Use of low volume, high effort resistance training to manage blood pressure in hypertensive patients inside a public hospital: a proof of concept study
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Abstract

Physical exercise has been shown to have an important role in the prevention and treatment of arterial hypertension. However, the general exercise recommendations are time consuming, which might be detrimental to exercise adoption. Based on this, minimal doses of exercise have been suggested as an alternative approach and this report investigates the feasibility and effects of low volume and high effort resistance training for hypertensive patients in a hospital setting. This is a pilot non-randomized prospective study where 15 sedentary hypertensive patients (11 women and 4 men) performed 24 resistance training sessions over 12 weeks at a public hospital. The resistance training sessions consisted of two sets of four exercises, with a rest interval of two minutes between sets. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were measured 10 minutes before and 10 minutes after each training. No injuries or intercurrence were reported during the study. SBP decreased significantly when compared the first and last sessions, when the measures were performed at rest (152 ± 16 mmHg vs. 122 ± 9 mmHg, p<0.05), and after (137 ± 13 mmHg vs. 115 ± 5 mmHg, p<0.05) the resistance training session. Similarly, DBP also decreased significantly when the values from the last session were compared with the first session, when measured at rest (83 ± 14 mmHg vs 73 ± 9 mmHg, p<0.05). Low volume and high effort resistance training seems to be a feasible non-pharmacological strategy to help controlling blood pressure in hypertensive patients within a hospital.
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Arterial hypertension is the most common chronic noncommunicable disease among the elderly and represents one of the greatest challenges in public health. Most guidelines recommend lifestyle modifications (e.g., increased physical activity, smoking cessation, moderate alcohol consumption, improved dietary choices) as an important strategy to prevent and manage hypertension. In this sense, physical exercise, such as aerobic and resistance exercise, is considered one of the most important non-pharmacological treatments. Specifically regarding resistance training there is evidence that it can prevent and treat high blood pressure at all stages. However, the guidelines for hypertensive patients are not consensual. One important aspect of exercise prescription is time commitment, since lack of time is an important barrier for exercise adoption. In this regard, low volume and high effort resistance training (i.e. one to two sets of 8-12 repetitions of 4 exercises, taking approximately 20 minutes per session) has gained notoriety for improving health and functional parameters, especially in older people. In a case study, Seguro et al. reported that only 40 minutes of exercise per week was sufficient to promote positive cardiovascular and metabolic changes in a hypertensive and diabetic patient. A similar approach adopted by Costa et al. produced functional benefits in older women. Therefore, the use of such strategy might be useful as an adjunct in the treatment of hypertensive patients, especially considering that resistance training might reduce resting blood pressure and that muscle strength is associated
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with lower mortality in hypertensive patients.\textsuperscript{13} Considering that gyms and fitness centers are not accessible for many people, the use of such strategy in a hospital setting, might be an interesting therapeutic strategy. The performance of resistance training within a hospital might increase adherence and the safety perception, especially when patients are classified or perceive themselves as having higher risk. Moreover, the environment will allow a closer multidisciplinary work, with the physical proximity of different professionals. However, information regarding the use of low volume and high efforts resistance training in a group of hypertensive patients in a hospital setting are lacking.\textsuperscript{9} Furthermore, safety and efficacy might be perceived as important barriers by the patients and hospital managers. Based on this, we conducted a proof of concept study in order to investigate the feasibility and effects of low volume and high effort resistance training for hypertensive patients in a hospital setting. Our hypothesis is that low-volume, high-effort exercise can lower blood pressure and that it may be applied in hospitals.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Fifteen sedentary patients (11 women and 4 men, mean age 63.5±4.7 years) participated in the study (Table 1). The inclusion criteria for the study were: (i) to be diagnosed with arterial hypertension, characterized by values ≥ 140 mmHg for [Systolic Arterial Pressure (SBP)] or ≥ 90 mmHg for [Diastolic Arterial Pressure (DBP)] according to 7\textsuperscript{th} Brazilian Arterial Hypertension Guidelines; (ii) aged over 40 years; (iii) currently being under regular treatment to control blood pressure; (iv) to not participate in any type of systematic physical exercise. Exclusion criteria were: (i) decompensated cardiac failure; (ii) acute myocardial infarction or stroke in the last three months; (iii) chronic renal failure; (iv) mobility limitations; and (v) any physical or mental limitation that could impair the practice of exercises. All participants were informed of the potential risks and benefits of the study and signed an informed consent form. All experimental procedures were approved by the University Human Research Ethics Committee (approval number: 57297316.7.0000.5083) and conformed to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. At the beginning of the treatment all patients used losartan 50 mg two times per day, five patients used amlodipine besylate 5 mg per day, three used atenolol 50 mg two times per day, two used hydrochlorothiazide 25 mg per day, one patient used simvastatin 20 mg per day and acetylsalicylic acid 100 mg per day (Table 2). Times of medications were controlled and maintained constant during the study period.

| Table 1. Participant’s characteristics |
|---------------------------------------|
| Women (11)                            |
| Age                                   |
| 62.6 ± 5.0                            |
| Men (4)                               |
| Age                                   |
| 66.0 ± 3.2                            |
| RTP                                   |
| First RT session                      |
| Last RT session                       |
| First RT session                      |
| Last RT session                       |
| Heart rate, bpm                       |
| Before                                |
| After                                 |
| Before                                |
| After                                 |
| SBP, mmHg                             |
| 151 ± 16                              |
| 137 ± 14                              |
| 121 ± 9                               |
| 114 ± 5                               |
| 147 ± 16                              |
| 135 ± 14                              |
| 122 ± 10                              |
| 114 ± 6                               |
| DBP, mmHg                             |
| 82 ± 14                               |
| 76 ± 12                               |
| 72 ± 14                               |
| 68 ± 14                               |
| 80 ± 14                               |
| 75 ± 12                               |
| 71 ± 9                                |
| 67 ± 9                                |

| Table 2. Participant’s medication before and after resistance training |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Losartan 50mg            | 15 patients     | 10 patients     |
|                         | 2x/day           | 1x/day           |
| Amlodipine besylate 5mg  | 5 patients      | 2 patients      |
|                         | 1x/day           | 1x/day           |
| Atenolol 50mg           | 3 patients      | 1 patient       |
|                         | 2x/day           | 1x/day           |
| Hydrochlorothiazide 25mg | 2 patients      | 2 patients      |
|                         | 1x/day           | 1x/day           |
| Simvastatin 20mg        | 1 patient       | 1 patient       |
|                         | 1x/day           | 1x/day           |
| Acetylsalicylic 100mg   | 1 patient       | 1 patient       |
|                         | 1x/day           | 1x/day           |
Study Design

The study was carried out at a public hospital with ambulatory visits at the Physical Education department and the patients were referred by an accredited cardiologist. All sessions were performed in an exercise room inside the hospital. The room has 12 m² and contained one leg press 45º, one pulldown machine, one cable seated row, two bars, one dumbbell kit (2 to 10 kg) and weight plates. Each patient performed 24 resistance training sessions over 12 weeks, with at least 48 hours between them. HR, SBP and DBP were measure before and after resistance training. All patients were instructed to report any change in medication during the study period and this was confirmed by their physicians. The resistance training sessions lasted approximately 20 minutes. Participants were instructed to maintain their usual diet during the study. During the study, the participants were constantly monitored for injuries and any possible negative outcome associated with the intervention.

Blood pressure and heart rate

SBP, DBP and HR were measured immediately before and 10 minutes after each resistance training session using a validated digital blood pressure monitor (OMRON, HEM-705CP, China). Before each measure, the patient remained seated for 10 minutes. The procedures followed the 7th Brazilian Arterial Hypertension Guidelines14,15. The comparisons involved the measures performed in first and last training session (i.e. pre training values at the first training session vs. pre training values at the last training session; post training values at the first training session vs. post training values at the last training session). The standard error of the measure and intraclass correlation coefficient for SBP, DBP and HR were 3.7 mmHg and 0.98, 4.6 mmHg and 0.84, and 3.1 bpm and 0.94, respectively.

Resistance Training intervention

The participants performed resistance training two times per week, separated by at least 48 hours, between 8 and 10 a.m. The participants had the possibility of training in different days; therefore, if the lost a session, they could perform it in the next available day. The research staff maintained constant contact with all the participants through telephone and instant messages in order to motivate adherence and monitor adverse events. All resistance training sessions were performed following a minimum dose approach16 and were individually supervised by certified and qualified physical education professionals.17 The resistance training sessions were composed of four multi joint exercises (leg press, lat pulldown, deadlift and incline dumbbell bench press).18 Two sets were performed for each exercise with two–minutes rest interval between sets. During the first four resistance training sessions the participants performed 10–12 repetitions to volitional fatigue. After the fifth resistance training session, patients performed 6–9 repetitions to momentary muscle failure with rests of two minutes between sets, as defined by Steele et al.16,19 The participants were instructed to maintain two seconds in the concentric and eccentric phase, without rest in the transition phase. Workloads were adjusted whenever necessary to maintain the target range of repetitions.8,10

Statistical Analysis

According to the Shapiro–Wilk test, all variables presented a normal distribution (p > 0.05), except SBP measured after the first and last resistance training session and DBP measured after the first resistance training session. Student’s paired t-tests were used to compare variables with normal distribution and Wilcoxon test to compare those without normal distribution. Descriptive statistics was used to describe the mean and standard deviation of participants age. All statistical analyses were performed with the GraphPad Prism software (version 5.0, San Diego, CA, USA). The significance level was set p ≤ 0.05.

Results and Discussion

All patients initially selected concluded the study and no injuries were reported. There were no differences in HR when comparing pre and post intervention values, either
measured before or after training session. SBP measured before (SBPpre ∆: 18.7 ± 8.0% [29 ± 15 mmHg]) and after (SBPpost ∆: 15.4 ± 9.0% [22 ± 15 mmHg]) the last resistance training session decreased significantly from the corresponding values in the first resistance training session. DBP measured before (DBPpre ∆: 11.2 ± 12.1% [10 ± 11 mmHg]) and after (DBPpost ∆: 8.5 ± 15.9% [7 ± 13 mmHg]) the last resistance training session decreased significantly from the corresponding values in the first resistance training session (Figure 1). A posteriori calculation revealed that the observed power was >0.99 for both SBPpre and SBPpost, 0.71 for DBPpre and 0.6 for DBPpost (Figure 2). During the study period eight patients reported dizziness and low blood pressure, which led the physician to adjust the patients’ medication. At the end of the 12 weeks, eight patients reduced the amount of losartan to once daily, three decreased amlodipine, two decreased atenolol and the patient who used simvastatin had the medication suspended.

The purpose of this study was to test the effects of low doses of high effort resistance training performed inside a hospital. The study showed that 20-minute sessions of resistance training carried out over 12 weeks, 2 times per week, were able to significantly reduce SBP and DBP in hypertensive adults with 100% adherence and no report of injuries. Whilst medication reduction was not the primary endpoint of the study, it is interesting to note that such reductions occurred with a concomitant decrease in the amount of medications used. The volunteers were taking medications to control hypertension for more than 5 years and their records showed a progression or stability in the amount and dose of medication. However, during the study, many patients have reported sleepiness and discomfort in daily activities, which lead the physician to reevaluate the anti-hypertensive medication prescription. The dose Losartan fell by half in two-thirds of the patients, three decreased amlodipine and atenolol decreased in two patients. Although previous meta-analysis showed that resistance training is able to reduce resting blood pressure, the results of the studies are not consensual. In this regard, our findings are contrary to a previous study published by Gomes in which hypertensive patients performed seven exercises (bench press, leg press, seated row, knee extension, arm barbell curls, leg curl, front elevation and abduction the hip) for 12 weeks. The exercises were performed with three sets of 10 repetitions and two-minute interval between sets, resulting in a duration of approximately 40 minutes. Different form our findings, there were no changes in resting blood pressure. The divergence between the results is not clear. Traditionally, 150 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity or 75 minutes of vigorous intensity physical activity are recommended as non-pharmacological treatments for hypertension. However, our study shows that small doses of high effort resistance training (~40 minutes per week) were able to significantly reduce blood pressure. The reductions in blood pressure found in our study may be clinically relevant for hypertensive people, since it has been shown that the decrease of 20 mmHg on systolic and 10 mmHg in diastolic blood pressure represents a 50% decrease in mortality risk by stroke, ischemic disease and other vascular diseases.

The choice of small number of repetitions (<10) was for safety reasons, since it promotes lower acute increases in blood pressure, HR, double product, and promotes better autonomic modulation than performing a higher number of repetitions. Resistance training sessions were composed of four multi-joint exercises, with two sets for each exercise, two minutes interval between sets and a speed of two seconds in both eccentric and concentric phase. Multi-joint exercises were selected due to the evidence that they are more efficient in improving general physical fitness, maximal oxygen uptake and muscular strength than single joint exercises, and there is also evidence that the addition of single joints exercises to a multi-joint exercise program does not lead to morphological or functional benefits. Movement velocity was controlled to improve movement quality and because previous studies showed that higher speed resulted in higher blood pressure responses. In relation
to training volume, there is evidence that an increase in the number of sets does not bring additional functional benefits to older people.32,33 Therefore, we opted to perform only two sets two times a week in order to adhere to the minimum dose approach mentioned previously.5,9 These results might be applicable in a hospital setting in a multidisciplinary perspective because of the constant need to monitor the patients and adjust the medications. The present results can also serve as a parameter for future research, since most guidelines suggest relatively high doses of resistant training concomitant to aerobic training for the management of hypertension.2,6,21,34 Our findings suggest the possibility of a non-pharmacological intervention as an aid in the management of arterial hypertension, with a considerably less time commitment than indicated by most guidelines. Considering the high prevalence of hypertension, it would be interesting to consider the inclusion of low doses of physical exercises as a first line of treatment in clinical environments, such as clinics and hospitals, this might increase adherence and optimize the occupation of the facilities. It is important to note that the patients have been previously advised to participate in regular physical activity over the previous years, since this is a standard procedure in the hospital; however, none have spontaneously participated in an exercise program before the study. Therefore, we believe that offering this possibility inside the hospital might have important practical applications.

This study has some important limitations. First, there was no rigid dietary control. Although, patients were advised to not change their diets, we are not able to confirm if they followed the recommendations. However, it is worth noting that the volunteers have been receiving the same nutritional counselling for the previous years, so it’s unexpected that there have been relevant modifications after the beginning of the study. Another important methodological limitations are the small and mixed sample and the absence of a control group; however, for institutional and ethical reasons the mixed sample and the absence of a control group; however, for institutional and ethical reasons the treatment option was offered to all participants. However, as we do not have a non-exercise control group, we are unable to provide an estimation of sample size for future clinical trials. A non-exercise control group would be necessary to estimate the “true effect size” after account for random error. In addition, the participants were stable for years, and there were no changes in their medications prior to the study, therefore it is expected that the reported effects were due to the training protocol. It is important to note that patients were under treatment in a reference center, which makes it safer to apply high intensity workouts. In cases of higher risk as in less controlled patients, it is important to analyze the strategies to be applied individually. In addition to these main limitations, it should be noted that the patients were predominantly women.

We conclude that low volume and high effort resistance training is a feasible non-pharmacological strategy to control blood pressure in hypertensive patients within a hospital. It is important to note that the intervention was performed in little space and with minimum equipments that bring wide perspectives of general application.
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