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ABSTRACT

We analyze the spectrum of an unusually low mass white dwarf, SDSS J123410.37−022802.9 (0335-264-52000), found in our recent, white dwarf catalog from the first data release (DR1) of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS). Two independent, model atmosphere fits result in an accurate determination of atmospheric and stellar parameters. A second SDSS low-mass white dwarf candidate is also analyzed, but the spectrum for this fainter object is of poorer quality. The sample appears to include additional, similar candidates, worthy of more accurate observation. Correct identification of any additional white dwarfs of extremely low mass requires careful observation and interpretation.

Subject headings: stars: fundamental parameters — stars: low-mass, brown dwarfs — white dwarfs

1. INTRODUCTION

Kleinman et al. (2004, hereafter K04) have released a catalog of 2561 white dwarf stars found in the first data release (DR1) of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Abazajian et al. 2003). As value added to the release, an automatic fitting procedure (autofit) was used to estimate the parameters $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ for each white dwarf classified DA and DB. Extensive human checks of the “outliers” in the $T_{\text{eff}}$ versus $\log g$ plot resulted in elimination of many invalid fits, owing usually to a corrupted spectrum or flux calibration. However, K04 noted several white dwarfs of unusually low surface gravity that appear to be valid, if usually inaccurate, fits.

While it has been known for a long time that the mass distribution of field DA white dwarfs peaks near 0.6 $M_\odot$ (Koester, Schulz, & Weidemann 1990), the more precise determinations of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ made possible by accurate digital spectra and model atmosphere fits to the Balmer lines demonstrated the reality of both separate high-mass and low-mass components to this mass distribution (Bergeron, Saffer, & Liebert 1992; Braggaglia, Renzini, & Bergeron 1995). With derived masses $\leq 0.5 M_\odot$, the latter were inferred to have helium cores, requiring envelope mass loss to a companion before the progenitor reached the tip of the red giant branch and could ignite the helium. This prediction was dramatically confirmed by Marsh, Dhillon, & Duck (1995) and Maxted & Marsh (1998), who found that a large fraction of these stars and other low-gravity DA white dwarfs were indeed binaries with orbital periods of hours to days. The inferred companion was generally another white dwarf, sometimes detectable in the spectrum. In a few cases, an infrared excess was discovered, which implied a low-mass nondegenerate companion (Zuckerman & Becklin 1992).

The apparent helium white dwarfs in the Bergeron et al. (1992) and Braggaglia et al. (1995) samples of stars with $T_{\text{eff}} < 50,000 \text{ K}$ had $\log g$ as low as 7.22 and masses as low as 0.31 $M_\odot$ (PG 1241−010). Very hot, low-mass white dwarfs have radii much larger than the final “zero-temperature” values. For instance, in the Bergeron et al. (1994) analysis of hot DAO stars, the lowest gravity fitted was for the star HZ 34 at $\log g = 6.61$ and $T_{\text{eff}} = 60,700 \text{ K}$. Probably the lowest mass white dwarf reported up to now has a most unusual evolutionary history. It is the companion to the millisecond pulsar J1012+5307. Presumably it spun up the pulsar during the prior common envelope (CE) phase. Van Kerkwijk, Bergeron, & Kulkarni (1996) found for the white dwarf $T_{\text{eff}} = 8550 \pm 25 \text{ K}$ and $\log g = 6.75 \pm 0.07$, from which they estimated a tiny mass of only 0.16 ± 0.02 $M_\odot$. Callanan, Garnavich, & Koester (1998) derived $T_{\text{eff}} = 8670 \text{ K}$, $\log g = 6.34$, but the same mass of 0.16 ± 0.02 $M_\odot$ in an independent analysis.

Several stars in the K04 DA sample fit surface gravities lower than those cited above. For most of these mentioned in K04, § 7.1, the spectrophotometry of these stars near 20th magnitude is too poor for accurate determinations of parameters. Improved spectra would be recommended. For convenience, the SDSS photometry for the stars mentioned in the cited paper is listed in Table 1.

The main purpose of this Letter is to develop the case for an extremely low mass for the best-observed example, SDSS J123410.37−022802.9 (hereafter, SDSS J1234), a result in which we have high confidence. We do include analysis of one other low-mass candidate, to illustrate what happens with a poorly detected spectrum. In § 2, independent fits to the SDSS spectrophotometry of the Balmer lines are presented. In § 3, we discuss the implications.

2. DETERMINATION OF PARAMETERS

SDSS J1234 is a fairly bright star compared to most cataloged in K04, at $g = 17.87$. The proper-motion measurement

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Star & $T_{\text{eff}}$ (K) & $\log g$ \\
\hline
SDSS J1234 & 8550 & 6.75 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Spectrophotometric parameters for SDSS J1234.}
\end{table}
μ = 13 mas yr⁻¹ is probably real. The autofit techniques are discussed in the cited paper. For this star, the fitted $T_{\text{eff}} = 17,500$ K, with log $g = 6.375$. The fit is shown in Figure 13 of K04.

Extraordinary claims, however, should be backed up by careful analysis. We therefore performed a careful, hands-on fit to the spectrum using the independent pure hydrogen models of one of us (P. B.). The method of analysis is that discussed in the previously cited Bergeron et al. (1992), Braglia et al. (1995), and many other Bergeron papers. The fit is shown in Figure 1 (left). The resulting parameters are $T_{\text{eff}} = 17,470 \pm 750$ K, log $g = 6.38 \pm 0.05$, in excellent agreement with the autofit values in K04. We can thus be confident that these are good estimates of the parameters of this unusual star.

It is possible that other DA white dwarfs of unusually low mass are included in the DR1 catalog. Several are mentioned in K04. The problem of analyzing a 2.5 m spectrum of a much fainter candidate is illustrated in Figure 1 (right), an attempt to fit the Balmer lines of SDSS J105611.03+653631.5 ($g = 19.77$) with Bergeron models. The derived parameters are $T_{\text{eff}} = 21,910 \pm 1900$ K, log $g = 7.07 \pm 0.09$. The autofit in the catalog gives 18,149 $\pm 288$ K and 6.73 $\pm 0.10$, respectively. Reobservation of this star to achieve a high signal-to-noise ratio is desirable to determine more accurate parameters.

We do not judge it to be worthwhile to show fits to the remaining low-mass candidates in the paper cited above. For instance, the very noisy spectrum of SDSS J234536.48-010204.8—which is discussed more extensively in K04—fitted parameters of $T_{\text{eff}} = 27,141$ K, log $g = 6.06$ with the autofit package. With Bergeron models and techniques, the parameters are considerably different at 34,042 K and 6.77. Clearly, this is an example of a candidate that needs much more precise observation.

3. THE NATURE OF SDSS J1234

We note that the SDSS colors of SDSS J1234, $u-g = 0.32$, $g-r = -0.35$, are similar to DA or subdwarf B stars of similar $T_{\text{eff}}$. Actually, these accurate colors place the object to the right of the Bergeron log $g = 7$ curve for hydrogen-atmosphere white dwarfs plotted in the $(u-g)$ versus $(g-r)$ diagram (Fig. 1) in the Harris et al. (2003) paper. This paper presented the first sampling of white dwarfs from the SDSS. The colors thus are in agreement with the determination that SDSS J1234 has log $g$ less than 7.

Clearly, these colors are inconsistent with main-sequence A or horizontal-branch (HB) A stars, which have somewhat similar H line profiles but much larger Balmer jumps ($u-g \sim 1$). The fitted gravity is also higher than for these types of stars, although this may not be obvious from simple inspection of the hydrogen line spectrum.

Could SDSS J1234 be a subdwarf B (sdB) star on the extended horizontal branch (EHB)? Such stars can have similar colors. However, this interpretation cannot be correct. Saffer et al. (1994) analyzed a sample of bright, field sdB stars using a similar analysis of Balmer line spectra at these lower surface gravities. In their Figure 5, the distribution is plotted in log $g$ versus $T_{\text{eff}}$. Along this EHB, the log $g$ increases with increasing $T_{\text{eff}}$, but the upper limit to log $g$ at a given $T_{\text{eff}}$ is believed to be specified by models for the “zero-age” HB, the location of the star at the start of the core-helium burning phase. Stars evolve upward in luminosity to lower log $g$ values as the He burning proceeds. HB models were also plotted in the Saffer et al. figure. It is shown that a star of 17,000 K is expected to have log $g$ no higher than 5.0, lower by more than an order of magnitude.

If the proper motion is real, the implied tangential velocity of the star at the required absolute magnitude and distance for the HB might leave it unbound to the galaxy. At $M_V \sim 2$, $g = 17.88$ is roughly $V = 17.98$, then $d = 15,700$ pc. Thus, $v_{\text{tan}} = 4.738 \mu d \sim 970$ km s⁻¹. If instead we use the fitted $M_V = 8.22$, $v_{\text{tan}} = 55$ km s⁻¹, appropriate for the old or thick disk population at a distance of about 900 pc.

The star lies in luminosity between the sdB/HB sequence and the sequence of normal-mass white dwarfs. At $M_V = 8.22$, it is nearly 6 mag fainter than the HB at 17,000 K and 2.7 mag brighter than a 17,000 K white dwarf with mass 0.6 $M_\odot$. The
only possible interpretation appears to be that it is a helium white
dwarf with unusually low mass and large radius. The estimated
radius is 0.047 \( R_\odot \), about 4 times that of a 0.6 \( M_\odot \) white dwarf.
At an estimated \( M_{\text{hel}} = 6.57 \), it is about one-fifth of the luminosity
of the Sun!

How extreme are the parameters? In Figure 2, we plot the six
evolutionary sequences of Althaus, Serenelli, & Benvenuto (2001) and Serenelli et al. (2001) in a temperature-gravity di-
agram, along with the positions of the two SDSS stars analyzed
in § 2 and the white dwarf companion to the millisecond pulsar
discussed in § 1. SDSS J1234 appears to fit a mass not signif-
ificantly different from 0.196 \( M_\odot \) and essentially the same as
these evolutionary sequences would estimate for the pulsar
companion. However, these values also depend on whether
gravitational diffusion and a pure-hydrogen atmospheric com-
position are correct assumptions and on the assumed thickness
of the hydrogen envelope. Note that Althaus et al. (2001) es-
imated 0.169 \( M_\odot \) for the pulsar, using the lower gravity of
Callanan et al. (1998). The values for these two unusual white
dwarfs obviously remain uncertain. Finally, however, we note
that even the mass inferred for SDSS J105610.03 + 653631.5
is near the 0.31 \( M_\odot \) value for the lowest mass white dwarf
previously determined in the literature (see § 1), apart from
the pulsar companion.

It is very likely that SDSS J1234 has a binary companion,
as apparently do the other low-mass, helium-core white dwarfs.
If unusual evolution in a CE with a neutron star is required
for so much of the original hydrogen envelope to be removed,
then we would infer that this white dwarf could have an un-
discovered or dead pulsar companion.

If the binary separation is appropriate, however, it is possible
that the companion might just be a cool white dwarf following
more ordinary CE evolution. For instance, if the progenitor of
the observed component were 1 \( M_\odot \), it leaves the main
sequence and reaches the so-called Schönberg-Chandrasekhar
limit with a helium core of about 0.1 \( M_\odot \) (see, e.g., Kippenhahn
& Weigert 1990, p. 285). The radius slowly expands from this
point, and the companion must be located such that the evolving
subgiant overfills its Roche lobe soon afterward and begins
unstable mass transfer to the degenerate companion. If the sub-
sequent CE phase is sufficiently unstable, most of the envelope
mass may be lost quickly from the system, so that the core
mass of the evolving star does not grow past 0.16–0.19 \( M_\odot \).
Thus, the phenomenon of ultra–low-mass He white dwarfs may
occur in the ordinary field population from more ordinary bi-
nary star evolution.

We conclude by emphasizing that the correct identification
of any extremely low mass white dwarfs like SDSS J1234 in
various surveys requires careful observation and interpretation.
In the past, some might have been dismissed by inspection of
the spectra as some other class of star than a white dwarf. The
SDSS will be an ideal sample for a robust determination of
frequency of such objects.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation
through grant AST 03-07321 for the study of white dwarfs
found in the SDSS. This work was also supported in part by
the NSERC Canada and by the Fund NATEQ (Québec).

REFERENCES
Abazajian, K., et al. 2003, AJ, 126, 2081
Althaus, L. G., Serenelli, A. M., & Benvenuto, O. G. 2001, MNRAS, 323, 471
Bergeron, P., Saffer, R. A., & Liebert, J. 1992, ApJ, 394, 228
Bergeron, P., Wesemael, F., Beauchamp, A., Wood, M. A., Lamontagne, R.,
Fontaine, G., & Liebert, J. 1994, ApJ, 432, 305
Bragaglia, A., Renzini, A., & Bergeron, P. 1995, ApJ, 443, 735
Callanan, P. J., Garnavich, P. M., & Koester, D. 1998, MNRAS, 298, 207
Harris, H., et al. 2003, AJ, 126, 1023
Kippenhahn, R., & Weigert, A. 1990, Stellar Structure and Evolution (Berlin:
Springer)
Kleinman, S. J., et al. 2004, ApJ, 607, 427 (K04)
Koester, D., Schulz, H., & Weidemann, V. 1980, A&A, 76, 262
Marsh, T. R., Dhillon, V. S., & Duck, S. R. 1995, MNRAS, 275, 828
Maxted, P. F. L., & Marsh, T. R. 1998, MNRAS, 296, L34
Saffer, R. A., Bergeron, P., Koester, D., & Liebert, J. 1994, ApJ, 432, 351
Serenelli, A. M., Althaus, L. G., Rohrmann, R. D., & Benvenuto, O. G. 2001,
MNRAS, 325, 607
van Kerkwijk, M. H., Bergeron, P., & Kulkarni, S. R. 1996, ApJ, 467, L89
Zuckerman, B., & Becklin, E. E. 1992, ApJ, 386, 260