Blowing up radial solutions in the minimal Keller–Segel model of chemotaxis
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Abstract. We consider the simplest parabolic–elliptic model of chemotaxis in the whole space in several dimensions. Criteria for the blowup of radially symmetric solutions in terms of suitable Morrey spaces norms are derived.

1. Introduction and main results

We consider in this paper solutions that blow up in a finite time for the Cauchy problem in space dimensions $d \geq 2$

$$u_t - \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0,$$

$$v_t + u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0,$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$  (1.1)  (1.2)  (1.3)

One motivation to study this model comes from Mathematical Biology, where Eqs. (1.1)–(1.2) are a simplified (the, so-called, minimal) Keller–Segel system modeling chemotaxis, see e.g., [4, 16, 23, 25, 26]. The unknown variables $u = u(x, t)$ and $v = v(x, t)$ denote the density of the population of microorganisms (e.g., swimming bacteria or slime mold), and the density of the chemical secreted by themselves that attracts them and makes them to aggregate, respectively.

Another important interpretation of system (1.1)–(1.2) comes from Astrophysics, where the unknown function $u = u(x, t)$ is the density of gravitationally interacting massive particles (micro- as well as macro-) in a cloud (of atoms, molecules, dust, stars, nebulae, etc.), and $v = v(x, t)$ is the Newtonian potential (“self-consistent mean field”) of the mass distribution $u$, see [1–3, 8, 17, 18]. Aggregation of those particles may lead to formation of singularities (an implosion of mass phenomenon) in finite time.
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Even if in applications $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and then mass
\[ M = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x, t) \, dx \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0, T_{\text{max}}) \]
is conserved, we will also consider locally integrable solutions with infinite mass like the famous Chandrasekhar steady-state singular solution in [17,18] for $d \geq 3$
\[ u_C(x) = \frac{2(d-2)}{|x|^2}. \quad (1.4) \]

Our results include
- sufficient conditions on radial initial data which lead to a finite time blowup of solutions

expressed in terms of quantities related to the Morrey space norm $M^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in Theorem 2.2. For instance, condition (2.14): $\sup_{T>0} T e^{T \Delta} u(0) > C(d)$ for some $C(d) \in [1,2]$ is sufficient for the blowup of solution with the initial condition $u_0$. Sufficient blowup conditions expressed in terms of the radial concentration (1.9): $\|u_0\| > \mathcal{N}$, together with an asymptotics of the number $\mathcal{N}$ as $d \to \infty$, are also in Proposition 2.6.

Similar results for the system with modified diffusion operator
\[ u_t + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u + \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ t > 0, \quad (1.5) \]
\[ \Delta v + u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ t > 0, \quad (1.6) \]

supplemented with the initial condition
\[ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0 \quad (1.7) \]
will be derived and discussed in Sect. 3. In a parallel way, we have also
- blowup of radial solutions with large initial data (Theorem 3.2, $\alpha \in (0,2)$);
- a reformulation of sufficient condition for blowup of radial solutions in terms of Morrey space $M^{d/\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm (Proposition 3.3);

For the proof of the main result, we revisit a classical argument of H. Fujita (applied to the nonlinear heat equation in [19]) and reminiscent of ideas in [16], which leads to a sufficient condition for blowup of radially symmetric solutions of system (1.1)–(1.2), with a significant improvement compared to [13] where local moments of solutions have been employed. Then, we derive as corollaries of condition (2.14) other criteria for blowup of solutions of (1.1)–(1.3).

**Notation** The $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm is denoted by $\| \cdot \|_p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The homogeneous Morrey spaces of measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ are defined by their norms
\[ |u|_{M^p} \equiv \sup_{R>0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d} R^{d(1/p-1)} \int_{|y-x|<R} |u(y)| \, dy < \infty. \quad (1.8) \]
The radial concentration $\| u \|$ will denote the quantity

$$
\| u \| \equiv \sup_{R > 0} R^{2 - d} \int_{\{|y| < R\}} u(y) \, dy,
$$

and this quantity is equivalent to the norm in the space $M^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ critical for system (1.1)--(1.2). We need in Sect. 3 another quantity which we call $\| u \|_d$-radial concentration

$$
\| u \|_d \equiv \sup_{R > 0} R^{\alpha - d} \int_{\{|y| < R\}} u(y) \, dy.
$$

Evidently, $\| . \| \equiv \| . \|_2$.

By a direct calculation, we have

$$
2 \sigma_d = R^{2 - d} \int_{\{|x| < R\}} u_C(x) \, dx \quad \text{for each } R > 0.
$$

Here, as usual,

$$
\sigma_d = \frac{2 \pi \frac{d}{2}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)}
$$

denotes the area of the unit sphere $S^{d-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

The relation $f \approx g$ means that $\lim_{s \to \infty} f(s) / g(s) = 1$ and $f \lesssim g$ means: $\limsup_{s \to \infty} f(s) / g(s) \leq 1$, $f \asymp g$ is used whenever $\lim_{s \to \infty} f(s) / g(s) \in (0, \infty)$.

2. Solutions blowing up in a finite time

It is well-known that if $d = 2$, the condition leading to a finite time blowup, i.e.,

$$
\limsup_{t \to T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d} u(x, t) = \infty \quad \text{for some } 0 < T < \infty,
$$

is expressed in terms of mass, that is $M > 8 \pi$, see e.g., [2,11,12].

If $d \geq 3$, a sufficient condition for blowup for an initial condition (not necessarily radial) is that $u_0$ is highly concentrated, namely

$$
\left( \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{\gamma} u_0(x) \, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0(x) \, dx} \right)^{\frac{d-2}{\gamma}} \leq \tilde{c}_{d, \gamma} M,
$$

for some $0 < \gamma \leq 2$ and a (small, explicit) constant $\tilde{c}_{d, \gamma} > 0$, see [9, (2.4)]. Since

$$
|u_0|_{M^{d/2}} \geq \tilde{C}_{d, \gamma} M \left( \frac{M}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{\gamma} u_0(x) \, dx} \right)^{\frac{d-2}{\gamma}}
$$
for some constant $\tilde{C}_{d,\gamma} > 0$ and all $u_0 \in M^{d/2} \cap L^1$, see [9, (2.6)], this means that the Morrey space $M^{d/2}$ norm of $u_0$ satisfying condition (2.1) must be (very!) large:

$$|u_0|_{M^{d/2}} \geq \frac{\tilde{C}_{d,2}}{\tilde{C}_{d,2}}.$$ 

According to [2], $\tilde{C}_{d,2} = \left(\frac{2^{d/2}}{d\sigma_d}\right)^{-1}$ and $\tilde{C}_{d,2} = \left(\frac{d-2}{d}\right)^{d/2-1} 2^{d/2}\sigma_d \approx \frac{d^{d/2}}{\pi} \sigma_d$.

Recently, some new results on the blowup of solutions to problem (1.1)–(1.3) appeared in [7,11–13,22] with a new strategy of the proofs involving local momenta of (most frequently) radial solutions, and with improved sufficient conditions in terms of the initial datum $u_0$. We will apply the classical proof of blowup in the seminal paper [19] by H. Fujita, and then improve the sufficient conditions for the blowup expressed in terms of a functional norm of $u_0$.

First, we note a general property of potentials of radial functions

**LEMMA 2.1.** Let $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a radially symmetric function, such that $v = E_d * u$ with $E_2(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x|$ and $E_d(x) = \frac{1}{(d-2)\sigma_d}|x|^{2-d}$ for $d \geq 3$, solves the Poisson equation $\Delta v + u = 0$. Then the identity

$$\nabla v(x) \cdot x = -\frac{1}{\sigma_d} |x|^{2-d} \int_{|y| \leq |x|} u(y) \, dy$$

holds.

**Proof.** By the Gauss theorem, we have for the distribution function $M$ of $u$

$$M(R) = \int_{|y| \leq R} u(y) \, dy = -\int_{|y| = R} \nabla v(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} \, dS. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus, for the radial function $\nabla v(x) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|}$ and $|x| = R$, we obtain the required identity

$$\nabla v(x) \cdot x = \frac{1}{\sigma_d} R^{2-d} \int_{|y| = R} \nabla v(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} \, dS = -\frac{1}{\sigma_d} R^{2-d} M(R).$$

□

Now, we proceed to apply the classical idea of blowup proof in [19].

**THEOREM 2.2.** Let $d \geq 2$. If the inequality $T e^{T \Delta} u_0(0) > C(d)$ holds with an explicit constant $C(d) \in [1,2]$, see (2.11) below, then every radial (either classical or weak) solution of problem (1.1)–(1.3) which exists on $[0, T]$ blows up in $L^\infty$ not later than $t = T$, i.e., $\lim_{t \to T} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} = \infty$.

**Proof.** For a fixed $T > 0$ consider the weight function $G = G(x,t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in [0, T)$, which solves the backward heat equation with the unit measure as the final time condition

$$G_t + \Delta G = 0, \quad (2.3)$$
\[ G(., T) = \delta_0. \] (2.4)

Clearly, we have a (unique nonnegative) solution
\[ G(x, t) = (4\pi(T-t))^\frac{-d}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4(T-t)}\right), \] (2.5)
defined by the Gauss–Weierstrass kernel, satisfying \( \int G(x, t) \, dx = 1 \), so that
\[ \nabla G(x, t) = -\frac{x}{2(T-t)} G(x, t). \] (2.6)

Define for a solution \( u \) of (1.1)–(1.2) which exists on \([0, T]\) the moment
\[ W(t) = \int G(x, t)u(x, t) \, dx. \] (2.7)

Since \( G \) decays exponentially fast in \( x \) as \( |x| \to \infty \), the moment \( W \) is well defined (at least) for solutions \( u = u(x, t) \) which are polynomially bounded in \( x \).

The evolution of the moment \( W \) is governed by the identity
\[
\frac{dW}{dt} = \int Gu_t \, dx + \int G_t u \, dx
= \int (\Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v))G \, dx - \int \Delta G u \, dx
= \int \Delta G u \, dx + \int u \nabla v \cdot \nabla G \, dx - \int \Delta G u \, dx
= -\frac{1}{2(T-t)} \int u \nabla v \cdot xG \, dx
= \frac{1}{2\sigma_d(T-t)} \int u(x, t)M(|x|, t)|x|^{2-d}G(x, t) \, dx
= \frac{\sigma_d}{2\sigma_d(T-t)} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma_d} M_r(r, t)r^{1-d}M(r, t)r^{2-d}G(r, t)r^{d-1} \, dr
= \frac{1}{2\sigma_d(T-t)} \int_0^\infty M_r M_r^{2-d} G \, dr
= -\frac{1}{4\sigma_d(T-t)} \int_0^\infty M^2(r^{2-d}G)_r \, dr,
= \frac{1}{4\sigma_d(T-t)} \int_0^\infty M^2 r^{1-d} \left((d-2) + \frac{r^2}{2(T-t)}\right) G \, dr
\] (2.8)

where we used the radial symmetry of the solution \( u \) in (2.8), Lemma 2.1 and, of course, the radial symmetry of \( G \).
Expressing $W$ in the radial variables we obtain
\[
W(t) = \sigma_d \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma_d} M_r r^{1-d} G r^{d-1} \, dr \\
= - \int_0^\infty MG_r \, dr \\
= \int_0^\infty M \frac{r}{2(T-t)} G \, dr. \tag{2.9}
\]

Now, applying the Cauchy inequality to the quantity (2.9), we get
\[
W^2(t) = \left( \int_0^\infty M \frac{r}{2(T-t)} G \, dr \right)^2 \\
\leq \int_0^\infty M^2 r^{1-d} \left( (d-2) + \frac{r^2}{2(T-t)} \right) G \, dr \\
\times \frac{1}{2(T-t)} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{d+1}G}{r^2 + 2(d-2)(T-t)} \, dr. \tag{2.10}
\]

Returning to the time derivative of $W$ in identity (2.8), we arrive at the differential inequality
\[
\frac{dW}{dt} \geq \frac{1}{4\sigma_d(T-t)} W^2(t) \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{d+1}}{2(T-t) r^2 + 2(d-2)(T-t)} \, dr \right)^{-1} \\
= \pi \frac{d}{8\sigma_d} W^2(t) \left( \int_0^\infty \theta^{d+1}(2(d-2) + 4\theta^2)^{-1} e^{-\theta^2} \, d\theta \right)^{-1}
\]
where $\theta = \frac{r}{2(T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$. Recalling (1.11), we denote
\[
C(d) = \frac{16}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + 1 \right)} \int_0^\infty \theta^{d+1}(2(d-2) + 4\theta^2)^{-1} e^{-\theta^2} \, d\theta. \tag{2.11}
\]

Clearly, $C(2) = 2$, and $C(d) < 2$ for $d \geq 3$, since we have
\[
C(d) < \frac{16}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + 1 \right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{4} \theta^{d-1} e^{-\theta^2} \, d\theta = \frac{4}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + 2 \right)} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \tau^{\frac{d}{2}-1} e^{-\tau} \, d\tau = 2.
\]

Thus, we finally obtain
\[
\frac{dW}{dt} \geq \frac{1}{C(d)} W^2(t) \tag{2.12}
\]
which, after an integration, leads to
\[
W(t) \geq \left( \frac{1}{W(0)} - \frac{t}{C(d)} \right)^{-1}. \tag{2.13}
\]
Now, it is clear that if
\[ W(0) = e^{T} A u_0(0) > \frac{C(d)}{T}, \tag{2.14} \]
then \( \limsup_{t \to T} W(t) = \infty \) which means: \( \limsup_{t \to T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d} u(x, t) = \infty \), a contradiction with the existence of a locally bounded solution \( u \) on \((0, T] \).

**REMARK 2.3.** The blowup rate is such that \( \liminf_{t \to T} (T - t) W(t) > 0 \). Indeed,
\[ \frac{1}{W(0)} - \frac{1}{W(t)} \geq \frac{t}{C(d)}, \]
so if \( W(0) \geq \frac{C(d)}{T} \) then
\[ W(t) \geq \frac{1}{W(0)} - \frac{t}{C(d)} \geq \frac{C(d)}{T - t}. \]

For other results on blowup rates (e.g., a faster blowup, the so-called, type II blowup), see \([20, 25, 26]\).

**REMARK 2.4.** For \( d = 2 \) and radially symmetric nonnegative measures \( u_0 \), by identity (2.2) and \( C(2) = 2 \), condition (2.14) after the integration by parts reads
\[ \sup_{T > 0} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (e^{-r^2/4T}) M(r) \, dr > 2 \]
for the radial distribution function \( M \) of the initial condition \( u_0 \). This means: \( \sup_{r > 0} M(r) > 8\pi \), and the well-known blowup condition for radially symmetric solutions in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) is recovered.

Observe that the equality in the Cauchy inequality (2.10) holds if and only if
\[ 0 \leq M(r, t) = \frac{A(t) r^d}{r^2 + 2(d - 2)(T - t)} = (T - t)^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} \frac{A(t) 2^d \varrho^d}{4\varrho^2 + 2(d - 2)} \]
with some \( A(t) \geq 0 \).

Then inequality (2.13) reads
\[ W(t) = \left( \frac{1}{W(0)} - \frac{t}{C(d)} \right)^{-1}, \tag{2.15} \]
and if \( d \geq 3 \)
\[ W(0) = \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^\infty \frac{A(0) r^{d+1}}{r^2 + 2(d - 2)T} e^{-r^2/(4T)} (4\pi T)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \, dr = \frac{A(0) \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)}{8\pi^\frac{d}{2}} C(d) \geq \frac{C(d)}{T}, \]
then the solution blows up not later than \( T \). This holds exactly when \( A(0) \geq 4\sigma_d \) since (1.11). This solution (cf. \([16, (33)]\)) satisfies identity (2.15) with \( W(0) = \frac{C(d)}{T} \), and it is, in a sense, a kind of the minimal smooth blowing up solution. So, we have
**COROLLARY 2.5.** Moreover, if \( A(t) \equiv 4\sigma_d, \; d \geq 3 \), we have an explicit example of blowing up solution with infinite mass

\[
M(r, t) = \frac{4\sigma_d r^d}{r^2 + 2(d - 2)(T - t)}
\]

whose density approaches \( \frac{4(d-2)}{|x|^2} = 2\mu \), i.e., twice the singular stationary solution, when \( t \not\to T \) so that the density of this solution becomes infinite at the origin for \( t = T \).

Clearly, for this solution \( u \) and the corresponding initial density \( u_0 \) we have for each \( t \in [0, T) \)

\[
u_0(x) = 4(d - 2)\frac{r^2 + 2T}{(r^2 + 2(d - 2)T)^{\frac{d}{2}}} ; \quad |u_0|_{M^{d/2}} = 4\sigma_d = \lim_{r \to \infty} r^{2-d} M(r, t) = |u(t)|_{Md/2}.
\]

We express below a sufficient condition (2.14) for blowup in terms of the radial concentration.

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** (Comparison of blowing up solutions) Let \( d \geq 3 \) and define the threshold number

\[
\mathcal{N} = \inf \{ N : \text{solution with the initial datum satisfying } M(r) = N\mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(r) \text{ blows up in a finite time} \}.
\]

Then the asymptotic relation

\[
\mathcal{N} \lesssim 4\sigma_d \sqrt{\pi(d-2)}
\]

holds as \( d \to \infty \). Therefore, if \( u_0 \geq 0 \) is such that \( \|u_0\| > \mathcal{N} \), then the solution with \( u_0 \) as initial datum blows up in a finite time.

The inequality \( \|u_0\| > \mathcal{N} \) means that the radial distribution function corresponding to such \( u_0 \) satisfies \( M(r) \geq R^{d-2}\mathcal{N}\mathbf{1}_{[R, \infty)}(r) \) for some \( R > 0 \). Above, the radial distribution function \( \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)} \) corresponds, of course, to the normalized Lebesgue measure \( \sigma_d^{-1} dS \) on the unit sphere \( S^{d-1} \).

**Proof.** Here and in the sequel, due to the scaling properties of system (1.1)–(1.2), we may consider \( R = 1 \) which does not lead to loss of generality.

First note that if \( u_0(x) \geq 0 \) is such that \( N = M(1, 0) > \mathcal{N} \) for the corresponding radial distribution function \( M \), then \( M(r, 0) \geq \mathcal{N}\mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(r) \) for all \( r > 0 \) and the solution \( u \) with \( u_0 \) as the initial datum blows up in a finite time. Indeed, this is an immediate consequence of the averaged comparison principle, i.e., [10, Theorem 2.1] or the comparison principle for Eq. (2.17), see also [5] in the case \( d = 2 \)

\[
\frac{\partial M}{\partial t} = M_{rr} - \frac{d - 1}{r} M_r + \frac{1}{\sigma_d} r^{1-d} M M_r.
\]
Thus, from Eq. (2.16) we know that if
\[
\sup_{t > 0} t e^{t \Delta} u_0(0) > 2 = 2 \sup_{t > 0} t e^{t \Delta} \left( \frac{2(d - 2)}{|x|^2} \right)(0),
\] (2.18)
then \(u\) blows up in a finite time. To check that
\[
K_2(d) \equiv \sup_{t > 0} t e^{t \Delta} \left( \frac{2(d - 2)}{|x|^2} \right)(0) = 1,
\] (2.19)
let us compute
\[
t(4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int |x|^{-2} \exp \left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}\right) \, dx = \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{z^2}{4t}} \, dz = \frac{1}{4} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right) \left( d - 2 \right) - \frac{1}{2}. \] (2.20)
Note that, by the above computations, there exist radial initial data \(u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\) with \(W(0)\) as close to 1 as we wish. In other words, we have \(C(d) \in [1, 2)\).

To calculate the asymptotics of the number \(N\) observe that the quantity \(\sup_{t > 0} t e^{t \Delta} u_0(0)\) in (2.18) for the normalized Lebesgue measure \(\sigma_d^{-1} \, dS\) on the unit sphere \(S^{d-1}\) is equal to
\[
L_2(d) \equiv \sup_{t > 0} t e^{t \Delta} u_0(0) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_d \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - 1 \right). \] (2.21)
Therefore, by (1.11) and the Stirling formula for the Gamma function
\[
\Gamma(z + 1) \approx \sqrt{2\pi} z^z e^{-z} \quad \text{as} \quad z \to \infty,
\] (2.22)
the asymptotic relations \(L_2(d) \approx \frac{1}{2\sigma_d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(d - 2)}}\) and \(N \lesssim 4\sigma_d \sqrt{\pi(d - 2)}\) hold.

This improves the estimate of \(N \asymp d\sigma_d\) in [13, Section 8].

We give below some other examples of initial data leading to a finite time blowup of solutions.

**REMARK 2.7.** Observe that for each initial condition \(u_0 \neq 0\) there is \(N > 0\) such that condition (2.14) is satisfied for \(Nu_0\).

Clearly, by \(\|u_C\| = |u_C|_{M^{d/2}} = 2\sigma_d\) and identities (2.18)–(2.20), for each \(\eta > 2\) the solution with the initial condition \(u_0 = \eta u_C\) blows up.
Moreover, for each \( \eta > 2 \) and sufficiently large \( R = R(\eta) > 1 \) the bounded initial condition of compact support \( u_0 = \eta \chi_{ \{ 1 \leq |x| \leq R \} } \) leads to a blowing up solution, see (2.14). The singularity of that solution at the blowing up time is \( \asymp \frac{1}{|x|^2} \) at the origin. It seems that the latter result cannot be obtained applying previously known sufficient criteria for blowup like (2.1).

On the other hand, the initial data like \( \min \{ 1, u_C \} + \varepsilon \psi \) with a smooth nonnegative, compactly supported function \( \psi \) and a sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \) (somewhere they are above the critical \( u_C \) pointwisely) still lead to global-in-time solutions according to [10, Theorem 2.1].

**REMARK 2.8.** (Equivalent qualitative conditions for blowup) The condition \( \ell(u_0) = \sup_{t > 0} t e^{\ell(t)} u_0(0) > 2 \) is sufficient for blowup, see condition (2.18).

The quantity \( \tilde{\ell} \geq \ell \)

\[ \tilde{\ell}(u_0) \equiv \sup_{t > 0} t \| e^{t \Delta} u_0 \|_{\infty} \]  

(2.23)

is a Banach space norm equivalent to the norm of the Besov space \( B^{2,\infty}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), thus for nonnegative functions \( u_0 \) the property \( \sup_{t > 0} t \| e^{t \Delta} u_0 \|_{\infty} \gg 1 \) is equivalent to the condition \( |u_0|_{M^{d/2}} \gg 1 \), see e.g., [23, Prop. 2 B)]. Note that, however, the comparison constants for \( \ell, \tilde{\ell} \) and \( \| . \| \) strongly depend on the dimension \( d \), see e.g., [13, Proposition 7.1, Remark 8.1]. Summarizing, qualitative sufficient conditions for blowup for radial \( u_0 \geq 0 \)

- \( \sup_{t > 0} t e^{t \Delta} u_0(0) \gg 1 \),
- \( \sup_{t > 0} t \| e^{t \Delta} u_0 \|_{\infty} \gg 1 \),
- \( \| u_0 \| \equiv \sup_{r > 0} r^{2-d} \int_{|x| < r} u_0(x) \, dx \gg 1 \),
- \( |u_0|_{M^{d/2}} \equiv \sup_{r > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d} r^{2-d} \int_{|y-x| < r} u_0(y) \, dy \gg 1 \),

are mutually equivalent, however, with comparison constants depending on \( d \).

**REMARK 2.9.** (Ill-posedness of the Cauchy problem for large data in \( M^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \))

Concerning the existence of solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.3), we note that global-in-time mild solutions exist with small initial data \( u_0 \) in the Morrey space \( M^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), see [23, Theorem 1 B)]. Moreover, those with \( u_0(x) = \frac{\varepsilon}{|x|^2}, 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1 \), are selfsimilar, see [1].

Local-in-time mild solutions are shown to exist for data in \( M^{d/2} \cap M^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with \( p \in \left( \frac{d}{2}, d \right) \) of arbitrary size, see [10, Proposition 3.1]. This assumption means that all local singularities of such data are strictly weaker than \( \frac{1}{|x-x_0|^d} \). Indeed, \( u_0 \in M^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \) for \( p > d/2 \) implies \( \lim_{r \to 0} r^{2-d} \int_{|x| < r} u_0(x) \, dx = 0 \). They enjoy an instantaneous regularization property: \( u(t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) for each \( t > 0 \). More precisely, \( \sup_{0 < t \leq T} t^{\frac{d}{p'}} \| u(t) \|_\infty < \infty \) for such solutions. The Chandrasekhar locally unbounded solution \( u_C \) is a threshold in the following sense: all solutions with the initial data strictly below \( u_C \) are global and locally bounded, see for precise statement [10, Theorem 2.1]. Therefore, the above criteria for blowup apply to solutions with data in \( M^{d/2} \cap M^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \supset \{ f : (1 + |x|^2) f(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \} \) of sufficiently big size. Note that
if a radial \( u_0 \in M^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) has a singularity at the origin strong enough, in the sense that

\[
\limsup_{R \to 0} R^{2-d} \int_{|y|<R} u_0(y) \, dy > \tilde{C}(d) > C(d) > 2\sigma_d = R^{2-d} \int_{|y|<R} u_C(y) \, dy
\]

(2.24)

for some large \( \tilde{C}(d) \), then the existence time for suitable truncations of \( u_0 : \mathbb{1}_{|y|>R_n} u_0 \), \( R_n \to 0 \), tends to 0. Therefore, a phenomenon of discontinuity of solutions with respect to the initial data occurs in \( L^\infty \). There is no local mild solution emanating from \( u_0 \) that enjoy instantaneous \( L^\infty \) regularization effect. To see this, recall from [13] an estimate for the existence time of solutions of (1.1)–(1.3). In the case of small \( R > 0 \) in [13, Theorem 2.9] inequality [13, (8.13)] reads \( w_R(t) \geq CR^{d-2} \exp(\epsilon R^{-2}t) \) for some \( C > 0 \) independent of \( R \), since under the condition \( \limsup_{R \to 0} R^{2-d} \int_{|y|<R} u_0(y) \, dy > C_d \) we have \( w_R(0) \geq CR^{d-2} \). Thus, \( w_R(T) > M \) and blowup occurs for \( T \asymp R^2 \) when \( R \to 0 \). In fact, if \( u_0 \) satisfies relation (2.24) then we see that any mild (hence weak) solution of system (1.1)–(1.3) does not regularize to \( L^\infty \). Indeed, suppose \textit{a contrario} that a solution \( u \) with \( u_0 \) as the initial data (1.3) is in \( L^\infty \) for \( t \in [t_1, t_2] \) with some \( 0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \). Assuming \( t_1 \) has been chosen sufficiently small, by weak continuity \( u_1 = u(\cdot, t_1) \) satisfies the blowup condition (2.24) on a ball of fixed small radius \( R > 0 \). Thus, this solution blows up before \( T \asymp R^2 \), so that \( u \) itself blows up before \( t_1 + T \). Since we can choose sufficiently small \( R > 0 \), there exists arbitrarily small \( t_\ast > 0 \) such that \( u \) is not in \( L^\infty \) for \( 0 < t < t_\ast \).

Further results on the existence of global small solutions, the well-posedness (and also ill-posedness) of system (1.1)–(1.3) in Besov type spaces can be found, e.g., in [21].

Note that, there is no nonnegative initial condition \( u_0 \) with the Morrey space norm \( |u(t)|_{M^{d/2}} \) blowing up. Indeed, one can prove that each nonnegative local-in-time solution of system (1.5)–(1.6) satisfies the condition \( \limsup_{t \to 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} t^{2-d} \int_{|y-x|<r} |u(y, t)| \leq J(d) < \infty \) for all \( t \in (0, T) \) and a universal constant \( J(d) \), cf. [6]. The analogue of this condition for \( d = 2 \) has a clear meaning: the atoms of admissible nonnegative initial data \( u_0, u_0 = \lim_{t \searrow 0} u(t) \) in the sense of weak convergence of measures, are strictly smaller than \( 8\pi \), see [14].

Our results for radially symmetric solutions in [10] and the present paper can be summarized in the dichotomy.

**COROLLARY 2.10.** (i) If \( u_0 \) is such that \( \|u_0\| < 2\sigma_d \) then the solution of problem (1.1)–(1.3) is global-in-time;

(ii) if \( u_0 \) is such that \( Te^T A u_0(0) > 2 \) (so that by Proposition 2.6 condition \( 2\sqrt{\pi d} 2 \sigma_d \lesssim \|u_0\| \) asymptotically guarantees that), then the solution of problem (1.1)–(1.3) blows up not later than at \( t = T \).
3. Blowup of solutions of system with fractional diffusion

Here, we generalize results for the Brownian diffusion case \( \alpha = 2 \) to the case of the system of nonlocal diffusion–transport equations (1.5)–(1.7) generalizing the classical Keller–Segel system of chemotaxis to the case of the diffusion process given by the fractional power of the Laplacian \((-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\) with \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \), a nonlocal operator, as was in [7, 13].

System (1.5)–(1.6) has a singular stationary solution analogous to the case of Chandrasekhar solution for \( \alpha = 2 \) in dimensions \( d \geq 3 \), cf. [11, Th. 2.1].

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** (Singular stationary solutions) Let \( d \geq 2 \), \( 2\alpha < d \), and

\[
s(\alpha, d) = 2^\alpha \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-\alpha}{2} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} - \alpha + 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \sigma_d d^{\frac{d}{2} - 1}, \quad d \to \infty.
\]

Then \( u_C(x) = \frac{s(\alpha, d)}{|x|^\alpha} \) is a distributional, radial, stationary solution to system (1.5)–(1.6).

This discontinuous solution \( u_C \in M^{d/\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is, in a sense, a critical one which is not smoothed out by the diffusion operator in system (1.5)–(1.6).

As usual for nonlinear evolution equations of parabolic type, blowup of a solution \( u \) at \( t = T \) means (as in Sect. 2): \( \limsup_{t \uparrow T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d} u(x, t) = \infty \). In fact, some \( L^p \) norms (with \( p > \frac{d}{\alpha} \)) of \( u(t) \) blow up together with the \( L^\infty \)-norm.

**THEOREM 3.2.** (Blowup of solutions) If \( d \geq 2 \), \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \), \( T e^{-T(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}}u_0(0) > C_\alpha(d) \) for a constant \( C_\alpha(d) > 0 \) defined below in (3.13), then each solution of problem (1.5)–(1.7) blows up in \( L^\infty \) not later than \( t = T \).

The proof of Theorem 3.2 does not apply to the case \( d = 1 \) which is studied by completely different methods in [15].

Informally speaking, this sufficient condition for blowup is equivalent to \( |u_0|_{M^{d/\alpha}} \gg 1 \). Indeed, for radially symmetric nonnegative functions the condition \( \sup_{T > 0} T e^{-T(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}}u_0(0) \gg 1 \) is equivalent to the relation \( \sup_{T > 0} \| T e^{-T(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}}u_0 \|_\infty \gg 1 \).

This fact can be proved using fine estimates of the kernel of the semigroup \( e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}} \) restricted to radial functions, as was in the case \( \alpha = 2 \). Further, the quantity \( \sup_{T > 0} \| T e^{-T(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}}u_0 \|_\infty \) is equivalent to the Morrey space norm \( |u_0|_{M^{d/\alpha}} \). Moreover, we note a useful characterization of the homogeneous Besov spaces

\[
\sup_{T > 0} T \| e^{-T(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}}u \|_\infty < \infty \quad \text{if and only if} \quad u \in B^{-\alpha}_{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^d),
\]

shown in [23, Proposition 2B]) for \( \alpha = 2 \), and for \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \) in [24, Sec. 4, proof of Prop. 2].

Before proving Theorem 3.2, we recall some analytic properties of the fractional Laplacians and the semigroups on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) generated by them. The semigroup \( e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}} \)
with \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \) is represented with the use of the Bochner subordination formula, cf. [28, Ch. IX.11]

\[
e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}} = \int_0^\infty f_{t, \alpha}(\lambda) e^{\lambda \Delta} \, d\lambda
\]  

(3.2)

with some functions \( f_{t, \alpha}(\lambda) \geq 0 \) independent of \( d \). In fact, the subordinators \( f_{t, \alpha} \) satisfy

\[
e^{-ta^{\alpha}} = \int_0^\infty f_{t, \alpha}(\lambda) e^{-\lambda a} \, d\lambda,
\]

so that they have selfsimilar form \( f_{t, \alpha}(\lambda) = t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} f_{1, \alpha} \left( \lambda t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right) \). Therefore, the kernel \( P_{t, \alpha} \) of \( e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}} \) is also of selfsimilar radial form, and can be expressed as

\[
P_{t, \alpha}(x) = \int_0^\infty f_{t, \alpha}(\lambda) \left( \frac{4\pi \lambda}{(t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})^2} \right) e^{-|x|^2/(4\lambda)} \, d\lambda = t^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} R \left( \frac{|x|}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right)
\]

(3.3)

with a positive function \( R \) decaying algebraically, together with its derivatives \( R', R'', \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} \).

\[
R(\varrho) \propto \varrho^{-d-\alpha}, \quad R'(\varrho) \propto \varrho^{-d-1-\alpha}, \quad R''(\varrho) \propto \varrho^{-d-2-\alpha}, \ldots \quad \text{as} \quad \varrho \to \infty.
\]

(3.4)

Here \( r = |x| \) and \( \varrho = \frac{r}{|T-t|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \). In fact, \( R \) satisfies \( R(|x|) = F^{-1} \left( \exp \left( -|\xi|^\alpha \right) \right)(x) \).

This is normalized so that

\[
\sigma_d \int_0^\infty R(\varrho) \varrho^{d-1} \, d\varrho = \frac{\sigma_d}{d} \int_0^\infty |R'(\varrho)| \varrho^d \, d\varrho = 1,
\]

(3.5)

with

\[
R(0) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int \exp \left( -|\xi|^\alpha \right) \, d\xi = (2\pi)^{-d} \alpha^{-1} \varrho_d \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau \varrho_d^d} \, d\tau = \frac{2\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{\alpha} \right)}{\alpha(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)}.
\]

(3.6)

For \( \alpha = 2 \) we have, of course, \( R(\varrho) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{\varrho^2}{4} \right) \).

**Proof.** As in the original reasoning of Fujita in [19] applicable to the case \( \alpha = 2 \) (in Sect. 2) and in [27] for a nonlinear fractional heat equation with power sources and \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \), here we consider the moment

\[
W(t) = \int G(x, t) u(x, t) \, dx
\]

(3.7)

with the weight function \( G = G(x, t) \) solving the backward linear fractional heat equation on \((0, T)\)

\[
G_t - (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} G = 0,
\]

(3.8)
\[ G(., T) = \delta_0. \]  

(3.9)

It is clear that \( G \) has the selfsimilar radially symmetric form

\[ G(x, t) = P_{T-t, \alpha}(x) = (T-t)^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} R \left( \frac{|x|}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right) \]  

(3.10)

with the same function \( R \) as above.

For radially symmetric functions \( W \) in (3.7) becomes

\[ W(t) = -(T-t)^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} \int_0^\infty M(r, t) R'(\varrho)(T-t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \, dr \]

with \( M(r, t) = \int_{|x|<r} u(x, t) \, dx = \sigma_d \int_0^r u(\varrho, t) \varrho^{d-1} \, d\varrho \) so that \( u(\varrho, t) = \frac{1}{\sigma_d} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho} \)

\[ M(\varrho, t) \varrho^{1-d}, \varrho = |x|. \]

This is valid under a mild integrability condition on \( u \): \( \int u_0(x) (1+|x|)^{-d-\alpha} \, dx < \infty \). In fact, if \( u \) is a nonnegative solution of system (1.5)–(1.6) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T) \), then for each \( t \in [0, T) \) the condition \( \int u(x, t)(1+|x|)^{-d-\alpha} \, dx \) holds. Indeed, by the integral representation of the fractional Laplacian in [13, (1.4)]

\[-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u(x, t) = A \left[ (\int_{|y| \leq 1} + \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \int_{|\delta \leq |y| \leq 1}) \frac{u(x-y, t) - u(x, t)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \right], \]

for some constant \( A > 0 \), so that \( \int_{|y| \leq 1} \frac{u(x-y, t)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \) must be finite.

Further, we have by Lemma 2.1 that \( \nabla v(x) \cdot x = -\frac{1}{\sigma_d} |x|^{2-d} \int_{|y| \leq |x|} u(y) \, dy \), and therefore by selfadjointness of the operator \((-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \)

\[ \frac{dW}{dt} = \int G_{tt} \, dx + G_t \, du \]

\[ = \int (-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v)) G \, dx + \int (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} Gu \, dx \]

\[ = \int u \nabla v \cdot \nabla G \, dx \]  

(3.11)

Using the Cauchy inequality as in Sect. 2 we estimate

\[ W^2(t) \leq (T-t)^{-\frac{d+1}{\alpha}} \int_0^\infty \frac{M^2}{2\sigma_d} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^{1-d} R'(\varrho)) \right| \, dr \]

\[ \times (T-t)^{-\frac{d+1}{\alpha}} \int_0^\infty 2\sigma_d \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^{1-d} R'(\varrho)) \right|^2 \, dr. \]  

(3.12)

Note that the function \( \varrho^{1-d} R'(\varrho) \) is strictly decreasing as the product of two strictly decreasing positive functions so that the denominator of the integrand in (3.13) is strictly positive. Now, with the definition of \( C_\alpha(d) \)
\[ C_\alpha(d) = 2\sigma_d \int_0^\infty \left| R'(\varrho) \right|^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} (\varrho^{1-d} R'(\varrho)) \, d\varrho, \tag{3.13} \]

the ordinary differential inequality obtained from (3.11) and (3.12)
\[
\frac{dW}{dt} \geq \frac{1}{C_\alpha(d)} W^2(t)
\]
leads to the estimate
\[
\frac{1}{W(0)} - \frac{1}{W(T)} \geq \frac{T}{C_\alpha(d)}.
\]
Thus, a sufficient condition for the blowup becomes
\[
Te^{-T(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}} u_0(0) > C_\alpha(d). \quad \tag{3.14}
\]
Indeed, if (3.14) holds, then
\[
W(t) \geq \frac{1}{W(0) - \frac{T}{C_\alpha(d)}} \geq \frac{C_\alpha(d)}{T-t},
\]
and \( \lim_{t \to T} W(t) = \infty \), and therefore \( \limsup_{t \to T} u(x, t) = \infty \). \( \square \)

Next, we express condition (3.14) in terms of the \( \frac{d}{\alpha} \)-concentration (1.10) of \( u_0 \), as was for \( \alpha = 2 \) in Proposition 2.6. Again, by scaling properties of system (1.5)–(1.6), it is sufficient to consider \( R = 1 \).

**Proposition 3.3.** (Comparison of blowing up solutions) For \( d \geq 3 \), \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \), let the threshold number \( \mathcal{N} \) be
\[
\mathcal{N} = \inf \left\{ N : \text{solution with the initial datum satisfying } M(r) = N \mathbb{1}_{[1,\infty)}(r) \right. \text{ blows up in a finite time} \}.
\]
Then the asymptotic relation
\[
\mathcal{N} \lesssim \sigma_d d^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \text{ holds as } d \to \infty.
\]
**Proof.** Let us compute for the kernel \( P_{t,\alpha} \) of the semigroup \( e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}} \) the quantity
\[
K_\alpha(d) = \sup_{t>0} t P_{t,\alpha} \left( \frac{s(\alpha, d)}{|x|^\alpha} \right)(0)
\]
\[
= s(\alpha, d) \sup_{t>0} t^{1-\frac{d}{\alpha}} \sigma_d \int_0^\infty R \left( \frac{r}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \right) r^{-\alpha+d-1} \, dr
\]
\[
= s(\alpha, d) \sigma_d \int_0^\infty R(\varrho) \varrho^{d-1-\alpha} \, d\varrho
\]
\[
= s(\alpha, d) \sigma_d \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f_{1,\alpha}(\lambda)(4\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\varrho^2/4\lambda} \varrho^{d-\alpha-1} \, d\lambda \, d\varrho \tag{3.15}
\]
\[ s(\alpha, d)\sigma_d \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^\infty f_{1,\alpha}(\lambda) \int_0^\infty 2^{-d} e^{-\tau} \lambda^{-\frac{d}{2} + \frac{d}{2} - \alpha/2} 2^{d-\alpha-1} \tau^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2} - 1} d\tau \]
\[ = 2^\alpha \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{d-\alpha}{2} + 1 \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - \alpha + 1 \right)} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{d-\alpha}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)} 2^{-\alpha} \int_0^\infty f_{1,\alpha}(\lambda) \lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}} d\lambda \]
\[ = k_0(\alpha) \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{d-\alpha}{2} + 1 \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} - \alpha + 1 \right)} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{d-\alpha}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)}, \]
\[ \approx k(\alpha), \]
for some constants \( k_0(\alpha), k(\alpha) > 0 \) independent of \( d, \) \( d \to \infty, \) by formulas (3.1), (3.3).

By the comparison principle in [13, Th. 2.4], if \( 0 \leq u_0 \leq \varepsilon u_C \) for an \( \varepsilon \in [0, 1), \) then the solution is global so it does not blow up in finite time, therefore \( K_\alpha(d) \leq C_\alpha(d) \) by this comparison result.

So, now we need an upper estimate of the constant \( C_\alpha(d) \) defined in (3.13). By definition (3.13), the global-in-time existence result [13, Th. 2.4] and relations (3.5), we obtain

\[ K_\alpha(d) \leq C_\alpha(d) \leq \frac{2d}{d-2}. \]  
(3.16)

Indeed, the left hand side inequality is the consequence of the comparison principle in [13, Th. 2.4]. Then, by representation (3.3) we have \( R'(\varrho) < 0 \) for \( \varrho > 0, \) and

\[ 0 \leq \varrho R''(\varrho) - R'(\varrho) = \int_0^\infty f_{1,\alpha}(\lambda)(4\pi \lambda)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left( \frac{\varrho^2}{4\lambda^2} - \frac{\varrho}{2\lambda} + \frac{\varrho}{2\lambda} \right) e^{-\varrho^2/4\lambda} d\lambda, \]  
(3.17)

so that

\[ d - 1 + \varrho \frac{R''(\varrho)}{|R'(\varrho)|} \geq d - 2, \]

and the right-hand side inequality in estimate (3.16) follows.

Now, we will test the normalized Lebesgue measure \( \sigma_d^{-1} dS \) on the unit sphere \( S^d \) corresponding to the radial distribution function \( 1_{[1,\infty)}(r) \)

\[ L_\alpha(d) \equiv \sup_{t>0} t e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}} \left( \sigma_d^{-1} dS \right) \]
\[ = \sup_{t>0} t^{1-\frac{d}{\alpha}} R \left( \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right) \]
\[ = \sup_{\varrho>0} \varrho^{d-\alpha} R(\varrho) \]
\[ = \sup_{\varrho>0} \int_0^\infty f_{1,\alpha}(\lambda)(4\pi \lambda)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \varrho^{d-\alpha} e^{-\varrho^2/4\lambda} d\lambda \]
\[ = 2^{-\alpha} \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \sup_{\varrho>0} \int_0^\infty f_{1,\alpha} \left( \frac{\varrho^2}{4\tau} \right) \left( \frac{\varrho^2}{4\tau} \right)^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \tau^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2} - 1} e^{-\tau} d\tau. \]  
(3.18)
From (3.18), the evident upper bound for $L_\alpha(d)$ is

$$L_\alpha(d) \leq 2^{-\alpha} \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \sup_{x > 0} f_{1,\alpha}(x)x^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \times \int_0^\infty \tau \tau^{d-\alpha-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau$$

$$= \tilde{k}(\alpha) \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{d-\alpha}{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{2\tilde{k}(\alpha)}{\sigma_d} \Gamma \left( \frac{d-\alpha}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)$$

$$\approx 2\tilde{k}(\alpha) \frac{1}{\sigma_d} d^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

(3.19)

for some constant $\tilde{k}(\alpha) > 0$ independent of $d$, $d \to \infty$, similarly as was in computations of (3.15), with the use of the Stirling formula (2.22).

Now, we need an asymptotic lower bound for the quantity $L_\alpha(d)$. Observe that

$$m \equiv \max_{\tau > 0} e^{-\tau} \tau \tau^{d-\alpha-1} = e^{-\tau_0} \tau_0^{d-\alpha-1} \quad \text{with} \quad \tau_0 = \left( \frac{d-\alpha}{2} - 1 \right)$$

$$= e^{-\frac{d-\alpha}{2} + 1} \left( \frac{d-\alpha}{2} - 1 \right)^{d-\alpha-1}$$

$$\approx \Gamma \left( \frac{d-\alpha}{2} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(d-\alpha-2)}}$$

(3.20)

holds by (2.22). Now, let $h \ll d^{1/2}$. It is easy to check that

$$\frac{1}{m} \min_{[\tau_0, \tau_0 + h]} e^{-\tau} \tau \tau^{d-\alpha-1} \geq \delta$$

for some $\delta > 0$, uniformly in $d$. Indeed,

$$\log \left( \frac{(d+h)^d e^{-d-h}}{d^d e^{-d}} \right) = d \log \left( 1 + \frac{h}{d} \right) - h \approx d \frac{h}{d} - \frac{dh^2}{2d^2} - h = O \left( \frac{h^2}{2d} \right).$$

From formulas (3.18) and (3.20), we infer

$$L_\alpha(d) \geq \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \sup_{\phi > 0} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_0 + h} f_{1,\alpha} \left( \frac{\phi^2}{4\tau} \right) \left( \frac{\phi^2}{4\tau} \right)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tau^{d-\alpha-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau$$

$$\geq \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\delta h}{\sqrt{d}} \Gamma \left( \frac{d-\alpha}{2} \right)$$

$$\approx \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\delta}{\sigma_d} d^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$ 

(3.21)

Therefore, $L_\alpha(d) \geq \delta \frac{1}{\sigma_d} d^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ holds. This is an estimate of optimal order and different from its counterpart for $\alpha = 2$. Remark that if

$$\tilde{\ell}_\alpha(u_0) \equiv \sup_{t > 0} \left\| e^{t(\Delta)^{\alpha/2}} u_0 \right\|_\infty,$$ 

(3.22)
then the comparison constants of $\ell_\alpha(\cdot)$ with the $\frac{d}{\alpha}$-concentration $\|\cdot\|_{\frac{d}{\alpha}}$ depend on $d$.

It is clear that if $NL_\alpha(d) \geq C_\alpha(d)$ then $N \geq N$. Thus, if the radial distribution function $M$ corresponding to the density $u_0$ satisfies

$$|u_0|_{M^{\frac{d}{\alpha}}} \geq \|u_0\|_{\frac{d}{\alpha}} \geq r^{\alpha-d}M(r) > \frac{C_\alpha(d)}{L_\alpha(d)}$$

for some $r > 0$,

then the solution with $u_0$ as the initial condition blows up in a finite time again by the comparison principle [13, Th. 2.4]. Therefore, by (3.16), we obtain that $N = \frac{C_\alpha(d)}{L_\alpha(d)} \lesssim \sigma_d \frac{2}{\alpha}$ holds.

**REMARK** 3.4. (Examples of blowing up solutions) Observe that for any initial condition $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ there is $N > 0$ such that (3.14) is satisfied for $Nu_0$.

Similarly as was in Remark 2.7 for $\alpha = 2$, if $u_0(x) = \eta u_C(x)$, then the sufficient condition for blowup (3.14) is satisfied for large $d$ whenever $\eta > \frac{1}{k(\alpha)} \frac{2d}{\alpha-2}$. Indeed, it suffices to have $\eta > \frac{C_\alpha(d)}{\kappa_\alpha(d)}$, and by relation (3.16) asymptotically $\frac{C_\alpha(d)}{\kappa_\alpha(d)} \approx \frac{1}{k(\alpha)} \frac{2d}{\alpha-2} \approx \frac{2}{k(\alpha)}$ as $d \to \infty$.

More generally than in Remark 2.7, for each such $\eta$ and sufficiently large $R = R(\eta) > 1$, the bounded initial condition of compact support $u_0 = \eta 1_{\{1 \leq |x| \leq R\}} u_C$ leads to a blowing up solution. It seems that this result cannot be obtained applying previous sufficient criteria for blowup involving moments in [9] and in [13, Th. 2.9].

Taking into account [11, Theorem 2.1], all the above remarks on the critical Morrey space and the $\frac{d}{\alpha}$-radial concentration, we formulate the following dichotomy result

**COROLLARY** 3.5. Let $d \geq 2$, $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ and $d + 1 > 2\alpha$. There exist two positive constants $c(\alpha, d)$ and $C(\alpha, d)$ such that

1. if $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $\|u_0\|_{\frac{d}{\alpha}} < c(\alpha, d)$ then problem (1.5)–(1.7) has a global-in-time solution;
2. $\|u_0\|_{\frac{d}{\alpha}} > C(\alpha, d)$ implies that each nonnegative radially symmetric solution of problem (1.5)–(1.7) blows up in a finite time.

This, together with (3.19), shows that for $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ the discrepancy between bounds of the $\frac{d}{\alpha}$-radial concentration sufficient for either global-in-time existence or the finite time blowup, i.e., $\frac{C(\alpha, d)}{c(\alpha, d)}$, is of order $d^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}$, similarly as was established in [13, Rem. 8.1] using an analysis of moments of solutions defined with compactly supported weight functions. Indeed, $C(\alpha, d) = \frac{C_\alpha(d)}{L_\alpha(d)}$ and $c(\alpha, d) \geq \|u_C\|_{\frac{d}{\alpha}} \simeq |u_C|_{M^{\frac{d}{\alpha}}} \propto \sigma_d d^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}$.

In the case $\alpha = 2$, Proposition 2.6 gives a better result: the discrepancy between the bounds of the radial concentration sufficient for either the global-in-time existence or for the finite time blowup is of order $d^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which improves the result in [13, Remark 8.1] where this quotient has been shown to be of order $d$. 

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.
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