MCU controls melanoma progression through a redox-controlled phenotype switch
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Abstract

Melanoma is the deadliest of skin cancers and has a high tendency to metastasize to distant organs. Calcium and metabolic signals contribute to melanoma invasiveness; however, the underlying molecular details are elusive. The MCU complex is a major route for calcium into the mitochondrial matrix but whether MCU affects melanoma pathobiology was not understood. Here, we show that MCU expression correlates with melanoma patient survival and is decreased in BRAF kinase inhibitor-resistant melanomas. Knockdown (KD) of MCU suppresses melanoma cell growth and stimulates migration and invasion. In melanoma xenografts, MCU_A_KD reduces tumor volumes but promotes lung metastases. Proteomic and protein microarrays identify pathways that link MCU and melanoma cell phenotype and suggest a major role for redox regulation. Antioxidants enhance melanoma cell migration, while prooxidants diminish the MCU_A_KD-induced invasive phenotype. Furthermore, MCU_A_KD increases melanoma cell resistance to immunotherapies and ferroptosis. Collectively, we demonstrate that MCU controls melanoma aggressive behavior and therapeutic sensitivity. Manipulations of mitochondrial calcium and redox homeostasis, in combination with current therapies, should be considered in treating advanced melanoma.
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Introduction

Melanoma is the most aggressive of all skin cancers (Schadendorf et al., 2018). Despite recent therapy improvements, advanced melanoma is difficult to cure and many patients still succumb to the disease; this is mostly influenced by low response rates to immunotherapies and drug resistance which commonly occur due to the high heterogeneity and plasticity of melanoma cells (Ribas & Wolchok, 2018; O’Donnell et al., 2019; Jenkins & Fisher, 2020).

Mitochondria were identified as important regulators of melanoma pathobiology (Haq et al., 2013; Roesch et al., 2013; Theodorakis et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2019). Moreover, mitochondria are essential determinants of melanoma response to targeted therapies and immune checkpoint blockade (Vazquez et al., 2013; Harel et al., 2019). It is also becoming increasingly apparent that the tight interplay between mitochondria, bioenergetics, and reactive oxygen
Results

MCU\(_A\) expression determines melanoma patient survival

To evaluate the clinical relevance of the MCU complex, and thus mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis in melanoma, we performed bioinformatic processing of publicly available melanoma patient datasets (TCGA). To this end, we examined MCU\(_A\) expression and classified melanoma patients as high and low expressers. Using Kaplan-Meier analyses, we found that melanoma patients with lower MCU\(_A\) expression have a strongly reduced survival expectancy (Fig 1B). Further analyses suggested that this MCU\(_A\) association with patient survival is more pronounced in patients with mutations in the BRAF kinase, which is the most commonly altered molecule in cutaneous melanoma patients (Fig 1C). The association of MCU\(_A\) expression with patient survival was also observed in BRAF\(_{WT}\) patients but this was less evident (Fig 1D). As BRAF kinase inhibitors such as vemurafenib or dabrafenib elevate cellular ROS production (Cesi et al., 2017), this finding indicated a potential role of redox signaling in the context of MCU\(_A\) expression and patient survival. The important role of MCU\(_A\) expression was also evident in the early stages (I-II) and in the late stages (III-IV) of the disease (Fig EV1A and B). These findings were intriguing since an inverse correlation between MCU\(_A\) expression and patient survival (high expression = low survival) was suggested in several other cancers such as breast and liver (Li et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020). To understand the role of MCU\(_A\) in a broader cancer-related context, we evaluated the influence of its expression on patient survival in a panel of additional cancer types. Our results showed that in some cancers such as kidney, bladder, cervical, colorectal, stomach, and thyroid, similarly to melanoma, MCU\(_A\) expression correlates with patient survival (i.e., low expression = low survival; Figs 1E and EV1C–G). We also found that in other cancer types such as pancreas, breast, and liver, this correlation is inverse (high expression = low survival) (Figs 1F and EV1H and I) and in cancers such as lung, brain, head and neck, ovarian, uterine, prostate, and testicular, MCU\(_A\) expression did not significantly affect patient survival (Figs 1G and EV1J–O). Given the potential clinical relevance of these findings, we sought to identify factors that regulate MCU\(_A\) expression and, more importantly, parameters that link low MCU\(_A\) levels with decreased melanoma patient survival. We thus first tested whether key genetic alterations such as BRAF or NRAS play a role in this context. Grouping melanomas by BRAF or NRAS status did not indicate that driver mutations play a role in the regulation of the MCU\(_A\) expression (Fig EV1P and Q). Moreover, our analyses also showed that other parameters such as disease stage, patients’ age, or gender do not influence MCU\(_A\) expression (Fig EV1R–T). Considering the possible link between BRAF kinase inhibitors and MCU\(_A\), we examined whether resistance to these therapeutics might affect the expression of MCU components. As seen in Fig 1H and I, MCU\(_A\) expression was decreased in both BRAF kinase inhibitor-resistant cell lines (451Lu-BR3 and WM983B-BR) as compared with their control cell lines (451Lu and WM983B). Notably, also EMRE and MICU1 were decreased in the resistant lines to some extent, suggesting that \(\text{mito}\text{Ca}^{2+}\) is an important parameter of acquired therapeutic resistance in melanoma.

Taken all together, we conclude that the role of MCU\(_A\) in cancer is tumor-type specific, and that low MCU\(_A\) expression is associated with decreased melanoma patient survival and therapeutic resistance.

MCU\(_A\) controls mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in melanoma cells

Upregulation of MCU\(_A\) induces elevated mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake while its downregulation suppresses \(\text{mito}\text{Ca}^{2+}\) in HEK cells.
To test whether this regulation applies to melanoma cells, we quantified mitoCa\textsuperscript{2+} using the 4mt-D3cpV FRET-based biosensor (Fig 2A; Zhang et al., 2019). To this end, we generated two “stable” MCU\textsubscript{A} knockdown (KD) cell lines using lentiviral transduction. Indeed, MCU\textsubscript{A} downregulation caused a decrease of the resting mitoCa\textsuperscript{2+} as well as the

Figure 1. MCU\textsubscript{A} controls melanoma patient survival.

A Schematic representation of the MCU complex. EMRE, essential MCU regulator; IMM, inner mitochondrial membrane; IMS, intermembrane space; MCU, mitochondrial calcium uniporter; MCUR, mitochondrial calcium uniporter regulator; MICU, mitochondrial calcium uptake; OMM, outer mitochondrial membrane.

B–D Kaplan–Meier survival plots comparing the survival probability of all melanoma patients (B), BRAF-mutated patients (C) and BRAF wild-type (WT) patients (D) with high- (magenta) and low (black) MCU\textsubscript{A} mRNA expression.

E–G Kaplan–Meier survival plots comparing the survival probability of patients of indicated cancer types that are divided into either high- (magenta) or low (black) MCU\textsubscript{A} mRNA expression. P-values were calculated using a log-rank test. KICH, kidney chromophobe; KIRC, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma; KIRP, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

H, I mRNA expression of MCU complex (MCUA, MCUB, MICU\textsubscript{1}, MICU\textsubscript{2}, MICU\textsubscript{3}, EMRE, and MCUR) in 451Lu (H) and WM983B (I) melanoma cells with and without resistance to BRAF inhibitors ("BR"), normalized to housekeeping gene TBP, quantified by RT–qPCR (n ≥ 3 biological replicates). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was assessed using unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test, *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.005; no asterisk means no statistical significance (P > 0.05).
thapsigargin-induced mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake in both 1205Lu and WM3734 cell lines (Fig 2B–G). The KD efficiency was confirmed by RT–qPCR and Western blot (WB) analyses and showed an inhibition of around 70% at the mRNA level and around 95% at the protein level (Fig EV2A–D). siRNA-based silencing of MCU\(_A\) in WM3734 cells also decreased \(\text{mitoCa}^{2+}\) (Fig 2H), while transient overexpression caused elevation of the resting \(\text{mitoCa}^{2+}\) concentration (Fig 2I). The efficiency of the siRNA transfection was again confirmed by RT–qPCR and WB analyses (Fig EV2E–H). Importantly, downregulation of MCU\(_A\) did not significantly affect the expression levels of other MCU complex components (Fig EV2I–L).

To examine the contribution of MCU\(_A\) on \(\text{mitoCa}^{2+}\) following physiological stimulation, we first tested the effect of different stimuli on the store-operated Ca\(^{2+}\) entry (SOCE) in melanoma cells. As depicted in Fig 2J, ATP, histamine, and noradrenaline induced increase in SOCE. Because the effect of ATP was the strongest, we next evaluated its effect on \(\text{mitoCa}^{2+}\) in both 1205Lu and WM3734 cells. Our findings demonstrate that physiological stimulation-induced \(\text{mitoCa}^{2+}\) uptake is also strongly suppressed in the MCU\(_{A\_KD}\) cells (Fig 2K–P).

Given that MCU\(_A\) expression is decreased in the BRAF kinase inhibitor-resistant cells, we next measured \(\text{mitoCa}^{2+}\) in the resistant (451Lu-BR3 and WM983B-BR) and the control (451Lu and
WM983B) melanoma cells. Our results showed that ATP-induced mitoCa\textsuperscript{2+} uptake is decreased in the resistant lines (Fig 2Q–V). These data thus strengthen the theory that low mitoCa\textsuperscript{2+} promotes melanoma aggressiveness.

In immune cells, mitochondrial Ca\textsuperscript{2+} uptake and thus MCU control SOCE by buffering Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and by preventing premature closure of the Orai Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels (Hoth et al., 1997; Samanta et al., 2014). However, in other cell types, this mitochondria-controlled SOCE regulation was not observed. Because STIM-gated Orai channels affect melanoma cell biology (Stanisz et al., 2016), we measured SOCE in WM3734 MCUA\textsubscript{KD} Cells and found no overt differences between the control and the MCUA\textsubscript{KD} cells. These results suggest that STIM-gated Orai channels are not involved in the MCUA-controlled melanoma cell phenotype (Fig EV2M and N).

In summary, we confirm that MCU\textsubscript{A} and thereby the MCU complex are central regulators of the mitochondrial Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis in melanoma cells. Moreover, we demonstrated that mitoCa\textsuperscript{2+} is activated by physiological stimuli and is decreased in BRAF kinase inhibitor-resistant cells.

MCUA controls melanoma cell growth and invasion in vitro

Given that MCU\textsubscript{A} expression determines mitoCa\textsuperscript{2+}, melanoma patient survival and therapeutic resistance, we explored its effect on cell growth and invasive potential. To this end, we determined cell proliferation of the WM3734 MCUA\textsubscript{KD} and 1205Lu MCUA\textsubscript{KD} cells. After 24 h, we recorded a mild increase in cell growth in the MCUA\textsubscript{KD} cells. However, after 72 h, three out of four MCUA\textsubscript{KD} cell lines displayed significantly decreased cell proliferation (Fig 3A and B). To test the invasive potential of the MCUA\textsubscript{KD} cells, we performed transwell migration assays and observed a robust increase in transwell migration of 1205Lu MCUA\textsubscript{KD} cell lines (Fig 3C and D).

As many cellular traits are affected by cell culture conditions and the microenvironment, especially when comparing 2D and 3D cellular cultures (Pampaloni et al., 2007; Kapczynska et al., 2018), we next examined the role of MCU\textsubscript{A} in 3D collagen-embedded melanoma spheroids. As shown in Fig 3E–H, the spheroid size was reduced in all MCUA\textsubscript{KD} cell lines. Importantly, quantification of melanoma cell collagen invasion indicated that following a longer period (10 days), MCUA\textsubscript{KD} promotes melanoma cell invasive properties (Fig 3I). Next, we examined migration and invasion potentials of the BRAF kinase inhibitor-resistant cell lines and found that in both resistant lines, the transwell migration and spheroid invasion were elevated as compared with the control lines (Fig 3J–N).

Collectively, our findings suggested that lowered MCU\textsubscript{A} levels cause decreased melanoma cell growth but augmented migration and invasion.

MCUA regulates melanoma growth and invasion in vivo

The importance of MCU\textsubscript{A} in vivo was examined using melanoma xenografts in immunodeficient mice. Two clones of the 1205Lu MCUA\textsubscript{KD} cells and a control transduced cell line were inoculated, xenografts in immunodeficient mice. Two clones of the 1205Lu MCUA\textsubscript{KD} and a control transduced cell line were inoculated, and the tumor volume was measured over 36 days. As seen in Fig 3O, both MCUA\textsubscript{KD} clones resulted in smaller tumors. The difference in tumor volume and weight was detected 2 week after inoculation and reached 40% smaller volumes after 6 week (Fig 3P and Q). These findings confirmed the in vitro observations regarding the role of MCU\textsubscript{A} in melanoma cell growth. To examine whether this conclusion also applies to the invasive behavior, we evaluated the metastatic burden in a postmortem examination of the mouse lungs. We found that the number of metastatic lesions per mouse, the
Figure 3.
number of metastasis-positive mice, the total metastatic area, and the area/metastatic lesion ratio were higher in the mice inoculated with 1205Lu MCUA_KD cells compared with the control cell line (Fig 3R and S). The MCUA_KD efficiency was maintained in vivo over 6 week after inoculation, as confirmed by WB analyses of tumor lysates (Fig EV3A and B).

Our in vivo experiments suggest that MCUA and thereby the mitochondrial Ca2+ homeostasis play an important role as regulators of melanoma pathobiology.

Proteomic analyses identify proteins and signaling pathways regulated by MCUA

To identify molecular determinants and signaling mechanisms that are influenced by the abundance and activity of MCUA, we performed proteomic and microarray analyses of MCUA_KD cells and the corresponding control cell lines. The volcano plot depicting the data from proteome analyses indicates that the abundance of a number of proteins is significantly altered (Fig 4A, red and green, see also Dataset EV1) following MCUA knockdown in the WM3734 cells. A "proteomap"-based pathway analysis indicated a high contribution of cellular metabolism and bioenergetics, protein folding, sorting and translation, as well as vesicular transport and cell adhesion, in the MCUA_KD-regulated proteins (Fig 4B and C). Similar findings were observed when we analyzed the proteomic data obtained from control and MCUA_KD 1205Lu cells (Fig EV3C-E, see also Dataset EV2). The subsequent KEGG-based pathway analysis depicted a robust melanoma cell metabolic reprogramming as a number of metabolic pathways such as the pentose phosphate pathway, the TCA cycle, and the glutathione metabolism were significantly enriched following MCUA downregulation (Figs 4D and EV3F). In addition, the evaluation of the affected biological processes and molecular functions identified response and binding to ascorbic acid, dioxygenase activity as well as NADPH regeneration and NAD binding as processes controlled by MCUA. These data thus suggested that in the context of the MCUA_KD-induced metabolic reprogramming, redox regulation plays a central role in melanoma cell phenotype control (Fig 4E and F). We also assessed the proteomic data according to the "Hallmarks of Cancer" classification and calculated the fraction of MCUA-controlled proteins into each hallmark as defined by Hanahan & Weinberg (2011) (Figs 4G and EV3G). This analysis identified MCUA-controlled proteins involved in several cancer hallmarks such as reprogramming energy metabolism, activating invasion and metastasis and resisting cell death and further strengthened the hypothesis that MCUA is a critical regulator of melanoma cell pathobiology.

To further decipher the role of MCUA in melanoma, we performed reverse phase protein array (RPPA), an antibody-based screening tool that allows evaluation of abundance and/or activation state of cancer-relevant proteins (Lu et al., 2016). As shown in the cluster map (Fig 4H, see also Dataset EV3), MCUA_KD significantly affected the abundance and/or activity of several proteins. The proteomaps and KEGG-based pathway analyses again suggested a significant contribution of MCUA in cell metabolism but also in transcription, signaling, protein quality control and cell growth, death, and invasion (Fig 4I and J). The subsequent pathway and functional analyses (Fig 4K–M) indicated that a number of metabolic and signaling pathways, T cell-relevant biological processes, kinase and phosphatase activity and cell death mechanisms are under control of or are influenced by the mitochondrial Ca2+ homeostasis. The Hallmarks of Cancer analyses indicated a high occurrence of the identified “hits” and confirmed the proteomic findings.

Figure 3. MCUA knockdown suppresses tumor growth and promotes invasion in vitro and in vivo.

A, B Proliferation of 1205Lu (A) and WM3734 (B) stable MCUA_KD lines over 72 h, shown as percent of the respective control (shCTRL at 24 h) (n ≥ 7 biological replicates/condition/day).
C Representative images of the migrated stained 1205Lu shCTRL (black frame), shMCUA_1 (darker blue frame) and shMCUA_2 (lighter blue frame) cells on the lower side of the insert.
D Quantification of the transwell migration in 1205Lu stable MCUA_KD lines, based on the number of stained cells (n = 4 biological replicates/condition, shown also by individual data points).
E Representative images of 1205Lu shCTRL (black frame), shMCUA_1 (darker blue frame) and shMCUA_2 (lighter blue frame) melanoma spheroids after 72-h invasion in collagen. Live cells are shown in green. Scale bar: 100 μm.
F Quantification of 1205Lu stable MCUA_KD spheroid core size (n = 6 biological replicates/condition, shown also by individual data points).
G Representative images of WM3734 shCTRL (black frame), shMCUA_1 (darker orange frame) and shMCUA_2 (lighter orange frame) melanoma spheroids. Live cells are shown in green. Scale bar: 100 μm.
H Quantification of WM3734 stable MCUA_KD spheroid core size (n = 5 biological replicates/condition, shown also by individual data points).
I Invasion potential of WM3734 shCTRL (black), shMCUA_1 (darker orange) and shMCUA_2 (lighter orange) over a period of 10 days (n ≤ 4 biological replicates/condition/day, shown also by individual data points).
J, K Migration potential of 451Lu and 451Lu BRAF inhibitor-resistant (451Lu-BR3) (J) and WM9838 and WM9838 BRAF inhibitor-resistant (WM9838-BR) cells (K) over 24 h (n = 3 biological replicates/condition, shown also by individual data points).
L Representative images of 451Lu wild-type (gray frame), 451Lu-BR3 (light green frame), WM9838 wild-type (gray frame) and WM9838-BR (dark green frame) melanoma spheroids after 72-h invasion in collagen. Live cells are shown in green. Scale bar: 100 μm.
M, N Quantification of 451Lu versus 451Lu-BR3 (M) and WM9838 versus WM9838-BR (N) spheroid core size (n ≥ 9 biological replicates/condition, shown also by individual data points).
O Representative images of mice in the shCTRL group and the shMCUA_1 group after 36 days of tumor growth (n = 10 mice/group).
P Tumor volume growth over 36 days postinoculation.
Q Average weight of the tumors at the end point (36 days) upon removal (individual values shown as points).
R Exemplary image of 1205Lu shCTRL (black frame) and shMCUA (blue frame) metastases in mouse lung tissue sections; paraffin sections were made from the removed lungs; H&E staining was carried out and the sections were scanned using an Axio Scan.Z1 microscope. Scale bar: 100 μm.
S Quantitative evaluation of the incidence (shown in percent) of lung metastases, total amount of positive animals identified with metastases, total area of all metastases in a group, and the average area per metastasis in shCTRL group (black) and shMCUA group (blue).

Data information: Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined using unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test (shMCUA cells were compared with their respective control, shCTRL). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.005; no asterisk means no statistical significance (P > 0.05).
Figure 4.
that MCU<sub>A</sub> is involved in hallmarks such as activating invasion and metastasis, sustaining proliferation, and reprogramming energy metabolism (Fig 4N).

**Redox signals underlie the MCU<sub>A</sub>-driven melanoma cell phenotype**

Our proteomic and RPPA screens indicated that MCU<sub>A</sub> and thereby mitoCa<sup>2+</sup> play a distinctive role in several cancer-relevant signaling pathways and cellular functions. Based on these findings and given that mitoCa<sup>2+</sup> is an important regulator of mitochondrial metabolic output and redox signaling (Hoffman et al., 2014; Tosatto et al., 2016; Joseph et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Booth et al., 2021), we next examined the role of MCU<sub>A</sub> in mitochondrial redox homeostasis, that is, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> dynamics. Fluorescence live-cell microscopy using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> biosensors (HyPer and mito-HyPer) demonstrated that the cytosolic and mitochondrial H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in both 1205Lu and WM3734 MCU<sub>KD</sub> cells were significantly decreased (Fig 5A–F). Moreover, transient silencing of MCU<sub>A</sub> caused decreased cytosolic H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels (Fig 5G). On the contrary, overexpression of MCU<sub>A</sub>, which led to elevated mitoCa<sup>2+</sup>, induced an increase in the cytosolic H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration (Fig 5H). Measurements of the mitochondrial glutathione redox potential with another mitochondria-targeted biosensor (mitoGrx1-roGFP2) demonstrated a more reducing redox state in the mitochondrial matrix of all four MCU<sub>KD</sub> cell lines (Fig 5I–K). Measurements of mitochondrial H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the BRAF kinase inhibitor-resistant cells showed decreased mitochondrial H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels relative to the control cells (Fig 5L and M). Of note, the control SypHer-based measurements indicated that mitochondrial and intracellular pH was not altered in the MCU<sub>KD</sub> cells (Fig EV4A–F). Collectively, the redox measurements strengthen the hypothesis that MCU<sub>A</sub> is an important regulator of the mitochondrial and cellular redox homeostasis.

Redox signals have been identified as regulators of melanoma invasive potential and metastatic spread *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Le Gal et al., 2015; Piskounova et al., 2015); hence, we hypothesized that MCU<sub>A</sub>-controlled H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production, that is, redox regulation, is a molecular mechanism that links decreased mitoCa<sup>2+</sup> and increased melanoma cell invasiveness. We tested this theory by measuring transwell migration of melanoma cells treated with antioxidants such as N-acetyl-cystein (NAC) and prooxidants such as buthionine sulfoximine (BSO) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Our results confirmed that antioxidants promote melanoma cell migration and also showed that this increase can be reversed by the addition of oxidants (Fig 5N and O). Moreover, we found that the MCU<sub>KD</sub>-induced increase in melanoma cell migration is diminished when cells are pretreated with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Fig 5P). Of note, the BSO, NAC, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations used for these experiments did not affect the viability of 1205Lu and WM3734 melanoma cells following 4 h of stimulation (Fig EV4G–I) but NAC and the mitochondria-targeted antioxidant mitoTEMPO suppressed the mitochondrial H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production (Fig EV4J and K). These findings thus corroborated the hypothesis that MCU<sub>A</sub>-controlled redox signals are involved in regulating melanoma aggressive behavior.

**MCUA controls mitochondrial ATP production**

To evaluate the impact of MCU<sub>A</sub> on mitochondrial function, we determined the inner mitochondria membrane (IMM) potential. Our single-cell-based fluorescence measurements showed that in the MCU<sub>KD</sub> melanoma cells, the IMM potential is significantly reduced (Fig 6A–D). These findings suggested that mitochondrial ATP production might also be affected by MCU<sub>KD</sub>-We. Thus measured ATP levels using a fluorescent dye as well as a genetically encoded mitochondrial ATP sensor, mito-ATEAM. Both measurements demonstrated that mitochondrial ATP levels are diminished in the 1205Lu- and the WM3734 MCU<sub>KD</sub> cells (Fig 6E–I).

Mitochondrial Ca<sup>2+</sup> induces dehydrogenase activity in the matrix and thus fuels ATP synthesis. Accordingly, the decreased ATP levels following MCU<sub>KD</sub> were not an unexpected finding; however, MCU<sub>A</sub> could have additional effects that may influence mitochondrial bioenergetics. For example, MCU<sub>A</sub> could modulate mitochondrial dynamics and structure. We explored this possibility by performing confocal- and electron microscopy. As seen in Fig 6J–O, neither the overall morphology of the mitochondrial network, nor the parameters such as mitochondrial diameters and the distances between cristae were altered in the MCU<sub>KD</sub> melanoma cells, indicating that the regulation of melanoma biology by MCU<sub>A</sub> is mostly governed by the mitoCa<sup>2+</sup>-ATP-redox axis.

**MCUA affects melanoma cell therapeutic sensitivity**

Current treatments of advanced melanoma encompass targeted- and immunotherapies (Pasquali et al., 2018; Schadendorf et al., 2018;
Jenkins & Fisher, 2020). Given the effects of MCU, expression and its effects on melanoma cell growth, invasion, and patient survival, we assessed the involvement of MCU, in melanoma cell therapeutic sensitivity. To this end, we first identified transcripts that correlate with those of MCU in the TCGA-derived melanoma patient dataset (Fig 1). The proteomaps (Fig 7A and B) showed that in addition to metabolism, genetic information processing and signal transduction processes whose expression correlated with MCU, and are also involved in regulating immune responses (Dataset EV4). The subsequent KEGG-based pathway analysis further strengthened these findings (Fig 7C). As shown, the correlating genes were involved in a number of immune cell-relevant and metabolic pathways. This was further corroborated by the GO term-based evaluation of biological processes and molecular functions which demonstrated a robust enrichment of transcripts involved in antigen presentation, MHC activity, cytokine secretion, and other functions that can determine melanoma cell interaction with T- and NK cells (Fig 7D and E). These findings thus suggested that MCU is an important determinant of melanoma cell therapeutic responses. To test this hypothesis, we first treated MCU KD cells with clinically relevant small molecule inhibitors, that is, trametinib (MEK inhibitor) and vemurafenib (BRAF inhibitor). As expected, both drugs reduced melanoma cell viability in a concentration-dependent manner (Figs 7F and G, and EV5A and B). However, we did not observe differences between the parental and the MCU KD cells. To test their sensitivity to immunotherapies, we used primary human natural killer (NK) cells from healthy human donors and determined NK cell-mediated melanoma cell killing (NKmK; Cappello et al, 2021). Notably, NKmK of MCU KD cells was diminished compared with the control cells (Fig 7H and I). Next, we treated the cells with the antineoplastic chemotherapeutic agent mitoxantrone, which is not used to treat melanoma but was recently reported as a potent inhibitor of MCU (Arduino et al, 2017). To this end, we examined its effect on melanoma cell viability and found that MCU KD cells are less sensitive to this inhibitor (Fig 7J). Based on our findings regarding the role of MCU on the redox status of melanoma cells and the fact that ferroptosis is a cell death mechanism controlled by oxidative stress (Jiang et al, 2021), we treated both control and MCU KD cells with two ferroptosis inducers (FINs). As demonstrated, MCU KD cells were more resistant to BSO, an agent that lowers glutathione levels.
and thus induces ferroptosis (Fig 7K). This ferroptotic resistance was even more prominent when melanoma cells were treated with RSL3 (RAS-selective lethal), a drug that induces ferroptosis by inhibiting the glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4) (Jiang et al., 2021) (Figs 7L and EV5C).

In summary, the presented bioinformatic- and experimental data indicate that MCUa regulates the immunogenicity of melanoma cells and thus their sensitivity to immune cell-based therapies. In addition, the findings that MCUa abundance determines the sensitivity to ferroptosis established a direct link between the altered metabolism, that is, redox status and melanoma aggressive behavior.

Discussion

The importance of Ca2+ and redox regulation in cancer is nowadays widely accepted, and the number of genes and proteins involved in controlling molecular mechanisms that link Ca2+ and redox signals with cancer biology is constantly increasing (Chio & Tuveson, 2017; Monteith et al., 2017; Marchi et al., 2020). In this context, several studies suggest that the MCU complex is an important determinant of cancer biology (summarized in Vultur et al., 2018). However, the current knowledge regarding the role of MCU in cancer is incomplete, and the molecular mechanisms involved and controlled by the Ca2+-transporting complex are not fully understood.

Our findings revealed that MCUa and thereby mitochondrial Ca2+ uptake. We also found that melanoma cell phenotype switching, a concept known to control melanoma progression and therapeutic sensitivity, is of central importance in this regard (Rambow et al., 2019). Indeed, we observed a decreased cell growth and increased invasive properties of MCUa-KD cells in vitro and in vivo. The proteomic and RPPA-based screens demonstrated that the highest fraction of proteins affected by MCUa-KD are involved in controlling cellular metabolism and redox signaling, in addition to environmental- and genetic information processing. Moreover, measurements of parameters, such as ATP production, IMM potential, and mitochondrial structure, suggest that MCUa controls mitochondrial function and bioenergetic output in melanoma cells. Given that bioenergetics, metabolism, and redox signaling play a critical role in cancer pathobiology (Diebold & Chandel, 2016; Panieri & Santoro, 2016; Hempel & Trebak, 2017; Zhang et al., 2019; Tadogon et al., 2020), we sought to decipher the role of MCUa in melanoma by exploring the contribution of the involved signaling mechanisms and pathways. In addition, we pursued this direction based on studies which suggested that redox signals determine the melanoma cell invasive phenotype, whereby ROS, antioxidants, and the redox state of the cellular environment, that is, the blood and the lymph system control melanoma metastatic spread and sensitivity to ferroptotic cell death (Le Gal et al., 2015; Piskounova et al., 2015; Ubellacker et al., 2020).

Our findings are in line with these studies but also provide novel insights and identify molecular players that are involved in the Ca2+-controlled metabolic regulation of melanoma. This study also indicates that manipulations of mitochondrial Ca2+ as a tool to modulate redox signaling might be applicable in treating melanoma and other
Figure 6. MCUa controls mitochondrial membrane potential and ATP production.

A-D  Resting mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta$Ψm), measured with TMRE in 1205Lu (A, B) and WM3734 (C, D) with and without stable MCUa-KD. 1205Lu—shCTRL: n = 83 cells from three biological replicates; shMCU-1: n = 83 cells from three biological replicates; shMCU-2: n = 156 cells from three biological replicates. WM3734—shCTRL: n = 108 cells from three biological replicates; shMCU-1: n = 73 cells from three biological replicates; shMCU-2: n = 110 cells from three biological replicates.

E, F  Resting mitochondrial ATP levels, measured using the ATP-Red dye in 1205Lu (E) and WM3734 (F) with and without stable MCUa-KD. 1205Lu—shCTRL = 67 cells from at least three biological replicates; shMCU-1: n = 80 cells from at least three biological replicates; shMCU-2: n = 69 cells from at least three biological replicates; WM3734—shCTRL: n = 40 cells from at least three biological replicates; WM3734—shMCU-1: n = 38 cells from at least three biological replicates; WM3734—shMCU-2: n = 21 cells from at least three biological replicates.

G-I  Mitochondrial ATP, measured using mito-ATEAM in 1205Lu and WM3734 with and without stable MCUa-KD. Exemplary ratiometric images (FRET/CFP) are shown for all conditions (G). Scale bar: 10 μm. Quantification of basal levels in 1205Lu (H) and WM3734 (I) (1205Lu—shCTRL: n = 141 cells from 14 biological replicates; shMCU-1: n = 143 cells from 12 biological replicates; shMCU-2: n = 143 cells from 13 biological replicates; WM3734—shCTRL: n = 122 cells from 12 biological replicates; WM3734—shMCU-1: n = 103 cells from 12 biological replicates; WM3734—shMCU-2: n = 99 cells from 13 biological replicates).

J-O  Exemplary confocal microscope images of the mitochondrial network (blue: DAPI staining of the nucleus; green: TOMM20 staining of mitochondria); scale bar: 50 μm. (K-O) Electron microscopy of mitochondria of stable MCUa-KD cell lines.

Data information: Statistical significance was determined using unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.005; no asterisk means no statistical significance (P > 0.05).
cancers. More concretely, our results suggest that mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake alters the cellular redox status and causes a more proliferative but less invasive melanoma cell phenotype. Given that such growth-oriented phenotypes are in general more responsive to conventional anticancer therapies, we hypothesize that the activation of MCU will increase the therapeutic sensitivity of melanoma cells and have a positive effect on patient survival. To this end, not only activators of MCU\(_A\) can be applied but also modulators of the other MCU complex components such as MICU1-2, EMRE, and MCUR1 (Di Marco et al., 2020; De Mario et al., 2021). Inhibitors of other mitochondrial proteins responsible for Ca\(^{2+}\) transport across the IMM such as NCLX, UCPs, and Letm1 might have similar...
therapeutic effects (Bondarenko et al., 2015; Lin & Stathopoulos, 2019; Pathak et al., 2020; Madreiter-Sokolowski et al., 2021).

Our therapeutic assays demonstrate that MCUA affects melanoma cell sensitivity to immunotherapies and ferroptosis. To this end, the bioinformatic analyses of melanoma patient datasets identified genes whose expression correlates with the one of MCUA. Notably, most of these genes are involved in shaping the immunogenicity of melanoma tumors. These molecular determinants, together with MCUA, have thus the potential to be explored as biomarkers and predictors of patient therapeutic responses. In addition, the identification of the molecular determinants underlying the robust resistance toward ferroptosis inducers in cells lacking MCUA might provide novel therapeutic targets for treating metastatic melanoma.

Our study demonstrates that in melanoma, MCUA inhibits tumor aggressiveness by controlling a signaling cascade which encompasses mitochondrial-\(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) dynamics, bioenergetics, and ROS production. Based on this model, increased MCUA expression causes elevated \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\), increased ATP synthesis but also higher ROS production that ultimately causes oxidative stress. Through a regulation of several pathways and cellular functions, this transformation induces a switch that drives melanoma cells toward a more proliferative and less invasive phenotype. Moreover, this MCU-\(\text{Ca}^{2+}\)-ATP-Ros axis-induced phenotypic transformation will influence the immunogenicity and the therapeutic sensitivity of melanoma cells. According to this concept, melanomas with high MCUA abundance/activity are associated with higher therapeutic sensitivity and will manifest into a less aggressive disease (Fig 8).

Within this study, we demonstrate that MCUA controls melanoma aggressive behavior and therapeutic sensitivity. We identify novel biomarkers and signaling pathways that control melanoma cell aggressiveness and suggest that manipulations of mitochondrial \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) and redox homeostasis, in combination with current therapies, should be considered in treating advanced melanoma.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and reagents

Human melanoma cell lines (1205Lu, 451Lu, 451Lu-BR3, WM983B, WM983B-BR, and WM3734) and HEK293T cells for lentiviral production were provided by Meenhard Herlyn (The Wistar Institute, Philadelphia, USA). Cells were cultured in TU 2% medium (80% MCDB153 basal medium (#P04-80062, PAN Biotech), 20% Leibovitz’s L-15 medium (#P04-27055, PAN Biotech) supplemented with 2 mM L-Glutamin, 1.68 mM CaCl\(_2\) and 2% FCS) and were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO\(_2\). All cell lines tested negative for Mycoplasma using regularly the PCR Mycoplasma Test Kit I/C (PromoKine #PK-CA91-1048, PromoCell GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany). Chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Munich, Germany), unless otherwise indicated. Small molecule inhibitors (PromoKine #PK-CA91-1048, PromoCell GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) were purchased from Selleckchem (Absource, Munich, Germany).

Protein knockdown

Transient KD was performed using siRNA sequences from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany) and MicroSynth (Balghach, Switzerland), delivered to the cells by nucleofection (Amaza Nucleofector, Lonza GmbH, Cologne, Germany). Two million cells and 4 μl of 20 pmol siRNA were used for each transfection. Measurements were taken 48 h post-transfection. All siRNA sequences are listed in Table EV1.

For stable KD, plasmids expressing shRNA (pLKO.1) were obtained from the Broad Institute’s RNAi Consortium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Lentiviral vector pLKO.1 was used as an empty vector control (shCTRL) or with the siRNA sequences as follows: shMCU_1 (#TRCN133861) and shMCU_2 (#TRCN240523). Lentiviruses were produced by transfecting HEK293T cells with the packaging plasmids (pPA2X and pMD2.G) along with 4 μg lentiviral shRNA vector using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (#116680, Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Melanoma cell populations were selected with 1 μM puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). shRNA KD efficiency was confirmed by WB analysis and RT-qPCR.

Fura-2-AM-based \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) imaging

Cytosolic \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) measurements were performed as described in (Saul et al., 2016). Shortly, melanoma cells were loaded with 1 μM Fura-2 AM (#F1221, Thermo Fisher Scientific GmbH, Schwerte, Germany) in culture medium and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. All measurements were taken in Ringer’s buffer (pH = 7.4) containing 145 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 10 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid), 2 mM MgCl\(_2\), and 0–0.5 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) (the 0 mM CaCl\(_2\) buffer was supplemented with...
1 mM EGTA). One μM thapsigargin (Tg) (#T9033, Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) and 4 μM ionomycin (Iono) (#407950, Merck Millipore Calbiochem, Burlington, MA, USA) were used to facilitate Ca^{2+} store depletion. Time-lapse ratiometric imaging was carried out using an Olympus IX70 microscope equipped with Xenon-lamp, Polychrome V Monochromator, and CCD-Kamera T.I.L.L. Imago (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany).

**Fluorescence microscopy**

Imaging experiments were performed at 37°C in 0.5 mM or 1 mM Ca^{2+} Ringer’s buffer (as indicated in the figure legends) using either a Zeiss Cell Observer Z1 microscope equipped with a 40x oil “Fluar” (N.A.: 1.3) objective, multi-filter system, fast acquisition EMCCD camera (Evolve® 512 Delta) and LED system (Colibri, Zeiss) or a...
Zeiss Observer D1 equipped with a 40X oil Neofluar (N.A.: 1.3) objective, AxioCam 702 mono and LED system (Colibri, Zeiss) or a Zeiss AxiObserver 7 equipped with 40X oil “Neofluar” (N.A.: 1.3), AxioCam 702 mono and LED system (Colibri 7, Zeiss). Subsequent obtained data were processed with the AxioVision, Zen 2.6, or Zen 3.2 softwares (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). All plasmids and constructs used are listed in Table EV2.

**FRET measurements**

Mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) (4mt-D3cpV) and mitochondrial ATP (mito-\(A_{TEAM}\)) were measured using fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) sensors (CFP excitation: 420/40 nm; emission: 483/32 nm and YFP excitation: 505/15 nm; emission: 542/27 nm). Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake was initiated using 1 \(\mu\)M thapsigargin (Tg) or 100 \(\mu\)M ATP. FRET was calculated using the background and bleed-through corrected FRET/donor ratio (equation 1).

\[
\text{FRET/donor ratio} = \frac{(\text{FRET} - \text{background}) - (\text{acceptor} - \text{background}) \cdot \text{CFa}}{(\text{donor} - \text{background})} .
\]

*CF: correction factor for donor (d) and acceptor (a) bleed-through.

**\(H_2O_2\) and glutathione measurements**

Hydrogen peroxide (\(H_2O_2\)) measurements were carried out using the ratiometric protein sensor HyPer (excitation: 385/30 nm; emission: 505/15 nm; YFP excitation: 505/15 nm; emission: 542/27 nm). Glutathione redox potential was assessed using the mito-Grx1-roGFP2 ratiometric sensor (excitation: 420/40 and 505/15 nm; emission: 525/50 nm).

**TMRE**

Mitochondrial membrane potential (\(\Delta\Psi\)) was measured with TMRE (Tetramethylrhodamine, Ethyl Ester, Perchlorate; #T669; Thermo-Fisher) on a single cell level. Cells were loaded with 100 nM TMRE for 15 min and imaged (excitation: 550/32 nm; emission: 630/92 nm), 1 \(\mu\)M CCCP (carbonylcyanid-3-chlorophenylhydrazone) was added as a control.

**ATP measurements**

Mitochondrial ATP was measured using the BioTracker\textsuperscript{TM} ATP-Red Live Cell dye (# SCT045; Merck) on a single cell level. Cells were loaded with 5 \(\mu\)M ATP-Red dye for 15 min at 37°C and imaged (excitation: 550/32 nm; emission: 630/92 nm).

**Electron microscopy**

Cells grown on glass coverslips were immobilized using a prewarmed solution consisting of 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylic buffer at pH 7.4. Sample immobilization was continued for 1 h at room temperature and was completed at 4°C overnight. Staining and secondary fixation was started using 1% osmium tetroxide in 1.5% \(K_2[Fe(CN)_5]_3\) in 0.1 M cacodylic buffer at pH 7.4 for 1 h at room temperature. Without any washing in-between, the solution was replaced with 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M cacodylic buffer at pH 7.4 and incubated for an additional hour at room temperature. During three extensive washing steps using double distilled water for 10 min each, the Walton’s lead aspartate solution was prepared. Therefore, 40 mg aspartic acid was dissolved in double distilled water, and the solution brought up to 60°C in an oven. Next, 66 mg lead nitrate was added to the solution. The pH of the mixture was then adjusted using 1N sodium hydroxide solution. The samples were then immersed in the lead aspartate solution and kept at 60°C for 1 h. After three washing steps with double distilled water for 10 min each, the samples were dehydrated with a graded ethanol series starting with 30% over 50, 70 up to 100% with several exchanges in-between. Final dehydration prior to resin infiltration was facilitated with two exchanges of propylene oxide for 5 min each. Resin infiltration was mediated with a starting solution of 1:1 Epon resin and propylene oxide for 1 h at room temperature followed by placing the samples into fresh 100% Epon resin for an additional hour. For overnight infiltration, the samples were placed again in fresh Epon resin on a rocker table overnight at room temperature. The following day, the samples were embedded in BEEM capsules, and resin polymerization took place overnight at 48 h at 60°C.

Thin sections of 60 nm thickness were prepared from the final resin blocks and collected on formvar-coated mesh grids. The electron micrographs were recorded on a Philips CM120 transmission electron microscope equipped with a LaB6-source and a TVIPS 2x2 slow-scan CCD camera at an original magnification of 8,600x.

**Immunolabeling and confocal microscopy**

Cells were cultured on glass coverslips and fixed with prewarmed (37°C) 8% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. After washing with PBS, cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min and blocked with 5% BSA in PBS for 5 min. Anti-TOMM20 antibody coupled to Alexa Fluor 488 (1:100, #ab205486, Abcam) was diluted in 5% BSA in PBS and incubation was performed in dark for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were washed in PBS and 2.5 \(\mu\)g/ml 40,6-Diamidin-2-phenylindol (DAPI) (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the PBS during one washing step. Cells were then mounted in Mowiol with 0.1% 1,4-Diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octan (DABCO).

Confocal microscopy was performed with a TCS SP8 (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) with a 63X oil immersion objective (HC PL APO 65x/1.40 oil CS2). No image processing was applied except for adjustment of brightness and contrast.

**Proliferation, viability, migration, and invasion assays**

Melanoma cell proliferation was evaluated by seeding 10,000 cells/ well in 24-well plates and incubating them at 37°C over a period of 72 h. Following cell growth, cells were fixed with methanol for 15 min and stained with a 0.05% crystal violet solution for 30 min at room temperature. Next, cells were destained with a 40% acetic acid solution, and absorbance at 595 nm was measured using a
Mithras LB 940 plate reader (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany).

Cell viability was assessed using the CellTiter-Blue® Cell Viability Assay kit (Promega GmbH, Waldorf, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 5,000 cells/well were seeded in 96-well plates and allowed to attach overnight. Cells were afterward treated with small molecule inhibitors or ferroptosis inducers for 24–72 h. The resazurin-based reagent was added 3 h prior to fluorescence measurement using a CLARIOstar® plate reader (BMG LABTECH, Ortenberg, Germany).

To assess the in vitro migration ability of cells, a transwell migration setup featuring 8 μm pore size inserts (Corning®, Kennebunk ME, USA) was used. Briefly, 200,000 cells in 150 μl of serum-free medium were pipetted into the top compartment of an insert and were allowed to migrate for 4–48 h toward preconditioned medium containing 10% FCS. Prior to imaging, nonmigrated cells were washed out from the inserts, and the remaining migrated cells were stained with either 1 μM calcein-AM (#C1430, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) or with 0.5 μg/ml Hoechst 33342 (#H1399, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Fluorescence intensity was measured using an Infinite 200 PRO plate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland), or images of migrated cells were acquired using a Zeiss Axiovert S100TV inverted microscope (Oberkochen, Germany) featuring a scMOS pco.edge camera and later analyzed using the ImageJ software.

Spheroids were generated as previously described (Roesch et al., 2013). Shortly, 5,000 cells/well were seeded in 96-well plates on top of a nonadhesive layer of agar. Following 96 h and the formation of a 3D structure, spheroids were harvested and embedded in a collagen I mixture and were allowed to invade for a given period of time. Spheroids were then stained with the Live/Dead™ Viability/Cytotoxicity Kit (#L3224, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) and were imaged using a Zeiss Primo Vert and a Zeiss Axiovert S100TV microscope equipped with a 10× objective. Images were acquired using the AxioVision and the VisiView™ softwares. Spheroid size evaluation was determined using the ImageJ software. Spheroid invasion was measured by subtracting the mask for the core of each spheroid from the total area covered by all the cells of a given spheroid (invasion area [μm²] = total area – spheroid core) using ImageJ.

RT-qPCR

The total RNA (800 ng of template RNA was used per condition) was isolated and reverse transcribed to cDNA using Superscript™ II (#18064022, Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 0.5 μl of cDNA was used for RT-qPCR using the QuantiTect SYBR Green Kit (#204145, Qiagen) and Bio-Rad CFX96™ Real-Time System. The CT values of the target mRNAs were normalized to the CT values of TATA box binding protein (TBP) which was used as the housekeeping gene. Data were quantified using the 2−ΔCT method. Gene-specific primer sets were purchased from Qiagen or Sigma-Aldrich. Primer sequences are listed in Table EV3.

Western blots

For Western blot (WB), proteins were extracted as previously described in (Stanisz et al., 2014). Shortly, 40–75 μg of protein were resolved on a 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel and transferred onto a 0.45 μm nitrocellulose membrane (#10600003, Amersham Protran Premium, GE Healthcare). After transfer, membranes were blocked in 5% BSA or 5% skim milk powder solution, followed by an overnight incubation with primary antibodies. Membranes were incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 h in the dark at room temperature. Imaging and quantification of the blots was performed using an Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). Primary and secondary antibodies used for immunoblotting are listed in Tables EV4 and EV5.

Real-time killing assay

Human primary NK cell isolation and the subsequent melanoma killing assay were performed as described in (Cappello et al., 2021). Briefly, NK cells were obtained by negative bead isolation (#11349D, Dynabeads™ Untouched Human NK cell Kit) from human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of healthy thrombocyte donors of the local blood bank (University Medical Center Göttingen, Ethics approval 2/3/18). Melanoma cells were seeded in a black, clear bottom 96-well plates and loaded with 0.5 μM calcein-AM (#C1430, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Interleukin-2-stimulated (0.05 μg/ml; #15956–026, Thermo Fisher Scientific GmbH, Schwerte, Germany) primary human NK cells were added to melanoma cells in a NK cell to target cell ratio of 5:1. NK cell-mediated melanoma killing was measured for 4 h at 37°C in 5% CO2 using a CLARIOstar® plate reader (BMG LABTECH, Ortenberg, Germany). Kiling efficiency was evaluated by fluorescence signal decrease.

Melanoma xenografts

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with The Wistar IACUC in NOD/LtScidIL2Rnull mice (NSG). Mice were kept in the same room, in germ-free environment, socially caged as five mice/unit cage and fed with sterile food pellets and water. Wistar Animal Facility has a quality control program in place wherein 5% of mice in each holding room were periodically tested serologically for common murine viruses, Mycoplasma pulmonis and Helicobacter. Human melanoma cells were injected with the MCUA_KD cells and their respective control (1205Lu shCTRL, shMCU_1 or shMCU_2); 10 animals were used per group, randomized. Each mouse was inoculated subcutaneously with 400,000 melanoma cells in a 1:1 suspension of Matrigel (#354230, BD Matrigel™ Basement Membrane Matrix, Growth Factor Reduced; Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and complete media. Seven days after cell injection, tumor growth was measured every 2–3 days, for 36 days, using a caliper and volumes calculated according to the formula \( V = (W \times D \times H)/2 \) (mm³). On the last experimental day, a final measurement of the tumor was taken before tumor extraction. Other organs such as liver, lung, kidneys, and brain were isolated for further examinations. Tumor samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent protein analyses or fixed in formalin for the generation of paraffin blocks for immunostaining.

Immunohistochemistry

Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was performed on sections of lung tissue from mice; these were deparaffinized and returned to
an aqueous medium by consecutively immersing them in xylene, 100% isopropanol, and 70% isopropanol. For the hematoxylin staining, a hemalum solution diluted 1:10 in water was prepared. Following dipping for 6–10 times into the hemalum solution, tissue sections were rinsed with tap water which resulted in a color change from reddish-brown to blue-violet. Tissue sections were consequently counterstained with eosin and were scanned using an Axio Scan.Z1 microscope.

Reverse Phase Protein Array (RPPA)

The RPPA assay was performed by the MD Anderson Center RPPA core facility, as previously described (Paweletz et al., 2001; Grote et al., 2008). Shortly, serial-diluted lysates were printed on nitrocellulose-coated slides using a 2470 Microarray printer from Aushon Biosystems (Billerica, Massachusetts, USA). Slides were probed with primary antibodies plus biotin-conjugated secondary antibodies. The signal obtained was amplified using a Dako signal amplification system (Copenhagen, Denmark) and visualized by DAB colorimetric reaction. The intensity of each spot was calculated using the MicroVigeneTM (VigeneTech, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA). The data obtained contained normalized and linearized values of protein. The values of the KD cells were normalized to the associated control. Primary and secondary antibodies used for the RPPA assay can be found on the website of the MD Anderson Cancer Center (https://www.mdanderson.org/research/research-resources/core-facilities/functional-proteomics-rppa-core/antibody-information-and-protocols.html).

Mass spectrometric proteome analysis

A total of 50 μg of protein per sample were loaded onto a 4–12% NuPAGE Novex Bis-Tris Mini gels (Invitrogen) and run into the gel for 1.5 cm. Following Coomassie staining, the protein areas were cut out, diced, and reduced with dithiothreitol, alkylation with iodoacetamide, and digested overnight with trypsin. Tryptic peptides were extracted from the gel, the solution dried in a Speedvac, and kept at −20°C for further analyses (Atanasov & Urlaub, 2013). For the generation of a peptide library, equal amount of aliquots from each sample were pooled to the total amount of 80 μg, and separated into eight fractions using a reversed phase spin column (Pierce High pH Reversed-Phase Peptide Fractionation Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Digested proteins were analyzed on a nanoflow chromatography system (Eksigent nanoLC425) hyphenated to a hybrid triple quadrupole-TOF mass spectrometer (TripleTOF 5600+) equipped with a Nanospray III ion source (Ionspray Voltage 2400 V, Interface Heater Temperature 150°C, Sheath Gas Setting 12) and controlled by Analyst TF 1.7.1 software build 1163 (all AB Sciex). In brief, peptides were dissolved in loading buffer (2% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid in water) to a concentration of 0.3 μg/μl. For each analysis, 1.5 μg of digested protein was enriched on a self-packed precolumn (0.15 mm ID × 20 mm, Reprosil-Pur120 C18-AQ 5 μm, Dr. Maisch, Ammerbuch-Entringen, Germany) and separated on an analytical RP-C18 column (0.075 mm ID × 250 mm, Reprosil-Pur 120 C18-AQ, 3 μm, Dr. Maisch) using a 100-min linear gradient of 5–35% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid (v:v) at 300 nl min⁻¹.

Qualitative LC/MS/MS analysis was performed using a Top30 data-dependent acquisition method with an MS survey scan of m/z 202–4500 and 380–1,250 accumulated for 250 ms at a resolution of 35,000 full width at half maximum (FWHM). MS/MS scans of m/z 180–1,500 were accumulated for 100 ms at a resolution of 17,500 FWHM and a precursor isolation width of 0.7 FWHM, resulting in a total cycle time of 3.4 s. Precursors above a threshold MS intensity of 200 cps with charge states 2+, 3+, and 4+ were selected for MS/MS, and the dynamic exclusion time was set to 15 s. MS/MS activation was achieved by CID using nitrogen as a collision gas and the manufacturer’s default rolling collision energy settings. Two technical replicates per reversed phase fraction were analyzed to construct a spectral library.

For quantitative SWATH analysis, MS/MS data were acquired using 100 variable size windows across the 400–1,200 m/z range (Zhang et al., 2015). Fragments were produced using rolling collision energy settings for a charge state of 2+, and fragments acquired over an m/z range of 180–1,500 for 40 ms per segment. An overall cycle time of 4.3 s was the result of a 250 ms survey scan. Two replicate injections were acquired for each biological sample.

Protein identification was achieved using ProteinPilot Software version 5.0 build 4769 (AB Sciex) at the appropriate settings. A total of 126,301 MS/MS spectra from the combined qualitative analyses were searched against the UniProtKB Homo sapiens reference proteome (revision 04–2018, 93,610 entries) augmented with a set of 51 known common laboratory contaminants to identify proteins at a false discovery rate (FDR) of 1%.

Spectral library generation and SWATH peak extraction were achieved in PeakView Software version 2.1 build 11041 (AB Sciex) using the SWATH quantitation microApp version 2.0 build 2003. Following retention time correction on endogenous peptides spanning the entire retention time range, peak areas were extracted using information from the MS/MS library at a FDR of 1% (Lambert et al., 2013). The resulting peak areas were then summed to peptide and finally protein area values, which were used for further statistical analyses. A 1.698 proteins could be quantified consistently across all samples at a FDR of 1%.

Bioinformatic analyses

Patient survival data

To generate survival plots, melanoma patient datasets (TCGA) were downloaded from cBioPortal on 26.08.2020. Data on other cancers were downloaded from Human Protein Atlas on 18.03.2021. Kaplan–Meier plots were calculated using lifelines (10.5281/zenodo.4816284). Patients were divided into patients with either low or high MCUₐ expression by scanning 20–80% of MCUₐ’s expression value and determining the optimal separation in terms of survival based on a log-rank test. For detailed analyses, patients were separated by different melanoma tumor stages (I–II and III–IV), by age, gender, or by BRAF- and NRAS-wild-type or -mutant. Melanoma patient survival data (low and high MCUₐ) were utilized for further analyses (based on hits evaluation). Hits were determined by calculating P-values (< 0.001) using Wilcoxon test adjusted by the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure, (FDR < 0.05) and selected according to an absolute logarithmic fold change higher than 1.

Volcano plots

Volcano plots were created to display protein hits. Student’s t-tests were calculated for each shCTRL and MCUₐ,KD cell line
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independently. Hits were shown in red if the unadjusted P-value was significant (P < 0.05) and the absolute logarithmical fold change was higher than 0.5. Hits were shown in green if the adjusted (Benjamini–Hochberg procedure, FDR < 0.05) P-value was significant and an absolute logarithmical fold change higher than 1 occurred. Only the hits shown in red in the volcano plot were further analyzed.

**RPPA heatmap**

To assess the RPPA data, a heatmap was generated. Hits were selected by considering a logarithmical fold change higher than 1 for each MCU Knockdown (WM3734 shMCU_1, WM3734 shMCU_2, 1205Lu shMCU_1, 1205Lu shMCU_2) cell line independently. Hits were shown in red if the unadjusted (Benjamini–Hochberg procedure, FDR < 0.05) P-value was significant (***) and an absolute logarithmical fold change higher than 1 occurred. Only the hits shown in red in the volcano plot were further analyzed.

**Proteomaps**

Proteomaps were generated via (http://bionic-vis.biologie.uni-greifswald.de/) by importing patient data-, proteomic-, and RPPA hits. All proteomaps were generated considering all human genes as a background. The size of each polygon represents the protein abundance.

**KEGG-based enrichment analyses**

KEGG (Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes)-based enrichment analysis was generated using DAVID bioinformatics resources that analyzed patient data-, proteomic-, and RPPA hits (red) (Huang da et al, 2009). All results were filtered by FDR adjusted P-value threshold of 0.05 and sorted by fold enrichment.

**GO Terms**

Patient data-, proteomic-, and RPPA hits were analyzed using GO (Gene Ontology) terms (Ashburner et al, 2000). All results were filtered by FDR adjusted P-value threshold of 0.05 and sorted by fold enrichment.

**Hallmarks**

Cancer Hallmarks Gene sets were taken from (Zhang et al, 2020). Proteomic- and RPPA hits were analyzed considering their occurrence in each gene set. The occurrence of each hallmark was calculated and shown in percentage. P-values were calculated using hygeometric tests.

**Statistical analyses**

Data were analyzed and processed using Zeiss Axiosvision, Zeiss Zen, VisiView®, TILLVISION, Bio-Rad Quantity One, ImageJ/FIJI and Microsoft Excel. Data are shown as mean ± SEM. Unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-tests were carried out to test statistical significance, unless otherwise specified. The significant differences are marked with asterisks: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.005; a P-value higher than 0.05 means that no significant difference was observed.

**Data availability**

The datasets produced in this study are available in the following databases:

RPPA: These data are available in Dataset EV3.
Mass spectrometry proteomics: These data are available in Datasets EV1 and EV2 and on the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository, dataset identifier: PXD029132 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive/projects/PXD029132).

**Expanded View for this article is available online.**
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Expanded View Figures

Figure EV1. MCU<sub>x</sub> expression affects cancer patient survival (related to Fig 1).

A–O Kaplan–Meier survival plots depicting the correlation between MCU<sub>x</sub> mRNA expression levels and survival probability of stage I–II (A) and stage III–IV (B) melanoma patients and of patients with indicated cancer types (C–O). BLCA, bladder urothelial carcinoma; BRCA, breast invasive carcinoma; CESC, cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma; COAD, colon adenocarcinoma; GBM, glioblastoma multiforme; HNSC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; LIHC, liver hepatocellular carcinoma; OV, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma; PRAD, prostate adenocarcinoma; READ, rectum adenocarcinoma; STAD, stomach adenocarcinoma; TGCT, testicular germ cell tumors; THCA, thyroid carcinoma; UCEC, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma. P-values are determined by log-rank test.

P–T MCU expression levels in wild-type versus BRAF-mutant patients (P) and WT versus NRAS-mutant melanoma patients (Q), in melanoma patients with different tumor stages (R), in melanoma patients of different age intervals (S) and in male versus female melanoma patients (T). NOS, not otherwise specified; TPM, transcripts per million reads.

Data information: Data in (P–T) are presented as mean ± SEM (n = 463 patients).
Figure EV1.
Figure EV2. Evaluation of siRNA and shRNA-mediated MCUa depletion efficacy and their influence on store-operated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} entry (related to Fig 2).

A, B mRNA expression of MCUa in 1205Lu (A) and WM3734 (B) shCTRL and MCUa\textsubscript{KD} cells (n ≤ 4 biological replicates).

C WB for MCUa in 1205Lu and WM3734 MCUa\textsubscript{KD} cells.

D Corresponding band quantification, shown as the ratio between MCUa and the loading control calnexin (n = 4 biological replicates).

E, F mRNA expression of MCUa in 1205Lu (A) and WM3734 (B) MCUa transient (siRNA) KD cells (n ≤ 4 biological replicates).

G WB for MCUa in 1205Lu and WM3734 transient MCUa\textsubscript{KD} cells.

H Corresponding band quantification, shown as the ratio between MCUa and the loading control calnexin (n = 3 biological replicates).

I, J mRNA expression of MCU complex components (MCUA, MCUb, MICU1, MICU2, MICU3, EMRE, MCUR1) in 1205Lu (n ≥ 3 biological replicates) (I) and WM3734 (n ≥ 3 biological replicates) (J) cells with and without stable MCUa\textsubscript{KD}, normalized to housekeeping gene TBP, quantified by RT–qPCR.

K WB for proteins of the MCU complex (MCUA, MICU1, MICU2, MICU3, MCUR1) in 1205Lu and WM3734 cells with and without stable MCUa\textsubscript{KD}. β-actin was used as loading control.

L mRNA expression of MCU complex components (MCUA, MCUb, MICU1, MICU2, MICU3, EMRE, and MCUR1) in WM3734 transient MCUa\textsubscript{KD} cells, normalized to housekeeping gene TBP, quantified by RT–qPCR (n = 5 biological replicates).

M Cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} measurements in stable WM3734 shCTRL (black), WM3734 shMCUa\textsubscript{1} (darker orange) and WM3734 shMCUa\textsubscript{2} (lighter orange) cells.

N Corresponding quantification of basal cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} before thapsigargin (Tg, 1 μM) stimulation, of ∆Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ER and of ∆SOCE (shCTRL: n = 186 cells from seven biological replicates; shMCUa\textsubscript{1}: n = 133 cells from six biological replicates; shMCUa\textsubscript{2}: n = 167 cells from seven biological replicates).

Data information: Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was assessed using unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test (KD cells were compared with their respective control). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.005; no asterisk means no statistical significance (P > 0.05).

Source data are available online for this figure.
Figure EV2.
Figure EV3. MCUa controls metabolic signaling in 1205Lu melanoma cells (related to Figs 3 and 4).

A, B Validation of MCUa knockdown in melanoma xenografts. WB for MCUa in 1205Lu MCUa_KD cells isolated from xenograft tumors (A). Corresponding band intensity, shown as the ratio between MCUa and the loading control plasma membrane Ca\(^{2+}\) ATPase (PMCA) (B).

C Volcano plot of proteomics data for 1205Lu MCUa_KD cells versus MCUa_CTRL. Protein entities with significant P-values (P < 0.05) are marked with red dots. Protein entities with significance in both P-value and FDR (false discovery rate) (P < 0.05; FDR < 0.05) are marked with green dots.

D, E Proteomap analyses with protein entities that display significant difference (absolute Log2 fold change > 0.5 and P < 0.05) between 1205Lu MCUa_KD and 1205Lu shCTRL cells.

F KEGG-based analysis of cellular components and processes based on protein hits that show differential expression between in 1205Lu MCUa_KD and 1205Lu shCTRL cells, revealed via proteomics.

G Cancer hallmark-based enrichment analysis of proteins differentially expressed in 1205Lu MCUa_KD versus shCTRL cells, based on proteomics data shown in (A). Percentage of hits in the specific gene set is displayed.

Source data are available online for this figure.
Figure EV4. Effect of redox agents on melanoma cell viability and mitochondrial ROS (related to Fig 5).

A, B Quantification of basal mito-SyprER ratios (pH control for mito-HyPer) in 1205Lu (A) and WM3734 (B) with and without stable MCU \textsubscript{KD} (1205Lu—shCTRL: n = 210 cells from six biological replicates; shMCU \textsubscript{1}: n = 174 cells from six biological replicates; shMCU \textsubscript{2}: n = 117 cells from six biological replicates; WM3734—shCTRL: n = 74 from three biological replicates; shMCU \textsubscript{1}: n = 51 cells from three biological replicates; shMCU \textsubscript{2}: n = 67 cells from three biological replicates).

C, D Quantification of basal SyprER ratios (pH control for HyPer) in 1205Lu (C) and WM3734 (D) with and without stable MCU \textsubscript{KD} (1205Lu—shCTRL: n = 149 cells from four biological replicates; shMCU \textsubscript{1}: n = 176 cells from four biological replicates; shMCU \textsubscript{2}: n = 158 cells from four biological replicates; WM3734—shCTRL: n = 187 cells from six biological replicates; shMCU \textsubscript{1}: n = 189 cells from six biological replicates; shMCU \textsubscript{2}: n = 193 cells from six biological replicates).

E, F Quantification of basal mito-SyprER ratios (pH control for mito-HyPer) in 451Lu (E) and WM983B (F) and their respective BRAF inhibitor-resistant versions (451Lu: n = 73 cells from four biological replicates; 451Lu-BR: n = 136 cells from four biological replicates; WM983B: n = 103 cells from four biological replicates; WM983B-BR: n = 91 cells from four biological replicates).

G Viability assay upon 100 μM H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} treatment for 24 h in 1205Lu melanoma cells (n = 4 biological replicates).

H Viability assay upon 4-h treatment with 1 mM BSO, 200 μM NAC and 1 mM BSO + 200 μM NAC in 1205Lu melanoma cells (n = 12 wells from three biological replicates).

I Viability assay upon 4-h treatment with 100 μM H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, 200 μM NAC and 100 μM H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} + 200 μM NAC in WM3734 melanoma cells (n = 12 wells from three biological replicates).

J, K Resting mitochondrial hydrogen peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, mito-HyPer) in 1205Lu (J) and WM3734 (K) with and without overnight pre-treatment with NAC (200 μM) or mito-TEMPO (2 μM) (1205Lu—control: n = 191 cells from 3 biological replicates; NAC: n = 148 cells from three biological replicates; mitoTEMPO: n = 133 cells from three biological replicates; WM3734—control: n = 237 cells from four biological replicates; NAC: n = 263 cells from five biological replicates; mitoTEMPO: n = 255 cells from three biological replicates).

Data information: (A–F) and (J–K) were measured in Ringer’s buffer containing 0.5 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined using unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test; **P < 0.005; no asterisk means no statistical significance (P > 0.05).
Figure EV5. **MCU<sub>a</sub> controls melanoma cell therapeutic sensitivity (related to Fig 7).**

A, B 1205Lu shCTRL and MCU<sub>a,KD</sub> cell viability following incubation with different concentrations of trametinib for 144 h (A) and vemurafenib for 96 h (B). Data are shown as percent of control (untreated cells = CTRL) (n = 3 biological replicates).

C 1205Lu cell viability upon incubation with 100 nM RSL3 for 72 h. Data are shown as percent of control (untreated cells, not shown) (n ≤ 8 biological replicates). RSL, RAS-selective lethal.

Data information: Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined using unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test, *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; no asterisk means no statistical significance (P > 0.05).