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Abstract

Background

Vector-borne deadly diseases cause more than 700,000 deaths annually. They are transmitted by several vectors, among which the mosquito is the most important. Chemical compounds often have devastating side effects, which has led to the abandonment of the majority of them. Biological control has been performed by using formulations of *Bacillus sphaericus* and *Bacillus thuringiensis*, but their intensive use has led to the emergence of resistance. Currently, there is an urgent need to develop new alternative molecules from bacteria to use in mosaics or in rotation with already known insecticides and bacteria for the control of vectors, especially mosquitoes. Here, we attempted to identify bacterial species with potential anti-mosquito actions.

Results

Among 208 strains isolated from dry sandy soil from Senegal, eleven bacterial strains from the *Bacillus* genus (5) and *Actinomycetales* order (6) were chosen for the following experiments. Then, we tested their secondary metabolites, which were obtained from the supernatant fraction, and their cell wall and cytoplasmic compounds, which were found in the pellet fraction, in 3rd and early 4th *Aedes albopictus* larvae instars and compared the larval mortality rate with that obtained by using a commercial product. A total of 4/11 (36.4%) of the isolated species exhibited insecticidal activity. *B. nealsonii*, which is not a well-known bacterium, had the highest larvicidal effect with 70% larval mortality, which highlighted for the first time. The *Streptomyces* spp. we isolated seem to be potential new species, and 3/5 (60%) of them exhibited insecticidal activity.

Conclusion

The bacterial strains isolated in this study may be used to identify the molecular mechanisms of their insecticidal activity.

Background

Vector-borne deadly diseases account for more than 17% of all infectious diseases and are the cause of more than 700,000 deaths annually. Among them, dengue fever, of which 4 billion people in more than 128 countries are at risk, results in an estimated 96 million cases annually \(^1\),\(^2\),\(^3\). Malaria remains the greatest killer, although great progress has been made in combating malaria in the past two decades; however, its agents still result in millions of cases and thousands of deaths annually (more than 193 million in 2017) \(^4\). Ticks, black flies, sandflies, midges, fleas and triatomine bugs are important vectors of pathogens affecting humans \(^5\), but mosquitoes are still the most well-known and most dangerous vector of devastating pathogens.

Dangerous diseases, are transmitted by *Aedes* mosquitoes, such as dengue, Zika and chikungunya, which have accumulated very significant gains in recent years, and they are becoming major global health
emergencies, while old threats, such as yellow fever and Japanese encephalitis, have re-emerged, a large number of chemical compounds were used to control them.

However, the heavy use of these chemical products has led to several dramatic drawbacks, such as the contamination of water and food sources, the poisoning of non-target fauna and flora, their concentration in the food chain and, most importantly, insecticide resistance. Because of this, the research community has turned away from these molecules and has instead committed to biological control.

More than a thousand naturally occurring microorganisms have been identified as potential insecticidal agents, and secondary metabolites from 942 microbial isolates were screened for their insecticidal properties, most of which were bacteria from the *Bacillus* and *Streptomyces* genera. In fact, formulations of live *B. sphaericus* and *B. thuringiensis* bacteria are the predominant non-chemical products. However, their intensive use has led to the frequent occurrence of resistance. That's why numerous studies continue to be conducted that involve isolating large collections of *Bacillus* or *Streptomyces* strains to examine their biological activities, including antibacterial, insecticide and other activities.

In our study, we performed the isolation of bacterial strains from dry sandy soil in Senegal and targeted mostly *Bacillus* and *Streptomyces* species as potential candidates, and we subsequently separately tested fractions of the supernatant and the pellet and also mixtures of both from each of the bacterial species. Second, metabolites derived from wild bacteria may contain a very large number of molecules with unknown properties, including those with insecticidal effects like those that we are seeking in this study. We aimed to find new bacterial candidates that may be used for the development of insecticidal tools for the biological control of infectious diseases transmitted by vectors.

**Results**

**Isolated strains**

A total of 208 strains from 15 different genera were isolated. Four *Bacillus* strains were directly identified by MALDI-TOF MS, while the Sen 140 strain was not identified by mass spectrometry, a good quality spectrum was obtained that did not match any spectra in the database. Subsequently, its 16S gene was sequenced and deposited in GenBank (accession number: MN788519). *Streptomyces* strains could not be identified by MALDI-TOF either by the direct method or by the direct ethanol-formic acid extraction method. Using the optimized protocol (see above), good-quality spectra were obtained (Figure S1), but identification could not be achieved because of the lack of *Streptomyces* spectra in the database. The dendrogram built using MALDI Biotyper 3.0 software permitted the comparison of the different *Streptomyces* spectra (Figure 2). The analysis of the sequences of the *atpD* (Figure 3) and 23S rRNA (Figure 4) genes showed that the *Streptomyces* strains isolated in this study may represent new species. The accession numbers of the 23S and *atpD* genes were obtained and are shown in Table S2.
following experiments, we chose bacteria from the *Bacillus*, *Brevibacillus*, *Micrococcus* and *Streptomyces* genera representing 11 strains (Table 1).

### Screening for insecticidal activity

Eleven strains were chosen for the following experiments. The secondary metabolites of these strains were screened for insecticidal activity. The overall results are presented in Table 2. We noticed for all strains that insecticidal activity caused by the main cell components was absent and that mosquito larvae mortality caused by the supernatants was dose-dependent. When tested at a concentration of 6 mg/l, supernatants from 36.4% (4/11) of the bacterial strains exhibited activity against the *A. albopictus* larvae.

The most active species was *Bacillus nealsonii* Sen 132, which resulted in 70% larval mortality that was increased to 84% when it was mixed with the pellet fraction. The activity of the other species was as follows: *Streptomyces* sp. Sen 86 resulted in 41% larval mortality, *Streptomyces* sp. Sen 39 resulted in 36% larval mortality and *Streptomyces* sp. Sen 154 resulted in 31% larval mortality. We noticed that for most of the species, the rate of larval mortality did not change considerably when the supernatant was combined with the pellet fraction (Table 2). Among the rest of the species, 63.6% (7/11) were not active or had the lowest activity against the mosquito larvae, resulting in \( \leq 20\% \) mortality (Table 2). When comparing the insecticidal activity of the supernatant fractions from those of the different strains at 72 hours with 6 mg/l, the difference was significant (critical value of \( \chi^2 \) = 19.675, \( p \)-value \( \leq 0.0001 \)). The comparison of the insecticidal activity performed including the reference strain (Table S1).

The efficacy of the strains was compared to that of the *Bti* positive control (Table 3). Using the Dunn test, the strains were classified into four groups according to their efficiencies (Paris, France, [https://www.xlstat.com](https://www.xlstat.com)). Group D was the most effective, as it was comprised of only the *B. nealsonii* species, which had a mortality rate more than 2-fold higher than that of the positive control, with a mean rank = [887.5]. Group C, which was comprised of the 3 other active species and the positive control, had a mortality rate ranging from 31% to 41% and a mean rank ranging from [647.5 to 713.5]. The B and C groups were mostly comparable, while group A was the least effective and was comprised of the strains with the lowest activity and effectiveness (Table S1) with mean ranks = [479.5 to 575.5].

The insecticidal activity of only one species, *B. nealsonii* Sen 132, was significantly increased, and it killed more than 2/3 of *A. albopictus* larval, whereas the reference strain *Bti* killed only 33%. Furthermore, *B. nealsonii* Sen 132 was much more active compared to the other strains in group A isolated in this study.

### Discussion

In this study, we obtained 208 bacterial isolates from dry sandy soil from Senegal. Eleven strains from the *Bacillus* and *Streptomyces* genera were tested for their insecticidal activity. We performed the screening of their secondary metabolites for insecticidal activity using *A. albopictus* larvae. Insect-borne diseases cause hundreds of thousands of deaths in humans every year, and mosquitoes are the principal vector of
a variety of deadly diseases. \textit{Aedes} species are among the most important mosquito species that threaten humans and animals.

The search for new insecticidal molecules is a global need due to the re-emergence of deadly disease vectors, the control of which depends on identifying new insecticidal molecules. The widespread emergence of insecticide resistance complicates this mission concerning essentially chemical compounds due to several factors, such as species fitness. Furthermore, we know that such compounds are key for the control of diseases such as malaria which is currently threaten by resistances development that has been reported in over 80% of countries with endemic malaria. For its various positive points, biological control has become more common and has attracted a great deal of attention. Approximately 1,500 naturally occurring microorganisms have been identified as potential insecticidal agents, and metabolites from 942 microbial isolates were screened for insecticidal properties.

\textit{Streptomyces} are Gram-positive bacteria and are the largest representative genus of actinomycetes, which are found mostly in soil. They are industrially useful microorganisms that produce a wide variety of antibiotics, and several studies have been carried to investigate the biological effects of \textit{Streptomyces} species isolated from soil samples. Species of the \textit{Bacillus} genus are generally also found in soil, and they have many benefits, especially for crop improvement, due to the resulting biomolecular changes in adverse environments, defense against vectors of infectious diseases and antimicrobial properties. For this purpose, different studies have been carried out involving the isolation of bacteria from the soil for biological effects research. A study (1998) was carried out in Senegal to search for strains of \textit{B. thuringiensis} and \textit{B. sphaericus} useful for the control of malaria, which revealed that 27/203 (13.3%) of strains were active, and we must seek better solutions. We focused on secreted metabolites and the components of bacterial cell walls or cytoplasm. Eleven different strains of \textit{Bacillus} (N=5), \textit{Micrococcus} (N=1) and \textit{Streptomyces} (N=5) were chosen for further studies for the identification of insecticidal activity.

Secondary metabolites were produced and separated into two fractions. The supernatant fraction contained the secreted metabolites and the pellet fraction contained the cell wall and cytoplasmic metabolites. Then, screening for insecticidal activity was carried out, and 36.4% (4/11) of the strains showed insecticidal activity that killed more than 20% of \textit{A. albopictus} larvae during testing of the supernatant fraction.

Several projects have studied large collections of \textit{Bacillus} species to identify direct biological activity, including insecticidal activity, and numerous other \textit{Bacillus} spp. show high toxicity against dipterans such as \textit{Bacillus circulans} and \textit{Brevibacillus laterosporus}. Although many \textit{Bacillus} strains have already been tested, it is clear that numerous species have not yet been discovered and officially described. \textit{B. nealsonii} has already been isolated from potentially hostile environments, including a spacecraft assembly and the rumen of a buffalo, and it has not been well characterised or shown great usefulness except for its very limited application to the production of proteases and as an
additive detergent\textsuperscript{52,53}. The secondary metabolites of the strain Sen132 of \textit{B. nealsonii} that we isolated were screened for mosquitocidal activity and exhibited high activity against \textit{A. albopictus} larvae. A total of 70\% of the 3\textsuperscript{rd} and 4\textsuperscript{th} instar larvae were killed in less than 72 hours by the supernatant fraction, showing that these strains secrete a potential insecticidal compound in the culture medium. Further studies are needed to characterize the active compounds of these good bacterial candidates as agents for the biocontrol of mosquitoes, which we have demonstrated for the first time. The other species of the \textit{Bacillus} genus that we tested had no or low larvicidal activity. This may mean that these species do not produce insecticidal molecules or that the optimization of the necessary parameters to ensure their optimal production is necessary, as our production protocol has been standardized for all the bacteria used in this study.

Additionally, the supernatant fractions of three (60\%) of the 5 species of \textit{Streptomyces} that we isolated exhibited good insecticidal activity, which is comparable to that produced by the positive control \textit{Bacillus thuringiensis} subsp. \textit{israelesis} AM65-52 that was isolated from a commercial granular formulation. Numerous studies have already carried out the isolation of \textit{Streptomyces} bacteria from soil to look for a potential insecticidal effects on different insects of agronomic or public health interest\textsuperscript{17,18,19,20}. Knowing that Actinobacteria are excellent producers of antibiotics and enzymes, and \textit{Streptomyces} are the most relevant due to their ability to produce a large number of antibiotics in addition to other classes of biologically active secondary metabolites. Some antibiotics have been identified to have insecticidal activities, including respiratory inhibitors such as antimycin A, patulin and piericidins, protein synthesis inhibitors such as cycloheximide or tenuazonic acid, and membrane-active agents such as some polyene macrolide antibiotics\textsuperscript{54,55,28}. \textit{Streptomyces} species can play a very important role in the biocontrol of insect pests, especially mosquitoes, by the direct production of active insecticide compounds\textsuperscript{56,57,58,59}. Ivermectin is the most well-known insecticide produced by \textit{Streptomyces}, which was initially used as an antiparasitic agent that has become a systemic treatment for the control of arthropod pests in livestock\textsuperscript{60}.

We used MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry as well as two sensitive and discriminating PCR systems for the identification of the \textit{Streptomyces} species we isolated, which revealed that we isolated new species or species with sequences that do not exist in the GenBank database. Therefore, the first step in the objective of discovering which compounds are produced is to completely sequence the whole genomes of these species and then proceed to the characterization of the active molecules. The screening of these species for the production of antimicrobial agents also seems to be a track, which is also in progress.

\textbf{Conclusion}

In conclusion, we isolated noteworthy bacterial species that exhibit remarkable insecticidal activity compared to that of a commercial product. For \textit{B. nealsonii}, this was the first time that it was tested against \textit{A. albopictus} larvae, and its supernatant fraction exhibited very high larvicidal activity, which means that its secondary metabolites were secreted in the culture medium. We also isolated several species from the \textit{Streptomyces} genus, of which 3 were active, and advanced studies are required to characterize the active compounds. Our study reports may be results that are still preliminary but provide
potential candidates for the identification of active molecules to be developed for strengthening the biological control of vectors of infectious diseases transmitted by mosquitoes. It will be very important to test these compounds against other important mosquito species and other insects of medical, veterinary and agricultural interest.

Methods

Soil sampling

Approximately 30 grams of sandy soil (Figure 1) was collected thoroughly with a sterile spatula at a depth of approximately 10 cm. Then, it was placed in a sterile urine collection vial and stored at room temperature during transport. After arriving at the laboratory (IHU-Méditerranée Infection, Marseille, France), the samples were stored at -80°C until further experiments.

Bacterial isolation

The sample was thawed immediately before isolation, homogenized then two times 1 gram was taken and mixed in 10 ml of sterile distilled water. Then, the contents were incubated for 24 hours at room temperature. Thereafter, the supernatant was recovered and homogenized, and ten serial 1/10 dilutions were performed. The inoculum (50 µL) was seeded on Columbia agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) in actinomycetes isolation agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and then incubated under aerophilic conditions at 32°C for at least 48 hours for Bacillus spp. isolation and for at least 1 week for Streptomyces spp. isolation.

Strains identification

Bacterial species were directly identified from each bacterial colony using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) as previously described. A score of > 2 allowed identification at the species level, and a score of < 1.7 did not allow any identification.

To obtain the MALDI-TOF spectra of Streptomyces species, first, treatment of hard stained colonies on agar was performed with Tissue Lyser (QIAGEN, Maryland, USA) and tungsten beads for at least 3 minutes to obtain isolated bacteria, which were suspended in distilled water. Liquid cultures (5 ml) were then generated using the bacterial suspension. Two millilitres of liquid culture were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 minutes. The supernatant was discarded, and the bacterial pellets were recovered. Subsequently, we performed the extraction of proteins by using an ethanol-formic acid extraction procedure. Briefly, we aliquoted 300 µl of the suspended bacteria in distilled water and added 900 µl ethanol. Subsequently, the cell suspension was centrifuged at 17,000 × g for 2 minutes, and the supernatant was discarded. The centrifugation was repeated, and the residual ethanol was discarded. The pellet was air-dried and thoroughly resuspended in 5 to 50 µl formic acid-water (70:30 [vol/vol]), depending on its size, and finally,
an equal volume of acetonitrile was added. After centrifugation at 17,000 × g for 2 minutes, 1 μl of the supernatant was transferred to a polished steel MSP 96 target plate (Bruker Daltonics) and allowed to dry at room temperature before being overlaid with 1 μl of a saturated a-cyano-4-hydroxy-cinnamic acid (HCCA) matrix solution in 50% acetonitrile-2.5% trifluoroacetic acid (Bruker Daltonik). Finally, the matrix sample was crystallized by air-drying it at room temperature for 5 minutes.

The spectra generated by MALDI-TOF MS without initial identification were recovered to control their quality. After validation of the spectra by using the Bruker software, the MALDI Biotyper 3.0 software was used to build dendrograms that allowed the comparison of the different isolates. To identify the *Streptomyces* strains, the 23S rRNA and *atpD* genes were amplified, and the amplicons were sequenced. The obtained electropherograms were assembled and edited using ChromasPro 1.7.7 software (Technelysium Pty Ltd., Tewantin, Australia), and then the obtained sequences were compared with those available in the GenBank database by NCBI BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

**Fractions preparation**

**Bacterial culture**

The strains were stored at -80°C. The cultures were grown on solid Columbia agar medium with 5% sheep blood (bioMérieux) under aerobic conditions at 32°C. Thereafter, several colonies were transferred in sterile conditions into 1 liter of liquid Tryptic soy broth medium (Sigma-Aldrich, France), which was incubated for 3 days for *Bacillus* spp. and for 14 days for *Streptomyces* spp. at 32°C and 110 rpm in a shaker incubator under aerobic conditions. A negative control containing only culture medium was prepared and regularly checked for evidence of contamination.

The supernatant-pellet separation was carried out by centrifugation at 8000 g for 20 minutes at 4°C using an A98813 J-Lite PP bottle assembly with a JLA-8.1000 rotor (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France). After centrifugation, the supernatant was immediately filtered with a 0.45 μm filter and placed into 75 ml flasks, after which it was frozen horizontally overnight at -80°C and then lyophilized the next day. The lyophilizate was stored at -20°C prior to the assays.

**Release of inclusions and the main cell components**

After centrifugation, the pellet was resuspended in PBS, and 700 μl of the resuspension was distributed into 2 ml cryotubes (Bio-One GmbH, Rainbach im Mühlkreis, Austria). In order to exclude the effects of live bacteria on future experiments, we disintegrated the bacteria by consecutive freezing/thawing and sonication treatments. Each tube was subjected to 3 freeze-thaw cycles for 5 minutes each using liquid nitrogen and a hybridization incubator heated to 50°C. The tubes were centrifuged at 13,000 g for 10 seconds, and the contents were transferred into 1.5 ml Eppendorf Safe-Lock tubes (Eppendorf, Montesson, France) and then subjected to 3 sonication cycles at an amplitude of 50 Hz for 30 seconds. Subsequently,
ultracentrifugation was carried out at 20,000 g for 20 minutes at 4°C. Thereafter, the supernatant was recovered, directly filtered with a 0.45 μm filter and stored at -20°C prior to the assays. All fractions were regularly cultured on Columbia sheep blood agar plates (bioMérieux) after filtration to ensure their sterility.

**Fractions used in larval assays**

Once the larvae were ready (3rd and early 4th instar), the sterile fractions (pellet and supernatant) already prepared of a bacterium were thawed at room temperature, then, the Bradford protein assay was carried out. Subsequently, the volume of the fraction that was used was adjusted to have two concentrations, 2 mg/l and 6 mg/l, which were administered to the larvae. We tested the supernatant and pellet fractions of each stain separately at concentrations of 2 mg/l and 6 mg/l. We also tested the mixture of the two fractions at 6 mg/l to determine whether there was a synergetic effect of the secreted and cell constituent compounds.

We used *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* AM65-52 (*Bti*), which was isolated from a commercial granular formulation (VectoBacGR, Valent Bioscience, Libertyville, USA), to validate our protocol and to assess the insecticidal activity of the strain used as a positive control. We used this strain as a control for several reasons. It produces different Cry toxins that, after ingestion, are able to form pores in the plasma membrane of midgut epithelial cells in susceptible insects. Thus, in both the studied bacterial strains and in positive controls, we eliminated the effect of live bacteria on mosquito larvae. We administered the bacterial fractions 24 hours before feeding the larvae to give them enough time to ingest the bacterial fraction compounds.

**Screening for insecticidal activity**

The *Aedes albopictus* laboratory colony was maintained at 27 ± 0.5°C and in 80 ± 5% relative humidity. Adult mosquitoes were maintained with constant exposure to 10% sterile sucrose on cotton balls that were changed daily. For egg production, adult female mosquitoes were given defibrinated human blood (French Blood Agency, France) via the Haemotek membrane feeding system (Haemotek Ltd, Blackburn, United Kingdom). Larvae were fed Tetra-Min (Spectrum Brands, Fennimore, USA) fish food in clear water until the nymphae stage.

Seventy-five milliliter flasks were used for the insecticide screening assays. Only 3rd and early 4th instar larvae were used in the insecticidal activity assays. All tests of each fraction were performed with 25 larvae (N=4), and a total of 100 larvae for each fraction were tested as recommended by the WHO. Immediately after separating the larvae in flasks containing 100 ml of clean distilled water, we added the calculated volume of insecticide. Larvae were not fed until the 24th hour. Dead larvae were counted at 24, 48 and 72 hours. In each assay, 100 larvae were used as negative controls, which did not receive any fraction, to assess natural mortality. We considered that a strain had a good insecticidal activity if it induced >20% of mortality.
Statistical analysis

The Epi Info version 7 program (http://www.cdc.gov/epiinfo/index.html) (Addinsoft, 2019) and the XLSTAT statistical and data analysis solution (Paris, France, https://www.xlstat.com) were used to compare the mortality rates recorded at 72 hours after administration of 6 mg/l of each supernatant fraction. The Kruskal-Wallis test, the comparison of k proportions test and the pairwise comparison test were also performed. A difference was statistically significant when the p-value was < 0.05. The Dunn procedure (bilateral test) was performed to separate groups of strains according to their efficiencies.
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### Tables

**Table 1.** Isolated strains used in the study.

| Order         | Genus and species  | Strain code |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Actinomycetales | *Streptomyces sp*  | Sen 181     |
| Actinomycetales | *Streptomyces sp*  | Sen 43      |
| Actinomycetales | *Streptomyces sp*  | Sen 154     |
| Actinomycetales | *Streptomyces sp*  | Sen 86      |
| Actinomycetales | *Streptomyces sp.* | Sen 39      |
| Bacillales     | *Brevibacillus brevis* | Sen 108   |
| Bacillales     | *Bacillus nealsonii* | Sen 132   |
| Actinomycetales | *Micrococcus luteus* | Sen 7      |
| Bacillales     | *Bacillus pumilus* | Sen 186     |
| Bacillales     | *Bacillus subtilis* | Sen 66      |
| Bacillales     | *Bacillus sp.*    | Sen 140     |

**Table 2.** Detailed results of the insecticidal activity of the secondary metabolites of the isolated species against 3rd and early 4th instar *A. albopictus* larvae at 72 hours post-administration.
| Species               | Strain  | Pellet | Supernatant | Supernatant + pellet (6 mg/l) | Notes                        |
|----------------------|---------|--------|-------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Streptomyces sp.     | Sen 181 | 0%     | 0%          | 18%                          | 20%                          |
|                      |         |        |             |                              | No potential Insecticidal activity |
| Streptomyces sp.     | Sen 43  | 0%     | 0%          | 12%                          | 8%                           |
|                      |         |        |             |                              | No potential Insecticidal activity |
| Streptomyces sp.     | Sen 154 | 0%     | 29%         | 31%                          | 28%                          |
|                      |         |        |             |                              | Potential Insecticidal activity |
| Streptomyces sp.     | Sen 86  | 0%     | 35%         | 41%                          | 32%                          |
|                      |         |        |             |                              | Potential Insecticidal activity |
| Streptomyces sp.     | Sen 39  | 0%     | 30%         | 36%                          | 40%                          |
|                      |         |        |             |                              | Potential Insecticidal activity |
| Brevibacillus brevis | Sen 108 | 0%     | 0%          | 2%                           | 4%                           |
|                      |         |        |             |                              | No potential Insecticidal activity |
| Bacillus nealsonii   | Sen 132 | 0%     | 40%         | 70%                          | 84%                          |
|                      |         |        |             |                              | Potential Insecticidal activity |
| Micrococcus luteus   | Sen 7   | 0%     | 4%          | 4%                           | 12%                          |
|                      |         |        |             |                              | No potential Insecticidal activity |
| Bacillus pumilus     | Sen 186 | 0%     | 5%          | 6%                           | 4%                           |
|                      |         |        |             |                              | No potential Insecticidal activity |
| Bacillus subtilis    | Sen 66  | 0%     | 10%         | 10%                          | 12%                          |
|                      |         |        |             |                              | No potential Insecticidal activity |
| Bacillus sp.         | Sen 140 | 0%     | 4%          | 6%                           | 8%                           |
|                      |         |        |             |                              | No potential Insecticidal activity |
| Bacillus thuringiensis | AM65-52 | 0%     | 15%         | 33%                          | 34%                          |
|                      |         |        |             |                              | Insecticidal activity         |

Table 3. Comparison of the efficacy of the strains to that of the positive control *Bti.*
| Strain               | Code     | Mortality rate | Standard deviation | Groups | Mean rank | P-value | Significance |
|---------------------|----------|----------------|--------------------|--------|-----------|---------|--------------|
| Bti                 | AM65-52  | 33%            | Ref                | B-C    | Ref       | Ref     |              |
| Streptomyces sp.    | Sen 181  | 18%            | 0.386              | A-B    | 90        | 0.011   | Neg. S**     |
| Streptomyces sp.    | Sen 43   | 12%            | 0.302              | A      | 138       | ≤ 0.0001 | Neg. S**     |
| Streptomyces sp.    | Sen 154  | 31%            | 0.461              | B-C    | 18        | 0.610   | NS***        |
| Streptomyces sp.    | Sen 86   | 41%            | 0.494              | C      | -48       | 0.173   | NS***        |
| Streptomyces sp.    | Sen 39   | 36%            | 0.482              | B-C    | -18       | 0.610   | NS***        |
| Brevibacillus brevis| Sen 108  | 2%             | 0.141              | A      | 186       | ≤ 0.0001 | Neg. S**     |
| Bacillus nealsonii  | Sen 132  | 70%            | 0.461              | D      | -222      | ≤ 0.0001 | Pos. S*      |
| Micrococcus luteus  | Sen 7    | 4%             | 0.197              | A      | 174       | ≤ 0.0001 | Neg. S**     |
| Bacillus pumilus    | Sen 186  | 6%             | 0.239              | A      | 162       | ≤ 0.0001 | Neg. S**     |
| Bacillus subtilis   | Sen 66   | 10%            | 0.302              | A      | 138       | ≤ 0.0001 | Neg. S**     |
| Bacillus sp.        | Sen 140  | 6%             | 0.239              | A      | 162       | ≤ 0.0001 | Neg. S**     |

*Pos. S: Positively significant **Neg. S: Negatively significant ***NS: Non-significant
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Figure 1. Site of sandy soil sampling in Ganket village, Senegal
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Figure 2. Dendrograms for the *Streptomyces* strains generated using the MALDI Biotyper 3.0 software, with *Streptomyces atrovirens* as the outgroup.
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Figure 3: Maximum-likelihood phylogenogram of *Streptomyces* spp., including the species isolated in the present study based on the partial 466-bp sequence of the *atpD* gene.
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Figure 4. Maximum-likelihood phylodendrogram of *Streptomyces* spp., including the species isolated in the present study based on the partial 1,000-bp sequence of the 23S rRNA gene.
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Maximum-likelihood phylodendrogram of *Streptomyces* spp., including the species isolated in the present study based on the partial 1,000-bp sequence of the 23S rRNA gene.
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