Systematic Review on the Associations between Objectively Measured Breaks in Sitting Time and Cardiovascular Health in Youth
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Abstract: The aim of this systematic review was to summarize the literature on the associations between breaks in sitting time and cardiovascular health, in children and adolescents. The search was conducted using five databases (MEDLINE, SCOPUS, WEB OF SCIENCE, PSYCINFO and CINAHL) through to 01 October 2019. Due to heterogeneity of the data, meta-analyses were not possible. We screened 2577 studies, and 15 studies were included, representing 9116 participants, from six different countries. Five observational studies and four experimental studies showed associations between breaks in sitting time and cardiovascular health, i.e. an increased number of breaks in sitting time was negatively associated with a cardiovascular health outcome. No associations between number of breaks in sitting time and cardiovascular health outcomes were found in the six remaining studies. Studies examining associations between breaks in sitting time and cardiovascular health in children have shown some favorable associations. More epidemiological evidence is required, to inform lifestyle interventions and public health policies, which could translate into long-term implications on population health.
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1. Introduction

Sedentary time is defined as the time spent for any duration (e.g., minutes per day) or in any context (e.g., at school or work) in sedentary behaviors [1]. Within sedentary time, sitting is defined as a type of sedentary behavior, characterized by a position in which one's weight is supported by one's buttocks rather than one's feet, and in which one's back is upright. A sedentary break is defined as a non-sedentary bout in between two sedentary bouts, being that a sedentary bout is a period of uninterrupted sedentary time [1].

Cardiovascular disease is the largest cause of death among adults worldwide [2] and there is emerging evidence showing that cardiovascular risk factors and lifestyle behaviors tend to track from childhood into adulthood, contributing to the risk of diseases decades later[3, 4]. Indeed, as sedentary time seems to be a prevalent behavior that may contribute to cardiovascular risk in young children [5, 6], public health recommendations encourage young children and youth to limit their sedentary time. Canadian and Australian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years recommend that children younger than 1 year old should not be restrained for more than 1 hour at a time and screen time is not recommended; for toddlers, the recommendation is similar with the remark that for those younger than 2 years old, sedentary screen time is not recommended and, for those aged 2 to 4 years old, sedentary screen time should be no more than 1 hour [7, 8]. The Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth recommend that children between 5 and 17 years old should have no more than 2 hours per day of recreational screen time and should limit sitting for extended periods [8]. In this line of thought, it seems important to understand what the literature says about the relationship between breaking up sedentary time/sitting time and cardiovascular health, in children, to prevent having an adult population with low health levels.

Epidemiological studies have described negative associations between total sedentary time and cardiovascular risk factors, in children and adolescents [6, 5], suggesting that prolonged sitting may have a quantifiable health effect in this population. On the other hand, evidence also shows that breaking-up prolonged sitting time with light-intensity physical activity (LPA) can be beneficial for adults’ cardio-metabolic health [9-12], and is associated with decreased all-cause mortality risk [13]. However, it is yet unclear which features and patterns of sedentary time might be most strongly associated with increased health risk, in children and adolescents [6, 5]. The two most recent reviews on the sedentary behaviors and health indicators were unable to draw conclusions on the associations between breaks in sitting time and health outcomes. Poitras and colleagues’ review [5] in young children (0-4 years) did not assess the effects of breaks in sitting time and health outcomes, and Carson and colleagues’ review [6] showed that in youth (5-17 years) breaking up sitting time was not consistently associated with any health outcomes.

In this context, the aim of this systematic review was to summarize the literature on the associations between breaks in sitting time and cardiovascular health, in children and adolescents aged 0 to 18 years. Such review is warranted, given the increased research interest on this topic, in the last years. The evidence herein provided has the potential to inform future lifestyle interventions and public health policies and guidelines.

2. Method

2.1 Protocol and Registration

This review was registered with the international prospective register of systematic reviews PROSPERO network, registration no. CRD42018083790. We followed the PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses [14].

2.2 Search strategy and data sources

A structured computer-based search on the electronic databases MEDLINE, SCOPUS, WEB OF SCIENCE, PSYCINFO and CINAHL, on peer-reviewed articles was performed by one author (ES), through to 01 October, 2019 (including all available years because of the recent interest to investigate breaks
in sitting time). The search strings used can be seen in supplementary table 1.

Studies were imported into Reference Manager Software (EndNote X8) where duplicate records were removed. Titles and abstracts were then reviewed and checked for relevance, and pertinent full text articles were obtained and assessed for inclusion by four authors (ES, JM, JP and ZZ). Reference lists of included articles and relevant reviews were also checked for additional relevant studies. Disagreements (n=2) were resolved by consensus with two other authors (RS and ADO).

### 2.3 Study selection criteria

To be included, studies had to be published in English, Portuguese, French, Spanish or Chinese. The study participants were apparently healthy but included overweight and/or obese children and youth (from birth to 18 years old).

There were no limitations on study designs. However, methods papers, conference abstracts or proceedings, editorials, commentaries and reviews were not considered. For longitudinal studies, the age criteria applied to, at least, one exposure measurement in time. Articles had to meet the \textit{a priori} determined population, intervention, comparator, and outcome (PICO) study criteria [15]. For intervention studies, the considered exposure was measures of breaks in sitting time. A comparator or control group was not required.

Breaks in sitting time had to be measured objectively (e.g., pedometer, accelerometer, inclinometer, direct observation). Therefore, studies were required to have a measure of breaks in sitting time \textit{per se}, rather than just a measure of the number of bouts of sitting time. For the outcomes, changes or measures in children’s cardiovascular health outcomes had to be reported. The studies needed to contain a measure of cardiovascular health as a dependent variable and had to assess the associations between breaks in sitting time and cardiovascular health outcomes.

### 2.4 Data extraction and synthesis

Descriptive study characteristics, exposure, outcome, validity/feasibility of tools used for assessments, and results information were extracted for each study, through a coding framework to record information on a variety of details on two tables. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the studies included, for both observational and experimental designs, reporting also on assessment of the outcome, type of statistical analysis with confounder, intervention details and main results.

### 2.5 Quality assessment

Four authors (ES, JM, JP and ZZ) independently assessed the risk of bias (ROB) using GRADE framework (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) [16]. GRADE does not have an official tool to assess ROB in observational/experimental studies but recommends the types of features to examine [17]. General quality of evidence was assessed for each included study design based on five characteristics: (i) selection bias, (ii) attrition bias, (iii) detection bias, (iv) performance bias and (v) selective reporting bias. To be in line with the GRADE framework, quality of evidence scores start at "high" for randomized controlled trials and "low" for all other experimental and observational studies. Additional information on GRADE methodology can be found elsewhere [16] and details for each criteria used to assess ROB can be seen in table 2.

### 2.6 Data analysis

Meta-analyses were not possible due to insufficient homogeneity of statistical, clinical and methodological characteristics of the data. Therefore, we conducted a qualitative synthesis, with all studies weighted equally and the results presented narratively. Statistical significant of included studies was deemed based on what each study reported to be significant.
### Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies, divided by observational and experimental design (in chronological order)

| Author (Study) | Design | Study sample | Measurement of breaks in sitting time (exposure) | Breaks unit | Assessment of cardiovascular outcomes | Statistical analysis & Confounders | Main results |
|----------------|--------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Carson & Janssen, 2011 (Nutrition Health and Nutrition Examination Survey - NHANES) [27] | Cross-sectional | USA: 2527; mean age=13 (10-16) years (49.2% girls) | Actigraph AM-7124 accelerometer (uniaxial) | Breaks in sedentary time were defined within each bout of SB, as the minutes equal to low intensity activity or MVPA. | Age and sex-adjusted cardiometabolic risk score (WC, SBP, non-HDL cholesterol, and C-reactive protein). | Logistic regression analyses | The prevalence of high cardiometabolic risk score did not vary across quartiles of the breaks in bouts of SB measures ($p_{\text{interaction}}=0.78$). Breaks in bouts of SB measures did not predict ($p_{\text{interaction}}=0.67$) high cardio-metabolic risk score after adjusting for various confounders, the volume of SB and MVPA. |
| Colley et al., 2013 The Canadian Health Measures Survey (CHMS) [20] | Cross-sectional | Canada: 1608; mean age=11.6±1.1 years (49.7% girls) | Actical accelerometer | Breaks in sedentary time were defined as an interruption in sedentary time (lasting a minimum of one minute) in which there was a transition in accelerometer count from <100 cpm to ≥100 cpm. | BMI, WC, SBP, DBP and Non-HDL-Cholesterol. | Linear regression analyses | Number of breaks in sedentary time, after 3pm on weekdays, was negatively associated with WC ($\beta=-4.04$, $p=0.006$) in boys aged 11–14 years. Breaks in sedentary time were not significantly associated with BMI or WC in girls of any age or in boys aged 6–10 or 15-19 years. Breaks in sedentary time were not associated with BP or non-HDL cholesterol in boys or girls. |
| Saunders et al., 2013 (QUebec Adiposity and Lifestyle Investigatio in Youth–QUALITY-cohort) [19] | Cross-sectional | Canada: 522; mean age=9.2 (9.0-9.3) years (45% girls) | Actigraph LS 7164 accelerometer (uniaxial) | Breaks in sedentary time were defined as any interruption in sedentary time lasting one minute or longer, in which the accelerometer cpm, rose up to or above 100. | BMI z-score and continuous cardiometabolic risk score (-zHDL + zInsulin + zGlucose + zTriglycerides + (zBMI + zWC)/2 + (zSBP + zDBP)/2) | Linear regression analyses | Breaks in sedentary time were negatively associated with the continuous cardiometabolic risk score, both in boys and girls (boys: $\beta=-0.057$, 95%CI= -0.106 to -0.008; girls: $\beta=-0.084$, 95%CI= -0.143 to -0.024) and BMI z-scores (boys: $\beta=-0.026$, 95%CI= -0.040 to -0.012; girls: $\beta=-0.032$, 95%CI= -0.048 to -0.016). All $p<0.05$. |
| Carson et al., 2014 Project BEAT | Cross-sectional | Canada: 787; mean age=11.1±0.7 years | Actigraph GT1M accelerometer (uniaxial) | A break was defined as an interruption in sedentary time and BMI z-score and BMI z-score stratified by low and high MVPA. | Multiple linear regression models | After adjustment for confounders, the total number of sedentary breaks per hour, the total number |
| Study Reference | Study Design | Study Population | Study Methods | Confounders | Additional Findings |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Sousa-Sá E et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | Portugal: 2698; mean age = 13.4±2.28 years (53.2% girls) | ActiGraph, GT1M accelerometer (uniaxial) | BMI and standardized fitness composite score (z-score) | Breaks in sedentary time were positively associated with fitness after adjustments, independent of confounders. |
| Dowd et al., 2014 [31] | Cross-sectional | Ireland: 195; mean age = 15.7±0.9 years (100% girls) | ActivPAL inclinometer | Breaks in sitting time were defined as any transition from a sitting/lying posture to a standing posture and breaks in sitting/lying time were summed over the waking measurement period. | A significant association was observed between the number of breaks in sitting/lying time and BMI percentiles (β = -0.3; 95%CI -0.59 to -0.01; p = 0.04), but not skinfolds (β= -0.3; 95%CI -0.63 to 0.03; p = 0.07), after adjustments. |
| Gabel et al., 2016 (The Transform-Us! Study) [23] | Cross-sectional | Australia: 164; mean age = 8.7±0.4 years (53% girls) | ActiGraph GT3X accelerometer (tri-axial) | Breaks in sedentary time were defined as the number of times that the accelerometer exceeded 25 counts per 15-s epoch, following a 15-s epoch of <25 counts per epoch. | No significant associations between the frequency of breaks in sedentary time and C-reactive protein were observed, independent of confounders. |
| Bailey et al., 2017 (The HAPPY study) [30] | Cross-sectional | UK: 111; mean age = 11.8±1.4 years (59% girls) | RT3 accelerometer (tri-axial) | Breaks in sedentary time were defined as a sedentary bout interrupted by ≥1 min of light activity or MVPA. | The number of breaks in sedentary time was not significantly associated with cardiometabolic risk factors or its clustering, after adjustments. Participants with longer mean duration of daily breaks in sedentary time were less likely to have abdominal obesity and elevated DBP (OR = 0.12; 95%CI 0.02 to 0.87; p = 0.04 and OR = 0.07; 95%CI 0.01 to 0.53; p = 0.01, respectively). |
| Júdice et al., 2017 [32] | Cross-sectional | Portugal: 2698; mean age = 13.4±2.28 years (53.2% girls) | ActiGraph, GT1M accelerometer (uniaxial) | Breaks in sedentary time were defined as each interruption in sedentary time in which the accelerometer count raised up to or above 100 counts/min and which stayed within the | Breaks in sedentary time were positively associated with fitness after adjustments, independent of confounders. Total sedentary time and MVPA in boys but not in girls (all: β=0.024, 95%CI 0.013 to 0.034; boys: β=0.026, 95%CI 0.009 to 0.042; girls: β=0.001, 95%CI -0.017 to 0.017). |

(54.3% girls) | reflected any 5-s epoch change from sedentary time to light-intensity PA or MVPA. | Confounders: Age, sex, SES, MVPA and total sedentary time. | of sedentary breaks per hour on weekdays and on weekends were not associated with BMI z-score nor BMI z-score when participants were stratified by low and high MVPA (p<0.005 for all). |
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| Author (Study) | Design | Aim & Study sample | Measurement of breaks in sitting time (exposure) | Cardiovascular outcomes | Statistical analysis & Confounders | Intervention | Main results |
|----------------|--------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Sousa-Sá et al., 2018 (The GET-UP! study) [26] | Cross-sectional | Australia: 266; mean age=19.6±4.2 months (48% girls) | ActivPAL accelerometer | Breaks in sitting time were defined as any change in posture from sitting/lying to standing. The total number of breaks in sitting time were summed and divided by activPal waking wear time. | BMI and WC. | Logistic regression models | The number of breaks in sitting time was not a significant predictor of non-overweight (BMI), after adjustments, \( P_{\text{trend}}=0.065 \). The number of breaks in sitting time significantly predicted a lower weight status (non-overweight) according to WC values \( (P_{\text{trend}}=0.032) \) after adjustments. |

**Experimental studies**

| Author (Study) | Design | Aim & Study sample | Measurement of breaks in sitting time (exposure) | Cardiovascular outcomes | Statistical analysis & Confounders | Intervention | Main results |
|----------------|--------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Saunders et al., 2013 [18] | Randomized cross-over (4 weeks intervention period) | To determine whether 8h of uninterrupted sitting increases markers of cardiometabolic disease risk in healthy children and youth, in comparison to 8h of sitting interrupted by light intensity walk breaks or structured PA. Canada: 19; mean age=12.2±0.8 years (42% girls) | Actical accelerometer | WC; BMI; \( \text{VO}_2 \) peak; glucose, triglycerides, HDL and LDL cholesterol. | Linear mixed-model, with effects for condition. Confounders: Age, sex, BMI, WC, Tanner stage, and baseline PA and SB. | | No significant differences were observed in the area under the curve for any marker of cardiometabolic disease risk across the 3 study conditions. |
| Saunders et al., 2014 [22] | To determine the acute effect of prolonged sitting on \( \text{ad libitum} \) food | Actical accelerometer | BMI, WC, PA, SB, \( \text{VO}_2 \) peak and resting EE. | Linear mixed model, with effects for condition. Confounders: Age, sex, | | | The estimated EE differed significantly across the 3 experimental conditions, with the Breaks condition showing higher values of... |
### Sousa-Sá E et al., 2020

| Study | Design | Objective | Population & Setting | Data Collection & Analysis | Findings |
|-------|--------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Belcher et al., 2015 [28] | Randomized trial (2 months) | To investigate whether interrupting sitting with short, moderate-intensity walking bouts improved glucose tolerance in children. | Canada: 20; mean age=12.2±0.9 years (40% girls) | Actigraph GT3X+ (triaxial accelerometer) | Insulin, C-peptide, glucose and free fatty acids ANOVA Confounders: Randomization order | Participants underwent two experimental conditions in random order on different days: continuous sitting for 3 hours or sitting interrupted by walking (3 min of moderate-intensity walking every 30 min). Interrupting sitting resulted in a 32% lower insulin AUC (p<0.001), 17% lower C-peptide AUC (p<0.001), and 7% lower glucose AUC (p=0.018) vs continuous sitting. Mixed model results indicated that insulin (p=0.036) and free fatty acid concentrations (p=0.009) were significantly lower in the interrupted vs the continuous sitting condition. |
| Contardo-Ayala et al., 2016 [24] Pilot non-randomized trial (8-month intervention period) | To examine the impact of an 8-month intervention incorporating height-adjustable desks and pedagogical strategies on overall volume and pattern of sitting time in the classroom, cardio- | USA: 28; mean age=10.2±1.5 years (46% girls) | Actigraph GT3X+ | WC z-score; BMI z-score and BP | Hierarchical linear mixed models. Confounders: Baseline values. | One Year 6 class was assigned as an IG and another Year 6 class as a CG. Each participant in the IG was provided with an adjustable height-adjustable workstation. The pedagogical strategies recommended that extended classroom teaching blocks (of at least 60 min) would be interrupted every 30 min with a 2-min guided light-intensity active break from sitting. The teacher was asked to deliver at least one 30-min standing lesson each day. The CG followed standard school time. The IG exhibited a significant positive mean difference in the frequency of sit to stand transitions relative to the CG (mean dif=7.26; 95%CI 1.2 to 13.32; p=0.02). No other significant intervention effects were reported for other sitting and activity measures, during classroom time, on waking hours. No significant intervention EEs than the Sedentary condition and the Breaks+PA condition showing higher values of EE than the Breaks condition (all p<0.01). |

### Belcher et al., 2015 [28]
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Participants underwent two experimental conditions in random order on different days: continuous sitting for 3 hours or sitting interrupted by walking (3 min of moderate-intensity walking every 30 min). Interrupting sitting resulted in a 32% lower insulin AUC (p<0.001), 17% lower C-peptide AUC (p<0.001), and 7% lower glucose AUC (p=0.018) vs continuous sitting. Mixed model results indicated that insulin (p=0.036) and free fatty acid concentrations (p=0.009) were significantly lower in the interrupted vs the continuous sitting condition.

### Contardo-Ayala et al., 2016 [24] Pilot non-randomized trial (8-month intervention period)

To examine the impact of an 8-month intervention incorporating height-adjustable desks and pedagogical strategies on overall volume and pattern of sitting time in the classroom, cardio-
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Confounders: Baseline values.

One Year 6 class was assigned as an IG and another Year 6 class as a CG. Each participant in the IG was provided with an adjustable height-adjustable workstation. The pedagogical strategies recommended that extended classroom teaching blocks (of at least 60 min) would be interrupted every 30 min with a 2-min guided light-intensity active break from sitting. The teacher was asked to deliver at least one 30-min standing lesson each day. The CG followed standard school time. The IG exhibited a significant positive mean difference in the frequency of sit to stand transitions relative to the CG (mean dif=7.26; 95%CI 1.2 to 13.32; p=0.02). No other significant intervention effects were reported for other sitting and activity measures, during classroom time, on waking hours. No significant intervention EEs than the Sedentary condition and the Breaks+PA condition showing higher values of EE than the Breaks condition (all p<0.01).
| Study Authors | Study Design/Duration | Aim | Intervention Details | Measures | Results |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----|----------------------|----------|---------|
| Sousa-Sá E et al., 2020 | Randomized controlled trial (1 month) | To investigate metabolic risk factors and perceptions of musculoskeletal discomfort among primary school children. | Australia: 48; mean age=11.6±0.3 years (58% girls) | pedagogical practice and retained traditional classroom furniture. | Effects were found for BMI, WC or BP. |
| Broadney et al., 2018 [29] | Randomized crossover trial (1 month) | To investigate whether interrupting SB (sitting) with very short periods of walking would improve glucose metabolism without affecting dietary intake in children with overweight or obesity. | USA: 35 overweight/obese children; mean age=9.6±1.3 years (46.5% girls) | Insulin, C-peptide, and glucose. | Results identified lower insulin and C-peptide in the interrupted condition (p=0.007 and p=0.029, respectively). The intervention reduced insulin AUC by 21% (p=0.001) and C-peptide AUC 18% (p=0.001) and improved estimated insulin sensitivity (p=0.013). |
| Contardo-Ayala et al., 2018 [25] | Quasi-experimental intervention trial (17 weeks intervention period) | To investigate the impact of an intervention to reduce classroom sitting time on adolescents’ EE (kcal/lesson), BMI, WC and N/A | EE, BMI and WC. | Students from years 7, 10 and 11 with timetabled classes in the IG and a CG of students (i.e. matched on year level and school subject) who only used the traditional ‘seated’ classrooms. Traditional classroom furniture in the IG was replaced with height-adjustable desks and lab stools for every compared to the CG, the IG expended significantly more energy during a typical lesson at 4 and 17 weeks. Relative to the CG, WC was significantly lower in the IG at 4 and 17 weeks. While BMI was significantly higher at 4 weeks, no | Hierarchical linear mixed models. | Confounders: Baseline serum values, age, sex, fat mass, randomization order, visit condition and pubertal stage. | Participants underwent two experimental conditions in random order: prolonged sitting (3h of continuous sitting) and interrupted sitting (3min of moderate-intensity walking at 80% of ventilatory threshold walking every 30 min for 3h). |
musculoskeletal discomfort. Australia: 88; mean age=14.9±1.7 years (43.2% girls) student and teacher. Students were encouraged to break up classroom sitting every 15 minutes with standing for at least 2 minutes.

Abbreviations: WC=waist circumference; BMI=body mass index; FMI=fat mass index; EE=energy expenditure; TC=total cholesterol; HDL=high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL=low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PA=physical activity; MVPA=moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; SB=sedentary behavior; BP=blood pressure; SBP=systolic blood pressure; DBP=diastolic blood pressure; CG=control group; IG=intervention group; AUC=area under the curve; cpm=counts per minute; SF=fragmentation of sedentary time; SES=socio economic status.

| STUDY | 1. SELECTION BIAS | 2. ATTRITION BIAS | 3. DETECTION BIAS | 4. PERFORMANCE BIAS | 5. SELECTIVE REPORTING BIAS |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Carson & Janssen, 2011 [27] | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low |
| Colley et al., 2013 [20] | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low |
| Saunders et al., 2013 [18] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | High |
| Saunders et al., 2013 [19] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low |
| Carson et al., 2013 [28] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low |

Table 2. Risk of bias for included studies
| Study                  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8/8 | Risk of Bias |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-------------|
| Dowd et al., 2014 [21] | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8/8 | Low         |
| Saunders et al., 2014 [22] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5/8 | High        |
| Belcher et al., 2015 [29] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7/8 | Low         |
| Contardo-Ayala et al., 2016 [24] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5/8 | High        |
| Gabel et al., 2016 [23] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5/8 | High        |
| Bailey et al., 2017 [30] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6/8 | Low         |
| Judice et al., 2017 [32] | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8/8 | Low         |
| Broadney et al, 2018 [29] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7/8 | Low         |
| Contardo-Ayala et al., 2019 [25] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5/8 | High        |
| Sousa-Sá et al., 2018 [26] | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8/8 | Low         |

0 = No; 1 = Yes;  
* Scores above 6/8 were considered low risk of bias.
### Table 3. Results of the included studies

| Adiposity outcomes | Favorable associations | Null associations | Summary |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------|
| BMI                | Dowd et al, 2014 [31] | Colley et al, 2013 [20] | 5/7 studies showed favorable associations for adiposity outcomes |
| zBMI               | Saunders et al, 2013 [19] | Carson et al, 2014 [21] | 5/7 studies showed null associations for adiposity outcomes |
| WC                 | Colley et al, 2013 [20] | Colley et al, 2013 [20] | |
|                    | Sousa-Sá et al, 2018 [26] | Contardo-Ayala et al, 2016 [24]* | |
|                    | Contardo-Ayala et al, 2018 [25]* | | |
| Skinfolds          | Dowd et al, 2014 [31] | | |

| Metabolic outcomes | Favorable associations | Null associations | Summary |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------|
| Cholesterol        | Colley et al, 2013 [20] | | 3/9 studies showed favorable associations for metabolic outcomes |
| C-reactive protein | Gabel et al, 2016 [23] | | 6/9 studies showed null associations for metabolic outcomes |
| Insulin            | Belcher et al, 2015 [28]* | | |
|                    | Broadney et al, 2018 [29]* | | |
| C-peptide          | Belcher et al, 2015 [28]* | | |
|                    | Broadney et al, 2018 [29]* | | |
| Glucose            | Belcher et al, 2015 [28]* | | |
| Free fatty acid    | Belcher et al, 2015 [28]* | | |
| BP                 | Colley et al, 2013 [20] | | |
|                    | Contardo-Ayala et al, 2016 [24]* | | |
| Cardio metabolic risk | Saunders et al, 2013 [19] | Carson et al, 2011 [27] | 1/1 studies showed favorable associations for fitness measures |
|                    | | Bailey et al, 2017 [30] | 0 studies showed favorable associations for fitness measures |
|                    | | Saunders et al, 2013 [18]* | |
| Fitness            | Júdice et al, 2017 [32] | | 1/1 studies showed favorable associations for fitness measures |
|                    | | | 0 studies showed favorable associations for fitness measures |
| EE                 | Saunders et al, 2014 [22]* | | 2/2 studies showed favorable associations for energy expenditure |
|                    | Contardo-Ayala et al, 2018 [25]* | | 0 studies showed favorable associations for energy expenditure |

Abbreviations: BMI=body mass index; WC=waist circumference; FMI=fat mass index; EE=energy expenditure.
References with an (*) are experimental studies; references without an (*) are observational studies.
3. Results

A total of 3262 studies, published between 1937 and 2018, were identified through database searches (Figure 1). After removing duplicates, 2577 studies remained. After titles and abstracts were screened, 315 full-text articles were included for full-text review and, of these, 15 studies met the inclusion criteria.

Included studies were published between 2011 and 2018 and were conducted in Canada [18-22], Australia [23-26], United States [27-29], UK [30], Ireland [31] and Portugal [32]. Sample size ranged between 19 and 2698 children and adolescents (43% girls). This review included a total of 9116 participants. Nine studies were cross-sectional in design (60%), three were randomized crossover trials (20%), and there was one randomized trial (6.7%), one pilot non-randomized trial (6.7%) and one quasi-experimental intervention trial (6.6%). Samples age ranged from 1.3 to 16.6 years. Sedentary time was measured with Actical [18, 22, 20], Actigraph accelerometers (AM7124; LS7164; GT1M; GT3X; GT3X+) [27, 21, 23, 32, 29, 19, 28], activPal accelerometer [24, 26] and RT3 triaxial accelerometer [30]. Of the 15 included studies, only five (33%) showed consistent and significant associations. Results from the observational studies’ showed that breaks in sedentary time were negatively associated with the continuous cardiometabolic risk score and with BMI z-scores [19]; and breaks in sedentary time were positively associated with fitness [32]. Experimental studies’ showed that the higher the number of breaks, the higher the energy expenditure [22]; and interrupting sitting time resulted in lower insulin, C-peptide, glucose and lower free fatty acids [29, 28]. The remaining 10 studies (67%), showed either favorable or null associations between breaks in sedentary time/sitting time and cardiovascular health [27, 20, 21, 31, 23, 30, 26, 18, 19, 25]. Results also showed a similar number of favorable and null associations for both adiposity and metabolic outcomes; whereas for fitness and energy expenditure, results only showed favorable associations (Table 3).

Out of the fifteen studies included in this review, ten studies (67%) were classified as having a low ROB and five as having a high ROB (33%). All studies had a reliable and/or valid tool to assess both cardiovascular health outcomes and breaks in sitting time.

4. Discussion

Research on sedentary behavior has typically focused on the investigation of its volumes and types. However, the patterns in which sedentary behavior is accumulated should also be taken into consideration given that the way in which sedentary time is accumulated may help to clarify the associations between sedentary time and health outcomes, in children and adolescents [28].

Most of the studies included in this review reported favorable and some null associations between breaking sitting time and CV health outcomes. This may be due to the use of several operational definitions for sedentary breaks in sedentary time/sitting time, as well as different devices used to assess breaks in sitting time. Indeed, only recently, standardized definitions of sitting time and breaks in sitting time have been proposed [1]. The different definitions of breaks used across studies is one of the reasons for the different number of breaks in all studies; i.e. Dowd and colleagues [31] defined breaks in sitting time as any transition from a sitting/lying posture to a standing posture, whereas Gabel [23] and colleagues defined breaks in sedentary time as the number of times that the accelerometer exceeded 25 counts per 15-s epoch, following a 15-s epoch of <25 counts per epoch. Within those definitions, the authors also added the concept of “breakers” and “prolongers”, to distinguish those who accumulate sitting time with frequent interruptions from those who accumulate sitting time in prolonged and continuous periods, respectively. Such definitions have the potential to be used in future studies. This would significantly reduce the heterogeneity between studies, making comparisons between studies easier and attainable. Moreover, null associations were seen in studies with adequate sample power [33, 20], i.e. samples...
big enough to address the research question, as well as studies with small samples [24, 25]. Therefore, we cannot assume that sample size was a predominate factor influencing the results.

The included studies assessed breaks in sitting time with different devices, as stated before. In this regards it is worthy to comment that only the most recent accelerometers models (GT3X and GT3X+) are able to differentiate between various postures (i.e. lying, sitting, standing) [33, 34]; whereas the activPal allows for this [35]. As a consequence of potential misclassification when sedentary/sitting time are measured by accelerometry, time spent sedentary (both total and breaks) may be overestimated [36]. Nevertheless, we considered that all studies used valid tools to assess breaks in sitting time, given the definitions of breaks that each of the included studies considered. Regardless of the inconsistencies found, the results of our systematic review offer new data regarding the associations of breaking up prolonged time spent sitting, with either LPA or MVPA, on the children’s cardiometabolic profile.

While increased breaks in objectively measured sedentary time have been positively associated with markers of cardiometabolic risk in adults [11, 12], this is not yet a certainty in the pediatric population. However, in the absence of more longitudinal and epidemiological evidence, we can only speculate that the harmful effects of prolonged sitting time in youngsters, take time to exert an effect on health. This could potentially be a possible explanation for the different results between children/adolescents and adult population. However, and remarkably, the UK Physical Activity Guidelines, and the new 24-hour Movement Australian and Canadian Guidelines for the Early Years [7, 37, 8] recommend on reducing/breaking up sedentary behavior, more precisely, sitting time. Both the Australian and Canadian guidelines, further recommend that sitting time should be interrupted, at least, every hour, and it should be replaced with more energetic play [7, 8]. These guidelines highlight the importance of, not only motivating for MVPA, but also reducing sitting time, to achieve greater health benefits.

5. Research gaps

No study included in this review assessed the movement behaviors that make up the whole day (sleep, sedentary behavior and physical activity of all intensities)[38], which are known to interact, conflate and influence health, ignoring their potential synergic effects on cardiovascular disease development[39]. Therefore, examining the evidence of the movement behaviors combination, might be the key to better understand the complexity of the clustering and interactions of these behaviors and its health effects.

To reduce heterogeneity between studies investigating breaks in sitting time or in sedentary behavior, the new standardized operational definitions of sedentary bouts and breaks proposed by Tremblay and colleagues [1] should be adopted by the research community in this field.

To understand at what level sedentary time and its interruptions are associated with markers of cardiometabolic risk in pediatric populations, more epidemiological studies with objectively measured sedentary breaks in sitting/sedentary time are required. Also, researchers could explore differences between age groups, i.e. early years separately to adolescents.

6. Strengths and Limitations

The main strength of this systematic review is the fact that is the first, to our knowledge, to summarize the associations between breaks in sitting time and cardiovascular health outcomes in children and adolescents. This review included a total of 9116 participants, which is a large number considering that only included sixteen studies. Nonetheless, our review is not without limitations. Due to heterogeneity of the data, meta-analyses were not possible and, therefore, narrative syntheses were conducted.

4. Conclusion and suggestions

The association between breaks in sitting time and cardiovascular health outcomes in children is inconsistent and has revealed mostly favorable
and some null associations. As it is known that there is a negative association between breaks in sitting time and cardiovascular health in adults and given the few studies included in this systematic review, more epidemiological evidence, on the relationship between patterns of sedentary time and markers of cardiovascular health is required to draw definitive conclusions on the association between breaks and cardiovascular health in youth. This will help to inform lifestyle interventions and public health policies intended to diminish chronic disease risk in children and youth, consequently translating into long-term implications on population health.
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