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ABSTRACT. We introduce symmetric states and quantum symmetric states on universal unital free product C*-algebras of the form \( \mathfrak{A} = \star_\infty A \) for an arbitrary unital C*-algebra \( A \) as a generalization of the notions of exchangeable and quantum exchangeable random variables. We prove the existence of conditional expectations onto tail algebras in various settings and we define a natural C*-subalgebra of the tail algebra, called the tail C*-algebra. Extending and building on the proof of the noncommutative de Finetti theorem of Kösters and Speicher, we prove a de Finetti type theorem that characterizes quantum symmetric states in terms of amalgamated free products over the tail C*-algebra, and we provide a convenient description of the set of all quantum symmetric states on \( \mathfrak{A} \) in terms of C*-algebras generated by homomorphic images of \( A \) and the tail C*-algebra. This description allows a characterization of the extreme quantum symmetric states. Similar results are proved for the subset of tracial quantum symmetric states, though in terms of von Neumann algebras and normal conditional expectations. The central quantum symmetric states are those for which the tail algebra is in the center of the von Neumann algebra, and we show that the central quantum symmetric states form a Choquet simplex whose extreme points are the free product states, while the tracial central quantum symmetric states form a Choquet simplex whose extreme points are the free product traces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Classical random variables \( x_1, x_2, \ldots \) are said to be exchangeable if their joint distribution is unchanged by permuting the variables. The classical de Finetti theorem characterizes exchangeable random variables as those that are identically distributed and conditionally independent over their tail \( \sigma \)-algebra (see, e.g., [6]), and Hewitt and Savage [5] rephrased this theorem in terms of symmetric measures on the infinite Cartesian product of a compact Hausdorff space. Størmer [14] extended the purview and the result to the realm of C*-algebras; he considered the minimal tensor product \( B = \bigotimes_1^\infty A \) of a unital C*-algebra \( A \) with itself infinitely many times and defined a state on \( B \) to be symmetric if it is invariant under all automorphisms of \( B \) that result from permuting the tensor factors (it is clearly equivalent for this purpose to speak of either finite permutations or arbitrary permutations of the natural numbers). He showed that symmetric states correspond to
mixtures of infinite tensor product states; \( \otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi \), where \( \phi \) is a state on \( A \). In other words, these infinite tensor product states are the extreme points of the compact convex set of symmetric states on \( B \), and, therefore, every symmetric state on \( B \) is an integral with respect to a probability measure of infinite tensor product states. Furthermore, Størmer showed that the symmetric states on \( B \) form a Choquet simplex, so this probability measure is unique.

The noncommutative de Finetti theorem was discovered by Köstler and Speicher in [8]. It concerns random variables \( x_1, x_2, \ldots \) in a \( W^* \)-noncommutative probability space \( (M, \phi) \), which consists of a possibly noncommutative unital \( W^* \)-algebra \( M \) and a normal state \( \phi \) on \( M \), which in [8] was assumed to be faithful. The random variables are elements \( x_j \in M \), and are often called noncommutative random variables to emphasize that they need not commute with each other. These noncommutative random variables are said to be exchangeable (or classically exchangeable) if the joint distribution of them is invariant under permutations, namely, if

\[
\phi(x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}) = \phi(x_{i_{\sigma(1)}} x_{i_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots x_{i_{\sigma(n)}})
\]

for all \( n \in \mathbb{N}, i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \mathbb{N} \) and all permutations \( \sigma \) of \( \mathbb{N} \). They are said to be quantum exchangeable if they are invariant under quantum permutations (see [8] or [2] below), namely, under the natural co-action of the quantum permutation group of S. Wang [17]. Köstler and Speicher showed that noncommutative random variables are quantum exchangeable if and only if they are free with amalgamation over the tail algebra of the sequence. In [3], the first two authors showed that every countably generated von Neumann algebra arises as the tail algebra of a quantum exchangeable sequence of random variables. In [7] and [4], exchangeable sequences and the more general notions of spreadability and braidability, respectively, are investigated in the context of a von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal faithful state.

In this paper, we investigate exchangeability and quantum exchangeability for sequences of representations of a unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \). This naturally results in the notion of symmetric states and of quantum symmetric states on the universal unital \( C^* \)-algebra free product \( A = \ast_{i=1}^{\infty} A \) of \( A \) with itself infinitely many times (see [2] for definitions). For a symmetric state \( \psi \), we consider the natural notion of a tail algebra (see [5]), which is a certain von Neumann subalgebra \( T_\psi \) of the von Neumann algebra \( M_\psi \) generated by the image of \( A \) under Gelfand–Naimark–Segal (or GNS) representation of \( \psi \). We prove (5.1) the existence of a conditional expectation from a certain weakly dense \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( M_\psi \) onto \( T_\psi \) and we investigate when this extends to a normal conditional expectation from \( M_\psi \) onto \( T_\psi \). We show (5.2) that we can always find a normal conditional expectation whose domain is a slightly larger von Neumann algebra \( N_\psi \). We also define (5.3) the tail \( C^* \)-algebra, which is the smallest \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( T_\psi \) for which the conditional expectation can exist. We also partially characterize (5.4) the extreme symmetric states.

We prove an analogue of the noncommutative de Finetti theorem (see [8] and [10]), namely, that the images of the copies of \( A \) in the Gelfand–Naimark–Segal construction of the quantum symmetric state are free with amalgamation over the tail algebra, in both \( C^* \)- and \( W^* \)-versions. These are all natural extensions of the notions discussed above and many of our proofs follow closely those of [8]. Köstler and Speicher’s noncommutative de Finetti theorem can be seen as a special case of
ours by taking $A$ to be a suitable abelian $C^*$-algebra and requiring faithfulness of the state.

A similar extension of the noncommutative de Finetti theorem was obtained by Curran [2]. He considered quantum exchangeability of $\ast$-representations of a $\ast$-algebra and proved that, for an infinite sequence of such, freeness with amalgamation over a tail algebra holds. He actually considered the more delicate situation of quantum exchangeability of finite sequences of $\ast$-representations and obtained the result for infinite sequences as a limiting case. However, he did assume faithfulness of the state in the $W\ast$-noncommutative probability space. Although our results up to this point overlap with and are similar in spirit to Curran's, we have included our proof because (a) it is different from that contained in [2] (and closer to the proof of [8]) and (b) we must allow the states on the relevant $W\ast$-algebras to be nonfaithful.

To summarize, our results on quantum symmetric states as described up to this point are an extension to the realm of unital $C\ast$-algebras of previous results about quantum exchangeable random variables, analogous to what Størmer did in [14] for the classical de Finetti theorem. An advantage of this extension is that it allows for treatment of the situation of nonfaithful states in the $C\ast$-noncommutative probability spaces (thus, overcoming a limitation of [8], [2] and [3]).

Investigating further, we obtain a nice classification of quantum symmetric states on $\mathfrak{A}$. They are in bijection with the quintuples $(B, D, F, \theta, \rho)$, where $D \subseteq B$ is a unital inclusion of $C\ast$-algebras, $F : B \to D$ is a conditional expectation with faithful GNS representation, $\theta : A \to B$ is a unital $\ast$-homomorphism so that certain generation and minimality properties are satisfied, and $\rho$ is a state on $D$ (see §7).

In this correspondence, $D$ plays the role of the tail $C\ast$-algebra of the quantum symmetric state. For tracial quantum symmetric states, we also have a similar characterization using von Neumann algebras.

One of our main goals in this paper is the characterization of extreme points of the compact convex sets of quantum symmetric states and of tracial quantum symmetric states, which is accomplished in Theorems 8.1 and 8.2 using the aforementioned classification found in §7.

Finally, in §9 we consider the quantum symmetric states whose tail algebras are in the center of the von Neumann algebra generated by the image of the GNS representation. We call these the central quantum symmetric states and show that they form a Choquet simplex, whose extreme points are the free product states. We also show that the central quantum symmetric states that are tracial form a Choquet simplex, and its extreme points are the free product tracial states.

2. Preliminaries and definitions

The quantum permutation group (on $k$ letters) was found by S. Wang [17]. It is the universal unital $C\ast$-algebra $A_s(k)$ generated by projections $u_{ij}$ (for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$) satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{ij} = 1$ for all $j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{k} u_{ij} = 1$ for all $i$. The abelian $C\ast$-algebra $C(S_k)$ of continuous functions on the symmetric group $S_k$ on $k$ letters is a quotient of $A_s(k)$ under the map (the standard “abelianization” representation) that sends $u_{ij}$ to the characteristic function of the set of permutations that sends $j$ to $i$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $A$ be a unital $C\ast$-algebra and let $(\mathfrak{B}, \phi)$ be a $C\ast$-noncommutative probability space, namely, a unital $C\ast$-algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ equipped with a state $\phi$. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\pi_i : A \to \mathfrak{B}$ be a unital $\ast$-homomorphism. We say $(\pi_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is...
exchangeable (or classically exchangeable) with respect to \( \phi \) if for all permutations \( \sigma \) of \( \mathbb{N} \), all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), all \( i(1), \ldots, i(n) \in \mathbb{N} \) and all \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A \), we have
\[
(1) \quad \phi(\pi_{i(1)}(a_1) \cdots \pi_{i(n)}(a_n)) = \phi(\pi_{\sigma(i(1))}(a_1) \cdots \pi_{\sigma(i(n))}(a_n)).
\]
We say \((\pi_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \) is quantum exchangeable with respect to \( \phi \) if for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), the \(*\)-homomorphisms \( \tilde{\pi}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\pi}_k \) have the same distribution with respect to \( \text{id} \otimes \phi \) on \( A_s(k) \otimes \mathcal{B} \) as the \(*\)-homomorphisms \( \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k \) do with respect to \( \phi \) on \( \mathcal{B} \), where
\[
(2) \quad \tilde{\pi}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k} u_{i,j} \otimes \pi_j : A \to A_s(k) \otimes \mathcal{B}.
\]
This means that for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), all \( i(1), \ldots, i(n) \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) and all \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A \), we have
\[
(3) \quad \phi(\pi_{i(1)}(a_1) \cdots \pi_{i(n)}(a_n))1 = (\text{id} \otimes \phi)(\tilde{\pi}_{i(1)}(a_1) \cdots \tilde{\pi}_{i(n)}(a_n)).
\]

Remarks 2.2. (a) In \((2)\), the minimal tensor product \( C^*\)-algebra is indicated.
(b) Looking at \((1)\), we see that in Definition 2.1, it suffices to take \( \sigma \) belonging to the group \( S_\infty \) of all permutations of \( \mathbb{N} \) that fix all but finitely many elements.
(c) If \((3)\) holds, then composing with the standard “abelianization” representation \( A_s(k) \to C(S_k) \), we get \((1)\). Thus, quantum exchangeability implies exchangeability.

Throughout this paper, we let \( \mathfrak{A} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} A \) be the universal, unital free product of infinitely many copies of \( A \) and let \( \lambda_i : A \to \mathfrak{A} \) \( (i \in \mathbb{N}) \) denote the canonical injective \(*\)-homomorphisms arising from this construction. (Thus, given any unital \(*\)-homomorphisms \( \pi_i \) from \( A \) to a \( C^*\)-algebra \( \mathcal{B} \), there is a unital \(*\)-homomorphism \( \pi = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_i : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathcal{B} \) such that \( \pi \circ \lambda_i = \pi_i \).)

Definition 2.3. A state \( \psi \) on \( \mathfrak{A} \) is called symmetric if \((\lambda_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \) is exchangeable with respect to \( \psi \) and quantum symmetric if \((\lambda_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \) is quantum exchangeable with respect to \( \psi \). Let \( \text{SS}(A) \) be the set of all symmetric states on \( \mathfrak{A} \) and let \( \text{TQSS}(A) \) be the set of all quantum symmetric states on \( \mathfrak{A} \) that are traces. Furthermore, if \( \phi \) is a state on \( A \), let \( \text{TQSS}(A, \phi) \) be the set of quantum symmetric states \( \psi \) on \( \mathfrak{A} \) such that \( \psi \circ \lambda_i = \phi \) for some (and, thus, for all) \( i \); if \( \tau \) is a tracial state on \( A \), let \( \text{TQSS}(A, \tau) = \text{TQSS}(A, \tau) \cap \text{TQSS}(A) \).

From the compactness of the state space of \( \mathfrak{A} \) in the weak* topology, we immediately have the following:

Proposition 2.4. For any unital \( C^*\)-algebra \( A \) and any state \( \phi \) on \( A \) and any tracial state \( \tau \) on \( A \), the sets \( \text{SS}(A) \), \( \text{QSS}(A) \), \( \text{TQSS}(A) \), \( \text{QSS}(A, \phi) \) and \( \text{TQSS}(A, \tau) \) are compact, convex subsets of the Banach space dual of \( \mathfrak{A} \) in the weak* topology.

3. Quantum symmetric states arising from freeness

The following proposition says that if a state \( \psi \) on \( \mathfrak{A} \) is equidistributed on the copies of \( A \) and if it arises from a situation of freeness with amalgamation, then it is quantum symmetric. It is analogous to Prop. 3.1 of \([S]\), and the proof is quite the same. So we will outsource part of the proof, below, to \([S]\).
Proposition 3.1. Let $B$ be a unital $C^*$-algebra, and let $D \subseteq B$ be a unital $C^*$-subalgebra with $E : B \to D$ a conditional expectation (i.e., a projection of norm one) onto $D$. Suppose $\pi_i : A \to B$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are $*$-homomorphisms such that $E \circ \pi_i$ is the same for all $i$ and the family $(\pi_i(A))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is free with respect to $E$. Let $\pi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_i : A \to B$ be the resulting free product $*$-homomorphism. Let $\rho$ be any state of $D$ and consider the state $\psi = \rho \circ E \circ \pi$ of $A$. Then $\psi$ is quantum symmetric.

Proof. Considering the state $\hat{\rho} = \rho \circ E$ of $B$ and using $\pi \circ \lambda_i = \pi_i$, we must show that $(\pi_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is quantum exchangeable with respect to $\hat{\rho}$. Fixing $k,n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i(1), \ldots, i(n) \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$ and working in $A_s(k) \otimes B$, with $\tilde{\pi}_i$ as in (2), we have

\[(4)\]

\[(\text{id} \otimes \hat{\rho})(\tilde{\pi}_{i(1)}(a_1) \cdots \tilde{\pi}_{i(n)}(a_n))\]

\[= \sum_{j(1), \ldots, j(n) = 1}^{k} u_{i(1),j(1)} \cdots u_{i(n),j(n)} \cdot \hat{\rho}(\pi_{j(1)}(a_1) \cdots \pi_{j(n)}(a_n))\]

\[= \sum_{j(1), \ldots, j(n) = 1}^{k} u_{i(1),j(1)} \cdots u_{i(n),j(n)} \cdot \rho(E(\pi_{j(1)}(a_1) \cdots \pi_{j(n)}(a_n)))\]

\[= \sum_{j(1), \ldots, j(n) = 1}^{k} u_{i(1),j(1)} \cdots u_{i(n),j(n)} \cdot \rho\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \text{NC}(n)} \kappa_{\sigma}^{E}[\pi_{j(1)}(a_1), \ldots, \pi_{j(n)}(a_n)]\right)\]

where $\text{NC}(n)$ denotes the lattice of noncrossing partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\kappa_{\sigma}^{E}$ denotes Speicher’s free $D$-valued cumulant $\kappa_{\sigma}$ associated to $\sigma$ and the conditional expectation $E$ (see [8] for more information about these). Now fixing $\sigma \in \text{NC}(n)$ and arguing as in the proof of Prop. 3.1 of [8], by the freeness assumption we have that $\kappa_{\sigma}^{E}[\pi_{j(1)}(a_1), \ldots, \pi_{j(n)}(a_n)]$ vanishes unless $\ker j \geq \sigma$, where $j = (j(1), \ldots, j(n))$. Furthermore, by the assumption that $E \circ \pi_i$ is the same for all $i$, it follows that the value of the cumulant $\kappa_{\sigma}^{E}[\pi_{j(1)}(a_1), \ldots, \pi_{j(n)}(a_n)]$ is the same for all $j$ with $\ker j \geq \sigma$ and we denote this quantity simply by $\kappa_{\sigma}^{E}$. At this point, the proof proceeds almost precisely as in the proof of Prop. 3.1 of [8] (starting at the last displayed equation on p. 480 of [8], but with $\sigma$ replacing $\pi$ and $\rho$ or $\hat{\rho}$ replacing $\phi$). Thus, we obtain that the quantity (4) is equal to

\[\rho\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \text{NC}(n), \ker i \geq \sigma} \kappa_{\sigma}^{E}[\pi_{i(1)}(a_1), \ldots, \pi_{i(n)}(a_n)]\right)\]

\[= \rho(E(\pi_{i(1)}(a_1), \ldots, \pi_{i(n)}(a_n))) = \hat{\rho}(\pi_{i(1)}(a_1) \cdots \pi_{i(n)}(a_n)),\]

as required. \hfill $\square$

4. TWO RESULTS ON AMALGAMATED FREE PRODUCTS OF C*-ALGEBRAS

In this section, we collect two technical results on an amalgamated free product of infinitely many copies of a $C^*$-algebra. (This construction was introduced by Voiculescu in [15]; see also the book [16].) We let $B$ be a unital $C^*$-algebra, $D$ be
a unital $C^*$-subalgebra of $B$, and $E : B \to D$ be a conditional expectation whose GNS representation is faithful (on $B$). We let 
\[(A,F) = (\star_D)_{i=1}^\infty(B,E)\]
be the $C^*$-algebra free product with amalgamation of infinitely many copies of $(B,E)$, and we denote by $B_i$ the $i$-th copy of $B$ in $A$ arising from the free product construction. We let $\rho$ be a state on $D$ and let $\pi = \pi_{\rho \circ F}$ denote the GNS representation of $A$ corresponding to the state $\rho \circ F$.

**Proposition 4.1.** The formula $G(\pi(a)) = \pi(F(a))$ defines a conditional expectation $G$ from $\pi(A)$ onto $\pi(D)$.

**Proof.** Consider the dense $*$-subalgebra $A_0 = \star\text{-alg}(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i)$ of $A$. We need only show $\|\pi(F(a))\| \leq \|\pi(a)\|$ for all $a \in A_0$. For this, it will suffice to show
\[
\left|\langle \pi(F(a))\hat{v}, \hat{w}\rangle\right| \leq \|\pi(a)\| \|\hat{v}\|_2 \|\hat{w}\|_2
\]
for all $v, w \in A_0$, where as usual, $v \mapsto \hat{v}$ denotes the defining linear mapping $A \mapsto L^2(A,\rho \circ F)$ and $\| \cdot \|_2$ denotes the norm in $L^2(A,\rho \circ F)$. Fixing such $v$ and $w$, let $N$ be so large that $v, w \in \star\text{-alg}(\bigcup_{i=1}^N B_i)$.

For $\sigma \in S_\infty$, we have the $*$-automorphism $\beta_{\sigma}$ of $A$ that sends $B_i$ to the $B_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i$, and we have the $*$-endomorphism $\alpha$ of $A$ that sends $B_i$ to $B_{i+1}$ for all $i$. Both $\beta_{\sigma}$ and $\alpha$ leave $F$ invariant. By Theorem 6.1 of [1],
\[
F(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+n} \alpha^k(a),
\]
where the limit is in norm. So it will suffice to show
\[
\left|\langle \pi(\alpha^k(a))\hat{v}, \hat{w}\rangle\right| \leq \|\pi(a)\| \|\hat{v}\|_2 \|\hat{w}\|_2 \quad (k > N),
\]
where the inner product and last two norms are taken in $L^2(A,\rho \circ F)$. But fixing $k > N$ and letting $\sigma \in S_\infty$ be such that $\beta_{\sigma} \circ \alpha^k(a) = a$, we have
\[
\left|\langle \pi(\alpha^k(a))\hat{v}, \hat{w}\rangle\right| = |\rho \circ F(w^* a^k(a)v)| = |\rho \circ F(\beta_{\sigma}(w)^* a_{\sigma}(v))|
\]
\[
= \left|\langle \pi(a)(\beta_{\sigma}(v)^*), (\beta_{\sigma}(v))^*\rangle\right| \leq \|\pi(a)\| \|\beta_{\sigma}(v)\|_2 \|\beta_{\sigma}(w)\|_2
\]
\[
= \|\pi(a)\| \|\hat{v}\|_2 \|\hat{w}\|_2.
\]

\[\square\]

**Proposition 4.2.** Let 
\[\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{N \geq 1} W^*(\bigcup_{j \geq N} \pi(B_j)).\]

Then $\mathcal{T} = W^*(\pi(D))$.

**Proof.** The inclusion $\supseteq$ is clear. For the reverse inclusion, let $z \in \mathcal{T}$. We use the same notation as in the last proof. Suppose $v_1, \ldots, v_p \in A_0$ satisfy $\|\hat{v}_j\|_2 \leq 1$ for all $j$. Let $N \geq 2$ be such that $v_1, \ldots, v_p \in \star\text{-alg}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N-1} B_i)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Using $z \in W^*(\bigcup_{j \geq N} \pi(B_j))$, by Kaplansky’s density theorem, there is $y \in \star\text{-alg}(\bigcup_{i \geq N} B_i)$ such that $\|\pi(y)\| \leq \|z\|$ and $\|(z - \pi(y))\hat{v}_j\|_2 < \epsilon$ for all $j$. By freeness, we have $F(v_j^* y v_i) = F(v_j^* F(y) v_i)$, and this implies
\[
\langle \pi(y)\hat{v}_i, \hat{v}_j\rangle = \langle \pi(F(y))\hat{v}_i, \hat{v}_j\rangle \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq p).
\]
Thus, letting \( x = \pi(F(y)) \) we have
\[
\left| \langle (z - x)\hat{\psi}_i, \hat{\psi}_j \rangle \right| < \epsilon \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq p).
\]
By Proposition 4.1 we also have
\[
\|x\| = \|\pi(F(y))\| \leq \|\pi(y)\| \leq \|z\|.
\]
Finding in this way such an \( x \) for every finite subset \( \hat{\psi}_1, \ldots, \hat{\psi}_p \) as above and every \( \epsilon > 0 \), we get a net in \( \pi(D) \) that converges in weak-operator topology to \( z \). (The inequalities \( \|x\| \leq \|z\| \) allow us to take vectors from only the dense subspace of \( L^2(A, \rho \circ F) \) spanned by the \( \hat{\psi} \).) Thus, \( z \in W^*(\pi(D)) \).

\[ \square \]

**Remark 4.3.** It is natural to ask: how much more general is the property demonstrated in Proposition 4.1? For example, let \( D \) be a unital \( C^* \)-subalgebra of a \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) and suppose \( F : A \to D \) is a conditional expectation whose GNS representation is faithful; let \( \rho \) be a state of \( D \) and let \( \pi = \pi_{\rho \circ F} \) be the GNS representation of the state \( \rho \circ F \) of \( A \); let \( x \in A \). Must we have \( \|\pi(F(x))\| \leq \|\pi(x)\| \)? The following easy example shows that the answer is “no.” Take
\[
D = C \oplus C \oplus C \hookrightarrow M_2(C) \oplus M_2(C) = A,
\]
and let \( F : A \to D \) be the conditional expectation
\[
\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a_{11} \oplus \left( \frac{a_{22} + b_{11}}{2} \right) \oplus b_{22}.
\]
Let \( \rho \) be the state on \( D \) given by \( \rho(a \oplus b \oplus c) = a \). Then
\[
\ker(\pi_{\rho \circ F}) = 0 \oplus M_2(C),
\]
and identifying \( D \) with its embedded image in \( A \), we have
\[
\ker \pi_{\rho \circ F} \ni \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin \ker \pi_{\rho \circ F}.
\]

## 5. Tail algebras of symmetric states

This section has four parts and is about general symmetric states.

### 5.1. The tail algebra and a conditional expectation

Let \( \psi \) be a symmetric state on \( \mathfrak{A} \). Let \( \pi_\psi : \mathfrak{A} \to B(L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi)) \) be the GNS representation associated to \( \psi \). Thus, \( \mathcal{H}_\psi = L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi) \) is the Hilbert space and, as is usual, \( a \mapsto \hat{a} \) denotes the linear mapping onto a dense subspace of \( L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi) \), with \( \langle \hat{a}, \hat{b} \rangle = \psi(b^*a) \) for all \( a, b \in \mathfrak{A} \), norm \( \|\hat{a}\| = \psi(a^*a)^{1/2} \) and \( \pi_\psi(a) \hat{b} = (ab)^\ast \). Let \( \mathcal{M}_\psi = \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A})'' \) be the von Neumann algebra generated by the image of \( \pi_\psi \). Consider the vector state \( \hat{\psi} = \langle \hat{1}, \hat{1} \rangle \) on \( \mathcal{M}_\psi \); we have \( \hat{\psi} \circ \pi_\psi = \psi \).

**Definition 5.1.1.** The tail algebra of \( \psi \) is the von Neumann subalgebra
\[
\mathcal{T}_\psi = \bigcap_{N=1}^\infty W^*(\bigcup_{i=N}^\infty \pi_\psi \circ \lambda_i(A))
\]
of \( \mathcal{M}_\psi \).
Definition 5.1.2. Let $\psi$ be a symmetric state on $\mathfrak{A}$. With $S_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ denoting the group of finite permutations of $\mathbb{N}$, we have the $\psi$-preserving action $S_\infty \ni \sigma \mapsto \hat{\beta}_\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})$ defined by $\beta_\sigma \circ \lambda_i = \lambda_{\sigma(i)}$. This yields a unitary $U_\sigma$ on $\mathcal{H}_\psi$ given by $U_\sigma \hat{x} = (\beta_\sigma(x))^\circ$. Conjugation with $U_\sigma$ yields an automorphism $\hat{\beta}_\sigma$ of $\mathcal{M}_\psi$ such that $\sigma \mapsto \hat{\beta}_\sigma$ is an action of $S_\infty$ on $\mathcal{M}_\psi$ and

$$\hat{\beta}_\sigma \circ \pi_\psi = \pi_\psi \circ \beta_\sigma, \quad \hat{\psi} \circ \hat{\beta}_\psi = \hat{\psi}.$$  

The fixed point algebra of $\psi$ is the fixed point subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_\psi = \mathcal{M}_\psi^{\hat{\beta}}$ of this action. It is a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}_\psi$.

Lemma 5.1.3. Let $\psi$ be a symmetric state on $\mathfrak{A}$. Then $\mathcal{T}_\psi \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\psi$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in S_n \subset S_\infty$. Then $\hat{\beta}_\sigma(x) = x$ for all $x \in W^*(\bigcup_{j>n} \pi_j(A))$. This implies $\hat{\beta}_\sigma(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$. \hfill \Box

There are many cases where $\mathcal{T}_\psi = \mathcal{F}_\psi$ (see Example 5.2.1 and Proposition 5.2.4 below). However, the example described in the next proposition shows that equality need not always hold, even when $\psi$ is quantum symmetric.

Example 5.1.4. Let $A = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\phi$ be the nonfaithful state on $A$ given by

$$\phi \left( \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right) = a_{11}.$$  

Let $\psi = *_1^\infty \phi : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the free product state of $\phi$ with itself infinitely many times. (Thus, by Proposition 5.1 $\psi$ is quantum symmetric.) We will show that the tail algebra $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ is trivial, i.e., $\mathcal{T}_\psi = \mathbb{C}1$, while $\mathcal{F}_\psi \neq \mathbb{C}1$.

Letting $(e_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2}$ be the usual system of matrix units, $L^2(A, \phi)$ has orthonormal basis $\{\hat{e}_{11}, \hat{e}_{21}\}$ with $\hat{1} = \hat{e}_{11}$. Realizing $\mathcal{H}_\psi = L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi)$ as the free product of Hilbert spaces, we naturally see that $L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi)$ has orthonormal basis

$$\{ \xi \} \cup \{ \hat{e}_{21}^{(p_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{e}_{21}^{(p_n)} \mid n, p_1, \ldots, p_n \in \mathbb{N}, p_j \neq p_{j+1} \},$$

where $\hat{e}_{21}^{(p)}$ represents the element $\hat{e}_{21}$ in the $p$-th copy of $L^2(A, \phi)$. Letting $e_{ij}^{(p)} = \pi_\psi \circ \lambda_{p \psi}(e_{ij})$, by the free product construction we have that $e_{22}^{(p)}$ is the projection onto

$$\text{span} \{ \hat{e}_{21}^{(p_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{e}_{21}^{(p_n)} \mid p_1 = p, n, p_2, \ldots, p_n \in \mathbb{N}, p_j \neq p_{j+1} \}.$$  

We see that the sum $\sum_{p=1}^\infty e_{22}^{(p)}$ converges in strong operator topology to $1 - P_\xi$, where $P_\xi$ is the rank one projection onto $\mathbb{C}\xi$. So $P_\xi \in \mathcal{M}_\psi$. Since $\xi$ is cyclic for the representation $\pi_\psi$, we find

$$\mathcal{M}_\psi = B(\mathcal{H}_\psi).$$

Now considering the action of $\sigma \in S_\infty$, the unitary $U_\sigma$ leaves $\xi$ fixed and satisfies

$$U_\sigma : \hat{e}_{21}^{(p_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{e}_{21}^{(p_n)} \mapsto \hat{e}_{21}^{(\sigma(p_1))} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{e}_{21}^{(\sigma(p_n))}.$$  

Thus,

$$P_\xi \in \mathcal{F}_\psi.$$  

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ is nontrivial.

An application of Proposition 4.2 shows $\mathcal{T}_\psi = \mathbb{C}1$. Indeed, the GNS representation $\pi_\psi$ maps $\mathfrak{A}$ onto the free product $C^*$-algebra $*^\infty_1(A, \phi)$, with amalgamation over the scalars. So Proposition 4.2 implies $\mathcal{T}_\psi \subseteq W^*(\pi_\psi(\mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{C}1.$
We continue, letting $\psi$ be an arbitrary symmetric state on $\mathfrak{A}$, with associated constructions as described above. The next lemma shows that exchangeability passes from $\psi$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ to $\hat{\psi}$, with $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ included too.

**Lemma 5.1.5.** If $n, i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$, $d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_n \in \mathcal{F}_\psi$ and $\sigma \in S_\infty$, then

$$\hat{\psi}(d_0 \pi_{i_1}(a_1)d_1 \cdots \pi_{i_n}(a_n)d_n) = \hat{\psi}(d_0 \pi_{\sigma(i_1)}(a_1) \cdots \pi_{\sigma(i_n)}(a_n)d_n).$$

**Proof.** This follows immediately from the properties (5) of $\hat{\beta}$. \hfill \Box

Let

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \ast\text{-alg}(\mathcal{F}_\psi \cup \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}_0)).$$

**Lemma 5.1.6.** There is a $\ast$-endomorphism $\alpha_0 : \mathcal{R}_0 \to \mathcal{R}_0$ satisfying

- (i) $\alpha_0 \circ \pi_i = \pi_{i+1}$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$),
- (ii) $\forall x \in \mathcal{R}_0$, $\|\alpha_0(x)\| = \|x\|$,  
- (iii) $\alpha_0|_{\mathcal{F}_\psi} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}_\psi}$,  
- (iv) $\hat{\psi} \circ \alpha_0 = \hat{\psi}|_{\mathcal{R}_0}$.

**Proof.** Taking the $m$-cycle $c_m = (1, 2, \ldots, m) \in S_\infty$, we easily see that for all $x \in \mathcal{R}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_{c_m}(x)$ is constant in $m$ for $m$ large enough, and we let

$$\alpha_0(x) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \hat{\beta}_{c_m}(x) = \hat{\beta}_{c_M}(x)$$

for $M$ large depending on $x$. Clearly, $\alpha_0$ is a $\ast$-endomorphism and (i)-(iv) hold. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 5.1.7.** Let $\sigma \in S_k$ and take $n \geq k$. Then $\hat{\beta}_\sigma \circ \alpha^n_0 = \alpha^n_0$.

**Proof.** The image of $\alpha^n_0$ lies in the $\ast$-algebra generated by $\mathcal{F}_\psi \cup \bigcup_{j \geq n} \pi_j(A)$, which, under the hypothesis $n \geq k$, lies in the fixed point algebra of the automorphism $\hat{\beta}_\sigma$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 5.1.8.** For all $x \in \mathcal{R}_0$, all $y, z \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ and all $\sigma \in S_\infty$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and there is $w \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for all $n \geq N$, we have

$$\langle \alpha^n_0(x) \hat{y}, \hat{z} \rangle = \langle \alpha^n_0(\hat{\beta}_\sigma(x)) \hat{y}, \hat{z} \rangle = \langle \hat{\beta}_\sigma(\alpha^n_0(x)) \hat{y}, \hat{z} \rangle = w.$$ 

**Proof.** We may without loss of generality assume $x, y$ and $z$ are monomials, i.e.,

$$x = d_0 \pi_{i_1}(a_1)d_1 \cdots \pi_{i_p}(a_p)d_p,$$

$$y = \pi_{j_1}(a'_1)\pi_{j_2}(a'_2) \cdots \pi_{j_q}(a'_q),$$

$$z = \pi_{k_1}(a''_1)\pi_{k_2}(a''_2) \cdots \pi_{k_r}(a''_r)$$

for some $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_0, \ldots, d_p \in \mathcal{F}_\psi$ and (for all indices $f$, $g$ and $h$ in the appropriate ranges) $i_f, j_g, k_h \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_f, a'_g, a''_h \in A$. Then we have

$$\langle \alpha^n_0(x) \hat{y}, \hat{z} \rangle = \hat{\psi}(\pi_{k_r}(a''_r)^* \cdots \pi_{k_1}(a''_1)^* d_0 \pi_{i_1+n}(a_1)d_1 \cdots \pi_{i_p+n}(a_p)d_p \pi_{j_1}(a'_1) \cdots \pi_{j_q}(a'_q)).$$

From the exchangeability result of Lemma 5.1.5, we see that this quantity is independent of $n$ as long as

$$n \geq \max(j_1, \ldots, j_q, k_1, \ldots, k_r).$$
Let $w$ be the common value of $\langle \alpha_0^n(x)\hat{y},\hat{z}\rangle$ for $n$ satisfying (9). We also have
\[
\langle \alpha_0^n(\hat{\beta}_\sigma(x))\hat{y},\hat{z}\rangle = \hat{\psi}(\pi_{k_r}(a_{r_1}''') \cdots \pi_{k_1}(a_1'') d_0 \pi_{\sigma(i_1)+n}(a_1)d_1 \\
\cdots \pi_{\sigma(i_p)+n}(a_p)d_p \pi_{j_1}(a_1') \cdots \pi_{j_q}(a_q')), \n\]
and again invoking exchangeability, we get $\langle \alpha_0^n(\hat{\beta}_\sigma(x))\hat{y},\hat{z}\rangle = w$ for $n$ satisfying (9). Finally, the equality
\[
\langle \alpha_0^n(x)\hat{y},\hat{z}\rangle = \langle \hat{\beta}_\sigma(\alpha_0^n(x))\hat{y},\hat{z}\rangle
\]
for all $n$ large enough follows from Lemma 5.1.7.

Let
\[
\Omega_0 = *-\text{alg}(\mathcal{T}_\psi \cup \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}_0))
\]
and note that the endomorphism $\alpha_0$ of $\mathcal{R}_0$ maps $\Omega_0$ into $\Omega_0$. Consider the weakly dense $C^*$-subalgebras
\[
\mathcal{R}_\psi = \overline{\mathcal{R}_0} ||=||, \quad \mathcal{Q}_\psi = \overline{\mathcal{Q}_0} ||=||
\]
of $\mathcal{M}_\psi$. From Lemmas 5.1.6 and 5.1.7, we immediately have the following.

**Lemma 5.1.9.** There is a unique *-endomorphism $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{R}_\psi$ satisfying
\begin{enumerate}[(i)]
  \item $\alpha \circ \pi_i = \pi_{i+1}$ \quad ($i \in \mathbb{N}$),
  \item $\alpha|_{\mathcal{F}_\psi} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}_\psi}$,
\end{enumerate}
Moreover, we have
\begin{enumerate}[(i)]
  \item $\forall x \in \mathcal{R}_\psi, \|\alpha(x)\| = ||x||$,
  \item $\psi \circ \alpha = \hat{\psi}|_{\mathcal{R}_\psi}$,
  \item $\alpha(\mathcal{Q}_\psi) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\psi$,
  \item if $\sigma \in S_k$ and $n \geq k$, then $\hat{\beta}_\sigma \circ \alpha^n = \alpha^n$.
\end{enumerate}

**Theorem 5.1.10.** There is a conditional expectation $G_\psi$ (i.e., a projection of norm 1) from $\mathcal{R}_\psi$ onto $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ given by
\begin{equation}
G_\psi(x) = \text{WOT-} \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha^n(x),
\end{equation}
where the above limit is in weak operator topology. This satisfies the following:
\begin{enumerate}[(i)]
  \item $\hat{\psi} \circ G_\psi = \hat{\psi}|_{\mathcal{R}_\psi}$,
  \item $G_\psi \circ \alpha = G_\psi$,
  \item for all $\sigma \in S_\infty$, $G_\psi \circ \hat{\beta}_\sigma|_{\mathcal{R}_\psi} = G_\psi$,
  \item the restriction $F_\psi$ of $G_\psi$ to $\mathcal{Q}_\psi$ is a conditional expectation from $\mathcal{Q}_\psi$ onto $\mathcal{T}_\psi$.
\end{enumerate}

**Proof.** Let $x \in \mathcal{R}_\psi$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\psi$ and take $\epsilon > 0$. Take $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}_0$ such that $\|x - x_0\| < \epsilon$ and $y, z \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ such that $\|\xi - \hat{y}\|_2 < \epsilon$ and $\|\eta - \hat{z}\|_2 < \epsilon$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
\begin{equation}
|\langle \alpha^n(x)\xi,\eta\rangle - \langle \alpha^n(x_0)\hat{y},\hat{z}\rangle| \leq |\langle \alpha^n(x - x_0)\xi,\eta\rangle| + |\langle \alpha^n(x_0)(\xi - \hat{y}),\eta\rangle| + |\langle \alpha^n(x_0)\hat{y},\eta - \hat{z}\rangle| \\
\leq \epsilon \|\xi\|_2 \|\eta\|_2 + \epsilon (\|x\| + \epsilon) \||\eta\|_2 + \epsilon (\|x\| + \epsilon) (\|\xi\|_2 + \epsilon).
\end{equation}
But by Lemma 5.1.8, $\langle \alpha^n(x_0)\hat{y},\hat{z}\rangle$ is constant for large enough $n$. We deduce that the quantity $\langle \alpha^n(x)\xi,\eta\rangle$ is Cauchy in $n$, and, therefore, the limit on the right-hand side of (10) exists. Hence, (10) defines $G_\psi$ as a linear function from $\mathcal{R}_\psi$ into $\mathcal{M}_\psi$. Since $\alpha$ is isometric, $G_\psi$ is contractive.
Let $\sigma \in S_\infty$. We have the same upper bound for the quantity
\[ |\langle \hat{\beta}_\sigma \circ \alpha^n(x) \xi, \eta \rangle - \langle \hat{\beta}_\sigma \circ \alpha^n(x_0) \hat{y}, \hat{z} \rangle| \]
as in (11). But by Lemma 5.1.8 \[ \langle \hat{\beta}_\sigma \circ \alpha^n(x_0) \hat{y}, \hat{z} \rangle = \langle \alpha^n(x_0) \hat{y}, \hat{z} \rangle \] for all $n$ sufficiently large. This implies $\hat{\beta}_\sigma \circ G_\psi(x) = G_\psi(x)$. So $G_\psi(\mathcal{R}_\psi) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\psi$.

On the other hand, if $x \in \mathcal{F}_\psi$, then from Lemma 5.1.9[iv], we deduce $G_\psi(x) = x$. Thus, $G_\psi$ is a projection of norm 1 from $\mathcal{R}_\psi$ onto $\mathcal{F}_\psi$. Now property [iii] follows from Lemma 5.1.9[iv], while property [ii] is apparent from the definition.

For [iii], it will suffice to show
\[ \langle G_\psi(\hat{\beta}_\sigma(x)) \hat{y}, \hat{z} \rangle = \langle G_\psi(x) \hat{y}, \hat{z} \rangle \]
for every $x \in \mathcal{R}_0$ and $y, z \in \mathfrak{A}_0$. However, this follows because Lemma 5.1.8 shows
\[ \langle \alpha^n(\hat{\beta}_\sigma(x)) \hat{y}, \hat{z} \rangle = \langle \alpha^n(x) \hat{y}, \hat{z} \rangle \]
for all $n$ sufficiently large.

To prove [iv] we claim that it will suffice to show $G_\psi(x) \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$ for every $x \in \mathcal{Q}_0$. Indeed, because $G_\psi$ is contractive and $\mathcal{Q}_0$ is norm dense in $\mathcal{Q}_\psi$, it will follow that $G_\psi(\mathcal{Q}_\psi) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_\psi$; moreover, since $\mathcal{T}_\psi \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\psi$ and $G_\psi$ is the identity on $\mathcal{T}_\psi$, we will also have that $G_\psi(\mathcal{Q}_\psi) = \mathcal{T}_\psi$. We may without loss of generality assume $x$ is a monomial of the form (8) but with $d_0, \ldots, d_p \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$. Now
\[ \alpha^n(x) = d_0 \pi_{i_1+n}(a_1) d_1 \cdots \pi_{i_p+n}(a_p) d_p \in \ast\text{-alg} \left( \mathcal{T}_\psi \cup \bigcup_{j > n} \pi_j(A) \right), \]
so
\[ G_\psi(x) \in W^* \left( \mathcal{T}_\psi \cup \bigcup_{j > N} \pi_j(A) \right) \subseteq W^* \left( \bigcup_{j > N} \pi_j(A) \right) \]
for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $G_\psi(x) \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$. \qed

Remark 5.1.11. We record here for future reference the fact that follows from the exchangeability result of Lemma 5.1.5 as used in the above proof: for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}_0$, there is $N$ sufficiently large that for all $n \geq N$, we have
\[ \hat{\psi}(z^* \alpha^n(x)y) = \hat{\psi}(z^* G_\psi(x)y). \]

As an immediate consequence of property (iii) of the above theorem, we have that $G_\psi$ is exchangeable, also with elements of $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ added in:

**Corollary 5.1.12.** For all $n, i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$, $d_0, \ldots, d_n \in \mathcal{F}_\psi$ and all $\sigma \in S_\infty$,
\[ G_\psi(d_0 \pi_{i_1}(a_1) d_1 \cdots \pi_{i_n}(a_n) d_n) = G_\psi(d_0 \pi_{\sigma(i_1)}(a_1) d_1 \cdots \pi_{\sigma(i_n)}(a_n) d_n). \]

5.2. A normal conditional expectation. To summarize the earlier notation and constructions:

- $\psi$ is a symmetric state on $\mathfrak{A} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} A$,
- $\pi_\psi$ is the GNS representation of $\psi$ on $\mathcal{H}_\psi = L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi)$,
- $\mathcal{M}_\psi$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A})$,
- $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ is the fixed point algebra of the permutation action on $\mathcal{M}_\psi$,
- $\mathcal{T}_\psi \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\psi$ is the tail algebra of $\psi$,
- $\mathcal{R}_\psi$ is the $C^*$-algebra generated by $\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \cup \mathcal{F}_\psi$,
- $\mathcal{Q}_\psi$ is the $C^*$-algebra generated by $\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \cup \mathcal{T}_\psi$. 

• $G_\psi : \mathcal{R}_\psi \to \mathcal{F}_\psi$ is the conditional expectation onto $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ constructed in Theorem 5.1.10.

• $F_\psi : \mathcal{Q}_\psi \to \mathcal{T}_\psi$ is the conditional expectation onto $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ that is the restriction of $G_\psi$.

The following example is due to Weihua Liu [10]. It has $\mathcal{F}_\psi = \mathcal{T}_\psi$ and shows that the conditional expectation $F_\psi$ need not have an extension to a normal conditional expectation $\mathcal{M}_\psi \to \mathcal{T}_\psi$.

**Example 5.2.1.** Let $A = \mathbb{C}^3$ and let $a = 1 \oplus -1 \oplus 0 \in A$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and with the corresponding system of matrix units $(e_{ij})_{i,j \geq 0}$ that densely span the compact operators $K(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathcal{H}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\pi_n : A \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be the unital $*$-homomorphism so that $\pi_n(a) = e_{0n} + e_{n0}$.

Let $\pi = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_n : \mathfrak{A} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be the unital free product representation. The $C^*$-algebra generated by $\pi(\mathfrak{A})$ is easily seen to be $K(\mathcal{H}) + \mathfrak{C}1$, where $K(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$.

Let $\psi$ be the state on $\mathfrak{A}$ that is the composition of $\pi$ and the vector state $\langle \cdot, v_0, v_0 \rangle$. Let $S_\infty \supset \sigma \mapsto U_\sigma$ be the unitary representation given by

$$U_\sigma(v_j) = \begin{cases} v_{\sigma(j)}, & j > 0, \\ v_0, & j = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $U_\sigma \pi_n (\cdot) U_\sigma^* = \pi_{\sigma(n)}$. Since $U_\sigma v_0 = v_0$ for all $\sigma$, we see that $\psi$ is a symmetric state on $\mathfrak{A}$. Moreover, $v_0$ is cyclic under the action of $\pi(\mathfrak{A})$, so that $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, v_0)$ is equivalent to the GNS representation $(\pi_\psi, \mathcal{H}_\psi, \hat{1})$.

The action $\tilde{\beta}$ of $S_\infty$ is given by $\beta_\sigma = \text{Ad}(U_\sigma)$, and the fixed point algebra $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ is the set of all operators that commute with all $U_\sigma$. We see that $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ is the two-dimensional $C^*$-algebra $C e_{00} + C(1 - e_{00})$. Since $e_{00} = \pi_n(a^2) \pi_{n+1}(a^2)$ for every $n \geq 1$, we see that the tail algebra, $\mathcal{T}_\psi$, contains $e_{00}$ and is equal to $\mathcal{F}_\psi$. In particular, $\mathcal{T}_\psi = \mathcal{F}_\psi \subseteq \pi(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\psi = \mathcal{R}_\psi = \pi(\mathfrak{A})$.

The conditional expectation $G_\psi : \mathcal{R}_\psi \to \mathcal{F}_\psi$ is given by

$$G_\psi(x) = e_{00} x e_{00} + \tau(x)(1 - e_{00}),$$

where $\tau : \mathcal{R}_\psi \to \mathbb{C}$ is the character of $\mathcal{R}_\psi$. The von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_\psi$ is generated by $\mathcal{R}_\psi$ and, thus, is equal to all of $B(\mathcal{H})$. Note that $e_{00} + \cdots + e_{nn}$ is increasing and converges in strong operator topology to 1 as $n \to \infty$, but that $G_\psi(e_{00} + \cdots + e_{nn}) = e_{00}$ for all $n$ while $G_\psi(1) = 1$. Thus, we see that $G_\psi$ has no extension to a normal conditional expectation from $B(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{F}_\psi$.

We now build on the constructions performed in §5.1 to construct normal conditional expectations from possibly larger von Neumann algebras onto the fixed point algebra $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ and the tail algebra $\mathcal{T}_\psi$. For the most part, we will be more interested in the tail algebra than in the fixed point algebra, and our exposition will reflect this.

**Proposition 5.2.2.** Given a symmetric state $\psi$ on $\mathfrak{A} = \bigotimes_{1}^{\infty} A$, there is a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}_\psi$ and an injective, unital $*$-homomorphism $\sigma_\psi : \mathcal{Q}_\psi \to \mathcal{N}_\psi$ such that

(i) $\mathcal{N}_\psi$ is generated as a von Neumann algebra by $\sigma_\psi(\mathcal{Q}_\psi)$,

(ii) the restriction of $\sigma_\psi$ to $\mathcal{T}_\psi \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\psi$ is an injective, normal $*$-homomorphism,
(iii) upon identifying \( \mathcal{Q}_\psi \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_\psi \) with their images under \( \sigma_\psi \), the conditional expectation \( F_\psi : \mathcal{Q}_\psi \to \mathcal{T}_\psi \) extends to a normal conditional expectation \( E_\psi : \mathcal{N}_\psi \to \mathcal{T}_\psi \), whose GNS representation is faithful on \( \mathcal{N}_\psi \),

(iv) the image of \( \mathcal{N}_\psi \) under the GNS representation \( \pi_{\psi \circ E_\psi} \) of the normal state \( \hat{\psi} \big|_{\mathcal{T}_\psi} \circ E_\psi \) is the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M}_\psi \), so \( \mathcal{M}_\psi \) may be identified with \( \mathcal{R} \mathcal{N}_\psi \) for a central projection \( \mathcal{R} \) of \( \mathcal{N}_\psi \) and the GNS representation \( \pi_{\psi \circ E_\psi} \) itself with \( \mathcal{N}_\psi \ni \mathcal{R} x \mapsto R \mathcal{R} x \).

**Proof.** Let \( \pi_{F_\psi} \) denote the GNS representation of \( \mathcal{Q}_\psi \) on the right Hilbert \( \mathcal{T}_\psi \)-module \( L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\psi, F_\psi) \). Since \( \hat{\psi} \big|_{\mathcal{Q}_\psi} = \hat{\psi} \circ F_\psi \) and since the GNS representation of \( \hat{\psi} \) is faithful on \( \mathcal{Q}_\psi \), we see that \( \pi_{F_\psi} \) is faithful. Moreover, the projection \( F_\psi \) splits off a copy of \( \mathcal{T}_\psi \) from \( L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\psi, F_\psi) \) as a complemented submodule:

\[
(12) \quad L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\psi, F_\psi) = L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\psi, F_\psi)^0 \oplus \mathcal{T}_\psi.
\]

Let \( \kappa \) be a normal, faithful \( * \)-representation of \( \mathcal{T}_\psi \) on a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{V} \). Let

\[
(13) \quad \mathcal{W} = L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\psi, F_\psi) \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{V}
\]

be the interior tensor product of Hilbert modules (see, e.g., [9]); thus, \( \mathcal{W} \) is a Hilbert space and the action \( \pi_{F_\psi} \) of \( \mathcal{Q}_\psi \) on \( L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\psi, F_\psi) \) gives rise to a \( * \)-representation \( \sigma_\psi := \pi_{F_\psi} \otimes 1 \) of \( \mathcal{Q}_\psi \) on \( \mathcal{W} \), given by \( \sigma_\psi(x) = \pi_{F_\psi}(x) \otimes \text{id}_\mathcal{V} \). We let \( \mathcal{N}_\psi \) denote the von Neumann algebra generated by \( \sigma_\psi(\mathcal{Q}_\psi) \). The Hilbert module projection \( F_\psi \) that projects onto the summand \( \mathcal{T}_\psi \) in (12) induces the Hilbert space projection \( P = F_\psi \otimes 1 \) on \( \mathcal{W} \), whose range space is \( \mathcal{T}_\psi \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{V} \), which is just a copy of \( \mathcal{V} \). The action of \( \mathcal{T}_\psi \) on \( \mathcal{W} \) via \( \pi_{F_\psi} \otimes 1 \) commutes with the projection \( P \) and we have

\[
\sigma_\psi(x)P = \kappa(x) \quad (x \in \mathcal{T}_\psi).
\]

Moreover, we have

\[
P \sigma_\psi(x)P = \sigma_\psi(F_\psi(x))P \quad (x \in \mathcal{Q}_\psi).
\]

Since \( \kappa \) is faithful, we may identify \( \mathcal{T}_\psi \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\psi \) with \( \sigma_\psi(\mathcal{T}_\psi)P \) and, doing so, we find that the compression by \( P \) implements the conditional expectation \( F_\psi : \mathcal{Q}_\psi \to \mathcal{T}_\psi \). Thus, compression by \( P \) realizes a normal conditional expectation

\[
E_\psi : \mathcal{N}_\psi \to \mathcal{T}_\psi
\]

that extends the conditional expectation \( F_\psi \).

The vector \( \hat{1} \in L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\psi, F_\psi) \) is cyclic for the left action of \( \mathcal{Q}_\psi \). We see that the image of the projection \( P \) is cyclic for the action of \( \mathcal{N}_\psi \) on \( \mathcal{W} \), in the sense that \( \text{span} \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{N}_\psi} xP(\mathcal{W}) \) is dense in \( \mathcal{W} \). Thus, the GNS representation of the conditional expectation \( E_\psi \) is faithful on \( \mathcal{N}_\psi \).

Since any two normal, faithful \( * \)-representations of a von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{T}_\psi \) can be obtained, one from the other, by (a) inducing, i.e., tensoring with the identity on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and (b) reducing, i.e., compressing by a projection in the commutant, and since these operations when performed on \( \kappa \) above carry over to the representation of \( \mathcal{N}_\psi \), we find that the constructions of the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N}_\psi \) and of the normal conditional expectation \( E_\psi \) are independent of the choice of \( \kappa \).

The composition \( \hat{\psi} \big|_{\mathcal{T}_\psi} \circ E_\psi \) is a normal state on \( \mathcal{N}_\psi \), and the composition \( \hat{\psi} \big|_{\mathcal{T}_\psi} \circ E_\psi \circ \sigma_\psi \circ \pi_\psi \) equals the original state \( \psi \) on \( \mathcal{Q}_\psi \). Thus, we find that \( \mathcal{M}_\psi \) is the image of \( \mathcal{N}_\psi \) under the GNS representation of \( \hat{\psi} \big|_{\mathcal{T}_\psi} \circ E_\psi \) and may, therefore, be identified.
with $RN_\psi$ for some central projection $R \in Z(N_\psi)$, and the GNS representation itself with the map $N_\psi \ni x \mapsto Rx$. \hfill \square

A precisely analogous result with $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ and $\mathcal{R}_\psi$ replacing $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\psi$, respectively, can be obtained by repeating the above construction, mutatis mutandis. We now state this result in order to introduce notation that will be needed below.

**Proposition 5.2.3.** Given a symmetric state $\psi$ on $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_1^\infty \mathfrak{A}$, there is a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{P}_\psi$ and an injective, unital $*$-homomorphism $\eta_\psi : \mathcal{R}_\psi \to \mathcal{P}_\psi$ such that

(i) $\mathcal{P}_\psi$ is generated as a von Neumann algebra by $\eta_\psi(\mathcal{R}_\psi)$,

(ii) the restriction of $\eta_\psi$ to $\mathcal{F}_\psi \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\psi$ is an injective, normal $*$-homomorphism,

(iii) upon identifying $\mathcal{R}_\psi$ and $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ with their images under $\eta_\psi$, the conditional expectation $F_\psi : \mathcal{R}_\psi \to \mathcal{F}_\psi$ extends to a normal conditional expectation $H_\psi : \mathcal{P}_\psi \to \mathcal{F}_\psi$, whose GNS representation is faithful on $\mathcal{P}_\psi$.

(iv) the image of $\mathcal{P}_\psi$ under the GNS representation $\pi_{\psi_0,\mathcal{F}_\psi}$ of the normal state $\psi_0$ is generated as a von Neumann algebra by $\eta_\psi(\mathcal{R}_\psi)$, and the GNS representation is faithful on $\mathcal{P}_\psi$.

Thus, the conditional expectation $\psi_0 : \mathcal{R}_\psi \to \mathcal{F}_\psi$ extends to a normal conditional expectation $\psi_0 : \mathcal{P}_\psi \to \mathcal{F}_\psi$, whose GNS representation is faithful on $\mathcal{P}_\psi$.

We now show that the above constructions, in the case that restrictions of $\psi$ to $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ or $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ have faithful GNS representations, yield normal conditional expectations from $\mathcal{M}_\psi$ itself, and we give sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{F}_\psi = \mathcal{T}_\psi$.

**Proposition 5.2.4.** (i) If the restriction of $\hat{\psi}$ to $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ has faithful GNS representation, then $\mathcal{N}_\psi = \mathcal{M}_\psi$. Thus, the conditional expectation $F_\psi : \mathcal{Q}_\psi \to \mathcal{T}_\psi$ has an extension to a normal conditional expectation $E_\psi : \mathcal{M}_\psi \to \mathcal{T}_\psi$ onto $\mathcal{T}_\psi$.

(ii) If the restriction of $\hat{\psi}$ to $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ has faithful GNS representation, then $\mathcal{P}_\psi = \mathcal{M}_\psi$. Thus, the conditional expectation $G_\psi : \mathcal{R}_\psi \to \mathcal{F}_\psi$ has an extension to a normal conditional expectation $H_\psi : \mathcal{M}_\psi \to \mathcal{F}_\psi$ onto $\mathcal{F}_\psi$.

(iii) If the restrictions of $\psi$ to $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ and to $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ both have faithful GNS representations (for example, if the restriction of $\hat{\psi}$ to $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ is faithful), then $\mathcal{T}_\psi = \mathcal{F}_\psi$.

**Proof.** If the restriction $\hat{\psi} |_{\mathcal{T}_\psi}$ of $\hat{\psi}$ to $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ has faithful GNS representation, then in the construction found in the proof of Proposition 5.2.2, we may take $\kappa$ to be the GNS representation of $\hat{\psi} |_{\mathcal{T}_\psi}$. Thus, $V = L^2(\mathcal{T}_\psi, \hat{\psi} |_{\mathcal{T}_\psi})$. Using (13), we find $\mathcal{W} = L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\psi, \hat{\psi} |_{\mathcal{Q}_\psi})$, and, thus, $\mathcal{W}$ is naturally identified with $L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi)$, and the $*$-homomorphism $\sigma_\psi$ is identified with the inclusion of $\mathcal{Q}_\psi$ into $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi))$, as $\mathcal{Q}_\psi$ was defined. Hence, $\mathcal{N}_\psi = \mathcal{M}_\psi$. This proves (i).

If the restriction of $\hat{\psi}$ to $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ has faithful GNS representation, then by the same argument as above, but applied in the case of the construction described in Proposition 5.2.2, proves (ii).

If the restrictions of $\psi$ to $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ and to $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ both have faithful GNS representations, then we may apply (i) and (ii) to obtain normal conditional expectations $E_\psi$ and $H_\psi$ as described. However, these must agree when restricted to $\mathcal{Q}_\psi$. Since $\mathcal{Q}_\psi$ is weakly dense in $\mathcal{M}_\psi$, we have, in fact, $E_\psi = H_\psi$. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_\psi = \mathcal{F}_\psi$. This proves (iii). \hfill \square

**Example 5.2.5.** In the case of Liu’s Example 5.2.1 we have that $\mathcal{Q}_\psi = \overline{K} = K(\mathcal{H}) + C1$ is the unitization of the compact operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.
with orthonormal basis \((v_n)_{n \geq 0}\) and, letting \((e_{ij})_{i,j \geq 0}\) be a corresponding system of matrix units for the compact operators, we have \(T_\psi = C e_{00} + C(1 - e_{00})\) and 
\[ F_\psi(x) = e_{00} x e_{00} + \tau(x)(1 - e_{00}) , \]
where \(\tau\) is the nonzero character of \(\bar{\mathcal{K}}\). We find 
\(W = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{C}\) and

\[ (x \xi + \tau(x) z) = x \xi + \tau(x) z \] \[ (x \in \mathcal{Q}_\psi, \xi \in \mathcal{H}, z \in \mathbb{C}) \],

where \(x \xi\) denotes the action of \(\mathcal{Q}_\psi\) on the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\). Thus,

\[ B(\mathcal{H}) = M_\psi \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\psi = B(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \mathbb{C}. \]

The projection \(R\) in part \(\text{iv}\) of Proposition \[\text{5.2.2}\] is \(1 \oplus 0\).

5.3. The tail \(C^*\)-algebra. Again, we let \(\psi\) be a symmetric state on \(\mathfrak{A}\) and we refer to the items at the start of \[\text{5.2}\] for a summary of the constructions related to the tail algebra \(T_\psi\).

**Definition 5.3.1.** The tail \(C^*\)-algebra of \(\psi\) is the smallest unital \(C^*\)-subalgebra \(D_\psi\) of \(T_\psi\), with the property that for every \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), \(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in D_\psi\), \(a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A\) and \(i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}\), we have

\[ F_\psi(\pi_{i_1}(a_1)x_1 \cdots \pi_{i_{n-1}}(a_{n-1})x_{n-1} \pi_{i_n}(a_n)) \in D_\psi. \]  \[\text{(14)}\]

**Observation 5.3.2.** The restriction of \(F_\psi\) to the \(C^*\)-algebra generated by \(\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \cup D_\psi\) is a conditional expectation onto \(D_\psi\), and \(D_\psi\) is the smallest unital \(C^*\)-subalgebra of \(T_\psi\) having this property.

**Observation 5.3.3.** Let \(D_{\psi,0} = C1\), and for \(n \geq 1\) let \(D_{\psi,n}\) be the \(C^*\)-algebra generated by all expressions of the form appearing on the left-hand side of \[\text{(14)}\] where \(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in D_{\psi,n-1}\). Then \(D_{\psi,n} \subseteq D_{\psi,n+1}\) and \(D_\psi\) is the closure of the union \(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty D_{\psi,n}\). Thus, if \(A\) is separable, then so is \(D_\psi\).

The following question is all the more interesting in light of Proposition \[\text{5.4.3}\] below. It is answered affirmatively for quantum symmetric states in Proposition \[\text{5.7}\].

**Question 5.3.4.** Do we have \(D_\psi \subseteq \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A})\) for every symmetric state \(\psi\)?

The following question is answered affirmatively for quantum symmetric states in Theorem \[\text{7.3}\].

**Question 5.3.5.** Does \(D_\psi\) generate \(T_\psi\) as a von Neumann algebra?

5.4. Extreme symmetric states.

**Definition 5.4.1.** An extreme symmetric state of \(\mathfrak{A} = \bigwedge_{1}^\infty A\) is an extreme point of the closed convex set \(SS(A)\) of symmetric states on \(\mathfrak{A}\).

The following lemma is a collection of observations about a convex combination of symmetric states, arising from the definitions found in \[\text{5.3.1}\] and \[\text{5.3}\].

**Lemma 5.4.2.** Suppose \(\psi_1\) and \(\psi_2\) are symmetric states on \(A\), let \(0 < t < 1\) and let \(\psi = t\psi_1 + (1 - t)\psi_2\). Then there is an inner product preserving embedding

\[ L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi_1) \oplus L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi_2), \]

where the right-hand side is equipped with the norm \(\|\eta_1 \oplus \eta_2\|^2 = \|\eta_1\|^2 + \|\eta_2\|^2\), given by

\[ \hat{a} \mapsto t^{1/2}\hat{a} \oplus (1 - t)^{1/2}\hat{a}, \]

\[\text{where the right-hand side is equipped with the norm} \|\eta_1 \oplus \eta_2\|^2 = \|\eta_1\|^2 + \|\eta_2\|^2, \]

\[\text{given by} \]

\[ \hat{a} \mapsto t^{1/2}\hat{a} \oplus (1 - t)^{1/2}\hat{a}, \]
which yields an embedding
\[ \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \hookrightarrow \pi_{\psi_1}(\mathfrak{A}) \oplus \pi_{\psi_2}(\mathfrak{A}) \]
given by
\[ \pi_\psi(x) \mapsto \pi_{\psi_1}(x) \oplus \pi_{\psi_2}(x). \]
This, in turn yields embeddings
\[ M_\psi \hookrightarrow M_{\psi_1} \oplus M_{\psi_2}, \]
\[ F_\psi \hookrightarrow F_{\psi_1} \oplus F_{\psi_2}, \]
\[ R_\psi \hookrightarrow R_{\psi_1} \oplus R_{\psi_2}, \]
\[ T_\psi \hookrightarrow T_{\psi_1} \oplus T_{\psi_2}, \]
\[ Q_\psi \hookrightarrow Q_{\psi_1} \oplus Q_{\psi_2}, \]
\[ D_\psi \hookrightarrow D_{\psi_1} \oplus D_{\psi_2}, \]
and the identities
\[ G_\psi = (G_{\psi_1} \oplus G_{\psi_2}) |_{R_\psi}, \]
\[ F_\psi = (F_{\psi_1} \oplus F_{\psi_2}) |_{Q_\psi}. \]
Letting \( \chi_1 \) and \( \chi_2 \) be the vector states on \( M_\psi \) corresponding to the vectors \( \hat{1} \oplus 0 \) and \( 0 \oplus \hat{1} \), respectively, in \( L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi_1) \oplus L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi_2) \), we have, for \( j = 1, 2 \),
\[ \chi_j|_{Q_\psi} = \chi_j \circ F_\psi, \]
\[ \chi_j \circ \pi_\psi = \psi_j, \]
\[ \hat{\psi} = t\chi_1 + (1-t)\chi_2. \]
Proof. The exposition in the lemma pretty much spells out how it goes. Only some minor comments are in order. The embeddings (15), (16) and (18) are clear. The unitary \( U_\sigma \) on the Hilbert space \( L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi_1) \oplus L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi_2) \) corresponding to \( \sigma \in S_\infty \) is the restriction to the embedded copy as in (15) of the direct sum \( U_\sigma^{(1)} \oplus U_\sigma^{(2)} \) of the analogous unitaries on \( L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi_1) \) and \( L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi_2) \). Thus, the automorphism \( \beta_\sigma = \text{Ad} U_\sigma \) of \( M_\psi \) is the restriction of the direct sum
\[ \beta_\sigma = (\beta_\sigma^{(1)} \oplus \beta_\sigma^{(2)}) |_{M_\psi} \]
of the corresponding automorphisms of \( M_{\psi_1} \) and \( M_{\psi_2} \). From this we see (19) and (20).

The embedding (21) follows by noting
\[ T_\psi = \bigcap_{N \geq 1} W^*(\bigcup_{j \geq N} \pi_\psi(\lambda_j(A))) \]
\[ \subseteq \bigcap_{N \geq 1} W^*(\bigcup_{j \geq N} \pi_{\psi_1}(\lambda_j(A)) \oplus \pi_{\psi_2}(\lambda_j(A))) = T_{\psi_1} \oplus T_{\psi_2}. \]
Now (22) follows from (21) and (17).

To prove (23), note that (20) implies that the shift endomorphism \( \alpha \) of \( R_\psi \) is the restriction of the direct sum \( \alpha^{(1)} \oplus \alpha^{(2)} \) of corresponding shifts. Taking the weak limit of powers of this shift yields (23), and (25) follows by restriction.

The vector state for \( \hat{1} \oplus 0 \) when restricted to \( M_{\psi_1} \oplus 0 \) yields the state \( \hat{\psi}_1 \) which, by virtue of Theorem 5.1.10, satisfies \( \hat{\psi}_1|_{Q_{\psi_1}} = \hat{\psi}_1 \circ F_{\psi_1} \), and similarly for \( 0 \oplus \hat{1} \).
\( \hat{\psi}_2 \) and \( F_{\hat{\psi}_2} \). From these facts, (26) and (27) follow. Since \( \hat{\psi} \) is the vector state for the vector \( 1 \in L^2(\mathcal{A}, \psi) \), (28) follows as well. \( \square \)

**Proposition 5.4.3.** Let \( \psi \in SS(A) \). Let \( \rho \) denote the restriction of \( \hat{\psi} \) to the tail \( C^* \)-algebra \( D_{\psi} \). Then

\[
(30) \quad \psi = \rho \circ F_{\psi} \circ \pi_{\psi}.
\]

Moreover,

(i) if \( \rho \) is a pure state of \( D_{\psi} \), then \( \psi \) is an extreme point of \( SS(A) \),

(ii) if \( \psi \) is an extreme point of \( SS(A) \) and if \( D_{\psi} \subseteq \pi_{\psi}(\mathcal{A}) \), then \( \rho \) is a pure state of \( D_{\psi} \).

**Proof.** The identity (30) is apparent from the fact (Theorem 5.1.10(i)) that \( \hat{\psi} \) is \( D \)-valued with respect to the conditional expectations \( \psi \).

Suppose \( \psi \) is not an extreme point of \( SS(A) \). We may write \( \psi = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1 + \psi_2) \) for \( \psi_1, \psi_2 \in SS(A) \), \( \psi_1 \neq \psi_2 \). Let \( \chi_1 \) and \( \chi_2 \) be the states on \( \mathcal{M}_{\psi} \) defined in Lemma 5.4.2 (with \( t = \frac{1}{2} \)), and let \( \rho_j \) be the restriction of \( \chi_j \) to \( D_{\psi} \). By (28), we have \( \rho = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_1 + \rho_2) \). By (27), we must have \( \chi_1 \neq \chi_2 \). But by (26), this implies \( \rho_1 \neq \rho_2 \). Thus, \( \rho \) is not a pure state of \( D_{\psi} \), and (i) is proved.

For (ii), suppose \( \rho \) is not a pure state. Then \( \rho = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_1 + \rho_2) \) for states \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_2 \) of \( D_{\psi} \) that are not equal to each other. Letting \( \psi_j = \rho_j \circ F_{\psi} \circ \pi_{\psi} \), from (30), we have \( \psi = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1 + \psi_2) \). By the exchangeability for \( F_{\psi} \) (Corollary 5.1.12), we have \( \psi_j \in SS(A) \). Since \( D_{\psi} \subseteq \pi_{\psi}(\mathcal{A}) \), \( \rho_j \) can be recovered from \( \psi_j \) by restriction, and we must have \( \psi_1 \neq \psi_2 \). Thus, \( \psi \) is not an extreme point of \( SS(A) \). \( \square \)

6. FREEDOM WITH AMALGAMATION OVER THE TAIL ALGEBRA

In this section, we showed that given a quantum symmetric state, we have freedom with respect to the conditional expectations \( F_{\psi} \) and \( E_{\psi} \), constructed in the last section, i.e., freedom with amalgamation over the tail algebra.

We assume \( \psi \) is a quantum symmetric state on \( \mathcal{A} \) and we continue using the notation of the previous section. Let

\[
\mathcal{B}_i = C^*(\pi_i(A) \cup T_{\psi}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\psi},
\]
\[
\mathcal{C}_i = W^*(\sigma\psi(\mathcal{B}_i)) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\psi}.
\]

**Theorem 6.1.** If \( \psi \) is a quantum symmetric state on \( \mathcal{A} \), then \( (\mathcal{B}_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \) is free with respect to \( F_{\psi} \) and \( (\mathcal{C}_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \) is free with respect to \( E_{\psi} \).

The proof of this theorem follows in part Sections 4 and 5 of [8], and requires several intermediate results, which are given below. Again, we let \( \pi_i = \pi_{\psi} \circ \lambda_i \).

**Lemma 6.2.** Assume that \( b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n+1} \in T_{\psi} \). Then, for \( 1 \leq i(1), \ldots, i(n) \leq k \) and \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in A \), we have

\[
(31) \quad \hat{\psi}(b_1 \pi_{i(1)}(a_1) b_2 \cdots \pi_{i(n)}(a_n) b_{n+1}) 1_{A_\psi(k)}
= \sum_{j(1), \ldots, j(n)=1}^{k} u_{i(1), j(1)} \cdots u_{i(n), j(n)} \cdot \hat{\psi}(b_1 \pi_{j(1)}(a_1) b_2 \cdots \pi_{j(n)}(a_n) b_{n+1}).
\]
Proof. By Kaplansky’s density theorem, each $b_i$ is the limit in the strong operator topology of a bounded sequence in the algebra generated by $\bigcup_{p \geq k} \pi_p(A)$. Thus, it will suffice to prove (31) in the case where each $b_i$ is of the form

$$b_i = \pi_{p(i,1)}(a_{i,1}) \cdots \pi_{p(i,m(i))}(a_{i,m(i)}),$$

with $m(i) \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_{i,j} \in A$ and $k + 1 \leq p(i,j) \leq k + \ell$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, m(i)$, for some $\ell > 0$. Showing (31) in this case amounts to showing

$$\psi(c_1 \lambda_{i(1)}(a_{1})c_2 \cdots \lambda_{i(n)}(a_{n})c_{n+1}) 1_{A_i(k)}$$

$$= \sum_{j(1), \ldots, j(n)=1}^{k} u_{i(1),j(1)} \cdots u_{i(n),j(n)} \cdot \psi(c_1 \lambda_{j(1)}(a_{1})c_2 \cdots \lambda_{j(n)}(a_{n})c_{n+1}),$$

where

$$c_i = \lambda_{p(i,1)}(a_{i,1}) \cdots \lambda_{p(i,m(i))}(a_{i,m(i)}).$$

Consider the matrix $U_k = (u_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{k}$ for the defining generators $u_{ij}$ of the quantum permutation group $A_s(k)$. Consider the $(k + \ell) \times (k + \ell)$ matrix $\tilde{U}_{k+\ell} := U_k \oplus 1_\ell = (\tilde{u}_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{k+\ell}$, where $\tilde{u}_{ij} = u_{ij}$ for $i, j \leq k$ and $\tilde{u}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}1$ when either $i > k$ or $j > k$. The entries of $\tilde{U}_{k+\ell}$ satisfy the defining relations of $A_s(k + \ell)$ and yield a corresponding $*$-homomorphism from $A_s(k + \ell)$ onto $A_s(k)$. We now use the quantum exchangeability of the representations $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots$ with respect to $\psi$ and compose with the $*$-homomorphism $A_s(k + \ell) \to A_s(k)$ described above to obtain that the list $\tilde{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\lambda}_{k+\ell}$ has the same distribution with respect to $id \otimes \psi$ on $A_s(k) \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ as does $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k+\ell}$ with respect to $\psi$ on $\mathfrak{B}$, where

$$\tilde{\lambda}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k+\ell} \tilde{u}_{i,j} \otimes \lambda_j : A \to A_s(k) \otimes \mathfrak{B}.$$

But $\tilde{u}_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j}1$ if either $i > k$ or $j > k$, so

$$\tilde{\lambda}_i = \begin{cases} 1 \otimes \lambda_i, & i > k, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{k} u_{i,j} \otimes \lambda_j, & i \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Thus, when, in the expression (33) for $c_i$, the $\lambda_{p(i,j)}$ are replaced by $\tilde{\lambda}_{p(i,j)}$, we get $1 \otimes c_i$. So applying the aforementioned equidistribution to the expression

$$c_1 \lambda_{i(1)}(a_{1})c_2 \cdots \lambda_{i(n)}(a_{n})c_{n+1},$$

we get (32). \hfill \Box

We now fix notation for the remainder of the section. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in A$ and consider the algebra $T_\psi(X_1, \ldots, X_N)$ of noncommutative polynomials in noncommuting indeterminants $X_1, \ldots, X_N$ that also do not commute with the coefficient algebra $T_\psi$. Take $P_j(X_1, \ldots, X_N) \in T_\psi(X_1, \ldots, X_N)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$x_j^i := P_j(\pi_i(a_1), \ldots, \pi_i(a_N)).$$

As an immediate consequence of the exchangeability of $\hat{\psi}$ (Lemma 5.1.5), for any permutation $\sigma \in S_\infty$, we have

$$\hat{\psi}(x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}) = \hat{\psi}(x_1^{\sigma(i(1))} \cdots x_n^{\sigma(i(n))}).$$

The next result shows quantum exchangeability.
Lemma 6.3. For natural numbers $1 \leq i(1), \ldots, i(n) \leq k$, we have
\begin{equation}
\hat{\psi}(x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}) 1_{A_\sigma(k)} = \sum_{j(1), \ldots, j(n)=1}^{k} u_{i(1), j(1)} \cdots u_{i(n), j(n)} \hat{\psi}(x_1^{j(1)} \cdots x_n^{j(n)}).
\end{equation}

Proof. It will suffice to show when each $P_i$ is a monomial, i.e., is of the form $d_1 x_{f(1)}^{1} \cdots d_p x_{f(p)}^{p} d_{p+1}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_1, \ldots, d_{p+1} \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$. Now this case of (36) will follow if we show that, in the setting of Lemma 6.2, whenever we have constant blocks $i(\ell_q + 1) = i(\ell_q + 2) = \cdots = i(\ell_q + m_q)$ for all $1 \leq q \leq Q$, with $m_q \geq 1$, $\ell_1 = 0$, $\ell_q = m_1 + \cdots + m_{q-1}$ and $m_1 + \cdots + m_Q = n$, then (31) becomes
\begin{equation}
\hat{\psi}(b_1 \pi_i(a_1) b_2 \cdots \pi_i(a_n) b_{n+1}) 1_{A_\sigma(k)} = \sum_{j(1), \ldots, j(n)=1}^{1 \leq j(1), \ldots, j(n) \leq k} u_{i(1), j(1)} \cdots u_{i(n), j(n)} \hat{\psi}(b_1 \pi_i(a_1) b_2 \cdots \pi_i(a_n) b_{n+1}).
\end{equation}
But this follows easily, because the terms in the summation on the right-hand side of (31) that don’t appear in the summation of (37) are all zero. Indeed, this follows directly from the defining relations of $A_\sigma(k)$, which imply $u_{i,j} = \delta_{j,i} u_{i,j}$. \hfill \square

By Corollary 5.1.12, we have exchangeability with respect to $F_\psi$: for any permutation $\sigma \in S_\infty$,
\begin{equation}
F_\psi[x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}] = F_\psi[x_1^{\sigma(i(1))} \cdots x_n^{\sigma(i(n))}].
\end{equation}
The next result shows that we also have, in a sense, quantum exchangeability with respect to the expectation $F_\psi$.

Proposition 6.4. For $1 \leq i(1), \ldots, i(n) \leq k$, we have
\begin{equation}
1 \otimes F_\psi[x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}] = \sum_{j(1), \ldots, j(n)=1}^{k} u_{i(1), j(1)} \cdots u_{i(n), j(n)} \otimes F_\psi[x_1^{j(1)} \cdots x_n^{j(n)}].
\end{equation}

Proof. It will suffice to show that for every $y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form
\begin{align}
y &= \lambda_{p(1)}(a'_1) \cdots \lambda_{p(s)}(a'_s), \\
z &= \lambda_{q(1)}(a''_1) \cdots \lambda_{q(t)}(a''_t)
\end{align}
for positive integers $s, t, p(j), q(j)$ and for $a'_j, a''_j \in A$, we have
\begin{equation}
1 \cdot \hat{\psi}(z^* F_\psi(x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)})) y
= \sum_{j(1), \ldots, j(n)=1}^{k} u_{i(1), j(1)} \cdots u_{i(n), j(n)} \psi(z^* F_\psi(x_1^{j(1)} \cdots x_n^{j(n)})) y,
\end{equation}
since $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ acts faithfully on $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \psi)$ and since the linear span of the vectors $\hat{y}$ for $y$ as in (40) is dense in this Hilbert space.
Let $R = \max(p(1), \ldots, p(s), q(1), \ldots, q(t))$. By Remark 5.1.11,
\begin{align}
\hat{\psi}(z^* F_\psi(x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)})) y
&= \hat{\psi}(\pi_{q(t)}(a''_t) \cdots \pi_{p(1)}(a''_1) x_1^{i(1)} + R \cdots x_n^{i(n)} + R \pi_{p(1)}(a'_1) \cdots \pi_{p(s)}(a'_s)).
\end{align}
Using (35) and an appropriate permutation σ, we find

\begin{equation}
\hat{\psi}(\pi_{q(t)}(\alpha_t''^*) \cdots \pi_{q(1)}(\alpha_1''^*) \cdot x_1^{i(1)} + R \cdots x_n^{i(n)} + R \pi_{p(1)}(\alpha_1') \cdots \pi_{p(s)}(\alpha_s'))
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \hat{\psi}(\pi_{q(t)+k}(\alpha_t''^*) \cdots \pi_{q(1)+k}(\alpha_1''^*) \cdot x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)} \pi_{p(1)+k}(\alpha_1') \cdots \pi_{p(s)+k}(\alpha_s')).
\end{equation}

Now, using Lemma 6.2 and the same quotient map \(A_s(k+R) \to A_s(k)\) as appeared in the proof of Lemma 6.2 (but with \(R\) instead of \(\ell\)), we find

\begin{equation}
\hat{\psi}(\pi_{q(t)+k}(\alpha_t''^*) \cdots \pi_{q(1)+k}(\alpha_1''^*) \cdot x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)} \pi_{p(1)+k}(\alpha_1') \cdots \pi_{p(s)+k}(\alpha_s'))
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \sum_{j(1), \ldots, j(n)=1} u_{i(1), j(1)} \cdots u_{i(n), j(n)} \hat{\psi}(\pi_{q(t)+k}(\alpha_t''^*) \cdots \pi_{q(1)+k}(\alpha_1''^*) \cdot x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)} \pi_{p(1)+k}(\alpha_1') \cdots \pi_{p(s)+k}(\alpha_s'))
\end{equation}

while applying the argument used in (43) and (44) in reverse, we get

\begin{equation}
\hat{\psi}(\pi_{q(t)+k}(\alpha_t''^*) \cdots \pi_{q(1)+k}(\alpha_1''^*) \cdot x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)} \pi_{p(1)+k}(\alpha_1') \cdots \pi_{p(s)+k}(\alpha_s'))
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \hat{\psi}(z^* F_{\psi}(x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}) y).
\end{equation}

Thus, we have (32), as required.

In what follows, we will abuse notation and rewrite (39) as

\[ F_{\psi}[x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}] = \sum_{j(1), \ldots, j(n)=1} u_{i(1), j(1)} \cdots u_{i(n), j(n)} \cdot F_{\psi}[x_1^{j(1)} \cdots x_n^{j(n)}], \]

where the tensor product structure is implicit.

**Proposition 6.5.** Let \(1 \leq i(1), \ldots, i(n) \leq k\) and assume that for fixed \(\ell\) we have that \(i(\ell) \neq i(j)\) for all \(j \neq \ell\). Then we have

\[ F_{\psi}[x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}] = F_{\psi}[x_1^{i(1)} \cdots F_{\psi}[x_1^{i(\ell)}] \cdots x_n^{i(n)}]. \]

**Proof.** It will suffice to show, for arbitrary \(y\) and \(z\) as in (40) and (41), that we have

\begin{equation}
\hat{\psi}(z^* F_{\psi}[x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}] y) = \hat{\psi}(z^* F_{\psi}[x_1^{i(1)} \cdots F_{\psi}[x_1^{i(\ell)}] \cdots x_n^{i(n)}] y).
\end{equation}

By Remark 5.1.11 the left-hand side of (45) equals

\begin{equation}
\hat{\psi}(z^* x_1^{i(1)+N} \cdots x_n^{i(n)+N} y)
\end{equation}

while the right hand side of (45) equals

\begin{equation}
\hat{\psi}(z^* x_1^{i(1)+N} \cdots x_\ell^{i(\ell-1)+N} F_{\psi}[x_\ell^{i(\ell)}] x_\ell^{i(\ell+1)+N} \cdots x_n^{i(n)+N} y)
\end{equation}

for all \(N\) sufficiently large. Applying Remark 5.1.11 again, the quantity (47) is equal to

\begin{equation}
\hat{\psi}(z^* x_1^{i(1)+N} \cdots x_\ell^{i(\ell-1)+N} F_{\psi}[x_\ell^{i(\ell)}] x_\ell^{i(\ell+1)+N} \cdots x_n^{i(n)+N} y)
\end{equation}

for all \(M\) sufficiently large. Choosing \(N\) and then \(M\) large enough, choosing an appropriate permutation and using the exchangeability result Lemma 5.1.5, we have that the quantities (46) and (45) agree. \[ \square \]
The following lemma was essential in the proof of the main theorem in Section 5 of [8] and will be used in a similar way to prove Theorem 6.1. We refer to that paper for proof of this lemma.

**Lemma 6.6.** Consider self-adjoint projections $p$ and $q$. Assume that $s \geq 2$. The following are equivalent:

(i) $(pq)^s + (p(1-q))^s + ((1-p)q)^s + ((1-p)(1-q))^s = 1$,

(ii) $(pq)^s p + (p(1-q))^s p + ((1-p)q)^s (1-p) + ((1-p)(1-q))^s (1-p) = 1$,

(iii) $p$ and $q$ commute.

We now have all of the pieces in place to prove Theorem 6.1.

**Proof of Theorem 6.1.** It will suffice to show freeness of $(B_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ with respect to $F_\psi$. Indeed, since $E_\psi$ is a normal extension of $F_\psi$, freeness of $(G_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ with respect to $E_\psi$ will follow.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and natural numbers $i(1) \neq i(2), \ldots, i(n-1) \neq i(n)$. Assume $F_\psi[x_j^k] = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. We will show $F_\psi[x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}] = 0$, thereby proving freeness over the tail algebra.

Toward this end, putting $i := (i(1), \ldots, i(n))$, we denote by $\ker i$ the partition of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ whereby $j$ and $\ell$ belong to the same block if and only if $i(j) = i(\ell)$. If ker $i$ were noncrossing, then one of its blocks would have to be an interval block, but by assumption, $i(p) \neq i(p+1)$ for all $p$, so this interval would have to be a singleton. If ker $i$ has a block consisting of only one element, then by Proposition 6.5 we have $F_\psi[x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}] = 0$, as desired.

We proceed by induction on the number $r$ of blocks in ker $i$, starting with $r = n$ and decreasing from there. If $r = n$, then ker $i$ must have a singleton block and this case is done. Now we introduce the induction hypothesis for ker $i$ with at least $r + 1$ blocks and use this to address the case where ker $i$ has $r$ blocks.

Utilizing Proposition 6.4, we have

$$F_\psi[x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}] = \sum_{(1) \leq j(1) \leq \cdots \leq j(n) \leq 1} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \sum_{\ker(j) = \pi} u_{i(1),j(1)} \cdots u_{i(n),j(n)} \cdot F_\psi[x_1^{j(1)} \cdots x_n^{j(n)}].$$

(49)

Observe that if $j(\ell) = j(\ell+1)$, then $u_{i(\ell),j(\ell)} u_{i(\ell+1),j(\ell+1)} = 0$, since $i(\ell) \neq i(\ell+1)$. Thus, we may restrict to the cases where $j(1) \neq j(2), \ldots, j(n-1) \neq j(n)$. If the number of blocks is at least $r + 1$, then $F_\psi[x_1^{j(1)} \cdots x_n^{j(n)}] = 0$ by the induction hypothesis, so we may restrict to the cases where $\pi$ has at most $r$ blocks.

We will chose a particular representation of $A_s(n)$ and apply it to [19]. It will be convenient to assume that $i$ takes values only in the set $\{1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2r - 1\}$, which we can do by the exchangeability found in equation (38). Now let $\{q_m\}_{m=1}^r$ be a family of self-adjoint projections in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, to be specified later, and consider the unitary matrix

$$\tilde{u}_m = \begin{pmatrix} q_m & 1 - q_m \\ 1 - q_m & q_m \end{pmatrix} \in M_4(\mathbb{C}).$$
Consider the $2r \times 2r$ block matrix $\tilde{u} = \bigoplus_{m=1}^{r} \tilde{u}_m \in M_{4r}(\mathbb{C})$ and let $(\tilde{u}_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2r}$ be the corresponding entries. Thus, we have

$$\tilde{u}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} q_m, & i = j \in \{2m - 1, 2m\}, \\ 1 - q_m, & \{i, j\} = \{2m - 1, 2m\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that the $\tilde{u}_{i,j}$ satisfy the defining relations for $A_s(2r)$, so there is a $\ast$-representation from $A_s(2r)$ sending $u_{i,j}$ to $\tilde{u}_{i,j}$. We apply this $\ast$-representation to (49).

By assumption, the indices for $i = (i(1), \ldots, i(n))$ take on only odd numbers. Thus, for nonvanishing $\tilde{u}_{i,j}$, the $j$ value determines the $i$ value since there are only 2 nonzero entries in the $j$-th row of $\tilde{u}$, only one of which is odd. Therefore, $\ker j \leq \ker i$. Thus, in the sum resulting from applying this $\ast$-representation, we may assume that $\pi \leq \ker i$. As we have already discarded the cases where $|\pi| > r$ we are left with one choice, namely $\pi = \ker i$. Under these conditions, we have

$$F_{\psi}[x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}] = \sum_{1 \leq j(1), \ldots, j(n) \leq k}^{\ker(j) = \ker(i)} \tilde{u}_{i(1),j(1)} \cdots \tilde{u}_{i(n),j(n)} \cdot F_{\psi}[x_1^{j(1)} \cdots x_n^{j(n)}]$$

where the last equality follows easily by the exchangeability found in equation (38).

Thus, if the sum

$$\sum_{1 \leq j(1), \ldots, j(n) \leq k}^{\ker(j) = \ker(i)} \tilde{u}_{i(1),j(1)} \cdots \tilde{u}_{i(n),j(n)}$$

is not equal to 1, then we may conclude $F_{\psi}[x_1^{i(1)} \cdots x_n^{i(n)}] = 0$, proving our theorem.

As observed at the start of the proof, we may assume $\ker i$ is crossing, and we choose two blocks that maintain this crossing. The values of $i$ on these two crossing blocks are $2\ell - 1$ and $2m - 1$ for some distinct $\ell, m \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. We now choose our projections $q_1$ and $q_m$ that do not commute, and letting $q_i = 1$ for all other values of $i$. Now a careful analysis shows that (50) reduces to one of the following:

(a) $(q_m q_1) \ast + (q_m (1 - q_1)) \ast + ((1 - q_m) q_1) \ast + ((1 - q_m) (1 - q_1)) \ast$,

(b) $(q_m q_1) \ast q_m + (q_m (1 - q_1)) \ast q_m + ((1 - q_m) q_1) \ast (1 - q_m) + ((1 - q_m) (1 - q_1)) \ast (1 - q_m)$,

(c) $(q_1 q_m) \ast q_1 + (q_1 (1 - q_m)) \ast q_1 + ((1 - q_1) q_m) \ast (1 - q_1) + ((1 - q_1) (1 - q_m)) \ast (1 - q_1)$

for some $s \geq 2$. By Lemma 6.6 these three are all distinct from 1, and our theorem is proved. 

The first part of the next result answers Question 5.3.4 affirmatively in the case of a quantum symmetric state. The second part is a careful phrasing of the fact, now essentially obvious from Theorem 6.1, that $\pi(\mathfrak{M})$ is the free product with amalgamation over the tail $C^*$-algebra of the images of $A$. It gives a sort of converse to Proposition 3.1.
Proposition 6.7. Let \( \psi \) be a quantum symmetric state on \( \mathfrak{A} \). Then \( D_\psi \subseteq \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \). Moreover, there are

- a unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( B \),
- a conditional expectation \( F : B \to D \) onto a unital \( C^* \)-subalgebra \( D \) of \( B \) whose GNS representation is faithful (on \( B \)),
- a unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( \theta : A \to B \),
- a state \( \rho \) of \( D \)

such that

- \( B \) is generated by \( \theta(A) \cup D \),

and, letting

\[
(Y, G) = (\ast_{D})_{i=1}^{\infty}(B, F) \tag{51}
\]

be the \( C^* \)-algebra free product of infinitely many copies of \((B, F)\) with itself, amalgamated over \( D \), there is an identification

\[
(\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}), F_\psi|_{\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A})}) = (Y, G),
\]

where \( F_\psi \) is the conditional expectation constructed in Theorem [5.1.10] (see also Observation [5.3.2]) with this free product pair, in such a way that

(i) the tail \( C^* \)-algebra \( D_\psi \) in \( \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \) is identified with the copy of \( D \) over which the amalgamation is performed in (51),

(ii) the \( * \)-homomorphism \( \pi_\psi \) corresponds to the free product \( \ast_{1}^{\infty} \theta \) of infinitely many copies of \( \theta \),

(iii) the state \( \psi \) restricted to \( \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \) corresponds to the state \( \rho \circ G \) of \( Y \).

Proof. Let \( B_i = C^*(D_\psi \cup \pi_i(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\psi \). By Theorem [6.1] and Observation [5.3.2] the family \((B_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}\) is free with respect to \( F_\psi \). Moveover, we have \( C^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) = C^*(\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \cup D_\psi) \). Denoting this \( C^* \)-algebra by \( Y \), the GNS representation of the restriction of \( \psi \) to \( Y \) is, of course, faithful. Since \( F_\psi \) is \( \psi \)-preserving, also the GNS representation of the restriction of \( F_\psi \) to \( Y \) is faithful. Thus, \((Y, F_\psi|_Y)\) is isomorphic to the amalgamated free product of \( C^* \)-algebras

\[
(Y, F_\psi|_Y) = (\ast_{D_\psi})_{i=1}^{\infty}(B_i, F_\psi|_{B_i}). \tag{52}
\]

By the exchangeability found in equation (38), each \((B_i, F_\psi|_{B_i})\) is isomorphic to \((B_1, F_\psi|_{B_1})\) by an isomorphism that is the identity on \( D_\psi \) and intertwines \( \lambda_i \) and \( \lambda_1 \). Thus, we may write \( D \) for \( D_\psi \), \((B, F)\) for \((B_1, F_\psi|_{B_1})\) and \( \theta : A \to B \) for the \( * \)-homomorphism \( \pi_1 = \pi_\psi \circ \lambda_1 \).

Letting \( \alpha \) denote the shift \( * \)-endomorphism of \( Y \) that sends \( B_i \) to \( B_{i+1} \), we have, thanks to the free product realization (52) and Theorem 6.1 of [1], that for every \( y \in Y \), the averages

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha^k(y)
\]

converge in norm to \( F_\psi(y) \). But this \( * \)-endomorphism \( \alpha \) agrees with the one constructed in Lemma [5.1.9] (when the latter is restricted to \( Y \)). Since \( \alpha(\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A})) \subseteq \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \), the inclusion \( F_\psi(\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A})) \subseteq \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \) follows. Thus, using the recursive description of the generation of \( D_\psi \) from Theorem [5.3.3] we get \( D_\psi \subseteq \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \). Now, letting \( \rho \) be the restriction of \( \psi \) to \( D = D_\psi \), the assertions of the proposition follow easily. \( \square \)
7. Description of Quantum Symmetric States

Now we combine Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 6.7 to formulate a description of quantum symmetric states on \( \mathcal{A} \) in terms of amalgamated free products of von Neumann algebras. Part of this result is a more complete version of Proposition 3.1 of [3].

**Definition 7.1.** For a unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \), let \( \mathcal{V}(A) \) be the set of all equivalence classes of quintuples \((B, D, F, \theta, \rho)\), such that

(i) \( B \) is a \( C^* \)-algebra,
(ii) \( D \) is a unital \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( B \),
(iii) \( F : B \to D \) is a conditional expectation onto \( D \),
(iv) the GNS representation of \( F \) is a faithful representation of \( B \),
(v) \( \theta : A \to B \) is a unital \( * \)-homomorphism,
(vi) \( \theta(A) \cup D \) generates \( B \) as a \( C^* \)-algebra,
(vii) \( D \) is the smallest unital \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( B \) that satisfies
   \[
   F(x_0 \theta(a_1)x_1 \cdots \theta(a_n)x_n) \in D
   \]
   whenever \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( x_0, \ldots, x_n \in D \) and \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A \),
(viii) \( \rho \) is a state on \( D \),
(ix) letting \((Y, G) = (\ast_p)_{i=1}^{\infty}(B, F)\) denote the amalgamated free product of \( C^* \)-noncommutative probability spaces and letting \( \pi_{\rho \circ G} \) be the GNS representation of \( Y \) corresponding to the state \( \rho \circ G \) of \( Y \), we have \( \ker \pi_{\rho \circ G} \cap D = \{0\} \), i.e., \( \pi_{\rho \circ G} \) is faithful when restricted to \( D \),
and where quintuples \((B, D, F, \theta, \rho)\) and \((B', D', F', \theta', \rho')\) are defined to be equivalent if there is a \( * \)-isomorphism \( \pi : B \to B' \) sending \( D \) onto \( D' \) and so that \( \pi \circ F = F' \circ \pi \), \( \pi \circ \theta = \theta' \) and \( \rho' \circ \pi |_D = \rho \).

**Remarks 7.2.** (a) In order to avoid set theoretic difficulties, instead of speaking about the set of equivalence classes of all quintuples, we should note that for a given \( A \), conditions (vii) and (vi) impose a limit on the cardinality of a dense subset of \( B \), so we may choose a particular Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) and work with the set of all equivalence classes of quintuples where \( B \) is a \( C^* \)-algebra in \( B(\mathcal{H}) \). However, in practice we will ignore this issue and use the sloppy language “all quintuples”.

(b) In practice, we will suppress notation for equivalence classes, and just write \((B, D, F, \theta, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A)\); implicitly, we will identify two such quintuples as being the same if there is a \( * \)-isomorphism \( \pi : B \to B' \) as described in the definition above.

(c) Condition (ix) is slightly subtle, as it may be satisfied even when the GNS representation \( \pi_{\rho \circ F} \) of the state \( \rho \circ F \) of \( B \) fails to be faithful when restricted to \( D \). Note that, by hypothesis (iv) and the free product construction, the GNS representation of \( Y \) (on the Hilbert \( C^* \)-module \( L^2(Y, G) \)) arising from \( G \) is faithful. Moreover, by Proposition 4.1 condition (ix) is equivalent to the faithfulness of \( \pi_{\rho \circ G} \) itself. Indeed, if we take \( x \in Y \) to be nonzero, then there is \( y \in Y \) such that \( G(y^* x^* x y) \neq 0 \). Then condition (ix) implies that \( \pi_{\rho \circ G}(G(y^* x^* x y)) \neq 0 \). By Proposition 4.1 we have \( \pi_{\rho \circ G}(y^* x^* x y) \neq 0 \), which in turn implies \( \pi_{\rho \circ G}(x) \neq 0 \).

Here is the classification of quantum symmetric states.
Theorem 7.3. There is a bijection
\[(54) \quad V(A) \rightarrow \text{QSS}(A)\]
that assigns to \(V = (B, D, F, \theta, \rho) \in V(A)\) the state \(\psi \in \text{QSS}(A)\) given as follows: letting
\[(55) \quad (Y, G) = (\ast_D)_{i=1}^\infty (B, F)\]
be the amalgamated free product and letting \(\ast \quad \ast_{\infty}^{1} \theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow Y\) be the free product (arising from the universal property) of the homomorphisms from the copies of \(A\) into the respective copies of \(B\), we set \(\psi = \rho \circ G \circ (\ast_{\infty}^{1} \theta)\).

We let \(\pi_{\rho \circ G}\) denote the GNS representation of the state \(\rho \circ G\) on \(Y\). Then we have the correspondence shown in Table 1 between objects defined in §5 and objects associated to \((Y, G)\), where \(B_i\) denotes the \(i\)-th copy of \(B\) in \(Y\) and where, (since by condition 7.1(ix) and Proposition 4.1, \(\pi_{\rho \circ G}\) is faithful on \(Y\)) in the left column of Table 1, we implicitly identify \(Y\) and \(C^*\)-subalgebras of \(Y\) with their corresponding images under \(\pi_{\rho \circ G}\).

Table 1. Correspondence between \((B, D, F, \theta, \rho) \in V(A)\) and \(\psi \in \text{QSS}(A)\).

| from \((Y, G)\) | from \([5]\) | label |
|----------------|-----------|-------|
| \(L^2(Y, \rho \circ G)\) | \(L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi)\) | (a) |
| \(Y\) | \(\pi_{\psi}(\mathfrak{A})\) | (b) |
| \(\pi_{\rho \circ G}(Y)''\) | \(\mathcal{M}_{\psi}\) | (c) |
| \(\pi_{\rho \circ G}(D)''\) | \(T_{\psi}\) | (d) |
| \(G\) | \(F_{\psi} |_{\pi_{\lambda_i}(\mathfrak{A})}\) | (e) |
| \(D\) | \(D_{\psi}\) | (f) |
| \(B_i\) | \(C^*(\pi_{\psi}(\lambda_i(A)) \cup D_{\psi})\) | (g) |

Proof. Given \(V = (B, D, F, \theta, \rho) \in V(A)\), it follows from Proposition 3.1 that \(\psi = \psi_V\) as described is a quantum symmetric state on \(\mathfrak{A}\). We will now verify the identifications indicated in Table 1.

By choice of \(\psi\), we have
\[(56) \quad L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi) = L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \rho \circ G \circ (\ast_{\infty}^{1} \theta)).\]

An argument used in the proof of Proposition 6.7 shows that \(C^*((\ast_{\infty}^{1} \theta)(\mathfrak{A}))\) is closed under \(G\). Indeed, it is clearly closed under the “free right shift” endomorphism \(\alpha\) of \(Y\), and by Theorem 6.1 of [1], \(G(y)\) is the norm limit
\[(57) \quad G(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha^{k}(y).\]

Now condition 7.1(vii) yields that \(C^*((\ast_{\infty}^{1} \theta)(\mathfrak{A}))\) contains \(D\), so condition 7.1(vi) shows that \(C^*((\ast_{\infty}^{1} \theta)(\mathfrak{A}))\) is all of \(Y\). This implies (b) and also allows us to make the identification \(L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \rho \circ G \circ (\ast_{\infty}^{1} \theta)) = L^2(Y, \rho \circ G)\), which yields (a).

Taking von Neumann algebra closures yields a normal \(*\)-isomorphism \(\pi_{\psi}(\mathfrak{A})'' \rightarrow \pi_{\rho \circ G}(Y)''\), which is (c).
From the free product construction \( (55) \), we see that the identification \( (56) \) of Hilbert spaces identifies \( T_\psi \) with the von Neumann algebra

\[
(58) \quad \bigcap_{N \geq 1} W^* \left( \bigcup_{j \geq N} \pi_{\rho_0}(B_j) \right).
\]

Proposition \( 6.7 \) implies that the von Neumann algebra \( (58) \) is contained in \( \pi_{\rho_0}(D)' \), while the opposite inclusion is implied by the formula

\[
G(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+n} \alpha^k(y),
\]

which follows from \( (57) \). Thus, we have shown (d).

Comparing the definition of \( F_\psi \) (i.e., \( (10) \) of Theorem 5.1.10) with \( (57) \) shows the identification of \( F_\psi \mid_{\pi_\psi(A)} \) with \( G \), namely (e).

When we consider Definition 5.3.1 of \( D_\psi \) and the identifications from Table 1 that have already been verified, and taking into account that we have, from Proposition 6.7, \( D_\psi \subseteq \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \), we find that the identification of Hilbert spaces \( (56) \) yields an identification of \( D_\psi \) with the smallest unital \( C^* \)-subalgebra \( Z \) of \( Y \) that satisfies

\[
(59) \quad G(z_0\theta_{i_1}(a_1)z_1 \cdots \theta_{i_n}(a_n)z_n) \in Z \quad \text{for all} \quad n, i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad z_0, \ldots, z_n \in Z, \quad a_j \in A,
\]

where \( \theta_i \) is \( \theta \) followed by the mapping from \( B \) onto the \( i \)-th copy of \( B \) in \( Y \). We aim to show \( Z = D \). By contrast, from the condition \( (11) \), we have that \( D \) is the smallest unital \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( Y \) that satisfies

\[
(60) \quad G(x_0\theta(a_1)x_1 \cdots \theta(a_n)x_n) \in D \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_0, \ldots, x_n \in D, \quad a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A.
\]

Since \( G \) maps \( Y \) into \( D \), we have \( Z \subseteq D \). However, since the conditions in \( (60) \) are more restrictive than those in \( (59) \) (requiring all \( i_j \) to be the same), we have \( D \subseteq Z \). This proves (f).

The identification (g) is apparent, because \( B \) is generated by \( \theta(A) \cup D \).

We have shown that \( V = (B, D, F, \theta, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A) \) yields \( \psi = \psi_V \in \text{QSS}(A) \), making the identifications of Table 1 hold. In the reverse direction, Proposition 6.7 shows that given \( \psi \in \text{QSS}(A) \), there is \( V = V_\psi = (B, D, F, \theta, \rho) \) so that \( \psi = \psi_V \) as in the construction performed above and where \( D = D_\psi, \quad B = C^*(D_\psi \cup \pi_\psi \circ \lambda_1(A)) \), \( \theta = \pi_\psi \circ \lambda_1 \), \( F = F_\psi \mid_B \) and \( \rho = \psi \mid_D \).

We must show that the quintuple \( V \) satisfies the conditions of Definition 7.1. Once we have done so, we will be able to conclude that the map \( (54) \) is onto \( \text{QSS}(A) \). We will also be able to conclude that it is injective, because by Proposition 6.7 and its proof and by the identifications in Table 1 if \( \psi = \psi_V \), for some \( V' \in \mathcal{V}(A) \), then \( V' \) is recovered as \( V_\psi \).

Of the conditions in Definition 7.1 all are clearly satisfied by \( V_\psi \), except perhaps (vii). However, from Definition 5.3.1 we know that \( D = D_\psi \) is the smallest unital \( C^* \)-subalgebra \( Z \) of \( \pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}) \) that satisfies

\[
(61) \quad F_\psi(z_0\pi_{i_1}(a_1)z_1 \cdots \pi_{i_n}(a_n)z_n) \in Z \quad \text{for all} \quad n, i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad z_0, \ldots, z_n \in Z, \quad a_j \in A,
\]

where \( \pi_i = \pi_\psi \circ \lambda_i \), and we must show that it is the smallest unital \( C^* \)-subalgebra \( \tilde{D} \) of \( B \) that satisfies

\[
(62) \quad F(x_0\theta(a_1)x_1 \cdots \theta(a_n)x_n) \in \tilde{D} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_0, \ldots, x_n \in \tilde{D}, \quad a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A.
\]
Clearly, $\tilde{D} \subseteq D_\psi$. To show the reverse inclusion, it will suffice to show that if we assume \((61)\) holds when $i_1 = i_2 = \cdots = i_n$, then it also holds in full generality. However, this follows by freeness of the algebras $\ast$-$\text{alg}(\pi(A) \cup D_\psi)$ with respect to $F_\psi$, either by appeal to the moment-cumulant formula of Speicher [13] or by elementary considerations and arguing by induction on $n$. □

**Corollary 7.4.** The bijection from \((51)\) restricts to bijections

\[
\begin{align*}
(63) & \quad TV(A) \to TQSS(A), \\
(64) & \quad \mathcal{V}(A, \phi) \to QSS(A, \phi), \\
(65) & \quad TV(A, \tau) \to TQSS(A, \tau),
\end{align*}
\]

for a state $\phi$ on $A$ and a tracial state $\tau$ on $A$.

**Proof.** To show that the map \((63)\) is into $TQSS(A)$, we use the fact that if $(Y, G) = (\ast_D)_{i \in I}(B_i, F_i)$ is an amalgamated free product of von Neumann algebras and if there is a tracial state $\tau$ on $D$ so that for each $i \in I$, $\tau \circ F_i$ is a trace on $B_i$, then $\tau \circ G$ is a trace on $Y$. This is a well-known fact that is not difficult to verify; it can be proved similarly to the case when $D = \mathbb{C}$, (i.e., showing that the free product of traces is a trace); for this latter fact see, for example, [16].

To see that the map \((63)\) is onto $TQSS(A)$, take $\psi \in TQSS(A)$ and let $V = (B, D, F, \theta, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A)$ be such that $\psi = \psi_V$. Then $\rho \circ F$ arises as the restriction of the trace $\psi$ on $\mathcal{M}_\psi$ to a $C^*$-subalgebra identified with $B$ and is, therefore, a trace. However, since $\psi$ has faithful GNS representation, being a trace, it must be faithful on $\mathcal{M}_\psi$. Thus, $\rho \circ F$ is a faithful trace, and $V \in TV(A)$.

That the other maps \((64)\) and \((65)\) are bijections is now clear. □

Because traces whose GNS representations are faithful must themselves be faithful, we can use a von Neumann algebra version of $TV(A)$ to describe $TQSS(A)$.

**Definition 7.5.** For a unital $C^*$-algebra $A$, let $TW(A)$ be the set of all equivalence classes of quintuples $W = (B, D, E, \theta, \tau)$ where

\[
\begin{align*}
(i) & \quad B \text{ is a von Neumann algebra,} \\
(ii) & \quad D \text{ is a unital von Neumann subalgebra of } B, \\
(iii) & \quad E : B \to D \text{ is a normal, faithful conditional expectation onto } D, \\
(iv) & \quad \theta : A \to B \text{ is a unital } \ast\text{-homomorphism,} \\
v & \quad \theta(A) \cup D \text{ generates } B \text{ as a von Neumann algebra,} \\
v & \quad D \text{ is the smallest unital von Neumann subalgebra of } B \text{ that satisfies} \\
(vi) & \quad E \left( x_0 \theta(a_1) x_1 \cdots \theta(a_n) x_n \right) \in D \\
& \quad \text{whenever } n \in \mathbb{N}, x_0, \ldots, x_n \in D \text{ and } a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A, \\
(vii) & \quad \tau \text{ is a normal faithful tracial state on } D, \text{ such that } \tau \circ E \text{ is a trace on } B.
\end{align*}
\]

**Theorem 7.6.** There is a bijection

\[
(67) \quad TW(A) \to TQSS(A)
\]
that assigns to $W = (B, D, E, \theta, \tau) \in \mathcal{TW}(A)$ the tracial state $\psi = \psi_W$ given as follows: letting
\begin{equation}
(M, H) = (\ast \mathcal{D})_{i=1}^{\infty}(B, E)
\end{equation}
be the amalgamated free product of von Neumann algebras and letting $\ast \mathcal{1} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M$ be the free product (arising from the universal property) of the homomorphisms from the copies of $A$ into the respective copies of $B$, we set $\psi = \tau \circ H \circ (\ast \mathcal{1} \theta)$.

We have the following correspondence between objects defined in $\mathcal{H}$ and objects associated to $(M, H)$:
\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{c|c}
\text{from } (M, H) & \text{from } \mathcal{H} \\
\hline
L^2(M, \tau \circ H) & L^2(\mathcal{A}, \psi) \\
M & M_{\psi} \\
D & T_{\psi} \\
B_i & W^*(\pi_{\psi}(\lambda_i(A)) \cup T_{\psi})
\end{array}
\end{equation}
where $B_i$ denotes the $i$-th copy of $B$ in $M$.

**Proof.** We use Corollary 7.4. Since $\hat{\psi}$ is a trace on $M_{\psi}$ whose GNS representation is faithful, $\hat{\psi}$ itself must be faithful and Proposition 5.2.4 applies. \qed

### 8. Extreme Quantum Symmetric States

In this section, we characterize the extreme points of the compact convex set $\text{QSS}(A)$ in terms of the corresponding elements of $\mathcal{V}(A)$.

**Theorem 8.1.** Let $\psi \in \text{QSS}(A)$ and let $V = V_\psi = (B, D, F, \theta, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A)$ be the corresponding quintuple under the bijection of Theorem 7.3. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $\rho$ is a pure state of $D$,
(ii) $\psi$ is an extreme point of $\text{SS}(A)$,
(iii) $\psi$ is an extreme point of $\text{QSS}(A)$.

**Proof.** The implication (i) $\implies$ (ii) is from Proposition 5.4.3 while (ii) $\implies$ (iii) is trivially true. To show (iii) $\implies$ (i), we expand on the proof of Proposition 5.4.3. If $\rho$ is not a pure state of $D$, then in the decomposition $\psi = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1 + \psi_2)$ constructed there, the states $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$ are, in fact, quantum symmetric. They are quantum symmetric by Proposition 5.1 because the family $(D_\psi \cup \pi_{\psi} \circ \lambda(A))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is free with respect to $F_\psi$. \qed

**Theorem 8.2.** Let $\psi \in \text{TQSS}(A)$ and let $W = (B, D, E, \theta, \tau) \in \mathcal{TW}(A)$ be the quintuple corresponding to $\psi$ under the bijection of Theorem 7.6. Let $R(E)$ be the set of all normal tracial states $\tau$ of $D$ such that $\tau \circ E$ is a trace of $B$. Then $\psi$ is an extreme point of $\text{TQSS}(A)$ if and only if $\tau$ is an extreme point of $R(E)$.

**Proof.** The proof is quite similar to that of Theorem 8.1. If $\tau$ is not an extreme point of $R(E)$, then there are distinct elements $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ of $R(E)$ such that $\tau = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_1 + \tau_2)$. Replacing $\tau_1$ by $(3\tau_1 + \tau_2)/4$ and $\tau_2$ by $(\tau_1 + 3\tau_2)/4$, if necessary, we may without loss of generality assume that both $\tau_1 \circ E$ and $\tau_2 \circ E$ are faithful on $B$. Thus, we have $W_i := (B, D, E, \sigma, \tau_i) \in \mathcal{TW}(A)$. For $i = 1, 2$, letting $\psi_i \in \text{TQSS}(A)$ be the state corresponding to $W_i$ under the bijection from Theorem 7.6, we have...
ψ = \frac{1}{2}(ψ_1 + ψ_2). Since W_1 ≠ W_2, we have ψ_1 ≠ ψ_2, and ψ is not an extreme point of \( \text{TQSS}(A) \).

Suppose ψ is not an extreme point of \( \text{TQSS}(A) \). By Lemma 5.4.2, there are normal states χ_i on \( \mathcal{M}_ψ \) satisfying (26), (27) and (28), and density of the range of \( π_ψ \) in \( \mathcal{M}_ψ \) implies that χ_1 and χ_2 are traces. By Proposition 5.2.4, \( F_ψ \) has a (unique) normal extension \( E_ψ \) to \( \mathcal{M}_ψ \). Let \( τ_1 \) be the restriction of \( χ_i \) to \( \mathcal{T}_ψ = D \). Then \( τ = \frac{1}{2}(τ_1 + τ_2) \). Since \( ψ_j = τ_j \circ F_ψ \circ π_ψ \), we have \( τ_1 \neq τ_2 \). Moreover, the restriction of \( τ_i \circ E_ψ \) to \( B \) (which is the state \( τ_i \circ E \) on \( B \)) is a trace. Thus, \( τ_1, τ_2 ∈ R(E) \) and τ is not an extreme point of \( R(E) \).

**Remark 8.3.** In the above theorem, if either \( B \) or \( D \) is a factor, then ψ is an extreme point of \( \text{TQSS}(A) \).

Here is an example of an extreme tracial quantum symmetric state for \( A = C ⊕ C \) where the tail algebra is noncommutative.

**Example 8.4.** We describe an element \((B, D, E, θ, τ)\) of \( \mathcal{TW}(A) \) where \( A \) is the two-dimensional \( C^* \)-algebra. Let \( B = M_2(C) ⊕ M_2(C) \), identified with \((C ⊕ C) ⊕ M_2(C)\), and let \( D ⊆ B \) be the copy of \( M_2(C) \) identified with \( 1 ⊕ M_2(C) \). Let \( E : B → D \) be the conditional expectation \( E = φ \otimes \text{id}_{M_2(C)} \), where \( φ \) is the state on \( C ⊕ C \) given by \( φ(a ⊕ b) = (2a + b)/3 \). Let \( θ : A → B \) be the unital \(*\)-homomorphism determined by \( θ(1 ⊕ 0) = p \), where

\[
p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then

\[E(p) = 1 \otimes \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

while

\[pE(p)p = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E(pE(p)p) = 1 \otimes \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.\]

Clearly, \( E(p) \) and \( E(pE(p)p) \) together generate \( D \) as an algebra, while \( D \) and \( p \) together generate \( B \). Thus, conditions \((vi)\) and \((vi)\) of Definition 7.5 are satisfied and \((B, D, E, θ, τ_2) \) ∈ \( V(A) \), where \( τ_2 \) is the normalized trace on \( D \). Since \( D ∼ M_2(C) \) is a factor, this quintuple yields an extreme point of \( \text{TQSS}(A) \), by Theorem 8.2.

Here is an example of an extreme tracial quantum symmetric state where neither \( B \) nor \( D \) in the corresponding element of \( \mathcal{TW}(A) \) is a factor.

**Example 8.5.** Let \( A = C ⊕ C \) with \( q = 1 ⊕ 0 \in A \), let \( B = M_2(C) ⊕ M_2(C) \), let \( D ∼ C ⊕ C \) be the subalgebra

\[
(70) \quad D = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} λ & 0 \\ 0 & μ \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} λ & 0 \\ 0 & μ \end{pmatrix} \mid λ, μ ∈ C \right\}
\]

of \( B \) and let \( E : B → D \) be the trace-preserving conditional expectation that sends

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}
\]
to the element as indicated in \((70)\) with \( λ = \frac{1}{2}(a_{11} + b_{11}) \) and \( μ = \frac{1}{2}(a_{22} + b_{22}) \). Clearly, the unique state \( τ \) on \( D \) making \( τ \circ E \) a trace on \( B \) is the one assigning
equal weights of $1/2$ to the minimal projections of $D$, and then $\tau \circ E$ is a faithful trace. Let $\theta : A \to B$ be the $*$-homomorphism that sends $q$ to

$$\theta(q) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{2} \right) \oplus \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{3} \right).$$

Then $E(\theta(A)) = D$, so condition $\mathcal{(vi)}$ of Definition 7.5 is fulfilled. Now we easily see that $D$ and $\theta(q)$ together generate $B$, so condition $\mathcal{(vii)}$ of Definition 7.5 is fulfilled. Thus, the quintuple $W = (B, D, E, \theta, \tau)$ belongs to $\mathcal{TW}(A)$ and, by Theorem 8.2, the corresponding $\psi \in \text{TQSS}(A)$ is an extreme point of $\text{TQSS}(A)$.

Størmer [14] found that all of the extreme symmetric states on the minimal tensor product $C^*$-algebra $\bigotimes_1^\infty A$ are tensor product states $\bigotimes_1^\infty \phi$ for $\phi$ in the state space $S(A)$ of $A$. The analogy of this in our setting is the natural embedding of $S(A)$ into the set of extreme points of $\text{QSS}(A)$, using the free product construction (with amalgamation over the scalars), but the image of this embedding is, of course, far from being the set of all the extreme points of $\text{QSS}(A)$. This situation is summarized in the following proposition.

Traditionally, when we take the reduced free product

$$(A, \varphi) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^\infty (A, \phi)$$

of $C^*$-algebras, we insist that the GNS representation of $\phi$ be faithful, which ensures that we have embeddings of $A$ into $A$ (one for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$). However, we will continue to use the notation (71) when the GNS representation of $\phi$ is not faithful to mean the reduced free product

$$(A, \varphi) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^\infty (\tilde{A}, \tilde{\phi}),$$

where $\tilde{A}$ is the quotient of $A$ by the kernel $I_\phi$ of the GNS representation of $\phi$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ is the state on $\tilde{A}$ whose composition with the quotient map yields the state $\phi$ on $A$. Now instead of embeddings of $A$ into $A$, we have $*$-homomorphisms $q_i : A \to A$, one for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, from $A$ into $A$, whose kernels are $I_\phi$. For $\phi \in S(A)$, let $\bigotimes_1^\infty \phi$ be the reduced free product state on $\mathfrak{A}$, obtained by taking the reduced free product $(A, \varphi) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^\infty (A, \phi)$ of $C^*$-algebras and composing the canonical quotient map $\mathfrak{A} \to A$ (sending the $i$-th copy of $A$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ into $A$ by the $*$-homomorphism $q_i$), with the free product state $\varphi$ on $A$.

**Proposition 8.6.** The map $\phi \mapsto \bigotimes_1^\infty \phi$ sends $S(A)$ onto the set of all states in $\text{QSS}(A)$ whose tail $C^*$-algebras are copies of $C$. The latter are extreme points of $\text{QSS}(A)$. Furthermore, the restriction of this map to the set $\text{TS}(A)$ of all tracial states of $A$ maps $\text{TS}(A)$ onto the set of all traces in $\text{TQSS}(A)$ whose tail algebras are copies of $C$, and the latter are extreme points of $\text{TQSS}(A)$.

**Proof.** The first two sentences follow easily from the details of the proof of Theorem 7.3. The last sentence follows from the first assertion and the well-known fact that the free product of traces is a trace. \qed

Another embedding of $S(A)$ into $\text{QSS}(A)$ is the affine embedding that corresponds to taking "maximal amalgamation", as follows. Let $\tilde{q} : \mathfrak{A} \to A$ be the quotient map resulting from the univeral property that sends each copy of $A$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ identically to $A$. Given $\phi \in S(A)$, let $\tilde{\phi}$ be the state on $\mathfrak{A}$ that is $\tilde{\phi} = \phi \circ \tilde{q}$.
Proposition 8.7. The map \( \phi \mapsto \tilde{\phi} \) is an affine embedding of \( S(A) \) into \( QSS(A) \), and \( \tilde{\phi} \) is an extreme element of \( QSS(A) \) if and only if \( \phi \) is a pure state of \( A \). The restriction of this map to the tracial state space \( TS(A) \) yields an affine embedding of \( TS(A) \) into \( TQSS(A) \), and for \( \tau \in TS(A) \), \( \tilde{\tau} \) is an extreme point of \( TQSS(A) \) if and only if \( \tau \) is an extreme point of \( TS(A) \).

Proof. That \( \phi \mapsto \tilde{\phi} \) is an affine embedding is clear. Thus, if \( \phi \) is not a pure state of \( A \), then \( \tilde{\phi} \) is not an extreme point in \( QSS(A) \). The element \( V = (B, D, F, \theta, \rho) \in V(A) \) corresponding to the quantum symmetric state \( \tilde{\phi} \) has

- \( B = A \),
- \( D = B \),
- \( F \) the identity map,
- \( \theta \) the identity map
- \( \rho = \phi \).

Thus, \( \rho \) is extreme if and only if \( \phi \) is pure.  \( \square \)

The tracial state space of a unital \( C^* \)-algebra, if nonempty, forms a Choquet simplex (see, for example, Theorem 3.1.18 of [12]). So the following question seems natural.

Question 8.8. Is \( TQSS(A) \) a Choquet simplex for every unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) that has a tracial state?

We also have the following results, whose proofs are similar to those of Theorems 8.1 and 8.2.

Theorem 8.9. Let \( \phi \) be a state on \( A \), let \( \psi \in QSS(A, \phi) \) and let \( v = (B, D, F, \theta, \rho) \in V(A) \) be the quintuple corresponding to \( \psi \) under the bijection of Corollary 7.3. Let \( S(F, \phi) \) be the set of all states \( \eta \) on \( D \) so that \( \eta \circ F \circ \theta = \phi \). Then \( \psi \) is an extreme point of \( QSS(A, \phi) \) if and only if \( \rho \) is an extreme point of \( S(F, \phi) \).

Theorem 8.10. Let \( \tau \) be a tracial state on \( A \), let \( \psi \in TQSS(A, \tau) \) and let \( W = (B, D, E, \theta, \rho) \in \mathcal{W}(A, \tau) \) be the quintuple corresponding to \( \psi \) under the bijection of Theorem 8.2. Let \( R(E, \tau) \) be the set of all normal, tracial states \( \eta \) on \( D \) so that \( \eta \circ E \) is a trace on \( B \) and \( \eta \circ E \circ \theta = \tau \). Then \( \psi \) is an extreme point of \( TQSS(A, \tau) \) if and only if \( \rho \) is an extreme point of \( R(E, \tau) \).

9. CENTRAL QUANTUM SYMMETRIC STATES

Definition 9.1. Let \( \psi \in QSS(A) \) be a quantum symmetric state on \( A = \star_1^\infty A \). With reference to Definition 5.1.1, we say that \( \psi \) is central if the tail algebra of \( \psi \) lies in the center of \( \mathcal{M}_\psi \). We let \( ZQSS(A) \) denote the set of all central quantum symmetric states on \( A \). The tracial central quantum symmetric states are those in the set \( ZTQSS(A) := TQSS(A) \cap ZQSS(A) \).

With reference to Proposition 8.6, we see that for every \( \phi \in S(A) \), the free product state \( \star_1^\infty \phi \) is a central quantum symmetric state. The next result is related to Proposition 4.7 of [3], though it is not precisely a generalization of it (since the states considered in Proposition 4.7 of [3], including the free product states, are faithful).
Theorem 9.2. The sets $ZQSS(A)$ and $ZTQSS(A)$ are compact, convex subsets of $QSS(A)$ and both are Choquet simplices. Their extreme points are the free product states and free product tracial states, respectively:

(72) \[ \partial_e(ZQSS(A)) = \{ \star_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A) \} \]

(73) \[ \partial_e(ZTQSS(A)) = \{ \star_1^\infty \tau \mid \tau \in TS(A) \} \]

Proof. We will focus first on $ZQSS(A)$ and we will show

(74) \[ ZQSS(A) = \overline{\text{conv}} \{ \star_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A) \} \]

To show the inclusion $\subseteq$, let $\psi \in ZQSS(A)$. By Theorem 7.3, $\psi = \rho \circ G \circ (\star_1^\infty \theta)$ where

\[ (Y, G) = (\star_D)^\infty(B, F) \]

and $\theta : A \to B$ is a unital $*$-homomorphism. By hypothesis, the tail algebra $D$ lies in the center of $Y$; in particular $D$ is commutative, isomorphic to $C(X)$ for some compact Hausdorff space $X$, and $\rho$ is in the weak$^*$-closed convex hull of the set of point evaluation maps $ev_x \ (x \in X)$. By Lemma 4.2 of [3], each of the compositions $ev_x \circ G \circ (\star_1^\infty \theta)$ is a free product state $\star_1^\infty \phi_x$ on $\mathfrak{A}$, where $\phi_x = ev_x \circ F \circ \theta$. Thus, taking a net of finite convex combinations of the $ev_x$ that converges in weak$^*$-topology of $C(X)^*$ to $\rho$, we get that the net of the corresponding convex combinations of the free product states $\star_1^\infty \phi_x$ converges in the weak$^*$-topology on $\mathfrak{A}^*$ to $\psi$. This proves $\subseteq$ in (74).

We will now show the inclusion $\supseteq$ in (74). The mapping

(75) \[ S(A) \ni \phi \mapsto \star_1^\infty \phi \in S(\mathfrak{A}) \]

is continuous with respect to the respective restrictions of weak$^*$-topologies. This follows from the facts that the $*$-subalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_0$, of $\mathfrak{A}$ that is generated by $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(A)$ is norm dense in $\mathfrak{A}$, and, by freeness, for each element $x \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ there is a list $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ of elements of $A$ and a polynomial $p$ such that $\star_1^\infty \phi(x) = p(\phi(a_1), \ldots, \phi(a_k))$. Thus, $\{ \star_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A) \}$ is a compact subset of $S(\mathfrak{A})$ and the mapping (75), since it is clearly injective, is a homeomorphism onto its image.

By a classical result (see, for example, Proposition 1.2 of [11]) using the compactness of the set

(76) \[ \{ \star_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A) \} \]

an arbitrary element $\psi$ of the right-hand side of (74) is the barycenter of a Borel probability measure on the set (76), which under the mapping (75) corresponds to a Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $S(A)$. Thus, for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

(77) \[ \psi(x) = \int_{S(A)} (\star_1^\infty \phi)(x) \ d\mu(\phi) \]

We will use this to show that the tail algebra of $\psi$ lies in the center of $\mathcal{M}_\psi$.

Let $C(S(A)) \otimes A$ denote the (minimal) $C^*$-algebra tensor product. Identify $C(S(A))$ with the unital $C^*$-subalgebra $C(S(A)) \otimes 1$ of $C(S(A)) \otimes A$. Let $E : C(S(A)) \otimes A \to C(S(A))$ be the conditional expectation given by

\[ E(f \otimes a)(\phi) = f(\phi)\phi(a), \quad (f \in C(S(A)), a \in A, \phi \in S(A)) \]

Let $B$ be the quotient of $C(S(A)) \otimes A$ under the GNS representation associated to $E$, namely, on the Hilbert $C(S(A))$-module $L^2(C(S(A)) \otimes A, E)$. Then $C(S(A))$ is
contained in the center of $B$. We have a conditional expectation $F : B \to C(S(A))$
 arising from $E$ that we can view as a compression with respect to the projection
$L^2(C(S(A)) \otimes A, E) \to C(S(A))$. In fact, (though we will not use this description)
$B$ is a continuous field of $C^*$-algebras over $S(A)$, and the fiber over $\phi \in S(A)$ is
isomorphic to the image of $A$ under the GNS representation of $\phi$. Let
\[
(Y, G) = (\bigstar C(S(A)))_{i=1}^\infty (B, F)
\]
be the reduced amalgamated free product of $C^*$-algebras, with amalgamation over
$C(S(A))$. Then $C(S(A))$ lies in the center of $Y$.

Let $\theta : A \to B$ be the unital $*$-homomorphism that is the composition of the
mapping $A \to C(S(A)) \otimes A$ given by $a \mapsto 1 \otimes a$ and the quotient mapping of
$C(S(A)) \otimes A$ to $B$.

We consider the $*$-homomorphism $\bigstar \theta : \mathfrak{A} \to Y$ sending $\lambda_i(A)$ to the $i$-th copy
of $B$ in $Y$ via $\theta$. Then $\psi = \rho \circ G \circ (\bigstar \theta)$, where $\rho$ is the state on $C(S(A))$ given
by integration with respect to $\mu$.

Note that $\theta(A) \cup C(S(A))$ generates $B$ as a $C^*$-algebra. Moreover, since the
family $E(1 \otimes A)$ separates points of $C(S(A))$, by the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem,
$E(1 \otimes A)$ generates $C(S(A))$, so $F(B)$ generates $C(S(A))$. Thus, $(\bigstar \theta)(\mathfrak{A}) = Y$.
Consequently, we may identify $L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi)$ and $L^2(Y, \rho \circ G)$ and thereby identify the
images $\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\pi_{\rho \circ G}(Y)$ of the GNS representations. Furthermore, the tail algebra $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ of $\psi$ is identified with
\[
\mathcal{T}_{\rho \circ G} := \bigcap_{N \geq 1} W^*(\bigcup_{j \geq N} \pi_{\rho \circ G}(B_i)).
\]
By Proposition 12, $\mathcal{T}_{\rho \circ G}$ lies the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi_{\rho \circ G}(C(S(A)))$,
which is in the center of $\pi_{\rho \circ G}(Y)''$. Consequently, $\psi$ is a central quantum symmetric state.
This completes the proof of (74); in particular, $Z\text{QSS}(A)$ is a closed, convex subset of $\text{QSS}(A)$ and is, thus, compact.

From Proposition 8.6, we have that every free product state $\bigstar \phi$ is an extreme
point of $\text{QSS}(A)$ and is, therefore, an extreme point of $Z\text{QSS}(A)$. From (74), we deduce that there are no other extreme points of $Z\text{QSS}(A)$, and (72) is proved.

To show that $Z\text{QSS}(A)$ is a Choquet simplex, we suppose $\mu$ and $\nu$ are Borel probability measures on $S(A)$ and
\[
\int_{S(A)} (\bigstar \phi) (x) \, d\mu(\phi) = \int_{S(A)} (\bigstar \phi) (x) \, d\nu(\phi)
\]
for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, and we will show $\mu$ must equal $\nu$. Taking $x = \lambda_1(a_1) \lambda_2(a_2) \cdots \lambda_n(a_n)$
for $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$, we have $(\bigstar \phi)(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n \phi(a_j)$. Thus, $\int f \, d\mu = \int f \, d\nu$ for
all functions $f$ in the subalgebra of $C(S(A))$ generated by the set of all functions
$S(A) \ni \phi \mapsto \phi(a)$ as $a$ ranges over $A$. This subalgebra contains the constants and
separates points of $S(A)$, so, by the Stone–Weierstrass theorem, it is dense with
respect to the uniform norm in $C(S(A))$. We deduce $\mu = \nu$, so $Z\text{QSS}(A)$ is indeed
a Choquet simplex.

Now we focus on $ZT\text{QSS}(A)$. From $ZT\text{QSS}(A) = Z\text{QSS}(A) \cap T\text{QSS}(A)$, we see that $ZT\text{QSS}(A)$ is a closed, convex subset of $Z\text{QSS}(A)$, and the free product traces are extreme points of $ZT\text{QSS}(A)$.
From what we have already shown about $Z\text{QSS}(A)$, in order to show that $ZT\text{QSS}(A)$ is a Choquet simplex whose extreme
points are the free product traces as in (73), it will suffice to show that if $\mu$ is a
Borel probability measure on $S(A)$ and if $\psi \in Z\text{QSS}(A)$ given by (77) is a trace, then
μ is supported in TS(A). Let \( a \in A \) have \( \| a \| \leq 1 \) and let \( \omega \) be the push-forward measure of \( \mu \) under the map
\[
S(A) \to [0,1]^2, \quad \phi \mapsto (\phi(a^*a), \phi(aa^*)).
\]
It will suffice to show that \( \omega \) is supported in the diagonal of \([0,1]^2\). Recall the standard notation \( |a| = (a^*a)^{1/2} \) and \( |a^*| = (aa^*)^{1/2} \). Letting \( x = \lambda_1(|a|)\lambda_2(a) \), \( y = \lambda_1(|a^*|)\lambda_2(a^*) \), we get
\[
(\ast_1^\infty \phi)(x^*x) = \phi(a^*a)^2, \quad (\ast_1^\infty \phi)(xx^*) = \phi(a^*a)\phi(aa^*),
\]
\[
(\ast_1^\infty \phi)(y^*y) = \phi(aa^*)^2, \quad (\ast_1^\infty \phi)(yy^*) = \phi(a^*a)\phi(aa^*).
\]
Thus, we have
\[
\int_{[0,1]^2} s^2 \, d\omega(s,t) = \psi(x^*x) = \psi(xx^*) = \int_{[0,1]^2} st \, d\omega(s,t),
\]
\[
\int_{[0,1]^2} t^2 \, d\omega(s,t) = \psi(y^*y) = \psi(yy^*) = \int_{[0,1]^2} st \, d\omega(s,t).
\]
From these identities, we get \( \int (s-t)^2 \, d\omega(s,t) = 0 \) and we conclude that the support of \( \omega \) lies in the diagonal of \([0,1]^2\). \( \square \)

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Weihua Liu for pointing out a mistake in an earlier version of this paper (regarding existence of normal conditional expectations) and for showing us Example 5.2.1.

References

[1] B. Abadie and K. Dykema, Unique ergodicity of free shifts and some other automorphisms of C∗-algebras, J. Operator Theory 61 (2009), no. 2, 279–294. MR2501005 (2010f:46097)
[2] S. Curran, Quantum exchangeable sequences of algebras, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 58 (2009), no. 3, 1097–1125, DOI 10.1512/iumj.2009.58.3939. MR2541360 (2010f:46096)
[3] K. J. Dykema and C. Köstler, Tail algebras of quantum exchangeable random variables, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (2014), no. 11, 3853–3863, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-2014-12116-2. MR3251725
[4] R. Gohm and C. Köstler, Noncommutative independence from the braid group \( \mathbb{B}_\infty \), Comm. Math. Phys. 289 (2009), no. 2, 435–482, DOI 10.1007/s00220-008-0716-x. MR2506759 (2012d:46152)
[5] E. Hewitt and L. J. Savage, Symmetric measures on Cartesian products, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1955), 470–501. MR0076206 (17,863g)
[6] O. Kallenberg, Probabilistic symmetries and invariance principles, Probability and its Applications (New York), Springer, New York, 2005. MR2161313 (2006d:60002)
[7] C. Köstler, A noncommutative extended de Finetti theorem, J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010), no. 4, 1073–1120, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2009.10.021. MR2565834 (2011a:46101)
[8] C. Köstler and R. Speicher, A noncommutative de Finetti theorem: Invariance under quantum permutations is equivalent to freeness with amalgamation, Comm. Math. Phys. 291 (2009), no. 2, 473–490, DOI 10.1007/s00220-009-0802-8. MR2530168 (2010h:46101)
[9] E. C. Lance, Hilbert C∗-modules, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. A toolkit for operator algebraists. MR1325694 (96k:46100)
[10] W. Liu, private communication.
[11] R. R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet’s theorem*, 2nd ed., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1757, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. MR1835574 (2002k:46001)

[12] S. Sakai, *C*-algebras and W*-algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1971. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 60. MR0442701 (56 #1082)

[13] R. Speicher, *Combinatorial theory of the free product with amalgamation and operator-valued free probability theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (1998), no. 627, x+88, DOI 10.1090/memo/0627. MR1407898 (98i:46071)

[14] E. Størmer, *Symmetric states of infinite tensor products of C*-algebras*, J. Functional Analysis 3 (1969), 48–68. MR0241992

[15] D. Voiculescu, *Symmetries of some reduced free product C*-algebras*, Operator algebras and their connections with topology and ergodic theory (Buşteni, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1132, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 556–588, DOI 10.1007/BFb0074909. MR799593

[16] D. Voiculescu, K. J. Dykema, and A. Nica, *Free random variables*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 1, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. A noncommutative probability approach to free products with applications to random matrices, operator algebras and harmonic analysis on free groups. MR1217253 (94c:46133)

[17] S. Wang, *Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. 195 (1998), no. 1, 195–211, DOI 10.1007/s002200050385. MR1637425

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-3368

E-mail address: kdykema@math.tamu.edu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Western Gateway Building, Western Road, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

E-mail address: claus@ucc.ie

Department of Mathematics, Fachrichtung Mathematik, Universität des Saarlandes, Campus E24, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany

E-mail address: williams@math.uni-sb.de