Confirming longline position in neonates - Survey of practice in England and Wales
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Abstract

AIM
To establish how neonatal units in England and Wales currently confirm longline tip position, immediately after insertion of a longline.

METHODS
We conducted a telephone survey of 170 neonatal units (37 special care baby units, 81 local neonatal units and 52 neonatal intensive care units) across England and Wales over the period from January to May 2016. Data was collected on specifically designed pro formas. We gathered information on the following: Unit Level designation; whether the unit used longlines and specific type used? Modality used to confirm longline tip position? Whether guide wires were routinely removed and contrast injected to determine longline position? The responders were primarily senior nurses.

RESULTS
We had 100% response rate. Out of the total neonatal units surveyed (170) in England and Wales, 141 units (83%) used longlines. Fifty-five out of 81 local neonatal units (68%) using longlines, used ones that came with guide wires; a similar percentage of neonatal intensive care units, i.e., 31 out of 52 units (60%) did the same. All of those units used radiography, plain X-rays, to establish longline tip position. Out of 55 local neonatal units using...
INTRODUCTION

Percutaneous central venous lines (longlines) are commonly used in neonatal practice. A longline is a fine plastic (silastic) tube 10 to 30 cm long that is threaded into one of the newborn’s veins, usually in the upper or lower limbs, to reach a point where the vein becomes much larger, usually just outside the heart. It can be used to deliver parenteral nutrition and for the safe and uninterrupted administration of clinically essential or locally toxic solutions. The higher blood flow in the larger veins leads to a decrease in the risk of complications from the plastic itself and also the infused solutions. A longline can stay in place for several weeks, which reduces the number of times a newborn baby needs to have a drip inserted. Correct placement is important to avoid complications such as extravasation of fluids into pleural, peritoneal, pericardial and subcutaneous compartments[1].

Different methods are used to assess longline tip position. The most common, plain radiography is plagued with concerns about poor intra- and inter-observer reliability[2]. Other modalities, e.g., echocardiography[3] and CT[4] might be more reliable but are not readily available in most units. Use of contrast, an injectable solution that can be used to improve radio-opacity of the longline by injecting into the longline in sufficient volume to fill the connecting device and catheter, can help with recognition of unusual patterns of contrast medium dispersal and lead to easier identification of catheter malposition when combined with radiographs[5,6]. Although it is recognized that even with use of contrast precise localization of longline tips can be difficult, its use improves the likelihood that observers can see the tip more reliably and reduces inter-observer variability as regards to the anatomical placement of the tip[7].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Discussions with relevant departments in our Institution, led to the conclusion that this study was a review of practice and hence no ethical approval was necessary. After registration with the audit department of our Institution, we conducted a telephone survey of all neonatal units in England and Wales. The aim was to establish the current practice for confirming longline position immediately after insertion of a longline. All responses were collected on specifically designed proformas. We gathered information on Unit Level designation, whether the unit used longlines and specific type(s) used, the modality used to confirm longline tip position, and whether guide wires were routinely removed and contrast injected to determine longline position. A guidewire is a thin wire that comes already inserted into a longline to increase rigidity which helps with line insertion and also helps with better line visualization with plain radiography as it is radio-opaque.

RESULTS

We identified 177 neonatal units in England and Wales in Feb-May 2016. Out of those, 7 units had either closed or merged, leaving 170 individual units; 37 special care baby units (SCBUs), 81 local neonatal units (LNUs) and 52 neonatal intensive care units (NICUs). Responses were collected on specifically designed proformas. The responders were primarily nursing shift leaders. One hundred and forty-one units used long-lines and all units used plain radiography (single view) to establish...
longline tip position. We had 100% response rate. Out of the total neonatal units surveyed (170) in England and Wales, 141 units (83%) used longlines.

Fifty-five out of 81 LNUs (68%) using longlines, used ones that came with guide wires; a similar percentage of NICUs 60% (31 out of 52 units) did the same.

Focusing on those units using longlines with guide wires, 76% (42 out of 55 units) of LNUs were not removing wire to use contrast; this figure was 58% (18 out of 31 units) for NICUs.

It was interesting to note that use of contrast increased as one moved from SCBUs (25%, 2 out of 8 units) to LNUs (28%, 23 out of 81 units) and NICUs level (46%, 24 out of 52 units) designation.

Overall, only 49 out of 141 units (35%) of the units using longlines were using contrast, with the proportion increasing with level designation as can be seen in Figure 1 and as mentioned above.

**DISCUSSION**

Percutaneous central venous lines are commonly used in neonatal practice. The position of these lines is important because incorrect placement may be associated with complications. It is therefore essential that the paediatricians/radiologists are able to recognize sub-optimally positioned catheters in their radiographic assessment to avoid such complications. Serious complications include extravasation of fluids into pleural, peritoneal, pericardial and subcutaneous compartments.

There are a number of case reports where longline migration has caused pericardial effusion and tamponade. The fluid aspirated was confirmed to be consistent with parenteral nutrition which was delivered by longline
tip in the abdomen leading to harm in the neonate,

A case series done over a 5 year period in neonates identified an incidence rate of 1.1% of extravasation in the study (pericardial and pleural effusion). The reported mortality for pericardial effusions in this study was 67%.

In a case report of an intra-abdominal extravasation of parenteral nutrition, the authors suggest that complication could have been prevented by optimal placement of catheter tip. De et al presented the case of a preterm infant in whom a longline had inadvertently been placed into the left ascending lumbar vein. When such catheters enter the spinal canal, paraplegia and even death can ensue. In view of the above known infrequent but serious complications, it is vital that all line placements should be confirmed as accurately as possible. As stated above, there are studies showing poor intra- and inter-observer reliability when radiographs are used to assess longline tips and the major determinant of line repositioning was the perceived location. There are many studies where different modalities have been used to suggest confirmation of line tip as accurately as possible. Examples are two-view radiographs, use of echocardiography, CT radiography, intracavity ECG, transthoracic ultrasonography, horizontal beam technique, and use of lateral radiographs.

Use of ultrasound can be very helpful but it is user dependent. Longline tip position is the important factor in determining the correct position of the catheter; however one can be misled or misguided if the plain supine X-ray is solely relied upon. This is because X-rays are viewed in a two dimensional form and unless contrast is given it will be difficult to perceive the exact localisation of tip position.

Some studies as listed above suggest that contrast should be used routinely in the assessment of longline position in the neonate, because it helps in easier identification of catheter malposition by recognizing unusual contrast medium dispersal. One should acknowledge the existence of reports where use of contrast failed to identify abnormal positioning of the catheter tip in the abdomen leading to harm in the neonate. Having said that, the specific methodology of contrast injection was not described in this case report and some of the largest case series published on the subject support use of contrast during radiographic exposure and back it up with reduced complication rates. Although it is recognized that even with the use of contrast precise localization of longline tips can be difficult, its use has been shown to reduce inter-observer variability.

**Conclusion**

Our study had 100% response rate and it therefore gives a full and accurate picture of current reality in English and Welsh Neonatal Units. Accurate placement of longlines is important as malposition can lead to serious complications. A number of different techniques and specialized modalities have been described in the literature. Despite evidence showing poor intra- and inter-observer reliability, our review suggests that we are still overwhelmingly relying on plain radiographs to identify longline tip position. Contrast has been
proposed to be superior to plain radiographs in the confirmation of the line tip position; however, our study shows that neonatal units in England and Wales do not currently use this as widely as one might have expected. Contrast is used in just over a 1/3 of units though it is interesting to note the trend towards its use with increasing level designation. There might indeed be more accurate modalities in existence but, on the whole, these may need expertise or equipment that might not be available at the point of care in real time. Therefore, use of contrast should be explored further and be the focus of clinical trials exploring its reliability and safety. It might also be useful to look at the rates of adverse longline events in those units that use contrast vs those that do not.
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COMMENTS
Background
Percutaneous central venous lines (longlines) are commonly used in neonatal practice. A longline is a fine plastic (silastic) tube 10 to 30 cm long that is threaded into one of the newborn’s veins, usually in the upper or lower limbs, to reach a point where the vein becomes much larger, usually just outside the heart. It can be used to deliver parenteral nutrition and for the safe and uninterrupted administration of clinically essential or locally toxic solutions. The higher blood flow in the larger veins leads to a decrease in the risk of complications from the plastic itself and also the infused solutions. A longline can stay in place for several weeks, which reduces the number of times a newborn baby needs to have a drip inserted. Correct placement is important to avoid complications such as extravasation of fluids into pleural, peritoneal, pericardial and subcutaneous compartments. Different methods are used to assess longline tip position. The most common, plain radiography is plagued with concerns about poor intra- and inter-observer reliability. Other modalities, e.g., echocardiography and CT might be more reliable but are not readily available in most units. Use of contrast can help with recognition of unusual patterns of contrast medium dispersal and lead to easier identification of catheter malposition when combined with radiographs.

Research frontiers
Despite evidence showing poor intra- and inter-observer reliability, the review suggests that clinicians are still overwhelmingly relying on plain radiographs to identify longline tip position. Contrast has been proposed to be superior to plain radiographs in the confirmation of the line tip position; however, the study shows that neonatal units in England and Wales do not currently use this as widely as one might have expected. Contrast is used in just over a 1/3 of units though it is interesting to note the trend towards its use with increasing level designation. There might indeed be more accurate modalities in existence but, on the whole, these may need expertise or equipment that might not be available at the point of care in real time. Therefore, use of contrast should be explored further and be the focus of clinical trials exploring its reliability and safety. It might also be useful to look at the rates of adverse longline events in those units that use contrast vs those that do not.

Innovations and breakthroughs
The study had 100% response rate and it therefore gives a full and accurate picture of current reality in English and Welsh Neonatal Units. Accurate placement of longlines is important as malposition can lead to serious complications. A number of different techniques and specialized modalities have been described in the literature. A readily available adjunct to plain radiography, shown to help avoid catheter malposition, is the use of contrast. The survey shows that the majority of neonatal units in England and Wales are still, overwhelmingly, relying on plain radiographs to confirm longline position. Despite evidence of its usefulness, contrast is used by about a third of neonatal units to confirm longline position immediately after insertion of a longline.

Applications
Despite evidence showing poor intra- and inter-observer reliability, the review suggests that authors are still overwhelmingly relying on plain radiographs to identify longline tip position. Contrast has been proposed to be superior to plain radiographs in the confirmation of the line tip position; however, the study shows that neonatal units in England and Wales do not currently use this as widely as one might have expected. Contrast is used in just over a 1/3 of units though it is interesting to note the trend towards its use with increasing level designation. There might indeed be more accurate modalities in existence but, on the whole, these may need expertise or equipment that might not be available at the point of care in real time. Therefore, use of contrast should be explored further and be the focus of clinical trials exploring its reliability and safety. It might also be useful to look at the rates of adverse longline events in those units that use contrast vs those that do not.

Terminology
Longline: A fine plastic (silastic) tube, usually 10 cm to 30 cm long, that is threaded into one of the baby’s small veins usually in the arm or leg and towards the wider central veins; Guidewire: A thin wire that comes already inserted into a longline to increase rigidity, which helps with line insertion, and also with better line visualization during plain radiography as it is radiopaque; Contrast: A radiopaque substance used to improve visualisation of a structure, in this case the longline, as it is injected into the longline during radiographic exposure in sufficient volume to fill the connecting device and catheter and leave a blush of contrast visible at the catheter tip.

Peer-review
Authors analyzed use of different methods in confirming long line position in newborns. This is a worthy audit of contemporary practice in England and Wales.
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