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Abstract

We show under which conditions optical Gaussian beams, propagating throughout an homogeneous dielectric right angle prism, present an asymmetric Goos–Hänchen (GH) effect. This asymmetric behavior is seen for incidence at critical angles and happens in the propagation direction of the outgoing beam. The asymmetric GH effect can also be seen as an amplification of the standard GH shift. Due to the fact that it only depends on the ratio between the wavelength and the minimal waist size of the incoming Gaussian beam, it can also be used to determine one of these parameters. Multiple-peak interference is an additional phenomenon seen in the presence of such asymmetric effects.
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1. Introduction

The behavior of laser Gaussian beams in the presence of symmetric and asymmetric wavenumber distributions is the subject matter of this paper. The importance of the difference among such kinds of distributions can be understood if one takes into account the analogy between optics \([1, 2]\) and non-relativistic quantum mechanics \([3, 4]\) and discusses the behavior between stationary and dynamical maxima.

A non-relativistic free (Gaussian) particle in its rest frame is described by the following wavepacket:

\[
\Psi(x, y, t) = \psi_0 \frac{d}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_x \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_y \times \exp \left[ \frac{-(k_x^2 + k_y^2)d^2}{4} \right. \left. + \frac{i(k_x x + k_y y - \hbar k_y^2/2m)t}{1 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{1 + i \frac{2\hbar}{md^2}}} \right]
\]

This wave convolution is the solution of the two-dimensional Schrödinger equation \([3]\)

\[
\left[ \partial_{xx} + \partial_{yy} + 2\frac{m}{\hbar} \partial_t \right] \Psi(x, y, t) = 0. \quad (2)
\]

The Gaussian probability density, \(\left| \Psi(x, y, t) \right|^2\), grows with the beam diameter as a function of time. Its maximum, which decreases for increasing values of time, is always located at \(x = y = 0\). It represents a stationary maximum. It is obvious that the previous analysis is a consequence of the choice of a symmetric momentum distribution centered at \(k_x = k_y = 0\).

To illustrate the idea behind our study, let us consider a Gaussian momentum distribution with only positive momentum values for \(k_y\), i.e.

\[
\Phi(x, y, t) = \phi_0 \frac{d}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} dk_y \left[ \frac{x}{d} \cdot \frac{\hbar t}{md^2} \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{y}{d} \cdot \frac{\hbar t}{md^2} \right] \times \exp \left[ -\frac{k_y^2 d^2}{4} + \frac{ik_y y - \hbar k_y^2/2m}{1 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{1 + i \frac{2\hbar}{md^2}}} \right]. \quad (3)
\]
The maximum of this distribution can be estimated by using a basic principle of asymptotic analysis [5]. For oscillatory integrals, the rapid oscillation over the range of integration means that the integrand averages to zero. To avoid this cancelation rule, the phase has to be calculated when it is stationary, i.e.

$$0 \equiv \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \left[ k_y y - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \right] \right]_{k_y = k_y(\omega)} \implies y = \frac{\hbar (k_y)}{m t}.$$  

The breaking of symmetry in the Gaussian momentum distribution implies now an expected value of $k_y$ different from zero:

$$\langle k_y \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} dk_y k_y \exp \left[ -\frac{k_y^2 d^2}{4} \right] \int_0^{+\infty} dk_y \times \exp \left[ -\frac{k_y^2 d^2}{4} \right] = \frac{2}{d \sqrt{\pi}}$$  

and, consequently, a dynamical maximum at

$$y_{\text{max}} = \frac{2\hbar}{md \sqrt{\pi}} t.$$  

For non-relativistic quantum particles, the difference between stationary and dynamical maxima can be roughly represented as the difference between symmetric and asymmetric wavenumber distributions. The aim of this paper is to investigate under which conditions we can reproduce dynamical maxima for laser Gaussian beams propagating throughout a homogeneous dielectric right angle prism.

The Maxwell equations

$$\left[ \nabla^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{c^2} \right] E(r, t) = 0,$$  

for time harmonic electric fields (exp$[-i\omega t]$) and for plane waves, modulated by a complex amplitude $A(r)$, which travel along the $z$-direction (exp$[i k z]$ with $k = \omega / c = 2\pi / \lambda$),

$$E(r, t) = E_0 e^{i(kz-\omega t)} A(r),$$

reduce to [6]

$$0 = E_0 e^{i(kz-\omega t)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \partial_{xx} + \partial_{yy} \partial_{zz} + 2ik \partial_z \\ -k^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \end{array} \right] A(r)$$

$$- = E_0 e^{i(kz-\omega t)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \partial_{xx} + \partial_{yy} + \partial_{zz} + 2ik \partial_z \end{array} \right] A(r).$$  

In the paraxial approximation [1], $A(r)$ is a slowly varying function of $z$ and the previous equation becomes

$$\left[ \partial_{xx} + \partial_{yy} + 2ik \partial_z \right] A(x, y, z) = 0.$$  

The analogy between the paraxial approximation of the Maxwell equations, equation (8), and the non-relativistic Schrödinger equation, equation (2), is then clear if we consider the following correspondence rules [7, 8]:

$$z \leftrightarrow t \quad \text{and} \quad k \leftrightarrow m / h.$$  

It is interesting to ask in which circumstances, by using optical paraxial beams, it is possible to have an asymmetrical wavenumber distribution and, consequently, produce a dynamical shift. The study presented in this paper aims to give a satisfactory answer to this intriguing question.

Gaussian beams are the simplest kinds of paraxial beams provided by a laser source. The electric field amplitude of the incident paraxial Gaussian beam is given by [9, 10]

$$E(r, t) = E_0 e^{i(kz-\omega t)} \frac{w_0^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_x \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_y$$

$$\times \exp \left[ -\frac{(k_x^2 + k_y^2)w_0^2}{4} \right] + i \left( k_x x + k_y y - \frac{k_x^2 + k_y^2}{2k} \right)$$

$$= E_0 e^{i(kz-\omega t)} \mathcal{A}(r).$$  

where $w_0$ is the minimal waist size of the beam. After performing the $k_x$ and $k_y$ integrations, we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}(r) = \mathcal{G} \left( \frac{x}{w_0} \frac{z}{k w_0} \right) \mathcal{G} \left( \frac{y}{w_0} \frac{z}{k w_0} \right).$$  

The density probability distribution of the Gaussian electric field,

$$|E(r, t)|^2 = |E_0|^2 \left[ \frac{w_0^2}{w(z)^2} \right] \exp \left[ -\Delta^2 x^2 + y^2 \right].$$  

grows with the beam diameter as a function of the $z$-distance from the beam waist $w_0$:

$$w(z) = w_0 \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{2z}{k w_0} \right)^2}.$$  

The maximum, which decreases for increasing values of $z$, is always located at $x = y = 0$. This maximum plays the role of the stationary maximum for the quantum non-relativistic particle in its rest frame.

In this paper, we investigate the behavior of optical Gaussian beams which propagate through a right angle prism; see figure 1. For incidence angle $\theta > \theta_c$, the beam is totally reflected at the second interface, and its wavenumber distribution is symmetric and centered at $k_x = k_y = 0$. Consequently, the Goos–Hänchen shift [11] is stationary in the direction of the beam propagation. The optical phenomenon in which linearly polarized light undergoes a small phase shift, $\delta \approx \lambda$, when totally internally reflected is widely investigated in the literature [12–19]. For incidence at and near critical angles [20–22], we find a frequency crossover in the GH shift which leads to an amplification effect, $\delta_c \approx \sqrt{k w_0 \lambda}$. In this paper, we shall present a new effect for incidence at critical angles. Depending on the magnitude of $k w_0$, only the positive values of $k_y$, in the wavenumber distribution, contribute to reflection and this asymmetry produces a dynamical Goos–Hänchen shift. It is thus the breaking of the symmetry in the wavenumber distribution which opens the door to a dynamical maximum.
In order to follow the beam motion within the dielectric, we have to introduce two new rotations of the axes (see figure 1(a)): 

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
y \, \zout
\end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{3\pi}{4}
y \, \z
\end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{\pi}{2}
y \, \z
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(15)

with \(z_s\) and \(z_{out}\) respectively normal to the second and third dielectric/air interfaces. The spatial phase of the beam moving within the dielectric in the direction of the last dielectric/air discontinuity can be given in terms of the outgoing axes:

\[
q_{out} \cdot r_{out} = k_s x + q_{out} y + q_{z_{out}} z_{out},
\]

(16)

where

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_{out} \\
q_{z_{out}}
\end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{3\pi}{4} \\
q_{s} \\
-q_{z_s} \\
-q_{z_{in}}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Observe that the spatial phase of the reflected beam at the second dielectric/air interface is obtained replacing \(q_{z_s}\) by \(-q_{z_s}\). Finally,

\[
k_{out} \cdot r_{out} = k_s x + q_{out} y + \sqrt{k_s^2 - q_{out}^2} z_{out}
\]

\[
= k_s x + k_{x_{out}} z_{out} + k_{y_{out}} y_{out}
\]

\[
= k_s x + [k_s \cos(2\theta) - k_y \sin(2\theta)] y_{out}
\]

\[
+ [k_s \sin(2\theta) + k_y \cos(2\theta)] z_{out},
\]

(17)

As expected from the Snell law [1, 2],

\[
[V(k_{out} \cdot r_{out})]_{(k_s, k_y, 0)} = [0, k \cos(2\theta), k \sin(2\theta)].
\]

(18)

The amplitude of the outgoing beam is given by [9, 10]

\[
A_{out}^{(s,p)}(\theta) = \frac{W_0}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_x \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_y T_{\theta}^{s,p}(k_x, k_y)
\]

\[
\times \exp \left[ -(k_x^2 + k_y^2)w_0^2 \right] / 4
\]

\[
+ iq_{out}(k_x, k_y; r, \theta)
\]

(19)

where

\[
\Psi_{out}(k_x, k_y; r, \theta) = k_s x + k_{y_{out}} y_{out} + \frac{k_s^2 + k_y^2}{2k} \left[ \cos(2\theta) + \sin(2\theta) \right] z_{out},
\]

and \(T_{\theta}^{s,p}(k_x, k_y)\) are obtained by calculating the reflection and transmission coefficients at each interface. For s-polarized waves, this means that

\[
\frac{2k_{in}}{k_{in}^2 + q_{z_{in}}^2} \times \frac{q_{z_{in}} - k_{z_{out}}}{q_{z_{in}} + k_{z_{out}}} \exp[2iq_{z_{in}} a_z] \times \frac{2q_{z_{out}}}{q_{out} + k_{z_{out}}} \exp[2iq_{z_{out}} a_z] a_{out}.
\]

By using the geometry of the dielectric system (see figure 1(a) and equations (16) and (17)), we obtain

\[
a_z = a / \sqrt{2}, \quad a_{out} = b - a,
\]

\[
q_{out} = q_{z_{in}}, \quad \text{and} \quad k_{out} = k_{z_{in}}.
\]
Due to the fact that the motion is on the y–z plane, only second-order $k_x$-contributions appear in the transmission coefficient, $T^{[s,p]}_\theta (k_x, k_y)$. Thus, without loss of generality, to calculate the complex amplitude $A^{[s,p]}_{\text{out}} (r, \theta)$ we can adopt the following approximation: $T^{[s,p]}_\theta (k_x, k_y) \approx T^{[s,p]}_\theta (0, k_y)$. Consequently,

$$A^{[s,p]}_{\text{out}} (r, \theta) \approx \frac{w_0^2}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_x \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_y T^{[s,p]}_\theta (0, k_y) \times \exp \left[ -\frac{(k_x^2 + k_y^2)w_0^2}{4} + i\varphi_{\text{out}} (k_x, k_y; r, \theta) \right].$$

For p-polarized waves, we find

$$T^{[p]}_\theta (k_x, k_y) = \frac{4n^2k_{\text{in}}q_{\text{in}}}{(n^2k_{\text{in}}^2 + q_{\text{in}}^2)^2} \frac{q_{\text{in}} - k_{\text{z}}}{q_{\text{in}} + k_{\text{z}}} \exp[iq_{\text{z}}a\sqrt{2} + (q_{\text{z}} - k_{\text{z}})(b - a)].$$

Due to the fact that the motion is on the y–z plane, only second-order $k_x$-contributions appear in the transmission coefficient, $T^{[s,p]}_\theta (k_x, k_y)$. Thus, without loss of generality, to calculate the complex amplitude $A^{[s,p]}_{\text{out}} (r, \theta)$ we can adopt the following approximation: $T^{[s,p]}_\theta (k_x, k_y) \approx T^{[s,p]}_\theta (0, k_y)$. Consequently,

$$T^{[s,p]}_\theta (k_x, k_y) = \frac{4n^2k_{\text{in}}q_{\text{in}}}{(n^2k_{\text{in}}^2 + q_{\text{in}}^2)^2} \frac{q_{\text{in}} - n^2k_{\text{z}}}{q_{\text{in}} + n^2k_{\text{z}}} \exp[iq_{\text{z}}a\sqrt{2} + (q_{\text{z}} - n^2k_{\text{z}})(b - a)].$$

The numerical data show that the symmetry, which is broken for $kw_0 = 10$, is recovered by increasing the value of $kw_0$ (total internal reflection).

$$\mathbf{n = \sqrt{2}} \quad , \quad \theta = 0$$

![Contour plots of the transmitted wavenumber distribution $g_T^s(k_x, k_y)$](image)

**Figure 2.** Contour plots of the transmitted wavenumber distribution, $g_T^s(k_x, k_y)$, at the critical angle for increasing values of $kw_0$. The contour plots of $g_T^s(k_x, k_y)$ for decreasing value of $kw_0$, see figure 2, and for incidence angles approaching...
Contour plots of the transmitted wave number distribution $g_T^{\text{in}}(k_x, k_y)$

Figure 3. Contour plots of the transmitted wavenumber distribution, $g_T(k_x, k_y)$, for $kw_0 = 10$ and for increasing values of the incidence angle. The numerical data show that the symmetry, which is broken for $\theta = 0$, is recovered by increasing the value of $\theta$ (total internal reflection).

When the critical angle (see figure 3), the symmetry between $k_x$ and $k_y$ in the wavenumber distribution is broken. As anticipated in section 1, this symmetry breaking is responsible for the creation of a dynamical maximum. To examine this phenomenon in detail, let us first consider incidence at $\theta = \pi/4$:

$$F_{s}^{[s,p]}(y, z) = \frac{w_0}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_y \frac{T_{s}^{[s,p]}(0, k_y)}{\frac{k_y}{2\sqrt{\pi}}} \times \exp \left[ -\frac{k_y^2 w_0^2}{4} - i k_y y - i \frac{k_y^2 z}{2k} \right].$$  \hspace{2cm} (24)

To estimate the maximum, we can apply the stationary phase method [5]:

$$0 = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \left[ \text{phase} \left( T_{s}^{[s,p]}(0, k_y) \right) - k_y y - \frac{k_y^2 z}{2k} \right] \right\}_{y=\langle y \rangle}.$$

Due to the fact that the phase of the transmission coefficient $T_{s}^{[s,p]}(0, k_y)$ is not dependent on the spatial coordinates, we can immediately find an analytical expression for the shift in $y$ between two maxima, i.e.

$$\Delta y = -\frac{\langle k_y \rangle}{k} \Delta z.$$  \hspace{2cm} (25)

For $\theta = \pi/4$, $n = \sqrt{2}$, and $kw_0 \geq 10$, the wavenumber distribution is a symmetric distribution centered at $k_y = 0$. Consequently, $\langle k_y \rangle = 0$ and the maximum does not change its position. We thus recognize a stationary maximum. The numerical analysis confirms this theoretical prediction; see figure 4.

Let us now consider incidence at the critical angle:

$$\sin \theta_c + \sqrt{n^2 - \sin^2 \theta_c} = \sqrt{2}.$$  \hspace{2cm} (26)

For $n = \sqrt{2}$ the critical angle is $\theta_c = 0$ and the transverse $y$–$z$ profile is determined by

$$F_{0}^{[s,p]}(y, z) = \frac{w_0}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_y \frac{T_{0}^{[s,p]}(0, k_y)}{\frac{k_y}{2\sqrt{\pi}}} \times \exp \left[ -\frac{k_y^2 w_0^2}{4} - i k_y y - i \frac{k_y^2 z}{2k} \right].$$  \hspace{2cm} (26)
Figure 4. Transverse view of the transmitted beam for s- and p-polarized waves, $F^{s,p}[y, z]$. Due to the symmetry of the transmitted wavenumber distribution, we find stationary maxima.

In this case, the $z$-shift of the maximum in terms of the $y$-location of the detector is given by

$$\Delta z = \langle k_y \rangle \Delta y. \quad (27)$$

For $kw_0 = 10^3$, the wavenumber distribution is not completely symmetric in $k_y$ and this produces the first modifications of the transmitted beam; see figure 5. So small a modification is more evident for p-polarized waves. On decreasing the value of $kw_0$ up to 10, we lose the symmetry (see figure 2) and we clearly find a dynamical maximum. To estimate this dynamical shift, we observe that, as seen in section 1, for $kw_0 = 10$ only positive values of $k_y$ contribute to the mean value; this implies

$$\langle k_y \rangle = \frac{2}{w_0 \sqrt{\pi}} \quad (28)$$

and, consequently, the shift in $z$ of the transmitted optical beam is given by

$$\Delta z = \frac{2}{kw_0 \sqrt{\pi}} \Delta y. \quad (29)$$

The numerical analysis, shown in figure 6, confirms this prediction. In such a plot, the asymmetric interference which appears in the presence of dynamical maxima is also clear.

4. Conclusions

The field of optics is certainly very stimulating as regards reproducing quantum mechanical phenomena. For example,
the well known Goos–Hänchen shift [11] is the optical analogy of the delay time in non-relativistic quantum mechanics [3, 13]. These optical and quantum effects arise due to the fact that evanescent waves exist in the classical forbidden region. This intriguing shift, which is a constant matter of scientific investigation [16–19], is, in general, a stationary shift. In this paper, we have analyzed in which situations this stationary shift becomes a dynamical shift.

Due to the fact that the dynamical shift is a direct consequence of the breaking of symmetry in the wavenumber distribution, this new optical phenomenon can also be seen as an asymmetric GH effect. In our study, we have seen that more convenient circumstances for reproducing this new phenomenon are the choices of incidence at critical angles and of beam waists of w0 ≈ 10/λ ≈ 1.6λ, of the order of the wavelength of the incoming Gaussian beam. This seems to be too restrictive for a possible experimental implementation of the theoretical analysis presented in this paper. Nevertheless, this difficulty is very similar to the difficulty found in detecting the standard Goos–Hänchen shift, which is of the order of the wavelength of the incoming beam. Consequently, it can be overcome with the same trick, i.e. amplifying the shift. For example, by preparing a dielectric structure which allows 2N + 1 internal reflections, we obtain for the transmission coefficient the following expressions:

\[
T^{(2N+1)}(k_x, k_y) = \left| \frac{4k_{m}g_{m}q_{m}}{(k_{m} + q_{m})^{2}} q_{m} - k_{m}^{2N+1} \right|
\]

and

\[
T^{(2N+1)}(k_x, k_y) = \left| \frac{4n^{2}k_{m}g_{m}q_{m}}{(n^{2}k_{m} + q_{m})^{2}} \right| q_{m} - n^{2}k_{m}^{2N+1} \]

At critical angles, we have

\[k_{m}^{2} > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k_{y} < 0\]

and

\[k_{m}^{2} < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k_{y} > 0.\]

Consequently, by increasing the number of internal reflections, we can select the positive \(k_{y}\)-components in the transmitted wavenumber distribution for values of the beam waist, \(w_{0}\), greater than the wavelength, \(\lambda\), of the incoming laser beam. The symmetry breaking in the wavenumber distribution, responsible for recovering the second-order \(k_{y}\)-contribution to the phase which contributes to the maximum of the term \(\langle k_{y}\rangle y/\lambda\), can thus be optimized for experimental proposals by using the number of internal reflection \(N\) and the ratio \(w_{0}/\lambda\).

In a forthcoming paper, we shall analyze the asymmetric GH effect for frustrated total internal reflection [23, 24] and resonant photonic tunneling [25]. Another interesting future investigation is represented by the possibility of including the focal shift in our calculation [20]. This additional shift represents a second-order correction to the GH shift and consequently acts as a delay in the spreading of the outgoing optical beam.
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