Effect of aluminum and sodium on the sorption of water and methanol in microporous MFI-type zeolites and mesoporous SBA-15 materials
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Abstract

The interaction and nature of surface sites for water and methanol sorption on MFI-type zeolites and mesoporous SBA-15 were investigated by solid-state NMR spectroscopy and correlated with the desorption enthalpies determined via TGA/DSC. For siliceous Silicalite-1, 29Si CPMAS NMR studies support stronger methanol than water interactions with SiOH groups of Q3-type. On siliceous SBA-15, SiOH groups of Q2-type are accompanied by an enhanced hydrophilicity. In aluminum-containing Na-ZSM-5, Na+ cations are strong adsorption sites for water and methanol as evidenced by 23Na MAS NMR in agreement with high desorption enthalpies of ΔH = 66–74 kJ/mol. Solid-state NMR of aluminum-containing Na-[Al]SBA-15, in contrast, has shown negligible water and methanol interactions with sodium and aluminum. Desorption enthalpies of ΔH = 44–60 kJ/mol hint at adsorption sites consisting of SiOH groups influenced by distant framework aluminum. On H-ZSM-5, Brønsted acidic OH groups are strong adsorption sites as indicated by partial protonation of water and methanol causing low-field shifts of their 1H MAS NMR signals and enhanced desorption enthalpies. Due to the small number of Brønsted acid sites in aluminum-containing H-[Al]SBA-15, water and methanol adsorption on this material is suggested to mainly occur at SiOH groups with distant framework aluminum species, as in the case of Na-[Al]SBA-15.
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1 Introduction

In the past decades, numerous studies were dealing with the adsorption properties of water and alcohols on porous solids. Often, these investigations focused on water and ethanol due to the application of porous solids with hydrophobic and
organophilic properties in separation processes (Caro and Noack 2008). Because of the raising industrial application of the methanol to hydrocarbon conversion on acidic zeolite catalysts (Olsbye et al. 2012; Tian et al. 2015), which is accompanied by the formation of water, it is also interesting to clarify the adsorption properties of methanol and to compare them with those of water. Another application is the desorption of surface-bound methanol from zeolites in the presence of water, for example after methane oxidation to methanol (Dybala et al. 2019a, b; Pappas et al. 2017; Ravi et al. 2017). The presence of bulk species and the interaction sites of water and methanol are also of interest for improving the stability of zeolites and related materials of different pore size, as the interaction sites like silanols can react with water at higher temperatures and damage the framework (Kalantzopoulos et al. 2018, 2020; Prodinger et al. 2016).

An often utilized experimental approach for the investigation of the adsorption properties of potential reactants on solid supports is the determination of adsorption heats and desorption enthalpies, e.g. via calorimetric or temperature-programmed desorption techniques. For water and methanol adsorption on MFI-type materials, such as Silicalite-1, Na-ZSM-5, and H-ZSM-5, adsorption heats covering the range of 20–80 kJ/mol have been determined (Hunger et al. 1995a, 1997a; Lee et al. 1997; Pope 1993). The evaporation heat of 41 kJ/mol for liquid water (Flanigen et al. 1978) and 37 kJ/mol for liquid methanol (Schmeling and Strey 1983) are within this range. General tendencies are weaker adsorption heats, respectively, desorption enthalpies for water and methanol molecules, e.g., adsorbed by H-bondings at SiOH groups [H$_2$O:20 kJ/mol (Lee et al. 1997), CH$_3$OH:38–48 kJ/mol (Pope 1993)] and higher values for water and methanol molecules adsorbed at Na$^+$ cations [H$_2$O:60–80 kJ/mol (Hunger et al. 1995a), CH$_3$OH:65–80 kJ/mol (Hunger et al. 1997a)] or Brønsted acidic bridging OH groups [H$_2$O:50–60 kJ/mol (Hunger et al. 1995a), CH$_3$OH:50–65 kJ/mol (Hunger et al. 1997a), etc.] in ZSM-5 zeolites loaded with low amounts of adsorbate. However, higher adsorbate loadings are often accompanied by strong variations of the adsorption heats and desorption enthalpies, respectively. Therefore, they do not allow a detailed insight into the properties of the adsorption sites and the nature of the adsorbate complexes.

The aim of the present work is to combine the study of water and methanol sorption on Silicalite-1, Na-ZSM-5, and H-ZSM-5. Therefore, we determine the desorption enthalpies via TGA/DSC and investigate the nature and properties of adsorption sites and adsorbate complexes via solid-state NMR spectroscopy under similar conditions. By this combined approach, new insights into the state and nature of water and methanol for different loadings and their specific desorption enthalpies could be obtained. Subsequently, the demonstrated methods have been utilized for investigating the influence of the introduction of aluminum into mesoporous SBA-15 materials on their water and methanol adsorption properties. These studies were combined with a detailed solid-state NMR investigation of potential adsorption sites of the above-mentioned MFI-type zeolites and mesoporous SBA-15 materials. By the study of these samples saturated at room temperature with water or methanol, the properties of these adsorbate molecules existing as bulk clusters inside the pores have been clarified, while upon desorption at 373 K, the adsorbate molecules remaining directly at the sorption sites and at the inner pore surface were available for investigations. Finally, samples evacuated at 723 K allowed an insight into the hydroxyl coverage without any adsorbate molecules.

Despite numerous solid-state NMR studies of water and methanol on various zeolites and other solid support materials, to the best of our knowledge, no direct spectroscopic comparison of these two adsorbate molecules on identical samples or on comparable samples with different pore sizes was performed until now. On the other hand, previous solid-state NMR studies of water or methanol adsorption on solid catalysts gave useful insights into the possible states and natures of the above-mentioned adsorbate complexes and delivered valuable spectroscopic parameters, which are helpful for the assignment and discussion of the solid-state NMR signals observed in the present work.

According to previous studies, water vapour gives a $^1$H NMR signal at the chemical shift of 0.31 ppm (Harris and Mann 1978), while the signal of bulk water occurs at 4.78 ppm (Emsley et al. 1965). The difference in the resonance position is due to the H-bondings between the molecules in water clusters. Water adsorbed at Na$^+$ cations, such as existing in aluminosilicates for the compensation of negative framework charges, is observed at 3.5 ppm (Kasai and Jones 1984). In zeolites containing Brønsted acid sites [Si–(OH)–Al], adsorbed water can be protonated and forms hydroxonium ions, which leads to $^1$H MAS NMR signals at 9 ppm in a hydrated state (Wang et al. 2019). For comparison, the signal of hydroxonium protons in H$_2$OClO$_4$ was observed at 10.7 ppm, while the signal of hydroxonium protons at the K$^+$ position in alunite mineral occurs at 11.4 ppm (Ratcliffe et al. 1985). By quantum-chemical methods, a resonance position of 12.7 ppm was calculated for single water ion pairs (H$_2$O$^+$/Si–O–Al$^-$) (Haase and Sauer 1994).

The chemical shift of the $^1$H NMR signal of the hydroxyl proton of methanol in CDC$_3$ was determined to 1.6 ppm and due to H-bondings in liquid CD$_3$OH to 4.73 ppm, whereas in the case of methanol adsorption at Na$^+$ cations in zeolites, a signal at 3.6 ppm occurs (Anderson et al. 1991). These chemical shift values are very similar to the values determined for water adsorption under similar conditions. However, quantum-chemical calculation of hydrogen bonded methanol and methanol in ion pairs (CH$_3$OH$^+$/Si–O–Al$^-$)
gave resonance positions of 10.8 ppm and 17.4 ppm, respectively (Haase and Sauer 1995).

According to the above-mentioned chemical shift values, the 1H NMR signals of water in different adsorption states on solid catalysts cover a range from 0 ppm (highly diluted/vapour) to maximum 13 ppm (hydroxonium ions), while for methanol in similar adsorption states, a range from 1 ppm (highly diluted) to maximum 17 ppm (methoxonium ions) has to be expected. At room temperature, rapid exchange occurs between the different adsorption states of water and methanol on solid catalysts. Therefore, the resonance positions of the maxima of the experimentally observed 1H MAS NMR signals depend on the relative contents of all contributing adsorbate complexes and their characteristic chemical shifts. Due to this effect, e.g. the experimentally observed 1H MAS NMR signals of methanol (methoxonium ions:17.4 ppm) (Haase and Sauer 1995) in rapid exchange with these molecules adsorbed at strong Brønsted acid sites in zeolites can reach higher chemical shift values compared with water (hydroxonium ions:12.7 ppm) (Haase and Sauer 1994) under similar conditions. Furthermore, the mobility of the adsorbate molecules limits the effect of the line narrowing by rapid sample spinning around an axis in the magic angle (MAS). Therefore, the line widths of 1H MAS NMR signals of adsorbed water and methanol molecules strongly depend on the dynamic of exchange processes and the molecule mobility.

2 Experimental methods

2.1 Preparation of materials

Zeolite H-ZSM-5 was purchased from Tricat Inc., Hunt Valley, USA. Na-ZSM-5 was obtained by triple ion exchange of Zeolite H-ZSM-5. Briefly, 30 g H-ZSM-5 was mixed with 600 mL 1 M NaNO₃ solution, stirred at 323 K for 4 h, filtered and washed with deionized water. Silicalite-1 was synthesized as reported by Ghamami and Sand (1983) in a rotating autoclave using a Levasil 200N sol as silica source. Further informations on shape and properties of these materials can be found elsewhere (Dyballa et al. 2016).

The siliceous SBA-15 was synthesized according to literature (Meynen et al. 2009). Briefly, 4 g P123 were dissolved in 130 mL H₂O and 20 mL 37% HCl solution. 9.14 mL tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) were added into the solution, stirred at 323 K for 7.5 h and aged at 353 K for 15.5 h. The as-synthesized material was washed with demineralized water and calcined at 823 K for 6 h. The modification of SBA-15 with aluminum has been reported elsewhere (Luan et al. 1999). Briefly, 0.24 g sodium aluminate was mixed with 2 g SBA-15, added to 200 mL water and stirred at 295 K for 16 h. Na-[Al]SBA-15 was obtained after washing with demineralized water and subsequent calcination at 823 K for 5 h. H-[Al]SBA-15 was obtained after two-fold ion exchange of 0.7 g Na-[Al]SBA-15 in 50 mL 1 M NH₄NO₃ solution at 353 K for 4 h and subsequent calcination at 673 K for 6 h.

2.2 Analytical and spectroscopic methods

The chemical composition of the samples under study was determined by inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) on an IRIS Advantage instrument. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded by using a Bruker D8 diffractometer with CuKα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) in the 2θ range of 4°–50° for MFI zeolites and 0.7°–5° for SBA-15. The surface areas of different porous materials were measured by nitrogen physisorption at 77 K on a Quantachrome Autosorb 3B device and calculated according to the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) equation. Mesopore volumes were calculated from the total pore volume at p/p₀ = 0.99 and the micropore volume according to the V-t method (deBoer). Mesopore diameters were obtained via the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method from the adsorption branch. Before nitrogen adsorption, the samples were activated in vacuum at 623 K for 16 h. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) curves were simultaneously measured on a Netzsch STA 449 F5 instrument. Before the experiments, the differential scanning calorimeter was calibrated by a standard calibration kit. For these measurements, 5 to 11 mg of samples, saturated with water or methanol at 295 K for 48 h, were filled into aluminum crucibles and sealed with a lid with pinned hole. The temperature program of TGA–DSC measurement contained two heating steps, 298–373 K (1 K/min) and 373–723 K (5 K/min) for determining the weakly and strongly adsorbed molecules, respectively. An isothermal step at 373 K (60 min) and cooling step from 373 to 348 K (5 min) was conducted in between these two heating ramps. A repetition of the heating steps was performed to create a baseline for the DSC curve and the desorption enthalpies determined as described elsewhere (Kim et al. 2016).

The 1H, 23Na, and 27Al MAS NMR measurements were carried out on a Bruker Avance III 400WB spectrometer using a 4.0 mm MAS NMR probe with a spinning rate of 8 kHz. 1H, 23Na, and 27Al MAS NMR spectra were recorded at the resonance frequencies of 400.1 MHz, 105.8 MHz, and 104.2 MHz, respectively, with single-pulse π/2 (1H), π/4 (23Na), and π/6 (27Al) excitation, and repetition times of 20 s for 1H and 0.5 s for 23Na and 27Al nuclei. The 29Si cross-polarization MAS NMR measurements (CPMAS NMR) were carried out using a 7.0 mm MAS NMR probe with a spinning rate of 3.5 kHz. These spectra were recorded at the resonance frequency of 79.5 MHz (same spectrometer) at an optimized RF-field of 41.67 kHz with a 1H–29Si contact
time of 3 ms and a repetition time of 4 s applying a ramp of 70–100% and ppm 15 decoupling. TopSpin software was used to determine the quadrupole parameters.

Before adsorption of water or methanol, the samples were calcined at 723 K for 12 h and at p < 10⁻² mbar using a vacuum line. The adsorption of H₂O was performed at 295 K for 48 h by equilibrating calcined samples in a desiccator over saturated Ca(NO₃)₂ solution. The adsorption of methanol was performed at 295 K for 48 h by equilibrating calcined samples in a Schlenk line containing CD₃OH. For some of the MAS NMR studies, water- and methanol-loaded samples were evacuated at 373 K for 30 min. This final temperature was reached by increasing the temperature from 298 K in steps of 25 K up to 373 K with an equilibration for 30 min at each step. The samples were transferred into the 4 mm or 7 mm MAS NMR rotors inside a glove box purged with dry nitrogen gas. For ammonia loading, calcined samples were packed in ZrO₂ rotors and via a glass tube connected to a vacuum line. After evacuation, NH₃ was loaded with a pressure of 100 mbar for 10 min. Subsequently, desorption of physisorbed NH₃ was performed in vacuum at 453 K for 2 h.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Physico-chemical characterization

The chemical compositions of the samples under study, determined by ICP-OES, and the results of the N₂ physisorption studies are summarized in Table 1. As can be seen, only negligible traces of aluminum were found in the siliceous materials Silicalite-1 and SBA-15. Furthermore, both the H- and Na-form of ZSM-5 and the aluminum-modified SBA-15 materials show equal aluminum contents. Thus, the ion exchange process did not lead to a significant removal of aluminum in either case. Only negligible amounts of sodium were found for H-ZSM-5 and H-[Al]SBA-15, i.e. the H-forms of these materials were completely ion exchanged. The BET surface areas of MFI-type zeolites are between 345 and 372 m²/g and thus in the typical range for these materials, with negligible mesopore volumes (Dyballa et al. 2016, 2018a). Upon modification of the siliceous SBA-15 with sodium aluminate, the BET surface area decreased from 870 to 522 m²/g for Na-[Al]SBA-15 and of 442 m²/g for H-[Al]SBA-15. Simultaneously, the micropore volume decreased from 0.14 to 0.05–0.03 mL/g and mesopore volume decreased from 0.93 to 0.75–0.72 mL/g. This was accompanied by a change of the average mesopore diameter from 7.1 to 6.7–6.8 nm (accuracy ± 0.1). This results from aluminum deposits in micropores and few aluminum deposits inside the mesopores and agrees with observations of other authors (Talha et al. 2017).

To verify the phase purity of the materials, X-ray diffraction on MFI zeolites and mesoporous SBA-15 materials was performed. The corresponding diffraction patterns are depicted in Figures S1 and S2, respectively, in the Supporting Information. Figure S1 shows typical diffraction patterns of MFI-type zeolites, without reflections due to competing phases. The small angle X-ray diffraction patterns of SBA-15 and aluminum modified SBA-15 show the typical reflections of the hexagonal symmetry known from literature (Talha et al. 2017). Thus, the phase purity of both micro- and mesoporous materials was confirmed.

The 27Al MAS NMR spectra of the siliceous materials in Figure S3 in the Supporting Information contain only traces of aluminum species, which is in accordance with the ICP-OES results in Table 1. The crystalline MFI zeolites show 27Al MAS NMR signals at 55 ppm due to tetrahedral aluminum (Al⁴⁺) incorporated in the framework and negligible signals at 0 ppm due to octahedrally coordinated extraframework aluminum (Al⁶⁺). Mesoporous Na-[Al]SBA-15 has a peak of Al⁴⁺ and no peak of Al⁶⁺. The Al⁴⁺ signal is strongly broadened as a result of the amorphous nature of the pore walls. Furthermore, the presence of small amounts of pentahedral aluminum (Al⁵⁺) at a chemical shift of ca. 30 ppm is verified. Mesoporous H-[Al]SBA-15 shows in

| Material       | Si/Al ratio  | Al content in mmol/g  | Na content in mmol/g | BET surface in m²/g | Vnano in mL/g | Vmeso in mL/g | Mesopore diameter in nm |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Silicalite-1   | > 800        | <0.01                 | <0.01                | 350                  | 0.12         | 0.10         | –                     |
| Na-ZSM-5      | 24           | 0.60                  | 0.60                 | 345                  | 0.12         | 0.06         | –                     |
| H-ZSM-5       | 24           | 0.60                  | <0.01                | 372                  | 0.13         | 0.07         | –                     |
| SBA-15        | > 1600       | <0.01                 | <0.01                | 870                  | 0.14         | 0.93         | 7.1                   |
| Na-[Al]SBA-15 | 12           | 1.11                  | 0.86                 | 522                  | 0.05         | 0.75         | 6.7                   |
| H-[Al]SBA-15  | 12           | 1.15                  | <0.01                | 442                  | 0.03         | 0.72         | 6.8                   |

a Determined by ICP-OES with experimental accuracy of ± 10%

b Adsorption branch
addition to the signal observed for Na-[Al]SBA-15, the formation of Al$^{VI}$ species in result of the NH$_4^+$ exchange and the subsequent calcination. We found no evidence of dea-lumination caused by the loadings with water respectively methanol. Hence, the synthesis of pure and intact MFI-type zeolites and SBA-15 materials was verified.

### 3.2 Spectroscopic investigation of the different adsorption sites in MFI-type zeolites and mesoporous SBA-15

Potential adsorption sites for water and methanol, which can occur in MFI-type zeolites and SBA-15 materials with different aluminum contents are graphically summarized in Scheme 1.

For the siliceous materials Silicalite-1 and SBA-15, isolated (Q$^3$), vicinal (Q$^3$, not shown), and geminal (Q$^2$) SiOH groups (Scheme 1a and b, right-hand side) may act as adsorption sites. By $^{29}$Si solid-state NMR spectroscopy, Q$^3$ and Q$^2$ species can be distinguished via their chemical shifts of -100 and -90 ppm, respectively (Engelhardt and Michel 1987). These NMR signals can be selectively enhanced via $^1$H $\Rightarrow$ $^{29}$Si cross polarization (CP) experiments. In this case, a direct excitation of $^1$H spins via a single $\pi/2$ pulse and a subsequent polarization transfer to dipolar coupled neighbouring $^{29}$Si spins is performed. The involved $^1$H spins are, e.g., hydroxyl protons of surface OH groups or strongly adsorbed $^1$H-containing molecules.

In the presence of aluminum species incorporated into the framework of crystalline ZSM-5 zeolites or into the amorphous pore walls of mesoporous SBA-15 materials (Scheme 1a and b, right-hand side, bottom), adsorption sites with very different properties are present. The local structure of aluminum species in the framework of zeolites and the adsorption of molecules in the vicinity of these species have a significant influence on the quadrupole interaction of the corresponding $^{27}$Al spins (spin $I=5/2$).

An important parameter describing the strength of this interaction is the quadrupolar coupling constant $C_q$, which linearly depends on the electric field gradient at the positions of the quadrupolar nuclei and, therefore, also on the presence of adsorbate molecules (Hunger and Horvath 1995; Hunger et al. 1995b). Similarly, also sodium atoms compensating the negatively charged framework aluminum (Al$^{IV}$) atoms in ZSM-5 and sodium atoms in aluminum-modified SBA-15 materials are involved in quadrupole interactions ($^{23}$Na nuclei with spin $I=3/2$). Solid-state NMR investigations of their quadrupole interactions give useful information on the local structure and the presence of water or other molecules coordinated at these cations (Hunger et al. 1994).

Replacing the Na$^+$ cations by hydroxyl protons in ZSM-5 zeolites is accompanied by the formation of strong Bronsted acid sites [Si(OH)Al in Scheme 1], which are catalytically active and, therefore, act as strong adsorption sites. Often, their presence, quantity, and strength is investigated by adsorption of probe molecules, such as ammonia (Dyballa et al. 2018a, b; Wang et al. 2014, 2015). In aluminum-modified mesoporous materials, the incorporation of Al atoms into the pore walls can lead to the formation of Lewis sites in the vicinity of SiOH groups [Si(OH)···Al in Scheme 1]. The latter SiOH groups are characterized by an enhanced acid strength and their presence can be proven and quantified by adsorption of ammonia and the $^1$H MAS NMR observation of subsequently formed NH$_4^+$ ions (Hunger 1996; Jiang et al. 2011; Lang et al. 2017).

In the following sections, the application of the above-mentioned solid-state NMR tools for the study and characterization of potential adsorption sites and their interactions with water and methanol is described.
3.2.1 Adsorption of water and methanol on silanol groups in silicalite-1 and siliceous SBA-15

3.2.1.1 Silicalite-1 Figure 1 shows the $^{29}$Si CPMAS NMR spectra of Silicalite-1 (a) and siliceous SBA-15 (b), which were recorded in the calcined (desorbed 723 K) state and after saturation with H$_2$O respectively CD$_3$OH. In the case of Silicalite-1, the spectrum of the calcined sample consists of signals at -103 and -113 ppm due to SiOH groups of Q$^3$ type and due to tetrahedrally coordinated framework silicon atoms of Q$^4$ type (see Scheme 1), respectively. Upon saturation with H$_2$O, a weak decrease of these signals can be observed, which agrees with the observation of the Lercher group (Wang et al. 2019) for $^{29}$Si CPMAS NMR investigation of hydrated H-ZSM-5 zeolites. In this earlier work, the strong decrease of $^{29}$Si CPMAS NMR signal of silicon atoms contributing to Si(OH)Al groups for increasing water loading was explained by hydrogen transfer from the above-mentioned OH groups to water molecules leading to the formation of hydroxonium ions. Furthermore, the spectra of these authors showed also a decrease of the Q$^3$ signal with increasing water loading. This observation indicates that interactions of water with SiOH groups exist in ZSM-5 zeolites, but in a weaker manner in comparison with Si(OH) Al groups. The decrease of the $^{29}$Si CPMAS NMR signal of SiOH groups is explained by a decreased polarization transfer upon saturation. This is explained by an increase of the $^1$H-$^{29}$Si distance due to an increase of the O–H distance of the corresponding SiOH groups caused by H-bondings to water molecules. In this connection it should be noted that the weak decrease of the polarization transfer also indicates that the H atoms of the water molecules do not contribute to the polarization transfer. Hence, their distance to next Si atoms is too large or the H atoms of adsorbed water are mobile, e.g., because they are involved in a water rotation. On the other hand, the weak intensity decrease of the $^{29}$Si CPMAS NMR signals for the water-loaded Silicalite-1 (Fig. 1a), therefore, also indicates weak H$_2$O/SiOH interactions. In contrast, a much stronger decrease of the signal intensities occurred upon the saturation of Silicalite-1 with CD$_3$OH (CD$_3$OH saturated). The above-mentioned finding hints at much stronger CD$_3$OH/SiOH interactions compared with the H$_2$O/SiOH interactions for Silicalite-1.

3.2.1.2 SBA-15 The $^{29}$Si CPMAS NMR spectrum of the calcined SBA-15 (desorbed 723 K) consists of Q$^3$ and Q$^2$ signals at -101 and -92 ppm, respectively (Fig. 1b). A weak high-field shoulder at -110 ppm is due to Q$^4$ species. In contrast to the finding for Silicalite-1, saturation of SBA-15 with water (H$_2$O saturated) led to a significant increase of the $^{29}$Si CPMAS NMR signals. This effect may be due to an adsorption of water at well accessible Q$^2$-type SiOH groups in such a manner that the H atoms of these water molecules are able to contribute to the $^1$H $\Rightarrow$ $^{29}$Si polarization transfer. In this case, the intensity decrease of the Q$^2$ signals of SiOH groups involved in H-bondings to water molecules is overcompensated by the contribution of the additional $^1$H spins of the adsorbed water molecules to the $^1$H $\Rightarrow$ $^{29}$Si polarization transfer. This effect cannot occur for Silicalite-1 since this material has no Q$^2$-type SiOH groups. These water molecules must be adsorbed at the two neighbouring SiOH groups (Q$^2$) in such a manner that their H atoms are not mobile and have a short distance to next nearest Si atoms. The $^{29}$Si CPMAS NMR spectrum of SBA-15 saturated with CD$_3$OH (CD$_3$OH saturated), on the other hand, shows significantly lower signal intensities compared with the spec-

Fig. 1 $^{29}$Si CPMAS NMR spectra of Silicalite-1 (a) and siliceous SBA-15 (b) recorded in the calcined state (desorbed at 723 K) and after saturating calcined samples with water (H$_2$O saturated) or methanol (CD$_3$OH saturated)
trum of calcined SBA-15, which is similar to the finding for methanol-loaded Silicalite-1. Also for SBA-15, therefore, strong CD₃OH/SiOH interactions can be assumed, leading to an increase of the ¹H–²⁹Si distance due to an increase of the O–H distance of the corresponding OH groups caused by H-bondings to methanol molecules.

3.2.2 Adsorption of water and methanol on sodium and aluminum species in Na-ZSM-5 and Na-[Al]SBA-15

3.2.2.1 Water adsorption The ²³Na MAS NMR spectra of Na-ZSM-5 and Na-[Al]SBA-15 in different hydration/dehydration states are shown in Fig. 2a and c. The ²³Na MAS NMR signal of the calcined Na-ZSM-5 (desorbed 723 K) occurs at ca. −24 ppm and its broadening can be explained by a quadrupole tensor corresponding to a coupling constant of \( C_q = 2.2 \pm 0.2 \) MHz and at an isotropic chemical shift of −6 ppm. This \( C_q \) value agrees well with \( C_q = 2.0 \) MHz published in an earlier work (Hunger et al. 1997b). The difference between the experimentally observed chemical shift of ca. −24 ppm and the above-mentioned isotropic chemical shift of −6 ppm, the latter obtained by computer simulation, is due to the second-order quadrupolar shift, which is also proportional to the strength of the quadrupole interaction. Therefore, the shift of the experimentally observed ²³Na MAS NMR signal from −24 ppm for the calcined sample to −4 ppm for the fully hydrated sample (H₂O saturated) hints at a significant decrease of the electric field gradient at the Na⁺ cations due to adsorption of water molecules. The broadening of the signal at −4 ppm corresponds to a small \( C_q \) value of < 0.1 MHz. Evacuation of the hydrated Na-ZSM-5 at 373 K (desorbed 373 K) led to a resonance shift of the ²³Na MAS NMR signal from −4 ppm to the experimentally observed value of −20 ppm, i.e. not to the shift of ca. −24 ppm observed for the completely dehydrated material. This finding indicates that the residual water molecules remaining on Na-ZSM-5 after evacuation at 373 K are adsorbed at Na⁺ cations.

The ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra of Na-ZSM-5 and Na-[Al]SBA-15 in different hydration/dehydration states are shown in Fig. 2b and d. The ²⁷Al MAS NMR signal of the calcined

Fig. 2 ²³Na MAS NMR (left-hand side) and ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra (right-hand side) of Na-ZSM-5 (a, b) and Na-[Al]SBA-15 (c, d) recorded after saturating calcined samples with water (H₂O saturated), after desorption of water at 373 K (desorbed at 373 K), and in the calcined state (desorbed at 723 K). The chemical shift values are the experimentally observed shift values of the signal maxima.
Na-ZSM-5 occurs at ca. 47 ppm and its broadening corresponds to a quadrupole tensor with a coupling constant of $C_q = 4.5 \pm 0.2$ MHz and at an isotropic chemical shift of 55 ppm. This $C_q$ value agrees well with $C_q = 4.7$ MHz published in an earlier work of Freude et al. (Freude et al. 1994). The isotropic shift value of 55 ppm is typical for aluminum species in a tetrahedral oxygen coordination, i.e. at framework aluminum of the ZSM-5 lattice (Engelhardt and Michel 1987). Like for $^{23}$Na nuclei, the different experimentally observed resonance positions of the $^{27}$Al MAS NMR signals for Na-ZSM-5 with difference water contents are due to the second-order quadrupolar shift, i.e. a different strength of the quadrupole interaction. Consequently, the broadening of the $^{27}$Al MAS NMR signal of the hydrated Na-ZSM-5 corresponds to $C_q = 0.8 \pm 0.2$ MHz, which is much less than the $C_q$ value of 4.5 MHz for the calcined material (vide supra). In this connection it must be noted that the adsorption of water on Na-ZSM-5 and subsequent desorption is not accompanied by a change of the isotropic shift value of the framework aluminum species at 55 ppm. Hence, no change of the oxygen coordination occurs, i.e. there is no direct coordination of water at these aluminum species. Since the negatively charge framework aluminum atoms and the Na$^+$ cations act as ion pairs (Na$^+$/AlIV$^-$), the water-induced changes of the $^{27}$Al MAS NMR spectroscopic parameters are caused by the water coordination at the directly neighboured Na$^+$ cations.

The $^{23}$Na MAS NMR spectra of Na-[Al]SBA-15 in the different hydration/dehydration states (Fig. 2c) are similar to those of Na-ZSM-5 (Fig. 2a). For Na-[Al]SBA-15, the resonance shift from $-22$ ppm for the calcined material to $-5$ ppm for the fully hydrated material indicates an adsorption of water molecules at the sodium species leading to a strong decrease of the electric field gradient at their position. In contrast to Na-ZSM-5, however, there is only a very weak difference between the $^{23}$Na MAS NMR signals of Na-[Al]SBA-15 upon desorption at 373 K and 723 K. Hence, only few water molecules remain at the sodium species of Na-[Al]SBA-15 after the desorption at 373 K. A similar effect occurs for the $^{27}$Al MAS NMR spectra of Na-[Al]SBA-15 differently loaded with water in Fig. 2d. Also in these spectra, a very weak difference between the $^{27}$Al MAS NMR signals of Na-[Al]SBA-15 upon desorption at 373 K and 723 K was found, which corresponds to a weak influence of the remaining water molecules at neighbouring sodium species.

3.2.2.2 Methanol adsorption The $^{23}$Na MAS NMR spectra of Na-ZSM-5 and Na-[Al]SBA-15 in different states of methanol sorption are shown in Fig. 3a and c. The $^{23}$Na MAS NMR signal of the fully CD$_3$OH-loaded Na-ZSM-5 occurs at $-12$ ppm and its broadening can be explained by a quadrupole tensor with a coupling constant of $C_q = 1.4 \pm 0.2$ MHz and at an isotropic chemical shift of $-5$ ppm. The comparison with the calcined Na-ZSM-5 (desorbed at 723 K) with the $C_q$ value of 2.2 MHz indicates that also methanol is adsorbed at the Na$^+$ cations accompanied by a significant decrease of the electric field gradient and, therefore, of the quadrupolar coupling constant. For methanol adsorption on Na-ZSM-5, however, this effect is not as strong as found for water adsorption, which decreased the $C_q$ value from 2.2 to <0.1 MHz (vide supra). Upon desorption of methanol at 373 K, a resonance shift to an experimentally observed chemical shift value of $-21$ ppm occurs, which is again higher than that of the fully desorbed sample of $-24$ ppm (Fig. 3a). As discussed for water desorption at 373 K, this above-mentioned shift difference hints at a coordination of the remaining methanol molecules at Na$^+$ cations. Similarly, the $^{27}$Al MAS NMR spectra of Na-ZSM-5 with different methanol loadings in Fig. 3b shows signals of tetrahedrally coordinated framework aluminum species with different second-order quadrupolar shifts. As found for water adsorption, also the methanol adsorption causes a decrease of the quadrupolar coupling constant from $C_q = 2.2$ MHz (signal at 47 ppm) for the calcined Na-ZSM-5 to $C_q = 1.6 \pm 0.2$ MHz (signal at 53 ppm) for the fully methanol-loaded material. Again, no change of the oxygen coordination of the aluminum species occurred as indicated by the unchanged isotropic chemical shift value of 55 ppm. Hence, also methanol is preferentially adsorbed at the Na$^+$ cations of Na-ZSM-5, which induces a decrease of the electric field gradient at neighbouring framework aluminum species acting as ion pairs (Na$^+/$(AlIV)$^-$).

The $^{23}$Na MAS NMR spectra of Na-[Al]SBA-15 in different states of methanol sorption in Fig. 3c are similar to those found for Na-ZSM-5 in Fig. 3a. The most important difference between the $^{23}$Na MAS NMR spectra of these two materials loaded with methanol is the even stronger overlap of the signals recorded after methanol desorption from Na-[Al]SBA-15 at 373 K and 723 K (Fig. 3c). Hence, upon methanol desorption at 373 K, no methanol molecules remain at the sodium species in Na-[Al]SBA-15, which may be caused by their interaction with other adsorption sites or due to their too low number. Similarly, also the $^{27}$Al MAS NMR spectra of Na-[Al]SBA-15 loaded with methanol show no difference between the signals recorded upon desorption at 373 K and 723 K (Fig. 3d). Hence, no effect of methanol molecules remaining upon the desorption at 373 K occurs at the sodium or the aluminum species of Na-[Al]SBA-15.

3.2.3 Investigation of Brønsted acid sites in H-ZSM-5 and H-[Al]SBA-15

The $^1$H MAS NMR spectrum of the calcined H-ZSM-5 in Fig. 5a, bottom, consists of the signals of SiOH groups at 2.0 ppm and Brønsted acidic bridging OH groups (Si(OH)Al) at 4.1 ppm (Hunger 1997; Jiang et al. 2011).
Upon adsorption of ammonia on H-ZSM-5, a new signal at 6.7 ppm due to NH$_4^+$ ions occurred (Fig. 4a, top). The intensity of this signal corresponds to the formation of $0.49 \pm 0.02$ mmol/g ammonium ions at a same number of Brønsted acidic bridging OH groups [Si(OH)Al]. This value is slightly lower than the total number of aluminum species of 0.60 mmol/g determined by ICP-OES, due to the formation of aluminum species that do not contribute to the acidity. According to Haase and Sauer (1994, 1995) the above-mentioned Si(OH)Al groups are able to protonate both water and methanol.

The $^1$H MAS NMR spectrum of calcined H-[Al]SBA-15 in Fig. 4b, bottom, consists of a single signal at 1.8 ppm due to SiOH groups. Despite the modification of this SBA-15 with aluminum, no additional signal due to Brønsted acidic OH groups can be observed in the low-field range. The weak low-field tailing of the signal at 1.8 ppm is often assigned to H-bonded SiOH groups (Jiang et al. 2011). On the other hand, the ammonium signal at 6.7 ppm, occurring after ammonia adsorption, hints at the presence of SiOH with enhanced Brønsted acidity. The intensity of this signal corresponds to 0.18 mmol/g ammonium ions formed at a same number of Brønsted acidic OH groups. Hence, 16% of the total number of aluminum atoms are incorporated into the SBA-15 framework in such a manner that they act as strong Lewis sites in the direct vicinity of SiOH groups (Si(OH)--Al), causing an enhancement of their Brønsted acid strength. These Si(OH)--Al occurring in [Al]SBA-15 are able to protonate strongly basic probe molecules, such as observed for aluminum-modified MCM-41 (Hunger et al. 1999; Wang et al. 2013a; Xu et al. 2002) and aluminum-modified silica prepared by flame spray pyrolysis (Huang et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2013b, 2020).

In addition to the Lewis acidic framework aluminum species in [Al]SBA-15, a high content of the aluminum atoms must be incorporated into the pore walls of SBA-15 in such a manner that they are tetrahedrally coordinated (Al$^{IV}$), as indicated by the $^{27}$Al MAS NMR spectra in Figure S3, but in the direct vicinity of SiOH groups. Since these Al$^{IV}$ species do not cause Brønsted sites with an acid strength suitable for the protonation of ammonia, they must be incorporated in a larger distance to SiOH groups compared with...
the above-mentioned Lewis acidic framework aluminum atoms. Due to their large distance to SiOH groups, only a weak influence on the properties of these hydroxyl groups is expected. Finally, it should be noted that no ammonium formation was observed upon ammonia adsorption on calcined Na-ZSM-5 and Na-[Al]SBA-15 (not shown). Thus, both materials are, in agreement with ICP-OES measurements, in pure Na-form. Summarizing, the 1H MAS NMR studies of ammonia-loaded samples have shown that the Na-form materials contain no Brønsted acid sites. While H-ZSM-5 contain a number of Brønsted acid sites similar to the number of aluminum atoms in this material, only 16% of aluminum atoms in H-[Al]SBA-15 contribute to the formation of Brønsted acid sites.

3.3 Study of water and methanol adsorption on MFI-type zeolites

3.3.1 Silicalite-1

For our Silicalite-1, the desorption enthalpy of \( \Delta H = 38 \text{ kJ/mol} \), determined for desorption of water from the fully hydrated Silicalite-1 (Table 2, column 2), is close to the evaporation heat of liquid water of 41 kJ/mol (Flanigen et al. 1978). This finding indicates that water mainly exist as bulk clusters, which is in good accordance with the weak H\(_2\)O/SiOH interactions observed for this sample by \(^{29}\text{Si} \text{CPMAS} \) NMR spectroscopy (Sect. 3.2.1). In literature, lower values of 10 to 25 kJ/mol were published (Flanigen et al. 1978; Sauer 1985; Zhang et al. 2012), however, for loading of few H\(_2\)O molecules per SiOH group, i.e. if H\(_2\)O/SiOH interactions are dominating and no water bulk clusters exist. For the remaining water after evacuation of hydrated Silicalite-1 at 373 K, no \( \Delta H \) value could be determined in the present work because of the low number of 0.1 mmol/g remaining water molecules (Table 2, column 3). The desorption enthalpy of \( \Delta H = 39 \text{ kJ/mol} \) for the fully methanol-loaded Silicalite-1 (Table 3, column 2) agrees very well with literature values of 43–53 kJ/mol (Thamm 1989) and also with the evaporation heat of liquid methanol of 37 kJ/mol (Schmeling and Strey 1983). Hence, for high methanol loadings on Silicalite-1, a major part of the methanol is in the form of bulk clusters. Interestingly, for the adsorption equilibrium at room temperature, a much higher total (weakly adsorbed plus strongly bound) loading of methanol of 1.8 mmol/g compared with water of 0.5 mmol/g was determined (Tables 2 and 3, column 3). This tendency agrees with the stronger \( \text{CD}_3\text{OH}/\text{SiOH} \) interactions compared with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SiOH} \) interactions described in Sect. 3.2.1, which supports the hydrophobic properties of Silicalite-1. After desorption at 373 K, loadings of 0.1 mmol/g methanol were determined on Silicalite-1. Thus, like for water, no \( \Delta H \) value could be determined.

The 1H MAS NMR spectra of both the fully water- and methanol-loaded Silicalite-1 are shown in Figs. 5a and 6a, respectively. Both spectra reflect the similarities already observed in the \(^{29}\text{Si} \text{CPMAS} \) NMR spectra in Fig. 1 and show strong signals at 3.7 to 3.9 ppm with weak low-field shoulders at 6 ppm. The shift values and the small line widths of the former signals (see Tables 2 and 3, column 4), indicate that these signals are due to mobile clusters of these molecules inside the pores. Such bulk clusters of water form usually in small structural units, like the sodalite cages of SAPO-37 (Kalantzopoulos et al. 2020). The low-field shift of the latter signals and their large line widths hint at the presence of H-bonded adsorbate clusters interacting with SiOH groups ((H\(_2\)O)\(_n\)/SiOH and (\(\text{CD}_3\text{OH}\))\(_n\)/SiOH), which is supported by the findings described in Sect. 3.2.1. After desorption at 373 K, the 1H MAS NMR spectra contain only very weak signals of physisorbed water and methanol at 4.5 and 4 ppm, respectively. The very weak and narrow signals at 6.5 ppm were already described in literature (Hunger et al. 1991) for strongly adsorbed water at defect sites in MFI-type materials, which is probably also valid for strongly adsorbed methanol at the same support.

3.3.2 Na-ZSM-5

At the adsorption equilibrium at room temperature, the presence of Na\(^+\) cations in Na-ZSM-5 is accompanied by a higher total water and methanol loadings of 3.5 mmol/g and of 2.1 mmol/g, respectively, compared to purely...
The adsorbate loadings are thereby reverse to those of Silicalite-1 (0.5 and 1.8 mmol/g, respectively) under equivalent conditions. Hence, Na-ZSM-5 is more a hydrophilic and less an organophilic support material, in contrast to Silicalite-1. The low desorption enthalpy of $\Delta H = 27$ kJ/mol (Table 2, column 2) for the weakly adsorbed water molecules indicates that these molecules do not exist as bulk clusters, like in Silicalite-1 ($\Delta H = 38$ kJ/mol). As supported by the solid-state NMR studies described in Sect. 3.2.2., water molecules are preferentially adsorbed at the Na$^+$ cations of Na-ZSM-5 in form of hydration shells around the cation ((H$_2$O)$_n$/Na$^+$). This assignment also agrees with the observed resonance position of the dominating $^1$H MAS NMR signal at 3.2 ppm in Fig. 5b, which corresponds to the previously published shift values for water at Na$^+$ cations in zeolites (Kasai and Jones 1984). The water molecules contributing to the hydration shells around the Na$^+$ cations are weakly physisorbed, since their signals have a line width of 500 Hz only hinting at a high mobility (Table 2, column 4).

The $^1$H MAS NMR spectrum of the fully methanol-loaded Na-ZSM-5 zeolite (Fig. 6b) is dominated by a signal with a much larger line width of 2500 Hz (Table 3, column 4) and the methanol has a slightly higher desorption enthalpy of $\Delta H = 33$ kJ/mol, when compared with the water-loaded Na-ZSM-5 (column 2). These findings indicate that the content of less mobile methanol molecules, directly coordinated at the Na$^+$, is higher than the content of strongly adsorbed water molecules in the comparable case of fully hydrated Na-ZSM-5. After desorption of methanol and water at 373 K, therefore, also a higher number of methanol (1.1 mmol/g) compared with the number of water (0.4 mmol/g) remains on Na-ZSM-5. Some of these methanol molecules must be involved in additional H-bondings responsible for the broad low-field shifted $^1$H MAS NMR signal at ca. 5 ppm.

### Table 2: Water desorption enthalpies $\Delta H$, determined by TGA–DSC, amounts of adsorbed water, $^1$H MAS NMR spectroscopic parameters (chemical shift $\delta_{^1H}$, line width $\Delta \nu_{1/2}$, ‘w’ indicates weak signals), and adsorbate assignments for the MFI-type zeolites under study investigated after desorption at temperatures of 295 to 373 K, 373 to 723 K, and at 723 K.

| Porous support and adsorbed species | $\Delta H$ in kJ/mol | $^1$H MAS NMR $\delta_{^1H}$ in ppm/$\Delta \nu_{1/2}$ in Hz | Assignments and references |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| **Silicalite-1**                    |                      |                                                  |                            |
| Weakly adsorbed H$_2$O$^a$          | 38                   | 3.9/600                                          | Mobile H$_2$O cluster (Batamack et al. 1991) |
| Strongly bound H$_2$O$^b$           | n.d.$^c$             | ca. 6/1700                                       | (H$_2$O)$_n$/SiOH (Grünberg et al. 2004) |
| Pure OH$^c$                         | –                    | ca. 4.5/2000                                    | H$_2$O/SiOH (Grünberg et al. 2004) |
| **Na-ZSM-5**                        |                      |                                                  |                            |
| Weakly adsorbed H$_2$O$^a$          | 27                   | 3.2/500                                          | (H$_2$O)$_n$/Na$^+$ (Kasai and Jones 1984) |
| Strongly bound H$_2$O$^b$           | 66                   | 2.6/2000                                         | H$_2$O/SiOH (Kasai and Jones 1984) |
| Pure OH$^c$                         | –                    | 1.8/200                                          | SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
| **H-ZSM-5**                         |                      |                                                  |                            |
| Weakly adsorbed H$_2$O$^a$          | 31                   | 5.9/1100                                         | (H$_2$O)$_n$/Si(OH)Al (Batamack et al. 1991; Hunger et al. 1991) |
| Strongly bound H$_2$O$^b$           | 63                   | 3.0/1000                                         | H$_2$O/SiOH (Grünberg et al. 2004) |
| Pure OH$^c$                         | –                    | 1.8/200                                          | H$_2$O/Si(OH)Al (Batamack et al. 1991; Hunger et al. 1991) |
| **H-ZSM-5**                         |                      |                                                  |                            |
| Weakly adsorbed H$_2$O$^a$          | 31                   | 5.9/1100                                         | (H$_2$O)$_n$/Si(OH)Al (Batamack et al. 1991; Hunger et al. 1991) |
| Strongly bound H$_2$O$^b$           | 63                   | 3.0/1000                                         | H$_2$O/SiOH (Grünberg et al. 2004) |
| Pure OH$^c$                         | –                    | 1.8/200                                          | SiOH (Jiang et al. 2011) |

$^a$ Water desorbed between 295 and 373 K  
$^b$ Water desorbed between 373 and 723 K  
$^c$ Hydroxyl groups determined after evacuation at 723 K  
$^d$ Determined by $^1$H MAS NMR spectroscopy using an external intensity standard  
$^e$ Not determined
column 2), however, indicate that most of these molecules are coordinated at Na⁺ cations (see Sect. 1).

### 3.3.3 H-ZSM-5

For the fully water- and methanol-loaded H-ZSM-5 in the adsorption equilibrium at room temperature, the ratio of the total numbers of adsorbed water and methanol (3.2 and 2.2 mmol/g, respectively) is similar to that found for Na-ZSM-5 (3.5 and 2.1 mmol/g, respectively) under same conditions (Tables 2 and 3, column 3). The desorption enthalpies determined for both samples are very close ($\Delta H = 27$ to 34 kJ/mol). On the other hand, the nature of the adsorbate complexes must be different, since the Na⁺ cations in Na-ZSM-5 are replaced by Brønsted acidic Si(OH)Al groups in H-ZSM-5 (0.49 mmol/g, see Sect. 3.2.3.). In H-ZSM-5, both water and methanol do not form mobile clusters, as in Silicalite-1, which is evidenced by the large line width (1100 to 1300 Hz) of the dominating $^1$H MAS NMR signals in Figs. 5c and 6c and the desorption enthalpies of $\Delta H = 31$ and 34 kJ/mol (Tables 2 and 3, column 2), which are lower compared with the evaporation heats of water (41 kJ/mol) and methanol (37 kJ/mol). The high chemical shift values of these broad signals of 5.9 ppm for water and 7.4 ppm for methanol in H-ZSM-5 indicate that water and methanol interact with Si(OH)Al groups, which is accompanied by a partial protonation, i.e., chemisorption, of the adsorbed molecules (see Sect. 1). For the water-loaded H-ZSM-5, therefore, the rapid exchange between H-bonded water (3 ppm, Table 2, column 4) and hydroxonium ions [12 ppm (Haase and Sauer 1994)] leads to

| Porous support and adsorbed species | $\Delta H$ in kJ/mol | CD$_3$OH or OH in mmol/g | $^1$H MAS NMR $\delta_{1H}$ in ppm/$\Delta \nu_{1/2}$ in Hz | Assignments and references |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Silicalite-1                        |                     |                          |                                               | Mobile CD$_3$OH cluster (Anderson et al. 1991; Salehirad and Anderson 1998) |
| Weakly adsorbed CD$_3$OH$^a$        | 39                  | 1.7                      | 3.7/450                                      | (CD$_3$OH)$_2$/Si(OH) (Anderson et al. 1991) |
| Strongly bound CD$_3$OH$^b$         | n.d.$^e$            | 0.1                      | ca. 4/1500                                   | CD$_3$OH/SiOH (Anderson et al. 1991) |
| Pure OH$^c$                         | –                   | 0.3                      | 1.8/200                                      | SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
| Na-ZSM-5                            |                     |                          |                                               | H-bonded SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
| Weakly adsorbed CD$_3$OH$^a$        | 33                  | 1.0                      | 2.9/2500                                     | (CD$_3$OH)$_2$/Na$^+$ (Anderson et al. 1991) |
| Strongly bound CD$_3$OH$^b$         | 74                  | 1.1                      | 2.5/1800                                     | CD$_3$OH/Na$^+$ (Anderson et al. 1991) |
| Pure OH$^c$                         | –                   | 0.1                      | 1.8/200                                      | SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
| H-ZSM-5                             |                     |                          |                                               | H-bonded SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
| Weakly adsorbed CD$_3$OH$^a$        | 34                  | 1.4                      | 7.4/1300                                     | (CD$_3$OH)$_2$/Si(OH)Al (Anderson et al. 1991; Hunger and Horvath 1996) |
| Strongly bound CD$_3$OH$^b$         | 36$^f$              | 0.8                      | 2.8/800                                      | CD$_3$OH/SiOH (Anderson et al. 1991) |
| Pure OH$^c$                         | –                   | 0.6                      | 1.8/200                                      | SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |

$^a$ Methanol desorbed between 295 and 373 K

$^b$ Methanol desorbed between 373 and 723 K

$^c$ Hydroxyl groups determined after evacuation at 723 K

$^d$ Determined by $^1$H MAS NMR spectroscopy using an external intensity standard

$^e$ Not determined

$^f$ Methanol desorbed between 373 and 473 K; above 493 K the MTO reaction is initiated.
a signal at 6 ppm. For the methanol-loaded H-ZSM-5, on the other hand, a rapid exchange between H-bonded methanol (4 ppm, Table 3, column 4) and methoxonium ions [17 ppm (Haase and Sauer 1995)] occurs, which results in a signal at 7.4 ppm, i.e. in a higher low-field shift compared with water in H-ZSM-5. After desorption at 373 K, the contents of the physisorbed adsorbate molecules are significantly decreased and the above-mentioned chemisorbed adsorbate molecules have an increasing influence on the signal position. Therefore, a further low-field shift of the adsorbate signals to 6.5 ppm for remaining water and to 9.2 ppm for remaining methanol on H-ZSM-5 occurred (Tables 2 and 3, column 4). The number of remaining water and methanol molecules is 0.3 and 0.8 mmol/g (Table 2 and 3, column 3), while their desorption enthalpies of $\Delta H = 63$ and 36 kJ/mol indicate, in contrast to previous desorption enthalpies, the water should stronger bound than methanol on H-ZSM-5 (column 2). However, in this connection it must be noted that methanol starts to dehydrate at 493 K, which strongly decreases the significance of the $\Delta H$ value for this adsorbate molecule. As observed for the water- and

Fig. 5 $^1$H MAS NMR spectra of Silicalite-1 (a), Na-ZSM-5 (b), and H-ZSM-5 (c) recorded in the fully loaded state (f.l., top) and after desorption of water at 373 K (middle) and 723 K (bottom). The dashed curves indicate the shapes of the water signals used for simulating the experimental spectra. Table 2, column 4, gives an overview on all signals and their assignment in column 5

Fig. 6 $^1$H MAS NMR spectra of Silicalite-1 (a), Na-ZSM-5 (b), and H-ZSM-5 (c) recorded in the fully loaded state (f.l., top) and after desorption of methanol at 373 K (middle) and 723 K (bottom). The dashed curves indicate the shapes of the methanol OH signals used for simulating the experimental spectra. Table 3, column 4, gives an overview on all signals and their assignment in column 5
methanol-loaded Silicalite-1 after desorption at 373 K, weak signals at 6.5 ppm occur in the $^1$H MAS NMR spectra of H-ZSM-5, which indicate a coordination of very few adsorbate molecules at defect sites.

Summarizing, by $^1$H MAS NMR spectroscopy it was shown that significantly less water and methanol can be adsorbed at Silicalite-1 in form of mobile clusters in comparison with Na-ZSM-5 and H-ZSM-5. Silicalite-1 prefers adsorption of methanol over water, i.e. it is more hydrophobic than organophilic, which is in contrast to the more hydrophilic zeolites Na-ZSM-5 and H-ZSM-5. On completely water- and methanol-loaded Na-ZSM-5, these molecules are mainly adsorbed at the Na$^+$ cations, while partially protonated adsorbate clusters exist at the Brønsted acid sites in H-ZSM-5. After desorption at 373 K, Na-ZSM-5 and H-ZSM-5 contain more methanol than water. Remaining water and methanol are strongly bound at Na$^+$ cations and acidic hydroxyl protons, whereas no remaining adsorbates could be found on Silicalite-1.

### 3.4 Comparative study of water and methanol adsorption on SBA-15

#### 3.4.1 Siliceous SBA-15

Due to the larger mesopores of SBA-15, this material is characterized by significantly higher total amounts of water (6.8 mmol/g) and methanol (6.1 mmol/g) present in the pores in the adsorption equilibrium at room temperature (Tables 4 and 5, column 3), compared with Silicalite-1 (in total 0.5 and 1.8 mmol/g, respectively). The desorption enthalpies of $\Delta H = 41$ and 42 kJ/mol for the weakly adsorbed water and methanol on SBA-15 (Tables 4 and 5, column 2), respectively, indicate that these water and methanol molecules mainly exist as bulk clusters. The above-mentioned desorption enthalpies agree with the evaporation heat of water of 41 kJ/mol (Flanigen et al. 1978) and methanol of 37 kJ/mol (Schmeling and Strey 1983). This assignment is also supported by the chemical shifts of the dominating $^1$H MAS NMR signals of water (4.2 ppm) and methanol (4.8 ppm) and their small line widths (Figs. 7a and 8a, respectively). After

| Table 4 | Water desorption enthalpies $\Delta H$, determined by TGA–DSC, amounts of adsorbed water, $^1$H MAS NMR spectroscopic parameters (chemical shift $\delta_{1H}$, line width $\Delta\nu_{1/2}$, $^w$ indicates weak signals), and adsorbate assignments for the SBA-15 materials under study investigated after treatments at temperatures of 295 to 373 K, 373 to 723 K, and at 723 K

| Porous support and adsorbed species | $\Delta H$ in kJ/mol | H$_2$O or OH in mmol/g$^a$ | $^1$H MAS NMR $\delta_{1H}$ in ppm/$\Delta\nu_{1/2}$ in Hz | Assignments and references |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| SBA-15                              |                       |                             |                                                |                            |
| Weakly adsorbed H$_2$O$^a$           | 41                   | 5.9                         | 4.2/400                                       | Mobile H$_2$O cluster (Batamack et al. 1991) |
| Strongly bound H$_2$O$^b$            | n.d.$^c$             | 0.9                         | ca. 3/1200                                    | H$_2$O/SiOH (Grünberg et al. 2004) |
| Pure OH$^c$                          | –                    | 1.9                         | 1.8/200                                       | SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
| Na-[Al]SBA-15                        |                       |                             |                                                |                            |
| Weakly adsorbed H$_2$O$^a$           | 38                   | 6.6                         | 4.4/350                                       | Mobile H$_2$O cluster (Batamack et al. 1991) |
| Strongly bound H$_2$O$^b$            | 44                   | 0.7                         | 5.6 w/1400                                    | H$_2$O/SiOH (Grünberg et al. 2004) |
| Pure OH$^c$                          | –                    | 1.1                         | 1.8/200                                       | SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
| H-[Al]SBA-15                         |                       |                             |                                                |                            |
| Weakly adsorbed H$_2$O$^a$           | 35                   | 4.4                         | 4.8/600                                       | Mobile H$_2$O cluster (Batamack et al. 1991) |
| Strongly bound H$_2$O$^b$            | 46                   | 0.7                         | 3.5/1200                                       | H$_2$O/SiOH (Grünberg et al. 2004) and H$_2$O/SiOH–Al |
| Pure OH$^c$                          | –                    | 0.9                         | 1.8/200                                       | SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |

$^a$Water desorbed between 295 and 373 K

$^b$Water desorbed between 373 and 723 K

$^c$Hydroxyl groups determined after evacuation at 723 K

$^d$Determined by $^1$H MAS NMR spectroscopy using an external intensity standard

$^e$Not determined
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Table 5  Methanol desorption enthalpies $\Delta H$, determined by TGA–DSC, amounts of adsorbed methanol, $^1$H MAS NMR spectroscopic parameters (chemical shift $\delta_{1H}$, line width $\Delta\nu_{1/2}$, 'w' indicates weak signals), and adsorbate assignments for the SBA-15 materials under study investigated after desorption at temperatures of 295 to 373 K, 373 to 723 K, and at 723 K

| Porous support and adsorbed species | $\Delta H$ in kJ/mol | CD$_3$OH or OH in mmol/g$^d$ | $^1$H MAS NMR $\delta_{1H}$ in ppm/$\Delta\nu_{1/2}$ in Hz | Assignments and references |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| SBA-15                             |                      |                             |                                 |                             |
| Weakly adsorbed CD$_3$OH$^a$        | 42                   | 5.4                         | 4.8/150                         | Mobile CD$_3$OH cluster (Anderson et al. 1991; Salehirad and Anderson 1998) (CD$_3$OH)$_n$/SiOH (Anderson et al. 1991) |
| Strongly bound CD$_3$OH$^b$         | n.d.$^c$             | 0.7                         | ca. 3.5/1100                    | CD$_3$OH/SiOH (Anderson et al. 1991) |
| Pure OH$^c$                         | –                    | 1.9                         | 1.8/200                        | SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
|                                    |                      |                             | ca. 2.5 w/1000                 | H-bonded SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
| Na-[Al]SBA-15                      |                      |                             |                                 |                             |
| Weakly adsorbed CD$_3$OH$^a$        | 40                   | 7.2                         | 4.9/150                         | Mobile CD$_3$OH cluster (Anderson et al. 1991; Salehirad and Anderson 1998) (CD$_3$OH)$_n$/SiOH (Anderson et al. 1991) |
| Strongly bound CD$_3$OH$^b$         | 60                   | 0.2                         | ca. 3.5/1200                    | CD$_3$OH/SiOH (Anderson et al. 1991) |
| Pure OH$^c$                         | –                    | 1.1                         | 1.8/200                        | SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
|                                    |                      |                             | ca. 2.5 w/400                   | H-bonded SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
| H-[Al]SBA-15                       |                      |                             |                                 |                             |
| Weakly adsorbed CD$_3$OH$^a$        | 41                   | 4.3                         | 5.3/400                         | Mobile CD$_3$OH cluster (Anderson et al. 1991; Salehirad and Anderson 1998) (CD$_3$OH)$_n$/SiOH (Anderson et al. 1991) (CD$_3$OH)$_n$/Si(OH)···Al |
| Strongly bound CD$_3$OH$^b$         | 57                   | 0.4                         | ca. 3.5/1200                    | (CD$_3$OH)$_n$/Si(OH)···Al |
| Pure OH$^c$                         | –                    | 0.9                         | 1.8/200                        | SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
|                                    |                      |                             | ca. 2.5 w/500                   | H-bonded SiOH (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |
|                                    |                      |                             | ca. 4 w/500                     | Si(OH)···Al (Hunger et al. 1996; Jiang et al. 2011) |

$^a$ Methanol desorbed between 295 and 373 K
$^b$ Methanol desorbed between 373 and 723 K
$^c$ Hydroxyl groups determined after thermal evacuation at 723 K
$^d$ Determined by $^1$H MAS NMR spectroscopy using an external intensity standard
$^e$ Not determined
the desorption at 373 K, more water (0.9 mmol/g) than methanol (0.7 mmol/g) remains on the siliceous SBA-15, which could be due to the presence of Q²-type SiOH groups in this material and their specific interaction with water molecules (see Sect. 3.2.1). Considering the above-mentioned amounts of adsorbate molecules remaining after desorption at 373 K, the siliceous SBA-15 is slightly more hydrophilic than organophilic. The large line widths of the $^1$H MAS NMR signals of 1100 to 1200 Hz caused by the remaining adsorbates indicate a low mobility of these molecules (Tables 4 and 5, column 4). The chemical shifts observed for the remaining water (3 ppm) and methanol (3.5 ppm) molecules support an assignment to H-bonded molecules (Table 4 and 5, column 4).

3.4.2 Na-[Al]SBA-15

The modification of the siliceous SBA-15 with sodium aluminate is accompanied by an increase of the total water (7.3 mmol/g) and methanol (7.4 mmol/g) loading in the adsorption equilibrium at room temperature for Na-[Al]
SBA-15 compared with the siliceous material (Tables 4 and 5, column 3). Because of the weak adsorption of these molecules on the sodium cations (see Sect. 3.2.2.), the above-mentioned enhanced adsorption properties hint at an inductive effect of aluminum species incorporated into the walls of SBA-15 on the adsorption properties of Q²- and Q³-type SiOH groups, being the main adsorption sites in this material (see Sect. 3.2.2.). Like for the siliceous SBA-15, the desorption enthalpies for the weakly adsorbed water (ΔH = 38 kJ/mol) and methanol (ΔH = 40 kJ/mol) molecules are very similar to the evaporation heats of these adsorbates (Table 4 and 5, column 2), which indicates that these adsorbates exist as mobile bulk clusters. This latter assignment is supported by chemical shifts of the dominating ¹H MAS NMR signals of water (4.4 ppm) and methanol (4.9 ppm) in Figs. 7b and 8b and their small line widths of 350 and 150 Hz, respectively (Tables 4 and 5, column 4). After desorption at 373 K, the remaining water and methanol molecules are mainly adsorbed at SiOH groups as indicated by the chemical shifts and line widths of their ¹H MAS NMR signals (Table 4 and 5, column 4). The lower desorption enthalpies of the remaining water and methanol molecules of ΔH = 44 kJ/mol and 60 kJ/mol (Tables 4 and 5, column 2), respectively, determined after desorption at 373 K in comparison with these values determined for Na-ZSM-5 (ΔH = 66 kJ/mol and 74 kJ/mol, respectively) indicate that the remaining adsorbate molecules in Na-[Al] SBA-15 are not or only sparsely coordinated at Na⁺ cations. This finding indicates that the water and methanol adsorption occurs at SiOH groups, which are weakly influenced by distantly incorporated framework aluminum species into the pore walls of SBA-15. According to the numbers of 0.7 mmol/g water and 0.2 mmol/g methanol remaining after the desorption at 373 K, Na-[Al]SBA-15 is significantly less organophilic than the siliceous SBA-15 (0.9 and 0.7 mmol/g, respectively) under the same conditions and for a low adsorbate loading (Tables 4 and 5, column 3).

3.4.3 H-[Al]SBA-15

The desorption enthalpies of water and methanol on H-[Al] SBA-15 for the adsorption equilibrium at room temperature are in the ranges of ΔH = 35–38 kJ/mol and ΔH = 40–41 kJ/mol, respectively, for these materials (Tables 4 and 5, column 2), which is very similar to these values obtained for Na-[Al]SBA-15. Differences between H-[Al]SBA-15 and Na-[Al]SBA-15 are lower total loadings of water and methanol of 5.1 mmol/g and 4.7 mmol/g. Higher chemical shift values of the ¹H MAS NMR signals of the water and methanol molecules of 4.8 and 5.3 ppm appear for H-[Al]SBA-15 (Figs. 7c and 8c). This effect can be explained by the presence of Brønsted acidic OH groups (Si(OH)···Al) in H-[Al] SBA-15, which is accompanied by a partial protonation of the adsorbate molecules directly adsorbed at these surface sites (see Sects. 1 and 3.2.3.). The desorption enthalpies of the remaining water and methanol molecules of ΔH = 46 and 57 kJ/mol (Table 4 and Table 5, column 2), determined after desorption at 373 K, are very similar to the values obtained for Na-[Al]SBA-15 (44 and 60 kJ/mol, respectively). This supports an only negligible effect of the Brønsted acidic OH groups (Si(OH)···Al) in H-[Al]SBA-15 on the adsorption properties of this material. Due to the low concentration of these acid sites of 0.18 mmol/g (see Sect. 3.2.3.), most of the 0.7 mmol/g water and 0.4 mmol/g methanol molecules remaining after the desorption at 373 K (Tables 4 and 5, column 3) should be adsorbed at SiOH groups, which are weakly influenced by distantly incorporated framework aluminum species, as also discussed for Na-[Al]SBA-15. On the other hand, the above-mentioned numbers (of remaining water and methanol molecules) indicate that H-[Al]SBA-15 is more hydrophilic than organophilic. But this adsorbate ratio is not so pronounced as found for Na-[Al]SBA-15 with 0.7 mmol/g water and 0.2 mmol/g methanol molecules for a low adsorbate loading.

Summarizing, the adsorption capacity of SBA-15 materials is stronger influenced by the large mesopore volume than by surface sites inside the pores, such as SiOH groups, Na⁺ cations, and Brønsted acid sites. This is the reason for similar desorption enthalpies of the completely water- and methanol loaded samples. After desorption at 373 K, strongly bound water and, in a smaller quantity, methanol molecules remain, presumably at the Q²-type SiOH groups of the siliceous SBA-15 material, that are absent in Silicalite-1. On Na-[Al]SBA-15 and H-[Al]SBA-15, in contrast to Na-ZSM-5 and H-ZSM-5, water and methanol adsorb mainly at SiOH groups weakly influenced by distant framework aluminum species. They adsorb to a smaller extend at Na⁺ cations and at the Brønsted acidic sites.

4 Conclusions

By combination of TGA/DSC with solid-state NMR investigations on both siliceous and aluminum-containing MFI-type zeolites and mesoporous SBA-15 materials with comparable functionalities, an improved insight into the various adsorption states of water and methanol on these materials was gained.

For siliceous Silicalite-1 as well as siliceous SBA-15, the experimentally determined desorption enthalpies (38–42 kJ/mol) and the dominating ¹H MAS NMR signals in the spectra of the samples saturated with water and methanol indicate, that a high content of these adsorbate molecules exist as mobile bulk clusters. By ²⁹Si CPMAS NMR, the organophilicity of Silicalite-1 could be supported by stronger methanol than water interactions with
surface SiOH groups of Q$^3$-type. By the same spectroscopic method, SiOH groups of Q$^2$-type could be identified on SBA-15, which are probably responsible for the strong water interactions. Therefore, the surface of siliceous SBA-15 is more hydrophilic than organophilic, in contrast to Silicalite-1.

For the aluminum- and sodium-containing materials and low adsorbate loadings, a more differentiated picture occurred. In Na-ZSM-5, the Na$^+$ cations are the most important adsorption sites for water and methanol. Also in low loadings after desorption at 373 K, the remaining water and methanol are coordinated at these cations, as evidenced by $^{23}$Na MAS NMR spectroscopy. This finding agrees well with the high desorption enthalpies of $\Delta H = 66$ to 74 kJ/mol for the two adsorbate molecules. For the Na-[Al]SBA-15, in contrast, only a very weak interaction of water and methanol with sodium species could be observed. Similarly, the aluminum species introduced into Na-[Al]SBA-15 were only slightly affected by remaining water and methanol after desorption at 373 K, as indicates by $^{27}$Al MAS NMR spectroscopy. The slightly increased desorption enthalpies ($\Delta H = 46$–60 kJ/mol) determined for water and methanol on this material compared with siliceous SBA-15 are tentatively explained by SiOH groups, which are weakly influenced by distantly incorporated framework aluminum species. Interestingly, the amount of remaining water (0.7 mmol/g) and methanol (0.2 mmol/g) after the desorption at 373 K indicates, that Na-[Al]SBA-15 is less organophilic than the siliceous SBA-15 (0.9 and 0.7 mmol/g, respectively) under similar conditions. Thus, significantly more water (0.7 mmol/g) than methanol (0.2 mmol/g) remains adsorbed on Na-[Al]SBA-15 after desorption at 373 K.

The adsorption properties of H-ZSM-5 are strongly influenced by the presence of Bronsted acidic bridging OH groups (0.49 mmol/g) and the partial protonation of adsorbed water and methanol molecules. This partial adsorbate protonation is indicated by low-field shifts of the $^1$H MAS NMR signals and higher desorption enthalpies compared with Silicalite-1. After desorption of water and methanol at 373 K, more methanol than water remains adsorbed (0.8 mmol/g and 0.3 mmol/g). For H-[Al]SBA-15, the presence of 0.18 mmol/g Bronsted acid surface OH groups could be determined via ammonia adsorption and $^1$H MAS NMR spectroscopy. On the other hand, this small number of acid sites can have only a small influence on the adsorption of water and methanol, because of the high amount of 0.7 mmol/g water and 0.4 mmol/g methanol molecules remaining after desorption at 373 K. For water and methanol adsorption on H-[Al]SBA-15, therefore, SiOH groups, which are weakly influenced by distantly incorporated framework aluminum species, are suggested to act as adsorption sites. Thus, the surface properties of micro- and mesoporous materials can be tuned in favour of one of the two adsorbates, water or methanol, over the other at elevated temperatures.
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