Adult Body Height and Cardiometabolic Disease Risk: The China National Health Survey in Shaanxi
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Objectives: Based on data from the China National Health Survey, we aimed to examine the association between body height and cardiometabolic disease (CMD) in a large adult population from Shaanxi province, and further to test whether this association was hinged upon other population characteristics.

Methods: This population-based study was conducted in 2014 in Shaanxi Province, China. Utilizing a multi-stage stratified cluster sampling method, total 5,905 adults with complete data were eligible for analysis, and 1,151 (19.5%) of them had CMD. Of 1,151 CMD patients, 895 (15.1%) had one disorder and 256 (4.4%) had ≥2 disorders.

Results: Using the bi-directional stepwise method and all-subsets regression, five factors—age, body mass index, family histories of CMD, exercise, and height—constituted the optimal model when predicting CMD risk. Restricted cubic spline regression showed a reduced tendency towards CMD with the increase of body height, with per 10 cm increment in body height corresponding to 14% reduced risk. Ordinal Logistic regression supported the contribution of body height on both continuous and categorical scales to CMD risk before and after adjustment, yet this contribution was significantly confounded by exercise and education, especially by exercise, which can explain 65.4% of total impact. For example, short stature was associated with an increased risk of CMD after multivariable adjustment not including exercise and education (odds ratio, 95% confidence interval, P: 1.42, 1.21 to 1.66, <0.001), and tall stature was associated with a reduced risk (0.77, 0.64 to 0.92, 0.003).

Conclusions: Our findings indicate short stature was a risk factor, yet tall stature was a protective factor for CMD in Chinese. Notably, the prediction of short and tall stature for CMD may be mediate in part by exercise.
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INTRODUCTION

Cardiometabolic disease (CMD) includes a constellation of cardiac and metabolic disorders with shared pathogeneses or interlinked mechanisms, and some components of CMD, such as hypertension and type 2 diabetes have posed major public health burdens around the globe (1, 2). Currently, much attention has been paid to CMD, due to its resultant adverse clinical consequences (3, 4). Hence, unraveling the risk profiles of CMD and managing it from a holistic point of view remains a challenging task.

As CMD develops through a multistep and multifactorial process, it is of great interest to identify widely available and easily identified characteristics that can help predict the risk of CMD, such as body height. There is evidence indicating that short statured persons were observed to be more likely to have abnormal blood pressure (5), unfavorable glucose and lipid metabolisms (6, 7), as well as cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events (8, 9). In support of this claim, an individual participant meta-analysis conducted by the Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration showed that taller adults had a lower risk of deaths from coronary disease, stroke subtypes, and heart failure (8, 9). In support of this claim, an individual participant meta-analysis conducted by the Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration showed that taller adults had a lower risk of deaths from coronary disease, stroke subtypes, and heart failure (8, 9). In support of this claim, an individual participant meta-analysis conducted by the Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration showed that taller adults had a lower risk of deaths from coronary disease, stroke subtypes, and heart failure (8, 9). In support of this claim, an individual participant meta-analysis conducted by the Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration showed that taller adults had a lower risk of deaths from coronary disease, stroke subtypes, and heart failure (8, 9).

Data Collection

Data on demographics and lifestyles, anthropometric measurements, personal and family medical histories were collected, including age, sex, body height, weight, age at menarche and menopause (only for females), ethnicity, areas, marital status, education, income, smoking status, drinking status, physical activity, exercise, personal and family medical histories of CMD.

Definitions of CMD and Characteristics

CMD is diagnosed when a person has one or more cardiac and metabolic disorders, including hypertension, type 2 diabetes, hyperlipemia, stroke, and cardiovascular disease. In this study, participants who were free of CMD formed the control group, and CMD patients were classified into two case groups based on the number of above disorders carried (13).

Medical histories were based on diagnosis certificates from second-class or above hospitals. Body height and weight were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and 0.1 kg, respectively. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of body height in meters. Overweight and obesity were defined as BMI ranging from 24 to 27.9 kg/m² and BMI ≥28 kg/m² under the China criteria, respectively (14).

At present, there are no unified standards for short stature in adults, and some previous studies have adopted the lowest quartile or quintile of study population as the upper cutoffs to define short stature (8, 15). In this study, we classified study participants with body height <25th quartile of sex-specific values as short stature, with body height >75th quartile of sex-specific values as tall stature, and with the interval height values as normal stature.

Ethnicity was classified into two categories (Han ethnicity vs. other ethnicities). Areas comprised rural and urban areas. Marital status included three categories: single, married, and divorced or widowed. Education was classified into primary school degree or below, secondary degree, high school degree, and college degree or above. Personal income (RMB per month) was stratified into <2,000, 2,000 to 4,000, and >4000. Smoking or drinking status was defined as current or former consumption and never. Physical activity was classified into light, moderate, and heavy activities. Exercise referred to leisure time exercise of at least 20 min each time, and was stratified into never, <1, 1 to 2, 3 to 4, and 5 to 7 times per week.

METHODS

Ethical Approval and Informed Consent

The conduct of this survey was reviewed and approved by the Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. All participants enrolled in this study gave informed consent.

Study Population

The present study was based on the data collected in 2014 from the China National Health Survey, a population-based cross-sectional survey of Chinese individuals, and the sampling procedure was previously described (12). Briefly, utilizing a multi-stage stratified cluster sampling method, participants who lived in the selected areas in Shaanxi province were invited to complete a detailed questionnaire and physical examination. Finally, 5,905 adult participants with eligible information were enrolled in the final analysis.

Statistical Analyses

Categorical variables are presented as count (percentage). Continuous variables are tested for normality by using the skewness and kurtosis test, and are presented as mean (standard deviation) and median (interquartile range) for normally distributed and skewed variables, respectively. Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum test, t test, and χ² test were used to compare baseline characteristics across normal controls, CMD patients with 1 disorder, and CMD patients with ≥2 disorders, where appropriate.

First, bi-directional stepwise method and all-subsets regression were employed to select contributing factors and determine the optimal model according to root mean square
error (RMSE), mean square error (MAE), and $R^2$, which were calculated by the “MASS” and “leaps” packages in the R programming environment (version 4.0.2). The related analysis scripts are shown in Supplementary File 1. Next, ordinal Logistic regression analyses were performed to examine the crude and adjusted association of body height on both continuous and categorical scales with CMD risk. Two adjusted models were created, with model 1 controlling for age, sex, marital status, personal income, drinking, and family histories of CMD, and model 2 additionally controlling for exercise and education. All controlled factors were modelled as independent terms and in original data forms. Effect-size estimates in ordinal Logistic regression analyses are summarized as odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). Additionally, restricted cubic splines were performed to illustrate the risk distribution of CMD across whole height interval. Based on the results of ordinal Logistic regression analyses, subgroup analyses, and mediation analyses were further implemented, targeting significant confounding factors attributing to height prediction. Statistical power to detect significance was estimated using the PS Power and Sample Size Calculations software version 3.0.

Based on the contributing factors in the optimal model, a wide range of diagnostic statistics were calculated to examine the prediction performance of this optimal model in predicting CMD from both calibration and discrimination aspects. In detail, Akaike information criterion (AIC), Bayesian information criterion (BIC), and the -2-log likelihood ratio test were used to evaluate the calibration. Integrated discrimination improvement (IDI) and area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) were used to evaluate the discrimination.

Finally, a prediction nomogram model was conceived for predicting the risk of CMD was created, and calibration curve was drawn to assess prediction accuracy of this model. The nomogram and calibration curve were depicted by using the “rms” package in the R programming environment (version 4.0.2) (16). The related analysis scripts are shown in Supplementary File 2.

Statistical analyses were done using the STATA software (version 14.0, Stata Corp, TX, USA) unless otherwise indicated. Two-sided P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The stringent Bonferroni correction method was adopted in case of multiple comparisons.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

The baseline characteristics of 5,905 adults in this study are shown in Table 1. A total of 1,151 (19.5%) adults suffered CMD, and there were 895 (15.1%) patients with one disorder and 256 (4.4%) patients with ≥2 disorders.

Identifying Contributing Factors and Determining the Optimal Model for CMD

As shown in Table 2, using the bi-directional stepwise method and all-subsets regression, five factors, including height and other four confounding factors—age, BMI, family histories of CMD, and exercise—were found to be in significant association with CMD and they formed the optimal model, as this model had the lowest PMSE and MAE and the highest $R^2$.

Prediction of Body Height for CMD

To further interrogate the risk prediction of body height for CMD, the ordinal Logistic regression analyses were used before and after adjusting for confounding factors (Table 3). Body height was analyzed on both continuous and categorical scales. Per 10 cm increment in body height was associated with 14% reduced risk of CMD without adjustment (Model 0) (OR = 0.86, 95% CI: 0.80 to 0.93, $P < 0.001$), while this risk was reduced by 36% after adjusting for age, sex, marital status, personal income, drinking, and family histories of CMD (Model 1) (OR = 0.64, 95% CI: 0.57 to 0.73, $P < 0.001$). Further additional adjustment for exercise and education (Model 2) yielded no statistical significance.

Relative to normal stature, short stature was associated with a statistically increased risk of having CMD in Model 0 (OR = 1.45, 95% CI: 1.25 to 1.69, $P < 0.001$) and Model 1 (OR = 1.42, 95% CI: 1.21 to 1.66, $P < 0.001$), and similarly no significance was observed in Model 2. Tall stature was associated with a statistically reduced risk of CMD in Model 0 (OR = 0.77, 95% CI: 0.65 to 0.91, $P = 0.002$) and Model 1 (OR = 0.77, 95% CI: 0.64 to 0.92, $P = 0.003$), while there was no observable significance in Model 2.

The significance persisted even after adopting the stringent Bonferroni correction ($P < 0.05/3$ for continuous height and $<0.05/6$ for categorical height).

Figure 1 displays the risk prediction of body height for CMD and its subtypes under the restricted cubic spline regression model. Overall, there was a reduced tendency towards CMD with the increase of body height when combining CMD patients as a whole without adjustment (Model 0) (panel A), as well as with partial adjustment (Model 1) (panel B). Without observable significance in Model 2, an opposite tendency was observed (panel C). However, further analyses targeting participants with ≥3 times of exercise per week or with education of secondary school degree or below showed the apparent reduced tendency (panels D and E).

Impact of Exercise and Education on the Height-CMD Association

In view of the confounding impact of exercise and education on the risk prediction of body height for CMD, subgroup analyses were undertaken according to the two confounders respectively (Table 4). Significance was only noted in subgroups with ≥3 times of exercise per week and with education of secondary school degree or below. The estimated power to detect significance in subgroup analyses were over 85%.

Further mediation test (Supplementary File 3 and Supplementary Figure 1) indicated that exercise was a significant mediator to the extent to which it carried the significant impact of body height on a continuous scale on CMD risk, and the proportion of total impact conferred by exercise was estimated to be 65.4%. By
### TABLE 1 | The baseline characteristics of all study adults (n = 5,905).

| Characteristics                      | Normal controls (n = 4,754) | CMD patients with 1 disorder (n = 895) | CMD patients with ≥2 disorders (n = 256) | P       |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|
| **Age (years)**                      | 45 (33, 54)                 | 58 (52, 64)                            | 62.0 (56, 69.9)                          | 0.001   |
| **Males**                            | 1,927 (40.5%)               | 392 (43.8%)                            | 119 (46.5%)                             | 0.043   |
| **Height (cm)**                      | 161 (155.8, 167.7)          | 160.5 (154.5, 166.8)                   | 160.5 (154.3, 166.5)                    | 0.004   |
| **Stature category**                 |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| Tall stature (height > highest quartile) | 1,267 (26.8%)               | 178 (20%)                              | 48 (18.8%)                              | <0.001  |
| Normal stature                       | 2,386 (50%)                 | 434 (48.7%)                            | 117 (45.7%)                             |         |
| Short stature (height < lowest quartile) | 1,100 (23.2%)               | 279 (31.3%)                            | 91 (35.5%)                              |         |
| **BMI (kg/m²)**                      | 22.8 (20.7, 25.2)           | 62.5 (56, 69.5)                        | 25.5 (23.3, 27.6)                       | 0.001   |
| **BMI category**                     |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| Normal                               | 2,980 (63.2%)               | 335 (37.8%)                            | 84 (33.2%)                              | <0.001  |
| Overweight                           | 1,351 (28.6%)               | 401 (45.2%)                            | 115 (45.5%)                             |         |
| Obesity                              | 385 (8.2%)                  | 151 (17%)                              | 54 (21.3%)                              |         |
| **Age at menarche (years)**          |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| <13                                  | 401 (16.7%)                 | 47 (11.9%)                             | 12 (10.5%)                              | <0.001  |
| 13 to 14                             | 561 (23.3%)                 | 58 (14.7%)                             | 11 (9.6%)                               |         |
| 15 to 16                             | 1,061 (44.1%)               | 157 (39.8%)                            | 45 (39.5%)                              |         |
| >16                                  | 381 (15.8%)                 | 132 (33.5%)                            | 46 (40.4%)                              |         |
| **Age at menopause (years)**         |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| <45                                  | 85 (9.4%)                   | 37 (9.4%)                              | 15 (12.1%)                              | 0.005   |
| 45 to 49                             | 393 (43.2%)                 | 138 (34.9%)                            | 44 (35.5%)                              |         |
| 50 to 54                             | 380 (41.8%)                 | 176 (44.6%)                            | 55 (44.4%)                              |         |
| >54                                  | 51 (5.6%)                   | 44 (11.1%)                             | 10 (8.1%)                               |         |
| **Ethnicity**                        |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| Han                                  | 4,733 (99.6%)               | 888 (99.2%)                            | 256 (100%)                              | 0.210   |
| Other ethnicities                    | 21 (0.4%)                   | 7 (0.8%)                               | 0 (0%)                                  |         |
| **Areas**                            |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| Rural                                | 2,357 (49.6%)               | 465 (52%)                              | 108 (42.2%)                             | 0.022   |
| Urban                                | 2,396 (50.4%)               | 430 (48%)                              | 148 (57.8%)                             |         |
| **Marital status**                   |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| Single                               | 524 (11%)                   | 4 (0.4%)                               | 1 (0.4%)                                | <0.001  |
| Married                              | 4,104 (86.3%)               | 822 (91.8%)                            | 224 (87.5%)                             |         |
| Divorced or widowed                  | 125 (2.6%)                  | 69 (7.7%)                              | 31 (12.1%)                              |         |
| **Education**                        |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| Primary school degree or below       | 590 (12.2%)                 | 264 (29.5%)                            | 92 (35.9%)                              | <0.001  |
| Secondary school degree              | 1,665 (35%)                 | 299 (33.4%)                            | 71 (27.7%)                              |         |
| High school degree                   | 1,139 (24%)                 | 191 (21.3%)                            | 44 (17.2%)                              |         |
| College degree or above              | 1,369 (28.8%)               | 141 (15.8%)                            | 49 (19.1%)                              |         |
| **Personal income (RMB per month)**  |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| <2000                                | 1,915 (40.3%)               | 453 (50.8%)                            | 109 (42.7%)                             | <0.001  |
| 2000 to 4000                         | 1,729 (36.4%)               | 247 (27.7%)                            | 86 (33.7%)                              |         |
| >4000                                | 1,103 (23.2%)               | 191 (21.4%)                            | 60 (23.5%)                              |         |
| **Smoking**                          |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| No                                   | 3,421 (72%)                 | 630 (70.4%)                            | 188 (73.4%)                             | 0.528   |
| Yes                                  | 1,333 (28%)                 | 265 (29.6%)                            | 68 (26.6%)                              |         |
| **Drinking**                         |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| No                                   | 4,358 (91.7%)               | 808 (90.3%)                            | 218 (85.2%)                             | 0.001   |
| Yes                                  | 396 (8.3%)                  | 87 (9.7%)                              | 38 (14.8%)                              |         |
| **Physical activity**                |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| Light                                | 3,485 (73.3%)               | 671 (75%)                              | 212 (82.8%)                             | 0.006   |
| Moderate                             | 556 (11.7%)                 | 88 (9.8%)                              | 15 (5.9%)                               |         |
| Heavy                                | 713 (15%)                   | 136 (15.2%)                            | 29 (11.3%)                              |         |
| **Exercise (times per week)**        |                             |                                        |                                          |         |
| Never                                | 1,364 (28.7%)               | 383 (42.8%)                            | 146 (57%)                               | <0.001  |
| <1                                   | 611 (12.9%)                 | 122 (13.6%)                            | 26 (10.2%)                              |         |
| 1 to 2                               | 887 (18.7%)                 | 110 (12.3%)                            | 21 (8.2%)                               |         |
| 3 to 4                               | 426 (9%)                    | 61 (6.8%)                              | 14 (5.5%)                               |         |
| 5 to 7                               | 1,461 (30.8%)               | 218 (24.4%)                            | 49 (19.1%)                              |         |

(Continued)
Family histories of CMD were additionally adjusted under model 2. Income, drinking, and family histories of CMD were adjusted, and exercise and education were included in model 0, with no confounder adjusted. Under model 1, age, sex, marital status, personal income, physical activity, smoking, and drinking.

**TABLE 1 | Continued**

| Characteristics                              | Normal controls (n = 4,754) | CMD patients with 1 disorder (n = 895) | CMD patients with ≥2 disorders (n = 256) | P     |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------|
| Family histories of CMD                     |                            |                                       |                                          |       |
| Category 1 (1 family member)                 | 2,829 (59.5%)              | 400 (44.7%)                          | 111 (43.4%)                              | <0.001|
| Category 2 (2 family members)                | 1,524 (32.1%)              | 415 (46.4%)                          | 114 (44.5%)                              |       |
| Category 3 (3 family members)                | 399 (8.4%)                 | 90 (9.9%)                            | 31 (12.1%)                               |       |

SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; CMD, cardiometabolic disease. Data are expressed as median (interquartile range) for continuous variables or count (percent) for categorical variables. P value was calculated by the t-test, Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum test, or the χ² test, where appropriate.

**TABLE 2 | Identification of the best model in predicting CMD by AIC in a stepwise algorithm.**

| Number*: characteristics | RMSE  | MAE  | R²   |
|--------------------------|-------|------|------|
| 1: age                   | 0.4743| 0.3438| 0.1621 |
| 2: age, BMI              | 0.4690| 0.3467| 0.1807 |
| 3: age, BMI, family histories of CMD | 0.4535 | 0.3443 | 0.2006 |
| 4: age, BMI, family histories of CMD, exercise | 0.4629 | 0.3433 | 0.2025 |
| 5: age, BMI, family histories of CMD, exercise, height | 0.4626 | 0.3431 | 0.2031 |
| 6: age, BMI, family histories of CMD, exercise, height, education | 0.4629 | 0.3432 | 0.2026 |

AIC, Akaike information criterion; BMI, body mass index; CMD, cardiometabolic disease; RMSE, root mean square error; MAE, mean square error. *The number of characteristics under the present study in each optimal model.

**TABLE 3 | Prediction of body height on both continuous and categorical scales for CMD by using the ordinal Logistic regression analyses.**

| Body height                              | Model 0                  | Model 1                  | Model 2                  |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Height (per 10 cm increment)             |                           |                          |                          |
| 0.86, 0.80 to                            | 0.64, 0.57 to            | 0.94, 0.82 to            |                          |
| 0.93, <0.001                             | 0.73, <0.001             | 1.07, 0.340              |                          |
| Height category                          |                           |                          |                          |
| Normal stature                           | Reference group          | Reference group          | Reference group          |
| Short stature                            | 1.45, 1.25 to            | 1.42, 1.21 to            | 1.12, 0.95 to            |
| 1.69, <0.001                            | 1.66, <0.001             | 1.32, 0.178              |                          |
| Tall stature                             | 0.77, 0.66 to            | 0.77, 0.64 to            | 1.02, 0.84 to            |
| 0.91, 0.002                             | 0.92, 0.003              | 1.23, 0.843              |                          |

CMD, cardiometabolic disease; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Under model 0, no confounder was adjusted. Under model 1, age, sex, marital status, personal income, drinking, and family histories of CMD were adjusted, and exercise and education were additionally adjusted under model 2.

contrast, the proportion of total effect conferred by education was estimated to be 24.6%.

**Prediction Nomogram Model**

A prediction nomogram model based on factors in the optimal model was finally generated in predicting the risk of CMD (Figure 3). The prediction accuracy of this model was good, with the C-index of being 74.4%.

Assuming an adult aged 62 years old (40 points), doing two times of exercise per week (3 points), with body height of 170 cm (6 points), with BMI of 32 kg/m² (75 points), having two family members diagnosed with CMD (20 points), the total point was summed as 144, and the risk of having CMD was estimated to be 75%.

**DISCUSSION**

In this large, population-based analysis on 5,905 Chinese adults from Shaanxi province, we aimed to examine the association of body height with CMD, and further to test whether this association was hinged upon other population characteristics. The key finding of this study is that the risk of CMD was reduced with the increase of body height, that is, short stature was a risk factor, yet tall stature was a protective factor. Moreover, the prediction of short and tall stature for CMD was confounded by exercise and education, and especially over two thirds of the prediction can be explained by exercise. To our knowledge, this is the pilot study that has investigated the impact of body height on CMD among Chinese adults.

Divergent association between body height and health outcomes have been reported in the medical literature. Our findings are in line with that of some previous studies by showing that short stature was a risk predictor for CMD (17, 18), yet the underlying reasons remain largely unknown. It is possible that the relationship between adult height and CMD can be, at least in part, explained by genetic factors (19). From organ function point of view, short statured persons tend to have a worse lung function (18), which can precipitate the development of subsequent CMD. Besides, adult height is widely regarded as a marker of early-life exposures, such as nutrition and diseases (20), which indirectly contribute to the risk of having CMD. Also, there is evidence that adult height can be influenced by
FIGURE 1  | Restricted cubic spline regression analysis on the prediction of body height on a continuous scale for overall CMD risk under the model 0 (A), model 1 (B), and model 2 (C), respectively. Further analyses targeting participants with ≤3 times of exercise per week (D) or with education of secondary school degree or below (E). CMD, cardiometabolic disease. Under the model 0, no confounder was adjusted. Under the model 1, age, sex, marital status, personal income, drinking, and family histories of CMD were adjusted, and exercise and education were additionally adjusted under the model 2.
hormonal factors. For example, thyroid hormone, playing a
decisive role in height gain, are linked with cardiometabolic
progress (21). Therefore, it is reasonable to speculate that short
statured persons are more likely to suffer CMD.

It is worth noting that our results further revealed the impact
of body height on CMD was confounded by exercise and
education. Exercise is known as a natural strong metabolism-
improving strategy (22), and it is widely applied in management
of cardiovascular diseases and diabetes. In addition, well-
designed exercise increases growth hormone levels, and is
beneficial for organic metabolism (23). On the other hand,
there is also a close association between exercise and body
height (24). We accordingly did a mediation test in our study,
showing that near two thirds of the impact of body height on
CMD was conferred by exercise, indicating that concerns over
exercise should be proposed among adults with short stature.

Similarly, education is regarded as an advantageous factor that
is inversely associated with CMD (25). People with high education
tend to have a high level of health literacy, leading to health
behavior and health status (26). And education is also interacted
with body height (27), accounting for less than one forth impact
of body height on CMD in mediation test. Thus, there is an urgent
need to raise health literacy in adults with short stature.

To facilitate the practical application of our findings, on the
basis of the optimal model determined by the bi-directional
stepwise method and all-subsets regression, we constructed
a prediction nomogram model after multi-angle model
diagnostic checking, and this model had a good prediction
accuracy. We believed, when confirmed, our findings may have
implications for risk stratification and the management of CMD
in Chinese.

Strengths and Limitations
Strengths of this study include a comprehensive analysis of the
association between body height and CMD risk in a large-scale
Chinese population. Additionally, our study provides accordance
on making more efficient and more effective preventive strategies
for CMD.

Some limitations should be acknowledged when interpreting
our findings. Firstly, this is a cross-sectional study, which
precludes further comments on causality. For example, body
height can only be regarded as an indicator in this study, rather
than a determinant of CMD, calling for further longitudinal
studies to confirm or refute our conclusions. Secondly, this study
was based on adults living in Shaanxi, China, and so the results may not generalizable to the other populations. Thirdly, adult height in this study was recorded only once at the time of enrollment, and it is of added interest to examine the changes in height, especially females, who will become shorter with aging.

Conclusions
Taken together, our findings indicate short stature was a risk factor, yet tall stature was a protective factor for CMD in Chinese. Notably, the prediction of short and tall stature for CMD was confounded by exercise and education, and especially over two thirds of the prediction can be explained by exercise. For practical reasons, we hope this study will not represent just an endpoint of investigation instead of a start to establish background data to further explore the correlation between body height and the development of CMD, as well as the underlying mechanisms in the future.
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