The aim of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of Salmonella spp., Salmonella Enteritidis and Salmonella Typhimurium in retail beef from different retail markets of Selangor area, as well as, to assess their pathogenic potential and antimicrobial resistance. A total of 240 retail beef meat samples (chuck = 60; rib = 60; round = 60; sirloin = 60) were randomly collected. The multiplex polymerase chain reaction (mPCR) in combination with the most probable number (MPN) method was employed to detect Salmonella spp., S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium in the meat samples. The prevalence of Salmonella spp., S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium in 240 beef meat samples were 7.50, 1.25, and 0.83%, respectively. The microbial loads of total Salmonella was found in the range of <3 to 15 MPN/g. Eight different serovars of Salmonella were identified among the 23 isolates, and S. Agona was the predominant serovar (26.09%). Interestingly, all the Salmonella isolates were resistant to penicillin, erythromycin and vancomycin, but the sensitivity was observed for tetracycline, gentamicin and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid. All 23 isolates were resistant to at least three antibiotics. Two S. Typhimurium isolates (8.70%) exhibited the highest multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) index value of 0.56 which shown resistance to nine antibiotics. PCR analysis of virulence genes showed that all Salmonella isolates (100%) were positive for the invA gene. Meanwhile, pefA was only identified in S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium. The findings in this study indicate that retail beef products tested were widely contaminated with multi-drug resistant (MDR) Salmonella and various virulence genes are present among the isolated Salmonella serovars.
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INTRODUCTION

The intestinal epithelial infection known as salmonellosis is caused by the genus *Salmonella*. The major pathogenic serovars of *Salmonella enterica* that infect humans from a variety of different food products include *Salmonella Enteritidis* and *Salmonella Typhimurium* (Kramarenko et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2016; Ed-dra et al., 2017). In the USA over 40,000 salmonellosis cases are reported each year and foods of animal origin are considered to be the most likely source of *Salmonella* (Finstad et al., 2012). It is well known that human *Salmonella* infections are associated with many different kinds of food, including beef meat and beef meat products (Brichta-Harhay et al., 2008; Sallam et al., 2014). Hence, the presence of *Salmonella* in beef at the slaughter level and at the market is a significant food safety risk.

Sensitive and specific methods with shorter turnaround time for the detection and identification of *Salmonella* are needed to reduce testing-related laboratory costs. Therefore, multiplex polymerase chain reaction (mPCR) uses few pairs of primers simultaneously detecting different pathogens in the same samples has the potential as a reliable and effective method (Pui et al., 2011). Previously, a detection method consist of mPCR and the most probable number (MPN) method has successfully been performed to detect and identify *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* in chicken meat (Thung et al., 2016). Indeed, the mPCR-MPN method has widely been used to detect and enumerate food-borne pathogens such as *Campylobacter* spp. (Chai et al., 2007), *Listeria monocytogenes* (Kuan et al., 2013) and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (Tan et al., 2017). Recently, gold nanoparticle-aptamer-based localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) sensing chip was developed to enable the ultra-sensitive and selective detection of *S. Typhimurium* in pork meat (Oh et al., 2017).

In general, bacterial virulence factors have a crucial role for systemic infections. The pathogenicity of *Salmonella* strains has been related to numerous virulence genes present in the chromosomal *Salmonella* pathogenicity islands (SPIs) (Nayak et al., 2004). Genes such as *invA* and *hia*, found in SPI, allow *Salmonella* to invade epithelial cells (Cardona-Castro et al., 2002; Nayak et al., 2004). Besides, *Salmonella* outer proteins (sops) (SPI effector protein) encoded by sop gene have relevance to *Salmonella* virulence (Huehn et al., 2010). Meanwhile, the plasmid encoded fimbiae (pefA) gene contributes to the adhesion of *Salmonella* to epithelial cells (Murugkar et al., 2003). Other chromosomal gene like *stn*, codes for enterotoxin production has been shown to be a causative agent of diarrhea (Huehn et al., 2010). In addition, virulence plasmids carrying virulence genes such as the *spv* operon (*Salmonella* plasmid virulence) contribute to the colonization of deeper tissues among other functions (Swamy et al., 1996).

To date, the emergence and spread of antimicrobial resistance among zoonotic *Salmonella* has become a public health threat (Sallam et al., 2014). Importantly, *Salmonella* strains having “clinically important resistance” to some agents like extended-spectrum cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones, have been isolated from livestock (Li et al., 2013). In most developing countries, misuse and overuse of antibiotics has contributed to the increasing trend of multi-resistance in *Salmonella* (Ed-dra et al., 2017). In Selangor (center of Peninsular Malaysia), although some reports were found based on the prevalence of *Salmonella* in different types of foods, but limited information on the surveillance study of *Salmonella* spp., *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* in beef at retail level are available. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the prevalence, virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* serovars isolated from retail beef meat in Selangor area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of Meat Sample

Four different parts of beef meat samples (chuck = 60; rib = 60; round = 60; sirloin = 60) with a total number of 240 were collected from retail markets (wet markets and hypermarkets) in Selangor area over 9 months period from September 2014 to May 2015 (approximately 25 to 27 samples per month). The retail meat samples were kept in sterile stomacher bags and transferred to the laboratory for further analysis.

Enrichment and Most Probable Number (MPN) Method

Ten gram of meat sample was homogenized for 50 s using a stomacher after added in 90 mL of sterile buffered peptone water (BPW) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Then, the suspension was diluted up to 1,000-fold with 10-fold serial. Later, MPN method (three-tube) was performed by transferring 1 mL of each dilution into three replicate tubes with 10 mL of Rappaport-Vassiliadis (RV) broth (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) each, and followed by overnight incubation at 37°C under aerobic conditions. The turbid MPN tubes were selected for subsequent DNA extraction using the boiled-cell method as described previously (Chai et al., 2007).

Multiplex PCR Conditions

For mPCR detection, three primer pairs were used to identify randomly selected-sequence of unknown function gene (429 bp) for *Salmonella* spp., *sdfI* gene (304 bp) for *S. Enteritidis*, and *flc* gene (620 bp) for *S. Typhimurium*. The sequences of the primer pair used for targeting random sequence were 5'-GCCAACCAATTGCTAAATTGGGCA-3' and 5'-GGTAGAAATTCACCAGCGGTACTGG-3' (Soumet et al., 1999), whereas the primer pair used for targeting the *sdfI* gene were 5'-TGTGTCTTATCTGATGCAAGGG-3' and 5'-TGAACACTAGTGTGCTTCTTGG-3' (Alvarez et al., 2004), followed by the primer pair used for targeting the *flc* gene were 5'-CGGTGTTGGCCACAGTTGGTAAT-3' and 5'-ACTGGTAAAGATGGCT-3' (Soumet et al., 1999). We optimized the mPCR reaction conditions by a series of preliminary experiments so that the three independent PCR reactions can be performed in the same tube with the detection limit of 10^5 cfu/mL (data not shown). The optimized mPCR reaction mixture (25 μL) contained 2 μL of DNA template, 5 μL of 5× PCR buffer, 2.5 μL of 25 mM MgCl_2, 0.5 μL of 10 mM deoxynucleotide triphosphate (dNTP), 0.5 μL of 1.2 μM primer mix and 14.2 μL of deionized water. The mixture was
then treated with 0.3 µL (1.5 U) Taq DNA polymerase. PCR amplification was performed in triplicate with the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 45 s, annealing at 53°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min and final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The positive controls used were S. Typhimurium ATCC 14028 and S. Enteritidis ATCC 13076. Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 was used as a negative control.

Isolation and Identification of Salmonella

The turbid MPN tubes were confirmed to be Salmonella by plating on selective CHROMagar Salmonella (CHROMagar Microbiology, Paris, France) and Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate (XLD) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) agar plates, and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. All the Salmonella isolates were then serotyped by slide agglutination using polyvalent “O” and “H” antisera (BD, Franklin Lakes, USA) at Veterinary Research Institute (VRI), Ipoh, Malaysia in accordance with the Kauffmann-White scheme.

Antimicrobial Resistance Profiles

The antimicrobial susceptibility was evaluated according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2012) by using disc diffusion method. Briefly, isolates were cultured aerobically in 10 mL Mueller-Hinton (MH) broth (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) at 37°C for 24 h. Overnight cultures, grown on MH broth (OD adjusted to 0.5 MacFarland unit), were swabbed evenly with sterile non-toxic cotton swab on MH agar plates and left to dry for 2 to 4 min. Then, antimicrobial sensitivity discs were placed on the culture by using a disk dispenser and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The tested antimicrobials were amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (AMC, 30 µg), amoxycillin (AML, 30 µg), ceftazidime (CAZ, 30 µg), cephalaxin (KZ, 30 µg), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 µg), erythromycin (E, 15 µg), chloramphenicol (C, 30 µg), ampicillin (AMP, 10 µg), penicillin (P, 10 µg), streptomycin (S, 10 µg), tetracycline (TE, 30 µg), kanamycin (K, 30 µg), gentamicin (CN, 10 µg), vancomycin (VA, 30 µg), nalidixic acid (NA, 30 µg), and sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim (SXT, 25 µg) (Oxoid, Hampshire, United Kingdom). The multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) index was calculated as “a/b,” where “a” the number of antibiotics for a particular isolate was resistant and “b” the total number of antibiotics tested (Krumperman, 1983).

Detection of Virulence Genes

All Salmonella isolates collected in this study were screened for the presence of virulence genes using PCR. The primers, the size in base pairs of the respective amplification products and the references used for detection of six virulence genes are presented in Table 1. The virulence genes under study were invA, pefA, hilA, sopB, stn, and spvC. Positive (S. Typhimurium ATCC 14028 and S. Enteritidis ATCC 13076) and negative control (E. coli ATCC 25922) were conducted in the detection procedure. To evaluate the reproducibility of the experiments, PCR amplification and electrophoresis experiments were carried out in triplicate.

Statistical Analysis

All measurements were carried out in triplicate. Minitab (v. 14) statistical package (Minitab Inc., State College, PA) was used to determine if there was any significant difference between the prevalence of Salmonella in beef meat from wet market and hypermarket. For all analysis, P < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Prevalence of Salmonella in Beef

The target genes specific to Salmonella spp., S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium produced amplicons at 429, 304, and 620 bp, respectively. Figure 1 shows the result of gel electrophoresis comparing various combinations of the PCR primer sets and verifying the mPCR established for the current study consists of three independent and specific PCR reactions. Of the 240 retail beef meat samples tested, the contamination rates were 7.50% (n = 18), 1.25% (n = 3), and 0.83% (n = 2) for Salmonella spp., S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium, respectively (Table 2). Beef part found was the major reservoir for Salmonella, with prevalence rate of 16.67% (n = 60). The prevalence of Salmonella spp. in wet markets (10.00%) were significantly higher than hypermarkets (5.00%) (P < 0.05). As shown in Table 3, the highest microbial loads of Salmonella was found in Salmonella spp. (15.0 MPN/g), followed by S. Enteritidis (3.6 MPN/g) and S. Typhimurium (3.6 MPN/g).

Antimicrobial Resistance Profiles

Antibiotic sensitivity testing was performed for the 23 isolated Salmonella strains, which included S. Enteritidis (n = 3), S. Typhimurium (n = 2), S. Agona (n = 6), S. Anatum (n = 3), S. London (n = 3), S. Newport (n = 3), S. Stanley (n = 1), and S. Weltevreden (n = 2). As shown in Table 4, three antibiotics gentamicin, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, and tetracycline were effective (100%) to all isolates. In this study, resistance to erythromycin, penicillin and vancomycin were seen in 100% of S. Enteritidis, S. Typhimurium, S. Agona, S. Anatum, S. London, S. Newport, S. Stanley and S. Weltevreden isolates. As shown in Table 5, the highest MAR index value of 0.56 was found in two S. Typhimurium isolates. Observation from the previous study indicated that all Salmonella isolates were multi-drug resistant (MDR) strains, which showed resistance to three antibiotics (penicillin, vancomycin and erythromycin) or more. Nine Salmonella isolates (39.13%) mainly from S. Agona and S. Newport were resistant to four antibiotics, and three S. Enteritidis (13.04%) were resistant to at least five of the antibiotics.

Distribution of Virulence Genes among Salmonella Isolates

All 23 Salmonella isolates were tested by PCR for the presence of virulence genes. The invasion gene operon invA was detected in all Salmonella isolates (Table 6). Regarding the different frequencies of hilA, sopB, and stn genes among different serovars, a clear difference was noticed in the occurrence of these genes among the isolates; S. London and S. Stanley did not show the
TABLE 1 | PCR primers used for amplification of virulence genes in Salmonella isolates.

| Virulence genes | Primer sequence (5′-3′) | Size (bp) | Reference |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| invA            | F- TATCGCCACGTCGGCAA     | 275       | Nayak et al., 2004 |
| pefA            | R- TCGCACCGTGCAAAGAACCC  | 700       | Murugkar et al., 2003 |
| hilA            | F- TGTTTCCGGGCTTGTGCT    | 854       | Cardona-Castro et al., 2002 |
| sopB            | R- CAGGAGATTGCTGATTCCTCC  | 517       | Huehn et al., 2010 |
| stn             | F- CGGAAGCTATTGAGCCATCTGAAGTACG  | 617       | Murugkar et al., 2003 |
| spvC            | R- GCATGATCCTCAGCAGATG    | 669       | Swamy et al., 1996 |

FIGURE 1 | Representative amplification of random sequence, sdfI gene and fliC gene for identification of Salmonella spp. (429 bp), S. Enteritidis (304 bp) and S. Typhimurium (620 bp), respectively. Lane M: 100-bp DNA ladder; Lane 1: mixture of Salmonella spp. and S. Typhimurium (positive); Lane 2: Salmonella spp. and S. Enteritidis (positive); Lane 3 to Lane 8: meat samples examined; and Lane 9: negative control.

presence of sopB gene. Furthermore, pefA gene was present in 3 of the 23 isolates tested (13.04%), comprising S. Enteritidis (one isolate) and S. Typhimurium (two isolates). Overall, the serovars tested showed at least three virulence-associated genes.

DISCUSSION

Results of investigations of retail beef meat samples do provide an estimate of the prevalence of Salmonella in retail shops. The high incidence of Salmonella in wet markets of the present study indicated poor sanitary condition in the food processing environment and lack of better personal hygiene of food handlers during product preparation. High incidence of Salmonella in wet markets was observed in the previous study of Thung et al. (2016), who found higher prevalence of Salmonella in retail chicken meat samples. An additional factor underlying potential differences in prevalence between wet markets and hypermarkets was that the storage temperature of the samples (Donado-Godoy et al., 2012). Meanwhile, the presence of Salmonella in retail beef meat might be due to the production system and conditions, hygienic slaughter, and transport before sale. In this study, the incidence of Salmonella in beef meat samples (9.58%, n = 240) was higher than the incidence (2.16%, n = 417) in Poland (Wieczorek and Osek, 2013) and less than the incidence (39.87%, n = 158) in North Vietnam (Thai et al., 2012). This could be due to the geographical variation such as climate and feed. On the other hand, prevalence of Salmonella has been reported in other food products in Malaysia. For example, Salmonella spp. and S. Typhimurium were detected in sliced fruits (such as papaya, watermelon, mango, sapodilla, jackfruit, dragon fruit and honeydew) (Pui et al., 2011), and vegetables (such as cabbage, carrot, capsicum, cucumber, lettuce and tomato) (Elexson et al., 2011). Besides, Najwa et al. (2015) have shown that Salmonella spp., S. Typhimurium and S. Enteritidis were detected in different types of local salad known as ulam (such as kacang botol, kacang panjang, pegaga nyonya, and selom).

In the present study, a combined MPN-mPCR was used to quantify the microbial load (MPN/g) which can facilitate the enumeration of Salmonella spp., S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium in the meat samples within a short period. The
molecular amplification techniques can overcome the limitation of detecting viable but non-culturable (VBNC) cells with providing high specificity and sensitivity (Pui et al., 2011). The procedure of the MPN-mPCR should be familiar to laboratory personnel since it has been extensively used in academic research as well as in industrial settings. Previous studies have
TABLE 5 | The antibiotic resistance profile patterns and multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) index of Salmonella isolates.

| Isolate no. | Retail Source | Salmonella serovar | Antibiotic resistance profiles\(^a\) | MAR index |
|------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1          | Wet market Round | S. Enteritidis | AMP, E, P, SXT, VA | 0.38      |
| 2          | Wet market Sirloin | S. Enteritidis | AMP, C, E, P, VA | 0.31      |
| 3          | Wet market Round | S. Typhimurium | AML, AMP, KZ, C, CIP, E, P, SXT, VA | 0.56      |
| 4          | Wet market Chuck | S. Agona | AMP, E, P, VA | 0.25      |
| 5          | Wet market Rib | S. Agona | AMP, E, P, VA | 0.25      |
| 6          | Wet market Rib | S. Agona | AMP, E, P, VA | 0.25      |
| 7          | Wet market Rib | S. Agona | AMP, E, P, VA | 0.25      |
| 8          | Wet market Round | S. Anatum | E, P, VA | 0.19      |
| 9          | Wet market Round | S. Anatum | E, P, VA | 0.19      |
| 10         | Wet market Sirloin | S. Anatum | E, P, VA | 0.19      |
| 11         | Wet market Chuck | S. London | E, P, VA | 0.19      |
| 12         | Wet market Chuck | S. London | E, P, VA | 0.19      |
| 13         | Wet market Round | S. Newport | CIP, E, P, VA | 0.25      |
| 14         | Wet market Round | S. Newport | CIP, E, P, VA | 0.25      |
| 15         | Wet market Sirloin | S. Stanley | E, P, VA | 0.19      |
| 16         | Hypermarket Round | S. Enteritidis | AMP, C, E, P, SXT, VA | 0.38      |
| 17         | Hypermarket Round | S. Typhimurium | AML, AMP, KZ, C, CIP, E, P, SXT, VA | 0.56      |
| 18         | Hypermarket Chuck | S. Agona | AMP, E, P, VA | 0.25      |
| 19         | Hypermarket Round | S. Agona | AMP, E, P, VA | 0.25      |
| 20         | Hypermarket Chuck | S. London | E, P, VA | 0.19      |
| 21         | Hypermarket Round | S. Newport | CIP, E, P, VA | 0.25      |
| 22         | Hypermarket Sirloin | S. Weltevreden | AML, C, E, P, VA | 0.31      |
| 23         | Hypermarket Sirloin | S. Weltevreden | AML, C, E, P, VA | 0.31      |

\(^a\)AML, Amoxycillin; AMP, Ampicillin; KZ, Cephazolin; C, Chloramphenicol; CIP, Ciprofloxacin; E, Erythromycin; P, Penicillin; SXT, Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim; VA, Vancomycin.

TABLE 6 | Virulence genes profiles of Salmonella isolates.

| Gene | Number of Salmonella serovars positive for virulence genes | Total (%) |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
|      | S. Enteritidis (3) | S. Typhimurium (2) | S. Agona (6) | S. Anatum (3) | S. London (3) | S. Newport (3) | S. Stanley (1) | S. Weltevreden (2) |
| invA | 3          | 2          | 6          | 3          | 3          | 3          | 1          | 2          | 23 (100)  |
| pepA | 1          | 2          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 3 (13.04)  |
| hlaA | 3          | 2          | 4          | 3          | 3          | 1          | 1          | 2          | 19 (82.61) |
| scopB| 2          | 1          | 6          | 1          | 0          | 2          | 0          | 2          | 14 (60.87) |
| str  | 3          | 1          | 3          | 1          | 2          | 3          | 1          | 2          | 16 (69.57) |
| spvC | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0 (0)      |

described detection methods that successfully combined MPN with mPCR to enumerate bacteria such as *Campylobacter*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in samples (Kuan et al., 2017; Premarathne et al., 2017; Tan et al., 2017). Worth to note that beef meat products by their nature, undergo extensive processing and handling during their production, may also increase the risk of contamination (Thung et al., 2016). Typically, improper or ineffective cleaning of chopping boards, tables and knives does play a role in harboring and multiplying the organism. Cross-contamination may occur when microorganisms are transferred from one surface to another, possibly leading to contamination of other safe meat or clean equipment. *Salmonella* contamination was common in retail meats such as beef, pork and lamb, which could be a potential vehicle for transmitting *Salmonella* to humans (Yang et al., 2010). Thus, implementation and maintenance of some control measures like the good manufacturing practices (GMP) and hazard analysis and critical control point (HACCP), as well as further strengthening the education of food processors will be necessary, for reducing *Salmonella* contamination. Due to clinical significance, determining or *Salmonella* resistance or otherwise to antimicrobial agents is critical for
treatment during outbreaks. High resistance of *Salmonella* isolates to erythromycin, penicillin and vancomycin in this finding are of clinical concern and could be the result of widespread use of these antibiotics in Selangor area. Similarly, high percentage of penicillin and erythromycin resistance were observed in different *Salmonella* serovars which isolated from retail meat products such as beef burger, ground beef and fresh beef (Sallam et al., 2014). Interestingly, there were no *Salmonella* serovars resistant to amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, ceftazidime, gentamicin, kanamycin, nalidixic acid, streptomycin, and tetracycline. In contrast, resistance to tetracycline was observed among the serovars of *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* isolated from retail beef meat samples (Yang et al., 2010). Previously, a study in China demonstrated that all the *Salmonella* strains (n = 83) were sensitive to amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, while 98.80 and 92.77% were observed for gentamicin and tetracycline, respectively (Dong et al., 2014). In fact, MDR *Salmonella* serovars are considered to be more virulent than non-MDR *Salmonella* (Nayak et al., 2004; Dong et al., 2014). High percentages of antimicrobial resistant *Salmonella* serovars from retail meat products have been reported worldwide by several researchers (Yang et al., 2010; Thai et al., 2012; Sallam et al., 2014). In Malaysia, such observation was also reported by Geidam et al. (2012), where they were able to detect MDR *Salmonella* in the poultry environment in Selangor region. In this study, MDR *Salmonella* isolates are prevalent in both retail markets. Hence, more attention should be focused on the supervision and control of antimicrobial use, typically in the agriculture and human health care sectors in Malaysia.

Accordingly, the virulence of bacteria is influenced by both antimicrobial resistance and the presence of virulence genes (Huehn et al., 2010; Dong et al., 2014). The emergence of MDR strains of *Salmonella* are mainly based on the factors of genetic and biochemical mechanisms in order to enhance their survivability by preserving their drug resistance genes (Yang et al., 2010). Regarding the virulence factors that were analyzed, *S. Enteritidis*, *S. Typhimurium*, *S. Agona*, *S. Anatum*, *S. Newport*, and *S. Weltevreden* isolates showed a broader range of pathogenicity determinants as compared to other serovars. The most common virulence gene which present in *Salmonella*, invA gene, was used as PCR target gene for detection of *Salmonella* (Nayak et al., 2004; Dong et al., 2014). On the other hand, an OmpR/ToxR transcriptional regulator encoded by the hilA gene to activate the expression of invasion genes was shown to play an important role in *Salmonella* virulence (Cardona-Castro et al., 2002). In this study, the PCR screening using hilA-targeted *Salmonella*-specific primers showed a clear abundance of this virulence gene was detected in 19 of 23 analyzed strains (82.61%), irrespective of their serovars. In addition, similar results have been reported by Murugkar et al. (2003) who found that the chromosomally encoded virulent stn gene was widely distributed in all the isolated serovars. This strengthens to our present finding; the stn gene was prevalent among the isolated *Salmonella* serovars by PCR-based assay (69.57%). Several studies have shown that the *Salmonella* virulence plasmid plays an important role in human disease (Swamy et al., 1996).

In summary, this study has shown that a combined MPN-mPCR method was a reliable and useful for rapid screening of *Salmonella* from retail beef meat. Our results indicate that retail beef meat act as reservoirs in harboring multiple *Salmonella* serovars, where cross-contamination might be occurred during processing and at the retail level. *S. Agona* was the most common serotype found in retail beef. Moreover, the recovered *Salmonella* isolates exhibiting multi-drug resistant and multiple virulence genes, which constitute a possible risk to humans from consumption of these products. Therefore, it is important to manage the use of antimicrobial agents in livestock now, to prevent the acquisition and increased resistance to recent molecules in order to fight against the vertical and horizontal transfer of MDR strains. Alternatively, it is necessary for developing more effective intervention strategies such as green control method using bacteriophages as controlling measure in the food chain in order to reduce the risk of food-borne diseases.
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