BIMA N$_2$H$^+$ 1–0 MAPPING OBSERVATIONS OF L183: FRAGMENTATION AND SPIN-UP IN A COLLAPSING, MAGNETIZED, ROTATING, PRESTELLAR CORE
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ABSTRACT

We have used the Berkeley–Illinois–Maryland Array to make deep N$_2$H$^+$ 1–0 maps of the prestellar core L183, in order to study the spatial and kinematic substructure within the densest part of the core. Three spatially and kinematically distinct clumps are detected, which we label L183-N1, L183-N2, and L183-N3. L183-N2 is approximately coincident with the submillimeter dust peak and lies at the systemic velocity of L183. Thus we conclude that L183-N2 is the central dense core of L183. L183-N1 and 3 are newly discovered fragments of L183, which are marked by velocity gradients that are parallel to, but far stronger than, the velocity gradient of L183 as a whole, as detected in previous single-dish data. Furthermore, the ratio of the large-scale and small-scale velocity gradients, and the ratio of their respective size-scales, are consistent with the conservation of angular momentum for a rotating, collapsing core undergoing spin-up. The inferred axis of rotation is parallel to the magnetic field direction, which is offset from its long axis, as we have seen in other prestellar cores. Therefore, we propose that we have detected a fragmenting, collapsing, filamentary, prestellar core, rotating about its B-field, which is spinning up as it collapses. It will presumably go on to form a multiple protostellar system.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The star formation process begins within molecular clouds, where the internal pressures (magnetic, turbulent, thermal, or otherwise), supporting dense regions against collapse, lose out to gravity. The densest regions are known as prestellar cores (Ward-Thompson et al. 1994), which are the gravitationally bound cores of clouds that are believed to be undergoing star formation, but as yet show no signature of an embedded protostellar source (Ward-Thompson et al. 2007). Recent studies have revealed the presence of extremely faint protostellar objects inside a small number of cores that were previously believed to be prestellar (Crapsi et al. 2005$^a$; Bourke et al. 2006). These appear to be very young protostars embedded deep within these cores.

However, the manner of the collapse from a prestellar core to a core that contains a protostar is still a matter of some debate; for a review, see Ward-Thompson (2002). Gravitational collapse, rotation, turbulent motions, and fragmentation may all play a role. Detailed study of the densest prestellar cores that do not contain a protostar is necessary to understand the evolution of prestellar cores (Jessop & Ward-Thompson 2001; Bergin et al. 2002; Tafalla et al. 2004), and other molecular species within prestellar cores (Jessop & Ward-Thompson 2001; Bergin et al. 2002; Tafalla et al. 2004), and it has been widely used to survey prestellar cores in general and L183 in particular (Dickens et al. 2000; Caselli et al. 2002; Crapsi et al. 2005$^a$; Pagani et al. 2007).

In Section 2 we describe the configuration of the BIMA array and in Section 3 we show channel and integrated maps of N$_2$H$^+$ intensity. In Section 4 we show the results of fitting various line parameters, and we discuss their implications in Section 5.

2. OBSERVATIONS

BIMA$^3$ was used to map the 3.22 mm (93 GHz) $J=1 \rightarrow 0$ transition of N$_2$H$^+$ (Caselli et al. 1995; Daniel et al. 2006) toward the peak of the L183 submillimeter dust emission.$^4$ BIMA was a 10-element millimeter-wave interferometer operated at the Hat Creek Radio Observatory in Northern California (Welch et al. 1996).

BIMA was used in its compact C-array ($\sim 2–27$ k$\lambda$) and ultracompact D-array ($\sim 2–9$ k$\lambda$) configurations. Table 1 shows the individual observation dates, durations, and configurations. A total duration of 50 hr ($\sim 6$ tracks) was spent in the C-Array configuration and a total duration of 18 hr ($\sim 2$ tracks) was spent in the D-Array configuration.

$^3$ Operated by the Berkeley–Illinois–Maryland Association with NSF and University Funding. The BIMA facilities have since been merged with the OVRO array to form the present CARMA array.

$^4$ $\alpha_{2000} = 15^h 54^m 08^s.8, \delta_{2000} = -2^\circ 52^\prime 38^\prime\prime$ (Kirk et al. 2005).
Our observations are only sensitive to angular scales between the FWHM of the primary beam (given by the effective diameter of an individual dish) and that of the synthesized beam (given by the $uv$ sampling and weighting). The individual antennae have a physical diameter of 6.2 m and an effective primary beam FWHM of 1.7 arcmin at 3.22 mm. The synthesized-beam FWHM for the combined observations was $13 \times 10$ arcsec with a semimajor axis position angle of $-14^\circ$. The beam was elongated due to the declination of the source. Therefore, these observations are sensitive to structure between 10 and 100 arcsec. This corresponds to a spatial scale of 0.005–0.05 pc at 110 pc.

The BIMA correlator was set to mode 5 to give 512 channels across a bandwidth of 6.25 MHz. The channel width was 12.2 kHz (0.039 km s$^{-1}$). The N$_2$H$^+$ lineset was placed in the first sideband while the 96.4 MHz C$^{34}$S 2-1 transition was in the opposite sideband, but no signal was detected in the second sideband. Phase and amplitude calibration were conducted against the radio source 1549+026. The assumed flux of 1549+026 at our wavelength was 1.5 Jy (BIMA Calibrator List). The data were reduced using the MIRIAD software package and the visibilities were Fourier transformed into the image plane using natural weighting in order to maximize the sensitivity (Sault et al. 1995). The theoretical per channel rms was 0.121 K.

3. RESULTS

The total intensity of emission from the seven hyperfine components of N$_2$H$^+$ toward the L183 core is shown in Figure 1, integrated over velocity. The first solid contour is at 2.46 Jy beam$^{-1}$, which is also the separation between subsequent contours. For this data set the scaling between Jy beam$^{-1}$ and kelvin is 1.07 K (Jy beam$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$. The integrated emission shown in Figure 1 displays the characteristic north–south L183 filament as seen in submillimeter dust emission, infra-red extinction, and single dish line maps of N$_2$H$^+$ 1–0 (e.g., Pagani et al. 2003; Kirk et al. 2005; Crapsi et al. 2005a). The integrated N$_2$H$^+$ intensity shows three bright peaks (north, south, and west). For reference we will refer to these features as L183 N$_2$H$^+$ (L183-N) 1, 2, and 3 in order of their peak intensity. Their positions are listed in Columns 2 and 3 of Table 2 and are shown in Figure 1 and in subsequent figures as labeled open stars.

Table 1

| Date       | Duration | Configuration |
|------------|----------|---------------|
| 2003 Mar 23| 8 hr     | C-Array       |
| 2003 Mar 24| 8 hr     | C-Array       |
| 2003 May 3 | 5 hr     | C-Array       |
| 2003 May 7 | 8 hr     | C-Array       |
| 2003 May 8 | 4 hr     | C-Array       |
| 2003 May 15| 5.5 hr   | C-Array       |
| 2003 Jul 16| 7 hr     | D-Array       |
| 2003 Jul 17| 7 hr     | D-Array       |
| 2004 Apr 30| 3 hr     | C-Array       |
| 2004 May 9 | 8 hr     | C-Array       |
| 2004 May 14| 4 hr     | D-Array       |

To examine the kinematic structure of L183 we extracted channel maps of N$_2$H$^+$ intensity across the 101–012 component as 0.039 km s$^{-1}$ slices between 2.15 and 2.72 km s$^{-1}$. This component is shown as it is isolated in velocity from the other components and thus is free from blending between components. These channel maps are shown in Figure 2. As the velocity increases, the emission first appears in the northeast, before moving to the south, and finishing in the northwest. These components roughly correspond to the three emission peaks seen in Figure 1. Thus, L183-N1 and L183-N3 are separated spatially and kinematically from each other, but are both connected kinematically to L183-N2.

The central section of the SCUBA 850 $\mu$m map from Kirk et al. (2005) is shown as a gray-scale image in Figure 3. The SCUBA continuum emission peaks close to L183-N2 and has a northward extension between L183-N1 and 3. This extension is seen to continue on a larger scale in the SCUBA image (Kirk et al. 2005). The L183-N2 peak is not exactly coincident with the SCUBA 850 $\mu$m emission peak. The peak in the continuum emission is in fact coincident with the N$_2$H$^+$ emission at 2.44–2.48 km s$^{-1}$.

4. SPECTRAL LINE ANALYSIS

The data shown in Figure 1 use a pixel scale of 1.87 arcsec per pixel in order to show a smooth intensity distribution. For the analysis of the spectral lines the data were spatially averaged onto a 5.6 arcsec pixel grid in order to produce pixels that...
Table 2
Table of Positions and Hyperfine Fit Parameters Toward the Three Peaks in the Integrated N$_2$H$^+$ Map (Figure 1)

| Source    | R.A. (2000) | Decl. (2000) | $V_{lsr}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\Delta V$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\tau$ | $T_{MB}$ (K) | $\Delta V_{NT}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $V_{ms}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $T_{ex}$ (K) | $G$ (km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$) | $P_{A0}$ (°) |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| L183-N1   | 15°54′09.5″  | -02°52′15″   | 2.256 ± 0.003            | 0.123 ± 0.006            | 0.37 ± 0.08 | 1.2 ± 0.4 | 0.064                        | 0.054                | 6.5 ± 2.0     | 6.5 ± 5.8               | -74 ± 23     |
| L183-N2   | 15°54′09.5″  | -02°52′50″   | 2.415 ± 0.004            | 0.127 ± 0.008            | 2.1 ± 0.6 | 0.5 ± 0.2 | 0.071                        | 0.025                | 3.3 ± 1.8     | 1.0 ± 2.4               | -            |
| L183-N3   | 15°54′07.3″  | -02°52′31″   | 2.588 ± 0.005            | 0.108 ± 0.010            | 0.36 ± 0.18 | 0.63 ± 0.45 | 0.025                        | 0.090                | 4.8 ± 3.8     | 8.9 ± 8.0               | -57 ± 60     |

Notes. Column 1 lists the source name. Columns 2 and 3 list the central position of each source. Columns 4–7 list the CLASS hfs parameters—radial velocity ($V_{lsr}$), linewidth ($\Delta V$), total optical depth summed across all seven hyperfine components ($\tau$), and the main beam temperature ($T_{MB}$)—for a fit to the spectra at the positions listed in Columns 2 and 3. Column 8 lists the nonthermal linewidth ($\Delta V_{NT}$) based on the assumption that $T_{ex}$ = 7 K (see Section 4.2). Column 9 lists the rms of the radial velocity ($V_{ms}$) computed in a 5 by 5 pixel box. Column 10 lists the excitation temperature ($T_{ex}$). Columns 11 and 12 list the magnitude and direction, and their standard deviations, of the local velocity gradient (see Section 5).

4.1. Kinematics

Figure 5 shows the variation of the local standard of rest velocity ($V_{lsr}$) across the L183 molecular core. It shows the same triple-lobed structure as shown in Figure 2, but now we see that the most extreme velocities are toward the outside of each of the three lobes. The velocities at the position of each of the three L183-N sources are listed in Column 4 of Table 2. There is a 0.33 km s$^{-1}$ separation between L183-N1 and L183-N3.

There have been previous observations of N$_2$H$^+$ toward L183 using the single-dish IRAM 30 meter telescope. Its beamwidth at 3.22 mm is ~ 30 arcsec. Pagani et al. (2007) measured a value of $V_{lsr} = 2.367$ km s$^{-1}$ toward R.A.(2000) = 15°54′08.5″, decl.(2000) = -02°52′48″. This is in good agreement with the values we measure in that area. Crapsi et al. (2005a) measured a value of $V_{lsr} = 2.413$ km s$^{-1}$ toward R.A.(2000) = 15°54′08.4″, decl.(2000) = -02°52′23″. This again is similar to the values we measure exactly at that point. The literature value for the $V_{lsr}$ of the whole core of L183 (2.415 km s$^{-1}$) matches closely that of L183-N2. Hence we take 2.415 km s$^{-1}$ as the systemic velocity of the L183 core and we see that the velocities of L183-N1 and L183-N3 are velocity structures overlaid on this, of up to ±0.17 km s$^{-1}$.

To examine the velocity gradient across L183 we averaged the gradient vectors between a single pixel and its nearest neighbors with valid measurements of $V_{lsr}$. A vector map of the local velocity gradient is overlaid on the map of $V_{lsr}$ in Figure 5.

Figure 5 also shows a black-line indicating the direction of the plane-of-the-sky magnetic field as observed by Crutcher et al. (2004). This is approximately orthogonal to the large-scale velocity gradient (see Section 5). The magnetic field may therefore play an important role in the evolution of this prestellar core (cf. Holland et al. 1996). We discuss further the relevance of the velocity gradient to previous observations in Section 5.

4.2. Linewidths

Figure 6 shows the remainder of the results from the hfs fitting. Panel (a) shows the linewidth variation across L183. The linewidths measured from the hfs fitting are narrow. The mean linewidth in Table 2 is only 0.119 km s$^{-1}$ and this is almost within the 1σ dispersion of each measurement. The literature values for N$_2$H$^+$ linewidths in L183 are on the range 0.195–0.25 km s$^{-1}$ (Caselli et al. 2002; Crapsi et al. 2005a; Pagani et al. 2007), which in turn are on the narrow side of the average linewidth of 0.250 ± 0.07 km s$^{-1}$ for the 31 starless cores surveyed by Crapsi et al. (2005a).

A ridge of broader linewidths of about 0.14 km s$^{-1}$ occurs between L183-N2 and the northern part of the core containing L183-N1 and 3. The broadest linewidth along this ridge occurs between L183-N1 and L183-N3. This structure can be attributed to blending between the separate velocities of L183-N1 and 3.

A typical observed spectral line can be decomposed into nonthermal $\Delta V_{NT}$ and thermal $\Delta V_T$ components where the total linewidth is $\Delta V^2 = \Delta V_{NT}^2 + \Delta V_T^2$. The thermal line width is given by $\Delta V_T = \sqrt{8 \ln 2} \cdot c_s \sigma_{NT}$. The sound speed is given by $c_s^2 = kT_K / \mu m_H$, where $T_K$ is the kinetic temperature, $m_H$ is the mass of a hydrogen atom, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $\mu$ is the mean particle mass in units of atomic mass number (for N$_2$H$^+$ this is 29).

From the above we can estimate the nonthermal component from knowledge of the local sound speed, but we first need an estimate of the kinetic temperature. We have previously estimated the dust temperature in L183, using a graybody fit to the extended infrared and submillimeter emission (in a 150 arcsec diameter aperture) centered on the dust peak, and found a value of 10 K (Ward-Thompson et al. 2002). If this were also the kinetic temperature of the gas, then the thermal linewidth would be 0.126 km s$^{-1}$, and the nonthermal linewidth would be zero across the core.

However, radiative transfer studies have shown that the extinction within prestellar cores can support very low temperatures at the center (as low as ~ 7 K) with the temperature increasing radially outward (Evans et al. 2001; Zucconi et al.)
Figure 2. Channel map across 2.15–2.72 km s$^{-1}$ of the N$_2$H$^+$ 101–012 hyperfine component toward L183. The channel width is 0.039 km s$^{-1}$. The velocity of each channel is shown in the top-left corner of each panel. The contours are in increments of 0.156 Jy beam$^{-1}$ (0.169 K) with the dashed contours mirroring negative values of the positive solid contours. The stars are as in Figure 1, but only the stars in the 2.13 km s$^{-1}$ panel are labeled. The contour spacing is 1.5 times the off-source rms of 0.104 Jy beam$^{-1}$.

2001; Stamatellos & Whitworth 2003). In this scenario the dust observations give a mean temperature, but the temperature in the densest central parts, as probed by the current observations, is usually lower (Stamatellos & Whitworth 2003).

Another way to calculate the temperature is to use the relative strength of different molecular transitions. Daniel et al. (2007) and Pagani et al. (2007) recently did this for higher quantum number transitions of N$_2$H$^+$ and its deuterated isotopologue. The Pagani et al. (2007) model found an internal temperature of 7 K. The Daniel et al. (2007) model found an internal temperature of 8K within a central radius of 20 arcsec. So we adopt the kinetic temperature of $T_K = 7$ K. From this we calculate a
thermal linewidth of $\Delta V_T = 0.105$ km s$^{-1}$ and the nonthermal linewidths listed in Column 8 of Table 2. A kinetic temperature of $T_K = 8$ K would give a $\Delta V_T = 0.112$ km s$^{-1}$.

Alternatively, we can calculate $V_{\text{rms}}$, the plane of the sky variation of $V_{\text{lsr}}$, under the assumption that it is produced by $\Delta V_{NT}$. This will be a measure of $\Delta V_{NT}$, but will not necessarily be equal to it. We calculate $V_{\text{rms}}$ by calculating the root-mean-square of the radial velocity in a $5 \times 5$ pixel box centered on each of the three peaks ($\sim 30 \times 30$ arcsec). These values are listed in Column 9 of Table 2.

The value of $V_{\text{rms}}$ for L183-N2 is lower than for the other two sources. This might be expected from a study of Figure 5, in which it can be seen that the values of $V_{\text{lsr}}$ appear fairly uniform across L183-N2. In the case of L183-N1 the mean values of $\Delta V_{NT}$ and $V_{\text{rms}}$ only differ by a few ms$^{-1}$. The variation is slightly greater for L183-N2 and 3, but for all three sources the mean of $\Delta V_{NT}$ and $V_{\text{rms}}$ is about 0.05–0.06 kms$^{-1}$.

Particularly narrow linewidths are measured along the southern edge of the L183-N2 core. To the south of L183-N2 the total linewidth drops to $81 \pm 6$ m s$^{-1}$. If this were purely thermal it would imply a temperature of $T_K = 4$ K. However, we note that this is the region of highest optical depth (see next section), and so we may not be sampling the whole cloud along this line of sight.

4.3. Optical Depths

A map of the optical depth is shown in Figure 6(b). Column 6 of Table 2 lists the total optical depth summed over the seven hyperfine lines. The optical depth for any single line is equal to the total optical depth multiplied by the fractional strength of that line. As no single line contributes more than 25% to the total opacity, all lines (Daniel et al. 2006) will be optically thin for a value of $\tau < 4$.

The two northern sources have total optical depths of order one-third while the southern source has an optical depth closer to two. The relatively low values of optical depth compared to earlier studies (20.3; Crapsi et al. 2005a) are due to the
Figure 5. Map of radial velocity. The overlaid contours are the integrated contours from Figure 1. The range of the velocities is shown by the bar at the top of the plot. The three labeled stars show the positions listed in Table 2. The plot is annotated with a 0.01 pc linear scale bar and a black-line showing the orientation of the plane-of-the-sky magnetic field as measured by Crutcher et al. (2004). The vectors show the local gradient of the V_{lsr}. The length of the vectors shows the size and direction of the velocity gradient with 1 arcsec equal to 1 km s^{-1} pc^{-1}.

interferometric process resolving away the greater part of the extended material across this core. The only part that may be optically thick is the extreme southern edge.

4.4. Temperatures

The MIRIAD data set was calibrated in Jy beam^{-1}. For the CLASS hfs fitting a conversion factor of 1.07 Jy beam^{-1} K^{-1} was used to covert the extracted spectra into units of main beam temperature T_{MB}. Figure 6(c) shows the distribution of fitted T_{MB} across the core. It is notable that the pattern of T_{MB} does correlate exactly with that of the integrated intensity. The intensity valley that separates L183-N3 from L183-N1 and 2 is more pronounced. This corresponds to the northwestern edge of the emission in the 2.44 km s^{-1} channel map and the southeastern edge of emission in the 2.60 km s^{-1} channel map.

The CLASS hfs routine returns the parameter \( \chi = T_{MB} \times \tau \). Thus the quoted T_{MB} error contains error contributions from the fit of \( \chi \) and \( \tau \). These uncertainties also reflect the difficulty in fitting the height of the line when there are only a few channels across the peak. We can calculate the excitation temperature from the equation

\[
T_{MB} = \frac{\chi}{\tau} = (T_{ex} - T_{bg})(1 - e^{-\tau})
\]

where \( T_{bg} \) is the background temperature and is assumed to be equal to the cosmic microwave background (2.73 K).

Figure 6(d) shows a map of T_{ex}. The values for T_{MB} and T_{ex} at the L183-N peaks are listed in Columns 7 and 10 of Table 2. Both temperatures show a distinct increase in the region of L183-N1 where the excitation temperature is approximately equal to our assumed kinetic temperature. The CLASS hfs fitting assumes an equal excitation temperature for all hyperfine components, however, radiative transfer modeling has shown that the temperatures of the separate components can vary by up to 15% (Caselli et al. 1995; Pagani et al. 2007; Daniel et al. 2007). This effect is negligible for our purposes as we are primarily interested in the kinematics and we are in a low optical depth regime.

4.5. Velocity Gradients

Figure 5 shows velocity gradient vectors. These vectors are coherent across the northern half of the core—a pattern that can be interpreted as rotation. To investigate this further we compute the mean velocity gradient \( \mathcal{G} \) over a 5 by 5 pixel box and the direction of the mean gradient for each of the L183-N sources. These parameters and their standard deviations are listed in Columns 11 and 12 of Table 2. The vectors plotted in Figure 5 have a cutoff of \( \mathcal{G} > 2 \) km s^{-1} pc^{-1}, but all nonzero values were used for the calculation of \( \mathcal{G} \).

Both L183-N1 and L183-N3 have similar magnitudes and directions of velocity gradient vectors. The large standard deviation for the magnitude of the L183-N3 gradient is due
to the large scatter in the gradient vectors, whereas for L183-N1 the large deviation is in part due to an increase in the gradient from the east to the west side of the core. The motions across L183-N2 show no ordered direction and the mean position angle is not statistically significant.

Caselli et al. (2002) and Crapsi et al. (2005a) use single dish N$_2$H$^+$ observations to reconstruct the large-scale velocity field across the L183 core. Caselli et al. (2002) fitted a velocity gradient of 1.19 ± 0.08 km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$ at a position angle of −55° ± 3°, while Crapsi et al. (2005a) fitted a gradient of 1.4 ± 0.1 km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$ at a position angle of −49° ± 1°.

Pagani et al. (2007) used the IRAM 30 m telescope to measure N$_2$H$^+$ emission at 12 arcsec intervals in an EW strip across the filament. They noted a small anti-symmetric velocity shift of a few tens of km s$^{-1}$ at distances further than ±30 arcsec from the center of the core. This gives a large-scale velocity gradient, for the cloud as a whole, of 1.2 km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$, which is comparable to the other single dish results quoted above.

The direction of the large scale velocity field as mapped by the single dish observations is consistent with the direction seen in our interferometric measurements. Indeed, the region where we see no gradient over L183-N2 is consistent with a region of very small vectors in Figure 15 of Crapsi et al. (2005a), and the vectors across the northern part of the core are also in broad agreement.

While the direction is consistent, the magnitudes of the interferometric and single dish velocity fields appear to differ considerably. To check our gradient calculation we can work out the gradient just between L183-N2 and L183-N3 using the data in Table 2. The velocity difference between L183-N2 and L183-N3 is 0.173 km s$^{-1}$ and the projected separation between them is 0.018 pc. This gives a velocity gradient between them of ∼ 10 km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$ which is consistent with the ∼ 8.9 km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$ measured around L183-N3.

It appears that the single dish observations are sensitive to a large-scale, low-magnitude velocity gradient while the interferometric studies are sensitive to a smaller-scale, larger-magnitude gradient. Yet the direction of the gradients on the small scales is consistent with the large-scale gradients.

The mean direction of the magnetic field in the plane of the sky observed by Crutcher et al. (2004) is 28° ± 2°, which is roughly orthogonal (85°–102°) to the velocity gradient vectors in Figure 5 and as observed by both Caselli et al. (2002) and Crapsi et al. (2005a).

4.6. Comparison with Single Dish Observations

The left-hand panel of Figure 7 shows the 5.6 arcsec pixel data smoothed spatially to the resolution of a 30 m single antenna telescope. Our BIMA FWHM at 3.22 mm was 13 × 10 arcsec, the FWHM of a 30 m telescope (e.g., the IRAM dish) at the same wavelength is 27 arcsec. We use the term “30m-Beam” to note when we are referring to the smoothed equivalent of a 30 m single-dish beam. The smoothed map was multiplied by a factor of a 5.6, the ratio of the 30m-Beam to BIMA beam integrals, in order to give a map calibrated in Jy/30m-Beam. The conversion factor between Jy/30m-Beam and K becomes 0.191 K/(Jy/30m-Beam). The smoothed map shows that the multiple peaks we detect with the BIMA interferometer would not have been resolved in integrated emission if the observations had been conducted with a 30 m diameter single dish telescope.

While the L183-N1, 2, and 3 substructure may not have been seen in integrated intensity maps, it could theoretically have been resolved in channel maps. However, the Pagani et al. (2007) observations were along an east-west slice through L183-N2 and do not comprise a fully sampled map. Furthermore, the Dickens et al. (2000) and Caselli et al. (2002) N$_2$H$^+$ observations of L183 were taken with the FCRAO which has an even lower resolution (50 arcsec) beam at this wavelength. Nevertheless, Figure 2 of Dickens et al. (2000) shows an offset in the distribution of N$_2$H$^+$ in the 2.4–2.6 km s$^{-1}$ and 2.6–2.8 km s$^{-1}$ channels that is comparable to us seeing higher velocity material to the west of the core. Caselli et al. (2002) show a comparable vector diagram, albeit over a larger area, but they do not show channel maps.

The (12′′, 0′′) position from Figure 1 of Pagani et al. (2007) (15′′×44′′ for L183-N2) is within the same 5.6 arcsec pixel as our L183-N2 position. We repeated the $h$ fitting for the smoothed data at that location. The results are shown in the right-hand panel of Figure 7. The fitted $V_{lsr}$ for the smoothed data is 2.410 ± 0.002 km s$^{-1}$ which is comparable to that fitted to the unsmoothed data.

The linewidth $\Delta V$ becomes 0.111 ± 0.004 km s$^{-1}$ which is narrower than the value fitted to the unsmoothed data. L183-N2 is at the systemic velocity of L183, is extended spatially, and shows no velocity structure. Therefore spatially smoothing the wings of the emission (e.g., channels 2.29 and 2.52 km s$^{-1}$ in Figure 2) would move flux out of the beam whereas smoothing the extended emission in channels near the line center (e.g., channels 2.40 and 2.44 km s$^{-1}$ in Figure 2) would bring more flux into the beam. This differential effect will naturally cause the linewidth to narrow. The opacity becomes $\tau = 3.2 \pm 0.6$, which is higher than for the unsmoothed data, but is still substantially lower than reported for single-dish observations.

The line temperature for our smoothed L183-N2 position was fitted as $T_{MB} = 0.4 \pm 0.1$ K which agrees with our fit to the unsmoothed data at that position. However, inspection of Figure 7 shows that the fit has been unable to recover the full extent of the line temperature. Daniel et al. (2007) and Pagani et al. (2007) respectively published spectra toward L183 with $T_A^*$ and $T_R^*$ values of 1.5–2.0 K. The (12′′, 0′′) position of Pagani et al. (2007) had a peak $T_R^* \sim 1.8$ K. Even allowing for the BIMA main beam efficiency (83%; Lugten 1995) our line temperatures are only about a quarter of those found in the single dish observations. This agrees with the result of our optical depth fitting to the unsmoothed data. A substantial fraction, possibly 75%, of the extended N$_2$H$^+$ emission from L183 is being resolved away by the interferometric process. It is this process that has revealed the clumps buried within the extended L183 core.

5. DISCUSSION

We have studied the prestellar core L183 with high-resolution BIMA interferometric observations, and have seen that the core breaks up into three separate clumps, which we have labeled L183-N1, 2, and 3. The clump L183-N2 is roughly coincident with the densest central part of L183. Furthermore, the velocity of L183-N2 is exactly that of the systemic velocity of the whole of L183. We therefore deduce that L183-N2 is at the center of L183, and that the L183 core has formed two further fragments, L183-N1 and 3.

The virial mass for a uniform density, turbulent, rotating molecular cloud threaded by a uniform magnetic field can be written as $M_{vir} = M_{pl} + M_B + M_G$ where the three terms on the right-hand side represent the turbulent and thermal virial mass, the magnetic critical mass, and the kinematic mass of the system.
from Kepler’s third law. This can be written as

$$M_{\text{vir}} = 210 \Delta V^2 r + 9.3 B r^2 + 233 G^2 r^3 M_\odot$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $\Delta V$ is the linewidth in km s$^{-1}$, $r$ is the radius of the cloud in parsec, $B$ is the magnetic field strength in $\mu$G, and $G$ is the velocity gradient due to rotation in km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$. Here we have used the expression for $M_{\text{vir}}$ from MacLaren et al. (1988). Crutcher et al. (2004) used the Chandrasekhar–Fermi method to estimate a field strength of $B = 80$ $\mu$G toward L183. The approximate radius of L183-N1 and L183-N2 is $r \sim 0.01$ pc and the radius of L183-N3 is $r \sim 0.005$ pc. Based on these values and the parameters listed in Table 2 we estimate $M_{\text{vir}} \sim 0.1 M_\odot$ for L183-N1 and 2 and $M_{\text{vir}} \sim 0.03 M_\odot$ for L183-N3.

For a virialized system the virial mass $M_{\text{vir}}$ will be balanced by the actual mass of the fragment $M_{\text{clump}}$ plus a surface term $M_r$ due to a confining medium. The material we have resolved away will act as this surface pressure term. By equating an expression for the energy in a confining isothermal pressure to the standard formula for gravitational potential energy it can be shown that $M_P = 316 r^2 \sqrt{n_4 T_10}$, where $n_4$ is the number density of the confining medium in units of $10^4$ cm$^{-2}$ and $T_10$ is the gas temperature in units of 10 K. Using $n_4 = 100$ from Kirk et al. (2005) and our assumed gas temperature of 7 K we estimate $M_P \sim 0.3 M_\odot$ for L183-N1 and 2 and $M_P \sim 0.07 M_\odot$ for L183-N3. In each case the value of $M_P$ is 2–3 times greater than the value of $M_{\text{vir}}$. Even without estimating the actual mass of these fragments, we can see that they are each pressure confined and will probably each collapse to form a protostar.

$Spitzer$ archival data of L183 was checked for the presence of a young stellar object (YSO). No YSO candidates were identified toward L183 based on the selection criteria (Harvey et al. 2007; Rebull et al. 2007; Harvey et al. 2008) used by the $Spitzer$ c2d (Evans et al. 2003) and Gould Belt (L. Allen et al. 2009, in preparation) legacy surveys. There are no Two Micron All Sky Survey sources within the L183 fields shown in this paper. We therefore confirm that L183 and each of the L183-N clumps are prestellar in nature and do not contain embedded YSOs.

The large-scale structure of L183 is elongated approximately north–south at a position angle of $\sim -20^\circ$. Crutcher et al. (2004) gave the position angle of the long-axis of the SCUBA emission as $\sim -15^\circ$. These position angles are consistent with the elongation orientation of L183-N2. We have examined the detailed velocity structure of the core and found that L183-N1 and 3 each have velocities slightly offset from that of L183-N2—one positive and one negative. Furthermore, there is a velocity gradient in the general direction from L183-N1 to L183-N3 of $\sim 6$–9 km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$, over a separation of $\sim 0.016$ pc (see Table 2). We refer to this hereafter as the small-scale velocity gradient.

The mean direction of the small-scale velocity gradient across the entire core is $-60^\circ \pm 62^\circ$. However, the mean direction for “high-velocity vectors” (defined as those vectors with $V_{\text{lsr}}$ greater than 0.1 km s$^{-1}$ away from the systemic velocity, i.e., $|V_{\text{lsr}} - 2.415| > 0.1$ km s$^{-1}$) is $-72^\circ \pm 2^\circ$. The high-velocity vectors have a more coherent direction than vectors with a velocity close to the systemic velocity. The mean direction for the subset of high-velocity vectors in L183-N1 is $-68^\circ \pm 30^\circ$ (nine vectors), and for L183-N3 is $-79^\circ \pm 5^\circ$ (five vectors). These directions are measured over the same areas used in Section 4.5.

Therefore the axis of rotation for the small-scale gradient is $+22^\circ \pm 30^\circ$ for L183-N1 and $+11^\circ \pm 5^\circ$ for L183-N3, assuming that the axis is orthogonal to the direction of the velocity gradient. We note that the axis of rotation given by the mean of all 14 high-velocity vectors in L183-N1 and N3 is $+18^\circ \pm 19^\circ$. This is approximately parallel to the direction of the magnetic field across L183 ($+28^\circ \pm 2^\circ$, Crutcher et al. 2004), but is at an angle of $\sim 33^\circ$ to the core long axis. This is indicating that perhaps the magnetic field may be playing an important role in the evolution of L183. It also indicates that, for L183, the axis of rotation shares the same offset from the orientation of the cloud as is found for the magnetic fields in other prestellar cores (Crutcher et al. 2004; Kirk et al. 2006; Ward-Thompson et al. 2009).
We therefore interpret our data of L183 as a rotating elongated filamentary core, whose rotation axis roughly coincides with its magnetic field direction. L183-N2 is on-axis, and therefore essentially stationary. L183-N1 and 3 lie either side of the rotation axis and have similar magnitude line-of-sight velocities in opposite directions. The small-scale velocity gradient therefore tells us about the rotation near the center of L183.

On the larger scale of the L183 prestellar core as a whole, previous single-dish work by Crapsi et al. (2005a) has found a core 0.09 × 0.05 pc in extent; see their Figure 15. These authors also saw a velocity gradient roughly parallel to the gradient we observed, but on a larger spatial scale. They see a gradient of 1.4 km s^{-1} pc^{-1}, over a scale of 0.05 pc. We refer to this hereafter as the large-scale velocity gradient.

The ratio of the spatial scales of the large-scale and small-scale velocity gradients is 0.05/0.016 ∼ 3. The ratio of the velocity gradients themselves is (6–9)/1.4 ∼ 4–6. Given the uncertainties in all of the foregoing estimates and calculations, the agreement of these two ratios to within a factor of two is very interesting. This is exactly what would be predicted by the law of conservation of angular momentum if L183 were collapsing and spinning up as it collapses.

We therefore hypothesize that all of our data of L183 can be explained in terms of a collapsing, fragmenting elongated core, that is rotating about the magnetic field direction and spinning up as it collapses. We note finally that our hypothesis is consistent with the single-dish data of both Crapsi et al. (2005a) and Pagani et al. (2007), whose large-scale velocity vectors also appear to increase in magnitude away from the center of L183. Thus our hypothesis is also consistent with previous data of L183.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have used BIMA to make deep N$_2$H$^+$ (1–0) maps of the prestellar core L183. The interferometric process resolved away the majority of the extended N$_2$H$^+$ emission and revealed three clumps which we have labeled L183-N1, 2 & 3. L183-N2 is at the established systemic velocity of L183 and is coincident with the position of the peak of the dust emission. We detect a velocity gradient across the core. The direction of the gradient is consistent with previously published lower resolution studies, but its magnitude is larger than previously seen. We interpret this pattern as the spin-up and fragmentation of a rotating and collapsing prestellar core.
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