Markov state models elucidate the stability of DNA influenced by the chiral 5S-Tg base
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ABSTRACT

The static and dynamic structures of DNA duplexes affected by 5S-Tg (Tg, Thymine glycol) epimers were studied using MD simulations and Markov State Models (MSMs) analysis. The results show that the 5S,6S-Tg base caused little perturbation to the helix, and the base-flipping barrier was determined to be 4.4 kcal mol⁻¹ through the use of enhanced sampling meta-eABF calculations, comparable to 5.4 kcal mol⁻¹ of the corresponding thymine flipping. Two conformations with the different hydrogen bondings were observed in several independent MD trajectories. The 5S,6R-Tg:O6H06=N1:A19 hydrogen bond is present in the high-energy conformation displaying a clear helical distortion, and near barrier-free Tg base flipping. The low-energy conformation always maintains Watson–Crick base pairing between 5S,6R-Tg and A19, and 5S-Tg base flipping is accompanied by a small barrier of ca. 2.0 K₅T (T = 298 K). The same conformations are observed in the MSMs analysis. Moreover, the transition path and metastable structures of the damaged base flipping are for the first time verified through MSMs analysis. The data clearly show that the epimers have completely different influence on the stability of the DNA duplex, thus implying different enzymatic mechanisms for DNA repair.

INTRODUCTION

Genetic stability and function can be significantly altered upon incorporation of mismatched or damaged nucleobases. Once a mismatched or damaged nucleobase is present in the DNA duplex, changes in the helix structure such as base flipping, which is thought to be an early event in the opening and unwinding of DNA for transcription and replication processes, often occur (1,2). Owing to the weakened intra-helical base pairing, it is proposed that the damaged or mismatched base spontaneously flips out of the DNA duplex with a certain probability, and consequently repair proteins recognize and capture the fully flipped-out base in the extra-helical conformation for further chemical processing (3). However, another mechanism postulates that the protein binds to and then slides through the duplex DNA, physically testing each base pair and induce base flipping (2,4,5). The biophysical nature of base flipping is hence still under debate, and accurate information on the static and dynamic structures of the damaged DNA and reliable thermodynamics and kinetics data on the process of damaged base flipping is therefore of high interest and importance (4,6,7).

5,6-Dihydro-5,6-dihydroxy thymine (thymine glycol, Tg) is the major oxidized product of thymidine under the stress of reactive oxygen species. Due to the chirality of the C5 and C6 atoms, Tg can exist as a mixture of the two pairs of cis- and trans-stereoisomers—the 5R cis–trans pair (5R,6S; 5R,6R) and 5S cis–trans pair (5S,6R; 5S,6S). It is estimated that 400 Tg molecules are formed per cell per day. Moreover, Tg is one of the predominant products from ionizing radiation (8–10). In γ-irradiated DNA, the 5R and 5S isomers have been reported to be formed in equal amounts (11,12). The generated chiral Tg may block DNA polymerase action (13), and affect the related repair enzyme process (8,14).

Studying the effect of chiral Tg on DNA helices, such as the most likely base flips, is a challenge for both experimental and theoretical approaches because the probability of important bases flipping out of the helices in the absence of proteins is extremely low. NMR has been applied to tackle this problem through imino proton exchange assays (15,16). However, theoretical studies showed that imino proton exchange occurs when the base pair opened by only 30°, which is still within the constraints of Watson–Crick hydrogen bonding (17,18). Hence, the fluctuation probed by NMR is related to base wobbling rather than flipping. In addition, since chiral Tg exists in the mixture of stereoisomers, it is experimentally difficult to distinguish which epimer is responsible for the observed base flipping phenomenon (19,20).
In terms of theoretical studies, due to limitations in conformational sampling by conventional molecular dynamics (CMD) simulations, enhanced sampling methods have been applied to probe this event (21–23). However, this method likely leads to loss of critical information on key variables that may contribute to the base flipping (21). Fortunately, Markov state models (MSMs) and related models of molecular kinetics have recently received a surge of interest as they allow us to analyze the essential metastable structures, thermodynamics, and kinetics of the molecular system under investigation. Moreover, it is widely used to study slow processes of proteins and nucleic acids (24–27).

Our recent studies on 5R-Tg unveiled for the first time that the duplex DNA with 5R,6S-Tg was more stable than that containing 5R,6R-Tg. Three possible conformations of the 5R,6R-Tg-containing duplex were observed, where the high-energy conformation contributes to the Tg flip (28). In this work, the static and dynamic structures and energetics of the 5S,6R- and 5S,6S-Tg epimers (Scheme 1) were explored by all-atom molecular dynamics. The present microsecond-scale simulation results show that the 5S,6S-Tg will remain in intra-helical conformation and forms a Watson–Crick base pair with adenine. However, 5S,6R-Tg is observed to be extra-helical from the double helix, accompanied by a deformation of the DNA duplex. Intermolecular interactions, nucleic acid parameters and free-energies were calculated for both stable and metastable states to better understand the base interactions and conformational changes associated with base flipping. The barrier height for 5S,6S-Tg base flipping is about 4.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), which is comparable to 5.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for the related thymine base flipping. The 5S,6R-Tg flipping, however, is barrier-free or needs to overcome a only 1.2 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) barrier, depending on the Tg-O6H6 rotational structure. For a deeper insight into the variables controlling the dynamic behaviour of the cis-5S,6R-Tg base attached to the helix, multiple simulation trajectories of cis-5S,6R-Tg were used for kinetic clustering into auto-covariance modes obtained from TICA component analysis. Markov state models and flux analysis were also carried out to identify metastable states and their transition flux paths. The present conclusion strongly supports the previous hypothesis of Osman (29), suggesting that the exposed hydroxyl groups on Tg play an important role in the recognition by repair enzymes. Moreover, our studies for the first time reveal the kinetic process of base flipping of the damaged 5S-Tg base out of the duplex, which provides new understanding of the stereo-selective enzymatic repair of thymine glycols.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Owing to the absence of 5S-Tg containing DNA structures, the structures in our MD simulations were generated and based on the 5R,6S-Tg containing DNA duplex structure, determined by NMR experiments (30). Subsequently, the 5S-Tg containing DNA duplexes were separately solvated in water boxes with ca. 9260 TIP3P water molecules. Each system was neutralized by 0.15 M NaCl to imitate the intracellular environment. Equilibrium MD, reaching up to the microsecond time scale, were run under periodic boundary conditions using constant pressure and temperature (NPT) ensembles at 298 K and 1 atm (31,32). The CHARMM 36 force field was used throughout (33), due to its reliability for nucleic acids (34–37), while the 5S-Tg isomers were parameterized according to the general CHARMM procedure and fitted with the aid of the Force Field Toolkit (ffTK) (38). Lennard-Jones parameters and improper torsion parameters were taken by analogy from CHARMM's CGenFF (39). In addition to equilibrium MD simulations, free energy profiles of the 5S-Tg base flipping were calculated based on enhanced sampling dynamics using the recently developed extended adaptive biased force meta-dynamics (meta-eABF) approach (40,41). Through simultaneous addition of eABF biasing forces and a suitable form of the metadynamic Gaussian potentials, meta-eABF has proven particularly efficient for rapid exploration of free-energy landscapes. The algorithm possesses remarkable convergence properties over a broad range of applications including DNA system (42,43). In this study, the center-of-mass (COM) separation distance between the Tg nucleotide and A19, and the pseudodihedral angle CPDb, were considered as the collective variable (CVs) in the meta-eABF simulations, respectively (23,28). CPDb was herein defined as follows: p1 is the center-of-mass of the two flanking base pairs, p2 and p3 are the centers-of-mass of the flanking phosphate groups, respectively, and p4 is the center-of-mass of the entire six-membered ring of the flipping pyrimidine.

Markov state models (MSMs) were built using the pyEMMA 2.5 software (44). The Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) algorithm was used to generate a Bayesian Markov model by estimating transition rates on microstate clusters, which are further grouped into macrostates by the PCCA + algorithm. The constructed Markov state models were validated using the Chapman-Kolmogorov test and the best generated Markov models were used to calculate the flux between metastable states. To differentiate between metastable states and to understand the conformational transitions, 10 sample conformations from each metastable state were retrieved and analysed using fluctuation correlation network and binding interaction studies. Time-lagged independent component analysis (TICA) was used to further reduce dimensionality. TICA is a powerful dimensionality reduction algorithm that extracts the most kinetically relevant linear combinations of long-lived pairwise contact distances. TICA computes the time-lagged covariance matrix \( C(\tau) \) from a given set of mean-free input data \( r(t) \) (e.g. the long-lived pairwise distances) at time \( t \) with the following elements:

\[
C_{ij}(\tau) = \langle r_i(t)r_j(t+\tau) \rangle = \sum_{t=1}^{N-\tau} r_i(t)r_j(t+\tau)
\]

where \( \tau \) is the lag time and \( N \) is the size of the data, and:

\[
C(\tau) = C(0)U\Lambda
\]

where \( U \) is an eigenvector matrix consisting of time-lagged independent components (ICs) as the columns and \( \Lambda \) is a diagonal eigenvalue matrix. The dataset \( r(t) \) is then projected onto the TICA space that maximizes the autocorrelation of the transformed coordinates. Reduction down to the desired number of dimensions was obtained by choosing a subspace
of only the first few columns of $U$,

$$z^T (i) = r^T (i) U$$

The macrostates obtained are also referred to as metastable states, because they represent long-lived states in the dynamics of the system. In MD simulations, metastable states typically encompass whole ensembles of molecular conformations that interconvert quickly within the ensemble and slowly between ensembles. These ensembles approximately map the different basins of the free energy surface (FES), and their stationary probability $\pi$ corresponds to their Boltzmann weights. The free energy for each metastable state ($S_i$) is computed from its stationary $\pi$ using the relation:

$$\Delta G (s_i) = -k_B T \ln \left( \sum_{j \in S_i} \pi_j \right)$$

where $\pi_j$ denotes the $\pi$ stationary weight of the macrostate, and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant.

All MD simulations were performed using NAMD 2.14 multicore CUDA package (45), together with the Colvar module (46), while trajectories were visualized and analyzed using VMD1.9.3 (47). The DNA conformational analyses were performed with Curves+ (48).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Molecular dynamics simulations

Due to the chirality of the C6 atom, $5S$-Tg can exist as $5S,6R$- and $5S,6S$-Tg epimers. A 1.0 $\mu$s production simulation was first performed on the DNA duplex containing $5S,6S$-Tg, and root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) relative to the initial position was used to monitor the duplex structure as a measure of system stability (Figure 1A) (49). The standard deviation of the RMSD was <0.5 Å, indicating very small structural changes. Therefore, in accordance with previous studies (50), the detailed analysis was based on the last 0.1 $\mu$s trajectories. Root mean square fluctuations (RMSF) were also calculated, showing the largest fluctuations at the terminal nucleotides of the double strand (Figure 1B).

The interaction energies of $5S,6S$-Tg with its adjacent G5, G7 and A19 bases were decomposed as shown in Figure 2A and Supplementary Table S1, and the related structure is shown in Supplementary Figure S4d. The Watson–Crick hydrogen bond energy formed between $5S,6S$-Tg/A19 is about $-11.1 \pm 1.4$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, which is comparable to $-13.8$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ estimated at the M06-2X/6-31 + G(d,p) level (51). Note that the total interaction energy between $5S,6S$-Tg and its adjacent base G7 is as high as $-13.8 \pm 2.2$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ due to formation of an internucleoside hydrogen bond, in addition to $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction. The occupancy of this hydrogen bond during the simulation is ca. 90.3%. Contributions from G5 were also favoured, with interaction energy $-6.4 \pm 1.7$ kcal mol$^{-1}$. These suggest that both hydrogen-bonding and vdW effects between the bases favour stabilization of the $5S,6S$-Tg bound in double-stranded DNA. Another independent 1.0 $\mu$s replicate obtained similar results, and the corresponding RMSD and RMSF data are shown in Supplementary Figure S1.

In contrast, the structural changes induced by introduction of $5S,6R$-Tg into the DNA duplex are significant. The RMSD profile during a 1.5 $\mu$s production run is displayed in Figure 3A. The center-of-mass distances between the $5S,6R$-Tg and A19 bases, and their interaction energies along the 1.5 $\mu$s trajectories are illustrated in Figure 3B and C, respectively, which show the status of $5S,6R$-Tg with respect to the helix. Several distinct regions are highlighted based on the center-of-mass distances between the $5S,6R$-Tg and A19 bases (Figure 3B). We observe that the $5S,6R$-Tg base flipping happens only in regions 2, 4 and 8 (within ca. 0.05–0.075, 0.17–0.24, 0.8–1.5 $\mu$s, respectively), and the related structure is shown in Supplementary Figure S4c. In regions 6 and 7, there are two different local structures associated with the $5S,6R$-Tg and A19 bases.

The classical Watson–Crick base pair between $5S,6R$-Tg/A19 is present in region 6, presented in Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure S4A, in which we also note an intranucleotide Tg:O6H6:O4′•••O4′:Tg hydrogen bond.

### Scheme 1

**A** The structures of the $5S$-Tg pair and **B** the sequence of the dodecamer used in the current study.

$$\begin{align*}
A' & \quad \begin{array}{cccccccc}
5' & G & G & G & G & X & G & T & 3' \\
6' & C & A & C & G & C & A & A & A & C & - & 5' \\
\end{array} \\
3' & C & A & C & G & C & A & A & A & C & - & 5' \\
\end{align*}$$
Figure 1. (A) RMSD ($2.97 \pm 0.41 \text{Å}$), and (B) RMSF of each nucleotide during 1.0 μs simulation. The position of the lesion is indicated in (B).

Figure 2. (A) Interaction energy decomposition of 5S,6S-Tg with adjacent bases G5, G7 and A19; (B) Interaction energy decomposition of 5S,6R-Tg with adjacent bases G5, G7 and A19 from 0.32 to 0.79 μs of the simulation.

According to previous studies (43,53), π-stacking interaction of the target base with its adjacent bases is one of the main factors affecting the base flipping process. Based on the locally stable structure with around 0.5 μs lifespan in region 6 (Figure 3), we also calculated the energy decomposition of 5S,6R-Tg with respect to interaction with the G7, G5 and A19 bases, respectively. As shown in Figure 2B and Supplementary Table S2, the hydrogen-bonding strength between 5S,6R-Tg and A19 is comparable to that of the classical Watson–Crick base pairing seen between 5S,6S-Tg and A19. The main difference is that the interaction of 5S,6R-Tg with G5 and G7 is significantly weaker than the corresponding counterpart in the helix containing 5S,6S-Tg, implying that the ability of 5S,6R-Tg to remain aligned in the double helix becomes reduced, seen in Supplementary Figure S4A. Also, this difference is mainly due to formation of internucleosidyl hydrogen bonds between TgO6H06 in 5S,6S-Tg and N7 in G7 (Figure 4C); in 5S,6R-Tg, TgO6H06 is instead involved in an internal H-bond to TgO4′.

From the helix with the local Watson–Crick structure in region 6 to that with the flipped 5S-Tg base observed in region 8, a rotational motion of O6H06 in the 5S,6R-Tg base is noted (Figure 4A and B). In order to better understand the role of this rotation, enhanced sampling dynamics along the dihedral H6−C6−O6−H06 reaction coordinate was performed using meta-eABF to estimate the free energy change versus dihedral angle, seen in Figure 5A. Along the reaction coordinate, the PMF profile has minima at the dihedrals of ca. -80° (or 280°) and +90°, respectively, which correspond to the low- and high-energy
conformations. The low-energy conformation includes the local 5S-Tg:O6H\textsubscript{O6}•••O4′:Tg and Watson–Crick type 5S-Tg •••A19 hydrogen bonds (Figure 4A), while the non-Watson–Crick 5S-Tg:O6H\textsubscript{O6}•••N1:A19 hydrogen bond is found in the high-energy conformation (Figure 4B). The difference in their conformational free energies is only 1.5 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1}, in favour of the conformation with the Watson–Crick and local 5S-Tg:O6H\textsubscript{O6}•••O4′:Tg hydrogen bonds. Starting from the minimum at −80°, the rotational barrier height is estimated to be 5.3 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1} to reach the high-energy conformation, but only 3.8 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1} for the reverse process. The transition structure is shown in Supplementary Figure S5. Therefore, the duplex with the internal 5S-Tg:O6H\textsubscript{O6}•••O4′:5S-Tg hydrogen bond should have higher distribution within the 1.5 μs production trajectories, which corresponds to region 6 in Figure 3. We note that due to the distortion of the helix, the center-of-mass distance between 5S-Tg and A19 is 9.4 Å in the high-energy conformation, which is smaller than the corresponding distance of 10.9 Å observed in the low-energy conformation.

Meta-eABF simulations were also performed along the H6-C6-O6-HO6 reaction coordinate for the 5S,6S-Tg DNA system, as shown in Figure 5B. Two minima are also here observed on the PMF curves, which are related to the 5S-Tg:O6H\textsubscript{O6} bonding status. The difference is that in the low-energy conformation near 90° (or −270°), 5S,6S-Tg:O6H\textsubscript{O6} can form a hydrogen bond with N7:G7 (Figure 4C). The high-energy conformation is less stable by 4.4 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1} at about −70° dihedral angle, and has a dangling 5S,6S-Tg:O6H\textsubscript{O6} bond surrounded by solvent water molecules in the major groove (Figure 4D). The transition structure between these is also shown in Supplementary Figure S5. The rotational barrier height for 5S,6S-Tg:O6H\textsubscript{O6} is estimated to be 6.5 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1}, and the reverse barrier is 2.1 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1}. These results suggest that the high-energy conformation containing 5S,6S-Tg should be relatively weakly occupied.

Base flipping processes

Base flipping has come into focus recently since it is strongly relevant in some significant biological processes. Van der Vaart et al. found that free energy for thymine flipping is sequence dependent. The potential barrier of T flipping is ca. 10 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1} (54–56). However, the choice of computational method, selection of collective variables (CV) and simulation time has been seen to influence the free-energy barrier of T base flipping. For e.g. the GTG fragment, the barrier ranges from 5.3 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1} to 7.5 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1} (28,54,57).

In order to further study this process, the PMF of 5S-Tg base flipping for the 5S,6S-Tg and 5S,6R-Tg containing DNA systems were calculated with the center-of-mass distance as CV. The results are shown in Supplementary Figure S7. The barrier height for 5S,6S-Tg base flipping is 4.4 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1} (Supplementary Figure S7c), which is compara-
Figure 4. (A) The local Tg:O6H•••O4′:Tg hydrogen bond observed in region 5 in Figure 3; (B) the local Tg:O6H•••N1:A19 hydrogen bond observed in region 7 in Figure 3; (C) the structure of the internucleoside hydrogen bond between Tg:O6H•••N7:G7 in DNA duplex containing 5S,6S-Tg; (D) the structure of 5S,6S-Tg with dangling O6H06. Lengths in Å.

ble to 5.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for thymine base flipping in the intact DNA duplex. 5S,6S-Tg base flipping is thus not considered to readily occur, which is consistent with its structural features observed in the MD trajectories. For 5S,6R-Tg, base flipping could occur through the two above-mentioned conformations. In the high-energy conformation with 5S,6R-Tg:O6H•••N1:A19 hydrogen bond in the duplex, 5S,6R-Tg base flipping is barrier free (Supplementary Figure S7b). The corresponding low-energy conformation in the 5S,6R-Tg–containing DNA duplex, having the internal 5S,6R-Tg:O6H•••O4′:5S,6R-Tg hydrogen bond, needs to overcome a barrier of 1.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$ to achieve the 5S,6R-Tg base flipping (Supplementary Figure S7a). The small barrier height is close to 2.0 times the thermal energy ($k_B T$ is 0.60 kcal mol$^{-1}$ at $T = 298$ K). Attack of water molecules at the weakened conformation plays a significant role for the low barrier. The center-of-mass distance is in this case 12.1 Å between 5S,6R-Tg and A19 due to the breakdown of the hydrogen bonds, and is larger than 9.4 Å observed for the counterpart in the high-energy conformation. We can thus conclude that 5S,6R-Tg base flipping can occur through either a barrier-free reaction starting from a high-energy conformation, or as a very low barrier reaction at the low-energy conformation. Considering the relatively high rotation barrier of O6H06, it is possible that both low-barrier 5S-Tg base flippings occur.

The Tg can flip through either major or minor groove pathways, but the observed events for flipping through the minor groove pathway are fewer than through the major groove (Supplementary Figure S8). For comparison, the pseudodihedral angle CPDb was used as CV for Tg flipping (Supplementary Figure S9). The barrier height is 2.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for 5S,6R-Tg flipping in the low energy conformation and 1.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for the high energy conformation and 6.0 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for 5S,6S-Tg flipping from duplex DNA; close to the values 1.2, 0.0 and 4.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$ calculated with the center-of-mass distance as reaction coordinate, respectively (Table 1). The rotational energy barrier is in general larger by 2 kcal mol$^{-1}$ when using CPDb rather than distance as CVs. This slight difference may be due to insufficient sampling of the late stages of base flipping, since the flipping angle maintains roughly the same value after the flipping distance reaches a certain value. The flipping angle is thus unable to fully describe the progress along the flipping path. In comparison, the distance as a collective variable provides a better description of the late stages of the base flipping (4).

Markov state models

The study of base flipping is very challenging for both experimental and computational methods due to the low likelihood of base flipping occurring in the stable structures of
Figure 5. PMF profile along the torsion angle H6–C6–O6–H O6 reaction coordinate of (A) 5S,6R-Tg containing DNA, and (B) 5S,6S-Tg containing DNA. Parentheses show dihedral angle and relative energy, respectively. The purple area represents a low-energy conformation, and the blue area represents a high-energy conformation; the error is within 0.3 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (Supplementary Figure S6).

Table 1. Free energy barrier (kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) of 5S,6R-Tg, 5S,6S-Tg and Thymine (T) flipping from the DNA duplex using different reaction co-ordinates (CV’s)

| Reaction coordinate | 5S,6R\(^a\) | 5S,6R\(^b\) | 5S,6S | T |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|---|
| Distance (Å)       | 1.2         | 0           | 4.4   | 5.4 |
| CPD (kcal/mol)     | 2.3         | 1.4         | 6.6   | 7.1 |

\(a\) Low energy conformation.
\(b\) High energy conformation.

nucleic acids. Markov state models (MSMs) is an effective approach to describe kinetic landscapes of biomolecules and the transition between metastable states. To this end, Markov state models were built to identify the kinetically relevant metastable states and their transition rates (44).

In order to observe the conformational changes, we performed six independent 1.5 μs all-atom simulations of the 5S,6R-Tg containing helix. The constructed MSMs are based on all the trajectories of these molecular dynamics simulations.

The distances between all atoms of Tg and the complementary A19 were used as input features yielding 54 dimensions. We used a 100 ns lag time for the time-lagged independent component analysis (TICA) and reduced the feature dimensions to 30. 90% of relevant kinetic information for both flipping and intra-helical form was retained for analysis. All conformations from the MD simulations were clustered into 300 microstates by the k-means method. MSMs were subsequently constructed with different lag times, and \(\tau = 100\) ns was considered as a suitable lag time (Supplementary Figure S10). The 300 microstates at 100 ns lag time was grouped into 5 macrostates using PCCA+ (Perron-Cluster cluster-Analysis). The Markov models were further validated with 95% confidence level by the Chapman–Kolmogorov test (Supplementary Figure S11). The free energy surface was finally computed and projected onto the first two TICA components, seen in Figure 6A.

The MSMs and free energy surface provide relevant information about the conformational changes of inihelix 5S,6R-Tg towards their flipping states, with the different macrostates (Figure 7) located in the minima of Figure 6A. Macrostate 1 represents the initial closed state with Watson–Crick 5S-Tg•••A19 hydrogen bonds (Figures 4A and 7). The structure of macrostate 2 has hydrogen bonds between Tg:O6H6O6•••N1:A19. They are very similar to those observed in our MD trajectories and meta-eABF calculations for the low- and high-energy conformers of 5S,6R-Tg, respectively. The lengths of Tg:O6H6O6•••N1:A19 and A19:H61O6:Tg are ca. 2.33 and 2.31 Å, respectively, close to the values 2.15 and 2.23 Å obtained in the MD simulation, respectively (Figure 4B). From Figure 6A, we see that state 1 is clearly more stable than state 2, which is consistent with the results from the PMF and DFT calculations.

In macrostate 3, only 5S-Tg is flipped, while A19 is still located in the double helix, and macrostate 4 corresponds to a structure in which 5S-Tg disturbs the 5′G: C base pair, seen in Figure 7. Thereby, the Watson–Crick hydrogen bonds between 5S-Tg and A19 disappear. Instead, 5S-Tg:O5H5O5•••N3:5′Ga and 5S-Tg:O4•••H22:5′G hydrogen bonds are formed at the minor groove side. The experimentally reported interference of Tg on the 5′-base pair is hence confirmed by our present results (8,58). The data furthermore show that the reason why Tg blocks DNA polymerase is through the misplaced position of the hydrogen bond with 5′G for the Tg - DNA polymerase complex. MSMs analysis furthermore give macrostate 5, representing the overall flipping of 5S-Tg and its opposing A19 base. State 3 is also observed in our meta-eABF calculations. Macrostate 5 is highly possible since solvation of A19 by water molecules entering the ‘vacant’ site once 5S-Tg has flipped out, is inevitable. Based on Figure 6A, state 5 is less stable than state 3, which is consistent with the result from our meta-eABF calculations. DNA structural parameters of the obtained states were further analyzed using Curves+ (48). Due to the presence of Tg within the duplex, the bend angle of the DNA duplex is significantly larger that in native DNA. In addition, the Tg-A19 base-pair parameters, such as shear, stretch, stagger, buckle, pro-
Figure 6. (A) Free energy surface projected onto the first two independent components (ICs). (B) Transition path flux analysis. The grey arrows between macrostates indicate the probability flux between pairs of states at equilibrium, and the arrow thickness is proportional to the flux.

Figure 7. The most probable structures of each state from the MSM analysis.

ple, opening, incline and tip, are much increased compared to those for thymine in intact DNA. The major groove width of intact DNA is ~11.4 Å (Supplementary Table S3), which in states 2 and 4 increase to 15.1 and 12.1 Å, respectively. All these changes are favourable for base flipping (59,60).

To understand the transition paths between the observed metastable states in the MSMs, flux analysis was performed. Figure 6B describes the transition paths between states 1 through 5. The flux analysis suggests that the most likely transition path between states 1 and 5 needs to go through state 3 (path 1), with a probability of ca. 37.9%. Again, a rough estimation of the free energy barrier going from state 1 to state 3 is 2.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), which is close to the 2.3 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) obtained with CPDb as the CV. The transition path corresponds to 5S-Tg flipping out of the low-energy conformation on the rotational potential energy surface of 5S-Tg-O6H\(_{06}\). The three other possible transformation paths are 1→2→4→5 (path 2), 1→4→5 (path 3) and 1→2→5 (path 4) with probabilities 21.0%, 19.5% and 12.3%, respectively. Note that the summed probabilities of path 2, 3 and 4 are ca. 52.8%, showing that these transition paths cannot be ignored. The transition paths 2 and 4 indicate that the 5S-Tg base flipping must go through an intermediate state 2 with loss of the 5S-Tg•••A19 Watson–Crick hydrogen bonds. State 2, which includes the 5S-Tg-O6H\(_{06}\)•••N1:A19 hydrogen bond, is present as an intermediate in paths 2 and 4, and corresponds to 5S-Tg flipping out of the high-energy conformation in the rotational potential energy surface of 5S-Tg-O6H\(_{06}\). The transition rate between metastable states 2 or 4 to state 5 is \(1 \times 10^{-6}\) ns\(^{-1}\) and \(4 \times 10^{-6}\) ns\(^{-1}\), respectively.
CONCLUSIONS

Using the well-known thymine glycol as an example, microsecond-scale molecular dynamics simulations were used to address the influence of epimers on the stability of DNA supramolecular assemblies. To our knowledge, this is the first comparative study of the structures of DNA duplexes containing 5S,6R-Tg and 5S,6S-Tg, and the first time all-atom molecular dynamics simulations and MSMs are combined to explore flipping of the damaged nucleobase. Compared to enhanced sampling methods, MSMs provide accurate and efficient algorithms for kinetic model construction, and give reliable thermodynamic and kinetic data for the process of base flipping.

The DNA duplex containing 5S,6S-Tg has comparable stability to the corresponding intact DNA. The epimer gives very little distortion of the DNA duplex conformation. Energy decomposition analysis shows that intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interaction contributes significantly to the binding of 5S,6S-Tg in the duplex DNA. Two stable duplex structures containing 5S,6R-Tg were observed in our MD studies, depending on the 5S-Tg:O6H06 rotation. A 5S-Tg:O6H06•••N1:A19 hydrogen bond is present in the high-energy conformation. In the low-energy conformation, an intranucleotide 5S-Tg:O6H06•••O4:5S-Tg hydrogen bond is formed, in addition to the classical Watson–Crick hydrogen bonds between 5S,6R-Tg and A19. The stabilities and presence of the different hydrogen bonded structures were confirmed by DFT calculations and MSMs analysis.

The activation barrier for 5S,6S-Tg base flipping out of the duplex DNA is ca. 4.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). This is comparable to the 5.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) computed for thymine base flipping in the intact DNA, showing that 5S,6S-Tg is stably positioned in the duplex DNA. However, the activation barrier for 5S,6R-Tg to flip out of the double-helix DNA ranges from barrier-free to 1.2 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), depending on the local conformation. The attack of solvent water molecules plays a significant role in the breakdown of the hydrogen bonds between the bases. MSMs analysis revealed four main paths of Tg flipping, with the final state showing both Tg as well as the opposing base A19 on the complementary strand being flipped out of the helix. The present results provide detailed structural information of DNA duplexes containing 5S-Tg epimers and new insight on how Tg blocks DNA polymerase. It furthermore serves as a basis for understanding the recognition of the 5S-Tg epimers by repair enzymes.
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