The Impact of the Level of the Intestinal Short Chain Fatty Acids in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients Versus Healthy Subjects
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Abstract: The aim of this study was to determine the changes of short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) in faeces of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients compared to healthy subjects. SCFAs such as pyruvic, lactic, formic, acetic, propionic, isobutyric and butyric acids were analyzed by using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). This study showed that the level of acetic, 162.0 μmol/g wet faeces, butyric, 86.9 μmol/g wet faeces, and propionic acids, 65.6 μmol/g wet faeces, decreased remarkably in IBD faecal samples when compared with that of healthy individuals, 209.7, 176.0, and 93.3 μmol/g wet faeces respectively. On the contrary, lactic and pyruvic acids showed higher levels in faecal samples of IBD than in healthy subjects. In the context of butyric acid level, this study also found that the molar ratio of butyric acid was higher than propionic acid in both faecal samples. This might be due to the high intake of starch from rice among Malaysian population. It was concluded that the level of SCFAs differ remarkably between faecal samples in healthy subjects and that in IBD patients providing evidence that SCFAs more likely play an important role in the pathogenesis of IBD.
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INTRODUCTION

Short chain fatty acids (SCFAs), are carboxylic acids with 1 to 6 carbon atoms that include different other functional groups, such as hydroxyl or dicarboxylic. In human, SCFAs arise from bacterial fermentation of carbohydrates, proteins, peptides and glycoprotein precursors [1, 2]. SCFAs such as acetic, propionic and butyric acids are mainly formed during microbial fermentation of carbohydrate in the colon [3, 4]. The most important role of SCFAs in colonic physiology is their trophic effect on the intestinal epithelium. For example, Sakata reported that the presence of SCFAs in rat colon stimulates mucosal proliferation [5]. In human, SCFAs production from inulin-type fructan can increase the metabolic activity, pointing to trophic effects for colonocytes [6].

Approximately 80-90% of SCFAs, which are produced from the breakdown of dietary food, are absorbed in colon while the rest are excreted in faeces [7]. SCFAs content in faeces could be used as a biomarker for the physiological processes in the organisms as well as for the effect of nutritional interventions [1]. The level of SCFAs content in faecal samples have been shown to be related with some diseases such as IBD, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), cardiovascular disease (CVD), diarrhea [8], and cancer [9]. For example, a decreased level of butyric acid in the colonocytes has been suggested to contribute to the genesis of ulcerative colitis (UC) [10]. It was also reported that increased lactic acid may modulate diarrhea in UC [11]. In addition, faecal SCFAs, acetic and propionic acids, in patients with diarrhea-dominant IBS were found to be of lower levels than in healthy individuals [12, 13].

Therefore, there has been increasing evidence that the majority of SCFAs play an essential role in maintaining the health of colonic mucosa. However, butyric, acetic, and propionic acids have mainly been emphasized. In particular, butyric acid was addressed to be more beneficial for promoting colonic health and more effective for stimulating the proliferation of intestinal mucosal cells than acetic and propionic acids [5]. Butyric acid is also the main energy substrate for the colonocytes [14] and it has been suggested to play an important role in the prevention and treatment of distal UC [10], Crohn’s disease (CD) [15], and cancer [16]. In addition, butyric acid appears to induce differentiation of tumor cell lines [17].

Several methods were used to analyze the faecal SCFAs in rat and human samples. For example, a rapid and reliable gas chromatographic (GC) method has been developed and validated by Zhao et al. to determine eight SCFAs, in the colonic and faecal samples of rats and humans [18]. In addition, methods such as vacuum ultrafiltration followed by GC, ion chromatography (IC) [19], and IC with solid-phase
The faecal samples were collected into clean sterile containers. They were immediately taken to the laboratory and kept frozen at -20°C for analysis. Dealing with human subjects was carried out within the scope of the ethical principles of biomedical research. The ethics committee of the University Putra Malaysia and Serdang Hospital and all of the involved subjects signed formal written consents.

Preparation of Samples for Analysis

The SCFAs of faecal samples were extracted as described by Lee et al. method [28] with slight modification. Faecal samples of weight 0.2 g were used and diluted at ratio 1:4 to 1:8 (w/v) in sterile distilled water. The samples were then vortexed for 1 min and the homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min. The SCFA-containing supernatant was filtered through cellulose acetate membrane with a pore size of 0.2 μm (GyroDisc CA; Orange Scientific) and stored at -20°C until HPLC analysis.

Determination of Organic Acids

SCFAs analyses were carried out by using HPLC. Briefly, 40 μl of faecal samples extraction were injected directly into HPLC System (Shimadzu LC-10AD Liquid Chromatography) with Shimadzu SPD-6A UV-VIS detector (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). SCFA in faecal samples were separated using an ionic exchange resin, Aminex HPX-87H column, (Aminex HPX-87H, 300 x 7.8 mm, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, USA) at 65°C. The target compounds were detected using a UV detector set at wavelength of 210 nm. Filtered 0.01 N H₂SO₄, through 0.45 μm nylon membrane, was used as a mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.6 ml/ min.

Preparation of Calibration Standard Curve

Quantification of SCFAs in faecal samples was carried out using external calibration standard curves method. Seven calibration standards were prepared at six levels of concentration ranging from 0.005M to 0.03M for pyruvic acid, 0.01M to 0.06M for formic acid and acetic acid, and 0.02M to 0.12M for lactic acid, propionic acid, isobutyric acid and butyric acid. The reference samples were injected repeatedly for nine times to measure the retention time. The calibration curves were constructed by plotting the relative peak area versus the molarity of solution. Faecal SCFA concentrations were expressed as mean μmol per gram wet weight faeces using the following equation as described by Hoshi et al. [29] with modification.

Faecal SCFA (μmol/g) = [organic acid in faecal contents (mmol/ml) X V₄ (ml) X 1000] / Wet weight faeces (g)

Whereas: V₄ = Total Volume of Dilution

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was conducted using MINITAB version 14 (Minitab Inc., PA, USA). The normality of data was checked using Anderson-Darling test before statistical analysis was done. Differences between means of SCFA concentration between healthy and IBD groups were analyzed by using unpaired Student’s t-test. The means were considered statistically significant at P<0.05. Data was expressed in mean ± SÉM (μmol/g wet faeces).
RESULT

Validation of Retention Time for Analytical Methods

The reference standard in different concentrations was analyzed on three different days to show the retention times. Since external standard was used for calibration, the method requires precise analytical technique and requires that the detector sensitivity must be constant from day to day if the calibration curve is to remain valid [30]. Samples were injected nine times in different concentrations and the average of retention time (Rt) was used. From the analysis, the Rt for pyruvic, lactic, formic, acetic, propionic, isobutyric and butyric acids was 9.28, 12.07, 13.38, 14.60, 17.28, 19.49, and 21.82 min respectively.

Short Chain Fatty Acids in Faecal Samples

The mean concentrations of SCFA in faecal samples of healthy and IBD subjects are shown in Table 1. From the table, results revealed that the mean concentrations of butyric and propionic acids, 86.9 and 65.6 μmol/g wet faeces, respectively in IBD faecal samples were lower than in healthy subjects, 176.0 and 93.3 μmol/g wet faeces respectively (P<0.05). Acetic acid was also lower in faecal samples of IBD patients than of healthy subjects but the difference was not so significant (p=0.16).

The faecal concentration of formic acid, isobutyric, lactic and pyruvic acids were also determined and compared between healthy and IBD groups. Formic acid was only detected in five healthy subjects and isobutyric acid was only detected in two samples of healthy group while no detection of formic and isobutyric acids was found in the faecal samples of IBD patients. In contrary, the faecal concentration of lactic and pyruvic acids was lower in healthy subjects, 24.5 μmol/g and 0.5 μmol/g respectively, than in IBD patients, 73.5 μmol/g and 2.1 μmol/g respectively, but this difference was not significant (P >0.05).

Molar Ratio of Main SCFA in Faecal Samples

There has been no report about the molar ratio of main SCFAs in faecal samples in Malaysian subjects. Therefore, the molar ratio of acetic, propionic and butyric acids were calculated. From the current study, the molar ratio of acetic: propionic: butyric acids in faecal samples of healthy subjects were 45:20:38 and in faecal samples of IBD were 49:20:27. This finding showed that the molar ratio of butyric acid and propionic was 1.5 and 1.35 in healthy and IBD subjects, respectively.

DISCUSSION

In this study, it was shown that butyric and propionic acids were decreased significantly in IBD subjects. This finding was similar to that reported by Takaishi et al. [22] who revealed that the concentrations of butyric and propionic acids were significantly decreased in IBD patients than in healthy controls. In addition, Vernia et al. [11] and Hallert et al. [31] reported similar decreasing trend of butyric acid in UC patients. However, another study reported that although there was a decreased butyric acid level in active UC, the difference was not significant between healthy and patients groups [32]. The decreasing level of butyric acid could be due to the assumption that the distribution of intestinal microflora changes in IBD patients [22]. Furthermore, it was reported that the faecal proportion of butyric acid in patients with UC increased after consuming oat bran [3]. It was stated that the increase in butyric acid level may have an advantage to play a role in the prevention of UC [10]. Moreover, it was suggested that when the butyric acid is low in UC patients, the risk of colon cancer increases [33]. On the other hand, the increasing propionic acid was shown to be associated with decreasing serum cholesterol in blood [34].

Comparing acetic acid concentration between healthy and IBD faecal samples, Takaishi et al. also reported that the acetic acid in IBD faeces was not significantly lower than in healthy control and they found that the concentration of acetic acid in CD was lower than in UC [22]. This report was consistent with that reported by Stein et al. [35] that the amount of acetic acid in faecal samples of CD was lower (135.9 μmol/g dry faeces, n=8) than in healthy subjects (161.9 μmol/g dry faeces, n=10). Furthermore, Nilsson et al. reported that the mean level of acetic acid in healthy subjects (n=20) before giving oat bran diet was 54.2 μmol/g wet faeces ranging from 19.5 μmol/g to 126.2 μmol/g of faeces [36]. They showed that, after 8 weeks of giving oat bran, the mean level of acetic acid increased 77.2 μmol/g wet faeces.
ranging from 22.9 to 125.6 µmol/g of faeces (P<0.001). And acetic acid absorption in the colon has been shown to increase cholesterol synthesis. However, addition of propionate to acetate resulted in no significant rise in cholesterol [37]. This finding was supported by Wong et al. [38] that the acetate: propionate ratio may reduce serum lipids and possibly decrease cardiovascular disease risk.

With respect to lactic acid, the current study yielded similar results to a previous report stating that higher lactic acid concentration was found in both of faecal UC and CD samples than in healthy subjects [8]. High concentration of lactic acid was associated with higher risk of diarrhoea and mucosal inflammation [2]. Previously, a study reported that faecal lactate rises in patients with acidorrhea [39]. The importance of low lactic acid concentration in faecal samples of healthy subjects is still unknown. However, lactic acid can be further metabolized by propionibacteria to propionic acid and acetic acid [40]. Butyric acid can be also produced from lactic acid through the acetyl-CoA pathway [41].

In this study, faecal formic acid was only detected in five healthy subjects. Quantification of formic acid in faecal samples was always unsatisfactory and frequently at very low or undetectable level [42]. This might be attributed to the fact that formic acid has been believed to be formed by microorganisms in the colon only at the initial phase of dietary fermentation [43]. Furthermore, formic acid is an intermediate product, not an end-product, of bacterial fermentation and is converted readily to CO_2 and water [44]. Furthermore, formic acid was probably metabolised by bacterial enzymes during incubation at 50°C. In addition, it is extremely volatile and losses cannot be avoided during preparation of sample. For these reasons formic acid in faeces is rarely detected [42].

Takaishi et al. [22] and Vernia et al. [8] showed that pyruvic and succinic acids in faecal IBD were higher than in healthy subjects and they found that the concentration of pyruvic acid in patients with UC was significantly higher than in patients with CD. Their findings are similar to that of current study that pyruvic acid was higher in faecal IBD than in healthy group. However, this increase was not significantly different.

Other SCFAs such as iso-butyric, n-valeric, iso-valeric and n-caproic acids were presented in minor amounts in the human colon. Similarly, it was reported that all these SCFAs were too low to be detected [45]. The branched chain fatty acids (BCFA), iso-butyric and iso-valeric acids are primarily produced from catabolism of protein particularly from branched amino acids fermentation [46]. An increase of BCFA tends to be observed only when carbohydrate is limited [47].

Approximately, these SCFAs account for 90 to 95% of total fatty acids. Of the SCFAs, the major products are acetic, propionic, and butyric acids which are commonly found in proportions approximately 60:20:20 (acetic: propionic: butyric) [1, 38]. However, these ratios are very rare to achieve in practice. The patterns of the molar ratio of SCFA were attributed to the bacterial species present in addition, it was also influenced by the composition of diet and type of indigestible carbohydrates [3]. Moreover, the molar ratio of butyric and propionic acids was 1.5 and 1.35 in healthy and IBD subjects which might be due to the high intake of starch from rice among the Malaysian people.

The possible anti-inflammatory mechanism of SCFAs, namely propionic, butyric, and acetic acids, are still not clarified adequately. However, a recent study showed that propionic and butyric acids were equipotent, whereas acetic acid was less effective, at suppressing NF-kappaB reporter activity, inflammation-related gene expression and cytokine release in vitro. Therefore, these findings suggested that propionic and acetic acids, in addition to butyric acid, could be useful in the treatment of inflammatory disorders, including IBD [48]. Moreover, it was shown that butyric acid suppresses nuclear factor-kappaB activation via GPR109A receptors in normal and cancer colon cell lines as well as in normal mouse colon. This study showed that GPR109A mediates the tumor-suppressive effects of the bacterial fermentation product, butyric acid in colon [49]. In addition, it was confirmed that SCFA, adenine nucleotides, and phospholipids can modulate intestinal epithelial repair mechanisms. Given that repeated damage and injury of the intestinal surface are key features of various intestinal disorders including inflammatory bowel diseases, SCFA are essential for the constant repair of the colon epithelium [50].

**CONCLUSION**

From this study, it was concluded that the level of SCFAs might play an important role in the pathophysiology and/or progression of IBD. The current study showed that the level of SCFAs differs remarkably between the faecal samples of healthy subjects and these of IBD patients. As compared to the healthy subjects, acetic, butyric and propionic acids decreased dramatically in faecal samples of IBD as well as formic and isobutyric acids were not detectable in faecal samples of IBD while the level of acetic and pyruvic acids increased in faeces of IBD patients. In this study, the molar ratio of butyric acid also showed higher proportion than propionic acid in faecal samples and might be due to the high intake of starch from rice among Malaysian. However, future studies need larger sample size to determine more precisely the distribution of SCFAs among IBD patients in the region of South East Asia. The relationship between rice consumption and the reduction of IBD incidence also need to be determined since the incidence of IBD in the region of South East Asia is still not very common.
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