Specialized compartments of cardiac nuclei exhibit distinct proteomic anatomy* [S]
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As host to the genome, the nucleus plays a critical role as modulator of cellular phenotype. To understand the totality of proteins that regulate this organelle, we used proteomics to characterize the components of the cardiac nucleus. Following purification, cardiac nuclei were fractionated into biologically relevant fractions including acid-soluble proteins, chromatin-bound molecules and nucleoplasmic proteins. These distinct subproteomes were characterized by liquid chromatography-tandem MS. We report a cardiac nuclear proteome of 1048 proteins—only 146 of which are shared between the distinct subcompartments of this organelle. Analysis of genomic loci encoding these molecules gives insights into local hotspots for nuclear protein regulation. High mass accuracy and complementary analytical techniques allowed the discrimination of distinct protein isoforms, including 54 total histone variants, 17 of which were distinguished by unique peptide sequences and four of which have never been detected at the protein level. These studies are the first unbiased analysis of cardiac nuclear subcompartmentalization and provide a foundation for exploration of the organelle’s proteomes during disease. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 10: 10.1074/mcp.M110.000703, 1–15, 2011.

The nucleus exists to keep the genetic material separate from the other activities of the eukaryotic cell. It is the final theater of gene regulation and proteins directly controlling gene expression must reside in, or relocate to, this organelle. During cell division, an exquisitely orchestrated processing of the genome and nuclear architecture occurs, facilitating accurate replication. In times of nonreplication, the somatic nucleus exhibits a remarkable set of (somewhat opposing) properties necessary for cellular function: the DNA is discretely packaged; transcription factors and other molecules are shuttled rapidly, and specifically, between the nucleus and cytoplasm; appropriate gene expression for the given cell type is established; and the nucleus remains sufficiently plastic as to enable very rapid changes in transcription. Genes encoding proteins, as well as other biologically active ribonucleotides, clearly play a fundamental role in establishing the features of this organelle. Equally important, and to date less well understood, is how the totality of proteins in the nucleus control nuclear behavior and ultimately cellular phenotype. The unique functionality of the nucleus is conferred by specialized compartmentalization. The nucleus is bordered by a double membrane, which is impermeable to most molecules, across which nuclear pores transverse, enabling selective transport (1). The outer nuclear membrane is contiguous with the cytoskeleton (2) and the endoplasmic reticulum (3), which is studded with ribosomes, the sites of protein translation. Inside the nucleus, DNA is packaged into chromosomes, continuous pieces of DNA whose selective accessibility controls transcription. After initial production of mRNA, these transcripts are further processed by spliceosomes complexes to remove noncoding sequences. These processing events are thought to occur in subcompartments of the nucleus—deemed Cajal bodies, speckles and paraspeckles—prior to their transport to the ribosome (4–6). Although functional ribosomes are located in the cytoplasm and the outer nuclear membrane, these organelles are initially synthesized and assembled from RNA and protein in the nucleolus, a nuclear structure formed around tandem repeats of DNA coding for ribosomal RNA (7, 8). The nuclear lamina (9), a meshwork of structural proteins that provides mechanical support akin to the cytoskeleton, is physically coupled to the nuclear membrane and chromatin, and may participate in gene regulation (10).

Most mammalian cells have a single nucleus and erythrocytes are the only mammalian cell devoid of a nucleus. In contrast, many species of protozoa and fungi have naturally multinucleated cells (11–14). Nonpathological polynucleation in mammals is seen in skeletal muscle, where it results from the fusion of myoblasts (15, 16), and in cardiomyocytes, where it appears to be the result of karyokinesis without cytokinesis (17, 18).

Because heart disease is the leading cause of death in the developed world, understanding control of cardiac phenotype is essential to develop new therapies. Although cardiac gene expression has been studied in detail (19–21), much less is known about the protein make up of the nucleus. In utero, cardiomyocytes grow and divide. Not long after birth these...
cells exit the cell cycle and become binucleated. In rodents
binucleation can be seen as early as 4 days after birth and by
2 weeks of age, 85%-90% of cardiomyocytes have two nuclei
(17, 22). Further nuclear division can occur during physiolog-
al or pathological hypertrophy, a state in which the cell size
increases without cell division. Cell division occurs in the
heart, but this is almost exclusively restricted to noncardiomyocytes. As such, the adult cardiomyocyte is an intriguing cell
type given its binucleated state and lack of cell division.
Furthermore, rapid gene expression changes that must occur
to induce changes in cardiac phenotype require efficient
packaging of the genetic material. We reason that a major
determinant of nuclear function is the proteins that reside in
this organelle.

Proteomics has been successfully applied to a number of
organelles, however, studies of the mammalian nucleus have
been relatively limited (23–27) with most restricted to nuclear
protein complexes (28–35). A common challenge for nuclear
proteomics studies is the difficulty of isolating this highly
interconnected organelle with sufficient purity (36). Studies
specific to cardiac nuclei (37) have been further limited by
additional experimental difficulties unique to this organ includ-
ing extensive mitochondria to support high metabolic activity,
a rigid contractile apparatus and cytoskeletal network, and
heterochromatic DNA organization.

To address the question how the proteome regulates the
genome in the heart, we developed a method for isolating
cardiac nuclei and subfractionating them into biologically rel-
ent compartments. We carried out exhaustive proteomic
dissection of these fractions using high accuracy mass spec-
trometry. Our findings present the first comprehensive de-
scription of the proteomic anatomy of the cardiac nucleus,
ensuring future work to understand how this anatomy endows
normal and diseased physiology of the heart.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Isolation, Subfractionation and Structural Analysis of Cardiac Nuclei**—Adult male balb/c mice aged 8–12 weeks (Charles River Labo-
ratories) were used for this study. Neonatal rat ventricular myocytes
(NRVMs) were obtained by enzymatic dissociation from 1-day-old
litters and plated in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (Invitrogen,
#11965) containing 1% penicillin, 1% streptomycin, 1% insulin-trans-
ferrin-sodium selenite supplement and 10% fetal bovine serum for the
first 24 h after which the cells are cultured in serum-free media. 3T3
fibroblasts were used as immunoblotting control (referred to as acid-insoluble
fraction). Isolated nuclei were fixed in homogenization buffer (detailed above)
containing 2% glutaraldehyde at 4 °C, postfixed in osmic acid, dehydr-
dated, and embedded in epoxy resin. A Reichert Ultratrac ultramic-
rotome was used to cut 70 nm slices from embedded samples, which
were stained in uranyl acetate followed by lead. Sections were im-
age and photographed on a JEOl 100CX Transmission Electron Microscope (JEOL USA, Inc, Peabody, MA). To quantify nuclear en-
richment, at least 10 pictures were randomly taken from each EM
grid. Two grids were analyzed from each sample block and three
blocks were analyzed from two separate nuclei preparations. The
area occupied in each picture by intact nuclei, broken nuclei and
other membranes, and mitochondria was determined using area
measurements in Photoshop. These areas are expressed as a per-
centage of the total visible material in each picture.

**Purification of Cardiac Chromatin and Nucleoplasm and Acid Ex-
traction of Nuclear Proteins**—Following purification of nuclei, further
fractionation was carried out to separate nucleoplasm from chroma-
in. Isolated nuclei were resuspended in buffer (20 mM HEPES, 7.5 mM
MgCl₂, 30 mM NaCl, 1 mM Urea, 1% Nonidet P-40, protease, phospha-
tase, and deacetylase inhibitors) and centrifuged at 50,000 x g for 5 min to isolate the enriched
nuclear fraction. The supernatant from the crude nuclear pellet
was centrifuged at 5000 x g for 30 min to yield the mitochondria,
which were further purified using a 28% Percoll gradient and
14,000 x g spin for 40 min (mitochondria are in the pellet). All steps
were carried out at 4 °C. The initial relative purity of these individual
fractions was evaluated via Western blotting with histone H2A for
nuclei and the adenine nucleotide transporter (ANT) for mitochondria.
Nuclei were isolated from NRVMs and 3T3 cells using the same
procedure.
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Proteomic Anatomy of Cardiac Nuclei

RESULTS

Nuclear Isolation and Subfractionation—To characterize the cardiac nuclear proteome we isolated intact nuclei from the heart using differential centrifugation and sucrose density enrichment. Other subcellular fractions (including mitochondria) were isolated and used as controls in immuno-blotting and electron microscopy analyses. Transmission electron microscopy analysis of cardiac nuclei demonstrated enrichment of this organelle (Fig. 1A); manual eval-

Enzyme Digestion—Proteins isolated from intact nuclei, nucleoplasm fraction, chromatin fraction, and acid extraction were separated by SDS-PAGE. Each gel lane was cut into ~25 slices (2 mm each) for protein identification by mass spec. Gel plugs were dehydrated in acetonitrile (ACN) and dried completely in a Speedvac. Samples were reduced and alkylated with 50 mM Tris, and 10 mM EDTA (containing protease, phosphatase, and deacetylase inhibitors) followed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 15 min to remove insoluble material. Whole heart lysate (WHL) control was made by solubilizing Hela cells, isolated by scraping cells from culture dishes, in 20 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% triton X-100, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate, protease, phosphatase, and deacetylase inhibitors. The homogenate was then sonicated three times for 5 s each followed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm to remove insoluble material. Whole heart lysate (WHL) control was made by homogenizing the entire heart in 20 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% triton X-100, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate, protease, phosphatase, and deacetylase inhibitors, followed by sonication and centrifugation at 13,000 rpm to remove insoluble material.

Protein Identification by Mass Spectrometry

Mass Spectrometry Analyses and Database Searching—Ten micro-liters of extracted peptides were analyzed by nano-flow LC/MS/MS on a Thermo Orbitrap with dedicated Eksigent nanopump using a reversed phase column (75 μm i.d. 10 cm, BioBasic C18 5 μm particle size, New Objective). The flow rate was 200 nL/min for separation; mobile phase A is 0.1% formic acid, 2% ACN in water, and mobile phase B is 0.1% formic acid, 20% water in ACN. The gradient used for analyses was linear from 5% B to 50% B over 60 min, then to 95% B over 15 min, and finally keeping constant 95% B for 10 min. Spectra were acquired in data-dependent mode with dynamic exclusion where the instrument selects for fragmentation the top six most abundant ions in the parent spectra. Data were searched against the mouse IPI database (version 3.46; 55,270 entries) using the SEQUEST algorithm in the BioWorks software program version 3.3.1 SP1. False positive rate, which was calculated on several independent datasets within this study by reverse database searching, ranged from 1.4% to 1.7%. All spectra used for identification had deltaCN > 0.1, consensus score ≥ 20 and met the following Xcorr criteria: > 2 (+1), > 3 (+2), > 4 (+3), and > 5 (+4); no spectra from singly charged parents were considered in this study. Searches required full cleavage with the given enzyme, ≤ 4 missed cleavages and were performed with the differential modifications of methionine oxidation, deamidation, PTMs, and others.

Bioinformatics and Protein Annotation—All physical-chemical properties of proteins, including molecular weight, isoelectric point, and hydrophathy were calculated using in-house software based on the algorithms in the Swiss-Prot Protparam tool. Analysis of disorder was carried out using the DISOPRED2 program (39). Redundancy in proteins was eliminated at the primary sequence level by manual inspection using CLUSTAL to compare the sequences in UniProt. Genome analysis was performed from the NCBI genome browser following conversion of IPI to ref-seq accession numbers. All Gene Ontology (GO) annotations were extracted from Uniprot. IPI to Uniprot accession number linkage was performed in an automated manner from the EBI website followed by manual annotation. GO annotation clustering was performed using the GO Term Mapper program available through Princeton University (http://go.princeton.edu); UniProt numbers were converted to MGI terms for clustering. The ScanProSite tool associated with the Swiss-Prot website was utilized to identify proteins containing nuclear localization sequences and DNA- and RNA-binding domains (please see Supplemental Methods for a list of ProSite identifiers used in this study).
EM-Based Quantitation of Nuclear Enrichment

| Variant | IPI Number | UniProt | % Coverage | # of Peptides (Unique peptides) | Gene loci |
|---------|------------|---------|------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| H1.1    | IPI00228616 | P43275; Q5S2Z98 | 18 | 2(2) | Chr 13 |
| H1.2    | IPI00223713 | P15864; Q3UXH2; Q55Z4A3 | 32 | 6(0) | Chr 13 |
| H1.3    | IPI00331597 | P43277; Q149Z8; Q3U2G92 | 30 | 6(0) | Chr 13 |
| H1.4    | IPI00223714 | P43274 | 30 | 6(2) | Chr 13 |
| H1.5    | IPI00223713 | P43276; Q1W9K3; Q5T003 | 29 | 4(1) | Chr 13 |
| H1.0    | IPI00467914 | P10922 | 26 | 4(4) | Chr 13 |
| H1.0    | IPI00404590 | Q8C1Y3 | 28 | 4(4) | Chr 15 |
| H1.X    | IPI00118590 | Q802Z5 | 12 | 2(2) | Chr 6 |
| macroH2A.1a isoform 2 | IPI00378480 | Q9QZQ8–2 | 29 | 6(1) | Chr 13 |
| H2A.2    | IPI00665557 | Q5CA90 | 48 | 6(1)b | Chr 13 |
| H2A.2    | IPI00317340 | P0C0S6 | 26 | 5(0) | Chr 3 |
| H2B.1A  | IPI00111857 | P70696; Q0JNS9 | 46 | 5(1) | Chr 13 |
| PUP (H2B) | IPI00459318 | Q8C6Z2 | 17 | 2(1)b | Chr 13 |
| H3.1    | IPI00555358 | P68433; A1L0Y4 | 71 | 9(1) | Chr 13 |
| Similar to H3 (H3.11) | IPI00277753 | N/A | 71 | 9(2)b | Chr 11 |
| H3.2    | IPI00230370 | P84228; B9E8H5; A1L0U3 | 71 | 9(1) | Chr 3, 13 |
| H3.3    | IPI00785343 | P84244; A2A852 | 71 | 9(1) | Chr 1, 11 |
| H3-like 4 | IPI00379693c | N/A | 71 | 9(1)c | Chr 3,13 |
| P, H3-like | IPI00108200 | P02301 | 33 | 5(1)c | Chr 13 |
| H4      | IPI00403339 | P62806; B2RTM0; Q61667; Q6B822 | 62 | 11(1) | Chr 3, 6, 13 |

a Indicates histone variants also confirmed by western blot analysis.
b Denotes identification of novel histone variants (See spectra in Figure 5 and Supplemental Figure 4E).
c Designates an IPI number listed in v3.46 of the mouse IPI database which is no longer available in the online EBI database.

Fig. 1. Purification of cardiac nuclei. Following centrifugation-based enrichment and sucrose gradient purification, cardiac nuclear fractions were examined by transmission electron microscopy. As shown in image (A) and quantified in graph (B), nuclear preparations were enriched in intact nuclei and had minimal mitochondrial contamination. C, Fractions were further analyzed by Western blotting, demonstrating enrichment of nuclear proteins and depletion of mitochondrial proteins (using antibodies against adenine nucleotide transporter (ANT) and histone H2A); 30 µg protein loaded per well.

Examination of chromatin-bound proteins from rapidly dividing cells (3T3) in comparison to isolated ventricular myocytes or heart revealed that 3T3 cell chromatin is dominated by histone and other low molecular weight chromatin structural proteins, whereas cardiac myocytes and heart have a number of prominent higher molecular weight proteins (Fig. 2B).

In an attempt to preserve transient protein-DNA interactions throughout the subfractionation process, cross linking with 1% formaldehyde was utilized prior to nuclear enrichment. Although standard in other cell types and before different analyses (such as PCR to detect target genes), cross linking DNA to protein

Histone variants unequivocally identified in cardiac nuclei. Histone variants are organized by family and listed randomly within each family. Abbreviations: p = Putative; PUP = Putative Uncharacterized Protein; N/A = Not Available. Please see Supplemental Table I for a list of all histone isoforms mapped by the peptides identified in this study.
resulted in extensive loss of recoverable proteins in the heart (supplemental Fig. 1). Because cardiac chromatin is extremely heterochromatic, we reason that cross linking produced insoluble aggregates that prevented extraction of proteins. This was visualized by examining the recovery of histones in the presence or absence of formaldehyde cross linking (supplemental Fig. 1), demonstrating poor recovery after cross linking. Based on these observations, formaldehyde cross linking was not incorporated into the fractionation procedure.

SDS-PAGE separation (Fig. 2C) of the proteins from each nuclear fraction demonstrates that these subcompartments harbor distinct subsets of proteins. Also notable from this experiment is the enrichment of chromatin structural proteins, as evidenced by the strong histone protein bands (~10–18kDa), following acid extraction. Western blotting for nuclear compartment-specific proteins further confirmed subfractionation of the organelle (Figs. 2D and E). As expected histone H3 and H2B were exclusively identified in...
chromatin and further enriched in acid extracted fractions, whereas the soluble spliceosomal factor, U170K, was restricted to the nucleoplasm. Western blotting for other nuclear proteins, including the nucleolus specific methyltransferase fibrillarin and the nuclear pore component p62, indicate distinct features of the subnuclear fractions.

Mass Spectrometric Identification of Nuclear Proteins—Mass spectrometric analysis of proteins from nucleoplasm, chromatin and acid extracted fractions, as well as from detergent-solubilized nuclei, identified a total of 1048 proteins (supplemental Table 2) represented by 246,845 peptide events (supplemental Table 3). By fraction, 749 proteins were identified from the nucleoplasm, 380 from chromatin, 426 from the acid extracted fraction, and 428 from intact nuclei. Despite the large number of proteins identified in each population, only 146 proteins were common to all four preparations (Fig. 3E), suggesting that these fractions host functionally distinct subproteomes and serving as posthoc affirmation for the experimental approach. Additionally, pair-wise comparisons between these fractions (supplemental Fig. 2) reveal proteins involved in multiple different functions in the nucleus (for example proteins residing in the nucleoplasm and regulating chromatin). To determine the physical and chemical properties (molecular weight, isoelectric point, and hydropathy) of the 1048 identified proteins, we examined these features using in-house software based on the tools available from EBI. The cardiac nuclear proteins displayed similar distributions of physical-chemical properties as compared with the entire mouse IPI database (Fig. 3A–C), suggesting that the technical approach is not biased for separation/identification of a certain type of protein. To explore structure/function features within this subset of proteins, we evaluated protein disorder using the DISOPRED algorithm (39), which predicts regions of proteins that lack defined secondary structure. In contrast to what we observe in other specialized subproteomes (supplemental Fig. 3), the distribution of disordered proteins in our nuclear dataset exhibited a
distribution indistinguishable from that of the entire mouse IPI database (Fig. 3D).

**Primary Sequence Motifs**—After synthesis on the ribosome, nuclear proteins must be selectively transported across the nuclear membrane in a process often governed by primary sequence motifs (i.e. nuclear localization sequences). A wide array of proteins have been found to contain primary sequence motifs necessary for their transport into the nucleus; however, these motifs have for the most part been identified on an individual protein basis and there is no consensus of an exact nuclear localization sequence. Nevertheless, shared features of these sequences have been shown to include two clusters of basic residues (usually K or R) separated by ~10 amino acids, a so-called bipartite motif (40). Using the ScanProsite tool (ExPASy), which examines primary sequence for bipartite nuclear localization sequences, we found that 71 of the 1048 proteins (6.8%) contain these sequences. Interestingly only 31% (17/54) of all histone proteins, the quintessential nuclear protein, were found to contain a nuclear localization sequence via this method.

We also examined the proteins in our dataset for the presence of DNA- and RNA-binding domains using the ScanProsite tool. Although this mapping tool allows the user to specify individual domains or classes of domains to search for, it is limited by its ability to only report proteins whose UniProt entry is annotated with the exact sequence and location of the binding domain. Proteins known to bind DNA or RNA in which the exact sequence has not been identified or annotated are thus not included. Using the ScanProsite tool 62 proteins were identified with DNA-binding domains and 40 identified with RNA binding domains; 3 of which were common to both populations (contained both DNA- and RNA-binding domains). As a complementary technique, all proteins were evaluated for GO-annotations indicating known or hypothesized DNA- or RNA- binding. Evaluation of GO-annotations identified 111 proteins with DNA-binding annotations, 72 identified with RNA-binding annotations, and 13 with general nucleic-acid binding (NAB) annotations. Collectively, the proteins in our dataset with known or hypothesized DNA- and RNA-binding domains are 133 and 83, respectively.

**Genomic Origins of Nuclear Proteins**—To evaluate the source of nuclear proteins from a genomic structure standpoint, we examined the localization of genes from cardiac nuclear proteins across each of the 21 (1 through 19, plus X and Y) mouse chromosomes in the context of the background of all protein-coding genes (Fig. 4). Among the 1048 proteins identified in this study, 964 had direct counterparts in Ensembl. Because some IPI numbers have more than one UCSC gene ID (and vice versa), the total number of genes mapped in this analysis was 1051. The number of genes for proteins identified in this study, plus the total number of known genes, are quantified for each chromosome in Figs. 4A and 4D. This analyses uncovered differential contribution of proteins from different chromosomes to the nuclear proteome, with chromosomes 11 and 13 housing the largest fractions of genes encoding nuclear proteins. This can be partially attributed to the clusters of histone genes found on these chromosomes (supplemental Table 1). Mapping of the gene loci for all proteins in this study resulted in two distinct patterns, the first being a relatively random distribution of genes (Fig. 4B; for the example of chromosome 1) and the second being a clustering of genes within specific regions (Fig. 4C; for the example of chromosome 13).

**Histone Proteins**—As histone proteins play a prominent role in mediating DNA packaging and transcriptional regulation within the nucleus, we specifically focused on identifying cardiac histone variants. We identified peptides that mapped to a total of 54 histone proteins (supplemental Table 1), including a number of canonical, inducible and novel variants. Seven of these variants were also confirmed by Western blotting (supplemental Fig. 4). Because a significant degree of sequence similarity exists between variants within the same family, many of the peptides used for identification map to multiple variants. These minor variations in histone primary sequence also results in proteins that differ by as little as a single amino acid. The reproducibility of our chromatin fraction enrichment and the mass accuracy of the Orbitrap enabled us to differentiate between such peptides in many cases, bringing the number of individual histone variants uniquely identified to 17 (Fig. 5, Table I, supplemental Table 1 and supplemental Fig. 4). This included the identification of the histone variants H2B homolog and H2B type 1-F/J/L which differ by a single amino acid (T→M) at position 60, as seen in Fig. 5A. We also identified peptides which mapped to a specific subset of inducible variants, as in the case of histone H2A.Z and H2A.V. For these two variants, whose sequences differ by three amino acids, three peptides were identified that mapped uniquely to both of these variants but did not allow us to differentiate between the two (supplemental Fig. 4). By comparative analysis of our data, we were able to conclude that a minimum of 25 different histone variants are present in the cardiac nucleus (this number is the 17 isoforms to which at least one peptide was identified that mapped to only that isoform, plus six additional isoforms for which peptides were detected that map to only a small subset of histone variants and two detected only by Western blotting; please see supplemental Table 1 for more details), although we believe the actual number to be much higher. Among the histone proteins identified are five variants novel to the cardiac system, the existence (at the protein level) of four of that we report for the first time in any cell type. Although these five variants have been hypothesized to exist, only one has previously been identified at the protein level; two have previously been identified in high-throughput mRNA screens, and the remaining two defined by genome sequence analysis. Clustal-based sequence alignment and phylogenetic mapping revealed that we identified isoforms from all major branches of the histone family (Fig. 5D).
Transcription Factors—Another class of proteins, which we were interested in because of their nuclear-specific function, were cardiac transcription factors. Although a variety of proteins are involved in gene regulation the defining feature of transcription factors is their ability to bind DNA. However, as mentioned above when querying protein databases for DNA-binding domains this information may be excluded because the exact location and sequence of binding is not known or may be inferred (thereby lacking experimental validation). We identified 15 known and 6 hypothesized transcription factors from our proteomic analysis, not including transcriptional associated proteins such as chromatin remodelers and RNA polymerases. Of these 15 known transcription factors, 11 have annotated DNA binding domains (as determined by ScanProsite mapping) whereas only 7 have GO-annotations listing DNA-binding. In addition to mass spectrometry analyses, we used Western blotting to confirm expression levels and subnuclear localization of six well-characterized cardiac transcription factors, five of which were undetectable by mass spectrometry presumably because of their low abundance (supplemental Fig. 5).

Gene Ontology—To capture additional information regarding preconceived gene-based annotation of the proteome we define in this study, the 1) cellular component, 2)
were extracted from Gene Ontology (GO) annotations in the UniProt database. When possible, all IPI numbers were mapped to individual UniProt numbers. However, many IPI numbers map to multiple UniProt numbers, and therefore all GO annotations for all UniProt entries mapping to an individual IPI number were collected and appear in supplemental Table 2. The genes for 43 of the proteins identified in this study lacked GO annotations. The GO annotations for individual proteins were clustered based on annotation hierarchy and reveal subsets of proteins whose genes are annotated with similar functionality and/or localization. Grouping of the molecular function annotations resulted in the following eight prominent clusters: binding, protein binding, nucleotide binding, RNA binding, DNA binding, metal ion binding, ATP binding, and actin binding (Fig. 6). The nuclear proteins had cellular component annotations including nucleus, ribonucleoprotein complex, nucleosome, chromosome, ribosome, and endoplasmic reticulum (supplemental Fig. 6). Component annotations also included cytoplasm and mitochondria (due in part to the fact that most proteins have multiple annotations for each GO category), emphasizing that gene-based annotation is only a weak indicator of endogenous protein localization. There were also eight enriched biological processes: translation, transcription, cell adhesion, nucleosome assembly, membrane transport, cell differentiation, protein folding, and fatty acid metabolic process (supplemental Fig. 6). Overall, these annotations emphasize the importance of proteomic detection of proteins and biochemical characterization of function, rather than sole reliance on gene annotation.

**DISCUSSION**

The rigid and extensive contractile apparatus of the cardiomyocyte, which is anchored to both the plasma membrane and the nuclear envelope, as well as the abundance of mitochondria in these cells (~40% of the cell volume (41, 42)) have provided significant hurdles to nuclear enrichment. Our goal for this study was to establish such an enrichment protocol for the study of cardiac nuclei, but in the process, it became apparent that subfractionation of the organelle was an essential step to comprehensive analysis. Thus, the present paper presents the methodology for enrichment of nuclei from heart suitable for proteomic analyses, along with subfractionation protocols to obtain chromatin, nucleoplasm, and acid-soluble proteins. That said, it is important to note that it is indeed enrichment that is carried out—not purification—because remnants of other organelles are still present in the preparation. Electron microscopy and Western blotting clearly lack the sensitivity (and are accompanied by their own experimental biases) to completely rule out the presence of other cellular components.

---

**Fig. 5. Features of cardiac histone proteins.** High mass accuracy detection of peptides on the Orbitrap allowed for differentiation of histone isoforms in the heart. Shown are spectra for the examples of histone H2B homolog (UniProt:Q8CB622) and H2B type 1 F/J/L (UniProt:P10853; inverted; A), which differ by a single amino acid, as well as a single spectra allowing the identification of a novel form of histone macro H2A (UniProt:Q9QZQ8, compared with canonical macro H2A, Uniprot:Q8CA90; B). In the sequence comparisons (determined by Clustal 2.0.12 from EBI), bold letters indicate MS/MS coverage from tryptic and chymotryptic peptides; * indicates identical residues, . conserved substitutions and: semi-conserved substitutions. In the spectra, assigned y and b ions are blue and red, respectively, and m/z values are listed for daughter ions that distinguish the single amino acid difference. **supplemental Fig. 4** reports additional spectra for the identification of novel histone variants, confirmatory data from Western blotting, and sequence-based comparison of the four core histone families. C, Diagrammatic representation of the structural assembly of the nucleosome. Two copies each of four core histones (H2A, H2B, H3 and H4) form a nucleosome, around which wraps ~147 bp of genomic DNA (black strand). Histone H1 is peripheral to the nucleosome core and facilitates quaternary structure of chromatin. D, Phylogenetic representation (created with Dendroscope (87) based on neighbor-joining alignment from Clustal) of all 80 known histone isoforms (confirmed or predicted) from UniProt; peptides identified in this study map to 54 variants (underlined) with 20 of these variants unequivocally confirmed (yellow highlight). Edges to different families are colored as follows: H1 (red), H2A (pink), H2B (blue), H3 (green), and H4 (orange).
Nuclei were subfractionated into nucleoplasm, chromatin and acid-extracted fractions and, along with analysis of detergent solubilized nuclei in toto. Not surprisingly, these fractions have shared and distinct constituents. One example of the strength of combining these approaches can be seen by examining the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) family of mRNA processing proteins. This family of proteins is mainly involved in mRNA protection, stability, splicing, and transport, requiring some members to remain in the nucleoplasm whereas others shuttle between nucleoplasm and cytoplasm (43–45). Recently some family members have also been found to participate in DNA-specific functions including DNA repair, telomere elongation, chromatin remodeling, and transcription (46–48). Of the 17 hnRNP proteins identified, 8 were exclusively identified in the nucleoplasm and 6 were identified in both chromatin and nucleoplasm (3 identified in AE and/or I). Five of the six proteins identified in both fractions have been reported to participate in RNA- and DNA-specific functions, constituting all variants identified with known DNA-regulatory roles. This unique compartmentalization supports the reported DNA- and RNA-regulatory functions of this family and suggests specific roles for the heretofore uncharacterized members.

The nuclear membrane is composed of an outer membrane, which is continuous with and thought to be indistinguishable from the rough endoplasmic reticulum, and an inner membrane, which houses a number of proteins facilitating interactions with lamins and chromatin. The nuclear membrane is perforated by nuclear pores, which have also been shown to interact with the nuclear lamina, enabling connection to the nuclear matrix and facilitating shuttling of factors imported through these pores. Until recently the role of nuclear pore proteins has been limited to membrane transport, however, recent investigations support a role of this complex to influence local gene expression (49). This functionality in the cardiomyocyte is supported by the presence of the nuclear pore protein, p62, in both nucleoplasm and chromatin fractions. In our proteomic analysis we identified 142 integral membrane proteins, the majority being exclusive to the nucleoplasm fraction (73%), however, 29 proteins were identified in both chromatin and nucleoplasm fractions, indicative of interactions between the nuclear envelope and chromatin.

Cardiac nuclei are intimately regulated by the cytoskeleton. The outer nuclear membrane is contiguous with the cytoskeleton that participates in movement of molecules from specialized domains of the cytoplasm into the nucleus. In addition, the myocyte contractile apparatus, which dominates the regions of the cell not dedicated to energy production (mitochondria) or calcium handling (sarcoplasmic reticulum), functionally couples to the nucleus to regulate gene expression by mechanical perturbation, referred to by some investigators (50) as “excitation-transcription coupling.” In agreement with these specialized functions of the nucleus, our proteomic dissection reveals 89 and 37 proteins known to also participate in cytoskeletal and contractile processes, respectively.

Observations on the Properties of the Cardiac Nuclear Proteome—Although informative and essential, no annotation strategy or bioinformatic approach can supplant experimentation. Our knowledge of proteomes, therefore, must ultimately yield to the results of well controlled experiments that measure proteins. Nevertheless, several critical observations emerge from bioinformatic analyses of the proteomic data obtained in this study. It has been postulated that proteins localized to the nucleus contain nuclear localization sequences necessary for their selective transport across the nuclear membrane. Many experimental examples of such domains exist (40, 51–53). Domain mapping of nuclear localization sequences in our data indicate that only 6.8% of proteins contain bipartite motifs, which are two regions of basic residues separated by ~10 amino acids. A similar frequency of these sequences was observed in previous studies (37) of nuclei from heart (11.4%) as well as from brain (13.3%) and liver (17.9%) nuclei and was only slightly higher than that seen in two studies of cardiac mitochondria (4.1% in (37) and 3.1% in (54)). These observations highlight the paucity of understanding how nuclear transport is controlled on a global level, i.e. whether (and if so, how) it is coded in the primary sequence.

Although only a small fraction of proteins identified in our study contained bipartite nuclear localization sequences (as mapped by ScanProsite) the identity of several of these proteins is intriguing. Three myosin isoforms (9, 10, and 11) contained possible nuclear localization sequences. Of the three isoforms only myosin-10 has been reported to have a nuclear-specific function, that of spindle assembly and nuclei positioning during meiosis as observed in Xenopus embryos (55). A nuclear specific myosin I isoform (identified in our study) has been found to contain an N-terminal motif necessary for its localization to the nuclear interior (56, 57).

Cardiac DNA is exceptionally heterochromatic, a feature reflected in various aspects of our analyses. A number of proteins involved in cellular differentiation and the inhibition of cell proliferation were identified in this study, including the transcriptional regulator p204 (58) and the histone methyltransferase Smyd1 (59), which have both been separately shown to be necessary for differentiation of embryonic progenitor cells into functional cardiomyocytes. A number of proteins involved in heterochromatin formation and transcriptional silencing were identified, including heterochromatin protein 1-binding protein 3 (60), methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (61), and transcription intermediary factor 1-β (62). Although these three proteins have established roles in maintaining transcriptionally silenced chromatin regions, their roles in the cardiomyocyte have only begun to be explored (Bissonnette and colleagues examined Mecp2-null mice and revealed no alterations in cardiac phenotype (63)).

As discussed above, the heterochromatic state of cardiac DNA makes the execution of rapid and precise transcriptional responses particularly important: genes to be ex-
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pressed must be rendered structurally accessible for transcriptional machinery and genes to be repressed must be maintained structurally inaccessible to prevent aberrant expression. Cross-referencing protein identification numbers (IPI) with gene identifiers (ref-seq/UCSC) allowed us to explore which regions of the genome contribute proteins to the nucleus (Fig. 4). Although our understanding of the structural constraints affecting global gene expression on a genome-wide scale is in its infancy, this type of analysis will contribute insights into the bidirectional relationship between the expressed proteome and genome structure, and how this in turn influences phenotype in different cell types.

Novel Histone Variants—Once thought to be inert chromatin structural proteins, histones have more recently been shown to function as transcriptional regulators. Several variants of the canonical histone family have been described—which are incorporated into chromatin during cell division—with additional inducible variants found to be expressed (and integrated into chromatin) in a replication-independent manner (64–66). Tissue and species specific variants have also been identified (67, 68). However, there remain a number of histone genes which have been cataloged in the mouse and human genome with no corresponding evidence for their expression at the protein level. This lack of identification may be because of low expression levels, unexplored tissue- or disease-specific expression, or the challenges associated with identifying histones by mass spectrometry. In general the identification of histones (at the protein level) has been impeded by their small size, which results in fewer peptides to detect in a data-dependent LC-MS/MS experiment, extensive modification (for example, detection of unmodified peptides from cardiac histone H3 is exceedingly rare in our hands, hence the reporting of modified peptides in supplemental Fig. 4) and the abundance of lysine and arginine residues, which interfere with enzymatic digestion prior to mass spectrometry. Using a combination of digestion protocols subsequent to the aforementioned subfractionation, we were able to identify five histone variants never before reported in the heart (four of which had never been detected at the protein level in any cell type, in addition to 12 other isoforms): H2A (IPI00665557), H2B (IPI00459318), H3.1t (IPI00277753), H3 (IPI00379693), and H3 (IPI00108200). Although the cataloging of their genetic loci predicted their existence, only H3.1t has ever been identified at the protein level (and this identification was in testes (69)) with an additional two having been previously identified in high-throughput mRNA screens. The human homolog of H3.1t was originally reported to exhibit testis-specific expression by RNA hybridization (70), but the transcript has since been identified in mouse brain and embryo by rt-PCR (71), although its function remains unknown. Additional variants, originally reported to have testis-specific expression (including H1t), have also been identified in somatic tissues. The implications of expression of these protein variants in the adult mouse heart open intriguing possibilities for DNA packaging and transcriptional regulation, to be further explored in future studies.

Proteomics has been employed to characterize whole cardiac tissue (72–76) as well as some cardiac organelles, including mitochondria (54, 77–84), and myofilaments (85, 86). Only one study of which we are aware has characterized intact nuclei from heart (37), and none have examined subnuclear compartments. Kislinger et al. performed a large-scale proteomic analysis of four organelles from six mouse tissues, including the cardiac nucleus, from which 1044 proteins were identified. UniProt-based comparison indicated 367 proteins in common between the Kislinger et al. paper and the current study. We attribute these differences primarily to the use of subfractionation in our study. Other methodological differences between our studies include trypsin and chymotrypsin separately (present study) versus trypsin and Lys-C combined (37), SDS-PAGE plus C18 for separation (present study) versus C18 and SCX (37) and nuances in peptide/protein identification.

Commercial kits have been marketed for enrichment of nuclei; however, when followed by rigorous biochemical and proteomic analyses, these kits exhibited a poor ability to enrich nuclear proteins (36). Furthermore, previous investigations showed that classical density centrifugation-based approaches (which were the basis for our work in the current study) performed better to enrich substructures of the nucleus (36). Whether hearts are fresh (as in the present study) or frozen (36) also plays a fundamental role in the ability to enrich individual organelles and should be taken into account when designing any proteomic investigation. Both approaches (fresh versus frozen) have relative strengths and weaknesses.

Summary and Outlook—In summary, we report proteomic dissection of the cardiac nucleus using a combination of subfractionation, multiple digestion enzymes, and high mass accuracy mass spectrometry. Our analyses identified proteins from all major compartments of the nucleus: outer nuclear membrane (ribosomes), inner nuclear membrane (importin, exportin), nuclear structural proteins (laminins, myosin), chromatin (both structural and regulatory; i.e. histones, chromatin remodeling), transcriptional machinery (transcription factors and regulators), splicing machinery (hnRNP, sNRNP), and inner nuclear structures including nucleolus, paraspeckles, and Cajal bodies.

A consideration for the interpretation of this study is the use of intact hearts, rather than isolated cardiac myocytes, as the source of nuclei. Although cardiomyocytes make up 50%–70% of cardiac mass, the nuclear proteins we map are undoubtedly a mixture of proteins from cardiomyocytes and other cells present in the heart (including but not limited to fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and smooth muscle cells). Because the heart functions as an organ, and not as isolated cells, it can be viewed as an advantage to capture the proteomic information contained in all cells in the organ, rather than biasing for the contractile cells. Our rationale for exam-
ining the entire heart, then, was that we wanted to capture the nuclear proteome in a state as close as possible to that in vivo, which would be impossible were we to isolate adult cells by enzymatic dissociation. The reality is that both approaches (intact organ and isolated cell) must be taken to overcome the limitations of both. This discovery-based dissection of the basal cardiac nucleus will enable future investigations into the changes in proteome dynamics that accompany heart disease.
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