A Convenient Synthesis of Pentaporphyrins and Supramolecular Complexes with a Fulleropyrrolidine
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Abstract: A simple and straightforward synthesis of diporphyrins and pentaporphyrins is reported here. The supramolecular interactions of the new porphyrin derivatives with C60 and PyC60 (a pyridyl [60]fulleropyrrolidine) were evaluated by absorption and fluorescence titrations in toluene. While no measurable modifications of the absorption and fluorescence spectra were observed upon addition of C60 to the porphyrin derivatives, the addition of PyC60 to the corresponding mono-Zn(II) porphyrins resulted in the formation of Zn(porphyrin)–PyC60 coordination complexes and the binding constants were calculated. Results show that the four free-base porphyrin units in pentaporphyrin 6 have a significant contribution in the stabilization of the 6–PyC60 complex. The crystal and molecular features of the pentaporphyrin Zn5 were unveiled using single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies.
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1. Introduction

Porphyrins are extremely versatile compounds from structural and chemical viewpoints. They display a rigid planar geometry, high stability, intense electronic absorption and emission, a small HOMO–LUMO energy gap, and flexible tunability of their optical and redox properties by changing the metal center [1]. Porphyrins can be efficiently modified at the meso or beta positions, or at the meso-(hetero)aryl substituents. Consequently, these compounds are one of the most attractive building blocks for the formation of covalent or supramolecular assemblies [2–6]. The design and synthesis of multiporphyrin arrays is an important research topic due to their potential application as artificial light-harvesting systems [7–13], functional materials (near infrared dyes, electron-conducting molecular wires, nonlinear optics, molecular recognition and sensing) [14–17], and also as agents for medical imaging and photodynamic therapy [18]. Several synthetic strategies have been developed to produce multiporphyrin oligomers, including directly meso–meso-, meso–β- and β–β-linked multiporphyrin systems, oligoporphyrins with fused π-systems and arrays bearing rigid or flexible spacers. In this context, different types of covalent or supramolecular porphyrin arrays with a large structural diversity [19–22], including linear [23–25], zig-zag [26], and dendritic arrays [27,28], tapes [29–31], belts [32], barrels [33], rings [34–44] and boxes [45] and balls [46], have been synthesized.

Fullerenes are another group of attractive building blocks, frequently used in association with porphyrins. In fact, architectures consisting of porphyrin derivatives (donors) and fullerenes (acceptors) are of particular interest, either as models for natural photosynthesis or for the conversion of light into electricity. Because of the unique three-dimensional structure of fullerenes, their low reduction potentials and small reorganization energy, providing the formation of long-lived charge...
5,10,15,20-Tetakis(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin (2) reacts with a range of nucleophiles, namely amines, thios, alcohols and phenols, leading to the formation of nucleophilic aromatic substitution products under mild conditions [66]. The para-fluorine atoms are selectively substituted by the nucleophile and mono- to tetra-substituted porphyrin derivatives may be obtained. We have reported the synthesis of multiporphyrin compounds via nucleophilic aromatic substitution of fluorine atoms in porphyrin 2 [20] or hexafluorobenzene [67,68]. Using a similar approach, herein we present a simple procedure for the preparation of diporphyrins and pentaporphyrins. The interaction of pentaporphyrins 5 and Zn5 with C60 and pentaporphyrin 6 with 1-methyl-2-(4-pyridyl)[60]fullero[c]pyrroloidine (PyC60) is also discussed.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis and Characterization

We have shown that 5-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (1) reacts as a nucleophile with hexafluorobenzene or pentafluorophenyl groups to give multiporphyrin derivatives under very mild conditions [67]. As indicated above, porphyrin 2 reacts with nucleophiles to yield nucleophilic aromatic substitution products. Thus, it seemed obvious to us that we could access multiporphyrin compounds by reacting porphyrins 1 and 2 (Scheme 1 and Figure 1). In fact, the reaction of porphyrins 1 and 2 (in excess) afforded diporphyrin 3 in 55% yield; minor amounts of triporphyrin derivatives were also formed. Similarly, the reaction of porphyrin 1 with the Zn2 complex, previously prepared by metalation of 2 with zinc acetate, produced diporphyrin 4 in 32% yield. When porphyrin 2 was treated with 5 equivalents of porphyrin 1, the pentaporphyrin 5 (Figure 1) was obtained in 76% yield as the main product. Similarly, pentaporphyrin 6 (Figure 1) was obtained in 64% yield using 1 and Zn2 as the starting porphyrins. Metalation of pentaporphyrin 5 with zinc acetate afforded Zn5 in quantitative yield.

The structures of the new multiporphyrin compounds 3–6 and Zn5 were confirmed by NMR, UV–Vis, and mass spectrometry. Comparing the 1H NMR spectra of diporphyrin 3 and pentaporphyrin 5, the main differences are in the signals due to the resonances of the β-pyrrolic and NH protons. For diporphyrin 3, the resonances of the β-pyrrolic protons of the fluorinated porphyrin appear as a singlet (at δ 8.93 ppm), and two doublets. However, due to the symmetry of pentaporphyrin 5, only one singlet is observed (at 9.16 ppm) for the eight β-pyrrolic protons of the central porphyrin unit. Both spectra show two singlets assigned to the NH protons: −2.87 (2H) and −2.73 ppm (2H) for diporphyrin 3, and −2.78 (8H) and −2.69 (2H) for pentaporphyrin 5. The integration of those signals (1:1 or 1:4) agrees with the presence of two or five porphyrin units, for 3 and 5, respectively. The 1H NMR spectra of diporphyrin 4 and pentaporphyrin 6 exhibit only one singlet at high field assigned to the NH protons (−2.74 ppm and −2.78 ppm, respectively). The 19F NMR spectra of the diporphyrins 3 and 4 and the pentaporphyrins 5 and 6 further confirm the proposed structures, particularly the number of the para-fluorine atoms substituted by porphyrin units. The 19F NMR spectra of diporphyrins 3 and 4 show a multiplet between δ −174.82 and −174.65 ppm for diporphyrin 3, and between δ −175.03 and −174.85 ppm for diporphyrin 4, assigned to the three para-fluorine atoms. On the other hand, the absence of signals due to the para-fluorine atoms in the 19F NMR spectra of pentaporphyrins 5 and 6 confirm the tetra-substitution. The mass spectra of diporphyrins 3 and 4 show the expected [M + H]+ ions (m/z = 1585.3 for diporphyrin 3 and m/z = 1647.3 for diporphyrin 4). The HRMS (ESI) of diporphyrin 3 also shows a peak at m/z = 793.2 corresponding to the [M + 2H]2+ ion, which results from multiple protonation of the molecule (Figure S4). The MALDI-TOF mass spectra of pentaporphyrins 5 and 6...
reveal the peaks corresponding to the monoisotopic masses of the [M + H]^+ ions (m/z = 3416.0 for pentaporphyrin 5 and m/z = 3477.9 for pentaporphyrin 6). However, the HRMS (ESI) of pentaporphyrin 5 shows only the peaks corresponding to the [M + 2H]^2+ and [M + 3H]^3+.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of diporpyrins 3 and 4.
Comparing the UV–Vis spectra of diporphyrins and pentaporphyrins with those of their porphyrin precursors (Figure 2), the sole difference is the intensity of the Soret and Q bands, ultimately confirming that there is no conjugation between the porphyrin units.
Pentaporphyrin Zn5 was isolated as large red single-crystals through recrystallization from a mixture of chloroform and methanol (among other solvents in minor quantity). Figure 3 depicts the centrosymmetric molecular unit present in the crystal structure of Zn5·Solvent (side and top views), clearly reflecting the high level of conformational flexibility of the large molecule. The three crystallographically independent Zn2+ metal centers exhibit identical coordination environments which strongly resemble a distorted square pyramid: while the basal plane is formed by the four nitrogen atoms arising from the porphyrinic core [Zn–N distances ranging from 2.040(3) to 2.089(4) Å (for the three environments)], the apical position is always occupied by a coordinated methanol molecule with the Zn–O<sub>methanol</sub> distance being found in the 2.118(5)–2.197(5) Å range. As also depicted in Figure 3, the four peripheral porphyrin molecules adopt a conformation so they are close together, mutually interacting via weak supramolecular contacts most certainly also involving the solvent molecules of crystallization (not shown).
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**Figure 3.** Schematic representation of the pentaporphyrin molecular unit present in the crystal structure of Zn5·Solvent.

### 2.2. Formation of Porphyrin–Fullerene Complexes

The binding capabilities of pentaporphyrins 5 and Zn5 to form complexes with pristine C<sub>60</sub> was investigated by absorption and fluorescence titrations in toluene. Surprisingly, the addition of a toluene solution of C<sub>60</sub> to pentaporphyrins 5 and Zn5 did not induce a quantifiable alteration in their absorption and fluorescence spectra. This indicates that the eventual π–π interactions established between the host and C<sub>60</sub> are too weak, in solution, to induce spectral modifications. However, the titration of pentaporphyrin 6 with PyC<sub>60</sub> revealed a markedly distinct behavior. The addition of PyC<sub>60</sub> to a solution of 6 in toluene induced a decrease of the Soret band (at 422 nm) along with the appearance of an isosbestic point at 428 nm (Figure 4). This suggests the formation of a 6–PyC<sub>60</sub> complex, presumably via axial coordination of the pyridyl group to the zinc porphyrin (Figure 5), as reported in similar systems [56,68–72].
Figure 4. Absorption spectra of 6 (1.0 × 10⁻⁷ M) upon addition of PyC₆₀ (0–113 equiv.) in toluene at 23 °C (upper part) and experimental data at 422 nm fitted to a non-linear 1:1 binding model (lower part).

Figure 5. Representation of the coordination complex 6–PyC₆₀.
To evaluate the contribution of the four free porphyrin units in the stabilization of the 6–PyC$_{60}$ complex, the precursor Zn2 and the diporphyrin 4 were also titrated with PyC$_{60}$. As expected, the addition of PyC$_{60}$ to Zn2 induced a red shift of the Soret and Q bands with the formation of an isosbestic point at 423 nm (Figure S14 in the ESI), probably as a result of axial coordination of the pyridyl group of PyC$_{60}$ to Zn2. D’Souza already observed the complex formation between porphyrin 2 and PyC$_{60}$ in dichloromethane [73]. Similar behavior was observed when a solution of diporphyrin 4 in toluene was titrated with PyC$_{60}$. The incremental addition of PyC$_{60}$ caused a decrease and a red shift of the Soret with the formation of an isosbestic point at 425 nm (Figure S16 in the ESI). A red shift was observed in the Q bands, which is most likely a consequence of coordination complex formation. As discussed below, the binding constant for the 6–PyC$_{60}$ complex is ca. 5.8 times higher than the binding constant for the Zn2–PyC$_{60}$ complex, which confirms that the four free-base porphyrin units in pentaporphyrin 6 have a significant contribution in the stabilization of the 6–PyC$_{60}$ complex. The role of the four peripheral porphyrin units in stabilizing the 6–PyC$_{60}$ complex may be compared to the synergic effect observed in C$_{60}$ and C$_{70}$ hosting behavior of corannulene- and pyrene-substituted porphyrins [74–76].

The steady state fluorescence spectrum of pentaporphyrin 6 displays two bands centered at 650 and 715 nm (Figure 6). Upon addition of PyC$_{60}$ to a solution of 6 in toluene, the fluorescence intensity decreased significantly when exciting at 428 nm, as depicted in Figure 6. These changes are fully consistent with strong interactions between the photoexcited 6 and PyC$_{60}$ in the 6–PyC$_{60}$ complex [68,70,73]. The fluorescence quenching of the precursor Zn2 and diporphyrin 4 upon addition of PyC$_{60}$ was also observed (Figures S15 and S17 in the ESI).

**Figure 6.** Fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 428$ nm) of 6 (1.0 × 10$^{-7}$ M) upon addition of PyC$_{60}$ (0–113 equiv.) in toluene at 23 °C (upper part) and experimental data at 650 nm fitted to a non-linear 1:1 binding model (lower part).
The binding constants ($K$) were obtained from the absorption and fluorescence spectral data by using a non-linear 1:1 binding model (Figures 4 and 6) and are listed in Table 1. The average values for the binding constants ($K_{av}$) increase in the order $\text{Zn}^2 < 4 < 6$. In particular, the $K_{av}$ value of the $6$–PyC$_{60}$ complex ($K_{av} = 1.53 \times 10^5 \text{M}^{-1}$) was found to be ca. 5.8 times higher than the $K_{av}$ for the $\text{Zn}^2$–PyC$_{60}$ complex ($K_{av} = 2.66 \times 10^4 \text{M}^{-1}$). As discussed above, the enhanced binding ability of the pentaporphyrin 6 arises presumably from the synergic effect of the $\pi$–$\pi$ interactions between the fullerene unit and the four free-base porphyrin units.

| Complex          | $K$ (M$^{-1}$) | $K_{av}$ (M$^{-1}$) |
|------------------|---------------|---------------------|
|                  | Absorption    | Fluorescence        |                  |
| Zn2–PyC$_{60}$   | $1.75 \times 10^4$ | $3.57 \times 10^4$ | $2.66 \times 10^4$ |
| 4–PyC$_{60}$     | $5.04 \times 10^4$ | $4.59 \times 10^4$ | $4.82 \times 10^4$ |
| 6–PyC$_{60}$     | $1.77 \times 10^5$ | $1.28 \times 10^5$ | $1.53 \times 10^5$ |

$^a$ $K_{av}$ = average of the two calculated $K$ values.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Chemicals and Instrumentation

$^1$H, $^{13}$C and $^{19}$F NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE 300 or Bruker AVANCE 500 spectrometers. CDCl$_3$ was used as solvent and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal reference. The chemical shifts are expressed in $\delta$ (ppm) and the coupling constants ($J$) in hertz (Hz). UV–Vis spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2501PC spectrophotometer using toluene or CHCl$_3$ as solvent. UV–vis absorption spectral wavelengths ($\lambda$) are reported in nanometers (nm), and molar absorption coefficients ($\varepsilon$) are reported in M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$. Fluorescence emission spectra were recorded on a JASCO FP-8300 spectrofluorometer. Mass spectra were recorded using a MALDI TOF/TOF 4800 Applied Biosystems MDS Sciex mass spectrometer, CHCl$_3$ as solvent and 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol (NBA) as matrix. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Bruker Apex-Qe FTICR mass spectrometer or on a LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer using CHCl$_3$ as solvent. Melting points were measured on a Büchi B-540 apparatus and are uncorrected. Column chromatography was carried out using silica gel (Merck, 35-70 mesh). Analytical TLC was carried out on precoated sheets with silica gel (Merck 60, 0.2 mm thick). Solvents were purified or dried according to the literature procedures [77]. Compounds 1 [78], 2 [78] and PyC$_{60}$ [79] were prepared according to published procedures.

3.2. Synthesis

3.2.1. 5,10,15,20-Tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrinatozinc(II) (Zn2)

Prepared as in [73]. Zinc acetate (28.2 mg, 0.154 mmol) was added to a solution of 2 (50.0 mg, 51.3 µmol) in chloroform/methanol (2:1) and the resulting mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 15 min. After cooling down to ambient temperature, the reaction mixture was washed with distilled water. The organic phase was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$) and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The Zn2 complex was obtained in quantitative yield. UV–Vis (toluene): $\lambda_{max}$ (log $\varepsilon$) 421 (5.5), 546 (4.2), 581 (3.7) nm. MS (MALDI-TOF): $m/z$ 1036.0 [M]$^+$.

3.2.2. Diporphyrin 3

A solution of 1 (20.0 mg, 31.7 µmol), 2 (92.7 mg, 95.1 µmol) and potassium carbonate (13.1 mg, 95.1 µmol) in dry DMSO (3 mL) was stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere at 50 °C for 3 h. After cooling to ambient temperature, the porphyrinic compounds were precipitated with an aqueous solution of
citric acid, filtered and washed with water. The solid was dissolved in dichloromethane and then washed with water. The organic phase was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$) and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of dichloromethane/hexane. The first fraction was identified as the unreacted porphyrin 2. The following fraction afforded diporphyrin 3 (28 mg, 55% yield) after crystallization from dichloromethane/methanol. mp > 300 °C. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300 MHz): δ, ppm –2.87 (s, 2H, NH of moiety 1), –2.73 (s, 2H, NH of moiety 2), 7.71–7.82 (m, 11H, C$_6$H$_4$-m-H and Ph-m-Ph), 8.22–8.26 (m, 6H, Ph-o-H), 8.37 (dd, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, C$_6$H$_4$-o-H), 8.87 (s, 4H, β-H of moiety 1), 8.91–8.97 (3 overlapped d, 6H, β-H), 8.93 (s, 4H, β-H of moiety 2), 9.09 (d, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 2H, β-H of moiety 2). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 126 MHz): δ, ppm 103.6, 104.4, 114.4, 118.6, 120.3, 120.4, 126.7, 127.8, 131.1 (br s, β-C), 133.6, 135.9, 138.4, 142.1, 157.2. $^{19}$F NMR (CDCl$_3$, 282 MHz): δ, ppm –184.83 (dt, $J = 23.2$ and 7.6 Hz, 6F, C$_6$F$_5$-m-F), –176.86 (dd, dd, $J = 23.1$ and 9.3 Hz, 2F, C$_6$F$_5$-F-F), –174.82 to –174.65 (m, 3F, C$_6$F$_5$-F-F), –160.40 (dd, dd, $J = 23.1$ and 9.3 Hz, 2F, C$_6$F$_5$-F-F), –160.00 (dd, dd, $J = 23.2$ and 7.6 Hz, 6F, C$_6$F$_5$-o-F). UV–Vis (CHCl$_3$): $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log ε) 418 (5.8), 510 (4.3), 548 (4.2), 586 (3.8), 644 (3.7) nm. MS (MALDI-TOF): $m/z$ 1585.3 [M + H]$^+$. MS (HRESI): $m/z$ calcld. for C$_{88}$H$_{69}$F$_{19}$N$_3$O[Zn [M + H]$^+$] 1585.3016, found 1585.3037, calcld. for C$_{88}$H$_{69}$F$_{19}$N$_3$O[Zn [M + H]$^+$] 1585.3016, found 1585.3037.

3.2.3. Diporphyrin 4

A solution of 1 (20.0 mg, 31.7 μmol), Zn2 (84.2 mg, 95.1 μmol) and potassium carbonate (13.1 mg, 95.1 μmol) in dry DMSO (3 mL) was stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere at 50 °C for 4 h. The workup procedures were the same as previously described for diporphyrin 3. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of dichloromethane/hexane. The first fraction was identified as the starting porphyrin Zn2. The following fraction afforded diporphyrin 4 (23 mg, 32% yield) after crystallization from dichloromethane/methanol. mp > 300 °C. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300 MHz): δ, ppm –2.74 (s, 2H, NH), 7.72–7.83 (m, 11H, C$_6$H$_4$-m-H and Ph-m-Ph), 8.23–8.27 (m, 6H, Ph-o-H), 8.36 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, C$_6$H$_4$-o-H), 8.88 (s, 4H, β-H of moiety 1), 8.93 and 8.98 (AB, $J = 4.8$ Hz, β-H of moiety 1), 9.01 (s, 4H, β-H of moiety Zn2), 9.04 and 9.17 (AB, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 4H, β-H of moiety Zn2). $^{19}$F NMR (CDCl$_3$, 282 MHz): δ, ppm –184.75 (dt, $J = 23.6$ and 8.0 Hz, 6F, C$_6$F$_5$-m-F), –176.77 (dd, dd, $J = 23.4$ and 9.4 Hz, 2F, C$_6$F$_5$-m-F), –175.03 to –174.85 (m, 3F, C$_6$F$_5$-F-F), –160.21 (dd, dd, $J = 23.4$ and 9.4 Hz, 2F, C$_6$F$_5$-F-F), –159.85 (dd, dd, $J = 23.4$ and 8.0 Hz, 6F, C$_6$F$_5$-F-F). UV–Vis (toluene): $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log ε) 421 (5.8), 513 (4.3), 546 (4.4), 584 (3.9), 646 (3.7) nm. MS (MALDI-TOF): $m/z$ 1647.3 [M + H]$^+$. MS (HRESI): $m/z$ calcld. for C$_{88}$H$_{69}$F$_{19}$N$_3$O[Zn [M + H]$^+$] 1647.2078, found 1647.2110.

3.2.4. Pentaporphyrin 5

A solution of 2 (10.0 mg, 10.2 μmol), 1 (32.4 mg, 51.3 μmol) and potassium carbonate (17.0 mg, 0.123 mmol) in dry DMSO (1 mL) was stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere at 80 °C for 2 h. After cooling to ambient temperature, the porphyrinic compounds were precipitated with an aqueous solution of citric acid, filtered and washed with water. The solid was dissolved in dichloromethane and then washed with water. The organic phase was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$) and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using dichloromethane/hexane (2:1) as the eluent. A major fraction was eluted and then the unreacted porphyrin 1 was recovered. The major fraction afforded pentaporphyrin 5 (27 mg, 76% yield) after crystallization from dichloromethane/methanol. mp > 300 °C. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300 MHz): δ, ppm –2.78 (s, 8H, NH of moiety 1), –2.69 (s, 2H, NH of moiety 2), 7.63–7.78 (m, 44H, C$_6$H$_4$-m-H and Ph-m-Ph), 8.15 (dd, dd, $J = 7.5$ and 1.8 Hz, 16H, 10,20-Ph-o-H), 8.22 (dd, dd, $J = 7.3$ and 1.7 Hz, 8H, 15-Ph-o-H), 8.33 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 8H, C$_6$H$_4$-o-H), 8.81 (d, $J = 6$ Hz, 8H, β-H of moiety 1), 8.83–8.86 (m, 16H, β-H of moiety 1), 8.81 (d, $J = 6$ Hz, 8H, β-H of moiety 1), 9.16 (s, 8H, β-H of moiety 2). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 75 MHz): δ, ppm 104.3, 114.3, 116.7, 118.6, 120.2, 126.4, 126.7, 127.7, 129.8–132.4 (β-C), 134.5, 135.9, 138.3, 142.0, 142.1, 157.1. $^{19}$F NMR (CDCl$_3$, 282 MHz): δ, ppm –176.92 (dd, dd, $J = 23.1$ and 9.2 Hz, 8F, C$_6$F$_5$-m-F), –160.33 (dd, dd, $J = 23.1$ and 9.2 Hz, 8F, C$_6$F$_5$-F-F). UV–Vis (toluene): $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log ε) 420 (6.2), 513 (5.0), 547 (4.6), 590 (4.5), 648 (4.3) nm. MS (MALDI-TOF): $m/z$ 3416.0 [M + H]$^+$. MS (HRESI): $m/z$
3.2.5. Pentaporphyrin Zn

Zinc acetate (16.1 mg, 87.8 mmol) was added to a solution of 5 (20.0 mg, 5.85 \( \mu \)mol) in chloroform/methanol (2:1) and the resulting mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 1 h. After cooling down to ambient temperature, the reaction mixture was washed with distilled water. The organic phase was dried (Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\)) and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The Zn5 complex was obtained in quantitative yield. \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 300 MHz): \( \delta \), ppm 7.57–7.74 (m, 44H, C\(_6\)H\(_4\)-m-H and Ph-m,p-H), 8.09–8.18 (m, 24H, Ph-o-H), 8.28 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 8H, C\(_6\)H\(_4\)-o-H), 8.80–8.86 (m, 24H, \( \beta \)-H of moiety 1), 8.92 (d, \( J = 4.7 \) Hz, 8H, \( \beta \)-H of moiety Zn1), 9.13 (s, 8H, \( \beta \)-H of moiety Zn2). UV–Vis (toluene): \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) (log \( \varepsilon \)) 424 (6.1), 548 (4.9), 588 (4.4) nm. MS (MALDI-TOF): \( m/z \) 3734.3 [M]\(^+\).

3.2.6. Pentaporphyrin 6

A solution of Zn2 (10.0 mg, 11.3 \( \mu \)mol), 1 (35.6 mg, 56.4 \( \mu \)mol) and potassium carbonate (18.7 mg, 0.135 mmol) in dry DMSO (1 mL) was stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere at 80 °C for 2 h. The workup procedures were the same as described for pentaporphyrin 5. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using dichloromethane/hexane (2:1) as the eluent. A major faction was eluted and then unreacted porphyrin 1 was recovered. The major fraction afforded pentaporphyrin 6 (25 mg, 64% yield) after crystallization from dichloromethane/hexane. mp > 300 °C. \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 300 MHz): \( \delta \), ppm -2.78 (s, 8H, NH), 7.60–7.78 (m, 44H, C\(_6\)H\(_4\)-m-H and 10,15,20-Ph-m,p-H), 8.15 (dd, \( J = 7.4 \) and 1.8 Hz, 16H, 10,20-Ph-o-H), 8.22 (dd, \( J = 7.4 \) and 1.7 Hz, 8H, 15-Ph-o-H), 8.34 (d, \( J = 8.5 \) Hz, 8H, 5-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)-o-H), 8.80–8.86 (m, 24H, \( \beta \)-H of moiety 1), 8.93 (d, \( J = 4.8 \) Hz, 8H, \( \beta \)-H of moiety 1), 9.25 (s, 8H, \( \beta \)-H of moiety Zn2). \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 126 MHz): \( \delta \), ppm 105.0, 114.3, 117.4, 117.6, 117.7, 118.7, 120.28, 120.34, 126.65, 126.73, 127.7, 127.8, 129.8–132.3 (\( \beta \)-C), 132.4, 134.5, 134.6, 135.9, 138.3, 140.6, 140.8, 142.0, 142.1, 142.7, 142.8, 146.0, 148.1, 150.4, 157.2. \(^{19}\)F NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 282 MHz): \( \delta \), ppm -176.81 (dd, \( J = 23.4 \) and 9.2 Hz, 8F, C\(_6\)F\(_4\)-m-F), -160.14 (dd, \( J = 23.4 \) and 9.2 Hz, 8F, C\(_6\)F\(_4\)-o-F). UV–Vis (toluene): \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) (log \( \varepsilon \)) 422 (6.1), 513 (4.8), 549 (4.7), 589 (4.4), 645 (4.3) nm. MS (MALDI-TOF): \( m/z \) 3477.9 [M + H]\(^+\).

3.3. Absorption and Fluorescence Titrations

The UV–Vis and fluorescence titrations were carried out using a stock solution of each compound in toluene in a quartz cuvette (1 cm path length). A PyC\(_{60}\) stock solution was added in aliquots and the spectra were recorded after each addition. Absorption spectra were corrected for the contribution of the added PyC\(_{60}\). In the fluorescence measurements the sample was excited at the isosbestic point. Measurements were repeated 2–3 times and found to be reproducible within a margin of error of ca. 15%. All measurements were performed at 23 °C.

The binding constants (\( K \)) were assessed from the UV–Vis and fluorescence titrations. The variation of the absorbance at the Soret band caused by consecutive additions of PyC\(_{60}\) was used to determine the binding constants. The spectral changes at the Soret band were fit using non-linear least-squares procedures using the following equation for a 1:1 binding:

\[
A = \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{Por}}[\text{Por}] + \varepsilon_{\text{Por-Ful}}K[\text{Por}][\text{Ful}]}{1 + K[\text{Ful}]} \tag{1}
\]

in which \( A \) is the absorbance, [Por] is the total concentration of the porphyrin derivative, [Ful] is the concentration of PyC\(_{60}\), \( \varepsilon_{\text{Por}} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Por-Ful}} \) are constants associated with the molar extinction coefficients of the porphyrin derivative and the complex formed, respectively.
In a similar way, the spectral changes at maximum fluorescence intensity were fit using non-linear least-squares procedures using the following equation for 1:1 binding:

\[
I = \frac{\Phi_{\text{Por}}[\text{Por}] + \Phi_{\text{Por-Ful}}K[\text{Por}][\text{Ful}]}{1 + K[\text{Ful}]}
\]

where I is the fluorescence intensity, \(\Phi_{\text{Por}}\) and \(\Phi_{\text{Por-Ful}}\) are constants associated with the emission quantum yields of the porphyrin derivative and of the complex formed, respectively [80].

3.4. Single-Crystal X-Ray Diffraction Studies of Zn5·Solvent

Single crystals of compound Zn5·Solvent were manually harvested from the crystallization vial and immersed in highly viscous FOMBLIN Y perfluoropolyether vacuum oil (LVAC 140/13, Sigma-Aldrich) to avoid degradation caused by the evaporation of the solvent [81]. Crystals were mounted on Hampton Research CryoLoops with the help of a Stemi 2000 stereomicroscope equipped with Carl Zeiss lenses. X-ray diffraction data were collected at 179(2) K on a Bruker D8 QUEST equipped with Mo Kα sealed tube (λ = 0.71073 Å), a multilayer TRIUMPH X-ray mirror, a PHOTON 100 CMOS detector, and an Oxford Instruments Cryostrem 700+ Series low temperature device. Diffraction images were processed using the software package SAINT+ [82], and data were corrected for absorption by the multiscan semi-empirical method implemented in SADABS [83]. The structure was solved using the algorithm implemented in SHELXT-2014 [84], which allowed for the immediate location of almost all of the heaviest atoms composing the molecular unit. The remaining missing and misplaced non-hydrogen atoms were located from difference Fourier maps calculated from successive full-matrix least-squares refinement cycles on \(F^2\) using the latest SHELXL from the 2017/1 release [85]. All structural refinements were performed using the graphical interface ShelXle [86].

The crystal diffracted poorly throughout the entire angular range, this despite a long acquisition time per frame (ca. 1 min) and the use of a wide frame strategy (0.5° φ scans). Indeed, below 1.0 Å of resolution the mean \(I/σ\) drops below 5.0 with the \(R_{\text{merge}}\) value being concomitantly high (for example, for a resolution of 1.20 Å the \(R_{\text{merge}}\) is already above 10%). The poor quality of the overall diffraction has important consequences in the modeled structure, giving rise to a number of alerts in PLATON [87,88]. Nevertheless, structure solution immediately showed the presence of the most important chemical moiety in the crystal structure.

Besides the pentaporphyrin Zn5 derivative, the crystal structure contains one large void and two smaller ones (total volume of about 2446 Å³ as estimated by PLATON) [87,88] centred at (0.385 0.330 0.000), (0.263 −0.819 0.417), and (0.736 0.181 0.583), which most likely contain highly disordered solvent molecules. From difference Fourier maps it was possible to discern the presence of a considerable smeared-out electron density in these locations. Nevertheless, several attempts to locate and model solvent molecules proved to be unproductive. The original data set was treated using the SQUEEZE [89] subroutines implemented in PLATON [87,88] in order to remove the contribution of these highly disordered molecules. It was estimated that the aforementioned cavities would contain a total of ca. 632 electrons. The calculated solvent-free reflection list was used for subsequent structural refinements that converged to the solvent-free structure reported in this manuscript and having the reliability factors listed below.

The asymmetric unit is composed of half of the pentaporphyrin in which the metallic centres are coordinated to a methanol molecule. These three crystallographically independent methanol molecules were found to be severely affected by positional disorder and were included in the final structural model with the distances restrained to common (refinable) distances and with isotropic displacement parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms, so as to ensure chemically reasonable geometries for these moieties.

Hydrogen atoms bound to carbon were placed at their idealized positions using appropriate HFIX instructions in SHELXL: 43 (aromatic carbon atoms and coordinated hydroxyl groups) and 137 (for all methyl groups). These hydrogen atoms were included in subsequent refinement cycles with isotropic
thermal displacements parameters \( (U_{eq}) \) fixed at 1.2 (for the former family of hydrogen atoms) or 1.5\( \times U_{eq} \) (solely for those associated with the methyl groups) of the parent atoms.

The last difference Fourier map synthesis showed the highest peak (1.947 e\( \AA^{-3} \)) and the deepest hole (-1.354 e\( \AA^{-3} \)) located at 0.39 and 0.07 \( \AA \) from H2M and C2M (associated with the coordinated methanol molecule), respectively. Structural drawings have been created using the software package Crystal Impact [90].

Crystal data for Zn5-Solvent (SQUEEZE Data): \( C_{225}H_{138}F_{16}N_{20}O_{6}Zn_{5} \), \( M = 3894.38 \), triclinic, space group \( P\overline{1} \), \( a = 12.995(2) \ \AA, \ b = 15.034(3) \ \AA, \ c = 34.607(7) \ \AA, \ \alpha = 78.338(10)^{\circ}, \ \beta = 81.133(9)^{\circ}, \ \gamma = 79.449(10)^{\circ}, \ V = 6461(2) \ \AA^{3}, \ \mu(Mo-K\alpha) = 0.518 \ \text{mm}^{-1}, \ D_c = 1.001 \ \text{g cm}^{-3}, \ \) red block with crystal size of 0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \ mm\(^2\). Of a total of 127,498 reflections collected, 23,500 were independent (\( R_{int} = 0.0640 \)). Final \( R1 = 0.0769 \) \( \{I > 2\sigma(I)\} \) and \( wR2 = 0.2353 \) (all data). Data completeness to theta = 25.24\(^{\circ}\), 99.5%.

Crystallographic data (including structure factors) for the crystal structure of compound Zn5-Solvent have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication No. CCDC-1825479. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 2EZ, UK. FAX: (+44) 1223 336033. E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

4. Conclusions

The reaction of 5-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (1) with 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin (2), under mild conditions affords a diporphyrin (3) or a pentaporphyrin (5) selectively and in good yields. Similarly, the reaction of 1 with Zn2 leads to the nono-Zn(II) diporphyrin 4 or to the mono-Zn(II) pentaporphyrin 6.

Absorption and fluorescence titrations of the new porphyrin derivatives with \( C_{60} \) in toluene did not show the formation of supramolecular complexes. However, titrations with PyC\(_{60}\) lead to the formation of Zn(porphyrin)–PyC\(_{60}\) coordination complexes and the corresponding binding constants were calculated. Comparing the binding constants for the Zn2–PyC\(_{60}\) complex \( (K_{av} = 2.66 \times 10^{4} \ \text{M}^{-1}) \) and the 6–PyC\(_{60}\) complex \( (K_{av} = 1.53 \times 10^{5} \ \text{M}^{-1}) \), it is evident that the four free-base porphyrin units in pentaporphyrin 6 have a significant contribution in the stabilization of the 6–PyC\(_{60}\) complex.

The method reported here for the synthesis of pentaporphyrins 5 and 6 may be useful to decorate a porphyrin with other photoactive units or substituents able to make strong binding interactions with fullerenes (pyrene or corannulene units, for instance).

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online: \(^{1}\text{H}, \ ^{13}\text{C} \) and \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR spectra and absorption and fluorescence titrations with PyC\(_{60}\).
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