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Abstract
Let $\mathbb{Q}_0$ denote the rational numbers expanded to a meadow by totalizing inversion such that $0^{-1} = 0$. $\mathbb{Q}_0$ can be expanded by a total sign function $s$ that extracts the sign of a rational number. In this paper we discuss an extension $\mathbb{Q}_0(s, \sqrt{\cdot})$ of the signed rationals in which every number has a unique square root.

1 Introduction

This paper is a contribution to the algebraic specification of number systems. Advantages and disadvantages of the algebraic specification of abstract data types have been amply discussed in the computer science literature. We do not add anything new to these matters here but refer the reader to Wirsing [14], the seminal 1977-paper [10] of Goguen et al., and the overview in Bjørner and M.C. Henson [9].

The primary algebraic properties of the rational, real and complex numbers are captured by the operations and axioms of fields consisting of the equations that define a commutative ring and two axioms, which are not equations, that define the inverse operator and the distinctness of the two constants. In particular, fields are partial algebras—because inversion is undefined at 0—and do not possess an equational axiomatization. They do not constitute a variety, i.e., they are not closed under products, subalgebras and homomorphic images. In the last 15 years algebraic specification languages with pragmatic ambitions have developed in such a way that partial functions are admitted (see e.g. CASL [1]); nevertheless we feel that the original form of algebraic specifications is still valid for theoretical work because it can lead to more stable and more easily comprehensible specifications.

*Partially supported by the Dutch NWO Jacquard project Symbiosis, project number 638.003.611. In the context of Symbiosis we investigate equational specifications of data types for financial budgets. This leads to Tuplix Calculus [5], which makes essential use of meadows. But financial mathematics uses more operators than those named in the meadow signature. For instance the definition of volatility makes use of a square root operator, which, if only for for that reason, enters the operator set needed to specify financial matters.
Meadows originate as the design decision to turn inversion (or division if one prefers a binary notation for pragmatic reasons) into a total operator by means of the assumption that $0^{-1} = 0$. By doing so the investigation of number systems as abstract data types can be carried out within the original framework of algebraic specifications without taking any precautions for partial functions or for empty sorts. The equational specification of the variety of meadows has been proposed by Bergstra, Hirshfeld and Tucker [2, 7] and has subsequently been elaborated on in detail in [8].

Following [7] we write $Q_0$ for the rational numbers expanded to a meadow after taking its zero-totalized form. The main result of [7] consists of obtaining an equational initial algebra specification of $Q_0$. In [5] meadows without proper zero divisors are termed cancellation meadows and in [8] it is shown that the equational theory of cancellation meadows (there called zero-totalized fields) has a finite and complete equational axiomatization. In [9] this finite basis result is extended to a generic form enabling its application to extended signatures. In particular, the equational theory of $Q_0(s)$—the rational numbers expanded with a total sign function—is shown to be complete finitely axiomatizable within equational logic. In this paper, we will extend cancellation meadows even further to $Q_0(s, \sqrt{-})$—the zero-totalized signed prime field with unique square roots.

The paper is structured as follows: in the next section we recall the axioms for cancellation meadows and the sign function. In Section 3 we give a complete axiomatization for $Q_0(s, \sqrt{-})$. We end the paper with some examples illustrating the usage of signed roots and some conclusions in Section 4 and 5, respectively.

## 2 Cancellation meadows

In this section we introduce cancellation meadows and the sign function, and represent the Generic Basis Theorem that will be used in Section 4. We assume that the reader is familiar with using equations and initial algebra semantics to specify data types. Some accounts of this are Goguen et al. [10], Kamin [11], Meseguer and Goguen [12], or Wirsing [14]. The theory of computable fields is surveyed in Stoltenberg-Hansen and Tucker [13]. Moreover, we use standard notations: typically, we let $\Sigma$ be a signature, $\text{Mod}_\Sigma(T)$ the class of all $\Sigma$-algebras satisfying all the axioms in a theory $T$, and $I(\Sigma, T)$ the initial $\Sigma$-algebra of the theory $T$.

In [7] meadows were defined as the members of a variety specified by 12 equations. However, in [8] it was established that the 10 equations in Table 1 imply those used in [7]. Summarizing, a meadow is a commutative ring with unit equipped with a total unary inverse operation $(\cdot)^{-1}$ that satisfies the two equations

\[
(x^{-1})^{-1} = x,
\]
\[
x \cdot (x \cdot x^{-1}) = x, \quad (RIL)
\]

and in which $0^{-1} = 0$. Here RIL abbreviates Restricted Inverse Law. We write $Md$ for the set of axioms in Table 1.
\[(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)\]
\[x + y = y + x\]
\[x + 0 = x\]
\[x + (-x) = 0\]
\[(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)\]
\[x \cdot y = y \cdot x\]
\[1 \cdot x = x\]
\[x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z\]
\[(x^{-1})^{-1} = x\]
\[x \cdot (x \cdot x^{-1}) = x\]

Table 1: The set \( Md \) of axioms for meadows

From the axioms in \( Md \) the following identities are derivable:

\[
(1)^{-1} = 1,
\]
\[
(0)^{-1} = 0,
\]
\[
(-x)^{-1} = -(x^{-1}),
\]
\[
(x \cdot y)^{-1} = x^{-1} \cdot y^{-1},
\]
\[
0 \cdot x = 0,
\]
\[
x \cdot -y = -(x \cdot y),
\]
\[
-(-x) = x.
\]

The term cancellation meadow is introduced in [5] for a zero-totalized field that satisfies the so-called “cancellation axiom”

\[ x \neq 0 \& x \cdot y = x \cdot z \rightarrow y = z. \]

An equivalent version of the cancellation axiom that we shall further use in this paper is the Inverse Law (IL), i.e., the conditional axiom

\[ x \neq 0 \rightarrow x \cdot x^{-1} = 1. \quad (IL) \]

So IL states that there are no proper zero divisors. (Another equivalent formulation of the cancellation property is \( x \cdot y = 0 \rightarrow x = 0 \) or \( y = 0 \).)

We write \( \Sigma_m = (0, 1, +, -, -1) \) for the signature of (cancellation) meadows and we shall often write \( 1/t \) or \( \frac{1}{t} \) for \( t^{-1} \), \( tu \) for \( t \cdot u \), \( t/u \) for \( t \cdot 1/u \), \( t - u \) for \( t + (-u) \), and freely use numerals and exponentiation with constant integer exponents. We shall further write

\[ 1_t \text{ for } \frac{t}{t} \quad \text{ and } \quad 0_t \text{ for } 1 - 1_t, \]
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so, $0_0 = 1_1 = 1$, $0_1 = 1_0 = 0$, and for all terms $t$,

$$0_t + 1_t = 1.$$  

Moreover, from $RIL$ we get

$$1_x^2 = 1_x \tag{1}$$

and therefore also

$$0_x^2 = (1 - 1_x)^2 = 1 - 2 \cdot 1_x + 1_x^2 = 1 - 1_x = 0_x. \tag{2}$$

We obtain signed meadows by extending the signature $\Sigma_m = (0, 1, +, \cdot, -1)$ of meadows with the unary sign function $s(\cdot)$. We write $\Sigma_{ms}$ for this extended signature, so $\Sigma_{ms} = (0, 1, +, \cdot, -1, s)$. The sign function $s$ presupposes an ordering $<$ of its domain and is defined as follows:

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

One can define $s$ in an equational manner by the set $\text{Signs}$ of axioms given in Table 2. First, notice that by $Md$ and axiom (3) (or axiom (4)) we find

$$s(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad s(1) = 1.$$  

Then, observe that in combination with the inverse law $IL$, axiom (5) is an equational representation of the conditional equational axiom

$$s(x) = s(y) \rightarrow s(x + y) = s(x).$$

From $Md$ and axioms (5)–(8) one can easily compute $s(t)$ for any closed term $t$. An interesting consequence of $Md \cup \text{Signs}$ is the idempotency of $s$, i.e. $Md \cup \text{Signs} \vdash s(s(x)) = s(x)$ (see Proposition 2 in [3]).

| $s(1_x)$ | $1_x$  | (3) |
|-----------|--------|-----|
| $s(0_x)$ | $0_x$  | (4) |
| $s(-1)$  | $-1$   | (5) |
| $s(x^{-1})$ | $s(x)$ | (6) |
| $s(x \cdot y) = s(x) \cdot s(y)$ | (7) |
| $0_{s(x)} - s(y) \cdot (s(x + y) - s(x)) = 0$ | (8) |

Table 2: The set $\text{Signs}$ of axioms for the sign function
The finite basis result for the equational theory of cancellation meadows is formulated in a generic way so that it can be used for any expansion of a meadow that satisfies the propagation properties defined below.

**Definition 1.** Let $\Sigma$ be an extension of $\Sigma_m = (0, 1, +, \cdot, -, -1)$, the signature of meadows. Let $E \supseteq Md$ (with $Md$ the set of axioms for meadows given in Table 1).

1. $(\Sigma, E)$ has the **propagation property for pseudo units** if for each pair of $\Sigma$-terms $t, r$ and context $C[\ ]$, 
   \[ E \vdash 1_t \cdot C[r] = 1_t \cdot C[1_t \cdot r]. \]

2. $(\Sigma, E)$ has the **propagation property for pseudo zeros** if for each pair of $\Sigma$-terms $t, r$ and context $C[\ ]$, 
   \[ E \vdash 0_t \cdot C[r] = 0_t \cdot C[0_t \cdot r]. \]

Preservation of these propagation properties admits the following nice result:

**Theorem 1** (Generic Basis Theorem for Cancellation Meadows). If $\Sigma \supseteq \Sigma_m$, $E \supseteq Md$ and $(\Sigma, E)$ has the pseudo unit and the pseudo zero propagation property, then $E$ is a basis (a complete axiomatisation) of $\text{Mod}_\Sigma(E \cup IL)$.

Bergstra and Ponse [3] proved that $Md$ and $Md \cup \text{Signs}$ satisfy both propagation properties and are therefore complete axiomatizations of $\text{Mod}_\Sigma(Md \cup IL)$ and $\text{Mod}_\Sigma(Md \cup \text{Signs} \cup IL)$, respectively.

### 3 Square root meadows

A plausible way to totalize the square root operation is to postulate $\sqrt{-1} = i$ and to abandon the domain of signed fields in favour of the complex numbers. Here we choose a different approach by stipulating $\sqrt{x} = -\sqrt{-x}$ for $x < 0$. In order to avoid confusion with the principal square root function we deviate from the standard notation and introduce the unary operation $\sqrt{}$ called signed square root. We write $\Sigma_{mss}$ for this extended signature, so $\Sigma_{mss} = (0, 1, +, \cdot, -, -, -1, s, \sqrt{} )$, and define the signed square root operation in an equational manner by the set $\text{SquareRoots}$ of axioms given in Table 3.

$$\sqrt{x} - 1 = (\sqrt{x})^{-1} \quad (9)$$
$$\sqrt{x} \cdot y = \sqrt{x} \cdot \sqrt{y} \quad (10)$$
$$\sqrt{x} \cdot s(x) = x \quad (11)$$
$$s(\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y}) = s(x - y) \quad (12)$$

**Table 3**: The set $\text{SquareRoots}$ of axioms for the square root
Some additional consequences of the \( Md \cup \text{Signs} \cup \text{SquareRoots} \) axioms are these:

\[
\sqrt[n]{s(x)} = s(x) \quad \text{because} \quad \sqrt[n]{s(x)} = \sqrt[n]{s(x)^{-1}} = \sqrt[n]{s(x) \cdot s(x)^{-1}} = \sqrt[n]{s(x) \cdot s(x)} \quad (13)
\]

\[
\sqrt[n]{1} = 1 \quad \text{because} \quad \sqrt[n]{1} = \sqrt[n]{1} = s(1) = 1,
\]

\[
\sqrt[n]{0} = 0 \quad \text{similarly},
\]

\[
\sqrt[n]{-x} = -\sqrt[n]{x} \quad \text{because} \quad \sqrt[n]{-x} = \sqrt[n]{-1 \cdot x} = \sqrt[n]{-1} \cdot \sqrt[n]{x} = s(-1) \cdot \sqrt[n]{x}
\]

\[
\sqrt[n]{x^2} = x \cdot s(x) \quad \text{because} \quad \sqrt[n]{x^2} = \sqrt[n]{x^2 \cdot 1} = \sqrt[n]{x^2} \cdot 1 = \sqrt[n]{x^2} \cdot s(1) = \sqrt[n]{x^2} \cdot s(x)^2
\]

\[
= \sqrt[n]{x^2} \cdot s(x) \cdot s(x) = \sqrt[n]{x^2} \cdot s(x) = x \cdot s(x).
\]

Since \( (\Sigma_{m,s}, Md \cup \text{Signs} \cup \text{SquareRoots}) \) satisfies both propagation properties, we can apply Theorem 1.

**Corollary 1.** The set of axioms \( Md \cup \text{Signs} \cup \text{SquareRoots} \) is a complete axiomatisation of \( \text{Mod}_{\Sigma_{m,s}}(Md \cup \text{Signs} \cup \text{SquareRoots} \cup IL) \).

**Proof.** We have to prove that the propagation properties for pseudo units and pseudo zeros hold in \( Md \cup \text{Signs} \cup \text{SquareRoots} \). This follows easily by a case distinction on the forms that \( C(\tau) \) may take. This case distinction has been performed for \( Md \cup \text{Signs} \) in [3]. As an example we consider here the case \( C(\lambda) \equiv \neg \gamma \). Then

\[
1 \epsilon \cdot \sqrt[\gamma]{\epsilon} = 1^2 \cdot \sqrt[\gamma]{\epsilon} = 1 \cdot \sqrt[\gamma]{1 \epsilon} \cdot \sqrt[\gamma]{\epsilon} = 1 \epsilon \cdot \sqrt[\gamma]{1 \epsilon \cdot \epsilon}
\]

by (1) and (14). The propagation property for pseudo zeros is proved in a similar way applying (2) and (15). \( \square \)

We denote by \( Q_0(s, \sqrt[\gamma]) \) the zero-totalized signed prime field that contains \( Q \) and is closed under \( \sqrt[\gamma] \). Note that \( Q_0(s, \sqrt[\gamma]) \) is a computable data type (see e.g. Bergstra and Tucker [6]). This statement still requires an efficient and readable proof.

To provide an initial algebra specification for \( Q_0(s, \sqrt[\gamma]) \) may prove a difficult task. In the much simpler case of \( Q_0 \) we know that \( Q_0 \cong I(\Sigma_m, Md + L_4) \) were \( L_n \) is the Lagrange equation

\[
1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1.
\]

Observe that \( Q_0 \not\cong I(\Sigma_m, Md + L_1) \). Indeed, the totalized Galois field \( (\mathbb{F}_3)_0 \models Md + L_1 \) squares in \( (\mathbb{F}_3)_0 \) are 0 and 1 and thus \( 1 + x^2 \neq 0 \) in \( (\mathbb{F}_3)_0 \) from which we infer \( (\mathbb{F}_3)_0 \models \frac{1 + x^2}{1 + x^2} = 1 \). If \( I(\Sigma_m, Md + L_1) \cong Q_0 \), then \( (\mathbb{F}_3)_0 \) is a homomorphic image of \( Q_0 \). Thus suppose \( \phi : Q_0 \to (\mathbb{F}_3)_0 \) is a homomorphism. Then—in \( (\mathbb{F}_3)_0 \)

\[
0 = \frac{1 + 1 + 1}{1 + 1 + 1} = \phi(1 + 1 + 1) = \phi(1 + 1 + 1) = \phi(1) = 1,
\]

which is not the case. This then leaves us with the question as to whether or not \( Q_0 \cong I(\Sigma_m, Md + L_2) \).
If for some prime number $p$ the Diophantine equation $x^2 + y^2 \equiv (-1) \mod p$ has no solution, we have that $(\mathbb{F}_p)_0 \models L_2$ and a similar argument establishes that $I(\Sigma_m, Md + L_2) \not\models \mathbb{Q}_0$. However, the existence of such $p$ is not known to us.

In any case the initial algebra specification of $\mathbb{Q}_0$ can only be considered stable once

1. it has been shown that $\mathbb{Q}_0 \not\models I(\Sigma_m, Md + L_n)$ for $n = 2, 3$, and
2. it has been shown that there exists no finite $\omega$-complete—and hence preferable—specification for $\mathbb{Q}_0$ either.

What follows from these considerations is that the development of a definitive initial algebra specification for $\mathbb{Q}_0(s, \sqrt{-})$ will be a process that takes several stages. Only an initial step has been taken here and more work lies in the future.

4 Examples

In this section, we briefly discuss 3 examples in which signed roots can play a role.

In the special theory of relativity one frequently encounters equations of the form

$$\frac{\sqrt{1 + \beta}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta}}$$

where $\beta = \frac{v}{c}$ and $v$ is the velocity of a moving light source. This particular equation is defined if $|v| < c$ and leads to an undefined expression in the case that $c \leq |v|$. The theory of signed square roots offers a total representation of the above equation by

$$\frac{\sqrt{1 + \beta}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} = \frac{s^2(1 + \beta)}{\sqrt{1 - \beta}}.$$  

In such a way arithmetic laws stemming from the special theory of relativity can be modified in order to be universally valid without implicit or explicit assumptions. Notice that $\sqrt{-1} = i$ is not essential for the special theory of relativity: e.g. the main formula in the Minkowski space—the pseudo-Euclidean space in which special relativity is most conveniently formulated—is the mathematical theorem for angles $\alpha$ in spacetime

$$v^2 = -c^2 \Rightarrow \cos(\alpha/v) = \cosh(\alpha/c) \text{ and } v\sin(\alpha/v) = c \sinh(\alpha/c)$$

which can be justified from calculations on formal power series without the use of complex numbers. A similar observation applies to the area of quantum computing. There complex numbers are used and the equation $i^2 = 1$, but square roots are only applied to non-negative numbers.

The theory of signed square roots can be extended to complex numbers by the axioms given in Table 4. Here we denote by $\overline{x}$ the complex conjugate of the complex number $x$ and by $\text{Re}(x)$ its real part. This, however, will require a restriction of the axioms in Table 3 to real numbers—e.g. Axiom (10) becomes $\sqrt{\text{Re}(x) \cdot \text{Re}(y)} = \sqrt{\text{Re}(x)} \cdot \sqrt{\text{Re}(y)}$ etc.
\[ s(x) = s(\text{Re}(x)) \]  
\[ \sqrt{x} = \sqrt{\text{Re}(x)} \]  
\[ \text{Re}(x) = \frac{1}{2} (x + \overline{x}) \]

Table 4: The signed square root for complex numbers

In [4] meadows equipped with differentiation operators are introduced. Differential meadows can be equipped with a signed square root operator by the axioms given in Table 5. Axiom (22) can actually be derived from Axiom (21) and the equational axiomatization of differential meadows. The existence of non-trivial differential cancellation meadows with signed square roots is not an obvious matter but requires a modification of the existence proof given in [4].

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} s(y) = 0 \]  
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sqrt{y} = \frac{s(y)}{2} (\sqrt{y})^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} y
\]

Table 5: The signed square root for differential meadows

5 Conclusion

In this paper we introduced square root meadows. We provided a finite axiomatization for cancellation meadows expanded with signed square roots and proved its completeness using the Generic Basis Theorem. In addition, we gave a few examples where the theory of signed square roots can make a contribution. A couple of standard questions—for example, the decidability of the equational theory of \( \mathbb{Q}_0(s, \sqrt{ }) \)—is left for further research. One step in this direction is the construction of a complete term rewrite system that specifies \( \mathbb{Q}_0(s, \sqrt{ }) \)—if this exists at all—or anyway an initial algebra specification.
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