Simultaneous Detection of Velogenic Genotype XIII 2.2 Avian Avulavirus Type 1 (AAvV-1) From Spot-billed Pelican and Backyard Chicken: Implications to the Viral Maintenance and Spread
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Abstract

The present study demonstrates simultaneous isolation of genetically similar velogenic Avian Avula virus-1 from an apparently healthy spot-billed pelican and a naturally infected backyard chicken in the adjacent vicinity. A total of forty eight cloacal swab samples from migratory birds (Painted storks, n=32 and Spot billed pelicans, n=16) at the Telineelapuram bird sanctuary in Andhra Pradesh, India and tissue samples of dead backyard chicken were collected. Two isolates were recovered, one each from a spot billed pelican (MIG-9) and a dead chicken (SKLM-1). The isolates were confirmed as velogenic based on mean death time, intra cerebral pathogenicity index and the putative fusion protein cleavage site \((113^{R-R-K-R-F}117^{F})\). Phylogenetic analysis based on full-length fusion and attachment (HN) proteins classified the isolates into genotype XIII, sub-genotype 2.2. Sequence analysis revealed that the pelican and chicken isolates were 100\% identical. The study isolates demonstrated multiple amino acid substitutions at several critical domains of F and HN proteins when compared with the current vaccine strains. Pathogenic and transmission potential of the AAvV-1 isolates was evaluated in three-week old chicken and the isolates proved to be highly virulent to chicken. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first evidence for the role of spot-billed pelicans in the maintenance of virulent AAvV-1 and its transmission to chicken. This study further highlights the role of wild birds in AAvV-1 transmission and the need for enhanced biosecurity in commercial poultry operations.

Introduction

Newcastle Disease (ND) is a devastating infectious disease of poultry causing serious economic losses to the industry as well as farmers worldwide. ND is caused by Avian avulavirus type 1 (AAvV-1) of the genus Avian orthoavulavirus 1 (AOAV-1) within the subfamily Avulavirinae of the family Paramyxoviridae[1]. The disease is characterized by rapid onset of respiratory and nervous symptoms in susceptible species of birds including chickens, pigeon, and turkeys. Wide range of domestic and wild birds are susceptible to AAvV-1 infections worldwide. Birds of 241 species from 27 orders are reported to be susceptible to ND [2]. Wild birds act as natural reservoirs of AAvV-1, with frequent viral transmission to domestic birds.

AAvV-1 is an enveloped virus with helical symmetry, and carry negative sense single-stranded RNA genome. The genome has been reported to possess six genes in the order of nucleoprotein (N), phosphoprotein (P), matrix (M), fusion (F), hemagglutinin neuraminidase (HN) and large polymerase (L) and translated into eight proteins. Of the eight proteins encoded by the genome, F and HN proteins are the important protective and neutralizing antigens of NDV. Fusion protein encompassing fusion protein cleavage site (FPCS) is the most important virulence factor [3]. Further, based on the full-length fusion gene sequences, AAvV-1 has been classified into two major classes, I and II. All the avirulent isolates were classified under Class I, which includes a single genotype with three subgenotypes. Class II contains most of the virulent viruses circulating worldwide, classified into at least 21 genotypes with multiple subgenotypes [4] and this diversity continues to increase as the surveillance studies improves.

Spill over of viruses from domestic poultry into wild birds and vice versa has been the recent area of research. Avian influenza studies highlighted the role of reservoir host in perpetuation of a pathogen and subsequent spill over into domesticated population resulting in severe form of outbreaks [5] which also holds true with ND [6]. Virulent AAvV-1 of several genotypes are often identified in wild birds like water fowls and white storks, and the latter have been speculated to play a role in the spread of the virus [7]. However, it is suggested that most of the wild bird isolations are a result of spill over of virus from infected poultry [8] and vice versa. Other than pigeons, doves, and double-crested cormorants, most wild birds are not known to harbor virulent NDV strains. Role of the spot-billed pelican or grey pelican (Pelecanus philippensis) and painted storks (Mycteria leucocephala) that breed only in peninsular India, Sri Lanka and in Cambodia, in the epidemiology of AAvV-1 is unknown. It is quintessential to understand the potential risks of transmission of chicken- and wild bird-origin AAvV-1 in poultry in outbreak response and control of ND.

Here we report isolation of virulent AAvV-1 from apparently healthy spot-billed pelican at the Telineelapuram bird sanctuary in Andhra Pradesh and dead backyard poultry in the adjacent area. Pelican- origin isolate was evaluated for its pathogenicity and transmissibility in naive chicken. Considering all the previously mentioned facts and the preliminary evidence of the probable spill over of AAvV-1 between wild migratory birds and domesticated poultry, the present study may act as potential proof of this assertion.

Materials And Methods

Sampling procedures

Telineelapuram bird reserve, a home to painted storks and spot billed pelicans located in Srikakulam district of Andhra Pradesh, India is considered as a crucial stopover for birds migrating from Siberia to Peninsular India annually. Painted storks, grey pelicans and spot billed pelicans migrate every year from Siberia during the month of October and stay here till March. Coastal belt including Srikakulam district is an important poultry hub of Andhra Pradesh and is endemic to various poultry diseases including Newcastle disease. A total of forty eight cloacal swab samples (Painted storks, n=32 and Spot billed pelicans, n=16) were collected during the year 2018, from sick birds that were in a non-flying stage in the enclosures under the supervision of forest department following all the guidelines and regulations. During the same period, tissue samples including caecal tonsils, spleen, trachea, lungs, kidneys were collected during necropsy of backyard chicken (n=15) suspected for ND at Animal Disease Diagnostic Lab (ADDL), Srikakulam. Cloacal swabs and tissue samples were collected in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with an antibiotic mixture (2000 unit/ml Penicillin G, 200mg/ml Streptomycin and 4mg/ml Amphotericin B) and frozen at -20\(^o\) C until used in virus isolation and processed as per standard procedures [3].

Ethical statement

All the animal experimental protocols performed in the study are approved by Institutional animal ethics committee of NTR college of veterinary science, Gannavaram, Andhra Pradesh (Approval number: 5/IAEC/NTRCVSC/2018).
Isolation of AAvV-1 in ECE's

Cloacal swabs and tissues were processed as 10% homogenates in 500μl of PBS supplemented with antibiotics. Swab fluids and tissue homogenates were clarified by centrifugation at 4°C for 8 min at 2500 rpm, and the supernatant was used for inoculation into 9-day old embryonated chicken eggs (ECE) through allantoic route following standard procedures [3]. The inoculated eggs were incubated at 37°C, checked for mortality every 12 h until the embryos died or for a maximum period of 120 h, whichever was earlier. The dead embryos were chilled at 4°C overnight for collection of amnioallantoic fluid (AAF). Each sample was passaged blindly thrice before considering it as negative. Presence of AAVV-1 was confirmed by Hemagglutination activity (HA) as well as hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test. The AAF was also used in RT-PCR to confirm the presence of AAvV-1. Lasota, a lentogenic vaccine strain (IVPM, Ranipet, India) was used as antigen (10^5 EID_{50} per vial) for preparation of hyper-immune serum and as a positive control in virus isolation and RT-PCR.

Detection of AAvV-1 by RT-PCR

The AAVV-1 isolates positive by HI test were further confirmed by reverse transcription PCR. The AAF harvested during the third passage was used for RNA isolation employing Trisol reagent (Genei, India) following manufacturer's instructions. First strand cDNA was synthesized using random primer and iScript™ cDNA synthesis kit (Biorad, USA). The isolates were confirmed as AAvV-1 by Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers targetting fusion protein cleavage site (FPcs) [9]. Full-length F gene (1662 bp) of the isolates was amplified using consensus primers described previously [10]. Full-length HN gene (1716 bp) of the isolates was amplified using the following primers designed for this study; HNF-5': AGA AGC GTC AAA GGA GCC AC-3' and HNR-5': GGT GCA ACA CCT TCC TTC CGA-3'. The primers generate an expected amplicon size of 1860 bp (nt 6333-8192) fragment spanning the actual HN gene region from nt 6418: 8133 bp. Another set of internal primers were designed to facilitate full gene sequencing: INT-F-5': TCAATCTGGGACTCGTGGT-3' and INT-R-5': TTAGTGGAAAAGCTCAAGAC-3'. Briefly, a total of 25 μl reaction mixture is prepared using 2 μl of cDNA, 12.5 μl of 2X Phusion high fidelity master mix with HF buffer, 0.75 μl of ul primer HNF, 1.25 μl primer HNR and 7.25 μl nuclease free water. The reaction conditions were as follows: One initial PCR activation cycle at 98°C for 3 min followed by 35 three-step cycles of 98°C/10s, 65°C/30s and 72°C/2min; then 72°C/10min. The amplified PCR products were analysed using 1% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide and were visualized under UV illumination.

Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis based on full-length fusion and HN genes

The amplified PCR products were sequenced by Sanger sequencing with the help of commercial sequencing centre (Barcode Biosciences, India). The PCR products were purified using QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Germany). F and HN gene products of the pelican and chicken isolates were sequenced bidirectionally with both sense and antisense primers that were used in the PCR amplification. The sequencing was performed utilizing BigDye terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit in an ABI 3730 genetic analyser (Applied Biosystems Foster City, California, USA).

The F and HN gene sequences of both the isolates were subjected to blast analysis with the help of NCBI BLAST tool (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and compared with the Avian Avula virus type 1 sequences available in GenBank. Multiple alignment of the coding regions of each of the two genes were performed using the clustalW algorithm in MEGAX [11]. Phylogenetic trees were inferred to localize the pelican and chicken isolates amongst representative class II reference strains according to the maximum-likelihood method based on the general time-reversible model as implemented in MEGA X software. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbour-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the maximum composite likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. The maximum likelihood method based on the General Time Reversible (GTR) model with a discrete gamma distribution (five categories +G) was utilised for all the trees. The trees were generated by using the neighbour-joining algorithm, and alignments were bootstrapped 1000 times. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. The evolutionary relationship between the two isolates and 41 viruses belonging to the 21 genotypes of class II Avian Avula viruses were estimated by calculating the number of base differences per site from averaging over all sequence pairs between groups using MEGAX [11]. Details of the accession numbers used for phylogenetic analysis were mentioned in the supplementary material.

Biological characterization of AAvV-1 isolates

The two isolates obtained in the study were biologically characterized for their pathogenicity employing mean death time (MDT) in nine-day old ECE's and intracerebral pathogenicity index (ICPI) in day-old chicks as per standard procedures [3]. AAvV-1 pathotypes were classified based on MDT, as follows: velogenic, if death occurs within 60 h; mesogenic, 60–90 h; and lentogenic, more than 90 h. Based on ICPI, velogenic viruses give ICPI indices that approach the maximum score of 2.0, whereas lentogenic strains give values close to 0.0.

Pathogenicity assay in three-week old chicken

The isolates were titrated in ECE's to calculate EID_{50} as described by Reed and Muench [12]. The virus titres were 10^5 EID_{50}/ml and 10^6 EID_{50}/ml for the pelican and chicken isolates respectively. A total number of thirty six, three-week old broiler chicks were obtained from M/s Sreenivasa hatcheries, Vijayawada, India. The chicks were confirmed to be free of AAvV-1 antibodies by HI before the start of the experiment. All the chicks were housed in an isolated, well-ventilated room and provided with balanced ration and water ad libitum throughout the experiment. All biosecurity measures were taken care of. Of the thirty six birds, thirty were split into 3 groups viz., control group (n=10), pelican isolate (n=10) and chicken isolate (n=10). At day 0, chicks of both groups were inoculated with 0.5 ml (10^5 EID_{50}/0.5 ml) of the respective AAvV-1 isolate. Control group was placed in separate facility and inoculated with 0.5 ml PBS. Virus transmission was assessed by placing three naive, uninfected chicks in a separate cage next to the groups infected with AAvV-1 isolates. Infected and sentinel birds were observed regularly for clinical signs and mortality.

Results
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Clinical signs and gross lesions in naturally infected backyard chicken

Backyard poultry flock from which the samples were collected was not vaccinated against ND. Birds in the affected flock showed anorexia, depression, prostration, greenish diarrhea 3 days before the onset of mortality. The necropsy findings observed in few birds were pin point haemorrhages in the proventricular glands, segmental congestion in the intestinal mucosa and necrotic lesions in the caecal tonsils.

Isolation and characterization of AAvV-1

Tissue homogenates of dead backyard poultry resulted in peri-occipital haemorrhages and diffuse congestion in the inoculated dead embryos during the first passage. Of the forty eight cloacal swabs collected from the migratory birds, one sample from spot-billed pelican showed similar changes in the inoculated embryos during second passage. Amnio allantoic fluids (AAF) were collected 48h post inoculation and presence of AAvV-1 was confirmed by HA and HI assays employing polyclonal chicken antiserum raised against LaSota. AAvV-1 isolates confirmed by HI were further confirmed by RT-PCR targeting partial fusion gene encompassing FPCS. Both the isolates were classified as velogenic based on the MDT and ICPI values (Table 1).

The two isolates were plaque purified in confluent monolayers of chicken embryo fibroblast (CEF) cultures, stored at -80°C and are hereby referred as AAvV/Chicken/SKLM-1/2018 (SKLM-1) and AAvV/Pelican/ Telineelapuram/2018 (MIG-9).

Genotype analysis

The amplified F and HN gene sequences of SKLM-1 and MIG-9 isolates were submitted to GenBank and are available under accession numbers: MT036311(SKLM-1/F); MZ270546 (SKLM-1/HN); MN901912 (MIG-9/F); MT909566 (MIG-9/HN).

The SKLM-1 and MIG-9 isolates were 100% identical to each other. The topology of the phylogenetic trees was found to be similar when constructed either based on full-length fusion or HN genes (Figure 1&2). Both the isolates were classified as members of genotype XIII of class II. Further analysis employing reference strains of sub-genotypes of genotype XIII (1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2) showed that the isolates clustered closely with viruses belonging to sub-genotype XIII 2.2. Based on the fusion gene sequences, these isolates are closely related and have 99.2% identity with Avian Avula virus-1 isolate 410/16A (GenBank ID MF422129), 98.3% identity with Avian Avula virus-1 isolate 96-15 (GenBank ID MF422125) and 97.8% with AAVV isolate D162 (GenBank ID KX242342), which are all placed under the same subgenotype. Further, isolates like NDV strain Nagpur (GenBank ID K089979), NDV/16/GODHRA/03/2013 (GenBank ID KM056344), NDV40/SARSA/04/2013 (GenBank ID KM056348), NDV/NAGPUR/04/11 (GenBank ID KX372708) are comparatively less identical with a divergence value of more than 5% although they were also included in the same genotype XIII 2.2. Based on HN gene sequences, AAvV/Pelican/ Telineelapuram/2018 isolate have 99.7% identity with Avian Avula virus-1 isolate 410/16A (GenBank ID MF422129) and AAvV/Chicken/SKLM-1/2018 have 98.43% identity with Avian Avula virus-1 isolate 96-15 (GenBank ID MF422125).

The deduced amino acid sequence of the fusion protein of both the isolates showed only a divergence of 0.8% with sub-genotype XIII 2.2 isolates, while the divergence with current vaccine strains ranged from 10-12.9%. The deduced amino acid sequence of the HN protein showed a divergence of 0.3-1.57% with sub-genotype XIII 2.2 isolates and 11.3-14.5% with current vaccine strains.

Analysis of fusion gene sequences

Fusion genes of the two isolates were found to have a cds of 1662 nucleotides coding for 553 amino acids. Amino acid sequence at the FPCS cleavage site motif R-R-R-K-R-F has more than two pairs of basic amino acids and a Phenylalanine in the N terminus of the F1 protein (Table 1) typical for velogenic isolates. Table 2 shows the amino acid substitutions within the fusion peptide, heptad repeat regions (HRa, HRb, HRc and HRd) and transmembrane domains of the study isolates in comparison to the vaccine strains. Several amino acid substitutions were observed in these domains which are also noted in the reference strains of genotype XIII sub-genotype 2.2. Of the eight transmembrane domains in the fusion protein, six domains located at positions 15-25, 118-131, 120-128, 266-269, 429-432 and 499-525 were unaltered, while several substitutions were noted in the domains, 14-27 and 501-526. Six potential N-glycosylation sites (Asn-X-Ser/Thr or N-X-S/T, where X present any amino acid except aspartic acid or proline) at positions 447, 471, 473 and 541 were unaltered in both the study isolates.

Amino acid substitutions within the hypervariable region and neutralizing epitopes of the isolates under study were compared with the vaccine strains (Table 3). Several unique substitutions were observed in the hypervariable region of the study isolates (R4K, A11I, M13L, M14G, T16I, I17T, A20M, V22I, P28L, A29T and L69I). M14G substitution is unique to the present isolates and is not identified even in the reference strains of genotype XIII sub-genotype 2.2. Interestingly, hypervariable region of the study isolates were similar to Mukteshwar strain at amino acid positions 8,9,13,28,29 and 31 and to other vaccine strains at positions viz., 10, 25, 26, 27 and 30. Neutralizing epitope sequences critical for structure and function of the fusion protein were similar to that of the vaccine strains.

Analysis of HN gene sequences

HN genes of the two isolates were found to have a cds of 1716 nucleotides coding for 571 amino acids. Three transmembrane domains at positions 24-47, 25-45 and 557-563, a sialic acid binding site at position 234-239 and 13 Cystine (C) residues at positions 123, 172, 186, 196, 238, 247, 251, 344, 455, 461, 465, 531 and 542 were recognised in the HN proteins of the isolates. The Cysteine (C) residue at position 123 was present in R2B and Mukteshwar vaccine strains also, while it has been replaced by Tryptophan (W) in LaSota, Komarov and B1.

The HN proteins of the isolates were also found to have six glycosylation sites at positions 119, 341, 433, 481, 508 and 538. As compared to the vaccine strains, the study isolates have amino acid substitutions at positions G75S, N77G, V81I and I84V in heptad repeat region A domain and T101S and T102I...
substitutions in heptad repeat region B domain. Five neutralizing epitopes have been recognised in the HN protein of which, two epitopes viz., site 23 (193-201) and site 1 and 14 (345-355) remained unaltered, while three epitopes viz., site 12 (494), site 2 and 12 (513-521) and site 2 (569) presented numerous substitutions (Table 4). Three amino acids at positions, 401E, 416R and 526Y characterized by functional triarginyl cluster considered essential for receptor binding and neuraminidase activity are conserved in the study isolates. HN protein motifs responsible for hemagglutinating activity, viz, 234RRKR↓399 and 314FPVYGL/V/L520 and 399GAEGRL/I/V/I405 remained unaltered in the study isolates.

Pathogenicity assay in three-week old chicken

Infection of three-week old chicken with the SKLM-1 and MIG-9 AAvV-1 isolates resulted in virulent ND with 100% mortality. Clinical signs, gross and histopathological lesions induced by either of the isolates appeared similar and indicated that they caused systemic infection in chicks and replicated quickly in multiple tissues. Birds inoculated with either of the isolates, had evident clinical disease (depression, reluctance to move, open mouthing breathing, mild conjunctivitis, ruffled feathers and greenish diarrhoea) at 2 dpi which became more severe until death at 4 or 5 dpi. Also, neurological signs like ataxia, twitching, head tremors were observed hours before death. Birds exhibiting severe clinical signs were euthanised to perform necropsy. Gross lesions were found widespread throughout different tissues. Most frequent necropsy findings include hemorrhages in the proventriculus and caecal tonsils, swollen kidneys, tracheal hemorrhages and splenomegaly associated with mottling (Fig.3). The sentinel birds also started exhibiting similar clinical signs as the directly inoculated birds 5 days post exposure progressing to severe clinical signs by 7 days post exposure. Hundred percent mortality was observed in all the infected and sentinel birds. Control birds appeared clinically normal throughout the observation period.

Histological lesions were analysed in the tissues of infected, sentinel and control birds. No major differences were noticed in the birds infected with either of the viruses and in the sentinel birds. In spleen, lesions varied from vacuolar changes to necrosis of the lymphoid tissue in the white pulp characterized by lymphocytolysis and lymphoid depletion. Heart revealed pericarditis and myocarditis characterized by degeneration of myocardium, infiltration of heterophils, fibrin, fibroblasts and mononuclear cells in the pericardium and myocardium. In the small intestines, lesions varied from catarrhal enteritis characterized by severe goblet cell hyperplasia to fusion, necrosis of villi and desquamation of epithelium into lumen. Lesions observed in the trachea during acute phase of respiratory disease were haemorrhages and vacuolar changes in the tracheal mucosa, syncytia formation and denudation of tracheal epithelium. Lungs revealed severe congestion and consolidation of parenchyma, denudation and exudation of bronchiolar epithelium. In the kidneys, lesions included nephrosis characterized by degeneration to severe tubular necrosis and interstitial nephritis (Fig.4). No specific lesions were observed in the control group.

Discussion

Southeast Asia not only hosts the largest population of commercial and backyard poultry in the world [13] but also recognized as a unique biodiversity hotspot with one of the richest and diverse avifauna. Coastal wetlands of southeast Asia represent major wintering and staging sites for millions of water bird species that migrate from Siberia, Russia, Germany and Australia. The long coastal line and rivers in India also attracts several species of migratory birds which presents a unique opportunity to study mechanisms of viral maintenance and spread in this region. However, limited information is available regarding the potential role of these avian species in the dissemination of viruses. Monitoring of non-poultry avian species for infectious agents such as AAvV-1 in their natural habitats and in areas that pose a risk of transmission between domestic poultry and wild birds will increase the knowledge of epidemiology, ecology and genetic relationships of such viruses. Hence, wild bird sanctuary located at Telineelapuram in Andhra Pradesh state was selected for this study and samples were collected from migratory birds viz., painted storks and spot-billed pelicans. This sanctuary had encroached into the human habitations and there is a fair chance for spillover and exchange of pathogens between the migratory birds and backyard poultry.

In the present study, two AAvV-1 isolates recovered from apparently healthy spot-billed pelican (MIG-9) and a dead backyard chicken (SKLM-1) were genotypically and pathotypically characterized. Chicken-origin AAvV-1 could be isolated during first passage, while the pelican-origin AAvV-1 isolate was recovered during second passage. Infective dose of AAvV-1 for a chicken ranges from 10^3.0 [14] to 10^4.0 EID_{50} [15]. Virus load harboured by the asymptomatic pelicans might be very low thus necessitating repeated passages in embryonated chicken eggs for isolation of AAvV-1. Prediction of virulence based on the in vivo pathogenicity assays complimented with FPCS motif helps in determining the pathotype of the isolate. Chicken- and pelican-origin isolates have MDT values ≤ 50h, ICPI index more than 1.8 and FPCS motif with polybasic amino acids, indicating that the isolates belong to velogenic pathotype. ICPI is the generally accepted method to measure the virulence of AAvV-1 strains because of its high accuracy and sensitivity [3]. Few authors even reported incongruencies between FPCS and in vivo pathogenicity test [16,17].

Phylogenetic analysis based on the full-length sequences of fusion and HN genes indicated a close genetic relationship between the chicken- and pelican-origin AAvV-1 and grouped the isolates under genotype XIII sub-genotype 2.2. The present isolates are more closely related to Avian Avula virus-1 isolate 410/16A (GenBank ID MF422129) and Avian Avula virus-1 isolate 96-15 (GenBank ID MF422125) based on full-length fusion and HN gene sequences which were also isolated from different parts of Andhra Pradesh, India. Phylogenetically, genotype II, IV, VII and XIII strains are circulating in Southeast Asia [18]. Several isolations of genotype XIII viruses were previously reported from different countries like India, Sri Lanka, Pakistan, Iran, Tanzania, Russia mostly from chicken [10,19,20]. Previous reports suggest isolation of velogenic AAvV-1 of subgenotype XIII 2.2 in commercial chicken flocks [10, 21] and an infected emu flock [22] in different parts of India. Several authors described isolation of AAvV-1 from variety of wild birds including great white pelicans (Pelecanus onocrotalus) and highlighted their role as reservoir host for AAvV-1 [23, 24]. However, this study is the first of its kind reporting simultaneous isolation of a velogenic AAvV-1 of subgenotype XIII 2.2 from a spot-billed pelican and backyard chicken from India. Among the genotype XIII 2.2 Indian isolates, a variety of FPCS motifs were identified and this provides additional grounds for continued evolution of genotype XIII viruses in the country [25]. FPCS motif of the present isolates viz, 113 RRKR F↓117 resembles to that of genotype VII AAvV-1 isolates responsible for the third ND panzootic [26] and this gives strength to the debate that the genotype XIII viruses might have re-emerged consequent to repeated passages in different bird species crossing geographical barriers, thereby transmitting the virus to the domestic poultry population, resulting in massive outbreaks.
The deduced amino acid sequences of full-length F and HN proteins of the study isolates showed a divergence ranging from 10-12.9% and 11.3-14.5% with currently used vaccine strains LaSota, Komarov, R2B, B1 and Mukteshwar. Reports from several other studies were in agreement with the present findings [27, 28, 10]. Though the neutralizing epitopes in fusion protein are quite similar to that of the vaccine strains, several amino acid substitutions were noticed in the fusion peptide, hypervariable region, heptad repeat regions and transmembrane domains. In addition, amino acid substitutions were also identified in the antigenic sites of HN protein. Heptad repeat motifs in fusion and HN proteins interact with each other and are critical for fusion activity. Mutations in these domains have profound influence on virulence and pathogenicity of the isolates [29]. Mutations within the antigenic sites of HN protein may result in failure of antibody recognition capability. Hence, the chicken- and pelican-origin isolates may be considered as new immune-response escaping antigenic variants involved in ND outbreaks despite the flocks being vaccinated regularly. Mukteshwar strain is observed to have comparatively more similarity with the isolates under study than the other vaccine strains based on the phylogenetic studies. High genetic dissimilarity between vaccine strains and the circulating strains of AAvV-1 highlights the need to revamp the existing vaccination strategies.

The chicken- and pelican-origin AAvV-1 isolates were also characterized for their ability to induce infection in three-week old chicks and transmit to naïve chicken. Either of the isolates caused 100% mortality in both the infected and sentinel birds with clinical signs, gross lesions and histopathological changes typical to virulent ND. This finding clearly demonstrates that chicken-origin and non chicken-origin AAvV-1 isolates could infect chicken in a similar manner. In agreement to the present findings, 100% mortality was recorded in chicken experimentally infected with peafowl-origin isolate [30]. In contrast, Ferreira et al. [31] reported that the pigeon and cormorant lineage viruses required a high challenge dose to infect chickens and did not transmit efficiently to contact birds, even when a high infectious dose was used. It is proven that pathogenicity also depends on host adaptability, as, a goose-origin isolate was found to be more pathogenic to geese than a chicken-origin isolate of the same genotype [32].

Spot-billed pelicans from which the isolate obtained was apparently healthy indicating that these birds may act as asymptomatic carriers of the virulent AAvV-1, and spread the virus during their seasonal patterns of migration. Most poultry around the wild bird sanctuary were raised in a free-range style and were never vaccinated against ND. Pelican- and chicken-origin isolates were 100% identical suggesting interspecies transmission of AAvVs. Migratory wild birds have shown to transmit AAvVs to free-range poultry through the contamination of water and feed [33]. Several studies reported accidental spillover of virus from poultry outbreaks to other bird species [34, 35, 36, 37]. It has been suggested that the AAvVs that spill-over from wild/feral birds or other species into poultry continue to evolve as they are rapidly passaged in chickens [36]. Hence, wildlife-poultry interface is shown to present a major risk factor to the poultry industry and highlights the need for enhanced biosecurity in commercial poultry operations.

**Conclusion**

To our knowledge, this is the first report on simultaneous detection of velogenic AAvV-1 from an asymptomatic spot-billed pelican and a dead backyard chicken in India. Based on phylogenetic analysis, the isolates were grouped into genotype XIII 2.2. Analysis of the deduced amino acid sequences of Fusion and HN proteins revealed several substitutions in critical domains essential for virulence of the virus. In addition, the AAvV-1 isolates of the present study were highly divergent from the current vaccine strains. Hence, future studies need to be directed towards evolving novel vaccination regimes together with regular surveillance of migratory birds, aquatic water fowls and the domestic poultry to understand the dynamics and evolution of new AAvVs.
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### Tables

#### Table 1. Pathogenicity features of the AAvV-1 isolates

| Isolate                        | MDT (h) | ICPI | FPCS     | HA titre | HI   |
|-------------------------------|---------|------|----------|----------|------|
| AAvV/Chicken/ Sklm/2018        | 45      | 1.80 | RRKR↓1F↓117 | 26       | Positive |
| AAvV/Pelican/ Telineelapuram/2018 | 48      | 1.85 | RRKR↓1F↓117 | 25       | Positive |

#### Table 2. Comparison of amino acid substitutions within the functional domains of fusion protein sequence

| Virus strains          | Fusion peptide (117-141) | H Ra (143-185) | H Rb (268-299) | H Rc (471-500) | H Rd (61-102) | Transmembrane domains |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| LaSota                 | L I G K N L T S N N E R K D M T I A V I | 117 121 124 145 272 282 288 291 294 479 482 486 494 82 14 16 17 20 22 50 |
| R 2 B                  | F - - - - - - - - - - G - S - - - - V - - V | |
| B 1                    | - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | |
| Komarov                | F - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | |
| Mukteshwar             | F - - N - - - - - - - - D - S R E - - - T A V | |
| AAVV/Chicken/ SKLM/2018 | F V S N Y I N T S D A S R E G I T M I A | |

| AAVV/Pelican/ Telineelapuram/2018 | F V S N Y I N T S D A S R E G I T M I A |
Table 3. Comparison of amino acid substitutions in fusion protein at the hypervariable region and neutralizing epitopes

| Virus strains | Hypervariable region | Neutralizing epitopes |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
|               | 4 10 11 13 14 16 20 22 25 26 27 28 30 31 69 | 72,74,75,78,79,157-171 |
| LaSota        | R P A M M T I A V C I C P A N S L DEAKA |
| R2B           | - - T - - V - - - - - - - - |
| B1            | - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - |
| Komarov       | - - T - - V - - - - - - - - - - - - - |
| Mukteshwar    | - - V L - - T A Y V R L T S M - - |
| AAvV/Chicken/ SKLM-1/2018 | K - I L G I T M I - - - L T - - I - - |
| AAvV/Pelican/ Telineelapuram/ 2018 | K - I L G I T M I - - - L T - - I - - |

- no change in amino acid compared to that of LaSota

Table 4. Comparison of amino acid substitutions within the functional domains of HN protein

| Virus strains | HRa (74-89) | HRb | Neutralizing epitopes |
|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------------------|
|               | Site 12     | Site 2&12 | Site 2 |
|               | 75 77 81 84 101 | 494 514 519 521 569 |
| LaSota        | G N V I T T G I S S D |
| Komarov       | - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - |
| R2B           | - - - - S I - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - |
| B1            | - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - |
| Mukteshwar    | - - - - S I D - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - |
| AAvV/Chicken/ SKLM-1/2018 | S G I V S I D V A R V |
| AAvV/Pelican/ Telineelapuram/ 2018 | S G I V S I D V A R V |

- no change in amino acid compared to that of LaSota
Evolutionary analysis by Maximum Likelihood method. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method and General Time Reversible model [1]. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-14950.65) is shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. This analysis involved 43 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. There were a total of 1662 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X [2].
Evolutionary analysis by Maximum Likelihood method. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method and General Time Reversible model [1]. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-13516.67) is shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. This analysis involved 31 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. There were a total of 1716 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X [2].
Figure 3
Clinical signs and gross lesions observed after infection of chicks: A. Moribund chick; B. Hemorrhages at the tips of proventricular glands; C. Spleen showing mottling and focal necrotic areas; D & E. Caecal tonsil necrosis with typical button ulcers; F. Tracheal hemorrhages; G. Hyperemic lungs.

Figure 4
Histopathological lesions in H&E stained tissue sections of experimentally infected chicks. a. Liver - Pockets of necrosis and congestion (4X). b. Heart - Myocardial degeneration with infiltration of mononuclear cells (40X). c&d Lung - Hyperplastic bronchiolar epithelium, congestion and exudation in the lumen (4X). e. Trachea - Necrosis of tracheal epithelium, hemorrhage and infiltration of macrophages, lymphocytes, plasma cells and fibrin (40X). f. Intestine - Necrosis of intestinal villi and denudation into the lumen (10X). g. Spleen - Lymphoid depletion and diffuse necrosis (4X). h. Jejunum - Denudation of epithelium into lumen (4X). i. Kidney - Severe tubular necrosis (10X).
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