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We report the most restrictive direct limits on masses of fourth-generation down-type quarks $b'$, and quarklike composite fermions ($B$ or $T_{5/3}$), decaying promptly to $tW^\pm$. We search for a significant excess of events with two same-charge leptons ($e$, $\mu$), several hadronic jets, and missing transverse energy. An analysis of data from $p\bar{p}$ collisions with an integrated luminosity of 2.7 fb$^{-1}$ collected with the CDF II detector at Fermilab yields no evidence for such a signal, setting mass limits $m_{b'} > 338$ GeV/$c^2$ and $m_{T_{5/3}} > 365$ GeV/$c^2$ at 95% confidence level.
The standard model (SM) of particle physics accommodates three generations of fundamental quarks and leptons, but does not prohibit a fourth. Recent measurements of charge-parity (CP) nonconservation in B-meson decays [1] are more than 2 standard deviations from SM expectations, and are sensitive to contributions [2] from a fourth-generation up-type quark, \( t' \). This pattern of measurements [3–6], if genuine, warrants a search for another generation of quarks or a multiplet of quarklike objects. A four-generation model (cf. [7]) could provide sources of particle-antiparticle asymmetries large enough to account for the baryon asymmetry of the Universe [8] and accommodate a heavier Higgs boson (the source of mass generation) than a three-generation model [9].

This Letter reports a search for heavy particles \( Q \) decaying to a \( t \) quark and a \( W \) boson at a mass scale relevant to both the \( B \)-meson anomalies and the Higgs mechanism. We search for pair-production of \( Q\bar{Q} \) via strong interactions, where \( Q \) is either a fourth-generation down-type quark \( b' \) or a quarklike (nonhadronic) composite fermion \( B \) or \( T_{5/3} \) [10]. The \( B \) and \( T_{5/3} \) (with 5/3 electron charge) that we consider might arise from symmetries, consistent with precise electroweak measurements [11,12]. If \( T_{5/3} \) exists, the existence of \( B \) is implied, doubling the expected event rate. Many models of new phenomena providing a Higgs mechanism predict particles with large couplings to \( b' \), and for \( B \), \( m_B > m_W \) and \( m_B > 255 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \). However, the requirement of a \( b \)-tag in the final selection introduces uncertainty regarding the misidentification model, leading to a final 100% systematic uncertainty, as described in [21].

We use a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 2.7 \( \text{fb}^{-1} \) collected with the CDF II detector [19] at the Tevatron \( p\bar{p} \) collider at Fermilab. The data acquisition system is triggered by \( e \) or \( \mu \) candidates with \( p_T > 18 \text{ GeV}/c \) [20]. We require the \( \ell^\pm \ell'^\pm b_j \ell_T \) signature, following [21]: two same-charge reconstructed leptons (\( e \) or \( \mu \)) with pseudorapidity magnitude \( |\eta| < 1.1 \) and \( p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}/c \), where at least one lepton is isolated [22]; at least two jets with \( E_T > 15 \text{ GeV} \) and \( |\eta| < 2.4 \); at least one of the jets with evidence of a long-lived particle (\( b \) tag) using the tight SECVTX algorithm [23]; and missing transverse energy \( E_T > 20 \text{ GeV} \) [24].

The dominant background comes from events in which one of the leptons is a misidentified light-flavor jet or a lepton from the decay of a bottom or charmed hadron in a heavy flavor jet, largely from \( W \) production in association with light or heavy flavor jets or from \( t\bar{t} \) production with semileptonic decays. This background is described using a lepton misidentification model from inclusive jet data [25] applied to \( W + \) jet events. In same-charge dilepton control regions without a \( b \)-tag requirement, this model describes well the kinematics of observed events with large \( E_T \). Nevertheless, the requirement of a \( b \)-tag in the final selection introduces uncertainty regarding the misidentification model, leading to a final 100% systematic uncertainty, as described in [21].

Other backgrounds include processes that produce electron–positron pairs. These may be reconstructed with the same charge due to asymmetric \( \gamma \) conversions in the process \( e_{\text{hard}} \rightarrow e_{\text{hard}}^\gamma \rightarrow e_{\text{hard}}e_{\text{soft}}^\gamma \), where hard and soft refer to large and small transverse momentum, respectively. The major contributions from this mechanism are

![FIG. 1](color online). (a) Missing transverse energy in events with same-charge leptons in 2.7 \( \text{fb}^{-1} \). The right outermost bin includes overflow events with \( E_T > 160 \text{ GeV} \). (b) Number of reconstructed jets for the expected backgrounds. The observed data and the \( b' \) (or \( B \)) signal are shown at the best-fit rate for \( m_q = 330 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \). The fitted size and shape for the \( T_{5/3} + B \) signal is nearly identical. In both, light gray represents events with fake leptons, medium gray \( Z \) or diboson events, and dark gray leptons from \( t\bar{t} \) events. In (b), the hatched area represents the fitted signal contribution.
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from events with a Z or virtual γ in association with jets (Z/γ* + jets), or from dileptonic t¯t decays.

Estimates of the backgrounds from Z/γ* + jets processes are made with the ALPGEN [26] v2.10 simulation code interfaced with PYTHIA 6.325 [27] in the MLM scheme [26] for the hadronization and fragmentation and normalized to data in opposite-charge events in the Z mass region. The detector response for both Z + jets and t¯t processes is evaluated using the CDF simulation program CDFSIM [28], where, to avoid double counting, the same-charge leptons are required to originate from the W or Z decays rather than from misidentified jets.

To validate the modeling of the rate of hard bremsstrahlung from electrons, we compare our prediction for the contribution of Z → e+e− to the observed sample of same-charge electrons or positrons without a b tag or missing transverse energy requirement. The shape of the dilepton invariant mass spectrum and yield in the Z mass region (Mll = [MZ − 20, MZ + 20]) agrees well with the prediction. In addition, μμ and eμ events have negligible contributions from hard bremsstrahlung, as predicted. Figure 1(a) shows that the missing transverse energy in inclusive same-charge dilepton events is well described.

The t¯t → ℓ+νℓ−νb ¯b backgrounds are estimated using events generated in PYTHIA 6.216 at mt = 172.5 GeV/c², assuming a t¯t production cross section of 7.2 pb. Modeling of the t¯t contribution is validated by comparing predicted and observed rates of events with opposite-charge leptons, large E_T, and at least one b-tagged jet, where t¯t is expected to dominate.

Backgrounds to the ℓ±ℓ±bjE_T signature with real same-charge leptons are rare in the SM; they are largely from WZ and ZZ production and are highly suppressed by the requirement of a b tag. Backgrounds from diboson production WW, ZZ, Wγ, and Zγ in association with b jets are modeled with PYTHIA 6.216 and BAUR [29] generators.

Backgrounds from charge mismeasurement are insignificant, as the charge of a particle with p_T = 100 GeV/c is typically determined with more than 5σ significance [30]. Charge mismeasurement is very rare in this range, confirmed by the absence of any strong features in dilepton invariant mass in the Z mass region in same-charge muon events. The largest potential source comes from t¯t events, in which the lepton momenta are typically smaller than 100 GeV/c. The final background estimates are given in Table I.

The b' and T$_{5/3}$ + B signals are modeled with the MADGRAPH simulation program following the minimal composite Higgs model described in [10] and paired with PYTHIA for hadronization and fragmentation. The acceptance is approximately 2.2%, nearly independent of heavy quark masses in the range 300–400 GeV/c². The expected numbers of events for b' (or B), and T$_{5/3}$ + B are given in Table II.

We observe two events in the signal region, in agreement with the expected backgrounds (see Table I). To calculate the most likely signal cross section, we perform a binned maximum-likelihood fit to the number of reconstructed jets. Figure 1(b) shows the number of reconstructed jets in the observed events, as well as the signal distribution

---

**Table I.** Expected background contributions to the ee, eμ, and μμ channels in 2.7 fb⁻¹ from (a) Z and diboson, (b) t¯t → ℓ+νℓ−νb, and (c) misidentified lepton.

| Source | ee  | eμ  | μμ  | Total ℓℓ  |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| (a)    | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 0   | 0.02 ± 0.02 | 0.03 ± 0.03 |
| (b)    | 0.06 ± 0.04 | 0   | 0.09 ± 0.03 | 0.15 ± 0.05 |
| (c)    | 0.6 ± 0.6   | 0.3 ± 0.3 | 0.5 ± 0.5 | 1.4 ± 1.4 |
| Total  | 0.7 ± 0.6   | 0.3 ± 0.3 | 0.6 ± 0.5 | 1.6 ± 1.4 |
| Data   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 2         |

---

**Table II.** Theoretical cross sections (σ_{NLO} in fb [31,32]), expected yield (N), median expected 95% C.L limit (σ_{exp,d} in fb), and observed 95% C.L limit (σ_{obs} in fb) for b' (or B) and (T$_{5/3}$ + B) signals at varying masses.

|       | Mass [GeV/c²] | 300 | 310 | 320 | 330 | 340 | 350 | 375 | 400 |
|-------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| b' or B |               | 227 | 176 | 137 | 106 | 83  | 64  | 34  | 18  |
| σ_{NLO}|               | 13.4| 9.6 | 7.5 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| N     |               | 67  | 63  | 63  | 62  | 63  | 63  | 63  | 57  |
| σ_{exp,d}|           | 67  | 96  | 83  | 94  | 85  | 83  | 78  | 67  |
| σ_{obs}|               | 454 | 352 | 274 | 212 | 166 | 128 | 68  | 36  |
| T$_{5/3}$ + B |           | 27.0| 19.5| 15.3| 11.9| 9.4 | 7.1 | 3.6 | 2.1 |
| N     |               | 86  | 89  | 69  | 69  | 98  | 91  | 83  | 79  |
| σ_{exp,d}|           | 86  | 89  | 69  | 69  | 98  | 91  | 83  | 79  |
| σ_{obs}|               | 86  | 89  | 69  | 69  | 98  | 91  | 83  | 79  |
with the best-fit value of the signal cross section. Kinematics of the two signal events is shown in Fig. 2 and the $p_T$ values are given in Table III.

We construct confidence intervals [33] in the theoretical cross section by generating ensembles of simulated experiments that describe expected fluctuations of statistical and systematic uncertainties, including uncertainties in the jet-energy scale [34], gluon radiation [35], signal and background normalization, and parton distribution functions [36,37]. The median expected and observed limits and theoretical next-to-leading-order (NLO) cross sections [31,32] are given in Table II and shown in Fig. 3.

We convert limits on the pair-production cross sections to limits on the fermion masses and obtain $m_{\ell^+\mu^-}, m_B > 338$ GeV/$c^2$, and $m_{T_{5/3}} > 365$ GeV/$c^2$ at 95% confidence level. The two events observed are consistent with the predicted number of background events, although we note that the $e\mu$ event has a number of jets characteristic of the signal, reducing the observed lower limits from what is expected. This is the most restrictive direct lower limit on the mass of a down-type fourth-generation quark, significantly reducing the allowed SM mass range, and the first lower limits on the masses of quarklike fermions $T_{5/3}$ and $B$, which may figure prominently in future searches.
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FIG. 3. Theoretical cross sections for $b'$ (or $B$) and $T_{5/3} + B$ with expected and observed 95% C.L. limits overlaid.

TABLE III. Transverse momentum (in GeV/$c$) of leptons and transverse energy (in GeV) of jets in the two events with the \( \ell^+\ell^- \) signature.

| Event  | $\ell_1$ | $\ell_2$ | jet$_1$ | b-jet | $E_T$ | other jets |
|--------|----------|----------|---------|-------|-------|------------|
| $\mu^+\mu^-$ | 80       | 31       | 78      | 25    | 87    | 40         |
| $e^+\mu^-$  | 73       | 21       | 60      | 42    | 27    | 39, 33, 24 |

on the mass of a down-type fourth-generation quark, significantly reducing the allowed SM mass range, and the first lower limits on the masses of quarklike fermions $T_{5/3}$ and $B$, which may figure prominently in future searches.

FIG. 2 (color online). Event displays for the observed three-jet, $\mu\mu\mu$ event (a), (b) and the five-jet, $e\mu$ event (c), (d). Shown in (a) and (c) are views of the events along the beam axis; jets shown as cones, electrons as solid lines, muons as dotted lines, and missing transverse energy as an arrow; lengths are proportional to $p_T$ (see Table III). Shown in (b) and (d) are views of the events in $\eta - \phi$; jets shown as open circles, electrons as filled circles, and muons as dashed circles; radii are proportional to $p_T$.
Specifically, we refer to the mixing-induced $CP$ asymmetry in the decays $B_s \to J/\psi \phi$ [3], the difference between direct $CP$ asymmetries in the decays $B^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$ and $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0$ [4,6], and the values of mixing-induced $CP$ asymmetry obtained from $B^0 \to J/\psi K_S^0$ or $B^0 \to (\phi, \eta') K^0_S K^0_S$ [5,6].
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