The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Depression and Sexual Function: Are Pregnant Women Affected More Adversely?
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Abstract

Objective To investigate depression and sexual function among pregnant and non-pregnant women throughout the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods A total of 188 women, 96 pregnant and 92 non-pregnant were included. The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) and the Arizona Sexual Experience Scale (ASEX) were applied to the participants after obtaining sociodemographic data.

Results The depression scores of pregnant and non-pregnant women were similar (p = 0.846). We found that the depression scores were significantly higher among the group of participants who have lower economic status (p = 0.046). Moreover, the depression score was significantly higher among women who lost their income during the pandemic (p = 0.027). The score on the ASEX was significantly higher, and sexual dysfunction was more prevalent among women who have lower levels of schooling and income (p < 0.05). Likewise, the ASEX scores were significantly higher (p = 0.019) among the group who experienced greater income loss throughout the pandemic.

Conclusion In times of global crisis, such as the current pandemic, low-income families have an increased risk of experiencing depression and sexual dysfunction. When we compared pregnant women with non-pregnant women, depression scores were similar, but pregnant women were at a 6.2 times higher risk of developing sexual dysfunction.
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Introduction

Caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV2), coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) began with the first suspicious cases in November 2019 in Wuhan, Hubei, China, in late December. After that, the disease shortly spread throughout the world, in about three months. It was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 12, 2020, and the first case in Turkey was reported on March 11, 2020. The entire world is facing an unprecedented crisis due to the rapidness, depth, and scope of the pandemic. The physical, mental, and social well-being of people is being adversely affected throughout the pandemic, and the national and international measures taken against it have directly or indirectly affected people’s economic well-being. Movement restrictions, one of the measures to slow down the spread of the pandemic, negatively affected the supply of labor. As has been the case in the global market, Turkey has also experienced an increase in the unemployment rate and decreased incomes. A decrease in people’s economic welfare levels in society can increase the rates of depression.

Previous studies have revealed that the tendency to develop depression among females is higher than among males. Some studies performed during the pandemic found that females were affected more adversely compared with the males. The mother might experience emotional fluctuations during pregnancy due to the anxiety she feels for both herself and the fetus. The physiological and psychosocial changes that occur during pregnancy increase the tendency of developing depression and anxiety. In this regard, pregnant and new mothers are included in the vulnerable and high-risk group for depression.

The female sexual response cycle can be divided into four phases: baseline, arousal (excitement), orgasm, and resolution. Regarding these phases, women might experience various sexual dysfunctions, such as lack of sexual drive and arousal, and inability to orgasm during sexual activity. It is an underestimated problem with a general prevalence between 20% and 50%. On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that a significant decrease in sexual activities occurs with pregnancy. Physical discomfort, fear of injuring the fetus, loss of sexual drive, physical awkwardness, painful sexual activity, and perceived lack of attraction are among the reported causes of this decrease in sexual activity during pregnancy.

The present research aimed to investigate the depression and sexual functions of pregnant and non-pregnant women throughout the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods

The present is a cross-sectional trial conducted from May to August, 2020 with 96 healthy pregnant and 92 nonpregnant women, totalling a sample of 188 women. Most of the pregnant and non-pregnant women whom we invited to the study did not want to participate; they wanted to be in the hospital for a shorter time because they were afraid of...
contact transmission by the doctor. In total, 188 healthy women aged between 18 and 45 years who sought care at the Gynecology and Obstetrics Outpatient Clinic of a hospital in Turkey, and who did not have any known disorder, had not received medication for chronic conditions, and voluntarily agreed to participate in our study were included. Pregnant women who had fetal or maternal problems during their pregnancy, women who had a medical history of psychiatric or sexual dysfunction, and participants who submitted incomplete questionnaires were excluded from the study. The participants read and signed the informed consent form; after that, we collected sociodemographic data from them, and applied the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) and Arizona Sexual Experience Scale (ASEX).

Approval of the Ethics Committee was obtained (2020/514/176/9), with the project name of 2020–05–07T14_43_09, from the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Turkey to conduct the relevant scientific research.

Sociodemographic data, such as age, level of schooling, occupational status, and obstetric status was obtained from the patients. They were asked whether they were pregnant or not, and, if they were, their gestational week was requested, and they were divided into three groups based on the trimester: first trimester (up until the 14th gestational week), second trimester (14th to 28th gestational weeks), and third trimester (28th to 42th gestational weeks) groups. Based on data from May 2020 from the Turkish Statistical Institute, those with a total family monthly income below the hunger limit (2,438 Turkish Lira [TL]) are considered the lower-income class, those below the poverty line (7,942 TL), the middle-income class, and those with a higher income (> 7,942 TL), the upper-income class. Regarding loss of income during the pandemic, the participants were examined in 3 groups; those who lost income, those who lost more than 50% of their income, and those who lost more than 50% of their income.

The BDI was developed to measure the risk of depression, the level of the depressive symptoms, and the fluctuation in the severity of depression among adults. It is a self-administered questionnaire developed by Beck et al.\textsuperscript{13}, which consists of 21 items. The items of the scale are scored from 0 and 3 points. Higher scores indicate greater symptom severity. In those diagnosed with depression, scores of between 0 and 13 indicate minimal depression, from 14 to 19, mild depression, from 20 to 28, moderate depression and, from 29 to 63, severe depression.\textsuperscript{14} The validity and reliability study of the Turkish adaptation of the questionnaire was performed by Hisli.\textsuperscript{15}

The Arizona Sexual Experience Scale (ASEX), which has been developed by McGahuey et al.\textsuperscript{16} to briefly and efficiently screen and identify the problems experienced by patients in their sexual life, is a five-item rating scale that measures sexual drive, arousal, vaginal lubrication/penile erection, ability to have an orgasm, and satisfaction from orgasm; and it also has separate questionnaires specific to male and female subjects. We used the female form (questionnaire detailed to female patients) in the present study. The total score ranges from 5 to 30, and lower scores manifest that the sexual response is strong, easy, and satisfying, whereas higher scores display sexual dysfunction. The higher the scores, the more sexual dysfunction there is.\textsuperscript{16} Based on the ASEX scores, the participants were included in the healthy group (scores from 0 to 10), the group with moderate sexual disorder (scores from 11 to 17), and the group with severe sexual disorder (scores ≥ 18). The validity and reliability of the Turkish adaptation of the ASEX have been tested among patients with renal failure.\textsuperscript{17}

For the categorical values, p-values were calculated using the Chi-squared test. For the continuous variables, the p-values were calculated using the Mann Whitney U test and Kruskall Wallis test. Multivariable logistic regressions were used to calculate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CIs) for the associations between sexual dysfunction and depressive symptoms. Potential a priori confounders (such as, age, occupational status, and parity) were included in the models. All statistical analyses were performed using The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States) software, version 25.0.

Results

The mean age of the sample was 30.1 ± 6.4 years. The demographics of the participants are presented in Table 1.

In the univariate analysis of the factors affecting the presence of depression among the participants, severe depression was significantly more prevalent among those who were unemployed compared with the other groups. Besides, as the level of income decreases, the percentage of mild or moderate depression increases significantly (p = 0.046) (Table 2).

In the univariate analysis of the BDI scores of the participants, they were significantly higher among those who were unemployed (mean = 13.8) compared with those working in the public sector (mean = 9.5) (p < 0.001). We also found that, as the level of economic income decreases, depression scores increase significantly (p = 0.009). The BDI score (mean = 13.9) was significantly higher among the participants who lost their income during the pandemic compared with those who did not experience income loss (mean = 11.4) (p = 0.001). Furthermore, the BDI scores (mean = 14.0) of the patients whose relatives were diagnosed with COVID-19 was significantly higher than the scores of those whose relatives were not diagnosed (p = 0.013) (Table 3).

We found that the rate of severe sexual disorder among pregnant women was significantly higher than that of the non-pregnant women (p = 0.006). On the other hand, the percentage of moderate sexual dysfunction was significantly higher among multiparous women (p = 0.016). When the participants were categorized based on their depression status, moderate sexual dysfunction was determined to be high in patients with mild depressive symptoms, whereas the rate of severe sexual dysfunction was found to be high in those with severe depressive symptoms (p = 0.008) (Table 4).

According to the univariate analysis, ASEX score decreases significantly as the level of schooling increases.
Moreover, the ASEX score was higher among those who were unemployed. As the level of economic income decreases, the ASEX score increases significantly. We found that as the loss of income rose during the COVID-19 pandemic, the ASEX score also rose significantly. In addition to that, as depressive symptoms increased, the ASEX score increased as well ($p = 0.005$) (Table 5).

When the factors affecting sexual dysfunction in women are analyzed by the multivariate analysis, odds ratio increase was found 1.18 times (95% CI 1.07–1.31), corresponding to each unit increase of age. Compared with those without depressive symptoms, the risk of sexual dysfunction in mildly-depressed patients increased 4.31 times (95% CI: 1.57 to 11.82), while this rate was of 5.57 (95% CI: 1.42 to 21.81) among participants with moderate/severe depression. The risk of sexual dysfunction increased 6.40 times (95% CI: 2.09 to 19.54) among unemployed patients compared with those employed in the private sector. Moreover, there was an increase of 6.20 in the OR (95% CI: 2.15–17.90) among pregnant women compared with non-pregnant women (Table 6).

When the correlation analysis was performed between the ASEX and BDI scores regarding the pregnancy status, a slightly positive significant correlation was found among pregnant women ($r = 0.365; p < 0.001$).

**Discussion**

The expectant mother may experience emotional fluctuations throughout pregnancy because she is concerned about herself and the fetus. Depression, which may be experienced during pregnancy, may lead to maternal and fetal complications. The stress experienced throughout the pregnancy might trigger preterm labor through the activation of the pituitary and adrenal axis, and is also a risk factor for gestational hypertension and preeclampsia. Previous researches have reported that the prevalence of depression during pregnancy ranges from 12% to 36%. In the present study, we found that 42.7% of pregnant women had mild symptoms of depression throughout the pandemic, whereas 21% had moderately-severe symptoms of depression. Similarly, depression rates in non-pregnant women were found to be significantly higher than studies conducted before the pandemic. In studies of depression in female university students in Turkey prevalence of ranges from 9.2% to 35.2%, in other countries between 18.5% and 52.6% (14a–14c). In the present study, we found that 44.6% of non-pregnant women had mild symptoms of depression throughout the pandemic, whereas 22% had moderate/severe symptoms. A study performed in Turkey during the pandemic reported that it has a considerable impact.

---

**Table 1 Demographics of the study participants**

| Age (years) | Mean (standard deviation): 30.1 (6.4) | Median (range): 29.0 (18.0–45.0) |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| n           | %                                     |
| Level of schooling |                                      |                                  |
| Primary school graduate | 23 | 12.2% |
| High school graduate | 68 | 36.2% |
| University graduate or higher | 97 | 51.6% |
| Occupational status |                                      |                                  |
| Unemployed | 100 | 53.2% |
| Working in the public sector | 57 | 30.3% |
| Working in the private sector | 31 | 16.5% |
| Level of income |                                    |                                  |
| Low | 17 | 9.0% |
| Medium | 110 | 58.5% |
| High | 61 | 32.4% |
| Pregnancy |                                   |                                  |
| Absent | 92 | 48.9% |
| Present | 96 | 51.1% |
| Trimester of pregnancy |                                |                                  |
| First | 20 | 20.8% |
| Second | 58 | 60.4% |
| Third | 18 | 18.8% |
| Parity |                                    |                                  |
| Nulliparous | 70 | 37.2% |
| Multiparous | 118 | 62.8% |
| Type of delivery |                               |                                  |
| Nulliparous | 70 | 37.2% |
| Cesarean | 69 | 36.7% |
| Vaginal | 49 | 26.1% |
| Total | 188 | 100.0% |
The present study has demonstrated that the depression scores increased among women during the pandemic, which is in line with reports in the literature. In the present study, we found that pregnant and non-pregnant women are adversely affected in a similar manner; on the other hand, the study suggests that circumstances such as being in the low-income class, experiencing loss of income, and having relatives infected with COVID-19 may increase the risk of developing depression.

Sexual life is one of the factors that affect the general health status and quality of life of women. Sexual dysfunction is a multifactorial problem influenced by various biological, psychological, and environmental factors. It has been suggested that sexual dysfunction is a common problem that increases with age and impacts 30% to 50% of women. Women who have an ASEX score $\geq 11$ are considered to have sexual dysfunction. In a study comparing pregnant and non-pregnant women in Turkey before the pandemic, the authors found high ASEX scores in 55.6% of pregnant women and in 23.2% of non-pregnant women. In the present study the rate of sexual dysfunction

| Table 2 Factors impacting depression among the study participants |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                               | Healthy | Mildly | Moderately/Severely |
|                               | $n$    |     % | $n$     |     % | $n$     |     % | $p$-value |
| Level of schooling            |        |       |         |       |         |       |           |
| Primary school graduate       | 5      | 21.7% | 8       | 34.8% | 10      | 43.5% | 0.115     |
| High school graduate         | 21     | 30.9% | 33      | 48.5% | 14      | 20.6% |           |
| University graduate or higher | 37     | 38.1% | 41      | 42.3% | 19      | 19.6% |           |
| Occupational status          |        |       |         |       |         |       |           |
| Unemployed                    | 25     | 25.0% | 45      | 45.0% | 30      | 30.0% | 0.003     |
| Working in the public sector  | 30     | 52.6% | 20      | 35.1% | 7       | 12.3% |           |
| Working in the private sector | 8      | 25.8% | 17      | 54.8% | 6       | 19.4% |           |
| Level of income               |        |       |         |       |         |       |           |
| Low                           | 3      | 17.6% | 7       | 41.2% | 7       | 41.2% |           |
| Medium                        | 34     | 30.9% | 47      | 42.7% | 29      | 26.4% |           |
| High                          | 26     | 42.6% | 28      | 45.9% | 7       | 11.5% |           |
| Loss of income during the pandemic |        |       |         |       |         |       |           |
| No                            | 46     | 40.7% | 46      | 40.7% | 21      | 18.6% | 0.124     |
| $\leq 50\%$                   | 9      | 22.5% | 19      | 47.5% | 12      | 30.0% |           |
| $> 50\%$                      | 8      | 22.9% | 17      | 48.6% | 10      | 28.6% |           |
| Pregnancy                     |        |       |         |       |         |       |           |
| Absent                        | 29     | 31.5% | 41      | 44.6% | 22      | 23.9% | 0.846     |
| Present                       | 34     | 35.4% | 41      | 42.7% | 21      | 21.9% |           |
| Trimester of pregnancy        |        |       |         |       |         |       |           |
| First                         | 10     | 50.0% | 7       | 35.0% | 3       | 15.0% | 0.097     |
| Second                        | 22     | 37.9% | 25      | 43.1% | 11      | 19.0% |           |
| Third                         | 2      | 11.1% | 9       | 50.0% | 7       | 38.9% |           |
| Parity                        |        |       |         |       |         |       |           |
| Nulliparous                   | 24     | 34.3% | 31      | 44.3% | 15      | 21.4% | 0.936     |
| Multiparous                   | 39     | 33.1% | 51      | 43.2% | 28      | 23.7% |           |
| Type of delivery              |        |       |         |       |         |       |           |
| Cesarean                      | 24     | 34.8% | 29      | 42.0% | 16      | 23.2% | 0.986     |
| Vaginal                       | 15     | 30.6% | 22      | 44.9% | 12      | 24.5% |           |
| Relative infected with COVID-19|        |       |         |       |         |       |           |
| No                            | 56     | 36.8% | 65      | 42.8% | 31      | 20.4% | 0.087     |
| Yes                           | 7      | 19.4% | 17      | 47.2% | 12      | 33.3% |           |
during the pandemic was of 83.3% among pregnant women, and of 75% among non-pregnant women. This situation indicated that the sexual lives of all women were negatively affected throughout the pandemic. A significantly higher rate of sexual dysfunction was also detected among pregnant women in the present study, and the OR was 6.2, which is in line with previous studies.\textsuperscript{29,30}

It is well documented that the level of socioeconomic well-being impacts sexuality. Previous studies\textsuperscript{31,32} have reported that sexual dysfunction was more prevalent among women with a lower economic status. Similarly, in the present study, sexual dysfunction was significantly higher among women in the lower-income class and among those who lost their income during the pandemic. The risk of sexual dysfunction among unemployed women is 6.40 times higher than that of employed women. One study\textsuperscript{33} demonstrated that sexual dysfunction is less common among women with higher levels of schooling. Likewise, the results of the present study support the aforementioned finding as well the fact that, as the level of schooling increases, the prevalence of sexual dysfunction decreases significantly.

Previous studies\textsuperscript{34–37} have suggested that the previous number of births and the type of delivery do not impact the sexual function. In the present study, similar results were found when the ASEX scores were analyzed.

It has been claimed\textsuperscript{38} that people under restriction have a high tendency to develop depression and anxiety, and that sexual intercourse while under restriction is a protective factor against depression. One study\textsuperscript{39} found that several women who were using short-acting reversible contraception (SARC) discontinued their contraceptive method during the pandemic but continued to engage in sexual activity and had unplanned pregnancies. The BDI and ASEX scores were also observed in parallel in the present study (\textsuperscript{4}Tables 3, 4), and The BDI scores were significantly higher among women with sexual dysfunction.

This study has some limitations; Firstly, it is a cross-sectional trial and the long-term effects are unknown. Secondly, the study compared slightly different women in the same period, not different periods when the same women were pregnant and not pregnant. Finally, the negative impact of the pandemic detected among the participants may have been milder than the impact felt by women in other parts of Turkey, since the district where the present study was performed was considered a low-risk area for infection by SARS-CoV2.

### Table 3

| Factors impacting the score of Beck Depression Inventory | Mean  | Standard Deviation | Median | First quartile | Third quartile | p-value |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|--------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| **Level of schooling**                                  |       |                    |        |                |               |         |
| Primary school graduate                                | 15.4  | 7.8                | 14.0   | 10.0           | 19.0          | 0.080   |
| High school graduate                                   | 12.3  | 5.4                | 12.0   | 8.0            | 16.0          |
| University graduate or higher                          | 11.6  | 6.2                | 11.0   | 8.0            | 15.0          |
| **Occupational status**                                |       |                    |        |                |               |         |
| Unemployed                                              | 13.8  | 6.2                | 13.0   | 9.5            | 18.0          | < 0.001 |
| Working in the public sector                           | 9.5   | 6.0                | 9.0    | 5.0            | 12.0          |
| Working in the private sector                          | 12.9  | 5.2                | 13.0   | 8.0            | 16.0          |
| **Level of income**                                    |       |                    |        |                |               |         |
| Low                                                     | 15.3  | 4.8                | 17.0   | 13.0           | 18.0          | 0.009   |
| Medium                                                  | 12.7  | 6.6                | 12.0   | 8.0            | 17.0          |
| High                                                    | 10.9  | 5.6                | 11.0   | 7.0            | 14.0          |
| **Loss of income during the pandemic**                  |       |                    |        |                |               |         |
| No                                                      | 11.4  | 6.4                | 11.0   | 7.0            | 14.0          | 0.001   |
| Yes                                                     | 13.9  | 5.8                | 14.0   | 10.0           | 18.0          |
| **Pregnancy**                                           |       |                    |        |                |               |         |
| Absent                                                  | 12.5  | 6.2                | 12.0   | 8.0            | 17.0          | 0.389   |
| Present                                                 | 12.1  | 6.3                | 11.0   | 7.5            | 16.0          |
| **Trimester of pregnancy**                             |       |                    |        |                |               |         |
| First                                                   | 11.1  | 6.4                | 10.0   | 7.0            | 15.0          | 0.081   |
| Second                                                  | 11.9  | 6.7                | 10.0   | 7.0            | 14.0          |
| Third                                                   | 14.1  | 4.7                | 14.5   | 11.0           | 17.0          |
| **Parity**                                              |       |                    |        |                |               |         |
| Nulliparous                                             | 12.4  | 6.3                | 11.0   | 8.0            | 14.0          | 0.654   |
| Multiparous                                             | 12.3  | 6.2                | 12.0   | 8.0            | 17.0          |
| **Type of delivery**                                    |       |                    |        |                |               |         |
| Cesarean                                                | 12.0  | 5.8                | 13.0   | 8.0            | 16.0          | 0.888   |
| Vaginal                                                 | 12.7  | 6.8                | 12.0   | 8.0            | 18.0          |
| **Relative infected with COVID-19**                     |       |                    |        |                |               |         |
| No                                                      | 11.9  | 6.4                | 11.0   | 8.0            | 16.0          | 0.013   |
| Yes                                                     | 14.0  | 5.3                | 15.0   | 11.5           | 18.0          |
### Table 4 Factors impacting sexual dysfunction

|                          | Normal sexual dysfunction | Moderate sexual dysfunction | Severe sexual dysfunction | p-value |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| **Level of schooling**   |                           |                            |                          |         |
| Primary school graduate  | 1                         | 14                         |                          | 4.3%    | 60.9% | 34.8% | 0.145 |
| High school graduate     | 14                        | 40                         | 14                       | 20.6%   | 58.8% | 20.6% |         |
| University graduate or higher | 24                  | 57                         | 16                       | 24.7%   | 58.8% | 16.5% |         |
| **Occupational status**  |                           |                            |                          |         |
| Unemployed               | 14                        | 62                         | 24                       | 14.0%   | 62.0% | 24.0% | 0.088 |
| Working in the public sector | 14               | 34                         | 9                        | 24.6%   | 59.6% | 15.8% |         |
| Working in the private sector | 11               | 15                         | 5                        | 35.5%   | 48.4% | 16.1% |         |
| **Level of income**      |                           |                            |                          |         |
| Low                      | 0                         | 12                         | 5                        | 0.0%    | 70.6% | 29.4% | 0.101 |
| Medium                   | 21                        | 66                         | 23                       | 19.1%   | 60.0% | 20.9% |         |
| High                     | 18                        | 33                         | 10                       | 29.5%   | 54.1% | 16.4% |         |
| **Loss of income during the pandemic** | | | | | | | |
| No                       | 29                        | 63                         | 21                       | 25.7%   | 55.8% | 18.6% | 0.123 |
| Yes                      | 10                        | 48                         | 17                       | 13.3%   | 64.0% | 22.7% |         |
| **Pregnancy**            |                           |                            |                          |         |
| Absent                   | 23                        | 59                         | 10                       | 25.0%   | 64.1% | 10.9% | 0.006 |
| Present                  | 16                        | 52                         | 28                       | 16.7%   | 54.2% | 29.2% |         |
| **Trimester of pregnancy** |                       |                            |                          |         |
| First                    | 4                         | 12                         | 4                        | 20.0%   | 60.0% | 20.0% | 0.579 |
| Second                   | 11                        | 29                         | 18                       | 19.0%   | 50.0% | 31.0% |         |
| Third                    | 1                         | 11                         | 6                        | 5.6%    | 61.1% | 33.3% |         |
| **Parity**               |                           |                            |                          |         |
| Nulliparous              | 22                        | 34                         | 14                       | 31.4%   | 48.6% | 20.0% | 0.016 |
| Multiparous              | 17                        | 77                         | 24                       | 14.4%   | 65.3% | 20.3% |         |
| **Type of delivery**     |                           |                            |                          |         |
| Cesarean                 | 14                        | 43                         | 12                       | 20.3%   | 62.3% | 17.4% | 0.018 |
| Vaginal                  | 3                         | 34                         | 12                       | 6.1%    | 69.4% | 24.5% |         |
| **Relative infected with COVID-19** | | | | | | | |
| No                       | 32                        | 88                         | 32                       | 21.1%   | 57.9% | 21.1% | 0.783 |
| Yes                      | 7                         | 23                         | 6                        | 19.4%   | 63.9% | 16.7% |         |
| **Depression**           |                           |                            |                          |         |
| Normal                   | 20                        | 29                         | 14                       | 31.7%   | 46.0% | 22.2% | 0.008 |
| Mildly depressed          | 15                       | 56                         | 11                       | 18.3%   | 68.3% | 13.4% |         |
| Moderately/severely depressed | 4           | 26                         | 13                       | 9.3%    | 60.5% | 30.2% |         |

### Table 5 Factors impacting the Arizona Sexual Experience Scale

| Arizona Sexual Experience Scale | Mean | Standard deviation | Median | First quartile | Third quartile | p-value |
|--------------------------------|------|--------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| **Level of schooling**         |      |                    |        |                |                |         |
| Primary school graduate        | 16.2 | 3.3                | 15.0   | 14.0           | 19.0           | 0.034   |
| High school graduate           | 14.4 | 4.1                | 14.0   | 12.0           | 17.0           |         |
| University graduate or higher  | 13.8 | 3.6                | 14.0   | 11.0           | 17.0           |         |
| **Occupational status**        |      |                    |        |                |                |         |
| Unemployed                     | 14.9 | 3.6                | 15.0   | 13.0           | 17.0           | 0.032   |
| Working in the public sector   | 13.8 | 3.9                | 13.0   | 11.0           | 15.0           |         |
| Working in the private sector  | 13.4 | 4.1                | 14.0   | 10.0           | 16.0           |         |
| **Level of income**            |      |                    |        |                |                |         |
| Low                            | 15.9 | 2.6                | 16.0   | 15.0           | 18.0           | 0.040   |
| Medium                         | 14.4 | 3.9                | 14.0   | 12.0           | 17.0           |         |
| High                           | 13.7 | 3.9                | 14.0   | 10.0           | 15.0           |         |
| **Loss of income during pandemic** |      |                    |        |                |                |         |
| No                             | 13.8 | 3.8                | 14.0   | 10.0           | 16.0           | 0.019   |
| ≤ 50%                          | 14.6 | 4.1                | 15.0   | 13.0           | 16.0           |         |
| > 50%                          | 15.7 | 3.3                | 16.0   | 13.0           | 18.0           |         |

(Continued)
Conclusion

In the present study, women who lost their income during the pandemic had higher rates of depression and sexual dysfunction. Upon comparing the pregnant and nonpregnant women, we found that the rates of depression were similarly higher in both groups than the before pandemic, whereas the rate of sexual dysfunction was 6.2 times (95%CI: 2.1 to 17.9) higher among pregnant women. Low-income families and women have an increased risk of experiencing depression and sexual dysfunction in times of global crises, such as a pandemic.
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