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Abstract

LUNASKA (Lunar UHE Neutrino Astrophysics with the Square Kilometre Array) is a theoretical and experimental project developing the lunar Cherenkov technique for the next generation of giant radio-telescope arrays. Here we report on a series of observations with ATCA (the Australia Telescope Compact Array). Our current observations use three of the six 22m ATCA antennas with a 600 MHz bandwidth at 1.2-1.8 GHz, analogue dedispersion filters to correct for the typical night-time ionospheric dispersion, and state-of-the-art 2 GHz FPGA-based digital pulse detection hardware. We have observed so as to maximise the UHE neutrino sensitivity in the region surrounding the galactic centre and to Centaurus A, to which current limits on the highest-energy neutrinos are relatively weak.

Key words: UHE neutrino detection, coherent radio emission, lunar Cherenkov technique, UHE neutrino flux limits, detectors-telescopes

PACS:

1. Introduction

The Lunar Cherenkov (LC) technique, in which radio-telescopes search for pulses of microwave-radio radiation produced via the Askaryan effect \cite{1} from UHE particle interactions in the Lunar regolith, is a promising method for detecting the highest-energy cosmic rays (CR) and neutrinos. Proposed by Dagkesamanskii and Zheleznykh \cite{2} and first attempted by Hankins, Ekers \& O’Sullivan \cite{3} using the Parkes radio telescope, subsequent experiments at Goldstone (GLUE) \cite{4}, Kalyazin \cite{5}, and Westerbork \cite{6} have placed limits on an isotropic flux of UHE neutrinos.

The Square Kilometre Array (SKA; \cite{7}), a giant radio array of total collecting area 1 km$^2$ to be completed by $\sim$2020, will offer unprecedented sensitivity, and have the potential to observe both a cosmogenic neutrino flux from GZK interactions of UHE CR and the UHE CR themselves \cite{8}. One aim of the LUNASKA project (Lunar UHE Neutrino Astrophysics with the SKA) is to develop experimental methods scalable to giant, broad-bandwidth radio arrays such as the SKA. For this purpose, we have been using the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA), a radio interferometer of six 22-m dishes along a 6 km E-W baseline located in New South Wales, Australia. Here we report on our techniques, which have allowed us to achieve a lower detection threshold than other LC experiments, and have the greatest exposure to $10^{21} - 3 \times 10^{22}$ eV neutrinos
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coming from the vicinity of Centaurus A and the Galactic centre over all detection experiments.

2. Experimental Set-Up

We have implemented the hardware described below on three of the six ATCA antennas, CA01, CA03, and CA05, with a maximum baseline of 750 m. In each, we installed specialised pulse de-dispersion and detection hardware, with the full signal path at each antenna shown in Fig. 1. We have been utilising an FPGA-based back-end, the “CABB digitiser board”, installed as part of the ongoing Compact Array BroadBand upgrade, which allows us to process the full 600 MHz (1.2–1.8 GHz) bandwidth provided by the standard ATCA L-band signal path. This is split into dual linear polarisations, passed through an analogue de-dispersion filter to correct for the effects of the Earth’s ionosphere, and then 8-bit-sampled at 2.048-GHz. A simple threshold trigger algorithm is then applied which sends back a 256 sample (125 ns) buffer of both polarisations to the control room — along with antenna-specific clock times accurate to 0.5 ns — should the voltage on either polarisation exceed an adjustable threshold.

To coherently dedisperse our full 600 MHz bandwidth and recover our full sensitivity, we have made use of innovative new analogue dispersion filters. The filters consist of 1 m of tapered microwave waveguide wrapped for easy of storage into a spiral pattern, with the continuous sum of reflections along their length producing a frequency-dependent delay varying contrary to (and thus correcting for) the delay induced by the Earth’s ionosphere. While the filters can only correct for a fixed delay, the ionosphere over the antenna during night-time hours (at least near solar cycle minimum) is relatively stable, producing a typical 4 ns of dispersion across our bandwidth at zenith. Therefore we set the filters to correct for 5 ns of dispersion, i.e. the expected value when the Moon is at 53° elevation.

For the observations reported here, the full continuous bandwidth could not be returned to a central location for coincident triggering, though this will not be the case for future observations. Hence our use of only three antennas — until we combine information from all antennas in real-time, we are limited by the sensitivity of each, which we can only partially recover with by increasing our trigger rate. We currently set the thresholds so that each polarisation channel would be triggering at ~ 30 Hz of a maximum 3000 Hz, for an effective dead-time on a three-fold trigger of approximately 6%.

3. Observations

A summary of our observation runs is given in Tbl. I covering a trial period in May 2007, and our main observing runs in February and May 2008. The 2007 and February 2008 runs were tailored to ‘target’ a broad (≥ 20°) region of the sky near the galactic centre, harbouring the closest supermassive black hole and potential accelerator of UHE CR. Therefore for these runs we pointed the antenna towards the lunar centre, since this mode maximises coverage of the lunar limb (from which we expect to see the majority of pulses) and hence we achieve the greatest total effective aperture. Our May 2008 observing period targeted Centaurus A only, a nearby active galaxy which could potentially account for two of the UHE CR events observed by the Pierre Auger observatory [9]. Regardless of their source, this suggests the likelihood of an accompanying excess of UHE neutrinos, and we do not exclude the possibility of seeing the UHE CR themselves. We therefore pointed the antenna at that part of the lunar limb closest to Cen A in order to maximise sensitivity to UHE particles from this region [10].

For each period, we observed nominally between the hours of 10 pm and 6 am local time. Since we were utilising new equipment in the midst of an up-

Fig. 1. Diagram of the signal path at each antenna. Our customised hardware is described in text.
grade, we did not have ns timing available for our 2007 observations. In 2008 we had to align the clocks manually by observing the bright quasar 3C273 and correlating the emission between antennas. Since $T_{\text{sys}}$ measurements were available over only part of our bandwidth, we used the Moon as our absolute sensitivity calibrator by setting trigger levels to zero to collect an unbiased sample of data when pointing both on and off the Moon.

### 4. Analysis

Our off-line processing involves searching through the candidate triggered events for three-fold coincidences with timing, duration, dispersion, and polarisation consistent with coherent Cherenkov pulses arriving from the direction of the Moon. We have found the timing constraint to be both the strongest, most reliable, and most easily automated, with the remaining events typically few enough to sort manually.

For our 2007 observations, the analysis is complete. Since we did not have accurate clocks at each antenna, we had to utilise PC clocks with $\pm 1$ ms accuracy only, and thus did not have enough discriminatory power to accept candidate events as having lunar origin. However, our rejection power was still great, and out of 150,000 candidates for each antenna we were left with only 4 with consistent polarisation, arrival times simultaneous to within 1 ms, and having pulse-like structure. Since we expected $\sim 6$ random events from purely thermal noise to fulfill these criteria we do not have reason to suspect any of these candidates to be of real lunar origin. Fig. 2 plots our calculations of the effective aperture to UHE $\nu$ calculated for this run, assuming a correct de-dispersion. The broad bandwidth of our observations compensates for our lower collecting area when compared with previous experiments at Parkes and Goldstone. Since we see the entire Lunar limb, our effective aperture is greater than previous lunar Cherenkov experiments (as discussed in detail by [8]), except in the $E_\nu > 3 \times 10^{23}$ eV range where NuMoon dominates [6].

| Day | May '07 | Feb '08 | May '08 |
|-----|---------|--------|---------|
| 26th| 210     | 275    | 320     |
| 27th| 330     | 305    | 370     |
| 28th| 255     | 255    | 435     |

Table 1: Observation dates (nights thereof) and total time $t_{\text{obs}}$ spent observing the Moon in detection mode of our observing runs.

For the 2008 observations, we have of order $6 \times 10^6$ candidate events for each antenna, and the analysis is not yet complete (all calculations shown here are based on the sensitivity of our inferior 2007 observations). Except during periods of intense RFI, we can readily cross-correlate the buffers to achieve timing to 1 ns accuracy, an example of which is shown in Fig. 3. Assuming the candidates are all random thermal events, our timing gives a false detection probability over our entire experiment of less than $10^{-5}$. A preliminary analysis indicates that a large fraction are due to terrestrial RFI. Although to a 1-D baseline some ground-based RFI received through an antenna side-lobe may coincidentally appear to come from the Moon, these can be eliminated on the dispersion, polarisation and duration criteria.

While our expected limit on an isotropic flux of UHE $\nu$ will not be competitive with that from ANITA, our goal was to target specific regions of space...
Fig. 4. Exposure map (20, 40, . . ., 180 km$^2$-day contours) in celestial coordinates, centred at ($\alpha$, $\delta$) = (180°, 0°), of our ATCA lunar observations to $10^{22}$ eV neutrinos, assuming the sensitivity of our 2007 observations. See [11].

| Energy (eV) | Parkes & GLUE | LUNASKA |
|------------|--------------|---------|
| $10^{21}$  | $10^{22}$    | $10^{21}$ $10^{22}$ $10^{23}$ |
| Sgr A*     | 0.5          | 14      |
|            | 175          | 3.7     |
|            | 75           | 75      |
|            | 565          | 565     |
| Cen A      | 0.015        | 2.1     |
|            | 43           | 9.3     |
|            | 145          | 145     |
|            | 970          | 970     |

Table 2
Our accumulated exposure (km$^2$-days) to Cen A and Sgr A from the Parkes experiment and GLUE (our calculations), and for our LUNASKA observations with ATCA, based on the inferior 2007 sensitivity. See [11].

the sky. Using preliminary calculations based off our 2007 sensitivity, Fig. 4 shows an exposure map for our observations (see [10]), while Tbl. 2 shows the improvement in exposure to Cen A and Sgr A* over that of Parkes and GLUE.

5. Conclusions
Our LUNASKA lunar observations are continuously improving, both as new hardware becomes available, and as we become more experienced at observing in a ns radio environment. We have already achieved the greatest sensitivity for a Lunar Cherenkov experiment, and accumulated the greatest exposure to UHE neutrinos in the $10^{21} - 10^{23}$ eV range from the vicinity of Sgr A* and Cen A. We have also demonstrated that an array of antennas observing over a broad bandwidth is extremely efficient for discriminating between terrestrial RFI and true Lunar pulses. The next stage is to implement real-time coincidence logic between antennas and improve the RFI filtering, so we expect our 2009 observations — for which time has been allocated — to be the most sensitive yet.

6. Acknowledgments
This research was supported by the Australian Research Council’s Discovery Project funding scheme (project numbers DP0559991 and DP0881006). The Australia Telescope Compact Array is part of the Australia Telescope which is funded by the Commonwealth of Australia for operation as a National Facility managed by CSIRO. J.A-M thanks Xunta de Galicia (PGIDIT 06 PXIB 206184 PR) and Consellería de Educación (Grupos de Referencia Competitivos – Consolider Xunta de Galicia 2006/51).

References
[1] G.A. Askar’yan, Soviet Physics JETP 14, 441 (1962); Soviet Physics JETP 48, 988 (1965).
[2] R.D. Dagkesamanskii, I. M. Zheleznykh, Sov. Phys. JETP Let. 50 (1989) 233.
[3] T. Hankins et al. Mon. Not. Royal Astron. Soc. 283, 1027 (1996); C.W. James et al. Mon. Not. Royal Astron. Soc. 379, 1037 (2007).
[4] P.W. Gorham et al., PRL 93, 041101 (2004).
[5] A.R. Beresnyak, R.D. Dagkesamanskii, I.M. Zheleznykh, A.V. Kovalenko, V.V. Oreshko, Astronomy Reports 49, 127 (2005).
[6] O. Scholten, these proceedings.
[7] www.skatelescope.org
[8] R.D. Ekers et al., these proceedings.
[9] The Pierre Auger Collaboration (Abraham et al.), Science 318, 938 (2007).
[10] C.W. James, R.J. Protheroe, these proceedings.
[11] C.W. James, R.J. Protheroe, arXiv:0802.3562 (accepted by Astropart. Phys. Oct. 2008); arXiv:0803.3653 (2008; submitted to Astropart. Phys.)