Immunological responses and pathogenesis in persistently PCR-positive patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection
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Abstract
Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 emerged in China in December 2019 and then rapidly spread worldwide. Why COVID-19 patients with the same clinical condition have different outcomes remains unclear. This study aimed to examine the differences in the phenotype and functions of major populations of immune cells between COVID-19 patients with same severity but different outcomes. Four common type adult inpatients with laboratory confirmed COVID-19 from Beijing YouAn Hospital, Capital Medical University were included in this study. The patients were divided into two groups based on whether or not COVID-19 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) negative conversion occurred within 3 weeks. Peripheral blood samples were collected to compare the differences in the phenotype and functions of major populations of immune cells between the two groups of patients. The result shows that the proportions of CD3+CD8+CD38+HLA-DR+CD27− effector T killer cells generally declined, whereas that of CD3+CD4+CD8+ double-positive T cells (DPTs) increased in the persistently PCR-positive patients. In summary, considering the imbalance between effector T killer cells/CD3+CD4+CD8+ DPTs was a possible key factor for PCR-negative conversion in patients with COVID-19.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), emerged in China in December 2019, and is now a global pandemic. There are two main challenges for the prevention and control of this disease. First, asymptomatic patients have the same infectivity and viral load as symptomatic patients. Second, it is unclear how long the virus is discharged from SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. Zou reported that the average positive time of nucleic acid in patients with COVID-19 was 21 days. A total of 24 patients with COVID-19 had a median time of 12 days (9-14 days) for nucleic acid conversion, and the longest time was 34 days. A study of 191 patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan region reported that the median nucleic acid conversion time of the patients was 20 days, and the longest time was 37 days. The factors that lead to the different conversion times of patients are complex. It is reported that male gender, delayed admission and invasive mechanical ventilation are related to longer conversion times of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid. The application of hormone therapy may prolong the virus clearance time. Clinical observation showed that the nucleic acid shedding time of COVID-19 critical patients was longer than that of common type. Effective antiviral therapy may improve the prognosis of COVID-19. Gautret Philippe used hydroxychloroquine in 20 patients and found a significant reduction in viral load on day 6.

The duration of COVID-19 nucleic acid positivity may be related to the host immunity. The levels of T-cells and B-cells were increased...
in patients with nucleic acid negative conversion on day 14 after the onset of the disease (P < .05). Lymphocyte subsets are related to the severity of the disease, which can guide the clinical treatment. However, there is no relevant study on the immune function of patients with delayed conversion of COVID-19 nucleic acid. The analysis of lymphocyte subsets can help to further understand the influence of immune function on the clearance of SARS-CoV-2.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study design and participants

This retrospective cohort study included four common type adult inpatients (≥18 years old) from Beijing YouAn Hospital, Capital Medical University, China. COVID-19 laboratory testing involved quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for diagnosis. COVID-19 PCR-negative conversion was defined as two consecutive sputum, nasopharyngeal swabs, and other respiratory tract specimens with negative PCR results, when the sampling time was at least 24 hours apart.

The four patients were divided into two groups based on whether or not COVID-19 PCR-negative conversion occurred within 3 weeks. Two cases in the persistently PCR positive group (PPP group) achieved COVID-19 PCR-negative conversion in >3 weeks. The other two patients who achieved PCR conversion <3 weeks were classified as the non-persistently PCR positive group (NPPP group).

We collected peripheral blood samples at two to three time points from every patient to compare the differences in lymphocyte functions between the two groups. The first time point was the time of admission, and the others were after the COVID-19 PCR became negative. Then we analyzed the clinical characteristics, expression of infection-related biomarkers, and lymphocyte subsets between the two groups.

2.2 | Detection of viral RNA in COVID-19

A magnetic bead-method nucleic acid extraction kit was used along with a fully automated nucleic acid extraction instrument (Shanghai BioGerm, China). The total RNA was extracted from a 200 μL sample and fluorescence PCR (Applied Biosystems 251658240 7500 Real-Time PCR Systems, Foster City, CA) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Upper respiratory throat swab samples were taken from the patients with COVID-19 and transported to the infectious lab in Beijing YouAn Hospital for laboratory diagnosis.

2.3 | Data collection

We obtained epidemiological, demographic, clinical, laboratory, treatment, and outcome data from the electronic medical records.

2.4 | mass cytometry antibody staining

CD45 barcoding method was used as previously described. Briefly, cells were pre-stained with CD45 antibodies labeled with different metal isotopes before mixing and staining with antibody cocktail listed in the panel table below. Cells were then incubated with 0.125 μm intercalator in fixing and permeabilization buffer (Fluidigm) at 4°C overnight. Before acquisition, cells were washed three times with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline and three times with deionized water, and resuspended in deionized water containing 10% EQ 4 Element Beads (Fluidigm) at a final concentration of 1 × 10^6 cell/mL. Data acquisition was performed on a Helios mass cytometer (Fluidigm). The original

---

### TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of the four patients with COVID-19

| Clinical characteristics, symptoms | NPPP (n = 2) | PPP (n = 2) |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | Case 1     | Case 2     | Case 1     | Case 2     |
| Age, y                           | 65         | 70         | 51         | 30         |
| Sex                              | F          | F          | M          | M          |
| COVID-19 type                    | Common     | Common     | Common     | Common     |
| History of contacting patients with COVID-19 | +         | +         | +          | +          |
| Travel or living in Hubei        | +          | −          | −          | −          |
| Cluster onset                    | +          | +          | +          | +          |
| The days of PCR-negative conversion | 13        | 6          | 35         | 35         |

Concomitant diseases

|                         | NPPP (n = 2) | PPP (n = 2) |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|
|                         | Case 1     | Case 2     |
| Diabetes                | +          | −          |
| Hypertension            | +          | −          |
| Coronary heart disease  | +          | −          |

Note: N is the total number of patients with available data.
Abbreviations: +, positive; −, negative; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; F, female; M, male; NA, not available; NPPP, non-persistently PCR positive; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PPP, persistently PCR positive.
FCS data were normalized, and fcs files of each individual were collected.

2.5 | CyTOF data analysis

All fcs files were uploaded into Cytobank, data cleaning was performed as previously reported, and the population of single living cells were exported as fcs files for further analysis. Arcsinh transform was performed to signal intensities of all channels. A viSNE analysis method was used as previously reported.

2.6 | Statistical analysis

The categorical variables were described as frequency rates and percentages, and the continuous variables were described as mean and standard deviation, median and interquartile range (IQR) values. The Mann-Whitney U test, \( \chi^2 \) test, or Fisher’s exact test was used to compare the differences between the PPP and NPPP groups, where appropriate.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients with COVID-19

By 8 March 2020, four patients with COVID-19 admitted to the Beijing YouAn Hospital, Capital Medical University were recruited in this study. The four patients were clinically diagnosed as common cases. The median age was 58 years (IQR, 68.75-35.25; range, 30-70 years), and two patients were men. All four patients had a history of contact with COVID-19 patients and were cluster onset. One patient had a history of travel or living in Hubei. Two patients had fever, cough, expectoration and fatigue. Other symptoms included shortness of breath (25%), nausea and vomiting (25%), and anorexia (25%). No patient had muscle ache and diarrhea. There were no significant differences in symptoms between the patients with COVID-19.

| Laboratory findings | Normal range | NPPP (n = 2) mean ± SD | TPP (n = 2) mean ± SD | t  | P  |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|----|----|
| WBC, ×10^9/L        | 3.5-9.5      | 3.95 ± 0.48            | 7.95 ± 2.72          | -1.660 | .334 |
| N, ×10^9/L          | 1.8-6.3      | 1.83 ± 0.53            | 4.39 ± 1.35          | -2.479 | .195 |
| L, ×10^9/L          | 1.1-3.2      | 1.56 ± 1.12            | 2.11 ± 1.16          | -0.480 | .679 |
| NE%                 | 50-70        | 47.60 ± 19.23          | 61.90 ± 4.52         | -1.024 | .479 |
| LC%                 | 20-40        | 38.25 ± 23.97          | 28.35 ± 5.44         | 0.570  | .663 |
| Hb, g/L             | 120-172      | 142.00 ± 0.00          | 152.50 ± 2.12        | -7.000 | .090 |
| PLT, ×10^9/L        | 125-350      | 215.50 ± 58.68         | 214.50 ± 28.99       | 0.022  | .985 |
| LA, mmol/L          | 0.4-2.0      | 2.04 ± 1.27            | 1.70 ± 0.84          | 0.311  | .789 |
| ALT, U/L            | 7.40 (F) 9.50 (M) | 40.00 ± 28.28      | 59.00 ± 5.65         | -0.932 | .513 |
| AST, U/L            | 13.35 (F) 15.40 (M) | 62.50 ± 21.41      | 51.50 ± 4.94         | 0.217  | .864 |
| T-Bil, μmol/L       | 3.4-20.5     | 13.10 ± 2.54           | 15.10 ± 1.69         | -0.925 | .465 |
| D-Bil, μmol/L       | 1.2-20.1     | 2.95 ± 0.35            | 3.05 ± 0.49          | -0.232 | .840 |
| ALB, g/L            | 40-55        | 35.70 ± 1.41           | 44.95 ± 1.06         | -7.400 | .022 |
| PT, s               | 9.9-12.8     | 13.00 ± 0.28           | 11.85 ± 0.35         | 3.592  | .074 |
| Crea, μmol/L        | 41-81        | 53.50 ± 3.53           | 86.50 ± 4.94         | -7.672 | .022 |
| CK-MB, ng/mL        | <3.6         | 0.20 ± 0.05            | 0.61 ± 0.09          | -5.438 | .047 |
| TNI, ng/mL          | <0.056       | 0.01 ± 0.01            | 0.01 ± 0.00          | 0.400  | .758 |
| SPO2(FIO2 = 21%)    | >90%         | 98.00 ± 0.00           | 97.00 ± 1.41         | 1.000  | .500 |

Note: N is the total number of patients with available data. Abbreviations: ALB, album; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CK-MB, creatine kinase-MB; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; F, female; Hb, hemoglobin; LA, lactic acid; LC, lymphocyte percentage; M, male; NE, neutrophil; NPPP, non-persistently PCR positive; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PLT, platelet; PPP, persistently PCR positive; PT, prothrombin time; TNI, Troponin I; WBC, white blood cell.
PPP and NPPP groups. Two patients (50%) had hypertension and one (25%) had diabetes and coronary heart disease (Table 1).

### 3.2 Laboratory findings on admission in patients with COVID-19

Table 2 presents the laboratory findings in the four patients with COVID-19. Compared to the NPPP group, the PPP group had higher levels of creatine kinase-MB (0.61 ± 0.09 vs 0.20 ± 0.05 ng/mL, \( P = .047 \)), albumin (ALB) (44.95 ± 1.06 vs 35.70 ± 1.41 g/L, \( P = .022 \)), and Crea (86.50 ± 4.94 vs 53.50 ± 3.53 μmol/L, \( P = .022 \)). Meanwhile, white blood cell count (7.95 ± 2.72 vs 3.95 ± 0.48 \( \times 10^9 \)/L), hemoglobin (152.50 ± 2.12 vs 142.00 ± 0.00 g/L), leukocyte (2.11 ± 1.16 vs 1.56 ± 1.12 \( \times 10^9 \)/L) and neutrophil (4.39 ± 1.35 vs 1.83 ± 0.53 \( \times 10^9 \)/L) counts, lactic acid (1.70 ± 0.84 vs 2.04 ± 1.27 mmol/L), platelet level (214.50 ± 28.99 vs 215.50 ± 58.68 \( \times 10^9 \)/L), alanine aminotransferase (59.00 ± 5.65 vs 40.00 ± 28.28 U/L), aspartate aminotransferase (51.50 ± 4.94 vs 62.50 ± 21.41 U/L), prothrombin time (PT) (11.85 ± 0.35 vs 13.00 ± 0.28 s), total bilirubin (15.10 ± 2.89 vs 13.10 ± 2.54 μmol/L), direct bilirubin (3.05 ± 0.49 vs 2.95 ± 0.35 μmol/L), SpO₂ (97.00 ± 1.41 vs 98.00 ± 0.00%), and Troponin I (TNI) (0.01 ± 0.00 vs 0.01 ± 0.01 ng/mL) were comparable between the two groups. Compared with the NPPP group, the myocardial injury and renal function were worse in the PPP group.

### 3.3 Complications, treatment, and outcomes of four patients with COVID-19

All NPPP and PPP cases had pneumonia. Only one patient in the NPPP group had acute liver injury. All cases were treated with oxygen therapy. Integrated Chinese and western medicine treatment was given to the NPPP cases. All four cases were discharged after recovery.

### 3.4 Lymphocyte subsets analysis in patients with COVID-19

To delineate the difference of immune response of COVID-19 infection between PPP and NPPP patients, we performed the CD45-barcoding methods while using mass of flight cytometry (CyTOF) as previous
In brief, anti-CD45 antibodies that conjugated with different metal isotopes were used to label peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from different patients, the labeled cells were then pooled and stained with a panel of 30 antibodies as described in Table S1. Acquired data was subject to clean-up and debarcoding with the Cytobank and analyzed for expression of markers (Figure 1A). Furthermore, we analyzed the percentages of subsets of immune cells based on the expression of protein markers (Figure 1B). As compared to the NPPP group, the proportions of CD3+CD8+CD38+HLA-DR+CD27+ effector T killer cells generally declined, whereas CD3+CD4+CD8+ double-positive T cells (DPTs) increased in the PPP group (Figure 1B). The expression of active markers CD38 and HLA-DR on T cells were stronger in the NPPP group than in the PPP group (Figure 1C).

4 | DISCUSSION

Cells of the innate and adaptive immune systems play important roles in defense against viral infection. In this study, we identified the signature of PBMCs from patients with COVID-19 that were PPP group and NPPP group. By using CyTOF, we found that the frequency of DPTs cells was increased in the PPP group compared to NPPP groups (Figure 1B).

So far, DPTs had been classified as a separate T-cell subpopulation and described in several pathological conditions, such as infections, tumors, and autoimmune diseases. Due to the heterogeneity exists within the DPTs sub-population, function of DPTs remains controversial. According to previous publications, DPTs have a high capacity to produce cytokines that act as immunosuppressive regulators of leukocyte trafficking. We speculate that DTPs may play an immunosuppressive role, and then inhibit the negative conversion of COVID-19 nucleic acid. The cases of this study is limited, and further research is needed to explore the pathogenesis in the future.

The proportions of CD3+CD8+CD38+HLA-DR+CD27+ effector T killer cells generally declined in the PPP cases (Figure 1B). We therefore profiled their expression and found that the levels of functional molecules, such as HLA-DR and CD38, were obviously higher in the NPPP group than in the PPP group (Figure 1C). CD3+CD8+CD38+HLA-DR+CD27+ effector T killer cells could be helpful for reduction of viral load in patients with COVID-19. However, abnormalities in T-cell profiles did not predict outcome or correlate with disease severity.

This study had several limitations. First, we analyzed very few patients. Second, blood samples at different time points in this retrospective study were collected to assess the lymphocyte subpopulations, and the different time points of assessment of the lymphocyte subpopulations could have introduced bias. Third, whether the observed changes in lymphocyte subpopulation percentages in the patients are attributed to the longer conversion times of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid remains unknown. Apoptosis or infiltration of cells and serum cytokine levels were not measured, and major pathways involved in pathogenesis were not analyzed.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

An immune imbalance may exist in PPP cases, with an increase in percentage of DPTs and reduction in effector T killer cells, following the possible recruitment of these cells to the lungs. These observations are in line with the suggested role for T-cell activation in the immune response to COVID-19 infection.
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