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Abstract
This research investigated the antimicrobial activity and antibiotic susceptibilities of nine *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* strains and nine *Streptococcus thermophilus* strains isolated from commercial yoghurt cultures. The antimicrobial activities of overnight culture strains against *Bacillus cereus* CECT 131, *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC 6633, *Campylobacter jejuni* NCTC 11351, *Candida albicans* ATCC 14053, *Enterobacter aerogenes* ATCC 13048, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Listeria monocytogenes* ATCC 7644, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 9027, *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC 14028 and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 12600 were investigated using the disc diffusion method. The antibiotic resistance/susceptibility profiles of the strains were determined using antibiotic discs, which included ampicillin (10µg), amoxicillin (25µg), bacitracin (10µg), clindamycin (2µg), clindamycin (10µg), erythromycin (10µg), erythromycin (15µg), gentamicin (10µg), gentamicin (120µg), nalidixic acid (30µg), novobiocin (5µg), novobiocin (5µg), oxacillin (1µg), penicillin (10units), streptomycin (25µg), streptomycin (300µg), tetracycline (30µg) and vancomycin (30µg). The results of the study showed that while the *Streptococcus thermophilus* strain SY8, *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* strains LY6, LY8, LY9 and LY10 showed antimicrobial activity for all test microorganisms, *Streptococcus thermophilus* SY5 strains showed the weakest antimicrobial effect. All the *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* strains were resistant to oxacillin (1µg) and nalidixic acid (30µg). Indeed, the highest antibiotic susceptibility was seen with antibiotics such as ampicillin (25µg), clindamycin (10µg) and erythromycin (15µg).
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Practical Applications: This research investigated the antimicrobial activity and antibiotic susceptibilities of nine *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* strains and nine *Streptococcus thermophilus* strains isolated from commercial yoghurt cultures. The study is important in terms of updating our information about the antibiotic resistance and antimicrobial activities of the strains in the combination of commercially produced and marketed yoghurt culture. It is key factor to know the mentioned criteria of the types and strains used in the traditional yoghurt production.

1 Introduction

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are industrially important microorganisms and are used in various forms in industrial food fermentations. LAB are generally found in milk and dairy products, in plants and human and animal intestinal mucosa. Lactic acid bacteria used in conventional fermented foods are gram-positive, facultative anaerobes, catalase negative, immobilized, lacking cytochromes and are non-spore forming (Carr et al., 2002; Mathur & Singh, 2005). Nowadays, LAB are reported to cover 17 genera: *Aerococcus*, *Alloviococcus*, *Dolosigranulum*, *Enterococcus*, *Globicatella*, *Carnobacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, *Lactococcus*, *Leuconostoc*, *Melissococcus*, *Lactosphaera*, *Oenococcus*, *Pediococcus*, *Tetragenococcus*, *Vagococcus*, *Streptococcus* and *Weisella* (Crowley et al., 2013).

These bacteria, which show heterotrophic feeding patterns, can be found in coccus (spherical), rod (elongated) and oval shapes and tetrad formations and oval shapes and tetrad formation. Bacteria grow at temperatures between 10 to 45 °C, in high salt concentrations and they can tolerate acid or alkaline conditions (Ranasinghe & Perera, 2016). LAB found in milk and milk products catabolise glucose in two ways: homofermentative and heterofermentative (Kandler, 1983).

1. Homofermentative LAB: Glucose components via EMP pathways (Embden Meyerhof Parnas) producing 90% lactic acid and 10% CO₂.
2. Heterofermentative LAB: Glucose components via HMP (Hexose monophosphate) producing lactic acid, ethanol, acetaldehyde, diacetyl, exopolysaccharide and CO₂.

LAB help dairy products (cheese, yoghurt, butter, kefyr, koumis etc.) to gain their own aroma, smell and structure (Caplice & Fitzgerald, 1999). Many bacteria are used as starter cultures for the industrial processing of fermented dairy products. Also, non-starter lactic acid bacteria can originate from the raw
material and the environment. Another important domain for the use of LAB is as a probiotic starter culture (Hill & Ross, 1998; Cruz et al., 2010; Bintsis, 2018). Probiotic lactic acid bacterial strains are preferred based on their ability to maintain viability within the gastrointestinal tract and to colonize the intestinal tract. One of the most important characteristic of probiotics is as protection against pathogens in the intestinal tract of the host. In addition to all of these, probiotics can also be widely used in many fields like pharmaceuticals in pharmacology (Granato et al., 2010; Ranadheera et al., 2019).

Yoghurt and other fermented milks are trend in market. This is due to the benefits these foods bring to human health (Chetachukwu et al., 2019; Ribeiro et al., 2019). Yoghurt is a probiotic fermented dairy product and have highly digestible proteins. Among fermented dairy product, the most important fermented food is yoghurt. Therefore, yoghurt bacteria are very important to human nutrition. In addition, having antimicrobial activity increases the importance of yoghurt bacteria (Suskovic et al., 2010; Celik et al., 2016, Coskun & Karabulut Dirican, 2019).

There is a symbiotic relationship between Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus in yoghurt starter cultures (Liu, 2018). This symbiotic relationship occurs during proteolysis. The level of some amino acids in milk is not enough to develop Streptococcus thermophilus. This deficiency is eliminated by Lactobacillus bulgaricus. When Streptococcus thermophilus develops a certain amount of acidity, Lactobacillus bulgaricus starts to develop and β-casein hydrolyzes to peptides. However, Lactobacillus bulgaricus also has limited peptidase activity. At this stage, Streptococcus thermophilus becomes active, producing peptidase activity for itself and Lactobacillus bulgaricus (Liu et al., 2012).

Although Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus are important species in the yoghurt industry, they are in a category of bacteria which most needs to be investigated. The reason for this is the fact that many beneficial effects have been reported, and the mechanism of action has not been identified, leaving many aspects that need to be researched. The main purpose of this research was to investigate the antimicrobial activity and antibiotic susceptibility of some Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus strains isolated from commercial yoghurt starter cultures.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Commercial yoghurt cultures were activated, yoghurt was produced in reconstituted skimmed milk containing 12% non-fat dry matter (Oxoid Skim Milk Powder, Basingstoke, UK). Ten grams of the yoghurt samples were weighed out into stomacher bags. Then mixed with 90 mL sterile peptone water (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and homogenized using a Colworth Stomacher 400 (Seward Laboratory, West Sussex, UK). The appropriate dilutions inoculated onto de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) Agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and M17 Agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) according to Terzaghi & Sandine (1975). The M 17 agar plates were incubated at 37 °C for 48 hours, and the MRS Agar plates were incubated at 42 °C for 72 hours, anaerobically. To produce the anaerobic environment, an Aerogen agent (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) was placed into the anaerobic jars (De Man et al., 1960; Terzaghi & Sandine, 1975). The morphologically appropriate growing colonies were transferred to Elliker Broth (Difco, Fluka, France) and incubated under appropriate conditions, which were 42 °C for Lactobacillus bulgaricus and 37 °C for Streptococcus thermophilus (37 to 42 °C). After incubation in Lactobacilli Broth and purified by a single colony matching technique, the purity controls of the developing isolates were observed under a light microscope by simple staining with methylene blue. The strains of Lactobacillus bulgaricus were called LY , and the Streptococcus thermophilus strains were called SY.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Antimicrobial activity

Test microorganisms were inoculated into Tryptic Soy Broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) and incubated under appropriate incubation conditions until the concentration reached from 10^7 to 10^8 cfu/ml. The optical densities (OD value) of the indicator bacteria were also standardized by adjusting the spectrophotometer (Analytik Jena, Germany) to 0.6 ± 0.2 at 600 nm. Overnight cultures of the Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus strains were prepared and antimicrobial activities against test microorganisms which are consist of Bacillus cereus CECT 131, Campylobacter jejuni NCTC 11351, Candida albicans ATCC 14053, Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633, Enterobacter aerogenes ATCC 13048, Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Listeria monocytogenes ATCC 7644, Salmonella typhimurium ATCC 14028, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 9027 and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 12600 were evaluated by the disc diffusion method using 9 mm diameter antimicrobial activity discs (Schleicher & Schuell, Dassel, Germany). To confirm that the antimicrobial activity was not related to acidity, neutral pH cell-free culture supernatants of the strain solutions were used (Rammelsberg & Radler, 1980; Warminska-Radyko et al., 2002).

2.2.2 Antibiotic susceptibility

The antibiotic resistance/susceptibility profiles of strains were determined using antibiotic discs (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) which included ampicillin (10µg), ampicillin (25µg), bacitracin (10µg), clindamycin (2µg), clindamycin (10µg), erythromycin (10µg), erythromycin (15µg), gentamicin (10µg), gentamicin (120µg), nalidixic acid (30µ), neomycin (10µg), novobiocin (5µg), oxacillin (1µg), penicillin (10 unit), streptomycin (25µg), streptomycin (300µg), tetracycline (30µg) and vancomycin (30µg). The tests were performed according to the criteria of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) using Elliker Agar (Difco, Fluka, France). Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus strains at a level of 10^7 to 10^8 were inoculated onto Elliker Agar and antibiotic discs were placed in the wells and incubated under appropriate conditions. At the end of incubation, the diameters of the zones were measured and evaluated as follows: 9mm: negative, 10-15mm: +, 16-19mm: ++, 20mm and above: +++ (Wikler, 2006; Charteris et al., 1998).
3 Results and discussion

In this study, nine Lactobacillus bulgaricus and nine Streptococcus thermophilus strains isolated from commercial yoghurt starter cultures were tested. Table 1 gives antimicrobial activity of Streptococcus thermophilus against some food-borne pathogens and spoilage bacteria.

As a result of this study, the Streptococcus thermophilus SY8 strain. Among all the Streptococcus thermophilus strains, the SY5 strain showed the weakest antimicrobial effect. The Streptococcus thermophilus SY8 strain exhibited antimicrobial activity against all indicator bacteria. SY5 showed the weakest antimicrobial activity with a 30% antimicrobial effect. While SY1 and SY2 strains showed an antimicrobial effect of 80%, SY3, SY4, SY6, SY7 and SY10 showed antimicrobial effect below this rate (Table 1).

Lactobacillus bulgaricus strains LY6, LY8, LY9 and LY10 showed antimicrobial activity for all test microorganisms. Antimicrobial activity of all strains against E. coli was shown in Figure 1. Lactobacillus bulgaricus can use to preservative purpose in the food industry, especially in the production of dairy products. The antimicrobial activity of Lactobacillus bulgaricus strains are given in Table 2. Generally, Lactobacillus bulgaricus bacteria have a greater antimicrobial effect than Streptococcus thermophilus. Four Lactobacillus bulgaricus strains (LY6, LY8, LY9 and LY10) were shown to be 100% effective and LY5 strains were shown to be 80% effective. Others (LY1, LY3 and LY4) showed the weakest antimicrobial activity with a 60% antimicrobial effect.

LAB and their metabolites have an important role in improving microbiological quality. Their antimicrobial activity is the most important probiotic characteristics. According to some research, strains of LAB can be used in foods as preservatives and probiotics (Brkic et al., 1995; Guarner & Malagelada, 2003; Lade et al., 2006; Sari et al., 2018).

Pishva et al. (2009) stated that the antimicrobial effect of LAB isolated from traditional yoghurts was determined by the spot test method against E. coli and Salmonella typhi. Most of the lactobacilli strains showed potential activity against the enteropathogenic bacteria of Salmonella and E. coli. The average zone diameter was reported to be 40.4 mm for E. coli. Djadouni & Kihal (2012) examined the effect of LAB and their peptides on the spoiling bacteria (Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhimurium, Pseudomonas spp., Salmonella para-typhimurium B, Staphylococcus aureus, Clostidium spp. and Streptococcus spp.) in foods. In this study, 141 isolates were evaluated and the LBbb0141 strain

### Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of Streptococcus thermophilus strains against some spoilage and pathogen microorganisms.

| Indicator strains | Strains |
|-------------------|---------|
|                    | SY1     | SY2  | SY3  | SY4  | SY5  | SY6  | SY7  | SY8  | SY10 |
| Bacillus cereus CECT 131 | +    | -    | -    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633 | +    | -    | +    | +    | +    | -    | -    | +    | +    |
| Campylobacter jejuni NCTC 11351 | +    | +    | +    | -    | -    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Candida albicans ATCC 14053 | +    | +    | -    | -    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Enterobacter aerogenes ATCC 13048 | +    | +    | +    | -    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Listeria monocytogenes ATCC 7644 | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | -    | +    | +    | +    |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 9027 | +    | +    | +    | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    | +    |
| Salmonella typhimurium ATCC 14028 | +    | -    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 12600 | +    | -    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Total percent (%) | 80    | 80   | 60   | 50   | 30   | 50   | 60   | 100  | 70   |

*: Positive antimicrobial activity against test bacteria; −: None detected, negative antimicrobial activity against test bacteria.

### Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of Lactobacillus bulgaricus strains against some spoilage and pathogen microorganisms.

| Indicator strains | Strains |
|-------------------|---------|
|                    | LY1     | LY3  | LY4  | LY5  | LY6  | LY7  | LY8  | LY9  | LY10 |
| Bacillus cereus CECT 131 | +    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | +    | +    | +    |
| Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633 | -    | +    | +    | +    | +    | -    | +    | +    | +    |
| Campylobacter jejuni NCTC 11351 | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Candida albicans ATCC 14053 | -    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Enterobacter aerogenes ATCC 13048 | -    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 | +    | -    | -    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Listeria monocytogenes ATCC 7644 | +    | -    | -    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 9027 | +    | -    | -    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
| Salmonella typhimurium ATCC 14028 | +    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | +    | +    | +    |
| Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 12600 | -    | +    | +    | -    | +    | -    | +    | +    | +    |
| Total percent (%) | 60    | 60   | 60   | 80   | 100  | 60   | 100  | 100  | 100  |

*: Positive antimicrobial activity against test bacteria; −: None detected, negative antimicrobial activity against test bacteria.
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isolated from cow milk produced the maximum inhibition zone (10 to 14mm) against all test microorganisms.

Nigam et al. (2012) researched the antibacterial activity of LAB against common enteric pathogens. This bacteria isolated from raw milk, tomato, curd and dosa batter. They reported that Lactobacillus salivarius, Lactobacillus fermentum, Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Lactobacillus acidophilus, were effective against all the selected pathogenic strains (Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus cereus). Lactobacillus bulgaricus showed the highest antibacterial activity against Pseudomonas aeruginosa (17 ± 1.7mm) and lowest activity against E. coli (8 ± 1.4mm).

Ravindran et al. (2016) investigated the antimicrobial effects of LAB against some pathogens. Results indicated that a mixed culture of Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus had the highest antimicrobial activity against Staphylococcus aureus. Lactobacillus bulgaricus had the highest antimicrobial activity against Staphylococcus aureus and the lowest activity against Escherichia coli. Similar antimicrobial efficiency was seen in Lactobacillus casei.

The antibiotic resistance of Streptococcus thermophilus strains is given in Table 3. All of the strains were resistant to ampicillin, erythromycin, streptomycin, clindamycin and vancomycin. While all Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus strains were resistant to oxacillin (1µg) and nalidixic acid (30µg), the highest antibiotic susceptibility was determined for antibiotics such as ampicillin (25µg), clindamycin (10µg) and erythromycin (15µg). None of the Streptococcus thermophilus strains exhibited resistance to the nalidixic acid and oxacillin. Also, few strains of Streptococcus thermophilus exhibited resistance to novobiocin and streptomycin. While the SY6 strain did not show antibiotic resistance to nalidixic acid and oxacillin, the SY1 strain did not show antibiotic resistance to nalidixic acid, oxacillin or novobiocin.

Table 4 shows the antibiotic resistance for Lactobacillus bulgaricus strains. The LY9 strain was found to be resistant to all antibiotics. It could be said that all of the Lactobacillus bulgaricus strains have a resistant effect to ampicillin, bacitracin, cindamycin, clindamycin, erythromycin and vancomycin.

In addition, all of the Lactobacillus bulgaricus strains were found not to be resistant to the other tested antibiotics. In Figure 2 was illustrated that antibiotic susceptibility of Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus strains to some antibiotic discs.

Ammor et al. (2008) investigated that lactic acid bacteria isolated in Spanish cheese had tetracycline resistance. Nawaz et al. (2011) stated that 16 strains of Lactobacillus and Streptococcus thermophilus were isolated from fermented foods. All strains were identified to be susceptible to ampicillin, bacitracin and resistant to nalidixic acid, kanamycin and vancomycin (except for Lactobacillus bulgaricus, Lactobacillus acidophilus and Streptococcus thermophilus that were sensitive to vancomycin).

It was reported that some strains were resistant to penicillin erythromycin, clindamycin and tetracycline. Also, it was emphasized that resistance to gentamycin, streptomycin, ciprofloxacin and chloramphenicol was dependent on species.

Ozteber (2013) detected that LAB isolated from fermented products and boza was detected against chloramphenicol (31.3% of the isolates), erythromycin (2.4%), ciprofloxacin (2.41%),

Figure 1. Antimicrobial activity of Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus strains against Escherichia coli.
tetracycline (30.1%), vancomycin (73.5%, intrinsic resistance). Federici et al. (2014) examined the antibiotic resistance characteristics of LAB strains from salami produced in the Marche region of Italy. While Lactobacillus strains showed a high resistance to aminoglycosides such as streptomycin and gentamicin, Streptococcus strains were resistant to gentamicin and chloramphenicol.

Singh et al. (2016) isolated 110 Lactobacillus from food samples in the city of Allahabad in India. It was reported that approximately 47.4% of the isolated LAB were resistant to ampicillin concentrations of 5 mg/ml and to streptomycin concentrations of 2.5 mg/ml. In this study, approximately 44.2% of the isolates were found to be sensitive to 10 mg/ml ampicillin and streptomycin. Celik et al. (2016) investigated the antibiotic susceptibility of LAB isolated from homemade and some commercial yoghurts. In their study, the disc diffusion method was applied to determine antibiotic susceptibility and resistance of 19 lactobacilli and 19 Streptococcus strains. Ten different antibiotic discs were used and inhibition zone diameters were measured after an incubation period. Lactobacillus strains were 100% resistant.

| Antibiotics and concentrations | Streptococcus thermophiles strains | Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus strains |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                | SY1 | SY2 | SY3 | SY4 | SY5 | SY6 | SY7 | SY8 | SY10 |
| ampicillin (10µg)              | +++ | +++ | -   | -   | -   | +++ | -   | +   | -    |
| ampicillin (25µg)              | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++    |
| bacitracin (10µg)              | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++++ |
| clindamycin (2µg)              | +++ | +++ | +   | -   | +   | +++ | ++  | ++  | +++    |
| clindamycin (10µg)             | +++ | +++ | +   | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++    |
| erythromycin (10µg)            | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++    |
| erythromycin (15µg)            | +++ | +++ | +   | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++    |
| gentamicin (10µg)              | +   | +   | -   | +   | -   | +   | +   | +   | -      |
| gentamicin (120µg)             | +   | +++ | +   | +   | +   | ++  | +   | +   | +++    |
| nalidixic acid (30µg)          | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -      |
| neomycin (10µg)                | +   | +   | -   | +   | -   | +   | -   | -   | +      |
| novobiocin (5µg)               | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | +   | -   | ++     |
| oxacillin (1µg)                | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -      |
| Penicillin (10µg)              | +   | -   | -   | ++  | -   | +   | -   | +   | -      |
| streptomycin (25µg)            | +   | -   | -   | -   | +   | -   | -   | -   | +      |
| streptomycin (300µg)           | +   | +   | -   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++    |
| tetracycline (30µg)            | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | ++  | -   | +++    |
| vancomycin (30µg)              | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++    |

Table 3. Antibiotic susceptibility profiles of Streptococcus thermophiles strains.

| Antibiotics and concentrations | Lactobacillus bulgaricus strains |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                | LY1 | LY3 | LY4 | LY5 | LY6 | LY7 | LY8 | LY9 | LY10 |
| ampicillin (10µg)              | +++ | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | ++  | +     |
| ampicillin (25µg)              | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++    |
| bacitracin (10µg)              | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++ | +++    |
| clindamycin (10µg)             | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++ | +++    |
| clindamycin (15µg)             | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++ | +++    |
| erythromycin (10µg)            | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++ | +++    |
| erythromycin (15µg)            | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++ | +++    |
| gentamicin (10µg)              | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++ | +++    |
| gentamicin (120µg)             | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++ | +++    |
| nalidixic acid (30µg)          | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -      |
| neomycin (10µg)                | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +      |
| novobiocin (5µg)               | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++    |
| oxacillin (1µg)                | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -      |
| penicillin (10µg)              | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | ++     |
| streptomycin (25µg)            | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++    |
| streptomycin (300µg)           | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++    |
| tetracycline (30µg)            | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | ++  | -   | +++    |
| vancomycin (30µg)              | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +++    |

Table 4 Antibiotic susceptibility profiles of Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus strains.
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Antimicrobial activity and antibiotic susceptibility of *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* strains to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and ofloxacin and 52.6% against gentamicin, whereas *Streptococcus* strains were 84.21% resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, 31.57% resistant to cefotaxime and 26.35% resistant to gentamicin. It was reported that *Lactobacillus* strains were more resistant to gentamicin than *Streptococcus* strains. Generally, lactobacilli species are known to be resistant to antibiotics such as tetracycline, vancomycin and trimethoprim. In contrast, LAB are known to be highly susceptible to antibiotics such as penicillin, chloramphenicol, ampicillin, erythrosine and clindamycin (Meral & Korukluoglu, 2014).

Naturally produced antimicrobial agents that have no negative effects on human health are an important research topic. Many strains of LAB have these features at different levels. The resistance and antimicrobial activity capabilities of LAB, which are the main agents of yoghurt production, are very important for dairy industry. Strains with high antibiotic resistance and antimicrobial activity are preferred when evaluated in terms of industry, as well as providing textural and rheological characteristics. For this reason, antibiotic resistance and antimicrobial activity capabilities of LAB have been the subject of research for many studies (Maragkoudakis et al., 2006; Charlier et al., 2008; Khay et al., 2011; Olaniyi et al., 2019).

**4 Conclusions**

The antimicrobial effect of LAB can be caused by many factors, such as the production of lactic acid, aldehydes, bacteriocin and other compounds. Also, the reduction of pH and acetic acid, hydrogen peroxide. In our study, the 1 *Streptococcus thermophilus* and 4 *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* strains were reported to have a 100% antimicrobial effect against food-borne pathogens and spoilage bacteria. Among all *Streptococcus thermophilus* strains only the SY4 strains did not show an antimicrobial effect against *Enterobacter aerogenes*, whereas LY1 strains did not show any antimicrobial effect among the *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* strains. In addition, all *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* strains were reported to have antimicrobial activity against *Campylobacter jejuni* NCTC 11351. In conclusion, the results obtained from this study demonstrated the remarkable antimicrobial attributes of the *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* strains. This remarkable antimicrobial effect is also supported by previous studies.

And also, it is reported that LAB, which are accepted as GRAS (Generally Recognized As Safe), have antibiotic resistance. This study showed that all *Streptococcus thermophilus* strains showed resistance to clindamycin (10 µg), erythromycin (10 µg) and vancomycin (30µg). When the status of lactobacilli strains against pathogens is evaluated, all *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* strains were resistant to ampicillin (10µg), ampicillin (30µg), bacitracin (10µg), cindamycin (2µg), clindamycin (10µg), erythromycin (10µg), erythromycin (10µg) and vancomycin (30µg). These values indicated that *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* strains have a higher antibiotic resistance than *Streptococcus thermophilus*. It could be said that antimicrobial properties and the resistance of antibiotics to starter cultures used in commercial yoghurts.
are very important for production and nutrition. It is very important for starter cultures used in commercial yoghurt to have antimicrobial properties and to resist antibiotics. The starter cultures used in the production of yoghurts sold in the market need to have these properties.
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