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The role of $^{68}$Ga-DOTA derivatives PET-CT in patients with ectopic ACTH syndrome
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Abstract

Introduction and aim: Ectopic ACTH secretion (EAS) is mostly secondary to thoracic/abdominal neuroendocrine tumours (NETs) or small cell-lung carcinoma (SCLC). We studied the diagnostic accuracy of CT with $^{68}$Ga-Dota derivatives ($^{68}$Ga-SSTR) PET in localizing ACTH-secreting tumor in patients with EAS.

Materials and methods: $^{68}$Ga-SSTR-PET/CT was performed and compared with the nearest enhanced CT in 18 cases (16 primary and 2 recurrent neoplasms). Unspecific, indeterminate and false-positive uptakes were assessed using conventional imaging, follow-up or histology.

Results: We diagnosed 13 thoracic (9 primary and 2 recurrent bronchial carcinoids, 2 SCLCs) and 1 abdominal (pancreatic NET) tumors. Eight ACTH-secreting tumors were promptly identified at EAS diagnosis (‘overt’, four pulmonary carcinoids with two recurrences and two SCLC); six EAS have been discovered during the subsequent follow-up (‘covert’, five bronchial carcinoids and one pancreatic NET). At the time of EAS diagnosis, imaging was able to correctly detect the ACTH-secreting tumour in 8/18 cases (6 new diagnosis and 2 recurrences). During the follow-up, six out of initially ten ‘occult’ cases became ‘covert’. At last available follow-up, CT and $^{68}$Ga-SSTR-PET/CT were able to diagnose 11/18 and 12/18 ACTH-secreting tumours, respectively (11/14 and 12/14 considering only overt and covert cases, respectively). Four cases have never been localized by conventional or nuclear imaging (‘occult EAS’), despite an average follow-up of 5 years.

Conclusion: The $^{68}$Ga-SSTR-PET/CT is useful in localizing EAS, especially to enhance positive prediction of the suggestive CT lesions and to detect occult neoplasms.
**Introduction**

Cushing’s syndrome (CS), characterized by excessive endogenous cortisol secretion, is in most cases ACTH-dependent. Corticotropin (ACTH) secretion arises from a pituitary adenoma (Cushing’s disease) or, less frequently, from a non-pituitary neoplasm (ectopic ACTH secretion, EAS) (1, 2, 3, 4). Achieving the goals of CS treatment (to normalize cortisol levels, to reverse the clinical symptoms and to remove the secreting neoplasm) is a challenge, especially in EAS (5, 6).

EAS is defined overt when the ACTH-secreting neoplasm is promptly identified soon after diagnosis of hypercortisolism, covert when the tumour is discovered during a subsequent evaluation or a prolonged follow-up and occult when the ACTH source cannot be detected despite a meticulous and extended follow-up (4, 7). The most common tumours in EAS are thoracic (lung or mediastinal carcinoids, small cell-lung carcinoma SCLC, thymic tumours and medullary thyroid carcinomas) or abdominal neoplasms (islet cell tumours of the pancreas, pheochromocytoma, gastrointestinal carcinoids) (8, 9, 10). In the larger series reported, patients with occult EAS represent 8–32% of described cases (7, 9, 11, 12).

Once EAS is suspected, conventional imaging is usually the first approach to localize the tumor: the overall reported sensitivity is 66% for CT and 51% for magnetic resonance (MR) in overt EAS (10). However, 30% of EAS could be detected only during follow-up: CT is able to detect ACTH-secreting neoplasm in 44% of covert EAS (10). In patients with negative CT and/or MR, nuclear medicine improves the sensitivity of conventional radiology: a positive finding is described in 67% of 111In-Octreoscan and in 60% of 18F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (18F-FDG) PET/CT (10). Furthermore, almost 75% of patients with initial occult EAS at conventional imaging became covert with nuclear imaging, achieving a high sensitivity with PET/CT using 68Ga-conjugated somatostatin receptor targeting peptide (68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT). In 2016 Goroshi et al. compared, in a consecutive series of 12 patients, the diagnostic accuracy of conventional (contrast-enhanced CT) and nuclear (68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT) imaging. CT detected 90% of NETs in overt EAS, whereas 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT identified 70% of cases, without reporting false-positive imaging, resulting useful to increase the specificity of the suggestive CT-positive lesions. In this series, the only EAS not detected with conventional imaging remained occult also after the 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT (13). In a recent multicenter study, Wannachalee et al. reported in 28 patients that 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT is sensitive to detect primary and metastatic neoplasms in EAS and to identify occult tumours after other type of imaging (in 65% of cases), achieving a significant clinical impact in the diagnostic-therapeutic management in the majority of patients (14).

ACTH-secreting neoplasms present several receptors, especially SSTRs, the target of theranostic somatostatin-based diagnosis (with octreoscan 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT (10, 13, 14)) or treatment (with somatostatin analogs (15, 16)). In EAS, excessive glucocorticoid levels can directly down-regulate SSTR expression, especially type 2, thus resulting in a possible false-negative 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT in EAS patients with active hypercortisolism that could revert after normalization of cortisol levels achieved with steroidogenesis inhibitors (17).

The aims of our study were to study the diagnostic accuracy of 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT in a monocentric series of consecutive patients with EAS and to consider cortisol levels according to imaging.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients**

Patients with EAS were enrolled at the Endocrinology Unit of Padova. The diagnosis of CS was confirmed by at least two impaired results using first-line screening tests: elevation of 24-h urinary free cortisol (UFC), absent serum cortisol suppression (<50 nmol/L) after overnight 1 mg dexamethasone suppression test (DST) and loss of circadian salivary cortisol rhythm.

The diagnosis of ACTH-dependent CS derived from the finding of normal or elevated morning ACTH levels (>10 ng/L). EAS was suspected on the basis of second-line tests (absence of ACTH increase after CRH stimulation test, unsuppressed serum cortisol after 8-mg DST, increased urinary cortisol/cortisone ratio) previously described (18, 19). Bilateral inferior petrosal sinus sampling (BIPSS) was performed in patients with at least one discordant test; a central/peripheral ACTH ratio <2 in basal conditions and <3 at after CRH stimulus allowed us to rule out Cushing’s disease.

We considered overt EAS in patients with an ACTH-secreting tumour discovered early after CS diagnosis, covert cases those when the discovery of ACTH-secreting tumour was performed at least 6 months after CS diagnosis and occult those patients without the identification of the ACTH source. EAS was confirmed by histological finding of positive ACTH immunostaining in all overt and covert cases.
Serum cortisol and ACTH levels were measured by chemiluminescence immunoassay (Immulite 2000, Siemens Healthcare). Urinary cortisol and cortisone were assessed by liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) with an Agilent HPLC series 1200 coupled with an Agilent 6430 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an Electrospray Ionization source, operating in positive ion mode (Agilent Technologies) (20). Loss of circadian rhythm was measured with late night salivary cortisol (LNSC). Salivary cortisol, collected with Salivette device (Sarted, Numbrecht, Germany), was measured with radio-immunomass assay until 2014 (Radim, Rome, Italy, previously reported (21)), then with LC-MS/MS, as previously described (22).

Clinical data were collected in the web-based database of the University-Hospital of Padova, used as an electronic Case Report/Record Form (eCRF). Ethics Committee of Padova University-Hospital approved the study protocol, and all patients gave written informed consent.

Conventional and nuclear imaging

From the cohort of EAS cases (n=30), we selected only those patients who performed a 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT at baseline (considered as the initial CS diagnosis) in two Italian referral centres. In occult cases, a whole-body CT was performed 6 months after diagnosis and then yearly, and a 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT was repeated every 18–24 months or in case of positive CT findings.

We considered 16 patients, 9 females and 7 males, with mean follow-up up to March 2019 of 5±2.6 years. We collected 30 acquisitions (at baseline, and during follow-up in occult cases), 25 using somatostatin analog DOTATOC and 5 with DOTANOC. The standard uptake value (SUV) of the reported lesions was extracted and the number of true-positive, false-negative and false-positive images were calculated considering conventional imaging, patient’s history or final histology as confirmation. We compared each 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT with the temporal nearest conventional imaging (CT or MR) in order to confirm the number of true-positive, false-negative and false-positive lesions. We also collected morning serum cortisol and ACTH, UFC and LNSC the week before 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT imaging.

In Castelfranco Veneto, a GE Discovery 710 tomograph was used with 120 kV, 80–90 mA (modulable), 3.75 mm slices thickness and 4 min/bed acquisition time CT parameters. The PET reconstruction matrix was 256 × 256, the injected activity was 2 MBq/kg (in any case not less than 100–110 MBq) and the waiting time between injection and acquisition was 60±10 min. The 68Ga was obtained through a 68Ge/68Ga ITG generator (ITM Group, Schwalmtal, Germany) with 1.85 GBq activity, then labeled to DOTATOC through a synthesis module. In Reggio Emilia PET/CT was performed with a hybrid scanner (Discovery STE; GE Healthcare) with a sensitivity equal to 9.365 cps/kBq, according to National Electrical Manufacturers Association 2001. The CT attenuation correction acquisition parameters were 120-kV voltage, 80-mA tube current and 3.75-mm slice thickness. Images were reconstructed using the 3D ordered-subsets expectation maximization, with a 256 × 256 matrix and a voxel size of 2.73 × 2.73 × 3.27 mm³. 68Ga was obtained from a commercially available 68Ge/68Ga generator (Ciclotron, Napa, CA, USA) with a nominal activity of 1.85 GBq. The administered dose of 68Ga DOTATOC was 2 MBq/kg and the uptake time was 60±10 min after tracer injection; PET images lasted for 5 min/bed position.

Statistical analyses

Proportions and rates were calculated for categorical data; continuous data were reported as means and s.e. or median and interquartile range (IQR). We correlated the SUV_{\text{Max}} of each lesion with the corresponding hormonal levels (morning serum cortisol, ACTH, UFC and LNSC). The database was managed and statistical analysis performed by SPSS 17 software package for Windows (SPSS, Inc.). Significance level was set as a P<0.05 for all tests.

Results

Patients

All patients presented increased UFC levels, impaired cortisol rhythm, unsuppressed serum cortisol after 1-mg DST and normal-increased ACTH levels. Considering second-line tests for the diagnosis of ACTH-dependent CS, in 13 out of 14 cases the response of ACTH or cortisol to CRH test was absent, 11 out of 13 patients did not achieve sufficient cortisol suppression after 8-mg DST and their urinary cortisol to cortisone ratio was increased in 8 out of 11 patients. BIPSS (performed in ten patients) excluded a pituitary gradient in all cases. Eleven out of fourteen patients had an elevation of chromogranin A, 5/15 of NSE and 5/13 of CYFRA.

Overweight or obesity was found in 9 patients, weight loss in 3, hypertension in 15, diabetes mellitus or impaired fasting glucose in 14, hypokalaemia in 15, osteoporosis or fracture in 13, dyslipidaemia in 9, psychological disorders...
in 11, proximal muscular atrophy in 10, skin thinning and bruise in 5 cases and skin pigmentation in 1 patient; hirsutism was observed in 2 female patients.

**Diagnostic accuracy of conventional imaging and ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT**

Considering all patients, at baseline eight EAS were overt (six new diagnosis and two recurrences of previous overt cases in patients with lung carcinoids) and ten were occult, as summarized in Fig. 1.

Regarding overt EAS, all cases were localized by imaging methods within the first 6 months from the diagnosis of CS. All overt EAS were thoracic tumors: six patients with bronchial carcinoids (four new diagnosis and two recurrences) and two with SCLC. Excluding the two recurrences, in the six patients with overt EAS, at CS diagnosis, CT identified 4/6 and ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT 6/6 ACTH-secreting tumours: in two cases ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT was the first technique able to identify the primary tumour (CT was not conclusive before the nuclear medicine imaging, and confirmed the suspicion only after PET/CT). In the other four patients, ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT was performed after the positive CT and confirmed the neuroendocrine origin of the tumors. Both recurrent cases were correctly discovered with CT, one relapse was not detected with ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT.

Considering the initial ten occult EAS, six out of ten were localized only after a careful follow-up (median 2 years), therefore were considered covert EAS: five were bronchial carcinoids and one pancreatic NET. One of them was found during CT and it never showed a pathological uptake of ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR; another tumour was not initially seen by conventional radiology techniques, but the lesion was identified after positive ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT. In those patients with overt and covert EAS (eight overt and six covert ACTH-secreting tumours), CT and ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT identified neoplasms in 11/14 and 12/14, respectively (as summarized in Table 1).

At last follow-up visit available, in four cases neither conventional imaging nor ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT were able to find the ACTH-secreting tumors, thus remaining an occult EAS (median follow-up of 5 years). Therefore, the final diagnostic accuracy was 11/18 and 12/18 for CT and ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT, respectively. In these four occult cases, the alternative diagnosis of a Cushing’s disease was ruled out because BIPSS excluded a pituitary ACTH secretion.

We observed a weak inverse relationship between SUV<sub>Max</sub> and cortisol secretion: increase of SUV<sub>Max</sub> was poorly correlated with a decrease of serum cortisol ($y = -0.0108x + 19.886$, correlation coefficient $r=0.24$) and UFC ($y = -0.0022x + 14.995$, $r=0.28$; both $P>0.05$), probably secondary to the low number of subjects considered.

**False-positive and false-negative uptakes of nuclear imaging**

All images were reviewed by experienced radiologists and nuclear medicine physicians.

A case of bronchial carcinoid with bone secondary lesions was only seen at ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT. A ‘covert’ patient with a positive uptake of ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT at the primary lesions had a cervical nodal relapse negative to ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT but positive to ¹⁸F-FDG PET, probably because of dedifferentiation of tumour cells and reduced expression of somatostatin receptors. In another case, hepatic metastasis at CT was not identified at ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT because of physiological inhomogeneous uptake of the pharmaceutical in the liver. A pathological ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT uptake was overseen at PET/CT because of its right inferior pulmonary localization and the accidental overlap with liver uptake (see Fig. 2, the first PET/CT was reported as negative).

As described in Table 2, the number of indeterminate or false-positive results of ⁶⁸Ga-SSTR-PET/CT was not a minor
Table 1  Description of cases, EAS diagnosis and type, conventional imaging and 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT true-positives uptakes (SUV\textsubscript{Max} in brackets).

| Case, age, gender | Age of EAS diagnosis | Timing and type of EAS | Conventional imaging Baseline | 68Ga-DOTA PET/CT (SUV\textsubscript{Max}) | Conventional imaging Follow-up | 68Ga-DOTA PET/CT (SUV\textsubscript{Max}) |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1a, 57, F        | 50                  | Overt: lung carcinoid  | CT: X                        | ✓ (2.57)                       | n.a.                          | n.a.                             |
| 1b, 57, F        | 51                  | Overt: nodal recurrence | n.a.                         | n.a.                           | CT: ✓                         | (4.65)                           |
| 2, 76, F         | 73                  | Occult (died 6 years after EAS diagnosis) | CT: X | X | n.a. | n.a. |
| 3, 77, M         | 72                  | Overt: SCLC            | CT: ✓                         | ✓ (3.1)                       | CT: X                         | n.a.                             |
| 4, 68, M         | 67                  | Overt: lung carcinoid  | CT: ✓                         | ✓ (4.7)                       | CT: X                         | n.a.                             |
| 5, 82, M         | 68                  | Occult                 | CT: X                         | X                             | CT: X                         | X                                |
| 6, 62, F         | 53                  | Occult (died 9 years after EAS diagnosis) | CT: X | X | CT: X | X |
| 7, 78, F         | 72                  | Occult                 | CT: X                         | X                             | CT: X                         | X                                |
| 8, 62, F         | 53                  | Covert: lung carcinoid (2 years) | CT: X/✓ | X | CT: ✓ | X |
| 9, 42, M         | 32                  | Covert: lung carcinoid (3 years) | CT: X | X | CT: ✓ | ✓ (3.4) |
| 10, 73, F        | 66                  | Overt: SCLC            | CT: ✓                         | ✓ (10)                        | CT: X                         | n.a.                             |
| 11, 66, F        | 57                  | Overt: lung carcinoid (2 years) | CT: X | X | CT: ✓ | ✓ (3.8) |
| 12, 33, M        | 23                  | Covert: lung carcinoid (2 years) | CT: X | X | CT: ✓ | ✓ (5) |
| 13, 85, F        | 84                  | Overt: lung carcinoid (died 2 years after diagnosis) | CT: ✓ | ✓ (16.7) | CT: X | X |
| 14, 71, M        | 58                  | Covert: pancreatic NET (3 years) | CT: X | X | CT: ✓ | ✓ (50) |
| 15a, 67, F       | 65                  | Overt: lung carcinoid  | CT: X                         | ✓ (4.3)                       | n.a.                          | n.a.                             |
| 15b, 67, F       | 67                  | Overt: nodal relapse   | n.a.                         | n.a.                           | CT: ✓                         | X                                |
| 16, 46, M        | 42                  | Covert: lung carcinoid (6 months) | CT: X | X | CT: X | ✓ (7.9) |

We reported the first positive conventional imaging or 68Ga-DOTA PET/CT in case of overt and covert EAS (the time span from occult to covert is described in brackets) at EAS diagnosis (baseline) or during follow-up (indicating which imaging technique was positive to localize the tumour). EAS, ectopic ACTH secretion; NET, neuroendocrine tumour; SCLC, small cell lung cancer; ✓, positive; X, negative; X/✓, indeterminate lesion, confirmed as the source of ACTH secretion in the follow-up.

Concern. Incidental uptakes, caused by pharmacokinetic of 68Ga-SSTR in liver, spleen, kidney, ureter and bladder or by SSTR expression in pancreas, bowel, thyroid and pituitary gland were recognized as un-specific. Adrenal gland was the most frequent site of inappropriate uptake, with greater SUV\textsubscript{Max}. 68Ga-SSTR uptakes caused by inflammatory diseases (gastritis, previous surgical approach, reactive lymph nodes, arthritis) were also correctly interpreted as non-neoplastic. False-positive cases required further investigations, such as CT for a vertebral haemangioma or MR for a pancreatic lesion (an intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm).

Discussion

The proper localization of the ACTH-secreting tumor in patients with EAS is crucial not only to indicate the surgical treatment but also to reduce cortisol-related comorbidities and to minimize the risk of disease progression (1, 5, 7, 8). In this work we described the systematic use of 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT in consecutive patients with EAS, considering also the role of hypercortisolism.

We reported 14 patients with overt and covert EAS: 11 patients with bronchial carcinoids (9 new cases and 2 recurrences), 2 SCLCs and 1 case of pancreatic NET. A systematic review of EAS in 2015 found a lower sensitivity of CT than 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT (10), but other studies presented discordant results (13). The more common use of CT in EAS may be explained by the prevalent distribution of neoplasms in the thorax, where MR is problematic because of its less resolution in the lung parenchyma, due to respiratory and cardiac artefacts (7). In our series, in some of the cases presented, tumours could be recognized at CT only after the discovery of a pathological uptake of 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT. The number of tumours that are not localized even after a long follow-up, ‘occult’ cases, can range from 9 to 50% depending of the...
Ga-SSTR-PET/CT was previously suggested to confirm the discovery of EAS because of its lower false-positive rate (13, 14), while other authors found a greater false-positive rate and suggested the nuclear imaging when CT and MR are both negative (10). In our series 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT revealed a not-negligible number of unspecific uptakes, whose interpretation required a careful revision. Even reported physiologic uptakes may be confusing in certain cases and prevent the proper localization of EAS, as it happened in patient number 3 in our series, with hepatic metastasis emerged at CT. Particular attention should be paid to the adrenal uptake that is usually physiologic, but could contemporarily hide an adrenal ACTH secretion (EAS has been reported in patients with pheochromocytoma (24)), leading to a therapeutic delay (14). Moreover, adrenals are often hyperplastic because of chronic ACTH stimulus and a great incidence of adrenal nodes has been correlated to ACTH-dependent CS (25). To discriminate these possibilities, the combination of different imaging may be useful, such as CT/MR and 18F-DOPA-PET (10, 24). In our series, unspecific adrenal uptakes of 68Ga-DOTA showed often a greater SUVMax than the primary ACTH-secreting tumor itself, because 1–5 SSTRs are widely expressed in adrenals (26, 27). A PET/MR approach using MR sequences and 68Ga-SSTR-PET could probably be a reasonable choice in this scenario.

The challenging interpretation of 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT uptakes points to the importance of a careful investigation of medical history, especially aimed at discovering possible inflammatory states, such as chronic gastritis, thyroiditis, articular inflammation and previous surgical access (28). Moreover, a close collaboration between different specialists, in particular between radiologists, nuclear medicine physicians and endocrinologists, is warranted. The combination with conventional imaging (CT/MR/ultrasound) could clarify the nature of unspecific uptakes, as a vertebral hemangioma that cause osteoblast activity: in such case, the 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT positive

Figure 2
CT and 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT images. Images of patient 9: (A) CT and (B) 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT. The bronchial carcinoid has been individuated in the CT after the discovery of pulmonary uptake in the 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT. Images of patient 15: (C) false-positive slight uptake (chest wall inflammation) after the first surgery for EAS (more than 12 months before). Images of patient 8: (E) the pathological 68Ga-SSTR-PET/CT uptake was not localized correctly initially (D) CT image) because of its right inferior pulmonary localization and the overlap with liver uptake, due respiratory motion during acquisition of PET and CT. (F) emission tomography image.
imaging has been reconsidered as false positive due to the pathognomonic aspect at conventional imaging (29).

Nuclear and conventional imaging should be repeated during the follow-up, not only in occult cases. In our series, the sensitivity increased during the follow-up and six out of ten EAS were localized after the diagnosis of occult EAS (changing the state from occult to covert cases). In occult EAS, \(^{68}\)Ga-SSTR-PET/CT is a sensitive choice (10). In the meantime, the medical control of the cortisol excess, or adrenalectomy in extreme cases, can influence the diagnostic accuracy of \(^{68}\)Ga-SSTR-PET/CT because of downregulation of SSTR by high cortisol level (17, 30, 31). We observed that the reduction of cortisol levels was weakly related to increased SUV\(_{\text{Max}}\). A relationship could exist; however, our results did not reach statistical significance (considering the small sample size, a limit in every monocentric study about EAS, caused by the rarity of the disease).

Beside strengths, our work presents some limitations. First, the number of subjects enrolled. Second, the design of the study (observational, open and not randomized). Moreover, a prospective study reporting the results of \(^{68}\)Ga-SSTR-PET/CT in the same patient under hypercortisolism and after CS control is warranted.

To conclude, \(^{68}\)Ga-SSTR-PET/CT is useful in the clinical management of patients with EAS, especially combined with CT. However, it presents a considerable number of indeterminate/false-positive images that need a careful interpretation.
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