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ABSTRACT
There has been a tremendous growth in multimedia applications over wireless networks. Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks (WMSNs) have become the premier choice in many research communities and industry. Many state-of-art applications, such as surveillance, traffic monitoring, and remote health care are essentially video tracking and transmission in WMSNs. The transmission speed is constrained by the big file size of video data and fixed bandwidth allocation in constant routing paths. In this paper, we present a CamShift based algorithm to compress the tracking of videos. Then we propose a bandwidth balancing strategy in which each sensor node is able to dynamically select the next hop with the highest potential bandwidth capacity to resume communication. Key to this strategy is that each node merely maintains two parameters that contain its historical bandwidth varying trend and then predict its near future bandwidth capacity. Then, the forwarding node selects the next hop with the highest potential bandwidth capacity. Simulations demonstrate that our approach significantly increases the data received by the sink node and decreases the delay on video transmission in Wireless Multimedia Sensor Network environments.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks (WMSNs) have emerged as one of the key technologies for wireless communications. They are undergoing rapid development and have inspired numerous applications because of their advantages. More recently, the availability of inexpensive hardware such as CMOS cameras and microphones that are able to ubiquitously capture multimedia content from the environment has fostered the development of WMSNs, which are networks of wirelessely interconnected devices that allow retrieval of video and audio streams, still images, and scalar sensor data[1].

Wireless multimedia sensor networks will enable several new applications[2], which include: First, Surveillance. Video and audio sensors will be used to enhance and complement existing surveillance systems against crime and terrorist attacks. Large scale networks of video sensors can extend the ability of law-enforcement agencies to monitor areas, public events, private property, and borders. Second, Traffic Monitoring and Enforcement. It will be possible to monitor car traffic in big cities or highways and deploy services that offer traffic routing advice to avoid congestion. Third, Personal and Health Care. Multimedia sensor networks can be used to monitor and study the behavior of people as a means to identify the causes and transmission paths of illnesses. Fourth, Environmental and Industrial. Several projects on habitat monitoring that use acoustic and video feeds are being envisaged, in which information has to be conveyed in a time-critical fashion.

Despite of the fact that wireless bandwidth has been increasing significantly, from a theoretical limit of 11Mbps for 802.11b to 54 Mbps for 802.11g, and to 540 Mbps for 802.11n, there has always been a high bandwidth demand resulting from multimedia data[3]. Moreover, the routing path from a node to the sink node is normally created by a kind of routing protocol and the path is fixed. Hence some nodes are afforded busy traffic but other nodes that are not in the routing path are idle. For video tracking, video data is frequently transferred over network. It is extremely impor-
tant to compress video data and find the best transmission strategy. In this paper, we developed a novel compression video tracking and bandwidth balancing mechanism to boost the video transmission speed in WMSNs. Our contributions are twofold. First, we present a CamShift-based algorithm to compress tracking of videos. Then we propose a bandwidth balancing strategy in which each sensor node is able to dynamically select the node for the next hop with the highest potential bandwidth capacity to resume communication. Our approach significantly increases the data received by the sink node and decreases the latency of video transmission in Wireless Multimedia Sensor Network environments.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II discusses the related research on this topic. Section III proposes a novel method that selects the best relay station. We evaluate the proposed schemes via simulations and describe the performance results in Section IV. Section V concludes the paper.

2. RELATED WORK
Various approaches regarding video processing [7, 14, 15, 16, 17] and transmission [6, 11, 12, 13, 20, 21] over WMSNs have been proposed. Q. Cai et al. [25, 26] proposed a dynamic action recognition, which is important in video capturing in WMSNs. In their approach, a Dynamic Structure Preserving Map is proposed to effectively recognize objects’ actions in video sequences. They modified and improved the adaptive learning procedure in a self-organizing map (SOM) to capture the dynamics of best matching neurons through Markov random walks. The method can learn implicit spatial-temporal correlations from sequential action feature sets and preserve the intrinsic topologies characterized by different human motions. The mechanism is able to learn low-level features in challenging video data. The projection from high dimensional action features to low dimensional latent neural distribution significantly reduces the computational cost and data redundancy in the recognition process.

Ahmed et al. [29] studied improving the quality of MPEG-4 transmission on wireless using Differentiated Services. They investigated QoS provisioning between MPEG-4 video applications and Differentiated flows. To achieve the best possible QoS all the components involved in the transmission process must collaborate. For example, the server must use stream properties to describe the QoS requirement for each stream to the network. They propose a solution by distinguishing the video data into important ones and less important ones. Packets marked as less important are dropped in the first case if there is any congestion, so that the receiver can regenerate the video with the received important information.

Budagavi et al. [30] improved the performance of video over wireless channels by multiframe video coding. The multiframe coder uses the redundancy that exists across multiple frames in a typical video conferencing sequences so that additional compression can be achieved using their MF-BMC (Multi Frame - Block Motion Compensation) approach. They modeled the error propagation using a Markov chain, and concluded that use of multiple frames in motion increases the robustness. Their proposed MF-BMC scheme has been shown to be more robust on wireless networks when compared to the base-level H.263 codec which uses SF-BMC (Single Frame -BMC).

J. Zhang et al.[4, 5] developed a wildlife monitoring system based on wireless image sensor networks. In their study, an architecture for a wildlife monitoring system based on wireless image sensor networks was presented to overcome the shortcomings of the traditional monitoring methods. Specifically, some key issues including design of wireless image sensor nodes and software process development were studied and presented. A self-powered rotatable wireless infrared image sensor node and an aggregation node designed for large amounts of data were developed. In addition, their corresponding software was designed. The proposed system is able to monitor wildlife accurately, automatically, and remotely in all-weather condition, which lays the foundation for applications of wireless image sensor networks in wildlife monitoring.

Q. Sun et al. proposed wireless sensor monitoring systems [22, 23, 24]. Compared with conventional image and video based monitoring systems, wireless sensor monitoring systems are an alternative technology for pattern recognition in multimedia due to low cost, low data throughput, self-management, and high robustness under various conditions. Nowadays, such wireless sensor based sensing systems have been widely investigated, especially in human sensing. Specifically, among various wireless sensors, pyroelectric infrared (PIR) sensors and fiber-optic sensors are the most popular ones in human tracking, human identification, and activity recognition fields. Due to the low data throughput property of wireless sensors, the most challenging issues are efficient information acquisition scheme design and light-weight learning algorithm development. A classical work for information acquisition design with a geometric sampling structure and a pseudo-random visibility modulation is created. Such design can effectively reduce the effects of occlusion and energy variation, and thus, enhance information acquisition. Another milestone work proposed a space encoding scheme to avoid the ambiguity generated by bipedal movements of humans. This scheme is able to dramatically reduce the false alarm rate in human localization for fiber-optic sensors. With respect to light-weight learning algorithm design, the authors presented a Bayesian networks and region of interests (ROI) based reasoning method. This method can be applied to any sensor modalities.

J. Campbell et al.[31] presented IRISNET, a sensor network architecture that enables the creation of a planetary-scale infrastructure of multimedia sensors that can be shared by a large number of applications. To ensure the efficient collection of sensor readings, IRISNET enables the application-specific processing of sensor feeds on the significant computation resources that are typically attached to multimedia sensors. IRISNET enables the storage of sensor readings close to their source by providing a convenient and extensible distributed XML database infrastructure. Finally, IRISNET provides a number of multimedia processing primitives that enable the effective processing of sensor feeds in-network and at-sensor.

S. Shen et al. developed new sensing technologies based on nanostructured devices, which significantly reduced the
physical size and weight of sensor nodes and therefore promoted the flexible and cost-effective deployment of wireless sensor networks[8, 9, 10].

3. PROBLEM FORMULATION

To boost the transmission speed of video data over WMSNs, our mechanism works on two levels. One is video tracking and compression. The second is bandwidth-balancing routing.

3.1 Video tracking and compression

We present CamShift based tracking algorithm based on Histogram Back-projection[32] and Mean Shift Algorithm[33]. The mean shift algorithm works well on static probability distributions but not on dynamic ones. Our approach detects the peak in the probability distribution image by applying mean shift while handling dynamic distributions by readjusting the search window size for the next frame based on the 0th moment of the current frame’s distribution, which allows the algorithm to anticipate object movement to quickly track the object in the next scene. Specifically, the search area can be restricted around the last known position of the target, resulting in possibly large computational savings. In a single image, the process is iterated until convergence to an upper bound on the number of iterations is reached. The detection algorithm is applied to successive frames of a video sequence to track the target. This type of scheme introduces a feed-back loop, in which the result of the detection is used as input to the next detection process.

Algorithm 1 Improved CamShift

1: Set the region of interest of the probability distribution image to the entire image
2: Select an initial location of the Mean Shift 2D search window $W$ (scale and location) in the first frame. The selected location is the target distribution to be tracked
3: Calculate a color probability distribution of the region centered at the Mean Shift search window, and the region should be slightly bigger than the mean shift search window
4: Perform mean shift on the area until suitable convergence ($T=1$). Store the 0th moment (distribution area) and centroid location
5: The search window for the next frame is centered around the centroid and the size is scaled by a function of the 0th movement; Go to step 3

In Algorithm 1, we choose 1.0 as the threshold of convergence to get a satisfying tracking result. The location of the search window is used to help track movement.

3.2 Bandwidth Balancing Routing

In WMSNs, sensor nodes collect video information, process video, and transmit data to the sink node (base station). The sink node then sends data to the Internet. Fig. 1 shows an instance of WMSNs, in which sensor nodes handle video data and transfer the data to the sink node, then to the Internet. The communications over nodes are based on channels. Channel bandwidth is critical for transmission speed. We define the residual bandwidth ability (RBA) for a node as its possible bandwidth deducting the real bandwidth over its channel. For instance, if the possible bandwidth for a node is 100MB/S, and traffic occupies 60MB/S, then its RBA is 40MB/S. We use a simple case to illustrate our main idea. Suppose node a collects video data and has got it processed. Then it intends to send the data to the sink node. The routing path can be set up by any routing algorithm. Normally, it is fixed when it is set up. The path a-b-c-d can be a routing path. If many other nodes also send data to b, and then to the sink node, b has to afford a lot of traffic, while e or f have low traffic. Considering this scenario, we are thinking about if node a can select one of its neighbors with highest RBA as the next hop. It may not be b.

![Figure 1: An example of WMSN](image)

We further assume that the historical RBA vector up to this moment of b is [..., 85, 70, 55, 40], e is [..., 25, 32, 35, 39], and f is [..., 50, 46, 42, 38]. A simple strategy will let a select b because it has the highest RBA at the moment. Seemingly, b is the best choice. However, since b is a hot node serving a high volume traffic and its RBA is going down dramatically, while e or f are serving less traffic and their RBAs are going down slowly or even going up, so a should select e or f to avoid the hot node and then balance bandwidth utilization.

Our goal is to let each node select the node of next hop with the highest potential RBA. In our approach, each candidate node can predict its potential RBA for next time based on its historical RBA and current RBA. Then the forwarding node selects the best candidate. In this example, our strategy will let a choose e.

3.3 Calculation of Residual Bandwidth Ability

The communications between nodes in a Wireless Multimedia Sensor Network vary from time to time. It is critical for a node to forward data to the possible best node. For each node, the trend of its bandwidth’s change over time is es-
sentential to calculate its potential RBA. Suppose the current time is $k$. A naive method to calculate its potential RBA is this: Let node $N_i$ save all its historical and current (time $k$) RBA $R_{k_i}$, $R_{k-1_i}$, ..., $R_{0_i}$ in a vector. Then it can calculate its potential RBA for time $k + 1$ by curve-fitting in numerical analysis that approximates its RBA changing trend. The limitations of this method are too much space to save the analysis that approximates its RBA changing trend. The $k$ indicates how much the acceleration changes from last time to current time. Once $N_i$ saves all its historical and current (time $k$) RBA to predict its future RBA in step 3. Thus, each node is able to figure out the best next hop subsequently.

We define the following notations to represent the terms regarding our approach for node $N_i$.

$R_{k_i}$: The measured residual bandwidth at time $k$.

$\hat{R}_{k_i}$: The bandwidth predicted at time $k$ (Potential RBA).

$a_{k_i}$: The acceleration measured at time $k$. It indicates how RBA changes during a time slot.

$a_{k-1_i}$: The acceleration at time $k - 1$.

$v_{k_i}$: The potential RBA at time $k$.

$v_{k-1_i}$: The variance of acceleration evolved from time $k - 1$.

$\epsilon$: The error or noise in the process.

$B_{k_i}$: The blending factor at time $k$.

Algorithm 2 Prediction for Future RBA

1: Measure its current residual bandwidth $R_{k_i}$

2: Calculate $a_{k_i}$ as its measured acceleration by

\[ a_{k_i} = (R_{k_i} - R_{k-1_i}) / \Delta t \]

3: Updates $a_{k-1_i}$ and $v_{k-1_i}$ in order to keep its historical energy to predict its future energy.

\[ a_{k-1_i} = a_{k-2_i} \]

\[ v_{k-1_i} = v_{k-2_i} + \epsilon \]

4: Compute the blending factor $B_{k_i}$

\[ B_{k_i} = v_{k_i} (v_{k-1_i} + \epsilon)^{-1} = v_{k_i} (v_{k-1_i} + \epsilon) \]

5: Calculate its potential acceleration

\[ a_{k_i} = a_{k-1_i} + B_{k_i} (a_{k_i} - a_{k-1_i}) \]

6: Update the variance of acceleration

\[ v_{k_i} = (1 - B_{k_i}) v_{k-1_i} \]

7: Predict the RBA of time $k + 1$

\[ R_{k+1_i} = R_{k_i} + a_{k_i} \Delta t \]

At time $k$, node $N_i$ calls algorithm 2 to calculate future RBA. $N_i$ knows its maintained values $a_{k-1_i}$ and $v_{k-1_i}$. It measures its $R_{k_i}$ in step 1. Then it calculates measured acceleration in step 2. $N_i$ updates $a_{k-1_i}$ and $v_{k-1_i}$ in order to keep its historical RBA to predict its future RBA in step 3. $N_i$ also computes the blending factor $B_{k_i}$ in step 4, which indicates how much the acceleration changes from last time to current time. Once $N_i$ obtains the blending factor $B_{k_i}$ and the evolved acceleration $\hat{a}_{k_i}$, it knows how much the acceleration changes with evolved acceleration. Additionally, $R_i$ considers the measured acceleration $a_{k_i}$. Then it calculates its potential acceleration in step 5. This acceleration will be used to predict its RBA of time $k + 1$. It updates the variance of acceleration for future utilization in step 6 and predicts its future RBA of time $k + 1$ in step 7.

3.4 Region for next hop

When a node $N_i$ intends to transfer video data to the sink node, it selects the neighbor node with highest potential RBA as its next hop. Apparently, $N_i$ will not select any nodes in the opposite direction from $N_i$ to the sink node.

![Figure 2: Region for next hop](image)

How does node $N_i$ figure out the region where the next hop falls? In current WMSNs, each device is equipped with GPS and hence it knows its location. We assume that the sender knows its own location and the location of the receiver. The assumption is very common in geographic routing[18, 19]. Fig. 2 shows the scenario. Suppose node $a$ intends to send video data to the sink node, it creates a ray by connecting itself with the sink. Then it draws a line perpendicular to the ray. Then the region on the same side of the sink is the available region for the next hop. As in Fig. 2, $a$ will never select a node in the dash line region for next hop.

3.5 Bandwidth Balancing Routing

Once a node $N_i$ intends to send video data to the sink, it needs to choose the node with highest RBA as the next hop. It sends a signal to its neighbors in the available region. Upon receiving the signal, each receiver calls algorithm 2 to calculate its RBA of next time and returns the RBA to node $N_i$. When node $N_i$ receives all RBAs, it selects the one with highest RBA as the next hop.

4. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

We evaluated our mechanism in a simulated noiseless radio network environment by MATLAB. We create a topology that consists of a number of randomly distributed nodes. A
sink node is located in the edge of the area. Our approach is based on balancing bandwidth utilization by RBA selection. We compare our approach (“RBA”) with LEACH[27] and GPSR[28] in terms of data received by sink node and number of alive nodes. To evaluate the first item, we performed a sequence of experiments in which the number of nodes varies from 50 to 800 in increments of 50. For each number of mobile users, we measure the size of data the sink node received 10 times and present the average.

Fig. 3 shows our evaluation of data received at the sink node. Among the three approaches, the scheme GPSR results in the lowest received data. Our approach RBA generates the highest received data. This indicates that the bandwidth balancing approach can improve aggregate data for the sink because the bandwidths are allocated more reasonably over the network.

Fig. 4 shows how many alive nodes of the three schemes with time elapsing. GPSR results in the lowest average lift time. That is because GPSR adopts a landmark node to forward a lot of data when there is a hole. However, the landmark is easy to turn down with high volume traffic. Then the hole is bigger [18, 19]. Our approach maintains the largest number of alive nodes because we always balance bandwidth and this makes the lifetime longer.

5. CONCLUSION
In this paper, we present a RBA based bandwidth balancing mechanism to transfer video data in Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks. We first present a CamShift based approach to compress video for video tracking and transmission. Then we propose a statistical based mechanism to balance bandwidth utilization. In the approach, each node only needs to retain two factors to store its historical residual bandwidth ability (RBA) trend and then it is able to predict its bandwidth for the near future. Each node selects the next hop with the highest potential RBA to relay each data transmission. Simulations demonstrate that our approach generates the highest received data to the sink node in the network and the largest number of alive nodes over time elapsed.
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