A conserved C-terminal peptide of sorghum phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase promotes its proteolysis, which is prevented by Glc-6P or the phosphorylation state of the enzyme
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Abstract
Main conclusion A synthetic peptide from the C-terminal end of C₄-phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase is implicated in the proteolysis of the enzyme, and Glc-6P or phosphorylation of the enzyme modulate this effect.

Abstract Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) is a cytosolic, homotetrameric enzyme that performs a variety of functions in plants. Among them, it is primarily responsible for CO₂ fixation in the C₄ photosynthesis pathway (C₄-PEPC). Here we show that proteolysis of C₄-PEPC by cathepsin proteases present in a semi-purified PEPC fraction was enhanced by the presence of a synthetic peptide containing the last 19 amino acids from the C-terminal end of the PEPC subunit (pC19). Threonine (Thr)944 and Thr948 in the peptide are important requirements for the pC19 effect. C₄-PEPC proteolysis in the presence of pC19 was prevented by the PEPC allosteric effector glucose 6-phosphate (Glc-6P) and by phosphorylation of the enzyme. The role of these elements in the regulation of PEPC proteolysis is discussed in relation to the physiological context.
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Introduction
Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC; EC 4.1.1.31) catalyzes the addition of bicarbonate to phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) to form oxaloacetate, which is reduced to malate by the enzyme malate dehydrogenase (MDH). The family of plant-type PEPCs (PTPCs) in sorghum includes four C₃-type PEPCs and the photosynthetic C₄-PEPC (Paterson et al. 2009). C₄-PEPC catalyzes the first carboxylation step in C₄ photosynthesis, and gene expression is activated during the greening of the C₄ leaf when PEPC accumulates in the cytosol of mesophyll cells as required for the functioning of the C₄ pathway (Chollet et al. 1996). C₄-PEPC has been further studied in relation to its catalytic and regulatory properties and the biochemical and signaling mechanisms that control its subcellular activity (Chollet et al. 1996; Echevarría and Vidal 2003; Izui et al. 2004). C₃-PEPCs are also key enzymes in the metabolism of carbon and nitrogen.
with central roles in respiration, amino acid synthesis, and the development and germination of seeds (Chollet et al. 1996; O’Leary et al. 2011). All PTPCs have a conserved N-terminal seryl residue that is phosphorylated by PEPC kinases (PEPCks; Echevarría and Vidal 2003), whereas this residue is absent from bacterial-type PEPC (BTPC; Sánchez and Cejudo 2003). Phosphorylation involves an N-terminal regulatory serine (Ser8 in C4-PEPC from sorghum), and this regulatory post-translational modification (PTM) interacts with metabolite effectors to decrease its sensitivity to feedback inhibition by L-malate and increase its affinity for the allosteric activator Glc-6P and its Vmax (Echevarría and Vidal 2003; Nimmo 2003). PEPC is also subjected to different PTMs, such as monoubiquitination (Uhri et al. 2008; Ruiz-Ballesta et al. 2014, 2016), nitric oxide-related PTMs (S-nitrosylation, Tyr-nitration), and PTMs associated with oxidative stress (carbonylation; Arias-Baldrich et al. 2017; Baena et al. 2017). Monoubiquitination of Arabidopsis C3-PEPC is selectively degraded by autophagy (Baena et al. 2021). However, it is not known whether C4-PEPC is modified by monoubiquitination, and evidence of a possible degradation mechanism controlling the amount of C4-PEPC in the cytosol of mesophyll cells is lacking.

The C-terminal 19 amino acid domain (hereafter, “C-term”) is highly conserved in all PTPCs sequenced thus far and is also conserved in prokaryotic PEPCs (Lepiniec et al. 1993; Chollet et al. 1996; Gehrig et al. 1998). It has been implicated in the stability of the protein (Grivard et al. 1998; Dong et al. 1999), the maintenance of catalytic activity, and the oligomeric state of the enzyme (Dong et al. 1999). Limited 3′ deletion in the Ppc gene from Escherichia coli resulted in a decreased amount of the enzyme and suppression of its catalytic activity (Sabe et al. 1984). Studies of the recombinant C-terminal truncated C4-PEPC concluded that the conserved C-terminal QNTG tetrapeptide of sorghum C4-PEPC is indispensable for maximal catalytic activity but not for homotetramer formation (Dong et al. 1999). Crystallographic studies have deciphered the three-dimensional structure of the E. coli and maize (C4) PEPCs, making clear the contribution of the enzyme C-terminal end to active and inhibitor sites (Kai et al. 1999; Matsumura et al. 2002).

The C-term is embedded in a hydrophobic region of the protein subunit (Matsumura et al. 2002), and in sorghum the C4-PEPC enzyme can be found in vitro in two different conformational states that differ in the accessibility of their C-terminal tail to specifically designed antibodies (Álvarez et al. 2003). We have also previously reported that a synthetic peptide containing the last 19 amino acids of the C-term of C4-PEPC sorghum leaves (pC19) specifically inhibits the in vitro phosphorylation of enzymes by PEPCk (Álvarez et al. 2003).

Protein purification from dark-adapted sorghum leaves on hydroxyapatite and anion exchange on mono Q led to fractions highly enriched in C4-PEPC (sp-PEPC). Among the contaminating proteins in these fractions we have been able to detect the presence of cathepsin proteases, mainly of the B and L types (Gandullo et al. 2019; Plaxton 2019). We have also shown that PEPC proteolysis by these cathepsin proteases is highly increased by the presence of the anionic phospholipids phosphatidic acid (PA), phosphatidylglycerol (PG), and lyso-phosphatidic acid (LPA), which in turn inactivates the enzyme by means of a conformational change clearly detected by the exposing of the C-term usually embedded in the native, active enzyme. Conversely, the allosteric activator Glc-6P returns the exposed C-term of PEPC to the embedded conformation (Gandullo et al. 2019), increasing the stability of the protein. These changes in the conformational state of the enzyme seem to be essential to its stability, as the exposed C-term conformation is highly sensitive to proteolysis by cathepsin proteases that co-purify with PEPC.

The fact that this sequence is highly conserved, and that it is modulated by regulators such as anionic phospholipids or Glc-6P (Gandullo et al. 2019), suggests a possible role for C-term in modulating PEPC activity, stability, or the aggregation state of the enzyme. Whether the mechanism based on the C-term PEPC has physiological significance in the C4 leaf is an open question in our group. Here we report that the addition of a synthetic C-term (19 amino acid) peptide to the semi-purified PEPC fraction (sp-PEPC) results in a rapid decrease in enzyme activity due to cathepsin-based degradation. This is modulated by the antagonistic effect of the activator Glc-6P and the extent of phosphorylation (Ser8 of the opposite N-terminal) of the enzyme subunit. A possible implication of these findings for the physiological mechanism that regulates PEPC degradation/amount in the cytosol of mesophyll cells is discussed.

Materials and methods

Materials

The peptides C19 ([Y]942EDTLILTMKGIAAGM0NTG960), C15 (C19 lacking the QNTG motif), Thr/Tyr-mutated C19 ([Y]942EDY_944 LILY_948MKGIAGMQ0NY_959G960), N-terminal dephosphorylated and phosphorylated peptide N-t-OH (1-MASEHHHSIDAQLRALAP-18), and N-terminal phosphorylated peptide N-t-OP (1-MASEHHSHPO2H3IDAQLRALAP-18) were used in this study. The peptides L1 (108LAHRRSNSSKLKHDDFSDEGS127), L2 (333AEEVQSTPASKKVKYYIFWK-QIPPNE360), and L3 (907SFKVTPQPSSLKEFADENK-PAGLWKL933), correspond to additional loops present in the computerized model of the sorghum C4-PEPC compared to the three-dimensional structure of E. coli PEPC. Peptides L2 and L3 were as hydrophobic as peptide C19. The L1, L2,
and L3 peptides were supplied by PolyPeptide Group (Strasbourg, France). C19, C15, L1, L2, and L3 have been described previously (Álvarez et al. 2003). Specific polyclonal antibodies against the N-terminal peptide (N-t-IgGs) were raised against the N-t-OH peptide of sorghum C4-PEPC containing the phosphorylation motif (Pacquit et al. 1995). PEPC antibodies against C4-PEPC from sorghum leaves were prepared by the General Services of Research from Seville University. N-t-IgGs were supplied by PolyPeptide Group.

**Plant growth conditions**

Sorghum plants (Sorghum bicolor [L.] Moench, var. PR87G57; Pioneer Hi-Bred, Madrid, Spain) were grown under controlled environmental conditions in a greenhouse under a 12 h photoperiod (350 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, photosynthetically active radiation), a temperature of 28/20 °C (light/dark), and 60% relative humidity in hydroponic cultures with nitrate-type nutrient solution (Hewit 1966).

**Preparation of the semi-purified C₄-PEPC fraction**

All procedures were performed at 4 °C. Dark-adapted (12 h) sorghum leaves (20 g) were homogenized in a Waring blender with 100 mL extraction buffer containing 100 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM MgCl₂, 14 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 10 µg mL⁻¹ chymostatin, 10 µg mL⁻¹ leupeptin, 10 mM potassium fluoride, and 2% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP). The homogenate was filtered through two layers of 80-µm nylon net and centrifuged at 45,000 g for 10 min. Proteins in the supernatant were precipitated by polyethylene glycol 8000 (PEG; 8.5–15%), then sedimented by centrifugation (45,000 g, 10 min). The pellet was dissolved in 7 mL buffer A containing 50 mM Hepes/KOH pH 7.1, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, and 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). Hydroxyapatite (5 mL; Econo-Pac CHT-II, Bio-Rad, equilibrated with buffer A containing 50 mM Hepes/KOH pH 7.1, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, and 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). The mixture was incubated overnight at 30 °C in the presence of pC19 (60 nmol). After this, commercial substrates were added. The proteolytic assay was performed on microplates. The optimal pH for each type of protease was used. The standard assay volume was 100 µL containing 25 µL sp-PEPC, and the corresponding substrate was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mM (Carrillo et al. 2011). Cathepsin B-like (Cat-B) and L-like (Cat-L) activity was assayed with Z-RR-AMC (N-carbobenzoxyloxy-Arg-Arg-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin) or Z-FR-AMC (N-carbobenzoxyloxy-Phe-Arg-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin) substrates, respectively, and a buffer containing 0.1 M citrate pH 6, 0.15 M NaCl, and 5 mM MgCl₂ (Carrillo et al. 2011). The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 24 h, and the emitted fluorescence was measured with a 365 nm excitation wavelength filter and a 465 nm emission wavelength filter. Blanks were used to account for the spontaneous breakdown of substrates, and results were expressed as µmol min⁻¹ mL⁻¹. The system was calibrated with a known amount of AMC in a standard reaction mixture.

The crude extract typically contained 6 U PEPC/mg protein. When indicated, sorghum leaves were illuminated at 700 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for 2 h before protein extraction.

**Proteolytic assay: standard and incubation with different peptides and metabolites**

In most experiments, an aliquot of sp-PEPC (0.3 U) containing proteases, mainly cathepsin B and L (Gandullo et al. 2019), was incubated at 30 °C for 3 h with and without various synthetic peptides (C19, C15, Thr/Tyr-mutated C19, L1, L2, and L3) and metabolites (Glc-6P, PEP, or L-malate) in 50 µL of a medium containing 100 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 20% glycerol, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, and 10 µg/mL leupeptin. At the indicated time, aliquots (5 µL) were taken to measure PEPC activity at pH 8.0 and 2.5 mM PEP. Activity was expressed as a percentage of the initial activity. At the end of the incubation period, samples were analyzed by SDS–PAGE (10% [w/v] acrylamide) or native PAGE (7% [w/v] acrylamide) according to Laemmli (1970). All gels were stained with Coomassie Blue.

**Proteolytic assay using substrates containing AMC fluorophore**

Hydrolysis of commercial substrates containing AMC (7-amino-4-methyl coumarin) fluorophore was performed after digestion of PEPC present in the sp-PEPC fraction overnight at 30 °C in the presence of pC19 (60 nmol). After this, commercial substrates were added. The proteolytic assay was performed on microplates. The optimal pH for each type of protease was used. The standard assay volume was 100 µL containing 25 µL sp-PEPC, and the corresponding substrate was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mM (Carrillo et al. 2011). Cathepsin B-like (Cat-B) and L-like (Cat-L) activity was assayed with Z-RR-AMC (N-carbobenzoxyloxy-Arg-Arg-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin) or Z-FR-AMC (N-carbobenzoxyloxy-Phe-Arg-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin) substrates, respectively, and a buffer containing 0.1 M citrate pH 6, 0.15 M NaCl, and 5 mM MgCl₂ (Carrillo et al. 2011). The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 24 h, and the emitted fluorescence was measured with a 365 nm excitation wavelength filter and a 465 nm emission wavelength filter. Blanks were used to account for the spontaneous breakdown of substrates, and results were expressed as µmol min⁻¹ mL⁻¹. The system was calibrated with a known amount of AMC in a standard reaction mixture.
Assay of PEPC activity

PEPC activity was measured spectrophotometrically at an optimal pH of 8.0 using the NAD-dependent malate dehydrogenase (NAD-MDH)-coupled assay at 2.5 mM PEP (Echevarría et al. 1994). Assays were initiated with the addition of an aliquot of the enzyme preparation or crude extract. A unit of enzyme was defined as the amount of PEPC that catalyzed the carboxylation of 1 µmol PEP min⁻¹ at pH 8 and 30 °C.

In vitro phosphorylation with PKA and PEPC phosphorylation state

Aliquots of sp-PEPC (0.1 U PEPC) were phosphorylated in vitro at pH 8 using the catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) from bovine heart (5 U) according to Echevarría et al. (1994). The phosphorylation state of PEPC was determined via the malate test (malate inhibition at a suboptimal pH of 7.3) and expressed as the IC₅₀. A high IC₅₀ is correlated with a high degree of PEPC phosphorylation (Echevarría et al. 1994).

Electrophoresis and Western blotting

The samples were subjected to SDS–PAGE (10% [w/v] acrylamide) according to Laemmli’s (1970) method for 2 h at 100 V and room temperature in a Mini-Protean® III-2D cell (Bio-Rad). For native PAGE, the samples were immediately mixed with sample buffer (Tris–HCl 100 mM, 20% [v/v] glycerol, and 0.05% [w/v] bromophenol blue) and analyzed by PAGE (7% [w/v] acrylamide). The final concentrations in the separation gel were as follows: Tris–HCl 375 mM pH 8.8, APS (0.5% [w/v]), and 6 mM TEMED. The stacking gel (4% [w/v] acrylamide) contained 125 mM Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, and was polymerized like the separating gel. The electrode buffer (pH 8.3) contained 0.025 M Tris–HCl and 0.192 M glycine. Electrophoresis was performed at 100 V for 3 h and at 4 °C with a Mini-Protean® III-2D cell. After electrophoresis, proteins on the gels were stained with Coomassie Blue R-250 or electro-blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane (N-8017; Sigma) at 10 V (5.5 mA cm⁻²) for 30 min in a semi-dry transfer blotting apparatus (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked in Tris-buffered saline (20 mM Tris–HCl and 0.15 mM NaCl pH 7.5) containing 5% (w/v) powdered milk. PEPC bands were immunochemically labeled by overnight incubation of the membranes at room temperature in 20 mL Tris-buffered saline containing 40 µg PEPC-IgGs (antibodies against C₄-PEPC from sorghum leaves). Subsequent detection was performed with affinity-purified goat anti-rabbit IgGs and a chemiluminescence detection system (Super Signal West Dura Signal, Pierce) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Native C₄-PEPC has been described as a tetrameric enzyme with a molecular weight of 440 kDa (Chollet et al. 1996).

Separation of C₄-PEPC and C19 protease by native PAGE

All steps were performed at 4 °C. sp-PEPC (50 U) was analyzed by native PAGE (7% [w/v] acrylamide) as described above but in the absence of the stacking gel. After electrophoresis, we located the PEPC band by incubating the gel for 5 min in a medium containing the assay components of PEPC activity and 0.16 mM CaCl₂. Pi released by the PEPC reaction precipitated as a white calcium phosphate (Ca₃[PO₄]₂) band. The PEPC band was excised from the gel and the proteins electro-eluted for 12 h at 5 V (model 422 electro-eluter; Bio-Rad). The electro-eluted fraction was recovered (400 µL/1.3 mg protein) in Tris-Gly buffer (25 mM Tris–HCl and 192 mM Gly pH 8.3) and concentrated to a volume of 100 µL by centrifugation at 8,000 g for 20 min at 4 °C with a Millipore filter (100,000 Da). This highly purified fraction containing PEPC, in which proteolytic activity was absent, was named “electro-eluted PEPC” (Fig. 2b, lane 4).

Protein quantification

We determined total protein amounts with Bradford’s (1976) method using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as the standard.

Statistics

All data in this report were obtained from at least three independent experiments. Values are means ± SD (n ≥ 3).

Results

Proteolysis of C₄-PEPC from sorghum leaves in the presence of pC19

A marked decrease in PEPC activity was observed when sp-PEPC was incubated (2–4 h at 30 °C) in the presence of a synthetic, hydrophobic peptide that mimics the sequence of the last 19 amino acids at the C-terminal end of the enzyme pC19 (Fig. 1, + C19). SDS–PAGE analysis of the sp-PEPC fraction after incubation with pC19 revealed that the decrease in PEPC activity was due to a loss of the corresponding protein (Fig. 1b, lane 3), whereas the enzyme remained stable in the control (Fig. 1b, lane 1). We checked that this decrease was not due to insolubilization of the enzyme following incubation (Fig. 1b, lanes 2 and 4). Immunoblots of aliquots incubated at low concentrations of pC19 indicated that PEPC was
completely degraded after 3 h of incubation at 30 °C in the presence of 14 nmol pC19 (Fig. 1c). Incubation with a lower amount of peptide (10 nmol) resulted in the accumulation of PEPC fragments. These fragments were approximately 100 and 85 kDa (Fig. 1c, *). These results led us to believe that the loss of PEPC activity was due to the proteolysis of PEPC in the presence of pC19. To confirm this, we subjected sp-PEPC to an additional purification step in native PAGE to obtain pure PEPC without proteolytic activity. First PEPC was localized with an in-gel activity assay, then the corresponding region was cut out and the PEPC recovered by electro-elution. In this case, incubation of the electro-eluted, highly purified PEPC with pC19 did not affect the enzyme activity (Fig. 2a) or the integrity of the protein (Fig. 2b, lane 4). This indicated that the proteases in sp-PEPC had indeed been separated from PEPC on native PAGE. In addition, the results confirmed that the loss of PEPC activity in the presence of pC19 was due to a proteolytic process. It is important to point out that in the
absence of proteolytic activity, pC19 did not affect PEPC activity (Fig. 2a). Moreover, no higher molecular complexes were observed in the presence of the aforementioned peptide (Fig. 2b).

Previous results by our group had demonstrated that the main proteolytic activity present in sp-PEPC was cathepsin B and L from the cysteine protease superfamily (Gandullo et al. 2019). Cathepsin proteases are specifically inhibited by cystatins (Yamada et al. 2000; Martínez and Díaz 2008). To determine whether cathepsin proteases were responsible for the in vitro degradation of PEPC in the presence of pC19, we performed a proteolytic assay of PEPC in the presence and absence of the cystatins HvCPI2 and HvCPI6. As shown in Fig. 3, PEPC was partially degraded after 90 min of incubation with pC19 (lane 2), whereas proteolysis decreased in the presence of HvCPI2 and HvCPI6 (lanes 4 and 6).

We also assayed proteolytic activity with the commercial fluorescent substrates Z-RR-AMC and Z-FR-AMC for Cat-B and Cat-L proteases, respectively, to determine whether the effect of pC19 was on the protease activity or on PEPC. Figure 3b shows the degradation of these fluorescent substrates regardless of the presence of pC19. This proves that PEPC is the target of pC19.

Thr present in pC19 is an important requirement for pC19-PEPC interaction

The C-terminal QNTG domain is indispensable for maximal catalytic activity but not for the homotetramer formation of sorghum C₄-PEPC (Dong et al. 1999). To determine whether this conserved domain is essential to promote PEPC proteolysis, we assayed a truncated pC19 lacking the last four amino acids QNTG (pC15) and found it to be as effective as pC19 at triggering PEPC proteolysis (Fig. 4, +C15). Other synthetic peptides—L1 and the hydrophobic peptides L2 and L3, designed from computer-based modeling of the sorghum C₄-PEPC (Kai et al. 1999; Matsumura et al. 2002), corresponding to solvent-exposed protein loops were tested but all failed to promote proteolysis of the enzyme (Fig. 4, L1, L2, L3). These data suggest that hydrophobicity alone cannot account for the positive effect of pC19 on PEPC proteolysis. This view is well supported by the fact that a mutated pC19 with an increased hydrophobic index (Thr944, Thr948, and Thr959 replaced by Tyr residues [(Y)942EDY₉₄₄LILY₉₄₈MKGIAA GMQNY₉₅₉G960]) lost its ability to promote PEPC proteolysis (Fig. 4, +C19 mut). Thus, our data suggest that pC19 is specifically required to enhance PEPC proteolysis and that Thr944 and Thr948 are important requirements for this interaction. Thus, direct interaction between the C-term of a PEPC with another native PEPC subunit could take place. The feasibility of this mechanism in vivo remains to be investigated. In the meantime, we have used pC19 as a tool to promote the proteolysis of PEPC and to study the interplay among the oligomerization state, metabolites, or the phosphorylation state of the enzyme in its proteolysis.
Monomeric and dimeric PEPC are more sensitive to proteolysis

Aging the sp-PEPC fraction (2–3 months at −20 ºC) led to increased amounts of dimeric and monomeric enzyme species (Fig. 5a, lane 1 vs. lane 2). This was easily detected because PEPC was the dominant protein in the sp-PEPC preparation (lane 2). In the case of aging PEPC, proteolysis in the presence of pC19 was strongly enhanced compared to the freshly prepared enzyme (Fig. 5b, graphic). This suggests that the tetrameric form is the most stable conformation in the presence of the cathepsin proteases described to be present in sp-PEPC (Gandullo et al. 2019).

Gluc-6P prevents the proteolysis of PEPC

We then determined whether regulatory metabolites of PEPC affect the proteolysis of the enzyme in the presence of pC19. It is interesting that the loss of PEPC activity in the presence of pC19 was prevented by the allosteric activator Glc-6P (Fig. 5b, graphic). Glc-6P positively regulates
PEPC by increasing its activity and preventing the subunit dissociation of the enzyme (Chollet et al. 1996). Recently, we demonstrated that Glc-6P not only prevents the exposure of the C-term of the native PEPC but returns the exposed sequence to the embedded native conformation (Gandullo et al. 2019). This indicates that Glc-6P is not only a positive effector of the enzyme’s activity as largely described in the literature (Chollet et al. 1996) but also a protector of its integrity.

**Phosphorylated PEPC is less sensitive to proteolysis**

In C₄ plants, C₄-PEPC is subjected to diel post-translational regulation that alters its functional and regulatory properties (Chollet 1996; Echevarría and Vidal 2003). This occurs when a small molecular mass (32 kDa) phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase kinase (PEPCk) phosphorylates the Ser of the enzyme’s N-terminal domain (E/DR/KxxSIDAQL/MR; Jiao et al. 1991). When performed at suboptimal pH (7.3) and 2.5 mM PEP, it has been established that the IC₅₀ for L-malate (the concentration of L-malate that inhibits PEPC activity by 50%) reflects the phosphorylated state of PEPC. Values around 1.2 and 0.4 mM IC₅₀ represent phosphorylated and dephosphorylated enzymes, respectively (McNaughton et al. 1989; Echevarría et al. 1990, 1994). Taking advantage of pC19 to promote the proteolysis of PEPC, we explored how the phosphorylation state of the enzyme impacts on the sensitivity of the protein to proteolysis. This was done with crude extracts from illuminated (phosphorylated PEPC) or darkened (dephosphorylated PEPC) sorghum leaves. Furthermore, we phosphorylated PEPC in semi-purified fraction in vitro using the catalytic subunit of protein kinase (PKA) (an efficient PEPCk, although one thus far not found in plants; Terada et al. 1990). Previously to the addition of pC19, the phosphorylation state of PEPC was confirmed by L-malate test (IC₅₀ values shown in Fig. 6a, b). As shown in Fig. 6, the phosphorylated PEPC was less sensitive to proteolysis than the dephosphorylated form. This was demonstrated by the loss of PEPC activity in the presence of pC19 and by the amount of dephosphorylated and phosphorylated sp-PEPC in PAGE after incubation in the presence of pC19 (Fig. 6c).

Proteolysis of the N-terminal end during the purification of PEPC has been extensively reported (McNaughton et al. 1989; Baur et al. 1992; Crowley et al. 2005). In addition, C₃-PEPC (RePPC) from castor oil seeds exists in vivo as a proteolyzed N-terminal subunit (Uhrig et al. 2008; O’Leary et al. 2011). Similarly, we recently demonstrated that the loss of the N-terminal end of PEPC is an early event in proteolysis conducted by cathepsin proteases present in sp-PEPC in the presence of PA (Gandullo et al. 2019). Here we show that the proteolysis of PEPC was blocked in the presence of N-t-IgGs antibodies (produced against the N-t-peptide) in

![Fig. 6 Phosphorylated PEPC is less sensitive to proteolysis than dephosphorylated PEPC.](image-url)
the proteolytic assay (Fig. 7, lane 7 vs. lane 2). In addition, PEPC proteolysis in the presence of pC19 was inhibited by the phosphorylated N-terminal peptide (N-t-OP; Fig. 7a, lane 4). However, this effect was much more pronounced when a N-terminal dephosphorylated peptide (N-t-OH) was used (Fig. 7a, lane 6), which suggests that N-t-OH is a better inhibitor of the proteases in this sp-PEPC reaction than N-t-OP.

Collectively, these results establish that phosphorylated PEPC is less suitable for degradation by cathepsin proteases present in sp-PEPC than the dephosphorylated enzyme. This is the first report to show that the regulatory phosphorylation of C4-PEPC is a mechanism for preserving the integrity of the enzyme.

Discussion

The C-term of PEPC is a highly conserved sequence whose last 19 amino acids are present in all plant-type PEPCs (PTPCs) sequenced to date. It has been described as a hydrophobic alpha-helix sequence embedded in the subunit (Álvarez et al. 2003) in the active and stable conformation of the enzyme (Álvarez et al. 2003; Kay et al. 2003; Gandullo et al. 2019). The crystalline structure of maize PEPC shows that this sequence oscillates between the active (R) and non-active (T) state of the protein. The R state is stabilized via interaction with the terminal glycine of the C-term, which suggests the importance of the C-term in both catalytic activity and allosteric regulation (Izui et al. 2004). Along the same lines, using specific C-term IgGs (pC19-IgGs) and immunoprecipitation assay, we have demonstrated that the C-term of PEPC can be in two conformational states in vitro, embedded or exposed, being the embedded conformation the most abundant (Álvarez et al. 2003) and active conformation of the enzyme (Gandullo et al. 2019). This was investigated further to show that in vitro PA and anionic phospholipids trigger the exposed C-term conformation, this change being correlated to its proteolysis by cathepsin proteases of the leaf extract (Monreal et al. 2010; Gandullo et al. 2019). The C-term has been also described as an important requirement for the stability of the enzyme since a mutated PEPC lacking this sequence is degraded in E. coli (Dong et al. 1999).

Therefore, it was tempting to examine whether the C-term is a major player in the largely unknown mechanism of PEPC proteolysis/stability. As the C-term is normally embedded in the subunit, pC19 represents an efficient tool to study this possible interaction. Very unexpectedly, we found that when pC19 was added to sp-PEPC from darkened sorghum leaves, PEPC activity was rapidly lost. This was due to proteolytic degradation of the enzyme by cathepsin proteases present in the sp-PEPC fraction. In addition, in the absence of these proteases, the activity and integrity of the enzyme were not affected (Fig. 2, lane 4). The last four amino acids QNTG at the end of the pC19 sequence are not a necessary requirement for interaction between PEPC and pC19, as a pC15 peptide lacking these four amino acids was equally effective as pC19 at promoting the proteolysis of the enzyme (Fig. 4). However, the Thr944 and Thr948 residues are important requirements, as pC19 in which these Thr had been changed by Tyr showed a complete loss of efficiency. The interaction between pC19 and PEPC seems to be specific, as other peptides containing sequences of PEPC (L1, L2, and L3) were ineffective promoting the proteolysis (Fig. 4). Thus, one
main requirement for pC19 to be active is hydrophobicity, but specificity of the amino acid sequence is also required.

It is thus reasonable to assume that pC19, PEPC fragment in which a C-term peptide could be found or the exposed C-term of a PEPC subunit could interact in vivo with other native PEPC to promote the exposure of new cleavage sites and to increase its sensitivity to proteolysis. In a previous study, we reported novel results supporting the viability of this hypothesis (Gandullo et al. 2019). For example, PA increases in response to different stresses (Munnik 2001), including salinity in sorghum plants (Monreal et al. 2013). PA and other anionic phospholipids change C₄-PEPC to a C-term-exposed conformation, which greatly increases the susceptibility of the enzyme to proteolysis (Gandullo et al. 2019). In contrast, Glc-6P maintains the enzyme in a conformation with an unexposed C-term (Gandullo et al. 2019) and preserves the stability of the enzyme (Fig. 5b). These data demonstrate that there are, in vivo, potential regulators of the exposition of the C-term of PEPC making possible to increase or decrease the sensitivity of the enzyme to proteolysis, and PEPC fragments with the C-term may contribute to the autolysis of the processes. However, in this work we used a high ratio of pC19 to the PEPC protein, which suggests that the in vivo conditions in which this interaction can take place (oligomeric state of the enzyme, the real size of the peptides, or the presence of metabolites) may be essential for the interaction.

We also studied how the conformation of PEPC, regulatory metabolites, or the phosphorylation of PEPC affect the stability of the enzyme. The results presented here show that proteolysis in the presence of pC19 can be modulated by the oligomeric state of PEPC, with the tetrameric form the most stable (Fig. 5a). These results are in good agreement with a report by Weding and Black (1987) showing that the sensitivity of a CAM (Crassulacean acid metabolism) PEPC to proteases was clearly related to its oligomeric state. In addition, we have proven here that Glc-6P decreases the degradation of PEPC in the presence of pC19 (Fig. 5b). As described previously by our group, Glc-6P prevents the exposure of the C-term and returns the exposed C-term conformation to the embedded state (Gandullo et al. 2019). This result suggests that an exposed or embedded C-term is key to regulating the susceptibility of the enzyme to proteolysis and describes a new role for Glc-6P not only as a positive effector (Ghollet et al. 1996) but also as a stabilizer of the integrity of the enzyme (Gandullo et al. 2019; and Fig. 5b in this work). To date, the role assigned to the reversible phosphorylation of PEPC is to affect the catalytic and regulatory properties of the enzyme (Duff et al. 1995; Nimmo 2003). However, although this has been largely demonstrated, the lack of PEPC kinases (PEPCks) in a Flaveria bidentis mutant had no effect on photosynthetic rates (Furumoto et al. 2007). Additionally, it is difficult to explain why a modified form of C₄- or CAM-PEPC whose N-terminal region is truncated by proteolysis shows marked desensitization to Asp or L-malate (Chollet et al. 1996; Izui et al. 2003). Furthermore, a C₄-PEPC from castor oil seed (RcPPC3) exists in vivo as a proteolyzed N-terminal subunit (Uhrig et al. 2008; O’Leary et al. 2011). This calls into question the unique role assigned to date to the phosphorylation of C₄-PEPC in C₄ photosynthesis and prompted us to look for alternative functions (e.g., regulation of the stability of the enzyme). Results of this work using pC19 prove that phosphorylation of PEPC decreases the susceptibility of the enzyme to proteolysis.

In vivo phosphorylated PEPC from illuminated leaves showed a slowed kinetic degradation in the presence of pC19 compared to the dephosphorylated enzyme. Moreover, in vitro phosphorylated PEPC was less susceptible to degradation than the dephosphorylated form (Fig. 6). It is interesting that the addition of N-t-IgGs raised against the N-terminus of sorghum C₄-PEPC efficiently decreased the proteolysis of the enzyme (Fig. 7a, lane 7). Saturation of dephospho-PEPC with specific N-t-IgGs antibodies promotes a marked alteration of C₄-PEPC functional and regulatory properties mimicking a phosphorylated enzyme (Paquot et al. 1995). These antibodies may also block access to some essential cleavage sites and hinder proteolysis. It is curious that the addition of dephospho- or phospho-N-terminal peptides decreased the proteolysis of PEPC in the presence of pC19. However, Fig. 7 indicates that the dephosphorylated peptide was a better inhibitor of PEPC proteolysis than the phosphorylated peptide. Collectively, these results describe a new role for the reversible phosphorylation of PEPC. PEPC phosphorylation affects not only the regulatory and catalytic properties of PEPC (Echevarría et al. 1994) but also the stability of the enzyme. In line with these results, C₄-PEPC from salt-treated sorghum plants was highly phosphorylated (Echevarría et al. 2001), and the enzyme was much more stable against degradation than those in control conditions (García-Mauriño et al. 2003; Monreal et al. 2007). Conversely, phosphorylation of PEPC from guard cells of Vicia faba, a C₃-PEPC isoenzyme, participates in the enzyme’s degradation (Klockenbring et al. 1998).

In this work, we demonstrate that dephosphorylated PEPC is a better substrate than phosphorylated PEPC for the cathepsin proteases present in sp-PEPC. All together, these data allow us to suggest a model in which the C-term of PEPC may be exposed or embedded; molecules in the first group would not be phosphorylated (PEPCk would be inhibited by the exposed C-term; Álvarez et al. 2003) and would ideally be sent for proteolysis, whereas those in the second group would be phosphorylated, thus increasing the stability of the enzyme. In this model, Glc-6P and PA trigger each conformation, with Glc-6P acting as a protector and PA acting as an inducer of enzyme degradation. It is interesting that the presence of Glc-6P and PEPC phosphorylation are
related to the active phase of CO₂ fixation in C₄ photosynthesis (Nimmo et al. 2003), whereas increased PA in the cell is related to stress processes (Testerink and Munnik 2005).
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