Transcriptional changes underlying elemental stoichiometry shifts in a marine heterotrophic bacterium
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INTRODUCTION

Bacterioplankton control the flux of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) into the microbial food web and influence the release of carbon to atmospheric, offshore, and deep sea reservoirs. Many studies suggest that catabolism of DOC is regulated by the biomass stoichiometry of heterotrophic bacteria with respect to N and other nutrients (del Giorgio and Cole, 1998). Thus, bacteria incorporate a higher percentage of metabolized DOC into biomass when C is the limiting element and a lower percentage when other elements (typically N or P) limit growth, resulting in decreased growth efficiency as the substrate C:N ratio increases (Goldman et al., 1987). While the molar stoichiometry of C:N:P in marine seston averages ∼106:16:1 (the Redfield ratio; Redfield, 1934), bacteria from a variety of freshwater and marine environments have higher N and P requirements relative to C and typically must attain a biomass stoichiometry closer to 50:10:1 during DOC processing (Fagerbakke et al., 1996; Cotner et al., 2010).

A confounding factor in stoichiometry-based analyses is that heterotrophic bacteria can change the C:N:P ratio of their biomass in response to the substrate composition (Martinussen and Thingstad, 1987; Tezuka, 1990; Fagerbakke et al., 1996; Gundersen et al., 2002). This plasticity occurs either by uncoupling catabolism and anabolism to overproduce metabolites rich in the excess element (which can be stored or excreted), or by reducing the requirement for the limiting element. Resource-driven shifts in C:N:P ratios of bacterial biomass can affect the efficiency of DOC transformation, modify C flux through the marine food web (Elser et al., 1995), buffer mismatches between bacterial requirements and ecosystem resource availability, and alter the composition of particulate organic matter sequestered in the deep ocean (Thingstad et al., 2008).

While it is clear that any modifications in elemental stoichiometry must occur within the basic macromolecular constraints of a functioning heterotrophic bacterial cell, there is yet only rudimentary knowledge of the physiological mechanisms that might allow C:N:P ratio variability in marine bacteria. Proposed avenues for changes in stoichiometry include alteration of the cellular inventory of P-rich rRNA (Elser et al., 1996), trade-offs in
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macromolecular composition to maximize growth (Franklin et al., 2011), substitution of S for P in bacterial membrane lipids (Van Mooy et al., 2009), depletion of P reserves stored in the form of polyhydroxybutyrate (Dawes and Senior, 1973), and reduction of the cell quota of the limiting element (i.e., the required cell concentration; Harder and Dijkhuizen, 1983; Rivkin and Anderson, 1997).

Here we investigate the global transcriptional response underlying resource-driven shifts in biomass stoichiometry in the marine heterotrophic bacterium Ruegeria pomeroyi DSS-3, a member of the ubiquitous Roseobacter clade, under four different element limitation regimes (C, N, P, and S). Our experimental approach equalized growth rates across treatments using continuous cultures (Ferenci, 2008), thereby disentangling effects of the limiting element from any growth rate-driven differences in cell composition (Elser et al., 1995). R. pomeroyi exhibited significant flexibility in biomass content of some elements but not others, and gene transcription patterns deduced from whole-genome microarrays revealed element-specific metabolic strategies underlying the stoichiometric shifts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

CELL GROWTH CONDITIONS

Ruegeria pomeroyi DSS-3 was grown in 320 ml custom-made chemostats with a culture volume of 200 ml. Steady-state biomass was limited either by carbon (glucose, 1 mmol l\(^{-1}\)), nitrogen (NH\(_4\)Cl, 0.26 mmol l\(^{-1}\)), phosphorus (KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 9.2 \(\mu\)mol l\(^{-1}\)), or sulfur (Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), 25 \(\mu\)mol l\(^{-1}\)). The appropriate concentrations of limiting nutrients needed to produce similar biomass were initially approximated from batch culture experiments that measured growth yields of R. pomeroyi under a range of concentrations. The range was then narrowed in test runs of the chemostats. Once the correct element concentration was determined, six independent chemostat cultures for each macroelement limitation run at two different times were used for elemental analysis and triplicate RNA sampling.

Chemostat culture medium (Table A1 in Appendix) modified from Henriksen (2008) was buffered with 10 mmol l\(^{-1}\) 1,3-bis(tris(hydroxymethyl)methyl amino)propane (Bis–tris propane), a C- and N-containing compound; batch cultures of R. pomeroyi did not grow with 10 mmol l\(^{-1}\) Bis–tris propane when it was added as the sole N or C source. Vitamins and trace metals were added to the culture medium (Table A1 in Appendix). The feed medium was added to each chemostat at a rate of 8.4 ml h\(^{-1}\), equivalent to a dilution rate of 0.042 h\(^{-1}\), chosen to approach the rate of marine bacterial growth in situ (\(\sim\)1–2 day\(^{-1}\); Ducklow and Hill, 1985) while maintaining sufficient cell yield for biological and chemical analysis. During the incubation, cell cultures were mixed by constant stirring, and temperature was maintained at 30°C using a circulating water bath. Air was bubbled into the culture at a flow rate of 2 ml min\(^{-1}\). Salinity was constant at 25 and pH ranged from 6.6 to 7.0 during all growth regimes. Cell cultures were considered to be at steady-state when the change in OD600 was \(\leq\) 10% between two successive measurements. At steady-state, the feed medium dilution rate equals cell growth rate, which was equivalent to a 16.5-h doubling time for R. pomeroyi DSS-3. All cultures reached steady-state after three volume exchanges, and cells were harvested after five volume exchanges.

Inoculum for the chemostat cultures was from a frozen glycerol stock of R. pomeroyi DSS-3, revived by streaking onto 1/2 strength YTSS agar (yeast extract, 4 g l\(^{-1}\); tryptone, 2.5 g l\(^{-1}\); sea salts, 20 g l\(^{-1}\); agar, 20 g l\(^{-1}\); Gonzalez et al., 2003; pH adjusted to 6.8), and incubating at 30°C in the dark. After colonies appeared (\(\sim\)3 days after streaking), a patch of cells was transferred into 1/2 YTSS liquid medium and grown at 30°C with shaking at 150 rpm. After an overnight incubation, cells were washed two times and resuspended in fresh medium lacking glucose, ammonium, phosphate, and sulfate (Table A1 in Appendix). Cells were inoculated into 200 ml chemostat medium to an OD600 of 0.05 (∼7 × 10\(^6\) cells ml\(^{-1}\)), and cultured initially with the outflow pump turned off. After \(\sim\)16 h, the flow carrying the feed medium was started and the medium supply was maintained at a constant rate during the chemostat run.

CELL COUNTS AND PROTEIN MEASUREMENTS

Chemostat cultures were routinely monitored for OD600, cell counts, and cell protein concentration. For cell counts, aliquots taken from the chemostats were fixed with glutaraldehyde (2% vol/vol, final concentration), incubated at room temperature for 10 min, and frozen at –20°C. One freeze–thaw cycle did not cause a change in the cell count (data not shown). Thawed cells were stained with SYBR Green II (Molecular Probes/Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), incubated in the dark for 10 min, and analyzed with a Beckman Coulter Cyan Flow Cytometer. Bacterial cells were quantified using a combination of forward light scatter and fluorescence detection. To reduce the contribution of background fluorescence, cells were quantified within a gate encompassing ≥94% of total fluorescence. Light scatter values provided an index of cell size. The cell protein concentration was measured from cells flash-frozen in a dry ice–ethanol bath according to the method of Bradford (1976) using Bovine Serum Albumin as a standard.

SPENT MEDIUM ANALYSIS

For each treatment regime, concentrations of glucose, NH\(_4^+\), PO\(_4^{3-}\), and SO\(_4^{2-}\) were measured in one sample (one or two technical duplicates) of cell-free spent medium pooled from three independent chemostat cultures. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 16,250 × g. The supernatant from three replicates was combined and passed through a 0.22-μm pore-size cellulose acetate filter to remove remaining cells and stored at –20°C until analysis. An estimate of ammonium in the supernatant was obtained by assaying the spent medium using the Ammonia Assay Kit (BioVision, Mountain View, CA, USA; detection limit of 20 μmol l\(^{-1}\)) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and correcting for the interference from pyruvate based on a control prepared in parallel with the sample. Attempts to measure ammonium with the more sensitive phenol–hypochlorite method (Solorzano, 1969) failed due to inhibiting agents in the culture medium. Glucose concentration was measured with the Glucose Assay Kit (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA; detection limit of 50 μmol l\(^{-1}\)), and control samples were prepared in parallel with the supplied glucose standard. The concentration of PO\(_4^{3-}\) was determined with a Shimadzu UV-1601
spectrophotometer using the molybdenum blue reaction (Strickland and Parsons, 1972) and the concentration of SO$_4^{2-}$ was determined with a DIONEX ICS-2000 ion chromatography system. Internal standards were used in PO$_4^{3-}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ measurements.

**GROWTH EFFICIENCY CALCULATIONS**

Carbon assimilatory efficiency was calculated as C$_b$/C$_{ipt}$, where C$_b$ is the C present in cell biomass per chemostat volume (200 ml), calculated as 3,072, 1,007, 2,928, and 511 μg C for the C-, N-, P-, and S-limited conditions, respectively, based on measures of biomass %C and dry weight; and C$_{ipt}$ is the C input to the chemostat per cell doubling minus any C remaining in the spent medium, calculated as 9,988 μg C for C-limited conditions (8.4 ml h$^{-1}$ of a 1-mmol l$^{-1}$ solution) and 44,946 μg C minus spent medium C for N-, P-, and S-limited conditions (8.4 ml h$^{-1}$ of a 4.5-mmol l$^{-1}$ solution).

**CELL ELEMENTAL ANALYSIS**

*Ruegeria pomeroyi* DSS-3 cells from 240 ml of culture, pooled from three independent chemostat replicates (80 ml per replicate), were pelleted by centrifugation at 16,250 × g, washed twice in fresh medium without glucose, ammonium, phosphate, and sulfate (Table A1 in Appendix), immediately frozen in a dry ice–ethanol bath, and stored at −70°C. Frozen cell samples were lyophilized for dry weight measurements and then used in elemental analysis with a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN analyzer (C and N) and an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (P).

**FREE AMINO ACID ANALYSIS**

Cells from 180 ml of culture pooled from three independent chemostat replicates (60 ml per replicate) were washed and pelleted as described above. A portion was saved for cell counts by flow cytometry. To the remainder, 9.7 nmol of β-amino isobutyric acid was added as an internal standard (Hendrickson et al., 2008) and cells were rapidly frozen in a dry ice–ethanol bath and stored at −80°C until analysis. Frozen cell pellets were sent to the Molecular Structure Facility at the University of California Davis for assay with a Hitachi L-8900 Amino Acid Analyzer according to the facility’s protocol. Briefly, cell pellets were lysed with acetonitrile (50% vol/vol) and formic acid (5% vol/vol), and amino-ethyl cysteine was added as an internal standard for quality control. The final amount of amino acids was corrected for the standard and converted to units of pmoles (mg dry weight)$^{-1}$.

**MICROARRAY HYBRIDIZATION**

Cell culture from each replicate chemostat (45 ml) was rapidly fixed with 5 ml of phenol–ethanol solution (5% vol/vol). Cells were collected by centrifugation at 4,500 × g, the supernatant was removed, and the loose cell pellets were frozen at −70°C. Total RNA was purified using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to procedures described previously (Poretsky et al., 2009). DNA was removed by the TURBO DNA-free kit (Applied Biosystems/Ambion, Austin, TX, USA), ribosomal RNA was removed with the MicrobeExpress kit (Applied Biosystems/Ambion), and mRNA-enriched RNA was amplified with the MessageAmp II-Bacteria Kit (Applied Biosystems/Ambion).

A non-competitive, single-color hybridization strategy was used to hybridize the mRNA samples to microarray slides. Amplified mRNA was labeled with AlexaFluor 647 dye (Invitrogen), with modifications to the manufacturer’s protocol as described previously (Bürgmann et al., 2007). Amplified and labeled mRNA was purified with the MEGAclear kit (Applied Biosystems/Ambion) and concentrated by ethanol precipitation. Samples were hybridized to a whole-genome microarray manufactured by Combimatrix (Mukilteo, WA, USA) and imaged according to the procedures described in the manufacturer’s protocol. The CustomArray 12 K microarray slides for *R. pomeroyi* DSS-3 used in this study were described in detail by Bürgmann et al. (2007). A total of 8,143 probes, each present up to three times, were represented on the array (Bürgmann et al., 2007). Hybridized slides were stripped according to Combimatrix’s protocol and re-used up to three times. Arrays were re-imaged after each stripping, and re-stripped as needed until minimal fluorescence signal remained.

**MICROARRAY DATA ANALYSIS**

Quality control of microarray hybridizations was performed as described previously (Bürgmann et al., 2007). Each hybridized spot was manually examined in GenePix Pro 6.0 Software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), and the background fluorescence was subtracted (i.e., fluorescence values of the five closest empty spots). Spots were flagged as bad with Acuity 4.0 (Molecular Devices) when they were unevenly hybridized (determined manually by checking the hybridization circularity), when the detected fluorescence minus the background fluorescence was less than 2 SDs of the background fluorescence [F635–B635 < 2 SD (B635)], or when the signal to noise ratio was <3. Probes were removed when the flagged spots were present in >25% of arrays. For the remaining probes with good hybridization signal, those targeting 5S rRNA, 16S rRNA, 23S rRNA, mismatch probes, and manufacturer’s control probes were removed. Each gene was represented by up to two probes and each probe was spotted up to three times on the array (Bürgmann et al., 2007). After probe removal, 5,145 probes (covering 3,324 genes out of the total 4,252 genes in the *R. pomeroyi* DSS-3 genome) remained for analysis. The complete microarray dataset, reported according to the Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment guidelines (Brazma et al., 2001), was appended to the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; The National Center for Biotechnology Information), platform GPL4067, under series accession number GSE27032.

Fluorescence values from 14 microarray hybridizations (three biological replicates from each macroelement-limited condition and one technical replicate each for the N and S limitations) were normalized globally, as in Rinta-Kanto et al. (2010). Data for the technical replicates were averaged and combined (Figure A1 in Appendix). Spearman rank correlation coefficients between replicate arrays ranged between 0.82 and 0.96, while those between arrays from different treatments were ≤0.80 (Table A2 in Appendix). The exception was between P and S limitation arrays, for which the correlation coefficients ranged between 0.71 and 0.85 (Table A2 in Appendix). Statistical analysis was performed in MultiExperiment Viewer (MeV, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA; Saeed et al., 2006). Significance analysis of microarray (SAM; Tusher et al., 2001), available through the MeV.
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interface, was used for statistical analysis. Pairwise comparisons were made between treatments, with the following settings: two-class unpaired, 5,000 permutations, and false discovery rate (FDR; Tusher et al., 2001) ≤2%. Pairwise SAM analyses were performed as follows: C limitation compared to C excess conditions (where N, P, or S was limiting); N limitation compared to N excess conditions (where C, P, or S was limiting); P limitation compared to P excess conditions (where N, C, or S was limiting); and S limitation compared to S excess conditions (where N, C, or P were limiting; Figure A1 in Appendix).

Transcriptionally responsive genes were identified as those with a ≥3-fold enrichment in all three pairwise comparisons across treatments (Figure A1 in Appendix). Four genes (SPO0968, SPO1031, SPO1795, and SPO3300) were transcriptionally enriched under one treatment but transcriptionally depleted under another, due to different responses of two probes for a single gene; these were not considered further. Designation of enriched or depleted genes was conservative. In many cases genes had significant responses in two out of three pairwise comparisons or had a ≥2-fold (but ≤3-fold) transcriptional change, but they were not considered further.

GENE ANNOTATION AND METABOLIC PATHWAY CONSTRUCTION

*Ruegeria pomeroyi* DSS-3 gene products were generally assigned according to the annotations in GenBank (Accession number NC_003911.11) with updated gene calls as appropriate (SPO0781, *phnD*; SPO1948, *pamS*; SPO1979, OlsA-like protein; SPO1890, OlsB-like protein; SPO0372 and SPO2287, LuxI-type proteins). Operons were as predicted in Roseobase, and metabolic pathways were constructed manually from BioCyc (SRI International, Menlo Park, CA, USA). For analysis of the global ocean sampling (GOS) metagenome (Rusch et al., 2007; Yooshep et al., 2007; data obtained from Community Cyberinfrastructure for Advanced Microbial Ecology Research and Analysis3), BLASTp was used to identify homologous sequences using an E-value of <10−3. The percent of bacterioplankton cells in GOS samples (as of May 2011; Sargasso Sea, northwest Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Ocean sequences; Rusch et al., 2007; Yooshep et al., 2007) harboring a homolog was calculated as (number of homologs × 100)/number of recA, assuming only one homolog and one recA per genome and 10,196 recA homologs in the analyzed portion of the GOS dataset (Moran et al., 2011). For analysis of other microbial genomes, BLASTp was used to identify homologs using an E-value < 10−30. In both cases, candidate homologs were subjected to phylogenetic analysis using pplacer (Matsen et al., 2010) to confirm homology with genes of known function.

CELL STOICHIOMETRY

The stoichiometry rules that best reproduced observed C:N:P ratios under elemental limitation were explored. A simple model that assumed limitation shifted the concentration of the limiting element away from the concentration found under balanced growth (when all elements are available in non-limiting conditions) by a factor α, while the other two (non-limiting) elements were unaffected by the limitation gave the best fit to the observed C:N:P ratios. Thus the C:N:P ratio for *R. pomeroyi* under balanced growth conditions was approximated as:

\[ \alpha_C C : \alpha_N N : \alpha_P P \]

where \( \alpha_x \) is the factor by which element \( x \) changes when it is limiting, and \( C_x, N_x, \) and \( P_x \) are the C, N, and P content, respectively, under limiting element \( x \).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ATTRIBUTES OF STEADY-STATE CULTURES

Measurements of cell-free spent media indicated that limiting elements were below the detection limit while non-limiting elements were in excess for chemostat-grown *R. pomeroyi* DSS-3 (Table 1). Cell dry weights for the C, N, and S treatments averaged 486 fg cell−1, similar to those reported for heterotrophic marine bacteria during exponential growth (322–512 fg cell−1; Vrede et al., 2002; Table A3 in Appendix), but P-limited cells had an unusually high dry weight (1,388 fg cell−1). C-limited cells were smaller and had higher growth efficiency (i.e., amount of new bacterial biomass produced per unit of organic C assimilated) than N-, P-, or S-limited cells (31 versus 1–8%; Table 1). By way of comparison, del Giorgio and Cole (1998) report 9–47% bacterial growth efficiencies (interquartile range) for heterotrophic bacteria in oceans and estuaries. The protein: dry weight ratio of S-limited cells (31 versus 1–8%; Table 1) was atypically high, likely reflecting an unnatural condition for marine bacterioplankton. Molar C:N ratios in biomass varied up to 2.5-fold and C:P ratios varied up to sixfold among the different limiting element regimes (Table 1).

Transcripts for 190 genes (4.5% of the 4,252 genes in the *R. pomeroyi* DSS-3 genome) were responsive exclusively to C, N, or S limitation, with 134 genes enriched (3.2%) and 56 genes depleted (1.3%; Figure A1 in Appendix; see Tables A4 and A5 in Appendix for a complete list of significantly enriched and depleted genes).

TRANSCRIPTIONAL RESPONSE TO C LIMITATION

The C-limited transcriptome was enriched in transporters for dicarboxylic acids, peptides, branched-chain amino acids, sugars, and glycine betaine/proline (Table 2), indicating increased investment in substrate acquisition. Several cell division transcripts were also present in higher proportion, including those for a chromosome initiation replication protein (*dnaA*), DNA helicase (*recQ*), DNA polymerase, and a purine biosynthesis enzyme (*hisF*; Table 2), coinciding with the smaller and more numerous cells observed under C limitation (Table 1). Transcripts for the rod shape-determining *mreD*, a mutated version of which causes *Escherichia coli* cells to take on a spherical shape (Wachi et al., 1989), were depleted (Table 2). Spherical shape and small cell size are characteristic of marine bacterioplankton in oligotrophic conditions, and are hypothesized to afford a more favorable surface-to-volume ratio to compete for scarce substrates (Azam et al., 1983). Relative increases in transcripts for three proteins involved in flagellum synthesis indicated increased motility of C-limited cells. Together, these changes represent a strong C scavenging response by C-limited *R. pomeroyi* cells.

In the C-limited cultures, the *R. pomeroyi* transcriptome was depleted in transcripts encoding the synthesis and export of
high C-content surface-associated polysaccharides, a strategy that would lower the cellular C quota (Table 2). Transcripts for phasin (phaP), a protein that binds to the surface of C storage inclusions composed of polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA; Anderson and Dawes, 1990), were depleted (Table 2), indicating degradation of internal C reservoirs. Other genes involved in PHA metabolism (phaA, phbB, phaZ, phaR) did not have altered transcription levels (Figure A2 in Appendix), but this is consistent with data from the related alphaproteobacterium *Rhodobacter capsulatus* showing PHA synthesis genes to be constitutively expressed, with control occurring post-translationally (Kranz et al., 1997). Since PHA storage molecules have been observed in *R. pomeroyi* cells (Gonzalez et al., 2003) and can occupy 40–50% of cell volume in some C-replete bacteria (Yurkov, 2006), this finding coincides with the smaller cell size observed under C limitation (Table 1). Together, this set of transcriptional changes comprise strategies for a reduced cell quota for C.

### Transcriptional Response to N Limitation

N limitation induced a strong scavenging response for acquiring available N compounds mediated through a conserved bacterial regulatory system involving PII regulators (*glnB*-1 and *glnB*-2) and the N response regulator NtrC (Ikeda et al., 1996; Gyaneshwar et al., 2005; Walter et al., 2007; Table 2). Two genes previously found to be controlled by these regulators in *E. coli* (Zimmer et al., 2000; Gyaneshwar et al., 2005) were also part of the *R. pomeroyi* scavenging response: an ammonium transporter (*amt-2*, located adjacent to *glnB*-2) and a glutamine synthetase (*glnA*, mediating ammonium incorporation; located adjacent to *glnB*-1). Two other N scavenging activities included enrichment of transcripts for urea import/export and cytoplasmic pools. This transcriptional response focuses on tightening of the import/export balance of N through better "gate-keeping." In one response, depletion of a xylose ABC transporter (*xylH*) and enrichment of a putative xylose uptake repressor (Table 2) would work to decrease the import of high C:N content carbohydrates. In another, depletion of transcripts for a putative lysine exporter (Table 2) involved in cytoplasmic amino acid regulation (Vrijilj et al., 1999) would suppress the export of low C:N content amino acids. Two genes mediating dissimilatory nitrite reduction (*norQ* and *nirS*, which are part of a partial denitrification pathway; Moran et al., 2004) were also depleted (Table 2), suggesting another mechanism for N retention through a decrease in N-based respiration. The underrepresentation of transcripts for amino acid metabolism, including glutamate dehydrogenase, threonine aldolase, *asd* (aspartate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase), *metK* (S-adenosylmethionine synthetase), *tyrB* (aromatic amino acid aminotransferase), and *gcvT* (glycine cleavage system T protein; Table 2) was consistent with the substantial change in free amino acid concentrations observed under N-limited conditions (0.5% of cell N content compared to 1.3 and 4.2% under C and P limitation; Table A6 in Appendix), suggesting a reallocation of cytoplasmic N. Collectively, the transcriptional data support strong N homeostasis as the major response to N limitation, enabled through intense scavenging and tighter controls over N import/export and cytoplasmic pools.

### Transcriptional Response to P Limitation

A *phoB*-mediated response involving scavenging for inorganic and organic sources of P dominated the P-limited transcriptome (Table 2). Transcripts were enriched for transport or utilization of phosphate (*pstB*, *pstS*, -C, -S; Yuan et al., 2006), phosphonate (*phnD*, -G, and -K; Metcalf and Wanner, 1991; Parker et al., 1999), and phosphate esters (*phoX*; Sebastian and Ammerman, 2011; Table 2). While inorganic P storage is common among bacteria, *R. pomeroyi* does not have a close homolog to the widespread P storage enzyme polyphosphate kinase (*ppkl*; Newton et al., 2010) or to 1,3-diphosphoglycerate-polyphosphate phosphotransferase or polyphosphate:AMP-phosphotransferase (Kulaev et al., 1971; Ishige and Noguchi, 2000). It has been suggested that SPO0224 functions as a *ppkl* in *R. pomeroyi* (Nahalka and Pátopláňštý, 2009),

| Limitation | Spent medium (mmol l⁻¹) | Steady-state culture | Molar ratiob |
|------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
|            | Glucosea | NH₄⁺a | PO₄³⁻b | SO₄²⁻b | Protein (fg cell⁻¹)c | Dry weight (fg cell⁻¹)b | Protein: dry weight (%) | Flow scatter (model)c | C growth efficiency | C:N | C:P | N:P |
| C          | BDd     | 1.02  | 0.40  | 1.76  | 140.8          | 454.0          | 31.0          | 1,792          | 30.8          | 4.4 | 55.6 | 12.7 |
| N          | 0.72    | BD    | 0.46  | 1.52  | 353.9          | 7579          | 46.7          | 2,048          | 2.7           | 11.2 | 166.7 | 14.8 |
| P          | 0.67    | 0.54  | BD    | 1.81  | 371.5          | 1388.4        | 26.8          | 2,048          | 7.7           | 9.5  | 333.3 | 35.0 |
| S          | 0.66    | NDa   | 0.43  | 0.01  | 234.6          | 245.8         | 95.4          | 2,099 ± 280    | 1.3           | 4.9  | 62.5 | 12.7 |

*aAverage of two technical replicates from pooled triplicate chemostat cultures.

*bValue for one sample from pooled triplicate chemostat cultures.

*cAverage of triplicate chemostat cultures; ±SD is specified if >10% of the mean.

*dBelow detection of the assay (see Materials and Methods).

*eNot determined.
Table 2 | Selected *R. pomeroyi* DSS-3 genes responding uniquely to a C-, N-, P-, or S-limited regime.

| Locus tag     | Product (gene name, if available)                                           | Fold-change ratio<sup>a</sup> | Response strategy |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
|               |                                                                             | Probe 1 | Probe 2 | Scavenging | Quota Change | Gate-keeping |
|               |                                                                             |        |        |            |              |              |
| **C-LIMITED** |                                                                             |        |        |            |              |              |
| SPO00107      | ATP-dependent DNA helicase (*recQ*)                                         | 1.0    | 6.3    | –          |              |              |
| SPO00149      | Chromosomal replication initiaion protein (*dnaA*)                          | 16.5   | 1.2    | –          |              |              |
| SPO00171      | Flagellar biosynthetic protein                                              | 1.3    | 6.7    | –          |              |              |
| SPO00183      | H<sup>+</sup>-transporting two-sector ATPase, flagellum-specific             | 6.3    | 1.0    | –          |              |              |
| SPO00590      | LacI family transcriptional regulator (carbon catabolite repression domain-containing) | 14.5   | –      | –          |              |              |
| SPO00970      | Aquaporin Z (*apqZ*)                                                        | 3.1    | 1.3    | –          |              |              |
| SPO1132       | Glycine betaine/proline ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein                | 3.1    | 3.0    | –          |              |              |
| SPO1156       | Imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase subunit (*hisF*)                       | 3.0    | 3.5    | –          |              |              |
| SPO1463       | TRAP dicarboxylate transporter, DctM subunit                                | 8.3    | –      | –          |              |              |
| SPO1645       | Oligopeptide/dipeptide ABC transporter, permease protein                    | 4.6    | –      | –          |              |              |
| SPO1807       | DNA polymerase III epsilon subunit family exonuclease                        | 3.7    | 1.0    | –          |              |              |
| SPO2370       | Sodium:alanine symporter family protein                                     | 3.4    | –      | –          |              |              |
| SPO3291       | Branched-chain amino acid ABC transporter, periplasmic binding protein, putative | 5.5    | 4.0    | –          |              |              |
| SPO3461       | Flagellar protein FlgJ, putative                                            | 8.5    | –      | –          |              |              |
| SPO3783       | Sugar ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein                                   | 8.0    | –      | –          |              |              |
| SPO40097      | Branched-chain amino acid ABC transporter, permease protein                 | 3.6    | 1.4    | –          |              |              |
| SPO40160      | TRAP dicarboxylate transporter, DctM subunit                                | 8.7    | –      | –          |              |              |
| SPO40299      | Branched-chain amino acid ABC transporter, permease protein                 | 0.9    | 3.7    | –          |              |              |
| SPO40416      | Rod-shaped determining protein (*mreD*)                                      | 0.3    | –      | –          |              |              |
| SPO40123      | Phasin (*phaP*)                                                             | 0.1    | 0.1    | –          |              |              |
| SPO401756     | Polysaccharide biosynthesis/export protein                                   | 0.3    | 0.7    | –          |              |              |
| **N-LIMITED** |                                                                             |        |        |            |              |              |
| SPO00860      | Xylose repressor, putative                                                  | 3.2    | 3.2    | –          |              |              |
| SPO01707      | Urea transporter, ATP-binding protein (*urtE*)                               | 3.8    | 3.0    | –          |              |              |
| SPO04447      | Urea transporter, ATP-binding protein (*urtD*)                               | 5.5    | –      | –          |              |              |
| SPO01708      | Urea transporter, permease protein (*urtC*)                                  | 11.6   | –      | –          |              |              |
| SPO02087      | Nitrogen regulation protein (*ntrC*)                                        | 1.1    | 4.3    | –          |              |              |
| SPO02294      | Nitrogen regulatory protein P<sub>II</sub> (*glnB*)                          | 9.0    | 6.6    | –          |              |              |
| SPO02295      | Glutamine synthetase, type I (*glnA*)                                       | 4.7    | 5.1    | –          |              |              |
| SPO02364      | Amino acid ABC transporter, periplasmic binding protein                      | 1.3    | 4.1    | –          |              |              |
| SPO03723      | Ammonium transporter (*amt-2*)                                               | 28.0   | –      | –          |              |              |
| SPO03724      | Nitrogen regulatory protein P<sub>II</sub> (*glnB-2*)                       | 124.3  | 39.1   | –          |              |              |
| SPO040300     | Branched-chain amino acid ABC transporter, periplasmic binding protein       | 6.6    | –      | –          |              |              |
| SPO00862      | Xylose ABC transporter, permease protein (*xyI*)                            | 0.3    | 0.3    | –          |              |              |
| SPO01079      | Lysine exporter, putative                                                   | 0.1    | 2.0    | –          |              |              |
| SPO01743      | Glutamate dehydrogenase                                                     | 0.2    | 0.2    | –          |              |              |
| SPO03156      | L-threonine aldolase, low-specificity, putative                             | 1.3    | 0.3    | –          |              |              |
| SPO03712      | Aspartate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase (*asd*)                               | 0.2    | 0.3    | –          |              |              |
| SPO03720      | Aromatic amino acid aminotransferase (*tyrB*)                               | 0.3    | 0.9    | –          |              |              |
| SPO040067     | S-adenosylmethionine synthetase (*metK*)                                    | 0.2    | 0.4    | –          |              |              |
| SPO040215     | Glycine cleavage system T protein (*gcvT*)                                   | 0.2    | 0.3    | –          |              |              |
| SPO040240     | Nitric oxide reductase Q protein (*norQ*)                                    | 2.2    | 0.1    | –          |              |              |
| SPO040220     | Cytochrome cd1 nitrite reductase (*nirS*)                                   | 0.2    | –      | –          |              |              |
| **P-LIMITED** |                                                                             |        |        |            |              |              |
| SPO0047       | Enoyl-CoA hydratase                                                         | 4.3    | 3.0    | –          |              |              |
| SPO00304      | Lipoprotein, putative                                                       | 7.0    | 5.4    | –          |              |              |
| SPO00466      | Alkylphosphonate utilization protein (*pch*)                                | 5.2    | –      | –          |              |              |

(Continued)
but neither that gene nor the two ppk2 genes purported to degrade polyphosphates (SPOP1256 and SPO1727; Nahálka and Pátoprstý, 2009) were transcriptionally modified under P limitation. Instead, there was evidence for lowering the P cell quota by reworking of membrane phospholipids, including enrichment of transcripts for an enoyl-CoA hydratase mediating fatty acid degradation, a phosphatidyl ethanolamine N-methyltransferase (pmtA), and a lipoprotein likely representing a membrane-bound degradative enzyme or stress sensor (Table 2; Figure A3 in Appendix). R. pomeroyi possesses genes for the synthesis of ornithine-containing lipids (olsAB-like genes), which incorporate N rather than P into lipid membranes. The transcription of olsB was highest under P limitation (Figure A3 in Appendix), although the probes did not meet the significance cut off of ≥3-fold. These genes are important under P limitation in closely related Alphaproteobacteria (Weissenmayer et al., 2002; Gao et al., 2004) and in marine bacterioplankton communities (Van Mooy et al., 2009), and we leave open the possibility that this pathway is involved in P content reworking in R. pomeroyi.

**TRANSCRIPTIONAL RESPONSE TO S LIMITATION**

Unlike responses to C, N, and P limitation, none of the 21 transcriptionally responsive genes to S limitation were indicative of scavenging (Tables A4 and A5 in Appendix). Instead, response took the form of increased relative expression of enzymes requiring S-containing substrates or cofactors (Figure A4 in Appendix). Changes in transcript abundance for the synthesis of 5-amino-levulinate (hemA-1), protoporphyrinogen IX (hemN), sirohydrochlorin (cobA-1), and the quorum sensor regulator luxR-1 (Table 2; Figure A4 in Appendix) may all be linked to a depleted pool of S-adenosyl-methionine (Figure A4 in Appendix). Evidence for a cellular stress response was strongest under S limitation, and this included enrichment of transcripts for a thiol-containing antioxidant protein, a universal stress protein, and a σ32 factor (rpoH-2), along with the gene ppk2 (Table A4 in Appendix), which degrades polyphosphate to GTP and is implicated in bacterial stress responses (Brown and Kornberg, 2008; Gangiah et al., 2010).

The S-limited transcriptome provided a useful perspective on transcriptional responses to limitation by an element that is not naturally limiting in the ocean (seawater SO4 concentrations are ~28 mmol l−1) and therefore for which there is little selective pressure to evolve responses to scarcity. The biasing of the S-limited transcriptome toward biosynthetic pathways with depleted end products, while a successful strategy for addressing metabolic imbalances in bacteria (Goyal et al., 2010), cannot solve a cell-wide elemental deficit. In E. coli, scavenging responses occur through the CysB system that upregulates transporters for sulfate, cysteine, and alternate sources of S (Gyaneshwar et al., 2005). However, the R. pomeroyi DSS-3 genome does not contain a CysB ortholog.

The fact that S limitation was the only condition that did not invoke a scavenging response for obtaining more of the limiting...
element indicates that transcriptional regulation in *R. pomeroyi* is not evolutionarily attuned for S limitation. By analogy, the absence of an N storage response may indicate that conditions under which excess N is available for transport and storage by bacterioplankton are rare in the ocean. Indeed, a search of the marine bacterioplankton genes captured in the Global Ocean Sampling (GOS) dataset (Rusch et al., 2007; Yooseph et al., 2007) indicated that fewer than 3% of surface ocean bacterioplankton have homologs for cysB. Similarly, fewer than 1% of bacterioplankton carry homologs for either of the two genes required for N storage (CPG synthetase and cyanophycinase; Table A7 in Appendix). Transcriptional responses of *R. pomeroyi* may therefore provide insights into the role of seawater chemistry in molding the evolution of marine bacterioplankton genome content.

**EXPLAINING CELL STOICHIOMETRY CHANGES**

To link transcriptional responses to cell stoichiometry under different element limitations, we estimated the composition of *R. pomeroyi* biomass under balanced growth (i.e., when all elements are available in excess and the C:N:P ratio is optimal); this is the conceptual starting point from which the cell’s transcriptional responses produced the observed element-limited ratios (Table 1). The simplest model, one in which C:N:P ratios under element limitation are reached through a proportional change in only the limiting element, fit our data well. This does not necessarily require that C, N, and P are unlinked in the cell, but rather that the net result of resource limitation is a decrease in only the limiting element, where $\alpha_X$ is the factor by which element $X$ (C, N, or P) changes when it is limiting. The best solution to the elemental ratio data emerged with a C:N:P of ~154:15:1 under balanced growth (Figure 1). From this initial ratio, C-limited cells (56:13:1; Table 1) would have undergone a relative C decrease to 38% of balanced growth levels ($\alpha_C = 1/0.38$), P-limited cells (333:35:1) would have undergone a relative P decrease to 40% of balanced growth ($\alpha_P = 1/0.4$), but N-limited cells (167:15:1) would have undergone almost no change in N content ($\alpha_N = 1/1.0$; Figure 1).

The transcriptional changes in *R. pomeroyi* strongly support this model. Only the C- and P-limited cells exhibited reworking strategies to decrease cell quotas (degradation of stored reserves, decreases in production or secretion of extracellular products, restructuring of membranes; Table 2). Only the N-limited cells showed a substantial gate-keeping response and tightening of control over cytoplasmic pools (Table 2). It is not yet evident whether strong N homeostasis compared to C and P is a feature that can be generalized to other heterotrophic marine bacterioplankton, although *R. pomeroyi* represents a ubiquitous bacterial taxon in surface ocean waters (Moran et al., 2004) and its growth rate in the continuous cultures was within the range measured for marine bacterioplankton in situ (Ducklow and Hill, 1985; Whitman et al., 1998). Four unidentified marine bacterial isolates studied by Vrede et al. (2002) did not show a similar N homeostasis (Figure A5 in Appendix), although they were not grown in continuous culture. Elemental ratios measured for natural bacterioplankton typically do not fall within the balanced growth region for *R. pomeroyi* (Figure 1) and are a better match to the ratios found under C limitation, suggesting that marine bacteria may be most often C-limited in the ocean.

A central concept in stoichiometric ecology is that growth rate changes drive differences in biomass elemental composition through shifts in the content of P-rich RNA (Sterner et al., 2008). For marine microbes, this growth rate hypothesis (GRH) will be most relevant when bacterioplankton are growing at different rates, such as during phytoplankton blooms or mixing events relative to non-bloom conditions or dormancy. By comparison, *R. pomeroyi* was studied under constant growth rate but differing stoichiometry of external resources, a scenario more relevant when the element limiting heterotrophic growth varies over time or space (e.g., Thingstad, 1987; Kirchman, 1990; Pomeroy et al., 1995; Cherrier et al., 1996; Cotner et al., 1997; Kirchman and Rich, 1997; Zohary and Robarts, 1998; Torréton et al., 2000; Van Wambeke et al., 2002; Obernosterer et al., 2003; Thingstad et al., 2005). In exploring stoichiometric changes predicted under the GRH, Loladze and Elser (2011) calculated a microbial protein:RNA ratio of 3 under optimal growth conditions (cellular N:P ratio = 16). Our balanced growth-stoichiometry calculation for *R. pomeroyi* agrees with this (N:P ratio = 15; Figure 1). Under non-optimal conditions however, which are commonplace in the ocean, transcriptional responses of heterotrophic bacteria can drive biomass C:N:P ratios from this ideal value through physiological mechanisms that store certain elements when available in excess and
strongly retain others when scarce. The consistency of transcriptional reactions among the diverse taxa that make up marine bacterial assemblages will determine the aggregate community response to element limitation in the ocean (Hall et al., 2011; Scott et al., 2012).

CONCLUSION

Bacterioplankton transcriptional changes reveal the cellular basis for biomass stoichiometry outcomes whose effects are manifested at the ecosystem level. Flexible biomass ratios provide a buffer in the development of nutrient limitation (Thingstad et al., 2003) and, at least in the short term, delay intensification of bacterial–phytoplankton competition. Stoichiometric flexibility also broadens the range of C:N:P ratios in bacterial biomass produced in ocean surface waters, with consequences for basin-scale differences in particulate matter and sequesteration of organic matter deviating from Redfield proportions (Geider and La Roche, 2002; Hessen et al., 2004; Sterner et al., 2008; Weber and Deutsch, 2010). For model marine heterotrophic bacterium <i>R. pomeroyi</i>, cellular biomass ratios reflect a net transcriptional balance between element scavenging responses, gate-keeping activities, and cell quota changes, with the balance between these expression responses dictated by which element is limiting its growth.
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### APPENDIX

**Table A1 | Chemostat culture medium.**

| Compound                  | Concentration          |
|---------------------------|------------------------|
| **MACRONUTRIENTa**        |                        |
| Glucose (C₆H₁₂O₆)         | 4.50 mmol l⁻¹ (1.00 mmol l⁻¹) |
| Phosphate (KH₂PO₄)        | 0.50 mmol l⁻¹ (9.20 µmol l⁻¹) |
| Ammonium (NH₄Cl)          | 2.80 mmol l⁻¹ (0.26 mmol l⁻¹) |
| Sulfate (Na₂SO₄)          | 2.50 mmol l⁻¹ (25.00 µmol l⁻¹) |
| **BUFFER, IRON, AND SALTb** |                    |
| Bis–tris propane (C₁₁H₂₆N₂O₆) | 9.91 mmol l⁻¹       |
| CaCl₂·2H₂O                 | 7.42 mmol l⁻¹       |
| MgCl₂                      | 106.21 mmol l⁻¹     |
| Iron-EDTA (C₁₀H₁₂FeN₂NaO₈) | 67.52 µmol l⁻¹     |
| KCl                        | 10.64 mmol l⁻¹     |
| NaCl                       | 198.49 mmol l⁻¹     |
| **TRACE ELEMENT**         |                        |
| C₆H₉NO₆                    | 12.95 µmol l⁻¹     |
| CoCl₂·6H₂O                 | 0.80 µmol l⁻¹     |
| Na₂SeO₃                    | 1.87 µmol l⁻¹     |
| Na₂WO₄·2H₂O                | 0.55 µmol l⁻¹     |
| BaCl₂·2H₂O                 | 0.49 µmol l⁻¹     |
| CuSO₄                      | 55.57 nmol l⁻¹   |
| MnSO₄·H₂O                  | 0.77 µmol l⁻¹     |
| ZnSO₄·7H₂O                 | 0.54 µmol l⁻¹     |
| Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O               | 0.57 µmol l⁻¹     |
| Na₂SiO₃·9H₂O               | 0.45 µmol l⁻¹     |
| SrCl₂·6H₂O                 | 0.19 mmol l⁻¹     |
| NiCl₂·6H₂O                 | 96.32 nmol l⁻¹    |
| **VITAMIN**               |                        |
| Thiamine (B1)              | 0.15 µmol l⁻¹     |
| Nicotinic acid (B3)        | 0.40 µmol l⁻¹     |
| Pyridoxine-HCl (B6)        | 0.48 µmol l⁻¹     |
| Folic acid (B9)            | 44.86 nmol l⁻¹   |
| Cyanocobalamin (B12)       | 7.30 nmol l⁻¹     |
| Riboflavin (B2)            | 0.13 µmol l⁻¹     |
| Pantothenic acid (B5)      | 0.23 µmol l⁻¹     |
| Biotin (B7)                | 81.05 nmol l⁻¹    |
| p-aminobenzoic acid (B10)  | 0.36 µmol l⁻¹     |

- Limiting macronutrient in parenthesis.
- Final salts concentration is 23.6 g l⁻¹ (salinity of ~25).
- Bis–tris propane, 1,3-bis(tris(hydroxymethyl)methylamino)propane.
- Iron-EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid iron (III) sodium salts.
Table A2 | Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients of the normalized fluorescence values in 5,145 probes between replicate arrays.

|                | C-limited | N-limited | P-limited | S-limited |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| C-limited      | 0.91      | 0.92      | 0.74      | 0.76      |
| N-limited      | 0.70      | 0.75      | 0.67      | 0.73      |
| P-limited      | 0.66      | 0.69      | 0.63      | 0.71      |
| S-limited      | 0.71      | 0.71      | 0.58      | 0.76      |

*The coefficient of correlation between the two technical replicate N limitation arrays is 0.89; for the two S limitation arrays is 0.96.

Table A3 | Bacterial dry weights.

| Organism                     | Growth conditions | Dry weight (fg cell⁻¹) | Reference |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Ruegeria pomeroyi            | Steady-state culture, C-limited (dt = 16.5 h) | 454 | This study |
|                              | Steady-state culture, N-limited (dt = 16.5 h) | 758 | This study |
|                              | Steady-state culture, P-limited (dt = 16.5 h) | 1388 | This study |
|                              | Steady-state culture, S-limited (dt = 16.5 h) | 246 | This study |
| Escherichia coli             | Batch culture in low potassium medium (growing) | 710[^b] | Fagerbakke et al. (1996) |
|                              | Batch culture in low potassium medium (stationary) | 180[^b] | Fagerbakke et al. (1996) |
|                              | Batch culture in glucose minimal medium (dt = 40 min) | 280[^c] | Neidhardt et al. (1996) |
| Vibrio natriegens            | Batch culture in brain heart infusion medium (growing) | 850[^b] | Fagerbakke et al. (1996) |
|                              | Batch culture in brain heart infusion medium (stationary) | 145[^b] | Fagerbakke et al. (1996) |
| Natural marine bacterioplankton isolate | Batch culture (exponential) | 427[^d] | Vrede et al. (2002) |
|                              | Batch culture, C-limited (stationary) | 110[^d] | Vrede et al. (2002) |
|                              | Batch culture, N-limited (stationary) | 276[^d] | Vrede et al. (2002) |
|                              | Batch culture, P-limited (stationary) | 270[^d] | Vrede et al. (2002) |
|                              | Native isolates from various aquatic environments | 21–60[^e] | Fagerbakke et al. (1996) |

[^a]: dt = doubling time (specified if available).
[^b]: Data from Table 1 (Fagerbakke et al., 1996).
[^c]: Calculated with data in page 14 (Neidhardt et al., 1996) with an assumption that 70% of cytoplasm is water.
[^d]: Data from Table 3 (Vrede et al., 2002). Average of four isolates (exponential and C-limited cultures), three isolates (N-limited cultures), or two isolates (P-limited cultures).
[^e]: Native bacteria were from aquatic environments in Norway, Finland, and Denmark (see Table 1 in Fagerbakke et al., 1996).
### Table A4 | Transcriptionally enriched R. pomeroyi DSS-3 genes.

| Locus tag | Gene | Product | Fold-change ratio<sup>a</sup> |
|-----------|------|---------|------------------------------|
|           |      | Probe 1 |                | Probe 2 |
| **C-LIMITED (68 GENES)** | | | |
| SPO0090  | –    | Hypothetical protein | 5.3 | – |
| SPO0107  | recQ | ATP-dependent DNA helicase | 1.0 | 6.3 |
| SPO0120  | –    | Hypothetical protein | 3.9 | – |
| SPO0149  | dnaA | Chromosomal replication initiation protein | 16.5 | 1.2 |
| SPO0171  | filR | Flagellar biosynthetic protein | 1.3 | 6.7 |
| SPO0183  | fil | H<sup>+</sup>-transporting two-sector ATPase, flagellum-specific | 6.3 | 1.0 |
| SPO0265  | –    | Auxin efflux carrier family protein | 2.1 | 4.4 |
| SPO0276  | –    | LpxR family transcriptional regulator | 0.8 | 3.0 |
| SPO0590  | –    | LacI family transcription regulator (carbon catabolite repression domain-containing) | 14.5 | – |
| SPO0875  | gap-2 | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, type I | 8.4 | 1.3 |
| SPO0911  | proC | Pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| SPO0939  | –    | M48 family peptidase | 1.1 | 8.5 |
| SPO0940  | –    | Hypothetical protein | 3.4 | – |
| SPO0970  | apqZ | Aquaporin Z | 3.1 | 1.3 |
| SPO0988  | –    | YeeE/YedE family protein | 1.1 | 3.0 |
| SPO0999  | soxD | Diheme cytochrome c | 1.4 | 3.8 |
| SPO1001  | soxF | Sulfur oxidation F protein | 3.2 | – |
| SPO1063  | –    | Hypothetical protein | 1.2 | 3.3 |
| SPO1092  | –    | LysR family transcriptional regulator | 3.0 | 1.8 |
| SPO1132  | –    | Glycine betaine/proline ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| SPO1138  | –    | AsnC family transcriptional regulator | 6.2 | 4.7 |
| SPO1156  | hisF | Imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase subunit | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| SPO1337  | –    | Hypothetical protein | 3.9 | – |
| SPO1446  | –    | Cyclase, putative | 3.8 | – |
| SPO1463  | –    | TRAP dicarboxylate transporter, DctM subunit | 8.3 | – |
| SPO1499  | –    | Alpha/beta fold family hydrolase | 5.2 | 1.4 |
| SPO1592  | –    | Aminomethyl transferase family protein | 2.3 | 3.4 |
| SPO1645  | –    | Oligopeptide/dipeptide ABC transporter, permease protein | 4.6 | – |
| SPO1702  | –    | Hypothetical protein | 3.6 | – |
| SPO1774  | –    | 3-hydroxyanthranilate 3,4-dioxygenase | 3.3 | – |
| SPO1796  | –    | Formate dehydrogenase, alpha subunit, putative | 3.4 | 4.7 |
| SPO1807  | –    | DNA polymerase III epsilon subunit family exonuclease | 3.7 | 1.0 |
| SPO2029  | –    | Glutamine amidotransferase class-II | 3.4 | – |
| SPO2166  | –    | Putative lipoprotein | 0.6 | 3.3 |
| SPO2205  | –    | ErfK/YbiS/YcfS/YnhG family protein | 7.2 | 0.5 |
| SPO2301  | –    | OsmC-like family protein | 5.2 | – |
| SPO2306  | –    | Hypothetical protein | 3.4 | – |
| SPO2325  | serS | Seryl-tRNA synthetase | 3.2 | – |
| SPO2370  | –    | Sodium:alanine symporter family protein | 3.4 | – |
| SPO2371  | –    | Universal stress protein family protein | 4.4 | 1.8 |
| SPO2422  | –    | d-isomer specific 2-hydroxyacid dehydrogenase family protein | 6.4 | – |
| SPO2644  | –    | VWA domain CoxE-like family protein | 11.3 | – |
| SPO2647  | –    | Hypothetical protein | 3.0 | – |
| SPO2668  | –    | LysR family transcriptional regulator | 3.2 | 1.1 |
| SPO2747  | –    | Diguanylate cyclase, putative | 3.7 | – |
| SPO2845  | –    | Hypothetical protein | 3.8 | – |
| SPO2881  | –    | Xanthine dehydrogenase family protein, large subunit | 5.0 | 1.0 |
| SPO2989  | –    | Cytochrome b562 | 3.1 | 1.4 |
| SPO3121  | –    | MATE efflux family protein | 4.3 | 0.9 |

(Continued)
## Table A4 | Continued

| Locus tag | Gene | Product | Fold-change ratio<sup>a</sup> |
|-----------|------|---------|-----------------------------|
|           |      |         | Probe 1 | Probe 2 |
| SPO0021   | –    | Hpt domain-containing protein | 3.3 | – |
| SPO0161   | –    | LuxR family DNA-binding response regulator | 3.1 | 0.8 |
| SPO0296   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 4.6 | 3.0 |
| SPO0322   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 3.9 | 6.5 |
| SPO0327   | –    | EAL domain-containing protein | 0.4 | 4.9 |
| SPO0438   | –    | ErfK/YbiS/Ycf5/YnhG family protein | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| SPO0804   | <i>ihfB</i> | Integration host factor subunit beta | 3.0 | 1.4 |
| SPO0860   | –    | Xylose repressor, putative | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| SPO0919   | –    | MarR family transcriptional regulator | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| SPO1078   | –    | Chromosome replication initiation inhibitor protein | 3.0 | – |
| SPO1275   | –    | Cold shock family protein | 2.5 | 3.5 |
| SPO1286   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 0.5 | 3.7 |
| SPO1384   | –    | MarR family transcriptional regulator | 4.4 | 1.4 |
| SPO1477   | –    | Urea transporter, ATP-binding protein (<i>urtE</i>) | 3.8 | 3.0 |
| SPO1478   | –    | Urea transporter, ATP-binding protein (<i>urtD</i>) | 5.5 | – |
| SPO1479   | –    | Urea transporter, permease protein (<i>urtC</i>) | 11.6 | – |
| SPO1808   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 4.6 | – |
| SPO1853   | –    | TetR family transcriptional regulator | 3.0 | – |
| SPO1872   | –    | LysR family transcriptional regulator | 6.2 | 2.1 |
| SPO1911   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 1.1 | 4.3 |
| SPO2067   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 6.5 | – |
| SPO2087   | <i>ntrC</i> | Nitrogen regulation protein | 1.1 | 4.3 |
| SPO2224   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 2.2 | 4.1 |
| SPO2294   | <i>ghnB-1</i> | Nitrogen regulatory protein P_H | 9.0 | 6.6 |
| SPO2295   | <i>ghnA</i> | Glutamine synthetase, type I | 4.7 | 5.1 |
| SPO2364   | –    | Amino acid ABC transporter, periplasmic binding protein | 1.3 | 4.1 |
| SPO2602   | –    | RpiR family transcriptional regulator | 5.1 | 2.2 |
| SPO2778   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 3.0 | 1.5 |
| SPO2818   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 4.9 | 3.0 |
| SPO3607   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 4.6 | 0.6 |

<sup>a</sup>Continued
| Locus tag | Gene | Product | Fold-change ratio<sup>a</sup> |
|-----------|------|---------|-----------------------------|
|           |      |         | Probe 1 | Probe 2 |
| SPO0147   | –    | Enoyl-CoA hydratase | 4.3 | 3.0 |
| SPO0304   | –    | Putative lipoprotein | 7.0 | 5.4 |
| SPO0468   | pphG | Alkylphosphonate utilization protein | 5.2 | – |
| SPO0472   | pphK | Phosphonate C-P lyase system protein | 10.9 | – |
| SPO0505   | rpiO | Ribosomal protein L15 | 3.2 | 5.7 |
| SPO0781   | pphD | Phosphonate ABC transporter, periplasmic binding protein | 103.5 | – |
| SPO1227   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 3.2 | – |
| SPO1504   | pqqA | Coenzyme PQ biosynthesis protein A | 5.1 | 4.7 |
| SPO1860   | –    | Twin-arginine translocation pathway signal sequence domain-containing protein | 7.4 | – |
| SPO1928   | –    | Tat pathway signal sequence domain-containing protein | 3.5 | 0.7 |
| SPO1948   | pstS | Phosphate ABC transporter, periplasmic binding protein | 47.9 | – |
| SPO1949   | pstC | Phosphate ABC transporter, permease protein | 4.7 | – |
| SPO1951   | pstB | Phosphate transporter ATP-binding protein | 7.4 | – |
| SPO1953   | phoB | Phosphate regulon transcriptional regulatory protein | 10.7 | 26.1 |
| SPO2626   | –    | TRAP transporter, DctM subunit | 1.8 | 4.2 |
| SPO2627   | –    | TRAP transporter, DctQ subunit | 3.3 | – |
| SPO3198   | mc   | Ribonuclease III | 4.1 | 1.6 |
| SPO3265   | cspA | Cold shock protein | 2.6 | 4.2 |
| SPO3868   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 1.6 | 3.6 |
| SPOA0294  | pmtA | Phosphatidylethanolamine N-methyltransferase | 3.6 | 1.2 |

**P-LIMITED (20 GENES)**

| Locus tag | Gene | Product | Fold-change ratio<sup>a</sup> |
|-----------|------|---------|-----------------------------|
| SPO0412   | –    | Hypothetical protein | 13.2 | – |
| SPO0636   | –    | EF hand domain-containing protein | 3.9 | – |
| SPO1256   | ppp2 | Polynucleotide kinase 2 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| SPO1330   | htiC | HIC protein | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| SPO1409   | rpoH-2 | RNA polymerase factor sigma-32 | 12.5 | – |
| SPO2596   | hemA-1 | 5-aminolevulinate synthase | 1.8 | 4.6 |
| SPO2632   | cobA-1 | Uroporphyrin-III C-methyltransferase | 3.4 | 5.9 |
| SPO2634   | –    | Sulfite reductase, putative | 5.7 | 5.3 |
| SPO3383   | –    | Thiol-specific antioxidant protein | 4.9 | 4.5 |
| SPO3527   | –    | Universal stress protein family protein | 3.7 | 5.3 |
| SPO3532   | hemN | Coproporphyrinogen III oxidase | 7.3 | – |

<sup>a</sup>As the median value between limitation-to-excess pairwise comparisons.

<sup>b</sup>SPOA0047 is a chromosomal gene.

Light gray shading indicates genes included in Table 2.
Table A5 | Transcriptionally depleted *R. pomeroyi* DSS-3 genes.

| Locus tag | Gene | Product | C-LIMITED (12 GENES) | N-LIMITED (34 GENES) |
|-----------|------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|
| SPO0416   | mreD | Rod shape-determining protein | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| SPO0956   | – | Hypothetical protein | 0.3 | – |
| SPO1244   | efp | Elongation factor P | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| SPO1293   | phaP | Phasin | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| SPO1756   | – | Polysaccharide biosynthesis/export protein | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| SPO1888   | – | Glutaredoxin-related protein | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| SPO2135   | – | Hypothetical protein | 0.3 | – |
| SPO3022   | valS | Valyl-tRNA synthetase | 0.3 | – |
| SPO3253   | rpsP | 30S ribosomal protein S16 | 2.0 | 0.2 |
| SPO3658   | – | D-alanyl-D-alanine carboxypeptidase family protein | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| SPO3840   | rpsO | 30S ribosomal protein S15 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| SPOA0031  | nqrD | Na⁺-translocating NADH-quinone reductase subunit D | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| SPO0227   | – | PaxA, putative | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| SPO0406   | rpoH-2 | RNA polymerase factor sigma-32 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| SPO0862   | xyIH | Xylose ABC transporter, permease protein | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| SPO1060   | – | Hypothetical protein | 0.1 | – |
| SPO1079   | – | Lysine exporter, putative | 0.1 | 2.0 |
| SPO1308   | – | Hypothetical protein | 0.3 | – |
| SPO1336   | ispZ | Intracellular septation protein A | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| SPO1685   | – | tRNA synthetase, class I family protein | 0.1 | – |
| SPO1743   | – | Glutamate dehydrogenase | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| SPO1747   | – | Sarcosine oxidase gamma subunit family protein | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| SPO1954   | – | LysR family transcriptional regulator | 1.7 | 0.2 |
| SPO2071   | – | Bcr/CflA subfamily drug resistance transporter | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| SPO2271   | fabF | 3-oxoacyl-(acyl carrier protein) synthase II | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| SPO2373   | – | Hypothetical protein | 0.1 | – |
| SPO2414   | – | Mandelate racemase/muconate lactonizing enzyme family protein | 0.3 | – |
| SPO2556   | – | Allantoate amidohydrolase | 0.2 | – |
| SPO2592   | – | Beta-lactamase, putative | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| SPO2616   | tgt | Queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| SPO3012   | – | Inositol-1-monophosphatase, putative | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| SPO3156   | – | L-threonine aldolase, low-specificity, putative | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| SPO3419   | – | UbiH/UbiF/VisC/COQ6 family ubiquinone biosynthesis hydroxylase | 1.9 | 0.3 |
| SPO3437   | – | Mechanosensitive ion channel family protein | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| SPO3484   | – | HSP20 family protein | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| SPO3712   | asd | Aspartate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| SPO3720   | tyrB | Aromatic amino acid aminotransferase | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| SPO3731   | – | Glycerophosphoryl diester phosphodiesterase, putative | 0.3 | – |
| SPO3752   | – | Radical SAM domain-containing protein | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| SPOA0011  | metK | S-adenosylmethionine synthetase | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| SPOA0057  | gcvT | Glycine cleavage system T protein | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| SPOA0094  | – | Hypothetical protein | 0.2 | – |
| SPOA0099  | – | Branched-chain amino acid ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein | 0.1 | – |
| SPOA0115  | gtdA-2 | Gentisate 1,2-dioxgenase | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| SPOA0215  | norQ | Nitric oxide reductase Q protein | 2.2 | 0.1 |
| SPOA0220  | nirS | Cytochrome cd1 nitrite reductase | 0.2 | – |

(Continued)
### Table A5 | Continued

| Locus tag | Gene | Product | Fold-change ratio<sup>a</sup> | Probe 1 | Probe 2 |
|-----------|------|---------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|
| P-LIMITED (NO GENES) | – | – | – | – | – |
| S-LIMITED (10 GENES) | SPO0371 | luxR-1 | Autoinducer-binding transcriptional regulator | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| SPO0490 | – | Calcium-binding domain–containing protein | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| SPO0491 | – | Hypothetical protein | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| SPO1054 | – | Hypothetical protein | 0.3 | – |
| SPO1221 | – | Hypothetical protein | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| SPO1679 | ctrA | DNA-binding response regulator | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| SPO2580 | – | Hypothetical protein | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| SPO3223 | – | Response regulator | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| SPO3673 | – | Type I secretion target repeat-containing protein | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| SPOA0337 | – | Hypothetical protein | 0.1 | – |

<sup>a</sup>Calculated as the median value for limitation-to-excess pairwise comparisons.

Light gray shading indicates genes included in Table 2.

### Table A6 | N content in free amino acids measured in steady-state R. pomeroyi DSS-3 cells.

| Amino acid<sup>b</sup> | N (ng) in free amino acid (mg dry weight)<sup>–1</sup> | C-limited | N-limited | P-limited | S-limited |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Alanine                | 17.1                                                  | 34.5     | 53.6     | 665.6     |
| Arginine               | 0.0                                                   | 0.0      | 52.0     | 550.3     |
| Glutamate              | 1405.6                                                | 123.3    | 2310.3   | 18142.8   |
| Glycine                | 46.7                                                  | 29.2     | 57.7     | 1196.5    |
| Isoleucine             | 0.0                                                   | 11.7     | 0.0      | 425.4     |
| Leucine                | 5.0                                                   | 13.1     | 22.7     | 219.4     |
| Lysine                 | 10.6                                                  | 28.5     | 37.2     | 391.2     |
| Phenylalanine          | 4.7                                                   | 12.0     | 0.0      | 111.5     |
| Serine                 | 0.0                                                   | 0.0      | 24.8     | 204.5     |
| Threonine              | 3.2                                                   | 14.9     | 17.7     | 686.4     |
| Valine                 | 4.5                                                   | 14.1     | 19.6     | 395.6     |
| Sum                    | 1497.4                                                | 281.3    | 2595.7   | 22989.2   |
| %N<sup>b</sup>         | 1.3                                                   | 0.5      | 4.2      | 25.5      |

<sup>b</sup>Amino acids not listed were below the confidence of detection (verified by manually examining the chromatograms).

<sup>b</sup>As the N content in free amino acids divided by whole cell N content (%).

### Table A7 | Abundance of homologs for cysB and N storage genes in the unassembled Global Ocean Sampling (GOS) metagenomic data set (through the April 2008 release).

| Gene function | Gene name | Number of homologs<sup>a</sup> | Cells with gene<sup>b</sup> (%) |
|---------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| S regulation  | cysB      | 281                             | 2.8                           |
| Cyanophycin granule synthesis | CPG synthetase | 53                              | 0.5                           |
| Cyanophycin granule synthesis | Cyanophycinase | 24                              | 0.2                           |

<sup>a</sup>BLASTp analysis was conducted against the GOS peptide database with an E-value < 10<sup>-20</sup> using an experimentally confirmed gene sequence as the query. Candidate homologs were then subjected to phylogenetic analysis using pplacer (Matsen et al., 2010) to confirm homology with genes of known function.

<sup>b</sup>See Materials and Methods.
**FIGURE A1 | Schematic of microarray data analysis.** Probe counts are shown in italicized font and gene counts are in bold font. (A) Significant Analysis of Microarray (SAM) was used to analyze the microarray data (pairwise SAM comparisons with 5,000 permutations and a false discovery rate ≤ 2%). From these results, probes significantly different in all three comparisons to the other treatments (that is, falling into the three-way overlap region of each Venn diagram) were selected for further analyses. (B) From the normalized fluorescence values, the fold-change for each probe was calculated as the median value between all limitation-to-excess pairwise comparisons and genes whose fold-change was ≥ 3 were retained.
FIGURE A2 | Biosynthesis of polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) in *R. pomeroyi* DSS-3. (A) Pathway inferred from BioCyc; (B) arrangement of genes for PHA synthesis (*phbAB, phaC*), degradation (*phaZ*), and regulation (*phaR*) on *R. pomeroyi* DSS-3 chromosome; (C) transcriptional ratios for relevant genes; values are shown for genes listed in the pathway (solid red box) and genes that are not in the pathway, but are located proximal to PHA synthesis genes (dotted red box). Genes in the same operon are identically color-coded. *, transcriptional change is significant (≥3-fold, all limitation-to-excess pairwise comparisons).
Strain DSS-3 does not encode genes to synthesize other bacterial P-free lipids (betaine lipid, bta\textit{AB}; glycolipid/sulfolipid, sqd\textit{BCDX}).

(A) Pathway inferred from BioCyc; (B) arrangement of lipid synthesis genes on the chromosome; (C) transcription ratios for relevant genes; values shown for genes listed in (A, B) (solid red box). Genes in the same operon are identically color-coded. Symbols for ornithine lipid synthesis genes (yellow and orange) are bordered in black. *, transcriptional change is significant (≥3-fold, all limitation-to-excess pairwise comparisons). Abbreviation in (A, B) as follows: G3P, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate.
FIGURE A4 | Continued
FIGURE A4 | Relevant S-genes in *R. pomeroyi* DSS-3. (A) Pathway inferred from BioCyc; (B) arrangement of relevant genes on the chromosome; (C) transcriptional ratios for relevant genes; ratios are shown for genes listed in the pathway (A) (solid red box) and genes that are not in the pathway, but are located in proximity to relevant S-genes (dotted red box in (B)). Genes located in the same operon are identically color-coded. Two sets of orthologous LuxR–LuxI-type proteins are boxed in (B). Symbols for autoinducer-binding or synthesis genes (yellow, orange, brown) are bordered in black in (C). * Transcriptional change is significant (≥3-fold, all limitation-to-excess pairwise comparisons). Abbreviations in (A) as follows: THF, tetrahydrofolate; 5-mthglu, 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltri-l-glutamate; thglu, tetrahydropteroyltri-l-glutamate.
Figure A5 | C:N:P ratios from various studies. Black symbols, steady-state R. pomeroyi DSS-3; the unlimited C:N:P ratio was modeled as ~154:15:1 (this study); blue, batch-cultured marine bacterioplankton (Vrede et al., 2002); purple, Redfield ratio, marine seston (Redfield, 1934); brown, natural bacteria (Fagerbakke et al., 1996); orange, natural bacteria (Cotner et al., 1997).

Reference
Neidhardt, F. C., Curtiss, R., Ingraham, J. L., Lin, E. C. C., Low, K. B., Magasanik, B., Reznikoff, W. S., Riley, M., Schaechter, M., and Umbarger, H. E. (eds). (1996). *Escherichia coli and Salmonella: Cellular and Molecular Biology*, 2nd Edn. Washington, DC: ASM Press.