Preparation, cytotoxicity, and in vivo antitumor efficacy of $^{111}$In-labeled modular nanotransporters
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Purpose: Modular nanotransporters (MNTs) are a polyfunctional platform designed to achieve receptor-specific delivery of short-range therapeutics into the cell nucleus by receptor-mediated endocytosis, endosome escape, and targeted nuclear transport. This study evaluated the potential utility of the MNT platform in tandem with Auger electron emitting $^{111}$In for cancer therapy.

Methods: Three MNTs developed to target either melanocortin receptor-1 (MC1R), folate receptor (FR), or epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) that are overexpressed on cancer cells were modified with p-SCN-Bn-NOTA and then labeled with $^{111}$In in high specific activity. Cytotoxicity of the $^{111}$In-labeled MNTs was evaluated on cancer cell lines bearing the appropriate receptor target (FR: HeLa, SK-OV-3; EGFR: A431, U87MG.wtEGFR; and MC1R: B16-F1). In vivo micro-single-photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography imaging and antitumor efficacy studies were performed with intratumoral injection of MC1R-targeted $^{111}$In-labeled MNT in B16-F1 melanoma tumor-bearing mice.

Results: The three NOTA-MNT conjugates were labeled with a specific activity of 2.7 GBq/mg with nearly 100% yield, allowing use without subsequent purification. The cytotoxicity of $^{111}$In delivered by these MNTs was greatly enhanced on receptor-expressing cancer cells compared with $^{111}$In nontargeted control. In mice with B16-F1 tumors, prolonged retention of $^{111}$In by serial imaging and significant tumor growth delay (82% growth inhibition) were found.

Conclusion: The specific in vitro cytotoxicity, prolonged tumor retention, and therapeutic efficacy of MC1R-targeted $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT suggest that this Auger electron emitting conjugate warrants further evaluation as a locally delivered radiotherapeutic, such as for ocular melanoma brachytherapy. Moreover, the high cytotoxicity observed with FR- and EGFR-targeted $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT suggests further applications of the MNT delivery strategy should be explored.
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Introduction

Targeted subcellular delivery systems have attracted growing attention in development of anticancer drugs.1–3 It is especially concerned with the nuclear targeting system4 because the majority of anticancer agents act inside the cell nucleus. However, their real in vivo efficacy is little studied in most cases and for many perspective active principles, it depends on both the efficacy of the delivery system and the attachment technique of these active principles to the vehicle. The high cytotoxicity of Auger electrons when localized in close proximity to cellular DNA is an attractive feature for molecularly targeted radiotherapy.5 Moreover, their circumscribed tissue range (generally <0.1 μm) should also be advantageous in those settings where minimizing collateral damage to the normal tissues adjacent to the tumor is critical, such as in the
treatment of central nervous system malignancies. Although radiopharmaceuticals labeled with Auger electron emitters have been considered to be promising as anticancer therapeutic agents for several decades and a lot of research has been done in the field, translation from attractive concept to clinical reality has been difficult. This can mainly be attributed to the flip side of the coin of their subcellular range of action, namely, that methods must be devised to localize the Auger electron emitter specifically to cancer cells within a tumor and in close proximity to the cell nucleus or preferably within the cell nucleus in order to maximize therapeutic effectiveness. A wide variety of approaches have been investigated for achieving this difficult task, including antibodies, functionalized antibodies, small molecules, block copolymer micelles, and peptides, with varying degrees of success.

We have been working on an alternative strategy for achieving molecularly directed selective delivery of short-range therapeutics such as Auger electron emitters to malignant cell populations, based on an engineered polypeptide platform. These modular nanotransporters (MNTs) are artificial multifunctional molecules designed to facilitate receptor-specific transport from the cell surface into its nucleus through inclusion of polypeptide domains for accomplishing receptor binding and internalization as well as endosomal escape and nuclear translocation. Moreover, MNTs possess interchangeable component domains, permitting relatively facile exchange of receptor recognition domains, which ultimately might be adapted for different diseases or might help improve homogeneity of drug delivery by targeting several tumor-specific molecules for personalized medicine. MNTs reactive with a variety of tumor-associated receptors have shown promise for delivering short range of action therapeutics including photosensitizers, the α-emitter 211At, and the Auger electron emitters 125I and 68Ga, as they were demonstrated to colocalize in the nuclei of target cancer cells in vitro (up to 60% of internalized found in nuclei) and in vivo, as well as enhance significantly cytotoxicity of all the above-mentioned cargoes in vitro and the therapeutic potential of different photosensitizers in vivo. Moreover, manifold advantage of full-size MNT over MNTs lacking any of the functional domains for delivery of cytotoxic drugs has been demonstrated previously. Recent efforts in Auger electron-targeted radiotherapy have been primarily focused on applications involving 111In labeled compounds as imaging agents. With regard to its low-energy electron spectrum, 111In emits 14.7 Auger and Coster–Kronig electrons per decay, moving its site of decay from the cell surface to the nucleus would increase the radiation dose delivered to the cell nucleus by more than tenfold for typical cell geometries. Based on its excellent properties for imaging and Auger electron radiotherapy, 111In is an ideal candidate for developing theranostics for use in settings where sparing normal tissue is of paramount importance, such as in the treatment of glioblastoma infiltrating normal brain or in the ocular brachytherapy and prostate brachytherapy.

The objective of the current study was first to adapt 111In polypeptide labeling methodologies for use with MNTs, which have isoelectric points in the optimum pH range for indium chelation, which complicates this process, and then to evaluate the resultant 111In-labeled MNT as targeted radiotherapeutics in cell culture and animal cancer models.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

The mouse melanoma B16-F1 and human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Human cervical carcinoma HeLa cells and human ovarian carcinoma SK-OV-3 cells were kindly provided by Prof E Sverdlov and Prof S Deev, respectively, both from the Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, RAS. The use of HeLa and SK-OV-3 cell lines was approved by Moscow State University Commission on Bioethics. Human glioma U87 cells transfected to express epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR; U87MG.wtEGFR), or in-house folate-deficient media (HeLa, SK-OV-3) supplemented with both 10% calf fetal serum and gentamicin (50 mg/L) at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

Modular nanotransporters

Three different MNT molecules were used in these experiments (Figure 1): 1) melanocortin-1 receptor targeted tDTox-HMP-NLS-MSH (MNT-MSH), Mₙ 70,430 Da, consisting of α-melanocyte-stimulation hormone (MSH) as the ligand module, the diphtheria toxin translocation domain (tDTox) as an endosomolytic module, the optimized nuclear localization sequence of SV-40 large T-antigen (NLS), and hemoglobin-like protein (HMP) as a carrier module; 2) epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor targeted tDTox-HMP-NLS-EGF (MNT-EGF), Mₙ 76,306 Da; and 3) folate receptor (FR)-targeted MNT, possessing folic acid (FA; Sigma, St Louis,
MO, USA) covalently attached to the polypeptide tDTox-HMP-NLS (M₀ 70,125 Da) by N-hydroxysuccinimide and ethylene dicyclohexyl carbodiimide, as it was described for albumin. All MNTs were synthesized and purified as described earlier. Briefly, each MNT was expressed in an Escherichia coli suspension (OD₆₀₀ 0.6–1.0) by the addition of isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside to a final concentration of 200 μM at 18°C and shaking at 170–180 rpm for 2 h. Bacterial cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm with a JA-10 rotor at 4°C for 30 min. Then, the pellets were frozen for subsequent lysis in ice-cold 50 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 5 mg/mL lysozyme, and 0.5% Triton X-100. The obtained lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 18,000 rpm with a JA-20 rotor at 4°C for 30 min. Then, the supernatant was loaded onto an Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen NV, Venlo, the Netherlands) column, washed with 50 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 8.0, 0.5% Triton X-100, and 1% glycerol, followed by 50 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 8.0. MNTs were eluted with 50 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 700 mM imidazole, pH 8.0, and dialyzed against 10 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4.

The FR-targeted MNT was synthesized by chemical attachment of FA to ligand-free MNT according to the protocol described previously for FA attachment to albumin. Briefly, FA (Sigma) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide to a concentration of 0.1 M and incubated with an 1.2-fold molar excess of 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide for 1 h at room temperature (RT), followed by the addition of N-hydroxysuccinimide in 1.2-fold molar excess. After 4 h of incubation at RT, a 15-fold molar excess of the “activated” FA was added to the ligand-free MNT solution and incubated for 12 h at RT. The unconjugated FA as well as the reaction by-products were removed by five cycles of ultrafiltration with an Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Filter Unit (Ultracel-30K; Merck Millipore Ltd, Tullagreen, Ireland), yielding purified MNT-FA. FA:MNT ratio in the obtained MNT-FA was estimated spectrophotometrically. Purity and integrity of MNT were determined by Laemmli sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-PAGE using Mini-Protein TGX Any KD gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) with subsequent Coomassie Blue staining. The obtained images were analyzed using ImageQuant TL 5.0 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.). All three MNTs were visualized by atomic force microscopy (Figure S1).
Conjugation of p-SCN-Bn-NOTA or p-SCN-Bn-DOTA to MNT

To permit subsequent $^{111}\text{In}$ labeling, the chelator $S$-$2$-$\text{(4-isothiocyanato-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane tetraacetic acid (p-SCN-Bn-NOTA); Macrocyclics, Dallas, TX, USA; } -\log K_{\text{In}}^{\text{ScN-Bn-NOTA}}=26.2$) or $S$-$2$-$\text{(4-isothiocyanato-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane tetraacetic acid (p-SCN-Bn-DOTA); Macrocyclics; } -\log K_{\text{In}}^{\text{ScN-Bn-DOTA}}=23.9$) was conjugated to MNT according to a recently published protocol, using 10-fold chelator molar excess over MNT. All buffers for chelator conjugation and labeling procedures were first passed through a Chelex-100 resin (200–400 mesh, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.) to minimize adventitious heavy metal ion contamination. Briefly, 1–16 mg of each MNT was incubated with a 10-fold molar excess of the chelator in 1 $\mu$L of 0.25 M HCl (Ultrapure Grade; EMD Millipore, UK) or 1 $\mu$L of 0.1 M citrate, pH 6.7, 8 $\mu$L of 1% SDS, and 42 $\mu$L of 25 M HCl (Ultrapure Grade; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Then, 102 $\mu$L (322 MBq) of $^{111}\text{InCl}_3$ (Federal Center of Nuclear Medicine Projects Design and Development, Moscow, Russia) in 0.048 M HCl was added. The pH of the final reaction mixture was 4.5. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37°C for 1 h and then the reaction was stopped by addition of 3 $\mu$L of 0.05 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), pH 8.0, followed by gentle mixing and incubation for 10 min at 37°C. Finally, the pH was adjusted to neutral with 24 $\mu$L of 1 M NaOH. The specific activity obtained for the three $^{111}\text{In}$-NOTA–MNT types using this protocol was 2.7 GBq/mL. As a control for subsequent in vitro and in vivo studies, $^{111}\text{In}$ was treated according to the same procedures except that the chelator–MNT was omitted. Radiochemical yields and $^{111}\text{In}$–chelator–MNT integrity were analyzed by Laemmli SDS-PAGE using Mini-Protean TGX Any kD gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.) with subsequent detection of radioactivity on a Storm 865 PhosphorImager (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden). The obtained images were analyzed using ImageQuant TL 5.0 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.). We have chosen Laemmli SDS-PAGE instead of the usually used instant thin layer chromatography to evaluate the labeling yields, since this method not only provides the data about the percentage of unreacted $^{111}\text{In}$ that migrates immediately before bromophenol blue on the gel, but also demonstrates the integrity of protein molecule after labeling.

Labeling of chelator–MNT conjugates with $^{111}\text{In}$

We searched for optimal conditions for labeling chelator–MNT with $^{111}\text{In}$ that would be compatible with the isoelectric points of these MNTs (5.5–5.6, calculated) and would also provide high radiochemical yield and specific activity. Variables included reaction mixture pH, SDS and citrate concentrations, temperature, and time. Moreover, this was done with $^{111}\text{InCl}_3$, preparations having either relatively low (standard diagnostic agent; 0.22 GBq/mL) or high (custom-made; 2.3±0.2 GBq/mL) volumetric activity concentrations. The optimized protocol for MNT labeling that follows was used for subsequent experiments. In a typical procedure, 0.12 mg of chelator–MNT in 10 mM HEPES, 15 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, was mixed with 29 $\mu$L of 1 M HEPES, pH 7.5, 21 $\mu$L of 0.1 M citrate, pH 6.7, 8 $\mu$L of 1% SDS, and 42 $\mu$L of 0.25 M HCl (Ultrapure Grade; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Then, 102 $\mu$L (322 MBq) of $^{111}\text{InCl}_3$, and 1 $\mu$L of 0.25 M HCl (Ultrapure Grade; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was mixed with 29 $\mu$L of 1 M HEPES, pH 7.5, 21 $\mu$L of 0.1 M citrate, pH 6.7, 8 $\mu$L of 1% SDS, and 42 $\mu$L of 0.25 M HCl (Ultrapure Grade; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Then, 102 $\mu$L (322 MBq) of $^{111}\text{InCl}_3$ (Federal Center of Nuclear Medicine Projects Design and Development, Moscow, Russia) in 0.048 M HCl was added. The pH of the final reaction mixture was 4.5. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37°C for 1 h and then the reaction was stopped by addition of 3 $\mu$L of 0.05 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), pH 8.0, followed by gentle mixing and incubation for 10 min at 37°C. Finally, the pH was adjusted to neutral with 24 $\mu$L of 1 M NaOH. The specific activity obtained for the three $^{111}\text{In}$-NOTA–MNT types using this protocol was 2.7 GBq/mL. As a control for subsequent in vitro and in vivo studies, $^{111}\text{In}$ was treated according to the same procedures except that the chelator–MNT was omitted. Radiochemical yields and $^{111}\text{In}$–chelator–MNT integrity were analyzed by Laemmli SDS-PAGE using Mini-Protean TGX Any kD gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.) with subsequent detection of radioactivity on a Storm 865 PhosphorImager (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden). The obtained images were analyzed using ImageQuant TL 5.0 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.). We have chosen Laemmli SDS-PAGE instead of the usually used instant thin layer chromatography to evaluate the labeling yields, since this method not only provides the data about the percentage of unreacted $^{111}\text{In}$ that migrates immediately before bromophenol blue on the gel, but also demonstrates the integrity of protein molecule after labeling.

Cytotoxicity studies

B16-F1 (melanocortin receptor-1 [MC1R]-positive), HeLa or SK-OV-3 (both FR-positive), and A431 or U87MG. wtEGFR (both EGFR-positive) cells were seeded in 24-well plates (7×10⁴ cells/well for B16-F1 and 2.5×10⁴ cells/well for other cell lines). Two days later, the medium was refreshed and dilutions of $^{111}\text{In}$-NOTA–MNT (0.03–9.5 MBq/mL) or $^{111}\text{In}$-EDTA as a control (0.3–9.5 MBq/mL) were added. Cells were incubated for 48 h in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Afterward, the medium containing unbound radioactivity was removed and the cells were washed, trypsinized, harvested, and resuspended in 1 mL of fresh medium. The cells were seeded for a colony-forming assay in 25 cm² flasks (2,000 cells per flask for HeLa, SK-OV-3, A431, and U87MG wtEGFR and 500 cells per flask for B16-F1) in DMEM or DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 10% calf fetal serum. Colonies were stained 6–11 days later with 0.3% Crystal Violet and counted.

Animal studies

These experiments were performed on 8–9-week-old female C57BL/6J mice (Andreevka, Moscow Region, Russia).
The animals were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions with access to mouse chow and water ad libitum. The experimental protocol was approved by the Institute Commission for Animals and was performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health guide for the care and use of laboratory animals. B16-F1 mouse melanoma tumors were established in mice by subcutaneous injection of 106 cells suspended in 100 µL DMEM medium into the flank region as described previously.14

Single-photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography (SPECT/CT) imaging

For SPECT/CT imaging, the animals were anesthetized with 0.8%–1.8% isoflurane in air. The mice (n=4; mean tumor volume: 88±19 mm3) received intratumoral bolus injections of 7.3±1.1 MBq of 111In-NOTA–MNT-MSH in 45 µL. Whole body imaging was performed on an U-SPECT-II/CT (MILabs, Utrecht, the Netherlands) scanner, beginning immediately after injection and continuing for 2.5 h (13×10-min frames) using a 1 mm diameter pinhole collimator, with subsequent immediate whole-animal CT acquisition. Additional SPECT/CT imaging was performed up to 8 days (5 frames×10 min). The images were reconstructed using U-SPECT-Rec2.34b software obtained from the manufacturer, followed by co-registration of SPECT images to corresponding CT images. Quantitative analysis of images after three-dimensional reconstruction was performed using PMOD 3.4 software (PMOD Technologies Ltd., Zürich, Switzerland).

Therapy studies

For therapy studies, B16-F1 mouse melanoma tumor-bearing mice (n=5) were i.t. injected with 2.6 MBq/1.4 µg, 5.2 MBq/2.9 µg, or 10.4 MBq/5.7 µg of either 111In-NOTA–MNT-MSH, or 111In-EDTA or unlabeled NOTA–MNT-MSH, or saline in a volume 40 µL. The efficacy of the treatment was monitored using the tumor growth inhibition index, defined as:

\[
1 - \frac{\text{Mean volume of treated tumors}}{\text{Mean volume of control tumors}} \times 100\%.
\]

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Data on the plots represent the mean values, with bars indicating the standard error of mean of 3–6 repetitive values. The significance of the difference was evaluated using the one-way analysis of variance, the Kruskal–Wallis, or F-test.

Results

Modular nanotransporters

MNT-MSH and MNT-EGF are artificial modular polypeptide nanostructures with the functional properties that have been described earlier which are targeted to MC1R and EGFR.13,16,18,26 In this study, we also used the same structure lacking any ligand module to produce MNT with FR targeting. FR-targeted MNT was obtained by covalent attachment of FA to MNT molecule, resulting in FA:MNT molar ratio of 1.6:1 in the final product. The ability of MNT-FA to bind target FR receptors specifically by competition studies with free FA was demonstrated on two different cancer cell lines expressing FR (Figure S2).

Labeling of chelator–MNT conjugates with 111In

MNTs were successfully conjugated with bifunctional p-SCN-Bn-NOTA or p-SCN-Bn-DOTA chelators, yielding chelator:MNT ratio of 2.5±0.2. SDS-PAGE of chelator–MNTs is presented in Figure S3. Due to the hydrolysis of 111In at neutral pH as well as the increased hydrophobicity of these MNTs at slightly acidic pH, attempts to label the NOTA–MNT or DOTA–MNT conjugates with 111In in either the acetate buffer routinely used for 111In labeling of proteins37 or using a protocol that was successful for 67Ga labeling of NOTA–MNT17 were futile. Based on the studies by Brom et al28 and Morfin and Toth,29 we used HEPES and citrate; we also added a small amount of SDS (10 times below the critical micelle concentration) to the reaction mixture in an attempt to shield the hydrophobic sites that are known to be exposed on the MNT molecule at mildly acidic pH. Using the standardized set of labeling conditions outlined in the “Materials and methods” section, the 111In-NOTA–MNT labeling had moderately fast reaction kinetics at 37°C (Figure 2A). Yields for labeling these NOTA–MNT conjugates with 111In were relatively constant over a broad range of SDS concentration (Figure 2B), citrate concentration (Figure 2C), and pH (Figure 2D).

SDS-PAGE autoradiographs of the three 111In-NOTA–MNT conjugates are presented in Figure 3A. Native PAGE of 111In-NOTA–MNT-EGF is presented in Figure S4. Initially, we used a standard commercial 111In preparation; however, this limited us to a maximal 0.2 GBq/mg specific activity for 111In-NOTA–MNT. It was possible to increase the
In-NOTA–MNT specific activity to a maximal value of 2.7 GBq/mg using custom-made batches of $^{111}$In (Figure 3B). Because labeling yields were consistently nearly quantitative (96.5%±0.1%, n=19), the $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT were not purified before use. The same labeling process performed with conventionally used DOTA–MNT demonstrated rather slow reaction kinetics at 37°C, reaching a plateau at approximately 3.5 h (Figure S5), limiting its further potential clinical translation; thus, all the studies were performed on NOTA–MNT.

Figure 2 Labeling of NOTA–MNT–MSH with $^{111}$In as a function of: (A) reaction time, (B) SDS concentration in the reaction mixture, (C) citrate concentration, and (D) reaction mixture pH.

Abbreviations: CMC, critical micelle concentration; MNT, modular nanotransporter; MSH, α-melanocyte-stimulation hormone; SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate.

Figure 3 (A) Autoradiography of SDS-PAGE gels of $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT–MSH (lane 1), $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT–FA (lane 2), $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT–EGF (lane 3), and control $^{111}$In (lane 4). “Standard labels” indicate the bands from the ColorBurst™ Electrophoresis Markers. Prominent main band corresponds to the expected molecular weight for these constructs (70–76 kDa); negligible bands migrating directly ahead of bromophenol blue (compared to lane 4) correspond to free $^{111}$In (3%–5%). The faint bands (1%–10%), corresponding to an $M_r$ of 20–50 kDa, are MNT-NOTA protein degradation products that were eventually labeled. (B) Autoradiography of SDS-PAGE gels of $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT–MSH at different $^{111}$In/MNT ratios in the reaction mixture: 0.2 GBq/mg (lane 1), 1.1 GBq/mg (lane 2), 2.0 GBq/mg (lane 3), 4.4 GBq/mg (lane 4), 7.3 GBq/mg (lane 5).

Abbreviations: EGF, epidermal growth factor; FA, folic acid; MNT, modular nanotransporter; MSH, α-melanocyte-stimulation hormone; SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate.
Cytotoxicity studies
The cytotoxicity of $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNTs compared to control $^{111}$InCl$_3$ was enhanced significantly and as would be expected for saturable, receptor-mediated targeting, the cytotoxic potency for these $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT constructs was dependent on the specific activity of the labeled MNT. For example, significantly more efficient colony formation inhibition after $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT-EGF treatment was observed at 2.7 GBq/mg ($A_{10}$, activity to give 10% survival =0.13 MBq/mL) compared with 0.2 GBq/mg ($A_{10}$=0.38 MBq/mL; Figure 4). When $^{111}$In was delivered by MNT-FA to FR-positive cancer cells (HeLa, SK-OV-3), their ability to form colonies was reduced significantly, compared with nontargeted $^{111}$InCl$_3$ (Figure 5A and B). Moreover, this effect was abrogated when an excess of free FA was added to the cells, confirming the specificity of $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT-FA cytotoxicity on FR-positive target cells (Figure 5A). Similar cytotoxic effects were observed for $^{111}$In delivered by MNT-MSH (Figure 5C) and MNT-EGF (Figure 5D) to the cancer cells overexpressing MC1R (B16-F1) or EGFR (U87.wtEGFR), respectively. Both these EGFR-targeted and MC1R-targeted MNTs have been previously demonstrated to act specifically on the target cells overexpressing EGFR or MC1R receptors, respectively.13,18 Manifold advantage of full-size MNT over MNTs lacking any of the functional domains for delivery of cytotoxic drug has been demonstrated previously.18

Animal studies
SPECT/CT imaging
Following i.t. injection, $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT-MSH demonstrated good intratumoral retention of $^{111}$In activity (Figure 6).

Therapy studies
The potential utility of $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT-MSH as a strategy for molecularly targeted radiotherapy was investigated after intratumoral injection in mice with subcutaneous B16-F1 melanoma tumors. Dose-dependent tumor growth delay was observed in three experiments (2.6, 5.2, and 10.4 MBq, respectively) with $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT-MSH (Figure 7). The highest dose was the most efficient resulting in 82% tumor growth inhibition, compared to control animals receiving saline. The corresponding dosages of either control $^{111}$In or nonlabeled NOTA–MNT-MSH did not result in any tumor growth inhibition.

Discussion
The main advantage of using Auger electrons for cancer treatment – the strong local damage of DNA – can be best achieved when the radionuclide is transported into the nuclei of cancer cells, but not into neighboring normal cells. Like many targeted radiotherapeutics, MNTs utilize receptor recognition to impart cancer cell specificity and internalization;
Figure 5 Cytotoxicity of $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT-FA on FR-positive (A) HeLa and (B) SK-OV-3; (C) $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT-EGF on EGFR-positive U87MG.wtEGFR cells; and (D) $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT-MSh on MC1R-positive B16-F1 cells, all compared to $^{111}$In control and also FA-blocked $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT-FA for HeLa cells.

Note: The significance of the difference was calculated using Kruskal–Wallis test.

Abbreviations: EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FA, folic acid; FR, folate receptor; MC1R, melanocortin receptor-1; MNT, modular nanotransporter; MSh, α-melanocyte-stimulation hormone.

however, these events lead to translocation to endosomes rather than the cell nucleus. Because nuclear import machinery resides in the cytoplasm, the MNT must escape the endosomes before its NLS component can activate nuclear transport. This is accomplished by its endosomolytic module, which increases its hydrophobicity to provide penetration through the endosomal membrane in response to the decreased pH of acidifying endosomes. The ability of MNTs to escape from acidifying endosomes as well as the necessity of tDTox inclusion into MNT molecule have been demonstrated by us previously, along with their ability to accumulate efficiently in the nuclei of target cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. Unfortunately, this property of endosomolytic module is problematic from the perspective of $^{111}$In labeling because it makes the MNTs prone to aggregation in the 4–6.5 pH range that is typically used for efficient $^{111}$In labeling. However, thorough optimization of the reaction conditions yielded >96% labeling of all three NOTA–MNT conjugates, obviating the need for further purification, and at sufficient specific activity of 2.7 GBq/mg (222 GBq/µmol). This $^{111}$In labeling approach should be adaptable to other nanomedicines with biophysical characteristics incompatible with standard $^{111}$In protein labeling procedures.

Specific activity has been shown to be important for maximizing the therapeutic potential of a radiolabeled molecule even when labeled with α-emitters that only require <10 atoms bound per cell to achieve effective cell kill. Because the number of Auger electron emitters needed to accomplish a similar degree of cytotoxicity is two to three orders of magnitude higher, maximizing the specific activity is a critical parameter for Auger emitter radiotherapy. Indeed, our studies with $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT-EGF (Figure 4) demonstrated a profound increase in cytotoxicity when its specific activity was increased from 0.2 to 2.7 GBq/mg,
where about 12% of MNT was labeled. Beyond decreasing MNT mass and increasing \(^{111}\text{In}\) activity, if higher specific activity for these MNTs is required, it might be possible to modify the MNTs with a metal chelating polymer, which permitted site-specific addition of 24 or 29 DTPA groups to trastuzumab. Although this strategy was very effective in increasing the specific activity, this modification compromised NLS functionality and its effect on the in vivo behavior of the \(^{111}\text{In}\)–trastuzumab conjugate might be problematic.

With regard to the in vivo studies of molecularly targeted Auger electron radiotherapeutics, the current study is one of the few studies evaluating therapeutic efficacy. Kassis utilized 5-[\(^{125}\text{I}\)]iodo-2'-deoxyuridine in a variety of animal models, generally by loco-regional administration, and with multiple doses of the therapeutic. Recently, Kiess et al reported significant growth delay of prostate-specific membrane antigen + PC3 PIP tumors after intravenous injection of \(^{125}\text{I}\)-DCIBzL, a small prostate-specific membrane antigen–avid molecule. The therapeutic efficacy of several \(^{111}\text{In}\)-labeled monoclonal antibodies including those targeting HER29 and CD7436 has been evaluated, with encouraging responses observed in some cases. Potentially germane to the current studies with \(^{111}\text{In}\)-NOTA–MNT-EGF, Chen et al reported significant growth inhibition of

---

**Figure 6** Serial SPECT/CT imaging of C57 black mice bearing B16-F1 melanoma tumors after intratumoral injection of \(^{111}\text{In}\)-NOTA–MNT-Msh.

**Notes:** (A) SPECT/CT (color/gray) images of representative animal at indicated times after injection; (B) decay-corrected clearance of \(^{111}\text{In}\) activity from the tumor. Dashed lines indicate 95% confidence interval. The ring in the abdominal region presented on the CT scans of the mice is the breathing sensor.

**Abbreviations:** MNT, modular nanotransporter; Msh, \(\alpha\)-melanocyte-stimulation hormone; SPECT/CT, single-photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography.
10–15 mm³ subcutaneous human breast carcinoma xenografts after they received five weekly doses of ¹¹¹In-DTPA-EGF at a cumulative dose of 92.5 MBq. Direct comparisons were not made between an ¹¹¹In-EGF and an ¹¹¹In-MNT-EGF construct in the present article; however, we do note that in a previous study using residualizing ¹²⁵I labeling, the in vitro cytotoxicity of [¹²⁵I]SGMIB-MNT-EGF was 5–18 times higher than that of [¹²⁵I]SGMIB-EGF.¹⁶

For in vivo proof-of-principle experiments, we selected MNT-MSH, targeted to melanoma cells, which overexpress MC1R.³⁸ This MNT has been regarded recently as a promising approach for the treatment of malignant melanoma,³⁹–⁴¹ including uveal melanoma.³² Although skin melanomas are usually managed by surgical excision, ocular melanomas are routinely treated with brachytherapy.⁴³,⁴⁴ While effective γ-emitting (¹³¹I, ¹⁰³Pd) or β-emitting (¹⁰⁶Ru) eye plaques are utilized for brachytherapy, this treatment can lead to nonspecific damage of healthy eye tissues.⁴⁴,⁴⁵ ¹¹¹In-NOTA–MNT-MSH might have an advantage over conventional brachytherapy in this setting due to its specific action on MC1R-expressing melanoma cells.

As a first step, the tissue distribution and therapeutic efficacy of ¹¹¹In-NOTA–MNT-MSH was evaluated after loco-regional administration in the mouse melanoma B16-F1 subcutaneous tumor model. SPECT imaging revealed prolonged retention of ¹¹¹In in the tumor and minimal activity in normal tissues. Although catabolite analyses were not performed, the lack of normal tissue activity suggests that ¹¹¹In escaped from the tumor either as, or was rapidly converted to, a rapidly excreted form. Moreover, the results from single-dose ¹¹¹In-NOTA–MNT-MSH tumor growth delay experiments were promising and compared favorably to those obtained with other Auger electron emitting therapeutics. Based on studies with other Auger emitting therapeutics such as ¹¹¹In-DTPA-EGF³⁷ as well as our own with MNT-MSH photosensitizer conjugates,¹⁴,¹⁸ it should be possible to further enhance therapeutic efficacy through the use of multidose ¹¹¹In-NOTA–MNT-MSH strategies, and studies are planned to investigate this possibility.

Conclusion

Using an ¹¹¹In labeling procedure modified for compatibility with MNT biophysical properties, MNTs targeting EGFR, FR, and MC1R were labeled in excellent yield providing suitable specific activity for the efficient treatment. The resultant ¹¹¹In-NOTA–MNT conjugates were shown to be highly cytotoxic against cancer cells overexpressing these receptors, and one of these, ¹¹¹In-NOTA–MNT-MSH, exhibited encouraging therapeutic potential in a murine model of melanoma. Taken together, these results suggest that MNTs warrant further evaluation as a platform technology for ¹¹¹In Auger electron molecular radiotherapy with the vehicles for targeted intracellular delivery into the nuclei of cancer cells.
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Supplementary materials

Video S1 SPECT visualization of $^{111}$In-labeled MC1R-targeted MNT retention in mice.

Figure S1 (Continued)
Figure S1 Typical images of (A) MNT-Msh, (B) MNT-EGF, and (C) MNT-FA obtained using atomic force microscopy scanning.
Notes: A Digital Instruments Multimode Scanning Probe Microscope on a Nanoscope IIIa (Veeco Instruments, Woodbury, NY, USA) controller fitted with J-scanner (165 µm maximum scan size) and a Tapping Mode Liquid Cell were used to image MNT molecule in situ. Freshly cleaved mica was incubated in 0.5% methanol diluted 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate (Fluka, Seelze, Germany) for 45 min and washed with methanol and water. Finally, the mica was incubated in 0.2% (weight) ammonium peroxodisulfate and 0.2% (volume) N,N,N′,N′-tetramethylethylenediamine (both from Fluka), and 150 µL of 0.2 nM MNT solution for 45 min and washed with water and 50 mM phosphate buffer at pH 8.0. All measurements were performed in 10 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, in a tapping mode at RT using a tip scan rate about 3 Hz. All images were captured as 512×512 pixels and were flattened and smoothed.
Abbreviations: EGF, epidermal growth factor; FA, folic acid; MNT, modular nanotransporter; Msh, α-melanocyte-stimulation hormone; RT, room temperature.

Figure S2 Binding of 111In-NOTA–MNT-FA to Fr-expressing (A) HeLa and (B) SK-OV-3 cells.
Notes: Filled circles represent experimental data with SEM (n=3) and the solid lines represent a fit of the data to a Two sites – Fit logIC50 model in GraphPad Prism 5. Competition experiments were carried out in 24-well plates with 3.7 nM of 111In-NOTA–MNT-FA and incrementing concentrations of free FA in 300 µL of folate-free media without bicarbonate supplemented with 20 mM HEPES and 20 mg/mL BSA, pH 7.3. The plates were incubated at 4°C for 20 h, washed seven times with 500 µL ice-cold Hanks solution containing BSA, then the cells were lysed with 0.5 M NaOH, and the radioactivity in the cell lysates was measured with a gamma-counter.
Abbreviations: BSA, bovine serum albumin; FA, folic acid; FR, folate receptor; MNT, modular nanotransporter; SEM, standard error of the mean.
Figure S3 Coomassie blue protein staining of SDS-PAGE gel of (A) NOTA–MNT-MSH, (B) NOTA–MNT-EGF, (C) MNT-FA.

Note: Labels on the left indicate the bands of Thermo Scientific Unstained Protein Molecular Weight Marker 26610 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Abbreviations: EGF, epidermal growth factor; FA, folic acid; MNT, modular nanotransporter; MSH, α-melanocyte-stimulation hormone; SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate.

Figure S4 Autoradiography of native PAGE gel of $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT-EGF (76 kDa).

Notes: Labels on the left indicate the start (top), the 70 kDa marker (middle), and the bromophenol blue migration front (bottom). Prominent main band (approximately 82%) corresponds to the expected molecular weight of $^{111}$In-NOTA–MNT (76 kDa), the faint band just above (5%) corresponds to dimer, as the band at the start (10%) corresponds to somewhat more aggregated label product.

Abbreviations: EGF, epidermal growth factor; MNT, modular nanotransporter.
Figure S5 Completeness of the reaction of $^{111}$In labeling with DOTA–MNT-EGF.

Notes: Autoradiography of SDS-PAGE gels of $^{111}$In-DOTA–MNT-EGF after 2 h of reaction (lane 1) or after 3 h 40 min (lane 2) at 37°C. The band on the bottom of each lane corresponds to unreacted $^{111}$In. Labels on the left indicate the bands from the ColorBurst™ Electrophoresis Markers.

Abbreviations: EGF, epidermal growth factor; MNT, modular nanotransporter; SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate.