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1. Introduction

Organometallic transition bimetallic complexes are of great interest, not only due to their fascinating structural and bonding variations but also due to their potential applicability as catalytic and stoichiometric reagents. S-Indacene ligand is capable of bridging two or more metal centers using organometallic bonds, especially with fused aromatics [1], have shown considerable interest owing to their ability to couple the redox activity of various metal centers. These ligands may connect two or more organometallic centers, which may have different oxidation states and allow interaction between them. This paper includes the theoretical study of homobinuclear complexes of transition metals such as Fe and Ni. Also, heterobinuclear complexes with combinations of metals such as, Fe-Ni. In this paper, We have undertaken a detailed study of Structural and spectroscopic analysis of these bimetallic complexes derived from partially methylated s-indacene [2]-[8], here in we report the band gap, electrostatic potential and spectroscopic properties of the above stated bimetallic complexes.

2. Computational Details

All computations were carried out using semi empirical methods with Gaussian '09 program package. Semi-empirical methods follow what are often called empirical methods where the two-electron part of the Hamiltonian is not explicitly included. For π-electron systems, this was the Hückel method proposed by Erich Hückel [12]-[14]. For all valence electron systems, the extended Hückel method was proposed by Roald Hoffmann [15]. MOPAC and Chem 3D ultra-program was used for a visual presentation. The geometry of homobimetallic complexes of Fe, Ni and heterobimetallics (Fe-Ni metals) were optimized using semi-empirical quantum chemical computation [16]-[19] with PM6 parametric method using Gaussian’09 program. In this present computational scheme vibrational analysis of molecules were also performed at the same level of theory. Optimized geometrical parameters such as bond lengths and bond angles and dihedral angles and frequencies calculated for three complexes shown in fig 1a, 1b and 1c respectively.

Fe is bonded in a η5-coordination with two ligands (Cp* and Ic') on both sides. Where Cp*=Pentamethyl-η5-Cyclopentadienyl, Ic' = 2, 6 Diphenyl-4, 8-dimethyl-s-Indacene.
Ni is bonded in a $\eta^5$-coordination with two ligands (Cp* and Ic’) on both sides. Where Cp* = Pentamethyl-$\eta^5$-Cyclopentadienyl, Ic’ = 2, 6 Diphenyl-4, 8-dimethyl-s-Indacene.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Molecular Geometry Optimization

Geometry optimization was carried out to find out the best atomic arrangement that makes the molecule more stable. Optimized geometrical parameters such as bond lengths and bond angles and dihedral angles of Iron bimetallic complex calculated by semi empirical methods using parameter PM6[21]-[23]. The optimized structures with atom labeling and number labeling of Iron bimetallic complex are shown in Fig. 2.
Table 1. Optimized bond lengths between Metal, Indacene and Cyclopentadienyl ligands in various bimetallic complexes

| Bond Length | Fe com | Ni com | Fe-Ni com |
|-------------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Cp C18-M97  | 2.018  | 2.023  | 2.030     |
| (Indacene) C17-M97 | 1.984  | 1.995  | 1.983     |
| (Indacene) C10-M98 | 1.982  | 1.994  | 2.018     |
| Cp C4-M88   | 2.018  | 2.024  | 2.039     |

Table 2. Optimized bond lengths of Indacene structure in various bimetallic complexes:

| Bond Length | ligand | Fe com | Ni com | Fe-Ni com |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|
| C10-C6      | 1.358  | 1.441  | 1.463  | 1.438     |
| C10-C9      | 1.469  | 1.465  | 1.473  | 1.454     |
| C9-C8       | 1.425  | 1.467  | 1.448  | 1.453     |
| C8-C7       | 1.522  | 1.431  | 1.463  | 1.443     |
| C7-C6       | 1.510  | 1.463  | 1.474  | 1.451     |
| C6-C5       | 1.396  | 1.405  | 1.401  | 1.440     |
| C5-C4       | 1.403  | 1.406  | 1.402  | 1.372     |
| C4-C3       | 1.425  | 1.467  | 1.448  | 1.479     |
| C3-C2       | 1.396  | 1.404  | 1.401  | 1.370     |
| C2-C1       | 1.463  | 1.464  | 1.473  | 1.478     |
| C1-C5       | 1.366  | 1.431  | 1.462  | 1.465     |
| C1-C4       | 1.518  | 1.441  | 1.463  | 1.463     |
| C14-C13     | 1.510  | 1.466  | 1.474  | 1.481     |
| C20-C15     | 1.403  | 1.405  | 1.402  | 1.433     |
| C20-C16(Me substi) | 1.491  | 1.490  | 1.494  | 1.492     |
| C20-C16(Me substi) | 1.479  | 1.492  | 1.494  | 1.493     |
| C20-C16(Phe substi) | 1.463  | 1.468  | 1.468  | 1.467     |
| C20-C16(Phe substi) | 1.463  | 1.468  | 1.468  | 1.469     |

3.2. Electrostatic Potential Analysis

Molecular electrostatic potential (ESP) is the most useful electrostatic property to Study the relation between structure and activity in a wide variety of chemical systems in both Electrophilic and Nucleophilic reactions. The negative ESP corresponds to nucleophilic sites (electron rich centers) shown as red shades on the ESP surface. Similarly, positive ESP corresponds to the electrophilic sites (electron deficient centers) shown in blue shades. The neutral sites are indicated by green shades. To predict the active sites for nucleophilic and electrophilic attack for the complexes, Potential increases in the order red<orange<yellow<green<blue. The electrostatic potential diagram of Complex is as shown in Fig. 3.
3.3. HOMO - LUMO Analysis

The important concepts such as conjugation, aromaticity and lone pairs are well illustrated by frontier molecular orbitals. Frontier molecular orbital (FMO) energies decide whether the molecule is hard or soft. Ionization potential of the molecule is determined from the energy of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the electron affinity is calculated from the energy of lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO).

Energy difference between HOMO and LUMO orbitals is called as energy gap (∆E) which determines the kinetic stability and chemical reactivity of a molecule. In case of Iron bimetallic complex, (Cp*Fe)Ic' the calculated HOMO – LUMO energy gap is \(0.25206\) eV.

This small HOMO-LUMO energy gap in an indication of capability of electronic transitions from occupied orbitals to unoccupied ones, the intramolecular electron transfer from HOMO to LUMO through \(\pi\)-conjugated path taking place within the molecule. When the energy gap between HOMO and LUMO is small, it enhances the reactivity by intramolecular charge transfer within the molecule [27].

In case of Nickel bimetallic complex, (Cp*Ni)Ic', the calculated energy gap is \(0.23852\) eV, changes due to change in metal.

Where as in case of hetero bimetallic complexes, (Cp*Fe)Ic' (Ni Cp*) the calculated energy gap is \(0.24365\) eV.

In case of a pure ligand 4, 8-dimethyl-2, 6-diphenyl-1, 5-dihydro-s-indacene the calculated energy gap between HOMO and LUMO is 0.267eV.

| Property          | Ligand       | (Cp*Fe)Ic'  | (Cp*Ni)Ic'  | (Cp*Fe)Ic'(Ni Cp*) |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| HOMO(eV)          | -0.29691     | -0.25293    | -0.23424    | -0.24666           |
| LUMO(eV)          | -0.01713     | 0.00087     | -0.00428    | -0.00301           |
| Energy gap ∆E(eV) | 0.27978      | 0.25206     | 0.23852     | 0.24365            |
| Total energy(a.u)| 0.13252862   | 0.10058381  | 0.12620178  | 0.10947398         |
| Dipole moment(Debye) | 0.0640       | 1.0296      | 0.1213      | 1.7805             |
| No of atoms       | 48           | 98          | 98          | 98                 |
| No of electrons   | 178          | 378         | 382         | 380                |
| Charge            | 0            | 0           | 0           | 0                  |
| Spin              | singlet      | singlet     | singlet     | singlet            |
3.4. Normal Mode Analysis

The vibrational analysis of ligand and their bimetallic complexes is carried out in Gaussian software using semi empirical methods with parametric methods (PM6). The vibrational frequencies of bimetallic complexes were calculated in different oxidation states to predict the existence of mixed valence complexes.

The IR spectra of ligand and bimetallic complexes were calculated in the wave number range of 400-3500cm\(^{-1}\). Firstly the IR spectrum of ligand 4, 8-dimethyl-2,6-diphenyl-1,5-dihydro-s-indacene is predicted using method Restricted PM6 with ZDO basis set to observe the vibrational frequencies of ligand in absence of metal. Later using same method vibrational frequencies and intensities of bimetallic complexes were calculated to predict the effect of metal atoms in characteristic stretching and bending frequencies of substituents.

The IR spectra of ligand and their bimetallic complexes show the characteristic stretching and bending vibrational frequencies of methyl groups and aromatic rings. Most of vibrational bands are combined with the other vibrational ones.

The calculated IR spectrums of ligand and studied bimetallic complexes are given below from figure 5a to figure 5g.

**Figure 5a.** IR spectra of ligand 4, 8-dimethyl-2, 6-diphenyl-1, 5-dihydro-s-indacene

**Figure 5b.** IR spectrum of (Cp*Fe)\(_2\)Ic’ in neutral state
Figure 5c. IR spectrum of (Cp*Fe)2Ic⁻ in +1 oxidation state

Figure 5d. IR spectrum of (Cp*Ni)2Ic⁻ in neutral state
Figure 5e. IR spectrum of \((\text{Cp}^*\text{Ni})_2\text{Ic}^-\) in +1 oxidation state

Figure 5f. IR spectrum of \((\text{Cp}^*\text{Fe})\text{Ic}^-\)\((\text{NiCp}^*)\) in neutral state
Figure 5g. IR spectrum of (Cp*Fe)Ic’ (Cp*Ni) in +1 oxidation state

Figure 6a. UV spectra of Ligand 4, 8-dimethyl-2, 6-diphenyl-1, 5-dihydro-s-indacene

Figure 6b. UV-VIS-NIR spectrum of (Cp*Fe)Ic’
3.5. UV-Vis Spectral Analysis

Semi empirical methods whose primary aim is to calculate excited states and hence to predict electronic spectra [24]-[26]. In the theoretical investigation to predict UV spectra, analysis of single point energy calculations are carried out at semi empirical level using TD SCF method for ligand and bimetallic complexes.

For ligand uv-visible spectrum has been recorded in region of 200-1000 nm and calculated absorptions at maximum is 575.44 nm and Oscillator strength=0.4058 as shown in figure (6a).

Quantum chemical computations were continued to investigate the electronic properties of above stated homo and hetero bimetallic complexes.

Fe complex , (Cp*Fe)Ic' in neutral state exhibit the absorption maxima at 0.2047eV indicated by thick line and for its ionic complex in +1 oxidation state exhibit the absorption maxima at 0.1345 eV indicated by dotted line in the UV –VIS-NIR spectra as shown in figure 6b.

In the same manner theoretical absorption bands are calculated for (Cp*Ni)Ic' , (Cp*Fe) Ic' (NiCp*) as well as their ionic complexes with +1 oxidation state.

Ni complex (Cp*Ni)Ic' in neutral state exhibit absorption maxima at 1.535eV indicated by thick line and for its ionic complex with +1 oxidation state absorption maxima at 0.9079eV indicated by dotted line in UV –VIS-NIR spectra as shown in below figure (6c).

In case of hetero bimetallic complex (Cp*Fe)Ic'(NiCp*) in neutral state exhibit absorption maxima at 0.21079 eV indicated by thick line and for its ionic complex with +1 oxidation state absorption maxima at 0.62858ev indicated by dotted line in UV –VIS-NIR spectra as shown in below figure (6d).

4. Conclusions

The studied bimetallic complexes in this paper are found to be stable confirmed by UV- Vis spectral analysis. The geometric parameters and vibrational frequencies, electronic absorption maximum wavelengths and HOMO-LUMO energy gap of above stated homo and hetero bimetallic complexes have been calculated at semi empirical methods with PM6 in ground state. In these complexes due to delocalisation of pi electrons over the whole molecule, the intra valence charge transfer band was observed in the UV-NIR region. It is indicated that there is less energy gap between the bonding and anti-bonding molecular orbitals.
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