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Abstract

Radio synchrotron emission from the bridges of low-density gas connecting galaxy clusters and groups is a challenge for particle acceleration processes. In this work, we analyze the Coma radio bridge using new LOw Frequency ARray (LOFAR) observations at 144 MHz. LOFAR detects the bridge and its substructures with unprecedented sensitivity and resolution. We found that the radio emission peaks on the NGC 4839 group. Toward the halo, in front of the NGC 4839 group, the radio brightness decreases and streams of radio emission connect the NGC 4839 group to the radio relic. Using X-ray observations, we found that thermal and non-thermal plasma are moderately correlated with a sublinear scaling. We use archival radio data at 326 MHz to constrain the spectral index in the bridge, and quantify the distribution of particles and magnetic field at different frequencies. We found that the spectrum is steeper than $-1.4 \pm 0.2$, and that the emission is clumpier at 326 MHz than at 144 MHz. Using cosmological simulations and a simplified approach to compute particle acceleration, we derive under which conditions turbulent acceleration of mildly relativistic electrons generate the radio emission in the bridge. Assuming that the initial energy ratio of the seed electrons is $3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ with respect to the thermal gas, we are able to reproduce the observed luminosity. Our results suggest that the seed electrons released by radio galaxies in the bridge and the turbulence generated by the motion of gas and galaxies are essential to producing the radio emission.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Galaxy clusters (584); Coma Cluster (270); Intracluster medium (858); Intergalactic medium (813); Radio continuum emission (1340); Extragalactic magnetic fields (507); Plasma astrophysics (1261)

1. Introduction

Galaxy clusters accrete matter through filaments of the so-called cosmic web. Mergers between galaxy clusters and groups are extremely energetic, with up to $10^{64}$ ergs released in the intracluster medium (ICM). A fraction of this energy could be channeled into magnetic field amplification and particle acceleration leading to synchrotron radio emission.

Different types of radio sources are associated with the ICM: mini halos, radio halos, and radio relics are sources located in the cluster core, in the cluster central region, and at the cluster periphery, respectively. They all have a low-surface brightness (~1 $\mu$Jy/arcsec$^2$) at GHz frequencies, and a steep radio spectrum, with a spectral index $\alpha < -1$ that make them brighter at low radio frequencies. We refer the reader to the reviews by Brunetti & Jones (2014) and van Weeren et al. (2019) for further information on these sources.

The Coma cluster hosts the most famous and first detected radio halo (Large et al. 1959) and radio relic (Ballarati et al. 1981; Giovannini et al. 1991). A bridge of low-surface brightness connecting the two was first reported by Kim et al. (1989) and further studied afterwards (e.g., Venturi et al. 1990; Brown & Rudnick 2011). For many years, this bridge has been a unique case. Recently, the advent of low frequency ($\nu < 1$ GHz) instruments has led to the discovery of bridges of radio emission connecting clusters and merging groups (Bonafede et al. 2018; Botteon et al. 2019). More recently, large-scale bridges of radio emission have also been detected between massive clusters in a premerger state (Govoni et al. 2019; Botteon et al. 2020b). The detection of diffuse and low-brightness radio emission is possible thanks to new facilities, such as the LOw Frequency ARray (LOFAR; van Haarlem et al. 2013), that permit the detection of large-scale radio emission while keeping a high angular resolution to disentangle the embedded sources.

1.1. Large-scale Bridges and the Coma Bridge

The discovery of large-scale radio bridges connecting A399 and A401 (Govoni et al. 2019) calls for the existence of different (re)acceleration scenarios than those used to explain radio halos and relics. Recently, Brunetti & Vazza (2020) proposed that stochastic acceleration of relativistic electrons by turbulence could explain the observed emission. According to
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10 Throughout this paper, we define the spectral index $\alpha$ as $S(\nu) \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$, where $S$ is the flux density at the frequency $\nu$. 
this model, a key role is played by the complex dynamics along the filament connecting the two clusters. Indeed, cosmological simulations indicate that the chaotic accretion of matter along the filament could generate turbulence. The resulting radio emission is expected to be volume filling and with a steep spectrum (α ≈ −1.3).

The Coma radio bridge has a different spatial scale and is located in a different environment than the large-scale bridge found between A399 and A401. However, several optical, millimetric, and X-ray, studies indicate that the bridge is located in a very active dynamical region. Hence, we will investigate in this work if turbulence could also play a role in the post-merging Coma bridge.

1.2. The Dynamical Status in the Coma-bridge Region

Malavasi et al. (2020) recently analyzed the large-scale structure around the Coma cluster. They found that Coma is located at the center of a network of three filaments, one of which connects Coma to the cluster A1367, a massive (\(M_{\text{SZ}} = 1.7 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{14}\)) Planck Collaboration et al. (2014), dynamically young cluster, that is undergoing a merger between its two subgroups (Ge et al. 2019).

The group NGC 4839 and the radio-galaxy NGC 4789 are located at the periphery of Coma, toward A1367, and close to the filament of galaxies and groups that connect the two clusters. Lyskova et al. (2019) analyzed the interaction between the NGC 4839 group and the Coma cluster using X-ray observations from XMM-Newton and Chandra and comparing their analysis with numerical simulations. They observed a tail of cold gas to the southwest of the group and a “sheath” region of hotter gas that envelops the group. They concluded that the most likely scenario is the one where the group is at its second infall toward the Coma cluster after passing the apocenter. The shock that is responsible for the relic would be due to the first infall of the group.

More recently, Mirakhur & Walker (2020) analyzed XMM-Newton and Planck Sunyaev Zel’dovich data of the Coma cluster. They found a significant entropy deficit in the southwest (SW) sector of Coma, toward A1367. This entropy deficit is consistent with theoretical expectations for filamentary gas streams from the cosmic web toward the Coma cluster.

Overall, several independent works indicate that the SW region of Coma, where the radio bridge is located, is a dynamically active region where the NGC 4839 group is falling into Coma at its second passage, an out-moving shock wave is likely originating the relic, and filaments of gas are accreting onto the main cluster along the filament that connects Coma with A1367. All these motions could inject turbulence in the bridge region and possibly accelerating a seed population of mildly relativistic electrons to originate the radio bridge.

In this work, we use LOFAR and Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) observations to analyze the properties of the Coma bridge. We also use X-ray observations with the ROSAT to understand the connection between thermal and non-thermal plasma in the bridge. A detailed study of the halo and relic will be the subject of a forthcoming paper. This paper is structured as follows: in Section 2, we describe the observations and data reduction techniques, and in Section 3 we analyze the bridge properties and its connections with the cluster thermal plasma. In Section 4, we use the cosmological simulations of a Coma-like cluster to inspect under which conditions the Coma bridge can be powered by turbulence. Finally, we conclude in Section 5. Throughout this paper, we assume a Lambda cold dark matter cosmological model, with \(H_0 = 69.6\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}\,\text{Mpc}^{-1}\) and \(\Omega_M = 0.286, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.714\). At the Coma redshift (\(z = 0.0231\)) the angular to linear scale is 0.47 Mpc/kpc.

2. Data Reduction and Imaging

2.1. LOFAR Observations

The data used in this work are part of the LOFAR Two Meter Sky Survey (LoTSS; see Shimwell et al. 2019). They consist of two pointings of 8 hours each that have been calibrated independently following a slightly different procedure than the standard one described in Shimwell et al. (2019) and T. W. Shimwell et al. (2020, in preparation). Specifically, for the pointing P192+27, a larger region of calibration and imaging has been used, to account for a strong off-axis source located outside the beam first side lobe. For both the P195+27 and P192+27 pointings, we kept all baselines for calibration, while the LoTSS images and calibration are done with an inner cut of the recorded visibilities below 0.1 km. This cut allows one to easily eliminate radio frequency interferences on the short baselines, and filters out extended emission (larger than 34° in the sky), e.g., coming from our Galaxy, that is hard to deconvolve and hence would make the calibration difficult. Hence, it cannot be applied to the Coma cluster, that extends for more than 2°.

In order to image the diffuse emission of the Coma cluster, we performed the following steps:

1. Subtraction of sources outside the Coma cluster region: sources outside the inner 1.5° circle radius were subtracted using killMS and DDF (Smirnov & Tasse 2015) in order to speed up the following imaging steps. Specifically, after imaging the model components were corrupted by the antenna gains and subtracted by the UV visibilities. This procedure was repeated twice with different inner UV range (baselines longer than 0.1 km, and all baselines) in order to remove both compact and diffuse emission outside the Coma cluster. The resulting data set consists of emission from the Coma cluster only.

2. The data set has been reimaged using an inner UV-cut of 0.3 km, in order to obtain a model for pointlike and extended sources inside the Coma cluster that we subtracted from the data. The model components corresponding to NGC 4839 and NGC 4789 have been excluded from the subtraction, as the emission from those tails merges with the diffuse emission of the bridge and of the relic. The resulting data sets consist of only diffuse emission in the Coma cluster region. Some residual emission from embedded sources could still be present, but its contribution should be negligible.

Imaging was performed using DDF (Tasse et al. 2018) to apply the directional gains derived during calibration and to take into account the differential beam pattern throughout the Coma field. We used the Sub Space Deconvolution (SSD) algorithm, which is generally robust in the deconvolution of extended emission (Tasse et al. 2018 and references therein). However, the emission of the Coma field is very extended and characterized by a low-surface brightness. Even with SSD, multiple cycles of deconvolution with optimized masks, each covering a different portion of the halo/bridge have been performed. Although this procedure is not optimal, it gives better results than the hybrid matching pursuit (HMP) algorithm, and no other algorithm was implemented in DDF at the time of writing. We checked that the residual images did
not present regions of un-deconvolved emission in the region of interest.

The images made from observations of the pointing P192+27 have a higher noise with respect to those from P195+27 (see Table 1). From a comparison between the images presented in this work and those of the Data Release 2 (T. W. Shimwell et al. 2020, in preparation) we conclude that this is due to interference and/or flags occurring in the short baselines.

The images of the two pointings have been restored to the same beam, corrected for the primary beam response, and finally combined by weighting with the inverse of their noise. The combined image of the Coma cluster diffuse emission (plus the two tails) is shown in Figure 1. Details about the images are given in Table 2. The absolute flux scale has been checked to ensure consistency with the LOFAR DR2 images (T. W. Shimwell et al. 2020, in preparation). The error on the LOFAR absolute flux scale is assumed to be 15%. Details of the observations are listed in Table 1.

2.2. X-Ray Observations

We analyzed the data from four ROSAT Position Sensitive Proportional Counter observations of the Coma cluster extending out to radii of approximately 60′−70′. These observations, with identifiers rp800006N00, rp800013N00, rp80005N00, and rp80009N00, were carried out between 1991 June 16 and 18 and their combined clean exposure time is 78 ks. The data were reduced using the Extended Source Analysis Software (Snowden et al. 1994). Background and exposure-corrected images in three energy bands (0.7−0.9, 0.9−1.3, and 1.3−2.0 keV, respectively) were combined, after removing artifacts associated with the detector edges.

In order to account for the Galactic foreground, whose intensity may be non-negligible in the low-surface brightness bridge, we fitted a β-model (Cavaliere & Fusco-Femiano 1976) plus a constant to the ROSAT mosaic. We excluded from the fit the central 3′ region of the Coma ICM, the contaminating pointlike sources, and the region contained between the azimuths −78° to 2° from the W axis, which correspond to the bridge region and the NGC 4789 sub-halo. The best-fit constant thus determined has been subtracted from the exposure-corrected image to eliminate the Galactic foreground and emission from unresolved distant active galactic nuclei (AGNs).

![Figure 1. LOFAR emission of the Coma field. The restoring beam is 45′ × 45′, and is shown in the bottom left corner of the image. The noise is 0.25 mJy/beam. Sources embedded in the diffuse emission have been subtracted, except for the two tails NGC 4839 and NGC 4789. Relevant sources and features are labeled.](image-url)

### Table 1

| LOFAR Pointing | Time (hr) | θ_{rest} (mJy/beam) |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------|
| LOFAR P192+27  | 8         | 30′ × 18′ 0.35      |
| LOFAR P195+27  | 8         | 30′ × 25′ 0.25      |

**Note.** Column 1: LoTSS pointing name; Column 2: observing time; Column 3: restoring beam; Column 4: rms noise of the image.

### Table 2

| Freq. (MHz) | θ_{rest} (mJy/beam) | Figure |
|-------------|---------------------|--------|
| 144         | 30′ × 30′ 0.2       | 1, 2   |
| 144         | 45′ × 45′ 0.25      | 2, 5   |
| 144         | 150′ × 100′ 1.0     | ...    |
| 326         | 150′ × 100′ 0.5     | 4      |

**Note.** Column 1: observing frequency; Column 2: restoring beam; Column 3: rms noise of the image; Column 4: figure of merit.
3. The Coma Radio Bridge

The LOFAR image confirms the presence of a bridge of low-surface brightness located in-between the cluster and the radio relic (Figure 1).

Thanks to the sensitivity of LOFAR, the bridge is detected with a high significance, and its substructures are mapped in detail. The higher resolution of the LOFAR data, with respect to previous studies, shows that the radio emission does not uniformly cover the whole region between the cluster and the relic. From Figure 1, it can be seen that the emission surrounds the NGC 4839 group and that the group and the relic are connected through streams of radio emission, similar to those observed in the “toothbrush” radio relic, in the shock downstream region (Rajpurohit et al. 2020). These streams could mark regions of enhanced magnetic field or non-thermal plasma filaments resulting from the motion of the source NGC 4789 toward the southwest. Understanding their origin goes beyond the scope of this paper. We note that polarization images as well as spectral index images at high resolution would give important information about the origin of the streams. Indeed, they would allow us to infer the orientation of the magnetic field and constrain the dynamics of relativistic particles.

At a resolution of 30″, the emission from the bridge above 3σrms appears disconnected from the halo. At the same time, though, the bridge emission is better recovered with a 45″ resolution. Indeed, the flux density measured from the image at 45″ is higher than that measured from the image at 30″. This indicates that we are genuinely recovering more diffuse emission at lower resolution, and that we are not just convolving with a larger restoring beam the emission recovered at 30″. At 45″, the bridge appears connected to the radio halo (Figure 2). We use the 45″ image in the following analysis, and deeper observations would be needed to exclude that the connection observed at 45″ is due to the convolution of the emission with the lower resolution restoring beam.

The radio bridge has the largest linear size (LLS) ~940 kpc and a flux density $\delta = 1.6 \pm 0.2$ Jy. Other details are listed in Table 3. In Figure 3, we show the radio brightness profile along the bridge. The brightness is averaged inside rectangular boxes having the main axis perpendicular to the bridge main axis, i.e., parallel to the relic’s main axis. The boxes are $\sim 600 \times 50$ kpc, and only the emission above 2σrms has been integrated within each box. The average brightness of the bridge is a factor $\sim 6$–8 lower than in the main body of the relic. It declines gradually from the relic inner edge toward the NGC 4839 group, and it remains fairly constant throughout the bridge, except for an increase and a higher dispersion observed in the region of the NGC 4839 group.

![Figure 2](image.png) Left panel: LOFAR emission from the Coma bridge at 30° × 30°. The emission below 3σrms (0.6 mJy/beam) is displayed in black and shows a brightness decrement between the halo and the bridge. Right panel: LOFAR emission from the Coma bridge at 45° × 45°. The emission below 2σrms, corresponding to a 0.5 mJy/beam, is displayed in black. The bridge appears connected to the halo.

![Figure 3](image.png) Figure 3. Brightness profile along the bridge computed in boxes parallel to the relic main axes. The green area indicates the scatter inside each box. The positions of the relic edge, halo front, and of the NGC 4839 group are marked by dashed lines. The brightness is computed from the image at 45° resolution.

| Freq (MHz) | LAS (°) | LLS (kpc) | Flux Density (mJy) | P (erg s⁻¹ Hz⁻¹) |
|-----------|---------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 326       | 30      | 840       | 0.47 ± 0.05       | 5.8 ± 0.6 x10⁻³ |
| 144       | 34      | 940       | 1.6 ± 0.2         | 2.0 ± 0.2 x10⁻¹ |

Note. Column 1: frequency of the observation; Column 2: LLS of the bridge; Column 3: largest linear scale using the cosmological model adopted in this paper. Column 4: flux density of the bridge; Column 5: corresponding monochromatic power.
In front of the NGC 4839 group, toward the Coma cluster, the bridge appears to merge with the halo, and the separation of the emission of the two is not obvious. However, the western part of the halo shows a semicircular region that could be linked to the shock front detected in the X-rays (Uchida et al. 2016) and by Planck (Planck Collaboration et al. 2013). The northern part of this feature has been already identified by Brown & Rudnick (2011) and is called the “halo front.” LOFAR observations show that the front extends to the southwest of the cluster. A detailed analysis of this front will be presented in a forthcoming paper. Here, we refer to the radio halo as the emission that is confined by the front (see Figure 1), and to the radio bridge as the emission that is beyond the front with respect to the Coma cluster center.

Assuming that radio-emitting electrons are (re)accelerated by the shock at the position of the relic, we can estimate the cooling region of the particles, i.e., the part of the bridge that can be explained without assuming any further acceleration mechanism. With a mean magnetic field in the bridge region \(B = 0.5 - 2 \mu \text{G}\) (Bonafede et al. 2013; Vazza et al. 2018) the cooling length behind a shock reaccelerating a fossil population of relativistic electrons would be \(\sim 150 - 200 \text{kpc}\) at 144 MHz (e.g., Kang et al. 2012). Since the radio emission is observed on scales that are a factor 4 higher than the electron cooling length, we can conclude that an additional acceleration mechanism is operating in the bridge.

### 3.1. Spectral Index Analysis

We used WSRT archival data (Venturi et al. 1990) to create new images of the Coma cluster in order to derive spectral index information in the bridge region. This is a fundamental quantity to understand the origin of the emission. WSRT data were reimaged to achieve a resolution of \(150'' \times 100''\), which is the best compromise between resolution and sensitivity to extended emission. LOFAR data have been reimaged accordingly, matching the UV range of WSRT observations (minimum baseline \(\sim 40\lambda\)) and convolved to the same restoring beam. The WSRT image is shown in Figure 4, left panel, and the properties of the bridge as derived from this image are listed in Table 3.

As mentioned above, the observations P195+27 has higher sensitivity than P192+27, and is less affected by artifacts from the subtraction of sources close to the bridge. When the images are convolved to a resolution of \(150'' \times 100''\), the quality of the two images differs even more, indicating that the short baselines of P195+27 are better calibrated. Hence, in the following analysis of the spectral index properties, we only use the observations from the pointing P195+27.

For a better comparison between the two images, we identified and masked the sources in the WSRT and LOFAR at low resolution. Ideally, contaminating sources should be identified in high-resolution images and either masked or subtracted from the UV data. However, LOFAR and WSRT data have a very different resolutions, and the weak sources identified in the high-resolution LOFAR image are blended and confused with the bridge diffuse emission once the LOFAR image is convolved to the WSRT restoring beam. One possibility would be to identify the sources in the high-resolution LOFAR image, rescale their flux density and subtract it from the WSRT visibilities. We decided against this approach, as the spectral index of sources is uncertain, and not constant throughout the sources when they are unresolved. In addition, we cannot exclude that they could have an inverted spectrum between 144 and 323 MHz. Hence, we decided to adopt a simpler approach, and identify and mask only the sources that are visible at low resolution. The WSRT image is shown in Figure 4. Since the brightness at 144 MHz is rather uniform (see Figure 2), this means that we can measure the spectral index between 144 and 326 MHz only in the flattest spectral regions, and obtain limits in the remaining regions. The average spectral index in the area where WSRT observations show emission above \(2\sigma_{\text{rms}}\) is \(\alpha_{\text{patches}} = -1.3 \pm 0.2\). This value can be contaminated by the presence of pointlike sources that are not perfectly masked out from the bridge emission, and that usually have a spectrum flatter than \(-1.2\).

To provide conservative constraints to the spectrum of the bridge, we can assume two extreme scenarios:

1. The emission of the bridge at 326 MHz is completely detected by WSRT observations above \(2\sigma_{\text{rms}},\) i.e., no significant further emission would be detected by deeper observations at 326 MHz. We can compute the average spectrum of the bridge considering the emission observed at 144 MHz above \(2\sigma_{\text{rms}},\) and the emission observed at 326 MHz above \(2\sigma_{\text{rms}}\). In this case, we obtain...
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| \( \alpha_{\text{patches}} \) | \( \alpha_L \) | \( \alpha_U \) |
|---|---|---|
| \(-1.3 \pm 0.2\) | \(-1.6 \pm 0.2\) | \(-1.4 \pm 0.2\) |

Note. Column 1: spectral index of the emission detected with WSRT above \(2\sigma_{\text{rms}}\); Columns 2, 3: lower, upper limit to the spectral index in the bridge region.

1. \( \alpha_L = -1.6 \pm 0.2 \). This value must be considered a lower limit to the real spectrum of the bridge.

2. The emission at 326 MHz falls just below \(2\sigma_{\text{rms}}\). Hence, we can consider the emission at 326 MHz as the sum of two terms: \( S_{\text{WSRT}} = S_{\text{meas}} + 2 \sigma_{\text{rms}} (N_{\text{beam}}^W - N_{\text{beam}}^L) \), where \( S_{\text{meas}} \) is the measured flux density above \(2\sigma_{\text{rms}}\). \( N_{\text{beam}}^W \) indicates the bridge area detected above \(2\sigma_{\text{rms}}\) by LOFAR in beam units, and similarly, \( N_{\text{beam}}^L \) indicates the bridge area detected above \(2\sigma_{\text{rms}}\) by WSRT in beam units. In this case, we obtain \( \alpha_U = -1.4 \pm 0.2 \), that is an upper limit to the spectral index of the bridge.

These two scenarios are both extreme and allow us to constrain the average spectrum of the bridge as detected by LOFAR: \(-1.4 \pm 0.2 \leq \alpha \leq -1.6 \pm 0.2 \). The spectral indexes derived in this section are listed in Table 4.

In Figure 4, the spectral index trend in the region of the bridge is shown. We can see that the spectral index steepens from the relic’s outer edge toward the bridge, and does not show any particular trend within the bridge itself. In the region between the source NGC 4839 and the Coma halo, it has an average value of \(-1.3 \pm 0.2 \).

Also these values are representative of the flattest regions of the bridge that are detected at both 144 and 326 MHz. We can place a lower limit on the spectral index in each box considering the emission detected in the LOFAR image above \(2\sigma_{\text{rms}}\). These values are shown with upwards arrows in Figure 4.

### 3.2. Radio and X-Ray Correlation

Radio and X-ray emission have been found to be spatially correlated in some radio halos (e.g., Govoni et al. 2001; Hoang et al. 2019; Botteon et al. 2020a). The existence or absence of this correlation can provide important insights into the spatial distribution of the magnetic field and emitting particles with respect to the thermal gas. Here, we compute this correlation in the Coma bridge using LOFAR data at 144 MHz. Since we used the radio image at 45° resolution, we smoothed the X-ray image accordingly.

Let \( I_R \) and \( I_X \) be the radio and X-ray surface brightness computed in each cell of a regular grid that we used to analyze the bridge (see Figure 5). The grid is composed of a large number of cells of different sizes, as explained below and specified in Table 5. Cells intercepting residual emission from AGNs and radio sources have been identified and excluded from the analysis.

To search for a possible correlation, we performed a fit in log–log space:

\[
\log(I_R) = \beta \log(I_X) + \alpha_c.
\]

We used a hierarchical Bayesian model (Kelly 2007), that allowed us to perform linear regression of \( I_R \) on \( I_X \) accounting for intrinsic scatter in the regression relationship, censored data,
possibly correlated measurement errors, and selection effects (e.g., Malmquist bias). This method derives a likelihood function for the data, hence, we consider the mean of the posterior distribution as the best-fit slope. Following Botteon et al. (2020a), we considered as upper limits the radio values that are below $2\sigma_{\text{rms}}$. The upper limits are properly taken into account in the fit. The fit has been made with different grids, with cells of $90'' \times 90''$ and $135'' \times 135''$, corresponding to 7 and 16 times the beam area, respectively.

In Figure 5, the data are shown together with the best-fit line, and the 25th and 75th percentile lines of the fitted posterior regression slope distribution $\beta$. In Table 5, the results of the fits are listed. $I_R$ and $I_X$ show a moderate positive correlation (Pearson correlation coefficient equal to 0.67). A positive correlation is expected if the emission originates from the same physical volume, i.e., the radio emission is as volume filling as the X-ray emission, and we are not observing radio and X-ray emission in the same region because of projection effects.

### 3.3. Distribution of Emission at Different Frequencies

Turbulence mechanisms for particle acceleration predict a high-frequency cutoff of the radiating electrons (e.g., Brunetti & Lazarian 2016). Specifically, super-Alfvénic solenoidal turbulence has been used to explain the emission in large-scale radio bridges, and according to this model the cutoff synchrotron frequency depends on the turbulent energy density and on turbulent energy in the bridge. Hence, particles that emit radiation at high frequencies are only those accelerated in the most turbulent regions. It follows that the properties of the radio emission in the bridge change with frequency, and specifically the emission at lower frequencies should be more volume filling than the emission at higher frequencies. We can test this prediction for the case of the Coma bridge, using LOFAR and WSRT data.

To quantify the volume-filling factor of the emission in the bridge, we used the same images used for the spectral index analysis. The area emitting above $2\sigma_{\text{rms}}$ at 326 MHz is 60% of the area above $2\sigma_{\text{rms}}$ at 144 MHz. However, this value could be affected by the different sensitivity of the two observations.

To quantify the spatial distribution of the emission at the two frequencies and evaluate whether its distribution is clumpy or smooth, we convolved our images $I(x, y)$ with Gaussian kernels of increasing width ($G_X$), where $X$ indicates the Gaussian FWHM in arcseconds. We then used a normalized unsharp-masking filter, computing

$$I_{\nu}^{\text{clumps, } X}(x, y) = \frac{I(x, y) - I(x, y) \ast G_X(x, y)}{I(x, y) \ast G_X(x, y)},$$

where $\nu$ indicates the observing frequency, and $(x,y)$ indicate the pixels in the image. $I_{\nu}^{\text{clumps, } X}(x, y)$ enhances the emission on angular scale $X$ convolved with the restoring beam of the image. By comparing the distribution of $I_{\nu}^{\text{clumps, } X}$ at different frequencies, we could estimate the level of clumping in the radio emission as a function of frequency. We computed $I_{\nu}^{\text{clumps, } X}(x, y)$ for $X = 120''$, 225'', and 315''. For each $I_{\nu}^{\text{clumps, } X}(x, y)$, we computed the distribution of the flux density at 326 and 144 MHz in the bridge area, limited to cells where radio emission above $2\nu$ was observed over a region larger than the restoring beam. Two example distributions are plotted in Figure 6 for $X = 225''$. The distribution of the WSRT data shows a long tail at high values, possibly indicating that the emission is clumpier at 326 MHz than at 144 MHz. Similar trends are obtained with $X = 120''$ and $X = 315''$. However, the different sensitivity of the two observations could play a role here, as we note that the LOFAR image at high resolution is also quite filamentary. Deeper and higher resolution observations at frequencies higher than 144 MHz would be required to derive robust conclusions from this analysis.

### 4. Numerical Simulations of the Radio Bridge

Several independent works indicate that the SW sector of the Coma cluster is a dynamically active region (e.g., Lyskova et al. 2019; Malavasi et al. 2020; Mirakhor & Walker 2020), where NGC 4839 is at its second infall toward the Coma cluster, likely after reaching the apocenter (Lyskova et al. 2019). A shock wave is moving southwest of this group, powering the radio relic (Ogrean & Brüggen 2013), and streams of gas are accreting along a cosmic filament that connects Coma and A1367 (Mirakhor & Walker 2020). All these motions could inject turbulence in the region of the bridge, especially at west of the NGC 4839 group, where radio emission is observed. We note that the emission observed between the group and the halo has a lower surface brightness than the emission between the group and the relic (see Figure 3), and we cannot even exclude that the bridge is not connected to the halo (see Section 3). This could be explained by considering the motion of the group in its orbit around the cluster. During the first passage toward the apocenter, the motion of the group could have triggered particle acceleration and originated the emission that we have now detected with a low-surface brightness between the group and the halo. While the second passage of the group in the bridge region, after reaching the apocenter, could have originated the brighter emission that we observed west of the group.

We can derive a rough estimate of the turbulent energy flux and compare it to the non-thermal luminosity. This comparison allows one to understand if the observed radio luminosity can be powered by the damping of the turbulent energy flux into relativistic particles. The turbulent energy flux in the volume $V$,
\[ V \times F, \text{ is given by} \]
\[ V \times F = V \cdot \frac{\rho c_s^2 \mathcal{M}^3}{2L}, \quad (3) \]

where \( V \) is the bridge volume, \( \rho \) is the gas density, \( c_s \) is the sound speed, \( \mathcal{M} \) is the Mach number, and \( L \) is the turbulence injection scale. Assuming that the group is the main cause for the injection of turbulence, we can consider \( L \approx 300 \) kpc. We can also estimate \( c_s \approx 1500 \) km s\(^{-1}\) (from an average temperature of 8 keV, Simionescu et al. (2013) in the SW sector of Coma). The volume of the bridge can be approximated by a cylinder with a circular base of 300 kpc radius and 900 kpc height, and the gas density can be roughly approximated by \( n_e \approx 5 \times 10^{-4} \) cm\(^{-3}\) (Simionescu et al. 2013).\(^{11} \)

A fraction, \( \eta \), of the energy flux \( F \) can be dissipated into the acceleration of mildly relativistic electrons and eventually into non-thermal emission (i.e., synchrotron and inverse Compton luminosity),
\[ \eta F V \sim L_{\text{tot}} \sim L_3 (1 + (B_{\text{emb}}/B))^2, \quad (4) \]

where \( L_3 \sim 1.4 \times 10^{40} \) erg s\(^{-1}\), considering a power-law power spectrum with \( \alpha = -1.5 \) in the range 20 MHz–2 GHz, and \( B_{\text{emb}} = 3.25(1+z)^2 \mu G \). This allows one to constrain the Mach number of turbulence required for a given efficiency \( \eta \):
\[ \mathcal{M}(r)^{1/3} \sim 0.01 \left(1 + 0.25 \cdot \frac{B_{\text{emb}}^2}{B_s^2}\right)^{1/3} L_0^{1/3} V_1 \delta^{1/3} c_{s,500}^{-1} \eta^{1/3}, \quad (5) \]

Here, \( B_s \) is the magnetic field normalized to 2 \( \mu G \), as derived from Faraday rotation measure (RM) studies (Bonafede et al. 2013), \( L_0 = L/0.3 \) Mpc, \( V_1 \) is the bridge volume normalized to 1 Mpc\(^3\), \( \delta \) is the gas density normalized to \( (5 \times 10^{-28} \text{ g cm}^{-3}) \), and \( c_{s,500} \) is the sound speed normalized to 1500 km s\(^{-1}\). Assuming \( \eta \lesssim 10^{-4} \), as usually required to explain radio halos (Brunetti & Lazarian 2007), we derive that \( \mathcal{M} \gtrsim 0.3 \) is enough to power the radio bridge. As \( \eta \sim 10^{-4} \) corresponds to a turbulent energy budget that is \( \sim 5\% \) of the thermal energy budget, we conclude that the available energy budget is in principle enough to explain the radio emission.

The exact mechanism through with turbulent motions accelerate particles is yet unconstrained. A viable channel of acceleration is the transit time damping (TTD), mediated by compressive turbulent modes (e.g., Brunetti & Lazarian 2007), often assumed to explain radio halos. However, cosmological simulations suggest that the largest budget of turbulent kinetic energy in the ICM is solenoidal (Minniti 2014; Vazza et al. 2017), with compressive modes contributing up to 30\% only at \( r \gtrsim 0.5 \) r\(_{\text{vir}}\). Cosmological simulations also show that solenoidal turbulence is dissipated in the ICM at a much smaller rate than compressible turbulence, because of its flatter velocity spectrum, providing a possible energy reservoir for particle acceleration in the ICM (Vazza et al. 2017, and references therein). Solenoidal turbulence can contribute to particle acceleration in the ICM. Brunetti & Lazarian (2016) proposed a model in which particles are reaccelerated stochastically diffusing across regions of magnetic reconnection and dynamo, which is a viable mechanism for large-scale super-Alfvénic solenoidal turbulence. Both TTD and acceleration by solenoidal turbulence may be viable mechanisms for particle reacceleration in the ICM, and until now, it is not clear which of the two mechanisms dominates in the ICM. Their relative importance depends on the ratio of compressive and solenoidal motions that are available in the ICM and on ICM microphysics (see Brunetti & Lazarian 2016 and Brunetti & Vazza 2020 for a comparison between the two mechanisms.) Recently, Brunetti & Vazza (2020) showed that reacceleration mediated by solenoidal turbulence, also called adiabatic stochastic acceleration, may be responsible for the steep spectrum and volume-filling synchrotron emission observed in bridges in-between merging galaxy clusters (Govoni et al. 2019). In the same work, it is shown that adiabatic stochastic acceleration is more efficient than TTD, provided that the turbulence is subsonic, \( \mathcal{M} \lesssim 0.5 \) (Brunetti & Vazza 2020). In the following, we investigate under which conditions this mechanism could work in the Coma bridge.

### 4.1. Cosmological Simulation

The cosmological simulation that we use in the following analysis is taken from the suite of MHD non-radiative high-resolution simulations presented in Vazza et al. (2018) and Domínguez-Fernández et al. (2019). The simulations were made with Enzo (Bryan et al. 2014) and include eight levels of adaptive mesh refinement to increase the spatial and force resolution in a large fraction of the simulated cluster volume, up to \( \Delta x = 3.95 \) kpc/cell. One of the simulated clusters has properties similar to Coma (see Vazza et al. 2018 for further details). In particular, the simulation evolves a uniform primordial magnetic field of \( B_0 = 10^{-10} \text{G} \) (co-moving) and the resulting Faraday RM profile of the cluster is in agreement with the one derived from observations (Bonafede et al. 2010; Vazza et al. 2018).

Hence, this Coma-like cluster is suitable for comparison with LOFAR observations and is used in the calculations below.

We focus on a region at the periphery of the simulated cluster, where matter is accreting onto the main cluster, and where it has been found that two satellites have an additional velocity component perpendicular to the cluster radius (see Figure 8). Hence, even if the specific dynamical status of the simulated cluster is not exactly the same as the observed bridge, the simulation represents a realistic environment to investigate the origin of the radio emission. In the following, we refer to the region in the simulation where matter is accreting onto the main cluster as to the simulated bridge.

In order to study the plasma conditions that could lead to the (re)acceleration of radio-emitting electrons in the bridge, we separated turbulent fluctuations, \( \delta V \), from bulk motions on larger scales, using an iterative three-dimensional filtering technique. Specifically, we extracted solenoidal, \( \nabla \cdot \bf{v} = 0 \), and compressive, \( \nabla \times \bf{v} = 0 \), turbulent components using the Hodge–Helmholtz projection in Fourier space (e.g., Vazza et al. 2017). This allowed us to compute the local turbulent energy flux, \( F \), due to solenoidal and compressive modes (e.g., Angelinelli et al. 2020).

### 4.2. Turbulent Reacceleration in the Bridge

Here, we compute the electron acceleration rate due to turbulence using the cosmological simulations presented

---

\(^{11}\) Since the asymmetric geometry complicates the deprojection along the bridge, we assumed that the density here could be estimated scaling the deprojected density along other relaxed directions by the square root of the ratio between the X-ray brightness of the corresponding regions.
above. In principle, the evolution of radio-emitting particles should be computed with a Lagrangian approach (e.g., Wittor et al. 2017), and then integrating in time their spectral energy evolution using Fokker–Planck equations (e.g., Donnert & Brunetti 2014). However, this approach is numerically challenging and beyond the scope of this paper. Hence, we adopt a simplified approach to estimate the radio emission as a function of frequency from the simulated bridge. Following Brunetti & Vazza (2020), we measured the distribution function of acceleration times in boxes of (500 kpc)$^3$, i.e., 30$^3$ cells, in the simulated bridge. The acceleration time is

$$\tau_{\text{acc}} = 1.25 \cdot 10^{-3} (\text{Myr}) \frac{L_{0.5}B^*}{\sqrt{n_{\text{th}}^3} \delta V^2},$$

where $n_{\text{th}}$ is the thermal particle number density normalized by $10^{-3}$ cm$^3$, $\delta V^2$ is the turbulent velocity (in units of $10^7$ cm s$^{-1}$) measured on a scale of $L_{0.5}$ in each cell, and is the magnetic field strength in microgauss. Following Brunetti & Vazza (2020), we account for the effect of unresolved dynamo amplification in the simulation by setting in every cell: $B^* = \max[B_{\text{min}}, \sqrt{4\pi \mu_0 n_{\text{th}}^3} \delta V^2]$, where $B_{\text{min}}$ is the magnetic field measured in the simulation, and $\eta_B$ is the fraction of turbulent kinetic energy flux that is converted into magnetic fields. As discussed in Brunetti & Vazza (2020), we assumed $\eta_B = 0.03$. Then, we sampled the distribution function of acceleration times with a step of $\Delta \log(\tau_{\text{acc}}) = 0.2$, obtaining 10 values for $\log(\tau_{\text{acc}})$. For each $\tau_{\text{acc}}$, we evolved an initial spectrum of relativistic electrons solving the Fokker–Planck equations for a turbulent eddy-turnover time and assuming a single-zone model, i.e., using the average thermal densities and magnetic field in the simulated box. We have considered the combined effect of acceleration, radiative, and Coulomb losses.

Finally, we computed the integrated synchrotron spectrum combining the contributions from the spectra derived from different acceleration times and assuming a single value for the magnetic field ($\langle B^* \rangle = 0.5 \mu$G). These spectra have been weighted according to the distribution functions of acceleration times, and are shown in Figure 7.12

The synchrotron luminosity produced by a region of 1 Mpc$^3$ is shown in Figure 7. We have to assume that the fossil population of electrons have an initial energy budget that is $3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ with respect to the thermal gas in order to match the observed luminosity.13 This value is almost a factor 10 higher than the one used Brunetti & Vazza (2020) for the bridge in A399-A401.

This discrepancy can be due to several reasons, such as the magnetic field properties in the bridge, as the simulation is only a rough approximation of the physical conditions in the Coma bridge. Another possibility is that the model proposed by Brunetti & Vazza (2020) can only explain a fraction of the observed emission. More interestingly, this discrepancy could suggest that a key role is played by the large number of seed electrons released in the medium, either by the NGC 4839 tail during its motion or by other radio galaxies present in the bridge region (see, e.g., Venturi et al. 1990; Giovannini et al. 1991). In this case, we can conclude that the Coma bridge is radio bright thanks to a combination of turbulent motions and to the presence of tailed radio galaxies that populate the medium with a high density of mildly relativistic electrons.

The spectrum of the radio luminosity between 150 and 330 MHz is $\alpha = -1.5$, within the range allowed by our observational constraints.

4.3. Simulated Radio Emission from the Bridge

Using some further assumptions, we can investigate whether the properties of the bridge resulting from turbulent reacceleration would be in agreement with observations. We obtained the synchrotron emissivity from each cell along the simulated bridge, assuming that a fraction of the turbulent energy flux is converted into particle energy flux, and then into synchrotron, and inverse Compton radiation:

$$e(\nu) \propto n_{\text{th}} \frac{\delta V^2}{L_{0.5}} \frac{(B^*_{\text{th}})^2}{(B^*_{\text{th}})^2 + (3.25(1+z)^2)^2} \Theta(\nu_0).$$

Here, $\nu_0$ is the maximal frequency for which synchrotron radiation is emitted in each cell. We put $\Theta(\nu_0) = 1$ for $\nu_0 > \nu_0$ and = 0 otherwise, in order to select only the cells that contribute to the synchrotron radiation observed at the frequency $\nu_0$.

Following Cassano et al. (2010), we assumed $\nu_{\text{c}} \sim \gamma_{\text{c}} \gamma_{\text{max}} B_{\text{crit}}^* \nu_0$, where $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ is the Lorentz factor of electrons when radiative losses
balance the acceleration rate. Hence, the critical frequency is
\[ \nu_c(\text{MHz}) = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{\Phi_7 n_{\text{th}} \delta V_{\odot}^2}{L_0^{2.5} B_{\rho G} \beta_{B,z}} \left(1 - \frac{\tau_{\text{acc}}}{\tau_{\text{adv}}} \right)^2, \]  
(8)

where \( \beta_{B,z} \) is defined as
\[ \beta_{B,z} = \left(\frac{B_{\rho G}^2}{3.25}\right) \times (1 + z)^4, \]  
(9)

and \( \tau_{\text{adv}} \) is the expansion/compression timescales, defined as
\[ \tau_{\text{adv}} = 7720 \text{ Myr} \left(8.11 \cdot 10^{16} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}\right)^{-1}, \]  
(10)

where \( \mathbf{v} \) is the velocity field measured in km s\(^{-1}\), and \( \Phi_7 \sim 0.71 \text{--} 1.14 \). We refer the reader to Brunetti & Vazza (2020) and Brunetti & Lazarian (2016) for further details.

Figure 8 shows the simulated radio emission derived from the above formula, for \( \nu = 140 \text{ MHz} \), overlayed onto the X-ray emission contours in the [0.5--2.0] keV energy range (obtained assuming a uniform 0.3Z_\odot metallicity and using the Broadened Astrophysical Plasma Emission Code (B-APEC) model). The map zooms in on a (2 Mpc)\(^2\) region in the cluster periphery, where also the profile of Faraday rotation is compatible with the trend derived by Bonafede et al. (2013) for the SW sector of Coma (the simulated bridge, see Vazza et al. 2018). The simulated radio emission shows an excess spanning of \( \sim 2 \text{ Mpc} \) along the simulated bridge, with a surface brightness of \( \sim 10^{–10} \) lower than what the same model predicts for the central radio halo.

We find that the parts of the bridge that are most suitable for the turbulent acceleration mechanism have a thermal gas density in the range of \( n \sim 1 \text{--} 3 \cdot 10^{-28} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), a gas temperature of \( T \sim 4 \text{--} 7 \text{ keV} \), and a (solenoidal) turbulent velocity dispersion of \( \sigma_v \sim 500 \text{--} 700 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). The gas density and temperature are in general agreement, though slightly lower than the estimates from X-ray analysis (see Simionescu et al. 2013, and Section 3).

For patches with lower densities or velocities, the model predicts \( \nu_c < \nu_{\text{th}} \). Denser substructures in this gaseous bridge produce more emission with a relatively steep spectrum (\( \alpha \approx -1.2 \) to 1.3). However, such self-gravitating halos might in reality turn into galaxies, causing us to overestimate their gas content.

Finally, we derived from the simulation the \( I_X / I_R \) correlation, using a region comparable to the one used in Figure 5 and the same method described in Section 3. We find a moderate correlation in simulated quantities (Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.5), with a sublinear scaling of \( \beta = 0.80 \). The 5th and 95th percentiles of the posterior distribution of \( \beta \) are 0.82 and 0.73, respectively, which are larger than the observed value (~0.5, see Section 3.2). Even though the moderate correlation and the sublinear scaling found in simulations are in rough agreement with the observed ones, our simulation neglects important physical details: we considered a single-zone model, assumed that the amplification of magnetic fields via (unresolved) small-scale dynamo happens instantaneously, and that relativistic electrons are not subject to spatial diffusion on scales larger than the cell size. All these assumptions are clearly violated in the real ICM, and only with more sophisticated approaches (e.g., using tracer particles, Donnert et al. 2013) a quantitative three-dimensional model can be obtained.

5. Conclusions

We have presented LOFAR HBA observations of the Coma cluster at 144 MHz. These observations allowed us to study the morphology and spectral properties of the bridge of radio
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emission that connects the halo to the relic. Our main conclusions are:

1. The Coma bridge is clearly detected at 144 MHz at 30" resolution, with unprecedented sensitivity and detail. The LLS of the radio bridge is ~900 kpc, and its brightness is fairly uniform along the bridge, apart from an apparent increase when it crosses the NGC 4839 group. At 30" resolution, a gap is observed between the bridge and the halo.

2. Using WSRT observations, we analyzed the spectral properties of the bridge. No trend is detected along the bridge. The emission detected in the LOFAR image is only partially detected in the WSRT image. This is due to a combination of spectral properties and different sensitivity of the two observations. We can constrain the spectral index $\alpha$ to lie in the range $-1.4 \pm 0.2 < \alpha < -1.6 \pm 0.2$.

3. Using ROSAT observations, we analyzed the correlation between the radio and X-ray surface brightnesses, finding that the two quantities are moderately correlated (Pearson correlation coefficient $= 0.67$), with a sublinear scaling of 0.5, flatter than what is reported in the literature for the Coma halo. The moderate correlation might indicate that the radio emission is as volume filling as the X-ray emission.

4. The distributions of the radio emission at 326 and 144 MHz have been analyzed applying to both images a normalized unsharp-mask filter. The emission at 326 MHz appears to be more clumpy with respect to the emission at 144 MHz. However, the different sensitivity of the two observations do not permit us to derive from conclusions.

5. As the electron cooling length is only ~200 kpc at 144 MHz, only a part of the bridge can be explained by particles that are cooling downstream from the shock that formed the radio relic southwest of Coma. Additional reacceleration mechanisms are necessary to explain the radio emission of the bridge.

6. We investigated whether turbulent acceleration could be responsible for the emission in the radio bridge. We found that $\eta^{1/3} M \sim 0.01$ is required to match the observed radio luminosity in these models, $\eta$ being the fraction of turbulent energy flux that is damped into the acceleration of relativistic electrons. We used a cosmological simulation of a Coma-like cluster to further investigate this scenario. The simulation is used to separate solenoidal and compressive turbulent components, and to derive average values for the gas density and magnetic field. Then, we adopted a single-zone model and evolved a population of seed cosmic ray electrons over one eddy-turnover time using Fokker–Planck equations. Assuming that the initial energy ratio of the seed particles is $3 \times 10^{-4}$ with respect to the thermal gas, and making further approximations for the magnetic field amplification, we obtained a spectral index $\alpha = -1.5$, in general agreement with observational constraints.

7. A ratio of $3 \times 10^{-4}$ between non-thermal and thermal particles is higher than required to explain the radio emission in other cluster environments. It could indicate that the Coma bridge is radio emitting thanks to the contribution of radio galaxies, such as the NGC 4839 radio tail, that is injecting a considerable amount of seed particles into the medium.

8. Using the simulation of the Coma-like cluster, we computed the synchrotron emissivity that would originate from the simulated bridge. The simulated emission shows an excess over $\sim2$ Mpc along the region where matter is accreting toward the cluster, resembling observations of the Coma bridge, although a detailed comparison of the two would require a more detailed modeling of the particle energy spectrum and its evolution over time.

We conclude that turbulent reacceleration mechanisms could explain the radio bridge in the Coma cluster, where radio galaxies such as NGC 4839 are populating the medium with mildly relativistic electrons. However, some quantities are still poorly constrained from observations, such as the spectral index and the morphology of the emission. Future very sensitive observations, either at lower or higher frequencies than those presented in this work, will be useful to better characterize the morphology of this bridge and its properties as a function of frequency.

A large part of this work was written during the lockdown that several countries experienced in the first quarter of 2020 to fight the COVID-19 pandemic. We thank all the people who during these challenges times continued working in hospitals, pharmacies, grocery stores, transport, and other essential services, allowing us to stay safe at home wondering about the mysteries of the Universe.
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