Analysis of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms of STK32B, PPARGC1A and CTNNA3 Gene With Sporadic Parkinson's Disease Susceptibility in Chinese Han Population
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INTRODUCTION

Parkinson's disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disorder, and affects approximately 1.7 million individuals (aged ≥ 65 years) in China (1). Although the exact cause of PD remains unknown, accumulating evidence has demonstrated that genetic background is a potential contributor to the pathogenesis of this disease (2, 3).

Genome-wide association study (GWAS) is a powerful tool for genetic association studies, many GWAS-related polymorphic loci, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in SNCA, GBA, and LRRK2 have been reported to be associated with the risk of PD (4–8). Recently, a GWAS

Recently, five novel single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), rs10937625 in STK32B (serine/threonine kinase 32B), rs17590046 in PPARGC1A (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha), and rs12764057, rs10822974, and rs7903491 in CTNNA3 (catenin alpha 3), were found to be associated with increased risk of essential tremor (ET) in a genome-wide association study (GWAS) in individuals of Caucasian ancestry. Considering the overlap between ET and Parkinson’s disease (PD) in pathological features and clinical manifestations, a case-control study comprising 546 PD patients and 550 control subjects was carried out to examine whether the same variants were also associated with PD in Chinese Han population. However, the above variants did not show an association with PD. Our results suggested that these variants do not play a major role in PD in the Chinese population. Actually, the clinical overlap between PD and ET is under debate. In our Chinese Han cohort, we did not verify potential genetic pleiotropy between two diseases, which may indicated that etiology and pathobiology of PD and ET are distinct. Thus, a more comprehensive study such as a multi-center study may be helpful to evaluate the relationship between the five new susceptible loci and PD in Chinese Han population in the future.
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reported that a progress has been made in research on gene polymorphism in essential tremor (ET). Five new loci (rs10937625 in STK32B (serine/threonine kinase 32B), rs17590046 in PPARGC1A [peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha], and rs12764057, rs10822974, and rs7903491 in CTNNA3 (catenin alpha 3) have been identified, and are significantly associated with increased risk of ET in individuals of Caucasian ancestry (9). Actually, the clinical overlap between PD and ET is under debate. Although the underline mechanisms between these two disorders are distinct (10), the overlapping clinical features suggest that PD and ET may share common risk causes such as genetic factor. The risk to develop PD after an initial diagnosis of ET was four-fold when compared to a non-ET population (11), and individuals with a PD-diagnosed relative are more likely to develop ET (12). The GWAS LINGO1 variant has been implicated in etiologic links between ET and PD (13). Moreover, there are several variants associated with PD have also been observed in ET patients (14–16). Thus, it would be meaningful to explore whether the ET-related genetic variants are also associated with PD.

Consequently, a case-control study comprising 546 PD patients and 550 healthy controls was performed to investigate the association between the five new loci and PD in Chinese Han population. In fact, similar studies have been published in two independent groups (17, 18), and one was performed in China (18). However, there is still a lack of study in northern China. As far as we know, this research is the first study to detect the relationship between the three new loci and the risk of PD in North Chinese population.

METHODS

As shown in Table 1, 546 mainland Chinese with sporadic PD and 550 age- and sex-matched healthy individuals were recruited from The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University. PD patients with onset age younger than 50 years old were classified into early-onset PD (EOPD) and those with onset age older than or equal to 50 years were late-onset PD (LOPD). There are 98 EOPD patients (age < 50) and 448 LOPD patients (age ≥ 50), 248 patients with tremor dominant PD (TD) and 298 patients with indeterminate type or pace and instable gate PD (PIGD). This classification is determined by the ratio of tremor score to postural instability and mean gait disorder scores (TD/PIGD), and the scores of motor symptoms can be determined by the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS). TD is categorized with the criterion that TD total score/PIGD total score ≥ 1.5, and when TD total score/PIGD total score ≤ 1, it is categorized as PIGD, similar to previously published method (19, 20). All patients underwent a standardized neurological examination by two movement disorder specialists, and the diagnosis was made according to the criteria of the United Kingdom PD Society Brain Bank (21). The exclusion criteria include: a variety of secondary Parkinson’s syndrome (including traumatic, neoplastic, drug-induced, toxic, vascular, hydrocephalus, etc.), and Parkinson’s-plus syndrome; primary Parkinson’s disease with surgery or gamma knife Treatment; schizophrenia, or other patients with severe mental illness; severe heart, liver, kidney, and other organ damage should also be ruled out. All subjects were ethnic Hans and gave informed consent. The study received approval from the institutional ethics committee. Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood lymphocytes using standard procedures (22). We designed specific primers for each single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) site, and polymerase chain reaction analysis was conducted. The detailed primer sequences are shown in Table 4. Both cases and controls were genotyped by Sanger sequencing. DNASTAR Lasergene MegAlign (v7.1.0) and Chromas (v2.33) were used to conduct sequence alignment. PASS (v11.0) was used to calculate statistical power. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for genotype-frequency control subjects was examined. Chi-squared tests were adopted to compare differences of allele frequency, and Logistic regression analysis was applied to evaluate the association of SNP with the risk of PD. Bonferroni correction was used to adjust for multiple testing, and we set the significance at 0.01.

RESULTS

Clinical characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. The characteristics of the SNPs are shown in Table 2. All selected SNPs were at Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($P > 0.05$) in the control group (Table 2).

In current study, no associations were detected between rs12764057 (genotype $p = 0.065$; allele $p = 0.54$), rs10822974 (genotype $p = 0.811$; allele $p = 0.782$), rs10937625 (genotype $p = 0.677$; allele $p = 0.39$), rs7903491 (genotype $p = 0.682$; allele $p = 0.652$), rs17590046 (genotype $p = 0.436$; allele $p = 0.152$) and PD in our Chinese Han cohort (Table 3). In an analysis of additive, dominant, and recessive genetic models, none of the five polymorphic variants showed evidence of an association with PD in our samples (Table 3). Additionally, we found no associations between TD and PIGD when stratified in terms of clinical subtypes (Table S1). After gender and age stratification, yet no associations were detected for subgroups of males or females and EOPD or LOPD between patients and controls. (Tables S2, S3).
**TABLE 2** | Characteristics of included SNPs.

| SNP       | Chr | Gene   | Alleles | MAF (1,000g-CHS) | MAF (observed) | Ancestral Allele | Test for HWE (P-Value) | Crude P-value (allele) | Adjusted P-value (allele) | OR   | 95% CI |
|-----------|-----|--------|---------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------|
| rs10937625 | 4   | STK32B | C/T     | 17% (C)          | 17.9% (C)      | T               | 0.204                | 0.36                   | 0.39                     | 0.903 | (0.726,1.124) |
| rs17590046 | 4   | PPARGC1A | C/T    | 6.3% (C)         | 9.5% (C)       | T               | 0.073                | 0.132                  | 0.152                    | 0.802 | (0.601, 1.069) |
| rs12764057 | 10  | CTNNA3 | T/G     | 27.2% (G)        | 32.3% (G)      | T               | 0.056                | 0.51                   | 0.54                     | 1.062 | (0.888, 1.27) |
| rs10822974 | 10  | CTNNA3 | A/G     | 47.1% (A)        | 42.3% (A)      | A               | 0.380                | 0.749                  | 0.782                    | 0.973 | (0.821, 1.152) |
| rs7903491  | 10  | CTNNA3 | A/G     | 43.2% (G)        | 41.3% (G)      | A               | 0.535                | 0.622                  | 0.652                    | 0.958 | (0.809, 1.135) |

Chr, chromosome; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; MAF, Minor Allele Frequency; 1,000g-CHB, 1,000g-Chinese Han Beijing.

**TABLE 3** | Genotype distributions of included SNPs and single-nucleotide polymorphism associated with PD according to different genetic models.

| SNP       | Genotype | Crude P-value (genotype) | Adjusted P-value (genotype) | Model | Crude P-value (model) | Adjusted P-value (model) | OR   | 95% CI |
|-----------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------|
| rs10937625 | T/T=2    | Case 362 (66.3%)          | 0.666                      | 0.677 | Addition              | 0.405                      | 0.413 | 0.701 | (0.300,1.641) |
|           |          | Control 373 (67.8%)       |                            |       |                       |                            |       |        |                |
| rs17590046 | T/T=1    | Case 455 (83.3%)          | 0.459                      | 0.436 | Addition              | 0.271                      | 0.247 | 1.274 | (0.846,1.919) |
|           |          | Control 438 (79.6%)       |                            |       |                       |                            |       |        |                |
| rs12764057 | T/T=2    | Case 250 (45.8%)          | 0.064                      | 0.065 | Addition              | 0.177                      | 0.185 | 0.701 | (0.415,1.186) |
|           |          | Control 237 (43.1%)       |                            |       |                       |                            |       |        |                |
| rs10822974 | G/G=1    | Case 172 (31.5%)          | 0.793                      | 0.811 | Addition              | 0.537                      | 0.549 | 1.104 | (0.807,1.511) |
|           |          | Control 180 (32.7%)       |                            |       |                       |                            |       |        |                |
| rs7903491  | G/G=2    | Case 106 (19.8%)          | 0.675                      | 0.682 | Addition              | 0.965                      | 0.964 | 1.007 | (0.749,1.353) |
|           |          | Control 96 (17.5%)        |                            |       |                       |                            |       |        |                |

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; model, Genetic model.

**DISCUSSION**

GWAS is a powerful tool for genetic association studies; however, dependent replication is still an important step in distinguishing between true- and false-positive genetic correlations (23). Recently, a study in Singapore verified a previously reported GWAS-linked ET risk locus, PPARGC1A (rs17590046), in Asian patients (9, 24). The pathological features and clinical manifestations of ET and PD overlap. Thus, it is meaningful to verify GWAS-identified ET risk loci in other PD populations. Our case-control study found no association between the five novel SNPs and PD in Chinese Han population, which is consistent with the previous studies (17, 18). It is worth mentioning that there are differences in genes between southern and northern Chinese population (25). Our cohort is mainly from the northern regions of China, together with Zhang Y’s research which object is the Chinese population of southern area (18), provide more comprehensive data on the Chinese population.

To date, a series of studies were performed to identify potential genetic factors which may contribute to both PD and ET. However, the results are frustrating and confusing. It was reported rs9652490 in LINGO1 increased the risk of ET from European and American populations in a GWAS (26). Another research presented that rs9652490 is associated with both ET and PD of Caucasian origin from North America (13). However, a recent research showed no association between rs9652490 and PD in Chinese Han population (27). Another SNP SLC1A2 rs3794087 was associated with ET in a GWAS from Europeans (26), but the result of related study about ET and PD in Chinese Han population is controversial (28–30). All these publications indicated genetic heterogeneity of ET and PD may exist in various populations, which may largely account for the negative results in this study.
**TABLE 4** | The detailed primer sequences.

| SNP       | Gene          | Forward                     | Primer                      |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| rs10937625| STK32B        | GTACTGGAAGCTACAGAGAAGGC     | AOGCAGCAGCTAGACAGTGAGAC     |
| rs17590046| PPARGC1A      | AGTGCTCTGATTTGCTGAGAGA     | CTGGAGCAAGTGTCACCTGAA       |
| rs12764057| CNTNA3        | CAGTTCCCTGGAAGCTTCTCAA     | TCTGATGCAGCTGAGGCTAGTG     |
| rs10822974| CNTNA3        | AGGCAAGCCTTAGGCAAACCTCA    | GCTTGGTGTCCTGGCAAAAGT      |
| rs7903491 | CNTNA3        | AGGTGCTCTGATGTTGGGTACCA    | GCTCATGCGCGCGCTAATTG       |

SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

STK32B and CNTNA3 are two protein-coding genes, but the current study has not found any association with pathogenic pathways of Parkinson’s disease. However, it is worth mentioning that among the 3 genes described above, PPARGC1A plays an important role in the development and progression of neurodegenerative diseases such as Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and Huntington’s disease (31, 32). As we all known, mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress have been strongly linked to PD (33, 34). PGC-1α (an alias for PPARGC1A), which regulates mitochondrial biogenesis and expression of many antioxidant genes, plays an important role in protection against 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) toxicity (35), and expression of genes regulated by PGC-1α are reduced in substantia nigra neurons at early stages of PD (36). Polymorphism research has shown possible associations between PGC-1α SNPs rs6821591 and rs2970848 and age of PD onset in individuals of Caucasian ancestry (37). All of these suggest that PPARGC1A may be involved in the pathogenesis of PD. Accordingly, follow-up studies in Chinese Han population should focus more on PPARGC1A. The observed minor allele frequencies of the 5 SNPs were similar to those reported in the 1,000 Genomes Project in Chinese Han population (Table 2).

However, our study also had several limitations. First, there might be potential selection bias due to the hospital-based study design. Second, the statistical power value of each site does not exceed 80% because of the limited sample size (the statistical power value of rs10937625, rs17590046, rs12764057, rs10822974, rs7903491 are 12.4, 5.8, 34.7, 9.5, 17.7% respectively), thus some positive results might not be detected. Large-sample, multicenter trials are required to better comprehend the contribution of these 5 SNPs to PD susceptibility. Third, although all the PD patients we enrolled have no family history, some patients are still likely to carry other pathogenic genes such as Parkin and PINK1, which may have some impacts on our results.

In conclusion, our results confirmed that the five novel variants are not associated with sporadic PD in Chinese Han population. Additional studies are needed to elucidate the precise mechanism of the association between PD and these variants.
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