The Comparison of Spectrophotometric Method and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography in Photosynthetic Pigments Analysis
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Abstract: Problem statement: Spectrophotometric method and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) are the most useful tools to photosynthetic pigments analysis. The main objective of this research was to compare the results obtained by these two independent methods in experiments under controlled laboratory conditions. Approach: Comparison between spectrophotometric and HPLC methods for pigment analysis was carried out on Baltic cyanobacterium Geitlerinema amphibium, which is distinguished by photoacclimation capacity and wide range of changes in pigment cell concentration depending on light and temperature conditions. Results: The degree of relationship between the methods of pigment measurement was described by correlation coefficients, which were 0.970, 0.978 and 0.997 for the results of chlorophyll a, carotenoids and carotenoids to chlorophyll a ratio, respectively. Conclusion: It was found that spectrophotometric method gave comparable results to HPLC method, especially when there were not degradation products of chlorophyll a in samples. In contrast with HPLC method it allows to determine the only total amount of carotenoid pigments. Estimation of the carotenoids to chlorophyll a ratio is useful to understand the reaction of organism to changing environmental light condition.
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INTRODUCTION

The determination of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments is universally applied in oceanography and limnology. Photosynthetic pigment analysis is useful method to estimate phytoplankton biomass and productivity. Measurement of chlorophyll concentration helps us to record the variability of phytoplankton development over time and to assess its role and position in aquatic environment. Chlorophyll a is often used as the standard basis on which to calculate photosynthetic and respiratory rate (Ritchie, 2006). Carotenoid concentrations are often used to describe the composition of phytoplankton in water and to estimate their abundance in mixed populations (Wright et al., 1991; Millie et al., 1993; Andersen et al., 1996; Stoń and Kosakowska, 2002; Buchaca et al., 2005; Llewellyn et al., 2005; Wulff et al., 2005; Schagerl and Kunzl, 2007). However, estimation of the ratio of carotenoids to chlorophyll a is useful to understand the reaction of organism to changing environmental light condition (Jodłowska and Latała, 2010).

The standard analytical methods for chlorophylls are based on spectrophotometry or fluorometry (Strickland and Parsons, 1972). The methods are very simple and useful for quick estimation the amount of pigments, but do not always cope well with the complex range of pigments and pigment degradation products found in natural samples. The absorption spectrums of chlorophyll b and c overlap with chlorophyll a spectrum. Moreover, degradation products of chlorophyll a (chlorophyllides, allomers, pheopigments) have the same maximum absorption at the value 665 nm (Meyns et al., 1994). In addition spectrophotometric method enables to estimate the only total and approximate amount of carotenoids, but not the amounts of individual carotenoids (Mantoura and Llewellyn, 1983). Currently reverse-phase High-Stoń Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) is usually applied method for photosynthetic pigments determination. Despite the method requires having expensive and sophisticated apparatus, it allows us to separate all the compounds from the mixture (Riaux-Gobin et al., 1987; Millie et al., 1993).
At present work photosynthetic pigments were determined by two methods: spectrophotometric and chromatographic with the aim of comparing the results obtained by two independent methods. Chlorophyll a and carotenoid pigments were analyzed in cyanobacterium *Geitlerinema amphibium*, which shows acclimation capacity to irradiance and a wide range of changes in photosynthetic pigment concentration (Latała and Misiewicz, 2000).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experiments were carried out on cyanobacterium *Geitlerinema amphibium* BA-13 (AG. ex GOM.) Anagnostidis and Komarek (1989). This strain was isolated from microbial mats of the costal part of Puck Bay near Władysławowo (Southern Baltic, Poland) (Latała and Misiewicz, 2000) and is maintained as unialgal culture in Culture Collection of Baltic Algae (CCBA) (http://pasat.ocean.univ.gda.pl/~ccba/) at the Institute of Oceanography, University of Gdańsk, Poland (Latała et al., 2006). The batch cultures were carried out in sterilized BG-11 medium (Stanier et al., 1971) in 300 mL glass Erlenmeyer flasks. The media were prepared from natural Baltic water with salinity of about 8 psu after filtering through GF/C Whatman filters. Microscopic observations of cyanobacteria cultures did not show bacterial contaminations.

Applying factorial experiments the following combinations of light and temperature were used:

**Light:** The incubation vessels were kept in incubators in 16:8 h L:D cycle and irradiances: 5, 65 and 125 µmol photons·m⁻²·sec⁻¹. The source of Photosynthetic Active Radiation (PAR) were fluorescent lamps, Sylvania cool white 40 W and for more intensive light-combined with halogen lamps, Sylvania 100 W. The intensity of PAR was measured using a quantum-meter Li Cor (LI-189) with a cosine collector.

**Temperature:** The influence of temperature was tested in following values: 15, 22.5 and 30°C.

The extraction of chlorophyll a and carotenoids was carried out in cold 90% acetone, in darkness, at -20°C for 4 h (Strickland and Parsons, 1972). To improve extraction, the cells were disintegrated for 2 min in an ultrasonic bath. To remove cell debris and filter particles the pigment extract was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5-10 min.

The extinction was estimated at 664, 480 and 750 nm with spectrophotometer UV-VIS 1202 Shimadzu and using 1 cm glass cuvette. The concentration of carotenoids was calculated by the formula (Strickland and Parsons, 1972): Car (µg·mL⁻¹) = 4·(E₄₈₀-E₇₅₀)·Vₑ·Vₛ·Vₕ⁻¹ while the concentration of chlorophyll a was estimated by the formula: Chla (µg·mL⁻¹) = 11.403·(A₆₆₄-A₇₅₀)·Vₑ·Vₛ·Vₕ⁻¹, derived on the basis of a factor. Designations at the formulas are: Vₑ-extract volume (mL) and Vₛ-sample volume (mL).

To analyze pigment composition a Waters liquid chromatography equipped with diode array detector was used. The absorption spectrum for each of the separated pigments was measured from 350-700 nm, but detection at 440 nm. The separation was carried out at ambient temperature, on Vydac 201TP (C18) analytical column (dimensions 250x4.6 mm) protected by a guard column. Pigments were analysed using the method of (Mantoura and Llewellyn, 1983), which was modified and adjusted to experimental material (Jodłowska and Latała, 2003).

To identification and quantification of pigments, high purity standards (myxoxanthophyll, zeaxanthine, β-carotene and chlorophyll a) were used from International Agency for ¹³C Determination VKI in Denmark. To determination of cis-β-carotene, standard culture of *Dunaliella tertiolecta* CCMP (Wright et al., 1991) was used.

The experiments were carried out in double and from each replicate two independent samples were analyzed. To determine the influence of light and temperature and their interaction on pigment concentration in *G. amphibium* cultures, factorial experiments were carried out. In the method applied the values of the independent variables occur at the same intervals and always in quadruplicate. It enables calculations by creating polynomials that are mutually orthogonal. The fitting of the polynomial by the method ksi prim is simplified by the use of tables of orthogonal polynomials (Fishier and Yates, 1963). The method makes it possible to determine the influence of the investigated factors and their interactions on pigment concentration by calculation of regression equations. The equations served to construct surface plots with the use of Surfer Program (v.8.01). Two-way analysis of variance was used to assess the main effects and their interaction.

**RESULTS**

Chromatographic analyses of pigment cell content in *G. amphibium* showed the presence of chlorophyll a...
and the following carotenoids: myxoxanthophyll, zeaxanthine, β-carotene and cis-β-carotene Table 1. The results of pigment concentration obtained by chromatographic and spectrophotometric method Table 2 were used to plot the correlation curves describing linear relationship between applied methods of pigment detection (Fig. 1). The degree of relationship was described by correlation coefficients. In case of chlorophyll a results the correlation coefficient was 0.970 (Fig. 1A) whereas for carotenoids it was 0.978 (Fig. 1B). The highest correlation coefficient was noted for the results of the ratio of carotenoids to chlorophyll a and it was 0.997 (Fig. 1C).

The factorial experiments showed that the ratio of carotenoids to chlorophyll a was influenced by PAR as well as temperature, but stronger effect was noted in the case of irradiance. It was reflected in variance analysis Table 3. On chromatographic method Table 3A, 96% of total sum of squares was accounted for by irradiation sum of squares whereas for temperature and interaction of the main factors it was only about: 5 and 2%. It was noted that the values of the ratio of carotenoids to chlorophyll a varied in direct proportion to irradiance and inverse proportion to temperature. On the basis of factorial experiments it was found that the highest values of measured parameter was in the range of irradiance of 100-120 µmol photons·m⁻²·s⁻¹ and temperature of 15-20°C (Fig. 2).

| Table 1: Spectral properties of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments of G. amphibium |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Peak number | Pigment | Retention time (min) | Absorption maxima (nm) |
| 1 | Myxoxanthophyll | 10.46 | 475.0 | 504.1 |
| 2 | Zeaxanthine | 12.83 | 453.3 | 478.7 |
| 3 | Chlorophyll a | 15.02 | 431.5 | 665.0 |
| 4 | β-carotene | 26.61 | 453.3 | 478.7 |
| 5 | Cis-β-carotene | 27.51 | 446.0 | 471.4 |

| Table 2: Mean values (standard deviation) of chlorophyll a and carotenoids |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Irradiance (µmol photons·m⁻²·sec⁻¹) | Method | Temperature (°C) |
| 5 | Spectrophotometer | 0.27 (0.040) | 0.49 (0.024) | 0.52 (0.038) |
| | HPLC | 0.29 (0.085) | 0.51 (0.055) | 0.65 (0.096) |
| 65 | Spectrophotometer | 0.02 (0.003) | 0.43 (0.028) | 0.32 (0.021) |
| | HPLC | 0.02 (0.003) | 0.50 (0.022) | 0.37 (0.011) |
| 125 | Spectrophotometer | 0.03 (0.002) | 0.38 (0.023) | 0.47 (0.037) |
| | HPLC | 0.03 (0.004) | 0.49 (0.069) | 0.55 (0.027) |

| Table 1B: Mean values (standard deviation) of chlorophyll a Concentration (µg·mL⁻¹) and the ratio of carotenoids to chlorophyll a |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Irradiance (µmol photons·m⁻²·sec⁻¹) | Method | Temperature (°C) |
| 5 | Spectrophotometer | 0.09 (0.001) | 0.18 (0.007) | 0.17 (0.007) |
| | HPLC | 0.10 (0.030) | 0.17 (0.048) | 0.18 (0.030) |
| 65 | Spectrophotometer | 0.02 (0.009) | 0.33 (0.006) | 0.24 (0.016) |
| | HPLC | 0.02 (0.001) | 0.40 (0.055) | 0.30 (0.010) |
| 125 | Spectrophotometer | 0.04 (0.001) | 0.50 (0.019) | 0.58 (0.014) |
| | HPLC | 0.05 (0.002) | 0.64 (0.051) | 0.68 (0.056) |

| Table 1C: Mean values (standard deviation) of chlorophyll a G. amphibium growing at different temperature and irradiance conditions on the basis of spectrophotometric and HPLC method |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Irradiance (µmol photons·m⁻²·sec⁻¹) | Method | Temperature (°C) |
| 5 | Spectrophotometer | 0.37 (0.006) | 0.36 (0.015) | 0.34 (0.004) |
| | HPLC | 0.36 (0.004) | 0.32 (0.003) | 0.29 (0.008) |
| 65 | Spectrophotometer | 1.14 (0.063) | 0.76 (0.016) | 0.75 (0.044) |
| | HPLC | 1.00 (0.091) | 0.80 (0.096) | 0.79 (0.098) |
| 125 | Spectrophotometer | 1.44 (0.029) | 1.29 (0.053) | 1.24 (0.058) |
| | HPLC | 1.44 (0.061) | 1.30 (0.029) | 1.24 (0.092) |
Table 3: Two-way factorial variance analysis of the ratio of carotenoids to chlorophyll \( a \) in *G. amphibium* on the basis of HPLC

| Source of variation | DF  | Ss  | Mss | F       |
|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| Irradiation        | 2   | 5.87| 2.94| 619.23* |
| Temperature        | 4   | 0.20| 0.10| 21.33*  |
| Interaction        | 27  | 0.04| 0.01| 1.98*   |
| Error              |     |     |     | 6.11    |

Table 1B: Two-way factorial variance analysis of the ratio of carotenoids to chlorophyll \( a \) in *G. amphibium* on the basis of spectrophotometric method

| Source of variation | DF  | Ss  | Mss | F       |
|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| Irradiation        | 2   | 5.52| 2.76| 15425.79* |
| Temperature        | 4   | 0.30| 0.15| 885.33*  |
| Interaction        | 27  | 0.11| 0.03| 147.35*  |
| Error              |     |     |     | 5.93    |

Fig. 1: Correlation coefficients between the results obtained by spectrophotometric and HPLC method (A) chlorophyll \( a \) (µg·mL\(^{-1}\)), (B) carotenoids (µg·mL\(^{-1}\)), (C) car/chla

Fig. 2: Response-surface estimation of carotenoids/chlorophyll \( a \) ratio in *G. amphibium* at different irradiance (µmol photons·m\(^{-2}\)·sec\(^{-1}\)) and temperature (°C) levels on the basis of (A) HPLC, (B) Spectrophotometric method

**DISCUSSION**

The results of chlorophyll and carotenoid concentration obtained by HPLC analysis were compared with the results from spectrophotometer. High values of correlation coefficients (0.970 for chlorophyll, 0.978 for carotenoid and 0.997 for car/chl) show a great concurrence of the results obtained by two independent methods. There were no observed degradation products of chlorophyll \( a \) in extracts from the cultures of *G. amphibium*, therefore the results from the spectrophotometric method were very similar to the results from HPLC. On the basis of the experiments it was found that, for the determination of pigment concentration in culture, the spectrophotometric method was very handy to use, especially if only the total sum of carotenoids was more important for us. The method...
permits us to achieve good results in very simply way, at inconsiderable financial outlays.

However, Murray et al. (1986) achieved low correlation, merely 0.54, between the results of chlorophyll a concentration obtained by HPLC and spectrophotometer in allomers-and chlorophyllides-rich field samples. Similarly, Meyns et al. (1994) associated the differences in the measurements by chromatographic and spectrophotometric methods with the degradation products of chlorophyll a in samples. The results by spectrophotometer were about 10-15% higher than by HPLC. Plante-Cuny et al. (1993) found that the presence of chlorophyllide a in deposit samples caused a rise in values of chlorophyll a concentration measured by spectrophotometer. The scientists suggested that the spectrophotometric method could be routinely used in pigments analysis provided that the amount of chlorophyllide a was not higher than 5% of the total sum of chlorophyll a and chlorophyllide a. Since there are degradation products in field samples the only reliable and recommended method to detect pigments is HPLC (Jeffrey et al., 1997). However, Louda and Monghkonrri (2004) achieved excellent results for the spectrophotometric chlorophyll a determination compared with HPLC derived data. The correlation coefficients were in the range 0.9361-0.9987. Unfortunately the correlation coefficient for the spectrophotometric determination of pheopigments (by the classic method of Lorenzen (1967) in comparison with HPLC results was poor (0.0271).

The analysis of the ratio of carotenoid pigments to chlorophyll a is useful to understand the reaction of organism to culture conditions. In many phytoplankton species growing at high irradiances it is often observed an increase in the ratio of carotenoids to chlorophyll a in their thylakoid membranes. Some carotenoid pigments, like β-carotene and zeaxanthine, can play important role in photoprotection (Richardson et al., 1983; Davison, 1991; Quesada et al., 1995; Roos and Vincent, 1998). The experiments showed an acclimation capacity of Baltic cyanobacterium G. amphibium to irradiance changes. The observed difference between the minimum values of the carotenoid ratio to chlorophyll a and the maximum ones in the range of tested factors was fourfold (Fig. 2). In another planktonic cyanobacterium, Pseudoanabaena galeata, it was found that in the range of irradiance from 0.5-100 μmol photons·m⁻²·sec⁻¹ the parameter changed merely 1.3× in the range of 1.67-2.14 (Romo, 1994). However, the ratio of carotenoids to chlorophyll a at Oscillatoria agardhii increased about 2.2× with an increase in irradiance from 50-230 μmol photons·m⁻²·sec⁻¹ (Millie et al., 1990), but at Anabaena circinalis the values of the same relation were constant in the same range of irradiance (Millie et al., 1992). (Paerl et al., 1983) suggested that high ratio of carotenoids to chlorophyll a was correlated with an effective protection against photoactivation of photosynthetic apparatus and also improved photosynthetic efficiency.

**CONCLUSION**

Spectrophotometric method can be successfully and universally applied for pigment analysis and in studies concerning photoacclimation strategies. This method enables estimation the amount of photosynthetic pigments in simple and quick way. Moreover, spectrophotometric method gives comparable results to HPLC method, especially in samples without degradation products of chlorophyll a.
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