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**A B S T R A C T**

Dataset - an essential aspect and the requirement for any of the machine learning project. Collection/creation of dataset in the agriculture domain is highly challenging task because the domain itself is uncertain. Main objective of the present paper is to create an image dataset of pomegranate fruits of different grades. Accordingly, we have considered ‘Ruby’ cultivar of pomegranate and sincerely constructed the dataset. Fruits belonging to three grades are considered. The images for each fruit are covered from all the three angles. The dataset created also contains the weights of the fruits. The dataset consists of 12 folders named after their effective quality grades. The usage of this dataset is already proved in the works carried out by the authors in their previous studies. This dataset is highly helpful for the data science engineer / machine learning programmer or machine learning expert working in the field of precision agriculture.
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Specifications Table

| Subject            | Agricultural Sciences                                      |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Specific subject area | Image dataset of Pomegranate Fruits (*Punica granatum*) of different grades |
| Type of data       | Table                                                      |
| How data were acquired | In the present work, data were acquired using two instruments viz. |
|                    | (1) Weighing Machine and                                    |
|                    | (2) Image acquisition unit (Custom-built) with provision to place light source and cameras. |
| Data format        | Raw                                                        |
| Parameters for data collection | The images are captured using Logitech C905 720p Webcam with 2MP sensor using the Logitech (R) Webcam software Version 2.50 under CFL (Compact Fluorescent Light) as the light source. |
| Description of data collection | The weighing machine is used to measure the weight of the fruit sample. Image acquisition unit is a custom-built metallic compartment in which object of interest (i.e., pomegranate fruit), light sources and cameras can be positioned within. The unit is designed in such a way that each of the fruit can be imaged in all the three angles. Further, the fruits are imaged, and their weights are measured every alternate day for a duration of eight days, resulting into four qualities within each grade of the fruit. |
| Data source location | Institution: Private Farm Land                             |
|                    | City/Town/Region: Kaladgi, Bagalkot District, Karnataka state. |
|                    | Country: India                                              |
|                    | Latitude and longitude (and GPS coordinates, if possible) for collected samples/data: 16°12′17.863″N 75°30′5.27″E, Altitude: 452 msl |
| Data accessibility | Repository name: kaggle                                      |
|                    | Data identification number: 10.34740/kaggle/ds/551234       |
|                    | Direct URL to data:                                          |
|                    | https://www.kaggle.com/kumararun37/pomegranate-fruit-dataset |
| Related research article | Arun Kumar R, Vijay S. Rajpurohit, and Kshitijarun Y. Bidari, Multi Class |
|                    | Grading and Quality Assessment of Pomegranate Fruits Based on Physical and Visual Parameters, International Journal of Fruit Science. 19 (2018) 372-396. |
|                    | https://doi.org/10.1080/15538362.2018.1552230                |

Value of the Data

- The dataset is important as for as grading of pomegranate fruits is considered. Specifically, the image dataset along with weight as the physical parameter is scares. Hence the dataset is important for the purpose of automated quality grading during post-harvest processing of pomegranate fruits.
- The dataset built is made available to the public domain. Such a dataset is of great input for various researchers in building machine learning algorithms for quality grading of pomegranate fruits.
- The dataset may be used/reused by conducting experiments related to the quality grading of pomegranate fruits various machine learning algorithms, apart from the algorithms that authors have incorporated, as provided in the specifications table above. Moreover, the researchers who are involved in automated quality inspection of other fruits also may get benefited indirectly.
- Building the grade-wise image dataset of pomegranate fruits along with their weights is the uniqueness of the dataset. Moreover, each fruit is imaged in all the three views.

1. Data Description

The dataset consists of three grades and four qualities for each grade. Accordingly, there are twelve folders. Each folder is titled after its corresponding quality grade as outlined in Table 6. In each folder there are 90 images corresponding to the images of three views of 30 sample fruits. We have used the following syntax in naming each image:
Legends:

**GN:** Grade Number - Representing the grade value of the fruit.

**QN:** Quality Number - Representing the quality value of each grade.

**FSN:** Fruit Serial Number - Representing the serial number of fruit. Since 30 sample fruits are considered, the range of FSN is 1 to 30.

**IVN:** Image View Number - Representing the view number or the angle number. Since each fruit is imaged in three angles, value of IVN range from 1 to 3.

Additionally, each folder consists of an excel sheet representing the weights of 30 sample fruits of each of the corresponding quality category.

2. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

One of the hardest problems that every programmer faces in the development of machine vision applications / solutions is the availability of right dataset. Machine Learning depends heavily on data without which it is impossible to train any of the algorithms to recognize patterns. It is the most important aspect that makes algorithm training achievable. The accuracy of the training depends heavily on the quality of the dataset input [1]. Creating such a dataset is not always an easy stuff.

There are distinctive problems associated with the agricultural and horticultural industries viz. (1) High rise losses in post-harvest (2) Labor requirements (3) Subjectivity (4) Tediumness (5) Inconsistency etc. One of the main causes in the lowered product quality is the huge number of losses during post-harvest that can be found at variegated stages of marketing [2]. However, the studies have proved that all such problems associated with post-harvest losses can be effectively addressed by coalescing Digital Image Processing and Machine Learning techniques at variegated stages of post-harvest processes.

Post-harvest handling of fruits is vital in the horticulture domain as fruits are the important supplement to the human diet. Moreover, production of fruits in India holds an average of 31.3% share in the total production of horticulture crops in the last 5 years [3]. Pomegranate grabs the attention among all fruits as India is one of the biggest producers of pomegranates throughout the world and there is an absurd latent in exporting pomegranate fruits from India.

Grading is one of the important steps of the post-harvest management that is used to arrive at a reasonable pricing of pomegranates in both domestic and export markets. Continued boost in image processing and machine learning domains can provide effective tools and techniques in building systems that are capable of grading the pomegranate fruits provided a right dataset to the learning algorithms. Accordingly, goal of the present proposed work is a sincere effort in building a dataset of pomegranate fruits that aids in developing machine vision-based applications including Grading, Quality assessment and Sorting.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no public dataset available specifically for the grading purpose of pomegranate fruits. Hence, there is a great need for building the dataset of pomegranate fruits.

There are various researchers working around the globe in fruit grading using machine vision in place. Present section outlines few of the research works consisting of pomegranate fruits. Authors in [8] classified the diseased pomegranate fruits and healthy ones using their own set of images. Quality of pomegranates was evaluated in [9] non-invasively by considering locally sourced Turkish pomegranates. Non-destructive pomegranate fruit grading and classification was carried out in [10] by using the coflab dataset [8]. Identifying disease on pomegranate fruits using image processing was carried out in [7] using custom built images. Sunburn on pomegranate fruits was identified in [4] using custom built images. From the literature review, it is witnessed that the image datasets of pomegranate fruits are highly scarce. Hence there is a great need to build the dataset of pomegranate fruit images. Table 1 summarizes the previous works in connection with image processing of pomegranate fruits.
Table 1
Summary of works related to image processing of pomegranate fruits.

| Sl. No. | Citation | Objective of the study | Dataset | Remarks |
|---------|----------|------------------------|---------|---------|
| 1       | [4]      | Detecting sunburn on pomegranate fruits | Custom built | 20 fruit samples are used for detecting the sunburn |
| 2       | [7]      | Identification of pomegranate fruit disease | Custom built | Images are used for the purpose of disease identification |
| 3       | [8]      | Segregate diseased and healthy pomegranates | Custom built | Images are used for the purpose of segregating diseased and healthy fruits |
| 4       | [9]      | Non-invasive quality assessment of Turkish pomegranates | Locally collected | 15 pomegranate fruits are considered and stored in the controlled environment |
| 5       | [10]     | Non-destructive fruit grading and classification of Wonderful pomegranates | cofilab pomegranate digital database [8] | Images are obtained from two angles |

Table 2
Brief description about the data collection.

| Sl. No. | Particulars | Description |
|---------|-------------|-------------|
| 1       | Fruit       | Pomegranate |
| 2       | Cultivar    | Ruby        |
| 3       | Number of grades considered | 3 |
| 4       | Count of samples of each grade | 30 |
| 5       | Total count of samples | 90 |
| 6       | Geographical location | 16°12′17.863″N 75°30′5.27″E Altitude: 452 msl |
| 7       | Atmospheric conditions during harvest of the fruits | Temperature: 26°C Wind: 31 km/h Gust: 41 km/h Humidity: 69% Pressure: 1008 mb |

Table 3
Characterization of the grades.

| Sl. No. | Grade | Range of weights (gm/fruit) | Remarks |
|---------|-------|-----------------------------|---------|
| 1       | G1    | 300 to 400                  | Smooth surface, Minor superficial defects that does not alter the quality and look |
| 2       | G2    | 200 to 300                  | Minor irregularities may be present such as scar, scrape, scratch, blemish etc. these irregularities will not affect the look and quality |
| 3       | G3    | 100 to 200                  | Minor irregularities may be present such as scar, scrape, scratch, blemish etc. these irregularities will not affect the look and quality |

In the current work, 'Ruby' cultivar of pomegranate fruit is considered and accordingly the dataset is built. There are three grades considered for collecting the dataset. There are four persons involved in the grading process along with the corresponding author and all the authors have expressed their sincere gratitude in the acknowledgement section for the personnel involved in this process.

Table 2 briefs the description about the data collection.

Table 3 outlines the description associated with each of the three grades.

In the present work, pomegranate fruits are collected and are preserved for duration of eight days for the purpose of analysis. The storage conditions are as follows: Average Temperature: 22°C, Wind: 20 km/h, Gust: 25 km/h, Humidity: 90%, Pressure: 1005 mb. The preserved fruit samples are imaged for every alternate day. Such an analysis resulted the creation of four qualities within each grade. Hence, a total of twelve classes of effective qualities got created. Since the fruit samples are preserved for some duration, tagging of each fruit is done so as to keep
Fig. 1. Tagging process of pomegranate fruit samples.

Table 4
Class labels of pomegranate quality grades.

| Effective Quality | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| G1-Q1             | Q1  |     |     |     |     |     | G2- |     |     |     |     |     |
| G1-Q2             |     | Q2  |     |     |     |     | G2- |     |     |     |     |     |
| G1-Q3             |     |     | Q3  |     |     |     | G2- |     |     |     |     |     |
| G2-Q1             |     |     |     | Q1  |     |     | G3- |     |     |     |     |     |
| G2-Q2             |     |     |     |     | Q2  |     | G3- |     |     |     |     |     |
| G2-Q3             |     |     |     |     |     | Q3  | G3- |     |     |     |     |     |
| G3-Q1             |     |     |     |     |     |     | G3- | Q1  |     |     |     |     |
| G3-Q2             |     |     |     |     |     |     | G3- | Q2  |     |     |     |     |
| G3-Q3             |     |     |     |     |     |     | G3- | Q3  | Q4  |     |     |     |

Table 5
Description of the quality definitions.

| Quality | Physical characteristics | Visual characteristics |
|---------|---------------------------|------------------------|
|         | Size (Diameter) | Weight | Outer surface | Color | Texture |
| Q1      | Largest           | Highest numerical value for Grade G1, Intermediate numerical value for G2 and Lowest numerical value for G3 | Free from defects like scar, scratch, scrape, blemish and dents | Dark red or rose pink | Smooth and shiny surface |
| Q2      | -NA-              | Not less than 98% of the Q1 for G1, Not less than 98% of the Q1 for G2 and not less than 97% of the Q1 for G3 | Free from defects like scar, scratch, scrape, blemish and dents | Dark red or rose pink | Smooth and shiny surface |
| Q3      | -NA-              | Not less than 98% of the Q2 for G1, Not less than 98% of the Q2 for G2 and not less than 97% of the Q2 for G3 | Possibility of minor dents and blemishes | Reddish brown | Surface loses its luster |
| Q4      | -NA-              | Not less than 97% of the Q3 for G1, Not less than 96% of the Q3 for G2 and Not less than 95% of the Q3 for G3 | Appearance of dents and blemishes | Dull Reddish brown | Rough surface |

track of each fruit. Fig. 1 shows sample tagging of pomegranate fruits preserved for analysis. The designations for each class label are given in Table 4. Table 5 summarizes the description of the effective qualities in brief. Readers of this article are encouraged to refer the work carried out by the authors [6] for further details and applications of the qualities in each grade. The quality definitions are same for each of the three grades i.e., for example the fruits belonging to G1Q1 or G2Q1 or G3Q1 all bear the same visual characteristics and applications of Q1 except physical characteristics.

2.1. Weight measurement

Following Table 6 specifies the weighing machine used in the current work to measure the weight of each fruit sample.
Table 6
Specifications of the weighing machine.

| Sl. No. | Particulars | Details                  |
|---------|-------------|--------------------------|
| 1       | Make        | Electronic Kitchen Scale |
| 2       | Model       | SF-400                   |
| 3       | Sensor      | Strain gauge sensor      |
| 4       | Capacity    | 1000g±1g                 |
| 5       | Display     | LCD                      |

2.2. Image acquisition unit

Images are formed by a blend of the source of illumination and the energy reflection by the objects in the scene [5]. In the present work, the compact fluorescent light is the illumination source and objects are the pomegranate fruits. An image acquisition compartment is custom

![Fig. 2. Image acquisition unit.](image)

Table 7
Specifications of the image acquisition.

| Sl. No. | Particulars          | Details                                                                 |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1       | Light origin         | (i) CFL (Compact Fluorescent Light)                                      |
|         |                      | (ii) Voltage: 240 V                                                     |
|         |                      | (iii) Frequency: 50 Hz                                                  |
|         |                      | (iv) Current: 65 mA                                                     |
|         |                      | (v) Power factor: 0.85, 765 Lumen                                       |
| 2       | Camera               | (i) Make and Model: Logitech C905 720p Webcam                           |
|         |                      | (ii) Sensor: 2MP                                                        |
|         |                      | (iii) Focal length: 3.7 mm                                              |
|         |                      | (iv) Lens aperture f/2.0                                                |
|         |                      | (v) Focus adjustment: automatic, auto-exposure mode                     |
| 3       | Acquisition software | Logitech (R) Webcam software Version 2.50                               |
| 4       | Resolution of image  | 1600 × 1200                                                             |
|         |                      | 96 dpi (3.779528 pixel/mm)                                              |
| 5       | Image format         | Jpeg                                                                     |
Table 8
Time span table.

| Sl. No. | Date (DD/MM/YYYY) | Activity                          | Remarks              |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1       | 24/06/2018        | Fruit harvest and grading         | -Nil-                |
| 2       | 25/06/2018        | Image acquisition and weight measurement | Resulted into quality: Q1 |
| 3       | 27/06/2018        |                                    | Resulted into quality: Q2 |
| 4       | 30/06/2018        |                                    | Resulted into quality: Q3 |
| 4       | 03/07/2018        |                                    | Resulted into quality: Q4 |

built for the purpose of image acquisition, there by mimicking the industrial packing lines. The compartment is a metallic one in which object of interest (i.e., pomegranate fruit), light sources and cameras can be positioned within. This image acquisition unit is represented in Fig. 2. In order to cover the entire fruit surface area, each of the pomegranate fruits is imaged from all the three angles / views. Following Table 7 gives the specifications of the image acquisition.

Finally, the time span of the data collection is summarized in Table 8.
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