The TFIIE-related Rpc82 subunit of RNA polymerase III interacts with the TFIIB-related transcription factor Brf1 and the polymerase cleft for transcription initiation
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ABSTRACT

Rpc82 is a TFIIE-related subunit of the eukaryotic RNA polymerase III (pol III) complex. Rpc82 contains four winged-helix (WH) domains and a C-terminal coiled-coil domain. Structural resolution of the pol III complex indicated that Rpc82 anchors on the clamp domain of the pol III cleft to interact with the duplex DNA downstream of the transcription bubble. However, whether Rpc82 interacts with a transcription factor is still not known. Here, we report that a structurally disordered insertion in the third WH domain of Rpc82 is important for cell growth and in vitro transcription activity. Site-specific photocrosslinking analysis indicated that the WH3 insert interacts with the TFIIB-related transcription factor Brf1 within the pre-initiation complex (PIC). Moreover, crosslinking and hydroxyl radical probing analyses revealed Rpc82 interactions with the upstream DNA and the protrusion and wall domains of the pol III cleft. Our genetic and biochemical analyses thus provide new molecular insights into the function of Rpc82 in pol III transcription.

INTRODUCTION

Eukaryotic RNA polymerase III (pol III) is responsible for transcribing transfer RNAs (tRNA), 5S ribosomal RNA, small nuclear RNAs such as U6 and 7SK RNAs, and a number of small nucleolar and microRNAs (1,2). The pol III complex contains a 12-subunit core structure. Its two largest subunits, Rpc160 and Rpc128, form the DNA-binding cleft, and the remaining 10 smaller subunits of the core are located at its periphery (3). The overall structural arrangement of the core is similar to the structures of pol II and the core structure of pol I (4–7). In addition to its core, pol III contains two specific subcomplexes: the Rpc82/34/31 trimer and the Rpc53/37 dimer. A previous analysis suggested that the pol III-specific subunits act as basal transcription factors that are permanently recruited to the polymerase core (8).

Both Rpc34 andRpc82 contain multiple copies of a significant structural fold, i.e. the winged-helix (WH) domain. WH domains are also present in the transcription factors of eukaryotic and archaeal transcription machineries, such as TFIIE, TFIIF and TFE (5,8–10). The WH domain is essential for protein-DNA and protein-protein interactions during the transcription process (11). Rpc34 contains three WHs, with the first two WHs (WH1&2) generally being compared to the tandem WH domain of the Rpa49 subunit of pol I and to the TFIIEβ subunit of TFIIE (12–14). Rpc82 (and its human counterpart hRPC62) contains four copies of the WH domain (WH1–4) from the N-terminus end and a coiled-coil domain at the C-terminus (Figure 1A) (3,10). Rpc82 WH domains are related to the extended WH (eWH) fold first described for the archaeal transcription factor, TFE (15). The eWH fold is also present as a single copy in the TFIIEα subunit of TFIIE. In recent cryo-electron microscopy (EM) structures of the free and elongating pol III complexes (Figure 1B), Rpc82 anchors on the clamp domain of Rpc160 mainly through its WH1 and WH4 domains, which is consistent with a previous protein crosslinking analysis (3,16,17). This localization also allows the WH4 domain to contact with the duplex DNA downstream of the DNA bubble in the pol III cleft (Figure 1C).

Functional roles of the Rpc82/34/31 and Rpc53/37 subcomplexes in pol III transcription have been derived from their respective locations on the pol III DNA-binding cleft. For example, the Rpc53/37 dimerization domain is positioned on the Rpc128 lobe/external surface above the active site cleft, and previous functional analyses have revealed the importance of this subcomplex in transcription initi-
Figure 1. A functionally important insertion in the WH3 domain of Rpc82. (A) Structural domains of Rpc82 are colored differently. WH: winged-helix domain. Gray colored regions are structurally flexible. Approximate position of a conserved sequence block within the insertion of WH3 is marked with a red bar under the schematic. ext.: helical extensions within the WH2 and WH3 domains. (B) The elongating pol III complex. The structure model is derived from the coordinate file 5FJ8 (PDB) published by the Müller group (3). The molecular surface of the 12-subunit core is colored in gray. Template and non-template DNA strands are colored in light blue and dark blue, respectively. Rpc82, Rpc37 and Rpc53 are shown, with ribbons representing their backbone traces. Rpc82 is colored based on the structural domains in the schematic in (A). An arrow points to the downstream DNA. (C) An enhanced view of Rpc82 in the pol III structure. As indicated, an insertion loop between amino acid residues 371 and 449 of the WH3 domain is not resolved. In order to visualize the downstream duplex DNA (displayed as the phosphate backbone trace model), the molecular surface of pol III has been partially removed. Helical extensions (ext.) of WH2 and WH3 domains are indicated. (D) Multiple sequence alignment of the conserved sequence block. The lysine-rich sequence block of amino acids 396–410 (S. cerevisiae) subjected to mutational analysis is bracketed. Uniprot accession numbers are listed on the right (in parentheses). A red asterisk indicates the amino acid position Leu400 involved in BPA crosslinking with Brf1 (see below). (E) Cell growth of the yeast strains with mutations in the WH3 insertion. A series of deletion and alanine replacement mutants were analyzed by serial dilution spot assays. Mut4 mutant: alanine replacement in residues K403K404L405K406; Mut5 mutant: alanine replacement in residues K403K404L405K406E408D409. (F) Cell growth of the yeast strains with mutations in the WH3 helical extension.
point mutations in the insertion also impaired cell growth, which was attributable to defective pol III transcription activity. We site-specifically incorporated a non-natural amino acid photo- crosslinker into Rp82 and identified a site within the WH3 insertion that is involved in binding to Brf1 in the PIC. Additional biochemical analyses also indicated that Rp82 interacts with the Rp128 protrusion and wall domains of the pol III cleft and the DNA region upstream of the transcription start site. Our study thus provides an Rp82 interaction network within the pol III cleft crucial to transcription initiation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strain and plasmids

The yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) strains used in this study were derived from BY4705 (28), with chromosomal disruptions of individual genes introduced by the KanMX4 cassette, yielding the following yeast strains: RPC82 shuffle strain YLy2 (MATa ade2::his3G his3Δ200 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 lys2Δ0 trp1Δ63 ura3Δ0 [C82::KanMX4] RPC82-pRS316 [URA3Δ]) and RPC128 shuffle strain YLy1 (MATa ade2::his3G his3Δ200 leu2Δ0Δ15Δ0 lys2Δ0 trp1Δ63 ura3Δ [rp2c::KanMX4] RPC128-pRS316 [URA3Δ]).

For our Rp82 and Rp128 mutagenesis study, the genes along with their respective endogenous promoters were separately cloned into the vector pRS315 with a single HA-epitope tag at the C-terminus, yielding pYL4 (RPC82-HA, ars cen, LEU2) and pYL2 (RPC128, ars cen, LEU2). All Rp82 and Rp128 mutant plasmids were based on pYL4 and pYL2, respectively. Rp82 wild-type (WT) and mutant plasmids were transformed into the RPC82 shuffle strain (YLy2). Mutant strains additionally hosted a Flag epitope at the C-terminus of Rpc128 associated strains also hosted a Flag epitope at the C-terminus of RPC128. Rp82 and Rp128 mutant strains were separately generated using the 5-FOA drop-out method to replace the URA3-marked plasmid with LEU2-marked plasmids containing mutant gene copies. For cell growth assays, both the WT and mutant strains were grown in YPD to an optical density (600 nm) of 1.0 and the cell cultures were subsequently diluted 10^-1 to 10^-4. The diluted cells were spotted onto synthetic complete glucose plates lacking leucine, and their growth phenotypes were monitored at 16, 25, 30 and 37°C. The incubation time for cell growth at 25, 30, and 37°C was 3 days and at 16°C it was 7 days.

The non-natural amino acid p-benzoyl-L-phenylalanine (BPA; Bachem) was used for photo-crosslinking studies. Rp82 and Rp128 were separately cloned into the yeast 2-micron vector pRS425 with the LEU2 selection marker (29). Both genes were driven by the yeast ADH1 promoter. The C-termini of Rp82 and Rp128 respectively contained a V5-epitope and 13 copies of Myc epitopes. Plasmids are referred to as pYL3 (Adh1-Rp82 C-ter V5-pRS425) and pYL1 (Adh1-Rp128 C-ter 13Myc-pRS425), respectively. To generate individual plasmids for subsequent BPA incorporations into Rp82 and Rp128, the ‘TAG’ (amber) nonsense codon was introduced into the above plasmids at intended amino acid positions. The resulting plasmids, referred to as ‘amber plasmids’, were transfected into respective shuffle strains to generate mutant strains. To allow site-specific BPA substitutions in proteins through nonsense suppression, the plasmid pLH157 containing coding sequences of both the suppressor tRNACUA and the BPA-tRNA synthetase was also co-transfected. Procedures of BPA incorporation into yeast and the preparation of yeast whole-cell extracts for photo-crosslinking analyses have been described previously in more detail (17,18,25).

Immunoprecipitation (IP)

To prepare the yeast whole cell extract (WCE) for IP assays, 1 L yeast cell culture was grown in YPD medium to an O.D. of 1.5. The harvested cells were lysed and processed for WCE preparation following a previously described protocol (18,25). WCE of 1 mg was mixed with 50 μl of anti-flag antibody agarose beads (M2; Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated at 4°C for 2 h in WCE buffer [20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA and 20% glycerol]. The bound proteins were washed three times with 500 μl of WCE buffer, and the proteins were extracted by boiling with NuPAGE sample buffer (Invitrogen) for subsequent SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis. For Western analysis, immuno-stained protein bands were visualized using the Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences).

Immobilized template assay and BPA cross-linking

To isolate the pol III PIC by immobilized template (IMT) assay, yeast WCEs from 1 L cell culture were incubated with the 5’-end biotin-conjugated DNA fragment containing the Sup4 tRNA gene. Briefly, the biotinylated DNA of 603-bp was amplified by PCR and subsequently immobilized on Streptavidin magnetic beads (Dynal) in a transcription buffer containing 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 80 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA, 2% glycerol and 0.01% Tween 20. Each WCE of 800 μg was mixed with 2 μg of immobilized DNA in a final volume of 100 μl transcription buffer for 30 mins incubation at 30°C. The isolated PICs were washed three times with the transcription buffer prior to Western blot analysis. For the BPA photo-crosslinking experiment, the isolated PICs from the IMT assay were resuspended in a volume of 200 μl transcription buffer and treated with a total energy of 8000 μJ cm-2 UV (UVB) irradiation in a Spectrolinker XL-1500 UV oven (Spectronics). After UV treatment, the reaction mixture was washed three times, separated by SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by western blot analysis. A detailed protocol was previously described (18).

In vitro transcription

To analyze in vitro transcription activity with the IMT assay, the isolated PICs from above were resuspended in 17 μl of transcription buffer containing 200 ng α-amanitin, 4 units of RNase inhibitor (Promega), and 1 mM DTT. A mixture of NTPs (3 μL) was subsequently added, and the resulting reaction mixture contained 500 μM each of ATP, UTP, CTP, 50 μM GTP and 0.16 μM [α-32P] GTP
annealed DNA product was further purified by agarose- and 200 bp oligonucleotides were designed with the following sequence. The upstream and downstream DNAs, were separated by autoradiography and quantified with the ImageQuant TL program. The amount of recombinant protein used in the Brf1-graphy and quantified with the ImageQuant TL program.

FeBABE conjugation to phosphorothioate-containing SUP4 DNA and hydroxyl radical protein cleavage assay

FeBABE was conjugated at the phosphorothioate sites of the DNA template containing the SUP4 tRNA-tyr gene in a final volume of 17 μl transcription buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 80 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 2% glycerol and 0.01% Tween 20) together with 200 ng α-amanitin, 4 units of RNase inhibitor (Roche), and 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). Transcription was started with further addition of 3 μl NTPs [ATP (500 μM), UTP (500 μM), CTP (500 μM), GTP (50 μM) and [α-32P] GTP (0.08 μM [3,000 Ci/mmoll]) to a final volume of 20 μl. After reaction at 30°C for 3 or 30 min for single- or multiple-round transcription, respectively, RNA synthesis was terminated by adding the quenching buffer as described above. RNA products were extracted and analyzed by denaturing polyacrylamide (6%) gel electrophoresis. The SUP4 pre-tRNA transcripts were visualized by autoradiography and quantified with the ImageQuant TL program (GE). The amount of recombinant protein used in the Brf1-supplemented transcription assay was 200 ng.

RESULTS

A structurally disordered insertion in the WH3 domain is indispensable for cell growth

Although cryo-EM analysis of the pol III complex has revealed the structural arrangement of Rpc82, disordered regions remain (Figure 1A; gray colored regions). A disordered region lies within the WH3 domain of Rpc82, which spans amino acid residues 371–449 (Figure 1A–C). In an initial study, we deleted this 79-residue sequence block (referred to as the WH3 insertion). We found that yeast cells lacking the entire insertion were not viable. Based on multiple sequence alignment of the WH3 insertion, we found a lysine-rich sequence conserved among certain yeast species (Figure 1D). Internal deletions and multiple alanine substitutions were subsequently introduced to the sequence. As shown in Figure 1E, these mutations conferred a cold-sensitive growth phenotype at 16°C. In addition to the disordered insertion, the WH3 domain contains an extended structural motif, termed the helical extension (Figure 1A and C; WH3 ext.). Further mutational analysis in the extension region yielded a temperature-sensitive growth phenotype at 37°C (Figure 1F), consistent with a role to interface the Rpc160 clamp domain for pol III structural integrity (Figure 1C and Supplementary Figure S1). In contrast, the WH3 insertion is disordered and unlikely to assume a structural role within the pol III complex. We surmise that this insertion loop is involved in higher-order complex assembly.
in pol III transcription, as evidenced by the cold-sensitive cell growth phenotype.

The WH3 insertion is important for transcription initiation

To characterize the functional importance of the WH3 insertion, we utilized whole cell extracts (WCE) from the cold-sensitive mutant strains to conduct in vitro assays. We first used immunoprecipitation (IP) to isolate the pol III complex from the WCEs. As shown in Figure 2A (left panel), when the pol III complex was precipitated by immobilizing the Flag epitope-tagged Rpc128, the co-IP protein levels were approximately equal for the WT and mutant Rpc82. Similar results were observed for the levels of Rpc34 and Rpc31, indicating that the WH3 insertion motif is not involved in stable association of the Rpc82/34/31 subcomplex to the pol III complex. Strikingly, whereas no co-IP of TFIIIB subunit TBP or TFIIIC subunit Tfc4 was found, levels of co-precipitated Brf1 were strongly compromised by the WH3 mutations.

We subsequently utilized the WCEs to analyze PIC formation by immobilized template (IMT) assay using DNA containing the Sup4 tRNA gene. As demonstrated in Figure 2A (middle panel), the mutants exhibited reduced Brf1 in the isolated PICs, whereas the levels of pol III, TBP, and Tfc4 were unaffected. Although TFIIIC and TFIIIB are known to stably bind to the promoter for subsequent recruitment of pol III (30), our data indicate that association of Brf1 in the isolated PICs is destabilized by the WH3 mutations. We speculate that a conformational change likely occurs within the PIC, and that the Rpc82 WH insertion contributes to the post-recruitment protein network for Brf1 association. Further in vitro RNA transcription analysis was conducted with addition of nucleoside triphosphates to the isolated PICs from IMT assays. In correlation with the observed Brf1 levels in the IMT assays, the WH3 mutations severely compromised Sup4 tRNA synthesis (Figure 2B). Similarly, the mutants exhibited reduced pol III transcription activity in the single-round or multiple-round transcription assays with plasmid DNA (Figure 2C and D, respectively). However, by supplementing mutant WCEs with recombinant Brf1 protein, transcriptional activity was restored to WT levels (Figure 2E). Based on these in vitro analyses, we have established an important functional link between the WH3 insertion and Brf1.

The WH3 insertion crosslinks with Brf1 in the PIC

We previously incorporated a photo-crosslinking reagent, p-Benzoyl-L-Phenylalanine (BPA), as a non-natural amino acid into proteins in yeast (18). BPA substitution at a specific amino acid site was achieved by utilizing the suppressor tRNA/tRNA synthetase plasmid system targeted to the non-sense TAG codon inserted in the protein coding sequence (31). We reported our BPA crosslinking analysis for pol III subunits including Rpc160, Rpc128, Rpc82, Rpc53 and Rpc37 (17,18). In particular, our results generally agreed with the recently published cryo-EM structure supporting protein interactions for the Rpc82/34/31 and Rpc53/37 subcomplexes within the pol III cleft (Supplementary Figure S1A and B). Given our new functional analyses revealing a connection between the Rpc82 WH3 insertion and the transcription factor Brf1, we re-inspected the Rpc82 crosslinking results reported in our previous publication (17). A series of WCEs containing BPA substitutions within the WH3 insertion were re-generated for crosslinking analysis within the PIC by means of IMT assays. BPA incorporation in the WH3 insertion and crosslinking results are summarized in Supplementary Table S1. As shown in Figure 3 (left panel), a BPA substitution at Leu400 (i.e. close to the conserved sequence motif of the insertion, Fig-

Figure 2. In vitro functional analysis. (A) Immunoprecipitation (IP) and IMT assays. Mutations in the WH3 insertion are indicated above lanes. The Flag-epitope-tagged Rpc128 was precipitated with anti-Flag antibody and co-IP polypeptides were probed with respective antibodies. Proteins from the IMT assay were revealed with respective antibodies. Input: proteins in the WCEs. The relative protein levels for Brf1 are listed below the gel bands. (B) In vitro transcription activity from the IMT assay. The autoradiogram shows tRNA transcripts generated from the isolated PICs of the IMT assays. The gel bands corresponding to the preliminary tRNA transcripts from the Sup4 promoter are indicated. An asterisk points to possible processed tRNAs. (C) Single-round transcription activity. The autoradiogram shows tRNA transcripts generated from the transcription assays with plasmid DNA and WCEs. An arrow points to the gel band corresponding to the Sup4 pre-tRNA. (D) Multiple-round transcription activity from the plasmid transcription assay. Quantitation of transcription activity is shown in the bar graph on right. Error bars indicate SD from three independent experiments using separately prepared WCEs. (E) Supplementation of Brf1 to the plasmid transcription assay.
Figure 3. The Rpc82 WH3 insertion interacts with Brf1. The photocrosslinker BPA was incorporated at Leu400 near the conserved sequence block within the WH3 insertion. Crosslinking analysis was conducted within the PIC by means of IMT assays. Rpc82 and crosslinking gel bands were revealed with anti-V5 antibody against V5-epitope-tagged Rpc82 (left panel; lanes 1–4) in the Western analysis. Anti-Flag antibody was used to confirm the Rpc82-Brf1 crosslink (right panel; lanes 5–8). An arrow highlights the Rpc82-Brf1 crosslinking gel band (lane 8) revealed by the anti-Flag antibody. In addition to the Rpc82-Brf1 crosslink, BPA incorporation at Leu400 also yielded another crosslink with Rpc34 (lane 4). UV + or –, with or without UV irradiation. WT, wild-type Rpc82 without BPA incorporation. *, a background gel band due to UV irradiation. Protein molecular weight marker positions are indicated at left (kDa).

The Rpc82 cleft loop interacts with Rpc128 and the transcription bubble

In the pol III cryo-EM structure, the WH4 domain of Rpc82 contains a notable structural motif termed the cleft loop that spans amino acid residues 550–563 (Figure 1A–C) (3). This cleft loop is positioned within a canyon in the clamp head region of Rpc160 and close to the +7 bp position downstream of the DNA bubble (Figure 1C). Consistent with the pol III structure, our previous study reported that when BPA was incorporated into the cleft loop, there was a crosslink between Rpc82 and Rpc160 (Supplementary Figure S1B) (17). However, we also observed a faster migrating crosslinking band for BPA substitutions at Thr554, Ala555 and Ser558 around the turn of the cleft loop (Figure 4A; left panel). We re-conducted the crosslinking

Figure 4. The cleft loop of the Rpc82 WH4 domain interacts with Rpc128 and the DNA bubble. (A) BPA crosslinking of the cleft loop. In the left panel, BPA crosslinking for the three amino acid positions Thr554, Ala555 and Ser558 was probed with anti-V5 antibody for V5 epitope-tagged Rpc82. The slower (asterisk) and faster (arrow) migrating crosslinking bands were identified as the Rpc82-Rpc160 and Rpc82-Rpc128 crosslinks, respectively. In the right panel, the Rpc82-Rpc128 crosslink was confirmed by probing the Flag epitope-tagged Rpc128. (B) Phosphorothioate moieties in the SUP4 tDNA are indicated with dots above the transcribed sequence (non-template strand). A total of five phosphorothioate-containing DNA templates (Fe1–5) were used for FeBABE conjugation. Numbers below the sequence denote the nucleotide positions relative to the transcription start site +1. (C) Western analysis showing hydroxyl radical cleavage of Rpc82 by the FeBABE-conjugated DNA probes. Anti-Flag antibody was used to probe the Flag epitope-tagged Rpc82. A control (–) SUP4 tDNA template does not contain any phosphorothioate. An arrow points to the Rpc82 peptide fragment cleaved by the probes. An asterisk indicates the uncleaved full-length Rpc82. (D) In vitro-translated Rpc82 peptide fragments. The residue number above each lane indicates the second amino acid residue (after the first methionine residue) of the Rpc82 fragment. Each in vitro-translated Rpc82 C-terminal peptide fragment contains a Flag epitope at the C-terminus. The first lane (M) contains molecular weight markers. (E) Determination of the hydroxyl radical cleavage site in Rpc82. The calibration curve is derived from the gel migration (log MW) of in vitro-translated Rpc82 peptide fragments (filled squares) and the corresponding starting residue numbers in (D). The size of the FeBABE cleavage fragment is mapped to the calibration curve (open triangle), and the corresponding cleavage site (A555) in Rpc82 is indicated.
analyses and found that the lower molecular-weight band represented Rpc82–Rpc128 crosslinking (Figure 4A; right panel; Supplementary Table S1). Therefore, the cleft loop is structurally flexible, stretching from the clamp domain to anRpc128 region such as the lobe or protrusion domain in the pol III cleft (Supplementary Figure S1A). Supporting structural flexibility of the cleft loop, the x-ray crystal structure of the human ortholog ofRpc82 (hRpc62) indicates that this conserved sequence region (aa 408–421 of hRpc62) is disordered (10).

To further investigate the DNA interactions ofRpc82, we conducted a directed hydroxyl radical protein cleavage analysis. Site-directed hydroxyl radical analyses have been applied to map protein–protein and protein–DNA interactions in the pol II and pol III PICs (18, 32). To perform this analysis, we first conjugated the iron-EDTA-containing reagent FeBABE to the non-template strand ofSUP4 DNA (Figure 4B). A series ofSUP4 DNA templates with phosphorothioate substitutions from nucleotide positions -16 to +14 was synthesized for FeBABE conjugation. The FeBABE-conjugated DNA templates were utilized in IMT assays for site-directed hydroxyl radical protein cleavage analysis on the PIC. On the basis of a previousKMnO4 hypersensitivity analysis (33), the FeBABE probe was tethered at the nucleotide positions of the DNA bubble (nucleotides –10 to +6) and the flanking duplex DNA of the SUP4 tDNA. As shown in Figure 4C, a DNA probe (termed Fe1) with FeBABE tethered at nucleotide positions ranging from –16 to –11 yielded cleaved Rpc82 peptides. In addition, weaker cleavages were observed with FeBABE at downstream nucleotides –10 to +2 (probes Fe2 and 3). NoRpc82 cleavage was found with probes (Fe4 and 5) containing FeBABE at further downstream nucleotide positions (data not shown). Based on a previously established method using a series ofin vitro-translatedRpc82 peptides as the molecular weight standards (18), we approximated the cleavage site inRpc82 to be at Ala555 in the cleft loop of WH4 (Figure 4D and E). Our directed hydroxyl radical cleavage analysis suggests that the cleft loop lies in close proximity to the upstream region of the DNA bubble, in addition to its resolved position near the downstream duplex DNA (Figure 1B and C). Strikingly, this FeBABE cleavage site at Ala555 coincides with BPA crosslinks identified forRpc160 and Rpc128 (see above; Figure 4A). Therefore, we propose that the cleft loop participates in a dynamic molecular network with the pol III cleft and the DNA bubble.

Rpcs82 interacts with the wall and protrusion domains ofRpc128

Since our crosslinking analysis revealedRpc128 as a binding target for the WH4 cleft loop, we extended our BPA crosslinking analysis to Rpc128. We incorporated the BPA crosslinker inRpc128 structural regions, such as the wall and protrusion domains within the pol III cleft. We then conducted photo-crosslinking analyses via IMT assays to probe PIC-specific interactions. We observed a number ofRpc128–Rpc82 crosslinks, including 15 and 12 positions in the wall and protrusion domains, respectively (Figure 5A and B; Supplementary Table S2). Interestingly, theRpc82 crosslinking sites are scattered over the wall and protrusion surfaces and are distant from the resolvedRpc82 structure in the elongating pol III complex (Figure 6A). To further analyze theRpc128–Rpc82 interaction, we mutated theRpc128 structural regions that crosslinked with Rpc82. We obtained twoRpc128 wall domain mutations (Δ801–803) and Δ866–869) with a slow growth phenotype at non-permissive temperatures of 37 and 16°C (data not shown). We isolated WCEs from theseRpc128 mutants to analyze protein interactions by IP assay. As illustrated in Figure 5C, Rpc128 wall mutations severely compromised the association ofRpc82 with the pol III core subunitsRpc128 andRpc160, as well as the TFIIF-related subunitRpc53.
In summary, our BPA crosslinking analysis suggests an interaction of Rpc82 with the wall and protrusion domains of the pol III cleft, and our co-IP analysis further supports the Rpc82–Rpc128 wall domain interaction.

DISCUSSION

The cryo-EM structures of free and elongating pol III complexes have provided the atomic detail necessary to understand the roles of individual subunits in its structural integrity and transcriptional activity (3). Structural analyses have also revealed flexible regions in the TFIIE- and TFIIF-related subunits (3,26,27). In this paper, we reveal that a structurally flexible insertion in the WH3 domain of the TFIIE-related Rpc82 subunit interacts with the TFIIB-related transcription initiation factor Brf1. This WH3 insertion is one of the functionally important SUMO modification regions of the pol III complex (34). Our site-specific photo-crosslinking and hydroxyl radical probing analyses further indicated that the cleft loop of the Rpc82 WH4 domain interacts with both theRpc128 andRpc160 subunits of the pol III cleft, as well as the DNA bubble. Additional Rpc82 binding sites were also mapped to the wall and protrusion domains ofRpc128 within the PIC. Based on our biochemical analysis, we provide a model for the pol III open promoter complex in Figure 6B to indicate the more extensive Rpc82 interactions with the pol III cleft and the DNA bubble. Our study thus completes the protein/DNA network for Rpc82 and provides new insights into the functional roles of Rpc82 in transcription initiation.

Since the conserved sequence block in the WH3 insertion is only found in yeast species (Figure 1D) (10), its interaction with highly conserved transcription factor Brf1 is surprising. We speculate that a possible Rpc82-binding region in Brf1 resides in the C-terminal domain (CTD). Unlike the evolutionarily conserved N-terminal zinc ribbon and cyclin repeats, the Brf1 CTD contains several conserved sequence blocks separated by linker regions (24,35). Therefore, it is likely that a CTD linker sequence acts as the yeast-specific Brf1–Rpc82 interface. In addition, as indicated by multiple sequence alignment (Supplementary Figure S1C), the WH3 helical extension is also specific in yeast species. However, this helical extension is structurally important for the pol III complex, as validated by our mutational and crosslinking studies (Figure1F and Supplementary Figure S1B/C) as well as the cryo-EM structural analysis (3,17). We suggest that the WH3 helical extension and insertion were incorporated specifically into yeast Rpc82 and evolved to become essential structural regions of the pol III machinery. Specific functional regions are present in other pol III subunits in higher eukaryotic systems. For example, the human ortholog of yeast Rpc37, HsRpc5, is a much larger protein (708 aa. vs. 282 aa.), and the expanded sequence of HsRpc5 is conserved among higher eukaryotic species (36).

Our study reveals a more flexible molecular network for the WH4 cleft loop to include the Rpc128 andRpc160 subunits, as well as the DNA bubble (Figure 6B). Based on topological similarity, the cleft loop could be compared to the anti-parallel β-sheet of the TFIIEα WH domain. In recent cryo-EM analyses of the pol II closed and open promoter complexes, the anti-parallel β-sheet of TFIIEα, which is also referred to as the E-wing, was proposed to modulate the DNA melting process through an interaction with the –7 nucleotide position of the DNA bubble (12,13). Those pol II structural analyses and our biochemical study of the pol III PIC suggest a common role of the WH β-sheet in interacting with the DNA bubble. Supporting this hy-
pothesis, previous DNA-protein crosslinking analyses also mapped Rpc82 to nucleotide positions from −8/- to +11 (37,38).

Our BPA crosslinking analysis of the pol III PIC mapped a number of Rpc82 binding sites to the Rpc128 protrusion and wall domains of the pol III cleft (Figures 5 and 6). These Rpc82 interactions are unexpected as the cryo-EM structures of free and elongating pol III revealed that Rpc82 is tightly bound to the Rpc160 clamp (Supplementary Figure S1A and B). Although we identified the Rpc128 interaction for the WH4 cleft loop, this structural motif is ∼30 Å and ∼60 Å from the protrusion and wall domains of Rpc128, respectively. Another Rpc128 interacting region for Rpc82 likely lies within the disordered WH3 insertion. Assuming a random coil conformation with the peptide backbone configuration of ∼3.8 Å between consecutive Cα atoms (39), the 79-residue WH3 insertion could form a loop of ∼150 Å. As both of the wall and protrusion domains of Rpc128 are located ∼100 Å from the WH3 domain, the insertion loop could thus reach over the two Rpc128 domains (Figure 6B; dashed blue line). This proposed positioning is further supported by the newly identified interaction between the WH3 insertion and the transcription factor Brf1 (Figure 6B), which is in contact with the wall domain (25). The structural extension likely occurs transiently upon PIC formation, as the WH3 insertion is not resolved in the cryo-EM structural analyses of free and elongating pol III complexes. This speculative WH3 interaction mode warrants further structural analysis of the pol III PIC.
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