Association Between Asthma and Reduced Androgen Receptor Expression in Airways
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Abstract

A growing body of evidence suggests a role for androgens in asthma and asthma control. This includes a sex discordance in disease rates that changes with puberty, experiments in mice showing androgens reduce airway inflammation, and a reported association between airway androgen receptor (AR) expression and disease severity in asthma patients. We set out to determine whether airway AR expression differs between asthma patients and healthy controls. We analyzed data from 8 publicly available data sets with gene expression profiling from airway epithelial cells obtained both from asthma patients and control individuals. We found that airway AR expression was lower in asthma patients than in controls in both sexes, and that having AR expression below the median in the pooled data set was associated with substantially elevated odds of asthma vs having AR expression above the median (odds ratio 4.89; 95% CI, 3.13-7.65, P < .0001). In addition, our results suggest that whereas the association between asthma and AR expression is present in both sexes in most of the age range analyzed, the association may be absent in prepubescent children and postmenopausal women. Our results add to the existing body of evidence suggesting a role for androgens in asthma control.
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Materials and Methods

We searched the NCBI’s gene expression omnibus [9] and identified 8 asthma data sets with gene expression profiling from airway epithelial cells. Six data sets from adults contained expression data from bronchial epithelia; 2 of the 6 contained data from nasal epithelia. Two data sets from children contained nasal epithelial expression data. In total, bronchial expression data were obtained from 264 adult asthma patients and 146 controls; nasal expression data were obtained from 52 adult asthma patients and 29 controls plus 49 child asthma patients and 58 controls.

We extracted AR expression data for all subjects along with estrogen receptor α (ESR1) and estrogen receptor β (ESR2) expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets containing multiple probes for a gene, results from different probes were averaged. Expression was then normalized to the average expression as comparators. In data sets contain...
AR expression]. Analyses were performed in R under RStudio. Details of the data sets are shown in Table 1.

**Results**

AR expression was lower in asthma patients than controls in bronchial epithelia of adults (normalized expression median [interquartile range; IQR]: 0.94 [0.91-0.99] asthma, 1.00 [0.96-1.03] controls, \( P < .0001 \)), in nasal epithelia of adults (0.94 [0.89-0.99] asthma, 1.00 [0.96-1.03] controls, \( P = .015 \)), and in nasal epithelia of children (0.96 [0.90-1.02] asthma, 1.00 [0.94-1.05] controls, \( P = .030 \)) (Fig. 1); no bronchial data from children were available. No associations were found between asthma and either \( ESR1 \) expression (adult bronchial: 0.99 [0.96-1.03] asthma, 1.00 [0.98-1.01] controls, \( P = .45 \); adult nasal: 1.01 [0.98-1.04] asthma, 1.00 [0.97-1.00] controls, \( P = .37 \); child nasal: 0.99 [0.97-1.00] asthma, 1.00 [0.99-1.02] controls, \( P = .12 \)) or \( ESR2 \) expression (adult bronchial: 0.99 [0.94-1.02] asthma, 0.99 [0.97-1.02] controls, \( P = .40 \); adult nasal: 1.01 [0.98-1.04] asthma, 1.00 [0.96-1.01] controls, \( P = .81 \); child nasal: 1.01 [0.98-1.04] asthma, 1.00 [0.98-1.05] controls, \( P = .59 \)). Subgroup analyses were performed for bronchial epithelia in adults because of limited sample sizes for nasal epithelia. Having AR expression below the pooled data set’s median was associated with substantially elevated odds of asthma vs having expression above the median: odds ratio 4.89 (95% CI, 3.13-7.65) for both sexes together, 5.73 (95% CI, 3.00-10.95) for male participants, 4.44 (95% CI, 2.38-8.30) for female participants (Fig. 2).

### Table 1. Characteristics of data sets used in analysis

| Data set   | Age group | Age: mean (range), y | No. of participants (asthma, control) | Male participants (asthma, control) | Female participants (asthma, control) | Bronchial samples (asthma, control) | Nasal samples (asthma, control) |
|------------|-----------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| GSE104468  | Adult     | 36.4 (24-74)         | 24 (12, 12)                          | 10 (4, 6)                          | 14 (8, 6)                            | 24 (12, 12)                       | 24 (12, 12)                     |
| GSE41861   | Adult     | 35.1 (19-66)         | 84 (54, 30)                          | 40 (29, 11)                        | 44 (25, 19)                         | 81 (51, 30)                       | 57 (40, 17)                     |
| GSE43696   | Adult     | 37.1 (18-62)         | 108 (88, 20)                         | 34 (25, 9)                         | 74 (63, 11)                         | 108 (88, 20)                     | 0 (40, 17)                      |
| GSE64913   | Adult     | 31.6 (19-63)         | 36 (13, 23)                          | 22 (8, 14)                         | 14 (5, 9)                           | 36 (13, 23)                       | 0 (13, 23)                      |
| GSE67472   | Adult     | 35.3 (20-68)         | 105 (62, 43)                         | 51 (28, 23)                        | 54 (34, 20)                         | 105 (62, 43)                     | 0 (62, 43)                      |
| GSE89809   | Adult     | 37.0 (20-67)         | 56 (38, 18)                          | 31 (19, 12)                        | 25 (19, 6)                          | 56 (38, 18)                       | 0 (38, 18)                      |
| GSE19187   | Child     | 11.0 (6-16)          | 38 (13, 25)                          | 20 (6, 14)                         | 18 (7, 11)                          | 0 (7, 11)                         | 38 (13, 25)                     |
| GSE65204   | Child     | 11.0 (9-12)          | 69 (36, 33)                          | 34 (19, 15)                        | 35 (17, 18)                        | 0 (17, 18)                       | 69 (36, 33)                     |

**Figure 1.** Androgen receptor (AR) expression is lower in airway epithelial cells of asthma patients than control individuals. Box-and-whisker plots of normalized AR expression from bronchial (left) and nasal (right) epithelia in adults (A) and nasal epithelia in children (B). Center lines indicate median values, boxes indicate first quartile to third quartile range, whiskers indicate values up to 1.5 times interquartile range, and points indicate outlying values. For visual clarity, outliers below 0.6 normalized expression were excluded from the plot: one each from adult bronchial asthma, adult nasal asthma, and adult nasal control. Sample sizes for each group: A, bronchial asthma 264, control 146; nasal asthma 52, control 29; B, nasal asthma 49, control 58 (child data sets contained no bronchial samples). Adult data sets contained patient ages ranging from 18 to 74 years (mean, 35.7 years). Child data sets contained participant ages ranging from 6 to 16 years (mean, 11.0 years). \( P \) values from 2-tailed \( t \)-tests of asthma vs control within each sample group are shown.
To explore whether associations might change at phases of life characterized by large changes in circulating sex hormone levels, we additionally performed analyses with age breakdowns. In adults, bronchial AR expression was lower in asthma than in controls in men aged younger than 51 years (\(P < .0001\)) and 51 years or older (\(P = .00028\)), but in women aged 51 or older, AR expression was similar between asthma and controls (\(P = .83\)), in contrast to lower expression with asthma in women younger than 51 (\(P < .0001\)) (Fig. 3A). In children, nasal AR expression was lower in asthma than controls in ages 11 or older (\(P = .016\)), but was similar between asthma and controls in children younger than 11 (\(P = .78\)) (Fig. 3B).

### Discussion

Our results strongly support the conclusion that airway epithelial AR expression is lower in asthma patients than controls in both sexes. Although based on smaller sample sizes, our results also suggest that this association may not be present in prepubescent children or postmenopausal women, groups with much lower circulating sex steroid levels. Whether this association is indeed absent in prepubescent children and postmenopausal women should be verified in cohorts with larger sample sizes in these age ranges. The causative basis for this association between AR expression and asthma is undetermined (ie, does low AR expression cause asthma, is low AR expression a byproduct of having asthma, or is it a combination of the two), but the previously described link between inherited AR deficiency and asthma [6] supports that decreased AR airway expression could increase asthma susceptibility.

Although the direction of causation for the described association is not yet determined, these findings add to previous data suggesting a role for androgens in asthma control [4, 8, 10]. It has been reported that inhaled corticosteroids provide less benefit to female than to male asthma patients [11, 12]. This may in part be attributable to corticosteroids suppressing circulating androgen levels, which could have a bigger effect in women because their circulating androgen levels are lower than those in men.

**Figure 2.** Lower androgen receptor (AR) expression in airways is associated with increased odds of asthma in both sexes. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs for having disease status asthma among individuals in the bottom 50% vs the top 50% of normalized AR expression in the pooled data set of bronchial epithelial samples. ORs and associated CIs and \(P\) values were determined using binomial logistic regression of [disease status equals asthma] against [normalized AR expression is less than median normalized AR expression], performed in R under RStudio. For all 3 comparisons, \(P\) is less than .001.

**Figure 3.** Associations between asthma and airway androgen receptor (AR) expression exist except in postmenopausal women and prepubescent children. Box-and-whisker plots of normalized AR expression from bronchial epithelia in A, adults, and nasal epithelia in B, children, broken down by age and sex in adults and by age in children. Center lines indicate median values, boxes indicate first quartile to third quartile range, whiskers indicate values up to 1.5 times interquartile range, and points indicate outlying values. For visual clarity, one outlier each below 0.7 normalized expression (in female ages 18-50 asthma) and above 1.3 (in female ages 18-50 control) were excluded from plot. Sample sizes for each group: A, male age < 51 asthma 94, control 71; male ≥ 51 asthma 17, control 4; female < 51 asthma 130, control 59; female ≥ 51 asthma 23, control 12. B, age < 11 asthma 20, control 21; age ≥ 11 asthma 29, control 37. \(P\) values from 2-tailed \(t\) tests of asthma vs control within each sample group are shown.
lower [8]. A randomized clinical trial found a benefit of inhaled dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) sulfate in patients with poorly controlled asthma [13], and a pilot study found that oral DHEA appeared to benefit female asthma patients with low androgen levels [14]. Our findings add to a growing body of work suggesting that androgens should be further studied as a treatment for asthma, including in combination with corticosteroids, and also raise the question of whether AR expression levels in airways could affect the response to asthma treatments.
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