An elderly woman with multilobulated breast mass presenting as a diagnostic dilemma: A rare case report
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Abstract

A breast mass in women often presents a diagnostic challenge due to the diversity in the diagnosis. We herein report a rare variant of breast carcinoma, an invasive apocrine carcinoma (AC), in an elderly woman where the breast mass clinically mimicked phyllodes tumour. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) showed the tumour as triple-negative and also negative for androgen receptor (AR). Gross cystic disease fluid protein (GCDFP-15) was strongly and diffusely positive. It is an exceptional finding. It implies its significance as a diagnostic marker of AC of the breast. The accurate diagnostic criteria of AC are still lacking. Patients with breast lumps offer unique challenges and enormous responsibility to primary and family care physicians.
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Introduction

Krompecher in 1916 first described the malignant transformation of apocrine cells. Apocrine differentiation is seen in benign as well as some in situ or invasive carcinoma of the breast. AC usually presents in older age patients with smaller tumour sizes. The reported frequency is 0.3–4%, as the accurate diagnostic criteria of AC are still lacking. Both morphological and immunohistochemistry (IHC) criteria have been proposed to make a definitive diagnosis of pure invasive AC. Morphologically, AC presents with large granular and foamy cells of the epithelium in more than 90% of the tumour cells. The molecular apocrine (MA) definition is based on the immunohistochemistry features of estrogen receptor/progesterone receptor (ER/PR)−ve and AR +ve. It resembles a basal-like triple-negative phenotype, but clinically it behaves differently from basal-like triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) with a good prognosis. TNBC with AR +ve is very often observed in intraductal carcinoma and is more variable in invasive carcinoma. GCDFP-15 glycoprotein is not expressed in normal ductal or lobular epithelium of the breast, however, it is almost always present in cells with apocrine differentiation and its expression is more often linked with the AR expression. The case presented herein posed a diagnostic dilemma, both clinically and immunohistochemically.

Case History

A 65-year-old multiparous woman with no known comorbid conditions presented with a 3-year history of a painless, progressively enlarging multilobulated mass in her right breast. She stated that the mass initially started deep to the nipple with associated intermittent serosanguinous discharge, the amount of which gradually diminished with the inversion of the nipple as the mass showed rapid growth in the last 6 months. She denied any history of carcinoma breast, ovary or other malignancy in her family. Physical examination showed the right breast enlarged and deformed with multilobulated mass, shiny overlying skin and slit-like complete inversion of the nipple. The mass was non-tender, firm, free from skin and underlying fascia. The axilla, opposite breast, neck and systemic examination was unremarkable [Figure 1].

Address for correspondence: Dr. Swagata Brahmachari, B-53, Alkapuri, Near Shakti Nagar, Bhopal - 4620424, Madhya Pradesh, India.
E-mail: swagata.surgery@aiimsbhopal.edu.in

Received: 24-01-2021 Revised: 30-06-2021 Accepted: 04-07-2021 Published: 05-11-2021

How to cite this article: Singh MP, Brahmachari S, Arya N. An elderly woman with multilobulated breast mass presenting as a diagnostic dilemma: A rare case report. J Family Med Prim Care 2021;10:3916-8.
Routine laboratory reports were within the normal range. Sonomammography showed heterogeneous mass lesions. Computed tomography (CT) of the breast demonstrated multilobulated, isodense mass lesion measuring 17.1 cm × 8.6 cm × 14.4 cm size with internal septations and amorphous calcifications with evidence of internal necrosis. There was no evidence of axillary lymphadenopathy. The imaging features suggested a malignant pathology. Fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) of the mass suggested borderline/malignant phyllodes tumour with cystic degeneration or malignant fibrous histiocytoma. Core-needle biopsy revealed moderately differentiated, invasive intraductal carcinoma featuring large granular and foamy cells representing over 90% of the tumour cells, consistent with a rare apocrine morphological variant with Modified Bloom-Richardson score of 2 + 2 + 1 = 5 and histological grade 1. The immunohistochemistry reports were negative for ER, PR, herceptin receptor (HER)-2 and AR. However, another biomarker, gross cystic disease fluid protein (GCDFP-15) was strongly and diffusely positive [Figure 2]. Ki-67 was 5%. After complete metastatic workup and with consent, a right total mastectomy with sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) was performed. Histopathological examination of the dissected specimens confirmed the biopsy finding. SLNB was negative for tumours. The postoperative period was uneventful. No adjuvant chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy was advised by the tumour board. The patient was asymptomatic on follow-up till 3 years and showed no sign of local recurrence or metastasis.

**Discussion**

We have reported an exceedingly rare case of invasive AC breast that posed a unique challenge. The tumour was exceptional mainly for two reasons. First, multilobulated large breast mass mimicked a phyllodes tumour clinically. AC is often indistinguishable clinically from other breast lumps and needs to be differentiated from a benign condition like giant fibroadenoma, fibrocystic disease and apocrine metaplasia which are common in young, premenopausal women, unlike our case of the elderly woman. A breast mass could be a hydatid cyst in the endemic area, chest-wall abscess or chronic inflammatory pathology. A Schwannoma, lymphoma, angiosarcoma or metastasis should also be kept in mind while making a differential diagnosis. In our case, FNAC indicated the borderline phyllodes tumour, however, the demonstration of apocrine morphology with invasive ductal carcinoma on core-needle biopsy confirmed the diagnosis of AC.

Second, the tumour expressed GCDFP-15 in the absence of AR. GCDFP-15 expression is seen in approximately 50% of all breast cancers. It is more commonly associated with breast tumours expressing AR and MA variants. It is said that AR controls the GCDFP-15 production, and hence, is effectively controlled by antiandrogens. GCDFP-15 positive AC are less aggressive, rarely spread to lymph nodes and have a good prognosis. In the advanced cases of AC, however, the GCDFP-15 level is reported to be decreased, hence, GCDFP-15/AR positivity is not a consistent feature of AC. Therefore, a composite molecular and IHC signature for a better definition of MA breast carcinoma is suggested using their qualitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)-AR profile rather than AR-IHC in ER-ve breast cancer. It was found that HER +ve and GCDFP-15 expression are more specific markers to differentiate MA from basal-like.

For the patient with triple negative apocrine carcinoma (TNAC) with GCDFP-15 expression, a better survival outcome was noted with surgery alone compared to other TNBC. The role of chemotherapy was reported to be marginal in such cases. In cases with other cutaneous swelling showing apocrine morphology, the breast lumps need to be examined and excluded as a possible primary.

Patients with breast lumps like other illnesses report mostly to the primary care or family care physician first. They offer unique challenges and enormous responsibility on them as they have...
to coordinate various activities like initial care, timely diagnosis, appropriate referral and subsequent follow-up care in addition to educating patients and spreading awareness about the disease and its prevention. Therefore, awareness and knowledge of the entity are imperative.

**Key messages**

1. Invasive apocrine carcinoma of the breast is a very rare type of breast carcinoma showing typical morphologic, immunohistochemical and molecular features with a good survival rate.
2. GCDFP-15 biomarker needs to be recommended to establish a correct diagnosis.
3. Primary and family care physicians can play a crucial role in the management of a patient with a breast lump.

**Declaration of patient consent**

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form the patient(s) has/have given his/her/their consent for his/her/their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

**Financial support and sponsorship**

Nil.

**Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

**References**

1. Durham JR, Fechner RE. The histologic spectrum of apocrine lesions of the breast. Am J Clin Pathol 2000;113(suppl_1):S3-18.
2. Mills AM, Gottlieb CE, Wendroth SM, Brenin CM, Atkins KA. A pure apocrine carcinomas represent a clinicopathologically distinct androgen receptor-positive subset of triple-negative breast cancers. Am J Surg Pathol 2016;40:1109-16.
3. Vranic S, Schmitt F, Sapino A, Costa JL, Reddy S, Castro M, et al. Apocrine carcinoma of the breast: A comprehensive review. Histol Histopathol 2013;28:1393-409.
4. Japaze H, Emina J, Diaz C, Schwam RJ, Gercovich N, Demonty G, et al. Pure invasive apocrine carcinoma of the breast: A new clinicopathological entity? Breast 2005;14:3-10.
5. Tsutsumi Y. Apocrine carcinoma as triple-negative breast cancer: Novel definition of apocrine-type carcinoma as estrogen/progesterone receptor-negative and androgen receptor-positive invasive ductal carcinoma. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2012;42:373-86.
6. O’Malley FP, Bane A. An update on apocrine lesions of the breast. Histopathology 2008;52:3-10.
7. Darb-Esfahani S, von Minckwitz G, Denkert C, Ataseven B, Högel B, Mehta K, et al. Gross cystic disease fluid protein15 (GCDFP-15) expression in breast cancer subtypes. BMC Cancer 2014;14:546.
8. Bozkurt H, Karakaya IB, Aktas E, Irkorucu O. Coexistence of phylloides tumour and invasive ductal cancer in the breast. Niger J Clin Pract 2019;22:1169-71.
9. Singh MP, Tandon A, Huda T. Isolated primary hydatid disease of the breast masquerading a breast tumour: Report of a case and review of the literature. J Parasit Dis 2019;43:333-6.
10. Park HM, Lim HS, Ki SY, Lee H-J. Chest-wall abscess presenting as a breast lump. Breast J 2021;27:67-8.
11. Shiriyazdi SM, Dahaj FS, Yazdi AA, Shishehbor F. An uncommon breast lump with the diagnosis of schwannoma. Pol Przegl Chir 2019;92:1-3.
12. Kodaganur S, A PC, Hosamani IR. Breast lump that does not arise from the breast. Indian J Surg Oncol 2016;7:491-2.
13. Vrumudha P, Shubhangi A, Anjum S, Gangotri K, Reshma V, Prateek S, et al. Primary breast angiosarcoma in a postmenopausal female. Breast J 2020;26:2257-9.
14. Loos S, Schulz KD, Hackenberg R. Regulation of GCDFP-15 expressions in human mammary cancer cells. Int J Mol Med 1999;4:135-40.
15. Honma N, Takubo K, Akiyama F, Sawabe M, Arai T, Younes M, et al. Expression of GCDFP-15 and AR decreases in larger or node-positive apocrine carcinoma of the breast. Histopathology 2005;47:195-201.
16. Montagna E, Cancello G, Pagan E, Bagnardi V, Munzone E, Dellapasqua S, et al. Prognosis of selected triple-negative apocrine breast cancer patients who did not receive adjuvant chemotherapy. Breast 2020;53:138-42.
17. Trapani D, Giugliano F, Uliano J, Zia VAA, Marra A, Viale G, et al. Benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with special histology subtypes of triple-negative breast cancer: A systematic review. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2021;187:323-37.
18. DeCoste RC, Carter MD, Barnes PJ, Andea AA, Wang M, Rayson D, et al. Independent primary cutaneous and mammary apocrine carcinoma with neuroendocrine differentiation: Report of a case and literature review. J Cutan Pathol 2021. doi: 10.1111/cup.14085. Online ahead of print.