Interplay between Nonsense-Mediated mRNA Decay and DNA Damage Response Pathways Reveals that Stn1 and Ten1 Are the Key CST Telomere-Cap Components
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SUMMARY

A large and diverse set of proteins, including CST complex, nonsense mediated decay (NMD), and DNA damage response (DDR) proteins, play important roles at the telomere in mammals and yeast. Here, we report that NMD, like the DDR, affects single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) production at uncapped telomeres. Remarkably, we find that the requirement for Cdc13, one of the components of CST, can be efficiently bypassed when aspects of DDR and NMD pathways are inactivated. However, identical genetic interventions do not bypass the need for Stn1 and Ten1, the partners of Cdc13. We show that disabling NMD alters the stoichiometry of CST components at telomeres and permits Stn1 to bind telomeres in the absence of Cdc13. Our data support a model that Stn1 and Ten1 can function in a Cdc13-independent manner and have implications for the function of CST components across eukaryotes.

INTRODUCTION

Telomeres are complex nucleoprotein structures that protect chromosome ends from DNA damage responses (DDR). The most terminal DNA on a chromosome is typically G-rich 3’ single-stranded DNA (ssDNA), resembling a DNA double-strand break (DSB) in the process of repair by homologous recombination. In budding yeast, CST (Cdc13, Stn1, and Ten1), proteins are proposed to form a heterotrimeric telomeric ssDNA-binding complex, that helps cap telomeres and is analogous to the heterotrimeric RPA complex that binds nuclear ssDNA during the process of transcription, DNA replication, and repair (Gao et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2009, 2011). Cdc13 binds telomeric ssDNA strongly via an oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding (OB) fold (Lewis et al., 2014). Stn1 and Ten1 also bind telomeric ssDNA but with lower affinity than Cdc13 and are thought to be recruited to DNA via Cdc13 (Gao et al., 2007; Qian et al., 2009, 2010). So far, the budding yeast CST complex has not been purified, but recent evidence from the distant yeast Candida glabrata suggests that in this organism CST functions as a 2:4:2 or 2:6:2 complex (Lue et al., 2013).

Orthologs of CST components have recently been identified in mammals, plants, and fission yeast. The human components of CST (CTC1, STN1 [OBFC1], and TEN1) can be purified as a trimeric complex (Chen et al., 2012; Giraud-Panis et al., 2010; Miyake et al., 2009; Surovtseva et al., 2009). Mutations in CTC1 are associated with human diseases and have been associated with cellular telomere defects (Chen et al., 2013; Anderson et al., 2012). Interestingly, CTC1 and STN1 were originally identified when copurified with human DNA polymerase alpha and named alpha accessory factor (AAF) (Casteel et al., 2009). The interaction of CST with DNA polymerase alpha is conserved because budding yeast Cdc13 and Stn1 also bind to DNA polymerase alpha components (Qi and Zakian, 2000; Grossi et al., 2004).

In budding yeast, where CST was first identified, there is evidence that CST subunits perform different functions. For example, Cdc13 helps recruit telomerase via interaction with the telomerase subunit Est1 (Nugent et al., 1996; Qi and Zakian, 2000; Mitton-Fry et al., 2004). In contrast, Stn1 interferes with telomerase activity because Stn1 and Est1 have overlapping binding sites on Cdc13, and Stn1 inhibits telomerase activity by competing with Est1 for Cdc13 binding (Puglisi et al., 2008; Chandra et al., 2001). Another example is that Stn1, when overproduced, acts as a checkpoint inhibitor (Gasparian et al., 2009). However, because Cdc13, Stn1, and Ten1 are each essential proteins in budding yeast, and there is clear homology to RPA, it is suggested that CST proteins function together to provide the essential function of capping the telomere (Gao et al., 2007).

In yeast and human cells, nonsense mediated mRNA decay (NMD) proteins play important roles at telomeres. NMD degrades transcripts containing premature termination codons (PTCs) to reduce the risk that potentially harmful truncated proteins (or RNA) are made in cells (Isken and Maquat, 2008). It is estimated that about 10% of human diseases are associated with PTCs (Bidou et al., 2012). In human cells, the key NMD proteins UPF1, UPF2, and UPF3 bind to telomeres, and telomere loss occurs in UPF1 and UPF2-depleted cells (Lew et al., 1998; Azzalin et al., 2007). Consistent with the telomere effect in human cells, budding yeast nmdΔ mutants show a short telomere phenotype. Interestingly, in yeast nmdΔ mutants overexpression...
of Stn1 and Ten1 is largely responsible for the short telomere length phenotype (Dahlseid et al., 2003). This is presumably because Stn1/Ten1 inhibits telomerase activity by interfering with Est1-Cdc13 interaction.

We have previously reported that disabling NMD (NAM7, NMD2, and UPF3) or DDR genes such as EXO1, encoding a nuclease, or RAD24, encoding the checkpoint sliding clamp loader, suppresses temperature sensitivity of telomere-defective cdc13-1 strains to similar extents (Addinall et al., 2011). Given the important roles played by CST, NMD, and DDR proteins at mammalian and yeast telomeres, we wanted to better understand the interplay between NMD and DDR at uncapped telomeres. Remarkably, we find that deleting NMD2 with either EXO1 or RAD24 completely bypasses the requirement for Cdc13. However, the same genetic interventions do not bypass the need for either Stn1 or Ten1. These and other molecular experiments indicate that CST does not always function as an RPA-like trimeric protein in yeast. Instead, our data show that Stn1 and Ten1 are critical for cell viability in conditions when Cdc13 is not, and this suggests that Stn1 and Ten1 can cap telomeres, or perform other essential functions, in the absence Cdc13.

RESULTS

**cdc13-1 Can Be Strongly Suppressed by nmd2Δ with exo1Δ and/or rad24Δ**

The Cdc13-1 protein becomes increasingly defective at capping the telomere as temperatures increase. At high temperatures, cdc13-1 cells accumulate telomeric ssDNA, activate checkpoint pathways, and arrest before anaphase (Garvik et al., 1995). To begin to systematically define the proteins and pathways that are important for telomere function, cdc13-1 was combined with the yeast genome knockout collection to identify suppressors and enhancers of the temperature-sensitive telomere defect (Addinall et al., 2011). We found that deletions of NMD genes (nam7Δ, nmd2Δ, and upf3Δ), which cause short telomere phenotypes, suppress the cdc13-1 defect strongly. The effects of nmdΔ mutations were as strong as deletions affecting aspects of the DNA Damage Response (DDR), including deletions of DNA damage checkpoint genes (cdc1Δ, rad9Δ, rad17Δ, and rad24Δ) or exo1Δ, affecting a nuclease that attacks uncapped telomeres (Figure 1A). Interestingly, other deletions affecting the DDR or telomerase cause a short telomere phenotype but enhanced the cdc13-1 defect; such proteins include the Ku complex (Yku70, Yku80), the MRX complex (Mre11, Rad50, Xrs2), or telomerase (Est1 and Est3 regulatory subunits). Therefore, nmdΔ mutations are somewhat unusual in that they result in short telomeres but suppress cdc13-1.

To better understand the role of NMD at telomeres, we investigated the overlap between NMD and the DDR. We generated all possible combinations of nmd2Δ, exo1Δ, and rad24Δ mutations in cdc13-1 strains. We observed strong synergistic interactions between nmd2Δ and exo1Δ or rad24Δ mutations. Specifically, deleting nmd2Δ in combination with exo1Δ or rad24Δ in cdc13-1 strains significantly increased strain fitness compared to each single gene deletion (Figure 1B). In contrast, exo1Δ rad24Δ double deletions only marginally improved growth compared to exo1Δ or rad24Δ single deletions. We conclude that NMD inhibits the growth of cdc13-1 mutants by a mechanism that is distinct to the effects of Exo1 and Rad24, which are more similar in effect. The nmd2Δ rad24Δ exo1Δ cdc13-1 strain was most fit, growing robustly at 36°C, demonstrating that Nmd2, Rad24, and Exo1 each perform different functions to inhibit growth of cdc13-1 mutants. The synergistic genetic interactions between NMD and the DDR indicate that NMD functions in parallel to the DDR proteins Exo1 and Rad24 to inhibit growth of cdc13-1 mutants.

**nmd2Δ Affects ssDNA Accumulation in cdc13-1 Strains**

Exo1 and Rad24 inhibit growth of cdc13-1 strains at least in part by generating single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) at uncapped telomeres (Zubko et al., 2004). To test the effect of Nmd2 on ssDNA, we measured ssDNA near telomeres in nmd2Δ cdc13-1 and nmd2Δ rad9Δ cdc13-1 strains. The checkpoint protein Rad9,
like its mammalian ortholog 53BP1, inhibits ssDNA accumulation and was used to sensitize some strains to the accumulation of ssDNA (Lazzaro et al., 2008; Bunting et al., 2010). We used quantitative amplification of single-stranded DNA (QAOS) to measure ssDNA accumulation at the DUG1 and RET2 loci on the right arm of chromosome VI-R (Holstein and Lydall, 2012) (Figure 2A).

Deleting NMD2 reduced the amount of ssDNA generated in cdc13-1 or cdc13-1 rad9Δ strains at loci 20 or 30 kb from uncapped telomeres (Figures 2B–2E). We further investigated the effect of deleting NMD2 on telomeric ssDNA by using a fluorescent native in-gel assay to measure ssDNA in telomeric repeats in nmd2Δ cdc13-1 strains, grown at a restrictive temperature. Consistent with the QAOS data, we observed reduced ssDNA accumulation in the telomeric repeats of nmd2Δ cdc13-1 strains after 4 hr at 36°C (Figure 2F). To obtain independent evidence that NMD2 affects ssDNA, we measured the effect of nmd2Δ on cell viability of cdc13-1 and cdc13-1 rad9Δ strains subjected to restrictive and permissive temperature cycles in an “up-down” assay (Figures 2G and S1A). Deleting NMD2 in a cdc13-1 or cdc13-1 rad9Δ background increased cell viability assessed by spot tests after growth at 36°C, similar to the effect of deleting EXO1 in the same backgrounds (Figure 2G). This spot test result was confirmed by determining cell viability after incubation at restrictive temperature: nmd2Δ cdc13-1 rad9Δ cultures contained nearly 8% viable cells compared to around 1% of cdc13-1 rad9Δ cultures at the 240 min time point (Figure S1B). We conclude that Nmd2, like Rad24 and Exo1, affects ssDNA levels in cdc13-1 mutants.

nmd2Δ rescued loss of viability caused by rapid accumulation of ssDNA in cdc13-1 mutants, similar to the previously reported effects of exo1Δ and rad24Δ mutations (Zubko et al., 2004). It is known that disabling NMD pathways increases the levels of many telomere related proteins and RNAs, including the Ku complex, telomerase, Telomeric Repeat Containing RNA (TERRA), and the Cdc13 partner proteins Stn1 and Ten1 (Guan et al., 2006; Azzalin et al., 2007; Dahlseid et al., 2003; Addinall et al., 2011). It is likely therefore that disabling the NMD pathway increases the levels of one or more of these telomere related proteins or RNAs and thereby reduces resection of telomeric ssDNA. Alternatively, NMD may regulate an unidentified nuclease that attacks telomeric DNA, play a direct role in resection, or affect the stability of ssDNA generated in cdc13-1 strains.

The Requirement for CDC13 Can Be Bypassed

The robust growth of nmd2Δ rad24Δ exo1Δ cdc13-1 mutants at 36°C suggested that cells deficient in NMD and DDR might be able to divide in the absence of any Cdc13 function. To test
this, we deleted \textit{CDC13} in a diploid strain that carried heterozygous deletions of \textit{UPF1}, \textit{EXO1}, and \textit{RAD24}. We sporulated the diploid, dissected tetrads, and germinated the spores. Consistent with our hypothesis, 100% of \textit{nmd2} \textit{rad24} \textit{cdc13} diploids formed visible colonies, whereas all other \textit{cdc13} genotypes did not (Figures 3A and S2A). Inviable \textit{cdc13} strains formed microcolonies, and the sizes of microcolonies were increased by \textit{nmd2} \textit{exo1}, or \textit{rad24} mutations (Figures 3B and S2B), just as the deletions improved fitness of \textit{cdc13-1} cells at semipermissive temperatures (Figure 1B). Therefore, concluding that \textit{cdc13} cells are viable indefinitely and rearrange their telomere structures like telomerase-deficient cells.

Given that \textit{cdc13} cells rearranged telomeres like telomerase-deficient \textit{tlc1} cells, we wondered if they needed functional telomerase in order to divide, as \textit{cdc13 pif1 exo1} have been demonstrated to depend on telomerase for survival (Dewar and Lydall, 2010). We germinated spores derived after introducing a \textit{tlc1} disruption into a diploid strain containing heterozygous deletions of \textit{CDC13}, \textit{NMD2}, \textit{RAD24}, or \textit{EXO1}. We found viable \textit{cdc13 tlc1} strains when \textit{nmd2} and \textit{exo1}, \textit{rad24}, or \textit{exo1 rad24} were present (Figure S3A). Furthermore such...
strains could be cultured for many passages, showed increased fitness over time and altered telomere structure like telomerase-deficient survivors (Figures S3B and S3C). We conclude that nmd2Δ cdc13Δ strains use telomerase-independent mechanisms to maintain telomere length.

The Requirement for STN1 and TEN1 Cannot Be Bypassed

To test whether yeast cells survive without the Stn1 or Ten1, the other components of the CST complex, we introduced stn1Δ or ten1Δ disruptions into the diploid strain containing heterozygous deletions of NMD2, RAD24, or EXO1. In contrast to what was found with cdc13Δ, we could not identify any viable stn1Δ or ten1Δ colonies (Figures 4, S4A, and S4B). Interestingly, germinated stn1Δ and ten1Δ spores often formed microcolonies like some of the cdc13Δ genotypes (Figures 4 and S3B). Therefore, stn1Δ and ten1Δ cells sometimes undergo a limited number of cell divisions but cannot divide indefinitely, irrespective of the status of NMD2, RAD24, or EXO1. Similarly, cdc13Δ, nmd2Δ cdc13Δ, exo1Δ cdc13Δ, rad24Δ cdc13Δ, and exo1Δ rad24Δ cdc13Δ cells sometimes undergo a few cell divisions before stopping division (Figure 3B). We note that others have reported that stn1Δ rad24Δ microcolonies are smaller than cdc13Δ rad24Δ microcolonies, which is consistent with our data (Paschini et al., 2012). In summary, all these microcolony patterns suggest that stn1Δ and ten1Δ strains have similar but more severe growth defects than cdc13Δ strains.

One explanation for the fitness differences between cdc13Δ and stn1Δ or ten1Δ strains was that fitness differences were not due to CST defects per se but instead because important genes adjacent to STN1 and TEN1 were affected in deletion strains (Ben-Shitrit et al., 2012). However, this is not the case because the essential functions missing in stn1Δ and ten1Δ strains could be rescued by expressing the missing STN1 or TEN1 genes on plasmids (Figure S4C). Furthermore, the strains relying on plasmid-borne STN1 or TEN1 could not lose such plasmids (Figure S4D). Given that several defined genetic backgrounds allow growth of cdc13Δ but not of stn1Δ or ten1Δ strains, this strongly implies that Stn1 and Ten1 are more critical for cell viability than Cdc13.

Our experiments show that budding yeast cells defective in NMD and Exo1 or Rad24 can grow indefinitely without Cdc13 and telomerase, but that in such cells telomere function is compromised. However, cells with otherwise identical genetic backgrounds cannot grow in the absence of Stn1 or Ten1. The simplest explanation for these observations is that Stn1 and Ten1 play additional roles to Cdc13 in maintaining budding yeast cell viability. Consistent with this data, other experiments have shown that truncated and overexpressed versions of Stn1/ Ten1 can bypass the need for Cdc13 (Petreaca et al., 2006, 2007; Gaspanyan et al., 2009).

It has been shown that the nmd2Δ telomere phenotype is due, at least in part, to elevated Stn1 levels. Specifically, overexpression of STN1, or simultaneous overexpression of STN1 and TEN1, leads to short telomeres of a similar length to nmd2Δ mutants (Dahlseid et al., 2003). Therefore, we wondered whether growth of cdc13Δ cells depended on Stn1 and/or Ten1 overproduction. To test this hypothesis, we examined a different genetic background that was not expected to affect Stn1 levels.

Pif1 is a helicase that is active at telomeres and deletion of PIF1 and EXO1 also permits deletion of CDC13 (Dewar and Lydall, 2010). We repeated previous experiments and were able to generate viable strains from germinated cdc13Δ pif1Δ exo1Δ spores. However, we were unable to generate equivalent stn1Δ or ten1Δ strains (Figures 5A–5C and S5), reproducing what was found in other genetic backgrounds (Figure 4). These results strongly suggested that overexpression of Stn1 was not necessary to bypass Cdc13 function. However, it remained possible that pif1Δ or exo1Δ mutations caused increased Stn1 or Ten1 levels. Therefore, we measured Stn1 and Ten1 RNA expression levels in pif1Δ, exo1Δ, and pif1Δ exo1Δ strains, using quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). In these strains, levels of STN1 and TEN1 RNA were not significantly different from wild-type (Figures 5D and 5E), whereas, as expected, levels of STN1 and TEN1 RNAs were increased by an nmd2Δ mutation. Finally, it was possible that Stn1 or Ten1 might be transcriptionally induced by the response to telomere uncapping in cdc13Δ cells. However, this is not the case because there is no significant increase in STN1 and TEN1 RNA levels in cdc13Δ strains grown at high temperatures (Greenall et al., 2008). We conclude that bypass of the requirement for Cdc13 does not depend on nmd2Δ-dependent overexpression of STN1 and/or TEN1. Instead, our data suggest that at normal levels of expression Stn1 and Ten1 can, in some circumstances, function without Cdc13 to maintain viability of yeast cells.

Stn1, Ten1, and Cdc13 Can Bind Telomeric DNA at Different Ratios

Our experiments show that Stn1 and Ten1 contribute to yeast cell viability in conditions when Cdc13 is not required. To see if the essential function provided by Stn1 or Ten1 was at telomeres, we asked whether disabling the NMD pathway affected the ratio of CST components at telomeres. To investigate this, we used a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay to measure binding of myc-tagged STN1, TEN1, and CDC13 to telomeric DNA, in wild-type or nmd2Δ backgrounds. We observed about a 10-fold increase in binding of Stn1 and a 5-fold increase for Ten1 to telomeres in nmd2Δ mutants but only a 2-fold increase in the levels of Cdc13 (Figures 6A–6C). We conclude that Cdc13, Stn1, and Ten1, the components of the CST complex, can bind telomeres at different ratios.

Given that we were able to delete Cdc13, but could not delete Stn1 or Ten1, the other two components of the CST complex, this suggests that Stn1 or Ten1 might help cap the telomere in the complete absence of Cdc13. We tested this hypothesis using a ChIP assay. We found that Stn1-Myc was indeed bound to telomeric DNA in a Cdc13-independent manner in an nmd2Δ exo1Δ rad24Δ cdc13Δ strain (Figure 6D). The level of Stn1 binding to telomeres was lower in the cdc13Δ strain compared to the CDC13+ strain; this could be due to the cdc13Δ cells having dramatically rearranged telomeres. We did not find evidence of Ten1 binding to telomeres in the absence of Cdc13 (Figure 6E). However, Ten1 enrichment at telomeres was also relatively weak in the nmd2Δ exo1Δ rad24Δ strain, and it may be that any binding is below our detection limit. RPA is another ssDNA
binding protein, binds at telomeres, and is therefore likely to compete with Cdc13 as a telomeric ssDNA binding protein. Consistent with this hypothesis, we measured more RPA bound to telomeres in the absence of Cdc13 (Figure 6F). This suggests that in the absence of Cdc13, RPA can bind telomeric DNA and that RPA cooperates with Stn1, Ten1, and other proteins to cap the telomere. We conclude that Stn1 can bind telomeres in the absence of Cdc13.

**DISCUSSION**

We have shown that NMD acts in a parallel pathway to the Exo1 and Rad24 DDR proteins to inhibit growth of yeast cells with defective telomeres. Furthermore, we show that NMD, like Exo1 and Rad24, affects the level of telomeric ssDNA. Remarkably, we find that the requirement for CDC13 can be robustly bypassed in 100% of cells with nmd2Δ or exo1Δ or rad24Δ mutations. Viable cdc13Δ strains can be cultured for many passages, and the telomeres in such cells resemble those of telomerase-deficient survivors and still bind Stn1. In contrast, none of the four genetic backgrounds that allow robust bypass of cdc13Δ allowed bypass of stn1Δ or ten1Δ.

Cdc13, along with Stn1 and Ten1, has been proposed to form an essential heterotrimeric telomeric ssDNA binding complex analogous to RPA, the ssDNA binding complex (Gao et al., 2007). The CST/RPA model is attractive for many reasons, perhaps most notably because all three CST subunits are, like the RPA subunits, essential for yeast cell viability, and all three contribute to telomere protection. However, we have identified several defined genetic backgrounds that permit deletion of CDC13, but none of these permit deletion of STN1 or TEN1. The simplest explanation for these data is that Stn1 and Ten1 play Cdc13-independent roles at the telomere, or elsewhere.

We show that STN1 and TEN1 binding to telomeric DNA increases more than Cdc13 in nmd2Δ strains, which suggests that Stn1 and Ten1 can bind telomeric DNA without Cdc13. Indeed, we also show that Stn1 binds to telomeric DNA in the absence of Cdc13. Consistent with our data, others have shown that C-terminal truncations of Stn1, which disrupt the Stn1-Cdc13 interaction, are sufficient to support cell viability and telomere function (Petreaca et al., 2007). Interestingly, Stn1 overproduction inactivates the S phase checkpoint in budding yeast, and, although the biochemical mechanism explaining this interaction is not known, it is tempting to speculate that some aspect of this checkpoint inhibition function is critical for Stn1 function (Gasparyan et al., 2009). We conclude that budding yeast Cdc13—the largest component of the CST complex—contributes to a subset of the essential functions performed by its smaller partners, Stn1 and Ten1.

Ten1 was the last of the budding yeast CST components to be identified, in 2001 (Grandin et al., 2001). It was only much more recently that orthologs of CST components were identified in higher eukaryotes (Giraud-Panis et al., 2010). Our data from budding yeast, showing that STN1 and TEN1 are critical for cell viability in conditions when CDC13 is not, are consistent with data from other organisms, suggesting that this pattern might be universally the case in eukaryotes. For example, so far, no ortholog of Cdc13 has yet been reported in fission yeast but orthologs of both Stn1 and Ten1 have been identified (Jain and Cooper, 2010). Also, mutations in human CTC1, the ortholog of CDC13, are found in a number of diseases associated with telomere defects (Coats plus, dyskeratosis congenita and CRMCC); however, no equivalent mutations in STN1 or TEN1 have yet been identified in the same cohorts of patients (Anderson et al., 2012; Polvi et al., 2012; Walne et al., 2012). Perhaps mutations in Stn1 or

**Figure 4.** *stn1Δ* and *ten1Δ* Mutants Form Microcolonies

(A and B) NMD2/nmd2Δ *EXO1/exo1Δ RAD24/rad24Δ* STN1/stn1Δ and NMD2/nmd2Δ *EXO1/exo1Δ RAD24/rad24Δ* TEN1/ten1Δ diploids were sporulated. Tetrads were dissected onto YEPD plates, and spores were allowed to form colonies for 5 days at 23°C before being photographed (see also Figure S4). Following germination of spores, microcolonies were photographed using a 20X objective on a Microtec microscope and reproduced at the same scale. See also Figure S4. We are uncertain about the genotypes of individual microcolonies as we cannot establish which gene deletions were inherited by each spore (in contrast to Figure 2B).
Ten1 in humans cause stronger phenotypes that are not tolerated.

We have previously shown that some cdc13Δ strains can also be deleted of STN1 (Zubko and Lydall, 2006). stn1Δ strains grew less well than the parental (cdc13Δ) strains, and we were unable to identify any ten1Δ strains. These data, and those we report here, show that a functional telomere is very flexible in terms of the proteins it contains. The possibility remains that conditions will be identified that permit bypass of Stn1 and or Ten1 but not of Cdc13. A better understanding of the functions of Cdc13, Stn1, and Ten1 at telomeres will be important to see if this is likely. As it stands, our data suggest there is a functional hierarchy of CST subunit function in budding yeast with Ten1 more critical than Stn1, which is more critical than Cdc13.

If Stn1 and Ten1 function at eukaryotic telomeres in the absence of Cdc13, then how do they do so? Because Stn1 and Ten1 have low affinity for telomeric DNA (in comparison with Cdc13), one simple explanation is that Stn1 and Ten1 bind and cap the telomere via interactions with any of the numerous other telomere binding proteins or RNAs. The idea that Stn1 interacts with proteins other than Cdc13 to perform essential functions is consistent with data showing that the Ten1 interaction domain of Stn1 is much more critical for cell viability than the Cdc13 interaction domain (Petreaca et al., 2007). Stn1/Ten1 might interact with one or more than one of numerous other proteins found at budding yeast telomeres, and elsewhere, including Rap1, Rif1, Rif2, Ku, MRX, Tel1, Telomerase, Sir proteins, RPA, and DNA polymerase alpha. We tested a model in which subunits of RPA formed heterotrimers with CST subunits, but we could obtain no strong evidence for such a model (data not shown). However, we did observe increased binding of RPA to telomeres in the absence of Cdc13. Both budding yeast and mammalian CST components interact with Pol α primase and in yeast this interaction has been shown to promote telomere capping (Grossi et al., 2004; Gasparyan et al., 2009; Qi and Zakian, 2000; Anderson et al., 2000).
In mammalian cells, CST components facilitate the replication of telomeric lagging-strand DNA (Sun et al., 2011; Nakaoka et al., 2012). It will be interesting to determine how telomeres are capped and replication is completed in the absence of Cdc13.

Finally, given that CST and NMD play important roles in telomeres in yeast and humans, the genetic interactions we report in yeast may identify useful avenues to pursue for developing future treatments for the human diseases in which CTC1 is affected (Gu and Chang, 2013). Premature termination codons are responsible for around 10% of inherited human diseases and pharmaceuticals targeting NMD have been identified. If we extrapolate from the yeast experiments to human cells, it is conceivable that reducing NMD function pharmacologically might compensate for loss of CTC1 function in patients.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Yeast Strains
All strains are in the W303 background and are RAD52 (Supplemental Experimental Procedures, list 1). Gene disruptions of CDC13, STN1, and TEN1 were created by inserting a hygromycin cassette into a diploid using one step PCR, primers indicated in Supplemental Experimental Procedures (list 2) and a pAG32 plasmid harboring HPHMX4 (Goldstein and McCusker, 1999) (Supplemental Experimental Procedures, list 3). Gene disruptions were confirmed by PCR, STN1 and TEN1 rescue plasmids were created by PCR-based gap repair of plasmid pDL1466 (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures, list 3, for plasmid details).

Yeast Growth Assays
Single colonies were inoculated into 2 ml of YEPD+adenine and grown in tubes at 23°C overnight until saturation. Six-fold serial dilution series of the cultures were spotted onto plates using a 48-prong replica-plating device. Plates were incubated for 2–3 days at temperatures indicated before being photographed. For cycling temperature assays plates were incubated at 23°C for 4 hr then 36°C for 4 hr, and this was repeated three times before colonies were allowed to form at 23°C. For passage experiments, several colonies were pooled with a toothpick and restruck onto YEPD plates.

ChIP
Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed essentially as previously described (Dubarry et al., 2011); cells were additionally crosslinked with 2 mM EGS. Mouse anti-myc 9E10 (ab32), Abcam) or anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae RPA (AS07 214, Agrisera) or rabbit anti-goat immunoglobulin (IgG (ab97096, Abcam) antibodies were used for immunoprecipitations. Immunoprecipitated DNA was isolated using 10% Chelex (Bio-Rad) and quantified by qPCR using the SYBR Green pPCR SuperMIX-UDG w/ROX kit (Invitrogen, 11744500). Primers used for PCR are described in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures, list 2.

Synchronous Cultures and QAOS
Synchronous culture experiments and viability assay were carried out in strains containing bar1 Jcdc15–2 mutations and were performed as described (Zubko et al., 2009). Quantitative amplification of ssDNA was carried out as described (Holstein and Lydall, 2012).

In-Gel Assay
In-gel assays were performed as previously described (Dewar and Lydall, 2012). The Cy5-labeled oligonucleotide (M2188) was detected on a GE Healthcare Typhoon Trio imager. The agarose gel was poststained using SYBR Safe, and total DNA was detected using a FUJI LAS-4000 imager. ssDNA was quantified using ImageJ and normalized relative to the loading control. The final fold change is relative to the 0 hr time point of each strain.

Microcolonies
After germination for 5 days at 23°C, colonies were photographed using a 20× objective on a Microtec microscope. An image was taken of each microcolony, and images are reproduced at the same scale for direct comparison.

Analysis of Telomere Structure
Southern blot analysis was performed essentially as previously described (Maringele and Lydall, 2004). Genomic DNA was cut with XhoI (New England Biolabs), run overnight on a 0.8% agarose gel, and transferred to a positively charged nylon membrane. The membrane was hybridized with a 1 kbp Y′ and TG probe, obtained by digesting pDL987 with XhoI and BamHI. The probe was labeled, and the blot was hybridized and immunologically detected using the DIG-High Prime Labeling and Detection Kit (Roche, 11585614910). The probe was visualized using a FUJI LAS-4000 imager.

Quantitative RT-PCR
RNA isolation was performed essentially as described (Collart and Oliviero, 2001). RNA was further purified using the RNEasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, 74104) and by DNase I digestion (Invitrogen, 18068-015). Quantitative RT-PCR was carried out using the Superscript III Platinum SYBR Green One-Step qRT-PCR kit (Invitrogen, 11736-059). RNA samples were normalized relative to the BUD6 loading control.
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Figure S1. Deletion of *NMD2* increases viability of *rad9Δ cdc13-1* mutants.

Related to Figure 2.

(A) Saturated cultures were serially diluted across agar plates containing synthetic medium and were either grown at the temperature indicated for 3 days or cycled 3 times from 23°C for 4 hours to 36°C for 4 hours and then allowed to form colonies at 23°C for 3 days before being photographed. (B) Exponentially dividing cells containing *bar1Δ* and *cdc15-2* mutation were arrested at 23°C in G1 using alpha factor. Cultures were released from alpha factor and shifted to 36°C to induce telomere uncapping. Samples were taken at indicated time points, diluted, plated onto YEPD in duplicate and grown at 23°C for 3 days. Colony forming units were counted and viability was calculated using the dilution factor.
**Figure S2**

A. \( cdc13\Delta/CDC13 \) \( nmd2\Delta/NMD2 \) \( exo1\Delta/EXO1 \) \( rad24\Delta/RAD24 \)

| Genotype | Viability |
|----------|-----------|
| \( cdc13\Delta \) | 0/4 |
| \( nmd2\Delta cdc13\Delta \) | 0/3 |
| \( rad24\Delta cdc13\Delta \) | 0/9 |
| \( exo1\Delta cdc13\Delta \) | 0/3 |
| \( rad24\Delta exo1\Delta cdc13\Delta \) | 0/2 |
| \( nmd2\Delta rad24\Delta cdc13\Delta \) | 5/5 |
| \( nmd2\Delta exo1\Delta cdc13\Delta \) | 6/6 |
| \( nmd2\Delta rad24\Delta exo1\Delta cdc13\Delta \) | 16/16 |

Genotypes and viability are indicated by:
- \( \bullet \) = viable \( cdc13\Delta \) strain
- \( n \) = \( nmd2\Delta \)
- \( r \) = \( rad24\Delta \)
- \( e \) = \( exo1\Delta \)
- \( c \) = \( cdc13\Delta \)

() = inferred genotype
X = no spores dissected or spores not separated or spores lost

B. \( cdc13\Delta \)

upf2\Delta cdc13\Delta

exo1\Delta cdc13\Delta

rad24\Delta cdc13\Delta

rad24\Delta exo1\Delta cdc13\Delta
Figure S2. Growth of cdc13Δ strains. Related to Figure 3.

(A) Diploids containing heterozygous deletion mutations of EXO1, NMD2, RAD24 and CDC13 were sporulated. Tetrads were dissected and germinated on YEPD plates. Spores were allowed to form colonies for 5 days at 23°C before being photographed. Genotypes were determined by growth on appropriate selective plates. (B) Following germination of dissected diploids containing heterozygous deletion mutations of EXO1, NMD2, RAD24 and CDC13, non-viable mutants were analyzed using a 20x objective on a Microtec microscope. An image was taken of each microcolony using a Jenoptik camera and all images displayed are reproduced at the same scale for direct comparison.
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Figure S3. Viable *cdc13Δ* strains survive without telomerase. Related to Figure 3.

(A) Diploids containing heterozygous deletion mutations of *TLC1*, *EXO1*, *NMD2*, *RAD24* and *CDC13* were sporulated. Tetrads were dissected and germinated on YEPD plates. Spores were allowed to form colonies for 5 days at 23°C before being photographed. Genotypes were determined by growth on appropriate selective plates.

(B) Strains of the genotypes indicated were repeatedly passaged by toothpick every 4 days at 23°C. At the indicated times 2 ml liquid cultures were grown overnight, serially diluted, spotted onto YEPD plates and incubated for 2 days before being photographed.

(C) Genomic DNA was isolated from yeast strains indicated and telomere structures were analyzed by Southern blotting using a Y’ and TG probe. SYBR Safe was used as a loading control.
Figure S4
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Figure S4. Growth of \textit{stn1Δ} and \textit{ten1Δ} strains. Related to Figure 4.

 \textbf{(A)-(B)} Diploids containing heterozygous deletion mutations of \textit{EXO1}, \textit{NMD2}, \textit{RAD24} along with deletions of \textit{STN1} or \textit{TEN1} were sporulated. Tetrads were dissected and germinated on YEPD plates. Spores were allowed to form colonies for 5 days at 23°C before being photographed. Genotypes were determined by growth on appropriate selective plates. \textbf{(C)} Diploid yeast strains in (A) and (B) were transformed with linearized plasmid and PCR fragments containing either \textit{STN1} or \textit{TEN1}, to obtain strains expressing \textit{STN1} or \textit{TEN1} on plasmids under their native promoters. Strains were treated as in (A)-(B). \textbf{(D)} Saturated cultures were serially diluted across agar plates containing YPD, synthetic medium without uracil (-ura) or synthetic medium with 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) and then allowed to form colonies at 30°C for 3 days before being photographed. Strains 8a-d in (D) were \textit{STN1/stn1Δ} strains 8a-d in (C). Strains 6a-d in (B) were \textit{TEN1/ten1Δ} strains 6a-d in (C).
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Figure S5. Bypass of cdc13Δ but not stn1Δ or ten1Δ by pif1Δ exo1Δ mutations. Related to Figure 5.

(A)-(C) Diploids containing heterozygous deletion mutations of EXO1 and PIF1, along with deletions of CDC13, STN1 or TEN1 were sporulated. Tetrads were dissected and germinated on YEPD plates. Spores were allowed to form colonies for 5 days at 23°C before being photographed. Genotypes were determined by growth on appropriate selective plates.
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1. List of yeast strains used. Related figures indicated.

| Strain (DLY) | Relevant Genotype | Related Figures |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 640          | MATa              | 1B, 2G, S1A     |
| 1255         | MATa cdc13-1 int rad9::HIS3 | 2G, S1A         |
| 1272         | MATalpha exo1::LEU2 |                 |
| 1273         | MATalpha exo1::LEU2 | 5D-E            |
| 1296         | MATa exo1::LEU2 cdc13-1 int | 1B, 2G, S1A     |
| 1385         | MATa ura3 pRS415::URA3 | S4D             |
| 1468         | MATa cdc13-1 int cdc15-2 bar1::hisG | 2B-E, S1B      |
| 1470         | MATa cdc13-1 int rad9::HIS3 cdc15-2 bar1::hisG | 2B-E, S1B      |
| 1522         | MATa cdc13-1 int rad24::TRP1 | 1B              |
| 1628         | MATalpha tlc1::HIS3 pTLC1::URA3 | 3C-D           |
| 1692         | MATa exo1::LEU2 rad9::HIS3 cdc13-1 int | 2G S1A        |
| 1696         | MATalpha cdc13-1 int exo1::LEU2 rad24::TRP1 | 1B             |
| 2146         | MATa tlc1 ::HIS3 | S3C             |
| 2148         | MATalpha tlc1::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 | S3C            |
| 3001         | MATalpha          | 5D-E, S4D       |
| 4528         | MATa nmd2::HIS3   | 6F              |
| 4557         | MATa cdc13-1 int  | 1B, 2F-G, S1A   |
| 4625         | MATa cdc13-1 int nmd2::HIS3 | 1B, 2F-G, S1A   |
| 4765         | MATa nmd2::HIS3   | 1B, 2G, S1A     |
| 4766         | MATalpha nmd2::HIS3 | 5D-E           |
| 4872         | MATa pif1::NATMX  | 5D-E            |
| 4873         | MATalpha pif1::NATMX | 5D-E         |
| 5255         | MATalpha rad9::LEU2 nmd2::HIS3 cdc13-1 int | 2G, S1A    |
| 5673         | MATa nmd2::HIS3 rad9::LEU2 cdc13-1 int cdc15-2 bar1::hisG | 2B-E, S1B |
| 5674         | MATa nmd2::HIS3 cdc13-1 int cdc15-2 bar1::hisG | 2B-E, S1B |
| 5750         | MATalpha ura3 pAG36::URA3 | S4D           |
| 5759         | MATa STN1-C-MYC::TRP1 nmd2::HIS3 | 6B           |
| 5761         | MATa STN1-C-MYC::TRP1 | 6B            |
| 5915         | MATa pif1::NATMX  | 5D-E            |
| 5916         | MATalpha pif1::NATMX | 5D-E         |
| 7273         | MATalpha CDC13-C-MYC::HIS3 | 6A           |
| 7274         | MATa CDC13-C-MYC::HIS3 nmd2::URA3 | 6A       |
| 7747         | MATa cdc13-1 int exo1::LEU2 nmd2::URA3 | 1B        |
| 7749         | MATa cdc13-1 int rad24::TRP1 nmd2::URA3 | 1B        |
| 7752         | MATa cdc13-1 int rad24::TRP1 exo1::LEU2 nmd2::URA3 | 1B        |
| 8100         | MATa nmd2::URA3 TEN1-C-MYC::KANMX | 6C           |
| 8101         | MATa TEN1-C-MYC::KANMX | 6C           |
| 8167         | nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 cdc13::HPHMX passage | 3C-D       |
|   | nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 1 |   |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------|---|
| 8168 | nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 1 | 3D |
| 8169 | nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 1 | 3C-D |
| 8170 | nmd2::HIS3 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 1 | 3D |
| 8171 | nmd2::HIS3 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 1 | 3C-D |
| 8172 | nmd2::HIS3 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 1 | 3D |
| 8198 | nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 rad24::TRP1 |   | 6F |
| 8199 | nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 9 | 3C-D |
| 8200 | nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 9 | 3D |
| 8201 | nmd2::HIS3 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 9 | 3C-D |
| 8202 | nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 9 | 3C-D |
| 8203 | nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 9 | 3D |
| 8204 | nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 9 | 3D |
| 8432 | MATa STN1-13MYC::KANMX nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 rad24::TRP1 | passage 8 | 6D |
| 8434 | MATalpha TEN1-13MYC::KANMX nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 rad24::TRP1 | passage 8 | 6E |
| 8460 | MATa |   | 3C, S3A, 6F |
| 8461 | nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX |   | 6F |
| 8462 | MATa tlc1::NATMX | passage 1 | S3B-C |
| 8463 | MATa tlc1::NATMX nmd2::HIS3 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 1 | S3B |
| 8464 | MATa tlc1::NATMX nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 1 | S3B |
| 8465 | MATa tlc1::NATMX nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 1 | S3B-C |
| 8467 | MATa tlc1::NATMX nmd2::HIS3 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 2 | S3C |
| 8468 | MATa tlc1::NATMX nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 2 | S3C |
| 8472 | MATa tlc1::NATMX | passage 3 | S3B |
| 8469 | MATa tlc1::NATMX nmd2::HIS3 rad24::TRP1 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 3 | S3B |
| 8474 | MATa tlc1::NATMX nmd2::HIS3 exo1::LEU2 cdc13::HPHMX | passage 3 | S3B |
| Strain (DDY) | Diploid Genotype | RELATED FIGURES |
|-------------|------------------|----------------|
| 340         | PIF1/pif1::NATMX EXO1/exo1::LEU2 CDC13/cdc13::HPHMX | 5A, S5A |
| 355         | PIF1/pif1::NATMX EXO1/exo1::LEU2 STN1/stn1::HPHMX | 5B, S5B |
| 566         | NMD2/nmd2::HIS3 EXO1/exo1::LEU2 RAD24/rad24::TRP1 | # |
| 568         | NMD2/nmd2::HIS3 EXO1/exo1::LEU2 RAD24/rad24::TRP1 CDC13/cdc13::hph (DDY 566 transformation) | 3A-B, 6D-E, 5D |
| 569         | NMD2/nmd2::HIS3 EXO1/exo1::LEU2 RAD24/rad24::TRP1 STN1/stn1::hph (DDY 566 transformation) | 4A, S4A |
| 571         | NMD2/nmd2::HIS3 EXO1/exo1::LEU2 RAD24/rad24::TRP1 TEN1/ten1::hph (DDY 566 transformation) | 4B |
| 572         | NMD2/nmd2::HIS3 EXO1/exo1::LEU2 RAD24/rad24::TRP1 TEN1/ten1::hph (DDY 566 transformation) | 4B, S4B |
| Transformation | Genotypes | Strains |
|---------------|-----------|---------|
| 573           | $PIF1/pif1::NATMX$ $EXO1/exo1::LEU2$ $TEN1/ten1::HPHMX$ | 5C, S5C |
| 581           | $NMD2/nmd2::HIS3$ $EXO1/exo1::LEU2$ $RAD24/rad24::TRP1 CDC13/cdc13::HPHMX$ $TLC1/tlc1::NATMX$ (DDY568 transformation) | S3A |
2. List of oligonucleotides used. Related figures indicated.

| Oligo Number | Primer Sequence                          | Target | Comments (Related Figures) |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|
| M417         | ATGGGCGCAGGGCCTGGATTC                   | Tag for QAOS | Tag/QAOS (Figure 2)       |
| M418         | ATGCTCGCAGAGCCCCTGGATCT                | Tag for QAOS | Tag/QAOS (Figure 2)       |
| M1003        | AGAGATGCGCCGTATTGACGATGCCAGGTTTTCTAAACA | STN1   | For C-terminal Myc-tagging of STN1 (Figure 6) |
| M1172        | cagacgcaactctggatttt                    | BUD6   | Forward primer qRT-PCR (Figure 5) |
| M1173        | tttagcgggctgagaccta                     | BUD6   | Reverse primer qRT-PCR (Figure 5) |
| M1509        | CAAGAAATGGGAACCTCAA TTAAGATTAGCAA      | RET2   | Reverse primer/QAOS (Figure 2) |
| M1510        | AGCGCACCTGCATCGTTGCA                    | RET2   | Probe/QAOS (Figure 2)     |
| M1511        | ATGGGCGCAGGGCTGATTCATATGGGAGCTT        | RET2   | Tagging primer/QAOS (Figure 2) |
| M1514        | TGACTTTCCAAGATGCCTTGAAACACTAGTGTC      | DUG1   | Reverse primer/QAOS (Figure 2) |
| M1515        | AATGAGCACCATCATCGCCCTAC                | DUG1   | Probe/QAOS (Figure 2)     |
| M1516        | ATGCTCGCAGAGCCCCTGGGATCTTCATACCACCA    | DUG1   | Tagging primer/QAOS (Figure 2) |
| M1734        | TCGAGCAACTGCAAGAAGA                     | STN1   | Forward primer qRT-PCR (Figure 5) |
| M1735        | CGAAATGACAAGGAATGCA                     | STN1   | Reverse primer qRT-PCR (Figure 5) |
| M1794        | ATACACAAAGTCCGCAA                        | TEN1   | Forward primer qRT-PCR (Figure 5) |
| M1795 | CACCAAGTGGTGATTTGACA | TEN1 | Reverse primer qRT-PCR (Figure 5) |
|-------|----------------------|------|---------------------------------|
| M1803 | CCAACGTCAACGCACC     | TLC1 | To confirm integration of NATMX cassette (Figure S3) |
| M1807 | CGTGAGTCTGTGGAATCC    | TLC1 | To confirm integration of NATMX cassette (Figure S3) |
| M1828 | CTCTTTGGATACGAATGACC  | CDC13| To integrate hph cassette at CDC13 locus: M1828, M1829 (Figure 3) |
| M1829 | GCAATTTGGCACCGCCGCGGTGGGCTGCGGGATCATGTCAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAG | CDC13| To integrate hph cassette at CDC13 locus: M1828, M1829 (Figure 3) |
| M1897 | TCTCCGCCATAGAATCATCA  | CDC13| To confirm integration: M1897, M1898 (Figure 3) |
| M1898 | GCGTTAACGTAATACCTTTTC | CDC13| To confirm integration: M1897, M1898 (Figure 3) |
| M1943 | GCGAAAAAAGAGCAATAAGCTGGCTTTTAAATAATTGGTTGGAGCCACATACGATTTAGGTGACAC | STN1 | To integrate hph cassette at STN1 locus: M1943, M1944 (Figure 3) |
| M1944 | CCTAGAGAGATGC GCCGTTATTGACGATGCAGTTTTTCTAACAAAAATACGACTC ACTATAGGGAG | STN1 | To integrate hph cassette at STN1 locus: M1943, M1944 (Figure 3) |
| M2188 | CCCACCACACACACCCAC AC | TG ssDNA | Probe/ Fluorescent in-gel assay (Figure 2) |
|-------|------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M1367 | AATAACGAATTGAGCTATG ACACCAA | PAC2 | ChIP (Figure 5) |
| M1368 | AGCTTACTCATATCGATTTTC ATACGACTT | PAC2 | ChIP (Figure 5) |
| M2013 | CAATTTAAAGACCTTCCTTTG TAGCTTTTAGTGATTTTT CTGGTTTGAGCACATACGAT TTAGGTGACAC | TLC1 | To integrate NATMX cassette at TLC1 locus (Figure S3) |
| M2014 | TGTATATTTATATTTCTAAAA AAGAAGAAGCCATTTGTTG GGCTTTATTA AATACGACTCACATATAGGAG | TLC1 | To integrate NATMX cassette at TLC1 locus (Figure S3) |
| M2245 | CGTATGCTAAAGTATATATTT ACTCTACTCCATT chrVI-R | ChIP (Figure 4) |
| M2246 | TCCGAACCTCAGTTACTATTTG ATGGAA chrVI-R | ChIP (Figure 4) |
| M2355 | ATCACCACTTGAGAGAG TTTCTGAACATCTCTAACTC CCGATCCCCGCTTTAATTAA | TEN1 | For C-terminal Myc-tagging of TEN1 (Figure 6) |
| M2356 | AAAGGTATTATATAATCTCA GTATGCTAAAACGCTGTA CGAATTCGAGCTGTATTTAAAC | TEN1 | For C-terminal Myc-tagging of STN1 (Figure 6) |
| M2667 | AGCTCTATTTGCTCTTTTTA TGACATACGACTGTAGTGTA TCACATACGATTTTAGGTGACAC | TEN1 | To integrate HPHMX cassette at TEN1 locus: M2667, M2668 (Figure 3) |
| M2668 | TATCAAGGTATTATATAAT CTACGTATATGCCAAAAGTC TGACAATACGACTCATTAGGGAG | TEN1 | To integrate HPHMX cassette at TEN1 locus: M2667, M2668 (Figure 3) |
| M2669 | CAGTTGTCTGTTATTTTTTTT TT | TEN1 | To confirm HPHMX integration: M2669, M2670 (Figure 3) |
|   | DNA Sequence                                                                 | Gene   | Comment                                                                 |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| M2670 | CTTCTTTTCTTTTCTCATAC TTATT                                                | TEN1   | To confirm *HPHMX* integration: M2669, M2670 (Figure 3)                |
| M2671 | CTGCTTTGTGAATATCCATT T                                                   | STN1   | To confirm *HPHMX* integration: M2671, M2672 (Figure 3)                |
| M2672 | AATTTTTCAGGCCTAGAGA GAT                                                  | STN1   | To confirm *HPHMX* integration: M2671, M2672 (Figure 3)                |
| M2735 | ACCGCGGTGGGCGGCCGCAT AGGCCACTAGTGATCTGA TATCATCGATGCGATGCTCC GCAGGAATTCT | STN1   | Amplify and clone into pDL1466: M2735, M2736 (Figure S3)               |
| M2736 | CAATTTTATAAAGACTGGAG GCAATCACAAACCTCTGCT CGACGGATAGCCTCTGTCTC TTCTTTCA   | STN1   | Amplify and clone into pDL1466: M2735, M2736 (Figure S3)               |
| M2737 | ACCGCGGTGGGCGGCCGCAT AGGCCACTAGTGATCTGA TATCATCGATGACCCAAAC ATATAAATGCC  | TEN1   | Amplify and clone into pDL1466: M2737, M2739 (Figure S3)               |
| M2739 | CAATTTTATAAAGACTGGAG GCAATCACAAACCTCTGCT CGACGGATATCTAACCAG AATTATTGA    | TEN1   | Amplify and clone into pDL1466: M2737, M2739 (Figure S3)               |
| M2752 | TGGAATATTATTATTATTGTG AATACGCACGCACATATTC ACGGATCCCGGGTTAATT AA          | STN1   | For C-terminal Myc-tagging of STN1                                     |
3. List of plasmids used. Related figures indicated.

| Plasmid Number | Details | Source/Alias (Related Figures) |
|----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| pDL508         | kanMX cassette for C-terminal myc-tagging | pFA6a-13Myc-kanMX6. Longtine et al, Yeast 1998 14:953-961. (Figure 6) |
| pDL987         | Cut with XhoI and BamHI and use 1000bp fragment to detect Y’ and telomeric repeat sequences. | pHT128 (pYTEL). Tsubouchi and Ogawa. MBOC. 2000 11:2221 2233 (Figure 3D, Figure S3C) |
| pDL1389        | Plasmid containing hphMX4 | pAG32 (Figures 3-4, S3-4) |
| pDL1221        | Plasmid containing natMX4 | pAG25 (Figure S3) |
| pDL1466        | Plasmid containing URA3 and CAN1. Cut with SphI and AscI for PCR-mediated gap repair. | Created by Marion Dubarry (Newcastle University); derivative of pDL1279 (Figure S3) |