Quantum Statistical Properties of the Interactions of Atom-Field Entanglement between Conducting Plates
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Abstract

The electromagnetic field inside perfectly conducting parallel plates interacting with two-level atom is investigated. The cavity modes are firstly quantized, allowing the effective Hamiltonian to be evaluated for an electric dipole located at an arbitrary point. Some statistical aspect of this effective Hamiltonian such as the temporal evolution of the atomic inversion and the von Neuman entropy are presented. Theses aspects are sensitive to the changes of the distance between the two plates, which control the number of the propagating of the cavity modes.
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1. Introduction

The entanglement plays a central role in quantum information, quantum computation and communication, and quantum cryptography [1,2]. This is quite obvious from the efforts which have been devoted to characterize entanglement properties qualitatively and quantitatively and to apply them in quantum information. Also, it has been shown that the purification of the atomic state is actually independent of the nature of the initial pure state of the radiation field. Study of (atom/field) Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy as a system dynamical parameter and as a measure of field-atom correlation for the two-level Jaynes Cummings (JC) model [3] has been given in [4].

Maximally entangled states of two qubit systems have already been produced experimentally in photonic systems [5] and in the internal degrees of freedom of atoms interacting with a microwave cavity [6]. In the case of trapped ions [7], maximally entangled states have been created through the manipulation of their collective motion, but cavity QED devices are needed for transferring the stored information. Despite the diverse and recent theoretical proposals, see [8-10] and references therein, generation of maximally entangled states of two atoms inside an optical cavity has not yet been accomplished in the lab. The relevance of this achievement strongly relies on the possibility of using atoms in optical cavities as quantum networks [11], where quantum processing could take place among the entangled atoms and quantum information could be distributed among distant cavities [12-16].

In this paper we examine the entanglement between atom and electromagnetic field inside perfectly conducting parallel plates which acts as a totally confinement of all introduced fields into the vacuum region. Because of its relative simplicity as a confining structure, this system has a distinguished history as a testing ground for the confinement effects in quantum electrodynamics. However, as far as we know, the entanglement between atom and electromagnetic field inside perfectly conducting parallel plates in such a fundamental system have not previously been investigated. It is the primary purpose of this paper to examine the essential ingredients of the theory leading to the description of entanglement between atom and electromagnetic field inside perfectly conducting parallel plates. Such a study should provide the initial steps towards a more comprehensive understanding of the nature of entanglement process within confinement systems in general.

The material of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the effective Hamiltonian for an atom coupled to the quantized field between the plates. By using the evolution operator, the expression of the dynamical operators and the wave function at any time $t > 0$ is obtained in Section 3. In Section 4 is devoted to a discussion of the atomic inversion and we find that it exhibits collapses and revivals for increases of the distance.
between the two plates decreases of the collapses regions. In Section 5 we write the mathematical form for the field entropy and we use numerical computations to examine the effect of the distance between the two plates on the evolution of the field entropy and hence entanglement between the atom and the field. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section 6 with some brief remarks.

2. Effective Hamiltonian

The atom of mass is characterized by its electric dipole moment of oscillation frequency interacting with the electromagnetic modes between two conducting plates. The effective Hamiltonian can be written as:

\[ H = \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2M} + \hat{U}(\hat{r}) + \hbar \omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z - \hat{\mu} \cdot \hat{E}(r) + H_f \]  

(1)

where \( P \) and \( r \) are the momentum and position vectors of the atomic centre of mass, which is assumed to be subject to a general potential \( U(r) \). In the two-level approximation the internal motion of the atom involves only two states: \( |e \rangle \) of energy \( E_e \) and \( |g \rangle \) of energy \( E_g \), such that \( E_g - E_e = \hbar \omega_0 \). For internal atomic states such that \( \mu^z = \mu_0 (\sigma_+ + \sigma_-) \) where the operators \( \sigma_+ \), \( \sigma_- \) and \( \sigma_z \) are the Pauli operators which satisfying the following commutation relations:

\[ [\sigma_+, \sigma_-] = -2\sigma_z, [\sigma_+, \sigma_z] = 2\sigma_+ \]  

(2)

Finally \( E(r) \) is the electric field operator and is the electromagnetic field Hamiltonian. The quantized fields between perfectly conducting plates are well known \([17,18]\) and can be written in terms of transverse electric (s-polarized) and transverse magnetic (p-polarized) modes satisfying the electromagnetic boundary conditions at the plates. We write for \( E(r) \):

\[ E(r,t) = \sum_{\eta=s,p} \sum_n \left\{ d k \left\langle a_\eta(k,n) \mathcal{F}_\eta(k,n,r,t) + H.c. \right\rangle \right. \]  

(3)

where \( H.c. \) stands for “Hermitian conjugate” and \( a_\eta(k,n) \) is the boson operator for the field mode of polarization \( \eta = s, p \), characterized by the integer quantum number and the parallel weave vector \( k \). The relevant commutation relation are:

\[ [a_\eta(k,n), a^\dagger_\eta(k',n)] = \delta_{k,k'} \delta_{n,n'} \delta(k-k') \]  

(4)

Finally, \( \mathcal{F}_\eta(k,n,r,t) \) are the mode function satisfy electromagnetic boundary conditions at the conducting plates. Assuming that the parallel plates are positioned at \( z = 0 \) and \( z = L \), we have the mode functions:

\[ \mathcal{F}_\eta(k,n,r,t) = C(k,n) k_\parallel \sin \left( \frac{n\pi z}{L} \right) \exp i \Theta \]  

(5)

and

\[ \mathcal{F}_\eta(k,n,r,t) = \frac{-i c \left( k_{\eta,n} \right)}{\omega(k_{\eta,n})} \left[ ik_\parallel \left( \frac{n\pi z}{L} \right) \sin \left( \frac{n\pi z}{L} \right) \right] \exp i \Theta \]  

(6)

where cares denote unit vectors and we have written \( \Theta = \left[ k_\parallel \cdot r - \omega(k_{\eta,n}) t \right] \) and \( r = (r||, z) \). \( C(k_{\eta,n}) \) is the a normalization factor given by:

\[ C(k_{\eta,n}) = \left( \frac{\hbar \omega(k_{\eta,n})}{\epsilon_0 A L f_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(7)

with \( f_s = 2 \) and \( f_p = 1 \) for \( n > 0 \). \( A \) is the (large) surface area of the plates. Throughout \( \omega(k_{\eta,n}) \), is the mode frequency such that:

\[ \omega^2(k_{\eta,n}) = c^2 \left[ k_\parallel^2 + \frac{n^2\pi^2}{L^2} \right] \]  

(8)

The \( TE \) and \( TM \) frequency branches for a typical parallel-plates system are shown in Figure 1. Depending on the value of the dipole frequency \( \omega_0 \), contributions to the emission rate arise from all branches satisfying the condition

\[ \omega(Q) = \omega(k_{\eta,n}) = \omega_0 \]  

(9)

Since \( \omega(k_{\eta,n}) \) depends on the guide dimensions \( L \), entering via \( k_\parallel \), Equation (1) conceals the dependence on the chosen values of \( L \). The plot of \( \omega \) versus \( k_{\parallel} \) in Figure 1 exhibits a series of branches, one for each \( n \), and it is easy to see that the minima of these branches (occurring at \( K_{\parallel,n} \)) are separated by the frequency difference \( \Delta \omega \) given by

\[ \Delta \omega = \frac{c\pi}{L} \]  

(10)

The branch separation therefore increases with decreasing \( L \). The large value of \( \Delta \omega \) will be emphasized as a special feature here for cavities with typically sub-wavelength dimensions. On the other hand, the spontaneous decay of a dipole of frequency greater than \( \omega(0,1) \) will involve the TM_0 lowest branch as well as the TE_0 and TM_1 branches. If, in addition, this dipole is oriented along the axis, only the TM_0 and TM_1 branches provides a decay channel, since the axial dipole cannot couple to the TE modes. These observations, which are significant for sub-micron system, are substantiated further with the calculation of the entanglement, as we now show.
3. The Dynamical Operators

In this section we use the effective Hamiltonian for a two-level atom coupled to the quantized electromagnetic field between conducting plates in Equation (1) to study the dynamics of this system. For simplicity we write the effective Hamiltonian as follows \( \hbar = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma_z + \lambda (\sigma_z \hat{a}^+ + \sigma_z \hat{a}) \) (11)

where we have taken the Raman-Nath approximation for the atomic Hamiltonian which leads to neglect the kinetic energy term. On the other hand the interaction Hamiltonian part was given in the standard interaction Hamiltonian for a two-level atom interacting with the electromagnetic field in the dipole approximation and the rotating-wave approximation. By introducing the following operators:

\[ \hat{N} = \hat{n} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_z \] (14)

where \( \delta = \omega_b - \omega \) is the detuning parameter. It is easy to show that \( \hat{N}, \hat{C}, \hat{\Lambda} \) commute with each other and therefore they are constants of motion. The Hamiltonian (11) can be cast in the following form:

\[ \hat{H} = \hat{\Lambda} + C \] (15)

Now let us consider the atomic coherent state \(|\theta, \phi\rangle\) which acquires both excited state \(|e\rangle\) and ground state \(|g\rangle\) for the two-level atom in the following form:

\[ |\theta, \phi\rangle = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |e\rangle + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi} |g\rangle \] (16)

where \( \phi \) is the relative phase of the two atomic levels.

To obtain the excited state we have to take \( \theta \to 0 \) while to make the wave function describe the particle in the ground state we have to let \( \theta \to \pi \). Thus, if we consider the field to be initially in coherent state \(|\alpha\rangle\) then the initial state of the field takes the form:

\[ |\alpha\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q(n) |n\rangle \] (17)

where \( q(n) = \exp \left( -\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} \right) \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \) is the amplitude of states \(|n\rangle\), and \( |\alpha|^2 \) is the initial mean value of the operator \( \hat{n} \) with \( \alpha = |\alpha| e^{i\phi} \) where \( e^i \) is the phase of coherent state. Assuming that at time \( t = 0 \) the system is in a pure state, thus the wave function is given by \(|\psi(0)\rangle = |\theta, \phi\rangle |\alpha\rangle >\). Therefore, the wave function for the system at any time \( t > 0 \) takes the form:

\[ |\psi(t)\rangle = U(t) |\alpha\rangle \] (18)

where

\[ U(t) = \exp \left[ -i \hat{H} t / \hbar \right] \exp \left[ -i \hat{T}(t) / \hbar \right] \] (19)

with

\[ \hat{T}(t) = \frac{-i}{2} \int_0^t \left( \hat{d}_2 \hat{d}_2 - \frac{i\omega}{2} \sin \hat{d}_2 \right) \exp (-i\phi) \sin \theta \frac{\theta}{2} \] (20)

The reduced density matrix for the field is given by:

\[ \rho^{\prime}(t) = \text{Tr}_{\text{atom}} |\psi(t)\rangle \langle \psi(t)| \] (21)

which is done in the next sections.

4. The Atomic Inversion

The level population inversion of the atom is one of the important atomic dynamic variables of the system. This in fact would give information about the behavior of the atom during the interaction period. The atomic inversion for the system which is given by
\[ W(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( < D(t) | D(t) > - < T(t) | T(t) > \right) \] (26)

Therefore, we have plotted the function \( W(t) \) against the scaled time \( \lambda t \) to display its behavior for the case in which \( \Lambda = 0 \) and for different values of the coupling parameter ratio \( z/L \). Furthermore, we consider the mean photon number \( \langle a^\dagger a \rangle = 25 \). In Figure 2(a) we take \( z/L = 0.15 \), as one can see that the function \( W(t) \) shows that the collapses and revivals of the standard JCM behaviour as would be expected. For \( z/L = 0.3 \), different behaviour is observed where the amplitude the oscillatory behaviour after the onset of interaction is decreased, while the collapse region as shows decaying. In the second period of the revival the function \( W(t) \) shows similar envelop to JCM, however, we observe a decrease in its amplitude. The mean of this envelop as a whole is related to the Rabi frequency which dependent to the coupling parameter (periodic function), see Figure 2(b). Increasing the value of the ratio \( z/L \), namely \( z/L = 0.45 \) and \( 0.5 \) the same behaviour as well as elongation of the revival periods. The same behaviour can be reported, however, the phenomenon gets more pronounced as \( z/L \) increases, see Figures 2(c) and (d). As one can see this somewhat complicated behaviour may be thought of as a superposition of the standard JCM behaviour over a slowly varying envelop, as will be explained later. Thus we may conclude that, in the presence of the coupling interaction dependent on periodic function \( \sin \pi z/L \) the atomic inversion shows oscillations in its behaviour, while the phenomenon of revivals and collapses occurs within these periods of the oscillations.

The general behavior of the function is changed markedly, however it shows the region of collapses decrease as the coupling ratio \( z/L \) increases. It is noted that the nonlinear interaction of the coupling interaction between the atom and the field mode leads to the collapses and revivals to occur at short intervals which means that the energy is stored in the atomic system and it reach to the ground state as shown in Figure 1(b).

5. Quantum Field Entropy

We turn our attention to use the field entropy as a measure of the degree of entanglement between the fields and the atoms in order to discuss the entanglement of the present system. As one can see the quantum dynamics described by the Hamiltonian (13) leads to an entanglement between the fields and the atoms. Therefore, a suitable diagnostic tool to use in this case is the von Neuman entropy [4,19-22]

\[ S = -Tr \{ \rho \ln \rho \} \] (27)

where \( \rho(t) \) is the density operator for a given quantum system and we set Boltzmann’s constant \( \kappa = 1 \). It is well known that for an initial pure state of the system then the entropy of the total system vanishes. Unitary evolution would not change this situation and \( S(t) \) is always zero under evolution. According to the Araki-Lieb theorem for a composite system then

\[ S_{ij} = -Tr_{j} \{ \rho_{ij} \ln \{ \rho_{ij} \} \} \] (28)

Thus when we start from an initial pure state under unitary evolution then \( S_{j} = S_{i} = 0 \). However, if \( \rho(t) \) describes a mixed state, then \( S \neq 0 \). Therefore, for the initial pure state where \( S = 0 \) we can either use the field entropy \( S_{f}(t) \) or the atomic entropy \( S_{a}(t) \) to measure the amount of entanglement between the two subsystems. From the previous studies it has been shown that, for the initially factored pure states of the atoms and cavity fields, the entropy of the atom and cavity field subsystems are identical so that \( S_{f}(0) = S_{a}(0) = 0 \). In this case the field entropy \( S_{f}(t) \) can be expressed in terms of the eigenvalues \( \Lambda_{i} \) for the reduced field density operator \( Tr_{field} \psi(\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)) \) as,
Now we turn our attention to examine numerically the dynamics of the field entropy where the same initial parameters of the atomic inversion will be used. In this case the entropy is dynamically reduced to the minimum values at the scaled time $t = 2\pi \sin \left( \frac{\pi z}{L} \right) \sqrt{\bar{n}}$ (where $\bar{n}$ is the initial value of the photon number operator) and the minimum values of the function $S_f(t)$ decayed gradually (Figure 3).

**Figure 3.** The atomic inversion against the scaled time $\lambda t$ for the atom initially in the excited state and the field in the coherent state $\alpha = 5$ and for different values of the ratio $z/L$. a- $z/L=0.15$, b - $z/L=0.3$, c - $z/L=0.45$, d - $z/L = 0.5$.

It is note that the entanglement increased to maximum values regularly before and after the quarter of the revivals time as observed in Figure 4(a). This behavior is completely in agreement with the entanglement of the Jaynes-Cumming model, which exhibits oscillatory behavior in a good correspondence with the revival patterns in the atomic inversion, and reduces to a pure state at the middle of the collapse time [16]. When we consider the case in which $z/L = 0.3$ the function shows a similar behavior to that of the previous case but the fluctuations becomes more regular, see Figure 4(b). In this case the maximum entanglement occurred slightly faster compare with the previous case. Also we can report that the function decreases its minimum and consequently it approaches the pure state faster than that the case in which $z/L = 0$. More increasing in the value of the coupling parameter $(z/L)$, leads to a more regular fluctuations in the function, see Figure 4(c). For example the function starts with rapid fluctuations until to reach its extreme (maximum and minimum values) and then backs to show oscillatory period. Here we may point out that the strong oscillation in the atomic inversion decreases the degree of entanglement at particular regions between the time of half-revivals and increases the oscillatory behavior in the $S(t)$ for the cases in which the parameter $(z/L)$ is small. The effects of the coupling interaction are more pronounced in some values for $(z/L)$ in the meantime the entanglement is greater than that the previous two cases see Figures 4(c) and (d). In general the disentanglement between the atom and the field increases when the atoms are in maximal states (collapses regions).

### 6. Conclusions

In this paper we have studied in detail the influence of the distance between the two plates $(z/L)$ on the entanglement between atom and electromagnetic field inside perfectly conducting parallel plates. The cavity modes are first quantized, allowing the effective Hamiltonian to be evaluated for an electric dipole located at an arbitrary point. We have shown that in the limit of small separation of plates, especially when $L = 500$ nm, only the fundamental mode $(TM_0)$ with zero cut-off frequency is allowed to propagate within the structure. Therefore the system became an exact mono-mode cavity operation and thus the atom only interact with a single cavity mode. This shows that the degree of entanglement is very sensitive to the parameter $(z/L)$. For small values of the $(z/L)$ parameter, a decrease of the degree of the entanglement is shown, while for large values, an increase of the degree of entanglement is obtained. This is manifested in the degree
of entanglement as it settles to a constant value for further increasing of the \( z/L \) parameter. This means that one can control the degree of entanglement by using the \( z/L \) parameter.
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