The elusive actin cytoskeleton of a green alga expressing both conventional and divergent actins
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ABSTRACT The green alga \textit{Chlamydomonas reinhardtii} is a leading model system to study photosynthesis, cilia, and the generation of biological products. The cytoskeleton plays important roles in all of these cellular processes, but to date, the filamentous actin network within \textit{Chlamydomonas} has remained elusive. By optimizing labeling conditions, we can now visualize distinct linear actin filaments at the posterior of the nucleus in both live and fixed vegetative cells. Using in situ cryo-electron tomography, we confirmed this localization by directly imaging actin filaments within the native cellular environment. The fluorescently labeled structures are sensitive to the depolymerizing agent latrunculin B (Lat B), demonstrating the specificity of our optimized labeling method. Interestingly, Lat B treatment resulted in the formation of a transient ring-like filamentous actin structure around the nucleus. The assembly of this perinuclear ring is dependent upon a second actin isoform, NAP1, which is strongly up-regulated upon Lat B treatment and is insensitive to Lat B–induced depolymerization. Our study combines orthogonal strategies to provide the first detailed visual characterization of filamentous actins in \textit{Chlamydomonas}, allowing insights into the coordinated functions of two actin isoforms expressed within the same cell.

INTRODUCTION

Actin is a highly conserved protein that is essential for survival in most eukaryotic cells (Pollard et al., 2000). In yeast, the only actin isoform makes distinct cellular structures such as actin patches, cables, and cytokinetic rings (Kilmartin and Adams 1984; Warren et al., 2002). These structures function in endocytosis, organelle and protein transport, and cell division, respectively. Unlike yeast, mammalian cells have six different actin isoforms encoded by six separate genes. These function collectively to regulate cell morphology, motility, mechanotransduction, membrane dynamics, and intracellular trafficking. Some of these functions require complex interactions between actin isoforms, and single actin knockout studies in mice revealed that loss of one gene causes a compensatory up-regulation of a subset of the remaining actin isoforms (Perrin and Ervasti, 2010).

The genome of the unicellular green alga \textit{Chlamydomonas reinhardtii} contains two actin genes that vary significantly in sequence. Inner dynein arm 5 (IDA5) is a highly conserved conventional actin, whereas novel actin-like protein 1 (NAP1) is a divergent actin that only shares ~65% sequence identity with mammalian actin (Kato-Minoura et al., 1997; Onishi et al., 2016). Genetic loss of IDA5, a condition in which NAP1 is expressed at low levels, results in slow swimming (Ohara et al., 1998) and early ciliary growth defects due to reduced ciliary protein accumulation and entry (Avasthi et al., 2014). Ciliary growth ultimately proceeds and can reach normal length. However, when both actins are disrupted acutely, \textit{Chlamydomonas} cells show dramatic defects in ciliary protein synthesis, vesicular trafficking, and organization of a key gating region dictating ciliary protein composition (Jack et al., 2019). Given
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that ida5 mutants expressing NAP1 alone do not show these defects, it appears NAP1 can largely perform the actin-dependent functions needed for ciliary assembly despite its sequence divergence with IDAS. Although we have been able to genetically and chemically dissect the functions of the individual actin isoforms, detailed visual characterization of filamentous actin networks has eluded the field.

Although actin filaments are readily visualized by traditional phallotoxin staining in mammalian systems, a variety of protein and cellular differences complicate actin visualization in protists and highlight the need for labeling optimization in different cellular systems. In the parasite Toxoplasma gondii, the actin gene ACT1 shares 83% sequence identity with mammalian actin and is required for cell motility, yet filamentous actin is undetectable by phalloidin staining (Dobrowolski et al., 1997). Other conventional filamentous actin labeling techniques such as LifeAct and SiR-actin also fail to label filamentous actin in this parasite (Periz et al., 2017). This may be due to the highly dynamic filament turnover and distinct actin polymerization kinetics (Miraldi et al., 2008) found in T. gondii and closely related Plasmodium falciparum. Biochemical assays also show that 97% of the parasites’ actin exists in globular form (Dobrowolski et al., 1997; Wetzel et al., 2003; Skillman et al., 2011), creating unfavorable conditions for filamentous actin staining. As in parasitic protists, Chlamydomonas actin visualization with conventional strategies has been challenging. Actin antibodies do not discriminate between filamentous and monomeric actin, and previous attempts to visualize the filamentous actin cytoskeleton using fluorescent phallotoxins resulted in a diffuse signal throughout the cytoplasm in vegetative Chlamydomonas cells (Harper et al., 1992), leading some to conclude that these cells made few, if any, filaments (Harper et al., 1992). The only condition where phallotoxins have been shown to clearly label filamentous actin in Chlamydomonas is in gametes, where filamentous actin-rich tubules can be seen at the apical surface between the flagella upon mating or artificial induction (Detmers et al., 1985).

Advancements in vegetative Chlamydomonas actin filament visualization came from live-cell imaging using strains expressing the fluorescently tagged filament binding peptide, LifeAct (Avasthi et al., 2014; Onishi et al., 2016). This method identified linear structures at the posterior of the nucleus and less frequently at the base of the flagella. Acute treatment with the actin-depolymerizing agent latrunculin B (Lat B) eliminated this labeling (Avasthi et al., 2014; Onishi et al., 2016), demonstrating the specificity of the fluorescent LifeAct for actin filaments.

However, longer incubation with Lat B restored a partial signal with a slightly different distribution (Onishi et al., 2016). This new signal likely represents the Lat B–induced up-regulation of a second Chlamydomonas actin normally expressed at low levels, the novel actin-like protein NAP1 (Kato-Minoura et al., 1998; Onishi et al., 2016). Despite the identification of a clear filamentous actin-based perinuclear structure using fluorescent LifeAct, technical challenges to maintaining LifeAct expression and the inability to preserve labeled structures in fixed cells for colocalization with cellular organelles required a different method of actin visualization.

We reasoned that Chlamydomonas actin, IDAS, which shares 90% sequence identity with mammalian actins, is inherently capable of binding fluorescent phallotoxins due to the intense staining of fertilization tubules in gametes. For this study, we developed an optimized protocol for phalloidin staining that recapitulated LifeAct labeling (Craig and Avasthi, 2019). Using this method, and corroborating with live-cell visualization and cryo-electron tomography (cryo-ET), we can now show for the first time how actin filaments are localized and dynamically redistributed in vegetative and gametic Chlamydomonas cells. In addition, we applied this staining method to mutants of each actin isotype to reveal new insights into isofrom-specific organization and function.

**RESULTS**

**Filamentous actin visualization in vegetative Chlamydomonas achieved by an optimized phalloidin staining protocol**

To optimize phalloidin labeling, which previously produced only a weak, diffuse, seemingly nonspecific signal in vegetative cells (Figure 1, A, C, and E; Harper et al., 1992), we used a combination of bright and photostable Atto fluorophores and reduced incubation times to limit background fluorescence. Specifically, reducing incubation to 16 min was crucial to successful staining. We also improved the imaging by employing deconvolution microscopy to remove out-of-focus light. Using this optimized protocol (Craig and Avasthi, 2019), we found that the pattern of phalloidin staining matched what was seen for LifeAct–Venus fluorescence, with a tangle of linear filaments at the posterior of the nucleus (Figure 1, B, D, and F), providing further support that the previously identified midcell LifeAct signal (Avasthi et al., 2014; Onishi et al., 2016) represents the filamentous actin population. Phalloidin-labeled structures were disrupted when treated with the actin-depolymerizing drug, Lat B (Figure 2).

With a newly developed method for actin labeling Chlamydomonas cells, we tested the ability of Atto 488 phalloidin to costain with probes for other cytoskeletal proteins (Figure 3). Filamentous actin was observed in the midcell region (Figure 3A), and costaining with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), a DNA label, we observed localization posterior to the nucleus with occasional threads linking to a region near the apical flagellar base (Figure 3B). Atto 488 phalloidin costained efficiently with centrin antibodies, which mark the basal bodies and fibers connecting to the nucleus (Figure 3C), as well as α-tubulin antibodies, which stain microtubules in the cilia, basal bodies, and cell body (Figure 3D).

**In situ cryo-electron tomography of actin filaments**

To directly visualize actin filaments within the native cellular environment, we rapidly froze vegetative Chlamydomonas cells in vitreous ice, thinned these cells by focused ion beam milling, and then imaged them by cryo-ET (Asano et al., 2016). Helical filaments with a diameter of ~7 nm (consistent with filamentous actin) were observed in the cytosol at the posterior side of the nucleus, near the nuclear envelope, endoplasmic reticulum, and Golgi (Figure 4). In addition to relatively straight individual actin filaments (Figure 4, A and B), we also observed loosely tangled bundles of filaments with increased local curvature (Figure 4C).

**Reorganization of filaments during gamete induction**

Our optimized staining protocol allowed us to compare actin filament localization across Chlamydomonas cell states. Vegetative and gametic cells displayed similar perinuclear actin localization. Interestingly, gametic cells often showed a single apical fluorescent spot between the two flagella (Figure 5B, inset). In the case of vegetative cells, this apical fluorescence was observed much less frequently and formed one, two, three, or four spots. Even in synchronous cultures, no consistent apical staining pattern was observed. The apical filamentous actin foci in gametes may mark the location of eventual fertilization tubule formation before induction in mating type plus CC-125 cells. To test this hypothesis, we asked whether mating type minus CC-124 cells, which do not make fertilization tubules, have
filamentous actin foci at their apex. We observed that the foci persisted in CC-124, suggesting that this apical staining may mark the formation of the mating type minus actin structure (Figure 5E, inset), which is a small membrane protrusion (Misamore et al., 2003).

During artificial induction of gametes, fertilization tubules form after the addition of papavarine and dibutylryl cAMP in mating type plus cells. This actin-rich fusion organelle protrudes from the apex of the cell, the same location where we observed apical actin accumulation in uninduced gametes (Figure 5C, inset). During tubule induction, the midcell filamentous actin population disappeared (Figure 5C). However, this loss of midcell actin was not seen in mating type minus CC-124 cells, which do not form tubules (Figure 5F). This led to the hypothesis that midcell filamentous actin rearranges to form the tubules. To test this hypothesis, gametes were induced in the presence of cycloheximide (Figure 5, G–I), a drug that blocks translation (Supplemental Figure 2) and thus the up-regulation of actin expression that occurs during induction (Ning et al., 2013). Strikingly, treatment with cycloheximide did not prevent tubule formation, and the number of tubules formed in the presence of cycloheximide was comparable to the number of tubules formed under control conditions (Figure 5, G–I). This result indicates that fertilization tubules do not require newly synthesized actin and instead can be formed by the redistribution of existing actin to the cell apex.

Actin dynamics throughout the Chlamydomonas cell cycle

Previously, Harper et al. (1992) investigated total actin dynamics in Chlamydomonas using actin antibodies. With our newly established ability to specifically monitor the filamentous actin population, we synchronized cells using a light/dark regime to track filamentous actin through the cell cycle. The Chlamydomonas nucleus positioning and morphology, visualized by costaining with DAPI, acted as an indicator for cell cycle events. For interphase cells, which are recognizable by the nucleus’s central position, Harper and colleagues (1992) reported diffuse actin localization surrounding the nucleus. Our data suggest actin filament localization is strictly perinuclear, and the Atto 488 phalloidin signal often revealed more linear structures compared with diffuse total actin staining (Figure 6A). Additionally, we see punctate apical actin signal in some cells, but we have not been able to observe a cell cycle–dependent pattern to these puncta. In preprophase, we observe that actin forms an angular shape around part of the nucleus (Figure 6B). In prophase, there is a dense epicenter of filamentous actin signal near the nucleus, but a few actin threads still remain that stretch across the cell (Figure 6A). Metaphase and anaphase are recognizable by the flattening of the DAPI signal, indicating that condensed chromosomes are aligning for segregation. During this stage of the cell cycle, filamentous actin creates a linear arrangement behind the nucleus (Figure 6C). We observe filamentous actin localization during early telophase, where filaments localize to both sides of the nucleus to help initiate cleavage furrow formation, similar to total actin dynamics seen by Harper et al. (1992) (Figure 6D). Previously, total actin was shown to return to its state of diffuse localization around the reformed daughter nuclei. We did not observe actin filaments completely surrounding nuclei, regardless of cell volume.

FIGURE 1: Filamentous actin staining optimization using phalloidin in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. (A) Raw fluorescence image of phalloidin-stained vegetative Chlamydomonas cells using the manufacturer’s recommended protocol and Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin. Signal is generally bright with hazy fluorescence throughout the cell, similar to previous reports. (B) Raw fluorescence image using our optimized phalloidin protocol and Atto 488 phalloidin (49409; Sigma) reagent. Signal from filamentous actin is clearly present. (C) Deconvolution of the image in A does not reveal much actin signal that can be easily distinguished from the high background fluorescence. (D) Deconvolution of B shows filamentous actin posterior of the nucleus and filaments spanning across the cell body. (E) Overlay of C and the brightfield image with phalloidin signal in green. (F) Overlay of D and the brightfield image shows that in vegetative cells, the brightness and staining consistency were greatly enhanced by using the Atto 488 conjugate instead of Alexa Fluor 488. Scale bar is 5 µm.
This suggests that the diffuse population is exclusively monomeric. Cytokinin actin filaments are present at the cleavage furrow (Figure 6E), consistent with the pattern previously seen with total actin staining. Throughout mitosis, a population of actin filaments can be seen near the cell cortex, which is typically not present in interphase cells.

**Visualization of conventional and nonconventional actins**

After localizing IDA5 filaments in gametic and cycling cells, we wondered whether NAP1 could form distinct structures. Treating wild-type cells with the actin-depolymerizing drug Lat B for 10 min eliminated the specific midcell filamentous actin staining (Figure 7B). Lat B incubation for longer times, which is known to up-regulate NAP1 expression (Onishi et al., 2016), resulted in the formation of transient actin ring structures (Figure 7C). Costaining cells with phallloidin and DAPI after 45 min of Lat B treatment showed that filamentous actin rings assemble around the nucleus (Figure 7D and Supplemental Movies 1 and 2). The prevalence of these rings in the cell population peaked at 60 min and then decreased until 150 min, at which point the rings had almost completely disassembled (Figure 7F). Live-cell imaging using a LifeAct–Venus transformant revealed a similar ring phenotype, often accompanied by an additional linear or dot-like signal rarely observed in phallloidin-stained cells (Figure 7E). Another difference between the LifeAct–Venus and phallloidin-stained cells is the onset of ring formation, as ring structures do not begin to form until around 2 h of Lat B treatment in LifeAct–Venus cells. The variable timing of ring onset may be due to the differences in strain background between the LifeAct-expressing strain and the CC-125 wild-type strain. Alternatively, LifeAct binding may interfere with ring formation in live cells due to its documented effects on altering endogenous actin networks (Courtemanche et al., 2016; Flores et al., 2019).

On the basis of the Lat B–induced up-regulation of NAP1 expression and the insensitivity of NAP1 to Lat B (Onishi et al., 2016), we hypothesized that this ring structure is composed of NAP1 filaments. We first attempted to visualize the two *Chlamydomonas* actins independently by staining nap1 mutants (expressing only IDA5) and ida5 mutants (expressing only NAP1) with Atto 488 phallloidin. Atto 488 phallloidin staining in nap1 vegetative cells displayed a wild-type-like midcell actin signal (Figure 8, A and C), and a single apical spot was often present (Figure 8C). However, in ida5 mutants, the signal remained weak and hazy (Figure 8E). This may be due to low NAP1 abundance or slight sequence differences in the phallloidin binding sites of IDA5 and NAP1. Atto 488 phallloidin staining in nap1 vegetative cells displayed a wild-type-like midcell actin signal (Figure 8, A and C), and a single apical spot was often present (Figure 8C). However, in ida5 mutants, the signal remained weak and hazy (Figure 8E). This may be due to low NAP1 abundance or slight sequence differences in the phallloidin binding sites of IDA5 and NAP1. Actin has two methionine residues that are critical for phallloidin binding, which are conserved in the IDA5 sequence. However, NAP1 contains mutations at both methionine sites, which could reduce phallloidin's ability to label NAP1 filaments (Vandekerckhove et al., 1985).

Despite poor efficiency of labeling low endogenous levels of NAP1, we reasoned that increases in NAP1 expression upon Lat B treatment (Onishi et al., 2018) and increased local filament concentration may still allow for phallloidin-labeling of rings composed of...
NAP1. To test whether rings require NAP1, we treated the nap1 mutant with Lat B for 45 min. In the absence of drug, Atto 488 phalloidin–stained nap1 cells displayed similar wild-type-like midcell actin signal (Figure 8C). However, Lat B treatment did not result in the formation of filamentous ring structures in nap1 cells (Figure 8D), suggesting that ring assembly is NAP1-dependent. Furthermore, Lat B–treated ida5 cells that express only NAP1 are capable of forming these ring structures, albeit at a much lower frequency (Figure 8F). These results demonstrate that NAP1 is necessary and sufficient for ring formation.

DISCUSSION

The coordinated expression and polymerization of two actins that share ∼65% sequence identity within the same cell can provide broader insight into mechanisms of actin regulation and segregation of actin-dependent functions in vivo. The two Chlamydomonas actin genes are poorly characterized. One reason for this is that the ida5 null mutant appears to have a mild, wild-type-like phenotype. We now believe this is due to compensation by the up-regulation of NAP1 in ida5 mutants (Kato-Minoura, 2005; Onishi et al., 2016, 2018). Loss of both actins is lethal (Onishi et al., 2016), and our recent studies demonstrate partially redundant functions for the two actins at multiple stages of ciliary assembly (Jack et al., 2019).

Outside of the compensatory functions, the requirement for dual expression is not clear because NAP1 expression is undetectable under normal conditions and only up-regulated slightly in the absence of IDA5 or strongly upon flagellar reassembly following deflagellation (Hirono et al., 2003). Despite the up-regulation of NAP1 upon flagellar regeneration, we previously found that nap1 null mutants have no flagellar assembly defect outside of the inability to buffer perturbations to IDA5 (Jack et al., 2019). NAP1 can largely perform the functions of filamentous IDA5, as ida5 mutants show only slow swimming. This is likely due to the loss of four axonemal inner dynein arm subspecies within flagella (Kato-Minoura et al., 1997) and slow initial phases of flagellar assembly (Avasthi et al., 2014). NAP1 cannot replace IDA5 in all cases, however. Despite localization of NAP1 to actin-rich fertilization tubules (Hirono et al., 2003), nap1 mutant cells assemble normal fertilization tubules (Christensen et al., 2019) and ida5 mutants expressing NAP1 alone form structurally defective fertilization tubules, resulting in a drastically reduced mating efficiency (Kato-Minoura et al., 1997).

To complement our functional studies of IDA5 and NAP1, we wanted to understand whether the two proteins exhibit similar localization and dynamics. However, actins in Chlamydomonas have been difficult to visualize by fluorescence microscopy and traditional electron microscopy. Actin mutant phenotypes suggest

Figure 4: Direct visualization of actin filaments inside native Chlamydomonas cells by in situ cryo-ET. (A, B) Slices through tomographic volumes. Yellow arrows indicate individual actin filaments near the nuclear envelope and Golgi apparatus. A’ is an enlarged view of the filament from A. The helical structure of the actin filaments is apparent in B. (C) A loose bundle of actin filaments (yellow arrow) in close proximity to a nuclear pore complex (magenta arrow). Clearly resolved nuclear proteasomes tethered to the nuclear pore complex (blue arrows, previously described in Albert et al., 2017) illustrate the molecular clarity of this tomogram. C, C’, and C” show three different slices through one tomographic volume. Scale bars are 100 nm in all panels.
actin localization in flagella, fertilization tubules, and the cleavage furrow. Indeed, this has been visualized using phalloidin in fertilization tubules (Wilson et al., 1997) and actin antibodies (which cannot discriminate between actin monomers and filaments) in the cleavage furrow (Ehler and Dutcher 1998; Kato-Minoura et al., 1998). It was reported that phalloidin did not clearly label filamentous structures in vegetative Chlamydomonas cells after 2 h of staining; instead, only bright fluorescence throughout the cell body could be observed (Harper et al., 1992). Recently, it was shown that Chlamydomonas cells deficient in peptidylglycine α-amidating monoxygenase (PAM) displayed altered actin organization. PAM knockdown cells displayed patches of filamentous actin via bodipy-phalloidin staining, whereas control cells exhibited only diffuse cytoplasmic signal, a common result when using a non-Chlamydomonas optimized phalloidin protocol (Kumar et al., 2018). We initially encountered similar results, even when using a much shorter incubation time of 30 min. However, reducing the staining time to 16 min resulted in optimal signal to noise and clear labeling of filamentous structures. Despite this improvement, many phalloidin trials using this 16-min incubation time resulted in a bright and diffuse signal in the cell body, and cells were susceptible to rapid photobleaching during imaging. These inconsistencies were alleviated after switching to a different phalloidin conjugate, Atto 488 phalloidin, which produced a clear and consistent photostable signal (Supplemental Figure 1).

The addition of this reagent to our optimized actin staining protocol led to a powerful and reproducible method for visualizing filamentous actin in fixed vegetative Chlamydomonas cells (Craig and Avasthi, 2019). Combining three independent visualization methods (live-cell LifeAct labeling, fixed-cell phalloidin labeling, and direct imaging of filaments inside native cells by cryo-ET) with analysis of Lat B sensitivity, our study confirms that Chlamydomonas

FIGURE 5: Expression-independent reorganization of actin filaments during gamete induction. All cells stained with Atto 488 phalloidin. Actin in green. (A) Vegetative CC-125 cells of the mating type plus background show perinuclear actin signal. (B) Gametic CC-125 cells often display a single apical actin pool near the site of eventual fertilization tubule formation. (C) Inducing gametic CC-125 cells with papavarine and dibutyryl cAMP causes cells to form actin-rich fertilization tubules. (D) Vegetative CC-124 cells of the mating type minus background have comparable actin signal to vegetative CC-125. (E) Gametic CC-124 also show frequent apical actin signal. (F) Induced CC-124 cells retain the midcell actin population and do not form long fertilization tubules observed in CC-125 cells, but a small actin pool does accumulate near the apical surface in these cells. (G) CC-125 cells induced under control conditions form fertilization tubules. (H) CC-125 cells induced in the presence of 10 µM cycloheximide still form a comparable number of fertilization tubules, suggesting that the increased actin expression caused by gametic induction is not required for tubule formation. Scale bars are 5 µm. (I) Quantification of the percentage of cells that form fertilization tubules in the presence of 10 µM cycloheximide. Dots represent means from three separate experiments where n = 100 cells in each experiment. The mean of these three experiments is represented by a line.
cells have a bona fide actin filament network at the posterior of the nucleus that occasionally extends to the subflagellar base at the apex of the cell (Figure 9).

Our study reveals previously unreported filamentous structures in Chlamydomonas. Most obvious is the array of filamentous actin localized posterior of the nucleus in vegetative and gametic cell types. The actin-binding and nucleating proteins responsible for coordinating this actin population are not known, but recent findings in our lab strongly suggest a role for actin in trafficking vesicles from the Golgi (Jack et al., 2019). This midcell actin revealed by Atto phalloidin along with cryo-ET showing distinct actin filaments near the Golgi (Figure 4A) steers us to investigate the functional role of actin in ciliary protein transport (Avasthi et al., 2014; Jack et al., 2019). The midcell actin is likely composed of IDA5 filaments, as we see similar actin localization when labeling nap1 mutant cells and no filamentous signal in this region when labeling ida5 mutants. NAP1 expression is undetectable in unperturbed vegetative cells, but increased in ida5 mutant cells (Ohara et al., 1998) and additionally increased to a small degree in Lat B–treated ida5 mutants (Onishi et al., 2018). This increased expression and concentration of NAP1 may contribute to the ability to visualize infrequent rings in Lat B–treated ida5 mutants. Although we have struggled to visualize the normal population of filamentous NAP1 with phalloidin staining, we are pursuing improved stability LifeAct probes and alternative strategies.

We provide the first report of filamentous actin dynamics across the Chlamydomonas cell cycle, and find several new labeling patterns compared with the total actin localization initially reported by Harper et al. (1992). Filamentous actin is dynamic throughout the cell cycle and redistributes most dramatically in telophase and cytokinesis (Figure 6, D and E). Total actin staining shows diffuse localization around the entire nucleus, whereas filamentous actin remains strictly linear and posterior to the nucleus until early telophase. Although filaments can be seen in the cleavage furrow in telophase and between the two daughter nuclei during cytokinesis, no ring structure is seen during ingress. Chlamydomonas cells instead use a microtubule-dependent structure called the pycoplast for cytokinesis (Johnson and Porter, 1968; Holmes and Dutcher, 1989; Gaffal and el-Gammel, 1990; Schibler and Huang, 1991). Our data show that mitotic cells also appear to have additional actin filaments near the cell cortex (Figure 6, A, B, and E). As Chlamydomonas cells divide several times before breaking out of the mother cell wall, the cell wall is not immediately adjacent to the plasma membrane of daughter cells during division. Actin filaments adjacent to the cortex may provide structural support during this stage. Further characterizing this filament population and observing the effects of actin inhibitors on daughter cell morphology will help determine the role of these filaments.

We consistently observed apical accumulation of filamentous actin between the flagella in gametic cells, and more variable apical signal in vegetative cells. We believe this filamentous actin population in gametes serves as an actin recruitment site, perhaps supplying a pool of actin monomers for building the highly filamentous fertilization tubule formed in mating type plus gametic cells. It is thought that fertilization tubules may assemble from newly synthesized actin, as actin expression is known to increase during gamete activation and mating (Hirono et al., 2003). However, treatment with cycloheximide to block transcription during induction did not significantly reduce the percentage of cells that formed fertilization tubules (Figure 5I). Thus, tubule formation can occur without increased expression, suggesting that there is a common pool of monomers that can either form the network of midcell actin filaments or remodel to form other actin structures like fertilization tubules. This implies that the fertilization tubule serves as a sink for monomers that causes the concentration of the monomers in the middle of the cell to drop below the critical concentration for polymerization, resulting in the loss of the midcell actin filaments. This hypothesis is further supported by our observation that induction of mating type minus cells, where fertilization tubules do not form, does not result in a loss of filamentous actin.

We rarely observed actin mislocalization from the subflagellar region or multiple spots of apical accumulation in gametes. A well-conserved function of actin is in endocytosis. It is possible that the variable spots we see at the cell periphery in vegetative cells are analogous to endocytic patches or pits. Although there is little evidence for endocytosis in Chlamydomonas, studies of cell adhesion during mating show that activity-dependent redistribution of signaling proteins from the cell body plasma membrane into cilia seems to occur in a microtubule-dependent manner but is independent of ciliary motors (Belzile et al., 2013). This leaves open the possibility that the redistribution of the membrane proteins may involve actin-dependent endocytosis and intracellular trafficking using cytoplasmic microtubule motors.

**FIGURE 6:** Filamentous actin localization across the cell cycle. Atto 488 phalloidin (magenta) and DAPI (cyan) costaining. The intense smaller DAPI puncta are chloroplast nucleoids. The nuclei (white arrows) have larger and less intense DAPI staining. (A) Filamentous actin is found posterior to the nucleus in interphase cells. (B) In early prophase, filamentous actin forms additional bands connecting the midcell actin to the apical side of the cell. (C) During anaphase, filamentous actin appears orthogonal to the elongating nucleus. (D) In early stages of telophase, filamentous actin pinches on both sides of the nucleus. (E) During cytokinesis, linear actin filaments are present in the cleavage furrow (yellow arrowheads). Throughout the mitotic stages, additional actin filaments appear around the cell cortex. Scale bar is 5 µm.
We found that NAP1 is both necessary and sufficient for formation of phalloidin-stained perinuclear rings induced by Lat B. However, given the low frequency of ring formation in ida5 mutant cells, we cannot rule out the possibility that the Lat B rings in wild-type cells are made of IDA5/NAP1 copolymers. These ring structures appeared after ~30 min of Lat B treatment, became most frequent at 1 h, and dissipated by 2 h (Figure 7F). This timing is consistent with findings from Onishi et al. (2016) and Onishi et al. (2018), where Lat B–induced NAP1 expression was measured by RT-PCR, RNAseq, and Western blot. NAP1 expression levels dramatically increased at 30 min after the addition of 10 µM Lat B. Although the onset of ring formation is coincident with an up-regulation of actin, ring frequency declines while levels of actin expression remain elevated. Given the transient nature of the actin rings, it is possible that these rings acutely compensate for actin-dependent functions to protect the nucleus. These functions may include regulating nuclear shape (Khatau et al., 2009), nuclear positioning (Gundersen et al., 2009), nuclear positioning (Gundersen et al., 2009), and connecting with the nucleoskeleton to transduce mechanical signals (Tapley and Starr, 2013). The ring may interact with nuclear pore complexes (Mosalaganti et al., 2018), as we observed a cluster of filaments gather near one of these sites before Lat B disruption (Figure 4C). Another possibility is that ring formation may be involved in nuclear rupture (Hatch and Hetzer, 2016; Wesolowska et al., 2018). Although actin-dependent nuclear envelope rupture does not kill cells in all circumstances, a decrease in frequency of rings at the population level after 2 h may be due to the death of ring-containing cells. Live-cell microscopy following individual cells or analysis of synchronized populations will likely discriminate between these possibilities.

A seemingly universal function of actin is directing mitotic and meiotic events by localizing vesicles and the cytokinetic machinery in plant, fungi, and animal cells. Filamentous actin-rich ring structures have been associated with cytokinesis in animal cells (Wang, 2005) and yeast (Arai and Mabuchi, 2002). Many of these rings undergo a constriction that is mediated by a contractile class II myosin. Given that Chlamydomonas cells lack this type of myosin, we believe the NAP1-dependent ring structures are unlikely to be an analogue to contractile actin rings used for cell division.

The coexpression of a conventional and divergent actin within a single cell establishes Chlamydomonas as an excellent new system to identify fundamental principles of actin biology. Robust visualization of the filamentous actin network in these cells may reveal novel modes of actin-dependent regulation for major cellular processes, such as ciliary biology and photosynthesis, for which Chlamydomonas is already a leading model organism.

FIGURE 7: Ring-like actin structures in CC-125 cells are induced by prolonged Lat B treatment. (A) Vegetative wild-type CC-125 cells stained with Atto 488 phalloidin. (B) The filamentous actin signal disappears after 10 min of treatment with 10 µM Lat B. Cells stained with Atto 488 phalloidin. (C) Filamentous actin ring structures form in many CC-125 cells after 45 min of treatment with 10 µM Lat B. Cells stained with Atto 488 phalloidin. (D) Actin ring structures colocalize around the nucleus under identical treatment conditions in wild-type cells. Actin in magenta; DAPI in cyan. (E) Live LifeAct–Venus cells treated with 10 µM Lat B for 120 min also show the actin ring phenotype. Scale bar is 5 µm. (F) Bar graph depicting ring frequency in populations of Lat B–treated wild-type cells stained with Atto 488 phalloidin. Dots represent means from three separate experiments where n = 200 cells in each experiment. The mean of these three experiments is represented by a line.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Chlamydomonas strains
The wild-type strains CC-125 mt+, CC-124 mt−, and mat3-4 strain CC-3994 mt+ were obtained from the Chlamydomonas Resource Center (University of Minnesota). The nap1 mutant was a generous gift from Fred Cross (The Rockefeller University), Masayuki Onishi (Stanford University), and John Pringle (Stanford University). The LifeAct–Venus wild-type transformant was gifted by Masayuki Onishi, Stanford University.

Phalloidin staining
Cells were grown in 2 ml of TAP (Tris acetate phosphate) liquid media on a roller drum for 17 h (overnight). It is essential to select healthy cells by centrifuging 1 ml of cell culture at 1800 rpm for 1.5 min. The supernatant was discarded and cells were resuspended in 600 µl fresh TAP. Resuspended cells (200 µl) were adhered on poly-L-lysine–coated coverslips for 5 min and covered. The liquid was tilted off the
coverslips and replaced with 4% fresh paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 7.5 mM HEPES, pH 7.24. The PFA is prepared from a 16% fresh ampoule of PFA. See Farhat (2013) for a detailed protocol for making this fixative solution. The pattern of staining changes (producing a bright pyrenoid signal) and nonspecific cytoplasmic fluorescence increases if using PFA that is not fresh. Coverslips were washed with 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 3 min. For cell permeabilization, coverslips were submerged in a coplin jar containing 80% precooled acetone and then incubated for 5 min at −20°C. Coverslips were quickly transferred to a second coplin jar containing 100% precooled acetone and incubated again for 5 min at −20°C. Coverslips were allowed to air dry for a minimum of 2 min, and for longer if needed. Next, cells were rehydrated by transferring coverslips to a coplin jar containing 1X PBS for 5 min. Coverslips were then stained with Atto 488 phalloidin (49409; Sigma) for 16 min in the dark, significantly reducing background and increasing the signal-to-noise ratio. The Atto 488 phalloidin reagent greatly enhanced photostability and brightness compared with Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin (Supplemental Figure 1), which allowed for more uniform and reproducible filament labeling. Phalloidin incubation was followed by a wash in 1X PBS for 5 min. Finally, coverslips were mounted on slides as quickly as possible with self-sealing Fluoromount-G (Invitrogen). We have published a more detailed protocol (Craig and Avasthi, 2019).

Cell synchronization
For experiments requiring synchronous cultures, cells were grown in HS media (Sueoka, 1960) and followed a 14 h light, 10 h dark growth regime. To initiate the dark period, culture tubes were wrapped in tin foil to prevent light exposure. Cells were immediately fixed following transition to the dark period and stained according to the phalloidin protocol above. For nucleic acid labeling, 200 µl of 1:3000 10 mg/ml DAPI solution in 1X PBS was added to the phalloidin staining solution for the final 10 min of phalloidin incubation. Cell cycle events were identified by nuclear morphology and localization in light–dark synchronized cultures.

Immunofluorescence for colocalization microscopy
Wild-type cells were grown overnight in TAP media. Cells were treated following the general phalloidin staining protocol up to the rehydration step. After cells had been rehydrated in 1X PBS for 5 min, cells were incubated in 100% block solution (5% bovine serum albumin, 1% cold water fish gelatin in 1X PBS) for 30 min, followed by a secondary blocking step containing 10% normal goat serum in block solution for another 30 min. Cells were incubated in either 1:500 anti-centrin or 1:500 anti-α-tubulin overnight in a humidified chamber. The next morning, cells were washed three times (10 min each) with 1X PBS. Cells were stained with anti-mouse594 diluted 1:500 for 1 h, and stained with Atto 488 phalloidin for the final 16 min of the stain. Cells were then washed three times (10 min each) in 1X PBS, mounted on slides with Fluoromount-G, and imaged on a Nikon TiS microscope.

Cell vitrification and FIB milling
Chlamydomonas cells were grown and prepared for cryo-ET as previously described (Albert et al., 2017; Bykov et al., 2017). We used the mat3-4 strain (Umen and Goodenough, 2001), which has smaller cells that improve vitrification by plunge-freezing. Cells were grown with constant light (∼90 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) in TAP medium, bubbling with normal air. Liquid culture (5 µl, ~1000 cells/µl) was blotted onto carbon-coated 200-mesh copper grids (Quantifoil Micro Tools), which were then plunged into a liquid ethane–propane mixture using a Vitrobot Mark 4 (FEI Thermo Fisher). Frozen grids were mounted into modified autogrids (FEI Thermo Fisher) and loaded into either a Scios (FEI Thermo Fisher) or a Quanta 3D FEG (FEI Thermo Fisher) FIB/SEM microscope.

Figure 8: NAP1 is necessary and sufficient for assembly of the ring-like filamentous structure in Lat B–treated cells. (A) Vegetative wild-type CC-125 cells stained with Atto 488 phalloidin. (B) Lat B–treated wild-type cells displaying actin rings. (C) Vegetative nap1 cells stained with Atto 488 phalloidin display similar actin signal to wild-type cells. (D) Rings do not form in nap1 cells treated with 10 µM Lat B for 45 min. (E) Atto 488 phalloidin labeling does not detect filaments in ida5 stained cells. (F) Very few (<3%) ida5 cells form actin rings under Lat B treatment conditions. Scale bars are 5 µm.
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