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Abstract

ITRU cryptosystem is a public key cryptosystem and one of the known variants of NTRU cryptosystem. Instead of working in a truncated polynomial ring, ITRU cryptosystem is based on the ring of integers. The authors claimed that ITRU has better features comparing to the classical NTRU, such as having a simple parameter selection algorithm, invertibility, and successful message decryption, and better security. In this paper, we present an attack technique against the ITRU cryptosystem, and it is mainly based on a simple frequency analysis on the letters of ciphertexts.

1 Introduction

The study of cryptography has been interested to cryptologists for long time because the necessity of transferring important information secretly, which established the existence of many types of cryptosystems. It is well-known that there are two types of cryptography, which are symmetric cryptography and asymmetric cryptography (or, public key cryptography). In the symmetric cryptosystem, the same key being used in the encryption and decryption procedures. However, in the asymmetric cryptosystem two different keys are used; the public key that should be announced to everyone and the corresponding private key has to be secret. In fact, many models of these cryptosystems have been established by several cryptologists. Indeed, nowadays the most used cryptography is the public key cryptography for its better efficiency and security comparing to the other type. The security of many public key cryptosystems such as Rivest, Shamir and Adelman (RSA) cryptosystem [32], McEliee cryptosystem [22], ElGamal cryptosystem [5], or elliptic curve cryptosystem (ECC) [18] is based on different intractable mathematical problems. In practice, all of these public key cryptosystems are far slower than symmetric cryptosystems such as Data Encryption Standard (DES) cryptosystem [28] or Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)
cryptosystem \cite{29} in terms of space and computational complexity and for this reason they are often simply used to solve the problem of sharing a secret key for use in a symmetric cryptosystem (for more details, see \cite{38}, \cite{33}, and the references given there).

Therefore, the main target for cryptologists is the discovery of a fast public key cryptosystem based on different hard problems. In 1996, Hoffstein, Pipher and Silverman \cite{11} proposed a class of fast public key cryptosystems called NTRU (\textit{N}th Degree Truncated Polynomial Ring) cryptosystem, which was published in 1998. This cryptosystem is considered as a lattice-based public key cryptosystem, and it is the first asymmetric cryptosystem based on the polynomial ring \( \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X]}{(X^N-1)} \). Indeed, it has very good features comparing to other public key cryptosystems such as reasonably short, easily created keys, high speed, and low memory requirements. Its encryption and decryption procedures rely on a mixing system presented by polynomial algebra combined with a clustering principle based on elementary probability theory. From its lattice-based structure, the security of the NTRU cryptosystem is based on the hardness of solving the Closest Vector Problem (CVP), which is a computational problem on lattices closely related to Shortest Vector Problem (SVP) and considered to be NP hard (non-deterministic polynomial-time hardness) (for more details, see \cite{24} and the references given there).

In fact, the inventors \cite{11} proved that the NTRU cryptosystem preforms much faster than other public key cryptosystems. For instance, the encryption and decryption procedure of a message block of length \( N \) takes \( O(N^2) \) operations using the NTRU cryptosystem and this is considerably faster than the \( O(N^3) \) operations required by RSA cryptosystem. Further, the key lengths of NTRU cryptosystem are \( O(N) \), which is very good comparing to the \( O(N^2) \) key lengths required by other fast public key cryptosystems presented in \cite{10} and \cite{22}.

Furthermore, preliminary experimental results by Shen, Du, and Chen \cite{35} showed that the speed of the NTRU cryptosystem is much faster than that of the RSA cryptosystem in which the key generation is more than 200 times faster, the encryption is almost 3 times faster, and the decryption is about 30 times faster. These results show the applicable possibility of NTRU cryptosystem in mobile Java systems.

For further enhancement of the security of the NTRU cryptosystem, researchers have been proposing several variants of NTRU cryptosystem. Starting with a generalization of NTRU cryptosystem proposed by Banks and Shparlinski \cite{1} with non-invertible polynomials on the same ring as NTRU. The main advantage of this variant is that it is more secure against some of the known attacks on the original NTRU cryptosystem such as lattice attack. On the other hand, it is less efficient than NTRU since the lengths of its public key and the ciphertext are twice the ones in the classical NTRU cryptosystem. Another analogue of NTRU cryptosystem was introduced by Gaborit, Ohler, and Solé \cite{6} called CTRU cryptosystem in which the ring \( \mathbb{Z} \) in NTRU cryptosystem is replaced by the ring of polynomials \( \mathbb{F}_2[T] \). A new variant of the NTRU cryptosystem was presented by Coglianoese and Goi \cite{3} called MaTRU cryptosystem. However, it operates under the same general principles as the NTRU cryptosystem, it works in a different ring with a different linear transformation in the encryption and decryption procedures. As a result, MaTRU cryptosystem is more efficient.
and has a better security level comparing to NTRU cryptosystem. Kouzmenko [19] used Gaussian integers instead of the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ in NTRU cryptosystem to propose a generalization of NTRU cryptosystem. However, it is not as efficient as NTRU, this scheme is slightly more secure against lattice attack than NTRU cryptosystem. By replacing the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ in NTRU cryptosystem by the Eisenstein integers $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$, Nevins, KarimianPour, and Miri [26] proposed another variant, which they called it by ETRU cryptosystem, which presents a more difficult lattice problem for lattice attacks, for the same level of decryption failure security. Malekian, Zakerolhosseini, and Mashatan [21] presented a new variant called QTRU cryptosystem based on using the ring of quaternions instead of the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ in NTRU cryptosystem. They showed that the structure of QTRU cryptosystem gives more resistant to some lattice-based attacks comparing to the classical NTRU cryptosystem.

Other variants have been introduced by many authors such ILTRU cryptosystem, which is a modification of ETRU cryptosystem, introduced by Karbasi and Atani [16]. The security of this cryptosystem is based on the worst case hardness of the approximate both SVP and CVP in ideal lattices.

Last but not least, we mention one of the known variants of NTRU cryptosystem called ITRU cryptosystem, which was presented in 2017 by Gaithuru, Salleh, and Mohamad [7]. Instead of working in a truncated polynomial ring, ITRU cryptosystem is based on the ring of integers. They showed that the ITRU cryptosystem has some interesting features such as having a simple parameter selection algorithm, invertibility, and successful message decryption. In fact, a description of a parameter selection algorithm and an implementation of ITRU with an application were provided. As a result, they claimed that the ITRU cryptosystem has a successful message decryption, which leads to more assurance of security in comparison to NTRU cryptosystem. Other variants of NTRU cryptosystem can be found, e.g. in [27], [39], [17], [30], [36], [2].

However, the inventors of NTRU cryptosystem ensured that it is extremely unlikely to several potential attacks against the scheme to succeed (particularly, the standard lattice-based attack) since the secret key was surrounded by a cloud of exponentially many unrelated lattice vectors. Later, in 2001 Coppersmith and Shamir [4] showed that the security of NTRU cryptosystem is not necessarily based on the difficulty of reducing the NTRU lattice since the lattice reduction can be one of the practical attacks against NTRU cryptosystem. In fact, they presented a lattice-based attack, which can either find the original secret key $k$ or an alternative key $k'$ which can be used instead of $k$ to obtain the plaintexts by decrypting the corresponding ciphertexts with only slightly higher computational complexity. After that, many types of lattice-based attacks on the NTRU cryptosystem and its variants have been occurred. It is important to mention that all of these attacks have focused primarily on the secret key recovery problem. For instance, Gentry [8] proposed lattice-based attacks that are especially effective when $N$, in the polynomial ring that used in the classical NTRU cryptosystem, is composite. He used low-dimensional lattices to find a folded version of the private key, where this key has $d$ coefficients where $d$ dividing $N$. This folded private key is used to recover a folding of the plaintext, or it helps to recover the original private key.

However, a chosen ciphertext attack is another type of attacks, which was already used
in [9] or [14] against other public key cryptosystems. Here, the attacker constructs invalid cipher messages. By knowing the plaintexts corresponding to his messages, she can get some information about the private key or even recover it. Such an attack was used against the NTRU cryptosystem by Jaulmes and Joux [13]. Similar attack to the later one was proposed by Meskanen and Renvalla [23].

Another attack on NTRU cryptosystem hardware implementations, that employ scan based Design-for-Test (DFT) techniques, was proposed by Kamal and Youssef [15], and they called it a scan-based side channel attack. This attack determines the scan chain structure of the polynomial multiplication circuits used in the decryption algorithm which allows the cryptanalyst to efficiently retrieve the secret key.

More attack techniques against NTRU cryptosystem and its variants can be found, i.e. [31], [25], [34], [12], [20], and the references given there.

In fact, most of the attacks against the NTRU cryptosystem especially the ones mentioned above focus primarily on the secret key recovery problem. Therefore, in this paper we present a new attack technique to break the ITRU cryptosystem proposed in [7]. Since the ITRU cryptosystem is a substitution cipher, so our attack is mainly based on a simple frequency analysis on the letters of ciphertexts using a function implemented in SageMath [37] as `frequency_distribution()`. As a result, this techniques will recover the corresponding plaintexts immediately with no need of having the private keys.

## 2 The ITRU Cryptosystem

As mentioned earlier, instead of working in a truncated polynomial ring ITRU cryptosystem is based on the ring of integers. The parameters and the main steps of ITRU cryptosystem are as follows.

- The value of $p$ is suggested to be 1000.
- Random integers $f, g$ and $r$ are chosen such that $f$ is invertible modulo $p$.
- A prime $q$ is fixed satisfying $q > p \cdot r \cdot g + f \cdot m$, where $m$ is the representation of the message in decimal form. The suggested conversion is based on ASCII conversion tables, that is the one with $a \rightarrow 97$.
- One computes $F_p \equiv f^{-1} \pmod{p}$ and $F_q \equiv f^{-1} \pmod{q}$. These computations can be done by using the extended Euclidean algorithm.
- The public key is consisted of $h$ and $q$ such that
  \[ h \equiv p \cdot F_q \cdot g \pmod{q}. \]  
- The encryption procedure is similar to the one applied in NTRU cryptosystem [11], one generated a random integer $r$ and computes
  \[ e \equiv r \cdot h + m \pmod{q}. \]
To get the plaintext from the ciphertext one determines
\[ a \equiv f \cdot e \pmod{q}. \tag{3} \]

Recovering the message is done by computing
\[ F_p \cdot a \pmod{p}. \tag{4} \]

In order to show this later recovery leads to the original plaintext at the end, one can show that as follows. Combining equation (3) with (2) and (1), with use of of the fact that \( f \cdot F_q \equiv 1 \pmod{q} \) we obtain that
\[ a \equiv f \cdot e \equiv f \cdot (r \cdot h + m) \equiv f \cdot (r \cdot p \cdot F_q \cdot g + m) \equiv r \cdot p \cdot g + f \cdot m \pmod{q}. \tag{5} \]

It remains to compute \( F_p \cdot a \pmod{p} \) by substituting (5) in (4) and using the fact that \( f \cdot F_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \). We obtain that
\[ F_p \cdot a \equiv F_p \cdot (r \cdot p \cdot g + f \cdot m) \equiv F_p \cdot f \cdot m \equiv m \pmod{p}. \]

3 ITRU Cryptosystem Implementation

We note that to fix \( q \) one needs a bound for the largest possible value of the representation, so here if one only uses the letters from 'A' to 'Z' and 'a' to 'z', then the maximum is 122. In the following SageMath implementation we will use 255. Moreover, we preform our implementation on the arbitrary message : Cryptanalysis.

```
ITRU Implementation Input
1   s = "Cryptanalysis"
2   pretty_print( 'The message is: ', s)
3   r = 8
4   p = 1000
5   F = Set([k for k in range(2,1000) if gcd(k,1000) == 1])
6   f = F. random_element()
7   S = Set([2..1000])
8   g = S. random_element()
9   m = [ord(k) for k in s]
10  pretty_print( 'The ASCII code of the message : ', m)
11  q = next_prime(p * r * g + 255 * f)
12  Fp = (1/f)%p
13  Fq = (1/f)%q
14  h = (p * Fq * g)%q
```
In this section we show how the ITRU cryptosystem can be attacked using a simple frequency analysis on the letters of cipher message. This attack is performed with SageMath Software in which the plaintext is completely recovered only from the ciphertext and the public key with no need to have the private key. However, this attack technique can be applied on any encrypted message using the ITRU cryptosystem, let us perform this technique on the following paragraph from the article describing ITRU cryptosystem [7] (without spaces):

\[
\text{The goal of this study is to present a variant of NTRU which is based on the ring of integers as opposed to using the polynomial ring with integer coefficients. We show that NTRU based on the ring of integers (ITRU), has a simple parameter selection algorithm, invertibility and successful message decryption. We}
\]
describe a parameter selection algorithm and also provide an implementation of ITRU using an example. ITRU is shown to have successful message decryption, which provides more assurance of security in comparison to NTRU.

If this paragraph is encrypted with the large modulus \( q = 1104427 \) and the public key \( h = 37619 \), then the ciphertext starts as

\[
301036, 301056, 301053, 301055, 301063, 301049, 301060, 301063, 301054, ....
\]

In fact, there are 32 different numbers appearing in the ciphertext; these are between 300992 and 301073. A simple frequency analysis with the function \( \text{frequency\_distribution()} \) provides the following data:

\[
[(301056, 0.0380313199105145), (301057, 0.0850111856823266),
(301060, 0.0313199105145414), (301061, 0.0290827740492170),
(301062, 0.0648769574944072), (301063, 0.0738255033557047),
(301064, 0.0313199105145414), (301066, 0.0536912751677852),
(301067, 0.0850111856823266), (301068, 0.0693512304250559),
(301069, 0.0201342281879195), (301070, 0.011856823266219),
(301071, 0.011856823266219), (301072, 0.00223713646532438),
(301073, 0.0134228187919463), (300992, 0.00223713646532438),
(300993, 0.00223713646532438), (300996, 0.0067114093957315),
(300998, 0.00894854586129754), (301025, 0.0067114093957315),
(301030, 0.0067114093957315), (301034, 0.0134228187919463),
(301036, 0.0156599552572707), (301037, 0.0134228187919463),
(301039, 0.00447427293064877), (301049, 0.0693512304250559),
(301050, 0.00894854586129754), (301051, 0.0357941834451902),
(301052, 0.0246085011185682), (301053, 0.10961968680895),
(301054, 0.0223713646532438), (301055, 0.0290827740492170)]
\]

We see that the number 301053 appears the most in the ciphertext. Therefore, 301053 represents either \( e, a \) or \( t \). If it is \( e \), then we apply the formula

\[
c_i = 300952,
\]

where \( c_i \) represents the ciphertext blocks in the ASCII character code for all \( i \). Thus, we get a sequence of numbers starting with

\[
84, 104, 101, 103, 111, 97, 108, 111, 102, ....
\]
Finally, if we consider it as a sequence of ASCII codes and determine the corresponding plaintext, then we get the encoded message.
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