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Abstract—In this paper, we propose a method to accurately estimate the position of the vehicle by millimeter wave radar (MWR). In recent years, many techniques of autonomous driving have been developed actively. In order to realize a safety autonomous driving system, MWR plays an important role for its inherent robustness against external circumstances. However, MWR has low spatial resolution. To achieve highly accurate estimation, we propose a model-based matching approach to the point cloud observed from MWR. Simulation experiments show that accurate estimation of the position of a moving vehicle can be obtained.

Index Terms—Autonomous driving, object detection, Millimeter Wave Radar (MWR), point cloud, least-squares method.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, many techniques of Advanced Driving Assistance System (ADAS) have been developed actively. Examples of these are Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC), Parking Aid, and so on. For these techniques, various types of sensors are used, which are camera, LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging), millimeter wave radar (MWR), and so on. The mainstream of vehicle detection is based on image processing using a camera. Although the camera is easy to deploy, the image-based method provides low accuracy to measure the distance to the target vehicle and it is seriously affected by external factors such as light source and weather. LiDAR has been also used in recent years due to its high resolution. However, the detection performance for long distance measurement by LiDAR is insufficient, and it is susceptible to external factors in the same way as the camera. On the other hand, MWR can measure the distance to the target object with high accuracy, and it is robust to external factors. However, MWR possesses the disadvantage of low spatial resolution. Owing to that, it is difficult to accurately estimate the shape and position of the target object. For these reasons, the sensors mentioned above are often used in a combined form. Actually, MWR often assists the camera and LiDAR. However, in order to improve the overall sensing performance, it is necessary to improve the performance of each sensor.

Radar is a sensor that measures the distance and angle to the target object using the radio wave reflected from the target object. The reflection strength which represents the power of the radio wave reflected from the object can be obtained and utilized in radar systems. The point cloud is obtained from the radar points extracted by threshold processing. This is because the radar points reflected from the target object have high reflection strength. This feature is utilized for object detection. MWR which uses the millimeter wave radio can be, especially, used as a car-equipped radar for detecting obstacles. MWR is a small device but has detection ability of long-distance measurement. On the other hand, the spatial resolution of MWR is lower due to the wavelength. In fact, the number of radar points that can be utilized for object detection is extremely small, and thus it is very difficult to estimate the shape and position of the object. This is a different feature when compared to LiDAR. Therefore, signal processing to improve the low spatial resolution of MWR has been tried as front-end processing [1]-[3].

However, accurate estimation of the object position by improving only the spatial resolution of MWR may be difficult. This is because the point cloud obtained by MWR often includes outliers due to multipath and clutter phenomena. The point cloud obtained by LiDAR is sufficient with high resolution, and object recognition is often conducted by converting the point cloud data to depth map data [4]. The point cloud expressing the target object in LiDAR is of high density. Hence, it is possible to eliminate outliers in advance by pre-processing. On the other hand, the point cloud obtained by MWR is insufficient, and denoising is difficult. This is because it is difficult for MWR to distinguish the outliers. Therefore, a matching processing technique considering the outliers would be required.

In this paper, we propose a method to accurately estimate the position of the vehicle even in the above conditions. We utilize a model which has reference points (denoted as evaluation point in this paper). These points are used to evaluate a distance between the model and point cloud. We accomplish robust estimation for the outliers by introducing a new projection function and by embedding constraint conditions. In simulation experiments, position estimation is set out in a situation where a single vehicle is the target object. The estimation error is evaluated and discussed.

After this Introduction, Section 2 explains the model of the observation data of the vehicle, and Section 3 describes the related work for the object detection and point cloud fitting. Section 4 derives the proposed method and Section 5 shows simulation experiments. In Section 6, we conclude this paper.
II. VEHICLE DATA MODELING

By radar, we can obtain three types of information; direction, distance, and reflection strength. The former two can be easily transformed into a 2-D coordinate. The reflection strength is affected by the material of the target object. It is easy to detect a metal object such as vehicles, while it is difficult to detect an object with less reflection, which is, for example, a pedestrian.

In this paper, referring to [5], a vehicle is recognized as a 2-D model with four corners and four wheel covers of the vehicle. The four corners and four wheel covers are considered as the reflection points of the radio wave. Fig. 1 shows the vehicle model used in this paper. The size of this vehicle is 1.8 m in width and 4.9 m in height. The wheel covers are set to a smaller size by 1.0 m from the front and rear of the vehicle. As shown in Fig. 1, the vehicle model has totally eight reflection points, which are illustrated as circles.

In MWR, the position of radar points is often scattered around the true one due to the existence of noise and due to the low spatial resolution of MWR. In this paper, we model the radar points as a spread that obeys the Gaussian distribution around the true reflection points. In addition, the point cloud obtained in real situation contains many outliers such as reflections from the ground, other obstacles, and so on. However, it is difficult for MWR to distinguish the target object from the outliers since the point cloud is poor. Therefore, it is not easy to remove the outliers by front-end processing. In simulation experiments to be followed, we assume that the outliers occur at random positions and cannot be removed by front-end processing.

In MWR-based position estimation, it is invalid to utilize such methods because the point cloud obtained by MWR is of low density and with outliers. Therefore, it is necessary to set the model of the object and then match the model with the point cloud because a small number of points represent the shape of the object.

For fitting between the point cloud and the model, a plane [8], [9] or a voxel [10] is designed as the model. These approaches are usually based on the principle of the least-squares method. However, the squared norm is affected by outliers, and a large estimation error occurs. When considering the model as the point cloud, ICP (Iterative Closest Point) [11], which is an algorithm for fitting two point-clouds, is often utilized. However, this algorithm leads a local optimal solution unless the two point-clouds are set to the appropriate initial position. Also, Generalized-ICP [12], which searches for the global solution, has been proposed. It would be difficult to match the model with the point cloud obtained by MWR due to the characteristics of ICP [13] that means those of weakness against ununiform samples and outliers. Therefore, it is not suitable to utilize ICP directly for MWR-based position estimation.

III. RELATED WORK

For LiDAR-based object detection, the detection process requires two steps; region proposal and classification [4]. In the region proposal step, multi-scale anchors are generated as candidates of the region occupied by the object. In the classification step, the type of the object is detected, and the detailed information such as size and orientation are estimated. Most of such state-of-the-art approaches utilize convolutional neural networks (CNN). In the method shown in [4], the point cloud is projected onto a depth map as input data. PointNet [6] utilizes the point cloud directly as the input, and VoxelNet [7] divides the point cloud into 3D voxels. However, for MWR-based object detection, it is invalid to utilize such methods because the point cloud obtained by MWR is of low density and with outliers. Therefore, it is necessary to set the model of the object and then match the model with the point cloud because a small number of points represent the shape of the object.

For fitting between the point cloud and the model, a plane [8], [9] or a voxel [10] is designed as the model. These approaches are usually based on the principle of the least-squares method. However, the squared norm is affected by outliers, and a large estimation error occurs. When considering the model as the point cloud, ICP (Iterative Closest Point) [11], which is an algorithm for fitting two point-clouds, is often utilized. However, this algorithm leads a local optimal solution unless the two point-clouds are set to the appropriate initial position. Also, Generalized-ICP [12], which searches for the global solution, has been proposed. It would be difficult to match the model with the point cloud obtained by MWR due to the characteristics of ICP [13] that means those of weakness against ununiform samples and outliers. Therefore, it is not suitable to utilize ICP directly for MWR-based position estimation.

IV. PROPOSED APPROACH

A. Matching with the Template Model

In MWR-based position estimation of the object, we should firstly design a template model to match the set of radar points reflected from the surface of the object. The template model is constructed from $M$ evaluation points ($M$ is a positive integer) for the simple calculation instead of a line object. The evaluation points are arranged so as to match to the reflection points on the vehicle model shown in Fig. 1.

The objective function to minimize is defined by the sum of the distance between each evaluation point of the template model and each radar point. Letting $T_i = (T_x^i, T_y^i)$ and $S_j = (S_x^j, S_y^j)$ be the $i$-th evaluation point and the $j$-th radar point, respectively. The objective function, $E$, can be described as follows:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} ||T_i - S_j||^2$$
\[ E = \sum_{i}^{M} \sum_{j}^{N} d_{ij} \]  
\[ d_{ij} = \| T_{i} - S_{j} \|_{2}^{2} \]  
\[ \theta_{i} = \tan^{-1} \frac{r_{y}^{(i)}}{r_{x}^{(i)}} \]  
\[ w_{i} = \cos \theta_{i} \]  
\[ \phi_{j} = \begin{cases} 1 & (r_{j} > \beta) \\ 0 & \text{(otherwise)} \end{cases} \]  
\[ f(x) = \begin{cases} x & (x < \alpha) \\ \log(x - \alpha + 1) + \alpha & \text{(otherwise)} \end{cases} \]  
\[ \Theta_{t} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i}^{M} T_{x}^{(i)} - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i}^{M} T_{y}^{(i)} \]  
\[ \text{minimize} \ \sum_{i}^{M} \sum_{j}^{N} \phi_{j} d_{ij} \]  
\[ \text{subject to} \ \| \Theta_{t} - \Theta_{t-1} \|_{2} < K \]
formula by use of Lagrange multiplier in inequality constraint:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{M} s_i \sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi_j \alpha_{ij} + \lambda \| \Theta_t - \Theta_{t-1} \|_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where \( \lambda \) is a positive constant. In this paper, we obtain the value of \( \Theta_t \) by raster scanning of the template in the search area. In order to ensure matching accuracy, the scanning resolution should be set to a small value. From this point of view, we set the scanning resolution to 0.2 m.

V. EXPERIMENTS

A. Parameter Tuning

In this section, we simulated a scene where one vehicle equipped with an MWR device (which is referred to as the ego vehicle) tracks a vehicle passing through in a right area of the ego vehicle. When we show the location of the ego vehicle (at the origin \((0,0)\) in the \(x\)-\(y\) coordinate, the moving vehicle is found in the front right direction of the ego vehicle. The moving vehicle is assumed to be the target vehicle. The search area for position estimation is, in this case, restricted to \(0 \text{ [m]} < x < 20 \text{ [m]} \) \(5 \text{ [m]} < y < 25 \text{ [m]} \). For our simulation, we assume that the ego vehicle goes forward at a speed of 0.2 m per observation time. The target vehicle passes through at a faster speed than that the ego vehicle. Under the above conditions, simulation data for 100 observation times are prepared and utilized for evaluating the performance of the proposed method.

As the evaluation metric, the error between the centroid of the estimated position and the true position of the target vehicle is utilized. The evaluation value is calculated by averaging the estimation error, which is considered according to the following three groups;

- Long distance \( (20 \text{ [m]} < \Theta_t^{(y)} < 25 \text{ [m]} ) \)
- Medium distance \( (10 \text{ [m]} < \Theta_t^{(y)} < 20 \text{ [m]} ) \)
- Short distance \( (5 \text{ [m]} < \Theta_t^{(y)} < 10 \text{ [m]} ) \)

where \( \Theta_t = (\Theta_t^{(x)}, \Theta_t^{(y)}) \) is the true position of the target vehicle.

![Fig. 5. Parameter tuning results with changing \( \alpha \).](image)

Fig. 5 shows the estimation error versus the constant value \( \alpha \). Improvement in estimation accuracy can be confirmed as the value \( \alpha \) decreases. From the results in Fig. 5, \( \alpha = 1 \) is used in subsequent evaluation experiment. Fig. 6 shows the estimation error versus the constant value \( \lambda \). Improvement in estimation accuracy can be confirmed as the value \( \lambda \) increases. From the results in Fig. 6, \( \lambda = 1.0 \) is used in subsequent evaluation experiment.

B. Evaluation Experiment

We tried to additionally implement two methods to confirm the performance of the proposed method. The first one is the least-squares method to minimize (6), which is referred to as “LSM”. The second one is the LSM using the projection shown in (9), which is referred to as “Projection”. Now the proposed method, which minimizes (13), is referred to as “Proposed”. The experimental conditions and evaluation metric are the same as those in the parameter tuning case.

| Method     | Long distance | Middle distance | Short distance |
|------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| LSM        | 2.31          | 0.72            | 0.41           |
| Projection | 1.10          | 0.33            | 0.27           |
| Proposed   | 0.40          | 0.25            | 0.23           |

![Fig. 7. Position estimation in long distance case (blue box: estimated position, green box: true position).](image)

![Fig. 8. Position estimation in medium distance case (blue box: estimated position, green box: true position).](image)

The results are summarized in Table I. Experiments show that the proposed method achieves improvements compared
with “LSM” and “Projection”. Especially for the long distance case, where the radar points are insufficient and affected by outliers, the proposed method achieves large improvements compared with the two methods. Fig. 7-Fig. 9 show states of actual estimation results by the proposed method in each group.

![Fig. 9. Position estimation in short distance case (blue box: estimated position, green box: true position).](image)

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, considering the characteristics of MWR, we have derived a new objective function to accurately estimate the position of the vehicle. Simulation experiments have shown that accurate estimation of the position can be obtained. In future, we will aim at further estimation improvement by developing a method to estimate the size of the object.
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