Recruitment of the RNA Helicase RHAU to Stress Granules via a Unique RNA-binding Domain*§
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In response to environmental stress, the translation machinery of cells is reprogrammed. The majority of actively translated mRNAs are released from polysomes and driven to specific cytoplasmic foci called stress granules (SGs) where dynamic changes in protein-RNA interaction determine the subsequent fate of mRNAs. Here we show that the DEAH box RNA helicase RHAU is a novel SG-associated protein. Although RHAU protein was originally identified as an AU-rich element-associated protein involved in urokinase-type plasminogen activator mRNA decay, it was not clear whether RHAU could directly interact with RNA. We have demonstrated that RHAU physically interacts with RNA in vitro and in vivo through a newly identified N-terminal RNA-binding domain, which was found to be both essential and sufficient for RHAU localization in SGs. We have also shown that the ATPase activity of RHAU plays a role in the RNA interaction and in the regulation of protein retention in SGs. Thus, our results show that RHAU is the fourth RNA helicase detected in SGs, after rck/p54, DDX3, and elf4A, and that its association with SGs is dynamic and mediated by an RHAU-specific RNA-binding domain.

Posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression is important and highly regulated in response to developmental, environmental, and metabolic signals. (1) During stress conditions such as heat shock, oxidative stress, ischemia, or viral infection, mRNA translation is reprogrammed and allows the selective synthesis of stress response and repair proteins (2). Under these conditions, the translation of housekeeping genes is arrested, and untranslated mRNAs accumulate in cytoplasmic foci known as stress granules (SGs) (3). SG formation is triggered by translation initiation arrest involving eIF2α phosphorylation or by inhibition of elf4A helicase function. Phosphorylation of eIF2α can be mediated by several stress-induced protein kinases (for a review, see Ref. 4), suggesting that the formation of SGs is highly regulated and that eIF2α plays a pivotal role in sensing stress. Upon translational arrest, polysome-free 48 S preinitiation complexes containing initiation factors, small ribosomal subunits, and poly(A)-binding protein 1 (PABP-1) aggregate into SGs (3, 5). Several known SG-associated mRNA-binding proteins have been identified and shown to induce or inhibit SG aggregation when overexpressed. It is presumed that the overexpression of mRNA-binding proteins, which are able to oligomerize, disturb the equilibrium of mRNA distribution between polysomes and polysome-free ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes and thus induce SG formation by their aggregation (6). Nevertheless some RNA-binding proteins do not induce SG formation upon overexpression, e.g. a zipcode-binding protein 1 (ZBP1), heterogeneous nuclear RNP A1, or PABP-1 (7–9). Under normal conditions, most mRNA-binding proteins are involved in various aspects of mRNA metabolism, such as translation (TIA-1, TIA-1-related protein, PCBP2, Pumilio 2, and cytoplasmic polyadenylation element-binding protein), degradation (G3BP, TTP, Brf1, rck/p54, KH domain RNA binding protein [KSRP], and PMR1), stability (HuR), and specific intracellular localization (ZBP1, Staufen, Smaug, Caprin-1, and FMRP) (for a review, see Ref. 10). The differing flux of these SG-associated proteins and poly(A)+ mRNAs revealed by fluorescent recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) analysis suggests that SGs are dynamic foci (6, 9, 11–14). They are also considered to be sites at which RNPs undergo structural and compositional remodeling and may be temporarily stored, returned to polysomes for translation, or packaged for degradation (6).

Immediately after transcription, RNA forms with RNA-binding proteins RNPs that are dynamic and take part in RNA metabolism. RNP remodeling, which is essential for the cellular localization, processing, function, and fate of RNA (15), is mainly regulated by a large family of proteins called RNA helicases. These enzymes use energy released by ATP hydrolysis to unwind secondary structures of RNA or displace proteins from RNA (16). The majority of RNA helicases are assigned to superfamilyindole; bis-Tris, 2-[bis(2-hydroxyethyl)amino]-2-(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol; GST, glutathione S-transferase; RISP, RNA-Interaction Site Prediction; ROI, regions of interest; EGFP, enhanced green fluorescent protein; Nter, N terminus; HCR, helicase core region; Cter, C terminus; WT, full-length RHAU (wild type); β-gal, β-galactosidase.
family 2 (SF2), which is divided into three subfamilies named after the sequence in the helicase motif II: DEAD, DEAH, and DEXH (17). Several DEX(H/D) proteins have been shown to unwind double-stranded RNA in an ATP-dependent manner in vitro (16, 18), but most are involved in the ATP-dependent remodeling of RNP s (16). Although RNA helicases contain a highly conserved helicase core region, they are involved in all of the RNA processes ranging from transcription, pre-mRNA splicing, ribosome biogenesis, RNA export, and translation initiation to RNA decay (for reviews, see Refs. 19–21). The specific functions of individual enzymes are attributed to the less conserved N/C termini, which are responsible for substrate specificity, subcellular localization, and cofactor requirements (22–25).

RHAU is a DEA H box helicase (DHX36) originally identified as an RNA helicase associated with AU-rich element (ARE) of urakinas e-type plasminogen activator (upA) mRNA together with NF90 and HuR (26). RHAU is a nucleocyttoplasmic shuttling protein found predominantly in the nucleus and to a lesser extent in the cytoplasm. As with other helicases (27–29), ATPase activity is necessary for RHAU function in the decay of upA mRNA and for its nuclear localization (26, 30). Despite the role of RHAU as a factor destabilizing upA mRNA, global analysis of gene expression in RHAU knockout cells revealed that changes in steady-state levels of mRNAs were only partially influenced by mRNA decay regulation (30). Indeed the nuclear localization of RHAU and its guanine quadruplex (G4) DNA/RNA-resolving activity (31) may reflect that RHAU regulates gene expression at various steps other than mRNA decay.

Given that SGs are sites at which dynamic changes in protein-RNA interaction determine the fate of mRNAs during and after stress, it is surprising that the role of SG-associated RNA helicases with an essential function in remodeling protein-RNA interactions is largely unknown. Here we show that RHAU is a novel component of SGs and that its recruitment to SGs is mediated by an RNA interaction. Although identified as an ARE-associated protein involved in ARE-mediated mRNA decay of upA, it was not immediately clear whether RHAU directly binds RNA. Here we show that RHAU physically interacts with RNA in vitro and in vivo via a unique N-terminal RNA-binding domain composed of a G-rich region and an RHAU-specific motif (RSM) that is highly conserved between RHAU orthologs. The same RNA-binding domain is necessary and sufficient for RHAU recruitment to SGs. Finally we show that the ATPase activity of RHAU is involved in the dynamic regulation of RHAU shuttling into and out of SGs.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Plasmid Constructs**—The plasmids pTER-shRHAU and pTER-shLuc were described previously (30). Plasmids EGFP-RHAU, EGFP-Nter, EGFP-HCR, and EGFP-Cter were based on pEGFP-C1 (Clontech) and EGFP-E335A (also termed DAI H in this study), which was derived from EGFP-RHAU by point mutation in motif II as described previously (30). Plasmids RHAU-EGFP, (50–1008)-EGFP, (105–1008)-EGFP, (1–130)-EGFP, and (1–105)-EGFP were based on pEGFP-N1 (Clontech) by inserting corresponding fragments into the BglII (Xhol for RHAU full length) and Agel sites of the vector. Plasmids EGFP-(50–1008) and EGFP-(105–1008) were prepared by inserting the corresponding fragments between BglII and BamHI sites of pEGFP-C1. RHAU-FLAG, (50–1008)-FLAG, (105–1008)-FLAG, (1–105)-FLAG, and (1–130)-FLAG were prepared by inserting corresponding RHAU fragments into the BglII (Nhel for RHAU full length) and Agel sites of pIRES.EGFP-N1-FLAG. The vector was prepared by insertion of IRES.EGFP between Agel and NotI of pEGFP-N1 (Clontech). The IRES.EGFP insert was designed by PCR using the pIRES.ECMV-EGFP vector, which was kindly provided by D. Schmitz Rohmer and B. A. Hemmings, as a template. The PCR product of the IRES.EGFP insert contained FLAG sequence with the Agel site on the 5’ end and the NotI site on the 3’ end. Plasmids β-gal-(1–52)-EGFP, β-gal-(1–130)-EGFP, and β-gal-(52–200)-EGFP were prepared by inserting corresponding RHAU fragments into EcoRI and SalI sites of β-gal-EGFP.4 The GST-RHAU vector was designed as described previously (26). GST-T’ner was prepared by inserting the fragment (1–200 aa) between BamHI and EcoRI sites of pGEX-2T (Amersham Biosciences). The oligonucleotides used in this work and detailed descriptions of the plasmid constructions are available upon request.

**Antibodies**—Mouse monoclonal anti-RHAU antibody (12F33) was generated against a peptide corresponding to the C terminus of RHAU, 991–1007 aa, as described previously (31). Commercially obtained antibodies were: mouse anti-green fluorescent protein (B-2, sc-9996), goat anti-TIA-1 (sc-1751), goat anti-elF3b (N-20, sc-16377), mouse anti-HuR (3A2, sc-5261), and rabbit anti-elF2α (FL-315, sc-11386) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA); mouse anti-actin (pan Ab-5, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Fremont, CA); rabbit monoclonal anti-elF2α-P (Ser-51, 119A11, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA); and mouse anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma-Aldrich). The mouse antibodies were all monoclonal.

**Cell Culture, Transfection, and Stress Treatments**—HeLa cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum at 37 °C in the presence of 5% CO2. T-REx-HeLa cells stably transfected with pTER-shRHAU were maintained as described previously (30). To induce short hairpin RNA expression and consequent depletion of endogenous RHAU, cells were treated with 1 μg/ml doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich) for 7 days as described in supplemental Fig. 1. For immunofluorescence analysis, transient transfection of DNA plasmids using FuGENE 6 (Roche Applied Science) was performed according to the manual provided with the product used providing 1 μg of plasmid DNA and 3 μl of FuGENE 6/35-mm dish. For immunoprecipitation analysis, cells were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) in Opti-MEM I medium (Invitrogen). Briefly HeLa cells were seeded at 0.8 × 106 cells/35-mm dish and 24 h later were transfected with 4 μg of plasmid DNA and 10 μl of Lipofectamine 2000. The cells were used 24 h later for the following experiments. RNA interference silencing was induced by transient transfection of small interfering RNAs with INTERFERin (Polyplus Transfection, New York, NY) following the manual instructions. Small interfering RNA was added to give a final concentration of 2.5 nm in 2 ml of

4 F. Iwamoto and Y. Fujiki, unpublished data.
medium and 8 μl of INTERFERIn for transfection of 40% confluent cells in each 35-mm dish. To test SG formation, 0.5 mM sodium arsenite (Sigma-Aldrich) or 1 μM hippuristanol (kindly provided by J. Tanaka (32)) was added in conditioned medium for 45 or 30 min, respectively. To induce SGs with the ionophore carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP), cells were washed with 1× PBS (PBS without Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\)) and cultured in glucose- and pyruvate-free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 1 μM CCCP. Heat shock was performed at 44 °C in a 5% CO\(_2\) incubator for 45 min.

**Immunocytochemistry and Image Processing**—At 24 h after transfection by FuGENE 6, HeLa cells were replated in 12-well dishes with coverslips coated with 0.2% gelatin. The next day, cells were treated with the indicated stimuli, fixed with 3.8% paraformaldehyde in 1× PBS for 10 min, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PHEM buffer (25 mM HEPES, 10 mM EGTA, 60 mM PIPEs, 2 mM MgCl\(_2\), pH 6.9) for 30 min and blocked with 5% horse serum in PHEM buffer for 1 h. All steps were performed at room temperature. Samples were incubated with primary antibodies (goat anti-TIA-1 (1:200), mouse anti-HuR (1:200), and goat anti-eIF3b (1:200)) diluted in the blocking buffer overnight at 4 °C. Coverslips with fixed cells were washed three times with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PHEM buffer and incubated in the dark with the secondary antibody and 500 ng/ml DAPI (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) to identify the nuclei for 40 min at room temperature. Cy2-, Cy3-, or Cy5-conjugated donkey secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA) were used at dilutions of 1:200, 1:2,000, or 1:200 with 2.5% horse serum in PHEM buffer, respectively. The cells were mounted in FluoroMount reagent (SouthernBiotech, Birmingham, AL). Fluorescent images were captured with a confocal microscope (LSM 510 META, Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) as described previously (33). Nucleic acids were mixed with RNA, bound nucleic acids were isolated and radiolabeled according to the CLIP protocol (33). Nucleic acids were mixed with increasing amounts of RNase A (0.015, 0.15, 1.5, and 15 units) in H\(_2\)O and 1 unit of RQ1 DNase in 1× RQ1 DNase reaction buffer. Reactions were incubated for 30 min at 37 °C and resolved by denaturing 8% PAGE in 1× Tris borate-EDTA buffer.

**Protein Purification—Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) transformed with glutathione S-transferase (GST) or GST-Nter proteins were induced by 1 mM isopropyl 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside for 12 h at 25 °C and purified by affinity chromatography with glutathione-Sepharose 4B (Amersham Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. GST-RHAU protein was expressed in S99 cells according to the supplier’s instructions (BD Biosciences Pharmingen) and purified as above. The purity of recombinant proteins was analyzed by 10% SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Blue staining as reported in supplemental Fig. 2.

**Double Filter RNA Binding Assay**—5 μg of total RNA isolated from HeLa cells was alkali-treated with 0.1 M NaOH on ice for 10 min and then EtOH-precipitated. Redissolved RNA was visualized by poly(rU) (P9528, Sigma-Aldrich) was 5’-end-labeled using [γ-32P]ATP (Hartmann Analytic GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany) and T4 polynucleotide kinase (Roche Applied Science) at 37 °C for 30 min and passed through a G-50 column (GE Healthcare) to remove free nucleotides. Reaction mixtures (50 μl) containing varying amounts of recombinant proteins (0–150 nM as specified in text), radiolabeled RNA (poly(rU), 10,000 cpm; total RNA, 5,000 cpm) and 2 units of RNase inhibitor (RNAguard, Roche Applied Science) in the binding buffer
RESULTS

RHAU Protein Associates with SGs in Response to Arsenite-induced Stress—Various research groups have shown that different ARE-binding proteins, such as TTP, Brf1, HuR, TIA-1, or TIA-1-related protein, associate with SGs in cells exposed to environmental stress (8, 39, 40). To determine whether RHAU is also recruited to SGs, HeLa cells were transfected with EGFP-
tagged RHAU and treated with arsenite, a typical inducer of SGs. As shown in Fig. 1A, the arsenite treatment induced, in addition to diffuse nuclear and cytoplasmic localizations, the accumulation of RHAU in distinct cytoplasmic foci that co-localized with TIA-1 protein, an SG marker. Because all available anti-RHAU antibodies, both commercial and our own preparation, were not suitable to visualize endogenous RHAU by immunofluorescence analysis, we examined the intracellular localization of RHAU by transiently transfecting cells. We used either EGFP-RHAU or RHAU-EGFP to eliminate the unwanted effects of EGFP on RHAU structure and subsequent subcellular localization. Indeed both EGFP-RHAU and RHAU-EGFP showed similar localization patterns in normal and stress conditions (Fig. 1A). EGFP itself did not accumulate in SGs (Fig. 1A). The localization of RHAU in arsenite-induced cytoplasmic foci was confirmed using other SG markers such as HuR and eIF3b (p116) (Fig. 1B), indicating that RHAU is a novel SG component.

Although the formation of SGs was not abolished or reduced in T-Rex-HeLa cells after RNA interference-mediated down-regulation of endogenous RHAU (supplemental Fig. 1), we asked whether the recruitment of RHAU to SGs is restricted to a specific type of stress. To address this question, we treated EGFP-RHAU transfected HeLa cells with different SG-inducing stimuli, such as arsenite, CCCP (a mitochondrial inhibitor), heat shock, and hippuristanol (an inhibitor of eIF4A RNA binding activity known to induce SG aggregation independently of eIF2α phosphorylation) (32). As shown in Fig. 1C, EGFP-RHAU co-localized with TIA-1 in SGs in response to all stimuli tested. The phosphorylation of eIF2α was detected after treatment with arsenite, heat shock, and CCCP but, as expected, not with hippuristanol (Fig. 1C). These results indicate that the formation of SGs, rather than phosphorylation of eIF2α, is required for RHAU protein recruitment to SGs.

The N-terminal Domain Recruits RHAU to SGs—RHAU protein consists of three main domains: the unique N terminus (Nter), the evolutionarily conserved helicase core region (HCR), and the C terminus (Cter) partially conserved among DEAH subfamily members. To identify domains essential for localization of RHAU in SGs, we generated deletion mutants of RHAU: EGFP-Nter (1–200 aa), EGFP-HCR (201–650 aa), and EGFP-Cter (651–1008 aa) (Fig. 2A). As shown in Fig. 2B, the full-length RHAU (WT) as well as the N terminus alone accumulated in arsenite-induced SGs. Unlike EGFP-WT and EGFP-Nter, EGFP-HCR and EGFP-Cter were excluded from the nucleus and diffused in the cytoplasm as described previously (30). After arsenite treatment, they showed low level accumulation in small foci other than SGs (Fig. 2B). A similar proportion of cells positive for EGFP signals in arsonite-

FIGURE 2. The N-terminal domain of RHAU localizes in SGs. A, scheme of wild-type RHAU and its fragments. Nter, 1–200 aa; HCR, 200–650 aa; Cter, 200–1008 aa. B, intracellular localization of different parts of RHAU. HeLa cells were transfected with an empty pEGFP-C1 vector or plasmids expressing EGFP-fused RHAU (WT) or fragments of RHAU, Nter (1–200 aa), EGFP-HCR (201–650 aa), and EGFP-Cter (651–1008 aa) (Fig. 2A). As shown in Fig. 2B, the full-length RHAU (WT) as well as the N terminus alone accumulated in arsenite-induced SGs. Unlike EGFP-WT and EGFP-Nter, EGFP-HCR and EGFP-Cter were excluded from the nucleus and diffused in the cytoplasm as described previously (30). After arsenite treatment, they showed low level accumulation in small foci other than SGs (Fig. 2B). A similar proportion of cells positive for EGFP signals in arsonite-
induced SGs was observed with EGFP-WT (78%) and EGFP-Nter (83%) transfected cells, but no positive EGFP signals in arsenite-induced SGs were detected after transfection with EGFP-HCR and EGFP-Cter (Fig. 2C). Taken together, these results indicate that the N terminus of RHAU is essential for stress-induced accumulation of RHAU in SGs.

Because only the first 200 amino acids of RHAU were necessary for SG localization, we further studied functional domains within the N terminus. For this we generated two new N-terminally truncated forms of EGFP-fused RHAU by stepwise deletion as depicted in Fig. 3A where the first deletion mutant (50–1008 aa) lacked a G-rich region. These truncated mutants and WT were transfected into HeLa cells. The association of RHAU and its mutants with arsenite-induced SGs was assessed by immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig. 3B) and quantified (Fig. 3C). Deletion of the G-rich region significantly decreased RHAU association with SGs from 81 to 36% of transfected cells. The truncation of amino acids 1–105 further reduced RHAU accumulation in SGs to 12%. As shown in a graph (Fig. 3C), EGFP fusion at the N or C terminus with the WT or the mutants did not affect their localization efficiency in SGs. Taken together, these data suggest that the first 105 amino acids of the N-terminal domain are essential for the recruitment of RHAU protein to SGs and that an additional site, other than the G-rich region, plays a role in this recruitment process.

RHAU Binds to RNA via the N-terminal Domain—The recruitment of proteins to SGs was reported to be mediated by protein-protein or RNA-protein interactions (10). Because RHAU is an RNA helicase, we first examined whether RHAU binds to RNA and, if so, whether RNA binding activity is required for its accumulation in SGs. To test this, we used adapted CLIP (33), utilizing irreversible UV cross-linking between protein and RNA to purify protein-RNA complexes. The tightly associated RNA molecules migrating together with immunoprecipitated protein were radiolabeled with \( ^{32} \text{P} \) and detected with a phosphorimaging system. Endogenous RHAU was immunoprecipitated with monoclonal anti-RHAU antibody. Phosphorimaging analysis of radiolabeled nucleic acids showed a prominent signal at 120 kDa corresponding to RHAU (Fig. 4A). The signal was absent when RHAU was depleted by RNA interference before immunoprecipitation. This indicated that under normal conditions the strong signal at 120 kDa was derived from RHAU-associated nucleic acids. UV irradiation not only cross-links proteins and RNA but also proteins and DNA. As the CLIP method includes a stringent DNase treatment prior to immunoprecipitation, it is likely that the detected signals involve RNA. Nevertheless to test this further, we purified co-immunoprecipitated nucleic acids, radiolabeled them, and examined their sensitivity to an increasing concentration of RNase (lanes 2–5) and 1 unit of RNase (lanes 6–8). The results indicated that the detected signals involved RNA. Nevertheless, to test this further, we purified co-immunoprecipitated nucleic acids, radiolabeled them, and examined their sensitivity to an increasing concentration of RNase (lanes 2–5) and 1 unit of RNase (lanes 6–8).
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To confirm our in vivo observation, the binding of RHAU to RNA was examined in vitro using purified, recombinant GST and GST-RHAU by double filter RNA binding assays (supplemental Fig. 2A and Fig. 4C). As we do not know yet the in vivo RNA targets of RHAU, we incubated radiolabeled, total RNA (5,000 cpm) from HeLa extract with increasing amounts of GST or GST-RHAU (0–40 nM) and filtered the mixture through nitrocellulose and nylon membranes. As shown in Fig. 4C, unlike GST itself, GST-RHAU was retained with associated RNA on nitrocellulose, and moreover it displayed dose-dependent RNA binding, suggesting that RHAU can directly associate with RNA. We express the relative binding affinity \((K_d)\) as the concentration of GST-RHAU at which 50% of RNA was bound. The apparent \(K_d\) of GST-RHAU was 7 nM (Fig. 4C).

Next we examined the influence of arsenite stress on the RHAU-RNA interaction by treating the EGFP- or EGFP-WT-transfected HeLa cells with arsenite before UV irradiation and comparing the RNA binding activity of RHAU in normal and stress conditions. After immunoprecipitation by a monoclonal anti-RHAU antibody, two radiolabeled RNA bands per the lane were detected; the lower and upper bands correspond to endogenous RHAU and transfected EGFP-WT, respectively (Fig. 4D). The association of endogenous RHAU with RNA was used as a loading control. The intensity of the RNA signals normalized over RHAU protein levels was similar in control and arsenite-treated conditions, suggesting that the amount of RHAU-associated RNA was not influenced by stress. The RNA band migrating in the region corresponding to EGFP-WT was not present in extracts of EGFP-transfected cells, verifying that the RNA signals were derived from RHAU-associated RNA.

Given the apparent significance of the first 105 amino acids for RHAU localization in SGs, we examined whether the N terminus was also required for RHAU-RNA interaction. After cells were transiently transfected with EGFP-WT and its N-terminal deletion mutants, the extent of RNA binding to RHAU and mutants was examined as described above and normalized over RHAU protein levels. Although the CLIP method is not a suitable quantitative assay, in six independent assays EGFP-(50–1008) and EGFP-(105–1008) showed a reproducible decrease in RNA binding activity of ~50 and 20%, respectively, compared with EGFP-WT (Fig. 4E). A stepwise decrease in the RNA binding activity of the two deletion mutants correlated with the decreased RHAU localization in arsenite-induced SGs (see Fig. 3C). The small amount of RNA remaining associated with EGFP-(105–1008) may reflect residual RNA binding activity derived from the helicase core domain of RHAU. Thus, the majority of RNA associated with RHAU is bound to its N terminus.

Bioinformatics Analysis of the N Terminus Revealed a Putative RNA-binding Domain—Knowing that RHAU interacts with RNA via the first 105 amino acids, we submitted the RHAU protein sequence to RNABindR, a computational program that predicts RNA binding potential of amino acid residues (35). This program is focused on sequence-based predictions and runs on a naïve Bayes classifier. According to this program, residues from 3 to 75 were highly positive for the RNA interaction (Fig. 5A). A similar prediction was obtained by two other programs based on different algo-
rithms, BindN+ and RISP (36, 37) (Fig. 5A). Moreover sequence alignment within the first 105 amino acids of RHAU proteins from choanoflagellate to humans revealed a novel highly conserved domain (54–66 aa) termed RSM next to the already known G-rich region containing an RGG box motif and with the highly conserved RSM. B, conservation of the RSM through evolution. Orthologs of RHAU used for the multiple sequence alignment were identified as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Similarity of groups was estimated using GeneDoc (version 2.7) with the BLOSUM62 scoring matrix. Red, 90–100% similarity; yellow, 70–90% similarity; and blue, 50–70% similarity. Species and NCBI and Ensembl (in case of *X. tropicalis*) accession numbers are as follows: human (*Homo sapiens*, NP_065916), mouse (*Mus musculus*, NP_082412), chicken (*Gallus gallus*, XP_422834), frog (*Xenopus tropicalis*, ENSXETP00000016953), zebrafish (*Danio rerio*, CAM56669), fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*, NP_610056), trematoda (*Schistosoma japonicum*, AAW25528), and choanoflagellate (*Monosiga brevicollis*, EDQ87802).

**FIGURE 5. Bioinformatics analysis of the RHAU N-terminal domain.** A, computational analysis for RNA-binding residues within the RHAU N terminus. The human RHAU amino acid sequence was subjected to three different programs, BindN+, RISP, and RNABindR, available online. Residues predicted to be positive for RNA binding are indicated by “+” and depicted above the RHAU amino acid sequence. The gray shading corresponds to RNA binding consensus per one amino acid in all three programs. Note that the predicted RNA-binding domain is a long stretch from 3 to 75 aa (gray bar) that overlaps with the G-rich region containing an RGG box motif and with the highly conserved RSM. B, conservation of the RSM through evolution. Orthologs of RHAU used for the multiple sequence alignment were identified as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Similarity of groups was estimated using GeneDoc (version 2.7) with the BLOSUM62 scoring matrix. Red, 90–100% similarity; yellow, 70–90% similarity; and blue, 50–70% similarity. Species and NCBI and Ensembl (in case of *X. tropicalis*) accession numbers are as follows: human (*Homo sapiens*, NP_065916), mouse (*Mus musculus*, NP_082412), chicken (*Gallus gallus*, XP_422834), frog (*Xenopus tropicalis*, ENSXETP00000016953), zebrafish (*Danio rerio*, CAM56669), fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*, NP_610056), trematoda (*Schistosoma japonicum*, AAW25528), and choanoflagellate (*Monosiga brevicollis*, EDQ87802).

The N-terminal RNA-binding Domain Is Essential and Sufficient for RNA Interaction and Localization of RHAU in SGs—To further test whether these 105 amino acids of RHAU proteins from choanoflagellate to humans revealed a novel highly conserved domain (54–66 aa) termed RSM next to the already known G-rich region (50–1008 aa) with one RGG box motif (47–49 aa) (Fig. 5B). Although RGG box motifs have been reported to be involved in RNA binding (41, 42), RSM has no similarity to any known functional sequence elements. Thus, RHAU likely harbors an atypical conserved RNA-binding domain in the N terminus.

The RNA-binding domain is essential and sufficient for RNA interaction and localization of RHAU in SGs. To further test whether these 105 N-terminal residues are sufficient for RNA binding and subsequent RHAU recruitment to SGs, we designed FLAG-tagged truncated forms of the RHAU protein: WT-FLAG, (50–1008)-FLAG, (105–1008)-FLAG, (1:105)-FLAG and (1:130)-FLAG. For the RNA binding experiment, CLIP analyses were performed using a monoclonal mouse anti-FLAG M2 antibody coupled to agarose beads. Western blotting confirmed the successful expression and immunoprecipitation of all fragments with (1–105)-FLAG and (1–130)-FLAG at higher protein levels (Fig. 6A, left panel). To analyze the RNA binding activities of these proteins, the measured radiolabeled RNA levels were normalized over protein levels. As shown in Fig. 6A (right panel), the amounts of RNA associated with (1–105)-FLAG and (1–130)-FLAG (lanes 3 and 4) were stepwise reduced to ~70 and 30%, respectively. The high levels of RNA binding (before normalization) with (1–105)-FLAG and (1–130)-FLAG (lanes 5 and 6) may partly reflect their expression levels (Fig. 6A, right panel); however, they still showed ~10-fold higher RNA binding activity than WT-FLAG after normalization. Thus, these results demonstrate that the first 105 amino acids are essential and sufficient for RHAU to bind RNA.

Because the N-terminal fragment of RHAU (1–105)-FLAG has been shown to bind RNA in vivo, we again performed a double filter RNA binding assay using the recombinant GST-Nter fragment (1–200 aa). Purified, recombinant GST and GST-Nter (supplemental Fig. 2B) were incubated with 5′-end-
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FIGURE 6. The N-terminal RNA-binding domain is essential and sufficient for RNA interaction in vivo and in vitro. A, CLIP method to show RNA binding activity of the N-terminal 105 amino acid residues of RHAU. HeLa cells were transfected with an empty vector (lane 1), vectors expressing FLAG-tagged RHAU wild type (lane 2), or its fragments, (50–1008) (lane 3), (105–1008) (lane 4), (1–105) (lane 5), and (1–130) (lane 6). Cells were UV irradiated 24 h later, harvested, and immunoprecipitated by FLAG antibody. Bound RNA was labeled with [γ-32P]ATP and detected by a phosphorimaging system as described under "Experimental Procedures." Left panel, Western blot of immunoprecipitated FLAG-tagged RHAU and its fragments. Right panel, bound RNA. The amount of associated RNA was normalized to the expression level of proteins. ▶, FLAG-tagged RHAU and its fragments. B, the N terminus of RHAU binds to RNA in vitro. 0, 25, 50, 100, and 150 nm GST or GST-Nter (1–200 aa) was incubated with 10,000 cpm 5′ end-labeled total RNA (left panel) or poly(rU) (right panel) for 30 min at 37 °C and filtered through nitrocellulose and nylon membranes. Note that the dose-dependent RNA binding, both total RNA and poly(rU), was detected only with GST-Nter.

To determine whether the N terminus is also sufficient for RHAU recruitment to SGs, we transfected HeLa cells with N-terminal mutants fused with the EGFP tag at their C termini as shown in Fig. 7A. Immunofluorescence analysis revealed that both tested mutants, (1–105)-EGFP and (1–130)-EGFP, co-localized with TIA-1 protein in SGs (Fig. 7A). Further we tested whether the N terminus can bring about SG localization of a protein that does not normally associate with SGs in response to stress. We fused different fragments of the RHAU N-terminal domain to β-gal-EGFP constructs (Fig. 7B) and transfected them into HeLa cells. In response to arsenite treatment, β-gal-EGFP by itself did not accumulate in SGs but was diffusely distributed in the cytoplasm as in normal conditions. However, when β-gal-EGFP was fused to the N-terminal 1–130 amino acids, including the complete putative RNA-binding domain with the G-rich region and the RSM, the fusion protein localized to SGs. In contrast, SG localization was not detected when β-gal-EGFP was fused with either the G-rich region (β-gal-EGFP(1–51 aa)) or the RSM (β-gal-EGFP(52–200 aa)) alone, indicating that only the complete RNA-binding domain is required for RHAU recruitment to SGs.

ATP Hydrolysis Plays a Role in RNA Binding and Kinetics of RHAU in SGs—Previously we showed that an ATPase-deficient RHAU mutant with a DEIH to DAIH point mutation is unable to accelerate uPA mRNA decay (26) and is excluded from the nucleus (30). This suggested that the ATPase activity of RHAU has profound effects on its biological activity and subcellular localization. Therefore, we examined the role of RHAU ATPase activity on its RNA binding potential and its localization in SGs. To elucidate the RNA binding potential of ATPase-deficient RHAU mutants, we performed CLIP analyses. After UV cross-linking and subsequent immunoprecipitation of EGFP-WT and EGFP-DAIH with an anti-RHAU antibody, the amount of associated RNA was normalized to corresponding protein levels. As shown in Fig. 8A, a higher amount of RNA was pulled down with the ATPase-deficient DAIH mutant than with EGFP-WT. Based on results of Tanaka and Schwer (34) where Prp22, a yeast DEAH box helicase, dissociated from the RNA upon ATP hydrolysis resulting in apparent RNA binding activity reduction, we concluded that ATPase activity of RHAU is...
involved in releasing RHAU from RNA rather than in binding to RNA.

Considering the possibility that the N terminus and ATP hydrolysis contribute to the RNA interaction of RHAU, we investigated whether the N-terminal RNA-binding domain is also required for RNA binding of the DAIH mutant and its accumulation in SGs. For this, we introduced a point mutation (DEIH to DAIH) into motif II of the helicase core region to generate DAIH(50–1008) and DAIH(105–1008) fused with EGFP at their N termini (Fig. 8B). First the truncated fragments were used in the CLIP analysis. While being aware of CLIP limitations in quantitative analysis, stepwise deletion of the N-terminal domain of the DAIH mutant to DAIH(50–1008) and DAIH(105–1008) nevertheless decreased the amount of associated RNA to ~50 and 15%, respectively, compared with the DAIH full-length mutant (Fig. 8C). The stepwise decrease in RNA interaction seen with the two DAIH deletion mutants corresponds to that seen with RHAU deletion mutants (see Fig. 4E). Thus, enhanced RNA binding of the DAIH mutant still required the N terminus.

Having shown that RHAU recruitment to SGs is dependent on its RNA interaction (see Figs. 3C and 4E), we tested whether the ATPase-deficient DAIH mutant accumulates in SGs more than wild type. Indeed the DAIH mutant localized in SGs (Fig. 8D) to a greater extent than the wild type, increasing the number of transfected cells with EGFP-positive SGs from 81 to 100%. Unlike DAIH full length and DAIH(50–1008), DAIH(105–100) was not detected in SGs. Therefore, RHAU recruitment to SGs is independent of its ATPase activity.

Having established that both RHAU wild type and the DAIH mutant accumulate in SGs, we used the FRAP method to examine whether the dynamics of shutting into and out of SGs differs between the two proteins. Cells were transfected with EGFP-WT, EGFP-DAIH, EGFP-G3BP, or EGFP-FMRP, and...
the FRAP analyses were performed 48 h after transfection. It has been shown that TIA-1 and G3BP recover rapidly and completely in SGs within 30 s after bleaching (6, 13). In contrast, PABP-1, another marker for mRNA shuttling, showed only 60% fluorescence recovery after 30 s (3, 6). In our study, we used EGFP-G3BP as a control for the rapid fluorescence recovery (Fig. 9, A and B). Although FMRP has never been tested by FRAP analysis, we included this protein as a control as it is known to localize in SGs (43). As shown in Fig. 9A, the fluorescence signal of G3BP recovered rapidly and completely within 10 s as reported previously (6), but the FMRP signal did not recover fully within 60 s, suggesting that FMRP is a scaffold SG component. In this analysis, the wild type and DAIH signals showed different recovery patterns. The former displayed rapid recovery (≈90%) of fluorescence after 8 s similar to G3BP with the same recovery after 11 s. However, the recovery of the EGFP-DAIH mutant fluorescent signal was much slower with only 60% of fluorescence recovery after 30 s of bleaching similar to PABP-1. The recovery rate reflects the strength of binding whereby slower recoveries correspond to tighter binding. Thus, in comparison with the wild type, the ATPase-deficient mutant, which cannot release RNA because of its inability to hydrolyze ATP, is retained longer in SGs, resulting in slower recovery kinetics. Combining these results, we conclude that the ATPase activity of RHAU is required for its active shuttling into and out of SGs and may also be important for remodeling and/or recruitment of RNPs in SGs.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we have shown that the DEAH box helicase RHAU is a novel SG-associated protein that is recruited to SGs via RNA. Its N terminus is necessary and sufficient for RHAU localization in SGs and simultaneously for its binding to RNA. Bioinformatics analysis of the RHAU peptide sequence corroborated the experimental data, revealing that the N terminus of RHAU harbors a unique RNA-binding domain consisting of two abutting motifs: the G-rich region and the RSM. We have also shown that ATPase activity is involved in RHAU association with RNA and markedly influences the kinetics of RHAU recovery in SGs after photobleaching.

The list of proteins identified as components of SGs, co-localizing with known SG-associated proteins such as TIA-1, is still expanding. However, a method for isolating SGs to a significantly pure level has not yet been established, biochemical analysis of SGs is very difficult, and thus there is no consensus on their detailed structure and molecular composition. Furthermore, it has not been systematically examined whether SGs induced by different stresses are qualitatively different. The presence of qualitatively different SGs is suggested by the fact that the recruitment of TTP to SGs is induced by carbonyl cyanide m-fluorophenylhydrazone but not by arsenite, whereas TIA-1 is recruited to SGs by both stimuli (39). Our results clearly demonstrate that RHAU is one of the SG-associated proteins and that its recruitment to SGs does not depend on the type of stress. Thus, RHAU appears to be a general SG component like TIA-1 or eIF3b. Although RHAU is recruited to SGs, it does not participate actively in SG formation as RNA interference-mediated down-regulation of RHAU had no effect on arsenite-induced SG formation (supplemental data). Similarly a further SG-associated protein, ZBP1, did not induce or inhibit SG formation when overexpressed or knocked down, respectively (7). Although ZBP1 does not modulate SG formation, its significance for SGs is attributed to its function in mRNA turnover regulation. Interestingly the stability of ZBP1 target mRNAs is not regulated by their recruitment to SGs but by their retention in SGs to prevent their rapid translocation and subsequent decay in processing bodies or by the exosome (7). Knowing that RHAU is a **cis**-acting factor involved in ARE-mediated decay of uPA mRNA and that its association with SG is regulated by RNA interaction, we speculate that RHAU acts in SGs in a manner similar to ZBP1.

Given that several RNA-binding proteins such as FMRP, TIA-1, G3BP, ZBP1, or Caprin-1 are mostly targeted to SGs via mRNA (7, 41, 43, 44), we performed CLIP, nitrocellulose filter binding assays, and bioinformatics analyses to elucidate whether the N terminus of RHAU, which is necessary and sufficient for RHAU accumulation in SGs, physically interacts with RNA. The analyses revealed that RHAU does associate with RNA both in vitro and in vivo and that only the N-terminal 105 amino acids harbor significant RNA binding activity. In addition, this region of RHAU contains the computationally predicted RNA-binding domain (3–75 aa) composed of a unique, previously unidentified RNA-binding motif. The domain is located in an unstructured and flexible sequence composed of two adjacent motifs, the G-rich region (10–50 aa) and the RSM (54–66 aa). The latter is highly conserved among RHAU orthologs, but it was not found in other proteins, suggesting that this motif confers a unique function on RHAU. Interestingly a potential RGG box motif (47–49 aa), which has been reported previously to be involved in the RNA interaction of several proteins, is present in the G-rich region of RHAU. For example, two RGG box sequences identified at the C terminus of the FMRP protein were shown to be necessary for an RNA and G-quadruplex interaction of FMRP and also for the induction of SG formation by EGFP-FMRP overexpression (42, 43). Moreover Caprin-1, an SG-associated protein, contains three RGG box motifs in its C terminus that are also important for its specific RNA interaction and SG induction (41). Our analyses of the RHAU N terminus shows that the deletion of the G-rich region together with the RGG box motif reduces RNA binding activity of RHAU and its accumulation in SGs (42%) suggesting that the RGG box motif may be involved in the RNA interaction and RHAU localization in SGs. However, the G-rich region with the RGG box motif alone was not sufficient for the recruitment of a fused β-galactosidase reporter protein to SGs (see Fig. 7B). Only the complete RNA-binding domain enables RHAU localization in SGs and RNA interaction, indicating that a domain other than the G-rich region takes part in RHAU recruitment to SGs. The detailed nature of the cooperation and the underlying mechanism between the G-rich region and the RSM remain interesting questions for the future.

According to the classification of putative RNA helicases by Abdelhaleem et al. (20), RHAU belongs to the DEAH box family containing a highly conserved C terminus next to the conserved helicase core region. Studies of DEAH proteins in yeast have
shown that the specific function of each member is determined mainly by its N terminus and that helicase and ATPase activities are essential for their function during splicing. For example, Prp16, the ortholog of mammalian DHX38, localizes in the nucleus and recognizes the spliceosome through its N terminus (25). Its C terminus enhances spliceosome binding, whereas the helicase core region drives the process by binding and hydrolyzing ATP. Similarly Prp22 (DHX8), another splicing factor, requires the N-terminal domain for its ATPase and helicase function (24, 45). Our results show that the RHAU helicase follows the same principle because its specific localization in SGs and RNA interaction is governed by its N-terminal domain where the RNA-binding domain is located.

Importantly CLIP analysis confirmed that RHAU is an RNA-binding protein, which was suggested by our previous work when RHAU was first identified as an ARE-associated protein (26) but was not formally tested. Although we do not have direct evidence that RHAU-associated RNAs are mRNAs, the correlation between RHAU RNA binding activity and RHAU accumulation in SGs, which are aggregates of protein-mRNA complexes, strongly suggests this to be the case. Furthermore results showing that the abrogation of RHAU ATPase activity increases the amount of associated RNA (see Fig. 8A) are in good agreement with the accepted model of the closed and open conformation of DEX(H/D) box helicases. The structural and fluorescence resonance energy transfer information of complete DEAD box helicases has shown that the cooperative binding of ATP and RNA induces a closed conformation of helicases that is relaxed upon ATP hydrolysis, leading to the release of bound RNA (46–49). A similar effect has been reported for the Prp22 splicing factor that releases bound RNA upon ATP hydrolysis, thereby reducing its apparent RNA binding affinity (34). In this context, it is noteworthy that the ATPase-deficient mutant is excluded from the nucleus despite its strong RNA interaction indicating that it may associate mostly with mRNA and that the presence of RHAU in the nucleus is not essential for its localization to SGs. The nuclear exclusion of the ATPase-deficient mutant DAIH is not a consequence of it being trapped in the cytoplasm by a strong, irreversible interaction with RNA as we proposed previously (30). The N terminus-deleted ATPase-deficient mutant DAIH (105–1008), which showed markedly reduced RNA interaction and localization in SGs, remained mainly in the cytoplasm (Fig. 8D). Therefore, the nuclear-cytoplasmic translocation of RHAU is most probably regulated by its ATPase activity. A similar role for ATPase activity in nuclear export via the CRM1 protein was reported previously for the DEAD box helicase An3 (27).

Many SG-associated proteins are RNA-binding proteins involved in different intracellular processes mediated by or acting upon mRNA. Several of these proteins, including TIA-1, TTP, heterogeneous nuclear RNP A1, PCBP-2, MLN51, and G3BP (6, 9, 11–13), have been shown by FRAP analysis to rapidly shuttle into and out of SGs. This suggests that SGs are not static storage centers for untranscribed mRNA but rather dynamic structures that sort individual transcripts for storage, reinitiation, or decay (4, 10). FRAP analysis also revealed that proteins behave with differing kinetics. For instance the PABP-1 protein exhibits a slower and only partial recovery (60%) 30 s after bleaching compared with TIA-1 (6, 11, 13). Like G3BP, wild-type RHAU shows very rapid shuttling into and out of SGs. In striking contrast, the kinetics of the ATPase-deficient mutant were different from RHAU and G3BP: green fluorescent protein signal recovery in SGs was as slow as for PABP-1. Thus, ATP hydrolysis affects the shuttling kinetics of RHAU into SGs. Furthermore our analysis showed that the FMRP protein is not a member of the group of rapidly shuttling RNA-binding proteins. Rather it exhibits slow shuttling like the Fas-activated serine/threonine kinase protein and, thus, may play a scaffolding role in SGs (6). Although RHAU exhibits the rapid mobility of G3BP, TIA-1, and TTP, it may be involved in RNP remodeling or their recruitment to SGs as suggested for TIA-1 and TTP (13).

With the detection of RHAU in SGs, we are the first to show the association of a DEAH box RNA helicase with SGs. Our FRAP analysis shows the striking difference in SG shuttling kinetics between fully active RHAU protein and its ATPase-deficient mutant, tendering the hypothesis that ATPase activity takes part in the dynamic remodeling of RNP in SGs. Furthermore the sorting and remodeling of RNPs in SGs presumably requires energy, which may be provided by RNA helicases that utilize energy from ATP hydrolysis to rearrange inter- or intramolecular RNA structures or to dissociate protein-RNA complexes. To our knowledge, three DEAD box RNA helicases, rck/p54 (DDX6), DDX3, and eIF4A, have been shown to localize in SGs (32, 50, 51). Considering that various remodeling processes take place in these foci, it will be no surprise if the number of RNA helicases found associated with SGs is revealed to be much higher.
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