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Abstract: The use of chicken intestine as a dietary protein source was experimented for its ability to replace fishmeal in the diet of Clarias gariepinus African cat fish. Five dried diets (40% crude protein) formulated at 0% (control diet), 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% chicken intestine meal inclusion levels were fed to fingerlings of an average initial weight 16.02g ±0.2. The experiment was conducted for 84 days and the growth parameters determined were as follows: mean weight gain (MWG), specific growth rate (SGR), feed conversion ratio (FCR), protein efficiency ratio (PER) and carcass protein and lipid (p<0.05) were observed among the treatments, 5% chicken intestine meal inclusion gave the best final mean weight gain of 47.27 g. The specific growth rate of 0.50 %, feed conversion ratio of 1.48 and protein efficiency ratio (PER) of 1.64. The carcass protein and lipid contents increased compared to the levels of both contents at the beginning of the experiment. Although, it was observed that the fish fed 10% chicken intestine gained more protein and lipid of 61.25% and 1.49% respectively, followed by 5% inclusion. However, the results showed that diet consisting of 5% chicken intestine meal could be used as a replacement for fish meal in the diet of Clarias gariepinus fingerlings without any adverse effect.
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1. Introduction

In the recent past, around 1980s in Nigeria, it was discovered that the population growth outpaced that of fish food whereby increasing the demand for fish to meet the nutrient requirement of protein recommended by UNICEF for man. (NRC, 1993). Therefore the fisher men were trying to increase their yield and his actually led to over-exploitation of capture fisheries. Hence the need for aquaculture to bridge the gap created between fish demand and supply in Nigeria to avoid malnutrition.

Today, aquaculture is the fast growing sector which supply one fourth of the fish consumed by man (World Reasource Institute, 2008). The total world aquaculture production in 2002 and 2003 were39.8 and 42.3 million tones respectively (FAO, 2004). In 2003, Nigeria was ranked top 40 aquaculture producing nation (FAO, 2005). Aquaculture has the potential to meet the high protein demand for both man and animals (NRC, 1993). In spite of this, Nigeria is still the highest importer of fish in Africa (FDF, 2002). This great set back in the development and expansion of aquaculture in Nigeria and Africa at large has been associated with the cost of fish feed, particularly that of fishmeal, which is the major sources of protein in animal feeds. However, limitation in the use of fish meal arose due to the unprecedented scarcity and increase in its price which has actually contributed to the drastic decline in fish production. Therefore to meet fish protein requirement and production, there is need for exploring cheaper feed ingredients with little or no relevance in human nutrition. One of the most promising alternatives is the use of wastes as feed resources, such as feeding fish with rumen contents (Olaniyi, 2010), cocoa husk (Falaye et al., 1999), as well as utilization of plantain peel in cat fish diet (Oloruntuyi, 1995; Falaye and Oloruntuyi, 1998). These actually showed that the use of locally available waste feed ingredients are now coming into existence.

Clarias gariepinus is a major species of aquaculture in Africa (Skelton 2001). It is cultured for its omnivorous feeding habit, high growth rate and its resistance to handling and stress (Fagbenro and Davies, 2001; Turanand Akyurt, 2005).

This study therefore investigate the growth performance and nutrient utilization of African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) fed chicken intestine.

2. Materials and Methods

Experimental Site
The experiment was conducted at the fishery section of Teaching and Research farm of Ladoke Akintola University of Technology (LAUTECH), Ogbomoso.

Experimental Fish
A total number of 250 fingerlings were procured from a reputable hatchery in ogbomoso for this experiment. The fish were divided into five groups and fifteen fingerlings were allocated to a tank of 60 litres capacity and replicated three times. The fish were acclimatized for two weeks. They were fed floating feed in order to empty their guts and prepare their appetite in readiness for the administration of the test diets.

Processing of Chicken Intestine Meal (CIM)
The chicken intestine used was obtained from a reputable poultry farm in Ibadan. The chicken intestine was oven dried, grinded and stored in a polythene sack to avoid spoilage.

Experimental Diets
Feed ingredients were procured from a reputable feed ingredient store in Ogbomoso. The major feed ingredients used were as follows: yellow maize, rice bran, fish meal, groundnut cake, blood meal. Others include Oyster shell, mineral premix, bone meal, lysine, vegetable oil and salt.
Five experimental diets were formulated: Diet 1 contained 0% of chicken intestine (control), Diet 2 (5% CIM), Diet 3 (10% CIM), Diet 4 (15% CIM), and Diet 5 (20% CIM) replacement level for fishmeal.

The feed were made into pellets with the use of pelleting machine. Pellets were sundried for two days to reduce the moisture greatly and to prevent deterioration. The feeds were packed into sacks and stored ready for use.

Feeding Regime
The fingerlings were fed 3% of their body weight daily. Feeding was done twice daily at 09:00hr and 16:00hr (morning and evening). The fishes were weighed every two weeks and their feeding regime was adjusted with respect to their body weight and survival rate. Water in the tanks were siphoned to get rid of the dirt.

Table 3: Gross composition of the experimental diet (g/100g)

| Ingredients     | D1 | D2 | D3 | D4 | D5 |
|-----------------|----|----|----|----|----|
| Yellow maize    | 24.45 | 23.45 | 23.05 | 22.45 | 21.95 |
| Rice bran       | 12.23 | 12.23 | 11.23 | 10.73 | 9.73 |
| Fish meal       | 36.45 | 34.63 | 32.8 | 30.98 | 29.16 |
| Chicken Intestine| 1.18 | 1.82 | 3.65 | 5.47 | 7.29 |
| Groundnut cake  | 14.83 | 14.83 | 16.23 | 16.58 | 17.33 |
| Blood meal      | 7.29 | 8.29 | 8.29 | 9.04 | 9.79 |
| Oyster shell    | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Mineral premix  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bone meal       | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lysine          | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Salt            | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Total           | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Crude Protein   | 40% | 39.99% | 39.75% | 39.68% | 39.70% |

D - Diet

Data Collection

The parameters measured were Weight Gain; Average Daily Weight Gain; Feed intake;

Each of these parameters was measured at 2 weeks interval. Performance characteristics were evaluated according to the method of Olvera- Novoa et al., (1990) as follows:

Mean Weight Gain (MWG) = Final mean weight (g) - Initial mean weight (g);
Average Daily Weight Gain (ADWG) = Mean weight gain (g) / length of feeding trial (days);
Percentage Weight Gain (PWG) = Mean weight gain (g) / Initial mean weight; x 100
Specific growth rate (SGR %/day) = 100[(LogeW2 - LogeW1)/N0 of days]
Feed conversion ratio (FCR) = total feed fed (g) / net weight gain (g);
Protein Intake (PI) = total feed consumed X % Crude protein in feed;
Feed intake (FI) = This is the amount of feed throughout the period of the experiment;
Protein Gain (PG) = mean protein intake (g) / length of feeding trial (days);
Protein Efficiency Ratio (PER) = Net weight gain (g) / Amount of protein fed (g) while

Protein productive Value (PPV) = protein gain in fish (g) / Protein in food (g) x100.

Chemical Analysis

The test ingredient (chicken intestine), experimental diets and the fish samples were analysed for their chemical composition according to the procedure of A.O.A.C, (1990)

Statistical Analysis

All data collected were subjected to one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using completely randomized design (CRD) in accordance with SAS (1999) and Duncan’s multiple range tests was employed to reveal significant differences among the treatment means

3. Results

The proximate composition of chicken intestine meal (CIM) is as shown in Table 2 the crude protein (CP) content was 45.50% suggesting that CIM is a good source of protein for the fish particularly the Clarias gariepinus because CIM meets the protein requirement of Clarias gariepinus fingerlings.

The proximate compositions of the diets is revealed in Table 3. 40% crude protein were recorded for all the diets. The crude fibre ranged between 4.23% and 5.42% The ether extract and ash were also within the following range 4.16% - 6.29% and 14.21% - 8.29% respectively.

Table 4 revealed the growth performance of Clarias gariepinus fed varying inclusion levels of chicken intestine. Weight gain was observed in all fish at the end of the experiment. The FMW- final mean weight; MWG- mean weight gain; SGR- specific growth rate; FCR- feed conversion ratio, MFI- mean feed intake; PER- protein efficiency ratio; PI- protein intake; were all significantly different (P<0.05).

The mean feed intake of fish fed diet 1-5 are significantly different (P<0.05). Fish fed at 5% chicken intestine showed the highest percentage weight gain while the fish fed 15% chicken intestine showed the least percentage weight gain. In the same vein Treatment 2 had the highest specific growth rate of 0.50%/day followed by T5 (0.43%/day). The lowest SGR (0.26%/day) was recorded for the control (T1). Fish fed at 5% chicken intestine - T2 produced a better feed conversion ratio of (1.48 least value) compared to the other treatments. The highest protein efficiency ratio (PER) was recorded for T5 (2.16) followed by T2 (1.64). The least PER of 1.52 was recorded for T4 (15% chicken intestine).

Table 5 revealed the carcass composition of the fish samples from the five treatments before and after the feeding trial. It was observed that fish fed 10% chicken intestine gained more protein and had the highest crude protein (61.25%) while those fed with 20% chicken intestine had the least value (57.34%). Fish fed with 10% chicken intestine gained more fat and has the highest crude fat (1.49%) while those fed with initial diet has the least crude fat (0.68%). Crude fibre value of all carcass are significantly different (P<0.05).

Fish fed with 10% chicken intestine has the highest value of ether extract (18.04%) while those fed with initial diet has
the least ether extract (14.48%). Initial diet has the highest value of ash (11.38%) while diet with 15% chicken intestine has the least ash (7.37%).

### Table 2: Proximate Composition of Chicken Intestine Meal (CIM)

| Parameters     | Percentage % |
|----------------|--------------|
| Crude Protein  | 45.50        |
| Crude fibre    | 2.15         |
| Ether extract  | 24.09        |
| Ash            | 3.42         |
| Moisture       | 7.56         |

### Table 3: Proximate Composition Of Experimental Diets

| Parameters     | D1 Control (0%) | D2 5% | D3 10% | D4 15% | D5 20% |
|----------------|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| CP             | 40.05           | 40.57 | 40.52  | 40.69  | 40.13  |
| CF             | 4.23            | 5.42  | 4.50   | 4.43   | 5.31   |
| EE             | 6.29            | 5.57  | 4.16   | 4.44   | 5.82   |
| ASH            | 8.29            | 11.68 | 14.08  | 14.21  | 13.29  |
| MOISTURE       | 15.71           | 11.81 | 10.48  | 14.13  | 10.12  |

D1-Diet 1, D2-Diet 2, D3-Diet 3, Diet 4, D5-Diet 5. CP- Crude protein, CF- Crude fibre, EE-Ether Extract

### Table 4: Growth Performance of *Clarias gariepinus* Fingerlings Fed Chicken Intestine.

| Parameters | T1 0% | T2 5% | T3 10% | T4 15% | T5 20% | SEM |
|------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-----|
| IMW(g)     | 16.09 | 16.01 | 16.04  | 16.02  | 16.24  |     |
| FMW(g)     | 44.97 | 47.27 | 44.04  | 42.30  | 45.40  | 0.03|
| MWG(g)     | 28.85 | 31.25 | 28.00  | 26.26  | 29.15  | 0.02|
| %MWG       | 179.46 | 193.16 | 174.33 | 163.92 | 179.90 | 0.03|
| SGR(%)     | 0.26  | 0.50  | 0.42   | 0.42   | 0.43   | 0.03|
| MFI (%)    | 46.63 | 47.01 | 44.14  | 42.69  | 45.89  | 0.02|
| FCR        | 1.61  | 1.48  | 1.54   | 1.61   | 1.55   | 0.03|
| PI         | 18.65 | 18.78 | 17.64  | 17.09  | 13.34  | 0.03|
| PER        | 1.55  | 1.64  | 1.57   | 1.52   | 2.16   | 0.03|

Mean a, b, c, d and e in the same row with different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05). SEM- Standard Error of Mean; IMW-Initial Mean Weight; FCR- Feed Conversion Ratio; MFI- Mean Feed Intake; PER- Protein Efficiency Ratio; PI- Protein Intake; MFW- Initial Mean Weight; MWF- Mean Final Weight; MWG- Mean Weight gain; SGR- Specific Growth Rate

### Table 5: Carcass composition of the fish before and after the feeding trial

| Parameters | Initial | C1 0% | C1 5% | C1 10% | C1 15% | C1 20% | SEM |
|------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-----|
| C.P        | 58.98* | 60.38*| 60.03*| 61.25* | 60.98* | 57.34* | 1.4 |
| C.F        | 0.68*  | 1.11* | 1.27* | 1.49*  | 0.97*  | 1.06*  | 0.03|
| E.E        | 14.48* | 15.75*| 16.39*| 18.04* | 16.12* | 16.22* | 0.04|
| ASH        | 11.38* | 7.75* | 10.41*| 7.58*  | 7.33*  | 8.45*  | 0.05|
| MOISTURE   | 9.59*  | 8.51* | 7.74* | 10.51* | 8.19*  | 9.68*  | 0.05|

Mean a, b, c, d and e in the same row with different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05). C1- Chicken Intestine; C.P- Crude protein; C.F- Crude fibre; E.E- Ether extract; SEM- Standard Error of Mean

### 4. Discussion

According to the result of the present study, gain in weight was observed in all fish at the end of the experiment. The growth of fishes were represented as a function of initial mean weight, mean food intake, feed conversion ratio and mean final weight. The lower the value of feed conversion ratio, the higher the feed conversion efficiency. Fish was being replaced by chicken intestine in feeding of *Clarias gariepinus* and at 5% inclusion level, the highest percentage of weight gain was observed.

The highest value of mean feed intake was observed at 5% substitution level with the minimum value obtained at 15% inclusion level of chicken intestine.

Abdel-Warithetal. (2001) reported 40% replacement of fish meal with poultry by product meal without effectively altering growth in the diet of African catfish. This present study however, at 5%- 20% inclusion, showed effective altering of growth of *Clarias gariepinus*. From the result obtained in this study, if 40% inclusion level was attained, there will be effective weight gain. The variation in this result from that of Abdel-Warith and Abdel- Wahab (2002) may be due to the percentage of other feed ingredients in the feed used as well as the environmental condition of the site.

In present study, SGR was not significantly higher in comparing T3, T4 and T5 which is contrary to results reported by Samocha et al. (2004) showing significant difference in SGR at high inclusion.

In T2, T3 and T4, FCR increases as the level of inclusion of chicken intestine increases, this is in contrary to Goda et al., (2007) in African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) and Shapawi et al., (2007). They reported relatively low FCR by increasing percentage replacement of fish meal with chicken intestine.

Studies of Takagi et al. (2000), Davis and Arnold (2000) also support this result. According to them, by using good quality of high protein value poultry by-product, it could be possible to replace 75% or even 100% of fish meal without compromising on the fish growth. Sealey and Hardey (2011) reported that complete replacement of FM with PBM could be possible in the feed formulation by using good quality PBM without any addition of amino acids.

### 5. Conclusion

Diet 2(5%CIM) had the best performance in term of MWG,FCR,PER, therefore, it can be concluded that chicken
intestine meal can be included up to 5% in the diet of catfish.

6. Recommendation

Based on the result obtained from the experiment, it is hereby recommended that 5% chicken intestine meal can be included in the diet of *Clarias gariepinus* without any adverse effect.
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