Flicker-induced retinal vascular dilation in ipsi- and contralateral eyes of patients with carotid stenosis before and after carotid endarterectomy: a prospective study
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ABSTRACT.

Purpose: Retinal vascular function was assessed in patients with carotid stenosis (CS) before and six months after carotid endarterectomy (CEA) and in controls at a six-month interval.

Methods: We studied 68 patients (81% male, mean age 69) and 41 healthy non-medicated controls (77%, 68) from March 2015 to December 2018. Our ophthalmological examination included flicker-induced arteriolar and venular measurements with a Dynamic Vessel Analyser in both eyes.

Results: At baseline, flicker-induced arteriolar and venular dilation was reduced in the ipsilateral eyes of the patients compared with dilation in the controls (arteriolar 1.0% versus 2.6%, \( p = 0.001 \) and venular 2.2% versus 2.8%, \( p = 0.049 \)). These differences subsided after CEA. In patients’ ipsilateral eyes, flicker-induced arteriolar dilation was borderline postoperatively (preoperative 1.0% versus postoperative 1.6%, \( p = 0.06 \)), whereas venular dilation increased (2.2% versus 2.8%, \( p = 0.025 \)). We found various tentative associations with the change in flicker-induced dilations after CEA, but not with the preoperative dilations.

Conclusions: Postoperative recovery of the reduced flicker-induced arteriolar and venular dilation in the ipsilateral eye shows that, after CEA, the activity-dependent vascular reactivity of haemodynamically compromised retinal tissue can improve.
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Introduction

The major aetiology of carotid stenosis is atherosclerosis, and the earliest manifestation of an atherosclerotic lesion, endothelial dysfunction, leads to abnormal platelet aggregation and increased vascular permeability (Gimbrone & Garcia-Cardena 2016), with important implications for regulation of the microcirculation. The retinal microvasculature may reveal pathology in the brain; increased risk of stroke has been linked to smaller arteriovenous ratio (AVR) (Wong et al. 2001), to larger venular calibre (Ikram et al. 2006), and in patients with type 2 diabetes, also to smaller arteriolar calibre (Klein et al. 2007). Characteristics shared by the retinal and cerebral vasculature, ones such as local activity-dependent autoregulation, provide a compelling opportunity to assess retinal arteriolar and venular microcirculation in vivo (Liew et al. 2008).

The possibility to measure retinal vessel diameters and reactions has resulted from developments in dynamic retinal vessel analysis (Garhofer et al. 2010). A commercially available Dynamic Vessel Analyser (DVA) uses flicker light as a physiological provocation to investigate alterations in retinal vascular diameters (Dorner et al. 2003; Garhofer et al. 2010).
Evidence exists of reduced flicker-induced retinal vasodilation in diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension, hyperlipidaemia and obesity; all known risk factors for cardiovascular disease (Lim et al. 2013). A weak correlation is evident between flicker-induced retinal vasodilation and brachial arterial flow-mediated dilatation (FMD) (Pemp et al. 2009). FMD represents the gold standard in clinical assessment of endothelial function in the macrocirculation (Deanfield et al. 2007).

After successful carotid endarterectomy (CEA), ocular circulation increases (Geroulakos et al. 1996; Riihelainen et al. 1997; Wong et al. 1998; Cohn et al. 1999; Costa et al. 1999; Kawaguchi et al. 2012). Postoperatively, improvement in neuroretinal function appears in measurements such as the electroretinogram (ERG) (Machalińska et al. 2017), visual field (Qu et al. 2015) and dark adaptometry (Havelius et al. 1997). We are aware of only one report with DVA applied to assess retinal circulation in asymptomatic carotid stenosis (CS) patients undergoing CEA (Machalińska et al. 2019), and it found reduced venular, but not arteriolar, vasodilation preoperatively in patients compared with controls, with no change in vascular reactions three months after CEA.

In the current study, we evaluated flicker-induced retinal vasodilation with DVA. Our hypothesis was that (1) arteriolar and venular flicker-induced vasodilatation is less pronounced in CS patients before surgery than in controls, and (2) after successful CEA, flicker-induced retinal vasodilation increases. We also explored the potential associations between vascular reactions and clinical features.

Methods

Study design

Our prospective non-randomized case control study, the Helsinki Carotid Endarterectomy Study-Brain and Eye SubStudy (HeCES-BEST) (Nuotio et al. 2018), is a multidisciplinary collaboration including ophthalmologists, neurologists, neuroradiologists, vascular surgeons and neuropsychologists to assess findings in the brain and eye before CEA and six months after CEA. We examined 71 eligible patients and 42 healthy controls between March 2015 and December 2018 in Helsinki University Hospital, Finland, including patients who had CS of 70% or more in their first computed tomography angiography (CTA) evaluation. Part of the data concerning this same cohort has been published earlier (Ala-Kauhaluoma et al. 2020; Ala-Kauhaluoma et al. 2021).

We excluded patients with a recent (<6 months) cerebral infarction and excluded the DVA data of participants who had used caffeine or nicotine within 3 hours prior to the examination. Unmedicated age- and sex-matched healthy controls we recruited from senior and exercise clubs, and among hospital staff, relatives and friends. The ethics committee of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa approved our study, which complies with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave their written informed consent.

Patients and controls

We examined both eyes of 68 patients after excluding 3 patients: one with recent stroke, one lacking co-operation during DVA measurements due to light sensitivity and one (who was lost to follow-up), for caffeine intake and cigarette smoking two hours prior to the DVA examination at baseline examination. After our exclusion of one control with newly diagnosed cancer, 41 controls underwent an eye examination, with a randomly chosen eye serving as the control for the patient’s ipsilateral and the other eye as for the contralateral eye.

Patients underwent surgery a median of 2 (range, 1–80) days after baseline examination, the longest delay being due to severe anaemia needing investigation. Altogether, 11 patients (16%) had undergone contralateral CEA at a median of 0.6 (range, 0.2–17.2) years before ipsilateral CEA, and 8 patients (12%) underwent contralateral CEA during follow-up at a median of 2.0 (range, 1.1–3.7) months after ipsilateral CEA. The second evaluation was done at a median of 5.9 (range, 5.3–8.6) months after ipsilateral CEA. We lost six (9%) patients to follow-up: three withdrew, two did not respond to our invitation, and one was not invited, because carotid ligation was performed, due to occlusion. Controls we examined twice at a median of 6.9 (range, 4.8–6.9) months interval.

Sources of patient data were clinical records, our research assistants’ interviews, a questionnaire completed by the patients and blood samples collected at baseline.

A novel diagnosis of dyslipidaemia resulted if low-density lipoprotein (LDL) level exceeded 3 mmol/l. We measured blood pressure once, immediately before DVA examination, with an automatic sphygmomanometer (Omron, Omron Healthcare, Kyoto, Japan), while the patient was in a sitting position. We calculated mean arterial pressure (MAP) with the formula: MAP = [Diastolic blood pressure (DBP)] + 0.412 × [Systolic blood pressure (SBP)] – DBP (Papaioannou et al. 2016).

Table 1 shows that patients and controls were similar regarding age, gender, SBP and triglyceride concentrations. Differences between the two groups were in regard to smoking, BMI, DBP, MAP, and to level of cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and LDL. Of all patients, 63 (93%) used statins. Symptoms related to CS included amaurosis fugax (Afx) in 28 (41%), hemispheric TIA in 17 (25%), stroke (six months earlier) in one (1.5%), neovascular glaucoma (NVG) in one (1.5%), branch retinal artery occlusion (BRAO) in one (1.5%) and ocular ischaemic syndrome (OIS) with reduced VA in one (1.5%; 19 (28%) were asymptomatic.

We analysed the grade of CS according to the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) method with CTA (Barnett et al. 1991). A subtle near-occlusion (NO-s) we defined as a decrease of 1.0 mm in distal luminal diameter beyond a tight stenosis; in full collapse of near-occlusion (NO-fs), the luminal reduction exceeded 2.5 mm (Koskinen et al. 2017; Meershoek et al. 2018). Ipsilateral CS was <70% in 6 patients (9%), 70%–99% in 28 (41%), NO-s in 27 (40%), NO-fs in 6 (9%) and totally occluded in one (1%). Contra lateral CS was <70% in 55 patients (81%) and 70 to 99% in 7 (10%), NO-s in 2 (3%), NO-fs in one (1%) and totally occluded in 3 (4%). We used carotid ultrasonography to rule out CS in our controls.

Ophthalmological examination

We conducted comprehensive eye examinations including best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) obtained with ETDRS charts scored in logMAR units and intraocular pressure (IOP)
measurement with a rebound tonometer (Icare TA01i Tonometer, Icare Finland OY, Vantaa, Finland).

After pupillary dilation with tropicamide, we performed anterior and posterior bio-microscopy. We utilized a Zeiss FF450plus fundus camera (Carl Zeiss, Finland OY, Vantaa, Finland). We performed anterior and posterior (Icare TA01i Tonometer, Icare Engineering, Armonk, NY). Statistical significance was set at 0.05.

Results

Patients and controls presented, both pre- and postoperatively, with normal median BCVA (logMAR 0 or better) and normal mean IOP (from 13 to 15 mmHg) (data not shown).

Median flicker-induced retinal vasodilation

Preoperatively, arteriolar and venular dilations were reduced in the ipsilateral eyes of the patients compared with dilations in the controls (median 1.0% versus 2.6% and median 2.2% versus 2.8%, \( p = 0.001 \) and \( p = 0.049 \), Mann–Whitney \( U \)-test, Fig. 1). Arteriolar and venular dilations were similar between the ipsi- and contralateral eyes of the patients and between the eyes of the controls.

Postoperatively, arteriolar dilation showed a trend towards an increase in the patients’ ipsilateral eyes (median 1.0% versus 1.6%, \( p = 0.06 \), Wilcoxon signed-rank test, Fig. 1), but remained unchanged in the patients’ contralateral eyes, and at follow-up in the eyes of the controls. After CEA, venular dilation increased in the patients’ ipsilateral eyes (2.3% versus 2.8%, \( p = 0.025 \)), but remained unchanged in the patients’ contralateral eyes, and at follow-up in the eyes of the controls.

Postoperatively, arteriolar or venular dilations in patients did not differ from dilations in the follow-up examinations of the controls.

When we excluded 13 contralateral eyes of patients with contralateral CS ≥70%, we found one change compared with data in Fig. 1: preoperative venular dilation was decreased in the ipsi- versus contralateral eyes of the patients (median 2.3%, IQR 0.9–3.0, \( n = 52 \) versus median 2.4%, IQR 1.6–3.2, \( n = 51 \), \( p = 0.048 \), Wilcoxon signed-rank test).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics in patients with carotid stenosis versus controls.

|                     | Patients N = 68 | Controls N = 41 | p-value |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Age, years          | 69 (7)         | 68 (5)         | 0.40*   |
| Gender, male        | 55 (81%)       | 31 (76%)       | 0.51**  |
| Smoking             | 23 (34%)       | 2 (5%)         | <0.001**|
| Diabetes mellitus   | 22 (32%)       | 0              | <0.001**|
| Systemic hypertension*** | 57 (84%)   | 0              | <0.001**|
| Dyslipidemia        | 64 (94%)       | 33 (80%)       | 0.025** |
| Coronary artery disease | 22 (32%) | 0              | <0.001**|
| BMI, kg/m           | 28 (5)         | 25 (3)         | 0.002*  |
| SBP, mmHg           | 142 (20)       | 148 (17)       | 0.09*   |
| DBP, mmHg           | 78 (12)        | 85 (10)        | <0.001* |
| MAP, mmHg           | 104 (13)       | 111 (12)       | 0.006*  |
| Cholesterol, mmol/L | 3.8 (1.1)      | 5.4 (0.9)      | <0.001* |
| HDL, mmol/L         | 1.3 (0.4)      | 1.6 (0.5)      | 0.001*  |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L | 1.3 (0.6)     | 1.2 (0.8)      | 0.70*   |
| LDL, mmol/L         | 2.2 (1.1)      | 3.6 (0.7)      | <0.001* |

Data presented in mean (SD) or number (%).

BMI = body mass index, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, MAP = mean arterial pressure, LDL = low-density lipoprotein, SD = standard deviation, SBP = systolic blood pressure.

*Two-sampler test.

**Chi-square test.

***Fisher’s exact test.

****Systemic hypertension diagnosed and medicated.
Associations with flicker-induced retinal vasodilation preoperatively and with change in dilation postoperatively

Preoperatively, smoking, DM, systemic hypertension, dyslipidemia, levels of lipoproteins, coronary artery disease (CAD), ocular signs of CS, symptoms related to CS and grade of CS, age, body mass index (BMI), SBP, DBP, MAP, AVR, CRAE, CRVE or SFCT showed no associations with retinal vasodilation in the patients. In the controls, we found an inverse correlation between venular dilation and age in the ipsilateral ($r = -0.32; p = 0.046$, Spearman rank correlation), but not in the contralateral eyes ($r = -0.09; p = 0.61$).

Patients with CAD showed decreased venular dilation postoperatively in the ipsilateral eyes ($p = 0.25$, Mann–Whitney U-test) and increased arteriolar dilation postoperatively in the contralateral eyes ($p = 0.09$, $r = 0.30; p = 0.041$), and a negative correlation with triglycerides in the contralateral eyes of patients ($r = -0.31; p = 0.038$), but this was not evident in the controls.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to show (1) reduced flicker-induced dilation in both retinal arterioles and venules in the ipsilateral eyes of CS patients compared with dilation in controls before CEA, (2) this difference subsiding 6 months after CEA and (3) an increase in venular reactions after CEA. These postoperative findings indicate the capacity of retinal vascular reaction to improve after CEA. Change in retinal vasodilation after CEA was associated, mostly negatively, with CAD, systemic hypertension, AVR...
Table 2. Clinical associations with the change in flicker-induced arteriolar and venular vasodilation 6 months after carotid endarterectomy (CEA) in ipsi- and contralateral eyes in 68 patients with carotid stenosis (CS).

| Smoking          | Ipsilateral Change, % | p | Contralateral Change, % | p |
|------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| Yes              | 13 (–0.4, 4.5)         | 0.20* | 13 (–1.8, 1.6) | 0.90* | 13 (–0.1, 2.7) | 0.08* | 14 (–0.9, 2.8) | 0.12* |
| No               | 32 (–1.4, 2.1)         |     | 31 (–1.6, 0.7)        |     | 33 (–1.1, 1.5) |     | 32 (–0.7, 1.2) |     |
| Diabetes         |                        |     |                        |     |
| Yes              | 15 (–0.5, 5.2)         | 0.09* | 17 (–1.9, 0.2)        | 0.28* | 15 (1.2, 1.6) | 0.64* | 17 (1.0, 1.6) | 0.08* |
| No               | 30 (–1.3, 1.6)         |     | 27 (–1.7, 1.2)        |     | 31 (–0.7, 2.0) |     | 29 (–1.1, 0.8) |     |
| Systemic hypertensive |                  |     |                        |     |
| Yes              | 37 (–1.1, 2.6)         | 0.94* | 36 (–2.1, 0.1)        | 0.018* | 38 (0.7, 1.9) | 0.74* | 38 (0.1, 1.1) | 0.45* |
| No               | 8 (–1.1, 2.1)          |     | 8 (–0.4, 4.0)         |     | 8 (–0.9, 1.2) |     | 8 (–0.3, 1.3) |     |
| Dyslipidemia     |                        |     |                        |     |
| Yes              | 43 (–1.0, 2.4)         | 0.88* | 43 (–1.7, 0.7)        | 0.40* | 44 (0.6, 2.0) | 0.66* | 44 (–0.5, 1.1) | 0.53* |
| No               | 2 (–1.4, –1.3)         |     | 1                  |     | 2 (0.1, –1.0) |     | 2 (–2.4, –5.7) |     |
| Coronary artery disease |            |     |                        |     |
| Yes              | 14 (–1.1, 1.6)         | 0.93* | 11 (–0.3, 4.6)        | 0.008* | 14 (–0.2, 1.3) | 0.004* | 13 (–0.5, 1.1) | 0.53* |
| No               | 31 (–1.2, 2.8)         |     | 33 (–2.1, 0.1)        |     | 32 (0.2, 1.4) |     | 33 (0.2, 1.0) |     |
| Symptoms related to CS |            |     |                        |     |
| Yes              | 30 (–1.2, 3.2)         | 0.52* | 29 (–2.1, 0)          | 0.001* | 31 (0.5, 1.6) | 0.79* | 31 (–0.1, 1.1) | 0.74* |
| No               | 15 (–0.5, 1.4)         |     | 15 (–0.4, 4.4)        |     | 15 (0.6, 2.0) |     | 15 (0.3, 1.0) |     |
| Ocular signs of CS |                        |     |                        |     |
| Plaque related   | 3 (–2.4, –1.4)         | 0.85** | 2 (–3.4, –)          | 0.09** | 3 (0.6, –1.2, –) | 0.59** | 2 (–1.6, –3.0, –) | 0.31** |
| Hypoperfusion related |            |     |                        |     |
| None             | 7 (–1.6, 1.1)          |     | 8 (–0.3, 3.5)         |     | 7 (0.1, 2.1) |     | 8 (–0.5, 1.3) |     |
| Grade of CS***   |                        |     |                        |     |
| < 70%            | 3 (–0.6, –)            | 0.24** | 38 (–1.8, 0.9)        | 0.28** | 3 (0.4, –) | 0.64* | 40 (0.1, 1.1) | 0.31** |
| 70–100%          | 20 (–1.8, 1.9)         |     | 4 (–1.3, –0.1)        |     | 21 (0.6, 0.7) |     | 4 (0.5, 1.8) |     |
| Near-occlusion   | 22 (–0.3, 3.1)         |     | 2 (–4, –)             |     | 22 (–1.0, 2.2) |     | 2 (–1.8, –2.9) |     |

Data presented in median (IQR).

n = number of Dynamic vessel analyser measurements.

*Mann–Whitney U-test.

**Kruskal–Wallis test.

***Grade of CS is based on the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) method.

and lipoprotein concentrations; more commonly this association occurred in the contralateral rather than the ipsilateral eye and more commonly in the flicker-induced arteriolar rather than venular dilations.

Retinal circulation, which is under local autoregulation and mediated by several vasoactive agents, aims to maintain adequate blood flow when physiological conditions (blood pressure and blood glucose concentration) and local metabolic demands vary (Riva et al. 1981; Kur et al. 2012; Newman 2013). We assume that microvascular function, including neovascular coupling, may be restricted in patients with CS due to their reduced ocular blood flow. Machalinska et al. found preoperative flicker-induced venular dilation to be reduced in both the ipsi- and contralateral eyes of patients compared with their controls (Machalinska et al. 2019). We, however, confirmed this only in the ipsilateral eyes when compared to controls, and both in the arteriolar and venular reactions (Fig. 1). In our patients, high-grade stenosis (≥70%) occurred in 91% in the ipsi-compared with 19% in the contralateral side. After excluding 13 contralateral eyes with contralateral CS ≥70%, we observed decreased preoperative flicker-induced venular dilation in the ipsi- versus contralateral eyes. It seems that the microvascular dysfunction in CS patients is dependent on the grade of CS.

Removal of atheromatous plaque in CEA prevents mechanical hindrance of blood flow and allows ocular blood flow to increase, depending on the capacity of retinal autoregulation. Such autoregulation is based on the function of the neurovascular unit: endothelial cells, neurons, glia, pericytes and smooth muscle cells (Kugler et al. 2021). Machalinska et al. found no increase in flicker-induced reactions 3 months after CEA, and their conclusion was that ‘microvascular dysfunction is long-lasting’. On the contrary, we found improvement in the flicker-induced venular vasodilation in the ipsilateral eyes 6 months after CEA. In line with that Machalinska group study, we, too, observed lack of dilation in the arterioles. The capacity of the arterioles to react is reduced by arteriolar sclerosis, accompanied by thickening of the arterial wall and thinning of smooth muscle, these giving way to fibrosis (Blevins et al. 2021). While higher AVR indicates less arteriolar sclerosis, we observed a greater
Figure 2. Scatter plot displaying the correlation between clinical features and the change (%) in (flicker-induced) arteriolar or venular dilation 6 months after CEA in ipsi- or contralateral eyes of patients. Postoperative data without eight patients who underwent CEA of the contralateral side during follow-up. AVR = arterio-venous ratio, BMI = body mass index, LDL = low-density lipoprotein.
change in arteriolar dilation after CEA to correlate, only in the contralateral eyes, with higher AVR. All in all, both arteriolar and venular reactions became comparable with reactions in the controls postoperatively. Our patients had several known risk indicators for endothelial dysfunction. Whether flicker-induced retinal vasodilation measures endothelial function, as earlier proposed (Lim et al. 2011), is unclear. It is worthwhile to notice that we found associations only with the change in flicker-induced reactions occurring after CEA, but not with their preoperative values. Arterial hypertension, both untreated and treated, has led to reduced flicker-induced arteriolar reactions (Nagel et al. 2004; Peregrud-Pogorzelska et al. 2020), and this has been apparent also in patients with CAD (Al-Fiadh et al. 2015). Although we found no correlation with blood pressure values, we did observe an association between decreased arteriolar dilation postoperatively in patients with systemic hypertension (diagnosed and medicated), and in patients with CAD, but both findings only occurred in the contralateral eyes. In our patients with CAD, this finding was true also in the venular reactions in the ipsilateral eyes.

Obese patients have shown reduced arteriolar dilation compared with dilation in the non-obese (Kotliar et al. 2011). We found only a positive correlation with BMI in ipsilateral eyes of patients, which may be due to chance variation as it was not supported by our other observations.

We also studied the correlation between retinal microvascular function and hyperlipidemia, which proved to be complex. The Reimann group (Reimann et al. 2009) found in their hypercholesterolemic patients that flicker-induced vasodilation in both retinal arterioles and venules was reduced. When treated once with LDL apheresis, however, the dilation in retinal venules improved (p = 0.013). Evidence also exists that microvascular function and oxidative stress are linked (Shokr et al. 2020), and this linkage is, in part, hyperlipidemia-mediated.

In our study, the reactions in the contralateral eye were as expected; a smaller change in arteriolar dilation after CEA correlated with higher concentrations of total cholesterol, LDL and triglycerides, whereas in the ipsilateral eye greater change in venular dilation after CEA correlated with higher concentrations of total cholesterol. Treatment by statins may be a confounder: excluding our four patients for whom a statin was prescribed within 2 weeks before CEA from postoperative data made every correlation between lipoprotein concentrations and retinal reactions disappear. This finding may be attributable to small sample size, or to the beneficial effect of statins on retinal microvascular function; the latter has been shown (Terai et al. 2011). Our controls showed no correlation between lipoprotein concentrations and flicker-induced reactions, even though they had higher total cholesterol and LDL concentrations than did the patients (Table 1).

In this same study group, we have confirmed bilaterally thinner SFCT before and after CEA than in controls (Ala-Kauhaluoma et al. 2020). Furthermore, we have found ocular signs of CS, indicating ocular hypoperfusion, as being common in CEA patients (Ala-Kauhaluoma et al. 2021). Neither SFCT nor ocular signs of CS were associated with flicker-induced vasodilation. Our patient with total carotid stenosis having ocular ischaemic syndrome was an outlier with preoperative (no postoperative visit) flicker-induced arteriolar and venular dilation (−0.9% and −0.9%). Unfortunately, our one patient with neovascular glaucoma and another with ocular hypoperfusion one week after CEA were unmeasurable with DVA due to poor pupillary dilation.

In our controls, a negative correlation appeared between flicker-induced venular dilation and age. Likewise, one study with healthy volunteers revealed an age-related decline in flicker-induced arteriolar amplitude (Kneser et al. 2009). Our controls, however, had a much higher mean age and a narrower age range than did patients of the Kneser group.

The explorative nature of our study allowed us to test associations widely, but the value of these observations is limited by our small sample size. The latter remains the main limitation of our study. Moreover, all of our patients were Caucasian, and 81% was male. Male preponderance is at least partly due to gender differences in incidence and therapy in CS patients (Stoberock et al. 2016). A larger prospective study could reveal, (1) whether assessment of retinal vascular reactions can serve as a biomarker of CS patients undergoing CEA, and, in particular, (2) whether poor flicker-induced reactions can indicate patients at risk of postoperative cerebral or ocular hyperperfusion syndrome.
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