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ABSTRACT. We prove a version of the Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations for Schur polynomials of Kähler forms and for Schur polynomials of positive forms on a complex vector space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \((X, \omega)\) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension \(d \geq 2\) and let \(H^{1,1}_\mathbb{R}(X)\) be its real Dolbeault cohomology group in bi-degree \((1, 1)\). The classical Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations imply that the quadratic form

\[ Q_{\{\omega\}^{d-2}} : H^{1,1}_\mathbb{R}(X) \to \mathbb{R}, \{\alpha\} \mapsto \int_X \alpha^2 \wedge \omega^{d-2} \]

is non-degenerate of signature \((1, h^{1,1} - 1)\). We will summarize this by saying that the class \(\{\omega\}^{d-2}\) has the Hodge-Riemann property.

When \(X\) is a complex torus we may take parallel representatives in the classes \(\{\omega\}\) and \(\{\alpha\}\) and the above statement reduces to its “linear version”, namely that if \(E\) is a \(d\)-dimensional complex vector space and \(\omega\) is a strictly positive \((1, 1)\)-form on \(E\) then the quadratic form

\[ Q_{\omega^{d-2}} : \bigwedge^{1,1}_\mathbb{R} E^* \to \mathbb{R}, \alpha \mapsto \int_E \alpha^2 \wedge \omega^{d-2} \]

has signature \((1, h^{1,1} - 1)\), which is a purely linear algebraic statement (see Section 2.1 for the notation). This has an easy direct proof since we may diagonalize \(\omega\) with respect to some appropriate basis of \(E\) and immediately obtain the representative matrix of \(Q_{\omega^{d-2}}\) in terms of such a basis.

In relation with classical questions arising in convex geometry, Alexandrov considers in his 1938 paper [Ale38] the situation in the linear set-up where in the expression of \(Q\) the form \(\omega^{d-2}\) is replaced by the exterior product \(\omega_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \omega_{d-2}\) of \(d-2\) strictly positive \((1, 1)\)-forms \(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{d-2}\) on \(E\). He proves, by a non-trivial algebraic argument, that the signature of the corresponding form \(Q_{\omega_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \omega_{d-2}}\) is still \((1, h^{1,1} - 1)\) in this case. Similarly, in [Gro90] Gromov proves the “Kähler version” of this statement, namely that if \(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{d-2}\) are Kähler forms on the compact complex manifold \(X\) then

\[ Q_{\{\omega_1\} \ldots \{\omega_{d-2}\}} : H^{1,1}_\mathbb{R}(X) \to \mathbb{R}, \{\alpha\} \mapsto \int_X \alpha^2 \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \omega_{d-2} \]

has signature \((1, h^{1,1} - 1)\).
In our previous work on this subject, we show in [RT19] that if \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N) \) is a partition of \( d - 2 \) with \( 0 \leq \lambda_N \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_1 \leq e \), the Schur classes \( s_\lambda(E) \) of ample vector bundles \( E \) of rank \( e \) on \( X \) also have the Hodge-Riemann property. Here the Schur class \( s_\lambda(E) \) is defined in terms of the Chern classes \( c_i := c_i(E) \) by

\[
s_\lambda(E) := \det \begin{pmatrix}
c_{\lambda_1} & c_{\lambda_1+1} & \cdots & c_{\lambda_1+N-1} \\
c_{\lambda_2-1} & c_{\lambda_2} & \cdots & c_{\lambda_2+N-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
c_{\lambda_N-N+1} & c_{\lambda_N-N+2} & \cdots & c_{\lambda_N}
\end{pmatrix} \in H^{d-2,d-2}_R(X).
\]

Note that the hypothesis that \( E \) is ample necessarily implies that \( X \) is projective. Moreover, if \( E \) splits as a direct sum of line bundles \( E = \oplus_{j=1}^r L_j \) then \( s_\lambda(E) \) is a symmetric homogeneous polynomial in the Chern classes \( a_j := c_1(L_j) \) of the line bundles \( L_j \). We will denote this polynomial by \( s_\lambda(a_1, \ldots, a_e) \). Our result is compatible with that of Gromov when taking \( e = d - 2 \) and the partition \( \lambda = (d - 2) \), for then \( s_\lambda = c_{d-2} \) and \( s_\lambda(E) = c_1(L_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge c_1(L_{d-2}) \).

These considerations allow us to formulate both a linear version and a Kähler version of our main result in [RT19] and the main purpose of this paper is to prove these versions.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( d \geq 2, e \geq 1 \) be two integers and \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N) \) be a partition of \( d - 2 \) with \( 0 \leq \lambda_N \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_1 \leq e \). Let further \( E \) be a \( d \)-dimensional complex vector space and \( X \) be a \( d \)-dimensional compact complex manifold. The following statements hold.

1. If \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e \) are strictly positive \((1,1)\)-forms on \( E \) then \( s_\lambda(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e) \) has the Hodge-Riemann property, i.e. the quadratic form

\[
Q_{s_\lambda(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e)} : \bigwedge^{1,1}_E \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha \mapsto \frac{\alpha^2 \wedge s_\lambda(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e)}{\text{vol}}
\]

has signature \((1, h^{1,1} - 1)\).

2. If \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e \) are Kähler forms on \( X \) then \( s_\lambda(\{\omega_1\}, \ldots, \{\omega_e\}) \) has the Hodge-Riemann property, i.e. the quadratic form

\[
Q_{s_\lambda(\{\omega_1\}, \ldots, \{\omega_e\})} : H^{1,1}_R(X) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \{\alpha\} \mapsto \int_X \alpha^2 \wedge s_\lambda(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e)
\]

has signature \((1, h^{1,1} - 1)\).

**Outline of the proof.** The stages of our proof run as follows. We first prove (2) in the case that \( X \) is a torus with maximal Picard rank. From this one can deduce the statement (1) rather easily by taking \( E \) as the tangent space at a point in such a torus and considering the parallel translates of the positive \((1,1)\) forms \( \omega_j \) on \( E \) which become Kähler forms on \( X \). From this linear case it is possible to deduce the general case of (2) using a pointwise to global argument.

So the main work is done in proving (1) when \( X \) is a torus with maximal Picard rank. For such an \( X \), any Kähler form can be perturbed to a rational Kähler form. From our previous work, we know that if each \( \omega_j \) is rational then \( Q := Q_{s_\lambda(\{\omega_1\}, \ldots, \{\omega_e\})} \) has the Hodge-Riemann property, and thus we deduce that even without this rationality assumption \( Q \) has the *weak Hodge-Riemann property*, by which we mean that it is a limit of intersection forms with the Hodge-Riemann property.

Of course that is not enough, so to prove that in fact \( Q \) has the Hodge-Riemann property we will consider what happens when replacing each \( \omega_i \) with \( \omega_i + th \) for some ample class
and small parameter \( t \). This leads us to various families of bilinear forms with the weak Hodge-Riemann property. In Section 3 we develop a linear algebra machine that considers such families and gives carefully constructed conditions under which the full Hodge-Riemann property can be shown to hold.

We note that this proof of the linear case still uses geometry, as it relies on our previous work and thus ultimately on the Hard-Lefschetz Theorem. It would be interesting to know if a purely linear algebra proof is possible.

1.1. Combinations of Schur Classes. It is natural to ask if other characteristic classes than the Schur classes given in Theorem 1.1 enjoy the Hodge-Riemann property. Our linear algebra machine can also be used to give a condition that guarantees that this is the case for certain linear combinations of Schur classes. To describe this fix \( d \geq 2, N \geq d - 2 \) and \( e \geq 1 \). Then for each partition \( \lambda \) of \( d - 2 \) we can consider the following two objects

1. The Schur polynomial \( s_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_e) \).
2. The Schubert class \( C_\lambda \) inside the Grassmannian \( \text{Grass}(N, \mathbb{C}^{N+e}) \) of \( N \) dimensional linear subspaces of \( \mathbb{C}^{N+e} \).

Similarly we can consider linear combinations of these objects. To this end we will consider sums over all partitions \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \) of \( d - 2 \) such that \( e \geq \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n > 0 \). We order these partitions in some arbitrary but fixed way as \( \lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(k)} \) where \( k \) is the number of such partitions.

Then for non-negative real numbers \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \) that sum to 1 consider the polynomial

\[
\Gamma_x := \sum_i x_i s_{\lambda^{(i)}}.
\]

We say that \( \Gamma_x \) has the universal Hodge-Riemann property if for all complex manifolds \( X \) of dimension \( d - 2 \) and all ample vector bundles \( E \) on \( X \) of rank \( e \) the bilinear form induced by the class \( \Gamma_x(E) \) on \( H^{1,1}(X) \) has the Hodge-Riemann property. Similarly we say that \( \Gamma_x \) has the Hodge-Riemann property in the linear (resp. Kähler) case if the analog of the conclusion of Theorem 1.1(1) (resp. Theorem 1.1(2)) holds for \( \Gamma_x \).

On the other hand for \( x \) as above we say that \( x \) is irreducibly representable if all the \( x_i \) are rational and there exist positive integers \( N \geq d - 2 \) and \( m \) such that the cycle

\[
m \sum_i x_i C_{\lambda^{(i)}}
\]

inside \( \text{Grass}(N, \mathbb{C}^{N+e}) \) is algebraically equivalent to an irreducible cycle.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( B \) denote the set of all \( x \) that are irreducible representable. Then for all \( x \in B \) the following hold

1. The class \( \Gamma_x \) has the universal Hodge-Riemann property.
2. The class \( \Gamma_x \) has the universal Hodge-Riemann property in the linear case
3. The class \( \Gamma_x \) has the universal Hodge-Riemann property in the Kähler case.

We emphasize that the statement holds for points in the closure of \( B \), and passing from \( B \) to its closure is non-trivial as the Hodge-Riemann property for bilinear forms is an open but not closed condition.

**Outline of the proof.** For points inside \( B \) we use the irreducible cycle algebraically equivalent to \( m \sum_i x_i C_{\lambda^{(i)}} \) to produce a morphism \( \pi : \tilde{C} \to X \) from an irreducible variety \( \tilde{C} \) and a nef vector bundle \( U \) on \( \tilde{C} \) so that \( \pi_* (c_{n-2}(U)) = \Gamma_x(E) \). Essentially by our previous work, this is enough to prove the statement in this case. So by continuity for every \( x \) in the
closure of $B$ we know that the bilinear form associated to $\Gamma_x$ has the weak Hodge-Riemann property, and we then apply the same linear algebra machinery discussed above.

**Comparison with previous work:** Our first work in this subject is [RT19] in which we prove the Hodge-Riemann property for Schur classes of ample bundles. We continue this in [RT21] in which we emphasize more the importance of the weak Hodge-Riemann property (which is much easier to prove) and from this we develop various inequalities among characteristic classes of nef vector bundles. The linear algebra machinery we develop in this paper is an abstraction of the arguments in [RT19]. In fact, combining what is written here with [RT21] reproves the main results of [RT19].

We have already mentioned Gromov’s paper [Gro90] who following work of Alexandrov in the linear case [Ale38] initiated the investigation into what classes on Kähler manifolds have the Hard-Lefschetz and Hodge-Riemann properties. This has since been taken up by others, for instance [Cat08, DN06, DN13].

The idea that Schur classes of ample vector bundles have some kind of positivity originates in the work of Fulton-Lazarsfeld [FL83] who prove that top-degree Schur classes of ample vector bundles are positive. All our results concerning Hodge-Riemann properties of characteristic classes of ample vector bundles rely on this statement.

**Acknowledgements:** The first author was supported by NSF grants DMS-1707661 and DMS-1749447 during this work.

2. **Preliminaries**

2.1. **Linear Algebra Notation.** Let $E$ be a $d$-dimensional complex vector space. We denote by $E^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E, \mathbb{C})$, $E^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{ant}}(E, \mathbb{C})$ and by $\wedge^{p,q} E^*$ the spaces of $(1,0)$, $(0,1)$ and $(p,q)$-forms on $E$, respectively. Recall that $\wedge^p E^*$ is the image of $\wedge^p E^* \otimes \wedge^q \tilde{E}^* \in \wedge^{p+q}(E^* \oplus \tilde{E}^*)$. The conjugation operator $E^* \to \tilde{E}^*$ naturally extends for each bidegree $(p,q)$ as an operator $\wedge^p E^* \to \wedge^q \tilde{E}^*$ and when $p = q$ we denote by $\wedge^{p,p} E^*$ its space of fixpoints; it is the space of real $(p,p)$-forms on $E$. Anticipating our application when $E$ will be the holomorphic tangent space at a point of a complex manifold, we denote a basis of $E$ by $(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_d})$ and its dual basis by $(d\bar{z}_1, \ldots, d\bar{z}_d)$. We have a canonical orientation on $E$ given by the top degree form $\text{vol} := idz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge idz_d \wedge d\bar{z}_d$.

2.2. **$\mathbb{R}$-twisted vector bundles.** Given a vector bundle $E$ on a manifold $X$ and a class $\delta \in H^{2,1}_R(X)$ we denote the $\mathbb{R}$-twisted bundle by $E(\delta)$ which is a formal object understood to have Chern classes defined by the rule

$$c_p(E(\delta)) := \sum_{k=0}^{p} \binom{e-k}{p-k} c_k(E) \delta^{p-k} \text{ for } 0 \leq p \leq e. \quad (2.1)$$

Equivalently, if $x_1, \ldots, x_e$ are the Chern roots of $E$ then $x_1 + \delta, \ldots, x_e + \delta$ are by definition the Chern roots of $E(\delta)$. The reader is referred to [Laz04, Section 6.2, 8.1.A] or [RT19, Sec. 2.4] for the basic properties of these objects.

2.3. **Quadratic Forms and the (weak) Hodge-Riemann property.** Let $V$ be a real vector space of finite dimension $\rho$ and

$$Q : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$$

be a symmetric bilinear form on $V$. We write $Q(v) := Q(v, v)$ for $v \in V$ for the associated quadratic form.
The proofs of two results from [19] depend on our previous work on Schur classes of vector bundles. Here we state and sketch

\[ Q \]

Thus

\[ 2.4. \]

Previous Results for Vector Bundles.

Let

\[ h \]

as first vector leads to a form where all diagonal entries but the first one are non-positive.

\[ Q \]

satisfies the Hodge-Index inequality too.

\[ h \]

with respect to

\[ Q \]

of bilinear forms having the Hodge-Riemann property, then we may clearly suppose that

\[ Q \]

the Hodge-Index inequality

\[ Q \]

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2) and (3) ⇒ (1) and (4)⇒ (3) are immediate, and (1)⇒ (3) comes from Sylvester’s law of inertia. For (3)⇒ (4): Given \( v \in V \) choose \( \lambda \) so \( Q(v + \lambda h', h') = 0 \). By (3), this implies \( Q(v + \lambda h', v + \lambda h') \leq 0 \) with equality iff \( v + \lambda h' = 0 \). Rearranging gives (4).

\[ 2.2. \]

Definition 2.2. When \( Q \) has the Hodge-Riemann property and \( h \in V \) is such that \( Q(h) > 0 \) we will say that \( Q \) has the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to \( h \).

Note that when \( Q \) has the Hodge-Riemann property, choosing an element \( h \) in the set \\
\[ \{ v \in V \mid Q(v) > 0 \} \]

serves to distinguish one of the two connected components of this set whose elements may be looked upon as “positive” vectors for \( Q \).

Definition 2.3. We will say that \( Q \) has the weak Hodge-Riemann property if \( Q \) is a limit of symmetric bilinear forms on \( V \) that have the Hodge-Riemann property. If moreover an element \( h \in V \) exists such that \( Q(h) > 0 \), we will say that \( Q \) has the weak Hodge-Riemann property with respect to \( h \).

Lemma 2.4. Let \( Q \) be symmetric bilinear form on \( V \) as before and suppose that an element \( h \in V \) exists such that \( Q(h) > 0 \). Then \( Q \) has the weak Hodge-Riemann property with respect to \( h \) if and only if

\[ Q(v)Q(h) \leq Q(v,h)^2 \text{ for all } v \in V. \]

Proof. If \( Q \) satisfies the condition \( Q(h) > 0 \) and is also the limit of a sequence \( (Q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) of bilinear forms having the Hodge-Riemann property, then we may clearly suppose that the forms \( Q_n \) have the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to \( h \) and thus they satisfy the Hodge-Index inequality \( Q_n(v)Q_n(h) \leq Q_n(v,h)^2 \) for all \( v \in V \). It follows that \( Q \) satisfies the Hodge-Index inequality too.

Conversely, if \( Q \) satisfies the condition \( Q(h) > 0 \) and the Hodge-Index inequality \( Q(v)Q(h) \leq Q(v,h)^2 \) for all \( v \in V \), then diagonalizing \( Q \) with respect to a basis having \( h \) as first vector leads to a form where all diagonal entries but the first one are non-positive. Thus \( Q \) has the weak Hodge-Riemann property with respect to \( h \).

2.4. Previous Results for Vector Bundles. The proof we give of our main result will depend on our previous work on Schur classes of vector bundles. Here we state and sketch the proofs of two results from [19] and [21] which will be used in an essential way in Proposition 4.7. (In fact we will use slight generalizations that allow the base space to be irreducible rather than smooth.)
Theorem 2.5. [RT21, Theorem 7.2] Let $X$ be a complex projective manifold of dimension $d$, let $E$ be a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-twisted nef vector bundle on $X$ and let $\lambda$ be a partition of $d-2$. Then the quadratic form
\[
Q_{s_{\lambda}(E)} : H^{1,1}_R(X) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \{\alpha\} \mapsto \int_X \alpha^2 \wedge s_{\lambda}(E)
\]
has the weak Hodge-Riemann property.

Sketch Proof. Rather than repeat the proof here we merely indicate the main ingredients. The first step is to observe that if $h$ is an ample class on $X$ and $E$ is ample then $Q_{s_{\lambda}(E)}(h) > 0$ by Fulton-Lazarsfeld [FL83].

We start by proving the statement when $E$ has rank $d - 2$ and for the Chern class $c_{d-2}(E)$. This is done by a continuity argument, replacing $E$ with the $\mathbb{R}$-twist $E(th)$ where $h$ is an ample class on $X$ and $t > 0$ is real. By the Bloch-Gieseker Theorem (which relies on the Hard-Lefschetz Theorem on the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(E)$) we know that $Q_{c_{d-2}(E(th))}$ is always non-degenerate, and so its signature does not change as $t > 0$ varies. But the classical Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations for $h^{d-2}$ imply that this signature is of the expected form for $t$ large, completing the proof in this case.

The general case then follows from this by a geometric construction that provides a morphism $\pi : \tilde{C}_E \to X$ from an irreducible variety $\tilde{C}_E$ of dimension $n$ and a nef bundle $U$ on $\tilde{C}_E$ of rank $n - 2$ so that $\pi_* c_{n-2}(U) = s_{\lambda}(E)$ (see Section 4.1 for a brief discussion of this construction in the case that $E$ splits as a sum of line bundles). Then the weak Hodge-Riemann property for $s_{\lambda}(E)$ follows from that for $c_{n-2}(U)$.

Another result that we will need is the following inequality on Chern classes of ample vector bundles.

Theorem 2.6. [RT19, Theorem 3.2][RT21, Theorem 10.2] Let $X$ be a complex projective manifold of dimension $d$, let $E$ be a nef vector bundle on $X$ and $h$ be an ample class on $X$. Then for all $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X)$ it holds that
\[
\int_X \alpha^2 c_{d-2}(E) \int_X h c_{d-1}(E) \leq 2 \int_X \alpha h c_{d-2}(E) \int_X \alpha c_{d-1}(E). \tag{2.3}
\]

Sketch Proof. The statement is trivial unless the rank of $e$ is at least $d - 1$. Consider the product $X' := X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and the bundle $E' := E \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. Then Theorem 2.5 says that bilinear form $Q_{c_{d-2}(E')}$ has the weak Hodge-Riemann property on $H^{1,1}_R(X') = H^{1,1}_R(X) \oplus \mathbb{R}$, so in particular satisfies the Hodge-index inequality. Applying this to a suitable class in $H^{1,1}_R(X')$ (see the proof of [RT21, Theorem 10.2]) gives (2.3).

3. Augmentation

Suppose that $\mathcal{R}_t$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a family of bilinear forms on a fixed vector space $V$ each of which has the weak Hodge-Riemann property. In this section we describe conditions on this family under which it is possible to deduce that $\mathcal{R}_0$ actually has the Hodge-Riemann property (perhaps after restricting to a certain subspace of $V$). For lack of a better term we call this process “augmentation” which is, in the end, nothing but a formal piece of linear algebra.

To describe this more precisely, let $W$ be a finite dimensional vector space and consider $V := W \oplus \mathbb{R}$. 

To ease notation, let $\zeta$ be a vector that spans the extra factor of $\mathbb{R}$, so each $\beta \in V$ can be written as

$$\beta = \alpha + \lambda \zeta$$

for $\alpha \in W$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now suppose $\mathcal{R}_t$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a family of bilinear forms on $V$ that we assume is differentiable with respect to $t$, and write $\mathcal{R}'_t$ for the derivative. We also fix some non-zero element $h \in W$.

### 3.1. Augmentation 1.

**Definition 3.1.** We say that $\mathcal{R}_t$ has property $(A)$ if the following hold

(A1) $\mathcal{R}_0(h) > 0$ and $\mathcal{R}'_0(h) > 0$.

(A2) For $|t| \ll 1$ $\mathcal{R}_t$ has the weak Hodge-Riemann property with respect to $h$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{R}_t(\beta)\mathcal{R}_t(h) \leq \mathcal{R}_t(\beta, h)^2$$

for all $\beta \in V$.

(A3) The following inequality holds

$$\mathcal{R}'_0(\beta)\mathcal{R}_0(h) \leq 2\mathcal{R}_0(\beta, h)\mathcal{R}_0(\beta, h)$$

for all $\beta \in V$.

(A4) There exists some $c = c_\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}'_0(\beta, \zeta) = c\mathcal{R}_0(\beta, h)$ for all $\beta \in V$.

(A5) $\mathcal{R}_0(\zeta, h) > 0$.

**Remark 3.2.** The reader should just consider these as abstract properties of the family $\mathcal{R}_t$. In our application later (A2) will follow from Theorem 2.5 and (A3) will follow from Theorem 2.6.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $\mathcal{R}_t$ be family bilinear forms on $V$ that has property $(A)$. If $\mathcal{R}'_0$ has the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to $h$ then $\mathcal{R}_0$ also has the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to $h$.

**Proof.** Note that we are assuming (A2) that $\mathcal{R}_0$ has the weak-Hodge Riemann property, and the task is to show that it actually has the Hodge-Riemann property, and by (A1) we know $\mathcal{R}_0(h) > 0$. So suppose $\beta \in V$ is such that

$$\mathcal{R}_0(\beta, h) = 0 = \mathcal{R}_0(\beta).$$

Then the task is to show that $\beta = 0$.

**Step 1:** We claim that

$$\mathcal{R}'_0(\beta) = 0.$$  (3.2)

To prove this consider

$$f(t) := \mathcal{R}_t(\beta)\mathcal{R}_t(h) - (\mathcal{R}_t(\beta, h))^2.$$  (3.3)

By (A2), $f(t) \leq 0$ for all $|t| \ll 1$ and (3.1) implies $f(0) = 0$. Thus

$$0 = f'(0) = \mathcal{R}_0(\beta)\mathcal{R}'_0(h) + \mathcal{R}'_0(\beta)\mathcal{R}_0(h) - 2\mathcal{R}_0(\beta, h)\mathcal{R}'_0(\beta, h) = \mathcal{R}'_0(\beta)\mathcal{R}_0(h)$$

which implies the claim (3.2) as $\mathcal{R}_0(h) > 0$ by (A1).

**Step 2:** Now we use the assumption that $\mathcal{R}'_0$ has the Hodge-Riemann property, which in particular implies it is non-degenerate. Thus there exists a $\gamma \in V$ that is dual to the linear map $\mathcal{R}_0(\cdot, h)$, i.e. such that

$$\mathcal{R}'_0(\delta, \gamma) = \mathcal{R}_0(\delta, h)$$

for all $\delta \in V$. (3.4)

Observe that since $\mathcal{R}_0(h) > 0$ we know that $\gamma \neq 0$. 

Step 3: We next claim that
\[ \mathcal{R}_0'(\beta, h)\mathcal{R}_0(\gamma, h) = 0. \] (3.5)

To see this consider
\[ g(s) := \mathcal{R}_0'(\beta + s\gamma)\mathcal{R}_0(h) - 2\mathcal{R}_0'(\beta + s\gamma, h)\mathcal{R}_0(\beta + s\gamma, h). \]

By (A3) we have \( g(s) \leq 0 \) for all \( s \), and by (3.1,3.2) we know \( g(0) = 0 \). Thus
\[ \begin{align*}
0 &= g'(0) = 2\mathcal{R}_0'(\beta, \gamma)\mathcal{R}_0(h) - 2\mathcal{R}_0'(\beta, h)\mathcal{R}_0(\gamma, h) - 2\mathcal{R}_0(\gamma, h)\mathcal{R}_0(\beta, h) \\
&= 2\mathcal{R}_0(\beta, h)\mathcal{R}_0(h) - 2\mathcal{R}_0'(\beta, h)\mathcal{R}_0(\gamma, h) \quad \text{(by (3.4) and (3.1))} \\
&= -2\mathcal{R}_0'(\beta, h)\mathcal{R}_0(\gamma, h) \quad \text{(by (3.1) again)}
\end{align*} \]

proving the claim.

Step 4: We next show that \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are proportional, i.e. there exists a \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \beta = \kappa \gamma \).

Suppose first that \( \mathcal{R}_0'(\beta, h) = 0 \). Recall we already know from Step 1 that \( \mathcal{R}_0'(\beta) = 0 \) and by (A1) \( \mathcal{R}_0'(h) > 0 \). Thus since \( \mathcal{R}_0' \) is assumed to have the Hodge-Riemann property we deduce that \( \beta = 0 \) so the Claim certainly holds with \( \kappa = 0 \).

So we may assume \( \mathcal{R}_0'(\beta, h) \neq 0 \) and so by (3.4), \( \mathcal{R}_0'(\gamma) = \mathcal{R}_0(\gamma, h) = 0 \) by Step 3. Thus in summary, the classes \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) both lie in \( \ker(\mathcal{R}_0(\cdot, h)) \) and also in the null cone of \( \mathcal{R}_0' \). Recall \( \mathcal{R}_0' \) has signature \((1, \dim V - 1)\) and by (A3) is negative semidefinite on \( \ker(\mathcal{R}_0(\cdot, h)) \). But this is only possible if \( \beta \) is proportional to \( \gamma \) (this is a formal statement about such bilinear forms that for completeness we include in Lemma 3.4). This proves the claim that \( \beta = \kappa \gamma \).

Step 5: We are now ready to complete the proof by observing that
\[ \begin{align*}
\kappa \mathcal{R}_0(\zeta, h) &= \kappa \mathcal{R}_0'(\zeta, \gamma) \\
&= \mathcal{R}_0'(\zeta, \beta) \\
&= c\mathcal{R}_0(\beta, h) \\
&= 0 
\end{align*} \]

(by definition of \( \gamma \) in (3.4))

(by \( \beta = \kappa \gamma \))

(by (A4))

(by our hypothesis on \( \beta \) in (3.1))

But (A5) tells us that \( \mathcal{R}_0(\zeta, h) > 0 \), so we must have \( \kappa = 0 \) and hence \( \beta = 0 \) completing the proof.

□

Lemma 3.4. Let \( \mathcal{Q} \) be a bilinear form on a finite dimensional vector space \( V \) with the Hodge-Riemann property. Let \( V' \subset V \) be a subspace on which \( \mathcal{Q} \) is negative semidefinite. Then if \( \beta, \gamma \in V' \) satisfy \( \mathcal{Q}(\beta) = \mathcal{Q}(\gamma) = 0 \) and \( \gamma \neq 0 \) then \( \beta = \kappa \gamma \) for some \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \).

Proof. Let \( h \in V \) be such that \( \mathcal{Q}(h) > 0 \). For \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) we have \( \beta + t\gamma \in V' \) and hence
\[ 0 \geq \mathcal{Q}(\beta + t\gamma) = 2t\mathcal{Q}(\beta, \gamma). \]

Since this holds for all \( t \) we conclude \( \mathcal{Q}(\beta, \gamma) = 0 \). Thus we actually have
\[ 0 = \mathcal{Q}(\beta + t\gamma) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \]

If \( \mathcal{Q}(\gamma, h) = 0 \) then as \( \mathcal{Q}(\gamma) = 0 \) and \( \mathcal{Q} \) has the Hodge-Riemann property we would have \( \gamma = 0 \) which is absurd. So \( \mathcal{Q}(\gamma, h) \neq 0 \). Thus we may find \( t_0 \) so \( \mathcal{Q}(\beta + t_0\gamma, h) = 0 \). Since also \( \mathcal{Q}(\beta + t_0\gamma) = 0 \) we deduce from the Hodge-Riemann property of \( \mathcal{Q} \) that \( \beta + t_0\gamma = 0 \) and we are done. □
3.2. Recursive version.

**Theorem 3.5.** Fix an integer \( j \geq 2 \) and let \((R_{i,t})_{1 \leq i \leq j}\) be a sequence of families of bilinear forms on \( V \) with the following properties:

1. For \( i \geq 2 \) the families \( R_{i,t} \) have property (A).
2. For each \( i \geq 2 \) there exists a positive constant \( C_i \) such that
   \[ R_{i,0}' = C_i R_{i-1,0}. \]  
   \[(3.6)\]
3. \( R_{1,0}|_{W} = 0. \)
4. \( R_{2,0}|_{W} \) has the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to \( h \).
5. The constant \( c_{R_2} \) in condition (A4) for \( R_{2,t} \) is non-zero.

Then the forms \( R_{i,0} \) have the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to \( h \) for all \( i \in \{2, \ldots, j\} \).

**Proof.** We prove the statement by induction on \( i \) starting with \( i = 2 \). The inductive step follows from Theorem 3.3 and \((3.6)\). So we only have to show that \( R_{2,0} \) has the Hodge-Riemann property under our hypotheses.

Assume for contradiction that \( R_{2,0} \) does not have the Hodge-Riemann property on \( V \). Since it does have this property on \( W \), there must exist an element \( \beta \in V \) of the form \( \beta = \alpha + \zeta \) with \( \alpha \in W \) such that
\[ R_{2,0}((\beta, h)) = 0 = R_{2,0}(\beta). \]
\[(3.7)\]
Then we get by condition (A4) for \( R_{2,t} \) on one hand
\[ R_{2,0}'(\beta, \zeta) = c_{R_2} R_{2,0}(\beta, h) = 0 \]
and by Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.3 on the other hand
\[ R_{2,0}'(\beta) = 0. \]
\[(3.9)\]
Now by \((3.6)\) we may rewrite \((3.8)\) and \((3.9)\) as a system
\[ \begin{cases} R_{1,0}(\beta, \zeta) = 0, \\ R_{1,0}(\beta) = 0 \end{cases} \]
\[(3.10)\]
which using the vanishing of \( R_{1,0} \) on \( W \) translates into
\[ \begin{cases} R_{1,0}(\alpha, \zeta) + R_{1,0}(\zeta, \zeta) = 0 \\ 2R_{1,0}(\alpha, \zeta) + R_{1,0}(\zeta, \zeta) = 0. \end{cases} \]
\[(3.11)\]
This implies \( R_{1,0}(\zeta, \zeta) = 0 \) which again by \((3.6)\) and by condition (A4) for \( R_{2,t} \) entails
\[ c_{R_2} R_{2,0}(\zeta, h) = 0. \]
But since \( c_{R_2} \) is supposed to be non-zero this contradicts condition (A5) for \( R_{2,t} \). \( \Box \)

3.3. Augmentation 2. It turns out that for the applications we have in mind the above recursive form of the augmentation is not enough, and we will need another form of augmentation that makes hypotheses on the second derivative but with a weaker conclusion. We continue with the notation above, so \( R_t \) is a family of bilinear forms on the vector space \( V = W \oplus R \zeta \) but we ask it to be twice differentiable in \( t \) this time, and \( h \in W \) is fixed.

**Definition 3.6.** We say that \( R_t \) is a has property (B) if the following hold

- (B1) \( R_0(h) > 0 \).
- (B2) For \( |t| \ll 1 \) \( R_t \) has the weak-Hodge Riemann property with respect to \( h \), i.e.
  \[ R_t(\beta) R_t(h) \leq R_t(\beta, h)^2 \text{ for all } \beta \in V. \]
(B3) For $|t| \ll 1$ the following inequality holds
\[ R'_t(\beta)R_t(h) \leq 2R'_t(\beta, h)R_t(\beta, h) \] for all $\beta \in V$.

(B4) $R''_0(\alpha, \zeta) = 2R'_0(\alpha, h)$ for all $\alpha \in W$.

(B5) $R''_0(\zeta, \zeta) = 2R_0(h)$.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let $R_t$ be family bilinear forms on $V$ that has property (B). If $R''_0$ has the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to $h$ then the restriction $R_0|_W$ has the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to $h$.

**Proof.** We are assuming (B2) that $R_0$ has the weak-Hodge Riemann property, and since $h \in W$ (B1) implies that $R_0|_W$ also has the weak Hodge-Riemann property. Thus the task is to show that it actually has the Hodge-Riemann property, and by (B1) we know $R_0(h) > 0$. So suppose $\alpha \in W$ is such that
\[ R_0(\alpha, h) = 0 = R_0(\alpha). \] (3.12)
Then task is to show that $\alpha = 0$.

**Step 1:** We claim that
\[ R'_0(\alpha) = 0. \] (3.13)
which is proved exactly as in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.3 and is omitted.

**Step 2:** Consider now
\[ g(t) := R'_t(\alpha)R_t(h) - 2R'_t(\alpha, h)R_t(\alpha, h). \]
Then by (3.12,3.13) we have $g(0) = 0$ and (B3) implies $g(t) \leq 0$ for $|t| \ll 1$. Thus
\begin{align*}
0 &= g'(0) = R''_0(\alpha)R_t(h) + R'_t(\alpha)R'_t(h) - 2R''_0(\alpha, h)R'_t(\alpha, h) - 2R'_t(\alpha, h)^2 |_{t=0} \\
&= R''_0(\alpha)R_0(h) - 2R''_0(\alpha, h)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(R''_0(\alpha)R''_0(\zeta) - R''_0(\alpha, \zeta)^2) \quad \text{(by (B4,B5))}
\end{align*}
Thus
\[ R''_0(\alpha)R''_0(\zeta) = R''_0(\alpha, \zeta)^2. \]
Now we are assuming that $R''_0$ has the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to $h$, and since $R''_0(\zeta) = 2R_0(h) > 0$ the Hodge-index inequality for $R''_0$ also holds with respect to $\zeta$ (see Definition-Lemma 2.1(4)). So we deduce that $\alpha$ is proportional to $\zeta$, but since $\alpha \in W$ this is only possible if $\alpha = 0$ and we are done. \hfill \Box

**Remark 3.8.** The following example shows that a naive approach to get an Augmentation 1 type result like Theorem 3.3 is bound to fail (that is, some additional hypothesis like those appearing in condition (A) are really needed). Let $R_t$ be a family of quadratic forms on a vector space $V$ of dimension $n \geq 1$ as above and suppose that there exists a non-zero element $h \in V$ such that:

1. $R_t$ has the weak Hodge-Riemann property with respect to $h$ for $|t| \ll 1$.
2. $R_t$ has the Hodge-Riemann property for $|t| \ll 1$ and $t \neq 0$.
3. $R'_t$ has the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to $h$ for $|t| \ll 1$.

Then either $R_0$ has the Hodge-Riemann property or the kernel $N$ of $R_0$ is one-dimensional. Moreover the latter case can happen as soon as $n > 1$. 

Theorem 4.1. Let \( d \geq 2 \) and \( e \geq 1 \) be two integers and \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N) \) be a partition of \( d-2 \) with \( 0 \leq \lambda_N \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_1 \leq e \). Let further \( T \) be a \( d \)-dimensional complex torus with maximal Picard number and let \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e \) be Kähler forms on \( T \). Then \( s_\lambda(\{\omega_1\}, \ldots, \{\omega_e\}) \) has the Hodge-Riemann property.

Since the statement is certainly satisfied when \( 2 \leq d \leq 3 \) or when \( e = 1 \), we will suppose for the rest of this section that \( d \geq 4 \) and \( e \geq 2 \).

We will work in the following set-up:

- \( X \) is a \( d \)-dimensional compact complex manifold (which we will later take to be the torus \( T \)).
- \( e \) is a positive integer and \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N) \) a partition of \( d-2 \) with \( 0 \leq \lambda_N \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_1 \leq e \).
- \( W := H^{1,1}_{R}(X) \).
- \( h \) is a fixed ample class on \( X \).
- \( \bar{X} := X \times \mathbb{P}^d \) and \( \zeta \) will denote the hyperplane class on \( \mathbb{P}^d \).
- \( V := H^{1,1}_{R}(\bar{X}) = W \oplus \mathbb{R} \zeta \).
- For a vector \( \underline{a} := (a_1, \ldots, a_e) \in V^{\otimes e} \) we denote by \( s_\lambda(\underline{a}) \) its Schur polynomial \( s_\lambda(a_1, \ldots, a_e) \) as defined in the Introduction and by \( s_\lambda(\underline{a})^{(j)} \) its derived Schur polynomials that are defined by requiring

\[
s_\lambda(a_1 + x, \ldots, a_e + x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda_1} s_\lambda^{(j)}(\underline{a}) x^j \quad \text{for all } x \in V
\]
Define a symmetric bilinear form $Q$ on $V$ by

$$Q_i(\beta, \beta') := \int_X \beta s_\lambda(\hat{\omega}) \zeta^i h^{d-i} \beta'$$

for $\beta, \beta' \in V$. This makes sense for $0 \leq i \leq d$. For $i$ outside this range we set $Q_i = 0$.

**Remark 4.3.** This definition is made clearer if one considers the expansion $s_\lambda(\hat{\omega}) = \sum_j s_\lambda^{(j)}(\omega) \zeta^j$ which reveals

$$Q_i(\beta, \beta') = \begin{cases} \int_X \beta s_\lambda^{(d-i)}(\omega) h^{d-i} \beta' & \text{if } \beta, \beta' \in W \\ \int_X \beta s_\lambda^{(d-i-1)}(\omega) h^{d-i} & \text{if } \beta \in W \text{ and } \beta' = \zeta \\ \int_X s_\lambda^{(d-i-2)}(\omega) h^{d-i} & \text{if } \beta = \beta' = \zeta \end{cases}$$

(4.1)

In fact one can equally take the definition of $Q_i$ to be (4.1) and then require symmetry and extend linearly in each variable. Note that with our conventions the three formulas hold for all $i$ but they may give non-zero values only when $2 \leq i \leq d$ for the first one, when $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$ for the second one and when $0 \leq i \leq d - 2$ for the third one.

By definition

$$Q_i(\beta, \zeta) = Q_{i+1}(\beta, h)$$

for $\beta \in V$ and all $i$,

and from this it is clear that for $\alpha \in W$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $i$

$$Q_i(\alpha + \lambda \zeta, h) = Q_i(\alpha, h) + \lambda Q_{i+1}(h),$$

(4.3)

$$Q_i(\alpha + \lambda \zeta) = Q_i(\alpha) + 2\lambda Q_{i+1}(\alpha, h) + \lambda^2 Q_{i+2}(h).$$

(4.4)

**Definition 4.4.** Under the above notations we set for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for $0 \leq i \leq d$

$$R_{i,t} := \sum_{k=0}^{i} \binom{d-i+k}{k} t^k Q_{i-k}.$$  

For $i$ outside the given range we set $R_{i,t} = 0$.

In particular we have

$$R_{i,t} = Q_i + (d - i + 1) t Q_{i-1} + O(t^2)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Moreover a direct computation shows that

$$R'_{i,t} = (d - i + 1) R_{i-1,t}$$

(4.5)

for all $i$ and all $t$.

Our aim is to apply the augmentation results from the previous section to the families $R_{i,t}$, so we will need to check that the various hypotheses hold true. For example we will need to show that each $R_{i,t}$ has the weak Hodge-Riemann property. Note that this is a closed condition, so as long as we assume that we can perturb each $\omega_j$ to a rational Kähler class then we may assume this rationality, and then this weak Hodge-Riemann property will follow by realizing $R_{i,t}$ geometrically as we do in the next section.
4.1. Geometric realization of the forms $\mathcal{R}_{t,\mathbf{1}}$. In this subsection we show how one may realize the forms $\mathcal{R}_t$ in the case that each $\omega_j$ is rational using a classical construction which relates Schur classes and degeneracy loci of morphisms of vector bundles.

The rationality assumption we need is that the classes $\omega_j$ are the (real) Chern classes of line bundles $L_j$ on $X$. That is, we suppose that $\omega_j = c_1(L_j) \in H^{1,1}_R(X)$ for $1 \leq j \leq e$ and consider the vector bundle

$$E := \bigoplus_{j=1}^e L_j.$$  

By possibly adding zeroes to the partition $\lambda$ we may take its length $N$ to be arbitrarily large. We will suppose here that $N \geq d - 2$. Set $a_i := e + i - \lambda_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$, fix a vector space $H$ of dimension $e + N$ and a nested sequence of subspaces $0 \subsetneq A_1 \subsetneq A_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq A_N \subset H$ with $\dim(A_i) = a_i$. Next set $F := H^* \otimes E = \text{Hom}(H, E)$, let $f + 1 = \text{rk}(F) = (e + N)$ and define

$$\tilde{C}_E := \{ \sigma \in \text{Hom}(H, E) : \dim \ker(\sigma(x)) \cap A_i \geq i \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, N \text{ and } x \in X \}$$

which is a cone in $F$. Set further $\tilde{C}_E := [\tilde{C}_E] \subset \mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F)$. Finally we denote by $\pi : \mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F) \to X$ the projection morphism and by $U$ the quotient bundle of $\pi^*F$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F)$ which sits in the tautological exact sequence

$$0 \to O_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F)}(-1) \to \pi^*F \to U \to 0. \quad (4.6)$$

We note that

$$H^{1,1}_R(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F)) = \pi^*H^{1,1}_R(X) \oplus \mathbb{R} \xi = \pi^*W \oplus \mathbb{R} \xi,$$

where $\xi := c_1(O_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F)}(1))$. We also note that $\tilde{C}_E$ is locally a product with irreducible fibers over $X$ and that $\dim(\tilde{C}_E) = f + 2$ (the notation thus far follows that of [RT19, Section 5]).

**Proposition 4.5.** Let $0 \leq i \leq d$. Then under the isomorphism $V = W \oplus \mathbb{R} \xi \to \pi^*W \oplus \mathbb{R} \xi = H^{1,1}_R(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F))$ acting as $\pi^*$ on $W$ and mapping $\zeta$ onto $\xi$, the bilinear form $\mathcal{R}_{t,\mathbf{1}}$ on $V$ corresponds to the form

$$S_{t,\mathbf{1}}(\beta, \beta') := \int_{\tilde{C}_E} \beta c_{f-(d-i)}(U(\pi^*(\theta h))) \pi^*(h)^{d-i} \beta'$$

on $H^{1,1}_R(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F))$. Here we use the notation $U(\delta)$ for an $\mathbb{R}$-twisted vector bundle as described in Section 2.2.

**Proof.** Note first that $U$ has rank $f$. So for any $\delta \in H^{1,1}_R(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F))$

$$c_p(U(\delta)) := \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{f-k}{p-k} c_k(U) \delta^{p-k} \text{ for } 0 \leq p \leq f. \quad (4.7)$$

Replacing $k$ by $p - j$ and putting $p = f - (d - i)$ and $\delta = \pi^*(\theta h)$ gives

$$c_{f-(d-i)}(U(\pi^*(\theta h))) := \sum_{j=0}^{f-(d-i)} \binom{(d-i)+j}{j} \theta^j c_{f-(d-i)-j}(U) \pi^*(h)^j. \quad (4.8)$$
Plugging this into the expression of $\mathcal{S}_{t, l}$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}_{t, l}(\beta, \beta') = \int_{\tilde{C}_E} \beta \beta' \sum_{j=0}^{f-d-i} \binom{d-i+j}{j}^t \psi_{f-(d-i)-j}(U) \pi^*(h)^{j+d-i}$$

$$= \int_{\tilde{C}_E} \beta \beta' \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{d-i+j}{j}^t \psi_{f-(d-i)-j}(U) \pi^*(h)^{j+d-i},$$

where we have used that our choice of $N$ implies $f - d \geq 0$, hence $f - (d - i) \geq i$, and also that $h^{j+d-i} = 0$ for $j > i$ for dimension reasons.

By comparing to the definition of $\mathcal{R}_{s, t}$ we thus see that in order to prove the Proposition it suffices to check that for $0 \leq l \leq d$ the bilinear forms $Q_l$ on $V$ correspond to the forms

$$(\beta, \beta') \mapsto \int_{\tilde{C}_E} \beta \beta' \psi_{f-(d-l)}(U) \pi^*(h)^{d-l}$$

on $H^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F))$. To check this we will use the identity

$$\pi^*(\psi_{f-(d-j)}(U) |_{\tilde{C}_E}) = s^{(d-j)}(E)$$

which holds for $2 \leq j \leq d$ by [RT19, Proposition 5.2] as well as the following formulas

$$c_p(U) \xi \pi^*(\eta) = c_{p+1}(U) \pi^*(\eta),$$

$$c_p(U) \xi^2 \pi^*(\eta) = c_{p+2}(U) \pi^*(\eta)$$

for $\eta \in H^{k+k}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $p + k \geq d$, which are easily deduced from the exact sequence (4.6) [RT19, Lemma 4.17]. With these at hand we rewrite the form (4.9) in the three cases of equation (4.1) and get for $0 \leq l \leq d$:

- if $\beta, \beta' \in W$

$$\pi^*(\beta) \pi^*(\beta') \mapsto \int_{\tilde{C}_E} \pi^*(\beta \beta' \psi^{d-l}) \psi_{f-(d-l)}(U) =$$

$$\int_X \beta \beta' \psi^{d-l} \pi^*(\psi_{f-(d-l)}(U) |_{\tilde{C}_E}) = \int_X \beta \psi^{d-l} \pi^*(\psi_{f-(d-l)}(U) = Q_l(\beta, \beta'),$$

- if $\beta \in W$ and $\beta' = \xi$

$$\pi^*(\beta) \xi \mapsto \int_{\tilde{C}_E} \pi^*(\beta \psi^{d-l}) \psi_{f-(d-l)}(U) \xi = \int_{\tilde{C}_E} \pi^*(\beta \psi^{d-l}) \psi_{f-(d-l-1)}(U) =$$

$$\int_X \beta \psi^{d-l} \pi^*(\psi_{f-(d-l-1)}(U) |_{\tilde{C}_E}) = \int_X \beta \psi^{d-l} \pi^*(\psi_{f-(d-l-1)}(U) = Q_l(\beta, \xi),$$

- if $\beta = \beta' = \xi$

$$\xi \xi \mapsto \int_{\tilde{C}_E} \pi^*(\psi^{d-l}) \psi_{f-(d-l)}(U) \xi^2 = \int_{\tilde{C}_E} \pi^*(\psi^{d-l}) \psi_{f-(d-l-2)}(U) =$$

$$\int_X \psi^{d-l} \pi^*(\psi_{f-(d-l-2)}(U) |_{\tilde{C}_E}) = \int_X \psi^{d-l} \pi^*(\psi_{f-(d-l-2)}(U) = Q_l(\xi, \xi),$$

which proves the Proposition. □
4.2. Basic Properties of $R_i$. We are now ready to show that, as long as each $\omega_j$ can be approximated by rational Kähler classes, the $R_{i,t}$ satisfy all the conditions in property (A) and that $R_{d,t}$ satisfies the conditions in property (B). As the reader will see, most of the properties we prove are formal from the definition of $R_i$, with the exception of (A2, A3, B2, B3) that will use the geometric realization from the previous section.

**Lemma 4.6 (A1,B1).** It holds that

$$R_{i,0}(h) = \mathcal{Q}_i(h) > 0 \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq d$$

and

$$R_{i,0}'(h) = (d - i + 1)\mathcal{Q}_{i-1}(h) > 0 \text{ for } 3 \leq i \leq d. \quad (4.11)$$

**Proof.** The first follows as $s^{(i)}_\lambda$ is monomial positive so $\mathcal{Q}_i(h)$ is a sum of positive coefficients times integrals of strictly positive classes, and so is strictly positive (the reader may observe that this is the analog of Fulton-Lazarsfeld positivity for ample vector bundles, but is trivial in the case we are considering). The second statement is immediate from the definition. \qed

**Proposition 4.7 (A2,A3,B2,B3).** Assume that each $\omega_j$ is the limit of rational Kähler classes.

1. For all $2 \leq i \leq d$ and for $|t| \ll 1$ the quadratic forms $R_{i,t}$ have the weak Hodge-Riemann property with respect to $h$.
2. For all $i$, for all $\beta \in V$ and for $|t| \ll 1$ we have

$$R_{i,t}'(\beta)R_{i,t}(\beta) \leq 2R_{i,t}'(\beta, h)R_{i,t}(\beta, h). \quad (4.12)$$

**Proof.** The statement is closed under variation of $\omega_j$ so by our hypothesis is is enough to prove it when the classes $\omega_j$ are rational. Next by multiplying all $\omega_j$ by an appropriate positive integer, we may also assume that they are all integral. Then $\omega_j = c_1(L_j)$ for some ample line bundles $L_j$ on $X$ and we set

$$E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^c L_j$$

which is ample. By construction we now have $s^{(i)}_\lambda(E) = s^{(i)}_\lambda(E)$ and by Proposition 4.5 we reduce ourselves to checking the corresponding properties for the quadratic forms $S_{i,t}$ on $H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F))$.

We first check that the forms $S_{i,t}$ have the weak Hodge-Riemann property for $2 \leq i \leq d$ and for $|t| \ll 1$. Indeed for $\beta, \beta' \in H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F))$ we have $S_{i,t}(\beta, \beta') := \int_{C_E} \beta c_f U(\pi^*(th))\pi^*(h)^{d-i} \beta'$ and we know that $U(\pi^*(th))$ is nef on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F)$ for $|t| \ll 1$ as a formal quotient of the nef $\mathbb{R}$-twisted vector bundle $\pi^*(E(th))$.

Now we are in a position to inject the geometric argument from our previous work, and conclude that the weak Hodge-Riemann property holds for $S_{i,t}$ by [RT21, Lemmata 6.6 and 7.1]. (This is essentially an application of Theorem 2.5, but since $C_E$ is irreducible but not necessarily smooth one must pass to a resolution of singularities loc. cit.)

For the second statement we rewrite the inequality (4.12) using (4.5) as

$$R_{i-1,t}(\beta)R_{i,t}(\beta) \leq 2R_{i-1,t}(\beta, h)R_{i,t}(\beta, h). \quad (4.13)$$

It is enough now to prove (4.13) for $1 \leq i \leq d$, since for the remaining $i$ it is trivially verified. But for $1 \leq i \leq d$ we rephrase it by our geometric interpretation as

$$S_{i-1,t}(\beta)S_{i,t}(\beta) \leq 2S_{i-1,t}(\beta, h)S_{i,t}(\beta, h). \quad (4.14)$$
which holds by [RT19, Corollary 3.4] for \(|t| \ll 1\). (This is essentially Theorem 2.6 only once again we have to allow for the fact that \(\tilde{C}_E\) is not smooth.) Here we have used again that the \(\mathbb{R}\)-twisted vector bundle \(U(\pi^*(\mathcal{h}))\) is nef on \(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F)\) for \(|t| \ll 1\). \qed

**Lemma 4.8 (A4).**
\[
R'_{i,0}(\beta, \zeta) = (d - i + 1)R_{i,0}(\beta, h) \quad \text{for all } \beta \in V \text{ and all } i
\]

**Proof.** This follows immediately from (4.2). \qed

**Lemma 4.9 (A5).**
\[
R_{i,0}(\zeta, h) > 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq d - 1.
\]

**Proof.**
\[
R_{i,0}(\zeta, h) = Q_i(\zeta, h) = Q_{i+1}(h, h) > 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq d - 1
\]
by (4.2) and Lemma 4.6. \qed

**Remark 4.10.** The reader may find it useful to observe that condition (A5) fails when \(i = d\), and thus the need for the second augmentation result.

**Lemma 4.11 (B4,B5).**
\[
R''_{d,0}(\beta, \zeta) = 2R'_{d,0}(\beta, h) \quad \text{for all } \beta \in V
\]
\[
R''_{d,0}(\zeta, \zeta) = 2R_{d,0}(h).
\]

**Proof.** These follow straight from the definition. Actually by differentiating in (4.5) we get
\[
R''_{i,t} = (d - i + 2)(d - i + 1)R_{i-2,t}
\]
which together with (4.2) gives
\[
R''_{d,0}(\beta, \zeta) = 2R_{d-2,0}(\beta, \zeta) = 2Q_{d-2}(\beta, \zeta) = 2Q_{d-1}(\beta, h) = 2R_{d-1}(\beta, h)
\]
for all \(\beta \in V\) and in the particular case when \(\beta = \zeta\)
\[
R''_{d,0}(\zeta, \zeta) = 2Q_{d-1}(\zeta, h) = 2Q_d(h, h) = 2R_d(h).
\]

With this we are ready to invoke our recursive argument. Before doing this we check two more properties.

**Lemma 4.12.** \(R_{1,0}|_{W} = 0\) and \(R_{2,0}|_{W}\) has the Hodge-Riemann property.

**Proof.** We have \(R_{1,0} = Q_1\) and we have already seen that \(Q_1|_{W}\) vanishes, Remark 4.3.

Now for \(\alpha, \alpha' \in W\)
\[
R_{2,0}(\alpha, \alpha') = Q_2(\alpha, \alpha') = \int_X \alpha s^{(d-2)_y(\omega)}(\omega)\alpha'h^{d-2}
\]
and \(s^{(d-2)_y(\omega)} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\) is a positive constant. So, up to this positive constant, \(R_{2,0}|_{W}\) is the intersection form on \(H^{1,1}_W(X)\) given by intersecting with \(h^{d-2}\) which has the Hodge-Riemann property by the classical Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations as \(h\) is assumed to be ample. \qed
4.3. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** We apply the work in this section to \( X = T \). Since \( T \) is assumed to have maximal Picard rank each \( \omega_j \) can be approximated by rational Kähler classes so Proposition 4.7 applies. We claim that:

1. \( \mathcal{R}_{i,0} \) has the HR property over \( V \) for \( 2 \leq i \leq d - 1 \),
2. \( \mathcal{R}_{d,0}|_W \) has the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to \( h \).

Since \( \mathcal{R}_{d,0}(\alpha, \alpha') = \mathcal{Q}_{d}(\alpha, \alpha') = \int_X \alpha s_\lambda(\omega) \alpha' \) for \( \alpha, \alpha' \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X) = W \), the second statement is precisely that \( s_\lambda(\omega) \) has the Hodge-Riemann property, as claimed in Theorem 4.1.

The facts established in this Section show on one hand that the hypotheses in Theorem 3.5 are fulfilled for the sequence \( (\mathcal{R}_{i,t})_{1 \leq i \leq d-1} \) and on the other hand that the family \( \mathcal{R}_{d,t} \) satisfies conditions (B1-B5). Thus Claim (1) holds by Theorem 3.5. The special case \( i = d - 2 \) of Claim (1) tells us now that the form \( \mathcal{R}_{d,t}' = 2\mathcal{R}_{d-2,0} \) has the Hodge-Riemann property. Hence the hypotheses of Theorem 3.7 are fulfilled for the family \( \mathcal{R}_{d,t} \) and thus \( \mathcal{R}_{d,0}|_W \) has the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to \( h \), which is (2) and finishes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

4.4. **Proof of the linear case of Theorem 1.1.** Let \( d \geq 2, e \geq 1 \) be two integers and \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N) \) be a partition of \( d - 2 \) with \( 0 \leq \lambda_N \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_1 \leq e \). Let further \( E \) be a \( d \)-dimensional complex vector space. We want to show that if \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e \) are strictly positive \((1,1)\)-forms on \( E \) then \( s_\lambda(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e) \) has the Hodge-Riemann property, i.e. the quadratic form

\[
Q_{s_\lambda(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e)} : \bigwedge^{1,1}_\mathbb{R} E^* \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha \mapsto \frac{\alpha^2 \wedge s_\lambda(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e)}{\text{vol}}
\]

has signature \((1, h^{1,1} - 1)\). For this we choose a \( d \)-dimensional complex torus \( T \) with maximal Picard number, say \( T = (\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[i])^d \), and endow it with the flat hermitian metric coming from the euclidean metric on \( \mathbb{C}^d \). Then for \( p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \) the harmonic \((p, q)\)-forms on \( T \) are precisely the parallel \((p, q)\)-forms on \( T \). Thus if we identify \( E \) to the holomorphic tangent space of \( T \) at some point \( x \in X \), we get by Hodge theory natural isomorphisms

\[
\bigwedge^p \mathbb{R}^q E^* \cong H^{p,q}_\mathbb{R}(T)
\]

and our claim directly follows from Theorem 4.1.

5. **Pointwise to global argument and the Kähler case of Theorem 1.1**

In this section we show that in our set-up the Hodge-Riemann property in the linear case implies the Hodge-Riemann property in the Kähler case. The argument follows the same line as the corresponding one of Gromov in [Gro90] but we give it in detail as parts of it go over to the non-Kähler case. We end the section with a discussion on the notion of balanced metrics of Hodge-Riemann type on compact complex manifolds, Remark 5.9, which was introduced by Chen and Wentworth in their recent paper [CW21]. As an application of our main result we show in Corollary 5.11 that the Schur forms \( s_\lambda(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e) \) appearing in our Theorem 1.1 give rise to such metrics and thus provide further situations where the results of [CW21] apply.

Let \( E \) be a \( d \)-dimensional complex vector space. Inside \( \bigwedge^{p,p}_\mathbb{R} E^* \) lies the closed convex cone \( SP^{p,p} \) of strongly positive forms, which is by definition the convex hull of the set \( \{ i\alpha_1 \wedge \bar{\alpha}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge i\alpha_p \wedge \bar{\alpha}_p \mid \alpha_j \in E^*, \ j \in \{1, \ldots, p\} \} \) of simple forms. Its dual cone is
the cone of weakly positive forms \( WP^{d-p,d-p} := \{ \eta \in \bigwedge^{d-p,d-p}_R E^* \mid \eta \wedge \gamma \geq 0 \forall \gamma \in SP^{p,p} \} \). One also defines the cone of positive forms as

\[ P^{p,p} := \{ \eta \in \bigwedge^{p,p}_R E^* \mid \eta \wedge i^{(d-p)\gamma} \beta \wedge \gamma \geq 0 \forall \beta \in \bigwedge^{d-p,0}_R E^* \}. \]

It is shown in [HK74, Corollary 1.3] that the dual cone of \( P^{p,p} \) is \( P^{d-p,d-p} \). One sees easily that \( SP^{p,p} \subset P^{p,p} \subset WP^{p,p} \) and that the interior of \( SP^{p,p} \) in \( \bigwedge^{p,p}_R E^* \) is non-empty; in fact \( \bigwedge^{p,p}_R E^* \) admits a basis formed of simple forms [Dem12, Chapter III Lemma 1.4]. We will call a \((p,p)\)-form strictly positive, respectively strictly weakly positive or strictly strongly positive if it lies in the interior of \( P^{p,p} \), respectively of \( WP^{p,p} \) or of \( SP^{p,p} \). For \( p = 1 \) and for \( p = d - 1 \) we have \( SP^{p,p} = P^{p,p} = WP^{p,p} \), [Dem12, Chapter III Corollary 1.9], and we will call forms lying in these cones simply positive. All this terminology extends to differential forms on complex manifolds. Directly from the definition we see that an exterior product of strongly positive forms is strongly positive and that an exterior product of weakly positive forms is weakly positive if all factors but one are strongly positive.

**Definition 5.1.** To a real \((d-2,d-2)\)-form \( \Omega \) on \( E \) (and a volume form vol) we associate an intersection form on \( \bigwedge^{1,1}_R E^* \) by the formula

\[ Q_\Omega : \bigwedge^{1,1}_R E^* \times \bigwedge^{1,1}_R E^* \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \frac{\alpha \wedge \Omega \wedge \beta}{\text{vol}}. \]

We will say that \( \Omega \) has the Hodge-Riemann property if the bilinear form \( Q_\Omega \) has the Hodge-Riemann property. This property does not depend on the choice of the volume form.

A differential \((d-2,d-2)\)-form \( \Omega \) on a \( d \)-dimensional complex manifold \( X \) will be said to have the Hodge-Riemann property pointwise if for each point \( x \in X \) the form \( \Omega(x) \) has the Hodge-Riemann property on \( \bigwedge^{1,1}_T_x X \).

Let \( X \) be a compact complex manifold of dimension \( d \geq 2 \) and let \( \Omega \) be a strictly weakly positive \((d-2,d-2)\)-form on \( X \) such that \( i\partial \bar{\partial} \Omega = 0 \). Let \( E^{p,q} \) be the sheaf of germs of smooth \((p,q)\)-forms on \( X \). We will write \( E_\mathbb{R}^{p,q} \) for the subsheaf of real forms. We will be interested in the real Bott-Chern cohomology group of bidegree \((1,1)\) on \( X \). In terms of forms it is defined as \( H^{1,1}_{BC}(X, \mathbb{R}) := \{ \eta \in E^{1,1}_\mathbb{R}(X) \mid d\eta = 0 \}/i\partial \bar{\partial} E^{0,0}_\mathbb{R}(X). \) The following intersection form on \( H^{1,1}_{BC}(X) \)

\[ Q_{[\Omega]}([\alpha]_{BC}, [\beta]_{BC}) := \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \Omega \]

is well defined. (In the notation \( Q_{[\Omega]} \) the square brackets around \( \Omega \) are meant to suggest that the intersection form only depends on the Aeppli cohomology class of \( \Omega \), a fact which we will not need in this paper.)

Consider further a strictly positive \( i\partial \bar{\partial} \)-closed \((d-1,d-1)\)-form \( \eta \) on \( X \). Note that any compact complex manifold of dimension \( d \) admits such forms; they are the \( d-1 \) powers of Gauduchon forms. Then the map

\[ L[\eta] : H^{1,1}_{BC}(X) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad [\alpha]_{BC} \mapsto \int_X \alpha \wedge \eta \]

is well defined.

**Remark 5.2.** If \( X \) is Kähler then \( L[\eta] \) is clearly positive on Kähler classes, so \( L[\eta] \neq 0 \) in this case. More generally, \( L[\eta] \) is positive on classes of non-zero positive \( d \)-closed currents.
of type $(1, 1)$. Such currents always exist when $\dim X = 2$ surfaces, cf. [Lam99], but this need not be the case in higher dimensions. In fact there are examples of compact complex manifolds allowing strictly positive $d$-exact $(d - 1, d - 1)$-forms $\eta$, [Yac95], and clearly for such $(d - 1, d - 1)$-forms the corresponding linear forms $L_{(\eta)}$ vanish identically.

The following Lemma is certainly well-known to experts, but we give a proof for completeness.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $\eta$ be a strictly positive $i\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed $(d - 1, d - 1)$-form on $X$ and let $\text{vol}$ be a volume form on $X$. Then the image of the differential operator on the space of smooth real functions

$$P : C^\infty(X) \to C^\infty(X), \ f \mapsto \frac{i\partial\bar{\partial}f \wedge \eta}{\text{vol}}$$

is the space $\{g \in C^\infty(X) \mid \int_X g\text{vol} = 0\}$.

**Proof.** A direct computation shows that the symbol of the operator $P$ at a point $x \in X$ and a real cotangent vector in $T^*_x X$ projecting to $\xi \in (T^*_x X)^*$ is $\frac{i\xi \wedge \eta(x)}{\text{vol}(x)} \neq 0$, hence $P$ is an elliptic operator, [Dem12, Chapter VI Corollary 1].

On $C^\infty(X)$ we consider the scalar product

$$(f, g) \mapsto (f, g) := \int_X fg\text{vol}$$

with respect to which the formal adjoint of $P$ is

$$P^*(g) := \frac{i\partial\bar{\partial}g \wedge \eta - i\partial\bar{\partial}g \wedge \partial\eta + i\partial g \wedge \bar{\partial}\eta}{\text{vol}}.$$

By the general theory of elliptic differential operators we know that the range of $P$ is closed and that there is an orthogonal direct sum decomposition $C^\infty(X) = P(C^\infty(X)) \oplus \text{Ker} \ P^*$, [Dem12, Chapter VI Corollary 2.4]. Since $\eta$ is strictly positive we may apply the maximum principle of E. Hopf [Kob87, Chapter III theorem 1.10] and obtain that $\text{Ker} \ P^*$ consists of the constant functions alone. From this the Lemma follows. \hfill $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** Let $\eta$ be a strictly positive $i\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed $(n - 1, n - 1)$-form and $\alpha$ be a $d$-closed $(1, 1)$-form on $X$ such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge \eta = 0$. Then there exists a smooth representative $\tilde{\alpha}$ in the Bott-Chern cohomology class $[\alpha]_{BC}$ of $\alpha$ such that

$$\tilde{\alpha} \wedge \eta = 0.$$

**Proof.** Clearly it suffices to find a smooth function $f$ on $X$ such that $i\partial\bar{\partial}f \wedge \eta = -\alpha \wedge \eta$. By Lemma 5.3 for any top degree form $\sigma$ on $X$ with $\int_X \sigma = 0$ a smooth solution $f$ to the equation

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}f \wedge \eta = \sigma$$

exists and we are done. \hfill $\square$

Take $\omega_0$ a strictly positive $(1, 1)$-form on $X$ such that $\eta := \Omega \wedge \omega_0$ is $\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed. Note that, by Gauduchon’s [Gau84, Théorème 14] again, for any strictly positive $(1, 1)$-form $\omega$ on $X$ a positive function $f$ exists such that $i\partial\bar{\partial}(f\omega \wedge \Omega) = 0$.

**Proposition 5.5.** If $\Omega$ has the Hodge-Riemann property pointwise and $\omega_0$ is a strictly positive $(1, 1)$-form on $X$ such that $\Omega \wedge \omega_0$ is $\partial\bar{\partial}$-closed, then $Q_{[\Omega]}$ is negative definite on the subspace $\text{Ker} L^{[\Omega, \omega_0]}_{1,1}$ of $H^1_{BC}(X)$. In particular, $Q_{[\Omega]}$ has the Hodge-Riemann property when $X$ is Kähler.
Proof. Let $\alpha$ be a $d$-closed $(1, 1)$-form on $X$. By Corollary 5.4 applied to $\eta := \Omega \wedge \omega_0$ if the class $[\alpha]_{BC}$ belongs to $\text{Ker} \, L_{[\Omega \wedge \omega_0]}$, there exists a smooth representative $\tilde{\alpha}$ in $[\alpha]_{BC}$ such that

$$\tilde{\alpha} \wedge \Omega \wedge \omega_0 = 0.$$  

Since $\omega_0$ is strictly positive it follows by the pointwise Hodge-Riemann property of $\Omega$ that $\tilde{\alpha} \wedge \tilde{\alpha} \wedge \Omega \leq 0$ with equality if and only if $\tilde{\alpha}$ vanishes identically. Thus

$$Q_{[\Omega]}([\alpha]_{BC}, [\alpha]_{BC}) = \int_X \tilde{\alpha} \wedge \tilde{\alpha} \wedge \Omega \leq 0$$

and equality holds if and only if $[\alpha]_{BC} = 0$. Hence $Q$ is negative definite on $\text{Ker} \, L_{[\Omega \wedge \omega_0]}$. If moreover $X$ is Kähler, $Q_{[\Omega]}$ will be positive on Kähler classes, hence $Q_{[\Omega]}$ will have the Hodge-Riemann property as stated.

Using this and the already established linear case of Theorem 1.1 we get the following Corollary which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 5.6.** The second statement of Theorem 1.1, i.e. the Kähler case of that theorem, holds.

**Example 5.7 (The Case of Surfaces).** For $d = 2$ the positive form $\Omega$ will be just a positive function. In this case it automatically has the Hodge-Riemann property pointwise. Since it is required to be also $\partial \bar{\partial}$-closed, $\Omega$ has to be a constant function. We will assume that this constant is 1. Then the corresponding intersection form on $H^{1, 1}_{BC}(X)$ is

$$Q([\alpha]_{BC}, [\beta]_{BC}) := \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta.$$  

Consider now a Gauduchon form $\omega$ on $X$. By Proposition 5.5 $Q$ is negative definite on $\text{Ker} \, L_{[\omega]}$. As remarked before we have $\text{Ker} \, L_{[\omega]} \subsetneq H^{1, 1}_{BC}(X)$ in the surface case. Two cases may occur:

1. If $X$ is Kähler we have seen that $Q$ has the Hodge-Riemann property and we thus recover the Hodge Index Theorem in this case.

2. If $X$ is non-Kähler and if $\alpha$ is a smooth representative of a non-zero $d$-exact positive $(1, 1)$-current, then $\alpha$ is $d$-exact, $L_{[\omega]}([\alpha]_{BC}) > 0$ and $Q([\alpha]_{BC}, [\beta]_{BC}) = 0$ for all $[\beta]_{BC} \in H^{1, 1}_{BC}(X)$. Thus $Q$ is degenerate semi-definite in this case.

Following [CW21] we introduce the following

**Definition 5.8.** We say that a pair of strictly weakly positive forms $(\Omega, \omega)$ of types $(d - 2, d - 2)$ and $(1, 1)$ respectively on a $d$-dimensional complex manifold $X$ defines a Hodge-Riemann structure on $X$ if $\Omega$ has the Hodge-Riemann property pointwise and is $\partial \bar{\partial}$-closed.

**Remark 5.9.** As mentioned before if $(\Omega, \omega)$ defines a Hodge-Riemann structure on the compact complex manifold $X$, there exists a unique conformal rescaling of $\omega$ to $\omega_0 := f \omega$ such that $i \partial \bar{\partial} (\omega_0 \wedge \Omega) = 0$. We denote the $d - 1$-root of $\omega_0 \wedge \Omega$ by $\omega'$. This is a Gauduchon form on $X$. Then the hermitian metric $\omega'$ is balanced of Hodge-Riemann type in the sense of [CW21, Definition 2.7] if $\Omega$ and $\omega_0 \wedge \Omega$ are moreover $d$-closed and $\Omega$ is strictly positive. Note that the requirement that $\Omega$ be strictly positive is equivalent to the condition appearing in [CW21, Definition 2.7 (2)] that $\Omega$ be a Hodge-Riemann form for $(2, 0)$. Thus balanced hermitian metrics of Hodge-Riemann type in the sense of [CW21, Definition 2.7] are particular cases of Hodge-Riemann structures on compact complex manifolds in our sense. It is worthwhile noticing that the results of [CW21, Section 3] on polystable vector bundles are more generally valid over compact complex manifolds carrying Hodge-Riemann structures. (In order to see this it suffices to apply [LT95, Lemma 2.1.5] in the proof of [CW21, Theorem 3.2].)
Example 5.10. A hermitian metric (which we identify with its fundamental (1, 1)-form) \( \omega \) on a \( d \)-dimensional compact complex manifold \( X \) is called astheno-Kähler if \( i \partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{d-2} = 0 \). This notion was introduced by Jost and Yau in [JY93]. Examples of non-Kähler astheno-Kähler metrics have been constructed for all \( d \geq 3 \), cf. [FT11], [LU17]. If \( \omega \) is an astheno-Kähler metric on \( X \) then clearly \( (\omega^{d-2}, \omega) \) defines a Hodge-Riemann structure on \( X \).

The main example of Hodge-Riemann structures provided by our paper occurs however in the Kähler set-up and is the content of the following corollary to our main theorem.

Corollary 5.11. Let \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e \) be Kähler forms on a compact complex manifold of dimension \( d \geq 3 \), let \( \omega \) be an arbitrary strictly positive (1,1)-form on \( X \) and let \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N) \) be a partition of \( d - 2 \) with \( 0 \leq \lambda_N \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_1 \leq e \). Then

\[
(s_\lambda(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e), \omega)
\]

defines a Hodge-Riemann structure on \( X \) and the quadratic form \([\alpha]_{BC} \mapsto \int_X \alpha^2 \wedge s_\lambda(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e)\) has the Hodge-Riemann property on \( H^{1,1}_{BC}(X) \). If \( \omega \) is moreover closed then \((s_\lambda(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_e), \omega)\) induces a balanced hermitian metric of Hodge-Riemann type on \( X \) as in Remark 5.9.

6. Convex combinations of Schur classes

In this section we show that the device we developed to prove our main result also applies to prove the Hodge-Riemann property for certain convex combinations of Schur classes. The main criterion to decide for which convex combinations this happens, Theorem 6.3, is given in terms of irreducibility of certain cycles on appropriate Grassmann varieties.

To describe this, fix integers \( b \geq 1, e \geq 1 \) and \( d \geq b \). We will consider the \((k-1)\)-simplex

\[
\Sigma_{k-1} := \{ x \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^k \mid \sum_{i=1}^k x_i = 1 \},
\]

where \( k := k(b, e) \) is the number of partitions \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \) of \( b \) with \( e \geq \lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n > 0 \). We will order these partitions in some arbitrary but fixed way as \( \lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(k)} \). Then when \( b = d - 2 \) we will be interested in finding conditions under which convex combinations of Schur classes \( s_{\lambda(i)}, 1 \leq i \leq k \), of ample vector bundles have the Hodge-Riemann property. (We exclude the case when \( d = 2 \) and \( b = 0 \) since it is trivial.)

We next introduce two definitions, one concerning linear sums of Schur polynomials and then one concerning linear sums of Schubert varieties. For \( x \in \Sigma_{k-1} \) define

\[
\Gamma_x := \sum_{i=1}^k x_is_{\lambda(i)}.
\]

By Fulton-Lazarsfeld [FL83], for any \( x \in \Sigma_{k-1} \), for any projective \( d \)-dimensional manifold \( X \), for any ample vector bundle \( E \) of rank \( e \) and for any ample class \( h \) on \( X \) it holds that \( \int_X \Gamma_x(E)h^{d-b} > 0 \).

Definition 6.1. We say that for \( x \in \Sigma_{k(d-2,e)-1} \) the characteristic class \( \Gamma_x \) has the universal Hodge-Riemann property if for any \( d \)-dimensional projective manifold \( X \) and for any ample rank \( e \) vector bundle \( E \) on \( X \) the class \( \Gamma_x(E) \) has the Hodge-Riemann property.
Now to each partition \(\lambda\) and integer \(N \geq b\) we let \(C_\lambda\) be the corresponding Schubert variety in \(\text{Grass}(N, \mathbb{C}^{N+e})\), where by \(\text{Grass}(N, \mathbb{C}^{N+e})\) we denote the Grassmannian of \(N\)-dimensional linear subspaces of \(\mathbb{C}^{N+e}\). That is, if \(\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)\) is a partition of \(b\) with \(e \geq \lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n > 0\), we fix a sequence of subspaces \(0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{N+e}\) with \(\dim(A_i) = a_i := e + i - \lambda_i\) for \(1 \leq i \leq n\) we set

\[
C_\lambda := \{L \in \text{Grass}(N, \mathbb{C}^{N+e}) \mid \dim L \cap A_i \geq i \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, n\}.
\]

**Definition 6.2.** We say that a point \(x \in \Sigma_{k(b,e)-1} \cap \mathbb{Q}^{k(b,e)}\) is irreducibly representable if there exist positive integers \(N \geq b\) and \(m\) such that the cycle

\[
m \sum_{i=1}^{k(b,e)} x_i C_{\lambda(i)}
\]

in \(\text{Grass}(N, \mathbb{C}^{N+e})\) is algebraically equivalent to an irreducible cycle. We denote the set of irreducibly representable points of \(\Sigma_{k(b,e)-1}\) by \(B_{b,e}\).

**Theorem 6.3.** For every \(x \in \overline{B_{d-2,e}}\) we have

1. The class \(\Gamma_x\) has the universal Hodge-Riemann property.
2. The class \(\Gamma_x\) has the Hodge-Riemann property in the linear case.
3. The class \(\Gamma_x\) has the Hodge-Riemann property in the Kähler case.

Before giving the proof we will describe the connection between Schubert varieties and Schur classes of vector bundles through some aspects of invariant algebraic cycles on projective manifolds under a group action.

Fix two positive integers \(e\) and \(N\) and two complex vector spaces \(H\) and \(H'\) of dimensions \(e + N\) and \(e\) respectively. Let \(G := \text{GL}(H')\) be the general linear group acting on \(H'\). Then \(G\) acts by composition on the vector space \(\text{Hom}(H, H')\) and further on its projectivization \(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(\text{Hom}(H, H'))\). The open subset \(\text{Hom}(H, H')^o\) consisting of surjective homomorphisms is \(G\)-invariant and its quotient by the \(G\) action is given by the map \(p : \text{Hom}(H, H')^o \to \text{Grass}(N, H), \sigma \mapsto \ker(\sigma)\). (Actually \(\text{Hom}(H, H')^o\) is the subset of (semi-)stable points for the \(G\)-action on \(\text{Hom}(H, H')\)).

Let now \(C\) be an algebraic cycle on \(\text{Grass}(N, H)\) and \(\hat{C}\) be the algebraic cycle on \(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(\text{Hom}(H, H'))\) given by the closure of \(p^{-1}(C)\) in \(\text{Hom}(H, H')\). This is a \(G\)-invariant cycle on \(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(\text{Hom}(H, H'))\). More generally, we claim that any algebraic family \(C\) of cycles on \(\text{Grass}(N, H)\) induces in this way an algebraic family \(\hat{C}\) of \(G\)-invariant cycles on \(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(\text{Hom}(H, H'))\). Since on Grassmannians algebraic equivalence and rational equivalence of cycles coincide, we may as well work with the latter.

This construction may be extended to a relative situation as follows. If \(E\) is a rank \(e\) vector bundle on a projective manifold \(X\) and \(F := H^\ast \otimes E = \text{Hom}(H, E)\) then any algebraic cycle \(C\) on \(\text{Grass}(N, H)\) induces an algebraic cycle \(\hat{C}_E\) on \(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(\text{Hom}(H, E)) = \mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F)\) and similarly for algebraic families of cycles on \(\text{Grass}(N, H)\). It follows that two algebraic equivalent cycles \(C, C'\) on \(\text{Grass}(N, H)\) induce algebraic equivalent cycles \(\hat{C}_E, \hat{C'}_E\) on \(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(F)\). Thus we have a morphism of Chow groups

\[
A_*(\text{Grass}(N, H)) \to A_*(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(\text{Hom}(H, E))) \quad C \mapsto \hat{C}_E
\]

Note that by construction if \(C\) is irreducible, then also \(\hat{C}\) and \(\hat{C}_E\) will be irreducible.

In particular we can apply this when \(C = C_\lambda\) is a Schubert variety. Then the closure of \(p^{-1}(C)\) in \(\text{Hom}(H, H')\) coincides with the subvariety

\[
\hat{C} := \{\sigma \in \text{Hom}(H, H') : \dim \ker(\sigma(x)) \cap A_i \geq i \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, n\}
\]
of $\text{Hom}(H, H')$. Indeed, $p^{-1}(C) = \tilde{C} \cap \text{Hom}(H, H')^c$, $\tilde{C}$ is closed in $\text{Hom}(H, H')$ and $\tilde{C}$ is irreducible since $C$ is irreducible.

**Remark 6.4.** The reader can check that for Schubert cycles $C$ in $\text{Grass}(N, H)$ and for vector bundles $E$ of rank $e$ on some projective manifold $X$, the construction of the cycles $\tilde{C}_E$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(\text{Hom}(H, E))$ recovers the definition $\tilde{C}_E$ from Section 4.1.

**Proof of Theorem 6.3.** (1) Let $X$ be a complex projective manifold of dimension $d$, $E$ be an ample vector bundle of rank $e$ on $X$ and $x$ an element in $B_{d-2,e}$. We fix an ample class $h$ on $X$ and will show that $\Gamma_x(E)$ has the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to $h$.

We make the same notations as in Section 4, $W := H^{1,1}_R(X)$, $\tilde{X} := X \times \mathbb{P}^d$, $\zeta := c_1(O_{\mathbb{P}^d}(1)) \in H^{1,1}_R(\mathbb{P}^d)$, $V := H^{1,1}_R(\tilde{X}) = W \oplus \mathbb{R} \zeta$ and define $Q_i$ and $R_{i,t}$ by imitating Definitions 4.2 and 4.4 but for the class $\Gamma_x$ instead of $s_\lambda$, i.e.

$$Q_i(\beta, \beta') := Q_i(\beta, \beta'; x) := \int_X \beta \Gamma_x(E(\zeta)) \zeta^i h^{d-i} \beta'$$

for $\beta, \beta' \in V$ and

$$R_{i,t} := \sum_{k=0}^i \binom{d-i+k}{k} t^k Q_{i-k}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for $0 \leq i \leq d$. For $i$ outside the given range we set $Q_i = 0$ and $R_i = 0$. Here to simplify notation we have used the symbols $E$ and $\zeta$ also for their pull-backs to $\tilde{X}$.

We will apply our algorithm as in Section 4.3 to show that $R_{d,0}|_W$ has the Hodge-Riemann property with respect to $h$ which is exactly statement (1) of Theorem 6.3. For this we need to check properties (A) and (B) for $R_{i,t}$ along the lines of Section 4.2.

The only delicate part is establishing an analogue of Proposition 4.7. As both statements in that Proposition are closed under variation of $x$, we may assume that $x$ lies in the set $B_{d-2,e}$ of irreducibly representable points of $\Sigma_{k(d-2,e)-1}$. Now for $x$ in this set, the idea is to have a geometric interpretation of the forms $R_{i,t}$ as forms $S_{i,t}$ in the set-up of Section 4.1, where this time $S_{i,t}$ is computed by integrating on a suitable irreducible variety $\tilde{C}(x)_E$ (that now depends on $x$). This may be achieved since $x$ was assumed to be in $B_{d-2,e}$, so we can choose $N$ and $m$ as in Definition 6.2, $H$ a complex vector space of dimension $e+N$ and choose $C(x)$ to be an irreducible cycle algebraically equivalent to $m \sum_{i=1}^{k(d-2,e)} x_i C(\lambda^i)$ in $\text{Grass}(N, H)$ and then take $\tilde{C}(x)_E$ to be the corresponding cone in $\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(\text{Hom}(H, E))$ given in (6.1). Then as $C(x)$ is irreducible, so is $\tilde{C}(x)_E$.

Then similarly to Proposition 4.5 the forms $mR_{i,t}$ correspond to the forms

$$S_{i,t}(\beta, \beta') := \int_{\tilde{C}(x)_E} \beta c_{f_{-(d-i)}}(U(\pi^*(th))) \pi^*(h)^{d-i} \beta'$$

$$= m \sum_{j=1}^{k(d-2,e)} x_j \int_{\tilde{C}(\lambda^j)_E} \beta c_{f_{-(d-i)}}(U(\pi^*(th))) \pi^*(h)^{d-i} \beta'$$

on $H^{1,1}_R(\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(\text{Hom}(H, E)))$, where as in Section 4.1 $f = \text{rk}(\text{Hom}(H, E)) - 1 = e(e+N) - 1$ and $U$ is the universal quotient bundle on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{sub}}(\text{Hom}(H, E))$. Now the same arguments used in Proposition 4.7 will work and prove the two corresponding statements in the present case too (we remark that irreducibility of $\tilde{C}(x)_E$ is crucial here, since in that argument we use a resolution of singularities to pass to the smooth case).
(2) The proof of this statement goes exactly as in Section 4.4 by reduction first to the Kähler case on tori with maximal Picard number and in that case as in Section 4.3 by the above argument.

(3) is a consequence of the linear case (2) and of Proposition 5.5.

Corollary 6.5. In general there exist rational points in \( \Sigma_{k(b,e)-1} \setminus B_{b,e} \).

Proof. By Theorem 6.3 it suffices to show that \( d, e \) and \( x \in \Sigma_{k(d-2,e)-1} \cap Q_{k(d-2,e)} \) exist together with a projective manifold \( X \) of dimension \( d \) and an ample vector bundle of rank \( e \) on \( X \) such that \( \Gamma_{\chi}(E) \) does not have the Hodge-Riemann property. This was done in [RT19, Section 9.2] for \( d = 5 \) and \( e = 3 \). \( \square \)
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