Omental Solitary Fibrous Tumor: A Rare Tumor at Rare Site
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Abstract

Extrapleural solitary fibrous tumor-hemangiopericytoma is a rare tumor. We present a case of this tumor arising in omentum, which is an extremely rare site. The diagnosis was confirmed by diffuse expression of STAT6 on immunohistochemistry. The tumor was assigned a low-risk category according to recent risk categorization models. The patient was advised close follow-up as the tumor was excised completely. These tumors have the potential for recurrence and metastasis even after surgical excision. However, there are no definitive guidelines for adjuvant treatment due to lack of data.
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Introduction

Primary omental tumors are quite rare and include lipomatous tumors, mesothelioma, solitary fibrous tumor-hemangiopericytoma (SFT-HPC), gastrointestinal stromal tumor, leiomyoma, neurofibroma, fibrosarcoma, and leiomyosarcoma.1 Omental SFT-HPC is extremely rare, around 10% of all primary omental tumors.2 The term HPC was first coined by Stout and Murray. Enzinger and Smith described the features of these tumors in details.3 Now, HPC is regarded as a pattern but not a separate entity and the term preferred is SFT.4 We report a case of this rare tumor in omentum with emphasis on immunohistochemistry and recently described risk categorization of these rare tumors.

Case History

We present a case of 37-year-old female who presented in emergency department with complaints of pain in left lower quadrant of abdomen for the last 15 days with recent exacerbation and nausea. There was no history of loose motions, burning micturition, shortness of breath, or weight loss. She was nondiabetic and nonhypertensive. Her menses were regular. On examination, abdomen was soft with tenderness in left lower quadrant. Rest of the general examination was unremarkable.

Computed tomography (CT) scan of abdomen and pelvis showed a lobulated, soft tissue attenuation lesion in left iliac region abutting small bowel loops with significant homogeneous contrast enhancement. There was no evidence of calcifications or bowel wall thickening. Prominent blood vessels were seen adjacent to the lesion. Differential diagnoses offered included neoplastic mesenteric lesion and carcinoid.

Laboratory investigations showed normal hemogram, coagulation profile, renal function tests, and electrolyte levels. She was seronegative for human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B surface antigen, and hepatitis C virus.

During exploratory laparotomy, a nodular, brownish, soft to firm mass measuring 6 cm was seen in the omentum with dilated and congested blood vessels feeding the mass. There was no other pathology in the abdomen. Omentectomy with resection of the mass was performed.

On histopathological examination, the omentum measured 52 × 18 cm and showed a nodular, smooth, circumscribed,
dark brownish mass measuring 6 x 4 x 3 cm with markedly congested and dilated blood vessels in the vicinity (►Fig. 1). On serial slicing, the mass was grayish brown in color.

Microscopic examination of the mass showed a variably cellular spindle cell tumor with cells arranged in sheets, patternless pattern, and focally in vague storiform pattern with prominent, thin walled, dilated, branching blood vessels (►Fig. 2A). The cells had spindly to plump nuclei with vesicular to granular chromatin, inconspicuous nucleoli, and tapering cytoplasm. Mitotic count was 1 to 2/10 hpf in the highest proliferating areas (►Fig. 2B). Focal areas of hemorrhages were noted. There were no areas of necrosis or nuclear anaplasia. On immunohistochemistry (►Fig. 3), the tumor cells diffusely expressed CD34, Bcl2, and STAT6. They were negative for S100, smooth muscle actin (SMA), and c-kit. Ki67 labeling index was around 5%.

Considering overall features, a diagnosis of SFT with low malignant potential was rendered.

Patient was counseled about the nature of the disease and was advised close follow-up.

Discussion

SFTs are uncommon mesenchymal neoplasms with ubiquitous location occurring mainly in adults with no sex predilection. Commoner locations are subcutaneous tissue, deep soft tissues of extremities, head and neck especially orbit, thoracic wall, mediastinum, retroperitoneum, and abdominal cavity. Primary omental SFT is extremely rare.5 Most of these tumors are circumscribed, well-delineated, and slowly growing painless masses producing symptoms related to mass effects.4 The worsening pain in our case may be related to partial torsion of this relatively freely lying tumor as was evidenced by dark brownish discoloration of the tumor and markedly congested, engorged blood vessels in its vicinity. Symptoms related to hypoglycemia are reported in 5% SFTs mostly in those located in retroperitoneum and pelvis through secretion of insulin like growth factors. The symptoms disappear with removal of the tumor.3

On CT scan, these tumors are well delineated, isodense to skeletal muscle and show heterogeneous contrast enhancement due to vascularity. Larger, aggressive cases may show increased heterogeneity due to fibrosis, necrosis, hemorrhage, myxoid change, cystic change, or calcifications.4 As in our case, imaging may not be able to specify the exact omental location of the tumor.

The tumors grow in deep soft tissues as circumscribed masses or as exophytic mass from serosal surface. On cut section, they are firm, can be nodular, and may show areas of hemorrhages and myxoid change.6,7 Rarely, the tumors can involve thoracic and abdominal cavity simultaneously.8

On histopathology, the tumors are variably cellular and show haphazardly arranged (patternless pattern) spindle to ovoid cells with vesicular nuclei and dispersed chromatin and indistinct eosinophilic cytoplasm in variably collagenized stroma and thin walled, variably hyalinized, branching (staghorn/hemangiopericytomatous pattern) blood vessels. Also, lipomatous stroma, giant cells, or dedifferentiated areas are described in SFTs. Tumors showing high mitotic activity (> 4/10hpf), nuclear atypia, high cellularity, necrosis, infiltrative growth, and size more than 5 cm are considered malignant.1 Recently, a three variable and a modified four variable risk models for the prediction of metastatic risk in SFTs have been proposed and predict their behavior more accurately. Considering the age of patient, mitotic count/10hpf, tumor size, and amount of tumor necrosis, these tumors are stratified into low, intermediate, and high-risk categories.4

Mere presence of hemangiopericytomatous vasculature is not diagnostic of SFT as this pattern can be seen in many tumors. Morphological differentials include smooth muscle tumors, neural tumors, spindle cell lipoma, deep fibrous histiocytoma, synovial sarcoma, and dedifferentiated liposarcoma.

On immunohistochemistry, SFTs express Bcl2, CD99, CD34, and can variably express epithelial membrane antigen and S100. Paracentric inversion involving chromosome 12q resulting in fusion of NAB2 and STAT6 genes is pathognomonic for SFT. STAT6 immunohistochemistry is a sensitive and specific surrogate marker for these fusions and helps to rule out its morphological mimics.4 However, cases of dedifferentiated liposarcoma showing SFT like areas can be
positive for STAT6, although the positivity is more diffuse and stronger in SFT. Presence of varied morphology, liposarcomatous areas, and MDM2 and CDK4 expression on immunohistochemistry or amplification by fluorescence in situ hybridization can help in differential diagnosis. Malignant cases of SFT can show loss of CD34 expression in higher grade areas along with overexpression of p53 and p16.

Tumor cells in our case expressed CD34 and Bcl2 and were negative for SMA, c-kit, and S100. Expression of STAT6 confirmed the diagnosis of SFT. The tumor, measured 6 cm, was quite cellular at places and showed 1 to 2 mitoses/10 hpf along with focal hemorrhages. Nuclear anaplasia or necrosis was not seen. Hence, it was reported as of low-risk category.

In 15 to 30% of cases, local or distal recurrences occur and are reported up to 18 years after the surgical excision. Sites of metastasis include lung, liver, brain, scalp, chest wall, gastrointestinal tract, bone, orbit, and lymph nodes. Surgical excision is the main modality of treatment, even in recurrent cases. Radiation therapy can be given in recurrent or malignant cases. Chemotherapy shows no significant benefit. In our case, the tumor was excised completely. No adjuvant therapy was advised and the patient was counseled for regular follow-up to rule out recurrence.

Although rare tumor arising at this rare site, SFT should always be considered in the differential diagnosis. Recent availability of STAT6 immunohistochemistry can be used to confirm the diagnosis. The risk stratification models help to predict the behavior of these rare tumors.
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