Effectiveness and Safety of Adding Bevacizumab to Platinum-Based Chemotherapy as First-Line Treatment for Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: A Meta-Analysis
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Background and Objective: Previous studies have evaluated the efficacy (OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; ORR, objective response rate) and adverse events of bevacizumab combined with platinum-based chemotherapy in first-line treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) compared with chemotherapy alone. However, the results were inconsistent.

Methods: We conducted a comprehensive search of PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library for potentially eligible articles. The outcomes were evaluated in terms of risk ratio (RR) or hazard ratio (HR) and the associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Meta-analysis was performed using the Stata 12.0 software, and subgroup analyses were performed based on the treatment and bevacizumab dose.

Results: Six randomized controlled trials with 2,465 patients were included in this meta-analysis. The results demonstrated that bevacizumab significantly increased OS (HR = 0.87, 95% CI 0.79–0.96), extended PFS (HR = 0.65, 95% CI 0.54–0.77), and increased ORR (ES = 0.40, 95% CI 0.31–0.48) when added to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC. Subgroup analyses showed that only the higher dose (15 mg/kg) of bevacizumab plus carboplatin–paclitaxel significantly extended the OS and PFS, but both 7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg of bevacizumab improved ORR. However, both 7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg of bevacizumab could only increase PFS and ORR, but not extend OS, when added to cisplatin–gemcitabine. Bevacizumab significantly increased the risk of grade ≥3 events of febrile neutropenia, haemorrhagic events, hypertension, leukopenia, neutropenia, and proteinuria.
INTRODUCTION

Nearly one million people die of lung cancer every year, and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for 85% of all lung cancer (1, 2). Besides, more often, the NSCLC has advanced at the time of initial diagnosis (3). The recommended standard platinum chemotherapy regimen has limited clinical efficacy for the first-line treatment of advanced NSCLC (4–6), and thus more effective regimens are needed.

Bevacizumab is a kind of human monoclonal antibody (7), which can specifically target vascular endothelial growth factor, inhibit angiogenesis, and thus inhibit tumor growth and survival. Previous studies have shown that the addition of bevacizumab to carboplatin–paclitaxel (BCP) could significantly prolong overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS), and improve the objective response rate (ORR), vs. chemotherapy alone (8–10). However, other studies showed that BCP did not significantly extend OS (11–13). In addition, some studies also showed that the addition of bevacizumab to cisplatin–gemcitabine (BCG) significantly extended PFS and improved the ORR vs. placebo when added to CG but did not significantly extend OS (14). This may partly be due to different sample sizes, different races, and other confounding factors.

To overcome the limitations of individual studies, three meta-analysis studies were published in 2010, 2011, and 2013 (2, 15, 16). Yang et al. (15) found that low-dose bevacizumab significantly increased PFS in patients with non-resectable NSCLC, while high-dose bevacizumab increased 2-year OS, extended PFS, and increased ORR. The team of Botrel reported that the higher dose of bevacizumab significantly extended the overall PFS, but the results of extended OS were inconclusive (16). However, Soria et al. (2) found that bevacizumab significantly prolonged OS and PFS when added to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC. Since then, there are new studies that reported the efficacy of bevacizumab-based first-line treatment of advanced NSCLC (10, 17). Therefore, the purpose of this study was to further evaluate the efficacy (OS, PFS, ORR) and adverse events (AEs) of bevacizumab combined with platinum-based chemotherapy in first-line treatment of advanced NSCLC compared with chemotherapy alone.

Conclusion: Bevacizumab significantly increases OS, PFS, and ORR when added to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC, with no new safety signals found. Moreover, bevacizumab (15 mg/kg) plus carboplatin–paclitaxel is a better alternative in increasing OS to carboplatin–paclitaxel and bevacizumab (7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg) plus cisplatin–gemcitabine.
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METHODS

This meta-analysis was performed according to the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (18) and presented based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (19).

Search Trials

We conducted an exhaustive literature search of PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that compared bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy to chemotherapy alone for treating patients suffering inoperable locally advanced (stage IIIIB), recurrent, or metastatic (stage IV) NSCLC as first-line therapy. The search terms included “bevacizumab,” “avastin,” “lung neoplasms,” “neoplasms pulmonary,” “pulmonary cancers,” “cancer of lung,” and “randomized controlled trial.” The detailed search strategy is reported in Supplementary Table 1. We did not limit the languages or publication date. The literature search was last updated on August 20, 2020. Two reviewers (H.-M. L. and Y. L.) independently searched all the titles, and the abstracts and references of relevant studies were also reviewed for additional worthy literatures. In case of uncertainty, full-text articles were obtained, and any divergence was resolved by the agreement of the reviewers.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Trials were selected and excluded based on the PICOS principle, as follows (1) Participants: the study population consisted of patients with locally advanced, metastatic, or recurrent NSCLC and an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 to 1. Patients who have previously undergone chemotherapy, immunotherapy, or other therapies are appropriate, with the exception of those who have previously received anti-VEGF medication. Patients with lymphomas, tumors in other organs, or multiple lung tumors (i.e., patients with small cell or mixed histology) were removed. Trials with an unknown or important baseline difference between groups were also omitted. (2) Interventions: the intervention in the experimental group was bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy (BCG or BCP). (3) Comparisons: the intervention in the control group was chemotherapy alone (CG or CP). (4) Outcomes: studies qualified when at least one of the following outcomes was present: hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CI for OS and PFS, ORR, AEs (anemia, febrile neutropenia, hemorrhagic events, hypertension, leukopenia, neuropathy, neutropenia, proteinuria, thrombosis, diarrhea, and abdominal pain). (5) Study design:
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RCTs were eligible. Cohort studies, case–control studies, case reports, retrospective studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses were excluded.

**Risk-of-Bias Assessments**
The Cochrane risk-of-bias criteria (18) were used to independently assess the methodological quality of the included RCTs by two researchers (H.-M. L. and Y. L.), which included seven items on randomization sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other biases. We defined other biases as the baseline characteristics of different intervention groups were different.

**Data Extraction**
Two reviewers (H.-M. L. and Y. L.) independently performed data extraction from the qualified studies. Any disagreement was resolved by discussion or by consulting a third reviewer (R. W.). The indispensable study characteristics, namely, first author, publication year, treatments, doses, sample size, patient characteristics, experimental and control interventions, and outcomes, were extracted.

The outcome measures of interest consisted of HRs and 95% confidence interval (CI) for OS and PFS, ORR, and AEs (anemia, febrile neutropenia, haemorrhagic events, hypertension, leukopenia, neuropathy, neutropenia, proteinuria, thrombosis, diarrhea, and abdominal pain).

**Statistical Analysis**
In this meta-analysis, we calculated the risk ratio (RR), with its 95% CI for ORR and risk of AEs, and the HR and its 95% CI for OS and PFS.

Statistical heterogeneity (20–24) was assessed using the $I^2$ and chi-squared tests at a significance level of $P < 0.05$. If heterogeneities existed, one of the following techniques was used to attempt to explain such heterogeneities: (1) random-effect model for meta-analysis, (2) subgroup analyses, and (3) sensitivity analyses. Based on the treatment and bevacizumab dose, subgroup analyses of the OS, ORR, and PFS were performed. Besides, stratified analyses for the efficacy (OS and PFS) were also taken into account the patient characteristics (dose, sex, age, stage, race, bodyweight loss, smoking status,
and histology). Moreover, we assessed the publication bias by performing the funnel plot test. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata 12.0. All tests were two-tailed, and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Study Search**

A summary of the study selection process is shown in Figure 1. A total of 1,877 relevant studies were inspected via electronic search. A total of 273 studies were excluded because they were duplicates. After assessing the titles and abstracts, 1,473 studies were eliminated, because they did not meet the eligibility criteria. After verifying the full text of the remaining 131 studies, 6 RCTs (8, 10, 13, 14, 25) with 2,465 patients were finally included in this meta-analysis.

**Study Characteristics**

Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of the studies included. The baseline information on the five studies of the two groups was balanced and comparable (8, 10, 13, 14). In the six identified RCTs, all studies were from a multicenter, and treatment was performed in 3-week cycles for up to six cycles, or until disease progression, or unacceptable toxicity. Among the six studies, three studies evaluated two doses of bevacizumab (7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg) (14, 25); other studies evaluated only one bevacizumab dose (15 mg/kg). Besides, two studies (14) compared bevacizumab plus cisplatin–gemcitabine with cisplatin–gemcitabine, and four studies (8, 10, 13, 25) compared bevacizumab plus cisplatin–gemcitabine with carboplatin–paclitaxel.

**Risk of Bias in the Included Studies**

The risk of bias in the included studies is presented in Figure 2. All studies showed appropriate randomization and described the allocation concealment in detail. Three studies (10, 14) reported adequate blinding of participants and personnel. All studies reported adequate blinding of outcome assessment. In terms of incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, all studies were deemed to have a low risk of bias. For other bias, one study (25) was deemed to have a high risk of bias.

**OS**

Five studies provided data on OS. The combined results showed that the overall OS was significantly longer in patients treated with bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy than with chemotherapy alone, with an estimated HR of 0.87 (95% CI 0.79–0.96; \( I^2 = 15.2\% \), \( P = 0.314 \)) (Figure 3A). Subgroup analyses based on treatment showed that the OS was significantly longer in patients treated with BCP than with CP, with an estimated HR of 0.80 (95% CI 0.71–0.91; \( I^2 = 0.0\% \), \( P = 0.435 \)). However, the combined results showed that the OS in patients treated with BCG was insignificantly different from with CG, with an estimated HR of 0.95 (95% CI 0.83–1.09; \( I^2 = 0.0\% \), \( P = 0.821 \)), and there was no significant difference between the two bevacizumab doses (Figure 3A). Besides, the combined results, stratified according to bevacizumab dose, showed that the
overall OS was significantly longer only in patients treated with bevacizumab (15 mg/kg) plus CP than with CP, but not in patients treated with bevacizumab (7.5 mg/kg) (Figure 3B).

### PFS

Five studies provided data on PFS. The combined results showed that the overall PFS was significantly longer in patients treated with bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy than with chemotherapy alone, with an estimated HR of 0.65 (95% CI 0.54–0.77; $I^2 = 65.6\%$, $P = 0.008$) (Figure 4A). Subgroup analyses based on treatment showed that the PFS was significantly longer in patients treated with BCP or BCG than with CP or CG, with an estimated HR of 0.57 (95% CI 0.45–0.72; $I^2 = 54.3\%$, $P = 0.068$) and 0.79 (95% CI 0.69–0.90; $I^2 = 0.0\%$, $P = 0.509$), respectively (Figure 4A). Besides, the combined results, stratified according to bevacizumab dose, showed that the overall PFS was significantly longer only in patients treated with bevacizumab (15 mg/kg) plus CP than with CP, but not in patients treated with bevacizumab (7.5 mg/kg) (Figure 4B). However, the combined results showed that the overall PFS was significantly longer in patients treated with both bevacizumab (15 mg/kg) and bevacizumab (7.5 mg/kg) plus CG than with CG (Figure 4A).

### Interaction Between Patient Characteristics and OS and PFS

Bevacizumab showed a significantly greater treatment effect on OS in male patients (HR = 0.70, 95% CI 0.58–0.84), and in patients with age <65 years (HR = 0.68, 95% CI 0.57–0.81). Although there was a statistical difference between bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy and chemotherapy alone in race (white), smoking status (past), bodyweight loss (≤5%), and histology (adenocarcinoma), the results were only extracted from one study. There was no significant interaction between the treatment effect of OS and stage (Supplementary Table 2).

Bevacizumab showed a significantly greater treatment effect on PFS in patients with age <65 y (HR = 0.39, 95% CI 0.30–0.51), and in recurrent NSCLC patients (HR = 0.24, 95% CI 0.07–0.85). Although there was a statistical difference between bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy and chemotherapy alone in histology (large cell), the results were only extracted from one study. There was no significant interaction between the treatment effect of PFS and sex, smoking status (Supplementary Table 3).

### ORR

Five studies provided data on ORR. The combined results showed that bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy significantly improved the overall ORR (ORR = 0.40, 95% CI 0.31–0.48; $I^2 = 88.9\%$, $P = 0.000$) (Figure 5A). Subgroup analyses based on treatment showed that the ORR was significantly improved in patients treated with BCP or BCG than with CP or CG, with an estimated ES of 0.43 (95% CI 0.30–0.55; $I^2 = 89.8\%$, $P = 0.000$) and 0.32 (95% CI 0.29–0.36; $I^2 = 3.3\%$, $P = 0.309$), respectively (Figure 5A). Besides, the combined results, stratified according to bevacizumab dose, showed that the ORR was significantly improved in patients treated with the combination of CP or CG plus bevacizumab (7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg) than with CP or CG (Figures 5A,B).

### AEs

Data for AEs were available for five studies. According to the pooled analysis, bevacizumab significantly increased the risk of grade ≥3 events of febrile neutropenia (RR = 2.01; 95% CI 1.16–3.50), haemorrhagic events (RR = 3.20; 95% CI 1.82–5.63), hypertension (RR = 5.20; 95% CI 3.12–8.67), leukopenia (RR = 1.84; 95% CI 1.36–2.49), neutropenia (RR = 1.21; 95% CI 1.05–1.40), and proteinuria (RR = 10.09; 95% CI 2.88–35.39), compared with chemotherapy alone. However, there was no statistical difference in anemia, neuropathy, thrombosis, diarrhea, and abdominal pain between bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy and chemotherapy alone (Table 2). There was no significant heterogeneity between the studies in the overall toxicity analysis. Besides, subgroup analyses based on treatment showed no increased risk of proteinuria in patients treated with BCG compared to CG (RR = 5.96; 95% CI 1.84–20.10).
FIGURE 3 | Forest plots of hazard ratios (HRs) for overall survival (OS) based on (A) treatment and (B) bevacizumab dose (7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg) from randomized controlled trials of bevacizumab added to standard chemotherapy, compared with chemotherapy alone, as first-line therapy in patients with advanced NSCLC.
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FIGURE 4 | Forest plots of hazard ratios (HRs) for progression-free survival (PFS) based on (A) treatment and (B) bevacizumab dose (7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg) from randomized controlled trials of bevacizumab added to standard chemotherapy, compared with chemotherapy alone, as first-line therapy in patients with advanced NSCLC.
**FIGURE 5** | Forest plots of the objective response rate (ORR) based on (A) treatment and (B) bevacizumab dose (7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg) from randomized controlled trials of bevacizumab added to standard chemotherapy, compared with chemotherapy alone, as first-line therapy in patients with advanced NSCLC.
## TABLE 2 | Subgroup analyses of AEs based on treatment.

| Category               | No. of studies | Tests of association | Tests of heterogeneity |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                        |                | RR 95%CI             | P-value          | Model | I², % | P-value      |
|                        |                |                      |                |       |       |              |
| Anemia                 | Overall        | 4                    | 0.81 0.62,1.07  | 0.144 | F     | 0.0 0.566   |
|                        |                 | 2                    | 0.57 0.28,1.18  | 0.129 | F     | 31.5 0.227  |
|                        |                 | 2                    | 0.87 0.65,1.17  | 0.361 | F     | 0.0 0.992   |
| Diarrhea and abdominal pain | Overall     | 3                    | 1.18 0.36,3.82  | 0.783 | F     | 46.0 0.157  |
|                        |                 | 3                    | 1.18 0.36,3.82  | 0.783 | F     | 46.0 0.157  |
| Febrile neutropenia    | Overall        | 3                    | 2.01 1.16,3.50  | 0.013 | F     | 0.0 0.508   |
|                        |                 | 3                    | 2.01 1.16,3.50  | 0.013 | F     | 0.0 0.508   |
| Haemorrhagic events    | Overall        | 4                    | 3.20 1.82,5.63  | 0.000 | F     | 0.0 0.595   |
|                        |                 | 2                    | 6.31 2.05,19.36 | 0.001 | F     | 0.0 0.872   |
|                        |                 | 2                    | 2.32 1.19,4.51  | 0.014 | F     | 0.0 0.996   |
| Hypertension           | Overall        | 7                    | 5.20 3.12,8.67  | 0.000 | F     | 0.0 0.447   |
|                        |                 | 5                    | 5.67 2.58,12.46 | 0.000 | F     | 28.9 0.229  |
|                        |                 | 2                    | 4.86 2.49,9.52  | 0.000 | F     | 0.0 0.672   |
| Leukopenia             | Overall        | 4                    | 1.84 1.36,2.49  | 0.000 | F     | 0.0 0.942   |
|                        |                 | 4                    | 1.84 1.36,2.49  | 0.000 | F     | 0.0 0.942   |
| Neuropathy             | Overall        | 3                    | 1.98 0.50,7.78  | 0.327 | F     | 0.0 0.377   |
|                        |                 | 3                    | 1.98 0.50,7.78  | 0.327 | F     | 0.0 0.377   |
| Neutropenia            | Overall        | 4                    | 1.21 1.05,1.40  | 0.000 | R     | 54.4 0.087  |
|                        |                 | 2                    | 1.28 0.83,1.97  | 0.273 | R     | 88.6 0.003  |
|                        |                 | 2                    | 1.19 1.02,1.38  | 0.023 | F     | 0.0 0.440   |
| Proteinuria            | Overall        | 5                    | 10.09 2.88,35.39| 0.000 | F     | 0.0 0.647   |
|                        |                 | 3                    | 12.58 2.61,60.57| 0.002 | F     | 2.1 0.360   |
|                        |                 | 2                    | 5.96 0.72,49.32 | 0.098 | F     | 0.0 0.613   |
|                        |                 | 2                    | 0.37 0.09,1.45  | 0.152 | F     | 16.2 0.275  |
|                        |                 | 2                    | 1.11 0.69,1.48  | 0.609 | F     | 0.0 0.922   |

R: random-effect model; F: fixed-effect model; RR, risk ratio; CI, confidence intervals. Bold values indicate P < 0.05. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence intervals. Bold values indicate P < 0.05.

CI 0.72–49.32), and no increased risk of neutropenia in patients treated with BCP compared to CP (RR = 1.28; 95% CI 0.83–1.97).

### Sensitivity Analyses and Publication Bias

The sensitivity analysis omitted one study at a time and did not yield different results in terms of overall OS, PFS, and ORR (Figure 6). However, the funnel plot test showed that overall OS, PFS, and ORR had low publication bias (Figure 7).

### DISCUSSION

The clinical benefits of bevacizumab have demonstrated a series of tumors, including colorectal cancer (26), metastatic breast (27), and renal cancer (28). In patients with advanced NSCLC, three phase III trials (8, 10, 17) demonstrated that bevacizumab significantly improved PFS after adding to standard first-line chemotherapy, but only two trials (8, 10) showed that OS was prolonged with the bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy. Therefore, this analysis pooled data from the Phase II and III trials to include more samples. Our analysis has several advantages: it took into account a larger sample, and all included studies were deemed as low risk of bias; its stratified analyses for the efficacy (OS, PFS, ORR) were based on treatment and bevacizumab dose; and it considered the effect of patient characteristics on OS and PFS.

According to this analysis, the use of bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy significantly prolonged OS, compared with chemotherapy alone. Subgroup analysis based on treatment and bevacizumab dose further showed that only 15 mg/kg bevacizumab plus CP could prolong the OS, but not 7.5 mg bevacizumab plus CP. Besides, neither 7.5 mg/kg nor 15 mg/kg of bevacizumab plus CG can prolong the OS. However, second- and third-line therapies (epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor) have potentially confounding effects in the OS endpoint analysis (29). In the AVAiL (14) and JO 19907 (13), the number of patients in the chemotherapy alone group, who received subsequent chemotherapy, was slightly higher. In the AVF-0557g trial (25), nearly 60% of patients in the control group received cross-therapy with bevacizumab. In ECOG 4599 (8) and BEYOND (10), ~20 and 36% of patients in the bevacizumab group received second-line therapy with a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, respectively. Considering the effect of patient characteristics on OS, we found that bevacizumab showed a significantly greater treatment effect on OS in male...
patients, and in patients with age < 65 years, which were consistent with the previous studies (8, 10). However, other studies did not suggest a lower level of efficacy of bevacizumab in the elderly population (30, 31). This result may be explained by several factors including imbalances between the two groups in terms of known or unknown baseline prognostic factors (e.g., epidermal growth factor mutations), imbalances in the use of second- and third-line therapies, statistical chance.

The prolonged PFS benefit was observed in the bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy group. Subgroup analysis based on treatment and bevacizumab dose further showed that only 15 mg/kg bevacizumab plus CP could prolong the PFS, but not 7.5 mg bevacizumab plus CP. However, both 7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg of bevacizumab plus CG can prolong the PFS. Many studies have demonstrated that the addition of bevacizumab to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy significantly improved PFS in patients with advanced NSCLC (8, 10, 13, 14, 25). Besides, both the Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency have accepted PFS as an effective measure of clinical benefit, especially when further treatment is expected to hinder testing of related OS benefits (17).

Consistent with the previous studies (8, 14, 25), the improvement of ORR was observed in the bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy group in the present study. Subgroup analysis based on treatment and bevacizumab dose further showed that both 7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg of bevacizumab, plus CG or CP, can improve the ORR. This result further supports the hypothesis that drug delivery to the tumor could be improved by bevacizumab (26).

In the present study, bevacizumab significantly increased the risk of grade ≥3 events of febrile neutropenia, haemorrhagic events, hypertension, leukopenia, neutropenia, and proteinuria, compared with chemotherapy alone. The AEs of bevacizumab were consistent with the previous reports (2), and no new evident toxicity patterns have been found in the current analysis, supporting the well-established and controllable adverse event profile for bevacizumab (17). However, we cannot take dose effects into account due to limited data, as previous studies did (2, 16).

Meta-analysis is a powerful statistical method that provides a quantitative way to combine data from independent studies and examine and interpret heterogeneity. In 2010, Yang et al. (15) carried out the first meta-analysis to investigate the effectiveness and safety of bevacizumab for unresectable NSCLC. They pooled data from four studies, included 2,101 patients, and stratified their analysis according to bevacizumab dose. The results of this study suggested that low-dose bevacizumab significantly increased PFS in patients with non-resectable NSCLC, while high-dose bevacizumab increased the 2-year OS, extended the PFS, and increased the ORR. In 2011, Botrel et al. (16) found that the higher dose of bevacizumab significantly extended the overall PFS, but the results of the extended OS were inconclusive, which included 2,200 subjects. In 2013, the team of Soria reported that bevacizumab significantly prolonged OS and PFS when
added to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC, which included 2,194 subjects. In our meta-analysis, a total of 2,465 subjects were finally included. Our result demonstrated that bevacizumab significantly increased the OS, extended the PFS, and increased the ORR when added to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC. Considering the effect of different platinum-based chemotherapies and bevacizumab doses on our meta-analysis results, we further performed subgroup analyses based on treatment and bevacizumab dose. Stratification analysis showed that only the higher dose (15 mg/kg) of bevacizumab plus CP significantly extended the OS, and PFS, but both 7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg of bevacizumab improved the ORR. However, both 7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg of bevacizumab could only increase the PFS and ORR, but not extend OS, when added to CG.

There are some limitations in this meta-analysis. One of the limitations of this study is publication bias, which was not assessed because the numbers of trials reporting OS, PFS, ORR, and AEs were < 10. Second, our analysis is pooled from summary data rather than data from the individual patients from each study. Third, although there was a statistical difference of OS between bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy and chemotherapy alone in race (white), smoking status (past), bodyweight loss (≤ 5%), and histology (adenocarcinoma), the results were only extracted from one study. Thus, more studies in this subject might demonstrate the real relationship between bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy and chemotherapy alone in terms of OS based on these subgroups. Finally, there was a statistical difference of PFS between bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy and chemotherapy alone in histology (large cell), but the results were only extracted from one study. Therefore, more studies in this subject might demonstrate the real relationship between bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy and chemotherapy alone in terms of PFS based on these subgroups. However, the risk of publication is inherent in meta-analyses, and we believe that our result is convincing. More RCTs of high quality are required in future work.

CONCLUSIONS
This meta-analysis demonstrated that bevacizumab significantly increased the OS, extended the PFS, and improved the ORR when added to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC, with no new safety signals found. Stratification analysis based on treatment and bevacizumab dose showed that only the higher dose (15 mg/kg) of bevacizumab plus CP significantly extended the OS, and PFS, but both 7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg of bevacizumab improved ORR. However, both 7.5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg of bevacizumab could only increase PFS and ORR, but not extend OS, when added to CG.
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