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Abstract: Little information is available on the occurrence and genetic variability of the diarrhoea-causing enteric protozoan parasite *Giardia duodenalis* in indigenous communities in Brazil. This cross-sectional epidemiological survey describes the frequency, genotypes, and risk associations for this pathogen in Tapirapé people (Brazilian Amazon) at four sampling campaigns during 2008–2009. Microscopy was used as a screening test, and molecular (PCR and Sanger sequencing) assays targeting the small subunit ribosomal RNA, the glutamate dehydrogenase, the beta-giardin, and the triosephosphate isomerase genes as confirmatory/genotyping methods. Associations between *G. duodenalis* and sociodemographic and clinical variables were investigated using Chi-squared test and univariable/multivariable logistic regression models. Overall, 574 individuals belonging to six tribes participated in the study, with *G. duodenalis* prevalence rates varying from 13.5–21.7%. The infection was positively linked to younger age and tribe. Infected children <15 years old reported more frequent gastrointestinal symptoms compared to adults. Assemblage B accounted for three out of four *G. duodenalis* infections and showed a high genetic diversity. No association between assemblage and age or occurrence of diarrhoea was demonstrated. These data indicate that the most likely source of infection was anthropic and that different pathways (e.g., drinking water) may be involved in the transmission of the parasite.
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1. Introduction

The flagellated *Giardia duodenalis* (syn. *G. intestinalis*, *G. lamblia*) is a cosmopolitan protozoan parasite that inhabits the gastrointestinal tract of humans and other vertebrate animals. Giardiasis is the most reported intestinal protozoan infection globally, with an estimated 280 million symptomatic cases every year [1]. Asymptomatic infections are even more frequent, both in developing [2,3] and developed [4] countries. Indeed, large epidemiological case-control studies conducted in high-prevalence settings have demonstrated that *G. duodenalis* infection was significantly more common in asymptomatic controls than in cases with diarrhoea [5–7]. Host immune status and level of nutrition seem to be key factors in the control of the infection or its progression to active disease [8], although the genotype of the parasite may also play a role in the health/disease balance of the host [9].

When present, clinical manifestations associated with *G. duodenalis* infection may include self-limiting acute diarrhoea, persistent diarrhoea, epigastric pain, nausea, and vomiting [10]. Long-term sequelae, including childhood growth retardation and cognitive impairment, have also been recognised [11,12]. Contrary to severe infections by other diarrhoea-causing protozoan parasites such as *Cryptosporidium* spp. or *Entamoeba histolytica*, giardiasis is rarely fatal and is better considered as a debilitating condition.

Transmission of *G. duodenalis* is through the faecal-oral route, either directly via direct contact with infected humans or animals, or indirectly via ingestion of contaminated food or water. Waterborne transmission is likely the most common source of human infections in poor-resource settings with little or no access to safe drinking water and insufficient sanitary facilities [3]. Because of its strong bond with poverty and elevated socioeconomic impact, giardiasis (together with cryptosporidiosis) joined the Neglected Diseases Initiative launched by the World Health Organisation in 2004 [13].

*Giardia duodenalis* exhibits a considerable degree of genetic heterogeneity, allowing the differentiation of eight (A–H) lineages or assemblages with marked differences in host specificity and range [14]. These genetic variants likely represent cryptic species [15]. Assemblages A and B cause most human infections, but they can also infect other mammalian hosts and are, therefore, considered potentially zoonotic. Assemblages C and D occur mainly in canids, assemblage E in domestic and wild ungulates, assemblage F in cats, assemblage G in rodents, and assemblage H in marine pinnipeds. Human infections by assemblages C–F have been sporadically reported, particularly in children and immunocompromised individuals [14].

A recent review on the epidemiological situation of *G. duodenalis* in Brazil has revealed that this protozoan parasite represents a public health concern in the country, with prevalence rates up to 78% in Minas Gerais State and 70% in São Paulo State in 1998 [16]. Available molecular data in the country have evidenced marked differences in the geographical segregation of *G. duodenalis* assemblages circulating in human populations (Table S1), domestic and wildlife animal species (Table S2), surface waters (Table S3), and fresh produce (Table S4), likely reflecting disparities in infection sources and transmission pathways. Indeed, contaminated surface waters and having contact with domestic (mainly dog) animals were considered as probable sources of human infections [16]. Despite this relative abundance of epidemiological data, giardiasis has been poorly studied in Brazilian indigenous people, partially due to the geographical isolation and difficulty in accessing these fragile communities. Thus, *G. duodenalis* infections have been documented by conventional (microscopy) methods in the range of 7–47% in the Parakanã indigenous people in the eastern Amazon region [17], in indigenous communities in the municipality of São Gabriel da Cachoeira, Amazonas State [18], in native Brazilian children in the Xingu Indian Reservation, Mato Grosso State [19], in the Maxakali and
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Xukuru-Kariri indigenous communities, Minas Gerais State [20,21], and in the Terena indigenous people, Mato Grosso do Sul State [22]. However, no information is currently available on the G. duodenalis assemblages and sub-assemblages circulating in native Brazilian people. This molecular-based epidemiological survey aims at investigating the genetic diversity of G. duodenalis and assessing potential risk and/or protective factors associated with the infection in indigenous people from the Tapirapé tribe living in the Brazilian Amazon.

2. Results

2.1. Study Population

In this study, a total of 574 individuals (male/female ratio: 0.96; age range: 0.1–88 years old, median: 14.0 years old) of the Tapirapé ethnicity living in six independent tribes (population range: 40–263 inhabitants, standard deviation: 83.3) were censed and invited to participate in four consecutive sampling campaigns during July 2008 and January 2010, in both dry and wet seasons. Overall, 98% (564/574) of the censed individuals participated at least in one sampling campaign. Participation rates varied from 40% to 93% depending on the tribe and sampling campaign (Table 1). A total of 141 individuals participated in all four sampling campaigns, 201 in three sampling campaigns, 136 in two sampling campaigns, and 86 in a single sampling campaign. The distribution of the participating individuals according to sex, age group, and tribe of origin is also summarised in Table 1. Females (mean: 53.6%, SD: 1.0) participated in the survey more often than males (mean: 46.4%, SD: 1.0). Adults (>15 years old) were the largest group in the surveyed population (mean: 24.6%, SD: 1.0), with children 5 to 14 years of age (mean: 39.4%, SD: 1.9) and children ≤5 years old accounting, in average, for 14.6% (SD: 2.0) of the investigated individuals.

2.2. Prevalence of G. duodenalis

Microscopy-based prevalence rates for G. duodenalis in the Tapirapé community varied from 13.5% (55/407) in the dry season of 2009 to 21.7% (83/382) in the rainy season of 2010 (Table 2). Over the four sampling campaigns, 35.1% (198/564) individuals tested positive at least once. The occurrence of the parasite was influenced by the seasonality (22% rainy versus 17% dry season, Chi-squared test $p = 0.022$) but not the sampling period (year) (Chi-squared test $p = 0.126$). Subsequent newly diagnosed infections were also more likely to occur in the rainy season (odds ratio: 2.29, 95% CI 1.46–3.68, $p = 0.0001$) although this is dependent on the number of samples analysed. G. duodenalis infections were more commonly identified in children aged 0–4 years old. During the period of study, G. duodenalis prevalence varied greatly within and among the six tribes investigated, but tribe 5 presented the highest infection rates in all sampling campaigns.

A total of 43, 17, and 4 individuals tested positive for G. duodenalis in two, three, or all four sampling campaigns, respectively (Table 3), although this was dependent on the number of samples. In all cases, children younger than 15 years of age accounted for 50.0% to 88.2% of the subjects where the parasite was detected in two or more sampling campaigns. When considering observations with repeated samples, 61.7% observations were always negative, 4.2% always positive, and 34.1% discontinuously positive. Repeated G. duodenalis infections were more frequently detected in the wet season (odds ratio: 1.60, 95% CI 1.12–2.29, $p = 0.0075$) in members of tribe 1 (range: 50.0–58.1%) and, to a lesser extent, in tribe 5 (range: 18.6–50.0%).
Table 1. Participation rates and distribution by sex, age group, and tribe of origin of the Tapirapé people (n = 564) taking part in the four sampling campaigns conducted in the present survey, Brazilian Amazon.

| Sampling Campaign | Participants (n) | Rate (%) | Sex      | Age Group (years) | Tribe (% ) |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|-------------------|------------|
|                   | Male | Female | 0–4 | 5–9 | 10–14 | ≥15 | Unknown | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 2008 1            | 362  | 64     | 171 | 191 | 45    | 73  | 74    | 170 | 0  | 175 (66.5) | 31 (40.3) | 46 (65.7) | 47 (63.5) | 28 (56.0) | 35 (87.5) |
| 2009 2            | 374  | 66     | 175 | 199 | 53    | 75  | 66    | 178 | 2  | 174 (66.2) | 45 (58.4) | 31 (44.3) | 41 (55.4) | 46 (92.0) | 37 (92.5) |
| 2009 1            | 407  | 72     | 189 | 218 | 59    | 90  | 64    | 192 | 2  | 202 (76.8) | 49 (63.6) | 36 (51.4) | 42 (56.8) | 45 (90.0) | 33 (82.5) |
| 2010 2            | 382  | 68     | 172 | 210 | 66    | 94  | 64    | 156 | 2  | 193 (73.4) | 51 (66.2) | 38 (54.3) | 35 (47.3) | 39 (78.0) | 26 (65.0) |

1 Dry season. 2 Rainy season.

Table 2. Microscopy-based prevalence of *Giardia duodenalis* by sex, age group, and tribe of origin of the Tapirapé people (n = 564) participating in the present survey according to the sampling period, Brazilian Amazon.

| Variable                  | 2008 1 | 2009 2 | 2009 1 | 2010 2 |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                           | *Giardia Positive* % | *Giardia Positive* % | *Giardia Positive* % | *Giardia Positive* % |
| Sex                       |        |        |        |        |
| Male                      | 31     | 19     | 35     | 20     |
| Female                    | 37     | 18     | 46     | 23     |
| Age group (years)         |        |        |        |        |
| 0–4                       | 13     | 29     | 17     | 32     |
| 5–9                       | 17     | 23     | 20     | 27     |
| 10–14                     | 16     | 22     | 14     | 21     |
| ≥15                       | 22     | 13     | 30     | 17     |
| Tribe                     |        |        |        |        |
| 1                         | 41     | 23     | 34     | 20     |
| 2                         | 2      | 7      | 10     | 22     |
| 3                         | 4      | 9      | 3      | 10     |
| 4                         | 4      | 9      | 2      | 5      |
| 5                         | 10     | 36     | 21     | 46     |
| 6                         | 7      | 20     | 11     | 30     |
| Total                     | 68     | 19     | 81     | 22     |

1 Dry season. 2 Rainy season.
Table 3. Number of individuals with a positive result to *Giardia duodenalis* by microscopy in two or more of the sampling campaigns conducted in the present study, Brazilian Amazon.

| Variable | Positives in 2 Campaigns (n) | Frequency (%) | Positives in 3 Campaigns (n) | Frequency (%) | Positives in all 4 Campaigns (n) | Frequency (%) |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Age group (years) |                               |               |                               |               |                                 |               |
| 0–4      | 14                          | 33            | 6                             | 35            | 1                               | 25            |
| 5–9      | 12                          | 28            | 6                             | 35            | 1                               | 25            |
| 10–14    | 9                           | 21            | 3                             | 18            | 0                               | 0             |
| ≥15      | 8                           | 19            | 2                             | 12            | 2                               | 50            |
| Total    | 43                          | 100           | 17                            | 100           | 4                               | 100           |
| Tribe    |                             |               |                               |               |                                 |               |
| 1        | 25                          | 58            | 7                             | 41            | 2                               | 50            |
| 2        | 4                           | 9             | 1                             | 6             | 0                               | 0             |
| 3        | 1                           | 2             | 0                             | 0             | 0                               | 0             |
| 4        | 1                           | 2             | 0                             | 0             | 0                               | 0             |
| 5        | 8                           | 19            | 7                             | 41            | 2                               | 50            |
| 6        | 4                           | 9             | 2                             | 12            | 0                               | 0             |
| Total    | 43                          | 100           | 17                            | 100           | 4                               | 100           |
2.3. Molecular Characterisation of G. duodenalis

The genetic diversity within G. duodenalis was investigated in a subset of 70 stool samples from 65 individuals with a positive result for this parasite by conventional microscopy. Five individuals provided stool samples positive to this parasite at two different sampling periods. The presence of the parasite was confirmed by qPCR in 97% (68/70) of these samples. Generated cycle threshold (Ct) values ranged from 18.2 to 35.4 (median: 27.4; SD: 3.7).

Genotyping/sub-genotyping data were obtained for a total of 63 stool samples belonging to 58 individuals (Table 4). Amplification success rates were 100% (63/63) for glutamate dehydrogenase (gdh), and 87% (55/63) for beta-giardin (bg) and triosephosphate isomerase (tpi), respectively. Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) data at the three loci were obtained from 83% (52/63) of the samples. Sequence analyses revealed the presence of assemblages A (25%; 16/63) and B (68%; 43/63). Mixed infections A+B were identified in 6% (4/63) of the samples analysed. No mixed infections involving host-specific assemblages C–H were detected.

Table 4. Multilocus genotyping results of the 63 G. duodenalis-positive samples of human origin successfully genotyped at any of the three loci investigated in the present survey.

| Sample ID | Ct value in qPCR | gdh  | bg   | tpi   | Assigned Genotype |
|-----------|------------------|------|------|-------|-------------------|
| 5         | 27.4             | BIII | B    | BIII  | BIII              |
| 13        | 25.1             | AII  | AII+AIII | AII  | AII+AIII          |
| 24        | 27.2             | AII  | AII+AIII | AII  | AII+AIII          |
| 31        | 26.4             | BIII/BIV | B | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV        |
| 33        | 28.2             | BIII/BIV | B | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV        |
| 41        | 24.0             | BIII | B    | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV      |
| 49        | 25.5             | BIII/BIV | B | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV      |
| 50a       | 21.2             | AII  | AIII | AII   | AII/AII          |
| 50b       | 23.8             | BIII | AIII+B | BIII  | AII+BII          |
| 55        | 27.3             | BIII | B    | BIV   | BIII/BIV         |
| 58        | 29.6             | BIII | B    | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV   |
| 60        | 29.1             | BIII | B    | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV   |
| 70        | 34.0             | BIII | –    | –     | BIII             |
| 71        | 30.0             | BIII | B    | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV   |
| 72        | 21.7             | BIII | B    | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV   |
| 78        | 18.2             | BIII | B    | BIII   | BIII           |
| 79        | 29.4             | BIII/BIV | B | BIII   | BIII/BIV       |
| 82        | 30.5             | BIII | B    | AII+BIII | AII+BII     |
| 85        | 29.7             | BIII/BIV | B | BIII   | BIII/BIV       |
| 86a       | 27.0             | BIII | B    | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV   |
| 86b       | 26.3             | BIII | B    | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV   |
| 93a       | 30.4             | BIII/BIV | B | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV   |
| 93b       | 24.9             | BIII/BIV | B | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV   |
| 94        | 22.0             | AII  | –    | AII   | AII             |
| 106       | 21.3             | AII  | AIII | AII   | AII/AII         |
| 111       | 26.2             | AII  | AIII | AII   | AII/AII         |
| 123       | 34.9             | BIII | –    | –     | BIII             |
| 128       | 30.5             | AII  | AIII | AII   | AII/AII         |
| 131       | 23.5             | BIII/BIV | B | BIII/BIV | BIII/BIV   |
| 132       | 29.5             | BIII | B    | BIII   | BIII           |
| 149       | 23.6             | BIII | B    | –     | BIII           |
| 157       | 28.2             | BIII/BIV | B | BIII   | BIII/BIV       |
| 168       | 24.0             | BIII/BIV | B | BIII   | BIII/BIV       |
| ID  | Ct   | Genotype | Assemblage | Freq | Sub-assemblage |
|-----|------|----------|------------|------|---------------|
| 172 | 28.3 | BIII/BIV | B          | B    | BIII/BIV      |
| 176b| 29.3 | BIII     | –          | –    | BIII          |
| 179 | 27.5 | All      | B          | All  | All+B        |
| 180 | 23.3 | BIII/BIV | B          | BIII  | BIII/BIV     |
| 182 | 27.5 | BIII/BIV | B          | BIII  | BIII/BIV     |
| 184 | 31.3 | All      | –          | All  | All          |
| 186 | 26.3 | BIII/BIV | B          | BIII  | BIII/BIV     |
| 198 | 22.6 | BIII/BIV | B          | BIII  | BIII/BIV     |
| 200 | 25.2 | BIII/BIV | B          | BIII  | BIII/BIV     |
| 216 | 25.8 | BIII     | B          | –    | BIII          |
| 227 | 19.5 | All      | All+AllIII | All  | All+AllIII   |
| 242 | 28.3 | BIII/BIV | B          | BIII  | BIII/BIV     |
| 245 | 22.4 | BIII     | B          | BIII  | BIII          |
| 269a| 31.2 | BIII     | –          | BIII  | BIII          |
| 269b| 25.1 | All      | All        | All   | All          |
| 282a| 27.3 | BIII/BIV | B          | BIII  | BIII/BIV     |
| 282b| 23.4 | BIII/BIV | B          | BIII  | BIII/BIV     |
| 328 | 32.0 | All      | –          | –    | All           |
| 330 | 30.3 | BIII/BIV | B          | –    | BIII/BIV     |
| 353 | 25.3 | BIII     | B          | BIII  | BIII          |
| 374 | 21.2 | BIII/BIV | B          | BIII  | BIII/BIV     |
| 379 | 23.7 | All      | All+AllIII | All  | All+AllIII   |
| 420 | 19.2 | All      | All+AllIII | All  | All+AllIII   |
| 570 | 24.7 | BIII/BIV | B          | BIII  | BIII/BIV     |
| 572 | 28.3 | All      | All+B      | All   | All+B        |
| 585 | 24.9 | BIII     | B          | BIII  | BIII          |
| 595 | 25.1 | All      | All        | –    | All+AllIII   |
| 596 | 35.4 | All      | –          | –    | All           |
| 604 | 21.7 | BIII     | B          | BIII  | BIII/BIV     |
| 607 | 28.1 | BIII     | B          | BIII  | BIII          |

Subtyping analyses revealed that sub-assemblage AII (8%, 5/63), mixed AII+AllII infections (8%, 5/63), and ambiguous AII/AllII results (8%, 5/63) were equally distributed within assemblage A. No isolates were identified as sub-assemblage AllII. Within assemblage B, most (50%, 31/63) of the sequences corresponded to ambiguous BIII/BIV results. BIII was identified in 21% (13/63) of the sequences, whereas no isolates belonging to BIV were detected. Out of the four A+B mixed infections detected, one (2%, 1/63) involved sub-assemblages AllII+BIII, one (2%, 1/63) sub-assemblages AllII+BIII, and two (3%, 2/63) sub-assemblage AllII+B (unknown sub-assemblage). Out of five individuals with giardiasis at two consecutive sampling periods, three of them (ID: 86, 93, and 282) were infected by BIII/BIV at both sampling periods, indicative of prolonged G. duodenalis infection, or re-infection by the same genotype. In contrast, one individual (ID: 50) was first infected by AllII/AllII, and by AllII+B at the following sampling campaign. The remaining individual (ID: 269) was first infected by BIII and then by AllII at the following sampling campaign. Both cases were strongly suggestive of re-infection events by different genotypes of the parasite.

### 2.4. Intra-Assemblage Genetic Diversity

Table 5, Table 6, Table 7, and Table S5 show the genetic diversity of the gdh, bg, and tpi representative, partial sequences generated in the present study. These Tables provide...
information for each sequence including stretch, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and GenBank accession number. Assemblage/sub-assemblage assignment was conducted by direct comparison of the sequencing results obtained at the three loci investigated. Sequences presenting double peak positions that could not be unequivocally assigned to a given assemblage/sub-assemblage were reported as ambiguous sequences. A total of 63 sequences were successfully characterised at the gdh locus (Table 5). All 17 assemblage A sequences were unequivocally identified as sub-assemblage AII. Of them, seven sequences were 100% identical to reference sequence L40510. The remaining 10 sequences differed by 1–6 SNPs from L40510. BIII sequences showed a high degree of genetic diversity among them, explaining that 21/24 of the sequences assigned to this sub-assemblage corresponded to distinct genotypes (genetic variants) of the parasite. These sequences differed by 4–13 SNPs from reference sequence AF069059, most of them associated with ambiguous (double peak) positions. Similarly, most (20/22) sequences identified as ambiguous BIII/BIV sequences were different among them, differing by 9–17 SNPs from reference sequence L40508. Virtually all SNPs detected in BIII/IV sequences corresponded to double peaks at single nucleotide positions.

At the bg locus, a total of 55 sequences were fully characterised (Table 6). Out of the 14 assemblage A sequences, two belonged to AII and five to AIII. All AII and AIII sequences were identical to reference sequences AY072723 and AY072724, respectively. Five sequences were considered mixed AII+AIII infections based on the presence of two double peak (C415Y and T423Y) positions and taking sequence AY072723 as reference. Two additional sequences corresponded to AII+B and AIII+B mixed infections, differing by 32 and 38 SNPs from reference sequence AY072727, respectively. Except one, all the detected SNPs corresponded to clear double peak positions. Compared to the gdh locus, a lower (but still substantial) degree of genetic variability was observed within the 41 sequences assigned to assemblage B at the bg locus. All of them differed by 1–6 SNPs from reference sequence AY072727. A genetic variant showing two transitional mutations at positions C165T and A183G was the genotype most frequently detected.

A total of 55 sequences were fully characterised at the tpi locus (Table 7). Within assemblage A, 14 sequences were assigned to the sub-assemblage AII. Of them, eight had 100% homology with reference sequence U57897, whereas the remaining six sequences differed by 1–2 SNPs from the latter. Two additional sequences were identified as AII+BIII sequences and presented 94–95 SNPs when aligned with reference sequence U57897. Out of the 25 sequences assigned to BIII, seven showed 100% identity with reference sequence AF069561. The remaining 18 sequences grouped in 16 distinct genotypes that differed by 1–6 SNPs from reference sequence A AF069561. Only a single sequence was confirmed ad BIV, differing by 3 SNPs with reference sequence AF069560. Finally, virtually all (12/13) sequences with a BIII/BIV ambiguous result were different among them, differing from reference sequence AF069560 by 7–11 SNPs. As in the case of the BIII/BIV sequences identified at the gdh locus, most of the SNPs identified at the tpi locus were associated with ambiguous nucleotide positions.
Table 5. Diversity, frequency, and main molecular features of *G. duodenalis* sequences at the *gdh* locus generated in the present study. GenBank accession numbers are provided.

| Assemblage | Sub-Assemblage | No. of Isolates | Reference Sequence | Stretch | Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms | GenBank ID |
|------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|---------------------------------|------------|
| A          | AII            | 7              | L40510            | 64–491  | None                            | MT542718   |
|            |                | 1              | L40510            | 66–486  | T88C, C128T, C179T, T385C, A410G, T418A | MT542719   |
|            |                | 1              | L40510            | 64–491  | T132Y, C179T, A224M, G332R      | MT542720   |
|            |                | 1              | L40510            | 64–491  | C150Y, C179T                    | MT542721   |
|            |                | 6              | L40510            | 64–491  | C179T                           | MT542722   |
|            |                | 1              | L40510            | 68–491  | C179T, T355Y                    | MT542723   |
|            |                | 1              | L40510            | 64–491  | C179Y                           | MT542724   |
| B          | BIII           | 1              | AF069059          | 28–455  | C39T, C87Y, C99Y, C123Y, T147Y, G150R, C204Y, C309Y | MT542725   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 30–455  | C39T, T219Y, C309T, C351Y        | MT542726   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 28–455  | C39T, C87Y, C135Y, T147Y, G150R, C204Y, C309T, C336Y | MT542727   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 28–455  | C39Y, C99Y, T147Y, G150R, C204Y, C360Y, C387Y, G402R | MT542728   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 28–455  | C39T, C309T, G372A, C375T        | MT542729   |
|            |                | 2              | AF069059          | 40–455  | C87Y, C99Y, C123Y, T147Y, G150R, C204Y, C309T | MT542730   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 40–455  | C87Y, C99Y, C123Y, T147Y, G150R, C204Y, C309T, G354R, G372R, C375Y | MT542731   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 47–455  | C87Y, C99Y, C123Y, T147Y, G150R, C204Y, C309Y, A324R, G354R, G372R, C375Y, G402R | MT542732   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 47–455  | C87Y, C132Y, T147Y, G150R, C174Y, C204Y, T237Y, C309Y, A324R, G354R, G372R, C375Y, G402R | MT542733   |
|            |                | 2              | AF069059          | 49–455  | C87Y, C132Y, T147Y, G150R, C174Y, C204Y, C309Y, A324R, G372R, C375Y, G402R | MT542734   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 41–460  | C87T, C132T, T147C, G150A, C174T, C204T, A324G, G402A | MT542735   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 40–455  | C87T, C132Y, T147C, G150A, C174T, C204T, A324R, G402A | MT542736   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 44–443  | C87T, C132T, T147C, G150A, C174Y, C204T, G402A, G406A | MT542737   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 46–454  | C87Y, C135Y, T147Y, G150R, T209Y, C309T, C336Y | MT542738   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 40–455  | C87Y, T147Y, G150R, T237Y, C309Y, G354R, C360Y, C387Y, G402R | MT542739   |
|            |                | 2              | AF069059          | 40–455  | C87Y, C204Y, C309T, C372R, C375Y | MT542740   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 48–455  | C99Y, C135Y, T147Y, G150R, C204Y, C309Y | MT542741   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 82–443  | C99T, T147C, G150A, C204T | MT542742   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 44–389  | C99T, T147C, G150A, T308Y | MT542743   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 46–435  | C87Y, C99Y, C123Y, C132Y, T147Y, G150R, C174Y, C204Y, C309Y, A324R, G402R | MT542744   |
|            |                | 1              | AF069059          | 40–455  | C87T, T147C, G150A, C309T | MT542745   |
| BIII/BIV   | BIII          | 1              | L40508            | 76–491  | G84R, C159Y, T183Y, G186R, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387C, G408R, A438G, C123Y, T135Y, C159Y, T183Y, G186R, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387Y, A438R | MT542746   |
|            |                | 1              | L40508            | 85–491  | G186R, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387Y, A438R | MT542747   |
|   | L40508 | 76–491 | C123Y, T135Y, C168Y, G180R, T183Y, G186R, C210Y, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, A360R, T366Y, T387Y | MT542748 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | C123Y, T135Y, C168Y, T183Y, G186R, C210Y, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, C291Y, C345Y, A360R, T366Y, C372Y, T387Y, C423Y, A438R | MT542749 |
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | C123Y, T135Y, C168Y, T183Y, G186R, C210Y, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, A360R, T366Y, C372Y, T387Y, C423Y, A438R | MT542750 |
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | C123Y, T135Y, C168Y, T183Y, G186R, C210Y, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, A360R, T366Y, C372Y, T387Y, C423Y, A438R | MT542751 |
| 2 | L40508 | 77–491 | C123Y, T135Y, C171Y, G180R, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387Y, C423Y, A438R | MT542752 |
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | C123Y, T135Y, T183Y, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, C372Y, T387Y, G408R, C411Y, A438R | MT542753 |
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | C123Y, T135Y, T183Y, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387Y, G408R, C411Y, A438R | MT542754 |
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | C123Y, T135Y, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387Y, A438G | MT542755 |
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | T135Y, C159Y, T183Y, G186R, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387Y, G408R, C423Y, A438R | MT542756 |
| 1 | L40508 | 84–491 | T135Y, C159Y, T183Y, G186R, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387Y, C423Y, A438R | MT542757 |
| 1 | L40508 | 82–491 | T135Y, C159Y, T183Y, G186R, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387C, G408R, C411Y, A438R | MT542758 |
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | T135Y, C159Y, T183Y, G186R, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387Y, C396Y, C423Y, A438R | MT542759 |
| 1 | L40508 | 84–491 | T135Y, C177Y, T183Y, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387Y, G390R, G408R, C411Y, A438R | MT542760 |
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | T135Y, T183Y, C255Y, C273Y, T366Y, T387C, G408R, A438R | MT542761 |
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | C159Y, T183Y, G186R, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387Y, A438R | MT542762 |
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | C159Y, T183C, G186R, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387Y, C423Y, A438R | MT542763 |
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | C159Y, T183Y, G186R, C255Y, C273Y, C345Y, T366Y, T387Y, A438R, A476R | MT542764 |
| 1 | L40508 | 76–491 | T183C, G186A, C240Y, C255Y, C273Y, T366Y, T387C, A438R | MT542765 |

M, A/C; R, A/G; Y, C/T.
Table 6. Diversity, frequency, and main molecular features of *G. duodenalis* sequences at the bg locus generated in the present study. GenBank accession numbers are provided.

| Assemblage | Sub-Assemblage | No. of Isolates | Reference Sequence | Stretch | Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms | GenBank ID |
|------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|---------------------------------|------------|
| A          | All            | 2              | AY072723          | 97–719  | None                            | MT542766   |
|            | AIII           | 5              | AY072724          | 1–753   | None                            | MT542767   |
|            | All+AIII       | 5              | AY072723          | 1–729   | C415Y, T423Y                    | MT542768   |
|            | AIII+B         | 1              | AY072727          | 98–604  | T132Y, G159R, A171R, A183R, A228R, T240Y, G258R, C288Y, T306Y, C309Y, T312K, G327R, C339Y, G345R, C348Y, C372Y, A387R, C390Y, C415Y, A432R, C438Y, C453M, G456R, T471Y, A489R, T519Y, C522R, C558Y, C561Y, C564Y, G576R, C579S | MT542769   |
|            | All+B          | 1              | AY072727          | 96–603  | T132Y, G159R, C165Y, A171R, A183R, A228R, T240Y, G258R, C288Y, T306Y, C309Y, T312K, G318R, C327R, C339Y, G345R, C348Y, C372Y, A387R, C390Y, C415Y, A432R, C438Y, C453M, G456R, T471Y, A489R, T519Y, C522S, C558Y, C561Y, C564Y, G576R, C579S | MT542770   |
| B          | –              | 10             | AY072727          | 1–729   | C165T, A183G                     | MT542771   |
|            | 3              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542772   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542773   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542774   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542775   |
|            | 4              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542776   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542777   |
|            | 2              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542778   |
|            | 2              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542779   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542780   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542781   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542782   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542783   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542784   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542785   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542786   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542787   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542788   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542789   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542790   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542791   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542792   |
|            | 1              | AY072727       | 97–593            | C165Y, A183R, C204M, C309Y, C366Y, T519Y | MT542793   |
Table 7. Diversity, frequency, and main molecular features of *G. duodenalis* sequences at the *tpi* locus generated in the present study. GenBank accession numbers are provided.

| Assemblage | Sub-Assemblage | No. of Isolates | Reference Sequence | Stretch | Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms | GenBank ID |
|------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| A          | All            | 8               | U57897            | 294–805 | None                          | MT542795  |
|            |                | 5               | U57897            | 275–805 | C287G                         | MT542796  |
|            |                | 1               | U57897            | 275–805 | C287G, A381M                  | MT542797  |
|            | All+BIII       | 1               | U57897            | 289–754 | 94 SNPs                       | MT542798  |
|            |                | 1               | U57897            | 313–720 | 95 SNPs                       | MT542799  |
| B          | BIII           | 7               | AF069561          | 1–456   | None                          | MT542800  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 1–456   | A8R, C108T, C111Y, C201Y      | MT542801  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 1–456   | A8R, C108Y, C201Y             | MT542802  |
|            |                | 2               | AF069561          | 1–456   | A10R                          | MT542803  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 1–456   | A10R, C104Y, A372R            | MT542804  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 1–456   | A10R, C108Y, C111Y            | MT542805  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 1–456   | C15Y, C33Y, C34Y, G105Y, C111Y, G254R | MT542806  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 1–456   | G21A, C34T, C108T             | MT542807  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 26–456  | C34Y, G105Y, T314Y            | MT542808  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 1–456   | G48A, G391A                   | MT542809  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 17–456  | G105R, C108Y, C201Y, G391R, C108Y | MT542810  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 1–456   | C108Y                         | MT542811  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 1–456   | C108Y, C111Y                  | MT542812  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 38–456  | C108Y, C111Y, C201Y           | MT542813  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 1–456   | C108Y, C201Y                  | MT542814  |
|            |                | 1               | AF069561          | 1–456   | C108Y, G258R                  | MT542815  |
|            |                | 2               | AF069561          | 1–456   | G198R, G207R                  | MT542816  |
| BIV        | 1              | AF069560        | 1–479             | A176G, A395G, C470T              | MT542817  |
| BIII/BIV   | 1              | AF069560        | 1–479             | A5R, A33R, T57Y, C112Y, T131Y, T134Y, A176G, A395G, C470Y | MT542818  |
|            | 1              | AF069560        | 1–479             | A5R, A33R, T57Y, T131Y, T134Y, A176G, G281R, A395G, C470Y | MT542819  |
|            | 1              | AF069560        | 1–479             | A5R, T57Y, T131Y, T134Y, A176G, A181R, A395G, C470T | MT542820  |
|            | 1              | AF069560        | 1–479             | A5R, T57Y, T131Y, T134Y, A176G, G281R, A395G, A421M, C470Y | MT542821  |
|            | 1              | AF069560        | 1–479             | A5R, A33R, T57Y, G128R, T131Y, T134Y, A176G, A395G, C470Y | MT542822  |
|            | 2              | AF069560        | 1–479             | A5R, T57Y, G128R, T131Y, T134Y, A176G, A395G, C470Y | MT542823  |
|            | 1              | AF069560        | 1–479             | A5R, T57Y, G128R, T131Y, T134Y, A176G, A395G | MT542824  |
|   | Accession | Location | Amino acid changes | Reference |
|---|-----------|----------|--------------------|-----------|
| 1 | AF069560  | 1–479   | A5R, T57Y, T131Y, T134Y, A176G, C237Y, A395G, C470Y, MT542825 |
| 1 | AF069560  | 1–479   | A5R, G44R, T57Y, C127Y, T131Y, T134C, A176G, A395R MT542826 |
| 1 | AF069560  | 1–479   | T57Y, T131Y, T134Y, A176G, G221R, G230R, A395G, C470Y MT542827 |
| 1 | AF069560  | 44–479  | T57Y, T131Y, T134Y, A176G, T317Y, A395G, C470Y MT542828 |
| 1 | AF069560  | 1–479   | T57Y, T131Y, T134Y, A176G, A395G, A437R, A449R, C470Y, G476R MT542829 |

M, A/C; R, A/G; S, G/C; Y, C/T.
Figure 1 shows the phylogenetic tree obtained for the gdh gene by maximum parsimony and Bayesian methods. All G. duodenalis sequences clustered together (monophyletic groups) with different well-supported clades (100% of bootstrap and 1.0 posterior probability). Two major branches were formed and included all (A–F) G. duodenalis assemblages. The sequences of indigenous people from the Brazilian Amazon clustered in branches for assemblage A (97% of bootstrap and 1.0 posterior probability) and B (100% of bootstrap and 1.0 posterior probability). In assemblage B, the sequences obtained in this study clustered with sub-assemblages BIII and BIV reference strains. Similar phylogenetic trees for the bg and tpi sequences generated in the present study are shown in Figure S1 and Figure S2, respectively.

**Figure 1.** Maximum parsimony phylogenetic tree based on gdh sequences of G. duodenalis. Numbers on nodes indicate the bootstrap/posterior probability values. Black filled circles represent sequences generated in the present study. GenBank accession numbers for all sequences used for the phylogenetic analysis were embedded in the tree. *Giardia muris* was used as the outgroup.
2.5. Intra-Assemblage B Genetic Diversity Analysis

Genetic diversity was far higher within assemblage B than within assemblage A sequences regardless of the molecular marker used. Multiple sequence alignments of BIII, BIV, and ambiguous BIII/BIV sequences at the *gdh*, *bg*, and *tpi* loci revealed the presence of SNPs in multiple sites across used reference sequences, varying from 11 (for B sequences at the *bg* locus) to 32 (for BIII/BIV sequences at the *tpi* locus) sites (Table S6). Overall, 611 SNPs were identified among assemblage B sequences in all three loci. Of them, 16.9% (103/611) corresponded to single point mutations, and 83.1% (508/611) to double peaks. Defined positions (hotspots) at each investigated locus tended to accumulate the bulk of these SNPs (66.9%; 409/611). Within *gdh*, C87, T147, G150, C204, C309, and G402 were the main hotspots for BIII sequences (reference sequence: AF069059), and C123, T135, T183, G186, C255, C273, C345, T366, T387, and A438 for BIII/BIV sequences (reference sequence: L40508). Within *tpi*, C108 was the only hotspot for BIII sequences (reference sequence: AF069561), and A5, T57, T131, T134, A176, A395, and C470 for BIII/BIV sequences (reference sequence: AF069560). Within *bg*, the main hotspots identified for B sequences were C165, A183, C309, and T519 (reference sequence: AY072727).

The distribution of single point mutations and double peaks differed substantially among sub-assemblages and loci. At the *gdh* locus, hotspot sites accumulated 57.7% of all SNPs detected in BIII sequences, but this figure increased to 72.9% in BIII/BIV sequences. Double peaks accounted for 37.8% of the SNPs detected in BIII sequences, but for 67.8% of the ambiguous BIII/BIV sequences (Figure 2a). At the *tpi* locus, hotspot sites accumulated 18.2% of all SNPs detected in BIII sequences, but this figure increased to 54.5% in BIII/BIV sequences (Figure 2b). Finally, at the *bg* locus, hotspot sites clustered 78.4% of the SNPs detected in assemblage B sequences, of which 58.1% corresponded to double peaks (Figure 2c).
Figure 2. Distribution of single nucleotide polymorphisms segregated by mutations and double peaks, in *G. duodenalis* assemblage B sequences. (A) Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at the glutamate dehydrogenase (*gdh*) locus; (B) SNPs at the triosephosphate isomerase (*tpi*); (C) SNPs at the beta-giardin (*bg*) locus, and overall figures for all assemblage B sequences.

2.6. Risk Association Analysis

2.6.1. Comparing *G. duodenalis* Negative/Ever Positive

Overall, 55% of individuals who tested positive for *G. duodenalis* were female, the median age was 10 years old, with 49% <10 years old. A total of 55% were from tribe 1, followed by 15% from tribe 5. The most frequent clinical signs were normal stool appearance (92%), abdominal pain (53%), and stool consistency type 2 (45%). Overall, 58% did not report hand washing, 84% reported eating with hands, and 18% did not report washing fresh produce. Sanitation was predominantly defecation in the woods (70%) and open defecation near households (21.7%). Microscopy examination also revealed that 53% of individuals were coinfected with *Endolimax nana*, 48% with *Entamoeba coli*, 18% with *Chilomastix mesnili*, 16% with *Ancylostoma* spp., and 11% with *Blastocystis* sp.
Children under <15 years old reported more frequently vomiting, abdominal pain, and abnormal (mucus, bloody, mucous-bloody) faecal appearance compared to adults. However, only differences in abdominal pain appeared significant (Chi-squared test, \( p = 0.016 \)). There were no differences in age or symptoms between the two assemblages A and B (Chi-squared test: age group, \( p = 0.552 \); faecal consistency, \( p = 0.732 \); abdominal pain, \( p = 1 \); vomit, \( p = 0.953 \)).

In univariable analysis, hand washing, older age, tribes 2–4, coinfection with *E. coli*, *E. nana*, and *Iodamoeba* were negatively associated with *G. duodenalis*, while tribe 5, faecal consistency 4, open defecation, and the number of samples were positively associated with *G. duodenalis* (Table 8). Regarding the public health features and symptoms, the multivariable model retained the number of samples, age group, tribe, faecal consistency, faecal appearance, and washing fresh produce. Older age groups had a protective effect (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) = 0.40, 95% CI: 0.20–0.81 in 10–14 years old, and aOR = 0.20 95%, CI: 0.11–0.39 in ≥15 years old, respectively), as tribes 2–3 compared to tribe 1 (aOR = 0.46, 95% CI: 0.24–0.85, aOR = 0.43, 95% CI: 0.21–0.85, aOR = 0.31, 95% CI: 0.14–0.63, respectively). The number of samples was positively associated with higher odds of a *G. duodenalis*-positive result (aOR = 1.46, 95% CI: 1.18–1.81), as washing fresh produce (aOR = 1.95, 95% CI: 1.12–3.44) and faecal consistency type 4 (aOR = 1.84, 95% CI: 1.10–3.37) (Table 9). None of the other pathogens considered was found significantly associated with *G. duodenalis* in the multivariable model with coinfections.

Table 8. Univariable analysis. Crude association between *G. duodenalis* infections and variables of interest. *p*-values marked in bold indicate numbers that are significant on the 95% confidence limit (CI).

| Variable            | G. duodenalis (Negative vs. Ever Positive) | Crude Association |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|
|                     | 0, \( n = 366 \) 1 | 1, \( n = 198 \) 1 | \( n \) | OR 2 | 95% CI 2 | \( p \)-Value |
| Sex                 |                           |                   |    |      |          |      |
| Female              | 177 (62%)                 | 109 (38%)         | —  | —    |          |      |
| Male                | 189 (68%)                 | 89 (32%)          | 0.76 | 0.54–1.08 | 0.13   |
| Age group (years)   |                           |                   | 562|      |          |      |
| 0–4                 | 38 (45%)                  | 46 (55%)          | —  | —    |          |      |
| 5–9                 | 67 (57%)                  | 50 (43%)          | 0.62 | 0.35–1.08 | 0.093  |
| 10–14               | 58 (62%)                  | 35 (38%)          | 0.5 | 0.27–0.91 | 0.023  |
| ≥15                 | 201 (75%)                 | 67 (25%)          | 0.28 | 0.16–0.46 | <0.001 |
| Unknown             | 2                         | 0                 |    |      |          |      |
| Tribe               |                           |                   |    |      |          |      |
| 1                   | 155 (59%)                 | 108 (41%)         | —  | —    |          |      |
| 2                   | 50 (73%)                  | 19 (27%)          | 0.55 | 0.30–0.96 | 0.041  |
| 3                   | 55 (80%)                  | 14 (20%)          | 0.37 | 0.19–0.67 | 0.002  |
| 4                   | 62 (85%)                  | 11 (15%)          | 0.25 | 0.12–0.49 | <0.001 |
| 5                   | 20 (40%)                  | 30 (60%)          | 2.15 | 1.17–4.04 | 0.015  |
| 6                   | 24 (60%)                  | 16 (40%)          | 0.96 | 0.48–1.87 | 0.9    |
| Faecal consistency  |                           |                   | 564|      |          |      |
| 1                   | 101 (68%)                 | 48 (32%)          | —  | —    |          |      |
| 2                   | 200 (69%)                 | 89 (31%)          | 0.94 | 0.61–1.44 | 0.8    |
| 3                   | 9 (56%)                   | 7 (44%)           | 1.64 | 0.56–4.65 | 0.4    |
| 4                   | 56 (51%)                  | 54 (49%)          | 2.03 | 1.22–3.38 | 0.006  |
| Faecal appearance   |                           |                   | 564|      |          |      |
| Normal              | 336 (65%)                 | 182 (35%)         | —  | —    |          |      |
| Other (mucus, bloody)| 30 (65%)                 | 16 (35%)          | 0.98 | 0.51–1.83 | >0.9   |
| Abdominal pain      |                           |                   | 563|      |          |      |
| No                  | 175 (65%)                 | 93 (35%)          | —  | —    |          |      |
| Pathogen                        | Yes          | No          | OR (95% CI) | p-value |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| **Vomit**                      |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 190 (64%)    | 105 (36%)   | 1.04        | 0.74–1.47 | 0.8     |
| Unknown                        | 1            | 0           |             |         |
| **Drinking water source**      |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 13 (68%)     | 6 (32%)     | 0.85        | 0.29–2.18 | 0.7     |
| No                             | 353 (65%)    | 192 (35%)   |             |         |
| **Treated water**              |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 83 (29%)     | 8 (33%)     | 0.92        | 0.37–2.13 | 0.9     |
| No                             | 350 (65%)    | 190 (35%)   |             |         |
| **Hand washing**               |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 200 (71%)    | 83 (29%)    | 0.60        | 0.42–0.85 | 0.004   |
| No                             | 166 (59%)    | 115 (41%)   |             |         |
| **Washing fresh produce**      |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 289 (64%)    | 161 (36%)   | 1.19        | 0.77–1.87 | 0.4     |
| No                             | 77 (68%)     | 36 (32%)    |             |         |
| **Eating with**                |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 311 (65%)    | 166 (35%)   | 0.92        | 0.57–1.49 | 0.7     |
| No                             | 55 (63%)     | 32 (37%)    |             |         |
| **Defecation place**           |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 83 (70%)     | 36 (30%)    | 0.76        | 0.49–1.16 | 0.2     |
| No                             | 365 (65%)    | 198 (35%)   |             |         |
| **Contact with animals**       |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 1 (100%)     | 0 (0%)      | 0.00        | >0.9    |
| No                             | 328 (64%)    | 162 (36%)   |             |         |
| **Ancylostoma**                |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 83 (70%)     | 36 (30%)    | 0.76        | 0.49–1.16 | 0.2     |
| No                             | 283 (64%)    | 162 (36%)   |             |         |
| **Ascaris**                    |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 1 (100%)     | 0 (0%)      | 0.00        | >0.9    |
| No                             | 364 (65%)    | 195 (35%)   |             |         |
| **Blastocystis**               |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 2 (40%)      | 3 (60%)     | 2.80        | 0.46–21.4 | 0.3     |
| No                             | 305 (64%)    | 173 (36%)   |             |         |
| **Chilomastix**                |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 59 (62%)     | 36 (38%)    | 1.16        | 0.73–1.82 | 0.5     |
| No                             | 307 (66%)    | 162 (34%)   |             |         |
| **E. coli**                    |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 141 (59%)    | 97 (41%)    |             |         |
| No                             | 225 (69%)    | 101 (31%)   | 0.65        | 0.46–0.93 | 0.017   |
| **E. histolytica**             |              |             |             |         |
| Yes                            | 190 (64%)    | 105 (36%)   | 1.04        | 0.74–1.47 | 0.8     |

Note: OR = Odds Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval
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| No | 216 (63%) | 125 (37%) |
| Yes | 150 (67%) | 73 (33%) |
| aOR | 0.84 | 0.59–1.20 |
| 95% CI | >0.9 |
| E. nana | 564 |
| No | 129 (59%) | 88 (41%) |
| Yes | 237 (68%) | 110 (32%) |
| aOR | 0.68 | 0.48–0.97 |
| 95% CI | 0.3 |
| Hymenolepis | 564 |
| No | 333 (65%) | 180 (35%) |
| Yes | 33 (65%) | 18 (35%) |
| aOR | 1.01 | 0.54–1.82 |
| 95% CI | >0.9 |
| Iodamoeba | 564 |
| No | 336 (64%) | 191 (36%) |
| Yes | 30 (81%) | 7 (19%) |
| aOR | 0.41 | 0.16–0.90 |
| 95% CI | 0.032 |
| Isospora | 564 |
| No | 366 (65%) | 197 (35%) |
| Yes | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| No. of samples | 1,447,714 |
| aOR | 0.00, NA |
| 95% CI | >0.9 |
| Sarcocystis | 564 |
| No | 359 (65%) | 196 (35%) |
| Yes | 7 (78%) | 2 (22%) |
| aOR | 0.52 | 0.08–2.19 |
| 95% CI | 0.4 |
| Strongyloides | 564 |
| No | 345 (64%) | 191 (36%) |
| Yes | 21 (75%) | 7 (25%) |
| aOR | 0.60 | 0.23–1.38 |
| 95% CI | 0.3 |
| Taenia | 564 |
| No | 364 (65%) | 198 (35%) |
| Yes | 2 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| aOR | 0.00 | >0.9 |
| 95% CI | 0.7 |
| Trichuris | 564 |
| No | 365 (65%) | 197 (35%) |
| Yes | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) |
| aOR | 1.85 | 0.07–47.0 |
| 95% CI | 0.6 |
| Cyclospora | 564 |
| No | 351 (65%) | 188 (35%) |
| Yes | 15 (60%) | 10 (40%) |
| aOR | 1.24 | 0.53–2.80 |
| 95% CI | <0.001 |
| No. of samples | 564 |
| aOR | 1.72 | 1.43–2.08 |
| 95% CI | <0.001 |

Table 9. Multivariable analysis comparing G. duodenalis-negative results versus G. duodenalis ever positive results.

| Variable | aOR | 95% CI | p-Value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Age group (years) | 564 | 1.43–2.08 | <0.001 |
| 0–4 | | | |
| 5–9 | 0.58 | 0.30–1.12 | 0.11 |
| 10–14 | 0.40 | 0.20–0.81 | 0.011 |
| ≥15 | 0.20 | 0.11–0.39 | <0.001 |
| Number_samples | 1.46 | 1.18–1.81 | <0.001 |
| Tribe | 564 | 0.21–0.85 | 0.018 |
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | 0.46 | 0.24–0.85 | 0.016 |
| 3 | 0.43 | 0.21–0.85 | 0.018 |

1 Statistics presented: n (%). 2 OR, crude odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; NA, not applicable.
2.6.2. Comparing *G. duodenalis* Serial Results

Out of the 478 observations with more than one sample, 62% (295/478) always tested negative for *G. duodenalis*, 4% (20/478) always tested positive, and 34% (163/478) were discontinuously positive. As such, among observations ever positive for *G. duodenalis*, 11% (20/183) were continuously positive. Of those, 70% were aged 0–9 years old, 55% were from tribe 1, and 35% from tribe 5. Overall, 85% did not report hand washing, 30% not washing fresh produce, 50% reported open defecation near the households, and 45% open defecation in the woods. However, in the multivariable analysis, discontinuous positivity was strongly associated with the number of samples (aOR = 0.30, 95% CI: 0.12–0.66), and tribe 5 (aOR = 4.76, 95% CI: 1.30–18.6), but no further significant association was found (Table S7 and Table S8).

Finally, comparing observations that were always negative versus always positive, the multivariable model only retained age group and tribe, showing evidence of a protective effect of older age groups (aOR = 0.24, 95% CI: 0.06–0.89 for children 5–9 years old; aOR = 0.17, 95% CI: 0.03–0.68 for children 10–14 years old; aOR = 0.05, 95% CI: 0.01–0.20 for children ≥15 years old), and a strong positive association with tribe 5 (aOR = 5.55, 95% CI: 1.61–19.4) (Table S9 and Table S10). However, when adjusting for the effect of coinfections; the best fit model suggested a protective effect of *E. nana* (aOR = 0.25, 95% CI: 0.08–73), oldest age group ≥15 years old (aOR = 0.07, 95% CI: 0.01–0.28), and a positive effect of tribe 5 (aOR = 5.87, 95% CI: 1.60–22.1) (Table S11).

3. Discussion

This survey presents new insights into the epidemiology of *G. duodenalis* in Amazonian indigenous communities. The main contributions of the study include the demonstration that i) giardiasis is a common finding (13–22%) in apparently healthy Tapirapé people, mainly affecting children in the age group of 0–9 years old; ii) assemblage B was responsible for near 70% of the mostly asymptomatic infections detected; and iii) a high degree of genetic heterogeneity was observed within assemblage B (but not assemblage A) sequences, regardless of the molecular marker used.

Several epidemiological studies conducted in endemic areas worldwide have shown that *G. duodenalis* infections do not seem to correlate positively with diarrhoea [23,24], demonstrating that asymptomatic giardiasis is the rule rather than the exception in these settings. This fact would explain why giardiasis is systematically absent in global burden estimations of diarrhoeal disease [25]. This seems to be also the case of the present study,
where *G. duodenalis* infections were detected similarly in asymptomatic individuals (33.8%) and individuals presenting with diarrhoea or other gastrointestinal manifestations (35.3%). Taken together, this information supports the hypothesis that some enteric protist species (e.g., *Blastocystis* sp., *Dientamoeba fragilis*, *G. duodenalis*) might in fact be protective against disease [26]. This is an attractive possibility implying that these agents are indeed acting as pathobionts (that is, microorganisms that normally live as harmless symbionts but under certain circumstances can be pathogenic) forming part of the host eukaryome.

We have shown in our study that *G. duodenalis* infection was strongly related to younger age and tribe (with tribes 1 and 5 having a higher association) and to seasonality. This may be due to external factors associated with indirect transmission pathways of the infection (e.g., source of drinking water, consumption of contaminated fresh produce, swimming in contaminated surface waters, defecation on the open ground near households, and high density of companion or domestic animals) or increased risk of reinfection within the tribe from other infected members through direct person-to-person contact. Contact with faecally contaminated water and produce may be more likely in the rainy season. Children <15 years old with giardiasis reported more frequently vomiting, abdominal pain, and presence of mucus/blood in faeces compared to adults, although observed differences did not reach statistical significance. Young children with an immature immune system may be at higher risk of infections and probably more severe disease episodes. Thus, older adults may have acquired immunity after a previous infection. Indeed, it has been shown that levels of intestinal inflammation caused by *G. duodenalis* infection decrease with subsequent infections [27,28]. This implies that there is acquired protection against the severity of giardiasis but not from reinfection [29]. In this regard, it should be noted that the composition and abundance of the host’s microbiota have also been suggested to play an important role in the outcome of the infection [30].

Giardiasis was also strongly dependent on the number of samples taken, even considering that conventional microscopy (a method that is largely known to be of limited diagnostic sensitivity) was the screening method for the initial detection of *G. duodenalis* in the present survey. This suggests that possible reinfections or chronic infections with intermittent positivity may be more common than initially anticipated. Reinfection may be more pronounced in the rainy season. In addition, no evident differences between individuals continuously positive/discontinuously positive to *G. duodenalis* were found. However, we should exclude a bias in those presenting for sampling. This is unlikely to be a major factor due to the lack of symptoms in most cases.

Regarding co-infections, the presence of *G. duodenalis* was not associated with any other enteric parasite species, except possibly *E. nana*. These results may be biased by the relatively small number of positive samples detected for certain pathogens and should, therefore, be interpreted with caution. Similarly, a counter-intuitive positive association between *G. duodenalis* with washing fresh produce was found. This result may be the consequence of the potential confounder effect of other variables no considered here such as the manipulation of fresh produce or the use of contaminated washing water. The latter possibility would support the relevance of waterborne transmission for human giardiasis.

Molecular sequence analyses of the three loci used here for genotyping purposes also revealed interesting data. There were no differences in age between individuals infected either by the assemblage A or the assemblage B of *G. duodenalis*. Regarding age-related patterns in the distribution of *G. duodenalis* assemblages, our results are in contrast with those previously obtained in surveys targeting clinical populations. For instance, children have been shown to be more commonly infected by assemblage B (83%, 44/53) than adults (52%, 22/42) in patients of all age groups in Spain [31]. Moreover, in that country, assemblage B was significantly more prevalent than assemblage A in asymptomatic outpatient children, but not in individuals of older age [32].

Remarkably, no association between the occurrence of diarrhoea (or any other gastrointestinal manifestation) and the *G. duodenalis* assemblage involved in the infection was
found in the investigated population. This result corroborates that observed in children under 5 years of age \( n = 222 \) recruited under the Global Enteric Multicentre Study (GEMS) in Mozambique [33]. However, it should be noted that other surveys have shown different, even contradictory, results. For instance, assemblage A was more prevalent than assemblage B in Bangladeshi people \( n = 343 \) [34], in Turkish clinical patients \( n = 44 \) [35], and in Spanish outpatient children \( n = 43 \) [32]. The opposite trend was reported in asymptomatic infected individuals \( n = 18 \) in the Netherlands [36].

Genotyping data generated here demonstrated that assemblage B was responsible for three out of four \( G. \) duodenalis infections in the Tapirapé people, a similar proportion of that (78%) described in paediatric populations in the Amazonas State [37]. Of note, assemblage A tends to be the predominant \( G. \) duodenalis genetic variant circulating in humans in Brazil (Table S1). These facts may be indicative of differences in sources of infection, transmission pathways, or even geographical segregation patterns of the parasite in the country. Lack of non-human, host-specific assemblages C–F seem to suggest that companion, production, and free-living animal species are no significant contributors of giardiasis in the surveyed population. This is in spite of the fact that swine and poultry were reared in all seven tribes, and that domestic dog and cat densities were also high. In addition, cattle (but not sheep) farming was also frequent in the proximity of them. Taking together, these data indicate that human giardiasis is mainly of anthropic nature among the Tapirapé people. The extent and accuracy of this statement should be corroborated in future molecular epidemiological studies including animal and environmental (water) samples.

This study also confirms the high genetic variability within \( G. \) duodenalis assemblage B (but not assemblage A) reported frequently in similar molecular epidemiological surveys conducted in endemic areas globally [38,39] including Brazil [40,41]. This finding was particularly evident at the \( gdh \) and \( tpi \) loci, for which most of the generated BIII (78–87%), BIV (100%), and BIII/BIV (90–92%) sequences corresponded to distinct genotypes of the parasite. Sequences unmistakably assigned to BIII and BIV at the \( gdh/tpi \) loci tended to vary only in one to six positions (hotspots) either as mutations or ambiguous (double peak) sites. In these sets of hotspots, the proportion of sites involving double peaks in BIII sequences varied from 38% at the \( gdh \) locus to 18% at the \( tpi \) locus. Interestingly, these percentages increased in both cases to 55–68% in ambiguous BIII/BIV sequences, explaining why these isolates were difficult to allocate to a given sub-assemblage. Two independent mechanisms have been proposed to explain the presence of ambiguous (double peak) positions. The first one involves the occurrence of true mixed infections (e.g., BIII+BIV) and would fit well with an epidemiological scenario characterised by high infection and reinfection rates as the one described in the present study. The second one would be associated with the occurrence of genetic recombination. Evidence for the latter possibility comes from independent investigations demonstrating low levels of allelic sequence heterozygosity (implying a genetic homogenisation mechanism) within assemblage A [42] and, to a lesser extent, within assemblage B [43]. Additional evidence of genetic recombination events has been demonstrated within assemblage B in single (trophozoite and cyst) cells [44] and within sub-assemblages BIII and BIV at the genetic population level [45].

The results obtained in the present study may be biased by certain design and methodological constricts. For instance, the initial screening of \( G. \) duodenalis was based on conventional microscopy, so the true prevalence of the infection is likely to be underestimated. In addition, there may be a response bias as people may be more or less inclined to return to the study if they had a negative or positive test result. Interestingly, the positivity rate was increased by the number of tests performed, suggesting that over time people were likely to have had a giardiasis episode, that they may have had a false-negative result at microscopy examination, or an inherent response bias in that people who were likely to be positive would return for testing. Limitations associated with the main dataset may arise from the combination of period-specific data, although most of the independent
variables considered (e.g., demographics, access to safe drinking water, and sanitary conditions) were not expected to change over time. As our analyses used the first negative test result, we could not further explore the effect of seasonality in the multivariable analysis. However, we have already shown in the descriptive data that seasonality is associated with infections and repeated infections. Lack of association between G. duodenalis genetic variants and occurrence of clinical symptoms may be influenced by the fact that other diarrhoea-causing agents (including viral and bacterial pathogens) were not assessed. In addition, suspected mixed infections were not further investigated by cloning of PCR amplicons or next-generation sequencing, methods with high sensitivity able to detect genetic variants of the parasite that are underrepresented in the population pool, and that are otherwise undetectable using conventional PCR methods and Sanger sequencing. Finally, the typing scheme used in the present study may lack enough phylogenetic resolution to correctly differentiate between sub-assemblage BIII and BIV sequences. This issue has been highlighted in recent molecular studies for assemblage B and assemblage A sequences [46,47]. This important point emphasises the need of identifying new markers and of developing novel methods for MLST purposes.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Study Area

Brazil extends over 8,511,965 km² and includes 724 indigenous lands (ILs) covering a total area of 1,173,770 km² and accounting for 14% of the country’s territory [48]. Most ILs are concentrated in the Legal Amazon, representing 23% of the Amazon territory) [49]. The indigenous people from the Tapirapé ethnicity live in the Serra do Urubu Branco region, Mato Grosso State, a region of tropical forest with typical Amazonian flora and fauna interspersed with clean and closed fields. The Tapirapé exploit this environment alternating agriculture, hunting, gathering, and fishing according to the time of year [49,50]. Farmers’ villages have traditionally been in the vicinity of dense forests on high, non-flooding lands. Currently, the Tapirapé ethnic group is made up of approximately 700 individuals living in six tribes with maximum and minimum distances from the main tribe of 70 km and 10 km, respectively. The main tribe is in the municipality of Confresa, Mato Grosso State (Figure 3). Tapirapé people interact frequently with individuals from other ethnic tribes at social events, hunt parties, and other activities.

4.2. Sampling and Data Collection

This is a prospective, cross-sectional epidemiological study including four sampling periods covering two dry (July 2008 and July 2009) and two rainy (January 2009 and January 2010) seasons. After obtaining the chief’s (’cacique’) consent for permission to survey, all members of the tribe were informed about the aim of the project and invited to provide a single stool sample at each of the four scheduled sampling periods. Designated persons in each household were given polystyrene plastic flasks for each member of the household and stool samples were collected on the following day.

Individual standardised questionnaires were completed by a member of our research team in face-to-face interviews with designated persons at sample collection, who provided the requested information for each member of his/her household. Questions included demographics (gender, age, village of origin), clinical manifestations (vomit, abdominal pain), hand and vegetable washing, source of drinking water, use of water treatment, defecation place, and contact with domestic animals and livestock. Provided stool samples were visually inspected for consistency and the presence of mucus or blood. Each participant was assigned a unique distinctive code through the whole period of study, which was used to identify his/her stool sample(s) and associated epidemiological questionnaire(s).
4.3. Microscopy Examination

Stool samples were kept at 4 °C before microscopy examination, usually within 48 h of collection. A conventional flotation method using sucrose solution (specific gravity: 1.2 g/cm³) was conducted in all stool samples as previously described [51]. Two additional techniques were performed—spontaneous sedimentation [52] and centrifugal-sedimentation in formalin-ether [53]. A sample was considered G. duodenalis-positive if cysts of the parasite were detected by at least one of the three methods used. Aliquots of faecal positive samples were stored at −20 °C for downstream molecular analyses. Any other enteric parasite (including helminthic and protist) species found during microscopy observation were also identified and recorded.

4.4. DNA Extraction and Purification

Positive stool samples were defrosted and G. duodenalis cysts concentrated and purified using the Faust method [54]. Obtained supernatants were subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles to facilitate the mechanical breakage of the cyst wall [55]. Genomic DNA was extracted from the processed supernatants (ca 200 μL) using the PureLink Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Extracted and purified DNA samples in molecular grade water (200 μL) were kept at −20 °C and shipped to the Spanish National Centre for Microbiology (Health Institute Carlos III) in Majadahonda (Spain) for downstream genotyping analyses.

4.5. Molecular Confirmation of G. duodenalis

Confirmation of G. duodenalis infection was achieved using a real-time PCR (qPCR) method targeting a 62-bp region of the gene codifying the small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU rRNA) of the parasite [56]. Amplification reactions (25 μL) consisted of 3 μL of template DNA, 0.5 μM of each primer Gd-80F and Gd-127R, 0.4 μM of the probe (Table S12), and 12.5 μL TaqMan® Gene Expression Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA,
USA). Detection of parasitic DNA was performed on a Corbett Rotor GeneTM 6000 real-time PCR system (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) using an amplification protocol consisting of an initial hold step of 2 min at 55 °C and 15 min at 95 °C, followed by 45 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 1 min at 60 °C. Water (no template) and genomic DNA (positive) controls were included in each PCR run.

4.6. Molecular Characterisation of G. duodenalis

Giardia duodenalis isolates with a qPCR-positive result were re-assessed by sequence-based multi-locus genotyping of the genes encoding for the glutamate dehydrogenase (gdh), beta-giardin (bg), and triosephosphate isomerase (tpi) proteins of the parasite. A semi-nested PCR was used to amplify a ~432-bp fragment of the gdh gene [57]. PCR reaction mixtures (25 μL) included 5 μL of template DNA and 0.5 μM of the primer pairs GDHeF/GDHiR in the primary reaction and GDHiF/GDHiR in the secondary reaction (Table S12). Both amplification protocols consisted of an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, with a final extension of 72 °C for 7 min.

A nested PCR was used to amplify a ~511 bp-fragment of the bg gene [58]. PCR reaction mixtures (25 μL) consisted of 3 μL of template DNA and 0.4 μM of the primers sets G7_F/G759_R in the primary reaction and G99_F/G609_R in the secondary reaction (Table S12). The primary PCR reaction was carried out with the following amplification conditions: one step of 95 °C for 7 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 65 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, with a final extension of 72 °C for 7 min. The conditions for the secondary PCR were identical to the primary PCR except that the annealing temperature was 55 °C.

A nested PCR was used to amplify a ~530 bp-fragment of the tpi gene [59]. PCR reaction mixtures (50 μL) included 2–2.5 μL of template DNA and 0.2 μM of the primer pairs AL3543/AL3546 in the primary reaction and AL3544/AL3545 in the secondary reaction (Table S12). Both amplification protocols consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s, 50 °C for 45 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, with a final extension of 72 °C for 10 min.

The semi-nested and nested PCR protocols described above were conducted on a 2720 Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems). Reaction mixes always included 2.5 units of MyTaq™ DNA polymerase (Bioline GmbH, Luckenwalde, Germany), and 5× MyTaqTM reaction buffer containing 5 mM dNTPs and 15 mM MgCl₂. Laboratory-confirmed positive and negative DNA samples for each parasite species investigated were routinely used as controls and included in each round of PCR. PCR amplicons were visualised on 2% D5 agarose gels (Conda, Madrid, Spain) stained with Pronasafe nucleic acid staining solution (Conda). Positive PCR products were directly sequenced in both directions using appropriate internal primer sets (Table S12). DNA sequencing was conducted by capillary electrophoresis using BigDye® Terminator chemistry (Applied Biosystems) on an on ABI PRISM 3130 Genetic Analyser.

4.7. Sequence and Phylogenetic Analyses

Raw sequencing data in both forward and reverse directions were viewed using the Chromas Lite version 2.1 sequence analysis program (https://technelysium.com.au/wp/chromas/). The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi, accessed on 1 February 2021) was used to compare nucleotide sequences with sequences retrieved from the NCBI GenBank database. Generated DNA consensus sequences were aligned to appropriate reference sequences using the MEGA 6 free software [60] for species confirmation and assemblage/sub-assemblage identification.

For the estimation of the phylogenetic relationships among the identified Giardia-positive samples, gdh sequences generated in this study and human- and animal-derived homologue sequences mostly from Brazil retrieved from GenBank were aligned using
Clustal X and adjusted manually with GeneDoc [61,62]. Inferences by maximum parsimony (MP) were constructed by PAUP version 4.0b10 using a heuristic search in 1000 replicates, 500 bootstrap replicates, random stepwise addition starting trees (with random addition sequences), and tree bisection and reconnection branch swapping [63]. MrBayes v3.1.2 was used to perform Bayesian analyses with four independent Markov chain runs for 1,000,000 metropolis-coupled MCMC generations, sampling a tree every 100th generation [64]. References [65–109] are cited in the supplementary materials. The first 25% of trees represented burn-in and the remaining trees were used to calculate Bayesian posterior probability. The GTR +I+G substitution model was used. The gdh sequence of G. ardeae was used as the outgroup.

The sequences obtained in this study have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers MT542718-MT542765 (gdh), MT542766-MT542794 (bg), and MT542795-MT542829 (tpi).

4.8. Statistical Analysis

We investigated factors (public health features, clinical symptoms, coinfection with other pathogens) associated with a positive G. duodenalis result. The main dataset was constructed with data from one of the four sampling points—if the observation ever tested positive for G. duodenalis, we used data from the sampling point of the first positive G. duodenalis result; otherwise, we used data from the first sampling point in order.

We conducted Chi-squared tests ($p < 0.05$) to compare characteristics of cases and non-cases, and we calculated crude odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) to investigate the crude association between independent variables and a G. duodenalis-positive result. We constructed multivariable logistic regression models to assess the association between G. duodenalis and (i) public health features and clinical signs or (ii) coinfection with other intestinal pathogens, adjusted by age, tribe, and the number of samples. Additionally, we considered the serial results of G. duodenalis for observations with at least two samples. We conducted similar analyses by comparing those continuously negative versus continuously positive, and those discontinuously positive versus continuously positive.

Univariable analyses were conducted on all available observations, but observations with missing values were removed from multivariable analyses. All the independent variables were included in the analyses and we used the stepwise backward selection method, removing successively the least significant variable and using Akaike information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) to construct the best fit model. Analyses were performed in R (package stats).

4.9. Ethics Approval

This study has been approved by the National Research Ethics Commission (CONEP), Ministry of Health (Brazil), under reference number 120/2008.

5. Conclusions

This microscopy-based survey demonstrates that symptomatic and asymptomatic giardiasis are common in indigenous people from the Brazilian Amazon. Children under 15 years of age were particularly exposed to the infection, suggesting that acquired immunity plays a role in modulating the frequency and virulence of the disease. G. duodenalis infection rates varied largely among the surveyed tribes and sampling periods, suggesting that different pathways may be involved in the transmission of the parasite. Molecular sequence data indicated that the most likely source of infection was anthropic. The distribution of assemblages was independent of the occurrence of clinical manifestations, indicating that the genotype of the parasite was not associated with the outcome of the infection. Assemblage B accounted for near 75% of the infections detected and showed a high genetic diversity that impaired the correct identification of sub-assemblages BIII and BIV.

References [65–109] are cited in the supplementary materials.
This diversity was mainly associated with the presence of ambiguous positions (double peaks) at the chromatogram level, suggesting that coinfections and/or genetic recombination events were taking place, at unknown rates, in the investigated population. Further molecular epidemiological studies targeting animal (including domestic and wildlife) and environmental (drinking water) samples are needed to elucidate the transmission dynamics of *G. duodenalis* in this Brazilian geographical region.
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