SUBGROUPS AND STRICTLY CLOSED INVARIANT C*-SUBALGEBRAS

PEKKA SALMI

Abstract. We characterise the strictly closed left invariant C*-subalgebras of the C*-algebra $C_b(G)$ of bounded continuous functions on a locally compact group $G$. On the dual side, we characterise the strictly closed invariant C*-subalgebras of the multiplier algebra of the reduced group C*-algebra $C^*_r(G)$ when $G$ is amenable. In both cases, these C*-subalgebras correspond to closed subgroups of $G$.

1. Introduction

Takesaki and Tatsuuma proved in [10] that left translation invariant von Neumann subalgebras of $L^\infty(G)$ for a locally compact group $G$ correspond to closed subgroups $H$ of $G$. Namely, the left invariant subalgebra is formed by functions in $L^\infty(G)$ that are constant on right cosets of $H$. Takesaki and Tatsuuma proved also the dual result: invariant von Neumann subalgebras of the group von Neumann algebra $VN(G)$ correspond to closed subgroups of $G$. In this case, the subalgebra consists of operators in $VN(G)$ supported by the corresponding closed subgroup.

In the C*-algebraic setting, Lau and Losert proved in [7] that left translation invariant C*-subalgebras of C*-algebra $C_0(G)$ of continuous functions on $G$ vanishing at infinity correspond to compact subgroups $H$. Similarly as in the case of $L^\infty(G)$, the subalgebra consists of the functions in $C_0(G)$ that are constants on right cosets of $H$. The dual version of this result is shown in [9] for amenable locally compact groups: invariant C*-subalgebras of the reduced group C*-algebra $C^*_r(G)$ correspond to open subgroups of $G$, the C*-subalgebra being the collection of elements in $C^*_r(G)$ supported by the open subgroup.

We see that if we look at the C*-algebraic side of things, there is the constraint that the subgroups are either compact or open (which are dual to each other). To go beyond these constraints, we must look into the multiplier algebras. That is, we replace $C_0(G)$ and $C^*_r(G)$ with their multiplier algebras; in the former case this is the C*-algebra $C_b(G)$ of all bounded continuous functions on $G$. However, these multiplier algebras contain lots of invariant C*-subalgebras that are not associated with subgroups: for example, the C*-subalgebra of weakly almost periodic functions in $C_b(G)$. If we want an association with subgroups, we should consider invariant C*-subalgebras that are closed under the strict topology. Recall that the strict topology on the multiplier algebra $M(A)$ of a C*-algebra $A$ is the topology generated by the seminorms $x \mapsto \|xa\|$, $x \mapsto \|ax\|$, where $a$ runs through the elements of $A$.
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In this note, we shall prove that there is a one-to-one correspondence between closed subgroups of a locally compact group \( G \) and strictly closed left invariant \( C^* \)-subalgebras of \( C_b(G) \). We shall also prove that for amenable \( G \) there is a one-to-one correspondence between closed subgroups and strictly closed invariant \( C^* \)-subalgebras of the multiplier algebra of the reduced group \( C^* \)-algebra \( C_r^*(G) \). These results show that the strict topology, rather than the norm topology, is often the right topology for \( C^* \)-algebras.

2. Strictly closed left invariant \( C^* \)-subalgebras of bounded continuous functions

In this section we characterise the strictly closed left invariant \( C^* \)-subalgebras of \( C_b(G) \) where \( G \) is a locally compact group. These correspond to the closed subgroups of \( G \). The proof follows along the same lines as that of [7, Lemma 12], but of course the use of strict topology requires some subtlety.

We let \( L_s \) and \( R_s \) denote the left and right translation operators: \( L_s f(t) = f(st) \), \( R_s f(t) = f(ts) \) where \( s, t \in G \) and \( f : G \to \mathbb{C} \).

**Theorem 1.** Let \( G \) be a locally compact group. There is a one-to-one correspondence between closed subgroups \( H \) of \( G \) and strictly closed, left invariant \( C^* \)-subalgebras \( X \) of \( C_b(G) \):

1. \( X = \{ f \in C_b(G); R_s f = f \text{ for every } s \in H \} \)
2. \( H = \{ s \in G; R_s f = f \text{ for every } f \in X \} \).

Moreover, \( H \) is normal if and only if the corresponding \( X \) is right invariant.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( H \) is a closed subgroup of \( G \) and let \( X \) be defined by \( \{ s \in G; R_s f = f \text{ for every } f \in X \} \). Then \( X \) is obviously a left invariant \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( C_b(G) \). Suppose \( f \) is in the strict closure of \( X \) in \( C_b(G) \). Since strict convergence implies pointwise convergence, it follows that also \( f \) satisfies \( R_s f = f \). So \( X \) is strictly closed.

Conversely, suppose that a strictly closed, left invariant \( C^* \)-subalgebra \( X \) is given and define \( H \) by \( \{ s \in G; R_s f = f \text{ for every } f \in X \} \). Then \( H \) is a closed subgroup of \( G \). Let \( G/H \) denote the homogeneous space of right cosets of \( H \) and define

\[ \pi : C_b(G/H) \to C_b(G), \quad \pi(f)(s) = f(sH). \]

Using the fact that on bounded sets the strict topology agrees with the compact–open topology, it is easy to see that \( \pi \) is strictly continuous on bounded sets. Taylor has shown in [12, Corollary 2.7] that the strongest locally convex topology agreeing with the strict topology on norm-bounded sets is actually the strict topology itself. Consequently, a linear map from a multiplier algebra to a locally convex space is strictly continuous if it is strictly continuous on bounded sets. Therefore, \( \pi \) is in fact strictly continuous. Moreover, \( \pi \) is a \(*\)-isomorphism from \( C_b(G/H) \) onto

\[ Y := \{ f \in C_b(G); R_s f = f \text{ for every } s \in H \}. \]

Obviously \( X \subseteq Y \). To show that \( X = Y \), we shall apply the strict Stone–Weierstrass theorem due to Glicksberg [3]: if a strictly closed \( C^* \)-subalgebra \( X \) of \( C_b(\Omega) \) separates points of the locally compact space \( \Omega \), then \( X = C_b(\Omega) \).

First of all, \( \pi^{-1}(X) \) is a strictly closed \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( C_b(G/H) \) (because \( \pi \) is strictly continuous). To show that \( \pi^{-1}(X) \) separates points of \( G/H \), let \( s, t \in G \) with \( s^{-1}t \notin H \). By the definition of \( H \), there is \( f \) in \( X \) such that \( f(us^{-1}t) \neq f(u) \) for some \( u \in G \). Using the left invariance of \( X \), we have \( f(s) \neq f(t) \) and
so $\pi^{-1}(f)(sH) \neq \pi^{-1}(f)(tH)$. Then it follows from the strict Stone–Weierstrass theorem that $X = Y$.

This argument also shows that if we start from $X$ and define $H$ by (2), then $X_H$ derived from $H$ by (1) is equal to $X$. On the other hand, if we start from $H$ and define $X$ by (1), then $X$ is $*$-isomorphic to $C_b(G/H)$. Now if $s \notin H$, then there is $f$ in $C_b(G/H)$ such that $f(sH) \neq f(H)$. Taking in count the identification of $X$ with $C_b(G/H)$, this means that $s$ is not in the subgroup $H_X$ arising from $X$ via (2). That is, $H = H_X$.

It remains to show that $H$ is normal if and only if the corresponding $X$ is right invariant. Now $X$ is right invariant if and only if $R_h R_s f = R_s f$ for every $f \in X$, $s \in G$ and $h \in H$. But $R_h R_s f = R_s R_{s^{-1} h} f$ so $X$ is right invariant if and only if $R_{s^{-1} h} f = f$ for every $f \in X$, $s \in G$ and $h \in H$. The last condition is equivalent with normality of $H$. □

3. Strictly closed invariant C*-subalgebras of the multiplier algebra of the group C*-algebra

Let $G$ be an amenable locally compact group, and let $\lambda$ be the left regular representation of $G$ on $L^2(G)$. We shall consider the reduced group C*-algebra $C^*_r(G)$ generated by $\lambda(L^1(G))$. Of course since we take $G$ amenable, the reduced group C*-algebra of $G$ is isomorphic with the universal group C*-algebra. The dual space of $C^*_r(G)$ is the reduced Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B(\rho)G$, which is a Banach algebra under pointwise multiplication of functions (Eymard introduced the reduced Fourier–Stieltjes algebra in [4], denoting it by $B_r(G)$). In the amenable case, $B_r(G)$ coincides with the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ consisting of coefficients of all unitary representations of $G$.

We shall also need the group von Neumann algebra $VN(G)$, which is the von Neumann algebra generated by $\lambda$. The predual of $VN(G)$ is the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ consisting of the coefficient functions of $\lambda$. The multiplier algebra $M(C^*_r(G))$ is identified with the idealiser of $C^*_r(G)$ in $VN(G)$:

$$M(C^*_r(G)) = \{ x \in VN(G); \, xa, ax \in C^*_r(G) \text{ for every } a \in C^*_r(G) \}.$$ 

We note that elements in $B_r(G)$ have unique strictly continuous extensions to functionals on $M(C^*_r(G))$, and we shall use these extensions without additional notation.

Define a unitary operator $W$ on $L^2(G \times G)$ by

$$W \xi(s,t) = \xi(ts,t), \quad (\xi \in L^2(G \times G, s,t \in G).$$

Then

$$\Gamma: x \mapsto W^*(1 \otimes x)W \quad (x \in M(C^*_r(G)))$$

maps $M(C^*_r(G))$ to $M(C^*_r(G) \otimes C^*_r(G)) \subseteq B(L^2(G \times G))$ (e.g. $\Gamma(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s)$ for $s \in G$). We have an action of $B_r(G)$ on $M(C^*_r(G))$ via

$$u.x = (u \otimes id)\Gamma(x) \quad (u \in B_r(G), \, x \in M(C^*_r(G)))$$

where $u \otimes id$ denotes the unique strictly continuous extension of the linear map from $C^*_r(G) \otimes C^*_r(G)$ to $C^*_r(G)$ determined by $a \otimes b \mapsto u(a)b$. We say that a C*-subalgebra $X$ of $M(C^*_r(G))$ is invariant if $u.x \in X$ for every $x \in X$ and $u \in B_r(G)$. To see that this agrees with the notion of invariance used in $C_b(G)$ (i.e. translation invariance), note that

$$\langle u.x, v \rangle = \langle x, uv \rangle \quad (x \in M(C^*_r(G)), \, u, v \in B_r(G)).$$
Now if $G$ is abelian, $\text{M}(C^*_r(G)) \cong \ell_1(\hat{G})$ and $X \subseteq C_b(\hat{G})$ is invariant if and only if it is translation invariant (if $u = \chi$ is a character and $x$ corresponds to a continuous function $f \in C_b(\hat{G})$, then $u.x$ corresponds to the translation of $f$ by $\chi$).

Since $G$ is amenable, there exists a summing net $\{F_\alpha\}$ that satisfies the following properties:

- each $F_\alpha$ is nonnull and compact
- $F_\alpha \subseteq F_\beta$ if $\alpha \leq \beta$
- $G = \bigcup_\alpha F_\alpha$

(see [8, Theorem 4.16]). Here we use $| \cdot |$ to denote the left Haar measure of a set and $\triangle$ to denote the symmetric difference of sets.

Put $\zeta_\alpha = |F_\alpha|^{-1/2} 1_{F_\alpha} \in L^2(G)$ and $u_\alpha = \zeta_\alpha * \check{\zeta}_\alpha$, where $\check{\zeta}_\alpha(s) = \zeta_\alpha(s^{-1})$, so that $\langle u_\alpha, x \rangle = (x \zeta_\alpha | \zeta_\alpha)$ for every $x \in C^*_r(G)$. Then each $u_\alpha$ is compactly supported and $(u_\alpha)$ is a bounded approximate identity in $\Lambda(G)$.

**Lemma 2.** For every $x \in \text{M}(C^*_r(G))$, $u_\alpha.x \to x$ in the strict topology.

**Proof.** We should show that

$$(u_\alpha.x)a \to xa \quad \text{and} \quad a(u_\alpha.x) \to ax$$

in norm for every $a \in C^*_r(G)$. Consider the first case. Now

$$\|(u_\alpha.x)a - xa\| \leq \|(u_\alpha.x)a - u_\alpha.(xa)\| + \|u_\alpha.(xa) - xa\|,$$

and since $xa \in C^*_r(G)$

$$\|u_\alpha.(xa) - xa\| \to 0.$$

So we are left to show that

$$\|(u_\alpha.x)a - u_\alpha.(xa)\| \to 0.$$

Now suppose first that $a = \lambda(f)$ for some $f$ in $L^1(G)$ with compact support $K$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose $\alpha_0$ such that

$$\frac{|sF_\alpha \triangle F_\alpha|}{|F_\alpha|} < \epsilon$$

for every $s$ in $K$ and $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$.

Now every $v \in \Lambda(G)$ is of the form $v = \xi \ast \check{\eta}$ where $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$ are such that $\|v\| = \|\xi\|_2 \|\eta\|_2$. Then

$$|(\langle u_\alpha.x \rangle \lambda(f) - u_\alpha.(x \lambda(f)), v)| = |(W^*(1 \otimes x)W(1 \otimes \lambda(f))(\zeta_\alpha \otimes \eta) - W^*(1 \otimes x \lambda(f))W(\zeta_\alpha \otimes \eta) | \zeta_\alpha \otimes \xi)|

= |(W(1 \otimes \lambda(f))(\zeta_\alpha \otimes \eta) - (1 \otimes \lambda(f))W(\zeta_\alpha \otimes \eta) | (1 \otimes x^\ast)W(\zeta_\alpha \otimes \xi))|

\leq \|W(1 \otimes \lambda(f))(\zeta_\alpha \otimes \eta) - (1 \otimes \lambda(f))W(\zeta_\alpha \otimes \eta)\|_2 \|x\| \|\xi\|_2.

Now

$$\|W(1 \otimes \lambda(f))(\zeta_\alpha \otimes \eta) - (1 \otimes \lambda(f))W(\zeta_\alpha \otimes \eta)\|_2

= \left(\int_\mathbb{R} \left(\int f(u)\zeta_\alpha(ts)\eta(u^{-1}t) - f(u)\zeta_\alpha(u^{-1}ts)\eta(u^{-1}t) \, du\right)^2 \, ds \, dt\right)^{1/2}

\leq \left(\int_\mathbb{R} \left(\int |f(u)| |\zeta_\alpha(ts) - \zeta_\alpha(u^{-1}ts)| |\eta(u^{-1}t)| \, du\right)^2 \, ds \, dt\right)^{1/2}.$$
Plug in
\[ |\zeta_\alpha(ts) - \zeta_\alpha(u^{-1}ts)| = \frac{1_{uF_\alpha \triangle F_\alpha}(ts)}{|F_\alpha|^{1/2}}, \]
and apply Minkowski’s integral inequality. Then we have
\[
\|W(1 \otimes \lambda(f))(\zeta_\alpha \otimes \eta) - (1 \otimes \lambda(f))W(\zeta_\alpha \otimes \eta)\|_2 \\
\leq \int \left( \int \left| f(u) \right|^2 \frac{1_{uF_\alpha \triangle F_\alpha}(ts)}{|F_\alpha|} |\eta(u^{-1}t)|^2 \, ds \, dt \right)^{1/2} \, du \\
= \|\eta\|_2 \int \left| f(u) \right|^2 \frac{|uF_\alpha \triangle F_\alpha|^{1/2}}{|F_\alpha|^{1/2}} \, du < \epsilon^{1/2} \|\eta\|_2 \|f\|_1
\]
for every \( \alpha \geq \alpha_0 \). Therefore
\[
|\langle (u_\alpha . x) \lambda(f) - u_\alpha . (x \lambda(f)), v \rangle| < \epsilon^{1/2} \|f\|_1 \|x\| \|v\|
\]
for every \( \alpha \geq \alpha_0 \). It follows that
\[
\| (u_\alpha . x) \lambda(f) - u_\alpha . (x \lambda(f)) \| \to 0
\]
and by approximation
\[
\| (u_\alpha . x) a - u_\alpha . (xa) \| \to 0,
\]
as required.

That also \( a(u_\alpha . x) \to ax \) can be proved similarly.

The support \( \text{supp} x \) of an operator \( x \in \text{VN}(G) \) is defined as follows: \( s \in G \) is in \( \text{supp} x \) if and only if for every neighbourhood \( U \) of \( s \) there is \( v \in \Lambda(G) \) supported by \( U \) such that \( \langle x, v \rangle \neq 0 \).

**Lemma 3.** Let \( G \) be an amenable locally compact group and \( H \) a closed subgroup of \( G \). Then
\[
\{ x \in M(C^*_r(G)) : \text{supp} x \subseteq H \} = \overline{\text{span}} \lambda(H)
\]
where the \( \overline{\text{span}} \) denotes the strictly closed linear span.

**Proof.** It follows from Proposition 4.8 of [4] that the set on the left-hand side of the identity is strictly closed. Since \( \text{supp} \lambda(h) = \{ h \} \) for every \( h \) in \( H \), we see that \( \overline{\text{span}} \lambda(H) \) is contained in the set on the left-hand side of the identity.

Conversely, let \( x \in M(C^*_r(G)) \) be supported by \( H \). Suppose first that \( x \) is compactly supported. Since \( x \) is in the double commutant \( \lambda(H)^{''} \), there is a bounded net \( \{ x_\alpha \}_{\alpha \in I} \subseteq \text{span} \lambda(H) \) such that \( x_\alpha \to x \) in the weak* topology. Since \( x \) is compactly supported, we may assume without loss of generality that there is a compact set \( K \) that is a common support for \( x \) and for each \( x_\alpha \) (otherwise we replace \( x_\alpha \) with \( u.x_\alpha \) where \( u \) is a compactly supported function in \( \Lambda(G) \) with \( u = 1 \) on a neighbourhood of the support of \( x \)). Let \( y \in C^*_r(G) \) be compactly supported. Then \( \text{supp}(xy) \subseteq K \text{ supp} y \) and \( \text{supp}(x_\alpha y) \subseteq K \text{ supp} y \) (by [4] Proposition 4.8). Now there is \( u \) in \( \Lambda(G) \) such that \( u = 1 \) on a neighbourhood of the compact set \( K \text{ supp} y \). Then, for every \( v \) in \( B_b(G) \), the function \( uv \) is in \( \Lambda(G) \) and so
\[
\langle x_\alpha y, v \rangle = \langle u.(x_\alpha y), v \rangle = \langle x_\alpha y, uv \rangle \to \langle xy, uv \rangle = \langle xy, v \rangle.
\]
Therefore \( x_\alpha y \to xy \) weakly in \( C^*_r(G) \) for every compactly supported \( y \) in \( C^*_r(G) \). Since the net \( \{ x_\alpha \} \) is bounded, we get rid of the restriction of compact support by approximation. We can deal with the other side similarly, so for every \( y \) in \( C^*_r(G) \)
\[
x_\alpha y \to xy \text{ weakly and } yx_\alpha \to yx \text{ weakly.}
\]
We get from weak convergence to norm convergence by a typical convexity argument such as the one presented in [3, p. 524]. Since there is the subtlety to obtain a single net \((z_\beta)\) of convex combinations of \((x_\alpha)'s\) that works for every \(y\) in \(C^*_r(G)\), we repeat here the argument of Day [3].

We say that \((x'_\alpha)\) is a net of convex combinations far out in \((x_\alpha)\) if for every \(\alpha_0\) there is \(\beta_0\) such that each \(x'_\beta\) with \(\beta \geq \beta_0\) is a convex combination of \(x_{\alpha_0}\)'s with \(\alpha \geq \alpha_0\). Let \(F = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\}\) be a finite subset of \(C^*_r(G)\). It follows from the Hahn–Banach theorem that there is a net \((x'_\beta)\) of convex combinations far out in \((x_\alpha)\) such that \(x'_\beta y_1 \to xy_1\) in norm. Now \(x'_\beta y_2 \to xy_2\) weakly since \((x'_\beta)\) is a net of convex combinations far out in \((x_\alpha)\). Hence there is a net \((x_\alpha^2)\) of convex combinations far out in \((x_\alpha)\) such that \(x_\alpha^2 y_j \to xy_j\) in norm for \(j = 1, 2\). Inductively, we get a net \((x_\alpha^2)\) of convex combinations far out in \((x_\alpha)\) such that \(x_\alpha^2 y \to xy\) in norm for every \(y \in F\).

Let \(\mathcal{F}\) be the collection of all finite subsets of \(C^*_r(G)\), and recall that we denote the index set of \(\alpha_0\)'s by \(I\). Then \(\mathcal{F} \times I\) is a directed set where \((F_1, \alpha_1) \geq (F_2, \alpha_2)\) if and only if \(F_1 \supseteq F_2\) and \(\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2\). Now for every \((F, \alpha_0) \in \mathcal{F} \times I\) pick \(z(F, \alpha_0)\) such that \(z(F, \alpha_0)\) is a convex combination of elements \(x_\alpha\) where \(\alpha \geq \alpha_0\) and

\[
\|z(F, \alpha_0)y - xy\| < \frac{1}{|F|}
\]

for every \(y \in F\) (here \(|F|\) denotes the cardinality of \(F\)). This choice is possible by the construction above. Then for every \(y\) in \(C^*_r(G)\), the net \((z(F, \alpha_0)y)_{(F, \alpha_0) \in \mathcal{F} \times I}\) converges to \(xy\) in norm. Since \((z(F, \alpha))\) is a net of convex combinations far out in \((x_\alpha)\), we have \(y z(F, \alpha) \to xy\) weakly for every \(y \in C^*_r(G)\), so we may repeat the argument to obtain a net \((z_\beta)\) of convex hull of \((x_\alpha)\) such that \(z_\beta \to x\) in the strict topology. This completes the case when \(x\) is compactly supported.

To deal with the case when the support of \(x\) is not necessarily compact, we need amenability. Let \((u_\alpha)\) be the bounded approximate identity from Lemma 2. Since each \(u_\alpha\) is compactly supported, so is \(u_\alpha.x\). Moreover, since \(x\) is supported by \(H\), so is each \(u_\alpha.x\). By Lemma 2, \(u_\alpha.x \to x\) strictly so we may apply the earlier part of the proof to \(u_\alpha.x's\) and obtain that \(x \in \text{span} \lambda(H)\).

**Theorem 4.** Let \(G\) be an amenable locally compact group. There is a one-to-one correspondence between closed subgroups \(H\) of \(G\) and strictly closed, invariant \(C^*\)-subalgebras \(X\) of \(M(C^*_r(G))\):

\[
\begin{align*}
(3) & \quad X = \{x \in M(C^*_r(G)); \text{supp } x \subseteq H \} \\
(4) & \quad H = \{s \in G; \lambda(s) \in X\}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** If \(H\) is given, then [3] defines a strictly closed, invariant \(C^*\)-subalgebra \(X\) of \(M(C^*_r(G))\). Moreover, \(X = \text{span} \lambda(H)\) by Lemma 3 which implies that the application of [3] redisCOVERS \(H\).

Conversely, let \(X\) be a strictly closed, invariant \(C^*\)-subalgebra of \(M(C^*_r(G))\), and define \(H\) by [3]. Then \(H\) is obviously a subgroup and it is not difficult to see that \(H\) is closed. Indeed, if \(f \in L^1(G)\), then \(s \mapsto L_s f\) and \(s \mapsto R_s f\) are continuous maps from \(G\) to \(L^1(G)\). Therefore \(s \mapsto \langle \lambda(s)f, \lambda(s)\rangle\) and \(s \mapsto \lambda(f)\lambda(s)\) are continuous, and hence \(s \mapsto \lambda(s)\) is strictly continuous. Since \(X\) is strictly closed, it follows that \(H\) is closed.

Put

\[
Y = \{x \in M(C^*_r(G)); \text{supp } x \subseteq H\}.
\]
It follows from Lemma 3 that $Y \subseteq X$. On the other hand, let $x \in X$ such that $\text{supp} \, x$ is compact. For every $s \in \text{supp} \, x$, there is a net $(v_\alpha)$ of functions in $A(G)$ such that $v_\alpha \cdot x \to \lambda(s)$ in the weak* topology (4). Now $v_\alpha \cdot x \in X$ by invariance. The technique used in the proof of Lemma 3 allows us to pass from weak* topology to strict topology, and so it follows that $\lambda(s)$ is in $X$. Therefore $\text{supp} \, x \subseteq H$; that is, $x \in Y$. The general case, when $\text{supp} \, x$ is not necessarily compact, is covered by using the bounded approximate identity in $A(G)$ from Lemma 2. Hence $Y = X$, which also shows that passing from $X$ to $H$ and then applying Lemma 3 takes us back to $X$. 

It seems reasonable to conjecture that given a closed subgroup $H$ of an amenable locally compact group $G$, the C*-algebra

$$X = \{ x \in M(C^*_r(G)); \text{supp} \, x \subseteq H \}$$

is canonically *-isomorphic to $M(C^*_r(H))$. We end this note with some comments on this conjecture.

First of all, let us embed $M(C^*_r(H))$ into $M(C^*_r(G))$. There is a normal injective *-homomorphism $\pi : \text{VN}(H) \to \text{VN}(G)$ which maps each $\lambda_H(h)$ to $\lambda_G(h)$ (see [11] and [4]). Restricted to $M(C^*_r(H))$ this map is a strictly continuous injective *-homomorphism. (Indeed, $\pi$ defines a unitary representation of $H$ on $L^2(G)$, which leads to a nondegenerate, faithful representation of $C^*_r(H) = C^*(H)$ on $L^2(G)$. Due to nondegeneracy, its strict extension from $M(C^*_r(H))$ into $M(C^*_r(G))$ agrees with the normal *-homomorphism $\pi$ that we started with.)

So we have an embedding $\pi : M(C^*_r(H)) \to M(C^*_r(G))$. Since the image of $\pi$ is strictly dense in $X$ by Lemma 3 for $\pi : M(C^*_r(H)) \to X$ to be a *-isomorphism, it suffices that the range of $\pi$ is strictly closed. Whether that is the case is unknown (to me). However, the stronger statement that $\pi$ is a strict homeomorphism onto its range is certainly false. To see this, suppose that $\pi$ is a strict homeomorphism onto its range. Then, by the Hahn–Banach theorem, every strictly continuous functional on $\pi(M(C^*_r(H)))$ extends to a strictly continuous functional on $M(C^*_r(G))$. That is, for every $u$ in $B(H)$, there is $v$ in $B(G)$ such that $u = v \circ \pi$ as functionals. Evaluating at $\lambda(h)$ says that $u(h) = v(h)$. Therefore every function in $B(H)$ extends to a $B(G)$ function. It is known that this is not true in general, for example for the affine group on the real line [4][2].

The problem whether the range of $\pi$ is strictly closed can be reduced to the elements outside $\text{UCB}(\hat{G})$, the norm closure of compactly supported elements in $\text{VN}(G)$. Suppose that $(x_\alpha)$ is a net in $\pi(M(C^*_r(H)))$ converging strictly to $x$ in $M(C^*_r(G))$. If $x$ has compact support, then an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 3 shows that $x$ is in fact in the range of $\pi$. Approximation in norm extends this observation to all $x \in \text{UCB}(\hat{G})$.
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