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Pharmacological Evaluation of Secondary Metabolites and Their Simultaneous Determination in the Arabian Medicinal Plant *Plicosepalus curviflorus* Using HPTLC Validated Method
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*Plicosepalus* is an important genus of the Loranthaceae family, and it is a semiparasitic plant grown in Saudi Arabia, traditionally used as a cure for diabetes and cancer in human and for increasing lactation in cattle. A flavonoid quercetin (P1), (-)-catechin (P2), and a flavane gallate 2S,3R-3,3′,4,5,7-pentahydroxyflavane-5-O-gallate (P3) were isolated from the methanol extract of the aerial parts of *P. curviflorus* (PCME). The PCME and the isolated compounds were subjected to pharmacological assays to estimate peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors PPARα and PPARγ agonistic, anti-inflammatory, cytotoxic, and antimicrobial activities. Results proved for the first time the dual PPAR activation effect of the PCME and catechin (P2), in addition to the promising anti-inflammatory activity of the flavonoid quercetin (P1). Interestingly, both PCME and isolated compounds showed potent antioxidant activities while no antimicrobial effect against certain microbial strains had been reported from the extract and the isolated compounds. Based on the pharmacological importance of these compounds, an HPTLC validated method was developed for the simultaneous estimation of these compounds in PCME. It was found to furnish a compact and sharp band of compounds P1, P2, and P3 at Rf = 0.34, 0.47, and 0.65, respectively, using dichloromethane, methanol, and formic acid (90:9.5:0.5, v/v/v) as the mobile phase. Compounds P1, P2, and P3 were found to be 11.06, 10.9, 6.96 μg/mg, respectively, in PCME. The proposed HPTLC method offers a sensitive, precise, and specific analytical tool for the quantification of quercetin, catechin, and flavane gallates in *P. curviflorus*.

1. Introduction

Flavonoids and flavane gallates are naturally occurring secondary metabolites in the plant species. They present in good amounts in dietary supplements and functional food. Quercetin is one of the important bioflavonoids present in many ethnic plants. Quercetin has importance in terms of ethnopharmacology due to its use as anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anticancer, antiobesity, and antiatherosclerotic activities [1, 2].

The flavane gallates, catechins, and their derivatives have attracted attention in terms of beneficial effects on human
health, due to their high availability, less toxic effect, and low cost [3]. Previous investigations on catechins reported different types of biological activities such as antitumor, antioxidative, and antimicrobial activities [4, 5].

\textit{Plicosepalus curviflorus} is one of the two known species grown in Saudi Arabia and belongs to Loranthaceae family. This parasitic plant found to be growing in East Africa, North East Africa, Saudi Arabia, and Yemen [6, 7]. In the traditional system of medicine, the stems were valued for cancer in Yemen [7, 8], while the leaves of the plant were reported for curing diabetes in Saudi Arabia [9]. Various phytochemical studies of crude leaves of \textit{P. curviflorus} showed the presence of flavonoids, flavane gallates, sterols, and terpenoids [10]. For instance, in our previous phytochemical investigation on \textit{P. curviflorus} growing in Saudi Arabia, we isolated the quercetin (P1), catechin (P2), and flavane gallate 2S, 3R-3,3',4',5,7-pentahydroxyflavane-5-O-gallate (P3) (Figure 1) from the aerial parts of the plant and proved their hypoglycemic effect [11].

Consequently, the present study was focused on further pharmacological investigations of the plant extract and isolated compounds in order to explore their peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors PPARα, anti-inflammatory, cytotoxic, and antimicrobial effects to verify its traditional uses and try to refer activities to the isolated compounds.

The HPTLC (high-performance thin-layer chromatography) has been widely used recently in the quality control of herbs and their formulations due to its low operation cost, high sample throughput, and small mobile phase requirement. Different wavelengths of light which observed with specific types of analyses can give a complete profile of the plant extract. It is widely used for the identification, stability, dissolution, purity testing, or content uniformity of crude extracts of the plant [12].

The good pharmacological activities shown by the methanol extract of \textit{P. curviflorus} and its isolated compounds (P1, P2, and P3) motivated the authors for concurrent analysis of biomarkers P1, P2, and P3 in the methanol extract of \textit{P. curviflorus} by a validated HPTLC method.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Phytochemical and Spectroscopic Procedures. All the spectroscopic techniques used were the same as reported earlier [11].

2.2. Plant Materials. The aerial parts of \textit{P. curviflorus} were collected from Hijaz, Saudi Arabia, and identified by a plant taxonomist of Pharmacy College, King Saud University. A voucher specimen (No. 127) has been placed in the herbarium of Pharmacognosy Department, King Saud University.

2.2.1. Extraction and Phytochemical Isolation from \textit{P. curviflorus}. The aerial parts of the plant (500 g) were ground after shade-dried and successfully extracted with methanol (2 × 1.5 L) at room temperature. Isolation of compounds P1, P2, and P3 has been described in our previous published article on the plant [11].

2.3. HPTLC Instrumentation and Conditions. The HPTLC analyses of compounds P1, P2, and P3 in \textit{P. curviflorus} methanol extract (PCME) were performed on (20 × 10 cm) precoated silica gel F254 HPTLC plates. During the experiment, the microliter syringe adjusted with the Automatic TLC Sampler-4 was used for spotting the samples and the extract on a HPTLC plate at a rate of 160 nL/sec. The plate was developed under certain condition using GAMAC Automated Developing Chamber-2 (ADC-2). In order to improve resolution and separation of different compounds existing in \textit{P. curviflorus}, a number of trials for best mobile phases combinations were carried out. We found that dichloromethane: methanol: formic acid in the ratio of 90:9.5:0.5 (v/v/v) is the appropriate mobile phase mixture which was chosen to carry out the analysis. The following chamber saturation condition (at 25 ± 2°C and 60 ± 5% humidity) using a twin-trough glass chamber (20 × 10 cm) was prepared for the development of the plate. Then, the developed plate was dried and scanned by GAMAG TLC Scanner-3 at λ ~ 460 nm (wavelength) in the mode of absorbance.

2.4. Preparation of Stock Solutions (Standards). Seven different concentrations (10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, and 120 μg/mL) were prepared from the serial dilution of each standard P1, P2, and P3 stock solutions (1 mg/mL) dissolved in methanol. In order to get a linearity range of 100–1200 ng/band, 10 μL of each concentration of P1, P2, and P3 were spotted on the HPTLC plate.

2.5. Validation of the Method. The projected HPTLC method validation was prepared according to the ICH guideline (ICH, 2005) for LOD (limit of detection), LOQ (limit of quantification), precision, linearity range, robustness, recovery, and accuracy.

2.5.1. Accuracy. The standard addition method was used to determine accuracy. Compounds 1–3 (200 ng/band) were spiked with the extra 0.50, 100, and 150% of P1, P2, and P3, and the solutions were reanalyzed in six replicates (n = 6) by the proposed method. The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and recovery took place.

2.5.2. Precision. Three different concentration values 400, 600, and 800 ng/band of compounds P1, P2, and P3 were prepared by duplicate analyses (n = 6) for calculation of interday and intraday precision of the proposed method. Intraday assays were repeated on three different days for determination of the interday precision.

2.5.3. Robustness. Small deliberate changes were made to the mobile phase composition, mobile phase volume, and duration of mobile phase saturation for analyzing robustness.
The results were determined in terms of %RSD and SD of peak areas after three times repetition of the experiment at 300 ng/band. Different amounts of dichloromethane: methanol:formic acid (v/v/v) were prepared for the chromatography mobile phase. Activation of plates took place for 30 minutes at 110°C before chromatography process.

2.5.4. LOQ and LOD. The slope (S) of the calibration curve and the standard deviation (SD) of the response are parameters used to identify the LOQ and the LOD according to the formula: \( \text{LOQ} = 10 \left( \frac{\text{SD}}{S} \right) \) and \( \text{LOD} = 3.3 \left( \frac{\text{SD}}{S} \right) \). Taking into consideration that LOQ is the lowest amount that can be determined at a distinct level of accuracy or precision and the LOD is the minimum amount of an analyte that may be calculated from the assay background at a distinct level of confidence.

2.5.5. Assay of Compounds \( \text{P1}, \text{P2}, \) and \( \text{P3} \). Compounds \( \text{P1}, \text{P2}, \) and \( \text{P3} \) and PCME were applied on HPTLC plates. The percentage of the three compounds present in PCME was identified by calculating the area for the markers in PCME.

2.5.6. Statistical Analysis. The statistical analysis was used to determine the total variation in a set of data. Two methods were occupied for this purpose: Dunnet’s test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The achieved results were indicated as mean ± SD; differences were considered significant at \( P \) values <0.05.

2.6. Biological Study

2.6.1. PPAR\( \alpha \) and PPAR\( \gamma \) Agonistic Activity. A reporter gene assay was carried out as described previously [13]. Briefly, upon confluency, the different concentrations of the test samples were exposed to transfected cells for 24 hrs. Vehicle control was taken into consideration when the fold induction in luciferase activity was calculated. Both rosiglitazone and ciprofibrate were used as control drugs for PPAR\( \alpha \) and PPAR\( \gamma \), respectively.

2.6.2. Assay for Antioxidant Activity. Human hepatoma cell line (HepG2) was the cell line of choice in this study according to the previously described method [14]. At a density of 60,000 cells/well, the HepG2 cells were seeded in a 96-well plate and incubated for 24 h for confluency. PBS was used for washing the cells, followed by samples treatment. The SpectraMax plate reader was used for reading the plates after addition of 600 µM of ABAP. The area under the curve (AUC) of fluorescence units and time were two parameters used for indication of the percent decrease in oxidative stress. Percent decrease in oxidative stress \( = \frac{100 - (\text{AUC sample}/\text{AUC control}) \times 100}{} \). Quercetin was used as a positive control.

2.6.3. Assay for iNOS Inhibition. Mouse macrophage (RAW264.7) cells were seeded in the wells of 96-well plates followed by 24 hrs for confluency according to the method described previously [13, 14]. The test samples were treated with LPS (5 µg/mL) and followed by 24-hour incubation as well. The nitrite level in cell supernatant was measured by Griess reagent. The dose response curves gave indication for IC\( _{50} \) values. Parthenolide was used as positive control.

2.6.4. Reporter Gene Assay for Inhibition of NF-κB Activity. According to the method described earlier [12, 13], the 96-well plates with density of 1.25 × 10\(^5\) cells per well were seeded with human chondrosarcoma cells (SW1353) transfected with NF-κB luciferase plasmid construct. After incubation for 24 h, cells were treated with the test samples for half an hour followed by PMA (70 ng/mL) for 8 h. Luciferase assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was used for determination of luciferase activity, and parthenolide was used as the positive control in this test.

2.6.5. Assay for Cytotoxicity. Four human cancer cell lines (SK-OV-3, SK-MEL, BT-549 and KB) and two non-cancerous kidney cell lines (VERO and LLC-PK1) were measured in the in vitro cytotoxic study. Test samples were added on the cell lines with variable concentrations, followed by 48 h incubation. According to the Borenfreund method, the cell viability was determined at the end of incubation [15], using doxorubicin as a positive control.
2.6.6. Antimicrobial Assay. The antimicrobial activity of PCME and isolated compounds P1, P2, and P3 was assessed against Gram-negative Enterobacter xiangfangensis (CP017183.1), Escherichia fergusonii (CU928158.2), and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (NR-117678.1) and Gram-positive Staphylococcus aureus (CP011526.1) and Bacillus licheniformis (KX785171.1) using well diffusion and broth microdilution techniques as described by Bergh and Viletinck [16]. The bacterial strains were suspended in a nutrient broth for 24 hr, and the suspension then spread on Mueller-Hinton agar. Wells were loaded with 10 µL of the PCME, P1, P2, and P3. Amikacin was used as the positive control. The clear area which was free of microbial growth was measured to detect the diameter of the zone of inhibition (mean of triplicates ± SD), and the least concentration of the PCME and P1, P2, and P3 that did not show any visible growth of the bacteria in broth culture (MIC) was calculated as well.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Biological Evaluation of PCME and P1, P2, and P3.

Genus Plicosepalus comprises two species in Saudi Arabia. Previous work on this genus reported antiviral, antidiabetic, and cytotoxic activities. Little phytochemical and biological attention was drawn on the plant, and few previous studies and cytotoxic activities. Little phytochemical and biological information about its active constitutes.
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3.2. HPTLC Method Development and Validation.

Different solvent compositions were analyzed in order to choose the appropriate mobile phase for HPTLC analysis. Out of these, a mixture of dichloromethane:methanol:formic acid in the ratio of 90:9.5:0.5 (v/v/v) was found to be the most effective mobile phase for the estimation P1, P2, and P3. Sharp and compact peaks of P1, P2, and P3 took place at $R_t = 0.34, 0.47,$ and 0.65, respectively (Figure 2(a)), with good separation of the biomarkers from the matrix and other several constituents of the PCME (Figure 2(b)). 20 mL and 20 min were the optimized mobile phase volume for saturation and saturation time, respectively. High-resolution baseline affects the selectivity of our developed method. The identities of the bands of the extracts were confirmed by overlaying their spectra along with the spectra of standards (Figure 2(d)). The regression equation/square of correlation coefficients ($r^2$) for P1, P2, and P3 were found as $Y = 2.725X + 122.44/0.993, Y = 1.675X + 755.87/0.993, and Y = 52.008X + 211.51/0.9972$, respectively, in the linearity range 100–1200 ng/spot. The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were found as 31.04/94.06, 29.23/88.58, and 12.33/37.36, respectively, for P1, P2, and P3 (Table 5). The accuracy values of the proposed method for estimation of P1, P2, and P3 were observed in terms of % recovery and %RSD, as listed in (Table 6). The recovery/RSD (%) for P1, P2, and P3 was found as 98.89–99.72/1.25–1.35, 98.57–99.07/1.41–1.52, 98.36–99.22/1.21–1.36, respectively (Table 5). The %RSD for intraday/interday precisions ($n = 6$) were detected as 1.15–1.20/1.11–1.20, 1.17–1.27/1.12–1.21, and 1.27–1.33/1.271.32 respectively, for P1, P2, and P3 which...
exhibited good precision of the proposed method (Table 7). The robustness study was determined by introducing little deliberate changes in the mobile phase constituent, saturation duration time, and the volume of mobile phase, and the recorded data in the form of SD and %RSD values are listed in Table 8. The low values of SD and %RSD showed that the proposed method was robust.

3.3. Estimation of $P_1$, $P_2$, and $P_3$ in PCME by HPTLC.

The developed HPTLC technique was used for quantitative analysis of $P_1$, $P_2$, and $P_3$ in PCME. These biologically active markers were found to be present in PCME (Figure 2(c)). The quantities ($\mu$g/mg of the dried weight of extracts) of biomarkers $P_1$, $P_2$, and $P_3$ in PCME were found in the following order: 11.06 > 10.9 > 6.96 $\mu$g/mg (Table 9). This

---

**Table 1: PPAR agonistic activity of PCME and $P_1$, $P_2$, and $P_3$.**

| Sample name | PPAR alpha 50 $\mu$g/mL | 25 $\mu$g/mL | 12.5 $\mu$g/mL | 50 $\mu$g/mL | PPAR gamma 25 $\mu$g/mL | 12.5 $\mu$g/mL |
|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| PCME        | 1.50                     | 1.31        | 1.21         | 1.90        | 1.90                     | 1.60         |
| $P_1$       | NA                       | NA          | NA           | NA          | NA                       | NA           |
| $P_2$       | 1.47                     | 1.31        | 1.19         | 1.53        | 1.55                     | 1.19         |
| $P_3$       | NA                       | NA          | NA           | NA          | NA                       | NA           |
| Ciprofibrate (10 $\mu$M) | 2.2                  | NA          | NA           | NA          | NA                       | NA           |
| Rosiglitazone (10 $\mu$M) | NT                  | 3.7         |              |             |                          |              |

NA = not active.

**Table 2: Anti-inflammatory activity of PCME and $P_1$, $P_2$, and $P_3$.**

| Sample name | % decrease in oxidative stress | iNOS inhibition (IC$_{50}$ $\mu$g/mL) | NF-kB inhibition (IC$_{50}$ $\mu$g/mL) |
|-------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| PCME        | 66                            | NA                                    | NA                                    |
| $P_1$       | 52                            | 48                                    | NA                                    |
| $P_2$       | 39                            | >50                                   | NA                                    |
| $P_3$       | 57                            | NA                                    | NA                                    |
| Quercetin (50 $\mu$M)$^b$ | 75                      | —                                     | —                                     |
| Parthenolide$^b$ | —                         | 0.35 ± 0.22                          | 0.5 ± 0.21                           |

$^a$Sample name $^b$Positive control. NA = no activity up to 100 $\mu$g/mL (NF-kB, iNOS) and 500 $\mu$g/mL (oxidative stress).

**Table 3: Cytotoxicity of PCME and $P_1$, $P_2$, and $P_3$.**

| Sample name | Cancer cells (IC$_{50}$ $\mu$g/mL) | Noncancer cells (IC$_{50}$ $\mu$g/mL) |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| PCME        | SK-MEL 76 | KB 60 | BT-549 92 | SK-OV-3 70 | VERO 70 | LLC-PK11 70 |
| $P_1$       | NA       | NA   | NA       | NA        | NA        | NA        |
| $P_2$       | NA       | NA   | NA       | NA        | NA        | NA        |
| $P_3$       | NA       | NA   | NA       | NA        | NA        | NA        |
| Doxorubicin | 1.23     | 1.85 | 1.93     | 0.8       | >5        | 0.85      |

NA = no cytotoxic activity up to 100 $\mu$g/mL.

**Table 4: Antibacterial activity of PCME and $P_1$, $P_2$, and $P_3$.**

| Bacterial strain | PCME | $P_1$ | $P_2$ | $P_3$ | AMK | PCME | $P_1$ | $P_2$ | $P_3$ | AMK |
|------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| *K. pneumonia*   | 13 ± 1.8 | 21.3 ± 1.15 | —     | —     | 25 ± 0.8 | 10   | 6.2   | —     | —     | 5   |
| *E. fergusonii*  | 17 ± 0.5 | 16.3 ± 0.57 | 8 ± 1 | —     | 24 ± 1.5 | 8.9  | 9.3   | 11    | —     | 4.5 |
| *P. aeruginosa*  | 18 ± 1.2 | 21 ± 1    | —     | —     | 18 ± 1.3 | 8.4  | 6.6   | —     | —     | 8   |
| *S. aureus*      | 16 ± 1.6 | 18 ± 1    | —     | —     | 24 ± 1   | 9.2  | 8.3   | —     | —     | 6.2 |
| *B. cereus*      | 20 ± 0.5 | 19.3 ± 1.15 | —     | —     | 20 ± 0.9 | 7.6  | 8.4   | —     | —     | 7.3 |

Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD).
finding indicated that P1 is the highest quantity of all the biomarkers followed by P2 and P3 in the PCME.

Different analytical techniques such as HPLC, HPTLC had been reported for the quantitative analysis of quercetin in many plant extracts such as detection of quercetin by the HPTLC method in the aerial parts of *Leea indica* [23] and *Thespesia populnea* L. [24]. To our knowledge, this is the first report of concurrent estimation of quercetin (P1), 2S,3R-3,3′,4′,5,7-pentahydroxyflavane-5-O-gallate (P3), and (-)-catechin (P2) in *P. curviflorus*. Hence, we are privileged to report this maiden research on the screening of antidiabetic, cardiovascular, anti-inflammatory, and cytotoxic biomarkers in the methanol extract of the plant.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.jpg)

**Figure 2:** Quantification of P1, P2, and P3 in PCME by HPTLC at λ = 460 nm (mobile phase: dichloromethane : methanol : formic acid (90 : 9.5 : 0.5)). (a) Chromatogram of standard P3 (Rf = 0.34), P2 (Rf = 0.47), and P1 (Rf = 0.65). (b) 3D display of all tracks. (c) Chromatogram of PCME (P3, spot 6, Rf = 0.34; P2, spot 7, Rf = 0.47; P1, spot 8, Rf = 0.65). (d) Spectral comparison of all the tracks at 460 nm.

| Parameters                      | P1                  | P2                  | P3                  |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Linearity range (ng/spot)       | 100–1200            | 100–1200            | 100–1200            |
| Regression equation              | Y = 2.725X + 122.44 | Y = 1.675X + 755.87 | Y = 2.008X + 211.51 |
| Correlation (r²) coefficient     | 0.993 ± 0.0001      | 0.993 ± 0.001       | 0.9972 ± 0.001      |
| Slope ± SD                       | 2.725 ± 0.025       | 1.675 ± 0.014       | 2.008 ± 0.007       |
| Intercept ± SD                   | 122.44 ± 9.30       | 755.87 ± 10.07      | 211.51 ± 12.75      |
| Standard error of slope          | 0.005               | 0.006               | 0.003               |
| Standard error of intercept      | 3.795               | 4.11                | 5.206               |
| Rf                              | 0.65 ± 0.004        | 0.47 ± 0.003        | 0.34 ± 0.001        |
| LOD (ng)                        | 31.04               | 29.23               | 12.33               |
| LOQ (ng)                        | 94.06               | 88.58               | 37.36               |

Table 5: Rf and linear regression data for the calibration curve of P1, P2, and P3 (n = 6).
4. Conclusion

Compounds P1, P2, and P3 have been isolated from the methanolic extract of the leaves of *P. curviflorus*. All compounds and the extract showed significant antioxidant activities. Catechin (P2) and the methanol extract (PCME) revealed dual PPAR activation effect, while compound P1 possesses the most potential anti-inflammatory activity.

The maiden HPTLC method was developed for the simultaneous analysis of the biomarker compounds quercetin (P1), (-)-catechin (P2), and 2S,3R-3,3′,4,4′,5,7-pentahydroxyflavane-5-O-gallate (P3) in the methanol extract of *P. curviflorus* (PCME). The proposed HPTLC method can be further applied for the analysis of these compounds P1, P2, and P3 in different plant extracts, biological samples, and herbal formulations as well as for the in-process quality control.
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