Morphometric analysis in basaltic Terrain of Central India using GIS techniques: a case study
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Abstract Morphometric analysis is significant for investigation and management of the watershed. This study depicts the morphometric analysis of Miniwada Watershed in Nagpur district, Maharashtra, Central India using Geographic Information System (GIS) techniques, which has been carried out through measurement of various aspects like linear, aerial and relief aspects of watershed. The drainage network of the watershed was generated from Cartosat-I DEM (10 m) using ESRI Software ArcGIS (ver.10.2). The analysis reveals that drainage pattern is dendritic and the stream order in the watershed varies from 1 to 4. The total number of stream segments of all orders counted as 37, out of which the majority of orders (70.27 %) was covered by 1st order streams and 4th order stream segments covers only 2.70 %. The bifurcation ratio reflects the geological and tectonic characteristics of the watershed and estimated as 3.08. The drainage density of the watershed is 3.63 km/sq km and it indicates the closeness of spacing of channels. The systematic analysis of various parameters in GIS helps in better understanding the soil resources distribution, watersheds prioritization, planning and management.
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Introduction

Proper utilization of available natural resources is vital for development in agriculture, as majority of people depend, directly or indirectly for their livelihood. With the abundant increase in population, it is noticed that water and soil resources need to be managed in an effective manner. The optimal and sustainable development of these resources is prerequisite to avoid any future problems regarding its qualitative and quantitative availability. Water, one of the vital natural resources for agricultural, is becoming deficient because of over-exploitation, poor groundwater recharge, immoderate use etc. Therefore, the study of morphometric characteristics assumes greater significance in developing the surface and groundwater resources more particularly in Central India.

Development of a drainage system and the flowing pattern over space and time are influenced by several variables (Horton 1945; Leopold and Maddock 1953; Abrahams 1984). Many researcher’s works were based on arbitrary areas or individual channel segments by considering watershed as a unit. It involves quantitative measurements of the geographic parameters and provides measurement, mathematical analysis of the configuration of the earth’s surface as well as shape and dimensions of its landforms and quantitative description of the drainage system, which is an important aspect for the characterization of watersheds (Strahler 1964; Clarke 1966; Agarwal 1998; Sahu et al. 2014). Various hydrological parameters can be correlated with size, shape, slope, drainage density etc. of the drainage basin (Rastogi and Sharma 1976; Magesh et al. 2012). Hence, morphometric analyses provide information related to formation of various processes occurring on the surface of land (Singh 1992, 1995; Reddy et al. 2004a; Dar et al. 2013) which can be better reflected...
through measurement of linear, aerial and relief aspects (Nautiyal 1994; Reddy et al. 2002; Banerjee et al. 2015). It involves the evaluation of stream parameters through the measurements of various stream properties. According to Strahler’s system of classification, the stream with no tributaries is designated as first-order, the stream formed by joining two first-order is designated as second-order and so on.

The remote sensing (RS) techniques are convenient for morphometric analysis as a satellite images provide a synoptic view of a large area. The fast emerging spatial information technology, RS, GIS and Global Positioning System (GPS) are effective tools for soil and water resource planning and management rather than conventional methods. The processed Cartosat-I DEM was used successfully to deduce the parameters in GIS environment. RS coupled with GIS techniques have proved to be an efficient tool in drainage delineation and their updation (Pirasteh et al. 2010; Singh et al. 2013, 2014). A number of morphometric studies have been carried out in many parts of the world as well as in different watersheds of India (Chalam et al. 1996; Chaudhary and Sharma 1998; Srinivasan and Subramanian 1999; Kumar et al. 2001; Ali and Singh 2002; Singh et al. 2003; Reddy et al. 2004b) and all have concluded that RS and GIS are powerful tools for studying basin morphometry. Drainage characteristics of many watersheds have been studied using the traditional method, which is laborious and cumbersome (Horton 1945; Strahler 1957, 1964; Krishnamurthy et al. 1996). With the advancement of RS and GIS, the morphometric analysis of natural drain and its drainage network analysis can be better achieved (Farr and Kobrick 2000; Smith and Sandwell 2003; Grohmann 2004; Grohmann et al. 2007) with precise and inexpensive way. Watershed prioritization based on morphometric characteristics has also been carried out and help for locating potential and erosion prone zones (Reddy et al. 2004b; Mishra et al. 2011; Wakode et al. 2011; Romshoo et al. 2012; Jasmin and Mallikarjuna 2013). Drainage morphology along with slope map was also explored for designing water storage structures like percolation tank, pond, check dams etc. Therefore, the main objective of this study is to evaluate various morphometric parameters of the study area using GIS tools.

**Study area**

The Miniwada watershed lies between 21°5′47″ to 21°7′26″N latitudes and 78°40′41″ to 78°43′26″E longitudes in Katol tehsil, the western part of Nagpur district, Maharashtra, Central India. The elevation varies from 407 to 475 m from mean sea level (MSL) with an area of 1053 ha (Fig. 1). The area is hot subtropical and qualifies for hyperthermic soil temperature regime. The mean annual rainfall is 980 mm, which is lower than the average rainfall of 1205 mm of Nagpur district. Geologically the area is covered by the spread of basaltic lava flows. Rocks of this region have an aphanitic texture which is the resultant of cooling and the colour range from dark grey to dark greenish grey. In Maharashtra, majority of the area is occupied by Deccan Volcanic Province (DVP), known for their marked horizontality table-topped hills. Basaltic rocks and a typical spheroidal weathering pattern are common in the watershed. The soils of this region have swelling-shrinking properties with dendritic drainage pattern.

**Methodology**

Survey of India (SOI) toposheet (55K/12) on 1:50,000 and Cartosat-1 stereo pairs of 3rd March, 2012 with path/row 542/299 have been used for generation of DEM. SOI topographic map (55K/12) on 1:50,000 scale was georeferenced using WGS 84 datum, Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 44N projection in ArcGIS desktop 10.2.2. For DEM and ortho-image generation from Cartosat-1 stereo pairs, Leica Photogrammetry Suite (LPS) was used in the study. In LPS, Cartosat-1 stereo pair images, with both bands were added and automatically tie points were generated. Manually some more tie points were added to reduce error. To check accuracies of all the tie points, triangulation was performed. Ground control points (GCPs) were added manually and again triangulation was carried out. The error was 0.512 which is satisfactory. DEM was generated with a cell size of 10 m and finally used for ortho rectification using ERDAS Imagine software.

In this study, the Miniwada watershed was delineated and drainage network was extracted using Cartosat-I DEM (10 m) in conjunction with Survey of India (SOI) toposheet. The attributes were added to create the digital data base of watershed using ArcGIS. The ridgelines in the toposheet were identified, which act as dividing lines for the runoff (Fig. 2). The stream orders were extracted from Cartosat-I DEM (10 m) and updated from SOI toposheet of 1:50,000 following a system introduced by Horton (1945) but later modified by Strahler (1952). Similarly, the stream length is calculated in GIS platform.

The morphometric parameters analyzed were grouped into three categories related to their orientation in space. They are linear, aerial and relief aspects and calculated based on the formulae shown in Table 1 and the flowchart of the methodology is presented in Fig. 3.
Results and discussion

Linear morphometric parameters

The linear morphometric linear parameters of the watershed were calculated using standard formulae as given in Table 2. Stream order of the watershed is of fourth order (Fig. 2). The details of stream characteristics conform to Horton(1932) “law of stream numbers”. Stream number (Nu) supports Horton’s law i.e. stream number decreased with increase in stream order in this basin ($r^2 = 0.98$), which is quite satisfactory (Fig. 4a) whereas stream length also conforms Horton (1945) second “law of stream length”. The length of the stream segments decreased as the stream order increased (Fig. 4b) and it results when basin evolution follows the erosion laws acting on geological material with homogeneous weathering erosion characteristics ($r^2 = 0.93$).

In general, the mean stream length (Lsm) of a channel is greater than that of the lower order but this fails in case of second order streams may be due to the slope and topographical variations. The value varied from 0.70 to 2.26 km and the stream length ratio (RL) of the watershed ranged from 0.44 to 3.22. It has been observed that, there is an increasing trend of RL from lower order to higher order indicating their mature geomorphic stages and if there is a change from one order to another order, it indicates their late youth stage of geomorphic development (Singh and Singh 1997). Abnormal low value (0.44) between third and fourth streams in this study may be an indication that the watershed was subjected to neo-tectonic adjustments, resulting in late youth stage of geomorphic development (Lahiri 1996). In this study, bifurcation ratio (Rb) varied between 3 and 5 and not same from one order to its next order, hence these irregularities are attributed to geological and lithological development of a drainage basin (Strahler 1964). The average value of Rb is 3.08, whereas, high Rb value is the indication of complexity in structure (Nag and
Chakroborty 2003). Hence, the watershed might have affected by human activities.

**Areal morphometric parameters**

The aerial aspects of the drainage were calculated and results have been shown in Table 3. The total area of the drainage basin is 1053 ha. The length of the basin is 4402 m. Basin perimeter is the outer boundary of the drainage basin that encloses its area as 20,485 m using ArcGIS software.

Drainage texture (Dt) is one of the important drainage parameters in morphometric analysis, which depend on numerous factors. According to Smith (1954) drainage density was classified into five classes of drainage texture, i.e. very coarse (<2), coarse (2–4), moderate (4–6), fine (6–8) and very fine (>8) drainage texture. The watershed has a value of 1.81, which falls under very coarse texture category. The interaction between climate and geology is very well interpreted by drainage density (Ritter and Major 1995). Basin possesses high drainage density i.e. 3.63 km/km², which is indicative of less permeable material, sparse vegetative cover and moderate to high relief. The stream frequency of the watershed is 0.04 per ha. Another important factor in the drainage morphometric analysis is texture ratio (Rt) which is found to be 1.27 in the watershed. The circularity ratio of the basin is 0.32, which is indicative of the lack of circularity and indicates the

| S. no. | Morphometric parameters | Formula/relationship | References |
|--------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| 1.     | Stream order            | Hierarchical rank    | Strahler (1964) |
| 2.     | Stream length           | Length of stream     | Horton (1945) |
| 3.     | Mean stream length      | $L_{sm} = L_u / N_u$, where, $L_u = \text{total stream length of order } “u”$, $N_u = \text{total no. of stream segments of order } u$ | Strahler (1964) |
| 4.     | Stream length ratio     | $R_L = L_u / L_{u-1}$, where, $L_u = \text{total stream length of order } “u”$, $L_{u-1} = \text{the total stream length of its next lower order}$ | Horton (1945) |
| 5.     | Bifurcation ratio       | $R_b = N_u / N_{u+1}$, $N_u = \text{total number of stream segments of order } “u”$, $N_{u+1} = \text{number pf stream segments of the next higher order}$ | Schunn (1956) |
| 6.     | Mean bifurcation ratio  | $R_{bm} = \text{average of the bifurcation ratio of all order}$ | Strahler (1957) |
| 7.     | Drainage texture        | $D_t = N_u / P$, where $N_u$ is the total number of streams of all order, $P$ is the perimeter of the basin in km² | Horton (1945) |
| 8.     | Texture ratio           | $R_t = N_1 / P$, where $N_1$ is the total number of first order streams | Horton (1932) |
| 9.     | Drainage density        | $D = L_u / A$, where $A$ is the total area of the basin (km²), $L_u$ is the total stream length of all orders | Horton (1932) |
| 10.    | Stream frequency        | $F_s = N_u / A$, where $N_u$ is the total number of streams of all order, $A$ is basin area in km² | Horton (1932) |
| 11.    | Form factor             | $F_f = A / L_b^2$ is the square of the basin length (km), $A$ is the basin area in km² | Horton (1932) |
| 12.    | Circulatory ratio       | $R_c = 4 \pi A / 2 P_2$, where $A$ is the area (km²) and $P_2$ is the perimeter (km) of the watershed | Miller (1953) |
| 13.    | Elongation ratio        | $R_e = 2 \sqrt{A / P} / L_b$, where $A$ is the area (km²) and $L_b$ is basin length | Schunn (1956) |
| 14.    | Length of overland flow | $L_g = 1 / (D^2)$, where $D$ is the drainage density | Horton (1945) |
| 15.    | Constant channel mainte- | Inverse of drainage density | Schunn (1956) |
| 16.    | Shape index             | Reciprocal of form factor | Horton (1932) |
| 17.    | Relief                  | (Elevation of basin mouth) − (Elevation of highest point on the basin) | Schunn (1956) |
| 18.    | Relief ratio            | $R_r = H / L_b$, where $H = \text{total relief (relative relief) of the basin}$, $L_b = \text{basin length}$ | Schunn (1956) |
| 19.    | Ruggedness number       | $R_n = H * D$, where $H = \text{watershed relief}$ (km), $D = \text{drainage density}$ (km/km²) | Strahler (1964) |
dendritic stage of a watershed. Elongation ratio depicts the shape of the watershed. According to Mustafa and Yusuf (1999), values of elongation ratio ranges from 0.4 to 1.0 and values near to 1.0 are regions of low relief (Strahler 1964). The change in slopes of the watershed can be classified according to Withanage et al. (2014) using elongation ratio, i.e. circular (0.9–0.10), oval (0.8–0.9), less elongated (0.7–0.8), elongated (0.5–0.7), and more elongated (less than 0.5). The elongation ratio of Miniwada watershed is 0.83 and classified as oval. The elongated nature of the basin has implication on both hydrologic and geomorphic processes. Mustafa and Yusuf (1999) concluded that the flow of water in elongated shape basins is distributed over a longer period than in circular ones. Elongated in shape indicates less prone to flood, lower erosion and sediment transport capacities. The form factor
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**Fig. 3** The flow chart of methodology adopted for morphometric analysis

| Table 2 | Linear aspects of the drainage network of the study area |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Stream order (U)** | **No. of streams (Nu)** | **Total length of streams (in m)** | **Mean stream length (in m)** | **Bifurcation ratio (Rb)** | **Stream length ratio (RL)** |
| 1 | 26 | 27,085.01 | 1041.73 |  |  |
| 2 | 8 | 5627.75 | 703.47 | 3.25 | 0.68 |
| 3 | 2 | 4523.87 | 2261.93 | 4 | 3.22 |
| 4 | 1 | 1004.97 | 1004.97 | 2 | 0.44 |
| **Total** | 37 | 38,241.60 | 5012.10 | Avg. 3.08 | 4.34 |

| Table 3 | Aerial and relief aspects of the study area |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Morphometric characteristics** | **Estimated values** |
| Area (A) | 1053 ha |
| Perimeter (P) | 20,485 m |
| Length of basin (Lb) | 4402 m |
| Drainage texture (Dd) | 1.81 |
| Texture ratio (Rt) | 1.27 |
| Drainage density (D) | 3.63 km/km² |
| Stream frequency (Fs) | 0.04 per ha |
| Form factor (Ff) | 0.54 |
| Circulatory ratio (Rc) | 0.32 |
| Elongation ratio (Re) | 0.83 |
| Length of overland flow (Lg) | 0.14 m |
| Constant of channel maintenance (C) | 0.28 km²/km |
| Shape index (Sb) | 1.84 |
| Relief (H) | 0.07 km |
| Relief ratio (Rr) | 0.02 |
| Ruggedness number (Rn) | 0.25 |
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**Fig. 4**  
(a) Regression of logarithm of number of streams and stream order,  
(b) regression of logarithm of cumulative stream length and stream order
operating on the slope of the basin (Schumun 1956). The drainage basin and the intensity of erosional process Relief ratio (Rr) gives indication of overall steepness of a correlation exists between relief and drainage frequency. basin is 0.07 km. It has been noticed that there is a strong form characteristics. The total basin relief of the drainage region is less prone to soil erosion. The bifurcation ratio, under the shape (Reddy et al. 2002). It is the reciprocal of form factor. The shape index values for the watershed is 1.84.

Relief morphometric parameters

The relief aspects of the watershed are related with three-dimensional features i.e. area, volume and altitude of landforms to analyze different geo-hydrological characteristics. Important relief parameters have been analyzed using standard formulae and results are presented in Table 3. Basin relief is one of the important factor to understand the geomorphic processes involved and landform characteristics. The total basin relief of the drainage basin is 0.07 km. It has been noticed that there is a strong correlation exists between relief and drainage frequency. Relief ratio (Rr) gives indication of overall steepness of a drainage basin and the intensity of erosional process operating on the slope of the basin (Schumn 1956). The value of Rr is 0.02, which is low and indicates the presence of basement rocks and moderate relief. Miniwada watershed has a ruggedness number of 0.25 which indicates the region is less prone to soil erosion.

Conclusion

The study demonstrates that remote Sensing and GIS have been proved to be efficient and effective tools over conventional methods in the delineation of drainage basin and updating drainage, which is used for the morphometric analysis, geological and geomorphological studies. The quantitative analysis of drainage pattern found to be of immense utility in delineating erosion prone zones which can help to suggest soil and water conservation measures at the parcel level. The computation of linear, areal and relief parameters of the watershed confirm that there is a linear correlation between hydrological behavior and landforms, which is helpful for water management activities. The study reveals that the Miniwada watershed characterized by elongated basin having fourth stream order, high drainage density, low relief ratio and low infiltration with high bifurcation ratio. Drainage network of the watershed is dendritic type and low value of bifurcation ratio indicates that the watershed has suffered less structural disturbance. The high value of elongation ratio compared to circulatory ratio indicates the elongated shape of the watershed, which is mainly due to the guiding effect of thrusting and faulting. The elongated shape of the watershed indicates less prone to flood, lower erosion and sediment transport capacities. The drainage density shows that the area has less vegetative cover and moderate to high relief. Stream frequency and drainage density of the watershed, plays a vital role to control the runoff pattern and other hydrological parameters. Relief ratio reflects that the watershed is treated with soil and water conservation measures. The value of ruggedness number is 0.25, which is low and shows that the region is less prone to soil erosion. The bifurcation ratio, circulatory ratio and relief ratio have huge significance in deciding the pattern and changes in the shape and drainage of the watershed. The results observed from the analysis could be helpful for watershed prioritization with respect to erosion. In future, drainage morphology along with slope map needs to be explored for locating and selecting the water storage structures like percolation tank, pond, check dams etc. This work shall prove useful and beneficial to the planners and decision makers for proper natural resource management at micro-level.
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