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ABSTRACT

The life cycle of Disholcaspis quercusvirens Ashmead (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae), a species previously known only from its asexual generation, is closed with the association of generations confirmed using molecular data. The description and diagnosis of the sexual generation of D. quercusvirens is given. Morphological and molecular data are used to determine that Disholcaspis quercussuccinipes Ashmead is a new synonym of D. quercusvirens.
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RESUMEN

El ciclo biológico de Disholcaspis quercusvirens Ashmead (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae), una especie conocida previamente sólo de su generación asexual, ha sido cerrado gracias a la asociación de las generaciones a partir de datos moleculares. Se describe y se dan los caracteres diagnósticos de la generación sexual de D. quercusvirens. Se utilizan los datos morfológicos y moleculares para determinar que Disholcaspis quercussuccinipes es una nueva sinónimo de D. quercusvirens.

Palabras Clave: Cynipini, ciclo biológico, generaciones alternantes, Disholcaspis

For most of its history the genus Disholcaspis Dalla Torre & Kieffer has been known only from the Nearctic, with 38 species in America north of Mexico (Burks 1979), and 12 from Mexico (Beutenmüller 1911; Kinsey 1920, 1937, 1938; Pujade-Villar et al. 2009). Two species, Disholcaspis weldi (Beutenmüller) and D. lapiei Kieffer have been synonymized and transferred to the genus Kinseyella Pujade-Villar & Melika (Pujade-Villar et al. 2010). Recently, 2 species, Disholcaspis bettyannae Medianero & Nieves-Aldrey and D. bise-thiace Medianero & Nieves-Aldrey, were described from Panama (Medianero & Nieves-Aldrey 2011) and one species, D. costaricensis Melika & Pujade-Villar, from Costa Rica (Melika et al. 2011). Thus, there are currently 51 species of Disholcas-pis known from the Nearctic and Neotropics. All species of Disholcaspis induce detachable stem galls on white oaks (section Quercus of the genus Quercus L.; Fagales: Fagaceae), with the exception of the Californian species, D. chryssolepidis (Beutenmüller), which is associated with Quercus chrysolepis Liebm. from the golden-cup oak section (Quercus section Protobalanus). All but one species are known only from their asexual generations; the exception, D. el doradensis (Beutenmüller), distributed in California, Oregon and Washington (USA), is also known from a sexual...
generation that induces small inconspicuous bud galls (Evans 1972).

In this paper we describe the sexual generation of another known species, *Disholcaspis quercusvirens* (Ashmead). The identity of the sexual generation was demonstrated by biological experiments (results will be published elsewhere), and with the use of molecular data, presented here.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Morphological Methods**

The current terminology for morphological structures and abbreviations for forewing venation follows Melika et al. (2006); cuticular surface terminology follows Harris (1979). Measurements and abbreviations used here include: F1-F15, 1st and subsequent flagellomeres; POL (post-ocellar distance) is the distance between the inner margins of the posterior ocelli; OOL (ocellar-ocular distance) is the distance from the outer edge of a posterior ocellus to the inner margin of the compound eye; LOL, the distance between lateral and frontal ocelli. The width of the forewing radial cell is measured from the margin of the wing to the Rs vein.

Digital images of wasp anatomy were produced with a digital Nikon Coolpix 4500 camera attached to a Leica DMLB compound microscope, followed by processing in CombineZP (Alan Hadley) and Adobe Photoshop 6.0 by G. Melika.

Specimens involved in morphological and molecular analyses, including the voucher specimens, are deposited in the collection of the Plant Health and Molecular Biology Laboratory, National Food Chain Safety Office, Budapest, Hungary (curator G. Melika).

**Molecular Methods**

DNA was extracted using a chelex method (see Nicholls et al. 2010) from a single leg from 6 asexual females that had emerged from galls fitting the description of those induced by *D. quercusvirenis* Ashmead, 8 asexual females that had emerged from *D. quercusvirens* galls, and 4 sexual males from the putative sexual generation galls of *D. quercusvirens*. Collection details for these specimens are provided in Table 1. Different subsets of these were sequenced for 2 genes that show levels of variation in gall wasps that allow differentiation even of sister species (see Nicholls et al. 2012): a fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome *h* gene was sequenced using the primers CB1/CB2 (Jermiin & Crozier 1994) following methods described in Nicholls et al. (2010), and the ITS2 gene was sequenced using the primers ITS2f/ITS2r and methodology described in Campbell et al. (1993). PCR products were sequenced using BigDye v3.1 terminator chemistry and run on an ABI3730 capillary sequencer. Sequences were checked and aligned using the software Sequencher v4.9.

**RESULTS**

**Diagnosis for the Asexual Females of *Disholcaspis* (Fig. 1; Suppl. Fig. 1)**

Fully winged robust specimens, with dense setae and coriaceous or rugose surface sculpture on the head and mesosoma; metasoma densely pubescent; the head is usually narrower than the mesosoma, transverse in anterior view, with genae strongly broadened behind eyes, the malar sulcus always absent; notauli usually are incomplete, impressed in the posterior ¼ of the mesoscutum; scutellar foveae indistinctly delimited, confluent; all tarsal claws with strong basal lobe. The prominent part of the ventral spine of the hypopygium is short, 2.0-4.0 times as long as broad or shorter, subapical setae are long, dense, reaching far beyond the apex, never form a dense truncate tuft (Melika & Abrahamson 2002).

**Diagnosis for the Sexual Females of *Disholcaspis* (Figs. 2-3, 12-20; Suppl. Figs. 2-3, 12-20)**

Fully winged small specimens, 2.1-3.5 mm, with brown body. The head is equal or slightly narrower than the mesosoma, rounded in anterior view. Female antennae with 12 flagellomeres, male with 13. The mesosoma with setae only on the pronotum laterally; the mesoscutum anteriorly microreticulate or delicately coriaceous, smooth and shiny posteriorly; notauli incomplete, absent or very indistinct in the anterior ¼ of the mesoscutum; the mesoscutellum only slightly elongated, nearly quadrangular, uniformly rugose; scutellar foveae indistinctly delimitied, with a shiny smooth bottom. Forewings longer than the body, with distinct brown veins and long cilia on margins. Tarsal claws with a distinct basal lobe. The metasoma with sparse short white setae laterally on the 2nd metasomal tergite, subsequent tergites smooth, bare. The prominent part of the ventral spine of the hypopygium is short, with subapical setae reaching behind the apex of the spine.

The sexual generation of *Disholcaspis* is similar to those of some other Cynipini genera. It differs from sexual generations of *Dryocosmus* Giraud and *Biörhiza* Westwood by the presence of the basal lobe on the tarsal claws. Three other genera, *Andricus* Hartig, *Acraspis* Mayr and *Cynips* L., possess a basal lobe on their tarsal claws, like *Disholcaspis*. In *Andricus*, however, scutellar foveae are distinctly delimited, the mesoscutum with stronger surface sculpture, the mesoscutellum is rounded, as long as broad and only very slightly overhanging the metas-
| Species                     | Sex     | Generation | Collection Locality          | Collection date | Host oak species | cytb sequence | ITS sequence |
|----------------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Disholcaspis quercusscinipes| Female  | Asexual    | Archbold Biological Station, FL | 21-Oct-2007     | Q. geminata      | KF039986      | KF040003     |
| Disholcaspis quercusscinipes| Female  | Asexual    | Archbold Biological Station, FL | 21-Oct-2007     | Q. geminata      | KF039987      |             |
| Disholcaspis quercusscinipes| Female  | Asexual    | Archbold Biological Station, FL | 21-Oct-2007     | Q. geminata      | KF039988      | KF040004     |
| Disholcaspis quercusscinipes| Female  | Asexual    | Archbold Biological Station, FL | 21-Oct-2007     | Q. geminata      | KF039989      | KF040005     |
| Disholcaspis quercusscinipes| Female  | Asexual    | Archbold Biological Station, FL | 21-Oct-2007     | Q. geminata      | KF039990      |             |
| Disholcaspis quercusscinipes| Female  | Asexual    | Archbold Biological Station, FL | 1-Dec-1994      | Q. geminata      | KF040006      |             |
| Disholcaspis quercussirenens| Female  | Asexual    | Archbold Biological Station, FL | 1-Nov-1994      | Q. geminata      | KF039991      |             |
| Disholcaspis quercussirenens| Female  | Asexual    | Archbold Biological Station, FL | 18-Oct-2007     | Q. geminata      | KF039992      | KF040007     |
| Disholcaspis quercussirenens| Female  | Asexual    | Archbold Biological Station, FL | 18-Oct-2007     | Q. geminata      | KF039993      |             |
| Disholcaspis quercussirenens| Female  | Asexual    | Penney Farms, FL             | 18-Dec-2007     | Q. virginiana    | KF039994      |             |
| Disholcaspis quercussirenens| Female  | Asexual    | Penney Farms, FL             | 18-Dec-2007     | Q. virginiana    | KF039995      |             |
| Disholcaspis quercussirenens| Female  | Asexual    | Penney Farms, FL             | 18-Dec-2007     | Q. virginiana    | KF039996      |             |
| Disholcaspis quercussirenens| Female  | Asexual    | Jacksonville, FL             | 19-Oct-2007     | Q. virginiana    | KF039997      | KF040008     |
| Disholcaspis quercussirenens| Female  | Asexual    | Jacksonville, FL             | 19-Oct-2007     | Q. virginiana    | KF039998      |             |
| Disholcaspis quercussirenens| Male    | Sexual     | Penney Farms, FL             | 4-Apr-2008      | Q. virginiana    | KF039999      |             |
| Disholcaspis quercussirenens| Male    | Sexual     | Penney Farms, FL             | 4-Apr-2008      | Q. virginiana    | KF040000      |             |
| Disholcaspis quercussirenens| Male    | Sexual     | Penney Farms, FL             | 4-Apr-2008      | Q. virginiana    | KF040001      | KF040009     |
| Disholcaspis quercussirenens| Male    | Sexual     | Lake Manatee SRA, FL         | 16-Apr-2008     | Q. geminata      | KF040002      |             |
cutellum; the mesopleuron sculptured, the head usually slightly transverse in anterior view; the prominent part of the ventral spine of the hypopygium 4.0 times or more longer than broad. Sexual Disholcaspis most closely resembles the sexual Acraspis and Cynips. In Disholcaspis the head is rounded in anterior view (always transverse or trapezoid in Acraspis and Cynips, Figs. 21 and 24; Suppl. Figs. 21 and 24); notauli incomplete (always complete in Acraspis and Cynips, Figs. 24 and 29; Suppl. Figs. 24 and 29), the mesoscutum at least in the anterior half coriaceous (mesoscutum entirely smooth and shiny in Acraspis and Cynips, Figs. 22 and 25; Suppl. Figs. 22 and 25); the mesoscutellum is subquadraangular, only slightly longer than broad, scutellar foveae more or less well-delimited, with smooth shiny bottom, the mesoscutellum only slightly overhanging the metascutellum (mesoscutellum distinctly longer than broad, scutellar foveae absent, the mesoscutellum strongly overhanging the metascutellum in Acraspis and Cynips, Figs. 23 and 26; Suppl. Figs. 23 and 26). The sexual generation of Philonix Fitch differs from all the mentioned genera by the entirely smooth and polished mesoscutum and mesoscutellum.

**Disholcaspis quercusvirens**
(Ashmead, 1881)

*Cynips quercusvirens* Ashmead, 1881 (female and gall), *syn. nov.*

*Andricus (Andricus) virens* Ashmead, 1885.

*Andricus (Andricus) succinipes* Ashmead, 1885.

*Cynips quercusficigera* Ashmead 1885.

*Holcaspis succinipes* Ashmead, 1887.

*Holcaspis ficigera* Ashmead, 1887.

Ashmead (1887) did not mention his previously described *Cynips quercusvirens*. Dalla Torre (1893) mentioned *Holcaspis succinipes* and *H. ficigera*, however, *Andricus virens* (Ashmead) was not included into his catalogue under any name. Beutenmüller (1909), in his revision of the genus *Holcaspis* Mayr, mentioned only *H. succinipes* and *H. ficigera*, without naming *H. virens*.

Dalla Torre & Kieffer (1910) moved *Holcaspis quercus-ficigera* (Ashmead) and *H. succinipes* into the newly established *Disholcaspis*; however, *Andricus virens* was left in *Andricus*, re-named as *Andricus quercusvirens* (Ashmead). Weld (1921) synonymized *Cynips quercusficigera* to *Disholcaspis virens*. Later, Weld (1951) listed 2 species: *Disholcaspis succinipes* (Ashmead) and *D. virens* (Ashmead). The names *Disholcaspis quercussuccinipes* and *D. quercusvirens* were used by Weld (1959) in his “Cynipid galls of the Eastern United States”.

Figs. 1-3. *Disholcaspis quercusvirens*: 1, asexual female, 2, sexual female, 3, male (photos by J. Platt Bird).
Synonymy of *Disholcaspis quercusvirens* (Ashmead) and *D. quercussuccinipes* (Ashmead) and Matching of *D. quercusvirens* Generations

Types of *Cynips virens* Ashmead and *Cynips quercussuccinipes* Ashmead, deposited at the USNM, were examined. We were unable to locate the type of *Cynips quercusficigera* Ashmead. Large series of asexual females of *D. quercusvirens* and *D. quercussuccinipes*, deposited in the general collection of USNM and also a large number of specimens reared by the authors were examined. The original descriptions of *D. quercusvirens* and *D. quercussuccinipes* (Ashmead 1881) are identical with no apparent differences. Only minor differences in the gall shape can be detected, with galls

Figs. 4-11. Galls of *Disholcaspis quercusvirens*. 4-9, asexual galls, 10, an old asexual and young growing sexual gall; 11, sexual bud gall (photos by J. Nicholls and G. Melika).
induced by *D. quercussuccinipes* typically being smaller, more rounded in latitudinal cross-section with a more pointed top. However, such differences may well be caused by the influence of different host oak individuals (Figs. 4-10; Suppl. Figs. 4-10). Since no appreciable characters were found for the separation of adults of *D. quercusvirens* and *D. quercussuccinipes*, the 2 species are synonymized herein: *D. quercussuccinipes* is a syn. nov. of *D. quercusvirens*.

DNA sequence data confirmed the synonymisation of *D. quercussuccinipes* with *D. quercusvirens*, and also confirmed the matching of sexual and asexual generations in *D. quercusvirens* that was proposed by Bird et al. (2013). In total 17 cytochrome *b* sequences were obtained (5 from asexual females emerged from *quercussuccinipes*-type galls, 8 from asexual females emerged from *quercusvirens*-type galls and 4 sexual *D. quercusvirens* males; see Table 1 for GenBank accesses). Variation among this set was limited, with a maximum of 2 bases out of 433 (0.46%) different between any 2 sequences, well within the variation levels found within other species (e.g., Nicholls et al. 2012). In the majority of cases sequences were identical between individuals from *quercussuccinipes*-type galls and *quercusvirens*-type galls, and between sexual and asexual *D. quercusvirens*. A similar pattern was found in the ITS2 data (4 from asexual females from *quercussuccinipes*-type galls, 2 from asexual females from *quercusvirens*-type galls and one sexual *D. quercusvirens* male; Table 1), with identical or virtually identical sequences among the 3 categories of specimen.

**Sexual Generation of Disholcaspis quercusvirens** (Ashmead)

**Material Examined**

Four females and 2 males “USA, FL, Clay Co (USA), Penny Farms, Shadowlawn Nursery, *Q. virginiana*, 4-IV-08 J.Platt & J.Cash”; 2 females and one male: “USA, FL, Lake Manatee Recreation Area, coll. 16 Apr 2008, on *Q. geminata*, leg. J.A. Nicholls”. Two of the males from Penny Farms and one male from Lake Manatee SRA were included in the molecular analyses.

**Diagnosis for the Sexual Generation**

The only known sexual *Disholcaspis* is *D. eldoradoensis* (Beutenmüller), known from the states of California, Oregon and Washington within the USA. In *D. quercusvirens* the body is light brown, F1 of female antenna longer than F2, the mesoscutum coriaceous in the anterior half, notauli incomplete; 2nd metasomal tergite smooth, shiny, while in *D. eldoradoensis* the body is dark brown to black, F1 of female antenna nearly equal to F2; the mesoscutum smooth, shiny, notauli are complete; 2nd metasomal tergite punctate posteriorly. In males of *D. quercusvirens* F1 modified, slightly excavated and swollen apically, while in *D. eldoradoensis* F1 is straight, not modified, not excavated and swollen apically.

**Descriptions of Sexual Females and Males**

**Sexual Female** (Figs. 2, 12-15, 17-20; Suppl. Figs. 2, 12-15, 17-20). Body, antennae and legs light brown, with dark brown interocular area and darker central propodeal area; metasomal tergites dark brown to black dorsally. Head delicately coriaceous, rounded in anterior view, with sparse short white setae, especially on lower face; 2.0 times as broad as long from above, 1.2 times as broad as high, as broad as width of mesosoma in anterior view. Gena very delicately coriaceous, not broadened behind eye in front view, 3.0 times narrower than cross diameter of eye from lateral view; malar space very delicately coriaceous, without radiating striae, 0.2 times as long as height of eye. POL 1.3 times as broad as OOL, OOL 1.7 times as long as length of lateral ocellus and 1.4 times as long as LOL. Inner margins of eyes parallel. Transfacial distance nearly as long as height of eye and 1.6 times as long as height of lower face (distance between antennal rim and tip of clypeus); diameter of torulus only very slightly longer than distance between them, distance between torulus and inner margin of eye 1.2 times as large as the diameter of torulus; lower face delicately coriaceous, with relatively dense white short setae, median elevated area delicately coriaceous. Clypeus rectangular, nearly 2.0 times as broad as high, delicately coriaceous, with slightly elevated central area, ventrally not emarginate; anterior tentorial pits and clypeo-pleurostomal line indistinct, shallow; epistomial sulcus broad, deep and shiny, distinctly delimiting clypeus from lower face. Frons very delicately coriaceous to alutaceous, with very few short white setae, in some specimens darker than the rest of head, especially towards the frontal ocellus; central area slightly impressed right before the frontal ocellus. Vertex and occiput uniformly delicately coriaceous; interocellar area more dull rugose, medially impressed. Occiput rounded, gradually, without carina, continuing into postocciput which is delicately coriaceous, impressed around occipital foramen. Labial palpus 3-segmented, maxillary palpus 4-segmented. Antenna 14-segmented, longer than mesosoma; pedicel 1.4 times as long as broad, F1 slightly longer than F2, 2.5 times as long as pedicel; F3-F4 equal in length; subsequent flagellomeres shorter; F12 1.2 times as long as F11; placoid sensillae on F3-F12, absent on F1-F2, long, in one row. Mesosoma 1.2 times as long as high. Pronotum alutaceous, with few
delicate striae in the most ventro-lateral edge, emarginate and impressed along propleuron; propleuron delicately transversely striate, flat in medio-central part. Mesoscutum delicately uniformly coriaceous to alutaceous, with few short white setae, especially along notauli and lateral edges; very slightly longer than broad in dorsal view (largest width measured on the level of the base of tegulae); from the level of tegula narrowing down towards posterior end. Notauli uniformly broad, incomplete, extending to \( \frac{2}{3} \) of mesoscutum length, well-impressed only in
posterior half of mesoscutum, slightly converging posteriorly; anterior parallel lines invisible; parapsidal lines distinct, well-impressed, broad, with shiny glabrous surface, extending to \( \frac{2}{3} \) length of mesoscutum; median mesoscutal line absent. Mesoscutellum slightly longer than broad, dull rugose, overhanging metanotum; scutellar foveae indistinctly delimited, with shiny bottom. Mesopleuron smooth with some very delicate indistinct transverse striae, acetabular carina very indistinct delimiting a narrow area laterally; mesopleural triangle uniformly dull coriaceous; dorsoaxillar area smooth, lateral axillary area coriaceous; preaxilla and axilla dull rugose; axillary carina with some longitudinal striae; axillula rugose, without setae; height of subaxillar bar posteriorly more than height of metanotal trough; metapleural sulcus reaching mesopleuron in the upper \( \frac{1}{3} \) of its height. Metasternum slightly longer than broad, dull rugose, overhanging metanotum; scutellum broad, dull rugose, overhanging metanotum; scutellum uniformly smooth, with sparse and short white setae; central propodeal area dark brown, smooth, shiny, very short, only slightly higher than height of ventral impressed area; lateral propodeal carinae strongly bent outwards in the middle; lateral propodeal area coriaceous, with dense white setae. Nucha very short, with some longitudinal delicate carinae. Tarsal claws with basal lobe. Forewing longer than body, hyaline, with long cilia on margins, veins brown, radial cell 2.6-2.8 times as long as broad; R1 invisible, Rs indistinct, nearly straight, nearly reaching margin of wing; areolet small, triangular, closed and indistinct. Metasoma slightly longer than head+mesosoma; metasomal tergite 2 occupies almost half of metasoma length in dorsal view, with few short basal white setae laterally; all tergites smooth, shiny, in some specimens with very sparse delicate, hardly detectable, very superficially impressed micropunctures. Ventral spine of hypopygium short, prominent part 2.0 times as long as broad, with few sparse short white setae, which extend beyond the apex of spine. Body length 2.1-3.4 mm.

**Male** (Figs. 3, 16; Suppl. Figs. 3, 16). Similar to female; however, head is black, except light brown lower face and clypeus; antennae and legs pale brown to yellow; mesosoma, except light brown pronotum, and metasoma are dark brown. Antenna 15-segmented, F1 slightly excavated and expanded apically, placodeal sensilla on F1-F12.

**Gall** (Figs. 10-11; Suppl. Figs. 10-11).

**Sexual generation.** Single galls develop in apical or lateral buds on young shoots, never in clusters. Unilocular. A small, inconspicuous, thin-walled gall, 2-3 mm × 1.5 mm when mature. The gall surface is smooth and light brown, without ribs. A proportion of galls are partially concealed within the bud scales. The apex of the gall is pointed, without a small tuft of hairs.

**Biology**

Alternate asexual and sexual generations are known, both developing on *Quercus virginiana* Mill., *Q. geminata* Small and *Q. minima* (Sarg.) Small. The sexual generation galls develop through the early spring and mature in late Mar, and the adults emerge immediately from early Apr until May (Bird et al. 2013). The asexual galls form through the summer and mature in autumn. Adult asexual females emerge from late autumn.

**Distribution**

USA: Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, Mississippi, Louisiana and Texas (Burks 1979). *Disholcaspis quercussuccinipes* was thought to be restricted to Florida (Burks 1979).

**DISCUSSION**

Most oak gall wasps (tribe Cynipini) have 2 generations per year, with alternating sexual and asexual generations. The loss of the sexual generation resulting in a species with only a single asexual generation per year is exceedingly rare, being confirmed from only 3 species within the entire radiation of approximately 1,400 species of Cynipini (Csóka et al. 2005). Hence it is likely that further study of the appropriate host oaks will reveal sexual generations for the other species within the genus *Disholcaspis*. However, discovering these sexual generations is likely to require some effort as the 2 currently known sexual generation galls of *Disholcaspis* are small, often hidden in bud scales and develop very rapidly. To date there is preliminary evidence for 2 further, as yet undescribed, sexual generations within *Disholcaspis*: sexual adults reared from bud galls very similar to those described herein that most likely represent the sexual generation of *D. quercusmamma* (C. McEwen & S. Digweed pers. comm.), and observations by the authors of similar (but as yet unreared) bud galls on *Q. chapmanii*, the host oak species for *D. quercusomnivora*.

Morphological variation suggests that the genus *Disholcaspis* does not form a monophyletic group, a pattern confirmed by preliminary molecular phylogenetic reconstructions (J. A. Nicholls, unpubl. data) and consistent with the confused taxonomic history of this genus. The majority of recognized species form a natural grouping based on both adult morphology and molecular information, with both *D. quercusvirens* and the type species of the genus, *D. quercusglobulus*, falling into this group of “typical”
Disholcaspis. However, a small group of species from the western United States, comprising *D. chrysolepidis* (Beutenmüller), *D. conalis* Weld, *D. corallina* (Bassett), *D. plumbea* Kinsey, *D. sulcata* (Ashmead), *D. truckleensis* (Ashmead), and *D. washingtonensis* (Gillette) have been provisionally placed into *Disholcaspis*, but are morphologically distinct. In this group the ventral spine of the hypopygium is broad throughout its entire length, the length of the projecting part of
the spine is less than or equal to its width, Rs is slightly curved in the apical one third and slightly expanded, the lateral propodeal carinae are curved and lyre-shaped. In the “typical” Disholcaspis species the prominent part of the ventral spine is longer and needle-like, the projecting part at least 2.0-3.5 times as long as broad, Rs is straight, the radial cell is slightly longer and the propodeal carinae are fragmented. Melika & Abrahamson (2002) also erroneously transferred 3 Andricus species into this group: A. lasius (Ashmead), A. reniformis McCracken & Egbert, and A. spectabilis Kinsey. Given recent examination of adult morphology and gall structure of all these distinct species, and taking into account the apparent rarity of shifts among host oak section (Stone et al. 2009), it appears that there are 3 major lineages within Disholcaspis. One consists of the “typical” Disholcaspis, including the species examined in detail in this paper, all of which gall white oaks (Quercus section Quercus) including the type species of the genus. The second is a morphologically distinct group associated with golden cup oaks (Quercus section Protobalanus): D. chrysolepidis, D. trukenensis, D. lasius and D. reniformis. The third consists of the morphologically-divergent species that are associated with white oaks: D. conalis, D. corallina, D. plumella, D. sulcata and D. washingtonensis placed by Burnett (1977) into a new genus Weldia; however, this name is not valid since it was not published and the name Weldia was preoccupied by Yoshimoto (1962) for species within the Eucolidae. Finally, the very distinct species D. (Andricus) spectabilis, with a closed radial cell in the forewing, forms a unique entity, divergent from all other Disholcaspis species. Further work is still required to provide better resolution of the taxonomy of this group, using both morphological and molecular methods.
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