Entangling COVID-19 associated thrombosis into a secondary antiphospholipid antibody syndrome: Diagnostic and therapeutic perspectives (Review)
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Abstract. The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a novel β coronavirus that is the etiological agent of the pandemic coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) that at the time of writing (June 16, 2020) has infected almost 6 million people with some 450,000 deaths. These numbers are still rising daily. Most (some 80%) cases of COVID-19 infection are asymptomatic, a substantial number of cases (15%) require hospitalization and an additional fraction of patients (5%) need recovery in intensive care units. Mortality for COVID-19 infection appears to occur globally between 0.1 and 0.5% of infected patients although the frequency of lethality is significantly augmented in the elderly and in patients with other comorbidities. The development of acute respiratory distress syndrome and episodes of thromboembolism that may lead to disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) represent the primary causes of lethality during COVID-19 infection. Increasing evidence suggests that thrombotic diathesis is due to multiple derangements of the coagulation system including marked elevation of D-dimer that correlate negatively with survival. We propose here that the thromboembolic events and eventually the development of DIC provoked by SARS-CoV-2 infection may represent a secondary anti-phospholipid antibody syndrome (APS). We will apply both Baconian inductivism and Cartesian deductivism to prove that secondary APS is likely responsible for coagulopathy during the course of COVID-19 infection. Diagnostic and therapeutic implications of this are also discussed.
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1. Introduction

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the etiological agent of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) that was declared as a global
On the basis of empirical observations and emerging laboratoristic findings, we will elaborate the hypothesis that several cases of thrombotic events during COVID-19 infection represent the clinical epiphenomenon of a viral-induced secondary anti-phospholipid antibody syndrome (APS) that, in the most severe cases, may develop as catastrophic anti-phospholipid antibody syndrome (CAPS). Diagnostic and therapeutic consequences of this are discussed.

4. Coagulopathy, thromboembolic events and DIC during COVID-19 infection

Clinical evidence and emerging data from pathological examinations indicate that a thrombotic diathesis, potentially leading to venous thromboembolism (VTE), and to DIC in some of the most severe cases, may occur in a substantial proportion of patients with COVID-19 infection, also in a manner independent of long-term bed rest and eventual hormonal treatment. We will discuss, in this chapter, laboratoristic analyses, clinical evidences and interventional studies with anticoagulant therapies that lend support to the concept that the coagulation system is severely deranged during COVID-19 infection and may play a key role in determining the severity of the disease and its rate of lethality.

Laboratoristic analyses. In an initial and important study, Tang et al (12) retrospectively analyzed conventional coagulation results and outcomes of 183 consecutive patients with confirmed COVID-19 infection. The study demonstrated that, when evaluated at baseline levels on hospital admission, the patients that died during the course of the infection by COVID-19 had higher levels of D-dimer and fibrin degradation products (FDP), along with longer prothrombin and activated partial thromboplastin times than survivors. In addition, 71.4% of non-survivors and 0.6% survivors met the criteria of DIC.

This study attracted much attention on the occurrence and pathogenically significant role of abnormal coagulation results during severe COVID-19 infection (12).

Lending support to the pathogenic implication of the abnormal coagulation pathways during COVID-19 infection was a meta-analysis carried out by Li et al (13) on 10 studies entailing a total of 1,995 cases that reported a significant increase of D-dimer in a substantial number of patients. Along this line of research, Zou et al (14) evaluated retrospectively the abnormalities of the coagulation system and correlated them with the disease status. The patients were divided into two groups with mild and severe disease. More males (76.9% vs. 49.8%) and older patients (median age 65 vs. 50) and higher frequency of other comorbidities were observed in patients with severe disease. Altogether, 209 abnormalities (69.0%) of coagulation indexes were observed in the cohort of 303 patients and were more frequent in patients affected by severe disease (100 vs. 66.1%). The international normalized ratio, the prothrombin time, the activated partial thromboplastin time, the fibrinogen, the FDP, and the D-dimer were all significantly augmented in the patients with severe diseases as compared to those with mild disease. This study further and clearly supports the concept that coagulation dysfunction, in particular fibrinogen and D-dimer elevation, is common in
patients with COVID-19, and the degree of elevation is related to the severity of the disease. The reduction of both fibrinogen and activated partial thromboplastin time are associated with recovery (14).

Clinical evidence. Propelled from these laboratoristic observations, several clinical studies investigated the role of the abnormalities of coagulation system during COVID-19 infection. An Italian study evaluated symptomatic patients with laboratory-proven COVID-19 (15). A total of 388 patients were recruited. In spite of the thromboprophylaxis administered to all patients, thromboembolic events occurred in 28 (21%) of them. Forty-four patients underwent VTE imaging tests, that were confirmed in 16 (36%). Pulmonary embolism was confirmed in 10 out of 30 patients (33 and 7.7% of total). The rate of ischemic stroke and acute coronary syndrome/myocardial infarction was 2.5 and 1.1%, respectively. Overt DIC was present in 8 (2.2%) patients. This study demonstrates that venous and arterial thromboembolic events is frequent during COVID-19 infection and independent of thromboprophylaxis and that 50% of events are diagnosed within 24 h of hospital admission. In addition out of the 11% of total patients undergoing VTE imaging tests, 16 were positive (36% of tests), suggesting an underestimation of thromboembolic complications (15).

Therapeutic intervention with anticoagulant therapies. That thromboembolism is involved in the clinical course of COVID-19 infection concurs with the reduction of mortality rate observed in one study that treated COVID-19 infected patients with anticoagulant treatment (16). Another retrospective study was conducted on 449 patients with severe COVID-19 and 99 of them were on heparin for 7 days or longer (17). The 28-day mortality rate was positively associated to D-dimer, prothrombin time, and age and negatively with platelet count. Interestingly, the 28-day mortality of heparin users was lower than non-users in patients stratified by the sepsis-induced coagulopathy (SIC) score or D-dimer result with SIC score ≥4, or D-dimer ≥6-fold of the upper limit of normal. These data represent a valuable proof of concept for biomarker driven approach to heparin use in patients infected with COVID-19 (17).

Evidence is also emerging that ethnicity has major effects on thrombotic risk, with a 3-4-fold lower risk in Chinese compared to Caucasians and a significantly higher risk in African-Americans. When studying coagulopathy in Caucasian patients infected with COVID-19, Fogarty et al (18) demonstrated that, when treated with low molecular weight heparin, these patients rarely develop overt DIC, which is eventually limited to the late stage of the disease. The authors also propose that the diffuse bilateral pulmonary inflammation observed in COVID-19 is associated with a novel pulmonary-specific vasculopathy, named intravascular coagulopathy (PIC). In agreement with the well-established thrombotic diathesis of COVID-19 patients, it seems possible that PIC may contribute to the unexplained emerging differences that highlight racial susceptibility to COVID-19 mortality.

Taken as a whole, these laboratoristic and clinical observations and both prospective and retrospective outcomes from interventional studies employing anticoagulant therapies indicate an important and probably underestimated role of thromboembolic complications during COVID-19 and warns on the urgent necessity of proper diagnostic and therapeutic monitoring of the coagulation system during the infection. Particularly so in those COVID-19 infected patients with preexisting thrombotic disease, or those who need prevention or care for their thrombotic disease during the COVID-19 pandemic (16).

Nonetheless, a recent study demonstrated occurrence of heparin resistance in some patients with COVID-19 infection as defined by the need of dose unfractionated heparin of more than 35,000 IU/day to achieve the target aPTT ratio or the impossibility of doing so (19). Heparin resistance in these patients was associated to highly increased levels of Factor

| Mild | Moderate | Severe | Critical |
|------|----------|--------|----------|
| Fever | Persistent fever | Dyspnea and respiratory frequency ≥30/min | Respiratory failure |
| Dry cough | Shortness of breath | Blood oxygen saturation ≤93%, PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio <300 | Septic shock |
| Fatigue | Early signs of pneumonia in imaging (multiple ground glass opacities with consolidation in the peripheral zone of the lung, and/or with vascular thickening air bronchogram sign, or halo sign) | Lung infiltrates >50% of the lung field within 24-48 h | Multiple organ dysfunction/ failure acute kidney injury |
| Sputum production | | Lung infiltrates >50% of the lung field within 24-48 h | Thromboembolic events (stroke, myocardial infarction) |
| Sore throat | | Lung infiltrates >50% of the lung field within 24-48 h | Disseminated intravascular coagulation |
| Headache | | | |
| Myalgia or arthralgia | | | |
| Chills | | | |
| Nausea or vomiting | | | |
| Nasal congestion | | | |
| Diarrhea and hemoptysis | | | |
| Anosmia | | | |
| Ageusia | | | |

Table I. Clinical manifestation of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.
VIII level, fibrinogen and d-dimer while almost all of the antithrombin levels were in the normal range (19).

The emerging role of cytokines complement as effector mechanisms of inflammation and thrombosis during COVID-19. Increasing evidence indicates that upregulated release of proinflammatory cytokines of the innate immune system secreted either in the vicinity of organ targeted from the virus (e.g., the alveolar cells of the lung) or in the peripheral circulation in response to infection by SARS-CoV-2 may represent the culprit of the immunoinflammatory process (20). Evidence for the occurrence of a cytokine storm during the occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 infection and its pathogenic role in determining immunoinflammatory pneumonia and thrombosis have been repeatedly described with independent confirmation of augmented circulating levels of these proinflammatory cytokines (20). These observations led to the adoption of the anti-IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibody tocilizumab for the treatment of pneumonia associated to cytokine storm. It has also been demonstrated that the local immunoinflammatory response triggered from these cytokines may lead to complement activation that may amplify the circuit of immunoinflammation and thrombosis (21). In fact, skin and lung tissues from 5 patients with severe COVID-19 associated with respiratory failure (n=5) and purpuric skin rash (n=3) had marked deposition of different terminal complement components in the microvasculature, and co-localization of COVID-19 spike glycoproteins with C4d and C5b-9 in the interalveolar septa and the cutaneous microvasculature of 2 cases examined (22). In a similar manner, the purpuric skin lesions exhibited a pauci-inflammatory thrombogenic vasculopathy, with deposition of C5b-9 and C4d in both grossly involved and normally-appearing skin. These observations suggest that at least certain cases of severe COVID-19 may be secondary to activation of the complement that leads to catastrophic microvascular injury and an associated procoagulant state. That complement may represent an important therapeutic target for the treatment of severe cases of COVID-19 has been suggested (22-24). A case of a patient with severe ARDS due to COVID-19 pneumonia who was successfully treated with the complement C3 inhibitor AMY-101 has been reported (25).

5. Can the thromboembolic diathesis during some cases of COVID-19 represents secondary form of anti-phospholipid antibody syndrome? Combining Cartesio deductivism and Baconian inductivism to prove the hypothesis

Background. The APS is characterized by the occurrence of multiple episodes of venous and arterial thromboses and recurrent fetal losses, frequently accompanied by a moderate thrombocytopenia, in the presence of antiphospholipid (auto)antibodies (aPL Abs) that are directed against cardiolipin (aCL) or β2 glycoprotein1 (β2-GPI) (26). Activation of the complement is also required for the full clinical manifestation of the APS.

APS can occur idiothetically or it can be associated with other autoimmune diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus (26). Catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome (CAPS) is a severe manifestation of APS (26). Although affecting only 1% of patients with APS, the condition is frequently fatal if not recognized and treated early.

Secondary cases of APS due to viral infections have been reported. Secondary cases of APS due to infectious agents potentially evolving into CAPS have been reported and include infections from hepatitis C virus, herpes zoster, as well as bacteria, fungi and parasites and acute Q fever (27).

The induction of molecular mimicry that leads to production of anti-β2-GPI autoantibodies has been proposed as putative cause of secondary APS and CAPS (28,29).

The immunopathogenetic mechanisms that are subsequently activated entail a network of multiple proinflammatory factors including the Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4), which triggers a cytokine storm, followed by endothelial alterations that induce a procoagulant state (30).

Diagnosis and classification criteria of APS. According to the original criteria formulated in Sapporo in 1988 and revised in Sidney in 2004, APS can be diagnosed in the presence of least one clinical (vascular thrombosis or pregnancy morbidity) and one laboratory including aCL, or anti-β2-GPI autoantibodies with lupus anticoagulant (LA) (31). LA is a somehow enigmatic laboratoristic phenomenon observed in patients with APS and represents a paradox that is still unsolved. LA causes a phospholipid-dependent prolongation of the clotting time but is associated with an increased risk of thrombosis and pregnancy morbidity (32). Recently, Pengo et al (32) have demonstrated that LA positivity may identify two different groups of patients with or without anti-β2-GPI (LA+/anti-β2-GPI and LA+/anti-β2-GPI). The LA+/anti-β2-GPI group of patients had anti-phosphatidylserine/prothrombin autoantibodies and consisted of significantly older patients, with a lower rate of previous thromboembolic events and a weaker LA activity.

Epidemiology. The incidence of the APS is reported as approximately 5 new cases per 100,000 persons per year and the prevalence approximately 40-50 cases per 100,000 persons. The aPL Abs are positive in approximately 13% of patients with stroke, 11% with myocardial infarction, 9.5% of patients with deep vein thrombosis and 6% of patients with pregnancy morbidity (33,34). As it occurs for other autoimmune diseases, the presence of autoantibodies directed against CL and/or β2-GPI has been observed in a percentage of healthy individuals without clinical symptoms of APS ranging from 4.5 to 5.5% (35). In addition, the prevalence of anti-CL autoantibodies varies with age, having been reported of 2% in young healthy individuals as compared to 12% of the elderly (mean age 70) healthy individuals (36). In a recent study conducted in 956 elderly individuals (mean age of 81.1 years; 72% women) positivity for a PL Abs including aCL, anti-β2-GPI and antiphosphatidyl-serine autoantibodies was found in 197 (20.6%) of them (37).

What converts aPL Ab(s) positive healthy individual into APS patients? In agreement to the second hit hypothesis, it is thought that inflammatory events, the use of tobacco, alcohol or obesity and the associated metabolic syndrome may trigger the full clinical development of APS. These risk factors may be observed in up to 50% of patients with APS.
Findings from an epidemiological study showed that the risk of myocardial infarction or stroke in young women with LA is increased in those who smoke or take oral oestrogenic therapy. As discussed above for development of secondary CAPS, it is proposed that after activation of endothelial cells, monocytes, and platelets by aPL Abs, a procoagulant state is induced, which is mainly mediated by the increased synthesis of tissue factor and thromboxane A2. Activation of the complement cascade might close the loop and provoke thrombosis, often in the presence of a second hit (38).

Clinical manifestations of APS. APS is characterized by arterial, venous, or small vessel thrombosis and/or recurrent early pregnancy loss, fetal loss, or pregnancy morbidity in the presence of aPL Abs that include the lupus anticoagulant, or moderate-high titer of aCL or anti-β2-GP1 autoantibodies (26). CAPS is characterized by thrombosis in multiple organs and a cytokine storm developing over a short period, with histopathologic evidence of multiple microthromboses, and laboratory confirmation of high aPL Abs titers and it is characterized as widespread acute thrombotic microangiopathy (26,39).

Table II. Similarities between COVID-19 and thrombosis/APS.

| Antiphospholipid syndrome (revised Sydney classification criteria) | COVID-19 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Altered APTT, D-dimer elevated | Significantly higher D-dimer and FDP levels, longer prothrombin time and activated partial thromboplastin time in non-survivor as compared to survivors on admission. 71.4% of non-survivors and 0.6% survivors met the criteria of disseminated intravascular coagulation (12) |
| Vascular thrombosis (≥1 clinical episode of arterial, venous, or small vessel thrombosis) | Abnormal coagulation parameters in 69.0% (out of 303) cases: FIB, D-dimer, prolonged PT, altered APTT, elevated FDP. Median INR, PT, APTT, FIB, FDP, and D-dimer significantly higher in the COVID-19 severe group compared to the mild group (14) Thromboembolic events among COVID-19 patients occurred at a cumulative rate of 21% (15) |
| Pulmonary involvement | Large-vessel stroke in five patients younger than 50 years of age (57) |
| Complement activation | Pulmonary intravascular coagulopathy (18) Deposits of complement components C5b-9, C4d, and MASP2, in the microvasculature of lung and skin (22) |
| Pregnancy morbidity | |
| Disregulated production of cytokine in APS cytokine storm in CAPS | Cytokine storm |
| Anticardiolipin IgG and/or IgM | Anticardiolipin IgA antibodies and anti-β2-GP1 |
| Anti-β2-GP1 IgG and/or IgM | IgA and IgG antibodies in three COVID-19 patients (45) |
| Lupus anticoagulant | Lupus anticoagulant (50,51) |

COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; APS, anti-phospholipid antibody syndrome; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; FB, fibrinogen; PT, prothrombin time; FDP, fibrinogen degradation products; MASP2, mannose binding lectin (MBL)-associated serine protease; CAPS, catastrophic anti-phospholipid antibody syndrome.

Can thrombosis during COVID-19 and APS be the same face of two different coins? Exercising with Cartesio and the restricted but initiating power of the deductivism. From what we have stated above there are several similar clinical characteristics that associate COVID-19 and APS and that culminate with thrombosis and which may represent the ultimate clinical outcome of eventually overlapping immunopathogenic pathways.

The 3 main culprits responsible for activating immunoinflammatory responses and thrombosis appear to be the same in both diseases, namely upregulated cytokine secretion from cells of the innate immune system and activated macrophages, thrombus formation and complement activation. In a similar manner, the causes of comorbidities that are emerging as
capable of worsening the course of COVID-19 infection are remarkably similar to those postulated for the second hit hypothesis of APS and entail immunoinflammatory disorders, such as metabolic syndrome and obesity and hypertension, as well as the use of tobacco. Interestingly, elderly patients have more severe course of COVID-19 infection (9) and exhibit a higher frequency of aPL Abs (37).

One would reason that a significant proof substantiating this hypothesis would be that defective function of B lymphocytes would ameliorate the course of SARS-CoV-2 infection if the hypothesis that these aPL Abs are involved in the thrombotic diathesis, and this seems actually to be the case, as patients with agammaglobulinemia, who are unable to produce anti-aPL Abs, have a moderate course of the disease (42).

Last, but not least, and lending further support to a deductive exercise of reasoning, both some cases of COVID-19 and APS seem to respond well to anticoagulant treatment. The beneficial effects observed with hydroxychloroquine in APS patients (26,43) has also been claimed in COVID-19 patients (27), but it needs, however, formal demonstration (43,44).

A summary of the similarities between COVID-19 and APS is presented in Table II and in Fig. 1.

Satisfying Baconian inductivism to substantiate the case. Lending important proof of concept support to the empiric observation that thrombotic phenomena observed during SARS-CoV-2 infection and APS may recognize common pathogenetic pathways, and represent eventually the same nosological entity, is the observation that 3 patients with COVID-19 and ischemic stroke had anti-CL IgA, as well as anti-β2-GPI IgA and IgG autoantibodies (45). In another study, Beyrouti et al (46) reported 5 cases of ischemic stroke with presence of LA in COVID-19 patients without history of APS. Five of six patients had a positive LA, one with medium-titre IgM aCL and low-titre IgG and IgM anti-β2-GPI autoantibodies. Another case report of cerebral stroke with multiple infarctions during COVID-19 infection and associated with aCL Abs has also been reported (47).

These emerging lines of evidence have put forward the hypothesis of performing a routine screening for LA and aPL Abs in patients with COVID-19 infection (48). Along this line of research, another group demonstrated that 45% of 56 patients infected with COVID-19 were positive for LA, while anti-CL or anti-β2-GPI autoantibodies were detected in only 5 out of 50 tested patients (10%, 3 associated to LA) using IgG and IgM detection (49). However, thrombotic complications were not reported in these patients. It is noteworthy that Zhang et al (45) found IgA autoantibodies directed against CL or β2-GPI, however, it is not clear from the paper whether or not the authors have also searched for the IgA subclass of anti-CL and anti-β2-GPI. Finally, other two independent studies have demonstrated that 31 out of 34 (50) and 50 out of 57 patients with COVID-19 infection were positive for LA (51).

Additional important evidence in support of the contribution of secondary APS as potential mechanism of thrombosis during COVID-19 infection was provided by Pineton de Chambrun et al (52) who retrospectively analyzed LA positivity, aCL (IgM, IgG, IgA), anti-β2-GPI (IgM, IgG/IgA) and anti-phospholipid (IgM/IgG) autoantibodies in 25 patients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection that were hospitalized at a tertiary ICU in Paris from March 14 to April 8, 2020. The mean age of patients at admission was 47.7 (range, 35-64) and male-to-female ratio was 2.1. All patients had refractory COVID-19-related ARDS requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation and receiving non-fractioned heparin with an aimed aPPT ratio of 1.5-2. LA, aCL, anti-β2-GPI and anti-phospholipid Abs were positive in 23 (92%), 13 (52%), 3 (12%), and 18 (72%) patients, respectively. The most frequent autoantibody isotype was IgG for aCL (n=10), IgA for anti-β2-GPI (n=3) and IgG for anti-phospholipid (n=11) autoantibodies. When considering LA positivity with any aCL Abs and any anti-β2-GPI single, double and triple positivity was found in 8 (32%), 13 (52%) and 3 (12%) of the patients. Triple negativity was observed only in 1 patient (4%). In addition, serum fibrinogen was elevated in most patients (72%) at
the time of LA measurement and D-dimer was increased in all the patients. Massive pulmonary embolism was observed in 6 patients that were all aPL Abs positive (52). Considering LA, any anti-cardiolipin and any anti-β2-GPI antibodies, 8 (32%) patients had single APLa positivity, 13 (52%) had double positivity, 3 (12%) had triple positivity and only one (4%) was triple negative. Other case reports have also demonstrated that anticL Abs are associated with thrombosis during COVID-19 infection (53).

However, not all studies have confirmed these initial data. In a study conducted in a Hospital in Madrid in 24 patients with COVID-19 infection and VTE, the Authors found that only two patients (8.3%) were weakly positive for aCL IgM and anti-β2-glycoprotein I IgM Abs. Anti-CL IgG and anti-β2-glycoprotein I IgG were negative in all patients (54). The reason for these discrepant results are unknown and may be due to different ethnicity and/or different ELISA kits used (54).

Also in light of this latter report, it is clear that several points remain to be studied to fully dismantle the potential contribution of SARS-CoV-2-induced secondary APS to at least some cases of thrombotic events that occur during the infection with this virus. Testing of aPL Abs and LA in a much larger number of COVID-19 patients, as well as the eventual correlation of their titres, with the course of the disease and thrombotic events is mandatory. Potential fluctuation of aPL Abs in response to therapy is of clinical relevance as it may represent an important biomarker. Longitudinal follow-up studies in individuals that have recovered from COVID-19 infection and that are positive for aPL Abs and LA will be important to ascertain whether they revert at recovery of the infection or whether they persist independently. This is particularly so for the elderly patients that are per se at higher risk of thrombosis (55).

Understanding if and to what extent COVID-19 infection induces different production of aPL Abs in the elderly population than in younger individuals is of great relevance as the temporary or persistent presence of these autoantibodies may increase further the thrombotic risk and would require particular therapeutic attention for thromboprophylaxis. Along the same line, routine testing for LA and aPL Abs should be warranted in elderly patients during COVID-19 infection, as these patients are at higher risk of severe infection.

In a similar manner, future studies are required for those individuals with single, dual or triple positivity for LA and aPL Abs that are clinically asymptomatic to prove whether they are at increased risks of thromboembolism in case of COVID-19 infection. Although thromboprophylaxis is currently not approved for these individuals (26) this therapeutic approach may need to be revised during COVID-19 pandemic. The testing of large cohort of COVID-19 patients for aPL Abs is also of outmost relevance to understand the real prevalence of these autoantibodies in patients with SARS-CoV-2. The available data seem to indicate that while the percentage of LA positivity range is approximately 50-80% of the patients, the positivity for aPL Abs is instead significantly lower and higher for aCL Abs than anti-β2-GPI Abs according to the study of Pineton de Chambrun et al (52).

It has also been suggested that the measurement of aPL Abs in COVID-19 patients may be hindered by the large formation of microparticles (MP) that are released in the circulation. The production of these MPs that are endowed with procoagulant activity is thought to be secondary to the activation induced by cytokines of several cells including platelets, leukocytes, and also of endothelial cells that provokes cell blebbing with the shedding of MPs into the circulation (56).

We strongly believe that the possible definite demonstration that some or most cases of thrombosis triggered by COVID-19...
are secondary forms of APS is not only important for semantic classification or diagnostic criteria but may prove of outmost theranostic relevance for the patients. In particular, on the basis of their positivity for aPL Abs, it would be possible to identify the patients that are at greatest risk for developing thrombotic complications during SARS-CoV-2 infection, including those healthy individuals that are positive for aPL Abs or LA. In addition, understanding whether some fatal and unresponsive cases of DIC observed in COVID-19 may represent cases of CAPS is also of great relevance as considerable experience has been gained during these years in the understanding of immunopathogenesis of CAPS. In particular, though the outcome of CAPS remain unsatisfactory, the generally accepted therapeutic regime consisting of a triple combination entailing anticoagulation, corticosteroids and plasma exchange or intravenous seem to considerably improve the clinical course of patients who received this treatment (40).

A summary of the Deduction and Induction processes that lead to the hypothesis of the occurrence of a secondary form of APS in COVID-19 patients is presented in Fig. 2.

6. Future therapeutic directions

Although, the diagnosis of secondary APS would not immediately change the therapeutic approach to prevention and treatment of thrombosis in COVID-19 patients, this demonstration could propel tailored pathogenic approaches for the treatment of a B cell dependent disorders under an unprecedentedly urgent pandemic situation. Repurposing of specific anti-B cell therapies such as rituximab and ocrelizumab can be anticipated. The anti-Ç5a mAb eculizumab would also receive attention though its costs would hinder its repurposing in a very large arena such as is that of COVID-19 currently. The use of plasmapheresis should warrant further consideration of its costs would hinder its repurposing in a very large arena such as is that of COVID-19 currently. The use of plasmapheresis should warrant further consideration as well as that of Ivlg that seem effective in some cases of APS and CAPS (26,40). Small molecule inhibitors of Toll-like receptor 4 signaling such as resatorvid or GLS-1027 R that may also inhibit neutrophil extracellular traps associated with thrombosis, might be considered in the therapeutic management of COVID-19-related thrombosis (58,59).

Also inhibitors of mTOR pathway such as rapamycin that has been reported to have some beneficial effects in APS and we have identified in silico as a drug candidate for COVID-19 seems of particular interest (30,60,61). Especially so, for the combined ability of rapamycin to exert immunomodulatory and certain antiviral efficacy that remains, however, to be eventually demonstrated on SARS-CoV-2 (60,62,63).

Last, but not least, if some or most cases of COVID-19 associated thrombosis represent a secondary APS, this could give strong impetus to preclinical compounds that represent first class inhibitors of the thrombogenic properties of autoantibodies to β2-GPI. Two of these compounds have demonstrated in vivo efficacy in multiple forms of APS.

**The A1-A1 peptide.** β2-GPI is known to interact with A1, the first ligand-binding domain of ApoER2. The A1-A1 peptide is a soluble analogue of ApoE receptor 2 with a high affinity for β2-GPI/antibody complexes. A1-A1 inhibits at least two prothrombotic interactions of β2-GPI/antibody complexes: the binding to ApoER2 and anionic phospholipids on the cellular surfaces. A distinctive feature of A1-A1 compared to A1 is that A1-A1 preferentially interacts with β2-GP1 bound to anti-β2-GP1 antibodies (64,65). Because β2-GP1 is present in the blood at high concentration, 4 µM (66), it is important that a potential drug binds predominantly to pathological β2-GP1/antibody complexes. The A1-A1 molecule has been shown to be effective in several rodent models of APS (64,67,68).

**AUR-1001.** AUR-1001 is a minibody lacking the CH2 domain and thus incapable of activating the complement that is being developed from Aura Biopharm (Oslo, Norway). The minibody has been shown to have a higher affinity than endogenous anti-β2-GPI autoAbs that are displaced in vitro. AUR-1001 has been shown to be capable of preventing development of both vascular and obstetric APS in preclinical model that are challenged with purified IgG from patients with APS (69).
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