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1 Introduction

AdS backgrounds have found widespread applications in supergravity compactifications and more recently in AdS/CFT correspondence, see reviews [1–3]. Because of this there is an extensive literature on the subject starting from the original work of [4], for some selected publications on AdS backgrounds in the context of IIA supergravity see [5–11]. A first step towards the classification of AdS backgrounds is to identify the fractions of supersymmetry that are preserved. This has been established for D=11 and IIB AdS backgrounds in [12] and [13], respectively. The novelty of this approach is that no restrictions have been put on either the form of the fields or that of the Killing spinors. As a result the most general
warped flux AdS backgrounds have been considered. It has also been found that the Killing spinors do not factorize into Killing spinors on the AdS and Killing spinors on the transverse space.

One of the aims of this paper is to count the number of supersymmetries of warped flux AdS backgrounds in both standard \cite{14-16} and massive \cite{5} IIA supergravities, and so complete this analysis for all D=11 and type II supergravities. In what follows, we restrict the spacetime to be a warped product of a AdS$_n$ space with a transverse space $M^{10-n}$ and require that the fluxes respect the isometries of AdS$_n$. We do not place any other assumptions on either the fluxes or on the form of Killing spinors. We find that such warped flux AdS$_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds preserve

$$N = 2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]k, \quad n \leq 4; \quad N = 2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1k, \quad 4 < n \leq 7,$$

\(1.1\)

supersymmetries, where $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. This formula gives the a priori number of supersymmetries preserved. It is expected that there are additional restrictions on $N$. For example $N < 32$ as there are no (massive) IIA AdS$_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds which are maximally supersymmetric \cite{17}. The proof that AdS$_2 \times_w M^8$ backgrounds preserve an even number of supersymmetries requires the additional assumption that $M^8$ and the fields satisfy suitable conditions such that the maximum principle applies, eg $M^8$ is closed and fields are smooth. The result is a special case of the more general theorem that all near horizon geometries of (massive) IIA supergravity preserve an even number of supersymmetries given in \cite{18, 19}. For the counting of supersymmetries for the rest of AdS$_n \times_w M^{10-n}$, $n > 2$, backgrounds no such assumption is necessary. A summary of these results is also presented in table 1.

Furthermore, we show that the Killing spinors of the AdS$_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds can be determined from the zero modes of Dirac-like operators on $M^{10-n}$ which depend on the fluxes. For this we demonstrate new Lichnerowicz type theorems, using the maximum principle, which relate the Killing spinors to the zero modes of these Dirac-like operators.

In the limit that the AdS radius goes to infinity, the AdS$_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds become the most general warped flux flat backgrounds $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$. The latter have also widespread applications in supergravity, string theory and M-theory as they include the most general flux compactifications. Taking the limit of infinite AdS radius we adapt most of our AdS$_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ results to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds. In particular, all our local computations are valid in this limit and so one can establish that the number of supersymmetries preserved by such backgrounds are

$$N = 2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]k, \quad 2 < n \leq 4; \quad N = 2\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]k, \quad 4 < n \leq 8; \quad N = N = 2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]k, \quad n = 9, 10,$$

\(1.2\)

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. There are additional restrictions on $N$. In particular it is known that the maximally supersymmetric solutions of standard IIA supergravity are locally isometric to Minkowski spacetime, the fluxes vanish and the dilaton is constant, and moreover that the massive IIA supergravity does not have a maximally supersymmetric solution \cite{17}. In addition, if the Killing spinors do not depend on the $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ coordinates, then all backgrounds

---

\(^1\)These backgrounds are taken up to discrete identifications.
with $N > 16$ are locally isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{9,1}$ with zero fluxes and constant dilaton as a consequence of the homogeneity conjecture [20]. These results have also been collected in table 2. Note that the counting of supersymmetries in AdS and flat backgrounds is different. This is because there are differences in the counting of linearly independent Killing spinors for finite and infinite AdS radius.

Apart from the similarities there are also some differences between $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds. First, some of the regularity results that have been used to prove the new Lichnerowicz type theorems for $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ are no longer valid for $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds. This is related to the property that flux compactifications to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ without higher order corrections, or without the addition of sources, are all singular [21]. As a consequence, it is not possible to prove that $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times_w M^8$ backgrounds preserve an even number of supersymmetries, and so there is no a priori restriction on the number of supersymmetries preserved by such backgrounds; though $N \neq 31$ because of the classification result of [23]. In addition, it is not straightforward to adapt the proof of new Lichnerowicz type theorems from $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds. Even though the formulae used for the application of the maximum principle are still valid for flux $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds, the fields violate the regularity assumptions which are necessary for the application of the maximum principle.

Our analysis also reveals that the Killing spinors of $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ spaces do not factorize into the Killing spinors on $\text{AdS}_n$ and Killing spinors on $M^{10-n}$. This result is similar to that already established for the D=11 and IIB backgrounds in [12, 13] where it was shown that such a factorization leads to an incorrect counting of Killing spinors. We also examine the factorization of Killing spinors for $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds.

To prove these results, we first solve the KSEs of (massive) IIA supergravity along the AdS directions without assuming a special form for the Killing spinor. A convenient way to do this is to write these backgrounds as near horizon geometries as suggested in [22] and then we use the results of [18, 19]. For warped flux $\text{AdS}_2 \times_w M^8$ backgrounds, the counting of supersymmetries and the rest of the results are a special case of those of [18, 19] for IIA horizons. For the rest of the $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds, we integrate the KSEs along the $\text{AdS}_n$ directions and demonstrate that the Killing spinors $\epsilon$ depend on the coordinates of $\text{AdS}_n$ and four 16-component spinors $\sigma_\pm$, $\tau_\pm$ which in turn depend only on the coordinates of $M^{10-n}$. Moreover, the process of integration over $\text{AdS}_n$ introduces one new algebraic KSE for each $\sigma_\pm$, $\tau_\pm$. Thus each spinor $\sigma_\pm$, $\tau_\pm$ obeys now three KSEs, one parallel transport equation which arises from the gravitino KSE of (massive) IIA supergravity, one algebraic KSE which arises from the dilatino KSE of (massive) IIA supergravity, and the new algebraic KSE. The counting of supersymmetries then proceeds with the observation that there are Clifford algebra operators which intertwine between the triplets of KSEs. As a result, given a solution in one triplet of KSEs, these Clifford algebra operators generate solutions in the other triplets of KSEs. Counting the linearly independent solutions generated this way one proves (1.1). The proof of (1.2) for $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds is similar.

The proof of the correspondence of Killing spinors and zero modes of Dirac-like operators $\mathcal{D}^{(+)}$ on $M^{10-n}$ for $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ relies on the application of the maximum principle. First a suitable choice is made for $\mathcal{D}^{(+)}$ as there are several options available because of
the presence of algebraic KSEs. Then assuming that a spinor $\chi_+$ is a zero mode of $\mathcal{D}^{(+)}$ and after using the field equations and Bianchi identities, one schematically establishes

$$\nabla^2 \| \chi_+ \|^2 - \alpha' \nabla_\mu \chi_+ \nabla^\mu \chi_+ = Q(\nabla^{(+)} \chi, A^{(+)} \chi, B^{(+)} \chi) \geq 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\chi_+ = \sigma_+ \lor \tau_+$, and $Q$ is a function which vanishes if and only if the triplet of KSEs $\nabla^{(+)} \chi_+ = 0$, $A^{(+)} \chi_+ = 0$ and $B^{(+)} \chi_+ = 0$ is satisfied. $Q$ is specified in each case. An application of the maximum principle reveals that the only solution to the above equation is that $\| \chi_+ \|^2$ is constant and that $\chi_+$ satisfies the KSEs. A similar formula can be established for the $\sigma_-$ and $\tau_-$ spinors.

This paper has been organized as follows. In sections 2, 3, 4, and 5, we present the proof of the formula (1.1) for all AdS $n \times w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds, and demonstrate the new Lichnerowicz type theorems. In section 6, we present the proof for the formula (1.2) for all $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds. In section 7, we examine the factorization properties of the Killing spinors for AdS $n \times w M^{10-n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds, and in section 8 we give our conclusions. In appendix A, we state our conventions and in appendices B, C, and D, we prove the formula (1.3) for AdS $n \times w M^{10-n}$, $2 < n \leq 7$, backgrounds.

2 AdS$_2 \times w M^8$

2.1 Fields, Bianchi identities and field equations

2.1.1 Fields

As has already been mentioned, all AdS backgrounds are included in the near horizon geometries. To describe the fields of AdS$_2 \times w M^8$ it suffices to impose the isometries of the AdS$_2$ space on all the fields of the near horizon geometries of [18, 19]. In such a case, the fields$^2$ can be written as

$$\begin{align*}
ds^2 &= 2e^+ e^- + ds^2(M^8), \\
G &= e^+ \wedge e^- \wedge X + Y, \quad H = e^+ \wedge e^- \wedge W + Z, \\
F &= e^+ \wedge e^- N + P, \quad S = S, \quad \Phi = \Phi, \\
\end{align*}$$

(2.1)

where $X$ and $P$ are 2-forms on $M^8$, $Y$ is a 4-form on $M^8$, $Z$ is a 3-form on $M^8$, and $N$ and the dilaton $\Phi$ are functions on $M^8$. $S = e^m$, where $m$ is the mass parameter of massive IIA supergravity. For the standard IIA supergravity $m = 0$ and so $S = 0$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{align*}
e^+ &= du, \quad e^- = \left( dr + rh - \frac{1}{2} r^2 \Delta du \right), \\
h &= -2A^{-1} dA = \Delta^{-1} d\Delta, \quad \Delta = \ell^{-2} A^{-2}, \\
\end{align*}$$

(2.2)

where the dependence on the coordinates $u, r$ is explicit, $A$ is the warp factor which depends only on the coordinates of $M^8$ and $\ell$ is the radius of AdS$_2$. The above metric is not in

$^2$The choice of the fields of AdS$_2 \times w M^8$ backgrounds here is different from that of near horizon geometries in [18, 19]. In particular all R-R fields have been multiplied by $e^\Phi$. For more details see [24] and [25] and appendix A.
the standard warped product form of AdS$_2$ with $M^8$. This would also be the case for the metrics of the rest of warped AdS backgrounds that will be given below. However it can be shown that there is a coordinate transformation which can bring the above metrics into the standard warped form [22].

2.1.2 Bianchi identities and Field equations

The Bianchi identities of (massive) IIA supergravity reduce to differential identities on the components of the fields localized on $M^8$. In particular a direct computation reveals that

$$d(A^2W) = 0, \quad d(A^2X) - A^2d\Phi \wedge X - A^2W \wedge P - A^2NZ = 0,$$

$$dY - d\Phi \wedge Y = Z \wedge P, \quad dP - d\Phi \wedge P = SZ.$$

Similarly, the field equations of the (massive) IIA supergravity decompose as

$$\nabla^j P_{ji} + (2\partial^j \log A - \partial^j \Phi)P_{ji} - W^j X_{ji} + \frac{1}{6}Z^{jkl}Y_{jkl} = 0,$$

$$e^{2\Phi} \nabla^i(e^{-2\Phi}W_i) - Sn - \frac{1}{2}P^{ij}X_{ij} + \frac{1}{48}Y_{i_1i_2i_3}Y_{i_4} = 0,$$

$$e^{-2\Phi} \nabla^k(e^{-2\Phi}Z_{kij}) - SP_{ij} + 2\partial^k \log A Z_{kij} + NX_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}P^{kij}Y_{kij} - \frac{1}{2}X_{k\ell} \ast Y_{ij} = 0,$$

$$\nabla^j X_{ji} - \partial^j \Phi X_{ji} + \frac{1}{6}Y_{i_1k_1k_2k_3}Z_{k_4k_5k_6} = 0,$$

$$\nabla^i Y_{ijkl} + (2\partial^i \log A - \partial^i \Phi)Y_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{2}X_{m_1m_2} \ast Y_{ijkl} - Z_{ijkl} = 0,$$

$$\nabla^2 \Phi + 2A^{-1}\partial^i A \partial_i \Phi = 2\partial^i \Phi \partial_i \Phi + \frac{1}{2}W^2 - \frac{1}{12}Z^2 - \frac{3}{4}N^2 + \frac{3}{8}P^2 - \frac{1}{96}X^2 + \frac{5}{4}S^2,$$

and in particular the Einstein equation decomposes as

$$\nabla^i \partial_i A + \Delta + 2(d \log A)^2 = 2\partial^i \log A \partial_i \Phi + \frac{1}{2}W^2 + \frac{1}{4}N^2 + \frac{1}{8}X^2 + \frac{1}{8}P^2 - \frac{1}{96}Y^2 - \frac{1}{4}S^2,$$

$$R^{(8)}_{ij} = 2\nabla_i \partial_j \log A + 2\partial_i \log A \partial_j \log A - 2\nabla_i \partial_j \Phi - \frac{1}{2}W_i W_j + \frac{1}{4}Z^2 - \frac{1}{8}P^2 + \frac{1}{2}X^2 - \frac{1}{96}Y^2,$$

where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $M^8$ and the Latin indices $i,j,k,\ldots$ are frame $M^8$ indices.

2.2 Local aspects: solutions of KSEs

2.2.1 Solution of KSEs along AdS$_2$

The solution of the KSEs for AdS$_2 \times_M M^8$ backgrounds is a special case of that presented for IIA horizons in [18, 19]. In particular, the solution of the KSEs along the AdS$_2$ directions
can be written as
\[
\epsilon = \epsilon_+ + \epsilon_- ,
\]
\[
\epsilon_+ = \eta_+ + u\Gamma_+ \Theta_- \epsilon_-, \quad \epsilon_- = \eta_- + r\Gamma_- \Theta_+ (\eta_+ + u\Gamma_+ \Theta_- \epsilon_-),
\]
where $\Gamma_\pm \epsilon_\pm = 0$,
\[
\Theta_\pm = -\frac{1}{2} A^{-1} \partial A + \Gamma_{11} \psi - \frac{1}{16} \Gamma_{11} (\pm 2 N + \mathcal{P}) - \frac{1}{8} \cdot 4! (\pm 12 X + Y) - \frac{1}{8} S, \tag{2.7}
\]
and $\eta_\pm$ depend only on the coordinates of $M^8$. Note that $\Gamma_\pm$ are the gamma matrices adapted to the (lightcone) frame (2.2) of the metric. This summarizes the solution of the KSEs along the AdS$_2$ directions.

2.2.2 Independent KSEs on $M^8$

Having solved the KSEs along the AdS$_2$ directions, it remains to identify the remaining independent KSEs. This is not straightforward. After substituting (2.6) back into the KSEs of (massive) IIA supergravity and expanding in the $u$ and $r$ coordinates, one finds a large number of conditions. These can be interpreted as integrability conditions along the AdS$_2$ and mixed AdS$_2$ and $M^8$ directions. However after an extensive analysis which involves the use of Bianchi identities and field equations, one finds that the remaining independent KSEs are
\[
\nabla_i^{(\pm)} \eta_\pm = 0, \quad A^{(\pm)} \eta_\pm = 0, \tag{2.8}
\]
where
\[
\nabla_i^{(\pm)} = \nabla_i + \Psi_i^{(\pm)}, \tag{2.9}
\]
and
\[
\Psi_i^{(\pm)} = \pm \frac{1}{2} A^{-1} \partial_i A \mp \frac{1}{16} X \Gamma_i + \frac{1}{8} \cdot 4! Y \Gamma_i + \frac{1}{8} S \Gamma_i
\]
\[
+ \Gamma_{11} \left( \mp \frac{1}{4} W_i + \frac{1}{8} Z_i \pm \frac{1}{8} N \Gamma_i - \frac{1}{16} P \Gamma_i \right), \tag{2.10}
\]
and
\[
A^{(\pm)} = \partial \Phi + \left( \mp \frac{1}{8} X + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 4! Y + \frac{5}{4} S \right)
\]
\[
+ \Gamma_{11} \left( \pm \frac{1}{2} W - \frac{1}{12} Z + \frac{3}{4} N + \frac{3}{8} P \right). \tag{2.11}
\]
Furthermore, one can show that if $\eta_-$ is a Killing spinor, ie satisfies (2.8), then
\[
\eta_+ = \Gamma_+ \Theta_- \eta_-, \tag{2.12}
\]
is also a Killing spinor.

2.2.3 Counting supersymmetries

The investigation so far is not sufficient to prove that the number of supersymmetries preserved by AdS$_2 \times_w M^8$ backgrounds is even, as given in (1.1). To prove this, some additional restrictions on the backgrounds are necessary which will be described in the next section.
2.3 Global aspects: Lichnerowicz type theorems

2.3.1 The non-vanishing of warp factor $A$

To proceed, we shall show that if $A$ and the fields are smooth, then $A$ does not vanish on $M^8$. The argument which proves this is similar to that used in [12] and [13] to demonstrate the analogous statements for $D=11$ and IIB AdS backgrounds, and where a more detailed analysis is presented. Here we present a brief description of the proof which relies on the field equation of $A$. Assuming that $A$ does not vanish everywhere on $M$, we multiply that field equation of $A$ with $A^2$ at a value for which $A^2 \neq 0$ to find

$$-A\nabla^i \partial_i A - \ell^{-2} - \partial^i A \partial_i A = -2A\partial^i A \partial_i \Phi - \frac{1}{2} A^2 W^2 - \frac{1}{4} A^2 N^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{8} A^2 X^2 - \frac{1}{8} A^2 P^2 - \frac{1}{96} A^2 Y^2 - \frac{1}{4} A^2 S^2 .$$

(2.13)

Then taking a sequence that converges to a point in $M^8$ where $A$ vanishes, we find that if such a point exists it is inconsistent with the above field equation as $\ell$ is the radius of AdS$_2$ which is finite. As a result for smooth solutions, $A$ cannot vanish anywhere on $M^8$.

2.3.2 Lichnerowicz type theorems for $\eta_{\pm}$

The Killing spinors $\eta_{\pm}$ can be identified with the zero modes of a suitable Dirac-like operator on $M^8$. In particular, let us define

$$\mathscr{D}^{(\pm)} = \hat{\nabla}^{(\pm)} - A^{(\pm)} ,$$

(2.14)

where $\hat{\nabla}^{(\pm)} = \nabla + \Psi^{(\pm)}$, $\nabla$ is the Dirac operator on $M^8$, and

$$\Psi^{(\pm)} \equiv \Gamma^i \psi^{(\pm)} = \pm \frac{1}{2} A^{-1} \tilde{\partial} A \mp \frac{1}{4} X + S + \Gamma_{11} \left( \pm \frac{1}{4} W - \frac{1}{8} Z \mp N + \frac{1}{4} P \right) .$$

(2.15)

It turns out that if the fields and $M^8$ satisfy the requirements for the maximum principle to apply, eg $M^8$ is compact without boundary and all the fields are smooth, then

$$\nabla^i (\pm) \eta_{\pm} = 0, \quad A^{(\pm)} \eta_{\pm} = 0 \iff \mathscr{D}^{(\pm)} \eta_{\pm} = 0 .$$

(2.16)

It is clear that the proof of this in the forward direction is straightforward. To establish the opposite direction for the $\eta_{+}$ spinors, let us assume that $\mathscr{D}^{(+)} \eta_{+} = 0$. Then after some extensive algebra using the Bianchi identities and the field equations, one finds [18, 19] that

$$\nabla^2 \| \eta_{+} \|^2 - 2(\partial^i \Phi - A^{-1} \partial_i A) \nabla_i \| \eta_{+} \|^2 = 2 (\| \hat{\nabla}^{(+)} \eta_{+} \|^2 - (4\kappa + 16\kappa^2) \| A^{(+)} \eta_{+} \|^2 ,$$

(2.17)

where

$$\hat{\nabla}^{(\pm)} = \nabla^{(\pm)} + \kappa \Gamma_i A^{(\pm)} .$$

(2.18)

Applying the maximum principle for $\kappa \in (-\frac{1}{4}, 0)$, one concludes that the solutions of the above equation are Killing spinors and that

$$\| \eta_{+} \| = \text{const} .$$

(2.19)
Similarly assuming that $\mathcal{D}(-)\eta_- = 0$, one can establish the identity
\begin{align}
\nabla^2 (A^{-2} \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2) - 2(\partial^i \Phi - A^{-1} \partial^i A)\nabla_i (A^{-2} \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2) = \\
2A^{-2} \parallel \nabla(-)\eta_- \parallel^2 - (4\kappa + 16\kappa^2) A^{-2} \parallel A(-)\eta_- \parallel^2.
\end{align}
(2.20)

Again the application of the maximum principle for $\kappa \in (-\frac{1}{4}, 0)$ gives that $\eta_-$ is a Killing spinor and that
\begin{align}
A^{-1} \parallel \eta_- \parallel = \text{const}
\end{align}
(2.21)

The proof for this for near horizon geometries [18, 19] is based on a partial integration argument instead.

### 2.4 Counting of supersymmetries

The counting of supersymmetries for $\text{AdS}_2 \times_w M^8$ backgrounds under the assumptions made in the previous section is a special case of the proof of [18, 19] that IIA horizons always preserve an even number of supersymmetries. Here, we shall briefly repeat the argument. If $N_{\pm} = \dim \text{Ker} (\nabla_i(\pm), A(\pm))$, then the number of supersymmetries preserved by the background is $N = N_+ + N_-$. On the other hand from the Lichnerowicz type theorems of the previous section
\begin{align}
N_{\pm} = \dim \text{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(\pm)}.
\end{align}
(2.22)

Furthermore, it turns out that $(e^{2\Phi}\Gamma_-)(\mathcal{D}^{(+)})^\dagger = \mathcal{D}^{(-)}(e^{2\Phi}\Gamma_-)$ and so
\begin{align}
N_- = \dim \text{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(+)}\dagger.
\end{align}
(2.23)

On the other hand the index of $\mathcal{D}^{(+)}$ is the same as the index of the Dirac operator $\nabla$ acting on the Majorana representation of Spin(8). The latter vanishes and so $N_+ = N_-$. Thus we conclude that $\text{AdS}_2 \times_w M^8$ solutions preserve
\begin{align}
N = N_+ + N_- = 2N_-,
\end{align}
(2.24)
supersymmetries confirming (1.1).

### 3 $\text{AdS}_3 \times_w M^7$

#### 3.1 Fields, Bianchi identities and field equations

The fields of $\text{AdS}_3$ backgrounds which are compatible with the $\text{AdS}_3$ symmetries are
\begin{align}
ds^2 &= 2e^+ e^- + A^2 dz^2 + ds^2(M^7), \\
G &= Ae^+ \wedge e^- \wedge dz \wedge X + Y, \quad F = F, \\
H &= AW e^+ \wedge e^- \wedge dz + Z, \quad S = S, \quad \Phi = \Phi,
\end{align}
(3.1)

where \(e^+ = du, \quad e^- = (dr + rh), \quad \Delta = 0\)
\[ h = -\frac{2}{\ell} dz - 2A^{-1} dA, \]  

(3.2)

\( A \) is the warp factor which depends only on the coordinates of \( M^7 \), \((r, u, z)\) are the coordinates of \( \text{AdS}_3 \), \( X \) is a 1-form, \( S, \Phi, W \) are functions, \( F \) is a 2-form, \( Z \) is a 3-form and \( Y \) is a 4-form on \( M^7 \), respectively. The coordinate transformations which bring the above metric to standard warped form of \( \text{AdS}_3 \) with \( M^7 \) are given in [22].

The Bianchi identities of (massive) IIA supergravity can now be rewritten as differential relations of the fields on \( M^7 \) as

\[
\begin{align*}
    dZ &= 0, \quad d(A^3 W) = 0, \quad dS = S d\Phi, \\
    dF &= d\Phi \wedge F + S Z + A S W e^+ \wedge e^- \wedge d z, \quad dY = d\Phi \wedge Y + Z \wedge F, \\
    dX &= -3 A^{-1} dA \wedge X + d\Phi \wedge X - W F.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.3)

The Bianchi identity involving \( dF \) is consistent if either \( S = 0 \), or \( W = 0 \). Therefore there are two distinct \( \text{AdS}_3 \) backgrounds to consider. One is a standard IIA supergravity background with a non-vanishing component for \( H \) on \( \text{AdS}_3 \) or a massive IIA supergravity background with \( H \) that has components only along \( M^7 \).

Decomposing the field equations of (massive) IIA supergravity for the fields (3.1), one finds that

\[
\begin{align*}
    \nabla^2 \Phi &= -3 A^{-1} \partial_i A \partial^i \Phi + 2 (d\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} Z^2 + \frac{1}{2} W^2 + \frac{5}{4} S^2 + \frac{3}{8} F^2 + \frac{1}{96} Y^2 - \frac{1}{4} X^2, \\
    \nabla^k H_{ijk} &= -3 A^{-1} \partial^k A H_{ijk} + 2 \partial^k \Phi H_{ijk} + \frac{1}{2} Y_{ijk\ell} F^{k\ell} + S F_{ij}, \\
    \nabla^j F_{ij} &= -3 A^{-1} \partial^j A F_{ij} + \partial^j \Phi F_{ij} - W X_i - \frac{1}{6} Y_{ijkl} Z^{k\ell}, \\
    \nabla^i X_i &= \partial_i \Phi X^i - \ast_7 (Z \wedge Y), \\
    \nabla^i Y_{ijk\ell} &= -3 A^{-1} \partial^i A Y_{ijk\ell} + \partial^i \Phi Y_{ijk\ell} + \ast_7 (Z \wedge X - W Y)_{ijk},
\end{align*}
\]

(3.4)

and that the Einstein equation separates into an AdS component,

\[
\nabla^2 \ln A = -\frac{2}{\ell^2} A^{-2} - \frac{3}{\ell^2} A^{-2} (dA)^2 + 2 A^{-1} \partial_i A \partial^i \Phi + \frac{1}{2} W^2 + \frac{1}{4} S^2 + \frac{1}{8} F^2 + \frac{1}{96} Y^2 + \frac{1}{4} X^2
\]

(3.5)

and a transverse component,

\[
R_{ij}^{(7)} = 3 \nabla_i \nabla_j \ln A + 3 A^{-2} \partial_i A \partial_j A + \frac{1}{12} Y_{ij}^2 - \frac{1}{2} X_i X_j - \frac{1}{96} Y^2 \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} X^2 \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{4} S^2 \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} Z_{ij}^2 + \frac{1}{8} F_{ij}^2 \right]
\]

(3.6)

where \( \nabla \) and \( R_{ij}^{(7)} \) are the Levi-Civita connection and the Ricci tensor of \( M^7 \), respectively. The latter contracts to

\[
R^{(7)} = 3 \nabla^2 \ln A + 3 A^{-2} (dA)^2 + \frac{1}{4} Z^2 - \frac{7}{4} S^2 - \frac{3}{8} F^2 + \frac{1}{96} Y^2 + \frac{5}{4} X^2 - 2 \nabla^2 \Phi
\]

\[= -\frac{6}{\ell^2} A^{-2} - 6 A^{-2} (dA)^2 + 12 A^{-1} \partial_i A \partial^i \Phi - 4 (d\Phi)^2 + \frac{5}{12} Z^2 + \frac{1}{2} W^2 \]

\[ - \frac{7}{2} S^2 - \frac{3}{4} F^2 + \frac{1}{48} Y^2 + \frac{5}{2} X^2. \]

(3.7)

This form of the Ricci scalar is essential to establish the maximum principle formulae necessary for identifying the Killing spinors with the zero modes of Dirac-like operators.
3.2 Local aspects: solution of KSEs

3.2.1 Solution of KSEs along AdS3

The gravitino KSE along the AdS3 directions gives

\[ \begin{align*}
\partial_u \epsilon_\pm + A^{-1} \Gamma_{uz} (\ell^{-1} - \Xi) \epsilon_\pm &= 0, \\
\partial_r \epsilon_\pm - A^{-1} \Gamma_{zr} \Xi \epsilon_\pm &= 0, \\
\partial_z \epsilon_\pm - \Xi \epsilon_\mp + 2r \ell^{-1} A^{-1} \Gamma_{z-} \Xi \epsilon_\mp &= 0,
\end{align*} \]  

(3.8)

where

\[ \Xi_\pm = \mp \frac{1}{2\ell} + \frac{1}{2} \theta A \Gamma_z \pm \frac{1}{4} A W \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{8} A S \Gamma_z - \frac{1}{16} A \dot{\Phi} \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{192} A \dot{Y} \Gamma_z \mp \frac{1}{8} A \dot{X}. \]  

(3.9)

As in the AdS2 case, we integrate these equations along \( r \) and \( u \), and then along \( z \). First observe that

\[ \Theta_+ = A^{-1} \Gamma_z \Xi_+, \quad \Theta_- = A^{-1} \Gamma_z (\Xi_- - \ell^{-1}), \]  

(3.10)

and that

\[ \Xi_\pm \Gamma_{z+} + \Gamma_{z+} \Xi_\mp = 0, \]  

(3.11)

\[ \Xi_\pm \Gamma_{z-} + \Gamma_{z-} \Xi_\mp = 0. \]  

(3.12)

Integrating along the \( r \) and \( u \) coordinates, one finds that the Killing spinor can be expressed as in (2.6). To integrate along \( z \) first note that the only AdS-AdS integrability condition is

\[ (\Xi_\pm^2 \ell^{-1} \Xi_\mp) \epsilon_\pm = 0. \]  

(3.13)

Using this, one finds that the integration along \( z \) yields

\[ \eta_\pm = \sigma_\pm + e^{\mp z/\ell} \tau_\pm, \]  

(3.14)

where

\[ \Xi_\pm \sigma_\pm = 0 \quad \Xi_\pm \tau_\pm = \mp \ell^{-1} \tau_\pm, \]  

(3.15)

and \( \sigma_\pm, \tau_\pm \) are 16-component spinors counted over the reals, \( \Gamma_\pm \sigma_\pm = \Gamma_\pm \tau_\pm = 0 \), that depend only on the coordinates of \( M^7 \).

Combining all the above results together, one finds that the solution of the KSEs along AdS3 can be written as

\[ \epsilon = \epsilon_+ + \epsilon_- = \sigma_+ + e^{-\frac{z}{\ell}} \tau_+ + \sigma_- + e^{\frac{z}{\ell}} \tau_- - \ell^{-1} u A^{-1} \Gamma_{z+} \sigma_- - \ell^{-1} r A^{-1} e^{-\frac{z}{\ell}} \Gamma_{z-} \tau_+, \]  

(3.16)

where the dependence of \( \epsilon \) on the AdS3 coordinates \((u, r, z)\) is given explicitly while the dependence on the coordinates \( y \) of \( M^7 \) is via that of \( \sigma_\pm, \tau_\pm \) spinors.
3.2.2 Remaining independent KSEs

As we have seen the KSEs of (massive) IIA supergravity have been solved provided that one imposes the additional conditions (3.15). It is convenient to interpret these as new additional KSEs on $M^7$. In order to describe simultaneously the conditions on both the $\sigma_\pm$ and $\tau_\pm$ spinors, we write $\chi_\pm = \sigma_\pm, \tau_\pm$ and introduce

$$ B^{(\pm)} = \mp \frac{c}{2} \partial \Gamma_z \pm \frac{1}{4} A W \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{8} A S \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{16} A T \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{192} A Y \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{8} A X, \tag{3.17} $$

where $c = 1$ when $\chi_\pm = \sigma_\pm$ and $c = -1$ when $\chi_\pm = \tau_\pm$.

Using this, the remaining independent KSEs are

$$ \nabla_i^{(\pm)} \chi_\pm = 0, \quad A^{(\pm)} \chi_\pm = 0, \quad B^{(\pm)} \chi_\pm = 0, \tag{3.18} $$

where

$$ \nabla_i^{(\pm)} = \nabla_i + \Psi_i^{(\pm)}, $$
$$ A^{(\pm)} = \partial \Phi + \frac{1}{12} Z_i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{2} W T \Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{8} S + \frac{3}{8} T \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{96} Y \pm \frac{1}{4} \bar{X} \Gamma_z, \tag{3.19} $$

and where

$$ \Psi_i^{(\pm)} = \pm \frac{1}{2} A^{-1} \partial_i A + \frac{1}{8} Z_i \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{8} S \Gamma_i + \frac{1}{16} A T \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{192} A Y \Gamma_i \pm \frac{1}{8} \bar{X} \Gamma_{zi}. \tag{3.20} $$

It is clear that the first two equations in (3.18) are the restrictions imposed on $\chi_\pm$ from gravitino and dilatino KSEs of (massive) IIA supergravity on $M^7$, while the last equation has arisen from the integration of the supergravity KSEs on $AdS_3$. All the other integrability conditions that arise in the analysis follow from (3.18), the Bianchi identities and the field equations.

3.2.3 Counting supersymmetries

The number of supersymmetries preserved by $AdS_3 \times M^7$ backgrounds is the number of solutions of the KSEs (3.18). Thus

$$ N = N_+ + N_- = (N_{\sigma_+} + N_{\tau_+}) + (N_{\sigma_-} + N_{\tau_-}), \tag{3.21} $$

where $N_{\sigma_\pm}$ and $N_{\tau_\pm}$ denote the number of $\sigma_\pm$ and $\tau_\pm$ Killing spinors, respectively. To prove that $AdS_3$ backgrounds preserve an even number of supersymmetries as stated in (1.1) observe that if $\chi_-$, for $\chi_- = \sigma_-$ or $\chi_- = \tau_-$, is a Killing spinor, ie it solves all the three equations in (3.18), then

$$ \chi_+ = A^{-1} \Gamma_{zz} \chi_-, \tag{3.22} $$

also solves the KSEs (3.18). Vice versa if $\chi_+$ solves the KSEs in (3.18), then

$$ \chi_- = A \Gamma_{zz} \chi_+, \tag{3.23} $$

also solves the KSEs. Therefore $N_+ = N_-$ and so $N = 2N_-$ which establishes (1.1). Observe also that if $N_{\sigma_+}, N_{\tau_+} \neq 0$ or $N_{\sigma_-}, N_{\tau_-} \neq 0$, then $N = 2(N_{\sigma_+} + N_{\tau_-})$ which refines (1.1).
3.3 Global aspects

Here we shall demonstrate that the Killing spinors can be identified with the zero modes of a suitable Dirac-like operator on $M^7$. We shall demonstrate this using the Hopf maximum principle as for the case of AdS$_2 \times \mathbb{M}^8$ backgrounds. As we have already mentioned the Bianchi identity for $F$ in (3.3) implies that there are two different AdS$_3 \times \mathbb{M}^7$ backgrounds to consider depending on whether the mass term vanishes and $H$ is allowed to have a component along AdS$_3$, or the mass term does not vanish and $H$ has components only along $M^7$. Unlike the local analysis we have presented so far, the proof below of the Lichnerowicz type theorems is sensitive to the two different cases and they will be investigated separately in appendix B. However, the end result is the same including coefficients in some key formulae. Because of this and to save space, we shall present them together in the summary of the proof described below.

Furthermore, an argument similar to the one we have presented for AdS$_2$ backgrounds implies that for smooth solutions $A$ does not vanish at any point on $M^7$. This is based on the investigation of the field equation for $A$.

3.3.1 Lichnerowicz type theorems for $\sigma_\pm$ and $\tau_\pm$

To begin let us introduce the modified parallel transport operator
\[ \hat{\nabla}^{(+)}_i = \nabla^{(+)}_i - \frac{1}{7} A^{-1} \Gamma^i_{\alpha \beta} B^{(+)} - \frac{1}{7} \Gamma^i_A A^{(+)}, \quad (3.24) \]
and the associated Dirac-like operator
\[ \mathcal{D}^{(+)} = \hat{\nabla}^{(+)} - A^{-1} \Gamma^z B^{(+)} - A^{(+)}. \quad (3.25) \]

It is clear that if $\chi^+$ is a Killing spinor, for $\chi^+ = \sigma_+$ or $\chi^+ = \tau_+$, ie satisfies the conditions (3.18), then $\mathcal{D}^{(+)} \chi^+ = 0$. To prove the converse suppose that $\mathcal{D}^{(+)} \chi^+ = 0$, then after some computation which utilizes the field equations, Bianchi identities (and has been presented in appendix B), one can establish the identity
\[ \nabla^2 \| \chi^+ \|^2 + (3 A^{-1} \partial_i A - 2 \partial_i \Phi) \nabla^i \| \chi^+ \|^2 = \| \hat{\nabla}^{(+)} \chi^+ \|^2 + \frac{16}{7} \| A^{-1} \Gamma^z B^{(+)} \chi^+ \|^2 \]
\[ + \frac{4}{7} (A^{-1} \Gamma^z B^{(+)} \chi^+, A^{(+)} \chi^+) + \frac{2}{7} \| A^{(+)} \chi^+ \|^2. \quad (3.26) \]

First observe that the right-hand-side of the above expression is positive semi-definite. Applying the maximum principle on $\| \chi^+ \|^2$, one concludes that $\nabla^{(+)} \chi^+ = B^{(+)} \chi^+ = A^{(+)} \chi^+ = 0$, and that
\[ \| \chi^+ \| = \text{const.} \quad (3.27) \]
Therefore $\chi^+$ is a Killing spinor. Thus provided that the fields and $M^7$ satisfy the conditions for the maximum principle to apply, we have established that
\[ \nabla^{(+)}_i \chi^+ = 0, \quad B^{(+)} \chi^+ = 0, \quad A^{(+)} \chi^+ = 0 \iff \mathcal{D}^{(+)} \chi^+ = 0. \quad (3.28) \]
It is remarkable that the zero modes of $\mathcal{D}^{(+)}$ satisfy all three KSEs.
Although we have presented Lichnerowicz type theorems for $\sigma^+$ and $\tau^+$ spinors, there is another similar theorem for $\sigma^-$ and $\tau^-$ spinors. This can be established either by a direct computation or by using (3.23) which relates the $\chi^+$ with the $\chi^-$ spinors. For this observe that in addition to the KSEs, the Clifford algebra operation $A \Gamma z$ intertwines between the corresponding Dirac-like operators $\mathcal{D}^{(\pm)}$.

### 3.3.2 Counting supersymmetries again

A consequence of the theorems of the previous section is that the number of supersymmetries of AdS$_3 \times_w M^7$ backgrounds can be counted in terms of the zero modes of the Dirac-like operators $\mathcal{D}^{(\pm)}$. In particular, one has that

$$N = 2(\dim \text{Ker } \mathcal{D}^{(-)}|_{c=1} + \dim \text{Ker } \mathcal{D}^{(-)}|_{c=-1}).$$

(3.29)

It is likely that the dimension of these kernels, as the dimension of the Kernel of the standard Dirac operator, depend on the geometry of $M^7$, i.e., they are not topological.

### 4 AdS$_4 \times_w M^6$

#### 4.1 Fields, Bianchi identities and field equations

The fields of AdS$_4 \times_w M^6$ backgrounds are

$$\begin{align*}
  ds^2 &= 2e^+ e^- + A^2 (dz^2 + e^{2z/\ell} dx^2) + ds^2(M^6), \\
  G &= A^2 e^{z/\ell} e^+ \wedge e^- \wedge dz \wedge dx X + Y, \\
  H &= H, \quad F = F, \quad \Phi = \Phi, \quad S = S,
\end{align*}$$

(4.1)

where $A, X, \Phi$ and $S$ are functions, $Y$ is a 4-form, $H$ is a 3-form and $F$ is a 2-form on $M^6$, respectively, and

$$e^+ = du, \quad e^- = dr + rh, \quad h = -\frac{2}{\ell} dz - 2A^{-1} dA, \quad \Delta = 0.$$

(4.2)

$A$ is the warp factor. The dependence of the fields on the AdS$_4$ coordinates $(u, r, z, x)$ is given explicitly, while the dependence of the fields of the coordinates $y$ of $M^6$ is suppressed. See also [22] for the relation of the above metric to the standard warped product metric of AdS$_4$ with $M^6$.

The Bianchi identities of (massive) IIA supergravity impose the following conditions on the various components of the fields.

$$\begin{align*}
  dH &= 0, \quad ds = S d\Phi, \quad dF = d\Phi \wedge F + S H, \\
  dy &= d\Phi \wedge Y + H \wedge F, \quad d(A^4 X) = A^4 d\Phi.
\end{align*}$$

(4.3)

Similarly, the field equations of the fluxes of (massive) IIA supergravity give

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = -4A^{-1} \partial^i A \partial_i \Phi + 2(d(\Phi))^2 + \frac{5}{4} S^2 + \frac{3}{8} F^2 - \frac{1}{12} H^2 + \frac{1}{96} Y^2 - \frac{1}{4} X^2,$$

$$\nabla^k H_{ijk} = -4A^{-1} \partial^k A H_{ijk} + 2\partial^k \Phi H_{ijk} + S F_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} F^{kl} G_{ijkl},$$
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\[\nabla^j F_{ij} = -4A^{-1}\partial^j AF_{ij} + \partial^j \Phi F_{ij} - \frac{1}{6} F_{ijkl} G_{ijkl}, \]
\[\nabla^\ell Y_{ijk\ell} = -4A^{-1}\partial^\ell AY_{ijk\ell} + \partial^\ell \Phi Y_{ijk\ell}, \quad (4.4)\]

and the Einstein equation separates into an AdS component,
\[\nabla^2 \ln A = -3\ell^{-2}A^{-2} - 4A^{-2}(dA)^2 + 2A^{-1}\partial_i A \partial^i \Phi + \frac{1}{96} Y^2 \]
\[+ \frac{1}{4} X^2 + \frac{1}{4} S^2 + \frac{1}{8} F^2, \quad (4.5)\]

and a component on \(M^6\),
\[R_{ij}^{(6)} = 4\nabla_i \nabla_j \ln A + 4A^{-2}\partial_i A \partial_j A + \frac{1}{12} Y_{ij} - \frac{1}{96} Y^2 \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} X^2 \delta_{ij} \]
\[- \frac{1}{4} S^2 \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} H_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} F_{ij} - \frac{1}{8} F^2 \delta_{ij} - 2\nabla_i \nabla_j \Phi, \quad (4.6)\]

where \(R_{ij}^{(6)}\) is the Ricci tensor of \(M^6\). The latter contracts to
\[R^{(6)} = 4\nabla^2 \ln A + 4A^{-2}(dA)^2 + \frac{1}{48} Y^2 + \frac{3}{2} X^2 - \frac{3}{2} S^2 + \frac{1}{4} H^2 - \frac{1}{4} F^2 - 2\nabla^2 \Phi \]
\[- \frac{1}{2} F^2 + 16A^{-1}\partial_i A \partial^i \Phi - 4(d\Phi)^2. \quad (4.7)\]

This expression for the Ricci scalar is used in the proof of the Lichnerowicz type theorems for these backgrounds.

### 4.2 Local aspects: solution of KSEs

#### 4.2.1 Solution of KSEs on AdS\(_4\)

The KSEs of (massive) IIA supergravity along the AdS\(_4\) directions give
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_u \epsilon_+ + A^{-1} \Gamma_{+z} (\ell^{-1} - \Xi_-) \epsilon_+ &= 0, \\
\partial_u \epsilon_- + A^{-1} \Gamma_{-z} \Xi_+ \epsilon_+ &= 0, \\
\partial_z \epsilon_+ - \Xi_+ \epsilon_+ + 2r\ell^{-1} A^{-1} \Gamma_{-z} \Xi_+ \epsilon_+ &= 0, \\
\partial_z \epsilon_- + e^{z/\ell} \Gamma_{xz} \Xi_+ \epsilon_+ &= 0, \\
\partial_x \epsilon_+ + e^{z/\ell} \Gamma_{xz} (\Xi_- - \ell^{-1}) \epsilon_- &= 0, \\
\end{align*}
\quad (4.8)
\]

where
\[\Xi_\pm = \mp \frac{1}{2\ell} + \frac{1}{2} \partial \Gamma_z - \frac{1}{8} A \Gamma_z - \frac{1}{16} A F \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{192} A \Gamma \Gamma_z \mp \frac{1}{8} A X \Gamma_z. \quad (4.9)\]

Using
\[\Xi_+ \Gamma_{+z} + \Gamma_{+z} \Xi_+ = 0, \quad \Xi_+ \Gamma_{-z} + \Gamma_{-z} \Xi_+ = 0, \]
\[\Xi_+ \Gamma_{xz} + \Gamma_{xz} \Xi_+ = \mp \ell^{-1} \Gamma_{xz}, \quad (4.10)\]

one finds that there is only one integrability condition along all AdS\(_4\) directions,
\[\left(\Xi_\pm^2 \pm \ell^{-1} \Xi_\pm\right) \epsilon_\pm = 0 . \quad (4.11)\]
Thus, we can easily integrate the KSEs along AdS$_4$. In particular, the integration along $r,u$ and $z$ proceeds as for the AdS$_3$ backgrounds. Then integrating along $x$, we find that the Killing spinors can be expressed as

$$
\epsilon = \epsilon_+ + \epsilon_- = \sigma_+ - \ell^{-1} x\Gamma_{xz}\tau_+ + e^{-\frac{\tau}{2}}\tau_+ + \sigma_- + e^{\frac{\tau}{2}}(\tau_- - \ell^{-1} x\Gamma_{xz}\sigma_-) \\
- \ell^{-1} uA^{-1}\Gamma_{+z}\sigma_- - \ell^{-1} rA^{-1} \tau^- e^{-\frac{\tau}{2}}\Gamma_{-z}\tau_+ ,
$$

(4.12)

where

$$
\Xi_{\pm}\sigma_\pm = 0 \quad \Xi_{\pm}\tau_\pm = \mp\ell^{-1}\tau_\pm ,
$$

(4.13)

and $\sigma_\pm$ and $\tau_\pm$ depend only on the coordinates of $M^6$. Observe that $\sigma_\pm$ and $\tau_\pm$ are again 16-component spinors counted over the reals.

4.2.2 Remaining independent KSEs

Having integrated the KSEs of (massive) IIA supergravity along the AdS$_4$, it remains to identify the remaining independent KSEs. For this, let us collectively denote $(\sigma_\pm, \tau_\pm)$ with $\chi_{\pm}$. It is also convenient to view (4.13) as additional KSEs on $M^6$. Investigating the various integrability conditions that arise, one finds that the remaining independent KSEs are

$$
\nabla_{\pm}i\chi_{\pm} = 0, \quad A_{\pm}\chi_{\pm} = 0, \quad B_{\pm}\chi_{\pm} = 0,
$$

(4.14)

where

$$
\nabla_{\pm} = \nabla_i + \Psi_{\pm}, \\
A_{\pm} = \Phi + \frac{1}{12} B\Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{8} S + \frac{1}{6} F\Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{96} Y + \frac{1}{4} X\Gamma_{xz} , \\
B_{\pm} = \mp \frac{c}{2\ell} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial A}{\Gamma_z} - \frac{1}{8} A\Gamma_{xz} - \frac{1}{16} A\Gamma_{z}\Gamma_{11} ,
$$

(4.15)

and where

$$
\Psi_{\pm} = \pm \frac{1}{2A} \partial_i A + \frac{1}{8} B_i\Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{8} S\Gamma_i + \frac{1}{16} F\Gamma_i\Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{192} Y\Gamma_i + \frac{1}{8} X\Gamma_{xi} .
$$

(4.16)

The constant $c$ in $B_{\pm}$ is chosen such that $c = 1$ for $\chi_{\pm} = \sigma_{\pm}$ and $c = -1$ for $\chi_{\pm} = \tau_{\pm}$. Clearly, the first two equations in (4.14) arise from the gravitino and dilatino KSEs of (massive) IIA supergravity as adapted on the spinors $\chi_{\pm}$, respectively. The last equation in (4.14) implements (4.13) on the spinors.

4.2.3 Counting of supersymmetries

The number of Killing spinors of AdS$_4$ backgrounds is

$$
N = N_+ + N_- = (N_{\sigma_+} + N_{\tau_+}) + (N_{\sigma_-} + N_{\tau_-}) ,
$$

(4.17)

where $N_{\sigma_{\pm}}$ and $N_{\tau_{\pm}}$ denote the number of $\sigma_{\pm}$ and $\tau_{\pm}$ Killing spinors, respectively.

As for AdS$_3$ backgrounds one can verify by a direct computation that if $\chi_-$ is a Killing spinor, ie solves (4.14), then $\chi_+ = A^{-1}\Gamma_{+z}\chi_-$ is also a Killing spinor, and vice-versa if $\chi_+$
is a Killing spinor, then \( \chi_- = A \Gamma^{-z} \chi_+ \) is also a Killing spinor. Furthermore, one can also verify that if \( \tau_\pm \) is a Killing spinor, then

\[
\sigma_\pm = \Gamma_{zz} \tau_\pm,
\]

is also a Killing spinor, and vice versa if \( \sigma_\pm \) is a Killing spinor, then

\[
\tau_\pm = \Gamma_{zz} \sigma_\pm,
\]

is a Killing spinor. As a result of this analysis, \( N_{\sigma_+} = N_{\tau_+} = N_{\sigma_-} = N_{\tau_-} \) and so

\[
N = 4 N_{\sigma_-},
\]

verifying (1.1).

### 4.3 Global aspects

As in all previous cases, one can demonstrate that if the fields are smooth, then \( A \) does not vanish at any point of \( M^6 \). The argument is similar to that presented in the previous two cases and so it will not be repeated here.

#### 4.3.1 Lichnerowicz type theorems for \( \sigma_\pm \) and \( \tau_\pm \)

The Killing spinors \( \sigma_\pm \) and \( \tau_\pm \) of AdS_4 backgrounds can be identified with the zero modes of a Dirac-like operator on \( M^6 \). To determine this Dirac-like operator first define

\[
\tilde{\nabla}_i^{(\pm)} = \nabla_i^{(\pm)} - \frac{1}{3} A^{-1} \Gamma_i z B^{(\pm)} - \frac{1}{6} \Gamma_i A^{(\pm)},
\]

and the associated Dirac-like operator

\[
D^{(\pm)} = \tilde{\nabla}^{(\pm)} = \nabla^{(\pm)} - 2 A^{-1} \Gamma_i z B^{(\pm)} - A^{(\pm)}.
\]

Then one can establish that

\[
\nabla_i^{(\pm)} \chi^{(\pm)} = 0, \quad B^{(\pm)} \chi^{(\pm)} = 0, \quad A^{(\pm)} \chi^{(\pm)} = 0 \iff D^{(\pm)} \chi^{(\pm)} = 0.
\]

It is apparent that if \( \chi^{(\pm)} = (\sigma^{(\pm)}, \tau^{(\pm)}) \) are Killing spinors, then they are zero modes of \( D^{(\pm)} \). The task is to demonstrate the converse. We shall do this first for \( \chi^+ \) spinors. In particular let us assume that \( D^{(+)} \chi^+ = 0 \). Then after some extensive Clifford algebra calculus which is presented in appendix C and after using the Bianchi identities and the field equations, like (4.7), one can show that

\[
\nabla^2 \| \chi^+ \|^2 + (4 A^{-1} \partial^i A - 2 \partial^i \Phi) \nabla_i \| \chi^+ \|^2 = \| \nabla^{(+)} \chi^+ \|^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{16}{3} \| A^{-1} \Gamma_i z B^{(+)} \chi^+ \|^2 + \frac{4}{3} \langle A^{-1} \Gamma_i z B^{(+)} \chi^+, A^{(+)} \chi^+ \rangle
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{3} \| A^{(+)} \chi^+ \|^2.
\]

First observe that the right-hand-side of the above expression is positive semi-definite. Assuming that \( M^6 \) and the fields satisfy the requirements for the application of the maximum
principle to apply, eg $M^6$ compact without boundary and fields smooth, one concludes that $\chi_+$ is a Killing spinor and in addition

$$\| \chi_+ \| = \text{const}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.25)

This proves (4.23) for the $\chi_+$ spinors.

To prove (4.23) for the $\chi_-$ spinors, one can either perform a similar computation to that of the $\chi_+$ spinors or simply use the relation $\chi_- = A\Gamma_z\chi_+$ between $\chi_+$ and $\chi_-$ spinors and observe that the Clifford algebra operation $A\Gamma_z$ intertwines between the Killing spinor equations and the Dirac-like operators. In particular, the analogous maximum principle relation to (4.24) for $\chi_-$ spinors can be constructed from (4.24) by simply setting $\chi_+ = A^{-1}\Gamma_z\chi_-.$

### 4.3.2 Counting supersymmetries again

A consequence of the theorems of the previous section is that one can count the number of supersymmetries of $\text{AdS}_4 \times w M^6$ backgrounds in terms of the dimension of the Kernel of $\mathcal{D}^{(\pm)}$ operators. In particular, one has that

$$N = 4 \dim \text{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(-)}|_{c=1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.26)

As $\dim \text{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(-)}|_{c=1} = \dim \text{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(-)}|_{c=-1} = \dim \text{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(+)}|_{c=1} = \dim \text{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(+)}|_{c=-1},$ one can use equivalently in the above formula the dimension of the Kernels of any of these operators.

## 5 $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$, $n \geq 5$

### 5.1 Fields, Bianchi identities and field equations

For all $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$, $n \geq 5$, backgrounds, the form fluxes have non-vanishing components only along $M^{10-n}$. In particular, the fields can be expressed as

$$ds^2 = 2e^+e^- + A^2\left(dz^2 + e^{2z/\ell} \sum_{a=1}^{n-3} (dx^a)^2\right) + ds^2(M^{10-n}),$$  

$$G = G, \quad H = H, \quad F = F, \quad \Phi = \Phi, \quad S = S,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

where $A, \Phi$ and $S$ are functions, $G$ is a 4-form, $H$ is a 3-form and $F$ is a 2-form on $M^{10-n}$, respectively, and

$$e^+ = du, \quad e^- = dr + rh, \quad h = -\frac{2}{\ell}dz - 2A^{-1}dA, \quad \Delta = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

$A$ is the warp factor and $\ell$ is the radius of $\text{AdS}_n$. See [22] for the proof that $A^2$ is the standard warped factor of $\text{AdS}_n$ with $M^{10-n}$. The dependence of the fields on the $\text{AdS}_n$ coordinates $(u, r, z, x^a)$ is given explicitly, while the dependence of the fields of the coordinates $y$ of $M^{10-n}$ is suppressed. Clearly additional fluxes will vanish for large enough $n$, eg $\text{AdS}_7$ backgrounds cannot have 4-form fluxes, $G = 0.$
The Bianchi identities of the (massive) IIA supergravity give
\[
dH = 0, \quad dS = Sd\Phi, \quad dF = d\Phi \wedge F + SH, \quad dG = d\Phi \wedge G + H \wedge F.
\] (5.3)
Furthermore, the field equations of (massive) IIA supergravity give
\[
\nabla^2 \Phi = -nA^{-1} \partial^j A \partial_j \Phi + 2(d\Phi)^2 + \frac{5}{4} S^2 + \frac{3}{8} F^2 - \frac{1}{12} H^2 + \frac{1}{96} G^2,
\] (5.4)
\[
\nabla^k H_{ijk} = -nA^{-1} \partial^k A H_{ijk} + 2\partial^k \Phi H_{ijk} + SF_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} F^{klt} G_{ijkl},
\] (5.5)
\[
\nabla^j F_{ij} = -nA^{-1} \partial^j A F_{ij} + \partial^j \Phi F_{ij} - \frac{1}{6} F_{jk\ell} G_{ijk\ell},
\] (5.6)
\[
\nabla^\ell G_{ijk\ell} = -nA^{-1} \partial^\ell A G_{ijk\ell} + \partial^\ell \Phi G_{ijk\ell},
\] (5.7)
and the Einstein equation separates into an AdS component,
\[
\nabla^2 \ln A = -(n-1)\ell^{-2} A^{-2} - nA^{-2}(dA)^2 + 2A^{-1} \partial_i A \partial^i \Phi + \frac{1}{96} G^2 + \frac{1}{4} S^2 + \frac{1}{8} F^2,
\] (5.8)
and \(M^{10-n}\) component,
\[
R_{ij}^{10-n} = n\nabla_i \nabla_j \ln A + nA^{-2} \partial_i A \partial_j A + \frac{1}{12} G_{ij} + \frac{1}{96} G^2 \delta_{ij}
- \frac{1}{4} S^2 \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} H_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} F_{ij}^2 - \frac{1}{8} F^2 \delta_{ij} - 2\nabla_i \nabla_j \Phi,
\] (5.9)
where \(R_{ij}^{10-n}\) is the Ricci tensor of \(M^{10-n}\). The latter contracts to
\[
R^{10-n} = n\nabla^2 \ln A + nA^{-2}(dA)^2 + \frac{n-2}{96} G^2 - \frac{10-n}{4} S^2 + \frac{1}{4} H^2
+ \frac{n-6}{8} F^2 - 2\nabla^2 \Phi
\]
\[
= -(n-1)\ell^{-2} A^{-2} - n(n-1)A^{-2}(dA)^2 + \frac{n-2}{48} G^2
- \frac{10-n}{2} S^2 + \frac{5}{12} H^2 + \frac{n-6}{4} F^2
+ 4nA^{-1} \partial_i A \partial^i \Phi - 4(d\Phi)^2.
\] (5.10)
The expression for the Ricci scalar is essential for the proof of the Lichnerowicz type theorems below.

5.2 Local aspects: solution of KSEs
5.2.1 Solution of KSEs along AdS_n
The gravitino KSE of (massive) IIA supergravity along the AdS_n directions gives
\[
\partial_a \epsilon_\pm + A^{-1} \Gamma_{zz}^a \left( \ell^{-1} - \Xi_\mp \right) \epsilon_\pm = 0,
\]
\[
\partial_a \epsilon_\pm - A^{-1} \Gamma_{zz} \epsilon_\mp \Xi_\pm \epsilon_\pm = 0,
\]
\[
\partial_c \epsilon_\pm - \Xi_\pm \epsilon_\mp + 2r\ell^{-1} A^{-1} \Gamma_{zz} \Xi_\pm \epsilon_\mp = 0,
\]
\[
\partial_a \epsilon_\mp + e^{z/\ell} \Gamma_{za} \Xi_\mp \epsilon_\mp = 0,
\]
\[-18-\]
\begin{equation}
\partial_a \epsilon_- + e^{z/\ell} \Gamma_{za} \left( \Xi_- - \ell^{-1} \right) \epsilon_- = 0, \tag{5.11}
\end{equation}

where
\begin{equation}
\Xi_\pm = \mp \frac{1}{2\ell} + \frac{1}{2} \phi A \Gamma_z - \frac{1}{8} A S \Gamma_z - \frac{1}{16} A \mathcal{F} \Gamma_1 \Gamma_1 - \frac{1}{192} A \mathcal{G} \Gamma_z. \tag{5.12}
\end{equation}

Using the identities,
\begin{align}
\Xi_\pm \Gamma_{za} + \Gamma_{za} \Xi_\pm &= 0, \tag{5.13} \\
\Xi_\pm \Gamma_{za} - \Gamma_{za} \Xi_\pm &= 0, \tag{5.14} \\
\Xi_\pm \Gamma_{za} + \Gamma_{za} \Xi_\pm &= \mp \ell^{-1} \Gamma_{za}, \tag{5.15}
\end{align}

ones finds that all these equations can be solved provided the integrability condition
\begin{equation}
\left( \Xi^2_\pm + \ell^{-1} \Xi_\pm \right) \epsilon_\pm = 0, \tag{5.16}
\end{equation}
is satisfied. In particular, one finds that the Killing spinor can be expressed as
\begin{equation}
\epsilon = \epsilon_+ + \epsilon_- = \sigma_+ - \ell^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{n-3} x^a \Gamma_{za} \sigma_+ + e^{-z/\ell} \tau_+ + \sigma_- + e^{z/\ell} \left( \tau_- - \ell^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{n-3} x^a \Gamma_{za} \sigma_- \right) - \ell^{-1} u A^{-1} \Gamma_{za} \sigma_- - \ell^{-1} r A^{-1} e^{-z/\ell} \Gamma_{za} \tau_+, \tag{5.17}
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
\Xi_\pm \sigma_\pm = 0 \quad \Xi_\pm \tau_\pm = \mp \ell^{-1} \tau_\pm, \tag{5.18}
\end{equation}
and \( \sigma_\pm \) and \( \tau_\pm \) are 16-component spinors depending only on the coordinates of \( M^{10-n} \).

The dependence of the Killing spinors on the AdS_\( n \) coordinates is given explicitly while that of the coordinates \( y \) of \( M^{10-n} \) is via the \( \sigma_\pm \) and \( \tau_\pm \) spinors.

### 5.2.2 Remaining independent KSEs

Having solved the gravitino KSE along AdS_\( n \), \( n > 4 \), to count the number of supersymmetries preserved by these backgrounds, one has to identify the remaining independent KSEs. There are several integrability conditions which have to be considered. However after using the field equations and the Bianchi identities, one finds that the remaining independent KSEs are
\begin{equation}
\nabla^{(\pm)}_i \chi_\pm = 0, \quad A^{(\pm)} \chi_\pm = 0, \quad B^{(\pm)} \chi_\pm = 0, \tag{5.19}
\end{equation}
where
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{(\pm)}_i &= \nabla_i + \Psi^{(\pm)}_i, \\
A^{(\pm)} &= \partial \Phi + \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{H} \Gamma_1 \Gamma_1 + \frac{2}{3} S + \frac{3}{8} \mathcal{F} \Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{96} \mathcal{G} \tag{5.20} \\
B^{(\pm)} &= \mp \frac{c}{2\ell} + \frac{1}{2} \phi A \Gamma_z - \frac{1}{8} A S \Gamma_z - \frac{1}{16} A \mathcal{F} \Gamma_1 \Gamma_1 - \frac{1}{192} A \mathcal{G} \Gamma_z, \\
\Psi^{(\pm)}_i &= \pm \frac{1}{2A} \partial_i A + \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{H} \Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{8} S \Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{16} \mathcal{F} \Gamma_1 \Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{192} \mathcal{G} \Gamma_1. \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}

We have also set \( \chi_\pm = (\sigma_\pm, \tau_\pm) \), and \( c = 1 \) whenever \( \chi_\pm = \sigma_\pm \) and \( c = -1 \) whenever \( \chi_\pm = \tau_\pm \).
The first two KSEs in (5.19) arise from gravitino and dilatino KSEs of (massive) IIA supergravity as they are implemented on $\chi_{\pm}$, respectively. The last equation in (5.19) is the condition (5.18) which is now interpreted as additional algebraic KSE. All the remaining integrability conditions are implied from (5.19), the Bianchi identities and the field equations.

5.2.3 Counting supersymmetries

As in previous cases, the number of supersymmetries $N$ of AdS$_n$ backgrounds is

$$N = N_+ + N_- = (N_{\sigma_+} + N_{\tau_+}) + (N_{\sigma_-} + N_{\tau_-}),$$

(5.22)

where $N_{\sigma_{\pm}}$ and $N_{\tau_{\pm}}$ denote the number of $\sigma_{\pm}$ and $\tau_{\pm}$ Killing spinors, respectively.

A direct inspection of the remaining independent KSEs (5.19) reveals that if $\chi_-$ is a solution, then so is $\chi_+ = A^{-1} \Gamma_{+z} \chi_-$, and vice-versa if $\chi_+$ is a Killing spinor, then $\chi_- = A \Gamma_{-z} \chi_+$ is also a Killing spinor. Therefore $N_+ = N_-$. Moreover to count the number of supersymmetries it suffices to count the number of $\chi_-$ spinors.

Furthermore if $\tau_-$ is a Killing spinor, then $\sigma_- = \Gamma_{az} \tau_-$ is also a Killing spinor, and vice versa if $\sigma_-$ is a Killing spinor, then $\tau_- = \Gamma_{az} \sigma_-$ is a Killing spinor. Thus $N_{\sigma_-} = N_{\tau_-}$ and so $N = 4 N_{\sigma_-}$. Therefore, it remains to count the number of $\sigma_-$ Killing spinors.

For this observe that if $\sigma_-$ is a Killing spinor, then

$$\sigma' = \Gamma_{ab} \sigma_-, \quad a < b,$$

(5.23)

is also a Killing spinor. To find $N_{\sigma_-}$, one has to count the number of linearly independent $(\sigma, \Gamma_{ab} \sigma_-), a < b$ spinors. This depends on $n$. For $n = 5$, $a, b = 1, 2$ and $(\sigma, \Gamma_{12} \sigma)$ are linearly independent. Thus AdS$_5$ backgrounds preserve $N = 8k$ supersymmetries. Next for $n = 6$, $a, b = 1, 2, 3$ and $(\sigma, \Gamma_{12} \sigma, \Gamma_{13} \sigma, \Gamma_{23} \sigma)$ are linearly independent. Thus AdS$_6$ backgrounds preserve $N = 16k$ supersymmetries. To continue for $n = 7$, $a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4$. It turns out that in this case the Clifford algebra operation $\Gamma_{1234}$ commutes with all KSEs and therefore one can impose consistently $\Gamma_{1234} \sigma_{\pm} = \pm \sigma_{\pm}$, ie one can restrict $\sigma_-$ to lie in one of the eigenspaces of $\Gamma_{1234}$. In such a case, there are only 4 linearly independent spinors $(\sigma_-, \Gamma_{ab} \sigma_-), a < b$. Thus AdS$_7$ backgrounds again preserve 16k supersymmetries. These results confirm the counting of supersymmetries as stated in (1.1).

There are no AdS$_n$, $n > 7$ backgrounds. This can be seen as follows. If the counting of supersymmetries proceeds in the same way one can show that all such backgrounds preserve 32 supersymmetries. The maximally supersymmetric backgrounds of (massive) IIA supergravity have been classified in [17] and they do not include AdS$_n \times w M^{10-n}$ spaces. The same result can be used to rule out the existence of AdS$_7$ backgrounds that preserve 32 supersymmetries.

5.3 Global aspects

5.3.1 Lichnerowicz type theorems for $\sigma_{\pm}$ and $\tau_{\pm}$

As in all previous cases, the Killing spinors $\chi_{\pm}$ of the AdS$_n$, $n > 4$, backgrounds can be identified with the zero modes of a suitable Dirac-like operator. To prove this first define

$$\nabla_i^{(\pm)} = \nabla_i^{(\pm)} - \frac{n-2}{10-n} A^{-1} \Gamma_{iz} B^{(\pm)} - \frac{1}{10-n} \Gamma_i A^{(\pm)},$$

(5.24)
and

$$\mathcal{D}^{(\pm)} \equiv \nabla^{(\pm)} = \nabla^{(\pm)} - (n-2)A^{-1}\Gamma_z\mathcal{B}^{(\pm)} - \mathcal{A}^{(\pm)}.$$  \quad (5.25)

Then one can show that

$$\nabla^{(\pm)}\chi_\pm = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}^{(\pm)}\chi_\pm = 0, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(\pm)}\chi_\pm = 0 \iff \mathcal{D}^{(\pm)}\chi_\pm = 0.$$  \quad (5.26)

Clearly the proof of this statement in the forward direction is straightforward. The main task is to prove the converse. It suffices to show this for \(\chi^+\) spinors. This is because the Clifford algebra operations \(\chi^+ = A^{-1}\Gamma_z\chi^-\) and \(\chi^- = A\Gamma_z\chi^+\) which relate these spinors intertwine between the corresponding KSEs and the Dirac-like operators.

Next suppose that \(\chi^+\) is a zero mode of the \(\mathcal{D}^+(\cdot)\) operator, \(\mathcal{D}^+(\cdot)\chi^+_+ = 0\). Then after some computation which is presented in appendix D which involves the use of the field equations and Bianchi identities, one finds that

$$\nabla^2 \|\chi^+\|^2 + (nA^{-1}\partial_i A - 2\partial_i \Phi) \nabla^i \|\chi^+\|^2 = \|\nabla\chi^+\|^2 + 16(n-2)\left(\frac{A^{-1}\Gamma_z\mathcal{B}^+(\cdot)\chi^+_+}{10-n} + \frac{A^{-1}\Gamma_z\mathcal{B}^+(\cdot)\chi^-_+}{10-n}\right) + \frac{2}{10-n} \left\|\mathcal{A}^+(\cdot)\chi^+_+\right\|^2.$$  \quad (5.27)

To proceed one has to solve the above differential equations. For this observe that if the fields are smooth \(A\) does not vanish at any point of \(M^{10-n}\). The proof of this is similar to that presented in the previous cases. Furthermore, the right-hand-side of (5.27) is positive semi-definite. Thus if \(M^{10-n}\) and the fields satisfy the conditions for the application of the maximum principle, eg \(M^{10-n}\) compact without boundary and the fields smooth, then the only solution of this is that \(\chi^+_+\) is a Killing spinor and that

$$\|\chi^+\|^2 = \text{const}.$$  \quad (5.28)

This completes the proof of the theorem.

5.3.2 Counting supersymmetries again

A consequence of the results of the previous section is that the number of supersymmetries of \(\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}\) backgrounds can be expressed in terms of the dimension of the Kernel of \(\mathcal{D}^{(\pm)}\) operators. In particular, one has that

$$N = 4 \dim \text{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(-)}|_{c=1}.$$  \quad (5.29)

Equivalently, \(N\) can be expressed in terms of \(\dim \text{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(-)}|_{c=-1}, \dim \text{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(+)}|_{c=1}\) and \(\dim \text{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(\cdot)}|_{c=-1}\) as all these numbers are equal. Furthermore \(\dim \text{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(-)}|_{c=1}\) has multiplicity \(2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\). This can be seen by an analysis similar to that we have done for the counting the supersymmetries of these backgrounds in section 5.2.3.
\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
$\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ & $N$ \\
\hline
$n = 2$ & $2k, k \leq 15$ \\
$n = 3$ & $2k, k \leq 15$ \\
$n = 4$ & $4k, k \leq 7$ \\
$n = 5$ & $8k, k \leq 3$ \\
$n = 6, 7$ & 16 \\
$n > 7$ & -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

**Table 1.** The number of supersymmetries $N$ of $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds are given. For $\text{AdS}_2 \times_w M^n$, one can show that these backgrounds preserve an even number of supersymmetries provided that $M^n$ and the fields satisfy the maximum principle. For the counting of supersymmetries of the rest of the backgrounds such an assumption is not necessary. The bounds on $k$ arise from the non-existence of supersymmetric solutions with maximal supersymmetry. For the remaining fractions, it is not known whether there always exist backgrounds preserving the prescribed number of supersymmetries. Supersymmetric $\text{AdS}_n$, $n > 7$, backgrounds do not exist.

6 **Flux $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds**

In the limit of large AdS radius $\ell$, $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ become warped flux $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds. Furthermore all the local computations we have performed for $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds are still valid after taking $\ell \to \infty$ and so they can be used to investigate the $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds. These include the expressions for the fields, Bianchi identities, field equations as well as the local solutions to the KSEs, and the determination of the independent KSEs on $M^{10-n}$.

However, there are some differences as well. First the counting of supersymmetries is different. This is because the criteria for the linear independence of the solutions of the KSEs on $M^{10-n}$ for $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds are different from those of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds. Secondly, the global properties of the KSEs for $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds are different, which originates in differences between the regularity properties of $\text{AdS}_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds. It is well known for example that there are no smooth flux compactifications of supergravity theories to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ with a compact\(^3\) internal space $M^{10-n}$.

6.1 **Non-existence of flux $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds and maximum principle**

One of the main properties of AdS backgrounds is that the warp factor $A$ can be no-where vanishing even if $M^{10-n}$ is compact. This is essential for the regularity. As we have seen, this property relies on the radius $\ell$ of AdS and it is no longer valid in the limit $\ell \to \infty$.

In fact one can show that the only $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds of (massive) IIA supergravity for which the fields and $M^{10-n}$ are chosen such that the maximum principle applies are those for which all fluxes vanish, and the dilaton and warp factor are constant.

\(^3\)We shall demonstrate below that the same conclusion applies under a weaker hypothesis.
To see this, observe that the field equation of the warp factor $A$ in all cases can be rewritten as a differential inequality
\[ \nabla^2 \ln A + b^i \partial_i \ln A = \Sigma \geq 0, \]
for some $b$ which depends on $A$ and the dilaton and $\Sigma$ which depends again on the fields. Therefore it is in a form that the maximum principle can apply. Assuming that the maximum principle applies, the only solution of this equation is that $A$ is constant and $\Sigma = 0$. The latter condition in turn gives that all the fluxes must vanish apart from the component of $H$ on $M^{10-n}$ and the dilaton which are not restricted. However the vanishing of the rest of the fields turns the field equation for the dilaton into a maximum principle form. Applying the maximum principle again for this, one finds that the dilaton is constant and the component of $H$ on $M^{10-n}$ vanishes as well. Therefore there are no warped flux $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds which satisfy the maximum principle. Observe that this result applies irrespective on whether the solution is supersymmetric or not.

In the context of flux compactifications based on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ this no-go theorem may be circumvented in various ways. One way is to take $M^{10-n}$ to be non-compact. Another way is to no longer assume that various fields satisfy the properties required for the maximum principle to hold, by weakening the assumption of smoothness. One can also add brane charges which modify the Bianchi identities and the field equations, and/or add higher order corrections. However here we shall focus on the properties of supergravity and we shall simply assume that the fields and $M^{10-n}$ do not satisfy the requirements for maximum principle to apply.

### 6.2 Supersymmetry of flux $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds

#### 6.2.1 $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times_w M^8$

The proof that AdS$_2 \times_w M^8$ backgrounds preserve an even number of supersymmetries relies on the maximum principle which is not applicable to $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times_w M^8$ supergravity backgrounds. Because of this, we cannot establish in generality that flux $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times_w M^8$ backgrounds preserve an even number of supersymmetries. Nevertheless some supersymmetry enhancement is expected. In particular, we have seen that it is a property of (massive) IIA supergravity that if $\eta_-$ is a Killing spinor then $\eta_+ = \Gamma_+ \Theta_- \eta_-$ is also a Killing spinor. Supersymmetry enhancement takes place whenever $\eta_- \notin \text{Ker} \Theta_-$ and so $\eta_+ \neq 0$. However there is no general argument which leads to $\eta_+ \neq 0$ and so this has to be established on a case by case basis.

The general form of the Killing spinor is
\[ \epsilon = \eta_+ + \eta_- + u \Gamma_+ \Theta_- \eta_- + r \Gamma_- \Theta_+ \eta_+ , \]
for a general choice of $\eta_{\pm}$. To establish the above expression from that in (2.6) for AdS$_2$ backgrounds, we have taken the limit $\ell \to \infty$ and we have used the integrability conditions of the KSEs stated in [18, 19] which read
\[ \Gamma_+ \Theta_+ \Gamma_\pm \Theta_\mp \eta_{\mp} = 0 . \]
These are automatically satisfied as a consequence of the independent KSEs on $M^8$ (2.8), the Bianchi identities and the field equations. Note that the Killing spinor $\epsilon$ is at most
linear in the coordinates \((u, r)\) of \(\mathbb{R}^{1,1}\). This conclusion arises from the general analysis we have done and it is contrary to the expectation that the Killing spinors of flux \(\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times_w M^8\) backgrounds do not depend on the coordinates of \(\mathbb{R}^{1,1}\). Notice also that \(\epsilon\) does not depend on \((u, r)\) whenever \(\eta_\pm\) are in the Kernel of \(\Theta_\pm\). We shall further comment on these below.

### 6.2.2 \(\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times_w M^7\)

The solution of the KSEs (3.8) in the limit \(\ell \to \infty\) is

\[
\epsilon = \sigma_+ + \sigma_- + u \Gamma_+ \Xi_- \sigma_- + r \Gamma_- \Xi_+ \sigma_+ + z (\Xi_+ \sigma_+ + \Xi_- \sigma_-),
\]

provided that the integrability conditions

\[(\Xi_\pm)^2 \sigma_\pm = 0, \tag{6.5}\]

are satisfied, where \(\sigma_\pm\) depend only on the coordinates of \(M^7\). Moreover necessary and sufficient conditions for \(\epsilon\) to be a Killing spinor are that \(\sigma_\pm\) must satisfy the KSEs (3.18) on \(M^7\).

Comparing the above result with that for \(\text{AdS}_3 \times_w M^7\) backgrounds, one notices that the \(\tau_\pm\) spinors do not arise. This is because the \(\tau_\pm\) spinors are not linearly independent from the \(\sigma_\pm\) ones for \(\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times_w M^7\) backgrounds. The same applies for the rest of \(\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}\) backgrounds and so the explanation will not be repeated below.

To count the number \(N\) of supersymmetries preserved by the \(\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times_w M^7\) backgrounds, first observe that \(N = N_{\sigma_+} + N_{\sigma_-}\), where \(N_{\sigma_+}\) and \(N_{\sigma_-}\) is the number of \(\sigma_+\) and \(\sigma_-\) Killing spinors, respectively. Then notice that if \(\sigma_-\) is a Killing spinor, then \(\sigma_+ = A^{-1} \Gamma_+ \sigma_-\) is also a Killing spinor, and vice versa if \(\sigma_+\) is a Killing spinor then \(\sigma_- = A \Gamma_- \sigma_+\) is also a Killing spinor. Therefore \(N_{\sigma_+} = N_{\sigma_-}\), and so \(N = 2N_{\sigma_-}\), i.e the \(\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times_w M^7\) solutions preserve an even number of supersymmetries confirming (1.2).

### 6.2.3 \(\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times_w M^6\)

The solution of the KSEs (4.8) in the limit \(\ell \to \infty\) is

\[
\epsilon = \sigma_+ + \sigma_- + u \Gamma_+ \Xi_- \sigma_- + r \Gamma_- \Xi_+ \sigma_+ + (z + x \Gamma_{xz}) (\Xi_+ \sigma_+ + \Xi_- \sigma_-),
\]

provided that the integrability conditions

\[(\Xi_\pm)^2 \sigma_\pm = 0, \tag{6.7}\]

are satisfied, where \(\sigma_\pm\) depend only on the coordinates of \(M^6\). Moreover necessary and sufficient conditions for \(\epsilon\) to be a Killing spinor are that \(\sigma_\pm\) must satisfy the KSEs (4.14) on \(M^6\).

The number of supersymmetries preserved by the \(\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times_w M^6\) backgrounds is \(N = N_{\sigma_+} + N_{\sigma_-}\), where \(N_{\sigma_+}\) and \(N_{\sigma_-}\) is the number of \(\sigma_+\) and \(\sigma_-\) Killing spinors, respectively. Furthermore as in the \(\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times_w M^7\) case above \(N_{\sigma_+} = N_{\sigma_-}\). In addition, if \(\sigma_\pm\) is a Killing spinor so is \(\sigma'_\pm = \Gamma_{xz} \sigma_\pm\). As a result \(N_{\sigma_\pm}\) are even numbers. Thus \(\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times_w M^6\) backgrounds preserve \(4k\) supersymmetries confirming (1.2).
\[ 6.2.4 \quad \mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_{\nu} M^{10-n} \text{ for } n \geq 5 \]

The solution of the KSEs (5.11) in the limit \( \ell \to \infty \) is

\[
\epsilon = \sigma_+ + \sigma_- + u \Gamma_{+z} \Xi_{-} \sigma_- + r \Gamma_{-z} \Xi_+ \sigma_+ + \left( z + \sum_{a=1}^{n-3} x^a \Gamma_{az} \right) (\Xi_+ \sigma_+ + \Xi_- \sigma_-), \quad (6.8)
\]

provided that the integrability conditions

\[
(\Xi_\pm)^2 \sigma_\pm = 0, \quad (6.9)
\]

are satisfied, where \( \sigma_\pm \) depend only on the coordinates of \( M^{10-n} \). Moreover necessary and sufficient conditions for \( \epsilon \) to be a Killing spinor are that \( \sigma_\pm \) must satisfy the KSEs (5.19) on \( M^{10-n} \).

To count the number of supersymmetries preserved by these backgrounds observe that \( N = N_{\sigma_+} + N_{\sigma_-} \) and that \( N_{\sigma_+} = N_{\sigma_-} \) as in previous cases. Therefore it suffices to count the multiplicity of \( \sigma_- \) Killing spinors. For this notice that for \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_{\nu} M^{10-n} \) backgrounds, the \( z \) coordinate can be treated in the same way as the \( x^a \) coordinates. As a result let us denote with \( x^{a'} = (z, x^a) \) all the coordinates of \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \) transverse to the lightcone. Furthermore observe that if \( \sigma_- \) is a Killing spinor so is \( \Gamma_{a'b'} \sigma_- \) for \( a' < b' \). Therefore it suffices to count the linearly independent \( (\sigma_-, \Gamma_{a'b'} \sigma_-), a' < b' \) spinors in each case. For the analysis that follows, we shall choose directions for convenience and therefore the analysis is not fully covariant. However, it can be made covariant as that presented in [12].

For \( \mathbb{R}^{4,1} \times_{\nu} M^5 \) a direct computation reveals that there are 4 linearly independent \( (\sigma_-, \Gamma_{a'b'} \sigma_-), a' < b', a', b' = 1, 2, 3, \) spinors leading to the conclusion that such backgrounds preserve \( N = 8k \) supersymmetries.

For \( \mathbb{R}^{5,1} \times_{\nu} M^4 \), one can impose the projection \( \Gamma_{1234} \sigma_\pm = \pm \sigma_\pm \) as \( a', b' = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) and since \( \Gamma_{1234} \) commutes with all KSEs. If \( \sigma_- \) is chosen to be in one of the two eigenspaces of \( \Gamma_{1234} \), then only 4 of the \( (\sigma_-, \Gamma_{a'b'} \sigma_-), a' < b' \) spinors are linearly independent. As a result, \( \mathbb{R}^{5,1} \times_{\nu} M^4 \) backgrounds preserve \( N = 8k \) supersymmetries as well.

A similar argument implies to the counting of supersymmetries for \( \mathbb{R}^{6,1} \times_{\nu} M^5 \) backgrounds. Imposing that \( \sigma_- \) lies in one of the eigenspaces of \( \Gamma_{1234} \), only 8 of the spinors \( (\sigma_-, \Gamma_{a'b'} \sigma_-), a' < b', a', b' = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \) are linearly independent. Therefore these backgrounds preserve 16k supersymmetries.

For \( \mathbb{R}^{7,1} \times_{\nu} M^2 \) backgrounds, \( \sigma_- \) can be chosen to lie in an eigenspace of two Clifford algebra operators, say \( \Gamma_{1234} \) and \( \Gamma_{1256} \). In such a case only 8 of the spinors \( (\sigma_-, \Gamma_{a'b'} \sigma_-), a' < b', a', b' = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \) are linearly independent and so such backgrounds also preserve 16k supersymmetries.

Next consider the \( \mathbb{R}^{8,1} \times_{\nu} M^1 \) backgrounds which include the D8-brane solution. In this case \( \sigma_- \) can be chosen to lie in an eigenspace of \( \Gamma_{1234}, \Gamma_{1256} \) and \( \Gamma_{1357} \). For such a choice, there are only 8 of the spinors \( (\sigma_-, \Gamma_{a'b'} \sigma_-), a' < b', a', b' = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 \) are linearly independent. Therefore such backgrounds also preserve \( N = 16k \) supersymmetries.

The above analysis confirms (1.2). It should also be pointed out that massive IIA supergravity does not have a maximally supersymmetric solution while all the maximally supersymmetric solutions of standard IIA supergravity are locally isometric to \( \mathbb{R}^{9,1} \) with
R_n-1, 1 \times w M^{10-n} & N \\
2 & N < 31 \\
3 & 2k, k \leq 15 \\
4 & 4k, k \leq 15 \\
5 & 8, 16, 24 \\
6 & 8, 16, 24 \\
7 & 16 \\
8 & 32 \\

Table 2. The number of supersymmetries $N$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times w M^{10-n}$ is not a priori an even number. The corresponding statement for AdS$_2$ backgrounds is proven using global considerations which are not applicable in this case. For the rest, the counting of supersymmetries follows from the properties of KSEs and the classification results of [17, 23]. Furthermore, if the Killing spinors do not depend on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ coordinates, then all backgrounds with $N > 16$ are locally isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{9,1}$ with zero fluxes and constant dilaton as a consequence of the homogeneity conjecture [20].

vanishing fluxes and constant dilaton [17]. This in particular implies that $N$ is further restricted. The results have been summarized in table 2.

7 On the factorization of Killing spinors

7.1 AdS backgrounds

Having solved the KSEs of AdS$_n \times w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds without any assumptions on the form of the Killing spinors, one can address the question of whether the Killing spinors of these spaces factorize as $\epsilon = \psi \otimes \xi$ where $\psi$ is a Killing spinor on AdS$_n$ and $\xi$ is a Killing spinor on $M^{10-n}$. In particular, $\psi$ is assumed to satisfy a KSE of the type

$$\nabla_\mu \psi + \lambda \gamma_\mu \psi = 0,$$

where $\nabla$ is the spin connection of AdS$_n$ and $\lambda$ is a constant related to the radius of AdS$_n$. This is an assumption which has been extensively used in the literature.

This issue has already been addressed in [12] and [13] for the AdS$_n$ backgrounds of D=11 and IIB supergravities. In particular, it has been found that such a factorization does not occur. In addition if one insists on such a factorization, then one gets the incorrect counting for the supersymmetries of well-known backgrounds like AdS$_5 \times S^5$ and AdS$_7 \times S^4$. The same applies for the backgrounds of (massive) IIA supergravity we have investigated here. After an analysis similar to the one which has been performed in [12] and [13], one finds that the Killing spinors we have found do not factorize into Killing spinors on AdS$_n$ and Killing spinors on $M^{10-n}$.

7.2 Flat backgrounds

The issue of factorization of Killing spinors for $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds is closely related to whether the Killing spinors $\epsilon$ we have found exhibit a linear dependence on the
coordinates. This is because if the Killing spinors factorize, then they should not depend on the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ for the chosen coordinate system. As $\sigma_\pm$ must lie in the Kernel of $(\Xi_\pm)^2$ as a consequence of integrability conditions, the Killing spinors $\epsilon$ exhibit a $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ coordinate dependence, iff $\sigma_\pm \not\in \text{Ker } \Xi_\pm$. In many examples we have investigated, $\sigma_\pm \in \text{Ker } (\Xi_\pm)^2$ implies that $\sigma_\pm \not\in \text{Ker } \Xi_\pm$ and so the Killing spinors $\epsilon$ do not depend on the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ and therefore factorize. However, we have not been able to prove this in general.

Suppose that all Killing spinors do not depend on the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$. If $N > 16$, the homogeneity conjecture [20] applied on the KSEs on $M^{10-n}$ implies that $M^{10-n}$ is homogenous space and all the fields are invariant. In particular, $A$ and $\Phi$ are constant. Then the field equations of $A$ and $\Phi$ imply that for all such backgrounds the fluxes vanish. As a consequence all such backgrounds with $N > 16$ are locally isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{9,1}$ with zero fluxes and constant dilaton.

8 Conclusions

We have solved the KSEs of all warped flux AdS$_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds without making any assumptions on the form of the fields and on that of the Killing spinors apart from imposing the symmetries of AdS$_n$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ on the former, respectively. This has allowed us to a priori count the number of supersymmetries preserved by these backgrounds for all $n$, and to identify the independent KSEs that have to be satisfied on $M^{10-n}$.

Furthermore, we have demonstrated that the Killing spinors of AdS$_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ can be identified with the zero modes of a Dirac-like operator on $M^{10-n}$. For this we have demonstrated a new class of Lichnerowicz type theorems utilizing the maximum principle.

We have also explored several other properties like the factorization of Killing spinors of AdS$_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds into Killing spinors on AdS$_n$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$, respectively, and Killing spinors on $M^{10-n}$. We have found that in the former case the Killing spinors do not factorize in such a way.

The identification of fractions of supersymmetry preserved by the AdS$_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds is a step forward towards their classification. It is not a priori obvious that there will be solutions for each allowed fraction of supersymmetry. It is known that there are several no-go theorems. For example, the (massive) IIA supergravity does not admit maximally supersymmetric AdS$_n \times_w M^{10-n}$ backgrounds [17]. It is expected that similar theorems will hold for other fractions. A related problem is to identify the geometry of the $M^{10-n}$ spaces in each case.

The results of this paper together with those presented in [12] and [13] provide a complete picture of the fractions of supersymmetry preserved by flux warped AdS$_n$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ backgrounds in both ten and eleven dimensions, as well as some of their global properties which include new Lichnerowicz type theorems. It is expected that the systematic exploration of these backgrounds for each allowed fraction of supersymmetry will have applications in flux compactification, AdS/CFT, string and M-theory.
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A Conventions

A.1 Form and spinor conventions

Our form conventions are as follows. Let $\omega$ be a $k$-form, then

$$\omega = \frac{1}{k!} \omega_{i_1...i_k} dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_k},$$

(A.1)

and

$$d\omega = \frac{1}{k!} \partial_{i_1} \omega_{i_2...i_{k+1}} dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_{k+1}},$$

(A.2)

leading to

$$(d\omega)_{i_1...i_{k+1}} = (k+1) \partial_{[i_1} \omega_{i_2...i_{k+1}]}.$$  

(A.3)

Furthermore, we write

$$\omega^2 = \omega_{i_1...i_k} \omega^{i_1...i_k}, \quad \omega^2_{i_1i_2} = \omega_{i_1i_2j_{k-1}} \omega_{j_1...j_{k-1}}.$$  

(A.4)

Given a volume form $d\text{vol} = \frac{1}{n!} \epsilon_{i_1...i_n} dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_n}$, the Hodge dual of $\omega$ is defined as

$$* \omega \wedge \chi = (\chi, \omega) d\text{vol},$$

(A.5)

where

$$(\chi, \omega) = \frac{1}{k!} \chi_{i_1...i_k} \omega^{i_1...i_k}.$$  

(A.6)

So

$$* \omega_{i_1...i_{n-k}} = \frac{1}{k!} \epsilon_{i_1...i_{n-k}} j_1...j_k \omega_{j_1...j_k}.$$  

(A.7)

It is well-known that for every form $\omega$, one can define a Clifford algebra element $\phi$ given by

$$\phi = \omega_{i_1...i_k} \Gamma^{i_1...i_k},$$

(A.8)

where $\Gamma^i, i = 1, \ldots n$, are the Dirac gamma matrices. In addition we introduce the notation

$$\phi_{i_1} = \omega_{i_1i_2...i_k} \Gamma^{i_2...i_k}, \quad \Gamma_i \phi_{i_1} = \Gamma_i \Gamma^{i_2...i_k+1} \omega_{i_2...i_{k+1}},$$

(A.9)

as it is helpful in many of the expressions we have presented.

The remaining spinor conventions we use are self-explanatory. In particular the inner product $\langle , \rangle$ that appears in the proof of Lichnerowicz type of theorems is that for which all spacelike gamma matrices are Hermitian while the time-like one is anti-hermitian, see also [18] and [19] and references within for further explanation.
A.2 IIA supergravity conventions

Our conventions are close to those of [24] and [25]. The bosonic fields of IIA supergravity are the metric \( g \), a 2-form field strength \( F \), a 3-form field strength \( H \), a 4-form field strength \( G \), the dilaton \( \Phi \) and the massive IIA supergravity has another scalar \( S \). In particular, the KSEs of (massive) IIA supergravity are the vanishing conditions of

\[
\mathcal{D}_M \epsilon = \nabla_M \epsilon + \frac{1}{8} H_M \Gamma_{11} \epsilon + \frac{1}{8} S \Gamma_M \epsilon + \frac{1}{16} F_M \Gamma_{11} \epsilon + \frac{1}{8} \nabla_M \epsilon, \\
\mathcal{A} \epsilon = \delta \Phi \epsilon + \frac{1}{12} H_{11} \epsilon + \frac{3}{2} S \epsilon \epsilon + \frac{1}{8} \nabla_M \epsilon + \frac{1}{8} \nabla_M \epsilon.
\]

(A.10)

Furthermore, the field equations and Bianchi identities of (massive) IIA supergravity are

\[
R_{MN} - \frac{1}{12} G^2_{MN} + \frac{1}{96} g_{MN} G^2 + \frac{1}{4} g_{MN} S^2 - \frac{1}{4} H^2_{MN} - \frac{1}{2} F^2_{MN} \\
+ \frac{1}{8} g_{MN} F^2 + 2 \nabla_M \partial_N \Phi = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla^2 \Phi - 2 (d \Phi)^2 - \frac{3}{8} F^2 - \frac{1}{12} G^2 + \frac{1}{4} H^2 - \frac{5}{4} S^2 = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla^P H_{MNP} - 2 (\partial^P \Phi) H_{MNP} - \frac{1}{2} G_{MNP} P_1 F_{P_2 P_3} = 0,
\]

\[
- F_{MNP} + \frac{1}{48} * G_{MN P_1 ... P_4} = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla^P F_{MP} - (\partial^P \Phi) F_{MP} + \frac{1}{6} G_{MP} P_1 P_2 P_3 H_{P_4 P_5 P_6} = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla^P G_{M_1 M_2 M_3 P} - (\partial^P \Phi) G_{M_1 M_2 M_3 P} - \frac{1}{6} * G_{M_1 M_2 M_3 P} P_1 P_2 P_3 H_{P_4 P_5 P_6} = 0,
\]

(A.11)

and

\[
dS = S d \Phi, \quad dH = 0, \quad dF - d \Phi \wedge F - HS = 0, \\
dG - d \Phi \wedge G - F \wedge H = 0.
\]

(A.12)

Note that the first Bianchi identity can be solved as \( S = e^\Phi m \), where \( m \) is a constant which is related to the cosmological constant of massive IIA supergravity.

B AdS\(_3\) solutions

B.1 AdS\(_n\) backgrounds

Before proceeding with the AdS\(_3\) analysis, it is useful to consider some aspects which are common to all AdS\(_n\) solutions. To prove the formula (1.3) for AdS\(_n\) backgrounds, one defines

\[
\bar{\nabla}_i^{(+, q_1, q_2)} = \nabla_i^{(+) + q_1 A^{-1} \Gamma_{\pm} \bar{\Xi}_{i}^{(+)} + q_2 \Gamma_i A^{(+)},
\]

(B.1)

where \( q_1, q_2 \) are constants which will be specified later. Next introduce the associated Dirac operator

\[
\gamma^{(+, q_1, q_2)} = \Gamma^i \bar{\nabla}_i^{(+, q_1, q_2)},
\]

(B.2)

and write for convenience

\[
\bar{A}_{i}^{(+, q_1, q_2)} = - q_1 A^{-1} \Gamma_{\pm} \bar{\Xi}_{i}^{(+)} + q_2 A^{(+)}.
\]

(B.3)
Furthermore, we assume that
\[
\mathcal{D}^{(+,q_1,q_2)} \chi_+ = \left( \Gamma^i \nabla_i + \Gamma^i \Psi_i^{(+)} + (10 - n) A^{(+,q_1,q_2)} \right) \chi_+ = 0. \tag{B.4}
\]

Next one expands the Laplacian as
\[
\nabla^2 \| \chi_+ \|^2 = 2 \| \nabla \chi_+ \|^2 + 2 \langle \chi_+, \nabla^2 \chi_+ \rangle. \tag{B.5}
\]

The first term can be evaluated to find
\[
2 \langle \chi_+, \nabla^2 \chi_+ \rangle = 2 \nabla^2 \nabla_+ \left( \Gamma^j \nabla_j \chi_+ \right) + \frac{1}{2} R^{(10-n)} \| \chi_+ \|^2 \tag{B.6}
\]
while the second term can be rearranged as
\[
2 \langle \chi_+, \nabla^2 \chi_+ \rangle = 2 \nabla \nabla_+ \left( \Gamma^i \nabla_i \chi_+ \right) + \frac{1}{2} R^{(10-n)} \| \chi_+ \|^2 + 2 \langle \chi_+, \nabla \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_i \chi_+ \tag{B.7}
\]
upon using \( \nabla^2 = \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{4} R^{(10-n)} \) and (B.4). Thus, we obtain
\[
\nabla^2 \| \chi_+ \|^2 = 2 \nabla^2 \nabla_+ \left( \Gamma^j \nabla_j \chi_+ \right) + \frac{1}{2} R^{(10-n)} \| \chi_+ \|^2 + \langle \chi_+, \nabla \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_i \chi_+.
\]

Note that \( \Gamma^i = \Gamma_i \) and \( \Gamma^j_+ = \Gamma_{ij} \) and so \( \Gamma^i_+ = -\Gamma_i \). From here on, the computation depends on \( n \) and it will be explained in each case separately.

### B.2 AdS3: standard IIA solutions with \( S = 0 \)

First, we write the third term of (B.7) in the form \( \alpha^i \nabla_i \| \chi_+ \|^2 + \langle \chi_+, F \nabla \chi_+ \rangle \) for some Clifford algebra element \( F \) which depends on the fields. Expressing this term in terms of the fields, and setting \( n = 3 \) throughout, one finds
\[
\langle \chi_+, \left[ -4 \Psi_i^{(+)} + 2 \Gamma^i \nabla_i \Psi_+ - 14q_1 A^{-1} \Gamma^i \nabla_i A^{(+)} - 14q_2 \Gamma^i A^{(+)} \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle \tag{B.8}
\]

Next we assume that
\[
\mathcal{D}^{(+,q_1,q_2)} \chi_+ = \left( \Gamma^i \nabla_i + \Gamma^i \Psi_i^{(+)} + (10 - n) A^{(+,q_1,q_2)} \right) \chi_+ = 0.
\]
\[-14q_2 \Gamma^i \partial \Phi - \frac{1 + 14q_2}{4} \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{3 + 14q_2}{12} \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} \]
\[-\frac{7q_1 + 14q_2}{2} \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{5 + 7q_1 + 42q_2}{8} \Gamma^i \Gamma\Phi_{11} \]
\[+ \frac{-1 - 7q_1 - 14q_2}{96} \Gamma^i \Phi + \frac{3 + 7q_1 - 14q_2}{4} \Gamma^i \Gamma \Phi \Gamma_{11} \bigg] \nabla_i \chi_+ \right>.

Then it can be rewritten in the form required provided that one chooses \( q_2 = -\frac{1}{7} \) and \( q_1 = \frac{1}{7} \). In particular,

\[
\langle \chi_+, \left[ -4 \Psi^{(+)}ij - 2 \Gamma^j \Psi^{(+)}i - 2 A^{-1} \Gamma^{zi} \Phi^{(+)} + 2 \Gamma^i A^{(+)} \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle \tag{B.9}
\]

\[
= \langle \chi_+, \left[ \frac{c}{\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma_{zi} + 4 A^{-1} \partial_i A - 2 A^{-1} (\Gamma \partial_i A) + 2 \Gamma_i \Phi \right]
\frac{1}{12} \Gamma \Gamma_11 + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_i \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma W_{zi} \Gamma_{11} \right], \tag{B.10}
\]

for

\[
\mathcal{F} = \frac{c}{\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma_z + 2 A^{-1} \partial_i A - 2 \partial_i \Phi - \frac{1}{12} \Gamma \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma W_{zi} \Gamma_{11},
\]

and \( \alpha_i = -3A^{-1} \partial_i A + 2 \partial_i \Phi \).

Combining the \( \mathcal{F} \) term with the fourth term in (B.7), we find

\[
\langle \chi_+, -2 \left( \Psi^{(+)}ij + \frac{1}{7} A^{-1} \Phi^{(+)}i \Gamma^{zi} + \frac{1}{7} A^{(+)}i \Gamma^{zi} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}i \right) \Psi^{(+)}i + \frac{1}{7} A^{-1} \Gamma^{zi} \Phi^{(+)} - \frac{1}{7} \Gamma_i A^{(+)} \right) \rangle \chi_+ \rangle
\]

\[
= \left< \chi_+, -2 \left[ \frac{3c}{7\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma^{zi} + \frac{10}{7} A^{-1} \partial^i A - \frac{13}{14} A^{-1} \Gamma \partial^i A - \frac{6}{7} \partial \Phi \Gamma^i - \frac{1}{28} \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{5}{168} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11}
\right.
\]
\[+ \frac{3}{14} W \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} + \frac{3}{28} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{28} \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{168} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11}
\]
\[\left. - \frac{5}{28} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} \right]
\]
\[
\times \left[ -\frac{c}{14\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma_{zi} + \frac{4}{7} A^{-1} \partial_i A + \frac{1}{14} A^{-1} \Gamma \partial_i A - \frac{1}{7} \Gamma_i \Phi + \frac{5}{56} \Gamma_i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{84} \Gamma \Gamma_i \Gamma_{11}
\right.
\]
\[+ \frac{1}{28} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{56} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{14} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{224} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{42} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11}
\]
\[\left. + \frac{1}{14} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_{11} \right] \chi_+ \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \chi_+, - \frac{3}{7\ell^2} A^{-2} - \frac{17}{7} A^{-2} (dA)^2 + \frac{26}{7} A^{-1} \Phi \Phi - \frac{12}{7} (dA)^2 + \frac{c}{42\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma \Gamma_{11}
\]
\[- \frac{1}{42} A^{-1} \partial_i A \Gamma \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{21} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{504} \Gamma \Gamma - \frac{1}{24} \Gamma \Gamma_{11}
\]
\[- \frac{1}{84} \Gamma W \Gamma_{11} + \frac{3}{28} W^2 - \frac{c}{8\ell^2} A^{-1} \Phi \Gamma \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{28} A^{-1} \partial_i A \Gamma \Gamma_{11}
\]
\[- \frac{1}{14} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{112} \Gamma \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{8} F \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{8} F \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{8} F \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{112} A^{-1} \Gamma \Gamma_{11}
\]
\[+ \frac{1}{112} A^{-1} \partial_i A \Gamma \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{56} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{42} \Gamma \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{48} \Gamma \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{48} \Gamma \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{448} \Gamma \Gamma_{11}
\]
\[+ \frac{1}{168} \cdot \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{168} \cdot \Gamma_{11} - \frac{5}{14} A^{-1} X_i \partial^i A \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{14} X_i \partial^i \Phi \Gamma_{11}
\]
Using the field equations and Bianchi identities, one can express the last term of (B.7) as

\[
\langle \chi_+, \nabla_i \left[ -2\Gamma^j\Psi_j^{(+)\prime} - 2A^{-1}\Gamma^{zi}\bar{\Psi}^{(+)\prime} + 2\Gamma^iA^{(+)\prime} \right] \chi_+ \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \chi_+, \left[ -2\nabla^2 \ln A + 2\nabla^2 \Phi - \frac{1}{48} d\bar{Z}\Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{12} d\bar{F}\Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{240} d\bar{X} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i X^i \Gamma_{11} \right] \chi_+ \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \chi_+, \left[ \frac{4}{\ell^2} A^{-2} + 6A^{-2}(dA)^2 - 10A^{-1}\partial^iA\partial_i\Phi + 4(d\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{6} \bar{Z}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \partial_i\Phi \bar{F}^i \Gamma_{11}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{24} \bar{Z} \bar{F} - \frac{1}{8}\bar{Z}^2 \bar{F}_i + \frac{1}{12} \partial^2 + \frac{1}{48} \partial_i\Phi \Gamma^i \nabla^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{288} \bar{Z} \nabla^2 \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{48} \bar{Z} \nabla^2 \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{2} X^i \partial_i \Phi \Gamma_{11} - X^2 \right] \chi_+ \rangle,
\]

while using the field equations again the term in (B.7) containing the Ricci scalar can be written as

\[
\frac{1}{2} R^{(7)} ||\chi_+||^2 = \langle \chi_+, \left[ -\frac{3}{\ell^2} A^{-2} - 3A^{-2}(dA)^2 + 6A^{-1}\partial^i A\partial_i\Phi - 2(d\Phi)^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{5}{24} \bar{Z}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \bar{W}^2 - \frac{3}{8} \bar{F}^2 + \frac{1}{96} Y^2 + \frac{5}{4} X^2 \right] \chi_+ \rangle.
\]

Using (B.11), (B.12), and (B.13), the right-hand-side of (B.7), apart from the first term and the \(\alpha^i\partial_i \ ||\chi_+||^2 \) term, can be evaluated as

\[
\langle \chi_+, \left[ \frac{4}{\ell^2} A^{-2} + \frac{4}{7} A^{-2}(dA)^2 - \frac{2}{7} A^{-1}\partial^i A\partial_i\Phi + \frac{2}{7} (d\Phi)^2 + \frac{c}{42\ell} A^{-1} \bar{Z} \Gamma_{11}
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{42} A^{-1}\partial_i A\Gamma \bar{Z} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{21} \partial^i \Phi \bar{F} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{504} \bar{Z} \bar{Z} - \frac{1}{28} A^{-1} W \Gamma_{11}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{84} \bar{W} \nabla_2 \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{12} \partial^2 \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{288} A^{-1} \bar{F} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{12} A^{-1}\partial_i A\Gamma \bar{F}^i \Gamma_{11}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{336} \partial_i A \Gamma \bar{F} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{28} A^{-1}\partial_i A \Gamma \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{28} \partial_i \Phi \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{336} \bar{Z} \bar{F} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{28} \bar{F} \bar{F} + \frac{c}{112\ell} A^{-1} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{12} A^{-1}\partial_i A \Gamma \bar{Y}^i
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{336} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{12} \bar{Z} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{48} \bar{F} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{12} A^{-1} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{168} \bar{Y} \bar{Y}
\]

\[
+ \frac{5c}{14\ell} A^{-1} \bar{X} + \frac{5}{14} A^{-1} \bar{X} \partial_i A \Gamma z + \frac{3}{14} \bar{X} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma z
\]

\[
+ \frac{3}{56} \bar{X} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{28} \bar{X} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{168} \bar{X} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{14} X^2 \right] \chi_+ \rangle.
\]

Next we compare the above expression to

\[
\|\bar{B}^{(+)\prime} \chi_+\|^2 = \langle \chi_+, \left[ \frac{1}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{4} (dA)^2 - \frac{c}{4\ell} A W \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{6} A^2 \bar{W}^2 + \frac{c}{10\ell} A \bar{F} \Gamma_{11}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{16} A \partial_i A \Gamma \bar{F} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{256} A^2 \bar{F} \bar{F} + \frac{c}{192\ell} A \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{192} A \partial_i A \Gamma \bar{Y}^i
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{336} \partial_i A \Gamma \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{28} A^{-1} \partial_i A \Gamma \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{28} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{336} \bar{Z} \bar{F} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{28} \bar{F} \bar{F} + \frac{c}{112\ell} A^{-1} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{12} A^{-1}\partial_i A \Gamma \bar{Y}^i
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{336} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{12} \bar{Z} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{48} \bar{F} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{12} A^{-1} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{168} \bar{Y} \bar{Y}
\]

\[
+ \frac{5c}{14\ell} A^{-1} \bar{X} + \frac{5}{14} A^{-1} \bar{X} \partial_i A \Gamma z + \frac{3}{14} \bar{X} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma z
\]

\[
+ \frac{3}{56} \bar{X} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{28} \bar{X} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{168} \bar{X} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{14} X^2 \right] \chi_+ \rangle.
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{16 \cdot 96} A^2 F Y \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{192^2} A^2 Y Y + \frac{c}{8 \ell} A X - \frac{1}{8} A X^i \partial_i A \Gamma_z \\
+ \frac{1}{64} A^2 X_1 \Gamma F \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{192} A^2 X_1 Y \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{64} A^2 X^2 \right] \chi_+, \tag{B.15}
\]

\[
\langle \Gamma_2 \mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_+, A^{(+)} \chi_+ \rangle = \left\langle \chi_+ , \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \partial^i A \partial_i \Phi + \frac{c}{24 \ell} \dot{Z} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{24} \partial_i A \Gamma Z^i \Gamma_{11} + \frac{c}{4 \ell} W \Gamma_{11} \\
\quad - \frac{1}{48} A W \dot{Z} \Gamma_z - \frac{1}{8} A W^2 - \frac{3c}{16 \ell} F \dot{\Gamma}_{11} - \frac{3}{16} \partial_i A \Gamma F^i \Gamma_{11} \\
\quad - \frac{1}{16} A \partial_i \Phi \Gamma F^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{A}{192} \dot{Z} \dot{F} + \frac{3}{128} A \ddot{F} - \frac{c}{192 \ell} \dot{Y} \Gamma_z \\
\quad - \frac{1}{192} \partial_i A \Gamma \dot{Y}^i - \frac{1}{24} \partial_i A \Phi \dot{Y}^i - \frac{1}{192} A \partial_i \Phi \Gamma \dot{Y}^i \\
\quad + \frac{1}{384} A \ddot{F} \dot{Y} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{96 \cdot 192} A \ddot{Y} \dot{Y} + \frac{c}{8 \ell} \dot{X} - \frac{1}{8} X^i \partial_i A \Gamma_z \\
\quad + \frac{1}{8} A X^i \partial_i \Phi \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{32} A X_1 \dot{Z} \Gamma_1 \Gamma_{11} \\
\quad - \frac{1}{32} A X_1 \Gamma F \dot{\Gamma}_1 \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{32} A X^2 \right] \chi_+ \right\rangle, \tag{B.16}
\]

and

\[
\| A^{(+)} \chi_+ \|^2 = \langle \chi_+ , \left[ (d \Phi)^2 + \frac{1}{6} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma Z^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{144} Z \dot{Z} + \frac{1}{12} W \dot{Z} \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{4} W^2 \\
\quad + \frac{3}{4} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma F^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{16} Z \dot{F} + \frac{9}{64} F \ddot{F} + \frac{1}{48} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma \dot{Y}^i \\
\quad + \frac{1}{576} Z \dot{Y} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{128} \ddot{F} \dot{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{96} \ddot{Y} \dot{Y} + \frac{1}{2} X^i \partial_i \Phi \Gamma_z \\
\quad + \frac{1}{8} X_i \dot{Z} \Gamma_1 \Gamma_{11} - \frac{3}{16} X_i \Gamma F \dot{\Gamma}_1 \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{48} X_i \dot{Y} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{16} X^2 \right] \chi_+ \right\rangle, \tag{B.17}
\]

to find that

\[
\nabla^2 \| \chi_+ \|^2 + (3 A^{-1} \partial_i A - 2 \partial_i \Phi) \nabla^i \| \chi_+ \|^2 \tag{B.18}
\]
\[
= \| \nabla^{(+)} \chi_+ \|^2 + \frac{16 \ell}{7} A^{-2} \| \mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_+ \|^2 + \frac{4}{7} A^{-1} \langle \Gamma_2 \mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_+ , A^{(+)} \chi_+ \rangle + \frac{2}{7} \| A^{(+)} \chi_+ \|^2,
\]

where \( \hat{\nabla}^{(+), , i} = \hat{\nabla}^{(+)} \). This proves (1.3), or equivalently (3.26), for the the standard II A AdS3 backgrounds.

### B.3 AdS3: massive IIA solutions with \( W = 0 \)

Next let us establish (3.26) for the massive IIA AdS3 backgrounds. Continuing the computation in the same way as in the previous case, one finds that

\[
\langle \chi_+ , \left[ -4 \Phi^{(+)} \dot{\psi}_i - 2 \Gamma^i \psi^{(+)}_i - 14 q_1 A^{-1} \Gamma^{+i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)} - 14 q_2 \Gamma^i A^{(+)} \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle \\
= \langle \chi_+ , \left[ \frac{7 q_1 c}{2 \ell} A^{-1} \Gamma^{+i} - [3 + 7 q_1] A^{-1} \partial^i A - [1 + 7 q_1] A^{-1} (\Gamma \partial A)^i \right]
\]
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\[ \begin{align*}
&- 14 q_2 \omega \Phi - 1 + 14 q_2 Z \gamma T + \frac{3 + 14 q_2}{4} \gamma Z \gamma T
\end{align*} \]

which can be written in the form \( \alpha \nabla \nabla \| \chi_+ \|^2 + \langle \chi_+ , \mathcal{F} \nabla \nabla \chi_+ \rangle \) provided that \( q_2 = -\frac{1}{4} \) and \( q_1 = \frac{1}{4} \). In particular, one has

\[ \begin{align*}
\langle \chi_+ , \left[ -4 \Psi^{(+)} \phi - 2 \Gamma^{+} \Psi^{(+)} - 2 A^{-1} \Gamma \Gamma \right] \nabla \nabla \chi_+ \rangle = \langle \chi_+ , \left[ \frac{c}{\ell} A^{-1} \gamma T - 4 A^{-1} \phi A - 2 A^{-1} (\Gamma \Phi A) + 2 \Gamma \phi \Phi \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{1}{12} (\Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma z_1 \Gamma) \right] \nabla \nabla \chi_+ \rangle,
\end{align*} \]

with

\[ \mathcal{F} = \frac{c}{\ell} A^{-1} \gamma T + 2 A^{-1} \phi A - 2 \phi \Phi - \frac{1}{12} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma, \]

and \( \alpha = -3 A^{-1} \phi A + 2 \phi \Phi \).

Combining the \( \mathcal{F} \) term with the fourth term in (B.7), we find

\[ \begin{align*}
\langle \chi_+ , -2 \left[ \frac{3 c}{7 \ell} A^{-1} \gamma T + \frac{10}{7} A^{-1} \phi A - \frac{13}{14} A^{-1} \Gamma \gamma T A - 6 \frac{1}{7} \phi \Gamma T \right] \left( \frac{1}{7} A^{-1} \Gamma T \right) \nabla \nabla \chi_+ \rangle
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&\times \left[ -\frac{c}{14 \ell} A^{-1} \gamma T + \frac{4}{7} A^{-1} \phi A + \frac{1}{14} A^{-1} \Gamma \phi A - 1 \frac{1}{7} \Gamma \phi \Phi \Phi - \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{1}{8} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&+ \frac{1}{28} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&\times \left[ -\frac{c}{14 \ell} A^{-1} \gamma T + \frac{4}{7} A^{-1} \phi A + \frac{1}{14} A^{-1} \Gamma \phi A - 1 \frac{1}{7} \Gamma \phi \Phi \Phi - \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{1}{8} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&+ \frac{1}{28} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&\times \left[ -\frac{c}{14 \ell} A^{-1} \gamma T + \frac{4}{7} A^{-1} \phi A + \frac{1}{14} A^{-1} \Gamma \phi A - 1 \frac{1}{7} \Gamma \phi \Phi \Phi - \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{1}{8} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&+ \frac{1}{28} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&\times \left[ -\frac{c}{14 \ell} A^{-1} \gamma T + \frac{4}{7} A^{-1} \phi A + \frac{1}{14} A^{-1} \Gamma \phi A - 1 \frac{1}{7} \Gamma \phi \Phi \Phi - \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{1}{8} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&+ \frac{1}{28} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&\times \left[ -\frac{c}{14 \ell} A^{-1} \gamma T + \frac{4}{7} A^{-1} \phi A + \frac{1}{14} A^{-1} \Gamma \phi A - 1 \frac{1}{7} \Gamma \phi \Phi \Phi - \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{1}{8} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&+ \frac{1}{28} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&\times \left[ -\frac{c}{14 \ell} A^{-1} \gamma T + \frac{4}{7} A^{-1} \phi A + \frac{1}{14} A^{-1} \Gamma \phi A - 1 \frac{1}{7} \Gamma \phi \Phi \Phi - \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{1}{8} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&+ \frac{1}{28} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&\times \left[ -\frac{c}{14 \ell} A^{-1} \gamma T + \frac{4}{7} A^{-1} \phi A + \frac{1}{14} A^{-1} \Gamma \phi A - 1 \frac{1}{7} \Gamma \phi \Phi \Phi - \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{1}{8} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&+ \frac{1}{28} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&\times \left[ -\frac{c}{14 \ell} A^{-1} \gamma T + \frac{4}{7} A^{-1} \phi A + \frac{1}{14} A^{-1} \Gamma \phi A - 1 \frac{1}{7} \Gamma \phi \Phi \Phi - \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{1}{8} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&+ \frac{1}{28} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&\times \left[ -\frac{c}{14 \ell} A^{-1} \gamma T + \frac{4}{7} A^{-1} \phi A + \frac{1}{14} A^{-1} \Gamma \phi A - 1 \frac{1}{7} \Gamma \phi \Phi \Phi - \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{1}{8} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&+ \frac{1}{28} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma + \frac{5}{56} \Gamma Z_1 \Gamma
\end{align*} \]
\[ + \frac{5c}{14\ell} A^{-1} \chi - \frac{5}{14} A^{-1} X_i \partial^i A \Gamma_z + \frac{5}{7} X_i \partial^i \phi \Gamma_z + \frac{3}{56} X_i \dot{Z}^i \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} \\
- \frac{1}{28} X_i \Gamma \dot{F}^i \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{168} X_i \dot{Y}^i \Gamma_z - \left( \frac{5}{28} X^2 \right) \chi_+ \]  \quad (B.20)

Furthermore, using the field equations and Bianchi identities, the last term in (B.7) can be expressed as

\[ \left\langle \chi_+, \nabla_i \left[ -2 T_i^j \Gamma_j^{(+)} - 2 A^{-1} \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11}^{(+)} + 2 \Gamma_i^i \right] \chi_+ \right\rangle \\
= \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ -2 \nabla^2 \ln A + 2 \nabla^2 \Phi + \frac{1}{48} d \bar{Z} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{2} \partial \Phi + \frac{1}{12} d \bar{F} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{240} d \Phi - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i X^i \Gamma_z \right] \chi_+ \right\rangle \\
= \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ \frac{4}{7} A^{-2} - 6 A^{-2} (dA)^2 - 10 A^{-1} \partial^i A \partial_i \Phi + \frac{4}{6} (d \Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{6} Z^2 + \frac{1}{2} S \partial \Phi \\
+ \frac{1}{12} S \bar{Z} \Gamma_{11} + 2 S^2 + \frac{1}{4} \partial_\Phi \Gamma \bar{F} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{24} Z \bar{F} - \frac{1}{8} \bar{Z}^i \bar{F}_i \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \bar{F}^2 + \frac{1}{48} \partial_\Phi \Gamma \bar{Y}^i + \frac{1}{288} \bar{Z} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} \\
- \frac{1}{48} \bar{Z} \Gamma \bar{Y}^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{2} X^i \partial_i \Phi \Gamma_z - X^2 \right] \chi_+ \right\rangle , \quad (B.21) \]

while the term involving the Ricci scalar now reads as

\[ \frac{1}{2} R^{(7)} ||\chi_+||^2 = \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ -\frac{3}{7} A^{-2} - 3 A^{-2} (dA)^2 + 6 A^{-1} \partial^i A \partial_i \Phi - 2 (d \Phi)^2 \\
+ \frac{5}{24} Z^2 - \frac{7}{8} S^2 - \frac{3}{8} F^2 + \frac{1}{96} Y^2 + \frac{5}{4} X^2 \right] \chi_+ \right\rangle . \quad (B.22) \]

Using (B.20), (B.21), and (B.22), one finds that the right-hand-side of (B.7), apart from the first term and the \( a^i \partial_i ||\chi_+||^2 \) term, can be written as

\[ \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ \frac{4}{7} A^{-2} + \frac{4}{7} A^{-2} (dA)^2 - \frac{2}{7} A^{-1} \partial^i A \partial_i \Phi + \frac{2}{7} (d \Phi)^2 + \frac{c}{42 \ell} A^{-1} \bar{Z} \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} \\
- \frac{1}{42} A^{-1} \partial_i A \Gamma \dot{Z}^i \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{21} \partial_\Phi \Gamma \bar{Z} \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{504} \bar{Z} \bar{Z} - \frac{c}{14 \ell} A^{-1} \bar{S} \Gamma_z \\
- \frac{1}{14} A^{-1} S \partial \Phi + \frac{9}{14} S \partial \Phi + \frac{3}{56} S \bar{Z} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{11}{28} S^2 + \frac{3}{28} \bar{A}^{-1} \bar{F} \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} \\
+ \frac{1}{28} A^{-1} \partial_i A \Gamma \bar{F} \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{28} \partial_\Phi \Gamma \bar{F} \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{336} \bar{Z} \bar{F} - \frac{1}{28} \bar{F} \bar{F} \\
+ \frac{c}{112 \ell} A^{-1} \bar{Y} \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{112} A^{-1} \partial_i A \bar{Y}^i \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{336} \partial \Phi \Gamma \bar{Y}^i + \frac{1}{42 \cdot 96} \bar{Z} \bar{Y} \Gamma_z \\
+ \frac{1}{168} S \bar{Y} - \frac{1}{448} \bar{F} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{168} \bar{Y} \Gamma_z + \frac{5}{14} \bar{A}^{-1} X - \frac{1}{14} A^{-1} X \partial_i A \Gamma_z \\
+ \frac{3}{14} X_i \partial_\Phi \Gamma_z + \frac{3}{56} X_i \dot{Z}^i \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{28} X_i \Gamma \bar{F} \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{168} X_i \bar{Y}^i \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{14} X^2 \right] \chi_+ \right\rangle . \quad (B.23) \]

Comparing this with

\[ \| B^{(+)} \chi_+ \|^2 = \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ \frac{1}{4 \ell^2} + \frac{1}{4} (dA)^2 + \frac{c}{8 \ell} A \bar{S} \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{8} A \partial \Phi + \frac{1}{64} A^2 S^2 + \frac{c}{16 \ell} A \bar{F} \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} \right] \chi_+ \right\rangle . \]
\[ \frac{1}{16} A \partial_i A \Gamma F^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{256} A^2 F F + \frac{c}{192 \ell} A \bar{Y} \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{192} A \partial_i A \Gamma Y^i \]

\[ + \frac{1}{768} A^2 S Y - \frac{1}{16 \cdot 96} A^2 F Y \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{192^2} A^3 Y Y + \frac{c}{8 \ell} A \bar{X} - \frac{1}{8} A \bar{X}^i \partial_i A \Gamma_z \]

\[ + \frac{1}{64} A^2 \chi_i \Gamma F^i \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{192} A^2 \chi_i Y^i \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{64} A^2 X^2 \right] \chi_+ \), \quad (B.24) \]

\[ \left\langle \Gamma_2 \mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_+, \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\rangle = \left( \chi_+, \left[ -\frac{i}{2} \partial^i A \partial_i \Phi + \frac{c}{24 \ell} Z \Gamma_2 \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{24} \partial_i A \Gamma Z^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{5 c}{8 \ell} \bar{S} \Gamma_z \right. \]

\[ \left. - \frac{5}{8} S \partial A - \frac{1}{8} A S \Phi - \frac{1}{96} A S Z \Gamma_{11} - \frac{5}{32} A S^2 - \frac{3}{16 \ell} F \Gamma_z \Gamma_{11} \right) \]

\[ - \frac{3}{16} \partial_i A \Gamma F^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{16} A \partial_i \Phi \Gamma F^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{A}{96} Z \bar{F} + \frac{3}{128} A \Gamma F \]

\[ - \frac{c}{192 \ell} Y \Gamma_z - \frac{1}{192} \partial_i A \Gamma Y^i - \frac{1}{192} A \partial_i \Phi \Gamma Y^i - \frac{1}{24 \cdot 96} A \Gamma Y \Gamma_{11} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{128} A S Y + \frac{3}{384} A \Gamma F Y \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{96 \cdot 192} A \Gamma Y + \frac{c}{8 \ell} X \]

\[ - \frac{1}{8} X^i \partial_i A \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{8} A X^i \partial_i \Phi \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{32} A X_i \Gamma Z^i \Gamma \Gamma_{11} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{32} A X_i \Gamma F^i \Gamma \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{32} A X^2 \right] \chi_+ \), \quad (B.25) \]

and

\[ \left\| \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\|^2 = \left( \chi_+, \left[ (d \Phi)^2 + \frac{1}{6} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma Z^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{144} \bar{Z} \bar{Z} + \frac{5}{2} \bar{S} \Phi + \frac{5}{24} S \Gamma_1 \Gamma_{11} + \frac{25}{16} S^2 \right. \]

\[ + \frac{3}{4} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma F^i \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{16} \bar{Z} \bar{Z} - \frac{9}{64} \bar{F} \bar{F} + \frac{1}{48} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma Y^i \]

\[ + \frac{1}{48} \bar{Z} \bar{Y} \Gamma_1 \Gamma_{11} + \frac{5}{576} \bar{S} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{128} \bar{F} \bar{Y} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{96^2} \bar{Y} \bar{Y} + \frac{1}{2} X^i \partial_i \Phi \Gamma_z \]

\[ + \frac{1}{8} X_i \Gamma Z^i \Gamma \Gamma_{11} - \frac{3}{16} X \Gamma F^i \Gamma \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{48} X \Gamma Y^i \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{16} X^2 \right] \chi_+ \), \quad (B.26) \]

we find that

\[ \nabla^2 \left\| \chi_+ \right\|^2 + \left( 3 A^{-1} \partial_i A - 2 \partial_i \Phi \right) \nabla^i \left\| \chi_+ \right\|^2 \]

\[ = \left\| \nabla^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\|^2 + \frac{16}{7} A^{-2} \left\| \mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\|^2 + \frac{4}{7} A^{-1} \left\langle \Gamma_2 \mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_+, \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\rangle + \frac{2}{7} \left\| \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\|^2 , \]

where again \( \nabla^{(+)} = \nabla^{(\perp)} \). This establishes (3.26) for the massive IIA AdS\(_3\) backgrounds.

## C AdS\(_4\)

To prove (4.24), we expand the third term of (B.7) in the fields to find

\[ \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ -4 \Psi^{(+)} \ast - 2 \Gamma^i \Psi_j^{(+)} - 12 q_1 A^{-1} \Gamma^z \mathbb{B}^{(+)} - 12 q_2 \Gamma^a \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \right\rangle \]

(C.1)
\[ \langle \chi_+ , \left[ \frac{6q_1 c}{\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma^z \xi - [3 + 6q_1] A^{-1} \partial^i A - [1 + 6q_1] A^{-1} (\Gamma \partial A)^i - 12q_2 \Gamma^i \phi \Phi \\
- \frac{8 + 6q_1 + 6q_2}{4} S T^i - \frac{1 + 12q_2}{4} \Gamma^i_1 \Gamma_1 - \frac{1 + 4q_2}{4} \Gamma^i \Gamma_11 \\
- \frac{2 + 3q_1 + 18q_2}{16} \Gamma^i F_11 + \frac{q_1 + 2q_2}{2} \Gamma^i \chi + \frac{2 - 3q_1 + 6q_2}{2} \chi \Gamma^i \xi \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle. \]

This can be written in the form \( \alpha^i \nabla_i \| \chi_+ \|^2 + \langle \chi_+, \mathcal{F}^i \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle \) provided that \( q_2 = -\frac{1}{6} \) and \( q_1 = \frac{1}{3} \). In particular,

\[ \langle \chi_+ , \left[ -4 \Psi^{(+)*}_i - 2 \Gamma^i \Gamma^j \Psi_j^{(+)} - 4 A^{-1} \Gamma^i \xi \xi^{(+)} + 2 \Gamma^i A^{(+)} \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle \]  
\[ = \langle \chi_+ , \left[ \frac{2c}{\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma^i_\xi - 5 A^{-1} \partial_i A - 3 A^{-1} \Gamma \partial \xi A + 2 \Gamma_i \Phi \Phi \\
- \frac{1}{12} (\Gamma \Phi)_i \Gamma_11 + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_i \Gamma_11 \right] \nabla^i \chi_+ \rangle, \]

and so

\[ \mathcal{F} = \frac{2c}{\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma^z + 3 A^{-1} \partial A - 2 \Phi \Phi - \frac{1}{12} \Gamma \Gamma_11, \]

and \( \alpha_i = -4 A^{-1} \partial_i A + 2 \partial_i \Phi. \)

Combining the \( \mathcal{F} \) term with the fourth term in (B.7), we find that

\[ \langle \chi_+ , -2 \left( \Psi^{(+)*}_i + \frac{1}{3} A^{-1} \xi^{(+)} \Gamma^i \xi + \frac{1}{6} A^{(+)} \Gamma^i \xi + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \Gamma^i \right) \left( \Psi^{(+)}_i + \frac{1}{3} A^{-1} \Gamma^i \xi \xi^{(+)} - \frac{1}{6} \Gamma_i A^{(+)} \right) \rangle \]
\[ = \langle \chi_+ , -2 \left[ \frac{5c}{6\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma^i \xi + \frac{11}{6} A^{-1} \partial^i A - \frac{4}{3} A^{-1} \Gamma \partial^i A - \frac{5}{6} \Phi \Phi \Gamma^i + \frac{7}{24} S T^i - \frac{1}{24} \Gamma^i \Gamma_11 \\
- \frac{1}{36} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_11 + \frac{5}{48} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_11 + \frac{1}{24} \Gamma^i \Gamma_11 + \frac{1}{192} \Gamma \Gamma^i_11 + \frac{1}{48} \Gamma \Gamma^i \Gamma_11 \\
- \frac{1}{72} \Gamma \Gamma^i_11 + \frac{1}{48} \Gamma \Gamma^i_11 - \frac{1}{54} \Gamma \Gamma^i_11 + \frac{1}{192} \Gamma \Gamma^i_11 - \frac{1}{48} \Gamma \Gamma^i_11 - \frac{1}{192} \Gamma \Gamma^i_11 \right] \rangle \]
\[ = \langle \chi_+ , - \left[ \frac{5}{3\ell^2} A^{-2} - \frac{14}{3} A^{-2} (dA)^2 + \frac{16}{3} A^{-1} \partial_i A \partial^i \phi - \frac{5}{3} (d \phi)^2 - \frac{c}{6 \ell} A^{-1} \Gamma \xi \right] \\
- \frac{1}{6} A^{-1} S \phi \Phi + \frac{7}{48} S^2 + \frac{c}{18} A^{-1} \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 - \frac{1}{18} \Gamma_A \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 \\
+ \frac{1}{18} \partial_i \Phi \Phi \Gamma^i \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 - \frac{1}{36} \partial_i \Phi S \Phi \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 - \frac{1}{432} \partial_i \Phi \Phi \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 - \frac{1}{24} \partial_i \Phi \Phi \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 \\
+ \frac{1}{12} A^{-1} \partial_i A \partial^i \Phi \Phi \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 - \frac{1}{12} \partial_i \Phi \Phi \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 - \frac{1}{12} \partial_i \Phi \Phi \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 + \frac{1}{192} \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 \\
+ \frac{c}{48 \ell} A^{-1} \xi \xi + \frac{1}{48} A^{-1} \partial_i A \xi \xi - \frac{1}{12} \partial_i \Phi \Phi \Gamma \xi \xi + \frac{1}{48} \partial_i \Phi \Phi \Gamma \xi \xi - \frac{1}{192} \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 \\
+ \frac{c}{96 \ell} \xi \xi - \frac{1}{96} \xi \xi \Gamma_11 + \frac{5}{6 \ell} A^{-1} \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 - \frac{5}{48} \Gamma \xi \xi \Gamma_11 \right] \rangle. \]
Using the field equations and Bianchi identities, the last term of (B.7) can be rewritten as

\[
\left\langle \chi_+, \nabla_i \left[ -2 \Gamma^i j \Psi^{(+)}_j - 4 A^{-1} \Gamma^i \Psi^{(+)} + 2 \Gamma^i A^{(+)} \right] \chi_+ \right\rangle
\]
\[
= \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ -3 \nabla^2 \ln A + 2 \nabla^2 \Phi - \frac{1}{48} dH \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{2} \partial S + \frac{1}{12} dF \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{240} d\chi \right] \chi_+ \right\rangle
\]
\[
= \left\langle \chi_+, \frac{9}{\ell^2} A^{-2} + 12 A^{-2} (dA)^2 - 14 A^{-1} \partial_i A \partial^i \Phi + 4 (d\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{6} H^2 + \frac{1}{2} S \partial \Phi + \frac{1}{12} S H \Gamma_{11} + \frac{7}{4} S \partial^2 + \frac{1}{4} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma_{11} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{24} H \partial F - \frac{1}{8} H \partial F \right. \]
\[
+ \frac{1}{12} S H \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{12} S H \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{432} H \partial S + \frac{1}{12} A^{-1} \partial_i A \Gamma_{11} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{18} A^{-1} \partial_i A \Gamma_{11} \Gamma_{11}
\]
\[
\left. \left. + \frac{1}{12} A^{-1} \partial_i A \Gamma \xi \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{12} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{12} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{72} \partial F - \frac{7}{192} \partial F \right) \right) \chi_+ \right\rangle. \quad (C.5)
\]

while the term in (B.7) involving the Ricci scalar is

\[
\frac{1}{2} R^{(6)} \| \chi_+ \|^2 = \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ -\frac{6}{\ell^2} A^{-2} - 6 A^{-2} (dA)^2 + \frac{1}{48} Y^2 + \frac{3}{2} X^2 - \frac{3}{2} S^2 + \frac{5}{24} H^2 - \frac{1}{4} F^2 + 8 A^{-1} \partial_i A \partial^i \Phi - 2 (d\Phi)^2 \right] \chi_+ \right\rangle. \quad (C.6)
\]

Using (C.4), (C.5), and (C.6), the right-hand-side of (B.7), apart from the first term and the \( \alpha^i \partial_i \| \chi_+ \|^2 \) term, can be expressed as

\[
\left\langle \chi_+, \left[ \frac{4}{3 \ell^2} A^{-2} + \frac{4}{3} A^{-2} (dA)^2 - \frac{2}{3} A^{-1} \partial_i A \partial^i \Phi + \frac{1}{3} (d\Phi)^2 - \frac{c}{6 \ell} A^{-1} \Gamma_{11} \right.
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{6} A^{-1} \partial AS + \frac{2}{3} S \partial \Phi + \frac{19}{48} S^2 + \frac{c}{18 \ell} A^{-1} \partial_i A \Gamma_{11} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{12} A^{-1} \partial_i A \Gamma \xi \Gamma_{11}
\]
\[
\left. + \frac{1}{18} \partial_i \Phi \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{432} H \partial S + \frac{1}{12} A^{-1} \partial_i A \Gamma_{11} \right) \right) \chi_+ \right\rangle. \quad (C.7)
\]

Comparing this to

\[
\left\| B^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\|^2 = \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ \frac{1}{4 \ell^2} + \frac{1}{4} (dA)^2 + \frac{c}{8 \ell} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{8} A \partial AS + \frac{1}{64} A^2 S^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{c}{16 \ell} A \partial_2 \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{16} A \partial_1 A (\Gamma \xi)^{i} - \frac{1}{256} A^2 \xi \right) \right) \chi_+ \right\rangle. \quad (C.8)
\]
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\[
\langle \Gamma_z \mathcal{B}^{(+)} \chi_+, \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_+ \rangle = \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \partial^i A \partial_i \Phi + \frac{c}{24 \ell} \partial \Gamma \Gamma_2 \Gamma_{11} - \frac{5c}{8 \ell} \Gamma \Gamma_7 - \frac{5}{8} \partial \mathcal{S} - \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{S} \partial \Phi \right] \vphantom{\mathcal{B}^{(+)} \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_+} \right\rangle,
\]
\[
\left( C.9 \right)
\]
\[
\langle \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_+ \rangle^2 = \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ \partial^i \Phi + \frac{1}{6} \partial^i \Phi (\Gamma \mathcal{H})^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{144} \partial \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} + \frac{5}{2} \partial \mathcal{S} + \frac{5}{24} \partial \mathcal{H} \Gamma_1 \right] \vphantom{\mathcal{B}^{(+)} \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_+} \right\rangle,
\]
\[
\left( C.10 \right)
\]
we find that
\[
\nabla^2 \| \chi_+ \|^2 + \left( 4 A^{-1} \partial_i A - 2 \partial_i \partial_i \Phi \right) \nabla^i \| \chi_+ \|^2
\]
\[
\left( C.11 \right)
\]
where \( \nabla^i = \nabla^i (\Gamma_z \mathcal{B}^{(+)} \chi_+, \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_+) \). This concludes the computation for this case.

**D AdS\(_n\) with \( n > 4 \)**

Here we prove (1.3) for the rest of the backgrounds, \( n > 4 \). As in the previous cases expressing the third term in (B.7) in terms of the fields, one finds
\[
\left\langle \chi_+, \left[ -4 \Psi^{(+)} \mathcal{H} + 2 \Gamma^i \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \right] + 2(10 - n) q_1 A^{-1} \Gamma^i \mathcal{B}^{(+)} - 2(10 - n) q_2 \Gamma^i \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \right\rangle
\]
\[
\left\langle \mathcal{B}^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\rangle^2 = \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ \frac{(10 - n) q_1 c}{\ell} A^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{(+)} - \left( 3 + (10 - n) q_1 \right) A^{-1} \partial \Phi - \left[ 1 + (10 - n) q_1 \right] A^{-1} \Gamma \mathcal{H} \right] \vphantom{\mathcal{B}^{(+)} \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_+} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{3} \left\langle \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\rangle^2,
\]
\[
\left( D.1 \right)
\]
Writing this in the form \(\alpha'\nabla_i \|\chi_+\|^2 + \langle \chi_+ J^i \partial_i \chi_+ \rangle\) fixes \(q_2 = -\frac{1}{10-n}\) and \(q_1 = \frac{n-2}{10-n}\) and one obtains

\[
\langle \chi_+ \left[ -4 \Psi_i^i \partial_i \Psi_i^i - 2 \Gamma_i^i \Psi_i^i + 2(n-2)A^{-1} \Gamma_i^i \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle = \left( n - 2 \right) \left[ \frac{\ell}{n-2} A^{-1} \Gamma_i^i (n+1) - (n-1) A^{-1} (\Gamma_i \delta A) + 2 \Gamma_i \delta \Phi + \frac{1}{12} \left( \Gamma_i \partial_i \right) \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle. \tag{D.2}
\]

Thus

\[
\mathcal{F} = \frac{(n-2)c_2}{2(10-n)} A^{-1} \Gamma_i^i + \left( n - 2 \right) \left[ \frac{\ell}{n-2} A^{-1} \Gamma_i^i \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle.
\tag{D.3}
\]

and \(\alpha_i = -n A^{-1} \partial_i A + 2 \partial_i \Phi\).

Combining the \(\mathcal{F}\) term with the fourth term in (B.7), we find

\[
\langle \chi_+ \left[ -4 \Psi_i^i \partial_i \Psi_i^i - 2 \Gamma_i^i \Psi_i^i + 2(n-2)A^{-1} \Gamma_i^i \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle = \left( n - 2 \right) \left[ \frac{\ell}{n-2} A^{-1} \Gamma_i^i (n+1) - (n-1) A^{-1} (\Gamma_i \delta A) + 2 \Gamma_i \delta \Phi + \frac{1}{12} \left( \Gamma_i \partial_i \right) \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle.
\]

\[
= \langle \chi_+ \left[ -4 \Psi_i^i \partial_i \Psi_i^i - 2 \Gamma_i^i \Psi_i^i + 2(n-2)A^{-1} \Gamma_i^i \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle = \left( n - 2 \right) \left[ \frac{\ell}{n-2} A^{-1} \Gamma_i^i (n+1) - (n-1) A^{-1} (\Gamma_i \delta A) + 2 \Gamma_i \delta \Phi + \frac{1}{12} \left( \Gamma_i \partial_i \right) \right] \nabla_i \chi_+ \rangle.
\]
Using the field equations and Bianchi identities, the last term in (B.7) can be expressed as

$$\langle \chi_+,\nabla_i\left[-2G^i_j\Psi_{j}^{(+)}-2(n-2)A^{-1}\Gamma\cdot\Sigma^{(+)}+2\Gamma^iA\right]\chi_+ \rangle$$

$$=\langle \chi_+,\left[-(n-1)\nabla^2\ln A + 2\nabla^2\Phi + \frac{1}{2}\partial S - \frac{1}{48}dH\Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{12}d\bar{F}\Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{240}dG\right]\chi_+ \rangle$$

$$=\langle \chi_+,\left[(n-1)^2 n^{-2} + (n-1)nA^{-2}(dA)^2 - 2(2n-1)A^{-1}\partial^i\partial_i\Phi + 4(d\Phi)^2\right.$$

$$\left.+\frac{1}{2}S\partial\Phi + \frac{11-n}{4}S^2 + \frac{1}{12}S\partial H\Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{6}H^2 + \frac{1}{4}\partial_i\Phi\partial^i\Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{24}\partial^i\bar{F} - \frac{1}{8}\partial^i\partial^i\Gamma_{11} + \frac{7-n}{8}F^2 + \frac{1}{48}\partial_i\Phi\partial^i\partial^j\right]\chi_+ \rangle,$$

while the term involving the Ricci scalar becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}R^{(10-n)}\|\chi_+\|^2 = \langle \chi_+,\left[-\frac{(n-1)n}{2\ell^2}A^{-2} - \frac{(n-1)n}{2}A^{-2}(dA)^2 + 2nA^{-1}\partial^i\partial_i\Phi - 2(d\Phi)^2ight.$$

$$\left.-\frac{10-n}{4}S^2 + \frac{5}{24}H^2 + \frac{n-6}{8}F^2 + \frac{n-2}{96}G^2\right]\chi_+ \rangle.$$  

Using (D.4), (D.5), and (D.6), the right-hand-side of (B.7), apart from the first term and the $\alpha^i\partial_i\|\chi_+\|^2$ term, is

$$\langle \chi_+,\left[4(n-2)\frac{c}{(10-n)\ell^2}A^{-2} + \frac{4(n-2)}{10-n}A^{-2}(dA)^2 - \frac{2(n-2)}{10-n}A^{-2}\partial^i\partial_i\Phi + \frac{2}{10-n}(d\Phi)^2ight.$$

$$\left.-\frac{(n-2)c}{2(10-n)\ell^2}A^{-1}\partial^i\Gamma_{11} - \frac{n-2}{2(10-n)}A^{-1}\partial^i\partial_i\Phi + \frac{9-n}{2(10-n)}S\partial\Phi + \frac{31-3n}{8(10-n)}S^2 \right.$$

$$\left.+\frac{(n-2)c}{6(10-n)\ell}A^{-1}\partial^i\Gamma_{11} - \frac{n-2}{6(10-n)}A^{-1}\partial_i\partial^i\Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{3(10-n)}\partial_i\Phi\partial^i\Gamma_{11} \right.$$

$$\left.+\frac{12-n}{24(10-n)}S\partial H\Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{72(10-n)}\partial^i\partial^i\Gamma_{11} + \frac{(n-2)c}{4(10-n)\ell^2}A^{-1}\partial^i\partial^i\Gamma_{11} + \frac{8-n}{4(10-n)}\partial_i\Phi + \frac{8-n}{4(10-n)}\partial^i\partial^i\Gamma_{11} \right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{11-n}{32(10-n)\ell}A^{-1}\partial_i\partial^i\Gamma_{11} + \frac{8-n}{16(10-n)\ell^2}A^{-1}\partial_i\partial^i\Gamma_{11} + \frac{n-2}{16(10-n)}A^{-1}\partial_i\partial^i\Gamma_{11} \right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{n-4}{48(10-n)}\partial_i\Phi\partial^i\partial^j + \frac{7-n}{96(10-n)}S\partial^i\partial^j - \frac{n-4}{576(10-n)}\partial^i\partial^j + \frac{n-1}{48\cdot96(10-n)}\partial^i\partial^j\partial^k\partial^l\right]\chi_+ \rangle.$$
\[ + \frac{c}{16\ell} A F \Gamma_2 \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{16} A \partial_i A (\Gamma F)^i - \frac{1}{256} A^2 F F + \frac{c}{192\ell} A G \Gamma_z + \frac{1}{192} A \partial_i A \partial^i + \frac{1}{768} A^2 S G - \frac{1}{16 \cdot 96} F G \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{192^2} A^2 S G \]  
\tag{D.7}

\[
\langle \Gamma_2 \mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_+, A^{(+)} \chi_+ \rangle = \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \partial^i A \partial_i \Phi + \frac{c}{24\ell} \mathcal{H} \Gamma_2 \Gamma_{11} - \frac{5c}{8\ell} \mathcal{S} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{5}{8} \partial A S - \frac{1}{8} A S \partial \Phi \right.
\right.
\]  
\[ - \frac{5}{32} A S^2 - \frac{1}{24} \partial_i A (\Gamma \mathcal{H})^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{96} A S \mathcal{H} \Gamma_{11}
\]  
\[ - \frac{3c}{16\ell} F \Gamma_2 \Gamma_{11} - \frac{3}{16} \partial_i A (\Gamma F)^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{16} A \partial_i A \partial^i \Gamma_{11}
\]  
\[ - \frac{A}{192} \mathcal{H} F + \frac{3}{128} A F F - \frac{c}{192\ell} \mathcal{G} \Gamma_z - \frac{1}{192} \partial_i A \partial^i \mathcal{G}
\]  
\[ - \frac{1}{192} A \partial_i \Phi \partial^i - \frac{1}{128} A S \mathcal{G} - \frac{1}{24 \cdot 96} A H \mathcal{G} \Gamma_{11}
\]  
\[ + \frac{1}{384} A F \mathcal{G} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{96 \cdot 192} A \mathcal{G} \mathcal{G} \Gamma_{11} \]  
\tag{D.8}

and

\[
\left\| A^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\|^2 = \left\langle \chi_+, \left[ (dF)^2 + \frac{1}{6} \partial_i \Phi (\Gamma \mathcal{H})^i \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{144} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} + \frac{5}{2} S \partial \Phi + \frac{5}{24} S \mathcal{H} \Gamma_{11}
\right.
\right.
\]  
\[ + \frac{25}{16} S^2 + \frac{3}{4} \partial_i \Phi (\Gamma F)^i \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{16} \mathcal{H} F - \frac{9}{64} F F
\]  
\[ + \frac{1}{48} \partial_i \Phi \partial^i + \frac{5}{192} S \mathcal{G} + \frac{1}{576} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{G} \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{128} F \mathcal{G} \Gamma_{11} + \frac{1}{96 \cdot 192} \mathcal{G} \mathcal{G} \Gamma_{11} \]  
\tag{D.9}

it is straightforward to see that

\[
\nabla^2 \left\| \chi_+ \right\|^2 + \left( n A^{-1} \partial_i A - 2 \partial_i \Phi \right) \nabla^i \left\| \chi_+ \right\|^2
\]  
\[ = \left\| \hat{\nabla}^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\|^2 + \frac{16(n+2)}{10-n} A^{-2} \left\| B^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\|^2 + \frac{4(n-2)}{10-n} A^{-1} \left\langle \Gamma_2 \mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_+, A^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\rangle
\]  
\[ + \frac{2}{10-n} \left\| A^{(+)} \chi_+ \right\|^2 \]  
\tag{D.10}

where \( \hat{\nabla}^{(+)} = \hat{\nabla}^{(\pm \alpha_1 \alpha_2)} \) for \( q_2 = \frac{1}{10-n} \) and \( q_1 = \frac{n-2}{10-n} \). This completes the proof of (1.3) for all remaining cases.
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