A recent paper by Dr Gordon Sasaki looked at the effect on alopecia of 2 different platelet concentrations of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) compared to placebo. Although improvement was associated with the higher concentration, statistical significance was not reached. As the main variable, and the basis of this study, platelet concentration deserves a closer look. This concentration may be expressed as the platelet increase factor (PIF): PIF = (PRP platelet concentration)/(whole blood platelet concentration).

The PIF was reported as 4.5× in this study, obtained from the datasheet provided by the manufacturer of the PRP kits used in Dr Sasaki’s study, Eclipse (The Colony, TX), who also sponsored the study. This value is foundational to any conclusions reached. A PIF of 4.5× would equal 1 to 1.5 million platelets/µL, which Dr Sasaki notes is widely believed to be the optimal concentration for favorable results. Indeed, the classic definition of PRP is a minimum of 1 million platelets/µL; conversely, concentrations below whole blood (“200,000 platelets/µL) are termed platelet-poor plasma (PPP).

Presumably to verify the PRP platelet concentration, Dr Sasaki sent 1 mL from each PRP sample to a local hospital laboratory for Coulter Counter analysis (CCA). He writes, “quantification of platelets... by Coulter Counter in Batches A and B were calculated as 4.5-fold increases over baseline values.” However, a check of this calculation, ie the ratio of CCA PRP platelet concentration to baseline platelet concentrations, shows the PIF was actually only 0.1× to 0.2×, far lower than 4.5× (Table 1). In other words, PPP was used as treatment instead of PRP.

For example, according to Table 5 in Dr Sasaki’s paper, CCA showed that the mean number of platelets for males, Batch A PRP (5 mL) was 136,991,250, which equates to 27,398/µL, or only 10% of the baseline platelet concentration of 276,750/µL. Therefore, the PIF is only 0.1× and 27,398/µL is 36.5× lower than the optimal value of 1 million/µL. This level of concentration would not qualify as PRP and it would not be surprising to see poor clinical results.

Looking more closely at the study, 2 batches of PRP were used, A and B, representing low and high concentrations, respectively. However, both Batch A and B are described as “4.5 times the baseline platelet concentration of a patient’s whole blood.” Also, 1-mL aliquots of each batch were sent for CCA. Table 5 shows a very precise relationship between the batches; for both mean and standard deviations, the values for Batch B are exactly double the values for Batch A. This would not be expected if separate samples were measured by CCA. A PIF value of 4.5× still appears on Eclipse’s current website, based on “An average of several independent, verified tests” and “Whole Blood Platelets counts of 209 (10^6/mL)” (Table 2).

Important questions arise from the manufacturer’s claims. How does the PIF improve from 3.5× to 4.5× simply by using 2 identical tubes, with no other change in protocol? How can the total number of platelets claimed be more than the starting number in whole blood multiplied by the claimed yield (“85%). For the 44-mL kit (2 × 22 mL): total
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Table 1. Platelet Increase Factor based on Coulter Counter Analysis

| Description                                           | Male                  | Female                |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Baseline number of platelets/µL (whole blood)
| Calculated platelets in 5mL whole blood                | 276,750               | 245,000               |
| Batch A, CCA, mean total platelets in 5mL PRP*         | 136,991,250 [56,206,022] | 121,275,000 [49,475,242] |
| Batch B, CCA, mean total platelets in 5mL PRP (note = 2× Batch A)* | 273,982,500 [112,412,046] | 242,550,000 [98,950,486] |
| Batch A, number of platelets/µL                        | 27,398                | 24,255                |
| Batch B, number of platelets/µL                        | 54,796                | 48,510                |
| Batch A, PIF, per CCA*                                 | 0.1                   | 0.1                   |
| Batch B, PIF, per CCA*                                 | 0.2                   | 0.2                   |

Values are mean [standard deviation] or number. CCA, Coulter Counter analysis; PIF, platelet increase factor; PRP, platelet-rich plasma. *Values from Table 5 in Sasaki.\(^1\)

Table 2. Eclipse HC PRP\(^3\)

| Description | Eclipse HC 22-mL tube | Eclipse HC 44-mL tube |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Platelet concentration (PIF) | 3.4× | 4.5× |
| Total number of platelets (billion) | 5 | 10 |
| PRP volume (mL) | 6 | 12 |
| Platelet yield | >80% | >80% |

PIF, platelet increase factor; PRP, platelet-rich plasma. The 44-mL kit consists of two 22-mL tubes.\(^4\)

platelets = 0.85 × (44 mL × 209 million/mL) = 7.8 billion < 10 billion claimed; for the 22-mL kit: total platelets = 0.85 × (22 mL × 209 million/mL) = 3.9 billion < 5 billion claimed. To obtain 5 and 10 billion platelets from the 22- and 44-mL kits would require yields of 93% and 123%, respectively. Where do the extra platelets come from?

There is an extremely wide variety of PRP being produced by different systems available today. A recent comprehensive review of 34 different systems showed a 28× difference between the lowest and highest PIF, with platelet concentrations ranging from 79,000/µL (Eclipse) to 2.3 million/µL (Arthrex).\(^5\) The same review showed single-spin systems had an average PIF of 1.25×.

Eclipse HC PRP consists of a single-spin system using a setting of 10 minutes × 1500g. Other researchers studying the effects of force and time on PRP preparation have concluded that maximum platelet yield is obtained at much lower settings,\(^6\)-\(^8\) eg, 900g × 5 minutes\(^7\) or 160g × 10 minutes.\(^7\) As time and force increase, yield decreases, leading to a more “platelet pure” sample with fewer erythrocytes and leukocytes, but also lower platelet yield. These studies have demonstrated that a relatively high setting of 1500g × 10 minutes would lead to maximum volumes of plasma, but lower concentrations of all cell lines, including platelets, similar to the results of other independent studies of the Eclipse PRP system.\(^9\)

Taking such a high value of 4.5× for PIF on a single-spin system, at face value, without independent verification, and contrary to other known research, can lead to unfounded conclusions. The only independent testing of concentration in this study, CCA, showed very low PIFs and platelet concentrations. It seems this study looked at the effects of PPP rather than PRP.
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| Objectives | Methods | Conclusions |
|------------|---------|-------------|
| Provide an update on safety and performance outcomes at 5 years for Mesmo breast implants. | Breast augmentation patients completed questionnaires to assess complications and satisfaction. | With low complication rate, Mesmo implants are a safe choice for both surgeons and patients. |
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