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Abstract: Karyological, flow-cytometric and molecular analyses indicate that the genus Leucanthemum Mill. (Compositae, Anthemideae) is represented in Corsica (Corse) by two species: the tetraploid L. ircutianum DC. and the hexaploid L. corsicum (Less.) DC. The indication of the occurrence of the diploid L. vulgare Lam. on the island and of a tetraploid chromosome number for L. corsicum, given in former treatments of the genus for Corsica, could not be corroborated. AFLP fingerprinting further suggests that the infraspecific taxonomy of L. corsicum with two subspecies (L. corsicum subsp. corsicum and subsp. fenzlii) and three forms (L. corsicum f. corsicum, f. pinnatifidum and f. eschenlohrianum), which is mainly based on differences in the degree of leaf dissection, is not backed by genetic discontinuities. Owing to the observed little variation in leaf dissection within populations in the wild and the constancy of these features under cultivation, we propose the rank of varieties to taxonomically acknowledge these different stages in the broad spectrum of leaf-dissection grades exhibited by L. corsicum. As a consequence, the two new combinations L. corsicum var. eschenlohrianum (Gamisans) Vogt, Hugot & Oberpr. and L. corsicum var. fenzlii (Gamisans) Vogt, Hugot & Oberpr. are proposed.
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Introduction

The genus Leucanthemum Mill. (marguerites, ox-eye daisies; Compositae, Anthemideae) comprises 42 flowering plant species (Euro+Med, 2006+) distributed all over the European continent and represents an attractive system for studying reticulate evolution on the diploid (Oberprieler & al. 2014; Konowalik & al. 2015; Wagner & al. 2017) and polyploid level (Oberprieler & al. 2011, 2014, 2018; Greiner & al. 2012, 2013; Vogt & al. 2018). Following the treatments of the genus in the Compléments au Prodrome de la Flore Corse (Gamisans 1998) and Flora Corsica (Jeanmonod & Gamisans 2013), this genus is represented in Corsica by two species: L. vulgare Lam. and L. corsicum (Less.) DC. The former is widely distributed throughout Europe and even introduced and naturalised on other continents, while the latter is an endemic species to the Corsican flora. According to Vogt (cited in Gamisans 1998: 287) and Flora Gallica (Tison & de Foucault 2014), it is not the diploid L. vulgare that is introduced to Corsica, but the equally widespread tetraploid L. ircutianum DC.

Owing to the paramount importance of karyological information for a well-informed taxonomic treatment
of the polyploid complex of *Leucanthemum*, the mentioned treatment of Gamisans (1998) has added no additional facts to the chromosome counts given in the author’s former revision of *L. corsicum* (Gamisans 1972). Here, the extremely high morphological variability of this species – especially in terms of leaf dissection – has been countered by the description/acknowledgement of two subspecies and four forms. However, chromosome number reports were limited to two of them (for *L. corsicum f. corsicum* and *f. eschenlohrianum*) in addition to an even older report by Contrandriopulos (1964), attributed to *L. corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii* Gamisans. This lack of karyological information for infraspecific taxa of *L. corsicum* and the complete ignorance about the chromosome number of the other species of *Leucanthemum* in Corsica (i.e. *L. vulgare* s.l.) motivated us to subject the Corsican populations of this genus to a more comprehensive sampling of populations for genome-size analyses by flow-cytometry, complemented and validated by chromosome counts.

In addition to these cytological investigations, we were interested in the genetic background of infraspecific taxa of *Leucanthemum corsicum*. For this purpose we conducted AFLP fingerprinting based on silica-gel dried leaf material sampled during an excursion to Corsica in August 2018. This molecular method has proven being an important technique for solving taxonomic problems in the genus in other parts of its distributional range (Greiner & al. 2013; Konowalik & al. 2015; Wagner & al. 2017; Oberprieler & al. 2018).

**Material and methods**

**Plant material** — The plant material for the present study was collected during an excursion to Corsica in August 2018. The permit for these collections was issued by the Conseil National de la Protection de la Nature (CNPN) under the project number 2018-08-17-00916) to one of the authors (L. H.). We sampled 12 populations of *Leucanthemum* representing the wide-spread European species *L. vulgare* Lam. s.l. and the Corsican endemic *L. corsicum* (Less.) DC. with its morphologically defined infraspecific taxa *L. corsicum f. corsicum*, *f. pinnatifidum*, *f. eschenlohrianum* and subsp. *fenzlii* (Fig. 1, Table 1). For flow-cytometric and molecular analyses, leaf material was collected and dried in silica-gel. Additionally, for most populations voucher specimens were prepared and are housed at the herbarium of the Botanical Museum Berlin.

**Karyological and flow-cytometric analyses** — Chromosome numbers were obtained from somatic mitoses of root tips of plants raised from seed in the Botanic Garden Berlin and the Botanical Garden of the University of Regensburg. Root tips were pre-treated with hydroxyquinoline (0.002 molar aqueous solution) for 2 hours, fixed in 96% ethanol/glacial acetic acid (3:1) and refrigerated. Hydrolysation was carried out with 1–2 N hydrochloric acid for 10–15 minutes at 60°C. For chromosome staining root tips were squashed in aceto-orcein. Voucher specimens of the original collections and of plants cultivated in the Botanic Garden Berlin are deposited in B.

For flow cytometry, a two step protocol was used (Doležel & al. 2007) with leaf material of *Pisum sativum* L. ‘Citrad’ (2C = 9.09 pg) as an internal standard. The amount of a leaf sample (c. 100–200 mm²) was approximately threefold compared to the material of the internal standard. Leaf fragments of 96 individuals from 12 populations (Table 1) were chopped with a razor blade in citric-acid-Triton isolation buffer (0.2 M citric acid, 0.5% Triton X), the suspension of nuclei was filtered.
Table 1. Corsican *Leucanthemum* populations sampled for the present study with information on localities, voucher specimens and indication of mean values for DNA content from flow-cytometric analyses and numbers of surveyed individuals in cytometric and AFLP-fingerprinting analyses.

| Population | Taxon | Locality | Coordinates | Collectors | Voucher specimens | 2C DNA content (pg) ± SD (n) | AFLP (n) |
|------------|-------|----------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Leu434     | *L. corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii* | Co, Haute-Corse, Monte d’Oro, 1666 m | 42°07'53.4"N, 09°05'09.8"E | Vogt 17862 & al. | B 10 1003362, B 10 1003364 | 28.39 ± 0.64 (10) | 5+1 |
| Leu435     | *L. corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii* | Co, Corse-du-Sud, La Gravona, 1200 m | 42°04'40.8"N, 09°06'27.9"E | Vogt 17863 & al. | B 10 1003360, B 10 1003361 | 29.07 ± 0.49 (10) | 5 |
| Leu436     | *L. corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii* | Co, Haute-Corse, Monte Renoso, 1760 m | 42°04'24.8"N, 09°08'49.4"E | Vogt 17864 & al. | B 10 1003340, B 10 1003358, B 10 1003359 | 28.86 ± 0.66 (9) | 5+1 |
| Leu437     | *L. ircutianum* | Co, Corse-du-Sud, Col de Verde, 920 m | 41°58'21.6"N, 09°11'03.4"E | Vogt 17865 & al. | B 10 1003356 | 23.77 ± 0.48 (2) | 2 |
| Leu438     | *L. ircutianum* | Co, Corse-du-Sud, Col de Verde, 1058 m | 41°59'29.6"N, 09°11'14.8"E | Vogt 17867 & al. | B 10 1003354, B 10 1003355 | 22.41 ± 0.40 (9) | 4+1 |
| Leu439     | *L. corsicum* f. *pinnatifidum* | Co, Haute-Corse, Restonica, 1430 m | 42°14'08.8"N, 09°08'19.6"E | Vogt 17868 & Oberprieler | B 10 1003345 | 21.29 ± 0.52 (9) | 4+1 |
| Leu440     | *L. ircutianum* | Co, Haute-Corse, Canaglia, 740 m | 42°09'38.1"N, 09°11'03.4"E | Vogt 17869 & Oberprieler | B 10 1003344 | 20.98 ± 0.81 (10) | 4+1 |
| Leu441     | *L. ircutianum* | Co, Haute-Corse, Canaglia, 697 m | 42°09'26.5"N, 09°08'26.8"E | Vogt 17870 & Oberprieler | B 10 1003341 | 29.38 (1) | 2 |
| Leu442     | *L. corsicum* f. *corsicum* | Co, Corse-du-Sud, Bavelia, 1439 m | 41°48'06.7"N, 09°12'54.3"E | Vogt 17871 & al. | B 10 1003342 | 27.68 ± 0.06 (2) | 3 |
| Leu443     | *L. corsicum* f. *corsicum* | Co, Corse-du-Sud, Bavelia, 1400 m | 41°48'02.5"N, 09°13'00.2"E | Vogt 17872 & al. | B 10 1003343 | 29.26 ± 0.48 (10) | 5+2 |
| Leu444     | *L. corsicum* f. *eschenlohrianum* | Co, Haute-Corse, Paratella, 1621 m | 42°13'08.0"N, 09°06'49.8"E | Hugot s.n. & al. | not collected | 29.33 ± 0.67 (10) | 4 |

Fresh leaf material of plants cultivated in the Botanical Garden of the University of Regensburg

| Population | Taxon | Locality | Coordinates | Collectors | Voucher specimens | 2C DNA content (pg) ± SD (n) | AFLP (n) |
|------------|-------|----------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Leu434     | *L. corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii* | Co, Haute-Corse, Monte d’Oro, 1666 m | 09°05'09.8"E, 42°07'53.4"N | Vogt 17862 & al. | B 10 1003362 | 29.70 ± 0.04 (2) | 2 |
| Leu436     | *L. corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii* | Co, Haute-Corse, Monte Renoso, 1760 m | 09°08'49.4"E, 42°14'08.8"N | Vogt 17864 & al. | B 10 1003361 | 29.60 (1) | 1 |
| Leu439     | *L. corsicum* f. *pinnatifidum* | Co, Haute-Corse, Restonica, 1430 m | 09°11'03.4"E | Vogt 17868 & Oberprieler | B 10 1003354 | 29.98 (1) | 1 |
through a mesh with a pore size of 50 μm and kept on ice. After centrifugation for 5 min at 150 g and 4°C, the isolation buffer was removed aside from a rest of c. 50 μl, and this pellet was dissolved in ice-cold LB01 buffer (Doležel & al. 1989) containing 4 mg/l of DAPI (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany). Excitation of the sample was done using a UV-LED (365 nm; 3 W) and a sensitive blue photo-multiplier tube detecting fluorescent light between 435 nm and 560 nm on a CyFlow Ploidy Analyser (Sysmex, Norderstedt, Germany). Acquisition was automatically stopped at 8000 measured nuclei of the standard peak. The DNA content of *Leucanthemum* probes was calculated by referencing to the internal standard peak of *Pisum sativum*.

**DNA extraction and AFLP fingerprinting** — Extraction of total genomic DNA was done with a CTAB extraction protocol (Doyle & Doyle 1987; Doyle & Dickson 1987) including RNA digestion. DNA concentration of all extracts used in the AFLP fingerprinting procedure was measured with a Qubit® fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, U.S.A.) and then dilutions for a final DNA concentration of 12.5 ng/µl were prepared. The AFLP protocol followed the original description of Vos & al. (1995) with modifications described in Oberprieler & al. (2011) and Greiner & al. (2013). In the first step, *MseI* and *EcoRI* restriction enzymes were used together with T4 DNA ligase and adaptors compatible with either of the two restriction sites. Restriction-ligation was carried out at 37°C for 2 h, after which the ligase was heat-inactivated. The Pre-selective Amplification (PA) step involved primers with one and two selective nucleotides (for the *EcoRI* primer and CT for the *MseI* primer), while Selective Amplification (SA) used primers with additional two selective nucleotides (CTAG for the *MseI* primer, and the three fluorescently labelled *EcoRI* primers *EcoRI*-ACC, *EcoRI*-AGG and *EcoRI*-ACA). The PCR products were united, precipitated and subsequently dissolved in a mixture of GenomeLab Sample Loading Solution and CEQ Size Standard 400 (Beckman Coulter, Germany). The fragment detection was performed on a CEQ8000 capillary sequencer (Beckman Coulter, Germany). To quantify AFLP genotyping errors, replicates were generated for seven randomly selected samples, representing 12.7% of the total sample number (Table 1).

A 0/1 matrix was generated by automatic band scoring using GELCOMPAR II v.5.10 (Applied Maths NV, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium) and a screening through 112 parameter combinations comprising different combinations of values for *peak minimal profiling* (0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0), *peak minimal area* (0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4) and *band matching tolerance* (0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4) was carried out using the seven replicate samples. In order to choose the most reliable combination, the Euclidean error, the Jaccard distance, the number of correctly paired individuals, and the phylogenetic resolution score were calculated using a Python script developed by Holland & al. (2008). The parameter combination with the highest scores was then chosen for band-scoring in all remaining individuals.

To visualize the genetic similarity among individuals, a Neighbor-Net diagram was constructed based on Nei-Li distances (Nei & Li 1979) using the software SPLITSTREE v.4.14.6 (Huson & Bryant 2017). Additionally, a Bayesian clustering of individuals was done with the software STRUCTURE v.2.3 (Pritchard & al. 2000). For estimation of the optimal cluster number *k* the method of Evanno & al. (2005) was used. Allele frequencies were set to correlated, all individuals were assigned to haploid level to account for the dominant marker system and the mixed ploidy levels in the dataset. The burn-in was set to 50 000 generations and chain length to 500 000 generations. The analysis was run 10 times and the results were averaged using CLUMPP v.1.1.2 (Jakobsson & Rosenberg 2007). For visualization of the results, the software POPHELPER v.1.0.10 (Francis 2017) was used.

**Results**

**Karyological and flow-cytometric analyses** — As summarised in Table 1, all 30 accessions from Corsican populations of *Leucanthemum vulgare* s.l. revealed DNA contents between 19.7 pg and 24.3 pg (mean: 21.7 pg; SD: 1.0 pg) and therefore showed values typical for the tetraploid *L. ircutianum* DC. Unexpectedly, all 66 accessions of *L. corsicum*, irrespective of their infraspecific classification, revealed considerably higher DNA contents ranging between 26.2 pg and 30.5 pg (mean: 28.9 pg; SD: 0.9 pg) arguing for a hexaploid ploidy level realised in this species (Table 1). This interpretation was confirmed by mitotic chromosome counts on individuals raised from seed originating from populations 434 and 436 of *L. corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii* of the present study and cultivated plants from the same subspecies collected in 2011 close to our present population 434 (Table 2, Fig. 2).

**AFLP fingerprinting** — The automatic band scoring procedure with the Python scripts of Holland & al. (2008) revealed as the best combination of parameter values a *peak minimal profiling* of 2.5, a *peak minimal area* of 0.3 and a *band matching tolerance* of 0.4. With these parameter values, automatic band scoring yielded 425 bands with an Euclidean error rate of 13%, a Jaccard error rate of 47%, a resolution score of 46% and six out of the seven replicates were consistently paired. As expectable from the flow-cytometrically determined differences in genome size between representatives of *Leucanthemum ircutianum* (tetraploid) and *L. corsicum* (hexaploid), representatives of the former species produced on average less bands than the latter ones (88.9 vs 95.5, respectively). Five individuals (three from population 444 and two from population 445) showed comparably faint AFLP
banding patterns and were omitted from the subsequent analyses.

Following the method of Evanno & al. (2005), the optimal number of clusters in the Bayesian clustering of STRUCTURE was inferred to be $k = 2$, corresponding to the two species involved, *Leucanthemum corsicum* and *L. ircutianum* (Fig. 3). There was only a single individual found that was not assigned to one of the two clusters with a posterior probability of $PP > 0.9$; i.e. an individual from population 438 (438-01) being clustered to *L. ircutianum* with only $PP = 0.873$. The clear bipartition of the dataset was also revealed in the Neighbor-Net network reconstruction based on Nei-Li distances (Fig. 4). These two analyses also clearly showed that the two subspecies (and three forms) of *L. corsicum* do not represent genetically distinct lineages.

**Discussion**

*Ploidy of Corsican Leucanthemum* — Our present finding of DNA contents characteristic for hexaploid *Leucanthemum* species in 67 accessions of *L. corsicum* from eight populations and the corroboration of a hexaploid number through counting of chromosomes in mitotic cells of root tips of plants from two different populations are in unexpected contrast to all previously published chromosome numbers for this species. Contandriopoulos (1964) has been the first publishing a chromosome number for *L. corsicum* and indicated and pictured a tetraploid number for plant material collected at Monte d’Oro by “Mme Conrad et étudié par nous [Planche 1, fig. 12]” (l.c.: 378). It is not clear whether any voucher specimen exists for this chromosome count and has been seen by J. Gamisans, but due to the locality indicated (Monte d’Oro), this author assigned this count to his *L. corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii* (Gamisans 1972: 195, 1998: 284).

Our interpretation for the discrepant chromosome count by J. Contandriopoulos from the same locality (*locus classicus* of *Leucanthemum corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii*) is that either there was some confusion with the labelling at the Neuchâtel Botanical Garden or that its collector, Mme Conrad, misidentified the plant as *L. corsicum*. In
favour of the latter interpretation is the fact that tetraploids (the typical chromosome number of *L. ircutianum*) are found close to Monte d’Oro, for example at Vivario (populations 440 and 441) and Vizzavona (indicated by Gamisans 1998: 287 under the wrong name *L. vulgare*). In contrast to this interpretation, however, is the fact that Contandriopoulos (1964: 378) stated strong morphological affinities of her cultivated *L. corsicum* plants to *L. monspeliense*. [sub *L. cebennense* (L.) H. J. Coste] from the Massif Central (“gorges de l’Héric”); therefore, indicating that the plants had strongly dissected leaves, typical for *L. corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii*, but not for *L. ircutianum*.

The deviating counts of tetraploid chromosome numbers for *Leucanthemum corsicum* subsp. *corsicum* published by Gamisans (1972) are even harder to explain, especially because two populations from different forms (i.e. *f. corsicum* and *f. eschenlohrianum*) were concerned and the author explicitly focussed on the taxonomy of *Leucanthemum* in Corsica in this contribution. In the former case (f. *corsicum*), a meiotic chromosome number of *n* = 18 is given for a pollen mother cell observed in flower bud fixations of a plant collected in the Massif de Bavella (“SSW la Bocca del Marro”) close to our hexaploid populations 442 and 443 (both also f. *corsicum*); in the latter, a tetraploid mitotic (2*n* = 36) and meiotic count (n = 18) is given for the Monte Rotondo area (“vallée du Manico”) close to our hexaploid population 444 (also f. *eschenlohrianum*).

**Genetic differentiation of Leucanthemum corsicum** — In contrast to their genetic distinctness from the tetraploid *Leucanthemum ircutianum* in all analyses based on AFLP fingerprint data, the infraspecific taxa of the hexaploid *L. corsicum* involved in the present study (i.e. *L. corsicum* f. *corsicum*, f. *pinnatifidum*, f. *eschenlohrianum* and *L. corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii*) were found lacking genetic differentiation paralleling their morphological separation. When considering the diagnostic features described by Gamisans (1972, 1998), it becomes obvious, however, that these are solely based on different intensities of leaf-lobe incision, ranging from *L. corsicum* subsp. *corsicum* f. *corsicum* (and the later-on synonymised f. *dentatum*) showing dentate to pinnatifid middle cauline leaves and a rachis broader than 5 mm, over f. *pinnatifidum* (pinnatifid to pinnatipartite, rachis 3.5–5 mm broad), f. *eschenlohrianum* (pinnatipartite, rachis 2.5–3.5 mm broad) to the other extreme *L. corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii*, showing pinnatisect leaves having a rachis 1–2(–2.5) mm broad. It appears reasonable, therefore, that these infraspecific taxa are representing more or less artificially demarcated entities in an obviously continuous spectrum of morphological variation. Additionally, there seems to be only a quite weak geographical pattern in this morphological gradient: while *L. corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii* is limited to the very central part of the Corsican mountain backbone (Monte d’Oro-Migliarello, Punta di u Fornellu), the forms of *L. corsicum* subsp. *corsicum* are indicated (often sympatrically and without elevational tendencies) for the further massifs of this chain between Monte Cintu in the NW and the Massif de Bavella in the SE (Gamisans 1972, 1998). Nevertheless, during the sampling excursion to Corsica in summer 2018, we have observed that local stands of *L. corsicum* are very homogenous in morphological respects and exhibit only little variation in leaf dissection. Additionally, the retention of leaf-dissection characteristics of plants grown from seed from populations 434 and 436 (subsp. *fenzlii*) and population 439 (f. *pinnatifidum*) under common-garden conditions in the Botanical Gar-

---

**Fig. 3.** Results of a Bayesian cluster analysis (STRUCTURE) of AFLP fingerprint data, with *k* = 2 found as the optimal cluster number. The histograms illustrate posterior probabilities of membership in the two clusters for each *Leucanthemum* accession under study. Letters below accession numbers refer to infraspecific taxa of *L. corsicum* (i.e. C: *L. corsicum* f. *corsicum*; P: f. *pinnatifidum*; E: f. *eschenlohrianum*; F: subsp. *fenzlii*).
The consistent finding of the hexaploid level in all sampled populations of *Leucanthemum corsicum*, together with the continuous morphological variation found in leaf dissection and the little genetic structure exhibited in the AFLP analysis, suggest that leaf dissection could increase photosynthesis and/or could be involved in thermoregulation (Maugarny-Calès & Laufs 2018). This may explain our present observation that variation in ecologically adaptive and taxonomically decisive morphological features is not paralleled by genetic patterns based on molecular markers scattered throughout the whole genome like AFLP fingerprint loci.
indicates that all populations belong to a single biological species. When deciding on a suitable taxonomic treatment for the considerable infraspecific morphological variation observed in a sexually reproducing species, Stuessy (2009: 156) suggested consideration of “morphological distinctness, geographical cohesiveness, and where known, genetic divergence, natural reproductive isolation, and degrees of fertility or sterility of natural hybrids”. While information on natural reproductive isolation between morphologically divergent populations of *L. corsicum* and the fertility of crossing products are missing, the other criteria could be included into a discussion on taxonomic consequences of the present findings.

The lack of a clear-cut morphological discontinuity between *Leucanthemum corsicum* subsp. *corsicum* and subsp. *fenzlii* caused by the intermediate taxa *L. corsicum* f. *dentatum* (already sunk into synonymy of f. *corsicum* by Gamisans 1998), f. *pinnatifidum* and f. *eschenlohrianum* is paralleled by the lack of a clear genetic structure. This, together with the absence of a geographical cohesiveness of morphologically and genetically similar populations argue in our opinion against acknowledgement of the two subspecies proposed. On the other hand, the morphological constancy within populations and its (albeit presumably oligogenic) genetic control shown by common-garden cultivation is an argument against a taxonomic treatment of these morphotypes as mere forms appearing interspersed in populations together with the typical form. Therefore, in accordance with Stuessy’s (2009) suggestions and in line with the treatment of comparable cases in Oberprieler (1998) in NW African taxa of *Anthemis* L., we propose the rank of varieties as the most suitable one for casting.
the observed morphological, genetic and geographical patterns into a formal taxonomic system. As a consequence, the following taxa based on Gamisans’s (1998) latest treatment can be discriminated in *L. corsicum*:

1. **Leucanthemum corsicum** (Sieber ex Less.) DC., Prodr. 6: 47. 1838 var. *corsicum*≡ *Phalacrosedus corsicus* Sieber ex Less., Syn. Gen. Compos.: 254. 1832 ≡ *Chrysanthemum montanum* var. *corsicum* (Sieber ex Less.) Mutel, Fl. Franç. 2: 154. 1835 ≡ *Leucanthemum coronopifolium* subvar. *corsicum* (Sieber ex Less.) Nym., Conspr. Fl. Eur.: 371. 1879 ≡ *Chrysanthemum atratum* var. [*“”*] *corsicum* (Sieber ex Less.) Horvatić in Acta Bot. Inst. Bot. Univ. Zagreb 10: 75. 1935. – Lectotype (designated by Gamisans in Candollea 27: 192. 1972): Monte d’Oro, Corsica (W! [W0010623A]).

≡ *Leucanthemum corsicum* f. *dentatum* Gamisans in Candollea 27: 192. 1972. – Holotype: Corse, Massif de Bavella, couloir rocailleux dominant vers le N le ravin de Polischello [41°50’N, 09°13’E], 1670 m, siliceux, 23 Jul 1969, Gamisans 21 (G! [G00220670]).

≡ *Chrysanthemum corsicum* Sieber ex Less., Syn. Gen. Compos.: 254. 1832, nom. inval., pro syn.

2. **Leucanthemum corsicum** var. *pinnatifidum* (Fenzl) Briq. & Cavill. in Burnat, Fl. Alpes. Marit. 6: 117. 1838 ≡ *Tanacetum monspeliense* var. *pinnatifidum* Fenzl in Verh. Zool.-Bot. Vereins Wien 3: 346. 1853 ≡ *Chrysanthemum atratum* f. *pinnatifidum* (Fenzl) Fiori, Nuov. Fl. Italia 2(4): 627. 1927 ≡ *Leucanthemum atratum* subsp. *corsicum* (Sieber ex Less.) Horvatić in Acta Bot. Inst. Bot. Univ. Zagreb 10: 75. 1935. – Lectotype (designated by Gamisans in Candollea 27: 192. 1972): Monte d’Oro, Corsica (W! [W0010623B]).

≡ *Leucanthemum corsicum* f. *dentatum* Gamisans in Candollea 27: 193. 1972. – Lectotype (designated by Gamisans in Candollea 27: 193. 1972): Monte d’oro, Corsica (W! [W0010623B]).

≡ *Leucanthemum vulgare* var. *cyrenicum* Litard. in Bull. Soc. Sci. Hist. Nat. Corse 42: 239. 1922. – Holotype: Corse, Massif de Rotondo, rochers de la base de crête de San Cypriano, rive g. de la Restonica, en aval des bergeries de Grotello [42°14’N, 09°02’E], 1300 m, 20 Aug 1919, Litardière (G! [G100100134]).

3. **Leucanthemum corsicum** var. *eschelohrianum* (Gamisans) Vogt, Hugot & Oberpr., stat. nov. = *Leucanthemum corsicum* f. *eschelohrianum* Gamisans in Candollea 27: 194. 1972. – Holotype: Massif de Rotondo, Monte Cardo, vers. S, ravin de Polischello [42°14’N, 09°07’E], 1600 m, paroi rocheuse dominant le torrent, siliceux, 26 Jul 1968, Gamisans 3 (Herb. Gamisans; iso- type: G! [G00220680]).

4. **Leucanthemum corsicum** var. *fenzlii* (Gamisans) Vogt, Hugot & Oberpr., stat. nov. = *Leucanthemum corsicum* subsp. *fenzlii* Gamisans in Candollea 27: 194. 1972. – Holotype: Monte d’Oro, vers. ESE [42°08’N, 09°06’E], 1600 m, rochers, 30 Jun 1967, Gamisans 17 (G! [G00220681]; isotype: G! [G00220682]).
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