Sulforaphane regulates apoptosis- and proliferation-related signaling pathways and synergizes with cisplatin to suppress human ovarian cancer
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Abstract. Ovarian cancer is currently the most life-threatening type of gynecological malignancy with limited treatment options. Therefore, improved targeted therapies are required to combat ovarian cancer across the world. Sulforaphane is found in raw cruciferous vegetables. The chemotherapeutic and anti-carcinogenic properties of sulforaphane have been demonstrated, however, the underlying mechanisms remain to be fully elucidated, particularly in ovarian cancer. In the present study, the possibility of repurposing sulforaphane as an anti-ovarian cancer agent was examined. Cell viability and colony formation assay were used to test the anticancer efficiency of sulforaphane. Then wound healing assay, migration assay, cell cycle and apoptosis assays were used to detect how the drug worked on the cells. The mechanism of sulforaphane was investigated by western blot analysis. It was found that sulforaphane effectively suppressed the progression of human ovarian cancer cell proliferation, migration and cell cycle, and promoted apoptosis. Sulforaphane inhibited multiple cancer-associated signaling pathways, including B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2), Bcl-2-associated X protein, cytochrome c, Caspase-3, phosphorylated AKT, phosphorylated nuclear factor-κB, P53, P27, Cyclin-D1 and cMyc, and reduced the expression levels of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 in human ovarian cancer cells. Sulforaphane synergized with cisplatin to suppress the cancer cell proliferation and enhance ovarian cancer cell apoptosis. Xenograft experiments in vivo confirmed that sulforaphane effectively suppressed tumor growth by inhibiting ovarian cancer cell proliferation through targeting tumor-related signals. The results indicated that sulforaphane may be repurposed as an effective anti-ovarian cancer agent, with further preclinical or clinical investigations required.

Introduction

Ovarian cancer is one important cause of gynecologic cancer-associated mortality, with an overall five-year survival rate of 45% and an overall 10-year survival rate of 35% in the USA (1,2). Globally, it is estimated that ~283,000 new cases of ovarian cancer are likely to be diagnosed in the world in 2020, with ~66% of the cases affecting women aged <65 years (3). At present, ovarian cancer treatment consists of cytoreductive surgery and platinum-based chemotherapy. However, as the disease is usually diagnosed at an advanced stage, its prognosis remains poor (4,5). Although substantial progress has been made in the research and development of novel targeted therapies for tumors or cancer in humans, including human ovarian cancer, a useful and effective alternative for drug research is the repurposing of drugs. At present, examples of this type of drug are in different stages of clinical trials (6), and improved targeted therapeutic strategies are required to inhibit the progression of ovarian cancer.

Epidemiological studies have suggested that the intake of cruciferous vegetables, including broccoli, reduces the risks for the induction of certain forms of cancer (7). The natural compound, sulforaphane, was isolated from broccoli in the early 1990s as an inducer of phase 2 enzymes (xenobiotic metabolism), and numerous studies have since proposed various anti-neoplastic pharmacological properties of sulforaphane, thereby suggesting its potential as a promising candidate in cancer chemoprevention (8-10). Pharmacokinetic investigations in rats and humans have demonstrated that sulforaphane can be distributed in the body and reach micromolar concentrations in the blood. Multiple mechanisms of action inherent to the anti-cancer properties of sulforaphane have been reported (11,12). Dietary consumption of cruciferous vegetables containing sulforaphane may reduce the risk of several common types of cancer, including prostate, breast, lung and colorectal cancer (13-16). For example, it can inhibit the migration and invasion of glioblastoma cells (17), and has also been considered for the treatment of cervical cancer cells (18). Additionally, sulforaphane is involved in the inhibition of breast cancer cell proliferation and the induction of apoptosis of certain cancer cell lines, including osteosarcoma (19).

The present study indicated that sulforaphane acted synergistically with the chemotherapeutic drug cisplatin to inhibit ovarian cancer cell proliferation and promote apoptosis.
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in vitro. In the in vivo experiments, sulforaphane effectively inhibited xenograft tumor growth and progression, at least partly through inhibiting cell proliferation via cancer-related signaling pathway regulation. Therefore, these results indicated that sulforaphane offers potential and may be repurposed as an anti-human ovarian cancer agent. However, further investigations are required to examine the anticancer role of sulforaphane in preclinical and clinical trials in the future.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and treatment. The human ovarian cancer cell lines, A2780 and OVCAR, were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and the Cell Resource Center, Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Bank at the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). The cell lines were routinely authenticated by DNA-fingerprinting and isoenzyme analyses, and checked for contamination by mycoplasma using Hoechst staining. All cell lines were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute-1640 (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium or Minimum Essential Medium, containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 100 µg of streptomycin and 100 units of penicillin. A2780 were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium and OVCAR cells in DMEM medium. The cells were incubated at 37˚C with 5% CO₂. The sulforaphane chemical was obtained from Hangzhou Lin'an Tianhong Bio-Tech Co., Ltd. (Hangzhou, China).

Colony formation and migration assay. The human ovarian cancer cells were suspended in 0.9% methylcellulose-based semisolid medium Methocult H4100 (StemCell Technologies, Inc., Beijing, China), with 1,000 cells seeded in 3.5 cm dishes, and treated with 0, 2.5, 5 or 10 µM sulforaphane or ethanol control, and maintained at 37˚C. After 14 days, individual primary clones (450 cells) were trypsinized and replated in control, and maintained at 37˚C. After 14 days, individual primary clones (450 cells) were trypsinized and replated in the same conditions to examine the secondary colony forming ability for self-renewal. For the Transwell migration assays, 10x10⁴ cells were planted in the top chamber with a non-coated Transwell membrane filter inserts. The migrated cells on the lower sides of the inserts were then washed twice with PBS, and RNase A (10 mg/ml) was incubated for 48 h, the ovarian cancer cells were collected with 0.1% formaldehyde, and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS containing 0.5% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) for 30 min at 4˚C in the dark. The FITC-labeled Annexin V/PI staining was applied according to the manufacturer's protocol. The samples were then analyzed using flow cytometry.

Flow cytometric analysis. A flow cytometric assay was used to clarify the apoptotic cells and cell cycle arrest following sulforaphane treatment. Following treatment with sulforaphane for 48 h, the ovarian cancer cells were collected with trypsinization and then washed twice with PBS, fixed in cold 80% ethanol, and then stored at 4˚C overnight. The cells were then washed twice with PBS, and RNase A (10 ng/ml) was added at a concentration of 0.05 mg/ml and incubated for 20 min at 4˚C in the dark. The FITC-labeled Annexin V/PI staining was applied according to the manufacturer's protocol. The samples were then analyzed using flow cytometry.

Immunofluorescence assay. Following induction with the conditioned culture medium, the cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS containing 0.5% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) for 30 min. The cells at 70-80% confluence were subsequently incubated with antibodies against human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (Her2; 1:200; 4290; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) for 30 min at room temperature, followed by labeling with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG antibody at room temperature in the dark for 30 min (A27023; 1:1,000 Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The cells were viewed under a fluorescent microscope.
**Immunohistochemical (IHC) assay.** The sections (6 µm) of the paraffin-embedded tumor tissue blocks were deparaffinized and rehydrated. The tumor tissue samples were incubated with primary monoclonal antibodies against Her2 and KI67 (1:200; 9449; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) at 4°C overnight. The slide was then gently rinsed with PBS and developed using the Envision system/HRP for 30 min and substrate-chromogen for 15 min at room temperature. The nuclei were counterstained with Mayer's hematoxylin (MHSI-100ML; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) under an Eclipse TE2000E inverted microscope (Nikon Corporation).

**Analysis of apoptosis using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL).** The analysis of apoptosis in the tissue samples was determined by TUNEL using an in situ cell death detection kit, Fluorescein (Roche Applied Science, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The number of TUNEL-positive cells was counted under a fluorescence microscope. The percentages of apoptotic cells were calculated from the ratio of apoptotic cells to total cells counted. The tissue sections were counter-stained with hematoxylin, mounted and observed under light microscopy. The experiment was performed three times independently for each cell line.

**Western blot analysis.** Cell proteins from the ovarian cancer cells were extracted using a T-PER Tissue Protein Extraction Reagent kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The protein concentrations were determined using a BCA protein assay kit, and equal quantities of protein (40 µg) were loaded per well on a 10% sodium dodecyl sulphatepolyacrylamide gel. Subsequently, the proteins were transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. The resulting membrane was blocked with Tris-buffered saline containing 0.05% Tween-20 (TBS-T), supplemented with 5% skimmed milk (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) at room temperature for 2 h on a rotary shaker, and followed by TBS-T washing. The membrane was incubated with specific primary antibodies, diluted in TBST, at 4°C overnight. Subsequently, the membrane was washed with TBS-T followed by incubation with the goat anti-rabbit peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:662408edu; 1:2000; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) at room temperature for 1 h. The immunoreactive proteins were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence western blotting detection kit. The western blot bands were analyzed with 2-ΔΔCq method (20). The sequences of the primers are summarized in Table I.

| Gene          | Primer (5’→3’)       |
|--------------|----------------------|
| Bcl-2 (forward) | TAAATCGACCTCAATCTGGG |
| Bcl-2 (reverse)  | ACTATTAGTTGACATAG    |
| Bax (forward)   | GACTGTAGCAATGGAGGTTAGA |
| Bax (reverse)   | CCGTGTGCTATCTTTCGCA  |
| P53 (forward)   | GGCCCTTGGTTTCTTCG     |
| P53 (reverse)   | ATTTGGATTAAGTTATG     |
| P27 (forward)   | CATATAAGTTGTCAGCAG    |
| P27 (reverse)   | ACCACAGGAAACTCCAGAGT  |
| Cyclin-D1 (forward) | CGGGTTCAAGAAACCTGTC  |
| Cyclin-D1 (reverse) | GAAAGGACATTACCCGCT    |
| cMyc (forward)  | GAGTAAGCCCGACTTGTTGA  |
| cMyc (reverse)  | CCGACATCTCTGTGCTATTTG |
| Her2 (reverse)  | GCTTACCGCTCTTCTACCC   |
| Her2 (forward)  | GCAGGTCCTACTAGTGA     |
| GAPDH (forward) | AGGCTGAAGGGCCCTATTTG  |
| GAPDH (reverse) | AGCATCAGGGGCACTCCTC   |

**Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analysis.** Total RNA from the cultured cells and tissue samples was isolated using the mirVana miRNA isolation kit (Ambion; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) based on the manufacturer's protocol. The cDNA was then synthesized from total RNA with the Taqman miRNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The RT-qPCR analysis was performed using the Applied Biosystems 7500 Sequence Detection system with iQ™ SYBR-Green SuperMix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) containing 5 ng cDNA and 10 pM of each primer. The PCR cycles were 95°C for 5 min, then 95°C for 20 sec and 60°C for 60 sec for 40 cycles. The annealing, extension and also the data reading were at 60°C. The data were normalized to the geometric mean of housekeeping gene GAPDH. The data were analyzed with 2-ΔΔCq method (20). The sequences of the primers are summarized in Table I.

**Xenograft tumor models of human ovarian cancer cells.** The use and care of animals as models were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Zaozhuang City Hospital (Zaozhuang, China). All experimental procedures were performed according to the approved guidelines. The mouse experiments were performed in the Animal Laboratory Center at Zaozhuang City Hospital. The cells (1×10⁶/cells) treated with various concentrations of sulforaphane, described above, were suspended in 100 µl serum-free medium and injected subcutaneously into the left flank of 4-6-week-old male BALB/c nu/nu nude mice (15-18 g), with 8 mice in each groups, 32 mice in total, were injected with cells. The mice were raised...
in the SPF animal facility with sterile food and water *ad libitum*, at 20°C with a 50% humidity and a 12-h light/dark cycle. Tumor size was measured with digital calipers and calculated every week. Tumor volume was measured every 7 days and at the end (~6 weeks) of treatment, when the mice were sacrificed. The tumors were excised, weighed, fixed in 10% neutral formalin, and embedded in paraffin for histological analysis under an Eclipse TE2000E inverted microscope (Nikon Corporation).

**Statistical analysis.** The differences of indices are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean using the data. The treated tissue and the corresponding controls were compared using GraphPad Prism (version 6.0; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) using one-way analysis of variance with Dunn’s least significant difference test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Figure 1. Sulforaphane effectively suppresses human ovarian cancer cell proliferation. (A) Colony formation assay. Subconfluent A2780 and OVCAR cells were seeded in plates and treated with sulforaphane at the concentrations shown. After 48 h, the cancer cells were fixed and stained with crystal violet for the colony formation assay. (B) Colony-forming ability of ovarian cancer cell lines following sulforaphane treatment was quantified for optical absorbance. Cell viability assay. (C) A2780 and (D) OVCAR cells were seeded in plates and treated with sulforaphane at the concentrations shown. After 48 and 72 h, the viable cells were collected, stained and counted under a bright field microscope. WST-1 cell proliferation assay. (E) A2780 and (F) OVCAR cancer cells were seeded in 96-well plates and treated with sulforaphane at the indicated concentrations. At 24 h post-treatment, WST-1 reagent was added to plates and incubated for 1 h, and absorbance measurement was performed. All assay conditions were performed in triplicate. Cell wounding analysis of (G) A2780 cells with (H) quantification, and (I) OVCAR cells with (J) quantification. Cells were wounded with the micro-pipette tips and treated with sulforaphane at the indicated concentrations. The wound gap was measured at 0, 24 and 48 h post-sulforaphane treatments (magnification, x40). The proliferation assay indicated that sulforaphane inhibited the proliferation of (K) A2780 and (L) OVCAR ovarian cancer cells. (M) Transwell assay showed the metastasis capacities were inhibited by sulforaphane in A2780 and OVCAR ovarian cancer cells (magnification, x40). (N) Quantification of the migrated ovarian cancer cells. The values are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (n=8-10) of the samples. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001, vs. 0 µM group. SFN, sulforaphane.
Results

Sulforaphane effectively suppresses human ovarian cancer cell proliferation. The present study attempted to examine the effect of the sulforaphane on the proliferative activity of A2780 and OVCAR human ovarian cancer lines. The A2780 and OVCAR cells were inhibited by increasing concentrations of sulforaphane. The crystal violet staining suggested that sulforaphane effectively suppressed cell proliferative activity in the A2780 and OVCAR cells at different concentrations between 2.5 and 10 µM (Fig. 1A and B). The direct cell numbers following growth of A2780 and OVCAR cells administrated with different concentrations of sulforaphane (0-20 µM) were also investigated. The results showed that the number of viable cells was significantly downregulated when the sulforaphane concentration increased in the A2780 (Fig. 1C) and OVCAR (Fig. 1D) cell lines at 48 and 72 h. Further calculation of anti-proliferative effects was achieved through the WST-1 proliferation assay, which indicated that significant cell proliferation suppression occurred at varying concentrations of sulforaphane in the A2780 (Fig. 1E) and OVCAR (Fig. 1F) cell lines. Together, these results demonstrated that sulforaphane effectively inhibited ovarian cancer cell proliferation.

Subsequently, the present study examined whether sulforaphane has any effect on ovarian cancer cell migration or wound healing. The monolayer cells of A2780 and OVCAR were wounded and treated with different concentrations of sulforaphane. The width of the gap of the wound defect, relative to the starting width, was determined for A2780 cells at 24 and 48 h (Fig. 1G and H). The data suggested that the scratch wound healing width was increased following 2.5, 5 and 10 µM sulforaphane administration. Similarly, compared with the 0 h group without sulforaphane treatment, the scratch wound healing width was altered significantly in the OVCAR cells in the presence of sulforaphane at 24 and 48 h (Fig. 1I and J). These results indicated that sulforaphane suppressed ovarian cancer cell migration and proliferation in a dose-dependent manner.

To further examine the effects of sulforaphane on ovarian cancer cell lines, MTT and Transwell assays of the migration of cancer cells were performed for the A2780 and OVCAR cells. The results suggested that, compared with the cells in the absence of sulforaphane, the proliferation ability of the A2780 (Fig. 1K) and OVCAR (Fig. 1L) cells were significantly inhibited by sulforaphane treatment at different concentrations. The Transwell assays indicated that sulforaphane treatment significantly suppressed the migrated ovarian cancer cells, particularly...
at the highest concentration of sulforaphane (Fig. 1M and N). These results indicated that administration with sulforaphane was able to inhibit the proliferation, migration and metastasis of human ovarian cancer cells.

The present study also performed cell cycle analysis on the sulforaphane-treated ovarian cancer cells and found a significant upregulation in cancer cells arrested in the G1 phase, and downregulation of cells in the S/M phase in sulforaphane-treated A2780 and OVCAR cells, relative to the cells without sulforaphane administration (Fig. 2A and B). These results suggested that the inhibitory effect of sulforaphane on ovarian cancer cell proliferation may be due, at least partly, to the inhibition of cell cycle development and progression.

*Sulforaphane suppresses ovarian cancer progression via promotion of apoptosis.* To illustrate the possible mechanisms underlying sulforaphane-induced cell proliferation inhibition of ovarian cancer, the present study examined whether sulforaphane can induce apoptosis in ovarian cancer cell lines. When the proliferating A2780 and OVCAR cells were treated with 2.5, 5 and 10 µM sulforaphane for 24 h and then stained with Hoechst 33,258, the number of apoptotic cells were significant (Fig. 3A and B). Furthermore, flow cytometry was used to evaluate the apoptotic levels in A2780 and OVCAR cells. As shown in Fig. 3C and D, the number of apoptotic cells were higher in the cells with sulforaphane administration in a dose-dependent manner, indicating that sulforaphane may be involved in enhancing apoptosis in ovarian cancer development. Quantitative analysis of the ovarian cancer cells indicated that the percentages of apoptotic cells were significantly upregulated in the sulforaphane-treated A2780 and OVCAR groups, with a
significant difference. These data indicated that the sulforaphane-induced suppression of A2780 and OVCAR cells was due to the induction of apoptosis.

**Sulforaphane inhibits multiple cancer development-associated signaling pathways in A2780 cells.** The high expression of Her2 is a marker for tumor progression in the development of several types of cancer according to previous studies (21). In order to calculate whether there was a similar effect in ovarian cancer cells, immunofluorescent assays were used to analyze the expression levels of Her2 in different groups of A2780 cells. A marked elevation in Her2 staining was observed in cells without sulforaphane administration, and this was significantly suppressed by sulforaphane in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4A). These results confirmed that sulforaphane inhibited the expression of Her2 in ovarian cancer cells, as previously indicated (22).

Subsequently, the present study attempted to determine which, if any, cancer-involved signaling pathways were regulated by sulforaphane. A total of 10 cancer-associated signaling pathways were examined via western blot analysis according to previous studies (23-25). It was found that Bcl-2, an anti-apoptotic factor, was significantly inhibited under sulforaphane administration. However, Bax, Cyto-c and Caspase-3 were upregulated in cells in the presence of different concentrations of sulforaphane in a dose-dependent manner, contributing to the apoptosis of cancer cells. In addition, AKT and NF-κB, as important regulators of cell proliferation, were inactivated in ovarian cancer cells under sulforaphane administration. P53 and P27 were upregulated following sulforaphane treatment in the A2780 cells, which suppressed cancer cell cycle, consistent with a previous study (26). Furthermore, Cyclin-D1 and cMyc were inhibited following sulforaphane induction at 2.5, 5 and 10 μM (Fig. 4B).
Sulforaphane effectively suppresses tumor growth and progression in a xenograft model of human ovarian cancer cells. The A2780 cells were injected into the hindlimbs of nude mice; and (B) tumor size and (C) weight were observed and measured. (D) Analysis of TUNEL, Ki67 and Her2 in cancer tissues by immunohistochemistry. A brown signal was considered positive staining for TUNEL, Ki67 and Her2 (magnification, x100). Arrows indicate representative positive cells. (E) Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction assays were used to examine the effect of sulforaphane on the seven cancer-associated signaling pathway reporter activities, including Bcl-2, Bax, P53, P27, Cyclin-D1, cMyc and Her2. The values are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (n=8-10) of the samples. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001, vs. 0 µM group. SFN, sulforaphane; TUNEL, terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling; Her2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; Bcl-2, B-cell lymphoma 2; Bax, Bcl-2-associated X protein.

By contrast, the expression levels of Ki67 and Her2 expression were reduced by sulforaphane treatment, which was consistent with earlier results and support the hypothesis that Her2 may serve as a key cellular target for sulforaphane in human ovarian cancer inhibition. Finally, RT-qPCR analysis was used to confirm the tumor progression-associated signals. Similar to the previous data, it was found that Bcl-2, Cyclin-D1, cMyc and Her2 were significantly downregulated following sulforaphane administration. Bax, P53 and P27 were upregulated following sulforaphane treatment.

Sulforaphane synergizes with cisplatin in suppressing cancer cell proliferation and promoting apoptosis in ovarian cancer cells. If sulforaphane is to be targeted as an anti-ovarian cancer agent, it is important that sulforaphane can synergize with presently-used chemotherapeutic drugs, including cisplatin, in order to suppress cancer cell proliferation and
progression. When the A2780 cells were administrated with different concentrations of sulforaphane or cisplatin, ovarian cell proliferation inhibition was observed in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6A), and this synergism was confirmed by a colony formation assay (Fig. 6B and C). Additionally, western blot analysis demonstrated that a combination of sulforaphane and cisplatin further decreased the levels of Bcl-2, cyclin-d1 and cMyc, whereas P53 and cleaved caspase-3 were significantly upregulated in the group treated with the combination of sulforaphane and cisplatin, promoting cell death (Fig. 6D and E). Therefore, these results indicated that sulforaphane synergized with cisplatin by suppressing proliferation and promoting apoptosis of human ovarian cancer.

In addition, as shown in Fig. 7A and B, sulforaphane significantly downregulated the proportion of cells in the S/G2/M phases, compared with the control group, which was consistent with previous data (8). Cisplatin further reduced the number of cells in this phase. Of note, the combination of sulforaphane and cisplatin exhibited the most marked effect on the suppression of cells in the S/G2/M phase. These data suggested that sulforaphane synergized with cisplatin to inhibit ovarian cancer cell proliferation by regulating cell cycle.
Among all types of gynecologic cancer, human ovarian cancer is reported to be a life-threatening disease and a major contributor to cancer-associated mortality rates in females across the world (27,28). Human ovarian cancer is known to be asymptomatic or exhibit vague symptoms during the early stage; therefore, a large number of cases of ovarian cancer are detected at advanced stages, with cancer cells spreading beyond the site of the primary tumor (29). Currently, the standard therapeutic treatment for human ovarian cancer is limited to the combination of chemotherapy and surgery (30,31). Therefore, understanding the mechanism of metastasis and identifying novel strategies to inhibit ovarian cancer is important for improving clinical outcomes.

Sulforaphane has been shown to suppress cell cycle progression and induce apoptosis in pre-cancerous and tumor cells of different origin (9,11,13-16). This anticancer agent at a concentration of 75 µM was shown to cause G1/G2 cell cycle arrest and induce apoptosis by downregulating the expression of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 and increasing the expression of apoptosis-inducing Bax in colon cancer cells (32,33). In the present study, it was found that the number of cells in the S/G2/M phase was reduced due to sulforaphane administration, leading to cell death in human ovarian cancer. Additionally, apoptosis was induced as determined via flow cytometry, and western blot analysis revealed the downregulation of Bcl-2 and upregulation of Bax and cleaved Caspase-3, which are important regulators contributing to apoptosis. Therefore, the data suggested that sulforaphane inhibited ovarian cancer progression through inducing apoptosis.

Sulforaphane can also suppress the proliferation of bladder cancer cells, leading to the inhibition of cell proliferation and downregulated expression of NF-κB (34,35). In the present study, it was found that sulforaphane was successful in suppressing ovarian cancer cell proliferation. P53 and P27 are known essential antitumor factors. The P53 tumor suppressor gene encodes a nuclear protein, which is crucial in cell cycle regulation and major early events in cancer progression (36). P27 is a member of the Cip/Kip family of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, which can induce cell cycle arrest and serve as a tumor suppressor (37). Cyclin-D1, a regulatory kinase subunit that is selectively associated with cyclin-dependent kinase 4, is a crucial modulator in the cell cycle of cancer or tumor formation (38). The oncogene cMyc is a known target gene, which is involved in cell proliferation and cell cycle (39,40). In the present study, it was found that sulforaphane suppressed the progression of ovarian cancer via the promotion of P53 and P27. By contrast, Cyclin-D1 and cMyc were downregulated following sulforaphane treatment. These data suggested that the sulforaphane-inhibited progression of ovarian cancer was associated with the upregulation of P53 and P27, and the downregulation of cyclin-d1 and cMyc. In addition, AKT and NF-κB were suppressed following sulforaphane administration, contributing to the apoptosis of ovarian cancer cells (41).

Of note, sulforaphane synergized with cisplatin to further suppress human ovarian cancer. The results of the present study indicated that sulforaphane had a potential role in suppressing human ovarian cancer development via the inhibition of proliferation and induction of apoptosis. However, following combination with cisplatin, the cell proliferation was further suppressed, compared with that following sulforaphane or cisplatin treatment alone. In addition, western blot analysis indicated that Bcl-2, Cyclin-D1 and cMyc were inhibited following treatment with sulforaphane and cisplatin together. The levels of P53 and cleaved Caspase-3 were significantly upregulated, compared with those in the cells treated with sulforaphane or cisplatin alone.

Taken together, the present study investigated the potential effect of repurposing sulforaphane as an essential anticancer agent for the suppression of human ovarian cancer. The results indicated that sulforaphane effectively suppressed ovarian
cancer cell proliferation, migration and cell cycle progression, and induced apoptosis. Sulforaphane was found to target multiple tumor- or cancer-related signaling pathways, including Bcl-2, Caspase-3, Akt, NF-κB and P53/P27, and suppressed the expression of Cyclin-D1 and cMyc in human ovarian cancer cells. Sulforaphane was also found to act synergistically with the chemotherapeutic drug cisplatin to suppress ovarian cancer cell proliferation and promote apoptosis. The in vivo xenograft model experiments further confirmed that sulforaphane effectively suppressed xenograft tumor size and weight by inhibiting cancer cell proliferation via reducing cancer-related signals. Therefore, the results indicated that sulforaphane may be repurposed as an important anti-ovarian cancer agent. However, investigations are required to further examine the anticancer role of sulforaphane in preclinical and clinical studies in the future.
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