A mixture of 86% of CO₂, 10% of N₂O, and 4% of oxygen permits laparoscopy under local anesthesia: a pilot study
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Abstract The aim of this study is to verify that 10 % of N₂O in CO₂ sufficiently reduces pain to permit laparoscopy under local anesthesia. In nine patients undergoing laparoscopy under local anesthesia for tubal sterilization, a mixture of 86 % of CO₂, 10 % of N₂O, and 4 % of oxygen (the Gas Mixture) was used for the pneumoperitoneum. For CO₂, N₂O, and for the Gas Mixture, the pain when blowing over the tongue tip and the pH changes of saline and Hartmann’s solution were estimated. In all nine patients, discomfort was minimal and the intervention was well tolerated, similar to 100 % N₂O. Tongue tip pain (n=15), on VAS scale, was lower with 86 % CO₂+10 % N₂O+4 % O₂ (2.4±1.4, P=0.005) and much lower with 100 % N₂O (0.3±0.6, P<0.0007) than with pure CO₂ (3.6±1.7). The pH of saline (n=5) decreased from 7.00±0.07 to 4.18±0.04 (P=0.001), 6.98±0.08 (NS), and 4.28±0.04 (P=0.01), respectively. These data demonstrate that a mixture with 10 % of N₂O and 4 % of O₂ in CO₂ permits laparoscopy under local anesthesia. This result cannot be explained by direct irritation estimated by tongue tip pain or by pH changes.
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Introduction

Laparoscopy under local anesthesia has never become popular notwithstanding the advantages of a short hospital stay without general anesthesia. Following the report in 1976 of salpingectomies for tubal sterilization using umbilical local anesthesia, slight sedation, and pure N₂O for the pneumoperitoneum [1], a Yoon ring tubal sterilization program under local anesthesia was started in 1976 in Leuven [2]. Although pure N₂O is less painful than CO₂ for the pneumoperitoneum [3–6], laparoscopy under local anesthesia using CO₂ pneumoperitoneum can be performed albeit with stronger sedation and/or microlaparoscopy [7–14].

That 100 % N₂O for the pneumoperitoneum causes less pain after surgery than 100 % CO₂, was demonstrated in randomized controlled trials [15, 16]. The mechanism through which a N₂O pneumoperitoneum causes little pain in comparison with CO₂ was believed to be a consequence of the absence of the irritation of CO₂. The use of other inert gases as helium and argon under local anesthesia was never reported to the best of our knowledge. The use of N₂O for the pneumoperitoneum is safe since the solubility of N₂O in blood and the exchange capacity in the lungs is comparable or better than CO₂. N₂O, in addition, avoids the metabolic effects of CO₂ resorption [17–21]. Nevertheless, the clinical use of N₂O for inducing the pneumoperitoneum during operative
laparoscopy never became popular because of the explosion risk when using electrosurgery at concentrations of N₂O higher than 29% [22, 23].

We recently demonstrated in our laparoscopic mouse model [24, 25] that the effect of as little as 5% of N₂O in CO₂ had a similar effect in reducing postoperative adhesions as pure N₂O. In a randomized controlled trial (RCT) in the human [26], we subsequently demonstrated the virtual absence of adhesions and a strong decrease in pain following full-conditioning during surgery (i.e., 10% of N₂O and 4% of O₂ in CO₂ for the pneumoperitoneum, cooling of the peritoneal cavity to 30 °C, and absence of desiccation) and a barrier at the end of surgery in patients undergoing deep endometriosis excision.

We therefore planned an observational trial to test the hypothesis that 10% of N₂O in CO₂ would reduce pain and permit laparoscopy under local anesthesia similar as 100% of N₂O does.

Materials and methods

Tubal sterilization under local anesthesia

Since 1976, tubal sterilization under local anesthesia using 100% N₂O for the pneumoperitoneum has been a routine procedure in the university hospitals of the Catholic University of Leuven (KULeuven) [2]. Following local anesthesia of the umbilicus with 10 ml of 2% xylocaine, the pneumoperitoneum was induced with pure N₂O using a water valve limiting the pneumoperitoneum pressure to 15 mm of Hg, while all extra gas was permitted to escape freely [27]. An insufflator CE marked to be used with N₂O indeed did not exist. The umbilical trocar was inserted with active pressure of the patient to distend the abdomen, thus increasing the distance between the peritoneal wall and the large vessels and the safety of insertion. Subsequently, using an operative laparoscope (initially the 12-mm KLI, USA single incision applicator; later the Storz AG, Tüttlingen Germany, operative laparoscope), 10 ml of an anesthetic gel (xylocaine gel, Astra Zeneca) was applied over the oviducts. Initially, only Yoon rings were applied; more recently, the department decided to use Filshie clips. The entire procedure of tubal sterilization under local anesthesia rarely exceeded 5 min. A short duration indeed is crucial for acceptability by the patient who becomes increasingly nervous when the procedure takes longer or when there is any sign of nonconfidence by the surgeon. Sedation before surgery consisted initially of Dipidolor (Janssens, Belgium). Later sedation was omitted if the patient was not too anxious. This technique had been used for 30 years in over 1000 patients without a single major complication and without a failure. Although the technique was almost systematically used in the late 1970s and early 1980s, general anesthesia became subsequently predominantly used in the department since the necessity of a short procedure and of a confident surgeon conflicted with the necessity of training the registrars.

Observational trial using 86% CO₂, 10% of N₂O, and 4% of O₂ (the Gas Mixture) for the pneumoperitoneum

In order to evaluate whether this mixture would be sufficient to permit laparoscopy under local anesthesia, this mixture was used instead of 100% N₂O in all nine patients scheduled for laparoscopic sterilization under local anesthesia by PK from September 30, 2010, till September 30, 2011. The age of the women included ranged from 31 to 46 years and their weight from 61 to 85 kg.

Informed consent was obtained prior to the procedure with the explicit agreement that in case of pain, a general anesthesia would be performed immediately. All procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. IRB approval had been obtained in September 2010 for the use of CO₂ with 10% of N₂O and 4% of O₂ for the pneumoperitoneum, e.g., for the randomized controlled trial on postoperative pain and adhesion formation [26].

The primary aim of the trial was to assess feasibility of the procedure without discomfort of the patient.

Tongue tip pain and pH

In order to measure the irritation by 100% CO₂, 86% CO₂ + 10% N₂O + 4% O₂ and 100% N₂O in 15 healthy volunteers (registrars between 23 and 31 years old), the severity of pain was assessed by a visual analog scale after directing through a Pasteur pipette a flow of 2 L/min to the tongue at 1 cm distance for 30 s. Also, the pH of saline and of Hartmann’s solution was measured following equilibration with the three gases for 5 min.

Statistics

Means and standard deviations are given. For the pain dataset, overall statistical significance was calculated using Friedman’s test (nonparametric paired ANOVA), while differences between groups was calculated by Wilcoxon matched pairs test. For the pH data, overall statistical significance was calculated using Kruskal–Wallis test (nonparametric unpaired ANOVA), while differences between groups was calculated by Mann–Whitney test. Analysis was done with GraphPad Prism (GraphPad software).
Results

In all nine patients, little or no pain was experienced during the induction of the pneumoperitoneum, and the procedures were comparable with previous interventions using 100 % N₂O for the pneumoperitoneum. All nine patients were discharged a few hours after the intervention and could return to their normal activity within a few days.

The tongue tip pain ($n=15$) on VAS scale (Friedman $P<0.0001$), was lower with the Gas Mixture ($2.4\pm1.4$, $P=0.005$) and with 100 % N₂O ($3.6\pm1.7$, $P<0.0007$) than with pure CO₂ ($6.98\pm0.08$ (NS) and to $4.28\pm0.04$ ($P=0.01$, NS versus CO₂) with 100 % CO₂, 100 % N₂O and the Gas Mixture. The pH of Hartmann’s solution ($n=5$) decreased (Kruskal–Wallis $P=0.007$) from $7.00\pm0.07$ to $4.18\pm0.04$ ($P=0.001$, $P=0.01$, NS versus CO₂) with 100 % CO₂, 100 % N₂O and the Gas Mixture. We measured the tongue tip pain and the pH changes caused by the different gases. CO₂ induces strong irritation of the tongue; 100 % N₂O was much less painful and the Gas Mixture with 10 % N₂O only slightly reduced the tongue tip pain. The effect on the tongue tip pain is comparable with the pH changes which are very pronounced with CO₂, almost inexistent with 100 % N₂O whereas the Gas Mixture decreased pH only slightly less than 100 % CO₂.

Discussion

Although the numbers are small, these data demonstrate that the use of 10 % of N₂O and 4 % of O₂ in CO₂ for the pneumoperitoneum causes little peritoneal pain and permits laparoscopy under local anesthesia comparable to 100 % N₂O. Feasibility of laparoscopic sterilization under local anesthesia is close to a black and white result. If the procedure is short and the surgeon is confident and keeps intermittently eye contact with the patient, with or without showing the surgery on the screen, the procedure is uneventful and the patient tells afterwards that discomfort was minimal. If however, the patient looses confidence for whatever reason, e.g., because of pain, because the procedure takes longer than 5 to 7 min, because the surgeon starts sweating or displays any other signs of nervousness, because of a higher insufflation pressure, or more Trendelenburg positioning, the anxiety of the patient increases rapidly and the procedure becomes difficult and stressful for both, if not impossible. The patient afterwards describes this pain as anxiety. The procedure thus requires an experienced and fast laparoscopic surgeon. This was the main reason that laparoscopic sterilization under local anesthesia proved difficult to introduce as a routine while most of the registrars stopped to use the procedure after one minor but for them stressful incident with anxious patient.

The use of 10 % of N₂O has a major advantage in comparison with 100 % N₂O since the explosion risk is absent at a concentration below 29 % of N₂O, thus permitting eventual electrosurgery, e.g., to coagulate a bleeding. Another theoretical advantage is the reduced operating theater contamination in case of gas leaks and poor ventilation [28].

A mixture of 10 % of N₂O and 4 % of O₂ in CO₂ was chosen for the following reasons. Although in mice it had been demonstrated that 5 % of N₂O in CO₂ was as effective as 100 % of N₂O in reducing the acute inflammatory reaction and the subsequent enhanced adhesion formation caused by pure CO₂ [29], we preferred for this human experiment to use 10 % of N₂O since it remains far below the critical concentration of 29 % when explosions might occur. Although in the mouse model, no additive effect of 4 % oxygen could be demonstrated when 5 % of N₂O or more was used [25], we preferred to use also 4 % of O₂ for this exploratory trial since 4 % of oxygen when used alone had a small effect on postoperative pain in women [30].

The mechanism by which 100 % N₂O and 10 % N₂O+4 % O₂ in CO₂ cause much less pain than CO₂ during pneumoperitoneum is unclear. In our hands, insufflation with CO₂, as attempted during the 1980s, immediately causes a sharp pain and the procedure had to be interrupted. In order to understand the mechanism of reduced pain by using 100 % N₂O or the Gas Mixture, we measured the tongue tip pain and the pH changes caused by the different gases. CO₂ induces strong irritation of the tongue; 100 % N₂O was much less painful and the Gas Mixture with 10 % N₂O only slightly reduced the tongue tip pain. The effect on the tongue tip pain is comparable with the pH changes which are very pronounced with CO₂, almost inexistent with 100 % N₂O whereas the Gas Mixture decreased pH only slightly less than 100 % CO₂.

Somatic pain of the tongue thus seems related to the irritative effect of CO₂ and the changes in pH. The mechanisms of visceral pain of the peritoneum are known to be different [31], and we do not have an explanation why 10 % of N₂O seems to be as effective as 100 % in reducing pain during laparoscopy under local anesthesia. This, however, is consistent with the effect of 100 % and 10 % N₂O upon adhesion formation and upon postoperative pain [26] and suggests an unknown drug-like effect of N₂O upon visceral pain.

It is unclear whether in the human that the addition of 4 % of oxygen has an additive pain-reducing effect. Unfortunately, we realize that the demonstration of an additive effect of 4 % of O₂ will require large series to reach statistical significance, while clinically not important. The same holds true for the use of 5 % of N₂O instead of 10 %. The only theoretical advantage of not using 4 % of O₂ is the lower risk of gas embolism since the solubility of O₂ in water and exchange capacity in the lungs than CO₂. The effect cannot be explained by pH changes or a direct irritation as observed on the tongue.
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