Cytokines and Growth Factors Stimulate Hyaluronan Production: Role of Hyaluronan in Epithelial to Mesenchymal-Like Transition in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer
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In this study, we investigated the role of hyaluronan (HA) in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) since close association between HA level and malignancy has been reported. HA is an abundant extracellular matrix component and its synthesis is regulated by growth factors and cytokines that include epidermal growth factor (EGF) and interleukin-1β (IL-1β). We showed that treatment with recombinant EGF and IL-1β, alone or in combination with TGF-β, was able to stimulate HA production in lung adenocarcinoma cell line A549. TGF-β/IL-1β treatment induced epithelial to mesenchymal-like phenotype transition (EMT), changing cell morphology and expression of vimentin and E-cadherin. We also overexpressed hyaluronan synthase-3 (HAS3) in epithelial lung adenocarcinoma cell line H358, resulting in induced HA expression, EMT phenotype, enhanced MMP9 and MMP2 activities and increased invasion. Furthermore, adding exogenous HA to A549 cells and inducing HA H358 cells resulted in increased resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitor, Iressa. Together, these results suggest that elevated HA production is able to induce EMT and increase resistance to Iressa in NSCLC. Therefore, regulation of HA level in NSCLC may be a new target for therapeutic intervention.

1. Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of death, both in the United States as well as worldwide. There are two main classifications for lung cancer, namely, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) that accounts for 75%–80% and small cell lung cancer that make up the remaining 20%–25%. Despite extensive research in diagnostic and treatment strategies, the overall 5-year survival rate is only 8%–14% [1]. There is an urgent need to identify potential therapeutic targets for novel therapeutic approaches to manage this disease. One potential target is HA as it has previously been reported that high HA expression in the tumor cells and stroma of patients with lung adenocarcinoma, a subtype of NSCLC, is associated with poor tumor differentiation and high recurrence rate [2].

HA is a linear unsulfated glycosaminoglycan composed of repeating disaccharides of D-glucuronic acid and N-acetylglucosamine, whose molecular weight can reach up to $10^7$ dalton [3]. The synthesis of HA is regulated by three mammalian enzymes namely HAS1, HAS2, and HAS3 [4] which are integral plasma membrane proteins with the active sites that are located at the intracellular face of the membrane [5]. Each enzyme synthesizes HA but at different rates and terminates synthesis with polymer chains of differing size [6]. HAS3 is the most active that drives the synthesis of short HA chains (100 to 1000 kDa) and is thought to contribute to the pericellular matrix or may interact with cell surface HA receptors thereby triggering signaling cascades and profound changes in cell behavior. HAS3 is known to contribute to the malignant phenotype in many malignancies [7]. HAS1 is the...
least active and drives the synthesis of high molecular weight HA (2000 kDa). HAS2 is more catalytically active than HAS1 and it also produces high molecular weight HA (2000 kDa) and is implicated in developmental processes involving tissue expansion and growth. The existence of these three different isoforms implies that HA functions are diversely regulated through the activities and expression of the HAS genes. Various growth factors and cytokines including TFG-β1 [8], EGF [9] and heregulin [10] have been shown to modulate HAS expressions and HA production in tumor cells.

Increased synthesis of HA is often associated with malignancy in many different tumors including lung cancer [2], ovarian cancer [11], and breast cancer [12]. HA production is contributed by the tumor-associated stroma and/or tumor cells [13, 14]. This association between HA and tumorigenesis have been supported by both in vitro and in vivo studies whereby overexpression of various HAS isoforms caused increased growth or metastasis in fibrosarcoma [15], prostate [16], colon [17], and breast [18] cancers. Conversely, inhibition of various HAS genes and thereby downregulation of HA production caused a decreased in tumor growth in prostate carcinoma cells [19] and metastasis in breast cancer [20]. Furthermore, in vitro studies have also demonstrated that exogenous addition of HA to tumor cells was able to promote cell migration [21] in ovarian cancer cell line, induces chemoresistance in NSCLC and melanoma cell lines [22, 23], and promotes cell invasion by stimulating production of metalloproteinases (MMPs) in lymphoma and small lung cancer cell lines [24, 25]. These studies highlight the importance of hyaluronan in the progression of tumorigenesis.

EMT is a process that plays important role in normal development and in cancer progression [26]. EMT involves morphological and biochemical changes resulting in the loss of E-cadherin, an epithelial marker while gaining mesenchymal markers such as vimentin or fibronectin. Besides, EMT has also been reported to induce the production of MMPs resulting in the tumor cells gaining invasive abilities which represents one of the hallmarks of cancer [27]. Downregulation of E-cadherin is associated with poor prognosis in NSCLC [28, 29] and prostate cancer [30, 31] indicating that E-cadherin has a tumor suppressing role.

EGFR is expressed in a variety of human malignancies and EGFR-TKIs have been used in treatment for a number of cancers including NSCLC [32]. It has been reported that EMT is a determinant of sensitivity of NSCLC [33, 34] as well as head and neck cancer [35, 36]. In this context, tumor cells with mesenchymal phenotype were less sensitive to these drugs. Overexpression of E-cadherin in in vitro study restored the sensitivity to EGFR-TKIs [37], thus indicating that E-cadherin expression has a role in the mechanism underlying response to these drugs.

It has recently been demonstrated that HA is involved in EMT as defects in HAS2 gene knockout mice failed to undergo EMT that is required for cardiac tissue development [38]. This notion was further supported by HAS2 overexpression study whereby increased in HA production was sufficient to induce EMT and acquisition of transformed properties in normal epithelial cells [39]. In another study, overexpression of E-cadherin negatively regulated the interaction of HA with its cell surface receptor, CD44 and inhibits CD44-mediated tumor invasion and branching morphogenesis [40]. These finding supports the role of HA in EMT and in the acquisition of malignant phenotype. In this paper, we examine the nature of HA interaction with non small lung cancer cell lines relative to EMT.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Culture. Lung adenocarcinoma cell lines, A549 and H358 cells were purchased from American Tissue Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and cultured in RPMI-1640 media supplemented with 5% FBS (Hyclone, Logan, UT). HAS3 stable transfectants (HAS3) and vector transfectants (vector-only control) were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 5% FBS and 125 μg/mL of Zeocin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Cells were grown at 37°C and 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator.

2.2. Plasmid Construction and Preparation of Stable Transfectant. HAS3 cDNA was amplified by RT-PCR from H358 mRNA using oligonucleotides that incorporated HindIII and XhoI restriction sites using Platinum Pfx DNA polymerase, sequence was verified by DNA sequencing and ligated into pDNA3.1 vector. The primer sequences are as follows: HAS3 (HindIII forward primer) 5'-GCGAAGCTTACATGCCGTTGCACTGACAGCC-3' and HAS3 (XhoI reverse primer) 5'-GGCTCGAGATCCACCTCAGAAAAGCAGCTG-3'. H358 cells were transfected with pcDNA HAS 3 (HAS3) or pcDNA vector (vector-only control) using Fugene 6 (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) following manufacturer's instruction. Stable clones were obtained by 125 μg/mL Zeocin selection and screened for HA synthesizing capacity (see HA Assay). Cloning reagents and DNA polymerase were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA).

2.3. Treatment with Growth Factors and Cytokines. A549 cells (5 × 105/dish) were plated in 35 mm dishes overnight in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 5% FBS. Media was then replaced with RPMI-1640 supplemented with insulin-transferrin-selenium (ITS) for 16 hours before treatment with various growth factors or cytokines (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ) for 24 hours. Media was collected for HA quantification (see HA Assay) while cells were harvested for cell lystate using lysis buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100 and protease inhibitors) for total protein determination by BCA Protein Assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL).

2.4. RT-PCR Analysis. Total RNA was extracted, from cells seeded at 5 × 103 cells/35 mm dish, with Trizol and reverse transcribed with Superscript III RT using oligo dT priming (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) to generate cDNA templates according to manufacturer's instructions. Accumulation of PCR products was measured in real-time by using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix with 7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The sequences of
primers are listed in Table 1. The PCR reactions conditions consisted of a 10 minutes preincubation at 94°C followed by 40 amplification cycles as follows: 94°C for 15 seconds and annealing and extension at 60°C for 1 minute. The data was normalized to the expression of the house keeping gene, glyceraldehydes-3- phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). Quantification was performed using the comparative cycle threshold (Ct) method using the formula $2^{-\Delta \Delta Ct}$ where $\Delta \Delta Ct = \Delta Ct_{\text{sample}} - \Delta Ct_{\text{reference}}$ to calculate relative expression.

2.5. HA Assay. Cells were grown in RPMI-1640 supplemented with ITS to determine the amount of HA released into the media. Cells were plated at $5 \times 10^5$ cells/dish (35 mm dish). Conditioned media was collected at either 24 hours or 48 hours, clarified by centrifugation and analyzed for total amount of HA using DuoSet HA kit (R and D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Media was diluted between 10- and 100-fold so that the samples were within the range of the standard curve of the DuoSet assay. Plate preparation and assay procedures were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The values are normalized to total protein and expressed as nanograms/mL of HA/μg of protein.

2.6. Immunofluorescence Staining. A549 cells were seeded on 4-well chamber slides (Nunc, Rochester, NY) at cells seeded at $1 \times 10^5$ cells/well and treated with growth factors and cytokines for 24 hours following overnight incubation in serum-free media. In the case of HAS3 and vector-only control transfectants, these cells were plated in 4-well chamber slides for 48 hours in serum containing media. The cells were then fixed with 4% formaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 15 minutes at RT, washed with PBS, permeabilized with 100% ice-cold methanol at −20°C for 10 minutes, washed with PBS and blocked with PBS containing 5% of normal goat serum and 0.3% Triton X-100 for 1 hour. They were then incubated with the primary antibody to either E-cadherin (#3195) (1 : 100) or vimentin (#3932) (1 : 50) (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) overnight at 4°C, washed with PBS and then counterstained with secondary antibodies conjugated with TRIC (1 : 100, Pierce, Rockford, IL) for 1.5 hours. After washing, the coverslips were attached to glass slides with mounting media containing 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and edges sealed with nail polish. The slides were examined and photographed using an Olympus BX41 microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA).

2.7. Particle Exclusion Assay. Pericellular HA matrices were visualized using a particle exclusion assay as described by Knudson [41]. Briefly, $1 \times 10^5$ cells were treated in the absence or presence of TGF-β1 in combination with IL-1β (10 ng/mL each) for 24 hours followed by treated for 2 hours in the absence or presence of 10 units/mL Streptomyces hyaluronidase (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). In the case of HAS3 and vector-only control transfectants, they were incubated overnight in serum containing media and then treated with or without 10 units/mL Streptomyces hyaluronidase for 2 hours. The media was aspirated and the cells were washed and further incubated with 0.75 mL of formalin fixed horse erythrocytes ($10^8$ per mL) in PBS containing 0.1% BSA for 15 minutes. At which time, cells were viewed and photographed with an inverted phase-contrast microscope (Nikon, Melville, NY). The HA matrix was evidenced by halos surrounding the cells from which the fixed erythrocytes were excluded. Cells were photographed at a magnification of 400x.

2.8. Cell Proliferation Assay. Cell proliferation was assessed using the Cell Titer 96 Aqueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (MTS) (Promega, Madison, WI) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Cells (A549@ 5000 cells/well; H358@ 10,000 cells/well) were plated in 96-well plate in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 5% FBS and allowed to attached overnight. Next day, media was replaced with RPMI-1640 supplemented with ITS for another 24 hours before it was subjected to treatment with different concentrations of EGFR-TKI, Iressa (AstraZeneca, Wilmington, DE) ranging from 0.5 μM to 10 μM in the absence or presence of either 500 μg/mL of 132 kDa HA (R and D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) or 500 μg/mL HA plus 10 units/mL of Streptomyces hyaluronidase. In the case of H358 HAS3 and vector-only control, cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with ITS for 48 hours before treatment with EGFR-TKI was commenced. Following 72 hours treatment, MTS reagent was added, incubated for 1 to 2 hours and absorbance measured at 490 nm in a FLUOstar Optima 96-well plate reader (BMG Labtech, Durham, NC). All experimental data points were set up in six wells. The data was expressed as percentage growth relative to that of the untreated control cells.

2.9. Cell Invasion Assay. The ability of cells to migrate through a Matrigel basement membrane matrix and filter was measured in a Matrigel invasion chamber (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) with 8 μm membrane pore. After rehydration for 2 hours, $1 \times 10^5$ cells in RPMI-1640 were applied to the upper chamber while the lower chamber contained RPMI-1640 supplemented with 5% FBS that acts as a chemoattractant. After incubation at 37°C for 72 hours, the upper chamber were removed and placed into a clean well with RPMI-1640 containing 8 μM Calcein AM (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) for 20 minutes at 37°C. At which time, media was removed from the upper chamber and cells attached to the lower surface of the filter were isolated by placing the insert in trypsin solution without phenol red for 10 minutes at 37°C. Aliquots of the trypsinate were then assayed at excitation wavelength 480 nm and emission wavelength 520 nm in a FLUOstar Optima 96-well plate reader. To determine the invaded cell number, a fluorescent cell dose curve was generated by plotting a graph with the y-axis representing fluorescence units against the x-axis representing different cell numbers.

2.10. MMP2 and MMP9 Zymography. Conditioned media was obtained from HAS3 and vector-only control cells that
were cultured for 48 hours in RPMI-1640 supplemented with ITS. The media was concentrated using Microcon YM-10 spin column (Millipore, Billerica, MA) and protein concentration was determined by BCA Protein Assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Equal amount of protein (20 μg) was loaded onto an 8% SDS polyacrylamide gel that contains 0.1% (w/v) gelatin and was run under nonreducing conditions. The gel was then incubated in 2.5% Triton X-100 in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 at 37°C for 48–72 hours. The gel imager (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA) was used to document using gel imaging system (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA). The zymogram image was reversed with the dark bands indicating MMP2 and MMP9 activities.

2.11. Statistical Analysis. The statistical significance of the data was determined with Student’s t-test. Statistical significance was indicated by *P < .05*.

3. Results

3.1. Cytokines and Growth Factor Increases HA Production in A549 Cells. Present in the extracellular milieu of lung tumors are various cytokines and growth factors that are secreted by tumor cells, fibroblasts and immune cells [42, 43]. We tested various cytokines and growth factor for their ability to enhance HA production in A549 cells, a lung adenocarcinoma to assess their role in NSCLC as HA has been implicated to play a role in numerous types of cancer including breast, prostate, and colon cancers [3].

A549 cells do not expressed HAS1 mRNA but transcripts for HAS2 and HAS3 were detected (Figure 1(a)) in unstimulated cells with HAS3 being the more abundant transcript that was approximately 2-fold higher as assessed by quantitative real time RT-PCR (data not shown). Next the effect of specific growth factors and cytokines on HA production was determined. When A549 cells were treated individually with EGF (50 ng/mL) or IL-1β (10 ng/mL), an increased in both mRNA for HAS2 and HAS3 was observed at 24 hours for EGF (2-fold for HAS2, 1.4 fold for HAS3) and IL-1β (4.5-fold for HAS2, 2.9-fold for HAS3) (Figure 1(b)). Treatment with TGF-1β (10 ng/mL) resulted in a modest increase in HAS2 (1.5-fold) with a little or no change in HAS3 (Figure 1(b)). However, combination treatments resulted in a substantial increase in both HAS transcripts for TGF/EGF (10 ng/mL and 50 ng/mL, resp.), (5-fold for HAS2, 2 fold for HAS3), and TGF/IL (10 ng/mL each) (5.2-fold for HAS2 and 4.7-fold for HAS3). In each case, these factors tested had a greater stimulatory effect on HAS2 than HAS3 mRNA while no HAS1 transcript was detected (data not shown). In addition, induction of HAS transcripts paralleled with total increased in HA production resulting from these treatments except for TGF whether no change was observed (Figure 1(c)). Since TGF/IL resulted in the highest HA stimulation at 14.09 ± 0.39 ng/mL of HA/μg of protein, this culture was assessed in the assembly of HA-dependent pericellular matrices as visualized by particle exclusion assay, a frequently used test for HA coat formation and HA synthesis [41]. Control A549 cells do not exhibit these matrices whereby the fixed red blood cells closely abutted the surface of each cell (Figure 1(d), Panel A: Control). However, when treated with TGF/IL, the cells appear to be surrounded by a clear halo as the red blood cells were not able to penetrate the matrices (Figure 1(d), Panel B: TGF+IL) and the matrices disappears following treatment with Streptomyces hyaluronidase (Figure 1(d), Panel C: TGF+IL+SH), an enzyme specific for HA, indicating that the assembly of the matrices is HA-dependent.

3.2. Combination of TGF and IL Treatment Induces Mesenchymal-Like Phenotype in A549 Cells. It was also noted that combination treatment with TGF/IL resulted in a change in cell phenotype from epithelial-like that closely adhered to each other to a more fibroblast-like appearance (Figure 2, Panel (a) and (d): Phase contrast). This shift in morphology could represent a transition from epithelial to mesenchymal phenotype. To determine whether TGF/IL treatment of A549 cells promotes this transition, we detect

| Genes  | Primer sequences (forward/reverse) | Size bp | Annealing temperature °C | Accession number |
|--------|----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|------------------|
| HAS1   | GTCACGCATGGTTATGTCCACCTGTAGCGCC-ACTCAGAGGG | 133     | 58                       | NM_001523.1      |
| HAS2   | AAGAAAGCTCGCAACGTAACGCACCTCCA-ACCATTGGATCTTCT | 220     | 60                       | NM_005328.1      |
| HAS3   | CTCTTTTGTGGGCGAACCTGGATGGTCCACCGAAT | 199     | 58                       | NM_005329.1      |
| MMP9   | ACCTGAGAACAATCTCCACGACAGGAAGTTT-GGAATCTGCCAGTTTGG | 229     | 62                       | NM_004994.2      |
| MMP2   | ACAAAATATGAGCGCTGACCGATCGTGGTTTGAT-GGTGAAATTGGGTGCC | 214     | 59                       | NM_004530.2      |
| GAPDH  | GTCACCGATTGTCTGATTTGGGTCCAGGAGGACTCATATTGG | 142     | 58                       | NM_002046.3      |
the presence for E-cadherin as well as vimentin that are epithelial and mesenchymal markers, respectively in these cells by immunocytochemistry. Control A549 cells expressed strong staining for E-cadherin (Figure 2, Panel (b)) with no evidence of vimentin expression (Figure 2, Panel (c)) while treatment with TGF/IL cells expressed strong staining for vimentin (Figure 2, Panel (f)) with reduced staining for E-cadherin (Figure 2, Panel (e)) indicating that combination treatment of these cytokines is able to induce EMT-like transition in A549.

3.3. Overexpression of HA Induces Mesenchymal Characteristics in H358 Cells. We next asked if an increased in HA production can induce the transition from an epithelial-like to mesenchymal-like phenotype in NSCLC. To address this question, we transfected H358 cells, another lung adenocarcinoma cell line that is more epithelial-like in phenotype as compared to A549 cells [33] with HAS3 gene to generate a stable cell line that overproduce HA. Overexpression of HAS3 gene induced over 200-fold increased in HAS3 transcript as compared to vector-only control (Figure 3(a)) resulting in $115 \pm 12.33$ ng/mL of HA/μg of protein while the vector-only control produced $0.74 \pm 0.085$ ng/mL of HA/μg of protein (Figure 3(b)). This observed increased in HA production was also supported by particle cell exclusion assay whereby HAS3 transfectant assembled a pericellular coat (Figure 3(c), Panel (b): HAS3) that was HA-dependent as treatment with Streptomyces hyaluronidase (Figure 3(c), Panel (c): HAS3+SH) resulted in the loss of the matrix while the vector-only control showed an absence of a cell coat (Figure 3(c), Panel (a): Vector-only). We next stained these cells for E-cadherin and vimentin expression to assess whether HA overexpression is involved in EMT-like transition in NSCLC cell line. Vector-only control cells were more compact and adhered to one another (Figure 3(d), Panel (a)) with a strong staining for E-cadherin (Figure 3(d), Panel (b)) at the cell surfaces and no staining for vimentin (Figure 3(d), Panel (c)). On the other hand, HAS3 over-expressing cells tend to spread and are more elongated in appearance (Figure 3(d), Panel (d)) with weaker staining for E-cadherin (Figure 3(d), Panel (e)) that is disperse within the cytoplasm while vimentin is strongly expressed in the cytoplasm of these cells (Figure 3(d), Panel (f)). These results support the contribution of HA in inducing morphological and biochemical changes in cells resulting in a mesenchymal phenotype in NSCLC cells.
3.4. Increased HA Production Promotes Cell Invasion and Enhanced MMP2 and MMP9 Activities in H358 Cells. One of the functional characteristics of mesenchymal-like cells as a result of the loss of cell-cell adhesion is the acquisition of invasion ability [27]. To determine whether HAS3 overexpressing cells that produced increased amount of HA were more invasive as compared to the vector-only control, an invasion assay was performed. As shown in Figure 4(a), HAS3 transfectants had more cells that transverse through the Matrigel as compared to the vector-only control, suggesting that overexpression of HA can promote an increased in invasive ability. As MMP2 and MMP9 are involved in invasion [44, 45], we assessed whether an increased in HA production is able to induce the production of these MMPs in NSCLC cell line. Over 7-fold increased in both MMP9 and MMP2 transcripts were observed in HAS3 transfectant as compared to the vector-only control (Figure 4(b)) as determined by quantitative real time RT-PCR. However, MMP2 transcript was approximately 30-fold less than MMP9 transcript in both vector-only control and HAS3 transfectants (data not shown). This result is supported by gelatin zymography that indicated a strong MMP9 activity at 97 kDa with a weaker MMP2 activity at 72 kDa (Figure 4(c)) suggesting that HA is able to induce MMP production to promote cell invasion in lung adenocarcinoma that have undergone EMT-like transition.

3.5. Increased HA Production Reduces Sensitivity to EGFR-TKI in A549 and H358 Cells. EGFR has been shown to be overexpressed in human cancers including NSCLC and overexpression has been shown to correlate with poor survival [32, 46]. Inhibitors of EGFR have been used to block EGF signaling pathway as a treatment option in NSCLC [32, 47]. As previous studies have shown that HA can promote chemoresistance in different cancer types including lung [23] and myeloma [22] cancers, we wanted to examine whether HA is able to promote cell survival by reducing sensitivity of NSCLC cell lines to EGFR-TKI. We evaluated a range of concentrations of exogenous HA (132 kDa) in A549 cells. This well differentiated adenocarcinoma has an intermediate sensitivity to EGFR-TKI [33] showed that increasing concentration of HA reduced the cells sensitivity to the inhibitor and thereby promoted cell survival (Figure 5(a)). Furthermore, coincubation of HA with Streptomyces hyaluronidase resulted in the loss of this protective effect indicating that HA has a role in promoting resistance to EGFR-TKI (Figure 5(a)). It has previously been observed that EMT is a determinant of sensitivity of NSCLC cell lines to EGFR-TKI [33, 34] and since HA can promote EMT as presented in the current study, we assess whether overproduction of HA could play a role in reduced sensitivity to EGFR-TKI. HAS3 overexpressing cells showed no cell death at the concentrations of EGFR-TKI tested while vector-only control exhibited a steady decline.
Figure 3: Enhanced HA production promotes mesenchymal-like phenotype in H358 cells. H358 cells were stably transfected with either vector only acting as a control or HAS3 gene. Stable transfectants were assessed for (a) HAS3 mRNA expression by quantitative real time RT-PCR; (b) total HA production; (c) HA-dependent matrix visualized by particle exclusion assay; (d) expression of E-cadherin ((b) and (e)) and vimentin ((c) and (f)) by immunocytochemistry using the antibody against E-cadherin and vimentin and counterstained using 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. Cells in (a) and (d) were taken using a phase-contrast microscope. Scale bar: 10 μm. Three experiments analyzed in triplicate were carried out for (a) and (b). The data shown is a representative. (Values are mean ± SD, n = 3, *P < .05.)

and at 10 μM EGFR-TKI approximately 40% cell death was observed (Figure 5(b)). These data indicates that HA can promote cell survival by reducing sensitivity of the cells to EGFR-TKI. The mechanism by which HA induces resistance to EGFR-TKI remains to be investigated.

4. Discussion

The close association between high HA level and malignancy has been reported in many different types of cancer including breast, ovarian, and bladder cancers [3]. However, there is only limited information regarding the contribution of HA in the development of NSCLC. Existing information suggests that HA might have a contributing role in this process [2, 23].

The synthesis of HA is a tightly regulated process and is modulated by cytokines and growth factors in a number of different cancer cells [8–10]. Cytokines and growth factors are found in the lung tumor microenvironment and are derived from tumor cells as well as stromal and inflammatory cells [42]. In this paper, we investigated the effect of EGF, IL-1β and TGF-β1 in modulating HA synthesis in A549 cells, a lung adenocarcinoma cell line. These factors were chosen as they have been reported to play a role in the progression of lung cancer as elevated expression of EGF, IL-1β, and TGF-β1 have been reported in patients with lung cancer [48–50]. These factors either separately or in combination was able to stimulate HAS2 transcript more than HAS3 transcript (Figure 1(b)) suggesting that HAS2 mRNA is more responsive to external stimuli which has also been observed in human mesothelial cells [51]. However, induction of HAS isoform expressions resulted in an increase in HA production that mimic the up-regulation of HAS3 isoform, with TGF/IL combination giving the highest stimulation (Figure 1(c)), suggesting a dominant role for HAS3 isoform.

Increased in HA production resulted in a HA-dependent pericellular matrix in TGF/IL cultures (Figure 1(d)) that could participate in biological processes pertaining to migration and proliferation as these HA-rich matrices have been reported in migrating and proliferating cells [52]. In addition, TGF/IL treatment also resulted in morphological and biological changes whereby there is the combined loss of staining for epithelial marker, E-cadherin, and a gain in mesenchymal marker, vimentin (Figure 2) indicating a shift to a mesenchymal-like phenotype. This finding suggests that
Figure 4: Increased HA production promotes cell invasion and induces enhanced MMP2 and MMP9 activities in H358 cells. (a) Invasive capacity of HAS3 and vector-only control transfectants were determined using invasion chamber assay (BD Bioscience) as outlined in Material and Method. (b) mRNA expression for MMP2 and MMP9 were determined by quantitative real time RT-PCR. (c) Following 48 hours incubation in serum-free media, media was collected and gelatinase activities for MMP2 and MMP9 were assayed by gelatin zymography. The experiments were repeated twice. The data shown for (a) and (b) is a representative. (Values are mean ± SD, n = 3, *P < .05.)

HA synthesis can be modulated by various cytokines and growth factors in NSCLC. Increase in HA level might play a role in the EMT-like transition in NSCLC as HA has been shown to be involved in EMT in normal cardiac development [38].

To define the role of HA in EMT-like process in NSCLC, we overexpressed HAS3 gene in H358 cells as these cells exhibit a more epithelial phenotype as compared to A549 [33]. Overexpression of HAS3 gene in H358 resulted in a change in morphology from a more compact and cobblestone-like appearance to cells that are elongated in shape with a concomitant appearance of vimentin expression and a reduced staining for E-cadherin, indicating a gain in mesenchymal-like phenotype (Figure 3(c)). Our finding is in agreement with a report that showed HA can induce EMT-like transition and acquisition of transformed characteristics in normal epithelial cells [39]. Moreover, it has been reported that the HA-CD44 axis plays an important role in morphogenesis in mammalian organogenesis. In this context, overexpression of E-cadherin, in cells with low levels of E-cadherin expression, negatively modulates HA interaction with its receptor, CD44, that leads to the inhibition of CD44-mediated cell invasion and branching morphogenesis [40]. Our study indicates that increase in HA production can modulate cell surface expression of E-cadherin and promote a shift to a mesenchymal-like phenotype in NSCLC. Therefore, this result suggests that there is a cross-talk between E-cadherin and HA regulation. Although the mechanism by which HA mediates this effect in NSCLC remains to be studied it may be possible that anomalous overexpression of HA destabilizes the balance in normal epithelial cells with high levels of E-cadherin expression and induces a shift to an EMT differentiation process.

One of the characteristic of cells that have undergone EMT is the acquisition of an invasive phenotype [27]. We observed that overexpression of HAS3 gene in H358 resulted in the cells were more invasive compared to vector-only control cells (Figure 4(a)). MMP2 and MMP9 have been implicated to play a role in cell invasion and metastasis as they are involved in the turnover of extracellular matrix components in the basement membrane [44, 45]. In our system, both MMP9 and MMP2 transcripts were elevated with the MMP9 mRNA expression being more abundant (Figure 4(b)) and this was reflected by an increased in their activities as assessed by the gelatin zymography (Figure 4(c)). Our data supports that increased HA production is able to stimulate the production of MMP2 and MMP9 leading to a more
invasive phenotype in NSCLC cell line. This is in line with a recent report that demonstrated that normal epithelial cells that have undergone EMT following overexpression of HAS2 gene also induced elevated expressions of MMP9 and MMP2 [39]. Further, treatment with exogenous HA was also able to stimulate MMP2 secretion in small cell lung cancer [25].

EGFR is overexpressed in human cancer including NSCLC and is correlated with poor prognosis [46]. EGFR-TKIs have been used in the treatment of NSCLC that produced a response rate of 9 to 27% [53–55]. To improve the treatment outcome, extensive investigations have been carried out to identify the underlining causes for the low response rate [56, 57]. One such finding indicated that EMT is a determinant of sensitivity of NSCLC to EGFR inhibition whereby tumor cells exhibiting mesenchymal-like phenotypes were more resistant to EGFR-TKI [33, 34] which could be attributed to kinase switching to alternate autocrine signaling thereby attenuate the dependence of EGFR signaling [58]. Further, a study showed that restoring E-cadherin expression in EGFR-TKI resistance cells increased sensitivity to EGFR inhibition [37] that implies EMT has a contributing role in EGFR-TKI resistance. Additional data to support this hypothesis was from a study that reported that patients with epithelial-like NSCLC that showed strong E-cadherin staining exhibited a significant longer time to progression [34]. Our finding showed that H358 that have been induced to undergo EMT-like transition by overexpression of HAS3 were more resistant to EGFR-TKI treatment, as compared to vector-only control. There was no detectable cell death at 10 μM EGFR-TKI in the HAS3 transfectants while control cells growth rate was reduced by 40% (Figure 5(b)). Similarly, addition of exogenous HA to A549 cells showed more resistance to EGFR-TKI and this resistance was abolished with coincubation with Streptomyces hyaluronidase indicating the role of HA in promoting resistance to EGFR-TKI (Figure 5(a)). Studies have also documented that interaction between HA and its receptor, CD44 induces chemoresistance in NSCLC [23] as well as in myeloma cells [22] and promote survival. Thus, this implies that HA can promote cell survival by inducing drug resistance to both EGFR-TKI and chemotherapeutic drugs.

In conclusion, our result suggests that HA is involved in EMT in NSCLC. Overexpressing HAS3 in H358 cells results in loss of immunoreactivity for E-cadherin and gain of vimentin expression. These cells also showed enhanced MMP2 and MMP9 activities and were more invasive. Finally, these cells were also more resistance to treatment with EGFR-TKI. In addition, we also demonstrated that various cytokines and growth factors can stimulate HA production in a NSCLC cell line that could be a contributing factor in the EMT-like transition observed in the cytokines-treated cultures. Taken together, these results support that HA can regulate epithelial to mesenchymal-like transition and therefore contribute to tumorigenesis. Thus, the control of HA level may be a potential target for therapeutic intervention for managing this disease.
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