Spectral measurements of light sources with a goniophotometer with and without mirror arrangement
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Abstract. This paper presents a comparative study of the relative spectral radiance measurements of incandescent and LED light sources performed in two different setups with a rotating–mirror-goniophotometer. In one configuration the light is reflected by the goniophotometer mirror, while in the other the mirror is not used. This study permits to determine a spectral correction factor at each measured wavelength in order to obtain a more precise value for the Correlated Color Temperature (CCT) for any light sources with different spectral power distributions.

1. Introduction
The Inmetro’s spectrocolorimetric system performs calibration and tests services of color tiles (radiance factor and chromaticity coordinates) and light sources (correlated color temperature (CCT) and color reproduction index (CRI)).

This system is assembled onto an optical table, and to measure CCT the lamp lights a standard white tile and the reflected light is collected and analyzed at the spectroradiometer. High wattage lamps or the measuring of CCT in several directions made it necessary to assemble the spectrocolorimetric system together with the mirror goniophotometer of the Radiometry and Photometry Laboratory (Laraf).

There are two possible different setups for the measurements after the lamp is mounted in the goniophotometer arm: in the first the light reflected by goniophotometer’s mirror lights the standard white tile and is collected by the spectroradiometer; in the second the mirror is not used and the lamp lights the tile directly. Comparing the results of both methods it was noticed a discrepancy in the obtained CCT values.

In order to characterize for LEDs colorimetric measurements this assembled system a series of varied incandescent and LED lamps were measured to obtain a correction factor to be applied in the measurements with the mirror.
The main goal of this study is to quantify the discrepancies in the CCT measurements of the different light sources considering both setups and to show the comparison of the lamps spectral radiance measurements obtained with and without the goniophotometer mirror reflection.

2. Methodology
The characterization of both setups was based on the comparison of the spectral distribution of a calibrated standard lamp together with a reflectance standard white tile in order to assess the radiance scale systematic error.

2.1. Setup without mirror reflection
Figure 1 shows this setup where the spectroradiometer is fixed on a tripod at a 0°:45° geometry where the lamp illuminates the standard white tile at 0° incidence and the light is reflected at 45° to the spectroradiometer. A standard FEL lamp (F-603, calibration certificate NIST 844/274563-07) was positioned at 50 cm from the tile, and the spectroradiometer focusing the tile at 71 cm and 45° to the tile surface normal.
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**Figure 1.** Setup without mirror reflection: 1: mirror; 2: lamp mounted on the goniophotometer arm; 3: spectroradiometer; 4: standard white tile; 5: tile mount and tripod.

2.2. Setup with the lamp radiation reflected from the mirror.
In this setup depicted in figure 2 the same standard lamp is mounted on the goniophotometer arm distant 1.95 m from the mirror. The lamp reflected radiation insides perpendicularly to the tile positioned at 3.6 m from the mirror. The spectroradiometer is positioned at the same 0°:45° geometry as before. In this setup there is a black variable closure between the mirror and the rest in order to avoid spurious scattered light. In both setups the whole laboratory is maintained under dark conditions.
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**Figure 2.** Setup using the mirror – 1: elliptical mirror (axis 2.83 m x 2 m); 2: lamp socket in the goniophotometer arm; 3: spectroradiometer; 4: standard white tile; 5: tile mount and tripod.
3. Results and discussion
Six light sources (source 01 incandescent lamp and the others LED sources) were measured using both setups described before in order to compare their CCT measured values shown in table 1.

**Table 1.** Calculated CCT values for the six measured lamps in both setups.

| Lamp   | Without mirror | With mirror | Difference |
|--------|----------------|-------------|------------|
|        | CCT (K) | U(K) (k = 2) | CCT (K) | U(K) (k = 2) | CCT (K) |
| Source 01 | 2857   | 26          | 2963   | 26          | -106 |
| Source 02 | 2960   | 28          | 3022   | 28          | -62  |
| Source 03 | 4478   | 99          | 4494   | 93          | -16  |
| Source 04 | 3065   | 30          | 3105   | 30          | -40  |
| Source 05 | 3634   | 48          | 3732   | 50          | -98  |
| Source 06 | 3327   | 38          | 3335   | 35          | -8   |

One can see a large difference on the CCT values calculated from the measurements results from both experimental setups (except source 06). This discrepancy is due to the light absorption by the mirror used in the setup described in 2.2 and is clearly seen in figures 3, 4 and 5 that show the measured spectral distribution from sources 01, 02 and 06 respectively.

**Figure 3.** Spectral distribution from source 01 with and without mirror.

**Figure 4.** Spectral distribution from source 02 with and without mirror.
Figure 5. Spectral distribution from source 06 with and without mirror.

Using the measurements from the standard lamp described in 2 a correction factor for the mirror absorption was established for application in all measurements using the setup in 2.2. Table 2 shows the calculated CCT values after applying the correction factor to the measured spectral distributions.

| Lamp       | Without mirror | With mirror | Difference |
|------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
|            | CCT (K)       | U(K) (k = 2) | CCT (K)   | U(K) (k = 2) | CCT (K) |
| Source 01  | 2857          | 26          | 2856       | 26        | 1       |
| Source 02  | 2960          | 28          | 2937       | 28        | 23      |
| Source 03  | 4478          | 99          | 4475       | 98        | 3       |
| Source 04  | 3065          | 30          | 3032       | 29        | 33      |
| Source 05  | 3634          | 48          | 3678       | 51        | -44     |
| Source 06  | 3327          | 38          | 3271       | 35        | 56      |

After applying the correction factor the differences in CCT from measurements with and without mirror became significantly smaller being lesser than the declared measurement uncertainty. The exception is source 06 where the correction enlarged the difference. This lamp had a very low intensity generating noisy spectra that may have caused in the spectra integration.

4. Conclusions
This article shows a comparative study of spectral radiance measurements of light sources using two experimental setups, with and without reflection from the goniophotometer mirror. Incandescent as well as LED lamps were measured in both setups.

The conclusion is that the mirror absorbs a large amount of the incident radiation at the ends of the spectra and thus modifying the spectral radiance measured spectra and consequently the calculated CCT value. An experimental correction factor was defined and applied generating good results for the incandescent and the majority of the LED lamps. This spectral correction function diminishes the systematic error introduced by the wavelength dependence reflectance of the mirror.

Further studies are necessary for obtaining mirror spectral correction factors using a source that presents a more uniform spectral distribution from the ultraviolet to the near infrared.

Acknowledgments
A.D. Alvarenga, R.R. Vieira, D. D. Viana and A.H. Barbosa acknowledge CNPq. I. A. Á. de Oliveira would like to acknowledge Inmetro with project under grants n° 52600.031066/2014.
References
[1] CIE 15.3 2004 Colorimetry *CIE Publication*.
[2] CIE 13.3 1995 Method of Measuring and Specifying colour rendering properties of light sources *CIE publication*. 