Semen quality evaluation in young stallions – feasibility and comparison of two different protocols
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Summary: Semen analysis is a valuable approach to assess male fertility in the horse. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of two different semen collection protocols, stallion’s age, season and previous rearing conditions on sperm parameters of young stallions. Semen samples were obtained from 23 stallions (age range: 30–42 months old) every six weeks. Stallions were reared under different conditions prior to the start of the study. Semen collection was either performed twice with an interval of one hour on a given day (protocol A) or once daily for seven days (protocol B). The ejaculates were examined for total sperm number (TSN), progressive motility (PM), plasma membrane integrity (PMI), DNA fragmentation index (DFI) and semen quality after storage at 5°C for 24 hours. TSN and DFI were higher for protocol A as compared to protocol B. TSN in stallions submitted to protocol A (1st ejaculate) was influenced by season, whereas TSN remained unchanged in stallions submitted to protocol B. PM exceeded 70% in every ejaculate and the protocol did not significantly affect motility. PMI was lower in ejaculates of 33.4 months old stallions on average as compared to older individuals. No other semen parameter was affected by the stallions’ age. In conclusion, breeding soundness examination in 33.4 months old stallions is feasible and semen analysis after daily collection for 7 days provides less variable results throughout the year than semen analysis with protocol A. Therefore, semen analysis after daily collection for 7 days is recommended for young stallions.
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Introduction

Breeding soundness examination in stallions involves a clinical and a spermatological examination (Götze 1942, Kenney et al. 1983, Marktl and Klug 1989, Hurtgen, 1992, Varner 2016). The examination aims to gather information concerning the health status (general, hereditary, genital), the ability of the stallion to mount a mare and the fertilization capacity of the stallion’s semen. The stallion’s ejaculate has to meet certain requirements of volume, color, sperm density, total sperm number, progressive motility, morphology, pH and plasma membrane integrity. In order to be classified as a fertile individual, the ejaculate has to contain at least $1 \times 10^9$ morphologically normal, progressively motile sperm in total (Kenney et al. 1983).

The value of semen collection and sperm analysis in young stallions (30–36 months old) is controversially discussed. In Germany, the breeding soundness examination of stallions presented for licensing is limited to the evaluation of general, hereditary and genital health. However, stallions are not examined for mounting ability and fertilization capacity. Their breeding soundness is guaranteed by the seller for a warranty period. In contrast, the Netherlands demand a complete breeding soundness examination for every stallion presented for licensing (Colenbrander et al. 2003). Failure to meet the minimum requirements leads to exclusion from licensing. As sub- or infertile stallions are thus successfully excluded from submission to an auction, the Dutch approach is favorable from an economic point of view. On the other hand, critics of an early-age breeding soundness examination prior to licensing point to the lacking comparability of stallions from different rearing conditions.

Different protocols for breeding soundness examination have been described, with differences primarily in regard to frequency of semen collection. The gold standard, as described by Kenney et al. (1983), includes two semen collections at a one-hour interval on a given day. Another protocol introduced by Love et al. (1991) promotes semen collection once daily for 10 days, reaching the most reproducible and representative values on day 7. Based on the determination of the testicular volume, the comparison of predicted and actual daily sperm output (DSO) is possible using this protocol (Thompson et al. 2004).
The aim of this study was a) to investigate whether semen quality evaluation is possible in stallions at the age of 30 to 36 months and b) to evaluate the effects of two different semen collection protocols, age, season and previous rearing conditions on measured sperm parameters (total sperm number (TSN), progressive motility (0 and 24h), percentage of plasma membrane intact sperm (PMI, 0 h and 24 h), and DNA fragmentation index (DFI)) of young stallions.

Material and Methods

Trial 1 (breeding season): animals, study design and examination period

From March to September, 15 healthy young Warmblood stallions currently undergoing the stallion performance test were included in the study. All stallions were owned by the Lower Saxony State Stud Celle. Seven stallions were purchased at the Hanoverian stallion’s licensing and auction in Verden (Germany) in Autumn at an age of 30.0 months on average from private breeders. Eight stallions were purchased as weanlings and reared at the upbringing station of the Lower Saxony State Stud Celle in Hunnesrück. They were kept in groups of 15–20 colts until the age of approximately 30 months. These colts were kept on pasture during the summer months and had no special training before the licensing.

At the first examination, stallions were aged 36.8 months on average. The stallions were assigned randomly for examination according to two different semen collection protocols. For protocol A, semen collection was performed at a one-hour interval on a given day (n = 8). For protocol B, semen was collected once daily for seven days in a row and the ejaculate of the seventh day was included into the analysis (n = 7). Both protocols were repeated five times each at a six-week interval in March, May, June, August and September (breeding season). Stallions were not involved in a breeding or semen collection program during the experimental trial. Stallions from both rearing conditions were distributed equally among the two test groups. All stallions were housed in individual straw bedded stalls, they were fed hay, oats and concentrate thrice daily and always had access to water. Experiments were performed in agreement with German animal welfare legislation and approved by the Lower Saxony State Office for Consumer Protection and Food Safety.

Semen collection

All stallions were accustomed to semen collection on a phantom six weeks before the start of the experiments until they were able to mount the breeding phantom, insert the penis into the artificial vagina, thrust and ejaculate completely. Before beginning the experiments all stallions were sexually rested for six weeks. After stimulation by a mare in heat, semen collection was performed on a phantom and with an artificial vagina (model Hanover, Minitüb, Tiefenbach, Germany). Prior to every semen collection, a new inner disposable liner (Minitüb, Tiefenbach, Germany) was inserted into the artificial vagina. The ejaculates were collected in a sterile, clean and scaled glass bottle with an inline filter for separation of debris and gel from the ejaculate. Stallions were handled and collected by the same well-trained operators for both experimental trials.

Semen processing

All semen samples were processed and examined by one well-trained operator. Immediately after semen collection, an aliquot of 2 ml raw semen was filled into cryovials (Eppendorf Hamburg, Germany), shock frozen in liquid nitrogen (−196 °C) and stored until determination of sperm chromatin integrity. The gel-free part of the ejaculate was extended to a concentration of 25 million motile sperms per ml using skim milk extender (INRA 82). INRA-82 was prepared by mixing equal volumes of commercial 0.3 % ultra-heat-treated skim milk and glucose saline solution (prepared by dissolving the following components in 500 mL water: 25 g glucose monohydrate, 1.5 g lactose monohydrate, 1.5 g raffinose pentahydrate, 0.25 g sodium citrate dihydrate, 0.41 g potassium citrate monohydrate, 4.76 g HEPES, 0.5 g penicillin, 0.5 g gentamycin). The pH of INRA-82 was 6.8–7.0 and the osmolality 300–330 Osm kg⁻¹. Extended semen samples were incubated in a warm water bath (37 °C, 2 min) and subsequently submitted to computer-assisted semen analysis (CASA), evaluation plasma membrane integrity. The measurements (CASA and plasma membrane integrity) were repeated after storage of samples at 5 °C for 24 hours.

Semen evaluation

Spermatological examination

Macroscopic parameters (volume, color, consistency, absence of contamination) of the gel-free fraction were assessed. Sperm concentration (per ml) was determined using a SpermaCue™ (Minitüb, Tiefenbach, Germany). By multiplication of volume and sperm concentration, total sperm number (TSN) was calculated.

Semen motility analysis was performed using a phase-contrast microscope (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany) with a stage heater (HT 200, Minitüb, Landshut, Germany) at a temperature of 37 °C combined with a CASA system (MIKA Motion Analyser, Windows Version 1.1 Ströemberg, Mika, Montreux, Switzerland). After extension of semen samples and incubation, five fields per chamber (MIKA measuring chamber) were
analyzed. Cells moving slower than 10μm/s were considered immotile, whereas cells moving ≥ 25μm/s were considered to be progressively motile.

**Plasma membrane integrity**

The percentages of plasma membrane-intact sperm (PMI) and propidium iodide (PI) negative were flow cytometrically estimated using the Syto-PI-assay. Semen samples were diluted with Tyrode’s media to 5 × 10^6 sperm/ml. After staining 500μl extended semen sample with 2μl SYTO 17 (0.5 mM; moBitec GmbH Göttingen S 7579) and 3μl PI (2.99 mM; Sigma-Aldrich, Munich P 4170) as well as 15 minutes incubation in the dark at 37°C, the samples were evaluated with a flow cytometer (FACScan, Becton Dickinson, Heidelberg, Germany), equipped with an 488 nm argon ion laser. A total of 10,000 events were accumulated for each measurement. According to their dotplot staining pattern, two sperm populations were distinguished: PMI, vital sperm (PMI; SYTO 17 positive, PI negative) and plasma membrane-defect, non-vital sperm (SYTO 17 negative, PI positive; membrane-defect).

**DNA fragmentation index**

DNA fragmentation index was determined as described by Evenson and Jost (2000). Briefly, snap frozen native semen samples were thawed (37°C water bath) and concentration was set at 1–2 Million/ml by dilution in tris borate ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (TNE) buffer. After addition of 0.4 ml acidic detergent, the suspension was mixed thoroughly for 30 seconds. Consecutively, 1.2 ml acridine orange staining solution was added and incubated for 3 min in ice-cold water. Finally, the sample was analyzed by flow cytometry. After the measurement of 10 samples, accuracy was controlled by analysis of a reference sample. Differences in DNA denaturation (relation of red fluorescence to total fluorescence) were expressed as DNA fragmentation index (DFI %). Data analysis was performed using DAS Version 4.40 software (Beisker, 1994).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis of the obtained data was performed using the SAS program (Statistical Analysis Systems, SAS Institute, Iowa, Cary, USA). Statistical advice was given by the Institute for Biometry and Epidemiology, University for Veterinary Medicine, Hanover, Germany. Multifactorial analysis of variances showed significant effects of semen collection protocol, trial week, ejaculate and rearing conditions. Average values were compared using the Tukey-Kramer-Test. Differences between the experimental trials were determined via a Wilcoxon-Test. A p-value of p < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

No significant difference between trial 1 and 2 in TSN (p > 0.05) and motility (0h & 24h: p > 0.05) was detected. Nevertheless, PMI and DFI differed significantly between the two experimental trials.

**Total sperm number (TSN)**

A significant effect of the week of the experimental trial and of the ejaculate was found in both experimental runs (p ≤ 0.05, Fig. 1). In experimental trial 1, the semen collection protocol showed a significant influence on TSN. Rearing conditions and stallion’s age did not affect TSN (p > 0.05). TSN was highest in the respective first ejaculate of both experimental trials. In trial 1, TSN varied significantly over the course of the trial. TSN of the second ejaculate typically reached 65.6% of the first ejaculate (protocol A; mean = 58.2–69.7%). With protocol B, TSN tended to be constant in all ejaculates during a given week over the experimental trial (p = 0.09).

**Progressive motility**

Progressive motility on the day of semen collection was neither significantly affected by the semen collection protocol, nor by ejaculate (p > 0.05, Fig. 2), rearing conditions or age. Progressive motility did not decrease below 70% during the experiment and tended to be higher in protocol B as compared to protocol A in both trials (p = 0.06). Significant differences were only observed in June.

**Progressive motility after 24 h storage**

After storage for 24 hours, progressive motility was significantly affected by the semen collection protocol in trial 2 and by the week of the experimental run as well as ejaculate in trial 1 (p < 0.05, Fig. 3). No significant influence of rearing conditions and age was detected. Progressive motility decreased significantly after storage for 24 hours in almost every ejaculate. Except for the examinations in January, March and May, all ejaculates exceeded 35% progressively motile sperm.

**Plasma membrane integrity (PMI)**

PMI on the day of semen collection was significantly affected by the week of the trial (trial 1 and 2) and the ejaculate (trial 2, Fig. 4). There was no significant effect of the rearing conditions (p > 0.8). The age of the stallion influenced PMI significantly (p < 0.05). Stallions in trial 1 showed higher plasma membrane integrity values compared than animals in trial 2. Furthermore, values were higher in March, May and June than in August and September in trial 2.

**Plasma membrane integrity after 24 h storage**

After storage for 24 hours, PMI was only affected by the ejaculate in trial 2 (p < 0.05). None of the other factors showed a significant influence (Fig. 5).

**DNA fragmentation index**

In trial 1, the semen collection protocol affected DFI values significantly (p < 0.05; Fig. 6). No significant effects were observed for the week of the experimental run, rearing condi-
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The semen collection protocol A (two ejaculates at one-hour interval) was in line with the standard for breeding soundness examination as described by the Society for Theriogenology (Kenney et al. 1983, Turner 2005). The protocol had been developed for sexually rested stallions and can be performed without a long preparation period. For a fertile stallion, both ejaculates have to contain one billion morphologically normal, progressively motile sperm regardless of the season (Kenney et al. 1983, Turner 2005). Another commonly used protocol for breeding soundness examination aims to evaluate semen quality at the daily sperm output (DSO) level. The DSO is defined as the number of sperm produced by a stallion on a daily basis, obtained at a regular collection frequency (≤ 1 ejaculate/day). For the determination of the DSO, semen is collected daily either for a period of 10 days to empty all sperm reservoirs or in 10 days to evaluate whether differences in the number of sperm produced were present within one day (Turner 2005).

**Discussion**

In the present study, the influence of two different semen collection protocols, season, age and rearing conditions on spermatological parameters (TSN, progressive motility, PMI, DFI and sperm quality after storage for 24 h at 5 °C) in young Hanoverian stallions was examined.

![Fig. 1 Total sperm number (mean ± SD) of ejaculates collected with two different protocols (protocol A: two semen collections at a one-hour interval, protocol B: daily collections for 7 days). Older stallions from March to September (experimental trial 1: 36.8 months old, group A n = 8, group B n = 7), younger stallions in December and January (experimental trial 2: 33.4 months old, group A n = 4, group B n = 4).](image)

![Fig. 2 Progressive motile sperms (% mean ± SD) of ejaculates collected with two different protocols (protocol A: two semen collections at a one-hour interval, protocol B: daily collections for 7 days). Older stallions from March to September (experimental trial 1: 36.8 months old, group A n = 8, group B n = 7), younger stallions in December and January (experimental trial 2: 33.4 months old, group A n = 4, group B n = 4).](image)
Different superscripts (\(a, b, c\)) indicate significant difference between 1\(^{st}\) or 2\(^{nd}\) ejaculate and experimental trial (1,2) within trial weeks.

Different superscripts (\(1, 2, 3\)) indicate significant difference between trial weeks within ejaculates.

Fig. 3 Progressively motile sperm after storage for 24 h at 5\(^\circ\)C (% mean \(\pm\) SD) of ejaculates collected with two different protocols (protocol A: two semen collections at a one-hour interval, protocol B: daily collections for 7 days). Older stallions from March to September (experimental trial 1: 36.8 months old, group A \(n = 8\), group B \(n = 7\)), younger stallions in December and January (experimental trial 2: 33.4 months old, group A \(n = 4\), group B \(n = 4\)).

Fig. 4 Plasma membrane integrity of sperms (% mean \(\pm\) SD) of ejaculates collected with two different protocols (protocol A: two semen collections at a one-hour interval, protocol B: daily collections for 7 days). Stallions from two different rearing conditions were included: Older stallions from March to September (experimental trial 1: 36.8 months old, group A \(n = 8\), group B \(n = 7\)), younger stallions in December and January (experimental trial 2: 33.4 months old, group A \(n = 4\), group B \(n = 4\)).
The progressive motility of semen in this study fulfilled the minimum requirement of 50% progressively motile sperms on the day of collection. Similarly, Parlevliet et al. (1994) observed a progressive motility of at least 50% in Dutch Warmblood stallions (average age 34 months). A study by Amann et al. (1979) did not find any significant difference between the motility of semen in 2 to 3 year old stallions as compared to 4 to 17 year old stallions. Furthermore, a seasonal effect on plasma membrane integrity was detected in our experiments (breeding season > non-breeding season). In younger stallions (trial 2), plasma membrane integrity was significantly lower than in older stallions (trial 1). However, this parameter has to be regarded with caution, since there are to our knowledge no established protocols for a correlation between plasma membrane integrity and fertility prognosis in fresh or cool-stored semen (Barrier-Battut et al. 2016). According to Turner (2005) the minimum requirement for PMI is set at 70% plasma membrane intact sperms. According to other authors, there is a high correlation between plasma membrane integrity and motility (Brinsko et al. 2003, Love et al. 2003, Foster et al. 2011). In the present study, progressive motility exceeded 70% in every ejaculate whereas plasma membrane integrity showed a large variation throughout the year and between age groups. However, plasma membrane integrity is considered to be especially useful for the examination of frozen-thawed semen (Salazar et al. 2011).

For sperm chromatin integrity, differences in DFI values between the semen collections protocols A and B were most striking. While the values for protocol A (semen collection at a one-hour interval) showed a seasonal influence, no effect

**Fig. 5** Plasma membrane integrity of sperms after storage for 24 h at 5 °C (% mean ± SD) of ejaculates collected with two different protocols (protocol A: two semen collections at a one-hour interval, protocol B: daily collections for 7 days). Older stallions from March to September (experimental trial 1: 36.8 months old, group A n = 8, group B n = 7), younger stallions in December and January (experimental trial 2: 33.4 months old, group A n = 4, group B n = 4).

**Fig. 6** DNA fragmentation index of sperms (% mean ± SD) of ejaculates collected with two different protocols (protocol A: two semen collections at a one-hour interval, protocol B: daily collections for 7 days). Older stallions from March to September (experimental trial 1: 36.8 months old, group A n = 8, group B n = 7), younger stallions in December and January (experimental trial 2: 33.4 months old, group A n = 4, group B n = 4).
of the season on DFI was detected in protocol B (daily semen collection for 7 days, examination of the 7th ejaculate). A semen collection protocol emptying the epididymal reserves consequently appears to be beneficial for DFI determination. Although there are no minimum requirements for DFI, several authors found a relation with fertility (Kenney et al. 1995, Love et al. 1999). DFI-values around 12 are expected for highly fertile semen (Love 2005). Wach-Gygax et al. (2017) found lower DFI values from August to November than in June and July and concluded that semen quality is impaired in midsummer. In our study, DFI values varied over the year, showing the highest values (resp. lowest sperm chromatin integrity) in June.

After storage at 5 °C for 24 hours, plasma membrane integrity and progressive motility were reduced in nearly every ejaculate in the present study. A study by Sieme et al. (2004) compared progressive motility in ejaculates collected either once daily or twice at a one-hour interval. They reported progressive motility to be significantly higher in the first ejaculate than in the second ejaculate collected on an hour later as well as in ejaculates collected daily. This observation was confirmed in trial 1, whereas other authors did not find an influence of semen collection frequency on progressive motility (Pickett et al. 1975 and 1985, Squires et al. 1979).

Another interesting finding of this study addresses the rearing conditions of the colts included in the study. One group had been reared extensively in groups since weaning until they were approximately 30 months old. The other group of stallions was purchased at the Hanoverian stallion licensing auction and had been intensively prepared for this event for several months. Unfortunately, the exact conditions of their individual rearing protocols are beyond our knowledge. However, no significant effect of rearing condition on sperm parameters was observed in this study.

At the start of trial 1, stallions were aged 36.8 months on average and in trial 2 their mean age was 33.4 months. As shown in the results section, only one significant effect of age on the semen quality parameters could be observed (plasma membrane integrity on day of collection). In consequence, semen analysis appears to be an adequate method to verify that semen produced by a stallion meet the minimum requirements. Stallions could thus be diagnosed as in- or subfertile that semen produced by a stallion meet the minimum requirements. Stallions could thus be diagnosed as in- or subfertile, on the semen quality parameters could be observed (plasma membrane integrity on day of collection). In consequence, semen analysis appears to be an adequate method to verify that semen produced by a stallion meet the minimum requirements. Stallions could thus be diagnosed as in- or subfertile.

In conclusion, the semen analyses results of the 33.4 months old stallions on average met the minimum requirements for passing the breeding soundness examination. Hence, breeding soundness examination at this age is possible and recommendable. Furthermore, daily semen collection for 7 days and examination of the 7th ejaculate is advantageous since the obtained values are more consistent, particularly for total sperm number and chromatin integrity. Rearing conditions of the animals had no influence on semen quality parameters.
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