Long-Term Impact of Wastewater Irrigation on Soil Pollution and Degradation: A Case Study from Egypt
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Abstract: There is consensus on the impact of wastewater irrigation on soil properties and heavy metal accumulation. The studies that show the impact of temporal changes as a result of different long-term additions of wastewater on the heavy metal accumulation and degradation of soil are extremely limited. This study was carried out to assess heavy metal contamination in soils irrigated with wastewater for more than 30 years in Egypt. A total number of 12 irrigation water samples and 12 soil profiles were collected during 2020 and were chemically characterized. The results showed that soils irrigated with wastewater over the long term contained significantly higher concentrations of heavy metals compared to fields irrigated with fresh water. Heavy metal levels in water and soil samples were within the permissible limits, with the exception of Cd concentration in water (0.03 mg L⁻¹). Continuous cultivation for a long period of time (30 years) using raw urban wastewater application has led to the adverse effect of increasingly available Pb concentration (5.44 mg kg⁻¹). Similar temporal behavior was seen for Cd and Fe, which increased by 0.98 and 11.2 mg kg⁻¹, respectively, after 30 years. The heavy metals in wastewater-irrigated soils significantly increased in clayey soils, as compared to sandy soils irrigated from the same source. Our findings provide important information for decision makers in Egypt and similar countries for the development of a strategy for the use of wastewater in irrigation for sustainable agricultural management.
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1. Introduction

In the most arid and semiarid regions of the world, water security is considered to be one of the main problems on the path to sustainable agriculture, due to water restrictions and increased water consumption [1,2]. The use of low-quality water resources is considered as a solution for agricultural irrigation, which comprises the largest global consumption of water [3–6]. Using wastewater for irrigation without risk assessments and management can pose a great risk to the quality of water and soil, and eventually to human health [7–11]. Water pollution control and environmental protection systems are necessary to preserve living conditions for the future [12,13].

Several laboratory and field experiments on the reuse of treated wastewater for irrigation have been undertaken around the world. This research has studied the effects of reuse on soil, plants, crops, water, and public health, as well as the economic viability of this method. Ibekwe et al. [14] proposed using treated wastewater effluent for irrigation in agriculture as an alternative water source because of the increasing scarcity of fresh
water in arid and semi-arid regions of the world. However, significant barriers exist to widespread adoption due to potential contaminants that may have adverse effects on soil quality and public health. Bedbabis et al. [15] suggested the reuse of treated wastewater in agricultural applications in Tunisia as a sustainable solution to water scarcity. The authors conducted their research in an olive orchard planted on sandy soil and subjected to irrigation treatments and observed a significant decrease of pH and a significant increase of OM, SAR, and EC in the soil.

In general, wastewater irrigation enriches the soil with vital macro and micronutrients, including nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), and copper (Cu), as a result of its composition [16]. Wastewater may have a high organic matter content; as a result, wastewater could be a sustainable and beneficial supply of organic matter for soils and can encourage plant development [17].

Egypt is among the ten countries that will be exposed to a water shortage problem by the year 2025: a shortage estimated to be about 13.5 billion cubic meters per year. Thus, there is a need to reuse wastewater in agriculture irrigation [18–20]. In Egypt, the water shortage in the El-Fayoum Governorate is compensated by drainage reuse, which negatively affects the soil and plants [21–23]. Its drainage system is fed by two main drains, El-Bats and El-Wadi; water from these drains is characterized by high pollution concentrations as a result of receiving large amounts of domestic, industrial and agricultural wastewater [24]. The remaining drainage water flows into Quarun Lake and Rayan Channel, where any excess of drainage water beyond their capacity floods the surrounding soils [25,26].

Wastewaters commonly contain high amounts of plant nutrients and thus reduce the need for costly inorganic fertilizers and enhance soil fertility and crop production [27]. The practice of wastewater reuse in agriculture is a traditional way to confront the excessive pressure on freshwater resources [28]. Regardless of the benefits, the use of wastewater may have impacts on the physical and chemical properties of soils and, eventually, on crops [29–32]. Therefore, using wastewater in agriculture can cause soil salinization—an increase of sodium ions relative to other cations—and the accumulation of heavy metals in the soil and crops, causing potential health risk in the long term. This depends largely on the source of the wastewater and treatments applied as well as the inherent soil characteristics [16,33].

In Egypt, there is consensus in the scientific literature on the impact of wastewater irrigation on the physical and chemical properties of soil as well as the accumulation of heavy metals in soil and plants [34–37]. However, there is little information on the long-term impact of different additions of wastewater, as compared to fresh water, on soil properties and heavy metal accumulations. Consequently, there is an urgent need to understand the potential environmental impacts of this practice, especially in developing countries such as Egypt. The widely applied solution to this problem is based on keeping heavy metals below the permissible limits in soil and agricultural crops. The aim of this study is to trace the effects of wastewater, which is used in irrigation for varying periods of time, on soil pollution and degradation. The outcome of this study can provide key information on heavy metals, which is useful in achieving sustainable agricultural management.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Site Specification

The study was conducted in the northern part of El-Fayoum Governorate, Egypt (longitudes of 30°32' E to 30°50' E, and latitudes of 29°21’ N to 29°34’ N), which is located in the Eocene Limestone plateau, 50 m below mean sea level. The climate is characterized by long, dry, hot summers and short, nearly rainless, cold winters. The soil moisture is torric, and the soil temperature regime is hyperthermic. The solum thickness of the studied soil profiles is 90 cm, indicating that all sites have moderately deep soils. The land cover includes wheat, fruit trees (mango), clover, and cotton. The topography of the landscape is nearly level to gently sloping, with the three physiographic units of lacustrine plain, fluviolacustrine plain, and alluvial plain [38]. All horizons have a hue of 10 YR
in dry and moist conditions, ranging between light brown (10 YR 5/2) to yellow (6/3). These colors, in moist conditions, become pale brown (10 YR 4/2) and brownish yellow (10 YR 6/2). The surface horizons have a lower color value than the subsequent subsurface horizons. The soil structure varies from massive in the uppermost surface to sub-angular and blocky moving downwards. The soil consistency agrees well with textural variations, being non-sticky to moderately sticky and non-plastic to moderately plastic in the coarse and fine textured horizons, respectively. The soils of the study area can be classified into the order of Vertisols, suborder of Torrerts, and main soil group of Haplotorrett, with the two subgroups of Sodic Haplotorrett and Typic Haplotorretts, according to [39]. The Nile canal through the Bahr Yussef canal, which enters Fayoum from the eastern edge at El Lahun (+26 m above sea level), is the main irrigation water source. It carries Nile water as well as agricultural effluents from the two main drains of El-Wadi and El-Bats [40,41].

2.2. Water Sampling

Twelve water samples were taken in 2020 from different irrigation sources in the study area. Source 1 (S1) represented fresh water (sample Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4), source 2 (S2) represented agricultural wastewater (sample Nos. 5, 6, 7, and 8), and source 3 (S3) represented raw urban wastewater (sample Nos. 9, 10, 11, and 12). The water samples were collected in 1.5 L polyethylene bottles at three different agricultural sites, kept cool by preserving in ice, and transferred to the laboratory. Four water samples were collected at each site on the same date. pH and electric conductivity (EC) were measured in situ. Heavy metals (Pb, Cd, Cu, Ni, Fe, Mn, and Zn) in irrigation water samples were directly determined after filtration according to [42]. Chlorides (Cl\(^-\); Mohr method), calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)), and magnesium (Mg\(^{2+}\)) (by complexometry, with a solution of EDTA, and as a colored indicator: murixide), sodium (Na\(^+\)) and potassium (K\(^+\)) (by a flame photometer), carbonates (CO\(_3^{2-}\)) and bicarbonates (HCO\(_3^-\)) (by titration method using phenolphthalein as an indicator for the former and methyl orange as an indicator for the latter) were analyzed according to [43]. Sulphate (SO\(_4^{2-}\)) was calculated by subtracting the total soluble anions from the total soluble cations. The Na, Ca, and Mg (mmol L\(^{-1}\)) solution content was used to calculate the sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) (Equation (1)):

\[
SAR = \frac{Na^+}{\sqrt{(Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+})/2}}
\]  

2.3. Soil Sampling

Twelve soil profiles were carried out in 2020 from three different types fed by different irrigation sources (four agricultural fields for each source). Profile Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4 represented the soils irrigated with Nile water (control); profile Nos. 5, 6, 7, and 8 represented the soils irrigated with agricultural wastewater; profile Nos. 9, 10, 11, and 12 represented the soils irrigated with raw urban wastewater. All the studied soils had an agricultural age of more than 30 years, but the profiles irrigated with agricultural wastewater or raw urban wastewater had different time periods of wastewater use (10, 15, 20, and 30 years). All selected soil types were irrigated by the submersion method and carried out on horizons of 0–30, 30–60, and 60–90 cm. The measurement of the soil’s available heavy metal content of Pb, Cd, Cu, Ni, Fe, Mn, and Zn was performed as explained by [44] and determined using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Analytic Jena, AS 51S). pH (soil paste extract) was determined using a Hanna pH-meter (model PH211). Soil EC was assessed from soil paste extract using a conductivity meter. Organic matter (OM) was measured according to [45]. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) was measured according to the ammonium acetate method [46]. Available nitrogen (N) was extracted by K\(_2\)SO\(_4\), and determined by the steam distillation procedure using MgO Devarda alloy according to the Bremner and Keeney method, as described by [47]. Available phosphorus (P) was extracted using 0.5 N NaHCO\(_3\) at pH 8.5 and determined using the ascorbic acid method [48]. Available potassium (K) was extracted using 1.0 N ammonium acetate at pH 7.0 and determined...
using a flame photometer [46]. Soil texture was determined using the international pipette method [49,50].

2.4. Data Analysis

Collected data were subjected to the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test, and differences between means were determined according to the Duncan significant difference test \((p < 0.05)\), using SPSS software to assess the differences in soil properties between the three irrigation practices. Pearson correlation coefficient analysis was used to examine the correlation between irrigation water sources and soil pollution.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Irrigation Water Chemical Characteristics

The chemical properties of the irrigation water from the three sources, including the four samples for each source, as well as the safe limits specified in [51] are presented in Table 1. The average pH values were 7.32, 7.21, and 7.11 for S1, S2, and S3 sources, respectively. The recorded values were less than the FAO standards for irrigation water (7.60). The pH values obtained for S3 were slightly lower than recorded for the other sources. The EC values obtained were 0.62, 1.45, and 3.55 dS m\(^{-1}\) for S1, S2, and S3 sources, respectively. Compared to the FAO limits (<3 dS m\(^{-1}\)), the EC values for the S2 and S3 sources recorded in the present study were higher due to agricultural water leaching and discharge effluents. The obtained values revealed strong mineralization of the water, with the EC exceeding 1 dS m\(^{-1}\) [52]. The mean concentration of cations for the three irrigation water sources (S1, S2, and S3) is as follows: the Na\(^+\) concentration was 5.0, 10.0, and 25.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively; the K\(^+\) concentration was 0.1, 0.2, and 0.6 mmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively; the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration was 1.5, 2.5, and 5.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively; the Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration was 0.5, 1.5, and 2.5 mmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively. Meanwhile, the concentration of Cl\(^-\), HCO\(_3^-\), and SO\(_4^{2-}\) was (5.0, 9.0, and 15.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\)), (1.0, 2.0, and 3.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\)), and (1.1, 3.2, and 15.1 mmol L\(^{-1}\)), respectively. Furthermore, wastewater includes higher amounts of soluble salts than fresh water, which may cause soil salinization or an increase in Na\(^+\) ions compared to other cations [16]. Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) values were 5.0, 7.1, and 12.9 for S1, S2, and S3 sources, respectively. These values are higher than FAO safe limits (3.0), owing the wastewater quality generated by agricultural effluents and raw urban sources. Irrigation water containing this range of SAR can adversely affect soil structure, additionally reducing the permeability of irrigated soils [53]. The values for Pb, Cu, Ni, Fe, Mn, and Zn were low compared to FAO safe limits for all sources, except for Cd, which exceeded the FAO limit (0.01 mg L\(^{-1}\)) in the S3 source (0.03 mg L\(^{-1}\)).

3.2. Temporal Changes in Soil Heavy Metal Content Due to Wastewater Addition

The temporal changes as a result of using different irrigation water sources on available heavy metal concentration in soils are shown in Figure 1. Our findings show that the sites with soils irrigated by Nile water show a trend in the values of Pb, Cd, Cu, Ni, Fe, Mn, and Zn over different time (10–15–20–30 years). Additionally, there is no obvious increase in their concentration from 10 to 30 years, indicating low contamination levels in Nile water. The soils irrigated with agricultural effluents showed high values of available heavy metals (Pb, Cd, Cu, and Zn), which reflect a high degree of pollution in comparison to the values for the control locations (Nile water). The highest mean values of available Pb, Cd, Cu, and Zn were 2.53, 0.31, 1.61, and 0.92 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively, from soil that had been irrigated for 30 years using agricultural wastewater. However, the available Ni, Fe, and Mn have an irregular trend over different time periods (10–15–20–30 years). In contrast, continuous cultivation using raw urban wastewater has resulted in increasing available heavy metal concentrations over different time, with the concentration increasing from 3.32 to 5.44, 0.59 to 0.98, 9.11 to 11.19, and 0.44 to 1.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Pb, Cd, Fe, and Zn, respectively, over 30 years; while there was no clear difference between the values of available Cu, Ni, and Mn over the different time periods.
Table 1. Average values of physico-chemical parameters and concentration of heavy metals in irrigation water sources.

| Parameter                  | S1   | S2   | S3   | Safe Limit [51] |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|-----------------|
| pH                         | 7.32 | 7.21 | 7.11 | 7.60            |
| ECw, dS m⁻¹                | 0.62 | 1.45 | 3.55 | <3              |
| Sodium (Na⁺)               | 5.0  | 10.0 | 25.0 | 0–40            |
| Potassium (K⁺)             | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.6  | 0–2             |
| Calcium (Ca²⁺)             | 1.5  | 2.5  | 5.0  | 0–20            |
| Magnesium (Mg²⁺)           | 0.5  | 1.5  | 2.5  | 0–5             |
| Chloride (Cl⁻)             | 5.0  | 9.0  | 15.0 | 0–30            |
| Bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻)        | 1.0  | 2.0  | 3.0  | 0–10            |
| Sulphate (SO₄²⁻)           | 1.10 | 3.20 | 15.10| 0–20            |
| SAR                        | 5.00 | 7.07 | 12.91| 3.00            |
| Lead (Pb)                  | 0.002| 0.004| 0.006| 5.00            |
| Cadmium (Cd)               | 0.003| 0.010| 0.030| 0.01            |
| Copper (Cu)                | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.20            |
| Nickel (Ni)                | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.20            |
| Iron (Fe)                  | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 5.00            |
| Manganese (Mn)             | 0.002| 0.010| 0.010| 0.20            |
| Zinc (Zn)                  | 0.001| 0.002| 0.003| 2.00            |

S1, S2, and S3 refer to Nile water, agricultural wastewater, and raw urban wastewater, respectively; dSm⁻¹ = deciSiemen metre⁻¹ in S.I. units (equivalent to 1 mmho cm⁻¹ = 1 millimho centimeter⁻¹).

High concentrations of heavy metals in sediments may result in the transportation of these metals in suspended solids in the drain bed and sides [54]. Using wastewater in irrigation could enrich soils with heavy metals to levels that may pose a potential risk to the environment, soil quality, and human health [55]. Heavy metal elements are concentrated in the soil mostly in the surface layer, within 50 cm from the soil surface; their vertical distribution varies with soil texture [56]. Recently, Dotaniya et al. [57] and Ahmad et al. [58] reported that sewage water may contain low concentrations of heavy metals; however, the long-term use of this water could result in the accumulation of significant amounts of heavy metals in soil. They added that long-term irrigation of clay soil with sewage wastewater increases its available Cu, Cd, Pb, Cr, Ni, and Zn. Similar results have noted increases in available Pb, Cu, Cd, and Ni following wastewater application to soils [59–65].

3.3. Effects of Soil Organic Matter (OM) and Soil Texture on Soil Pollution

Although the use of raw urban wastewater in irrigation can lead to soil polluted with heavy metals, other physiochemical properties such as soil organic matter and soil texture are often even more important [66]. The content of OM in the soil had a positive effect on soil heavy metal content [16]. The impact of soil organic matter on available heavy metal concentration in the different studied soils is elucidated through a number of relationships (Figure 2). These relationships showed that the soil OM has a positive strong correlation with Pb, Cd, Cu, Ni, and Fe (r = 0.92, 0.88, 0.77, 0.83, and 0.85, respectively) and is highly correlated with Mn (r = 0.63). On the other hand, there is a weak positive relationship with Zn (r = 0.46).

The different soil textures in the study area can be classified as clay, sandy clay loam, clay loam, and silt clay loam, with a dominance of clayey grade. Figure 3 shows the vertical distribution of clay, silt, and sand, by percentage, through the three studied horizons of the different profiles (0–30, 30–60, and 60–90 cm). Clayey soils irrigated by wastewater (for instance, profile No. 11 has a clay texture, with 62.09% clay content) have a higher total content of heavy metals than sandy soils (for instance, profile No. 6 has a sandy clay loam texture, with 51% total sand content) when irrigated by the same water source (Figure 3 and Table 2).
Figure 1. Temporal changes in soil heavy metal content due to wastewater addition in different studied soils. Type A: soils irrigated with Nile water; type B: soils irrigated with agricultural wastewater; type C: soils irrigated with raw urban wastewater.

In comparison with the permissible limits for heavy metals as specified by [53], the studied soils displayed levels of heavy metals within the maximum permissible limits, except for the Cd concentration in soil profile Nos. 11 and 12, which was above the permissible limits. Anthropogenic activities such as those associated with mining, smelting, the steel and iron industry, the chemical industry, traffic, agriculture, and domestic activities are the most significant producers of heavy metals in the environment. These heavy metals have an effect on soil ecology, agricultural production or product quality, and groundwater quality, as well as the health of living organisms through the food chain [67]. Soil texture has a direct impact on OM and CEC and consequently can be considered as a good indicator for the adsorption of heavy metals along soil profile [68]. Abd El-Aziz [69] reported that...
soils that have a coarse texture and low content of OM show the lowest levels of heavy metals, whereas soils having a clay texture contain the highest levels. Previous studies have reported that fine particles have a high ability to adsorb heavy metals due to their highly specific surface area as well as the presence of clay and organic matter content [70–75].

Figure 2. Relationships between soil organic matter content and soil pollution in the studied soil profiles.
Figure 3. Vertical distribution of clay, silt and sand of different studied soil profiles; Profiles Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4 represented the soils irrigated with Nile Water (control), profiles Nos. 5, 6, 7 and 8 represented the soils irrigated with agricultural wastewater, profiles Nos. 9, 10, 11 and 12 represented the soils irrigated with raw urban wastewater.
Table 2. Average soil heavy metals content (mg kg$^{-1}$) for the studied soil profiles.

| Soil Types                           | Profile No. | *Pb  | *Cd  | *Cu  | *Ni  | *Fe  | *Mn  | *Zn  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Soils irrigated with Nile water      | 1           | 0.77 | 0.22 | 0.52 | 0.11 | 2.17 | 1.17 | 0.16 |
|                                      | 2           | 0.81 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 0.07 | 1.13 | 1.33 | 0.57 |
|                                      | 3           | 0.62 | 0.16 | 0.47 | 0.09 | 2.00 | 1.05 | 0.81 |
|                                      | 4           | 0.78 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.1  | 2.09 | 1.19 | 0.39 |
| Soils irrigated with agricultural wastewater | 5           | 0.92 | 0.19 | 0.77 | 0.32 | 4.51 | 2.95 | 0.11 |
|                                      | 6           | 1.76 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.27 | 2.28 | 2.17 | 0.27 |
|                                      | 7           | 1.32 | 0.3  | 1.19 | 0.37 | 3.00 | 3.47 | 0.33 |
|                                      | 8           | 2.53 | 0.21 | 0.41 | 0.14 | 2.97 | 2.93 | 0.92 |
| Soils irrigated with raw urban wastewater | 9           | 3.32 | 0.59 | 2.00 | 0.77 | 9.11 | 4.33 | 0.44 |
|                                      | 10          | 4.56 | 0.79 | 2.11 | 0.63 | 9.33 | 3.71 | 0.97 |
|                                      | 11          | 5.34 | 0.85 | 1.87 | 0.81 | 10.77 | 2.47 | 0.83 |
|                                      | 12          | 5.44 | 0.98 | 1.63 | 0.75 | 11.19 | 4.03 | 1.60 |

* The permissible limit of heavy metals in unpolluted soils by Dutch ecologists; Lead (Pb) = 55, Cadmium (Cd) = 0.76, Coper (Cu) = 3.5, Nickel (Ni) = 2.6, Zinc (Zn) = 16 mg kg$^{-1}$.

3.4. Impact of Temporal Changes of Wastewater Addition on Soil Chemical Characteristics

The mean values of pH in the studied soil profiles ± SD; either that soils irrigated with wastewater or fresh water of Nile water (control), are shown in Figure 4A. Irrigation with raw urban wastewater declined soil pH values from 7.66 ± 0.22 to 7.32 ± 0.25 to 7.11 ± 0.11 for those soils which irrigated by S1, S2 and S3, respectively with a statistically significant difference between the different sources. This decrease in soil pH may be owing to the decomposition of OM into organic acid in soils irrigated with wastewater [68]. These results in agreement with the results reported by [76,77], and [78]. The soil pH has a major influence on the mobility, bioavailability, and transport of heavy metals in the soil. These changes depend on pH of the wastewater used for irrigation [79].

The mean soil EC values in the studied soil profiles ± SD were 1.46 ± 0.42, 3.41 ± 0.64 and 11.04 ± 4.97 dS m$^{-1}$ for those soils which irrigated by S1, S2, and S3, respectively (Figure 4B). The statistical analysis observed that there is a significant difference between soils irrigated by raw urban wastewater (letter a) and both of soils irrigated by agriculture wastewaterand soils irrigated by Nile water (the same letter of b). The increase of soil EC can be due to the high salt quantities dissolved in wastewater. Increasing in soil EC may lead to an increase in soil salinity, and consequently, occurring soil quality and productivity problems. Limited availability of Nile water and using the mixed water to irrigate wide areas is the effective cause in soil salinization [80]. The soils of these districts, close to Qaroun Lake, suffering from water logging (water table < 60 cm), seepage from the lake saline water (43,000 mg L$^{-1}$) to the surrounding low relief lands causing salinity soil (EC > 4 dS m$^{-1}$) [24]. In winter, the farmers don’t irrigate their soils for more than two months, but the soils does not dry out, and thus spotting germination occurred [24]. From the agronomic point of view, the challenge raised by irrigation with saline water is how to cope with these negative effects while maintaining acceptable crop yields [24].

Effective control of soil salinization in these districts is impractical due to limited availability of Nile water and the limited extension of using mixed water to irrigate wide areas. However, salt-affected areas could be managed through improvement process such as appropriate land uses, suitable agricultural practices and management, efficient drainage and irrigation systems, selection of salt-tolerant plant species based on salinity problem, and fertility management. These results are in qualitative agreement with the results of [81–83]. Increasing the salinity enhances the heavy metals solubility, thus causing greater availability of metals in the soil [84,85].
Figure 4. Effect of different water sources (S1, S2, and S3) on chemical properties of the studied soil profiles using variance analysis: (A) soil pH, (B) soil EC, (C) soil OM, (D) soil CEC, (E) soil available N, (F) soil available P, and (G) soil available K. Values are presented as means ± standard deviation (SD) using number of the studied soil profiles (n = 12). For each attribute, bars with different superscript letters (a, b, and c) denote significant difference between the effects of types of irrigation water at p < 0.05. Appearance of the same letter means that the difference was not significant.

The mean soil OM values in the studied soil profiles ± SD varied between 1.24 ± 0.53% for soils irrigated by raw urban wastewater, 0.62 ± 0.42% for soil irrigated with agricultural wastewater, and 0.51 ± 0.33% for soils irrigated by Nile water (Figure 4C). Moreover, the soil OM content was significantly boosted by irrigation with raw urban wastewater. The richness of soil OM enhanced the abundance of earthworms, which in turn has positively influenced the total porosity of the soil, thus a positive effect on soil productivity. The results are in agreement with the findings of [16,54,58,68,77], which clarified the role of wastewater long use on soil organic matter, consequently, increasing microbial activity.

The raw urban wastewater exposed a high value of soil CEC indicating a positive effect on nutritional capacity of the soil, accordingly, high soil productivity. The mean value ± SD of soil CEC was 27.88 ± 8.05 cmol kg\(^{-1}\) in soil irrigated by raw urban wastewater (Figure 4D). While, there were no significant differences between soils irrigated by S1 and S2, which were 12 ± 4.79 and 16 ± 6.85. Numerous studies were stated on the impact of the long-term use of wastewater on soil CEC, eventually, on soil productivity by [16,68,78,86–89].
Regarding the available macro nutrients content in soils irrigated with different sources. The results presented in Figure 4E–G for available nitrogen, available phosphorus, and available potassium, respectively. The soils irrigated with raw urban wastewater showed a significant differences compared with the other sources, where, these soils have mean values ± SD of 40.08 ± 14.29, 13.53 ± 4.15, and 373.96 ± 266.5 mg kg⁻¹ for NPK, respectively. The value of available phosphorous in these soils irrigated by raw urban wastewater tended to be high indicating excessive application of nutrients through chemical or organic fertilizers and using untreated raw urban wastewater compounds with the intensification of agriculture [90]. Li et al. [91] indicated that wastewater irrigation can effectively elevate soil nutrient contents, improving soil fertility. Conversely, Excess nitrogen and phosphorous in irrigation water can cause algal blooms and eutrophication [92]. Goher et al. [93] reported similar observations that El-wadi and El-Bats (the main irrigation source in the study area) having a mean PO₄-P of 172.7, 162.5 µgL⁻¹, respectively, and the total phosphorous reached about 339.9, 331.7 µgL⁻¹, respectively. Using wastewater application was remunerative for soil fertility, but the associated decline in pH might result in an important loose of nutrients [63,94]. These results are in agreement with those of [33,86,95–100].

4. Conclusions

The present study investigated the salinity and heavy metal concentrations in soil irrigated with wastewater and found levels much higher than in soil irrigated with Nile water but still under the admissible limits recommended by the FAO [48].

In contrast, wastewater usage in irrigation for longer periods (10, 15, 20, and 30 years) enhanced most of the studied soil properties, including OM, CEC, available N, available P, and available K. The factor that had the largest influence on soil heavy metal pollution after long periods of wastewater addition was soil texture.

It can be concluded that, while wastewater from the El-Fayoum Governorate offers a supplementary irrigation water source, its long-term application may cause an accumulation of toxic elements such as Pb, Ni, Cd, and Fe; thus, there is a concern related to potentially toxic elements. To avoid the harmful effects of applied wastewater, there is a need for consistent assessment of both irrigation water and irrigated soils in order to ensure sustainable agriculture. Furthermore, remediation techniques along with management plans are needed in the study area to achieve improved soil properties. To increase soil productivity, the following steps are recommended: construction of two integrated wastewater treatment plants for El-Bats and El-Wadi drains; control of industrial activities (pretreatment); periodic maintenance of the existent wastewater treatment plants to maintain efficiency; control of unplanned urban sprawl to avoid increasing demand for available water resources.
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