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Abstract: The plasma electrolytic method of oxidation (PEO) is a technique that is increasingly being used in industry to produce protective coatings and other surface treatments. The emphasis is then placed on the dielectric breakdown that repeatedly occurs across the surface of the workpiece. There is significant potential for more effective process control. Key study areas include the interrelation of electrical conditions, electrolyte composition, coating microstructure, and growth rates. With specific goals in terms of coating performance and energy efficiency, an attempt is made to identify key points that are likely to simplify this. The research aims to identify the optimized range of input parameters when the oxide layer is formed using the DC supply in the silicate-based electrolyte on Al 6061 substrate. For developing an oxide layer on Al 6061, a silicate-based electrolyte containing Na2SiO3 (10g/L), KOH (2g/L), and KMnO4 3 (g/lit) is applied. At the optimal parameter of DC supply with 190 V and 1.5 A, the maximal adoption of Mn particles was observed to be 34 percent.
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1 Introduction

The most pressing real-world issues are determining environmentally friendly techniques for applications where high-efficiency coatings are required. An advanced solution to the crisis could be technological developments and future technologies research areas that combine technologies of controlled changes in the physicochemical properties of structural components surface layers. Aluminium and its alloys are extensively used in various applications due to their superior mechanical and chemical properties. As a result, engineering solutions that combine mechanical properties and coating development on the treated metal surface in a single process are very appealing. This method results in high adhesion and stable doping components on the surface [1]. The oxidation method of coating for the surface of the metal is the method that enhances the characteristics of the outer layer of metal. Few capable and effective methods are physical and chemical vapor deposition, anodizing, oxidation method, sol-gel, thermal spray, ceramic coating [2].

The scanning micro-arc oxidation method shows improvement in microstructure and corrosion resistance with lubrication time and discharge distribution [3]. For automotive components, the oxide coatings can be useful in repainting cracks of metallic surfaces on the exterior part of components. The oxide layers have an outstanding improvement in fatigue resistance than the hard anodic coatings [4]. The micro-arc method of oxide coating builds uniform, adherent, strong coatings on metal components of critical shapes at normal temperature using a simple process setup.

The majority of surface hardening is done by hard anodizing or galvanizing. The primary distinction between the methods is the formation of the oxide layer. In the case of hard anodizing, galvanizing produces a barrier oxide layer that is more modified. The passive barrier layer is formed beneath the oxide layer To protect the base material. On the other hand, the PEO method is more influential because it creates a strong oxide porous layer with a uniform structure [5].
Aluminium is a reactive material with excellent corrosion resistance and sometimes a thin film of 2–5 nm passive film stable in PH values ranging from 4 to 8.5 [6]. When aluminium is combined with different iron, silicon, copper, magnesium, and Manganese weights to form an alloy, it results in increased hardness, corrosion resistance, and other properties. The formation of cohesive oxide coatings or oxide layers on aluminium alloys doped with transition metal ions is mainly achieved by impregnating porous or easily oxidized substrates with a suitable methodology associated with thermal decomposition. PEO method is based on anodizing, in which an electrolytic bath contains the electrolyte, and a voltage of about 80 V is supplied between anode and cathode. The solution is frequently highly acidic and is primarily used to develop nanostructure microstructures.

In PEO processing, oxidation occurs by combining metal atoms with oxygen atoms in the plasma of electrolyte discharges. The higher heat generated by the discharges forms a thicker and harder layer on the substrate. The microarc oxidation method is the most flexible one for the composition of the coating layer compositions. These coatings have influential adhesion properties, improved hardness, and wear resistance [7]. Hence often preferred in the application requirement are high wear and low friction coefficient [6]. The aviation components with high corrosion resistance requirements can potentially surface coating methods across oxide coatings or ceramic coatings [8]. The material composition is an important factor in improving corrosion resistance. Surface modification methods can be used to modify the secondary phases of oxide formed on the outer layer. The plasma coating has proven to be highly wettable with the surface, resulting in strong, long-lasting bonds.

Oxide coatings can be used to repair cracks in metallic structures in the automotive industry. The scanning micro-arc oxidation method enhances microstructure and corrosion resistance with lubrication time and discharge distribution [3]. As for micro-arc oxidation method input parameters and discharge, characteristics are important one the method proposed to carry out using high voltage DC to check the effect on surface integrity of the coating. Researchers are investigating various lightweight metals for applications such as automotive [9, 10, 11, 12]. Parameters such as electrolyte material and composition, oxidation timing, current and voltage inputs, and additives are important for the micro-arc process of oxide coating [13]. Following is a review of papers divided into different categories based on process and testing. A 6061 aluminium alloy was used in the micro-arc oxidation method with KOH and Na₂SiO₃. The microstructure of the formed coating was investigated for phase changes and uniformity. The phases α Al₂O₃ appeared in the initial phase of the coating and converted to γ Al₂O₃ as the oxidation method time increased. The density of the micro discharges has significantly increased. Also, the pore density was higher during the initial coating phases, and the crater appeared later in the time scale. Based on the experiment data, it was discovered that the coating growth was parabolic due to the presence of a higher amount of in the electrolyte and that a higher amount of Na₂SiO₃ gives a higher hardness to the coating [14]. The use of a silicate-based electrolyte in the PEO process results in the formation of the discharges at the boundaries of the layer with a higher percentage of silicon. As a result, the layer becomes uneven. The surface properties are identified by dendrites of silicon oxide in this oxidation method [15].

There are also a few important conclusions about the particle compositions used in the electrolytes. Yong Lian et al. used a micro-arc oxidation method for Ti₆Al₄V alloy with SiC-containing electrolytes. During the microstructure study, the effect of the Si element was dominant in the coating layer. The pores on the coating have shrunk, and the coated layer has a uniform distribution of Si. At room temperature, SiC is dielectric, but it is a good conductor of electricity at higher temperatures. It absorbs more electrons and reduces spark formation at higher temperatures, resulting in a denser and thinner coating [16].

Zheng-yang et al. improved the corrosion resistance of a Zr alloy by incorporating graphene, which formed a ZrO₂ the coating on the substrate. Graphene was discovered to be a good diffusion barrier. Because of the strong coating, the coefficient of friction decreases along with the wear volume. The microstructure study discovered that with Si-based electrolytes, the formation of pores decreases. These pores are filled with GO particles, which strengthen the material against wear and corrosion. The presence of go particles did not affect the composition of the coating. The coating layer is primarily composed of t-ZrO₂ and m-ZrO₂ phases [17].

According to a thorough literature review, the plasma oxide layer is formed by applying an alternate current. The AC type of current causes the local big arc ablation phenomenon, damaging the samples [18]. The input modes are bipolar, alternating current, and pulsed direct current, but very little work is done on applying DC to the process. It is uncertain which method of power voltage supply and voltage output is best suited for MAO technology, convergence, and energy consumption. In the oxidation process, KMnO₄ acts as a strong oxidizing agent. Out experiments, but only a limited amount of research has been done on as, KMnO₄ an additive [6, 19, 20]. As a result, it is valuable to
evaluate the surface modifications caused by the addition of Mn particles. Hence, it becomes essential to study DC inputs of current and voltage parameters on oxide layer formation and additive. Here, the attempt is made to formulate the oxide layer using DC voltage around 200 V. Also, the use of DC power supply is very common for different applications in the industry; hence the study needs to be done to use and improve the formation of the homogenous layer of metal oxide.

In the current study, the plasma electrolytic oxidation for Al6061 alloy is studied to variation the primary parameter of current and voltage type. The effect of the direct current is studied for the plasma electrolytic oxidation method. The microstructure and surface properties are investigated. Different compositions of electrolytes and the duration of the process are varied for creating samples.

2 Mechanism of electrolysis

There are two types of aspects responsible for oxide layer formation – intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Intrinsic parameters are the composition and pH value of the electrolyte. The extrinsic factors consist of the type of voltage currently applied to the system, other inputs like the temperature of the electrolyte. The intrinsic parameters promote the passivating layer on the outer surface. The elements in the electrolyte form oxysalt that acts as the oxygen source for the oxidation reaction. As per the research conducted in the area, KOH and NaOH are identified as the best electrolyte for maintaining Ph between 7 to 12. Silicate, phosphate, aluminate electrolytes are often used in the composition due to the breakdown voltage expected during the process as a prerequisite. They minimize the sparking voltage that enhances the outer surface quality. The chemicals like Al2O3 produced in the process, accelerate the growth rate and thickness of the coating. The mixture formed at the anode consists of the alumina phases and mullite.

All of the basic PEO mechanisms are observable in the formation process of coatings on aluminium alloys in specified solutions. Using the existing concepts of the PEO mechanism in high-energy fields, we can identify the four stages of the oxide coating formation process. Before the electric discharge ignition, the oxidation process begins with the formation of the primary oxide layer. The second step is to electrochemically break this layer and create a bunch in the discharge channel. The third phase is a bit turbulent, consisting of the gas phases of element and igniting micro-arc discharges. While the fourth stage is the condensation of these oxide phases as they transition from micro-arc to arc discharge.

I. Reactions at the cathode:

\[
2\text{Al} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{OH}^- = 2\text{AlO}_2^-(\text{aq}) + 3\text{H}_2
\]

\[
\text{Al} + 4\text{OH}^- = \text{Al(OH)}_4^- \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{Al(OH)}_3 + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Al(OH)}_4^- \tag{3}
\]

May occur in composition.

II. The discharge occurs at high voltage resulting in oxidation of water. As a result, the water is ionized and reacts with aluminium ions forming the aluminium oxide.

\[
2\text{Al}^{3+} + 3\text{O}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \tag{4}
\]

\[
2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{O}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \tag{5}
\]

\[
2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{e}^- \tag{6}
\]

III. The chemical formation in the electrolyte are [21]

\[
\text{Al}^{3+} + 3\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Al(OH)}_4^- \downarrow \tag{7}
\]

\[
2\text{Al}^{3+} + 3\text{SiO}_2^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2(\text{SiO}_3)_3 \tag{8}
\]

Chemical reduction by the dissolution of the outer layer

\[
\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 2\text{OH}^- + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{Al(OH)}_4^- \rightarrow 2\text{Al(OH)}_3^- + 2\text{OH}^- \tag{9}
\]

\[
\text{Al(OH)}_4^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{OH}_2 \downarrow + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{OH}^- \tag{10}
\]

\[
\text{Al} \rightarrow \text{Al}^{3+} + 3\text{e}^- \tag{11}
\]

IV. Metal deposition occurs at the anode as

\[
\text{Al}^{3+} + 3\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Al} \tag{12}
\]

\[
2\text{MnO}_4^- \rightarrow \text{MnO}_4^{2-} + \text{MnO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \uparrow \tag{13}
\]

Reaction 13 [20] occurs due to the strong oxidizing nature of . While reaction 8 resulted in the deposition of the electrolyte compounds during the process.
3 Materials and methods

Al6061 was chosen to investigate the effect of PEO-oxide layer formation. Spectroscopic analysis (BAIRD-DV6) was performed following ASTM E415-14 standards to determine the chemical composition of the test specimen, and it was discovered that the procured material falls within the standard specification. Table 1 displays the spectroscopic analysis results as well as the standard composition of Al 6061 material.

Table 1: Result of chemical analysis increasing percentage alloying element in aluminium 6061.

| Sl No | Element | Percentage (wt%) | Specification aluminium 6061 |
|-------|---------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1     | Cu      | 0.28             | 0.15-0.4                    |
| 2     | Mn      | 0.15             | 0.15                        |
| 3     | Mg      | 1                | 0.8-1.2                     |
| 4     | Zn      | 0.25             | 0.25                        |
| 5     | Cr      | 0.2              | 0.04-0.35                   |
| 6     | Ti      | 0.15             | 0.15                        |
| 7     | Si      | 0.6              | 0.4-0.8                     |
| 8     | Fe      | 0.7              | 0.7                         |
| 9     | Al      | 96.67            | Remaining                   |

The micro-arcoxidation is conducted using a lab-based setup, as shown in Figure 2. The electrolytic chamber is made up of three layers. The inner chamber is made of stainless steel and is surrounded by copper tubes that can hold water or coolant channels. The liquid is used to extract heat from the electrolyte and keep the temperature stable. For safe earthing, the copper tubes are surrounded by asbestos insulating material.

The anode was made of an Al alloy, and the other electrode was made of stainless steel. The electrolyte solution is silicate-based and includes Na2SiO3, KOH, and water. The rectangular sample had a width of 40mm, a thickness of 10mm, and 100mm. The samples were gritted with 800 and 1200 # SiC sandpaper before being rinsed with water. Voltages ranging from 0 to 190V DC were used for the oxidation, which lasted 5 to 15 minutes. Finally, to prepare for testing, the treated samples were dried and cleaned with distilled water. The chemical analysis of alloying elements in aluminium 6061 is shown in Table 1.

4 Configuration of experiments

Table 2 shows the ranges of input parameters like DC voltage, the current, composition of the electrolyte, and additives. Few experiments were conducted without additives while the rest are with percentage addition of KMnO4. For all experiments, the composition is kept constant. The duration of the process and V-I values are changed.

The temperature during the process is measured by a thermal imager (Testo 872) for measuring temperature variation at the electrodes and electrolyte. A field emission scanning electron Microscope (FEI Nova NanoSEM 450) was employed to study the surface morphology of the oxide layer. The distribution of aluminium and oxygen was determined by Energy Dispersive Spectrometer (EDS: Bruker XFlash 6130). The thickness of the oxide layer is analyzed using a coating thickness gauge.

Wire EDM is used to cut a piece of 2mm thickness for SEM and EDX testing. Few samples were examined for surface microstructure for elemental distribution, while the
The Al 6061 alloy is studied to form the oxide layer, uniformity, thickness of the layer in cross-section, and elemental distributions. In addition, the variation in the percentage weights of elements like Al, O, Si, and Mn was studied in depth.

5 Result and discussion

The Al 6061 alloy is studied to form the oxide layer, uniformity, thickness of the layer in cross-section, and elemental distributions. As a result, the thickness and uniformity of the oxide layer can be determined using FESEM.

5.1 Oxide layer formation

The oxide layer formation has occurred at two different ranges. The layer thickness is around 200 microns for voltage values 150 and 190, but for parameters, 188 V and 4.5 A and 150V and 75 A, the layer thickness improved significantly up to 500 microns, as seen in Figure 4. Hence it is essential to check the depth-wise formation of the oxide layer. The possible goal of the research is to investigate the development of the oxide layer under various input conditions. As a result, the intensity growth of the oxide layer may reflect substrate adhesion and thickness uniformity. SEM pictures taken across the sample depth can be used to verify this.

The SEM microscopic cross-section of the substrate of the oxide layer formed on the surface is shown in Figure 5. The oxide layer is dense and uniformly distributed over the area. Earlier trials showed a very thin, insignificant layer for the current values 1 A and 70 V. Hence the parameter values are increased to get the range for creating the oxide layer. The next set of experiments were conducted between the voltages 120 to 188 V. The current variation is due to the formation of the oxide coatings. When the oxide layer first forms on the surface, it acts as a barrier film. The current has begun to fall as the oxide film begins to thicken over the duration of the process. The change in current values is caused by the formation of pores. Hence the drop in current is due to the stopping of the growth of some pores due to the growth of other pores [22]. The pore formation phenomena during the oxide layer development are after the initial growth of the oxide layer. The pore can be formed rapidly at the initial stages then increase followed by reduction due to longer oxidation time [23].

![Figure 2: Optimized parameters obtained from experiments.](image1)

![Table 2: Experimentation parameters.](image2)

| Sr No. | Parameter       | Range - Units                  |
|-------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| 1     | Voltage         | 150-190V DC                    |
| 2     | Current         | 0-38 A DC                      |
| 3     | Time            | 5-15 Minutes                   |
| 4     | Temperature     | 26-75 °C                       |
| 5     | Distance between the electrodes | 35 to 50 mm         |
| 6     | Additive        | KMnO₄ – 3(g/lit)               |
| 7     | Electrolyte elements | Na₂SiO₃ (10g/L), KOH (2g/L) |

![Figure 3: Relation between input parameters and oxide layer growth.](image3)
5.2 Effect of current at different voltage

The temperature of the electrolyte is maintained for the oxidation process due to a controlled type of cooling. In comparison, the current chronograms show a reduction in current values for supplied voltages to time. As process time increases, the current value reduces up to zero current or few milliamperes. It may happen due to a reduction in load due to changes in discharge properties. As per the pore formation theory, current variation affects the porosity formation. Therefore the study of the porosity needs to be done to identify the current variation behavior with the time of the process and composition of the electrolyte.

Initially, voltage and temperature increase up to the first few minutes and then becomes constant as observed in experimental readings. The voltage 188 V shows higher temperature but which goes on reducing due to controlled cooling. The circulated water around the electrolyte container extracts heat and keeps the electrolyte at a constant temperature. For aqueous solutions, the discharges need high voltage values to conquer the dielectric strengths of the gas released during the process. The overall plasma sustain at low values of the currents is required. But the high values constraint the breakdown events to happen at an uncontrolled speed. The electrolysis is conducted with molten metal composition to form micro-level discharges at low voltages to overcome such effects. After developing the micro-arc, the voltages become stable to allow the oxide layer to form over the surface.

5.3 Effect of variation in electrode configuration

Few experiments were carried out using varying the position of the electrode. Initially, the single electrode of Al 6061 alloy is used as the anode, while the stainless-steel tank
was the cathode. Due to lower values of DC voltage, the micro-arc was not prominent. The temperature variation seems to be very abrupt with time. Figure 7 shows that the temperature reduces gradually from 73.7 °C to 33 °C. The change in temperature of the electrolyte remains uniform for a longer duration. It also supports the ion deposition on the anode [26].

The temperature variation when a single electrode and two electrodes were used for experiments is analyzed, as shown in Figure 8. The heat generation is directly relative to the temperature difference and the thermal coefficient of expansion. The temperature variation was low when using a single electrode, resulting in poor heat generation. When two electrodes were used with a smaller gap between them, the high-temperature difference generates a significant amount of heat, resulting in an oxide layer forming at lower input parameters. Because of the greater temperature difference, the heat rejection in the electrode was greater. As a result, electrolyte cooling is required to maintain discharge characteristics.

5.4 SEM micrographs

The oxide layer formation with a voltage greater than 180 V and current values ranging from 4.5 A to 8.1 A is shown in Figure 8. Various SEM images indicate the peaks of the elements. This study aims to identify the traces and distribution of the elements, mainly Al, O, C, Si, and Mn. The higher amount of the aluminum and oxygen element helps to improve the formation of Al₂O₃ molecules in the oxide layer. The % of C occurred around 29 to 52 % atomic weight at voltage range 150 V and 190 V and current values 1.5 A and 1.7 A. Simultaneously, the oxygen ranges from 33 to 44 % for the same values of the parameters. On the other hand, the Si occurs at traces. Therefore, the presence of these elements can be considered as the optimum value of the input parameters.

5.5 SEM / FESEM analysis at cross-sectional area

The samples formed at different electrolyte composition shows the different characteristics morphologically. The change in the texture of the surface also can be seen with the naked eye. The difference in the surface finish is also noticeable for every sample. To understand the development of the layer, the SEM and FEM are taken in two ways. Surface SEM gave the distribution of the composition. In comparison, the cross-sectional FESEM measures the coating thickness and local changes in the composition of the material. The oxide layer started developing, which can be visible at a magnification of 600 microns.

The FESEM is used on the surface of the samples to determine the element distribution. The percentile elements show that the Si and O elements have improved significantly. In contrast, cross-sectional testing demonstrates the uniformity and thickness of the oxide layer.

The percentage variation of different elements is seen in Figures 10a and 10b for non-coated and coated samples of Al 6061. The Al reduces from 83.2% to 63.4%. The compound formation due to the oxide layer can be identified from the elemental peaks. After oxide coating over the outer surface presence of Si, Mn, C, Na can be observed.
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The cross-sectional study of the oxide layer is formed uniformly for samples treated at voltages greater than 150 V, as seen in Figure 11a and at voltage 188 V in Figure 11b. As a result, at this breakdown voltage, the oxide layer begins to form more uniformly. The oxide layer formed up to 90 microns for current values less than 1 A. As the current increases, the oxide layer grows to a thickness of 500 microns. The graph represents the elemental distribution of C, Si, Al, and O particles in a layer. A significant layer has been observed to form on the substrate. The high metal to oxygen particle ratio signifies the formation of the stoichiometric oxide, which is the optimum value [27, 28].

5.6 Elemental analysis

The percentage variation of the different elemental weights signifies the formation of the compounds in the oxide layer. An increase in the percentage of Si and O indicates the formation of the aluminates in the layer that can be taken for further study as the oxide layer started developing, which is visible at a magnification level of more than 500 microns (as seen in Figures 10 a,b and 11 a, 11b) the layers need to be more dense and thick. Hence this elemental analysis is useful for improving the oxide layer morphology.

Other particles of additive materials also can improve the properties very significantly. In this research, the KMNO4 is added as a strong oxidizing agent as per a review of the literature [19]. The presence of the Mn particle also improves the surface finish. The percentage distribution of the various elements is depicted in Figure 12. It has been discovered that a higher current value does not support Mn
absorption into the layer, which requires the catalytic activity and higher corrosion resistance of the resulting oxide layer \[19\]. As the voltage increases, the percentage of Mn in the oxide layer increases. It provides the breakage voltage required to absorb additives such as Mn on the Al substrate. According to EDAX, the percentage of the additive KMnO$_4$ varies from 0 to 2.42 percent wt in the oxide layer. The first experiments with voltage values up to 150 V revealed no Mn percentage. The Mn percent in the oxide layer increase after 150 V, which can be considered the breakdown voltage.

It is important to estimate to expand the composition study to determine the chemicals formed and their significance.

**Figure 9:** a) FESEM of the cross-section of the non-coated Al 6061 sample; b) FESEM of the cross-section of the coated Al 6061 sample.

**Figure 10:** Uniformly distributed oxide layer at the cross-section of Al 6061 sample.

### 6 Conclusion

This work aims to create an oxide layer on Al 6061 using a micro oxidation method using a DC power supply. Based on the findings of the experiments, it can be inferred that at optimal parameter values, a thin layer of oxide layer forms. Furthermore, this parameter can be modified to increase the oxide layer properties even more. The morphological investigation is the only thing that has been completed. In addition, mechanical qualities like corrosion and hardness can be investigated further.

Following are broad conclusions are from the study.

I. As per the research carried out, it has been observed that the PEMO coatings of Al6061 alloy in alkaline-based electrolyte with Na$_2$SiO$_3$, KOH, and KMnO$_4$ as additive oxide coatings are formed with Mn elements. The percentage of the doping element depends upon
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Figure 11: EDEX mapping results with KMnO₄ (3g/l) concentration.

Figure 12: Percentage atomic weight of elements in oxide layer for selected samples.

Figure 13: Percentage variation of an additive particle of Mn in the oxide layer.
the composition of the electrolyte and applied voltage. The maximum % of Mn by weight was found to be 17.65.

II. The microstructure study confirmed significant changes in the percentage of elements like C, O, Si, Mn. The changes favorably occur at 190V and 1.5 A.

III. The uniformity of the oxide layer and thickness is also checked in the cross-section layer of samples. As a result, a layer of up to 500 microns is achieved in thickness.

IV. Though the placing of two electrodes at a smaller gap created the heat, the temperature and electrolyte colling can be achieved by controlled cooling.

7 Future work

The more samples that are tested, the better the mechanical properties will be. The detailed analysis will demonstrate the formation of the compound and the various effects on the microstructure. More analysis can be carried in the optimized parameter ranges to study the mechanical properties of Mn particles added.
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