Development of a Fluorescent-Microsphere Immunoassay for Detection of Antibodies Specific to Equine Arteritis Virus and Comparison with the Virus Neutralization Test
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The development and validation of a microsphere immunoassay (MIA) to detect equine antibodies to the major structural proteins of equine arteritis virus (EAV) are described. The assay development process was based on the cloning and expression of genes for full-length individual major structural proteins (GP5 amino acids 1 to 255 [GP51–255], M1–162, and N1–110), as well as partial sequences of these structural proteins (GP51–110, GP575–112, GP55–98, M88–162, and N1–69) that constituted putative antigenic regions. Purified recombinant viral proteins expressed in Escherichia coli were covalently bound to fluorescent polystyrene microspheres and analyzed with the Luminex xMap 100 instrument. Of the eight recombinant proteins, the highest concordance with the virus neutralization test (VNT) results was obtained with the partial GP55–98 protein. The MIA was validated by testing a total of 2,500 equine serum samples previously characterized by the VNT. With the use of an optimal median fluorescence intensity cutoff value of 992, the sensitivity and specificity of the assay were 92.6% and 92.9%, respectively. The GP55–98 MIA and VNT outcomes correlated significantly (r = 0.84; P < 0.0001). Although the GP55–98 MIA is less sensitive than the standard VNT, it has the potential to provide a rapid, convenient, and more economical test for screening equine sera for the presence of antibodies to EAV, with the VNT then being used as a confirmatory assay.

Equine arteritis virus (EAV) is the causative agent of equine viral arteritis (EVA), a respiratory and reproductive disease of horses (61). EAV is a small enveloped virus with a positive-sense, single-stranded RNA genome of 12.7 kb and belongs to the family Arteriviridae (genus Arterivirus, order Nidovirales), which also includes porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus, simian hemorrhagic fever virus, and lactate dehydrogenase-elevating virus of mice (13, 57). The EAV genome includes nine functional open reading frames (ORFs) (55, 57). ORFs 1a and 1b encode two replicase polyproteins (pp1a and pp1ab) (25, 55, 57), and the remaining seven ORFs (2a, 2b, and 3 to 7) encode the structural proteins of the virus. These include four membrane glycoproteins, GP2 (25 kDa), GP3 (36 to 42 kDa), GP4 (28 kDa), and GP5 (30-44 kDa), encoded by ORFs 2b, 3, 4, and 5, respectively; two unglycosylated membrane proteins, E (8 kDa) and M (17 kDa), encoded by ORFs 2a and 6; and the phosphorylated nucleocapsid protein N (14 kDa), encoded by ORF 7 (23, 58, 64). The major envelope glycoprotein GP5 expresses the known neutralization determinants of EAV. The two major envelope proteins GP and M form a disulfide-linked heterodimer in the virus particle, and this association is critical for their maturation and for the expression of some of the neutralization epitopes in authentic form (3, 24, 56).

Serological and clinical studies indicate that EAV is widely distributed in equine populations around the world (35, 46, 48). Although there is considerable variation in the sequences of the GP5 proteins of field strains of the virus, there is only one known serotype of EAV, and all strains evaluated thus far are neutralized by polyclonal antisera raised against the prototype Bucyrus strain of EAV (1, 4, 6, 7, 11, 14, 22, 30). Both natural and experimental infections of horses with either virulent or avirulent strains of EAV result in long-lasting immunity against all strains of the virus (27, 44, 45). EAV infection in horses induces antibodies against the three major viral structural proteins, GP5, M, and N (4, 33, 41). Virus-neutralizing (VN) antibodies are detectable between 1 and 2 weeks after primary infection, and their appearance usually coincides with the onset of clearance of the virus from the circulation (28, 43). However, virus does persist in the male reproductive tract of certain stallions for long periods of time, despite the presence of high titers of virus-specific neutralizing antibodies in their sera.

Serologic diagnosis of acute EAV infection in horses is based on the demonstration of seroconversion or of a ≥4-fold increase in VN antibody titers by comparing paired (acute- and
convalescent-phase) serum samples by the virus neutralization test (VNT). The VNT is the principal serological assay used to detect evidence of EAV infection by most laboratories around the world, and it continues to be the current World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE)-prescribed standard test for EVA (20, 40, 50, 54, 61). The assay is used for diagnostic, epidemiological surveillance, international trade, and vaccination-monitoring purposes. The number of equine sera tested annually by a diagnostic laboratory can vary considerably, from several hundred to thousands of samples per year (40, 54, 62). Although the VNT is currently the most highly sensitive and specific serodiagnostic test for EVA infection, it is expensive, labor-intensive, and time-consuming to perform. In addition, results tend to vary among laboratories when adequate attention is not paid to the standardization of both test reagents and procedure. Moreover, serum cytotoxicity caused by antiviral antibodies directed against rabbit kidney 13 (RK-13) cells can be mistaken for a viral cytopathic effect and can give rise to difficulties in test interpretation at lower serum dilutions (20, 29, 40). To overcome these disadvantages, several laboratories have developed and evaluated enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) to detect antibodies to EAV using whole virus, synthetic peptides, or recombinant viral proteins (e.g., GP5, M, and N) as antigens (7, 14, 17, 39, 49, 60, 63). The various studies have shown that the source of antigen as well as the sera evaluated can markedly influence the results obtained with EAV protein-specific ELISAs and competitive ELISAs (4, 34). None of these ELISAs have yet been shown to have sensitivity and specificity equivalent to those of the VNT.

Recent developments in particle array technology have made it possible to perform immunoassays using microspheres (microbeads). The best-established microsphere assay system is the xMap system (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX), which incorporates three well-developed technologies: bioassays, solution-phase microspheres, and flow cytometry. The microsphere assay technology developed by Luminex is ideally suited to a wide range of applications in drug discovery and diagnostics, as well as basic research. Immunoassays based on this particle array technology can overcome the problems associated with the traditional VNT and ELISAs. Some of the distinct advantages of a microsphere immunoassay (MIA) over traditional ELISAs include accuracy; high sensitivity, specificity, and reproducibility; high-throughput sample analysis; and multiplexing capability. MIAs are becoming increasingly popular for the serologic diagnosis of autoimmune and infectious diseases of humans and animals (8, 26, 38, 65, 66). The primary objective of the present study was to develop a reliable immunological assay to detect antibodies to EAV in horses by using Luminex xMap technology and to compare the performance of the assay with that of the VNT. The MIA could be suitable to detect antibodies to EAV in equine sera, and the specificity and sensitivity of this assay should be equivalent to those of the standard VNT.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells.** High-passage-number (passage 399 [P399] to P409) RK-13 cells were propagated and maintained in Eagle’s minimum essential medium (Mediatech, Herndon, VA) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (HyClone, Logan, UT), 100 U of penicillin/ml, 100 μg of streptomycin/ml, 1 μg of amphotericin B/ml, and 0.06% sodium bicarbonate at 37°C (47).

**Equine sera.** A total of 2,500 diagnostic equine serum samples were evaluated for the presence of anti-EAV antibodies by the MIA and the VNT. The sera used in the study were selected randomly from those submitted for serological testing to the OIE EVA Reference Laboratory at the Gluck Equine Research Center (n = 1,500) and the Livestock Disease Diagnostic Center (n = 1,000), University of Kentucky, Lexington. Panels of EAV antibody-positive and antibody-negative sera from the Gluck Equine Research Center were selected and used to establish normal ranges of MIA results for negative and positive samples. In addition to these sera, a panel of 192 archived sequential serum samples collected from 18 experimentally infected horses were evaluated with respect to the EAV-specific antibody response by both the VNT and the MIA. The horses were divided into four groups, and each group was inoculated with a different strain of EAV (rVBS, n = 4; 030H, n = 2; KY84, n = 7; and CA095G, n = 5) (2, 9, 10, 51). Blood samples were collected at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 21, 28, 35, and 42 days postinfection (dpi) from the EAV rVBS-inoculated horses; at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 21, 28, 35, and 42 dpi from the EAV 030H-inoculated horses; at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, and 21 dpi from the EAV KY84-inoculated horses; and at 0, 2, 4, 7, 9, 14, 21, 28, and 35 dpi from the EAV CA095G-inoculated horses. Sera were aliquoted and stored at −20°C.

**PCR amplification, cloning, and sequencing of full-length and truncated versions of ORFs 5, 6, and 7 of EAV.** The oligonucleotide primers for the amplification of the coding sequences of the GP5, M, and N protein genes (ORFs 5, 6, and 7, respectively), as well as the corresponding partial-length genes, were designed according to the published sequence of the virulent Bucyrus strain of EAV (GenBank accession number D846750) (9). The nucleotide sequence 5′-CACC3′ was added at the 5′ end of each primer for directional cloning into the pET TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) (Table 1). The full-length ORFs 5, 6, and 7 (which encode full-length GP5, M, and N proteins, respectively), as well as the coding regions for the amino-terminal ectodomain (amino acids [aa] 1 to 116) and two antigenic regions (aa 55 to 98 and aa 75 to 112) of the GP5 protein (14, 15, 49), the antigenic carboxyl terminus (aa 88 to 162) of the M protein (37), and the antigenic amino terminus (aa 1 to 69) of the N protein (16), were PCR amplified from the plasmid containing the complete genomic sequence of the EAV virulent Bucyrus strain (pEA5vBUC; GenBank accession number D846751) (9) by using Pho DNA polymerase enzyme (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The individual PCR products were concentrated using a Centricron centrifugal filter unit (Ultracel YM-30; Millipore, Billerica, MA) and purified using a commercial kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). The individually amplified cDNA fragments comprising EAV ORFs 5, 6, and 7 and their respective truncated forms were then directly cloned into the pET100 directional TOPO vector by using the Champion pET100/D-TOPO expression kit according to the instructions of the manufacturer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The pET100/D-TOPO vector allows the expression of a recombinant protein with an Xpress epitope and a polyhistidine (six-His) tag at the amino terminus. One Shot competent Escherichia coli cells (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) were transformed with plasmids containing individual ORFs. Following transformation and purification, individual plasmids were identified and character- ized by restriction enzyme analysis to ensure the correct orientation of the insert. The authenticity of each ORF and of each truncated version was confirmed by automatic sequencing, as previously described (34).

**Expression and purification of full-length GP5, M, and N and the respective partial-length recombinant proteins.** Plasmids pET-GP5-G1–255, pET-GP5-G1150, pET-GP5-G55–255, pET-M-G1–162, pET-M-G68–162, pET-N-G1–110, and pET-N-G67–110 were purified and used to transform the BL21 Star(DE3) strain of E. coli for the expression of the recombinant proteins. The E. coli strain BL21 Star(DE3) is specially designed for the expression of genes regulated by the T7 promoter. Following transformation with each expression plasmid, a single colony was grown for approximately 3 h at 37°C in 2 ml of Luria-Bertani liquid medium supplemented with 200 μg of carbenicillin/ml (LBC). Thereafter, 50 μl of the culture was plated onto an LBC agar plate and the plate was incubated overnight at 37°C. On the next day, bacterial colonies on the agar plate were scraped off with a sterile tissue culture scraper and added to a 2-ml flask containing 500 ml of LBC. Cultures were grown to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.5 to 0.7. Protein expression was induced with 0.5 μM IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside). Five hours after induction, bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. Cell pellets were either stored at −80°C or immediately used for further processing.

The recombinant GP5 protein comprising amino acids 1 to 255 (G5G1–255) and the recombinant proteins GP5-G1150, GP5-G55–255, and GP5-G67–110 were purified using a nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) purification system (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) under hybrid conditions. Briefly, individual cell pellets were resuspended in guanidine lysis buffer (6 M guanidine HCl, 20 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM NaCl, pH 7.8) containing lysozyme (1 mg/ml) and protease inhibitors (Halt Downloaded from http://cvi.asm.org/ on April 26, 2019 by guest
proteinase inhibitor cocktail kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL) and slowly mixed on a rocker for 10 min at room temperature. After incubation, cell pellets were lysed by sonication with shortwave pulses of 10 s at high intensity (output, 5). Subsequently, the crude lysates were centrifuged at 3,000 \( \times g \) for 15 min at 4°C to remove bacterial cellular debris. The supernatant was loaded onto an Ni-NTA agarose column and allowed to bind for 1 h at room temperature. After binding, the agarose-containing column was washed twice with denaturing binding buffer (8 M urea, 20 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM NaCl, pH 7.8) and twice with denaturing wash buffer (8 M urea, 20 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM NaCl, pH 6.0), to wash off nonspecifically bound proteins. Subsequently, protein-bound recombinant protein was eluted from the column with 2-mL fractions of native elution buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4, 0.5 M NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, pH 8.0). Finally, each recombinant protein was eluted in native buffer containing PBS (pH 7.4) and 0.05% Tween 20 (pH 8.0). Unlike the GP5 recombinant proteins, the recombinant full-length M1–162, partial-length M88–162, full-length N1–110, and partial-length N1–69 proteins were purified under native conditions. Briefly, individual cell pellets were resuspended in native binding buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4, 0.5 M NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, pH 8.0). The authenticity of the purified recombinant protein was confirmed using antibodies against the N-terminal 121 pET-GP5 1–255, 12162–12179 pET-M 88–162, 12313–12332 pET-N 1–110, and 12519–12499 pET-N 1–69 recombinant plasmid.

**TABLE 1. Primer pairs used for PCR amplification of full-length and partial-length segments of ORFs 5, 6, and 7**

| ORF | Protein name | Primer sense | Primer sequence\( ^{a} \) | Nucleotide position\( ^{b} \) | Size of PCR product (bp) | Recombinant plasmid |
|-----|--------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 5   | GP51–255     | +           | 5′–CACCATGTTATCTGATGTTAGTATG–3′ | 11146–11166       | 772                   | pET-GP51–255    |
|     |              | –           | 5′–CTATGGGCTCCATACCTCAG–3′       | 11913–11894       |                       |                  |
|     | GP51–116     | +           | 5′–CACCATGTTATCATGATTGATTG–3′     | 11146–11166       | 355                   | pET-GP51–116    |
|     |              | –           | 5′–CTTAGAACTGCAGGAATGAA–3′        | 11493–11475       |                       |                  |
|     | GP55–98      | +           | 5′–CACCTCAATGTTCCTCAGATTAAA–3′    | 11308–11330       | 139                   | pET-GP55–98     |
|     |              | –           | 5′–CTTAGGTCATGCGGCGTTC–3′         | 11439–11421       |                       |                  |
|     | GP57–112     | +           | 5′–CACCATGTTGGAACACCATG–3′        | 11368–11384       | 121                   | pET-GP57–112    |
|     |              | –           | 5′–CTATGATTAAATAAAGGGGGCATGTC–3′  | 11481–11458       |                       |                  |
| 6   | M1–162       | +           | 5′–CACCATGGGACCATAGATGCT–3′       | 11901–11917       | 493                   | pET-M1–162     |
|     |              | –           | 5′–TCATGTTAGGCTGATGCT–3′          | 12389–12367       |                       |                  |
|     | M88–162      | +           | 5′–CACCATGGCCTCTGTCTCTCGTC–3′     | 12162–12179       | 232                   | pET-M88–162    |
|     |              | –           | 5′–CTAGGTCATGCGGCGTC–3′           | 12386–12364       |                       |                  |
| 7   | N1–110       | +           | 5′–CACCATGGTCAAGAGCATCGAC–3′      | 12313–12332       | 337                   | pET-N1–110     |
|     |              | –           | 5′–TTACGGCCCTGCTGAGGGGC–3′        | 12645–12625       |                       |                  |
|     | N1–69        | +           | 5′–CACCATGGTGCTAAGAGCATCGAC–3′    | 12313–12332       | 211                   | pET-N1–69      |
|     |              | –           | 5′–CACCATGGTGCTAAGAGCATCGAC–3′    | 12519–12499       |                       |                  |

\( ^{a} \) Underlining indicates the overhang sequence to enable directional cloning into the pET TOPO vector.

\( ^{b} \) Position in the EAV genome relative to the published sequence of the EAV virulent Bucyrus strain (GenBank accession no. DQ846750) (9).

**Development of MIA.** A 96-well 1.2-μm-pore-size filter plate was blocked for 2 min with 100 μl of a buffer containing PBS (pH 7.4), 1% bovine serum albumin, and 0.02% sodium azide (PBN buffer) and then washed once with 190 μl of a buffer containing PBS (pH 7.4) and 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T). Wells were kept at room temperature. Denatured samples were loaded onto sodium dodecyl sulfate–12% polyacrylamide resolving gel and a 5% stacking gel. Following electrophoresis, recombinant proteins were transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Immunoblotting was performed using 5% nonfat dried milk powder in Tris-buffered saline with 0.05% Tween 20 (pH 7.6) as the blocking solution. The expression and authenticity of each recombinant protein were confirmed using antibodies against the N-terminal six-His tag, as well as individual EAV protein-specific monoclonal antibodies 6D10 for GP5 (7), 3E2 for N (42), and rabbit anti-EAV M no. 7888 for M protein (41). Bound antibodies were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence, as previously described (7).

**VNT.** The neutralizing antibody titers of the test sera were determined as described by Senne et al. and in the OIE standards manual (50, 53). Briefly, serial twofold dilutions of each sample from 1:2 to 1:256 were made in an appropriate medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) containing 10% guinea pig complement (Rockland Immunodiagnostics, Gilbertsville, PA). Each serum sample was tested in duplicate in 96-well plates. A working dilution of virus containing an estimated 200 50% tissue culture infective doses of the modified live-virus strain of EAV (ARVAC; Ft. Dodge Animal Health) in a volume equal to that of the serum sample was added to each well, except those with the serum sample controls. The plates were shaken to ensure mixing of the well contents and then incubated for 1 h at 37°C. A suspension of high-passage-number (P399 to P409) RK-13 cells was added to each well in a volume equivalent to that of the serum-virus mixture, and the plates were incubated at 37°C until a viral cytopathic effect had fully developed in the virus control wells. The titer of a sample was recorded as the reciprocal of the highest serum dilution that provided at least 50% neutralization of the reference virus. **Coupling of recombinant proteins to microspheres.** Aliquots of approximately 50 μg of each recombinant protein were covalently conjugated to carboplas gold particles on the surfaces of xMap multianalyte COOH microspheres according to the protocol of the microsphere manufacturer (LumineX Corp, Austin, TX). Each recombinant protein was attached to 6.25 \( \times 10^7 \) microspheres, and the microspheres were counted with a hemocytometer so that equivalent quantities of each specific bead set were incorporated into the assay. **Development of MIA.** A 96-well 1.2-μm-pore-size filter plate was blocked for 2 min with 100 μl of a buffer containing PBS (pH 7.4), 1% bovine serum albumin, and 0.02% sodium azide (PBN buffer) and then washed once with 190 μl of a buffer containing PBS (pH 7.4) and 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T). Wells were kept at room temperature. Denatured samples were loaded onto sodium dodecyl sulfate–12% polyacrylamide resolving gel and a 5% stacking gel. Following electrophoresis, recombinant proteins were transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Immunoblotting was performed using 5% nonfat dried milk powder in Tris-buffered saline with 0.05% Tween 20 (pH 7.6) as the blocking solution. The expression and authenticity of each recombinant protein were confirmed using antibodies against the N-terminal six-His tag, as well as individual EAV protein-specific monoclonal antibodies 6D10 for GP5 (7), 3E2 for N (42), and rabbit anti-EAV M no. 7888 for M protein (41). Bound antibodies were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence, as previously described (7).
moist by the addition of 20 μl of PBN buffer. Equine serum (50 μl; diluted 1:100 in PBN buffer) was added to each well of the filter plate. Approximately 2,500 recombinant-antigen-conjugated microspheres per protein were added to each well in 50 μl of PBN buffer. The plate was incubated on a shaker in the dark for 30 min at 37°C and then washed three times with PBS-T by using a vacuum manifold. Affinity-purified biotin-labeled goat anti-equine immunoglobulin G (50 μl of a 1:1,000 dilution in PBN buffer) was added, and the plate was incubated on a shaker in the dark for 30 min at 37°C and then washed twice with PBS-T. R-phycocerythrin-conjugated streptavidin (50 μl of a 1:100 dilution in PBN buffer) was added, and the plate was incubated on a shaker in the dark for 30 min at 37°C and then washed twice with PBS-T by using a vacuum manifold. The microspheres were then resuspended in 125 μl of PBN buffer per well, and 75-μl aliquots of the suspensions were transferred into a clear polystyrene 96-well plate. Microspheres were aspirated through the flow cell of a dual-laser Luminex 100 instrument. The median fluorescence intensity (MFI) for 100 microspheres for each specific protein was recorded for each well.

**Statistical analysis.** A comparison of the diagnostic performances of the VNT and the MIA using the GP51–116 and N1–110 proteins was conducted using nonparametric density estimation and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. An ROC curve provides a graphical measure of the accuracy of a continuous diagnostic test. It represents a plot of the true-positive fraction (TPF) versus the false-positive fraction across all possible cutoff values that can be used to dichotomize the data into positive and negative outcomes. A related parameter of interest is the area under the curve (AUC). For continuous tests, the AUC equals the probability that a randomly selected diseased individual will have a test score that is greater than that for a randomly selected nondiseased individual. A diagnostic test that perfectly discriminates between nondiseased and diseased individuals has an ROC curve that is a horizontal line expressed by the following equation: TPF = 1 (y = 1). The corresponding AUC would also be equal to 1. Diagnostic tests that are purely random and, hence, are worthless have an ROC curve that is a 45°-angled line with a corresponding AUC of 0.5 (52).

Cross-sectional data were obtained from a dual-test study design in which both tests were carried out with sera from 2,500 horses and in which the true infection status of each horse was determined using the definitive “gold standard” test, the VNT. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was estimated for the GP51–116-based MIA and the VNT. A Bayesian bivariate normal analysis of the log-transformed joint (GP51–116 and N1–110 MIA) outcomes was used to estimate ROC curves and AUCs based on methods developed by Choi et al. (18). Specifically, data derived using sera from infected horses were modeled as a bivariate normal distribution with an unknown mean vector (μGP51–116 for the GP51–116 test and μN1–110 for the N1–110 test) and an unknown covariance matrix that contained three parameters, namely, the variances for the GP51–116 test and for the N1–110 test and the correlation between GP51–116 and N1–110 test values. Independent diffuse normal prior distributions with a mean of 0 and a variance of 100 were used for μGP51–116 and μN1–110, and independent inverse gamma priors with a mean of 1 and a variance of 1,000 were used for the variances. The correlation was assigned a uniform prior ranging from −1 to 1. A bivariate normal distribution was also used to model the data for uninfected horses, with the same prior specification used for infected horses.

Results from the ROC analysis were used to determine an optimal cutoff value for the purpose of classifying horses as EAV antibody positive or negative based on the outcome of the GP51–116 MIA test. The sensitivity and specificity of the GP51–116 MIA test at this cutoff value were then estimated using Bayesian methods. The analysis was performed with the S-Plus (Insightful Corp., Seattle, WA) and WinBUGS (59) programs.

The sensitivity of each recombinant EAV structural protein-based MIA was calculated as follows: [number of true positives/(number of true positives + number of false negatives) × 100]. Test specificities were calculated using the following equation: [number of true negatives/(number of true negatives + number of false positives) × 100].

**RESULTS**

Cloning, expression, and purification of recombinant proteins. Following PCR amplification, all major structural protein genes and their corresponding partial-length ORFs were individually cloned into the pET100/D-TOPO vector. The recombinant protein from each construct was expressed as a bacterial fusion protein with an N-terminal tag containing the Xpress epitope and a six-His tag (Fig. 1A). Various tempera-
a cutoff of 1,063; the MFI for N 1–110 was 432.1 (SD, 161.7), with a cutoff of 917; and the MFI for N 1–69 was 216.6 (SD, 166.5), with a cutoff of 716. Samples with MFI values above the cutoff value were designated as positive, and those with values below the cutoff value were considered to be negative. The subsequent analysis of 125 positive sera (with VNT titers ranging from 1:4 to >1:512) and 125 neutralizing antibody-negative sera tested in parallel by the VNT allowed the evaluation of the...
FIG. 2. Comparison of antibody responses to EAV as determined by GP55-98 MIA and VNT using sequential serum samples from experimentally infected horses (n = 18). The reciprocal VN titers and MFI values for horses inoculated with different EAV strains, rVBS, 030H, KY84, and CA95G, are plotted against dpi. (A, B, C, and D) VN antibody responses in horses experimentally infected with EAV rVBS (n = 4), EAV 030H (n = 2), EAV KY84 (n = 7), and EAV CA95G (n = 5) as measured by the standard VNT. (E, F, G, and H) VN antibody responses in horses experimentally infected with EAV rVBS (n = 4), EAV 030H (n = 2), EAV KY84 (n = 7), and EAV CA95G (n = 5) as measured by the GP55-98 MIA. Designations at the right of each graph represent individual identities of the horses from which samples were obtained.
performance of each recombinant protein (for 250 samples) in the MIA. MIAs based on recombinant GP5 proteins had high specificity. The specificity of GP5 \(_{1-255}\), GP5 \(_{1-116}\), and GP5 \(_{75-112}\)-based MIAs was 98.4%, with only 2 false-positive results among 125 VNT-negative samples. The GP5 \(_{55-98}\)-based MIA had slightly lower specificity, 97.6%, than the other GP5-based MIAs. The sensitivities of the GP5 \(_{1-255}\) and GP5 \(_{1-116}\)-based MIAs were the lowest among all those of the protein-based MIAs (3.2% and 5.6%, respectively), as these assays detected only 4 and 7 of 125 VNT-positive samples. The sensitivities of the recombinant GP5 \(_{75-112}\) and GP5 \(_{55-98}\)-based MIAs were higher (41.6% and 77.6%, respectively), with the detection of 52 and 97 of 125 VNT-positive sera, respectively. Both MIAs based on M proteins (M\(_{1-162}\) and the partial-length M\(_{88-162}\)) had high specificity (97.6% and 98.4%, respectively), and these assays correctly detected 122 and 123 of 125 VNT-negative samples. However, both had very low sensitivity (6.4%) and detected anti-EAV antibodies in only 8 of 125 VNT-positive sera. The sensitivity of the recombinant N\(_{1-69}\)-based MIA was also very low; this assay detected anti-EAV antibodies in only 10 (8.0%) of 125 VNT-positive sera. In contrast, the MIA incorporating full-length N\(_{1-110}\) detected anti-EAV antibodies in 77 of 125 VNT-positive sera, resulting in significantly higher sensitivity (61.6%). In summary, these results confirm that MIAs based on GP5 \(_{55-98}\), GP5 \(_{75-112}\), N\(_{1-69}\), M\(_{1-162}\), and M\(_{88-162}\) were markedly less sensitive than the N\(_{1-110}\) and GP5 \(_{55-98}\) MIAs (61.6% and 77.6%, respectively) in detecting anti-EAV antibodies in equine sera as determined by comparison to the results of the VNT (Table 2). Accordingly, the MIAs based on recombinant proteins that showed poor sensitivity were excluded from further study, and only GP5 \(_{55-98}\) and N\(_{1-110}\) protein-based MIAs were selected for further development and validation.

**Validation of the GP5\(_{55-98}\) and N\(_{1-110}\)-based MIAs.** The same panel of 2,500 diagnostic equine sera evaluated by the VNT was analyzed for the presence of EAV-specific antibodies using GP5 \(_{55-98}\) and N\(_{1-110}\)-based MIAs. Of the 750 VNT-positive sera, only 698 were determined to be positive by the GP5 \(_{55-98}\) MIA. The GP5 \(_{55-98}\) MIA failed to detect anti-EAV antibodies in 52 serum samples that were confirmed to be positive by the VNT (giving 52 false-negative results). Furthermore, only 1,644 of the 1,750 VNT-negative sera gave negative results with the GP5 \(_{55-98}\) MIA assay. This finding indicated that GP5 \(_{55-98}\) gave 106 false-positive results compared to the VNT. The overall sensitivity and specificity of the GP5 \(_{55-98}\) MIA were 93.1% and 93.9%, respectively. Similarly, the N\(_{1-110}\) MIA gave 256 false-negative results (only 494 of 750 VNT-positive sera were identified as positive) and 374 false-positive results (1,376 of 1,750 VNT-negative samples were identified as negative) compared to the VNT. The sensitivity and specificity of the N\(_{1-110}\) MIA were 65.9% and 78.6%, respectively.

As mentioned previously, the cutoff values were assigned on the basis of 3 SD above the MFI for 20 EAV antibody-negative equine sera. However, the cutoff value calculated according to this method varied slightly for each of the protein-coated bead sets; this variation may have been a factor contributing to the differences in sensitivity observed between the MIA and the standard VNT. Moreover, a statistical approach using the negative reference population assumes a normal distribution of the test variable and does not consider the sensitivity of the assay (12). Both sensitivity and specificity are important parameters when a diagnostic test is applied to a given population, and an alternative approach to the determination of the cutoff value is to consider both the negative and positive ref-
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**FIG. 3.** GP5 \(_{55-98}\) and N\(_{1-110}\) MIA analysis of serially diluted serum samples. Sera from horses with EAV-neutralizing antibodies (+) and negative control equine sera (−) were serially diluted and evaluated in the GP5 \(_{55-98}\) and N\(_{1-110}\) MIAs. The MFI for each dilution of the standard was determined. Results are reported as MFI per 100 microspheres. Samples are identified by number in the upper right corner.

---

**TABLE 2.** Sensitivities and specificities of MIAs using individual recombinant EAV structural proteins as antigens based on testing of 125 VNT-positive and 125 VNT-negative serum samples

| Recombinant EAV structural protein | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| GP5\(_{1-255}\)                   | 3.2            | 98.4           |
| GP5\(_{1-116}\)                   | 5.6            | 98.4           |
| GP5\(_{75-112}\)                 | 41.6           | 98.4           |
| GP5\(_{55-98}\)                  | 77.6           | 97.6           |
| M\(_{1-162}\)                    | 6.4            | 97.6           |
| M\(_{88-162}\)                   | 6.4            | 98.4           |
| N\(_{1-110}\)                    | 61.6           | 91.2           |
| N\(_{1-69}\)                     | 8              | 97.6           |

---
ence populations (21, 31, 32). This approach was adopted in this study by using nonparametric ROC analysis to define the cutoff value with the entire equine serum data set derived from the study. Such an approach to the tabulation of results is recommended in comparative studies of this type, in order to eliminate variability in the day-to-day performance of the assay (36). By using the ROC analysis, the optimal cutoff value to classify sera as positive or negative was recalculated to be an MFI of 992. With this cutoff, the GP555–98 MIA identified 1,694 of 1,750 VNT-negative samples as negative and determined 806 of the total of 2,500 samples to be positive. Given these results, the sensitivity and specificity of the GP555–98 MIA as calculated by Bayesian analysis were 92.6% (95% credible interval [the Bayesian analog of a confidence interval], 90.1 to 94.3%) and 92.9% (95% credible interval, 91.7 to 94.1%), respectively, compared to the VNT. The cutoff value that maximized the tradeoff between the sensitivity and the specificity of the N1–110-based MIA was 1,261.43. At this cutoff, the estimated sensitivity and specificity were 88.3% and 54.7%, respectively.

The results from both recombinant protein-based MIAs were subjected to Bayesian analysis. The areas under the ROC curves for both GP555–98 and N1–110 MIAs were compared to that for the VNT. The AUC for the GP555–98 MIA was higher (0.983, with a 95% credible interval of 0.979 to 0.986) than the AUC for the N1–110 MIA (0.772, with a 95% credible interval of 0.751 to 0.793) (Fig. 4). This result confirms that the diagnostic accuracy of the GP555–98-based MIA is significantly higher than that of the N1–110-based MIA; the GP555–98-based MIA can reliably detect anti-EAV antibodies in equine sera. An analysis of the correlation between the results of the GP555–98 MIA and those of the VNT was performed, and it indicated significant correlation between the outcomes of the GP555–98 MIA and the standard VNT (r = 0.84; P < 0.0001) (Fig. 5).

Comparison of the sensitivities of the GP555–98 MIA and the VNT using sequential serum samples from experimentally inoculated horses. A panel of 192 archived sequential serum samples from 18 horses in four groups, each inoculated with a different strain of EAV, was used to compare the antibody responses detected by the GP555–98 MIA and the VNT (2, 9, 10, 51).

Sera collected from EAV rVBS (n = 4)– and EAV 030H (n = 2)-inoculated horses gave reactive signals in the GP555–98 MIA from day 8 postinfection. The MFI values for sera collected from days 8 to 42 were positive, with values for EAV strains rVBS and 030H ranging from 2,541 to 27,736 (Fig. 2E) and from 1,295 to 21,874 (Fig. 2F), respectively. Seroconversions detected by the GP555–98 MIA were in full agreement with the results of the VNT, which also detected neutralizing antibodies from day 8 (Fig. 2A and B). Similarly, reactive signals in sera from horses inoculated with EAV KY84 (n = 7) were detected from 7 dpi (two horses) and 10 dpi (other horses). The MFI values for sera from horses inoculated with EAV KY84 ranged from 2,541 to 27,736 (Fig. 2E) and from 1,295 to 21,874 (Fig. 2F), respectively. Seroconversions detected by the GP555–98 MIA were in full agreement with the results of the VNT, which also detected neutralizing antibodies from day 8 (Fig. 2A and B). Similarly, reactive signals in sera from horses inoculated with EAV KY84 were detected from 7 dpi (two horses) and 10 dpi (other horses). The MFI values for sera from horses inoculated with EAV rVBS, 030H, and KY84 ranged from 1,648 to 27,142. The only discrepancy between the results of the GP555–98 MIA and the VNT involved serum from one horse (SD7925), which had a threshold neutralizing antibody titer (1:4) on day 7 (Fig. 2C); this sample
was not positive by the MIA (Fig. 2G). In general, the pattern of antibody development determined with the GP555–98 MIA correlated very well with the pattern found using the standard VNT. Both assays detected EAV-specific antibodies in samples collected more than 10 dpi. If consideration is given to the fact that the two tests measure antibodies on different scales, there was greater variability in the results from the MIA than in those from the VNT. Despite this finding, the sensitivity of the MIA was not affected by this variability.

In contrast, when 44 sequential serum samples from five horses inoculated with the highly attenuated EAV CA95G strain were used to compare the GP555–98 MIA and the VNT, the GP555–98 MIA failed to detect consistent positive reactive signals (Fig. 2H). The earliest reactive signal was detected by the GP555–98 MIA on day 28 postinfection for horse 9624, whereas samples from this horse had low levels of neutralizing antibodies detectable by the VNT from 14 dpi (Fig. 2D). Similarly, horse 5582 had a threshold neutralizing antibody titer (1:4) detected on day 28 by the VNT; this titer was not detected by the GP555–98 MIA, which detected reactive signals only from 35 dpi. Horse 9131 developed a low neutralizing antibody titer (1:8) by 35 dpi, which was detected by the GP555–98 MIA. Sera from horses 9715 and 9649 did not show reactive signals at all, whereas VN antibodies were detected at low levels from 14 dpi. The MFI values for the antibody-positive sera from CA95G-inoculated horses ranged from 1,055 to 4,688.

**DISCUSSION**

This study evaluated a newly developed MIA based on GP555–98 as an alternative diagnostic procedure for the detection of antibodies to EAV. The evaluation of various forms of recombinant viral structural proteins (full-length proteins and partial-length proteins containing the antigenic regions) allowed the identification of the best recombinant protein antigen for use in the MIA. Previous reports have shown that two bacterial fusion proteins (aa 1 to 116 and 55 to 98) and a synthetic peptide (aa 81 to 106), both based on GP5 of EAV, can be used as effective diagnostic antigens in ELISAs (15, 49). It has been demonstrated previously that horses immunized with portions of GP5 either expressed in bacteria (aa 55 to 98) or constructed as a synthetic oligopeptide (aa 75 to 97) developed EAV-neutralizing antibodies (14, 49). Chirnside et al. used a series of recombinant bacterial fusion proteins derived from EAV ORF 7 to define the immunoreactive region of the viral nucleocapsid (N) protein (16). These studies indicated that the major N protein epitope that reacts with anti-EAV equine sera is located within the amino acid residue segment from 1 to 69 in the terminal region of the protein. Similarly, it has been demonstrated previously that only the carboxyl-terminal sequence (aa 88 to 162) of the M protein is necessary to identify equine serum antibodies specific to the EAV M protein; it was further suggested that this region should be useful for the serodetection of EAV-infected horses (37).

On the basis of the foregoing data, it was decided to express the full-length GP51–255, M88–162, and N1–110 proteins, various antigenic regions of GP5 (aa 1 to 116, 75 to 112, and 55 to 98), and the M88–162 and N1–69 proteins as bacterial fusion proteins for use in MIAs to detect antibodies to EAV. When the sensitivities and specificities of these different MIAs were determined by comparing their results to those of the VNT, all of the MIAs, with the exception of the MIA based on the GP555–98 bacterial fusion protein, had very low specificities and sensitivities; accordingly, they were considered unsuitable as serodiagnostic assays for EVA infection.

The low immunoreactivity of MIAs incorporating three of the GP5 proteins (GP51–255, the N-terminal ectodomain segment GP51–116, and GP575–112), as well as the M (M88–162 and M88–162) and N (N1–110 and N1–69) proteins, may result from the misfolding and aggregation of the E. coli-expressed recombinant proteins. Despite the fact that the GP5 N-terminal ectodomain contains the major neutralization epitopes of EAV, the three MIAs incorporating GP5 proteins, the full-length GP51–255, the N-terminal ectodomain segment GP51–116, and GP575–112, had markedly low sensitivities. It has been reported previously that in the absence of the M protein, the recombinant GP5 protein tends to form large protein aggregates that are neither immunogenic nor immunoreactive (4, 33). Similarly, it has been shown that the GP5 protein, when expressed by baculovirus or mammalian expression systems, is not processed properly and is easily misfolded (2). As the length of the recombinant GP5 was reduced, the sensitivity of the MIA increased significantly; this finding would indicate that a reduction in length allows the exposure of some linear epitopes present in the antigenic region of the recombinant GP5 protein.

There was very good agreement between the results of the GP555–98-based MIA and those of the VNT. However, the GP555–98 MIA was found to have lower sensitivity and specificity than the VNT. Many factors may adversely affect the sensitivity of the GP555–98 MIA. (i) The short length of the GP5 protein incorporated into the assay may have targeted antibodies that were specific only for linear epitopes present in the region of aa 55 to 98 and not for any conformational
equine sera. (iv) The lower sensitivity of the GP555–98 MIA phenotype among viral isolates as determined using polyclonal variation may result in significant differences in neutralization EAV have been shown to differ significantly in this region; this may reduce the sensitivity of the assay. It should be noted that the virus (5, 11). Antigenic variation among EAV field strains variable of the EAV structural proteins among field strains of EAV, the GP5 protein has been shown to be the most nants of EAV (4). Although there is only one known serotype of the major envelope glycoprotein, which carries the known neutralization determinants of EAV (4). While the 030H and KY84 strains of EAV had one and four substitutions located in the region of aa 79 and 90 (Fig. 6). This finding would indicate that amino acid substitutions located in the region of aa 79 to 90 may have a significant effect on the sensitivity of the GP555–98 MIA. Furthermore, a comparative amino acid analysis of GP5 showed that some other field strains of EAV (e.g., WA97 and IL93) had multiple amino acid substitutions between aa 79 and 90 (Fig. 6). Accordingly, sera from horses exposed to EAV strains that have significant numbers of amino acid substitutions in this region may well give false-negative results in the GP555–98 MIA. To maximize the sensitivity of a GP5-based MIA, it may be necessary to include a cocktail of GP555–98 proteins comprising multiple sequences representative of strains of EAV known to differ phenotypically rather than depend on a single GP555–98 sequence from one strain.

ELISAs targeting whole virus or recombinant GP5, M, and N proteins have been investigated for the serological diagnosis of EAV infection (15–17, 33, 39, 41, 49, 60, 63). An ELISA procedure incorporating an ovalbumin-conjugated synthetic peptide comprising aa 81 to 106 of GP5 for the detection of anti-EAV antibodies in equine sera has been described previously (49). That ELISA had slightly higher sensitivity and specificity (96.75% and 95.6%, respectively) than the MIA based on GP555–98 used in this study. Similarly, an ELISA based on a cocktail of three major structural proteins (GP5, M, and N) expressed by baculovirus was able to detect antibodies in most equine sera that were positive by the VNT following natural or experimental infection (92.3% sensitivity and 100% specificity) (33). It should be stressed that the latter two studies (33, 49) were undertaken using a limited number of EAV VNT antibody-positive (400 and 73, respectively) and antibody-negative (400 and 111, respectively) equine sera. By comparison, in the present study the sensitivity (92.6%) and specificity (92.9%) of epitopes. In addition, the failure of the GP555–98 MIA to detect some sera that possessed VN antibodies to EAV may have been due to the lack of secondary-structural folding and posttranslational modifications. (iii) The VNT putatively detects antibodies to the GP5 major envelope glycoprotein, which carries the known neutralization determinants of EAV (4). Although there is only one known serotype of EAV, the GP5 protein has been shown to be the most variable of the EAV structural proteins among field strains of the virus (5, 11). Antigenic variation among EAV field strains may reduce the sensitivity of the assay. It should be noted that the cloned GP5 amino acid sequence (aa 55 to 98) was derived from the virulent Bucyrus strain of EAV and field strains of EAV have been shown to differ significantly in this region; this variation may result in significant differences in neutralization phenotype among viral isolates as determined using polyclonal equine sera. (iv) The lower sensitivity of the GP555–98 MIA may also be associated with the dilution of the test samples. Previously, it has been documented that recombinant proteins expressed by bacteria can exhibit high levels of background reactivity with equine serum (15, 16, 49). In the present study, we determined 1:100 to be the optimal serum dilution for the minimization of nonspecific binding of antibodies to the bacterial recombinant proteins. At this dilution, most sera with low antibody titers (1:4 to 1:8) detected by the VNT gave false-negative results in the GP555–98 MIA (Table 3). It should be emphasized, therefore, that the MIA can reliably detect only sera with moderate to high titers (≥1:16) of antibodies to EAV.

Upon the testing of sera from horses experimentally exposed to the rVBS, 030H, and KY84 strains of EAV, there was excellent concordance between the GP555–98 MIA and the VNT in the detection of the antibody response to the virus. While the 030H and KY84 strains of EAV had one and four substitutions, respectively, between aa 55 and 98 compared to the cloned GP555–98 sera from horses exposed to these virus strains did not give any false-negative reactions in the GP555–98 MIA. In contrast, sera from the EAV CA95G strain-inoculated horses failed to give a consistent positive signal in the GP555–98 MIA. Upon comparative amino acid sequence analysis, the EAV CA95G virus strain exhibited six amino acid substitutions in the GP555–98 region, five of which were located between aa 79 and 90 (Fig. 6). This finding would indicate that amino acid substitutions located in the region of aa 79 to 90 may have a significant effect on the sensitivity of the GP555–98 MIA.

| VN titer | No. of samples with false-negative MIA results/no. of VNT-positive samples (%) |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1:4      | 5/7 (71.4)                                                   |
| 1:8      | 4/10 (40.0)                                                   |
| 1:16     | 6/21 (28.6)                                                   |
| 1:32     | 10/34 (29.4)                                                  |
| 1:64     | 11/58 (18.9)                                                  |
| 1:128    | 4/76 (5.3)                                                    |
| 1:256    | 5/162 (3.1)                                                   |
| ≥1:512   | 6/382 (1.6)                                                   |

* A total of 750 samples were positive by VNT.

---

**FIG. 6.** Aligned deduced amino acid sequences of GP555–98 proteins from various laboratory (rVBS and 030H) and field (KY84, CA95G, WA97, and IL93) strains of EAV. Dots indicate the same amino acid as that in the sequence at the top. Letters indicate the amino acid substitution at each site. The predicted N-linked glycosylation sites are underlined. The respective conserved and variable glycosylation sites are indicated by * and †. Neut., neutralization.
the GP55-98 MIA were evaluated based on the testing of 2,500 diagnostic equine sera.

The MIA based on GP55-98 has several advantages over the VNT, as well as over various ELISAs. ELISAs that utilize whole-virus antigen preparations can give rise to a large number of false-positive reactions when sera are derived from horses vaccinated with tissue culture-derived vaccines. Such animals can develop antibodies to cell culture proteins remaining in the EAV whole-virus antigen preparation (19). Furthermore, these cell protein-specific antibodies cause cytotoxicity at the lower serum dilutions that in turn can interfere with the interpretation of the VNT results (29). The GP55-98 MIA circumvents both of these problems, since it utilizes a recombinant protein that represents the immunodominant GP5 protein of EAV. Furthermore, the GP55-98 MIA is not subjective in interpretation and is less time-consuming, is less expensive, and uses a smaller sample volume than the VNT. Accordingly, the GP55-98 MIA and VNT outcomes correlated selected equine sera was obtained with the partial GP5 protein proteins, the highest concordance with the VNT results using for screening large numbers of sera. The MIA can be completed within a few hours; in comparison, the VNT takes several days. The MIA does not require the use of live virus and so does not require virus containment facilities.

In summary, we have developed and validated several MIA that use recombinant EAV structural proteins to detect antibodies to EAV in equine serum. Among eight recombinant proteins, the highest concordance with the VNT results using selected equine sera was obtained with the partial GP5 protein GP55-98. The GP55-98 MIA and VNT outcomes correlated significantly (r = 0.84; P < 0.0001). Although the GP55-98 MIA is less sensitive than the VNT, it has the potential to provide an accurate, rapid, convenient, and more economical test for screening equine sera for the presence of antibodies to EAV, with the VNT used as a confirmatory assay.
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