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Abstract
This study investigates the influence of librarians’ emotional intelligence on conflict management in private university libraries in South-West and South-South, Nigeria. The study adopted a survey research design. The population comprises 200 librarians in South-West and South-South, Nigeria. Total enumeration technique was used. A questionnaire was used for data collection. The instrument was tested for reliability, yielding Cronbach’s alpha coefficients ranging from 0.87 to 0.95. Descriptive & inferential Statistics were used to analyse the data. The findings revealed that intrapersonal, interpersonal, intragroup, and intergroup conflicts as predominant in libraries. Collaborating, accommodating, sharing, avoidance and competing were prevalent techniques for managing conflicts in libraries. Librarians were found to be highly emotionally intelligent. The study concluded that librarians’ emotional intelligence influenced conflict management. The study recommended that there is a need for private University Libraries to tackle misunderstandings among librarians while at the same time ensuring librarians are constantly emotionally intelligent.
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Introduction

Conflict is defined as a dispute between parties or a scenario in which one party views another party as damaging its interests (Barmao, 2013), and it is increasingly seen as a two-edged sword (Carter & Phillips, 2017). Conflicts in many organisations can be caused by various factors, including but not limited to structural factors such as employee specialisation, scarcity of resources, abuse of authority, and differing people’s roles and expectations (Barmao, 2013). In addition, different perceptions, opinions, personalities, communication styles, values, backgrounds, interests, wants, needs, preferences, or goals, differing values, lack of trust and understanding, personality conflicts, hostility, opposing interests, poor communication, personal problems, and frustration are also causes of conflict (Kazimoto, 2013). Conflicts are unavoidable, and if they go unresolved, the repercussions may be damaging to librarians’ performance and well-being, as well as the services provided by libraries. As a result, conflict management has become essential.

Conflict management is the process of preventing negative aspects of conflict while increasing positive aspects of conflict in a group or organisation. It aims to improve learning and group outcomes, which may include, but are not limited to, an organisation’s efficacy or performance. Successful conflict management gives librarians the potential to improve decision-making, enhance innovation and library services, and create trust among librarians. As a result, correctly addressing conflicts would provide tremendous value to the library.

There are five types of conflict management techniques: integrating, compromising, avoiding, dominating, and obliging (Rahim, 2002). According to the contingency perspective of conflict, whether a conflict is productive or destructive is determined by the conflict management techniques used (Chen, Zhao, Liu, & Wu, 2012). Furthermore, the manner in which individuals handle or manage conflict, rather than the incidence of conflict, significantly impacts whether a conflict is functional or dysfunctional (Chen, Liu, & Tjosvold, 2005). Because of the necessity of successfully managing conflict, it has been concluded that knowing what impacts conflict is critical to building a synergy between the conflicting parties (Tuguz, Samra, & Almallah, 2015).

Conflicts are not new phenomena in libraries; they are a part of what libraries regularly encounter due to the highly volatile and varied library environments. This frequently affects the services of libraries. As a result, librarians’ capacity must be prepared with the tools to deal with variations in methods, perspectives, and frames of reference. One such tool that researchers routinely examine is emotional intelligence. This is because emotions are no longer regarded as separate entities that must be suppressed. Instead, they must be identified, managed, and incorporated into each individual’s life in order for the individual to grow and fulfil their goals (Ashkanasy & Dorris, 2017). Emotional intelligence (EI) emerged as an important psychological term different from general intelligence in the 1990s (O’Connor, Hill, Kaya, & Martin, 2019). Goleman, Boyatzis and McKee later expanded the notion of emotional intelligence to include four domains: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, and relationship management (Goleman, Boyatzis, & McKee, 2002). Furthermore, emotional intelligence is characterised as a collection of emotional and social competencies, as well as knowledge of other mental abilities. This concept was proposed by John Mayer, who emphasised humans’ ability to manage their feelings and those of others, accept the perspectives of others, and govern social ties and feedback (Mafi & Asefzade, 2014). Emotional intelligence aids a person to understand and manage their emotions, as well as use this knowledge to influence their thoughts and behaviour while engaging with others in the organisation and society as a whole. Emotionally intelligent people are better capable of managing themselves; thus, they are more likely to avoid engaging in conflict that might hurt their organisations (Ugwu, Enwereuzor, Fimber, & Ugwu, 2017). According to Shaukat et al. (2017), a conflict between people results in resource loss. Chen et al. (2019) noted that individuals with greater degrees of emotional intelligence could better
interpret, control, and utilise emotional information than those with lower levels. As a result, individuals’ inclination to participate in conflict management in the face of stressful situations such as workplace disputes will vary (Ma & Liu, 2019). Librarians will be able to regulate their moods, emotions, and feelings if they understand their feelings. This will prevent them from going to extremes and may even affect their performance. It will also allow them to build empathy since when they understand the moods and feelings of others, they will be in a better position to work with them and prevent conflict. It is a well-known truth that librarians regularly interact with many types of library users with a wide range of moods and emotions. As a result, it is anticipated that every librarian will have some emotional intelligence capabilities that will allow them to address the library users’ information demands appropriately.

To survive and compete effectively in today’s volatile environment, organisations such as university libraries require librarians to be proactive, demonstrate initiative while performing their duties, and remain devoted to performing to high standards (Bakker & Leiter, 2010). The work of librarians is one of service delivery, and daily, librarians give services to people of all backgrounds, cultures, feelings/emotions, talents, and personalities. Based on this, librarians must understand how to regulate emotions and provide excellent services to the “amazing” library users. While research on senior leadership teams provided a solid framework for further investigation of emotional intelligence in teams, library researchers and practitioners have yet to fully exploit this potential (Gola & Martin, 2020). Similarly, information is scarce in the library literature about successful ways for developing emotional intelligence capabilities in the workplace. With the tremendous amount of diversity and cross-departmental work that exists in academic libraries today, it appears that there is a need to examine emotional intelligence as a means of conflict management. Despite the librarian’s reputation for being amiable and willing to help their patron’s quest for knowledge, disagreement frequently arises at university libraries. Often, librarians have diverse ways of resolving the disagreement. This makes it difficult for the library management to come up with a practical solution. As a result, the library administration is confronted with conflict management in order to resolve the problems that are impacting the proper operations of the library. Sometimes the library management strives to empathise with the demands of staff from various backgrounds that appear to be incomprehensible. Administrations will sometimes remain as neutral as possible in order to prevent controversy. Coping with and dealing with the various types of conflict situations is difficult. This is frequently due to differences in perceptions, opinions, personalities, communication styles, values, backgrounds, interests, wants, needs, preferences, and values among those affected by the conflict. As a result, a new approach to conflict management is required, which will be instrumental in tackling differing perspectives in conflict management. One such approach is emotional intelligence. Emotional intelligence has been presented as having the ability to bridge divides between people who have different beliefs. As a result, emotionally intelligent librarians may better handle disagreements. Given the above, this study seeks to investigate the influence of librarians’ emotional intelligence on conflict management in private university libraries in South-West and South-South, Nigeria.

Objective of the study

The study’s general objective is to investigate the influence of emotional intelligence on conflict management in private university libraries in South-West and South-South, Nigeria. This will be achieved under the following specific objectives, which are to:

1. examine the types of conflict that exist in the private university libraries in South-West and South-South Nigeria;
2. ascertain the techniques of managing conflict by librarians in private university libraries in South-West and South-South, Nigeria;
3. ascertain the emotional intelligence of librarians in private university libraries in South-West and South-South, Nigeria.

**Hypothesis**

In order to achieve the objective of the study, the following null hypothesis was tested at 0.05 significant levels.

1. Librarians’ emotional intelligence has no significant influence on conflict management in South-West and South-South, Nigeria.

**Methodology**

The study adopted a survey research design. The population for this study consist of all the librarians in 39 private universities in the South-West and South-South, Nigeria. This constitutes a total of 200 librarians. The population was totally enumerated because the population of the study is not too large. The research instrument used for this study is a structured questionnaire; it afforded the respondent some degree of privacy and enough time to reflect on the questions before responding. The questionnaire on conflict is self-developed, while emotional intelligence is adapted from the emotional intelligence scale (EIS) by Schutte et al.(1998). To guarantee that the instrument is consistently measuring what needs to be measured, it was submitted to reliability analysis to assess the questionnaire’s reliability. A pretest was conducted on two private universities, Landmark University Omu-Aran (12) librarians and Al-Hikmah university Ilorin (8 librarians), which are not part of the study population. A total of 23 copies of the questionnaire are administered through a research assistant. Among the copies administered, only 20 copies are correctly completed and find useful. The reliability analysis for the questionnaire is displayed in table 1.

**Table 1:** Reliability statistics values of the major scales in the instrument

| S/N | Variables                                      | Numbers of Items | Cronbach’s Alpha |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1   | Types of conflict in university libraries (ICL) | 16               | 0.82             |
| 3   | Techniques of Handling Conflict Scale (THCS)   | 20               | 0.87             |
| 5   | Emotional Intelligence Scale (EIS)             | 20               | 0.95             |

The questionnaire was administered to librarians in private universities in South-West and South-South Nigeria. Data were coded and analysed using the statistical package for the social scientists (SPSS) software to develop descriptive and inferential statistics. Inferential statistics such as frequency, percentage counts, and mean scores were used for data analysis. In addition, simple regression was used for the hypothesis.

**Presentation of results**

The questionnaire was circulated among 200 librarians. A total of 163 filled-in questionnaires was collected, showing a response rate of 81.5%. Therefore, the completely 163 copies of the questionnaire retrieved were used in the analysis of this study.
Table 2: Demographic information of respondents

| Demographics Information | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|
| **Gender**               |           |            |
| Male                     | 75        | 46.0       |
| Female                   | 88        | 54.0       |
| **Total**                | 163       | 100.0      |
| **Age Group**            |           |            |
| 20-30 years              | 19        | 11.7       |
| 31-40 years              | 53        | 32.5       |
| 41-50 years              | 45        | 27.6       |
| 51 and above             | 40        | 24.5       |
| No Response              | 6         | 3.7        |
| **Total**                | 163       | 100        |
| **Rank**                 |           |            |
| Library Officer          | 29        | 17.8       |
| Assistant Librarian      | 32        | 19.6       |
| Librarian II             | 24        | 14.7       |
| Librarian I              | 35        | 21.5       |
| Senior Librarian         | 20        | 12.3       |
| Principal Librarian      | 8         | 4.9        |
| Deputy University Librarian | 3   | 1.8        |
| University Librarian     | 9         | 5.5        |
| No Response              | 3         | 1.8        |
| **Total**                | 163       | 100        |
| **Academic Qualification** |          |            |
| BLS/BSc                  | 50        | 30.7       |
| MLS/MLIS/MIRM            | 87        | 53.4       |
| PhD                      | 23        | 14.1       |
| No Response              | 3         | 1.8        |
| **Total**                | 163       | 100.0      |
Findings in Table 2 demonstrate that most of the respondents were female (54.0%) within the age bracket of 31-40 (32.5%). Most are masters degree holders (53.4%) within the rank of Lecturer 1 (21.5%).

| TYPES OF CONFLICT          | Mean | S. D. |
|----------------------------|------|-------|
| **INTRAPERSONAL CONFLICT** |      |       |
| Conflict can arise due to lack of planning with oneself | 3.21 | .700  |
| I normally have issues to resolve within myself | 2.72 | .905  |
| Ordering around by supervisors causes conflict within oneself | 2.69 | .879  |
| Too much responsibilities used to give me concern on how to solve them | 2.54 | .891  |
| Grand Mean | **2.79** | |
| **INTERPERSONAL CONFLICT** |      |       |
| Sometimes conflict arises between two people due to misunderstanding each other | 3.48 | .581  |
| Individuals in the same level experience conflict among themselves | 3.27 | .658  |
| Conflicts arise between people of different levels | 3.21 | .671  |
| Conflicts arise between two people as a result of working together | 3.04 | .793  |
| Grand Mean | **3.25** | |
| **INTRAGROUP CONFLICT** |      |       |
| Conflicts arise among members of two groups | 3.06 | .678  |
| Conflicts arise among members of a group | 3.05 | .710  |
| Conflict can sometimes arise on members of a subgroup within a group | 3.04 | .637  |
| Conflict arises among members of a group upon sharing resources | 3.04 | .793  |
| Grand Mean | **3.05** | |
| **INTERGROUP CONFLICT** |      |       |
| In the library, conflict can arise from different departments over-discharging their duties | 3.18 | .641  |
| Conflict can arise between heads of different departments because of shift duty | 3.15 | .687  |
| conflict can arise from different departments because of differences in perception | 3.15 | .660  |
| Conflict can arise between librarian and lecturers | 3.09 | .665  |
| Grand Mean | **3.14** | |

The result presented in Table 3 shows that the types of conflict that exist in academic libraries are intrapersonal (mean=2.75), interpersonal (mean=3.25), intragroup (3.05), and intergroup
However, interpersonal conflict was found to be the most prevalent, with a mean score of 3.25. Among the intrapersonal conflict among librarians, conflict arising due to lack of planning with oneself ranked highest (mean=3.21), which is followed by those with issues to resolve within themselves (mean=2.72), then being ordered around by supervisors (mean=2.69). The least cause of intrapersonal conflicts is too many responsibilities (mean=2.54). Regarding interpersonal conflicts among librarians, table 3 reveals misunderstanding between colleagues in the library ranked highest (mean=3.48), followed by individuals in the same level experiencing conflict among themselves (mean=3.27); then conflict arising between people of different levels (mean=3.21), while conflict arising between two people as a result of working together was the least (mean=3.04). Concerning intragroup conflict in libraries, the result shows a close tie for each factor. Conflict arising among members of two groups in the library was ranked highest (mean=3.06); followed closely by conflicts arising among members of a group in the library (mean=3.05), then conflict sometimes arising on members of subgroup in the library and conflict arising among members of a group upon sharing resources in the library both had a mean score of 3.04. Regarding intergroup conflicts, conflict arising from different departments over-discharging their duties was ranked the highest (mean=3.18), followed by conflict arising between heads of departments because of shift duty and conflicts arising from the different departments because of difference in perception, with both having a mean score of (mean=3.15), while conflict arising between library and lecturers was found to be the least (mean=3.09)

Table 4: Techniques of Managing Conflict in the Library

| Techniques of managing conflict | Mean | S.D |
|---------------------------------|------|-----|
| **COMPETING**                   |      |     |
| Whenever it comes to defend myself, I am firm in the library | 2.93 | .733 |
| I like to uphold my solutions to problems in the library | 2.71 | .829 |
| I like to use my influence to win whenever there is conflict in the library | 2.55 | .924 |
| I have a high concern for myself; therefore, I like to win in the library | 2.47 | .772 |
| **Grand Mean**                  | 2.67 |     |
| **COLLABORATING**               |      |     |
| I am a decision-maker, but I make a point of listening to others to find the best solution possible in the library | 3.47 | .591 |
| I explore issues with my colleagues to find solutions that meet everyone’s needs in the library | 3.44 | .629 |
| When a problem emerges, I am typically willing to shift my priorities in order to find a solution. | 3.38 | .535 |
| During conflict, I accept the recommendation of my colleagues in the library | 3.28 | .549 |
| **Grand Mean**                  | 3.39 |     |
| **ACCOMMODATING**              |      |     |
| When someone else feels they have an excellent proposal, I collaborate and aid them | 3.44 | .497 |
| I try to adjust my priorities to accommodate other people’s needs in the library | 3.41 | .530 |
| When conflict arises in the library, I make it a point of presenting my view, and I invite others to do the same. | 3.34 | .549 |
| I think it is more important to get along than to win an argument in the library | 3.28 | .714 |
| **Grand Mean**                  | 3.37 |     |
| **SHARING**                    |      |     |
| I like things to be done in such a way everyone will be satisfied in the library | 3.26 | .644 |
| I try to negotiate and adopt a “give-and-take” approach to problem situations in my unit in the library | 3.18 | .719 |
| I try to reach compromise through negotiation in the library | 3.08 | .694 |
| I prefer to compromise when solving problems and just move on in the library | 2.73 | .854 |
| **Grand Mean**                  | 3.06 |     |
| **AVOIDANCE**                  |      |     |
I sometimes avoid taking positions that would create controversy in the library &nbs
Differences of opinion are not always worth worrying about, so I usually avoid them in the library &nbs
I usually try to avoid trouble by keeping quiet in the library &nbs
I avoid hard feelings by keeping my disagreements with others to myself in the library &nbs
Grand Mean

Results showed that collaborating (mean=3.39) was the most prevalent technique for managing conflicts. This is followed closely by accommodating (mean=3.37), then by sharing (mean=3.06) and avoidance (mean=2.73). The least prevalent technique for managing conflict is competing (mean=2.67). In terms of individual techniques under each category, listening to others to find the best solution possible in the library had the highest mean score of 3.47. This is followed closely by exploring issues with colleagues to find solutions that meet everyone's needs, cooperate with those with good proposals, and aiding them, both having a mean score of 3.44. Furthermore, having a high concern for oneself (mean=2.47) was not a technique for managing conflicts in the library.

Table 5: Emotional Intelligence of Librarians

| Emotional intelligence of librarians | Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Mean | S.D |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------|----------|------------------|------|-----|
| SELF-AWARENESS                      |                |       |          |                  |      |     |
| I am capable of withstanding pressure in my library | 56 (34.4) | 101 (62.0) | 6 (3.7) | -                | 3.31 | .537 |
| When I am faced with an obstacle; I remember the time I face a similar obstacle and overcome them in my library | 55 (33.7) | 100 (61.3) | 7 (4.3) | 1 (.6)       | 3.28 | .572 |
| Major events in my life have led me to know what is important and what is not important in my library | 50 (30.7) | 105 (64.4) | 8 (4.9) | -                | 3.26 | .540 |
| I am aware of my emotions as I experience them in my library | 50 (30.7) | 101 (62.0) | 11 (6.7) | 1 (.6)       | 3.23 | .591 |
| I perceive fresh opportunities as my mood changes | 30 (18.4) | 113 (69.3) | 19 (11.7) | 1 (.6)       | 3.06 | .569 |
| Grand Mean                           |                |       |          |                  | 3.23 |     |
| SOCIAL AWARENESS                    |                |       |          |                  |      |     |
| I congratulate others on doing something good | 70 (42.9) | 92 (56.4) | 1 (.6) | -                | 3.42 | .508 |
| I always try to inspire confidence in others in my library | 61 (37.4) | 100 (61.3) | 2 (1.2) | -                | 3.36 | .507 |
| I am optimistic and encouraged by the bright side of life in my library | 47 (28.8) | 110 (67.5) | 5 (3.1) | 1 (.6)       | 3.25 | .534 |
| I am able to identify my emotions as they occur to me | 39 (23.9) | 111 (68.1) | 12 (7.4) | 1 (.6)       | 3.15 | .562 |
Table 5 describes the emotional intelligence of librarians in university libraries in south-south and south-west Nigeria. It was found out that librarians were emotionally intelligent. Furthermore, they were found to portray a high level of relationship management with a mean score of 3.35, followed by social awareness (mean=3.26), then self-awareness (mean=3.23). Although self-management was the least among the emotional intelligence indicators studied, librarians were found to have a good level of self-management with a mean score of 3.02.

Under self-awareness as an indicator for emotional intelligence, librarians were highly capable of withstanding pressure in the library (mean=3.31); likewise, when faced with obstacles, they remember the time they faced similar obstacles and overcome obstacles in the library (mean=3.28). In the same vein, librarians agreed that major events in their life had led them to know what is important and what
is not important in the library (mean=3.26). They were also aware of their emotions as they experience them in my library (mean=3.23). Finally, they perceive fresh opportunities as their mood changes (mean=3.23).

The next indicator of emotional intelligence is social awareness. The result shows that librarians congratulate others on doing something good (mean=3.42). Furthermore, they always inspire confidence in others in their library (mean=3.36); they are optimistic and encourage by the bright side of life in the library (mean=3.25). In addition, they are able to identify their emotions as they occur to them. (mean=3.15), and they enjoy sharing their feelings with people (mean=3.12).

The result in table 5 equally shows the responses for self-management items for emotional intelligence. The result shows that librarians portray themselves in a way that produces a favourable impression on people (mean=3.36); when they experience positive emotions, they know how to make them last in the library (mean=3.31). They control their emotions (mean=3.28) and are unlikely to give away during crisis or adversity in the library (mean=2.91). However, the item “they do not easily give up when challenges arise in the library” had a mean score of 2.24, which indicates that librarians are likely to give up when challenges arise in the library.

Relationship management is an indicator in the emotional intelligence scale, as seen in table 6. The result shows that librarians are cheerful and approachable in the library (mean=3.55). Moreover, they try to understand individual and group differences in their library (mean=3.47). In the same vein, the result shows that they handle people at work with diplomacy in the library (mean=3.39). In addition, they are aware of non-verbal messages other people send in the library (mean=3.18) and are aware of the non-verbal messages they send to others in the library (mean=3.15).

Table 6: Influence of emotional intelligence on conflict management

| Model       | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F     | Sig.  |
|-------------|----------------|----|-------------|-------|-------|
| Regression  | 2639.878       | 1  | 2639.878    | 79.782| .000a |
| Residual    | 5327.287       | 161| 33.089      |       |       |
| Total       | 7967.166       | 162|             |       |       |

R = .576  
R\(^2\) = .331  
Adj. R\(^2\) = .327

The result in table 6 reveals that there is a significant influence of librarians’ emotional intelligence on conflict management \[F (1, 161) = 79.410; R = .576, R^2 = .331, Adj. R^2 = .327, P < .05\]. Table 6 shows the result of simple regression carried out on librarians’ emotional intelligence on conflict management. The R, i.e. the correlation coefficient, is 0.576, which indicates a moderate but positive relationship between librarians’ emotional intelligence on conflict management. Therefore, the strength of the relationship between the dependent and independent variable is 57.6%.

The R square of 0.331 shows that by 33.1% the effect librarians’ emotional intelligence on conflict management. Simply put, one can say that there were other predictors (factors) that influence conflict management. However, librarians’ emotional intelligence accounts for 33.1% effect on conflict management. P (.000) value is lesser than 0.05 significant level. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected, while the alternate hypothesis is accepted. Which state that librarians’ emotional intelligence has no significant influence on conflict management in South-West and South-South, Nigeria.
Discussion
The study’s findings indicate that several sorts of conflicts exist in the library. This is reinforced by Danielsson et al. (2015), who stated that among thousands of participants in their research over the last two years, 84 percent had reported being in some form of conflict scenario with their coworkers, with around 50 percent experiencing it weekly. Furthermore, interpersonal conflict was found to be the most prevalent. This corroborates Tremmel Sonnentag and Casper (2019) findings, who discovered that interpersonal conflict is common in the workplace. This was also supported by Yang, Trincado and Labianca (2019), who found interpersonal conflict as a prevalent issue among workers. Results showed that collaborating was the most prevalent technique for managing conflicts. This is followed closely by accommodating, then by sharing, avoidance and competing. This partially agrees with the findings of Mallappa and Kumar (2015), which emphasises the need for techniques to manage conflicts in the library, such as negotiation and convincing. Similarly, Krautter (2014) also advocated conflicts management techniques in a paper titled “Advocating for the devil: Transforming conflict in libraries”.

The result revealed a high level of emotional intelligence among librarians working in private university libraries. These findings imply that librarians in the private university libraries in South-West and South-South Nigeria are emotionnally intelligent and possess the abilities indicated in this study for each component of emotional intelligence. It demonstrates that these librarians understand their feelings as well as the feelings of library users. Furthermore, it indicates that these librarians recognise the need for high emotional intelligence in conflict resolution. This supports the findings of Khan and Ullah (2014), who discovered that librarians had a high degree of emotional intelligence. Each dimension of emotional intelligence, such as relationship management, social awareness, self-awareness, and self-management, was found to be high among librarians. This partially corroborates the findings of Henry et al.(2018), who found empathy, self-awareness, self-motivation, self-management, and social skills to be the emotional intelligence strength of librarians.

The study revealed that emotional intelligence significantly influences the conflict management of librarians. This is consistent with earlier research indicating that high emotional intelligence is required for library leaders and managers (Crumpston, 2015). This is corroborated by Somera (2019), who found that the level of emotional intelligence significantly affected the level of conflict resolution of academic workers. Likewise, Bibi, Karim, and Din (2013) found emotional intelligence to reduce the impact of “abuse, production deviance, sabotage, theft, and withdrawal” among academic faculty.

Conclusion
The study concludes by noting that emotional intelligence significantly influences conflict management of librarians in South-West and South-South, Nigeria. The significance of emotional intelligence cannot be overstated since it is characterised as a collection of emotional and social competencies and knowledge of other mental abilities. As found in the study, these abilities were discovered to be on a high level among librarians. They are essential in dealing with the various conflicts in highly volatile and varied library environments. Although competing was found to be the least prevalent technique in managing conflicts among the respondents, collaborating was found to be the most prevalent technique for managing conflicts in university libraries. The findings also showed the importance of accommodating, sharing, and avoidance in dealing with conflicts in private university libraries in South-West and South-South Nigeria. The study suggests that the management of private university libraries tackle misunderstandings among librarians. This will reduce the occurrence of conflicts in the library. Library management must also ensure that they provide training for librarians on conflict management. This training should be directed towards sustaining the high level of emotional intelligence of librarians.
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