Abstract

**Background:** Pleckstrin homology (PH) domains are common membrane-targeting modules and their best characterized ligands are a set of important signaling lipids that include phosphatidylinositol phosphates (PtdInsPs). PH domains recognize PtdInsPs through two distinct mechanisms that use different binding pockets on opposite sides of the β-strands 1 and 2: i) a canonical binding site delimited by the β1-β2 and β3-β4loops and ii) a non-canonical binding site bordered by the β1-β2 and β5-β6loops. The PH domain-containing protein Slm1 from budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is required for actin cytoskeleton polarization and cell growth. We recently reported that this PH domain binds PtdInsPs and phosphorylated sphingolipids in a cooperative manner.

**Principal Findings:** To study the structural basis for the Slm1-PH domain (Slm1-PH) specificity, we co-crystallized this domain with different soluble compounds that have structures analogous to anionic lipid head groups of reported Slm1 ligands: inositol 4-phosphate, which mimics phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate (PtdIns(4)P), and phosphoserine as a surrogate for dihydrosphingosine 1-phosphate (DHIS1-P). We found electron densities for the ligands within the so-called non-canonical binding site. An additional positively charged surface that contacts a phosphate group was identified next to the canonical binding site.

**Conclusions:** Our results suggest that Slm1-PH utilizes a non-canonical binding site to bind PtdInsPs, similar to that described for the PH domains of β-spectrin, Tiam1 and ArhGAP9. Additionally, Slm1-PH may have retained an active canonical site. We propose that the presence of both a canonical and a non-canonical binding pocket in Slm1-PH may account for the cooperative binding to PtdInsPs and DHS-1P.

Introduction

Pleckstrin homology (PH) domains are short segments of approximately 120 amino acids and are present in a wide range of proteins. Despite their poor conservation at the sequence level, PH domains share a common fold that consists of a seven-stranded antiparallel β-sheet and a C-terminal amphipathic α-helix (Figure 1A). The human genome and that of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* encode at least 252 and 27 proteins, respectively, that contain one or several PH domains and these are involved in a wide range of biological processes, including cellular signaling, cytoskeleton organization, membrane trafficking and lipid metabolism [1,2].

The best-characterized ligands for PH domains are phosphatidylinositol phosphates (PtdInsPs) [1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11] and binding to this important class of signaling lipid contributes to protein recruitment to cellular membranes. The ligand specificity of each PH domain is variable. For example, the PH domain of phospholipase Cθ (PLCθ) binds phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphates (PtdIns(4,5)P₂) with high affinity (Kₐ = 2 μM [1]), whereas the affinity of the dynamin 1 PH domain for the same phospholipid is in the millimolar range. The protein kinase B (b/ak) PH domain, on the other hand, binds PtdIns(3,4,5)P₃ and PtdIns(3,4)P₂ with similar affinities, but does not bind to PtdIns(3)P or PtdIns(3,5)P₂. However, only a minority of PH domains actually target PtdInsPs with high specificities and affinities and in fact, the vast majority of PH domains either poorly discriminate between different PtdInsPs or do not bind lipids at all [12,13,14,15]. Accordingly, some PH domains have been proposed to mediate protein-protein interactions or to bind proteins and lipids through cooperative mechanisms [16].

Both X-ray crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) have been used to understand the structural determinants of lipid recognition by PH domains. In general, head groups of PtdInsPs are accommodated on a positively charged surface of PH domains and two main binding modes have been described to date (Figure 1A). First, a so-called canonical binding site has been characterized that uses positively charged residues located between the β1-β2, β3-β4 and β6-β7 loops. Canonical binding sites have been reported for a variety of PH domains, such as the PH domains of β-spectrin, Tiam1 and ArhGAP9. Additionally, Slm1-PH may have retained an active canonical site. We propose that the presence of both a canonical and a non-canonical binding pocket in Slm1-PH may account for the cooperative binding to PtdInsPs and DHS-1P.
as those of PLCδ and b/akt [6,8]. Second, a non-canonical binding site has been observed for the PH domain of β-spectrin, Tiam1 and ArhGAP9 that is formed between the β1-β2 and β5-β6 loops, adjacent to the canonical site but on the other side of the β1-β2 loop [17,18,19].

The PH domain-containing protein Slm1 from S. cerevisiae is a component of the target of rapamycin complex 2 (TORC2) signaling pathway. Slm1 plays a role in the regulation of actin organization [20] and is also a target of sphingolipid signaling during the heat shock response [10]. The PH domain of Slm1 is known to bind to PtdIns(4,5)P2 [20], and also PtdIns(4)P and a phosphorylated sphingolipid species, dihydrosphingosine 1-phosphate (DHS-1P) [12] (Figure S1). We have previously reported that in vitro, the Slm1-PH domain (Slm1-PH) interacts with liposomes containing PtdIns(4,5)P2 and DHS-1P cooperatively. Similarly, in vivo Slm1 association with specialized membrane microdomains, the eisosomes, requires the metabolism of both PtdIns(4,5)P2 and sphingolipids [12]. This led to the view that the recruitment of Slm1-PH to cellular membranes might involve a novel mechanism, in which not only PtdInsPs but also phosphorylated sphingolipids could act as anchoring ligands. We previously reported a structure for the apo Slm1-PH (at 2.0 Å) that depicted only part of the non-canonical binding site. This revealed that Slm1-PH might possess two binding sites for anionic lipids [12]. However, in the absence of a ligand-bound holo structure, the detailed modalities of ligand recognition remained largely elusive.

Here we report the crystal structure of the apo form of Slm1-PH domain at 1.76 Å resolution together with the holo Slm1-PH bound to different mimics of lipid head groups: i) a 1.68 Å resolution structure of Slm1-PH in complex with phosphoserine (surrogate of DHS-1P head group) and ii) 1.4 Å and 1.8 Å resolution structures of Slm1-PH in complex with inositol 4-phosphate (Ins(4)P; surrogate of the PtdIns(4)P head group). The results show that the non-canonical binding site of Slm1-PH is used for ligand binding, similar to the site described previously for β-spectrin, Tiam1 and ArhGAP9 [17,18,21] and which therefore suggests that this interaction mode has been conserved in yeast. Furthermore, our data also support the existence of an active canonical binding site in Slm1-PH, thus providing a structural model for the previously reported cooperativity between PtdInsPs and DHS-1P binding [12].
Results and Discussion

Ligand screening for the Slm1-PH domain

Previous experiments, based on isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), have shown that Slm1-PH binds weakly to PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ or DHS-1P alone. Both lipids need to be present for the interaction to reach affinities of 1.8 μM [12], which is similar to those observed for other PH domain-ligand pairs such as PLCδ-PH and PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ (1.7 μM; [6]), or β-spectrin and PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ (40 μM; [13]). To understand the interactions and specificities of Slm1-PH in complex with surrogate lipid head groups, more than 50 crystallization experiments were screened that included both co-crystallization and soaking techniques. Seven different soluble compounds that mimic PtdInsPs and DHS-1P head groups (Figure S1) were used either alone or in combination. These included various inositol phosphates (InsPs), phosphoserine, the sphingosine analog FTY720 and its phosphorylated derivative, FTY720(P) [22,23,24] (Table 1). Only co-crystallization experiments with bound ligands produced acceptable diffraction data. The results presented here include: (i) the apo structure of Slm1-PH [22,23,24] (Table 1). Only co-crystallization experiments with bound ligands produced acceptable diffraction data. The results presented here include: (i) the apo structure of Slm1-PH that we resolved at 1.76 Å resolution; (ii) one structure with phosphoserine at 1.68 Å resolution; and (iii) two structures of Slm1-PH in complex with Ins(4)P at 1.4 and 1.8 Å resolution (Tables 1 and 2).

Overall structure of the Slm1-PH domain

Although the sequence identity with other PH domains is rather low (~18%), the overall fold of Slm1-PH is similar to previously determined structures of PH domains [6,16,17,18,25] (Figure 1A). The core of each monomer consists of seven antiparallel b-strands, especially b1–b6, which form a wide and shallow pocket (Figure 1B and C). As the interactions between the two molecules are long and constitute putative ligand-binding sites. The interior of the core consists of hydrophobic residues. Electron densities corresponding to the Ins(4)P molecules were visible between the β1-β2 and β5-β6 loop regions (difference density maps; Figure S2). In addition, all structures in complex with ligands show regions of additional density between the bottom of the β1-β2 loop and the N-terminus of Slm1-PH. Intriguingly, we could not assign any ligand to these extra densities. Interestingly for all four structures, much of the binding space in the canonical binding site is occupied by the β3-β6 loop of the neighboring molecule (Figure 1B and C). As the interactions between the two molecules involve the backbone of β1-β2 and no specific side-chain residue, this probably represents a crystallographic packing artifact. Compared with our previously published structure at 2 Å [12], our new apo structure clearly visualizes the full β5-β6 loop, which plays a key role in accommodating ligand molecules in the non-canonical binding site (see below).

The Slm1-PH domain binds lipid via a non-canonical binding site

In our complex crystal structures, all negatively charged ligands localize to the non-canonical binding site defined by the flexible loops β1-β2 and β3-β6, which form a wide and shallow pocket (Figure 1A). As described for other PH domains, the β1-β2 sheets and their connecting loop play a central role in ligand binding (Figure 2) [2,5,8,11,16,17,18,26,27]. For the two structures determined in complex with Ins(4)P, the positions of the inositol ring overlap. In both cases, the oxygen atoms of the inositol ring contact the β1-β2 region through the hydroxyl group of Tyr485 (hydrogen bond length = 2.8 Å) and the NH$_2$ group of Arg478 (hydrogen bond length = 2.5 Å), and the β5-β6 loop through the

Table 1. Crystallographic screening for Slm1-PH domain ligands.

| Surrogate ligands | Result | Lipid |
|-------------------|--------|-------|
| Apo Slm1-PH       | 1.76 Å resolution; Ins(4)P bound | Holo form |
| Ins(4)P           | 1.8 Å resolution; Ins(4)P bound | PtdIns(4)P |
| PS+Ins(1,4,5)P$_3$| 1.68 Å resolution; Phosphoserine bound | DHS-5P + PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ |
| PS+Ins(4)P        | 2.7 Å resolution; Phosphoserine bound | DHS-1P + PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ |
| FTY720(P)+Ins(4,5)P$_2$ | 1.6 Å resolution; No bound ligand | DHS-1P + PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ |
| Ins(3)P           | 1.7 Å resolution; No bound ligand | PtdIns(3)P |
| PS+Ins(3)P        | 1.6–1.8 Å resolution; No bound ligand | DHS-1P + PtdIns(3)P |
| PS+Ins(4,5)P$_2$  | 2.0 Å resolution; No bound ligand | DHS-1P + PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ |
| PS+Ins(1,4,5)P$_2$| 2.5 Å resolution; No bound ligand | DHS-1P + PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ |
| Ins(1,4,5)P$_2$   | 2.6 Å resolution; but only in one direction | PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ |
| Ins(1,4,5)P$_3$   | 2.6 Å resolution; No bound ligand | PtdIns(4,5)P$_3$ |
| PS+Ins(1,4,5)P$_3$| 3.1 Å resolution; No bound ligand | DHS-1P + PtdIns(4,5)P$_3$ |
| Ins(4,5)P$_2$     | 3.4 Å resolution; No bound ligand | PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ |
| PS+Ins(1,4,5)P$_2$| 4.5 Å resolution; No bound ligand | DHS-1P + PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ |
| FTY720            | Too mosaic diffraction to process so far | DHS |
| Ins(4)P+Ins(1,4,5)P$_2$ | No diffraction | PtdIns(4)P + PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ |
| FTY720(P)+Ins(4)P | No crystals | DHS-1P + PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ |

*PS = phosphoserine.

*Crystals of the same protein-ligand complex were obtained in different crystallization conditions.

*FTY720 = synthetic analog of sphingosine, currently studied as a potent immunosuppressive and immunomodulatory agent [22,23,24]; FTY720(P) = phosphorylated FTY720.
- The e-amino group of Lys542 (hydrogen bond length = 2.7 Å) and the NH2 group of Arg478 interacts with the oxygen atom of phosphoserine (hydrogen bond length = 2.8 Å). In addition, the e-amino group of Lys542 from the β5-β6 loop and the e-amino group of Lys562 from the β7-strand also interact with the phosphate group of the phosphoserine molecule (hydrogen bond lengths = 2.4 Å and 2.7 Å, respectively).

Overall, the ligands show very similar binding modalities and when superposed on each other, they all share common positions in the non-canonical binding site that overlap with previously observed positions of sulfate ions in the holo form of Slm1-PH (Figure 3A). In the non-canonical binding site there are four residues that are consistently involved in ligand binding: Arg478, Tyr485, Lys542 and Lys562. Of these, Arg478 is conserved among PH domains that contain non-canonical binding sites. Interestingly, Arg478 has been shown to be important for ligand binding in vivo by mutation analysis [12]. We subsequently docked

### Table 2. Data collection and refinement statistics.

|                  | Apo       | Phosphoserine and malonate | Ins(4)P | Ins(4)P (inverted phosphate group) |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| X-ray source     | Synchrotron* | Synchrotron*             | Synchrotron* | Synchrotron* |
| Detector         | ADSC Q105 CCD @ ESRF | MAR225 @ SLS | ADSC Q105 CCD @ ESRF | ADSC Q105 CCD @ ESRF |
| Wavelength (Å)   | 0.97242   | 1.0000                    | 1.06650 | 1.06650                           |
| Temperature (K)  | 100       | 100                       | 100     | 100                               |
| Resolution (Å)   | 1.60      | 1.60                      | 1.40    | 1.75                              |

*Highest resolution shell is shown in parenthesis.

**Data Statistics for refined atoms**

|                  | Apo       | Phosphoserine and malonate | Ins(4)P | Ins(4)P (inverted phosphate group) |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| No. of molecules in a.u. | 4         | 2                         | 4       | 4                                 |
| Unit cell dimensions (Å) | 37.3,82.3,76.4, β = 90.1 | 37.5,73.6,82.5, β = 90.01 | 37.0,71.6,82.7, β = 90.02 | 37.0,71.6,82.6, β = 90.1 |
| Rmerge (%)       | 4.4 (3.00) | 9.7 (53.5)                | 4.5 (53.2) | 5.0 (35.0) |
| I/σ              | 26.02 (5.0) | 10.6 (3.3)                | 16.6 (2.5) | 18.7 (4.0) |
| Completeness (%) | 95.6 (70.3) | 98.6 (93.3)               | 95.6 (92.8) | 99.2 (96.0) |
| Redundancy       | 6.6 (4.0)  | 5.3 (6.9)                 | 4.6 (3.6)  | 3.7 (3.5)  |
| Unique reflections | 50454     | 26485                     | 80522    | 39703                             |
| No. of reflections used | 43737     | 26460                     | 73073    | 37717                             |

**Refinement**

|                  | Apo       | Phosphoserine and malonate | Ins(4)P | Ins(4)P (inverted phosphate group) |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| Resolution (Å)   | 1.76      | 1.68                      | 1.45    | 1.80                              |
| Rmerge, Rfree   | 18.8/22.7 | 21.4/24.1                 | 19.2/22.6 | 18.6/24.0 |

**No. of atoms**

|                  | Apo       | Phosphoserine and malonate | Ins(4)P | Ins(4)P (inverted phosphate group) |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| Protein          | 3692      | 1739                      | 3500    | 3543                             |
| Water            | 357       | 180                       | 380     | 273                              |
| Ligand(s)        | 10        | 31                        | 88      | 102                              |

**B factors [average B value (Å²) for the refined atoms]**

|                  | Apo       | Phosphoserine and malonate | Ins(4)P | Ins(4)P (inverted phosphate group) |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| Protein          | 27.5      | 31.3                      | 23.0    | 21.7                              |
| Water            | 35.9      | 37.9                      | 36.5    | 33.2                              |
| Ligand(s)        | 61.2      | 85.8                      | 67.6    | 69.3                              |

**R.m.s deviations**

|                  | Apo       | Phosphoserine and malonate | Ins(4)P | Ins(4)P (inverted phosphate group) |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.006     | 0.006                     | 0.006   | 0.011                             |
| Bond angles (°)  | 1.04      | 1.11                      | 1.02    | 1.83                              |

*beam line ID29 at European Synchrotron Radiation Facility at Grenoble in France.
PX beam line at Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institut 5232 Villigen PSI Switzerland.
*Rmeas is the redundancy-independent merging R-factor (intensities) Rmeas = \(\sqrt[\text{N}]\frac{\sum_j (I_{\hat{h}j} - I_{hj})^2}{\sum_j I_{hj}}\) with \(\hat{I}_h = \frac{\sum_j I_{hj}}{nj}\) where N is the number of times a given observation has been observed (ie j = 1, n).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036526.t002
PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ into the non-canonical binding site of Slm1-PH using the structure of $\beta$-spectrin in complex with Ins(1,4,5)P$_3$ [18]. The Ins(4)P and phosphoserine molecules superposed well on to the Ins(1,4,5)P$_3$ of the $\beta$-spectrin PH complex positioned in the non-canonical binding site. The resulting model shows that the PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ fits well within the binding site, making contact with all conserved residues (Figure 3B). This again suggests a key role for residues Arg478, Tyr485, Lys542 and Lys562 in building a positively charged array that is essential for the correct orientation and anchoring of the PH domain to PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$-containing membranes. Overall, our results are consistent with the view that the yeast Slm1-PH belongs to the class of PH domains that bind ligands through a non-canonical binding site. Therefore, this type of PH domain is not restricted to higher eukaryotes, and has been conserved from yeast to mammals.

Potential binding sites for a second anionic lipid head group

It has been shown that in vitro, Slm1-PH binds to both PtdInsPs (PtdIns(4)P, PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$) [9] and DHS-1P in a cooperative manner [12]. We therefore proposed that the Slm1-PH contains both non-cannonical and canonical binding sites. Consistent with this hypothesis, our structure here reveals ligand bound in the non-canonical binding site and an additional positively charged region in the canonical binding site that remains available for binding to additional negatively charged head groups (Figure 4A). This encompasses the Arg477, Lys480 and Lys483 residues that point towards the canonical binding site and are important for the recruitment of Slm1 to biological membranes in vivo [12]. Interestingly, we also observed extra electron densities next to the canonical binding site that could be filled with a phosphate group (Figure 4 and Figure S3A). However, the identity of the ligand could not be unambiguously determined. Crystallization conditions did not contain any phosphate ion but the buffer was mildly acidic (pH 6.5), which could lead to partial hydrolysis. This could become significant given the high concentration of ligand used (10-fold excess over Slm1-PH) and the long incubation time (one week) required to obtain optimal crystals. Another possibility is that the full Ins(4)P bound, but the electron density for the inositol ring was too poor to be built. Consistent with the latter, we observed that by slightly loosening the restraints, a region of density in continuation with the phosphate group emerged in one out of four molecules in the asymmetric unit. We could fit an inositol ring in this region, even though the densities for some of the hydroxyl groups were missing at 1 sigma level (Figure S3B). The oxygen atoms of the phosphate group interact with the e-amino group of positively charged Lys480 (bond length = 3.2 Å), ND$_1$ of His557, the ND$_2$ atom of Asn558, and the main-chain nitrogen atom of Asn558 (bond lengths = 2.6 Å, 2.9 Å and 2.4 Å).

Figure 2. Detailed stereo diagrams of bound ligands. (A) Interactions made by Ins(4)P (green) and the residues at the non-canonical binding site of Slm1-PH (also in green). The phosphate group of Ins(4)P is making contacts with the $\beta$1-$\beta$2 region (residues involved are shown in red). Residues critically involved in the interactions are labeled by the single-letter code and shown in stick representation (see text for details). (B) The Ins(4)P is shown in the experimental 2Fo-Fc electron density map at 1.2 sigma level. The water molecule (red) corresponds to P1 position of Ins(1,4,5)P$_3$ when docked into the non-canonical binding site. Position of the Ins(4)P is oriented to maximize the clarity of the figure. (C) Similar interactions are shown here with the Ins(4)P molecule for which the phosphate position is seen on the opposite side of the binding pocket, making contacts with residues of $\beta$5-$\beta$6 (again in red). (D) Stereo representation of phosphoserine bound in the non-canonical binding site. The dashed lines shown between atoms represent hydrogen bonds between ligand(s) and the residues at the non-canonical binding site of Slm1-PH.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036526.g002

Non-Canonical Ligand Binding in Slm1-PH Domain
respectively) through hydrogen bonds (Figure S3A). We observed that the long and flexible side-chain of Arg477 points towards the phosphate group (bond length = 8.5 Å) (Figure 4B) and the two could be modeled as close as 3 Å (Figure S3C). Our structures show that, along with other residues, Arg477 and Arg478 contribute to ligand binding in both of the two putative binding sites (Figure 4B and Figure S4). This is consistent with previous analyses, which showed that mutation of these residues to alanine either alone or in combination caused defects in Slm1 function and its ability to associate with biological membranes ([12] and Figure S4). The stronger and apparently cumulative effect of the double mutant supports the view that binding to both sites is required for Slm1 function. Taken together, our results clearly suggest the existence of an additional positively charged binding region adjacent to the non-canonical binding site.

Comparison of Slm1-PH domain with other PH domains

Several structures of different PH domains have been determined using X-ray crystallography or nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy [18,27,28,29,30]. Many of these are in complex with inositol phosphate ligand bound in either the canonical or the non-canonical binding site (Figure 5). Irrespective of the nature of the ligand, the PH domains show a similar overall fold, with a conserved topology of beta-strands and alpha-helices. However, the specific details of ligand binding vary depending on the structure. The Slm1-PH domain, in particular, shows a unique ability to bind to both the canonical and non-canonical binding sites simultaneously.
of the binding scenario (canonical or non-canonical), a series of positively charged residues within the β1-β2 loop region are relatively conserved (Figure 6; black box). A structure-based sequence alignment with other PH domains reveals that Slm1-PH is most similar to the PH domains of β-spectrin, Tiam1 and ArhGAP9, which bind to ligands through their non-canonical sites. The superposition of Slm1-PH onto the PH domain of β-spectrin shows the conserved β1-β2 loop region accommodating the ligand in the non-canonical binding site (Figure 5D and F). Indeed, Slm1-PH lacks the characteristic KXX/RXR motif in the β1-β2 loop that is present in PH domains with a canonical binding site (b/akt, Btk, Grp1 and PLCδ [5,6,8,18,19] (Figure 5E and F). Also in Slm1-PH, the most conserved positively charged residue within the β1-β2 loop (Arg478) is flipped towards the non-canonical binding site to interact with the Ins(4)P molecule. In PLCδ, a small valine residue occupies a similar position and also points towards the non-canonical binding site. This is consistent with the fact that the space between the β1-β2 and the β5-β6 loops is very narrow, which excludes the non-canonical binding mode in PLCδ (Figure S4).

Figure 5. Comparison of canonical and non-canonical binding sites. (A) Structure of the PLCδ (1MALPDB) in steel blue with bound Ins(1,4,5)P3 in the canonical binding site, which is formed by β1-β2, β3-β4 and β6-β7 loop regions; (B) Cartoon representation of Slm1-PH in green, Ins(4)P is bound in the non-canonical binding site; (C) Structure of the β-spectrin PH domain (1BTN.PDB) in wheat color with bound Ins(1,4,5)P3 again in the non-canonical binding site. (D) Superposition of the Slm1-PH (green) modeled with Ins(1,4,5)P3 onto the β-spectrin PH domain (wheat) and (E) onto PLCδ (cyan) (F) LIGPLOT representation [38] showing residues involved in ligand binding in the non-canonical binding site (Slm1-PH and β-spectrin) and the canonical binding site (PLCδ). The conserved residues between PH domains of Slm1 and β-spectrin involved in ligand binding are highlighted in pale yellow. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036526.g005
Similar to β-spectrin, Tiam1 and ArhGAP9, the β1-β2 loop of Slm1-PH contains a conserved R/KXXW motif, which is involved in ligand binding at the non-canonical binding site. The only minor difference is that the tryptophan is replaced by another aromatic residue, Tyr485. We also observe interesting differences between Slm1-PH and β-spectrin, Tiam1 and ArhGAP9 that may account for the dual binding specificity of Slm1-PH. For example, a non-conserved positively charged residue (Lys542) participates in ligand binding in the Slm1 PH, whereas a series of positively charged residues are absent within the β3-β6 loop region. Finally, in the case of Slm1-PH, ligand binding is also supported by Lys562 of the β6-β7 region (Figure 6), which is not conserved in other PH domains. These differences are consistent with the hypothesis that Slm1-PH may have altered binding properties compared with previously characterized PH domains.

In summary, here we present the first three-dimensional structures of the Slm1-PH bound to Ins(4)P and phosphoserine and show that the Slm1-PH exhibits a non-canonical PtdInsPs-binding mechanism. The non-canonical binding site is shallow, large and solvent-exposed, and so the phosphate position of Ins(4)P in one of the structures was seen on the opposite side of the molecule. The non-canonical binding site accommodated anions from the crystallization conditions, and also the phosphoserine molecule. The long β3-β6 loop occupied part of the space at the canonical binding cavity, making it less available for ligand binding. We nevertheless observed a large positively charged region behind the β1-β2 loop towards the canonical binding site that associated with a phosphate group. This putative binding surface could accommodate a second ligand and account for the cooperative binding described for the Slm1-PH.

Materials and Methods

Expression, purification and crystallization

The Slm1-PH was expressed from Escherichia coli and purified using affinity chromatography. Cloning, expression and purification of the recombinant protein used in this study were performed using the methods described previously [12].

Crystallization of the Slm1-PH domain

Initial apo crystals of the Slm1-PH were obtained through a screen with the mosquito crystallization robot (TTP Labtech) using the sitting drop vapor diffusion technique in 96-well plates with drop and reservoir volumes of 0.2 μl and 100 μl, respectively. Crystals were routinely grown in 24-well plates (Hampton Research) at 15°C by the hanging drop vapor diffusion technique. Equal volumes (0.75 μl/0.75 μl) of protein (15 mg/ml) and crystallization buffer (0.1 M MES, 30% PEG5000, 0.2 M ammonium sulfates, pH 6.5) were mixed on a cover slip, which was subsequently equilibrated against a reservoir containing 500 μl of crystallization buffer. Micro-seeding was used to obtain larger single crystals. The optimal hexagonal crystals grew in about eight days to a size of approximately 100 μm × 100 μm × 50 μm. Subsequently, sulfate ions were replaced with malonate in the crystallization conditions (0.1 M MES + 28% PEG5000 + 0.2 M sodium malonate, pH 6.5) to avoid interference with ligand binding.

Co-crystallization and soaking techniques were used to obtain complex crystals. Several crystals of Slm1-PH were obtained with and without head group analogues of PtdInsPs and lipids (Table 1). Crystals of Slm1-PH in complex with Ins(4)P were obtained by using 10-fold molar excess of Ins(4)P (Sigma Aldrich). Monoclinic rod-like crystals formed in about a week and grew to approximately 150 μm × 90 μm × 300 μm from the crystallization
conditions containing 0.1 M Bis-Tris + 25% PEG3350 + 0.2 M sodium malonate, pH 5.5. All crystals were harvested by transfer to a cryo-protectant solution of 15% ethylene glycol in the mother liquor, rapidly collected in a nylon loop (Hampton Research, USA) and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

Data collection, structure determination and refinement
Data were collected at various synchrotron beamlines at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) in Grenoble, France and the Swiss Light Source (SLS) in Villigen, Switzerland. All the data sets were processed and scaled using the XDS program package [31]. For all the structures presented here, the phase problem was solved by molecular replacement [32] using the program PHASER [33] and the coordinates of apo Slm1-PH (3NSU; [12]) as a search model. Two flexible loops (residues: 501–512 and 526–542) of the search model were truncated for the phase solution. The model was refined in alternating cycles of refinement with PHENIX [34]. Manual rebuilding and visual comparisons were made using the graphical program COOT [35].

The stereo chemical quality of the final model was assessed using PROCHECK [36]. Molecular diagrams were drawn using the PyMOL molecular graphics suite [37]. Details of the diffraction data collection, quality and refinement statistics are given in Table 2.

Protein databank accession number
The atomic coordinates and structure factor amplitudes have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank Europe, http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/ [PDB ID codes: 4A5K, 4A6F, 4A6H and 4A6K].

Supporting Information
Figure S1 Chemical drawings of the physiological ligands of the Slm1-PH. The head-group mimics, Ins(4)P and phosphoserine, are indicated by red circles. (TIF)

Figure S2 Experimental Fo-Fc electron density map prior to modeling of a bound Ins(4)P molecule, contoured at 2.5 sigma level. Ins(4)P molecule and the residues involved in the interaction are shown in the stick format and labeled accordingly. (TIF)

Figure S3 Phosphate positioned in the cavity at the back of the β1-β2 region. (A) Interaction with the residues of the β1-β2 and β6-β7 loops. The phosphate group and the residues involved in the interaction are shown in ball-and-stick format in the ribbon diagram of Slm1-PH in green. All the hydrogen bonds are shown by dashed lines. (B) Cartoon representation of Slm1-PH with Ins(4)P bound at the back of the β1-β2 loop region in one out of four molecules in the asymmetric unit. (C) Here we modeled/rotated the side chain of Arg477 (already facing towards the phosphate of Ins(4)P) that can come to within 3 Å of the phosphate group.

(TIF)

Figure S4 Superposition of the conserved Arg477 and Arg478 of Slm1-PH (green) onto the residues Lys and Val of PLCβ (teal color) and Arg and Lys of β-spectrin (wheat color) PH domains. (B) Impact of Arg477 and Arg478 mutation to alanine on Slm1 recruitment to biological membranes and Slm1 function in vivo, i.e. yeast growth and actin polymerization. Adapted from [12].

(TIF)
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