Is Arnold diffusion relevant to global diffusion?
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Global diffusion of Hamiltonian dynamical systems is investigated by using a coupled standard maps. Arnold web is visualized in the frequency space, using local rotation numbers, while Arnold diffusion and resonance overlaps are distinguished by the residence time distributions at resonance layers. Global diffusion in the phase space is shown to be accelerated by diffusion across overlapped resonances generated by the coupling term, rather than Arnold diffusion along the lower-order resonances. The former plays roles of hubs for transport in the phase space, and accelerate the diffusion.
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Understanding in global dynamic behavior in Hamiltonian systems is a fundamental issue in nonlinear dynamics and statistical physics. In nonintegrable Hamiltonian systems, two mechanisms for instabilities leading to global diffusion in the phase space are well known: Arnold diffusion [1, 2, 3, 4] and resonance overlap [2, 5]. In a Hamiltonian system in general, there are resonance conditions $\sum_i m_i \omega_i + M = 0$, where $m_i$'s and $M$ are arbitrary integers and $\omega_i$ is radial frequency of $i$-th element. The conditions form resonance lines in the phase space, around which the motion is stochastic, giving rise to a layer, while the interwoven resonance layers form so-called “Arnold web”. Arnold diffusion is the motion along the resonance layers, and is a universal behavior in the systems with more than two degrees of freedom. Resonance overlap, on the other hand, derives from destruction of tori which divide each resonance layers, and is a universal behavior in the systems with more than two degrees of freedom. With the aid of this representation, we measure residence time distributions at each resonance layer, to distinguish the dynamics by Arnold diffusion from the resonance overlap. Then, to clarify relevance of Arnold diffusion and resonance overlap to global transport in the phase space, we compute transition diagrams in the frequency space. Following these results, the global diffusion coefficient in the phase space is studied.

As a simple model for Hamiltonian system with several degrees of freedom, we have chosen Froeschlé map [5, 6], given by

$$
\begin{align*}
p_i(n+1) &= p_i(n) + K \sin(q_i(n)) + b \sin(\sum_{k=1}^2 q_k(n)) \\
q_i(n+1) &= q_i(n) + p_i(n+1)
\end{align*}
$$

where $i = 1, 2$, $q_i(n)$ is the displacement of $i$-th element and $p_i(n)$ its conjugate momentum. Here, $K$ represents nonlinearity of each element and $b$ gives the coupling strength.

Froeschlé map could be taken as a coupled system consisting of standard maps. The standard map, each element dynamics with $b = 0$, is studied as a prototype of a Poincaré map for Hamiltonian dynamics with two degrees of freedom. Similarly the above Froeschlé map could be regarded as a Poincaré map of Hamiltonian system with three degrees of freedom, and provides a prototype model for such system.

Here, the rotation numbers

$$
\omega_i \equiv \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{q_i(T) - q_i(0)}{2\pi T} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^T \frac{p_i(n)}{2\pi T}
$$

are defined to each $i$-th element. Later we are mainly interested in time evolution in the frequency space. Thus, we employ the local rotation numbers computed over finite time length $T$ by

$$
\omega_i(jT) \equiv \frac{\sum_{n=jT}^{jT+T-1} p_i(n)}{2\pi T}
$$

In the term of rotation numbers, the resonance condition of Froeschlé map is given by $m_1 \omega_1 + m_2 \omega_2 + M = 0$, where $m_i$'s and $M$ are arbitrary integers, and the order of resonances is defined by $\sum |m_i| + |M|$. The value of $T$ must be chosen large enough to assure the convergence of each rotation number to a certain resonance, but not too large so that transition between the resonance layers is detected. In this letter, we choose $T$ typically as $10^3$, but change of it within a moderate range does not influence our results to be reported.

To visualize the resonances and Arnold web, we use the density plot in the frequency space, as follows: First, we compute the rotation numbers modulo 1 over a finite time interval from trajectories to describe the structures. Then, the distribution of the rotation number is computed as the histogram of the local rotation numbers. By
and resonance overlaps are clearly visible. When nonlinearity is weak and coupling is stronger, the frequency space map becomes obscure. This is due to the coupling form of Froeschlé’s coupling resonance condition \( \omega_i + \omega_j + M = 0 \). In this case, the distribution at each layer, given by the resonance condition \( m_1 \omega_1 + m_2 \omega_2 + M = 0 \), is resonant with external force. Among them, the lowest-order resonances are \((\omega_i + 1 = 0)\)'s or \((\omega_i = 1)\)'s, and resonance is higher order with larger \( m_i \)'s. Lines \( \sum_i m_i \omega_i + M = 0 \) mean that two elements are resonant with each other, thus indicating coupling resonances. In Fig. 1(a), with parameters \( K = 0.9 \) and \( b = 0.002 \), the coupling resonance \( \omega_1 + \omega_2 = 0 \) is clearly visible, while the other lowest-order coupling resonance \( \omega_1 - \omega_2 = 0 \) is obscure. This is due to the coupling form of Froeschlé's map. The density structure in the frequency space with weaker nonlinearity and stronger coupling is shown in Fig. 1(b). Therefore, coupling resonances of various order and resonance overlaps are clearly visible.

With the representations of these resonance layers in mind, we clarify quantitative differences between Arnold diffusion and resonance overlap, by examining residence time distributions \( \rho(t) \) at each layer, given by the resonance condition \( m_1 \omega_1 + m_2 \omega_2 + M = 0 \). Since there are fluctuations in local rotation numbers due to the finite time average, we set some threshold \( W \) for each resonance condition, so that we compute the residence time during which \( |m_1 \omega_1 + m_2 \omega_2 + M| < W \) is satisfied. The threshold \( W \) is chosen to be around 0.0015, while moderate change of its value yields almost the same distribution.

First, we investigate the dependence of residence time distributions on the order of resonances, as shown in Fig. 2. For all the resonances with enough residences of orbits to get sufficient statistics, the distribution decays with a power law \( (\rho(t) \sim t^{-\alpha}) \). The exponent \( \alpha \) takes the value 3/2 for lower order resonances, and 2 for higher order resonances. The fraction of resonances with the exponent 3/2 decreases with the increase of the coupling strength \( b \) or the nonlinearity \( K \), and it is replaced by those with the exponent 2, as shown in Fig. 2. These change of the exponent corresponds to the transformation of structures in the frequency space from thin linear layer to scattered points in 2-dimensional region. The distribution at the former has the exponent 3/2, while the latter has the exponent 2.

This power law distribution is understood by regarding the motion at the resonance layer as Brownian motion. Lifetime of Brownian motion in a finite interval decays with a power law with the exponent \( \alpha = 3/2 \) in a 1-dimensional case and \( \alpha = 2 \) in a 2-dimensional case. Arnold diffusion is along the 1-dimensional resonance line, which is prominent at lower-order resonances when nonlinearity is weak. In fact, the motion with the residence time distribution of the power 3/2 is observed for low-order resonance with weak nonlinearity. On the other hand, overlapped resonances allow the motion across resonances which leads to Brownian motion at a 2-dimensional region. Indeed, the distribution with the power 2 is observed at higher order resonances, and is more frequently observed with stronger nonlinearity. Hence, one can distinguish clearly the Arnold diffusion from resonance overlap by the power of the residence time distribution at each resonance condition.

Now we discuss the global transport process in the phase space, which consists of the motions across and along the resonance layers, based on the observed geometric structures in the frequency space. For this purpose we compute the transition diagram over resonance lines measured in the frequency space by averaging the momentum over a finite time. Here, we compute the transition matrix among the lower-order resonances \( \omega_i = 1/m_i \) and \( \omega_i = 1 - (1/m_i) \), where \( 1 \leq m_i \leq 6 \), by coarse-graining the value of \( \omega_i \), so that each region contains one junction of the lower-order resonances. As an example, we computed the transition diagram in the course of orbits starting from the region around the golden mean.
torus \( \omega_1 \approx (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2 \) and reaching that around the torus with \( 1 - ((\sqrt{5} - 1)/2) \). In other words, we study how a transition occurs from a point near one KAM torus to another distant KAM torus, through successive transitions over resonance lines. We have computed the transition diagram from the start state to the goal state, over randomly chosen 64 samples in the frequency space. The transition diagram depends on each sample, and that with the shortest steps to arrive at the goal state is shown in Fig. 3(a)(b), corresponding to Fig. 3(a)(b). Diagrams of other samples with short time steps for the destination have a similar feature with Fig. 3. It contains transitions through the overlapped resonances across the coupling resonances. Although resonance overlaps are not so dominant in the phase space, the observed transition diagrams always use these resonance overlaps.

Note that motions across the overlapped resonances are faster than Arnold diffusion along the resonances and always used in this global transition. Moreover, regions with resonances overlap involving coupling resonances inevitably allow for transitions to a variety of directions. In other words, these resonance overlaps play a role as highly connected nodes, i.e., “hubs”[12] for the global transport. By visiting the hub parts, thus, the global transport is accelerated.

As the coupling strength is increased, the number of overlapped resonances that act as such hubs increases, and they become widespread and overlapped each other. Thus, the global diffusion is faster.

It is, then, natural to ask whether transport actually occurs along resonances with weak coupling and nonlinearity in the case that lower-order resonances are not overlapped as shown in Fig. 4(a). From Fig. 4(a), it is not easy to answer the question. Focusing on local rotation numbers themselves, however, we conclude that transport occurs between the lower-order resonances, through the overlapped higher-order resonances that exist between the lower-order resonances. Hence, the main transport is not due to the Arnold diffusion.

So far we have shown that the transport across the overlapped resonances is faster than that along the resonances and existence of hubs accelerates transports. Then, it is natural to expect that these properties of transport can influence the macroscopic quantities which are easily obtained as diffusion coefficients. Diffusion coefficients is defined by

\[
D \equiv \lim_{T \to \infty} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( \frac{p_i(T) - p_i(0)}{T} \right)^2 \right\rangle,
\]

where \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) represents sample average. Dependence of \( D \) on the coupling strength is shown in Fig. 4(b).

Here, if we assume uniform stochasticity with no structures in the phase space, \( D = (K^2 + b^2)/2 \) is obtained by the random phase approximation[2]. In general, increase
with $b^2$ could be expected by replacing the coupling term by random process as expected by diffusion. In contrast, around $0.01 < b < 1$, the data in Fig 4 are fitted by the form $D \sim b^\beta$ with $\beta = 2.5$, showing a clear deviation from the above forms. Indeed, the transport across the coupling resonance at hubs as in Fig 3(b) is dominant here. It is expected that this power law $\beta = 2.5$ could reflect the increase of the fraction of such coupling resonances forming hubs with the coupling $b$. The exponent $\beta$ here decreases with $K$. As $K$ is increased from 0 to 0.9, $\beta$ decreases from 3.5 to 2.2. This dependency suggests that the increase of hub coupling resonances is more relevant as the nonlinearity is weaker.

The relevance of diffusion across the coupling resonance is also detected by computing the diffusion parallel to $p_2 = p_1$ (along $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 0$) and to $p_2 = -p_1$ (along $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 0$), separately [13]. As the former measures the diffusion transversal to the resonance line $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 0$, a main source for the difference between the two diffusion coefficients is the motion crossing the lowest-order coupling resonance, $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 0$. As shown, the diffusion coefficient parallel to $p_2 = p_1$ is much larger than the other, and the diffusion is anisotropic in this sense.

The difference is prominent for $b > 0.01$, where the transition diagram as in Fig 3(b) is observed, showing the dominance of the motion across the hub coupling resonance. This again demonstrates the relevance of the motion across the resonance layer. So far, several estimates of diffusion coefficients have been proposed beyond the simple random phase approximation, as given by the stochastic pump or three resonance model and their extensions [11, 14]. These, however, assume only the diffusion along the resonances, while our results, in contrast, exhibit the importance of resonance overlap to the global diffusion.

In summary, intermingled structure of Arnold web and resonance overlaps are visualized by introducing the representation in the frequency space. It is shown that the motion across the resonances is faster than the motion along the overlapped resonances. By examining the transition over resonance layers, global diffusion in the phase space is found to be mainly governed by diffusion across the overlapped resonances. The global transport in the phase space is accelerated through overlapped resonances involving coupling resonances, forming a hub in the transition diagram. There, the global diffusion in the phase space is governed mainly by the motion across the resonance layers, rather than the Arnold diffusion along the layer. Now it is important to estimate the resonance overlap in conjunction with the Arnold diffusion, to study global transport process.

In a system with more degrees of freedom, Arnold webs may be intermingled, and form a complicated structure, so to say, “Arnold spaghetti” [13]. In such case, diffusion across the resonance layers through resonance overlaps is more dominant, where network of hub transport regions should be unveiled, to understand conditions for the realization of thermodynamic behavior.
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