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ABSTRACT

Background Central venous catheterisation is commonly used in critical patients in intensive care units (ICUs). It may cause complications and attribute to increase mortality and morbidity. At coronary ICU (CICU) of cardiac hospital, central line-associated bloodstream infection (CLABSI) rate was 2.82/1000 central line days in 2015 and 3.11/1000 central line days in 2016. Working in collaboration with Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI), we implemented evidence-based practices in the form of bundles in with the aim of eliminating CLABSI in CICU.

Methods In collaboration with IHI, we worked on this initiative as multidisciplinary team and tested several changes. CLABSI prevention bundles were tested and implemented, single kit for line insertion, simulation-based training for line insertions, standardised and real-time bundle monitoring by direct observations are key interventions tested. We used model for improvement and changes were tested using small Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles. Surveillance methods and CLABSI definition used according to National Healthcare Safety Network.

Results The CLABSI rate per 1000 patient-days dropped from 3.1 per 1000 device-days to 0.4 per 1000 device-days. We achieved 757 days free of CLABSI in the unit till December 2018 when a single case happened. After that we achieved 602 free days till July 2020 and still counting.

Conclusions Implementation of evidence-based CLABSI prevention bundle and process monitoring by direct observation led to significant and subsequently sustained improvement in reducing CLABSI rate in adult CICU.

INTRODUCTION

Healthcare-associated infections are a leading cause of morbidity and mortality. Central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI) are serious infections that can lead to increases in the length of hospital stays, case costs and mortality and morbidity.

CLABSI rates. The total number of reported cases was 4 out of 1416 central line days in 2015 (a rate of 2.82/1000 central line days) and 6 cases out of 1931 central line days in 2016 (a rate of 3.11/1000 central line days). The CLABSI rate at the CICU was, during this period, higher than the National Healthcare Safety Network’s (NHSN’s) 50th percentile benchmark of 0.8. Therefore, a thorough
Table 1 Operational definitions specific to BSI/CLABSI surveillance

| Type of Infection | Definition |
|-------------------|------------|
| Primary BSI       | A laboratory-confirmed bloodstream infection that is not secondary to an infection at another body site |
| Secondary BSI     | A BSI that is thought to be seeded from a site-specific infection at another body site |
| CLABSI rate       | The total no of CLABSIs divided by the total no of device days × 1000 |
| Access            | The performance of any of the following activities during in-patient admission: Line placement |
|                   | Use of (entering the line with a needle or needless device) any central line for: Infusion |
|                   | Withdrawal of blood |
|                   | Haemodynamic monitoring |
|Central line (CL): | An intravascular catheter that terminates at or close to the heart, or in one of the great vessels used for infusion, the withdrawal of blood, or haemodynamic monitoring. |
| Eligible CL       | A CL that has been in place for more than two consecutive calendar days (on or after CL day 3), following first access to the central line during the current admission. Such lines are eligible for CLABSI events and remain eligible for CLABSI events until the day after its removal from the body or patient discharge, whichever comes first. |
| Denominator device days | The count of central lines for an in-patient unit that is recorded in monthly denominator summary data |

Types of central lines for NHSN reporting purposes:
1. A permanent central line includes: (1) tunnelled catheters, including tunnelled dialysis catheters (2) implanted catheters (including ports)
2. Temporary central line: a non-tunnelled, non-implanted catheter

Devices not considered CLs for NHSN reporting purposes:
- Arterial catheters
- Arteriovenous fistula
- Arteriovenous graft
- Atrial catheters (also known as transthoracic intracardiac catheters, which are inserted directly into the right or left atrium via the heart wall)
- Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation
- Haemodialysis reliable outflow dialysis catheter
- Intraaortic balloon pump devices
- Peripheral intravenous or midlines
- Ventricular assist devices

BSI, bloodstream infection; CLABSI, central line-associated bloodstream infection; NHSN, National Healthcare Safety Network.

analysis was undertaken by our improvement task force and interventions were focused on the CICU, where patients were acutely ill and suffered from multiple co-morbidities. Subsequent to performing a root-cause analysis, we concluded that there was a significant lack of understanding of the importance of central line maintenance measures. As there is some evidence indicating that bundled educational and behavioural interventions are effective in reducing CLABSI incidence, our assumption was that the implementation of a central line maintenance bundle approach would result in CLABSI reductions.

There were cases of CLABSI in the unit at different intervals and no standardised process was in place. The purpose of this study was to identify the causes, implement and evaluate a central line care insertion and maintenance bundle designed to optimise central line infection prevention practices and reduce CLABSI in adult, cardiac ICU settings. In addition, we sought to improve compliance for central line maintenance bundles. Moreover, we aimed achieve 365 CLABSI-free days in the CICU by 31 December 2018 by following evidence-based practices using a multidisciplinary team approach.

METHODS

Context

Our tertiary facility has a 20-bed CICU that serves cardiac patients. In September 2016 a Stop CLABSI Project was undertaken as a part of a Best Care Always initiative. To build improvement capability in front-line teams, the Hamad Medical initiated collaboration with the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI) as part of the Best Care Always initiative. Capability building in front-line staff had previously led to a number of quality improvements (QI) initiatives, and teams had successfully performed other QI collaborations.

CLABSI prevention bundle

The CLABSI prevention bundles (box 1) are a collection of care bundles and details are listed in box 1.

These bundles are all evidence-based practices with ample literature supporting them. In preparation for the introduction of the bundles in practice, all bedside nurses were educated on the bundle elements. Each element of the bundles required several tests of change. Compliance with the elements was ensured using an audit form.

Interventions

Using the IHI’s collaborative model, this initiative comprised a multidisciplinary team that included nurses, physicians, infection-control practitioners and QI professionals. The team undertook comprehensive case reviews for every CLABSI incidence that occurred in the CICU to identify and implement best practices with the aim of reducing CLABSI rates in the adult, cardiac ICU setting.

After brainstorming and performing a Pareto analysis, we concluded that there were practice gaps concerning compliance for both the insertion and maintenance bundles. This included a lack of awareness regarding the implementation and monitoring of each component of the bundles. We used the Model for Improvement, which is composed of three components, to structure and guide improvements. These components were: set an aim statement, define measures and select small changes to test. We use small Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycles to...
Box 1 Central line-associated bloodstream infection care bundles

Central line insertion bundle

Hand hygiene

- Wash hands or use an alcohol-based, waterless hand cleaner when caring for central lines:
  - Before and after palpating the catheter insertion site.
  - Before and after inserting, replacing, adjusting or dressing the site.
  - During palpation of the insertion site and after application of antiseptic and only if full asepsis is maintained.

Complete maximal barrier

- The operator inserting the central venous catheter should adhere to strict aseptic techniques and wear sterile gloves, gown, surgical cap and surgical mask.

Chlorhexidine 2% skin antisepsis

- Prepare skin with 2% chlorhexidine in 70% alcohol using swabs and a friction scrub for at least 30 seconds. Do not wipe or blow dry and allow to dry completely before skin puncture:
  - 30 s for a dry site.
  - 2 min for a moist site (especially femoral).

Optimal catheter site selection

- In adult patients, there is some evidence that the subclavian site has a lower risk of catheter-related bloodstream infections. However, there is usually more experience with the internal jugular site. The subclavian and internal jugulars are the preferred sites for infection-control purposes.

- Use of sterile, single-use jelly.

- Use sterile, single-use jelly for ultrasound-guided insertions.

Central line maintenance bundle

Hand hygiene

- Practice hand hygiene at five moments:
  - Before touching a patient.
  - Before clean/aseptic procedures.
  - After body-fluid exposure/risk.
  - After touching a patient.
  - After touching patient surroundings.

Aseptic technique for accessing and changing needleless connectors

- Scrub the access port or hub immediately prior to each use with an appropriate antiseptic.

Standardised tubing change

- Intravenous medication administration tubing should be changed as per the recommendation in the local organisations policy.

Daily review of catheter necessity

- Daily review of line necessity during rounds so that the necessity of the lines can be determined and unnecessary lines removed.

The evidence-based practice of pressing a sponge against the skin and applying chlorhexidine solution tool was modified based on feedback from nurses before it was fully adopted. Monthly data of the unit CLABSI rates were communicated to the staff through event calendars and monthly unit meetings.

PDSA 2: hand hygiene

PDSA 2: 1. Formal education on hand hygiene was conducted for all of the front-line staff working in CICU by an infection-control practitioner. The practice of hand hygiene at five moments was taught and monitored.

PDSA 2: 2. Compliance with the five moments of hand hygiene was monitored by secret observers. Daily compliance data, with individual names, was displayed on a unit board. Physicians and nurses with the highest compliance rates were acknowledged. This proved to be a successful method and the change was adopted.

PDSA 2: 3. Another test of change included hand-hygiene time, which was designated as 11 o’clock AM. An announcement was made through an ASCOM device to ensure the announcement went to all healthcare workers to remind them to perform this activity. The hand-hygiene campaign was periodically run in the department to reinforce the message.

PDSA 2: 4. Soap or alcohol-based hand-gel dispensers were prominently placed in or near patient rooms, and universal precautionary equipment such as gloves were made available near hand-sanitation equipment. Reminders were posted at the entry and exits to patient rooms.

PDSA 3: all-inclusive central line kits

Standard equipment for central line kits placements were stocked in a cart or kit to avoid any difficulties with finding necessary equipment to initiate the bundle elements. Teams prepared a list of all the consumable items required during central line insertions and, with the help of material management, they prepared kits. Theses kit consisted of all the required consumable items for a central line insertion in a single pack to minimise interruptions during the procedures. The pack was tested on one patient and one doctor during a single shift. Once feedback was collected, the change was adopted if it was shown to be successful.

PDSA 4: maximal barrier precautions

Proper use of personal protective equipment during the insertion and care of central venous catheters (CVCs) was monitored.

PDSA 5: use of chlorhexidine skin antiseptics

The use of chlorhexidine for skin antisepsis and proper technique was monitored. The disinfection of hubs and injection ports with alcohol cap port protectors was also performed. Chlorhexidine dressing and the daily use of chlorhexidine bath wipes for cleaning patients on CVCs was followed.
using a back-and-forth friction scrub for at least 30 s was also monitored.

We reinforced the practice of not wiping or blotting and, instead, allowed time for the antiseptic solution to completely dry before puncturing the site (~2 min).

**PDSA 6: physician education on central line insertion**

The education and training of all physicians was undertaken through simulation techniques. Proper surgical hand scrubs, the principles of aseptic techniques during insertion, techniques for ultrasound-guided central line insertion and full maximum barriers during insertion were the focus of the educational sessions. In addition, emphasis was placed on preferred sites of choice (either the jugular or subclavian for central line insertion). Ultrasound guidance used to assess and detect the most suitable vein and replacement of CVCs over the guidewire was strongly discouraged.

**PDSA 7: bundle element compliance**

Turning the ventilator tubing away from the CVC site and regular oral suctioning was performed for patients with large amounts of secretions. The regular inspection of sites for any signs and symptoms of infection was performed, and daily assessments for the need of a CVC were implemented. Physicians also reassessed patients requiring long-term central lines and change them to peripherally inserted central catheter lines.

Reminders for how long a line had been in place were achieved by stating the line day (eg, ‘line day 4’) during rounds. Bundle compliance was measured using an all-or-nothing approach and compliance data were shared with the staff.

**Data displays**

To keep momentum and create sense of urgency, data that included hand hygiene, bundle compliance and CLABSI rates were displayed on a unit quality board, which was easily accessible by the staff. Run charts and other information regarding the project were displayed in a central location.

**Intervention measures**

**Outcome measures**

The CLABSI rate was defined as the number of CLABSI cases in the CICU/the number of central line days in the CICU × 1000. Data collection was done via surveillance performed by infection-control practitioners. The central line days were calculated for the denominator. All patients in the CICU with central lines were included in the intervention.

**Process measures**

Each of the bundle elements was monitored for compliance. Compliance with each change was also measured (ie, per cent compliance with the bundle as a whole and for each of the bundle elements). Compliance was considered to have been achieved if all of the elements of a bundle were followed—even one component missing was considered to be zero compliance.

**Statistical analysis**

We analysed CLABSI rates for 19 months before implementing the interventions and 19 months following them. Data that departed from normality were tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Monthly rates of CLABSI were compared between the 19 months before and after the intervention using the Mann-Whitney U test. The device utilisation ratio in the 19 months before and after the intervention was compared using the Student’s independent t-test.

A two-tailed p<0.05 was considered significant. Microsoft Excel 2016 and Stata/SE V.14.2 (StataCorp) was used for the analyses. The outcomes of the project were analysed using standard control chart rules, which detect statistically significant changes in outcomes over time. Compliance data were collected for every element as a process measure.

**RESULTS**

Following the implementation of the Stop CLABSI initiative, CLABSI was eliminated from the CICU for 757 days. There was a single case in December 2018, after which there was 602 days where the CICU was free of CLABSI.

Monthly rates of CLABSI during the 19 months after the intervention were significantly lower when compared with the 19 months before the intervention (p=0.0495). The device utilisation ratio during the 19 months before and after the intervention was not significantly different (p=0.0772). The annual count of CLABSI cases was reduced from 4 in 2015 and 6 in 2016 to 0 in 2017.

The CLABSI rate per 1000 patient-days dropped from 3.1 per 1000 device-days to 0.4 per 1000 device-days, which is below the NHSN benchmark of 0.8 (figure 1; quarterly data are displayed in figure 2). The run chart displayed in figure 2 is annotated to illustrate the implementation of some of the changes that were tested. It appeared that attention to the CLABSI prevention bundle had the largest effect. There was also a substantial improvement in bundle compliance, which increased from 64% to 100%, an improvement that was sustained for more than 3 years (figure 3). There was no significant difference in the device utilisation ratio (figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

During the Stop CLABSI initiative, we achieved considerable improvements in the CICU, as the implementation of the maintenance bundle of preventive measures resulted in the elimination of CLABSI from the unit. The number of CLABSI cases reported in 2015 and 2016 was 4 and 6, respectively, which was reduced to 0 in 2017, 2018 and 2019—with a single case in December 2018. The CLABSI rate per 1000 patient-days dropped from 3.1 per 1000 device-days to 0.4 per 1000 device-days. The two-tailed
p<0.05 was significant. Bundle compliance increased from 64% to 100% and was sustained.

Front-line teams were advised to always follow each bundle element for every patient. In addition, our approach helped us to develop and promote a patient safety culture and, ultimately, build a reliable care process in the system. The multidisciplinary approach allowed us to brainstorm different explanations for the higher rates of CLABSI in the unit and assess any deficiencies. It also allowed us to propose new solutions from a variety of perspectives and angles, including from nurses and physicians and infection-control practices. All of the interventions were planned and customised based on patient need, which helped us to reduce the rate of CLABSI and maintain the improvements over the long term.

In addition to the implementations of bundles, regular face-to-face meetings and educational sessions that included simulations as well as one-on-one and small classroom sessions proved to be vital during the initiative. From our results, we concluded that the bundle approach was effective in implementing change and improving outcomes by promoting teamwork, measuring compliance and providing feedback. In addition, effective care-bundle implementation required that measures be adapted to the local setting, appropriately followed, suitable to the patient-care culture, and monitored and evaluated to ensure compliance.

We attempted to recognise staff with the highest hand-hygiene compliance, and they were shown appreciation for their participation through emails and recognition on notice boards. Additional measures to promote compliance with the guidelines such as weekly posters and additional staff meetings were enacted to reach 100% compliance. This is a regular practice in the unit to appreciate staff for their good work to encourage them.

**Strengths, limitations and lessons learnt**

There are many factors which made this initiative a success, including direct observation of catheter insertion-site care practices, regular monitoring of compliance with the

---

**Figure 1** Monthly CLABSI rate in CICU Per 1000 device days. CICU, coronary intensive care unit; CLABSI, central line-associated bloodstream infection.

**Figure 2** Quarterly rate of CLABSI in CICU per 1000 device days. CICU, coronary intensive care unit; CLABSI, central line-associated bloodstream infection.
bundles, use of ultrasound-guided insertions and training opportunity needs were identified and met for insertion and maintenance bundles. In addition, this work was led by front-line teams who were then able to apply the QI knowledge built during the Best Care Always initiative to their daily practices and for other initiatives in their clinical areas.

The main limitation of this study was the inability to differentiate which elements from the bundles had the strongest effect on case prevention and CLABSI incidence, as the bundles consisted of several elements that were implemented simultaneously. Likewise, as this study was not a randomised control trial and there was no control group, we were unable to assess effectiveness of each component of the bundle approach.

There were several lessons learnt during the course of this initiative. First, it is important to involve a multidisciplinary team that can affect both the decision-making process and interventions from the start. This helps with identifying key issues and in implementing an effective intervention to resolve them. Second, involving physicians for training and education purposes also played vital role, as well as the simulation exercises, which proved to be an effective learning experience.

CONCLUSIONS

CLABSI are a serious but preventable healthcare-associated infection. Using a multifaceted approach that includes multidisciplinary teams that follow an evidence-based, bundled approach resulted in a significant reduction in CLABSI rates in an ICU setting. We will continue to assess new interventions/preventions as guided by infection-control teams and the recent literature to sustain the gains already achieved.
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