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ABSTRACT

We present 2.5-dimensional radiation-hydrodynamics simulations of the accretion-induced collapse (AIC) of white dwarfs, starting from two-dimensional rotational equilibrium configurations, thereby accounting consistently for the effects of rotation prior to and after core collapse. We focus our study on a 1.46 and a 1.92 M⊙, a model. Electron capture leads to the collapse to nuclear densities of these cores a few tens of milliseconds after the start of the simulations. The shock generated at bounce moves slowly, but steadily, outward. Within 50–100 ms, the stalled shock breaks out of the white dwarf along the poles. The blast is followed by a neutrino-driven wind that develops within the white dwarf, in a cone of opening angle about the poles, with mass loss rate of (5–8) × 10^{-3} M⊙ s^{-1}. The ejecta have an entropy on the order of (20–50) k_{B} y r^{-1} and an electron fraction that is bimodal. By the end of the simulations, at ≳600 ms after bounce, the explosion energy has reached (3–4) × 10^{49} ergs and the mass has reached a few times 10^{-3} M⊙. We estimate the asymptotic explosion energies to be lower than 10^{50} ergs, significantly lower than those inferred for standard core collapse. The AIC of white dwarfs thus represents one instance where a neutrino mechanism leads undoubtedly to a successful, albeit weak, explosion. We document in detail the numerous effects of the fast rotation of the progenitors: the neutron stars are aspherical; the “ν_e” and “ν_x” neutrino luminosities are reduced compared to the ν_e neutrino luminosity; the deleptonized region has a butterfly shape; the neutrino flux and electron fraction depends strongly upon latitude (a la von Zeipel); and a quasi-Keplerian 0.1–0.5 M⊙ accretion disk is formed.

Subject headings: hydrodynamics — neutrinos — stars: neutron — stars: rotation — supernovae: general — white dwarfs

1. INTRODUCTION

Stars can follow a few special evolutionary routes to form an unstable Chandrasekhar mass core. A main-sequence star of more than ~8 M_{⊙} evolves to form either a degenerate O/Ne/Mg core (Barkat et al. 1974; Nomoto 1984, 1987; Miyaji & Nomoto 1987) or a degenerate Fe core (Woosley & Weaver 1995), which, due to photodisintegration of heavy nuclei and/or electron capture, collapses to form a proto–neutron star (PNS). If an explosion ensues, the event is associated with a Type II supernova (SN). Less massive stars end their lives as white dwarfs. White dwarfs located in a binary system may accrete from a companion and achieve the Chandrasekhar mass, triggering the thermonuclear runaway of the object and leading to a Type Ia SN, leaving no remnant behind.

However, a third class of objects is expected. Theoretically, massive white dwarfs with O/Ne/Mg cores, due to their high central density (≥10^{10} g cm^{-3}), experience rapid electron capture that leads to the collapse of the core. This is accretion-induced collapse (AIC), an alternative path to stellar disruption through explosive burning, currently associated with Type Ia SNe (Nomoto & Kondo 1991).

It is currently unclear what fraction of all white dwarfs will lead to AICs, but of those white dwarfs that evolve to form a Chandrasekhar-mass O/Ne/Mg core, all will necessarily undergo core collapse. One formation channel is the coalescence of two white dwarfs (Mochkovitch & Livio 1989), with either C/O or O/Ne/Mg cores, although few such binary systems have yet been observed with a cumulative mass above the Chandrasekhar mass. There is still uncertainty as to whether such binary systems would not undergo thermonuclear runaway rather than collapse. Since the coalescence of two white dwarfs requires a shrinking of the orbit through gravitational radiation, these systems will take many gigayears to coalesce. An alternative formation mechanism is via single-degenerate systems, through a combination of high original white dwarf mass and mass and angular-momentum accretion by mass transfer from a (nondegenerate) H/He star (Nomoto & Kondo 1991). Binary star population synthesis codes predict the occurrence of the AIC of white dwarfs with a galactic rate of 8 × 10^{-7} yr^{-1} to 8 × 10^{-5} yr^{-1}, depending, among other things, on the treatment of the common-envelope phase and mass transfer (Yungelson & Livio 1998). The set of parameters leading to a Type Ia rate of 10^{-3} yr^{-1} corresponds to an AIC rate of 5 × 10^{-5} yr^{-1}. The observed Type Ia rate of ~3 × 10^{-3} yr^{-1} (Madau et al. 1998; Blenc et al. 2004; Mannucci et al. 2005) would imply a galactic AIC rate of 1.5 × 10^{-4} yr^{-1}. These rates are likely functions of galaxy and metallicity (Yungelson & Livio 2000; Belczynski et al. 2005; Greggio 2005; Scannapieco & Bildsten 2005). Based on r-process nucleosynthetic yields obtained from previous simulations of the AIC of white dwarfs, Fryer et al. (1999) inferred rates ranging from ~10^{-5} to ~10^{-8} yr^{-1}. Overall, AICs are not expected to occur more than once per ~20–50 standard Type Ia events; because they are intrinsically rarer, they remain to be identified and observed in nature.

Whatever their origin, their fundamental nature is to accrete both mass and angular momentum from a companion object.
Rotation is, therefore, a key physical component. Prior to core collapse, differential rotation acts as a stabilizing agent for shell burning by widening its spatial extent through enhanced mixing and reducing the envelope density through centrifugal support (Yoon & Langer 2004). Mass accretion also leads to an increase of the central density, which may rise up to a few $10^{10}$ g cm$^{-3}$, establishing suitable conditions for efficient electron capture on Mg/Ne nuclei. By the time of core collapse and depending on the evolutionary path followed, such white dwarfs may cover a range of masses from $\gtrsim 1.35 M_\odot$ up to $\sim 2 M_\odot$ ($2.7 M_\odot$) in the case of a nondegenerate (degenerate) companion, potentially well in excess of the standard Chandrasekhar limit, and possessing an initial rotational energy up to $\sim 10\%$ of their gravitational binding energies. At such values, the centrifugal potential leads to a deformation of the white dwarf from spherical symmetry, equipotentials and isopressure surfaces adopting a peanut-like shape in cross section for the largest rotation rates. Such structures are obtained in the two-dimensional differentially rotating equilibrium white dwarf models constructed by Yoon & Langer (2005, hereafter YL05; see also Liu & Lindblom 2001).

In the past, the collapse of O/Ne/Mg cores originating from stars in the $8-10 M_\odot$ range has been studied in one dimension by Baron et al. (1987a, 1987b), Mayle & Wilson (1988), and Woosley & Baron (1992), who showed that the shock generated at core bounce stalls rather than leading to a prompt explosion. However, Hillebrandt et al. (1984) and Mayle & Wilson (1988) obtained delayed explosions, the former after $\sim 20-30$ ms, and the latter after $\sim 200$ ms, supposedly driven by neutrino energy deposition behind the stalled shock. Woosley & Baron (1992) found the emergence of a sustained neutrino-driven wind, with a mass loss rate of $0.005 M_\odot$ s$^{-1}$ and with an ejecta electron fraction of the order of 0.45, showing promise, modulo uncertainties, for a contribution to the enrichment of the interstellar medium in r-process elements. Using one- and two-dimensional smoothed particle hydrodynamics simulations, Fryer et al. (1999) reproduced the simulations of Woosley & Baron (1992), confirmed that the shock stalls due to the copious neutrino losses associated with core bounce, did not find prompt explosion, and, depending on the equation of state (EOS) employed, observed a delayed explosion. They focused mostly on the early phase, prior to the neutrino-driven wind, and found an ejected mass of low-$Y_e$ material of $\sim 0.05 M_\odot$, depending on adopted model assumptions. Their two-dimensional simulations with solid-body rotation showed similar properties to their one-dimensional equivalents, the authors attributing the small differences to the different grid resolution. This may partly stem from the essentially spherical explosion triggered just $\lesssim 100$ ms after core bounce, ejecting the fast-rotating material in the outer mantle. Consequently, at the end of their two-dimensional simulations, they obtain very slow rotation rates for the PNSs, i.e., of $\sim 1$ s. Recently, Kitaura et al. (2005) reinspected the collapse of the progenitor model used by Hillebrandt et al. (1984). They confirmed again the by-now well-accepted idea that no prompt explosion occurs, but instead obtain a successful, although sub-energetic, delayed explosion in spherical symmetry, powered by neutrino heating and a neutrino-driven wind that sets in $\sim 200$ ms after bounce.

A primary motivation for this work is to improve on these former investigations that assumed one-dimensionality, sphericity, and/or zero-rotation, and start instead from the more physically consistent two-dimensional models of YL05, thereby fully accounting for the effects of rotation on the collapse, bounce, and postbounce evolution of the white dwarf core and envelope, as well as for the strong asphericity of the progenitor. Our study uses VULCAN/2D (Livne et al. 2004; Walder et al. 2005) to perform two-dimensional multigroup flux-limited diffusion (MGFLD) radiation hydrodynamics simulations. As we demonstrate, rotation plays a major role in the postbounce evolution, making one-dimensional investigations of such objects of limited utility. By carrying out the simulations from $\sim 30$ ms before bounce to $\gtrsim 600$ ms after bounce, we capture a wide range of physical processes, including the establishment of a strong, fast, and aspherical neutrino-driven wind. We model the centrifugally supported equatorial regions and the large angular momentum budget leading to the formation of a sizable accretion disk. Moreover, an Eulerian investigation is better suited than a Lagrangean approach to explore the neutrino-driven wind that develops after $\gtrsim 200$ ms. Finally, despite the relative scarcity of AIC in Nature, these simulations represent interesting examples for the formation of disks around neutron stars.

The main findings of this work are the following: We find that the AIC of white dwarfs forms $\sim 1.4 M_\odot$ neutron stars, expelling a modest mass of a few $10^{-3} M_\odot$ mostly through a neutrino-driven wind that develops $\gtrsim 200$ ms after bounce, and that they lead to very modest explosion energies of $(5-10) \times 10^{49}$ ergs. Accounting for the rotation and the asphericity of the progenitor white dwarfs reveals a wealth of phenomena. The shock wave generated at core bounce emerges through the poles rather than the equator, and it is in this excavated polar region that the neutrino-driven wind develops. The strong asphericity of the newly formed protoneutron stars leads to a latitudinally dependent neutrino flux, while the effects of rotation modify the relative flux magnitude of different neutrino flavors. Besides mass accretion by both the neutron star and mass ejection by the initial blast and the subsequent wind, we find a sizable component that survives and resides in a quasi-Keplerian disk, which obstructs the wind flow at low latitudes. This disk will be accreted by the neutron star only on longer, viscous timescales.

In the next two sections, we present the two selected progenitor models in more detail; we also discuss the radiation-hydrodynamics code VULCAN/2D and the various assumptions made. In § 4 we present the simulation results, focusing on the general temporal evolution from the start until $\gtrsim 600$ ms, a time by which the neutrino-driven wind has reached a steady state. We then analyze in more detail the various components of these simulations. In § 5 we discuss the properties of the nascent neutron stars, with special attention paid to the geometry of the neutrinospheres. In § 6 we discuss the neutrino signatures, both in terms of luminosity and energy distribution. In § 7 we focus on the residual material lying at low latitudes, forming a quasi-Keplerian disk. In § 8 we turn to the energetics of the explosion and describe in detail the main component of the simulations at late times, i.e., the neutrino-driven wind. In § 9 we analyze the electron fraction of the ejected material and address the relevance of the AIC of white dwarfs for neutron-rich element pollution of the interstellar medium. In § 10 we present the gravitational-wave signal predicted for the AIC of our white dwarf models. In § 11 we wrap up with a discussion of the main results of this investigation and present our conclusions.

2. INITIAL MODELS

In this section we present the properties of the AIC progenitors selected in our study and summarize the presentation in § 2 of YL05.

The general assumption for the construction of two-dimensional progenitor models for the AIC of white dwarf (YL05) is that the resulting structure of the object is essentially independent of its evolutionary history, the only factors that matter being the given (final) mass, angular momentum, and central density, $\rho_c$. 

In addition, the angular velocity distribution Ω(r, z), where r is the cylindrical radius and z is the distance to the equator, is determined self-consistently, given a number of properties identified in one-dimensional models: (1) the role played by the dynamical shear instability; (2) the compression (and spin-up) of the surface layers due to mass accretion, which puts its peak angular velocity interior to the surface radius; (3) the adopted surface rotational velocity value (its fraction of the local Keplerian value); and (4) the geometry of the angular velocity profile, which we assume to be constant on cylinders, i.e., \( Ω = Ω(r) \). Together with the pressure/density dependence \( P = P(ρ) \), such rotating stars are called barotropic. Note that the criterion used in YL05 for the shear rate for the onset of the dynamical shear instability is determined using the EOS of Blinnikov et al. (1996).

The two-dimensional rotating models then correspond to equilibrium configurations iteratively found from trial density and angular velocity distributions, using the self-consistent-field method (Ostriker & Mac 1968; Hachisu 1986), under the constraint that the density \( ρ \) is solely a function of the effective potential \( Ψ(r, z) \), given as the sum of the gravitational potential, i.e.,

\[
Ψ(r, z) = -G \int \frac{ρ(r', z')}{R - R'} d^3 R',
\]

and the centrifugal potential, i.e.,

\[
Θ(r) = -\int Ω^2(r') r' dr',
\]

where \( R' = (r'^2 + z'^2)^{1/2} \) (Tassoul 2000; YL05).

In this paper we select two progenitors with masses of 1.46 and 1.92 M\(_{\odot}\) and present their global characteristics in Table 1. Both models have an initial central density \( ρ_c \) equal to 5 \times 10^{10} g cm\(^{-3}\).

The 1.46 M\(_{\odot}\) model serves as a reference for an object with a moderate initial rotational energy \( T \) relative to gravitational energy \( |W| \), i.e., \( T/|W| = 0.0076 \), and, indeed, shows only a modest initial departure from spherical symmetry, with a polar (\( R_p \)) to equatorial (\( R_{eq} \)) radius ratio \( R_p/R_{eq} = 0.7 \). At the other end of the white dwarf mass spectrum, the 1.92 M\(_{\odot}\) model has considerable rotational energy, with initial \( T/|W| = 0.0833 \), and the morphology of the star departs strongly from spherical symmetry, with \( R_p/R_{eq} = 0.28 \). For later reference, we also provide in Table 1 the ratio \( T/|W| \) at the end of the simulations. In practice, the infall of the ambient material causes numerical difficulties soon after the start of the simulation. These difficulties were resolved by trimming the outer and low-density layers of both white dwarf progenitors. While the polar radius is hardly affected, the equatorial radius is reduced to 980 km (down from 1130 km) for the 1.46 M\(_{\odot}\) model and 1860 km (down from 2350 km) for the 1.92 M\(_{\odot}\) model. The progenitor mass is, however, reduced by less than one part in 10\(^8\). Hence, we do not expect any perceptible effect on the results.

### 3. VULCAN/2D SIMULATION CODE

The simulations discussed in this paper were performed with the Newtonian hydrodynamic code VULCAN/2D (Livne 1993), supplemented with an algorithm for neutrino transport as described in Livne et al. (2004) and Walder et al. (2005). The version of the code used here is the same as that discussed in Dessart et al. (2005) and Burrows et al. (2006), and uses the two-dimensional MGFLD method to handle neutrino transport (see Appendix A of Dessart et al. 2005). The MGFLD variant of VULCAN/2D is much faster than the more accurate, but considerably more costly, multiangle \( S \_v \) variant. Doppler-velocity-dependent terms are not included in the transport, although advection terms are. Frequency redistribution due to the subdominant process of neutrino-electron scattering is neglected. Our calculations include 16 energy groups logarithmically distributed in energy from 2.5 to 220 MeV, take into account the electron and antineutrino flavors, and bundle the four additional neutrino and antineutrino flavors into a \( ν_p \) component.

VULCAN/2D uses a hybrid grid, switching from Cartesian in the inner 20 km to spherical-polar farther out. In the simulation of the 1.46 M\(_{\odot}\) model, mapped onto a 180° wedge, nearly perfect top-bottom symmetry about the equatorial plane was maintained during the pre- and postbounce evolution. Thus, for the 1.92 M\(_{\odot}\), we limited the computational domain to just one hemisphere. The thus reduced number of zones was used to increase the resolution. To summarize, the 1.46 M\(_{\odot}\) model uses a grid with a maximum resolution in the Cartesian inner region of 0.56 km, and a minimum resolution of 150 km at a maximum radius of 5000 km, with 121 regularly spaced angular zones to cover 180°. The 1.92 M\(_{\odot}\) model uses a grid with a maximum resolution in the Cartesian inner region of 0.48 km, and a minimum resolution of 100 km at a maximum radius of 4000 km, with 71 regularly spaced angular zones covering 90°.

A tricky part of the set up was to choose the properties of the “ambient” medium surrounding the AIC model. This need arises because our inputs are Lagrangian in spirit, while VULCAN/2D employs a Eulerian grid. Ideally, one would like to have material that merely occupies the space that will soon, after bounce, be replaced by the ejected material following the explosion. A key requirement is, thus, that this material have a very low pressure, to influence as little as possible the properties of the blast, but to allow for a smooth transition from circumstellar to ejected material in a given region of the Eulerian grid. To achieve this, we extended our SHEN EOS (Shen et al. 1998) down to very low densities (10 g cm\(^{-3}\)) and low temperatures (10\(^8\) K), conditions in which the medium is actually radiation-dominated and, thus, has a pressure that depends mostly on temperature. A successful choice was to adopt a density of 1000 g cm\(^{-3}\) and a temperature of 4 \times 10\(^8\) K for the ambient medium surrounding both white dwarf models.

A major deficiency of the white dwarf progenitor models used here is their unknown initial thermal structure, which YL05 did not provide. Given the additional difficulty in handling low

| \( M (M_{\odot}) \) | \( R_p \) (km) | \( R_{eq} \) (km) | \( T \) (ergs s) \( \times 10^{49} \) | \( |W| \) (ergs) \( \times 10^{49} \) | \( T/|W| \) |
|----------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.46...............| 800       | 1130           | 0.160          | 0.7            | 91.97          | 0.0076          | 0.059          |
| 1.92...............| 660       | 2350           | 1.092          | 10.57          | 126.9          | 0.0833          | 0.262          |
temperatures and high densities, we resorted to using a parameterized function of the local density, i.e.,

\[ T(r, z) = T_c \left( \rho_e / \rho(r, z) \right)^{0.35} \]

with \( T_c = 10^{10} \text{ K} \) for the 1.46 \( M_\odot \) model, and \( T_c = 1.3 \times 10^{10} \text{ K} \) for the 1.92 \( M_\odot \) model. Note that, similarly, Woosley & Baron (1992) were forced to set a central temperature of 1.2 \( \times 10^{10} \text{ K} \) at the start of their simulation.

Figure 1 recapitulates the basic properties of the white dwarf progenitors (left column, 1.46 \( M_\odot \) model; right column, 1.92 \( M_\odot \) model) as mapped onto our Eulerian grid. In the top panel, we show a color map of the density on which we superpose line contours of the temperature \( T(r, z) \), chosen to follow the relation \( T(r, z) = T_e \left( \rho_e / \rho(r, z) \right)^{0.35} \), with \( T_e = 10^{10} \text{ K} \). We also overplot line contours of the temperature for each model, following the prescription outlined in the above paragraph.

Finally, we adopt an initial electron fraction of 0.5; the high initial central density permits fast electron capture which soon decreases the \( Y_e \) in the core, leading to bounce on a timescale 10 times shorter than typically experienced in the collapse of the core of massive star progenitors (Woosley & Weaver 1995; Heger et al. 2000; Woosley et al. 2002).

4. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we discuss the general properties of the pre- and post-collapse phases for both models at the same time. We follow the postbounce evolution of the 1.46 \( M_\odot \) (1.92 \( M_\odot \)) model for 550 ms (780 ms), for a total of 520,000 (820,000) time steps.

In Figures 2 and 3 we provide entropy (saturated at 20 \( k_B \) baryon\(^{-1} \), where \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant) color maps showing the key events in the evolution of both white dwarf models, with starting conditions discussed in the previous section and displayed in Figure 1. We complement these figures with Figure 4
Fig. 2.—Slide sequence of color maps of the entropy covering the postbounce evolution of the 1.46 $M_\odot$ progenitor, with velocity vectors overplotted as black or white arrows. The entropy, reaching up to 50 k$_{\text{B}}$ baryon$^{-1}$ at later times, has been saturated at 20 k$_{\text{B}}$ baryon$^{-1}$ in all panels to enhance the contrast. From top to bottom, left to right, the vector of maximum length corresponds to a velocity magnitude of 52,500 km s$^{-1}$ (infall), 28,500 km s$^{-1}$ (infall), 24,000 km s$^{-1}$ (infall), 20,500 km s$^{-1}$ (outflow), 16,500 km s$^{-1}$ (outflow), and 34,000 km s$^{-1}$ (outflow). We indicate the time after bounce in the bottom left corner of each panel. Note also that the spatial scale varies between panels, with widths in the range 300 km to 10,000 km. See text for details.
Fig. 3.—Slide sequence of color maps of the entropy covering the postbounce evolution of the 1.92 $M_\odot$ progenitor, with velocity vectors overplotted as black or white arrows. The entropy, reaching up to 5$k_B$ baryon$^{-1}$ at late times, has been saturated at 20$k_B$ baryon$^{-1}$ to enhance the contrast. From top to bottom, left to right, the vector of maximum length corresponds to a velocity magnitude of 53,000 km s$^{-1}$ (infall), 36,500 km s$^{-1}$ (infall), 29,000 km s$^{-1}$ (infall), 32,000 km s$^{-1}$ (outflow), 32,500 km s$^{-1}$ (outflow), and 34,500 km s$^{-1}$ (outflow). We indicate the time after bounce in the bottom left corner of each panel. Note also that the spatial scale varies between panels, with widths in the range 300–8000 km. See text for details.
Fig. 4.—Slide sequence of color maps of the electron fraction, $Y_e$, covering the postbounce evolution of the 1.46 $M_\odot$ (left column) and 1.92 $M_\odot$ (right column) progenitors, overplotted with velocity vectors, as black arrows. We also superpose isodensity contours as white lines, spaced every decade, starting at $10^{14}$ g cm$^{-3}$ (top row) or $10^{15}$ g cm$^{-3}$ (bottom two rows). From top to bottom, left to right, the vector of maximum length corresponds to a velocity magnitude of 43,500 km s$^{-1}$ (infall), 36,500 km s$^{-1}$ (infall), 25,500 km s$^{-1}$ (outflow), 19,500 km s$^{-1}$ (outflow), 34,000 km s$^{-1}$ (outflow), and 34,500 km s$^{-1}$ (outflow). We indicate the time after bounce in the bottom left corner of each panel. The spatial scale varies between panels, with widths in the range 500 km to 10,000 km (8000 km) for the 1.46 $M_\odot$ (1.92 $M_\odot$) model. See text for details.
for the electron fraction evolution at three reference times (left column, 1.46 $M_\odot$ model; right column, 1.92 $M_\odot$ model). Note also that we overplot most figures with black arrows representing velocity vectors, whose maximum length is set to 10% of the width (or the height) of the image. The corresponding maximum velocity is then given for each image in the figure captions—we also mention if we saturate the vector lengths. Having a large central density of $5 \times 10^{10}$ g cm$^{-3}$, both models achieve nuclear densities after the same time of $\sim 37$ ms. Differences in the bounce properties are attributable to the initial inner angular velocity distributions (Fig. 1). Compared to the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model, the faster rotator has a lower maximum density at bounce (slightly shifted from the grid center to $r = 1$ km), i.e., 2.2 instead of $3.1 \times 10^{11}$ g cm$^{-3}$. It manifests an oblate, rather than a spherical, inner region (the inner tens of kilometers) of low entropy ($\sim k_B$ baryon$^{-1}$; visible in red). The deleptonized material in this inner region is, however, aspherically distributed in both models, although more so in the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model, with the lower $Y_e$ material lying in a disk structure along the equatorial direction. The flatter density gradient in this direction and the longer dwell time near the neutrinosphere conspire to produce this enhanced deleptonization. At core bounce, the low-density outer parts of the white dwarf progenitor have not yet started to infall and the progenitor still retains its original shape. The collapse of the inner regions, however, creates a rarefaction wave that triggers the infall of the outer material, with a magnitude that is more pronounced along the poles due to the compact structure of the white dwarf in these directions.

Although we do not observe a prompt explosion (i.e., occurring on a dynamical timescale of just a few milliseconds), the shock progresses slowly outwards without conspicuously stalling. This is slightly different from the core-collapse simulations recently published, whereby the shock systemically stalls (Burrows et al. 2006; Buras et al. 2005, 2006). In the equatorial direction, facilitated by the centrifugal support of the infalling material, the shock progresses outwards steadily, and is faster in the faster rotating model, reaching a few hundred kilometers after $\sim 100$ ms. Given the initial constant rotation rate on cylinders (see § 2), the radial inflow of mass brings angular momentum to the equatorial ($\varepsilon \sim 0$) region, which becomes more strongly supported, facilitating further the progress of the shock at low latitudes. This exacerbates the nonspherical development of the shock structure in both models. In its wake, we see a few large-scale whirls, resulting from the generation, at midlatitudes and due to shock passage, of large vortical motions.

In the polar direction, centrifugal support is absent, but the stalling of the shock is prevented by the quickly decreasing accretion rate established by the steeper density gradient, reduced polar radius, and smaller mass budget. Hence, although the shock slowly migrates along the equatorial regions, it soon traverses the surface layer of the white dwarf along the poles and escapes outwards into the ambient medium. Due to the strong asphericity of the progenitor, this occurs only 70 ms after core bounce in the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model, $\sim 30$ ms earlier than for the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model. The ambient medium is then swept up by this blast, whose opening angle is constrained by that of the uncollapsed disk of the progenitor. The outflow expansion rate is larger $30^\circ - 40^\circ$ away from the poles than right along the pole, coinciding in Figure 4 with the lower $Y_e$ material. The effect is large for the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model, giving a butterfly shape in cross section to the faster expanding portions of the outflow. Off-axis material has more rotational kinetic energy available to convert to two-dimensional planar ($r, z$) kinetic energy as it streams outward, reducing its rotational velocity while preserving angular momentum, thereby resulting in an enhanced acceleration compared to that of the material situated along the poles and lacking rotational energy.

As the shock expands, it wraps around the disk, typically with a speed near that of the local sound speed. Nearer the pole, the outflow sweeps along the pole-facing side of the pole-excavated white dwarf, entraining surface material and effectively loading the outflow with more mass, causing unsteady fallback onto the neutron star. For the 1.46 $M_\odot$ progenitor, the shock completely wraps around the low-latitude regions of the white dwarf, and finally emerges from the outer equatorial regions, as witnessed by an outward-moving entropy jump. By 250 ms after bounce, the shock reaches a few thousand kilometers, assumes a near spherical shape, and the entire white dwarf material outside the newly formed neutron star flows nearly radially outward. In the high-rotation (1.92 $M_\odot$) progenitor, the white dwarf possesses a lot more mass at near zero-latitude and this confines more drastically the emerging shock along the poles. As the shock migrates outwards, it opens up; it does wrap around the progenitor, but much later than when the shock escapes in the polar directions. Despite the reduced ram pressure associated with centrifugal support, the shock stalls a few hundred milliseconds after bounce along all near-equatorial directions.

Within 100–200 ms, the newly formed neutron star has a mass of $\sim 1.4 M_\odot$, similar in both models despite the 0.5 $M_\odot$ difference in progenitor mass. Note that the large neutron star asphericity and the sizably lower density at the neutrinosphere for near-zero latitudes makes this mass definition ambiguous at such early times, especially in the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model. Indeed, the neutron star is not clearly distinguishable from the surrounding equatorial material, so imposing either a density cut of $10^{10} - 10^{11}$ g cm$^{-3}$ or a radius cut in defining the newly born neutron star appears arbitrary when determining the residual mass. In the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model, about 0.06 $M_\odot$ remains outside of the neutron star, mostly in the equatorial disk region; in the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model, 0.6 $M_\odot$ is now lying in this disklike structure. The rest of the initial mass is outflowing material, which, if selected according to an outward radial velocity discriminant of 10,000 km s$^{-1}$ (comparable to the escape velocity at 3000 km), reaches $4 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ for the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model and $3 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ for the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model. These various components are documented in more detail in §§ 7–9.

The late-time evolution of both models is characterized by a strong neutrino-driven wind that sets in about 300 ms after bounce, replenishing the grid with denser material (on average $10^8$ g cm$^{-3}$) and large velocities (with a maximum of 30,000 km s$^{-1}$ along the poles). The properties of the neutrino-driven wind are very angle-dependent, the density changing by 30% between the pole and the 40° latitude, while the radial outflow velocity varies by a factor of 3 in the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model. The latitudinal dependence of the mass flux per unit solid angle is therefore dominated by a variation in asymptotic velocity. We discuss this result in more detail in § 8. By the time we stop the simulations, at 550 and 780 ms for the 1.46 and 1.92 $M_\odot$ models, all the ambient medium originally placed around the white dwarf progenitor has been swept away by the neutrino-driven wind, which occupies all the space outside the neutron star and the disk. The electron fraction of the material ejected in the original blast is close to 0.45–0.5, while subsequently, in the neutrino-driven wind, the values are lower, with a pronounced decrease toward lower latitudes (note, however, the high $Y_e$ right along the pole; see § 9).

In Figure 5 we recapitulate for both models the evolution above by showing equatorial and polar slices of the
density as a function of time. Striking features are the distinct polar and equatorial surface radii, the fast infall of the inner regions to nuclear densities, the slow plowing of the shock along the equatorial directions, superseded in radial extent and velocity by the shock in the polar direction as the surface mass shells collapse in, and finally the emergence of a sequence of density kinks associated with the birth of the fast neutrino-driven wind that sweeps away the previously shocked material that did not leave the grid. Similarly, in Figure 6 we show slices of the radial velocity, \( V_R \), along the polar (top row) and equatorial (bottom row) directions for the 1.46 \( M_\odot \) (left column) and 1.92 \( M_\odot \) (right column) models. Notice the much larger infall velocities, similar along the poles and the equator for the 1.46 \( M_\odot \) model, but with a strong latitudinal dependence in the 1.92 \( M_\odot \) model. In that model, the speed contrast between the polar and equatorial directions is \( \sim 30,000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). Overall, the evolution is more rapid along the poles than on the equator, with larger asymptotic velocities (30,000 km s\(^{-1}\) compared with 10,000 km s\(^{-1}\)), and with the establishment of a quasi-stationary outflow at late times along the pole. These radial slices offer a means of better interpreting the fluid velocities, depicted with vectors, in most color maps shown in this paper. We also show isodensity contours in most color maps to provide some feeling for the density distribution.

Having described the general properties of the two simulations of the AIC of a 1.46 and 1.92 \( M_\odot \) white dwarf, we now address more specific issues, covering the properties of the nascent neutron star (§5), the neutrino signatures (§6), the properties of the residual disk and the angular momentum history (§7), the neutrino-driven wind and the global energetics (§8), the electron fraction of the ejected material (§9), and, finally, the gravitational wave signatures (§10).

5. NEUTRON STAR PROPERTIES

The white dwarf progenitors discussed in this paper, due to their evolution to high central densities, high rotational kinetic energies, and high mass, are distinctive in that their cores always collapse to form neutron stars rather than being disrupted by the explosive burning of carbon and oxygen.

We find that neutron stars formed from the AIC of the progenitor white dwarfs used in this work are very aspherical (see, for example, Walder et al. 2005; Liu & Lindblom 2001; Janka & Mönchmeyer 1989a, 1989b), although there are significant differences in evolution after bounce between the two models. In Figure 7 we show color maps of the density field 59 ms after bounce (top row), as well as for the last time computed (\( t = 570 \text{ ms after bounce; bottom row} \)) in the 1.46 \( M_\odot \) model. To render more striking the level of asphericity of the neutron star “surface,” we overplot the neutrinospheres \( R_{\nu}(\varepsilon_\nu, r, z) \), adopting the definition

\[
\tau[R_{\nu}(\varepsilon_\nu, r, z)] = \int_{R_{\nu}(\varepsilon_\nu, r, z)}^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu}(\rho, T, Y_e) dR' = 2/3,
\]

where \( \kappa_{\nu}(\rho, T, Y_e) \) is the combined material absorption and scattering opacity to neutrinos, and the integration is carried out along radial rays, with \( R' = (r'^2 + z'^2)^{1/2} \), using 30 equally spaced latitudinal directions per quadrant. Strictly, this definition is most appropriate for the photosphere/neutrinosphere in a plane-parallel atmosphere, but it gives a sense of the asphericity of the collapsed core. Note that the above expression contains a dependence both on the neutrino flavor and the neutrino energy \( \varepsilon_\nu \). In the left column of Figure 7, line contours correspond to such neutrinosphere radii as a function of energy group, bracketing

---

**Figure 5.** Top: Time evolution of the polar density profile for the 1.46 \( M_\odot \) (left column) and 1.92 \( M_\odot \) (right column) progenitor models. Bottom: Same as above, but for the equatorial direction. Note the steeper density profiles at all times along the pole compared to the equatorial direction, highlighting the contrast between the wind (polar direction) and disk (equatorial direction; within a 2000 km radius at 770 ms for the 1.92 \( M_\odot \) model). See text for discussion.
the peak of the neutrino energy distribution at the neutrinosphere, i.e., between 2.5 and 46 MeV. Material opacity to neutrinos increases with the square of the energy, so that higher energy neutrinos have larger neutrinospheres. Here, for the 1.46 \( M_\odot \) model, these radii vary from \( 30 \) to \( 120 \) km along the equatorial direction, with little departure from sphericity (30% lower values are obtained in the polar direction). In the right panel, line contours correspond to the neutrinosphere for the three different flavors at a neutrino energy (\( \nu_e \)) of 12.5 MeV, associated with the peak of the energy distribution at infinity. Note that this is approximate, since the neutrino energy distribution hardens with time and manifests a latitudinal dependence (see \( \S \) 6). As in standard one- and two-dimensional core-collapse computations, we find that the electron neutrinos decouple from matter at larger radii than the \( \bar{\nu}_e \) and “\( \nu_\mu \)” neutrinos. Here, the former decouple at 90 km (60 km) along the equator (pole), the latter two further in but at a similar radius of 70 km (50 km). The neutrinospheres show a similar shape for all three flavors (and all energy groups), reflecting the corresponding asphericity in the density field.

In the bottom panels, we reproduce the above for the last time in the 1.46 \( M_\odot \) simulation. The departure from sphericity is now considerable, with both an oblateness and a strong pinching of the neutrinospheres along the polar directions. Along the equatorial direction, the radial spacing between neutrinospheres of consecutive and higher energy groups has increased, and the lower (higher) energy groups decouple further in (out) than in the previous snapshot, with neutrinospheres between 20 and 150 km (from 2.5 to 50 MeV), 80 and 50 km (for the \( \nu_e \) neutrino, and \( \bar{\nu}_e / “\nu_\mu” \) neutrinos, respectively). Along the polar direction, neutrinospheres of all neutrino energy groups (and all neutrino flavors at 12.5 MeV) shown reside in a narrow range of radii between 20 and 30 km (22–25 km). Here again, the neutrinospheres depicted follow very closely the contours of density, which is the primary factor controlling the neutrino optical depth.

In Figure 8 we duplicate Figure 7 (note the different spatial scale) for the 1.92 \( M_\odot \) model, showing the same quantities both early after bounce (59 ms) and significantly later at 775.5 ms after bounce. There are numerous differences with the 1.46 \( M_\odot \) model. First, the neutrinospheres are aspherical even right after bounce (top row), with equatorial (polar) radii larger (smaller) by a factor of 2–3 compared with those in the 1.46 \( M_\odot \) model. All flavors reveal similar neutrinosphere locations.

In the 1.46 \( M_\odot \) model, the later ratio of the equatorial and polar radii is 2.5:1, irrespective of energy group and flavor. This becomes 15:1 in the 1.92 \( M_\odot \) model, and is thus a considerable departure from sphericity; the faster rotating model has a neutrinosphere radius of just 14 km along the pole, but 215 km along the equator. This is the most conspicuous difference with the essentially spherical neutron stars seen in nonrotating simulations of the more standard core collapse of massive stars (Keil et al. 1996; Swesty & Myra 2005; Buras et al. 2006; Dessart et al. 2005), with neutrinosphere radii of the order of 20–30 km at comparable times after core bounce.

In the 1.92 \( M_\odot \) model, the later ratio of the equatorial and polar radii is 2.5:1, irrespective of energy group and flavor. This becomes 15:1 in the 1.92 \( M_\odot \) model, and is thus a considerable departure from sphericity; the faster rotating model has a neutrinosphere radius of just 14 km along the pole, but 215 km along the equator. This is the most conspicuous difference with the essentially spherical neutron stars seen in nonrotating simulations of the more standard core collapse of massive stars (Keil et al. 1996; Swesty & Myra 2005; Buras et al. 2006; Dessart et al. 2005), with neutrinosphere radii of the order of 20–30 km at comparable times after core bounce.

The very aspherical neutron stars formed through the AIC of a white dwarf make the determination of the neutron star mass somewhat ambiguous. Rather than taking the enclosed mass within a given spherical radius, we compute the total mass from all regions above a given mass density. For a density cut of \( 10^{16} \) g cm\(^{-3}\), we obtain neutron star masses of 1.42 \( M_\odot \) for the 1.46 \( M_\odot \) model and 1.5 \( M_\odot \) for the 1.92 \( M_\odot \) model. However, if
we adopt a density cut of $10^{11}$ g cm$^{-3}$, the neutron star masses are, respectively, 1.39 and 1.30 $M_\odot$. The higher mass progenitor model has now a smaller neutron star mass, reflecting the strong asphericity of the density field. While we might associate the neutrinosphere with the neutron star surface and with a standard mass density of $10^{11}$ g cm$^{-3}$, such an association in the present fast rotating neutron star is inappropriate, since the neutrinospheres extend well into regions where the density is $\lesssim 10^{10}$ g cm$^{-3}$. These neutron star mass values are reached at 100 ms after core bounce and remain essentially constant. The neutrino-driven wind that appears after a few 100 ms decreases the neutron star mass at a rate of just a few $10^{-3} M_\odot$ s$^{-1}$ (see § 8). Note also that the enhanced centrifugal support in the faster rotating, higher mass model leads to bounce at a 30% lower maximum density compared with the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model, and both a reduced and a delayed mass accretion rate along the equatorial direction compared with what would prevail in the absence of rotation. At the end of each simulation, the total neutron star angular momentum is $1.35 \times 10^{49}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ ($1.13 \times 10^{49}$ ergs s) for the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model, using the density cut at $10^{10}$ g cm$^{-3}$ ($10^{11}$ g cm$^{-3}$), and $4.57 \times 10^{48}$ ergs s ($2.79 \times 10^{48}$ ergs s) for the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model. However, the accretion rate at a given Eulerian radius is higher and longer lived at smaller latitudes, because of the larger amount of mass available and the flatter density profile in those regions. Overall, the presence of a massive accretion disk in the fast rotating model complicates the definition of the neutron star mass at such early times. Evolution over minutes/hours/days will likely lead to significant accretion onto the neutron star, resulting in a much higher final mass.

The final rotational to gravitational energy ratio $T/W$ is 0.059 for the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model and 0.262 for the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model. These values are large and for the latter model, large enough to cause
the growth of secular and perhaps even dynamical instabilities (Tassoul 2000). Using realistic postbounce configurations for a rotating massive star progenitor, Ott et al. (2005) find a dynamically unstable spiral mode for \( T/W \) as low as \( 0.08 \). Thus, it is likely that the PNS structures found here, especially for the \( 1.92 M_\odot \) model, would develop some nonaxisymmetric instability that would cause, among other things, outward angular momentum transport.

These results are significantly different from those of Fryer et al. (1999), who obtained a successful explosion \( \pm 100 \) ms after bounce, a PNS mass of \( \sim 1.2 M_\odot \), and a \( \sim 1 \) s period at \( \sim 200 \) ms after core bounce. Such different conclusions stem from their adoption of slow, solid-body progenitor rotation, with most of the angular momentum stored in the outer mantle and blown away by the explosion, rather than being accreted by the PNS.

6. NEUTRINO SIGNATURES

The first observational signature of an AIC explosion would be the copious emission of neutrinos immediately after core bounce. As discussed above, the properties of the bounce of the core and the nascent neutron star are close enough to those obtained in simulations of the core collapse of massive progenitors that one expects a neutrino signal with a somewhat similar evolution and character (see, for example, the predictions for the \( 11 M_\odot \) model of Woosley & Weaver [1995] in Dessart et al. [2005]).

In Figure 9 we show the neutrino luminosities (on a log scale) for the \( 1.46 M_\odot \) (left panel) and \( 1.92 M_\odot \) (right panel) models, with distinct curves for the different neutrino flavors (solid line, \( \nu_e \); dashed line, \( \bar{\nu}_e \); dash-dotted line, “\( \nu_x \”)), as well as different
ejecta is the higher electron-neutrino luminosity compared to that of the antielectron neutrinos, by a factor of at least 10 in the 1.92 M\(_\odot\) respectively, and in black for the equatorial direction (\(\theta = \pi/2\)) and in red for the polar direction (\(\theta = 0\)). Of particular relevance to the asymptotic electron fraction of the ejecta is the higher electron-neutrino luminosity compared to that of the antielectron neutrinos, by a factor of at least 10 in the 1.92 M\(_\odot\) model (right). Note that the vertical scale extends down to 10\(^{51}\) ergs s\(^{-1}\) for the 1.46 M\(_\odot\) model and to 10\(^{50}\) ergs s\(^{-1}\) for the 1.92 M\(_\odot\) model.

colors for the equatorial (black) and polar (red) directions. These are luminosities in the sense that the flux in each direction is scaled by 4\(\pi R^2\) where \(R\) is a spherical radius, of 250 km for the 1.46 M\(_\odot\) model and 400 km for the 1.92 M\(_\odot\) model (chosen to be well above the neutrinosphere for the energy at the peak of the neutrino distribution). They correspond to the total luminosity that would have obtained had the selected directional flux been the same in all directions. The temporal evolution of the various fluxes for both models is comparable. The total neutrino luminosity reaches a maximum of 5.2 \times 10\(^{53}\) ergs s\(^{-1}\), mostly due to the \(\nu_e\) neutrino contribution, and decreases to \(~4 \times 10\(^{52}\)\) ergs s\(^{-1}\) at 500 ms after bounce in the 1.46 M\(_\odot\) model, with a further 30\% decrease for the 1.92 M\(_\odot\) model. At later times, the main reason for this difference is the much lower “\(\nu_\mu\)” neutrino luminosity in the 1.92 M\(_\odot\) model. This reduction has been seen and discussed by Fryer & Heger (2000) in the context of the collapse of rotating cores of massive progenitors. The smaller core densities (weaker \(\nu_e\) and \(\nu_\mu\) neutrinos (grouped under the name “\(\nu_\mu\)” here). So, while the globally lower neutron star densities in the fast-rotating model induce a reduction in neutrino luminosity compared to the 1.46 M\(_\odot\) model, the same effect introduces a latitudinal variation of neutrino fluxes in the faster rotating model, with fluxes, irrespective of neutrino flavor, larger by a factor of about 2 along the pole than along the equator (note that at a radius of 250 km, the difference is higher and on the order of 3). This variation, not discussed by Fryer & Heger (2000), results from the further variation of the neutrinosphere temperatures with latitude within a given rotating model. In both models, but more so in the 1.92 M\(_\odot\) model, the temperature gradient and the temperatures are reduced at the neutrinosphere (for a given energy group) along the equator compared to the poles, irrespective of energy group and flavor, as is clearly visible in Figure 10. For example, we see that the temperature on the 10\(^{10}\) g cm\(^{-3}\) contour is 4 MeV in the polar direction and 0.5 MeV in the equatorial direction. Within the neutrinosphere region, we find fluid velocities that are oriented preferentially in the \(z\)-direction, along cylinders, illustrating the weak or absent convection that results from the stabilizing specific angular momentum profile (Fryer & Heger 2000; Heger et al. 2000; Ott et al. 2006; see also §7). Along a given angular slice, the temperature has a
maximum at midlatitudes, caused by enhanced ($\nu_e$) neutrino energy deposition in this direction. These regions offer a trade-off, since the flux is still higher at such latitudes than along the equator, while the density is relatively higher than along the poles (see § 8). The latitudinal variations seen in the collapsed models of AIC progenitors are extreme, and, indeed, for the slower rotation rates typically obtained for massive-star core-collapse progenitors (Heger et al. 2000), a modest anisotropy is found instead (Walder et al. 2005).

We document further the neutrino signatures of the AIC of white dwarfs by showing in the top row of Figure 11, for the 1.46 $M_\odot$ (left) and 1.92 $M_\odot$ (right) models, the time-integrated neutrino emission at infinity for each flavor, as a function of neutrino energy. In the bottom three panels of each column, we show the individual neutrino distributions at three representative times of the simulations (at bounce, halfway through the simulation, and at the last simulated time). The overall flux level and hardness of the energy distribution are higher along the polar direction, the variation at a given time toward higher latitude mimicking the time evolution seen for nonrotating core collapse simulations of massive star progenitors. We compute the average neutrino energies, here defined as

$$\sqrt{\langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle} = \left[ \frac{\int d\varepsilon \varepsilon^2 F_\nu(\varepsilon, R)}{\int d\varepsilon F_\nu(\varepsilon, R)} \right]^{1/2}.$$  

For the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model ($R = 250$ km), we obtain similar values to within 2%–3% along the pole and along the equator with $\langle \varepsilon_\nu \rangle = 10$ MeV, $\langle \varepsilon_\bar{\nu}_e \rangle = 15$ MeV, and $\langle \varepsilon_\bar{\nu}_\mu \rangle = 24$ MeV. For the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model ($R = 600$ km), we obtain systematically lower values than for the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model, and for lower latitudes. Along the equator (pole), we obtain $\langle \varepsilon_\nu \rangle = 9$ MeV (10 MeV), $\langle \varepsilon_\bar{\nu}_e \rangle = 14$ MeV (16 MeV), and $\langle \varepsilon_\bar{\nu}_\mu \rangle = 16$ MeV (21 MeV). Note that this definition of the neutrino “average” energy is similar to that found in Thompson et al. (2003), who used the mean intensity $J_\nu$ in place of the flux $F_\nu$. Since we are close to free-streaming regimes at the adopted radii for the peak of the neutrino energy distribution, the two are equivalent.

In the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model, and at late times, we see that the neutrino display is more dramatic (total flux is twice as high) and is characterized by a harder spectrum than in the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model. This is due to the more compact and thus hotter neutrinospheres of the neutron star formed by the lower mass white dwarf progenitor.

7. ROTATION AND THE REMNANT DISK

Let us now turn our discussion to the angular momentum and angular velocity budget and profiles in our simulations. As discussed in §§ 4 and 5, we find that the early neutron stars have comparable masses in the two simulations, the rest residing not so much in the outflow than in a substantial amount of “circum–neutron-star” disk material, rotating fast, but having little outflow or inflow velocity (see Fig. 6).

In Figure 12 we plot a temporal sequence of the equatorial radial profile of the angular velocity (top row) and specific angular momentum (bottom row), for the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model (left column) and 1.92 $M_\odot$ model (right column). In the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model, the central angular velocity is $\sim 0.1$ rad s$^{-1}$ (or a period $P = 63$ s) at the start of the simulation (initial conditions in the YL05 progenitor), and $\sim 1000$ rad s$^{-1}$ ($P = 6.3$ ms) at the end of the simulation, a spin-up factor of 10,000. In the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model, we start with a much higher angular rotation rate of $\sim 20$ rad s$^{-1}$ ($P = 0.3$ s), but the final values are comparable with those of the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model, being $\sim 2800$ rad s$^{-1}$ ($P = 2.2$ ms). Thus, both simulations lead to the formation of a neutron star with a period of a few milliseconds, although we expect the neutron star formed in the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model to further accrete mass and angular momentum, which may spin-up the residue to even shorter periods. The general angular velocity and specific angular momentum profiles for both models are quite similar (Fig. 13). Despite wiggles observed in the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model in the inner 10 km (which we associate with slight numerical artifacts along the axis—this problem is not present in the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model, whose grid covers only 90°), the neutron star is close to solid-body rotation out to 30 km, showing a steady and smooth decline with radius beyond. In all four panels, we overplot as dotted and black lines the corresponding local Keplerian angular velocity, $\Omega_{\text{Keplerian}}(r) = (GM/r^3)^{1/2}$, where $G$ is the gravitational constant and $M$ is the mass interior to the radius (cylindrical, or spherical).

(For these plots, we employ the corresponding neutron star mass.) The angular velocity or specific angular momentum profiles beyond 30 km graze the corresponding line for the Keplerian value, being always lower by a few tens of percent. In the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model, the profiles evolve significantly toward this Keplerian limit, angular momentum being gained along the equatorial direction through the radial infall of non–zero-longitude material. The constancy of the angular velocity with $z$ allows a significant gain from such infall. In the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model, the rotational properties along the equator are originally closer to the Keplerian values, but, accordingly, evolve little. In both models, angular momentum is transported outwards, first in material blown away by the shock wave initiated at core bounce, and then in the neutrino-driven wind. This occurs as the outflowing material wraps around the progenitor white dwarf and eventually meets along the equator. There is no “physical” viscosity in the code that would permit a proper modeling of the accretion disk. Mass accretion should occur in partnership with outward transport of angular momentum over a longer timescale, yet to be determined.

In the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model, at the end of the simulation, the near-Keplerian disk extends from 30 km out to $\sim 1800$ km, covering a range of densities (temperatures) from $10^{13}$ g cm$^{-3}$ down to $10^8$ g cm$^{-3}$ (3 MeV down to 0.1 MeV; Fig. 5).

8. ENERGETICS AND THE NEUTRINO-DRIVEN WIND

Given that it leads to the formation of a $\sim 1.4$ $M_\odot$ neutron star, the AIC of a 1.4–2.0 $M_\odot$ white dwarf is expected to result, in the case of a successful explosion, to an outflow of modest mass. Furthermore, due to the similarity with the core collapse of massive star progenitors, their explosion kinetic energy should be lower than the $\sim 10^{51}$ ergs inferred, e.g., for SN 1987A (Arnett 1987).

As discussed in §§ 4 and 7, the total sum of the neutron star and disk masses is very close to the original progenitor mass, leaving typically a few 0.001 $M_\odot$ for the ejected material. Integrating all the mass that has left the grid over the course of the simulation, as well as all the material outflowing with a positive radial velocity greater than 10,000 km s$^{-1}$ (which is of the order of the escape velocity at a radius of 3000 km), we find a value of $4 \times 10^{-3}$ $M_\odot$ for the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model and $3 \times 10^{-3}$ $M_\odot$ for the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model. In Figure 14, we show the evolution of the corresponding gravitational (blue), thermal (cyan), and kinetic (red, two-dimensional planar; green, rotational) energies for this outflowing material as solid lines, including the total energy as a black dotted line. At the last computed time, the total energy is indeed lower than that inferred for standard core collapse. Adopting a radial velocity cut of 10,000 km s$^{-1}$, we find an energy at the last simulated time of 2.7 $\times 10^{49}$ ergs for the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model and 2 $\times 10^{49}$ ergs for the
Fig. 11.—Top left: Time integral, until 570 ms after bounce, of the neutrino luminosity, set equal to \( \int R^2 F(R, \theta) d\Omega \) (\( \theta \) is the polar angle, \( R \) is spherical radius, and \( \Omega \) is the solid angle; see §6), at \( R = 250 \) km, for each neutrino flavor (black, \( \nu_e \); blue, \( \bar{\nu}_e \); red, \( \nu_\mu \)), and along the polar (solid line) and equatorial (dotted line) directions. Bottom left panels: Neutrino energy distribution, for each neutrino flavor, at 10 ms (black), 280 ms (blue), and 560 ms (red) postbounce times, for both equatorial (dotted lines) and polar (solid lines) directions. Right column: Same as left column, but this time for the 1.92 \( M_\odot \) model, using a radius \( R = 600 \) km. In the bottom three panels, the times selected are now 10 ms (black), 380 ms (blue), and 760 ms (red) after bounce. Note the much larger contrast between the equatorial and polar fluxes and energy distributions.
Fig. 12.—*Top:* Time evolution of the equatorial angular velocity profile for the 1.46 Mₖ (left) and 1.92 Mₖ (right) progenitor models. *Bottom:* Same as above, but this time for the specific angular momentum \( j \). Note its outward increase, which acts as a stabilizing influence on convective instabilities (Heger et al. 2000), as well as the rise, from zero, to larger values after \( \sim 200 \) ms as the neutrino-driven wind wraps around the white dwarf and brings rotating material to low latitudes. For all panels, we overplot as a dotted black line the corresponding Keplerian value, i.e., \( \Omega_{\text{Keplerian}}(r) = (GM/r^3)^{1/2} \). See text for details.
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Fig. 13.—Color map of the logarithm of the angular velocity, at the last simulated time (570 ms for the 1.46 Mₖ model, and 775 ms for the 1.92 Mₖ model), out to a maximum radius of 2000 km, for the 1.46 Mₖ (left) and 1.92 Mₖ (right) progenitors. The initial angular velocity profiles \( \Omega(r, z) \), functions of the cylindrical radial coordinate only (YL05), are given for the equatorial direction in Fig. 12 (*bottom curve*). To enhance the visibility, we have reduced the color-bar range (see Fig. 12 for the unsaturated values). We also overplot in white the corresponding contours of the density for every decade between \( 10^4 \) and \( 10^{10} \) g cm\(^{-3}\). The vector of maximum length corresponds to a velocity magnitude of 29,000 km s\(^{-1}\) (outflow) in both panels. The spatial scale is 4000 km in width.
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1.92 $M_\odot$ model. Note that energy is still being pumped into the wind by the slowly decaying neutrino luminosity emanating from the neutron star; the trend of the total energy curve suggests that the total energy of the explosion will be 2–3 times higher, thus $\sim 10^{50}$ ergs for the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model and $\sim 5 \times 10^{49}$ ergs for the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model.

This is over 1 order of magnitude smaller than the explosion energy inferred for normal core-collapse supernovae. The AIC of white dwarfs is likely to lead generically to underenergetic explosions because there is too little mass to absorb neutrinos, most of it being quickly accreted while the rest is centrifugally supported at large radii, far beyond the region where there is a positive net gain of electron-neutrino energy. Interestingly, similar underenergetic explosions are obtained by Kitaura et al. (2005) and Buras et al. (2005) for initial main-sequence stars of 8.8 $M_\odot$ (Nomoto 1984, 1987) and 11.2 $M_\odot$ (Woosley et al. 2002). Echoing the properties of AIC progenitors, the low envelope mass and fast declining density (and, therefore, accretion rate) are key beneficial components for the success of neutrino-driven explosions, but the same properties are also why the explosion is necessarily underenergetic.

The various curves also show a few dips and bumps. The first bump, most pronounced in the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model, is associated with an early outflow that eventually fell back to smaller radii, while subsequent bumps are caused by episodic mass loading of the neutrino-driven wind, which sets in at around 200 ms after core bounce and drives a $8 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ $s^{-1}$ ($5 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ $s^{-1}$) mass loss rate in the 1.46 $M_\odot$ (1.92 $M_\odot$; see also Fig. 15, top panel) model. The higher mass flux in the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model results from the higher neutrino luminosity, higher mean neutrino energies, and bigger opening angle of escape for the neutrino-driven wind. Interestingly, this mass flux is strongly angle-dependent, varying by a factor of a few between the pole and the angle that grazes the pole-facing side of the disk. As described in §4, the dynamical effects of the neutrino-driven wind are to entrain the material lying along this interface, tearing the disk via Kelvin-Helmholtz shear instabilities and mass-loading the wind along the corresponding latitudes. Further in, neither this wind nor the neutrinos have an appreciable dynamical impact in driving the disk material outwards, a feature only exacerbated by the reduced neutrino flux at low latitudes. In Figure 15 we show in the bottom panel the latitudinal variation of the asymptotic velocity (solid line) and the density (dotted line). Both show an overall decrease toward lower latitudes by a factor of 3. The dip in density and higher values of the velocity along the pole to around 70° latitude are possibly due to wind mass loading, in combination with centrifugal support at the neutrinosphere for off-polar latitudes.

In Figure 16 we show at early times (top row) and at the last simulated time (bottom row) for the 1.46 $M_\odot$ model (left column) and the 1.92 $M_\odot$ model (right column) color maps of the total neutrino flux in the radial direction, with isodensity contours overlapped as white curves, and velocity vectors as black arrows. First, due to the history of the collapse, the neutron star is relatively devoid of overlying material in the polar direction, while for the higher mass progenitor a massive ($\sim 0.6 M_\odot$), dense ($10^6$–$10^{10}$ g cm$^{-3}$), near-Keplerian disk obstructs the neutron star at latitudes $\lesssim \pm 40°$. Given this configuration, conditioned essentially by the mass distribution of the progenitor white dwarf, the dynamical effect of a spherically symmetric neutrino flux would be enhanced along the “excavated” polar direction. Indeed, we see a strong neutrino-driven wind in the polar direction that does not exist in directions within $\sim \pm 40°$ of the equator. However, even in the absence of this anisotropic matter distribution, Figure 16 reveals the strong latitudinal variation of...
the neutrino flux at a given Eulerian radius, a variation that is established independently of the configuration of the circum-neutron-star disk material. What controls the flux geometry is the combination of two effects. First, the exceptional elongation of the neutrinospheres along the equatorial direction leads to a decoupling radius (surface) about 10 (100) times bigger for a polar observer than for an equatorial observer. The angle-dependent decoupling radius of neutrinos mitigates this result (Walder et al. 2005), but, as shown in Figure 16, the latitudinal variation along different directions persists in the total neutrino flux. Similarly, Figure 17 shows the anisotropy of the $\nu_e$ neutrino flux, rendered by the corresponding flux vectors. Notice how the base of the flux vectors in the high-density central regions is perpendicular to the local isodensity (or, equivalently, equipotential) contour. Second, as shown in Figure 10, the temperature and its radial-gradient along a given isodensity contour are both significantly lower along the equatorial direction, leading to reduced diffusive fluxes. These properties are reminiscent of the effect of gravity darkening (von Zeipel 1924) in fast rotating (noncompact) stars and the associated scaling of the radiative flux with the local effective gravity (see Owocki et al. 1996), although this may be the first time it is reported in the context of a proto-neutron star (but see Walder et al. 2005). With such a polar-enhanced wind, the angular momentum loss rate is reduced, with consequences for the spin evolution of the PNS.

9. EJECTA COMPOSITION

In Figure 18 we show for the two baseline models the electron fraction ($Y_e$) distribution of the material in the ejecta (material outside the neutron star moving outwards with a radial velocity
greater than 10,000 km s\(^{-1}\)), accounting as well for the mass loss through the outer grid radius. Such cumulative outflow amounts to \(4 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot\) for the 1.46 \(M_\odot\) model and \(3 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot\) for the 1.92 \(M_\odot\) model. We obtain double-peak profiles, the first blast propelling symmetric material (\(Y_e = 0.5\)), subsequently followed after 200 ms by progressively neutron-rich material, i.e., \(Y_e = 0.25\)–0.35, in the neutrino-driven wind. Note that for these runs we enforced an upper limit of 0.5 to the computed \(Y_e\) values. Fryer et al. (1999) obtained an ejecta mass in the vicinity of 0.2 \(M_\odot\), 2 orders of magnitude larger than our values. Because our ejecta masses are much smaller, we find that the mass loss rates and the kinetic energies associated with the neutrino-driven wind are relatively more important for the global energetics of the AIC of white dwarfs.

At late times, the asymptotic electron fraction \(Y_e^\infty\) of the neutrino-driven wind varies with latitude (despite the smooth variation of other quantities at correspondingly larger distances). Material ejected within 20° of the pole has an electron fraction of \(\sim 0.5\), while toward the equator, this electron fraction decreases to 0.3, rising again to near 0.5 values in regions belonging to the disk (see Figure 4, bottom panels). The \(Y_e^\infty\) values seen in our simulations are in fact already set when wind material leaves the vicinity of the neutrinosphere, whose properties depend on the particle trajectory under scrutiny. We know from previous studies (Qian & Woosley 1996; Wheeler et al. 1998; Thompson et al. 2001; Pruet et al. 2005; Frölich et al. 2006) that the asymptotic electron fraction of the ejecta is controlled by competing factors. The electron and antielectron neutrino luminosities, modulated by the hardness of their respective energy distributions, influence the electron flavor production rates via the reactions \(\nu_e n \rightarrow pe^-\) and \(\bar{\nu}_e p \rightarrow e^+n\) and, thereby, the neutron-richness of the ejecta. The expansion timescale sets the duration over which interactions between neutrinos and nucleons can take place.
vicinity of the latitudinal-dependent neutrinosphere radius (and
the associated reduced heating and electron-capture rates).

10. GRAVITATIONAL WAVE SIGNATURE

We estimate the gravitational wave emission from aspherical
mass motions in our models via the Newtonian quadrupole
formalism as described in Mönchmeier et al. (1991). In addition,
we compute the gravitational wave strain from anisotropic neu-
trino emission employing the formalism introduced by Epstein
(1978) and developed by Burrows & Hayes (1996) and Müller &
Janka (1997).

Due to rapid rotation and the resulting oblateness of the core,
one would expect that rotating AIC models can have signif-
ificant gravitational wave signatures. However, although the
contribution to the metric strain in the equatorial plane, $h_+$, of the
aspherical field (which cannot be captured by our two-di-

The total energy radiated in gravitational waves is $\sim 5.7 \times 10^{-10} \, M_\odot \, c^2$. The corresponding numbers for the faster rotat-
ing and more massive $1.92 \, M_\odot$ model are $\sim 3.6 \times 10^{-11}$ (matter), $\sim 165 \, Hz$, $\sim 2 \times 10^{-20}$ (neutrinos at 300 km), and $\sim 7 \times 10^{-8} \, M_\odot \, c^2$. Note that almost all of the energy is being emitted by
mass motions (99.8% in the $1.46 \, M_\odot$ model and 98.4% in the $1.92 \, M_\odot$ model), since the power scales with the time derivative
of the wave strain $h_+$, which is small for the waves emitted from the
aspherical neutrino field.

We compare the above numbers with those obtained by Fryer
et al. (2002) for an AIC model of Fryer et al. (1999) which was
set up with a simple, solid-body rotation law and had a final
$T/W$ of $\sim 0.06$ (for our $1.46 \, M_\odot$ model: 0.059; see Table 1).
They did not consider anisotropic neutrino emission. Our more
realistic initial models yield maximum (matter) gravitational
wave strains that are $1.5-2$ orders of magnitude smaller than
those predicted by Fryer et al. (2002). The total energy emis-
sions match within a factor of 2 since our models emit at higher
frequencies.

Based on our results, we surmise that gravitational waves from
axisymmetric AIC events may be detectable by current LIGO-class detectors if occurring anywhere in the Milky Way,
but not out to megaparsec distances as suggested by Fryer et al.
(2002). It is, however, likely (§ 5) that at least the $1.92 \, M_\odot$ model
will undergo a dynamical rotational instability leading to non-
axisymmetric deformation (which cannot be captured by our two-
dimensional approach) and, hence, to copious gravitational
wave emission over many rotation periods, greatly enhancing
detectability.

11. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a radiation/hydrodynamic study with the
code VULCAN/2D of the collapse and postbounce evolution of
massive rotating high-central-density white dwarfs, starting from
physically consistent two-dimensional rotational equilibrium con-
figurations (Yoon & Langer 2005). The main results of this study are
the following:

1. The AIC of white dwarfs leads to a successful explosion
with modest energy $\lesssim 10^{50}$ ergs, and thus comparable to the
energies obtained through the collapse of O/Ne/Mg core of stars
with $\sim 8-11 \, M_\odot$ main-sequence mass (Kitaura et al. 2005; Buras
et al. 2005). This is, however, underenergetic, by a factor of
about 10, compared with the inferred value for the core collapse
of more massive progenitors leading to Type II plateau supernovae.
Although less and less likely to be the engine behind most
core-collapse supernova explosions, the neutrino mechanism
can successfully power explosions of low-mass progenitors and
AICs due to the limited mantle mass and steeply declining accre-
tion rate.

2. Due to high-mass and angular-momentum accretion, white
dwarf progenitors leading to AIC can have masses of up to
$\sim 2 \, M_\odot$ and rotate fast, with rotational to gravitational energy
ratios of up to a few percent prior to collapse. The asphericity of
such white dwarfs allows the shock generated at core bounce
to escape along the poles in just a few tens of milliseconds, opening
a hole in the white dwarf along the poles. The blast expands and
wraps around the progenitor and escapes the grid (~5000 km) within a few hundred milliseconds, at which time a neutrino-driven wind has grown in the pole-excavated region of the white dwarf. Both the original blast and the wind show strong latitudinal variations, partly constrained by the obstructing uncollapsed equatorial disk regions of the progenitor, whose centrifugal support prevents it from collapsing on a dynamical timescale. Rotation in such progenitors, thus, affects both directly and indirectly the morphology of the explosion.

3. The neutron stars formed have masses on the order of 1.4\,M_\odot with rotation periods close to a millisecond in the rigidly rotating inner ~30 km. The final rotational to gravitational energy ratios, for our two test cases, cover 0.06 to 0.26, the latter being large enough to grow nonaxisymmetric instabilities. At the end of our simulations, the neutron stars are oblate and pinched along the poles, with polar and equatorial radii in the ratio 1:15 for the faster rotating (1.92\,M_\odot) model.

4. The morphology of the neutron star leads to a latitudinal variation of the neutrino flux, the net energy gain, and the temperature. In the faster rotating model, the “\nu_e” neutrino flux is reduced, while the antineutrino flux is a factor of 10 lower than that of the electron-neutrino. This raises the electron fraction of the ejected material to values close to 0.5 along the poles, but to only ~0.25 at lower latitudes, since the corresponding neutrinosphere is more remote and, thus, the electron-neutrino flux is smaller. This introduces a latitudinal dependence of the electron fraction of the ejected material, but more importantly allows neutron-rich material, with entropy on the order of (20–40)k_B baryon^{-1}, to escape. Thus, a low-entropy r-process might take place under these conditions.

5. The high original angular momentum of the progenitor follows the mass and is, thus, found mostly in the neutron star at the end of the simulation. However, rotational energy is also given to the ejecta, which uses it to gain (planar) radial kinetic energy to escape the potential well, while the rest is found in a quasi-Keplerian disk of up to 0.5\,M_\odot in the 1.92\,M_\odot model. This disk is an essential component of these AICs; it collimates the explosion and the neutrino-driven wind and also suggests a second stage of long-term accretion onto the compact remnant.

6. The total ejected mass is only of the order of a few times 0.001\,M_\odot, with only a quarter in the form of 56Ni. The original blast and the short-lived neutrino-driven wind will lead to a considerable brightening of the object, but the small ejecta mass will quickly become optically thin, gamma rays leaking out rather than depositing their energy to power a durable light curve. Therefore, these explosions should be underluminous and very short lived. Their appearance may also vary considerably with viewing angle, depending on the mass of the progenitor and the presence of a sizable disk in the equatorial regions.

This study has shown that more consistent, rotating two-dimensional models alter considerably our understanding of accretion-induced collapse, previously obtained under the simplifying assumptions of spherical symmetry and/or zero rotation. Further improvements will come by including a consistent temperature structure for the progenitor white dwarf and by accounting for the effects of magnetic fields. Due to the rapid differential rotation, magnetic fields could be amplified considerably and result in MHD jets that might alter yet again our overall picture of accretion-induced collapse and the energetics of the phenomenon. Three-dimensional effects may also alter the proto-neutron star properties presented here, since the faster rotating (1.92\,M_\odot) model is expected to experience nonaxisymmetric instabilities.
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