CD24+SSEA4+cells in Ovarian Carcinoma Cells Demonstrated the Characteristics as Cancer Stem Cells
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Abstract

Object: Cancer stem cells (CSCs) have recently started attracting attention as targets cancer treatment. Despite many studies, markers of effective CSCs of heterogeneous ovarian carcinoma (OC) that can be directly used for medical treatment have not yet been reported. The aim of this research was to identify a new combination of surface markers for human ovarian CSCs.

Methods: Primary serous adenocarcinomas were obtained from patients with OC. FACS were used to decide cell surface marker to characterize and isolate cancer stem cells from primary human cancer tissues. RT-PCR methods were used for estimating the expression levels of mRNA, and immunohistochemistry confirmed the produce of the stemness relative protein after cell sorting. Xenograft model was used for the ability of tumorogenesis.

Results: We found that the cultured cells strongly expressed stemness genes such as c-myc, oct3/4, sox2, nanog, abcg-2, and bmi-1. They also expressed surface markers such as CD24, SSEA4, CD133, and CD47. The sub-population of CD24+SSEA4+ double positive cells showed strong expression of Oct-4, and was able to form spheres much more than CD24+SSEA4+ cells, CD24+SSEA4- cells or CD24- SSEA4-double negative cells. Only 5 CD24+SSEA4+ cells formed spheres within 3 weeks. This sub-population formed the highest number of colonies in the soft agar colony assay. The CD24+SSEA4+ cells showed better growth in the presence of cisplatin than the other sub-populations. CD24+SSEA4+ cells were tumorigenic in all scid mice (4/4) within 2 months, with an injection of 100 cells/mouse.

Conclusion: These results suggested that CD24+SSEA4+double positive cells have characteristics similar to that of human ovarian tumor CSCs. It might be possible to develop effective new clinical therapies for human ovarian cancer (OC) using these cells as targets.
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Introduction

In solid tumors, not all the cancer cells have a similar ability to drive tumor formation, and functional heterogeneity in the tumor has been hypothesized as to account for this [1]. This has led to the hypothesis concerning cancer stem cells (CSCs). CSCs are a minority cell type in tumors, which retains the capacity for cell renewal as well as for differentiation into multiple cell types through asymmetric division. Thus, CSCs can regenerate the entire tumor phenotype and subsequently lead to metastasis. Although many methods have been proposed for identifying and isolating CSCs, none are available for isolating CSCs from solid tumors [2].

Successful cancer treatments shrink the bulk of tumor cells, but often fail to eliminate the CSCs [3,4]. This leads to recurrence of the cancer. Thus, eradication of these CSCs can lead to successful treatment of the patient. In order to target CSCs, it is important to better characterize them by defining specific markers to identify them [5]. However, isolating CSCs is difficult because of the lack of specific cell surface markers in solid tumors [6]. Initial in vitro studies in solid tumor CSC biology have focused on the isolation and propagation of cells with CSC-like properties from breast tumors. A sub-population of CD44+/CD24- cells was isolated [7] through the expression of cell surface markers [8].

In gynecologic neoplasms, several studies have indicated that CSCs also promote ovarian tumor growth and metastasis. [9] had shown that single clones which established in animal models are similar to those in the human disease isolated from the ascites of a patient with OC showed stem-like properties. A sub-population of cells that was identified was shown to be tumorigenic in vivo [10]. Similarly, [11] identified tumorigenic populations of cells from human OC cell lines, based on CD133 expression. In a study using primary human ovarian tumor tissues, tumor cells were propagated as anchorage-independent spheroids in specialized media conditions originally designed for maintaining neuronal stem cells [12]. Using this method, the CD44+/CD117+ cells were identified as an OC-initiating population capable of creating histologically similar tumors in vivo. In a different study, CD44+/MyD88+ cells were found to be an ovarian tumor cell population with some stem cell-like properties [13]. Additionally, Ince et al. [14] used a differential culture method to isolate two separate...
populations from transformed mammalian epithelial cells that had been derived from a single source. These phenomena suggested that ovarian tumors comprised heterogeneous cells [15].

As mentioned previously, research on CSCs in OC have advanced rapidly in recent years. These studies emphasized the important issue of heterogeneous OCs. Markers of effective CSCs directly associated with medical treatment have not yet been reported.

In this study, we isolated cancer cells from primary human OC specimens that were xenotransplanted, and defined their heterogenic characteristics after selection using cell surface markers. The aim of this experiment was to identify CSCs in OCs as the first step towards developing a new treatment.

Materials and Methods

Tissues from human ovarian tumors

Tumor tissue specimens, categorized as serous adenocarcinomas, were obtained from different patients with OC (n=4) during surgical procedures. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Toyama (Approval number: 20-21) and informed consent was obtained from all the patients.

Isolation of cells

After the tissue pieces were digested with 0.4% collagenase for 30 min at 37°C, filtered through a gauze filter, they were centrifuged at 2,500 rpm for 5 min, and washed twice with Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA). The cell pellet was collected and suspended in DMEM-F12 supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Biosolutions International, Melbourne, Australia), 1% L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich Co.), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin mixture (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA). The suspended cells were seeded in 10 cm² dishes at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator. After 1 or 2 days, the floating cells were discarded, the attached cells were retained, and the culture was continued.

Cell proliferation assay

The cells were seeded at a density of 1000 cells/well into 6-well plates. The medium was changed every 24 h, and the cells were counted on alternate days for 12 days. The cell proliferation, the sphere formation, and the colony assays were all performed in triplicate.

Flow cytometric analysis

After the cells were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), they were stained with CD24 (Abcam), and the colony assays were all performed in triplicate.

Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted from the cells using Isogen (Nippon Gene, Tokyo, Japan), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Aliquots of 1 μg of total RNA were treated with 0.1 U/μl deoxyribonuclease I (DNase I, Sigma-Aldrich Co.) at 37°C for 15 min. CDNAs were synthesized using 0.5 μg DNase I-treated RNA using a ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Kit (Toyobo Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan). The resulting cDNAs were then subjected to PCR using a Taq DNA polymerase kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) using the primers (Invitrogen) for c-myc, sox-2, nanog, oct3/4, abcg-2, bmi-1, ck-18, nestin, klf-4, and B-actin (Table 1).

| Gene name     | F/R | F    | R    | Size (bp) |
|---------------|-----|------|------|-----------|
| c-myc         | F    | GATTTCTGTCCTCCTGAGGAG | R    | GCCGTCGAGTATGCTGATG  |
|               |      | 273  |      |           |
| sox-2         | F    | AGTTCTCAAGCGAGGAAAAAA | R    | GAAAGATGGTCGACCAACAA |
|               |      | 410  |      |           |
| nanog         | F    | CAGAGGGCCTCAAGCACCCTAC | R    | CTGTTCCAGGCTGATTGTT |
|               |      | 216  |      |           |
| oct-4         | F    | GAAGCTTGGAGAAGGAGAAGCT | R    | CAAAGCCCGCACCTCAGATGTC |
|               |      | 224  |      |           |
| abcg-2        | F    | TCAGTATGCAATTGAGGAGG | R    | CTTAGCATTCGACGATGATG |
|               |      | 210  |      |           |
| bmi-1         | F    | AATCTAAGGAGGAGGTGAG | R    | CAAACAGAAAGGAGGTGGA |
|               |      | 370  |      |           |
| ck-18         | F    | TGGTACCACACAGTCTCCTGCT | R    | CAAAGGCTACACCAAGATTA |
|               |      | 357  |      |           |
| nestin        | F    | AGGGGGGGAATTCTCGGAGG | R    | CTGAGAAGCGAGGACTCTC|
|               |      | 410  |      |           |
| klf-4         | F    | GTTTTGAGGAAAGCCTGAGG | R    | CAGTCAGCATGGTGAAGGTTT |
|               |      | 332  |      |           |
| β-actin       | F    | CGGAGCCTGAGCTGACTC    | R    | GAAGGAAGGCTGAGAGAAGAG |
|               |      | 252  |      |           |

Table 1: RT-PCR primer sequences. Transfection factor relative with stemness gene, and stemness gene of neuron.

Immunocytochemistry

Cytoospin samples (Cytoospin 4, Thermo Scientific, USA) from OC cells were stained with primary antibodies against CD24 (Abcam), SSEA-4, SSEA-1, c-Myc, Sox2, Nanog, Oct3/4, ABCG-2, Klf-4, and CK18 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and examined by microscope (Leika DMRE) under fluorescence.

Chemotherapy resistance assay

The cells were either untreated or treated with cisplatin (Kyowa Hakko Kogyo, Japan) at concentrations of 30, 40, 75, or 100 μM. After 24 h, the cell viability was analyzed using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan). The percentage of viable cells was calculated using the following formula: viability (%)=(X × 10%)/Y; Where X is the absorbance of the treated cells and Y is that of the saline-containing medium-treated group.

In vivo serial transplantation of human OC cells

Male and female nude mice weighing 22 g ± 2 g were provided by Japan SLC, Inc. Animal care and experimental protocols were performed in accordance with the guidelines established by the Experimental Animal Center, University of Toyama (A2012 MED-61).

Cell sorting with magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) separator

OCs were isolated using MACS (Magnetic Cell Sorting, Miltenyi Biotec.) with CD24+ and/or SSEA4+ cells. The cells were blocked with the FcR Blocking Reagent (Phosphate buffer saline; PBS pH 7.2 and 0.5% BSA) and 2 mM EDTA and then diluted to 1:20 with the auto
MACS Rinsing Solution (Miltenyi Biotech, #130-091-222) containing the MACS BSA stock solution (Miltenyi Biotech, #130-091-376).

Sphere formation

Cells were seeded at a density of $1 \times 10^5$ cells/well into 24-well ultra-low attachment dishes (Corning, NY, USA) in serum-free media, and cultured at 37°C in 5% CO$_2$ in a humidified incubator for 4 days. The number of spheres formed was counted using a microscope (ECLIPSE TE2000-U; Nikon).

Anchorage independent growth assay

Cells were seeded at a density of $4 \times 10^4$ cells/dish into 60-mm dishes in a suspension of 0.4% Noble agar (Difco, Becton, Dickinson and Company, MD, USA) with a supplemented medium on top of a bed of 0.5% Bacto Agar (Difco, Becton, Dickinson and company) in the same medium. The number of colonies larger than 500 µm that had formed after two weeks of incubation was counted.

Pathology and immunohistochemistry of the formed tumors

The formed tumors were stained with hematoxylin-eosin (Sakura Fine Tech., Japan) or analyzed with immunohistochemistry using stains against CD24, CD44, and SSEA4.

Xenograft model in nude and scid mice

In each group, the cells were diluted to different concentrations ($5 \times 10^3$, $5 \times 10^4$, $5 \times 10^5$, $5 \times 10^6$, and $1 \times 10^6$ cells/ml) in a BD Matrigel medium (BD Bioscience, MA, USA). Cells (0.2 ml) were injected subcutaneously into the left (CD24+SSEA4+) and right (CD24-SSEA4+) flanks of nude or scid mice (Japan SLC, Charles River, Yokohama, Japan), respectively. The injected mice were checked for tumor formation for up to 10 weeks.

Statistical analysis

Data were presented as the mean ± standard error (SE). Bar graphs were generated and statistical analyses were performed using the Statistics software (SPSS Statistics ver. 20 for Mac; IBM, Tokyo, Japan). Differences between groups were considered significant when the probability values (P) were less than 0.05.

Results

OC cells expressed several primary markers

Cells were isolated from primary and serially transplanted tumors. In the primary cells, the rate of expression of CD24, SSEA4, and CD133 was high, while that of the other markers was low (Figure 1a), according to the results of the flow cytometry. However, the rates of expression of CD47 and Tra-1-60 in different patients were different. In the serially transplanted cancer cells, the rates of expression of CD24, SSEA4, and CD133 were 14.5%, 33%, and 21.1%, respectively (Figure 1a and 2a).

Although the rate of expression of CD47 was highest in surface markers, CD24+ or SSEA4+ cells did not express any of the genes, except nestin (Figure 1d). However, the difference in expression between CD133+ and CD133-cells was not large (Figure 1d).

Proliferation of CD24+SSEA4+ cells after sorting was faster than that of other sub-classes

Although no differences were observed in the morphology of the different sub-populations, the proliferation rate of CD24+SSEA4+ cells were significantly higher than that of the CD24+SSEA4-, CD24-, or parent cells (Figure 2a and 2b).

CD24- SSEA4+ cells strongly expressed stemness genes

The CD24+SSEA4+ cells expressed stem cell-related genes more than the sorted cells (parent cells), while the CD24+SSEA4-cells expressed them as much as or a little lesser than the parent cells. In particular, oct 4, sox-2, c-myc, klf-4, abcg-2, and bmi-1 were more highly expressed in CD24+SSEA4+ cells than in CD24+SSEA4-cells (Figure 2c).

The protein expression levels of stemness markers, Oct 3/4, Nanog, Sox-2, Klf-4, C-Myc, and ABCG-2 were assessed in the CD24+SSEA4+ and CD24+SSEA4-cells using immunohistochemical staining. The differentiation markers, c-k18 and vimentin, were found to be expressed in all cells. Stemness markers were also expressed in CD24+SSEA4-cells, but with weak signals. The CD24-cells showed no expression of any of the protein markers of stemness (Figure 3).

CD24+SSEA4+ cells reconstituted the heterogeneity of parent cells

In order to identify the sub-populations that could reconstitute the heterogeneity of the parental cells, the sorted cells were analyzed by flow cytometry using SSEA4 and CD24 antibodies after 2-3 passages. We found that while the CD24+SSEA4+ cells were consistent with the heterogeneous cells in the pre-sorting stage, the other cells were not (Figure 4). Before sorting, CD24+SSEA4+ cells comprised 5.6%, CD24+SSEA4-cells comprised 14.9%, CD24+SSEA4+ cells comprised 6.5%, and CD24+SSEA4-cells comprised 73.0%. After sorting, the cultured cells were analyzed again. Only the cells cultured from CD24+SSEA4+ cells could be separated into four parts similar to the unsorted ones (Figure 4).

CD24+SSEA4+ cells had high potential to form spheres

The CD24+SSEA4+ cells formed 44 ± 2 spheres for $1 \times 10^5$ cells, and the average of their diameter was 200 µm. The CD24+SSEA4-cells and the CD24+SSEA4+cells formed 17 ± 1.5 and 16 ± 2 spheres for $1 \times 10^5$ cells, respectively, and the average of their diameter was 120 µm. CD24+SSEA4-cells formed small spheres under the same experimental conditions. The average diameter of the spheres was 2 µm. CD24+SSEA4+cells formed a higher potential to form spheres than the other sub-populations (Figure 5-a1 and a2). The formed spheres were grouped into three types: large, middle, and small (L>100 µm >M> 50 µm>S>20 µm). The CD24+SSEA4+cells formed the most number of cells, regardless of the size (Figure 5-a3). Surprisingly, only 5 CD24+SSEA4+ cells formed spheres within three weeks (Figure 5-a4). The CD24+SSEA4+cells had high potential to form colonies in soft agar

The CD24+SSEA4+ and CD24+SSEA4-cells formed 5117 ± 87
Figure 1: Characteristics of isolated ovarian cancer (OC) cells.

(a)-1: The expression of cell surface marker in the isolated cells from primary ovarian cancer. The expression of CD24, SSEA4, CD133 was high.

(a)-2: The expressions of cell surface marker in isolated cells from serial xenografted ovarian carcinoma cells were analyzed by FACS. The expression of CD24, SSEA4, CD133, CD47 were high, SSEA1, TRA-1-60 and TRA-1-81 were low.

(b) The ratios of sub-populations were measured for CD24 and CD133 or CD24 and SSEA4. It was CD24+SSEA4+: 5.6%, CD24+SSEA4-: 14.9%, CD24- SSEA4-: 73.0%.

(c) Conventional RT-PCR analyses of stemness related genes. Many stemness genes (Oct 4, c-myc, Sox2, nanog, abcg-2, bmi-1, klf-4, nestin, nanog, Oct 4, nestin or klf-4) were expressed in isolated cells from primary OC cells.

(d) Expression of embryonic and adult stemness related genes by RT-PCR. Relative expression level was formulated as stemness genes/β-actin. The compared expression of stemness genes in CD24+ and CD24- cells, SSEA4+ and SSEA4-, or CD133+ and CD133- derived from isolated OC cells. All stemness genes expressed in the CD24+ cells. In contrast, CD24- cells did not express them except ck-18 or nestin. The expression of nanog, Oct 4, nestin or klf-4 was stronger on the SSEA4+ cells than SSEA4-cells. There was no big difference between CD133+ and CD133-cells.
and 3048 ± 83 colonies that were larger than 500 µm in diameter, respectively. The ability of CD24+SSEA4+ cells to form colonies was 10 times that of the CD24-cells (Figure 5b).

**CD24+SSEA4+ cells were resistant to cisplatin**

The cells were treated with cisplatin (Kyowa Hakko Kogyo, Japan) at concentrations of 30, 40, 75, or 100 μM. The viability of CD24+SSEA4+ cells did not change, despite the concentration of cisplatin used. In contrast, the cells from other sub-populations showed decreased viability as with increasing concentration of cisplatin. No cells were found to survive among the parent cells or CD24-SSEA4-cells at concentrations of cisplatin above 75 µM (Figure 5c).

**Histopathology of xenografted tumors**

Both the primary patient tumors and xenografted tumors (Figure 6a) were diagnosed as serous adenocarcinomas and exhibited similar pathological characteristics (Hematoxylin and eosin-stained section); however, some of the xenografted tumors appeared more severe than the primary ones. The expression levels of CD24 and SSEA4 in the xenografted tumors (passage 9) were assessed, and it was found that almost all the tumor cells showed strong positive staining.

**CD24+SSEA4+ cells were highly tumorigenic**

The sorted cells were injected subcutaneously into nude mice. All the mice that received 5 × 10³ CD24+SSEA4+ cells formed tumors (3/3) at 4 weeks post-injection, while no tumorigenesis was observed in mice injected with 5 × 10⁴ unsorted cells. Mice that received 5 × 10³ CD24+SSEA4+ cells formed tumors (3/3), while those that received 5 × 10⁴ CD24+SSEA4-cells did not. When 1 × 10⁴ cells were injected into the mice, only the mice injected with CD24+SSEA4+ cells formed tumors (3/3) at 2 months (8 weeks) post-injection. Double negative cells showed no tumors until 10 weeks post-injection (Figure 6-b1). When injected with 1 × 10⁵ cells, the CD24+SSEA4+ cells were tumorigenic in all nude mice, while the CD24-cells did not form tumors. The tumors which formed from 100 cells of CD24+SSEA4+ included CD24+ cells and CD24-cells (Figure 6-b2). This observation suggested that CD24+SSEA4+ cells could differentiate into other cell types.

**Discussion**

In this study, we described the isolation and characterization of a highly tumorigenic sub-population of cells, CSCs, from human OCs. Five separate criteria have been established for CSCs: a) self-renewal, b) small minority of the total tumor population, c) reproducible tumor phenotype, d) multipotent differentiation into non-tumorigenic cells, and e) distinct cell-surface antigenic phenotype, permitting consistent isolation [16,17]. Two recent commentaries of previously identified CSCs, however, questioned their abilities of self-renewal and multipotency [18,19]; in addition, another report suggested possible misinterpretations resulting from engraftment of hematologic CSCs in non-native growth environments [20].

In the present study, we found that the isolated cells expressed stemness genes such as otx4, sox2, klf, nanog, abcg-2, c-myc, bmi-1, and nestin (Figure 1c). These cells also expressed surface markers associated with stemness, including CD24, SSEA4, CD133, and CD47 (Figure 1a). CD24 is used as a cell surface marker of CSCs in hepatocarcinomas [21], prostate cancers [22], clear cell renal carcinomas [23], and OCs [24]. CD133 is also considered a cell surface marker of CSCs in melanomas, OCs, and other cancers [25-28]. The expression of SSEA-4 was found to increase from normal epithelium to benign cystadenoma to borderline cystadenoma and adenocarcinoma, in both serous and mucinous groups. The loss of or reduction in the expression of SSEA-4 was significantly correlated with advanced tumor stage and reduced tumor cell differentiation [29]. Furthermore, it was recently reported that CD44+SSEA4+ was a useful marker for isolating CSCs from oral...
Figure 3: The immunocytochemistry of parent cells, CD24+SSEA4+ cells, CD24+SSEA4- cells and CD24- cells. Oct3/4, Nanog, Sox-2, Klf-4, c-Myc and ABCG-2 were observed in them. The differentiation markers, CK-18 and Vimentin, were seen in all cells. Stemness markers were also expressed in CD24+SSEA4-cells, but with weak signals. CD24-cells showed no expression of the protein markers of stemness.

squamous cell carcinomas [30]. However, no good combination of markers has yet been reported for identifying CSCs that are capable of initiating and metastasizing tumors [31]. We attempted to identify an optimal combination of cell surface markers. First, we measured the rates of expression of stem cell-related genes for each sub-population of cancer cells using FACS after sorting the cells based on various combinations of cell surface markers. CD133 was reported to be a CSC of primary human OC [32]. Indeed, we found the ratio of
CD133-positive cells to be 21.1% ± 5.6%. However, when comparing the expression levels of stemness genes of CD133+ and CD133-cells, very little difference was observed. In contrast, SSEA4+ cells comprised 33.0% ± 12.7%, and comparison between the SSEA4+ and SSEA4-cells revealed that the genes \textit{oct} 4, \textit{bmi}-1, \textit{abcg}-2, \textit{klf}-4, and \textit{nanog} were highly expressed in SSEA4+cells. CD24+ cells strongly expressed stemness genes, but CD24-cells did not express any of the genes, except for \textit{nestin}. Thus, we concluded that CD24 and SSEA4 were markers for CSCs of OC.

It is known that CD24+SSEA4+cells are typical of CSCs due to the ability of CSCs to form spheres and to form colonies without any scaffold. Surprisingly, only 5 CD24+SSEA4+cells formed spheres in 3 weeks.

Furthermore, we demonstrated that the expansion of CD24+SSEA4+cells in a monolayer leads to the reconstitution of different sub-populations, similar to that found in the parent cells, whereas CD24+SSEA4- and CD24-SSEA4-cells were unable to repopulate the heterogeneity of the parent cells (Figure 4).

The expression of stem cell-related genes suggested that CD24+SSEA4+cells were more similar to stem cells than CD24+SSEA4-cells. In addition, the CD24+SSEA4+cells might overexpress the DNMT3L gene, an enzymatically-inactive DNA methyltransferase. This is according to Gokul et al. who reported that increased expression of DNMT3L in primary OC stimulated conditions similar to partial iPS reprogramming [33]. Indeed, the most convincing proof of the identity of CSCs is their enhanced tumor-initiating capacity \textit{in vivo}, which is universally considered the gold standard for evaluating CSCs.

The fact that we successfully serially transplanted human OCs \textit{in vivo} in our experiments supported the hypothesis that these tumors comprised a sub-population of cells that were capable of self-renewal. When \(1.0 \times 10^2\) CD24+SSEA4+cells/mouse were injected, all of the scid mice (4/4) were found to be tumorigenic within 2 months. In contrast, no tumors were observed after injection of \(5 \times 10^3\) CD24-cells. In fact, CD24+SSEA4+cells were 5000 times more tumorigenic than CD24-cells.

Our results supported the hypothesis that cancer stem cells are resistant to chemotherapy and radiotherapy as these standard therapies fail to completely eradicate CSCs, resulting in recurrence. We showed that the CD24+SSEA4+cells were more resistant to cisplatin than the other types. In addition, CD24+SSEA4+cells were consistently the most tumorigenic of all the primary ovarian carcinoma cells. These results suggested that the CD24+SSE Despite many studies, markers of effective CSCs of heterogeneous ovarian carcinoma (OC) that can be directly used for medical treatment have not yet been reported. A4+cells in OC could survive exposure to existing anti-cancer therapies and cause recurrence. Thus, novel anti-cancer drugs targeting CSCs
Figure 5: The characteristics of three sub-populations. The sorted sub-populations were tested for sphere formation using 24-well ultra-low attachment dishes and serum-free media.

(a)-1: Morphology of the sphere.
(a)-2: The CD24+SSEA4+ cells formed 44 ± 2 spheres per 1 × 10^3 cells and their average size was 200 µm. CD24-SSEA4-cells form small spheres under the same experimental conditions. The average diameter of the spheres was 20 µm (**p<0.01).
(a)-3: The formed spheres were divided into three type, large, middle and small (L>100 µm>M >50 µm>S>20 µm). The spheres in the CD24+SSEA4+cells were most and biggest size in all sub-population.
(a)-4: The ability of sphere formation with small cell numbers. Only 5 or 10 cells of CD24+SSEA4+cells were made spheres within three weeks (**p<0.01).
(b): The sorted cells were grown in soft agar. The CD24+SSEA4+ cells formed most colonies in all sub-populations significantly (**p<0.01).
(c): Chemoresistance assay. The CD24+SSEA4+cells had kept the viability even if the concentration of cisplatin changed, it is viability was higher than other cells (**p<0.01, *p<0.05).
need to be developed.
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