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Abstract: Mycosporines and mycosporine-like amino acids have been described as natural sunscreens and antioxidant compounds presenting a great potential for health and cosmetic applications. Herein, an untargeted screening approach for mycosporines and mycosporine-like amino acids (MAAs) was developed by the coupling of zwitterionic hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) with multistage electrospray mass spectrometry MS²/MS³ using an Orbitrap analyzer and fragment ion search (FISh). This method was applied to study the mycosporine and MAA contents of five algae extracted using a 50% methanol solution and sonication. Candidate-MAAs were detected by mining eight characteristic fragment ions in their HILIC data-dependent MS² mass spectrum. Their exact masses were measured with 3 ppm mass accuracy and their structures were elucidated on the basis of the MS³/MS⁴ mass spectra. The method developed was validated with a targeted analysis using an extract of Gymnogongrus devoniensis which confirmed the detection of 14 MAAs reported in the literature. In addition, 23 previously unreported MAAs were detected and the structures could be assigned for seven of them. The developed method was applied to the analysis of four algae: Gelidium sesquipedale, Halopithys incurva, Porphyra rosengurtii and Cystoseira tamariscifolia allowing the detection of MAAs, including some reported here for the first time.
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that the glycine on the C₃ [10] can be replaced by serine, glutamine or glutamic acid to constitute three atypical MAA subfamilies. In marine micro- and macro-algae, MAAs belong mainly to the palythine and glycine families [9]. MAAs containing sulfate esters such as e.g., mycosporine-taurine or palythine-serine-sulfate, and glycosidic linkages were also identified in cyanobacteria, diatoms, corals and anemones [9–11].

The studies of MAAs were originally based on high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using diode array detection (DAD) making use of their high molar absorption coefficient [12–14] and the dependence of the absorption wavelength on their amino-cyclohexenimine ring (imino-MAAs, \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) 360 nm) or their amino-cyclohexenone ring (mycosporine or oxo-MAAs, \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) 310 nm) [10]. The identification of the substituent functional groups could be achieved only after chromatographic purification of the individual MAAs followed by their analysis by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and tandem mass spectrometry (ESI-MS\(^n\)) analysis. \(^2\)H [15] and \(^{13}\)C [16] NMR was used for the MAA structure elucidation. Moreover, an ESI-MS\(^2\) method was developed with hydrogen/deuterium exchange to elucidate unambiguously the MAA structures [17,18].

The isolation and structure elucidation allowed the indexation of over 20 MAAs with their molecular mass, structures, UV-Vis absorption properties and concentrations in more than 500 algal species in the literature and online databases [19]. Reference standards for some of them have been made available which allows their identification on the basis of the retention time using HPLC with diode array (DAD) [14,20–22] or quadrupole (Q) ESI-MS detection [10]. Standardless identification requires the on-line detection either by ion-trap MS\(^2\)/MS\(^3\) or [21] Q—TOF [15,16,23] or high resolution (>100,000) high mass accuracy (<5 ppm) (HRAM) MS. The LC-MS methods used previously and the MAAs identified to date are summarized in Table 2.
Table 1. Classification of mycosporines, MAAs and their precursor reported in the literature. The structure and the theoretical monoisotopic mass (Da) were detailed for every compound classified in five families depending on their amino acid substituents on the C3. The structural relationships were briefly described for every example [9].

| MAA Family | Precursor | Serine Family | Glutamine Family | Palythine Family | Glutamic Acid Family |
|------------|-----------|---------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Name       | 4-deoxygadusol (4-DG) | Mycosporine-serinol (M-SerOH) | Mycosporine-glutamine (M-Gln) | Palythine (PNE. M-NH2:Gly ) | Mycosporine-glutamic acid (M-Glu) |
| Structure  | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) |
| Monoisotopic mass (Da) | 188.0685 | 261.1212 | 316.1271 | 244.1059 | 317.1111 |
| Structural relationships | Reduction of Gadusol | Reduction of M-Ser | 4-DG + Gln → M-Gln + H2O | 4-DG + NH2 + Gly → M-NH2:Gly + H2O | 4-DG + Glu → M-Glu + H2O |

| MAA Family | Glycine Family |
|------------|---------------|
| Subfamily | Glycine Subfamily | Serine Subfamily | Valine Subfamily | Threonine Subfamily | Alanine Subfamily |
| Name       | Asterina-330 (Ast. M-Gly:Gly(OH)) | Shinorine (SH) (M-Gly:Ser) | Mycosporine-glycine-valine (M-Gly:Val) | Porphyra-334 (P-334. M-Gly:Thr) | Palythineol (Pinol) (M-Gly:Ala(OH)) |
| Structure  | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) |
| Monoisotopic mass (Da) | 288.1321 | 332.1219 | 344.1583 | 346.1376 | 302.1478 |
| Structural relationships | Reduction of M-2Gly | M-Gly + Ser → M-Gly:Ser + H2O | M-Gly + Val → M-Gly:Val + H2O | M-Gly + Thr → M-Gly:Thr + H2O | (2) Reduction of M-Gly:Ala |

| Subfamily | Glycine Subfamily | Serine Subfamily | Valine Subfamily | Threonine Subfamily | Alanine Subfamily |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Name      | Asterina-330 (Ast. M-Gly:Gly(OH)) | Shinorine (SH) (M-Gly:Ser) | Mycosporine-glycine-valine (M-Gly:Val) | Porphyra-334 (P-334. M-Gly:Thr) | Palythineol (Pinol) (M-Gly:Ala(OH)) |
| Structure | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) |
| Monoisotopic mass (Da) | 288.1321 | 332.1219 | 344.1583 | 346.1376 | 302.1478 |
| Structural relationships | Reduction of M-2Gly | M-Gly + Ser → M-Gly:Ser + H2O | M-Gly + Val → M-Gly:Val + H2O | M-Gly + Thr → M-Gly:Thr + H2O | (2) Reduction of M-Gly:Ala |

| Subfamily | Glycine Subfamily | Serine Subfamily | Valine Subfamily | Threonine Subfamily | Alanine Subfamily |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Name      | Asterina-330 (Ast. M-Gly:Gly(OH)) | Shinorine (SH) (M-Gly:Ser) | Mycosporine-glycine-valine (M-Gly:Val) | Porphyra-334 (P-334. M-Gly:Thr) | Palythineol (Pinol) (M-Gly:Ala(OH)) |
| Structure | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) | ![Structure](image) |
| Monoisotopic mass (Da) | 288.1321 | 332.1219 | 344.1583 | 346.1376 | 302.1478 |
| Structural relationships | Reduction of M-2Gly | M-Gly + Ser → M-Gly:Ser + H2O | M-Gly + Val → M-Gly:Val + H2O | M-Gly + Thr → M-Gly:Thr + H2O | (2) Reduction of M-Gly:Ala |
Table 2. Inventory of MAAs identified in targeted HPLC-ESI-MS methods operated in positive mode.

| Marine Organisms                          | Number of MAAs | MAAs                                                                 | HPLC Conditions                                      | MS Analysis                              | Literature          |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| *Brostrychia scorpioides*. *Porphyra dioica*. *Gracilaria vermiculophylla*. *Vertebrata lanosa* (Red Algae) | 6              | Shinorine, palythine, asterina-330, porphyra-334, usijirene, palythene. | Reversed-phase chromatography                   | Q-TOF Resolution 20.000 FWHM                | Lalegerie et al. (2019) [22] |
|                                           |                |                                                                     | ACE C18 column (150 × 4.6 mm; 3 µm)                   | Full MS scan range: m/z 50–1000             |                     |                     |
| *Rhodymenia pseudopalmata* (Red Alga)     | 8              | Deoxygadusol, porphyra-334, shinorine, palythine, asterina-330, palythine, usijirene, palythene. | Reversed-phase chromatography                   | Q-TOF Resolution 20.000 FWHM                | Pliego-Cortès et al. (2019) [24]          |
|                                           |                |                                                                     | Luna Omega C18 (250 × 4.6 mm; 5 µm)                  | Full MS scan range: m/z 100–1000            |                     |                     |
| *Oscillatoria* sp. (Cyanobacteria)        | 13             | Palythine, shinorine, porphyra-334, palythine-serine, palythine threonine, mycosporine-glycine, mycosporine-taurine, mycosporine-ornithine, hexose-bond palythine-serine and hexose-bond palythine-threonine. | Reversed-phase chromatography                   | Q-TOF Resolution 20.000 FWHM                | Geraldes et al. (2019) [23]           |
|                                           |                |                                                                     | Synergi Hydro-RP 80A column (150 × 2.0 mm; 4 µm)    | Full MS scan range: m/z 50–500 MS/MS: Top3 MS² |                     |                     |
| *Catenella repens*. (Red Alga)            | 1              | Catenelline                                                          | HILIC chromatography                                | Q-TOF Ion-trap                              | Hartmann et al. (2015) [15]             |
|                                           |                |                                                                     | Sequant ZIC-HILIC (250 mm × 4.6 mm; 5 µm)            | Full MS scan range: m/z 100–1500            |                     |                     |
| **Microalgae**                            | 5              | Palythine, palythine, mycosporine-glycine, palythenic-acid, porphyra-334, shinorine. | Normal-phase chromatography                       | Ion-trap MS³ scans                           | Llewellyn and Airs (2010) [13]          |
|                                           |                |                                                                     | Luna NH2 column (250 × 4.6 mm; 5 µm)                 |                                          |                     |                     |
| *Palmaria palmata* (Red Alga)             | 6              | Palythine, shinorine, asterina-330, palythanol, porphyra-334. Usijirene | Reversed-phase chromatography                   | Ion-trap MS scan: m/z 50-600               | Yuan et al. (2009) [21]                  |
|                                           |                |                                                                     | Inertsil ODS-3 column (250 mm × 4.6 mm; 5 µm)       |                                          |                     |                     |
| *Pocillopora capitata* (Coral)            | 12             | Palythine-serine-sulfate, mycosporine sulfate, shinorine, mycosporine-2-glycine, palythine-serine, palythine, porphyra-334, mycosporine-methylamine-serine, mycosporine-glycine, palythine-threonine, palythiol, mycosporine-methylamine-threonine. | Reversed-phase chromatography                   | Ion-trap. TOF Resolution 10.000 MS²/MS³ scans | Carignan et al. (2009) [18]         |
|                                           |                |                                                                     | C18 column (4.6 × 150 mm; 3 µm)                     |                                          |                     |                     |
The use of HRAM detection has been scarce; the only example is the use of Orbitrap MS in full MS mode to identify five MAAs in two red algae \textit{Hydrol punctia cornea} and \textit{Gracilaria longissima} \cite{25}.

The diversity of the identified MAA structures make plausible the hypothesis that many more still remain to be discovered. The exhaustive information on the MAA diversity is crucial for the prediction of UV-filtering properties of algal extracts and the wider use of algae in cosmetic, biomedical, and industrial fields. It can be obtained by untargeted MS allowing the discovery of unreported MAAs. Hence, the purpose of this research was to develop a HRAM multistage mass spectrometry method and the related data mining strategies for the analysis for MAAs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Biological Materials, Chemicals and Reagents

Purified MAAs, namely shinorine (\textit{Gymnogongrus devoniensis}), palythine (from \textit{Asparagopsis armata}), porphyra-334 (from \textit{Porphyra rosengurtii}), and mycosporine-serinol (from \textit{Lichina pygmaea} lichen), were purchased from the Laboratory of Photobiology of the Central Research Services of the University of Malaga (Spain) and stored at $-20 \degree C$. The algae and lichen were collected in 2018 and 2019 in the Andalusian coast (Spain).

Among the five algal concentrated extracts analyzed, two were provided by the laboratory described above, namely lyophilized algal powders from \textit{Gymnogongrus devoniensis} and \textit{Porphyra rosengurtii}; and the other three were extracted in-house (as described below) from two fresh red algal species (\textit{Gelidium sesquipedales}, \textit{Halopithys incurva}) and one fresh brown algal species (\textit{Cystoseira tamariscifolia}) collected in November 2018 in the intertidal zone of Les Viviers Basques at Hendaye (France) and stored at $-80 \degree C$.

Solutions of purified MAAs were prepared at 5 $\mu$g.mL$^{-1}$ in 1.5% methanol and 0.5% acetic acid. Algal concentrated extracts were prepared by extraction with water from stock lyophilized powders of the algae \textit{Gymnogongrus devoniensis} (58 mg.mL$^{-1}$) and \textit{Porphyra rosengurtii} (22 mg.mL$^{-1}$). As mentioned before, concentrated extracts from fresh algae were prepared in-house. Briefly, all fresh algae were freeze-dried and crushed using a grinding mill. Afterwards, 500 mg were extracted with 50% methanol solution over 30 min in an ultrasonic bath and the crude extracts were ultracentrifuged at 50,000 rpm during 20 min. Then, the supernatants were stored at $-20 \degree C$. Every supernatant was diluted 500-fold with mobile phases (5mM ammonium acetate in 90% acetonitrile) before filtration using a 0.22-$\mu$m nylon membrane syringe filter. Exposure to direct sunlight was avoided as much as possible over the sample preparation.

Methanol and acetonitrile used for extraction and analytical experiments were LC-MS grade and purchased from Honeywell (Morris Plains, NJ, USA). Ammonium acetate, acetic acid and deuterium were LC-MS grade and purchased from Sigma Aldrich (L’Isle D’Abeau Chesnes, France). Ultrapure water was obtained from a Direct-Q3 UV (Merck, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France).

Instrumentation

Analysis of MAAs was carried out using an Ultimate 3000 RSLC system (ThermoFisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) coupled with an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribid mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) operated in positive mode. Fraction collection of algal extracts and infusion of MAAs were performed with a TriVersa NanoMate (Advion BioSciences, Ithaca, NY, USA) fitted on the Orbitrap Fusion Lumos instead of the standard ESI source. Data treatment for the inventory of known MAAs and characterization novel structures was carried out on Compound Discoverer 2.1™ (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The tracking of neutral and radical losses and structural prediction of novel MAAs were carried out on Mass Frontier 7.0™ (HighChem, Bratislava, Slovakia).
2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Chromatographic Conditions

The separation of MAAs was carried out on a SeQuant® ZIC-cHILIC (150 × 2.1 mm, 3 μm, 100 Å) (Merck, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France). The mobile phases were: 5mM ammonium acetate in water at pH 6.7 (A) and acetonitrile (B). The HPLC separation was carried out with the following gradient elution profile: 0–2 min, 10% B; 2–13 min, 10 to 40% B; 13–15 min, 40 to 60% B; 15–17 min, 60% B; 17–19 min, 60 to 10% B; 19–24 min, 10% B. A 20μL aliquot of diluted extract was injected.

2.2.2. Untargeted Screening of MAAs

The purified MAAs palythine, porphyra-334, shinorine and mycosporine-serinol were infused at 50 ng.mL⁻¹ at the flow rate 5 μL.min⁻¹. Two fragmentation modes (collision-induced dissociation (CID) and higher-energy C-trap dissociation (HCD)) were applied at different collision energies (30, 50, 70, 90 and 110). The CID parameters were: activation time at 10 ms and activation Q at 0.25. The ESI parameters were set: sheath gas at 5 (arb), auxiliary gas at 0 (arb), sweep gas at 0 (arb) and ion transfer tube temperature at 275 °C.

Figure 1a shows the scan events set in the acquisition method of the mass spectrometer.

Figure 1. Flowchart of the developed untargeted screening approach of MAAs using an Orbitrap MS based on data-dependent MS²/MS³ Acquisition (a). Untargeted workflow designed on Compound Discoverer™ to flag putative MAAs in algal extracts (b). Description of the role of the following nodes: Compound Class Scoring: Calculation of the percentage of common fragment ions detected in mass spectral data of every compound in the result table. Predicted Composition: Proposition of chemical formula for unknown compounds. Create mass Trace: Create mass chromatogram of compounds for which common fragment ions were detected in their mass spectral data. Fill Gaps: Indication of missing peaks or peaks below the detection threshold. Normalize Areas: Normalization of chromatographic peaks. Mark Background Compounds: Identification of compounds in blanks.
For LC-MS experiments, the ESI conditions were: sheath gas 50 (arb), auxiliary gas 10 (arb), sweep gas 1 (arb), ion transfer tube and vaporizer temperature 350 °C, rf lens 50% and positive ionization voltage 3500 V. Full MS Orbitrap (OT) settings were: resolution 140,000, mass range m/z 150–500, dynamic exclusion 5 s and intensity threshold $2 \times 10^4$. The ddMS$^2$ OT settings were: resolution 60,000 for HCD70 MS/MS scans and 30,000 for HCD50 and CID30 MS/MS scans, isolation width 2 Da. The ddMS$^3$ ion-trap (IT) settings were: scan rate 33,333 Da/s, peak width ≤0.5 FWHM, isolation width 2 Da. HCD70 MS$^2$ scans with a scan range set to 100–200 m/z were used to produce common fragment ions and CID30 MS$^2$ was used to generate both neutral losses and small radicals. The common fragment ions were listed in the first filter permitting the triggering of further ddMS$^2$ HCD50 scans for structural elucidation of candidate-MAAs. Likewise, neutral and small radical losses were included in the second filter triggering a ddMS$^3$ CID30 to confirm the detection of the candidate-MAAs found in parallel with the common fragment ions.

Compound Discoverer 2.1™ software was applied to mine and identify the MAA contents in every extract in targeted and untargeted approach. The workflow illustrated in Figure 1b was designed for the untargeted analysis with a mass tolerance at 5 ppm and a minimum peak intensity at $1 \times 10^4$. The retention time tolerance was fixed at 0.2 min and the signal/noise ratio at 20. To make the inventory of compounds with at least the number of elements corresponding to the skeleton core of MAAs, a minimum element threshold was defined with the molecular formula $C_6H_14O_2$. Likewise, a maximum element threshold was set with $C_{90}$, $H_{180}$, $O_{50}$, $N_{50}$ and $S_{10}$ to cover amino-cyclohexenones, amino-cyclohexenimines and eventually sulfated MAAs. The Compound Class Scoring node scores and annotates ions corresponding to the set of common fragment ions in HCD70 MS$^2$ OT scans of detected compounds. A minimum number of five fragment ions were used to consider a positive MAAs flagging. The Create Mass Trace node plotted a XIC trace showing retention times of compounds for which the set of fragment ions were detected in HCD70 MS$^2$ OT scans.

2.2.3. Validation by Targeted Screening of MAAs

A Top3 MS$^2$ analysis was carried out to make the inventory of MAAs in the model algal extract Gymnogongrus devoniensis as described in Figure S1a. The Full MS Orbitrap (OT) settings were: resolution 140,000, mass range m/z 150–500, dynamic exclusion 5 s and intensity threshold $2 \times 10^4$. The MS$^2$ scans settings were: HCD50 resolution 60,000, stepped collision energy +/− 20, isolation width 2 Da. For every detected mass, a FISh score was calculated to estimate the percentage of fragment ions generated in silico matching with the collected spectral data. A description of the targeted screening workflow designed on Compound Discoverer in Figure S1b.

2.2.4. Identification of Novel MAAs

Fraction collection of algal extracts was performed to re-analyze by infusion the MAAs for which the structure needed to be confirmed or elucidated. The ion source of the Orbitrap Fusion Lumos was replaced by the Triversa Nanomate (on-chip nano ESI system). The latter was operated in LC chip coupling with fraction collection mode, a split setting allowing a flow of 380 nL.min$^{-1}$ on the HD-A ESI-chip was used (remaining flow was sent to waste or to fraction collection mandrel depending on retention time). An LC coupler (Advion P/N 1003236) was used to deliver the flow on the chip. 30-s fractions were collected in a pre-washed 96 wells Advion plate from 7 to 13 min in the LC gradient. The electrospray voltage was fixed at +1.4 kV.

Algal extract fractions collected with the TriVersa NanoMate were re-analyzed in infusion mode by multistage fragmentation. MS$^3$/MS$^4$ spectra were acquired in positive mode at CID30, CID50, CID70, HCD30 and HCD50. The CID parameters were activation time at 10 ms and activation Q at 0.25. Off-line analyses of fractions were carried out with an electrospray voltage of +1.4 kV and a backing gas pressure of 0.8 psi. Ion transfer tube temperature was set at 275 °C.
2.2.5. Quantitative Analysis of MAAs

The MAAs porphyra-334, shinorine and palythine were quantified in the model algae *Gymnogongrus devoniensis* using the standard addition method. The spiked extracts were analyzed in targeted SIM (isolation width 1 min, resolution 60,000). Amounts of standardless MAAs were estimated assuming an absorption coefficient ($\epsilon$) equal to the mean of the absorption coefficients of the three purified MAAs.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Extraction of MAAs in Fresh Algal Samples

The polarity and the low molecular weight make MAAs readily extractable with methanol. The employed concentrations varied from 20 to 100% with controversies of the methanol concentration on the recovery [12,15,23,26]. No particular effect of the methanol concentration was observed in this study; therefore a 50% methanol solution and sonication were used to extract the MAAs from fresh algal samples. The use of ethanol proposed elsewhere [22] resulted in lower recoveries.

3.2. Chromatographic Conditions

Three different stationary phases were investigated to achieve the best separation of MAAs: a reversed phase UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 mm × 150 mm, 1.7 µm, Waters), a HILIC Kinetex HPLC column (100 × 2.1 mm, 2.6 µm, 100 Å Phenomenex) and a zwitterionic SeQuant® ZIC-cHILIC column (150 × 2.1 mm, 3 µm, 100 Å). The two latter HILIC columns differ in terms of their stationary phase one being silica diol groups and the other one functionalized silica with phosphoryl-choline groups. The optimization of the HPLC conditions was carried out by searching for the accurate mass of MAAs known in the literature in a full MS analysis of the model algae *Gymnogongrus devoniensis*. The latter was selected because of its richness of compounds. The separation performance of the three columns were compared regarding the number of MAAs efficiently retained and separated.

The first results showed the MAAs were not retained on the UPLC BEH C18 column using a gradient elution with 0.1% of formic acid in acetonitrile/water. The chromatographic method proposed elsewhere [12] to separate strongly acidic, neutral MAAs and the isomeric couple cis-usujirene and trans-palythene using acetonitrile-based eluents and polymeric double endcapped C18 columns turned out to be insufficient to separate highly polar compounds. The use of the HILIC Kinetex HPLC column improved the retention of high polar MAAs on the stationary phase but did not allow a satisfactory resolution and symmetric peaks. An alternative method based on the zwitterionic properties of MAAs using a Zic-HILIC column improved their separation [15]. As is shown in Figure 2, 14 MAAs were efficiently retained on the SeQuant® ZIC-cHILIC column and identified in the full MS scan with a mass error lower or equal to 3 ppm. The same separation method was performed on four other algal extracts for which MAA-profiles were detailed in Figure S2a–d.

The separation efficiency of MAAs and their ionization conditions were optimized using ammonium acetate solution at 5 mM and pH 6.5 [14,15]. In these conditions, 14 candidate-MAAs were separated between 7 and 12 min.

As it was discussed elsewhere, coelution was observed in almost all the separation procedures of MAAs owing to the presence of several isomers. Moreover, the disparity of their polarity made it more difficult to choose an efficient column retaining all the MAAs without coelution [10,12]. With the increasing number of MAAs accounted for, coelutions cannot be avoided and the HRAM MS detection, which allows the resolution of all the MAAs except the mass isomers, is mandatory. The separation of isomers has to be addressed by multistage MS$^n$ analysis.
Figure 2. XIC of MAAs (3ppm) in Gymnogongrus devoniensis separated on the Zic-cHilic column. Palythene/Usujirene: \( m/z \) 285.1440, error \(-1.75 \) ppm; Mycosporine-glycine: \( m/z \) 246.0971, error \(-0.41 \) ppm; Aplysiapalythine B: \( m/z \) 273.1436, error \(-3.3 \) ppm; Mycosporine-glycine-valine: \( m/z \) 345.1653, error \(-2.06 \) ppm; Palythine-glutamic-acid: \( m/z \) 317.1338, error \(-1.58 \) ppm; Porphyra-334: \( m/z \) 347.1447, error \(-0.86 \) ppm; Mycosporine-2-glycine: \( m/z \) 303.1185, error \(-0.66 \) ppm; Mycosporine-methyl-amine threonine: \( m/z \) 303.1549, error \(-0.66 \) ppm; Aplysiapalythine A: \( m/z \) 303.1542, error \(-2.97 \) ppm; Palythinol: \( m/z \) 303.1548, error \(-0.66 \) ppm; Shinorine: \( m/z \) 333.1286, error \(-1.8 \) ppm; Asterina-330: \( m/z \) 289.1389, error \(-1.73 \) ppm; Palythine: \( m/z \) 245.1123, error \(-2.85 \) ppm; Palythine-serine: \( m/z \) 275.1234, error \(-1.45 \) ppm.

3.3. Identification of Candidate-MAAs in Untargeted Analysis

3.3.1. Fragmentation Pathways of MAAs

The goal was to investigate the fragmentation patterns of MAAs in order to define a set of characteristic fragment ions, neutral and radical losses to serve the untargeted detection of MAAs and to find the optimal conditions to generate these fragments. For this purpose, several levels of collision energies were tested on three purified imino-MAAs included porphyra-334, shinorine, palythine and one oxo-MAA, mycosporine-serinol. Porphyra-334, shinorine and palythine belong to the palythine and glycine families considered to be the most common in marine algae [7,9]. The typical MAA of lichens—mycosporine-serinol—was used as a fragmentation model of oxo-MAAs.

The study of the CID30 MS² spectra of the purified MAAs permitted to highlight in Figure 3 their radical fragmentation triggered by the weakening of the ether bond C-O and the loss of the methyl radical (15,023 Da) [26,27].
Successive decarboxylation or dehydration were mainly observed on the [(M+H) - CH$_3$] $^+$ MAA moiety according to the structure of substituents on the C$_1$ and C$_3$ [24,26,28]. The fragmentation of palythine resulted mainly in the loss of water (18.010 Da) and carboxyl (43.989 Da) on the glycine group producing the last most intense fragment ion $m/z$ 186.0999 (Figure S3a). This fragment ion was also produced after the dealkylation of $m/z$ 230.1260 (C$_2$H$_4$O, 44.026 Da) and $m/z$ 244.1417 (C$_3$H$_6$O, 58.041 Da) in CID30 MS$^2$ spectra of shinorine (Figure 3a) and porphyra-334 (Figure S3b). Notably, the CID30 MS$^2$ spectrum of shinorine and porphyra-334 exhibited a very low intense methyl radical loss and showed highly intense [(M + H) - CH$_2$O] $^+$ and [(M + H) - C$_2$H$_4$O] $^+$ moieties instead, corresponding to the same fragment ion $m/z$ 303.1187 (Figure 3a and Figure S3b). Indeed, the loss of formaldehyde was observed in prior positive ESI-MS$^2$ analysis of shinorine. On the contrary, the monitoring of the fragmentation in HRMS$^n$ analysis has demonstrated the fragment ion $m/z$ 303.1187 produced from the porphyra-334 came from a dealkylation (44.026 Da) and not from a decarboxylation (43.989 Da) as it was suggested in prior low-resolution mass spectrometry studies (Figure S3b). As it was demonstrated formerly, the presence of two di-acidic functions in MAA structure could modify the driving force of...
the fragmentation to give priority to decarboxylation [27,29,30]. Similarly, the presence of the ketone group and/or the atypical serinol group of the mycosporine-serinol could also give the priority to dehydration in its fragmentation pathway as shows the CID30 \( m/z \) spectrum in Figure 3b. Nonetheless, the fragment ion \( m/z \) 247.1049 obtained after the radical methyl loss underwent a rearrangement of its carbon centered radical structure by resonance releasing a hydroxide radical loss and producing the fragment ion \( m/z \) 230.1024. This observation assumed a likely competition between fragmentation pathways starting either with the radical methyl or water loss. Thus, the CID30 \( m/z \) spectra of purified MAAs allowed the inventory of the most characteristic neutral and radical losses useful in the monitoring of their different fragmentation pathways and their mining in untargeted analysis.

Although the fragmentation at CID30 permitted to identify the fragment ion \( m/z \) 186.0999 specific of imino-MAAs [28,30], no common fragment ion was detected between imino- and oxo-MAAs. Indeed, low collision energies permitted only to describe the first steps of their radical fragmentation pathway targeting exclusively their substituents. An optimization of the fragmentation conditions at HCD70 allowed to produce, as it is shown in Figure 4 six common fragment ions among the four purified MAAs: \( m/z \) 110.0602, \( m/z \) 122.0602, \( m/z \) 124.0395, \( m/z \) 126.0550, 138.0551 and \( m/z \) 140.0707. Besides, mass spectral data of the three imino-MAAs showed two characteristic high intense fragment ions: \( m/z \) 137.0709 and \( m/z \) 149.0711 (Figure 4a-c).

Although the fragmentation at CID30 permitted to identify the fragment ion \( m/z \) 186.0999 specific of imino-MAAs [28,30], no common fragment ion was detected between imino- and oxo-MAAs. Indeed, low collision energies permitted only to describe the first steps of their radical fragmentation pathway targeting exclusively their substituents. An optimization of the fragmentation conditions at HCD70 allowed to produce, as it is shown in Figure 4 six common fragment ions among the four purified MAAs: \( m/z \) 110.0602, \( m/z \) 122.0602, \( m/z \) 124.0395, \( m/z \) 126.0550, 138.0551 and \( m/z \) 140.0707. Besides, mass spectral data of the three imino-MAAs showed two characteristic high intense fragment ions: \( m/z \) 137.0709 and \( m/z \) 149.0711 (Figure 4a-c).

These fragment ions were distributed in the \( m/z \) 100–140 range in the spectra of the purified MAAs (Figure 4a–d). The fragment ions found in this mass range lost not only all the substituents but also the ketone or imino-group on the \( C_1 \) which distinguish oxo- and imino-MAAs. This hypothesis permitted to justify the significative differences observed in the fragment ion distribution above \( m/z \) 140 in the mass spectral data of imino- and oxo-MAAs. An exception was observed for the fragment ions \( m/z \) 137.0709 and \( m/z \) 149.0711 in HCD70 \( m/z \) spectrum only found in imino-MAA spectra (Figure 4a–c). Of note, shinorine and porphyra-334 displayed the same fragmentation tendency due to their similar structure differing only by an additional methyl group on porphyra-334 (Figure 4a,c). Moreover, the fragment ion distribution above \( m/z \) 160 in a HCD70 \( m/z \) spectrum of palythine (Figure 4b) differed from those observed in spectra of porphyra-334 and shinorine because of the absence of substituent on the \( C_1 \).
The comparison between different fragmentation conditions revealed that the greatest relative abundance of the six common fragment ions among oxo- and imino-MAAs was achieved at HCD70 as it is shown in Figure 5.

**Figure 5.** Relative abundance of characteristic fragment ions of MAAs depending on collision energies in MS² of palythine (a), porphyra-334 (b), shinorine (c) and mycosporine-serinol (d).

The fragment ion m/z 110.0602 showed high intensity in all HCD70 MS² spectrum of MAAs (Figure 5a–d) which supposed a common fragmentation route for any MAA regardless of the MAA class. Finally, the fragment ions at m/z 137.0709 and m/z 149.0711 displayed high intensities in HCD70 MS² spectra of imino-MAAs (Figure 5a–c), which confirmed their relevance for the distinction between imino- and oxo-candidate-MAAs detected in algal extracts as part of the untargeted analysis.

On the basis of the monitoring of the fragmentation of imino- and oxo-MAAs, a set of eight fragment ions including: m/z 110.0602, m/z 122.0602, m/z 124.0395 and m/z 126.0550, m/z 137.0709, m/z 138.0551, m/z 140.0707 and m/z 149.0711 were selected to develop an untargeted screening approach for MAAs in algal extracts.

### 3.3.2. Mining and Annotation of MAAs in Algal Model Extract

An untargeted ddMS²/MS³ analysis was carried out using the set of eight fragment ions, neutral and radical losses defined above to screen for candidate-MAAs in the model algae *Gymnogongrus devoniensis*.

Data-processing using the untargeted workflow designed using Compound Discoverer™ software to mine and flag candidate-MAAs retrieved 1498 candidate compounds. The detection of MAAs was considered positive when the intensity of precursor ions exceeded the $1 \times 10^4$ threshold and when a minimal number of five fragment ions out of eight could be observed in their HCD70 MS² OT scans. These criteria of result selection reduced the number of candidate-MAAs to 41 as reported in Table 3.
Table 3. Candidate-MAAs detected in the model algae Gymnodogongrus devoniensis using the Fragment Ion Search (FISH).

| MAAs                        | In Source Fragmentation | Formula       | Monoisotopic Mass (Da) | [M+H]+ (m/z) | Area Max (10^5) | RT [min] | Number of Fragment Ions (/%) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Porphyra-334                |                         | C_{14}H_{22}O_{4}N_{2} | 346.1372              | 347.1444     | 693             | 10.14    | 8                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{14}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 272.2099              | 273.2170     | 0.15            | 8.86     | 8                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{14}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 247.1053              | 248.1126     | 0.18            | 11.03    | 8                           |
| Palythene                   |                         | C_{14}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 245.1374              | 246.1447     | 0.19            | 10.93    | 8                           |
| Asterina-330                | ([M+H]+(CH\_3\_CO\_2)]  | C_{10}H_{17}O_{4}N \_ | 229.1187              | 230.1259     | 0.59            | 11.02    | 8                           |
| Asterina-330                | ([M+H]+(CH\_3\_CO\_2)]  | C_{11}H_{17}O_{4}N \_ | 273.1084              | 274.1157     | 1.23            | 11.03    | 8                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{14}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 362.1320              | 363.1389     | 1.25            | 10.84    | 8                           |
| Palythene                   | ([M+H]+(CH\_3\_CO\_2)]  | C_{15}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 185.0924              | 186.0999     | 1.02            | 11.15    | 8                           |
| Palythene                   | ([M+H]+(CH\_3\_CO\_2)]  | C_{15}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 285.1442              | 286.1215     | 1.10            | 8.11     | 8                           |
| Apysipaliyne-B              |                         | C_{12}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 272.1367              | 273.1436     | 76.5            | 9.00     | 8                           |
| Shinorine                   |                         | C_{13}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 332.1214              | 333.1292     | 85.2            | 10.52    | 8                           |
| Palythine                   |                         | C_{13}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 302.1475              | 303.1551     | 11.3            | 10.20    | 8                           |
| Mycosporine-2-glycine       |                         | C_{12}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 302.1112              | 303.1185     | 3.55            | 10.15    | 8                           |
| Palythene                   |                         | C_{10}H_{18}O_{4}N_{2} | 244.1051              | 245.1124     | 122             | 11.14    | 8                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{16}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 424.1146              | 425.1218     | 4.73            | 10.16    | 8                           |
| Mycosporine methyl-amine threonine |                 | C_{13}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 302.1475              | 303.1551     | 2.43            | 8.48     | 8                           |
| Asterina-330                |                         | C_{14}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 288.1316              | 289.1394     | 187             | 11.03    | 8                           |
| Palythene/Usujirene         | ([M+H]+(CH\_3\_CO\_2)]  | C_{11}H_{17}O_{4}N_{2} | 284.1368              | 285.1445     | 137             | 7.81     | 8                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{13}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 225.1237              | 226.1311     | 0.39            | 7.81     | 7                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{13}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 318.1425              | 319.1493     | 1.63            | 10.17    | 7                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{13}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 303.1320              | 303.1392     | 1.99            | 10.15    | 7                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{11}H_{17}O_{4}N_{2} | 322.0827              | 323.0899     | 0.58            | 11.15    | 7                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{11}H_{17}O_{4}N_{2} | 258.1217              | 259.1289     | 1.07            | 10.05    | 7                           |
| Mycosporine-glycine-valine  |                         | C_{18}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 344.1579              | 345.1652     | 1.20            | 9.01     | 7                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{18}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 330.1420              | 331.1491     | 1.07            | 9.43     | 7                           |
| Palythene glutamic-acid     |                         | C_{15}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 316.1266              | 317.1338     | 6.26            | 9.86     | 7                           |
| Palythene-serine            |                         | C_{18}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 274.1158              | 275.1231     | 0.47            | 11.54    | 6                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{18}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 402.1751              | 403.1816     | 0.44            | 10.69    | 6                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{18}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 360.1522              | 361.1602     | 0.28            | 9.52     | 6                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{18}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 345.1647              | 346.1722     | 0.22            | 11.91    | 5                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{18}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 360.1522              | 361.1598     | 0.21            | 9.96     | 5                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{18}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 286.1255              | 287.1240     | 0.17            | 8.05     | 5                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{18}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 373.1484              | 374.1557     | 0.53            | 10.50    | 5                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{18}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 394.1369              | 395.1441     | 0.46            | 8.28     | 5                           |
| Unknown                     |                         | C_{18}H_{24}O_{4}N_{2} | 410.0990              | 411.1060     | 0.50            | 10.53    | 5                           |
| Mycosporine-glycine         |                         | C_{16}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 302.1475              | 303.1551     | 2.07            | 9.72     | 5                           |
| Apysipaliyne-A              |                         | C_{13}H_{23}O_{4}N_{2} | 362.1143              | 363.1215     | 0.28            | 7.81     | 5                           |
| Mycosporine-glycine         |                         | C_{10}H_{18}O_{4}N   | 245.0899              | 246.0972     | 0.93            | 8.36     | 5                           |

The known MAAs were emphasized in bold. MAAs subject to ion source fragmentation were indicated by the moiety [[M+H]-neutral and/or radical loss] * generated.
All the 41 exact masses were listed in the final result table according to the number of fragment ions detected in their HCD70 MS² OT scans. Of note, 14 of them were identified in the literature [26]. The annotation of candidate-MAA was also carried out with the detection of characteristic neutral and radical losses. Indeed, a CID30 ddMS³ IT scan was triggered after the detection of neutral and radical losses in prior CID30 ddMS² OT scan of every putative MAAs. Mechanisms of decarboxylation and dehydration were observed in the [(M+H)-CH₃]⁺ moiety in the putative MAA spectra as it was detailed in Figure S4. These observations proved the tracking of neutral and radical losses was all the more relevant since the fragmentation pathways of MAAs permitted the production of the eight characteristic fragment ions observed in ddMS² HCD70 OT spectra. In this way, any detected mass showing a CID30 ddMS³ IT scan in addition to a HCD70 ddMS² OT scan with at least five annotated fragment ions was a putative MAA.

Surprisingly, four exact masses in Table 3 coeluted with the identified MAAs palythene/usujirene (225,1237 Da), asterina-330 (229,1187 Da; 273,1084 Da) and palythine (185,0924 Da). The detected mass 185.0924 Da corresponded to the fragment ion m/z 186.099 which gave origin to all the fragment ions characteristic of imino-MAAs. Likewise, the detected masses 229.1187 Da and 273.1084 Da have been already reported in the literature as typical fragment ions in the fragmentation pathways of asterina-330 [28]. These observations indicated in-source fragmentation of palythene/usujirene, asterina-330 and palythine. Moreover, they confirmed the capability of the method developed to retrieve coeluted MAAs.

In summary, the untargeted screening approach permitted to flag successfully 23 novel candidate-MAAs in Gymnogongrus devoniensis in addition to the 14 known ones. Besides, these findings corroborated the hypothesis that this red alga contained a high diversity in MAAs characteristic of algae belonging to the Bangiales order [21].

3.4. Method Validation by Targeted Analysis

To validate the developed untargeted screening method, a targeted analysis based on a Top3 MS² acquisition was carried out on the model algal extract Gymnogongrus devoniensis. The workflow designed for the targeted screening of MAAs illustrated in Figure S1.

All the detected compounds were searched for in the in-house database of over 41 reported MAAs. At the outcome of the targeted screening analysis, 14 compounds were detected and identified on Table 4.

All the exact masses were detected with 3 ppm mass accuracy. The FISh score showed at least 50% of experimental fragment ions detected in spectra of 9 MAAs matched with those found in silico. The stepped collision-energy mode was applied to collect and compile mass spectral data both in HCD30 and HCD70 permitting thus the detection of the set of eight fragment ions, neutral and radical losses chosen in untargeted analysis. Fragmentation at HCD30 allowed the production of intense fragment ions showing the first fragmentation steps of MAAs involving demethylation, decarboxylation and dehydration (Table 4, See also Figure S5). Only the mycosporine-glycine did not display the fragment ions m/z 137.0709 and m/z 149.0711 confirming its affiliation to the oxo-MAAs. Similarly, the fragmentation permitted to make the distinction between the palythine-glutamic acid newly characterized by Orfanoudaki et al. [16] and its oxo-isomer mycosporine-glutamine in the algal sample. Hence, the choice of the fragment ion set to mine candidate-MAAs allowed a satisfactory coverage of their structural diversity.

In conclusion, the targeted analysis of Gymnogongrus devoniensis permitted to validate the developed untargeted ddMS²/MS³ analysis and confirm its efficiency to cover the MAA present in a sample.
Table 4. Targeted analysis of the model algal sample Gymnogongrus devoniensis. FISh coverage indicates the percentage of experimental fragment ions matching with those obtained in silico fragmentation. The number of fragment ions included in the set used in untargeted analysis of MAAs is also referred. * Please note that mycosporine-glycine was the only compound for which the fragment ions m/z 137.0709 and m/z 149.0711 were not detected in ddMS² spectrum.

| MAAs                          | [M+H]⁺ (m/z) | Retention Time (min) | FISh Coverage (%) | Number of Characteristic Fragment Ions of MAAs (8) | Neutral and Radical Losses |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Palythine                     | 245.1123    | 11.14                | 42                | 8                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O             |
| Mycosporine-glycine *         | 246.0971    | 8.56                 | 69                | 5                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O             |
| Aplysiapalythine B            | 273.1436    | 9.01                 | 52                | 8                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O             |
| Palythine-serine              | 275.1234    | 11.54                | 67                | 5                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O             |
| Palythene/Usujirene           | 285.1440    | 7.81                 | 48                | 8                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O             |
| Asterina-330                  | 289.1389    | 11.03                | 59                | 8                                               | CH₂O; CH₃H₄O              |
| Mycosporine-2-glycine         | 303.1185    | 10.15                | 50                | 8                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O             |
| Aplysiapalythine A            | 303.1542    | 9.72                 | 38                | 4                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O             |
| Palythinol                    | 303.1548    | 10.20                | 57                | 8                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O             |
| Mycosporine-methyl amine threonine | 303.1549   | 8.48                 | 64                | 8                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O             |
| Palythine-glutamic acid       | 317.1338    | 9.86                 | 45                | 8                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O             |
| Shinorine                     | 333.1286    | 10.52                | 48                | 8                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O; CH₂O; CH₃H₄O |
| Mycosporine-glycine-valine    | 345.1653    | 9.03                 | 63                | 7                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O             |
| Porphyra-334                  | 347.1444    | 10.14                | 67                | 8                                               | CH₂; CO₂; H₂O; C₂H₄O; C₃H₆O |

3.5. Discovery of Novel MAAs

Fractions resulted from the HILIC fractionation of the extract of Gymnogongrus devoniensis were collected on-line in order to elucidate, or confirm by multistage fragmentation, the structures of seven candidate-MAAs detected in untargeted analysis.

As it is shown in Table 5, the study of the ion precursors and their most intense fragment ions in multistage fragmentation permitted to complete the fragmentation data obtained in untargeted analysis.
Table 5. Structural elucidation of novel MAAs. Fragment ions detected in MS², MS³ and MS⁴ fragmentation were reported for the seven masses annotated from A–G.

| Compound | [M+H]⁺ (m/z) | Formula [M+H]⁺ | MS² | MS³ | MS⁴ |
|----------|--------------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| A        | 301.1393     | C₁₃ H₂₁ O₆ N₂  | 286.1158 C₁₂ H₁₈ O₆ N₂ (−2.11 ppm) | 265.1186 C₁₃ H₁₇ O₄ N₂ (−1.52 ppm) | 257.1237 C₁₁ H₁₇ O₃ N₂ (−2.40 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 283.1279 C₁₃ H₁₉ O₆ N₂ (−3.13 ppm) | 239.1482 C₁₂ H₁₅ O₃ N₂ (−0.63 ppm) | 230.0897 C₉ H₁₄ O₃ N₂ (−3.94 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 257.1152 C₁₁ H₁₇ O₅ N₂ (−2.99 ppm) | 221.1377 C₁₂ H₁₇ O₂ N₂ (−0.29 ppm) | 227.1023 C₁₀ H₁₅ O₄ N₂ (−1.02 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 243.0974 C₁₀ H₁₅ O₅ N₂ (−3.4 ppm)  | 209.0917 C₁₀ H₁₃ O₃ N₂ (−0.98 ppm) | 199.1076 C₉ H₁₅ O₃ N₂ (−0.95 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 242.1260 C₁₁ H₁₈ O₄ N₂ (−2.67 ppm) | 197.0919 C₉ H₁₃ O₃ N₂ (−0.95 ppm) | 186.0996 C₈ H₁₄ O₃ N₂ (−0.89 ppm) |
| B        | 319.1495     | C₁₃ H₂₃ O₇ N₂  | 245.1132 C₁₀ H₁₇ O₆ N₂ (−2.60 ppm) | 316.1264 C₁₃ H₂₃ O₇ N₂ (−1.96 ppm) | 304.1265 C₁₂ H₁₉ O₇ N₂ (−1.88 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 275.1237 C₁₁ H₁₉ O₆ N₂ (−2.15 ppm) | 313.1393 C₁₄ H₂₁ O₆ N₂ (−0.71 ppm) | 301.1392 C₁₃ H₂₁ O₆ N₂ (−0.71 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 289.1394 C₁₂ H₂₁ O₆ N₂ (−2.46 ppm) | 295.1289 C₁₄ H₁₉ O₆ N₂ (−0.74 ppm) | 285.1289 C₁₃ H₁₉ O₆ N₂ (−0.74 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 272.1367 C₁₂ H₂₀ O₆ N₂ (−2.17 ppm) | 272.1367 C₁₂ H₂₀ O₆ N₂ (−2.17 ppm) | 264.1264 C₁₃ H₂₀ O₆ N₂ (−0.99 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 241.1182 C₁₁ H₁₇ O₅ N₂ (−0.64 ppm) | 241.1182 C₁₁ H₁₇ O₅ N₂ (−0.64 ppm) | 233.1087 C₁₀ H₁₅ O₅ N₂ (−0.89 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 228.1468 C₁₁ H₁₉ O₅ N₂ (−2.64 ppm) | 228.1468 C₁₁ H₁₉ O₅ N₂ (−2.64 ppm) | 220.1377 C₁₀ H₁₇ O₄ N₂ (−0.4 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 213.1234 C₁₀ H₁₅ O₄ N₂ (−1.73 ppm) | 213.1234 C₁₀ H₁₅ O₄ N₂ (−1.73 ppm) | 205.1149 C₉ H₁₃ O₄ N₂ (−0.4 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 197.1286 C₁₀ H₁₇ O₃ N₂ (−0.4 ppm)  | 197.1286 C₁₀ H₁₇ O₃ N₂ (−0.4 ppm)  | 190.1103 C₉ H₁₅ O₃ N₂ (−0.4 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 346.1361 C₁₄ H₂₂ O₈ N₂ (−4.35 ppm) | 346.1361 C₁₄ H₂₂ O₈ N₂ (−4.35 ppm) | 338.1277 C₁₃ H₂₁ O₈ N₂ (−0.27 ppm) |
| C        | 331.1499     | C₁₄ H₂₃ O₇ N₂  | 317.1346 C₁₃ H₂₁ O₇ N₂ (−0.27 ppm) | 315.1192 C₁₃ H₁₉ O₇ N₂ (0.01 ppm)  | 302.1472 C₁₃ H₂₂ O₆ N₂ (−1.97 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 317.1346 C₁₃ H₂₁ O₇ N₂ (−0.27 ppm) | 304.1265 C₁₂ H₂₀ O₇ N₂ (−0.99 ppm) | 295.1289 C₁₄ H₁₉ O₆ N₂ (−0.74 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 272.1367 C₁₂ H₂₀ O₆ N₂ (−2.17 ppm) | 264.1264 C₁₃ H₂₀ O₆ N₂ (−0.99 ppm) | 253.1182 C₁₂ H₁₈ O₆ N₂ (−0.74 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 241.1182 C₁₁ H₁₇ O₅ N₂ (−0.64 ppm) | 233.1087 C₁₀ H₁₅ O₅ N₂ (−0.89 ppm) | 220.1377 C₁₀ H₁₇ O₄ N₂ (−0.4 ppm)  |
|          |              |                | 213.1234 C₁₀ H₁₅ O₄ N₂ (−1.73 ppm) | 205.1149 C₉ H₁₃ O₄ N₂ (−0.4 ppm)   | 190.1103 C₉ H₁₅ O₃ N₂ (−0.4 ppm)  |
| D        | 361.1601     | C₁₅ H₂₅ O₈ N₂  | 317.1346 C₁₃ H₂₁ O₇ N₂ (−0.27 ppm) | 315.1192 C₁₃ H₁₉ O₇ N₂ (0.01 ppm)  | 302.1472 C₁₃ H₂₂ O₆ N₂ (−1.97 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 317.1346 C₁₃ H₂₁ O₇ N₂ (−0.27 ppm) | 304.1265 C₁₂ H₂₀ O₇ N₂ (−0.99 ppm) | 295.1289 C₁₄ H₁₉ O₆ N₂ (−0.74 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 272.1367 C₁₂ H₂₀ O₆ N₂ (−2.17 ppm) | 264.1264 C₁₃ H₂₀ O₆ N₂ (−0.99 ppm) | 253.1182 C₁₂ H₁₈ O₆ N₂ (−0.74 ppm) |
|          |              |                | 241.1182 C₁₁ H₁₇ O₅ N₂ (−0.64 ppm) | 233.1087 C₁₀ H₁₅ O₅ N₂ (−0.89 ppm) | 220.1377 C₁₀ H₁₇ O₄ N₂ (−0.4 ppm)  |
|          |              |                | 213.1234 C₁₀ H₁₅ O₄ N₂ (−1.73 ppm) | 205.1149 C₉ H₁₃ O₄ N₂ (−0.4 ppm)   | 190.1103 C₉ H₁₅ O₃ N₂ (−0.4 ppm)  |
Table 5. Cont.

| Compound | [M+H]^+ (m/z) | Formula [M+H]^+ | Fragment Ions (m/z) |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|
|          |               |                 | MS²                 | MS³                 | MS⁴                 |
| E        | 363.1398      | C_{14}H_{23}O_{3}N_{2} | 348.1166 C_{13}H_{20}O_{8}N_{2} (−0.8 ppm) | 309.1081 C_{14}H_{17}O_{8}N_{2} (−1.39 ppm) | 290.093 C_{11}H_{18}O_{8}N_{2} (−2.7 ppm) |
|          |               |                 | 345.1292 C_{14}H_{21}O_{8}N_{2} (−1.68 ppm) | 331.1648 C_{13}H_{19}O_{8}N_{2} S | 277.0484 C_{9}H_{13}O_{6}N_{2} S |
|          |               |                 | 330.1161 C_{13}H_{18}O_{8}N_{2} (−0.62 ppm) | 305.1586 C_{11}H_{17}O_{8}N_{2} S | 228.1101 C_{11}H_{20}O_{3}N_{2} S |
| F        | 367.1169      | C_{13}H_{23}O_{8}N_{2}S | 349.1851 C_{13}H_{21}O_{8}N_{2} S | 334.0822 C_{12}H_{18}O_{8}N_{2} S | 228.1104 C_{10}H_{14}O_{4}N_{2} S |
|          |               |                 | 323.1699 C_{12}H_{23}O_{6}N_{2} S | 331.1648 C_{13}H_{19}O_{8}N_{2} S | 210.0996 C_{10}H_{14}O_{3}N_{2} S |
|          |               |                 | 321.0742 C_{11}H_{21}O_{7}N_{2} S | 305.1586 C_{11}H_{17}O_{8}N_{2} S |  |
MAAs for which CID30 MS² mass spectral data were not sufficient for the structural elucidation were studied using MS³ and MS⁴ fragmentation, which completed the description of their fragmentation routes.

Three main fragmentation routes starting either with a methyl radical loss, decarboxylation or dehydration were observed in most mass spectral data of elucidated MAAs. As it was illustrated in Figure 6, the multistage fragmentation of the novel MAA m/z 411.1063 permitted to propose a putative structure by monitoring the fragment ions produced in two main fragmentation pathways.

The most intense fragment ion observed in the CID30 MS² spectrum of the candidate-MAA m/z 411.1063 was m/z 393.0958. This result supported the hypothesis that the radical elimination pathway, specific to the MAAs, could compete with secondary fragmentation routes. The priority choice of a given fragmentation pathway depends on the presence and the number of functional groups on their amino acids substituents such as hydroxyl or carboxylic groups [29]. The observation of the neutral loss SO₃ (79.95 Da) suggested for the first time the occurrence of sulfated MAAs. This neutral loss was also observed for the candidate-MAA m/z 367.1164. The multistage fragmentation pathways of six other MAAs were detailed in Figure S6a–f.

The multistage fragmentation allowed the attribution to MAAs of hypothetical structures which are given in Table 6.
Table 6. Proposed structures of seven candidate-MAAs detected in the model algae Gymnogongrus devoniensis. The following structures were selected with the greatest FISH scores by comparing experimental and in silico fragmentation.

| Compound A | Compound B |
|------------|------------|
| **Name** | 2-[[3Z]-5-hydroxy-3-[(1-hydroxyethyl)imino]-5-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methoxycyclohex-1-en-1-yl]amino]prop-2-enoic acid | 3-hydroxy-2-((3E)-5-hydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-3-[(hydroxymethyl)imino]-2-methoxycyclohex-1-en-1-yl]amino]butanoic acid |
| **Structure** | ![Structure](image1) | ![Structure](image2) |
| **Monoisotopic mass (Da)** | 300.1321 | 318.1425 |
| **FISH score (%)** | 62 | 53 |

| Compound C | Compound D |
|------------|------------|
| **Name** | 2-[(E)-3-[(1-carboxyethyl)amino]-5-hydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-yl]idene]amino]propanoic acid | 2-[[3(E)-3-[(1-carboxyethyl)imino]-5-hydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methoxycyclohex-1-en-1-yl]amino]-3-hydroxybutanoic acid |
| **Structure** | ![Structure](image3) | ![Structure](image4) |
| **Monoisotopic mass (Da)** | 330.1420 | 360.1522 |
| **FISH score (%)** | 59 | 54 |
| Compound A                                                                 | Compound B                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name 2-{(E)-[[carboxy(hydroxy)methyl]amino]-5-hydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-   | [(3E)-5-(hydroxymethyl)-3-[(2-hydroxypropyl)imino]-2-methoxy-5-(sulfinooxy) |
| 2-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-ylidene]amino}-3-hydroxybutanoic acid            | cyclohex-1-en-1-yl]amino}acetic acid                                       |
| Structure                                                                  | ![Structure](image1)                                                       |
| Monoisotopic mass (Da)                                                    | Monoisotopic mass (Da)                                                    |
| 362.1320                                                                  | 366.1096                                                                  |
| FISh score (%)                                                             | FISh score (%)                                                             |
| 52                                                                        | 60                                                                        |

| Compound G                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name 2-{(E)-[[carboxymethyl]amino]-5-hydroxy-2-methoxy-5-[(sulfinooxy)    |
| methyl]cyclohex-2-en-1-ylidene]amino}-3-hydroxybutanoic acid              |
| Structure                                                                  |
| ![Structure](image2)                                                       |
| Monoisotopic mass (Da)                                                    |
| 410.0995                                                                  |
| FISh score (%)                                                             |
| 54                                                                        |
In particular, the fragmentation data allowed the identification of the functional substituents. Indeed, the R-group could be predicted on the structural modifications of the most common amino acids found in algal MAAs including decarboxylation, dehydration, carbonyl reduction and substitutions. This approach permitted to propose the classification of the eight candidate-MAAs into the palythine family, and the threonine, serine and alanine subfamilies [9]. The limitation of this assignment is the impossibility of the identification of the isomers present. Hence, more than one likely structure could be assigned for candidate-MAAs mentioned in Table S1. The detection of unknown MAAs is based on the fact that they have very similar chemical structures and produce many identical fragment ions. Therefore, the precise structure assignment may not be straightforward. The structural differences can be very small and the set of MS^n fragment ions, as complete as it could be, may not be enough to propose unambiguous structures for all the MAAs detected, especially that many isomers occur. For instance, several structures can be proposed for their substituents on C1 and C3 carbons and imino and oxo-MAA isomers are common. To assure the highest quality of data interpretation, it is necessary to carry out a multistage fragmentation at different collision and energy modes to produce a larger set of fragment ions and distinct every isoform regarding the presence of different fragment ions. For every isomer, a FISh score was calculated to determine the highest percentage of fragment ions matching with those found in silico at a specific retention time. This permitted to suggest the most probable isomer. Concerning imino and oxo-MAA isomers, the latter can be easily distinguished by the detection of two characteristic fragment ions appearing specifically in imino-MAA MS^2 HCD70 spectrum.

Please note that because of the possible coelution of different candidate-MAAs, isolation and sufficient purification of them could not be achieved to confirm the structures by NMR.

Therefore, LC using chiral stationary phases have become an essential tool in the determination of enantiomeric composition in complex samples [31]. The identification of one to several chiral centers on amino acid substituents or their derivatives in MAA structure could help to locate specific functional groups in their lateral chains. Nonetheless, chiral chromatography favored normal-phase using solvents incompatible with ESI interface in LC-MS^n applications. Although the increasing interest in developing chiral columns more versatile, the solvent and pH extraction and mobile phases turned out to be limiting factors in the identification of stereoisomers because of the possible enantioselective ion suppression or enhancement in ESI-MS analysis [32]. Moreover, most of the current analytical studies conducted still consist of targeted screening of enantiomeric compounds [31]. Hence the requirement to optimize analytical procedures to improve the characterization of stereoisomers with a broad range of physico-chemical properties in untargeted LC-MS^n analysis [33].

3.6. Quantification

Quantification of MAAs in algal extracts has usually been performed according to their molar extinction coefficient in HPLC-DAD [13,18,20] or by HPLC-MS [14,29] analysis making use of their MS signal and matching it with standards. As it is shown in Figure 7, analysis of the model algal extract Gymnogongrus devoniensis by HPLC with DAD detection revealed five major peaks in the UV trace. These five peaks covered all the peaks observed in the XIC trace of the eight fragment ions for which retention times corresponded to retention times of MAAs detected in untargeted screening analysis. These results indicate that the HPLC-DAD resolution is insufficient to quantify the individual species and the results reported by these methods may suffer from the contribution of other MAAs than those targeted by the authors [20,34]. HPLC-MS/MS would be specific enough for quantification but as the sensitivity is a function of ionization, authentic standards of quantified MAAs are required, and they are not available.

It has to be emphasized that except for porphyra-334, shinorine and palythine for which calibration standards were available, and which were quantified by the method of standard additions, the results in Table 7 are purely estimative, based solely on the hypothesis that the electrospray MS response factor would be similar (within 10%) for all the MAAs, as it was demonstrated for the three MAAs for which the standards were available.
Figure 7. Screening of MAAs in the model alga Gymnogongrus devoniensis. UV Trace of MAAs recorded at (300–360) nm (a). XIC Trace MS² of the set of eight fragment ions by ddMS²/MS³ untargeted analysis (b). All the peaks observed in the XIC trace MS² indicate all the retention times for which MAAs were detected in the extract.

Table 7. Semi-quantitative analysis of MAAs detected in Gymnogongrus devoniensis. Amounts of MAA was estimated with an error of 10% regarding the signal intensities observed between the purified MAAs porphyra-334, shinorine and palythine injected at the same concentrations.

| MAAs                  | Monoisotopic Mass (Da) | Area Max (10⁵) | Amounts (µg·g⁻¹ DW) | Error (µg·g⁻¹ DW) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Porphyra-334          | 346.1372               | 693            | 2100                | 0                 |
| Shinorine             | 332.1214               | 85.2           | 430                 | 0                 |
| Palythine             | 244.1051               | 122            | 1530                | 0                 |
| Compound E            | 362.1320               | 1.3            | 4                   | 0.40              |
| Aplysiapalythine-B    | 272.1367               | 76.5           | 243                 | 24.3              |
| Palythinol            | 302.1475               | 11.3           | 35.8                | 3.6               |
| Mycosporine-2-glycine | 302.1112               | 3.6            | 11.3                | 1.1               |
| Mycosporine methyl-amine threonine | 302.1475 | 2.4 | 7.7 | 0.8 |
| Asterina-330          | 288.1316               | 187            | 593                 | 59.3              |
| Palythene/Usujirene   | 284.1368               | 157            | 434                 | 43.4              |
| Compound B            | 318.1425               | 1.6            | 5.2                 | 0.5               |
| Compound D            | 360.1522               | 0.28           | 0.9                 | 0.1               |
| Mycosporine-glycine-valine | 344.1579 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 0.4 |
|Compound C            | 330.1420               | 1              | 3.4                 | 0.3               |
| Palythine glutamic-acid | 316.1266   | 6.3           | 19.8                | 2                 |
| Compound F            | 366.1092               | 0.5            | 1.5                 | 0.2               |
| Palythine-serine      | 274.1158               | 0.5            | 1.5                 | 0.2               |
| Compound G            | 410.0990               | 0.5            | 1.6                 | 0.2               |
| Compound A            | 300.1320               | 2.7            | 8.4                 | 0.8               |
| Aplysiapalythine-A    | 302.1475               | 2              | 6.6                 | 0.7               |
| Mycosporine-glycine   | 245.0899               | 0.9            | 2.9                 | 0.3               |

Interestingly, porphyra-334 and palythine were the most abundant in the model algae Gymnogongrus devoniensis as previously reported for algal species belonging to the Bangiales order [35]. Please note that an isolation process of shinorine was developed from this red algal specie [20].

4. Applications

The method developed was applied on three red algae (Gelidium sesquipedale, Halopithys incurva and Porphyra rosengurtii) and one brown alga (Cystoseira tamariscifolia) allowing the detection of tens MAAs reported in the literature, three MAAs detected and characterized here in the model alga Gymnogongrus devoniensis and four more novel candidate-MAAs reported on Table 8.
Table 8. Candidate-MAAs detected in four different algal species using the Fragment Ion Search (FISh) in ddMS²/MS3 Untargeted Analysis and validated by subsequent Targeted Analysis.

| MAAs                     | Formula          | MW (Da)   | [M+H]⁺ (m/z) | Retention Time (min) | Number of Fragment Ions (8) | Cystoseira tamariscifolia | Porphyra rosengartii | Gelidium sesquipedale | Halopithecus incurvus |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Palythine                | C₁₀H₁₆O₅N₂       | 244.1051  | 245.1124     | 11.16                | 8                           | 8                         | 6                    |                       |                       |
| Unknown                  | C₁₂H₂₄O₅N₂       | 244.1786  | 245.1858     | 11.30                | 8                           | 8                         | 6                    |                       |                       |
| Mycosporine-glycine      | C₁₀H₁₃O₅N       | 245.0891  | 246.0963     | 8.56                 |                             | 5                         |                      |                       |                       |
| Unknown                  | C₁₀H₁₇O₅N       | 247.1051  | 248.1124     | 11.04                | 6                           | 5                         |                      |                       |                       |
| Aplysiapalythine-B       | C₁₂H₁₈O₅N₂      | 272.1364  | 273.1437     | 9.01                 |                             | 5                         |                      |                       |                       |
| Palythine-serine         | C₁₁H₁₈O₅N₂      | 274.1157  | 275.1230     | 11.54                | 8                           | 5                         |                      |                       |                       |
| Palytheine/Usujirene     | C₁₃H₁₈O₅N₂      | 284.1369  | 285.1438     | 7.82                 |                             | 8                         |                      |                       |                       |
| Unknown                  | C₁₂H₁₈O₅N₂      | 286.1163  | 287.1236     | 10.54                | 7                           | 7                         |                      |                       |                       |
| Asterina-330             | C₁₂H₂₀O₅N₂      | 288.1315  | 289.1387     | 11.05                |                             | 7                         |                      |                       |                       |
| Palythine-threonine      | C₁₂H₂₀O₅N₂      | 288.1321  | 289.1394     | 10.48                |                             | 7                         |                      |                       |                       |
| Palythineol              | C₁₃H₂₁O₅N       | 302.1471  | 303.1544     | 10.20                |                             |                           |                      |                       |                       |
| Palythine glutamic-acid  | C₁₃H₂₀O₇N²      | 316.1266  | 317.1341     | 9.87                 |                             | 7                         |                      |                       |                       |
| Compound B               | C₁₃H₂₁O₇N²      | 318.1424  | 319.1492     | 10.24                | 6                           |                           |                      |                       |                       |
| Shinorine                | C₁₃H₂₁O₇N       | 332.1214  | 333.1286     | 10.53                |                             | 8                         | 8                    |                       |                       |
| Porphyra-334             | C₁₄H₂₂O₈N₂      | 346.1371  | 347.1447     | 10.16                |                             | 7                         |                      |                       |                       |
| Unknown                  | C₁₄H₂₂O₈N₂      | 346.1370  | 347.1437     | 9.48                 |                             | 5                         |                      |                       |                       |
| Compound F               | C₁₃H₂₁O₇N₂S     | 366.1088  | 367.1161     | 11.03                |                             | 5                         |                      |                       |                       |
| Compound G               | C₁₄H₂₀O₈N₂S     | 410.0991  | 411.1064     | 10.53                |                             | 8                         |                      |                       |                       |

MAAs in bold letters correspond to those found in prior Targeted Analysis. MAAs identified in prior analysis of the model algal extract Gymnogongrus devoniensis were emphasized in bold letters.
At least seven putative MAAs were detected in every red algal species. This was in contrast with the brown alga *Cystoseira tamariscifolia* in which only three MAAs were found, which confirms the specificity of the MAA synthesis in Rhodophyta taxa [34]. Notably, the 284.1369 Da mass was detected at different retention times (7.8–9.3min) in the alga *Halopithys incurva* suggesting the presence of both palythene and usujirene. However, their discrimination using tandem mass spectrometry or DAD detection remains limited due to their very close structures and molar extinction coefficients.

5. Conclusions

A set of eight fragment ions after data-dependent MS²/MS³ acquisition are a reliable bases for untargeted screening of mycosporines and MAAs in algal extracts. The developed HRAM-ESI-MS² method permitted to discover unreported compounds and widen the MAA profiling of five algae regardless of their UV-absorbing properties without referring to existing databases or standards. Additional multistage fragmentation after fraction collection of algal extracts allowed the characterization of seven unreported MAAs without requiring their purification. The study reaches the limits in terms of the assignment of isomeric MAAs structures by the state-of-the-art MS technology. One of the upcoming challenges beyond this state-of-the-art is the identification of MAA stereoisomers. Indeed, MAAs have some (1-3) chiral carbons and may occur as many stereo-isomers with specific properties. The method responds to the increasing interest in the attribution to an algal MAA-profile for commercial health and cosmetic formula and bio-inspired materials.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2076-3921/9/12/1185/s1, Figure S1. (a) MS method developed for targeted screening approach of MAAs. (b) Flowchart of the Targeted Screening Approach of MAAs using an Orbitrap MS based on the Top3 MS² analysis. Figure S2 (a,b,c,d). XIC of MAAs (3ppm) in *Porphyra rosengurtii, Gelidium sesquipedale, Halopithys incurva* and *Cystoseira tamariscifolia* separated on the Zic-cHilic column. Figure S3. Fragmentation pathways of palythene (a) and porphyra-334 (b) obtained from their CID30 MS² spectrum. Figure S4. CID30 MS² spectrum of m/z 301.1392. Characteristic neutral and radical losses were annotated between the fragment ions affected on the spectrum. Figure S5. MS² spectrum of asterina-330 (m/z 289.1394) obtained in targeted screening analysis with a stepped collision energy at HCD50 +/− 20. Figure S6 (a–f). Structural elucidation of six candidate-MAAs using ddMS² spectra obtained in untargeted analysis and their multistage fragmentation after fraction collection. Table S1. Multiple structural predictions of three candidate-MAAs among the set of seven candidate-MAAs elucidated.
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