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Abstract
We prove that the heavy symmetric top (Lagrange, 1788) linearizes on a two-dimensional non-compact algebraic group – the generalized Jacobian of an elliptic curve with two points identified. This leads to a transparent description of its complex and real invariant level sets. We deduce, by making use of a Baker–Akhiezer function, simple explicit formulae for the general solution of the Lagrange top. At last we describe the two real structures of the Lagrange top and their relation with the focusing and the non-focusing non-linear Schrödinger equation.
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1 Introduction
The motion under gravity of a rigid body one of whose points is fixed is described by a Hamiltonian system on the cotangent bundle $T^*\text{SO}(3)$ of its configuration space $\text{SO}(3)$, coordinatized by Euler angles and their conjugate momenta. This system was first obtained by Lagrange around 1788 [17], the particular case of free rigid body motion being already known to Euler. After a first reduction, with respect to rotations about the
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vertical in space, this leads to the following two degrees of freedom Hamiltonian system on $T^*S^2$ obtained by Lagrange too \cite[p.232 and p.243]{17}.

\begin{equation}
\frac{dM}{dt} = M \times \Omega + \chi \times \Gamma, \quad \frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = \Gamma \times \Omega
\end{equation}

Here $M, \Omega$ and $\Gamma$ denote respectively the angular momentum, the angular velocity and the coordinates of the unit vector in the direction of gravity, all expressed in body–coordinates. The constant vector $\chi$ is the center of mass in body–coordinates multiplied by the mass of the body and the acceleration. We recall that $M = I \Omega$ where $I$ is the matrix of the inertia operator and we may suppose that $I = \text{diag}(I_1, I_2, I_3)$. The system (1) may be viewed as a two degrees of freedom Hamiltonian system on the manifold $\mathfrak{se}^*(3) \sim \mathfrak{se}(3)$ – the Lie algebra of the Euclidean group of three space $\text{SE}(3) = \text{SO}(3) \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Indeed, $\mathfrak{se}^*(3)$ with its usual Kostant–Kirillov–Poisson structure may be identified, via (a multiple of) the Killing form, with $\mathfrak{se}(3)$. This induces the following Lie–Poisson bracket on $\mathfrak{se}(3) \sim \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

\begin{align*}
\{M_1, M_2\} &= -M_3, \ldots, \{M_1, \Gamma_2\} = -\Gamma_3, \ldots, \{\Gamma_i, \Gamma_j\} = 0
\end{align*}

with coadjoint orbits

$$\mathcal{M}_a = \{ (M, \Gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^6 : \langle \Gamma, \Gamma \rangle = 1, \langle \Gamma, M \rangle = a \}.$$ 

and on each symplectic leaf (1) is Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function the energy of the body (see \cite{21})

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \langle \Omega, M \rangle - \langle \chi, \Gamma \rangle.$$

Due to the symmetry of the body there is an additional integral of motion

$$H_4 = \Omega_3.$$
which makes \( \mathfrak{2} \) Liouville integrable on the symplectic leaf

\[
\mathcal{M}_a = \left\{ (\Omega, \Gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^6 : \Gamma_1^2 + \Gamma_2^2 + \Gamma_3^2 = 1, \quad \Omega_1 \Gamma_1 + \Omega_2 \Gamma_2 + (1 + m) \Omega_3 \Gamma_3 = a \right\}.
\]

The Hamiltonian vector field generated by \( H_4 \) on \( \mathcal{M}_a \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\Omega}_1 &= \Omega_2 \\
\dot{\Omega}_2 &= -\Omega_1 \\
\dot{\Omega}_3 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

and it represents uniform rotations about the symmetry axis through the center of gravity and the fixed point in space.

The Lagrange top is one of the most classical examples of integrable systems and it appears in almost all papers on this subject. The explicit formulae for the position of the body in space \((\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3 \text{ in our case})\) were found by Jacobi \([13, \text{p.503–505}]\). In the last twenty years most of the integrable problems of the classical mechanics were revisited by making use of algebro–geometric techniques. From this point of view the Lagrange top takes a somewhat singular place – the results available are either incomplete, or inexact, or even wrong. Consider the complexified group of rotations \( \mathbb{C}^* \sim \mathbb{C}/2\pi i \mathbb{Z} \) defined by the flow of the vector field \( \mathfrak{3} \). It acts freely on the generic complex invariant level set

\[
T_h = \left\{ (\Omega, \Gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^6 : H_1(\Omega, \Gamma) = 1, \quad H_2(\Omega, \Gamma) = h_2, \quad H_3(\Omega, \Gamma) = h_3, \quad H_4(\Omega, \Gamma) = h_4 \right\}
\]

and it is classically known that the quotient manifold \( T_h/\mathbb{C}^* \) is an elliptic curve. The starting point of the present article is the observation that, generically, the algebraic manifold \( T_h \) is not isomorphic to a direct product of the curve \( T_h/\mathbb{C}^* \) and \( \mathbb{C}^* \) (although as a topological manifold it is). Let us explain first the algebraic structure of the invariant level set \( T_h \). If \( \Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \) is a rank three lattice

\[
\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} \left( \frac{2\pi i}{0} \right) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \left( \frac{0}{2\pi i} \right) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \left( \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} \right), \quad \text{Re}(\tau_1) < 0
\]

then \( \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda \) is a non–compact algebraic group and it can be considered as a (non–trivial) extension of the elliptic curve \( \mathbb{C}/\{2\pi i \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau_1 \mathbb{Z}\} \) by \( \mathbb{C}^* \sim \mathbb{C}/2\pi i \mathbb{Z} \).

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/2\pi i \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{C}/\{2\pi i \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau_1 \mathbb{Z}\} \rightarrow 0, \quad \phi(z_1, z_2) = z_1.
\]

We prove that, for generic \( h_i \), the complex invariant level set \( T_h \) of the Lagrange top is biholomorphic to (an affine part of) \( \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda \). The algebraic group \( \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda \) turns out to be the generalized Jacobian of an elliptic curve with two points identified. This curve, say \( C \), is the spectral curve of a Lax pair for the Lagrange top, found first by Adler and van Moerbeke \([24, 25, 26, 23]\) and its Jacobian \( \text{Jac}(C) = \mathbb{C}/\{2\pi i \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau_1 \mathbb{Z}\} \) is a curve found first by ... Lagrange. Further we prove that the flows \( \mathfrak{2}, \mathfrak{3} \) define translation invariant vector fields on \( \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda \) which means that our system is algebraically completely integrable.

Let us compare the above to the classical Lagrange linearization on an elliptic curve \( \mathfrak{17} \) (see also \( \mathfrak{4, 21} \)). It is well known that, due to the symmetry of the body, the system \( \mathfrak{3} \) is invariant under rotations about the symmetry axe. These rotations are given by the flow of \( \mathfrak{6} \) which commutes with the flow of the Lagrange top. Thus we have a well defined \( \mathbb{C}^* \) action on the complex invariant level set \( T_h \sim \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda \) and a well defined (factored) flow on \( T_h/\mathbb{C}^* \). Lagrange noted around 1788 that this factorization amounts to eliminate the variables \( \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \) so he obtained a single autonomous differential equation for the nutation \( \theta \), where \( \Gamma_3 = \cos \theta \) \([17, \text{p.254}]\) (nutation is the inclination of the symmetry axis of the body to the vertical). Finally it is seen from this equation that \( \Gamma_3(t) \) is, up to an addition and a multiplication by a constant, the Weierstrass elliptic function \( \wp(t) \). Thus Lagrange linearized the complex flow of the Lagrange top on an elliptic curve. This curve happens to be the Jacobian \( J(C) \) of the spectral curve \( C \) of Adler and van Moerbeke and is identified with \( \mathbb{C}/\{2\pi i \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau_1 \mathbb{Z}\} \) in \( \mathfrak{3} \). The kernel of the map \( \phi \) is just the circle action \( \mathbb{C}^* \sim \mathbb{C}/2\pi i \mathbb{Z} \) defined by \( \mathfrak{3} \), so the linear vector field \( \mathfrak{3} \) is projected under \( \phi \) onto the zero vector field on \( \text{Jac}(C) = \mathbb{C}/\{2\pi i \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau_1 \mathbb{Z}\} \).
To resume on a modern language, Lagrange's computation shows that the generic invariant level set $T_h$ of the Lagrange top is an extension of an elliptic curve $C \sim \text{Jac}(C)$ by $\mathbb{C}^*$ and the flow is projected on this curve into a well defined linear flow. This is, however, a very vague description of $T_h \sim \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$. Indeed, although the fibration

$\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda \xrightarrow{\psi} \text{Jac}(C) = \mathbb{C}/\{2\pi i \mathbb{Z} \oplus i \mathbb{Z}\}$

is topologically trivial, it is not algebraically trivial, and to know its type we need the parameter $\tau_2$ (defined in (4) in [23]. As the general solution of (3) lives on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ then, contrary to what is often affirmed, it can not be expressed in terms of elliptic functions and exponentials. It is even less true that “the flow of the Lagrange top lives on a complex 2-dimensional cylinder with generator the line $z = 0$” as claimed in [21, p.232].

The algebraic description of the Lagrange top is carried out in section 2 (Theorem 2.2). The Lax pair is used first in section 3 where we construct the corresponding Baker–Akhiezer function. This implies explicit formulae for the general solution of the Lagrange top which complete and simplify the classical formulae due to Jacobi [15, p.503–505] for $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$ and Klein and Sommerfeld [16, p.436] for the angular velocities (Theorem 3.6).

In section 4 we study reality conditions on the (complex) solutions. Besides the usual real structure of the Lagrange top given by complex conjugation there is a second natural real structure induced by the eigenvalue map of the corresponding Lax pair representation. It turns out that these two structures coincide on $\text{Jac}(C)$ but are different on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ (and hence on $T_h$). The corresponding real level sets are described in Theorem 4.2. This makes clear the relation between the real structure of the curve $C$, its Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)$ and the real level set $T_h^m$ (a question raised in [2] and [3, p.37]).

The results obtained in the present paper lead to the following unexpected observation: the real solutions of the Lagrange top corresponding to its two real structures provide one–gap solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (Proposition 5.1)

$(NLS^\pm) \quad u_{xx} = i u_t \pm 2 |u|^2 u .$

At last, for convenience of the reader, we give in the Appendix a brief account of some more or less well known results concerning the linearization of the Lagrange top on an elliptic curve.

Acknowledgments

Part of this work was done while the second author was visiting the University of Toulouse III in June 1994. He is grateful for its hospitality. We also acknowledge the interest of M. Audin, Yu. Fedorov, V.V. Kozlov and A. Reiman to the paper.

2 Algebraic Structure

Let $\tilde{C}$ be the affine curve $\{u^2 = f(\lambda)\}$ where $f$ is a degree four polynomial without double roots. We denote by $C$ the completed and normalized curve $\tilde{C}$. Thus $C$ is a compact Riemann surface, such that $C = \tilde{C} \cup \infty^+ \cup \infty^-$, where $\infty^\pm$ are two distinct “infinite” points on $C$. Consider the effective divisor $m = \infty^+ + \infty^-$ on $C$ and let $J_m(C)$ be the generalized Jacobian of the elliptic curve $C$ relative to $m$. Following [23] we shall call $m$ a modulus. We shall denote also $J(C; \infty^\pm) = J_m(C)$. Recall that the usual Jacobian

$J(C) = \text{Div}^0(C) / \sim$

is the additive group $\text{Div}^0(C)$ of degree zero divisors on $C$ modulo the equivalence relation $\sim$ . We have $D_1 \sim D_2$ if and only if there exists a meromorphic function $f$ on $C$ such that $(f) = D_1 - D_2$. Similarly the generalized Jacobian

$J(C; \infty^\pm) = \text{Div}^0(\tilde{C}) / \sim$

is the additive group $\text{Div}^0(\tilde{C})$ of degree zero divisors on $\tilde{C}$ modulo the equivalence relation $\sim$. We have $D_1 \sim D_2$ if and only if there exists a meromorphic function $f$ on $C$ such that $f(\infty^+) = f(\infty^-) = 1$ and
(f) = D_1 - D_2. The generalized Jacobian J(C; ∞±) is thus obtained as a C*-extension of the usual Jacobian J(C) (isomorphic to C). This means that there is an exact sequence of groups

\[ 0 \xrightarrow{exp} \mathbb{C}^* \to J(C; \infty^\pm) \xrightarrow{\phi} J(C) \to 0. \]

The map \( \phi \) is induced by the inclusion \( \tilde{C} \subset C \) and \( \psi(r) \in J(C; \infty^\pm), r \neq 0 \), is the divisor of any meromorphic function \( f \) on \( C \) satisfying \( f(\infty^+)/f(\infty^-) = r \) [10] p.55.

As an analytic manifold \( J(C; \infty^\pm) \) is

\[ \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda \sim H^0(C, \Omega^1(\infty^+ + \infty^-))^*/H_1(\tilde{C}, \mathbb{Z}) \]

where the lattice \( \Lambda \) is generated by the three vectors

\[ \Lambda_1 = \left( \frac{\int A_1 \frac{d\lambda}{\mu}}{\int A_1 \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{\mu}} \right), \quad \Lambda_2 = \left( \frac{\int A_2 \frac{d\lambda}{\mu}}{\int A_2 \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{\mu}} \right), \quad \Lambda_3 = \left( \frac{\int B_1 \frac{d\lambda}{\mu}}{\int B_1 \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{\mu}} \right) \]

and the cycles \( A_1, A_2, B_1 \) form a basis of the first homology group \( H_1(\tilde{C}, \mathbb{Z}) \) as on figure 2. It is seen that the period lattice \( \Lambda \) may be obtained by pinching a non–zero homology cycle of a genus two Riemann surface to a point \( \infty^\pm \) (figure 2). This is expressed by saying that \( J(C; \infty^\pm) \) is the Jacobian of the elliptic curve \( C \) with two points \( \infty^+ \) and \( \infty^- \) identified [10]. For a further use note also that

\[ \phi : J(C; \infty^\pm) \to J(C), \quad \phi : \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda \to \mathbb{C}/\phi(\Lambda) \]

is just the first projection \( \phi(z_1, z_2) = z_1 \), and as

\[ \phi(\Lambda_2) = \int_{A_2} \frac{d\lambda}{\mu} = 0 \]

then \( \phi(\Lambda) \) is generated by \( \phi(\Lambda_1) \) and \( \phi(\Lambda_3) \), and

\[ \text{Ker } \phi = \mathbb{C}/\left\{ \mathbb{Z} \int_{A_2} \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{\mu} \right\} \sim \mathbb{C}^*. \]

As an analytic manifold the usual Jacobian \( J(C) \) is

\[ \mathbb{C}/\phi(\Lambda) \sim H^0(C, \Omega^1)^*/H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}). \]

In contrast to the usual Jacobian \( J(C) \), the generalized Jacobian \( \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda \) is a non–compact algebraic group. For any \( p \in J(C) \) define also the divisor \( D_p = \phi^{-1}(p) \subset J(C; \infty^\pm) \).

An explicit embedding of a Zariski open subset of \( J(C; \infty^\pm) \) in \( \mathbb{C}^6 \) is constructed by the following classical construction due to Jacobi (see Mumford[13]). Let

\[ f(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + a_1 \lambda^3 + a_2 \lambda^2 + a_3 \lambda + a_4 \]

be a polynomial without double roots and define the polynomials

\[ U(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + u_1 \lambda + u_2, \quad V(\lambda) = v_1 \lambda + v_2, \quad W(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + w_1 \lambda + w_2. \]
Let $T_C$ be the set of Jacobi polynomials \([12]\) satisfying the relation
\[
(13) \quad f(\lambda) - V^2(\lambda) = U(\lambda)W(\lambda) .
\]

More explicitly, let us expand
\[
f - V^2 - UW = \sum_{i=0}^{3} b_i(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2) \lambda^i ,
\]
where
\[
b_3 = a_1 - u_1 - w_1 \quad b_2 = a_2 - u_2 - w_2 - u_1 w_1 - v_1^2 \quad b_1 = a_3 - u_1 w_2 - u_2 w_1 - 2v_1 v_2 \quad b_0 = a_4 - u_2 w_2 - v_2^2 .
\]

If we take $u_i, v_j, w_k$ as coordinates in $C^6$ then $T_C$ is just the zero locus $V(b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3)$ as a subset of $C^6$
\[
T_C = \{(u, v, w) \in C^6 : u_1 + w_1 = a_1 , \ u_2 + w_2 + u_1 w_1 + v_1^2 = a_2 , \ u_1 w_2 + u_2 w_1 + 2v_1 v_2 = a_3 , \ u_2 w_2 + v_2^2 = a_4 \}
\]

**Proposition 2.1** If $f(\lambda)$ is a polynomial without double roots then

1) $T_C$ is a smooth affine variety isomorphic to $J(C; \infty^\pm) \setminus D_p$ for some $p \in J(C)$

2) any translation invariant vector field on the generalized Jacobian $J(C; \infty^\pm)$ of the curve $C$ can be written (up to multiplication by a non-zero constant) in the following Lax pair form
\[
(14) \quad 2\sqrt{-1} \frac{d}{dt} A(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} A(\lambda), & \frac{A(a)}{\lambda - a} \end{bmatrix}
\]
where
\[
a \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } U, V, W \text{ are the Jacobi polynomials } [12].
\]
Equivalently, if $D = P_1 + P_2 \in \text{Div}^2(C)$, where $P_i = (\lambda_i, \mu_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, then (14) can be written as
\[
(16) \quad \frac{d\lambda_1}{\sqrt{f(\lambda_1)}} + \frac{d\lambda_2}{\sqrt{f(\lambda_2)}} = -\sqrt{-1} dt
\]
\[
\frac{\lambda_1 d\lambda_1}{\sqrt{f(\lambda_1)}} + \frac{\lambda_2 d\lambda_2}{\sqrt{f(\lambda_2)}} = -a \sqrt{-1} dt .
\]

**Remark.** Note that $a = \infty$ also makes a sense. The corresponding vector field is obtained by changing the time as $t \to t/a$ and letting $a \to \infty$. Thus (14) becomes
\[
(17) \quad 2\sqrt{-1} \frac{d}{dt} A(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} A(\lambda), & A_{\infty} \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{\infty} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

and (16)
\[
(18) \quad \frac{d\lambda_1}{\sqrt{f(\lambda_1)}} + \frac{d\lambda_1}{\sqrt{f(\lambda_1)}} = 0
\]
\[
\frac{\lambda_1 d\lambda_1}{\sqrt{f(\lambda_1)}} + \frac{\lambda_2 d\lambda_2}{\sqrt{f(\lambda_2)}} = -\sqrt{-1} dt .
\]

The proof of part i) of the above Proposition can be found in Previato [20] (see also Mumford [18]). It is also proved there that a translation invariant vector field $\frac{d}{dt}$ on the generalized Jacobian $J(C; \infty^\pm)$ which is induced by the tangent vector
\[
(19) \quad \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \lambda \bigg|_{\lambda = a} = \sqrt{f(a)}
\]
on $C$ via the Abel map $C \to J(C; \infty^\pm)$, can be written as

\begin{align}
\frac{d}{dc} U(\lambda) &= \frac{V(a)U(\lambda) - U(a)V(\lambda)}{\lambda - a} \\
\frac{d}{dc} W(\lambda) &= -\frac{V(a)W(\lambda) - W(a)V(\lambda)}{\lambda - a} \\
\frac{d}{dc} V(\lambda) &= \frac{U(a)W(\lambda) - W(a)U(\lambda)}{2(\lambda - a)}.
\end{align}

Our final remark is that the translation invariant vector fields (20), (21), and (22), which we denote further by $\frac{d}{dt}$, can be written in the following Lax pair form (suggested by Beauville [6, example 1.5])

$$-2 \frac{d}{dt} A(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} A(\lambda), & \frac{A(a)}{\lambda - a} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$A(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} V(\lambda) & U(\lambda) \\ W(\lambda) & -V(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

By (19) the direction of the constant tangent vector computed above is

$$\left(\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\sqrt{f(a)}}, \frac{a \hat{\lambda}}{\sqrt{f(a)}}\right) = (1, a)$$

which proves (14). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Next we apply Proposition 2.1 to the Lagrange top (2). Let $C_h$ be the curve $C$ as above, where

\begin{equation}
a_1 = 2(1 + m)h_4, \quad a_2 = 2h_3 + m(m + 1)h_4^2, \quad a_3 = -2h_2, \quad a_4 = h_1 = 1,
\end{equation}

so

\begin{equation}
\dot{C}_h = \left\{ \mu^2 = \lambda^2 + 2(1 + m)h_4\lambda^3 + (2h_3 + m(m + 1)h_4^2)\lambda^2 - 2h_2\lambda + 1 \right\}.
\end{equation}

Consider the complex invariant level set of the Lagrange top (2)

$$T_h = \left\{ (\Omega, \Gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^6 : H_1(\Omega, \Gamma) = 1, H_2(\Omega, \Gamma) = h_2, H_3(\Omega, \Gamma) = h_3, H_4(\Omega, \Gamma) = h_4 \right\}$$

and the associated “bifurcation set”

$$B = \left\{ h \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \text{discriminant} \left( f(\lambda) \right) = 0 \right\}.$$ 

It is a straightforward computation to check that the linear change of variables

\begin{align}
u_1 &= (1 + m)\Omega_3 - i\Omega_2 & u_2 &= -\Gamma_3 + i\Gamma_2 \\
w_1 &= (1 + m)\Omega_3 + i\Omega_2 & w_2 &= -\Gamma_3 - i\Gamma_2 \\
v_1 &= \Omega_1 & v_2 &= -\Gamma_1 & i = \sqrt{-1}
\end{align}

identifies $T_C$ and $T_h$. Further, as

$$\begin{bmatrix} A(\lambda), & \frac{A(a)}{\lambda - a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A(\lambda), & \frac{A(a) - A(\lambda)}{\lambda - a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A(\lambda), & \begin{pmatrix} -v_1 & -a - u_1 - \lambda \\ -a - w_1 - \lambda & v_1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

then the vector field (2) is obtained by substituting $a = -m\Omega_3$ in (14) and using the change of variables (23) (note that $\Omega_3$ is a constant of motion). Similarly the vector field (3) is obtained by substituting $a = \infty$ (see the remark after Proposition 2.1).

To summarize we proved the following
Theorem 2.2 If \( h \notin \mathcal{B} \) then

i) the complex invariant level set \( T_h \) of the Lagrange top is a smooth complex manifold biholomorphic to \( J(C_h; \infty^+) \setminus D_\infty \) where \( D_\infty = \phi^{-1}(p) \) for some \( p \in J(C_h) \) and \( J(C_h; \infty^+) \) is the generalized Jacobian of the elliptic curve \( C_h \) with two points at “infinity” identified.

ii) The Hamiltonian flows of the Lagrange top \((1-3)\) restricted to \( T_h \) induce linear flows on \( J(C_h; \infty^+) \).

The corresponding vector fields \((2)\) and \((3)\) have a Lax pair representation obtained from the Lax pair \((1)\) by substituting \( a = -m\Omega_3 \) and \( a = \infty \) respectively, and using the change of variables \((25)\).

According to the above theorem the Lagrange top is an algebraically completely integrable system in the sense of Mumford [18, p.353]. Clearly any linear flow on \( J(C_h; \infty^+) \) maps under \( \phi \) \((1)\) into a linear flow on the usual Jacobian \( J(C_h) \). This is expressed by the fact that the variable \( \Gamma_3 \) which describes the nutation of the body is an elliptic function in time. It was known to Lagrange [17] who deduced the differential equation satisfied by \( \Gamma_3 \). The real version of Theorem 2.2 will be explained in section 4.

To the end of this section we compare the Lax pair \((14)\) and the Lax pair for the Lagrange top obtained earlier by Adler and van Moerbeke [1]. Namely, if we identify the Lie algebras \((\mathbb{R}^3, \wedge)\) and \((\text{so}(3), [, , .])\) by the Lie algebras isomorphism

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x \\
  y \\
  z
\end{pmatrix}
\to
\begin{pmatrix}
  0 & -z & y \\
  z & 0 & -x \\
  -y & x & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

then \((1)\) can be written in the following equivalent Lax pair form \((1)\)

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \lambda^2 \chi + \lambda M - \Gamma \right) = \left[ \lambda^2 \chi + \lambda M - \Gamma, \chi + \Omega \right].
\]

where \( \Omega = (\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3), M = (\Omega_1, \Omega_2, (1 + m)\Omega_3), \Gamma = (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3), \chi = (0, 0, 1) \).

The Lax pair representation of \((1)\) is given by

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \lambda^2 \chi + \lambda M - \Gamma \right) = \left[ \lambda^2 \chi + \lambda M - \Gamma, \chi \right].
\]

Both Lax pairs \((20), (27)\) can be also written in the Beauville form

\[
\frac{d}{dt} A(\lambda) = \left[ A(\lambda), \frac{A(a)}{\lambda - a} \right]
\]

where \( A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 \chi + \lambda M - \Gamma \). Indeed,

\[
\left[ A(\lambda), \frac{A(a)}{\lambda - a} \right] = \left[ A(\lambda), \frac{A(a) - A(\lambda)}{\lambda - a} \right] = - \left[ A(\lambda), \lambda \chi + a \chi + M \right].
\]

Now \((20)\) is obtained by replacing as before \( a = -m\Omega_3 \), and \((27)\) is obtained by letting \( a \to \infty \).

Clearly the Lax pair \((14)\) from Proposition 2.1 and \((20), (28)\) are equivalent in the sense that they define one and the same vector field. We can identify them over \( \mathbb{C} \) by making use of the isomorphism of the Lie algebras \(\text{so}(3, \mathbb{C})\) and \(\text{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})\) given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  0 & -z & y \\
  z & 0 & -x \\
  -y & x & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\to
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix}
  \epsilon x & \epsilon z + \epsilon y \\
  \epsilon z - \epsilon y & -\epsilon x
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon = \exp \frac{\sqrt{-1}\pi}{4}.
\]

Note, however, the following difference. The spectral curve of \((20)\) is reducible

\[
\det \left( \lambda^2 \chi + \lambda M + \Gamma - \mu I \right) = -\mu \left( \mu^2 + f(\lambda) \right) = 0,
\]

\[
f(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + 2(1 + m)h_3 \lambda^3 + (2h_3 + m(m + 1)h_2^2) \lambda^2 - 2h_3 \lambda + 1,
\]

but the spectral curve of \((14)\) is not

\[
\det (A(\lambda) - \mu I) = \mu^2 - V^2 - UW = \mu^2 - f(\lambda) = 0.
\]
The last observation will be of some importance for the next section. Earlier Adler and van Moerbeke [1] p.351 proposed to linearize the Lagrange top on an elliptic curve by introducing first a small parameter \( \epsilon \) in the corresponding \( so(3) \) Lax pair. The new system has the advantage to have an irreducible genus four spectral curve \( \mathcal{C} \) which fits to the general theory, so we can just “take the limit” \( \epsilon \to 0 \). This computation reproduced in [21] and used in [22] is however erroneous.

By abuse of notation we call the curve \( \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_h = \{ \mu^2 + f(\lambda) = 0 \} \) with an antiholomorphic involution \( (\lambda, \mu) \to (\lambda, -\mu) \), the spectral curve of the Lax pair (26). The curve \( \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_h \) is real isomorphic to the curve \( \mathcal{C}_h = \{ \mu^2 = f(\lambda) \} \), equipped with an antiholomorphic involution \( (\lambda, \mu) \to (\lambda, -\mu) \), so without loss of generality we shall write \( \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_h = \mathcal{C}_h \).

3 Explicit Solutions

In this section we find explicit solutions for the Lagrange top (2). We compute first the Baker–Akhiezer function of the \( sl(2, \mathbb{C}) \) (or rather \( su(2) \)) Lax pair (14). This implies explicit formulae for the solutions of the Lagrange top in terms of exponentials and theta functions related to the spectral curve \( \mathcal{C}_h \) (see for example Dubrovin [8], E.D.Belokolos, A.I.Bobenko, V.Z.Enol’skii, A.R.Its, V.B.Matveev [5]). Then we note that the Jacobian \( J(\mathcal{C}_h) \) of \( \mathcal{C}_h \) is just the Lagrange elliptic curve used in the classical theory which provides explicit solutions in terms of exponentials and sigma function related to \( J(\mathcal{C}_h) \).

By performing an unitary operation on the matrix (13) we may put its leading term in diagonal form. Substituting \( a = -m\Omega_3 \) in (14) and using the change of the variables (25) we obtain the following Lax pair representation for the Lagrange top (2).

\[
\begin{align*}
A, B - 2i \frac{d}{dt} &= 2i \frac{dA}{dt} + [A, B] = 0, \quad \epsilon^2 = i, \quad i^2 = -1
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
A = A(t, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}(t, \lambda) & A_{12}(t, \lambda) \\ A_{21}(t, \lambda) & A_{22}(t, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{pmatrix} (1 + m)\Omega_3 \\ \epsilon \Omega_1(t) + \epsilon \Omega_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \lambda - \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_3 \\ \epsilon \Gamma_1(t) + \epsilon \Gamma_2(t) \end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
B = B(t, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_3 \\ \epsilon \Omega_1(t) + \epsilon \Omega_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \lambda - \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_3 \\ -\Omega_3 \end{pmatrix}
\]

The spectral curve of the above Lax representation is defined by

\[
f(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + 2(1 + m)h_4\lambda^3 + (2h_3 + m(m + 1)h_4^2)\lambda^2 - 2h_2\lambda + 1.
\]

We shall also denote by \( \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_h \) the Riemann surface of the compactified affine curve \( \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_h \). The reader may note the “similarity” between (29) and the Lax pair of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (for a rigorous statement see Proposition 6.1).

3.1 The Baker–Akhiezer function

Let us fix a solution \( A(t, \lambda) \) of (29) defined in a neighborhood of \( t = 0 \in \mathbb{C} \). We shall also suppose that the point \( P = (\lambda, \mu) \) is such that \((1, -1)\) is not an eigenvector of the matrix \( A(0, \lambda) \).

**Proposition 3.1** For any \( t \in \mathbb{C} \) in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin, there exists an unique eigenfunction

\[
\Psi = \Psi(t, P) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^1(t, P) \\ \Psi^2(t, P) \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = (\lambda, \mu) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}
\]
of \( A(t, \lambda) \) (called Baker–Akhiezer function) satisfying the conditions

(31) \[ 2i \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(t, P) = B(t, \lambda) \Psi(t, P) \]

(32) \[ A(t, \lambda) \Psi(t, P) = \mu \Psi(t, P) \]

and normalized by

(33) \[ \Psi^1(0, P) + \Psi^2(0, P) = 1. \]

In terms of the coefficients \( A_{ij}(t, \lambda) \) of the matrix \( A = (A_{ij}) \) we have

(34) \[ \Psi^1(0, P) = \frac{A_{12}(0, \lambda)}{A_{12}(0, \lambda) + \mu - A_{11}(0, \lambda)} = \frac{\mu - A_{22}(0, \lambda)}{A_{21}(0, \lambda) + \mu - A_{22}(0, \lambda)} \]

(35) \[ \Psi^2(0, P) = \frac{\mu - A_{11}(0, \lambda)}{A_{12}(0, \lambda) + \mu - A_{11}(0, \lambda)} = \frac{A_{21}(0, \lambda)}{A_{21}(0, \lambda) + \mu - A_{22}(0, \lambda)}. \]

**Proof.** Let \( \Phi(t, \lambda) \) be a fundamental matrix for the operator \( B(t, \lambda) - 2i \frac{d}{dt} \) normalized at \( t = 0 \). Then the general solution of (31) is written as

(36) \[ \Psi(t, P) = \Phi(t, \lambda) \Psi(0, P), \quad \Phi(0, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = (\lambda, \mu). \]

As \( A \) and \( B - 2i \frac{d}{dt} \) commute then

\[ \left( B(t, \lambda) - 2i \frac{d}{dt} \right) A(t, \lambda) \Phi(t, \lambda) = A(t, \lambda) \left( B(t, \lambda) - 2i \frac{d}{dt} \right) \Phi(t, \lambda) = 0 \]

and hence \( A(t, \lambda) \Phi(t, \lambda) = \Phi(t, \lambda) M(P) \) for some constant matrix \( M(P) \) computed by substituting \( t = 0 \). Thus \( M(P) = A(0, \lambda) \) and

\[ A(0, \lambda) = \Phi^{-1}(t, \lambda) A(t, \lambda) \Phi(t, \lambda). \]

The constants \( \Psi^1(0, P), \Psi^2(0, P) \) are uniquely defined by (32) and (33). Finally

\[ A(t, \lambda) \Psi(t, P) = \Phi(t, \lambda) A(0, \lambda) \Phi^{-1}(t, \lambda) \Phi(t, \lambda) \Psi(0, P) = \Phi(t, \lambda) \mu \Psi(0, P) = \mu \Psi(t, P). \]

The formulae (34), (35) follow from (32), (33). \( \square \)

Denote by \( \infty^+ \) (respectively \( \infty^- \)) the point on \( C_h - \tilde{C}_h \) such that in its neighborhood \( \mu/\lambda^2 \sim +1 \) (resp. \( -1 \)).

**Proposition 3.2** There exists \( t_0 > 0 \) such that for any fixed \( t \in \mathbb{C}, |t| < t_0 \), the Baker–Akhiezer vector–function \( \Psi(t, P) \) is meromorphic in \( P \) on the affine curve \( \tilde{C}_h \) and it has two poles at \( P_1, P_2 \in C_h \) which do not depend on \( t \). In a neighborhood of the two infinite points \( \infty^\pm \) on \( C_h \) we have

(37) \[ \Psi^1(t, P) = \begin{cases} (1 + O(\lambda^{-1})) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \Omega_3)t\right), & P \to \infty^+, \quad i = \sqrt{-1} \\ O(\lambda^{-1}) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \Omega_3)t\right), & P \to \infty^- \end{cases} \]

(38) \[ \Psi^2(t, P) = \begin{cases} O(\lambda^{-1}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \Omega_3)t\right), & P \to \infty^+ \\ (1 + O(\lambda^{-1})) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \Omega_3)t\right), & P \to \infty^- \end{cases} \]

Moreover, \( \Psi^1(t, P) \ (\Psi^2(t, P)) \) has exactly one zero on \( \tilde{C}_h \) and the refined asymptotic estimates of \( \Psi^1 \) at \( \infty^- \) and of \( \Psi^2 \) at \( \infty^+ \) read

(39) \[ \Psi^1(t, P) = \left[ \frac{\Omega_1(t) + \epsilon \Omega_2(t)}{2\lambda} + O(\lambda^{-2}) \right] \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \Omega_3)t\right), \quad P \to \infty^- \]

(40) \[ \Psi^2(t, P) = \left[ \frac{\epsilon \Omega_1(t) + \Omega_2(t)}{2\lambda} + O(\lambda^{-2}) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \Omega_3)t\right), \quad P \to \infty^+. \]
3 EXPLICIT SOLUTIONS

Proof. According to (22), \((\Psi^1, \Psi^2) \in \text{Ker}(A - \mu I)\) and hence

\[
(41) \quad \frac{\Psi^2(t, P)}{\Psi^1(t, P)} = \frac{\mu - \lambda^2 - (1 + m)\Omega_3\lambda + \Gamma_3(t)}{(\tau \Omega_2(t) + \epsilon \Omega_2(t) + \epsilon \Gamma_2(t))}.
\]

If \(P \to \infty^+\) then \(\mu - \lambda^2 - (1 + m)\Omega_3\lambda \sim O(1)\) and using (29), (31), (32) and (41) we compute

\[
2i \frac{d}{dt} \ln \Psi^1(t, P) = \lambda + \Omega_3 + (\tau \Omega_1(t) + \epsilon \Omega_2(t)) \frac{\Psi^2(t, P)}{\Psi^1(t, P)} = \lambda + \Omega_3 + O(\lambda^{-1})
\]

and hence

\[
\Psi^1(t, P) = (1 + O(\lambda^{-1})) \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}(\lambda + \Omega_3)t\right).
\]

In a similar way if \(P \to \infty^-\) we obtain

\[
\Psi^2(t, P) = (1 + O(\lambda^{-1})) \exp\left(+\frac{i}{2}(\lambda + \Omega_3)t\right).
\]

To compute the remaining asymptotic estimates we use that if \(P \to \infty^-\) then

\[
(42) \quad \frac{\Psi^1(t, P)}{\Psi^2(t, P)} = \frac{A_{12}(t, \lambda)}{\mu - A_{11}(t, \lambda)} = \frac{\tau \Omega_1(t) + \epsilon \Omega_2(t)}{2\lambda} + O(\lambda^{-2})
\]

and if \(P \to \infty^+\) then

\[
(43) \quad \frac{\Psi^2(t, P)}{\Psi^1(t, P)} = \frac{A_{21}(t, \lambda)}{\mu - A_{22}(t, \lambda)} = \frac{\epsilon \Omega_1(t) + \tau \Omega_2(t)}{2\lambda} + O(\lambda^{-2}).
\]

To find the poles of \(\Psi(t, P)\) in \(P\) we note that according to the proof of Proposition 3.1 (and with the same notations) we have

\[
(44) \quad \Psi(t, P) = \Phi(t, \lambda)\Psi(0, P), \quad \Phi(0, \lambda) = I_2.
\]

If \(|t|\) is sufficiently small, the fundamental matrix \(\Phi(t, \lambda)\) has no poles and \(\det \Phi(t, \lambda) \neq 0\). It follows that the poles of \(\Phi(t, \lambda)\) and \(\Phi(0, \lambda)\) coincide, and we can obtain them by solving the following quadratic equation

\[
\det A(0, \lambda) = (A_{11}(0, \lambda) - A_{12}(0, \lambda))^2 = \mu^2
\]

(see (29), (14)). One gets two time independent poles \(P_1, P_2 \in \hat{C}_h\) of \(\Psi(t, P)\).

At last the meromorphic one–form \(d\ln \Psi^1\) has a simple pole at \(\infty^-\) with residue +1 and is holomorphic in a neighborhood of \(\infty^+\). On the other hand \(\Psi^1(t, P)\) has exactly two poles on \(\hat{C}_h\) and hence it has one zero on \(\hat{C}_h\). The same arguments hold for \(\Psi^2(t, P)\).

Let \(A_1, A_2, B_1\) be a basis of \(H_1(\hat{C}_h, \mathbb{Z})\) as it is shown on figure 2 \((A_1 \circ B_1 = 1), \omega_1, \omega_2\) be a basis of \(H^0(C, \Omega^1(\infty^+ + \infty^-))\), normalized by the conditions

\[
\left(\int_{A_i} \omega_j\right)_{i,j = 1, 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\pi i & 0 \\ 0 & 2\pi i \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We shall also suppose that \(\omega_1\) is a holomorphic form on the elliptic curve \(C_h\). Define now the period matrix

\[
\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} 2\pi i & 0 & \tau_1 \\ 0 & 2\pi i & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}
\]

where

\[
\tau_1 = \int_{B_1} \omega_1, \quad \tau_2 = \int_{B_1} \omega_2, \quad \text{Re}(\tau_1) < 0.
\]

Recall that the generalized Jacobian \(J(C_h; \infty^\pm)\) of \(C_h\) relative to the modulus \(m = \infty^+ + \infty^-\) is identified with \(\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda\) where \(\Lambda\) is the lattice in \(\mathbb{C}^2\) generated by the columns of \(\Pi\). Let...
\[
\theta_{11}(z) = \theta_{11}(z \mid \tau_1) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \tau_1 (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (z + \pi \sqrt{-1})(n + \frac{1}{2}) \right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
\]

be the Jacobi theta function with characteristics \([\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]\),
\[
\theta_{11}(0) = 0, \quad \theta_{11}(z + 2\pi i) = -\theta_{11}(z), \quad \theta_{11}(z + \tau_1) = -\exp\left( -z - \frac{1}{2} \tau_1 \right) \theta_{11}(z).
\]

Denote by \(\Omega\) the unique Abelian differential of second kind on \(C_h\) with poles at \(\infty^{\pm}\), principal parts \(\pm \frac{i}{2} d\lambda\) where \(P = (\lambda, \mu), i = \sqrt{-1}\), and normalized by \(\int_{A_1} \Omega = 0\). Let \(P_0 \in C_h\) be a fixed initial point, \(c^\pm, U\) be the constants defined by

\[
\int_{P_0}^P \Omega = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{i}{2} \lambda + c^- + 0(\lambda^{-1}), & P \to \infty^+, \\
+\frac{i}{2} \lambda + c^+ + 0(\lambda^{-1}), & P \to \infty^-,
\end{cases} \quad \int_{B_1} \Omega = U.
\]

Define the Abel–Jacobi map

\[
\mathcal{A} : \text{Div}^0(C_h) \to J(C_h) : \sum P_i - \sum Q_i \mapsto \int_{\sum Q_i}^{\sum P_i} \omega_1.
\]

Here, and henceforth, we make the convention that the paths of integration between divisors are taken within \(C_h\) cut along its homology basis \(A_1, B_1\), which we assume that not contain points of these divisors.

**Proposition 3.3** The Baker–Akhiezer function is explicitly given by

\[
\Psi^1(t, P) = \text{const}_1 \exp\left[ t \left( \int_{P_0}^P \Omega - c^- - \frac{i}{2} \Omega_3 \right) \right] \frac{\theta_{11}(A(P + \infty^- - P_1 - P_2) + tU)}{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^+ + \infty^- - P_1 - P_2) + tU)}
\]

\[
\Psi^2(t, P) = \text{const}_2 \exp\left[ t \left( \int_{P_0}^P \Omega - c^+ + \frac{i}{2} \Omega_3 \right) \right] \frac{\theta_{11}(A(P + \infty^+ - P_1 - P_2) + tU)}{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^+ + \infty^- - P_1 - P_2) + tU)}
\]

where

\[
\text{const}_1 = \frac{\theta_{11}(A(P - \infty^-))}{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^+ - \infty^-))} \cdot \frac{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^+ - P_1))}{\theta_{11}(A(P - P_1))} \cdot \frac{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^+ - P_2))}{\theta_{11}(A(P - P_2))}
\]

\[
\text{const}_2 = \frac{\theta_{11}(A(P - \infty^+))}{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^- - \infty^+))} \cdot \frac{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^- - P_1))}{\theta_{11}(A(P - P_1))} \cdot \frac{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^- - P_2))}{\theta_{11}(A(P - P_2))}
\]

and \(P_1, P_2\) are the poles of \(\Psi\).

The proof of the above proposition is based on a general fact: the properties of \(\Psi\) enumerated in Proposition \(\text{3.2}\) define it uniquely. Indeed, if \(\Psi\) and \(\tilde{\Psi}\) are vector functions both satisfying the assertions of Proposition \(\text{3.2}\), then the meromorphic on \(C_h\) functions \(\Psi^1\) and \(\tilde{\Psi}^1\) (resp. \(\Psi^2\) and \(\tilde{\Psi}^2\)) have the same poles. Using this and the asymptotic estimates at infinity we conclude that \(\Psi^1/\tilde{\Psi}^1\) and \(\Psi^2/\tilde{\Psi}^2\) are meromorphic functions on \(C_h\) which have one pole at \((\tilde{\Psi}^1 = 0)\). Moreover

\[
\Psi_1(t, \infty^{-})/\tilde{\Psi}_1(t, \infty^{-}) = 1, \quad \Psi_2(t, \infty^{-})/\tilde{\Psi}_2(t, \infty^{-}) = 1
\]

and hence \(\Psi = \tilde{\Psi}\). At last the reader may check that the functions \((\text{46})\) and \((\text{47})\) have the analyticity properties from Proposition \(\text{3.2}\) and hence they coincide with the Baker–Akhiezer function defined in Proposition \(\text{3.3}\). \(\square\)
3.2 Solutions of the Lagrange top

Let \( z = (z_1, z_2) \in J(C_h; \infty^\pm) \). It is easy to check that the functions

\[
\frac{\theta_{11}(z_1 \pm \tau_2)}{\theta_{11}(z_1)} e^{\mp z_2}
\]

live on \( J(C_h; \infty^\pm) \). We shall see that they give solutions of the Lagrange top. By (16) we compute that \( \frac{d}{dt} z = \text{constant} \), where

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dz}{dt} &= \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix} = 2\pi i \begin{pmatrix} \int A_1 \frac{d\lambda}{\mu} & \int A_2 \frac{d\lambda}{\mu} \\ \int A_1 \frac{d\lambda}{\mu} & \int A_2 \frac{d\lambda}{\mu} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ -ai \end{pmatrix} , \\
\int_{A_2} \frac{d\lambda}{\mu} &= 0 , \quad \int_{A_2} \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{\mu} = -2\pi i
\end{align*}
\]

so

\[
\begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix} = \left( \int_{A_1} \frac{d\lambda}{\mu} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2\pi \\ -i \int_{A_1} \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{\mu} + ai \int_{A_1} \frac{d\lambda}{\mu} \end{pmatrix} , \quad a = -m\Omega_3 .
\]

**Theorem 3.4** The following equations hold

\[
\begin{align*}
(48) & \quad \mp \Omega_1(t) + \epsilon \Omega_2(t) = \text{const}_3 \frac{\theta_{11}(z_1 - \tau_2)}{\theta_{11}(z_1)} e^{-z_2} \\
(49) & \quad \epsilon \Omega_1(t) + \mp \Omega_2(t) = \text{const}_4 \frac{\theta_{11}(z_1 + \tau_2)}{\theta_{11}(z_1)} e^{+z_2} ,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
(50) \quad z_2 = tV_2 , \quad z_1 = tV_1 + A(\infty^+ + \infty^- - P_1 - P_2) , \quad \tau_2 = A(\infty^+ - \infty^-) = \int_{B_1} \omega_2 ,
\]

and

\[
\text{const}_3 = \frac{2i V_1 \theta'_{11}(0)}{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^- - \infty^+))} \cdot \frac{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^+ - P_1))}{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^+ - P_1))} \cdot \frac{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^+ - P_2))}{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^+ - P_2))} ,
\]

\[
\text{const}_4 = \frac{2i V_1 \theta'_{11}(0)}{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^+ - \infty^-))} \cdot \frac{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^- - P_1))}{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^- - P_1))} \cdot \frac{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^- - P_2))}{\theta_{11}(A(\infty^- - P_2))} .
\]

Let us denote

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_1 &= \pm (\omega_1^0 + O(\lambda^{-1})) \, d(\lambda^{-1}) , \quad P = (\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow \infty^+ \\
\omega_2 &= \pm (\omega_2^0 + O(\lambda^{-1})) \, d(\lambda^{-1}) , \quad P = (\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow \infty^-
\end{align*}
\]

To prove Theorem 3.4 we shall need the following

**Lemma 3.5** The above defined differentials are such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_1^0 &= -i \int_{B_1} \Omega = -iV_1 , \quad \omega_2^0 = i (e^+ - e^-) , \\
V_2 &= -e^+ + e^- + i\Omega_3 , \quad A(\infty^+ - \infty^-) = \int_{B_1} \omega_2 .
\end{align*}
\]
3 EXPLICIT SOLUTIONS

Proof. The identity \( \omega_1^0 = -i \int_{B_1} \Omega \) is a reciprocity law between the differential of first kind \( \omega_1 \) and the differential of second kind \( \Omega \). It is obtained by integrating \( \pi(P) \omega_1 \), where \( \pi(P) = \int_{P_0} P \Omega \), along the border of \( C_h \) cut along its homology basis \( A_1, B_1 \). On the other hand

\[
\omega_1 = 2\pi i \left( \int_{A_1} \frac{d\lambda}{\mu} \right)^{-1} \frac{d\lambda}{\mu}
\]

and hence

\[
\omega_1^0 = -2\pi i \left( \int_{A_1} \frac{d\lambda}{\mu} \right)^{-1} = -iV_1.
\]

Similarly the identity \( \omega_2^0 = i(c^+ - c^-) \) is a reciprocity law between the differential of third kind \( \omega_2 \) and the differential of second kind \( \Omega \), and \( \lambda(P) \omega_2 \) is a reciprocity law between the differential of third kind \( \omega_2 \) and the differential of first kind \( \omega_1 \). At last as

\[
\omega_2 = \int_{A_1} \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{\mu} - \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{\mu}
\]

then

\[
\omega_2^0 = -\int_{A_1} \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{\mu} - (1 + m) \Omega = -iV_1 - \Omega
\]

and hence \( V_2 = -c^+ + c^- + i\Omega_3 \). \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 3.4. According to (42), (43)

\[
\mathbb{I} \Omega_1(t) + \epsilon \Omega_2(t) = -2 \lim_{P \to \infty^-} \frac{\lambda \Psi_1(t, P)}{\Psi_2(t, P)}
\]

and

\[
\epsilon \Omega_1(t) + \mathbb{I} \Omega_2(t) = +2 \lim_{P \to \infty^+} \frac{\lambda \Psi_2(t, P)}{\Psi_1(t, P)}.
\]

To compute the limit we use (46), (47) and

\[
\lim_{P \to \infty^-} \lambda(P) \theta_{11} (A(P - \infty^-)) = \theta_{11}'(0) \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{s=0} \int_s^\infty \omega_1 = \omega_1^0 \theta_{11}'(0)
\]

\[
\lim_{P \to \infty^+} \lambda(P) \theta_{11} (A(P - \infty^+)) = \theta_{11}'(0) \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{s=0} \int_s^\infty \omega_1 = \omega_1^0 \theta_{11}'(0)
\]

(see Lemma 3.5). \( \square \)

3.3 Effectivization

Let \( \wp, \zeta, \sigma \) be the Weierstrass functions related to the elliptic curve \( \Gamma \) defined by

\[
\eta^2 = 4\xi^3 - g_2 \xi - g_3
\]

(we use the standard notations of [4]).

Consider also the real elliptic curve \( C \) with affine equation

\[
\mu^2 + \lambda^4 + a_1 \lambda^3 + a_2 \lambda^2 + a_3 \lambda + a_4 = 0
\]

and natural anti–holomorphic involution \( (\lambda, \mu) \to (\lambda, \mu) \), and put

\[
g_2 = a_4 + 3\left( \frac{a_3}{6} \right)^4 - 4\frac{a_1 a_3}{4}, \quad g_3 = \det \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \frac{a_1}{4} & \frac{a_2}{6} & \frac{a_3}{4} \\
\frac{a_1}{2} & \frac{a_1}{6} & \frac{a_2}{4} & \frac{a_3}{4} \\
\frac{a_2}{6} & \frac{a_2}{4} & \frac{a_3}{4} & \frac{a_4}{6} \\
\frac{a_3}{4} & \frac{a_3}{4} & \frac{a_4}{6} & \frac{a_4}{6}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
It is well known that the curves $C$ and $\Gamma$ are isomorphic over $\mathbb{C}$ and that under this isomorphism
\begin{equation}
\frac{d\lambda}{\mu} = \frac{d\xi}{\eta}.
\end{equation}
Following Weil [25] we call $\Gamma$ Jacobian $J(C)$ of the elliptic curve $C$ and we write $J(C) = \Gamma$. Note that $J(C)$ and $\Gamma$ are real isomorphic and that $J(C)$ and $C$ are not real isomorphic.

Further we make the substitution (23) and $C$ becomes the spectral curve $\tilde{C}_h$ of Adler and van Moerbeke
\begin{equation}
\{\mu^2 + f(\lambda) = 0\}
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
f(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + 2(1 + m)h_4\lambda^3 + (2h_3 + m(m + 1)h_1^2)\lambda^2 - 2h_2\lambda + 1
\end{equation}
and $\Gamma$ becomes the Lagrange curve $\Gamma_h$. Recall that, as we explained at the end of section 2, the curve $C_h$
with an equation $\{\mu^2 = f(\lambda)\}$ and antiholomorphic involution $(\lambda, \mu) \to (\lambda, -\mu)$, is isomorphic over $\mathbb{R}$ to $\tilde{C}_h$, so we write $C_h = \tilde{C}_h$. The Jacobian $J(C_h) = \Gamma_h$ was computed by Lagrange [17], while $C_h$ appeared first in [21] as a spectral curve of a Lax pair associated to the Lagrange top.

Recall that $\sigma(z)$ is an entire function in $z$ related to $\zeta(z), \varphi(z)$ and the already defined function $\theta_{11}(z|\tau_1)$ on $C_h$ as follows
\begin{equation}
\zeta'(z) = -\varphi(z), \quad \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)} = \zeta(z), \quad \prime = \frac{d}{dz}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\sigma(z) = \theta_{11}(zU) \exp \left\{ \frac{z^2U^2\theta_{11}''(0)}{6\theta_{11}'(0)} \right\} = z - \frac{g_2}{240} + \cdots
\end{equation}
where $U$ is a constant depending on $g_2$ and $g_3$. We shall also use the “addition formula”
\begin{equation}
\frac{\sigma(u + v)}{\sigma^2(u)\sigma^2(v)} = \varphi(v) - \varphi(u).
\end{equation}

To state our result let us introduce the notations
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
2x_1 &= \epsilon \Omega_1 + \tau \Omega_2 & 2x_2 &= \tau \Omega_1 + \epsilon \Omega_2 & \epsilon^2 &= \sqrt{-1} \\
2y_1 &= \epsilon^3 \Gamma_1 + \epsilon \Gamma_2 & 2y_2 &= \epsilon \Gamma_1 + \epsilon^3 \Gamma_2 & i^2 &= -1 \\
\rho_1 &= -i m \Omega_3 & \rho_2 &= -i \Omega_3.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
The system (2) is equivalent to
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_1 &= +\rho_1 x_1 - y_1 & \dot{y}_1 &= -\rho_2 y_1 + x_1 \Gamma_3 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= -\rho_1 x_2 + y_2 & \dot{y}_2 &= +\rho_2 y_2 - x_2 \Gamma_3 \\
\rho_1, \rho_2 &= \text{constants} & \Gamma_3 &= 2x_1 y_2 - 2x_2 y_1.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
with first integrals $I_0 = 4x_1 x_2 - 2\Gamma_3, I_1 = 4x_1 y_2 + 4x_2 y_1 - 2(\rho_1 + \rho_2) \Gamma_3$ and $I_2 = \Gamma_3^2 - 4y_1 y_2$.

**Theorem 3.6** The general solution of the Lagrange top (3) can be written in the following form
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
x_1(t) &= -\frac{\sigma(t - k - l)}{\sigma(t) \sigma(k + l)} e^{at+b} & x_2(t) &= -\frac{\sigma(t + k + l)}{\sigma(t) \sigma(k + l)} e^{-at-b} \\
y_1(t) &= \frac{\sigma(t - k) \sigma(t - l)}{\sigma^2(t) \sigma(k) \sigma(l)} e^{at+b} & y_2(t) &= \frac{\sigma(t + k) \sigma(t + l)}{\sigma^2(t) \sigma(k) \sigma(l)} e^{-at-b} \\
\Gamma_3(t) &= \frac{\sigma(t + k) \sigma(t - k)}{\sigma^2(k) \sigma^2(t)} + \frac{\sigma(t + l) \sigma(t - l)}{\sigma^2(l) \sigma^2(t)} = -2\varphi(t) + \varphi(l) + \varphi(k) \\
\rho_1 &= a - \zeta(l) - \zeta(k) & \rho_2 &= -a - \zeta(l) - \zeta(l) + 2\zeta(k + l),
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
where $g_2, g_3, a, b, k, l$ are arbitrary constants subject to the relation $g_2^3 - 27g_3^2 \neq 0$. 

Remark. The non–general solutions of the Lagrange top are obtained from the above formulae by taking the limit \( y_2^3 - 27g_2^2 \to 0 \). The formulae for the position of the body in space, and in particular for \( \Gamma_3(t), y_1(t), y_2(t) \), are due to Jacobi [13]. The expressions for \( x_1(t), x_2(t) \) were first deduced by Klein and Sommerfeld [16, p.436]. Note however that in [13] the constant \( a \), and hence the invariant level set on which the solution lives, is not arbitrary.

Proof. To make the solutions of the Lagrange top effective we use the following dimension four Lie group of transformations preserving the system (57)

\[
\begin{align*}
 x_1 &\to Ux_1e^{at+b}, & x_2 &\to Ux_2e^{-at-b}, & t &\to \frac{t}{U} + T \\
y_1 &\to U^2y_1 e^{at+b}, & y_2 &\to U^2y_2 e^{-at-b}, & \Gamma_3 &\to U^2 \Gamma_3 \\
\rho_1 &\to U\rho_1 + a, & \rho_2 &\to U\rho_2 - a
\end{align*}
\]

(58)

where \( U \neq 0, T, a, b \) are constants.

The group (58) transforms \( x_1 \) from (13) (see also (56), (55)), where \( z_1 = tU - TU, z_1 - \tau_2 = (t - k - l)U \) as follows

\[
x_1(t) = \text{const} \frac{\theta_{11}(z_1 - \tau_2)}{\theta_{11}(z_1)} = -\frac{\sigma(t - k - l)}{\sigma(t) \sigma(k + l)} e^{at+b}.
\]

(we used that

\[
\frac{\theta_{11}(z_1 - \tau_2) \sigma(t)}{\theta_{11}(z_1) \sigma(t - k - l)}
\]

is a constant). The variable \( x_2 \) is computed in the same way.

If we define the constant \( k \) by the condition \( y_1(t - k) = 0 \), then the first equation of (57) gives

\[
\frac{y_1(t)}{x_1(t)} = \rho_1 - \frac{x_1'(t)}{x_1(t)} = \frac{\sigma(t - k) h(t)}{\sigma(t) \sigma(t - k - l)}
\]

where \( h(t) \) is a meromorphic function on \( \mathbb{C} \), such that \( y_1(t)/x_1(t) \) is singe valued with poles at \( t = 0 \) and \( t = k + l \), and residues \((-1)\) and \((+1)\) respectively. These three conditions define \( h(t) \) uniquely:

\[
h(t) = \frac{\sigma(t - l) \sigma(k + l)}{\sigma(k) \sigma(l)},
\]

which implies the formula for \( y_1(t) \). The expression for \( y_2(t) \) is obtained in the same way.

To deduce an expression for \( \Gamma_3(t) \) we use that

\[
\Gamma_3(t) = 2x_1x_2 - \frac{1}{2}I_0 = -2\varphi(t) + 2\varphi(k + l) - \frac{1}{2}I_0.
\]

The value of \( I_0 \) is easily computed by making use the third equation of (57) and the formulae deduced for \( x_1, y_1 \). By substituting \( t = k \) we obtain

\[
\Gamma_3(k) = \frac{\sigma(k - l) \sigma(k + l)}{\sigma^2(k) \sigma^2(l)} = \varphi(l) - \varphi(k)
\]

and in a similar way \( \Gamma_3(l) = \varphi(k) - \varphi(l) \). We conclude that

\[
\Gamma_3(t) = -2\varphi(t) + \varphi(l) + \varphi(k) .
\]

At last, to compute \( \rho_1, \rho_2 \) we shall use once again (57). As \( y_1(k) = 0 \) then

\[
\rho_1 = \frac{\dot{x}_1(k)}{x_1(k)} = \frac{d}{dt} \ln x_1(t) \big|_{t=k}
\]

\[
= \frac{d}{dt} \ln \sigma(t - k - l) \big|_{t=k} - \frac{d}{dt} \ln \sigma(t) \big|_{t=k} + a
\]

\[
= a - \zeta(l) - \zeta(k) .
\]
In a quite similar way we obtain
\[ \rho_2 = -\frac{d}{dt} \ln y_1(t) \bigg|_{t=k+l} = -a - \zeta(k) - \zeta(l) + 2\zeta(k + l). \]

Theorem 3.6 is proved.

**Remark.** If we impose the condition
\[ \Gamma_1^2 + \Gamma_2^2 + \Gamma_3^2 = \Gamma_3^2 - 4y_1y_2 = 1, \]
then
\[ \left( \frac{\sigma(t + k) \sigma(t - k)}{\sigma^2(k) \sigma^2(t)} + \frac{\sigma(t + l) \sigma(t - l)}{\sigma^2(l) \sigma^2(t)} \right)^2 - \frac{\sigma(t - k) \sigma(t - l) \sigma(t + k) \sigma(t + l)}{\sigma^2(t) \sigma(k) \sigma(l)} = \left( \frac{\sigma(t + k) \sigma(t - k)}{\sigma^2(k) \sigma^2(t)} - \frac{\sigma(t + l) \sigma(t - l)}{\sigma^2(l) \sigma^2(t)} \right)^2 = (\varphi(k) - \varphi(l))^2 = 1 \]
and hence \( \varphi(k) - \varphi(l) = \pm 1. \)

## 4 Real structures

Recall that a real algebraic variety is a pair \((X, S)\) where \(X\) is a complex algebraic variety and \(S : X \to X\) is an anti–holomorphic involution on it. The set of fixed points of \(S\) and hence \(\wp\) of \(f\) has a fixed point on \(X\) then \(X\) has a fixed point on \(X, S\). Denote by \(C = \wp^*\). A form \(\omega\) on \(X\) induces \(f\) on \(X\). Recall that the spectral curve of the Lagrange top, the action of \(S\) on \(X\) induces an involution on \(J(C_h; \infty)\). This, however, does not suffice to determine the real structure of the invariant manifold \(T_h \sim J(C_h; \infty) \setminus \phi^{-1}(p)\) (Theorem 2.2), as it will also depend on the point \(p \in J(C_h)\). Recall that the symmetric product \(S^2C_h\) is bi–rational to \(T\). Thus the generalized Jacobian and the invariant manifold \(T_h\) are identified by the Abel map

\[ A : S^2C_h \to J(C_h; \infty): P_1 + P_2 \mapsto \int_{W_1 + W_2}^{P_1 + P_2} \omega, \quad \omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2). \]

This induces an involution on \(J(C_h; \infty), z \mapsto S(z)\), where

\[ z = \int_{W_1 + W_2}^{P_1 + P_2} \omega, \quad S(z) = \int_{W_1 + W_2}^{S(P_1 + P_2)} \omega. \]

Of course this depends on the fixed points \(W_1, W_2 \in J(C_h; \infty)\). Let \(\omega_1, \omega_2\) be \(S\)–real. Then

\[ S(z) = \int_{W_1 + W_2}^{S(W_1 + W_2)} \omega + \int_{S(W_1 + W_2)}^{S(P_1 + P_2)} \omega = \int_{W_1 + W_2}^{S(W_1 + W_2)} \omega + \int_{W_1 + W_2}^{P_1 + P_2} \omega = S(0) + \bar{\pi}. \]

If \(S\) has a fixed point on \(J(C_h; \infty)\) (this does not depend on \(W_1, W_2\)) then one may always choose it for origin, and hence \(S(z) = \bar{\pi}\) becomes a group homomorphism.

Denote by \(S\) the anti–holomorphic involution on the spectral curve \(C_h\) defined by \(S(\lambda, \mu) = (\lambda, -\mu)\). This involution comes from the real Lax pair of Adler and van Moerbeke defined in section 2. We shall also suppose that the real polynomial \(f(\lambda)\) has distinct roots. \(S\) induces an involution on the usual Jacobian
J(C_h) which we denote by S too, and an involution on the generalized Jacobian J(C_h; \infty^\pm) which we denote by S^+. If we use (53), then in terms of the Jacobi polynomials U, V, W, it is given by

\[
S^+: (U, V, W) \mapsto (\overline{U}, -\overline{V}, \overline{W}).
\]

There is another natural anti–holomorphic involution on T_h given by the usual complex conjugation

\[(\Omega_i, \Gamma_i) \mapsto (\overline{\Omega}_i, \overline{\Gamma}_i)\]

which we denote by S^-. In terms of the Jacobi polynomials (52) it is

\[
S^-: (U, V, W) \mapsto (\overline{W}, \overline{V}, \overline{U}).
\]

**Proposition 4.1** The holomorphic involution S^+ \circ S^- = S^- \circ S^+ on J(C_h; \infty^\pm) is a translation on the half–period \(\frac{1}{2}z \Lambda_2\), where \(\phi(\frac{1}{2}z \Lambda_2) = 0 \in J(C_h)\) (see (5)).

The proof of the above Proposition will be given later in this section. If \(\phi\) is the projection homomorphism defined in (8), then it implies

\[
\phi \circ S^+ = \phi \circ S^- = \phi \circ S^-.\]

In other words the anti–holomorphic involutions S^+ and S^- “look like” in the same way on the usual Jacobian J(C_h) and differ in a half–period in the “vertical” direction with respect to \(\phi\) on the generalized Jacobian J(C_h; \infty^\pm).

An important feature of S^+ is that the S^+–real part of the invariant level set T_h is preserved by the flow of (2). Indeed, changing the variables as

\[
\Omega_1 \rightarrow i\Omega_1, \quad \Omega_2 \rightarrow i\Omega_2, \quad \Omega_3 \rightarrow \Omega_3,
\]

\[
\Gamma_1 \rightarrow i\Gamma_1, \quad \Gamma_2 \rightarrow i\Gamma_2, \quad \Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Gamma_3,
\]

we obtain a new system

\[
\dot{\Omega}_1 = -m \Omega_2 \Omega_3 - \Gamma_2, \quad \dot{\Omega}_2 = m \Omega_2 \Omega_1 + \Gamma_1, \quad \dot{\Omega}_3 = 0
\]

\[
\dot{\Omega}_1 = \Gamma_2 \Omega_3 - \Gamma_3 \Omega_2, \quad \dot{\Omega}_2 = \Gamma_3 \Omega_1 - \Gamma_1 \Omega_3, \quad \dot{\Omega}_3 = \Gamma_2 \Omega_1 - \Gamma_1 \Omega_2
\]

with first integrals

\[
H_1 = -\Omega_1^2 - \Omega_2^2 + \Omega_3^2, \quad H_2 = -\Omega_1 \Gamma_1 - \Omega_2 \Gamma_2 + (1 + m)\Omega_3 \Gamma_3
\]

\[
H_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\Omega_1^2 - \Omega_2^2 + (1 + m)\Omega_3^2 \right) - \Gamma_3, \quad H_4 = \Omega_3.
\]

The anti–holomorphic involution S^+ in these coordinates is given again by the complex conjugation.

**Theorem 4.2** In each of the three connected subdomains of the complement to the discriminant locus of \(f(\lambda)\) the topological type of the real part of the algebraic varieties

\((J(C_h; \infty^\pm), S^+)\) and \((T_h, S^+)\) is one and the same and it is given in the following table

| roots of \(f(\lambda)\) | no real roots | two real roots | four real roots |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| real part of \((J(C_h; \infty^\pm), S^+)\) | \(T^2\) | \(T^2\) | \(T^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2)\) |
| real part of \((J(C_h; \infty^\pm), S^+)\) | \(T^2\) | \(\emptyset\) | \(\emptyset\) |
| real part of \((T_h, S^+)\) | \(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}\) | \(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}\) | \(T^2 \cup (S^1 \times \mathbb{R})\) |
| real part of \((T_h, S^+)\) | \(T^2\) | \(\emptyset\) | \(\emptyset\) |

where \(T^2 = S^1 \times S^1\).
Remark. It is easy to see that when the real invariant level set $T_h^R$ of the Lagrange top is non–empty, then the polynomial $f(\lambda)$ has no real roots. If we do not use the generalized Jacobian $J(C_h; \infty^\pm)$, then it might be difficult to understand the relation between $T_h^R$ (which has one connected component), $C_h^R$ (which is empty) and $J(C_h)^R$ (which has two connected components) \(\text{[3, p.37]}\).

**Proof of Proposition 4.1.** We have $S^+ \circ S^- : (U, V, W) \mapsto (W, -V, U)$. The involution $(U, V, W) \mapsto (U, -V, W)$ is obviously induced by the elliptic involution $i : (\lambda, \mu) \mapsto (\lambda, -\mu)$ on $C_h$ so it is a reflexion. Thus we proved that $S$ (see the proof of Proposition 4.1) and hence $i$.

We have $W_i, i = 1, \ldots, 4$ be the Weierstrass points on $C_h$. Then

$$
\left(\frac{\mu - V(\lambda)}{\mu}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} P_i - \sum_{i=1}^{4} W_i , \quad \frac{\mu - V(\lambda)}{\mu} \approx 1
$$

and hence on $J(C_h; \infty^\pm) \sim \text{Div}^0(\hat{C}_h)/\sim$ holds $P_1 + P_2 = -P_3 - P_4 + \text{constant}$. This implies that $j$ is a reflexion. Thus we proved that $S^+ \circ S^-$ is a translation $(S^+ \circ S^-)(z) = z + a$. At last $a$ is easily computed. We have $i(W_k) = W_k$, $j(W_1 + W_2) = W_3 + W_4$ and hence $a W_1 + W_2 - W_3 - W_4$. Further if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ are zeros of $f(\lambda)$, then $(g) = W_1 + W_2 - W_3 - W_4$, where $g(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)/\mu$. Moreover $g(\infty^\pm) = \pm 1$, $g^2(\infty^\pm) = 1$ and hence

$$W_1 + W_2 - W_3 - W_4 \approx 0 , \quad W_1 + W_2 - W_3 - W_4 \approx 0 , \quad 2(W_1 + W_2 - W_3 - W_4) \approx 0 .
$$

This shows that $a$ is a half–period and $\phi(a) = 0 \in J(C_h)$.

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** The proof will consist of two steps. First we determine the action of $S^\pm$ on $H_1(\hat{C}_h, \mathbb{Z})$ and hence on the period lattice $\Lambda$. From that we deduce the first two lines of the table. Second, we determine the action of $S^\pm : D_{\infty} \mapsto D_{\infty}$ on the infinity divisor $D_{\infty} = \phi^{-1}(\mu) = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda \sim \mathbb{C}^*$ and then we use that

$$\text{real part of } (T_h, S^\pm) = \text{real part of } (J(C_h; \infty^\pm), S^\pm) - \text{real part of } D_{\infty} .$$

It is easier to determine the action of $S^+ \circ S^-$ on $\Lambda$. Indeed, $S^-$ is induced by an anti–holomorphic involution on $
abla$ which sends $\mathfrak{X}$ to $-\mathfrak{X}$. Note that $S^-$ has always fixed points on $J(C_h; \infty^\pm)$: if $W_1, W_2$ are two Weierstrass points on $C_h$ such that either $W_1 = \overline{W}_3$, or $W_1$ and $W_2$ are $S^-$–real, then $S^-(W_1 + W_2) = W_1 + W_2$. On the other hand $S^-$ has fixed points only if $f(\lambda)$ has no real roots. Indeed, in this last case let $W_i, i = 1, \ldots, 4$, be the Weierstrass points of $C_h$ where $W_1 = W_2 = W_3 = W_4$. Then $j(W_1 + W_3) = W_2 + W_4$ (see the proof of Proposition 1.1) and hence $S^-(W_1 + W_3) = W_1 + W_3$. On the other hand if $U = \overline{W}$ and $V = \overline{V}$, then

$$V^2(\lambda) + U(\lambda)W(\lambda) = |V(\lambda)|^2 + |U(\lambda)|^2 = f(\lambda) > 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} ,$$

and hence $f(\lambda)$ has no real roots.

Suppose first that $f(\lambda)$ has no real roots and let us choose a basis $A_1, B_1, A_2$ of $H_1(\hat{C}_h, \mathbb{Z})$ as it is shown on figure 2 and figure 3.
Then $S^+(A_1) = A_1$, $S^+(A_2) = A_2$ and it is easily seen that $S^+(B_1) + B_1$ is homologous to $A_2$ on $H_1(C_h, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus in the basis $A_1, A_2, B_1$ the matrix of the involution $S^+ : H_1(C_h, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(C_h, \mathbb{Z})$ takes the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

From this and the fact that $(J(C_h; \infty^\pm), S^+)$ is not empty we conclude that the real part of $(J(C_h; \infty^\pm), S^+)$ is a torus with generators the periods $\int_{B_1} \omega$ and $\int_{A_2} \omega$. On the other hand the real part of $(J(C_h; \infty^\pm), S^-)$ is not empty too and $S^+ \circ S^-$ is a translation. We conclude that the real part of $(J(C_h; \infty^\pm), S^-)$ is just a translation of the real part of $(J(C_h; \infty^\pm), S^+)$ and in particular it is generated by the same periods.

In a similar way we find the real part of $(J(C_h; \infty^\pm), S^+)$ in the remaining cases. Note that in an appropriate $\mathbb{Z}$ basis of $H_1(C_h, \mathbb{Z})$ the matrix of the involution $S^\pm : H_1(C_h, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(C_h, \mathbb{Z})$ takes the same form if $f(\lambda)$ has two real roots, and it is of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
$$

if $f(\lambda)$ has four real roots. This implies the first two lines of the table.

Let us determine now the real part of $(D_\infty, S^\pm)$. As $D_\infty = \mathbb{C}^*/\Lambda_2$ then we have to compute $S^\pm(\Lambda_2)$. Note that, as the real invariant manifold $T_h$ is compact, then $(D_\infty, S^-)$ is always empty. On the other hand $(D_\infty, S^+)$ is never empty. Indeed, if $S^+(\lambda, \mu) = (\bar{\lambda}, -\bar{\mu})$ then for $Q \in C_h$ the point $Q + S^+(Q)$ is $S^+$-real on $J(C_h; \infty^\pm)$. As $S^+(\infty^+) = \infty^-$ we see that $S^+$-real point of $\phi^{-1}(p)$ is obtained by taking the limit $Q \to \infty^+$ in $S^+(Q) + Q$ along an appropriate real analytic curve on $C_h$. At last from the computation of the action of $S^+$ on $\Lambda$ we get $S^+(\Lambda_2) = \Lambda_2$ which shows that the $S^+$-real part of $(\phi^{-1}(p), S^+)$ is always a circle $\mathbb{R}/\Lambda_2$. This gives the last two lines in the table. □

## 5 The Lagrange top and the non–linear Schrödinger equation

Our final remark concerns a previously unknown relation between the real solutions of the Lagrange top and the one–gap solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$(NLS^\pm) \quad u_{xx} = iu_t \pm 2|u|^2u .$$

In the physical applications both forms of (NLS) are of interest. Comparing Theorem 2.2 to the results of Previato [21] we note that the invariant manifolds of one–gap solutions of the NLS equation are isomorphic to the invariant manifolds of the Lagrange top. This relation can be made explicit if we compare the expressions for the solutions found in Theorem 3.4 to the well known formulae for $u(x, t)$ [3, 21]. We shall see that the $S^\pm$–real solutions of the Lagrange top give also one–gap solutions of $NLS^\pm$ equation. Recall that, according to the preceding section, a $S^-$–real solution is an usual real solution of the Lagrange top [3], and that a $S^+$–real solution is a real solution of the system (3).

Let $X_E, X_{\Omega_3}$ be the Hamiltonian vector fields (2) and (3) respectively and put

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2} X_E, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{4} (m-1) \Omega_3 X_E + \frac{1}{8} (2h_3 - (3m + 1) \Omega^2_2) X_{\Omega_3} .
$$

As $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ define translation invariant vector fields on the generalized Jacobian $J(C_h; \infty^\pm)$ then fixing an arbitrary point for origin we may introduce $(x, t)$ coordinates on $J(C_h; \infty^\pm)$ (and hence on the complex invariant manifold $T_h$). If the real part $T^R_h$ of $T_h$ is not empty, then we shall chose for origin a real point. As the real vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ are tangent to the Liouville torus $T^R_h$, then $(x, t)$ provide real affine coordinates on it. Denote at last by $u^-(x, t)$ the restriction of the function $\Omega_1 + \epsilon \Omega_2$ on the Liouville torus $T^R_h$ of the Lagrange top [3].
Similarly, let $u^+(x,t)$ be the restriction of the function $\Psi \Omega_1 + \epsilon \Omega_2$ on a connected component of the $S^+$-real part of $J(C_h; \infty^+)$. If the origin belongs to this component too, then as above we conclude that $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Proposition 5.1** The functions $u^+(x,t)$ and $u^-(x,t)$ satisfy NLS$^+$ and NLS$^-$ respectively.

The proof of the above Proposition is a straightforward computation (compare with [20], Theorem 2.2). From the definition of $u^\pm$ we get $u^- = \epsilon \Omega_2 + \epsilon \Omega_1$ and $u^+ = -\epsilon \Omega_2 - \epsilon \Omega_1$. It follows that $|u^\pm|^2 = \mp (\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2)$ and it is easy to check that

$$u_{xx}^\pm = i u_t^\pm + 2 |u^\pm|^2 u^\pm$$

is equivalent to the system

$$(\Omega_1)_{xx} + (\Omega_2)_t = \pm 2 \Omega_1 (\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2)$$

$$(\Omega_2)_{xx} - (\Omega_1)_t = \pm 2 \Omega_2 (\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2)$$

where $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$ are defined on the $S^+$-real part of $T_h$ respectively. Using (3) we get for the derivatives along $X_E$

$$\dot{\Omega}_1 + (m-1) \Omega_3 \dot{\Omega}_2 = -m \Omega_1 \Omega_3 - \Omega_1 \Gamma_3$$

and as

$$\Gamma_3 = \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_1^2 - \Omega_2^2 + (1 + m) \Omega_3^2) - E.$$ 

then

$$\ddot{\Omega}_1 + (m-1) \Omega_3 \dot{\Omega}_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \Omega_1 (\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2) + \Omega_1 (E - \frac{3m+1}{2} \Omega_3^2).$$

At last as $X_{\Omega_1} \Omega_2 = -\Omega_1$ we conclude that

$$(\Omega_1)_{xx} + (\Omega_2)_t = -2 \Omega_1 (\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2)$$

$$(\Omega_2)_{xx} - (\Omega_1)_t = -2 \Omega_2 (\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2).$$

This proves also that $u^+$ is a solution of NLS$^+$ (we have just to substitute $\Omega_1 \mapsto i \Omega_1$, $\Omega_2 \mapsto i \Omega_2$). $\square$
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A Appendix: Linearization of the Lagrange top on an elliptic curve

The purpose of the present Appendix is to give a brief account of some “well known” facts concerning the linearization of the Lagrange top on an elliptic curve. All algebraic varieties below come equipped with real structures. We shall make the following convention. If the complex algebraic varieties $V_1$ and $V_2$ are isomorphic over $\mathbb{R}$, then we shall simply write $V_1 = V_2$.

Further we shall suppose that the invariant complex level set

$$T_h = \{ (\Omega, \Gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^6 : H_1 = 1, H_2 = h_2, H_3 = h_3, H_4 = h_4 \}$$

of the Lagrange top (2) is smooth, and moreover $h = (h_2, h_3, h_4) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Thus $T_h$ has a natural real structure, and if $T_h^R$ is its real part we make the assumption $T_h^R \neq \emptyset$. Recall that to $T_h$ we associate the following smooth algebraic curves

i) the Lagrange curve $\Gamma_h = \{ \eta^2 = 4\xi^3 - 2g_2\xi - g_3 \}$ where $g_2 = g_2(h)$, $g_3 = g_3(h)$ are given by (63) and (23). The polynomial $4\xi^3 - 2g_2\xi - g_3$ has three real roots, so the curve $\Gamma_h$ has two ovals. Denote by $\overline{\Gamma}_h$ the completed curve $\Gamma_h$.

ii) the spectral curve $\tilde{C}_h = \{ \mu^2 + f(\lambda) = 0 \}$ of the Lax pair of Adler and van Moerbeke (26), with the natural anti–holomorphic involution $(\lambda, \mu) \mapsto (\overline{\lambda}, -\overline{\mu})$, where $f(\lambda)$ is given by (24). It is isomorphic over $\mathbb{R}$ to the curve $C_h = \{ \mu^2 = f(\lambda) \}$ with an anti–holomorphic involution $(\lambda, \mu) \mapsto (\overline{\lambda}, -\overline{\mu})$, so $\tilde{C}_h = C_h$. The polynomial $f(\lambda)$ has two pairs of complex conjugate roots.

iii) the Jacobian $J(C_h) = \text{Pic}^2(C_h)$ of $C_h$ which is identified, via the Euler–Weil map (23), to the Lagrange curve $\overline{\Gamma}_h$, so $J(C_h) = \overline{\Gamma}_h$.

According to the context the curves $\tilde{C}_h$, $C_h$ will be considered either as affine, or as completed and normalized curves.

Recall also that the generalized Jacobian $J(C_h; \infty^\pm) = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ of the elliptic curve $C_h$ with two points identified is defined as an extension of $J(C_h)$ by $C^*$

$$0 \xrightarrow{\text{epi}} \mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{\iota} J(C_h; \infty^\pm) \xrightarrow{\phi} J(C_h) \to 0.$$ 

By Theorem 2.2 the invariant complex level set $T_h$ is identified to $J(C_h; \infty^\pm) - D_\infty$, where $D_\infty = \phi^{-1}(p)$, $p = \infty \in \Gamma_h$, so we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \xrightarrow{\text{epi}} \mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{\iota} T_h \xrightarrow{\phi} \Gamma_h \to 0.$$ 

Denote by $T_h$ the variety $T_h$ completed by the curve $D_\infty$, so $T_h = J(C_h; \infty^\pm)$. It follows from Theorem 3.6 that a point $t \in J(C_h)$ is defined by $\Gamma_3(t)$ and its derivative in $t$, and hence

$$\phi : T_h \to \Gamma_h : (\Omega, \Gamma) \mapsto (\eta, \xi), \quad \xi = -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_3, \quad \eta = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\Gamma_3}{dt}(t) = -\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_1 \Omega_2 - \Gamma_2 \Omega_1).$$

The map $\iota$ in (31) defines a $\mathbb{C}^*$–action on $T_h$ which is just the action of the linear complex flow of (3). The latter is obviously given by

$$\Omega_1 \pm i\Omega_2 \mapsto e^{\pm b}(\Omega_1 \pm i\Omega_2) \quad (M_3, \Gamma_3) \mapsto (M_3, \Gamma_3)$$

$$\Gamma_1 \pm i\Omega_2 \mapsto e^{\pm b}(\Gamma_1 \pm i\Gamma_2) \quad e^b \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$ 

This $\mathbb{C}^*$–action is free and compatible with the projection map $\phi$ so we have a well defined quotient map

$$\phi : T_h/\mathbb{C}^* \to \Gamma_h.$$

which is an isomorphism. It is obviously prolonged to the isomorphism

$$\phi : T_h/\mathbb{C}^* \to \Gamma_h.$$

As $\Gamma_3$ is a first integral of (3), then the corresponding flow is projected on $\Gamma_h$ to the identity. According to Theorem 3.6 we have $\Gamma_3(t) = -2\rho(t) + \text{constant}$, and hence the flow of the Lagrange top is projected to a
linear flow on the Lagrange curve $\Gamma_h$. The real part of $T_h$ is a torus $T_h^\mathbb{R} \sim S_1 \times S_1$ on which the real flow of (3) defines a free circle action $\mathbb{R} = S^1$ compatible with $\phi$. $T_h^\mathbb{R}$ is compact and connected so is $\phi(T_h^\mathbb{R})$. It follows that $\phi(T_h^\mathbb{R}) = \phi(T_h^\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R})$ is contained in the compact oval of the Lagrange curve $\Gamma_h$. In fact, $\phi$ provides an isomorphism between $T_h^\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}$ and this oval. Indeed, the only thing we need to check is that the pre-image of a point on this compact oval, under the map $\phi : T_h^\mathbb{R} \to \Gamma_h$, is a single orbit of the system (3), that is to say a circle. But a point $t$ on $\Gamma_h$ is determined by $\Gamma_3(t)$ and $\frac{d\Gamma_3(t)}{dt} = \Gamma_1\Omega_2 - \Gamma_2\Omega_1$. This combined with the first integrals amounts to fix $\Omega_3, \Gamma_3$, the lengths
\[ \Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2, \quad \Gamma_1^2 + \Gamma_2^2, \]
the scalar product
\[ \Omega_1\Gamma_1 + \Omega_2\Gamma_2 \]
and the vector product
\[ \Gamma_1\Omega_2 - \Gamma_2\Omega_1 \]
of the real vectors $(\Omega_1, \Omega_2), (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$, which defines a circle. To summarize, we have

**Theorem A.1 (Lagrange linearization)**

i) $\phi : T_h/\mathbb{C}^* \to \Gamma_h$ is an isomorphism

ii) $\phi : T_h/\mathbb{C}^* \to \Gamma_h$ is an isomorphism

iii) the image of the flow of (3) on $\Gamma_h$ is the identity, and the one of (2) is linear.

iv) the map $\phi$ provides an isomorphism between $T_h^\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}$ and the compact oval of the affine real curve $\Gamma_h$.

The above theorem may be attributed to Lagrange [14, p.254] who computed the differential equation satisfied by the nutation $\Gamma$ of the real vectors $(\Omega, \phi)$. But a point $t$ on $\Gamma_h$ is determined by $\Gamma_3(t)$ and $\frac{d\Gamma_3(t)}{dt} = \Gamma_1\Omega_2 - \Gamma_2\Omega_1$. This combined with the first integrals amounts to fix $\Omega_3, \Gamma_3$, the lengths
\[ \Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2, \quad \Gamma_1^2 + \Gamma_2^2, \]
the scalar product
\[ \Omega_1\Gamma_1 + \Omega_2\Gamma_2 \]
and the vector product
\[ \Gamma_1\Omega_2 - \Gamma_2\Omega_1 \]
of the real vectors $(\Omega_1, \Omega_2), (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$, which defines a circle. To summarize, we have

**Theorem A.1 (Lagrange linearization)**

i) $\phi : T_h/\mathbb{C}^* \to \Gamma_h$ is an isomorphism

ii) $\phi : T_h/\mathbb{C}^* \to \Gamma_h$ is an isomorphism

iii) the image of the flow of (3) on $\Gamma_h$ is the identity, and the one of (2) is linear.

iv) the map $\phi$ provides an isomorphism between $T_h^\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}$ and the compact oval of the affine real curve $\Gamma_h$.

The above theorem may be attributed to Lagrange [14, p.254] who computed the differential equation satisfied by the nutation $\Gamma_3(t)$. It worth noting that this computation was published in 1813 (the year when Lagrange died) by Poisson [19] as completely new, and without mentioning Lagrange.

There is another more sophisticated way to linearize the Lagrange top on the elliptic curve $\Gamma_h$, by making use of the Lax pair representation (20) (see [11] [23] [24] [3])

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \lambda^2x + \lambda M - \Gamma \right) = \left[ \lambda^2x + \lambda M - \Gamma, \lambda x + \Omega \right]. \]

Namely, let $\check{C}_h$ be the affine curve $\check{C}_h$ with its Weierstrass points removed (they correspond to the roots of $f(\lambda)$), and put $A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 x + \lambda M - \Gamma$. As $-\mu(\mu^2 + f(\lambda)) = \det(A(\lambda) - \mu I)$, then for $(\lambda, \mu) \in \check{C}_h$ we have dim Ker $\det(A(\lambda) - \mu I) = 1$. It follows that the variety
\[ \left\{ (\lambda, \mu) \in \check{C}_h, \left[ v_0, v_1, v_2 \right] \in \mathbb{CP}^2 : (v_0, v_1, v_2) \in \text{Ker} \left( A(\lambda) - \mu I \right) \right\} \subset \check{C}_h \times \mathbb{CP}^2 \]
is smooth and it is easy to check that its closure in $\{ \check{C}_h \cup \infty^+ \cup \infty^- \} \times \mathbb{CP}^2$ is also smooth, so we have a holomorphic line bundle on the compactified and normalized curve $\{ \check{C}_h \cup \infty^+ \cup \infty^- \}$ (this also follows from [24, Proposition 2.2]). One computes further that the degree of this bundle is four and there is always a meromorphic section with a pole divisor $D = R_+ + R_- + \infty^+ + \infty^-$. Of course, the divisor $D$ depends on the coefficients of the polynomial matrix $A(\lambda)$, and hence on $(\Omega, \Gamma)$. Consider now the map
\[ \tilde{\phi} : T_h \to \text{Pic}^2(\check{C}_h) = J(\check{C}_h) = \Gamma_h \]
\[ (\Omega, \Gamma) \mapsto [R_+ + R_-] \]
where the divisor $R_+ = (\lambda(R_+), \mu(R_+)) \in \check{C}_h$ equals to
\[ \lambda(R_+) = \frac{\Gamma_1 + i\Gamma_2}{\Omega_1 + i\Omega_2}, \quad \mu(R_+) = \pm i \left( -\Gamma_3 + (1 + m)h_4\lambda(R_+) + \lambda^2(R_+) \right). \]

Note that, according to Theorem 3.4, the map $\tilde{\phi}$ is prolonged to a holomorphic map
\[ \tilde{\phi} : T_h \to \text{Pic}^2(\check{C}_h) = J(\check{C}_h) = \Gamma_h. \]
We shall show that the map \( \tilde{\phi} \) provides a linearization of the Lagrange top on \( \mathfrak{T}_h \). It is obvious that \( \tilde{\phi} \) is compatible with the \( \mathbb{C}^* \) action \([2] \), so we have the holomorphic maps

\[
\tilde{\phi} : \mathfrak{T}_h / \mathbb{C}^* \to \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_h, \quad \phi : \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_h / \mathbb{C}^* \to \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_h, \quad \tilde{\phi} \circ \phi^{-1} : \mathfrak{T}_h \to \mathfrak{T}_h.
\]

Remembering that \( \mathfrak{T}_h \) is a complex torus, we conclude that if \( z \in \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \sim \mathfrak{T}_h \), then \( \tilde{\phi} \circ \phi^{-1}(z) = kz \), for some \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), and hence \( \phi \) provides a linearization on \( \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_h \) too. The map \( \tilde{\phi} \) is a non–ramified covering of degree \( k^2 \) and it is easy to check that \( k^2 = 4 \). Indeed, if \( R_+ + R_- \) is linearly equivalent on \( \tilde{C}_h \) to \( \infty^+ + \infty^- \), then \( R_+ = \sigma(R_-) \), where \( \sigma(\lambda, \mu) = (\lambda, -\mu) \) is the elliptic involution. It follows that

\[
\frac{\Gamma_1 + i\Gamma_2}{\Omega_1 + i\Omega_2} = \frac{\Gamma_1 - i\Gamma_2}{\Omega_1 - i\Omega_2} \iff \Omega_1 \Gamma_2 - \Omega_2 \Gamma_1 = d \frac{d}{dt} \Gamma_3(t) = 0,
\]

which shows that the pre–image of the divisor class \( \infty^+ + \infty^- \) on \( \mathfrak{T}_h \) with respect to \( \tilde{\phi} \circ \phi^{-1} \) are the four Weierstrass points on \( \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_h \). At last we note that \( \tilde{\phi}((\tilde{T}_h \mathbb{R}) / \mathbb{R}) \), as before, is contained in an oval of \( \mathfrak{T}_h \). In this case, however, \( \tilde{\phi} \) provides a double non–ramified covering of \( T^R_h / \mathbb{R} \) to its image – the oval of the curve \( \mathfrak{T}_h = \text{Pic}^2(\tilde{C}_h) \) containing the point \( \infty \). Indeed, note that the divisor class of \( \infty^+ + \infty^- \) represents a real point on \( \text{Pic}^2(C_h) \). It has exactly two real pre–images: the two Weirstrass points contained in the compact oval of \( \mathfrak{T}_h \), and the remaining two Weirstrass points are not real. Thus we proved the following

**Theorem A.2 (Linearization by making use of a Lax pair)** Let \( \Gamma_h \) be the affine curve defined above, and \( \tilde{T}_h = T_h \setminus \{ (\omega, \Gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^6 : \Omega_1 \Gamma_2 - \Omega_2 \Gamma_1 = 0 \} \).

Then

i) \( \tilde{\phi} : \tilde{T}_h / \mathbb{C}^* \to \bigcup \Gamma_h \) is a non–ramified covering of degree four

ii) \( \phi : \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_h / \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathfrak{T}_h \) is a non–ramified covering of degree four

iii) the image of the flow of \([3] \) on \( \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_h \) is the identity, and the one of \([2] \) is linear

iv) the map \( \phi \) provides a double non–ramified covering of \( T^R_h / \mathbb{R} \) to its image – the oval of the compactified and normalized curve \( \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_h = \mathfrak{T}_h \cup \infty \) containing the point \( \infty \).

Statement iv) is due to M.Audin. In this form it appeared first in \([3] \) (Proposition 3.3.2) but the proof is not correct. Earlier Verderic \([2] \) wrongly claimed that the map \( \tilde{\phi} \) provides an isomorphism between \( T^R_h / \mathbb{R} \) and its image. Statement iii) is a well known fact which, however, seems to be never rigorously proved. Thus Adler and van Moerbeke \([1] \) and then Ratiu and van Moerbeke \([21] \) proposed a “proof” based on a general scheme for linearizing the flow defined by a Lax pair with a spectral parameter (e.g. Adler and van Moerbeke \([1] \), Theorem 1, p.337). The Lax pair \([20] \) does not fit, however, to the general procedure, as its spectral curve is always reducible. Of course this is only a minor technical difficulty as we may also use the Lax pair \([14] \). It was proposed in \([1] \) p.351 and \([21] \) to consider instead of the Lax pair \([20] \), another Lax pair

\[
d\mathfrak{A}^* = \mathfrak{A}^*(h) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \epsilon h^2 & \beta & i\beta^* \\ -\beta^* & -\omega & 0 \\ i\beta & 0 & \omega \end{array} \right), \quad \mathfrak{B}^* = \mathfrak{B}^*(h) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_1} [h^{-1}\mathfrak{A}^*(h)]_+,
\]

where in the notations of \([1] \) we have

\[
\mathfrak{A}^* = \mathfrak{A}^*(h) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \epsilon h^2 & \beta & i\beta^* \\ -\beta^* & -\omega & 0 \\ i\beta & 0 & \omega \end{array} \right), \quad \mathfrak{B}^* = \mathfrak{B}^*(h) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_1} [h^{-1}\mathfrak{A}^*(h)]_+,
\]

\([.]_+ \) means “polynomial part” and

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta &= y + hx \\
\beta^* &= \bar{y} + h\bar{x} \\
\omega &= z_0 I_1 h^2 + I_3 \Omega_3 h + \gamma_3.
\end{align*}
\]

To obtain from the notations of \([1] \) our notations we just replace

\[
\gamma_i = -\Gamma_i, \quad z_0 = I_1 = 1, \quad \Gamma_3 = 1 + m, \quad h = \lambda.
\]
A APPENDIX: LINEARIZATION OF THE LAGRANGE TOP ON AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

For the spectral curve $X_\epsilon$ of $A'$ we obtain

$$\det \left( A'(h) - zI \right) = (\epsilon h^2 - z)(z^2 - \omega^2) - 2\beta \beta^* z$$

$$= -z^3 + \epsilon h^2 z^2 + (-2\beta \beta^* + \omega^2)z - \epsilon h^2 \omega^2$$

(65)

This is generically a smooth irreducible genus four curve, so the Lax pair (64) fits to Theorem 1, p.337 in [1]. Thus the flow of (64) linearizes on $\text{Jac}(X_\epsilon)$ and when $\epsilon \to 0$ it goes over into a linear flow on the compact piece of $\text{Jac}(X_0)$ which is just the Lagrange elliptic curve. On the other hand the differential equation (64) for $\epsilon = 0$ is, modulo a linear change of the variables, the original system (2) which establishes once again Theorem A.2, ii). It is easy to see, however, that the above approach does not work as for $\epsilon \neq 0$ the Lax pair (64) does not define a differential equation. Indeed, note that (64) is equivalent to the Lax pair

$$\frac{dA^0}{dt} = [A^0, B^0] - \frac{\epsilon h}{I_1} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & y & i\gamma_3 \\ -y & 0 & i\gamma_3 \\ iy & 0 & -i\gamma_3 \end{array} \right)$$

(66)

Its (1, 2) entry is computed to be

$$\frac{d\beta}{dt} = \frac{i}{I_1} \left( yI_3\Omega_3 - x\gamma_3 + hz_0I_1y \right) - \frac{\epsilon h y}{I_1}$$

and the (3, 1) entry is

$$\frac{i}{I_1} \left( -yI_3\Omega_3 + x\gamma_3 - hz_0I_1y \right) + \frac{\epsilon^3 h y}{I_1}$$

so $y \equiv 0$ and in a similar way $\gamma \equiv 0$.

More generally, it is seen from the coefficients of the spectral curve $X_\epsilon$, $\epsilon \neq 0$, that the functions

$$\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2 \quad \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 \quad \Omega_1\gamma_1 + \Omega_2\gamma_2 \quad \gamma_3 \quad \Omega_3$$

are invariants for any isospectral deformation of the matrix $A'\epsilon$. By continuity these five functions are invariants for $\epsilon = 0$ too, so the vector field in $\mathbb{C}^6$ obtained as $\epsilon \to 0$ is collinear to the linear vector field of (3). Of course there is no analytic change of variables in $\mathbb{C}^6$ which sends the orbits of (3) to orbits of (2).