Knowledge, perception and involvement of men in family planning in rural communities of Cross River State, Nigeria
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Abstract
Family planning has been described as the best approach to quicken achievement across Sustainable Development Goal themes of People, Planet, Prosperity, Peace, and Partnership. Unmet need for family planning remains very high regardless, especially in rural areas. This study assesses the knowledge and involvement of men in family planning in rural communities in Cross River State, Nigeria. Multistage sampling method was used to recruit 220 men in rural communities of Cross River State aged 15-59 years who were in a union. Although the level of awareness of family planning among respondents was high (96.2%), adequate knowledge of family planning methods was poor (89.9%); the practice of family planning was poor—condom use as a method of family planning practiced by 37.2%, withdrawal by 1.4%, while no respondent had undergone male vasectomy.

Bivariate analysis revealed: religion was statistically significantly associated with knowledge of pills as a family planning method (p=0.008): Catholics were less likely to be knowledgeable about pills; occupation was significantly associated with family planning practice among respondents (p=0.015). Respondents who were students, recent school leavers or unemployed (66.7% in all) practiced family planning more than those who were government- (34.4%) or self-employed (36.4%) (p = 0.015). Despite recognition and recommendation of male involvement during the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD), male participation in this service is still very poor in the rural area.
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Introduction
Family planning is defined by the World Health Organisation (WHO) as a way of thinking and living that is adopted voluntarily, upon the basis of knowledge, attitude and responsible decisions by individuals and couples in order to promote the
health and welfare of the family group and thus contribute effectively to the social development of a country.\(^1\)

Africa accounts for about one tenth of the world's population and 20 per cent of global births; yet, nearly half of the mothers who die during pregnancy and childbirth are from this region. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that poor reproductive health accounts for up to 18 per cent of the global burden of disease, and 32 per cent of the total burden of disease for women of reproductive age. One of the underlying causes of this situation is the lack of access to key intervention for improving reproductive health, such as family planning.\(^2\)

Despite the fact that family planning does not only help to reduce fertility rate, reduce maternal morbidity and mortality, prevent mother to child transmission of HIV, it is also described as the best approach that can fasten achievement across the five (5) Sustainable Development Goal themes of People, Planet, Prosperity, Peace, and Partnership\(^3\) yet still only 13.4 percent of currently married women in Nigeria are using a method of family planning, and 27.6 percent of currently married women have unmet need for FP.\(^4\) Rural women are twice less likely compared to urban women to use a method of contraception (10 percent versus 21.1 percent). In Cross River State, 77% of couples are not using any Family Planning method, with an unmet need for child spacing at 18.1% and family limiting at 8%.\(^5\)

Despite tremendous advances in the development of safer and more effective contraceptives and in the provision of affordable and accessible family planning services, millions of individuals and couples around the world are unable to plan their families as they wish. It is estimated that 137 million couples worldwide still have an unmet need for family planning\(^6\) these could probable account for the high mortality from complications of pregnancy, childbirth and unsafe abortion.

Nigeria like most traditional society is patriarchal in nature.\(^6\) Men in Nigeria possess high decision-making power and often are the primary decision makers regarding women’s health. A survey carried out in Nigeria showed that more than half of the married women’s health care decisions were made by their husbands.\(^7\) However, most family planning methods, as well as most efforts to promote their use, have focused on the women although, recently there has been growing recognition that men significantly influence reproductive decisions and play an important role in family planning practices. This decision power should be channeled towards making informed and quality decisions towards the promotion of reproductive health.

It is against this background that this study seeks to assess the involvement of men in family planning in rural communities in Cross River State, Nigeria.

**Methodology**

This study was carried out in Cross River State which is one of the six states in South-South Geopolitical zone of Nigeria, with Calabar as the state capital. There are eighteen Local Government Areas (LGAs) in Cross River State out of these 11 are classified as rural based on Cross River State planning Commission. Cross River State is bounded in the South by the Atlantic Ocean, East by Cameroon, North by Benue State, West by Abia and Akwa Ibom State. According to the 2006 national census figures, Cross River State has a total population of 2,892,988: 1,471,967 males and 1,421,021 females.\(^8\)

The main ethnic group of the inhabitants of Cross River state are the Efiks, Ejeham and Bekwerra. Other ethnic groups include the Quas, Ibibios, Igbos, Yorubas and Hausas. Christianity is the most predominant religion in the study settings. The main occupations are subsistent fishing, farming, trading and working in government establishments.

This was a community based descriptive analytical study to assess the knowledge, perception and involvement of men in family planning in rural communities in Cross River State.
State. The study population were male members of the community aged 15-59 years who are in a union (either married, cohabitating or in a steady relationship with a girl). Two hundred and twenty respondents were selected using a multistage sampling method, simple random sampling was used to select the study Communities, the households were selected through systematic sampling method, if there was more than one eligible man in a household then simple random sampling was used to select one respondent. Informed consent/assent was sought and given before administration of the questionnaire. A pre-tested semi-structured interviewer administered questionnaire adapted from selected aspect of the 2013 National Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) was used to collect data on the study variable. The variables in the questionnaire included sociodemographic variables such as age, sex, marital status, occupation, religion, educational status, and ethnic grouping. Other variables included knowledge of family planning methods, perception of men towards family planning and the practice of family planning among men in the selected communities. Data generated from the study was managed with STATA™, Version 12. Excel was used to plot graphs. Demographic information of respondents was described by way of means (quantitative variables) and frequencies (qualitative variables). The data for this study were collected in keeping with the declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all the respondents.

**Result**

Questionnaires were administered to two hundred and twenty respondents, two hundred and eighteen (218) questionnaires, giving a response rate of 99.1%. Refusal to complete the interview accounted for the non-response rate. The mean age of respondent was 29.1±8.4, with the age group 25-34 years having the largest representation (38.5%). Farmers (31.7%), traders (25.7%) or civil servants (12.0%) made up majority of the respondents. Most of the men were Christians of the Pentecostal faith (55.5%), others were protestants (32.6%) and catholic (11.0%). Majority of the respondents were married (42.6%), with a mean number of children the respondent had as 3.2±2.5. Also the mean number of children the respondent desire to have was 5.83±2.4 with a median of 6.0 and a range of 3 to 15.

**Table 1:** Socio-Demographic Variables of the Respondents

| Variable | Control n=218(%) |
|----------|-----------------|
| **Age (years)** | |
| 15-24 | 79 (36.2) |
| 25-34 | 84 (38.5) |
| 35-44 | 44 (20.1) |
| 45-54 | 11(5.0) |
| Mean ± SD | 29.1 ± 8.4 |
| Median (range) | 28 (18-52) |
| **Education** | |
| Primary | 57 (26.1) |
| Secondary | 128 (58.7) |
| Tertiary | 33 (15.1) |
| **Religion/Denomination** | |
| Pentecostal | 121 (55.5) |
| Protestant | 71 (32.6) |
| Catholic | 24(11.0) |
| Traditional | 2 (0.9) |
| **Occupation** | |
| Farming | 69 (31.7) |
| Sales/trade | 56(25.7) |
| Civil servant | 26 (12.0) |
| Others* | 24 (11.0) |
| Technician | 22 (10.0) |
| Artisan | 15 (6.8) |
| Professional | 6 (2.8) |
| **Marital status** | |
| Married | 93 (42.6) |
| Cohabiting | 76 (34.9) |
| Single | 43 (19.7) |
| Separated | 1 (0.5) |
| Widowed | 5 (2.3) |
| Divorced | 0(0.0) |
| **Mean number of children respondents have** | 3.2±2.5 |
| **Median (range)** | 3.0 (0 – 11) |
| **Mean number of children respondents wish to have** | 5.83±2.4 |
| **Median (range)** | 6.0 (3 – 15) |

*Others refer to those in school, recent school leavers and unemployed.*
Table 2 shows that 38.1% of respondents were knowledgeable about condom/diaphragm as a method of family planning. However, 4.1%, 22.3%, 3.2% and 8.3% of respondents were knowledgeable about IUCD, pills, implants and male sterilization as family planning methods.

For natural methods of family planning, 7.8% and 10.1% of respondents were knowledgeable of periodic abstinence and withdrawal as methods of family planning.

Table 2: Knowledge of Men Regarding Family Planning Methods

| Variable                  | Frequency n=218(%) |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Pills                     |                    |
| Yes                       | 49 (22.3)          |
| No                        | 169 (77.7)         |
| IUCDs                     |                    |
| Yes                       | 9 (4.1)            |
| No                        | 209 (95.9)         |
| Injections                |                    |
| Yes                       | 45 (20.6)          |
| No                        | 173 (79.4)         |
| Implants                  |                    |
| Yes                       | 7 (3.2)            |
| No                        | 211 (96.8)         |
| Condom/diaphragm          |                    |
| Yes                       | 83 (38.1)          |
| No                        | 135 (61.9)         |
| Female sterilization      |                    |
| Yes                       | 4 (1.8)            |
| No                        | 214 (98.2)         |
| Male sterilization        |                    |
| Yes                       | 18 (8.3)           |
| No                        | 200 (91.7)         |
| Periodic abstinence       |                    |
| Yes                       | 17 (7.8)           |
| No                        | 201 (92.2)         |
| Total abstinence          |                    |
| Yes                       | 7 (3.2)            |
| No                        | 211 (96.8)         |
| Withdrawal                |                    |
| Yes                       | 22 (10.1)          |
| No                        | 196 (89.9)         |

Table 3 shows that the respondent’s perception regarding family planning was poor, as 64.7% of respondents thought that contraceptive was a woman’s business, women may become promiscuous if the use contraceptives (57.3%) and women should be the ones to use contraceptives (65.1%).

Table 3: Perception Of Men Regarding Family Planning Among Respondents

| Variable                                      | Frequency n=218(%) |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Contraception is women’s business             |                    |
| Agree                                         | 141 (64.7)         |
| Disagree                                      | 77 (35.3)          |
| Women may become promiscuous                  |                    |
| Agree                                         | 125 (57.3)         |
| Disagree                                      | 93 (42.7)          |
| Women should be the ones to use contraceptives|                    |
| Agree                                         | 142(65.1)          |
| Disagree                                      | 76 (34.9)          |

Table 4 shows that the practice of family planning among respondents was poor, only 37.2% of respondents used condom as a method of family planning, 1.4% of men used withdrawal method and none of the respondents had undergone male sterilization.

Table 4: Family Planning Practices among Respondents

| Variable                  | Frequency n=218(%) |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Pills                     |                    |
| Yes                       | 8 (3.7)            |
| No                        | 210 (96.3)         |
| IUCDs                     |                    |
| Yes                       | 1(0.5)             |
| No                        | 217(99.5)          |
| Injections                |                    |
| Yes                       | 21 (9.6)           |
| No                        | 197 (90.4)         |
| Implants                  |                    |
| Yes                       | 5 (2.3)            |
| No                        | 213 (97.7)         |
| Condom/diaphragm          |                    |
| Yes                       | 80 (37.2)          |
| No                        | 138(62.8)          |
| Female sterilization      |                    |
| Yes                       | 0 (0.0)            |
| No                        | 218 (100.0)        |
| Male sterilization        |                    |
| Yes                       | 0 (0.0)            |
| No                        | 218 (100.0)        |
| Periodic abstinence       |                    |
| Yes                       | 7(3.2)             |
| No                        | 211 (96.8)         |
| Withdrawal                |                    |
| Yes                       | 3 (1.4)            |
| No                        | 215 (98.6)         |
Table 5: shows that at the bivariate level, religion was statistically associated (p=0.008) with knowledge of pills as a family planning method. Catholics were less likely to be knowledgeable about pills as a method of family planning.

**Table 5: Factors Associated with Knowledge of Pills as a Family Method among Respondents**

| Variable                  | Frequency n=218(%) |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
|                           | Yes(%)            | No (%)   |
|                           | n=51              | n=167    |
|                           |                   |          |
| Age group                 |                   |          |
| 15-24                     | 19(24.1)          | 60(75.9) |
| 25-34                     | 18(21.4)          | 66(78.6) |
| 35-44                     | 11(25.0)          | 33(75.0) |
| 45-54                     | 3(27.2)           | 8(72.8)  |
| Marital status            |                   |          |
| Cohabitating              | 20(26.3)          | 56(73.7) |
| Married                   | 23(24.7)          | 70(75.3) |
| Others                    | 8(16.3)           | 41(83.7) |
| Number of children        |                   |          |
| respondents have          |                   |          |
| 0-4                       | 35(22.9)          | 118(77.1) |
| 5-9                       | 14(23.3)          | 46(76.7) |
| 10-14                     | 3(60.0)           | 2(40.0)  |
| Education                 |                   |          |
| Primary                   | 11(19.3)          | 46(80.7) |
| Secondary                 | 35(27.3)          | 93(72.7) |
| Tertiary                  | 5(15.1)           | 28(84.9) |
| Occupation                |                   |          |
| Govt-employed             | 7(21.9)           | 25(78.1) |
| Self-employed             | 39(24.1)          | 123(75.9) |
| Others                    | 5(20.8)           | 19(79.2) |
| +Religion                 |                   |          |
| +Catholic                 | 1(4.2)            | 23(95.8) |
| Pentecostal               | 30(24.8)          | 91(75.2) |
| Protestant                | 18(25.4)          | 53(74.6) |
| Traditional               | 2(100.0)          | 0(0.0)   |

Table 6 shows that at the bivariate level, occupation was statistically significantly associated with family planning practice among respondents. (P=0.015) Respondents who were students, recent school leavers or unemployed (66.7%) practiced family planning more than those who were government employed (34.4%) or self-employed (36.4%). This difference was statistically significant. P = 0.015 Other socio-demographic characteristics namely, age, marital status, educational level, religion, ethnicity and number of children (have or desired) did not significantly influence family planning practice.

**Table 6: Factors Associated with Family Planning Practice of use of Condom Among Respondents**

| Variable                  | Yes(%) | No (%) | χ² | p-value |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|----|---------|
|                           | n=86   | n=132  |    |         |
| Age group                 |        |        |    |         |
| 15-24                     | 27(34.2)| 52(65.8)| Fisher’s |
| 25-34                     | 37(44.0)| 47(56.0)| exact |
| 35-44                     | 20(45.5)| 24(54.5)| test |
| 45-54                     | 2(18.1)| 9(81.9)|     |
| Marital status            |        |        |    |         |
| Cohabitating              | 22(28.9)| 54(71.1)| 5.4968 | 0.064 |
| Married                   | 41(44.1)| 52(55.9)|     |
| Others                    | 23(46.9)| 265.1|     |
| Number of children        |        |        |    |         |
| respondents have          |        |        |    |         |
| 0-4                       | 62(38.5)| 99(61.5)|     |
| 5-9                       | 8(24.2)| 25(75.8)| 0.2509 | 0.616 |
| 10-15                     | 16(72.7)| 6(27.3)|     |
| Number of children        |        |        |    |         |
| respondents wish to have  |        |        |    |         |
| 0-4                       | 55(47.8)| 60(52.2)|     |
| 5-9                       | 10(14.7)| 58(85.3)| 2.0923 | 0.148 |
| 10-15                     | 21(60.0)| 14(40.0)|     |
| Education                 |        |        |    |         |
| Primary                   | 22(38.6)| 35(61.4)| 0.5887 | 0.745 |
| Secondary                 | 49(38.0)| 79(62.0)|     |
| Tertiary                  | 15(45.5)| 18(54.5)|     |
| Occupation                |        |        |    |         |
| Govt employed             | 11(34.4)| 21(65.6)| 8.4103 | 0.015 |
| Self employed             | 59(36.4)| 103(63.6)|     |
| Others                    | 16(66.7)| 8(33.3)|     |
| Religion                  |        |        |    |         |
| Catholic                  | 8(33.3)| 16(66.7)| Fisher’s 0.085 |
| Pentecostal               | 42(34.7)| 79(65.3)| exact |
| Protestant                | 36(50.7)| 35(49.3)| test |
| Traditional               | 0(0.0)| 2(100.0)|     |
| Ethnicity                 |        |        |    |         |
| Efik                      | 54(38.3)| 87(61.7)| Fisher’s 0.209 |
| Ibibio                    | 28(38.9)| 44(61.1)| exact |
| Others                    | 4(80.0)| 120.0|     |

**Discussion**

This was a community based study aimed at assessing the knowledge, perception and involvement of men in FP in a rural community in Cross River State. The level of awareness of family planning among respondent was very high (100%), this may be due to the recent Task Shifting Task Sharing Policy (TSTSP) of the Cross River State government, and it is similar to that found in an earlier study of rural men in Ibadan(90.9%) and in in Ilorin.
Kwara state where nearly all men (96.5%) were aware of family planning.\textsuperscript{11} Also similar to a study in Jordan (98%)\textsuperscript{12} but it is much higher than that found in a study among the Yoruba where only about 65% of men interviewed knew of at least one contraceptive method\textsuperscript{13}

The high level of poor knowledge of men regarding the different family planning method is comparable to the reported male knowledge on specific family planning from a national baseline survey\textsuperscript{14} and a study in Kano.\textsuperscript{15} The findings of this study were however much lower than the level of knowledge on family planning noted in a study conducted in Kwara state, south west Nigeria.\textsuperscript{11} The large disparity may be because majority of the men in the south west are more enlightened, have a higher literacy level and are less restricted by socio-cultural norms that may prevent their participation.

Perception of the respondent to FP and STI prevention was generally poor. More than half of the respondent believed that contraception is a woman’s business and that women may become promiscuous if the use contraceptives and 64.7% agree that women should be the ones to use contraceptives this is similar to a study in Kwara State where a moderate proportion of men supported the FP concept\textsuperscript{11} and in a national survey where about 66.6% of men believe that the women should be the ones to use contraceptives\textsuperscript{16} but contrary to what was found in a previous study in India where about half of the men have positive attitude and they seemed to encourage their spouses to adopt family planning.\textsuperscript{17} More-so a study done in Calabar associated positive attitude towards contraceptives to its actual usage.\textsuperscript{18} This might be the reason for the poor practice of contraceptive use in the area and the resultant large family size.

The level of participation of men in family planning was generally poor. Condoms which is a common male dependent method of family planning, have been used 34.9% of the time by respondents in this study for family planning, these was comparable to a previous study among Nigeria undergraduates and among young men in sub-Saharan Africa\textsuperscript{19} but much higher than what was gotten in a previous study in Niger state (12.5%).\textsuperscript{20}

Practice of other male controlled FP methods like Withdrawal (1.9%), periodic abstinence (2.8%) and male sterilization (0%) was also low, may be because they respondents lack adequate knowledge of these methods.

More-so none of the respondents or their partners used any permanent method of family planning, this may be due to cultural, religious and psycho-social beliefs.\textsuperscript{21,22,23}

Use of injection by female partners for family planning was 9.9% this was much lower than what was gotten in a study in Ethiopia (91.0%).\textsuperscript{24}

The results of this study showed that at bivariate level, men who were Pentecostal, protestant, and traditionalist were more knowledgeable of pills as a family planning methods than those who were Catholics. This may be because catholic discourages all forms of family planning that is not natural.

**Conclusion**

Despite the recognition and recommendation during the ICPD and subsequent global population conferences of the importance of involving men in family planning, this assessment of men’s knowledge, perception and participation in this service is poor
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