Investigation of Functional Synergism of CENPF and FOXM1 Identifies POLD1 as Downstream Target in Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Background: Lines of evidence implicate CENPF and FOXM1 may have novel cooperative roles in driving hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

Objective: We investigated the clinicopathological correlation, functional characterization, molecular mechanism and translational significance of CENPF and FOXM1.

Methods: We carried out integrative studies investigating functional synergism of CENPF and FOXM1 in HCC and its metastasis. Human HCC samples, HCC cell lines and mouse model were used in the studies. Stable knockdown, q-PCR, Western blotting, whole-transcriptomic sequencing (RNA-seq), as well as cell and mouse assays were performed.

Results: Upon clinicopathological correlation, we found that co-overexpression of CENPF and FOXM1 in human HCCs was associated with more aggressive tumor behavior including presence of venous invasion, tumor microsatellite formation, and absence of tumor encapsulation. Moreover, co-silencing FOXM1 and CENPF using shRNA approach in HCC cell lines resulted in significantly reduced cell proliferation. Furthermore, our RNA-seq and differential gene expression analysis delineated that CENPF and FOXM1 co-regulated a specific set of target genes in various metabolic processes and oncogenic signaling pathways. Among them, POLD1, which encodes the catalytic subunit of DNA polymerase δ, was ranked as the top downstream target co-regulated by CENPF and FOXM1. POLD1 expression was positively correlated with that of FOXM1 and CENPF in HCCs. In addition, POLD1 expression was significantly upregulated in HCC tumors. Functionally, in vivo orthotopic injection model showed that stable knockdown of POLD1 in HCC cells suppressed tumor incidence and tumorigenicity and had a trend of diminished lung metastasis.

Conclusion: Taken together, our data suggest that CENPF and FOXM1 could synergistically support hepatocarcinogenesis via the regulation of POLD1. CENPF and FOXM1 may represent new vulnerabilities to novel drug-based therapy in HCC.
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INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the leading causes of cancer death worldwide (1, 2) and the second and third commonest cancer, respectively, in China and Hong Kong. Indeed, 55% of all new liver cancers worldwide each year occur in China including Hong Kong, due to a high prevalence of hepatitis B viral (HBV) infection (3, 4). It has a poor prognosis and only few effective treatment options are available. Despite years of efforts in studying the molecular mechanism of HCC carcinogenesis, current understanding on this lethal disease is still limited. In a recent study of our group (5), we have utilized whole-transcriptome sequencing technology to perform a differential gene expression (DGE) analysis using the dataset of 50 pairs (tumor and the corresponding non-tumorous liver tissue) of HCC cases from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). By comparing the gene expression in HCC tumors and their corresponding non-tumorous liver tissues, differentially expressed genes in HCC were identified. Among the 734 differentially expressed genes, CENPF and FOXM1 were listed as the first and third most upregulated genes respectively in HCC. Both FOXM1 and CENPF are crucial for cell-cycle progression, especially in the G2/M phase.

In a study on mitosis regulation and aging, CENPF was demonstrated to be a direct target of the cell cycle master regulator FOXM1 (6). Hence, it is traditionally believed that CENPF is a downstream target of FOXM1 and under the regulation by FOXM1. Interestingly, CENPF and FOXM1 were predicted to be master regulators of prostate cancer malignancy in a cross-species computational analysis by comparing interactomes of human and mice (7), and experimental validation demonstrated that they function synergistically to promote tumor growth by regulating prostate cancer-associated target gene expression profiles. Knockdown of CENPF and FOXM1 synergistically reduced the proliferation of cancer cells and tumor growth in cell-line-derived xenografts. It was further demonstrated that knockdown of CENPF expression reduced the binding of FOXM1 to its targets, suggesting CENPF is required for appropriate genomic binding by FOXM1. Additional data showed that they were co-localized in nucleus and their subcellular co-localization was mutually dependent. Taken together, multiple lines of evidence imply CENPF and FOXM1 may have novel cooperative roles in regulating the expression of shared target genes. Hence, we postulate that they may have functional synergism in driving hepatocarcinogenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction

RNA was extracted by Trizol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) and cDNA was synthesized by a reverse transcription kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed with target-specific TaqMan probes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) listed in Supplementary Table 1. The mRNA expression was normalized by the expression of the housekeeping gene HPRT.

Clinical Specimens and Clinicopathological Correlation Analysis

The primary HCC specimens and their corresponding non-tumorous liver tissues of randomly selected 118 human HCC cases were surgically resected from HCC patients in Queen Mary Hospital of Hong Kong between year 1991 and 2017. None of the patients had received therapies before hepatic tumor resection. Total RNA of these 118 pairs clinical specimens were isolated for subsequent qRT-PCR and clinicopathological correlation analysis using SPSS24.0 software, as previously described (8). We did not differentiate into micro- or macrovascular invasion. However, although the venous invasion included both microvascular and macrovascular invasion, mostly it was microvascular invasion. The tumor microsatellites were defined as microscopic or small tumor nodules less than 1 cm in diameter and in close proximity to the main tumor. Direct liver invasion was defined as invasion of the tumor cells into the non-tumorous liver parenchyma without separation by tumor capsule or fibrous layer (9). The use of clinical specimens was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong and the Hospital Authority.

Cell Lines and Culture Conditions

Hepatocellular carcinoma cell line Hep3B (HB-8064) was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and HCC cell line Huh7 (JCRB0403) was obtained from JCRB Cell Bank. MHCC97L was a gift from Liver Cancer Institute, Fudan University. Hep3B cells were cultured in Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) supplemented with 1mM sodium pyruvate (NaPy). MHCC97L cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle minimal high glucose essential medium (DMEM-HG) supplemented with 1mM NaPy. Huh7 cells were cultured in DMEM-HG media. All cell culture media mentioned above were further supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin, and 1% streptomycin unless otherwise specified. Cell line cultures were maintained in 37°C and 5% CO₂ incubator.

Authentication of HCC cell lines used in this study was performed by short tandem repeat (STR) DNA Profiling in March 2018 and no cellular cross-contamination was detected. STR result for MHCC97L is provided in Supplementary Figure 1. Cell cultures were tested negative for Mycoplasma contamination. “Xenome,” utilizing RNA-seq data, estimated a negligible 0.04–0.42% (n = 3) for MHCC97L, while 0.15–0.40% for clinical human NTL and HCC samples (n = 6) with mouse contamination, thus indicating our MHCC97L cells do not contain cells of murine origin (10). Furthermore, MHCC97L used in this study contains HBV integration in the TERT locus of the genome (8).

Stable Lentiviral-Based Short-Hairpin RNA Knockdown Cell Models

FOXM1, CENPF, and POLD1 were stably knocked down in MHCC97L HCC cells by the lentiviral-based short-hairpin
lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen) at a plasmid was co-transfected with the packaging mix into 293FT cells by marker. For lentiviral packaging, individual shRNA plasmid Puro plasmid with puromycin resistance gene as selection cassettes and each of them was individually cloned into pLKO.1-reverse oligonucleotides were reannealed to generate shRNA RNA (shRNA) approach as previously described (11, 12). FOXM1 and CENPF in HCC

Western Blot Analysis

Cells were lysed by 6X protein sample buffer (0.35 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 30% glycerol, 20% SDS, 9.3% DTT and 0.05% bromophenol blue) and resolved by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Immunoblots were incubated with primary antibody rabbit anti-human FOXM1 (D12D5) XP (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, United States), rabbit anti-human CENPF (D6X4L) (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, United States) and mouse anti-human β-actin (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States) at 4 C overnight, followed by horseradish peroxidase-labeled anti-rabbit or anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States) at room temperature for 2 h. The chemiluminescence signal was detected with the ECL detection system (GE Healthcare, Lafayette, CO, United States).

Cell Proliferation Assay by Direct Cell Counting

About 2 × 10⁴ cells of each cell line were seeded into each well of multiple 24-well culture plates in triplicates and incubated in a 37°C humified incubator with 5% CO₂. Cell growth was assessed by determining the number of cells in each well every 24 h for 4 consecutive days. Direct cell counting was performed using COULTER COUNTER Z1 Cell and Particle Counter (Beckman Coulter).

Cell Growth Inhibition Assay by XTT Assay

Cell Proliferation II Kit (XTT) (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) was used to determine the percentage of cell growth inhibition according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Animal Studies

All animal studies were approved by the Animals (Control of Experiments) Ordinance of Hong Kong and the Committee on the Use of Live Animals in Teaching and Research (CULATR) of the University of Hong Kong (CULATR number: 3848-15), and strictly following the institutional regulations and guidelines. All animal studies were performed on 4 to 6 weeks old BALB/c-epsilon nude (nu/nu) athymic male mice, which were provided by the Centre for Comparative Medicine Research of the University of Hong Kong.

In vivo Orthotopic Liver Injection Model

POLD1 was knocked down in luciferase-labeled MHCC97L cells by shRNA approach. The orthotopic liver injection was performed on 4 to 6 weeks old BALB/c-epsilon nude (nu/nu) athymic male mice to assess the metastatic potential of the injected HCC cells as previously described (13). About 1 × 10⁵ of MHCC97L-nu cells were resuspended in 15 µL of Matrigel Basement Membrane Matrix (Corning) diluted with serum-free cell culture medium in a 1:1 ratio and then injected into the left lobe of the livers of mice by a 29-gauge needle (Hamilton, Reno, NV, United States). The abdominal wound was sutured after the injection. At 6-week post-injection, bioluminescence imaging of the xenografts was performed. Mice were anesthetized with Pentobarbital at 80 mg/kg, followed by injection of D-luciferin at 100 mg/kg (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, United States) into the tumor-bearing mice intraperitoneally. Bioluminescence images were acquired by IVIS Spectrum in vivo imaging system (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, United States) to measure the total flux of the bioluminescent signals emitted from the dissected liver tumor xenografts and the distant lung metastases.

Identification of FOXM1 and CENPF Co-regulated Genes by RNA-Sequencing

RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) was performed to identify downstream target genes that were co-regulated by FOXM1 and CENPF. FOXM1 and CENPF were either individually silenced or co-silenced in Huh7, Hep3B and MHCC97L using small-interfering RNA (siRNA) approach and subjected to RNA-seq subsequently. Before transfection, 1.5 × 10⁵ per well of cells were seeded onto a 6-well plate (for RNA extraction) and 3.5 × 10⁵ per well of cells were seeded onto a 60-mm plate (for protein extraction) and were incubated in a 37°C humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ overnight. The siRNAs of the non-target control (NTC), FOXM1 and/or CENPF (Dharmacon, GE Healthcare, Lafayette, CO, United States) listed in Supplementary Table 3 were transfected into the HCC cells with DharmaFECT 1 transfection reagent (Dharmacon, GE Healthcare, Lafayette, CO, United States) and then injected into the left lobe of the liver injection was performed on 4 to 6 weeks old BALB/c-epsilon nude (nu/nu) athymic male mice to assess the metastatic potential of the injected HCC cells as previously described (13). About 1 × 10⁵ of MHCC97L-nu cells were resuspended in 15 µL of Matrigel Basement Membrane Matrix (Corning) diluted with serum-free cell culture medium in a 1:1 ratio and then injected into the left lobe of the livers of mice by a 29-gauge needle (Hamilton, Reno, NV, United States). The abdominal wound was sutured after the injection. At 6-week post-injection, bioluminescence imaging of the xenografts was performed. Mice were anesthetized with Pentobarbital at 80 mg/kg, followed by injection of D-luciferin at 100 mg/kg (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, United States) into the tumor-bearing mice intraperitoneally. Bioluminescence images were acquired by IVIS Spectrum in vivo imaging system (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, United States) to measure the total flux of the bioluminescent signals emitted from the dissected liver tumor xenografts and the distant lung metastases.

Identification of FOXM1 and CENPF Co-regulated Genes by RNA-Sequencing

RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) was performed to identify downstream target genes that were co-regulated by FOXM1 and CENPF. FOXM1 and CENPF were either individually silenced or co-silenced in Huh7, Hep3B and MHCC97L using small-interfering RNA (siRNA) approach and subjected to RNA-seq subsequently. Before transfection, 1.5 × 10⁵ per well of cells were seeded onto a 6-well plate (for RNA extraction) and 3.5 × 10⁵ per well of cells were seeded onto a 60-mm plate (for protein extraction) and were incubated in a 37°C humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ overnight. The siRNAs of the non-target control (NTC), FOXM1 and/or CENPF (Dharmacon, GE Healthcare, Lafayette, CO, United States) listed in Supplementary Table 3 were transfected into the HCC cells with DharmaFECT 1 transfection reagent (Dharmacon, GE Healthcare, Lafayette, CO, United States) and then injected into the left lobe of the liver injection was performed on 4 to 6 weeks old BALB/c-epsilon nude (nu/nu) athymic male mice to assess the metastatic potential of the injected HCC cells as previously described (13). About 1 × 10⁵ of MHCC97L-nu cells were resuspended in 15 µL of Matrigel Basement Membrane Matrix (Corning) diluted with serum-free cell culture medium in a 1:1 ratio and then injected into the left lobe of the livers of mice by a 29-gauge needle (Hamilton, Reno, NV, United States). The abdominal wound was sutured after the injection. At 6-week post-injection, bioluminescence imaging of the xenografts was performed. Mice were anesthetized with Pentobarbital at 80 mg/kg, followed by injection of D-luciferin at 100 mg/kg (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, United States) into the tumor-bearing mice intraperitoneally. Bioluminescence images were acquired by IVIS Spectrum in vivo imaging system (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, United States) to measure the total flux of the bioluminescent signals emitted from the dissected liver tumor xenografts and the distant lung metastases.

Identification of FOXM1 and CENPF Co-regulated Genes by RNA-Sequencing

RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) was performed to identify downstream target genes that were co-regulated by FOXM1 and CENPF. FOXM1 and CENPF were either individually silenced or co-silenced in Huh7, Hep3B and MHCC97L using small-interfering RNA (siRNA) approach and subjected to RNA-seq subsequently. Before transfection, 1.5 × 10⁵ per well of cells were seeded onto a 6-well plate (for RNA extraction) and 3.5 × 10⁵ per well of cells were seeded onto a 60-mm plate (for protein extraction) and were incubated in a 37°C humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ overnight. The siRNAs of the non-target control (NTC), FOXM1 and/or CENPF (Dharmacon, GE Healthcare, Lafayette, CO, United States) listed in Supplementary Table 3 were transfected into the HCC cells with DharmaFECT 1 transfection reagent (Dharmacon, GE Healthcare, Lafayette, CO, United States) and then injected into the left lobe of the liver injection was performed on 4 to 6 weeks old BALB/c-epsilon nude (nu/nu) athymic male mice to assess the metastatic potential of the injected HCC cells as previously described (13). About 1 × 10⁵ of MHCC97L-nu cells were resuspended in 15 µL of Matrigel Basement Membrane Matrix (Corning) diluted with serum-free cell culture medium in a 1:1 ratio and then injected into the left lobe of the livers of mice by a 29-gauge needle (Hamilton, Reno, NV, United States). The abdominal wound was sutured after the injection. At 6-week post-injection, bioluminescence imaging of the xenografts was performed. Mice were anesthetized with Pentobarbital at 80 mg/kg, followed by injection of D-luciferin at 100 mg/kg (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, United States) into the tumor-bearing mice intraperitoneally. Bioluminescence images were acquired by IVIS Spectrum in vivo imaging system (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, United States) to measure the total flux of the bioluminescent signals emitted from the dissected liver tumor xenografts and the distant lung metastases.
by FOXM1 and CENPF based on four criteria: (i) they were differentially expressed with a p-value less than 0.05; (ii) with log CPM (counts per million) greater than 1; (iii) with an absolute log fold change greater than 1; and (iv) they were commonly differentially expressed in all 3 HCC cell lines with co-knockdown of FOXM1 and CENPF. Criteria (i)–(iii) applied to DGE analysis using both TCGA and our in-house whole-transcriptome sequencing of HCC clinical cases. To validate with DGE analysis results from the knockdown cell lines, correlation analysis between the candidate genes and FOXM1 and/or CENPF was performed using the TCGA and our in-house whole-transcriptome sequencing cohort of HCC clinical cases (14). The activated and repressed candidate genes that were potentially co-regulated by FOXM1 and CENPF were also subjected to gene set enrichment analysis (15).

RESULTS
Expression and Clinical Relevance of FOXM1 and CENPF in Human HCCs
To validate FOXM1 and CENPF expression in our cohort of HCC patients, we examined the abundance of mRNA expression of FOXM1 and CENPF in 118 randomly selected pairs of HCC patients.
TABLE 1 | Clinicopathological correlation analysis of FOXM1 and CENPF.

| Clinical parameters                  | FOXM1 expression (T/NT) | CENPF expression (T/NT) | FOXM1 and CENPF expression (T/NT) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                     | ≥8-Fold | <8-Fold | p-Value | ≥8-Fold | <8-Fold | p-Value | ≥8-Fold | <8-Fold | p-Value |
| Gender                              | Male    | 63      | 28      | 0.348   | 60      | 31      | 0.223   | 54      | 37      | 0.446   |
|                                     | Female  | 17      | 10      |         | 15      | 12      |         | 15      | 12      |         |
| Venous invasion                     | Yes     | 48      | 19      | 0.204   | 49      | 18      | 0.011*  | 45      | 22      | 0.022*  |
|                                     | No      | 32      | 19      |         | 26      | 25      |         | 24      | 27      |         |
| Tumor encapsulation                 | Yes     | 23      | 15      | 0.146   | 20      | 18      | 0.057   | 18      | 20      | 0.059   |
|                                     | No      | 57      | 22      |         | 55      | 24      |         | 51      | 28      |         |
| Tumor microsatellite formation      | Yes     | 48      | 16      | 0.045*  | 47      | 17      | 0.010*  | 44      | 20      | 0.009*  |
|                                     | No      | 31      | 22      |         | 27      | 26      |         | 24      | 29      |         |
| Direct liver invasion               | Yes     | 32      | 10      | 0.123   | 29      | 13      | 0.254   | 27      | 15      | 0.225   |
|                                     | No      | 44      | 25      |         | 42      | 27      |         | 38      | 31      |         |
| Tumor size                          | >5 cm   | 53      | 22      | 0.221   | 50      | 25      | 0.204   | 46      | 29      | 0.228   |
|                                     | ≤5 cm   | 26      | 16      |         | 24      | 18      |         | 22      | 20      |         |
| Cirrhosis                           | Yes     | 35      | 23      | 0.066   | 36      | 22      | 0.445   | 31      | 27      | 0.183   |
|                                     | No      | 45      | 15      |         | 39      | 21      |         | 38      | 22      |         |
| Chronic liver disease               | Yes     | 73      | 37      | 0.205   | 68      | 42      | 0.140   | 62      | 48      | 0.084   |
|                                     | No      | 7       | 1       |         | 7       | 1       |         | 7       | 1       |         |
| Cellular differentiation (Edmonson’s grading) | I–II   | 34      | 18      | 0.403   | 33      | 19      | 0.560   | 31      | 21      | 0.459   |
|                                     | III–IV  | 45      | 20      |         | 41      | 24      |         | 37      | 28      |         |
| Tumor stage                         | I/II    | 25      | 16      | 0.195   | 22      | 19      | 0.093   | 21      | 20      | 0.195   |
|                                     | III/IV  | 53      | 22      |         | 51      | 24      |         | 46      | 29      |         |

Clinicopathological correlation analysis between the indicated clinical parameters and the individual and co-expression of FOXM1 and CENPF in human HCC (n = 118) at an 8-fold difference cut-off. Statistical analyses were done by SPSS software 24.0. Asterisks (*) indicate statistically significant (p < 0.05). T, HCC tumorous tissue; NT, corresponding non-tumorous liver tissue. P values are shown in bold to emphasize they are < 0.05.

FIGURE 2 | Pro-proliferative role of FOXM1 and CENPF. (A) Western blot analysis showing single and double knockdown efficiencies of FOXM1 and CENPF in MHCC97L-Luc cells. (B) Representative cell proliferation assay showing the growth curves of HCC cells with single and co-knockdown of FOXM1 and CENPF for four consecutive days. Statistical significance was calculated by one-sample t-test (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.001). shDKD, shRNA double knockdown of FOXM1 and CENPF cells.
clinical samples (tumor, HCC, and corresponding non-tumorous liver tissues, NT) by real-time RT-PCR analysis. By comparing the mRNA expression levels between the HCC and NT samples, both FOXM1 and CENPF were shown to be significantly upregulated (\*\*\*\* \( p < 0.0001 \)), respectively (Figure 1A). The overall distribution of FOXM1 and CENPF expression in our cohort was displayed in the waterfall plot (Figure 1B). Using a cut-off at 8-fold difference between HCC and NT, 80 of the 118 HCC cases (67.8%) showed an overexpression of FOXM1, and 75 of the 118 HCC cases (63.6%) showed an overexpression of CENPF, whereas only 2 HCC cases (1.69%) had under-expression of either FOXM1 or CENPF. Interestingly, when we compared the relative expression of FOXM1 and CENPF in HCC and NT, respectively, we observed that they were positively correlated with each other (\( r = 0.9258, \ p < 0.0001 \)), and substantially higher expressions in the tumor samples (Figure 1C).

To examine the clinical relevance of FOXM1 and CENPF, either in terms of their expression alone or co-expression, clinicopathological correlation analysis was performed. Using a threshold of 8-fold difference between T and NT, we showed that the overexpression of FOXM1 was positively correlated with the presence of tumor microsatellite formation (\( p = 0.045 \)), whereas the overexpression of CENPF was positively correlated with the presence of venous invasion (\( p = 0.011 \)) and the presence of tumor microsatellite (\( p = 0.010 \)). Remarkably, co-overexpression of FOXM1 and CENPF were positively correlated with the presence of venous invasion (\( p = 0.022 \)) and the presence of tumor microsatellite formation (\( p = 0.009 \)), while the absence of tumor encapsulation (\( p = 0.059 \)) was also suggested but did not reach statistical significance (Table 1).

**FOXM1 and CENPF Were Critical for Cell Proliferation**

FOXM1 and CENPF were individually silenced and co-silenced in MHCC97L-Luc HCC cell line by shRNA approach. We confirmed the successful knockdown by Western blot analysis (Figure 2A). To investigate the role of FOXM1 and CENPF in cell proliferation in vitro, the established stable knockdown cell lines were analyzed by anchorage-dependent cell proliferation assay. Co-knockdown of FOXM1 and CENPF cells showed the most significantly reduced proliferation rate (\( p < 0.05 \)) (Figure 2B).

**Identification of Commonly Regulated Genes by FOXM1 and CENPF Using RNA-Seq**

To investigate the potential synergistic underlying mechanism of FOXM1 and CENPF in HCC, we have established single and co-knockdown FOXM1 and CENPF cell lines using small-interfering RNA (siRNA) transient knockdown approach for subsequent RNA-seq. siRNA knockdown cell lines were established in Huh7, Hep3B and MHCC97L HCC cells. The knockdown efficiencies were confirmed by real-time RT-PCR and western blot analysis (Supplementary Figure 2). Among all, siFOXM1-6, siCENPF-10 and siFOXM1-6/siCENPF-10 exhibited strongest knockdown efficiencies consistently in all 3 HCC cell lines. Thus, they were used for RNA-seq, together with the siRNA control cells.

We analyzed the expression profiles from the HCC cell lines in which they were individually silenced or co-silenced by DGE analysis. From the DGE analysis across all 3 sets of knockdown cell lines, we have identified 209 genes that
were regulated by FOXM1, with 103 being activated and 106 being repressed upon FOXM1 knockdown; 156 genes that were regulated by CENPF, with 43 being activated and 113 being repressed upon CENPF knockdown; and 343 genes that were co-regulated by FOXM1 and CENPF, with 219 being activated and 124 being repressed upon co-knockdown of FOXM1 and CENPF (Figure 3). Among 343 candidate genes that were potentially being co-regulated by FOXM1 and CENPF, we further filtered out 29 genes that were activated and 4 genes that were repressed upon co-knockdown of FOXM1 and CENPF in HCC cells (Supplementary Figure 3).

To elucidate the molecular pathways underlying the synergistic interaction of FOXM1 and CENPF, we subjected the list of activated and repressed candidate genes for gene set enrichment analysis using two databases, including Gene Ontology (biological process) and Reactome Pathway. We found...
that the differentially expressed genes were mainly enriched in biological pathways associated with various metabolic processes and biological signaling pathways. Notably, these genes were also enriched in several signaling pathways that are associated with tumorigenesis, including insulin growth factor (IGF) and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) signaling pathways (Supplementary Figure 4).

Among the 4 repressed gene candidates (Supplementary Figure 3), correlation analysis was performed using our in-house RNA-seq and the TCGA dataset. We found that POLD1 (DNA Polymerase Delta 1) is positively correlated with both FOXM1 and CENPF, respectively and concurrently, in both RNA-seq datasets (Figure 4). In addition, POLD1 expression was significantly upregulated in HCC tumor when compared with the corresponding liver tissue in TCGA RNA-seq dataset (fold change = 3.15, \( p < 0.0001 \)) and in our in-house RNA-seq dataset (fold change = 3.26, \( p < 0.0001 \)) (Figure 5). Furthermore, we found that HCC patients with higher expression of POLD1 had shorter overall survival and disease-free survival rates from the TCGA data analysis (Figure 6).

**Effect of Knockdown of POLD1 in Tumorigenicity and Lung Metastasis in HCC**

We knockdown POLD1 (shPOLD1) in MHCC97L HCC cells by shRNA approach and performed in vivo liver orthotopic injection assay to assess the functional role of POLD1 in liver tumorigenicity and lung metastasis. We showed that shPOLD1 strongly reduced tumor incidence. The tumor sizes (measured by total flux signal) were significantly reduced in mice injected with shPOLD1 HCC cells. We also observed a trend of diminished lung metastasis upon knockdown.
Knockdown of POLD1 in MHCC97L-luc cells reduced tumor incidence, tumor sizes and lung metastases in orthotopic liver injection model. The in vivo functional role of POLD1 knockdown was evaluated as compared to the non-target control (NTC). Comparison was based on (A) tumorigenicity and (B,C) tumor size and lung metastasis.

DISCUSSION

Development of HCC is a multistep process results from accumulation of mutational events in cancer driver genes. The alterations in driver genes promote oncogenic functions, such as proliferation, survival, cell motility and immune evasion. Moreover, accumulation of genetic and epigenetic alterations could contribute to tumor initiation, progression, metastasis and resistance to therapy (16). The malignant phenotype of cancer entails the dysregulation of cell cycle machinery, which serves as a convergence point for cellular transformation (17).

In this study, we demonstrated the significant roles of FOXM1 and CENPF in hepatocarcinogenesis. First, we found the co-overexpression of FOXM1 and CENPF in HCC was associated with aggressive tumor behavior, including the presence of venous invasion, tumor microsatellite formation, and the absence of tumor encapsulation by clinicopathological correlation analysis. Second, we demonstrated thatFOX1 and CENPF are important for cell growth. Third, our RNA-seq study further delineated that FOXM1 and CENPF co-regulated a set of genes that play essential roles in various metabolic processes and oncogenic signaling pathways. Among all differentially expressed genes between the wild-type HCC cells and FOXM1 and/or CENPF knockdown cell lines, POLD1, which encodes for the catalytic subunit of DNA polymerase δ, was ranked as the top downstream target co-regulated by FOXM1 and CENPF. In addition to the delineation of underlying mechanism of FOXM1 and CENPF in HCC, we have also been able to demonstrate their therapeutic implications in HCC using in vitro models. Despite the efficacy of existing molecularly targeted drugs, e.g., sorafenib and regorafenib, there is still an unmet medical need for patients with advanced HCC. With the successful advent of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), the combo of atezolizumab and bevacizumab has become the standard of care. However, although early clinical outcomes are impressive, a significant proportion of patients do not respond to this regimen. Uncontrolled cell growth is one of the characteristics of cancer, which entails aberrant activities of cell cycle proteins. Upregulation of FOXM1 and CENPF were shown to be crucial for the deregulated cell proliferation in HCC in this study. HCC may be uniquely dependent on FOXM1 and CENPF for cell growth; thus, we speculated that targeting these two cell cycle regulators offer considerable potentials in treating HCC. Thioistrepton is a potential inhibitor of FOXM1 (18, 19) while zoledronic acid is a potential inhibitor of CENPF (20–22). Indeed, we observed thioistrepton and zoledronic acid inhibited HCC cells selectively, as compared to the normal liver cells (Supplementary Figure 5). Further studies are awaited to confirm their potential usage in HCC treatment. It would also be interesting to test these inhibitors in the presence of ICI.
treatment, for instance in immunocompetent mouse models. Taken together, our study provided new insight into the underlying synergistic mechanism of FOXM1 and CENPF via the regulation of POLD1, which plays a significant role in HCC progression. Moreover, FOXM1 and CENPF also represent new vulnerabilities to novel drug-based therapy in HCC.
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