Assessment of Osteoarthritis Candidate Genes in a Meta-Analysis of Nine Genome-Wide Association Studies
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Objective. To assess candidate genes for association with osteoarthritis (OA) and identify promising genetic factors and, secondarily, to assess the candidate gene approach in OA.

Methods. A total of 199 candidate genes for association with OA were identified using Human Genome Epidemiology (HuGE) Navigator. All of their single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with an allele frequency of >5% were assessed by fixed-effects meta-analysis of 9 genome-wide association studies (GWAS) that included 5,636 patients with knee OA and 16,972 control subjects and 4,349 patients with hip OA and 17,836 control subjects of European ancestry. An additional 5,921 individuals were genotyped for significantly associated SNPs in the meta-analysis. After correction for the number of independent tests, \( P \) values less than \( \frac{1.58}{10^{5}} \) were considered significant.

Results. SNPs at only 2 of the 199 candidate genes (COL11A1 and VEGF) were associated with OA in the meta-analysis. Two SNPs in COL11A1 showed association with hip OA in the combined analysis: rs4907986 (\( P = 1.29 \times 10^{-5} \), odds ratio [OR] 1.12, 95% confidence interval [95% CI] 1.06–1.17) and rs1241164 (\( P = 1.47 \times 10^{-5} \), OR 0.82, 95% CI 0.74–0.89). The sex-stratified analysis also showed association of COL11A1 SNP rs4908291 in women (\( P = 1.29 \times 10^{-5} \), OR 0.87, 95% CI 0.82–0.92); this SNP showed linkage disequilibrium with rs4907986. A single SNP of VEGF, rs833058, showed association with hip OA in men (\( P = 1.35 \times 10^{-5} \), OR 0.85, 95% CI 0.79–0.91). After additional samples were genotyped, association at one of the COL11A1 signals was reinforced, whereas association at VEGF was slightly weakened.

Conclusion. Two candidate genes, COL11A1 and VEGF, were significantly associated with OA in this focused meta-analysis. The remaining candidate genes were not associated.
The etiology of primary osteoarthritis (OA) is multifactorial and includes aging and mechanical, hormonal, and genetic factors (1). Investigations of many of these factors have produced contradictory results, mak-
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ing conclusions difficult. Some of the contradictory results are attributable to the design of the studies, but others can be attributable to undetermined differences between patients (1,2). It is also notable that susceptibility factors, including genetic factors, are not equally shared by the different joint regions affected by OA (3,4).

Previous attempts have been made to identify genetic factors involved in OA, using association studies of candidate genes, linkage studies in multicare families, or genome-wide association studies (GWAS) (2,5). Candidate genes were studied because of their important roles in the pathogenesis of OA or their altered expression in OA tissue. The results of most of these association studies have been inconclusive. The association of only one candidate gene, GDF5, was well supported by data from multiple studies and reached genome-wide significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) in subsequent replication analysis (6,7). Linkage studies have provided some consistent results, but progression from these results to identification of the causal genes has proven to be difficult (2,5).

Recently, GWAS have identified 11 additional OA susceptibility loci with genome-wide significance levels. Two of them, in DWA/COL6A4 and a region containing HLA class II/III genes, showed association in Asians but not in Europeans (8–10). The other 9 loci reached genome-wide significance in Europeans. They include a locus on chromosome 7q22 (which is located in a large linkage disequilibrium [LD] block that contains 6 genes) associated with knee OA (11,12); MCF2L associated with knee and hip OA (13); 5 loci that were identified in the arcOGEN GWAS (GNL3/GLT8D1 associated with knee and hip OA, ASTN2 associated with severe hip OA in women, FILIP1–SENP6 and PTHLH associated with hip OA, and CHTS11 associated with severe hip OA) (14); and DOTI associated with joint space width of the hip (15) and with hip OA in men (16). Approximately 8 more loci are near this level of association (2,5,14).

All of the studies reaching genome-wide signifi-
cance have used meta-analysis of data from multiple sample collections. This is a very efficient approach to increase power. In addition, it is also very useful for discovery of new associations when applied to GWAS, because each study provides information for most single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the genome, either directly or through imputation, and therefore add to the overall result (17). Another way to favor discovery of new loci is by focusing analysis on particular subsets of genes for which there is prior supporting evidence, thereby increasing the prior probability of association and reducing the burden of multiplicity and thus the stringency required for claiming association (18,19).

The aim of this study was to identify new OA genetic factors, using meta-analysis of GWAS and focused analysis of OA candidate genes. A secondary aim was to assess the validity of the candidate gene approach in OA. To this end, we performed a meta-analysis of 5,636 patients with knee OA and 4,349 patients with hip OA from 9 GWAS and explored the association with knee OA or hip OA with >24,000 SNPs corresponding to 199 previously reported OA candidate genes. Two candidate genes, COL11A1 and VEGF, were significantly associated with OA. The remaining 197 candidate genes were not significantly associated with OA.

### PATIENTS AND METHODS

**Sample collections.** We performed a meta-analysis of 9 GWAS that included patients with knee or hip OA and control subjects of European descent: the deCODE study from Iceland (20), 3 collections from the Rotterdam Study (Rotterdam Study I, II, and III) (21), the Genetics Osteoarthritis and Progression (GARP) collection from The Netherlands (22), arcOGEN phase I (23) and TwinsUK (24) from the UK, the Framingham Osteoarthritis Study from the US (25), and EGCUT (Estonian Genome Center of the University of Tartu) from Estonia (26). Additional sample collections not involved in the GWAS were used for an extension study of significant results (Table 1). They included collections from the north of Spain (27–29), the center of Greece (30), and the Nottingham (31) and Genetics in Osteoarthritis and Lifestyle (GOAL) (32) studies from the UK.

All of these sample collections have been described in detail previously. Briefly, the deCODE study included patients with knee or hip OA and control subjects of European descent: the deCODE study from Iceland (20), 3 collections from the Rotterdam Study (Rotterdam Study I, II, and III) (21), the Genetics Osteoarthritis and Progression (GARP) collection from The Netherlands (22), arcOGEN phase I (23) and TwinsUK (24) from the UK, the Framingham Osteoarthritis Study from the US (25), and EGCUT (Estonian Genome Center of the University of Tartu) from Estonia (26). Additional sample collections not involved in the GWAS were used for an extension study of significant results (Table 1). They included collections from the north of Spain (27–29), the center of Greece (30), and the Nottingham (31) and Genetics in Osteoarthritis and Lifestyle (GOAL) (32) studies from the UK.

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the sample collections included in the GWAS meta-analysis and the extension study*

| Meta-analysis | Knee OA | Hip OA |
|---------------|---------|--------|
| deCODE study† | 1,312   | 2,318  |
| Framingham study | 417   | 1,667  |
| GARP study | 154     | 1,671  |
| Rotterdam Study I | 1,476 | 3,233  |
| Rotterdam Study II | 369   | 1,472  |
| Rotterdam Study III | 152  | 1,487  |
| TwinsUK | 113     | 228    |
| EGCUT study | 64      | 2,531  |
| Total | 5,636   | 16,972 |

| Extension study | Knee OA | Hip OA |
|-----------------|---------|--------|
| Spain‡ | –       | 695    |
| Greece | –       | 92     |
| Nottingham§ | –     | 12,246 |
| GOAL§ | –       | 1,270  |
| Total | 3,303   | 2,618  |

* GWAS = genome-wide association study; OA = osteoarthritis; arcOGEN = Arthritis Research UK Osteoarthritis Genetics; TJR = total joint replacement; NA = not available; GARP = Genetics Osteoarthritis and Progression; EGCUT = Estonian Genome Center of the University of Tartu; GOAL = Genetics in Osteoarthritis and Lifestyle.
† Effective sample size.
‡ Samples were obtained from 3 collections (Santiago, Santander, and A Corunna).
§ Samples were genotyped only for rs833058 (VEGF).
at ≥2 joint sites (22). They were compared with population controls. The arGenO phase 1 cohort included cases of knee or hip OA, as determined by radiographic evidence of disease or clinical evidence of disease “to a level requiring joint replacement” (23); controls were derived from an early release of the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2 data. EGcut included cases of radiographically confirmed OA (K/L score of >2) and controls that were free of any OA symptoms. All sample collections used for the extension study were derived from case–control studies. Patients were ascertained on the basis of having undergone hip joint replacement due to symptomatic and radiographically confirmed hip OA, except in GOAL, in which severe symptomatic hip OA was the selection criterion. All of the sample collections and the genetic studies received approval by the relevant ethics committees, and the samples were obtained with the written informed consent of the participants.

**Gene and SNP selection.** We used the Phenopedia tool of the Human Genome Epidemiology (HuGE) Navigator (35) to identify candidate genes that have been studied for their possible association with OA, without additional filtering. The query terms were as follows: osteoarthritis, spinal osteoarthrosis, and intervertebral disk displacement. The candidate genes derived from the spinal osteoarthrosis and intervertebral disk displacement lists showed a large degree of overlap with those from the osteoarthritis list (90% and 72%, respectively). The 17 nonoverlapping genes were considered to be of interest for the discovery of new OA loci. This database covers genetic studies published since 2000. Genes in chromosome X were excluded, because it is impossible to impute the genotypes needed for meta-analysis across different GWAS designs. FR4H1H was excluded because the bibliographic reference was incorrect. All of the genes with genome-wide significance in Europeans (\( P < 5 \times 10^{-8} \)) were also excluded. Duplicates were removed.

Map positions of loci encompassing the candidate genes and 50 kb downstream of their stop codon and upstream of their start codon were obtained from the Ensmbi database. Overlapping loci were fused as a single locus. All SNPs with a minor allele frequency (MAF) of >5% in the CEU data set corresponding to the candidate gene loci were retrieved from HapMap (phases 1, 2, and 3; release 27) with in-house Perl programs interacting with the HapMart server. All SNPs were aligned according to the positive strand, to avoid ambiguities.

**Genotyping and imputation of untyped SNPs.** Genotyping technologies for the GWAS included in the meta-analysis were different and have been previously described in detail (11,20,23,25,36). Imputation of untyped SNPs was performed based on CEU data from HapMap (phases 1 and 2; release 22). Summary information on genotyping and imputation is shown in Supplementary Table 1 (available on the Arthritis & Rheumatology web site at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.38300/abstract). The number of independent tests was used to define a significance threshold of \( P = 1.58 \times 10^{-3} \), according to Bonferroni multiplicity correction. No additional correction was performed for stratification according to joint and sex, because there is known heterogeneity across these strata in OA genetics (2–5) and, therefore, no correction of this type is used in OA genetic studies (6,8,9,11,13,14,16).

Results of the extension study were combined using a Mantel-Haenszel approach (40). Combination of the extension study data with the GWAS data was done using a fixed-effects model with R software (http://www.R-project.org). Power estimates were obtained with Power and Sample Size software (42). A full analysis of power is shown in Supplementary Figure 1 (available on the Arthritis & Rheumatology web site at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.38300/abstract). For example, the power to detect association with a SNP of MAF 20% and OR 1.15 for knee OA or 1.16 for hip OA was 80%, assuming no heterogeneity between the GWAS.

**RESULTS**

Systematic identification of candidate genes. A total of 199 genes (Figure 1) have been investigated for...
their association with OA in humans, according to the HuGe Navigator (35). The HuGe Navigator included 542 bibliographic references for the genetic studies of the candidate genes. Some of the genes are from loci that were associated with OA for the first time in GWAS (HLA class II/III, A2BP1, and LCRH1) or in genome-wide linkage studies (MATN3, DIO2, FRZB, and BMP5). These genes were included because the identification of many of them as putative susceptibility genes partially came from post hoc analyses of their potential biologic role, and because none has reached a genome-wide significant association in Europeans. The 199 genes were grouped in 158 nonoverlapping genome segments that contained 27,501 autosomal SNPs (MAF of >5%) with known genotypes in the CEU (Utah residents with ancestry from northern and western Europe) population of HapMap.

**Meta-analysis of association of all SNPs in the candidate genes.** The effect sizes for each of the 9 GWAS corresponding to SNPs in the candidate genes were obtained and combined in a meta-analysis. Genotypes were available for 25,839 of the 27,501 SNPs included in candidate genes after applying quality control filters (association results are available from the corresponding author). These genotypes had been directly typed or imputed. No significant associations were observed in the knee OA meta-analysis.

Meta-analysis of hip OA GWAS showed significant association at 2 candidate genes. Two SNPs, rs4907986 and rs1241164, in the 5' and 3' ends of COL11A1, respectively, were associated in the unstratified analysis (Table 2 and Figure 2A). They comprised 2 independent associations, as manifested by the low pairwise correlation coefficient ($r^2 = 0.09$) between them. A SNP of COL11A1, rs2615977, was highlighted in the previously reported analysis of the arcOGEN phase I study (with $P = 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$) (23), which overlaps with the current meta-analysis. However, none of the 2 independent top associated SNPs showed strong evidence of association in the meta-analysis of all samples.

![Table 2. SNPs independently associated with hip osteoarthritis in all samples and in samples stratified by sex*](image)

| Sample | Chr. | Position | Alleles | OR (95% CI) | $P$ | $I^2$ | $P$ for heterogeneity |
|--------|------|----------|---------|-------------|-----|-------|-----------------------|
| All subjects | COL11A1 | rs4907986 | 1 | 103322221 | T/C | 1.12 (1.06–1.17) | $1.29 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0 | 0.63 |
| | | rs1241164 | 1 | 103129065 | T/C | 0.82 (0.74–0.89) | $1.47 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0 | 0.92 |
| Women | COL11A1 | rs4908291 | 1 | 103345324 | A/T | 0.87 (0.82–0.92) | $1.29 \times 10^{-5}$ | 33.2 | 0.16 |
| Men | VEGF | rs833058 | 6 | 43839832 | T/C | 0.85 (0.79–0.91) | $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ | 18.6 | 0.29 |

* Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) below the threshold of significance ($P = 1.58 \times 10^{-5}$) are shown. The odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) are relative to the first listed allele at each SNP. Chr. = chromosome.
correlation with rs2615977 (pairwise $r^2 < 0.4$), and rs2615977 was not among the most-associated SNPs in the meta-analysis. An analysis stratified by sex also showed association of COL11A1 SNP rs4908291 in women. This SNP showed LD with rs4907986 ($r^2 = 0.68$) but not with rs1241164.

The second candidate gene associated with hip OA was VEGF. Only a single SNP, rs833058, reached association over the required threshold in men (Table 2). No other SNP with strong or modest ($r^2 > 0.5$) LD with rs833058 was observed in the meta-analysis (Figure 2B). None of the other 197 candidate genes showed association with knee or with hip OA at the requested level of significance.

**Extension and summary studies.** We attempted to further establish the association of hip OA with 3 SNPs, 2 from COL11A1 representing the top SNPs of the 2 independent associations in the combined analysis, and the top SNP in VEGF. This part of the study was not intended as a replication of the results due to the relatively small number of independent samples that were available.

For the COL11A1 SNPs, 1,929 samples from Spanish and Greek individuals (787 patients with hip OA and 1,142 control subjects) were studied. No significant association was observed in this underpowered analysis; however, analysis of additional samples showed independent association (Kerkhof HJM, van Meurs JB: unpublished observations). In the combined analysis, the significance of rs1241164 association was clearer ($P = 5.3 \times 10^{-6}$) than before, given that the direction of change and effect size were similar in the meta-analysis and the extension analysis (Table 3). The second signal, corresponding to rs4907986, was slightly weakened in the combined analysis, because the risk allele identified in the meta-analysis showed the same direction only in women in the extension study, not in men.

For VEGF SNP rs833058, we genotyped 5,921 additional individuals (3,303 patients with hip OA and 2,618 control subjects). No significant association was observed in men (1,466 patients with hip OA and 1,263 control subjects), but the direction of change in the extension study was the same as that in the meta-analysis. Summary results in men showed association slightly below that in the meta-analysis ($2.6 \times 10^{-5}$). This SNP showed weak association in the combined analysis of men and women in the extension study ($P = 0.03$).

**DISCUSSION**

This focused analysis of candidate genes within a large meta-analysis of GWAS identified associations...
GWAS plus imputation provided very complete coverage of genetic variation in them. In addition, focused analysis of candidate genes should increase the chances of uncovering associations worth pursuing, by the following 2 mechanisms: increased prior likelihood of association and more tolerant threshold to claim association (18,19). A series of studies of other diseases have capitalized on the first mechanism, either by considering candidate genes from previous genetic studies, as was done here, or by defining a set of genes of high relevance for the disease, using bibliographic analysis. The second mechanism, a more tolerant threshold for association, is related to a problem that affects all complex diseases: the effect sizes of most genetic factors are below an OR of 1.20, and therefore large sample sizes are required to identify association at the genome level. In this context, the use of focused analysis in a subset of genes allows the selection of SNPs not reaching genome-wide significance for further validation.

Another point that deserves comment is that small variations between sample collections result in large differences in statistical power. This is attributable to the low effect sizes of most genetic factors and the dramatic decrease in statistical power when the effect size approaches 1.0 (see Supplementary Figure 1 available on the Arthritis & Rheumatology web site at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.38300/abstract). It is very likely that this extreme sensitivity to variation of the effect size in the 1.0–1.15 range explains why confirmed genetic factors fail to show significant association even in some large studies, given that they are not large enough to offset these small fluctuations. In addition, there is a dramatic decrease in power for SNPs with a low MAF (<10%) (Supplementary Figure 1). Thus, we cannot exclude the possibility that some additional

### Table 3. Association of the 2 top independent SNPs in **COL11A1** and the top SNP in **VEGF** with hip OA

| Gene, SNP, sex | GWAS meta-analysis | Extension study | GWAS meta-analysis plus extension study |
|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|
|               | OR$_{M-H}$ (95% CI) | $P$             | OR$_{M-H}$ (95% CI) | $P$ | OR (95% CI) | $P$ |
| **COL11A1**   |                    |                 |                          |     |              |    |
| rs1241164     |                    |                 |                          |     |              |    |
| All           | 0.82 (0.74–0.89)   | 1.47 × 10$^{-5}$| 0.85 (0.68–1.06) | 0.15 | 0.82 (0.75–0.89) | 5.3 × 10$^{-6}$ |
| Female        | 0.79 (0.70–0.89)   | 1.27 × 10$^{-4}$| 0.96 (0.70–1.32) | 0.81 | 0.81 (0.72–0.91) | 2.4 × 10$^{-4}$ |
| Male          | 0.84 (0.74–0.97)   | 0.012           | 0.77 (0.56–1.06) | 0.11 | 0.83 (0.73–0.94) | 3.7 × 10$^{-3}$ |
| rs4907986     |                    |                 |                          |     |              |    |
| All           | 1.12 (1.06–1.17)   | 1.29 × 10$^{-5}$| 0.98 (0.85–1.12) | 0.77 | 1.09 (1.05–1.15) | 5.8 × 10$^{-5}$ |
| Female        | 1.13 (1.07–1.21)   | 1.05 × 10$^{-4}$| 1.15 (0.95–1.39) | 0.13 | 1.13 (1.07–1.21) | 3.2 × 10$^{-5}$ |
| Male          | 1.1 (1.03–1.19)    | 0.0069          | 0.95 (0.77–1.18) | 0.67 | 1.08 (1.01–1.16) | 0.015 |
| **VEGF**      |                    |                 |                          |     |              |    |
| rs833058      |                    |                 |                          |     |              |    |
| All           | 0.92 (0.88–0.97)   | 0.0022          | 0.92 (0.85–0.99) | 0.03 | 0.92 (0.88–0.96) | 1.9 × 10$^{-4}$ |
| Female        | 0.99 (0.93–1.06)   | 0.75            | 0.91 (0.81–1.01) | 0.07 | 0.97 (0.91–1.02) | 0.21 |
| Male          | 0.85 (0.79–0.91)   | 1.3 × 10$^{-5}$ | 0.94 (0.84–1.06) | 0.31 | 0.87 (0.82–0.93) | 2.6 × 10$^{-5}$ |

* Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) rs1241164 and rs4907986 were genotyped only in samples from Spain and Greece. The odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) are relative to the first listed allele for each SNP in Table 2. OA = osteoarthritis; GWAS = genome-wide association study; OR$_{M-H}$ = OR as determined using the Mantel-Haenszel approach. No significant heterogeneity ($P < 0.05$) was detected in any of the combined analyses.
candidate genes may have associations with OA with an OR of $\leq 1.10$ or if their minor allele frequencies are low. COL11A1 codes for a minor component of the cartilage matrix, the importance of which has been shown by the chondrodysplasia mouse mutation, the skeletal abnormalities of Stickler syndrome, type II (OMIM ID 604841) and Marshall syndrome (OMIM ID 154780), and mutations in patients with fibrochondrogenesis and by association with susceptibility to lumbar disc herniation (http://omim.org/entry/120280). The 2 top SNPs associated with OA in our analysis were independent and different from those observed in a previous OA study (23). This raises the possibility of multiple variants with an effect in OA susceptibility.

VEGF was associated with hip OA only in men, according to our meta-analysis. This gene codes for a very important angiogenic factor that is involved in normal growth plate development, endochondral ossification, and articular cartilage formation (43). It is one of the overexpressed markers of hypertrophic chondrocytes. It contributes to OA changes in animal models by stimulating chondrocyte proliferation, apoptosis, and production of catabolic mediators (44). Although it has been considered an OA candidate gene, no previous study showed significant association.

For the remaining candidate genes, we did not observe association in spite of the large number of samples assembled (the largest ever studied for most candidate genes) and the more tolerant threshold for significance allowed by the focused analysis. This does not exclude SNPs of weak effect or those showing heterogeneity between the sample collections. Also, it is possible that some gene variants were not adequately covered, especially those with low frequency or multi-allelic polymorphisms, as in ASPN (45) or BMP5 (46,47). Other reported associations have been described as specific for an OA subphenotype not included in our meta-analysis, such as the association of MATN3 with OA in the first carpometacarpal joint (48) or DIO2 in women with severe OA (49). In addition, GDF5, which has been also a candidate gene, was not included in this analysis because it is already confirmed as an OA susceptibility locus at the genome-wide significance level (6,7).

All of the previous caveats apply, but they do not negate the conclusion of a general lack of reproducibility of OA candidate genes. This is particularly true for genes highlighted in candidate gene studies of small size and showing large effect sizes. It has become clear that the effect sizes and ORs reported were widely overestimated, because we would have observed most associations with ORs $>1.20$, and most reported ORs in these studies were $\sim 2$-fold. Our findings suggest that traditionally conducted candidate gene studies are unlikely to be fruitful in OA genetics. However, such candidate gene studies are likely to continue to be useful to validate or refine loci from hypothesis-free genome-wide studies.

In summary, our candidate gene meta-analysis of 9 OA GWAS highlighted the association of COL11A1 and VEGF with hip OA and showed a lack of association for the other 197 candidate genes.
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