Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is the most malignant type of leukemia, with new cases increasing every year worldwide and as well as with age (1), which is a class of hematopoietic stem cells, malignant clonal diseases derived from progenitor cells. Abnormal primitive cells and partial primitive immature cells (leukemia cells) in bone marrow (BM) proliferate in large quantities and inhibit normal hematopoiesis, resulting in BM failure and rapid progress (2).

The prognosis of current AML patients is not optimistic, and only 40% of patients under the age of 60 survive more than 5 years. Although most patients can achieve remission after initial chemotherapy, patients who relapse after complete response rarely survive more than 5 years (3,4). Chemotherapy and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) have been the most important treatment for AML...
in recent decades (5,6). Nevertheless, the drug resistance is increasingly hindering the therapeutic effect of AML patients, so that the quality of life of these patients is relatively poor. At the same time, recurrence remains the most common cause of HSCT failure in patients with AML, as a result, the prognosis for these patients remains poor (5). Moreover, there is increasing evidence that abnormal gene expression and mutated genes are involved in the carcinogenesis, progression and prognosis of AML. Therefore, there is an urgent need to find AML biomarkers and develop sensitive therapeutic drugs.

Over the past several decades, with the rapid rising of precision medicine, chip technology and bioinformatics analysis have been widely used to screen genetic changes at the genome level, which help us identify the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) associated with the carcinogenesis and progression of AML, and then find the precise sensitive chemotherapy drugs and improve patient outcomes. Consequently, in this study, in order to obtain reliable results, we downloaded two mRNA chip datasets (GSE9476 and GSE30029) from Gene Expression Omnibus ( GEO) for analysis, and obtained the DEGs between AML patients and normal BM tissues. After a series of screening and validation, 456 DEGs were identified and six hub genes (FLT3, PF4, CD163, MRC1, CSF2RB, PPBP) were obtained. And then, the relationship between drug sensitivity and gene expression of the six hub genes was analyzed in AML cell lines. The study provides preliminary evidences for search the potential sensitive therapeutic drugs for AML.

We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-2712).

Methods

Data processing for microarray data and DEGs

Two separate gene expression profiles [GSE9476 (7) and GSE30029 (8,9)] were chosen from GEO database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), and GSE9476 was based on the GPL96 platform, and GSE30029 was based on the GPL6947 platform. Then, the DEGs through GEO2R (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r/) online analysis tool in NCBI were screened (10), and identified with fold change|FC| ≥ 1, and defined P value and false discovery rate (FDR) cutoffs of <0.05. Then, the Venn diagram was made through the web tool Bioinformatics & Systems Biology (http://bioinformatics. psb.ugent.be/ webtools/ Venn/).

Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network construction and hub gene identification

The PPI map was obtained by the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) database (https://string-db.org/), an online tool allowing users uploading the data of DEGs. It can be used to analyze the PPI information and to evaluate the interactive relationships among DEGs (11). The PPI pairs were set the combined score >0.4. These pairs were extracted and visualized for the PPI network using Cytoscape (3.7.2) software (www.cytoscape.org/). In Cytoscape, module screening was performed using the Molecular Complex Detection (MCODE) (scores >3 and nodes >4), and the degree of connection was computed using the Centralities for Cytoscape (Centiscape2.2) (12). Nodes with higher degree of connection were more essential for maintaining the stability of the entire network, usually, nodes with degree of connection ≥10 were considered to be core candidate genes.

GO and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis

To evaluate the functional annotation and enrichment analysis of DEGs, the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) tools (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) were used (13,14). From the DAVID v6.8 online server, the functional annotation and enrichment analysis was performed by the Gene Ontology (GO). The biological process, molecular function, and cellular component are the three major components of gene function. KEGG collects large number of data about molecular-level information, biological pathways, chemical substances through high-throughput experimental technologies. The KEGG pathway was analyzed from the DAVID. P<0.05 and gene counts ≥10 were considered statistically significant.

Validation and screening of key genes using GEPIA2

The Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) is an online database of gene expression analysis, which based on tumor and normal samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA; http://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) and the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) (https://www.gtexportal.org/) projects. GEPIA2 is an updated and enhanced version, which extends gene expression quantification from the gene level to the transcript level.
and supports analysis of a specific cancer subtype and comparison between subtypes (15). The expression of hub genes between AML and normal BM tissues was verified by the database. Expression DIY (box plot) and survival analysis were performed. The parameters of the expression DIY (box plot) were set as follows: |log2FC| cutoff =1, P value cutoff =0.01, log scale = yes, jitter size =0.4, match TCGA normal and GTEx data. The parameters of the survival analysis were set as follows: overall survival (OS), group cutoff median, cutoff-high (%) =50, cutoff-low (%) =50, hazards ratio (HR) = yes, 95% confidence interval = yes, axis units months. According to the following screening criteria: the expression DIY (box plot) indicated that the gene was higher expressed in the AML sample than normal BM and peripheral monocytes, the survival analysis (OS) of the gene indicated a lower prognosis and must be statistically significant (P<0.05). The BloodSpot database (16) (http://servers.binf.ku.dk/bloodspot/) is a public microarray database for mRNA expression of BM and gene-centric blood cells. The correlation between the expression of key genes and the genetic characteristics of AML patients was analyzed using the BloodSpot database.

### The relationship between genes expression and drug sensitivity in GSCA Lite

GSCA Lite (http://bioinfo.life.hust.edu.cn/web/GSCALite/) is a web-based platform for Gene Set Cancer Analysis, which is dynamic analysis and visualization of gene set in expression of malignant tumor genes and drug sensitivity correlation. The Drug Sensitivity Analysis of GSCA Lite has collected 481 small molecules from Cancer Therapeutics Response Portal (CTRP) (https://portals.broadinstitute.org/ctrp/) and 265 small molecules from Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC) (https://www.cancerrxgene.org/) (17,18). Drug sensitivity and gene expression profiling data of cancer cell lines in GDSC and CTRP are integrated for investigation (19). The expression of each gene in the gene set is performed by Spearman correlation analysis with the small molecule/drug sensitivity (IC50). The Spearman correlation represents the gene expression correlates with the drug. The positive correlation means that the gene high expression is resistant to the drug, vise versa.

### Statistical analysis

The results of this study were downloaded from the database according to the optimal statistical method selected by the database itself. Original chip data were downloaded from GEO databases for statistical analysis using unpaired sample t-test. The results were expressed as mean ± labeled standard deviation, and P<0.05 indicated that the results were statistically significant.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

### Results

#### Identification of DEGs based on clinical samples of GEO in AML

In our study, we selected two datasets: GSE9476 and GSE30029. GSE9476 contained 26 samples of AML patients and 20 samples of healthy volunteers, while GSE30029 contained 90 samples of AML patients and 31 samples of healthy volunteers. According to the criteria of P<0.05 and |log FC| ≥1, 2,058 DEGs were screened out in GSE9476 and 3653 DEGs were screened out in GSE30029 through comparing AML patient samples with healthy volunteers. We made a Venn diagram to show the association between the DEGs of GSE9476 and GSE30029. The 456 DEGs were the overlaps of the two datasets, as shown in Figure 1A.

#### KEGG and GO enrichment analysis, PPI network and key gene identification of DEGs

Among 456 DEGs, the PPI action network was shown in Figure 1B. Commonly, only connectivity degree ≥10 can be identified as potential core gene, accordingly, among 456 DEGs, 183 potential core genes which have been calculated by using Cytoscape (3.7.2) software met the condition of degree of connection ≥10 (Figure 1C). Then, the possible association among the183 DEGs was evaluated by STRING online tool. PPI network was shown in Figure 1C. Meanwhile, the top 100 genes of the degree of connection of these 183 genes were selected and shown in Figure 1D (Table S1). We found that the degree of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) was 106, which ranked first among these 100 genes. Then, the following genes were integrin subunit alpha M (ITGAM; degree =101), protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type C (PTPRC; degree =83), toll like receptor 2 (TLR2; degree =74), matrix metallopeptidase 9 (MMP9; degree =74) and so on. These 100 genes could be the core genes screened out in AML. The enrichment
Figure 1 Venn diagram, PPI network of DEGs. (A) Venn diagram of the DEGs common to the two GEO datasets; (B) PPI network constructed with the 456 DEGs by the STRING; (C) 183 genes met the condition of degree $\geq 10$, and visualization through the STRING and Cytoscape; (D) 100 genes were visualized by the STRING and Cytoscape. PPI, protein-protein interaction; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus. GDSC, Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer.
The GO analysis and KEGG pathway analysis for the 183 DEGs. The blue bars were the enrichment terms, and the length of the bars was represented by the $P$ value. At the same time, at the far right of each bar was the count of genes enriched in this particular process/pathway. (A) Top 15 GO terms in biological processes; (B) top 15 GO terms in cell component; (C) top 15 GO terms in molecular function; (D) top 15 enriched pathway of the 183 DEGs. GO, Gene Ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; DEGs, differentially expressed genes.

The relationship between hub genes and prognosis of AML patients by GEPIA2

To further verify, screen and analyze the expression of the top 100 genes of DEGs in peripheral blood mononuclear and BM cells of AML patients and healthy volunteers, the expression DIY (box plot) and the survival analysis by GEPIA2 were performed. Accordingly, as shown in Figure 3, six higher expressed genes (FLT3, PF4, CD163,
Figure 3 The expression levels of the six candidate genes in AML. The results are based on TCGA and GTEx data screening in AML (n=173) and normal control (n=70) from GEPIA2 database through the Expression DIY (box plot) (*, P<0.05), the red boxes are represented as the AML sample and the black boxes are represented as the normal tissue. FLT3, FMS-related tyrosine kinase 3; PF4, platelet factor 4; CD163, the macrophage scavenger receptor; MRC1, mannose receptor C-type 1; CSF2RB, colony stimulating factor 2 receptor subunit beta; PPBP, pro-platelet basic protein; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.
MRC1, CSF2RB, PPBP) were found in the AML compared with healthy volunteers (P<0.05). At the same time, as in Figure 4, the survival analysis (OS) indicated there was reverse relationship between the expression level of the above six genes and OS (P<0.05). The result suggests the six highly expressed genes might predict a worse prognosis in AML patients (P<0.05).

**FLT3, PF4, CD163, MRC1, CSF2RB and PPBP may be the potential hub genes of AML**

To further verify the differentially expression of the selected six candidate genes between AML patients and normal BM tissues, mRNA expression of FLT3, PF4, CD163, MRC1, CSF2RB and PPBP at mRNA levels comparing with AML samples and healthy volunteers of peripheral blood and/or BM mononuclear cells was performed through other microarray datasets in GEO database (Figure 5). We validated that they were higher expressed in AML samples obviously than control, which were statistically significant (P<0.05). In addition, as the recommended genetic classification of AML includes low-risk group, intermediate-risk group and high-risk group (20). In addition, the BloodSpot database was used to analyze the effect of hub genes expression and genetic abnormalities on risk stratification in AML patients (Figure 6). We found that high expression of these six hub genes in AML patients may indicate high-risk groups at the genetic stratification level.

**The correlation of hub genes expression and drug sensitivity in AML cells by GSCA Lite**

To analyze the correlation of six hub genes (FLT3, PF4, CD163, MRC1, CSF2RB, PPBP) expression in AML and the sensitivity of AML cells to the small-molecule drugs, we used the GDSC IC50 drug data from GSCA Lite database (Figure 7). We found that AML cell lines with FLT3-overexpression and CSF2RB-overexpression were sensitive to most small-molecule drugs which can also be used in malignancies including target drugs and non-target drugs, while AML cell lines with CD163-overexpression were only sensitive to CH5424802, Crizotinib, TAE684 and MPS1-IN-1. And Erlotinib (Tarceva) was sensitive for AML cell lines with PF4-overexpression and PPBP-overexpression. For example, looking up from the GDSC database, we discovery that Sorafenib (Nexavar), whose targets are PDGFR, KIT, VEGFR, RAF and FLT3. And in our study, we verified that its effect on AML cell lines with FLT3-overexpression was sensitive, and AML cell lines with high FLT3 expression were also sensitive to the other small molecule drugs such as all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA). In our analysis, AML cells with the overexpression PF4 and PPBP displayed sensitivity to Erlotinib (Tarceva), whose drug target is EGFR from GDSC database. The sensitivity to methotrexate, which is an antimetabolite drug, is correlated with the high expression of CSF2RB in AML cell lines. Details of common small-molecule drug were shown in Table S2.

**Discussion**

AML is one of the most common and aggressive type of leukemia, and the treatment of AML is given priority to combination chemotherapy. The treatment of AML also has made progress in last decade, however, recurrence rates remained still high (3,4,6). So, searching for accurate molecular biomarkers is urgently needed. Microarray technology has enabled us to explore genetic changes in diseases and has been shown to be an effective way to identify novel biomarkers for human tumor including AML.

In the present study, 2 mRNA microarray datasets were analyzed to obtain DEGs between AML and healthy subjects of peripheral blood and BM samples. A total of 456 DEGs were identified among the 2 datasets. Then, we performed DAVID enrichment analysis on these 456 DEGs, GO and KEGG enrichment analyses were performed to explore interactions among the 456 DEGs. GO enrichment analysis revealed that changes in the most significant modules were mainly enriched in regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter, DNA-templated, cell proliferation and division. While, changes in KEGG were mainly enriched in viral carcinogenesis, transcriptional mis-regulation in cancer, cell cycle and p53 signaling pathway. Previous studies have reported that the dysregulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter, cell proliferation, cell division and immune response play important roles in the carcinogenesis or progression of tumors (21-23). Similarly, transcriptional mis-regulation in cancer, cell cycle and p53 signaling pathway have been shown in previous studies to play a very important role in the occurrence and development of tumors (22,24). Therefore, our results suggest that the DEGs played an important role in AML tumorigenesis and progression, which is consistent with the previous studies.

Through a series of screening, analysis and verification, we screened 6 DEGs (FLT3, PF4, CD163, MRC1, CSF2RB,
As the hub genes. In BM and peripheral blood samples from AML patients, the expression of the hub genes was higher than healthy volunteers. In terms of the relationship between the expression of individual hub genes and patient prognosis, the OS rate of AML patients with higher expression of the above six hub genes was lower. Therefore, not only \textit{FLT3}, \textit{PF4}, \textit{CD163}, \textit{MRC1}, \textit{CSF2RB} and \textit{PPBP} may be AML oncogenes but also AML patients with higher expression of these six hub genes might have poor prognosis, as well as they might be potential biomarkers of AML. In addition, high expression of these six hub genes in AML patients may indicate high-risk groups at the genetic stratification level, which will provide a theoretical basis for the treatment of frontline doctors.

We found that AML cells with high expression of \textit{FLT3} and \textit{CSF2RB} were sensitive to most small molecule drugs by bioinformatics analysis. While, AML patients with high expression of \textit{CD163} were only sensitive to a few small molecule drugs. Only was Erlotinib (Tarceva) related with sensitive in AML cell lines with high \textit{PF4} and \textit{PPBP} expression. With traditional chemotherapy drugs, adaptive resistance existed in many AML patients, leading to poor prognosis for AML patients. There was a median survival of only 4 months for relapsed and/or refractory AML (25,26). So, it was urgent to find novel biomarkers and new therapeutic sensitive drugs for high-risk AML. In our study, the panel included \textit{FLT3}, \textit{PF4}, \textit{CD163}, \textit{MRC1}, \textit{CSF2RB} and \textit{PPBP} might be the novel biomarkers for target therapy in AML.

\textit{FLT3}, located in 13q12.2, which is an important cytokine...
**Figure 5** Differential expression of six candidate genes in AML samples and healthy volunteers was validated again in other data sets in GEO. The data was downloaded from GEO database, which was analyzed and visualized by GraphPad Prism 8.3.0. Non-paired sample t-test was used for statistical analysis. The relative mRNA expression of FLT3, PF4, CD163, MRC1, CSF2RB, PPBP in AML from GEO datasets: (A) FLT3; (B) PF4; (C) CD163; (D) MRC1; (E) CSF2RB; (F) PPBP. *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001; ****, P<0.0001. FLT3, FMS-related tyrosine kinase 3; PF4, platelet factor 4; CD163, the macrophage scavenger receptor; MRC1, mannose receptor C-type 1; CSF2RB, colony stimulating factor 2 receptor subunit beta; PPBP, pro-platelet basic protein; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus.

receptor, encodes a class III receptor tyrosine kinase and mainly expresses in the hematopoietic compartment and involved in the apoptosis, proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic cells (26,27). FLT3 mutations which occur in 30% of AML patients, may lead to the occurrence and development of acute leukemia, especially AML (28). In our study, the analysis from microarray datasets showed FLT3 was upregulated in AML and the AML patients with the high expression of the FLT3 gene had a worse prognosis. The result is in agreement with previous reports that high transcript levels of FLT3 were associated with a worse prognosis in AML and pediatric AML patients (29,30). FLT3 inhibitors (tyrosine kinase inhibitors) have been considered to be a target for anti-cancer drugs, which have been used in preclinical and clinical studies, such as sorafenib, lestaurtinib and sunitinib, and so on (26). We analyzed the association between the drug sensitivity of AML cells and the gene expression of these six hub genes using the GSCA Lite database. Our current results showed that besides being sensitive to drugs targeting FLT3, AML patients with high FLT3 expression were also sensitive to the other small molecule drugs such as ATRA. The studies of Ma et al. revealed combining ATRA and FLT3 TKIs had highly synergistic drug activity to eliminate FLT3/ITD + leukemia stem cells in vitro and in vivo (31). PF4 (platelet factor 4, CXCL4, located in 4q13.3), encoding the protein of members of the CXC chemokine family. This protein is chemotactic for numerous other cell type and also functions as an inhibitor of hematopoiesis, angiogenesis and T-cell function. It is involved in regulating the differentiation of hematopoietic stem cells/progenitor cells, maintaining the survival and self-renewal of hematopoietic stem cells, and regulating the cardiac differentiation potential of induced pluripotent stem cells (32,33). Previous studies have shown that PF4 is an endocrine factor, and its overexpression in tumors is associated with decreased OS of patients (34). The analysis of Chen et al. from the transcriptome database showed...
that the high expression of PF4 was a risk factor for poor prognosis of patients (35). However, the study of Bai et al. indicated that PF4 protein was significantly decreased in in newly diagnosed AML group, compared with healthy control group (36). By database analysis, our results showed that the PF4 transcription level of AML patients was higher than that of healthy controls and the OS rates of AML patients with high PF4 expression were shorter compared with low expression of PF4. But further study is required to characterize the function of the gene involved in AML (34,35,37). CD163 (the macrophage scavenger receptor, located in 13q12.2) encodes a protein that clears the scavenger receptor cysteine-rich (SRCR) superfamily. It functions as an acute phase-regulated receptor involved in the clearance and endocytosis of hemoglobin/haptoglobin complexes by macrophages. Its overexpression is associated with a poor prognosis in AML and the immune/inflammatory response, which is potential biomarker of myeloid leukemia cutis (38,39). At the same time, CD163 is also involved in the development of multiple myeloma, meningioma, Hodgkin lymphoma and colorectal cancer (40-43). Additionally, CD163 is regarded as a potential therapeutic target for cell-directed therapy on macrophages in some cancers, such as glioma, gastric cancer (44-46). In our study, CD163 was identified to be significantly associated with AML prognosis by integrated bioinformatics analysis, which was consistent with the results of previous studies and would provide a preliminary
Figure 7 Correlation between drug sensitivity in AML and hub genes from GDSC database in GSCA Lite. AML, acute myeloid leukemia; GDSC, Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer.
basis for further development of targeted drugs for AML with high expression of CD163. MRC1 (mannose receptor C-type 1, CD206, located in 10p12.33), a member of the C-type lectin receptor family, encodes the human mannose receptor (MR). It is involved in several biological processes, including cell-cell recognition, serum glycoprotein turnover, and neutralization of pathogens. MRC1 mutations can cause activation and replication defects at DNA damage checkpoints, which are associated with tumorigenesis (47). MRC1 can also regulate tumor microenvironment (48), the relationship between tumor recurrence and metastasis (49). In our study, we have preliminarily confirmed that MRC1 is higher expressed in AML compared to healthy volunteers and is a potential biomarker for AML, which laid a solid foundation for our further development of targeted drugs targeting MRC1 overexpression in AML. CSF2RB (colony-stimulating factor 2 receptor subunit beta, CD131) is located in 22q12.3. The protein encoded by CSF2RB is the common beta chain of the high affinity receptor for IL-3, IL-5 and CSF. It is a myeloid cytokine receptor, which is highly expressed in myeloid leukemia of Down syndrome (ML-DS), and CSF2RB variant A455D is an oncogenic hotspot mutation in ML-DS (50). The high expression of CSF2RB predicts the arrest of hematopoietic stem cell differentiation and CSF2RB was expressed at high levels in both mouse and human leukemia cells (51). In our study, we found CSF2RB was over-expressed and predicted worse prognosis in AML. PPBP (pro-platelet basic protein, CXCL7, located in 10p12.33) is a platelet-derived growth factor that belongs to the CXC chemokine family. It has been shown to stimulate various cellular processes including DNA synthesis, mitosis, glycolysis, intracellular cAMP accumulation, prostaglandin E2 secretion, and synthesis of hyaluronic acid and sulfated glycosaminoglycan. Previous studies have implicated serum levels of CXCL7 as a potential prognostic marker in myelodysplastic syndromes and the overexpression of the gene in the marrow microenvironment contributed to induction of decitabine resistance in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia cells (52,53). In addition, PPBP is a biomarker in gastric cancer (54) and a predictive marker of sunitinib efficacy in clear cell renal cell carcinomas (55). The results of our analysis indicated AML patients with the high expression of PPBP gene had worse prognosis and AML cells with the overexpression PPBP displayed sensitivity to Erlotinib (Tarceva). Therefore, in present study, we thought CSF2RB and PPBP might also be a potential biomarker in AML.

Most of the six hub genes and their correlation with the sensitive drug we have derived from the study have been confirmed by previous studies to be associated with AML or cancer. But there are still several hub genes and their correlation with the sensitive drug have not been explored to provide a basis for guiding clinical medication, which may be the innovation of future research. Our study may not only provide potentially likely regulators of AML invasion and metastasis can serve as biomarkers in AML, also can give future researchers a broader perspective and more inspiration. But it still has limitation: (I) the analysis of drug sensitivity was based on the analysis of AML cell lines, which needs to be further verified in animal experiments; (II) the analysis of DEGs used in this study is based on the comprehensive analysis of clinical case samples, which needs to be further verified in animal experiments and other preclinical trial. Next, we will further verify it through animal experiments and other preclinical trial, which will be a significant and constructive study. Also, we will conduct further in-depth research on the expression and mechanism of these six hub genes in AML.

Conclusions

Our study was designed to identify DEGs that might be involved in the carcinogenesis or progression of AML. A total of 456 DEGs and six hub genes (FLT3, PF4, CD163, MRC1, CSF2RB and PPBP) were identified and might be regarded as promising biomarkers and potential sensitive drugs were identified for overexpression of the biomarkers in AML, and high expression of these six hub genes in AML patients may indicate high-risk groups at the genetic stratification level, which will provide a theoretical basis for the treatment of frontline doctors.
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