MCTS1 promotes the development of lung adenocarcinoma by regulating E2F1 expression
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Abstract. Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the most common subtype of lung cancer that results in the majority of cancer-associated mortality. Multiple copies in T-cell lymphoma-1 (MCTS1) is an oncogene that is expressed at high levels in several types of cancer tissues. However, its exact role and pathomechanism in the development of LUAD remains unknown. Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR analysis was performed to detect MCTS1 expression. Immunohistochemistry analysis was performed to detect MCTS1 expression in LUAD tissues and normal tissues. The MTT, colony formation, EdU, flow cytometry, wound healing and Transwell assays were performed to assess the proliferation, apoptosis, migration and invasion of LUAD cells. Western blot analysis was performed to detect protein expression levels. The present study aimed to investigate the effects of MCTS1 on the progression of LUAD and the potential mechanisms underlying its effects. The results demonstrated that MCTS1 expression was upregulated in LUAD tissues and cells, which was associated with an unfavorable outcome in patients with LUAD. MCTS1 knockdown inhibited LUAD progression by suppressing cell viability and motility, and promoting apoptosis. In addition, E2F1 protein expression was attenuated following MCTS1 knockdown. The silencing MCTS1-induced inhibitory effect on LUAD malignancy was reversed following overexpression of E2F1 by modulating the c-Myc signaling pathway. Taken together, the results of the present study suggest that MCTS1 facilitates cell proliferation and migration, and suppresses apoptosis of LUAD cells by regulating E2F1 expression and the c-Myc signaling pathway.

Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common cancer and the leading cause of cancer-associated mortality worldwide (1,2). The majority of patients with lung cancer are diagnosed during advanced stages (3). However, for patients diagnosed at early stages and who receive surgical resection or definitive chemotherapy, the recurrence rate is as high as 90% (3). Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the most common subtype of lung cancer (4,5). Currently, increasing evidence suggest that the prognosis of patients with LUAD is far from ideal, with a 5-year survival rate of only 15% (6). Thus, it is important to identify the effective markers for diagnosis and novel therapeutic targets of LUAD.

Multiple copies in T-cell lymphoma-1 (MCTS1), also known as MCT-1, is an oncogene that was initially identified to be upregulated in T-cell lymphoma (7). Increasing evidence suggest that targeting MCTS1 can promote genomic instability and tumorigenesis in different types of cancer (8-10). MCTS1 is associated with lymphomagenesis, including the role of stimulation of cell proliferation and angiogenesis, and inhibition of apoptosis (11). Overexpression of MCTS1 attenuates cell doubling time, shortens the period of G1 transition and promotes the expression of cyclin D1 in diffuse large B-cell lymphomas (12). Weng et al (13) reported that MCTS1 expression is a novel prognostic marker in breast cancer, and upregulation of MCTS1 promotes epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and activates matrix metalloproteinase in triple-negative breast cancer cell. Notably, upregulated MCTS1 expression accelerates the progression of lung cancer by regulating the YY1-EGFR-MnSOD signaling pathway (13). In addition, MCTS1 mediating IL-6/Stat3 signaling promotes the stemness of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells (8). However, the role of MCTS1 in LUAD remains largely unknown.

The E2F family is composed of E2F1-3a and E2F3b, 4-8, which is predominantly regulated by the retinoblastoma family and plays a key role in various cellular behaviors (14,15). E2F1 is the most extensively studied transcription factor in different types of human cancer (16-20). It contains conserved DNA binding domains and through these putative domains, E2F1 can closely bind to the promoter of target genes and modulate the expression of genes participating in the cell cycle (21). E2F1 has also been identified as a key transcription factor
in NSCLC (22). However, the association between E2F1 and MCTS1 in LUAD remains unknown.

The present study aimed to investigate the effects of MCTS1 on the progression of LUAD and the potential mechanisms underlying its effects. The results of the present study demonstrated that MCTS1 functions as an oncogene in the development of LUAD. MCTS1 expression was upregulated in patients with LUAD, which was associated with unfavorable prognosis. Mechanistically, MCTS1 knockdown notably suppressed LUAD cell viability and motility. The potential pathomechanism between MCTS1 and E2F1 in LUAD progression was also investigated. Taken together, the results of the present study suggest that MCTS1 may be used as a prospective biomarker to predict the therapeutic outcomes for patients with LUAD.

Materials and methods

Tumor tissue samples. The expression of MCTS1 in LUAD tissues was analyzed using the UALCAN cancer OMICs database (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/index.html) from The Cancer Genome Atlas Lung Adenocarcinoma (TCGA-LUAD) cohort, including 59 normal tissues and 515 tumor tissues. Patients with sufficient follow-up information were included in the overall survival analysis, using the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA2, http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn) database.

Tumor tissues and paired peripheral normal lung tissues (2 cm away from the tumor margin) were collected from 30 patients with LUAD (18 men and 12 women; age range, 28-79 years; median age, 53.5 years) who underwent resection surgery at Qilu Hospital of Shandong University between January 2018 and December 2019. The inclusion criteria were as follows: i) None of the patients received neoadjuvant therapy; ii) study patients were diagnosed with LUAD by histopathology and iii) diagnosis was confirmed by two independent pathologists. Complete clinicopathological data for the 30 paired LUAD samples were available upon request. The present study was approved and supervised by the Medical Ethics Committee of Qilu Hospital of Shandong University (Jinan, China; approval no. KYLL-2016-097) and written informed consent was provided by all patients prior to the study start.

Cell lines and small interfering (si)RNA transfection. The human LUAD cell lines, H1573, H23, H1299, A549, H1703 and H1915, and the normal lung cell line, IMR90, were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin and streptomycin sulphate (both Hyclone; Cytiva), at 37°C with 5% CO2. The H1573 cell line was authenticated via short tandem repeat profiling to eliminate cross-contamination.

siRNAs (Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd.) were used to knockdown MCTS1 expression. pcDNA3.1 vector (Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd.) was used to overexpress E2F1 expression in LUAD cells (H1573 and H1299). si-MCTS1-1, si-MCTS1-2, negative control siRNA (si-NC), pcDNA3.1-E2F1 and pcDNA3.1-NC were designed and synthesized by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. The following sequences were used: siMCTS1-1 forward, 5'-CCCUAAGAUUACUUC ACAATT-3' and reverse, 5'-UUGUGAAGUAUCUAGG CTTT-3'; and siMCTS1-2 forward, 5'-GCAAUUCCAGG UAUUGAATT-3' and reverse, 5'-UUCAAUACCUGCAAA UUGCCT-3'. For siRNA and pcDNA3.1 transfection, cells were seeded into 6-well plates at a density of 1x10^4 cells/well and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. LUAD cells were transected with siRNAs (5 nM) or pcDNA3.1 vector (50 nM) using Lipofectamine® 3000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Transfection efficiency was assessed via reverse-transcription quantitative (RT-q)PCR analysis 48 h post-transfection.

MTT assay. A total of 1x10^4 H1573 and H1299 cells were seeded into a 96-well plate and incubated for 24 h at room temperature. When the cells reached 80% confluence, 10 µl MTT reagent (5 mg/ml; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) was added to each well and cells were incubated for an additional 2 h with dimethyl sulfoxide (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.). Cell proliferation was analyzed at a wavelength of 490 nm under a spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

EdU assay. H1573 and H1299 cells were initially incubated with 50 µM EdU solution (cat. no. ab222421; Abcam) at 37°C for 2 h. Cells were subsequently fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 37°C for 30 min and treated with 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) at 37°C for 15 min. Cells were washed twice with PBS and 100 µl Hoechst 33342 stain (cat. no. ab228551; Abcam) was subsequently added to each well for 30 min at 37°C. EdU positive cells were observed under a fluorescence microscope (Olympus Corporation, magnification, x100).

Colony formation assay. Tumor cells (H1573 and H1299) were seeded into 6-well plates at a density of 500 cells/well and cultured at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 2 weeks. Cell colonies were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 37°C for 20 min and subsequently stained with 0.1% crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) at 37°C for 10 min. Cell colonies were manually counted using a stereomicroscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., magnification, x40).

Transwell migration assay. A total of 1x10^4 H1573 and H1299 cells were plated in the upper chambers of Transwell plates in 200 µl RPMI-1640 serum-free medium (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). RPMI-1640 medium (600 µl, Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was plated in the lower chambers. Following incubation for 48 h at 37°C, the migratory cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at 37°C and subsequently stained with 0.1% crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) at 37°C for 10 min. Stained cells were manually counted in five randomly selected fields using a stereomicroscope (magnification, x200; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

Wound healing assay. The wound healing assay was performed to assess cell migration. Cells (H1573 and H1299) were seeded into a 6-well plate for 24 h at 37°C using serum-free medium. Once the cells reached ~80% confluence (or more) the monolayers were scratched using a sterile 200 µl pipette tip. Cells...
were washed with PBS to remove debris and the attached cells were cultured for an additional 48 h. The wounded areas were observed under an inverted light microscope (Olympus Corporation, magnification, x100).

Flow cytometric analysis. Cell apoptosis was detected via flow cytometric analysis, using propidium iodide (PI) and Annexin V staining (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Briefly, transfected cells (H1573 and H1299) were harvested and resuspended in pre-cooled PBS buffer. Cells were centrifuged (300 x g for 5 min at 37°C) and resuspended in binding buffer (200 µL, Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and incubated with 5 µL Annexin V FITC and PI at room temperature for 15 min in the dark. Apoptotic cells were subsequently analyzed using the FACS Scan (BD Biosciences).

RT-qPCR. Total RNA was extracted from H1573, H23, H1299, A549, H1703, H1915, and IMR90 cells using either TRIzol™ reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) or the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Inc.). Total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the PrimeScript™ RT reagent kit (Takara Bio, Inc.). RT was performed at 16°C for 30 min, 42°C for 30 min and 85°C for 5 min. qPCR was subsequently performed using the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Takara Bio, Inc.) on the ABI 7900 system. The following thermostability conditions were used for qPCR: 95°C for 10 sec followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 10 sec, 60°C for 20 sec and 72°C for 30 sec. The following primer sequences were used for qPCR: MCTS1 forward, 5'-TTCCTCTGTGTGAGGGGATCT-3' and reverse, 5'-ATAGAAAATCGGCCCCCTGCT-3'; E2F1 forward, 5'-GTGCTCTCACTGGCTTACAC-3' and reverse, 5'-CTGCACTTCTCGCCCCCTTGG-3'; and GAPDH forward, 5'-GCACCGTCAAGGGCTGAGAAC-3' and reverse, 5'-ATG GTGGTGAAGACGCCAGT-3'. Relative expression levels were calculated using the 2−ΔΔCq method (23) and normalized to the internal reference gene GAPDH.

Western blotting. Total protein was extracted from H1573, H23, H1299, A549, H1703, H1915, and IMR90 cells using RIPA buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Total protein was quantified using the BCA Protein Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 15 µg protein/lane was separated on SDS-PAGE on a 10% gel. The separated proteins were subsequently transferred onto PVDF membranes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and blocked with 5% non-fat milk at 37°C for 1 h in the dark. The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies against MCTS1 (cat. no. ab202678), E-cadherin (cat. no. ab227639), N-cadherin (cat. no. ab76011), Vimentin (cat. no. ab92547), B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bel-2, cat. no. ab82858), Bax (cat. no. ab32503), E2F1 (cat. no. ab4070) and GAPDH (cat. no. ab181602) overnight at 4°C (all 1:1,000 and purchased from Abcam). Following the primary incubation, the membranes were incubated with secondary antibody (1:1,000; Abcam; cat. no. ab150077) at room temperature for 30 min. The slides were subsequently stained with DAB (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) at room temperature for 30 sec, and observed under a stereomicroscope (magnification, x200; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

Bioinformatics analysis. Data on MCTS1 expression in patients with LUAD was retrieved from TCGA data portal (http://cancergenome.nih.gov). UALCAN was used (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/index.html) to plot the figures of genes expression. Overall survival analysis of patients with LUAD, based on MCTS1 expression, was performed using GEPIA (http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn). Patients with LUAD were divided into high (MCTS1 expression >median, n=238) and low (MCTS1 expression ≤ median, n=239) MCTS1 expression groups. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) (24) was performed on TCGA database dataset (LUAD; https://cancergenome.nih.gov/) of MCTS1 expression using R package clusterProfiler (25). For GSEA, MCTS1 expression was treated as a numeric variable. The Pearson correlation coefficient of other genes and MCTS1 expression was calculated, and then the genes were sequenced according to the correlation coefficient. Using the hallmark gene sets deposited in the GSEA Molecular Signatures Database resource (h.all.v7.1.symbols.gmt, https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/index.jsp), the differential pathways between the high-MCTS1 expression and low-MCTS1 expression specimens were identified. The number of permutations was 1,000. NES (normalized enrichment score) >1 and FDR (false discovery rate) q-val<0.05 were set as cut-offs for significant enrichment.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.) and SPSS 22.0 software (IBM Corp.). All experiments were performed in triplicate and data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank test. The χ2 test was used to analyze the association between MCTS1 expression and the clinicopathological characteristics of patients with LUAD. A two-tailed paired Student's t-test was used to determine statistical differences in MCTS1 expression between LUAD tissues and matched adjacent normal tissues. Unpaired Student's t-test was used to compare differences between two groups, while one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test were used to compare differences between multiple groups. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.
Results

**MCTS1 expression is upregulated in LUAD, which is associated with unfavorable prognosis.** TCGA database was used to determine whether MCTS1 was aberrantly expressed in LUAD tissues compared with normal tissues. As presented in Fig. 1A, MCTS1 expression was upregulated in LUAD tissues compared with normal tissues. To predict the prognostic value of MCTS1, patients with LUAD were classified into two groups, the high and low MCTS1 expression groups, based on the mean value (cut-off value=0.5, P=0.01, Fig. 1B). Survival analysis demonstrated that patients with high
MCTS1 expression had a shorter overall survival time, while low MCTS1 expression was associated with better prognosis (Fig. 1C). Taken together, these results suggest that MCTS1 may be involved in the progression of LUAD, and highlight the need to investigate its cellular mechanisms.

The association between MCTS1 expression and clinicopathological characteristics was analyzed using profiles of patients with LUAD downloaded from TCGA database. As presented in Fig. 1D, MCTS1 expression was upregulated in LUAD tissues of patients at different stages. Furthermore, MCTS1 expression was upregulated in patients with different node metastasis status compared with the healthy controls (Fig. 1E). Taken together, these results suggest that MCTS1 expression is significantly associated with stage and node metastasis in LUAD.

RT-qPCR and IHC analyses validated upregulated MCTS1 expression in LUAD samples (Fig. 1F and G). Similarly, tissue samples resected from 30 patients with LUAD exhibited high MCTS1 expression compared with adjacent normal tissues (Fig. 1H). High MCTS1 expression levels were detected in H1573 and H1299 cells compared with the other cell lines (A549, H1703, H23 and H1915) and IMR90 cells (Fig. 1I and J). Thus, H1573 and H1299 cells were selected for subsequent experimentation.

MCTS1 knockdown inhibits cell proliferation, migration and invasion, and increases the apoptotic rate in LUAD cancers.

To assess the effect of MCTS1 in LUAD, an in vitro model of MCTS1 knockdown was established and the changes in cellular behaviors were investigated. The results demonstrated that transfection with siMCTS1-1/siMCTS1-2 significantly attenuated MCTS1 mRNA expression in both H1573 and H1299 cells (Fig. 2A). The MTT, EdU, colony formation, wound healing, Transwell and flow cytometry assays were subsequently performed to determine whether aberrant MCTS1 expression affects LUAD cellular malignant behaviors. The results of the MTT assay demonstrated that transfection with siMCTS1-1/siMCTS1-2 markedly inhibited the proliferative ability of H1573 and H1299 cells (Fig. 2B). Similarly, the results...
of the EdU assay indicated that the proportion of proliferative H1573 and H1299 cells decreased following transfection with siMCTS1-1/siMCTS1-2, suggesting that the proliferation ability had been impaired (Fig. 2C). The results of the colony formation assay demonstrated that MCTS1 knockdown impaired clonogenicity of LUAD cells (Fig. 2D). Similarly, the wound healing and Transwell assays indicated that MCTS1 knockdown significantly suppressed the migratory and proliferative abilities of LUAD cells (Fig. 3A and B).

The EMT related proteins were investigated, and the results demonstrated that MCTS1 knockdown significantly increased E-cadherin expression, while the expression levels of N-cadherin and Vimentin decreased (Fig. 3C). Flow cytometric analysis indicated that MCTS1 knockdown increased the apoptotic rate of LUAD cells (Fig. 3D). Given that Bcl-2 and Bax are the most representative cellular apoptotic proteins (26), their relative expression levels were detected. The results demonstrated that transfection with siMCTS1-1/siMCTS1-2 inhibited Bcl-2 expression but increased Bax expression (Fig. 3E). Collectively, these results suggest that MCTS1 is closely associated with the proliferation of LUAD cells in vitro.

**MCTS1 regulates E2F1 expression.** GSEA (version 3.0, http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp) was performed to determine the potential cellular mechanism of MCTS1 in LUAD, and to identify the pathways and corresponding biomarkers between disease and healthy controls with distinct CIMP status in TCGA cohort. The annotated gene set file (h.all.v7.1.symbols.gmt) was used as the reference gene. P<0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. The results demonstrated that MCTS1 was significantly associated with the E2F family (Fig. 4A and B). Notably, a previous study suggested that upregulation of MCTS1 modulates E2F1 expression at the translational level (27). Thus, it was speculated that E2F1 may participate in the regulation of MCTS1 in LUAD. To verify this hypothesis, RT-qPCR and western blot analyses were performed to detect E2F1 expression in LUAD cells. The results demonstrated that transfection with siMCTS1-1/siMCTS1-2 markedly inhibited E2F1 expression in H1573 and H1299 cells (Fig. 4C). In addition, considering the importance of E2F1 in the c-Myc pathway (28-30), c-Myc and cyclin E protein expression were detected in MCTS1-silencing LUAD cells, and the results demonstrated that MCTS1 knockdown attenuated both c-Myc and cyclin E expression (Fig. 4C). Taken together, these results suggest that MCTS1 and E2F1 may be involved in LUAD development by modulating the c-Myc pathway. In addition, transfection with pcDNA3.1-E2F1 significantly promoted MCTS1 mRNA expression in both H1573 and H1299 cells (Fig. 4D).

**Overexpression of E2F1 reverses the inhibitory effect of MCTS1 knockdown on LUAD cellular malignant behaviors.** To determine whether the oncogenic role of MCTS1 is mediated by E2F1, further functional experiments were performed in H1573 and H1299 cells. Western blot analyses of E2F1 and MCTS1 are presented in both E2F1 overexpression and si-MCTS1 groups in Fig. 5A. The results demonstrated that MCTS1 knockdown decreased E2F1 expression at the translation level. The results of the MTT assay demonstrated that overexpression of E2F1...
remarkably reversed the anti-proliferation ability of MCTS1 knockdown in H1573 and H1299 cells (Fig. 5B). Consistently, the results of the Transwell assay demonstrated that the suppressive migratory effect of LUAD cells by MCTS1 knockdown...
was reversed following overexpression of E2F1 (Fig. 5C). Flow cytometric analysis demonstrated that the increasing apoptotic rate induced by MCTS1 knockdown was markedly attenuated following overexpression of E2F1 in LUAD cells (Fig. 5D). Collectively, these results suggest that MCTS1 promotes cellular malignant behaviors of LUAD cells and is closely associated with E2F1 regulation.

Discussion

Lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer-associated mortality worldwide, and LUAD is the most common subtype, accounting for ~40% of all lung cancer cases (31,32). Increasing evidence suggest that patients with LUAD have detrimental outcomes owing to the lack of effective therapy (33). Thus, it is important to investigate the therapeutic targets for LUAD treatment. Currently, the variants in EGFR, BRAF, and KRAS have been confirmed as the primary pro-cancer candidates in lung cancer (34,35). MCTS1 has received widespread attention due to its involvement in various malignancies, including lung and colon cancers (36,37). Guo et al (28) suggested that MCTS1 and MCTS4 in cancer-endothelial co-culturing micro-environments elevate the proliferation, migration and invasion of renal cancer cells. MCTS1 is the main contributor of lactate uptake in tumor cells and its expression in prostate cancer cells is associated with upregulated LDH-5 expression (38). High MCTS1 expression stimulates cell viability, survival and anchorage-independent growth (7,39). The oncogenicity of MCTS1 is able to overturn the inhibitory effect of p53, and thus continuously accelerate tumorigenesis (40). In addition, MCTS1 is also associated with the stem cell property of A549 cells (8), which suggests the important effect of MCTS1 in lung cancer development. However, to the best of our knowledge, only a few studies have investigated the role of MCTS1 in LUAD (8,41). The present study analyzed the expression profiles derived from TCGA database and discovered that MCTS1 expression is upregulated in LUAD tissues, which was associated with the clinical stages and metastasis. Furthermore, functional experiments demonstrated that MCTS1 facilitated proliferation and migration, while impairing apoptosis of LUAD cells. Taken together, these results suggest that MCTS1 may promote the progression of LUAD by modulating tumor cell behaviors.

MCTS1 is implicated in multiple molecular mechanisms with broad cellular activity, which has not yet been fully elucidated (42,43). MCTS1 protein can interact with the cap complex and ribosome via the possible RNA-binding motif, a PUA domain, suggesting that MCTS1 may play a crucial role in mRNA translation (44). In addition, over the past decades, several researchers have verified that mRNA translation functions as an essential control point in cell proliferation and differentiation (45). The induction of malignant transformation of cells is associated with the abnormal translation capacity (46). To determine the underlying molecular mechanism of MCTS1 in LUAD, GSEA pathway analyses were performed in the present study. The results demonstrated that MCTS1 expression was associated with E2F1. A total of 15% of all human genes are regulated by the c-Myc protein, and it is well-known that aberrant c-Myc expression is closely associated with tumorigenesis (28,47). E2F1 also participates in the control of c-Myc signals, depending on the oncogenic stress (48,49). Notably, a previous study reported that ectopic MCTS1 expression can promote translational initiation of tumorigenesis-related genes, including E2F1 (27). MCTS1 contains the PUA domain, a RNA-binding domain that can interact with the cap complex through its PUA domain and recruits the density-regulated protein, containing the SU11 translation initiation domain (27). MCTS1 binds to the cap complex of E2F1 mRNAs and enhance its translation (1). In the present study, RT-qPCR and western blot analyses were performed to determine the transcription and translation relevance between MCTS1 and E2F1 in LUAD. The results demonstrated that MCTS1 knockdown decreased E2F1 expression at the translation level but no effect was observed at the transcription level. Furthermore, rescue experiments demonstrated that the suppressive effect of MCTS1 knockdown on LUAD cellular behaviors was reversed following overexpression of E2F1.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated that MCTS1 expression was upregulated in LUAD samples, which was associated with poor outcomes in patients with LUAD. Functionally, MCTS1 knockdown attenuated cell proliferation and migration, while inducing apoptosis of LUAD cells. Notably, the anticancer role of MCTS1 knockdown was reversed following overexpression of E2F1. Taken together, these results suggest that MCTS1 may function as a prospective therapeutic target in the management of LUAD treatment by mediating E2F1 and c-Myc signaling pathways.
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