A Visually-Aware Conversational Robot Receptionist
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Abstract
Socially Assistive Robots (SARs) have the potential to play an increasingly important role in a variety of contexts including healthcare, but most existing systems have very limited interactive capabilities. We will demonstrate a robot receptionist that not only supports task-based and social dialogue via natural spoken dialogue but is also capable of visually grounded dialogue; able to perceive and discuss the shared physical environment (e.g. helping users to locate personal belongings or objects of interest). Task-based dialogues include check-in, navigation and FAQs about facilities, alongside social features such as chit-chat, access to the latest news and a quiz game to play while waiting. We also show how visual context (objects and their spatial relations) can be combined with linguistic representations of dialogue context, to support visual dialogue and question answering. We will demonstrate the system on a humanoid ARI robot, which is being deployed in a hospital reception area.

1 Introduction
Socially Assistive Robots (SARs) are increasingly being explored in contexts ranging from education (Papadopoulos et al., 2020) to healthcare (González-González et al., 2021). It has been noted, however, that despite the success of SARs and spoken dialogue systems in their respective research fields, integration of the two is still rare (Lima et al., 2021) and social robots in general still lack interaction capabilities (Cooper et al., 2020). In a similar fashion, even recent research on combining vision and language has tended to centre around the use of still images (Mostafazadeh et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2020), with few systems able to support visual dialogue as part of a natural, situated conversation.

The SPRING project aims to develop such a system in the form of a robot receptionist for visitors to an eldercare outpatient hospital. In this context the robot must be able to communicate naturally with users on a variety of both functional and social topics, including but not limited to those concerning the shared physical environment. We demonstrate our progress towards this goal, with a multi-modal conversational AI system that is integrated on an ARI robot1 (Fig. 1) and which combines social

---

1https://pal-robotics-com/robots/ari
and task-based conversation with visual dialogue regarding navigation and object detection in the shared space. Our system greets visitors, supports them to check-in, answers FAQs and helps users to locate key facilities and objects. It also offers social support/entertainment in the form of chit-chat, a quiz and access to the latest news.

2 System Architecture

The system architecture (Fig. 2), is composed of three main modules; a visual perception system, a dialogue system, and a social interaction planner.

2.1 Visual Perception System

The visual perception system is implemented as a ROS action server and is based on scene segmentation (Wu et al., 2019) from Facebook’s Detectron2 framework. From the segmented scene, the goal is to build a scene graph to capture relationships between objects such as location, adjacency, etc.

2.2 Dialogue System

The Dialogue System (Fig. 3) is based on the Alana system (Curry et al., 2018), an ensemble of different bots that compete in parallel to produce a response to user input. There are two types of bot: rule-based bots that can, for example, drive the conversation if it stalls, and express the identity of a virtual ‘persona’ (e.g. answering questions about the robot’s age etc.); and data-driven bots that can retrieve replies from various information sources, e.g., News feeds. The SPRING system retains the rule-based and News bots, supplemented with a number of new, domain-specific bots. Visual Task Bot handles visual dialogue within the conversation, converting the user’s inferred intent and any entities associated with it to a goal message that is forwarded to the visual action server (Part et al., 2021). Reception Bot welcomes visitors, helps them check-in and answers FAQs on, e.g., catering facilities and schedules. Directions Bot helps users find key facilities such as the bathrooms and elevator, while Quiz Bot is a simple true-or-false game designed to keep users entertained while they wait. The Dialogue Manager decides which response the robot verbalises based on a bot priority list. Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) on the robot is currently implemented (in English) via Google Cloud. Natural Language Understanding (NLU) is based on the original Alana pipeline, augmented using the RASA framework for the parsing of domain-specific enquiries. Quiz bot employs regex-based intent recognition. Natural Language Generation (NLG) for the majority of bots consists of templates, with only News bot retrieving content from selected online sites. The utterances are voiced on the robot by Acapela’s UK English Text-To-Speech voice ‘Rachel’.
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2https://github.com/facebookresearch/detectron2
3https://cloud.google.com/speech-to-text
4https://rasa.com
5https://www.acapela-group.com/
2.3 Social Interaction Planner

The Social Interaction Planner interfaces the dialogue, vision systems, and the physical actions of the robot. It creates and executes plan(s) containing dialogue, physical, and perception actions based on the current dialogue context, and is based on the ideas of (Papaioannou et al., 2018; Lemon et al., 2002), enabling multi-threaded task execution and dialogue that is flexible and pausable. As shown in Fig. 2 it comprises several components, with the Arbiter managing communication between the dialogue system, the robot, and the planner.

The Planner is a key component and uses the principle of recipes and resources as developed by (Lemon et al., 2002) to eliminate the problems from (re-)planning and concurrent interactive planning and execution. A domain file lists all the possible actions and specifies their types and parameters including their preconditions and effects. Recipes then describe the sequence of actions (i.e. dialogue, physical, or perception) involved in achieving a desired goal. When requested by the Arbiter, these recipes are transformed into Petri-Net Plans (PNP) (Dondrup et al., 2019) and are concurrently executed together with any other plans that may already be running. At run-time, redundant actions whose effects have already been achieved are skipped, or repair actions are executed in cases where an action was unsuccessful. At any time, each action has the ability to communicate with the dialogue system via the Arbiter to allow for clarification or to communicate perception results.

3 The SPRING ARI Robot

ARI is a humanoid robot, designed for use as a socially assistive companion (Cooper et al., 2020). It is 1.65m tall, has a mobile base, a touch-screen on the torso, movable arms and head with LCD eyes that enable gaze behaviour. The version of the robot used here is equipped with several cameras creating a 360º field of view. For audio capture and processing a ReSpeaker Mic v2.0 array, with 4 microphones is mounted on the front of the belly.

4 Example Dialogues

The example dialogue shown in Table 1 illustrates the system’s visual dialogue capabilities (in bold), occurring as part of the welcome conversation.

| U: Hello, I’ve got an appointment at 10am. |
| R: [reception_bot] I can help you with that. May I take the name please? |
| U: It’s Jeanne X. |
| R: [reception_bot] Pleased to meet you, Jeanne. Is this your first visit? |
| U: No, I’ve been before. |
| R: [reception_bot] OK great, you’ll be an expert then! That’s you checked in. Please take a seat and a nurse will call you when they’re ready. I’m here if you need anything else while you’re waiting. |
| U: Is there something to read while I wait? |
| R: [visual_task_bot] I can see a magazine on the table. |
| U: Aha thanks. |
| R: No problem. |

Table 1: Task-based Dialogue with Visual Dialogue.

The example dialogue in Table 2 further illustrates the robot’s natural language social and task-based capabilities.

| U: Excuse me, do you know how much longer I will have to wait? |
| R: [reception_bot] I expect it won’t be long now. A nurse will come and get you. Do you want to play a game to pass the time? |
| U: OK then, yes please. |
| R: [quiz_bot] Great, let’s play! Please remember, whenever you want to leave the game, just say 'end game’. First question, is Covid-19 on all 7 continents? |
| U: No. |
| R: Correct. Next question ...... |
| R: [quiz_bot] Congratulations! You won. That was fun. Now, please let me know if you need anything else. |
| U: I need the bathroom, can you tell me where it is? |
| R: [directions_bot] To find the nearest bathroom, leave the waiting room and go right towards the door with two round windows. The bathroom will then be on your left. |

Table 2: Task-based Dialogue with Social Dialogue.
5 Conclusions and Future Work

The proposed system demonstrates how to successfully weave together a wide range of task-based, social, and visually grounded dialogue and physical actions on an SAR in a receptionist environment. Next steps are to generate the scene graphs automatically by combining data-driven approaches (Zellers et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2020) with prudent use of refining rules. Crucially also, we are working on extending the system to handle multi-party interactions, an active area of research and highly likely to occur in this context.

For the demonstration, we will showcase our system on the ARI robot, inviting attendees to interact with it and experience all the capabilities of the system described in this paper.
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