γ-Glutamyltranspeptidase activity in human breast lesions: An unfavourable prognostic sign
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Summary The activity of γ-glutamyltranspeptidase (γGT) (EC 2.3.2.2) was examined by histoenzymatic labelling on frozen sections derived from normal breast tissue, benign lesions and carcinomas. In biopsies from normal tissue and benign lesions, labelling was very intense in lumina and in the apical pole of the cells lining the lumina whilst in the cytoplasm it was slightly positive. In 34 out of 70 carcinomas, γGT activity was either undetectable or slightly positive while in the remaining 36 there was intense activity. Statistical examination of the results revealed (1) no obvious correlation of γGT activity with histological grade of the tumour, progesterone receptor content or classification of patients by pre- or postmenopausal status. (2) A good correlation between γGT activity and the following unfavourable prognostic signs: lymph node metastases and absence of oestriadiol receptors. Patients with γGT-negative tumours may have a more favourable prognosis than those with γGT-positive tumours.

The activity of the enzyme γ-glutamyltranspeptidase (γGT) has been measured by both biochemical and histoenzymatic assays in human and animal tissues. Activity is elevated in renal tubules, pancreatic acinar cells and in epithelial cells of the rat jejunum (Rutenburg et al., 1969; Marathe et al., 1979). An increase in γGT activity has also been detected in neoplastic tissue compared to that in the corresponding normal tissue, as exemplified by the rat mammary gland (Jaken & Mason, 1978), benign papilloma and squamous cell carcinoma in mouse skin (De Young et al., 1978; Klein-Szanto et al., 1983). In the case of rat hepatoma, not only is there an increase in activity, but the increase is observed at an early stage in neoplastic hepatocytes (Fiala et al., 1976; Harada et al., 1976; Cameron et al., 1978; Hirot a & Williams, 1979). Increased γGT activity in the sera of cancer patients is a good marker of metastases in the liver of patients with primary tumours of the lung, breast and digestive tract (Ranson et al., 1973; Cooper et al., 1975; Almersjö et al., 1976; Munjal et al., 1976; Beck et al., 1979). In the mammary gland, γGT activity can be modulated by prolactin, oestriadiol and progesterone (Puente et al., 1979; Pocius et al., 1980). Since breast tumours contain different concentrations of oestrogen and progesterone receptors, it seemed reasonable to determine whether there was any relationship between γGT activity of breast carcinomas and their receptor content. To do this, the histoenzymatic method was chosen since it permitted enzyme activity to be assessed in the tumour tissue itself, whereas the biochemical technique would have given an overall activity of tumour and surrounding tissue.

A similar study was reported by Levine et al. (1983), but this work was performed in absence of a control for the specificity of the γGT reaction. This specificity can easily be shown using serineborate, known to be an inhibitor of γGT activity (Tate & Meister, 1978). In the present study, γGT activity in breast tumours was examined and the specificity of the staining controlled by serineborate. Furthermore, a statistical examination of the results was performed in order to investigate if γGT activity could have prognostic value.

Materials and methods

Specimens

The study was carried out on biopsies of mammary tumours obtained from patients undergoing surgery at the Centre Léon Bérard between May 1983 and August 1984. Tumours were typed according to the World Health Organization classification (O.M.S., 1981). The study comprised 27 benign and 70 malignant tumours, as well as 5 biopsies from histologically normal tissue taken at some distance from the tumour. Histological grading of the carcinomas was established as described by Bloom and Richardson (1957). In addition, the active cell population, defined as the ratio of tumour cells to stroma, was evaluated by eye as accurately as possible for each carcinoma.

Histochemical determination of γGT activity

A fragment of each tumour obtained at surgery was
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immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen sections (5 μm) were labelled according to the method described by Rutenburg et al., (1969): sections were incubated at room temperature for 30 min in a solution containing 1 ml of a substrate solution (2.5 mg γ-glutamyl-4-methoxy-2-naphthylamide ml⁻¹ distilled water), 5.0 ml Tris Buffer (0.1 M) pH 7.4, 14 ml 0.85% NaCl, 10 mg glycyglycine and 10 mg Fast Blue BBN. The specificity of the reaction was controlled by incubating sections in the same medium described above but containing 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ of L. serine and 3.8 mg ml⁻¹ of sodium borate, as potent inhibitors of the enzyme (Tate & Meister, 1978). For each tumour γGT labelling was performed on 3 sections. For each section examined for γGT activity another section of the same series was stained with haematoxylin-phloxin-saffron for histological examination. Microscopic observation and photographs were made on the same day.

Measurement of oestrogen and progesterone receptors

Oestrogen and progesterone receptors in the tumours were determined by methods previously described (EORTC Breast Cancer Cooperative Group, 1973; Horwitz & McGuire, 1975). The carcinomas were considered positive when their receptor level was >10 fmol mg⁻¹ protein, as generally accepted (Hawkins et al., 1980).

Measurement of serum γGT

Serum γGT was determined using an auto-analyser, ASTRA Systems, Beckman instruments (Normal range 7–64 IU1⁻¹).

Statistical methods

Correlations were attempted between in situ γGT activity and histological grade, the active cell population, lymph node invasion, oestadiol receptor (ER) content, progesterone receptor (PGR) content, serum γGT levels and pre- or postmenopausal status.

Qualitative correlations were compared using the Chi Square test with Yates correction. Analysis of variance was used to study quantitative variables. All tests were performed with a two side rule and 0.05 significance level. Numerical data are expressed as mean (± s.e.).

Results

Evidence for γGT activity

γGT activity was visualised in sections by the presence of a granular orange-red precipitate. Controls incubated in the presence of L. serine and sodium borate showed no coloured deposit.

γGT activity in benign tumours

Figure 1 shows the typical enzyme labelling pattern obtained with sections from benign tumours. In this category, consisting of 9 fibroadenomas, 3 benign phyllodes tumours and 15 fibrocystic diseases, enzyme activity was always found to be distributed as follows: only epithelial cells were positive. Connective tissue showed no activity. The most intense staining was localised in the lumina of ducts and lobules, and in the apical pole of the cells lining the lumina. In the non apical region of the cytoplasm, labelling was less intense and more evenly distributed.

![Image of benign lesion stained with γGT](image_url)

Figure 1 Benign lesion (fibrocystic disease) stained for γGT activity. The labelling is intense in the apical pole of the cells lining the lumina, and inside the lumina. Non apical cytoplasm is faintly positive. (x150)

γGT activity in normal tissue

In sections from normal tissue the distribution of enzyme activity was identical to that described for benign tumours (Figure 2).

γGT activity in malignant tissue

Of 70 carcinomas examined, 4 were infiltrating lobular carcinomas and 66 were infiltrating ductal carcinomas. Whereas the staining pattern of the benign tumours was similar from one tumour to the next, with carcinomas it was heterogeneous. Carcinomas were either: (i) positive with cytoplasmic staining present in 100% of all the tumour cells of the biopsy (Figure 3). The intensity of positive staining was variable from one tumour to the other; or (ii) totally negative, without labelling, or with a labelling pattern not
characteristic of positive cells in that it was restricted to some rare specks (Figure 4); (iii) heterogeneously positive with both positive and negative cells in the same tumour. In cases of heterogeneous labelling, positive cells were always grouped in distinct areas, and not dispersed throughout the tumour. In some well-differentiated carcinomas, staining was more typical of that found in benign tumours in that activity was greater at the apical pole of the cells lining the lumina (Figure 5). However, no positive staining was seen inside the lumina.

**Statistical analysis**

Malignant tumours were subdivided into 2 groups:

(i) γ-GT positive – if 50–100% of cancer cells showed intense labelling. The ratio of positive/negative cells was estimated by counting the cell population as accurately as possible. This group comprised 36 tumours (51.4%).

(ii) γ-GT negative – if enzyme activity was undetected, or with a staining pattern restricted to rare specks, or present in <50% of cancer cells. This group comprised 34 tumours (48.6%).

Table I shows the relationship between γ-GT activity and histological grade. Out of 38 grade 1 tumours, 20 were positive, and of 25 grade 2, 12 were positive. There was no obvious correlation between γ-GT activity and the histological grade of the carcinoma.

| Grade | γ-GT⁺ | γ-GT⁻ |
|-------|-------|-------|
| 1     | 20    | 18    |
| 2     | 12    | 13    |
| 3     | 2     | 1     |
| not determined (lobular carcinomas) | 2 | 2 |

Table I Relationship between γ-GT activity and histological grade of carcinomas
The active cell population was 48.2% in the γGT positive group, and 44% in the γGT negative. Again no correlation was apparent here. However, when compared with the presence of lymph node metastases (Table II), tumours with γGT activity showed a significant correlation (P<0.05). Of the other criteria examined for the existence of a possible relationship with γGT, viz. pre- or post-menopausal status, ER and PGR content, serum γGT levels, Table III shows that there was no correlation with menopause. As regards ER and PGR, it is apparent from Table IV that the relationship between the absence of ER and the presence of γGT is significant (P≈0.05). This is not the case with PGR. γGT positivity or negativity is equally distributed between the PGR+ group or the PGR- group (Table IV). The range of γGT circulating levels was 9–40 IU 1\(^{-1}\) for γGT negative tumours and 5–199 IU 1\(^{-1}\) for γGT positive tumours. The mean values of serum γGT were 33.93±5.84 IU 1\(^{-1}\) and 17.91±2.92 IU 1\(^{-1}\) for γGT positive and negative tumours respectively. If we exclude the 3 patients whose serum γGT levels were above the normal range (64 IU 1\(^{-1}\)) we were left with an average value of 24.82±3.41 IU 1\(^{-1}\) which is not significantly different from that (17.91±2.92 IU 1\(^{-1}\)) in patients with γGT negative tumours.

### Table II Relationship between γGT activity and lymph node invasion

| Presence of lymph node invasion | γGT\(^+\) | γGT\(^-\) |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Absence of lymph node invasion  | 9        | 17       |
| Presence of lymph node metastases | 27      | 17       |
| \(\chi^2=4.67\) P<0.05          |          |          |

### Table III Relationship between γGT activity and pre- or postmenopausal status of the patients

| Premenopause | γGT\(^+\) | γGT\(^-\) |
|--------------|----------|----------|
| Postmenopause| 21       | 20       |

### Discussion

A histoenzymatic analysis of human mammary tissue for γGT activity has provided evidence for a difference between normal and benign tissue on the one hand and malignant tumours on the other. Indeed, as previously stated, the staining pattern of normal and benign tissue was similar from one sample to the next whereas it was heterogeneous in carcinomas. Further, the distribution of enzyme activity was similar in normal and benign tissue in that it was confined to the lumina of the ducts and lobules, and to the apical pole of epithelial cells with little or no staining of the cytoplasm. On the contrary, the cytoplasm of certain malignant carcinomas showed intense activity though the intensity varied from one tumour to the next. In other carcinomas activity was greatly reduced or almost negligible. This variation in γGT activity in human mammary carcinomas had been previously reported by Levine et al. (1983) who noted a tendency for the more poorly differentiated carcinomas (grades 2 and 3) to have a weaker γGT activity than grade 1 tumours. We could find no supportive evidence among our observations on 70 different tumours. In fact there were no obvious histopathological differences detectable by light microscopic examination between γGT\(^+\) and γGT\(^-\) tumours. Possible reasons for this discrepancy may be that the authors did not control the specificity of the γGT reaction with serine-borate as we have done here and that they did not perform a statistical examination of their results. They also had a distribution of carcinomas between the 3 grades different from ours. The tumours used in this study are indeed biased in favour of grade 1 tumours according to the Bloom and Richardson classification. However they do not represent the overall tumour incidence from patients attending the breast cancer clinic. This incidence was 25% grade 1, 45% grade 2 and 30% grade 3, over the period of the study. The bias is due to the availability of biopsy material at surgery. The only correlations which were statistically significant with γGT activity were lymph node invasion, and the absence of oestriadiol receptors (ER\(^-\)). As regards the former, Nemoto et al. (1980) have shown that lymph node invasion is an unfavourable prognostic sign. As regards the latter, Knight et al. (1977) and
Rich et al. (1978) have also provided evidence showing that patients with ER- tumours have a less favourable prognosis than those with ER+ tumours. At the moment though, this latter result should be interpreted with caution since the progesterone receptor content seems to be of greater prognostic value than that of ER (McGuire & Clark, 1983; Saez et al., 1983). The functional significance of γGT in tumour cells cannot be determined from the results of the histoenzymatic analysis reported here. However, the role of this enzyme in γ-glutamyl amino acid transport is well documented and recently Bridges and Meister (1985) have suggested that the transport of γ-glutamyl amino acids is dependent on intracellular glutathione levels. Further Osuji (1980) has also shown that γGT has two amino acid transporting sites. One can therefore speculate that the activity in benign tissue may be a reflection of normal γ-glutamyl amino acid transport whereas in carcinomas it may be a reflection of impairment in intracellular glutathione metabolism which has also been reported in some transformed cells (Meister & Anderson, 1983). In connection with γGT itself, circulating enzyme levels determined before mastectomy were elevated in only 3 patients whose tumours were γGT positive. Our inability to find a significant correlation between tumour γGT positivity and circulating γGT may be due to the fact that our histoenzymatic analysis on γGT positive tumours were performed on only 2 grade 3 tumours compared to 32 grade 1 and grade 2 tumours.

Based on the two above mentioned criteria viz. lymph node invasion, and ER- tumours, γGT positivity would appear to be an unfavourable prognostic sign. The real value of the results reported here will be tested only in a few years time when survival rates between the two groups can be compared.

Meanwhile, the simplicity and the rapidity of the histoenzymatic method properly controlled for enzyme specificity are two arguments in favour of performing routine γGT determinations in histological examinations of breast cancer.

We are indebted to Dr Simone Saez for helpful discussion and for hormone receptor determinations. We thank Mr Yves du Bois and Mr Alain Joubert for preparing the illustrations, and Ms. Josiane Colonozet for typing the manuscript.
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