The cypsela (achene) of *Echinacea purpurea* as a diffusion unit of a community of microorganisms
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Abstract

*Echinacea purpurea* is a plant cultivated worldwide for its pharmaceutical properties, mainly related to the stimulation of the immune system in the treatment of respiratory infections. The cypselas (fruits) of *E. purpurea* were examined in order to investigate the presence, localization and potential function(s) of endophytic microorganisms. Electron and confocal microscopy observations showed that three different components of microorganisms were associated to cypselas of *E. purpurea*: (i) one endocellular bacterial component in the cotyledons, enclosed within the host membrane; (ii) another more generic bacterial component adhering to the external side of the perianth; and (iii) a fungal component inside the porous layer of the perianth, the woody and porous modified residual of the flower, in the form of numerous hyphae able to cross the wall between adjacent cells. Isolated bacteria were affiliated to the genera *Paenibacillus*, *Pantoea*, and *Sanguibacter*. Plate tests showed a general resistance to six different antibiotics and also to an antimicrobial-producing *Rheinheimera* sp. test strain. Finally, microbiome-deprived *E. purpurea* seeds showed a reduced ability to germinate, suggesting an active role of the microbiome in the plant vitality. Our results suggest that the endophytic bacterial community of *E. purpurea*, previously found in roots and stem/leaves, might be already carried at the seed stage, hosted by the cotyledons. A further microbial fungal component is transported together with the seed in the perianth of the cypsela, whose remarkable structure may be considered as an adaptation for fungal transportation, and could influence the capability of the seed to germinate in the soil.

Key Points

• The fruit of *Echinacea purpurea* contains fungi not causing any damage to the plant.
• The seed cotyledons contain endocellular bacteria.
• Seed/fruit deprived of the microbiome showed a reduced ability to germinate.
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Introduction

Echinacea purpurea (L.) Moench (Asteraceae) is a widely cultivated plant, known worldwide for its pharmaceutical properties. This Echinacea species (as other species of the same genus) was used as a medicine by American indigenous people in Mexico for the ailment of various diseases, mainly sore mouth and throat, colic, stomach cramps, and toothache (Shemluck 1982). The fruits of Echinacea are called cypselas or cypsela, similar to the achenes, but derived from an inferior ovary (Simpson 2006). For this reason, the cypselas, externally to the pericarp present a further structure, the perianth, derived from the flower corolla (Spjut 1994).

The beneficial properties of Echinacea are mainly related to the stimulation of the immune system (Stuart and Wills 2003), but also analgesic, anti-inflammatory, and antibiotic activities have been proposed (Parsons et al. 2018). These medicinal effects are attributed to phytochemical compounds such as alkylamides, polysaccharides, and various phenolics like echinacaside, cichoric acid, caftaric, and chlorogenic acid (Parsons et al. 2018; Sharifi-Rad et al. 2018 and references therein).

Miller et al. (2012) and Chiellini et al. (2014) proposed that at least part of these medicinal properties of the plants may depend on the bacterial endophytes and our recent findings suggest that the bacterial endophytes could really affect the therapeutic features of these important medicinal plants (Maggini et al. 2017). Moreover, bacteria from different plant compartments showed specific antibiotic resistance and antibiotic production, suggesting that the bacterial communities may actively select their neighbors in the different plant compartments (Maggini et al. 2018). Further studies about the presence of microbial endophytes in Echinacea have shown that the bacterial communities vary between the compartments of the same species and between different species (Chiellini et al. 2014), suggesting the existence of a selective pressure responsible for structuring the microbial communities (Maida et al. 2014; Mengoni et al. 2014).

Endophytes can be defined as microorganisms living within the plant tissues with no pathogenic effects (Wilson 1995) and they are widely distributed in plants (Malfanova et al. 2013; Ryan et al. 2008). The presence of endophytes is considered useful for the plants, by mean of several direct and indirect interaction mechanisms, including hormones-mediated stimulation of plant growth, improvement of mineral nutrition, increase of abiotic stress resistance, and defense from phytopathogens (Lugtenberg and Kamilova 2009; Liu et al. 2017a). Modern “omics” technologies have demonstrated that such beneficial interactions depend on specific genetic traits of these microorganisms (Sharma et al. 2020), including genes involved in mineral nutrient metabolisms, antibiotic production/resistance, and sporulation: interestingly, such traits differ between beneficial microbes co-inoculated in plants (Gamez et al. 2020).

In E. purpurea, the presence of root and shoot endophytes has recently been related to the increase of alkylamides content and to the higher expression level of the valine decarboxylase (VDC) gene (Maggini et al. 2017), involved in the biosynthesis of the amine moieties of alkylamides (Rizhsky et al. 2016). These compounds, together with other phenolics, have been found at high levels in seeds of Echinacea (Parsons et al. 2018). However, no data concerning the presence, biodiversity, and localization of Echinacea seed-borne endophytes are known.

Seed-associated endophytes have been described as capable of performing different functions essential for the plant, such as phytohormone production (Shahzad et al. 2017; Alibrandi et al. 2018), seedling and plant growth promotion (Rahman et al. 2018; White et al. 2017; Hardoim et al. 2012), siderophore production (Díaz Herrera et al. 2016; Alibrandi et al. 2018), as well as antifungal property and antibiotic production (Verma et al. 2017; Fürnkranz et al. 2012; Donnarumma et al. 2011). Moreover, it has been recently shown in cereals that seed-associated bacteria have coevolved with the plant hosts during domestication, likely as a result of mutualistic reciprocal advantage (Abdullaeva et al. 2021).

Antibiotic resistance has been previously evaluated for E. purpurea and Echinacea angustifolia plants-associated bacterial endophytes (Maggini et al. 2018; Mengoni et al. 2014), and it has been hypothesized to be one of the factors shaping the plant-associated bacterial communities. Among seed endophytes, antibiotic resistance could hypothetically be implied in determining communities’ structure leading to the selection of those strains exhibiting higher probability of persistence and vertical transmission to the next plant generation.

The aim of the present work was to analyze the cypselas of E. purpurea in order to evaluate the possible presence of fungi and bacteria in the different components of the seed, i.e., perianth, pericarp, and cotyledons, to understand whether the symbiosis develops by secondary contact of the plant tissue with bacteria present in the environment, or whether the cypselas itself shows adaptation related to microbes’ transportation. Additionally, this work aimed at exploring the biodiversity of seed-borne endophytes by extracting and characterizing them from a taxonomic and functional viewpoint. Moreover, since seed-borne endophytes could be related to seed germination capability of different Echinacea spp., seed germinability was also evaluated.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Seeds of the E. purpurea were provided by the “Il Giardino delle Erbe,” an association devoted to the conservation of
plant biodiversity located in Casola Valsenio, Italy. The morphological characters were checked by A. P., and allowed identification at the species level. A part of the seeds is conserved at the Dept. of Biology of the Univ. of Florence. The plants are perennial, and are cultivated and maintained by the association “Il Giardino delle Erbe.”

We followed here the nomenclature and the general description of the Echinacea cypsela by Parsons et al. (2018) and Schultess et al. (1991). For the identification of the most frequent components of the parenchyma cotyledon cell (oil bodies and protein bodies), we followed Evert (2006), specifically page 54.

Fixation and embedding

Ten developing seeds were prefixed overnight in 1.25% glutaraldehyde at 4 °C in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), and then fixed in 1% OsO₄ in the same buffer for 1 h. After dehydration in an ethanol series 30–100%, 5 min each step, and a propylene oxide step, samples were embedded in Spurr’s epoxy resin.

Sectioning and staining for light and fluorescence microscopy

Seeds embedded in Spurr’s epoxy resin were transversely sectioned with glass knives to obtain semi thin sections (1–5 μm), which were stained with toluidine blue, 0.1%, then observed and photographed with a Leitz DM RB light microscope (Leica Microsystems, Mannheim, Germany). Not embedded seeds were instead sectioned with a cryostat to generate sections of 10–20 μm of thickness. Some of these seed sections were stained with 1% phloroglucinol (w/v) in 12% HCl for 5 min and observed with a brightfield light microscope for detecting lignin and with periodic acid-Schiff stain (PAS) reaction to detect starch. Another set of cryostat sections were stained with Sudan III for the detection and localization of lipids under brightfield microscopy (Brundrett et al. 1991). The remaining Cryostat sections were stained with Fluorol Yellow 088 and viewed with a fluorescent microscope Leica DM RB Flu (Leica Microsystems, Mannheim, Germany) in the range of 515–565 nm (green) to detect lipids (Brundrett et al. 1991). The image series with differential staining were treated with the python program ALLAMODA 2.0 (Papini 2012) to reduce noise.

Transmission electron microscopy

Seeds embedded in Spurr’s epoxy resin were further cut with a diamond knife to generate sections that were approximately 80 nm thick. These sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and then examined with a Philips EM300 TEM (Philips Electron Optics, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) operating at 80 kV.

Microscopy analysis by fluorescence in situ hybridization–confocal laser scanning microscopy

Seeds of E. purpurea were embedded in tissue freezing medium Jung (Leica Instruments GmbH, Nussloch, Germany), and longitudinal cryosections of 30 μm were obtained using the low-temperature constant-cooling cryostat HM 500 OM (MICROM, Walldorf, Germany) at −20 °C; the cryosections were gently washed in ×1 phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove the embedding medium, and fixed in 3:1(v:v) 4% paraformaldehyde:PBS for 6 h at 4 °C, then washed three times in ice-cold PBS (for 10/20/30 min stepwise, at 4 °C), and finally stored at −20 °C in 1:1 (v:v) ice-cold PBS:ice-cold 96% ethanol, until FISH staining.

The cryosections were stained by in tube-FISH according to Cardinale et al. (2008), using the Cy3-labeled EUB338MIX probe (the equimolar mixture of EUB338, EUB338II, and EUB338III probes), universal probe for bacteria (Amann et al. 1990; Daims et al. 1999). Hybridization was performed at 42 °C for 2 h in the dark, followed by washing at 43 °C. Stained samples were dipped for 5 s into ice-cold water, placed on a glass slide, dried out with soft compressed air, immediately mounted with antifade reagent, covered with a coverslip, and finally sealed with nail polish. The occurrence of false positive signals derived from aspecific adhesion of FISH probes or fluorochromes to seed structures was checked by staining a subsample with Cy3-labeled NONEUB probe.

Stained samples were observed with the confocal laser scanning system Leica SP8 (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Four confocal channels were acquired, one for the Cy3-conferred signal of the EUBMIX probe (excitation, 561 nm; emission, 570–625 nm) and three further channels for the autofluorescence of the seed tissues (excitations, 405, 488, and 633 nm; emissions, 420–480, 500–545, and 650–700 nm, respectively). All signals were acquired sequentially. Confocal stacks were acquired with a Leica 63X 1.0 NA water-immersion objective by applying a Z-step of 0.6–0.8 μm, and visualized by maximum projections and volume-renderings with the software Imaris version 8.2 (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland). Final figures were assembled with Adobe Photoshop CS6 (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, USA).
To confirm that the sterilization process was successful, 1 ml of the last washing water of surface-sterilized seed was incubated on different culture agar media (LB, SFM, R2YE, TSB, PDA) and examined for growth after incubation at 30 °C for 4 days.

The surface-sterilized seeds were immersed in Falcon tubes containing sterile distilled water for 1 h at room temperature and then ground with a Potter-Elvehjem Tissue Grinder (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA) in 2 ml phosphate buffer saline (PBS: 140 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 2 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.4), and finally shaken at 150 rpm for 1 h. One hundred microliter of suspension were plated on Luria-Bertani (LB), mannitol soya flour (MS) 138, and R2YE agar media. The plates were incubated at 30 °C until appearance of microbial colonies. The colonies, grown on the culture media, were selected by phenotypic criteria (pigmentation and morphology) and repeatedly streaked on new agar media to obtain pure cultures.

Random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis

Cell lysates of the endophytic bacterial isolates were obtained by thermal lysis incubating an isolated bacterial colony for each isolate at 95 °C for 10 min, and cooling on ice for 5 min. Amplification of DNA was performed on 2 μl of cell lysate in a 25-μl total volume reaction composed by × 1 reaction buffer, 300 μM MgCl₂, deoxynucleoside triphosphate (200 μM each), 0.5 U of PolyTaq DNA polymerase (all reagents were from Polymed, Florence, Italy), 500 ng of primer 1253 [5'-GTTTCCGCCC-3'] (Mocali et al. 2003). Amplification conditions were the following: 90 °C for 1 min, and 95 °C for 90 s followed by 45 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 36 °C for 1 min, and 75 °C for 2 min. Finally, the reaction mixtures were incubated at 75 °C for 10 min, 60 °C for 10 min, and 5 °C for 10 min. Reaction products were analyzed by agarose (2% w/v) gel electrophoresis in Tris-acetate EDTA buffer (TAE) containing 0.5 μg ethidium bromide/ml. Bacterial isolates showing the same RAPD fingerprinting were grouped together into a haplotype.

PCR amplification and sequencing of 16S rRNA genes

PCR amplification of 16S rRNA genes was carried out in 20-μl reactions using DreamTaq DNA Polymerase reagents (Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) according to manufacturer’s recommendations, and 0.5 μM of primers P0 (5'-GAGAGTTTGGATCCTGGCCTCAG) and P6 (5'-CTACGGCTACCTGTGTACG) (Di Cello and Fani 1996); 1 μl of cell lysate was used as template. Amplification conditions were the following: 90 s denaturation at 95 °C, 30 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 50 °C, and 1 min at 72 °C, followed by a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C. Direct sequencing of the amplified 16S rRNA genes was performed with primer P0 by an external company (IGA Technology Services-Udine, Italy). Each 16S rRNA gene sequence was submitted to GenBank (accession numbers from MH670937 to MH670951). Taxonomic affiliation of the 16S rRNA gene sequences were attributed using the “classifier” tool of the Ribosomal Database Project—RDP (Cole et al. 2014).

BioEdit Software (Hall 1999) was used to align the obtained sequences together with high quality sequences of closely related type strains downloaded from the RDP database. MEGA5 Software (Tamura et al. 2011) was used for phylogenetic tree construction, by using the neighbor-joining algorithm; the robustness of the inferred trees was evaluated by 1000 bootstrap resampling.

Antibiotic resistance

Endophytic bacterial strains were assayed for their antibiotic resistance on tryptic soy agar medium (TSA) supplemented with one of the following antibiotics, showing different mechanisms of action: chloramphenicol, inhibiting translation by binding the 50S ribosomal subunit; ciprofloxacin, blocking DNA replication through the inhibition of DNA gyrase; rifampicin, blocking transcription by binding the β subunit of RNA polymerase; streptomycin, kanamycin, and tetracycline, altering translation by inhibiting the translocation of the peptidyl-RNA from the A-site to the P-site. Briefly, each strain was grown on TSA medium for 48 h at 30 °C, then a colony of each strain was suspended in 100 μl saline solution (0.9% NaCl), streaked on TSA medium supplemented with different antibiotic concentrations and afterwards incubated at 30 °C for 48 h. Isolates were also streaked on TSA plates without antibiotics in order to evaluate their growth in absence of antibiotics. Results were obtained by comparing the growth of an isolate on TSA supplemented with one of the antibiotics to the growth registered in only TSA medium. Levels of growth were defined as complete growth, weak growth, or absent growth corresponding respectively to resistance, partial resistance, and sensibility to the antibiotic. Moreover, in order to obtain an easier visualization of results, these were associated to colors as follows: white for complete growth, salmon for weak growth, and red for absent growth.

The following antibiotic concentrations (in μg/ml) were tested: chloramphenicol (1-2.5-5-10-25-50); ciprofloxacin (0.5-1-2.5-5-10-50); rifampicin (5-10-25-50); streptomycin and kanamycin (0.5-1-2.5-5-10-50); tetracycline (0.5-1.25-2.5-5-12.5-25).
Antagonistic interactions by *E. purpurea* rhizosphere–associated *Rheinheimera* strain EpRS3 towards *E. purpurea* seed endophytes

Inhibitory activity of *Rheinheimera* strain EpRS3 towards endophytic strains from *E. purpurea* seeds was assayed using the cross-streak method (Maida et al. 2014). EpRS3 *Rheinheimera* was termed tester strain, while seed-endophytic strains were referred to as target strains. The tester strain was streaked across one-half of a TSA plate and grown at 30 °C for 48 h to promote the production of antimicrobial compounds. Then, target strains were streaked perpendicularly to tester strain and plates were further incubated at 30 °C for 48 h. Additionally, target strains were grown at 30 °C for 48 h, in order to control their proper growth in absence of the tester strain. The antagonistic effect was indicated by the absence or reduction of the target strain growth. Each interaction was tested twice.

Seed germinability

Germination tests of the seed lot (harvest year: 2017) were conducted under two treatment conditions, on Petri dishes containing Linsmaier and Skoog solid medium (LS) including vitamins (Duchefa Biochemie, Haarlem, The Netherlands) after seed sterilization, or in plastic trays containing a mixture of 1:1 (v/v) perlite:vermiculite, previously sterilized into an oven at 180 °C for 3 h. In order to mimic in vivo conditions, in this last case seeds were not sterilized. Seed sterilization was carried out following the procedure described in Maggini et al. (2017). Briefly, 100 seeds were immersed in a 70% (v/v) ethanol for 1 min and in a successive 5% sodium hypochlorite solution for 8 min. Seeds were then rinsed three times with sterile distilled water, kept overnight at 4 °C in the dark for growth synchronization and then germinated in 60 mm Petri dishes containing 10 ml of LS supplemented with 1% sucrose and 0.6% plant agar (LAB Associates BV, The Netherlands). Petri dishes were then incubated at 24 ± 1 °C in the dark until primary root formation and then in the light at 1500 lux, with a 16-h photoperiod and 90% relative humidity, until cotyledons development. The same number of seeds without previous sterilization, were kept at 4 °C in the dark and then sown in plastic trays with 1:1 by volume perlite:vermiculite mixture, incubated in a growth chamber at 24 ± 1 °C and irrigated twice a week with tape water until cotyledons development. In both conditions, germination data were recorded after 30 days of culture. Seeds were considered germinated after the development of cotyledons. The experiments were repeated twice. Statistical significance was measured using the one-way ANOVA (*P* < 0.05) test. Mean separations were performed using the method of Tukey. The analyses were performed by using the modules present in the PAST program (Hammer et al. 2001), version 3.15.

Results

Anatomical observations and localization of microorganisms

The *E. purpurea* cypselas showed a more external layer (perianth) of variable thickness, porous, and partially lignified (Fig. 1A–B). Fungal hyphae were observed inside the cells forming the perianth (Figs. 1B, 2B). The cell walls of the perianth were PAS positive (Fig. 3A). Outside of this layer, clusters of microorganisms appeared to adhere strongly to the external boundary of the perianth (Fig. 1C), since they were observed even after the fixation and inclusion procedure (no previous fruit washing was done in this case).

Inside the perianth layer, a space opened, lined by a bicellular layer of sclereids (pericarp) showing a dark material (phytomelanin) in the intercellular spaces outside the internal tangential walls towards the perianth (Fig. 1D). The sclereids layer contained Sudan III positive droplets (Fig. 3B). The space between the pericarp and the seed coat contained secretory canals, constituted by an external suberized (Sudan III positive, data not shown) monocellular layer and an internal layer of living cells surrounding a central space (Fig. 3C). Inside the pericarp, a flattened endosperm layer surrounded the rest of the seed (Fig. 3D) where the cotyledon cells appeared to apparently contain two types of large bodies with a different degree of positivity to toluidine blue (Fig. 3D).

The TEM images confirmed the presence of microorganisms outside the perianth, adhering to the external tegument (Fig. 2A). A layer with a low level of electron density was observed outside the last outer perianth cells. Some microorganisms were observed included in this layer (Fig. 2A). Within the perianth, septate hyphae were able to occupy almost the entire volume of some cells that appeared empty of cytoplasm (Fig. 3B).

Inside the seed, the cotyledon cells appeared occupied by large oil bodies and protein bodies (Fig. 2C). Between some lipid bodies, endophytic bacteria of 2.5 μm occupied a narrow space with only a few nm between the external bacterial wall and the lipid bodies (Fig. 2D). The cotyledon cell nuclei showed a very condensed chromatin (Fig. 4A). Some endophytic bacteria appeared smaller (less than 1 μm) in comparison with those observed in Fig. 2D, close to the wall of the cotyledon parenchyma cells, with a larger space.
between the bacterial wall and the surrounding plant cell membrane, while other bodies of more complex identification were apparently surrounded by an electron transparent wall (Fig. 4B). Some of the endophytic microorganisms apparently showed an electron transparent cell wall (Fig. 4C and Fig. 4D).
FISH and confocal localization of bacteria

In order to confirm the presence of bacteria both in the surface and within the seeds, FISH staining was carried out on *E. purpurea* seeds. Bacterial cells were detected abundantly on the seed surface and in the seed endosphere (red objects in Fig. 5A, D). However, on the seed surface, a high number of additional spherical objects, larger than the bacteria and fluorescing in the range 650–700 nm, were detected (green objects in Fig. 5A). This is the typical wavelength range of the chlorophyll. Therefore, the most probable explanation is that these round-shaped, autofluorescent objects are microalgae or Cyanobacteria. As a further support to this hypothesis, the same objects appeared in the FISH negative controls (Fig. 5C). The three-dimensional models clearly showed that the microbes were preferentially localized in the concavity of the rough seed surface (Fig. 5B); the latter is clearly visible thanks to the other autofluorescent signals (blue/cyan in Fig. 5F). In the seed endosphere, bacteria were localized between the plant cells but also inside them (Fig. 5E). Negative controls (sections stained by the non-sense probe NONEUB) showed just a few red objects compatible with the Cy3 signal on the seed surface (Fig. 5C) but no signal in the seed endosphere apart from the plant autofluorescence (Fig. 5F).

**Isolation and affiliation of cultivable bacteria from *E. purpurea* seeds**

Cultivable bacteria were detected inside *E. purpurea* seeds. After 3–7 days of incubation, bacterial colonies appeared on
the surface of agar medium plates inoculated with the surface-sterilized seed suspensions. The microbial isolates were preliminarily grouped by using phenotypic criteria as pigmentation and morphology and a total of 37 strains were selected and finally obtained as pure cultures. These were taxonomically affiliated by sequencing and analysis of 16S rRNA genes. Sequence analysis revealed that the bacterial isolates were affiliated to three genera, i.e., *Paenibacillus* (19 isolates), *Pantoea* (16 isolates), and *Sanguibacter* (2 isolates) (Table 1). The comparative analysis of the 16S rRNA sequences revealed that some isolates had identical sequences (see for instance the *Paenibacillus* isolates 16, 24, and 27, or the *Pantoea* isolates 5, 6, 26, 36, and 38), strongly suggesting that they belong to the same species and possibly to the same strain. The phylogenetic analyses performed on *Paenibacillus* spp., *Pantoea* spp., and *Sanguibacter* spp. (Fig. 6 A–C and Supplementary Fig. S1 for full phylogeny of *Paenibacillus*) revealed that (i) the eight *Paenibacillus* sequences grouped in two clusters, the first (composed of five isolates) closely related to *Paenibacillus hordei*, and the second one (three isolates) closely related to *Paenibacillus xylanexedens* (Fig. 6A); (ii) the four *Pantoea* 16S rRNA gene sequences were split into two groups, the first one, including isolates 4, 36, and 39, clustered together and close to *Pantoea*...
**Structure of endophytic bacterial community isolated from E. purpurea seeds**

The 37 bacterial isolates extracted from *E. purpurea* seeds were then submitted to RAPD fingerprinting analysis in order to determine the isolates' variability at the strain level and to analyze the community structure. All RAPD profiles were compared to each other and isolates showing the same RAPD profile were grouped together into a haplotype. As shown in Table 1, 15 RAPD haplotypes were identified out of the 37 analyzed bacterial isolates. The 15 observed RAPD haplotypes correspond at least to 15 bacterial strains. Among the haplotypes, 7 were composed by only one bacterial strain, one was composed by 2 isolates, three haplotypes were composed by 3 isolates, two haplotypes comprised 4 isolates, two haplotypes showed 5 and 6 isolates each. According to the 16S rRNA gene sequence data, isolates with the same RAPD profile exhibited the same sequence.

**Antibiotic resistance profiles of bacterial endophytes from E. purpurea seeds**

The strains isolated from *E. purpurea* seeds were analyzed for their resistance to six different antibiotics at different concentrations. Among all the tested antibiotics, rifampicin and ciprofloxacin appeared to be the most effective ones (Fig. 7).
None of the isolates were able to grow at rifampicin maximum tested concentration (100 μg/ml) and most isolates (45.9%) were able to grow only at the minimum antibiotic tested concentration (5 μg/ml). No isolate was able to grow on ciprofloxacin maximum tested concentration (50 μg/ml), and the majority of isolates (40.5%) was able to grow only at a concentration of 0.5 μg/ml, the minimal around tested concentration.

The recorded antibiotic resistance profiles varied within a single bacterial genus, since isolates belonging to the same genus showed different resistance patterns.

Antagonistic interactions by *E. purpurea* rhizosphere–associated strain EpRS3 *Rheinheimera* towards *E. purpurea* seed endophytes

The EpRS3 *Rheinheimera* strain, isolated from the rhizosphere of *E. purpurea* plants, as described by Chiellini et al. (2014) and exhibiting notable antimicrobial effects (Chiellini et al. 2017; Presta et al. 2017), was tested for its ability to inhibit the growth of *E. purpurea* seeds endophytic strains, following the cross-streak method. Tests showed that all the analyzed target strains were able to grow properly in presence of the tester strain EpRS3 *Rheinheimera*, showing that the antimicrobial molecules synthesized by the EpRS3 strain did not inhibit the growth of seed-borne endophytes.

Germination rate analysis

We examined *E. purpurea* seeds to analyze germination rates under in vitro (LS medium) and in vivo (1:1 by volume ratio perlite:vermiculite) growth conditions (Fig. 8). In general, a higher germination percentage was shown when not sterilized seeds were sown on perlite:vermiculite mixture in comparison with in vitro germination capability of sterilized seeds on LS medium. Data reported in Fig. 1 clearly show that a significant difference (*P* value <0.01) can be observed in *E. purpurea* (Fig. 8).

Discussion

In this work, we incontrovertibly showed that seeds of the medicinal plant *E. purpurea* harbor a community of microorganisms including bacteria, fungi and, probably, microalgae (or cyanobacteria). This community was paucispecific when analyzed by cultivation-dependent methods, and colonized almost all seed tissues.

Parsons et al. (2018) observed that the removal of the perianth from the cypsela in *Echinacea* reduced the germination rate. We observed that the perianth of *E. purpurea* contained a remarkable presence of fungi that appeared to occupy the interior of the particular cell types present in this fruit organ, apparently dead and lignified at maturity and empty of cytoplasmic remnants. This observation may be considered an
indirect evidence of the importance of the fungal component at least for seed germination in the soil, where it may play a role in collecting nutrients at the beginning of germination, thus explaining the reduced germination rate in perianth-deprived cypselas. Our data on germination capability of both sterilized and not sterilized Echinacea seeds are consistent with this statement. The presence of fungal endophytes in Echinacea was previously recorded by Rosa et al. (2012) who attributed to their presence the property of protecting the plant from phytopathogenic fungi by production of specific compounds.

In the seed, the endophytic bacteria appear to be localized in the cotyledon cells and to be at least of three different types: large with few spaces between bacterial wall and plant cell surrounding membrane, normally among lipid bodies; a second type of smaller dimension, apparently with a large wall and a larger space between wall and a surrounding plant cell membrane and a third type large and with a very electron dense cytoplasm. These endophytes were endocellular, whereas no endophyte was observed either in the intercellular spaces or in the walls. The bacteria were enclosed in a membrane structure similarly to the situation observed for other endocellular bacteria such as Mollicutes, as those find in the fungus Geosiphon pyriformis by Schüßler and Kluge (2001). Mollicutes however do not have a cell wall and assume an amoeboid shape. No clear evidence of the bacterial cell wall was observed here, but the shape of the bacterium was maintained, suggesting that a bacterial wall is present. The endophytic bacteria in Echinacea seeds were enclosed within the host membrane, apparently leaving a very narrow space between this last and the bacterial membrane: this may suggest a high interchange of substances between the bacterium and its host and hence a not pathogenic relationship.

The FISH analysis with confocal microscopy observations suggests the presence of bacteria in the cotyledons, working hence as an indirect confirmation of the identity of the structures described as endocellular bacteria with the TEM. The observation of fluorescent unicellular organisms on the external side of the cypselas, fluorescing in the range 650–700 nm, would correspond to chlorophyll-containing organisms that can be tentatively assigned to Cyanobacteria since no nuclei were observed with TEM investigation, even if the images were not able to discriminate thylakoids. The possible

Table 1 RAPD analysis and taxonomic affiliation of E. purpurea seed-associated bacterial endophytes

| RAPD haplotype | Isolate number | GenBank accession number | Genus affiliation |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 1              | 16             | MH670946                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 24             | MH670947                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 27             | MH670942                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 5              | MH670944                 | Pantoea          |
|                | 6              | MH670945                 | Pantoea          |
|                | 26             | MH670940                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 36             | MH670937                 | Pantoea          |
|                | 38             | MH670941                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 3             | MH670943                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 17             | MH670947                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 18             | MH670948                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 10             | MH670949                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 21             | MH670950                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 22             | MH670951                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 23             | MH670952                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 24             | MH670953                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 28             | MH670954                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 25             | MH670955                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 29             | MH670956                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 39             | MH670957                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 40             | MH670958                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 41             | MH670959                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 42             | MH670960                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 43             | MH670961                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 44             | MH670962                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 45             | MH670963                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 46             | MH670964                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 47             | MH670965                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 48             | MH670966                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 49             | MH670967                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 50             | MH670968                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 51             | MH670969                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 52             | MH670970                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 53             | MH670971                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 54             | MH670972                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 55             | MH670973                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 56             | MH670974                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 57             | MH670975                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 58             | MH670976                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 59             | MH670977                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 60             | MH670978                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 61             | MH670979                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 62             | MH670980                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 63             | MH670981                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 64             | MH670982                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 65             | MH670983                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 66             | MH670984                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 67             | MH670985                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 68             | MH670986                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 69             | MH670987                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 70             | MH670988                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 71             | MH670989                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 72             | MH670990                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 73             | MH670991                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 74             | MH670992                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 75             | MH670993                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 76             | MH670994                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 77             | MH670995                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 78             | MH670996                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 79             | MH670997                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 80             | MH670998                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 81             | MH670999                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 82             | MH671000                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 83             | MH671001                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 84             | MH671002                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 85             | MH671003                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 86             | MH671004                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 87             | MH671005                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 88             | MH671006                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 89             | MH671007                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 90             | MH671008                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 91             | MH671009                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 92             | MH671010                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 93             | MH671011                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 94             | MH671012                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 95             | MH671013                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 96             | MH671014                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 97             | MH671015                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 98             | MH671016                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 99             | MH671017                 | Paenibacillus    |
|                | 100            | MH671018                 | Paenibacillus    |

Fig. 6 Phylogenetic analyses of seed endophytes. Phylogenetic trees showing relationships among A Paenibacillus sp. isolated strains, and Paenibacillus sp. type strains from RDP database; B Pantoea sp. isolated strains, and Pantoea sp. type strains from RDP database; and C Sanguibacter sp. isolated strains, and Sanguibacter sp. type strains from RDP database. The trees were constructed based on 16S rRNA gene sequences, with neighbor-joining algorithm and 1000 bootstrap values. E. purpurea seed-associated bacterial endophytes are indicated with EPS followed by isolate number and accession number.
meaning of the presence of cyanobacteria on the cypsela surface is not yet clear and should be furtherly evaluated.

The bacteria present on the outer side of the perianth apparently did not cross the perianth barrier that appeared to be occupied only by fungi, while the phytomelanin barrier apparently arrested the penetration of fungal hyphae towards the seed. Phytomelanin is chemically considered a compound derived from carbohydrates (Pandey et al. 2014) or from "phytoacetylen" (Tadesse and Crawford 2014). Its function has not yet been clarified, being attributed to this layer the property of providing resistance against desiccation and predator insects (Pandey et al. 2014). Our observations suggest that the phytomelanin could play a role in blocking the fungi present in the perianth.

The analysis of the composition of *E. purpurea* seed-associated cultivable bacterial communities highlighted the predominance of *Paenibacillus* and *Pantoea*. These genera were also the most represented among the studied bacterial communities.

### Table 1: Antibiotic resistance patterns of *E. purpurea* seed-associated bacterial endophytes

| Genus    | Strain | Tetracycline | Rifampicin | Streptomycin | Chloramphenicol | Kanamycin | Ciprofloxacin |
|----------|--------|--------------|------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|
|          |        | 0.5 1.25 2.5 12.5 5 10 50 100 |          | 0.5 1 2.5 5 10 50 |          | 0.5 1 2.5 5 10 50 |          |
| Paenibacillus | 1     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 2      |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 3      |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 4      |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 5      |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 6      |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 7      |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 8      |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 9      |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 10     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 11     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 12     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 13     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 14     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
| Pantoea  | 15     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 16     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 17     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 18     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 19     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 20     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 21     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 22     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 23     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 24     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 25     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 26     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 27     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 28     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 29     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 30     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 31     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 32     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 33     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 34     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 35     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 36     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 37     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 38     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 39     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 40     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 41     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 42     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 43     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 44     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 45     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 46     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 47     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 48     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 49     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 50     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 51     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 52     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 53     |              |            |              |                |           |              |
| Sanguibacter | 13    |              |            |              |                |           |              |
|          | 14     |              |            |              |                |           |              |

Fig. 7 Antibiotic resistance patterns of a panel of 37 *E. purpurea* seed-associated bacterial endophytes. White color corresponds to complete growth (resistant phenotype), salmon color corresponds to weak growth (partially resistant phenotype), and red color corresponds to absent growth (sensible phenotype).

Fig. 8 Effect of sterilization on germination rate of *Echinacea purpurea* seeds. Germinated seeds were scored after 30 days of culture on LS medium (sterilized seeds) or in plastic trays containing 1:1 perlite:vermiculite mixture at 24 ± 3°C with a 16-h photoperiod. Bars show the average ± standard deviations between two replicates (n = 100). Pair-wise comparisons were determined between sterilized and not sterilized seeds according to Tukey test. **P<0.01.
communities associated to seeds of different plants such as *Oryza sativa* (Verna et al. 2017; Hardoim et al. 2012; Ruiz et al. 2011; Kaga et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2017b; Mano et al. 2006), *Phragmites australis* (White et al. 2017), *Triticum aestivum* (Díaz Herrera et al. 2016), *Hordeum vulgare* (Rahman et al. 2018), *Tylosoema esculentum* (Chimwamurombe et al. 2016), *Zea mays* (Liu et al. 2013; Rijavec et al. 2007), *Arachis hypogaea* (Sobolev et al. 2013), *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Rosenblueth et al. 2012), *Curcubita pepo* (Fürnkranz et al. 2012), *Vitis vinifera* (Compant et al. 2011), *Fraxinus* (Donnarumma et al. 2011), *Nicotiana tabacum* (Mastretta et al. 2009), *Eucalyptus* (Ferreira et al. 2008), and *Coffea arabica* (Vega et al. 2005). The genus *Sanguibacter* was detected in *E. purpurea* seeds in a smaller percentage of isolates. This genus was observed among the microbiome associated to both *H. vulgare* and *N. tabacum* seeds (Rahman et al. 2018; Mastretta et al. 2009). Antibiotic resistance assays showed that many of the analyzed isolates were able to grow at different concentrations of the tested antibiotics, and to resist in some cases to high concentrations. Antibiotic resistance could be an important phenotype for seed-borne endophytes since it could preserve them from many adverse conditions and allow them to persist within seeds up to germination and plant development. In fact, antagonistic interactions showed that the rhizospheric strain EpRS3 *Rheinheimera* was not able to influence the growth of the bacterial endophytes associated to *E. purpurea* seeds, and this might suggest that these latter are compatible with the first and important for the plant germination and development, so that they are resistant to antimicrobial effects that might take place in the rhizosphere.

In conclusion, our results suggest that an endophytic bacterial community of *E. purpurea* is already present at the seed stage, hosted by the cotyledons, in addition to being in roots and stem/leaves of the adult plant. In seeds, the endophytic bacteria are localized inside the cells and not in the intercellular spaces. A further microbial fungal component is transported together with the seed in the perianth of the cypsela and may influence the capability of the seed to germinate in the soil. The cypsela of *Echinacea* may be considered an adapted envelope to transport two or more microbial components together with the seed in order to improve germinability.
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