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Objective: We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of the tissue adequacy and complication rates of percutaneous transthoracic needle biopsy (PTNB) for molecular analysis in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Materials and Methods: We performed a literature search of the OVID-MEDLINE and Embase databases to identify original studies on the tissue adequacy and complication rates of PTNB for molecular analysis in patients with NSCLC published between January 2005 and January 2020. Inverse variance and random-effects models were used to evaluate and acquire meta-analytic estimates of the outcomes. To explore heterogeneity across the studies, univariable and multivariable meta-regression analyses were performed.

Results: A total of 21 studies with 2232 biopsies (initial biopsy, 8 studies; rebiopsy after therapy, 13 studies) were included. The pooled rates of tissue adequacy and complications were 89.3% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 85.6%–92.6%; I² = 0.81) and 17.3% (95% CI: 12.1%–23.1%; I² = 0.89), respectively. These rates were 93.5% and 22.2% for the initial biopsies and 86.2% and 16.8% for the rebiopsies, respectively. Severe complications, including pneumothorax requiring chest tube placement and massive hemoptysis, occurred in 0.7% of the cases (95% CI: 0%–2.2%; I² = 0.67). Multivariable meta-regression analysis showed that the tissue adequacy rate was not significantly lower in studies on rebiopsies (p = 0.058). The complication rate was significantly higher in studies that preferentially included older adults (p = 0.001).

Conclusion: PTNB demonstrated an average tissue adequacy rate of 89.3% for molecular analysis in patients with NSCLC, with a complication rate of 17.3%. PTNB is a generally safe and effective diagnostic procedure for obtaining tissue samples for molecular analysis in NSCLC. Rebiopsy may be performed actively with an acceptable risk of complications if clinically required.
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INTRODUCTION

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for more than 85% of all lung cancers, and most patients with NSCLC have locally advanced or metastatic disease at diagnosis. In advanced NSCLC, it is typically necessary to determine whether standard chemotherapy or therapy targeting actionable mutations should be applied in the current era.
of personalized medicine [1,2]. Consequently, molecular testing of NSCLC has gained importance since the advent of targeted cancer therapy [3]. The era of precision medicine in lung cancer began with the discovery of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations in NSCLC and the use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) to target these mutations successfully [4]. Several other actionable mutations, including anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) rearrangement and c-ros oncogene 1 (ROS1) mutation, have been subsequently identified, and the corresponding targeted therapies have improved the prognosis of lung cancer [4,5]. Accordingly, molecular analysis to identify actionable mutations is a necessary step in the diagnosis of NSCLC [2,6].

Percutaneous transthoracic needle biopsy (PTNB) has been traditionally used to diagnose lung parenchymal lesions, particularly in peripheral locations, which are difficult to approach using bronchoscopy [7]. PTNB under various types of imaging guidance is a reliable and safe procedure that provides a diagnostic sensitivity of 90% or more for the histopathologic diagnosis of NSCLC [1,8-10]. One of the major indications of PTNB for NSCLC is to obtain tumor tissue for molecular analysis to identify targetable mutations, and the need for such biopsies is growing [11,12]. However, the diagnostic adequacy of PTNB for molecular analysis has not been established, especially with regard to the likelihood of obtaining a sufficient tissue sample and the complication rate, and no comprehensive review has been conducted on these issues. Therefore, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of the tissue adequacy and complication rates of PTNB for molecular analysis in patients with NSCLC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines [13] after registration of the study protocol in the PROSPERO database (registration number: CRD42020166405).

Search Strategy

A search of the OVID-MEDLINE and Embase databases was conducted for publications published between January 2005 and January 2020 on the tissue adequacy and complication rate of PTNB for molecular analysis in patients with NSCLC, since advanced molecular analysis began to receive attention in precision medicine for lung cancer [14]. The following keywords were used in different combinations: (“non-small cell lung cancer,” OR “lung adenocarcinoma”) AND (“rebiopsy,” OR “research biopsy”) AND (“molecular analysis” and “mutation”). The search was restricted to human participants and English language studies. This search was supplemented by screening the bibliographies of the retrieved articles and review articles.

Selection of Studies

We reviewed articles for the following components to determine eligibility: 1) studies with a population consisting of at least 10 patients with NSCLC who underwent PTNB, 2) studies fully or partially addressing tissue adequacy with or without complications for molecular analysis of PTNB, 3) studies analyzing PTNB performed under radiological guidance, including fluoroscopy, computed tomography (CT), cone-beam CT, CT fluoroscopy, or ultrasonography, and 4) studies with a sufficient description of the data for outcomes to be extracted.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) case reports, review articles, editorials, letters, comments, and conference proceedings and 2) studies dealing with only bronchoscopy or endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)-guided procedure. The titles and abstracts of the searched publications were screened. The full texts of the articles were reviewed after selecting potentially eligible abstracts. Two reviewers independently performed both steps and finally included articles that were eligible based on consensus.

Definition of Outcomes

The primary outcome of this meta-analysis was the tissue adequacy rate of PTNB for molecular analysis in patients with NSCLC. The tissue adequacy rate was defined as the proportion of procedures that yielded an adequate amount of tumor tissue for molecular testing of at least one kind, including EGFR, ALK, ROS1, Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRAS), and rearranged during transfection (RET). Articles on the assessment of programmed cell death ligand-1 expression were not included in this study. The secondary outcomes were the rates of complications and severe complications related to PTNB for molecular analysis. Severe complications included pneumothorax requiring chest tube placement, massive hemoptysis, air embolism, and death.

Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

Two of the authors with 9 and 16 years of clinical
experience independently extracted the data using a standardized Excel file. The extracted data included patient characteristics (mean age, sex distribution, underlying disease, and type of lung cancer), study characteristics (authors, journal, affiliation, country, and publication year), procedural characteristics (number of procedures, needle type and gauge, imaging guidance, biopsy position, specimen length, and target lesion characteristics), and outcomes (proportion of procedures with sufficient tissue specimens for molecular diagnosis and frequency and types of complications). Any conflicts were resolved through consensus. We used the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS)-2 tool for quality assessment [15].

Statistical Analysis
A DerSimonian–Laird random-effects model was used to estimate the pooled proportions of tissue adequacy and complications overall, depending on whether the biopsy was an initial biopsy or rebiopsy after chemotherapy. For the meta-analysis, the inverse variance method was used to calculate the weights. Heterogeneity across the included studies was evaluated using $I^2$ statistics and forest plots. $I^2$ was derived from the Cochrane Q statistic using the following equation: $I^2 = 100\% \times (Q-df)/Q$. An $I^2$ statistic of $> 50\%$ indicated substantial heterogeneity [16]. The potential for publication bias was evaluated visually using funnel plots. Subgroup analysis was conducted for the biopsy timing (initial biopsy versus rebiopsy) and complication rates (higher versus lower complication rates). The criterion for dividing the subgroups was the value of the pooled incidence. Subgroup analysis for severe complications was performed with arcsine transformation due to the low sample numbers. To explore the reasons for inter-study heterogeneity, univariable and multivariable meta-regression analyses were performed, and the significant factors ($p < 0.05$) on univariable analysis and the timing of the biopsy (initial biopsy versus rebiopsy) were used in the multivariable model. R version 3.6.2 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing), with the ‘meta’ and ‘metafor’ packages, was used for the analyses.

RESULTS

Literature Search
The literature search process is shown in Figure 1. In total, 325 articles were screened after removing duplicates. Of these 325 articles, 268 were excluded based on their titles and abstracts. Thirty-eight additional articles were excluded after reviewing their full texts, and two articles were further added by screening the bibliographies. Finally, 21 articles involving 2232 patients met the eligibility criteria and were included [1,2,7,17-34].

Baseline Characteristics and Quality Assessment
The study population in the included studies ranged from 17 to 560 (median, 112; interquartile range [IQR], 66–236), and the number of total biopsy procedures ranged from 5 to 577 (median, 90; IQR, 23–134) (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1). Two-thirds of the studies were conducted in Asia (67%, 14/21), followed by the United States (29%, 6/21). PTNB was performed for biopsy after chemotherapy or targeted therapy in 62% of the studies (13/21) and for initial biopsy in the rest (38%, 8/21). CT was the most frequent guidance modality for PTNB (17 studies), followed by ultrasonography (n = 5), cone-beam CT (n = 3), and fluoroscopy (n = 2). Seven studies used two or more modalities for needle guidance.

When assessed using the QUADAS-2 tool, the included studies appeared to have a relatively low risk of bias in the patient selection and index test domains. However, the risk of bias was mostly unclear in the reference standard, as well as in the flow and timing, as the study population itself was used as a reference standard in several studies (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Tissue Adequacy Rate for Molecular Analysis
The pooled overall tissue adequacy rate of PTNB for molecular analysis was 89.3% (95% CI, 85.6%–92.6%; $I^2$ = 0.81; 2232 biopsies in 21 studies) (Fig. 2A) [1,2,7,17-34]. In the subgroup analysis, the pooled tissue adequacy rate for the initial biopsies was 93.5% (95% CI, 86.0%–98.6%; $I^2$ = 0.89; 715 biopsies in 8 studies) [1,2,7,21,28,30,31,34], and the pooled tissue adequacy rate for the rebiopsies was 86.2% (95% CI, 83.1%–89.0%; $I^2$ = 0.47; 1517 biopsies in 13 studies) (Fig. 2B) [17-20,22-27,29,32,33]. The pooled tissue adequacy rate of PTNB in the groups with higher and lower complication rates was 92.5% (95% CI, 87.8%–96.2%; $I^2$ = 0.77; 1135 biopsies in 9 studies) [7,18,19,22,25,30-32,34] and 86.8% (95% CI, 83.1%–90.2%; $I^2$ = 0.35; 711 biopsies in 7 studies), respectively [1,17,20,23,26,27,33], with a significant difference ($p = 0.030$) (Supplementary Fig. 2). Figure 3 shows the representative cases with differences in radiologic features between the initial biopsy and rebiopsy.
Complications

The pooled total complication rate for PTNB was 17.3% (95% CI, 12.1%–23.1%; I² = 0.89; 2326 biopsies in 16 studies) (Fig. 4A) [1,7,17-20,22,23,25-27,30-34]. The pooled severe complication rate for PTNB was 0.7% (95% CI, 0%–2.2%; I² = 0.67; 2016 biopsies in 13 studies) (Fig. 4B) [1,7,18-20,23,25,26,30-34]. The most common complication was pneumothorax, with a pooled incidence of 9.2% (95% CI, 4.0%–15.7%; I² = 0.92; 2263 biopsies in 15 studies) [1,7,17-20,23,25,26,30-34]. In the initial biopsy group, pneumothorax occurred in 135 participants, with a total of 1121 biopsies, and pneumothorax in the rebiopsy group was reported in 201 participants, with a total of 1142 biopsies. Hemoptysis was reported in 6 studies [7,18,19,26,31,32], with an incidence ranging from 0.5% to 21%.

In the subgroup analysis, the pooled complication rate for the initial biopsies was 22.2% (95% CI, 15.1%–30.1%; I² = 0.83; 987 biopsies in 5 studies) [1,7,30,31,34], and the pooled complication rate for the rebiopsies was 16.8 (95% CI, 10.3%–24.5%; I² = 0.90; 1339 biopsies in 11 studies [17-20,22,23,25-27,32,33], with no statistically significant difference (p = 0.26) (Fig. 4C). The pooled severe complication rate for the initial biopsies was 2.41% (95% CI, 0.24%–6.74%; I² = 0.80; 507 biopsies in 5 studies) [1,7,30,31,34], and the pooled complication rate for the rebiopsies was 0.82% (95% CI, 0.28%–1.63%; I² = 0.19; 1136 biopsies in 9 studies) [17-20,23,25,26,32,33], with no statistically significant difference (p = 0.259) (Supplementary Fig. 3). The pooled complication rate was 28.4% in preferential older adult patients (95% CI, 22.4%–34.6%; I² = 0.50; 927 biopsies in 7 studies) [7,18,19,23,25,30,32] and 12.5% in the younger patients (95% CI, 7.5%–18.5%; I² = 0.88; 1399 biopsies in 9 studies) (p < 0.001) (Supplementary Fig. 4) [1,17,20,22,26,27,31,33,34]. The criterion was defined as an average age of 65 years.
Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Included Studies

| Study                     | Total No. | Age, Year (Range) | Male (%) | Adenocarcinoma (%) | Needle Guide | Needle Size (G) | Tissue Sampling No. | Mean (Range) | Total PTNB for Molecular Analysis | Tissue Adequacy (%) | Total Complication No. (%) | Pneumothorax No. (%) | Hemothysis No. (%) | Severe Case No. (%) * |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------------|----------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Solomon et al. 2010 [30]  | 18        | Mean, 68 (51–84) | 6/18 (33)| 17/18 (94)         | CT, F        | 18, 20         | NS                 | NS            | 5/16 (31)                       | 3/18 (17)            | 3/18 (17)              | 0                   | 0                   | 0                     |
| Zhuang et al. 2011 [34]   | 43        | Mean, 62 (38–80) | 16/43 (37)| 32/43 (74)        | CT           | 18, 20         | NS                 | 18            | 16/18 (89)                      | 8/43 (19)            | 0                      | 0                   | 0                   | 0                     |
| Yoon et al. 2012 [33]     | 94        | Mean, 57 (33–85) | 31/94 (33)| 83/94 (88)      | CBT          | 18             | NS                 | 43            | 43/43 (100)                     | 13/94 (14)           | 6/94 (6)               | NS                  | 2/94 (2)             |                       |
| Hsiao et al. 2013 [21]    | 332       | Mean, 65 (24–95) | 199/332 (60)| 261/332 (79)   | CT           | 18, 20         | 3.9 (0–6)            | 94            | 75/94 (80)                      | NS                  | 8/134 (6)              | NS                  |                       |                       |
| Tam et al. 2013 [1]       | 151       | Mean, 61 (34–81) | 86/151 (57)| 91/151 (60)    | CT           | 20             | NS                 | 134           | 132/134 (99)                    | 27/170 (16)          | 26/170 (15)             | 0                   | 16/170 (9)          |                       |
| Schneider et al. 2015 [28]| 52        | NS               | 52/52 (100)| CT             | 18, 20, 22    | NS              | 170                | NS            | 141/170 (83)                    | NS                  | NS                    | NS                  | NS                  | NS                     |
| Florentine et al. 2015 [2]| 216       | Mean, 70         | 103/216 (48)| NS             | CT           | 20             | NS                 | 52            | 35/52 (67)                      | NS                  | NS                    | NS                  | NS                  | NS                     |
| Nosaki et al. 2016 [27]   | 395       | Mean, 63 (27–84) | 154/395 (39)| 380/395 (96)    | CT, US       | NS              | NS                 | 22            | 21/22 (95)                      | 10/113 (9)           | 3/113 (3)              | NS                  | NS                  | NS                     |
| Tokaca et al. 2018 [32]   | 66        | Mean, 67 (60–71) | 35/66 (53)| 62/66 (94)       | CT, US       | NS              | NS                 | 113           | 100/113 (88)                    | 17/66 (26)           | 12/66 (18)             | 5/66 (8)            | 2/66 (3)            |                       |
| Hata et al. 2017 [19]     | 81        | Mean, 81 (28–84)| 37/81 (46)| 71/81 (88)       | CT           | NS              | 66                 | NS            | 52/66 (79)                      | 25/55 (45)           | 16/55 (29)             | 10/55 (18)          | 1/55 (2)            |                       |
| Tian et al. 2017 [31]     | 560       | Mean, 52 (11–83) | 323/560 (58)| 353/560 (63)    | CT, F        | NS              | 2.6 (1–4)           | 55            | 47/55 (85)                      | 128/560 (23)         | 58/560 (10)            | 117/560 (21)        | 4/560 (1)           |                       |
| Matsumoto et al. 2018 [25]| 17        | Mean, 72 (44–83)| 11/17 (65)| 17/17 (100)      | CT           | NS              | 18                 | 2 (1–5)        | 176                         | 168/176 (95)         | 3/10 (30)              | 0/10 (0)            | 0                   | 0                     |
| Kamiya et al. 2018 [23]   | 22        | Mean, 66 (43–87) | 11/22 (50)| 22/22 (100)      | CT, US       | NS              | 10                 | 10/10 (100)  | 0                           | 0                   | 0                     | 0                   | 0                   | 0                     |
| Seto et al. 2018 [29]     | 236       | Mean, 73 (40–93) | 77/236 (33)| 232/236 (98)     | CT           | NS              | NS                 | 5             | 5/5 (100)                       | NS                  | NS                    | NS                  | NS                  | NS                     |
| Nam et al. 2019 [26]      | 199       | Mean, 60 (32–84) | 76/199 (38)| 199/199 (100)    | CBT, CTB, US | 18, 20         | 1 (1–4)                  | 16            | 12/16 (75)                      | 8/199 (4)            | 7/199 (4)              | 1/199 (0.5)        | 3/199 (2)           |                       |
| Gilli et al. 2018 [18]    | 577       | Mean, 67 (20–95) | 229/577 (40)| 571/577 (99)    | 18, 20, 22   | 3 (1–10)       | 199                | 179/199 (90) | 158/577 (27)                    | 156/577 (27)         | NS                    | 3/577 (0.5)         |                       |                       |
| Kim et al. 2018 [22]      | 90        | Mean, 61 (34–88) | 28/90 (31)| 80/90 (89)       | CBT          | 18, 20         | NS                 | 577           | 509/577 (88)                    | 18/90 (20)           | NS                    | NS                  | NS                  | NS                     |
| Fintelmann et al. 2019 [17]| 107      | Mean, 60 (54–67) | 41/107 (38)| NS              | CT           | 20, 22         | NS                 | 90            | 81/90 (90)                      | 9/107 (8)            | 4/107(4)              | 5/107 (5)           | 0                   |                       |
| Hong et al. 2019 [20]     | 112       | Mean, 61 (31–85) | 34/112 (30)| 112/112 (100)    | CT, US       | NS              | 107                | 92/107 (86)  | 1/23 (4)                       | 1/23 (4)             | 0                     | 0                   | 0                   | 0                     |
| Lee et al. 2019 [24]      | 338       | Mean, 63         | 139/338 (41)| 338/338 (100)    | NS           | NS              | NS                 | 23            | 18/23 (78)                      | NS                  | NS                    | NS                  | NS                  | NS                     |
| Beck et al. 2019 [7]      | 196       | Mean, 68 (31–92) | 124/196 (63)| 131/196 (67)    | CT           | NS              | 4.1 (1–9)            | 162           | 129/162 (80)                     | 61/196 (31)          | 40/196 (20)            | 15/196 (8)          | 4/196 (2)           |                       |

*Severe complications included pneumothorax requiring chest tube placement, massive hemoptysis, air embolism, or death. CBCT = cone-beam CT, F = fluoroscopy, NS = not specified, US = ultrasonography
Meta-Regression Analysis

The results of the meta-regression analysis for tissue adequacy and complication rates are summarized in Table 2. In the univariable meta-regression analysis, the rate of tissue adequacy for molecular analysis was significantly higher in the studies on the initial biopsies (versus rebiopsies; $p = 0.033$) and those that reported higher total complication rates (versus lower complication rates; $p = 0.046$). No statistically significant relationships were found in the univariable meta-regression analysis for the number of patients included in the studies or the procedure-related factors, such as the imaging guidance method, needle guide type, or needle size. The multivariable meta-regression analysis showed that the initial biopsies were associated with a higher diagnostic yield of PTNB than the rebiopsies, but this was not statistically significant ($p = 0.058$). The complication rate was not significantly related to the tissue adequacy rate for PTNB in the multivariable analysis ($p = 0.120$).

Both of the univariable and multivariable meta-regression analyses showed a significantly higher complication rate of PTNB in the older patients ($p = 0.001$).
Publication Bias

Funnel plot asymmetry was assessed for the tissue adequacy rate in 21 studies and the complication rate in 16 studies. The funnel plots were not asymmetric, and no obvious publication bias was identified for tissue adequacy (Egger’s test, \(p = 0.88\)) (Supplementary Fig. 5) or complications (Egger’s test, \(p = 0.73\)) (Supplementary Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

Our meta-analysis revealed that the use of PTNB for molecular analysis in patients with NSCLC is effective and safe, even for rebiopsies. In this meta-analysis, PTNB showed a high tissue yield for molecular analysis, including for initial biopsies and rebiopsies (89.3%). Our meta-analysis demonstrated an acceptably low complication rate of PTNB (17.3%) and a very low rate of severe complications (0.7%).

The pooled tissue adequacy rate of rebiopsies was slightly lower than that of the initial biopsies (86.2% and 93.5%, respectively), and the pooled tissue adequacy rates of the patients with higher complication rates were higher than those with lower complication rates (92.5% and 86.8%, respectively). There are several plausible explanations for this result. Cytotoxic drugs or EGFR-TKIs may lead to post-treatment lung parenchymal changes with the shrinkage of the tumor burden, potentially increasing the risk of complications and decreasing the tissue adequacy rate for rebiopsies [35,36]. In addition, we presumed that rebiopsies would have a relatively low rate of tissue adequacy if the procedure was conservatively performed, assuming that patients are more vulnerable to complications due to prior treatment. The lower complication rate in the latter group may be associated with narrow indications and conservative...
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procedures for preventing the risk of complications. In this study, a significant relationship was found between tissue adequacy and the complication rates in the meta-regression analyses, supporting the rationale for this hypothesis.

In our meta-analysis, old age was found to be a statistically significant risk factor for the complications of PTNB in the univariable and multivariable meta-regression analyses, which is consistent with prior studies of initial PTNBs [37-40]. The higher incidence of pneumothorax in older patients results from reduced lung elasticity with aging.

Fig. 4. Forest plot of the complication rates of percutaneous transthoracic needle biopsy for molecular analysis in non-small cell lung cancer.

A. Total complication rate. B. Severe complication rate. C. Complication rates for the initial biopsies and rebiopsies. CI = confidence interval
Moreover, lung parenchymal changes caused by the use of chemotherapy, in addition to aging of the lungs, may increase the risk of complications such as pneumothorax or hemorrhage [35,42]. The pathogenesis of antineoplastic agent-induced lung injury is poorly understood, and several mechanisms have been proposed, including direct injury to pneumocytes or the alveolar capillary endothelium, the release of cytokines, and recruitment of inflammatory cells [42,43]. However, contrary to the reports of previous studies, the complication rates did not significantly differ for the initial biopsy and rebiopsy after prior chemotherapy or targeted therapy in our analysis (16.8% vs. 22.2%; \( p = 0.26 \)). In contrast, we found a significant relationship between tissue yield and the complication rate. In the univariable meta-regression analysis, the rate of tissue adequacy for molecular analysis was significantly higher in the studies with higher overall complication rates than in those with lower overall complication rates (\( p = 0.046 \)). In light of the concerns about the risks of complications and diagnostic yield, however, appropriate target lesion selection and careful planning of the trajectory may help explain this result.

The pooled incidence of severe complications, including pneumothorax requiring chest tube placement, massive hemoptysis, air embolism, and death, was lower than 1%, which is similar to or lower than the corresponding rates reported by other meta-analyses or large cohort studies [37,44]. The incidence of severe complications was lower than 3% in all the included studies, except one [2]. This study primarily included patients from whom the chest tube was removed the next day, and only 1.8% of patients required prolonged chest tube drainage. Therefore, regardless of the initial biopsy or rebiopsy, PTNB can generally be considered a safe procedure for molecular analysis.

A bronchoscopic biopsy is an alternative procedure for the molecular analysis of intraparenchymal malignancies. For rebiopsies after chemotherapy, the adequacy rate of bronchoscopic biopsies for molecular analysis has been reported to be 73%–95% [45-50]. Recently, liquid biopsies, a set of methods that are used to enrich, detect, and analyze circulating tumor cells in cancer patients, have been used to diagnose and predict the prognosis of NSCLC patients [51-53]. Nevertheless, a comprehensive understanding of the comparative performance of various diagnostic methods for rebiopsies after chemotherapy is lacking. Therefore, further studies or systematic reviews are needed to compare the tissue adequacy rates for PTNB, bronchoscopic biopsy or radial EBUS-transbronchial lung biopsy, and liquid biopsy for molecular analysis in patients.

### Table 2. Univariable and Multivariable Random-Effects Meta-Regression of Tissue Adequacy Rate and Complication Rate

|                         | Univariable Analysis | Multivariable Analysis* |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
|                         | Odds Ratio | 95% Confidence Interval | \( P \) | Odds Ratio | 95% Confidence Interval | \( P \) |
| Tissue adequacy         |           |                         |       |           |                         |       |
| Rebiopsy (vs. initial)  | 0.895     | 0.808–0.991             | 0.033 | 0.906     | 0.824–0.996             | 0.058 |
| Age                     | 1.090     | 0.974–1.219             | 0.132 |           |                         |       |
| Number of patients      | 1.067     | 0.961–1.186             | 0.225 |           |                         |       |
| Biopsy (vs. aspiration) | 1.010     | 0.891–1.137             | 0.917 |           |                         |       |
| Needle guide type       | 1.012     | 0.893–1.147             | 0.855 |           |                         |       |
| Needle size             | 1.007     | 0.864–1.174             | 0.928 |           |                         |       |
| Complication            | 1.102     | 1.002–1.211             | 0.046 | 1.086     | 0.993–1.187             | 0.120 |
| Complication            |           |                         |       |           |                         |       |
| Rebiopsy (vs. initial)  | 0.937     | 0.801–1.096             | 0.415 | 0.924     | 0.834–1.024             | 0.132 |
| Age                     | 1.218     | 1.081–1.372             | 0.001 | 1.226     | 1.107–1.356             | < 0.001 |
| Number of patients      | 0.981     | 0.849–1.133             | 0.793 |           |                         |       |
| Biopsy (vs. aspiration) | 0.951     | 0.803–1.127             | 0.564 |           |                         |       |
| Needle guide type       | 0.964     | 0.826–1.126             | 0.647 |           |                         |       |
| Needle size             | 0.906     | 0.680–1.206             | 0.499 |           |                         |       |
| Tissue adequacy         | 1.004     | 0.862–1.170             | 0.955 |           |                         |       |
| Underlying disease      | 1.017     | 0.870–1.189             | 0.837 |           |                         |       |

*The findings that appeared to be significant factors (\( p < 0.05 \)) in the univariable analysis and the timing of the biopsy (initial versus rebiopsy) were entered into the multivariable models, †Statistically significant results (\( p < 0.05 \)).
with NSCLC.

This study has several limitations. First, the quality of the included studies in the initial biopsy and rebiopsy groups was not uniform because we focused on rebiopsies for molecular analysis in our study. Second, the reasons for statistical heterogeneity were not fully identified, despite the meta-regression analysis. Detailed information on lesion characteristics, technical factors of the biopsy procedure, or operator experience may help further identify the exact cause of heterogeneity, but this information was not extractable from most of the included studies because the aggregated data were pooled at the study level. Third, since we only analyzed published studies, the differences between the studies related to the censored participants and their effect on the results could not be fully explored. Fourth, the quality of the majority of included studies based on the flow, timing, and reference standard could not be established. Lastly, we excluded studies that demonstrated a difficulty in distinguishing NSCLC from cancers of other organs and secondary malignancies [54].

In conclusion, this meta-analysis of PTNB for molecular analysis in patients with NSCLC showed an overall pooled estimate of the tissue adequacy rate of 89.3% and an overall pooled estimate of the complication rate of 17.3% for initial biopsies and rebiopsies after chemotherapy or targeted therapy combined. PTNB is a generally safe and effective diagnostic procedure for obtaining tissue samples for molecular analysis to facilitate modern patient-tailored management of NSCLC. Rebiopsy may be performed actively with an acceptable risk of complications if required in a clinical setting.

**Supplement**

The Supplement is available with this article at https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2021.0244.

**Conflicts of Interest**

Soon Ho Yoon works as a chief medical officer in MEDICALIP since Nov 2020, outside this work. Other authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

**Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Soon Ho Yoon. Data curation: Bo Da Nam, Soon Ho Yoon. Formal analysis: Hyunsook Hong, Suyeon Park. Funding acquisition: Bo Da Nam. Investigation: Bo Da Nam, Soon Ho Yoon. Methodology: Jung Hwa Hwang, Jin Mo Goo. Project administration: Soon Ho Yoon. Software: Hyunsook Hong, Suyeon Park. Supervision: Soon Ho Yoon. Validation: Jung Hwa Hwang, Jin Mo Goo. Writing—original draft: Bo Da Nam, Soon Ho Yoon. Writing—review & editing: Bo Da Nam, Soon Ho Yoon.

**ORCID iDs**

Bo Da Nam https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7822-6104

Soon Ho Yoon https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3700-0165

Hyunsook Hong https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0955-4176

Jung Hwa Hwang https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4426-3673

Jin Mo Goo https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1791-7942

Suyeon Park https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6391-557X

**REFERENCES**

1. Tam AL, Kim ES, Lee JJ, Ensor JE, Hicks ME, Tang X, et al. Feasibility of image-guided transthoracic core-needle biopsy in the BATTLE lung trial. J Thorac Oncol 2013;8:436-442

2. Florentine BD, Helton RJ, Mitchell MM, Schmidt KE, Kozlov DB. Accuracy and adequacy of computed tomography-guided lung biopsies: experience from a community hospital. J Am Osteopath Assoc 2015;115:592-603

3. Sakai H, Takeda M. Percutaneous transthoracic needle biopsy of the lung in the era of precision medicine. J Thorac Dis 2019;11:S1213-S1215

4. Gonzalez de Castro D, Clarke PA, Al-Lazikani B, Workman P. Personalized cancer medicine: molecular diagnostics, predictive biomarkers, and drug resistance. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2013;93:252-259

5. Kwak EL, Bang YJ, Camidge DR, Shaw AT, Solomon B, Maki RG, et al. Anaplastic lymphoma kinase inhibition in non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2010;363:1693-1703

6. Planchard D, Popat S, Kerr K, Novello S, Smit EF, Fairev-Finn C, et al. Metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2018;29:i192-i237

7. Beck KS, Kim TJ, Lee KY, Kim YK, Kang JH, Han DH. CT-guided coaxial biopsy of malignant lung lesions: are cores from 20-gauge needle adequate for histologic diagnosis and molecular analysis? J Thorac Dis 2019;11:753-765

8. Rivera MP, Mehta AC, Wahidi MM. Establishing the diagnosis of lung cancer: diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest 2013;143:e142S-e165S
9. Takeshita J, Masago K, Kato R, Hata A, Kaji R, Fujita S, et al. CT-guided fine-needle aspiration and core needle biopsies of pulmonary lesions: a single-center experience with 750 biopsies in Japan. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2015;204:29-34

10. Fontaine-Delaruelle C, Souquet PJ, Gamondes D, Pradat E, De Leusse A, Ferretti GR, et al. Negative predictive value of transthoracic core-needle biopsy: a multicenter study. Chest 2015;148:472-480

11. Lee C, Guichet PL, Abtin F. Percutaneous lung biopsy in the molecular profiling era. J Thorac Imaging 2017;32:63-67

12. Jo Y, Han DH, Beck KS, Park JS, Kim TJ. Practice pattern of transthoracic needle biopsy: 2016 survey in the members of Korean Society of Thoracic Radiology. Korean J Radiol 2017;18:1005-1011

13. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. Ann Intern Med 2009;151:W65-W94

14. Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, Gurubhagavatula S, Okimoto RA, Brannigan BW, et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. N Engl J Med 2004;350:2129-2139

15. Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, Mallett S, Deeks JJ, Rutjes AW, et al. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. Ann Intern Med 2011;155:529-536

16. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003;327:557-560

17. Fintelmann FJ, Troschel FM, Kuklinski MW, McDermott S, Petranovic M, Digumarthi SR, et al. Safety and success of repeat lung needle biopsies in patients with epidermal growth factor receptor-mutant lung cancer. Oncologist 2019;24:1570-1576

18. Gill RR, Murphy DJ, Kravets S, Sholl LM, Janne PA, Johnson BE. Success of genomic profiling of non-small cell lung cancer biopsies obtained by trans-thoracic percutaneous needle biopsy. J Surg Oncol 2018;118:1170-1177

19. Hata A, Katakami N, Nanjo S, Okuda C, Kaji R, Imai Y. Rebiopsy of histological samples in pretreated non-small cell lung cancer: comparison among rebiopsy procedures. In Vivo 2017;31:475-479

20. Hong MH, Kim HR, Ahn BC, Heo SJ, Kim JH, Cho BC. Real-world analysis of the efficacy of Rebiopsy and EGFR mutation test of tissue and plasma samples in drug-resistant non-small cell lung cancer. Yonsei Med J 2019;60:525-534

21. Hsiao SH, Chung CL, Lee CM, Chen WY, Chou YT, Wu ZH, et al. Suitability of computed tomography-guided biopsy specimens for subtyping and genotyping of non-small-cell lung cancer. Clin Lung Cancer 2013;14:719-725

22. Kim H, Chae KJ, Yoon SH, Kim M, Keam B, Kim TM, et al. Repeat biopsy of patients with acquired resistance to EGFR TKIs: implications of biopsy-related factors on T790M mutation detection. Eur Radiol 2018;28:861-868

23. Komiyama K, Nakashima C, Nakamura T, Hirakawa H, Abe T, Ogusu S, et al. Current status and problems of T790M detection, a molecular biomarker of acquired resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors, with liquid biopsy and re-biopsy. Anticancer Res 2018;38:3559-3566

24. Lee K, Kim Y, Jung HA, Lee SH, Ahn JH, Ahn MJ, et al. Repeat biopsy procedures and T790M rates after afatinib, gefitinib, or erlotinib therapy in patients with lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2019;130:87-92

25. Matsumoto T, Hasebe T, Baba Y, Chosa K, Kondo S, Yamada S, et al. Feasibility and safety of CT-guided intrathoracic and bone re-biopsy for non-small cell lung cancer. Anticancer Res 2018;38:3587-3592

26. Nam BD, Kim TJ, Park K, Ahn MJ, Choi YL, Chung MJ, et al. Transthoracic rebiopsy for mutation analysis in lung adenocarcinoma: outcomes and risk factors for the acquisition of nondiagnostic specimens in 199 patients. Clin Lung Cancer 2019;20:e309-e316

27. Nosaki K, Satouchi M, Kura T, Yoshida T, Okamoto I, Katakami N, et al. Re-biopsy status among non-small cell lung cancer patients in Japan: a retrospective study. Lung Cancer 2016;101:1-8

28. Schneider F, Smith MA, Lane MC, Pantanowitz L, Dacic S, Ohori NP. Adequacy of core needle biopsy specimens and fine-needle aspirates for molecular testing of lung adenocarcinomas. Am J Clin Pathol 2015;143:193-200; quiz 306

29. Seto T, Nomugi N, Yamamoto N, Atagi S, Tashiro N, Yoshimura Y, et al. Real-world EGFR T790M testing in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a prospective observational study in Japan. Oncol Ther 2018;6:203-215

30. Solomon SB, Zakowski MF, Pao W, Thornton RL, Ladanyi M, Kris MG, et al. Core needle lung biopsy specimens: adequacy for EGFR and KRAS mutational analysis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2010;194:266-269

31. Tian P, Wang Y, Li L, Zhou Y, Luo W, Li W. CT-guided transthoracic core needle biopsy for small pulmonary lesions: diagnostic performance and adequacy for molecular testing. J Thorac Dis 2017;9:333-343

32. Tokaca N, Barth S, O'Brien M, Bhosle J, Fotiadis N, Wotherspoon A, et al. Molecular adequacy of image-guided rebiopsies for molecular retesting in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a single-center experience. J Thorac Oncol 2018;13:63-72

33. Yoon HJ, Lee HY, Lee KS, Choi YL, Ahn MJ, Park K, et al. Repeat biopsy for mutation analysis of non-small cell lung cancers resistant to previous chemotherapy: adequacy and complications. Radiology 2012;265:939-948

34. Zhuang YP, Wang HY, Shi MQ, Zhang J, Feng Y. Use of CT-guided fine needle aspiration biopsy in epidermal growth factor receptor mutation analysis in patients with advanced lung cancer. Acta Radiol 2011;52:1083-1087

35. Torrisi JM, Schwartz LH, Gollub MJ, Ginsberg MS, Bosl GJ, Hricak H. CT findings of chemotherapy-induced toxicity:
what radiologists need to know about the clinical and radiologic manifestations of chemotherapy toxicity. *Radiology* 2011;258:41-56

36. Min JH, Lee HY, Lim H, Ahn MJ, Park K, Chung MP, et al. Drug-induced interstitial lung disease in tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy for non-small cell lung cancer: a review on current insight. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2011;68:1099-1109

37. Yoon SH, Park CM, Lee KH, Lim KY, Suh YJ, Im DJ, et al. Analysis of complications of percutaneous transthoracic needle biopsy using CT-guidance modalities in a multicenter cohort of 10568 biopsies. *Korean J Radiol* 2019;20:323-331

38. Lim WH, Park CM, Yoon SH, Lim HJ, Hwang EJ, Lee JH, et al. Time-dependent analysis of incidence, risk factors and clinical significance of pneumothorax after percutaneous lung biopsy. *Eur Radiol* 2018;28:1328-1337

39. Kuban JD, Tam AL, Huang SY, Ensor JE, Philip AS, Chen GJ, et al. The effect of needle gauge on the risk of pneumothorax and chest tube placement after percutaneous computed tomographic (CT)-guided lung biopsy. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2015;38:1595-1602

40. Covey AM, Gandhi R, Brody LA, Getrajdman G, Thaler HT, Brown KT. Factors associated with pneumothorax and pneumothorax requiring treatment after percutaneous lung biopsy in 443 consecutive patients. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2004;15:479-483

41. Sharma G, Goodwin J. Effect of aging on respiratory system physiology and immunology. *Clin Interv Aging* 2006;1:253-260

42. Souza FF, Smith A, Araujo C, Jagannathan J, Johnston C, O'Regan K, et al. New targeted molecular therapies for cancer: radiological response in intrathoracic malignancies and cardiopulmonary toxicity: what the radiologist needs to know. *Cancer Imaging* 2014;14:26

43. Pietra GG. Pathologic mechanisms of drug-induced lung disorders. *J Thorac Imaging* 1991;6:1-7

44. Zhan P, Zhu QQ, Miu YY, Liu YF, Wang XX, Zhou ZJ, et al. Comparison between endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial biopsy and CT-guided transthoracic lung biopsy for the diagnosis of peripheral lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transit Lung Cancer Res* 2017;6:23-34

45. Ishii H, Azuma K, Yamada K, Matsu N, Nakamura M, Tokito T, et al. Accuracy of transbronchial biopsy as a rebiopsy method for patients with relapse of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer after systemic chemotherapy. *BMJ Open Respir Res* 2017;4:e000163

46. Cicek T, Ozurtak A, Yilmaz A, Aktas Z, Demirag F, Akyurek N. Adequacy of EBUS-TBNA specimen for mutation analysis of lung cancer. *Clin Respir J* 2019;13:92-97

47. Kirita K, Izumo T, Matsumoto Y, Hiraishi Y, Tsushima T. Bronchoscopic re-biopsy for mutational analysis of non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung* 2016;194:371-378

48. Kim J, Kang HJ, Moon SH, Lee JM, Kim HY, Lee GK, et al. Endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration for re-biopsy in previously treated lung cancer. *Cancer Res Treat* 2019;51:1488-1499

49. Izumo T, Matsumoto Y, Chavez C, Tsushima T. Re-biopsy by endobronchial ultrasound procedures for mutation analysis of non-small cell lung cancer after EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor treatment. *BMC Pulm Med* 2016;16:106

50. Goag EK, Lee JM, Chung KS, Kim SY, Leem AY, Song JH, et al. Usefulness of bronchoscopic rebiopsy of non-small cell lung cancer with acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor. *J Cancer* 2018;9:1113-1120

51. Luo W, Rao M, Qu J, Luo D. Applications of liquid biopsy in lung cancer-diagnosis, prognosis prediction, and disease monitoring. *Am J Transl Res* 2018;10:3911-3923

52. Wei B, Zhao C, Li J, Zhao J, Ren P, Yang K, et al. Combined plasma and tissue genotyping of EGFR T790M benefits NSCLC patients: a real-world clinical example. *Mol Oncol* 2019;13:1226-1234

53. Zhou J, Zhao C, Zhao J, Wang Q, Chu X, Li J, et al. Re-biopsy and liquid biopsy for patients with non-small cell lung cancer after EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitor failure. *Thorac Cancer* 2019;10:957-965

54. Jamshidi N, Huang D, Abtin FG, Loh CT, Kee ST, Suh RD, et al. Genomic adequacy from solid tumor core needle biopsies of ex vivo tissue and in vivo lung masses: prospective study. *Radiology* 2017;282:903-912