A 12-year-old female patient presented with diffusely enlarged fibrous gingivae, enamel hypoplasia, an anterior open bite and impacted permanent maxillary canines (Figures 1-4). The patient's mother reported that the child had an unremarkable medical history and was currently not taking any medications. Radiographic examination showed features of amelogenesis imperfecta affecting all erupted teeth and the impacted permanent maxillary canines (Figure 4).

The clinical differential diagnosis included hereditary gingival fibromatosis or diffuse peripheral odontogenic fibromas involving both the maxilla and mandible. Gingivectomies from the anterior maxillary and mandibular regions were performed and submitted for histological assessment.

The gingivectomy specimens were submitted in two separate containers. The maxillary gingivectomy consisted of four soft tissue fragments, the largest measuring 0.7 x 0.8 x 0.5 cm. Histological examination revealed diffuse gingival enlargement with stromal calcifications occurring in a background of amelogenesis imperfecta - An oral medicine case book.

**Figure 1.** Initial clinical presentation. Diffusely enlarged fibrous gingivae obscuring the clinical crowns. Both maxillary central incisors show evidence of enamel hypoplasia.

**Figure 2.** Maxillary arch. Diffuse gingival enlargement, particularly involving the anterior maxilla. Both permanent first maxillary molars show evidence of enamel hypoplasia.

**Figure 3.** Mandibular arch. Diffuse gingival enlargement with evidence of enamel hypoplasia involving both permanent first mandibular molars.

**CASE REPORT**

**DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT**

The gingivectomy specimens were submitted in two separate containers. The maxillary gingivectomy consisted of four soft tissue fragments, the largest measuring 0.7 x 0.8 x 0.5 cm. Histological examination revealed diffuse gingival enlargement with stromal calcifications occurring in a background of amelogenesis imperfecta - An oral medicine case book.
7 x 5 x 3 mm and the smallest measuring 4 x 4 x 2 mm. The mandibular gingivectomy consisted of two soft tissue fragments, the larger measuring 20 x 5 x 3 mm and the smaller measuring 10 x 3 x 2 mm.

Histological examination of both specimens showed similar features, confirming tissue fragments surfaced by mildly hyperplastic stratified squamous epithelium (Figure 5). The superficial lamina propria consisted of dense fibrous connective tissue with a patchy mixed chronic inflammatory cell infiltrate of moderate intensity. Additionally, inactive odontogenic epithelial islands with associated irregular and laminated dystrophic calcifications were noted (Figure 5 insert). There was representation of the junctional epithelium with extensive inflammatory cell exocytosis. A Periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) histochemical stain failed to highlight any fungal elements.

Considering the clinical, radiographic and histological features, a diagnosis of diffuse gingival enlargement with stromal calcifications occurring in a background of amelogenesis imperfecta was made. The patient was sche-
Amelogenesis imperfecta (AI) is a hereditary condition of abnormal enamel calcification and formation. The disease encompasses a complicated group of disorders that demonstrate developmental alterations in the structure of enamel, in the absence of a systemic disease or syndrome. Although this definition may exclude the association with a syndrome, a number of other dental abnormalities may be seen in patients with AI. These include pulpal calcifications, anterior open bite malocclusion, taurodontism, gingival enlargement and delayed eruption. A wide range of hereditary subtypes exist with different patterns of inheritance and an array of clinical manifestations.

Although an ideal classification system for AI has not yet been established, the Witkop classification appears to be the most accepted. Simplified, AI may be sub classified into four main forms, depending on the type and extent of enamel defects. The presentation may be hypoplastic, hypomaturation, hypocalcified or hypomaturation/hypoplastic with associated taurodontism.

Several gene mutations have been associated with the development of AI. Diverse and distinctive phenotypic patterns are often created due to variations in individual gene mutations. To date, commonly mutated genes include: AMELX associated with the enamel protein amelogenin, ENAM associated with the enamel matrix protein enamelin, MMP-20 which codes for proteinase enamelysin, KLK4 coding for the protease kallikrein-4, C4orf26 which encodes extracellular matrix protein in the enamel organ, and DLX3 which codes for a number of essential enamel proteins. In addition, certain gene mutations have been associated with specific forms of AI. FAM20A gene mutations have been associated with autosomal-recessive hypomaturation AI. Literature provides reasonable evidence linking amelogenesis imperfecta and diffuse gingival enlargement. A 1992 case report by Peters et al. suggested that there may be an association between AI and hereditary gingival fibromatosis (HGF) on the basis of sibling hereditary patterns, clinical, radiological and histological findings. Another common trend in the literature postulates that the gingival enlargement associated with AI is attributed to the rough hypoplastic nature of the remaining tooth surface enamel which promotes microscopic bacterial plaque accumulation and retention, leading to a chronic inflammatory host response. This hypothesis is justified by the inflammatory cell infiltrate, dense fibrous connective tissue and dystrophic calcifications noted histologically, however these findings can also be seen in HGF. A study by Cherkaoei Jaouad et al. confirmed that mutations in the FAM20A gene are responsible for the development of autosomal-recessive AI with concurrent gingival fibromatosis. This may validate the presence of gingival enlargement in the background of AI from a familial genetic perspective. Further studies are needed to determine the exact mechanism of gingival overgrowth associated with AI, and whether the source of the overgrowth is as a result of fibroblast activity or human growth factors.

The presence of irregular, laminated dystrophic calcifications in the gingiva adjacent to inactive odontogenic epithelial islands in patients with known AI is an uncommon and rarely described phenomenon. In 1995, Günhan et al. described the occurrence of multiple dystrophic calcifications, deposition of amyloid and islands of odontogenic epithelium in the gingiva of three related siblings diagnosed with familial gingival fibromatosis. The calcifications resembled those found in the hyperplastic dental follicles of regional odontodysplasia.

A case report by Macedo et al. presented an association between hypoplastic type AI and diffuse gingival enlargement. In their report, histological examination of the gingival specimen showed dense fibrous connective tissue with a mononuclear inflammatory cell infiltrate and dystrophic calcifications with odontogenic epithelial islands. Rao and Carnelio reported an additional case of AI with diffuse gingival enlargement. Literature provides reasonable evidence linking amelogenesis imperfecta and diffuse gingival enlargement. A 1992 case report by Peters et al. suggested that there may be an association between AI and hereditary gingival fibromatosis (HGF) on the basis of sibling hereditary patterns, clinical, radiological and histological findings. Another common trend in the literature postulates that the gingival enlargement associated with AI is attributed to the rough hypoplastic nature of the remaining tooth surface enamel which promotes microscopic bacterial plaque accumulation and retention, leading to a chronic inflammatory host response. This hypothesis is justified by the inflammatory cell infiltrate, dense fibrous connective tissue and dystrophic calcifications noted histologically, however these findings can also be seen in HGF. A study by Cherkaoei Jaouad et al. confirmed that mutations in the FAM20A gene are responsible for the development of autosomal-recessive AI with concurrent gingival fibromatosis. This may validate the presence of gingival enlargement in the background of AI from a familial genetic perspective. Further studies are needed to determine the exact mechanism of gingival overgrowth associated with AI, and whether the source of the overgrowth is as a result of fibroblast activity or human growth factors.

The presence of irregular, laminated dystrophic calcifications in the gingiva adjacent to inactive odontogenic epithelial islands in patients with known AI is an uncommon and rarely described phenomenon. In 1995, Günhan et al. described the occurrence of multiple dystrophic calcifications, deposition of amyloid and islands of odontogenic epithelium in the gingiva of three related siblings diagnosed with familial gingival fibromatosis. The calcifications resembled those found in the hyperplastic dental follicles of regional odontodysplasia.

A case report by Macedo et al. presented an association between hypoplastic type AI and diffuse gingival enlargement. In their report, histological examination of the gingival specimen showed dense fibrous connective tissue with a mononuclear inflammatory cell infiltrate and dystrophic calcifications with odontogenic epithelial islands. Rao and Carnelio reported an additional case of AI with diffuse gingival enlargement. Literature provides reasonable evidence linking amelogenesis imperfecta and diffuse gingival enlargement. A 1992 case report by Peters et al. suggested that there may be an association between AI and hereditary gingival fibromatosis (HGF) on the basis of sibling hereditary patterns, clinical, radiological and histological findings. Another common trend in the literature postulates that the gingival enlargement associated with AI is attributed to the rough hypoplastic nature of the remaining tooth surface enamel which promotes microscopic bacterial plaque accumulation and retention, leading to a chronic inflammatory host response. This hypothesis is justified by the inflammatory cell infiltrate, dense fibrous connective tissue and dystrophic calcifications noted histologically, however these findings can also be seen in HGF. A study by Cherkaoei Jaouad et al. confirmed that mutations in the FAM20A gene are responsible for the development of autosomal-recessive AI with concurrent gingival fibromatosis. This may validate the presence of gingival enlargement in the background of AI from a familial genetic perspective. Further studies are needed to determine the exact mechanism of gingival overgrowth associated with AI, and whether the source of the overgrowth is as a result of fibroblast activity or human growth factors.

The presence of irregular, laminated dystrophic calcifications in the gingiva adjacent to inactive odontogenic epithelial islands in patients with known AI is an uncommon and rarely described phenomenon. In 1995, Günhan et al. described the occurrence of multiple dystrophic calcifications, deposition of amyloid and islands of odontogenic epithelium in the gingiva of three related siblings diagnosed with familial gingival fibromatosis. The calcifications resembled those found in the hyperplastic dental follicles of regional odontodysplasia.

A case report by Macedo et al. presented an association between hypoplastic type AI and diffuse gingival enlargement. In their report, histological examination of the gingival specimen showed dense fibrous connective tissue with a mononuclear inflammatory cell infiltrate and dystrophic calcifications with odontogenic epithelial islands. Rao and Carnelio reported an additional case of AI with diffuse gingival enlargement. Literature provides reasonable evidence linking amelogenesis imperfecta and diffuse gingival enlargement. A 1992 case report by Peters et al. suggested that there may be an association between AI and hereditary gingival fibromatosis (HGF) on the basis of sibling hereditary patterns, clinical, radiological and histological findings. Another common trend in the literature postulates that the gingival enlargement associated with AI is attributed to the rough hypoplastic nature of the remaining tooth surface enamel which promotes microscopic bacterial plaque accumulation and retention, leading to a chronic inflammatory host response. This hypothesis is justified by the inflammatory cell infiltrate, dense fibrous connective tissue and dystrophic calcifications noted histologically, however these findings can also be seen in HGF. A study by Cherkaoei Jaouad et al. confirmed that mutations in the FAM20A gene are responsible for the development of autosomal-recessive AI with concurrent gingival fibromatosis. This may validate the presence of gingival enlargement in the background of AI from a familial genetic perspective. Further studies are needed to determine the exact mechanism of gingival overgrowth associated with AI, and whether the source of the overgrowth is as a result of fibroblast activity or human growth factors.

The presence of irregular, laminated dystrophic calcifications in the gingiva adjacent to inactive odontogenic epithelial islands in patients with known AI is an uncommon and rarely described phenomenon. In 1995, Günhan et al. described the occurrence of multiple dystrophic calcifications, deposition of amyloid and islands of odontogenic epithelium in the gingiva of three related siblings diagnosed with familial gingival fibromatosis. The calcifications resembled those found in the hyperplastic dental follicles of regional odontodysplasia.

A case report by Macedo et al. presented an association between hypoplastic type AI and diffuse gingival enlargement. In their report, histological examination of the gingival specimen showed dense fibrous connective tissue with a mononuclear inflammatory cell infiltrate and dystrophic calcifications with odontogenic epithelial islands. Rao and Carnelio reported an additional case of AI with diffuse gingival enlargement. Literature provides reasonable evidence linking amelogenesis imperfecta and diffuse gingival enlargement. A 1992 case report by Peters et al. suggested that there may be an association between AI and hereditary gingival fibromatosis (HGF) on the basis of sibling hereditary patterns, clinical, radiological and histological findings. Another common trend in the literature postulates that the gingival enlargement associated with AI is attributed to the rough hypoplastic nature of the remaining tooth surface enamel which promotes microscopic bacterial plaque accumulation and retention, leading to a chronic inflammatory host response. This hypothesis is justified by the inflammatory cell infiltrate, dense fibrous connective tissue and dystrophic calcifications noted histologically, however these findings can also be seen in HGF. A study by Cherkaoei Jaouad et al. confirmed that mutations in the FAM20A gene are responsible for the development of autosomal-recessive AI with concurrent gingival fibromatosis. This may validate the presence of gingival enlargement in the background of AI from a familial genetic perspective. Further studies are needed to determine the exact mechanism of gingival overgrowth associated with AI, and whether the source of the overgrowth is as a result of fibroblast activity or human growth factors.

The presence of irregular, laminated dystrophic calcifications in the gingiva adjacent to inactive odontogenic epithelial islands in patients with known AI is an uncommon and rarely described phenomenon. In 1995, Günhan et al. described the occurrence of multiple dystrophic calcifications, deposition of amyloid and islands of odontogenic epithelium in the gingiva of three related siblings diagnosed with familial gingival fibromatosis. The calcifications resembled those found in the hyperplastic dental follicles of regional odontodysplasia.
Regional odontodysplasia (ROD) often shows dystrophic calcifications with laminated or globular morphologies and islands of odontogenic epithelium in the follicular tissue surrounding the crown.\textsuperscript{11} ROD is a rare condition defined as nonhereditary amelogenesis imperfecta. It typically affects a focal area of the dentition with an affinity for the anterior teeth, and exhibits no gender or racial predilection.\textsuperscript{12} Radiographically, the enamel and dentine appear thin with an enlarged pulp chamber. This radiographic appearance is often termed 'ghost teeth' owing to the lack of enamel-dentine contrast. Delayed eruption, non-inflammatory gingival enlargement and short roots with open apices are common clinical manifestations of ROD. Of the teeth that do erupt, many exhibit discoloured yellow/brown crowns with irregular enamel, rendering them particularly susceptible to dental caries.\textsuperscript{12} Diagnosis is usually based on clinical and radiographic examination, with occasional histologic confirmation. Histologically, ROD displays varying degrees of dystrophic pulpal calcifications.\textsuperscript{3,12}

Amelogenesis imperfecta that occurs with gingival enlargement resembling HGF is generally managed via periodontal flap surgery. This has shown relative success in restoring the normal physiology of the gingiva.\textsuperscript{13-14} This is considered an adjunct to the restorative management, which is subdivided into different phases, depending on the eruption status of the patient.\textsuperscript{13-15}

The temporary phase is performed during primary and mixed dentitions. In the primary dentition, stainless steel crowns are placed on molars with the purpose of preventing caries while allowing for adequate space maintenance and occlusal vertical dimension (OVD). Direct composite resins can be used on anterior teeth for aesthetic purposes. In the mixed dentition, stainless steel crowns, composite resin restorations or onlays on posterior teeth, and orthodontics are the conservative approach of choice to maintain OVD.\textsuperscript{15} Direct or indirect composite resin veneers may be used to improve dental aesthetics. In the mixed dentition, the main goal is to preserve of tooth structure, maintain tooth vitality, reduce tooth sensitivity, maintain the OVD and improve aesthetics.\textsuperscript{15}

The transitional phase begins when all permanent teeth have erupted and continues till adulthood, whereby the permanent phase begins. In permanent teeth, full mouth rehabilitation with a multidisciplinary approach including prosthodontics, endodontics, periodontics and orthodontics may be necessary.\textsuperscript{15}

Treatment may also include orthognathic surgery and orthodontic treatment in cases of severe malocclusion. Endodontic treatment may be necessary in cases of pulp exposure due to severe attrition or tooth reduction. Consultation with the appropriate specialists may help in developing a comprehensive treatment plan.\textsuperscript{15}

**CONCLUSION**

The aetiology of diffuse gingival enlargement is diverse, including poor oral hygiene, systemic medications, genetic conditions, neoplasia, serious systemic illnesses and other specific physiological states. There is reasonable evidence linking amelogenesis imperfecta and diffuse gingival enlargement.\textsuperscript{6,11} In these cases, periodontal flap surgery can help restore the normal physiology of the gingiva.\textsuperscript{13-14} Although rare, several case reports describe dystrophic calcifications in gingivectomy specimens of patients with AI additionally presenting with diffuse gingival enlargement.

The restorative management of AI is subdivided into different phases, depending on the eruption status of the patient. The main objective of this treatment is to preserve tooth structure to maintain vitality and improve overall aesthetics.\textsuperscript{15} A comprehensive treatment plan, developed via a multidisciplinary approach, is necessary to provide optimal treatment for these patients.
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