Indexed Left Atrial Adipose Tissue Area Is Associated With Severity of Atrial Fibrillation and Atrial Fibrillation Recurrence Among Patients Undergoing Catheter Ablation
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Background: Epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) has been associated with adverse left atrial (LA) remodeling and atrial fibrillation (AF) outcomes, possibly because of paracrine signaling.

Objectives: We examined factors associated with a novel measure of EAT i.e., indexed LAEAT (iLAEAT) and its prognostic significance after catheter ablation (CA) of atrial fibrillation (AF).

Methods: We performed a retrospective analysis of 274 participants with AF referred for CA. LAEAT area was measured from a single pre-ablation CT image and indexed to body surface area (BSA) to calculate iLAEAT. Clinical, echocardiographic data and 1-year AF recurrence rates after CA were compared across tertiles of iLAEAT. We performed logistic regression analysis adjusting for factors associated with AF to examine relations between iLAEAT and AF recurrence.

Results: Mean age of participants was 61 ± 10 years, 136 (49%) were women, mean BMI was 32 ± 9 kg/m² and 85 (31%) had persistent AF. Mean iLAEAT was 0.82 ± 0.53 cm²/m². Over 12-months, 109 (40%) had AF recurrence. Participants in the highest iLAEAT tertile were older, had higher CHA²DS²VASC scores, more likely to be male, have greater LA volume, and were more likely to have persistent (vs. paroxysmal) type AF than participants in the lowest iLAEAT tertile (p for all <0.05). In regression analyses, iLAEAT was associated with higher odds of AF recurrence (OR = 2.93; 95% CI 1.34–6.43).

Conclusions: iLAEAT can quantify LA adipose tissue burden using standard CT images. It is strongly associated with AF risk factors and outcomes, supporting the hypothesis that EAT plays a role in the pathophysiology of AF.
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INTRODUCTION

Population-based studies have demonstrated that visceral adipose tissue is strongly associated with cardiovascular disease including coronary atherosclerosis, carotid stenosis, heart failure and atrial fibrillation (AF) (1–6). This is partly due to the close relationship between visceral adiposity and increased burden of adverse metabolic factors, such as diabetes mellitus and hypertension (7, 8). Imaging techniques such as echocardiography, cardiac computed tomography (CCT) and magnetic resonance imaging provide a more precise assessment of visceral adiposity (9–11) than anthropometric measures such as body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference (12). Epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) is the visceral adipose depot of the heart. It is directly adjacent to the myocardium, contained within the visceral pericardium (13). Periatrial adipose tissue is tightly linked to markers of atrial remodeling and vulnerability to AF, perhaps due to paracrine and/or vasocrine effects (14–18) and/or interactions with the cardiac inputs of the autonomic nervous system (19, 20). Recent studies have shown that atrial adipose tissue relates to recurrence of AF after catheter ablation (11, 21–24). However, it remains unknown whether it confers risk independent of total body mass or visceral adiposity. To overcome these limitations, we propose to calculate an indexed left atrial adipose tissue (iLAEAT) derived by adjusting LA EAT measured on quantitative CCT based on body surface area (BSA). Using data from a prospective treatment registry including AF patients undergoing catheter ablation, CCT and echocardiographic cardiac phenotyping, we sought to examine associations between an iLAEAT, parameters of adverse cardiac remodeling, as well as type of AF and recurrence of AF after ablation.

METHODS

Patient Population

We reviewed the medical records of 420 consecutive participants with AF who were referred to the University of Massachusetts Medical Center (UMMC) Atrial Fibrillation Clinic for AF ablation between November 2011 to April 2016. All participants underwent a pre-ablation CCT scan and an echocardiogram within 3 months of the procedure. Late AF recurrence was adjudicated for 312 patients. Twenty-nine were excluded due to significant mitral valve pathology on echocardiography to restrict the sample to subjects with true “non-valvular” AF. Nine subjects were excluded since their CCT scans were not available for review. Thus, 274 were included in the final analysis.

Clinical Variables

Demographic information, including age, gender and race were abstracted from the health record by trained study staff. Clinical covariates abstracted included diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, heart failure, coronary artery disease, obstructive sleep apnea, stroke and peripheral vascular disease. Trained staff calculated the CHA2DS2-VASC score for each patient using the following variables: congestive heart failure (or Left ventricular systolic dysfunction), hypertension, age 65–74 years (1 point) age ≥ 75 years (2 points), diabetes mellitus, prior stroke or TIA or thromboembolism (2 points), vascular disease (e.g., peripheral artery disease, myocardial infarction, aortic plaque) and gender (i.e., female sex) (25). The burden of pre-existing AF was classified at the time of the index ablation by trained electrophysiology fellows or advanced care practitioners who assisted with the procedure (3 categories: paroxysmal (at least 1 day of AF but ≤ 7 consecutive days of AF), persistent (at least 7 consecutive days with > 23 h of AF) or long-standing persistent (> 6 months of AF). BSA was calculated for each patient using their documented height and weight at the time of the CCT imaging using the Mosteller formula (26).

Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation

All patients enrolled in the UMMC AF registry underwent catheter ablation using radiofrequency ablation or cryoablation by one out of five board certified electrophysiologists. A standardized approach was used in almost all cases. In patients who had a radiofrequency ablation procedure, a wide area circumferential ablation was performed with an open irrigated or 8 mm radiofrequency ablation catheter. For cryoablation procedures, pulmonary vein isolation was performed using the Arctic Front cryoballoon catheter (28 or 23 mm, Medtronic Inc. Minneapolis, MN). Cryoablation was done twice in each pulmonary vein for 150–240 s. Both entry and exit block was confirmed using a circular mapping catheter. Patients with persistent AF received additional lesions at the left atrial roof, the basal posterior wall, and the left atrial isthmus at the discretion of the operating electrophysiologist. Anti-arrhythmic medications were stopped at the discretion of the treating electrophysiologist if there was no symptomatic or electrocardiographic evidence of AF recurrence. Oral anticoagulation with warfarin or direct oral anticoagulant was continued for at least 1 month as determined by the treating physician, typically based on the participant’s baseline CHA2DS2-VASC risk score.

Echocardiographic Measurements

Left ventricular (LV) and LA volumes were measured according to the American Society of Echocardiography guidelines for chamber quantification (27). Pulsed wave tissue Doppler imaging was used to acquire mitral inflow velocity (E) and mitral annular velocities (e’) to estimate LV filling pressures (E/e’) (28). Right ventricular (RV) systolic function was assessed by calculating fractional area change in the RV- focused apical 4-chamber view. All measurements were averaged over 3 beats when AF was present (29). Cardiac chamber dimensions as well as LV ejection fraction were measured directly from the echocardiogram reports. Doppler velocities and RV fractional area change were measured by one of the authors (SRS). The intra-observer reproducibility was r = 0.94.
EAT Quantification

CCT imaging was performed using a Siemens Somatom Definition Flash 128 slice dual source CT Scanner. Unenhanced scans were acquired with a high-pitch FLASH protocol. Contrast enhanced scans were acquired using a sequential acquisition protocol with injection of low ionic contrast. Contrast timing was calculated using a 15 ml contrast test bolus followed by 50 ml saline at 5–6 ml/s. EAT area was measured by CCT in a single cross section using a 4-chamber equivalent view placing the true saline at 5–6 ml/s. EAT area was measured by CCT in a single scan for the identification of EAT was defined at (-) 43 Hounsfield units (HU) for unenhanced scans and at (-) 15 HU for contrast enhanced scans as described previously (10).

Outcomes Adjudication

All participants were followed for at least 12 months following their ablation procedure according to a standard protocol. Recurrence of AF was determined from all available clinic and hospital notes, 12 lead electrocardiograms, and cardiac monitor data. Twelve-lead electrocardiograms were ordered at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months and a 7-day cardiac event monitor was typically prescribed at 3-months after the procedure. Additional ECGs and monitor recordings were obtained if the participant had any symptoms suspicious of AF (such as chest pain, palpitations, shortness of breath). Recurrence of AF was defined as any evidence of AF on a 12 lead ECG or greater than 20 s of AF on a cardiac monitor and was confirmed by an electrophysiologist. Any electrical or pharmacologic cardioversion or repeat ablation within the follow up period was characterized as AF recurrence. Any recurrence of AF after the initial blanking period of 3 months, were considered as significant (30).

Statistical Analysis

Participants were divided into tertiles based on their iLAEAT measures reported as low, intermediate and high. This was done to identify participants at the highest risk for AF recurrence post ablation thus providing a clinically relevant risk predictor. We examined the relationship between iLAEAT tertiles and demographics, medical history, echocardiographic and biochemical factors. We used analysis of variance and chi-squared statistic to test for difference in continuous and categorical factors respectively among the tertiles. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to examine the relationship of clinical, electrocardiographic and echocardiographic predictors with type of AF and AF recurrence. Co-variates used in the multivariable models were selected a priori based on previously known associations with AF severity, AF type and AF recurrence (BMI, CHA2DS2-VASC, E/e’ LA volume, LV mass index). CHA2DS2-VASC score was used in place of individual component variables to avoid overfitting of the regression model. Subsequently, we conducted several stratified analyses to examine iLAEAT relations with AF recurrence after CA among participants of different ages, genders, types of AF and based on their BMI. We considered p < 0.05 as significant. Statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc (v16.2.1.0-64 bit, MedCalc software, Mariakerke, Belgium) and SAS (9.4) software.

RESULTS

The baseline characteristics of all registry participants who had AF ablation during the study period and current study participants are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the study cohort was 61 ± 10 years, 136 (49%) were female, mean BMI was 32 ± 9 kg/m² and cohort participants had a mean CHA2DS2-VASC of 2.2 ± 1.5, suggesting that majority of the participants had moderate or greater burden of cardiovascular comorbidities. Eighty-five participants (31%) had persistent AF and 239 (87%) were on anti-arrhythmic drugs before ablation. The mean LV ejection fraction among participants was 58 ± 7% and the mean LA volume was 87 ± 29 ml. Most participants 195 (72%) underwent cryoablation and the remainder underwent radiofrequency ablation. Over a 12-month period of standardized, intensive follow up, 109 (40%) of participants had at least one recurrence of AF. Of these, 48 individuals (44%, n = 109) underwent a repeat ablation and 28 underwent (26%, n = 109) a cardioversion.

The inter and intra-observer reproducibility for EAT measurement was (r = 0.89 and 0.95), respectively. For 10 randomly selected study participants, we measured the time...
required for measuring EAT surrounding the LA, LV, and RV separately. The median time required for reporting EAT for an experienced operator (>100 prior measurements) was 71 s (range 55–92 s).

Mean iLAEAT among participants was 0.82 ± 0.53 cm²/m². Participants in the high tertile of iLAEAT when compared to participants in the low tertile of iLAEAT tended to be older (63 ± 9 vs. 60 ± 10, p = 0.04), have a higher mean CHA²DS²-VASC score (2.6 ± 1.5 vs. 2.0 ± 1.4, p < 0.01), were less likely to be female (46% vs. 61%, p = 0.04), and more likely to have persistent AF (43.9% vs. 14.3%, p < 0.01) (Table 2A).

**iLAEAT Relates to Echocardiographic and Electrocardiographic Measures of Cardiac Structure and Function**

Participants in the highest tertile of iLAEAT had a greater LA volume (90 ± 28 ml vs. 81 ± 29 ml, p = 0.04). The groups did not differ significantly with respect to LVEF, LV mass, diastolic function or RV function (Table 2). Similarly, sinus node recovery time and QRS duration did not differ among the three groups. The PR interval was longer in participants with highest iLAEAT (184 ± 30 ms in the highest tertile vs. 167 ± 40 ms in the lowest tertile, p = 0.02).

**iLAEAT Relates to Validated Biomarkers of AF Recurrence**

A significantly greater proportion of participants in the highest iLAEAT tertile had persistent AF as compared to the lowest tertile (43.9% persistent AF in the highest iLAEAT tertile vs. 14.3% in the lowest tertile, p < 0.01). Higher iLAEAT was also associated with higher circulating C-reaction protein (CRP) levels (4.1 ± 1.7 mg/L vs. 2.8 ± 2.6 mg/L, p = 0.01) (Table 2B).

---

**Table 1** | Baseline characteristics of All Registry Participants and Study participants.

| Variables            | All registry participants (n = 420) | Study participants (n = 274) |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Age, years           | 61 ± 10                            | 61 ± 10                     |
| Female sex           | 184 (44)                           | 136 (49)                    |
| Systolic BP, mmHg    | 128 ± 18                           | 127 ± 16                    |
| BMI, kg/m²           | 32 ± 16                            | 32 ± 9                      |
| Current smoker       | 54 (13)                            | 38 (14)                     |
| Persistent AF        | 123 (29)                           | 85 (31)                     |
| CHA²DS²-VASC score   | 2.1 ± 1.4                          | 2.2 ± 1.5                   |
| Hypertension         | 81 (19)                            | 56 (20)                     |
| Heart Failure        | 68 (16)                            | 33 (12)                     |
| CAD                  | 85 (20)                            | 62 (22)                     |
| Obstructive sleep apnea | 156 (37)                         | 108 (39)                    |
| Stroke/TIA           | 20 (5)                             | 18 (6)                      |
| PAD                  | 47 (11)                            | 30 (11)                     |
| Cryo ablation        | 295 (70)                           | 195 (72)                    |
| Late AF recurrence   | 123 (39)                           | 109 (40)                    |

Continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation and categorical variables are presented as n (%).

**Table 2A** | Baseline clinical characteristics of study participants stratified by iLAEAT.

| Variables            | Lowest iLAEAT tertile (n = 92) (0–0.51) | Intermediate iLAEAT tertile (n = 91) (0.52–0.94) | Highest iLAEAT tertile (n = 91) (0.95–2.83) | P-value |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------|
| Mean iLAEAT          | 0.31 ± 0.14                              | 0.72 ± 0.13                                       | 1.42 ± 0.42                                   | −       |
| Age, years           | 60 ± 10                                  | 60 ± 11                                           | 63 ± 9                                        | 0.04    |
| Female sex           | 56 (81)                                  | 40 (44)                                          | 42 (48)                                       | 0.04    |
| Systolic BP, mmHg    | 127 ± 20                                 | 127 ± 16                                          | 132 ± 19                                      | 0.09    |
| BMI, kg/m²           | 32 ± 12                                  | 32 ± 6                                            | 32 ± 6                                        | 0.96    |
| Current smoker       | 35 (38)                                  | 32 (35)                                          | 40 (70)                                       | 0.3     |
| Persistent AF        | 13 (14)                                  | 32 (35)                                          | 40 (44)                                       | <0.01   |
| CHA²DS²-VASC score   | 2 ± 1.4                                  | 2 ± 1.5                                           | 2.6 ± 1.5                                     | <0.01   |
| Prior antiarrhythmic drugs | 80 (87)                               | 80 (88)                                          | 79 (87)                                       | 0.97    |
| Hypertension         | 56 (36)                                  | 64 (70)                                          | 75 (82)                                       | <0.01   |
| Diabetes             | 18 (20)                                  | 12 (13)                                          | 26 (29)                                       | 0.03    |
| Heart Failure        | 6 (7)                                    | 10 (11)                                          | 17 (19)                                       | 0.04    |
| CAD                  | 18 (20)                                  | 15 (17)                                          | 29 (32)                                       | 0.03    |
| Obstructive sleep apnea | 34 (37)                               | 37 (41)                                          | 37 (41)                                       | 0.84    |
| Stroke/TIA           | 6 (7)                                    | 5 (5)                                            | 7 (8)                                         | 0.84    |
| PAD                  | 12 (13)                                  | 10 (11)                                          | 8 (9)                                         | 0.64    |
| Late AF recurrence   | 24 (26)                                  | 36 (40)                                          | 49 (54)                                       | <0.01   |

Continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation and categorical variables are presented as n (%).
**Table 2B** | Baseline echocardiographic, electrocardiographic and biochemical differences of study participants stratified by iLAEAT.

| Variables               | Lowest iLAEAT tertile (n = 92) | Intermediate iLAEAT tertile (n = 91) | Highest iLAEAT tertile (n = 91) | P-value |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| LVEF, %                 | 59 ± 8                          | 58 ± 9                               | 58 ± 7                          | 0.68    |
| LVMI, gm/m²             | 83 ± 22                         | 83 ± 25                              | 82 ± 22                         | 0.99    |
| LAV, ml                 | 81 ± 29                         | 91 ± 28                              | 90 ± 28                         | 0.04    |
| E/e’                   | 9 ± 3                           | 9 ± 4                                | 10 ± 4                          | 0.41    |
| E/A                    | 1.4 ± 0.6                       | 1.8 ± 2.6                            | 1.4 ± 1                         | 0.35    |
| RV FAC, %               | 41 ± 9                          | 41 ± 9                               | 42 ± 7                          | 0.84    |
| SNRT, ms<sup>7</sup>    | 1048 ± 436                      | 1115 ± 806                           | 1203 ± 641                      | 0.59    |
| PR duration, ms         | 167 ± 40                        | 174 ± 37                             | 184 ± 30                        | 0.02    |
| ORS duration, ms        | 103 ± 22                        | 107 ± 65                             | 105 ± 50                        | 0.91    |
| CRP mg/L<sup>− </sup>  | 2.8 ± 2.6                       | 6 ± 2.8                              | 4.1 ± 1.7                       | 0.01    |

<sup>1</sup>Number of participants for which these data were available: SNRT = 53; CRP = 85. CRP: C-reactive Protein; E/A, Mitral inflow E velocity/Mitral inflow A velocity ratio; E/e’ avg, Mitral inflow E velocity/average tissue Doppler e’ velocity ratio; LAV, Left Atrial volume; LVEF, Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction; LVMI, Left Ventricular Mass Index; RV FAC, Right Ventricular Fractional Area Change; SNRT, Sinus Node Refractory Time.

**iLAEAT Relates to Pre-Ablation AF Type and Clinically Significant AF Recurrence After Catheter Ablation**

Participants in the highest iLAEAT tertile were significantly more likely to experience late AF recurrence post ablation than participants in the lowest tertile [49(53.8%) vs. 11 (12%), p < 0.01]. In multivariable adjusted analyses (Tables 3A,B), high iLAEAT was associated with both AF type (OR 4.5, 95% CI 1.76–11.49), and AF recurrence (OR 2.93, 95% CI 1.34–6.43). There was a trend toward greater AF recurrence among participants in the intermediate tertile of iLAEAT but did not reach statistical significance (OR 1.66, 95% CI 0.76–3.59). In stratified analyses (Figure 2), iLAEAT was associated with AF recurrence among individuals with paroxysmal AF (OR 3.43, 95% CI 1.77–6.77) as opposed to persistent AF (OR 1.47, 95% CI 0.66–3.3). However, the absolute number of patients with persistent AF in our sample was relatively low (n = 85). In addition, the association between iLAEAT and AF recurrence was statistically significant among participants with BMI >30 kg/m², whereas iLAEAT was not significantly associated with AF recurrence among individuals with a BMI less than 30 kg/m² (OR 3.93, 95% CI 1.99–7.75 vs. OR 2, 95% CI 0.93–4.35).

**DISCUSSION**

In our moderately sized cohort of prospectively enrolled subjects undergoing index ablation for symptomatic AF, we observed that a novel CCT-based measure of epicardial adipose tissue, iLAEAT, was significantly associated with echocardiographic measures of pathological LA remodeling, burden of pre-existing AF, and greater likelihood of clinically significant AF recurrence over a 1-year follow-up period. Our study further supports the hypothesis that epicardial adipose tissue plays an important role in the pathophysiology and natural history of AF and may serve as a phenotype of great use in predicting arrhythmia-free survival after catheter ablation.

Epicardial fat is a potential contributor to atrial inflammation and pathological remodeling.

**Fat Adjacent to the LA Also Influences LA Electromechanical Function**

In a group of 1946 Framingham Heart Study participants, total pericardial fat on CCT was associated with atrial conduction defined by P wave indices (31). Other studies showed good correlation between total pericardial fat volume and regions of complex fractionated atrial electrograms (32) as well as epicardial fat volume correlated well with high dominant frequency regions (33) thus contributing to the maintenance of AF. The strong relations between iLAEAT and pathological atrial electrophysiological remodeling explain why participants in the highest tertile of iLAEAT in our study had longer PR intervals than those in the lowest tertile.
Table 3A | Association of iLAEAT with persistent AF (vs. paroxysmal AF) in multivariable regression models.

| Predictor variable | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | P-value | Model 1 OR (95% CI) | P-value | Model 2 OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| Highest iLAEAT tertile | 4.77 (2.33–9.77) | <0.01 | 4.71 (2.28–9.74) | <0.01 | 4.5 (1.76–11.49) | <0.01 |
| Intermediate iLAEAT tertile | 3.29 (1.59–6.82) | <0.01 | 3.3 (1.59–6.82) | <0.01 | 2.63 (1.03–6.68) | 0.04 |
| Lowest iLAEAT tertile | 1 | – | 1 | – | 1 | – |

Model 1, Multivariate model adjusting for CHA2DS2-Vasc score and Body Mass Index.
Model 2, Model 1 + LA Volume, LV mass index, average E/e’.

Table 3B | Association of iLAEAT with late AF recurrence in multivariable regression models.

| Predictor variable | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | P-value | Model 1 OR (95% CI) | P-value | Model 2 OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| Highest iLAEAT tertile | 3.31 (1.78–6.16) | <0.01 | 3.2 (1.71–6) | <0.01 | 2.93 (1.34–6.43) | 0.01 |
| Intermediate iLAEAT tertile | 1.86 (0.99–3.47) | 0.05 | 1.86 (0.99–3.49) | 0.05 | 1.66 (0.76–3.59) | 0.2 |
| Lowest iLAEAT tertile | 1 | – | 1 | – | 1 | – |

Model 1, Multivariate model adjusting for CHA2DS2-Vasc score and Body Mass Index.
Model 2, Model 1 + LA Volume, LV mass index, average E/e’.

iLAEAT, AF Burden and Recurrence

Prior studies have shown that epicardial fat relates to recurrence of AF after catheter ablation (11, 21–24). However, it remains unknown whether it confers risk independent of total visceral adiposity since prior studies did not adjust for body mass. In one such study, 110 participants underwent periatrial and periventricular fat measurement on cardiac MRI using proprietary software. They showed that both measures were strongly associated with severity of AF and short-term recurrence after ablation (11). Apart from the difference in imaging, these investigators used a 3-month cut off for outcomes adjudication as compared to the 1-year follow-up period employed in our study. In another study of 600 participants undergoing radiofrequency ablation and an automated assessment of epicardial fat volume on CCT (21), total pericardial fat was independently associated with AF recurrence in patients with persistent AF but not in paroxysmal AF. Reasons for differences in our findings may include the fact that prior investigators did not measure atrial iLAEAT, instead focusing on overall epicardial fat burden. This is significant because prior studies have shown that periatrial fat is more tightly linked to the incidence and recurrence of AF than periventricular fat (22, 23).

In our study, we indexed LA adipose tissue to BSA to reduce the known relations of gender and total body size on LA structure and visceral adiposity (34). This is akin to the approach proposed for LA volumetric assessment in the American Society of Echocardiography guidelines for chamber quantification (27). Our stratified analysis shows that iLAEAT predicts AF recurrence among individuals with a BMI greater than 30 kg/m². This may relate to the association between visceral adiposity, metabolic dysregulation, and AF recurrence (35, 36). Our findings show that iLAEAT is an independent predictor of AF burden and recurrence that may be clinically useful. Our hypothesis is supported by other investigations showing that indexed epicardial fat volume is tightly associated with major adverse cardiovascular events as well as coronary plaque volume (37, 38).

Strengths and Limitations

Our study demonstrates that iLAEAT is a novel parameter that can be easily obtained from routinely obtained pre-ablation CT scans. This was performed in under 2 min by an experienced operator as opposed to a processing time of 5 to 11 min reported for volumetric measurements (10). Its serves as a readily available discriminatory tool for AF recurrence which requires minimal operator training and no additional cost. Thus, iLAEAT may be a powerful additional tool in guiding therapeutic decision making regarding CA in AF patients. This study leverages robust data from a comprehensive AF registry containing accurate, up to date and systematically collected clinical, echocardiographic and rigorously adjudicated outcomes. In addition, we systematically measured echocardiographic and CCT phenotypes using a standardized technique blinded to AF.
status or outcomes. However, this study has a few limitations. This is a retrospective analysis of prospectively gathered data which limits the assessment of causality. We were unable to perform age, gender and BMI matched case-control analyses. Also, we did not measure anthropometric and biochemical markers such as interleukins, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, and hemoglobin A1C which may have added to our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the proposed association. We recognize that this is a moderately sized cohort and may be under-powered to detect certain differences. Validation of these findings in a larger cohort is warranted. Volumetric assessment of epicardial fat was not available. However, the cost, need for specialized software and significant time associated with obtaining the measurements using cumbersome software makes it impractical to obtain fat volumes in every patient. On the contrary, many centers routinely obtain Cardiac CT scans for defining pulmonary vein anatomy. Hence, we believe that routine use of iLEAT to guide prognosis is more feasible.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we demonstrated that iLEAT is associated with adverse cardiac structure, function, as well as AF type and AF recurrence after catheter ablation. Since CCT is routinely obtained in patients prior to AF ablation, measurement of iLEAT may help risk stratification for AF prognosis beyond traditional clinical risk factors. Furthermore, our findings suggest a strong pathophysiological connection between epicardial fat and atrial remodeling. Research is needed to examine the underlying biochemical, electrical and structural mechanisms underlie the strong associations observed in our study between visceral, epicardial adipose tissue and AF.
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