Psychoeducational Interventions on Conflict Resolution Styles among Individuals Involved in Commuter Marriages
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Abstract

Couples in commuter marriages who live apart face many challenges, such as having ineffective communications which lead to conflict. Couples who use a positive and constructive conflict resolution style, have a better chance of getting a better quality of marital life. This intervention aims to provide psychoeducation to participants in order to understand conflict resolution styles that can be applied when dealing with and resolving conflicts in commuter marriages. The evaluation of the intervention effect was carried out by comparing the knowledge and skill to the application of conflict resolution styles, before and after the intervention. After being given psychoeducation, it was found that 25 participants changed the resolution style they would use when experiencing conflict with their partner. While the other 5 participants still chose the same resolution style. In conclusion, through this psychoeducation, participants have new knowledge about various resolution styles that can be used, and according to the conflict conditions experienced by each partner.
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Introduction

Many married couples may live apart from each other due to factors related to work or pursuing education and each partner would have to mutually agree on a certain time to see each other (Beck, 2013; Dewi, 2013, in Nastiti & Wismanto, 2017). Gross and Gerstel (1982) describes this condition as commuter marriage. Commuter marriages are not always easy for all couples. Compared to couples who live together, the main challenge is having to face the limitations in the intensity of direct interaction (Handayani, 2016; Maharani & Kinanthi, 2018).

Handayani (2016) mentions that in couples in general commuter marriages would face challenges that lead to certain conflicts because they cannot fulfill their mutual needs. A’yun (2020) conducted a literature review and found that couples in commuter marriages are vulnerable and mostly face conflicts related to communication problems. Unresolved conflicts will contribute negatively to individuals' evaluation of the quality of their married life (A’yun, 2021). In addition to that, this condition can also negatively affect the couples’ psychological condition. Gross (in Marriage and Family Encyclopedia, 2009) described the experiences shared by couples in commuter marriages which include emotional conditions for having to live apart from their partners, such as feelings of loneliness, stress, frustration and depression. Sandow (in Muliadi, 2017) found that among couples in commuter marriages that are not able to adjust themselves to adequately resolve their conflicts, 40% are more likely experience risk of divorce compared to couples living together and those who are able to resolve their conflicts effectively.

Although many negative impacts of unresolved conflicts were found, on the contrary some studies show that couples are able to develop or improve the quality of their marital relationships, when conflicts are resolved. Couples are able to experience this condition if they are able to understand and learn how to negotiate effectively and manage their own feelings (Mackey, Diemer, & O’Brien, in Rosalia & Priadi, 2018). Thus couples that are able to apply good conflict management strategies are able to maintain good and healthy marital relationships. Sadarjoen (2005) explained that marital conflicts occur because of difference of perceptions and expectations of each partner in facing marital problems, among others, differences in each partner’s background and experiences and values they had prior to marital life. Based on these findings, couples are expected to be able to apply conflict resolution strategies as their main effort to resolve conflict.
Nadia, Jannah and Bustaman (2017) found that using effective conflict resolution style will affect the couple’s ability in problem solving, communications skills, quantity of understanding and about each other’s character, and marital satisfaction and happiness. However, if couples apply ineffective conflict resolution styles, they will experience distress, face new problems, and may decide to end their relationship (Killis, in Nadia, Jannah & Bustaman (2017). Thomas and Kilmann (1974) suggests that there are five types or styles of conflict resolutions, namely accommodative, compromising, collaborative, avoidance, and competitive styles.

Based on this literature review and finding on couples’ conflicts and the negative and positive impact of conflict resolution among couples in commuter marriages, the researcher is interested in providing a psychosocial intervention on conflict resolution styles for individual who are in commuter marriages. Through this psychosocial intervention, the researcher hopes to contribute in educating participants about various conflict resolution styles that can be used and in accordance with the problem conditions when they undergo commuter marriages.

Griffiths (2006) stated that psychoeducation is a type of intervention given to an individual, family, or group which focuses on providing information and knowledge to participants so they may gain skills and capacity to face life challenges. Psychoeducation is also based on participants’ strengths and is more focused on the present and future based on their past experience (Rahma & Anwar, 2015). This intervention with the aim of providing psychoeducation to participants in order to be able to understand conflict resolution styles that can be applied when dealing with conflicts in commuter marriages. This psychoeducation also aims to determine the participants' understanding in choosing an effective conflict resolution style used before being given psychoeducation, and whether there are changes after being given psychoeducation.

**Method**

The method used in this intervention is in the form of psychoeducation, with modules that are based on theories about conflict resolution styles and qualitative data obtained from interviews with couples who are in commuter marriages. This intervention was carried out in 3 sessions. In session 1, participants were given material about the conflict picture that is commonly experienced by commuter marriage couples. Session 2 participants were given psychoeducation about how couples deal with conflict and participants discussed their
respective experiences. Then, in session 3 participants were given psychoeducation on various conflict resolution styles. Then, follow up to find out participants' knowledge about conflict resolution styles and the selection of styles that might be used when experiencing conflict.

To determine the effect of psychoeducation, participants will fill out pre and post instruments, with questions about the meaning of conflict resolution and various styles of conflict resolution. Questions given in the pre and post test (actual questions were given in Indonesian Language):

1. What do you know about conflict resolution?
2. Name and explain the types of conflict resolution styles?
3. What do you know about competitive, collaborative, compromise, avoidance, and accommodating styles?
4. Which of the following is an example of a competitive style?
5. Which of the following is an example of a collaborative style?
6. Which of the following is an example of a compromise style?
7. Which of the following is an example of an avoidance style?
8. Which of the following is an example of an accommodating style?

Participants also filled out statements about individual attitudes in resolving conflicts based on five types of conflict resolution (competitive, compromise, accommodative, elaborative and avoidance). The same instrument was given to the participants after the psychoeducational treatment (post-test). Several statements were given regarding the participants' attitudes when facing conflict, as follows (actual statements were given in Indonesian Language):

| No. | Statement                                                                 |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.  | At present time, it is easy for me to express anger, by saying harshly to my partner and blaming him/her for the problems that are going on. |
| 5.  | At present time, i don't want to interact with my partner to avoid trouble. |
| 9   | At present time, i easily take decisions or actions so that my problems and my partner’s are resolved, without thinking about the impact on our relationship. |

The data analysis technique used in this activity is to use Paired Sample T test to compare mean knowledge before and after psieducation. And using a one group pretest posttest design approach to find out if there is a change in the chosen style to resolve conflicts in the future. The design is as follows.
O₁ is the result of the pretest before treatment. O₂ is the posttest result after treatment, while X is psychoeducation about conflict resolution style as treatment. Pre and post test were given to find out whether participants continued to use the same style after being given psychoeducation, or changed the style to a more appropriate and effective style to use.

**Results and Discussions**

**Result**

Based on the statistical analysis of the Paired Sample T-test, it is known that there is a significant difference in knowledge about conflict resolution styles (Sig. 2 tailed 0.001 < 0.05) before and after being given treatment (psychoeducation). This shows that participants' knowledge after psychoeducation activities was significantly higher than before psychoeducation. This indicates that this activity significantly increases the knowledge of the participant regarding conflict resolution styles.

Then, the evaluation results in Table 3 show the results of psychoeducation, table 3 shows the results of the intervention, 25 of 30 participants experienced a change in conflict resolution style after psychoeducation treatment. Among these 25 participants, the resolution style was accommodative, competitive, avoidance, compromising, changing to choose a collaborative style to use when later facing problems. Meanwhile, the other 5 participants showed no change in deciding the same conflict resolution style they used before, namely by using the collaborative, comprising and avoidance styles. This shows that 5 participants considered their resolution style they have used is appropriate and effective in solving problems in a relationship.
Table 3. *Pretest and posttest results*

| Participant | O₁ (conflict resolution style before psychoeducational intervention) | O₂ (conflict resolution style after psychoeducational intervention) | Results |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 1           | Accommodative                                               | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 2           | Competitive                                                  | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 3           | Avoidance                                                   | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 4           | Avoidance                                                   | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 5           | Competitive                                                  | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 6           | Compromising                                                | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 7           | Avoidance                                                   | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 8           | Avoidance                                                   | Avoidance                                                   | Changes in resolutions style not found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 9           | Accommodative                                               | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 10          | Avoidance                                                   | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 11          | Avoidance                                                   | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 12          | Competitive                                                  | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 13          | Competitive                                                  | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 14          | Compromising                                                | Compromising                                               | Changes in resolutions style not found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 15          | Avoidance                                                   | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 16          | Avoidance                                                   | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 17          | Avoidance                                                   | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 18          | Compromising                                                | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 19          | Avoidance                                                   | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 20          | Compromising                                                | Compromising                                               | Changes in resolutions style not found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 21          | Accommodative                                               | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 22          | Avoidance                                                   | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 23          | Collaborative                                                | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style not found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 24          | Compromising                                                | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 25          | Compromising                                                | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 26          | Collaborative                                                | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style not found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 27          | Competitive                                                  | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 28          | Competitive                                                  | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 29          | Compromising                                                | Collaborative                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
| 30          | Competitive                                                  | Compromising                                               | Changes in resolutions style found after psychoeducational intervention. |
Discussion

The results of the psychoeducational intervention show that there was an increase in knowledge and understanding of conflict resolution styles. Then, another result shows that most of the participants chose a different conflict resolution style, i.e. the collaborative and compromising style, when dealing with conflicts with their partners. Some participants chose to change the resolution style because the style used previously had not been effective, so they chose another style to be applied when facing conflict. Meanwhile, those who did not change the resolution style, considered that the styles (compromising, collaborative, avoidance) those had been used were effective in overcoming conflicts during their commuter marriage.

Thomas (1974) stated that the collaborative style is the most appropriate style for conflicting couples because it allows each partner to work together in finding the most effective solution for each other and meet each other's needs. Thomas and Kilmann (1975) further explained that by applying a collaborative style, couples can openly discuss their own complaints and problems and try to find the most effective solution for each partner and to improve the quality of their relationship. However, to see if the conflict resolution you choose is constructive or destructive, depends on how functional or dysfunctional the implementation is. A constructive conflict resolution style will involve open conversation, accepting the partner's point of view, and a commitment to problem-solving. While the destructive conflict resolution style refers to hostile and competitive behavior and avoidance (Rubenstein & Feldman, 1993 in Delatorre & Wagner, 2019).

Based on the explanation, participants who chose a resolution style, i.e. collaborative, compromising, and accommodative, had chosen and used a constructive resolution style. Meanwhile, participants who chose and continued to use competitive and avoidance styles indicated that they used destructive conflict resolution styles. Successful conflict resolution has a relationship between attachment and marital quality, which uses a constructive conflict resolution style. On the other hand, a destructive resolution style can lead to violent acts in marriage and affect the quality of the marriage (Bonache, Gonzalez-Mendez, & Krahé, 2019). However, in this intervention, psychoeducators did not observe how far the resolution relationship could affect the quality of their marriage. This intervention only provides education regarding the choice of conflict resolution styles that can be applied by participants, both constructive and destructive styles.
Psychoeducation provides information and education and knowledge to someone. This psychoeducation method, can increase knowledge, understanding of conflict resolution styles, and examples of behavior when dealing with conflict. Ahmad, Pulungan, and Hardiyati (2019) found psychoeducation is a preventive measure by providing information, problem-solving and communication skills exercise, and identification of marital stressors. Lestari (in Rosmaharani, Wihastuti and Supriati, 2015) added that psychoeducation can influence the level of knowledge among families and decrease partners' anxiety caused by the conflict. Based on these findings, it can be concluded that during the intervention most of the participants had tried to evaluate their condition when facing conflict with the new information provided by the psychoeducator. Psychoeducational interventions were also effective in providing participants with new information and understanding about the conflict resolution styles they could choose to use in their commuter marriage.

**Conclusion**

Based on the results of the study, it can be concluded that through this psychoeducational intervention, there was an increase in participants' knowledge and understanding. Then, some participants chose to change the conflict resolution style that was constructive and considered effective to be applied.
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