Molecular Structure Features and Acid–Base Ionization of the 5–(4′–Aminophenyl)–10,15,20–tris(4′–sulfophenyl)porphine Conjugate with H–acid in Water
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Using the DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) method, the relationship between molecular structure and acid-base properties of water-soluble conjugate obtained by azo coupling of the diazonium cation of 5-(4′-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-tris(4′-sulfonatophenyl)porphine with 1-amino-8-naphtholate-3,6-disulfonate (H-acid) in alkaline medium was analyzed. It was shown that a stable form of the pentanion of this azo compound is the hydrazone trans-tautomer with 1,2-quinoid structure of the H-fragment. The most acidic is hydrazone NNH-group, the dissociation of which begins in the region above pH 12 and can not be quantified. Dissociation of other weaker acid groups of the conjugate is limited by the upper value of the pH water scale. The pyrroilenine nitrogen atoms of the porphyrin platform H₂P, which diprotonates with close constants values, are most basic. It was shown that the reasons of synchronous H₂P diprotonation are the effects of substituents and the (H₂O)₂[H₄P²⁺] aquacomplex formation, which act together. Further protonation of the H-fragment is limited by the lower value of pH water scale. The aquacomplex is an aggregation-stable zwitterion that does not form porphyrin J-aggregates even in the presence of self-assembly inducers. The reason for the high aggregation stability is the steric effect of the bulk H-fragment.
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Introduction

Water-soluble azo-conjugate based on 5-(4'-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-tris(4'-sulfonatophenyl)porphine and 1-amino-8-naphtholate-3,6-disulfonate (H-acid) is a new molecular platform promising for obtaining various functional compounds by multivariate modification of the porphyrin and/or H-acid unit. Porphyrin unit attracts interest due to possibility of metal derivatives and their axial complexes formation. H-Acid unit is available for further diazo-tization and azo coupling with the formation of extended azo-conjugates. In addition, the initial fragments and their potential derivatives possess the properties of ionic receptors, which is important for the design of supramolecular hybrid nanosystems. An important feature of the initial conjugate is the presence of five acid-base centers, the activity of which depends on pH, including, for example, azo-hydrazone tautomerism of the azo-bridge or the ability of sulfoporphyrins to form supramolecular zwitterionic J-aggregates. Presented work is devoted to the acid-base transformation analysis of azo-conjugate pentaanion in water.

Experimental

Reagents. H-Conjugate was synthesized by azo coupling of diazotization cation of 5-(4'-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-tris(4'-sulfonatophenyl)porphine and 1-amino-8-naphtholate-3,6-disulfonate (H-acid) in alkaline medium, isolated and characterized by UV-Vis, 1H NMR, MS-MALDI-TOF spectra in water as described in.[1] 5,10,15,20-(Tetraphenyl)porphine, 99 %, PorphyChem; H-acid (1-amino-8-naphtholate-3,6-disulfonate disodium salt), 99 %, Xian Health Biochem Technology Co., Ltd.; trifluoroacetic acid, 99 %, Panreac; sodium nitrate, reagent grade, JSC “Lenreaktiv”; aqueous ammonia 26 %, analytic grade, LLC “Sigma-Tech”; 5,10,15,20-(Tetraphenyl)porphine, 99 %, PorphyChem; H-acid (1-amino-8-naphtholate-3,6-disulfonate disodium salt), 99 %, Xian Health Biochem Technology Co., Ltd.; trifluoroacetic acid, 99 %, Panreac; sodium nitrate, reagent grade, JSC “Lenreaktiv”; aqueous ammonia 26 %, analytic grade, LLC “Sigma-Tech”; 5,10,15,20-(Tetraphenyl)porphine, 99 %, PorphyChem; H-acid (1-amino-8-naphtholate-3,6-disulfonate disodium salt), 99 %, Xian Health Biochem Technology Co., Ltd.; trifluoroacetic acid, 99 %, Panreac; sodium nitrate, reagent grade, JSC “Lenreaktiv”; aqueous ammonia 26 %, analytic grade, LLC “Sigma-Tech”;

Quantum chemistry calculations were performed at the Gaussian software package.1

Results and Discussion

Previously it has been shown that azo coupling of 5-(4'-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-tris(4'-sulfonatophenyl)phloroglucinol (H) with 1-amino-8-naphtholate-3,6-disulfonate leads to H-conjugate formation.[9] and its thermodynamically stable form (one of possible four, Figure 1S) is the hydrazone trans-tautomer with 1,2-quinoid structure of H-unit (Figure 1). H-Conjugate molecule is a porphyrin with multilayer system of substituents, which is convenient to consider as a “Russian doll” as the molecular structure becomes more complicated in the series (1)-H,P- (2)-H,P(Ph), (3)-H,P(PhNH3) (PhSO3-), (4)-H-conjugate.

Unsubstituted porphyrin platform H,P has a planar structure due to four N-H⋯N bifurcate intramolecular hydrogen bonds (IMHB), which are 13 % shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii R,(H) and R,(N) (Table 1). Together with the aromatic porphyrin system, which tends to maintain the planarity, bifurcate IMHB completely compensate the intramolecular repulsion between two hydrogen atoms (IMHR), the distance between which is 5 % less than the sum 2R,(H). Hereinafter, we use the interatomic distance deviation from the sum of the van der Waals radii of atoms as a comparative criterion for hydrogen bonding and hydrogen repulsion.3,4

According to the second Etter’s rule IMHB, which closing six-membered rings, have an advantage over intermolecular hydrogen bonds (IMHB) and thus completely block intracyclic atoms of the porphyrin platform from non-covalent intermolecular interactions.3,4,8-13 Free rotation of phenyl rings is limited by van der Waals repulsion between ortho-protons and β-protons of H,P. In H,P(Ph), the closest distance between o-H and β-H is only 0.8 Å, which is 64 % less than the sum of 2R,(H). Phenyl rings of H,P(Ph), participate in the H-π-interaction with the porphyrin platform, as a result they rotate by ±64° relative to the porphyrin meso-plane C5C1OC15C20. This multiple H-π-interaction is the reason for the noticeable 1,3-alternation of the porphyrin platform H,P(Ph), (Table 2), which distorts the initial planarity of H,P without weakening the bifurcated IMHB: pyrrole and pyrrolenic fragments alternately deviate from the meso-plane by a dihedral angle of ±6°, and pyrrole atoms by ~0.05 Å. Three negative charged -SO,- groups and one electron donating -NH2 group are responsible for the enhancement of the H-π-interaction and decrease in the dihedral angles between the electron-rich substituted phenyl rings and the meso-plane H,P(Ph)(PhSO3-), where all the upper row atoms of H-unit and the amino group of porphyrin (Figure 1) are linked by strong intramolecular hydrogen bonds (IMHB), which close six-membered cycles and obey Etter’s rules.6,7 Due to hydrogen bonding, the H-fragment and the phenyl ring are in the coplanar position (Figure 2). Further, this coplanarity is preserved for all acid-base forms of H-conjugate considered in this work and is not commented on. Otherwise, the geometry of
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Figure 1. (a) – The structure and system of substituents of the H-conjugate with an increasing complexity of its chemical structure: (1) – porphine (H₂P), (2) – 5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphine (H₂P(Ph)₄), (3) – 5-(4'-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-tris(4'-sulfonatophenyl)porphine, (4) – H-conjugate. (b) – Indexing of the porphyrin platform.

Table 1. Interatomic distance deviations from the sum of the van der Waals radii of atoms Rw (%) of

| Compound                             | IMHR  | IMHB  |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|
|                                      | 2R_s(H) – distance HH | R_s(H)+R_s(X) – distance X···H |
|                                      |       |       |
|                                      | AB    | BC    | CD    | AD    | AC    | BD    | AB    | BC    | CD    | DA    | O···H |
| H₂P                                 | –5    |       |       |       |       |       | –13   | –13   | –13   | –13   |       |
| H₂P(Ph)₄                            | –5    |       |       |       |       |       | –13   | –13   | –13   | –13   |       |
| H₂P(PhNH₂)(PhSO₃)₃                  | –5    |       |       |       |       |       | –12   | –12   | –12   | –12   |       |
| H₂P in H-conjugate                  | –5    |       |       |       |       |       | –13   | –12   | –13   | –12   |       |
| H₃P                                | –11   | –11   |       |       |       |       | –17   | –17   |       |       |       |
| H₃P(Ph)                             | –6    | –6    |       |       |       |       | –14   | –14   |       |       |       |
| H₃P(PhNH₂)(PhSO₃)₃                  | –4    | –5    |       |       |       |       | –14   | –13   |       |       |       |
| H₃P in H-conjugate                  | –4    | –6    |       |       |       |       | –15   | –12   |       |       |       |
| H₄P                                | –2    | –2    | –2    | –2    | 15    | 15    |       |       |       |       |       |
| H₄P(Ph)                             | +8    | +8    | +8    | +8    | +22   | +22   |       |       |       |       |       |
| H₄P(PhNH₂)(PhSO₃)₃                  | +7    | +11   | +13   | +11   | +25   | +24   |       |       |       |       |       |
| H₄P in H-conjugate                  | +13   | +10   | +5    | +10   | +24   | +25   |       |       |       |       |       |
| (H₂O)₂H₄P                          | +1    | +1    | +1    | +1    | +14   | +14   |       |       |       |       | –21*  |
| (H₂O)₂H₄P(Ph)                      | +8    | +8    | +8    | +8    | +20   | +20   |       |       |       |       | –21*  |
| (H₂O)₂H₄P(PhNH₂)(PhSO₃)₃           | +8    | +10   | +12   | +10   | +22   | +22   |       |       |       |       | –20*  |
| (H₂O)₂H₄P in H-conjugate           | +15   | +11   | +6    | +11   | +24   | +23   |       |       |       |       | –19*  |

*average value
Table 2. Deviation of pyrrole, pyrrolenine, phenyl rings (dihedral angle) and intramolecular hydrogen atoms (distance) from the meso-plane of the porphyrin platform: sign (+) – upward deviation and (-) – downward deviation.

| Platform                  | meso-plane | A   | B   | C   | D   | Ph*   |
|---------------------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| H\textsubscript{2}P       | 0          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | –     |
| H\textsubscript{2}P(Ph)\textsubscript{4} | 0          | +5 (–0.05) | –6  | +5 (–0.05) | –6  | ±64   |
| H\textsubscript{2}P(PhNH\textsubscript{2})(PhSO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} | 0          | +11 (0.02) | –6  | +6 (+0.06) | –8  | ±59   |
| H\textsubscript{2}P in H-conjugate | 1          | +6 (–0.09) | –8  | +7 (–0.08) | –8  | ±59   |
| H\textsuperscript{+}P\textsubscript{2}+ (Ph)\textsubscript{4} | 0          | +18 (–0.37) | –22 (0.77) | +18 (–0.37) | –15 | ±49   |
| H\textsuperscript{+}P\textsubscript{2}+(PhNH\textsubscript{2})(PhSO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} | 0          | +21 (–0.43) | –24 (0.78) | +20 (–0.37) | –19 | ±43   |
| H\textsuperscript{+}P\textsubscript{2}+(1) | 2          | +20 (–0.46) | –25 (0.76) | +19 (–0.35) | –18 | ±43   |
| H\textsuperscript{+}P\textsubscript{2}+(2) | 0          | +16 (–0.65) | –16 (0.65) | +16 (–0.65) | –16 (0.65) | –    |
| H\textsuperscript{+}P\textsubscript{2}+(3) | 0          | +31 (–0.76) | –31 (0.76) | 31 (–0.76) | –31 (0.76) | ±33   |
| H\textsuperscript{+}P\textsubscript{2}+(4) | 0          | +29 (–0.78) | –29 (0.78) | +29 (–0.78) | –29 (0.78) | ±34   |
| H\textsuperscript{+}P\textsubscript{2}+(5) | 0          | +32 (–0.77) | –32 (0.77) | +34 (–0.82) | –34 (0.82) | ±27   |
| H\textsuperscript{+}P\textsubscript{2}+(6) | 0          | +36 (–0.86) | –39 (0.85) | +31 (–0.74) | –31 (0.73) | ±26   |

* average value

Figure 2. DFT-geometry of H-conjugate. In the left part, the phenyl rings perpendicular to the figure plane are omitted for clarity.

The H-conjugate porphyrin unit is little different from the precursor H\textsubscript{2}P(PhNH\textsubscript{2})(PhSO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}.

In the H-conjugate there is a number of different acid and base centers, connected by IMHB (Figure 1). The most acidic is the hydrazone NNH proton. The standard value of its dissociation DFT-enthalpy in the absence of a medium exceeds the alternative enthalpies of the first step acid dissociation of H\textsubscript{2}P and the amino group of the H-unit by 3.38 and 5.57 kcal/mol, respectively.

Hydrazone NNH-group is a very weak acid in aqueous solution, dissociation of which begins in pH range above 12 (Figure 3), and can be characterized quantitatively. Dissociation of other weaker acidic groups of the H-conjugate is limited by the upper value of the aqueous pH scale.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{P} + \text{H}^+ & \xrightleftharpoons{K_{\text{H}_2\text{P}}} \text{H}_2\text{P}^+ \quad (1) \\
\text{H}_4\text{P}^+ & + \text{H}^+ \xrightarrow{K_{\text{H}_4\text{P}^+}} \text{H}_4\text{P}^{2+} \quad (2) \\
\text{H}_4\text{P}^+ + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} & \xrightleftharpoons{K_{\text{H}_4\text{P}^+}} (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2[\text{H}_4\text{P}^{2+}] \quad (3) \\
\text{H}_3\text{P}^+ & + \text{H}^+ \xrightarrow{K_{\text{H}_3\text{P}^+}} (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2[\text{H}_4\text{P}^{2+}] \quad (4)
\end{align*}
\]
Positive charge increases the H-π-interaction of the ates by 25° and its NH proton – by 0.76 Å. An excessive by 0.4 Å. Protonated pyrrole ring B, free from IMHB, devi -meso-positively charged platform (Figure 4).

Pyro -lene nitrogen atoms of H₃P have the highest basicity in H-conjugate (Table 3).

First of them is protonated with a rapture of two bifurcate IMHBs and with a deepening of H₃P+ 1,3-alternation in H-conjugate as a result of three protons IMHR enhancement, as well as the increasing of H-π-interaction with the positively charged platform (Figure 4).

Hydrogen bonded rings A, C, and D deviate from the meso-plane by 19°, and their NH protons – approximately by 0.4 Å. Protonated pyrrole ring B, free from IMHB, devi -ates by 25° and its NH proton – by 0.76 Å. An excessive positive charge increases the H-π-interaction of the H₃P+ in H-conjugate with electron-rich phenyl rings, as a result all dihedral angles with the meso-plane are reduced to 43°. The H-π-interaction is the reason that already in monoprotonated H₃P⁺(Ph) IMHR disappears between the opposing protons 21 and 23, since the distance between them is 2 % higher than the 2R(H) value. In H₃P⁺ of H-conjugate this value decreases to 4 % due to the substituents electronic effects. Nevertheless, despite the significant distortion of planarity, the acid-base centers of the monoprotonated porphyrin platform remain inaccessible for solvation and other non-covalent intermolecular interactions.[3,4,8–13] The substituents are responsible for the 69 % increase in the proton affinity of the H-conjugate H₃P unit with respect to porphine; 4 % of these belong to four phenyls, 46 % – to three sulfonate and one amino groups, and 19 % – to the H-fragment.

Protonation of the second pyrro -lene nitrogen atom leads to the complete destruction of bifurcate IMHB, as a result the H₃P⁺⁺ got the elastic 1,3-alternate structure, where the porphyrin macrocycle distortion is balanced by the tendency of the aromatic system to planarity (Figure 5).

Due to the double positive charge and two pairs of converging NH-groups, the H₃P⁺⁺ unit in H-conjugate possesses the molecular and anionic receptor properties with two interdependent sites of electrostatic and hydrogen bonding of “guests” located axially on opposite meso-plane sides. Average, dihedral angles between the meso-plane and pyrrole rings increase to ± 34°, with phenyl rings they decrease to ± 27°, and pyrrole NH atoms rise to a height of ± 0.76 Å. Strong H-π-interaction between H₃P⁺⁺ and electron-rich phenyl rings of H-conjugate is the reason for the increase in the average distance between the neighboring NH atoms by 10 % of the 2R w(H) value and, as a consequence, the complete absence of IMHR. It is known that in an aqueous solution, due to a large excess of water molecules acting as “guests”, porphyrin dication exists as aqua complex (H₂O)[H₃P⁺⁺].[4,8–13] Sites of the H₃P⁺⁺ platform of H-conjugate are pre-organized for efficient binding of H₂O oxygen atom in the aqua complex, where the N-H-O angle of intermolecular hydrogen bonds reaches 172°, approaching a right angle (Figure 6).

Positive charge leads to a decrease in the proton affinity of the H₃P⁺ in H-conjugate, but electron-donating effects of the substituents are increased. Proton affinity of H₃P⁺ in H-conjugate with respect to porphine increases already by 123 %, 16 % of them belong to four phenyls, 72 % – to three sulfonate and one amino groups, and 35 % – to H-fragment.

As a result, this leads to a significant values convergence of

Table 3. DFT-enthalpies of reactions (1)-(4) in the absence of medium and corresponding constants in water at 25 °C.

| Compound | ΔHₛ₁ | ΔHₛ₂ | ΔHₛ₁−ΔHₛ₂ | ΔHₛ₁−(ΔHₛ₁+ΔHₛ₂) | kcal/mol |
|----------|------|------|-------------|-------------------|---------|
| H₃P      | –244.89 | –164.08 | –80.81 | –42.11 | (–48 %) |
| H₃P(Ph)₄ | –255.91 | –11.02 | –189.77 | –25.69 | (+16 %) | (–18 %) | (–60 %) |
| H₃P(PhNH₃)(PhSO₃)₃ | –366.60 | –121.71 | –308.17 | –144.09 | (+88 %) | (–28 %) | (–63 %) |
| H₃P in H-conjugate | –414.71 | –169.82 | –366.10 | –202.02 | (+123 %) | (–40 %) | (–72 %) |

Figure 3. Changes in UV-Vis absorption spectra of H-conjugate as a result of hydrazone NNH-group acid dissociation in water at 25 °C.
the $\text{H}_3\text{P}^+$ and $\text{H}_2\text{P}$ proton affinities, the difference between them decreases to 60 %, and with the aqua complex formation – already to 28 %.

When diprotonated in water (Figure 7), these remaining differences (28 %) are almost completely leveled out due to the medium effect.

Aqua-complex $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2[\text{H}_4\text{P}^{2+}]$ formation is the reason of synchronous diprotonation of $\text{H}_4\text{P}$ in $H$-conjugate with close values of step constants $\lg K_{b1} = 5.31 \pm 0.01^{[1]}$ and $\lg K_{b2} = 4.88 \pm 0.01^{[1]}$ corresponds to the equations (1) and (4). As follows from equation (4) the $K_{b2}$ value is the product of the second stage constant of the $H$-conjugate porphyrin
platform protonation $lgK_w$ and the aqua-complex formation constant $lgK_w$ multiplied by the square of the concentration of water in water, which can be conventionally taken as a constant value due to a large excess of solvent in relation to the reagents. Protonation of $H_P$ in water is accompanied by a transformation of the $H$-conjugate spectrum to the spectrum of the diprotonated form aqua complex $[H_2P]$ (green line) at 434 nm. Purple line – spectrum of equilibrium mixture of these forms in the ratio of 28%, 45% and 27%, respectively. Solid black line – experimental titration curve with $K_{w1}$ and $K_{w2}$, dotted line – model titration curve, corrected for the medium effect $C^0_{H_2O}$ with $K_w$ and $(K_{w2}, K_{w1,2})$.

$A_{434nm}(H_P) = \frac{A_{0(434nm)}(H_P)}{1 + K_{w1} \cdot 10^{-pH} + K_{w2} \cdot 10^{-2pH}}$ (5)

$A_{434nm}(H_P^+) = \frac{A_{0(434nm)}(H_P^+)}{1 + K_{w1} \cdot 10^{-pH} + K_{w2} \cdot 10^{-2pH}}$ (6)

$A_{434nm}(H_P^{2+}) = \frac{A_{0(434nm)}(H_P^{2+})}{1 + K_{w2} \cdot 10^{-2pH}}$ (7)

Aqua-complexes $[H_2P]$ and $[H_2P^+]$ are the monomers of zwitter-ionic $J$-aggregates, which are supramolecular polymers, self-assembled due to intramolecular substitution of water molecules by sulfonate groups of phenyl rings 5 and 15.[4,14] The most characteristic is the Soret band shift to 490 nm (Figure 8(a)). First $J$-aggregate can be produced at pH 4, and the second one at pH 1.[15] In general, porphyrin $J$-aggregates assembly is monitored by characteristic narrow $J$-bands, strongly shifted to the red region relative to the initial Soret and Q1-bands of the corresponding monomers.[1,14] The monomer of $H$-conjugate is not protonated in aqueous solution due to electrostatic interaction with closely spaced positive charges.[14] For example, Figure 8(b) shows the absorption spectrum of the $H$-conjugate aqua complex in the presence of protonated poly-L-lysine ($pK_a = 5$). The interaction of $H$-conjugate monomers with this cationic polyelectrolyte is accompanied only
by broadening of absorption bands and a small 5 nm red shift of the Soret band, which is characteristic for ordinary aggregation. H-Conjugate and \(\text{H}_2\text{P(PhNH}_2\text{)}(\text{PhSO}_3\text{)}_3\) are characterized by close, almost identical values of stepwise protonation constants. For \(\text{H}_2\text{P(PhNH}_2\text{)}(\text{PhSO}_3\text{)}_3\), the half-sum \((\lg K\text{b1} + \lg K\text{b2})\) is \(5.06 \pm 0.02\). In addition, their aqua complexes also have similar geometry (Table 1,2). An obvious difficulty to the \(J\)-aggregates self-assembly from the \(H\)-conjugate aqua-complexes is the steric effect of the bulky \(H\)-fragment.

Conclusions

Hydrazone trans-tautomer of the water-soluble azo-conjugate 5-(4’-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-tris(4’-sulfophenyl) porphine with \(H\)-acid is a \(p\text{H}\)-stable form of this compound in almost entire \(p\text{H}\) aqueous scale. Due to such structure, the porphyrin and the \(H\)-fragments are in a weak electron interaction. Steric effect of bulky \(H\)-fragment inhibits the \(p\text{H}\)-dependent self-assembly of these sulfoporphyrin zwitterions into \(J\)-aggregates. This combination of chemical properties opens up the perspective of obtaining new water-soluble compounds, based on this platform, with the expected physicochemical and supramolecular functionality, as well as various bis- and multiporphyrin molecular systems using standard approaches developed for each fragment.
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