e., $z > 5$; Watson et al. 2015; Stark et al. 2015a, 2017; Ding et al. 2017; Hutchison et al. 2019; Jiang et al. 2021). In cases where only one of the [C III] components is detected, we assume an intrinsic [C III]/C III] line ratio of 1.5, based on assuming a reasonable value for the electron density (Hutchison et al. 2019). This figure also highlights measurements of objects at $z > 7$ (black outlined points). The two upper limits presented in our sample allow for equivalent widths covering the full range spanned by strong detections at these redshifts. The [C III] detected for AEGIS-33376, which has the faintest $M_{UV}$ of the objects in our comparison sample, represents the second largest EW, however, with large uncertainties.

### Table 1

Summary of Galaxy Properties and [C III], C III] $\lambda 1907$, 1909 Measurements

| Field | ID | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | $z_{\text{phot}}$ | $z_{\text{spec}}$ | Line | Line Flux $\left(10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ $\right)$ | EW (Å) | $H_{AB}$ |
|-------|----|-------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| AEGIS | 33376 | 14:19:10.540 | +52:50:29.74 | $7.8^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$ | $7.945 \pm 0.001$ | [C III] $\lambda 1906.68$ | $2.24 \pm 0.71$ | $20.3 \pm 6.5$ | 26.21 |
|       |     |             |               |                  |                 | [C III] $\lambda 1908.73$ | $< 3.0 \left(3\sigma \right)$ | <27.3 |        |
|       |     |             |               |                  |                 | [C III],C III] | $< 5.2 \left(3\sigma \right)$ | <47.3 |        |
|       |     |             |               |                  |                 | [C III] $\lambda 1906.68$ | $< 2.7 \left(5\sigma \right)$ | <18.7 | 25.92 |
|       |     |             |               |                  |                 | [C III],C III] | $< 2.7 \left(5\sigma \right)$ | <18.7 |        |
|       |     |             |               |                  |                 | [C III] $\lambda 1906.68$ | $< 4.6 \left(5\sigma \right)$ | <31.8 |        |
|       |     |             |               |                  |                 | [C III],C III] | $< 3.0 \left(5\sigma \right)$ | <22.2 | 25.98 |
|       |     |             |               |                  |                 | [C III] $\lambda 1908.73$ | $< 3.0 \left(5\sigma \right)$ | <22.2 |        |
|       |     |             |               |                  |                 | [C III],C III] | $< 5.1 \left(5\sigma \right)$ | <37.7 |        |

Notes.

a Upper limit estimated for an individual emission line. We adopt a 3σ limit for AEGIS-33376, which is spectroscopically confirmed, and more conservative, 5σ limits for AEGIS-3434 and AEGIS-22108, which only have photometric redshifts.

b Upper limit estimated for both doublet members with fixed separation.

c We assume a fixed [C III] $\lambda 1907$/C III] line ratio to infer the total EW.
As C III] is often the second strongest nebular emission line in the rest-UV (Shapley et al. 2003; Mainali et al. 2017), it provides a promising gauge of the internal physics within galaxies at high redshift. It is also useful for measuring spectroscopic redshifts when strong rest-optical emission lines are not available at redshifts where Lyα becomes increasingly attenuated by the IGM (e.g., Stark et al. 2010; Vanzella et al. 2011; Treu et al. 2012; Whilter et al. 2020). Measurements of strong C III] observed in lower redshift samples indicate that large C III] EWs are associated with low metallicities, high ionization parameters, and high ionizing spectra within the ISM (Erb et al. 2010; Steidel et al. 2016; Berg et al. 2016a; Sanchyna et al. 2017; Du et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2021). Stark et al. (2017) established using photoionization modeling that the large C III] EW measured for their z = 7.73 galaxy required models with low metallicities of ~0.1 Z⊙. In addition, utilizing measurements of Lyα and C III], inferred [O III] EW, and constraints on Si III], Hutchison et al. (2019) demonstrated subsolar metallicity, high ionization parameter, and the presence of young stars in a galaxy at z ~ 7.5. The extreme C III] observed in AEGIS-33376 is one of the largest detected EWs among these comparisons at high redshift, and it suggests similar conditions of an intense ionizing radiation field and low gas-phase metallicity. However, the ionization mechanism that is powering such large equivalent widths could also be partially explained by nonstellar processes (Laporte et al. 2017; Mainali et al. 2018; Nakajima et al. 2018). With the redshift of this object measured, follow-up observations targeting Lyα in the Y band and fainter emission lines such as Si III]λ1882, 1892 (Berg et al. 2016b) could further our understanding of the conditions within this galaxy.

The small number of measured [C III],[C III]λλ1907, 1909 equivalent widths observed in galaxies at z ~ 7 appear very different from those observed in galaxies with similar MUV at z ~ 1–3, which have typical EWs of ~2 Å (Shapley et al. 2003; Steidel et al. 2016). While EWs as large as ~20 Å are quite rare (e.g., Mainali et al. 2020) at these lower redshifts, they comprise a considerable fraction of detections at z > 7. These measurements at high redshift represent a significant evolution relative to galaxies at lower redshifts. We expect to be most sensitive to more extreme emitters at the highest redshifts, however the low metallicities, young stellar populations, and high densities at z ~ 7 are conducive to increased [C III],[C III] λλ1907, 1909 EWs. At yet higher redshifts we could be probing even younger and more metal-poor galaxies with higher densities. AEGIS-33376, and the galaxy GN-z11 from Jiang et al. (2021), are the two highest-redshift objects in our comparison, and also have the largest [C III],[C III]λλ1907, 1909 EWs. These sources may be examples of increasingly extreme star-forming conditions at increasing redshifts, though AGN contributions are also possible (Laporte et al. 2017; Mainali et al. 2018; Nakajima et al. 2018). However, the extremely limited sample sizes preclude us from making definitive statements about the nature of galaxies at these epochs.

With current 10 meter-class ground-based telescopes and near-infrared spectrographs, the measurement of faint rest-UV metal emission lines has been limited to the most extreme line emitters. As a result, the sample sizes of such rare objects are extremely small (but can be increased with wide-field facilities such as Roman). Observations with JWST will probe to fainter line fluxes, allowing for measurements of more lines in the rest-UV. The redder wavelength coverage of JWST will also open up spectroscopic studies of emission lines at z > 7 to the rest-optical. Statistical samples probing the massive stars and ionized ISM of typical galaxies at these redshifts will provide the information necessary to understand early galaxy evolution, and the processes governing the reionization of the universe.
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Figure 3. Comparison of total C III] equivalent widths as a function of MUV for galaxies at z > 5. Objects at z > 7 have been outlined with a black border. The yellow markers are the three objects presented in this work. Comparison objects are shown from Watson et al. (2015), Stark et al. (2015a, 2017), Ding et al. (2017), Laporte et al. (2017), Hutchison et al. (2019), and Jiang et al. (2021).
