Calculating polaron mobility in halide perovskites
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Lead halide perovskite semiconductors are soft, polar, materials. The strong driving force for polaron formation (the dielectric electron-phonon coupling) is balanced by the light band effective-masses, leading to a strongly-interacting large-polaron. A first-principles prediction of mobility would help understand the fundamental mobility limits. Theories of mobility need to consider the polaron (rather than free-carrier) state due to the strong interactions. In this material we expect that at room temperature polar-optical phonon mode scattering will dominate, and so limit mobility. We calculate the temperature-dependent polaron mobility of hybrid halide perovskites by variationally solving the Feynman polaron model with the finite-temperature free-energies of Osaka. This model considers a simplified effective-mass band-structure interacting with a continuum dielectric of characteristic response frequency. We parametrise the model fully from electronic-structure calculations. In methylammonium lead iodide at 300 K we predict electron and hole mobilities of 133 and 94 cm\(^2\)V\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\) respectively. These are in acceptable agreement with single-crystal measurements, suggesting that the intrinsic limit of the polaron charge carrier state has been reached. Repercussions for hot-electron photo-excited states are discussed. As well as mobility, the model also exposes the dynamic structure of the polaron. This can be used to interpret impedance measurements of the charge-carrier state. We provide the phonon-drag mass-renormalisation, and scattering time constants. These could be used as parameters for larger-scale device models and band-structure dependent mobility simulations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hybrid halide perovskites are a new class of solution processed semiconductors\(^1\). They are of considerable practical interest, mainly due to the high photovoltaic action and potential low cost. One important figure of merit for a semiconductor is the charge-carrier mobility.

The material is polar and soft. This leads to a large dielectric electron-phonon coupling. Bare charge-carriers are dressed in phonon excitations, forming a polaron.

In this work we calculate the temperature-dependent polaron mobility. We write custom codes to variationally solve the Feynman polaron model\(^2\) for finite temperature\(^3\). The inputs to this model are fully specified by electronic-structure calculations, with no free or empirical parameters. We then solve for low-field temperature-dependent mobility with the FHIP\(^4\), Kadanoff\(^5\) and Hellwarth et al.\(^6\) methods.

In a photovoltaic device architecture, the mobility limits the thickness of the active layer. In a laser diode, carrier-mobility suggests whether population-inversion (and thus lasing) is feasible. Different measures of mobility have different systematic errors, probe different charge carrier densities, are only sometimes selective to hole and electron mobilities, and pose general experimental challenges that limit temperature-dependent (crystal) measurements. To measure intrinsic mobilities, considerable technical effort must be made in sample preparation, to create sufficient pure and crystalline materials.

Methylammonium lead iodide perovskite (MAPI) is the most well studied of the halide perovskites. Time-resolved microwave-conductivity (TRMC) is a contactless method of measuring mobility, suitable for temperature dependent measurements. Measures on polycrystalline MAPI give a room-temperature mobility-yield product of 35 cm\(^2\)V\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\)\(^7\). A more recent measure of a single-crystal gives 115 cm\(^2\)V\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\)\(^8\). It is not known a priori whether these are the maximum achievable, or which scattering process limits the mobility.

A fully ab-initio method to predict mobilities for infinite perfect crystals would help understand the implicit limitations of a new material.

From an electronic structure calculation, one can fit the dispersion relation (energy vs crystal momentum) for the band extrema to a quadratic function. The curvature of this quadratic is the bare-electron band effective-mass. This describes a quasi-particle for an singly-charged excitation in the interacting electron system, with a mass renormalised relative to that of the free electron. In lead halide perovskites proper inclusion of spin-orbit-coupling leads to a complex and non-quadratic dispersion relation\(^9\). Nevertheless effective-masses of 0.12 \(m_e\) and 0.15 \(m_h\) can be ascribed\(^9\) to electrons and holes respectively (\(m_e\) is the bare-electron mass).

Continuous thermal disorder interacting with spin-orbit coupling further complicates this picture. The band-structure is a dynamic and spin-dependent object, responding to local fluctuations in electric field\(^10\). The lattice dynamically responds to the presence of a charge-carrier, perturbing the electronic structure of the orbitals.
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in which the charge carrier sits. The full treatment of charge-carrier mobility in these materials is clearly complex, and well beyond what has been attempted so far. Given the dynamic nature of the electronic structure, it is not at all clear whether there is anything to be gained by including the rigid-band structure of a particular stochastic realisation of a disordered material, in a mobility calculation.

The bare-electron rigid-band effective-mass is an inertia term. Irrespective of the effective-mass, in the absence of scattering, mobilities are infinite. Yet a small effective-mass (highly disperse bands) is often used as a predictor of a high mobility. This correlation assumes that the scattering processes are independent of (or at least weakly correlated with) the effective-mass. This is the constant scattering time approximation. Small effective-masses therefore correlate with the charge-carrier accelerating to a higher velocity in the time before relaxation events. This scattering time has to be inserted into the theory, and is often used as a free parameter. For hybrid halide perovskites, in analogy with the similar dispersion relation and effective mass present in CdTe, and assuming typical covalent semiconductor scattering times, a mobility as high as 1000 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ would be inferred. This is a considerable overestimate compared to what has been observed.

As the material is soft, the Debye temperature for low-energy optical modes in this system is $\approx 110$ K (2.25 THz [11]). Charge carriers above this temperature can scatter inelastically by emitting optical phonons [12], a highly dissipative process. Impurity and acoustic-phonon scattering are elastic, so less dissipative. They would be expected to dominate mobility below this threshold temperature.

The same processes are at play in a standard covalent semiconductor [12], but the relevant temperatures are shifted in in proportion to the stiffening of the phonon modes. For example, in GaP the mobility above 400 K is limited by optical-mode scattering [13]. The Debye temperature is 580 K for the 12.06 THz LO mode of GaP [14].

There is evidence that anti-bonding frontier orbitals (equivalently, ‘inverted’ band structure) in the material class [15] leads to a ‘defect-tolerance’, with defect states repelled away from the gap [10]. With fewer electrically-active impurities there is less impurity scattering. The large static dielectric constant also shields charged impurities, reducing the cross-section for scattering.

Though crystalline, halide perovskites are polar and soft. This leads to a large zero-frequency (static) dielectric constant. The lattice deforms around a charge carrier, localising it. This provides a large driving force for stabilising the polaron.

The halide perovskites are unusual in that they are highly polar yet possess light effective masses. There is a large dielectric electron-phonon interaction, yet the kinetic energy of the electron is sufficient to keep the polaron from collapsing into a localised (small-polaron) state. A correct transport theory in this material must incorporate the strongly interacting nature of the polaronic charge-carriers. Previous theoretical studies of mobility in this material [17–19] have generally solved the Boltzmann equation in the relaxation time approximation, with no explicit treatment of the polaron state.

In this work we return to direct theories of polaron mobility. We develop general codes to apply these methods to arbitrary polar systems, and present results for halide perovskite materials. We predict the temperature dependence and absolute value of polaron mobility in halide perovskites, without empirical parameters. The system we consider is highly idealised. The electronic band-structure is present only as an effective mass. The physical response of the lattice is parametrised by optical and static dielectric constants, and an effective dielectric response phonon frequency. We only consider the polaron state, and its scattering with this characteristic phonon. As such, the calculated mobilities are an upper bound for a perfect single crystal.

We predict the same temperature dependence of mobility as that shown by time-resolved microwave conductivity of a polycrystalline sample [7]. Good absolute agreement is found with (room-temperature only) single crystal terahertz conductivity measurements [8, 20].

In our model we ignore the perovskite phase transitions present in the system, assuming a constant effective mass and phonon response. We do not consider additional scattering from dissipative rearrangement of the ions, which may be particularly relevant for the (dynamic) cubic phase.

Our calculations explain the published data—the model has predictive power. This suggests that the intrinsic performance limits of the material have been realised, and that polaron optical-phonon scattering dominates room temperature mobility.

As well as predicting the mobility (a phenomenological quantity), we characterise the nature of the polaron state, calculating phonon-drag mass renormalisation and scattering rates, and a polaron size. These can complement experiments to characterise the charge carrier state. In particular, Hendry et al. [21] applied the same models we use here to polaron mobility, effective mass, and scattering time in TiO$_2$.

Further measurements of temperature-dependent mobility in the halide perovskites will help understand the charge-carrier state and scattering processes in these materials.

II. METHODS

A. Electron-phonon coupling

The interaction between charge-carriers and lattice vibrations is mediated by the electron-phonon coupling. This is challenging to calculate by first principle [22]. A common method is to use density-functional perturbation-theory. This should continue
the greater contribution for a hard (covalent) system, where the atomic motion is small. For a soft system such as hybrid perovskites, this lattice distortion contribution is large. Non-perturbative methods may be necessary\cite{20,21}. Within perturbation theory, there is uncertainty about which diagrams (types and orders of perturbative interaction) to include in the summation. The spiky nature of the numerical integration across the double (phonon and electron) Brillouin zones makes the calculations heavy and convergence difficult.

For polar systems, the major contribution to electron-phonon coupling comes from the long-ranged electric fields generated by the atomic displacements. This is specified by the dielectric response of the material. This considerably simplifies the problem.

Fröhlich\cite{22} first constructed a Hamiltonian for a system of independent (i.e. low density) electrons interacting with harmonic (non-interacting) polar optical phonons. The dimensionless Fröhlich parameter $\alpha$ of dielectric electron-phonon coupling is

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon_\infty}\right) \frac{\epsilon_\infty^2}{\hbar\Omega} \left(\frac{2m_0\Omega}{\hbar}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (1)$$

This is fully defined by the material specific properties of the optical ($\epsilon_\infty$) and static ($\epsilon_S$) dielectric constants, the bare-electron band effective-mass ($m_0$), and a characteristic phonon angular frequency ($\Omega$). As usual, $2\pi\hbar$ is Planck’s constant, $\epsilon_0$ the permittivity of free space, and $e$ the electron charge.

The optical and static dielectric constants form a prefactor for this electron-phonon interaction. This is the response of the lattice, modelled as a continuum dielectric, beyond the boundary of the polaron. Within the polaron, only the electronic excitations (optical dielectric constant) can keep up with the motion of the electron. Outside the boundary of the polaron, the polar lattice excitations can also respond.

### B. Multiple phonon branches

The static dielectric constant can be calculated by a summation over the Brillouin zone centre (gamma point) harmonic phonon modes. Only these modes contribute, as they have no phase factor which otherwise leads to a zero contribution when integrating over real-space. These phonon mode eigenvectors are used to project the Born effective charges, to give an effective dipole. This is also the infrared activity of the mode. Integrating through these individual Lorentzian responses leads to the dielectric function\cite{22},

$$\epsilon_S = \epsilon_\infty + \frac{4\pi}{V} \sum_{i=0}^N \frac{(Z^i U^*_i)(Z^i U_i)}{\Omega_i^2}. \quad (2)$$

Here, the summation is over the $N$ phonon modes, normalised by $V$ the unit-cell volume. $Z^*$ are the Born effective charges, $U_i$ a specific phonon eigenmode, $\Omega_i$ the phonon frequency.

The factor of $\Omega_i^{-2}$ means the summation is dominated by the lowest energy (frequency) modes which are infrared-active (polar). In the case of a two-atom unit cell such as most covalent semiconductors, there is one infrared-active mode, the linear-optical (LO) mode. Most of the polaron literature is constructed in this framework of a single polar response frequency.

Hellwarth et al\cite{6} provide a prescription to reduce multiple infrared-active phonon branches to a single equivalent dielectric-response phonon frequency.

### C. Feynman polaron model

Feynman solved the Fröhlich Hamiltonian in an innovative manner\cite{2}, where the electron interacting with a cloud of independent (harmonic) phonon excitations is path-integrated over the (phonon) quantum field. The electron interacts with the disturbance it has previously created in passing through the lattice, which exponentially dies out in time. This, Coulomb-like, interaction would be expected to depend on inverse-distance. Instead, a harmonic model is constructed. This can be analytically path-integrated. An exponential dampening factor $w$ adds a degree of freedom for anharmonicity, and the interaction path-integral is scaled by a coupling strength $C$.

These model parameters are then varied to minimise the (athermal) ground state energy, for a given coupling strength $\alpha$ and phonon response frequency $\Omega$.

The resulting model is a single particle system where the electron interacts with a time-retarded potential. The Hamiltonian in the centre-of-mass frame can be expressed as an electron coupled to a finite mass ($M$, expressed in units of the charge carrier effective mass) with a (harmonic) spring-constant ($k$). These give rise to an angular-frequency for the oscillation of the energy between the electron and phonons of $w = \sqrt{\frac{k}{M}}$.

It is convenient to work in this angular-frequency variable and make a further substitution of $v^2 = w^2 + \frac{4C}{w}$. Here $C$ is the electron-phonon coupling coefficient of the model Lagrangian, related back to the spring-constant by $k = \frac{4C}{w^2}$\cite{2}.

Feynman’s model is non-perturbative and so correct to all orders in the coupling constant $\alpha$. Up until this point, theories had either been based on an assumption of weak coupling ($\alpha << 1$) and perturbative, or assumed strong coupling ($\alpha > 10$). Many systems of experimental interest have an intermediate coupling. We will show later that inorganic halide perovskites have a characteristic $\alpha$ coupling of 1 to 2, whereas the additional dielectric response of the molecular cation gives the hybrid halide perovskites an $\alpha$ of 2 to 3.
ôsaka extended Feynman’s athermal variational solution by providing finite-temperature free-energies of the coupled electron-phonon system. The parameters can then be varied to minimise the total polaron free-energy (at specific temperature). The model thus becomes explicitly temperature dependent, rather than using the athermal variational solution with a temperature-dependent mobility theory. Here we use a more recent presentation of the Osaka free-energies by Hellwarth et al.[6], which have been made more amenable to numeric computation.

D. Polaron mobility

By itself, the variational (finite-temperature extended) Feynman model provides an effective-mass of the polaron quasi-particle representing the phonon-drag term, and a spring coupling constant for the exchange of energy between the electron and its coupled phonon cloud. As with a bare-electron band effective-mass, there is no dissipative (frictional) term. To calculate a polaron mobility, we must analyse the response of this system to a perturbation. As our main concern is with application to photovoltaic materials, we are interested in the small-field (direct current, DC) limit.

The models used herein consider the electronic band structure only in an effective-mass approximation. The initial mobility work of FHIP[4] directly used the response of the polaron centre-of-mass coordinate to an applied field, containing interactions with a dynamically maintained steady state of thermally excited phonons. A general expression for the impedance is given therein (equations 46 and 47). A low temperature approximation is made (a power-series expansion in the internal parameter $b$, small for low-temperature), leading to a mobility generally known as $\mu_{FHIP}$,

$$
\mu_{FHIP} = \left(\frac{w}{v}\right)^3 \frac{3e}{4m_b} \frac{\exp(\beta)}{\Omega \alpha \beta} \exp\left(\frac{v^2 - w^2}{w^2 v}\right). \tag{3}
$$

Here $w$ and $v$ are the (variational) parameters specifying the polaron model, $m_b$ is the bare-electron band effective-mass, $\Omega$ the phonon angular frequency, and $\beta = \hbar \Omega / k_B T$ is a reduced thermodynamic temperature in units of the phonon energy.

This formalism was quickly realised to be pathological for high temperatures—at the thermal energy ($k_B T$) equaling the phonon energy ($\hbar \Omega$) there is a resonance minimising the mobility, but the mobility thereafter increases as a function of temperature. Soon afterwards, Kadanoff[25] provided a similar mobility identity based on a solution to the Boltzmann equation, applicable to all $\alpha$. This Boltzmann solution implicitly assumes independent scattering events, still formally limiting the model to low temperature. We refer to this as $\mu_K$,

$$
\mu_K = \left(\frac{w}{v}\right)^3 \frac{e}{2m_b} \frac{\exp(\beta)}{\Omega \alpha \beta} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{v^2 - w^2}{w^2 v}\right)}{K}. \tag{4}
$$

Though derived from different assumptions, compared to the FHIP there is an additional factor of $3/\beta$. This is now understood[27] to formally arise from the order in which the limits are taken in the asymptotic approximations. Physically, the FHIP considers stimulated-emission and absorption of phonons, which saturates and balances, once there is a thermal population (Bose-Einstein statistics). The Kadanoff identity adds spontaneous emission of phonons. Empirically this corrects the pathological behaviour of FHIP for temperatures above the Debye temperature, the Kadanoff mobility asymptotically approaching a constant.

The relaxation-time approximation (independent scattering) used by Kadanoff allows a direct evaluation of a scattering rate, based on the thermal population of phonons $N = \exp(\beta)$. The rate ($\Gamma$) is evaluated for small momentum exchanges (low-fields) as

$$
\Gamma_0 = 2 \alpha N \sqrt{(M + 1) \exp(-M/\nu)}.
$$

Here $M$ is the phonon-drag effective-mass (in units of the band effective-mass, $m_b$).

This rate $\Gamma_0$ is expressed in reduced phonon units. Multiplying with the phonon frequency $\hbar \Omega$ $\Gamma_0$ gives a real-time rate. This scattering rate can be directly related to $\mu_K$ (as it is assumed to follow a Boltzmann equation) by

$$
\mu_K = \frac{e}{\Omega m_b (M + 1) \Gamma_0}. \tag{6}
$$

More recently, Hellwarth et al. [6, 28] returned to the general response theory of FHIP (Equations 46 and 47 in[4]). Rather than taking a low-temperature limit and perform a power-expansion, they contour integrate for the self-energy of the perturbed polaron.

$$
a^2 = (\beta/2)^2 + \left(\frac{v^2 - w^2}{w^2 v}\right) \beta \coth(\beta v/2), \tag{7}
$$

$$
b = \left(\frac{v^2 - w^2}{w^2 v}\right) \frac{\beta}{\sinh(\beta v/2)}. \tag{8}
$$

$$
K = \int_0^\infty du \left(a^2 + a^2 - b \cos(\nu u)\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cos(u). \tag{9}
$$

This integral gives the polaron response to a first order change in the driving force, providing an analogous role to the scattering rate in Kadanoff’s Boltzmann construction, to give,

$$
\mu_H = \left(\frac{w}{v}\right)^3 \frac{3e}{m_b} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \sinh(\beta/2)}{\Omega \alpha \beta^2} K^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{10}
$$
This is as in reference [6], transformed into S.I. units and rearranged for easier comparison with $\mu_{\text{FHIP}}$ and $\mu_K$.

Both Biaggio et al. [28] and Hellwarth et al. [6] approximate $b = 0$, allowing for an analytic solution of $K$ with modified Bessel functions. Here we do the full integration. We note that both papers have a typographic error in the formula for $b$, possessing a spurious term of $b$ on the right hand side. The form here is as given by FHIP [4].

In custom codes we reimplementation the Hellwarth et al. [6] posing of Osaka’s [3] finite-temperature variational solution to Feynman’s [2] model. Integration is numeric using an adaptive Gauss-Kronrod quadrature algorithm. The Osaka free-energies (which include numeric integrals) are automatically differentiated in a forward-mode to produce gradients. These gradients are used by a BFGS algorithm to find the optimal (temperature-dependent) $V$ and $w$. These model parameters directly give the FHIP [4] and Kadanoff [5] mobility. By performing the contour integration for the polaron self-energy numerically, we calculate an Hellwarth et al. [6] mobility (with a slight refinement by considering $b \neq 0$). Codes are provided [29] to encourage the application of these methods to other systems of interest.

### III. RESULTS

#### A. Methylammonium lead iodide perovskite

Methylammonium lead halide is the most well studied of the hybrid halide perovskites. We use the previously mentioned QSGW effective masses of 0.12 (electron) and 0.15 (hole) [31]. We take 4.5 and 24.1 [31] as the optical (QSGW) and static (harmonic phonon, DFT) dielectric constants.

We note that this static dielectric constant only includes the harmonic response of the phonons, additional (slower) terms may come from the (anharmonic) realignment of the polar methylammonium. We can estimate this contribution from a generalised form of Onsager theory for polar liquids [31]. Ignoring the response of the local environment and assuming the ions totally free to realign (i.e. most applicable to the cubic phase), this is $\epsilon_p = 4\pi N \frac{\mu^2}{3h^2}$. With the methylammonium-dipole $\mu = 2.2D$ [30], and $N$ the number density (one methylammonium per 6.2 Å cubic unit cell), this gives $\epsilon_p = +8.9$.

It is well known that the increasing frustration of motion of these dipoles as the material passes through the second-order tetragonal phase transition towards the fully hindered orthorhombic ground state gives rise to a divergent dielectric response [32]. It is not clear how to integrate this (slow, dissipative) response into a formal polaron transport theory.

One might assume that the additional response in the cubic phase, and in the divergence approaching the orthorhombic phase, would provide an additional dissipation of electron energy, and so lower mobility. We ignore these terms in the present work. Disorder in the hybrid material will generate further localisation pressure, perhaps even forming small polarons [33, 34].

Hellwarth et al. [6] provides two approximation schemes for reducing multiple phonon branches in the polaron problem to a single dielectric-response frequency. In this work we use the more simple athermal ‘B’ scheme. The temperature-dependent ‘A’ scheme may offer more temperature-dependent phonon structure in the polaron model, and therefore the resulting temperature-dependent mobilities. This will be the subject of future work.

We take our modes from ab-initio phonon and infrared-activity calculations [11]. Applying the ‘B’ scheme to all modes (including the 18 high frequency molecular modes) gives a seemingly unphysical characteristic response of 10.0 THz. It is not clear whether this is due to errors in our estimates of the infrared activity of the molecular modes, or whether the Hellwarth et al. summation is only well defined for long range consummate lattice distortions.

Applied to the first 15 (non-intramolecular) modes, the effective dielectric-response (‘LO’) phonon frequency is 2.25 THz. We use this value in all work presented herein.

Combined, these data specify the Fröhlich electron-phonon parameter as $\alpha = 2.40$ (electron) and $\alpha = 2.68$ (hole).

Bokdam et al. [35] provide a direct evaluation of the total dielectric function. From a density functional perturbation theory calculation (harmonic athermal response), the imaginary dielectric function has a peak at 8 meV (1.9 THz). This corresponds well with the estimate from the Hellwarth et al. B scheme applied to the lattice modes. Including some anharmonic contributions via ab-initio molecular dynamics, they find a softening of the modes to 4 meV at 300 K. This implies that polaron effects are actively strengthened at higher temperature, as the anharmonic softening of the lattice increases the effective dielectric-electron-phonon coupling.

At 300 K we predict a Kadanoff polaron mobility of $\mu_e = 197 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, $\mu_h = 136 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$; and a Hellwarth mobility of $\mu_e = 133 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, $\mu_h = 94 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$. The phonon mass renormalisation is 0.37 for the electron polaron and 0.43 for the hole polaron. This agrees well with the athermal perturbative (small $\alpha$) estimate $m^* = \frac{\alpha}{6} + \frac{\alpha^2}{40}$ [2] which gives 0.54 and 0.63 respectively.

The variational parameters $v$ and $w$ specify the polaron state. These come from minimising the finite-temperature Osaka [3] free energies (Figure 2). These parameters can be mapped to a phonon-drag mass term $M$, and spring constant $k$, for the effective single-particle coupled harmonic system (Figure 3).

Following Schultz [37], our variational parameters at 300 K give a polaron radius (for holes and electrons) of $\approx 26$ Å. (See Table 1 for further values.) This radius is defined as the standard deviation of the Gaussian wavefunction which would form in the harmonic confining po-
Predicted Hellwarth hole (cross, red) and electron (circle, blue) polaron mobility versus temperature, co-plotted against temperature-dependent time-resolved-microwave-conductivity measurements on polycrystalline films from Milot et al.[7] (green, saltire), room temperature space-charge-limited-current hole-mobility estimate on a single crystal from Saidaminov et al.[20] (diamond, brown), and room temperature time-resolved-microwave-conductivity on a single crystal Semenin et al.[8] (square, purple). As the TRMC data is a mobility-yield product, the low 8 K data point may be related to the stability of the exciton (non-unitary free carrier yield) at those temperatures, rather than the mobility actually reducing.

As with Hellwarth et al.[6], we find that setting $b = 0$ in our calculation of $\mu_H$ makes only < 0.2% difference to the mobility. This difference increases with temperature.

As a function of temperature (Figure 1), the mobility decays from an infinite quantity at zero temperature. The Kadanoff mobility asymptotically approaches $190 \text{cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ at high temperature. This is associated with the variational fit approaching a phonon effective mass of $0.37m_e$, while the spring constant $k$ increases linearly with temperature (Figure 3). By comparison, the (low-temperature) FHIP mobility has a minimum at $\hbar \Omega = k_B T$, and increases linearly with temperature. The Hellwarth mobility has weak positive temperature dependence at high temperatures.

The high temperature behaviour of polaron mobility is important for photovoltaic device operation. Hybrid halide perovskites are predicted to have extremely low thermal conductivity[23], and cooling of photoexcited states is known to be unusually slow[38, 39]. As such, it is likely that the initial electron temperature of a photoexcited state is extremely high. Optical phonons emitted by electron thermalisation and carrier scattering may remain in the region of the polaron, reheating the electron state. It is therefore useful and interesting to know that even with a high temperature 'hot carrier', the polaron mobility is finite, and sufficient for photovoltaic device operation.

The Kadanoff and FHIP mobilities possess at their core a calculation of the rate of emission and absorption of optical phonons $\Gamma$, taken to the low-momentum limit $\Gamma_0$. Kadanoff[5] directly relates this rate to a relaxation-time approximation of the Boltzmann equation, $\tau = 1/\Gamma$. We thereby access the scattering time for the polaron as a function of temperature, finding for the electron-polaron $\tau = 0.12 \text{ps}$ at a temperature of $300 \text{K}$. Combined with the polaron mass renormalisation (the phonon drag), these values parametrise a temperature-dependent relaxation-time approximation Boltzmann equation for the polarons in this material, and may be of use in larger-scale device models. Further, these parameters can be probed experimentally. Previous work[21] used Terahertz spectroscopy to probe the scattering in TiO$_2$, observing a counterintuitive relationship between scattering rate and mo-
bility, due to the complementary relationship between effective-mass and scattering-rate $\mu = e/(m_p \Gamma)$.

**B. Powerlaw temperature dependence of mobility**

Inference of the dominant electron scattering mechanism in a material often comes from the circumstantial behaviour of the mobility as a function of temperature. This temperature-dependent mobility (a phenomenological quantity) often shows a power-law scaling. For the halide perovskites, early data suggests a $T^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ exponent, consistent with textbook descriptions of acoustic-mode scattering dominated mobilities. We previously suggested that activation of multiple soft optical phonon modes could mimic such (large exponent) behaviour.

Do polaron mobility theories follow a power-law scaling, and if so, what is the critical exponent? Displaying the Hellwarth mobility on a log-log scale (Figure 3) reveals straight-line (power-law) behaviour, for temperatures above the critical phonon emission energy. Fitting these data to a power-law ($\mu = aT^k$) by least-squares minimisation of data above 100 K finds an exponent of $k = -0.46$. This is close to $-\frac{1}{2}$, which we propose as our best estimate of the power-law behaviour of polaron optical-phonon scattering dominated mobility, once above the phonon emission threshold. (This will hold even in the case where there are multiple distinct optical phonon thresholds, as all modes will produce a $k = -\frac{1}{2}$ scaling once above their emission threshold.)

This exponent is considerably less than the $k = -1.33$ extracted from a full fit to the TRMC data of Milot et al.[7].

However, if we similarly constrain the experimental fit to above 100 K (motivated by the uncertainty of measures in the low temperature phase, and to avoid complications due to multiple phonon scattering routes), we find an exponent of $k = -0.95$. Further temperature-dependent measures of mobility will provide stronger statistical evidence from which to infer the nature of mobility-limit scattering in these materials.

**C. Comparison to Sendner et al.**

Recently, Sendner et al.[36] approached the polaron mobility from an experimental point of view. They fitted four critical points to infrared transmissivity measures of thin-films. These provide a simplified phonon spectrum. This is then used with a generalisation of the Lyddane-Sachs-Teller relation to derive dielectric constants. This assumes that there are well defined linear and transverse optical modes as would be found in a crystal of cubic...
symmetry. These data were then reduced with a Hellwarth scheme to an effective dielectric-response phonon frequency (for MAPI) of 3.38 THz (private communication). Combined with a DFT effective mass (0.104), this was then used with a Hellwarth model to derive a room-temperature mobility of (200 ± 30) cm²/Vs−1 for MAPI. See Table I for a statement of their model, and Table II for a cross-validation with the codes developed in this work. With their parameters and our custom codes, we can predict the temperature-dependent mobility (Figure ??).

By comparison to the present work, they extrapolate a greater dielectric response than we calculate from harmonic phonons. This is to be expected as their measurements will include all anharmonicity (including the molecular rotation contribution), whereas we consider only the harmonic response. However it is not immediately clear that the contribution from this dissipative molecular response should be integrated into polaron theories built on the perfectly elastic (non dissipative) response of harmonic phonons.

Though the mobility results of Sendner et al. and this work are in broad agreement, the underlying parameters of the polaron system disagree. Here we suggest a more polaronic state, with a larger dielectric coupling resulting in a greater electron-mass renormalisation. Sendner et al. suggest a less polaronic charge-carrier state, but with a greater scattering strength due to the larger dielectric constant. Overall this leads to a very similar Kadanoff relaxation time (see Table II).

To understand the role of the organic cation in polaron formation and mobility limits of halide perovskites, we make a comparison with the fully inorganic analogue, cesium lead iodide. We calculate the dielectric constants for cubic cesium lead iodide (r=6.78 Å), by density functional perturbation theory. We use the generalised gradient approximation, in the plane-wave VASP code with a 9 × 9 × 9 Monkhorst-Pack k-space integration, 700 eV plane wave cut-off, and the PBEsol functional. We find optical and static dielectric constants of 6.1 and 18.1. The Hellwarth (B-scheme) dielectric effective phonon frequency is slightly stiffened to 2.57 THz. Assuming the same electron effective mass as electrons in MAPI (0.12), this gives a Kadanoff mobility of 389 cm²/Vs−1 and a Hellwarth mobility of 258 cm²/Vs−1 at 300 K (see Figure 6 for temperature-dependence).

This suggests that the additional (harmonic) dielectric response of the organic ion in MAPI reduces the mobility by a factor of two, as a result of doubling the dielectric electron-phonon coupling, leading to a doubling in the polaron effective mass and a halving of the scattering time.

D. Inorganic lead halide perovskite

The cesium tin halide perovskites have been well characterised with the QSGW method for effective masses, and lattice dynamics with the LDA density functional. Taking values from this publication, we can solve for the polaron model and mobilities. The calculated
hole mobilities for CsSnI3 at 300 K are 703 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Kadanoff) and 487 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Hellwarth). This compares well to measurements of 585 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Hall-effect) and 400 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ (by ‘transport property’)\[41\]. Temperature dependence is presented in Figure ??.

In comparison to the lead halide perovskites, the material is less polaronic. The driving force for polaron stabilisation is greater with the larger dielectric constants, but the effective masses are smaller, the phonon spectrum is stiffer. As with MAPI, the agreement between predicted and measured mobility suggests that polaron mobility describes the charge carrier state and scattering processes well.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Prior theoretical studies

Most prior theoretical studies on mobility in hybrid halide perovskites\[17–19\] have solved the Boltzmann equation in the relaxation time approximation using the BoltzTraP codes\[12\].

An effective relaxation-time (scattering-constant) $\tau$ is inserted into a theory otherwise parametrised from electronic structure calculations. These works include the band-structure explicitly, whereas we use an effective mass approximation throughout. In hybrid halide perovskites, the materials are new and unusual, so there is no equivalent well characterised system (such as in covalent semiconductors) on which to base this relaxation time.

The first work by Motta et al.\[17\] used an empirically motivated scattering time constant of 1 ps. An analogy is made by relating this to the time constant of motion of the methylammonium ion. However there is no direct correspondence between the timescale of motion of the ion and the timescale of charge carrier scattering. This led to a predicted mobility of 5 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ to 12 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ for holes and 2.5 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ to 10 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ for electrons. At the time these values were comparable to the highest measured mobilities.

Later, Zhao et al.\[18\] found a relaxation time of 0.1 ps from acoustic-phonon scattering in a golden-rule formalism with deformation-potential matrix elements. The mobility predicted from this is 1000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. Considering charged-impurity scattering with a static dielectric constant of 6.5, and assuming large non-compensated charge defect densities of $1 \times 10^{18}$ cm⁻³, these mobilities reduce to 100 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. The density of charged impurities and static dielectric constant used in this work seems unphysical.

Filippetti et al.\[19\] combined contributions from impurity scattering, acoustic-phonon scattering (approximated by the deformation potential), and a relaxation time approximation recaasting of the Fröhlich optical electron-phonon scattering element. They use a static dielectric constant of 60. Overall they predict a bare-electron scattering time to 9.2 fs. They find a low charge-density mobility of 57 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ (electron) and 40 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ (hole).

Very recently Zhang et al.\[43\] have provided a semiclassical model of charge transport in hybrid halide perovskites, based on polarons scattering from acoustic modes. They point out that, by definition, acoustic scattering produces the experimentally observed $T^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ dependence. However they do not consider inelastic scattering processes, such as emission of optical phonons.
B. This work

This work provides the first prediction of (temperature-dependent) polaron mobility in hybrid halide perovskites. There are no free parameters.

The bare-electron band effective-masses are taken from QSGW electronic structure calculations. The parameters used in the calculation are the optical and static dielectric constants, and an effective phonon frequency. These values take from prior density-functional-theory calculations on the harmonic (phonon) response of the material.

This fully specifies a model Hamiltonian, for which the finite-temperature Feynman variational solution for the polaron is made. Numerical solution of the full DC-mobility theory (without making a Boltzmann transport equation approximation) provides a temperature-dependent mobility.

These mobilities are an upper bound, as they consider only one scattering contribution (that of the polaron scattering with optical-phonons) in an otherwise perfect effective-mass system.

Both the Kadanoff and Hellwarth polaron mobility as a function of temperature show a trend that agrees well with available data. As the Kadanoff mobility is based on assuming a Boltzmann equation, this suggests that the underlying assumption of independent scattering events is approximately correct. The Hellwarth mobility is constructed by an explicit contour integration for the self-energy of the perturbed polaron. We expect it to be more generally applicable, and more accurate for high temperatures.

The agreement with measured mobilities suggests that we have captured the essential physics of the system.

This suggests that impurity scattering is a relatively minor process. This adds circumstantial evidence that these semiconductors are ‘defect tolerant’, at least as far as mobility is concerned. Scattering by acoustic phonon modes is neglected, as it is low-energy and elastic (due to the thermal population of these low-energy modes). Where we predict a divergence to infinite mobility at zero temperature, acoustic phonon and impurity scattering will come to dominate.

In these theories we have assumed a single characteristic dielectric phonon frequency. Though Hellwarth et al., shows that there are good physical reasons for the approximation of the action of multiple phonon modes with a single effective mode, this still loses structure in the temperature-dependent response. In the case of lead-halide perovskites, there are infrared active phonon branches on 1 THz to 1.5 THz and 2 THz to 2.5 THz. Phonon perturbation theory calculations show that phonons in this system are highly anharmonic, with a broad range of energy and small lifetime. This may invalidate the non-interacting (independent) phonon approximation.

We have shown that the Hellwarth mobility follows an approximately $T^{-0.5}$ power-law dependence, when above the phonon emission threshold. More temperature-dependent mobility data will help understand the microscopic processes responsible for charge carrier scattering in these materials.

With the robust codes developed for this work, temperature-dependent large-polaron mobility calculations are made simple. The material-specific parameters required from electronic structure calculations are well defined. Modest computational resources are then required to solve the polaron and mobility theories. Unlike other models of halide perovskite mobility presented in the literature, there is no relaxation-time or other empirical parameter, inferred by analogy to other systems.

We have shown that models predict the experimentally observed temperature-dependent trend in hybrid halide perovskites, and that the quantitative agreement suggests that polaron optical-phonon scattering dominates room-temperature mobility.

C. Future work

Several classes of novel electronic materials (transparent conducting oxides, oxide-based thermoelectrics and organic semiconductors) are expected to be dominated by polaronic transport. The calculation of temperature-dependent mobility described in this paper is useful for both design of new materials, and the interpretation of measurements and characterisation of existing materials.

Considering the evident utility, it is therefore perhaps surprising that there has not been more application of these methods. Certainly some of this is due to the underlying theoretical work being couched in the rather esoteric language of path integrals. A more practical issue is the lack of an available computational implementation. Here we developed custom codes in the Julia high level mathematical language. This offered automatic-differentiation (to calculate the gradients of the objective function of the Osaka free-energies, stabilising the optimisation procedure) and strong control of numeric errors. The resulting codes appear numerically stable and general purpose. We provide our codes to encourage community reuse of these methods.

With a validated set of codes, we can now easily apply these polaron mobility theories to polar materials as a natural component of materials design. Though many idealisations are made in the polaron mobility theories, they offer a first-principles method to arrive at a phenomenological value of extreme technical interest. The electronic structure calculations necessary for inputs to the model are now a standard part of computational materials discovery and characterisation. The methods and codes are well matched to high-throughput calculation of mobilities.

Within hybrid perovskites, we plan to combine these models with experimental measures to characterise the internal structure of the polaron state. As well as the zero-frequency susceptibility (and mobility), the
frequency-dependent mobility can be evaluated by an additional (numeric) integration[4], and the optical response of the polaron calculated[45].

It will be interesting to see whether a more sophisticated method of calculating electron-phonon matrix elements from a band-structure[22] can complement the use of the simple Fröhlich dielectric α parameter.

The Hellwarth et al.[6] method of deriving a single effective polar mode could be supplemented by an explicit calculation of contributions per mode. This would allow for some of the structure in the low-temperature mobility (smoothed-out by the choice of a single effective mode) to return.
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