Structure analysis of an active fungal PRC2
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}
Crystal structure determination of an active polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) from a thermophilic fungus, \textit{Chaetomium thermophilum}, revealed some long-sought structural mechanisms for assembly, catalysis, and regulation of this important enzyme complex, responsible for trimethylation of histone H3K27 (H3K27me\textsubscript{3}) and silencing of developmentally regulated genes. In light of the crystal structures of the fungal PRC2 captured in the basal and H3K27me\textsubscript{3}-stimulated states as well as the structural analysis published previously,\textsuperscript{1} we examined surface conservation and electrostatic potential distribution to provide additional insights into functional similarity and divergence between the fungal and human PRC2 and for PRC2 binding by nucleic acids. Structure comparison indicated a conformational change of the catalytic SET domain within PRC2 during transition from the inactive to active state. This conserved structural mechanism is also used by another histone methyltransferase family associated with gene activation for enzyme regulation and may underlie the allosteric stimulation of PRC2 as well.

\textbf{Keywords}
facultative heterochromatin; histone; H3K27 trimethylation (H3K27me\textsubscript{3}); Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2)

\textbf{Introduction}
Histones package genomic DNA into nucleosomes and are considered as general repressors of transcription.\textsuperscript{2} Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), a major member of the polycomb-group (PcG) protein family mostly identified as gene repressors in \textit{Drosophila} originally, modifies histone tails and, together with other PcG proteins, promotes formation of developmentally regulated facultative heterochromatin to stably repress gene expression and confer a repressive epigenetic memory of cell identity.\textsuperscript{3} PRC2-mediated gene silencing also plays an important role in some fundamental cellular processes, such as imprinting, X-chromosome inactivation, and genome defense against transposable elements.\textsuperscript{4,5}

Specifically, PRC2 mediates histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me\textsubscript{3}), a hallmark of repressed chromatin. A canonical PRC2 contains 4 core subunits, Ezh2 (enhancer of zeste homolog 2), Eed (embryonic ectoderm development), Suz12 (suppressor of zeste 12 protein homolog), and Rbbp4 (retinoblastoma binding protein 4) (Fig 1A). Ezh2 is the catalytic subunit, harboring a catalytic SET [su(var)3–9, enhancer-of-zeste and trithorax] domain that belongs to a unique lysine methyltransferase family. The Ezh2, Eed, and Suz12 subunits of PRC2 are minimally required to catalyze H3K27 methylation.\textsuperscript{6}

Notably, the enzymatic activity of PRC2 is subjected to allosteric simulation by distinct cellular mechanisms, which act complementarily to establish functional H3K27me\textsubscript{3} domains in a locus- and cell type-specific manner. The end product of PRC2 catalysis, H3K27me\textsubscript{3}, binds to the Eed subunit and enhances the catalytic activity of PRC2.\textsuperscript{7} This positive feedback mechanism is believed to account for propagation of the repressive H3K27me\textsubscript{3} mark and spreading of the facultative heterochromatin.\textsuperscript{7} In addition, Jarid2 (jumonji/ARID domain-containing protein 2), an auxiliary subunit of PRC2, is methylated at lysine 116 by PRC2 and the product of this methylation reaction, Jarid2-K116me\textsubscript{3}, also contacts the Eed surface identical to that for H3K27me\textsubscript{3} binding to stimulate PRC2 catalysis. This mechanism is thought to promote H3K27 trimethylation at loci devoid of the
existing H3K27me3 mark and hence lack of the H3K27me3-mediated enzyme stimulation. Furthermore, a region of an unmodified N-terminal tail of histone H3 (residues 31–42) from neighboring nucleosomes in a dense chromatin environment interacts with the VEFS [Vrn2-Emf2-Fis2-Su(z)12] domain of Suz12 [Suz12(VEFS)] to stimulate the enzymatic activity of PRC2, which coincides with the observation that local chromatin compaction precedes H3K27 trimethylation during gene silencing in mouse embryonic stem cells.

Aberrant PRC2 function is frequently associated with cancer and developmental disorder, and in particular Ezh2 mutations were linked to hematological malignancies and Weaver syndrome. Many cellular functions of PRC2 are governed by its intrinsic enzymatic activity toward H3K27me3. However, mechanistic understanding of PRC2 catalysis has been impeded, at least in part, by the lack of structural information of this enzyme complex. We determined the crystal structures of an active fungal PRC2 of 170KDa containing Ezh2, Eed, and Suz12(VEFS) [Ezh2-Eed-Suz12(VEFS)] captured in both basal and H3K27me3-stimulated states (Fig. 1B and 1C). In this Extra View, we carry out in-depth structural analysis of the fungal PRC2 and relate the structural information to some key aspects of human PRC2 function and regulation.

**Conservation of PRC2 across species**

PRC2 and H3K27 methylation are not conserved in the model yeast organisms *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe*. However, both the repressive H3K27me3 mark and PRC2-like enzyme complexes were recently identified in other yeast species, such as the filamentous fungus *N. crassa* (*Neurospora crassa*) and the pathogenic budding yeast *C. neoformans* (*Cryptococcus neoformans*). While *N. crassa* encodes homologs of all 4 core subunits of human PRC2, Suz12 is
lost in *C. neoformans*. A Suz12 homolog is also absent in *C. elegans*, which together indicates a degree of compositional diversity behind the overall functional conservation. We identified PRC2 components in the genome of a thermophilic yeast, *C. thermophilum* (*Chaetomium thermophilum*), and showed that its encoded fungal Ezh2, Eed, and Suz12(VEFS) form a stable PRC2-like enzyme complex. We further found that, similar to its human PRC2 counterpart, this ternary complex carries out H3K27 trimethylation and is subjected to the H3K27me3-mediated allosteric enzyme stimulation, and hence serves as a suitable model for structural study of the conserved function and regulation of PRC2. In particular, this fungal PRC2 displays an overall structural similarity to its counterpart in a human holo-PRC2, based on a negative stain EM map of the latter.

Ezh2 contains 10 structurally and functionally distinct regions and, from its N- to C-terminus, they are SBD (SANT1L-binding domain), EBD (Eed-binding domain), BAM (β-addition motif), SAL (SET activation loop), SRM (stimulation-responsive motif), SANT1L (SANT1-like), MCSS (motif connecting SANT1L and SANT2L), SANT2L (SANT2-like), CXC, and SET (Fig. 1A). The solvent-exposed surface of the catalytic SET domain and, to a lesser extent, the cysteine-rich CXC region of Ezh2, are conserved (Fig. 2A and 2B). Surfaces on Eed and Suz12(VEFS) that either interact with each other or account for Ezh2 binding are also conserved. In contrast, the SANT1L and SANT2L of Ezh2 represent the least conserved surfaces in the structure, implicating a functional divergence (Fig. 2A and 2B).

Notable electronegative potential was observed for surfaces corresponding to or contiguous with the binding sites for the H3 substrate and H3K27me3 stimulating peptide. Conceivably, these negatively charged surfaces function to capture the positively charged histone tails (Fig. 2C). Human and mouse PRC2 binds to RNAs including long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) and nascent RNAs promiscuously, a prominent feature thought to facilitate locus-specific recruitment of PRC2. Like for many other SET-containing histone methyltransferases, RNA binding markedly inhibits the enzymatic activity of PRC2. By examining both surface conservation and electrostatic potential distribution of the fungal PRC2 structure, we identified a conserved, positively charged concave surface formed by Suz12(VEFS) and the SANT2, CXC, and SET domain of Ezh2, which may mediate the observed enzyme inhibition by RNA binding (Fig. 2A–2D). Consistently, the single-stranded DNA and RNA binding surface on Drosophila E(z), a homolog of human Ezh2, was formerly mapped to its CXC domain by an in vitro pull-down assay. Our analysis purely based on the fungal PRC2 structure is unable to disclose the RNA binding surface specific to human PRC2, which is nonetheless intriguingly predicted to be located close to the conserved putative RNA binding surface on the fungal PRC2 (Fig. 2D). In addition, it may also be possible that these basic patches on the surface of PRC2 are involved in binding of nucleosomal DNA on a chromatin substrate.

**Structural features of PRC2 that confer catalysis**

The ten structural regions of Ezh2 do not all pack against each other and instead some of them are intimately associated with Eed and Suz12(VEFS), making PRC2 an obligate protein complex of a catalytically active Ezh2 (Fig. 1B and 1C). Indeed, extensive protein-protein interaction was observed among Eed, Suz12(VEFS) and individual domains of Ezh2 (Fig. 3A–3E). Mutations of some of the residues on the binding interface were previously found in human disease, implicating an impaired enzyme activity for these PRC2 mutants in cells.

The CXC-SET region of Ezh2 folds independently but is unable to catalyze the chemical reaction in part due to an autoinhibited SET conformation and an incomplete cofactor-binding pocket. By comparing the active fungal PRC2 and the inactive CXC-SET region of human Ezh2, we revealed 2 notable, possibly interconnected structural features that might explain the conversion between the inactive and active SET conformation. First, the autoinhibited SET conformation is relieved by structure movement of 2 subdomains of the SET, SET-I and post-SET. They move away from each other to open up the otherwise blocked substrate-binding groove and to form the cofactor binding pocket (Fig. 3F). Second, Ezh2 contains a split catalytic domain, where the SAL region from the N-terminal portion of Ezh2 is inserted onto the back of the SET at the C-terminus of Ezh2, not contributing to catalysis per se but bridging the SET-I region to Eed, Suz12 (VEFS), and some other Ezh2 domains at the periphery of the SET. This unique structural architecture of the
complex renders Eed and Suz12(VEFS) indispensable components for Ezh2 catalysis.

Such a structural rearrangement of the SET domain of Ezh2 from the autoinhibited to active conformation in PRC2 is reminiscent of activation of an isolated MLL1 (mixed lineage leukemia 1) histone methyltransferase by other members of COMPASS (complex of proteins associated with Set1), including Wdr5, Ash2l, Dpy30, and Rbbp5.23 MLL1/COMPASS mediates H3K4 trimethylation correlated with active transcription and belongs to the Trithorax group (TrxG) proteins, which functionally antagonizes PcG proteins during development. In a stark contrast to the case for the isolated Ezh2, the SET-I and post-SET subdomains of the MLL1 SET are located far away from each other to stabilize substrate binding, and the relative position of these 2 subdomains was thought to be readjusted in COMPASS to confer an activated enzyme (Fig. 3G).23,24 Indeed, a recent structural study on the isolated MLL1/3 SET domains and the MLL1/3–Rbbp5–Ash2l ternary complexes suggested that association of Rbbp5 and Ash2l activated the MLL1/3 SET by suppressing the dynamic motion of the SET-I subdomain.25 The 2 antagonistic histone methylation enzyme complexes central for transcriptional control, PRC2 and COMPASS, thus appear to utilize a similar mechanism to control methyltransferase activity within their respective core complexes. It is intriguing to speculate that such a structural mechanism involving conformational
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**Figure 2.** Structural analysis of the surface features of PRC2. (A and B) Front and back views of the structural conservation of the active fungal PRC2 in comparison to its human counterpart in surface representation. Surfaces are colored by ConSurf (http://consurf.tau.ac.il/).28 A complete structural model of PRC2 was obtained by adding the missing residues using Modele29 based on the PRC2 structure in the stimulated state. The new model was then used for conservation analysis. The scale bar indicates the conservation level of the residues on the surface. The individual domains in the complex are indicated by dotted black circles. The same model was used to generate Fig. 2C and 2D. (C and D) Front and back views of the electrostatic surface of the fungal PRC2 generated by Pymol using APBS calculation and colored by potential on solvent accessible surface.30 The scale bar (±5 kT/e) indicates the electrostatic potential on the surface. The predicted conserved RNA binding surface is highlighted by a red rectangular box. A putative human-specific RNA binding domain (RBD) is also illustrated by a dotted red line in Fig. 2D.
change of the SET-I subdomain may also serve as the basis, at least to some extent, for enzyme regulation by other auxiliary factors associated these 2 enzyme complexes.

**Figure 3.** Close-up views of the intermolecular interactions within PRC2 and structural comparison of Ezh2 and MLL histone methyltransferases. (A) Interaction between Ezh2(SANT1L) and Eed. Ezh2(SANT1L) interacts with a binding groove on Eed mainly through electrostatic interactions. Residues on the binding interface were selected with distances no larger than 4Å and shown as sticks. The same selection criterion and representation scheme were used below in Fig. 3B through Fig. 3E. (B) Interaction between Eed and Suz12(VEFS). Eed interacts with Suz12(VEFS) mainly through hydrophobic interactions. In particular, W584 from Suz12(VEFS) is inserted into a hydrophobic pocket formed by Y231 and Y232 from Eed. (C, D and E) Interactions between Ezh2(MCSS, SANT2L, and SET) and Suz12(VEFS). The latter interacts with and facilitates positioning of these 3 Ezh2 domains through extensive hydrophobic interactions, ranging from the helix bundle interaction [Ezh2(SANT2L)-Suz12(VEFS)] and the helix-to-loop interaction [Ezh2(MCSS)-Suz12(VEFS)] to the loop-to-strand interaction [Ezh2(SET)-Suz12(VEFS)]. (F) Structural comparison of the active fungal Ezh2(SET) and the inactive human Ezh2(SET) (from PDB:4MI0). The SET-I and post-SET subdomains of the fungal Ezh2(SET) are shown in magenta and orange, respectively, with the rest colored in blue. The human Ezh2(SET) shown in gray is aligned with the fungal Ezh2(SET) with an R.M.S.D of 1.7Å. Compared with the isolated inactive SET from human Ezh2, the SET-I and post-SET subdomains of the active SET from the fungal PRC2 structure are rotated to open up the histone H3 substrate binding groove and to form a complete SAM cofactor binding pocket. The H3 substrate shown in yellow was modeled in from the crystal structure of a plant H3K27 methyltransferase (PDB:4O30) by structural alignment. (G) Structural comparison of human MLL1(SET) (from PDB: 2W5Z) and human MLL4(SET) (from PDB:4Z4P). The same as in Fig. 3F, the SET-I and post-SET subdomains of the MLL4(SET) are shown in magenta and orange, respectively, with the rest colored in blue. The MLL1(SET) shown in gray is superimposed with the MLL4(SET) with an R.M.S.D of 1.4Å. Compared with the MLL1(SET), which displays weak catalytic activity in the absence of other COMPASS components, the SET-I and post-SET subdomains of the MLL4(SET), which retains a considerably higher activity in isolation, move toward each other to form a closed substrate binding groove to enhance catalysis.

**Structural basis of PRC2 enzyme stimulation**

Many PRC2-mediated cellular transactions including H3K27 methylation occur on chromatin. The exiting histone marks and in particular those on the histone
H3 tail exert profound impact on PRC2 catalysis. The solved crystal structures of an active fungal PRC2 in both H3K27me3-free and H3K27me3-bound states provide a structural mechanism for the allosteric stimulation of PRC2 by H3K27me3. H3K27me3 interacts concomitantly with both Eed, as previously reported, and the flexible SRM region of Ezh2 to juxtapose the latter to the SET-I subdomain of the SET. Interactions, mostly hydrophobic ones, are established to control conformation of the active site residues through the conserved salt bridge within the SET-I subdomain. At least 3 central aspects of PRC2 catalysis are potentially influenced by such a mechanism, including binding affinity of the substrate and cofactor, rate of catalysis, and methylation multiplicity (see below). PRC2 enzyme stimulation by Jarid2-K116me3 may use the same mechanism. The enzymatic activity of PRC2 is also stimulated by an unmodified H3 (residues 31-42) in a dense chromatin environment, for which the binding residues on Suz12(VEFS) are not conserved in the fungal PRC2 structure. It is nonetheless clear from the structural analysis that such an allosteric regulation may be achieved through the Suz12(VEFS)→Ezh2(SAL)→Ezh2(SET-I) pathway, distinct from the Ezh2(SRM)→Ezh2(SET-I) pathway used by H3K27me3 (Fig. 4A).

The lysine access channel lies between the SET-I and post-SET subdomains and an obvious consequence of the structural rearrangement of the SET-I subdomain is conformational change of the active site. For the successive methylation reaction catalyzed by Ezh2, a compromise must be reached for size of the active site to favor either a low or high methylation multiplicity. While robust monomethylation entails a tightly packed active site to facilitate lysine deprotonation or to stabilize substrate binding, dimethylation or trimethylation requires rotation of their respective methylated substrates that are slightly larger in size to realign the nitrogen lone pair to the methyl donor SAM. The fact that the active site of the wild type Ezh2 is evolved to disfavor H3K27 trimethylation in the basal state makes intricate regulation of the H3K27me3 patterns on chromatin possible. Accordingly, the structural mechanism discussed here involving conformational change of the active site may underlie many regulatory pathways for modulating H3K27 trimethylation. In line with this prediction, 3 activating mutations of Ezh2 that lead to H3K27 hypertrimethylation in lymphoid neoplasms, Y641F/N/H/C, A677G, and A687V, are all located at the active site of Ezh2 (Fig. 4B). Indeed, we suggested that the H3K27me3-mediated enzyme stimulation may employ a structural mechanism analogous to that used by the A677G cancer mutant of human Ezh2 to promote H3K27 trimethylation, since in both cases the size of the active site may be slightly expanded.

---

**Figure 4.** Structural analysis of the allosteric stimulation of PRC2. (A) Illustration of the 2 potential mechanistic pathways for the enzyme stimulation by H3K27me3 and Jarid2-K116me3 and by H3 (residues 31-42), respectively. Eed and Suz12(VEFS) are shown in surface representation. The SRM, SAL and SET domains of Ezh2 are shown in cartoon representation. The two dotted red arrows indicate the 2 distinct potential stimulation pathways, specifically Ezh2(SRM)→Ezh2(SET-I) for the H3K27me3 or Jarid2-K116me3-mediated enzyme stimulation and Suz12(VEFS)→Ezh2(SAL)→Ezh2(SET-I) for the H3 (residues 31-42)-mediated enzyme stimulation. As in Fig. 3G, the H3 substrate shown in yellow was modeled in by structural alignment. (B) Close-up view of the active site of the fungal Ezh2(SET). The conserved salt bridge within the SET-I subdomain is formed by residues R839 and D868 and their interaction is indicated by a dotted black line. The conserved active site residues of the fungal Ezh2 (Y826, A869 and I879) corresponding to the sites of gain-of-function disease mutations of human Ezh2, Y645F/N/H/C, A677G and A687V, are shown as sticks. The modeled residue H3K27 is also shown in sticks to indicate the location of the active site.
Finally, no specific structural mechanism is available for regulation of the post-SET subdomain, which may nonetheless be a hotspot for cellular control of PRC2 catalysis. First, the post-SET subdomain, exposed to the solvent and important for both substrate and cofactor binding, may serve as a docking site for other cellular factors for enzyme regulation. Second, the post-SET subdomain is subject to posttranslational modification such as serine phosphorylation, which may also result in either conformational change of itself or recruitment of other cellular factors for regulating PRC2 catalysis.

**Summary and perspective**

Crystal structure determination of the active fungal PRC2 enzyme complex shed light on some long-standing mysteries regarding PRC2 assembly, catalysis, and regulation. Analysis of the surface conservation and electrostatic potential distribution was informative for predicting functional similarity and divergence including RNA binding by the fungal and human PRC2. Ezh2 depends on Eed and Suz12(VFES) to adopt a split, catalytically active SET conformation for substrate and cofactor binding, likely by maintaining properly positioned SET-I and post-SET subdomains. The MLL family of methyltransferases uses a mechanistically similar strategy to achieve enzyme regulation by other non-catalytic core members of a holo COMPASS. In addition, we proposed that such a structural mechanism involving movement of the SET-I and post-SET subdomains toward an ultimate structural rearrangement of the active site residues may also underlie the allosteric stimulation of PRC2 by some different cellular pathways.

Some predicted conformational changes of the active site residues associated with enzyme regulation might be too dynamic or too subtle to be reliably assessed by the crystal structures at current resolution. In this regard, *in silico* simulation of PRC2 dynamics at different functional states may provide additional insights for the catalytic mechanism of H3K27 methylation and in particular for the control of methylation multiplicity. Furthermore, the 4-subunit PRC2 core complex as well as that bound to additional auxiliary cellular factors and nucleosomal substrates represent some of the most rewarding targets for future structural studies for understanding the mechanism of enzyme regulation by PRC2.
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