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Abstract

Ethylene and jasmonic acid (JA) are crucial hormones that promote anthocyanin synthesis in apple (Malus × domestica). However, the mechanism by which these hormones cooperate to modulate anthocyanin production in apple is unclear. According to our results, MdERF1B expression was strongly induced by ethylene and JA. Physiological phenotypes and the results of molecular biological analyses indicated that MdERF1B encodes a positive regulator of anthocyanin synthesis. Specifically, MdERF1B was capable of combining directly with the MdMYC2 promoter to promote gene expression. Additionally, MdERF1B interacted with two JA signaling pathway inhibitors, namely MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10. The MdERF1B–MdJAZ5/10 protein complex decreased the ability of MdERF1B to activate the MdMYC2 promoter. Furthermore, MdEIL1, which is a crucial protein for ethylene signal transduction, was observed to bind directly to the MdERF1B promoter, thereby upregulating gene expression. These results suggest that MdERF1B is a core gene responsive to JA and ethylene signals. The encoded protein, together with MdMYC2, MdJAZ5/10, and MdEIL1, modulates anthocyanin synthesis in apple. This study clarifies the synergistic mechanism by which JA and ethylene regulate anthocyanin production in apple.

Introduction

Anthocyanins, which comprise a subclass of flavonoids widespread among plants, are produced in reactions catalyzed by chalcone isomerase, chalcone synthase, UDP-glucose/flavonoid 3-O-glucosyltransferase, dihydroflavonol 4-reductase, and flavanone 3-hydroxylase [1, 2]. Anthocyanin synthesis is regulated by many genes, including those encoding WD40, MYB, and bHLH transcription factors (TFs), which combine to form a regulatory complex that controls anthocyanin production [3–5]. However, anthocyanin synthesis is also regulated by environmental factors (e.g. temperature and light), nutrients (e.g. nitrogen), and hormones [e.g. ethylene (ET) and jasmonic acid (JA)] [6–11]. The biological effects of the gaseous hormone ET mainly depend on the transduction of ET signals. ET combines with receptor proteins, such as ET response sensor (ERS) 1, ERS2, ET receptor (ETR) 1, ETR2, and ET insensitive (EIN) 4, to transmit a signal to constitutive triple response 1 (CTR1). The resulting inactivation of CTR1 initiates downstream signals. In addition, EIN2 positively regulates the ET pathway, thereby contributing to endoplasmic reticulum-to-nuclear transmission of the ET signal. Subsequently, the ET signal is transmitted to EIN3 (a primary TF) and triggers the ET response factor (ERF; a secondary TF) [12–16], which ultimately causes activation of downstream ET response genes and regulation of multiple physiological processes, including fruit ripening and anthocyanin accumulation [17, 18].

JA is a relatively recently recognized plant hormone. After it is synthesized, JA binds to isoleucine (Ile) to form JA–Ile [19], which promotes formation of the Skp1–Cul1–coronatine insensitive 1 (F-box protein) complex, which recruits jasmonate ZIM-domain (JAZ) proteins for ubiquitination-based degradation [20, 21]. A consequence of this degradation is the release of several TFs that interact with JAZ proteins, including MYC2, MYB21/MYB24, and EIN3 [22–24]. These TFs further activate downstream genes, while also inducing diverse JA responses, including the regulation of floral development, fruit maturation, and anthocyanin synthesis [23, 25, 26].

ET and JA have synergistic or antagonistic effects on plant growth and developmental regulation, pathogen defense, and fruit ripening [24, 26–28]. For example, JA
inhibits the HLS1 expression activated by ET, thereby inhibiting apical hook formation [27]. The EIN3/EIN3-like 1 (EIL1) proteins integrate ET and JA signals to promote root hair growth and defense responses in plants [24]. Recently, Li and colleagues [26] reported that MdMYC2 mediates JA-induced MdERF3 expression and ET synthesis to promote apple ripening.

Color is a critical trait of apple fruit quality. Apple fruit color mainly depends on anthocyanin accumulation in the peel [29]. Both JA and ET strongly induce anthocyanin synthesis in apple fruit, and thus are critical factors influencing apple fruit color [9]. More specifically, ET activates the expression of anthocyanin production-associated genes via signal transduction factors, such as MdEIL1, MdERF3, and MdERF1B, leading to enhanced anthocyanin synthesis [30–32]. During JA-promoted anthocyanin synthesis, the JA signal transduction factor MdMYC2 functions as a positive regulator [25, 33]. In contrast, some JAZ proteins, including MdJAZ1 and MdJAZ18, are negative regulators [34, 35]. Previous studies have explored the mechanism by which JA and ET modulate anthocyanin production in apple. However, the relationship of ET with JA during anthocyanin regulation in apple as well as the responsible molecular mechanism remain unclear.

The present research determined that MdERF1B is a core gene responsive to JA and ET signals. The encoded protein, as well as MdMYC2, MdJAZ5/10, and MdEIL1, modulate anthocyanin synthesis in apple. On the basis of these findings, we elucidated a new regulatory mechanism by which MdERF1B promotes anthocyanin synthesis, and provide researchers with useful insights into the interrelation between JA and ET during the regulation of apple anthocyanin synthesis.

**Results**

**Both ethylene and jasmonic acid promote expression of MdERF1B and MdMYC2 as well as anthocyanin synthesis in apple fruit**

Both ET and JA can promote anthocyanin synthesis and apple fruit coloration, but the combined regulatory effects of ET and JA on anthocyanin synthesis and the molecular mechanism responsible are uncharacterized. To further explore the regulation of anthocyanin synthesis in apples by ET and JA, fruits of ‘Geneva Early’ apples were collected 60 days after full bloom (DAFB) for the following treatments: ethephon solution (1000 mg L⁻¹), 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP, 1 μL L⁻¹), ET inhibitor), methyl jasmonate (MeJA; 100 μM), and MeJA (100 μM) + 1-MCP (1 μL L⁻¹). After treatment, fruits were preserved under 24°C and constant light (20 000 lux). We selected fruits stored after 2 and 8 days for analysis of gene expression and anthocyanin contents. Compared with the control, the ethephon and MeJA treatments significantly increased anthocyanin content in the fruit peel. Interestingly, treatment with MeJA + 1-MCP reduced anthocyanin accumulation in the fruit peel (Fig. 1a and b), implying that JA-induced anthocyanin production is dependent on ET production.

The JA signaling pathway gene MdMYC2 and the ET signaling pathway genes MdEIL1, MdERF1B, and MdERF3 can regulate anthocyanin synthesis in apple [25, 30–32]. To further functionally characterize these genes in terms of their regulatory effects on ET- and JA-induced anthocyanin synthesis, we analyzed their expression in the treated fruits. The MdMYC2, MdEIL1, MdERF1B, and MdERF3 expression levels were significantly higher in the fruits exposed to ethephon than in the control fruits. In addition, the expression of MdMYC2, MdERF1B, and MdERF3 significantly increased among MeJA-treated fruits compared with control fruits. In contrast, MdMYC2, MdERF1B, and MdERF3 were expressed at lower levels in fruits exposed to 1-MCP or MeJA + 1-MCP than in the control fruits (Fig. 1c). These observations suggested that ET- and JA-induced MdMYC2, MdERF1B, and MdERF3 expression may be critical for anthocyanin synthesis in apple. Previous studies have confirmed that MdERF3 is a target gene directly regulated by MdMYC2 [26]. Therefore, we selected MdMYC2 and MdERF1B for an in-depth study of their functions during ET- and JA-regulated anthocyanin synthesis.

**MdERF1B and MdMYC2 positively regulate anthocyanin production in apple fruits**

We generated transgenic apple calli in which MdERF1B and MdMYC2 were overexpressed or silenced. These calli were cultured at 16°C under constant light (20 000 lux). Compared with control calli, MdERF1B overexpression significantly enhanced anthocyanin production as well as the expression of MdMYB9, MdDFR, MdMYB11, MdANS, and MdUFGT in the transgenic calli; however, the silencing of MdERF1B reduced anthocyanin production and the expression of MdDFR, MdMYB9, MdANS, and MdMYB11 in the transgenic calli (Figure 2a–c). In comparison with the control calli, MdMYC2 expression was markedly higher in the MdERF1B-overexpressing (OE) transgenic calli, whereas it was significantly lower in transgenic calli harboring the RNA interference (RNAi) construct MdERF1B-RNAi (Figure 2c). In addition, we injected apple fruits with the TRV-MdERF1B silencing vector or the pRI-MdERF1B overexpression vector. Compared with the control fruits, transient MdERF1B overexpression increased anthocyanin production and MdMYB9, MdMYB11, MdDFR, MdUFGT, and MdANS expression levels in the peel at the injection site. The transient silencing of MdERF1B inhibited anthocyanin production and the associated gene expression (Figure 2d–f). Subsequently, we analyzed the expression of MdMYC2 at the injection site in the peel. Compared with the control fruits, the MdMYC2 expression level was higher in the peel of MdERF1B-OE fruits, whereas it was lower in the MdERF1B-RNAi fruits (Figure 2f).

Compared with the levels in the corresponding control, the overexpression of MdMYC2 increased anthocyanin production and upregulated MdMYB1, MdMYB9,
MdMYB11, MdDFR, MdUFGT, and MdANS expression in the transgenic calli. By contrast, the silencing of MdMYC2 reduced anthocyanin production and the related gene expression in the transgenic calli (Figure 3a–c). In addition, we subsequently analyzed MdERF1B expression in the transgenic calli in which MdMYC2 was overexpressed or silenced. Relative to controls, MdERF1B expression level markedly increased in MdMYC2-OE transgenic calli, but the difference was not significant in MdMYC2-RNAi transgenic calli compared with controls (Figure 3c). We injected apple ‘Otome’ fruits with the TRV-MdMYC2 silencing vector and the pRI-MdMYC2 overexpression vector. In comparison with the control levels, the transient overexpression of MdMYC2 enhanced anthocyanin production and MdMYB11, MdMYB9, MdMYC2, MdDFR, MdUFGT, and MdANS expression at the injection site of the peel. By contrast, the transient silencing of MdMYC2 inhibited anthocyanin biosynthesis as well as expression levels of relevant genes (Figure 3d–f).

Additionally, MdERF1B expression at the injection site of the peel was examined, which revealed that this gene was more highly expressed in the MdMYC2-OE peel than in the control peel (Figure 3f). No significant difference in MdERF1B expression between the MdMYC2-RNAi and control peels was observed. These results were consistent with those obtained for the transgenic calli. These findings are suggestive of a direct regulatory relationship between MdERF1B and MdMYC2.

Additionally, the transgenic apple calli MdERF1B-OE#4, MdERF1B-Anti#5, MdMYC2-OE#8, and MdMYC2-Anti#1 were exposed to 1-MCP (1 μL L⁻¹), MeJA (100 μM), or ethephon (1000 mg L⁻¹). Overexpression of MdERF1B and MdMYC2 promoted MeJA- and ethephon-induced anthocyanin production. In contrast, the silencing of MdERF1B and MdMYC2 prevented this promotion of anthocyanin production (Fig. 4a and b). In comparison with the control calli, MdMYC2 was more highly...
Figure 2. Analysis of the physiological function of MdERF1B. a–c MdERF1B overexpression increased anthocyanin biosynthesis in apple calli. Phenotypes (a), anthocyanin contents (b), and expression levels of MdERF1B, MdMYC2, and genes related to anthocyanin biosynthesis (c) in MdERF1B-OE (MdERF1B-overexpressing) and MdERF1B-Anti (MdERF1B-antisense) lines. WT, wild-type control. d–f Apple ‘Otome’ fruits were sampled at 50 and 140 DAFB and subsequently injected with the antisense viral vector TRV-MdERF1B or the overexpression vector pRI-MdERF1B. Phenotypes (d), anthocyanin contents (e), and expression levels of MdERF1B, MdMYC2, and genes associated with anthocyanin production (f) in the pericarp around the injection site. FW, fresh weight. Scale bar=1 cm. Error bars represent the standard error of three independent assays. Different letters represent significant differences (P < .05, Tukey’s test). ∗P < .05, ∗∗P < .01 (Student’s t-test).

expressed in the MdERF1B-OE apple calli treated with MeJA or ethephon, whereas MdMYC2 expression was reduced in the MdERF1B-RNAi transgenic calli treated with MeJA or ethephon, indicating that MdMYC2 plays a role in MdERF1B-mediated anthocyanin accumulation (Fig. 4c).

MdERF1B binds to MdMYC2 promoter to trigger expression

We clarified the regulatory relationship between MdERF1B and MdMYC2 by performing the yeast one-hybrid (Y1H) experiment. Yeast strain Y187 cells harboring pGAD-MdERF1B and pHIS2-proMdMYC2 grew on the corresponding prepared (SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His) media that contained 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT), whereas yeast Y187 cells co-transformed with pGAD-MdMYC2 and pHIS2-proMdERF1B did not grow on these media (Figure 5a, Supplementary Data Fig. S1). Accordingly, MdERF1B was able to interact with the MdMYC2 promoter, whereas MdMYC2 was unable to interact with the MdERF1B promoter. We detected three potential MdERF1B-binding elements (CCGAC; DRE1–3) in the MdMYC2 promoter. We conducted the electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) to test the ability of MdERF1B to interact with the DRE1–3 motifs in the MdMYC2 promoter. When an unlabeled DNA fragment (i.e. cold probe) containing DRE1 was added as a competitor, the band consistent with the analyzed interaction was not detected. In contrast, the band was unaffected by the addition of an unlabeled DNA fragment containing a mutated DRE1 element as a competitor (Figure 5b). Hence, MdERF1B bound specifically to the DRE1 motif in the MdMYC2 promoter. However, the EMSA results revealed that MdERF1B was unable to bind to DRE2 or
Figure 3. Analysis of the physiological function of MdMYC2. a–c MdMYC2 overexpression enhanced anthocyanin biosynthesis in apple calli. Phenotypes (a), anthocyanin contents (b), and expression levels of MdMYC2, MdERF1B, and anthocyanin biosynthetic genes (c) in MdMYC2-OE (MdMYC2-overexpressing) and MdMYC2-Anti (MdMYC2-antisense) lines. WT, wild-type control. d–f Apple 'Otome' fruits were sampled at 50 and 140 DAFB and then injected with the antisense viral vector TRV-MdMYC2 or the overexpression vector pRI-MdMYC2. Phenotypes (d), anthocyanin contents (e), and expression levels of MdMYC2, MdERF1B, and genes associated with anthocyanin production (f) in the pericarp around the injection site. FW, fresh weight. Scale bar = 1 cm. Error bars represent the standard error from three independent assays. Different letters represent significant differences (*P < .05, Tukey’s test). **P < .01 (Student’s t-test).

DRE3 in the MdMYC2 promoter in vitro (Supplementary Data Fig. S2).

To examine whether MdERF1B is able to bind to the MdMYC2 promoter in vivo, we used transgenic apple calli overexpressing green fluorescent protein (GFP)-labeled MdERF1B to perform a chromatin immunoprecipitation and quantitative PCR (ChIP-qPCR) assay. Apple calli overexpressing GFP alone served as the control. The MdMYC2 promoter fragments containing DRE1 were significantly more enriched in MdERF1B-OE calli compared with controls (Figure 5c), implying that MdERF1B is able to bind to DRE1 in the MdMYC2 promoter in vivo.

To assess how MdERF1B affects MdMYC2 promoter activity, we used Agrobacterium tumefaciens to transiently transform Nicotiana benthamiana leaves for luciferase (LUC) reporter gene assays. We fused the MdMYC2 promoter sequence to the LUC reporter gene of pGreenII 0800-LUC vector. We also inserted the MdERF1B coding sequence (CDS) into pGreenII 62-SK vector for use as the effector. Tobacco leaves were co-injected with the recombinant plasmids and then examined. Fluorescence intensity was significantly higher for leaves transfected with 35S::MdERF1B and proMdMYC2::LUC than for leaves transfected with proMdMYC2::LUC alone or control leaves (Figure 5d). Thus, MdERF1B was indicated to increase the MdMYC2 promoter activity.

MdMYC2 is crucial for MdERF1B-regulated anthocyanin synthesis

To explore MdMYC2’s function in MdERF1B-regulated anthocyanin production, we constructed the pFGC1008-MdMYC2 recombinant plasmid and inserted it into MdERF1B-OE apple calli to produce genetically modified
Figure 4. Ethephon and MeJA promote anthocyanin accumulation triggered by MdERF1B and MdMYC2. a–c Phenotypes (a), anthocyanin contents (b), and expression levels of MdMYC2, MdERF1B, and genes associated with anthocyanin production (c) in apple calli exposed to ethephon, MeJA, or 1-MCP. WT, wild-type control; MdMYC2-OE, MdMYC2-overexpressing calli; MdMYC2-Anti, MdMYC2-antisense calli; MdERF1B-OE, MdERF1B-overexpressing calli; MdERF1B-Anti, MdERF1B-antisense calli; WT-MeJA, WT-exposed fruits; Eth-MeJA, MeJA-exposed fruits; WT-MCP, 1-MCP-exposed fruits. Error bars represent the standard error from three independent assays. Different letters represent significant differences (P < .05, Tukey’s test).

apple calli in which MdMYC2 expression was reduced [i.e. MdMYC2-antisense (Anti) calli]. The anthocyanin content in the MdMYC2-Anti calli was higher compared with that in the control calli, but was markedly lower than that in the MdERF1B-OE calli (Fig. 6a and b). In addition, anthocyanin-associated gene expression in the transgenic calli was explored. The expression of MdMYB9, MdMYB11, MdDFR, MdANS, and MdUFGT increased in MdMYC2-Anti calli compared with wild-type controls, but was highest in the MdERF1B-OE calli (Fig. 6c). Collectively, these findings indicated that MdMYC2 is important for MdERF1B-regulated anthocyanin synthesis.

MdEIL1 can bind to MdERF1B promoter and induces expression

To further investigate the MdERF1B response to ET, we analyzed the regulatory relationship between MdEIL [30] and MdERF1B. The MdERF1B expression level increased in MdEIL1-OE calli compared with wild-type calli (Supplementary Data Fig. S3). Interaction of MdEIL1 with
Figure 5. MdERF1B can bind to the MdMYC2 promoter and increase transcription. a Based on Y1H experimental findings, MdERF1B bound to the MdMYC2 promoter. We used 140 mM 3-AT. Both the MdMYC2 promoter and empty pGAD vector served as negative controls. b EMSA results suggested that MdERF1B can bind to the MdMYC2 promoter’s DRE1 motif. The DRE1 motif-containing biotin-conjugated MdMYC2 promoter fragment was used as a hot probe, while the unmarked competitive probe (300-fold relative to hot probe) was used as a cold probe. This work used the unmarked hot probe that included three mutated nucleotides as the mutant probe. c Based on ChIP-qPCR assay results, MdERF1B could bind to the MdMYC2 promoter in vivo. Apple calli overexpressing GFP were employed as a negative control. Three biological replicates were performed for the ChIP assay. d According to the LUC reporter gene assay, MdERF1B promoted MdMYC2 promoter activity in tobacco leaves. Error bars represent the standard error from three individual assays. **P < .01 (Student’s t-test). Different letters represent significant differences (P < .05, Tukey’s test).

the MdERF1B promoter was subsequently confirmed by a Y1H assay (Fig. 7a). Six possible MdEIL1-binding elements (ATGTA1–6) were detected in the MdERF1B promoter. Hence, we analyzed the association of MdEIL1 with the MdERF1B promoter by conducting the EMSA. The results showed that MdEIL1 can bind to ATGTA5 and ATGTA6 within the MdERF1B promoter (Fig. 7b, Supplementary Data Fig. S4). Furthermore, we performed a ChIP–qPCR analysis to verify the in vivo binding of MdEIL1 to the MdERF1B promoter. The overexpression of MdEIL1 markedly increased the abundance of MdERF1B promoter fragments containing ATGTA5 and ATGTA6 in the immunoprecipitation samples (Fig. 7c). Therefore, MdEIL1 was capable of binding to the MdERF1B promoter in vivo. Transient LUC reporter gene assays showed that MdEIL1 significantly increased the MdERF1B promoter activity (Fig. 7d). Thus, MdEIL1 can upregulate the MdERF1B level by combining with the MdERF1B promoter.

MdERF1B interacts with MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10
To clarify the mechanism for the response of MdERF1B to JA, we used MdERF1BΔ (i.e. MdERF1B without the autoactivation domain) as bait for the yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) experiment conducted to select interactive proteins from among MdJAZ proteins (MdJAZ1–8 and MdJAZ10) [34] and MdMYC2 [26]. Yeast cells harboring pGBK-MdERF1BΔ and pGAD-MdJAZ5/10 were able to grow on SD/−Trp/−Leu/−His/−Ade media supplemented with X-α-galactose (Fig. 8a). By contrast, yeast cells harboring pGAD-MdMYC2 and other pGAD-MdJAZ recombinant
Figure 6. MdERF1B enhances anthocyanin production through MdMYC2. a Apple callus phenotype. b Anthocyanin contents in apple calli. FW, fresh weight. c Anthocyanin production-related gene expression in apple calli. WT, wild-type control; MdERF1B-OE, MdERF1B-overexpressing calli; MdMYC2-Anti, MdMYC2-antisense calli. Error bars represent the standard error of three individual assays. Different letters represent significant differences (P < .05, Tukey’s test).

Figure 6. MdERF1B enhances anthocyanin production through MdMYC2. a Apple callus phenotype. b Anthocyanin contents in apple calli. FW, fresh weight. c Anthocyanin production-related gene expression in apple calli. WT, wild-type control; MdERF1B-OE, MdERF1B-overexpressing calli; MdMYC2-Anti, MdMYC2-antisense calli. Error bars represent the standard error of three individual assays. Different letters represent significant differences (P < .05, Tukey’s test).

plasmids did not grow (Supplementary Data Fig. S5). These observations indicated that MdERF1B was able to interact with MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10, but not with MdMYC2 or the other MdJAZ proteins. In the subsequent pull-down assay, MdJAZ5/10-GST was pulled down by MdERF1B-HIS, suggesting that MdERF1B and MdJAZ5/10 interacted in vitro (Fig. 8b). In addition, signals of yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) could be observed in the onion epidermal cells with co-expression of MdERF1B-YFPN with MdJAZ5/10-YFPC (Fig. 8c). Bimolecular fluorescence complementation assay results confirmed that MdERF1B was able to interact with MdJAZ5/10 in vivo. As mentioned above, MdERF1B is a transcriptional activator that can bind to the MdMYC2 promoter with the purpose of inducing expression. Therefore, an EMSA was performed to test whether MdERF1B–MdJAZ5 or MdERF1B–MdJAZ10 interaction affected MdERF1B’s binding to the MdMYC2 promoter. Both MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10 were unable to bind to the DRE1 site within the MdMYC2 promoter. Binding of MdERF1B to the MdMYC2 promoter progressively weakened when MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10 increased (Fig. 8d). This observation reflected the inhibitory effects of MdJAZ5/10–MdERF1B on the binding of MdERF1B to the MdMYC2 promoter. To assess whether the MdERF1B–MdJAZ5/10 protein complex influences the regulatory effects of MdERF1B on MdMYC2, we performed a transient LUC reporter gene assay. The MdMYC2 promoter activity in response to the co-expression of MdJAZ5/10 and MdERF1B was significantly lower than that following the expression of MdERF1B alone (Fig. 8e and f), indicating that the interaction between MdJAZ5/10 and MdERF1B significantly decreased the ability of MdERF1B to activate the MdMYC2 promoter.

Discussion

Both ET and JA are important hormones that regulate apple fruit ripening, especially coloration [9]. In addition, MdEIL1, MdERF3, and MdERF1B, which are ET signal transducers, as well as MdMYC2, which is a JA signal transducer, actively regulate anthocyanin synthesis in apple [25, 30–32]. In contrast, MdJAZ1 and MdJAZ18, which are JA signaling inhibitors, adversely affect anthocyanin synthesis in apple [34, 35]. According to the present results, MdMYC2, MdERF1B, and MdERF3 expression levels were substantially upregulated by JA and ET, suggesting that the encoded proteins function as important regulators of JA- and ET-induced anthocyanin production in apple. Therefore, the molecular mechanism responsible for the effects of these proteins on JA- and ET-induced anthocyanin production in apple should be characterized.

The MdMYC2 gene encodes a critical regulator of the JA pathway, whose level is upregulated through JA [36]. Recently, according to Li and colleagues [26], expression of MdMYC2 can be induced by JA in apple and activates the expression of the MdERF3 target gene MdACS1 by upregulating the transcription of
MdERF3, thereby promoting ET synthesis and fruit ripening. In the present study, MdMYC2/MdERF3 had the same expression trend upon MeJA exposure (i.e. expression of both genes was upregulated). This finding supports the view that JA upregulates MdERF3 expression via MdMYC2. Furthermore, the ethephon treatment upregulated MdMYC2 expression, implying that ET may also upregulate MdERF3 expression through MdMYC2. Given that MdERF3 can induce anthocyanin-related gene expression and anthocyanin synthesis, we speculated that JA and ET can promote anthocyanin biosynthesis synergistically via the MdMYC2–MdERF3 pathway.

The mechanism by which ET induces MdMYC2 expression is unclear. On the basis of the present findings, MdERF1B, which is an ET signal transducer, can bind directly to the MdMYC2 promoter to increase expression. Therefore, the ET signal indirectly upregulates the expression of MdMYC2 via MdERF1B. The ET–MdERF1B–MdMYC2 pathway revealed in the present study and the JA–MdMYC2–MdERF3–MdACS1–ET pathway discovered by Li et al. [26] form a JA and ET regulatory network.

An et al. [30] recently reported that the MdEIL1–MdMYB1 module is important for ET-induced anthocyanin synthesis in apple. As reported by Zhang et al. [32], MdERF1B promotes MdMYB1 expression and interacts with the encoded protein to positively regulate anthocyanin synthesis in apple. In the current study, we demonstrated that MdEIL1, the critical ET pathway component, can bind to the MdERF1B promoter, thereby increasing expression. Thus, ET can promote anthocyanin synthesis via the MdEIL1–MdERF1B–MdMYB1 module.

Previous research indicated that JAZ proteins may inhibit JA responses by interacting with various TFs. Abnormal JAZ protein levels may disrupt such interactions, resulting in the release of TFs that are free to activate various JA-regulated biological processes [20, 37]. For example, JAZ proteins can attenuate the transcriptional regulation mediated by bHLH TFs, such...
Figure 8. MdERF1B shows interaction with MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10. a Y2H experiments confirming that MdERF1B interacted with MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10. This work employed pGAD vector as the negative control. Blue plaques signify a protein interaction. b Pull-down assays suggesting that MdERF1B interacted with MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10 in vitro. We used anti-histidine and anti-glutathione S-transferase antibodies for western blot analyses. c Bimolecular fluorescence complementation assay results demonstrating that MdERF1B interacts with MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10. This work used YFPN together with YFPC empty vectors as negative controls. Scale bars represent 50 μm. d MdJAZ5/10–MdERF1B interaction inhibited the binding of MdERF1B to the MdMYC2 promoter. EMSA results revealed that MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10 do not bind to the DRE1 site in the MdMYC2 promoter, but MdERF1B binds to this motif. Both MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10 interfered with the binding of MdERF1B to the MdMYC2 promoter. This work used the DRE1 motif-containing biotin-conjugated MdMYC2 promoter fragment as hot probe, while unmarked competitive probe (100-fold in relation to hot probe) was the cold probe. The mutant probe was an unmarked hot probe that included three mutated nucleotides. e, f LUC reporter gene assays revealing that the interaction between MdERF1B and MdJAZ5 (e) as well as MdJAZ10 (f) attenuated the transcriptional activation impact of MdERF1B on the MdMYC2 promoter. Error bars represent the standard error of three separate assays. Different letters represent significant differences (P < .05, Tukey’s test).
as MYC2/3/4, TT8, GL3, EGL3, and bHLH3, as well as by MYB TFs, including MYB21/24/75, GL1, and telomere repeat-binding protein 1, to suppress JA-modulated root development, stamen growth, and anthocyanin synthesis [22, 23, 25, 34, 35, 38–41]. In the current work, we identified MdERF1B as a novel interacting partner of JAZ proteins. Moreover, MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10 are able to interact with MdERF1B to restrict its ability to activate MdMYC2 expression. Both MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10 were degraded in the presence of MeJA (Supplementary Data Fig. S6), which may result in the release of MdERF1B, and the subsequent induction of MdMYC2 expression and anthocyanin accumulation. Moreover, MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10 expression was triggered by exogenous MeJA (Supplementary Data Fig. S7), suggesting that expression of both genes might be important in preventing cellular damage caused by JA hyperactive responses. Hence, JA-regulated anthocyanin synthesis is mediated by the interaction between JAZ proteins and MdERF1B. In addition, MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10 expression was triggered by ET, suggesting that the encoded proteins contribute to the ET signaling pathway (Supplementary Data Fig. S7). Further research is needed to elucidate the mechanism of this phenomenon.

We developed a model highlighting the importance of MdERF1B for JA- and ET-induced anthocyanin synthesis in apple. First, MdEIL1 upregulates MdERF1B expression in response to ET signals. Next, MdERF1B binds to the MdMYC2 and MdMYB1/9/11 promoters to upregulate expression, ultimately leading to increased anthocyanin synthesis and accumulation. When JA is absent or its concentration is low, MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10 interact with MdERF1B to weaken its transcriptional activation function, thereby decreasing MdMYC2 and MdMYB1/9/11 expression levels. When the JA concentration increases, JAZ proteins are degraded via the ubiquitination pathway [20], which results in the release of MdERF1B, which then activates MdMYC2 and MdMYB1/9/11 expression. The encoded TFs subsequently induce the expression of the downstream genes associated with anthocyanin synthesis, leading to anthocyanin production (Figure 9).

Materials and methods
Plant materials and processing
We collected apple (Malus × domestica) ‘Geneva Early’ fruits from mature trees growing in Linyi, Shandong, China. We sampled fruits of ‘Geneva Early’ at 60 DAFB then promptly transported them to the laboratory. We classified the fruits into five groups (n = 30 in each group). The samples in group 1 were untreated and used as controls. The samples in group 2 were soaked for 1 minute in ethephon solution (1000 mg L\(^{-1}\)). The surface of the samples in group 3 was exposed to MeJA (100 μM). Group 4 was treated with 1-MCP (1 μL L\(^{-1}\)) for 12 hours. The samples in group 5 were subjected to 12 hours of treatment with 1-MCP (1 μL L\(^{-1}\)) and then to treatment with MeJA (100 μM). Subsequently, the samples were placed in a growth chamber (24°C) under constant light (20 000 lux). At 2 and 8 days after treatment, fruits were subjected to analysis of gene expression and anthocyanin contents. The experiments were performed in triplicate, with five fruits per biological replicate.

We collected apple ‘Otome’ fruits from mature trees growing on the laboratory farm of the Shandong Pomology Institute (Taian, Shandong, China). The fruits were sampled at 50 and 140 DAFB for assays of gene overexpression and gene silencing injection, respectively. ‘Orin’ apple calli were cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium at 25°C in the dark.

Anthocyanin content determination
In this study, HCl-methanol (1% v/v) was used in the extraction of anthocyanins. In brief, we added 0.5 g plant material ground in liquid nitrogen to 10 mL of 1% HCl-methanol (v/v). The resulting solution was thoroughly
mixed and incubated for 1 day at 4°C in the dark. Then, we added 1-mL of extract to each of 4 mL of NaAC (pH 4.5) and 4 mL KCl (pH 1.0), respectively. The solution absorbance (OD) values were determined at 510 and 700 nm using the UV-2450 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The anthocyanin level was determined by the pH differential approach [42].

**RNA extraction and quantification by quantitative reverse transcription–PCR**

We used the RNAprep Pure Plant Kit (TianGen, Beijing, China) to extract total RNA. In addition, the Rever-tAid™ First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TransGen) was employed for cDNA synthesis. We conducted quantitative reverse transcription–PCR (qRT–PCR) analysis on the CFX96 system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) with 20-μL reaction solutions including 1 μL of the respective primers, 1 μL cDNA, and 10 μL SYBR Green Master Mix (TransGen). Supplementary Data Table S1 lists all qRT–PCR primers. The 2^−ΔΔCt method [43] was used to determine gene expression based on qRT–PCR data.

**Overexpression of MdERF1B, MdMYC2, and MdEIL1 and silencing of MdERF1B and MdMYC2 in ‘Orin’ calli**

To overexpress MdERF1B, MdMYC2, and MdEIL1 in apple calli, we inserted the full-length MdERF1B, MdMYC2, and MdEIL1 CDSs in plasmid pRI101-AN, which contained the GFP tag, to generate overexpression vectors, namely pRI-MdERF1B, pRI-MdMYC2, and pRI-MdEIL1. To silence MdERF1B and MdMYC2 expression in apple calli, we recombinated the 342- and 369-bp antisense and sense sequences of MdERF1B and MdMYC2 in the pFGC1008 vector to generate RNAi constructs, namely MdERF1B-RNAi and MdMYC2-RNAi. We inserted recombinant vectors in A. tumefaciens strain LBA4404 cells, and these cells were then used for infection of ‘Orin’ calli. Then, we cultivated calli on MS selective medium that contained 250 mg L−1 carbenicillin, 100 mg L−1 hygromycin-B or 50 mg L−1 kanamycin. Three successfully infected callus lines were selected as three biological replicates for determining gene and anthocyanin levels.

**Fruit injection assay**

We cloned the 342-bp MdERF1B CDS and the 369-bp MdMYC2 CDS into *Tobacco rattle virus* (TRV) vectors to generate antisense viral vectors, namely TRV-MdERF1B and TRV-MdMYC2 [44]. The overexpression vectors pRI-MdERF1B and pRI-MdMYC2 were constructed as described in the preceding section. We then transfected recombinant plasmids into the A. tumefaciens LBA4404 cells. In this work we conducted fruit injection assays according to Li et al.’s method [45]. The injected fruits were stored under constant light (20,000 lux) at 24°C for 5 days. Ten infected fruits were included as biological replicates in analyses of gene expression and anthocyanin content.

**Yeast one-hybrid assay**

We cloned the MdMYC2, MdERF1B, and MdEIL1 CDSs into separate pGADT7 vectors to generate the pGAD-MdMYC2, pGAD-MdERF1B, and pGAD-MdEIL1 recombinant vectors, respectively. We cloned MdMYC2 and MdERF1B promoter sequences into pHIS2 vector. Yeast strain Y187 cells were then transfected with the recombinant vectors. We then examined interactions in the transfected yeast cell strain using SD/−Trp/−Leu/−His media that contained 140 mM 3-AT. Yeast Y187 cells transformed with the empty pGADT7 vector served as controls.

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay**

We cloned MdEIL1 and MdERF1B CDSs into the His-tag-containing pET-32a(+) vector, and inserted the recombinant plasmids in BL21 (DE3) cells of *Escherichia coli* to produce fusion proteins, followed by purification with a His-labeled Protein Purification Kit (CWbio, Beijing, China). Biotin-labeled probes were synthesized by Sanon Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). We prepared 3′ biotin-tagged double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) probes for DNA oligos in 5× annealing buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). A LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used for EMSAs.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation–quantitative PCR**

As previously described, we transfected apple calli using recombinant plasmids pRI-MdERF1B and pRI-MdEIL1. Then, we conducted ChIP-qPCR assays with an EZ-ChIP Kit (Millipore/Upstate, Temecula, CA, USA) and an anti-GFP antibody (Abmart, Shanghai, China) according to a previous description by Wang et al. [46]. Then, we used qPCR data to determine the amount of immunoprecipitated chromatin. All ChIP assays were performed in triplicate.

**Luciferase reporter assay**

We cloned MdERF1B, MdAZ2, and MdEIL1 CDSs into the pGreenII 62-SK vector, and MdMYC2 and MdERF1B promoters into the pGreenII 0800-LUC vector [47]. The recombinant vectors were then incorporated into A. tumefaciens LBA4404 cells, with P19 as a helper plasmid. Tobacco (*N. benthamiana*) leaf samples were transiently transformed with the A. tumefaciens LBA4404 cells. LUC activity was measured with the NightOWL II LB 983 In Vivo Imaging System (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany). A pGreenII 0800-LUC vector including a renilla luciferase (REN) gene controlled by the 35S promoter was used as a positive reference.

**Yeast two-hybrid experiment**

We cloned MdAZ and MdMYC2 CDSs into the pGADT7 vector. Given potent self-activation of MdERF1B, an MdERF1B fragment (55–591 bp) lacking an autoactivation domain [32] was inserted into the pGBK7 vector.
Then, we inserted recombinant plasmids in Y2H Gold yeast cells. Protein interactions were screened with X-α-galactose-containing SD/−Trp/−Leu/−His/−Ade medium.

**Pull-down experiment**

We cloned MdERF1B CDS into His-tag-containing vector pET-32a(+), and cloned MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10 CDSs into glutathione S-transferase (GST)-tag-containing vector pGEX4T-1. Then, BL21 (DE3) E. coli cells were transfected with recombinant plasmids to produce fusion proteins, followed by purification using the His-labeled Protein Purification Kit (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA). A western blot assay with anti-GST and anti-HIS antibodies (Abmart) was performed to detect the fusion proteins.

**Bimolecular fluorescence complementation assay**

We cloned the MdERF1B CDS into the pSPYNE vector and the MdJAZ5 and MdJAZ10 CDSs into the pSPYCE vector. Then, we inserted the recombinant plasmids in A. tumefaciens strain LBA4404 cells, followed by transfection into onion epidermal cells. Following 2 days of co-cultivation in dark at 24°C, yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) fluorescence was observed with the DS-Ri2 microscopic imaging system (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

**Protein degradation assay**

We utilized the Plant Protein Extraction Kit (CWbio) to extract proteins from ‘Orin’ calli. The extracts were separately incubated with purified MdJAZ5-GST and MdJAZ10-GST fusion proteins at 24°C for 0, 2, 4, or 6 hours. A western blot (WB) assay was conducted on samples by employing the anti-GST antibody.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Liwen Bianji (Edanz) (www.liwenbianji.cn) for editing the English text of a draft of the manuscript. The current work was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFD1000105), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31872940), and the Agricultural Improved Seed Project of Shandong Province (2019LZGC008 and 2021LZGC024).

**Author contributions**

S.-Q.F. designed the research. S.W., L.-X.L., Y.F., D.L. and Z.H.Z performed the experiments. X.-S.C. and S.-Q.F. analyzed the data. S.-Q.F., S.W. and Z.-L.M. wrote the manuscript.

**Data availability**

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary data.

**Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Supplementary data**

Supplementary data is available at Horticulture Research online.

**References**

1. Honda C, Kotoda N, Wada M et al. Anthocyanin biosynthetic genes are coordinately expressed during red coloration in apple skin. Plant Physiol Biochem. 2002;40:955–62.
2. Jaakola L. New insights into the regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis in fruits. Trends Plant Sci. 2013;18:477–83.
3. Honda C, Moriya S. Anthocyanin biosynthesis in apple fruit. Hortic J. 2018;37:305–14.
4. Koes R, Verweij W, Quattrocchio F. Flavonoids: a colorful model for the regulation and evolution of biochemical pathways. Trends Plant Sci. 2005;10:236–42.
5. Li S. Transcriptional control of flavonoid biosynthesis: fine-tuning of the MYB-bHLH-WD40 (MBW) complex. Plant Signal Behav. 2014;9.e27522.
6. Arakawa O. Effect of temperature on anthocyanin accumulation in apple fruit as affected by cultivar, stage of fruit ripening and bagging. Eur J Hortic Sci. 2015;66:763–8.
7. Craker LE, Wetherbee PJ. Ethylene, light, and anthocyanin synthesis. Plant Physiol. 1973;51:436–8.
8. Faragher JD. Temperature regulation of anthocyanin accumulation in apple skin. J Exp Bot. 1983;34:1291–8.
9. Gao HN, Jiang H, Cui JY et al. Review: the effects of hormones and environmental factors on anthocyanin biosynthesis in apple. Plant Sci. 2021;321:111024.
10. Loreti E, Povero G, Novi G et al. Gibberellins, jasmonate and abscisic acid modulate the sucrose-induced expression of anthocyanin biosynthetic genes in Arabidopsis. New Phytol. 2008;179:1004–16.
11. Sun X, Jia X, Huo LQ et al. MdATG18a overexpression improves tolerance to nitrogen deficiency and regulates anthocyanin accumulation through increased autophagy in transgenic apple. Plant Cell Environ. 2018;41:469–80.
12. Gao Z, Chen YF, Randlett MD et al. Localization of the Raf-like kinase CTR1 to the endoplasmic reticulum of Arabidopsis through participation in ethylene receptor signaling complexes. J Biol Chem. 2003;278:34725–32.
13. Ju C, Yoon GM, Shemansky JM et al. CTR1 phosphorylates the central regulator EIN2 to control ethylene hormone signaling from the ER membrane to the nucleus in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109:19486–91.
14. Qiao H, Shen Z, Huang SS et al. Processing and subcellular trafficking of ER-tethered EIN2 control response to ethylene gas. Science. 2012;338:390–3.
15. Wen X, Zhang C, Ji Y et al. Activation of ethylene signaling is mediated by nuclear translocation of the cleaved EIN2 carboxyl terminus. Cell Res. 2012;22:1613–6.
16. Zheng YY, Zhu ZQ. Relaying the ethylene signal: new roles for EIN2. Trends Plant Sci. 2016;21:2–4.
17. Alonso JM, Stepanova AN. The ethylene signaling pathway. Science. 2004;306:1513–5.
18. Yang SF, Hoffman NE. Ethylene biosynthesis and its regulation in higher plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol. 1984;35:155–89.
19. Caarls L, Elberse J, Awanah M et al. *Arabidopsis* JASMONATE-INDUCED OXYGENASES down-regulate plant immunity by hydroxylation and inactivation of the hormone jasmonic acid. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2017;114:6388–93.

20. Thines B, Katsir L, Melotto M et al. *JAS* repressor proteins are targets of the SCF(CO1) complex during jasmonate signalling. *Nature*. 2007;448:661–5.

21. Sheard LB, Tan X, Mao HB et al. *JASMONATE* and ethylene signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell*. 2003;15:2419–31.

22. Fernández-Calvo P, Chini A, Fernández-Barbero G et al. The *Arabidopsis* bHLH transcription factors MYC3 and MYC4 are targets of JAZ repressors and act additively with MYC2 in the activation of jasmonate responses. *Plant Cell*. 2011;23:701–15.

23. Song SS, Qi TC, Huang H et al. The jasmonate-ZIM domain proteins interact with the R2R3-MYB transcription factors MYB21 and MYB24 to affect jasmonate-regulated stamen development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*. 2011;23:1000–13.

24. Zhu Z, An FY, Fang Y et al. Derepression of ethylene-stabilized transcription factors (EIN3/EIL1) mediates jasmonate and ethylene signaling synergy in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2011;108:12539–44.

25. An JP, Li HH, Song LQ et al. The molecular cloning and functional characterization of MdMYC2, a bHLH transcription factor in apple. *Plant Physiol Biochem*. 2016;108:24–31.

26. Li T, Xu YX, Zhang LC et al. The ethylene response factor MdERF3 regulates anthocyanin and proanthocyanidin biosynthesis in apple. *Plant Physiol*. 2018;178:808–23.

27. Matsuoka K. Anthocyanins in apple fruit and their regulation for health benefits. 2019.

28. Wang YC, Liu WJ, Jiang HY et al. The R2R3-MYB transcription factor MdMYB24-like is involved in methyl jasmonate-induced anthocyanin biosynthesis in apple. *Plant Physiol Biochem*. 2019;139:727–32.

29. An JP, Xu RR, Liu X et al. Jasmonate induces biosynthesis of anthocyanin and proanthocyanidin in apple by mediating the JAZ1–TRB1–MYB9 complex. *Plant J*. 2021;106:1414–30.

30. Liu XJ, An XH, Liu X et al. MdSnRK1.1 interacts with MdJAZ18 to regulate sucrose-induced anthocyanin and proanthocyanidin accumulation in apple. *J Exp Bot*. 2017;68:2977–90.

31. Sheard LB, Tan X, Mao HB et al. *JASMONATE* and ethylene signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*. 2005;8:532–40.

32. Chini A, Fonseca S, Fernández G et al. The JAZ family of repressors is the missing link in jasmonate signalling. *Nature*. 2007;448:666–71.

33. An XH, Tian Y, Chen KQ et al. MdMYB9 and MdMYB11 are involved in the regulation of the JA-induced biosynthesis of anthocyanin and proanthocyanidin in apples. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 2015;56:650–62.

34. Niu Y, Figueroa P, Browse J. Characterization of JAZ-interacting bHLH transcription factors that regulate jasmonate responses in *Arabidopsis*. *J Exp Bot*. 2011;62:2143–54.

35. Qi TC, Song SS, Ren QC et al. The jasmonate-ZIM-domain proteins interact with the WD-repeat/bHLH/MYB complexes to regulate jasmonate-mediated anthocyanin accumulation and trichome initiation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell*. 2011;23:1795–814.

36. Liang W, Li H, Sun Y et al. *JASMONATE* and ethylene signaling antagonist jasmonate regulation between *Arabidopsis* and *M. domestica*. *Plant Physiol Biochem*. 2016;108:24–31.

37. Lorenzo O, Sánchez-Serrano JJ et al. *JASMONATE-SENSITIVE1* encodes a MYC transcription factor essential to discriminate between different jasmonate-regulated defense responses in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*. 2004;16:1998–50.

38. Lorenzo O, Chico JM, Sánchez-Serrano JJ et al. *JASMONATE-SENSITIVE1* encodes a MYC transcription factor essential to discriminate between different jasmonate-regulated defense responses in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*. 2004;16:1998–50.

39. Lorenzo O, Chico JM, Sánchez-Serrano JJ et al. *JASMONATE-SENSITIVE1* encodes a MYC transcription factor essential to discriminate between different jasmonate-regulated defense responses in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*. 2004;16:1998–50.

40. Luo Y, Zhang X, Song SQ et al. The bHLH subgroup IId factors negatively regulate jasmonate-mediated plant defense and development. *Plant J*. 2015;81:1438–49.

41. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(−ΔΔC(T)) method. *Methods*. 2001;25:402–8.

42. Dinesh-Kumar SP, Anandalakshmi R, Marathe R et al. Virus-induced gene silencing. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2003;236:287–94.

43. Li T, Jiang ZY, Zhang LC et al. *Apple (Malus domestica)* MdERF2 negatively affects ethylene biosynthesis during fruit ripening by suppressing MdACS1 transcription. *Plant J*. 2016;88:735–48.

44. Li T, Jiang ZY, Zhang LC et al. *Apple (Malus domestica)* MdERF2 negatively affects ethylene biosynthesis during fruit ripening by suppressing MdACS1 transcription. *Plant J*. 2016;88:735–48.

45. Wang N, Qu CZ, Jiang SH et al. The proanthocyanidin-specific transcription factor MdMYBPA1 initiates anthocyanin synthesis under low temperature conditions in red-fleshed apple. *Plant J*. 2018;96:39–55.

46. Hellens RP, Allan AC, Friel EN et al. Transient expression vectors for functional genomics, quantification of promoter activity and RNA silencing in plants. *Plant Methods*. 2005;1:1–3.