Quality Assessment of Zingiber officinale Roscoe Essential Oil from Nepal
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Abstract

Zingiber officinale Roscoe rhizome plays a vital role in food flavoring as well as utilization in folk medicine. Nepal is categorized among the leading countries of Z. officinale rhizome production and export. Mature Z. officinale rhizome collection is based on the major production sites within Nepal, but we are currently unaware of the chemical composition of essential oil for export. A comparative investigation of Z. officinale rhizome essential oil compositions, collected from 12 different sites of the eastern, mid, and western regions of Nepal, has been carried out. The analysis of essential oils chemical composition by gas chromatographic–mass spectral (GC-MS), enantiomeric composition by chiral gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (CGC-MS), and antimicrobial activity was evaluated. Essential oil yields ranged from 0.28% to 0.34%. The results showed that the essential oils obtained in this study contained α-zingiberene (8.6%-24.1%), camphene (7.2%-12.8%), β-phellandrene (3.8%-10.1%), neral (0.6%-11.8%), geranial (1.0%-17.4%), α-curcumene (3.0%-10.3%), and β-sesquiphellandrene (3.7%-9.7%). With CGC-MS, the enantiomeric distributions of 21 chiral compounds were determined and showed no contrasting enantiomeric distributions. Two essential oil samples (G3 and G5) possessed good antibacterial activity against Pseudomonas aeruginosa (MIC = 78.1 μg/mL) and excellent antifungal activity against Aspergillus niger (MIC = 39.1 μg/mL). Based on the content of α-zingiberene and citral (neral and geranial), samples collected from their respective areas might be used to identify an ideal Z. officinale rhizome production zone in Nepal.
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Introduction

Zingiber officinale Roscoe rhizome (ginger) originated in southeast Asia1 and is now cultivated worldwide. Production of Z. officinale rhizome in Asia contributes around 81% of the worldwide yield. The global Z. officinale rhizome market is expected to reach US$ 4.8 billion by 2027. Nepal is the world’s fourth largest Z. officinale rhizome producer and exporter according to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). However, Nepalese Z. officinale rhizome quality isn’t competitive in the global market and the price is extremely low due to high dependency on the Indian market and lack of sophisticated processing units as well as ignorance about chemical composition and quality.2 To intensify the trade-related environment and to strengthen the export of Nepalese Z. officinale rhizome in the international market, it is necessary to identify a potential geographical site for production and chemical composition. Nepal has an extreme altitudinal range from 60 to 8848 m with heterogeneous topography, distinct geo-climatic zones,3 and adapted to Z. officinale rhizome production up to an elevation of 1500 m.1,4 Geographic and topographic variation are major challenges in the formation of major secondary metabolites in plants as they play primary roles of the defense system.5 Less than 5.5% of essential oil extracted from Z. officinale rhizome has been reported in.6–8 Supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) of Z. officinale has also been carried out and compared
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to steam and hydrodistillation and has shown superior yields.\textsuperscript{8,9} Note, however, that SFE does not provide a true essential oil. Gas chromatographic–mass spectral (GC-MS) analysis revealed \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome essential oil to contain \textit{α}-zingiberene, \textit{ar}-curcumene, \textit{β}-bisabolene, \textit{β}-sesquiphellandrene, and citral as major constituents.\textsuperscript{10} Secondary metabolites from \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome and their pharmacological activities have been explored in.\textsuperscript{11} \textit{α}-Zingiberene is a thermolabile major compound, however, low \textit{α}-zingiberene content is may be caused by conventional distillation processes as it uses high temperatures leading to decomposition.\textsuperscript{12} The content of \textit{α}-zingiberene determines the quality of \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome essential oil.\textsuperscript{13} \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome essential oil analyses have been the subject of a number of studies, which have shown significant differences in concentrations of major constituents.\textsuperscript{14-19}

Although much research has been carried out on \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome, there have been no systematic investigations on comparative analysis of essential oils from different geographical locations of Nepal, nor have there been investigations regarding differences in their biological activities. The present study was carried out to examine the quality of \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome, based on \textit{α}-zingiberene and citral content as an assessment of essential oil quality, and to identify potential production zones within Nepal. This research will be beneficial to encourage both entrepreneurs and farmers to select the locations for investment as well as production of quality ginger, which should lead to increased exportation with better prices in the future as well as potential sources of quality \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome essential oil for commercialization.

**Results and Discussion**

**Isolation and Yields of Essential Oils**

Hydrodistillation of fresh \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome from the different geographical locations in Nepal gave relatively low yields of essential oils (0.28%-0.34% v/w). This is in close agreement with previously reported hydrodistillation yields of \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome,\textsuperscript{8,7} including a previous report from Nepal.\textsuperscript{20} It has been reported that higher yields of essential oil have been obtained from dried \textit{Z. officinale} rhizomes as opposed to fresh rhizomes.\textsuperscript{15} The yields of \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome essential oil from different geographical locations of Nepal are summarized in Table 1.

**Chemical Composition of Essential Oils**

The GC-MS analysis of \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome essential oils revealed \textit{α}-zingiberene (8.6%-24.1%), camphene (7.2%-12.8%), \textit{β}-phellandrene (3.8%-10.1%), neral (0.6%-11.8%), geranial (1.0%-17.4%), \textit{ar}-curcumene (3.0%-10.3%), and \textit{β}-sesquiphellandrene (3.7%-9.7%) to be the major components. The relative percentages of the main components of the \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome from 12 geographical locations of Nepal and total essential oil compositions are listed in Table 2 and in Supplementary Table S1, respectively. A wide variation in \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome essential oil chemical composition has been observed in the literature related to topological and geographical location as well as different factors such as maturity of rhizomes, harvesting time, genetic variation, and extraction procedures.\textsuperscript{8,14,20-24}

In this study, the major constituent of essential oils sample was citral (neral and geranial) except \textit{α}-zingiberene in G6. Fresh \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome essential oil with high citral content has been reported.\textsuperscript{22} The highest concentration of \textit{α}-zingiberene was found in sample G6 (24.1%) followed by G8 (20.2%), then G10 (15.8%), G7 (14.7%), and G3 (14.4%). Ekundayo et al reported that the essential oil from fresh \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome had less \textit{α}-zingiberene than that obtained from dry rhizome.\textsuperscript{25} In this study, fresh \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome was used and that may be reason for the relatively low content of \textit{α}-zingiberene.

The predominant compound class in G2 was the monoterpenoids (32.2%), whereas G1, G4, G5, G9, G11, and G12 were rich in oxygenated monoterpenoids (34.6%-41.9%), and G3, G6, G7, G8, and G10 showed an abundance of sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (34.6%-62.4%). In these \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome samples, monoterpenoids hydrocarbons and oxygenated monoterpenoids such as camphene, neral, and geranial were detected in significant concentrations consistent with fresh \textit{Z. officinale} rhizome.

| Table 1. Collection and Hydrodistillation Details for \textit{Zingiber officinale} Rhizome Essential Oils from Nepal. |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Collection site | GPS coordinates | Altitude (m) | Essential oil yield (% v/w) |
| Dadebhura, (G1) | 29°09′18.8″N  | 1584      | 0.34             |
| Gankhet (G2) | 80°33′51.8″E   |           |                  |
| Illam, Barbhote (G3) | 26°57′16.2″N  | 1362      | 0.31             |
| Dhankuta (G4) | 87°55′25.6″E   |           |                  |
| Morang, Indrapur (G5) | 26°39′19.3″N  | 116       | 0.33             |
| Morang, Kerabarhi (G6) | 87°23′33.7″E  |           |                  |
| Kathmandu, (G7) | 27°40′22.7″N  | 1348      | 0.30             |
| Kritipur (G8) | 85°15′58.1″E   | 1206      | 0.28             |
| Doti, Budar (G9) | 29°05′25.1″N  | 1010      | 0.34             |
| Kailali, Tikapur (G10) | 80°34′08.4″E  |           |                  |
| Kailali, Dhangadi (G11) | 28°30′40.7″N  | 169       | 0.30             |
| Baitadi, Patan (G12) | 80°36′26.3″E  |           |                  |
| Syanga, Punyamul (G13) | 28°08′04.1″N  | 1547      | 0.29             |
| Dolkha, Babar (G14) | 83°46′08.0″E  |           |                  |

---

\textit{Z. officinale} rhizome essential oil chemical composition has been observed in the literature related to topological and geographical location as well as different factors such as maturity of rhizomes, harvesting time, genetic variation, and extraction procedures.\textsuperscript{8,14,20-24}
Table 2. The Main Components of 12 Zingiber officinale Rhizome Essential Oils from Nepal.

| RRI | Compound                                | Area % |
|-----|-----------------------------------------|--------|
| 931 | α-Pinene                                | 3.1    |
| 946 | Camphene                                | 8.4    |
| 988 | Myrcene                                 | 1.6    |
| 1024| Limonene                                 | 1.7    |
| 1025| β-Phellandrene                          | 7.9    |
| 1026| 1,8-Cineole                             | 3.0    |
| 1100| Linalool                                | 1.5    |
| 1172| Borneol                                 | 2.1    |
| 1238| Neral                                   | 9.4    |
| 1248| Geranyl acetate                         | 0.7    |
| 1264| Geranial                                | 14.4   |
| 1376| Geranial                                | 6.7    |
| 1479| α-Curcumene                             | 3.5    |
| 1493| β-Zingiberene                           | 11.4   |
| 1503| (E,E)-α-Farnesene                       | 4.6    |
| 1505| β-Bisabolene                            | 2.2    |
| 1521| β-Sesquiphellandrene                    | 4.3    |

*Average concentration > 1.3%.

To provide insight into the variations, a hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) has been carried out using the main components of Z. officinale rhizome essential oils from this work as well as compositions from other Himalayan locations, Sikkim, Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand, as well as a previous report from Nepal (Figure 1).

The cluster analysis shows two clearly defined clusters. Cluster A is made up mostly of samples from Sikkim and Nepal, and can be classified as a citral/α-zingiberene chemotype. Cluster B, populated by samples from Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand, can be classified as a geranyl-rich chemotype. Pandotra and co-workers described two clusters of Z. officinale rhizome essential oils from northwestern Himalayas (Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand). The two clusters defined by Pandotra et al are indicated as BI and BII as shown in Figure 1. Cluster BI can best be described as a cluster without a dominating component. Cluster BII, on the other hand, is a cluster rich in geraniol, geranyl acetate, and α-zingiberene. To place these essential oil compositions into perspective, a commercial Z. officinale rhizome essential oil (doTERRA International) was included in the cluster analysis for comparison. The samples most similar in composition to the doTERRA commercial sample are sample G6 (this work) and a Gorubathane cultivar from Sikkim (subcluster AII). These essential oils were especially rich in α-zingiberene (26.4%, 24.1%, and 32.2% for doTERRA, G6, and Gorubathane, respectively), with lower concentrations of neral and geraniol (Tables 2 and 3). Subcluster AII, on the other hand, is rich in α-zingiberene as well as citral (neral and geraniol).

According to ISO 16928:2014, ginger essential oil from China should be composed of α-zingiberene (29%-40%), β-sesquiphellandrene (10%-14%), α-curcumene (5%-11%), camphene (4.5%-10%), and β-bisabolene (2.5%-9%), while ginger essential oil from India should contain α-zingiberene (35%-40%), β-sesquiphellandrene (11.5%-13.5%), α-curcumene (6.5%-9%), camphene (5%-8%), and β-bisabolene (2.5%-5.5%), and the essential oil from West Africa should have α-zingiberene (23%-45%), β-sesquiphellandrene (8%-17%), α-curcumene (3%-11%), camphene (0.2%-12%), and β-bisabolene (3%-7%). In terms of α-zingiberene and β-sesquiphellandrene content, samples G6 and G8 had the highest percentages, but both were lower than the ISO standards. The α-curcumene concentrations in the Nepal samples are comparable to the ISO standard for West African ginger essential oil. Camphene concentrations in the Nepal samples fall within the parameters for China ginger essential oil, but are somewhat high compared to the standard for India, while β-bisabolene concentrations are somewhat low compared to the ISO standards. The ISO standards for neral are 0.0% to 0.5%, 0.1% to 0.4%, and 0.2% to 2.0% for China, India, and West Africa ginger oils, respectively, while geranial ISO standards are 0.0% to 0.6%, 0.1% to 0.6%, and 0.5% to 3.5%, respectively. Thus, the neral and geranial concentrations in the ginger essential oils from Nepal are substantially higher than the ISO standards.

Enantiomeric Composition of Essential Oils

The enantiomeric distributions of 21 chiral terpenoids were determined in essential oil samples G2–G6. The relative percentages of the levorotatory (−) and dextrorotatory (+) enantiomers in the selected Z officinale rhizome essential oils are listed in Table 4. The majority of chiral compounds are in dextrorotatory form, including α-pinene, camphene, β-pinene, α-phellandrene, δ-3-carene, limonene, β-phellandrene, α-cedrene, α- and β-myrcene, camphene, α- and β-pinene, α- and β-phellandrene, and α-zingiberene (Tables 2 and 3). The relative percentages of the dextrorotatory and levorotatory forms are shown in Table 4.
citronellal, camphor, terpinen-4-ol, borneol, α-terpineol, citronellol, germacrene D, β-bisabolene, and α-copaene. On the other hand, the levorotatory enantiomer predominated for bornyl acetate, β-elemene, and (E)-nerolidol, while the enantiomeric distribution varied for limonene, linalool, and δ-cadinene depending upon their geographical location. The presence of these identified chiral compounds in Z. officinale rhizome essential oil and their enantiomeric distributions may serve as a benchmark for authentication or adulteration for consumer safety as well as quality for export.

**Antibacterial and Antifungal Activities**

Previous studies have shown essential oils of Z. officinale rhizome to have demonstrated a broad range of antimicrobial activities against different pathogens. This present study

---

**Figure 1.** Dendrogram based on hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) of Himalayan Zingiber officinale rhizome essential oils.
Table 3. Comparison of Major Components of Himalayan *Zingiber officinale* Rhizome Essential Oils.

| Compound          | Sikkim 27 (Gorubathane) | Sikkim 27 (Shingboi) | Sikkim 27 (Thingria) | Sikkim 28 (Bhaisa) | Sikkim 26 (Majulay) | Sikkim 26 (Majulay) | Sikkim 26 (Bhainsey) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|                   | Area %                  | Area %               | Area %              | Area %            | Area %            | Area %            | Area %              |
| α-Pinene          | 1.0                     | 1.2                  | 0.8                 | 2.5               | 1.6               | 2.2               | 1.7                 |
| Camphene          | 2.5                     | 2.5                  | 1.9                 | 1.8               | 1.1               | 7.6               | 4.9                 |
| Myrcene           | 0.4                     | 0.1                  | 0.1                 | 0.2               | 0.5               | 1.7               | 1.7                 |
| Limonene          | -                       | 0.8                  | -                   | 2.0               | 3.1               | 1.9               | 2.9                 |
| β-Phellandrene    | 0.2                     | 2.5                  | 1.4                 | 1.8               | 1.2               | -                 | -                   |
| 1,8-Cineole       | -                       | 0.2                  | 0.5                 | 0.5               | 1.6               | -                 | -                   |
| Linalool          | t^t                      | 0.1                  | 0.5                 | 1.0               | 0.5               | 1.3               | 0.7                 |
| Bornol            | 0.1                     | 0.1                  | 0.1                 | 0.4               | 0.7               | 2.0               | 0.8                 |
| Neral             | 2.6                     | 9.4                  | 3.6                 | 1.2               | 2.2               | 8.8               | 6.9                 |
| Geraniol          | 0.6                     | 1.1                  | 1.1                 | 4.0               | 1.5               | 2.0               | 2.4                 |
| Gerantial         | 5.9                     | 20.1                 | 6.7                 | 8.2               | 16.5              | 12.0              | 10.2                |
| Geranyl acetate   | 0.1                     | 0.1                  | 0.1                 | 18.8              | 5.2               | 0.4               | 0.6                 |
| α-Curcumene       | 4.7                     | 6.6                  | 9.9                 | 1.5               | 1.5               | 2.9               | 4.3                 |
| α-Zingiberene     | 32.2                    | 5.7                  | 12.6                | 16.3              | 19.8              | 16.6              | 16.6                |
| (E,E)-α-Farnesene | 7.0                     | 6.3                  | 2.7                 | 3.3               | 4.4               | 6.0^b             | 8.4^b               |
| β-Bisabolene      | 1.3                     | 5.9                  | 7.2                 | 1.4               | 2.5               | 3.9^e             | 4.5^e               |
| β-Sesquiphellandrene | 10.9                | 6.2                  | 9.4                 | 2.8               | 3.4               | 5.8               | 6.8                 |

| Compound          | Himachal Pradesh 20 | Uttarakhand 21 | Uttarakhand 21 | Nepal 20 (Sindhupalchok) | Nepal 20 (Tanahu) | Nepal 20 (Gorkha) | doTERRA |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
|                   | Area %              | Area %         | Area %         | Area %                  | Area %           | Area %           | Area %  |
| α-Pinene          | 0.7                 | 0.1            | 1.1            | 2.1                     | 2.5              | 2.7              | 4.0     |
| Camphene          | 2.8                 | 0.4            | 4.2            | 4.2                     | 6.3              | 6.8              | 12.6    |
| Myrcene           | 0.4                 | -              | -              | -                       | 1.6              | 1.6              | 1.3     |
| Limonene          | -                   | -              | -              | -                       | -                | -                | 2.3     |
| β-Phellandrene    | t^t                 | -              | 10.5           | 8.0                     | 6.7              | 5.1              | 6.2     |
| 1,8-Cineole       | 10.9                | 6.6            | -              | -                       | 1.8              | 4.4              | 4.5     |
| Linalool          | 4.8                 | 4.1            | 3.1            | 1.4                     | 1.1              | 1.1              | 0.5     |
| Bornol            | 5.6                 | 4.4            | -              | 1.3                     | 2.7              | 1.5              | 1.0     |
| Neral             | 8.1                 | 6.5            | 5.1            | 3.5                     | 5.2              | 6.1              | 1.8     |
| Geraniol          | 14.5                | 10.6           | 5.8            | 2.2                     | 1.4              | 1.4              | 0.3     |
| Geranial          | 9.5                 | 9.9            | 7.2            | 4.6                     | 6.8              | 8.2              | 2.9     |
| Geranyl acetate   | 6.3                 | 4.0            | 1.1            | 0.8                     | 0.5              | 0.5              | -       |
| α-Curcumene       | 2.7                 | 0.3            | 4.3            | 4.0                     | 8.2^d            | -                | 6.5^d   |
| α-Zingiberene     | 1.4                 | -              | 0.2            | 12.5^d                  | 10.4^d           | 12.5^d           | 26.4    |
| (E,E)-α-Farnesene | 0.7                 | 3.9            | 6.4            | 7.3                     | 7.5              | 6.5              | 4.3     |
| β-Bisabolene      | 0.3                 | -              | -              | -                       | -                | -                | 5.1     |
| β-Sesquiphellandrene | 0.6              | -              | -              | 5.7^e                   | 6.4^e            | 6.5^e            | 9.2     |

^t^t = trace (< 0.05%).
^b^b = Labeled as β-farnesene.
^t^t = Labeled as β-farnesol.
^d^d = Labeled as α-funebrene.
^e^e = Labeled as sesquisabinene.
showed that the assayed *Z. officinale* rhizome essential oils had different degrees of microbial inhibitory activity. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of selected *Z. officinale* rhizome essential oils against a panel of bacteria and fungi are shown in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. Samples G3 and G5 were effective against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with an MIC value of 78.1 μg/mL. The other *Z. officinale* rhizome essential oil samples showed no notable activities against the bacterial strains, and essential oils demonstrated weaker antibacterial activities than those of the positive control, gentamicin (MIC < 19.5 μg/mL).

*Z. officinale* rhizome essential oil samples G3 and G5 showed effective activity against *Aspergillus niger* (MIC = 39.1 μg/mL) while G2 and G6 sample showed notable activity (MIC = 78.1 μg/mL). Similarly, G3 and G5 were active against *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* (MIC = 78.1 μg/mL) while G2 showed activity against *Aspergillus fumigatus*. However, the *Z. officinale* rhizome essential oils demonstrated weaker antifungal activities than those of the positive control, amphotericin B (MIC < 19.5 μg/mL).

**Material and Methods**

*Plant Material and Isolation of Essential Oils*

Fresh mature *Z. officinale* rhizomes were collected in September/October 2019 (ie, during the flowering stage) from 12 different geographical locations in Nepal based on the major production site (Figure 2); G1–G12 represents samples from different collection sites. The collected sample mass was around 250 g from each site and 200 g of each rhizome was used for the hydrodistillation. After collection, each of the rhizomes was cut into smaller pieces and rhizomes and water in 1:2 ratios were hydrodistilled for 6 h using a Clevenger-type apparatus. The essential oils were dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate and stored in bottles at 5 °C until analysis. *Z. officinale* rhizome essential oils yield from different geographical locations, GPS coordinates with altitude are summarized in Table 1.

**Chemical Composition by GC-MS**

Analysis of the chemical constituents in the *Z. officinale* rhizome essential oils was carried out using a Shimadzu GCMS-QP2010 Ultra under the following conditions: mass selective detector (MSD), operated in the electron impact (EI) mode (electron energy = 70 eV), with scan range = 40 to 400 m/z, and scan rate = 3.0 scans/sec. The GC column was a ZB-5MS fused silica capillary with a (5%) phenyl)–polymethylsilsloxane stationary phase, a film thickness of 0.25 μm, a length of 60 m, and an internal diameter of 0.25 mm. The carrier gas was helium (80 psi) with a column head pressure of 552 kPa and a flow rate of 1.37 mL/min. The injector temperature was 260 °C, and the detector temperature was 280 °C. The column temperature was set at 50 °C for 2 min and then increased at 2 °C/min to the temperature of 260 °C. For each essential oil sample, 1:10 v/v solution in dichloromethane (DCM) was prepared, and 0.3 μL was injected using a split ratio of 1:30. Identification of the individual components of the essential oils was determined by comparison of the retention indices and comparison of the mass spectral fragmentation patterns (over 80% similarity match) with those found in the MS databases using the LabSolutions GCMS solution software version 4.45 (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments). The component percentages were determined from peak areas without standardization.

**Enantiomeric Analysis by Chiral Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (CGC-MS)**

Shimadzu GCMS-QP2010S with EI mode (70 eV) and B-Dex 325 chiral capillary GC column was used to perform enantiomeric analysis of *Z. officinale* rhizome essential oils. Scans in the 40 to 400 m/z range at a scan rate of 3.0 scan/sec. The column temperature was set at 50 °C, at first increased by 1.5 °C/min to 120 °C and then 2 °C/min to 200 °C. The final temperature of the column was 200 °C and was kept constant. The carrier gas was helium with a constant flow rate of 1.8 mL/min. For each essential oil sample, 3% w/v solution in DCM was prepared, and 0.1 μL was injected using a split ratio of 1:45. The enantiomer percentages were determined from peak area. Comparison of retention times and mass spectral fragmentation patterns with authentic samples obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI, USA) was used to identify the enantiomers.

**Antibacterial Activity Screening**

Seven microorganisms were used to evaluate the antibacterial activities of some selected *Z. officinale* rhizome essential oils: Five Gram-positive bacteria, *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC-14579), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (ATCC-12228), *Propionibacterium acnes* (ATCC-11827), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC-29213), and *Streptococcus pyogenes* (ATCC-19615), and two Gram-negative bacteria, *Serratia marcescens* (ATCC-14756) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC-27853), using the microbroth dilution technique. Tryptic soy agar medium was used to culture all tested bacterial strains. A 5000 μg/mL solution of each essential oil was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and twofold dilution in 100 μL of cation-adjusted Mueller–Hinton broth (CAMHB) (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the top well of a 96-well microdilution plate. The prepared stock solution of essential oils was then serially twofold diluted in fresh CAMHB to obtain final concentrations of 2500, 1250, 625, 312.5, 156.3, 78.1, 39.1, and 19.5 μg/mL. The freshly harvested bacteria with approximately 1.5×10^8 CFU/mL final concentration were added to each well of 96-well microdilution plates and were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Gentamicin (Sigma-Aldrich) and DMSO were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

**Antifungal Activity Screening**

Seven fungal strains were used: *A. niger* (ATCC-16888), *Candida albicans* (ATCC-18804), *Microsporum canis* (ATCC-11621), *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* (ATCC-18748), *Aspergillus fumigatus* (ATCC-96918), *Microsporum gypseum* (ATCC-24102), and
Trichophyton rubrum (ATCC-28188). All tested fungi were cultured on yeast nitrogen base growth medium (Sigma-Aldrich). Stock solutions (5000 μg/mL) of some selected Z. officinale rhizome essential oils were prepared in DMSO and diluted as above. The freshly harvested fungi with approximately 7.5 × 10^7 CFU/mL final concentrations were added to each well of 96-well microdilution plates and were incubated at 35 °C for 24 h. DMSO and amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich) were negative and positive antifungal control, respectively.35,39

HCA

HCA was carried out based on the percentages of the major essential oil components from this work and from the published literature. Chemical compositions were treated as operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using XLSTAT Premium, version 2018.1.1 (Addinsoft, Paris, France). Dissimilarity was determined using Euclidean distance, and clustering was defined using Ward’s method.

Conclusions

This present work surveys the chemical compositions of Z. officinale rhizome essential oils from several locations across Nepal to identify potential sites for quality essential oil for commercialization. As far as we are aware, this is the first report that includes chemical composition, enantiomeric distribution, and antimicrobial activity of Z. officinale rhizome essential oils and

Table 4. Enantiomeric Distributions of Chiral Terpenoids of Selected Zingiber officinale Rhizome Essential Oils.

| Chiral terpenoid compound | Ginger essential oil sample |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|
|                           | G2 | G3 | G4 | G5 | G6 |
| α-Pinene                  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 |
| Camphene                  | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| β-Pinene                  | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| Sabine                   | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| α-Phellandrene           | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| δ-3-Carene               | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| Limonene                 | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| β-Phellandrene          | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| Linalool                 | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| Citronellal              | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| Camphor                  | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| Terpinen-4-ol            | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| Bornyl acetate           | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| Bornol                   | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| α-Terpineol             | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| Citronellol              | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| β-Elemene                | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| Germacrene D            | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| β-Bisabolene            | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| δ-Cadinene              | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| trans-Nerolidol         | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |
| α-Copaene                | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  |

Table 5. Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) of Zingiber officinale Rhizome Essential Oils Against Tested Bacterial Strains.

| Name of bacteria            | MICs (μg/mL) |
|----------------------------|-------------|
|                            | G2 | G3 | G5 | G6 |
| Bacillus cereus            | 313 | 156 | 156 | 625 |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa     | 625 | 78.1 | 78.1 | 313 |
| Serratia marcescens        | 313 | 625 | 625 | 625 |
| Staphylococcus aureus      | 625 | 313 | 313 | 625 |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis | 313 | 313 | 313 | 156 |
| Streptococcus pyogenes     | 313 | 313 | 625 | 625 |

Table 6. Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) of Zingiber officinale Rhizome Essential Oils Against Tested Fungal Strains.

| Fungal strain              | MICs (μg/mL) |
|----------------------------|-------------|
|                            | G2 | G3 | G5 | G6 |
| Aspergillus niger          | 78.1 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 78.1 |
| Aspergillus fumigatus      | 78.1 | 78.1 | 78.1 | 313 |
| Candida albicans           | 313 | 156 | 156 | 313 |
| Microsporum canis          | 156 | 156 | 156 | 625 |
| Microsporum gypseum        | 313 | 313 | 313 | 313 |
| Trichophyton mentagrophytes| 156.3 | 78.1 | 78.1 | 313 |
| Trichophyton rubrum        | 313 | 313 | 156 | 313 |
showed variation in chemical compositions; the enantiomeric distributions of chiral terpenoids were comparable. The hydrodistillation yields for fresh rhizomes were relatively low ranging from 0.28% to 0.34%. The predominant constituents of _Z. officinale_ essential oil were monoterpenes, oxygenated monoterpenoids, and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons, depending upon their geographic location. The major components in the _Z. officinale_ essential oils were α-zingiberene, camphene, β-phellandrene, neral, geranial, _ar-curcumene_, and β-sesquiphellandrene. In these _Z. officinale_ samples, camphene, neral, and geranial were detected in significant concentrations, which signifies a fresh _Z. officinale_. Samples G6, G8, G10, G7, and G3 had comparatively high content of α-zingiberene. On the other hand, samples G4, G5, G9, G11, and G12 showed comparatively high content of citral. Based on the content of α-zingiberene and citral (neral and geranial) in respective samples collected from their respective locales might represent ideal _Z. officinale_ rhizome production zones in Nepal. At the same time, G3 and G5 possessed good bacterial activity against _P. aeruginosa_ (MIC = 78.1 µg/mL) and excellent antifungal activity against _A. niger_ (MIC = 39.1 µg/mL). After _Z. officinale_ rhizome production, improvements in harvesting, processing, and extraction procedures may result in higher quality essential oils. The research will surely be useful to choose the right locations for investment and encouragement for farmers and entrepreneurs as well as authentication or adulteration detection of _Z. officinale_ rhizome essential oil for export. Besides these the rhizome essential oil has been used in aromatherapy, to treat nausea, and to alleviate muscular aches and joint discomforts.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank the APRC and ARC for GC-MS and Chiral GC-MS analysis. We acknowledge Rakesh Satyal, Sunita Satyal, and Ambika Satyal for their constructive suggestions and support.

**Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**Funding**

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

**Ethical Approval**

Ethical approval is not applicable for this article.

**ORCID iDs**

William N. Setzer https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3639-0528

Darbin Kumar Poudel https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2331-3440

**Statement of Human and Animal Rights**

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects.

**Statement of Informed Consent**

There are no human subjects in this article and informed consent is not applicable.
Author Contributions
Conceptualization: PS. and D.K.P.; methodology: D.K.P., N.S.D., PS., and W.N.S.; validation: PS. and W.N.S.; formal analysis: D.K.P., A.R., S.M., and S.D.; investigation: D.K.P., A.R., S.M., SD, N.S.D., and W.N.S.; writing—original draft preparation, D.K.P. and S.M.; writing—review and editing, D.K.P., PS., and W.N.S.; supervision, PS.

Data Availability
All data are available in the document and Supplemental Material.

Trial Registration
Not applicable, because this article does not contain any clinical trials.

Supplemental Material
Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References
1. Govindarajan VS, Connell DW. Ginger — chemistry, technology, and quality evaluation: part 1. C R C Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 1983;17(1):1-96.
2. Arun GC, Pun S, Devkota S, et al. Diagnostic study of ginger market access for eastern and western region of Nepal. Turkish J AF SciTech. 2019;7(3):479.
3. Karki R, Talchabhadel R, Aalto J, et al. New climatic classification of Nepal. Theor Appl Climatol. 2016;125(3):799-808.
4. Vijayan AK, Guidate BA, Gautam A, et al. Cultivation of ginger in Sikkim under an organic system. IntechOpen. 2020. 39-49.
5. Wen B, Ren S, Zhang Y, et al. Effects of geographic locations and topographical factors on secondary metabolites distribution in green tea at a regional scale. Food Control. 2020;110:106979.
6. Akbulut A, Khairulmazmi A, Yasmine S, et al. Phytochemical profiling and antimicrobial activity of ginger (Zingiber officinale) essential oils against important plant pathogens. Arabian J Chem. 2020;13(11):8012-8025.
7. Begum T, Pandey SK, Borah A, et al. Essential oil composition of different accessions of ginger collected from northeast region of India. J Essent Oil Bear Plants. 2018;21(6):1475-1486.
8. de Souza Junior ET, Siqueira LM, Almeida RN, et al. Comparison of different extraction techniques of Zingiber officinale essential oil. Braz Arch Biol Technol. 2020;63:e20190213.
9. Munda S, Dutta S, Haldar S, et al. Chemical analysis and therapeutic uses of ginger (Zingiber officinale Rosc.) essential oil: a review. J Essent Oil Bear Plants. 2018;21(4):994-1002.
10. Mahboubi M. Zingiber officinale Rosc. essential oil, a review on its composition and bioactivity. Clin Physiol. 2019;5(1):6.
11. Bode AM, Dong Z. The amazing and mighty ginger. In: Benzie IFF, Wachtel-Galor S, eds. Herbal Medicine: Biomedical and Clinical Aspects. CRC Press. 2011, Chapter 7.
12. Huang B, Wang G, Chu Z, et al. Effect of oven drying, microwave drying, and silica gel drying methods on the volatile components of ginger (Zingiber officinale Rosc) by HS-SPME-GC-MS. Drying Technol. 2012;30(3):248-255.
13. Argo BD, Hermanto MB, Andriani DW, et al. The effect of ginger oil extraction using microwave assisted hydro-distillation (MAHD) method on α-zingiberone content. IOP Conf Ser: Earth Environ Sci. 2020;542(1):012002.
14. Al-Dhahhi AS, Al-Hassani FA, Mohammed Alarjani K, et al. Essential oil from the rhizomes of the Saudi and Chinese Zingiber officinale cultivars: comparison of chemical composition, antibacterial and molecular docking studies. J King Saud University-Sci. 2020;32(8):3343-3350.
15. El-Ghorab AH, Nauman M, Anjum FM, et al. A comparative study on chemical composition and antioxidant activity of ginger (Zingiber officinale) and cumin (Cuminum cyminum). J Agric Food Chem. 2010;58(14):8231-8237.
16. Koch W, Kukula-Koch W, Marzee Z, et al. Application of chromatographic and spectroscopic methods towards the quality assessment of ginger (Zingiber officinale) rhizomes from ecological plantations. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18(2):452.
17. Sashidan I, Menon AN. Comparative chemical composition and antimicrobial activity fresh & dry ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe). Int J Carr Pharmaceutical Res. 2010;2(4):40-43.
18. Shirooye P, Mokaberinejad R, Ara I, et al. Volatile constituents of ginger oil prepared according to Iranian traditional medicine and conventional method: a comparative study. Afr J Tradit Complement Altern Med. 2016;13(6):68-73.
19. Singh G, Kapoor IPS, Singh P, et al. Chemistry, antioxidant and antimicrobial investigations on essential oil and oleoresins of Zingiber officinale. Food Chem Toxicol. 2008;46(10):3295-3302.
20. Bhattarai K, Pokharel B, Maharjan S, et al. Chemical constituents and biological activities of ginger rhizomes from three different regions of Nepal. J Nutr Dietetics Probiotics. 2018;1:180005.
21. Dhanik J, Verma A, Arya N, et al. Chemical profiling and antioxidant activity of essential oil of Zingiber officinale Roscoe from two different altitudes of Uttarakhand. J Essen Oil Bear Plants. 2017;20(6):1547-1556.
22. Melani A, Nair S, Bensoltane A. Cyto-biochemical and antimicrobial investigations on essential oil of Zingiber officinale Roscoe. J Essent Oil Bear Plants. 2014;17(6):1120-1129.
23. Nerilo SB, Romoli JCZ, Nakasugi I.P, et al. Antifungal activity and inhibition of aflatoxins production by Zingiber officinale Roscoe essential oil against Aspergillus flavus in stored maize grains. Cien Cient Rural. 2020;50:e20190779.
24. Yamamoto-Ribeiro MMG, Grespan R, Kohiyama CY, et al. Effect of Zingiber officinale essential oil on Fusarium verticillioides and fumonisin production. Food Chem. 2013;141(3):3147-3152.
25. Ekundayo O, Laakso I, Hiltunen R. Composition of ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe) volatile oils from Nigeria. Flavour Fragr J. 1988;3(5):85-90.
26. Raina VK, Kumar A, Aggarwal KK. Essential oil composition of ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe) rhizomes from different place in India. J Essent Oil Bear Plants. 2005;8(2):187-191.
27. Nampoothiri SV, Venugopalan V, Joy B, et al. Comparison of essential oil composition of three ginger cultivars from sub Himalayan region. Asian Pacific J Trop Biomed. 2012;2(3):S1347-S1350.
28. Sasidharan I, Venugopal VV, Menon AN. Essential oil composition of two unique ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe) cultivars from Sikkim. Nat Prod Res. 2012;26(19):1759-1764.

29. Gupta S, Pandotra P, Ram G, et al. Composition of a monoterpenoid-rich essential oil from the rhizome of Zingiber officinale from north western Himalayas. Nat Prod Commun. 2011;6(1):93-96.

30. Pandotra P, Gupta AP, Gandhiram, et al. Genetic and chemo-divergence in eighteen core collection of Zingiber officinale from north-west Himalayas. Sā Hort. 2013;160:283-291.

31. Teles AM, Santos Bd, Ferreira CG, et al. Ginger (Zingiber officinale) antimicrobial potential: a review. IntechOpen. 2019, 101-114.

32. Bellik Y. Total antioxidant activity and antimicrobial potency of the essential oil and oleoresin of Zingiber officinale Roscoe. Asian Pacifi J Trop Dis. 2014;4(1):40-44.

33. Sharma P, Singh V, Ali M, et al. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of fresh rhizome essential oil of Zingiber officinale Roscoe. Pharmacogn J. 2016;8(3):185-190.

34. Powers CN, Osier JL, McFesters RL, et al. Antifungal and cytotoxic activities of sixty commercially-available essential oils. Molecules. 2018;23(7):1549.

35. DeCarlo A, Zeng T, Dosoky NS, et al. The essential oil composition and antimicrobial activity of Liquidambar formosana oleoresin. Plants. 2020;9(7):822.

36. Satyal P, Powers C, Parducci VR, et al. Chemical composition, enantiomeric distribution, and antifungal activity of the oleoresin essential oil of Protium amazonicum from Ecuador. Medicines. 2017;4(4):70.

37. DeCarlo A, Johnson S, Okeke-Agulu KI, et al. Compositional analysis of the essential oil of Boswellia dalzielii frankincense from West Africa reveals two major chemotypes. Phytochemistry. 2019;164:24-32.

38. Rodríguez-Tudela JL, Barchiesi F, Bille J, et al. Method for the fermentation of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) by broth dilution of fermentative yeasts. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2003;9(8):i-viii.

39. Satyal P, Jones TH, Lopez EM, et al. Chemotypic characterization and biological activity of Rosmarinus officinalis. Foods. 2017;6(3):20.