Molecular characterisation of ILRUN, a novel inhibitor of proinflammatory and antimicrobial cytokines
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ABSTRACT

Regulation of type-I interferon (IFN) production is essential to the balance between antimicrobial defence and autoimmune disorders. The human protein-coding gene ILRUN (inflammation and lipid regulator with UBA-like and NBR1-like domains, previously C6orf106) was recently characterised as an inhibitor of antiviral and proinflammatory cytokine (interferon-alpha/beta and tumor necrosis factor alpha) transcription. Currently there is a paucity of information about the molecular characteristics of ILRUN, despite it being associated with several diseases including virus infection, coronary artery disease, obesity and cancer. Here, we characterise ILRUN as a highly phylogenetically conserved protein containing UBA-like and a NBR1-like domains that are both essential for inhibition of type-I interferon and tumor necrosis factor alpha) transcription in human cells. We also solved the crystal structure of the NBR1-like domain, providing insights into its potential role in ILRUN function. This study provides critical information for future investigations into the role of ILRUN in health and disease.

1. Introduction

The type-I interferon (IFN) response provides a robust innate immune defence against pathogens, instigated by the detection of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) such as foreign nucleic acid and bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (McNab et al., 2015). PAMPs are detected by intracellular sensor proteins called pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs), including toll-like receptors (TLRs) and retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs), amongst others (McNab et al., 2015). PRR-induced signalling pathways result in the activation of the latent transcription factors IFN regulatory factor 3 (IRF3), IRF7 (following prolonged stimulation), and nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B-cells (NFκB), which initiate the transcription of type-I IFNs (IFNα and IFNβ) and the proinflammatory cytokines interleukin (IL)-6 and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNFα) (McNab et al., 2015). These secreted factors act in an autocrine and paracrine manner to induce expression of antimicrobial proteins as well as activate and recruit immune cells, promoting pathogen clearance (McNab et al., 2015). Due to the profound effects of these signalling proteins on cell biology, the type-I IFN response is tightly regulated by numerous mechanisms to prevent aberrant signalling that can promote many disease states, including inflammation, autoimmunity and cancer (Chen et al., 2017; Fuertes et al., 2013; Motwani et al., 2019; Rodero and Crow, 2016; Snell et al., 2017).

We recently characterised a novel inhibitor of the type-I IFN response, C6orf106, which promotes the degradation of the transcriptional coactivators p300 and cAMP-response element-binding protein-binding protein (CBP) within the nucleus and inhibits IRF3-DNA binding, resulting in significantly impaired transcription of type-I IFNs and TNFα, but not IL-6 (Ambrose et al., 2018). Based on our
data, and those of others (Bi et al., 2020), this protein was renamed Inflammation and Lipid Regulator with UBA-like and NBR1-like domains (ILRUN). Genome-wide analyses have also identified the ILRUN gene as a body mass index (BMI)-associated locus and positive correlations between ILRUN expression and the malignancy and invasiveness of several cancers have been identified (Baranski et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2019; Riveros-McKay et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2015). The latter studies postulate that ILRUN promotes the extracellular signal-related kinases (ERK) signalling pathway, reducing expression of the cell adhesins E-cadherin and p120ctn, thereby promoting metastasis (Li et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2015). This suggests that ILRUN may be of importance to several diseases of significant concern to human health and, therefore, an attractive therapeutic target. At present, however, there is limited information on the molecular and structural biology of ILRUN.

In this report, we characterise ILRUN using bioinformatic, expression analysis and experimental approaches. We show that the N-terminal ubiquitin-associated (UBA)-like and central neighbour of BRCA1 gene 1 (NBR1)-like domains are evolutionarily well-conserved in animals, and both these domains contribute to ILRUN-mediated inhibition IRF3 signalling; the C-terminal disordered region, whose sequence is much more disparate, appears dispensable for this function. We also present a crystal structure of the NBR1-like domain and a structure prediction of the UBA-like domain, in addition to performing expression analysis from published datasets to elucidate ILRUN expression in different human tissues and immune cell types, identifying ILRUN as appearing to be most abundant in testis and activated B-cells. Together, these data advance our knowledge of ILRUN biology and its roles in human health and disease.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cells

HeLa cells (ATCC CCL-2) were maintained in Eagle’s minimum essential medium (EMEM) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS), 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). All cells were kept at 37 °C in a humidified incubator (5% CO2).

2.2. ILRUN mutant plasmid generation

The DUBA and Δdisordered (Δdis) coding sequences were amplified from the pre-existing pCAGGS-ILRUN-FLAG vector (expressing C-terminally FLAG-tagged human ILRUN), previously described in (Ambrose et al., 2018), using forward and reverse primers as in Supplementary Table A1. The DNBRI1 coding sequence was designed upon the naturally occurring isoform ILRUNb (NCBI NP_073595.2) and was synthesised by GenScript with a C-terminal FLAG coding sequence. All amplicons were ligated into the mammalian expression vector pCAGGS using the SacI and Xhol restriction sites and transformed into chemically competent Escherichia coli (MAX Efficiency DH5α competent cells, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, United States). Following Sanger sequencing to confirm correct sequences, plasmids were propagated in DH5α E.coli and purified using a Qiagen (Hilden, Germany) EndoFree Plasmid Maxi kit as specified by the manufacturers.

2.3. DNA transfection and poly(I:C) stimulation

HeLa cells in 24-well plates were reverse transfected with 300 ng of endotoxin free plasmid DNA and 1 μL Lipofectamine 2000 in OptiMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as previously described. Cells were stimulated with 5 μg/mL high molecular weight poly(I:C) (Invivogen, California, United States) by transfection (1.5 μL Lipofectamine 2000) for 6 h.

2.4. RNA purification, reverse transcription, and quantitative real-time PCR

HeLa cells were lysed in TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and RNA was extracted according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Following DNase treatment (RQ1 DNase, Promega, Wisconsin, United States), 500 ng of RNA was reverse-transcribed to DNA using SensiFast reverse transcriptase (Bioline, London, United Kingdom) first-strand cDNA synthesis protocols. Quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed using SYBR Green (Applied Biosystems, California, United States) on a StepOne Plus PCR cycler (Applied Biosystems). PCR cycling for gene detection was at 95 °C for 10 min followed by 45 cycles of 95 °C for 15 sec and 60 °C for 1 min. A melting curve analysis was performed to eliminate primer–dimer artefacts and to verify the specificity of the assay. Cytokine expression was assayed using the ΔΔCT method and normalized to GAPDH. Primers used in qRT-PCR analyses are as previously described (Ambrose et al., 2018).

2.5. IRF3 DNA binding assays and IFN-β ELISA

HeLa cells were reverse-transfected in 6 cm dishes (as described above but scaled up according to dish size) for 18 h then stimulated with transfected poly(I:C) for 6 h. Cells were incubated on ice in hypotonic buffer (20 mM HEPES, 5 mM NaF, 10 μM Na3MoO4, 0.1 mM EDTA) for 15 min followed by cytoplasmic membrane disruption with 0.1% Nonidet P-40. Nuclei were pelleted at 12,000 × g for 30 sec, washed in hypotonic buffer, and lysed in complete lysis buffer on ice for 45 min (supplemented with phosphatase and protease inhibitors and DTT). Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom). Debris was removed by centrifugation and nuclear protein extracts were quantified using a bicinchoninic acid assay (Pierce, Massachusetts, United States). 10 μg of nuclear protein (in duplicate) was applied to an IRF3 transcription factor assay plate (ab207210, Abcam) and DNA binding was assayed as indicated by the manufacturer. Supernatants collected from transfected cells were assayed for IFN-β secretion using a sandwich ELISA kit (elisakit.com, Victoria, Australia) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. IFN-β concentrations were calculated by comparison with standards using a polynomial regression method.

2.6. Crystallisation of ILRUN NBR1 domain

The full-length ILRUN gene was synthesised with codon-optimisation for E. coli expression and sub-cloned into pET43 with a C-terminal His-tag. The construct was transformed into BL21 (DE3) cells and grown in Terrific Broth with 100 μg/mL carbenicillin (GoldBio, Missouri, United States) at 37 °C to an OD600 = 1.1. Expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (GoldBio) and left for 3 h before harvesting at 5000 × g for 10 min. For a wet pellet weight of 25 g, 100 mL of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 10 mM imidazole) the lysis buffer was supplemented with ~20 U/mL of Benzonase Nuclease (MerckMillipore) and 2× Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) before lysing with three passages through an EmulsiFlex C-5 (Avestin, Ontario, Canada) at 15,000 psi. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 48,000 g. The supernatant was loaded onto an AKTAXpress (along with all columns, GE Lifesciences, Illinois, United States) with the following three step program: 1) 1 mL HisTrap crude washed with 20 column volumes of wash buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole) and eluted with 5 column volumes of elution buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole). The following two steps use buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and B (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1000 mM NaCl). 2) The eluate was automatically loaded onto a HiPrep 16/10 Desalt run in 5% B. 3) and the protein peak was collected to inject into a 1 mL HiTrap Q HP column. After a 20 column volume wash at 5% B, the protein was eluted in a 5–50%B gradient over 20 column volumes. The ILRUN-containing peak was finally polished and assessed for homogeneity on a Superdex 75 16/600 column in 20 mM Tris-HCl, 8.0, 150 mM NaCl. Typically, the final yield was between 0.5 and 1.0 mg/L of culture.
Small crystals were readily obtained from many conditions in sparse matrix vapour diffusion experiments with in situ chymotrypsin treatment. To obtain larger, single crystals, a small quantity of the full-length ILRUN was treated with chymotrypsin (Sigma Aldrich, Missouri, United States) in a 30:1 (w:w) ILRUN:chymotrypsin ratio for 1 h at room temperature. The proteolysis was stopped with addition of 1 mM PMSF and the NBR1 domain isolated by gel filtration as above. The appropriate fractions were concentrated in an Amicon Ultra-4 3 kDa MWCO ultrafiltration device (MerckMillipore, Massachusetts, United States) to $A_{280} = 3.0$. Single crystals were obtained by mixing 150 nL with 30 nL of seeds made from small crystals mentioned above and 120 nL of 1 M ammonium sulfate and 0.15 M trisodium citrate-citric acid, pH 5.5. Drops containing thin single plates had an equal volume of 3 M sodium malonate, pH 5.5, added, before being harvested and flash cooled in liquid nitrogen.

Diffraction experiments were measured at the MX2 beamline at the Australian Synchrotron (Clayton, Australia) at a wavelength of 1.45865 Å. Images were processed using autoPROC (Tickle et al., 2018; Vonrhein et al., 2011) which indexed and integrated with XDS (Kabsch, 2010) and then scaled and merged with Pointless, Aimless and Truncate from the CCP4 suite (Winn et al., 2011). Automated molecular replacement was carried out with MrBUMP with the most favourable solution that was then scaled and merged with Pointless, Aimless and Truncate from the CCP4 suite (Winn et al., 2011). Automated molecular replacement was carried out with MrBUMP with the most favourable solution that was used for model building being chain A of the PDB entry 4OLE. The model was iteratively built with cycles of Coot and autoBUSTER using local secondary structure restraints (Bricogne et al., 2017; Smart et al., 2012). The model was validated with Molprobity (Williams et al., 2018).

2.7. Transcript expression data mining

The mined transcript expression data analysed and compiled by the May'ayan Lab, Mount Sinai Center for Bioinformatics was downloaded from their ARChive website (amp.pharm.mssm.edu/arachs4/down-load.html) (Lachmann et al., 2018). Published RNA-seq datasets were selected based on the following criteria: i) contained $>$3 healthy control replicates, ii) were $>$1000 Mbases in size and iii) were derived from a tissue or immune cell type of interest. Metadata associated with each sequence read archive was downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) and R scripts were written to extract associated ILRUN isoform expression levels.

2.8. Statistics

The difference between multiple groups was analysed by one- or two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test. A $P$ value of $<0.05$ was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Description of ILRUN genomic organisation of ILRUN locus

The human ILRUN gene (Figure 1A) is located on chromosome 6 ( locus p21.31) and flanked by genes encoding SAM pointed domain-containing ETS transcription factor (SPDEF) and small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide C (SNRPC). SPDEF is a transcription factor expressed in prostate, trachea and colon epithelium that is involved in differentiation and overexpressed in prostate and breast cancers, while SNRPC is a component of the U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein spliceosome that functions pre-mRNA processing (Singh and Singh, 2019; Sood et al., 2012). The gene contains six exons that produce three transcript variants, ILRUNa, ILRUNb and ILRUNc (also referred to as ILRUN-202, ILRUN-203 and ILRUN-201, respectively; Figure 1B & C). ILRUNa and ILRUNb encode for proteins of the same name, ILRUNa (which we will refer to as simply ILRUN) is the full-length protein while ILRUNb is shorter due to loss of exon 4 in the transcript. ILRUNa and ILRUNb both lack the non-protein encoding exon 2 and exon 1 is additionally truncated at the 5’ end of ILRUNa, resulting in a shorter 5’ UTR compared to ILRUNb. ILRUNc lacks exon 1 and the majority of the 3’ UTR encoded by exon 6, but contains exon 2, resulting in a distinct 5’ UTR to ILRUNa and ILRUNb.

3.2. ILRUN is widely expressed and appears most abundant in testis and activated B-cells

To gain further insights into the biological functions of ILRUN we performed expression analysis from publicly-available published transcriptomics data (Blischak et al., 2017; Chhibber et al., 2017; Clark et al., 2015; Hipp et al., 2017; Jeou et al., 2017; Kornienko et al., 2016; Lam et al., 2019; Muraro et al., 2016; Nance et al., 2014; Pai et al., 2016; Quinn et al., 2015; Ruterling et al., 2016; SEQC/MAQC-III Consortium, 2014; Theurich et al., 2017; Trimarchi et al., 2014; Vecchio et al., 2018; Venken et al., 2019; Wenric et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2015) to investigate the apparent expression of ILRUN transcripts in different human tissues (Figure 2A). ILRUNa transcripts could be detected in all tissues examined, though only very low expression could be detected in spleen and pancreas. Highest abundance was detected in the testis, followed by heart, ovary and adipose. ILRUNb transcripts followed a similar pattern to that of ILRUNa, but were globally much less abundant, with the highest expression detected in the heart and adipose. ILRUNc transcript expression was very low in most tissues, apart from testis and ovary.

Due to the immunological functions of ILRUN (Ambrose et al., 2018), we also investigated its expression in different immune cell populations (Figure 2B). Highest expression of ILRUNa transcripts appeared to be in...
activated B-cells, followed by CD4+ T-cells and dendritic cells. ILRUNb was again expressed at much lower level than ILRUNa and was most prominent in macrophages. ILRUNc was poorly expressed in the cell types examined, except for activated B-cells.

3.3. ILRUN is a well-conserved protein that contains UBA-like and NBR1-like domains and a disordered region

ILRUN (accession no. NP_077270.1) is a 298 amino acid protein in humans that is posited to possess a N-terminal UBA-like domain (residues 23–64) and a central NBR1-like domain (residues 71–180; Figure 3A), also referred to as an FW domain due to its four conserved tryptophan residues (Marchbank et al., 2012). The C-terminal region (residues 181–298) is predicted to be largely unstructured (Marchbank et al., 2012), which we have termed the disordered region, and contains three phosphorylation sites at serine residues 215, 222 and 272; these were identified by phosphoproteomic studies (Mayya et al., 2009; Olsen et al., 2010; Rigbolt et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2013). The shorter ILRUNb isoform (accession no. NP_073595.2) is 232 amino acids, lacking residues 105–170 (Δ105–170) of the NBR1 domain.

ILRUN orthologues have been identified in nearly all metazoans, with sequence deviation on par with evolutionary divergence (Kriventseva et al., 2019). This is reflected in phylogenetic analysis of ILRUN sequences from representative species of different chordate classes, showing stepwise divergence from a common ancestor consistent with their evolutionary distance to humans (Figure 3B). Indeed, ILRUN is strongly conserved within this phylum, as amphibian (Xenopus tropicalis) ILRUN retains 83.6% total protein similarity to that of humans (Figure 3C). ILRUN of fish (Danio rerio), birds (Taeniopygia guttata) and other mammals (Mus musculus) show even greater similarity: 87.0%, 93.3% and 96.6%, respectively. Most differences are localised to the disordered domain, which shows a much stronger decline in sequence similarity (91.5% in mice, 88.1% in birds, 73.9% in fish and 52.5% in amphibians) to that of the total protein. This is also associated with a
reduction in region length (111 amino acids in mice and birds, 103 in fish and 98 in amphibians, compared to 118 in humans). The UBA-like and NBR1-like domains on the other hand, are very well-conserved, with a minimum similarity of 97.1% (birds) and 93.6% (fish), respectively.

ILRUN of other phyla appears to be much more diverse, with all domains/regions of nematode ILRUN (Caenorhabditis elegans) substantially different to that of humans, 65.1% for the UBA-like domain, 52.5% for the NBR1-like domain and 28.6% for the disordered region. In addition to significant shortening of the disordered domain (52 residues), the size of the NBR1-like domain of nematode ILRUN is also reduced (89 residues compared to 110 in chordates). Despite this divergence, three of the four conserved tryptophan residues of the NBR1-like domain are retained in nematodes, with the forth substituted to a structurally similar phenylalanine residue (Figure 4). Of the three phosphorylation sites identified for ILRUN, S215 is the best conserved, present in all chordates but not nematodes, while S222 is only present in mammals and S272 in mammals and birds (Figure 4).

3.3.1. Crystal structure of the NBR1-like domain and predicted structures of the UBA-like domain of ILRUN

In order to gain insights into the potential function of the domains/regions of ILRUN, we solved the crystal structure of the NBR1-like domain of ILRUN and used QUARK ab initio structure prediction software (Xu and Zhang, 2013, 2012) to predict the structure of the UBA-like domain of ILRUN (Figure 5). UBA-like domains are largely involved in binding to ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like (UBL) proteins, which function as post-translational modifiers that target proteins to specific cellular pathways or modify their function (Saeki, 2017). Ubiquitin is 76 amino acid globular protein that is commonly regarded as a marker of proteasomal degradation via conjugation to lysine residues in a target protein by E3 ubiquitin ligases, in association with E1 ubiquitin-activating and E2 ubiquitin-transferring enzymes (Saeki, 2017). As ILRUN promotes the degradation of CBP/p300 (Ambrose et al., 2018), it would seem likely that its UBA-like domain interacts with ubiquitinated CBP/p300 and target them to the proteasome. Prototypical UBA-like domains are three-helix bundles containing a conserved MGF loop between helices 1 and 2 (α1 and α2) which is a hydrophobic binding motif that mediates ubiquitin binding (Mueller and Feigon, 2002). Structure prediction suggests that the residues corresponding to the UBA-like domain of ILRUN (residues 23–64) form this bundle (Figure 5A, shown in red); this was confirmed using PDBFold (EMBL-EBI) structure comparison software, which returned similarity with existing UBA-like domains (top 10 hits are listed in Supplementary Table A3). Notably, the UBA-like domain of ILRUN contained an LGF motif, though the substitution of leucine for methionine in this motif may not be expected to impact ubiquitin binding as this is also found in the ubiquitin-binding UBA2 domain of the DNA repair protein hHR23A (Wang et al., 2003). In addition, upstream residues of the UBA-like domain may form an additional helix at the N-terminus (α0) near the UBA-like domain, perhaps forming a non-canonical four-helix UBA-like domain, which has been observed previously in human nuclear RNA export factor (NXF) 1/2 and yeast tyrosyl DNA phosphodiesterase 2 (TDP2) (Grant et al., 2002; Rao et al., 2016).

The central domain of ILRUN is termed NBR1-like due to its homology to the FW domain of NBR1 (Marchbank et al., 2012). At present only NBR1 and ILRUN have been categorised to possess a FW/NBR1-like domain in animals, but it has been identified in several bacterial proteins (Marchbank et al., 2012). Our 2.5 Å crystal structure of the ILRUN NBR1-like domain obtained by limited proteolysis consists of seven antiparallel β-strands arranged in a small β-sandwich (Figure 5B; deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank under ID 6VHI; statistics shown in Supplementary Table A4). It adopts a fibronectin type-III topology (Figure 5C) and the location of these β-sheets are similar to previous secondary structure predictions of the FW domains of ILRUN and NBR1 from several different species, which proposed a six β-sheet domain, lacking α4 (Marchbank et al., 2012). Three of the four conserved tryptophans (W99, W109 and W150) are buried in the hydrophobic core of the domain whereas the fourth (W112) is solvent exposed (Figure 5B).

3.4. Both the UBA-like and NBR1-like domains of ILRUN are necessary for inhibition of IRF3-dependent DNA-binding and induction of IFNβ gene expression, while the disordered region appears dispensable

To evaluate the contributions of each of the domains of ILRUN to its function in inhibiting IRF3-dependent IFNβ gene expression, we generated three mutant constructs containing deletions of each domain (Figure 6A). ∆UBA contains deletion of residues 1–74, removing the UBA-like domain and all residues upstream of the NBR1-like domain, corresponding to the predicted protein sequence encoded by ILRUNc. ∆NBR1 is homologous in sequence to ILRUNb, lacking residues 105–170 of the NBR1-like domain. Finally, we truncated the disordered domain at residue 193 (Δdis) to not impact a hydrophobic patch that may constitute a possible transmembrane domain (not shown).
Figure 5. Modelling of UBA-like domain and structural determination of NBR1-like domain of ILRUN. (A) Ribbon diagram of a structure prediction of residues 1–70 of ILRUN, including the predicted UBA-like domain (residues 23–64, shown in red), using QUARK ab initio structure prediction software (Xu and Zhang, 2013, 2012). Secondary structure and predicted ubiquitin-binding (LGF) motif are indicated. (B) Ribbon diagram of a 2.5 Å crystal structure of the ILRUN NBR1-like domain obtained by limited proteolysis. Secondary structure and conserved tryptophan (W) residues are indicated, and sequences not present in ILRUNb (residues 105–170) are shown in green. (C) 2D schematic of the ribbon diagram shown in (B). Graphics in (A) and (B) were generated using UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004).

Figure 6. Both the UBA-like and NBR1-like domains of ILRUN are necessary for inhibition of IRF3 signalling, while the disordered region is dispensable. (A) Schematic of ILRUN mutants, including wild-type ILRUN, ΔUBA, lacking residues 1–75, ΔNBR1, lacking residues 105–170, and Δdis, lacking residues 194–298. (B) HeLa cells were transfected with plasmids expressing the indicated proteins (or empty vector/pCAGGS) for 24 h before treatment with transfected poly(I:C) (5 μg/mL) for 6 h, with cells collected and analyzed for mRNA expression of the listed cytokines by qRT-PCR. (C) HeLa cells were transfected with plasmids expressing the indicated proteins and stimulated with poly(I:C), and the nuclear proteins were extracted using a hypotonic lysis method. Nuclear proteins (10 μg) were analyzed for IRF3–DNA binding. (D) Cell supernatants from cells used in (C) were analysed for IFN-β protein by ELISA assay. Error bars denote ±SEM of three independent experiments, and asterisks show significant changes compared with controls as measured by one- or two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test (***, p < 0.001; **, p < 0.01).
These mutant constructs, in addition to full-length ILRUN and a negative control expressing GFP or empty vector (pCAGGS), were transfected into HeLa cells, stimulated with poly(I:C) (5 μg/mL, 6 h), and IRF3-DNA binding assessed using an IRF3 transcription factor kit (abcam; Figure 6C), IFNβ mRNA and protein expression were also quantified by qRT-PCR (Figure 6B) and ELISA (Figure 6D), respectively. Overexpression of wild-type ILRUN inhibited IRF3 signalling, as expected (Ambrose et al., 2018), marked by a reduction in IRF3-DNA binding (Figure 6B), IFNβ secretion (Figure 6D) and transcription of IFNβ, IFNβ and TNFα transcription (Figure 6C) compared to controls. The deletion of either the UBA-like (∆UBA) or NBR1-like (∆NBR1) domains abrogated the functional activity of ILRUN across all assays employed, indicating both these domains are necessary to inhibit IRF3 signalling. Deletion of the disordered domain (Adis) did not appear to have an impact on ILRUN function in these assays.

4. Discussion

The type I IFNs are critical regulators of the antiviral immune response, inducing an antiviral state via production of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), upregulating major histocompatibility complex expression to promote lysis of infected cells, and triggering further cytokine and chemokine expression to recruit immune cells to fight infection (Stetson and Medzhitov, 2006). Type I IFNs also promote differentiation and maturation of dendritic and natural killer cells and perform both positive and negative regulatory roles in adaptive immune responses to infection (Dauer et al., 2003; McNab et al., 2015; Swann et al., 2007). Through the sharing or redundancy of signalling pathways, type-I IFN production is often accompanied by the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-6 and TNFα, which stimulate acute phase responses, hematopoiesis, and further immune activation (Tanaka et al., 2014). Whilst these cytokines are essential for antimicrobial defence, aberrant regulation of their synthesis results in autoimmune diseases including rheumatoid arthritis, lupus and systemic sclerosis (Stetson and Medzhitov, 2006). Excessive proinflammatory responses can also lead to fatal ‘cytokine storm’ associated with severe viral infection (Liu et al., 2016; Srikiatkhachorn et al., 2017; Younan et al., 2017). Furthermore, sustained IFN production can cause alterations to the epigenetic landscape through chromatin remodelling, altering the scale of inflammatory responses resulting from immune stimulation (Kamada et al., 2018; Park et al., 2017). Effective regulation of cytokine production is therefore essential in the homeostasis between health and disease. Thus, our recent characterisation of ILRUN as an inhibitor of type-I IFNs and TNFα adds another component to this network, likely working in concert with other negative regulators of cytokine transcription, such as IL-37, sterile alpha motif and HD-domain-containing protein 1 (SAMHD1) and HIF-A adjacent transcript 10 (FAT10), which target various stages of the IFN induction pathway (Ambrose et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2018; Gallenga et al., 2019; Nguyen et al., 2016).

Unlike other cytokine inhibitors, however, ILRUN features an uncommon mechanism of action whereby IRF3-dependent transcription of these cytokines is blocked without impacting IRF3 activation, nuclear translocation or degradation (Ambrose et al., 2018). Instead, ILRUN appears to carry out this function by inducing the degradation of the transcriptional co-activators CBP/p300, which participate in the transcription of the IFNβ gene as part of the IFNβ enhancesome complex (Ambrose et al., 2018). In the present study we demonstrate that the highly conserved UBA-like and NBR1-like domains of ILRUN are essential for its regulation of IFN production. To our knowledge, the only cellular protein comparable to ILRUN from a mechanistic perspective is cFLIPβ (cellular FLICE-like inhibitory protein, long isoform), which shares no molecular homology with ILRUN but binds IRF3 to inhibit interactions between the transcriptional coactivator CBP and the IFN-β promoter (Gates and Shisler, 2016). Interestingly, cFLIPβ also inhibits IRF7-dependent IFNα transcription by binding IκB kinase-α (IκKα) and preventing IKKα from phosphorylating and activating IRF7 (Gates-Tanzer and Shisler, 2018). The effect of ILRUN on steady-state CBP/p300 protein levels raises the possibility that, similar to cFLIPβ, ILRUN regulates IFN signalling more broadly than only via IRF3.

Our expression analysis of ILRUN transcript expression in different human tissues revealed the highest abundance of ILRUNa in testis, heart, ovary and adipose. Small nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the ILRUN gene have been associated with increased risk of obesity (Ahmad et al., 2016; Baranski et al., 2018; Rivers-McKay et al., 2019), therefore high levels of ILRUN in adipose may not be surprising. Expression in the testis and ovary may relate to the unique innate immune environment of these organs due to the need to avoid autoimmunity against gametes, preventing chronic inflammation that can lead to infertility and, in the case of the female reproductive tract (FRT), ensure tolerance to semen and the fetus (Cumming and Bourke, 2019; Mossadegh-Keller and Sieweke, 2018). The FRT also constitutively expresses IFNα, a type-I IFN whose expression is highly restricted to the mucosal epithelium of the gut, lung, brain and FRT (Cumming and Bourke, 2019). Strong expression of IFNα transcripts have also been detected in the testes of mice compared to other tissues (Hermant et al., 2013). Thus, ILRUN may have integral roles in maintaining immune homeostasis of reproductive organs. Furthermore, male germ cells are enriched in mRNA transcripts that undergo distinct splicing events to somatic cells, including truncation of 3′ UTRs (Berkovits et al., 2012; McMahan et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2006), consistent with the highest expression of the ILRUNc transcript, which lacks most of the 3′ UTR present in ILRUNa and ILRUNb, occurring in testis. This may allude to potential functions of this isoform, and perhaps full-length ILRUN as well, in spermatogenesis if it is, in fact, translated into protein. Finally, aberrant IFN/IRF3 signalling is particularly detrimental to the heart, likely requiring strong inhibitory mechanisms to prevent tissue damage (King et al., 2017), which may be mediated by ILRUN.

This analysis also showed an apparent abundance of ILRUNa transcripts in activated B-cells, CD4+ T-cells and macrophages. Prolonged type-I IFN signalling is detrimental to B- and T-cell function, leading to suppression of CD4+ T-cell function and generation of autoantibodies by B-cells (Cervantes-Luevano et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2015; Oskine et al., 2014; Snell et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2018). Thus, ILRUN may serve to temper IFN production by these cell types to prevent immune dysregulation. Dendritic cells, especially plasmacytoid dendritic cells, are professional type-I IFN producers (Swiecki and Colonna, 2015), therefore high levels of ILRUN may be necessary for shutting down the strong expression of type-I IFNs during infection by these cells following pathogen clearance.

Our investigation of the contributions of the domains of ILRUN to its ability to inhibit IRF3 signalling determined that both the UBA-like and NBR1-like domains are important. UBA-like domains are largely involved in binding to ubiquitin and targeting ubiquitinated proteins to the proteasome for degradation (Suæki, 2017); this would appear to be the likely function of this domain in ILRUN, enabling association to ubiquitinated CBP/p300 and promoting its degradation. However, both ubiquitin and UBA-like domains have additional diverse functions. Ubiquitin is also involved in DNA damage responses, cell cycle regulation, protein trafficking, signal transmission and protein scaffolding, dependent on the number and chain configuration of the ubiquitin tag (Swatek and Komander, 2016). UBA-like domains also mediate association with ULPs that are structurally similar to ubiquitin and are involved in various cellular processes (van der Veen and Ploegh, 2012). In relation to ILRUN, two ULPs of note are small ubiquitin-related modifiers (SUMO), which has dual functions in gene transcription, and IFN-stimulated gene 15 (ISG15), which has antiviral functions (van der Veen and Ploegh, 2012). Thus, the UBA-like domain of ILRUN has the potential to be multifunctional, enabling modification multiple cellular processes.

FW/NBR1-like domains have been previously proposed to mediate homo- or heterodimerisation and/or associate with small molecules (Marchbank et al., 2012). While the function of the FW domain of NBR1 still remains to be completely elucidated, studies have shown that this
region interacts with the light chain of MAP1B, potentially to enable association with the microtubule cytoskeleton, a cellular structure with integral roles in autophagy (Marchbank et al., 2012), suggesting that the ILRUN NBR1-like domain could be involved in mediating protein-protein interactions. Furthermore, truncations encompassing this domain in NBR1 contribute to its localisation to late endosomes and its ability to inhibit ligand-mediated receptor tyrosine kinase degradation (Mardakheh et al., 2010).

The C-terminal region of ILRUN is predicted to be largely disordered (Marchbank et al., 2012), and our data suggests it does not contribute to antagonism of IRF3 signalling. However, intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs), regions of proteins that lack stable tertiary structure under physiological conditions, can provide great diversity to protein function by providing a means to potentially adopt multiple conformations in a regulated manner, enabling a single protein to effect diverse outcomes dependent on interactions with different ligands/proteins (Tompka et al., 2015; Wright and Dyson, 2015). IDRs are also regulated by post-translational modifications (Bah and Forman-Kay, 2016), suggesting that the three phosphorylation sites identified in the disordered region of ILRUN may regulate its conformation/function. Thus, the lack of conservation of these sites in different species may indicate different modes of regulation. While the functions of IDRs are broad, RNA-binding proteins and transcription factors in particular are enriched for IDRs, indicating importance in regulating gene expression (Basu and Bahadur, 2016; Skupien-Rabian et al., 2016).

Whilst our work implicates ILRUN in antiviral immunity, other studies point to broader biological roles (summarised briefly in Supplementary Table A5). The role of ILRUN in inflammatory signalling, described by our group, in addition to unpublished findings relating to lipid metabolism (Bi et al., 2020), form the basis for the name ILRUN. Roles in lipid metabolism may be associated with genome-wide SNP studies implicating ILRUN in obesity (Ahmad et al., 2016; LeBlanc et al., 2016; Locke et al., 2015; Riveros-Mckay et al., 2019). These studies additionally suggest roles for ILRUN in height, the timing of pubescent growth spurts and coronary heart disease (LeBlanc et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2010; Sovio et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2010). In the context of cancer, increased expression of ILRUN mRNA and protein have been described in pancreatic, breast and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) tissue, where expression positively correlates with poor NSCLC prognosis (Jiang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2015). In pancreatic cancer tissue, overexpression of ILRUN increases cell proliferation and cancer cell invasion, possibly through stimulation of the ERK-P90RSK signalling pathway (Li et al., 2019). Additionally, due to the anti-cancer functions of type-I IFNs and TNFα (Shen et al., 2018; Zitvogel et al., 2015), inhibition of these cytokines by ILRUN would likely also contribute to these apparent oncogenic effects.

An interesting hypothesis for future research is that, in addition to its regulation of type-I IFN and TNFα expression, ILRUN is linked to these diseases via its effect on the transcriptional coactivator proteins CBP/p300. We have shown that ILRUN reduces nuclear levels of CBP/p300 without impacting their expression in the cytoplasm (Ambrose et al., 2018). These proteins are histone acetyltransferase (HAT) enzymes that catalyse the acetylation of lysine residues within promoter-bound histones, resulting in chromatin relaxation and modulation of transcription (Chan and La Thangue, 2001). CBP/p300 interact with over 300 different cellular and viral binding partners to regulate physiological events including proliferation, differentiation, infection and apoptosis, among others (Chan and La Thangue, 2001). Dysregulation of epigenetic modifications is associated with the pathology of many diseases, including coronary artery disease and cancer (Iyer et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2013). Indeed, the domain composition of ILRUN could certainly suggest specific functions in transcription regulation, beyond simply acting as a ‘chaperone’ for CBP/p300 degradation. Together, the data presented here and the nominal literature available for ILRUN suggest it may have many functions/modes of action that impact on a number of cellular processes relevant to disease.

5. Conclusions

In summary, ILRUN is a highly conserved regulator proinflammatory and antiviral cytokines, with its UBA-like and NBR1-like domains essential for this function. Expression of ILRUN transcripts is observed in all tissues and immune cell types examined and appears most highly expressed in testis and activated B-cells. Further work will be required to understand the mechanism by which ILRUN regulates inflammation and antiviral responses through its effects on CBP/p300 expression and to explore other potential biological functions for this protein.
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