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Introduction

In previous reports (1, 2) we have proposed that feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) virus should be classified as a coronavirus on the basis of its size (90–160 nm.), thin section (3, 7) and negative staining morphology, sedimentation behaviour (about 400 S) and buoyant density (1.17–1.18 g./ml.) in sucrose gradients. Further support for this taxonomic position came from recent reports of a possible antigenic relationship to transmissible gastroenteritis (TGE) virus of swine (5, 10), which is an established member of the Coronaviridae family (6). With the aim of obtaining more seroepidemiologic evidence, a heterologous indirect immunofluorescence assay was developed using TGE virus-infected porcine thyroid cells as antigen. The test has been applied to sera from different cat populations in the Netherlands with and without a FIP history and its results have been compared with those of a microneutralization test against TGE virus (9).

Material and Methods

Sera and peritoneal fluids

Sera were collected from

— 21 cats from the “open population” (OP) in the Netherlands showing classical FIP symptoms and pathology upon post-mortem examination.

— 4 cats before and after experimental inoculation with the Dahlberg strain of FIP virus (1, 2) and finally succumbing to the infection; 4 additional experimental animals where preserum was not available. Sera from two
specified pathogen-free cats before and after FIP virus inoculation kindly provided by Dr. N. C. PEDERSEN, Davis, Cal.

— 45 clinically healthy cats from four different catteries where at least two classical FIP cases had been recognized clinically and by post mortem examination during the last year.

— 69 cats in the OP, presented to the Small Animal Clinic of the Veterinary Faculty of the Utrecht State University for conditions other than FIP.

— 109 cats in a barrier contained closed breeding colony (CBC) for laboratory animals (Centraal Proefdierenbedrijf TNO, Zeist, The Netherlands), which are regarded as SPF. In this colony no FIP cases have ever been observed.

Peritoneal fluids were collected from

— 21 cats from the OP showing classical FIP symptoms
— 5 cats showing FIP symptoms after experimental infection.

**Immunofluorescence test (IFT)**

An indirect IFT using cell suspensions (8) dried onto epoxycoated microprint slides (Cook Eng. Comp. Alexandria Va.) was employed to demonstrate heterologous antibodies in cat sera and peritoneal fluids; primary or secondary porcine thyroid cells were infected with TGE virus (strain Purdue), which was kindly supplied by Dr. P. R. RONDHUIS (CDI, Rotterdam). Monolayers were harvested by trypsinization when about 40 % of the cells showed cytopathic changes. They were washed three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), mixed with equal amounts of uninfected cells and dried onto the slides in a 20 °C air stream (4 × 10^8 cells per well). Cell mixtures could be stored frozen (−70 °C) after suspension in PBS containing 7.5 % dimethyl sulfoxide and 0.04 % bovine serum albumin. The slides were acetone fixed at −20 °C for 10 min., dried, and stored at this temperature until use. 20 μl volumes of serial twofold dilutions of the sera and peritoneal fluids (starting at 1:10) were dropped onto the cells. The slides were incubated for 1 hr at 37 °C in a moist chamber and subsequently washed three times with PBS and once with distilled water. After air drying, 20 μl volumes of a diluted FITC labelled rabbit anti-feline IgG immunoglobulin preparation were applied to the wells, the slides were incubated, washed and dried as before and mounted in Uvak (Searle, High Wycombe Bucks. Eng.). The preparations were examined using an epifluorescence microscope; the reciprocal of the highest dilution still showing fluorescence was regarded as the antibody titer.

**Neutralization test**

Microtiter neutralization of TGE virus and end-point calculations were performed as described previously (5, 9).

**Results**

Before entering the screening routine, the following specificity tests were performed. Sera of known antibody titer (4) obtained from SPF cats bled before and after experimental FIP infection in another laboratory were assayed on uninfected and TGE virus infected pig cell preparations. Only in the postinoculation sera-infected cell combinations was a brilliant fluorescence noted, which was most prominent at the membrane level (Fig. 1). When the infected cells had been treated with an unlabelled porcine anti-TGE serum (kindly provided by Dr. M. PENSAERT, Ghent, Belgium) prior to incuba-
tion with a known FIP-positive cat serum, a significant quenching of fluorescence was observed.

When tested at low dilutions, sera from cats which had died from FIP showed brilliant fluorescence in the expected proportion of antigen-containing cells (Fig. 2). At higher dilutions the fluorescence gradually diminished until no difference between TGE virus-infected and uninfected cells could be seen which facilitated end point determination.

The results of heterologous immunofluorescence tests using sera and peritoneal fluids from naturally (arab numerals) and experimentally infected (roman numerals) animals are presented in Table 1. The 21 sera from naturally

---

Fig. 1. Immunofluorescence of TGE virus-infected (left) and uninfected (right) porcine thyroid cells, respectively, using a serum from an SPF cat after experimental infection with FIP virus.

Fig. 2. A mixed preparation of infected and uninfected porcine thyroid cells, containing (2 cells left) and lacking TGE viral antigen as evidenced by indirect IFT, using a field serum of a cat which had died from FIP.
infected cats and 9 out of 10 sera from experimentally infected animals were positive by IFT with titers ranging from 10 to 5120. All 6 available presera from the experimental cases were negative. The 21 peritoneal fluids from FIP patients and 3 out of 5 experimentally inoculated animals reacted with TGE antigen. In 14 of the 18 serum/ascites samples from individual FIP field cases (Tab. 1) the titers were higher in the serum by factors between 2 and 32. In 41 out of 45 sera obtained from FIP problem catteries heterologous antibodies were found; their distribution is presented in Figure 3. Eleven out of 69 OP random sample cat sera were positive in our test, with titers between 10 and 320; in contrast, no antibody (titer \( \leq 10 \)) was detected in the 109 serum samples from the SPF colony. Microneutralization assays were performed with 20 sera and peritoneal fluids from FIP field cases and with 8 samples from experimentally infected animals; in addition 38 sera from FIP problem catteries, 47 random OP sera and 6 samples from the SPF colony were tested. No neutralizing activity (titer \( \leq 10 \)) was found in any of the materials.

Table 1

| Titer | Serum | Ascites |
|-------|-------|---------|
| <10   | VIII  | II, VIII|
| 10    | II    | 5, 20, 23, 1 |
| 20    | III, VI, VII | 14, VI |
| 40    | 8, 21 | 1, 6, 19, 21, VII |
| 80    | 10, 23 | 4, 7, 12, 16, 18 |
| 160   | 11, 20, 24 | 2, 13, 15, 24 |
| 320   | 1, 5, 14, 16, 18, X | 3, 9, 17, 22 |
| 640   | I, V, IX | |
| 1280  | 2, 13 | |
| 2560  | 9, 12, 17, 19, 22, IV | |
| 5120  | 3, 15 | |

**Discussion**

Experimental work with FIP virus has been greatly hampered by the fact that no routine in vitro system is available for its growth and assay. Using
an indirect homologous immunofluorescence test, Pedersen was able to show that only macrophage-like cells seem to support FIP virus multiplication (3). With cryostat sections from organs of experimentally infected cats serving as antigen, he performed serologic studies in order to determine the frequency and titers of antibody in several cat populations (4). A different approach was chosen by others, who tried to detect serologic relationships between FIP virus and other coronaviruses. High titers of neutralizing antibody against TGE virus were reported to be present in the sera and peritoneal fluids of FIP field cases (5, 10). Using a FITC-conjugated immunoglobulin preparation from exudates of a leopard suffering from FIP, viral antigen was demonstrated in TGE virus infected porcine cells; on the other hand FIP antigen could not be detected in cat organs using labelled porcine anti-TGE immunglobulin, which has been interpreted in terms of a one-way antigenic relationship existing between the two viruses (10). With the aim of adapting the immunofluorescence technique for large scale seroepidemiologic screening we developed an indirect heterologous test; dried and acetone-fixed pig thyroid cell suspensions on microscope slides containing a known proportion of TGE infected to uninfected cells were used as antigen. As compiled in Table 2, our results compare with those obtained in a homologous FIP immunofluorescence assay (4). In field and experimental FIP cases serum antibodies are consistently found and about 90% of the animals in FIP problem catteries were seropositive; in the open "natural" population, one cat out of five (4) or six (present report) has evidence of fluorescent antibody. In two out of the five available peritoneal fluids from experimentally infected cats, no antibody was found; one animal (number II, Tab. 1) had died 5 days postinoculation, where antibodies probably had not enough time to develop; another cat (number VIII) suffering from a rapidly debilitating infection may have shown the preterminal decrease in antibody titer described (4). Since in our heterologous test the antibody titers are about 10-fold lower than in the homologous reaction, these cases may escape detection by serology.

Based on our results with experimentally infected cats from our own as well as from the Davis laboratory and on the figures obtained from cat populations with differing FIP histories we think that the antibodies measured by indirect TGE virus immunofluorescence are a reflection of an infection with FIP virus rather than with another coronavirus. The possibility that TGE virus itself is responsible for seroconversion can be excluded since we found

| Samples from               | Heterologous test (TGE virus) | Homologous test (FIP virus) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                            | Immunofluorescence (present report) | Neutralization | Lit. | Immunofluorescence (4) |
| FIP field cases            | 21 / 21 (100 %)               | 3 / 3 (100 %)             | 10   | 27 / 27 (100 %)         |
| experimental FIP cases     | 9 / 10 (90 %)                 | 11 / 12 (92 %)            | 5    | -                       |
| FIP problem catteries      | 41 / 45 (91 %)                | 9 / 10 (90 %)             | 10   | -                       |
| normal population          | 11 / 69 (16 %)                | 8 / 16 (50 %)             | 5    | 94 / 108 (87 %)         |
| SPF colony                 | 0 / 109 (0 %)                 | 1 / 25 (4 %)              | 10   | 22 / 98 (22 %)          |
|                            |                               | 10 / 53 (19 %)            | 5    | -                       |

Table 2
Results of antibody determinations as compiled from different authors
no neutralizing activity in the IFT-positive sera and ascitic fluids. This is in contrast to earlier reports (see Table 2) and may indicate the existence of FIP virus serotypes which are more or less closely related to TGE virus.

**Summary**

By indirect immunofluorescence on pig thyroid cells infected with transmissible gastroenteritis (TGE) virus of swine, antibodies were detected in sera from cats after experimental infection with feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) virus material. Also antibodies could be demonstrated in body fluids from 21 field cases where FIP had been diagnosed by clinical and post mortem examination and in most sera (91%) from catteries with a FIP history. In randomly selected open population samples 16% of seropositive animals were detected whereas none of 109 sera from a barrier-contained closed breeding colony gave a positive reaction. No TGE neutralizing antibodies could be found in our sera and peritoneal fluids. The possibility of an antigenic relationship between FIP and TGE viruses is discussed.
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**Zusammenfassung**

Seroepidemiologie der Infektionen mit dem Virus der feline infektiösen Peritonitis unter Verwendung des transmissiblen Gastroenteritisvirus als Antigen

Mit Hilfe der indirekten Immunfluoreszenz auf TGE-virusinfizierten Schweineschildrüsenzellen wurden in den Seren von experimentell mit FIP-Virusmaterial infizierten Katzen Antikörper nachgewiesen. Auch in den Körperflüssigkeiten von 21 Spontanfällen, in denen FIP klinisch und pathologisch-anatomisch diagnostiziert worden war und in den meisten Seren (91%) aus Katzenzuchten mit einer FIP-Anamnese konnten Antikörper angezeigt werden. In Stichproben von klinisch unauffälligen Katzen befanden sich 16% seropositive Reagenten, wohingegen alle 109 Seren von Katzen aus einer geschlossenen SPF-Zucht negativ ausfielen. Neutralisierende Antikörper gegen das TGE-Virus konnten in unseren Seren und Aszitesflüssigkeiten nicht gefunden werden. Die Möglichkeit einer antigenen Verwandtschaft zwischen den FIP und TGE Viren wird diskutiert.

**Résumé**

Séroépidémiologie des infections avec le virus de la péritonite infectieuse du chat utilisant le virus de la gastroentérite transmissible du porc comme antigène

Dans des sérums felins après infection expérimentale avec le virus de la FIP on a démontré des anticorps par immunofluorescence indirecte sur cellules de la thyroïde porcine infectées avec le virus de la TGE.
Seroepidemiology of Feline Infectious Peritonitis Virus Infections

Aussi dans 21 sérums des chats où la FIP a été diagnostiquée par examens cliniques et anatomo-pathologiques et dans la plupart (91 %) des sérums provenant des élevages avec une anamnèse FIP, des anticorps ont été démontré. Dans la population féline normale il y avait 16 % des animaux séropositifs tandis qu’aucun sérum des 109 échantillons provenant d’une colonie SPF ne donnait pas de réaction positive. Des anticorps neutralisants contre le virus de la TGE n’étaient pas démontrables dans nos sérums et liquides ascitiques. La possibilité d’une relation antigénique entre les virus de la FIP et de la TGE est discutée.

Resumen

Sueroepidemiología de infecciones con el virus de la peritonitis infecciosa del gato utilizando el virus de la gastroenteritis transmisible porcina como antígeno

Por medio de inmunofluorescencia indirecta en células tiroideas del cerdo infectadas con el virus de la gastroenteritis transmisible porcina (TGE) se mostraron anticuerpos en sueros de gato después de una infección experimental con el virus de la peritonitis infecciosa (FIP). También en los líquidos ascíticos de 21 animales en los cuales la FIP fue diagnosticada mediante exámenes clínicos y postmortales y en la mayoría (91 %) de sueros obtenidos de varias gaterías con problemas de FIP se pudo evidenciar la presencia de anticuerpos. En la población abierta se logró mostrar 16 % de animales suero positivos mientras que todos los 109 sueros obtenidos de un colectivo aislado SPF eran negativos. No se pudo evidenciar anticuerpos neutralizantes contra el virus de la TGE en nuestros sueros y líquidos ascíticos. Se discute la posibilidad de una relación antigénica entre los virus de la FIP y de la TGE.
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