Foliar Application of Silicon Enhances Resistance Against *Phytophthora infestans* Through the ET/JA- and NPR1- Dependent Signaling Pathways in Potato
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Late blight (LB), caused by the oomycete pathogen *Phytophthora infestans*, is a devastating disease of potato that is necessary to control by regularly treatment with fungicides. Silicon (Si) has been used to enhance plant resistance against a broad range of bacterial and fungal pathogens; however, the enhanced LB resistance and the molecular mechanisms involving the plant hormone pathways remain unclear. In this study, Si treatment of potato plants was found to enhance LB resistance in both detached leaves and living plants accompanied by induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and pathogenesis-related genes expression. Regarding the hormone pathways involved in Si-mediated LB resistance, we found a rapidly increased content of ethylene (ET) 15 min after spraying with Si. Increased jasmonic acid (JA) and JA-Ile and decreased salicylic acid (SA) were identified in plants at 1 day after spraying with Si and an additional 1 day after *P. infestans* EC1 infection. Furthermore, pretreatment with Me-JA enhanced resistance to EC1, while pretreatment with DIECA, an inhibitor of JA synthesis, enhanced the susceptibility and attenuated the Si-mediated resistance to LB. Consistent with these hormonal alterations, Si-mediated LB resistance was significantly attenuated in *StETR1*- , *StEIN2*- , *StAOS*- , *StOPR3*- , *StNPR1*- , and *StHSP90*- repressed plants but not in *StCOI1*- and *StSID2*- repressed plants using virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS). The Si-mediated accumulation of JA/JA-Ile was significantly attenuated in *StETR1*- , *StEIN2*- , *StOPR3*- , and *StHSP90*-VIGS plants but not in *StCOI1*- , *StSID2*- and *StNPR1*-VIGS plants. Overall, we reveal that Si can be used as a putative alternative to fungicides to control LB, and conclude that Si-mediated LB resistance is dependent on the ET/JA-signaling pathways in a *StHSP90*- and *StNPR1*-dependent manner.
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INTRODUCTION

Silicon (Si) is the second most abundant element in the earth's crust (Brackhage et al., 2013). It is not an essential plant nutrient; however, an increasing number of studies have demonstrated that it is a beneficial substance extensively used in agricultural systems to help plant adaptation to changeable environmental circumstances. The impressive beneficial effects of Si alleviate the destruction of various plant species during abiotic and biotic stresses (Li et al., 2018; Coskun et al., 2019). Si fertilization has been used to alleviate a wide range of abiotic stresses, including UV-B radiation (Shen et al., 2010), extreme temperature (Muneer et al., 2017), salinity (Lee et al., 2010), drought (Coskun et al., 2016), and heavy metal toxicity (Kim et al., 2014). Si application is also beneficial by enhancing plant resistance to biotic stresses. It enhances plant resistance to insect herbivores, such as caterpillar *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (rice leaf folder) in rice and the borer *Eldana saccharina* in sugarcane (Reynolds et al., 2009). Si application also has positive effects against pathogens, including viruses, bacteria, fungi and oomycetes in thirty-eight plant species (Wang et al., 2017). Particularly, the preventative function of Si is overwhelmingly associated with pathogens (e.g., powdery mildews, oomycetes and rice blast fungus) that have a biotrophic phase (Kim et al., 2002; Remus-Borel et al., 2005; Rasolizadeh et al., 2018), while it has no effect or a negative effect on some typical necrotrrophs, such as *Botrytis cinerea* and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Heine et al., 2006; Nascimento et al., 2014; Coskun et al., 2019).

The roles of Si in higher plants have remained a quandary. In essence, the differential absorption and the mechanism(s) by which Si confers protection against biotic and abiotic stresses are still puzzling. Si accumulation in the above ground part varies greatly with plant species, ranging from 0.1 to 20% dry weight (Hodson et al., 2005). Three mechanisms, active, passive and rejective, have been described and are associated with high-, intermediate- and low-accumulator plants. Uptake of Si (Si(OH)₄) in the roots is mediated by specific influx channels (termed Lsi1) and efflux transporters (termed Lsi2). The location and activity of these two influx transporters partially explains the differential Si absorption. In addition to being related to Si accumulation, Lsi1 is involved in Si-mediated biotic stresses (Vivancos et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2019). Si has been associated with the priming of the plant induced systemic resistance (ISR) in recent years. Since then, the link between Si feeding and ISR, and the priming role of Si has been shown in numerous plant-pathogen and plant-insect interactions. The mechanisms by which Si protects plants against pathogens are mainly comprised of physical (Sun et al., 2010), biochemical and molecular aspects, involving the strength of the cell wall and the formation of papillae, increasing the activity of defense-related enzymes (Datnoff et al., 2007), stimulating the production of antimicrobial compounds, activating the expression of defense-related genes, and regulating the hormone signaling pathways, such as salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), and ethylene (ET) (Malamy et al., 1990; Lund et al., 1998; Vijayan et al., 1998; Ye et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2014). In other cases, Si application can modulate plant volatile emissions to enhance the attraction of a pest's natural enemies (Kvedaras et al., 2010). In addition, it was observed to interfere with effector-receptor expression during the *Phytophthora sojae*-soybean interaction, by enhancing the expression of resistance genes in the host as well as repressing the effector expression in *P. sojae* (Rasoolizadeh et al., 2018).

The phytohormones SA, JA, and ET play key roles in regulating plant defense responses to biotic stresses. In the model plant *Arabidopsis*, SA is mainly responsible for resistance against biotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens (Summermatter et al., 1995), whereas JA and ET are mostly involved in resistance against necrotrophic pathogens (Vijayan et al., 1998). SA and JA usually manifested as an antagonistic effect in plants. Si application can induce the expression of a large spectrum of hormone-related genes, such as JA-related genes in anti-herbivore defense (Ye et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2019), SA- and JA-related genes in resistance against *P. sojae* in soybean (Rasoolizadeh et al., 2018), and JA-and SA-/ET-related genes in resistance against *Ralstonia solanacearum* in tomato (Ghareeb et al., 2011; Qiu et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2019). Accumulated Si also manipulated the signaling pathway of SA, JA and ET (Ye et al., 2013; Vivancos et al., 2015; Jang et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2019). In *Arabidopsis*, Si treatment resulted in accumulation of SA and enhanced resistance against powdery mildew. However, the resistance is maintained in SA-deficient mutants of *pad4* and *sid2*, indicating that the Si-mediated resistance against powdery mildew is independent of the SA signaling pathway (Vivancos et al., 2015). In the tomato-*R. solanacearum* interaction, Si application was accompanied by accumulation of JA and reduction of SA and ET (Jiang et al., 2019). Si-enhanced resistance to insect herbivory was required for accumulation of JA and function of the JA signaling pathway in rice (Ye et al., 2013). In addition to increasing accumulation of JA, Si application increased the level of gibberellin (GA₃) and SA (Jang et al., 2018). In the rice-*Cochliobolus miyabeanus* pathosystems, Si-mediated resistance was associated with repressed synthesis of ET for both host and pathogens that is also dependent on the ET signaling pathway (van Bockhaven et al., 2015). However, the cross-talk between ET/JA and SA in Si-mediated resistance remains unclear.

Previously, the typical microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) and copper ion (Cu²⁺)-triggered plant immunity had been identified to initiate from ET to SA (Durrant and Dong, 2004; Zipfel et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2015, 2020; Zhang et al., 2018). PAMPs such as chitosan, chitin, β-1,3-glucan, Flg22 and Nip1 were also involved in JA/ET and SA signaling pathways (Doares et al., 1995; Klarmzynski et al., 2000; Bae et al., 2006; Denoux et al., 2008; Lehtonen et al., 2012). Several biocontrol microorganisms mediated ISR is dependent on the JA/ET and SA signaling pathways. For instance, the rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS417r and *Bacillus cereus* AR156 triggered ISR against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *Tomato* (*Pst*) DC3000 and *B. cinerea* in *Arabidopsis*, respectively. These forms of ISR are independent of the SA signaling pathway and instead act in a JA/ET- and NPR1-dependent manner (Pieterse et al., 2002; Nie et al., 2017).

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is the third most important food crop for human consumption in the world after rice and wheat. Late blight (LB), caused by the oomycete pathogen...
P. infestans, is considered the most devastating disease in potato production (Kamoun et al., 2015). There is no report that Si application can enhance the resistance of potato against P. infestans. However, in field practice, we observed that a farmer had successfully used the foliar application of Si to control LB on potato. Alternatively, most Si application was conducted as a component of fertilizer because it can be absorbed by the influx transporter Lsi1 located on roots. Only a few studies reported that foliar treatment of Si has an effect (Rodrigues et al., 2010; Assis et al., 2013). Thus, it is of value to investigate the specific mechanisms of Si-mediated resistance against P. infestans. In this study, we demonstrated that foliar treatment of Si protected potato from P. infestans infection. Si activated potato immunity as well as induced the accumulation of ET and JA. By repressing the expression of ET, SA, and JA synthesis- or signal transduction-related genes via VIGS, we reveal that Si mediated resistance against P. infestans through the ET/JA- and NPR1-dependent signaling pathways in potato.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains, Oomycete Strains and Plasmids
The bacterial strains, oomycete strains and plasmids used in this study are described in Supplementary Table 1. The Escherichia coli strains were cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium at 37°C. The Agrobacterium tumefaciens strains were cultured on LB medium containing 50 µg mL−1 rifampicin at 28°C. The P. infestans EC1 were cultured on Rye A medium at 18°C (Caten and Jinks, 1968).

To knockdown the target genes in potato, virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) was performed according to the described TRV-EIN2 (Ratcliff et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2020). Approximately 200 bp DNA fragments of each gene were amplified using primers as described in Supplementary Table 2, and inserted into the vector pTV00 (Ratcliff et al., 2001). Each construct was validated by DNA sequencing.

Plant Materials and Chemical Treatments
Potato plants of variety Désirée were grown in nutrient substrates in a climate chamber with 12-h days (at 23°C) and 12-h nights (at 21°C) at 60–75% relative humidity. Na$_4$SiO$_4$ (100 mM, pH = 10, hereafter named Si) was dissolved in ddH$_2$O supplemented with 0.05% (V/V) Tween 20 and sprayed on potato leaves, with ddH$_2$O supplemented with 0.05% (V/V) Tween 20 (Si−) as the control. Methyl jasmonate (100 µM) or the jasmonic acid biosynthesis inhibitor sodium diethylthiocarbamate (DIECA, 100 µM) were dissolved in ddH$_2$O supplemented with 0.05% (V/V) Tween 20 (Farmer et al., 1994). They were sprayed on potato leaves separately or mixed with Si.

The Growth Inhibition of P. infestans EC1
The mycelia disks (7-mm diameter) taken from the cultured P. infestans EC1(Race: 2.4.10.11, kindly provided by Professor Tian from Huazhong Agricultural University) plate were transferred to Rye A medium containing 100 mM Na$_2$SiO$_3$ (Si+) and incubated at 18°C. The growth of EC1 was analyzed by measuring the diameter of the colony 7 days after incubation. All experiments were repeated three times.

Inoculation, Assessment and Disease Index
Pathogen inoculation was normally performed at 24 h following Si treatment. As described previously (Vleeshouwers et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2019), the sporangia were collected from the cultured P. infestans EC1 plate by flooding with ice-cold water. Subsequently, it was incubated in an ice-water bath for an additional 2 h to release zoospores and adjusted to 50,000 mL$^{-1}$ with distilled water. The detached leaves were inoculated with 10 µL of droplet on each side of the leaves, placed in sealed boxes and kept in weak light at 21°C for 3–5 days. A living plant assay was conducted in the chamber for pot experiments. An optically clear plastic cover was put on the container to keep the humidity after spraying inoculation. Disease indexes were measured on approximately 24 leaves for each treatment and scored as follows: “0” no visible infection; “1” < 25% infection; “2” 26–50% infection; “3” 51–75% infection; “4” 76–100% infection. The disease index = $\sum_{i=0}^{4} x_i y_i / (x_{max} \sum y_i) \times 100\%$ (xi represents different scores, and yi represents the number of leaves belonging to different scores). The cell death caused by EC1 was evaluated by staining with trypan blue. The growth of hyphae in potato leaves was quantified by measuring the biomass of P. infestans-specific PiO8 element using qPCR as described previously (Judelson and Tooley, 2000; Liu et al., 2020).

Histochemical Detection of Superoxide and Hydrogen Peroxide
The accumulation of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide were assessed using nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT) and 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining as described previously (Wohlgemuth et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2015). Detached leaves were inoculated with EC1 by spraying with a suspension of zoospores at 24 h following Si treatment. The samples were harvested at different time points (3, 6, and 12 h) post-treatment with Si and inoculation with P. infestans EC1 at 0, 1, and 2 dpi. Water supplemented with 0.05% (V/V) Tween 20 was used as the control.

RNA Extraction and Quantitative RT-PCR
Total RNA was extracted from leaves using a Plant RNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek, United States) as the manufacturer’s instructions. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using the ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Master Mix with the gDNA Remover kit (TOYOBO, Japan). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed on a qTOWER$^3$G Touch thermal cycler (Analytik Jena, Germany) with Ultra SYBR Mixture (with ROX) (CWBio, China) as described in the manufacturer’s instructions. The PCR program was performed as described previously (Yang et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020). The StEF1 (LOC102600107) was used as
an internal control to normalize the results. Real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) data were transformed into log2-delta CT for one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test analysis (P < 0.05). All qRT-PCR experiments were repeated at least twice in triplicate. The primers used in qRT-PCR are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

**Virus-Induced Gene Silencing in Potato**

Virus-induced gene silencing was performed on potato variety Désirée as previously described (Brigneti et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2020). *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 carrying pTRV1 (RNA1) and the various pTV00 (RNA2) plasmids were mixed in a 1:1 ratio to co-infiltrate into 3-week-old potato plants by vacuum. The silence effects were predicted with the parallel control of pTRV2-StPDS, which showed signs of bleach on new leaves, and confirmed by qRT-PCR at 4 weeks after agro-infiltration.

**Plant Hormone Measurements**

To measure the level of ET, potato plants were sprayed with 100 mM Si or ddH2O supplemented with 0.05% (V/V) Tween 20. The ET contents were measured by gas chromatography as described previously (Zhang et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020). For quantifying the content of SA, JA, and JA-Ile, the leaves were ground in liquid nitrogen, and each of the 100 mg samples was prepared and tested using an HPLC-MS/MS system as previously reported (Xu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019). At least three biological replicates were analyzed.

**Statistical Analysis**

All data analyses were repeated three times with three replicate experiments independently. Standard deviations were indicated by error bars. Values were analyzed by the GraphPad Prism software package\(^1\) and the level of significance was determinate using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. The mean differences were also compared using Student’s t-test.

**RESULTS**

**Foliar Treatment of Si Enhances Potato Resistance Against Phytophthora infestans**

Si fertilizer has been widely used to enhance plant resistance to different abiotic and biotic stresses (Li et al., 2018; Coskun et al., 2019). Although it has not been reported that Si protects potato against the oomycete pathogen *P. infestans*, we observed that a farmer had controlled late blight on potato with the foliar spraying of fungicides containing sodium silicate (Na2SiO3). It was hypothesized that Si may act as a defense inducer to enhance LB resistance in potato as well as other plants. To determine the Si-mediated LB resistance, a series of concentrations of Na2SiO3 solution was presprayed on living potato plants 1 day before inoculation with *P. infestans* EC1 using a pot experiment assay. As shown in Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure 1, 100 mM is the best concentration of Na2SiO3 solution (nominated as Si, hereafter) for protecting the susceptible variety Désirée against EC1. In addition, mycelial growth was not affected on Rye A medium containing 100 mM Na2SiO3 solution (Supplementary Figure 2). Therefore, 100 mM Si was selected for further investigations.

Then, we conducted the detached leaves assay following inoculation of EC1 on Désirée. As shown in Figure 1B, the disease symptom caused by EC1 was alleviated by foliar pre-spraying of Si. According to statistically analysis, the levels (0–4) of infected leaves, compared to the control of 55.21 and 54.17%, the disease index of Si-pretreated plants are reduced to 35.42 and 18.75% for the living plant assay and detached leaves assays, respectively (Figure 1C). We also examined the local cell death by staining with trypan blue (Weigel and Glazebrook, 2002). During the early infection stage, Si-pretreated leaves showed less staining than the control leaves beginning 2 days post-inoculation (dpi), indicating that the Si-pretreated leaves are more resistant to EC1 (Figure 1D). Overall, these results suggest that foliar application of Si enhances resistance to the oomycete pathogen *P. infestans* in potato plants.

**Si Induces the Accumulation of Superoxide and Hydrogen Peroxide**

Si has been observed to induce plant resistance to fungi and bacteria. In this study, we detected the superoxide (O2−) and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) production in potato leaves using NBT or DAB staining. Compared to the control plant leaves, the Si-treated plant leaves without inoculation had no remarkable difference from 3 to 12 h, whereas the Si-treated plant leaves accumulated more superoxide and hydrogen peroxide at 1 day (0 dpi), upon completion of inoculation with EC1. The significant difference between the Si and control treatments was clear at 1 dpi (Supplementary Figure 3). These results indicate that Si treatment resulted in accumulation of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide in potato leaves during *P. infestans* infection.

**Si Activates the ET and JA Signaling Pathways and Inhibits the SA Signaling Pathway**

The plant hormones ET, JA and SA are involved in potato LB resistance (Liu et al., 2020). To determine the altered hormones levels after foliar treatment of Si, we quantified the hormone levels and the expression of hormone-related genes. As shown in Figure 2A, Si rapidly activates potato leaves to produce ET 15 min after spraying Si without pathogen inoculation. Compared to the control, an approximately threefold increase in ET has been observed at the peak of 15 min after spraying Si; subsequently, it falls to a similar level. Consistent with the rapid accumulation of ET, several ET synthesis-related genes, including StACS2, StACS3, StACS7, and StACS9 (Peng et al., 2005), and a typical ET-responsive gene of *STERF1* (Solano et al., 1998), were identified to dramatically increase expression at the early stage of Si treatment after normalization to each untreated control (Figure 2B). Foliar treatment of Si also significantly increased the level of JA in potato plants (Figure 3A). Compared

---

\(^1\)https://www.graphpad.com
to the control, high accumulation of JA was observed at 1 day (0 dpi) and 2 days (1 dpi) after spraying Si. Consistently, Foliar treatment of Si resulted in upregulated expression of several JA biosynthesis-related genes, including StLOX, StAOS, and StOPR3 (Figure 3B) (Sasaki et al., 2001; Stenzel et al., 2003; Wasternack, 2007). Surprisingly, the expression of the JA-responsive genes StPDF1.2 and StJR1 (Penninckx et al., 1998) was not clearly affected by foliar treatment of Si at 1 day and 1 dpi (Figure 3B). As JA is often negatively correlated with SA, we also observed that the SA level was decreased at 1 day and 1 dpi after Si treatment compared to the control (Figure 4A). Consistent with the decreased content of SA, foliar treatment of Si repressed the expression of the SA biosynthesis-related gene StSID2 at 1 day (Figure 4B). Si did not significantly induce the expression of the other SA biosynthesis-related gene StPAL2 (Figure 4B). However, we observed that the expression of the SA-responsive genes StPR1b and StPR2 (Niki et al., 1998) was upregulated at 1 day and restored to normal at 2 days without pathogen inoculation (Supplementary Figure 4), while upregulated at 1 dpi (Si+2d) with EC1 challenged (Figure 4B). Thus, foliar treatment of Si increases the accumulation of ET and JA, which might be the main cause of Si-mediated LB resistance.

**Exogenous Application of MeJA or a JA Biosynthesis Inhibitor Alters Potato Late Blight Resistance**

We observed that both ET and JA were accumulated after foliar treatment of Si correlated with enhanced potato LB resistance. Previously, we have identified that ET positively regulates potato LB resistance (Liu et al., 2020). To determine the function of JA on potato LB resistance, we inoculated the potato plants with *P. infestans* EC1 following treatment with Si, MeJA, the JA biosynthesis inhibitor DIECA (Farmer et al., 1994) or the...
FIGURE 2 | Si activates the transcription of ACS genes to promote early ET production in potato. (A) Gas chromatography was used to assess the accumulation of ethylene. Each treatment was performed for 5 leaves from different plants. Values are mean ± SE (n = 5). Water supplemented with 0.05% (V/V) Tween 20 is used as the control. (B) The qRT-PCR for measuring the relative expression of ET synthesis-related genes of 

combination of DIECA and Si. The EC1-mediated disease symptom of potato leaves was alleviated after treatment with MeJA or Si compared with the control treatment (Figure 5A). In contrast, potato leaves sprayed with DIECA were more susceptible to EC1 than the control leaves (Figure 5A). In addition, potato leaves treated with the mixture of DIECA and Si showed an intermediate resistance phenotype: greater resistance than the control plants, but greater susceptibility than the Si-treated plants (Figure 5A). Consistently, the disease index (Figure 5B) and the biomass of EC1 in the potato leaves (Figure 5C) supported the altered disease symptom described above. Overall, these results suggest that JA positively regulates potato LB resistance and is required for Si-mediated resistance.

Si-Mediated Potato Late Blight Resistance Is Dependent on JA/ET and NPR1

The hormones ET, JA, and SA were altered in Si-treated potato leaves that are associated with Si-mediated LB resistance. To determine the role of hormone signaling in Si-mediated LB resistance, a series of VIGS experiments was performed for JA, SA, and ET biosynthesis- or signaling-related genes, including StOPR3, StCOI1, StETR1, StEIN2, StSID2, and StNPR1 (Figure 6A) (Cao et al., 1997; Alonso et al., 1999; Wildermuth et al., 2001). Compared to the empty TRV2 control, all of the above VIGS lines and the negative control TRV2-StHSP90 were more susceptible to EC1 without foliar treatment of Si (Figures 6A,B). The significant reduction in the expression of their native genes ranged from 60.22 to 99.41% (Figure 6C). Compared to that in the untreated plants, Si-mediated LB resistance was completely compromised in the StHSP90-, StOPR3-, StETR1-, StEIN2-, and StNPR1-VIGS plants, but it was still present in the StCOI1- and StSID2-VIGS plants (Figures 6A,B). These results suggest that Si-mediated LB resistance genetically requires StOPR3, StETR1, StEIN2, and StNPR1 but not StCOI1 and StSID2. As JA is significantly increased in Si-treated potato leaves and plays a positive role
FIGURE 4 | Repressed SA accumulation and activated expression of SA-related PR genes after pathogen challenge following Si treatment. (A) Levels of SA were quantified by HPLC-MS/MS in potato leaves. Water plus 0.05% (V/V) Tween 20 is used as control. Inoculation with *P. infestans* EC1 at 1 day following 100 mM Si treatment. (B) Si treatment repressed the expression of SA synthesis-related genes *StPAL2* and *StSID2*, and activated the expression of SA-induced genes *StNPR1*, *StPR1*, and *StPR2*. Potato leaves were treated with water or Si, and collected RNA samples at 0, 1, and 2 day (1 day post inoculation with EC1). The *StEF1* gene was used as a control to normalize expression. Letters above bars indicate significant difference among treatments (Tukey’s multiple range test, *p* < 0.05). Three biological repeats were performed with similar results.

in LB resistance, we further silenced another JA synthesis-related gene, *StAOS*. As shown in Supplementary Figure 5, compared to the control plants, the *StAOS*-silenced plants were more susceptible to EC1 and showed attenuated Si-mediated LB resistance similarly to the *StOPR3*-silenced plants described above (Figure 6A).

**Si-Induced Accumulation of JA Is Dependent on the ET Signaling Pathway**

Among the phytohormones, JA and ET present a fascinating case of synergism and antagonism. They are commonly recognized as synergistic defense hormones. We have found that both JA and ET participate in defense against *P. infestans*. To explore the cooperation of ET and JA in Si-mediated LB resistance, we noticed that the Si-induced accumulation of ET is faster than JA (Figures 2, 3). Then, we identified that in *StETR1*- and *StEIN2*-VIGS plants, the transcription of *StOPR3* was reduced 8.96- and 3.98-fold, respectively, at 1 day after foliar treatment of Si compared to the control (Figure 7A). Similarly, the transcription of *StAOS* was reduced 1.81- and 0.79-fold of that in control plants, respectively (Figure 7B). Consistent with the expression of JA-related genes, the JA and JA-Ile contents in *StETR1*- and *StEIN2*-VIGS plants was lower than that of the control plants at all five time points (Figures 8A,B). These results indicated that the ET signaling pathway is upstream of JA accumulation in Si-mediated LB resistance.

**The Si-Induced, but Not Pathogen-Induced, Accumulation of JA Is Crucial for Enhanced LB Resistance**

The VIGS experiments demonstrated that Si-mediated LB resistance requires *StHSP90*, *StOPR3*, and *StNPR1* but not *StCOI1*
FIGURE 6 | Effect of Si on resistance against P. infestans in virus-induced gene silencing plants. Disease symptom (A) and disease index (B) in response to Si treatment for StHSP90-, StETR1-, StETR1-, StOPR3-, StCOI1-, StSID2-, and StNPR1-VIGS plants. Fifteen leaves from at least three plants were inoculated with P. infestans EC1 at 24 h following Si treatment. The images and data were photographed and analyzed at 2.5 dpi, respectively. Scale bar represents 1 cm. (C) The transcription level of target genes was quantified by using qRT-PCR in VIGS plants and wild type plants. The StEF1 gene was used as a control to normalize expression levels. ***Indicates significant differences from control at $P < 0.001$. Triple biological repeats were performed with similar results for above experiments.
FIGURE 7 | The attenuated induction of the StOPR3 (A) and StAOS (B) expression in StETR1- and StEIN2-VIGS plants after Si treatment. More than five VIGS plants were treated with Si, and qRT-PCR was performed at 0, 1, and 2 days (1 day post inoculation with P. infestans EC1). The StEF1 gene was used as a control to normalize expression. Letters above bars indicate significant difference among treatments (Tukey's multiple range test, $p < 0.05$). Three biological repeats were performed with similar results.

FIGURE 8 | Effect of Si on the contents of JA and JA-Ile in the potato leaves from series of VIGS plants. The levels of JA (A) and JA-Ile (B) in potato leaves from StETR1-, StEIN2-, StOPR3-, StCOI1-, StSID2-, StNPR1-, and StHSP90-VIGS plants or control plants. The samples were collected from non-treatment (0 day), 1 day after water treatment (Si–1d), 1 day post inoculation (dpi) with EC1 following water treatment (Si–2d), 1 day after Si treatment without inoculation (Si+1d), 1 day post-inoculation with EC1 following Si treatment (Si+2d). Values are means ± SE ($n = 3$) from triple independent biological replicates.
and StSID2. We measured the JA and JA-Ile contents in VIGS plants and control plants. In control plants, JA and JA-Ile accumulation was identified in Si-treatment 1 day (Si+1d), and pathogen challenge 1 day following foliar treatment of Si 1 day (Si+2d) (Figures 8A, B). In StHSP90-, StOPR3-, and StNPR1-VIGS plants, the significant reduction in JA and JA-Ile accumulation were only identified at Si-treatment 1 day (Si+1d). They still accumulated high contents of JA and JA-Ile at pathogen challenged 1 day (Si+2d) following Si treatment (Figures 8A, B). However, in StCOI1- and StSID2-VIGS plants, high level accumulations of JA and JA-Ile have been identified the same as the control plants at Si-treatment 1 day (Si+1d) and pathogen challenge 1 day following Si treatment (Si+2d). Particularly, the StCOI1-VIGS plants stored a higher level of JA and Ile-JA than the control plants at Si+2d. Given the disease-resistance phenotype of the above VIGS plants after foliar treatment of Si, we concluded that Si-induced accumulation of JA is crucial for enhancing potato LB resistance.

DISCUSSION

Together with rice and wheat, the potato is an important crop in terms of food security considering the growing population and increasing hunger (Zhang et al., 2017). LB is one of the most devastating potato diseases worldwide and results in an approximately 16% yield loss annually (Kamoun et al., 2015). Si has been broadly used in enhancing plant resistance to abiotic stresses and biotic stresses, particularly in gramineous plants such as rice, maize and sugarcane (Wang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018;
Jang et al., 2018; Parveen et al., 2019). The Si supply has been reported to reduce stalk lodging and to increase tuber dry weight and yield of potato, especially in the absence of water deficiency (Crusciol et al., 2009). Here, we identified that foliar treatment of Si enhanced potato LB resistance, and Si application serves as an extensive strategy to control diseases caused by oomycete pathogens aside from P. sojae (Guérin et al., 2014). Notably, in most cases, Si was used for fertilization, where fertilizer uptake by roots expressing Si transporters primes the plant defense responses via SAR (Wang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). Previous studies had demonstrated that the expression of SiLsi1 increased in potato roots and leaves upon Si fertilization (Vulavala et al., 2016). Here, we found that foliar treatment of Si enhances potato plant resistance to P. infestans by activating several defense responses. Our results also extend the Si application methods beyond Si fertilization of roots.

The production of ethylene is tightly regulated by internal signals during development and in response to environmental stimuli from biotic (e.g., pathogen attack) and abiotic stresses, such as wounding, hypoxia, ozone, chilling, or freezing (Wang et al., 2002). The early production of ET could be found in Arabidopsis within 30 min after treatment with MAMPs of flg22 and eff18 (Zipfel et al., 2006) and heavy metal ions of copper and cadmium (Schellingen et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2018). We also found that Cu\(^{2+}\) activates the expression of StACS genes and ET production in a very short time period (15–30 min) in potato (Liu et al., 2020). Here, we found that the expression of several StACS genes and ET production were activated by Si within 15 min without pathogen challenge in potato (Figures 2A,B), suggesting that Si might act as an elicitor like Cu\(^{2+}\) or MAMPs. The StETR1- and StEIN2-VIGS plants showed a more susceptible phenotype than wild type. They also failed in the Si-mediated defense response to P. infestans (Figure 6A). Based on the attenuated expression of JA-related genes and the content of JA and JA-Ile in StETR1- and StEIN2-VIGS plants (Figures 7, 8), we concluded that rapidly induced ET synthesis is required for Si-mediated LB resistance in potato.

Several metabolites of jasmonates (JA) have been reported to act as signaling molecules in triggering plant immunity. Among them, (+)-7-iso-jasmonoyl-1-oleilic acid (JA-Ile) is the major bioactive form of the hormone JA (Komboink, 2012; Wasternack and Hause, 2013). JA-Ile may be a mobile signal involved in the induction of ISR, which is triggered mostly by biocontrol agents and necrotrophic fungi (Kravchuk et al., 2011). Kim et al. (2014) reported that JA levels were significantly increased in Si-treated rice plants under normal conditions. A similar finding was acquired from Si treated potato in this study (Figure 5). We found that exogenous MeJA application alone increased resistance to P. infestans EC1. Contrarily, the Si-mediated resistance was inhibited by DICEA (Figure 5). The StAOS-, StLOX-, and StOPR3-VIGS plants showed significant reductions in JA and JA-Ile content and alleviated resistance to EC1 with Si treatment (Figure 6). Thus, our result demonstrated that foliar application of Si enhances the resistance to LB dependent on increased JA contents. In rice, both JA accumulation and signaling pathway are required for Si-mediated resistance to insect herbivory (Ye et al., 2013). However, we found that repressing the expression of StCOI1 does not affect the high accumulation of JA and Si-mediated LB resistance in potato (Figures 6, 8). This is consistent with recent findings in Arabidopsis. Wang et al. (2020) found that Si-treatment will enhance the accumulation of JA and the resistance to powdery mildew. However, Si fertilization still enhances powdery mildew in the Arabidopsis coil mutant (Wang et al., 2020). Overall, we conclude that JA biosynthesis, but not JA signaling pathway, is required to induce Si-mediated LB resistance. In StETR1- and StEIN2-VIGS plants, JA accumulation and Si-induced transcription of StOPR3, StLOX, and StAOS are inhibited (Figures 7, 8). These results indicated that JA-related Si-mediated LB resistance is downstream of the ET signaling pathway.

Salicylic acid, an antagonistic phytohormone to JA, plays an important role in plant immunity, including resistance in both local and systemic tissue upon biotic attack, hypersensitive responses, and cell death (Ding and Ding, 2020). In this study, we have found that they shared common roles in Si-mediated LB resistance. Foliar spraying of Si repressed SA accumulation while activating the accumulation of JA and JA-Ile on potato plants (Figures 4, 5). Surprisingly, Si-mediated LB resistance was still present in the StSID2-VIGS potato plants (Figure 6), suggesting that it is independent of SA synthesis. Previously, heterozygous expression of wheat Si transporter in the Arabidopsis sid2 or pad4 background have also revealed a significantly reduced SA content and more resistance to G. cichoracearum than control plants following Si treatment (Vivancos et al., 2015). NPR1 is a master regulator of the SA-mediated induction of defense genes downstream of SID2. It is positively regulated by several SA-inducible WRKY proteins (Cao et al., 1997; Yu et al., 2001). Accumulating evidence points to an unknown cytosolic function of NPR1 in the JA/ET signaling pathway and ISR (Pieterse et al., 2002; Zhou and Wang, 2018). In this study, Si-mediated resistance against P. infestans required NPR1 and was completely attenuated in the StNPR1-VIGS plants (Figure 6), suggesting a signaling pathway independent of SA but dependent on NPR1 for Si-mediated LB resistance. This activity is reminiscent of the ISRs triggered by the rhizobacterium P. fluorescens WCS417r and B. cereus AR156 against Pst DC3000 and B. cinerea in Arabidopsis (Pieterse et al., 2002; Nie et al., 2017).

CONCLUSION

Our results demonstrated that foliar spraying with Si enhances the resistance of potato against P. infestans. The ET, JA and SA phytohormone signaling pathways are involved in Si-mediated immunity. Similar to the activity of several biocontrol agents, Si-mediated LB resistance is dependent on ET, JA, and NPR1, as described in Figure 9.
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