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A plasma membrane microdomain compartmentalizes ephrin-generated cAMP signals to prune developing retinal axon arbors

Stefania Averaimo\textsuperscript{1,2,3,*}, Ahlem Assali\textsuperscript{4,5,6,*}, Oriol Ros\textsuperscript{1,2,3}, Sandrine Couvet\textsuperscript{1,2,3}, Yvrick Zagar\textsuperscript{1,2,3}, Ioana Genescu\textsuperscript{1,2,3}, Alexandra Rebsam\textsuperscript{4,5,6} & Xavier Nicol\textsuperscript{1,2,3}

The development of neuronal circuits is controlled by guidance molecules that are hypothesized to interact with the cholesterol-enriched domains of the plasma membrane termed lipid rafts. Whether such domains enable local intracellular signalling at the submicrometre scale in developing neurons and are required for shaping the nervous system connectivity \textit{in vivo} remains controversial. Here, we report a role for lipid rafts in generating domains of local cAMP signalling in axonal growth cones downstream of ephrin-A repulsive guidance cues. Ephrin-A-dependent retraction of retinal ganglion cell axons involves cAMP signalling restricted to the vicinity of lipid rafts and is independent of cAMP modulation outside of this microdomain. cAMP modulation near lipid rafts controls the pruning of ectopic axonal branches of retinal ganglion cells \textit{in vivo}, a process requiring intact ephrin-A signalling. Together, our findings indicate that lipid rafts structure the subcellular organization of intracellular cAMP signalling shaping axonal arbors during the nervous system development.
The development of neuronal connectivity requires appropriate signalling downstream of axon guidance molecules to orient axonal growth towards appropriate synaptic partners and to prune ectopic axonal branches present in initially exuberant projection maps. A subset of molecular guidance cues including Netrin-1 and Semaphorin-3A requires lipid rafts to attract or repel axons in vitro. Lipid rafts are highly ordered and dynamic microdomains of the plasma membrane that are enriched in cholesterol, sphingolipids and gangliosides, and are crucial for a wide range of signalling pathways. Since the formulation of the concept of lipid rafts as a membrane compartment with specific protein composition, neurons have provided several examples of raft-dependent signalling pathways in vitro including the modulation of axon turning and outgrowth induced by attractive or repulsive cues. However, defects in neuronal connectivity or behavioural phenotypes suggesting a general perturbation of axon pathfinding have not been reported in animal models lacking structural components of a subset of lipid rafts including caveolins and flotillins, challenging the potential involvement of lipid rafts in the development of neuronal connectivity.

In cultured cell lines, subtypes of adenylyl cyclases (ACs) are differentially targeted to or excluded from lipid rafts. ACs synthesize the ubiquitous second messenger cyclic AMP (cAMP), and their subcellular localization creates microdomains of this cyclic nucleotide in non-neuronal cells. cAMP is crucial for axonal response to a large set of guidance molecules including Netrin-1, Semaphorin-3A and ephrin-A5. This ubiquitous second messenger is also involved in a wide range of other cellular processes including neuronal survival, differentiation and migration. Subcellular restriction of cAMP signals might explain how this ubiquitous second messenger achieves specificity for a variety of downstream signalling pathways. In neurons, morphologically-defined compartments of cAMP signalling have been detected in vitro, with distinct interactions between cAMP- and calcium-mediated signalling in filopodia and the centre of the growth cones. However, the biochemical identity of cAMP domains has not been identified.

Although several members of the AC family are expressed in sensory systems, only AC1 appears to be involved in the development of neuronal connectivity. AC1 is crucial for the refinement of sensory axon arbors in the visual and somatosensory system. Other ACs expressed in retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) and their targets are dispensable for the development of retinal projections, indicating limited functional redundancy between members of the AC family and suggesting that they generate distinct cAMP signals. However, the spatiotemporal features of the signals involved in the development of neural connectivity are poorly understood. Since AC1 is targeted to lipid rafts in cell lines, this plasma membrane microdomain is a potential candidate to confine cAMP signalling required for the development of neuronal connectivity.

Here, we provide direct evidence that lipid rafts are crucial for the development of RGC axon connectivity in vivo, and define
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microdomains of cAMP signalling in the growth cone of RGCs. We found that ephrin-As, a set of axon guidance molecules, required for the development of retinal topography\(^2,25\), induce a lipid raft-specific reduction in cAMP concentration in RGC growth cones. Lipid raft-specific cAMP signals are required for both ephrin-A-induced axon retraction in vitro and pruning of RGC arbors in the superior colliculus (SC) in vivo. These results indicate that lipid raft-segregated cAMP signals are functionally relevant in developing neurons and are required for shaping the precise connectivity of the nervous system.

**Results**

**Ephrin-A-induced axonal retraction requires lipid rafts.** To evaluate whether lipid rafts define a potential compartment of RGCs from which cAMP signalling originates, we analyzed the subcellular targeting of AC1, the AC required for the development of retinal projections. We identified the subcellular localization of AC1 in mouse E13.5 retina electroopted using a green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged version of AC1. GFP fusion did not affect the targeting of AC1 to the plasma membrane (Fig. 1c). Sucrose-density gradient fractionation was used to biochemically isolate high- and low-density fractions of the plasma membrane prepared from retina. AC1 was found highly enriched in low-density fractions labelled by Caveolin-1, a protein of lipid rafts, and by Cholera toxin β subunit (Ctx), a marker binding gangliosides\(^26\) and glycoproteins\(^27\), which are both components of lipid rafts (Fig. 1a,b). This suggests that this subcellular compartment contains the AC1-dependent cAMP signals that regulate ephrin-A-induced axonal retraction and RGC axon connectivity. To determine whether lipid rafts are required for axonal response to ephrin-As, cultured retinal explants in which lipid raft integrity was altered using sphingomyelinase (SMase) were exposed to ephrin-A5. SMase specifically hydrolyzes

**Figure 2 | Monitoring local cAMP inside or outside the submembrane domain adjacent to lipid rafts.** The strategy used to monitor cAMP signals in the vicinity of the raft or non-raft domains of the plasma membrane is schematized in a. The CAMP-sensitive FRET sensor Hi47 is fused to either a tandem of two Lyn sequences in 5’ or to a Kras sequence in 3’ to confine the probe inside or outside lipid rafts, respectively and monitor local cAMP signals. (b) Electroporation of Lyn-Hi47 or Hi47-Kras in retinal explants (right panels) or co-transfection of each FRET probe (yellow) with mCherry (red) in HEK293 cells (left panels) leads to a plasma membrane-restricted expression of both sensors. Scale bar, 10 μm. n = 3 independent cultures (HEK293). The CFP/FRET ratio is coded as in e. Data are mean ± s.e.m. (c,f) Scale bar, 10 μm. Uncropped gels are provided in Supplementary Fig. 8.
sphingomyelins, one of the main components of lipid rafts together with cholesterol, resulting in the removal of cholesterol and associated proteins from the plasma membrane\textsuperscript{26}. Although the amount of sphingomyelin degraded by SMase was not assessed, we verified that treating retinal explants with SMase prevented the enrichment of the lipid raft marker CI\(\beta\) in low-density fractions (Supplementary Fig. 1). The general reduction of CI\(\beta\) labelling (Supplementary Fig. 1) was expected since SMase treatment results in the removal of lipid raft components from the plasma membrane\textsuperscript{28}. These observations confirm that SMase modifies the structure of the lipid rafts. SMase alone did not perturb the morphology of RGC growth cones (Fig. 1d). On ephrin-A application, untreated axons collapsed and retracted leaving a long single trailing process. In contrast, SMase-treated axons collapsed but the length of the retraction process was reduced (Fig. 1d), mimicking the phenotype of \(ACI^{-/-}\) axons\textsuperscript{15}. SMase treatment produces ceramide, a lipid that might in turn activate signalling pathways potentially interfering with axon retraction. To rule out this possibility, we perturbed lipid raft integrity by cholesterol oxidation with cholesterol oxidase (COx), a treatment that does not produce ceramide. COx drastically reduced the COx-treated axons collapsed when exposed to ephrin-A5, but the length of their retraction process was reduced, mimicking the effect of SMase (Supplementary Fig. 2). This indicates that SMase metabolites, including ceramide, are not responsible for the reduced retraction process. This was confirmed by exposing retinal axons to ceramide before ephrin-A5-induced axonal retraction. This treatment did not affect the length of the trailing process observed after retraction (Supplementary Fig. 2). These observations demonstrate that lipid rafts contain AC1, the cAMP synthesizing enzyme required for ephrin-A5-induced repulsion of RGC growth cones, and are involved in axon retraction in response to this axon guidance molecule.

**Ephrin-A5 induces a reduction in cAMP near lipid rafts.** To evaluate whether lipid rafts compartmentalize cAMP signals in axonal growth cones, we monitored cAMP concentration in and outside lipid raft submembrane domain. An existing cAMP FRET sensor, H147 (ref. 29), was targeted to each compartment to analyze local cAMP modulation. Targeting lipid rafts was achieved using the 5\(^{\prime}\) insertion of two palmitoylation-myristoylation tandems derived from Lyn kinase\textsuperscript{13} (Fig. 2a). H147 was targeted to the plasma membrane and excluded from lipid rafts by a 3\(^{\prime}\) fusion to a CaaX sequence along with a polylysine motif derived from K-Ras\textsuperscript{13} (Fig. 2a). The lipid raft-targeted (Lyn-H147) and excluded (H147-Kras) sensors were found at the plasma membrane in transfected HEK293 cells and in electroporated retinas (Fig. 2b), and their specific subcellular localization was validated using membrane fractionation with a sucrose-density gradient. Lyn-H147 was found in the same membrane fractions as Caveolin-1 (Fig. 2c,d). In contrast, H147-Kras was highly enriched in the biochemical fractions of the plasma membrane containing the lipid raft-excluded protein \(\beta\)-Adaptin (Fig. 2c,d). Expression of either Lyn-H147 or H147-Kras did not affect growth cone morphology (Supplementary Fig. 3). Both sensors were able to detect cAMP variations in axonal growth cones after exposure to the AC activator Forskolin (Fsk) combined with the non-specific phosphodiesterase inhibitor IBMX. The computed CFP/FRET ratio, reflecting the cAMP concentration, did not change significantly after sham stimulation (Fig. 2e,f).

These subcellularly targeted FRET sensors were electroporated in retinal explants, and cAMP concentration was monitored in growth cones exposed to ephrin-A5. This repellent guidance cue induced a reduction in the CFP/FRET ratio of Lyn-H147-expressing growth cones (Fig. 3a), revealing a decrease in cAMP near lipid rafts. This reduction in cAMP concentration was absent after sham stimulation (Fig. 2e), cAMP concentration reached a plateau 8 min after stimulation (Fig. 3a). In contrast, the cAMP concentration monitored by H147-Kras next to the non-raft fraction of the plasma membrane was not affected by ephrin-A5 (Fig. 3b). This indicates that ephrin-A5 modulates cAMP concentration specifically in the submembrane region adjacent to lipid rafts and does not affect the concentration of this cyclic nucleotide next to other membrane compartments.

**Axonal retraction requires cAMP signalling near lipid rafts.** The existence of distinct local cAMP signals suggested a differential role of cAMP signalling in the submembrane space proximal and distal from lipid rafts during ephrin-A-stimulated axon retraction. To further investigate the cellular signalling dependent on lipid rafts, a genetically-encoded chelator of cAMP termed ‘cAMP sponge’\textsuperscript{30} was targeted to or outside lipid rafts, enabling local perturbation of cAMP downstream signalling. cAMP sponge subcellular localization was performed using the same targeting sequences as for the FRET sensor H147: tandem of two Lyn sequences in 5\(^{\prime}\) for lipid rafts and a Kras sequence for the non-raft compartment (Fig. 4a). Both local cAMP signalling inhibitors were targeted to the plasma membrane (Fig. 4b). Appropriate subcellular localization of Lyn-cAMP sponge and cAMP sponge-Kras was verified biochemically. Lyn-cAMP
sponge was highly enriched in the same membrane fractions as the lipid raft marker Caveolin-1, whereas cAMP sponge-Kras was mainly excluded from this compartment and was detected in fractions containing the non-raft marker β-Adaptin (Fig. 4c,d). Lyn-cAMP sponge and Lyn-H147 or cAMP sponge-Kras and H147-Kras were co-electroporated in retinal explants. The expression of each targeted cAMP sponge was sufficient to reduce the increase of cAMP concentration induced by Fsk and IBMX confirming their ability to interfere with cAMP signalling (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Both Lyn-cAMP sponge and cAMP sponge-Kras were fused to a red fluorescent protein (mCherry and mRFP, respectively). However, limited brightness prevented the direct identification of Lyn-cAMP sponge in living RGC axons. To overcome this limitation, GFP was co-electroporated with an excess of either Lyn-cAMP sponge or cAMP sponge-Kras in E13.5 retinas ex vivo. All GFP-expressing axons were positive for Lyn-cAMP sponge after mCherry-immunostaining (Supplementary Fig. 5). Growing axons expressing either the raft-targeted or the raft-excluded blocker of cAMP signalling were monitored during exposure to ephrin-A5. Axons with unaffected cAMP signalling collapsed and retracted after superfusion of ephrin-A5-containing medium (Fig. 5a; Supplementary Movie 1). Lyn-cAMP sponge did not affect growth cone collapse, but subsequent axon retraction was slowed (Fig. 5b,e; Supplementary Movie 1), mimicking the phenotype of ACI<sup>−/−</sup> RGCs<sup>15</sup>. In contrast, the blockade of cAMP signalling next to the non-raft fraction of the plasma membrane had no effect on the retraction rate of RGC axons exposed to ephrin-A5 (Fig. 5c,e; Supplementary Movie 1). Retracting axons expressing a variant of Lyn-cAMP sponge unable to bind and buffer cAMP<sup>30</sup> were not distinguishable from GFP-expressing axons (Fig. 5d,e; Supplementary Movie 1). Axon outgrowth before ephrin-A exposure was not affected by either local cAMP blockade (Fig. 5; Supplementary Movie 1). These results demonstrate that local cAMP signalling in the vicinity of lipid rafts but not outside this submembrane region is required for ephrin-A-induced axonal retraction <i>in vitro</i>.

Figure 4 | Lipid raft targeting or exclusion of a cAMP signalling blocker. The strategy used to block raft and non-raft cAMP signals is schematized in a. The genetically-encoded cAMP blocker ‘cAMP sponge’ is fused to either a tandem of two Lyn sequences in 5′ or a Kras sequence in 3′ to target the blocker to lipid rafts or exclude it from this plasma membrane compartment, respectively. (b) Lyn-cAMP sponge and cAMP sponge-Kras are both restricted to the plasma membrane in developing retinal neurons (right panels) or when cotransfected with GFP (green) in HEK293 cells (left panels). Scale bar, 10 μm. n = 3 independent cultures (HEK293) or three retina. (c) Lyn-H147 is enriched in the biochemically-isolated fractions enriched in the lipid raft marker Caveolin (3 and 4), whereas H147-Kras is mostly found in the compartment enriched in the non-raft marker β-Adaptin (fractions 7–9). (d) The proportion of the signal found in each biochemical fraction is shown. The identity of each fraction is colour-coded. Red tones code for low-density fractions (3–5), Cav, Adaptin, Lyn-cAMP sponge, cAMP sponge-Kras of the signal found in each biochemical fraction is shown. The identity of each fraction is colour-coded. Red tones code for low-density fractions (3–5), whereas green tones denote high-density fractions (7–9). Cav, Adaptin, Lyn-cAMP sponge, cAMP sponge-Kras n = 3 independent experiments. Uncropped gels are provided in Supplementary Fig. 8.
reproducing the previously reported biphasic response (Supplementary Fig. 6). RGC axons expressing either Lyn-bPAC or bPAC-Kras and exposed to 1–5 flashes of 470/40 nm light were monitored. Axons were unaffected by the onset of light activation (Fig. 6c–h). Interrupting light activation of Lyn-bPAC induced axon stalling or retraction in 77% of the cases, whereas Lyn-bPAC-expressing axons were unaffected in absence of light exposure (Fig. 6d,e,g; Supplementary Movie 2). All light-responsive Lyn-bPAC-expressing axons stalled or retracted later than the end of the light stimulus (Fig. 6h), suggesting that axon retraction is induced by the reduction in cAMP concentration and not by the increase of the concentration following the onset of Lyn-bPAC stimulation. The onset of cAMP reduction following the release of Lyn-bPAC stimulation was variable (Supplementary Fig. 6e), reflecting the variability of the onset of axon retraction. Non-electroporated axons and axons expressing bPAC-Kras were unaffected by the release of light exposure (Fig. 6c,e,g; Supplementary Movie 2). Taken together, these experiments suggest that a dynamic and lipid raft-restricted reduction in cAMP concentration is sufficient to mimic the ephrin-A-induced retraction of RGC axons. This confirms previous reports describing the requirement of a dynamic cAMP regulation for axon pathfinding in vivo, we investigated the impact of local cAMP signalling perturbation in the submembrane domain of lipid rafts during the development of retinal projections in the SC. Lyn-cAMP sponge or cAMP sponge-Kras were co-electroporated with GFP in E15.5 retinas in utero, and the SC and retinas of electroporated animals were harvested at P10. Individual RGC axon arbors in the SC were imaged using a confocal microscope and manually reconstructed (Supplementary Movie 3). E15.5 electroporation enables sparse expression of the constructs of interest enabling tracking and reconstruction of single RGC axons in the SC. Electroporated RGCs reside in the centre of the retina and their projections were largely located in the middle of the SC along the rostro-caudal axis. Axons of GFP-electroporated RGCs are generally refined: they retract from the caudal SC to target a focal termination zone in the middle of the rostro-caudal axis. They elaborate a dense terminal arbor and their projections were largely located in the middle of the SC. Electroporation enables sparse expression of the constructs of interest enabling tracking and reconstruction of single RGC axons in the SC. Electroporated RGCs reside in the centre of the retina and their projections were largely located in the middle of the SC along the rostro-caudal axis.

**Figure 5** | cAMP signalling is required within but not outside the submembrane domain of lipid rafts for ephrin-A5-induced retraction of RGC axons. (a) GFP-expressing axons growing before ephrin-A5 addition to the culture medium (−30 min to −2 min), collapse shortly after ephrin-A5 application (+2 min) and retract during 60 min after ephrin-A5 exposure. (b) In contrast, axons expressing Lyn-cAMP sponge, in which cAMP signalling is blocked specifically in the vicinity of lipid rafts, the retraction is drastically reduced following the initial collapse. Axons expressing Lyn-cAMP sponge are identified by co-electroporated GFP (Supplementary Fig. 5). Lyn-cAMP sponge does not perturb axon outgrowth before ephrin-A5 application. (c) The blockade of cAMP near the plasma membrane but away from lipid rafts or (d) the expression of a variant of Lyn-cAMP sponge unable to bind cAMP does not affect ephrin-A5-induced axon retraction. (e) The distance of the growth cone from its initial position (t = −30 min) is plotted. Axons are exposed to ephrin-A5 at t = 0 min. GFP, cAMP sponge-Kras- and Lyn-cAMP mutated sponge-expressing axons grow and retract similarly, whereas the ephrin-A5-induced retraction of Lyn-cAMP sponge-expressing axons is reduced. Scale bar, 15 μm. (a) n = 76 axons from 13 cultures. (b) n = 19 axons from 7 cultures. (c) n = 22 axons from 5 cultures. (d) n = 15 axons from 4 cultures. Data are mean ± s.e.m. *P ≤ 0.01, Kruskal–Wallis test.

**Figure 6** | Axon pruning requires cAMP signalling near lipid rafts. During the development of retinal projections, retinal axons initially overshoot their final termination zone and extend in the most caudal part of the SC. The subsequent refinement of axonal arbors and the retraction of their caudal branches is dependent on ephrin-A525. To evaluate whether the local cAMP signals required for ephrin-A in vitro are involved in shaping retinal arbors in vivo, we investigated the impact of local cAMP signalling perturbation in the submembrane domain of lipid rafts during the development of retinal projections in the SC. Lyn-cAMP sponge or cAMP sponge-Kras were co-electroporated with GFP in E15.5 retinas in utero, and the SC and retinas of electroporated animals were harvested at P10. Individual RGC axon arbors in the SC were imaged using a confocal microscope and manually reconstructed (Supplementary Movie 3). E15.5 electroporation enables sparse expression of the constructs of interest enabling tracking and reconstruction of single RGC axons in the SC. Electroporated RGCs reside in the centre of the retina and their projections were largely located in the middle of the SC along the rostro-caudal axis. Axons of GFP-electroporated RGCs are generally refined: they retract from the caudal SC to target a focal termination zone in the middle of the rostro-caudal axis. They elaborate a dense terminal arbor without ectopic branches along the axon shaft (Fig. 7a,b,g). Projections co-expressing GFP and Lyn-cAMP sponge exhibit a dense terminal arbor but fail to eliminate ectopic branches. The remaining exuberant branches are located along the shaft of the axon and branches could be found caudally to the termination zone (Fig. 7e–g). This defect is reminiscent of the phenotype of AC1-deficient RGC axon arbors ex vivo and in vivo15,34. In contrast, altering cAMP signalling in the vicinity of the non-raft fraction of the plasma membrane (with cAMP sponge-Kras) or using a variant of cAMP sponge unable to bind cAMP (Lyn-mutated cAMP sponge) targeted to lipid rafts did not affect the development of RGC axon arbors in the SC (Fig. 7c,d,g). In both cases the terminal arborization developed normally and no ectopic branches were detected. The absence of exuberant arbors in Lyn-mutated cAMP sponge-electroporated axons indicates that overexpression of a lipid raft-targeted protein
without cAMP buffering capacity is not sufficient to alter the development of RGC axon arborizations. This demonstrates that cAMP signalling in the vicinity of lipid rafts but not outside this submembrane compartment is required for the refinement of retinal projections in vivo.

Since cAMP is an important regulator of RGC axon outgrowth\[^{35}\], we examined whether Lyn-cAMP sponge expression affects the retino-collricular pathway before the refinement of the projections. Lyn-cAMP sponge and GFP-electroporated RGC axons were not distinguishable in the SC at P2 before the...
elimination of ectopic branches. In both conditions, immature RGC axons extended to the caudal end of the SC (Fig. 7h,i), suggesting that axon outgrowth and early development of the retinal pathway are not affected. Taken together our data establish the functional specificity of distinct subcellular cAMP compartments during the development of neuronal connectivity and demonstrate that lipid raft-restricted signals are required for the refinement of axonal wiring in vivo.

Discussion

Our experiments identify the cAMP signals that regulate RGC growth cone response to the ephrin-A repellents. We demonstrate that a local reduction in cAMP concentration restricted to the vicinity of lipid rafts is required for the RGC axon retraction in vitro and for axonal arbor refinement in vivo. This describes the biochemical identity of submicrometre-scale compartments of cAMP signalling, that may match previously described and morphologically-defined subcellular structures with distinct cAMP signals in developing neurons18,19. Lipid rafts are involved in axon turning dependent on other guidance molecules including netrin-1 and semaphorin-3A in vitro, and ephrin-A reverse signalling induces a local Src-dependent phosphorylation restricted to this microdomain36,37. We extend the role of lipid rafts in axon guidance to ephrin-A forward signalling. This is consistent with the differential structure of EphAs in and outside lipid rafts. Oligomerization of EphAs is required to trigger ephrin-A downstream signalling and occurs preferentially in lipid rafts.
In the mature nervous system, lipid rafts are crucial for the regeneration of injured axons in vivo \(^3\),\(^9\). In contrast, alteration in the development of neuronal connectivity or behavioural phenotypes suggesting a general perturbation of axon pathfinding have not been reported in animal models lacking protein scaffolds required for lipid raft formation including caveolins and flotillins\(^7\)–\(^10\). This is surprising given that the integrity of the lipid raft compartment is required for the response of axons to a subset of guidance molecules in vitro \(^2\),\(^3\). We provide evidence that altering cAMP signalling specifically in the vicinity of lipid rafts in vivo prevents the refinement of RGC axon arbors, identifying a role of this membrane compartment during the development of the nervous system and reconciling in vitro data with in vivo observations. Future studies might reveal subtle connectivity defects in caveolin or flotillin-deficient mice. These animal models may also have an unaffected neural connectivity because of the heterogeneity of lipid rafts that do not all require a caveolin or flotillin scaffold\(^41\). The lipid raft subtypes required for axon guidance may not be dependent on these two families of scaffolding proteins.

Since cAMP is a second messenger involved in the regulation of a wide range of axon guidance molecules\(^14\),\(^42\)–\(^44\), its local signalling in the vicinity of lipid rafts may reflect a specific spatiotemporal signature for the regulation of axon outgrowth directionality. Spatially restricted cAMP modulation is likely to contribute to the specificity of signals regulating axon guidance, limiting cross-talk with the wide range of other cAMP-regulated cellular processes (Fig. 8). Temporal features of cAMP are also crucial for the regulation of axon pathfinding by cAMP\(^18\),\(^33\),\(^45\),\(^46\). Imposing a sustained low cAMP concentration leads to the reduction of ephrin-A-induced axon retraction in vitro and ectopic axonal branches in the SC in vivo\(^15\),\(^21\),\(^34\). However, a sustained increase of cAMP also induces a similar retraction phenotype and an exuberant arborization of RGC axons\(^39\), suggesting that the concentration of cAMP does not determine axonal behaviour. In contrast, pulses, rather than sustained cAMP elevation rescue the retraction of RGC axons when it is blocked by the lack of electrical activity\(^33\). This rescue is not observed when successive steps of increase in cAMP concentration are imposed together with the blockade of phosphodiesterases, preventing cAMP degradation\(^33\). This suggests that a dynamic modulation of cAMP concentration is required for growth cones to retract when exposed to ephrin-A. The data presented here confirm this model since both ephrin-A5 and the release of bPAC activation by light induces a single step of cAMP reduction. Ephrin-A5 induces a drop in cAMP in growth cones with an unaffected resting concentration of this second messenger. Light-induced activation of bPAC leads to an initial increase of cAMP concentration followed by a drop in cAMP after the end of light exposure. The initial increase in cAMP does not affect axon outgrowth, whereas axons stall or retract after the end of bPAC activation. This is consistent with axon retraction being induced by a drop in cAMP concentration, independently of the resting cAMP level. This is in agreement with the observation that cAMP sponge prevents axon retraction. cAMP sponge might steadily reduce the resting concentration of cAMP. This bottom cAMP level cannot further decrease when axons are exposed to ephrin-A5 and axon retraction is blocked (Supplementary Fig. 7). The signalling pathway leading to the modulation of cAMP concentration after EphA activation is still unclear. This might include the focal adhesion kinase (FAK)/Src pathway. Indeed, these proteins are crucial for retinal axon response to ephrin-As\(^47\), and Src family kinases are recruited to EphAs after ephrin-A stimulation\(^48\). In vitro analysis suggests that Src is able to regulate AC activity through the modulation of both G\(_z\), and Gz\(_{i}\) subunits of G proteins\(^49\). This pathway might be responsible for cAMP modulation by ephrin-As, but further experiments will be needed to assess this potential link.

Local cAMP signals are likely to be the result of restricted targeting of ACs\(^50\). In cell lines, transmembrane ACs are sorted in two subcellular compartments based on their modulation by calcium: calcium-regulated ACs (AC1, 3, 5, 6 and 8) are targeted to lipid rafts, whereas the calcium insensitive ACs (AC2, 4, 7 and 9) are excluded from lipid rafts\(^31\). In non-neuronal cells, the soluble AC (sAC, AC10), are targeted to the subcellular compartments including mitochondria, the nucleus and the centrosome\(^31\),\(^52\). AC1 is involved in the regulation of ephrin-A-induced axonal retraction but does not modulate axon outgrowth\(^15\). All other transmembrane ACs are also unable to favour axonal elongation\(^55\). In contrast, sAC regulates intrinsic and netrin-1-stimulated axon outgrowth\(^35\),\(^53\) and is not involved in netrin-1-dependent axon guidance\(^24\). This is in agreement with our findings that define the AC1-containing lipid raft domains as a critical cAMP signalling compartment for axon guidance but not outgrowth. The latter may be regulated by sAC and cAMP signals located near the sites of sAC targeting\(^55\). The non-lipid raft fraction of the plasma membrane appears to constitute a third cAMP signalling compartment that has until now no identified...
role in regulating the development of neuronal connectivity. Among the transmembrane ACs excluded from lipid rafts, AC2 and AC9 are expressed in developing RGCs. The involvement of these AC subtypes in the development of retinal projections has not been directly assessed. Since the blockade of CAMP in the vicinity of the non-raft fraction of the plasma membrane does not affect the response of RGC axons to ephrin-A5 and the branching pattern in the SC, it seems unlikely that AC2 and AC9 contribute to the development of retinofugal projections.

In a wide range of cellular models, the control of local cAMP signals is dependent on specific subtypes of phosphodiesterases. In cell lines, phosphodiesterases contribute to local CAMP signalling in lipid rafts. In cardiac myocytes and cortical pyramidal neurons, they are critical for independent activation of distinct cAMP-dependent pathways triggered by β1 or β2 adrenergic receptors. The precise cellular targeting of phosphodiesterases relies on their binding to A kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs). The lipid raft residency of a subset of AKAPs has been evaluated and AKAP79 has been found in this membrane subdomain. Which phosphodiesterases and AKAPs are involved in RGC axon guidance and targeting remains to be investigated, and would provide a better understanding of the downstream pathways involved.

**Methods**

**Animals.** Pregnant C57Bl/6j mice were purchased from Janvier. All animal procedures were performed in accordance with institutional guidelines (Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Comité des Animaux, Université Nationale de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale). Animals were housed under 12 h light/12 h dark cycle. Embryos from mating (developmental stage stated in each section describing individual experiments) were not sexed during the experiments and the female over male ratio is expected to be close to 1:1.

**Chemicals and cell lines.** Ephrin-A5 was purchased from R&D and dissolved in water at 1 mg ml⁻¹ stock concentration and further diluted at a final concentration of 500 ng ml⁻¹ in the recording medium. Forskolin (Sigma Aldrich) was dissolved in DMSO at 10 mM concentration and further diluted in the recording medium at 25 μM. IBMX (Sigma Aldrich) was dissolved in DMSO to a 100 mM concentration and further diluted at 100 μM in the recording medium.

**Plasmids.** The non-targeted FRET sensor H147 was used to validate the plasma membrane localization of Lyn- and Kras-targeted constructs. Although this cell line is commonly contaminated, the J2 cell line was isolated at E14.5. Retinas were cut into 200 μm squares and each Retina was plated on glass coverslips coated with 100 μg ml⁻¹ poly-l-lysine (Sigma Aldrich) overnight at 37°C and 20 μg ml⁻¹ Laminin (Sigma Aldrich) for 3 h at 37°C. Cells were cultured in 24 culture medium supplemented with 0.4% methyl cellulose and B27.

**Collapse assay.** After 24 h of plating retinal explants, ephrin-A5 (500 ng ml⁻¹) was added to the medium and the culture was fixed 1 h later, and immunolabeled with mouse anti-z tubulin (1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich 610795) and rabbit anti-DsRed (1/200; 632496; Clontech; validated for this assay in Nicol et al. 2005) and a phallolidin staining (Life Technologies). The retraction course was measured as the length of the trailing process, identified as the longest filopodia outgrowth or retraction was computed. Cells were cultured for 24 h in culture medium supplemented with 0.4% methyl cellulose and B27. Samson (E400 U ml⁻¹) and cholesterol oxidase (2 U ml⁻¹) were applied 90 and 120 min, respectively, before ephrin-A5 exposure and retinal explants were incubated at 37°C.

**Retraction assay.** Imaging was performed using an inverted DMi6000B Leica microscope, with a 40 × objective. Recording medium was replaced with a laminar flux. Temperature was kept at 37°C during the whole recording. Retraction assays were performed by bathing the cells in a medium containing: 1 mM CaCl₂, 0.3 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.5 mM NaH₂PO₄, 0.4 μM MgSO₄, 4.25 mM KCl, 14 μM NaHCO₃, 120 mM NaCl, 0.0084% CuSO₄·5H₂O, 1.5 mM FeSO₄·7H₂O, 1.5 μM thymidine, 0.51 mM ipic acid, 1.5 mM ZnSO₄, 0.5 μM sodium pyruvate, 1 × MEM Amino Acids, 1 × non-essential amino acids, 25 mM Hepes, 0.5 mM putrescine, 0.01% BSA, 0.46% glucose, 1 mM glutamine, 2% penicillin streptomycin. Vitamin B12 and riboflavin were omitted because of their autofluorescence. DIC images were acquired using a CCD camera (ORCA-D2, Hamamatsu). Cells were imaged every 2 min for up to 90 min. Solution was perfused at a speed of 0.2 ml min⁻¹. Cells were bathed in control medium for 30 min to measure the growth rate and evaluate immobile growth cones from the analysis. A single fluorescent image was acquired before acquisition of identified electroporated axons. Only axons that grew faster than 60 μm h⁻¹ were included in the analysis. After 30 min, the culture medium was replaced by a medium containing ephrin-A5 (500 ng ml⁻¹) and axons were imaged for 1 h. Axon trajectories were tracked using a manual tracking plugin for ImageJ (NIH) and the speed of axon outgrowth or retraction was computed.

**FRET imaging and data analysis.** Images were taken every 30 s (every 20 s for Supplementary Fig. 4) for 30 min with the same experimental setup, using an oil immersion lens (63 × objective (1.4) and 488 nm excitation). A CFP filter (436 nm) was used for excitation and emission was collected using the optical block of the dual CCD camera ORCA-D2 (CFP: 483/32 nm, YFP: 542/27 nm). Metamorph was used to
collect images. Post-acquisition analysis was performed using ImageJ (NIH). Images were background corrected. GFP bleedthrough into the YFP channel was calculated in experiments using cells expressing only GFP. Experiments conducted with cells expressing only GFP measured a bleedthrough of 45%. Bleedthrough correction was computed as $F_{\text{YFP}} = F_{\text{YFP}} - 0.45 \times F_{\text{GFP}}$. A clipping value excluding pixels with remaining background (outside the axon) was applied to images to compute the GFP over FRET ratio. The ratio of all pixels with grey value lower than the clipping value was set to 0. The ratio of the entire growth cone was averaged and normalized to the first value of the trace. Photobleaching was corrected based on the slope of the trace before the first stimulation.

**Optogenetics.** Retinas were co-electroporated ex vivo with YFP and either Lyn-bPAC or bPAC-Kras. DIC images were acquired every 2 min for 30 min with a 40 × immersion objective. After monitoring growth for 30 min using a low intensity of transmitted light, axons were stimulated by shining one to five flashes of blue light (100 ms of light exposure per flash, 1 min between flashes). After stimulation, axons were monitored for an additional 60 min, using the low intensity of transmitted light. At the end of each experiment an image was acquired with the YFP and RFP filter to identify bPAC-expressing axons. The number of axons responding to light (stopping or retracting) was counted and compared with non-expressing axons in the same dish to better compare axons in the same conditions. Stalling axons were identified as those stopping for more than 5 min, while axons were identified as retracting when retracting more than 5 μm during the 60 min following light stimulation.

**In utero electroporation.** Pregnant wild-type females were anesthetized with isoflurane. Using a glass micropipette (Dutcher), the left eyes of E13.5 embryos were injected with a mixture of two DNA constructs: Lyn-cAMP sponger-Kras (2.7 μg/μl) and GFP (1.1 μg/μl), cAMP sponger-Kras (1.8 μg/μl) and GFP (1.1 μg/μl), or Lyn-mutated cAMP sponge (2.7 μg/μl) and GFP (1.1 μg/μl). Retinas were electroporated with five pulses of 45 V for 50 ms every 90s (Nepagne electroporator). The positive electrode was placed on the injected eye and the negative electrode at the opposite side (CFYP60/PSp, Sonomed). Sub-cutaneous injections of fluorescein-mineglumine (4 mg/kg, Sigma) were applied for anaesthesia after the surgery. To increase the survival of the pups, a Swiss female mated one day earlier in response to light. This enables to avoid to test for distribution normality. Data were presented as mean values ± standard error of the mean. Statistical significance was calculated using two-sided unpaired tests for non-parametric data (Mann–Whitney or Kruskal–Wallis) or a $\chi^2$ test for axonal comparisons in vivo and the count bPAC-expressing axons stalling or retracting in response to light. This enables to avoid to test for distribution normality. Differences were considered statistically significant when $P < 0.05$ (with additional Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons). Statistical test data were as follows: Figure 1d, Mann–Whitney, $P < 0.001$, U = 38287. Figure 5e comparison with GFP-expressing axons 60 min after ephrin-A5 application; Kruskal–Wallis $P = 0.0051$, df = 3, $\chi^2 = 12.78$; Dunn’s post-hoc test, Lyn-cAMP sponger $P = 0.0011$, mean rank difference $= –28.01$; cAMP sponge-Kras $P > 0.99$, mean rank difference $= –5.61$; Lyn-mutated cAMP sponge $P > 0.99$, mean rank difference $= –7.96$. Figure 6g, Kruskal–Wallis $P = 0.029$, df = 3, $\chi^2 = 9.00$; Dunn’s post-hoc test, Lyn-bPAC $P = 0.0094$, mean rank difference $= 14$; bPAC-Kras $P = 0.50$, mean rank difference $= –2.5$; Lyn-bPAC without light $P > 0.99$, mean rank difference $= 0.86$. Post hoc statistical power was computed using G*Power ($P = 0.05$). Effect size and statistical power: Fig. 1d $\delta = 0.7770$ and $1 – \beta = 0.0094$; Fig. 5e comparison of Lyn-cAMP-sponger- and GFP-expressing axons $d = 1.1837$ and $1 – \beta = 0.9855$. Figure 6g comparison of control and Lyn-bPAC-expressing axons $d = 0.9798$ and $1 – \beta = 0.8544$.

**Data availability.** The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary information files or from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**References**

1. Luo, L. & O’Leary, D. D. M. Axon retraction and degeneration in development and disease. *Ann. Rev. Neurosci.* 28, 127–156 (2005).
2. Guirland, C., Suzuki, S., Kojima, M., Lu, B. & Zheng, J. Q. Lipid rafts mediate chemotropic guidance of nerve growth cones. *Neuron* 42, 51–62 (2004).
3. Hérics, Z. et al. DCC association with lipid rafts is required for netrin-1-mediated axon guidance. *J. Cell Sci.* 118, 1687–1692 (2005).
4. Witek, D. & Simons, K. Lipid rafts as a membrane-organizing principle. *Science* 327, 46–50 (2010).
5. Sonnino, S. & Prinetti, A. Membrane domains and the ‘lipid raft’ concept. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 20, 4–21 (2013).
6. Kamiguchi, H. The region-specific activities of lipid rafts during axon growth and guidance. *J. Neurochem.* 98, 330–335 (2006).
7. Bethics, V., Riento, K., Hackett, D., Barry, N. P. & Nichols, J. B. The role of flotillins in regulating mitotic production, investigated using Flotillin 1–/–, Flotillin 2–/– double knockout mice. *PLoS ONE* 9, e85217 (2014).
8. Le Lay, S. & Kurzchalia, T. V. Getting rid of caveolin-deficient phenotypes. *Biochem. Biophys. Acta* 1746, 322–335 (2005).
9. Ludwig, A. et al. Flotillin microdomains interact with the cortical cytoskeleton to control uropod formation and neutrophil recruitment. *J. Cell Biol.* 191, 771–781 (2010).
10. Trushina, E., Duh Charme, J., Parisi, J. & McCurray, C. T. Neurological abnormalities in caveolin-1 knockout mice. *Behav. Brain Res.* 172, 24–32 (2006).
11. Willoughby, D. & Cooper, D. M. F. Organization and Ca2+ regulation of adenyl cyclases in cAMP microdomains. *Physiol. Rev.* 87, 965–1010 (2007).
12. Agarwal, S. R. et al. Role of membrane microdomains in compartmentation of cAMP signalling. *PLoS ONE* 9, e85385 (2014).
13. Depry, C., Allen, M. D. & Zhang, J. Visualization of PKA activity in plasma membrane microdomains. *Mol. Biosyst.* 7, 52–58 (2011).
14. Ming, G. L. et al. cAMP-dependent growth cone guidance by netrin-1. *Neuron* 19, 1225–1235 (1997).
15. Nicol, X., Muzerelle, A., Rio, J. P., Metin, C. & Gaspar, P. Requirement of adenylate cyclase 1 for the ephrin-A5-dependent retraction of exuberant retinal axons. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 862–872 (2006).
16. Song, H. et al. Conversion of neuronal growth cone responses from repulsion to attraction by cyclic nucleotides. *Science* 281, 1515–1518 (1998).
17. Edwards, H. V., Christian, F. & Baille, G. S. CAMP: novel concepts in compartmentalised signalling. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 23, 181–190 (2012).
18. Nicol, X., Hong, K. P. & Spitzer, N. C. Spatial and temporal second messenger codes for growth cone turning. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 108, 13776–13781 (2011).
19. Shelly, M. et al. Local and long-range reciprocal regulation of cAMP and cGMP in axon/dendrite formation. *Science* 327, 547–552 (2010).
20. Nicol, X. & Gaspar, P. Routes to cAMP: shaping neuronal connectivity with distinct adenyl cyclases. * Eur. J. Neurosci.* 39, 1742–1751 (2014).
21. Ravary, A. et al. Adenylate cyclase 1 as a key actor in the refinement of retinal projection maps. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 2228–2233 (2003).
22. Welker, E. et al. Altered sensory processing in the somatosensory cortex of the mouse mutant barreless. *Science* 271, 1864–1867 (1996).
23. Nicol, X. et al. Role of the calcium modulated cyclases in the development of the retinal projections. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 24, 3401–3414 (2011).
24. Masada, N., Ciruela, A., Macdougall, D. A. & Cooper, D. M. F. Distinct mechanisms of regulation by Ca2+ /calmodulin of type 1 and 8 adenyl cyclases support their different physiological roles. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 4451–4463 (2009).
25. Frišén, J. et al. Ephrin-A5 (AL-1/RAGS) is essential for proper retinal axon guidance and topographic mapping in the mammalian visual system. *Neuron* 29, 233–243 (1999).
26. Yanagisawa, M., Aria, T. Y. & K. R. Cholera toxin B subunit binding does not correlate with GM1 expression: a study using mouse embryonic neural precursor cells. *Glycobiology* 16, 19G–22G (2006).
32. Schroeder-Lang, S.
33. Nicol, X.
34. Murray, A. J., Tucker, S. J. & Shewan, D. A. cAMP-dependent axon guidance is mediated by pp60c-src.
35. Huai, J. & Drescher, U. An ephrin-A-dependent signalling pathway controls adhesion kinase-mediated regulation of growth cone adhesion.
36. Huai, J. & Drescher, U. Intracellular trafficking of cholesterol monitored with a photoactivated adenylyl cyclase, bPAC, of the soil bacterium Beggiatoa. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 1181–1188 (2011).
37. Davy, A. Fast manipulation of cellular cAMP level by light in vivo. Nat. Methods 9, 39–42 (2010).
38. Petros, T. J., Rebsam, A. & Mason, C. A. In utero and ex vivo electroperoration for gene expression in mouse retinal ganglion cells. J. Vis. Exp. 31, e1333 (2009).
39. Belle, M. et al. A simple method for 3D analysis of immunolabeled axonal tracts in a transparent nervous system. Cell Rep. 9, 1191–1201 (2014).
40. Muzerelle, A., Scotto-Lomassese, S., Bernard, J. F., Soiza-Reilly, M. & Gaspar, P. Conditional anterograde tracing reveals distinct targeting of individual serotonin cell groups (B5-B9) to the forebrain and brainstem. Brain Struct. Funct. 221, 353–561 (2014).

Acknowledgements
We thank Dr A. Hofer for the gift of cAMP sponge, Dr D. Cooper for the gift of the GPP-tagged ACI construct and Dr K. Jalink for the gift of H147. We are grateful to members of our labs and of A. Chédotal lab for thoughtful discussion and to H. Blockus, A. Chédotal, J. Livet, P. Gaspar and N. Spitzer for helpful critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported, by a Berthe Fouassier/Fondation de France fellowship to S.A., by a Retina France fellowship and a doctoral fellowships by the French Ministry of Research to A.A., by ANR-11-PDOC-0004 and by a Fondation Fysens grant to X.N. This work performed in the frame of the LABEX LIFESENSES (reference ANR-10-LABX-65) was supported by French state funds managed by the ANR within the Investissements d’Avenir programme under reference ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02.

Author contributions
S.A., A.A., O.R. and X.N. designed the experiments; S.A., A.A., O.R., S.C., Y.Z., I.G. and A. Che’dotal performed the experiments and the analysis. All authors edited the manuscript.

Additional information
Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Averaimo, S. et al. A plasma membrane microdomain compartmentalizes ephrin-generated cAMP signals to promote retinal axon outgrowth. Nat. Commun. 7:12896 doi: 10.1038/ncomms12896 (2016).

© The Author(s) 2016