Sealing Ability and Adaptability of Nano Mineral Trioxide Aggregate as a Root-End Filling Material
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Abstract

Aim: The aim of the study was to study the comparison between nano-MTA and MTA as a root-end filling materials regarding adaptability and sealing ability.

Materials and Methods: Forty extracted human maxillary incisors with straight roots were used. After root canals preparation and obturation, the apical 3 mm of each root was resected perpendicular to the long axis of the tooth. Root end cavities were prepared to a depth of 3 mm parallel to the long axis of the tooth. The teeth were randomly divided into two main equal groups of 20 samples each according to the root-end filling material used either MTA or nano-MTA. Ten samples from each group were sectioned longitudinally into two equal halves to measure the sealing ability and another ten samples from each group were sectioned transversally to obtain 1 mm thick section to measure the adaptability of both materials. All samples were photographed under the SEM at three different magnifications (×1000). The gap thickness between the root end filling material and the retro cavity dentine walls were measured at seven selected points at the material-dentine interface in micrometers (μm).

Results: Nano-MTA and MTA showed no statistically significant difference in the gap thickness between dentin-material interface in both longitudinal and transverse sections. Regarding the sealing ability, the mean value in MTA was (3.27 ± 0.77), while the mean in nano-MTA was (3.15 ± 0.71). Regarding the adaptability, the mean value in MTA was (3.27 ± 0.77), while the mean in nano-MTA was (2.46 ± 0.60), while the mean in nano-MTA was (2.05 ± 0.71). Both materials showed good sealing ability and good adaptation to the dentinal wall.

Conclusion: Nano-MTA revealed good sealing ability and adaptability comparable to MTA when used as a retrograde filling material.

Introduction

Surgical endodontic treatment is an option for teeth with apical periodontitis and may be indicated for teeth with periapical pathology when non-surgical retreatment is impractical or unlikely to improve the previous results or when a biopsy is needed [1, 2]. The placing of a root-end filling after root-end resection is mandatory to support bone regeneration. Various materials have been advocated for use as retrograde filling in which the main aim is to be able to prevent the infiltration of bacteria into the root canals [3], so root-end filling materials should have appropriate sealing ability and adaptability as the amount of microorganisms in the infected area is a key factor determining the healing or persistence of the apical lesion [4].

Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) has been introduced in endodontics in 1993 by Mahmoud Torabinejad for its advantageous properties of high biocompatibility; especially its ability to enhance cementogenesis, promoting healing, superior sealing ability, and ability to set in the presence of moisture. The literature has addressed the need for osteopromotion for materials to become more osteogenic, osteoconductive, and osteoinductive [5]. The development and modification of dental cements have taken place for many years to bring about an optimal interaction between hard tissues and dental cements. Nanotechnology has enabled the production of nanoscale dental materials with improved physicochemical properties. Moreover, the hard tissue response and osteopromotion of MTA cements may be improved by adding nano-additives to their composition [5]. Thus, the aim of the present study was to compare between nano-MTA and MTA as a root-end filling materials regarding adaptability and sealing ability.

Materials and Methods

Based on a previous study [6], the sample size was calculated and found to be 10 extracted teeth, this number is increased to a total of 20 (20 teeth per group) to compensate for losses during evaluation by scanning
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Master apical gutta-percha point which was selected by lateral compaction technique with standard 2% sodium hypochlorite solution in all teeth. Final flush was performed by 2.5% ethylene-diaminetetraacetic acid for 60 s using saline for 3 min to remove the organic part followed by 17% peracetic acid for 48 h. The spreader could no longer penetrate more than 1–2 mm beyond the root canal orifice. All excess sealer and gutta-percha cones were removed with a heated instrument then a small sized amalgam condenser was used to condense gutta-percha in a vertical direction at the orifice. Following obturation, all orifices were sealed with temporary filling material.

Total of forty maxillary anterior single-rooted teeth were freshly extracted for orthodontic or periodontal purposes from the oral surgery department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University and Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Future University in Egypt. Teeth were selected according to the eligibility criteria as listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Eligibility criteria for teeth selection

| Inclusion criteria                                                                 | Exclusion criteria                                      |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Teeth with intact broad root and mature apecies                                  | Teeth with evidence of root fracture defects and cracks (using preoperative perapical radiograph mesiodistally and buccolingually) |
| Teeth with single root and single root canal (Type I) according to Vertucci classification. | Teeth with any abnormalities as internal or external resection or root canal calcification. |
| Root canals showing normal anatomy without (Dilacerations, severe curves, calcifications, any type of root canal other than type I) | Teeth with open apex. |
| Teeth with adequate root length.                                                  | Teeth with previous root canal treatment.               |

All teeth were scaled using ultrasonic scaler to remove any calculus deposits, stains, and any organic debris from root surface. Samples were stored in clean glass bottle containing normal saline solution at room temperature before experiment until usage.

Biomechanical preparation of teeth

The anatomical crowns of all the teeth were amputated horizontally at the CEJ using a diamond disc mounted on a micromotor handpiece under cooling water spray. Canal patency was checked with K- file size # 10. Shaping of the canals was performed in a crown-down technique using ProTaper Universal rotary instruments in an endodontic motor according to the manufacturer’s instructions. During instrumentation, irrigation was done with 2.5% sodium hypochlorite solution and a K-file size # 15 was used to remove debris between each file to maintain patency of the canal. After instrumentation, the entire smear layer was removed using 3 ml of sodium hypochlorite solution for 3 min to remove the organic part followed by 17% ethylene-diaminetetraacetic acid for 60 s using saline in between to avoid any chemical reaction between the two solutions. Final flush was performed by 2.5% sodium hypochlorite solution in all teeth.

Obturation of the root canals

Teeth were obturated using the conventional lateral compaction technique with standard 2% master apical gutta-percha point which was selected according to the size of the prepared canal (size 50) and AH plus sealer was used and mixed according to the manufacture instructions. Master cone was tested visually and confirmed by tactile test to feel the frictional fit at the apical third (Tug back action), then confirmed radiographically to match the laboratory situation. Obturation was considered completed when the spreader could no longer penetrate more than 1–2 mm beyond the root canal orifice. All excess sealer and gutta-percha cones were removed with a heated instrument then a small sized amalgam condenser was used to condense gutta-percha in a vertical direction at the orifice. Following obturation, all orifices were sealed with temporary filling material.

Root end resection and cavity preparation

Following obturation, the teeth were sectioned perpendicular to the long axis of the root at 3 mm from the apex using diamond stone mounted on a high-speed handpiece under copious amount of water coolant. Root-end cavity preparation was then done to prepare a Class I cavity design with a 3 mm depth and 1 mm in diameter (confirmed by periodontal probe) at the apical end of all roots using Satalec ultrasonic tip AS3D driven by a piezolectric ultrasonic unit at a low power setting. Cutting was done in a back-and-forth motion with cutting tip enveloped in water spray. Post-operative radiograph was taken after retro-preparation of all roots to confirm that the cavity was confined inside the root canal (Figure 1).

Figure 1: A photograph showing a tooth with root-end resection; and a radiograph showing the tooth after ultrasonic root-end preparation

Samples grouping

After root-end cavity preparation, the 40 specimens were randomly divided into two equal experimental groups according to the material to be used: Group I: Nano MTA (n = 20 teeth) and Group II: MTA (n= 20 teeth). And then each group was subdivided into two equal groups according to method of evaluation: Subgroup A: Sealing ability (Figure 2a and b): Ten samples from each experimental group were selected for examination under Scanning Electron Microscope (longitudinal section) after being stored for 48 h in saline. Subgroup B: Adaptability (Figure 2c and d): Ten samples from each experimental group...
were selected for examination under scanning electron microscope (Transverse section) after being stored for 48 h in saline.
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Figure 2: (a) A longitudinal section in the apical 3 mm into two halves using a slow-speed diamond saw; (b) scanning electron microscopic evaluation of sealing ability with 1000x magnification in a longitudinal section; (c) a transverse section to obtain 1 mm thick section using a slow-speed diamond saw; (d) scanning electron microscopic evaluation of adaptability with 1000x magnification in a transverse section

**Methods of evaluation**

**Sealing ability**

Using Scanning Electron Microscope (Quanta FEG 250 Scanning Electron Microscope “FEI Company, Hillsboro, Oregon-USA”), samples were mounted onto SEM stubs. Applied SEM conditions were a 10.1 mm working distance, with an in-lens detector with an excitation voltage of 20kV. The samples were viewed under magnification (×1000) and the gap thickness between the root end filling material and the retro cavity dentine walls was measured at seven selected points at the material-dentine interface. The photomicrographs at ×1000 magnification were analyzed on the software Image Tool 3.0 and the extent of gap was measured linearly, in micrometers (µm). The average between the seven points was calculated, and the mean and SD of sample gaps were calculated.

**Adaptability**

The measurements were done with same technique as the longitudinal section by measuring the distance between the root end filling material and the retro cavity dentine walls at seven selected points at the material-dentine interface in the transverse section.

**Statistical analysis**

The mean and standard deviation values were calculated for each group in each test. Data were explored for normality using Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests, data showed parametric (normal) distribution. Paired sample t-test was used to compare between two groups in related samples. Independent sample t-test was used to compare between two groups in non-related samples. Two-way ANOVA was used to test the interaction between variables. The significance level was set at p ≤ 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed with IBM® SPSS® Statistics Version 20 for Windows.

**Results**

**Sealing ability**

Scanning electron microscopic observations of sectioned samples retro-sealed with nano-MTA root-end filling showed homogenous filling material. Nano-MTA had an overall good marginal adaptation with the retro-cavity margins. At higher magnifications, the surface of nano-MTA appeared homogenous. Almost no voids or cracks could be detected within the material bulk (Figure 3a and b).
Nanone-MTA had good homogenous interfacial adaptation to the dentinal wall. Close adaptation of the material to the dentinal wall was evident with minimal gap thickness. No evidence of penetration of the nano-MTA root-end filling material into the dentinal tubules. The recorded mean gap thickness between dentin-material interfaces was 3.154 ± 0.710 μm with minimum value of 2.16 μm and maximum value of 3.86 μm.

While scanning electron microscopic observations of sectioned samples retro-sealed with mineral trioxide aggregate root-end filling showed homogenous filling material with an overall acceptable degree of marginal adaptation at the interface. At higher magnifications, the surface of the tested material seemed to be irregular in structure. The presence of few voids and cracks was clearly at certain areas within the bulk of the material (Figure 3c and d).

MTA material particles were closely adapted to the dentinal walls with intimate contact at the interface in most areas. Minimal gap thickness was observed in some areas at the interface. No evidence of penetration of the MTA root-end filling material into the dentinal tubules was noted. The recorded mean gap thickness between dentin-material interfaces was (3.274 ± 0.770 μm) with minimum value of (2.40 μm) and maximum value of (4.89 μm). However, there was no statistically significant difference between (nano-MTA) and (MTA) where (p = 0.722) as presented in Table 2.

| Variables               | Sealing | Adaptability |
|-------------------------|---------|--------------|
|                         | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Min | Max |
| Nano-MTA                | 3.154 ± 0.710 | 2.205 ± 0.712 | 1.21 | 2.98 |
| MTA                     | 3.274 ± 0.770 | 2.460 ± 0.599 | 1.08 | 3.24 |
| p-value                 | 0.722ns   | 0.397ns     |      |    |

Table 2: The recorded means, standard deviation (SD) values of the gap thickness between dentin-material interface measurements (µm) in the longitudinal section of nano-MTA and MTA groups

Discussion

Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) represents the gold standard material to which other repair materials are compared. It has excellent properties that support its clinical use such as its biocompatibility, antimicrobial activity, and its ability to promote regeneration of the original tissues when placed in contact with dental pulp or peri-radicular tissues. Several studies have demonstrated the fact that MTA leaks significantly less than other root-end filling materials. Fischer et al. [10] proved that Mineral Trioxide Aggregate was the most effective root-end filling material against penetration of S. marcescens compared to other materials including zinc-free amalgam, Intermediate Restorative Material (IRM), Super-EBA. In their study, MTA required longer time to leak compared to all the other material. In addition, four of the MTA samples had not exhibited any leakage.

Although MTA has superior biocompatibility and sealing ability when compared to the traditional
materials used in root-end filling and root repair, it has a long setting time and poor handling properties. Recently, experimental nano Mineral Trioxide Aggregate (nano-MTA) was prepared by Nano Gate Company in Egypt. Nano-MTA is a newly introduced root-end filling cement. The manufacturers claim that it has similar composition to MTA, but with the reduction of its particle size to obtain a high specific powder surface area that may lead to a better and faster hydration process. It is understood that in mixing and working phase, more particles are involved in the reaction in the hydration phase resulting in the formation of a less porous set material. Komabayashi and Spangberg [11] showed that MTA’s particle size has a great impact on the extent of particles penetrating the dentinal tubules. This study was carried out to compare the newly introduced nano material nano-MTA with the gold standard material MTA. This comparison was carried out by evaluating the sealing ability and adaptability of the two materials.

Freshly extracted human teeth were used to improve the reliability of the investigation by simulating the clinical situation. Single rooted teeth with broad straight roots and single root canal were used for standardization and elimination of variables from multiple canals and complex curved canal morphology.

During instrumentation, irrigation was performed using 2.5% NaOCl which allowed the irrigating solution to perform its effect as an antibacterial agent and organic tissue solvent while limiting its cytotoxic effect due to the relation between cytotoxicity and concentration [12], [13]. The application time was 1-min as no significant statistical difference was found between 1, 3, and 5 min application of NaOCl [14]. Smear layer was removed using 3 ml of 2.5% NaOCl for 3 min followed by 3 ml of 17 % EDTA solution for 1 min [15], [16]. This was approved through the work of Taylor et al. [17] who showed that removal of the smear layer decreases leakage, regardless of the obturation technique used. Gettleman et al. [18] and Shahrvani et al. [19] suggested its removal as it contains bacteria and necrotic tissues, it may limit the optimum penetration of disinfecting agents and medicaments into the dentinal tubules, and it obstructs the extension of sealer tags into the dentinal tubules and thereby decreases adhesion by micromechanical forces. Irrigation with distilled water was used as a final flush to ensure removal of all NaOCl and EDTA remnants in accordance with Zmener et al. [20]. It is known that NaOCl breaks down to sodium chloride and oxygen. Oxygen causes strong inhibition of the interfacial polymerization of resin bonding materials. The generation of oxygen bubbles at the resin dentin interface may also interfere with resin infiltration into the dentinal tubules dentin causing detrimental effects on the dentin bonding performance of dentin adhesive systems [21], [22].

Lateral condensation technique with gutta-percha in conjunction with an insoluble root canal sealer was used for obturation of the canals due to its predictability, relative ease of use, conservative preparation, and controlled placement of the obturating materials [23], [24]. The apical root-end resection was done perpendicular to the long axis of the root with no bevel that allows more conservation of root structure, improved the crown root ratio, removes the majority of apical ramifications, and reduced the number of exposed open cut dentinal tubules. On the other hand, long bevels would require the removal of an excessive amount of root structure. Another consideration for the 0° bevel is that the cavo-surface marginal dimensions of the preparation will be considerably decreased; therefore, allowing an easier and more predictable chance for marginal seal and reduce the risk of lingual perforation [25]. Kim and Kratchman [26] suggested that at least 3 mm of the root-end must be removed in root-end resection because 98% of the apical ramifications and 93% of the lateral canals exist in 3 mm of the root-end.

Retro-cavities were performed by a specially designed diamond coated ultrasonic retro-tip mounted on an ultrasonic unit. Using coolant was important to avoid overheating and to decrease number of cracks formed in dentine. The use of contra-angle retro-tip facilitated retro preparation into the canal, aid in access, and provided superior control. Diamond coated retro-tip was used as it is faster than other types of ultrasonic tips (stainless steel and zirconium coated retro-tips) to prepare root-end cavities [27]. The ultrasonic unit used was adjusted at low intensity since this produced less cracks [28]. Gorman et al. [29] showed that root-end cavities prepared with ultrasonic showed the presence of significantly less smear layer and debris compared with those prepared by conventional technique.

All specimens, in whom sealing ability and adaptability were evaluated, were stored with the external surfaces submerged in phosphate buffered saline to provide a buffer system to maintain the physiological pH (7–7.6) that simulates the clinical situation. Parirokh et al. [30] suggested that in vitro studies evaluating the sealing ability of MTA should use phosphate buffered saline as a media to simulate the environment in the human body, thus producing more clinically relevant results.

In the present study, scanning electron microscope (SEM), a method of histological evaluation, has been used to assess the retro-cavity seal quality. SEM has greater resolution, improved interface magnification, superior field depth, and therefore better gap visualization [31], but it has several shortcomings. It is a surface phenomenon and may not represent the seal and the adaptation of two surfaces in three dimensions. That is why in this study we decided to evaluate both sealing ability and adaptability by examination of a longitudinal and a transverse section of the specimens respectively under the SEM to obtain
a full three-dimensional representation for the material-dentine interface [32]. This was done by measuring more than one point (7–8 different points) between the material-dentine interface for more clear observation of the gap to permit more accurate and reliable results, and to avoid the limited evaluation in other studies [33].

The results of this study showed that nano-MTA has better sealing ability than MTA but with no statistically significant difference between them, and this was in accordance with Dewi et al. [34] who stated that there was less microleakage score detected in modified MTA (MTA Flow) when compared to conventional MTA with no statistically significant difference. The better sealing ability may be largely due to the particle size of the modified MTA, which was homogenous and smaller than 10 µm while the insignificant difference can be justified by the similarity in the chemical composition between the two materials. Furthermore, Abd Elhamid and Abdel-Aziz [32] found insignificant statistical difference between the nano-MTA and nanohydroxyapatite materials in sealing ability, which can be attributed to the small particles size of both materials.

Studies proved that smaller particle sizes can minimize spacing between particles, increase surface area, and better interlock powder particles to improve integrity, making the material more resistant to liquid penetration [35]. Good marginal sealing ability of MTA may be due to its hydrophilic properties, and formation of an interfacial layer between the material and dentin [36]. It was found that the further hydration of MTA powder by moisture can result in an increase in the compressive strength and decrease leakage [37].

However, Arroyave et al. [38] found that MTA had significantly more leakage than IRM and super ethoxy benzoic acid (EBA). The cause of this conflict may be due to the difference in methodology since that study used the dye penetration technique for evaluation. Our study was also not in concurrence with Hirschberg et al. who showed that samples in the EndoSequence bioceramic root repair material group leaked significantly more than samples in the MTA group [39].

According to the present study, there was no statistically significant difference in marginal adaptation between nano-MTA and MTA although nano-MTA group showed better adaptation. The good adaptation of both materials to cavity margins might be linked to the nature of these materials. The powder consists of fine hydrophilic particles that absorb water during hydration. Therefore, the material expands during solidification, which must have played a role in its superior adaptation to dentinal walls. Moreover, studies illustrated that smaller particle sizes and a more fluid consistency can better fill the irregularities of a dentinal wall to minimize gaps and that this can be done even faster with hydroxyapatite formation [7].

The marginal adaptation results were comparable with the sealing ability results, as the better the sealing ability the better the adaptation. However, Xavier et al. [40] evaluated the sealing ability and adaptation of retro-filling materials and found no correlation between marginal gaps and degree of leakage, but this may be due to using dye penetration technique as an evaluation method for the sealing ability which proved then to be an unreliable method.

This study was done to evaluate the sealing ability and adaptability of the newly introduced nano-MTA and the gold standard MTA. However, it is still open for further clinical trials to identify its clinical use and determine its healing ability.

Conclusion

Our results revealed that nano-MTA has similar sealing ability and adaptability to MTA as a root-end filling material when it was evaluated under scanning electron microscope.

References

1. Tsesis I, Faivishevsky V, Kfir A, Rosen E. Outcome of surgical endodontic treatment performed by a modern technique: A meta-analysis of literature. J Endod. 2009;35(11):1505-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2009.07.025 PMid:19840638
2. Gutmann JL, Harrison JW. Posterior endodontic surgery: Anatomical considerations and clinical techniques. Int Endod J. 1985;18(1):8-34. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.1985.tb00415.x PMid:3858237
3. Neto UX, De Moraes IG. Sealing capacity produced by some sealer materials when utilized under furcation perforations of human molars. J Appl Oral Sci. 2003;11(1):27-33. PMid:12409336
4. Siqueira JF Jr, Rôças IN. Clinical implications and microbiology of bacterial persistence after treatment procedures. J Endod. 2008;34(11):1291-301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2008.07.028 PMid:18928835
5. Saghir MA, Orangi J, Tanideh N, Janghorban K, Sheibani N. Effect of endodontic cement on bone mineral density using serial dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry. J Endod. 2014;40(5):648-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2013.11.025 PMid:24765558
6. Patni G, Agrawal P, Anushree N, Arora S, Kunjappu JJ, Shamsuddin SV. A scanning electron microscope analysis of sealing potential and marginal adaptation of different root canal sealers to dentin: An in vitro study. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2020;21(1):73-7. PMid:32381805
7. Camilleri J. Mineral trioxide aggregate in dentistry: From preparation to application. In: Mineral Trioxide Aggregate in Dentistry: From Preparation to Application. New York: Springer; 2014. p. 1-206.

8. Voicu G, Bădălionu AI, Ghiglucă CD, Andronescu E. Sol-gel synthesis of white mineral trioxide aggregate with potential use as bio cement. Dig J Nanomater Biostruct. 2012;7(4):1639-46.

9. Saghir MA, Orangi J, Asatourian A, Gutmann JL, Garcia-Goody F, Lofti M, et al. Calcium silicate-based cements and functional impacts of various constituents. Dent Mater J. 2017;36(1):8-18. https://doi.org/10.4102/dmj.2015-425

10. Fischer EJ, Arens DE, Miller CH. Bacterial leakage of mineral trioxide aggregate as compared with zinc-free amalgam, intermediate restorative material, and Super-EBF as a root-end filling material. J Endod. 1998;24(3):176-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(98)01787-7

11. Komabayashi T, Spångberg LS. Particle size and shape analysis of MTA finer fractions using Portland cement. J Endod. 2008;34(6):709-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2008.02.043

12. Pai S, Pai AR, Thomas MS, Bhat V. Effect of calcium hydroxide as a root-end filling material. J Endod. 2005;31(7):457-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2005.10.010

13. Rogers MJ, Johnson BR, Remeikis NA, BeGole EA. Comparison of effect of intracanal use of ketorolac tromethamine and dexamethasone with oral ibuprofen on post treatment endodontic pain. J Endod. 1999;25(5):381-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(98)01796-7

14. Mohammad Z. Sodium hypochlorite in endodontics: An update review. Int Dent J. 2008;58(6):329-41. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1875-595x.2008.tb00354.x

15. Economides N, Liolios E, Kolokuris I, Beltes P. Long-term evaluation of the influence of smear layer removal on the sealing ability of different sealers. J Endod. 1999;25(2):123-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(99)80010-7

16. Crumpton BJ, Goodell GG, McClanahan SB. Effects on smear layer and debris removal with varying volumes of 17% REDTA as biocement. Dig J Nanomater Biostruct. 2012;7(4):1639-46.

17. Taylor JK, Jeansonne BG, Lemon RR. Coronal leakage: Effects of smear layer, obturation technique, and sealer. J Endod. 1997;23(8):508-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(97)80311-1

18. Gettleman BH, Messer HH, EIDeeb ME. Adhesion of sealer cements to dentin with and without the smear layer. J Endod. 1991;17(1):15-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(91)80155-5

19. Shahrvan A, Haghdooost AA, Adl A, Rahimi H, Shadifar F. Effect of smear layer on sealing ability of canal obturation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Endod. 2007;33(2):96-105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2006.09.007

20. Zmener O, Banegas G, Pameijer CH. Bone tissue response to a methacrylate-based endodontic sealer: A histological and histometric study. J Endod. 2005;31(6):457-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2005.05.008

21. Frankenberger R, Krämer N, Oberschachtisek H, Petschelt A. Dentin bond strength and marginal adaption after NaOCl pre-treatment. Oper Dent. 2000;25(1):40-5. https://doi.org/11203789

22. Ari H, Yaşar E, Belli S. Effects of NaOCl on bond strengths of resin cements to root canal dentin. J Endod. 2003;29(4):248-51. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004770-200304000-00004

23. Greene HA, Wong M, Ingram TA 3rd. Comparison of the sealing ability of four obturation techniques. J Endod. 1990;16(9):423-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(96)81884-4

24. Zmener O, Banegas G, Pameijer CH. Biofilm forming potential of endodontic sealers. J Endod. 2008;34(6):709-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2008.02.043

25. Stroppo JJ, Doyon GE, Gutmann JL. Root-end management: Resection, cavity preparation, and material placement. Endod Top. 2005;11(1):131-51. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-1546.2005.00158.x

26. Kim S, Kratchman S. Modern endodontic surgery concepts and practice: A review. J Endod. 2006;32(7):601-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2005.12.010

27. Taschieri S, Testori T, Francetti L, Del Fabbro M. Effects of ultrasonic root end preparation on resected root surfaces: SEM evaluation. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2004;98(6):611-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tripleo.2004.04.004

28. Calzontelli KJ, Iwanowski T, Komorowski R, Friedman S. Ultrasonic root end cavity preparation assessed by an in situ impression technique. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1998;85(2):210-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1079-2104(98)90428-0

29. Gorman MC, Robert Steiman H, Gartner AH. Scanning electron microscopic evaluation of root-end preparations. J Endod. 1995;21(3):113-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0099-2399(95)80434-6

30. Parirokh M, Askarifard S, Mansouri S, Haghdooost AA, Raooof M, Torabinejad M. Effect of phosphate buffer saline on coronal leakage of mineral trioxide aggregate. J Oral Sci. 2009;51(2):187-91. https://doi.org/10.2334/josnusd.51.187

31. Zhou HM, Shen Y, Zheng W, Li L, Zheng YF, Haapasalo M. Physical properties of 5 root canal sealers. J Endod. 2013;39(10):1281-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2013.06.012

32. Muni H, Abdel-Aziz M. Marginal adaptation and sealing ability evaluation of new nano materials as root end filling material (An in vitro study). Egypt Dent J. 2020;66(3):1829-36. https://doi.org/10.21060/edj.2020.25800.1082

33. Gandum S, Patil J, Venigalla BS, Yadanaapart S, Maddu R, Gurram SR. Comparison of marginal adaptation of mineral trioxide aggregate, glass ionomer cement and intermediate restorative material as root-end filling materials, using scanning electron microscope: An in vitro study. J Conserv Dent. 2014;17(6):566-70. https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-0707.144606

PMid:15917687

PMid:11203789

PMid:12701772

PMid:2098459

PMid:2074407

PMid:16793466

PMid:9503458

PMid:7561651

PMid:15529135

PMid:9503458

PMid:19550085

PMid:24041392

PMid:25506146
34. Dewi F, Asrianti D, Margono A. Microleakage evaluation of modified mineral trioxide aggregate effect toward marginal adaptation on cervical dentin perforation. Int J Appl Pharm. 2017;9(2):10-3. https://doi.org/10.22159/ijap.2017.v9s2.03

35. Cervino G, Laino L, D’Amico C, Russo D, Nucci L, Amoroso G, et al. Mineral trioxide aggregate applications in endodontics: A review. Eur J Dent. 2020;14(4):683-91. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1713073
PMid:32726858

36. Reyes-Carmona JF, Felippe MS, Felippe WT. Biomineralization ability and interaction of mineral trioxide aggregate and white Portland cement with dentin in a phosphate-containing fluid. J Endod. 2009;35(5):731-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2009.02.011
PMid:19410094

37. Kubo CH, Gomes AP, Mancini MN. In vitro evaluation of apical sealing in root apex treated with demineralization agents and retrofiled with mineral trioxide aggregate through marginal dye leakage. Braz Dent J. 2005;16(3):187-91. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0103-64402005000300003
PMid:16429182

38. Tobón-Arroyave SI, Restrepo-Pérez MM, Arismendi-Echavarri JA, Velásquez-Restrepo Z, Marín- Botero ML, García-Dorado EC. Ex vivo microscopic assessment of factors affecting the quality of apical seal created by root-end fillings. Int Endod J. 2007;40(8):590-602. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2918.2007.01253.x
PMid:17511788

39. Hirschberg CS, Patel NS, Patel LM, Kadouri DE, Hartwell GR. Comparison of sealing ability of MTA and endosequence bioceramic root repair material: A bacterial leakage study. Quintessence Int. 2013;44(5):e157-62. https://doi.org/10.3290/j.qi.a29399
PMid:23682382

40. Xavier CB, Weismann R, De Oliveira MG, Demarco FF, Pozza DH. Root-end filling materials: Apical microleakage and marginal adaptation. J Endod. 2005;31(7):539-42. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.don.0000152297.10249.5a
PMid:15980718