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1. Introduction

In 1972, Zeikus and Wolfe [1] isolated Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus (DSM 1053) (formerly Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum strain ΔH) from sludge from the anaerobic sewage digestion plant in Urbana, Illinois, USA. This thermophile grew on H₂ and CO₂ as sole energy source (reaction 1) and CO₂ as sole carbon source with doubling times of less than 5 h and to very high cell concentrations (1.5 g cells (dry mass) per L). For the first time, it became possible to obtain sufficient cell mass of a hydrogenotrophic methanogen for the purification of enzymes and coenzymes involved in CO₂ reduction to methane. In 1978, Fuchs et al. [2] reported the isolation of Methanothermobacter marburgensis (DSM 2133) (formerly Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum strain Marburg) from anaerobic sewage sludge in Marburg, Germany. The Marburg strain grew on H₂ and CO₂ even faster (doubling time less than 2 h) and to even higher cell concentrations (3 g cells (dry mass) per L) than the ΔH strain and was, therefore, subsequently used in Marburg and elsewhere for the study of methanogenesis. Most of what is presently known about the biochemistry of CO₂ reduction to methane with H₂ was worked out with either M. thermautotrophicus [3] or M. marburgensis [4–6]

\[ 4\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}, \]

\( \Delta G^o = -131 \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \)

(\( \Delta G^o \) calculated for H₂, CO₂, and CH₄ in the gas phase).

The genome of M. thermautotrophicus (NC_000916) was one of the first genomes of Archaea to be sequenced [7] and that of M. marburgensis (NC_014408/CP001710) has just
recently been announced [8]. This paper concentrates on the analysis of protein-coding sequences (CDS) required for the synthesis of enzymes, coenzymes, and prosthetic groups involved in CO$_2$ reduction to methane with H$_2$ and the coupling of this process with ATP synthesis. It provides the reader with an up-to-date picture of the molecular basis of the energy metabolism of these two hydrogenotrophic methanogens and a roadmap for defining the functional components responsible for the phenotype in other hydrogenotrophic methanogens from genome- and metagenome data. We cite mainly the literature published after the appearance of the genome paper of Smith et al. in 1997 [7].

2. The Taxonomic Position of *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus*

All methanogens belong to the kingdom of Euryarchaeota and are presently ordered into Methanobacteriales, Methanococcales, Methanopyrales, Methanomicrobiales, Methanosarcinales, and Methanocellales ord. nov. [9, 10]. *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus* belong to the order of Methanobacteriales. Members of this order are very similar in their energy metabolism to the Methanococcales, Methanopyrales, and Methanomicrobiales in that their growth is, with a few exceptions, restricted to H$_2$ and CO$_2$ and/or to formate as energy sources.

The methanogens of the orders of Methanobacteriales, Methanomicrobiales, Methanococcales, and Methanopyrales all lack cytochromes [11] and methanophenazine [12] as components of electron transport. In Methanococcales and Methanopyrales, several selenocysteine-containing enzymes, for example, [NiFeSe]-hydrogenases, are involved in methanogenesis from H$_2$ and CO$_2$ and/or from formate; the enzymes of the Methanobacteriales and Methanomicrobiales contain cysteine instead [5, 13]. Accordingly, only the growth of the Methanococcales and Methanopyrales is dependent on or stimulated by selenium.

Methanosarcinales and Methanocellales, in contrast, contain cytochromes and methanophenazine, and they do not require selenium for growth. The Methanosarcinales can grow on acetate, methanol, and/or methylamines, but only a few, for example, *Methanosarcina barkeri* and *Methanosarcina mazei*, can also grow on H$_2$ and CO$_2$ [14]. The growth of *Methanocella paludicola*, in contrast, appears to be restricted to H$_2$ and CO$_2$ and/or formate as energy sources [10, 15, 16].

The 16S rRNA of *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus* differs at 20 positions, resulting in a sequence identity of 98.7% [8, 17]. This suggests that the two methanogens diverged millions of years ago, as deduced from a genomic timescale based on 32 protein sequences common to 72 prokaryotic species [18].

3. The Phenotypes of *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus*

*M. marburgensis* differs from *M. thermautotrophicus* not only in the growth rate and the final cell density reached but also in the composition of the pseudomurein sacculus (galactosamine instead of glucosamine), in the size of the subunit O of DNA-dependent RNA polymerase (120 kDa instead of 96 kDa), and in the membrane-associated ATPase activity (<0.1 μmol min$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$ versus 1.4 μmol min$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$) [19]. Unlike *M. thermautotrophicus*, *M. marburgensis* contains a 4439 bp circular multicopy plasmid (pME2001 = pMTBMA4; NC_014409) [20, 21]. *M. marburgensis* is specifically infected and lysed by the phage ΦM1 [22, 23], whereas *M. thermautotrophicus* is specifically infected by the phage ΦF1 [24]. Prophage sequences have not been found in either genome sequence; however, such a sequence has been identified in the genome sequence of the closely related Methanothermobacter wolfeii [25].

The two Methanothermobacter species have in common a growth temperature optimum near 65°C and the ability to grow on H$_2$ and CO$_2$ as carbon and energy source, NH$_3$ as nitrogen source, and H$_2$S or sulfite but not sulfate as sulfur sources. *Methanothermobacter* species all require Na$^+$, K$^+$, Fe$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, MoO$_4^{2-}$ and/or WO$_4^{2-}$, and possibly Ca$^{2+}$ for growth [26, 27]. The sodium requirement is in the millimolar range. Their growth is not stimulated by the addition of organic compounds although formic acid [28], acetic acid [29], propionic acid [30], pyruvate [31], isobutyric acid, isovaleric acid, phenylacetic acid, p-hydroxyphenylacetic acid, indoleacetic acid [32], succinic acid [33], δ-aminolevulinic acid [34], methionine [35], guanine [36], and biotin [37] can be assimilated. The ability of the two Methanothermobacter species to assimilate formate, however, does not mean that they can grow on it as energy source, which is an ability of the related strains *M. thermautotrophicus* strain Z-245 and Methanothermobacter wolfeii [17, 38].

Like all members of the Methanobacteriales, *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus* are not motile, do not conjugate, and are devoid of heme proteins and methanophenazine and, as mentioned above, selenocysteine proteins. Accordingly, their genomes lack CDS for these functions, with a few exceptions. Each of their genomes contains CDS predicted to encode a homolog of selenophosphate synthase (SelD) (MTBMA_c04350; MTH1864) and of selenocysteine synthase (SelA) (MTBMA_c04850; MTH1914), which catalyzes the formation of Sec-tRNA$^{Sec}$ from Ser-tRNA$^{Ser}$ using selenophosphate as selenium donor. The SelA homolog appears to be restricted to these two Methanothermobacter species, whereas a CDS for the SelD homolog has been found in every methanogen genome sequenced to date. In nonselenoprotein-containing methanogens, SelD may function in the synthesis of selenouridine-modified tRNAs and/or of selenium-dependent molybdenum hydroxylases, which some methanogens could contain [13, 39, 40].

*Methanothermobacter* species are not only found in anaerobic sewage sludge but also in anoxic freshwater sediments [17]. In these anoxic environments, the temperature is usually below 20°C and thus well below the observed temperature growth range of thermophiles. The origin of Methanothermobacter species in such mesophilic and psychrophilic habitats is uncertain. It is possible that the thermophiles
The kinetics and energetics of growth only of *M. marburgensis* have been analyzed in detail. The methanogen grows at 65°C with doubling times of 1.6 h when optimally gassed with 80% H₂ and 20% CO₂ at 10^5 Pa [27]. The apparent $K_m$ determined with growing cultures is 20% H₂ and 10% CO₂ [27]. From the apparent $K_m$, it can be calculated that the doubling time increases to over 100 days when the H₂ partial pressure is below 10 Pa, as in the anaerobic sewage sludge from which the organism was first isolated. From the digestor dilution rates, a doubling time of at least 30 days is predicted. At 10 Pa, the free energy change associated with reaction 1 is only $\Delta G = -40 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$, which can support the synthesis of less than one ATP from ADP and inorganic phosphate.

**4. Comparison of the *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus* Genomes**

To compare the genomes of *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus*, we used a two-step approach. In the first step, we used a bidirectional search method that identifies the most similar protein and RNA (tRNA, rRNA, and ribozyme) encoding sequences in the two genomes and allows a sequence to be used only once in the comparison. Each pair of CDS identified in this way was kept. Neither the second- nor third-best hits nor CDS homologs within the same organism were considered. Therefore, if a sequence is not common to the two organisms, this does not mean that there are no paralogs or orthologs of this sequence in the two organisms. Two sequences with a basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) expectation value ($E$-value) in the NCBI database less than $10^{-8}$ were considered to be of a common origin. A cutoff at lower $E$-values, for example, at an $E$-value of $10^{-25}$, would have resulted in 40 fewer common CDS, and among these would have been several CDS for proteins with a known function in both organisms, for example, for four ribosomal proteins. In the second step, we aligned full-length sequences using optimal global alignment [43]. Pairs of proteins with full-length alignments with $\geq 10\%$ identity at the amino acid level were considered as putative orthologous proteins. Using this method and cutoffs, the genomes of *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus* were found to have 1,607 CDS in common, 411 CDS not in common, 39 RNA-coding sequences in common and 1 RNA-coding sequence not in common (Table 1). The two genomes show a high degree of synteny (Figure 1).

**5. CDS in Common**

Of the 1,607 CDS common to *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus*, some encode proteins with identical or almost identical sequences, and others encode proteins with only a low level of sequence identity, which reflects large differences in sequence divergence or orthologous gene replacements. Only approximately 57% of the deduced amino acid sequences of the common CDS have $E$-values $<10^{-100}$ and corresponding optimal global similarity-alignment scores $>89.2$%; approximately 28% have $E$-values between $10^{-100}$ and $10^{-50}$ and similarity-alignment scores between 89.2% and 78.3%; approximately 21% have $E$-values between $10^{-50}$ and $10^{-25}$ and similarity-alignment scores between 78.3% and 50.0%; 3.7% have $E$-values between $10^{-25}$ and $10^{-8}$ (cutoff) and similarity-alignment scores between 50% and 10%; only 3.7% have a similarity-alignment score of 100. These results indicate that many of the proteins in the two organisms have undergone extensive mutations without having lost their function or that these proteins have no essential function and could, therefore, accumulate mutations extensively. Approximately 30% of the CDS in common encode conserved hypothetical proteins.

**5.1. CDS for Membrane Proteins and Protein Export**

Approximately 330 of the 1607 CDS in common are predicted to form at least one transmembrane helix indicating their location in the cytoplasmic membrane. Most of the other CDS appear to encode for cytoplasmic proteins. Only very few CDS appear to have a “periplasmic” location. Both genomes lack CDS for a Tat (twin arginine translocation) system involved in the export of proteins with prosthetic groups such as iron-sulfur centers that can only be assembled in the cytoplasm. The lack of a Tat system appears to be a general property of all methanogens lacking cytochromes.
Table 1: Genomes of Methanothermobacter marburgensis and of Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus.

|                      | M. marburgensis | M. thermautotrophicus |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Chromosome size (bp) | 1,639,135       | 1,751,377             |
| G+C content (mol%)   | 48.64           | 49.54                 |
| Coding (%)           | 90.94           | 91.02                 |
| CDS                  | 1,752           | 1,873                 |
| for conserved        |                 |                       |
| hypothetical proteins| 468             | 468                   |
| for predicted        |                 |                       |
| membrane proteins    | 327             | 327                   |
| CDS not in common    | 145             | 266                   |
| for predicted        |                 |                       |
| membrane proteins    | 48              | 80                    |
| CDS for proteins     | 1               | 1                     |
| with an intein       |                 |                       |
| 5S rRNA              | 3               | 3                     |
| 16S rRNA             | 2               | 2                     |
| 23S rRNA             | 2               | 2                     |
| tRNA                 | 40              | 39                    |
| tRNA with introns    | 3               | 3                     |
| Catalytic RNA (Ribonuclease P) | 1    | 1                     |
| Rnp-assisting protein|                 |                       |
| CRISPR locus         | 1 (36 repeats)  | 3 (175 repeats)       |
| CRISPR-associated    | 1               | 18                    |
| CDS                   |                 |                       |
| Sec transport system | yes             | yes                   |
| 7S rRNA (signal      | 1               | 1                     |
| recognition particle RNA) |             |                       |
| SRP-19, SRP-54, five | yes             | yes                   |
| Sec proteins         |                 |                       |
| FtsY (SRP receptor); | yes             | yes                   |
| YidC                 |                 |                       |
| Signal peptidase     | 1               | 1                     |
| Tat system           | no              | no                    |
| Fimbrin              | 2               | 3                     |
| Sortase              | 2               | 2                     |
| Adhesin-like proteins| 12              | 12                    |
| IS-like elements     | 15              | no                    |
| Transposase          | 1 (2 CDS)       | no                    |
| Plasmid              | pME2001 (4,439 bp) | no            |

*a* CDS predicted to code for transmembrane proteins based on the presence of at least one transmembrane helix as determined by TMHMM Server v. 2.0.

b
denosylcobalamin-dependent ribonucleotide reductase (MTBMA\c10320; MTH652). c: homologous to one or several of the 105 adhesin-like proteins in Methanobrevibacter ruminantium [41].

Therefore, the two Methanothermobacter species probably do not contain redox-active proteins whose active sites face outwards. This is an issue since there are reports suggesting that one member of the Methanobacteriales, Methanobacterium palustrae, can pick up electrons from the surface of electrodes and use these electrons for the reduction of CO2 to methane [44, 45]. Interestingly in this respect is the finding that M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus contain a complete Sec protein export system (Table 1). In principle, the methanogens could, therefore, produce electron-conducting filaments (nanowires) [46–48] that transfer electrons from the electrode to a cytoplasmic electron acceptor. There is evidence that M. thermautotrophicus can form filaments with which the organism may attach to H2-forming bacteria [49]. Whether these filaments can function as nano-wires is not known, and it is also not known whether M. palustrae has filaments when it picks up electrons from electrode surfaces.

5.2. Methanogen-Specific CDS. In the genomes of M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus, there are 27 CDS and 7 homologous pairs of CDS found in all methanogenic archaea but not in nonmethanogens. These are listed in supplementary Table 1 (see Table 1 in Supplementary Material available online at doi: 10.1155/2011/973848).

Of the methanogen-specific CDS, many are for proteins involved in CO2 reduction with H2 to methane. These are the CDS for five of the subunits (MtrA-E) of methyl-H4MPT:coenzyme M methyltransferase, the CDS for the three subunits (McrABG and/or MrtABG) of methyl-coenzyme isomerase I and II, the CDS for McrC, MrtC, MrtD, and/or MrtD and AtwA1 and/or AtwA2 associated
with methyl-coenzyme M reductase function, the CDS for FrhB and FrhG of F420-reducing hydrogenase and the CDS for ComE of sulfopyruvate decarboxylase involved in coenzyme M biosynthesis.

The other methanogen-specific CDS are for a predicted molybdenum-iron protein (NifD) homologous to NifD, for a radical-S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) protein homologous to NiF, for a homolog of selenophosphate synthetase (SelD), for a methyltransferase related protein (MtxX), for a peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase-related protein, for a predicted UTP-N-acetyluramyl pentapeptide synthase, for a predicted DNA-binding protein, for a predicted metal-binding transcription factor, for a predicted phosphomannomutase and for 12 conserved hypothetical proteins.

One of the methanogen-specific CDS, namely, mcrA, is used as a specific marker for methanogenic archaea and anaerobic archaea that contain methyl-coenzyme M reductase and oxidize methane [50].

5.3. Methanothermobacter- and Methanobacterales-Specific CDS. Of the CDS in M. marburgensis, 177 have a counterpart only in M. thermautotrophicus; 140 of these are for hypothetical proteins. Ninety-one CDS have a counterpart only in M. thermautotrophicus, Methanobrevibacter smithii, Methanobrevibacter ruminantium, and Methanospirillum hungatii, all members of the order of Methanobacterales. Of these 91 CDS, 67 are for hypothetical proteins. We expect that the Methanothermobacter-specific and the Methanobacterales-specific CDS are for anabolic (biosynthesis) rather than for catabolic (energy metabolism) functions. An exception is MTBMA_c06120, which is one of three CDS predicted to encode coenzyme F420 synthetase in both Methanothermobacter species. This enzyme catalyzes the conversion of coenzyme F420 to a redox-inactive form, which stops methanogenesis from H2 and CO2 [52].

6. CDS Not in Common

The genome of M. marburgensis also contains 145 CDS not present in M. thermautotrophicus (Supplementary Table 2), and the genome of M. thermautotrophicus also contains 266 CDS not present in M. marburgensis (Supplementary Table 3). These CDS not in common are dispersed around the two genomes, but many are concentrated at four genome areas (Figure 1). Their origin was traced back to gene-splitting events (frame shifts caused by single-base insertion/deletion; 15%), gene-deletion events (30%), gene-duplication events (30%), and lateral gene-transfer events (24%). (The percent values given are for M. marburgensis; for the method of determination, see the supplement.)

Of the CDS not in common and with an annotated function, 18 CDS in M. thermautotrophicus and 1 CDS in M. marburgensis are predicted to encode Cas proteins, that is, proteins associated with clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR). CRISPR loci encode small RNAs and are, therefore, described in the following subsection. Many of the CDS not in common are predicted to be involved in cell surface sugar biosynthesis (11 CDS in M. marburgensis and 23 CDS in M. thermautotrophicus). One CDS specific for M. thermautotrophicus is for a fimbriae protein (MTH60) [49]. This protein shows low sequence similarity to two CDS in each methanogen (MTBMA_c07820 and MTBMA_c07830; MTH382 and MTH383), which are predicted to encode exported proteins. Whether these proteins also form fimbriae is not known. Only the genome of M. marburgensis has 2 CDS for a putative transposase of the IS630 family (MTBMA_c01240 and MTBMA_c01250) and 15 IS-like elements. The two CDS for the transposase are preceded and followed by palindromic sequences [53].

7. RNA-Coding Sequences

The genome of M. marburgensis harbors 40 tRNA-coding sequences, whereas that of M. thermautotrophicus harbors only 39 tRNA-coding sequences. The extra tRNA in M. marburgensis is for serine, for which there are 5 tRNAs in M. marburgensis and 4 in M. thermautotrophicus. The fifth tRNA-Ser coding sequence lies next to that of another tRNAs for serine with the same anticodon. Therefore, the sequence is most likely the result of a gene-duplication event.

In both methanogens, three of the tRNA-encoding sequences, specifically those for tRNA-Trp, tRNA-Met, and tRNA-Pro, carry an intron. Accordingly, the genomes of the two methanogens also encode a tRNA-splicing endonuclease (MTBMA_c07000; MTH250).

In the genome of M. marburgensis, there is only one CRISPR locus with 36 repeats, located after MTBMA_c02230. In the genome of M. thermautotrophicus, there are three CRISPR loci with a total number of 175 repeats (http://genoweb1.irisa.fr/serveur-GPO/outils/repeats-Analysis/DOMAIN/indexDOMAIN.php). CRISPR loci encode small CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) that contain a full spacer-flanked by partial repeat sequences. Together with genes encoding Cas (CRISPR-associated) proteins (see above), they protect bacteria and archaea from invasion by phage and plasmid DNA through a genetic interference pathway [54–56]. Interestingly, spacer sequences from the CRISPR region of locus 2 from M. thermautotrophicus match to nucleotide sequences found in phage ψM1 of M. marburgensis and in phage ψM100 of M. wolfeii [57]; this is in agreement with the observation that M. thermautotrophicus is not lysed by these two phages.

8. Genes Involved in CH4 Formation from CO2 and H2 and in Energy Conservation

Approximately 90 of the annotated CDS present in both M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus, including those for the methyltransferase MtrA-H, the energy-converting hydrogenases EhaA-T and EhbA-Q, and the A1A0 ATP synthase AhaA-IK, encode proteins directly involved in CO2 reduction to methane with H2 and in energy conservation [6]. Another 80 CDS are required for the synthesis of the coenzymes and prosthetic groups, and more than 30 are predicted to have a function in the translocation of ions other than sodium. Their function within energy metabolism is shown in
Therefore, the reduction of ferredoxin with $H_2$ requires most ferredoxin-dependent reactions in methanogens [6]. Three are mainly involved in CO$_2$ fixation, for CO$_2$ reduction to CO and for the enzymes involved in the reduction of CO$_2$ with $H_2$ to methyl-tetrahydromethanopterin (methyl-H$_4$MPT) (autotrophic CO$_2$ fixation). The methyl group of methyl-H$_4$MPT is transferred to CO in a coenzyme-A-dependent reaction, yielding acetyl-CoA, from which most cell compounds are synthesized [58].

9. Genes for Enzymes Catalyzing $H_2$ Activation

In the genomes of *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus*, CDS for five different hydrogenases are found [5] (Figure 2, Table 2). Three are mainly involved in CO$_2$ reduction to methane with $H_2$ (Figure 2), and two are mainly involved in autotrophic CO$_2$ fixation. The three mainly catabolic hydrogenases are (a) the cytoplasmic "methylviologen"-reducing [NiFe]-hydrogenase (MvhADG) associated with the heterodisulfide reductase (HdABC) for the coupled reduction of ferredoxin (Fd) and the heterodisulfide CoM-S-S-CoB with $H_2$, (b) the cytoplasmic coenzyme F$_{420}$-reducing [NiFe]-hydrogenase (FrhABG), and (c) the cytoplasmic [Fe]-hydrogenase (Hmd), which substrates for FrhABG under nickel-limiting growth conditions. The mainly anabolic hydrogenases are the two membrane-associated energy-converting [NiFe]-hydrogenases EhaA-T and EhbA-Q for the reduction of ferredoxin with $H_2$.

Under physiological standard conditions [7], partial pressure (pH$_2$) = $10^5$ Pa; pH 7, the $H^+$/H$_2$ couple has a redox potential $E_0^\prime$ of $-414$ mV. Under *in vivo* conditions (pH$_2$ = $10$ Pa; pH 7) (see Section 1), the $E_0^\prime$ of the $H^+$/H$_2$ couple is only $-300$ mV. The $E_0$ of the electron acceptors of the hydrogenases are less than $-400$ mV for ferredoxin (EhaA-T; EhbA-Q), $-360$ mV for F$_{420}$ (FrhABG), $-140$ mV for CoM-S-S-CoB (MvhADG/HdABC), and $-380$ mV for methenyltetrahydromethanopterin (methyl-H$_4$MPT) (Hmd) [6]. Under *in vivo* conditions, the $E_0^\prime$ of the Fd$_{ox}$/Fd$_{red}$ couple is probably $-500$ mV, since this is the redox potential of most ferredoxin-dependent reactions in methanogens [6]. Therefore, the reduction of ferredoxin with $H_2$ requires energy, that of F$_{420}$ and of methyl-H$_4$MPT$^+$ operates near equilibrium [59], and that of CoM-S-S-CoB is exergonic enough to be coupled with energy conservation.

9.1. MvhADG. The cytoplasmic [NiFe]-hydrogenase (MvhADG) is frequently referred to as methyl-viologen-reducing [NiFe]-hydrogenase or F$_{420}$-nonreducing hydrogenase. MvhADG is associated with the cytoplasmic heterodisulfide reductase HdABC, with which the hydrogenase forms a tight complex. The complex catalyzes the CoM-S-CoB-dependent reduction of ferredoxin with $H_2$ and the ferredoxin-dependent reduction of CoM-S-CoB with $H_2$. The stoichiometry of ferredoxin (Fd) and CoM-S-CoB reduction with $H_2$ has been determined to be: $2H_2 + Fd_{ox} + CoM-S-CoB = Fd_{red}^+ + CoM-SH + CoB-SH + 2H^+$ [60]. Apparently, the MvhADG/HdABC complex couples the endergonic reduction of ferredoxin with $H_2$ to the exergonic reduction of CoM-S-CoB with $H_2$, and it has been proposed that the coupling proceeds via flavin-based electron bifurcation [6]. The reduced ferredoxin generated in the MvhADG/HdABC-catalyzed reaction is required for the reduction of CO$_2$ to formylmethanofuran ($E_0^\prime = -500$ mV) [61]. Evidence was recently provided that MvhADG/HdABC and formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase form a super complex in the cytoplasm of *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* [62].

In *M. marburgensis* and in *M. thermautotrophicus*, the genes encoding MvhADG/HdABC are organized in three nonadjacent transcription units (mvdGAB, hdRA, and hdBC). The mvdGAB operon lies directly downstream of the mtrBDGA operon, which encodes isoenzyme II of methyl-coenzyme M reductase, and there is evidence that the two operons can be cotranscribed [63, 64].

$mvhA$ and $mvhG$ encode the large and small hydrogenase subunits, respectively; $mvdD$ encodes a [2Fe2S] cluster-containing subunit; and $mvhb$ encodes a [4Fe4S]$^+$ polyferredoxin, which is probably the ferredoxin reduced by the MvhADG/HdABC complex (Figure 2). HdrB harbors the active site for CoM-S-CoB reduction and contains zinc and an unusual [4Fe4S]$^+$ cluster [65]. HdrC harbors two [4Fe4S] clusters, and HdrA contains four [4Fe4S]$^+$ clusters and FAD. HdrA is considered to be the site of electron bifurcation. Interestingly, HdrA is one of the most highly conserved proteins in all methanogenic archaea and is also found in other archaea and bacteria, which indicates an electron-bifurcating function in these organisms within a different context. Interesting in this respect is that in most methanogens, the CDS for HdrA is located separate from the CDS for HdrBC [66] consistent with HdrA being used not only in combination with HdrBC.

Many members of the Methanomicrobiales lack the genes for the subunits MvhAG. It has been proposed that in these hydrogenotrophic methanogens, FrhAG (see below) rather than MvhAG forms a functional complex with MvhD/HdABC [5, 67]. The finding that in most methanogens the CDS for MvhADG are located separate from those for HdrA and HdrBC [66] is consistent with HdrABC being used not only in combination with MvhADG for which there is genetic evidence in *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* [62].

9.2. FrhABG. This cytoplasmic [NiFe]-hydrogenase catalyzes the reversible reduction of coenzyme F$_{420}$ with $H_2$. The FrhABG complex aggregates to form a complex with a molecular mass of $>900$ kDa. Upon ultracentrifugation of cell extracts, the F$_{420}$-reducing hydrogenase is recovered in the membrane fraction, which is why it was long believed...
Table 2: The ca. 200 identified genes required for methane formation and energy conservation in *Methanothermobacter marburgensis* and *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus*. The number of CDS that remain to be identified are given in parentheses. For functions, see Figure 2. H₂, MPT, tetrahydromethanopterin; MFR, methanofuran.

| Proteins | Genes in *M. marburgensis* | Genes in *M. thermautrophicus* |
|----------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
|          | (MTRMA<sub>Marburgensis</sub>) | Number of CDS | (MTRMA<sub>Thermautrophicus</sub>) |
| **H<sub>2</sub> activation** | | | |
| MvhADG: F<sub>420</sub>-non reducing [NiFe]-hydrogenase associated with | 15190–15160 (*mvhDGAB*) (MvhB is a polyferredoxin) | 4 | 1136–1133 |
| HdrABC: heterodisulfide reductase | 17680, 04500, 04490 | 3 | 1381, 1879, 1878 |
| FrhABG: F<sub>420</sub>-reducing [NiFe]-hydrogenase; synthesis regulated by nickel | 16860–16830 (*frhADGB*) (FrhD is an endopeptidase) | 5 | 1300–1297 |
| Hmd: [Fe]-hydrogenase (H<sub>2</sub>-forming methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT dehydrogenase); synthesis regulated by nickel | 15260 | 1 | 1142 |
| EhaA-T: Energy-converting [NiFe]-hydrogenase; membrane-associated; Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent; mainly anabolic function | 07840–08030 | 20 | 384–404 (EhaP twice) |
| EhbA-Q: Energy-converting [NiFe]-hydrogenase; membrane-associated; Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent; mainly anabolic function | 16230–16390 | 17 | 1251–1235 |
| Nickel-responsive transcriptional regulator NikR | 07330, 09830, 11340 | 3 | 603, 739 |
| **CO₂ reduction to methane** | | | |
| FwdA-DFGH: Tungsten-dependent formyl-MFR dehydrogenase; formed constitutively | 01390–01450 (*fwdHFGDACB*) | 7 | 1553–1559 |
| FwdA/FmdBCE: Molybdenum-dependent formyl-MFR dehydrogenase; formed only in the presence of molybdate, involving DNA-binding protein Tfx | 13050–13070 (*fmdEBC*) | 3 | 917–919 |
| MtrA-H: Methyl-H<sub>4</sub>MPT:coenzyme M methyltransferase; membrane-associated; Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent | 15400–15470 (*mtrHGFABCDE*) | 7 | 1156–1163 |
| MtrA-H: Methyl-H<sub>4</sub>MPT:coenzyme M methyltransferase; membrane-associated; Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent | 15480–15520 (*mcrAGCDB*) | 5 | 1164–1168 |
| (McrCD of unknown function) | | | |
| AtwA: Mtr/Mrt-activating enzyme A2 | 13970, 06010 | 2 | 151, 454, 1015 |
| Putative transcriptional regulator of the *mcr* operon | 05760 | 1 | 126 |
| **Electron transport** | | | |
| 6[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin (EhaP) | See above | See above |
| 8[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin (FwdF) | | | |
| 10[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin (EhaQ) | | | |
| 12[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin (MvhB) | | | |
| 14[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin (EhbK) | | | |
| 2[4Fe4S] ferredoxins | 00530, 03900, 07270, 14890 | 4 | 1468, 1819, 278, 1106 |
| 6[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin | 08040 | 1 | 405 |
| 8[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin | 17360 | 1 | 1345 |
### Table 2: Continued.

| Proteins | Genes in *M. marburgensis* | Genes in *M. thermautotrophicus* |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **ADP phosphorylation via the Na⁺-motive force** (generated in the MtrA-H-catalyzed reaction; see above) | | |
| AhaA-IK: A₃A₄ ATP synthase complex; membrane-associated; Na⁺-dependent? | 13380–13470 (ahaGDBAFCEKIH) | 952–961 |
| NhaA: Sodium ion/proton antiporter; pH regulation | 11540 | 760 |
| **Synthesis of prosthetic groups of methanogenic enzymes** | | |
| **[NiFe] center of the [NiFe]-hydrogenases** | | 9 |
| CarAB: Carbamoyl-phosphate synthase | 13790–13800 | 996–998 (996+997 = CarB) |
| HypA-F: Hydrogenase maturation factors | 11790, 11780, 02320, 14600,06550 or 01080, 00261, 01530, 016720 | 783, 782, 1649, 1072, 205 or 1525, 1287 |
| FrhD and HycI: endopeptidases | See above and 11320 | See above and 737 |
| FeGP cofactor of Hmd | 15270–15320, 15200 | 1143–1148, 1137 |
| HmcII and HmcIII | 00970, 08950 | 1512, 504 |
| FeS-centers of hydrogenases, Fmd, Fwd, Hdr and ferredoxins | 3 |
| IscS/SufS: Cysteine desulfurase | 17750 | 1389 |
| SufB/D and SufC | 15340, 15330 | 1150, 1149 |
| AphC: Putative iron-sulfur cluster transfer protein | Not found | 1176 |
| **Molybdenopterin cofactor of formyl-MFR dehydrogenase** | | 10 |
| MoaABCE | 01360, 04310, 12050, 05990 | 1550, 1861, 809, 149 |
| MoeAB | 13850 or 17560, 01580 | 1003 or 1369, 1571 |
| MobAB | 05930 or 09160, 01370 | 143 or 528, 1551 |
| B₁₂ cofactor of methyltransferase MtrA-H | | 4 |
| HemA: Glutamyl-tRNA reductase | 13940 | 1012 |
| HemB: δ-Aminolevulinic acid dehydratase | 11390 | 744 |
| HemC: Porphobilinogen deaminase | 12690 | 874 |
| HemD: Uroporphyrinogen-III synthase | 06170 | 166 |
| CysG1: Uroporphyrin-III C-methyltransferase (precorrin-2 synthesis) | 06180 | 167 |
| CbiX: Sirohydrochlorin cobalt chelatase | 17830 | 1397 |
| CbiL: Precorrin-2 C(20)-methyltransferase | 17380 | 1348 |
| CbiH: Precorrin-3B C17 methylase | 17900 | 1403 |
| CbiG: Cobalamin biosynthesis protein | 17950 | 1408 |
| CbiF: Precorrin-4 methylase | 09820 | 602 |
| CbiD: Precorrin-6A synthase | 12040 | 808 |
| CbiE: Precorrin-6Y methylase | 00990 | 1514 |
| CbiJ: Precorrin-6X reductase | 13840 | 1002 |
| CbiT: Cobalt-precorrin-6Y C(15)-methyltransferase | 05960 | 146 |
| CbiC: Precorrin-8X methylmutase | 00670 | 227 |
| CbiA: Cobyrinic acid a,c-diamide synthase | 00460 | 1460 |
| CobS: Cobalamin-5-phosphate synthase | 14960 | 1112 |
| CbiB: Cobalamin biosynthesis protein | 17960 | 1409 |
| Cobi: Cobalamin biosynthesis protein | 06860 or 09040 or 17530 | 237 or 514 or 1363 |
| **F₄₃ cofactor of methyl-coenzyme reductases** | Biosynthesis starts from uroporphyrinogen-III, probably 7 reactions are involved | |
### Proteins

**Genes in* M. marburgensis** | **Genes in* M. thermoautotrophicus**
---|---
**Coenzyme biosynthesis** | **Coenzyme biosynthesis** | **Number of CDS** | **Number of CDS**
Coenzyme $F_{420}$ | Coenzyme $F_{420}$ | 6 | 6
CoF A: Lactaldehyde dehydrogenase | CoF A: Lactaldehyde dehydrogenase | 13630 | 978
CoF B: 1-Lactate kinase | CoF B: 1-Lactate kinase | ? | (1)
CoF C: 2-phospho-1-lactate guanylyltransferase | CoF C: 2-phospho-1-lactate guanylyltransferase | 09930 | 613
CoF D: LPPG:F0 2-phospho-1-lactate transferase | CoF D: LPPG:F0 2-phospho-1-lactate transferase | 14000 | 1018
CoF E: $F_{420}$-γ-glutamyl ligase | CoF E: $F_{420}$-γ-glutamyl ligase | 14010 | 1019
CoF GH: $F_{0}$ synthase | CoF GH: $F_{0}$ synthase | 15760,12170 | 1198, 820
**Methanofuran** | **Methanofuran** | 1 | 1
Mfn A: 1-Tyrosine decarboxylase | Mfn A: 1-Tyrosine decarboxylase | 15000 | 1116
and estimated 7 unknown proteins | and estimated 7 unknown proteins | ? | ?
**Methanopterin** | **Methanopterin** | 3 | 3
Mpt A: GTP cyclohydrolase | Mpt A: GTP cyclohydrolase | 15740 | 1196
Mpt B: Cyclic phosphodiesterase | Mpt B: Cyclic phosphodiesterase | 02460 | 1668
RFAP: Ribofuranosylaminobenzene 5′-P-synthase | RFAP: Ribofuranosylaminobenzene 5′-P-synthase | 12280 | 830
and estimated 7 unknown proteins | and estimated 7 unknown proteins | ? | ?
**Coenzyme M** | **Coenzyme M** | 5 | 5
Com A: Phosphosulfolactate synthase | Com A: Phosphosulfolactate synthase | 02530 | 1674
Com B: 2-Phosphosulfolactate phosphatase | Com B: 2-Phosphosulfolactate phosphatase | 15590 | 1182
Com C: Sulfolactate dehydrogenase | Com C: Sulfolactate dehydrogenase | 15830 | 1205
Com D E: Sulfopyruvate decarboxylase | Com D E: Sulfopyruvate decarboxylase | 15840,15850 | 1206, 1207
Com F: Sulfoacetaldehyde dehydrogenase | Com F: Sulfoacetaldehyde dehydrogenase | ? | ?
**Coenzyme B** | **Coenzyme B** | 4 | 4
Leu A homolog: Isopropylmalate synthase | Leu A homolog: Isopropylmalate synthase | 00630 or 02150 | 1481 or 1630
Leu B homolog: Isopropylmalate dehydrogenase | Leu B homolog: Isopropylmalate dehydrogenase | 17740 or 06370 | 1388 or 184
Leu CD homolog: Isopropylmalate isomerase | Leu CD homolog: Isopropylmalate isomerase | 02160 or 17720, 2270 or 17730 | 1386 or 1631, 829 or 1387
and estimated 2 unknown proteins | and estimated 2 unknown proteins | ? | ?
**Ion transport systems** | **Ion transport systems** | **Number of CDS** | **Number of CDS**
Ni$^{2+}$ ABC transporter NikMNOQ (=Chm1N1O1Q1) | Ni$^{2+}$ ABC transporter NikMNOQ (=Chm1N1O1Q1) | 02830–02860 | 4 | 1704–1707
Co$^{2+}$ ABC transporter Chm2N2O2Q2 | Co$^{2+}$ ABC transporter Chm2N2O2Q2 | 05800–05830 | 4 | 130–133
Fe$^{2+}$ ABC transporter FeoAB | Fe$^{2+}$ ABC transporter FeoAB | 17520, 17510 | 2 | 1362, 1361
Zn$^{2+}$ ABC transporter ZnuABC/ZupT | Zn$^{2+}$ ABC transporter ZnuABC/ZupT | 09840–09860, 08660 | 4 | 604–606, 473
Mg$^{2+}$ transporter MgtE | Mg$^{2+}$ transporter MgtE | 10010 | 1 | 620
Ca$^{2+}$ transporter (ATPase) | Ca$^{2+}$ transporter (ATPase) | 13830 | 1 | 1001
K$^{+}$ transporter TrkAH | K$^{+}$ transporter TrkAH | 16520, 16510 | 2 | 1265, 1264
MoO$_{4}^{-}$ ABC transporter ModA1B1C1 | MoO$_{4}^{-}$ ABC transporter ModA1B1C1 | 13080, 13090, 13110 | 3 | 920, 921, 924
WO$_{4}^{2-}$ ABC transporter ModA2B2C2 | WO$_{4}^{2-}$ ABC transporter ModA2B2C2 | 08720–08740 | 3 | 477–479
HPO$_{4}^{2-}$ ABC transport system PstABCS1S2/PhoU1U2 | HPO$_{4}^{2-}$ ABC transport system PstABCS1S2/PhoU1U2 | 03020–03070, 03090 | 8 | 1727–1732, 1734
Putative transcriptional regulator of the* pst/phu* operon | Putative transcriptional regulator of the* pst/phu* operon | 03000 | 8 | 1724
that this enzyme is membrane associated. In the two Methanothermobacter species, the encoding genes are organized in the transcription unit frhADGB, where frhA encodes the large subunit with the [NiFe] center, frhG encodes the small subunit with three [4Fe4S] clusters, and frhB encodes an iron–sulfur flavoprotein with one [4Fe4S]-cluster and FAD, which functions as a one electron/two electron switch in F420 iron-sulfur flavoprotein with one [4Fe4S]-cluster and FAD, revealing a function of Ehb in autotrophic M. maripaludis eha genes of the frhD subunit with three [4Fe4S] clusters, and large subunit with the [NiFe] center the transcription unit reduction. The gene frhD encodes an endopeptidase (homologous to HycI from Escherichia coli), which is required to clip off the C-terminal extension in the FrhA preprotein [68]. The CDS for FrhA and FrhG belong to the methanogen-specific CDS (Supplementary Table 1).

9.3. Hmd. The [Fe]-hydrogenase catalyzes the reversible reduction of methylene-H₄MPT+ with H₂ to methylene-H₂MPT. Together with F₄₂₀-dependent methylene-H₂MPT dehydrogenase (Mtd), the enzyme catalyzes the methylene-H₂MPT+-dependent reduction of F₄₂₀ with H₂. The two enzymes can substitute for the F₄₂₀-reducing hydrogenase (FrhABG) under nickel-limiting growth conditions, under which FrhABG is not synthesized [69]. Consistent with this function are the findings that in M. maripaludis, it has been possible to knock out the genes for F₄₂₀-reducing hydrogenase, the gene for [Fe]-hydrogenase, or the gene for F₄₂₀-dependent methylene-H₂MPT dehydrogenase with only minor effects on growth on H₂ and CO₂, but it has not been possible to knock out two of these genes [62, 70, 71].

Hmd harbors a novel iron–guanaylpyridinol (FeGP) cofactor covalently bound to the homodimeric enzyme only via the thiol/thiolate group of a cysteine residue. The enzyme and the cofactor are not found in most members of the Methanomicrobiales. They appear to be absent in Methanosarcinales and Methanocellales [5].

9.4. EhaA-T and EhbA-Q. Both membrane-associated [NiFe]-hydrogenases belong to the group of energy-converting hydrogenases that catalyze the reduction of ferredoxin with H₂ driven by the proton-motive force or the sodium-ion motive force [5, 72]. ehaO/ehbN encodes the large subunit harboring a [NiFe] center, and ehaN/ehbM encodes the small subunit characteristic of all [NiFe] hydrogenases. Most of the other eha and ehb genes encode membrane proteins. In M. marburgensis, both enzyme complexes are considered to be sodium-ion dependent and to have a function in providing the cells with reduced ferredoxin mainly for anabolic reactions, such as the reduction of CO₂ to CO (E₀ = −520 mV) and of acetyl-CoA plus CO₂ to pyruvate (E₀ = −500 mV) [58]. But the two enzymes are probably also required in CO₂ reduction with H₂ to methane if or when in the MvhADG/HdrABC-catalyzed reaction less ferredoxin is reduced than required for CO₂ reduction to formylmethanofuran (E₀ = −500 mV) (see above). Accordingly, deletion of the ehb genes in M. maripaludis reveals a function of Ehb in autotrophic CO₂ fixation: the mutant is an acetate auxotroph. Deletion of the eha genes was not possible [73, 74]. In the genomes of M. marburgensis, the genes encoding EhaA-T and EhbA-Q are organized in the transcription units ehaA-T and ehbA-Q, and their transcription is differentially regulated [75].

Four subunits encoded by EhaA-T and EhbA-Q show sequence similarities to the core subunits of the NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase (NuoA–N) and the formate hydrogen lyase from E. coli. This is why two of these subunits in M. thermautotrophicus were annotated in 1997 as NADH dehydrogenase (EhaH/EhbO and EhaE/EhbF) and two as formate hydrogen lyase (EhaN/EhbM and EhaO/EhbN) [76]. At that time, the subunits EhaS and EhaT were annotated as formylmethanofuran:H₄MPT formyltransferase and ribokinase, respectively. However, these CDS have been shown to be cotranscribed with the ehaA–R genes, making a function in CO₂ reduction to methane (formyltransferase) or sugar activation (ribokinase) very unlikely [75].

Another CDS within the ehaA-T operon of M. thermautotrophicus, ehaP2, encodes a 6[4Fe4S] polyferroferredoxin that is not found in M. marburgensis. In both species, the ehaA-T transcription unit is followed by a gene for another 6[4Fe4S] polyferroferredoxin that could be the electron acceptor used by the hydrogenase.

Many members of the Methanomicrobiales lack the genes for EhaA-T and EhbA-Q. Instead these hydrogenotrophic methanogens contain genes for energy-converting hydrogenases different from those found in the other three orders of hydrogenotrophic methanogens [5, 67].

10. Genes for Enzymes Catalyzing CO₂ Reduction to Methane

CO₂ reduction to methane proceeds via seven steps (Figure 2). Steps one and seven in M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus are each catalyzed by two enzymes: a tungsten- and a molybdenum-dependent formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase (FwdA-DFGH and FwdA/FmdBCE) and isoenzymes I and II of methyl-coenzyme M reductase (MrcABG and MrtABG). The five other steps are each catalyzed by only one enzyme: formylmethanofuran:H₄MPT formyltransferase (Ftr), methenyl-H₄MPT⁺ cyclohydrodolase (Mch), methylene-H₂MPT dehydrogenase (Mtd), methylene-H₂MPT reducetase (Mer), and methyl-H₄MPT:coenzyme M methyltransferase (MtrA-H) (Table 2). The CDS for a second Ftr in M. thermautotrophicus [7] turned out to be the subunit EhaT of the energy-converting EhaA-T complex (see above).

10.1. FwdA-DFGH and FwdA/FmdBCE. These two cytoplasmic enzymes catalyze the reduction of CO₂ to formylmethanofuran with reduced ferredoxin. FwdA-DFGH is a tungsten enzyme. FmdBCE is a molybdenum enzyme. In both enzymes, the transition metal is coordinated by two molybdopterin molecules [77]. Interestingly, the tungsten and the molybdenum enzymes share the subunit FwdA, which is synthesized constitutively. In contrast, the molybdenum-dependent enzyme is only synthesized when molybdate is present in the growth medium [78, 79].
10.2. Ftr, Mch, Mtd, and Mer. These four cytoplasmic enzymes catalyze the formyl transfer from formylmethanofuran to H₄MPT (Ftr), the formation of methenyl-H₄MPT⁺ from formyl-H₄MPT (Mch), the reduction of methenyl-H₄MPT⁺ with F₄20H₂ to methylene-H₄MPT (Mtd), and the reduction of methylene-H₄MPT to methyl-H₄MPT (Mer). They are each composed of only one type of polypeptide and are devoid of a prosthetic group. The cyclohydrolase (Mch) may contain Ca²⁺ [80]. Crystal structures of all four enzymes are available [81].

A CDS (MTBMA_c06530; MTH204) in the genomes of the two Methanothermobacter species encodes a putative 5-formyltetrahydrofolate cycloligase present also in the genome of Methanopyrus kandleri. The function of the enzyme is unclear, since tetrahydrofolate, a structural and functional analog of H₄MPT, has not been found in these methanogens [82]. Therefore, the CDS might encode a 5-formyl-H₄MPT cyclohydrolase with a yet unknown function in reduction of CO₂ to methane.

10.3. MtrA-H. Of the enzymes involved in CO₂ reduction to methane, only the MtrA-H complex is a membrane enzyme. It is a cobalamin-dependent enzyme with the corrinoid bound to MtrA. The membrane complex couples the exergonic methyl transfer from methyl-H₄MPT to coenzyme M (ΔG°′ = −30 kJ/mol⁻¹) with the endergonic translocation
of sodium ions [83]. The sodium-ion-motive force thus generated is used to drive the phosphorylation of ADP via the A1A0 ATP synthase present in all methanogens (see below).

A CDS in the genomes of M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus encodes the methyltransferase MtxX [84] (MTBMA_c06800 and MTH231), which is also present in the genomes of all other methanogens (Supplementary Table 1). Notably, in some methanogens the mtxX gene is in a transcription unit together with mtxA and mtxH, which are predicted to encode homologs of MtrA and MtrH and are not present in all methanogens. In the MtrA-H complex, MtrH has the function of catalyzing the methyl transfer from methyl-H$_4$MPT to the cob(I)alamin bound to MtrA. The function of MtxX remains unknown.

10.4. McrABG and MrtABG. The two cytoplasmic nickel enzymes catalyze the reduction of methyl-coenzyme M with coenzyme B. The nickel is bound within factor F$_{430}$, which is the prosthetic group of the two enzymes [4]. Isoenzyme I of methyl-coenzyme M reductase (McrABG) is encoded by the transcription unit mcrACD, and isoenzyme II (MrtABG) is encoded by the transcription units mrtAGDB (mrtC lies outside the transcription unit). The functions of McrC, McrD, MrtC, and MrtD are still unknown [85]. They might be involved in posttranslational modifications, of which there are five within the active-site regions of McrABG and MrtABG (see below). Either one or the other isoenzyme is found in all methanogens and in methanotrophic archaea (Supplementary Table 1).

Methyl-coenzyme M reductases are only active when their prosthetic group F$_{430}$ is in the Ni(I) oxidation state. To render the enzyme from the inactive Ni(II) state to the active Ni(I) state by reduction, several activating enzymes, reduced ferredoxin, and ATP are required. One of the enzymes, component A2 (AtwA), which has an ATP-binding cassette, has been identified [86]. In the genomes of M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus, two and three CDS, respectively, for AtwA are found (Supplementary Table 1).

11. Genes for Electron Transport from H$_2$ to Terminal Electron Acceptors

As already indicated, M. marburgensis and M. therm autotrophicus are devoid of cytochromes and membrane-associated methanophenazine, which would function in electron transport from H$_2$ to the electron-accepting steps. The only identified electron carriers are ferredoxins, and several CDS for ferredoxins are found in the genomes of M. mar burgensis and M. therm autotrophicus (Table 2, Figure 2). A 12[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin, which has been characterized, is encoded by mhbB of the mhbDGB operon [87]. The transcription units for the energy-converting hydrogenases Eha and Ehb contain CDS for a 6[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin (EhAP) (EhAP twice in M. thermautotrophicus), a 10[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin (EhaQ), and a 14[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin (EhbK). The transcription unit for the tungsten-dependent formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase contains a CDS for an 8[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin (FwdF). In the genomes, there are additional monocistronic CDS for an 8[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin, a 6[4Fe4S] polyferredoxin, and four 2[4Fe4S] ferredoxins. The [4Fe4S] clusters within the ferredoxins interact electronically with each other, and different ferredoxins transfer electrons from one to another in spontaneous redox reactions. Electrons from H$_2$ can thus probably end up in all of the ferredoxins, from where they, in turn, can be recruited for the reduction of CO$_2$ to formylmethanofuran via FwdA/FmdBCE or FwdA-DFGH (Figure 2) and for various anabolic reduction reactions [5].

Anabolic ferredoxin-dependent reactions in M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus are the reduction of CO$_2$ to CO via ferredoxin-dependent CO dehydrogenase (MTBMA_c02870-02930; MTH 1708-1714), pyruvate synthesis from acetyl-CoA and CO$_2$ via two pyruvate synthases (MTBMA_c03130-03160 and MTBMA_c09230-09240; MTH1738-1740 and MTH536-537), 2-oxoglutarate synthesis from succinyl-CoA and CO$_2$ via 2-oxoglutarate synthase (MTBMA_c14140-14170; MTH1032-1035), synthesis of 2-oxoisovalerate from isobutyryl-CoA and CO$_2$ via 2-oxoisovalerate synthase (MTBMA_c10900-10930; MTH703-705), synthesis of indolylpyruvate from indolylacetyl-CoA and CO$_2$ via indolylpyruvate synthase (MTBMA_c04220-04230; MTH1852-1853) [32] and N$_2$ reduction to NH$_3$ via nitrogenase (NiFDHK) (MTBMA_c01460, 01490 and 01500; MTH11560, 1563 and 1564). The CDS for these ferredoxin-dependent enzymes are found in the genomes of most but not all methanogens. Thus, for example, acetate-dependent hydrogenotrophic methanogens such as Methanobrevibacter smithii and Methanobrevibacter ruminantium lack CDS for CO dehydrogenase, and members of the Methanosarcinales lack the CDS for 2-oxoglutarate synthase.

12. Genes Involved in Coupling of Methanogenesis with ADP Phosphorylation via the Sodium-Ion-Motive Force

Methanogenesis from CO$_2$ and H$_2$ is dependent on sodium ions, which are required for coupling methanogenesis with ADP phosphorylation (Figure 2, Table 2). Sodium ions are translocated by four membrane-associated complexes, namely, the methyl-H$_4$MPT: coenzyme M methyltransferase complex MtrA-H [83], the energy-converting [NiFe]-hydrogenase complexes EhaA-T and EhbA-Q [75], the A1A0 ATP synthase complex AhaAI-K, and a sodium ion/proton antiporter NhaA. The methyltransferase appears to translocate two sodium ions per methyl group transferred, as shown by coupling experiments done with vesicle preparations of Methanosa rcinacina mazei [83, 88]. The ATP synthase shows a conserved Na$^+$-binding motif [89], and it is generally assumed that four sodium ions are required for the phosphorylation of one ADP [90]. Figure 2 shows the proposed reduction of ferredoxin with H$_2$ via Eha or Ehb, driven by the sodium-ion-motive force with a Na$^+$ to e$^-$ stoichiometry of 1; however, this has not yet been established [72]. The sodium/proton antiporter is most likely there for pH homeostasis [91].
13. Genes for the Synthesis of Prosthetic Groups of Methanogenic Enzymes

Many of the enzymes catalyzing the reactions involved in CO₂ reduction to methane with H₂ contain prosthetic groups that have to be synthesized (Table 2, Figure 2). Prosthetic groups are the [NiFe] centers of [NiFe] hydrogenases (MvhA, FrhA, EhaO, and EhbN), the iron-guananylpyridinol (FeGP) cofactor in [Fe] hydrogenase (Hmd), the iron-sulfur clusters in [NiFe] hydrogenases, ferredoxins, formyl-methanofuran dehydrogenases (Fwd and Fmd), and heterodisulfide reductase (Hdr), molybdopterin in the two formylmethanofuran dehydrogenases (FwdB and FmdB), cobalamin in methyl-H₄MPT: coenzyme M methyltransferase (MtrA) and F₄₃₀ in methyl-coenzyme M reductase (McrABG and MrtABG). Formyltransferase (Ftr), cyclohydrolase (Mch), methylene-H₄MPT dehydrogenase (Mtd), and methylene-H₄MPT reductase (Mer) are devoid of a prosthetic group.

13.1. [NiFe]-Center. For the synthesis of the [NiFe] center in MvhA, FrhA, EhaO, and EhbN, at least six proteins are required: HypA and HypB for nickel insertion, HypE and HypF for the synthesis of the cyanide ligand from carbamoyl phosphate, and HypC and HypD for the transfer of the cyanide to the active site [68]. The six hyp genes are found in all methanogenic archaea; however, they are not clustered as in E. coli. In M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus, only the hypAB genes form a transcription unit.

Both M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus contain a carAB transcription unit. The encoded proteins are probably involved in the synthesis of carbamoyl phosphate from glutamine, bicarbonate, and 2 ATP. A second carB gene is probably for the synthesis of carbamoyl phosphate from ammonium, bicarbonate, and 2 ATP. Notably, carbamoyl-phosphate is not only required in methanogens for the synthesis of the active site of [NiFe] hydrogenases but also for the first committed step in pyrimidine and arginine biosynthesis.

13.2. FeGP Cofactor. The biosynthesis of the FeGP cofactor (prosthetic group of the [Fe] hydrogenase Hmd) has not yet been elucidated. In silico analysis indicates that seven genes co-occurring with the hmd gene are involved. In M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus, six of the hmd co-occurring genes (hcgA-F) form a transcription unit directly upstream of the hmd gene. The gene hcgG (MTBMA_c15200; MTH1137) is located five CDS downstream of the hmd gene in M. marburgensis and four CDS downstream in M. thermautotrophicus [5].

The gene hcgA (MTBMA_c15270; MTH1143) is predicted to encode a protein with a sequence similar to that of the radical-SAM protein BioB, which is involved in sulfur insertion in biotin biosynthesis [92]. However, HcgA lacks the N-terminal signature motif CX₅CX₇C or CX₅CX₇C, which is characteristic for the radical-SAM protein superfamily that coordinates a [4Fe4S]⁻-cluster essential for radical formation. Instead, HcgA universally harbors a unique CX₅CX₇C motif [92]. The functions of the hcgB-G genes remain to be established [5]. The genes encoding Hmd and HcgA-G are also found in Methanobrevibacter smithii, Methanobrevibacter ruminantium, all members of the Methanococcales, Methanopyrus kandleri, and one member of the Methanomicrobiales (Methanocorpusculum labreanum) [5].

In the genomes of the two Methanothermobacter species, two genes homologous to hmd are found. The two encoded proteins, HmdII and HmdIII, show only low sequence identity (<20%) to [Fe] hydrogenase but share high sequence identity (80%) with each other. The homologs are not found in methanogens without an hmd gene. Structure predictions indicate that HmdII and HmdIII have an intact site for FeGP cofactor binding. Indeed, HmdII binds the FeGP cofactor. However, neither HmdII nor HmdIII catalyze the reduction of methenyl-H₄MPT⁺ with H₂. These results were interpreted to indicate that HmdII and HmdIII could be scaffold proteins involved in FeGP-cofactor biosynthesis. However, Methanocorpusculum labreanum, Methanobrevibacter smithii, and Methanobrevibacter ruminantium, all of which can synthesize active [Fe]-hydrogenase, do not contain hmdII or hmdIII genes, which indicates a nonessential function in active Hmd synthesis [93].

13.3. Iron-Sulfur Clusters. M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus contain many iron-sulfur proteins. Amongst these are the hydrogenases, formylmethanofuran dehydrogenases, heterodisulfide reductase, and the ferredoxins involved in CO₂ reduction with H₂ to methane (Figure 2). Accordingly, the iron requirement for growth of the two methanogens is very high [94]. How iron-sulfur clusters are assembled in methanogens is still a mystery. In bacteria, two independent systems, Suf and Isc, have this function [95]. In the two Methanothermobacter species, only CDS for a cysteine desulfurase homolog (IscS/SufS), a SufB/SufD homolog (persulfide acceptor), and a SufC homolog (ABC-type ATPase) were found. Additionally, in the genome of M. thermautotrophicus, there is a homolog of the bacterial apbC/eukaryotic NBP35 gene that encodes an iron-sulfur cluster transfer protein [96, 97]. However, this ApbC homolog is not found in M. marburgensis (Table 2). In Methanococcus maripaludis, which lacks genes for cysteine desulfurase, cysteine has been shown not to be the sulfur source for the biosynthesis of iron-sulfur clusters and methionine [98].

13.4. Molybdopterin. The biosynthesis of molybdopterin appears to proceed as in bacteria, starting from GTP [99]. CDS for MoaABCE and MoeAB (molybdopterin cofactor biosynthesis proteins) and for MobAB (molybdopterin-guanine dinucleotide biosynthesis proteins) are found (Table 2).

13.5. B₁₂ Cofactor. The corrinoid in M. marburgensis was identified to be 5’-hydroxybenzimidazolyl-cobamide (factor III) [100]. It is the prosthetic group of the membrane associated MtrA-H complex (bound to MtrA) and is bound
as coenzyme B12 to adenosylcobalamin-dependent ribonucleotide reductase (MTBMA_012030; MTH652), the only protein in \textit{M. marburgensis} and \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} encoded by a gene with an intein sequence (Table 1). Cobalamin biosynthesis starts from glutamate, and most steps appear to follow the anaerobic pathway elucidated in \textit{Salmonella typhimurium}, with \( \delta \)-aminolevulinic acid, uroporphyrinogen-III, and precorrin-2 as intermediates [101–106]. CDS for HemA-D, CysG, CbiA-H, CbiJ, CbiLQ, CbiT, CbiX, CofB, and CofS are present. The CDS for cobalamin biosynthesis in methanogens are not clustered.

13.6. Cofactor \( \text{F}_{430} \). The synthesis of the nickel tetrapyrrole is predicted to branch off the cobalamin pathway at the intermediate precorrin-2 (dihydrosirohydrochlorin), where also the biosynthesis of siroheme (prosthetic group of assimilatory sulfite reductase) branches off [101]. Only one of probably six enzymes involved are not yet known. Two chelatases structurally related to the cobalt chelatase CobNS [109] could be nickel chelatases that catalyze the incorporation of Ni\(^{2+}\) into precorrin-2 or a precorrin-2 product (MTBMA_015500–015700, 09440; MTH673, 556). It has been proposed that proteins structurally related to the nitrogenase [Fe]-protein NiFH and the [MnFe]-protein NiFDK could have a function in pyrrole ring reduction involved in the synthesis of \( \text{F}_{430} \) from precorrin-2 [110]. The proposal is based on the finding that homologs of NiFD and NiFH are involved in protochlorophyllide reduction in photosynthetic [111] and that \( \text{NiFD} \) and \( \text{NiFH} \)-like genes (\( \text{nifD} \) and \( \text{nifH} \)) (MTBMA_010500 and 10230; MTH1522 and 643) are present in all methanogens, also in those that lack \( \text{nif} \) genes.

A small number of CDS for conserved hypothetical proteins are found in every genome of methanogenic archaea (Supplementary Table 1) and in the meta-genome of methanotrophic archaea [112] but are not found in any other organism. Among these methanogen-specific CDS, to which also \( \text{NifD} \) belongs, could be some that function in \( \text{F}_{430} \) biosynthesis because \( \text{F}_{430} \) has not been found outside methanogenic archaea and the phylogenetically closely related methanotrophic archaea [113, 114].

14. Genes for the Synthesis of Methanogenic Cofactors

In \textit{M. marburgensis} and \textit{M. thermautotrophicus}, five coenzymes are involved in \( \text{CO}_2 \) reduction with \( \text{H}_2 \): coenzyme \( \text{F}_{420} \), methanofuran (MFR), tetrahydromethanopterin (\( \text{H}_4\text{MPT} \)), coenzyme M (CoM-SH), and coenzyme B (CoB-SH) (Table 2, Figure 2).

14.1. Coenzyme \( \text{F}_{420} \). The biosynthesis of the 5′-deazaflavin derivative starts with a pyrimidine intermediate of riboflavin biosynthesis and the 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate precursor of tyrosine, yielding after several steps 7,8-didemethyl-8-hydroxy-5-deazariboflavin (\( \text{F}_0 \)) [115]. \( \text{F}_0 \) synthesis involves the radical-SAM proteins CofG and CofH [116]. \( \text{F}_0 \) is converted to \( \text{F}_{420} \) in five enzymatic steps starting from \( \text{F}_0 \) and \( \text{L} \)-lactate [117–120]. The five enzymes involved are CoFA-E. The CDS for CoFB, which catalyzes the formation of 2-phospho-L-lactate from \( \text{L} \)-lactate, has not yet been identified. In \textit{M. marburgensis} and \textit{M. thermautotrophicus}, a CDS for CoFF that is present in other methanogens is not found. CoFF encodes a \( \gamma \)-\( \text{F}_{420} \)-2-\( \alpha \)-\( \text{L} \)-glutamate ligase and caps the \( \gamma \)-\( \text{L} \)-glutamyl tail of coenzyme \( \text{F}_{420} \) [121]. This is not required in the Methanobacteria, in which \( \text{F}_{420} \) is not capped [4]. Associated with \( \text{F}_{420} \) function are CDS predicted to encode three coenzyme \( \text{F}_{390} \) synthetase isoenzymes (MTBMA_001110, 04250, 06120; MTH161, 1528, 1855). \( \text{F}_{390} \) synthase catalyzes the conversion of coenzyme \( \text{F}_{420} \) to a redox-inactive form, which stops methanogenesis from \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) [52].

In \textit{M. marburgensis} and \textit{M. thermautotrophicus}, coenzyme \( \text{F}_{420} \) not only functions in \( \text{CO}_2 \) reduction to methane with \( \text{H}_2 \) (Figure 2) but also in \( \text{O}_2 \) detoxification [122] and in biosynthesis [123]. Both methanogens contain CDS for the three \( \text{F}_{420} \)-\( \text{H}_2 \) oxidases FprA–1–3 (MTBMA_006080, 06690, 17400; MTH157, 220, 1350) [124], \( \text{F}_{420} \)-dependent sulfite reductase Fno (MTBMA_007290; MTH280) [125], \( \text{F}_{420} \)-dependent glutamate synthase (MTBMA_006440; MTH193) [126] (remains to be proven by purification and sequencing), and \( \text{F}_{420} \)-dependent formate dehydrogenase FdhAB (MTBMA_015220 and 15230; MTH1139 and 1140) [127]. The latter three enzymes show domains with sequence similarity to the subunit FrhB of \( \text{F}_{420} \)-reducing hydrogenase, which carries the binding site for the prosthetic groups \( \text{F}_{420} \), FAD, and a [4Fe4S] cluster. Therefore, sulfite reductase and the subunit 4 of \( \text{F}_{420} \)-dependent glutamate synthase and sometimes also subunit B of formate dehydrogenase have previously been annotated as FrhB.

The two \textit{Methanothermobacter} species have CDS for formate dehydrogenase even though they cannot grow on formate. They require the enzyme for \( \text{CO}_2 \) reduction to formate, which in turn is required for the synthesis of purines and as an electron donor for anaerobic ribonucleotide reductase (class III) [82]. Methanogens without cytochromes incorporate formate into \( \text{C}_2 \) of purines in an ATP-dependent reaction with formyl phosphate as intermediate, as catalyzed by 5-formaminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1 \( \beta \)-d-ribofuranosyl 5′-monophosphate synthetase (\( \text{PurP} \)) (MTBMA_015790; MTH1201) [128]. \( \text{CO}_2 \) reduction to formate is their only means of generating formate. Accordingly, formate-dehydrogenase-negative mutants of \textit{M. marburgensis} require formate for growth on \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) [28]. \textit{M. marburgensis} and \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} contain CDS for a second formate dehydrogenase subunit FdhA and a formate dehydrogenase accessory protein FdhD, but they lack a CDS for a formate carrier (FdhC) [129, 130], which is present in methanogens that can grow on formate.

14.2. Methanofuran. The pathway for the biosynthesis of methanofuran and the responsible genes have yet to be identified. A clear structural element in all known methanofurans is tyramine, likely produced by the decarboxylation of L-tyrosine [131]. In \textit{M. marburgensis} and \textit{M. thermautotrophicus}, the decarboxylation is catalyzed by MfnA.
14.3. Tetrahydromethanopterin. 7,8-Dihydro-δ-neopterin 2',3'-cyclic phosphate is the first intermediate in the biosynthesis of the pterin portion of tetrahydromethanopterin. This intermediate is produced from GTP by MptA, a new class of GTP cyclohydrolase I, and is further hydrolyzed via the cyclic phosphodiesterase MptB to a mixture of 7,8-dihydro-δ-neopterin 2'-monophosphate and 7,8-dihydro-δ-neopterin 3'-monophosphate [132]. The biosynthesis of the nonpterin portion involves at least nine steps, the first being catalyzed by ribofuranosylaminobenzene 5'-phosphate synthase [133].

14.4. Coenzyme M. All but one (ComF) of the CDS required for coenzyme M synthesis (ComA–F) have been identified in the genomes of M. marburgensis and M. thermotrophicus. Biosynthesis starts from phosphoenolpyruvate with sulfolactic acid, sulfoptyruvic acid, and sulfacetaldheyde as intermediates. ComA catalyzes the Michael addition of sulfite to phosphoenolpyruvate. ComB is a Mg²⁺-dependent acid phosphatase specific for 2-hydroxyxycarboxylic acid monophosphate esters. ComC catalyzes the oxidation of the (R)-sulfolactate intermediate to form sulfopyruvate, which is decarboxylated to produce sulfocacetaldehyde via ComDE. The CDS for ComE is one of the methanogen-specific genes (Supplementary Table 1). The final postulated enzyme in CoM biosynthesis, ComF, which has not yet been identified in any organism, catalyzes the reductive thiolation of sulfocacetaldehyde to coenzyme M, a reaction which most likely does not proceed spontaneously. The absence of comA, comB, and comC in the genomes of Methanosarcina spp. and members of the Methanomicr obiales implies that these methanogens synthesize sulfopyruvate by a different route [116].

14.5. Coenzyme B. The biosynthesis of coenzyme B starts from acetyl-CoA and 2-oxoglutarate and proceeds via 2-oxoadipate, 2-oxopimelate, 2-oxosuberate, and suberate semialdehyde as intermediates. CDS for homologs of (R)-citrate synthase [134], aconitase, and isocitrate dehydrogenase have been found. There is only one synthase for the three synthase reactions, one isomerase for the three isomerization reactions, and one dehydrogenase for the three dehydrogenation reactions. The three enzymes are homologs of isopropylmalate synthase (LeuA), isopropylmalate isomerase (LeuC/D), and isopropylmalate dehydrogenase (LeuB), respectively, [116, 135] for which there are two annotated gene copies in the genomes of M. marburgensis and of M. thermotrophicus.

15. Genes for Transport of Ions Required for Growth

The growth of methanogens is dependent not only on sodium ions (see above), but also on nickel, cobalt, iron, magnesium, and potassium cations and on molybdate or tungstate and phosphate anions [26, 27]. Growth is probably also dependent on zinc and calcium cations present as trace contaminations in the growth media. All these ions, all of which are required for the synthesis of enzymes, prosthetic groups, and coenzymes, must be taken up from the growth medium (Table 2, Figure 2).

With respect to Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, and Zn²⁺ uptake, it has to be considered that M. marburgensis and M. thermotrophicus thrive in habitats, where the H₂S/HS⁻ concentrations are generally high and the pH is near 7. The transition metal ions in such habitats are mostly present as sulfides, and therefore, the concentrations of the free ions are very low (<10⁻⁸ M), with the lowest being that of free zinc ions. The solubility product constants are 4.5 × 10⁻²⁴ for ZnS, 2 × 10⁻²¹ for NiS, 4 × 10⁻²¹ for CoS, and 6 × 10⁻¹⁶ for FeS [136].

15.1. Nickel. Nickel ions have to be taken up by the cells for the synthesis of the four different [NiFe] hydrogenases (EhaA-T, EhbA-Q, FrhABG, and MvhADG), the two methyl-coenzyme M reductase isoenzymes (McrABG and MrtABG), and the carbon monoxide-acytrel-CoA synthase/decarboxylase complex (MTBMA_c02870-02930; MTH1708-1714) involved in autotrophic CO₂ fixation. The ABC transporter involved has not yet been identified. There appears to be no close homolog to the NikA–E nickel transport system in E. coli. In the genome of the two Methanomethobacter species, there are two sets of CDS (Cbi1 and Cbi2) predicted to encode a Co²⁺ ABC transporter (see below), one of which (CbiM1N1O1Q1) has been proposed to be a Ni²⁺ ABC transporter [102, 137]. But the Ni²⁺ transporter could also be encoded by one of the five CDS for ABC transport systems without an annotated function present in the genomes of M. marburgensis (MTBMA_c00690 + 00700, MTBMA_c10830 + 10840, MTBMA_c14760 + 14770, MTBMA_c15330 + 15340, MTBMA_c17570 + 17580) and M. thermautotrophicus (MTH1486 + 1487, MTH695 + 696, MTH1093 + 1094, MTH1149 + 1150, MTH1370 + 1371).

15.2. Cobalt. Cobalt ions are required for the synthesis of cobalamin in the MtrA-H complex and of coenzyme B₁₂ in the adenosyl cobalamin-dependent ribonucleotide reductase. They are most probably taken up by the ABC transporter CbiMNOQ [137].

15.3. Iron. Ferrous ions for the synthesis of iron-sulfur clusters in the [NiFe] hydrogenases, formylmethanofuran dehydrogenases, heterodisulfide reductase, ferredoxins, and [Fe] hydrogenase are thought to be taken up by the ATP-driven FeoAB transport system encoded by feoAB [138].

15.4. Zinc. Of the proteins involved in CO₂ reduction with H₂ to methane only the subunit B of heterodisulfide reductase contains zinc [65]. But zinc ions are also required for RNA polymerase and other biosynthetic enzymes. The gene cluster for the putative high-affinity Zn²⁺ ABC transporter ZnuABC/ZupT in M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus lies next to an open reading frame for the nickel-responsive transcriptional regulator NikR homolog (MTBMA_c09830; MTH603). Therefore, the NikR homolog might in reality be a zinc-responsive regulator [139]. NikR
15.5. Magnesium. Magnesium ions are required in ATP- and ADP-dependent reactions, because synthetases and kinases generally use complexes of ATP and ADP with Mg$^{2+}$ as substrates and products. Mg$^{2+}$ is predicted to be taken up by the MgtE system [141].

15.6. Calcium. The crystal structure of Mch from Methanothermobacter kandleri revealed the presence of a structural calcium ion [80]. Methane formation in cell suspensions of M. thermautotrophicus is stimulated by Ca$^{2+}$ [142]. These findings indicate a function of Ca$^{2+}$ in methanogenesis. A membrane-associated Ca$^{2+}$ ATPase has been identified via bioinformatic methods [143]. Available evidence indicates that Ca$^{2+}$ uptake is inhibited by Ni$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ [142]. If a Ca$^{2+}$ uptake system is present, it must be a high-affinity uptake system, since media for the growth of M. marburgensis do not have to be supplemented with calcium salts for the methanogen to grow optimally [27]. The contaminating calcium ion concentration in the media has been determined to be 0.5 μM [142].

15.7. Potassium. Potassium ions are not directly involved in methanogenesis from CO$_2$ and H$_2$O, but most of the methanogenic enzymes function optimally only at high K$^+$ concentrations. In growing M. marburgensis cells, the intracellular K$^+$ concentrations have been determined to be above 0.5 M [144]. The potassium ions are most probably taken up by the low-affinity TrkAH system [145], for which CDS in the genomes of the two Methanothermobacter species have been found.

15.8. Molybdate and Tungstate. Molybdate ions are required for the synthesis of the molybdenum-dependent formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase, formate dehydrogenase, and nitrogenase. MoO$_4^{2-}$ is most likely taken up by the ABC transporter ModA1B1C1 [146, 147] encoded by the CDS located directly adjacent to the CDS for molybdenum-dependent formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase. Tungstate ions are required for the synthesis of the tungsten-dependent formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase. WO$_4^{2-}$ is most likely taken up by the ABC transporter ModA2B2C2 [148, 149].

15.9. Phosphate. In methanogenesis from CO$_2$ and H$_2$, phosphate is required in ATP formation via the AiiA ATP synthase and for the synthesis of the coenzymes H$_4$MPT, coenzyme B, and the FeGP-cofactor, which contain covalently bound phosphate. The phosphate is probably taken up by a PstABCS/PhoU system [150].

16. Transcriptional Regulation and Posttranslational Modifications

Up to here, the regulation and posttranslational modifications of enzymes involved in CO$_2$ reduction with H$_2$ to methane have only been mentioned. They are, in the following, dealt with in more detail.

16.1. Transcriptional Regulation. Mainly, the effects of changes of the Ni$^{2+}$ and molybdate concentration and in the H$_2$ partial pressure on transcription during growth of M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus have been studied.

16.1.1. Regulation by Ni$^{2+}$. Transcription of the genes for F$_{420}$-dependent methylene-H$_2$MPT dehydrogenase (Mtd), F$_{420}$-reducing hydrogenase (FrhABG), and H$_2$-forming methylene-H$_2$MPT dehydrogenase (Hmd) is regulated by Ni$^{2+}$ [151]. When M. marburgensis grows under nickel-limiting conditions, transcription of the mtd and hmd genes is upregulated and that of the frhABDG genes is downregulated [151]. The genome of M. marburgensis has three CDS and the genome of M. thermautotrophicus has two CDS predicted to encode a nickel-responsive transcriptional regulator (NiKR) [139]. In bacteria, NiKR regulates transcription of genes involved in the synthesis of nickel enzymes and nickel transport [152]. The putative presence of several nickel responsive regulators might reflect the methanogens’ use of an unusually high number of different nickel proteins and their growth in habitats where the nickel concentration is sometimes growth limiting [5].

16.1.2. Regulation by Molybdate. Transcription of the fmdBCE genes of the molybdenum-dependent formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase (FwdA/FmdBCE) responds to the availability of molybdate in the growth medium. The fmdECB operon in M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus is directly preceded by the open reading frame tfx, predicted to encode a DNA-binding protein. Tfx binds specifically to nucleotide sequences downstream of the promoter of the fmdECB operon. Northern blot hybridizations have revealed that transcription of tfx is repressed in the presence of tungstate [153].

16.1.3. Regulation by H$_2$. Transcription of the frhADBG genes has been shown to be upregulated under H$_2$-limiting conditions [151]. Also, the transcription of the genes for the synthesis of the two methyl-coenzyme M reductase isoenzymes McrABG and MrtABG responds differentially to the availability of H$_2$. MrtABG is preferably synthesized in the early exponential growth phase and McrABG preferably in the late exponential growth phase [154, 155]. A plausible candidate for a transcriptional regulator of the mcr operon in M. thermautotrophicus was recently shown to be the inosine-monophosphate dehydrogenase related-protein IMPDH VII encoded by MTH126 (= MTBMA_c05760). IMPDH VII, which binds to the promoter region of the Mcr-encoding operon, is predicted to have a winged helix-turn-helix DNA-binding motif and two cystathionine β-synthase (CBS) domains, and has been suspected to be an energy-sensing module [156]. A sensor for H$_2$ has not been found. The genomes of M. marburgensis and M. thermautotrophicus lack CDS for a sensory hydrogenase as found in Ralstonia eutropha [157].
16.1.4. Regulation by Nitrogen. Of the many transcriptionally regulated anabolic genes, only those involved in nitrogen assimilation are addressed here because they are relevant for the growth properties of *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus*. Within the CDS cluster for the nitrogen fixation function (MTBMA<sub>c</sub>01460-01530; MTH1560-1566), two CDS for nitrogen regulation (Nif<sub>1</sub> and Nif<sub>2</sub>) are present (MTBMA<sub>c</sub>01470-01480; MTH1561-1562) that regulate expression of genes involved in N<sub>2</sub> fixation and NH<sub>3</sub> assimilation [158]. Upstream of the cluster, a CDS for the global nitrogen repressor NrpR is found (MTBMA<sub>c</sub>01560; MTH1569) [159]. NrpR is predicted to bind to the inverted repeat operators of the nitrogenase structural genes nifHDK (MTBMA<sub>c</sub>01460, 01490, 01500; MTH1560, 1563, 1564), the glutamine synthetase gene glnA (MTBMA<sub>c</sub>01570; MTH1570), and the ammonium transporter genes amt1 (MTBMA<sub>c</sub>01420; MTH661) and amt2 (MTBMA<sub>c</sub>010450; MTH663). Directly upstream of each of the two *amt* genes lies a CDS for one of two transcriptional regulators, GlnK1 and GlnK2. This indicates that in the two *Methanothermobacter* species, nitrogen assimilation is tightly regulated. This could explain why attempts to grow *M. marburgensis* on N<sub>2</sub> as sole nitrogen source have failed (unpublished results).

16.2. Posttranslational Modifications. Of the proteins involved in CO<sub>2</sub> reduction with H<sub>2</sub> to methane the four [NiFe] hydrogenases and the two methyl-coenzyme M reductases have been found to be posttranslationally modified.

16.2.1. Hydrogenases. In the two *Methanothermobacter* species, the large subunit of all three subtypes of [NiFe] hydrogenases (EhaO, EhbN, MvhA, and FrhA) (Table 2) is synthesized as a preprotein, from which a C-terminal extension has to be clipped off. The posttranslational modification of these four hydrogenase-specific endopeptidases should be present. In *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus*, only the endopeptidase encoded by *frhD* (MTBMA<sub>c</sub>16850; MTH1299) in the *frhADGB* operon could be unambiguously identified. In addition to *frhD* in the *frhADGB* operon, a second *frhD* gene (MTBMA<sub>c</sub>11320; MTH737) (homologous to *hycl* in *E. coli*) and several other genes for metalloproteases outside the transcription units for the four [NiFe] hydrogenases are found.

16.2.2. Methyl-Coenzyme M Reductases. In the structure of the two methyl-coenzyme M reductase isoenzymes (Mcr and Mrt), a thiolglycine, a C<sup>3</sup>-methyl alanine, a C<sup>2</sup>-methyl arginine, an N-methyl histidine, and an S-methyl cysteine are found in the α-chain [160]. The methyl groups are posttranslationally introduced from S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) [161, 162]. The formation of C<sup>3</sup>-methyl alanine and C<sup>2</sup>-methyl arginine involves a C-methylation and is, therefore, predicted to involve radical SAM enzymes [163]. The formation of N-methyl histidine and S-methyl cysteine is predicted to involve SAM-dependent methyltransferases. The genomes of *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus* encode at least 14 radical-SAM enzymes and more than 15 SAM-dependent methyltransferases; a function for most of these has not yet been assigned. SAM is synthesized by an archaea-type SAM synthetase [164].

17. Conclusions

We identified approximately 200 CDS in *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus* that encode proteins directly or indirectly involved in CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to methane with H<sub>2</sub> and in coupling this process with energy conservation (Figure 2). More than 50 of these CDS are concentrated in the genome region between MTBMA<sub>c</sub>16880 and MTBMA<sub>c</sub>15110 and between MTH1302 and MTH1128, whereas the others are scattered all over the genome. Approximately 90 CDS are for membrane-associated protein complexes, of which only the Mtra-H complex has been purified. Crystal structures of many of the cytoplasmic enzymes catalyzing CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to methane have been determined [81, 93, 123]. However, of the biosynthetic proteins involved in coenzyme and prosthetic group synthesis, only a few have been characterized, and approximately 20 have not yet even been identified. The lack of a genetic system for the two *Methanothermobacter* species presently allows their identification only by reverse genetics.

The comparison of the genomes of two *Methanothermobacter* species has revealed that they are pretty much the same in all core catabolic and anabolic reactions. Some of the 1,607 CDS in common encode proteins with identical or almost identical sequence; others, however, encode proteins with only low sequence identity. The differences in phenotype observed, such as differences in growth rate and in ATPase activity, can, therefore, easily be the result of these sequence differences, since even point (single nucleotide) mutations can result in a change in the phenotype. But it is also likely that some of the differences, such as in cell wall sugar composition and susceptibility to phage infection, lie hidden within sequences that the two organisms do not have in common. Of these, those for the synthesis of cell surface polysaccharides and for IS-like elements and CRISPR are most apparent.

Our comparison of the genomes provides a roadmap for defining the majority of functional components responsible for the methanogenic phenotype in *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermautotrophicus* and a template for metabolic pathway reconstruction and gene discovery in comparisons of clonal populations or meta-genomes. Most of the 200 genes that have a direct or indirect function in CO<sub>2</sub> reduction with H<sub>2</sub> to methane are also found in the Methanococcales and Methanopryales, whereas some are lacking in the Methanomicrobiales. Thus, many members of the Methanomicrobiales lack the genes for the heterodisulfide-reductase (HdrABC-) associated hydrogenase subunits MvhAG and many contain genes for energy-converting hydrogenases different from those found in the other three orders of hydrogenotrophic methanogens [5, 67]. Interestingly, the cytochrome-containing Methanocellales appear to be more similar with respect to their core catabolic genes to...
the Methanobacteriales than to the cytochrome-containing Methanosarcinales.

It was first thought that most of the reactions, coenzymes, and prosthetic groups involved in CO₂ reduction with H₂ to CH₄ in *M. thermautotrophicus* and *M. marburgensis* would be unique to methanogens. Only much later was it discovered that “methanogenic” genes are also present in other archaea and in bacteria. For example, the sulfate-reducing *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* uses many enzymes and coenzymes in anaerobic lactate oxidation to 3 CO₂ that are also found in *Methanothermobacter marburgensis* and *Archaea.*

Another example is the methylophilic bacteria, which use methanogenic enzymes and coenzymes in their energy metabolism [167]. Finally, [NiFe] hydrogenases, which were discovered first in *M. marburgensis* [168], are also found, for example, in *E. coli* [68, 169]. *M. marburgensis* and *M. thermotrophics* are therefore not only model organisms for the study of methanogenesis from H₂ and CO₂ but also for the study of H₂ and C₁ metabolism in general.
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