The importance of gray color as a dermoscopic clue in facial pigmented lesion evaluation: a case report
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Clinical findings

A 57-year-old man presented with a solitary flat pigmented lesion on his face, which had appeared two years previously and was slowly enlarging over time. Physical examination revealed a flat pigmented lesion, 8 mm in size, on the patient’s right cheek. The clinical diagnosis was suggestive of a solar lentigo (SL) because of the symmetric shape, the regular border, and the homogenous brown color (Figure 1). Pigmented actinic keratosis (PAK) and lentigo maligna (LM) were also included in the differential diagnosis.

Dermoscopically, the lesion exhibited a brown pseudo-network and a striking gray color, especially in the center (Figure 2). There were large gray circles that resembled rhomboidal structures, though unconvincingly, in the absence of the well-known clues for the diagnosis of LM. However, the presence of gray color, asymmetrically distrib-

Figure 1. Clinical image of solitary flat pigmented lesion on the face. [Copyright: ©2013 Tiodorovic-Zivkovic et al.]
includes SL or early seborrheic keratosis (SK), PAK, LM and lichen planus-like keratosis (LPLK) [1]. Especially in the context of early lesions, clinical characteristics can be insufficient to allow differentiation among these entities, since they all present as flat pigmented lesions typically developing on sun-damaged skin of elderly individuals. In contrast to their clinical similarity, these entities significantly differ in their physical course, prognosis and management strategies. Accordingly, accurate discrimination among them is mandatory.

Dermoscopy improves the diagnostic accuracy when evaluating pigmented skin lesions and is nowadays considered an irreplaceable part of clinical examination of skin tumors [2]. However, evaluation of pigmented facial lesions remains challenging, even with the addition of dermoscopic information [3,4].

The traditional dermoscopic criteria of LM include asymmetrically pigmented follicles, circle within the circle, annular-granular pattern, rhomboidal structures, obliteration of the hair follicles and gray pseudo-network [5,6]. Darkening at dermoscopic examination, target-like pattern, red rhomboidal structures and increased density of the vascular network are recently described additional features [7]. Dermoscopy of a large-size LM typically reveals several of the above criteria, allowing a straightforward diagnosis. However, at earlier stages, such as the LM presented herein, dermoscopic criteria of LM have not yet fully developed. In such cases, clinical differentiation from benign pigmented lesions remains troublesome even when coupled with dermoscopy. This is because each of the classic LM criteria may also be present in SL/early SK and PAK, and only the simultaneous presence of four or more criteria has been shown to predict accurately the diagnosis of melanoma.

Interestingly, all the classic LM criteria have a common denominator, namely, the gray color. The example of the patient presented here highlights that grey color can be detected even before the formation of the characteristic LM structures, such as circles or rhomboids. In our estimation, this is the single most sensitive feature for the dermoscopic recognition of early facial melanoma and its presence should always prompt the clinician to perform a biopsy.

**Learning points**

- Clinical differentiation of early LM from solar lentigo/early seborrheic keratosis and pigmented actinic keratosis is challenging. Dermoscopy may enhance the clinical diagnosis, but in small sized LM the typical diagnostic criteria could be not yet fully developed.
- In our estimation, gray color is the single most sensitive feature for the dermoscopic recognition of facial melanoma and its presence should always prompt the clinician to perform a biopsy.

**Histopathological findings**

Histopathologically, the lesion was characterized by a junctional proliferation of atypical melanocytes with pleomorphic nuclei, as solitary units that displayed crowding and uneven distribution, along with presence of junctional nests of melanocytes. In addition, melanocytes were seen in pagetoid spread. Marked solar elastosis was present within the underlying dermis (Figure 3).

**Diagnosis**

Melanoma in situ (lentigo maligna type) on chronically sun-damaged skin.

**Discussion**

The differential diagnosis of flat pigmented macules on chronically sun-damaged facial skin is challenging and prompted us to perform a punch biopsy and a histopathologic examination.

**Histopathologic examination**

Histopathologically, the lesion was characterized by a junctional proliferation of atypical melanocytes with pleomorphic nuclei, as solitary units that displayed crowding and uneven distribution, along with presence of junctional nests of melanocytes. In addition, melanocytes were seen in pagetoid spread. Marked solar elastosis was present within the underlying dermis (Figure 3).

**Figure 2.** Dermoscopic examination of the lesion showed striking gray color. [Copyright: ©2013 Tiodorovic-Zivkovic et al.]

**Figure 3.** Histopathologic examination revealed an early lentigo maligna. [Copyright: ©2013 Tiodorovic-Zivkovic et al.]

**Figure 2.** Dermoscopic examination of the lesion showed striking gray color. [Copyright: ©2013 Tiodorovic-Zivkovic et al.]

**Figure 3.** Histopathologic examination revealed an early lentigo maligna. [Copyright: ©2013 Tiodorovic-Zivkovic et al.]
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