The Extracytoplasmic Stress Factor, σ^E, Is Required to Maintain Cell Envelope Integrity in Escherichia coli

Jennifer D. Hayden, Sarah E. Ades*

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, United States of America

Abstract

Extracytoplasmic function or ECF sigma factors are the most abundant class of alternative sigma factors in bacteria. Members of the rpoE subclass of ECF sigma factors are implicated in sensing stress in the cell envelope of Gram-negative bacteria and are required for virulence in many pathogens. The best-studied member of this family is rpoE from Escherichia coli, encoding the σ^E protein. σ^E has been well studied for its role in combating extracytoplasmic stress, and the members of its regulon have been largely defined. σ^E is required for viability of E. coli, yet none of the studies to date explain why σ^E is essential in seemingly unstressed cells. In this work we investigate the essential role of σ^E in E. coli by analyzing the phenotypes associated with loss of σ^E activity and isolating suppressors that allow cells to live in the absence of σ^E. We demonstrate that when σ^E is inhibited, cell envelope stress increases and envelope integrity is lost. Many cells lyse and some develop blebs containing cytoplasmic material along their sides. To better understand the connection between transcription by σ^E and cell envelope integrity, we identified two multicopy suppressors of the essentiality of σ^E, ptsN and yhbW. yhbW is a gene of unknown function, while ptsN is a member of the σ^E regulon. Overexpression of ptsN lowers the basal level of multiple envelope stress responses, but not that of a cytoplasmic stress response. Our results are consistent with a model in which overexpression of ptsN reduces stress in the cell envelope, thereby promoting survival in the absence of σ^E.

Introduction

All organisms have stress responses that allow them to sense and respond to damaging conditions by altering gene expression. An additional level of complexity is introduced when the inducing signal is sensed on one side of a membrane and that information must be communicated across the membrane for a response to be generated. In Gram-negative bacteria this intercompartmental signaling is required to maintain the cell envelope, which consists of the inner and outer membranes, periplasmic space, and peptidoglycan layer [1].

The cell envelope is a complex, dynamic compartment that is crucial for the survival of the cell. It is not a static structure and can be remodeled in response to environmental conditions. The chemical environment of the cell envelope is distinct from that of the cytoplasm. The envelope lacks ATP, is oxidizing, and can be subject to fluctuations in ionic strength due to passive diffusion of small molecules through outer membrane porins [2]. As such, Gram-negative bacteria possess stress responses that are uniquely targeted to the cell envelope. These stress responses include the CpxAR (Cpx), BaeRS (Bae), and Rcs phosphorelay, the response governed by the alternative sigma factor σ^D, and the phase shock (PSP) response [3–6]. Each of these responses is activated following perturbation of particular components of the envelope.

Although stress responses are important for reacting to damaging conditions, many stress proteins also play important roles in basic cellular physiology. This is particularly true for the σ^E-dependent response in E. coli, as the spoE gene, which encodes σ^E, is essential for viability [7]. Despite the wealth of information about the role of σ^E in response to cell envelope stress and the identification of the σ^E regulon, the essential role of σ^E is still unclear [8,9]. One suppressor of ΔspoE lethality, a deletion of the ydeQ gene, has been identified [10]. However, the function of this gene is not well understood and it is not clear how it suppresses the essentiality of spoE.

σ^E is activated by stresses that interfere with the folding of outer membrane proteins (OMPs) such as heat shock, overexpression of OMP genes, and mutations in genes encoding chaperones required for OMP folding [11–14]. In unstressed cells, σ^E activity is low because σ^E is sequestered at the inner membrane by the antisigma factor RseA (Fig. 1) [15,16]. RseA is a single-pass inner membrane protein that binds to σ^E and prevents σ^E from interacting with RNA polymerase [15–17]. During envelope stress, RseA is degraded in response to unfolded porins by the sequential action of two inner membrane proteases, DegS and RseP, followed by the cytoplasmic protease ClpXP (Fig. 1) [18–22]. A periplasmic protein, RseB, binds to the periplasmic domain of RseA and enhances inhibition by RseA, protecting it from proteolysis (Fig. 1) [15,16,18,23]. Continual degradation of RseA is required to provide the cell with sufficient free σ^E to support viability, and deletion of either degS or rseP is toxic due to the stabilization of RseA and consequent sequestration of σ^E [19,20,23]. σ^E can also be activated independently of the RseA-dependent stress-signaling
pathway by the cytoplasmic alarmone ppGpp, whose levels change in response to nutrient availability [24].

As expected from its role in the stress response, the $\sigma^E$ regulon includes genes encoding periplasmic foldases, proteases, and chaperones that aid in OMP folding. In addition, $\sigma^E$ transcribes an array of biosynthetic enzymes that are involved in phospholipid, fatty acid, LPS, and membrane-derived oligosaccharide synthesis and transport, and a number of other cell envelope proteins including lipoproteins, inner membrane proteins, and envelope proteins of unknown function [9, 25, 26]. The $\sigma^E$ regulon also includes several genes that encode major components of complexes responsible for ushering LPS and OMPs across the periplasm and properly assembling them in the outer membrane [27, 28]. Recently $\sigma^K$ has been shown to transcribe several small RNAs that decrease the expression of OMPs, providing a mechanism to decrease the flux of OMPs to the envelope during times of stress [29–31]. In addition to the envelope-associated proteins, $\sigma^E$-dependent promoters are found upstream of genes involved in cytoplasmic processes such as transcription, translation, DNA replication, and DNA/RNA modification [9, 25, 26].

The $\sigma^E$ regulon contains a number of essential genes, and the simplest explanation for why $\sigma^E$ is essential is that it is required to transcribe one or more of these genes. Alternatively, loss of expression of multiple non-essential genes dependent on $\sigma^E$ for expression could be lethal. However, many genes in the $\sigma^E$ regulon are also transcribed by $\sigma^K$. Recent studies suggest that approximately 40% of $\sigma^E$-regulated promoters overlap with $\sigma^K$ promoters [32]. This number is likely to be an underestimation of the extent of dual regulation, since it does not include genes that also have $\sigma^K$ promoters farther away from the $\sigma^E$ promoter [32]. As such, $\sigma^K$ may be essential because genes in its regulon that are also transcribed by $\sigma^K$ are misregulated with lethal effect in the absence of $\sigma^E$.

In this work we took two approaches to better understand the essential role of $\sigma^E$. The first approach was to characterize the phenotypes of cells when $\sigma^E$ activity was inhibited to gain insights into what cellular functions were impacted. We demonstrate that $\sigma^E$ is required to maintain the integrity of the cell envelope; in the absence of $\sigma^E$ the cells developed blebs along their sides and lysed.

The second approach was to isolate multicopy suppressors that allow cells to live in the absence of $\sigma^E$ activity. Two multicopy suppressors, $ptsN$ and $yhbW$, were isolated that suppress both

Figure 1. The $\sigma^E$-dependent extracytoplasmic stress response. $\sigma^E$ is held at the membrane by the antiasigma factor RseA. RseB binds to the periplasmic domain of RseA and protects RseA from proteolysis. Unfolded OMPs activate the protease DegS, which cleaves the periplasmic domain of RseA. The partially degraded RseA is now a substrate for RseP. RseP cleaves RseA further, releasing the cytoplasmic domain of RseA bound to $\sigma^E$. This remaining domain of RseA is degraded by ClpXP thereby freeing $\sigma^E$ to interact with RNA polymerase and direct transcription of its regulon. The major classes of known genes in the $\sigma^E$ regulon are indicated. The alarmone ppGpp and protein DksA can activate $\sigma^E$-dependent transcription once $\sigma^E$ is released from RseA, but are not shown for clarity. (IM inner membrane, OM outer membrane).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001573.g001
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lethality due to inhibition of $\sigma^E$ activity and the essentiality of the
rpoE gene. $\mu$N is a member of a $\sigma^D$ regulon, while yhbW is not, and neither gene has previously been associated with cell envelope functions. Overexpression of $\mu$N lowered the basal activity of several envelope-sensing pathways, implying that it may suppress AtpE lethality by reducing stress in the cell envelope.

Results
Inhibition of $\sigma^E$ activity is toxic and increases envelope stress
To gain a better understanding of the role of a particular gene in cellular physiology, it is often informative to examine the phenotypes of a deletion mutant lacking the gene of interest. Because $\sigma^E$ is encoded by an essential gene, it is not possible to examine the phenotype of a AtpE strain. Therefore we examined the effects of loss of $\sigma^H$ activity by inducing the overexpression of its inhibitors, rseA and rseB, encoded under the IPTG-inducible trc promoter on the pTrc99a plasmid, pRseAB. This method efficiently inhibits $\sigma^H$ (Fig. 2A) by preventing its association with RNA polymerase [15–17]. Overexpression of rseA and rseB and the consequent sequestration of $\sigma^H$ were lethal for the bacterium. In liquid culture, the cfu/ml began to decrease after 2 hours (~2.5 generations) following the addition of IPTG and the optical density stopped increasing and began to decrease slightly within 3 hours (~3.5 generation) (Fig. 2B and [15]). On solid media, the plating efficiency in the presence of IPTG was reduced by three orders of magnitude compared with non-inducing conditions (Table 1). To ensure that the observed phenotypes were due to inhibition of $\sigma^H$ and not overproduction of RseA and RseB, we introduced a point mutation into the rseA gene on the plasmid encoding rseA and rseB, changing the aspartate residue at position 11 of RseA to histidine. This mutation abrogates the antisigma factor activity of RseA (Fig. 2A and [16]). When overproduced along with RseB, the RseAD11H protein reached similar steady-state levels as the wild-type protein, was properly localized to the inner membrane, did not inhibit $\sigma^E$ activity, did not induce lysis, and did not reduce the plating efficiency (Fig. 2, Table 1, and data not shown).

Because $\sigma^E$ is known to respond to envelope stress and direct the synthesis of many components of the cell envelope, it is likely that this compartment will be negatively impacted and envelope stress will increase when $\sigma^E$ activity is blocked. We measured activation of reporter genes for the Cpx, Bae, and Rcs envelope stress responses ($\rho$px-$\text{lacZ}$ activated by CpxR, $\rho$bae-$\text{lacZ}$ activated by BaeR and CpxR, $\rho$bae-$\text{lacZ}$ activated by RcsB, Fig. 3). $\beta$-galactosidase activity from the envelope stress reporters increased by 2.5 hours following inhibition of $\sigma^E$ (Fig. 4). Since activation of these stress responses could be due to a general increase in stress associated with cell death, we also monitored activation of the cytoplasmic stress response mediated by $\sigma^D$ using the $\sigma^D$-regulated $\rho$go-$\text{lacZ}$ reporter fusion. $\sigma^D$ activity increased relatively little (~2-fold) following inhibition of $\sigma^E$ compared with the larger increases seen for the envelope stress responses (5.5-fold for Cpx, 8-fold for Rcs, and 12-fold for the Bae/Cpx-dependent reporters).

These results suggest that loss of $\sigma^E$ activity does increase stress in the cell envelope, but does not increase cytoplasmic stress to the same extent.

Loss of $\sigma^E$ activity does not cause major changes in the composition of membranes
Since inhibition of $\sigma^H$ increases envelope stress and $\sigma^E$ can transcribe genes that are localized to the inner and outer membranes, we next asked if the envelope membranes were altered. Samples were taken from cultures 2.5 hours following overexpression of rseA and rseB. This time point was chosen for analysis because the cell envelope stress responses were activated, the cfu/ml had started to decrease, and the morphological defects

Table 1. Plating efficiencies following inhibition of $\sigma^E$

| strain       | plasmid          | plating efficiency* |
|--------------|------------------|---------------------|
| SEA008       | pTrc99a          | 1.0 ± 0.3           |
| SEA007       | pRseAB           | 4.9 ± 2.7 x 10^-1   |
| SEA4044      | pRseAD11H        | 0.9 ± 0.1           |
| SEA4256      | pRseAB+pPtsN     | 0.9 ± 0.2           |
| SEA4271      | pRseAB+pYhbW     | 0.6 ± 0.3           |

*plating efficiency: cfu/ml with IPTG divided by cfu/ml no IPTG
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001573.t001

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001573.g002

Figure 2. Inhibition of $\sigma^E$ activity caused cell death. Strains SEA007 (squares, pRseAB), SEA008 (circles, pTrc99a vector control), and SEA4044 (triangles, pRseAD11H) were grown in LB at 30°C with shaking in a gyratory waterbath. IPTG was added at OD$_{600}$ ~0.1 to induce overexpression of rseA and rseB, indicated by the arrow. (A) $\sigma^H$ was inhibited by overexpression of rseA and rseB, but not rseAD11H and rseB, as shown by $\beta$-galactosidase activity measured from the $\sigma^E$-dependent $\rho$go-$\text{lacZ}$ reporter. Miller units (open symbols, right axis) and OD$_{600}$ (closed symbols, left axis) are plotted at each time point. (B) Overexpression of rseA and rseB, but not rseAD11H and rseB, caused a reduction in the colony forming units and optical density. OD$_{600}$ (closed symbols, left axis) and cfu/ml (open symbols, right axis) were measured throughout the growth curve. A representative experiment is shown in both panels.
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described below were evident. Cell lysates were fractionated by centrifugation through discontinuous sucrose density gradients and several assays were used to detect fractions containing inner and outer membrane components. In each of these assays the results from cultures in which $s^E$ activity was inhibited were similar to those from control cultures. No alterations in the overall protein content of the fractions were detected on Coomassie-stained SDS polyacrylamide gels (Fig. 5A). The inner and outer membrane fractions were well separated, as determined by western blots probed with antibodies to the inner membrane protein, FtsH, and the outer membrane protein, FepA (Fig. 5C). Fractions containing inner membrane were also identified using a $\beta$-NADH oxidase activity assay (Fig. 5B). Thus, loss of $s^E$ activity does not result in gross defects in the steady-state protein composition or density of the inner and outer membranes.
We next examined the morphology of cells following inhibition of σ^E. By 2 hours after addition of IPTG to overexpress \( \text{rseA} \) and \( \text{rseB} \) overexpression, two phenotypes were evident by phase contrast microscopy. Ghosted cells and cells with blebs began to appear in the culture. The blebs formed primarily along the lateral wall of the cells and were found less frequently at either the poles or the septum. Usually only one bleb formed per cell. By 2.5 hours after addition of IPTG, approximately 20% of cells in the culture had blebs (Fig. 6) and the number of ghosted cells increased along with the amount of cellular debris, indicative of lysis. In a typical experiment, approximately 100 cells were viewed at each time point. The location of the blebs and the timing of their appearance with respect to loss of viability were very reproducible, suggesting that the phenotypes were related. By 3–4 hours after addition of IPTG some cells had additional blebs, the number of ghosted cells and the amount of cell debris increased, and many cells clumped together. Similar phenotypes were

Figure 6. Cell envelope defects resulting from inhibition of σ^E. Cultures of SEA007 and SEA008 were grown as in Fig. 2. Samples were taken approximately 2.5 hrs. after induction of \( \text{rseA} \) and \( \text{rseB} \) overexpression. (A–D) Images of live cells are shown using differential interference microscopy (DIC, column 1) and fluorescence microscopy following addition of FM4-64 to visualize membranes (red, column 2), DAPI to visualize DNA (blue, column 3), and expression of YFP to visualize the cytoplasm (green, column 4). The three fluorescent micrographs are overlaid in column 5. (A) Images of the SEA008 control strain in which σ^E was not inhibited are shown. (B–D) Images of SEA007 following σ^E inhibition, reveal blebs that contain YFP and stain with DAPI (B and C) and that contain YFP but do not stain with DAPI (D). In (D), the arrow marks a bleb lacking DAPI staining and the arrowhead marks a lysed cell that retained DAPI staining, but lost YFP. Scale bars are 2 μm. Over 1,000 cells were examined by fluorescence microscopy and typical micrographs are represented here. Scanning electron micrographs (E) and transmission electron micrographs (F) of SEA007 following σ^E inhibition. Scale bars are 1 μm. No blebs were seen on cells in control cultures.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001573.g006
observed when σ^E was inhibited by proteolytic stabilization of RseA through depletion of the DegS or RseP proteases (data not shown) and were not found following overexpression of rseID11H and rseB from pRseA[35], providing further evidence that the phenotypes were due to inhibition of σ^E and not overexpression of rseA and rseB. In addition, the same phenotypes were seen when the cells were grown in glucose or glycerol minimal media supplemented with amino acids indicating that the phenotypes were not a function of growth rate or medium composition.

To further probe the morphology of cells following inhibition of σ^E, fluorescent probes were used to detect cytoplasm, nucleic acids, and membranes in live cells. We visualized the cytoplasm by expressing the soluble fluorescent protein, YFP, from an expression construct integrated in the chromosome. Membranes and nucleic acids were visualized respectively with the FM4-64 and DAPI fluorescent dyes, which were added directly to the cultures. Neither the dyes nor cytoplasmic expression of YFP altered the phenotypes associated with inhibition of σ^E. All of the cells and blebs were outlined with FM4-64, and both the cells and the blebs contained YFP within the FM4-64 boundary, with the exception of the ghosted cells (Fig. 6A–D). The ghosted cells were outlined with FM4-64, but no longer contained YFP (Fig. 6D, indicated by the arrowhead). YFP is not proteolytically labile, therefore the lack of a YFP signal in the ghosted cells is likely to be due to release of cytoplasmic contents upon lysis. All of the cells had DAPI staining, and both the compaction of the nucleoids and the number of nucleoids per cell did not differ significantly from control cells, suggesting that loss of σ^E activity does not cause major defects in chromosome replication or segregation (Fig. 6A–D). Approximately 50% of the cells with blebs contained DAPI staining within the bleb, in addition to YFP (Fig. 6B,C). We hypothesize that some blebs do not contain DAPI staining (Fig. 6D, indicated by the arrow), either because the nucleoid was simply not drawn into the blebs, or there were not enough nucleic acids present to be visualized with DAPI.

Electron microscopy was employed to determine if there were any additional changes in cell morphology not detectable with light microscopy. Scanning electron micrographs revealed no significant alterations other than cells with blebs and ghosted cells (Fig. 6E). The surface of the cells with blebs and ghosted cells were similar to those of cells from control cultures without overexpression of rseA and rseB. Using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) we obtained several images containing sections through a bleb. In these images, the inner and outer membranes could be clearly traced around the bleb, and cytoplasmic material was present in the bleb (Fig. 6F). No evidence of outer membrane vesiculation, which has been associated with alterations in σ^E activity [33,34], or other gross morphological defects were observed in the TEM or SEM images.

Isolation of multicopy suppressors of the requirement of σ^E for viability

The phenotypic studies demonstrate that when σ^E activity is depleted, cell envelope integrity is compromised, resulting in lysis and bleb formation. However, these phenotypes are not readily explained by loss of expression of any gene(s) in the σ^E regulon (see Discussion). To gain additional information about why σ^E is essential, we turned to genetics and isolated multicopy suppressors that allowed E. coli to live in the absence of σ^E activity. Cells containing pRseAB (strain SEA007) were transformed with pools of plasmids from the ASKA ORF library, in which each E. coli ORF was cloned under the control of an IPTG-inducible promoter [35]. Transformants were plated in the presence of IPTG to induce overexpression of rseA and rseB and the gene encoded on the ASKA plasmid. Of approximately 10,000 transformants, 44 putative suppressors were recovered.

Two classes of genes can be isolated with this selection, those encoding genes that restore σ^E activity and those that no longer require σ^E for growth. We distinguished between these classes using the chromosomally encoded σ^E-dependent lacZ reporter gene (rpoHIP-lacZ [36]) in strain SEA007 and detected σ^E activity by plating in the presence of Xgal and IPTG. Of the 44 clones isolated in the selection, 26 formed blue colonies, indicating that overexpression of the gene on the plasmid restored σ^E activity, and 18 formed white colonies, indicating that σ^E activity was not restored and therefore was no longer required for growth. This latter class contained plasmids that were potential suppressors of the requirement of σ^E for viability. For each of these 18 plasmids, we verified that suppression was linked to the plasmid, the plating efficiencies when rseA and rseB were overexpressed were no longer reduced, and the morphological defects were significantly reduced.

The selection identified plasmids that permit growth in the presence of the overexpression of rseA and rseB. However, these strains could have low levels of σ^E activity that contribute to viability. We therefore determined whether the rpoE gene could be deleted when the potential suppressor genes were overexpressed using a cotransduction assay. A nadB::Tn10 allele immediately upstream of a rpoE::kan deletion was introduced by P1 transduction into wild-type strains containing each of the 18 plasmids, and nadB::Tn10 transductants were selected. Because the genes are tightly linked, the rpoE::kan allele will be cotransduced unless rpoE is essential (Table 2). The rpoE deletion was cotransduced in strains carrying 2 of the 18 plasmids (Table 2). For the remainder of the strains, the rpoE deletion was not cotransduced, indicating that the rpoE gene was still required for viability. The two multicopy suppressors of rpoE essentiality were yhbW and ptsN (Tables 1 and 2). yhbW encodes a putative luciferase-like monooxygenase of unknown function. ptsN encodes EIIANtr, a protein related to the enzyme IIa components of PTS carbohydrate transport systems [37]. However, EIIANtr is not known to be associated with any known transporters in E. coli and the Ntr phosphoryl relay is instead thought to play a role in signaling [37–39]. ptsN is a

| recipient | plasmid* | nadB::Tn10<sup>°</sup> | rpoE::kan<sup>°</sup> |
|-----------|---------|------------------------|----------------------|
| SEA001 (wild type) | _ | 159 | 1 |
| CAG43113 (sup+) | _ | 184 | 184 |
| SEA4270 | pYhbW | 48 | 48 |
| SEA4254 | pPtsN | 153 | 153 |
| SEA4106 | pPtsNH73A | 24 | 0 |
| SEA4110 | pPtsNH73A/H120A | 24 | 0 |
| SEA4230 (JtrxA) | _ | 11 | 0 |
| SEA4234 (JtrxA) | pPtsN | 23 | 23 |
| SEA4144 (JptsP) | _ | 20 | 0 |
| SEA4156 (JptsP) | pPtsN | 12 | 12 |
| SEA4143 (JptsO) | _ | 12 | 0 |
| SEA4155 (JptsO) | pPtsN | 15 | 15 |
| SEA4131 (JptsN) | _ | 24 | 0 |

<sup>*</sup>_ denotes no plasmid

<sup>°</sup>number of colonies isolated by P1 transduction selecting for nadB::Tn10

<sup>·</sup>number of nadB::Tn10 transductants shown to also have rpoE::kan

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001573.t002
member of the \(\sigma^E\) regulon \[9\] and we focused on it for further studies.

**Phosphorylation of EIIA\(^{Ntr}\) is required for suppression**

Since EIIA\(^{Ntr}\) is part of a phosphoryl relay and the phosphorylation state of EIIA\(^{Ntr}\) is important for its activity \([40,41]\), we determined whether phosphorylation of EIIA\(^{Ntr}\) was important for suppression. EIIA\(^{Ntr}\) is phosphorylated at His73 and possibly His120 \[41\]. We constructed two variants, \(ptsN\text{-}\text{H}_{173}\text{A}\) and \(ptsN\text{-H}_{173}\text{A}\text{/H}_{120}\text{A}\), and tested them for their ability to suppress the requirement of \(\sigma^E\) for viability in the cotransduction assay. The \(\text{trkA}\text{-}\text{kan}\) allele could not be cotransduced with \(\text{nadB}\text{-}\text{Tn10}\) (Table 2) suggesting that phosphorylation of EIIA\(^{Ntr}\) is required for survival in the absence of \(\sigma^E\). Overexpression of both EIIA\(^{Ntr}\) variants could be detected on Coomassie-stained protein gels indicating that lack of suppression was not owed to instability of the variant proteins (data not shown).

The \(ptsP\) and \(ptsO\) genes encode EIIA \(\text{Ntr}\) and NPR, respectively, and form a phosphoryl relay that transfers a phosphate from phosphoenol pyruvate (PEP) to EIIA \(\text{Ntr}\) to NPR to EIIA \(\text{Ntr}\) \[37,39\]. Since phosphorylation of EIIA\(^{Ntr}\) was required for suppression, we asked whether the other components of the phosphoryl relay were also required by determining whether overexpression of \(ptsN\) could still suppress the essentiality of \(\text{trkA}\text{-kan}\) in strains lacking \(ptsP\) or \(ptsO\). These genes were not required for suppression by overexpressed \(ptsN\text{-nadB}\text{-Tn10}\) \(\text{trkA}\text{-kan}\) cotransductants were obtained in both strains (Table 2). Additionally, the \(\text{trkA}\) gene could not be deleted in \(ptsN\text{-AptsN}\), \(\text{AptsO}\), or \(\text{AptsP}\) strains without overexpression of \(ptsN\). Since the results with the point mutations in \(ptsN\) indicate that phosphorylation of EIIA\(^{Ntr}\) is required for suppression, it is likely that paralogous proteins from other phosphoryl relays might compensate for the loss of the Ntr components and phosphorylate EIIA\(^{Ntr}\) in their absence.

\(PtsN\) has been shown to bind to and inhibit potassium uptake by the potassium transporter TrkA \[40\]. \(K^+\) is the major cation in the \(E.\ coli\) cytoplasm and participates in a variety of processes related to known functions of \(\sigma^E\) including adaptation to osmotic stress and maintenance of turgor pressure \[42,44\]. In addition, \(K^+\) binds and regulates a number of intracellular enzymes including RNA polymerase (as potassium glutamate) \[45\]. Therefore, if overexpression of \(ptsN\) suppresses the requirement of \(\sigma^E\) for viability by inhibiting TrkA, \(\text{trkA}\text{-}\text{kan}\) should not be essential in a \(AtkA\) strain. However, when a \(AtkA\) strain was used as the recipient in the cotransduction assay, only \(\text{nadB}\text{-Tn10}\) \(\text{trkA}\text{-kan}\) transductants were obtained indicating that \(\text{AtkA}\) is not a suppressor (Table 2). Furthermore, the \(\text{trkA}\) gene was not required for suppression by \(ptsN\), as cotransductants were readily obtained in a \(\text{AtkA}\) strain when \(\text{trkA}\text{-kan}\) was overexpressed (Table 2).

**Overexpression of \(ptsN\) lowers envelope stress**

The basal levels of several envelope stress responses are lower in a \(\text{AdepsQ}\) strain, the other characterized \(\text{AdepsE}\) lethality \[10\]. In addition, \(\sigma^E\)-dependent \(\text{trkA}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\) activity is low in a strain containing an unmapped \(\text{AdepsE}\) suppressor \[46\]. Consistent with these results, overexpression of \(ptsN\) lowered activity of the \(\sigma^E\)-dependent \(\text{trkA}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\) reporter in a wild-type \(\text{trkE}\) strain (Fig. 7 and 8A). To determine whether this effect was specific to \(\sigma^E\), or if \(ptsN\) overexpression affected other envelope stress responses, we measured the effects of \(ptsN\) overexpression on reporters for the following envelope stress responses (Fig. 3): Cpx (\(\text{cpxP}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\) reporter), \(\sigma^E\) and Cpx (\(\text{degP}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\) reporter), Bae and Cpx (\(\text{spa}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\) reporter), and Rcs (\(\text{rpsk}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\) reporter). A strain carrying a reporter for the \(\sigma^E\)-dependent cytoplasmic heat shock response (\(\text{htpG}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\)) was included to assay whether \(ptsN\) also lowered cytoplasmic stress (Fig. 3). Overexpression of \(ptsN\) significantly lowered expression of the lac\_Z fusions that are regulated by envelope stress response factors, but had no effect on the \(\sigma^E\)-dependent cytoplasmic stress reporter (Fig. 7). The latter result indicates that overexpression of \(ptsN\) does not lower \(\beta\)-galactosidase activity per se, nor does it have a dampening effect on overall gene expression.

\(ptsN\) overexpression could reduce the basal levels of envelope stress that activate the stress signaling pathways or trigger a cytoplasmic signal that downregulates the effectors of the signaling pathways independently of cell envelope stress. If the latter idea is correct, then \(ptsN\) should lower reporter activity independently of the envelope signal transduction pathways. In a strain lacking the antisigma factor RseA, \(\sigma^E\) activity is high and unresponsive to known regulatory signals that originate in the cell envelope, but is still responsive to levels of the cytoplasmic signaling factor, ppGpp \[24\]. Overexpression of \(ptsN\) did not lower activity of the \(\text{trkA}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\) reporter in a \(\text{AtkA}\) strain, suggesting that signal transduction through RseA is required for \(ptsN\) to lower \(\sigma^E\) activity (Fig. 8A).

If \(ptsN\) overexpression does in fact lower the basal level of envelope stress, then the decrease in reporter gene expression should be dependent on the transcriptional activators associated with the envelope stress pathways. Interestingly, in the \(\text{AdepsQ}\) strain the decrease in reporter gene expression should be dependent on the transcriptional activators associated with the envelope stress pathways. In a \(\text{AdepsQ}\) strain, the other characterized \(\text{AdepsE}\) lethality \[10\]. We measured the effects of overexpression of \(ptsN\) on the Cpx-dependent \(\text{cpxP}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\) and Rcs-dependent \(\text{rpsk}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\) reporter fusions in strains lacking their respective transcriptional regulators, CpxR and RcsB. In a WT strain, overproduction of \(ptsN\) lowered expression of the \(\text{cpxA}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\) reporter 3.2-fold compared with 1.8-fold in the \(\text{RcsB}\) strain (Fig. 8B) and lowered expression of the \(\text{cpxP}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\) reporter 4.3-fold compared with 2.2-fold in the \(\text{CpxR}\text{-}\text{Dpssec}\) strain (Fig. 8C). It has been reported previously that the \(\text{cpxP}\text{-}\text{lac}\_Z\) reporter is not expressed in an \(\text{AdepsR}\) variant of the \(E.\ coli\) strain MC4100 \[10,47\]. However, we were able to reproducibly measure \(\beta\)-galactosidase activity from this reporter in a \(\text{cpxR}\text{-}\text{Dpssec}\) variant of strain MG1655, although expression was quite low (~250-fold lower than in a WT background). These results indicate that decreased activity of the reporters due to \(ptsN\) overexpression is at least partially dependent...
on cpxR and rcsB, suggesting that EIIANtr lowers envelope stress sensed by both pathways.

**Discussion**

Despite extensive knowledge about the regulatory pathways that control $\sigma^E$ activity, the stresses that activate these pathways, and the genes that $\sigma^E$ transcribes, the essential role of $\sigma^E$ in the cell has remained elusive. In this work we demonstrate that $\sigma^E$ is required for maintenance of cell envelope integrity. When $\sigma^E$ activity was inhibited, envelope stress sensed by several stress responses increased, indicating that the cell envelope was impacted. Many cells lysed and some developed blebs containing cytoplasmic material along their sides. Interestingly, these phenotypes were not accompanied by major alterations in the composition of the envelope membranes. We identified two multicopy suppressors, ptsN and yhbW, that allowed cells to live in the absence of the rpoE gene. ptsN is a member of the $\sigma^E$ regulon [9] and lowers the basal level of activity of several envelope stress responses by an unknown mechanism when overexpressed.

How does loss of $\sigma^E$ activity lead to bleb formation and lysis?

The blebs that form following inhibition of $\sigma^E$ resemble bulges formed when *E. coli* are treated with penicillin-like antibiotics that inhibit peptidoglycan synthesis, or when an inactive variant of the peptidoglycan synthase PBP 1B is overproduced [48,49]. These treatments are also lytic, and lysis is thought to be triggered by holes formed in the peptidoglycan layer by murein hydrolases acting in the absence of peptidoglycan synthesis [48,49]. The similarity in the phenotypes suggests that inhibition of $\sigma^E$ leads to weakening of and/or defects in the peptidoglycan layer. These defects potentially have two major outcomes. Either a hole forms in the peptidoglycan that is large enough to accommodate extrusion of the inner membrane and cytoplasm, evidenced by YFP signal in all of the blebs, or the cell lyses, evidenced by loss of YFP signal in the ghosted cells. The observation that blebs form in only 20% of the cells is likely to be due to the inherent stochastic nature of the process. Many cells may lyse before a bleb can form. Further support for the model that inhibition of $\sigma^E$ leads to defects in the peptidoglycan layer comes from preliminary results with a strain lacking four enzymes involved in peptidoglycan synthesis, PBPs 4, 5, 6, and 7, which results in irregular peptidoglycan synthesis [50]. This strain develops blebs and lyses more quickly following inhibition of $\sigma^E$ than the isogenic WT strain (data not shown). If the peptidoglycan is affected, we should be able to reduce cell death by growing the cells in high osmolarity medium. Unfortunately, this experiment was not technically feasible. High osmolarity induced the $\sigma^E$ response such that $\sigma^E$ was no longer inhibited by RseA, even when RseA was overexpressed (data not shown).

Even though these phenotypes are consistent with the model that loss of $\sigma^E$ activity leads to alterations in the peptidoglycan layer resulting in bleb formation and lysis, we cannot eliminate the possibility that bleb formation is caused by a different event, such as aberrant cell division. Future experiments examining the

**Figure 8.** *ptsN* requires known regulators to reduce stress sensed by the $\sigma^E$, Rcs, and Cpx envelope stress pathways. In each panel the β-galactosidase activity was measured with and without overexpression of *ptsN* in a WT strain and in a strain lacking known regulators of the respective stress responses. Measurements are expressed as the percentage of reporter gene activity in the WT strain without *ptsN* overexpression and this value is noted above the columns. Relative reporter gene activities are shown for (A) the *rpoH P3-lacZ* fusion in WT and ΔrseA strains (SEA4254 and SEA4228), (B) the *rpa-lacZ* fusion in WT and ΔrcsB strains (SEA4199 and SEA4203), and (C) the *cpxP-lacZ* fusion in WT and cpxR::Vspec strains (SEA 4179 and SEA4251). The inset in C shows the cpxR::Vspec strain with and without *ptsN* overexpression. The basal level of expression is >250-fold lower in cpxR::Vspec cells than in WT cells and is not easily seen on the main plot. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001573.g008
integrity of the peptidoglycan layer in more detail and the localization of cell division proteins following inhibition of $\sigma^E$ should help distinguish between these possibilities.

Relationship between the phenotypes and the known functions of $\sigma^E$ regulon members

Because the only reported function of $\sigma^E$ is to direct transcription, altered regulation of one or more of the genes in the $\sigma^E$ regulon should ultimately lead to bleb formation and loss of viability when $\sigma^E$ activity is blocked. However, given the known functions of $\sigma^E$ regulon members, we cannot point to one specific gene or set of genes in the regulon that is obviously associated with these phenotypes. Depletion phenotypes have been characterized in detail for five essential $\sigma^E$-regulated genes ($yaeT$, yfO, yplA, lpxA, and lpxD), which encode components of complexes that assemble LPS or OMPs in the outer membrane [28,51–54]. Depletion of these genes causes striking defects in the cell envelope, but not those observed following inhibition of $\sigma^E$ [28,55–56].

The blebbing phenotype suggests that peptidoglycan or cell division is affected by loss of $\sigma^E$. Although $\sigma^E$ does not transcribe any known muralin hydrolases or biosynthetic enzymes directly involved in peptidoglycan synthesis, it does transcribe bacA, which encodes an undecaprenyl pyrophosphate phosphatase [9,56]. BacA hydrolyzes a phosphate moiety from undecaprenyl pyrophosphate to generate undecaprenyl phosphate, which is a lipid carrier required for synthesis of peptidoglycan and other cell wall polymers [56]. However, E. coli possesses at least three additional undecaprenyl pyrophosphate phosphatase enzymes and cells lacking bacA alone have no obvious morphological phenotypes [56]. A $\sigma^E$-dependent promoter is also found upstream of the cell division protein FtsZ [9], but this promoter is one of multiple promoters that contribute to the complex regulation of ftsZ expression [57], making it unlikely that loss of $\sigma^E$ activity would have a large effect under non-stressed growth conditions.

Since no direct connection can yet be made convincingly between $\sigma^E$ regulon members and the observed phenotypes, we think it likely that the phenotypes are caused by indirect effects resulting from loss of or altered regulation of $\sigma^E$-dependent genes. For example, $\sigma^E$ transcribes genes required for synthesis of the lipid A component of LPS, lpxA, lpxB, and lpxD [9]. LpxA catalyzes the first step in lipid A synthesis and uses the same substrate, UDP-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, as is used in the first dedicated step of peptidoglycan biosynthesis [58]. Changes in lpxA and lpxD expression in the absence of $\sigma^E$ could alter the flux of substrates through these pathways, affecting peptidoglycan synthesis and the integrity of the peptidoglycan layer.

Suppressors of $\Delta rpoE$ lethality

In this work two genes were identified whose overexpression suppresses both the loss of viability following inhibition of $\sigma^E$ and the requirement of the rpoE gene for viability. Of the three known suppressors of $\Delta rpoE$ lethality ($\Delta ydeQ$ [10] and overexpression of ptsN and yhbW), the only gene with any known roles in the cell is ptsN. ptsN is a member of the $\sigma^E$ regulon, which suggests that it plays a role in cell envelope processes [9]. Several functions have been associated with the nitrogen PTS system, of which ptsN is a member, including balancing nitrogen and carbon metabolism, suppression of a temperature-sensitive allele of the essential GTPase Era by a $\Delta ptsN$ mutant, and inhibition of the potassium transporter TrkA [37,38,40]. This latter role of $\Delta ptsN$ held the most promise for providing insights into the mechanism of suppression because $\sigma^K$ is important for osmotic regulation and can regulate RNA polymerase, all activities associated with $\sigma^K$ [42,44,45]. However, we demonstrated that TrkA is not required for suppression by ptsN, nor is $\Delta trkA$ a suppressor, indicating that EIA $\Delta ptsN$ has additional functions, yet to be discovered, that are responsible for suppression of $\Delta rpoE$ lethality. As discussed below, our results indicate that this novel activity likely involves regulation of cell envelope stress responses.

Lowered envelope stress responses, a characteristic of $\sigma^E$ suppressors

The basal level of activity of multiple envelope stress responses is reduced in the presence of all $\sigma^E$ suppressors for which data is available, overexpression of $\Delta ptsN$, $\Delta ydeQ$ [10] and an uncharacterized suppressor [46], suggesting that lower activity of envelope stress responses is an important part of the suppression mechanism. Preliminary results indicate that the basal levels of cell envelope stress responses are also lower following overexpression of yhbW, the other multicopy suppressor that we isolated. In light of these results, one model for suppression is that the suppressors strengthen the cell envelope such that loss of $\sigma^E$ activity and the resultant impact on the cell envelope are no longer lethal. If this model is correct, then the suppressors should lower envelope stress sensed by the signal transduction pathways that monitor the cell envelope. We found that the effects of $\Delta ptsN$ overexpression on reporter gene expression for the Res and Cpx pathways were at least partially dependent on their respective stress regulators. In addition, $\sigma^E$ activity was not altered by $\Delta ptsN$ overexpression in a strain lacking rseA, the only known envelope sensor for the pathway. Although it is possible that EIA $\Delta ptsN$ was unable to overcome the high level of activation of $\sigma^E$ in a $\Delta rseA$ strain background, we think it unlikely based on observations with another regulator of $\sigma^E$, which does not act through ResA. $\sigma^E$ activity can be both activated and reduced in a $\Delta rseA$ strain in response to the cytoplasmic regulator ppGpp [24], suggesting that the effects of $\Delta ptsN$ overexpression should be discernible. In contrast, the reduction in activity of the Cpx reporter gene examined in the $\Delta ydeQ$ strain was not dependent on $\Delta rpoE$ [10]. However, epistasis experiments were only reported for the one reporter, and it is not known whether the activity of other cell envelope stress responses were reduced in the $\Delta ydeQ$ background independently of their respective signal transduction pathways [10].

Why is $\sigma^E$ essential in E. coli?

The functions of core members of the $\sigma^E$ regulon are conserved in a number of bacterial species [9]. However, $\sigma^E$ is not essential in many of these bacteria including closely related species such as Salmonella typhimurium [59]. This observation led, in part, to a model proposing that $\sigma^E$ is not essential because it is required to transcribe a particular gene or set of genes [10]. Instead, $\sigma^E$ is essential because the bacteria overreact to its absence and, through an unknown mechanism that requires the $\Delta ydeQ$ gene product, activate an unknown cell death pathway that kills the cells [10]. Our data are consistent with this model. However, we suggest that even though the functions of core regulon members are conserved in bacterial species in which $\sigma^E$ is not essential [9], significant differences can exist in the properties of the cell envelopes of different species and even strains within a species that may determine whether the bacteria can survive in the absence of $\sigma^E$. For example, the majority of the work on $\sigma^E$, including that presented in this paper, has been performed in K12 strains of E. coli, which lack the O-antigen of LPS [60]. This variation could significantly alter the properties of the bacterial cell envelope, making the bacteria more sensitive to disruptions in cell envelope integrity so that $\sigma^E$ would be essential. Therefore, we propose that $\sigma^E$ is indeed essential because it is required to transcribe genes in
its regulon. In the absence of σE these genes are not properly regulated, leading to disruption of cell envelope integrity and lysis. Future experiments delving in greater depth into the phenotypes of cells lacking σE, the roles of σE regulon members of unknown function, and the mechanism of suppression should help to distinguish between these models and provide new insights into the role of this important cell envelope stress response.

Materials and Methods

Media, strains, and plasmids

Strains are derivatives of MG1655, unless otherwise noted, and are listed in Table 3. Cultures were grown in Luria Bertani (LB) broth at 30°C with shaking in a gyratory water bath. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: ampicillin (amp) 100 μg/ml, kanamycin (kan) 30 μg/ml, spectinomycin (spe) 50 μg/ml, and chloramphenicol (cam) 20 μg/ml. Altered alleles and the stress response reporters were transferred into the appropriate recipient strains by transformation with Phix according to standard methods [61], with the exception that sodium citrate was not used because it can be toxic to cells with compromised membranes. The rseD11H mutation was made in the pRseAB plasmid and the ptsN H73A and H73A/ H12A mutations in the pPtsN plasmid using the QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) and verified by sequencing. The ptsN::kan, ptsO::kan, ptsP::kan, and trkA::kan alleles were obtained from the Keio collection [62] and moved by P1 transduction into SEA001. The kan allele was then removed with FLP recombinase by the method of Datsenko and Wanner [63] to create strains SEA4131, SEA4143, SEA4144, and SEA4230.

β-galactosidase assays

β-galactosidase assays were performed largely as described [24]. Overnight cultures were diluted to OD600 of 0.02 and grown with aeration at 30°C. rseD and rseB overexpression was induced by the addition of IPTG to 1 mM when cultures reached an OD600 of 0.1–0.2 for experiments shown in Figs. 2 and 4. In Figs. 7 and 8, β-galactosidase activity was determined from cultures grown for 12–14 hours with and without 1 mM IPTG. Experiments with exponential phase cultures yielded similar results. A minimum of three independent experiments were performed for each strain and condition.

Electron microscopy

Cells of SEA007 and SEA008 to be used for electron microscopy were grown in LB to an OD600 of 0.1–0.2, at which point IPTG was added to induce rseD and rseB overexpression. After 2.5 hours of growth, cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 10 mM HEPES pH 7.8, and lysed by French press. Discontinuous sucrose density gradients were prepared by layering 860 μl of 50%, 45%, 40%, 35%, and 30% sucrose solutions over a 20% sucrose cushion. Lysates from equal numbers of cells for treated and control cultures were loaded onto the gradients, based on the OD600 of the cultures at the time of sampling. After centrifugation at 50,000 rpm for 15 hours, 150 μl fractions were collected from the top of the gradients. The specific gravity of each fraction was determined by measuring the refractive index. β-NADH oxidase activity of each fraction was determined as previously reported [64]. Total protein content was analyzed on 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gels with Coomassie blue staining. Western blotting was performed with polyclonal antibodies to the outer membrane protein FepA (gift of Kathleen Postle) and inner membrane protein FtsH (gift of Christophe Herman) and detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (GE Healthcare) using the ECL Plus kit (GE Healthcare). Deconvolution was performed using the AutoQuant software package (Media Cybernetics). Generally, less than 10 iterations were necessary to deconvolve images.

Sucrose density gradient fractionation

Cultures of SEA007 were grown to an OD600 of 0.1 at which point IPTG was added to 1 mM to one culture to induce rseD and rseB overexpression. After 2.5 hours of growth, cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 10 mM HEPES pH 7.8, and lysed by French press. Discontinuous sucrose density gradients were prepared by layering 860 μl of 50%, 45%, 40%, 35%, and 30% sucrose solutions over a 200 μl 55% sucrose cushion. Lysates from equal numbers of cells for treated and control cultures were loaded onto the gradients, based on the OD600 of the cultures at the time of sampling. After centrifugation at 50,000 rpm for 15 hours, 150 μl fractions were collected from the top of the gradients. The specific gravity of each fraction was determined by measuring the refractive index. β-NADH oxidase activity of each fraction was determined as previously reported [64]. Total protein content was analyzed on 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gels with Coomassie blue staining. Western blotting was performed with polyclonal antibodies to the outer membrane protein FepA (gift of Kathleen Postle) and inner membrane protein FtsH (gift of Christophe Herman) and detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (GE Healthcare) using the ECL Plus kit (GE Healthcare).

Genetic selections

A genetic selection was carried out to identify genes whose overexpression allowed cells to live when σE activity was inhibited. Strain SEA007 (carrying pRseAB) was transformed by electroporation with 5 pools of plasmids from the ASKA plasmid library [35], and the cells were plated on LB agar with 1 mM IPTG, Amp (to select for pRseAB), and Cam (to select for the library plasmids).
### Table 3. Strains and Plasmids

| Strain       | Genotype                                       | Reference                  |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| CAG43113     | MC1061 sup+, same as CAG41001                  | [20]                        |
| CAG45146     | MG1655 ΔlacX74 ΔrpoH3-ΔlacZ                    | [65]                        |
| DH300        | MG1655 ΔargF-lacU169; rprA142-lacZ             | [66]                        |
| SEA001       | SEA01 pRseAB                                   | [24]                        |
| SEA007       | SEA001 pTrc99a                                 | this work                   |
| SEA2000      | SEA001 nadB::Tn10 ΔrseA                        | [24]                        |
| SEA4041      | CAG43113 rpoE::kan                             | this work                   |
| SEA4044      | SEA001 pRseAΔ11HB                               | this work                   |
| SEA4106      | SEA001 pPtsNH73A                               | this work                   |
| SEA4110      | SEA001 pPtsNH73A/H120A                         | this work                   |
| SEA4114      | SEA4041 nadB::Tn10                             | this work                   |
| SEA4131      | SEA001 ΔptsN                                   | this work                   |
| SEA4143      | SEA001 ΔptsO                                   | this work                   |
| SEA4144      | SEA001 ΔptsP                                   | this work                   |
| SEA4155      | SEA4143 pPtsN                                 | this work                   |
| SEA4156      | SEA4144 pPtsN                                 | this work                   |
| SEA4177      | MG1655 ΔlacX74 ΔrSR88[cpxP-lacZ] pRseAB        | this work, reporter from [47]|
| SEA4179      | MG1655 ΔlacX74 ΔrSR88[cpxP-lacZ] pPtsN         | this work, reporter from [47]|
| SEA4181      | MG1655 ΔlacX74 ΔrSR88[degP-lacZ] pPtsN         | this work, reporter from [68]|
| SEA4183      | CAG45146 pRseAB                                | this work                   |
| SEA4185      | CAG45146 pPtsN                                 | this work                   |
| SEA4187      | MG1655 ΔlacX74 ΔrSR88[spy-lacZ] pRseAB         | this work, reporter from [69]|
| SEA4189      | MG1655 ΔlacX74 ΔrSR88[spy-lacZ] pPtsN          | this work, reporter from [69]|
| SEA4190      | DH300 pRseAB                                   | this work                   |
| SEA4199      | DH300 pPtsN                                    | this work                   |
| SEA4203      | 4199 rcsB::kan                                 | this work, rcsB::kan from [66]|
| SEA4204      | SEA4181 nadB::Tn10 ΔrseA pPtsN                 | this work                   |
| SEA4228      | SEA2000 pPtsN                                 | this work                   |
| SEA4230      | SEA001 ΔtrkA                                   | this work                   |
| SEA4234      | SEA4230 pPtsN                                 | this work                   |
| SEA4251      | SEA4179 cpxR::Ω2spec                            | this work, cpxR::Ω2spec from [68]|
| SEA4254      | SEA001 pPtsN                                   | this work                   |
| SEA4256      | SEA007 pPtsN                                   | this work                   |
| SEA4270      | SEA001 pHbW                                    | this work                   |
| SEA4271      | SEA007 pHbW                                    | this work                   |
| SEA4287      | SEA007 Δ{xylA}Δ{yfp}:tetR-yfp                  | this work, yfp allele from Goulian lab collection |
| SEA4288      | SEA008 Δ{xylA}Δ{yfp}:tetR-yfp                  | this work, yfp allele from Goulian lab collection |

### Plasmids

- pTrc99a: vector, pBR322 ori Amp<sup>8</sup> (Pharmacia)
- pRseAB: rseA and rseB in pTrc99a, same as pLC253 ([15])
- pRseAΔ111=B: RseAD11H in pRseAB
- pPtsN: ptsN in pCA24N, ASKA plasmid, Cam<sup>8</sup> (this work and [35])
- pPtsNH73A: PtsNH73A in pPtsN (this work)
- pPtsNH73A/H120A: PtsNH73A/H120A in pPtsN (this work)
- pHbW: yhbW in pCA24N, ASKA plasmid, Cam<sup>7</sup> (this work and [35])

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001573.t003
The ASKA plasmid library (without the GFP tag) used in these studies consists of plasmids containing E. coli ORF’s cloned under the control of an IPTG-inducible promoter [35]. Colonies that grew on IPTG were streaked again on LB/IPTG/Amp/Cam to confirm growth. Plasmids were then isolated, and library plasmids purified by transformation into DH5α with selection on LB/Cana. The selected ASKA plasmids were then transformed back into SEA007 to verify that they conferred growth on IPTG. Cells were also grown on plates containing Xgal to determine whether σE activity was restored (blue colonies) or was still inhibited (white colonies). The selected genes encoded on the ASKA plasmids were identified by sequencing.

Determination of the essentiality of ptoE

The nadB::Tn10 ptoE::kan linked marker construct used in cotransduction assays was made in a strain that contains an unidentified suppressor of ptoE (sup, CAG43113). The ptoE gene was deleted first, using the method of Datsenko and Wanner [63] to generate strain SEA401. The nadB::Tn10 insertion from SEA2000 was then moved into the ptoE::kan strain by P1 transduction, selecting for resistance to Kan and Tet to generate strain SEA4114. Transductants were verified by PCR to ensure that the kan allele was present and the ptoE gene was absent. The essentiality of ptoE was determined by assessing the cotransduction frequency of nadB::Tn10 and ptoE::kan in recipient strains. The markers are tightly linked, so nadB::Tn10 should be transduced independently only in strains in which ptoE is essential. Plasmids that suppressed lethality due to overexpression of rseA and rseB without restoring σE activity were transformed into SEA001. The resulting strains were transduced with a P1 lysate from SEA4114. Colonies were first plated on LB/Tet/IPTG to select for nadB::Tn10 and to overexpress the putative suppressor gene on the plasmid. Transductants were then streaked on LB/Kan/IPTG to determine if ptoE had been deleted. PCR was used to verify the presence of the nadB::Tn10 and ptoE::kan alleles and the absence of the ptoE gene. Deletion of the ptoE gene was also verified by western blotting with polyclonal antibodies specific to σE. In each cotransduction experiment, SEA001 and CAG43113 were used as negative and positive controls, respectively.

Acknowledgments

We thank Susan Gottesman, Tracy Raivio, Carol Gross, Mark Goulian, and Hirota Mori for sharing strains; Mary Laubacher for making strains; and Kathleen Postle, Marta Manning, and Charles Bulakbi for assistance with sucrose gradients and the FepA antibody. All fluorescence microscopy was done at the Cyometry Facility, University Park (Huck Institutes of the Life Sciences, Penn State University) and the facility is funded, in part, under a grant with the Pennsylvania Department of Health using Tobacco Settlement Funds. The Department specifically disclaims responsibility for any analyses, interpretations, or conclusions. All electron microscopy was done at the Electron Microscopy Facility at the Huck Institutes of the Life Sciences, Penn State University.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: SA JH. Performed the experiments: JH. Analyzed the data: SA JH. Wrote the paper: SA JH.

References

1. Kellenberger F (1996) Structure and Function at the Subcellular Level. In: Neidhardt FC, Curtiss III, Ingraham JL, Lin ECC, Low KB, Magasanik B, Reznikoff WS, Riley M, Schaechter M, Umbarger HE, eds. Escherichia coli and Salmonella Cellular and Molecular Biology. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: ASM Press. pp 17–28.
2. Nikaido H (1994) Pores and specific diffusion channels in bacterial outer membranes. J Biol Chem 269: 3905–3908.
3. Majdalani N, Gottesman S (2005) The Rcs phosphorelay: a complex signal transduction system. Annu Rev Microbiol 59: 379–405.
4. Raivio TL (2005) Envelope stress responses and Gram-negative bacterial pathogenesis. Mol Microbiol 56: 1119–1129.
5. Rowley G, Spector M, Kormann J, Roberts M (2006) Pushing the envelope: extracytoplasmic stress responses in bacterial pathogens. Nat Rev Microbiol 4: 383–394.
6. Ruiz N, Silhavy TJ (2005) Sensing external stress: watchdog of the Escherichia coli cell envelope. Curr Opin Microbiol 8: 122–126.
7. De Las Penas A, Connolly L, Gross CA (1997) SigmaE is an essential sigma factor in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 179: 6602–6604.
8. Alba BM, Gross CA (2004) Regulation of the Escherichia coli sigma-dependent envelope stress response. Mol Microbiol 52: 613–619.
9. Rhodius VA, Suh WC, Nonaka G, West J, Gross CA (2006) Conserved and variable functions of the σE stress response in related genomes. PLoS Biol 4: e2.
10. Button JE, Silhavy TJ, Ruiz N (2007) A suppressor of cell death caused by the loss of σE downregulates extracytoplasmic stress responses and outer membrane vesicle production in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 189: 1523–1530.
11. Mcecas J, Welch R, Erickson JW, Gross CA (1995) Identification and characterization of an outer membrane protein, OmpX, in Escherichia coli that is homologous to a family of outer membrane proteins including Alg of Flavobacterium enetatis. J Bacteriol 177: 799–804.
12. Misriakas D, Betton JM, Raima S (1996) New components of protein folding in extracytoplasmic compartments of Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 19: 2171–2179.
13. Rouviere PE, De Las Penas A, Mcecas J, Lu CZ, Rudd KE, et al. (1995) ptoE, the gene encoding the second heat-shock sigma factor, σE, in Escherichia coli. Embo J 14: 1032–1042.
14. Rouviere PE, Gross CA (1996) SurA, a periplasmic protein with pepidiyl-prolyl isomerase activity, participates in the assembly of outer membrane porins. Genes Dev 10: 3110–3120.
15. De Las Penas A, Connolly L, Gross CA (1997) The σE-mediated response to extracytoplasmic stress in Escherichia coli is transduced by RseA and RseB, two negative regulators of σE. Mol Microbiol 24: 373–385.
16. Misriakas D, Mayer MP, Lemaire M, Georgopoulos C, Raima S (1997) Modulation of the Escherichia coli σE (RpoE) heat-shock transcription-factor activity by the RseA, RseB and RseC proteins. Mol Microbiol 24: 355–371.
17. Campbell EA, Tupy JL, Gruber TM, Wang S, Sharp MM, et al. (2003) Crystal structure of Escherichia coli σE with the cytoplasmic domain of its anti-sigma RseA. Mol Cell 11: 1067–1070.
18. Ades SE, Connolly LE, Alba BM, Gross CA (1999) The Escherichia coli σE-dependent extracytoplasmic stress response is controlled by the regulated proteolysis of an anti-sigma factor. Genes Dev 13: 2419–2421.
19. Alba BM, Leeds JA, Onufryk C, Lu CZ, Gross CA (2002) DegS and YaeL participate sequentially in the cleavage of RseA to activate the σE-dependent extracytoplasmic stress response. Genes Dev 16: 2156–2168.
20. Alba BM, Zhong HJ, Pelayo JC, Gross CA (2001) degS (ihdd) is an essential Escherichia coli gene whose indispensable function is to provide σE activity. Mol Microbiol 40: 1323–1333.
21. Flynn JM, Levchenko I, Sauer RT, Baker TA (2004) Modulating substrate choice: the SspB adaptor delivers a regulator of the extracytoplasmic-stress-response to the AAA+ protease ClpXP for degradation. Genes Dev 18: 2292–2301.
22. Kanchara K, Bo K, Aiyamara Y (2002) YaeL, (Es/E) activates the σE pathway of stress response through a site-2 cleavage of anti-σE, RseA. Genes Dev 16: 2147–2155.
23. Grigorieva IL, Chaha R, Zhong HJ, Alba BM, Kudriasv L, et al. (2004) Fine-tuning of the Escherichia coli σE envelope stress response relies on multiple mechanisms to inhibit signal-independent proteolysis of the transmembrane anti-sigma factor, RseA. Genes Dev 18: 2606–2607.
24. Costanzo A, Ades SE (2006) Glucose phase-dependent regulation of the extracytoplasmic stress factor, σE, by guanosine 3’,5’-bisphosphoysophate (ppGpp). J Bacteriol 188: 4627–4634.
25. Dartigalongue C, Misriakas D, Raima S (2001) Characterization of the Escherichia coli σE regulon. J Biol Chem 276: 20866–20875.
26. Rezuchova B, Miticka H, Homerova D, Roberts M, Kormanec J (2003) New class A β-lactamases from yersiniae. J Antimicrob Chemother 52: 299–309.
27. Ruiz N, Kehne D, Silhavy TJ (2006) Advances in understanding bacterial outer-membrane biogenesis. Nat Rev Microbiol 4: 57–66.
28. Spandeirdo P, Cescutti R, Villa R, Di Benedetto C, Candia D, et al. (2007) Characterization of lptA and lptD, two essential genes implicated in lipopolysaccharide transport to the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 189: 244–253.
29. Johansen J, Rasmussen AA, Overgaard M, Valentin-Hansen P (2006) Conserved small non-coding RNAs that belong to the sRNAs regulon: role in down-regulation of outer membrane proteins. J Mol Biol 364: 1–8.
30. Thompson KM, Rhodius VA, Gottesman S (2007) σE regulon is regulated by a small RNA in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 189: 4243–4256.
31. Udelsky KI, Wagner EG (2007) σE controls biogenesis of the antisense RNA MurA. Nucleic Acids Res 35: 1279-1289.
32. Wade JT, Rea DC, Grainger DC, Harid J, Busy SJ, et al. (2006) Extensive functional overlap between sigma factors in Escherichia coli. Nat Struct Mol Biol 13: 810-814.
33. McBroom AJ, Johnson AP, Vemulapalli S, Kuehn MJ (2006) Outer membrane vesicle production by Escherichia coli is independent of membrane instability. J Bacteriol 188: 5385-5392.
34. McBroom AJ, Kuehn MJ (2007) Release of outer membrane vesicles by Gram-negative bacteria is a novel envelope stress response. Mol Microbiol 63: 545-558.
35. Kitagawa M, Ara T, Artifizzaman M, Ioka-Nakamichi T, Inamoto E, Toyonaga H, Mori H (2007) Complete set of ORF clones of Escherichia coli ASKA library (a complete set of E. coli K-12 ORF archive): unique resources for biological research. DNA Res 12: 291-299.
36. Mecsas J, Rouviere PE, Erickson JW, Donohue TJ, Gross CA (1993) The activity of σE, an Escherichia coli heat-shock-inducible sigma factor, is modulated by expression of outer membrane proteins. Genes Dev 7: 2618-2628.
37. Powell BS, Court DL, Inada T, Nakamura Y, Michotey V, et al. (1995) Novel proteins of the phosphotransferase system encoded within the vps operon of Escherichia coli. Enzyme IIA/N subunit affects growth on organic nitrogen and the conditional lethality of an rol mutant. J Biol Chem 270: 4222-4230.
38. Commichau FM, Forchhammer K, Stulke J (2006) Regulatory links between carbon and nitrogen metabolism. Curr Opin Microbiol 9: 167-172.
39. Rabus R, Reuter J, Paulsen I, Saier MH Jr (1999) Enzyme I(Ntr) from Escherichia coli: A novel enzyme of the phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent phosphotransferase system exhibiting strict specificity for its phosphoryl acceptor, NPr. J Biol Chem 274: 26185-26191.
40. Lee CR, Cho SH, Yoon MJ, Peterkofsky A, Seok YJ (2007) Escherichia coli enzyme IIA(Ntr) regulates the K* transporter TrkA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 4124-4129.
41. Lee CR, Koo BM, Cho SH, Kim YJ, Yoon MJ, et al. (2005) Requirement of the alternative sigma factor, σE, during bacterial osmoregulation. EMBO J 25: 1515-1521.
42. Bianchi AA, Baneyx F (1999) Hypersmotic shock induces the σE and σS stress regulons of Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 34: 1029-1038.
43. Wood JM (1999) Osmosensing by bacteria: signals and membrane-based sensors. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 63: 230-262.
44. Csonka LN, Epstein W (1996) Osmoreregulation. In: Neidhardt FC, Curtiss R III, Ingraham JL, Lin ECC, Low KB, Magasanik B, Reznikoff WS, Riley M, Schaechter M, Umbarger HE, eds. Escherichia coli and Salmonella: Cellular and Molecular Biology. ASM Press. p. 1210-1223.
45. Gralla JD, Vargus DR (2006) Potassium glutamate as a transcriptional inhibitor during bacterial osmoregulation. EMBO J 25: 1515-1521.
46. Walsh NP, Alba BM, Bos R, Gross CA, Sauer RT (2003) Omp peptide signals initiate the envelope-stress response by activating DegP protease via relief of inhibition mediated by in PDZ domain. Cell 113: 61-71.
47. Danese PN, Silhavy TJ (1998) CpxP, a stress-combative member of the Cpx regulon. J Bacteriol 180: 831-839.
48. Meinl U, Holjev J, Vollmer W (2003) Overproduction of inactive variants of the murein synthase PBP1B causes lysis in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 185: 5342-5348.
49. Spratt BG (1975) Distinct penicillin binding proteins involved in the division, elongation, and shape of Escherichia coli K12. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 72: 2999-3003.
50. Denome SA, Elf PK, Henderson TA, Nelson DE, Young KD (1999) Escherichia coli mutants lacking all possible combinations of eight penicillin binding proteins: viability, characteristics, and implications for peptidoglycan synthesis. J Bacteriol 181: 3981-3993.
51. Braun M, Silhavy TJ (2002) Imp/OstA is required for cell envelope biogenesis in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 45: 1289-1302.
52. Charlson ES, Werner JN, Murra R (2006) Differential effects of yfgL mutation on Escherichia coli outer membrane proteins and lipopolysaccharide. J Bacteriol 188: 7186-7194.
53. Doerrler WT, Raetz CR (2005) Loss of outer membrane proteins without inhibition of lipid export in an Escherichia coli YaeT mutant. J Biol Chem 280: 27679-27687.
54. Malinverni JC, Werner J, Kim S, Sklar JG, Kehne D, et al. (2006) YliI stabilizes the YaeT complex and is essential for outer membrane protein assembly in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 61: 151-164.
55. WU T, McConnichli AC, Gronenborn LS, Chung SS, Silhavy TJ, et al. (2006) Identification of a protein complex that assembles lipopolysaccharide in the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 11754-11759.
56. El Ghachi M, Derbise A, Boulaa A, Mengin-Lecreulx D (2005) Identification of multiple genes encoding membrane proteins with undecaprenyl pyrophosphate phosphatase (UppP) activity in Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem 280: 18689-18695.
57. Bhardwaj K, Garrido T, Vicente M (1997) Contribution of individual promoters in the dinB-dinC region to the transcription of the essential cell-division gene ftsZ in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 24: 927-936.
58. Anderson MS, Raetz CR (1987) Biosynthesis of lipid A precursors in Escherichia coli, a cytoplasmic acyltransferase that converts UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-l-alanylglucosamine to UDP-3-O-R-3-hydroxymuconic semialdehyde. J Biol Chem 262: 5159-5169.
59. Humphreys S, Stevenson A, Bacon A, Weinhardt AB, Roberts M (1999) The alternative sigma factor, σE, is critically important for the virulence of Salmonella typhimurium. Infect Immun 67: 1560-1568.
60. Liu D, Reeves PR (1994) Escherichia coli K12 regains its O antigen. Microbiology 140: 49-57.
61. Miller JH (1972) Experiments in Molecular Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories.
62. Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, et al. (2006) Construction of Escherichia coli K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol 2: 20060002.
63. Dansenko KA, Wanner BL (2000) One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97: 6640-6645.
64. Osborn MJ, Gander JE, Parisi E, Carson J (1972) Mechanism of assembly of the outer membrane of Salmonella typhimurium. Isolation and characterization of cytoplasmic and outer membrane. J Biol Chem 247: 3962-3972.
65. Nonaka G, Blankschien M, Herman C, Gross CA, Rhodius VA (2006) Regulation and promoter analysis of the second small RNA activator of RpoS translation, RprA. Mol Microbiol 58: 334-344.
66. Toynag H, Mori H (2005) Complete set of ORF clones of Escherichia coli K-12 ORF archive: unique resources for biological research. DNA Res 12: 291-299.
67. Ades SE, Grigorova IL, Gross CA (2003) Regulation of the alternative sigma factor σE during initiation, adaptation, and shutoff of the extracytoplasmic heat shock response in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 185: 2512-2519.
68. Danese PN, Snyder WB, Cosma CL, Davis LJ, Silhavy TJ (1995) The Cpx two-component signal transduction pathway of Escherichia coli regulates transcription of the gene specifying the stress-inducible periplasmic protease, DegP. Genes Dev 9: 387-398.
69. Raible TH, Laidir MV, Joly JC, Silhavy TJ (2000) Tethering of CpxP to the inner membrane prevents spherosome induction of the cpx envelope stress response. Mol Microbiol 37: 1186-1197.