PRELIMINARY PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS AND CYTOTOXICITY POTENTIAL OF PINEAPPLE EXTRACT ON ORAL CANCER CELL LINES
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INTRODUCTION

Pineapples have a long tradition as a medicinal plant among the natives of South and Central America. The first isolation of bromelain was recorded by the Venezuelan chemist Vicente Marcano, in 1891, by fermenting the fruit of pineapple. In 1892, Russell Henry Chittenden, assisted by Elliott P. Joslin and Frank Sherman Meara, investigated the matter more completely, and called it “bromelin.” Later, the term “bromelain” was introduced and originally applied to any protease from any member of the plant family Bromeliaceae.

Bromelain is present in all parts of the pineapple plant, but the stem is the most common commercial source [citation needed], presumably because large quantities are readily available after the fruit has been harvested. A concentrate of proteolytic enzymes enriched in bromelain is approved in Europe for the debridement (removal of dead tissue) of severe burn wounds under the trade name NexoBrid. Bromelain has not been scientifically proven to be effective in treating any other diseases, and it has not been licensed by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of any disorder. Available in some countries as a product under the name Ananase, bromelain began its reputation for various uses that have not been scientifically proven with reduction of symptom severity in osteoarthritis, chymotrypsin, and papain) has been investigated in Europe to evaluate the efficacy of proteolytic enzymes in the treatment of breast, colorectal, and plasmacytoma cancer patients. Bromelain supplements, when taken with other medications (amoxicillin, antibiotics, anticoagulant/antiplatelet drugs), may increase the risk associated with heart rate, blood clotting, and bleeding after surgery.

Cytotoxicity

Cytotoxicity is the quality of being toxic to cells. Examples of toxic agents are an immune cell or some types of venom, e.g., the Black Widow spider or The King Cobra.

Cytotoxicity assays are widely used by the pharmaceutical industry to screen for cytotoxicity in compound libraries. Researchers can either look for cytotoxic compounds if they are interested in developing a therapeutic that targets rapidly dividing cancer cells, for instance; or they can screen “hits” from initial high-throughput drug screens for unwanted cytotoxic effects before investing in their development as a pharmaceutical. Assessing cell membrane integrity is one of the most common ways to measure cell viability and cytotoxic effects. Compounds that have cytotoxic effects often compromise cell membrane integrity. Vital dyes, such as trypan blue or propidium iodide, are normally excluded from the inside of healthy cells; however, if the cell membrane has been compromised, they freely cross the membrane and stain intracellular components [1]. Alternatively, membrane integrity can be assessed by monitoring the passage of substances that are normally sequestered inside cells to the outside. One molecule, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), is commonly measured using LDH assay. LDH reduces nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) to NAD hydrogen which elicits a color change by interaction with a specific probe [2]. Protease biomarkers have been identified that allow researchers to measure relative numbers of live and dead cells within the same cell population. The live-cell protease is only active in cells that have a healthy cell membrane and loses activity once the cell is compromised, and the protease is exposed to the external environment. The dead cell protease cannot cross the cell membrane and can only be measured in culture media after cells have lost their membrane integrity [3].

Cytotoxicity can also be monitored using the 3-(4, 5-dimethyl-2-thiazolylo)-2, 5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) or with 2,3-bis-(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide (XTT), which yields a water-soluble product, or the MTS assay. This assay measures the reducing potential of the cell using a colorimetric reaction. Viable cells will reduce the MTS reagent to a colored formazan product. A similar redox-based assay has also been developed using the fluorescent dye, resazurin. In addition to using dyes to indicate...
the redox potential of cells to monitor their viability, researchers have developed assays that use ATP content as a marker of viability [1]. Such ATP-based assays include bioluminescent assays in which ATP is the limiting reagent for the luciferase reaction [4].

Cytotoxicity can also be measured by the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay, WST assay, and clonogenic assay.

Suitable assays can be combined and performed sequentially on the same cells to reduce assay-specific false-positive or false-negative results. A possible combination is LDH-XTT-NR (neutral red assay)-SRB which is also available in a kit format.

A label-free approach to follow the cytotoxic response of adherent animal cells in real time is based on electric impedance measurements when the cells are grown on gold-film electrodes. This technology is referred to as electric cell-substrate impedance sensing. Label-free real-time techniques provide the kinetics of the cytotoxic response rather than just a snapshot like many colorimetric endpoint assays.

Phytotoxicity is a toxic effect by a compound on plant growth [5]. Such damage may be caused by a wide variety of compounds including trace metals, salinity, pesticides, phytoxins, or allelochemicals. In recent years, multiple drug/chemical resistance in both human and plant pathogenic organisms have been developed due to indiscriminate use of commercial antimicrobial drugs/chemical commonly used in the treatment of infectious diseases [18].

Phytochemicals are defined as bioactive non-nutrient plant compounds in fruits, vegetables, grains, and other plant foods that have been linked to reducing the risk of major chronic diseases. Thousands of phytochemicals have been identified so far, and scientists have only begun to investigate their promise. Cytotoxicity is the degree to which an agent has specific destructive action on certain cells. It is the possession of destructive action, particularly in cell lysis by immune phenomena. Assessing phyto-compound cytotoxicity is also a critical step in drug development. These assays are used to evaluate both compound toxicity and inhibition of tumor cell growth during drug designing.

Inorganic compounds
High concentrations of mineral salts in solution within the growing medium can have phytotoxic effects. Sources of excessive mineral salts include infiltration of seawater and excessive application of fertilizers. For example, urea is used in agriculture as a nitrogenous fertilizer, but if too much is applied, phytotoxic effects can result, either by urea toxicity or by the "ammonia produced through hydrolysis of urea by soil urease" [6]. Acid soils may contain high concentrations of aluminum (as Al3+) and manganese (as Mn2+) which can be phytotoxic [7].

Herbicides
Herbicides are designed to kill plants and are used to control unwanted plants such as agricultural weeds. However, herbicides can also cause phytotoxic effects in plants that are not within the area over which the herbicide is applied, for example, as a result of wind-blown spray drift or from the use of herbicide-contaminated material (such as straw or manure) being applied to the soil [8]. The phytotoxic effects of herbicides are an important subject of study in the field of ecotoxicology.

METHODS

Phytochemical tests

Test for carbohydrates
To 2 ml of plant extract, 1 ml of Molisch's reagent and few drops of concentrated sulfuric acid were added. The presence of purple or reddish indicates the presence of carbohydrates [9].

Test for tannins
To 1 ml of plant extract, 2 ml of 5% ferric chloride was added. Formation of dark blue or greenish black indicates the presence of tannins [10].

Test for carbohydrates
To 1 ml of plant extract, 2 ml of 5% sodium hydroxide was added. The presence of yellow indicates the presence of carbohydrates [9].

Test for alkaloids
To 2 ml of plant extract, 2 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid was added. Then, few drops of Mayer's reagent were added. The presence of green or white precipitate indicates the presence of alkaloids [13].

Test for quinones
To 1 ml of extract, 1 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid was added. Formation of red indicates the presence of quinones [14].

Test for glycosides
To 2 ml of plant extract, 3 ml of chloroform and 10% ammonia solution was added. Formation of pink indicates the presence of glycosides [15].

Test for cardiac glycosides
To 0.5 ml of extract, 2 ml of glacial acetic acid and few drops of 5% ferric chloride were added. This was underlayered with 1 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid. Formation of brown ring at the interface indicates the presence of cardiac glycosides [12].

Test for terpenoids
To 0.5 ml of extract, 2 ml of chloroform was added and concentrated sulfuric acid was added carefully. Formation of red-brown at the interface indicates the presence of terpenoids [12].

Test for phenols
To 1 ml of the extract, a few drops of phenol-Gallocyanin reagent were added followed by few drops of 15% sodium carbonate solution. Formation of blue or green color indicates the presence of phenols [14].

Test for coumarins
To 1 ml of extract, 1 ml of 10% NaOH was added. Formation of yellow indicates the presence of coumarins [14].

Steroids and phytosteroids
To 1 ml of plant extract, an equal volume of chloroform is added and subjected with few drops of the concentrated sulfuric acid appearance of brown ring indicates the presence of steroids and appearance of bluish-brown ring indicates the presence of phytosteroids [16].

Phlobatannins
To 1 ml of plant extract, few drops of 2% HCL were added the appearance of red precipitate indicates the presence of phlobatannins [12].

Anthraquinones
To 1 ml of plant extract, few drops of 10% ammonia solution were added, appearance pink precipitate indicates the presence of anthraquinones [12].

MTT assay for cytotoxicity
The MTT assay (Mossman, 1983) is based on the ability of live but not dead cells to reduce a yellow tetrazolium dye to a purple formazan product. Cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, at 37°C in humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.
The cells were plated in 96 well flat bottom tissue culture plates at a density of approximately $1.2 \times 10^4$ cells/well and allowed to attach overnight at 37°C. The medium was then discarded, and cells were incubated with different concentrations of the samples (25, 50, 75, 100, and 125 µg) for 24 hrs. After the incubation, medium was discarded, and 100 µl fresh medium was added with 10 µl of MTT (5 mg/ml). After 4 hrs, the medium was discarded, and 100 µl of dimethyl sulfoxide was added to dissolve the formazan crystals. Then, the absorbance was read at 570 nm in a microtiter plate reader. Cyclophosphamide was used as a positive control (PC) [17].

Cell survival was calculated by the following formula:

\[
\text{Viability} \% = \left( \frac{\text{Test OD}}{\text{Control OD}} \right) \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Cytotoxicity} \% = 100 - \text{Viability}\%
\]

RESULTS

Table 1 and Fig. 1 shows the phytochemical analysis.

Table 2 shows the percentage of cell viability of sample and PC against KB cells.

Graph 1 shows the effect of cell viability of sample and PC in KB cells.

Table 3 shows the percentage of cytotoxicity of sample and PC against KB cells.

Graph 2 shows the effect of cytotoxicity of sample and PC against KB cells.

DISCUSSION

The main constituents found in the extract were flavanoids, phenols, coumarins, steroids, terpenoids, and quinones. Secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, quinones, and phenols, present in pineapple extract showed anticancer potential. The presence of phenols suggests the antioxidant activity of the extract. Tannins which are a group of phenolic compounds that are known antimitagentic property and can act against cancer cells. Cytotoxicity analysis using varying concentrations of pineapple extract was done. As shown in Tables 1 and 2, the viability of the KB cell lines shows a gradual change as the concentration of the extract is increased. Pineapple extract exhibited increasing cytotoxicity.

Table 1: Phytochemical analysis

| S. No | Phytochemical tests         | Pineapple extract |
|-------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| 1     | Carbohydrates test         | +                 |
| 2     | Tannins test               | Weakly +          |
| 3     | Saponins test              | -                 |
| 4     | Flavonoids test            | Weakly +          |
| 5     | Alkaloid test              | -                 |
| 6     | Quinones test              | +                 |
| 7     | Glycosides test            | -                 |
| 8     | Cardiac glycosides test    | +                 |
| 9     | Terpenoids test            | +                 |
| 10    | Phenols test               | +                 |
| 11    | Coumarins test             | Weakly +          |
| 12    | Steroids and phytosteroids| Steroids          |
| 13    | Phlobatannins test         | -                 |
| 14    | Anthraquinones test        | -                 |

+: Present, -: Absent

Table 2: MTT assay

| Concentration (µg) | Viability |        |        |
|-------------------|-----------|--------|--------|
|                   | Sample    | PC     |        |
| 25                | 63.64     | 22.31  |        |
| 50                | 52.61     | 22.31  |        |
| 75                | 48.97     | 22.31  |        |
| 100               | 43.17     | 22.31  |        |
| 125               | 35.63     | 22.31  |        |

PC: Positive control (cyclophosphamide), C: Control, MTT: 3-(4, 5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2, 5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide

Table 3: Cytotoxicity

| Concentration (µg) | Cytotoxicity |        |        |
|-------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
|                   | Sample       | PC     |        |
| 25                | 36.36        | 77.69  |        |
| 50                | 47.39        | 77.69  |        |
| 75                | 51.03        | 77.69  |        |
| 100               | 56.83        | 77.69  |        |
| 125               | 64.37        | 77.69  |        |

PC: Positive control
with increasing concentration. This is also evident from the graphical representations (Graphs 1 and 2).

CONCLUSION

Natural products are used widely nowadays to avoid the various side effects caused by carcinogenic drugs. The phytoconstituents found in the extract reveals the antioxidant property of the extract. The study exposed the cytotoxic potential and antitumor properties of pineapple extract. The potential to develop pineapple extract as an anticancer drug is a thrust area for future research in drug designing industry.
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