Long-term therapy with cyclosporine and alternate-day prednisolone in patients with idiopathic Steroid Resistant Nephrotic Syndrome (SRNS)
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Abstract

Introduction: The treatment of SRNS, which comprises 10-20% patients with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome, is difficult. Currently calcineurin inhibitors are recommended as the 1st line drug in idiopathic SRNS. Objective: Studies on cyclosporine are available for SRNS as early as 1980. We report here our long-term experience with Cyclosporine & prednisolone in these patients during those days of early experiences on cyclosporine. Methods: Case records of patients with idiopathic SRNS between 1995 & 2006 were reviewed. Patients who received >6-months treatment with cyclosporine & prednisolone were included. Those with onset in infancy & Schwartz GFR <60 ml/min/1.73m² were excluded. Response was defined as complete remission, partial remission or non-response. Results: Of 58 patients, 32 had initial and 26 had late resistance. All received therapy with PO steroids. Some received PO/IV cyclophosphamide. The mean (SD) age at presentation & treatment were 60.4 (38) & 75 (43) months. All except one had pretreatment biopsy. Biopsy showed minimal change disease, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis and mesangioproliferative glomerulonephritis in 20, 25 and 12 cases respectively. The mean time to onset of complete remission or partial remission was 3.3 (2.7) months & duration of treatment was 22.4 (14.7) months. Complete remission, partial response, no response & late cyclosporine resistance were seen in 48%, 33%, 12% & 7% patients respectively. Responses were similar in patients with various histologic lesions. Of 18 patients re-biopsied after 2 years of therapy, 3 (16.7%) showed evidence of cyclosporine toxicity, which were mild and manageable. Conclusion: Treatment with cyclosporine and alternate day prednisolone is effective and safe in patients with idiopathic SRNS.
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Introduction

Idiopathic nephrotic syndrome is a clinical state characterized by heavy proteinuria, hypoalbuminemia and massive edema. It is the most common glomerular disease in children worldwide. It affects 2 per 100,000 children of 15 years or less per year [1,2]. The incidence is reported to be 4-6 times higher in Asian countries [3]. After an initial attack, spontaneous remission can occur in 5% of children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome [4].

Others continue with a risk of all complications. As most of the children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome is sensitive to steroid with a good prognosis [5], a standard dose of corticosteroid should be tried after controlling edema and infection. Routine pretreatment biopsy is not justified. The current therapeutic approach is based upon cohort studies initiated by the International Study of Kidney Diseases in Children between 1967 and 1974. The study used a regime of oral prednisolone 60mg/m²/day maximum daily for 30 days followed by the same dose alternate day for total duration of 2.5 months for initial attack [6]. If the duration was shorter, remission was shorter with increased relapse rate [7,8]. APN compared 4 weeks daily and 4 weeks alternate day treatment with 6 weeks daily and 6 weeks alternate day regimen [9].

The relapse rate within 12 months were significantly lower in the 2nd group (61% versus 36%). A prospective randomized study on 73 children in Japan with 60mg/kg/day for 4 weeks, 60mg/kg/alternate day for next 4 weeks followed by tapering of 10mg/kg every 2 weeks for total duration of 28 weeks alternate day...
resulted in increased remission rate significantly (60% versus 0%) at 2 years of follow up [10]. It assures that a more prolong course in initial attack may decrease relapse rate later. About 10-20% of children do not respond to 4-8 wks of daily prednisone [11]. Persistence defines steroid resistance. A histological diagnosis is mandatory in this setting as future course and prognosis is variable according to histology [12]. Steroid therapy should be withdrawn as prolonged high dose is toxic.

Again, with continued nephrosis, the short term or long term outcome is grim either due to complications of nephrotic syndrome like infections, malnutrition, thromboembolic events or progression to end stage renal disease. If nephrosis is continued after a period of follow up, 30-40% of children develops ESRD [13,14]. Treatment of these children with continued nephrosis is difficult. Different modalities of treatment were being tried without any consensus. Recently calcineurin inhibitor is accepted as 1st line treatment in these children [15]. Good results have been published with tacrolimus even in Cyclosporine resistant cases. Studies on cyclosporine for SRNS are available after its acceptance as an immunosuppressant in post transplant patients.

A retrospective study was done to evaluate our early experience with cyclosporine in 58 such children attending pediatric renal metabolic clinic of All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi. Though it looks like valueless now in presence of randomized control studies, it was an early step in the process of scientific pursuit. So, documentation of these pioneer works should be available in scientific journals as a motivation tool for research works to be continued.

Materials and Methods

The study was undertaken in the Pediatric Nephrology Division of Pediatric Department of All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi. It was a retrospective, observational, single center cohort study.

Inclusion criteria- The study population included 58 children attending the renal metabolic clinic between 1995 to 2006 and receiving cyclosporine and prednisolone for more than 6 months.

Exclusion criteria- Children with secondary nephrotic syndrome or onset less than one year of age or with decreased eGFR <60ml/min/1.73m2 were excluded.

Case Definition- Nephrotic syndrome was diagnosed based on criteria of nephrotic range of proteinuria (≥2+)

The response to treatment was classified as complete remission (CR), partial remission (PR) or no response (NR). Complete remission was defined by absence of proteinuria, edema and serum albumin>2.5gm/dl. Partial response was defined by proteinuria 1+ or 2+, Ua/Uc 0.2-2, serum albumin >2.5gm/dl. Relapse was defined by reappearance of urine albumin >2+ for 3 consecutive days or presence of edema. Secondary cyclosporine resistance was defined as a relapsed case not responding to 2nd course of cyclosporine.

Data Collection- All information were collected from the case files. All patients except one with single kidney were subjected to kidney biopsy before starting cyclosporine. Histological analysis was done by the same pathologist. All patients had baseline physical examination (with weight, height and blood pressure) and investigations (with urinalysis, serum albumin, cholesterol, creatinine and eGFR by Schwartz formula). Cyclosporine was started in two divided doses daily along with alternate day prednisolone. All received ACEI and supplemental calcium daily. All parents were instructed to examine morning urine albumin with dipstick. All patients had follow up at renal clinic monthly till remission and then 3 monthly for variable period.

No response was declared if there was continued nephrosis at 6months of therapy. In those with complete or partial response, the dose of cyclosporine was reduced to a maintenance dose and continued with tapered dose of prednisolone. Follow up visits included history, physical examination and investigations as baseline with attention for side effects of therapy or complications of nephrotic syndrome.

Drug level was not monitored routinely. Those who had drug level, it was maintained at 100-150ng/ml at 10-12 hours. If acute nephrotoxicity with increase of serum creatinine >25% was noted, drug was reduced by 25%. Likewise, at no response at 3 months, the drug dose was increased to 25%.

If there was no response at 6 months cyclosporine was stopped and other form of therapy tried. Responding
patients were followed up with continued therapy. Any relapse was treated with steroid first. Any patient with secondary resistance, different drugs were used. At the end of 2 years, repeat biopsy was done in 18 patients to rule out cyclosporine related toxicity.

**Results**

A total of 58 children received cyclosporine during the study period of 11 years for SRNS. There were 42 boys and 16 girls (M:F=72:28). There were 32 cases with initial resistance and 26 cases with late resistance (55:45)

**Table-1: Patient characteristic at the beginning of therapy.**

| Mean age | 60.39±38.43 months |
|----------|--------------------|
| Mean weight | 17.28±7.8kg |
| Mean height | 100.19±18.9cm |
| Mean BMI | 17.35±2.62 |
| Mean systolic blood pressure | 109.87±15.7mm of Hg |
| Mean diastolic blood pressure | 76.03±12.6mm of Hg |
| Mean proteinuria | 3.32±0.6+ by dipstick |
| Mean serum albumin | 1.98±0.84gm/dl |
| Mean serum cholesterol | 410.7±184.12mg/dl |
| Mean serum creatinine | 0.656±0.26mg/dl |
| Mean estimated GFR | 92±26.8ml/min/1.73m2 |

At the beginning, there was continued nephrosis with normal renal function.

**Table-2: Biopsy diagnosis at the beginning of therapy.**

| MCD | Male 17(85%) | Female 3(15%) | Total 20(34%) |
| MesPGN | 5 (42%) | 7(58%) | 12(21%) |
| FSGS | 19(76%) | 6(24%) | 25(43%) |
| Not done | 1(100%) | 0 | 1(2%) |

Pre-treatment biopsy diagnosis showed all 3 histological types.

**Table-3: Biopsy diagnosis related to age**

| Biopsy | Age at onset of NS | Age at the presentation of SRNS | Age at start of cyclosporine |
|--------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| MCD | 40.42m±18m | 56m±20m | 64m±13m |
| MesPGN | 28.08±16.2m | 40.9±20.4m | 67.9±37.7m |
| FSGS | 50.48±43.5m | 75.28±44.8m | 88.4±49.2m |
| P value | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.17 |

Mean age for FSGS was higher than other histological types at all course of the disease prior to cyclosporine, but the difference was statistically insignificant.

**Table-4: Cyclosporine therapy.**

| Mean age at starting | 74.68±43.4m |
| Mean dose at starting | 4.8±0.66mg/kg |
| Mean time for response | 3.34±2.7m |
| Mean duration | 22.4±14.67m |

Cyclosporine was started at 4.8±0.66mg/kg/d for mean period of 2 yrs. The meantime to respond was 3.34 months.
Prednisolone was added to cyclosporine at a mean dose of 1.24mg/kg on alternate day and after 6 months gradually tapered.

Drugs like oral prednisolone, pulse methyl prednisolone, oral or IV cyclophosphamide, MMF were tried in these children before cyclosporine in various combinations.

Response was as highest in MCD (85%), followed by FSGS (84%). Overall response was seen in 80.7% of cases. When the response to cyclosporine was analyzed against sex, the age of onset, duration of the disease, duration between starting the alternative therapy and cyclosporine, the results were not statistically significant.

Side effects were mild and manageable.
Discussion

The clinical, histological and recently genetic mutational characteristics of SRNS have been published in different studies. Early reports estimated that 10% of idiopathic nephrotic children do not respond to corticosteroid [11]. A recent study found 27% of children not responding [16].

There is considerable variation in case definition in different studies. The ISKDC defined <4mg/m2/hr as criteria for remission [17]. Some studies used ISKDC definition of <4mg/m2/hr as criteria for complete remission and ignored partial remission. Patients with PR are more likely to respond to cyclophosphamide or cyclosporine and do not progress to ESRD [18,19]. Some studies used 3 pulses of Methyl prednisolone for definition of steroid resistance after 1 month of daily prednisolone [20].

ISKDC showed that 80% of children of idiopathic nephrotic syndrome are <6 yrs at presentation, mean age of 2.5 yrs for MCD and 6.8 yrs for FSGS [17]. The ISKDC lacked information on patient ethnicity. Later it was reported that the incidence of SRNS is higher in African American and older children [11].

The risk for primary steroid resistance depends on initial histopathology. Studies by ISKDC showed that 70%, 44% and 7% of FSGS, Mes PGN and MCD respectively behave like initial resistant [17]. Several studies are reporting that though the incidence of INS is same, the FSGS is increasing [21]. In a cohort of 115 African American children 16% of SSNS developed late resistance. Of them, 42%, 47% and 1% were FSGS, MCD and MesPGN respectively [11]. Among children with MCD, 3% become steroid resistant later. Early relapse and first relapse were reported as predisposing factor for late resistance [5]. Children with MCD or late steroid resistance generally respond better to immunosuppressive therapy than children with FSGS or initial steroid resistant [12,22-24].

Few studies on European, American and Turkish children found that 30% of sporadic SRNS had mutation in NPHS2 encoding podocin [25-27]. However, all children of 1st attack do not need screening as only 10-20% are SRNS and out of it approximately 20% have mutation. This is not applicable to a 1st episode of NS with family history of steroid resistance [28]. Mutation causing recessive SRNS in children were found in other genes also like NPHS1, LAMB2, PLCE1 and CD2AP [29]. The rate of disease-causing mutation was similar in Caucasian and Asian or South Korea and Italy [30]. No children with NPHS2 mutation responded to complete remission with alkylating agent or cyclosporine. Few have partial response. Recurrence is rare. In contrast 30% of children without mutation have recurrence [25]. Children with late resistance do not have mutation [22]. A recent report published absence of NPHS2 mutations in African American SRNS children [30].

An immune mediated permeability factor has a key role in leaking of protein in children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome without genetic mutation. Following a standard course of steroid either initial or late resistance pattern may emerge in a small and yet challenging group of children. Different modalities of immunosuppression are being tried so far. Prolonged corticosteroid for 6-12 months may have a remission rate of 28% with increased rate of iatrogenic complications [5]. A partial or complete remission was reported with oral cyclosporphamide with a remission rate close to continued steroid therapy alone [31]. One trial compared oral cyclophosphamide with IV cyclophosphamide and reported no significant difference [32].

A more aggressive treatment with vinristine, cyclophosphamide and prednisone was not helpful [33]. Another aggressive approach with pulse methyl prednisolone for 6 months, oral alternate day prednisolone and oral cyclophosphamide or chlorambucil for 8-12 weeks reported after 6 years of follow up that 21 of 32 children in complete remission and end stage renal disease in 5% only versus 40% of controls [34]. Children with FSGS showed poor outcome. Mendouza reported remission rate of 44-82% [14]. Mori added cyclophosphamide to consolidate [35]. MCD responded better in some studies. The response rate was higher in some studies in LR, while similar with IR in some other studies. 7% developed ESRD. There was no side effect of alkylating agents. Pulse methyl prednisolone with or without alkylating agents showed failure rate 18-25% in 78-100% cases vs 100% in 20% cases. Later a multicenter study without alkylating agent could not confirm efficacy of pulse methyl prednesolone [36]. Two RCT demonstrated significant reduction of proteinuria with ACEI [37,38]. No study shows benefit of azathioprine [39].

Cyclosporine was the most commonly used drug in SRNS. Cyclosporine is a cyclic peptide extracted from fungus Tolipocladium inflatum. It acts on T helper cells by inhibiting IL2 production. It combines with
cyclophilin and suppresses mRNA transcription and cell proliferation [40,41]. It reduces proteinuria by two mechanisms: one by immunosuppression and second by increase in cyclic AMP. Several studies since 1980 show its effects in SRNS. Initial eight uncontrolled studies with cyclosporine revealed 20% complete remission. Maher et al studied 11 patients of frequent relapse SSNS (10 MCNS,1 IgM nephropathy) and 4 patients of SRNS (FSGS) with 7.7mg/kg/day cyclosporine He reported complete remission in all MCNS within a mean of 14.3 days followed by maintenance.

There were no adverse effects. However, the other patients with FSGS and IgM nephropathy did not respond [42]. When oral prednisolone was added the remission rate was higher [43-46]. The French Society of Pediatric Nephrology reported that 150-200mg/kg/day of oral cyclosporine with 30mg/kg/day prednisolone for 1 months, then alternate day resulted in 42% complete remission in 6 months. Of total 65 cases, 48% and 30% were MCD and FGS respectively. Further relapse of 8 of 27 cases were prednisolone sensitive, while 17 cases were free of proteinuria at the end of 3 years of follow up. None progressed to ESRD. Most of the non-responder were FSGS [23]. Another study enrolling 15 children with 6.3mg/kg/day of cyclosporine with trough level of 70-120ng/ml went in remission at 2 months in 87% [47]. Efficacy of cyclosporine was proved in several trials. The systematic review updated in 2006 analyzed 11 RCT involving 280 children [39]. FSGS acquired CR in 36%.

Also there was PR. According to Ponticelli, the dose should be tapered after 1 year and stopped at 6 m. In case of relapse, he restarted another course [6]. In a retrospective case series, out of 51 children without mutation 77% achieved remission by cyclosporine and prednisolone with or without pulse methylprednisolone [12]. One randomized controlled trial with 22 patients, 7 and 6 patients had CR and PR at 6 month. No control had CR, only 3 out of 9 had PR [48].

Another study reported ESRD at the end of 5 years in 24% with cyclosporine versus 78% control of FGS [49]. A multicenter RCT by APN compared cyclosporine with IV cyclophosphamide and reported that cyclosporine is more effective [50]. RCTs have shown that cyclosporine has a significant increase in remission rate than the placebo [51] or supportive therapy [52].

While treating patients, side effects are reported in various studies as nephrotoxicity (6-41%), high blood pressure (10-36%), hirsuitism (13-70%), gingival hypertrophy (22-32%), cyclosporine dependence (50-85%), cyclosporine resistance (25-50%) and ESRD (20-50%) [53]. Most are manageable. In SRNS with normal renal function and without severe hypertension, cyclosporine does not produce severe renal and extrarenal toxicity. Cyclosporine related nephrotoxicity is a major concern as it is nonreversible. Cattaneo et al reported 50% reduction in renal function [53]. A level of 100 ng/ml was maintained in some studies to balance efficacy and toxicity. Adhikari et al reported trough level between 180-875ng/ml and peak levels between 563 and 1950 ng/ml at 24 months. 3 of 5 patients had no cyclosporine related toxicity, while 2 developed toxicity.

He concluded that Cyclosporine should be given at lower doses and level monitored frequently [54]. A young age with higher frequency of relapses, duration of cyclosporine and duration of proteinuria predispose to nephrotoxicity. A single daily dose with higher peak level at 2 hours may have few relapses and so reduced toxicity and improved compliance. Tubular atrophy with striped interstitial fibrosis is the most reliable indicator of cyclosporine related toxicity. Severity is graded as grade1 (normal histology), 2(mild, interstitial fibrosis<50%), 3(moderate to severe, Interstitial fibrosis>.50%) [52].

SRNS is the commonest cause of glomerular disease causing ESRD [46]. Different modalities of treatment are being tried. Recent knowledge of genetic mutation in SRNS is continuously growing. Most studies have not excluded genetic mutation before treatment. Inclusion may lead to altered interpretation of result. Till now, no SRNS with mutation is reported to have CR after immune-suppression. There may be partial remission. However, even achieving a partial remission is acceptable. As it may be protective in otherwise relentless progression to ESRD especially in a FSGS. Such a case may have steroid sensitive relapse later. Children with MCD or late resistance generally do better to immune-suppression Different modalities of immune-suppression were used in different centers with considerable variability from definitions of steroid resistance itself.

Some studies used ISKDC definitions. Some others did not mention complete or partial remission. There was no standardization of therapy with dose and duration of various drugs also in various studies. Cyclosporine was the most used drug in different studies. However variable rate of remission from 24-100% were achieved. It was recommended as first line of therapy in SRNS children [39]. An optimal treatment protocol with low
to moderate dose is desirable. We also experienced a high remission rate. The mean time to onset of complete remission or partial remission was 3.3 (2.7) months & mean duration of treatment was 22.4 (14.7) months. Complete remission, partial response, no response & late cyclosporine resistance were seen in 48%, 33%, 12% & 7% patients respectively. Responses were similar in patients with various histologic lesions.

We had a prolonged follow up of 60 months. The side effects were found mild and manageable. Relapses at follow up resolved after treatment of infection or increasing the dose of cyclosporine or prednisolone except one case with secondary resistance to cyclosporine. Of 18 patients re-biopsied after 2yr of therapy, 3 (16.7%) showed evidence of cyclosporine toxicity, which were mild and manageable.

Though our study had limitations of a retrospective case cohort, a good number of children were followed up for a prolonged period in a single centre with same working group. There is uniformity of clinical and pathological presentation and treatment protocol. There was a high remission rate. There was no typical cyclosporine related toxicity in rebiopsy. In recent years many studies came up confirming our observations. Li J et al concluded that CsA and Tac as initial therapy for SRNS have a better remission and relapse rate.[55]. Genetic screening should be done prior to therapy in familial SRNS and ideally in sporadic SRNS also with initial resistance. Till it is available universally, achievement of PR by immune-suppression may be protective against ESRD in a good number of sporadic SRNS.

Conclusion

Our study revealed that cyclosporine is a good and safe therapeutic option for SRNS.
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