Cloning and Characterization of a Sucrose Phosphate Synthase-encoding Gene from Muskmelon
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ABSTRACT. Sucrose phosphate synthase [SPS (EC 2.4.1.14)] is thought to play a critical role in sucrose accumulation in muskmelon (Cucumis melo L.) fruit. A full-length cDNA clone encoding sucrose phosphate synthase was isolated from muskmelon by reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction and rapid amplification of cDNA ends. The clone, designated CmSPS1, contains 3377 nucleotides with an open reading frame of 3162 nucleotides. The deduced 1054 amino acids sequence showed high identities with other plant sucrose phosphate synthases. Northern blot analysis indicated that CmSPS1 was expressed in leaves, stems, and mature fruit, but was not detected in roots or flowers. Moreover, the mRNA accumulation of CmSPS1 started at 25 days after pollination (DAP) and reached highest level in mature fruit. Interestingly, both sucrose content and SPS activity increased dramatically between 20 and 30 DAP during fruit development, suggesting that sucrose accumulation may be linked to the CmSPS1 transcript level in muskmelon fruit.

Sugars are the most important biochemical components for fruit quality. The kind and amount of sugars directly influence fruit flavor components such as sweetness. As the first step toward the genetic improvement of the quality of muskmelon fruit, it is necessary to determine the sugar components accumulated in fruit, elucidate enzymes involved in sugar metabolism, and clarify the relationship between the content of accumulated sugar and the activity of some related enzymes (McCollum et al., 1988; Moriguchi et al., 1992; Sakalo and Kurchii, 2004).

At the middle stage of fruit development, muskmelon fruit undergo a metabolic transition marked by both physical and compositional changes such as netting of the exocarp, mesocarp softening, and the onset of sucrose accumulation (Lester and Dunlap, 1985). Attempts to elucidate the changes in metabolism that lead to accumulation of sucrose have focused on sucrose-metabolizing enzymes during fruit growth and development (Geromel et al., 2006; Lingle and Dunlap, 1987; Schaffer et al., 1987; Winter and Huber, 2000). It was reported that both acid invertase (EC 3.2.1.26) and sucrose phosphate synthase (EC 2.4.1.14) are determinants of sucrose accumulation in melon fruit. However, the decline of the acid invertase appears to be a normal function of fruit maturation and is not the primary factor that determines sucrose accumulation. Rather, the capacity of sucrose synthesis, reflected in the sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS) activity, appears to determine sucrose accumulation, which is a key component of fruit quality (Hubbard et al., 1989).

Recently, the SPS gene has been isolated from some higher plant species (Hesse et al., 1995; Hubbard et al., 1991; Huber and Huber, 1996; Li et al., 2003). In this report, we present the molecular cloning and characterization of CmSPS1 from muskmelon. In addition, the differential expression of CmSPS1 was determined in various tissues and fruit development stages of Cucumis melo.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and tissue sampling. Muskmelon inbred line M01-3 was grown in a greenhouse in an experimental farm of Shandong Agricultural University in Tai’an, China, from March through June 2006 with spacing 50 cm between plants and 120 cm between rows. Average day/night temperatures were 30–20 °C. Average daylight was 12 h. Fertilizer was applied at two stages, a preplant broadcast application of 900 kg·ha⁻¹ of 14N–6.1P–29.9K followed by a sidedress application of 150 kg·ha⁻¹ N at flowering stage. Irrigation by furrows was applied as needed. Freshly opened female flowers were tagged on the day of hand-pollination to identify fruit of known age and one fruit per plant was allowed to develop. Different developing stage [5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 d after pollination (DAP)] and mature fruit were harvested. Thirty DAP is considered commercial maturity. Five fruit from each stage were pooled to isolate total RNA. A portion of each fruit was diced into small pieces and used for SPS activity assay and sucrose determination.

The SPS activity assays and sucrose determination were carried out on duplicated samples. The experiments were repeated three times. For each replicate, five fruit were used. Vegetative tissues, including leaves, stems, and roots, were sampled along with vegetative organs.
flowers at bloom and harvested simultaneously from five 7- to 8-week-old plants. All tissues were quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until late use.

**Extraction and purification of RNA.** Total RNA was isolated using the guanidine isothiocyanate–phenol–chloroform method as described by Sambrook et al. (1989) with modification. Frozen tissue samples (5 to 10 g) were ground to a powder in liquid N2 using a mortar and pestle. Samples of the homogenized, powdered tissue were transferred to 50-mL screw-cap centrifuge tubes prechilled on ice followed by immediate addition of 15 mL solution D (4 M guanidine isothiocyanate, 0.025 M trisodium citrate dehydrate, 0.5% lauryl sarcosine) and of 1.5 mL of 2 M sodium acetate, pH 4.0, 15 mL of phenol equilibrated with distilled water, and 3 mL of 49 chloroform:1 isopropl alcohol (v/v). After vortexing and incubation for 15 min on ice, the samples were processed according to Sambrook’s method. The crude RNA preparations were then treated with DNase (TaKaRa Bio, Kyoto, Japan) to degrade genomic DNA, extracted with 1 phenol:1 chloroform (v/v), and total RNA was precipitated by addition of 1 volume isopropl alcohol plus 0.1 volume of 3 M sodium acetate, pH 5.5. The precipitated RNA was pelleted by centrifugation, washed with cold 70% ethanol, and resuspended in diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated water. Quality of the extracted RNA was checked by agarose gel electrophoresis and RNA was quantified spectrophotomerically (ultraviolet 2450; Shimadzu Corp., Kybo, Japan).

**Cloning of CmSPS1 complete cDNA.** Total RNA isolated from 25 DAP fruit of *C. melo* M01-3 plants was used for the initial cloning of SPS cDNA fragments, including products of 3’ and 5’ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE). As the initial step in cloning a *C. melo* SPS gene, degenerate primers (P1: 5’-TCWAAATCCAAGGAAAGCTATGA-3’, P2: 5’-AACRGCGTGACAACGGAATG-3’, W = T + A, R = A + G) were designed based on the conserved domain of SPS genes from other plants in GenBank. Reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed according to the protocol of the RNA PCR Kit (AMV) (version 3.0; TaKaRa Bio). The first cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription using reverse transcriptase with 1 µg RNA as template and with Oligo (dT)-Adaptor primer as first strand primer. The RT reaction program is 42 °C for 30 min, 99 °C for 5 min, and 5 °C for 5 min, 1 cycle. PCR was performed by running the following program: 94 °C predenature for 5 min; 94 °C for 1 min, 53 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 3 min, 30 cycles; and, 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR product was separated by electrophoresis in 1.0% agarose gel. The isolation fragment was cloned by using PMD18-T vector (TaKaRa Bio) for sequencing.

A total of 1 µg RNA from muskmelon fruit was taken to convert mRNAs into cDNAs using a 3’ RACE kit (TaKaRa Bio) provided with AMV RT and a universal oligo (dT) containing adapter primer (5’-CTGATCTAGAAGTGATCTGACCTCT(17)-3’). The partial *CmSPS1* gene from the 3’ end was then amplified by a pair of PCR primers: the gene specific forward primer 1 (5’-AAGACTTTTGGGCTGGGGCTGATC-3’) and reverse primer 5’-CTGATCTAGAAGTGATCTGACCTCT(17)-3’) provided with the kit. According to the manufacturer’s instructions, PCR was performed under the following conditions: cDNA was denatured at 94 °C for 2 min followed by 35 cycles of amplification (94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min) and 7 min at 72 °C. The PCR product was purified and cloned into pMD18-T vector for sequencing.
Fig. 1. Alignment of predicted amino acid sequences of sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS) genes from different plants. The GenBank accession numbers for the plant SPS sequences are: Cucumis melo ABF47344, Lycopersicon esculentum AAL29197, Solanum tuberosum Q43845, Actinidia chinensis AAL86360, Ipomoea batatas AAL34531, and Beta vulgaris CAA57500. This alignment was produced using DNAman (version 4.0; Lynnon Biosoft, Quebec). Conserved residues are shaded in black. Dark gray shading indicates similar residues in five of six of the sequences and clear gray shading indicates similar residues in three of six of the sequences.
of 30% NaOH was added, boiled for 10 min, and cooled. One milliliter anthrone reagent (76 mL of sulfuric acid, 30 mL H₂O, and 150 mg of anthrone) was then added, and the tubes were incubated for 20 min at 40 °C. Absorbance was measured with a microplate reader (model 3550-ultraviolet; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) at 650 nm and compared with sucrose standards.

### Results

**Cloning and Characterization of CmSPS1.** To isolate SPS cDNA from muskmelon, we first designed a pair of degenerated primers according to the conserved domain of SPS amino acid sequences from other plant species. A 1408-bp cDNA fragment was amplified by RT-PCR. A 1600-bp and a 750-bp cDNA fragments were produced by 5′ RACE and 3′ RACE, respectively. After sequencing confirmation, a 3377-bp cDNA clone including a full-length coding region was isolated from muskmelon and named CmSPS1 (GenBank accession DQ521271). The deduced amino acid sequence of CmSPS1 contains 1054 amino acid residues with a predicted signal sequence cleavage site between residue 179 and residue 180. The predicted mature protein might locate in the cytoplasm as a result of the mature protein without transmembrane regions. The alignment analysis showed that CmSPS1 shared with overall amino acid identities of 98.96%, 96.77%, 84.72%, 75.65%, and 73.72% to tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum L.), potato (Solanum tuberosum L.), kiwifruit (Actinidia chinensis Planch.), sweetpotato (Ipomoea batatas L.), and sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.) SPS, respectively (Fig. 1).

**CmSPS1 Differentially Expressed in Different Muskmelon Tissues.** To determine the pattern of CmSPS1 expression in different muskmelon organs, a northern blot hybridization analysis was performed. The result showed that CmSPS1 transcripts are easily detected in the leaves, stems, and mature fruit, but cannot be detected at all in roots and flowers (Fig. 2), suggesting that CmSPS1 may play a role in leaf, stem, and fruit development in muskmelon.

**Regulation of CmSPS1 mRNA Abundance During Fruit Development and Ripening.** To further investigate the developmental regulation of CmSPS1 in fruit mesocarp tissues, a RNA blot analysis was carried out using different mesocarp tissues from 5 DAP to ripening. The result showed that CmSPS1 mRNA was not detected in the mesocarp tissues before 20 DAP. However, the CmSPS1 strongly expressed in the mesocarp tissues after 25 DAP, indicating that CmSPS1 may be involved in sucrose accumulation in fruit ripening in muskmelon (Fig. 3).

**Sucrose Content and Sucrose Phosphate Synthase Activity During Fruit Development and Ripening.** To demonstrate the functions of CmSPS1 in regulating fruit quality, sucrose content and SPS activity were analyzed. The results showed that very low concentration of sucrose was detected in young and unripe muskmelons. However, a rapid accumulation of sucrose in fruit was observed between 20 and 30 DAP (Fig. 4A). Similarly, SPS activity showed a significant increase during the later stage of fruit development as well (Fig. 4B), indicating an essential role of SPS in sucrose metabolism in muskmelon fruit.

### Discussion

SPS catalyzes net sucrose synthesis in plants and its activity is generally high in source tissues and low in sink organs (Huber and Huber, 1992). It has been proven that SPS activity can be a controlling factor for sucrose synthesis and also for photosynthesis (Shinano et al., 2006; Stitt et al., 1988; Zuniga-Feest et al., 2005). To increase photosynthesis and sucrose synthesis, Worrell et al. (1991) genetically manipulated tomatoes by overexpressing a maize (Zea mays L.) SPS cDNA under the control of the promoter of the smaller subunit of Rubisco from tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.). Several transgenic lines showed a higher SPS activity and higher sucrose level in their leaves than untransformed controls. Previous studies demonstrated that the SPS activity in transformed tomato leaves was six times greater than that of the controls. At low irradiances, no increase in net photosynthesis was observed, but the light- and CO₂-saturated rates of photosynthesis in transformed leaves were increased by ≈20% (Galtier et al., 1993, 1995; Micallef et al., 1995). In this study, we demonstrated that CmSPS1 was expressed in stem and leaf but not in root and flower tissues, implying that CmSPS1 may be also involved in sucrose synthesis and photosynthesis in muskmelon. Langenkämper et al. (2002) reported that there were at least three families of
Sucrose phosphate synthase activity has been suggested to determine the rate of sucrose synthesis and the level of sucrose accumulation in late fruit development of various plant species such as tomato (Dalí et al., 1992; Miron and Schaffer, 1991), muskmelon (Hubbard et al., 1989; Lingle and Dunlap, 1987), banana (Musa acuminate L.) (Hubbard et al., 1990), asian pear ‘Chojuro’ [Prunus pyrifolia (Burm.f.) Nakai] and ‘Yali’ (P. bretschneideri Rehd.) (Moriguchi et al., 1992), peach [Prunus persica (L.) Batsch], strawberry (Fragaria xananassa Duch.), kiwi (Actinidia delicosa A. Chev.), and mango (Mangifera indica L.) (Hubbard et al., 1991). Recently, SPS-encoding genes have been isolated and characterized from some higher plant species (Hesse et al., 1995; Hubbard et al., 1991; Huber and Huber, 1996). To date, however, there have been only a few studies of SPS gene expression in relation to fruit tissues. Nascimanto et al. (1997) reported that the accumulation of sucrose occurred 4 d after SPS mRNA and activity had reached their maxima during banana fruit ripening. In citrus (Citrus unshiu Marc.), the levels of CitSPSI mRNA in immature fruit were low but very high in mature fruit (Komatsu et al., 1996). The SPS-antisense potato transformants showed a reduced expression of sucrose–phosphate synthase, which led to inhibition of sucrose synthesis in tuber discs (Geigenberger et al., 1999). Our present results showed that the CmSPS1 transcripts were not detected in the young muskmelon fruit but rapid accumulation in the mature fruit (Fig. 3). This pattern of CmSPS1 expression in mesocarp tissues is in accordance with the alteration of SPS activity and sucrose accumulation during fruit development of muskmelon (Fig. 4). Taken together, these data led us to conclude that the sucrose content in plant sink organs is regulated by the level of SPS expression. Therefore, increasing SPS expression might be an important regulatory event of sweetening during melon fruit ripening. Currently, we are overexpressing CmSPS1 under the control of a fruit-specific promoter, which will help reveal the potential application of CmSPS1 in controlling the quality of muskmelon fruit.

SPS genes, designated A, B, and C based on the SPS genes isolated from Arabidopsis and other plant species. Recently, Castleden et al. (2004) revealed the finding of five families of SPS genes in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) and other monocotyledonous plants from the family Poaceae (grasses). Each of the SPS gene families in wheat showed different, but overlapping, spatial and temporal expression patterns, and in most organs at least two different SPS genes are expressed. In this study, we cloned and characterized a SPS gene from muskmelon, CmSPS1. However, it is not clear how many SPS genes exist in muskmelon. We are constructing cDNA libraries from muskmelon leaf and fruit and try to isolate other SPS gene members involved in sucrose synthesis or photosynthesis in muskmelon by screening the cDNA libraries.

**Fig. 4.** Sucrose content and sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS) activity during M01-3 muskmelon fruit development. Five, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 d after pollination (DAP) fruit were harvested, respectively. Thirty DAP is considered commercial maturity. The SPS activity assays and sucrose determination were carried out on duplicated samples. The experiments were repeated three times and five fruit were used for each replicate. (A) Sucrose content during M01-3 muskmelon fruit development; (B) SPS activity during M01-3 muskmelon fruit development. Bars indicate se.
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