Relativistic calculations of the lifetimes and hyperfine structure constants in $^{67}$Zn$^+$
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Abstract

This work presents accurate ab initio determination of the magnetic dipole (M1) and electric quadrupole (E2) hyperfine structure constants for the ground and a few low-lying excited states in $^{67}$Zn$^+$, which is one of the interesting systems in fundamental physics. The coupled-cluster (CC) theory within the relativistic framework has been used here in these calculations. Long-standing demands for a relativistic and highly correlated calculation like CC can be able to resolve the disagreements among the lifetime estimations reported previously for a few low-lying states of Zn$^+$. The roles of different electron correlation effects in the determination of these quantities are discussed and their contributions are presented.

1. Introduction

Quantum information processing (QIP) is one of the interesting areas in physics which is gaining momentum both on theoretical and experimental fronts in the recent years. Mostly, single valence ions, particularly the ones with $^2S_{1/2}$ ground states, are being chosen for QIP studies [1] to encode qubits into the hyperfine levels. These levels are chosen due to their relatively long lifetimes against spontaneous decay rates and long phase coherence because of their small energy separations. Hyperfine structure studies help us understand correctly the nuclear structure of an atom and its influence on the short-range wavefunctions [2].

The rapid progress in the development of technology involving laser cooling and ion trapping has made it possible to bring these theoretical ideas to fruition and singly ionized zinc (Zn$^+$) is one of the recent important inclusions in that family [3]. Though there are a few studies of radiative lifetimes of Zn$^+$ in the literature [4], its hyperfine structures are not studied so far limited to the best of our knowledge. Here, we have carried out magnetic dipole (A) and electric quadrupole (B) hyperfine structure studies of $^{67}$Zn$^+$ for principle quantum number $n = 4$ states, which is required for the QIP studies as mentioned above.

Zn is also one of the important elements in astrophysics, especially for the understanding of the post-main-sequence evolution of chemically peculiar stars, in which Zn is either scarce (if not non-existent) or overabundant [5]. The high resolution spectra obtained from GHRS on board the Hubble Space Telescope have provided vital information about its abundances [6]. Applications of the radiative transitions of this ion in cosmology, stellar dynamics, interstellar medium, nucleosynthesis, etc have been discussed extensively in the literature [4, 5, 7, 8].

It seems from the reported results that there exist disagreements in the lifetime estimations among the experimental measurements and various theoretical calculations. One distinct feature of the lifetime table is the order of the 4D fine-structure states, i.e. the lifetime of the 4D$_{5/2}$ state should be less than the lifetime of 4D$_{3/2}$ state according to both experiments available [9, 10]. This was not found in any of the ab initio studied so far [9, 11–13]. Our calculated lifetimes for the 4D$_{3/2}$ and 4D$_{5/2}$ states which are reported here have the same order, as well as being in good agreement with the experimental results.

2. Theory

The one-electron reduced matrix elements corresponding to E1, M1 and E2 transitions are given in these papers [14, 15]. The emission transition probabilities (in s$^{-1}$) for the E1, E2 and M1 channels from states $f$ to $i$ are given by

$$A_{i,f}^{E1} = \frac{2.0261 \times 10^{18}}{\lambda^3(2J_f + 1)} S^{E1},$$

(2.1)
The lower energy levels, spontaneous electromagnetic transitions from the state to all of the total transition probability arising from all possible wavelengths. The lifetime of a particular state is the reciprocal operator $O$ (in au) and

$$A_{i,j}^{E2} = \frac{1.11995 \times 10^{18}}{\lambda^2(2J_f + 1)} S^{E2},$$

$$A_{i,j}^{M1} = \frac{2.69735 \times 10^{13}}{\lambda^3(2J_f + 1)} S^{M1},$$

where $S = |\langle \Psi_f | O | \Psi_i \rangle|^2$ is the transition strength for the operator $O$ (in au) and $\lambda$ (in Å) is the corresponding transition wavelength. The lifetime of a particular state is the reciprocal of the total transition probability arising from all possible spontaneous electromagnetic transitions from the state to all the lower energy levels,

$$\tau_i = \frac{1}{A_i}.$$  

The interaction between the electromagnetic multipole moments of the electrons and the electromagnetic field created at the site of the nucleus is termed as hyperfine interaction and the corresponding Hamiltonian is given by [16]

$$H_{hf,i} = \sum_{k} M^{(k)} \cdot T^{(k)},$$

where $M^{(k)}$ and $T^{(k)}$ are the spherical tensor operators of rank $k$ in the nuclear and electronic spaces, respectively. The $k = 1$ and 2 terms of the expansion represent the magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole interactions, respectively. The diagonal hyperfine interaction constants can be written as [16]

$$A = \frac{\mu}{I(J)} \langle J J | T^{(1)} | J J \rangle = \frac{\mu J}{I(J)} \left( \begin{array}{c c} J & 1 \\ -J & 0 \end{array} \right) \langle J | | T^{(1)} | | J \rangle,$$

and

$$B = 2Q \langle J J | T^{(2)} | J J \rangle = 2Q \left( \begin{array}{c c} J & 2 \\ -J & 0 \end{array} \right) \langle J | | T^{(2)} | | J \rangle,$$

where $I, J$ are the total angular momentum of nucleus and electrons, respectively; $\mu$ and $Q$ are magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moments of the nucleus, respectively. The $T^{(1)}$ and $T^{(2)}$ operators are defined as

$$T^{(1)} = \sum_k -i e \sqrt{2} r_{1k}^{-2} \alpha_i \cdot C_k^{(1)}(\vec{r}_i),$$

and

$$T^{(2)} = \sum_k -e r_{1k}^{-3} C_k^{(2)}(\vec{r}_i),$$

where $C_k^{(1)} = \sqrt{4\pi/(2k+1)} Y_{kq}$ with $Y_{kq}$ being the spherical harmonic functions.

In the first-order perturbation theory, the hyperfine energy $E_{hf}(J)$ of the fine-structure state $| J M_J \rangle$ is the expectation value of the corresponding hyperfine interaction Hamiltonians in that state. The energies corresponding to the magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole hyperfine transition are defined as

$$E_{M1} = AK/2,$$

and

$$E_{Q2} = \frac{B}{2} \frac{3K(K+1) - 4I(I+1)J(J+1)}{2I(2I-1)J(2J-1)},$$

where $K = 2(I \cdot J) = F(F+1) - I(I+1) - J(J+1)$ with $F = I + J$. Here we have neglected higher order hyperfine interactions.

The basic formalism of the valence universal coupled-cluster (CC) method was developed more than two decades before [17–20]; however, a suitably relativistic version of this approach has been successfully employed to obtain the various properties accurately in different single valence atomic systems only recently [21–25]. Here, we just outline the method applied in this calculation of the wavefunctions of Zn$^+$ accurately.

The single-valence CC theory is extended for the relativistic framework and is based on the no-virtual-pair approximation with Dirac–Fock orbitals [18]. The concept of the common vacuum for both the closed-shell $N$ and open-shell $N+1$ electron systems allows us to formulate a direct method of excitation energies. The dynamical electron correlation effects are introduced through the valence-universal wave-operator $\Omega_v$ [17, 18] for the state with $v$ as the valence orbital being written in the normal ordered form as

$$\Omega_v = e^{-\sum_i C_i^{(1)}(\vec{r}_i)},$$

where the cluster operator $T$ represents excitations from the occupied core orbitals of the closed shell system Zn$^{2+}$ and $S$ represent the core–valence and valence–valence excitations. Pair correlations and core polarizations are dominant among these correlations. The Dirac–Coulomb Hamiltonian dressed with the excitation cluster operators $T$ and $S$ is then diagonalized within the model space constructed from the valence orbitals to obtain the desired eigenvalues and eigenvectors [20]. In this work, leading order triple excitations are included in the open shell CC amplitude evaluation by an approximation that is similar in spirit to the CCSD(T) method [26].

The expectation value of any operator $O$ can be expressed in the CC method as

$$O = \frac{\langle \Psi_v | O | \Psi_v \rangle}{\langle \Psi_v | | \Psi_v \rangle} = \frac{\langle \Phi_v \prod [1 + S_{v_i}] e^{T} O e^{T} [1 + S_{v_i}] \Phi_v \rangle}{\langle \Phi_v \prod [1 + S_{v_i}] e^{T} e^{T} [1 + S_{v_i}] \Phi_v \rangle}.$$  

3. Results and discussions

We have used Gaussian-type orbitals (GTO) to calculate the DF wavefunctions $| \Phi_{DF} \rangle$ as given in [27] using the basis functions of the form [28–30]

$$G_{i,i}(r) = r^k e^{-a_i r^l}$$

where $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ for $s, p, d, f, \ldots$ type orbital symmetries respectively. The large and small components of the relativistic GTOs satisfy the kinetic balance condition [31]. The exponents are determined by the even tempering condition; i.e. for each symmetry exponent are assigned as

$$a_i = a_0 b^{i-1} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$$

where $N$ is the number of basis functions for the specific symmetry. In this calculation, we have used $a_0 = 0.00831$
Table 1. Radiative lifetimes (ns) for different low-lying states of $^{67}$Zn$^+$.  

| State       | Experiment | Other theories | This work |
|-------------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| $^4\!P_{1/2}$ | 2.6(3) [9], 2.151 [11], (1.97, 2.38) [13], (2.19, 2.01) [9], 2.524 [12], 2.2 [36], 3.2 [38], 2.1(4) [36] | 2.43       |
| $^4\!P_{3/2}$ | 2.0(3) [9], 2.070(2) [40], 2.1(3) [36], 3.1(4) [35], 3.0(3) [10], 2.5(2) [4] | 2.30       |
| $^5\!S_{1/2}$ | 1.7(16) [9], 2.0(2) [41], 1.8(2) [40], 3.85(7) [35] | 2.08       |
| $^4\!D_{3/2}$ | 1.8(2) [9], 1.8(4) [10] | 1.31       |
| $^4\!D_{5/2}$ | 1.4(12) [9], 1.40(15) [10] | 1.04       |

Table 2. Magnetic dipole hyperfine constant ($A$) of different low-lying states of $^{67}$Zn$^+$ in MHz.  

| State       | DF Core correlation | Pair correlation | Core polarization | Norm | Total |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|------|-------|
| $4S_{1/2}$  | 1835.57             | 32.64            | 345.11            | 100.68 | −53.27 | 2339.58 |
| $4P_{1/2}$  | 271.77              | 146.90           | 65.33             | 37.70 | −9.65 | 526.68 |
| $4P_{3/2}$  | 49.94               | 2.07             | 11.68             | −15.84 | −0.92 | 50.74 |
| $4D_{3/2}$  | 6.33                | 6.46             | 0.22              | 1.71  | −0.07 | 15.57 |
| $4D_{5/2}$  | 2.72                | 4.54             | 0.72              | 1.47  | −0.07 | 14.56 |

Table 3. Electric quadrupole hyperfine constant ($B$) of different low-lying states of $^{67}$Zn$^+$ in MHz.  

| State       | DF Core correlation | Pair correlation | Core polarization | Norm | Total |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|------|-------|
| $4P_{3/2}$  | 40.01               | 0.72             | 9.43              | 10.74 | −1.12 | 61.74 |
| $4D_{3/2}$  | 1.68                | 0.23             | 0.37              | 2.71  | −0.02 | 5.04 |
| $4D_{5/2}$  | 2.40                | −0.22            | 0.48              | 3.15  | −0.03 | 7.35 |

Figure 1. Decay channels for the first few low-lying excited states of Zn$^+$. The lines of different types correspond to different electromagnetic (multipole) transitions.

and $\beta = 2.99$. The number of basis functions used in the present calculation are 32, 32, 30, 25, 20 for $l = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ symmetries, respectively. We report our calculated lifetime results along with the available calculated and measured results in table 1. It is apparent from the table that there are large disagreements among the earlier results and also the measurements are not very precise. In our previous work (hereafter referred to as paper I [32]), we have presented ionization energies, allowed and forbidden transition amplitudes of the same system considered in the present work and their astrophysical applications are emphasized [32]. Our results in paper I are in excellent agreement with the experimental measurements. In this spirit we have computed the lifetime calculations in the present work which show moderately good agreement with the available experimental results. There are two recent experiments for the lifetime estimations of the fine structure states of 4D [9, 10] and both show that the lifetime of the 4D$_{5/2}$ state is shorter than 4D$_{3/2}$ state. However, many of the earlier theoretical calculations in the literature show the opposite trend, whereas our CCSD(T) results not only show the same trend as that of the experimental results, but also the ratio of their lifetimes is in excellent agreement with these two measurements. The large transition rate of the 4 d$_{5/2}$ to 4p$_{3/2}$ state (1167 893 370 s$^{-1}$) compared to the allowed transitions from the 4 d$_{3/2}$ state to the lower energy states, i.e. 4p$_{3/2}$ (126 252 430 s$^{-1}$) and to 4p$_{1/2}$ (642 890 811 s$^{-1}$) made this order of lifetime, as seen in figure 1.

The computed values of the magnetic dipole hyperfine structure constants ($A$) for the ground state and a few low-lying excited states of $^{67}$Zn$^+$ are given in table 2. Neither the calculations nor the measurements of the hyperfine constants A for all the states, except for the ground state, considered here are available in the literature known to our knowledge. The important many-body correlation contributions to the total $A$ values are included in our work through relativistic CC theory. We have used $\mu_I = 0.875 47$ and $I = 5/2$ [33] in our calculation. From the differences of the DF and the total CC results it is evident that the electron correlation effects in the calculation of $A$ are quite large and vary from 2 to 430% among the different low-lying states. The core correlation effects are significant in the 4p$_{1/2}$, 4d$_{3/2}$ and 4d$_{5/2}$ states; in particular, for the last two states these effects are larger than the...
DF contributions. The lowest order pair correlation and core polarization effects tabulated here highlight their important contributions which are comparable to the DF contributions, especially note the cancellation effect of core polarization in the case of $4p_{3/2}$ state. The large effects of $S_{i}, \tilde{O}S_{i}$ (4.93 MHz for $4p_{3/2}$ and 40.0 MHz for $4s_{1/2}$ state) are observed in these cases. We have used expression (2.10) to calculate the ground-state hyperfine energy separation which turns out to be 7018.743 MHz. Panigrahy et al have also calculated the same using the relativistic linked-cluster many-body perturbation theory and obtained 7.2 GHz [34] which is in good agreement with our result. The hyperfine energy separation lies in the microwave region of the electromagnetic spectrum, which suggests that $^{67}$Zn$^+$ can be proposed as the new frequency standard in the microwave region; however, it needs further investigation about its stability and accuracy of estimation, etc.

The computed values of the electric quadrupole hyperfine structure constants ($B$) for a few low-lying excited states are given in table 3. In this calculation, the electric quadrupole moment of the nucleus, $Q = 0.150$, is used [33]. It may be noted that the effects of the pair correlation and core polarization effects are stronger than the core correlation effects; in particular, the core polarization effects for the D states are stronger than the DF contributions.

4. Conclusion

In this work, we have determined the hyperfine structure constants $A$ and $B$ of the ground state and a few low-lying excited states in $^{67}$Zn$^+$ using the relativistic coupled-cluster theory. We have also calculated the hyperfine energy separation for the ground state, which is 7018.743 MHz. There is no experimental result available for the hyperfine energy separation for the ground state, which seems to be an important candidate for QIP studies. Also, $^{67}$Zn$^+$ can be considered as one of the promising candidates for the frequency standard in the microwave region. We have also determined the lifetimes of the low-lying states in Zn$^+$, which are in good agreement with experimental results. Especially our calculated lifetimes of 4D fine structure states explain the same trend as observed in the experiments [9, 10], i.e. the lifetime of $4D_{3/2}$ state is shorter than the $4D_{5/2}$ state, unlike many other theoretical results which show opposite trend and also the ratio of their lifetimes in our calculation is in excellent agreement with the experimental result. This suggests that the relativistic CC method applied in the present work and our numerical approach in obtaining the wavefunctions of the system considered are more accurate and reliable.
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