Introduction

Human life is determined by plenty of factors, and normal oxygen environment is one of the most important. Mammals and human have obtained specific receptors during the evolution. These receptors are located in blood vessels and tissues and react on hypoxic stimulus [1-3]. Arterial system has the highest density of peripheral chemoreceptors which react on oxygen (O$_2$) content change in the internal milieu [1]. Chemoreceptors located in carotid body in the area of carotid arteries bifurcation are the most important [2-5]. Significant part of cardiac output heads via carotid and vertebral arteries to brain, and strategically vital localization of those receptors in carotid body allows them to determine O$_2$ content in blood almost instantly during each output. Some scientist’s associate metabolism of mitochondria in carotid body cells with cellular depolarization in order to explain how is signaling to brain initiates [3]. Therefore, brain instantly receives information about blood saturation with O$_2$ by carotid sinus nerve from chemoreceptor cells in carotid body. And this information outruns the one about O$_2$ content which reaches brain via blood vessels. This first information is important for making a quick decision about necessity of corrections of respiratory and vasomotor functions to maintain optimal respiratory homeostasis. When incoming by carotid sinus nerve data does not correspond to genetically and evolutionally stated optimal O$_2$ saturation in arterial blood, the mechanisms aimed at activation of lung ventilation and cardiovascular activity are triggered in brain stem. Moreover, suprabulbar mechanisms form specific locomotor behavior and emotional reactions in hypoxia [6].

Central and Peripheral Mechanisms of Breathing Regulation

Which mechanism helps living organism make timely decisions in situations when discrepancy between amount of arriving O$_2$ and energy needs in brain’s nerve tissue develops? The mechanism of precise control of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and hydrogen ions (H$^+$) - but not O$_2$ - in brain tissue has been evolutionally chosen. Such receptor mechanisms (central chemoreceptors) were formed close to neural networks of respiratory center - at caudal brain stem [7-9].

Actually, ideal system for quick decision making by neural network of respiratory center has been evolutionally formed in brain tissue. This system analyzes "input" signals from peripheral chemoreceptors of carotid body (O$_2$ level) and "output" ones - depending on metabolic rate - from central chemoreceptors (CO$_2$, and H$^+$ level) [7-9]. The system is very efficient and graceful. Respiratory center receives exact information about O$_2$ content right after output of blood portion from heart. Central chemoreceptors constantly inform respiratory center about the rate of metabolic processes in brain monitoring content of H$^+$ and CO$_2$ in brain stem tissue. Reconciliation of "input" and "output" information allows respiratory center performing adequate correction of homeostasis control [4,5,7,8].

Figure 1 shows scheme which allows arranging thoughts expressed above. Signal about O$_2$ content in blood arrives from carotid body (1) via carotid sinus nerve to respiratory center (3), and the ones about CO$_2$ content in brain tissue - from central chemoreceptors (2). Neural network of respiratory center analyzes...
this information and guarantees speed and adequacy of decisions to maintain respiratory homeostasis. Information coming from receptors in lung airways (4) by vagus nerve is important for determining the state of lung ventilation. And the integrity of this information is crucial for making decisions about parameters of lung ventilation involving the main inspiratory muscle - diaphragm (5).

The Controversial Hypothesis of Breathing Regulation

Above presented classic scheme of breathing autoregulation was queried recently [10,11]. Scientists dispute about adding one more element to the scheme (Figure 1) [4,5,10-12]. Several authors [10,11] consider namely glial elements (astrocytes) in brain stem the key sensors to hypoxic stimulus [10,11], and not the neuron-like receptor elements responding to CO₂ shifts. This point of view [10,11] is confirmed by experimental data on specific reactions of astrocytes in anesthetized rats in simulated hypoxia. The content of signaling molecules - ATP - changes in astrocytes informing respiratory center about hypoxia [10,11]. But what is really brought into classic scheme of breathing autoregulation? It is proposed to drive a wedge of additional frigidly responding to respiratory homeostasis shifts mechanism, associated with functional state of astroglia, into effectively functioning system of specific response of peripheral and central chemoreceptors to, respectively, hypoxic and hypercapnic stimuli [10,11]. This original, but weakly substantiated point of view is refuted by Specialist in the field of mechanisms of respiratory homeostasis control [4,5]. Reference [10,11] to electrophysiological features of astroglia [13] by followers of new hypothesis [10,11] looks incorrect. Authors [13] presented data on three types of astrocytes, the most of them serving as buffer system of extracellular glutamate and potassium excess and only single glial cells play role in neuronal plasticity. Therefore, it is incorrect to use such interesting data to rationalize sensory functions of astrocytes. It looks [10,11] like the casual theatre visitor speaking for master of ceremonies key role in performance, because there will be no drama without him.

Limitations of the Controversial Hypothesis of Breathing Regulation

The nature is unable to put into words indignation at irrationality of human actions. But the consequences of adding an additional insensitive element into classic scheme of respiratory homeostasis control [10,11] are obvious for living organism. Significance of signals incoming from vascular interoreceptors [1] is substantiated by the fact (Figure 2).

The figure represents dense vascular network of brain. Interoreceptors, including the ones which react on O₂ content change [1], are densely distributed in vascular walls. There is little to suggest that nature would require astroglial cells as an alternative. Why? Evolution process is characterized by plenty of patterns, simplicity of complex issues solving and avoiding of excesses being among them. According to classic hypothesis, a kind of balanced "labor differentiation" evolved in mechanism of respiratory homeostasis maintenance. The content of O₂ in blood is instantly determined by carotid body receptors in blood flow heading to brain. Astroglial cells wait for the first portion of blood which is now in major vessels after heart contraction. So, astroglial cells appear outsiders in the mechanisms of O₂ chemoreception. If only this question would be finished by intellectual discussion. But the facts obtained are necessary for therapy of patients [14-16]. Therefore, it is advisable to avoid proof less hypotheses in physiology and medicine [10,11], because ambitiousness of disputants may help forget patient's destiny.
Conclusion

Key mechanisms of respiratory homeostasis control have been reviewed from the modern positions. These mechanisms are based on the principles of evolutionary enhancement of feedback of responses from vascular (peripheral) chemoreceptors which react mainly on shifts of oxygen content in blood and medullary (central) ones which keep track on levels of carbon dioxide and hydrogen ions in brain tissue. Concerted activity respiratory center neural network in the process of signal processing from central and peripheral chemoreceptors is the basis for effective control of respiratory homeostasis at slightest shifts of O₂ content in blood and CO₂ and H⁺ ions concentration in brain tissue.
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