Modeling of the effect of a high-pressure jet of cement mortar on the surrounding soil environment when performing jet grouting columns using jet technology
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Abstract. The main idea of jet grouting technology is the implementation of processes aimed at changing the characteristics of soils, creating materials with the necessary properties on their basis and forming underground elements with specified parameters from these materials. The high pressure of the cement mortar jet leads to soil blending and its continuous destruction within the radius of impact of the jet. According to some authors, the jet outside the destructive radius does not affect the surrounding soil environment. In compliance with practical studies performed at construction sites in Kyiv, Ukraine, the data on changes in the physical and mechanical characteristics of the soil surrounding the soil-cement column were obtained. This fact led to a more detailed study of the effect of a high-pressure jet on the surrounding soil during the execution of columns using jet technology.

1 Introduction

Jet grouting is the destruction of the structure of the soil or soft rock and blending or partial replacement of it with a cementitious substance, while the destruction of the soil occurs due to a liquid jet with high energy, and the liquid itself performs a cementing function [1].

The research of the phenomenon of destruction of the natural structure and soil blending by jet grouting is well-known and provided by many scientists [2-27].

Jet grouting technology allows it to be used in solving various tasks of underground construction, namely: underground load-bearing and anti-filtration structures, horizontal or inclined slabs, elements of buildings and structures, artificial basements, walls and floors of sunk premises, including underground garages, the installation of roots of ground anchors, when strengthening the pinning of existing buildings and structures, retaining walls, pit fences, horizontal underground anti-filtration screens, anti-landslide structures, fixing ground massifs for sinking underground tunnels, wells of large diameter with bottoms and many other structures. Jet grouting elements are also used for reinforcing ground bases in earthquake-prone areas.

A high-pressure jet, striking the ground, destroys its original structure and according to Kanematsu research [28] the zones of a high-pressure jet (in the experimental work of a water jet) are: zone 1 – the core, the hydrodynamic pressure in which is constant, zone 2 – an intermediate zone and, as a rule, the distance to the end of zone 2 is 300 nozzle diameters, zone 3, where the flow loses focus, becomes intermittent and cavitation effects begin to prevail.

The distribution of hydrodynamic pressure in zone 3 along the jet axis decreases with exponential distance. If you release a jet of water into a dense environment or clean water, it appears that zone 3 does not exist. This circumstance is typical for most cases of jet grouting.

The high pressure leads to soil blending and its continuous destruction within the radius of impact of the jet. Therefore, based on his findings, Kanematsu suggested that the use of jet grouting does not affect the stress-strain state in the soil beyond the impact radius. In fact, even when working with very high pressure, the injection process only leads to an increase in pressure within the collapsing area (zone 1 and 2). The same opinion is supported by C. S. Covil and A. E. Skinner [10].

At the same time, practicing organizations, based on experimental data, have shown that the implementation of jet grouting elements of circular cross-section affects the surrounding soil mass outside these elements.
Consolidamenti E Pali in Italy performed a series of laboratory tests of the soil surrounding the well made of intersecting jet injection columns (Fig. 2).

The maximum effect of the high-pressure jet on the surrounding soil mass is observed at a distance of 10 and 30 cm (samples C1 and C2), the maximum effect on the physical and mechanical characteristics of the soil is observed at a distance of 110 cm (C6), 130 cm (C7) and 150 cm (C8).

2 Research methods and results

During the implementation of the project to strengthen the ground base of the historical monument of architecture, St. Andrew's Church, in Kyiv, studies of soil samples from the space between jet grouting columns were performed. Physical and mechanical examination of the selected soil samples showed that there is a zone with improved characteristics around the column. The density of soil (sandy loam) increased from 1.6 t/m³ to 1.65...1.67 t/m³, and the impact zones reached 30 cm from the surface of the column. Analysis of experimental data and comparison with the statements of some authors about the absence of influence on the surrounding soil actuate the question of the future studying the effects of the implementation of jet grouting elements on the surrounding soil environment.

A jet of cement mortar coming out under high pressure from the nozzle located on the hydraulic monitor rotates and destroys the surrounding soil, mixing it with the cement mortar. If at the first rotation the jet destroys the soil, then at the second rotation the jet is already in the cement mortar and can be considered as a drowned jet of liquid.

For the design scheme, the nozzle radius is R₀ = 1.2 mm (the nozzle diameter located on the hydraulic monitor is 2.4 mm)

Speed of the cement mortar jet at the nozzle outlet:

\[ U₀ = \frac{4 \cdot 0.5 \cdot (\pi \cdot d^2)}{n_0} = \frac{(400-100)/(6.3416\cdot2.4)}{2} = 184 \text{ m/s} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Given that the diameter of the soil-cement column is 0.6 m, the destructive radius is x = 0.3 m.

Speed on the axis of the main section:

\[ U_{\text{max}} = \frac{0.96}{x_0 + 0.9} \cdot U₀ = \frac{0.96}{1.2 + 0.9} \cdot 184 = 87.1 \text{ m/s} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( \alpha \) is the structural coefficient of 0.08.

Half of the height of the jet at a distance of x = 0.3 m from the nozzle:

\[ R = \left( 3.4 \cdot x / R₀ + 1 \right) R₀ = \left( 3.4 \cdot 0.3 / 1.2 + 1 \right) \cdot 1.2 \cdot 10^{-3} = 0.083 \text{ m} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Based on the fact that we know the fracture radius of the jet, to calculate the residual pressure acting on the surrounding soil that has not been destroyed, we calculate the hydrodynamic pressure of the liquid jet on the wall:

\[ P = \rho g U^2 = 1500 \cdot \frac{14\cdot0.166^2}{4} \cdot 8.71^2 = 2458 \text{ H} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( \rho_g \) is the density of the solution, \( \omega \) is the cross-section of the flow, and \( U \) is the average flow rate of the liquid.

When calculating the jet per 1 m², we obtain a residual hydrodynamic pressure of \( P = 113.8 \text{ kN/m}^2 \).
The calculated data of the residual pressure that causes a high-pressure jet of cement mortar on the surrounding soil are used to create a model in the Plaxis 3D Foundation software package.

Engineering and geological characteristics of the soil and geometric characteristics of jet grouting columns made using jet technology are taken on the example of the object “strengthening foundations during the reconstruction of St. Andrew's Church in Kyiv”, on the territory of which experiments were conducted on soil samples taken in the intercolumnar space.

The design solution for strengthening the foundations of St. Andrew's church was developed in 2009 and it was the implementation of soil-cement columns in the base from the middle of the under-porch, from the outside of the stylobate and from the middle of the church and stylobate premises with a total of 259 pieces, a length of 10.8 to 13.5 m with angles of inclination from 7° to 13° and a diameter of 600 mm with an extension of 800 mm, a step of 1.0–2.7 m. A schematic diagram of strengthening the foundations of the church is shown in Figure 4:

The model is the 5 meter column, the diameter is 0.6 m, the intercolumnar distance is 0.85 m, the pinning is brick, the depth is 2 m. The study of soil samples took place from the basement at a foundation depth of 2 m, the level of occurrence of the selected soil samples – 2.5 m from the basement floor level. In accordance with the software capabilities of the geotechnical design complex, the jet grouting columns are set vertical.

The main purpose of the simulation was to study the effect of the residual pressure of a high-pressure jet of cement mortar, a pressure that is directed horizontally. The platform for research is the inter-column space, and therefore only two columns are introduced in the model. That is, with the same soil environment, the same distance and the same residual pressure value (constant technological parameters when performing a column), the results of exposure in the inter-column space would also be the same.

The model reproduces experimental conditions: pitch, soil, depth of occurrence of the selected samples.

A calculated residual pressure $P = 113.8$ kN/m$^2$ is applied along the contour of the surface of the jet grouting column.

Figure 5 shows the deformed grid of the created model and the total displacements that occur in it. The maximum value of the total displacement is 8.5 mm and it occurs on the surface of the jet grouting column, and Figure 5 clearly separates the deformation zones, i.e. the zones of impact that arise from the residual pressure of a high-pressure jet of cement mortar. The dimensions of these zones are 29.5 and 26.5 cm (Fig. 6). In comparison with the experimental data obtained during the study of soil samples taken in the intercolumnar space, the maximum impact zones were 15 and 30 cm from the surface of the first and second jet grouting columns.

If we select a single volume of deformed soil in the column space, we can calculate the change in the volume weight of the soil.

Soil density:

$$\gamma = \frac{m}{V}$$

(5)

Let's denote $\gamma_1, V_1$ the density and volume of soil before the columns are made, which is equal to 1.6 t/m$^3$, $\gamma_2 V_2$ is the soil that was compacted. Let's assume that the weight of the soil has not changed and equate the density expression for the first and second soils:

$$\gamma_1 V_1 = \gamma_2 V_2$$

hence

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{\gamma_1 V_1}{V_2} = \frac{1.6 \cdot (0.295-1.1)}{(0.295-0.008)-1.1} = 1.65 \text{ t/m}^3$$

(7)

Returning to the results of testing soil samples from the object "reconstruction of St. Andrew's church", the density of the selected soil varied from 1.64 to 1.67 t/m$^3$. As a result of modeling the impact of performing jet grouting columns, the value (7) of changes in soil density from the impact zone was obtained – 1.65 t/m$^3$, which corresponds to experimental data (table 1).

When studying the object of reconstruction of St. Andrew's church, numerical values of the zone of influence equal to 15 and 30 cm were obtained, and the
following results were obtained during modeling: 29.5 and 26.5 cm, corresponding to full-scale experiments. The results obtained in accordance with the simulation and experimental data are entered for comparison in Table 1.

Figures 7–9 show the effect of performing a jet grouting column using jet geotechnology on the stress-strain state of the surrounding soil environment.

**Fig. 5.** Deformed finite element grid of the calculated model.

**Fig. 6.** Zone of influence on the soil in the intercolumnar space.

**Table 1.** Comparison of research results and results obtained during modeling.

| Soil Type               | The density of the soil sample intact structure | Density of soil samples, after accomplishment of soil-cement columns | The sizes of zones of influence on a soil massif according to the simulation results |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sand of solid consistency | 1.6 t/m³                                           | 1.64…1.67 t/m³                                                | 15 and 30 cm                                                         |
Fig. 7. Horizontal and vertical model movements.

Fig. 8. Vertical $\sigma_{yy}$ and horizontal $\sigma_{xx}$ effective stresses.

Fig. 9. Vertical $\varepsilon_{yy}$ and horizontal $\varepsilon_{xx}$ soil deformations.
3 Conclusions

To perform numerical modeling of the formation of zones with improved physical and mechanical characteristics in soil massifs, a hydraulic calculation of the drowned jet of cement mortar was performed. Using research data from the described construction sites and knowing the destructive radius of the jet, the residual pressure that causes a high-pressure jet of cement mortar on the surrounding soil mass is calculated after the destructive energy is spent on the destruction and removal of the soil during the formation of the jet grouting column.

The obtained residual pressure calculations were used to create a model in the Plaxis 3D Foundation software package.

In accordance with the research data on the object of reconstruction of St. Andrew’s church, Kyiv, the zones of influence on the surrounding soil environment were 15 and 30 cm, and the simulation obtained results are 29.5 and 26.5 cm.

As a result of modeling the impact of performing jet grouting columns, the value of the change in soil density from the impact zone was obtained - 1.65 t/m³ (before the impact of the jet 1.60 t/m³), which corresponds to experimental data (the change in soil density after performing jet grouting columns on the construction site varied from 1.64 to 1.67 t/m³).

A field study and simulations performed to study the impact proved the presence of improved soil zones around the jet grouting column.
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