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Objective: To explore the potential common factors of patient satisfaction and the influencing factor of it.

Methods: A questionnaire survey was organized and 2626 valid answers were obtained. Through correlation analysis and exploratory factor analysis, the potential factors of patient satisfaction were extracted.

Results: Potential factors of patient satisfaction include “Medical service quality factor”, “Medical expenditure factor”, and “Medical convenience factor”. Patients younger than 35 years old were more concerned about the medical expenditure, and patients aged from 36 to 50 years old were more concerned about medical service quality. Patients with high reimbursement ratio insurance payed more attention to medical quality, and patients with lower reimbursement ratio insurance were dissatisfied with medical expenses. Patients were dissatisfied with the expenditure of the high-level hospitals and the medical quality of low-level hospitals. Outpatient’s satisfaction was lower than Inpatients in all aspects. Hierarchical diagnosis system was not established, and registration channels were highly centralized in the hospital.

Conclusion: Three potential factors can well explain patients’ demands for the quality, price and convenience of medical services. According to social-demographic characteristics, people of different groups have different concerns. Medical reform departments should adjust policies according to the actual situation and promote the medical reform process.
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Background

Patient satisfaction is the overall evaluation of the service of medical institutions, reflecting the quality of medical service. As an important index to evaluate medical quality, patient satisfaction is the key index to grasp the implementation of medical reform policy.

In order to meet people’s growing medical and health needs, the Chinese government had been reforming the medical and health care system. China initiated new round of health care reform from 2009, vigorously promoting the construction of four systems: public health, medical services, medical security and pharmaceutical supply security. Among them, the pilot reform of public hospitals is the difficult and key step of the whole medical reform, including the following contents: establishing a modern hospital management system, improving the operation mechanism of the public hospital, lowering the prices of medical consumables and drugs, reducing the testing prices of large-scale equipment, and raising the the service prices of medical staff, in order to provide convenient, effective and inexpensive medical services and improve patient satisfaction.
Previous studies have shown that patient satisfaction includes many contents and there are many influencing factors. The sub-aspects of patient satisfaction include visiting environment, medical expenses, service attitude, medical technology, medical facilities and so on. The factors that influence patient satisfaction mainly come from basic demographic and sociological characteristics. For instance, Runtang Meng found that the affecting factors of patient satisfaction from most to least were: physician-patient relationship and communication, service organization and facilities, continuity and collaboration of medical care, access to relevant information and support, healthcare and related services. Janet H.Y. Ng found that the attributes of patient satisfaction in the healthcare context identified were provider attitude, technical competence, accessibility, and efficacy. Jinming Fang found that the medical staff’s service attitude was the most important factor affecting patient satisfaction, followed by medical staff services technology and hospital convenience.

However, Previous studies often directly compared sub-aspects of patient satisfaction without comprehensively considering the correlation between them. The potential factors of patients’ satisfaction were not taken into account. Based on the background of China’s medical and health Reform, We carried out this study in Wuhan, Hubei province. This study explored the potential common factors of patient satisfaction and analyzed the influencing factors in order to provide some suggestions for the medical reform.

Methods
Sampling Method
Wuhan city in Hubei province is the most important cities in central China, which has abundant medical resources. There are 139 public hospitals in Wuhan, 39 of which are tertiary public hospitals. In December 2015, the public hospital reform in Wuhan was officially launched, and all public hospitals started to reform in 2017. By the end of 2017, the reform had entered a stable period with phased results, which is a good reflection of China’s health care reform policy.

This study mainly concentrated in urban public hospitals. We conducted stratified sampling according to the affiliation of the hospital. The affiliation of hospitals includes national, provincial, municipal, district, military and enterprise hospitals. Random sampling and typical sampling were used at each stratum. Finally, thirteen hospitals were selected.

We went into the outpatient hall and inpatient ward of the hospital to look for the investigation object. We investigated two hundred patients (including outpatients and inpatients) in each hospital. The patients investigated should be conscious and able to answer questions independently or with the help of their families.

For outpatients, patients who had received treatment were investigated by convenient sampling method. For inpatient, 5–7 hospitalization sections were chosen at random first, and then, with the assistance of medical personnel and investigators, all patients with a hospitalization period of more than three days were investigated (the sampling strategy could be seen in Figure 1).

We used electronic questionnaires and paper questionnaires. Patients can scan the two-dimensional code with their mobile phones to fill in online questionnaire. Patients who cannot use mobile phones will use paper questionnaires, and investigators would assist patients in completing the survey. All patients were informed, and patients willing to take part in the survey gave us oral consent, and those who were unwilling to join in the survey do not fill in the questionnaire. We distributed 2,860 questionnaires and recovered 2,719 questionnaires. The response rate of the questionnaire was 95.07%. After removing incomplete and illogical questionnaires (For example, patients chose codes not included in the options, or patients selected “very satisfied” in the “overall satisfaction” item, but selected “very dissatisfied” in all sub-aspects of satisfaction), Eventually, we got 2,626 valid questionnaires. This study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of Pu’ai hospital (No: KY 2018-027-01). The patient answered the questionnaire anonymously and their privacy was protected.

Questionnaire
Based on the literature and according to the purpose of the survey, we chose the sub-aspects of satisfaction mentioned in most literatures and the possible factors that affect satisfaction. The selection of questionnaire items was initially decided through two research group discussions. After expert consultation, some items were merged and some were deleted. We conducted a small pre-survey of 50 people to improve the questionnaire and finally refined the questionnaire items.

The questionnaire contains four dimensions: the first is the social and demographic characteristics of the patient. Secondly is the characteristics of medical institutions, including the affiliation, level and category of the hospital. Thirdly is the choice of patients seeking medical treatment,
Thirteen hospitals were selected from all hospitals in Wuhan. These hospitals were stratified according to the affiliation of the hospital (National hospitals, Provincial hospitals, Municipal hospitals, District hospitals, Military hospitals, Enterprise hospitals). 5-7 hospitalization sections were selected by random sampling method. All patients in the section with a hospitalization period of more than three days were investigated. Convenient sampling was used to select patients who had received treatment. A total of 2,860 questionnaires were distributed, with 2,719 recovered and 2,626 valid.

**Figure 1** The sampling strategy of this study.
Including the reason for the patient’s visit, whether it is outpatient or hospitalization, whether it is the first visit hospital and the registration channel. Fourthly is patient overall satisfaction and seven sub-aspects. Likert’s three-point questionnaire was used, “1” means “very dissatisfied” (i.e. very bad, inconvenient, expensive), “3” is “moderate” (which means not too bad), “5” is “very satisfied “ (i.e. very good, convenient, cheap).

**Introduction To Methodology**
Exploratory factor analysis is a statistical technique to for extracting potential factors from a group of variables. It mainly describes some basic but not directly measurable hidden variables. By exploring the correlation between variables, the original variables were classified, and a small number of potential factors were used to describe the relationship between multiple variables. Meanwhile, the scores of each factor can be obtained. Because the factor score is a continuous variable and standardized data, the average value was ‘0’ and the standard deviation was ‘1’. In grouping comparison, it could be used to analyze the specific impact of certain factors on satisfaction. A positive value of the factor score indicated that the factor score of this group was higher than that of the total sample, which meant in our coding rules this group was satisfied with this factor, while a negative value indicates that the factor score of this group was lower than that of the total sample, which meant this group was dissatisfied with this factor.

**Statistical Analysis**
We used Excel 2007 software to input data and SPSS 24.0 software to analyze it. We used statistical description to obtain the data profile, chi-square to identify the influencing factors, correlation analysis to analyze the relationship between sub-aspects of satisfaction, and factor analysis to extract common factors. The significant level was 0.05.

**Results**
**Descriptive Analysis And Univariate Analysis Of Patient Overall Satisfaction**
The data profile and crosstab were presented in Table 1. The descriptive analysis could be seen in columns 3 and 4. Possible influencing factors were selected as grouping variables and overall satisfaction as target variables. According to chi-square test, factors influencing patient satisfaction were screened out by chi-square test. It was found that the “Age”, “Type of medical insurance”, “Hospital affiliation”, “Hospital category”, “Outpatient or inpatient”, “whether this hospital is the preferred medical institution” and “Registration method” were statistically significant and will be further analyzed.

**Exploratory Factor Analysis Of Patient Satisfaction**
The reliability of the questionnaire was checked, and the Cronbach’s Alpha value was 0.706 (Table S1). We found the correlation between overall satisfaction and sub-aspects satisfactions was significant (Table 2). We used exploratory factor analysis to extract potential factors. The KMO value was 0.778 (Table S2), therefore it was suitable for factor analysis. The value of Bartlett’s sphericity test is 3123.17(p<0.001), which meant there was a correlation between variables and potential factors could be extracted. Experimental extraction of 2 to 4 potential factors was done respectively according to the Scree-plot And the extraction method was Maximum Likelihood Analysis (ML). Finally, three potential factors were extracted because the extraction of each variable is sufficient (Table S3) and each potential factor had a clear meaning. The amount of information extracted was 64.94% (Table S4), which was relatively sufficient. The rotation correlation matrix was presented in Table 3. Then we identified potential factors of patient satisfaction and obtained factor scores.

Through exploratory factor analysis, “Factor 1” was primarily relevant to “Hospital facilities and environment”, “Medical staff services technology” and “Medical staff service attitude”, so it can be named “Medical service quality factor”. “Factor 2” was mainly relevant to “Medical expense”. “Reimbursement ratio for medical” and “drug cost”, so it can be called’Medical expenditure factor. “Factor 3” was mainly related to “Degree of hospital convenience”, so it can be named “Medical convenience factor”.

**Factor Scores Of Potential Factors**
Table 4 was obtained by taking the influencing factor as grouping variables and the factor scores as target variables.

According to the basic socio-demographic characteristics of patients, patients younger than 35 years old were not satisfied with ‘Medical expenditure factor’and “Medical convenience factor”, and the patients aged from 36 to 50 years old were not satisfied with “Medical service...
Table 1 Descriptive Analysis And Univariate Analysis Of The Patient Overall Satisfaction

| Participants                           | n    | %   | Overall Satisfaction | Chi-Square/Fisher's Precise Test | p    |
|----------------------------------------|------|-----|----------------------|----------------------------------|------|
|                                        |      |     | Very Dissatisfied    | Moderate                         | Very Satisfied |      |
|                                        |      |     | n | % | n | % | n | % |      |      |      |
| Gender                                 |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| Male                                   | 1214 | 46.23 | 14 | 50 | 161 | 45.48 | 1039 | 46.30 | 0.25 | 0.885 |
| Female                                 | 1412 | 53.77 | 14 | 50 | 193 | 54.52 | 1205 | 53.70 |      |      |      |
| Age(years)                             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| ≤35                                    | 1014 | 38.61 | 11 | 39.29 | 177 | 50 | 826 | 36.81 | 37.88 | <0.001 |
| 36–50                                  | 567  | 21.59 | 6  | 21.43 | 83 | 23.45 | 478  | 21.30 |      |      |      |
| 51–65                                  | 570  | 21.71 | 6  | 21.43 | 64 | 18.08 | 500  | 22.28 |      |      |      |
| >65                                    | 475  | 18.09 | 5  | 17.86 | 30 | 8.47 | 440  | 19.61 |      |      |      |
| Place of Residence                     |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| In Wuhan                               | 1876 | 71.44 | 24 | 85.71 | 252 | 71.19 | 1600 | 71.30 | 3.32 | 0.495 |
| Hubei province outside of Wuhan        | 567  | 21.59 | 4  | 14.29 | 80 | 22.60 | 483  | 21.52 |      |      |      |
| Outside Hubei province                 | 183  | 6.97  | 0  | 0    | 22 | 6.21 | 161  | 7.17  |      |      |      |
| Type of medical insurance              |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| Medical insurance systems for urban workers | 1154 | 43.95 | 10 | 35.71 | 130 | 36.72 | 1014 | 45.19 | 29.51 | 0.002 |
| Medical insurance for urban residents  | 494  | 18.81 | 5  | 17.86 | 68 | 19.21 | 421  | 18.76 |      |      |      |
| New rural cooperative medical insurance | 532  | 20.26 | 5  | 17.86 | 80 | 22.60 | 447  | 19.92 |      |      |      |
| Free medical care                      | 155  | 5.90  | 5  | 17.86 | 20 | 5.65 | 130  | 5.79  |      |      |      |
| Commercial medical insurance           | 81   | 1.79  | 2  | 17.86 | 7  | 1.98 | 38   | 1.69  |      |      |      |
| Other medical insurance                | 82   | 3.12  | 1  | 3.57 | 11 | 3.11 | 70   | 3.12  |      |      |      |
| Uninsured                              | 162  | 6.17  | 0  | 0    | 38 | 10.73 | 124  | 5.53  |      |      |      |
| Hospital affiliation                   |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| Hospital administered by the National Health Commission | 415  | 15.80 | 5  | 17.86 | 53 | 14.97 | 357  | 15.91 | 19.32 | 0.026 |
| Provincial Hospital                    | 600  | 22.85 | 9  | 32.14 | 89 | 25.14 | 502  | 22.37 |      |      |      |
| Municipal hospital                     | 810  | 30.85 | 11 | 39.29 | 96 | 27.12 | 703  | 31.33 |      |      |      |
| District hospital                      | 401  | 15.27 | 2  | 7.14  | 74 | 20.90 | 325  | 14.48 |      |      |      |
| Military hospital                      | 200  | 7.62  | 0  | 0    | 19 | 5.37 | 181  | 8.07  |      |      |      |
| Enterprise-owned hospital              | 200  | 7.62  | 1  | 3.57 | 23 | 6.50 | 176  | 7.84  |      |      |      |
| Hospital level                         |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| Secondary hospital                     | 200  | 7.62  | 0  | 0    | 30 | 8.47 | 170  | 7.58  | 2.38 | 0.273 |
| Tertiary hospital                      | 2426 | 92.38 | 28 | 100  | 324 | 91.53 | 2074 | 92.42 |      |      |      |
| Hospital category                      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| General Hospital                       | 2026 | 77.15 | 17 | 60.71 | 246 | 69.49 | 1763 | 78.57 | 59.32 | <0.001 |
| Specialized Hospital                   | 200  | 7.62  | 8  | 28.57 | 61 | 17.23 | 131  | 5.84  |      |      |      |
| Hospital of Chinese Medicine           | 200  | 7.62  | 2  | 7.14  | 27 | 7.63 | 171  | 7.62  |      |      |      |
| Maternal and Child Health Hospital     | 200  | 7.62  | 1  | 3.57 | 23 | 6.50 | 176  | 7.84  |      |      |      |
| Outpatient or inpatient                |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| Outpatient                             | 867  | 33.02 | 20 | 71.43 | 222 | 62.71 | 625  | 27.85 | 186.90 | <0.001 |
| Inpatient                              | 1759 | 66.98 | 8  | 28.57 | 132 | 37.29 | 1619 | 72.15 |      |      |      |
| Reason for medical                     |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| Be sick                                | 2290 | 87.20 | 25 | 89.29 | 303 | 85.59 | 1962 | 87.43 | 5.15 | 0.452 |
| Prescribe drugs regularly              | 102  | 3.88  | 0  | 0    | 19 | 5.37 | 83   | 3.70  |      |      |      |
| Prescribe for others                   | 55   | 2.09  | 1  | 3.57 | 10 | 2.82 | 44   | 1.96  |      |      |      |
| Prevention and healthcare              | 179  | 6.82  | 2  | 7.14 | 22 | 6.21 | 155  | 6.91  |      |      |      |
| Whether this hospital is the preferred medical institution | 2316 | 88.19 | 25 | 89.29 | 263 | 74.29 | 2028 | 90.37 | 62.69 | <0.001 |
| Yes                                    | 310  | 11.81 | 3  | 10.71 | 91 | 25.71 | 216  | 9.63  |      |      |      |
(Continued)
quality factor”. Patients aged 51–65 and over 65 were satisfied with all aspects.

Patients with urban employees’ medical insurance and free medical care were not satisfied with the ‘Medical service quality factor’. Patients with medical insurance for urban residents were not satisfied with the “Medical expenditure factor”. Patients with new rural cooperative medical insurance and patients without health insurance were not satisfied with the “Medical expenditure factor” and “Medical convenience factor”. Patients with commercial medical insurance were dissatisfied with all aspects. Patients with other medical insurance(such as health insurance for railroader and maternity insurance) were dissatisfied with “Medical convenience factor”.

According to hospital affiliation. Patients treated in the “Hospital administered by the National Health Commission” and “Provincial hospital” were not satisfied with the “Medical expenditure factor” and “Medical convenience factor”. Patients who went to the municipal hospital were dissatisfied with “Medical service quality factor” and “Medical expenditure factor”. Patients who went to the “District hospital” and “Enterprise-owned hospital” were dissatisfied with “Medical service quality factor”. Patients who went to “Military hospital” were dissatisfied with “Medical convenience factor”. Patients treated in “General hospitals” were satisfied with all aspects. Patients treated in “Specialized hospital” and “Maternal and Child Health Hospital” were dissatisfied with “Medical expenditure factor” and “Medical convenience factor”. Patients who visited “Hospital of Chinese medicine” were dissatisfied with “Medical service quality factor” and “Medical expenditure factor”.

According to the choice of patients seeking medical treatment. The outpatients were dissatisfied with all aspects. The inpatients were satisfied with all aspects. Patients treated in the preferred medical institution were satisfied with all aspects, and Patients treated in the non-preferred medical institution was not satisfied with all aspects.

From the perspective of Registration method, Patients who register in the hospital were dissatisfied with “Medical service quality factor” and “Medical expenditure factor”, Patients who register online were not satisfied with “Medical convenience factor”. Patients who made register by phone were satisfied with all aspects. Patients who made the register by the community and other method were dissatisfied with all aspects.

Discussion
Health policy makers hoped to meet the medical needs of most people. However, patients usually had different priorities for medical satisfaction. We need to seize the critical needs of patients and consider in detail to meet the needs of different groups.

The Potential Factors Of Patient Satisfaction Were “medical Service Quality Factor”, “medical Expenditure Factor” and “medical Convenience Factor”

This study extracted three potential factors from factor analysis and obtained factor scores, which represented the basic reasons for patient satisfaction. In particularly, “Medical service quality factor”, including the quality of medical technology and the ability of medical service, were the corecompetitiveness of the hospital and might be the leading factor influencing patient satisfaction. The “Medical expenditure factor” represented the economic accessibility of patients, was also a crucial factor affecting patients’ medical choice. The “Medical convenience

### Table 1 (Continued)

| Participants | n   | %   | Overall Satisfaction | Chi-Square/Fisher’s Precise Test |
|--------------|-----|-----|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
|              |     |     | Very Dissatisfied     | Moderate Dissatisfied | Very Satisfied |
|              | n   | %   | n   | %   | n   | %   | p     |
| Registration method |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| Register in the hospital | 2105 | 80.16 | 24 | 85.71 | 290 | 81.92 | 1791 | 79.81 | 23.64 | 0.003 |
| Register online | 407 | 15.50 | 2 | 7.14 | 48 | 13.56 | 357 | 15.91 |       |       |
| Register by phone | 55 | 2.09 | 0 | 0 | 1.13 | 51 | 2.27 |       |       |
| Register by community | 6 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1.41 | 1 | 0.04 |       |       |
| Other methods | 53 | 2.02 | 2 | 7.14 | 7 | 1.98 | 44 | 1.96 |       |       |

Notes: When the chi-square test condition is not satisfied, Fisher’s precise test will be used. Bold font indicates statistical significance.
factor” represented the availability of medical resources, including the accessibility of services and accessibility of distance. Three potential factors represented patients’ demands for the quality, price and convenience of medical services. These three dimensions conformed to people’s evaluation criteria for general goods or services, and could well explain patient satisfaction.

According to the findings of this paper, hospitals can seize the focus of patients’ needs and find the direction of development. For example, high-level hospitals can further develop their quality and technical advantages, thus attracting patients who attach importance to quality. Low-level hospitals can lower prices and attract patients who attach importance to price. The medical consortium can improve convenience and attract patients through the development of a multi-point layout.

The Influence Of The Basic Socio-Demographic Characteristics Of Patients On Satisfaction

Patients of different ages had different expectations of treatment. According to the patients’ basic Socio-demographic characteristics, previous studies had proved that age was an important factor influencing patient satisfaction. Elderly patients were more likely to be satisfied with the medical process.20–23 This study noticed that patients of different ages had different emphases on medical services. For patients under the age of 35, while ensuring the quality of medical care, we must give full consideration to their economic status and avoid placing too heavy an economic burden on them. For patients aged 36–50, diseases became more complicated, and the gap between the therapeutic effect and patients’ expectation would become

### Table 3 Rotated Component Matrix For Exploratory Factor Analysis

| Component                      | 1    | 2    | 3    |
|--------------------------------|------|------|------|
| Overall satisfaction           | 1.000| 0.000| 0.000|
| Degree of hospital convenience | 0.414| 0.014| 0.014|
| Hospital facilities and environment | 0.439| 0.326| 0.166|
| Medical staff service attitude | 0.492| 0.076| 0.076|
| Medical expense                | 0.094| 0.733| 0.123|
| Reimbursement ratio for medical expenses | 0.198| 0.749| 0.123|
| Drug cost                      | 0.255| 0.646| 0.131|
| Degree of hospital convenience | 0.117| 0.243| 0.896|

Notes: Extraction method is Maximum Likelihood Analysis. Rotation method is Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.
larger, and unfulfilled expectations might lead to dissatisfaction. It was suggested that healthcare professionals should focus on managing different expectations related to patient age.

People with different social medical security had different concerns. In China, patients had different social medical insurance, depending on the organization they worked in and the domicile. Different medical insurance had different reimbursement proportion and reimbursement scope. In this study, Patients with higher reimbursement ratio (such as Medical insurance for urban workers and Free medical care) had higher requirements for quality, while patients with lower reimbursement ratio (such as medical insurance for urban residents and new rural...

| Groups                          | Medical Service Quality Factor | Medical Expenditure Factor | Medical Convenience Factor |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| **Age (years)**                 |                               |                            |                           |
| ≤35                             | 0.0051853                     | −0.1548774                 | −0.1818298                |
| 36–50                           | −0.086779                     | 0.0256898                  | 0.0125917                 |
| 51–65                           | 0.0126208                     | 0.0688324                  | 0.1059938                 |
| >65                             | 0.0798072                     | 0.2178167                  | 0.2464547                 |
| **Type of medical insurance**   |                               |                            |                           |
| Medical insurance systems for urban workers | −0.0299155                  | 0.0549549                  | 0.1280445                 |
| Medical insurance for urban residents | 0.0357993                   | −0.0439278                 | 0.0120216                 |
| New rural cooperative medical insurance | 0.0741289                   | −0.0515778                 | −0.1323169                |
| Free medical care               | −0.1484481                    | 0.3306353                  | 0.0546125                 |
| Commercial medical insurance    | −0.2412072                    | −0.0674209                 | −0.2357191                |
| Other medical insurance         | 0.1224841                     | 0.0149690                  | −0.2489350                |
| Uninsured                       | 0.0109735                     | −0.3928205                 | −0.3729336                |
| **Hospital affiliation**        |                               |                            |                           |
| Hospital administered by the National Health Commission | 0.3769911                   | −0.0771360                 | −0.1187690                |
| Provincial Hospital             | 0.1331978                     | −0.0613493                 | −0.1448917                |
| Municipal hospital              | −0.0379098                    | −0.0906600                 | 0.0755827                 |
| District hospital               | −0.4929232                    | 0.1158093                  | 0.1076157                 |
| Military hospital               | 0.2216255                     | 0.1614452                  | −0.0804113                |
| Enterprise-owned hospital       | −0.2597446                    | 0.3172499                  | 0.2390590                 |
| **Hospital category**           |                               |                            |                           |
| General Hospital                | 0.0013487                     | 0.0898781                  | 0.0633417                 |
| Specialized Hospital            | 0.1052291                     | −0.6617428                 | −0.6638779                |
| Hospital of Chinese Medicine    | −0.2355338                    | −0.0142916                 | 0.1672345                 |
| Maternal and Child Health Hospital | 0.1166487                   | −0.2339808                 | −0.146908                 |
| **Outpatient or inpatient**     |                               |                            |                           |
| Outpatient                      | −0.2595344                    | −0.2946935                 | −0.3022979                |
| Inpatient                       | 0.1279956                     | 0.1453352                  | 0.1490855                 |
| **Whether this hospital is the preferred medical institution** |                               |                            |                           |
| Yes                             | 0.0317631                     | 0.0274312                  | 0.0530739                 |
| No                              | −0.2371989                    | −0.2048493                 | −0.3963425                |
| **Registration method**         |                               |                            |                           |
| Register in the hospital        | −0.0474414                    | −0.0023267                 | 0.0322159                 |
| Register online                 | 0.2314087                     | 0.0035107                  | −0.1292960                |
| Register by phone               | 0.3754661                     | 0.1232778                  | 0.0671057                 |
| Register by community           | −1.1080680                    | −0.5165606                 | −1.2747118                |
| Other methods                   | −0.1579027                    | −0.0040463                 | −0.2113376                |
| Mean                            | 0.0000000                     | 0.0000000                  | 0.0000000                 |

Notes: The factor score is a continuous variable and standardized data, the average value was ‘0’ and the standard deviation was ‘1’. A positive value of the factor score indicated that the factor score of this group was higher than that of the total sample, while a negative value indicates that the factor score of this group was lower than that of the total sample.
cooperative medical insurance) were dissatisfied with medical expenses. This is in line with Maslow’s hierarchy of needs theory. After medical expenses no longer become the focus of attention, people will put forward higher demands for medical quality. Other medical insurance, such as health insurance for railroader and maternity insurance, require patients to receive treatment in designated hospitals, which is inconvenient. The fundamental reason was that different medical insurance was managed by different government departments and policies were different, resulting in social unfairness. The Chinese government had recognized these defects and was trying to integrate medical insurance. It was suggested that the pace of integration was too slow and the integration process of the medical security system should be accelerated to eliminate social inequity.

**Influence Of Different Medical Institutions On Patient Satisfaction**

The affiliation of the hospital determines the medical investment funds. Previous studies had shown that high-level hospitals (for example, For example, national, provincial and military hospitals) had better medical resources and scientific research support. The education level of the medical staff was higher, and these hospitals could carry out more new technologies, but the cost was relatively high. Low-level hospitals (for example, municipal, district and enterprise hospitals) had poor facilities and equipment, the educational level of medical staff and the technical quality was low, some examinations and treatment could not be carried out. The results of this study are similar to those of previous studies. We suggest that, for high-level hospitals, unnecessary examination and overly advanced treatment should be controlled, clinical pathway management and DRGs payment should be implemented, Stable chronic and convalescent patients should be transferred to primary hospitals as much as possible, so to reduce the burden on patients. For low-level hospitals, it should focus on enhancing the quality of medical treatment, develop investment in facilities and equipment, strengthen talent introduction and training. Improve diagnosis and treatment ability to ensure common and frequently-occurring diseases are solved. At the same time, the score of “Medical convenience factor” was low in high-level hospitals. There are two possible reasons. On the one hand, the high-level hospital is too large, the treatment process is very complicated, and the treatment sites are very scattered, it is difficult for patients to find treatment sites, and there are queues everywhere. On the other hand, many patients who came to high-level hospitals were non-local patients accompanied by their families. They went through a long journey, but most hospitals did not consider the diet and accommodation of the patient’s families. They had to spend more time and energy to find food and accommodation, which added burdens to their treatment process. This dissatisfaction will be expressed through the patient.

The relatively low score of Specialized Hospital and Maternal and Child Health Hospital in ‘Medical expenditure factor’ might be related to this reform. This reform not only reduced the cost of drugs and medical consumables but also increased the medical service price of the medical staff. In pediatrics and some surgeries, the prices increased relatively obvious. It was suggested that the reformers should increase financial subsidies, and the increased prices should be included in medical insurance reimbursement instead of being paid by patients.

**The Impact Of Medical Services Utilization Of Patients On Satisfaction**

With the growth of China’s population and the prolongation of the average life expectancy, the demand of medical services kept increasing, the supply of medical services was relatively insufficient, and the quality of medical services was affected.

In terms of treatment type, Outpatient satisfaction is lower than inpatient satisfaction, which is consistent with previous studies. During the hospitalization, patients had more opportunities to contact medical staff, so that they would better understand the hospital service process and the hard work of medical personnel. However, outpatient patients usually experienced a short medical service and a long queue at many sessions. It is more likely to have poor communication, and then dissatisfaction arised. It was suggested that hospitals should shorten patient waiting time and increased doctor-patient communication.

It was noticed that most patients took tertiary hospitals as the preferred medical institutions, reflecting that China’s primary health system is still underdeveloped and the hierarchical medical system in China have not been established. This was consistent with the previous studies. In China, When a patient goes to see a doctor, he does not need to be referred step by step. No matter which hospital is his first visit, medical insurance can be
reimbursed. Due to the poor medical quality, inadequate medical equipment and insufficient types of drugs in primary medical institutions, patients do not want to go there but usually chose high-level hospitals as the first choice for diagnosis. The survey also reveals that patients were highly satisfied with the preferred hospital in all aspects, while the evaluation of non-preferred institutions was extremely low in all aspects. This problem should arouse the attention of the medical reform department. It reflects that the referral process has brought dissatisfaction to the patients. The common reason is that the patient’s referral process is not smooth, and the patient’s medical record information is not shared among hospitals, so the patient must receive the same examination again. Which means that the problem of medical fragmentation in China has not been improved. It is suggested that, while promoting the first diagnosis of patients in primary hospitals, it is necessary to strengthen the service capability of primary medical and health institutions, provide standardized medical services. Promoting the sharing of medical records and the mutual recognition of examination results is a way to provide integrated services.

Appointment of outpatient service was a supplement to hospital registration. The purpose was to alleviate the long waiting time for registration and improve the medical environment and medical experience. In this study, although most hospitals provided various booking and registration channels, they were seldom used. Most of patients still register in hospitals. This study also showed that the scores of patients registered in the hospital on “Medical service quality factor” and “Medical expenditure factor” were lower than that of online booking and telephone booking. The way of registration was focused in the hospital must arouse the management departments’ attention. Utilization of different booking registration channels should be strengthened, the publicity of the booking platform should be reinforced, and the appointment rate of outpatient service should be increased.

**Limitation**

This study was a an observational study. It could only obtain the views of patients at that time, and could not compare the situation before and after. Although the data collected by Likert’s five-point or seven-point questionnaire are more accurate and detailed, our study still choose Likert’s three-point questionnaire. This is because, based on traditional Chinese culture, Chinese patients were accustomed to being prudent and moderate, unwilling to express strong feelings. When five-points or seven-points questionnaire were used, Chinese people often tended to choose “quite satisfied” and “quite dissatisfied” even when they were really “very satisfied” and “very unsatisfied”. Therefore, three-point questionnaire still had a high value to improve the sensitivity of the questionnaire, although it may cause some data bias. In future study, we will try to use the Likert five-point questionnaire.

Exploratory factor analysis was an exploratory method, and the determination of all results should be carried out through confirmatory factor analysis such as structural equation model, which were not included in this research. In the sub-aspect of patient satisfaction, we only included seven common items in the questionnaire. Other aspects which were less common in the literature were not considered. Therefore, this study might only find part of the potential factors of patient satisfaction. It will be further improved in subsequent studies.

This study is just a representative sample of one city. It may be different from the situation in the whole china. We will consider conducting research in other cities in the future.

**Conclusion**

Through factor analysis, we found that patient satisfaction consists of three aspects. They were “Medical service quality factor”, “Medical expenditure factor” and “Medical convenience factor”. They well explained the underlying causes of patient satisfaction. Factors that influence patient satisfaction were “Age”, “Type of medical insurance”, “Hospital affiliation”, “Hospital category”, “Outpatient or inpatient”, “whether this hospital is the preferred medical institution” and “Registration method”. They affected different potential factors respectively. Patients of different ages and people with different social medical security had different concerns. High-level hospitals required to control the cost while low-level hospitals needed to improve medical quality. The reform had caused patients’ dissatisfaction with the “Medical expenditure factor” of Specialized Hospital and Maternal and Child Health Hospital. Hierarchical diagnosis system was not established yet, and registration channels were highly concentrated in the hospital. The reform had not yet achieved the expected goal. Health departments should be more detailed in formulating policies and fully consider the needs of different groups of people.
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