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ABSTRACT

The study aimed to determine the effects of different levels of phosphorous and zinc fertilizers on the yield and nutrient uptake of maize (Zea mays L.) The experiments were conducted in a factorial experiment under Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) to determine the effect of P and Zn applications on TZL white composite improved variety of maize. Four levels of phosphorus (0, 10, 20 and 30 kg P ha\(^{-1}\)) and three levels of zinc (0, 5 and 10 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\)) were applied on experimental plots of 4.5m x 5m replicated thrice. The results show no significant differences in both the years however, highest mean values of 2327.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and 2191.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was recorded at 20 kg P ha\(^{-1}\) and 10 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\) Application of P and Zn at different rates increases their uptake in the stover with highest values of 22.57 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 7.8 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in 2018 at 30 kg Pha\(^{-1}\) and 18.40 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 5.21 mg kg\(^{-1}\) at 20 kg Pha\(^{-1}\) in 2019. While 26.54 mg kg\(^{-1}\) kg and 21.85 mg kg\(^{-1}\) kg in 2018 at 10 kg Znha\(^{-1}\) and 6.76 mg kg\(^{-1}\) kg and
1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is an important grain crop with great economic value for both livestock and human consumption [1]. Maize is a high-nutrient demanding cereal crop with rapid growth rate and it produces large biomass [2]. Plant growth and development needs the availability of essential nutrients in the balance form that lead to the formation of good yield [3]. The importance of phosphorus has been emphasized by many workers [4]. After nitrogen and phosphorus, Zinc has been reported as the third most important limiting nutrient elements in crop production [5]. It promotes the early growth and formation of roots, which also improves the crop resistance against certain diseases [6,7]. Zinc (Zn) is one of the most functional micronutrients in biological system [8]. Zn is a constituent of different enzyme involved in metabolism of carbohydrate, auxin, protein, pollens’ formation and maintenance of the biological membranes integrity and infection resistance against certain pathogens [9:10]. Zn promotes the synthesis of carboxic enzyme, which is responsible for the biosynthesis of chlorophyll and is present in all photosynthetic tissues [11]. Physiological pathways involved in the processing of photosynthetic assimilates are also Zn dependent [12;13]. Generally, due to limited availability of these nutrients, maize crop grown in alkaline-calcareous soils suffers from deficiencies phosphorus (P) and zinc (Zn) [14]. Therefore, Quality and quantity of the crop produce can be improved through balanced nutrition. Micronutrients are indispensable for plants, required in trace amounts [15]. The plant’s physiological activities and growth is mainly harmonized by these nutrients [16]. In maize, higher P and lower Zn concentrations as a result of P toxicity and Zn deficiency reduces the shoot growth, nutrient uptake and ultimately, the yield. Thus, there is ardent need to determine the effects of different levels of phosphorous and zinc fertilizers on the yield and nutrient uptake of maize [17]. This study was conducted at Teaching and Research Farm of School of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Modibbo Adama University, Yola situated at 9°16'N 12°35E and is 152 m above sea level, with an average rainfall of 910.8 mm which occurred between May to October. The soil of the study area was sandy loam and it is classified as Typic Palecusta (USDA) or Chromic Luvisols (FAO/UNESCO) [17]. The study area falls within the Northern guinea savannah zone having maximum temperature in the state can reach 40°C with the mean monthly temperature ranging from 26.7°C in the northeastern part. [18,19].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted at Teaching and Research Farm during 2018 and 2019 rainy seasons with plot size of 4.5m x 5m. Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) was used in the experiment to test various levels of P and Zn applications on Txa ZL composite white improved variety of maize. Four levels of phosphorus (0, 10, 20 and 30 kg P/ha) and three levels of zinc (0, 5 and 10 kg/ha) were laid in twelve treatment combinations replicated thrice.

2.3 Agronomic Practices

The land was ploughed using tractor and harrowed to break the soil clods. The maize seeds TZL composite variety were sown in July 8th, 2018 for the first year and July 10th 2019 for the second year at the rate of 3 seeds per hole at a spacing of 75 cm x 25 cm. Phosphorus and zinc fertilizers were applied to each experimental plot according to treatment rates in a single dose. Weeding was done manually and harvesting was carried out on the 8th of October for first year of experiment in 2018 and 10th October for second

5.27 mg $^{-1}$ kg in 2019 with 0 kg Zha$^{-1}$ respectively. It is therefore recommended the best results of the application of 30kg P ha$^{-1}$ and 10 kg Zn ha$^{-1}$ should be adopted for optimum yield of maize.
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year of experiment in 2019 respectively at 90 days of maturity. Each experimental plot was harvested separately and yield parameter were recorded.

The total cob yield dry matter yield and grain yield was recorded

\[
\text{Shelling \%} = \frac{\text{Grain weight after shelling}}{\text{Cob weight}} \times 100
\]

3. RESULTS

3.1 Effects of \(P\) and \(Zn\) Application on Cob Weight, Grain Weight and Shelling Percentage

The result of Phosphorus and Zinc levels on cob weight and grain weight presented on Table 1 shows no significant differences in both 2018 and 2019 cropping years however, highest mean values of 2327.5 and 2191.5kg ha\(^{-1}\) was recorded at \(P_2\) and \(Zn_2\) with the least mean values at \(PoZn_0\). Also, it was observed that there was significant interaction effect on shelling \% in 2018 and 2019 respectively.

3.2 Effects of \(P\) and \(Zn\) Application on Straw Weight and Total Dry Matter

The result presented on Table 2 shows that the main effect of the treatments (\(P\) and \(Zn\)) did not show any significant difference in straw weight and total dry matter in both 2018 and 2019. However, a highest value of 2126.50kg ha\(^{-1}\) of straw weight was observed at \(P_2Zn_2\) levels respectively. Similarly, lowest values of 1690.00 and 1773.00kg ha\(^{-1}\) were recorded at \(P_0Zn_0\). Also 3862.50 and 3593.50kg ha\(^{-1}\) values was observed to be the highest for total dry matter at \(P_2Zn_0\) while 3168.50 and 3228.50kg ha\(^{-1}\) was observed as the lowest values for total dry matter at \(P_0Zn_0\) levels correspondingly.

3.3 Effects of \(P\) and \(Zn\) Application on Total \(P\) and \(Zn\) in Stover

Table 3 shows the effects of \(P\) and \(Zn\) application on total \(P\) and \(Zn\) contents in Stover. The result clearly indicate that \(P_3\) and \(Zn_0\) significantly influenced Stover \(P\) content in 2018, However, there was no significant effect in 2019 but highest values of 18.40 and 26.54 was recorded at \(P_2Zn_2\) and lower values at \(P_0Zn_0\) respectively. The main effect of the treatments (\(P\) and \(Zn\) levels) on zinc content in Stover was significantly affected in both 2018 and 2019 cropping years. There was significant interaction effect among the treatments on total \(P\) in 2018 and zinc content in both years.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Cob-Weight, Grain Weight and Shelling Percentage

Comparative analysis of the results (Table 1) shows that, the untreated plots weigh less cob weight and grain weight per treatment. These low values may be due to low \(P/Zn\) content in the soil of the study area of and may be attributed to the reduction in the activities of photosynthesis, delayed silking, tasseling and maturity. Thus, most crops including maize plants are

Table 1. Effect of \(P\) and \(Zn\) application on cob weight, grain weight shelling percentage

| Treatment | Cob weight (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Mean | Grain weight (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Mean | Shellin (%) | Mean |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------|-------------------------------|------|------------|------|
|           | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| \(P_0\)   | 1941.00 | 1796.00 | 1868.50 | 1210.00 | 1038.00 | 1124.00 | 59.41 | 64.37 | 61.89 |
| \(P_1\)   | 2065.00 | 2127.00 | 2096.00 | 1286.00 | 1431.00 | 1358.50 | 61.34 | 67.89 | 64.61 |
| \(P_2\)   | 2031.00 | 2574.00 | 2327.0 | 1300.00 | 1717.00 | 1508.50 | 61.59 | 68.10 | 64.84 |
| \(P_3\)   | 1805.00 | 2034.00 | 1919.50 | 1086.00 | 1333.00 | 1209.50 | 59.60 | 65.69 | 62.64 |
| SE\(_1\)  | 184.80 | 227.70 | 206.25 | 198.20 | 227.30 | 212.75 | 3.66 | 4.21 | 3.94 |

| Zinc      | Cob weight (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Mean | Grain weight (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Mean | Shellin (%) | Mean |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------|-------------------------------|------|------------|------|
| \(Zn_0\) | 1807.00 | 2022.00 | 1914.0 | 1086.00 | 1140.00 | 1113.00 | 57.58 | 61.75 | 59.66 |
| \(Zn_1\) | 2000.00 | 2103.00 | 2051.0 | 1280.00 | 1512.00 | 1391.00 | 63.02 | 71.42 | 67.22 |
| \(Zn_2\) | 2111.00 | 2272.00 | 2191.0 | 1296.00 | 1486.00 | 1396.00 | 64.87 | 68.36 | 66.61 |
| SE\(_2\) | 194.50 | 214.90 | 204.0 | 147.30 | 160.90 | 154.10 | 2.37 | 3.54 | 2.95 |

| Interaction | Cob weight (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Mean | Grain weight (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Mean | Shellin (%) | Mean |
|------------|-----------------------------|------|-------------------------------|------|------------|------|
| (Zn & P)   | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0.1* |
| LSD(0.05)  | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0.1* |

* Significantly different \(P=(0.05)\), NS = non-significant
Table 2. Effect of P and Zn application on straw weight and total dry matter (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) of maize

| Treatment | Straw weight | TD (Straw Weight) |
|-----------|--------------|-------------------|
|           | 2018         | 2019 | Mean  | 2018 | 2019 | Mean |
| Phosphorus |              |      |       |      |      |      |
| P\(_0\)     | 1630.00      | 1750.00 | 1690.00 | 3094.00 | 3243.00 | 3168.50 |
| P\(_1\)     | 1580.00      | 1866.00 | 1723.00 | 3203.00 | 3444.00 | 3323.50 |
| P\(_2\)     | 2012.00      | 1987.00 | 1990.50 | 3770.00 | 3955.00 | 3862.50 |
| P\(_3\)     | 2198.00      | 2055.00 | 2126.50 | 3096.00 | 3443.00 | 3269.50 |
| SE         | 370.30       | 454.60 | 412.45 | 276.90 | 313.70 | 295.30 |
| Zinc        |              |      |       |      |      |      |
| Zn\(_0\)   | 1767.07      | 1780.00 | 1773.54 | 3128.00 | 3329.00 | 3228.50 |
| Zn\(_1\)   | 1778.00      | 1830.00 | 1804.00 | 3298.00 | 3495.00 | 3396.50 |
| Zn\(_2\)   | 2120.00      | 2133.00 | 2126.50 | 3447.00 | 3740.00 | 3593.50 |
| SE         | 273.10       | 296.70 | 284.90 | 204.50 | 203.60 | 204.05 |
| Interaction | (Zn & P) LSD(0.05) | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

* Significantly different P=(0.05), NS = non-significant

Table 3. Main effect of P and Zn application on Phosphorous and Zinc content in stover (mg kg\(^{-1}\))

| Treatment | 2005 | 2006 | Mean | 2005 | 2006 | Mean |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Phosphorus |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| P\(_0\)     | 19.49 | 16.86 | 18.18 | 2.90 | 4.26 | 3.58 |
| P\(_1\)     | 17.36 | 13.27 | 15.32 | 4.34 | 5.01 | 4.68 |
| P\(_2\)     | 21.41 | 18.40 | 19.91 | 4.20 | 5.21 | 4.71 |
| P\(_3\)     | 22.57 | 17.71 | 20.14 | 7.84 | 4.66 | 6.25 |
| SE         | 0.66 | 1.95 | 1.30 | 1.33 | 1.39 | 1.36 |
| Zinc        |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Zn\(_0\)   | 11.13 | 19.77 | 15.45 | 6.76 | 5.27 | 6.02 |
| Zn\(_1\)   | 22.95 | 18.06 | 20.51 | 3.78 | 4.78 | 4.28 |
| Zn\(_2\)   | 26.54 | 21.85 | 26.54 | 3.93 | 4.29 | 4.11 |
| SE         | 0.93 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 1.16 | 1.18 | 1.17 |
| Interaction | (Zn & P) LSD(0.05) | 1.32* | NS | 1.32* | 1.92* | 2.43* | 2.18* |

* Significant difference P=(0.05), NS = Non Significant

Susceptible to P and Zn deficiency. Shahen et al., [2015] Ref. [20] reported that Phosphorus and Zn source significantly affected the grain yield and plots treated with Zn at 15 kg ha\(^{-1}\) produced higher grain yield (5099), while lower (4293) was observed at no Zn addition. Also the cob weight and grain weight increases with increased in the rate of P/Zn application. Arian et al., 1989 Ref. [21] also reported an increased in plant height, number of cobs and grain yield with increase in P application. Khan et al., 1999 Ref. [22] and Sahoo and Panda, 2001 [23] reported that grain yield of maize increased increased with the increase of P application. In essence, the higher values obtained at the P level treated plots compared to Zn level treated plots may be due to the role P played in influencing growing parts of the plants, flowering, translocation and fruiting as well as improving the quality of the crop product coupled with the low Zn content of the soil which delayed wilting, tasseling and maturity. Similarly, Abuyemva and Mercquerashie, 2004 Ref. [24] found increase in the grain yield at higher level of Zn. In addition, Zn, plots with 10 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\) produced the heavier grains (243.2) as compared with the lighter grains (228.3) noted in the control plots as reported by [20]. Khan et al., 2013 Ref. [25] and. Marwatt et al. [26] also reported that application of Zn and macro-nutrients increased the yield related parameters of maize. This is because Zinc enhances root development leading to improve N uptake and rapid vegetative growth and improve grains up to 35 kg ZnSO4 ha\(^{-1}\) [14].
4.2 Straw Weight and Total Dry Matter (TDM)

It is evidenced from Table 2 that the maximum production of straw yield and total dry matter was recorded from P3 and Zn2 treatment respectively. A significant reduction in the production of dry matter of maize and P/Zn deficiency symptoms were recorded in the PoZn0 treatments. The straw weight and total dry matter is higher in all the treatments with higher P concentration than Zn treatment. Rupa et al., 2003 Ref. [27] reported that the dry matter production increased significantly with increasing phosphorus levels. The possible reason for increase in dry matter production could be due to the absorption of applied phosphorous by the maize plant. The result of the present study showed that the differences in straw weight and the total dry matter obtained per treatment can be consequences of differences in their initial content in the soil, rate of application, uptake and translocation abilities of phosphorus and zinc as well as their ratio in the straw and the dry matter respectively. Though, the interactive effect of P/Zn application was non-significant, the straw weight and TDM was higher at P level of treatment than Zn level of treatment. This may be detrimental either due to formation of complexes of higher P levels with other nutrients i.e. antagonistic effect with Zn., [28;29;30] or limitation of N and K nutrients in soil [31]. This assertion agreed with earlier findings of [32] that the data on the performance of phosphorus levels revealed a significant positive relation of grain and straw yield with the increased phosphorus application.

4.3 Nutrient Uptake of Phosphorus and Zinc Content in Stover

Phosphorus levels had significant effect at 5% level of significance on grain, stover and total dry matter and yield of maize (Table 3). The Zinc deficiency may be attributed to the immobilization of zinc owing to the increase in the concentration of P in the stover. Also, since the concentration of P in the Stover is raised manifold by adding P into the soil, it can be stated that, the immobilization of Zinc could be expected somewhere in the short (stover, leaves) of the plant. However, nothing is known about the biochemical causes of zinc immobilization because of the high phosphorus content in the plant. So, it could be concluded that the tendency of P to depress Zn nutrition is physiological in nature and not due to activation in the soil. Application of P and Zn at different rates increases their uptake in the Stover (Table 3). So, the appearance of deficiency symptom of P in maize plant at a high level of Zn application clearly indicated their antagonistic effect and vice versa. The result of this study shows an increase of the total over ground biomass as a result of phosphorus fertilization. Also, the application of increasing P rates did not decrease the content of available zinc in the soil but increases in the plant stover. Thus, it is good to note that application of excessive P to soil may induce Zn deficiency in plants. However, the uptake of Zinc by maize plant (stalk and leaves) was significantly higher in the fertilized plots than unfertilized plots. So, the interactive effect of P/Zn exchange occur somewhere in the plant not in the soil. Generally, since nutrient uptake is a function of their content in grain and straw and yield of crop, the increase in these parameters due to phosphorus application leads to an increase in the uptake of this nutrient. Similar results have also been reported by earlier researchers [33;34;35]. Thus, Phosphorus is one of the most important major nutrients required for the growth and development of crop plants [36,37].

5. CONCLUSIONS

It is obvious that phosphorus and zinc are very important and essential for profitable maize production due to their vital role on growth and yield. Thus, this present study determined the effects of different levels of phosphorous and zinc fertilizers on the yield and nutrient uptake of maize (zEA MAYS L.) on luvisols in northern guinea savannah region of Nigeria in two growing seasons (2018 and 2019) respectively. The result indicated that an application of P and Zn at different rates increases the maize grains yield, cob weight, straw weight and uptake in the stover. Therefore, this study therefore ascertained that the application of phosphorus and zinc fertilizers at the rates of 30 kg P ha\(^{-1}\) and 10 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\) is recommended for effective growth and optimum yield of maize in the northern Guinea Savannah region of Nigeria.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Harris A, Rashid G, Miraj, Arif M., Shah H. Onfarm seed priming with Zn sulphate...
solution-A cost effective way to increase the maize yields of resource-poor farmers. Field Crops Res. 2007;(110):119-127.
2. Shahid H, Muhammad BH, Aasim G, Muhammad Z, Muhammad A, Muhammad Q, Rizwan Y Right time of phosphorus and zinc application to maize depends on nutrient–nutrient and nutrient–inoculum interactions Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2017;(63):351–356. Available:https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768 .2017.1361784
3. Randhawa PS, Arora. Phosphorus sulfur interaction effects on dry matter yield and nutrient uptake by wheat. Soc. Soil Sci. Ind. J. 2000;48:536-540.
4. Arya KC, Singh, SN. Production of maize (Zea mays) as influence by different levels of phosphorus, zinc and irrigation. Indian J. Agril. Sci. 2001;71(1):57-59
5. Keteku AK, Narkhede WN, Khazi GS. Effect of fertility levels on growth, yield and soil fertility status of maize (Zea mays L.) in vertisol of Maharashtra. JANS Jurnal of Applied and Natural Science. 2016;8(4): 1779-1785.
6. Havlin JL, Beaton JD, Tisdale SL, Nelson WL. Soil Fertility and Fertilizers (7th Ed.). ISBN: 0-13-027824-6. Pearson Education Limited, USA; 2005.
7. Wahid F, Muhammad S, Khan MA, Ali A, Khattak AM, Sajoqi AR. Wheat yield and phosphorus uptake as affected by rock phosphate added with different organic fertilizers. Ciência e Técnica Vitivinícola J. 2015;30(3):90-100.
8. Cakmak I. Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: Agronomic or genetic biofortification. Plant Soil. 2008;302:1-17.
9. Alloway B. Zinc in soils and crop nutrition. Areas of the World with Zinc Deficiency Problems; 2008.
10. Kobraee S, Mohamadi NN, Sharifabad HH, Kajori FD, Delkhosh B. Influence of micronutrient fertilizer on soybean nutrient composition. Indian J. Sci. Technol. 2011; 4(7).
11. Graham RD. Welch RM., Bouis HE Addressing micronutrient nutrition through enhancing the nutritional quality of staple foods. Advances in Agronomy. 2008;(70): 77-161.
12. Sawan ZM, Mahmoud MH, El-Guibali AH. Influence of potassium fertilization and foliar application of zinc and phosphorus on growth, yield components, yield and fiber properties of Egyptian cotton (Gossypium barbadense L.). J. Plant Ecol. 2008;1:259-270.
13. Yosefi K, Galavi M, Ramrodi M and Mousavi SR. Effect of bio-phosphate and chemical phosphorus fertilizer accompanied with micronutrient foliar application on growth, yield and yield components of maize (Single Cross 704). Aust. J. Crop Sci. 2011;5(2):175-180.
14. Amanullah Z, Mohammed KSK. Timing and rate of phosphorus application influence maize phenology, yield and profitability in northwest Pakistan. Int. J. Plant Prod. 2010;4:281–292.
15. Salwa AIE, Taha MB, Abdalla MAM. Amendment of soil fertility and augmentation of the quantity and quality of soybean crop by using phosphorus and micronutrients. Int. J. Acad. Res. 2011;3(2):3.
16. Zeidan MS, Hozayn M, Abd El-Salam MEE. Yield and quality of lentil as affected by micronutrient deficiencies in sandy soils. J. Appl. Sci. Res. 2006;2(12):1342-1345.
17. FAO/UNESCO. Soil map of the world 1:5,000,000. Vol.-1 in. Legend sheet and memoirs Paris. 1974;59.
18. Adebayo AA, Tukur AL, (eds). Adamawa State in Maps. Paraclete Publishers, Yola. 1999;11-29.
19. Hassan M. Characterization and classification of the soil of school of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology Farm, Federal University of Technology, Yola. M.Tech. Thesis, Department of Soil Science, Federal University of Technology, Yola. 2006;30.
20. Shahen S, Guhfran G, Hamayoone K, Muhammad A, Abdul Qahar I, Asad A, Musharaf A. Response of maize cultivars to phosphorus and zinc nutrition. Pak. J. Bot. 2015;47(Si):289-292.
21. Arian AS, Aslam SM, Tunio AKG. Performance of maize hybrids under varying NP fertilizer environments. Sarhad J. Agric. 1989;5(6):632-626.
22. Khan, MA, Khan MU, Ahmad K, Sadiq M. Yield of maize hybrid-3335 as affected by the NP levels. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 1999;2: 857-859.
23. Sahoo SC, Panda M. Effect of Phosphorus and detasseling on yield of baby corn. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 2001;71:21-22.
24. Abuyemva AA, Mercerquarshie H. Response of maize to magnesium and
zinc application in the semi-arid of West Africa. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2004; 1(3):1-5.

25. Khan MA, Kakar S, Marwat KB and Khan IA. Differential response of Zea mays L. in relation to weed control and different macronutrient combinations. Sains Malaysiana. 2013;42(10):1405-1411.

26. Marwat KB, Arif M., Khan MA. Effect of tillage and Zn application methods on weeds and yield of maize. Pak. J. Bot. 2007;39(5):1583-1591.

27. Rupa TR, Rao CS, Rao AS. Singh M. Effect of farmyard manure and phosphorus on zinc transformations and phytoavailability in two alfisols of India. Bioresource Technol. 2003;87(3):279-288.

28. Kacar B, Katkat AV. Bitki Besleme Uludag Universities Guclendirme Vakfi Yayini. 1998;127.

29. Zhaoa RF, Zou C, Zhang F. Effect of long term fertilization on P and Zn availability in winter wheat rhizosphere and their nutrition. Pl. Nut. Fertil. Sci. 2007;13(3):368-372.

30. Karimian N. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on zinc nutrient of corn in calcareous soils. J. Plant Nutr. 1995; 18(10):2261-2271.

31. Manzoor A, Mohammad JK, Dost M. Response of maize to different phosphorus levels under calcareous soil conditions. Sarhad J. Agric. 1995. 2013;1(29):43-48.

32. Bhattacharaya RS, Kumar, VP, Gupta HS. Sustainability under combined application of mineral and organic fertilizers in a soybean-wheat system of the Indian Himalayas. European Journal of Agronomy. 2008;(28):33-46.

33. Singh V, Paudia RS, Totawat KL. Effect of phosphorus and zinc nutrition of wheat (Triticum aestivum) in soils of sub-humid southern plains of Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2004;49(1):46-48.

34. Bhunia SR, Chauhan RPS, Yadav BS, Bhati AS. Effect of phosphorus, irrigation and Rhizobium on productivity, water use and nutrient uptake in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2006;51(3):239-241.

35. Suri VK, Chander G, Choudhary AK, Verma TS. Co-inoculation of VA-mycorrhizae (VAM) and phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) in enhancing phosphorus supply to wheat in a typic Hapludalf. Crop Research. 2006;(31)3: 357–361.

36. Yadav GS, Saha P, Babu S, Das A, Layek J, Debnath C. Effect of no-till and raised-bed planting on soil moisture conservation and productivity of summer maize (Zea mays) in Eastern Himalayas. Agricultural Research. 2018;7(3):300-310.

37. Bationo A, Fening, JO, Kwaw A. Assessment of soil fertility status and integrated soil fertility management in Ghana, in improving the profitability, sustainability and efficiency of nutrients through site specific fertilizer recommendations in West Africa agroecosystems. Springer, Cham. 2018;93-138.