Consequence of birth year, type, sex, season and flock on birth weight trait of Kajli sheep
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Abstract

The liaison of birth weight to neonatal and mature vigor is especially given important if have the acquaintance of factors distressing in birth weight. Unbiased Best linear prediction of breeding values was estimated from pedigree birth weight records of 13715 Kajli sheep of livestock Experiment Station Khizerabad born 1994 to 2010, and Livestock Experimental Station, Khushab. Data records were statistically analyzed by means of using computer programmed Mixed Model Harvey’s Least Squares and Maximum Likelihood. An animal model was used for heritability estimation following Maximum Likelihood procedure. Estimates of birth weight heritability in Kajli sheep were 0.05 ± 0.019. The estimated breeding values of both forms for males, females, and sire were calculated with significant variation. Both farms data were analyzed by using an animal model program. The squares mean slightest for weight at birth (kg), remained 4.13 ± 0.01 kg. In addition, birth of the year, the birth of type, flock and sex significantly affects the trait of birth weight. The domino effect of the current study has rational implications not only for sheep husbandry nevertheless as well as amplified acquaintance of parameters which drastically persuade deviation of weight in birth as weight in birth has become itself noteworthy forecaster of anon fitness outcomes. These results showed the decreasing genetic and static phenotypic at birth weight. It is likely that there are complex interactions between genetics and environmental factors of parental, placental and fetal origin. Birth weight is highly influenced trait by maternal nutrition, genes, care, management, climate, seasonal variation and type of birth.

Introduction

Epidemiological studies estimate that about 25% of birth weight variances are due to environmental influences and 38% – 80% is attributable to genetic influences [1.2]. There is substantial inconsistency in the estimates of the fetal and parental mechanism of these heritable influences from 18% to 69.4% and from 3% to 20% conflict of birth weight, correspondingly. The sheep size of birth determined plays the role of significant by genetic and environmental factors. Main importance and supply of meat and wool is sheep in most of the developing countries. Kajli sheep, the biggest and most productive sheep breed, is well-known for its juicy mutton quality. In attendance is a spacious miscellany
in an assortment of fabrication persona of this breed which suggests an enormous span for the perfection of recital traits.

This miscellany in concert traits might be owed to some genetic besides green effects. Formulating breeding plan and selection efficient strategies, knowledge of essential traits is required as repeatability, heritability and correlations among these traits [3]. An increase in the profitability of lamb production is a function of reducing input costs and increasing production output. The genetic parameters vary with site, period of time, extent of statistics establishes and the model castoff intended for their approximation. The pragmatic feat of every single animal in every mannerism is present the consequence of inheritance the aforementioned gets from equally parentages in addition the milieu happening which the situation exists raised up. Flush once a stab be situated through in the direction of endow with consistent surroundings, in attendance be there quiet fortuitous besides mysterious ecological differences amongst faunae. Such arbitrary ecological influences in that way cause alterations in the countenance of thriftily imperative characters.

Amongst these ecological aspects, environment and periodic alterations happening diverse years distress the creation of the entire herd; whereas gender, kind of natal, stage in addition weight distress the distinct act [4]. Hence, approximation of the extent of all such influences turn out to be very perilous for developing well-organized in addition to actual breeding strategies for their upgrading [4]. Solitary of the traits of financial magnitude in sheep is birth weight. The assortment of recital traits of sheep may be ascribed to several genetic and nongenetic factors. Birth weight of lambs has an imperative role in a good sheep production [5].

Weight at birth has a conventional imperfect reflection in sheep breeding programs, but it is a trait of latent fiscal consequence due to its possessions on pre-weaning growth and hence, it increases the economic success of producing massacre animals [6]. The domino effect of the current study has rational implications is neither limited to small ruminants management, Nevertheless as well as amplified acquaintance of parameters drastically persuade deviation of birth weight turn out to be itself noteworthy forecaster of anon fitness results, comprehension of pre-delivery conditions and parameters effects discrepancy weight at birth is of key consequence of stare to abrupt (offspring) and huge phrase physical condition and practicability [7,8]. Now the agricultural commerce, facts in the peripheral, convenient features that must a demeanor on the animate weight at phrase are essential in term of a farming budget.

Tentatively, in species of all mammalian, in attendance is a finest of weight at the birth happening of unsophisticated innate liberation may arise, besides newborn endurance are maximized delimited through an array of competence, besides the deviation from this in birth weight finest but newborns stay alive towards procreative epoch. Evidently here is a sturdy hereditary constituent secretarial for several of the discrepancy in weight at birth as excesses afar this array will, in excess of period, be designated out squat weight at birth stands allied by augmented newborn transience, lofty weight at birth through intricate toil (dystocia), and tender demise [9]. Nevertheless in humanoid motherly heaviness (an ecological factor) accounted aimed at individual 12% of the disparity in weight at birth [10].

Signifying there are various further aspects sway ultimate weight by the side of tenure. in a specious of these polytocous, such by means of the sheep, nearby are a integer of eminent impacts that radically impinge on newborn intensification then consequently ultimate weight at birth, comprising litter size abridged entity weight at birth by way of escalating litter size, in addition to gender of the progeny genders [11-13]. Using gaze at toward motherly sustenance inducing weight at birth happening the sheep, to a great extent in rank is vacant but infrequent discrepancies crop up, most likely due to cram differences flanked by type of sheep mock-up extent, clarity of optimal or 100% requisite [11,12,14-18].

Additionally, with meticulous multilevel modeling, the enority of revolutionizing in weight at birth through adaptations happening motherly sustenance throughout precise times of growth, i.e. untimely opposed to belatedly maturation, possibly will stay evaluated with a momentous extent of arithmetic clout. The aforementioned be present hypothesized that tardy, by way of contrasting near initial, dietetic ingestion extensively sound possessions weight at birth happening the sheep as this reproduces the interlude of utmost utter fetal escalation [16]. Parturition moreover touches fetal growing the most primitive born existence well- lit than the consequent happening homo sapiens [7,19], and the sheep [20,21] nevertheless whether this consequence lasts by way of rising parity (i.e. > 2 pregnancies) remains not unambiguous. This is situated trendy sequence is incredibly imperative in the farming commerce for a paradigm to be acquainted with the digit of seasons a ewe relics maximally dynamic in relations of lamb weight at birth and as soon as (i.e. after by what means numerous pregnancies) that efficiency deilities. Although it is contemplation that first pregnancy foliage an enduring uterine functional indentation that effects the jiffy gestation, it be present, not notorious whether a sheep that involvements an ensuing bleak spell possibly will have amendments in the direction of auxiliary triumphant gestations happening stipulations of lamb weight at birth. Objective of this study was to evaluate the performance of a purebred flock of Kajli sheep maintained at two ecologies in Pakistan. The research Project was thus planned on Kajli sheep to measure the effects of known environmental and genetic factors which tend towards incomprehensible the inherited variances amongst animals for birth weight.
Materials and methods

Statistics records on the performance of 13715, lambing by ewes, 3342; sired 128; produced in Kajli sheep from 1994 to 2010 were employed in the present study. Pedigree and data records performance of Kajli sheep in livestock experiment station khizarabad during 1994 to 2010 collected, Sargodha (32.0286° N, 72.5205° E) and Livestock Experimental Station, Khushab (32.3054° N, 72.3482° E) were utilized in the present investigation. Recorded information pertaining to the identity of individuals, dams, and sires, birth date, type of birth, sex, weight at birth, weaning weight, and yearling weight were castoff to assessment hereditary and phenotypic parameters of several concert traits. The recital trait examined in the current study was included birth weight. Lambs were produced mainly during the spring season because generally once a year the ewes were bred in the autumn season. Autumn season was the choice for lambing and the ewes were mated in the subsequent spring season if the ewes which were not bred during the autumn season. Mostly rams used in breeding were farm produced, but for genetic heterogeneity to provide outside introduction had also been practiced. Rams for breeding 10-15 per season were selected normally.

Meat production improvement had mainly focused and a little attention had been paid to wool traits in the overall breeding plan of major thrust. Statistically, data were analyzed on birth weight trait performance to estimate the source of variation in the trait under study for various genetic magnitudes. The effect of the environmental factors viz, Time and term of birth, birth type, gender of lamb, weaning stage, on birth weight. The year of birth or lambing was divided into two seasons and the definition of the season of lambing was; spring, from January to June and autumn, from July to December.

The mathematical model assumed was: \( Y_{ij} = \mu + F_i + e_{ij} \) (Model: 2) Where,
- \( Y_{ij} \) = Measurement of a particular trait
- \( \mu \) = Population mean
- \( F_i \) = The effect of all fixed effects with the restriction that \( \sum F_i = 0 \)
- \( e_{ij} \) = The random error associated with each observation.

The Harvey’s Least Squares Maximum Likelihood Mixed Model (LSMLMW) supercomputer software was used for all these analyses [24].

Results

Estimation of heritability

The heritability mathematical model estimation as follows was assumed: (Model 1)
\[
Y_{iak} = \mu + F_i + Aj + e_{iak}
\]
Where,
- \( Y_{iak} \) = Particular trait Measurement
- \( \mu \) = Mean Population
- \( F_i \) = The initial analysis observed fixed effects to be significant
- \( Aj \) = Influence of jth animal genetic additive random by mean zero and modification \( \sigma^2 \)
- \( e_{iak} \) = Variance \( \sigma^2e \) and mean zero with random error

The following formula was used for heritability calculation:
\[
\text{Heritability} \ (h^2) = \frac{\sigma^2A}{\sigma^2P}
\]

Genetic trends and breeding values estimation

Best linear unbiased predication (BLUP) procedures [23] were used only for the estimation birth performance trait of the animals breeding values. As a byproduct, the DFREML set of computer programs too creates predictable breeding standards. The model having fixed effect only births of year, the estimated breeding values were fitted in a fixed effect. Breeding values least squares solutions to depict the genetic trend was drawn against the year of birth. Phenotypic trends were established by plotting different phenotypic values against the year of birth.

Statistical analysis

Statistics on birth weight presentation traits stood evaluated to appraise the sway of diverse ecological dynamics (Non-genetic causes of discrepancy) such as time and period of birth, gender of lamb, age of the dam, kind of natal, weaning stage, on birth weight. The year of birth or lambing was divided into two seasons and the definition of the season of lambing was; spring, from January to June and autumn, from July to December.

The heritable sonata of a population can be willful by keeping in mind the next of kin import of heredity and ecological factors upsetting the recital of folks in that populace. The most frequently used parameters are heritability and genetic correlation. Figures were tested for the inference of heritability of birth weight. The estimated heritability of birth weight was attained at 0.054 ± 0.019.

Estimation of breeding values

Estimated breeding values for birth weight are shown in
Environmental factors are affecting performance trait

In flock single born lamb percentage was approximately high and it was 84%. The percentage of twinning born was 15.73%. Almost males and females sex ratio was 49:51. While birth weight (Kg) trait means values were (4.13 ± 0.01) in Kajli sheep. Influence evaluates variance analysis for a birth year, the birth of season, type of birth and sex are assumed.

Table 1: Birth weight values breeding estimated for farm A and farm B are given in table 1. Birth weight values ranged in farm A -0.169 to 0.164 kg. Birth weight values ranged in farm B -0.149 to 0.180 Kg respectively.

The breeding values estimated for sire in farm A and farm B are given in table 1. Birth weight breeding values ranged in farm A -0.169 to 0.164 kg. Birth weight breeding values ranged in farm B -0.149 to 0.180 Kg respectively.

Environmental factors are affecting performance trait

In flock single born lamb percentage was approximately high and it was 84%. The percentage of twinning born was 15.73%. Almost males and females sex ratio was 49:51. While birth weight (Kg) trait means values were (4.13 ± 0.01) in Kajli sheep. Influence evaluates variance analysis for a birth year, the birth of season, type of birth and sex are assumed in table 2. Significantly the weight at birth varied (p < 0.01) due to sex, season, years, flock and type of birth. The interface between sex and type of birth showed non-significant. The least squares mean, for weight at birth was 4.23 ± 0.03 (Kg) during 1994 and showed a fluctuating trend up to the year 2010.

The least squares means in birth weight remained almost constant for the year 1994 to 2010 ranging from (4.10 ± 0.02 to 4.27 ± 0.02) except for the years 1998, 2002 and 2003 in which least squares means in birth weight showed slight decrease in the values as (3.87 ± 0.02, 3.72 ± 0.02) and (3.70 ± 0.03), respectively. However, the difference in the least squares means in birth weight was found for both the flocks viz, A and B. The flock B showed higher least squares mean in birth weight than A which were 4.34 ± 0.02 and 3.96 ± 0.00, respectively.

Discussion

Heritability estimates for birth weight

Weight at birth heritability was low at 0.054 ± 0.019. The results are consistency with the result reported by [25-30]. Lambing records data 1285 ewes of Lohi sheep kept at Bahadurnagar production livestock research institute 1960-1990 time of period. He estimates the heritability for birth weight which is a bit higher than the present study and reported 0.10 ± 0.02. The results of [29] who analyzed performance data on Hissardale sheep maintained at Livestock Experiment Station Jahangirabad and reported the heritability estimate of body weight at birth as 0.08 ± 0.02 were in close settlement with the conclusion of the current study.

On the other hand, many researchers have also reported heritability estimates higher than the present study [31-36]. Qureshi, [37] reported heritability for birth weight as 0.14 ± 0.05, which was estimated at livestock experiment station Khushab and Khizerabad lambing data 16470, of 5311 ewes. Ali, et al. [27] estimate heritability from the Rambouillet sheep data analysis kept in livestock Experiment station Jaba district Manshehra (KP) Pakistan, as 0.07 ± 0.02, the above results were in conformity with the results reported in this present study (0.054 ± 0.019). The differences in diverse information may be owing to differences in genotypes, the bulk of facts set, and the technique of estimation or edit criteria, and may be used for a different model study approach.

Estimation of breeding values

Genetic trends for birth weight in Kajli sheep showed a decreasing trend and the phenotypic trend was fluctuating, whereas, the genetic and phenotypic trend intended for weight at birth exhibited a fluctuating tendency. The overall trend was static and this was similar to the results presented by Hussain [38] reported that breeding value for rams ranged from -0.447 to 0.216 for birth weight. The overall genetic trend was static. There were fluctuations among the least square means for estimated breeding values. Whereas, conclusions of [39]
and [40] did not coincide with the present study. Estimates of genetic parameters and pragmatic genetic trends established that discriminating procreation can lead to momentous genetic perfection in Menz sheep. The consequences of the present study showed the low estimates of genetic improvement in the production trait which suggested that selection was ineffective to bring about the desired change.

It also indicated that the animal selection could not be adept in the appropriate track in addition some sort of unsystematic mating had been practiced. The genetic trend for all performance traits indicated that the breeding program in the present flock throughout the epoch under study did not prove to be efficient. Attention to breed type becomes harmful once it is motivated without fixing the standard of fabrication. In this way, animals overhead the middling of actual efficacy were superfluous for the reason that they did not assure to breed sort in substances which remained of diminutive or no monetary magnitude [41]. The genetic disparity amid the folks is an additional factor which determines the tempo of genetic perfection that can be proficient through assortment. With a squat ballpark figure of heritability, the anticipated expansion of the traits mentioned in the present study is to a large extent fewer through assortment as compared to what could be achieved by other ecological changes.

This low estimate of genetic differences among the individuals was seen as an important factor that rendered selection ineffective [42]. The culling in the flock under exploration was not according to the recommended level and frequently those animals flabby for breeding, repeaters or under par was inclined off. The culling on the root of low live weight was hardly ever practiced. It could be anecdotal from the current study that in the charisma of these factors, the selection persisted unproductive toward carry about the anticipated variations over the last 17 years of the period. It suggested that better feeding and management will improve the position. As the unique genetic and phenotypic groups trends for birth weight are shown in the following Figures 1 to 6.

**Figure 1**: The Genetic trend for birth weight in Kajli female sheep during 1982-2009 at Farm A ranged from -0.149 to 0.180. The overall trend was static.

**Figure 2**: Genetic Trend for Birth Weight in Kajli Sheep during 1989-2009 of Male in Farm A ranged from -0.205 to 0.164. The genetic trend for birth weight in Kajli sheep showed a decreasing trend.

**Figure 3**: Genetic Trend for Birth Weight of ram during 1989-2008 at farm A ranged from -0.169 to 0.164. There were wide fluctuations among the least square means for estimated breeding values.

**Figure 4**: Genetic Trend for Birth Weight of ram during 1989-2008 at farm A ranged from -0.169 to 0.164. There were wide fluctuations among the least square means for estimated breeding values.

**Figure 5**: Genetic Trend for Birth Weight in Kajli Sheep during 1998-2009 at Farm B ranged from -0.148 to 0.145. It showed that the low estimates of genetic improvement in the Productive traits suggested that selection was ineffective to bring about the desirable change.
Environmental factors affecting birth weight

The significant sway of year, gender of lamb born, kind of birth and flock on birth weight obtained in the current study is in concord with many researchers [4,27,30,38,43-45] stated that time and term of lambing, gender of lamb, kind of birth, besides stage of dam remained significant bases of disparity for lamb birth weights in Bharat Merino sheep. Akhtar, et al. [45] indicated that the birth weight in Hissardale was drastically pretentious by means of year, season, birth type, gender, besides time of life of the dam. The findings of [31] are completely in covenant by means of the contemporary study who described the significant impact of station, year of birth, sex and birth type (p < 0.01). Furthermore, similar to the present study, the influence of term on weight at birth remained recorded non-significant. The disparity of birth weight in lambs as diverse years reflected the echelon of the executive, some green sound effects like, temperature and humidity as well as the availability of feed. Noticeably, the side by side of managing is assured in the direction of fluctuate conferring on the way to the services in the farm executive, his coordination of harvest farming, approaches, and passion of discarding, and his competence in direction of the farm labor as well as accessibility of monetary assets [46].

The execution of farming must have been tainted recurrently and its effects were reflected in the performance traits over the years. The weight at birth of lambs correspondingly varied by means of gender, and sort of birth. The lambs born single are weightier than the manifold lambs born as they have better opportunities trendy the uterus of their dams to grow as associated to manifold lambs born. Similarly, the male lambs remained weightier at weight at birth as the gestation period of males are slightly longer as compared to females [25]. Numerous reports in literature also indicated that single born lambs, as well as the male lambs, were heavier than multiple births and females. As, in the present study the birth weight of a male was heavier than female (4.44 ± 0.01 and 3.88 ± 0.01, respectively), similarly the single born had heavier birth weight than twins (4.63 ± 0.12 and 3.69 ± 0.19, respectively). The maximum lambing was reported in spring season (83.04%) while 4.86% were reported in autumn and only 11.92% were reported in offseasons. This indicates the seasonality of breeding in sheep under different climatic conditions.

Conclusion

Solitary of the traits of financial magnitude in sheep is birth weight. Lambs weight at birth play an imperative role in sheep first rate production. Birth weight varied significantly due to years, season, sex, type of birth and flock. These results showed the decreasing genetic and static phenotypic at birth weight. It is likely that there are complex interactions between genetics and environmental factors of parental, placental and fetal origin. Data showed non-significant interaction between sex and type of birth. The genetic trends for birth weight in Kajli sheep showed decreasing trend and phenotypic trend was fluctuating. The variation in body weight due to year, sex, and season of birth were significant. Whereas effect of flock and type of birth were non-significant. Birth weight is highly influenced trait by maternal nutrition, genes, care, management, climate, seasonal variation and type of birth. Factors affecting birth weight of Kajli Sheep at two ecologies in Pakistan. Therefore, Formulating breeding plan and selection efficient strategies, knowledge of essential traits is required.
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