Growth inhibitory properties of lactose fatty acid esters
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Abstract Sugar esters are biodegradable, nonionic surfactants which have microbial inhibitory properties. The influence of the fatty acid chain length on the microbial inhibitory properties of lactose esters was investigated in this study. Specifically, lactose monoocanooate (LMO), lactose monodecanoate (LMD), lactose monolaurate (LML) and lactose monomyristate (LMM) were synthesized and dissolved in both dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and ethanol. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBC) were determined in growth media. LML was the most effective ester, exhibiting MIC values of <0.05 to <5 mg/ml for each Gram-positive bacteria tested (Bacillus cereus, Mycobacterium KMS, Streptococcus suis, Listeria monocytogenes, Enterococcus faecalis, and Streptococcus mutans) and MBC values of <3 to <5 mg/ml for B. cereus, M. KMS, S. suis, and L. monocytogenes. LMD showed MIC and MBC values of <1 to <5 mg/ml for B. cereus, M. KMS, S. suis, L. monocytogenes, and E. faecalis, with greater inhibition when dissolved in ethanol. LMM showed MIC and MBC values of <1 to <5 mg/ml for B. cereus, M. KMS, and S. suis. LMO was the least effective showing a MBC value of <5 mg/ml for only B. cereus, though MIC values for S. suis and L. monocytogenes were observed when dissolved in DMSO. B. cereus and S. suis were the most susceptible to the lactose esters tested, while S. mutans and E. faecalis were the most resilient and no esters were effective on Escherichia coli O157:H7. This research showed that lactose esters esterified with decanoic and lauric acids exhibited greater microbial inhibitory properties than lactose esters of octanoate and myristate against Gram-positive bacteria.
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1. Introduction

Sugar esters are nonionic surfactants used in a variety of applications in the food, pharmaceutical, and personal care industries. The microbial inhibitory activity of sugar esters has been studied. Although it has been shown that sugar esters inhibit bacterial growth, there is a lack of consensus as to which bacteria are most susceptible. While some studies have shown inhibitory effects of Gram-negative bacteria (Ferrer et al., 2005; Habulin et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2008), others have shown inhibition of only Gram-positive bacteria (Wagh et al., 2012; Piao et al., 2006). Studies have shown that esters containing laureate were inhibitory against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Smith et al., 2008; Nobmann et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2014). A study on the microbial inhibitory activity of lactose monolaurate showed low minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBC) for Listeria monocytogenes and Mycobacterium sp. strain KMS, and no inhibitory activity against Gram-negative bacteria (Wagh et al., 2012).

The nature and number of fatty acid chains esterified to sugars can be variable, yielding a broad range of hydrophilic-lipophilic balances and microbial inhibitory activities (Szuts and Szabó–Révész, 2012). Previous research showed that fatty acid derivatives such as monolaurin are highly inhibitory and more inhibitory than lauric acid (Smith et al., 2008; Nobmann et al., 2009). Others have reported that sugar monoesters of decanoic, myristic and palmitic acids were microbial inhibitory (Piao et al., 2006; Habulin et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2014). There was one study investigating the microbial inhibition of sugar octanoate esters which showed no inhibitory effects (Zhang et al., 2014).

Of the carbohydrate fatty acid esters previously investigated, sucrose esters have been the most thoroughly studied (Nobmann et al., 2009). Other oligosaccharide esters of laureate, including maltose, fructose and galactose have been synthesized and have generally been shown to be very effective microbial inhibitory agents (Nobmann et al., 2009; Watanabe et al., 2000; Devulapalle et al., 2004; Habulin et al., 2008), whereas hexose laureate did not suppress microbial growth significantly (Watanabe et al., 2000).

While many studies examine the microbial inhibition of sugar esters in terms of MIC values, few studies have determined the MBC values of sugar esters. In this study we synthesized novel lactose esters including lactose monocaprate, lactose monodecanoate (LMO), lactose monooctanoate (LMD) and lactose monomyristate (LMM). The microbial inhibitory properties of these esters (MIC and MBC) in microbial growth media, and the previously synthesized ester, lactose monolaurate (LML) (Wagh et al., 2012) were determined against Gram-positive (Bacillus cereus, Mycobacterium KMS, Streptococcus suis, L. monocytogenes, Enterococcus faecalis and Streptococcus mutans) bacteria and the Gram-negative bacteria, Escherichia coli O157:H7. Furthermore, we also determined MIC and MBC values of the esters dissolved in two solvents, DMSO and ethanol. This allowed us to ascertain the role of the solvents in the microbial inhibitory activity.

| Microorganism           | ATCC no./serovar | Gram reaction | Growth medium |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Bacillus cereus         | 13061           | +            | BHI           |
| Mycobacterium sp. strain| NA              | +            | LB            |
| L. monocytogenes        | 89/1591         | +            | BHI           |
| L. monocytogenes        | FSL J1-177      | +            | BHI           |
| L. monocytogenes        | FSL N3-013      | +            | BHI           |
| L. monocytogenes        | FSL R2-499      | +            | BHI           |
| L. monocytogenes        | FSL N1-227      | +            | BHI           |
| Enterococcus faecalis   | V538            | +            | BHI           |
| Streptococcus mutans    | FSL R2-499      | +            | BHI           |
| Escherichia coli O157:H7| EDL 931         | −            | LB            |

NA = not available.
a +, positive; −, negative.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bacterial strains

Bacterial strains used are listed in Table 1. E. faecalis V538 and L. monocytogenes EGD were received from Dr. Andy Benson of the University of Nebraska, Lincoln. Different clinical isolates of Listeria (FSL J1-177, FSL N3-013, FSL R2-499 and FSL N1-227) were obtained from Dr. Martin Wiedmann, director of the international Life Sciences Institute North American Database at Cornell University. S. suis 89/1591 was received from Dr. Richard Higgins of University of Montreal, Quebec, Canada. M. KMS was isolated by Utah State University from treatment soils in Champion International Superfund Site, Libby, Montana. B. cereus ATCC 13061, S. mutans ATCC 25175 and E. coli O157:H7 EDL 931 stains were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA).

2.2. Materials and equipment

Materials and equipment included a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Beckman System Gold 125 Solvent Module, Ontario, Canada) equipped with Luna 5 μm C18 100 Å (250 mm × 4.6 mm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) and an evaporative light scattering detector (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), incubator shaker, spectrophotometer (Beckman, USA), 48 microtitre well plates (Becton Dickinson, NJ, USA), brain-heart infusion (BHI) media, Lauria–Bertani (LB) media, granulated agar (BD, New Jersey, USA), lactose (Proliant, Iowa, USA), vinyl laurate, vinyl myristate, vinyl decanoate, vinyl octanoate (TCI, Portland OR, USA), lipase TM2 (immobilized from Thermomyces lanuginosus), Tween 80, Whatman glass microfiber filters, molecular sieves (3A), 2-methyl-2-butanol (2M2B) (dried using 10% 3A molecular sieves), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma Aldrich, MO, USA), ethanol, and acetonitrile (HPLC grade, Thermo Fisher, PA, USA).
2.3. Lactose ester synthesis and purification

Enzymatic synthesis of LML was performed according to Walsh et al. (2009). Synthesis of LMO was conducted using lactose, vinyl octanoate, molecular sieves and immobilized lipase enzyme TM2. For a 60 mL reaction in 2M2B, 3 g of lactose, 6 g of dried molecular sieves, 1.7 mL of vinyl octanoate (lactose to fatty acid ratio of 1:2.1) and 1.8 g TM2 were combined. The reactions were assembled in a 100 mL glass bottle and incubated at 60 °C and 90 rpm for 2 days. The amount of LMO synthesized was determined using HPLC with the evaporative light scattering detector set at 60 °C with a nitrogen gas pressure of 3.55 bar. There was a gradient from 10% acetonitrile–water (40:60, v/v) to 100% acetonitrile–water (95:5, v/v) as the mobile phase. Synthesis of LMM and LMD was done as described above for LMO using the same molar ratios of lactose to fatty acid (vinyl myristate or vinyl decanoate).

For ester purification, the 2M2B reaction was filtered through a Whatman glass microfiber filter then dried in a hood for 24 h. The dry solids were suspended in 60% ethanol, 40% water (60 °C) and placed in a separatory funnel. The lower aqueous layer was drained into a beaker and dried in a hood for 24 h. After completely drying, the product powder was suspended in acetone, and then centrifuged for 15 min at room temperature at 2000g and the supernatant analyzed via HPLC for the presence of di- tri- or higher saccharides. The acetone extraction was repeated until only the monoester was present in the pellet.

2.4. Microbial inhibitory studies

Stock solutions of LMO (60 mg/ml) and LMD (25 mg/ml) were prepared in 30% ethanol:water. Stock solutions of LML (60 mg/ml) were prepared in 50% ethanol:water and 100% DMSO. Stock solutions of LMO and LMD (60 mg/ml) were prepared in 100% DMSO. LMM was not soluble in 60% ethanol:water hence a stock (60 mg/ml) was prepared in 100% DMSO. Controls were 30% ethanol:water, 50% ethan:ol:water and 100% DMSO. Ester stock solutions were diluted into growth media to give final ethanol concentrations ranging from 0.5% to 10% and final DMSO concentrations ranging from 2% to 8%. All seven stocks of esters and controls were tested on the bacteria listed in Table 1.

Analysis of microbial inhibitory activities of LMO was performed by making a 5 strain cocktail of L. monocytogenes including C1-056, J1-177, N1-277, N3-013, and R2-499. The individual 5 stocks were stored at −80 °C, and each individual freezer stock (20 μl) was added to 15 ml of BHI media. The Listeria strains were grown at 37 °C and 200 rpm for 24 h. Aliquots (2 ml) from each strain were combined in a test tube to develop the 5-strain stock cocktail. Aliquots, 315 μl, of the stock cocktail were grown in BHI media (12 ml), and incubated with shaking at 37 °C for 4 h. Aliquots of the 5-strain stock cocktail were kept at −80 °C.

Stock solutions of the other bacteria were maintained at −80 °C before use. Aliquots of bacterial stock solutions (300 μl) were grown in 15 ml media at 37 °C, 200 rpm for 24 h. Aliquots of the overnight growths (300 μl) were added to 12 ml media and grown again at 37 °C, 200 rpm for 4 h before use. The growing cultures were monitored by optical density measurements at 660 nm (OD_{660}) and diluted with fresh media to reach an OD_{660} of 0.2 which was approximately 1 x 10^5 cfu/ml. An aliquot of the culture, 100 μl, was mixed with 10 ml fresh media containing 0.1% Tween 80. The ester stock ester solutions were added to each well for final concentrations of 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1, 3, and/or 5 mg/ml and each well contained a total of 0.5 ml. Controls contained the same concentration of ethanol or DMSO as the treatments. Each treatment and control was performed in triplicate and replicated three times. A paired T-test was used to compare the treatments with the controls at each concentration to determine if the treatments were significantly different from the controls. All controls and treatments were plated on appropriate agar and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h to obtain plate counts. The MIC of each compound was determined as the lowest concentration which showed a significant difference in the number of cells in treatments as compared to those in controls as determined by plate counts. Similarly, the MBC of each compound was reported as the minimum concentration of ester at which there was no cell growth as determined by plate counts.

3. Results

3.1. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of lactose esters

In our earlier work, we showed that the novel lactose ester, LML (in 50% ethanol:water) was antimicrobial towards L. monocytogenes and M. KMS, but had no activity against Gram-negative bacteria (Wagh et al., 2012). In this study, additional lactose esters, LMO, LMD, and LMM were synthesized, and along with LML, were dissolved in both ethanol and DMSO, and tested for microbial inhibitory activity against Gram-positive bacteria and E. coli O157:H7. The control samples contained the same concentration of solvent as the treatments.

MIC values of the lactose esters against various Gram-positive bacteria are listed in Table 2. LML was found to be the most effective microbial inhibitory ester since it showed MIC values (<0.05 to <5 mg/ml) for each Gram-positive bacteria tested in each solvent. On average, there were lower MIC values with LML/EtOH for M. KMS, L. monocytogenes and E. faecalis. The MIC for LML/DMSO with E. faecalis was 5 mg/ml, which was the highest MIC value for LML among the bacteria tested.

MIC values of LMD/DMSO ranged from <1 to <3 mg/ml for B. cereus, M. KMS and S. suis. The MIC for LMD/DMSO for L. monocytogenes, E. faecalis and S. mutans was above 5 mg/ml. MIC values for LMD/EtOH ranged from <3 to <5 mg/ml with no MIC values for S. mutans. Ethanol itself was inhibitory, specifically with M. KMS which showed no cells in the control or treatment with 5 mg/ml LMD/EtOH (corresponding to 10% ethanol), therefore, no MIC could be determined. LMD/EtOH inhibited the growth of L. monocytogenes and E. faecalis while LMD/DMSO showed no inhibitory effects on these bacteria.

LMM in DMSO showed inhibitory activity against B. cereus, M. KMS and S. suis with MIC values between <1 mg/ml and <5 mg/ml. However, MIC values for LMM with L. monocytogenes, E. faecalis and S. mutans were >5 mg/ml.

LMO/EtOH showed no inhibitory effect at concentrations up to 5 mg/ml but LMO/DMSO was inhibitory to B. cereus, S. suis and L. monocytogenes.
were more sensitive with MIC values $<3$ mg/ml than *B. cereus* with an MIC value $<5$ mg/ml. No ester dissolved in either DMSO or ethanol showed microbial inhibitory activity against the Gram-negative bacteria tested (*E. coli* O157:H7).

### 3.2. Minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBC) of lactose esters

MBC of the lactose esters are reported in Table 3 as well as the log reductions in the treatments as compared to the controls. No esters showed bactericidal activity against *S. mutans*. Out of the 4 compounds tested, LML was the only lactose ester to exert a bactericidal effect against *B. cereus*, *M. KMS*, *S. suis* and *L. monocytogenes* in both solvents used. MBC values of LML/DMSO were $<1$ mg/ml for *B. cereus*, *M. KMS*, and *S. suis*. MBC concentrations of LML were lower in DMSO compared to ethanol for *B. cereus* and *S. suis*.

In tests against the Gram-positive bacteria, LMD/ETOH showed broad antimicrobial activity against *B. cereus*, *S. suis*, *L. monocytogenes* and *E. faecalis* with MBC values between $<3$ mg/ml and $<5$ mg/ml. However, LMD/DMSO was not shown to be bactericidal to *L. monocytogenes* or *E. faecalis* at concentrations up to 5 mg/ml. Furthermore, bactericidal activity of ethanol was shown against *M. KMS*, with no cells growing in the control or treatment at 10% ethanol as stated earlier for the MIC values. LMM/DMSO was effective against *B. cereus*, *M. KMS* and *S. suis* with MBC values between $<3$ and $<5$ mg/ml.

Table 2 Minimum inhibitory concentrations of lactose esters as both mg/ml and mM concentrations. Esters were tested at concentrations up to 5 mg/ml.

|          | LMO DMSO | LMD DMSO | LMD ETOH | LML DMSO | LML ETOH | LMM DMSO |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| *B. cereus* | $<5$ mg/ml | $<3$ mg/ml | $<3$ mg/ml | $<1$ mg/ml | $<1$ mg/ml | $<1$ mg/ml |
|          | $<10.7$ mM | $<6.0$ mM | $<10.1$ mM | $<1.9$ mM | $<1.9$ mM | $<1.8$ mM |
| *M. KMS*  | No | $<1$ mg/m | X$^1$ | $<1$ mg/ml | $<0.05$ mg/ml$^2$ | $<5$ mg/ml |
|          | $<2.0$ mM | $<1.9$ mM | $<1.9$ mM | $<0.95$ mM | $<9.0$ mM |
| *S. suis* | $<3$ mg/ml | $<3$ mg/ml | $<5$ mg/ml | $<1$ mg/ml | $<1$ mg/ml | $<3$ mg/ml |
|          | $<6.4$ mM | $<6.0$ mM | $<10.1$ mM | $<1.9$ mM | $<1.9$ mM | $<5.4$ mM |
| *L. monocytogenes* | $<3$ mg/ml | No | $<3$ mg/ml | $<3$ mg/ml | $<0.1$ mg/ml$^2$ | No |
|          | $<6.4$ mM | $<6.0$ mM | $<5.7$ mM | $<0.19$ mM |
| *E. faecalis* | No | No | $<5$ mg/ml | $<1$ mg/ml | No |
|          | $<10.1$ mM | $<9.5$ mM | $<1.9$ mM |
| *S. mutans* | No | No | No | $<1$ mg/ml | $<3$ mg/ml | No |

No = No growth inhibition.

$^1$ X = No growth in treatment or control at 5 mg/ml.

$^2$ Data obtained from Wagh et al. (2012).

Table 3 Minimum bactericidal concentration of lactose esters as both mg/ml and mM concentrations. Esters were tested at concentrations up to 5 mg/ml. The log reductions of the treatment samples compared to the controls are given as log values.

|          | LMO DMSO | LMD DMSO | LMD ETOH | LML DMSO | LML ETOH | LMM DMSO |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| *B. cereus* | $<5$ mg/ml | $<3$ mg/ml | $<5$ mg/ml | $<1$ mg/ml | $<5$ mg/ml | $<3$ mg/ml |
|          | $<10.7$ mM | $<6.0$ mM | $<10.1$ mM | $<1.9$ mM | $<9.5$ mM | $<5.4$ mM |
|          | 7 log | 9 log | 7 log | 7 log | 8 log | 8 log |
| *M. KMS*  | No | $<1$ mg/ml | X$^1$ | $<1$ mg/ml | $<1$ mg/ml | $<5$ mg/ml |
|          | $<2.0$ mM | $<1.9$ mM | $<1.9$ mM | $<9.0$ mM |
| *S. suis* | X$^1$ | $<3$ mg/ml | $<5$ mg/ml | $<1$ mg/ml | $<5$ mg/ml | $<5$ mg/ml |
|          | $<6.0$ mM | $<10.1$ | $<1.9$ mM | $<9.5$ mM | $<9.0$ mM |
|          | 7 log | 5 log | 7 log | 8 log | 8 log |
| *L. monocytogenes* | No | No | $<3$ mg/ml | $<5$ mg/ml | $<5$ mg/ml | No |
|          | $<6.0$ mM | $<6.0$ mM | $<9.5$ mM | $<1.9$ mM |
|          | 6 log | 8 log | 5 log |
| *E. faecalis* | No | No | $<5$ mg/ml | No | No |
|          | $<10.1$ mM | $<9.5$ mM |
| *S. mutans* | No | No | No | No | No |

No = No MBC value.

$^1$ X = No growth in treatment or control at 5 mg/ml.

$^2$ Data obtained from Wagh et al. (2012).
LMO/EtOH showed no bactericidal effects up to concentrations of 5 mg/ml whereas LMO/DMSO was only shown to have bactericidal activity against B. cereus at < 5 mg/ml. DMSO was itself inhibitory towards S. suis with no growth in the treatment of controls with LMO/DMSO containing 8% DMSO, therefore no MBC could be determined. S. mutans and E. faecalis were observed to be the most resilient amongst the bacteria tested and B. cereus was the most susceptible. Only LMD/EtOH was observed to be bactericidal against E. faecalis.

4. Discussion

Carbohydrate fatty acid derivatives are biodegradable, non-toxic and non-skin irritant surfactants with microbial inhibitory activity (Szuts and Szabó-Révész, 2012). The microbial inhibitory properties of these derivatives are increasingly of interest and many of these compounds have been shown to inhibit Gram-positive rather than Gram-negative bacteria (Piao et al., 2006; Wagh et al., 2012). This study evaluated both microbial inhibitory and bactericidal properties of lactose esters. LML was shown to be the most effective lactose ester in preventing microbial growth, yielding the lowest MIC values in the range of < 0.05 mg/ml to < 5 mg/ml (0.095 mM to < 9.33 mM) against each Gram-positive bacteria tested. Moreover B. cereus and S. suis appeared to be the most susceptible with MIC values obtained for each ester tested, and the lowest MIC value was obtained with LML/EtOH and M. KMS (< 0.05 mg/ml or < 0.095 mM). With regard to previous studies of bacterial inhibition with lactose esters, LML/EtOH showed inhibitory activity against L. monocytogenes at concentrations of 0.1 mg/ml (0.19 mM) (Wagh et al., 2012). Similar microbial inhibitory effects of LML were observed in another study in which LML/EtOH inhibited the growth of L. monocytogenes in milk, low fat yogurt and cheese at < 5 mg/ml (Chen et al., 2013).

It is known that the identity of the sugar group attached to the ester plays a role in modulating the antimicrobial activity (Smith et al., 2008; Nobmann et al., 2009). The antimicrobial effect of sugar esters has traditionally been measured and reported as MIC values, with no MBC values given. Smith et al. (2008) and Nobmann et al. (2009) reported MIC values in the range of 0.04 mM to 0.31 mM for lauric methyl α-glucopyranoside and lauric ester of methyl α-D-manno-pyranoside with S. aureus and Listeria strains. Watanabe et al. (2000) also showed inactivation of S. mutans by both galactose laurate and fructose laurate, with MIC values of 0.05 mg/ml and 0.2 mg/ml respectively, whereas hexose laurate did not suppress microbial growth. In a similar study, inhibitory effects of the sugar esters 6'-O-lauroylmaltose, 6'-O-lauroylsuccrose, and 6'-O-lauroylmaltotriose were observed against Streptococcus sobrinus, with MIC values of 0.1 mg/ml (Devulapalle et al., 2004). Therefore, laurate sugar esters have previously been shown to be microbial inhibitory against Gram-positive bacteria.

The importance of the fatty acid was investigated in this study using octanoic, decanoic, lauric, and myristic acids esterified to lactose. LMM and LMD were effective in controlling the growth of B. cereus, M. KMS and S. suis. Previous research showed that erythritol and xylitol monomyristoyl suppressed Bacillus growth with MIC values between 6.3 μg/ml and 12.5 μg/ml (Piao et al., 2006), which are lower than reported here. As for short chain esters, Zhang et al. (2014) reported that sucore and glucose octanoate had no inhibitory effect against S. aureus and E. coli H7:O157. In contrast, we showed LMO/DMSO to have microbial inhibitory activity against B. cereus, S. suis and L. monocytogenes with MIC values ranging from 3 mg/ml to 5 mg/ml respectively. Zhang et al. (2014) reported that sucore and glucose monodecanoate showed inhibitory effects against S. aureus at 4 mg/ml and 3 mg/ml, respectively. In a similar study, Smith et al. (2008) and Nobmann et al. (2009) reported that a glucose fatty acid ether containing decanoic acid showed the greatest activity against S. aureus and Listeria at concentrations of 0.04 mM but was effective against E. coli at 20 mM. In this study, we showed that LMD had MIC values for all bacteria tested except S. mutans, although MIC values were solvent dependent for M. KMS, L. monocytogenes and E. faecalis.

Our previous research (Wagh et al., 2012) showed that LML was not inhibitory to Gram-negative bacteria, E. coli O157:H7, Salmonella enterica or Klebsiella pneumonia and this study showed that the other esters (LMO, LMD and LMM) were not inhibitory to E. coli O157:H7 (data not shown). On the other hand, there are a limited number of studies showing microbial inhibitory properties of sugar esters against Gram-negative bacteria. Ferrer et al. (2005) and Habulin et al. (2008) both reported limited inhibition of E. coli by sucore monolaurate with MIC values of 4 mg/ml and 6.25 mg/ml respectively. Zhang et al. (2014) showed that methyl α-D-glucopyranoside monolaurate was effective in inhibiting the growth of both S. aureus and E. coli O157:H7 at a concentration of 0.188 mg/ml.

Compared to the amount of literature on the microbial inhibitory properties of sugar esters, there is very little information about the effects of the solvent used. Previous studies on microbial inhibitory activities of sugar esters involved dissolving sugar esters into an ethanol solution (Smith et al., 2008; Nobmann et al., 2009; Wagh et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2013) or DMSO (Ferrer et al., 2005) before diluting into growth media. Others have added esters directly into growth media (Develapalle et al., 2004; Piao et al., 2006). All the esters used in the current study were soluble in a 50% ethanol solution except LMM; therefore, we only tested LMM in DMSO. Previous studies with LML showed that final ethanol concentrations greater than 7.5% were microbial inhibitory towards L. monocytogenes (Chen et al., 2013). In this study we found that 10% ethanol was antimicrobial to M. KMS and 8% DMSO was antimicrobial/inhibitory to S. suis. The effect of the solvent on the cell growth can be observed by the log reductions in Table 3, specifically for S. suis with LMM/DMSO and LMO/DMSO.

In general, MIC values of the LML/EtOH treatments were lower than the LML/DMSO treatments suggesting compounding stress of both LML and ethanol leads to growth inhibition as suggested by Chen et al. (2013). Similar results are seen with LMD/EtOH, where MIC values were obtained for L. monocytogenes and E. faecalis, but not with LMD/DMSO. Conversely, the MBC values of LML/DMSO were lower or equal to the LML/EtOH values. Therefore, the effect of ethanol on the MBC values is not understood.
5. Conclusions

Results suggest that the chain length of the fatty acid ester significantly influences the microbial inhibitory and bactericidal activity of lactose esters towards Gram-positive bacteria. Lactose esters containing decanoate and laurate were more microbial inhibitory than esters containing octanoate and myristate. No esters inhibited the growth of the Gram-negative bacteria E. coli O157:H7. The solvent used to dissolve the esters influenced the microbial inhibitory activity of some bacteria. Ethanol (>7.5%) and DMSO (<8%) inhibited the growth of L. monocytogenes and S. suis respectively. Additional research on the microbial inhibitory activity of these esters in food systems without the need to prior dissolve in either ethanol or DMSO is needed.
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