Genetic assessment of *Mangifera indica* Linn. (Mango) from selected locations in Oyo State, Nigeria
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Abstract

This study characterized five (5) varieties of mango comprising 15 accessions collected from Ogbomosho, Saki, Ibadan and other locations in Oyo State. The field experiment was laid out in a Complete Randomized Design (CRD) with three replicates. Morphological characters were assessed on the stem, leaf and fruit. Also, Molecular studies (DNA amplification and sequencing) were carried out on 15 accessions of mango. The edited sequences were blasted in the National Center of Biotechnology Information (NCBI) data website. The results showed variability in morphological characters of Mango. Ogbomosho Acc-2 performed best for leaf width (4.53cm) and lamina length (16.25cm) while Isehin Acc-1 had the highest number of leaves per seedling (7.76cm), leaf length (17.06cm), leaf area (38.84cm), petiole length (2.27cm), plant height (24.07cm) respectively. The number of leaves had positive correlation with leaf length (r=0.53), leaf area (r=0.59), internodal length (r=0.55) and strong positive correlation with plant height (r=0.73) at p≤0.05. The success rate of amplified DNA products and sequencing was 77.78%. The query coverage of 99% and 100% confirmed positive amplification and sequencing of *rbcL* gene in the mango varieties. The sequences blasted in the NCBI data website were identified to be similar to accession KX871231.1. Sequences of *rbcL* marker showed genetic differences among samples; Grafe and OGBM Acc-1. Genetic distance between varieties from the same location was most often lower with Grafe mango being the most distant variety with genetic distance of 0.114- 0.117. There were morphological and molecular variations in mango varieties and accessions. Isehin Acc-1, Saki Acc-1 and OGBM Acc-6 accessions had better growth performance.
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INTRODUCTION

Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) is a favorite diploid fruit tree with 20 pairs of chromosomes (2n = 40) and 439 Mbp genome size (Roy and Visveswaraiya, 1995; Mukherjee SK, and Litz, 2009). A perennial fruit crop, rich source of vitamins, β-carotene, minerals, and antioxidants, often called “king of fruits” for its unmatchable taste and flavor (a native of Southern Asian countries (Begum et al., 2014; Kaur et al., 2014). India is the largest producer in the world (18.0 million tons per year) (FAO, 2015), More than a thousand varieties of Mango have been identified all over the world (Rymbai et al., 2014). Mango was introduced to West Africa in the 16th century by the Portuguese and since then it has become highly diversified and accepted fruit in Nigeria and other African countries (Okigbo, 2001; Fowomola, 2010). About 63 countries account for more than 1000 million tons of mango fruit production annually with India as the leading producer (FAOSTAT, 2015).

Morphological characterization is an important traditionally tools used to study variation in different crops (Gonzalez et al., 2002) including mango (Subedi et al., 2009). Morphological characteristics are still extremely useful for identification and or differentiation of cultivars, since mango published descriptors, lists are readily available (Hoogendijk and Williams, 2001; IPGRI, 2006). Also, being an important fruit crop with huge diversity, the plant portends an important genetic resource that may be explored by breeders for improvement purposes especially the fruit characters (IITA, 2015). Genetic variation plays a key role in successful breeding programs of plants (Olawuyi et al., 2015).

DNA extraction is one of the methods used in molecular analysis of plants and the use of Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS) and Proteinase K procedure described by Goldenberger et al., (1995) has been found promising in DNA extraction with high rate of efficiency. The Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS) is a strong anionic detergent that can solubilize the proteins and lipids that form the membranes to removes the negative ions from the protein and destroys its confirmation (Goldenberger et al., 2005).

Recently, the necessity of DNA sequencing became eminent as described by Francis Crick’s theory that the sequence of nucleotides within a DNA molecule directly influenced the amino acid sequences of proteins (Mussane et al., 2010; Azim, et al., 2014).

Several studies on characterization of mango focused on morphology and use of molecular markers. There is need to provide more information on molecular sequence of mango. Hence, this study investigated the variability and relationship among the mango varieties and accessions evaluated in this study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant collections and study location

Five (5) mango fruit varieties comprising of 15 accessions were collected between March to May 2018 following the method described by IPGRI (2006) (Table 1). The geographic location of each of the sampled trees was recorded using a hand-held Global Positioning System (GPS) as shown in Table 1.

Experimental design and planting procedure

The field experiment was in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) in three replicates. The Mango seeds were processed using the procedure described by Verheij (2004). The planting was done in an open field using 1.0 m spacing within the row and column at the research farm of the Department of Botany, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

Determination of morphological characters

The morphological characters of all accessions were carried out from the first week to the twelfth week using the method described by IPGRI (2006).

Molecular studies

The Molecular experiments (DNA extraction, Amplification, purification and Sequencing) using rbcL with Hf and F0fana primers were carried out for all accessions at Bioscience Unit of the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Oyo State.

DNA extraction

Fresh leaf samples were harvested from each accession early in the morning and lyophilized at ~80°C. DNA extraction was carried out using Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS) and Proteinase K procedure described by Goldenberger (1995). Each sample (100mg) of frozen dried leaves and two steel balls was added into each extraction tube and grind into fine powder using Genogrinder-2000. Pre-heated plant extraction buffer of 450µl was added and incubated at 65οc for 20 minutes, by inverting the tubes to homogenize the sample.
Table 1: List of mango accessions collected from different locations with their coordinates

| s/n | Accessions  | Local names          | Locations         | Coordinates        |
|-----|-------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 1   | OYOM Acc-2  | Oyo mango            | Oyo               | N 08° 26 12 7      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 003° 29 22 5     |
| 2   | SAKM Acc-1  | Saki                 | Saki              | N 08° 38 39 0      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 003° 24 01 9     |
| 3   | ISEM Acc-1  | Iseyin Oro mango     | Isehin            | N 08° 40 13 8      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 003° 23 43 6     |
| 4   | OGBM Acc-11 | Mango                | South             | N 08° 06 40 6      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 004° 13 58 7     |
| 5   | OYOM Acc-4  | Oyo mango            | Oyo               | N 08° 26 01 4      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 003° 29 23 4     |
| 6   | SHRIM Acc-1 | Sheri mango          | Agunrere- Atisbo  | N 08° 24 01 3      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 003° 23 32 7     |
| 7   | OGBM Acc-1  | Mango                | LAUTECH           | N 08° 10 07 4      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 004° 16 52 4     |
| 8   | GERMAN Acc-2| German mango         | South             | N 08° 03 12 5      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 004° 08 35 7     |
| 9   | GERMAN Acc-3| German mango         | South             | N 08° 03 12 8      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 004° 05 32 2     |
| 10  | OROM Acc-3  | Oro mango            | Agoare, Saki      | N 08° 37 55 9      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 003° 24 21 7     |
| 11  | OGBM Acc-5  | Mango                | LAUTECH           | N 08° 15 07 0      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 004° 18 50 2     |
| 12  | OGBM Acc-6  | Mango                | LAUTECH           | N 08° 10 06 3      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 004° 16 49 7     |
| 13  | OGBM Acc-7  | Kerosene mango       | Surulere LGA      | N 08° 11 39 0      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 004° 16 15 1     |
| 14  | GRAFEM Acc-1| Grafe mango          | Saki              | N 08° 40 13 8      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 003° 23 43 7     |
| 15  | SWMUI IDIA-2| Sweet mango          | Idia UI           | N 07° 26 18 3      |
|     |             |                      |                   | E 003° 53 47 9     |

KEY: Oyo Mango Variety (OYOM Acc-2, OYOM Acc-4, OROM Acc-3 and GRAFEM Acc-1 accessions), Ogbomosho 1 variety (OGBM Acc-1, OGBM Acc-5, OGBM Acc-6, OGBM Acc-7, OGBM Acc-11 accessions), Ogbomosho 2 variety (GERMAN Acc-2, GERMAN Acc-3 and SHRIM Acc-1 accessions), SAKI variety (SAKM Acc-1 and ISEM Acc-1 accessions), Ibadan Variety (SWMUI IDIA-2 accession), LAUTECH (Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomosho, Oyo State), UI (University of Ibadan, Nigeria).

The tubes were later removed and allow to cool for 2 minutes before adding 200µl of ice-cold 5M Potassium acetate and incubated on ice for 20 minutes to precipitate protein later centrifuged at 10000rpm for 10 minutes and then the supernatant was transferred into freshly labeled tubes. Ice-cold Isopropanol of 2/3 volume was added, mixed gently and incubated at -80°C for 15 mins, centrifuged at 100000rpm for 10 minutes to precipitate the DNA. The supernatant was decanted until the last drop was released and 400µl of 70% ethanol was added to wash the DNA pellet and centrifuged at 10000rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatant was decanted until the last drop and air dry the pellet.

Also, 60µl of ultra-pure water or low salt TE was added to re-suspend the DNA with 2ul of RNase and incubated at 37°C for 30-40 minutes. Agarose gel of 0.8% was prepared for checking DNA quality and
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The five (5) varieties of mango and their accessions are listed in Table 1. The varieties include Oyo Mango Variety (OYOM Acc-2, OYOM Acc-4, OROM Acc-3 and GRAFEM Acc-1 accessions), Ogbomosho 1 variety (OGBM Acc-5, OGBM Acc-6, OGBM Acc-7, OGBM Acc-11 and OGBM Acc-7 accessions), Ogbomosho 2 variety (GERMAN Acc-2, GERMAN Acc-3 and SHRIM Acc-1 accessions), SAKI variety (SAKM Acc-1 and ISEM Acc-1 accessions), Ibadan Variety (SWMUI IDIA-2 accession).

The result in Table 2 shows the growth performance of five Mango varieties. The variety of mango from Oyo is significantly (p<0.05) higher for sprouting days (0.58). The number of leaves per seedling (7.76), leaf area (34.86 cm²), leaf length (17.06 cm), Plant height (24.07 cm) and lamina length (15.34 cm) are higher in Ibadan variety. The Ogbomosho 2 variety was higher for leaf length (16.32 cm) and lamina length (16.25 cm), while varieties from Ogbomosho 2 and Ibadan are significantly higher in leaf width at 4.53 cm and 4.44 cm respectively. The leaf area in varieties from Ogbomosho 2, Ibadan and Saki were higher, while the petiole length in varieties from Ogbomosho 1, Ogbomosho 2, Ibadan, Oyo and Saki were significantly higher. The Ogbomosho 1, Oyo and Saki varieties were significantly higher for lamina length.

The growth performance of Mango based on locations revealed significant difference in Table 3. The mangoes from Ogbomosho had the highest mean of 0.08 for Sprouting Days, leaf length (15.30 cm) and lamina length (14.74 cm), while Saki produced the highest mean number of leaves per seedling (9.09), leaf area (32.57 cm²), internodal length (26.74 cm) and plant height (26.16 cm). The leaf width (4.63 cm) and petiole length (2.12 cm) had the highest for Ibadan accession. Ogbomosho, Saki and Ibadan varieties were significantly higher for sprouting days (0.80), number of leaves per seedling (9.09), leaf length (15.30 cm), leaf width (4.63), leaf area (32.57 cm²). The lamina length (14.74 cm) in mango variety from Ogbomosho is significantly higher than other varieties.

The result in Table 4, shows the effect of mean square interaction of location, replicate, varieties and weeks on growth related characters of Mango. The locations, accessions, weeks, first order interaction (location x accessions, location x week) and second order interaction (location x accessions x week) had established using Pearson Correlation Coefficient and Principal Component Analysis (PCA).
The result from PCA of growth-related character in Mango (Table 5). Mango varieties in Six (6) Principal Component Axes, Prin 1, Prin 2, Prin 3, Prin 4, Prin 5 and Prin 6. Prin 1 accounts for the highest Eigen value of 2.62 with proportion of 29.00% while Prin 6, had the least eigen value 0.66 with proportion of 7% (Table 5). It was observed in Prin 1 that leaf length (0.57cm) and lamina length (0.55cm) are positively closely related. In Prin 2, Number of leaves per seedling (0.55), leaf area (0.48cm²) and plant height (0.47cm) were positively closely related. Prin 3, leaf length (-0.08cm), leaf width (-0.03), leaf area (-0.06cm²) and lamina length (-0.06cm) are negatively closely related while petiole length (0.67cm) and intermodal length (0.57cm) are positively closely related. Prin 4, Number of leaves per seedling (-0.01cm), leaf area (-0.02cm²) are negatively closely related while leaf length (0.15cm) and petiole length (0.16cm) are positively related. Prin 5 shows that leaf length (-0.06cm), leaf width (-0.03), lamina length (0.08cm) were negatively closely related sprouting days (0.05), intermodal length (0.09cm) are closely related as shown in Prin 6.

Correlation coefficient among the growth-related characters of Mango varieties at 5% level of significance (P≤0.05). The result of table 6 shows that the No of leaves per seedlings had a positive correlation with leaf length (r=0.53), leaf area (r=0.59), intermodal length (r= 0.55) and strong positive correlation with plant height (r=0.73). Leaf length produced a strong positive association with leaf width (r=0.73), lamina length (r=0.99) has a positive correlation with plant height (r=0.53). Leaf width produced strong positive correlation of leaf length (r= 0.74); Leaf area produce positive correlation with plant height of (r=0.52).

The result in Table 7 shows the genetic distance among mango accessions. OROM Acc-3 (0.002), SHRIM Acc-1 (0.002) and OGBM Acc-6 with (0.002) genetic distance are closely related than OGBM Acc-1 (0.046) and GRAFE Acc-1 (0.114), while German Acc-2, OROM Acc-3, German Acc-3, SWMUI IDIA-2 and OYOM Acc-1 (0.000) are genetically related. Also, German Acc-3, OROM Acc-3, OGBM Acc-5, SWMUI IDIA-2 and OYOM Acc-2 (0.002) are closely related than OGBM Acc-1 (0.048) and Grafe (0.117). The GRAFE Acc-1 had higher genetic distance of 0.114 to 0.117 as compared to other mango accessions. Studies of genetic diversity based on molecular markers in the selected mango varieties revealed that location also played an important role in diversity. Genetic distance between varieties from the same location was most often lower. Sánchez-Guillén et al., (2011) had indicated the influence of location in genetic diversity studies, this might be responsible for the close relationship between members originated from close locations. The success rate of amplified DNA products and sequencing was 77.78%, and DNA sequencing showed 100% query cover which is identical to the mango on the Michigan Center for Biological Information (MCBI) as similarly reported by Iquebal et al. (2017). Edited sequences were blasted in the NCBI data website and were identified to be similar to Mango accession KX871231.1, indicating the closeness of all varieties tested as shown in Table 8. However, the result from sequence analysis shows that sequencing region of amplified gene revealed genome size of 439Mbp, and this agrees with the reports of Singh (2016). The result of each rbcL sequence from NCBI database shows that all the sequences of rbcL loci were identified as rbcL sequences of Mangifera indica in which most of them had identity of 99% and 100% coverage confirming positive amplification and sequencing of the rbcL gene in mango varieties (Table 8).

Sequences of rbcL marker shows several genetic differences among accessions especially in GRAFE Acc-1 and OGBM Acc-1 (LAUTECH 1) as they didn’t cluster close to the other varieties (Figures 1 and 3). The result in figure 1 is the Dendrogram showing the relationships among accessions based on quantitative characters in fruit. All accessions in the same clusters are similar or closely related to each other. Ogbomosho 11 (OGBM Acc-11) is more closely related to German 1 and related to German 2 as shown in cluster 1. Cluster 2 had 5 accessions with Oro Mango 3 more closely related to Cherry Mango (SHRIM Acc-1). Also, Lautech 1 (OGBM Acc-1) and Lautech 5 (OGBM Acc-5) are closely related to each other in sub clusters of 4.
**Table 2: Growth Performance of Mango Varieties**

| Mango Varieties | Sprooting days | No. of leaves per seedlings | Leaf Length (cm) | Leaf Width (cm) | Leaf Area (cm²) | Petiole Length (cm) | Internodal Length (cm) | Plant Height (cm) | Lamina Length (cm) |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| OGBOMOSHO 1     | 0.36<sup>b</sup> | 6.63<sup>c</sup>            | 14.85<sup>b</sup> | 3.87<sup>b</sup> | 14.83<sup>d</sup> | 2.08<sup>ab</sup>   | 2.89<sup>b</sup>       | 20.30<sup>b</sup> | 4.79<sup>b</sup>   |
| OGBOMOSHO 2     | 0.54<sup>a</sup> | 7.33<sup>b</sup>            | 16.32<sup>a</sup> | 4.53<sup>a</sup> | 16.27<sup>c</sup> | 1.88<sup>bc</sup>  | 2.24<sup>c</sup>       | 23.39<sup>a</sup> | 16.25<sup>a</sup> |
| OYO             | 0.58<sup>a</sup> | 6.94<sup>c</sup>            | 13.36<sup>c</sup> | 3.47<sup>c</sup> | 13.78<sup>d</sup> | 1.77<sup>c</sup>  | 2.79<sup>b</sup>       | 20.95<sup>b</sup> | 13.31<sup>c</sup> |
| IBADAN          | 0.28<sup>c</sup> | 7.76<sup>a</sup>            | 17.06<sup>a</sup> | 4.44<sup>a</sup> | 34.86<sup>a</sup> | 2.27<sup>a</sup>  | 2.79<sup>b</sup>       | 24.07<sup>a</sup> | 15.34<sup>a</sup> |
| SAKM            | 0.56<sup>a</sup> | 7.76<sup>a</sup>            | 13.08<sup>c</sup> | 3.87<sup>b</sup> | 32.31<sup>b</sup> | 1.96<sup>bc</sup> | 3.10<sup>ab</sup>      | 20.62<sup>b</sup> | 11.82<sup>ab</sup> |

Mean with the different letters in the same column are significant at p≤0.05 according to Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT)
The result in figure 2 is the Dendrogram showing the relationships among accessions based on quantitative characters in seed and pulp. It consists of two main clusters. Cluster 1 had 4 accessions while cluster 2 had 11 accessions. German 1 and Oyo Mango 3 are more closely related to each other and related to Oyo Mango 3 in sub cluster of 1. In Cluster 2, cherry mango (SHRIM) 1 and Oro Mango 3 (OROM Acc-3) are more closely related. Also, Surulere 7 (OGBM Acc-7) and Sweet Mango UI 2 are more closely related in different sub cluster of 2.

The dendrogram showing the relatedness between the 15 accessions of Mango is shown in Figure 3. The dendogram showed that the plant produced a close cluster with their most identical sequence in the NCBI except for LAUTECH 6 (OGBM Acc-6), LAUTECH 1 (OGBM Acc-1) and Grafe Acc-1 which formed an out grouped. This implies that they were the most distantly related but closer to sweet mango UI (SWMUI IDIA-2), LAUTECH 5 (OGBM Acc-5) and Oyo mango 1 (OYOM Acc-1) this agrees with the observation made by Hartana (2010). The main group formed 2 major cluster with Oro Mango, German 3 mango, sweet mango and Surulere mango clustering together and closely related to the reference mango sequence while Oro Mango Acc-2, Oyo mango Acc-1, Sweet Mango UI, LAUTECH 5 and German Mango Acc-1 clustering together. Plate 1 photograph shows the gel obtained with Primer which reveals variation in mango accessions.

Table 3: Growth Characters of Mango Varieties from different locations

| Locations | Sprouting Days | No. of Leaves per Seedlings | Leaf Length (cm) | Leaf Width (cm) | Leaf Area (cm²) | Petiole Length (cm) | Internodal Length (cm) | Plant Height Length (cm) | Lamina Length (cm) |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Ogbomosho | 0.80b          | 7.10b                       | 15.30b           | 3.79b           | 20.41b           | 1.85b               | 2.29b                  | 21.36b               | 14.74b           |
| Saki      | 0.25c          | 9.09a                       | 14.79ab          | 3.65c           | 32.57a           | 2.01a               | 26.74b                 | 26.16a               | 13.98b           |
| Ibadan    | 0.33b          | 5.81c                       | 14.66a           | 4.63a           | 14.91c           | 2.12a               | 2.73b                  | 18.18c               | 14.70a           |

Mean with different letters in the same column are significant at p≤0.05 according to Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Locations Ogbomosho (OGBM Acc-1, OGBM Acc-5, OGBM Acc-6, OGBM Acc-7, OGBM Acc-11, GERMAN Acc-2, GERMAN Acc-3, SHRIM Acc-1, OYOM Acc-2, OYOM Acc-4, OROM Acc-3 and GRAFEM Acc-1 accessions), Saki (SAKM Acc-1 and ISEM Acc-1 accessions), Ibadan (SWMUI IDIA-2 accession)
Table 4: Mean Square Interaction of Location, Accessions and Growth stages of Mango

| Source of Variation       | Df | Sprouting Days | No. of Leaves per Seedling | Leaf Length | Leaf width | Leaf area | Petiole Length | Intermodal Length | Plant length | Lamina Length |
|---------------------------|----|----------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Location                  | 2  | 15.88**        | 666.58**                   | 16.82\(^{ns}\) | 62.81**    | 17983.15**| 4.48**         | 2.63*             | 3724.50**    | 23.72*        |
| Replicate                 | 3  | 0.13\(^{ns}\)  | 16.38**                    | 184.03**    | 10.72**    | 489.79**  | 1.84*          | 10.49**           | 279.33**     | 167.75**      |
| Accessions                | 4  | 2.28**         | 28.52**                    | 430.54**    | 27.30**    | 14093.79**| 5.15**         | 14.39**           | 42.11**      | 543.91**      |
| Weeks                     | 11 | 129.09**       | 511.5**                    | 1197.79**   | 69.12**    | 4263.66**| 13.49          | 79.01**           | 3722.78**    | 1164.05**     |
| Location*Replicate        | 6  | 0.13\(^{ns}\)  | 6.27**                     | 46.08**     | 1.77**     | 183.67**  | 0.63\(^{ns}\)  | 1.32*             | 238.48**     | 53.54**       |
| Location*Accessions       | 8  | 8.03**         | 167.15**                   | 245.99**    | 23.83**    | 7933.43**| 2.15*          | 13.99*            | 1067.01**    | 345.26**      |
| Location*Weeks            | 22 | 15.08**        | 21.92**                    | 5.20\(^{ns}\) | 0.88**     | 438.13**  | 1.19*          | 2.65*             | 314.35**     | 5.61*         |
| Accessions*Replicate      | 12 | 0.08\(^{ns}\)  | 8.55**                     | 51.35**     | 1.98**     | 163.39**  | 0.52\(^{ns}\)  | 1.54*             | 160.96**     | 52.47**       |
| Weeks*Replicate           | 33 | 0.12\(^{ns}\)  | 1.48\(^{ns}\)             | 8.22\(^{ns}\) | 0.38**     | 21.24**   | 0.75\(^{ns}\)  | 1.72*             | 48.01**      | 7.81*         |
| Accessions*Week            | 44 | 2.18**         | 8.39**                     | 31.91**     | 1.38**     | 365.84**  | 0.92\(^{ns}\)  | 1.29*             | 64.81**      | 33.44**       |
| Location*Accessions*Replicate | 24 | 0.08\(^{ns}\) | 11.87**                   | 37.46**     | 1.28*      | 212.03**  | 0.81\(^{ns}\)  | 2.58*             | 143.38**     | 37.33**       |
| Location*Weeks*Replicate  | 66 | 0.11\(^{ns}\)  | 0.95\(^{ns}\)             | 11.32\(^{ns}\) | 0.23\(^{ns}\) | 31.86**   | 0.83\(^{ns}\)  | 1.03*             | 34.49**      | 11.99**       |
| Location*Accessions*Weeks | 87 | 6.96**         | 13.06**                    | 17.54**     | 0.94\(^{ns}\) | 261.61   | 0.99\(^{ns}\)  | 1.47*             | 78.36**      | 16.98**       |
| Accessions*Weeks*Replicate | 132| 0.08\(^{ns}\) | 1.54\(^{ns}\)             | 10.41**     | 0.20\(^{ns}\) | 25.64    | 0.82\(^{ns}\)  | 0.74\(^{ns}\)     | 17.04**      | 10.85**       |

Note: * P<0.05 significant, ** P<0.01 highly significant, *** P<0.001 highly significant.
Table 5: Principal component axis showing the growth characters of mango

| Characters                          | Prin1 | Prin2 | Prin3 | Prin4 | Prin5 | Prin6 |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Sprouting days                     | -0.15 | 0.02  | -0.44 | 0.83  | 0.24  | 0.05  |
| No of Leaves per seedling          | 0.22  | 0.55  | -0.69 | -0.01 | 0.05  | 0.12  |
| Leaf length (cm)                   | 0.57  | -0.18 | -0.08 | 0.15  | -0.06 | -0.21 |
| Leaf width (cm)                    | 0.39  | -0.33 | -0.03 | -0.12 | -0.03 | 0.46  |
| Leaf Area (cm$^2$)                 | 0.26  | 0.48  | -0.06 | -0.02 | 0.14  | -0.58 |
| Petiole length (cm)                | 0.12  | -0.06 | 0.67  | 0.16  | 0.70  | 0.00  |
| Intermodal length (cm)             | 0.04  | 0.21  | 0.57  | 0.45  | -0.63 | 0.09  |
| Plant height                       | 0.20  | 0.47  | -0.12 | -0.13 | 0.07  | 0.58  |
| Lamina length (cm)                 | 0.55  | -0.25 | -0.08 | 0.15  | -0.08 | -0.14 |
| Eigen Value                        | 2.62  | 1.85  | 1.12  | 0.96  | 0.80  | 0.66  |
| Proportion (%)                     | 0.29  | 0.21  | 0.12  | 0.11  | 0.09  | 0.07  |
Table 6: Correlation coefficients among the growth-related characters of Mango

| Character                        | Sprouting days | No of leaves per seedling | Leaf length (cm) | Leaf width (cm) | Leaf area (cm²) | Petiole length (cm) | Intermodal length (cm) | Plant height | Laminal length | Location | Weeks | Variety | Replicate |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------|-------|---------|-----------|
| No of leaves per seedling       | -0.26          |                           |                  |                 |                 |                     |                        |              |                |          |       |         |           |
| Leaf length (cm)                | -0.31          | 0.53*                     |                  |                 |                 |                     |                        |              |                |          |       |         |           |
| Leaf width (cm)                 | 0.37           | 0.39                      | 0.73**           |                 |                 |                     |                        |              |                |          |       |         |           |
| Leaf Area (cm²)                 | -0.18          | 0.59*                     | 0.41             | 0.21            |                 |                     |                        |              |                |          |       |         |           |
| Petiole length (cm)             | 0.23           | 0.26                      | 0.36             | 0.36            | 0.19            |                     |                        |              |                |          |       |         |           |
| Intermodal length (cm)          | -0.27          | 0.55*                     | 0.48             | 0.41            | 0.38            | 0.35                |                        |              |                |          |       |         |           |
| Plant height                    | 0.28           | 0.73**                    | 0.53*            | 0.43            | 0.52*           | 0.25                | 0.49                   |              |                |          |       |         |           |
| Lamina length (cm)              | -0.31          | 0.47                      | 0.99**           | 0.74**          | 0.29            | 0.35                | 0.45                   | 0.49         |                |          |       |         |           |
| Location                        | -0.10          | -0.14                     | -0.05            | 0.23            | -0.12           | 0.09                | -0.68                  | -0.12        | -0.01          |          |       |         |           |
| Weeks                           | -0.37          | 0.69                      | 0.68             | 0.66            | 0.40            | 0.41                | 0.68                   | 0.65         | 0.66           | -0.01    |       |         |           |
| Samples                         | 0.05           | 0.08                      | -0.71            | -0.02           | 0.38            | 0.01                | 0.09                   | 0.01         | -0.14          | -0.01    | -0.07 |         |           |
| Replicate                       | 0.02           | 0.04                      | -0.14            | -0.15           | -0.07           | -0.84               | -0.10                  | 0.04         | 0.13           | 0.00     | -0.01 | 0.01    |           |

Note: * P<0.05 significant, ** P<0.01 highly significant, *** P<0.001 highly significant.
Table 7: Genetic distance comparing the relationship among the mango varieties

| Mangifera_indica |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| OROM Acc-3      | 0.002    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| SHRIM Acc-1     | 0.002    | 0.000    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| GERMAN Acc-3    | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.000    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| OROM Acc-3      | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.000    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| OGBM Acc-1      | 0.046    | 0.048    | 0.048    | 0.046    | 0.046    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| OYOM Acc-2      | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| GERMAN Acc-2    | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.000    |          |          |          |
| OYOM Acc-1      | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.046    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    |          |          |
| OGBM Acc-6      | 0.002    | 0.004    | 0.004    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.000    | 0.046    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.000    |          |
| OGBM Acc-5      | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.046    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.002    |
| SWM U.1 Acc-1   | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.046    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.000    |
| GRAFE Acc-1     | 0.114    | 0.117    | 0.117    | 0.114    | 0.114    | 0.141    | 0.114    | 0.114    | 0.114    | 0.114    | 0.114    | 0.114    |
| OGBM Acc-7      | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.046    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.000    |
| OGBM Acc-11     | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.002    | 0.000    | 0.046    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.002    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.114    | 0.000    | 0.000
Table 8: NCBI blasted result showing the level of similarities among the mango accessions and established sequences in the data base

| Accession | Species         | Max score | Total score | Query cover | E value | Ident  | Accession |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------|-----------|
| OROM Acc-3 | Mangifera indica | 1286      | 1286        | 100%        | 0       | 99%    | KX871231.1 |
| SHRIM Acc-1 | Mangifera indica | 1291      | 1291        | 100%        | 0       | 99%    | KX871231.1 |
| ISEM Acc-1 | Mangifera indica | 1247      | 1247        | 96%         | 0       | 99%    | KX871231.1 |
| GERMAN Acc-2 | Mangifera indica | 1295      | 1295        | 99%         | 0       | 99%    | KX871231.1 |
| GERMAN Acc-3 | Mangifera indica | 876       | 876         | 83%         | 0       | 95%    | KX871231.1 |
| SAKM Acc-1 | Mangifera indica | 1291      | 1291        | 98%         | 0       | 99%    | KX871231.1 |
| OROM Acc-3 | Mangifera indica | 1284      | 1284        | 98%         | 0       | 99%    | KX871231.1 |
| OGBM Acc-1 | Mangifera indica | 1288      | 1288        | 100%        | 0       | 99%    | KX871231.1 |
| OYOM Acc-2 | Mangifera indica | 1273      | 1273        | 98%         | 0       | 99%    | KX871231.1 |
| OGBM Acc-6 | Mangifera indica | 1275      | 1275        | 100%        | 0       | 99%    | KX871231.1 |
| OGBM Acc-5 | Mangifera indica | 1288      | 1288        | 100%        | 0       | 99%    | KX871231.1 |
| OGBM Acc-7 | Mangifera indica | 658       | 658         | 98%         | 0       | 90%    | KX871231.1 |
| SWMUI Acc-2 | Mangifera indica | 1299      | 1299        | 99%         | 0       | 99%    | KX871231.1 |
| GRAFE MANGO | Mangifera indica | 1303      | 1303        | 100%        | 0       | 99%    | KX871231.1 |

Figure 1: Dendrogram of showing the fruit characters of mango
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Figure 2: Dendrogram of showing the seed and pulp characters of mango

Figure 3: Dendrogram showing relationship among the mango accessions
CONCLUSION

There were morphological and molecular variations in mango varieties and accessions. Isehin Acc-1, Saki Acc-1 and OGBM Acc-6 accessions had better growth performance. The mango from Ogbomoso and Saki locations had higher growth characters. The leaf length, leaf area, internodal length, plant height and number of leaves per seeding were best characters to be selected for further breeding of mango. Hif and Fofana were promising genes for molecular analysis of mango.
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