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The electrical performance of Si-doped n⁺-n GaAs homojunction barriers grown by molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE) is characterized and analyzed. We employed a successive etch technique to study hole injection currents in GaAs n⁺-n-p⁺ solar cells. The results of the analysis show that minority-carrier holes in our MBE-grown material have a mobility of 293 cm²/V s for an n-type Si-doping level of 1.5 × 10¹⁶ cm⁻³ at 300 K. The interface recombination velocity for these homojunction barriers is estimated to be less than 1 × 10⁵ cm/s, and it appears to be comparable to that recently observed for Si-doped n⁺-n GaAs homojunction barriers grown by metalorganic chemical vapor deposition. We present evidence that these n⁺-n GaAs homojunctions, unlike p⁺-p GaAs homojunctions, are almost as effective as AlGaAs heterojunctions in minority-carrier confinement, and that their electrical performance is not degraded by heavy doping effects.

I. INTRODUCTION

High-efficiency GaAs solar cells routinely employ high-low junctions for confining minority carriers.¹ ² Depending on whether the cell is n⁺-p or p⁺-n, the high-low junction (also known as back-surface field or minority-carrier mirror) is either a p⁺-p or n⁺-n homojunction. Recent work has demonstrated that p⁺-p GaAs heterojunctions are not effective minority-carrier mirrors.³ The poor confinement was attributed to strong effective gap-narrowing in p⁺-GaAs which lowers the confining potential for minority carriers. In this paper we examine n⁺-n GaAs homojunction barriers and demonstrate that, in contrast with p⁺-p homojunction barriers, they are effective minority-carrier mirrors. The interface recombination velocity of these homojunctions is quite comparable to that of heterojunction barriers, and is sufficient for most solar cell applications. These results suggest that the strong effective band-gap narrowing which occurs in p⁺-GaAs is absent in n⁺-GaAs.

Both the experiment conducted and the techniques employed are similar to those we recently described for p⁺-p GaAs heterojunctions.⁴ The experiment itself and the results obtained are briefly described in Sec. II. These results are analyzed in Sec. III in order to deduce the interface recombination velocity of the n⁺-n gallium arsenide homojunctions. In Sec. IV we compare the interface recombination velocity deduced from electrical measurements with that computed theoretically. Finally, our conclusions are summarized in Sec. V.

II. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE AND RESULTS

The epitaxial layer structure for the solar cells used in this study is shown in Fig. 1. The films were grown in a Varian GEN II molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE) system. The starting substrate was cleaved from a (100)-oriented, p-type GaAs wafer, and the thicknesses of the epitaxial layers were determined by counting oscillations in the intensity of the reflection high-energy electron diffraction pattern. Silicon was used as the n-type dopant and beryllium as the p-type dopant. Solar cells of dimension 0.1 × 0.1 cm² were defined by photolithography and subsequent wet etching. The ohmic contact to the n⁺-GaAs cap layer was a AuGe/Ni/Ti/Au metal finger pattern fabricated using a low-temperature annealing process. The back contact metal was indium. The doping density of the n layer was measured as 1.5 × 10¹⁶ cm⁻³ by capacitance versus voltage profiling. Doping densities of the other layers were determined from the calibrated temperatures of the dopant ovens.

A successive etch technique was used to characterize the hole injection current.⁴ The metal grid pattern was protected with photoresist, and the exposed semiconductor was removed in a series of short etches. Each etch was 20 s long in a solution of [6H₂SO₄:3H₂O₂:400H₂O] at 27 °C and removed 250 Å of material, as measured by step profiling. After each etch, the short-circuit current Iₛₑ under constant illumination through a 546-nm narrow-band filter, and the forward-biased dark I-V characteristics were measured. All I-V measurements were performed with a Hewlett-Packard 4145A semiconductor parameter analyzer at 23.3 °C.

The dark I-V characteristic measured after each etch step was analyzed to extract the n = 1 saturation current density Jₒ; the results are plotted in Fig. 2. Jₒ did not increase until the n⁺-GaAs barrier layer was completely removed. It is important to note that Jₒ remained fairly constant as the n⁺-AlGaAs heteroface and n⁺-GaAs barrier layers were being etched away. This result clearly demonstrates that the homojunction barrier is as effective as the heterojunction barrier in minority-carrier hole confinement. This is in sharp contrast with the results that we obtained from a similar experiment for Be-doped, p⁺-p GaAs heterojunction barriers grown by MBE.⁵ In that experiment, we employed p⁺-p-n⁺ solar cells of similar structure and comparable doping densities (see Fig. 3), and found that Jₒ increased significantly as the p⁺-GaAs barrier layer was being etched away (see Fig. 4).

The fact that the n⁺-n GaAs homojunction appears to be a good minority-carrier mirror is further substantiated by the short-circuit current Iₛₑ versus etch depth plot, as shown
in Fig. 5. $I_{se}$ rose as the $n^+\text{-GaAs}$ cap layer was etched away and more photons passed through the AlGaAs layer, generating electron-hole pairs. $I_{se}$ rose at a faster rate after the AlGaAs layer was exposed, due to the different absorption coefficients of the AlGaAs and GaAs layers. When the AlGaAs layer was completely removed, $I_{se}$ plummeted because some carriers generated in the $n^+\text{-GaAs}$ barrier layer recombined at the front surface. $I_{se}$ again rose as the barrier layer was etched away and more carriers were generated behind the barrier where they were collected. When the $n^+\text{-GaAs}$ barrier layer was completely removed, $I_{se}$ plummeted to the lowest point due to the very high surface recombination velocity of the bare GaAs surface. As the $n$-GaAs layer was thinned and more carriers were generated closer to the $n-p^+$ junction, $I_{se}$ rose gradually. Notice that the two peaks

that occurred just before the complete removal of the heterojunction and homojunction barriers have nearly equal heights. This indicates that these two different types of barriers are almost equally effective.

III. ANALYSIS

In an $n-p^+$ GaAs diode, the component of $J_{o1}$ due to hole injection in the $n$-GaAs is given by

$$J_{o1} = \frac{q n_0^2}{N_D} \left( \frac{S_s + (D_p/L_p) \tanh(W_s/L_p)}{1 + S_s (L_p/D_p) \tanh(W_s/L_p)} \right),$$

where $D_p$ and $L_p$ are the minority-carrier hole diffusion coefficient and length, respectively, $n_0$ is the intrinsic carrier
FIG. 5. Short-circuit current measured under constant illumination through a 546-nm narrow-band filter.

concentration of lightly doped GaAs, \( W_n \) is the width, and \( S_n \) is the recombination velocity at the surface of the lightly doped \( n \) layer. If the \( n \) layer is thin (\( W_n \ll L_p \)) and the surface is unpassivated (\( S_n \gg W_n/\tau_p \)), then Eq. (1) can be simplified as

\[
J_{01p} = \frac{qn_0^2 D_p S_n}{N_p W_n} \left( \frac{S_n}{S_n + D_p/W_n} \right).
\]  

Equation (2) should describe the hole injection current after the \( n^- \)-GaAs cap, the \( n^- \)-AlGaAs, and the \( n^- \)-GaAs barrier layers have been removed. Before these layers are completely removed, \( S_n \) is low and \( J_{01p} \) is dominated by electron injection into the \( p^- \)-GaAs. This implies that \( J_{01p} \) of Eq. (2) is the difference between the \( J_{01} \) measured after the top three layers were removed and that measured initially, which we denote by \( J_{01e} \).

The width of the \( n \)-GaAs layer varied with the etch time according to \( W_n(t) = W_{n0} - Rt \), where \( W_{n0} \) is the undepleted width of the \( n \) layer at \( t = 0 \), and \( R \) is the etch rate. Equation (2) can then be rearranged as

\[
J_{01p} = (J_{01} - J_{01e})^{-1} \left[ \frac{N_p W_{n0}}{qn_0^2 D_p} + \frac{N_p}{qn_0^2 S_n} \right] - \left[ \frac{N_p R}{qn_0^2 D_p} \right] t. 
\]  

Figure 6 shows that a plot of \( J_{01p}^{-1} \) versus etch time was linear with a slope of \( N_p R / qn_0^2 D_p \), from which the product, \( n_0^2 D_p \), at 23.3 °C, was determined to be \( 1.82 \times 10^{15} \) cm\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1}\). From the intercept, the surface recombination velocity, \( S_n \), was deduced to be \( 1.1 \times 10^{6} \) cm/s, which agrees well with the value expected for a bare GaAs surface.\(^5\)

From the measured \( n_0^2 D_p \) product and the data of Blakemore\(^6\) for \( n_0 \) (which is \( 1.55 \times 10^9 \) at 23.3 °C), a minority-carrier hole diffusion coefficient of \( D_p = 7.6 \) cm\(^2\)/s was deduced. This value corresponds to a minority-carrier hole mobility of 293 cm\(^2\)/Vs at 300 K. Venkataraman et al.\(^7\) have reported somewhat lower minority-carrier hole diffusion coefficients in \( n^- \)-GaAs material grown by metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD). They measured diffusion coefficients of 6.5 and \( 2.5 \) cm\(^2\)/s for doping densities of \( 3 \times 10^{15} \) and \( 4 \times 10^{16} \) cm\(^{-3}\), respectively.

The interface recombination velocity \( S_{n^-n} \) of the \( n^- \)-GaAs homojunction barrier can be estimated from the ratio \( I_{sc}(n^+) / I_{sc}(n) \), where \( I_{sc}(n^+) \) and \( I_{sc}(n) \) represent the short-circuit currents measured just before and after the complete removal of the \( n^- \)-GaAs barrier layer, respectively. Using a theoretical expression for short-circuit current derived by Sze\(^8\) with the \( S_n \) and \( D_p \) measured here, we calculated the ratio \( I_{sc}(n^+) / I_{sc}(n) \) for a series of minority-carrier hole lifetimes and interface recombination velocities. The results, \( I_{sc}(n^+) / I_{sc}(n) \) vs \( S_{n^-n} \), are shown in Fig. 7. The actual \( I_{sc}(n^+) / I_{sc}(n) \) measured from the short-circuit current plot (Fig. 5) is 3.51. From Fig. 7, an upper limit for the interface recombination velocity of \( 1 \times 10^{13} \) cm/s and a lower limit for the minority-carrier hole lifetime of 10 ns can be estimated. These estimates imply that the minority-carrier hole diffusion length \( L_p \) is greater than 2.75 \( \mu \)m. The low interface recombination velocity obtained here is at least 60 times lower than that of Be-doped, \( p^- \)-GaAs homojunction barriers grown by MBE.\(^3\) This clearly indicates that the \( n^- \)-\( n \) barrier is superior to the \( p^- \)-\( p \) barrier in minority-carrier confinement.

These results can be used to check our assumptions that were made in the derivations of Eqs. (2) and (3). Since the minority-carrier hole diffusion length \( L_p \) is greater than 2.75 \( \mu \)m and the hole lifetime \( \tau_p \) is more than 10 ns, and the surface recombination velocity \( S_n \) of the bare GaAs surface is \( 1.1 \times 10^{7} \) cm/s, therefore the assumptions \( W_n \ll L_p \) and \( (S_n \gg W_n/\tau_p) \) used in Eq. (2) are valid. Since \( (W_n \ll L_p) \) and \( S_{n^-n} \) is comparable to \( W_n/\tau_p \), Eq. (1) can be simplified as
IV. DISCUSSION

The theoretical interface recombination velocity of the \( n^+ - n \) GaAs homojunction\(^9\) can be computed from

\[
S_{n^+ - n} = \frac{D_p^+ N_D^+}{W_n^+ N_D^+} n_{in}^+ \coth \left( \frac{W_n^+}{L_p^+} \right),
\]

where the \(-\) and \(+\) superscripts refer to the lightly and heavily doped sides of the junction, respectively, \( n_{in} \) is the intrinsic carrier concentration of lightly doped GaAs, and \( n_{in}^+ \) is the effective intrinsic carrier concentration of the heavily doped GaAs. Since the \( n^+ - GaAs \) barrier layer is only \( 0.15 \mu m \) thick, \( W_n^+ \ll L_p^+ \), so Eq. (5) can be simplified as

\[
S_{n^+ - n} = \frac{D_p^+ N_D^+}{W_n^+ N_D^+} n_{in}^+ n_{in}^-.
\]

Detailed band structure calculations by Bennett and Lowney\(^10\) show that \( n_{in}^- \) is much greater than \( n_{in}^+ \) due to strong effective band-gap shrinkage in \( p^+ - GaAs \), but for \( n^+ - GaAs \), majority-carrier degeneracy acts to reduce \( n_{in}^- \) and should offset band-gap shrinkage effects. This implies that the strong increase in \( n_{in}^- \) observed in \( p^+ - GaAs \) is absent in \( n^+ - GaAs \). For very heavy \( n \)-type doping, \( n_{in}^- \) may actually be less than \( n_{in}^+ \).

Theoretical estimates of \( S_{n^+ - n} \) with and without heavy doping effects were calculated for a range of heavy doping concentrations, \( N_D^+ \), using Eq. (6) with the same \( W_n^+ \) and \( N_D^+ \) from our device. For heavy doping effects, we used the theoretical calculations \( (n_{in}^+ / n_{in}^-) \) of Bennett and Lowney.\(^10\) In the absence of heavy doping effects, \( (n_{in}^+ / n_{in}^-) \) is set to be one. The experimental values for majority-carrier hole mobility from Sze\(^8\) were used to deduce \( D_p^+ \). Figure 8 shows the theoretical estimates of \( S_{n^+ - n} \) with and without heavy doping effects versus \( N_D^+ \). As expected, heavy doping effects have only a minor effect on the results. However, the improving characteristics for \( N_D^+ > 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) are attributed to an effective widening of the band gap caused by majority-carrier degeneracy. Note that at \( N_D^+ = 3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), the theoretical value of \( S_{n^+ - n} \) is approximately equal to 650 cm/s. This is consistent with our experimental estimate that \( S_{n^+ - n} \) is less than \( 1 \times 10^3 \text{ cm/s} \), and with that recently reported for Si-doped, \( n^+ - n \) GaAs homojunction barriers grown by MOCVD.\(^7\)

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the electrical performance of Si-doped \( n^+ - n \) GaAs homojunction barriers grown by MBE is characterized and analyzed. We employed a successive etch technique to study hole injection currents in GaAs \( n^+ - n-p^+ \) solar cells. The results of the analysis show that minority-carrier holes in our MBE-grown material have a mobility of 293 cm\(^2\)/V s for an \( n \)-type Si-doping level of \( 1.5 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) at 300 K. The interface recombination velocity for these \( n^+ - n \) GaAs homojunction barriers is estimated to be less than \( 1 \times 10^3 \text{ cm/s} \), and it appears to be comparable to that recently observed for Si-doped, \( n^+ - n \) GaAs homojunction barriers grown by MOCVD.\(^7\) We present evidence that these \( n^+ - n \) GaAs homojunctions, unlike the \( p^+ - p \) GaAs homojunctions, are almost as effective as AlGaAs heterojunctions in...
minority-carrier confinement, and that their electrical performance is not degraded by heavy doping effects. This work helps to explain the superior performance of a $p^+-n$ solar cell with an $n^+-n$ back-surface field compared to an $n^+-p$ solar cell with a $p^+-p$ back-surface field.
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