PD-L1 expression in pleural effusions of pulmonary adenocarcinoma and survival prediction: a controlled study by pleural biopsy
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PD-L1 expression in pleural effusions (PE) of lung adenocarcinoma (ADC) was compared with pleural biopsies and the positive expression in PE was correlated with survival time. The matched slices from same patient’s pleura and PE were collected which both were pathologically verified positive. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was used to detect PD-L1 expression. A total of 51 eligible cases were enrolled, including 30 males and 21 females. The average age was (67.06 ± 12.10) years. PD-L1 expression wasn’t statistically significant in pleura and cell masses (P > 0.05) and the correlation was statistically significant (r = 0.585, P = 0.000). Using an IHC scores of 5 point as a cutoff, positive PD-L1 expression in the pleura was 11.63% and that in the cell masses was 23.26%, and difference was significant (P < 0.05). The correlation coefficient was 0.605. Among 35 cases underwent systemic anti-tumor treatment, the mean survival time with positive PD-L1 expression in PE was 17.370 ± 1.827 months, which was significantly shorter than that with the negative (29.944 ± 2.671 months) (χ² = 4.507, P = 0.034). Positive PD-L1 expression in PE is higher than in the pleura and their correlation is well. It may predict the short survival time after systemic anti-tumor treatment.

Non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the most common cause of cancer-related death worldwide. More than 65% of NSCLC present with locally advanced or metastatic disease¹. These patients are treated with chemotherapy or tyrosine kinase inhibitors as a first-line. Recently, anticancer immunotherapy targeting immune checkpoints with antibodies against programmed death-1 (PD-1) and its ligand PD-L1 has emerged as a promising therapeutic strategy². PD-1 is expressed on tumor antigen-specific T cells and cancer cells. PD-1 receptor has two ligands: PD-L1 and PD-L2. PD-L1 is expressed on tumor cells, T cells and monocytes/macrophages. Binding of PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibits activation of T cells and allows tumor cells to bypass immune surveillance. Inhibition of this pathway with PD-1 inhibitors, such as nivolumab, releases effector immune T cells and allows for their anti-tumor action³. Several ongoing international clinical trials demonstrate significant better overall survival (OS) in PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitor than in docetaxel combined cisplatin. However, the response rate to PD-1 or PD-L1 blockade is approximately 20%, indicating the need for a predictive biomarker⁴. PD-L1 protein expression assessed by immunohemistry has emerged as a biomarker to select patients and predict anticancer immunotherapy⁵⁶. The expression of PD-L1 is also correlated with the clinicopathologic features and prognosis of platinum based chemotherapy⁷. The conflicting results call for more studies to achieve a consensus before it can be used routinely in the clinic. Meanwhile, little is known about the consistency of PD-L1 expression in pleural effusions (PE) and pleural tissue. PE often occurs during advanced lung cancers, which are treated with anticancer immunotherapy. The cells in effusions exist in a different environment, which may have an effect on morphological features as well as the expression of various biomarkers⁸. Therefore, investigation of PD-L1 expression in PE may be necessary.
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and feasible for treatment outcome and survival predictor. In this study, we detect and compare the differences of PD-L1 expression between matched PE and pleural tissue in stage IV pulmonary adenocarcinoma (ADC) and evaluate the correlation of PD-L1 expression in PE with survival time after antitumor therapy.

**Results**

**Clinical data.** The clinical data of the eligible cases are shown in Table 1. Patients were following up until December 2017, and 30 of them had defined outcomes, 19 were surviving, and 2 were missing.

**The consistency of PD-L1 expression in pleura and PE.** The mean IHC scores were 2.506 ± 2.526 and 3.141 ± 3.603 respectively in pleura and PE, the difference wasn’t statistically significance (t = −1.537, P = 0.131), and their correlation was statistical significant (r = 0.585, P = 0.000). If the IHC scores of 1, 3 and 5 points were selected as the cutoff value to define the positive PD-L1 expression, the positive expression and statistical significance were listed in Table 2. If 5 points was the cutoff value, the positive PD-L1 expression in PE was significantly higher than that in the pleura, they were 23.26% vs 11.63% respectively, the difference was statistically significant (P < 0.05). Their correlation was well [Fig. 1], and the correlation coefficient was 0.605.

**PD-L1 expression and survival time.** Among 51 eligible cases, 35 cases undergone systemic anti-tumor therapy included systemic chemotherapy and/or EGFR-TKIs, and 16 cases didn’t have therapy or only have intrapleural injection of cisplatin (Table 1). The mean survival time was 8.813 ± 3.534 months (95% CI: 1.885–15.740) in cases without systemic anti-tumor therapy, and it was 27.284 ± 2.443 months (95%CI: 22.496–32.073) in cases under systemic anti-tumor therapy. The difference of survival time was statistically significant (χ² = 21.010, P = 0.000, P < 0.05). Among patients with systemic anti-tumor therapy, PD-L1 expression and survival time were listed in Table 3. The mean survival time was significantly shorter in PD-L1 positive expression than the negative expression depending on more than IHC scores of 5 points in PE (P < 0.05). This might indicate that positive PD-L1 expression had the poor systemic anti-tumor therapeutic effects. The survival time wasn’t done depending on more than 5 points in the pleura because all of 6 cases were survived.

**Discussion**

Checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy has become the most exciting topic in oncology. In NSCLC, the higher response rate and the lower toxicity make immunotherapy a very attractive alternative therapy compared to chemotherapy. Future goals and hopes are finding ways to predict who will respond to immunotherapy. Even though PD-L1 has been the most studied biomarker, it has not been fully validated due to the lack of definition.

| Clinicopathologic parameter | N (total = 51) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Median age                  | 67.06 ± 12.10 |
| Sex                         |               |
| Male                        | 30            |
| Female                      | 21            |
| Smoking                     |               |
| Never                       | 39            |
| Ever                        | 12            |
| Stage IV                    | 51            |
| Distant metastasis          | 25            |
| Brain                       | 15            |
| Bone                        | 5             |
| Live                        | 2             |
| More than two distant organs| 3             |
| EGFR mutation               | 20            |
| Anticancer therapy          |               |
| No                          | 8             |
| Intrapleural injection of cisplatin | 8         |
| Systemic chemotherapy       | 15            |
| EGFR-TKIS                   | 20            |

Table 1. Clinicopathologic parameters of patients.

| cutoff value | pleural % (cases) | cell block % (cases) | χ² value | P value | κappa |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1            | 64.71(33/51)      | 64.71(33/51)         | 0.878    | 0.349   | 0.227 |
| 3            | 35.29(18/51)      | 41.18(21/51)         | 0.298    | 0.585   | 0.131 |
| ≥5           | 11.76(6/51)       | 23.53(12/51)         | 7.367    | **0.007** | 0.605 |

Table 2. PD-L1 expression depending on different cutoff values in pleural tissue and PE. There is statistically significant difference when P < 0.05.
of the threshold for positive PD-L1 labeling on tissue samples. Different studies selected different cutoff values to define expression of PD-L1. Roach et al.\textsuperscript{4} investigated the correlation of PD-L1 status with the clinical outcome in a phase 1 clinical trial (KEYNOTE-001), and found that PD-L1 expression in more than 50% of tumor cells correlated with 41% overall response rate to pembrolizumab. Shien et al.\textsuperscript{10} noted that those with more than 5% PD-L1 expression on tumor cells had a higher response rate than those with negative PD-L1 during nivolumab treatment. And some others evaluated the positive PD-L1 expression depending on different H-score of staining tumor cells\textsuperscript{11}.

Then, studies found that PD-L1 expression depended on tumor type, differentiation and advanced stages. Shimoji et al.\textsuperscript{11} confirmed the significant higher positivity of PD-L1 expression in squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) than in ADC. But, Ohue et al.\textsuperscript{12} indicated that it was the opposite. Sun et al.\textsuperscript{13} confirmed a significantly higher prevalence of PD-L1 positivity among SCC, stage IIIB and IV lung cancer. Yeo et al.\textsuperscript{14} observed the higher expression of PD-L1 with poor differentiated subtype in ADC and advanced stage in SCC.

Some researchers also evaluated the concordance of PD-L1 expression between primary tumor and metastatic lesions. Kim and Uruga et al.\textsuperscript{3,15} paired primary and metastatic tumor tissues in the resected ADC and confirmed overall concordance rate for PD-L1 expression. Ameratunga et al.\textsuperscript{16} matched tumor and nodal specimens in NSCLC and noted highly concordant for PD-L1 expression. Ilie et al.\textsuperscript{17} matched preoperative biopsy and surgical resection specimens and noted moderate concordance for high PD-L1 scoring groups. These studies indicated that small biopsy specimens from metastatic lesion were feasible for detecting PD-L1 expression and PD-L1 expression was concordant among paired primary and metastatic tumor.

In this study, we detected and compared PD-L1 expression in pleural biopsy and PE from same patients to avoid interference of tumor histological types and clinical stages by limiting all eligible cases to stage IV pulmonary cancer. If 5 points is the cut-off value, PD-L1 expression of PT and PE are both positive in the first case, a negative and a positive in the second case, both negative in the third case. The (g and h) are positive (lymphoma) and negative (breast carcinoma) control. The values in brackets are the mean scores in each slice of five scopes.

| cutoff value | specimen | PD-L1 expression | mean survival time (month) | standard deviation (month) | 95% confidence interval (lower, upper) | $\chi^2$ value | P value |
|--------------|----------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 1            | pleural  | −                | 26.727                    | 3.284                      | (20.291, 33.164)                       | 0.415         | 0.519   |
|              | +        | 28.634           | 3.700                      | (21.244, 36.023)           |                                       |               |         |
|              | cell     | −                | 30.750                    | 3.859                      | (23.187, 38.313)                       | 2.134         | 0.144   |
|              | block    | +                | 21.528                    | 1.692                      | (18.212, 24.843)                       |               |         |
| 3            | pleural  | −                | 26.850                    | 2.737                      | (21.485, 32.215)                       | 0.028         | 0.868   |
|              | +        | 20.200           | 1.953                      | (16.371, 24.029)           |                                       |               |         |
|              | cell     | −                | 30.426                    | 2.999                      | (24.548, 36.304)                       | 2.912         | 0.088   |
|              | block    | +                | 19.727                    | 2.197                      | (15.422, 24.033)                       |               |         |
| 5            | pleural  | −                |                            |                           |                                       |               |         |
|              | +        |                  |                           |                           |                                       |               |         |
|              | cell     | −                | 29.944                    | 2.671                      | (24.710, 35.179)                       | 4.507         | 0.034   |
|              | block    | +                | 17.370                    | 1.827                      | (13.789, 20.951)                       |               |         |

Table 3. The mean survival time and positive expression of PD-L1 in patients under anticancer therapy. There is statistically significant difference when $P < 0.05$.
adenocarcinoma. The IHC scores of 5 points in this paper meant that tumor cells were stained more than 50% with medium membranous staining or more than 25% with sepia staining. This was similar to 50% as the cutoff value in the other studies. Using this cutoff, positive PD-L1 expression was significantly higher in pleural effusions than pleural biopsies. The causes were supposed to tumor heterogeneity and the tumor cells in pleural effusions coming from more lesions than biopsies. Heymann and colleague's finding was in line with our results, they confirmed that cytological specimens were more likely to be positive compared with histological specimens.

Studies showed that PD-L1 expression might be the predictive marker associated with higher ORR, longer PFS and OS in patients with checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy. However, studies revealed conflicting results on PD-L1 expression and survival prediction in patients without immunotherapy. He et al. showed that patients with positive PD-L1 expression on tumor cells had shorter relapse-free survival (RFS) than those with negative PD-L1 expression. Pausen et al. evaluated disease-specific survival (DSS) and reported that low tumor epithelial expression of PD-L1 had a significant negative prognostic impact on DSS in SCC patients, while PD-L1 status was not associated with survival for patients with large cell carcinoma or ADC. Yeo et al. noted that positive PD-L1 expression was a prognostic factor which indicated a shorter disease-free survival (DFS) in ADC. Igawa et al. indicated that the 5-year cumulative survival probability for patients with high PD-L1 expression was lower but not significant in SCC. Okita and Wu observed that PD-L1 over-expression was associated with poor DFS and OS. Sun et al. associated PD-L1 expression with poorer OS and progression-free survival (PFS). Mu et al. observed significantly worse overall 3-year survival in patients with PD-L1 positive tumors. Several meta-analyses have shown that high PD-L1 expression was also correlated with poor prognosis in terms of the OS of patients with NSCLC. But, Cooper and colleagues observed that patients with high PD-L1 expression had significantly longer OS in early stage of lung cancer. In this study, positive PD-L1 expression in PE was significantly correlated with shorter survival times in patients under systemic anti-tumor therapy and without checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy. This was in consistent with the most of studies above-mentioned. More researches should be explored owing to only 51 cases involved.

It was feasible to detect PD-L1 expression in pleural effusions in advanced ADC. The positive PD-L1 expression in pleural effusions was higher than in pleural tissues, and their consistency was well. It might predict the short survival time after systemic anti-tumor treatment.

Methods
Cases collection. We collected lung adenocarcinomas from January 2013 to December 2016 at the Department of Respiratory, Dalian Municipal Central Hospital, which was confirmed by thoracoscopy and whose pathology in the intraoperative pleural effusion cell block was also positive. Approval for the study was obtained from the Hospital Human Ethics Committee and all methods involved in this study were performed in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki. All participants had signed the informed consent form.

Medical thoracoscopy was performed under local anesthesia and the procedure followed the instruction of manufacturer (LTF 240, Olympus, Japan). 200 ml pleural effusion was collected during operation. All PE were centrifugated for 5 minutes at 2000r/min and the supernatant fluid was removed. The pellet was fixed directly in 10% formalin before paraffin embedding. Cell blocks and pleural tissues of eligible patients were inspected uniformly. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was used to detect the expression of PD-L1 in the cell mass and pleural tissue sections, and the difference of PD-L1 expression in the two specimens was compared. The patient's clinical stage, treatment plan, and survival time were recorded, and the correlation between PD-L1 expression and survival was assessed.

Immunohistochemical staining procedure. Paired paraffin sections of 4 μm from same case's pleura and pleural effusion cell block were placed on the same slide, dewaxed, 9% sodium citrate buffer for antigen retrieval, and rabbit anti-human PD-L1 monoclonal antibody (Abcam, clone ZR3, UK) with 1:200 dilution of the primary antibody was applied incubation at room temperature for an hour. We added the rat/rabbit universal secondary antibody (Fuzhou Maixin Co., China) incubated at room temperature, plus DAB color reagent, hematoxylin counterstained, neutralization of resin sealing after the slices were dried.

The expression of PD-L1 in each slice was read independently by two pathologists. The results were analyzed using semi-quantitative methods. The positive cells were the brownish yellow coloration of the tumor cell membrane. Each section was randomly selected five high-magnification fields of view (400 times), and the total number of tumor cells and the number of stained positive cells in each field were counted. Positive staining of tumor cells was < 10% for 0 points, ≥10% and < 25% for 1 point, ≥25% and < 50% for 2 points, ≥50% and < 75% for 3 points, ≥75% for 4 points. The staining intensity score was based on the staining state exhibited by most cells, with 10% for 0 points, 25% for 1 point, 50% for 2 points, 75% for 3 points, and 100% for 4 points.

Statistical analysis. SPSS22.0 statistical software was used. Differences in PD-L1 expression scores between pleural effusions and pleura were analyzed using t-tests with two relevant samples. Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Differences in the positive detection rate of PD-L1 expression in the two groups of specimens were performed using the χ² test of two related samples. The consistency of PD-L1 expression in the two groups was tested using the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient of two-variable correlation analysis and the Kappa correlation coefficient of two correlation variables. The total survival time was calculated using log rank survival analysis.
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