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We look at fractional Langevin equations (FLEs) with generalized proportional Hadamard–Caputo derivative of different orders. Moreover, nonlocal integrals and nonperiodic boundary conditions are considered in this paper. For the proposed equations, the Hyres–Ulam (HU) stability, existence, and uniqueness (EU) of the solution are defined and investigated. In implementing our results, we rely on two important theories that are Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem and Banach contraction principle. Also, an application example is given to bolster the accuracy of the acquired results.

1. Introduction

In recent years, fractional calculus has gained great importance by numerous renowned mathematicians. The most essential feature of this topic is that it allows us to execute integrations and differentiations in any order, not necessarily integer ones. Such a benefit has been encouraged by the applications in various areas, conceivably including fractal phenomena which appear in many sciences such as physics and engineering. Also, discovering the fractional derivatives and their generalizations was done by well-known mathematicians such as Riemann, Caputo, Hadamard, Euler, Liouville, Laplace, Laurent, and Fourier and was constantly the major path of research in the fractional calculus area. Moreover, these derivatives will provide us new chances to get generalized solutions of fractional differential equations [1–6]. It is worth to mention that the most important comprehensive treatments of differential equations with fractional order were initiated by Caputo [7] in 1969, and it was later called Caputo’s derivative. There are many generalizations and modifications of this derivative [8–12], for example, the authors in [9] used Caputo’s derivative to modify the Hadamard derivatives to a more beneficial concept that called Hadamard–Caputo derivatives (HCD). In 2019, Rahman et al. [12] introduced an integral form of Hadamard fractional derivatives which give generalized forms than the HCD and have termed as GHCD. Many authors used HCD and their generalizations to study the existence, uniqueness, and stability of fractional differential equations [1, 6, 13, 14]. The researchers in [14] utilized the HCDs to present some results on the existence and stability for solutions of fractional Langevin equations with some conditions related to nonperiodic type boundary and nonlocal integral. Recently, Devi et al. [1] used two fixed point theorems due to Krasnoselskii and Banach as well as the HCDs of distinctive orders connected with nonlocal integral to establish the existence, uniqueness, and HU stability of solutions for fractional Langevin equations with nonperiodic boundary conditions.

According to varied literature, like [15–17], it has verified that the FLEs are perfectly mathematical models for single-file prevalence and the conduct of unshackled particles driven by internal noises. This motivates us to examine the solutions of the FLEs and their features. So, in the present work, we use the generalized proportional Hadamard–Caputo derivative to investigate the FLEs with
nonlocal integrals and nonperiodic boundary conditions. For the proposed equations, the HU stability and EU of the solution are defined and analyzed. In implementing our results, we relied on two main fixed point theorems, namely, Krasnoselskii’s theorem and Banach contraction operator. Furthermore, to reinforce the accuracy of the gained results, an application example is presented with adequate values for the parameters.

Our paper arranged as follows. In Section 2, we present all basic concepts related to the HCDs and their generalizations with some previous results which serve our results. Section 3 devoted to present our main results which in turn was divided into two subsections, namely, 3.1, introduced to the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of the FLEs, and 3.2, prepared to study the stability results for the solutions of the FLEs.

2. Preliminaries

We use the set $AC^{r}[1, e]$ to refer to all absolutely continuous functions $\xi$ such that its derivative of order $(r - 1)$ is absolutely continuous on $[1, e]$, where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $e$ is the renowned Euler’s number.

Definition 1 (see [18]). If $\xi: [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is both integrable and continuous, the one side fractional integral of Hada-
mard of $q$th-order is given by

$$
\gamma^{q} \xi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{1}^{\omega} (\ln \omega - \ln u)^{q-1} \frac{\xi(u)}{u} du, \quad q \in \mathbb{R}, q > 0.
$$

Definition 2 (see [18]). The $q^{th}$-order Hadamard fractional derivative is given by

$$
\mathfrak{D}^{q} \xi(\omega) = \frac{(d/d\omega)}{\Gamma(m-q)} \int_{1}^{\omega} (\ln \omega - \ln u)^{m-q-1} \frac{\xi(u)}{u} du,
$$

where $m = [q] + 1$ and $q > 0$.

Definition 3 (see [18]). The $q^{th}$-order fractional HCD is given by

$$
\mathcal{D}^{q} \xi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-q)} \int_{1}^{\omega} (\ln \omega - \ln u)^{m-q-1} \frac{\xi(u)}{u} du.
$$

Rahman et al. [12] recently defined a generalized proportional Hadamard fractional integral of order $q$.

Definition 4 (see [12]). The $q^{th}$-order generalized proportional Hadamard fractional integral is given by

$$
\gamma^{q} \xi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{1}^{\omega} \xi^{(q-p+1)/q} \xi(\omega-\chi(u)) \frac{\xi(u)}{u} du,
$$

where $q > 1$ and $p \in (0, 1]$.

Finally, Jarad et al. [10] presented a broader range of fractional proportional integrals and derivatives.

Definition 5 (see [10]). The $q^{th}$-order of a broader range of the one side fractional proportional integrals is given by

$$
\mathfrak{D}^{q} \xi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{1}^{\omega} \xi^{(q-p+1)/q} \chi(\omega-\chi(u)) \frac{\xi(u)}{u} du,
$$

where $m = [q] + 1$, $p \in (0, 1)$, and $q > 0$.

Definition 6 (see [10]). The $q^{th}$-order of the one side of a broader range of fractional proportional derivative is given by

$$
\mathcal{D}^{q} \xi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{1}^{\omega} \xi^{(q-p+1)/q} \chi(\omega-\chi(u)) \frac{\xi(u)}{u} du,
$$

where $m = [q] + 1$, $p \in (0, 1)$, and $q > 0$.

Definition 7 (see [10]). The $q^{th}$-order of the one side of Caputo-broader range of fractional proportional derivative is given by

$$
\mathfrak{D}^{q} \xi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{1}^{\omega} \xi^{(q-p+1)/q} \chi(\omega-\chi(u)) \frac{\xi(u)}{u} du,
$$

where $m = [q] + 1$, $p \in (0, 1)$, and $q > 0$. 

\[\]
Remark 1. Obviously, if we put \( \chi(t) = \ln(t) \) in Definitions 5 and 7, we obtain the one side generalized proportional Caputo–Hadamard fractional integral and derivative, respectively.

By using Proposition 3.1, Proposition 4.1, and Remark 1.1 in [10], we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 1** (see [10]). Let \( q, p \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \text{Re}(p) > 0 \) and \( \text{Re}(q) > 0 \). Then, for any \( \varrho \in (0, 1) \) and \( m = \lfloor \text{Re}(q) \rfloor + 1 \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
(i) & \quad (q^p \Gamma(p) \ln(t)^{p-1}(\varrho) = (\Gamma(p)/q^p \Gamma(p + q)) e^{(q-1)p \ln(t) \ln(\varrho)} (\ln(\varrho))^{q-1} \\
(ii) & \quad (\text{CFP} \times \text{CFP}) e^{((q-1)p \ln(t) \ln(\varrho))} (\ln(\varrho))^{q-1} \\
& = \left( (\Gamma(p)/q^p \Gamma(p + q)) e^{(q-1)p \ln(t) \ln(\varrho)} (\ln(\varrho))^{q-1} \right) \xi(\varrho) = \xi(\varrho) - \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{q-p} \xi(1)}{\Gamma(q - r + 1)} q^{-r} .
\end{align*}
\]

The following theorem is essential in implementing our results.

**Theorem 1** (see [8]). Let \( K \) be a nonempty convex, bounded, and closed subset of a Banach space \( W \). Consider \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are two operators from \( K \) to \( W \) such that

\( i \) \( f_1x + f_2y \in K \) for each \( x, y \in K \)

\( ii \) \( f_1 \) is continuous and compact

\( iii \) \( f_2 \) satisfies a contraction condition

Then, \( \exists u \in K \) such that \( u = f_1u + f_2u \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CFP} \times \text{CFP} \xi(\varrho) &= \frac{1}{q^p \Gamma(q)} \int_{1}^{\varrho} e^{((q-1)p \ln(t) \ln(\varrho) - \ln(\varrho))} (\ln(\varrho) - \ln(u))^{q-1} \mathcal{D}^{q-p} \xi(u) du \\
& = \frac{\mathcal{D}^{q-p} \xi(1)}{q^p \Gamma(q)} \int_{1}^{\varrho} e^{((q-1)p \ln(t) \ln(\varrho) - \ln(\varrho))} (\ln(\varrho) - \ln(u))^{q-1} \mathcal{D}^{q-p} \xi(u) du \\
& = \mathcal{D}^{q-p} \xi(1) - \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{q-r} \xi(1)}{\Gamma(q - r + 1)} q^{-r} .
\end{align*}
\]

Also, the fractional differential equation

\[
\text{CFP} \times \text{CFP} \xi(\varrho) = 0
\]

has a solution

\[
\xi(\varrho) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} M_l (\ln(\varrho))^l,
\]

where \( M_l = (\mathcal{D}^{q-p} \xi(1)/\Gamma(l + 1)q^l) \).

Proof.

3. Main Results

This section comprises our new results for the fractional Langevin equations with generalized proportional Hadamard–Caputo derivative. By using Krasnoselskii and Banach fixed point theorems, we investigate the existence, uniqueness, and HU stability for these FLEs. Before we can show our results, we have to prove the following helpful lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** Let \( q \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \text{Re}(q) > 0 \), \( p \in (0, 1) \), \( m = \lfloor \text{Re}(q) \rfloor + 1 \), \( \xi \in L_1[1, e] \), and \( (\mathcal{D}^{q-p} \xi)(\varrho) \in \mathcal{A} \mathcal{C}^m[1, e] \). Then,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CFP} \times \text{CFP} \xi(\varrho) &= \mathcal{D}^{q-p} \xi(\varrho) = \xi(\varrho) - \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{q-r} \xi(1)}{\Gamma(q - r + 1)} q^{-r} .
\end{align*}
\]

Also,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}^{q-p} \xi(\varrho) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \gamma^{\alpha^{p^{q}}}_{\beta^{q^{p}}} D^{\alpha \beta} \xi (\omega) = \gamma^{\alpha \beta} \left( \gamma^{m-q^{p}}_{\beta^{q^{p}}} D^{m \alpha} \xi (\omega) \right) = \gamma^{m \alpha^{p}}_{\beta^{q}} D^{m \alpha} \xi (\omega). \]  

(12)

By applying equation (11) in (12), we have
\[ \gamma^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} D^{\alpha \beta} \xi (\omega) = \xi (\omega) - \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{\gamma^{\alpha \beta}}{\Gamma (l+1)} \cdot e^{(q-1)ln l} \cdot \frac{d^{l} \xi (\omega)}{d \omega^{l}}, \]

(13)

where the replacing of the integer \( m - r \) by \( l \) has been modified. Hence, the solution of the FDE (9) is given by

\[ \begin{align*}
\gamma^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} D^{\alpha \beta} (z (t) + \lambda z (t)) &= \xi (t, z (t)), \quad t \in [1, e], \\
z (1) &= 0, \\
z' (1) &= 0, \\
z'' (1) &= 0,
\end{align*} \]

(15)

\[ \gamma^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} D^{\alpha \beta} z (1) = 2^{\beta} z (\eta), \quad 1 < \eta < e, \]

\[ \gamma^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} D^{\alpha \beta} z (e) + l z (e) = 0, \quad l \in \mathbb{R}, \]

is given by

\[ z (t) = \frac{1}{\theta^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} l (\rho + q)} \int_{1}^{t} e^{(q-1)ln (\ln t - \ln u)} (\ln t - \ln u)^{\rho - 1} \frac{\xi (u, z (u))}{u} \cdot du \\
- \frac{\lambda}{\theta^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} l (\rho)} \int_{1}^{t} e^{(q-1)ln (\ln t - \ln u)} (\ln t - \ln u)^{\rho - 1} \frac{z (u)}{u} \cdot du \\
+ \frac{e^{(q-1)ln t}}{\theta^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} l (\rho + 2)} \left( \frac{l - \lambda}{\theta^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} l (\rho + q)} \right) \int_{1}^{t} e^{(q-1)ln u} (1 - \ln u)^{\rho - 1} \frac{\xi (u, z (u))}{u} \cdot du \\
+ \frac{1}{\theta^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} l (q)} \int_{1}^{t} e^{(q-1)ln u} (1 - \ln u)^{\rho - 1} \frac{z (u)}{u} \cdot du \\
- \frac{\lambda (l - 1)}{\theta^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} l (\rho)} \int_{1}^{t} e^{(q-1)ln u} (1 - \ln u)^{\rho - 1} \frac{z (u)}{u} \cdot du \\
+ \frac{l - \lambda + \theta^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} l (\rho + 1)}{\theta^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} l (\rho + 1) \Gamma (\theta)} \int_{1}^{t} e^{(q-1)ln u} (\ln u - \ln \eta)^{\rho - 1} \frac{z (u)}{u} \cdot du \\
+ \frac{e^{(q-1)ln t}}{\theta^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} l (\rho + 1) \Gamma (\theta)} \int_{1}^{t} e^{(q-1)ln u} (\ln u - \ln \eta)^{\rho - 1} \frac{z (u)}{u} \cdot du.
\]

(16)

**Proof.** Applying the generalized proportional Hadamard fractional integral \( \gamma^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} \) to equation (15), we get

\[ \gamma^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} D^{\alpha \beta} z (t) + \lambda z (t) = \gamma^{\alpha^{p^{q}}} (t, z (t)) + e^{(q-1)ln t} \left[ M_{0} + M_{1} \ln t \right]. \]

(17)
Repeating integration by using generalized proportional Hadamard fractional integral operator of order \( p \), we obtain

\[
z(t) = \gamma^p \varrho^q \xi(t, z(t)) - \lambda \gamma^p \varrho^q z(t) + \left[ M_0 \gamma^p \varrho^q (e^{(\varrho-1)(\varrho\ln t)\varrho-1}) + M_1 \gamma^p \varrho^q (e^{(\varrho-1)(\varrho\ln t)\varrho-1} \ln t) \right].
\]  

(18)

Since

\[
\gamma^p \varrho^q (e^{(\varrho-1)(\varrho\ln t)\varrho-1} (\ln t)^p) = \frac{\varrho^p (\ln t)^p}{\varrho^p (p + 1)},
\]

(19)

\[
\gamma^p \varrho^q (e^{(\varrho-1)(\varrho\ln t)\varrho-1} (\ln t)^{p+1}) = \frac{\varrho^p (\ln t)^{p+1}}{\varrho^p (p + 2)}.
\]

(20)

Then, by substituting in (18) from (19) and (20), we get

\[
z(t) = \gamma^p \varrho^q \xi(t, z(t)) - \lambda \gamma^p \varrho^q z(t) + M_0 \frac{\varrho^p (\ln t)^p}{\varrho^p (p + 1)} + M_1 \frac{\varrho^p (\ln t)^{p+1}}{\varrho^p (p + 2)} + M_2 e^{(\varrho-1)(\varrho\ln t)\varrho-1} \ln t)
\]

(21)

where \( M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3 \), and \( M_4 \) are real constants.

By using the boundary condition, we get the following. From the conditions,

\[
\therefore \quad \xi(t, z(t)) - \lambda \gamma^p \varrho^q z(t) + M_0 \frac{\varrho^p (\ln t)^p}{\varrho^p (p + 1)} + M_1 \frac{\varrho^p (\ln t)^{p+1}}{\varrho^p (p + 2)} + M_2 e^{(\varrho-1)(\varrho\ln t)\varrho-1} \ln t)
\]

(22)

Therefore,

\[
M_0 = \gamma^p \varrho^q \xi(\eta).
\]

(24)

Also, by putting \( t = e \) in (17) and (22) and from equation (24), we have

\[
M_0 = \gamma^p \varrho^q \xi(\eta).
\]

(25)
Substituting in (22) from equations (24) and (25), we obtain

\[
z(t) = \frac{1}{\partial^{p+q} \Gamma(p+q)} \int_t^1 e^{(q-1)/q} (\ln t - \ln u) \left( \ln t - \ln u \right)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du
\]

\[
- \frac{\lambda}{\partial^p \Gamma(p)} \int_t^1 e^{(q-1)/q} (\ln t - \ln u) \left( \ln t - \ln u \right)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u)}{u} \, du
\]

\[
+ \frac{\partial^p \Gamma(p+q)}{\partial^p \Gamma(p+q)} \left( \frac{l - \lambda}{\partial^p \Gamma(p+q)} \right) \int_t^1 e^{(q-1)/q} \ln u (1 - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\partial^p \Gamma(q)} \int_t^1 e^{(q-1)/q} \ln u (1 - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du
\]

\[
l - \frac{\lambda(\lambda - l)}{\partial^p \Gamma(p+q)} \int_t^1 e^{(q-1)/q} \ln u (1 - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du
\]

\[
+ \frac{l - \lambda + \partial^p \Gamma(p+q)}{\partial^p \Gamma(p+q)} \left( \frac{l - \lambda + \partial^p \Gamma(p+q)}{\partial^p \Gamma(p+q)} \right) \int_t^1 e^{(q-1)/q} \ln u (1 - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du.
\]

(26)

Suppose that the space \( C(1, e) \) is a Banach space and its norm is defined by \( \|f\| = \sup_{x \in [1, e]} |f(x)| \) such that, for each \( f \in C(1, e) \), it implies that \( f : [1, e] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is continuous. Let us start by defining an operator \( \mathcal{F} : C(1, e) \rightarrow C(1, e) \) in order for

\[
\mathcal{F}z(t) = \frac{1}{\partial^{p+q} \Gamma(p+q)} \int_t^1 e^{(q-1)/q} (\ln t - \ln u) \left( \ln t - \ln u \right)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du
\]

\[
- \frac{\lambda}{\partial^p \Gamma(p)} \int_t^1 e^{(q-1)/q} (\ln t - \ln u) \left( \ln t - \ln u \right)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u)}{u} \, du
\]

\[
+ \frac{\partial^p \Gamma(p+q)}{\partial^p \Gamma(p+q)} \left( \frac{l - \lambda}{\partial^p \Gamma(p+q)} \right) \int_t^1 e^{(q-1)/q} \ln u (1 - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\partial^p \Gamma(q)} \int_t^1 e^{(q-1)/q} \ln u (1 - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du
\]

\[
- \frac{\lambda(\lambda - l)}{\partial^p \Gamma(p+q)} \int_t^1 e^{(q-1)/q} \ln u (1 - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du
\]

\[
+ \frac{l - \lambda + \partial^p \Gamma(p+q)}{\partial^p \Gamma(p+q)} \left( \frac{l - \lambda + \partial^p \Gamma(p+q)}{\partial^p \Gamma(p+q)} \right) \int_t^1 e^{(q-1)/q} \ln u (1 - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du.
\]

(27)
It should be noted that if the operator $\mathfrak{G}$ has a fixed point, the solution of equation (15) exists.

3.1. Existence Result. We now introduce the following conditions to confirm our existence result with the support of fixed point procedure.

**Theorem 2.** Let us imagine that the continuous function $\xi: [1, e] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following inferences: where

$$\begin{align*}
Y_3 &= \frac{|l - \lambda|}{\rho^{p+q} \Gamma(p+q+1) + \rho^q \Gamma(q+1) \lambda - \rho^p \Gamma(p+2)} + \frac{\chi}{\rho^p \Gamma(p+2) \Gamma(p+1)}\left[\ln \eta^\rho \left|l - \lambda + \rho^p \Gamma(p+1)\right| + \rho^p \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(\theta+1) \|l - \lambda - \rho^p \Gamma(p+2)\| + \rho^p \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(\theta+1)\right],
Y_1 &= \frac{1}{\rho^{p+q} \Gamma(p+q+1) + \rho^q \Gamma(q+1) \lambda - \rho^p \Gamma(p+2)} + \frac{|l - \lambda|}{\rho^p \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(\theta+1)} \left[\ln \eta^\rho \left|l - \lambda + \rho^p \Gamma(p+1)\right| + \rho^p \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(\theta+1) \|l - \lambda - \rho^p \Gamma(p+2)\| + \rho^p \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(\theta+1)\right],
Y_2 &= \frac{1}{\rho^{p+q} \Gamma(p+q+1) + \rho^q \Gamma(q+1) \lambda - \rho^p \Gamma(p+2)} + \frac{|l - \lambda|}{\rho^p \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(\theta+1)} \left[\ln \eta^\rho \left|l - \lambda + \rho^p \Gamma(p+1)\right| + \rho^p \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(\theta+1) \|l - \lambda - \rho^p \Gamma(p+2)\| + \rho^p \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(\theta+1)\right].
\end{align*}$$

Proof. Choose $r = (Y_1 \|k\|/(1 - Y_2))$, where

$$\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{G}_1 z(t) &= \frac{1}{\rho^{p+q} \Gamma(p+q+1)} \int_1^t e^{\left((q-1)/(q+1)\right) \ln t - \ln u} \left(1 - \ln u\right)^{p+q-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} du,
\mathfrak{G}_2 z(t) &= \frac{1}{\rho^{p+q} \Gamma(p+q+1)} \int_1^t e^{\left((q-1)/(q+1)\right) \ln t - \ln u} \left(1 - \ln u\right)^{p+q-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} du,
\end{align*}$$

Define the closed ball $B_\varepsilon = \{w \in C[1, e]: \|w\| \leq r\}$. By combining the operators $\mathfrak{G}_1, \mathfrak{G}_2 \in B_\varepsilon$ which are described as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
(\mathfrak{G}_1 z)(t) &= \frac{1}{\rho^{p+q} \Gamma(p+q+1)} \int_1^t e^{\left((q-1)/(q+1)\right) \ln t - \ln u} \left(1 - \ln u\right)^{p+q-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} du,
(\mathfrak{G}_2 z)(t) &= \frac{1}{\rho^{p+q} \Gamma(p+q+1)} \int_1^t e^{\left((q-1)/(q+1)\right) \ln t - \ln u} \left(1 - \ln u\right)^{p+q-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} du.
\end{align*}$$
Keep this in mind $\mathfrak{F}(t) = \mathfrak{F}(t) + \mathfrak{F}(t) \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[1, e]$. First, we will show that $\mathfrak{F}(t) = \mathfrak{F}(t) + \mathfrak{F}(t)$ $t \in \mathcal{R}$, for each $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{R}$.

$$\left\| \mathfrak{F}_1 + \mathfrak{F}_2 \right\| = \sup_{t \in [1, e]} \left| \mathfrak{F}_1(t) + \mathfrak{F}_2(t) \right|$$

$$= \sup_{t \in [1, e]} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left( p + q \right)} \int_1^t e^{\left( -1 \right)/\ln u} (\ln t - \ln u)^{p+q-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du \right\}$$

$$- \frac{\lambda}{\phi^q} \int_1^t e^{-(1)/(p+q)} (\ln t - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\zeta(u, z(u))}{u} \, du$$

$$+ e^{(1)/(p+q)} \int_1^t e^{-(1)/(p+q)} (\ln t - \ln u)^{p+q-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du$$

$$= \sup_{t \in [1, e]} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left( p + q \right)} \int_1^t e^{\left( -1 \right)/\ln u} (\ln t - \ln u)^{p+q-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du \right\}$$

$$- \frac{\lambda}{\phi^q} \int_1^t e^{-(1)/(p+q)} (\ln t - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\zeta(u, z(u))}{u} \, du$$

$$+ e^{(1)/(p+q)} \int_1^t e^{-(1)/(p+q)} (\ln t - \ln u)^{p+q-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du$$

Taking advantage of the $e^{(1)/(p+q)} \leq e^{(1)/(p+q)} (\ln t - \ln u) \leq 1$, for $0 \leq \ln u < \ln \eta < \ln t \leq 1$, and from $I_1$, we get

$$\left(32\right)$$
\[
\|z_1 + z_2\| \leq \|k\| \sup_{t \in [1, \epsilon]} \left\{ \frac{1}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (\ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} + \frac{|l - \lambda| (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1}}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (1 - \ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} + \frac{\|z_1\| \lambda}{e^{p \Gamma (p)}} \sup_{t \in [1, \epsilon]} \left\{ \int_1^e (\ln t - \ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} + \|z_2\| \sup_{t \in [1, \epsilon]} \left\{ \frac{\lambda |l - \lambda| (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1}}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (1 - \ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} + \left( \frac{(\ln \eta)^{\frac{1}{2}} |l - \lambda + e^{p \Gamma (p + 1)}|}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right) \left( \frac{\rho}{p \Gamma (p + 1) \Gamma (\theta + 1)} \frac{(\ln \eta)^{\frac{1}{2}} |l - \lambda + e^{p \Gamma (p + 1)}|}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \|k\| \left\{ \frac{1}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} + \frac{\lambda |l - \lambda| (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1}}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \right\} + \frac{\lambda |l - \lambda|}{e^{p \Gamma (p + 1)}} + \frac{\lambda |l - \lambda| (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1}}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (\ln t - \ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} \leq \|k\|\|Y_1 + \mathcal{R}Y_2 \leq \mathcal{R}.
\]

Hence, \( \mathcal{F} z(t) = \mathcal{F}_1 z_1(t) + \mathcal{F}_2 z_2(t) \in \mathcal{B} \).

Second, we will demonstrate that \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) is a contraction operator. Let \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) be two elements in Banach space \( (\mathcal{C} [1, \epsilon], \|\|) \) such that

\[
\|z_2\| \leq \|z_1\| \sup_{t \in [1, \epsilon]} \left\{ \frac{e^{(q-1)\rho ln t}}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p)}} \int_1^e (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} + \frac{\lambda |l - \lambda| (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1}}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (1 - \ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} + \frac{\lambda |l - \lambda|}{e^{p \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (\ln t - \ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} + \frac{\lambda |l - \lambda| (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1}}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (1 - \ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} + \left( \frac{\lambda |l - \lambda| (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1}}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (1 - \ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right) \right\} \leq \|k\|\|Y_1 + \mathcal{R}Y_2 \leq \mathcal{R}.
\]

Taking advantage of the \( e^{(q-1)\rho ln t} \leq e^{(q-1)\rho ln t} \leq 1 \) for \( 0 \leq \ln u < \ln \eta < \ln t \leq 1 \), and from \( I_2 \), we get

\[
\|z_2\| \leq \|z_1\| \sup_{t \in [1, \epsilon]} \left\{ \frac{e^{(q-1)\rho ln t}}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p)}} \int_1^e (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} + \frac{\lambda |l - \lambda| (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1}}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (1 - \ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} + \frac{\lambda |l - \lambda|}{e^{p \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (\ln t - \ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} + \frac{\lambda |l - \lambda| (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1}}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (1 - \ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} + \left( \frac{\lambda |l - \lambda| (\ln t)^{p+\eta-1}}{e^{p \rho \Gamma (p + 1)}} \int_1^e (1 - \ln u)^{p+\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right) \right\} \leq \|k\|\|Y_1 + \mathcal{R}Y_2 \leq \mathcal{R}.
\]
\[ \| \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbf{a}_1 - \mathfrak{A}_2 \mathbf{a}_2 \| \leq \begin{cases} 
 \left[ \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \right]^\rho | \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} | \chi \Gamma(p + q + 1) + \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \Gamma(p + q + 1) \Gamma(p + q) \Gamma(p + q + 1) 
 + \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \Gamma(p + q + 1) \Gamma(p + q) \Gamma(p + q + 1) 
 + \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \Gamma(p + q + 1) \Gamma(p + q) \Gamma(p + q + 1) \end{cases} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \Gamma(p + q + 1) \Gamma(p + q) \Gamma(p + q + 1) \]  

(35)

Hence, \( \mathfrak{A}_2 \) is a contraction map, as implied by assumption that \( \gamma < 1 \).

Finally, we shall demonstrate that \( \mathfrak{A}_1 \) is continuous and compact.

To begin with, since \( \xi \) is a continuous function on \( t \in [1, e] \), operator \( \mathfrak{A}_1 \) is also continuous.

\( \mathfrak{A}_1 \) must be uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on \( \mathfrak{B}_r \) in order to be seen to be compact.

\[ \| \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbf{z} \| = \sup_{t \in [1, e]} | \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbf{z}(t) | \]

\[ \leq \sup_{t \in [1, e]} \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \Gamma(p + q + 1) \Gamma(p + q) \Gamma(p + q + 1) \right\} \int_1^t \xi(u, z(u)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ \xi(u, z(u)) \right] du \]

\[ \leq \| \mathbf{z} \| \sup_{t \in [1, e]} \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \Gamma(p + q + 1) \Gamma(p + q) \Gamma(p + q + 1) \right\} \int_1^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ \xi(u, z(u)) \right] du \]

(36)

This proves that \( \mathfrak{A}_1 \) is uniformly bounded.

The compactness of operator \( \mathfrak{A}_1 \) is then demonstrated.

For each \( 1 < t_1 < t_2 < e \), we get

\[ | \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbf{z}(t_1) - \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbf{z}(t_2) | = \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \Gamma(p + q + 1) \right\} \int_1^{t_1} \xi(u, z(u)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ \xi(u, z(u)) \right] du \]

\[ - \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \Gamma(p + q + 1) \int_1^{t_1} \xi(u, z(u)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ \xi(u, z(u)) \right] du \]

\[ - \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \Gamma(p + q + 1) \int_1^{t_1} \xi(u, z(u)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ \xi(u, z(u)) \right] du \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \Gamma(p + q + 1) \int_1^{t_1} \xi(u, z(u)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ \xi(u, z(u)) \right] du \]

\[ | \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbf{z}(t_1) - \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbf{z}(t_2) | = \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \Gamma(p + q + 1) \right\} \int_1^{t_1} \xi(u, z(u)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ \xi(u, z(u)) \right] du \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\rho^\rho} \Gamma(p + q + 1) \int_1^{t_1} \xi(u, z(u)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ \xi(u, z(u)) \right] du \]
\[
\begin{align*}
- \frac{\lambda}{\omega^p(r)} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( e^{(q-1)\ln(t_2/u)} \frac{1}{u} \right) \frac{1}{u} \, du \\
+ \frac{\lambda}{\omega^p(r)} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{(q-1)\ln(t/u)} (t_2 - t_1) \, du \\
\leq & \frac{\|k\|}{\omega^p(r)(p+q)} \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{(q-1)\ln(t/u)} \frac{1}{u} \, du \right) \frac{t_2}{t_1} - \frac{t_1}{t_2} + \frac{\lambda r}{\omega^p(r)} \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{(q-1)\ln(t/u)} \frac{1}{u} \, du \right) \\
\text{Taking advantage of the } & e^{(q-1)\ln(t/u)} \leq e^{(q-1)\ln(t_1/u)} \leq 1, \quad e^{(q-1)\ln(t_2/u)} \leq 1, \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq u < t_1 < t_2 \leq e, \text{ and from the first mean value theorem, we get} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
|\mathfrak{F}_z(t_1) - \mathfrak{F}_z(t_2)| \leq \frac{\|k\|}{\omega^p(r)(p+q)} \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{(q-1)\ln(t/u)} \frac{1}{u} \, du \right) \frac{t_2}{t_1} - \frac{t_1}{t_2} + \frac{\lambda r}{\omega^p(r)} \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{(q-1)\ln(t/u)} \frac{1}{u} \, du \right) \\
\text{(13) } & \mathcal{N} = \sup_{t \in [1,e]} |\mathfrak{F}(t,0)| < \infty \\
\text{If} & \quad \Omega = \chi Y_1 + Y_2 \leq 1, \\
\text{then the FLEs (15) have a unique solution on } & [1,e].
\]

\[
3.2. \text{Uniqueness Result} \\
\textbf{Theorem 3.} \text{Let us imagine that the continuous function } \\
\xi: [1,e] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ satisfying the inferences (I), (II) and} \\
\]

\[
|\xi(u, w(u))| = |\xi(u, w(u)) - \xi(u, 0) + \xi(u, 0)| \leq |\xi(u, w(u)) - \xi(u, 0)| + |\xi(u, 0)| \\
\leq |\xi| |w(u)| + \mathcal{N} \leq \chi r_1 + \mathcal{N}. \\
\]

\[
\text{Therefore,} \\
\]

\[
\text{Proof. Choose } r_1 > \left( Y_1 \mathcal{N}/(1 - Y_1 - Y_2) \right), \text{ to define the closed ball } \\
\mathfrak{F}_{r_1} = \{ w \in \mathcal{F}_{[1,e]}; \|w\| \leq r_1 \}. \\
\mathfrak{F}_{r_1} \subset \mathcal{F}_{r_1}, \text{ and } \mathfrak{F} \text{ is contractive.} \\
\text{For each } u \in [1,e] \text{ and } w \in \mathfrak{F}_{r_1}, \text{ we get}
\]

\[
|\xi(u, w(u))| = |\xi(u, w(u)) - \xi(u, 0) + \xi(u, 0)| \leq |\xi(u, w(u)) - \xi(u, 0)| + |\xi(u, 0)| \\
\leq |\xi| |w(u)| + \mathcal{N} \leq \chi r_1 + \mathcal{N}. \\
\]
\[
|\mathfrak{S}(t)| \leq \sup_{t \in [\lambda, \xi]} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Theta ^{t \lambda - l} (p + q)} \int_{1}^{t} e^{(q - 1)/q (\ln t - \ln u)} (\ln t - \ln u)^{p \rho - \frac{1}{q} \frac{\xi}{u}} du \right. \\
+ \frac{|\lambda|}{\Theta ^{t \lambda - l} (p)} \int_{1}^{t} e^{(q - 1)/q (\ln t - \ln u)} (\ln t - \ln u)^{p \rho - \frac{1}{q} \frac{\xi}{u}} du \\
+ e^{(q - 1)/q |\ln t|^p - 1} \frac{l - \lambda}{\Theta ^{t \lambda - l} (p + 2)} \int_{1}^{t} e^{(q - 1)/q |\ln u|} (\ln u - \ln u)^{p \rho - 1} \frac{\xi}{u} du \\
+ e^{(q - 1)/q |\ln t|^p - 1} \frac{|\lambda|}{\Theta ^{t \lambda - l} (p + 2)} \int_{1}^{t} e^{(q - 1)/q |\ln u|} (\ln u - \ln u)^{p \rho - 1} \frac{\xi}{u} du \left. \right\} \] 

Taking advantage of the \( e^{(q - 1)/q |\ln t|^p - 1} < e^{(q - 1)/q (\ln (t_1 \eta))} \leq 1, \quad e^{(q - 1)/q (\ln (t_1 \eta))} \leq 1 \), for each \( 1 \leq u < t_1 < t_2 \leq \xi \).
\[ |\mathcal{Z} w(t)| \leq (\chi_{r_1} + \lambda) \sup_{\alpha \in [1, \varepsilon]} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p + q)} \int_1^t (\ln u)^{p\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} \\
+ \frac{|l - \lambda|}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t (\ln u)^{p\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \\
+ \frac{\varrho^p |\lambda - \ell - \varrho^p \Gamma(p + 2)||\lambda - \ell - \varrho^p \Gamma(p + 2)||\Gamma(p + q + 1)}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p + 1)\Gamma(p + 2)} \int_1^t (\ln u)^{p\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} \\
\leq (\chi r_1 + N) \sup_{\alpha \in [1, \varepsilon]} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p + q + 1)} + \frac{|l - \lambda|}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p + q + 1)} \right\} \\
+ \frac{\varrho^p |\lambda - \ell - \varrho^p \Gamma(p + 2)||\lambda - \ell - \varrho^p \Gamma(p + 2)||\Gamma(p + q + 1)}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p + 1)\Gamma(p + 2)} \int_1^t (\ln u)^{p\eta-1} \frac{du}{u} \right\} \\
\leq (\chi r_1 + N) Y_1 + r_1 Y_2 \leq r_1,
\]

which suggests that \( \|\mathcal{Z} w\| \leq r_1 \). Hence, \( \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{B}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{r_1} \).

Now, we will show that \( \mathcal{Z} \) is contractive. So, for all \( w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{C}[1, \varepsilon] \), we get
\[ |\mathfrak{I}w_1(t) - \mathfrak{I}w_2(t)| \leq \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varrho^{p+q}(p + q)} \int_1^e e^{(q-1)(q)\ln(t)\ln(u)} \left( \ln t \right)^{p-q-1} \left[ \xi(u, w_1(u)) - \xi(u, w_2(u)) \right] du \right\} \\
+ \frac{|\lambda|}{\varrho^q \Gamma(p + q)} \int_1^e e^{(q-1)(q)\ln(t)\ln(u)} \left( \ln t \right)^{p-q-1} \left| w_1(u) - w_2(u) \right| du \\
+ \frac{e^{(q-1)(q)\ln t^{p+1}}}{\varrho^p \lambda - \varrho^p \Gamma(p + 2) \Gamma(9)} \int_1^e e^{(q-1)(q)\ln u} (1 - \ln u)^{p-q-1} \left[ \xi(u, w_1(u)) - \xi(u, w_2(u)) \right] du \\
+ \frac{1}{\varrho^q \Gamma(p)} \int_1^e e^{(q-1)(q)\ln u} (1 - \ln u)^{p-q-1} \left| w_1(u) - w_2(u) \right| du \\
+ \frac{|\lambda||\lambda - \eta|}{\Gamma(p)} \int_1^\eta e^{(q-1)(q)(\ln \eta - \ln u)} (\ln \eta - \ln u)^{p-q-1} \left| w_1(u) - w_2(u) \right| du \\
+ \frac{e^{(q-1)(q)\ln t^p}}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p + 1) \Gamma(9)} \int_1^\eta e^{(q-1)(q)(\ln \eta - \ln u)} (\ln \eta - \ln u)^{p-q-1} \left| w_1(u) - w_2(u) \right| du \right\} \]
such that $\chi Y_1 + Y_2 < 1$. Then, $\mathfrak{F}$ is a contractive operator. Hence, $\mathfrak{F}$ has a unique fixed point. As a result, there is only one solution for FLEs (15).

**Definition 8.** It is said that the integral equation (26) is HU stable. If $\exists e^* > 0$ for some fixed constant $\zeta^* > 0$ satisfying the following:

$$|z(t) - z_1(t)| \leq e^* \zeta^*,$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(46)}

**4. Stability Results**

The HU stability of equation (15) is discussed in this section. The following is the definition of HU stability:

\begin{align*}
|z(t) - \left( \frac{1}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p+q)} \right) \int_1^t e^{((q-1)/q)(\ln t - \ln u)} (\ln t - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du
- \frac{\lambda}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p)} \int_1^t e^{((q-1)/q)(\ln t - \ln u)} (\ln t - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{z(u)}{u} \, du
+ \frac{e^{((q-1)/q)\ln t} (\ln t)^{p+1}}{\varrho^p (\lambda - \varrho^p \Gamma(p+2))} \int_1^t e^{((q-1)/q)\ln u} (1 - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z(u))}{u} \, du
+ \frac{1}{\varrho^p \Gamma(q)} \int_1^t e^{((q-1)/q)\ln u} (1 - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{z(u)}{u} \, du
- \frac{\lambda - \varrho^p \Gamma(p+1)}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(\theta)} \int_1^t e^{((q-1)/q)(\ln \eta - \ln u)} (\ln \eta - \ln u)^{\theta-1} \frac{z(u)}{u} \, du
+ \frac{e^{((q-1)/q)\ln t} (\ln t)^{p}}{\varrho^p \Gamma^2(p+1) \Gamma(\theta)} \int_1^t e^{((q-1)/q)(\ln \eta - \ln u)} (\ln \eta - \ln u)^{\theta-1} \frac{z(u)}{u} \, du \right) \leq e^* \zeta^*,
\end{align*}

then there exists a continuous function $z_1(t)$ which satisfies

\begin{align*}
z_1(t) &= \left( \frac{1}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p+q)} \right) \int_1^t e^{((q-1)/q)(\ln t - \ln u)} (\ln t - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z_1(u))}{u} \, du
- \frac{\lambda}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p)} \int_1^t e^{((q-1)/q)(\ln t - \ln u)} (\ln t - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{z_1(u)}{u} \, du
+ \frac{e^{((q-1)/q)\ln t} (\ln t)^{p+1}}{\varrho^p (\lambda - \varrho^p \Gamma(p+2))} \int_1^t e^{((q-1)/q)\ln u} (1 - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{\xi(u, z_1(u))}{u} \, du
+ \frac{1}{\varrho^p \Gamma(q)} \int_1^t e^{((q-1)/q)\ln u} (1 - \ln u)^{p-1} \frac{z_1(u)}{u} \, du
- \frac{\lambda - \varrho^p \Gamma(p+1)}{\varrho^p \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(\theta)} \int_1^t e^{((q-1)/q)(\ln \eta - \ln u)} (\ln \eta - \ln u)^{\theta-1} \frac{z_1(u)}{u} \, du
+ \frac{e^{((q-1)/q)\ln t} (\ln t)^{p}}{\varrho^p \Gamma^2(p+1) \Gamma(\theta)} \int_1^t e^{((q-1)/q)(\ln \eta - \ln u)} (\ln \eta - \ln u)^{\theta-1} \frac{z_1(u)}{u} \, du \right),
\end{align*}

such that

$$|z(t) - z_1(t)| \leq e^* \zeta^*.$$

**Theorem 4.** Let us imagine that the continuous function $\xi : [1, e] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies inferences (I) and (II), then equation (15) is HU stable.
Proof.

\[
\|z(t) - z_1(t)\| \leq \sup_{t \in [1, \epsilon]} \left\{ \frac{1}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t e^{(q-1)/q} (\ln u - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{|\xi(u, z(u)) - \xi(u, z_1(u))|}{u} du \right. \\
- \frac{|\lambda|}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t e^{(q-1)/q} (\ln u - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{|z(u) - z_1(u)|}{u} du \\
+ \frac{e^{(q-1)/q} \ln t}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t e^{(q-1)/q} (\ln u - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{|\xi(u, z(u)) - \xi(u, z_1(u))|}{u} du \\
+ \frac{1}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t e^{(q-1)/q} \frac{|z(u) - z_1(u)|}{u} du \\
- \frac{\lambda(\lambda - l)}{\Gamma(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t e^{(q-1)/q} (\ln u - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{|z(u) - z_1(u)|}{u} du \\
+ \frac{l - \lambda + e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t e^{(q-1)/q} (\ln u - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{|z(u) - z_1(u)|}{u} du \\
+ \frac{e^{(q-1)/q} \ln t}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t e^{(q-1)/q} (\ln u - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{|z(u) - z_1(u)|}{u} du \\
\leq (\|z - z_1\|) \sup_{t \in [1, \epsilon]} \left\{ \frac{1}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t (\ln t - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{du}{u} \right. \\
+ \frac{|l - \lambda|}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t (\ln t - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{du}{u} \\
+ \frac{|\ln t|}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t (\ln t - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{du}{u} \\
\leq \frac{1}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t (\ln t - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{du}{u} \right. \\
+ \|z - z_1\| \left\{ \frac{1}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t (\ln t - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{du}{u} \right. \\
+ \frac{|l - \lambda|}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t (\ln t - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{du}{u} \\
+ \frac{|\ln t|}{e^{\Gamma p + \Gamma q}(p + q + 1)} \int_1^t (\ln t - \ln \eta) e^{[\eta]^{p+q-1}} \frac{du}{u} \\
\leq (\chi Y_1 + Y_2)\|z - z_1\| .
\]
Hence, by using equation (47), equation (26) is HU stable. Therefore, the FLEs (15) are HU stable. □

5. An Example

Let us take a look at the following FLEs:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}^{2.5,0.5} \left( \mathcal{D}^{1.5,0.5} + \frac{1}{50} \right) z(t) &= \xi(t,z(t)), \quad t \in [1,e], \\
z(1) &= 0, \\
z'(1) &= 0, \\
z''(1) &= 0, \\
\mathcal{D}^{1.5,0.5} z(e) &= 2^{1.5} z \left( \frac{e}{2} \right), \\
\mathcal{D}^{1.5,0.5} z(e) + \frac{1}{4} z(e) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\] (48)

Choose

\[ |\xi(t,z(t))| = \frac{1}{t + 25} \left( \frac{|z|}{|z| + 10} \right) \] (49)

It is obvious that the prerequisites (11), (12), and (13) are fulfilled.

By putting \( \chi = (1/4) \), we get \( Y_3 = 0.372486 < 1 \), \( Y_2 = 0.0565441 \), and \( Y_1 = 1.98731 \). Hence, all assumptions of Theorem 2 are met. As a result of Theorem 2, there must be at least one solution to equation (15).

On the other hand, \( \chi Y_1 + Y_3 = 0.553373 < 1 \), this implies that Theorem 3 demonstrates that equation (15) has only one solution. The requirements for HU stability can also be found in a simple manner. Equation (15) is HU stable, so we conclude.

6. Conclusion

Fractional Langevin equations have a considerable role in modeling varied physical phenomena. For instance, they have been employed for describing single-file prevalence [19] and the conduct of unshackled particles driven by internal noises [16]. The present paper examined the fractional Langevin equations with generalized proportional Hadamard–Caputo derivative of different orders. Nonlocal integrals and non-periodic boundary conditions have been considered as well. With the assistance of Krasnoselskii and Banach fixed point theorems, existence, uniqueness, and Hyres–Ulam stability for the solution have been amply investigated. Moreover, an application example has been offered to reinforce the accuracy of the gained outcomes.
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