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In the past two decades, alkene metathesis has risen in prominence to become a significant synthetic strategy for alkene formation. Many total syntheses of natural products have used this transformation. We review the use, from 2003 to 2015, of ring-closing alkene metathesis (RCM) for the generation of dihydrofurans or -pyrans in natural product synthesis. The strategies used to assemble the RCM precursors and the subsequent use of the newly formed unsaturation will also be highlighted and placed in context.

Introduction

The potential of metal complex-catalysed alkene metathesis as useful synthetic methodology began to be widely assimilated by organic chemists in the early 1990s. This followed the development and demonstrated utility of easy to handle and functional group-tolerant Ru catalysts.1,2 The chemistry has proved especially convenient in carbo- and heterocyclic ring synthesis (Scheme 1). 5- and 6-membered oxacycles constitute important heterocyclic motifs, found in a variety of bioactive natural products.3 A significant number of total (or fragment) syntheses of such oxacycle-containing natural products have been reported using ring-closing alkene metathesis (RCM) as a key step, typically using Grubbs 1st or 2nd generation catalysts (GI, GII), or Hoveyda-Grubbs II catalyst (HGII).

This review focuses on total, formal and fragment syntheses of natural products that possess 5- or 6-membered oxacycles, where a dihydrofuran (DHF) or dihydropyran (DHP) is formed by RCM (Scheme 1, X = O, m = 0, 1; n = 1, 2, 3). A critical overview is given. The aim is to provide the reader with an appreciation of the various ways that such RCM chemistry has been, and could be, employed as a key strategic element to facilitate target synthesis. RCM substrate assembly and post-RCM manipulations are also analysed. Direct formations of furanones and pyranones by RCM of unsaturated esters have recently been nicely reviewed in the context of natural product syntheses,4 and are not further discussed here. In the current

Scheme 1. Ring-closing alkene metathesis (RCM) and Ru catalysts commonly used.
2,5-Dihydrofurans

For 2-substituted-2,5-DHFs, RCM is a straightforward strategic disconnection, due to the ease of RCM substrate construction, typically by aldehyde C-vinylation−O-allylation. For example, the free-radical scavenger \((-\)-gloeosporiol \((2)\)) was accessed through RCM of an ether \(1\) available by O-allylation of the corresponding enzymatically-resolved benzylic alcohol (Scheme 2). Subsequent diastereoselective dihydroxylation and desilylation completed the synthesis.

1,2-Reduction of an enone, then O-allylation delivers an alternative entry to metathesis substrates that lead to 2-substituted-2,5-DHFs. This strategy formed part of a stereochemically flexible approach to bis-THF containing acetogenins (Scheme 3), where the enones (e.g., \(4\)) were derived from regio- and stereo-selective \([5 + 2]\) cycloaddition of \(in\ situ\) generated 3-oxidopyrylium \((3)\) with alkene dipolarophiles. In these cases, the 2-substituted-2,5-DHF \(6\) is produced through strain relief-driven ring rearrangement metathesis (RRM), and is carried out in the presence of 1,4-benzoquinone under an ethylene atmosphere. The quinone alleviates competitive allyl ether to 1-propenyl ether isomerisation, likely catalysed by Ru hydrides generated in the reaction. The ethylene promotes catalyst release following ring rearrangement. Mitsunobu inversion at the allylic alcohol \(5\) stage broadens the methodology to encompass stereochemically different acetogenin targets.

For 2,2-disubstituted-2,5-DHFs, ketone C-vinylation−O-allylation provides a convenient approach to RCM substrates. For example, the tricyclic core \(9\) of hippolachnin A \((10)\) was recently synthesised from a D-mannitol-derived RCM substrate \(7\) made in this way (Scheme 4). Metathesis using \(GI\) likely initiated at the least hindered terminal olefin of the tetraene \(7\), with RCM occurring non-stereoselectively at the formally diastereotopic vinyl groups. Following acetonide manipulation, only one of the two diastereomeric 2,5-DHFs \(8\) subsequently underwent intramolecular \([2 + 2]\) photocycloaddition. In principle, a chiral RCM catalyst could induce stereoselectivity in the RCM step.

With cyclic ketones, C-vinylation−O-allylation followed by RCM leads to spiro-fused DHFs. In a model study, the tricyclic framework \(11\) of the cytotoxic yaoshanenolides \(12\) was completed in this fashion (Scheme 5).
The strategy of C-vinylation–O-allylation followed by RCM has been applied in several instances to cyclic ketones bearing α-hydroxymethyl functionality. In such cases, subsequent allylic oxidation of the spiro-fused DHF generates the corresponding furanone, which undergoes oxa-Michael addition; this provides a rapid entry to tricyclic systems containing the furo[3,2-b]furanone motif (Scheme 6). The ABC ring systems of the nortriterpenoid anti-HIV agents micrandilactone A (16) and lacnifodilactone G were prepared from D-mannitol using this strategy (Scheme 7).

Direct access to 2,3-disubstituted-2,5-DHFs by RCM requires a 2,2-disubstituted-1-alkene in the substrate. Syntheses of the isospongian diterpenoids (−)-marginatafuran, (−)-marginatone and (−)-20-acetoxymarginatone used such an approach (Scheme 8). Carvone-derived α,β-epoxy ketones underwent stereoselective reduction, then O-allylation and regioselective epoxide to allylic alcohol isomerisation using diethyl aluminium-tetramethylpiperidide (TMP), to give the RCM precursors. Homodimerisation and allyloxy to enol ether isomerisation in the RCM step were suppressed by dilution and
addition of 1,4-benzoquinone. Subsequent oxidation of the fused DHF \( 19 \) with \( \text{DDQ} \) gave the furan motif present in the natural products.

A synthesis of the furan cembranolide (\(-\)-(\(Z\))-deoxypukalide \( 24 \)) involved generation of a transient \( 2,3,5 \)-trisubstituted DHF by RCM (Scheme 9).\(^{20}\) Selective ozonolysis of the trisubstituted alkene in the TIPS ether of \( (S) \)-perillyl alcohol \( 20 \), followed by aldehyde selective addition of a vinyl alane from methyl propiolate gave an allylic alcohol \( 21 \) \((1:1 \text{ dr, mixture inconsequential})\). Acid-catalysed acetal exchange with acrolein diethyl acetal gave the RCM precursor \( 22 \). RCM, initiating at the less-substituted terminal alkene, gave an \( \alpha \)-ethoxy-substituted DHF, which underwent acid-catalysed elimination of \( \text{EtOH} \)/aromatization; the resulting \( 2,3 \)-disubstituted furan \( 23 \) was taken forward to the target macrocycle \( 24 \).

A synthesis of the NBoc-protected \( \alpha \)-amino acid antibiotic \((+)-\text{furanomycin} \) \( 28 \) (Scheme 10),\(^{21}\) illustrates that the aldehyde \( C\)-vinylation–\( O\)-allylation RCM strategy can be extended to \( 2,5 \)-disubstituted DHFs \( 27 \). The RCM substrate \( 26 \) synthesis involved \textit{syn}-selective alkynylation of serine-derived Garner’s aldehyde \( 25 \) and non-stereoselective \( O\)-allylation. After RCM, hydrolysis and oxidation gave NBoc-furanomycin \( 28 \), along with its methyl epimer.

An alternative approach to a similar RCM substrate for furanomycin synthesis involved Ireland–Claisen rearrangement (Scheme 11).\(^{22}\) Base-catalysed conjugate addition of \((S)\)-but-3-en-2-ol \( 29 \) to methyl propiolate led to an allylic \( E\)-enol ester. DIBALH reduction gave the corresponding alcohol, which coupled with NBoc-protected glycine to give the rearrangement substrate \( 30 \). \([3,3]\) Sigmatropic rearrangement of the \( Z\)-silyl ketene acetal \( 31 \) proceeded with complete relative stereocontrol for the two newly created stereocentres and modest \((72:28)\) stereocontrol relative to the pre-existing stereocentre.

A synthesis of a \( 2,5 \)-disubstituted DHF \( 37 \), where the \( \alpha,\alpha' \)-stereochemistry in the acyclic ether RCM precursor \( 36 \) is assembled with high stereocontrol, is found in studies to the C19–C34 segment \( 38 \) of the cytotoxic marine natural product amphidinolide C \( 39 \) (Scheme 12).\(^{23,24}\) One of the stereocentres was developed from \((+)-\text{diethyl tartrate} \) \( 32 \) as an enantiopure allylic alcohol \( 33 \), while the other was generated via Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation (SAE, \( 92:8 \) er) on the mono PMB ether of \( \text{cis} \)-2-butene-1,4-diol \( 34 \); the latter was inverted at the allylic position via Lewis acid-mediated opening of epoxide \( 35 \) by the allylic alcohol \( 33 \).

A synthesis of the anticancer agent (\(-\)-)mucocin \( 43 \) involved construction of a \( 2,5 \)-disubstituted DHF \( 42 \) by RCM, where stereochemistry is introduced onto an acyclic ether \( 41 \) prior to RCM (Scheme 13).\(^{25,26}\) The first DHF stereocentre was generated via kinetic resolution using SAE. Subsequent \( O\)-alkylation with bromoacetic acid and introduction of Evans’ auxiliary gave an \( N\)-glycloyl oxazolidinone \( 40 \). A Lewis acid-catalysed \textit{syn}-aldol reaction with acrolein generated the second \( (\alpha') \)-stereocentre, as well as the adjacent hydroxyl stereocentre (destined to be exocyclic). Auxiliary removal and homologation provided the RCM substrate \( 41 \), with an unsaturated tether that was found to be crucial in providing RCM selectivity. RCM
without the tether led to a mixture of desired DHF 42 and 2,5-DHF, due to the similar affinity of either terminal alkene for the catalyst. With the engineered tether, relay RCM occurs: the catalyst first reacts with the less-hindered, electronically activated terminal alkene, then liberates a molecule of 2,5-DHF, resulting in the metallocarbene at the desired position for selective 2,5-DHF formation. Three-fragment assembly of the mucocin skeleton was then achieved via cross-metathesis to install the tetrahydropyran section, followed by Sonogashira coupling to add the 2,5-dihydrofuranone. The tetrahydropyran portion was also made by RCM, and is discussed later in this review (Scheme 32). Completion of the synthesis was achieved by mild hydrogenation of the connecting unsaturated double bond using diimide.

RCM has been used to generate bridged/2,5-substituted DHFs from cyclic ethers bearing vinyl groups at the \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha' \) positions. A simplified analogue 47 of the anti-mitotic diterpenoid eleutherobin was constructed in this fashion (Scheme 14).\(^2\) Claisen rearrangement of a 2-deoxy-\( \alpha \)-ribose derivative gave a 9-membered lactone 44. 3 : 1 dr At the \( \alpha,\alpha' \)-positions was generated through chloroselenation-reductive dechlorination of the methyleneated lactone 43. RCM using GI on the derived divinyl ether 46 of the major diastereomer gave a mixture of the desired bridged DHF 47 (69%) and a bis-cyclopentenyl ether 48 (22%) from RRM; the more active GI\( I_2 \) gave more of the RRM product 48. Formation of the latter was avoided by temporary epoxidation of the cis double bond in the 9-membered ring; deoxygenation was effected after RCM using WCl\( _6 \) and BuLi. A related potential RCM substrate 46 (\( R = \text{OMe} \)) failed to undergo RCM, likely due to steric hindrance.

RCM was used to make a DHF 52 present in the smaller bridged framework of bruguierol A (53) (Scheme 15).\(^2\) \( O \)-Ethylation and homologation of 3-hydroxybenzaldehyde was
followed by Friedel–Crafts acylation to give a ketoaldehyde 49. Double vinylation and acid-catalysed dehydrative cyclisation gave an inseparable 1:1 mixture of diastereomeric divinyl ethers 50 and 51, but only the cis-divinyl system 50 underwent reaction with GII, to give the bridged DHF 52. Hydrogenation of the alkene, followed by de-ethylation gave (±)-bruguierol A (53).

2,3-Dihydrofurans

The enol ether character of 2,3-DHFs (and 3,4-DHPs) leads to application in spiroketal synthesis, by cyclisation of a hydroxyl-bearing tether at the 5-position. Direct access to 2,3-DHFs through RCM requires an acyclic enol ether of a homoallylic alcohol as the precursor. A convergent strategy involving this approach was described to the C15–C38 fragment 60 of the protein phosphatase (PP1 and PP2A) inhibitor okadaic acid (61) (Scheme 16). Regioselective hydroboration of a terminal alkene 54, followed by immediate Suzuki coupling with an unsaturated ester-derived enol phosphate 55 gave an enol ether 56 that was used directly in RCM; potential intramolecular Heck-type chemistry of the enol phosphate was not a complicating issue. DDQ-induced PMB deprotection on the resulting acid-sensitive crude DHF 57 led to spontaneous spirocyclisation, giving a ~3:1 diastereomeric mixture of spiroketales 58 and 59. The undesired minor diastereomer 59 could be equilibrated under acidic conditions to provide more of desired spiroketal 58 (71%). DHF 57 was originally planned to come from Suzuki coupling of a lactone-derived enol phosphate, avoiding an RCM step; however, the cyclic enol phosphate was found to readily hydrolyse back to the lactone.

3,6-Dihydro-2H-pyran

Between 2003 and 2015, there were over 30 reported applications of RCM generating 3,6-DHFs in natural product synthesis. Allylation of homoallylic alcohols is a popular approach to the RCM substrates, with a variety of methods being used to access the homoallylic alcohols, depending on the required substitution pattern. For example, 2-methyl-3,6-DHP (63), prepared by RCM from allylated (R)-4-penten-2-ol (62), provided divergent access to ophiocerins A–C (Scheme 17). Anti C-allylation of D-mannitol-derived isopropylidene glyceraldehyde 64, followed by O-allylation, isopropylidene cleavage and RCM, gave protected 2-hydroxymethyl-3,6-DHPs (e.g., 65) that have been used in pyran-fused pyrazine synthesis (e.g., Scheme 18). The corresponding epoxide of the RCM product underwent ring-opening with azide, then oxidation to give an azido ketone 66. Reduction of the azide 66 to the amine led to dehydrative dimerisation–aromatisation in the presence of air, to give (S,S)-palythazine (67).

RCM of the allyl alcohol–butadiene monoepoxide addition product 68, to give 3-hydroxy-3,6-DHP (69), was involved in the preferred route to the corresponding pyranone 70 that was used in a synthesis of the pyranonaphthoquinone pentalongin (71) (Scheme 19). Prior syntheses of the pyranone 70 used vinyl stannane-unsaturated acid chloride Pd-catalysed coupling and subsequent RCM (17%), or a Hg(II)-mediated ring-closure from an alkyne (21%).

A 2,3-disubstituted-3,6-DHP 74 was made by RCM in a synthesis of the styryl-lactone (+)-howiionol (75) (Scheme 20). Deacetalisation of a glucose derivative 72 gave a hemiacetal...
that underwent olefination with a stabilised Wittig reagent, to give the RCM substrate 73. Following RCM, diol protection using 2,2-dimethoxypropane, then PDC-induced allylic oxidation and finally acetonide removal gave (+)-howiionol (75).

Two syntheses of L-(+)-noviose (79), the sugar component of the anticancer agent novobiocin, involve formation of 2,2,3-trisubstituted-3,6-DHPs by RCM (Schemes 21 and 22). In the first approach (Scheme 21), key steps to the RCM substrate are asymmetric Brown allylation of benzyl glyoxylate (76), and terminal alkene isomerisation to the 2-propenyl equivalent using GII (77 → 78); a Ru-H is the likely active catalytic species, formed in situ from heating GII in reagent grade methanol. After RCM, noviose (79) was obtained by allylic oxidation to the pyranone, 1,2-reduction and dihydroxylation. Compared with earlier carbohydrate-based syntheses, triol generation at the end avoided hydroxyl group protection/deprotection manipulations.
The second synthesis of L-(+)-noviose (79) uses diastereoselective (90:10 dr) vinylation of a lactic acid-derived aldehyde to introduce the methoxy-bearing stereocentre (Scheme 22).\textsuperscript{35} RCM with GI is followed by addition of t-BuOOH and conversion to a pyranone 80 in a one-pot procedure; the hydroperoxide converts GI into a catalyst for allylic oxidation. Subsequent MOM deprotection and methylation intersects with the earlier synthesis.

Two approaches to the microtubule-stabilising agent laulimalide (83) use RCM to make the 2,4-disubstituted-3,6-DHP that is attached to the macrocyclic lactone (Schemes 23 and 24). Both studies apply Julia–Kocięński olefination chemistry from the same DHP-containing sulfone 82 to extend the 2-substitution, where the sulfone-stabilised anion does not cleave the DHP by β-elimination. In the first synthesis (Scheme 23),\textsuperscript{36} access to the DHP sulfone 82 for aldehyde olefination begins with a Mitsunobu reaction on (R)-glycidol using a tetrazole thiol. This was followed by terminal epoxide opening with isopropenyl copper, Pd-catalysed allylation of the zinc alkoxide, oxidation to the sulfone 81 and RCM. In the second approach, the DHP stereocentre is installed by aldehyde methallylation under Keck conditions, followed by Williamson etherification (Scheme 24).\textsuperscript{37} The resulting diallyl ether was elaborated to the DHP sulfone 82 either by performing RCM prior to tetroxide formation (as shown; GI sufficed in this case), or by PMB ether conversion using Mitsunobu chemistry to the same RCM sulfone substrate 81 shown in Scheme 23.

The 2,5-disubstituted-3,6-DHP found in the marine sponge metabolites cacospongionolides B and E 87 has been prepared by RCM (Scheme 25).\textsuperscript{38} The unsaturated decalone portion 84 of the natural products, prepared by asymmetric Robinson annulation of 2-methylcyclohexane-1,3-dione and ethyl vinyl ketone, underwent reductive conjugate addition to an enone 85 (available from Brown asymmetric allylboration of 3-furural), followed by double ketone Wittig methylenations to generate the RCM substrate 86. Exposure of this triene 86 to GI\textsubscript{II} led to RCM, likely initiated at the less-hindered terminal olefin, and completed the carbon skeleton of the natural products.

A modestly diastereoselective DDQ-mediated oxidative allylation was used to make the allylic-homomoallylic ether RCM substrate 88 in a synthesis of the 2,6-cis-disubstituted tetrahydropyran core 89 of (±)-centrolebine (90) (Scheme 26).\textsuperscript{39}
A cis-2,6-disubstituted-3,6-DHP was made by RCM during studies on the configuration of the antifungal goniodomin A (94) (Scheme 27).40 Two chiral alcohols were connected using bromoacetic acid. The resulting glycolate ether 91 gave the RCM substrate 93 following Ireland–Claisen rearrangement through the methyldichlorosilyl ketene acetal 92; use of less reactive TMSCl gave significant by-product due to [2,3] Wittig rearrangement.

2,6-Disubstituted-3,6-DHPs bearing further substitution at the 4- or 5-positions have been accessed for natural product synthesis using RCM of more substituted alkenes (Schemes 28–30). In the synthesis of spliceostatin E (98), isopropenyl-copper-induced regioselective ring-opening of an epoxide 95, followed by acid-catalysed acetal exchange with acrolein diethyl acetal gave the RCM substrate 96 (Scheme 28).41 Cross-metathesis was used to develop the pyranone 97 derived from RCM to the natural product 98.

In an approach to a bryostatin B-ring building block 101, RCM involving a MOM enol ether as one of the reacting alkenes was used to form a cis-2,6-disubstituted-3,6-DHP 100 (Scheme 29).42 The RCM substrate 99 was prepared by diastereoselective allylation of the enolate of an N-glycolyl oxazolidinone.
A 2,5,6-trisubstituted-3,6-DHP formed by RCM requires alkene substitution on the allylic part of the allylic–homoallylic ether RCM substrate (e.g., 105, Scheme 30). In this synthesis of jerangolid D (107), TMSOTf-catalysed three-component coupling gave an acyclic ether 105 for cis-2,6-disubstituted-3,6-DHP 106 formation by RCM. The required ether 105 was generated by completely diastereoselective allylation of the oxonium species from the aldehyde 102 and TMS ether 103, with the sense of asymmetric induction being rationalised through a Felkin-Anh transition state 104.

The use of RCM to produce 2,3,6-trisubstituted-3,6-DHPs requires assembly of a RCM substrate containing up to 3 stereocentres. In a synthesis of the protein phosphatase 2A inhibitor phoslactomycin B (111) (Scheme 31), non-productive (reversible) metathesis might be considered to be occurring at the allylic silyl ether site, with 4- or 7-membered ring formation not being favoured. However, with a related substrate 115 in a synthesis of the C13–C34 fragment of (+)-mucocin (Scheme 33), an allylic silyl ether was also unaffected during RCM, but the corresponding allylic alcohol gave 1 : 1 mixture of dihydropyran 116 and a cyclooctene 117. These results show the lower propensity of allylic silyl ethers to engage in metathesis.

A synthesis of pyranicin (120) involved RCM to generate a 2,3,6-trisubstituted-3,6-DHP 119 (Scheme 34). The RCM substrate 118 was prepared via a double Evans chiral auxiliary approach. The RCM product 119 underwent cross-metathesis using HGII to give a triene. While RCM was used to form the DHP ring, the newly formed double bond was not required in the product and it, along with the exocyclic alkene from the cross-metathesis, were hydrogenated using diimide; subsequent alcohol deprotections gave pyranicin (120).

As mentioned earlier, in a synthesis of (+)-mucocin (43) both DHF 42 (Scheme 13) and DHP 114 (Scheme 32) rings were generated using RCM steps. Similarly to the DHF RCM precursor synthesis (Scheme 13), the 2,3,6-trisubstituted-3,6-DHP precursor 113 was stereoselectively accessed through a titanium enolate-mediated syn-aldol reaction of a N-glycolyloxazolidinone 112 with acrolein. In the RCM step described above (Scheme 32), non-productive (reversible) metathesis might be considered to be occurring at the allylic silyl ether site, with 4- or 7-membered ring formation not being favoured. However, with a related substrate 115 in a synthesis of the C13–C34 fragment of (+)-mucocin (Scheme 33), an allylic silyl ether was also unaffected during RCM, but the corresponding allylic alcohol gave 1 : 1 mixture of dihydropyran 116 and a cyclooctene 117. These results show the lower propensity of allylic silyl ethers to engage in metathesis.
none 122 that underwent a syn-aldol with acrolein, as described earlier (Scheme 13). The RCM step involves a 2,2-di-substituted-1-alkene, allowing the final ring stereocentre to be installed by stereocontrolled hydrogenation.

Spiro-, fused- and bridged-3,6-DHP-containing natural products have also been approached through RCM-based strategies (Schemes 36–44). Synthesis of the B ring of the protein phosphatase 2A inhibitor (+)spirostrellolide A (126) is achieved by cyclic ketal-tethered RCM (Scheme 36).49 Triflimide-induced ketal formation under stereoelectronic control gives the RCM precursor 125, where the axially introduced homoallylic alcohol 124 is derived from an aldehyde anti-isocrotylation using (−)-(E)-crotodyliosinocampheyborane.

Another example of cyclic ketal-tethered RCM is found in the total synthesis of (+)aigialospirol (130) (Scheme 37).50 (S)-Glycidol (127) was converted via a pyrone 128 into the RCM substrate 129. Although possessing the wrong spirocentre stereochemistry at the RCM step, acid-catalysed acetonide removal at the end of the synthesis resulted in epimerisation to the natural configuration, stabilised by an intramolecular H-bond between C4-OH and O7.

A fused tetrahydropyran system found in the naturally occurring amino acids dysiherbaine and neodysiherbaine has been made by three RCM-based approaches (Schemes 38–40).
In one synthesis of (−)-dysiherbaine (133) (Scheme 38), the RCM substrate 132 was constructed by hydroxyl-directed epoxidation of a methyl glycidate-derived diene 131, followed by Pd-catalysed epoxide ring-opening with retention, allylation and hydroxymethylation.

A second route to the 1,5-dioxaoctahydroindene core modifies the RRM chemistry outlined in Scheme 3, by using residual unsaturation originating from the dipolarophile component in the [5 + 2] cycloadduct (Scheme 39).

A metathesis-induced oxabicyclic rearrangement strategy related to that shown in Scheme 39 allowed a formal synthesis of dysiherbaine and neodysiherbaine (Scheme 40).

Scheme 40 Domino metathesis step to dysiherbaine and neodysiherbaine.

Scheme 41 Synthesis of norhalichondrin B (138) using RRM.

Scheme 42 Synthesis of 3-O-benzyl-1,3,5-tri-epi-calystegine B2 (141).
differentiated to allow progress to dysiherbaine and neodysiherbaine.

In a total synthesis of the marine polyether norhalichondrin B (138), a trans-fused pyranopyran 137 was formed by RRM of a furan-derived 8-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-6-ene bearing a 1,4-diallylic ether at the end of the RRM poisons the catalyst by formation of a stable Fisher carbene, preventing undesired post-RRM chemistry.

Syntheses of 1,3,5-tri-epi-calyctegine B_2 (as its 3-O-benzyl derivative 141), thromboxane B_2 (TXB_2) (146) [the stable hydrolysis product of the prostanoid signaling molecule TXA_2], and didemniserinolipid B (148) all feature RCM steps leading to bridged DHPs (Schemes 42–44). The strategy to the calystegine RCM substrate 140 (Scheme 42), involved nitrene formation through a sorbose-derived aldehyde condensing with benzylhydroxylamine. The nitrene 139 underwent vinylation and chemoselective reduction, leaving the diene functionality intact. Following RCM, hydrogenation with concomitant N-deprotection and then acetamide hydrolysis led to the ring-closed carbinolamine target 141.

The route to TXB_2 (146) (Scheme 43), used transacetalisation of an unsaturated acetal 142 with a tartaric acid-derived C2-symmetric dienediol 143 to give a pseudo-C2-symmetric RCM substrate 144, which on RCM with GII led to a bridged dihydropyran 145. Subsequent chemoselective cross-metathesis involving the terminal olefin allowed homologation for eventual conversion to the allylic alcohol side-chain, whereas reagent (SAE)-controlled epoxidation of the endocyclic alkene led to installation of the unsaturated acid side-chain.

The strategy to didemniserinolipid B (148) (Scheme 44) is closely related to that for TXB_2, involving ketalisation with the enantiomeric dienediol ent-143 followed by RCM (53%, 81% brsm). The use of GI, as opposed to the more active GII, may be to minimize cross-metathesis of the RCM product 147 with the styrene by-product; the presence of the aryl group was necessary to minimise double bond migration in the earlier ketalisation step.

3,4-Dihydro-2H-pyrans

Direct formation of 3,4-DHPs 151 by RCM requires an enol ether 150 of abishomoallylic alcohol 149 as the substrate (Scheme 45). This strategy, followed by elaboration of the enol ether functionality in the RCM product to build fused or spiro ethers, has found significant application in polycyclic natural product synthesis. Such cases often involve the creation of a 2,3,6-trisubstituted 3,4-DHP (Scheme 46), and the D-ring of (+)-gambieric acid A involved RCM chemistry to form both the G and I rings in one step from the corresponding bis(enol ether) 152 (Scheme 46). The RCM substrate 152 was formed via successive carbopreparations from a bis(alkynyl ether), Double hydroboration of the RCM product 153 gave a diol for further functionalisation. The F and J rings were also formed in one step using RCM (154 → 155).

The strategy of convergent RCM substrate synthesis by Suzuki coupling with an unsaturated ester-derived enol phosphate, seen earlier in a 2,3-DHP approach towards okadaic acid (61) (Scheme 16), has also been applied in 3,4-DHP synthesis towards the attenol marine toxins (e.g., (-)-attenol A (156), Scheme 47), and the D-ring of (+)-gambieric acid A (157) in the latter’s first total synthesis (Scheme 48, NAP = 2-naphthylmethyl), and (+)-neopeltolide and analogues.

Scheme 45 RCM approach to 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrans.
A popular route to 3,4-DHPs \textbf{151} from unsaturated esters \textbf{158} uses a reduced titanium alkylidene, derived from TiCl$_4$ and a 1,1-dibromoalkane (Takai-Utimoto reagent, Scheme 49).\textsuperscript{62} Initial studies with a Ti methylidene generated under these conditions indicated that with unhindered esters, mainly ester methylation occurs (giving \textbf{150}) and efficient DHP formation required subsequent addition of a metathesis catalyst such as GII (Schemes 50 and 51). More hindered esters predominantly underwent direct DHP formation through alkylidene exchange (via \textbf{159}) and cyclisation on the ester with loss of “O=TiL$_n$”. Later studies revealed that Ti ethylidene facilitates direct DHP formation also from less-hindered unsaturated esters. While these latter olefinic ester cyclisations (OLECs) are strictly not RCM reactions and theoretically require stoichiometric reagents (in practice large excesses are employed), they are included here for comparison with the two-step procedures and because they offer the advantage of direct access to DHPs from unsaturated esters (Schemes 52–56).
In a total synthesis of the polycyclic ether marine toxin (−)-gambierol (165), both the B and C rings were made using DHPs generated from unsaturated esters (160 and 163, respectively) by reaction with a Ti methylidene, followed by GII (Scheme 50). For the B ring, RCM was carried out on a 1:1 mixture of acyclic and cyclic enol ethers. Subsequent epoxidation with concomitant methanolysis, and then O-allylation followed by Claisen rearrangement (161 → 162) induced through acid-catalysed elimination of methanol with PPTS on heating, were key steps leading to the unsaturated ester C-ring precursor 163. For this more hindered ester 163, the Ti methylidene provided a 8.5:1.5 mixture of acyclic and cyclic enol ethers (Scheme 51).
ethers that was fully converted to the DHP 164 using GII. The Ti methylidene–GII sequence has also been used in the synthesis of A–E fragment of gambieric acid A, forming the D ring as a 2,3,5,6-tetrasubstituted 3,4-DHP.64

In the synthesis of the ABCD trioxadispiroketal subunit 168 of azaspiracid-1 (169), a ribose-derived tetrahydrofuran 166 underwent Takai-Utimoto methylation and the resulting enol ether was purified on neutral alumina (74%) before undergoing RCM with GII to give the C ring 167 (70%, Scheme 51).65

The direct access to DHPs from unsaturated esters by olefinic ester cyclisation (OLEC) using Ti ethylidene has been applied towards several natural products (Schemes 52–56). The A ring (as well as the 7-membered E ring) of the polycyclic ether marine toxin adriatoxin (174) was constructed by esterification, followed by OLEC (Scheme 52),66 70% yield for A ring formation by OLEC (170 → 171) compares with 50% yield obtained from a more conventional 2-step enol ether-olefin RCM sequence. In contrast, the J ring of adriatoxin was installed, as a C-6 unsubstituted DHP 173, using GII on a vinyl ether formed from an alcohol 172 using mercuric trifluoroacetate in ethyl vinyl ether.

The R ring of maitotoxin (177), a polycyclic ether marine toxin with 32 rings and a molecular weight of 3422 Da, has been made by OLEC (Scheme 53).67 Despite the complexity of the OLEC substrate 175, assembled from acid chloride and alcohol precursors, formation of the DHP 176 occurred in 93% yield.

Three examples illustrate that a cyclic template is not required for OLECs (Schemes 54–56). The first example (178 → 179) forms the A ring of the marine toxin brevanal (180), in 88% yield (Scheme 54); 75% yield was obtained if Takai-Utimoto methylation was followed by RCM using GII.68 The latter two examples show OLEC applications away from fused polycyclic ether structures containing pyrans (Schemes 55 and 56).

The macrolide lactone bryostatin 1 (184) is currently in phase 2b clinical trials as a treatment for Alzheimer’s disease. As part of the total synthesis of bryostatin 1 (184), a C ring-containing enoate fragment 183 was constructed starting from (R)-isobutyl lactate (Scheme 55).69 The sequence involved OLEC (181 → 182), epoxidation (using magnesium monoperoxyphthalate, MMPP) – methanolytic ring-opening, oxidation to a methoxyketone, and an aldol condensation with methyl glyoxylate.

The spiroacetal core 187 of spirofungin A (188) was made by OLEC (185 → 186) followed, after desilylation, by NIS-
induced trans-diaxial addition to the DHP and reductive deiodination (Scheme 56).70

3,4-DHPs can also be accessed in a one-flask operation by RCM of allyl homoallyl ethers to give 3,6-DHPs, followed by catalyst conversion to a Ru–H to induce alkene isomerisation (cf., Scheme 21) to the enol ether; the natural products centrolobine (90) (cf., Scheme 26) and 5,6-dehydro-de-O-methyl centrolobine (192) (Scheme 57) have been prepared using this strategy.71 The RCM substrate 190 used in these syntheses was generated through asymmetric addition of a chiral allylic silane to an aldehyde 189 (Scheme 57), with the post-RCM isomerisation being induced by addition of i-PrOH and NaOH. Completion of the synthesis of 5,6-dehydro-de-O-methyl centrolobine (192) was achieved from the 3,4-DHP 191 by a regio- and diastereoselective Heck reaction and desilylation.

Conclusions

The structurally constraining demands of natural product synthesis provide a challenging environment for synthetic methodology applications. In this review, we have highlighted diverse and inventive applications from the last dozen years of ring-closing alkene metathesis (RCM) towards the commonest oxacycles (5 and 6-membered) for use towards such targets. Despite the many alternative ways available to construct such systems, the fact that RCM has found significant utility in this area and in several cases towards highly complex natural products, is a testament to the confidence that the synthetic community has in basing a strategy around this methodology. The attractiveness of this chemistry stems from a combination of the flexibility and convergent nature of RCM precursor construction, the range of functional group tolerant catalysts now (commercially) available that react with a variety of alkene substitution patterns in a predictable fashion, and the scope for post RCM manipulation of the newly formed unsaturation. We hope this review will serve to inspire further applications and developments of this chemistry in target-driven synthesis.
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