Antimicrobial Activity of Encapsulated Mexican Oregano (Lippia berlandieri Schauer) Essential Oil Applied on Bagels
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Several in vitro studies of Mexican oregano essential oil (MOEO) have demonstrated its effectiveness as an antimicrobial. On the other hand, the encapsulation technique of emulsification could be used to mask the intense flavor of MOEO, protect it from physicochemical degradation, and release gradually its antimicrobial components. In the present study, emulsions were elaborated with two encapsulating agents, pectin or Arabic gum, with five concentrations of MOEO (0%, 5%, 7.5%, 10%, or 15% w/w). Emulsions were characterized by density, viscosity, particle size distribution, creaming index, and peroxide index. Emulsions were applied, in a 3:1 ratio, on the surface of two formulations of bagel, one with wheat flour (BW) and another with wheat flour and oats (BWO). Bagels were characterized by water activity, moisture content, and hardness. The antimicrobial activity of MOEO encapsulated by emulsification on Penicillium expansum on the surface of the bagels was determined. In addition, a sensory analysis of the bagels was carried out using a hedonic scale. All physicochemical properties of the emulsions had a significant difference (p < 0.05) because of the encapsulating agent used (pectin or Arabic gum). Emulsions stabilized with Arabic gum were more stable over time than the ones with pectin. The application of the emulsions on the surface of the bagels did not show a statistical difference (p > 0.05) in the physicochemical properties of the breads. The growth of P. expansum was delayed for up to 5 or 6 days in bagels with Arabic gum as encapsulating agent. Both systems, BW and BWO, atomized with MOEO emulsions at high concentrations (MOEO 15% w/w) were well accepted by the panel of judges when these were evaluated on day 0 (freshly baked bread) but not after 6 days of their elaboration.
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**INTRODUCTION**

In recent years, the consumption of bagels has spread from Eastern Europe to North America, and its distribution begins to be massive. In the United States, sales are estimated at $900 million annually (Balinska, 2009). However, the shelf life of this bread is limited by several deterioration processes, one being caused by microorganisms, with Penicillium and Aspergillus being the ones with the highest incidence (Moore et al., 2008).
Molds and yeasts are removed in the baking stage; nevertheless, once the product leaves the oven, it must be handled under sterile conditions, since recontamination by molds on the surface can occur in subsequent stages of handling (Stanley et al., 2007). Consequently, the application of numerous types of antimicrobial agents, traditionally of synthetic origin, has been used. However, the tendency of the production and consumption of foods with fewer synthetic additives is increasing (Bakry et al., 2016).

As a result, various investigations have been carried out in order to implement new food preservation technologies, among them is the application of essential oils of plants and spices, since they have been reported to have antimicrobial activity, as in the case of the essential oil of oregano [Mexican oregano essential oil (MOEO)], whose functional components are mainly carvacrol and thymol (Portillo-Ruiz et al., 2012).

However, the application of essential oils involves several problems, since, if these are applied directly to the surface of a product, the functional components can diffuse rapidly inward, subtracting protection from the surface. In addition, essential oils have a very intense flavor; consequently, a suitable technique must be selected for the implementation of the essential oils in food systems (Sandoval et al., 2004; Lakkis, 2007).

An alternative is the addition of MOEO encapsulated by emulsification; this technique allows the delay of the chemical degradation of essential oils after being exposed to environmental factors such as light, oxygen, heat, among others. It not only protects the compound of interest, this technique could be used to mask the taste, increase the shelf life of the product, or to promote the controlled release of encapsulated components (Sandoval et al., 2004; Lakkis, 2007; Bakry et al., 2016).

It is important to consider that there is little evidence of studies in the application of oil-in-water (O/W) emulsions whose core is the essential oil of spices, since most of the investigations are focused on the optimization of products such as mayonnaises, dressings, emulsified drinks, among others. Under these considerations, the general objective of this study was to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of the essential oil of Mexican oregano (Lippia berlandieri Schauer) encapsulated by emulsification on Penicillium expansum, when it is inoculated on the surface of bagels, prepared with wheat or oat flour.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Mexican oregano (Lippia berlandieri Schauer) essential oil (MOEO) was provided by CiReNA (Natural Resources Research Center of Salaices, Lopez, Chihuahua, Mexico).

**Elaboration of Emulsions**

The continuous phase, 80% of the total emulsion, was formulated with 2.5% w/w of soy lecithin (Gelcaps®, Mexico) and MOEO (0%, 5%, 7.5%, 10%, or 15% w/w), and the rest was corn oil (La Gloria®, Mexico).

An ultrasonic homogenizer (CPX-400, Cole-Parmer Instrument Company, Vernon Hills, IL, USA) was used at an intensity of 70% (corresponding to an ultrasonic wave amplitude of 84 μm) for 15 min, under constant stirring, using a recirculation bath at 10°C ± 1°C, where the oil fraction was added dropwise with a sterile syringe.

**Characterization of Emulsions**

**Determination of Density and Viscosity**

To determine the density, Grease pycnometers were used, following the method 962.37 from the AOA (1995). A Cannon–Fenske viscometer (100, Cannon Instrument Company Inc., State College, PA, USA) was used to measure the viscosity adding 6.5 ml of sample. The dynamic viscosity was determined (Geankoplis, 1998). The measurements were performed in triplicate.

**Particle Size Distribution**

A dynamic light scattering particle analyzer (Nanotrac Wave II, Microtrac Inc., Montgomeryville, PA, USA) was used to determine the particle size distribution of MOEO emulsions. The measurements were performed in triplicate. The span was calculated using the following equation (Chew and Chan, 2002) using an average of the diameters D10, D50, and D90, which corresponds to the particle diameter undersize 10%, 50%, and 90% of the cumulative particle density population, respectively:

\[
\text{Span} = \frac{D_{90} - D_{10}}{D_{50}}
\]

**Stability of Emulsions**

**Creaming Index**

The creaming index was determined through the technique reported by Demetriades and McClements (2000). Newly formed emulsion (30 ml) was placed in a 45-ml centrifuge tube. After a storage time of 24 h at 25°C ± 1°C, separation of the emulsion phases occurs, resulting in an upper cream layer and a lower serum layer. Creaming index was determined by applying the following equation:

\[
\text{CI} = \frac{H_s}{H_c} \times 100
\]

where CI is the creaming index (%), H_s is the height of the lower serum layer, and H_c is the total height of the emulsion.

**Peroxide Index**

Samples were stored at 25°C ± 1°C for 20 days, and the peroxide index (PI) was determined using the NMX-F-154-1987. Into an Erlenmeyer flask of 125 ml, 1.0 ± 0.05 g of sample and 1.0 g of potassium iodide (KI) were weighed. Then, 30 ml of acetic acid–chloroform solution (3:2) was added and heated in a water bath for 1 min. Subsequently, 0.5 ml of a 5% w/w KI solution and 30 ml of distilled water were added, allowing to stand for 1 min. Then, 2 ml of a 2% w/w starch solution was added, forming a brown
The bagels formulations are shown in Table 1. The ingredients were weighed, mixed, and kneaded. Afterward, the dough was cut into portions of 40 g, manually shaped, and fermented at 35°C ± 1°C for 30 min, with 65% relative humidity. Baking was carried out at 180°C ± 1°C for 13 min, and finally, the breads were cooled to 25°C ± 1°C.

Atomization of Emulsions on Bagels

The modified method described by Madjouko et al. (2019) was used. An atomizer with a 0.15-g emulsion capacity was used. The emulsions with the different MOEO levels were applied under a laminar flow hood, placing the atomizer at 8 cm from the surface of each bread, letting them dry for 2 min. It was enough time for the emulsion to be absorbed at a maximum depth of 1 mm inside the bread. A control system without atomization of emulsion was also tested. Breads were stored in hermetically sealed polycarbonate plastic boxes. The mold response growth was recorded as mold growth, when reaching a halo of 0.8 cm, or as not growth otherwise. Daily observations were made for up to 15 days in each inoculated piece during storage at 25°C ± 1°C. For each day of observation, the fraction of mold survival was calculated out of eight inocula in total for each bread formulation and MOEO evaluated concentration.

Mathematical Modeling of the Microbial Growth Probability

Results were expressed as the growth or non-growth (1 or 0, respectively) of P. expansum on two bagel formulations, bagel-wheat flour (BW) and bagel-wheat flour-oats (BWO), with eight replicates recorded on each day. Data were modeled using the binary logistic regression (BLR) analysis in order to assess the probability of mold growth (between 0 and 1), depending on the following factors (Xn): concentration of MOEO (0%, 5%, 7.5%, 10%, or 15% w/w), the type of encapsulating agent (Arabic gum or pectin), and the storage time (0–15 days, measured daily). The statistical package Minitab v.16 (LEAD Technologies, State College, PA, USA) was used to obtain the parameters of the BLR model, applying the logit function to calculate the probability of microbial growth. Goodness of fit test was performed using
Sensory Analysis was performed using samples. The results of the determination are shown. A total of 25 untrained judges participated for this test (Larmond, 1982). Sensory analysis was performed using samples of freshly baked bread (day 0) and breads with 6 days of storage at 25°C ± 1°C.

**Statistical Analysis**

Experimental data were evaluated statistically by an analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey tests (95% confidence level), using the statistical package Minitab software v.16 (LEAD Technologies, State College, PA, USA), in order to determine if there was a significant difference between samples.

**RESULTS**

**Characterization of Emulsions**

Density of emulsions stabilized with pectin varied from 0.974 to 0.977 g/cm³, while the ones stabilized with Arabic gum ranged between 0.976 and 0.980 g/cm³. As shown in Table 2, the type of encapsulating agent and the concentration of oregano essential oil (MOEO) affected the density of the emulsions. It was observed that as MOEO concentration increased, the density increased as well. A significant difference (p < 0.05) was found among the different systems.

Results of viscosity of emulsion systems, stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum, with different MOEO concentrations are shown in Figure 1. It was observed that as the concentration of MOEO increased in the emulsion, the viscosity decreased. Regarding the encapsulating agents, emulsions stabilized with pectin showed a higher viscosity than those of Arabic gum, despite the concentration in which these were used, 0.5% w/w and 1% w/w, respectively. Both the concentration of MOEO and the encapsulating agents affected the viscosity, causing a significant statistical difference (p < 0.05) between the systems.

The type of encapsulating agent was decisive in the particle size distribution of emulsions (Figure 2). Arabic gum produced particles with smaller diameter and a unimodal tendency, which means that the particle size of the emulsion is homogeneous. Arabic gum emulsion with a concentration of 15% w/w of MOEO was more homogeneous than other systems, which can be seen in its span value (Table 3) being close to 1. Systems stabilized with pectin showed greater heterogeneity, which can trigger various instability factors, such as flocculation, coalescence, and phase separation. Values of D_{10}, D_{50}, and D_{90} were statistically different (p < 0.05) between systems stabilized with Arabic gum or pectin (Table 3).

**Stability of Emulsions**

The type of encapsulating agent was a determinant in the values obtained from creaminess. Emulsions stabilized with Arabic gum showed no level of creaminess during the days of observation; only a slight color change could be noticed, being more intense on the surface of the emulsion. As for the emulsions stabilized with pectin (Figure 3), the level of creaminess was presented from the first hours of preparation of the emulsions (≈5 h after elaboration); it increased until reaching a value of 60% at the end of the observation (21 days). A significant statistical difference was observed (p < 0.05) between the systems stabilized with pectin and Arabic gum.

The number of intermediate compounds formed at the start of the lipid oxidation reaction can be determined using the PI (Asensio et al., 2011). The results of the determination are shown in Figure 4. Emulsions stabilized with Arabic gum showed more stability than the ones stabilized with pectin. After the seventh day of storage, the emulsion with Arabic gum and 15% w/w of MOEO had the lowest value of PI compared to the other systems. A significant statistical difference (p < 0.05) was observed among samples for values of PI.

**Bagels Characterization**

Since the application of the different emulsions could cause some differences in the water activity of the breads, thus affecting their stability, it was important to determine this parameter. The results of the determination of the water activity of the crust and the crumb of two bagel formulations, BW and BWO, being atomized or not with MOEO emulsions are shown in Table 4. Water activity of the crumb for the different formulations of bagels varied from 0.86 to 0.87; meanwhile, the values of the crust varied from 0.94 to 0.95. No significant statistical difference (p > 0.05) was found among the samples for the water activity of crumb or crust, which means that adding MOEO emulsion to the bagels did not have an effect on water activity.

### Table 2 | Density of the different emulsion systems using pectin or Arabic gum as encapsulating agents at different Mexican oregano essential oil (MOEO) concentrations.

| Encapsulating agent | MOEO concentration (%) | Density (g/cm³) |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Pectin              | 0                      | 0.974 ± 0.003a |
|                     | 5                      | 0.974 ± 0.002a |
|                     | 7.5                    | 0.974 ± 0.002a |
|                     | 10                     | 0.976 ± 0.003a |
|                     | 15                     | 0.977 ± 0.001b |
| Arabic gum          | 0                      | 0.976 ± 0.003a |
|                     | 5                      | 0.976 ± 0.002a |
|                     | 7.5                    | 0.976 ± 0.002a |
|                     | 10                     | 0.978 ± 0.001c |
|                     | 15                     | 0.980 ± 0.002d |

Different letters in the column show significant differences (p < 0.05) between samples.
FIGURE 1 | Viscosity of the different emulsion systems using pectin or Arabic gum determined at time 0. Arithmetic means that do not share the same letter are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

FIGURE 2 | Particle size distribution of different emulsion systems using pectin or Arabic gum with 10 and 15% w/w of Mexican oregano essential oil (MOEO).
Table 5 shows the values obtained for the determination of the moisture content of the crust and crumb of the two bagel formulations (BW and BWO) when applying or not the different MOEO emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum. The moisture content of the different systems ranged between 20.00% and 20.70% for the crust, while for the crumb varied between 24.66% and 25.60%. No significant difference (p > 0.05) was found, in the moisture content in the crust or crumb, among the systems treated with different MOEO emulsions and the control system (without emulsion).

Hardness was determined only in bagels atomized with MOEO emulsions that were most effective in delaying the growth of P. expansum. These emulsions were the ones with 10% and 15% w/w of MOEO. Figure 5A shows the hardness of the two bagel formulations (BW and BWO), atomized with emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum GA with 10% w/w of MOEO, as well as the hardness of the control system (without emulsion added). In general, it was observed that the hardness of all the systems presented a bigger increase in the first 4 days, and then the increase was smaller. A statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) was observed with respect to the type of bread, regardless of the application of the different emulsion systems; the BWO systems being the ones that presented greater hardness than the BW systems. Only on day 0 (freshly baked bread), no significant differences (p > 0.05) were observed among the samples. Results for hardness of different formulations of bagels atomized with emulsions with 15% w/w of MOEO are shown in Figure 5B. A similar behavior with bagels atomized with emulsions with 10% w/w of MOEO was observed, in which only on day 0, no significant difference (p > 0.05) between the different systems was found. In addition, BWO systems were the ones that had the greatest hardness since day 2 compared to BW systems, which suggest that oats promoted the bread hardening.

Regarding the application of different emulsion systems, it was found that these do not affect the hardness of the bagels, since the values obtained from the control systems (without emulsion) were close to the ones of the atomized breads. No significant statistical difference (p > 0.05) was observed for bagels atomized with emulsions of 10% and 15% w/w of MOEO.

Inhibition of Penicillium expansum

The results obtained from the application of emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum on the surface of bagels inoculated with P. expansum are shown in Table 6. MOEO encapsulated by emulsification had a low effectiveness against P. expansum in both bagel systems (BW and BWO), since only at high concentrations, 10% and 15% w/w of MOEO, could the growth of this mold be delayed.

For bagels (BW and BWO) atomized with an emulsion of 0% w/w of MOEO, and without emulsion added, the growth of P. expansum was delayed until 4 days. Emulsions of 10% w/w of MOEO delayed the growth of P. expansum until 7 and 9 days using pectin or Arabic gum, respectively. As for emulsions of 15% w/w of MOEO, stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum,

### Table 3

| Encapsulating agent | MOEO concentration (%) | D10 (µm) | D50 (µm) | D90 (µm) | Mode (µm) | Span (µm) |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Pectin              | 10                     | 0.19 ± 0.08<sup>a</sup> | 1.83 ± 0.05<sup>a</sup> | 4.99 ± 0.64<sup>a</sup> | 1.94 | 2.62 |
|                     | 15                     | 0.32 ± 0.03<sup>b</sup> | 2.13 ± 0.19<sup>b</sup> | 4.98 ± 0.34<sup>b</sup> | 1.94 | 2.19 |
| Arabic gum          | 10                     | 0.58 ± 0.08<sup>c</sup> | 1.36 ± 0.38<sup>c</sup> | 3.81 ± 0.47<sup>c</sup> | 1.38 | 2.38 |
|                     | 15                     | 0.33 ± 0.03<sup>b</sup> | 1.23 ± 0.19<sup>b</sup> | 1.67 ± 0.34<sup>b</sup> | 1.38 | 1.08 |

Different letters in the column show significant differences (p < 0.05) between samples.

### Table 4

| System               | Encapsulating agent | MOEO concentration (%) | Water activity |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------|
|                      |                     |                        | Crust          | Crumb         |
| Bagel with wheat flour and oats | Pectin | Control<sup>*</sup> | 0.87 ± 0.006<sup>a</sup> | 0.95 ± 0.004<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 0                      | 0.86 ± 0.011<sup>a</sup> | 0.94 ± 0.004<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 5                      | 0.87 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup> | 0.94 ± 0.001<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 7.5                    | 0.87 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup> | 0.94 ± 0.003<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 10                     | 0.87 ± 0.002<sup>a</sup> | 0.95 ± 0.001<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 15                     | 0.87 ± 0.005<sup>a</sup> | 0.94 ± 0.003<sup>b</sup> |
| Bagel with wheat flour and oats | Arabic gum | Control<sup>*</sup> | 0.87 ± 0.006<sup>a</sup> | 0.94 ± 0.004<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 0                      | 0.86 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup> | 0.94 ± 0.015<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 5                      | 0.86 ± 0.006<sup>a</sup> | 0.94 ± 0.001<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 7.5                    | 0.87 ± 0.006<sup>a</sup> | 0.94 ± 0.002<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 10                     | 0.87 ± 0.013<sup>a</sup> | 0.95 ± 0.005<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 15                     | 0.86 ± 0.007<sup>a</sup> | 0.94 ± 0.005<sup>b</sup> |
| Bagel with wheat flour and oats | Arabic gum | Control<sup>*</sup> | 0.87 ± 0.014<sup>a</sup> | 0.95 ± 0.006<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 0                      | 0.86 ± 0.016<sup>a</sup> | 0.95 ± 0.012<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 5                      | 0.87 ± 0.004<sup>a</sup> | 0.95 ± 0.005<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 7.5                    | 0.87 ± 0.007<sup>a</sup> | 0.95 ± 0.006<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 10                     | 0.87 ± 0.021<sup>a</sup> | 0.95 ± 0.002<sup>b</sup> |
|                      |                     | 15                     | 0.87 ± 0.011<sup>a</sup> | 0.94 ± 0.013<sup>b</sup> |

<sup>*</sup>Control system was without emulsion added.

Different letters in the column show significant differences (p < 0.05) between samples.
FIGURE 3 | Creaming index of emulsions stabilized with pectin at different concentrations of Mexican oregano essential oil (MOEO), stored at 25°C, during 21 days of observation. Systems that do not share the same letter at each time are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

The growth of *P. expansum* was delayed until 8 and 10 days, respectively. Therefore, MOEO encapsulated with Arabic gum was more effective in delaying the growth of *P. expansum*.

A significant statistical difference ($p < 0.05$) was observed between samples regarding three aspects: the concentration of MOEO, the type of encapsulating agent, and the formulation of the bagel (only BW bagels with emulsions stabilized with Arabic gum and 7.5%, 10%, and 15% w/w of MOEO).

### Mathematical Modeling of the Probability of Microbial Growth

Parameters for the binary logistic regression models were obtained for the microbial growth of *P. expansum* on the bagels’ surface of two different formulations BW and BWO atomized with MOEO emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum (Table 7). For the formulation of BW, the factors that had a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) were the concentration of MOEO, time, and its interaction (concentration of MOEO * time); meanwhile, the factors that had a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) for the BWO formulation were the concentration of MOEO, time, encapsulating agent, and the interaction of concentration of MOEO * time. The goodness of fit using the Hosmer and Lemeshow test had a chi-square of 0.999 and a percentage of agreement of 98.8% for the model of the formulation BW; as for the formulation of BWO, these values were 0.848 and 98.9%, respectively.

**Figure 6** shows the growth probability fitted model of *P. expansum* when different concentrations of MOEO emulsions were atomized on the surface of two bagel formulations (BW and BWO) through the storage period at 25°C.

### Sensory Analysis

Results of the sensory analysis for different bagels formulations (BW and BWO) atomized with emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum and 10% w/w of MOEO, evaluated at different times, for freshly baked bread (day 0) and after 6 days are presented in **Figure 7A**. Freshly baked breads of BW had a general acceptability of 6 points (equivalent to “I like it very
FIGURE 4 | Peroxide index of emulsions with pectin or Arabic gum at different concentrations of Mexican oregano essential oil (MOEO), stored at 25 °C, during 21 days of observation. Systems that do not share the same letter at each time are significantly different (p < 0.05).

much”), while BWO breads had 5.5 points (“I like it very much”/“I like it moderately”). BW and BWO systems (freshly baked bread) atomized with emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum had no significant difference (p > 0.05) between samples. When these bagels were evaluated after 6 days of elaboration, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between both emulsion systems (pectin or Arabic gum). The scores granted by the judges were very heterogeneous depending on the aspect evaluated (Figure 7A). A significant difference was found (p < 0.05) with respect to the number of days after the breads were made (evaluation on days 0 and 6) of both BW and BWO bread systems atomized with the two types of MOEO emulsions (pectin or Arabic gum). The appearance was the best evaluated, “moderately liking,” which means that in bread aging, it is the least obvious aspect. The smell and flavor were perceived as “I do not like or dislike,” but the texture was what was evaluated as “moderately dislike.” However, the general acceptability was 4 points corresponding to “I do not like or dislike.” Therefore, bagels atomized with MOEO emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum were no longer well-accepted by the judges, since their sensory characteristics were affected, specially the texture.

Figure 7B shows the results of the sensorial analysis for different bagels formulations (BW and BWO) atomized with emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum and 15% w/w of MOEO, evaluated at different times, for freshly baked bread (day 0) and after 6 days. No significant difference (p > 0.05) was found between the application of emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum, neither between the bagel systems (BW and BWO) when these were evaluated freshly made. In addition, these presented almost the same level of acceptance as that of breads with emulsions of 10% w/w of MOEO. However, BWO systems atomized with emulsions stabilized with Arabic gum, after 6 days of being made, were perceived by the panel of judges similarly as those evaluated on day 0; no statistical difference (p > 0.05) between these bagel systems was found.
TABLE 5 | Percentage of moisture content (w.b.) of crust and crumb of different formulations of bagels atomized with emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum at different concentrations of Mexican oregano essential oil (MOEO) stored at 25°C.

| System                  | Encapsulating agent | MOEO concentration (%) | % Moisture content (w.b.) | Crust         | Crumb         |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Bagel with wheat flour  | Pectin              | Control*                | 20.07 ± 0.18a             | 24.34 ± 0.49p | 24.99 ± 0.47p |
|                         |                     | 0                       | 20.60 ± 0.13b             | 24.22 ± 0.26p |              |
|                         |                     | 5                       | 20.32 ± 0.13a             | 24.23 ± 0.35p |              |
|                         |                     | 7.5                     | 20.10 ± 0.16a             | 24.13 ± 0.19p |              |
|                         |                     | 10                      | 20.41 ± 0.30a             | 24.34 ± 0.32p |              |
|                         |                     | 15                      | 20.32 ± 0.01a             | 24.45 ± 0.79p |              |
| Bagel with wheat flour  | Pectin              | Control*                | 20.20 ± 0.30a             | 25.28 ± 0.12p |              |
| and oats                |                     | 0                       | 20.47 ± 0.08b             | 24.99 ± 0.47p |              |
|                         |                     | 5                       | 20.05 ± 0.08a             | 25.01 ± 0.47p |              |
|                         |                     | 7.5                     | 20.11 ± 0.15a             | 25.29 ± 0.09p |              |
|                         |                     | 10                      | 20.28 ± 0.23b             | 25.33 ± 0.23p |              |
|                         |                     | 15                      | 20.32 ± 0.13b             | 25.21 ± 0.45p |              |
| Bagel with Arabic gum   | Control*            | 20.46 ± 0.50a           | 24.66 ± 0.62b             |              |              |
| wheat flour             |                     | 0                       | 20.05 ± 0.08b             | 24.86 ± 1.08p |              |
|                         |                     | 5                       | 20.14 ± 0.40a             | 24.81 ± 0.23p |              |
|                         |                     | 7.5                     | 20.38 ± 0.09a             | 24.65 ± 0.05p |              |
|                         |                     | 10                      | 20.35 ± 0.35b             | 24.98 ± 0.21p |              |
|                         |                     | 15                      | 20.34 ± 0.50a             | 24.77 ± 0.14p |              |
| Bagel with Arabic gum   | Control*            | 20.72 ± 0.10a           | 25.54 ± 0.07b             |              |              |
| wheat flour             |                     | 0                       | 20.74 ± 0.19a             | 24.87 ± 0.65p |              |
| and oats                |                     | 5                       | 20.59 ± 0.54a             | 25.50 ± 0.59p |              |
|                         |                     | 7.5                     | 21.16 ± 0.25a             | 25.25 ± 0.21p |              |
|                         |                     | 10                      | 20.82 ± 0.36b             | 25.50 ± 0.14p |              |
|                         |                     | 15                      | 20.21 ± 0.15a             | 25.41 ± 0.72p |              |

*Control system was without emulsion added. Different letters in the column show significant differences (p < 0.05) between samples.

DISCUSSION

Characterization of Emulsions

The increase observed in the density as the oregano essential oil (MOEO) increased could be due to the density of the MOEO that is slightly higher than that of the vegetable oil (Briceño and Navas, 2005). Regarding the effect of the type of the encapsulating agent, the difference is attributed to the fact that the amount of Arabic gum in the formulation is greater than that of pectin, being 1% and 0.5% w/w, respectively. Therefore, it was expected that by increasing the density of the oil droplets, the value in density would increase as well. This effect can be observed in the report by Moreno (2011), who obtained values of 1.111 to 1.114 g/cm³ of oil-in-water (O/W) emulsions with 4.7% w/w of olive oil, stabilized with maltodextrin at 30% w/w.

The decrease observed in the viscosity as MOEO increased is attributed to the difference in viscosities between both oils, since corn oil has a viscosity of approximately 50.7 mPa.s, being higher than that of MOEO, which is 13.8 mPa.s (Ortuño, 2006). Therefore, systems with 0% w/w of MOEO showed the highest viscosity, and systems with 15% w/w of MOEO had the lowest values. Results observed with higher viscosity for emulsions stabilized with pectin than the ones stabilized with Arabic gum agree with the values reported by other authors that indicated that Arabic gum develops a low viscosity compared to other gums (Dziezak, 1991; Djordjevic et al., 2007; López et al., 2009; Charoen et al., 2011; Qian et al., 2011).

In general, viscosity of the emulsions was like the one of whole milk, which ranges between 1.75 and 2.27 mPa.s (Cosme et al., 1997). Janiszewska and Witrowska-Rajchert (2009) determined the viscosity of rosemary essential oil emulsions with 30% w/w of maltodextrin-Arabic gum (3:1) and obtained a value of 103 mPa.s. These differences in the values of viscosity could be due to the low concentration of Arabic gum used in this study compared to those used by previous authors.

Values of D₅₀₉ obtained for emulsions stabilized with Arabic gum were very similar to those reported by Djordjevic et al. (2007), who reported a D₅₀₃ of 1.1 μm with O/W emulsions using 1% of Arabic gum. Güney and McClements (2006) obtained a D₅₀₃ of 4 μm with O/W emulsions stabilized with β-lactoglobulin (0.5% w/w) and pectin (0.4% w/w), whose value was higher than those observed in the present study. This difference may be due to the interaction with the encapsulating agents used for each study.

It can be inferred that the results obtained in the present study are due to the difference in protein fraction contained in pectin and Arabic gum, being greater in the latter. It has been reported that the emulsifying capacity of both encapsulating agents is largely due to their protein content (in conditions with a tendency to neutrality, pH ≈ 6). In addition, it has been reported that, during homogenization, proteins tend to be absorbed at the interface of oil drops, faster than polysaccharides, and consequently, these could form smaller drop sizes (Leroux et al., 2003; McClements, 2005). However, the emulsifying properties of Arabic gum have also been related to other factors such as its ability to reduce the surface tension of the oil drop interface, as well as to stabilize through steric interactions (being more effective than electrostatic interactions) between the nitrogenous fraction that contains the Arabic gum and the oil droplets. Therefore, during the homogenization, a membrane is produced in the negatively charged hydrophilic interface, providing stability to the emulsions, Arabic gum being an effective stabilizer (Leroux et al., 2003; McClements, 2005; Phillips and Williams, 2009).

Stability of Emulsions

The levels of creaming index of 0% MOEO emulsions stabilized with Arabic gum agree with the observations reported by Charoen et al. (2011) with O/W emulsions using 10% w/w of Arabic gum at different values of pH. Güney and McClements (2006) reported a creaming index of 60% for O/W emulsions, stabilized with β-lactoglobulin (0.5% w/w) and pectin (0.4% w/w), getting similar results as the pectin-stabilized system for this present study. Therefore, more stability for the emulsions was achieved using Arabic gum as encapsulating agent than with pectin, since the stability is bigger as a low
FIGURE 5 | Hardness of two bagel formulations, bagel-wheat flour (BW) and bagel-wheat flour-oats (BWO), atomized with emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum at 10% w/w (A) and 15% w/w (B) of Mexican oregano essential oil (MOEO) during 6 days of observation, stored at 25°C. Systems that do not share the same letter at each time are significantly different (p < 0.05).
TABLE 6 | Growth of *Penicillium expansum*, represented as fractions of mold growth from eight inocula (1.5 × 10⁷ CFU/ml, each), when emulsions with different concentrations of Mexican oregano essential oil (MOEO) stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum were applied on the surface of different bagel formulations during storage at 25°C.

| System  | Encapsulating agent | MOEO concentration (%) | Time (days) |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
|         |                     |                         | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 |
| BW      | Control*            | 0 0 0 0 0.1 0.6 0.9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BWO     | Control*            | 0 0 0 0 0.4 0.9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BW      | Pectin              | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BWO     | Pectin              | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.1 0.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BW      | Arabic gum          | 0 0 0 0 0 0.1 0.5 0.8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BWO     | Arabic gum          | 0 0 0 0 0 0.1 0.6 0.8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BW      | Pectin              | 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.5 0.9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BWO     | Pectin              | 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0.9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BW      | Arabic gum          | 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.4 0.6 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BWO     | Arabic gum          | 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.5 0.8 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BW      | Pectin              | 7.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.4 0.6 0.9 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BWO     | Pectin              | 7.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.6 0.8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BW      | Arabic gum          | 7.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.1 0.8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BWO     | Arabic gum          | 7.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BW      | Pectin              | 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.4 0.8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BWO     | Pectin              | 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.4 0.5 0.8 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BW      | Arabic gum          | 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.3 0.9 1 1 1 1 |
| BWO     | Arabic gum          | 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.8 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| BW      | Pectin              | 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.3 0.9 1 1 1 1 |
| BWO     | Pectin              | 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.3 0.6 0.9 1 1 1 1 |
| BW      | Arabic gum          | 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.4 0.9 1 1 1 1 |
| BWO     | Arabic gum          | 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.9 1 1 1 1 1 |

*Control system was without emulsion added.

BW, bagel with wheat flour; BWO, bagel with wheat flour and oats.

TABLE 7 | Parameters of the binary logistic regression model obtained for two formulations of bagels.

| Formulation          | Factors                          | Evaluated intervals | Coefficient | Standard error | Significance (P) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Bagel with wheat flour | Constant                         | -                   | -11.575     | 1.715          | 0.000           |
|                      | MOEO concentration (%)           | 0–15                | -0.751      | 0.196          | 0.000           |
|                      | Time (days)                      | 0–15                | 1.850       | 0.222          | 0.000           |
|                      | Encapsulating agent              | Pectin or Arabic gum | -0.036     | 0.577          | 0.950           |
|                      | MOEO concentration*Time          | -                   | 0.057       | 0.021          | 0.006           |
|                      | MOEO concentration*Encapsulating agent | -                     | -0.272      | 0.074          | 0.000           |
| Bagel with wheat flour and oats | Constant                         | -                   | -10.346     | 1.658          | 0.000           |
|                      | MOEO concentration (%)           | 0–15                | -1.082      | 0.206          | 0.000           |
|                      | Time (days)                      | 0–15                | 2.128       | 0.265          | 0.000           |
|                      | Encapsulating agent              | Pectin or Arabic gum | -1.481     | 0.380          | 0.000           |
|                      | MOEO concentration*Time          | -                   | 0.044       | 0.022          | 0.041           |

MOEO, Mexican oregano essential oil.

percentage of creaminess is obtained. The low creaming index guarantees the encapsulation efficiency of the essential oil and its appropriate delivery to express its antimicrobial properties (Dávila-Rodríguez, 2020).

Paraskevopoulou et al. (2007) made a study of olive oil–lemon juice emulsions stabilized with Arabic gum, monitoring the PI for 175 days, obtaining values of PI of <20 meq O₂/kg after 50 days of storage. On the other hand, Asensio et al. (2011)
reported that MOEO delays the oxidation process in olive oil, thus prolonging its shelf life. In their study, the PI obtained from oil samples exposed to darkness at 23°C with the addition of 0.05% w/w MOEO was <15 meq O₂/kg after 21 days of storage. These reported values were similar to the ones observed in the present study, since the PI value for the systems stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum was <10 meq O₂/kg after 20 days of storage. The effect of MOEO in retarding the oxidation process of oils is attributed to the antioxidant capacity, primarily to carvacrol present in MOEO (Chaquilla et al., 2007).

Bagels Characterization

According to Robertson (2003), water activity in breads varies from 0.95 to 0.99. These values agree with the ones obtained for the crust in the two bagel formulations, BW and BWO, that varied from 0.94 to 0.95. Baik and Chinachoti (2000) reported values of water activity for white bread of 0.96 for crumb only, and 0.94 for bread with crust, stored after 1 day at 25°C. The same behavior was observed in the present study, in which water activity was higher in the crumb than in the crust. This is expected since the water activity in the crust is lower due to the temperature in the baking process. After several days of storage, water redistribution occurs in the bread, in which moisture of the crumb decreases as the moisture of the crust increases because of the moisture gradient between crust and crumb that tends to equilibrate during storage (Piazza and Masi, 1995; Baik and Chinachoti, 2000).

The value of water activity obtained allows *P. expansum* to grow on the crust of the studied bagels, since the minimum water activity for its growth is 0.83 and the optimal temperature for its proliferation is at 21°C (Barbosa-Cánovas et al., 2007).

Similar results of no significant difference in the moisture content, as the ones obtained in the present study, were reported by Sanz (2011), who worked on breads made from the mixture of amaranth flour and wheat flour (1:1 to 3:1 mass ratio). Baik and Chinachoti (2000) reported values of moisture content for white bread of 39% (d.b.) for crumb only, and 37.5% (d.b.) for bread with crust, stored after 1 day at 25°C. These results are higher than the ones obtained in the present study, which could be due to the different formulations and processes to make the breads. However, Baik and Chinachoti (2000) observed the same behavior in which moisture content was higher in the crumb than in the crust. Like water activity, this behavior is the result of the temperature during the baking process.

A behavior similar to the one observed in the present study for the hardness of breads was reported by Sanz (2011), who...
FIGURE 7 | Sensory analysis results of two bagel formulations, bagel-wheat flour (BW) and bagel-wheat flour-oats (BWO), sprayed with emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum at 10% w/w (A) and 15% w/w (B) of Mexican oregano essential oil (MOEO) evaluated on days 0 and 6. Systems that do not share the same letter for the identical parameter are significantly different (p < 0.05).
worked with breads made from a mixture of wheat flour and amaranth flour (3:1 mass ratio). Sanz (2011) did not observe a significant difference \( (p > 0.05) \) in the determination of hardness with respect to the control (bread made from wheat flour) after being stored for several days. However, when the mass ratio was 1:1, the hardness of the bread with amaranth flour was doubled, causing a significant difference \( (p < 0.05) \) between the samples of freshly baked breads. It has been reported that the addition of dietary fiber in bakery products can have an adverse effect on sensory acceptance, altering some of its characteristics, such as the increase in the hardness of the crumb, among others (Molina and Collar, 2008).

**Inhibition of Penicillium expansum**

The results observed in the present study could be because emulsions stabilized with pectin showed greater instability, since the particle size and the percentage of creaminess were higher than the ones for the emulsions stabilized with Arabic gum. As a result, MOEO in emulsions with pectin was diffused more quickly inward, decreasing the protection on the surface of the bagels. Although it was observed that even at high concentrations of MOEO in emulsions with Arabic gum or with pectin, over time, the growth of *P. expansum* increased rapidly and became equal to that of emulsions with a low concentration of MOEO; this phenomenon occurred from day 13.

For the different formulations of bagels (BW and BWO) atomized with emulsions stabilized with Arabic gum and different MOEO concentrations (7.5%, 10%, or 15% w/w), growth of *P. expansum* occurred earlier in the BWO systems. Therefore, it can be inferred that oats favored the growth of *P. expansum*. These results coincide with the ones reported by Kamaljit et al. (2011) who evaluated the shelf life of breads made with wheat flour added with different percentages (0%, 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, or 5%) of fiber (oats), which was packed in polyethylene bags and stored at a temperature of 19°C to 23°C. These authors found that breads with the highest levels of oat-fiber showed microbial growth on the surface 24 h before the control system.

Portillo-Ruiz et al. (2012) evaluated *in vitro* the antimicrobial activity of MOEO (Lippia berlandieri Schauer) on the growth of *Rhizopus* spp., *Aspergillus* spp., and *Penicillium* spp. in wheat flour model systems with MOEO concentrations in a range of 50 to 200 ppm. These authors obtained the inhibition at 150 ppm of MOEO for *Rhizopus* spp. and *Aspergillus* spp., while for *Penicillium* spp., 200 ppm were necessary. These MOEO concentrations were lower compared to those in the present study, since 10% and 15% w/w of MOEO with both encapsulating agents (pectin and Arabic gum) correspond to 375 and 562.5 ppm, respectively. In another study carried out by Viuda-Martos et al. (2007), these authors obtained results very similar to those of Portillo-Ruiz et al. (2012), since 207.33 ppm of MOEO were sufficient to achieve the inhibition of *Aspergillus niger* in model systems; additionally, they found that MOEO was more effective as an antimicrobial agent than essential oils of thyme and clove. The differences between the results reported by other authors and the present study are to be expected, since in *in vitro* studies, the antimicrobial activity of essential oils is achieved at lower levels than in *in situ* ones due to the complexity of *in situ* systems.

For bakery products, the approved preservatives commonly used are benzoates and sorbates. According to the Codex Alimentarius (2019), the maximum level added in bakery products permitted for these preservatives are 1,000 ppm. Essential oils are considered additives generally recognized as safe (GRAS), and there is no permissible level established, like the ones for benzoates and sorbates; therefore, its use is under good manufacturing practices (CFR, 2019).

**Mathematical Modeling of the Probability of Microbial Growth**

In the logit function used in the binary logistic regression, positive coefficients indicate that the event becomes more likely. The factor that had the highest positive coefficient was time for both models, followed by the interaction between concentration of MOEO * time. As seen in the prediction of the growth probability of *P. expansum*, as time increases, the odds for *P. expansum* growth increases as well; meanwhile, in the interaction between concentration of MOEO * time, as concentration of MOEO and time increase, the odds for *P. expansum* to growth is delayed. This prediction corresponds to the results observed in the experimental analysis. Since the concentrations of MOEO only inhibit the growth of *P. expansum* on the surface of the two formulations of bagels (BW and BWO), *P. expansum* will tend to grow after a certain amount of time.

Since the goodness of fit using the Hosmer and Lemeshow test had a chi-square >0.99 and a percentage of agreement of >8% for the binary logistic regression models obtained for the microbial growth of *P. expansum* on the surface of two formulations of bagels (BW and BWO) atomized with emulsions stabilized with pectin or Arabic gum, these models could be used to predict the mold growth on this type of breads.

**Sensory Analysis**

The aging of the breads due to physicochemical deterioration is manifested mainly in alterations in the texture (loss of moisture of the crumb and softening of the crust) and changes in flavor and aroma (Pomeranz, 1987; Schiraldi and Fessas, 2001; Badui, 2006). These were perceived by the judges for almost all systems studied after 6 days of storage, except for the BWO systems atomized with emulsions stabilized with Arabic gum and 15% w/w of MOEO. This behavior could be mainly attributed to two aspects. The first is related to the scores given by the judges to appearance, which was the least affected. The second aspect is related to the application of the emulsion, since it was observed empirically. Once the emulsion was absorbed on the surface of the bagels, their brightness was increased and continued until the maximum observation time (8 days). Although the brightness was not the same as that of a freshly atomized bread, it led the judges to believe that it was a freshly baked bread.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Encapsulation by emulsification using Arabic gum as an encapsulating agent allows the protection of oregano essential oil...
MOEO against instability mechanisms such as phase separation and oxidation. Encapsulating agents and the concentration of MOEO affected the physicochemical properties of the emulsions, Arabic gum systems being more stable than pectin ones. In addition, systems with more concentration of MOEO increased the physicochemical properties studied. The atomization of MOEO emulsions on the surface of two bagel formulations, BW and BWO, did not affect the physicochemical properties of the breads. MOEO (Lippia berlandieri Schauer) encapsulated by emulsification delayed the growth of P. expansum for up to 5 or 6 days at the highest concentrations evaluated (10% and 15% w/w; 375 and 562.5 ppm, respectively) using Arabic gum as encapsulating agent, applied on the surface of a BW bagel. Binary logistic regression models proposed to predict the probability growth of P. expansum on the surface of two formulations of bagels (BW and BWO) demonstrated a good fitness to the experimental data. For sensory analysis, BW and BWO bagels atomized with MOEO emulsions at the highest concentrations studied were well-accepted by the panel of judges when these were evaluated on day 0 (freshly baked bread), not so when evaluated 6 days after their elaboration. MOEO encapsulated by emulsification could be an alternative antimicrobial agent; however, it is necessary to carry out further in situ studies of the different encapsulation techniques in food preservation.
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