Effects of cow dung and wood biochars and green manure on soil fertility and tiger nut (*Cyperus esculentus* L.) performance on a savanna Alfisol
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Two field experiments were conducted concurrently in 2019. The study investigated the effects of single and combined application of wood biochar (WB) and cow dung biochar (CDB) with green manure (GM) on soil properties, performance, and tuber qualities of tiger nut. The treatments consisted of: CDB at 10 t ha⁻¹, WB at 10 t ha⁻¹, GM—*Tithonia diversifolia* at 10 t ha⁻¹, CDB + GM, WB + GM, control. The six treatments were arranged in RCBD with three replications. CDB, WB, and GM either sole or combined increased moisture content, SOC, nutrient contents, culturable microorganisms, performance, moisture, ash, fiber, and protein contents of the tiger nut compared with the control. CDB has a higher N, C:N ratio, P, K, Ca, Mg, CEC, and pH relative to CDB alone and CDB + GM increased growth and yield compared with WB alone and WB + GM. CDB + GM has the highest value of growth and yield of tiger nut. GM alone improved growth and yield of tiger nut compared with the two sole biochar treatments. CDB + GM and WB + GM increased growth and yield of tiger nut compared with their sole forms. This was adduced to biochar allowing the retention of nutrients from rapidly decomposing *Tithonia* within the rooting zone, thereby promoting better effectiveness of nutrient uptake and increase in yield. Therefore, for good soil fertility and tiger nut yield, it is important that the addition of a fast releasing nutrient source to biochar be sought.

Tiger nut (*Cyperus esculentus* L.) is a tuber crop belonging to the family Cyperaceae. They produce edible tubers with a sweet flavor1. Tiger nut is unexploited owing to lack of information on their nutritive prospective. Nevertheless, the tuber is rich in dietary fiber, carbohydrate, protein, iron, calcium, and oil2-4. The oil of the tiger nut tuber contains high quantity of unsaturated fatty acids and therefore, has a superb nutritive potentials with a fat content comparable to that of olives2.

In Nigeria, tiger nut is mainly grown in the middle belt and northern region5. The soils of this region are mostly Alfisols, Inceptisols, and Ultisols, the latter especially characterized by low activity clays, low organic matter content, and high sand content, thus these soils are physically fragile and susceptible to degradation7. This low soil fertility status usually leads to very low crop yields on farmers’ fields. Experimental results have shown that these inherent poor soil fertility of these savanna soils can be overcome by management strategies8. One such strategy is the use of organic amendments.

Organic amendments, such as biochar and green manure, could be a useful strategy to sustainably maintain or increase soil organic matter content, preserve the physical nature of the soil and improve soil fertility and crop yield. Biochar is the product of the thermochemical conversion of organic materials with a small amount of oxygen at high, low, or intermediate temperatures9. Biochar is stable, rich in nutrients, and it can persist in soil for many years10,11. Biochar also provides a number of soil health benefits, such as; increased soil organic...
matter, improved soil structural stability, reducing nutrient leaching, provide greater nutrient availability in soil, and improve the efficiency of nutrient utilization in crops, increasing the amount and structural diversity of microbes in applied soils.

Biochar can be produced from a wide range of biomass sources such as woody plant materials as well as agricultural wastes including manures. The most important pointer of biochar quality is its high adsorption and cation exchange capacities, pH and low levels of mobile matter and high aromatic carbon content and these qualities are more dependent on the feedstock characteristics.

Plant-derived biochars have high aromatic C content due to the greater amount of lignin and cellulose present, which gives the biochar high stability and resistance to microbial decomposition. Animal manures have high contents of labile organic and inorganic compounds, resulting in biochars with high ash content, which is positively related to the nutrient and chemical composition of the biomass. In Nigeria, research has hitherto been concentrated on the use of plant-derived biochar, there is the need to investigate the use of cow dung as biochar.

Green manures have been reported to improve soil fertility by its imprint on soil organic matter, increase in nutrients in the soil and making them available near the soil surface, reduce nutrient leaching especially N and minimize erosion.

Similarly, biochar has been reported to improve soil fertility, it may however not be good as the only nutrient supplier due to its poor nutrient composition and its slow rate of degradation. Therefore, it is imperative to find a fast decomposing amendment source to be added to biochar. Recent researches has shown that addition of biochar to organic amendments could lead to improved soil properties and crop yield compared with biochar alone. However, as biochar produced from different organic sources have different characteristics, their effect on an addition to manure may be different. This also needs investigation.

In this work, mexican sunflower (Tithonia diversifolia Asteraceae) was chosen as green manure source due to its wide distribution throughout the humid and sub-humid tropics in Asia and Africa, its relatively high nutrient concentrations (N, P, and K) that are found in its biomass because of its ability to extract the relatively high amount of nutrients from the soil and its rapid decomposition. Therefore the objectives of this study were to investigate the effects of single and combined application of wood and cow dung biochar with green manure on soil properties, growth, yield, and tuber qualities of tiger nut on a tropical savanna Alfisol.

### Results

#### Soil properties prior experimentation and analysis of biochars and green manure.

Tables 1 and 2 respectively showed the results of the soil of the sites before experimentation and the chemical analysis of biochars and green manure. The soils of the two sites were sandy loam in texture, acidic, moderate in bulk density and low in soil organic matter and nutrients (N, K, Ca, and Mg) except P according to the critical level of 3.0% OM, 0.20% N, 10.0 mg kg⁻¹ available P, 0.16–0.20 cmol kg⁻¹ exchangeable K, 2.0 cmol kg⁻¹ exchangeable Ca, and 0.40 cmol kg⁻¹ exchangeable Mg recommended for crop production in ecological zones of Nigeria. In the soils of both sites, the order of occurrence of soil microbes were: bacteria > actinomycetes > fungi. Analysis of cow dung and wood biochar and green manure (Table 2) showed that cow dung biochar has higher pH and CEC compared with wood biochar. Among the three soil amendments, cow dung biochar has the highest Na, Mg, P, and green manure has the highest of Ca, K, and N. whereas wood biochar was highest in organic C and C/N ratio.

### Effects of cow dung and wood biochars and green manures on soil properties.

The effects of cow dung and wood biochars and green manures on soil chemical and moisture content and biological properties are respectively presented in Tables 3 and 4. CBD, WB, GM, CDB + GM, and WB + GM increased pH,
The combined application of CDB + GM and WB + GM increased organic C, N, P, K, Mg, CEC, and moisture content of the soil relative to their sole forms. In almost all cases (values of K, Ca and CEC for CDB + GM and WB + GM were not significantly different) CDB + GM increased soil nutrients compared with WB + GM. Soil microbes (bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes) were significantly more abundant in amended soils compared with the control (Table 3). The values of CDM and WB were statistically similar and significantly higher than GM. The combined application of CDB + GM and WB + GM also increased soil bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes compared with their sole forms.

Effects of cow dung and wood biochars and green manures on growth and yield parameters of tiger nut. Table 5 shows the data on the response of growth and yield of tiger nut to cow dung and wood biochars and green manures. CDB, WB, GM, CDB + GM, and WB + GM significantly increased plant height,
number of leaves, number of tubers, and weight of tubers per plant compared with the control. GM had significantly higher growth and yield parameters of tiger nut compared with CDB and WB. The order of growth and yield among the amendment applied alone was: GM > CDB > WB. CDB + GM and WB + GM significantly increased growth and yield of tiger nut compared with their sole treatments. Among all treatments, CDB + GM has the highest value. Using the mean of the two sites, CDB + GM increased tiger nut weight by 36.1 and 24.5% respectively compared with CDB and GM. Similarly, WB + GM increased tiger nut weight by 47.5 and 14.0% respectively compared with WB and GM.

Effects of cow dung and wood biochars and green manures on proximate composition of tiger nut. The effects of cow dung and wood biochars and green manures on the proximate composition of tiger nut are presented in Fig. 1. Application of amendments either in sole or combined forms increased moisture, ash, fiber, and protein compared with the control. Application of amendments either in sole or combined forms reduced lipids and carbohydrates compared with the control. Except for lipid and carbohydrate, GM produced the highest values of proximate of tiger nut when compared with CDB and WB. Combinations of CDB + GM and WB + GM produced higher values of moisture, ash, fiber, and protein but reduced lipids and carbohydrates compared to their sole forms (CDB, WB, and GM). In all, CDB + GM produced the best values of moisture, ash, fiber, and protein.

Discussion

The soils the sites prior to experimentation was low in nutrient. This state of the soil is the characteristic of Nigerian savanna soils. Salako27 reported that Nigeria savanna soils are low in organic matter and chemical fertility. The fairly high bulk density before the start of the experiment was partly due to its low organic matter26. Sole application of CDB, WB, and GM and combinations CDB + GM and WB + GM increases the pH and nutrient contents of the soil. The enhancement of the soil chemical composition as a result of biochar was because biochar though inert contain some nutrients (Table 2) and again due to its high porosity and surface area is able to absorb soluble organic matter and inorganic nutrients29. The high retention capacity of biochar was due to the carboxylate groups present in biochar28. Biochar was found to be a good absorbent of soluble nutrients like ammonium, nitrate, phosphate, and other ionic solutes29–32. The cation exchange site present in biochar is responsible for its improvement in CEC compared with no biochar soils33, which could also be responsible to the retention of NH4+ and enhancement of N in biochar soils34,35. The pH of biochar treated plots increased because manure degraded and nutrients in them are released to the soil. Shokalu et al.37 found that GM increased pH, OC, N, P, K, Mg, and Zn contents of the soil.

The improved moisture content of the soil due to biochar was adduced to the porous nature of biochar which would have allowed it to retain water in its micro and mesopores36. Chan et al.38 also reported that the water retention ability of biochar could be as a result of an increase in overall net soil surface area in the soil after biochar application. GM improved moisture content due to improved soil aggregation that created pore spaces resulting from greater earthworm burrowing in the amended soil and hence improved moisture content.

Sole application of CDB, WB, and GM and combinations CDB + GM and WB + GM increased the abundance of soil microbes. This was as a result of the improvement in soil physical and chemical properties that control biological activities in the soil39, such as increased pH, moisture content, and retentions of major nutrients40. In fact for site A, the respective correlation between bacteria (r = 0.91, 0.75 & 0.89, p > 0.05), fungi (r = 0.87, 0.97 & 0.92, p > 0.05) and actinomycetes (r = 0.83, 0.94 & 0.95, p > 0.05) with soil pH, moisture content and CEC were positive. Likewise, for site B the respective correlation between bacteria (r = 0.94, 0.99 & 0.91, p > 0.05), fungi (r = 0.82, 0.95 & 0.93, p > 0.05) and actinomycetes (r = 0.33, 0.93 & 0.93, p > 0.05) with soil pH, moisture content and CEC were positive. It follows therefore that CDB and WB have more microbes compared to GM because of improved pH, moisture content, and CEC. Also, the porous nature and adsorption properties of biochar may provide a favorable environment for the growth and reproduction of soil microorganisms36 compared with GM. Similarly, CDB + GM and WB + GM increased the abundance of soil microbes compared to their sole forms due

Table 5. Effects of cow dung and wood biochars and green manures on growth and yield parameters of tiger nut. Values followed by similar letters under the same column are not significantly different at p = 0.05 according to Duncan’s multiple range test.

| Treatment                          | Plant height (cm) | Number of leaves/plant | Number of tubers/plant | Weight of tuber/plant (g) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                   | Site A            | Site B                 | Site A                  | Site B                     |
| Control                           | 26.5f             | 28.1f                  | 6.6f                   | 6.9f                      | 22f                         | 23f                         | 24.2f                     | 23.6f                     |
| Cow dung biochar                  | 45.1d             | 51.6d                  | 8.1d                   | 8.3d                      | 31d                         | 30d                         | 34.7d                     | 35.1d                     |
| Wood biochar                      | 41.8e             | 46.1e                  | 7.5e                   | 7.6e                      | 28e                         | 27e                         | 30.1e                     | 29.6e                     |
| Green manure                      | 496c              | 55.6c                  | 8.8c                   | 9.1c                      | 35c                         | 34c                         | 39.3c                     | 38.1c                     |
| Cow dung biochar + Green manure   | 66.1a             | 63.3a                  | 11.6a                  | 12.1a                     | 44a                         | 46a                         | 46.4a                     | 48.5a                     |
| Wood biochar + Green manure       | 60.5b             | 59.1b                  | 9.8b                   | 10.6b                     | 40b                         | 41b                         | 43.6b                     | 44.6b                     |
to similar reasons. Cao et al.\textsuperscript{41} also reported an increase in abundance of bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes as a result of biochar and compost amendment applications.

The increased yield and growth parameters of tiger nut in this study as a result of the amendments were due to improved chemical characteristics of the soil as a result of biochar and GM applications. The increase in cations in biochar-amended plots brings an improvement in soil fertility and nutrient retention\textsuperscript{42,43} especially N and K that are important for tuber formation. Biochar could have reduced potassium leaching and promote the release of adsorbed soil potassium in the soil\textsuperscript{43,44}.

Tiger nut performance with biochar application can be adduced to the optimization of the available plant nutrients\textsuperscript{35,46}. Biochar increased the microbial and nutrient contents of the soil by changing soil physical properties\textsuperscript{47}, thereby increasing growth and yield. The high microbial abundance may have led to high nutrient availability to crops through enhancing both the microbial biomass turnover and the degradation of non-microbial organic materials\textsuperscript{48}.

CDB and CDB + GM increased growth and yield compared with WB and WB + GM. This can be related to the chemical composition (Table 2) and soil chemical properties (Table 3) of cow dung biochar. CDB has an enhanced CEC compared with WB. CEC shows the capacity of biochar to adsorb cation nutrients. Therefore, the addition of biochar (CDB) with higher CEC improves soil productivity by reducing nutrient leaching\textsuperscript{35} thereby making

---

**Figure 1.** Effects of cow dung and wood biochars and green manures on proximate composition of tiger nut: C control; CDB cow dung biochar; WB wood biochar. Vertical bars show standard error of paired comparisons; bars marked with different letters show means significantly different at 5% level using Duncan’s multiple range test.
nutrients available to tiger nut hence improved growth and yield. Biochar produced from nutrient-rich feedstock such as animal manure has been reported to have higher nutrient content than biochar produced from lignin-rich plant biomass feedstock\(^\text{49}\). Alburquerque et al.\(^\text{50}\) also reported that nutrient-poor feedstock biochar may have limited soil fertility benefits in the short term leading to little improvement in crop growth. Uzoma, et al.\(^\text{51}\) reported an increase of 98–150% of maize yield due to manure biochar addition, also, Viger et al.\(^\text{52}\) recorded 111% of lettuce and Arabidopsis plant biomass increase as a result of poplar wood chips biochar addition.

GM improved growth and yield of tiger nut compared with the two biochar treatments. This could be related to the improved soil chemical properties of GM compared with CDM and WB. Improved yield and growth in GM treatment can also be related to its lowest C: N ratio, which would have enhanced faster nutrient release.

CDB + GM and WB + GM increased growth and yield of tiger nut compared with their sole forms. Biochar is known to be resistant to degradation and absorb nutrients, therefore the humus of biochar may allow retention of released nutrients from rapidly decomposing \textit{Tithonia} with low C: N ratio (GM) within the rooting zone, thereby fostering greater efficiency of nutrient uptake and increase in yield. Therefore, biochar may store nutrients and then start to release them slowly like a slow-release fertilizer. Thus indicating a synergistic relationship between the inputs. Partey et al.\(^\text{53}\) reported that percent dry weight remaining after the first week of decomposition of \textit{Tithonia} was 20% and the highest percent of nutrients (N, P, K, Ca, and Mg) in \textit{Tithonia} are released during the first week of application. These release nutrients are not let go by the biochar but are “detained” for tiger nut use in addition to its own (biochar) nutrient. Greater synchronization of nutrient supply is considered one of the challenges facing organic resource management\(^\text{65,66}\) and the observed differences in decomposition rate between biochar and \textit{Tithonia} leaves may present an opportunity in that regard.

Sole application of CDB, WB, and GM and combinations CDB + GM and WB + GM increased moisture, fiber, protein, and ash contents of tiger nut compared with the control. This might be as a result of an increase in the nutrients in the soil due to applications of these treatments which consequently led to increased absorption of nutrients by the plants. The CDB, WB, GM and their combinations applied would have increased N supply to the soil and consequently absorbed by the tiger nut plant and hence increased the number of leaves and photosynthetic activity and enhancing physiological processes leading to the production of more assimilates which leads to increase in the chemical composition of the tiger nut tubers. Moisture in the tiger nut plant increased because N in the amendments could have stimulated better growth and development of roots, good vegetative growth thereby stimulating greater absorption of water.

The protein content of tiger nut increased because N in the soil increased significantly as a result of this treatment relative to the control, N is known to be an integral component in plants including amino acids that are building blocks of protein and enzymes that are involved in catalyzing most biochemical processes\(^\text{56}\).

Lipids decreased with the amendment, this may be a consequence of diverting more energy and resources into protein production rather than oil\(^\text{57}\), leading to oil concentration accumulation reduction. It has also been reported\(^\text{58}\) that there is a negative correlation between oil and protein content.

CDB + GM improved moisture, ash, fiber, and protein more than other treatments because of better soil nutrient concentration which resulted in better nutrient absorption, growth, and better assimilates for quality tiger nut tuber.

Conclusion
This study revealed that the application of CDB, WB, and GM either sole or combined increased moisture content, SOC, nutrient content, soil culturable microorganisms, growth, yield, moisture, ash, fiber, and protein contents of tiger nut compared with the control. Biochar from cow manure (CDB) has a higher N, C: N ratio, P, K, Ca, Mg, CEC, and pH contents when compared with wood biochar WB. CDB alone and CDB + GM increased growth and yield compared with WB alone and WB + GM which was adduced to the fact that CDB has an enhanced CEC compared with WB. GM alone improved growth and yield of tiger nut compared with the two sole biochar treatments. CDB + GM and WB + GM increased growth and yield of tiger nut compared with their sole forms. This was adduced to biochar allowing the retention of released nutrients from rapidly decomposing \textit{Tithonia} with low C: N ratio (GM) within the rooting zone, thereby fostering greater efficiency of nutrient uptake and increase in yield. Therefore, for good soil fertility and tiger nut yield, it is important that the addition of a fast releasing nutrient source to biochar be sought.

Materials and methods
Site description and treatments. Two field experiments were conducted concurrently (site A and B) at the Teaching and Research Farm, Landmark University, Omu-Aran, Kwara State, Nigeria during the cropping season of 2019.

Experiment at site B was conducted simultaneously as A so as to validate the results of experiment A. Landmark University lies between lat 8° 9’N and long 5° 61’T at an altitude of 560 m and is located in the derived savanna ecological zone of Nigeria. The rainfall pattern is bimodal with peaks in June and October. The total annual rainfall in the area is about 1300 mm while the mean annual temperature is 32 °C. The soil at the site of the experiment is an Alfsol classified as Oxic Haplustalf or Luvisol\(^\text{59}\).

At both sites A and B, the treatments consisted of: (1) cow dung biochar applied alone at 10 t ha\(^{-1}\)(CDB), (2) wood biochar applied alone at 10 t ha\(^{-1}\) (WB), (3) Green manure—mexican sunflower (\textit{Tithonia diversifolia} Asteraceae) applied alone at 10 t ha\(^{-1}\)(GM), (4) cow dung biochar applied at 5 t ha\(^{-1}\) + green manure applied at 5 t ha\(^{-1}\)(CDB + GM), (5) wood biochar applied at 5 t ha\(^{-1}\) + green manure applied at 5 t ha\(^{-1}\) (WB + GM), (6) control, no amendment whatsoever (C). The six treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Each block comprised of 6 plots and each plot was 3 × 2 m. Blocks were 1 m apart and plots were 0.5 m apart.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-78194-5
**Incorporation of biochar and green manure and planting tiger nut tubers.** The cow dung used as biochar was obtained from the animal section of the Teaching and Research Farm of Landmark University while the forest was dried properly under natural conditions. After drying, the wood was cut into pieces. The cow dung and the wood were pyrolysed using a box-type furnace through a slow pyrolysis process at a temperature of 400 °C for 4 h and the biochar was then cooled for 12 h. The prepared biochar is then crushed and sieved through a 2-mm sieve.

Land preparation was by ploughing and harrowing. The site was then laid out to the required plot size of 3 × 2 m after which raised beds were constructed. The cow dung and wood biochar were weighed and spread evenly on the plots according to the required rates. A hand-held hoe was used to work the biochars into the soil to a depth of approximately 10 cm. The green manure (*Tithonia diversifolia*) used was harvested from nearby bushes in the Research Farm of the University with leaves and tender stems chopped and similarly incorporated using a hoe to the depth of 10 cm. The plots were left for 3 weeks before planting of tiger nuts to allow for decomposition of the amendments. The tiger nuts tubers to be planted were purchased from the market, tubers of uniform sizes were selected, and were tested for viability using floatation method, with the floating tubers discarded. Tubers were also soaked in water for 24 h before planting. Planting at both sites were done in May 2019, tubers were planted per hole at an inter-row spacing of 0.2 m and 0.6 m intra-row spacing to give a plant population of 50 plants per plot and 83,333 plants per ha. Weeding was done manually on a weekly basis.

**Determination of soil properties.** At both sites before the start of the experiment, soil samples from topsoil (0–15 cm) were taken from random spots in the study area and were bulked together to form a composite sample. A viable cell count of fungi, actinomycete, and bacteria were carried out by spread plating samples onto malt agar, actinomyces agar, and standard plate count agar respectively. Plates for fungi, actinomycete, and bacteria were thereafter incubated for 5 days, 7 days, and 1 day at 28 °C incubation temperature. Five undisturbed samples (0.04 m diameter, 0.15 m height) were also collected at 0–0.15 m depth from five positions in each site at random using core steel sampler. The samples were used to evaluate bulk density after oven-drying at 100 °C for 24 h. Collected and bulked soil samples were air-dried and sieved using a 2-mm sieve ready for analysis. The textural class of the soils was determined by the method of Gee and Or. Soil organic carbon (OC) was determined by the procedure of Walkley and Black using the dichromate wet oxidation method. Total N was determined by the micro-Kjeldahl digestion method. Available P was determined by Bray-1 extraction followed by molybdenum blue colorimetry. Exchangeable K, Ca, and Mg was extracted using 1 M ammonium acetate. K and Na in the extract were read on a flame photometer while Ca and Mg were read on Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. Soil pH was determined using a soil-water medium at a ratio of 1:2 with a digital electronic pH meter. At the termination of the experiment at both sites, soil samples were also collected on a plot basis. The CEC was determined by the BaCl₂ compulsive exchange method as described by Gillman and Sumpter. Soil moisture content was determined gravimetrically by oven drying at 105 °C overnight in addition to soil chemical properties and biological properties as described above.

**Analysis of biochar and green manure leaves.** The CDB, WB, and GM used were analyzed for nutrient content after the CDB and WB have being air-dried and crushed to pass through a 2-mm sieve. The analysis was done for organic carbon (OC) and total N, P, K, Ca, and Mg in accordance with AOAC. CEC of the biochars were estimated using an NH₄⁺ replacement method. Leaf sample was collected from green manure, oven-dried for 24 h at 80 °C, and ground in a Willey mill. This sample was analyzed for N, P, K, Ca, and Mg as described by Tel and Hagarty.

**Proximate analysis of tiger nut tubers.** The moisture, ash, crude fiber, crude protein, lipid, and carbohydrate contents of the sweet potato were determined using standard chemical methods described by the Association of Analytical Chemists. The ash content was determined by incineration of 2 g of each sample in a muffle furnace at 500 °C for 2 h. The moisture content was determined by drying 2 g of each sample at 105 °C till constant weight was achieved. Soxhlet extraction technique using petroleum ether (40–50 °C) was used to evaluate the lipid content of the samples. The crude protein content of the sample was determined by the micro-Kjeldahl digestion and distillation method. The carbohydrate content of the sample was estimated by using the method described by Muller and Tobin. The total carbohydrate was estimated as the balance after accounting for ash, crude fiber, protein, and fat. % carbohydrate = 100%—the sum of percentage moisture, ash, crude fat, crude fiber, and crude protein contents.

**Determinations of growth and yield parameters.** Tiger nut growth (plant height and the number of leaves) was measured after 72 days after planting (flowering stage). Tiger nut plant height was measured using meter rule by measuring from the base of the plant in the soil to the last point on the leaf at the apex. Leaf numbers were counted. During harvesting—which is about 3 months after planting, each plant was uprooted using a shovel. Washing was done on a running tap water and then tubers were dried in open air after which they were counted and weighed.

**Statistical analysis.** Data collected for soil chemical and biological properties, tiger nut's yield, growth, and proximate compositions were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS 17.0, and means were separated using Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) at p = 0.05 probability level.
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