Automated detection and quantification of COVID-19 pneumonia: CT imaging analysis by a deep learning-based software
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Abstract

Background The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an emerging worldwide threat to public health. While chest computed tomography (CT) plays an indispensable role in its diagnosis, the quantification and localization of lesions cannot be accurately assessed manually. We employed deep learning-based software to aid in detection, localization and quantification of COVID-19 pneumonia.

Methods A total of 2460 RT-PCR tested SARS-CoV-2-positive patients (1250 men and 1210 women; mean age, 57.7 ± 14.0 years (age range, 11–93 years) were retrospectively identified from Huoshenshan Hospital in Wuhan from February 11 to March 16, 2020. Basic clinical characteristics were reviewed. The uAI Intelligent Assistant Analysis System was used to assess the CT scans.

Results CT scans of 2215 patients (90%) showed multiple lesions of which 36 (1%) and 50 patients (2%) had left and right lung infections, respectively (> 50% of each affected lung’s volume), while 27 (1%) had total lung infection (> 50% of the total volume of both lungs). Overall, 298 (12%), 778 (32%) and 1300 (53%) patients exhibited pure ground glass opacities (GGOs), GGOs with sub-solid lesions and GGOs with both sub-solid and solid lesions, respectively. Moreover, 2305 (94%) and 71 (3%) patients presented primarily with GGOs and sub-solid lesions, respectively. Elderly patients (≥ 60 years) were more likely to exhibit sub-solid lesions. The generalized linear mixed model showed that the dorsal segment of the right lower lobe was the favoured site of COVID-19 pneumonia.

Conclusion Chest CT combined with analysis by the uAI Intelligent Assistant Analysis System can accurately evaluate pneumonia in COVID-19 patients.
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Introduction

In December 2019, an outbreak of a disease was reported in Wuhan, Hubei province, China, with a novel coronavirus, called Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) as its cause [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) had then named the disease caused by the novel coronavirus as Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). On March 11, 2020, the WHO declared COVID-19 to be a pandemic [2], with 10,021,401 confirmed cases and 499,913 reported deaths globally, as of June 29, 2020 [3].

At present, real-time reverse-transcription–polymerase-chain-reaction (real-time RT-PCR) is the standard method used to make a definitive diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection [4]. Unfortunately, RT-PCR results can be affected by sampling errors and low virus load [5, 6]. Radiological examinations, especially thin slice chest Computed tomography (CT) scans, play an important role in identifying the early phase of lung infection, monitoring disease progression and guiding clinical decision making for COVID-19 patients [5, 7, 8]. However, radiologists in different countries vary in their abilities to distinguish COVID-19 from other viral pneumonias [9].

Due to the low contrast of the infection regions in CT images and large variations in both shapes and positions of lesions in different patients, delineation of infection regions in chest CT scans is very challenging. Li et al. had reported initial experiences of artificial intelligence (AI)-based tools to diagnose COVID-19 pneumonia on radiological images [10]. However, the quantification and localization of lesions analysed by AI software were not addressed. In the present study, the uAI Intelligent Assistant Analysis System, a deep learning-based software, was used to automatically extract and analyse regions suspected to be infected with the virus. The distribution and volume of infection in the lungs, as well as the CT features of COVID-19, were assessed.

Methods

Patient cohort

RT-PCR tested SARS-CoV-2-positive patients who were retrospectively identified from Huoshenshan hospitals in Hubei Providence, China, from February 11 to March 16, 2020. The RT-PCR results were extracted from the patients’ electronic medical records in the hospital information system. The clinical data analysed were as follows: age, sex, comorbid conditions and symptoms. Altogether, 2460 patients (mean age, 57.7 ± 14.0 years; range: 11–93 years) with COVID-19 were included in the study of which 1250 patients (51%) were male (mean age: 57.2 ± 14.4 years; range: 14–91 years) and 1210 (49%) were female (mean age: 58.3 ± 13.6 years; range: 11–93 years) (Table 1). The ethics committee at Wuhan Huoshenshan Hospital waived the need for informed consent for this retrospective study.

CT scanning protocol

Multiple detector computed tomography (MDCT) was performed using the uCT 760 scanner (United Imaging, Shanghai, China). The parameters used for the scanning protocol were as follows: patient in the supine position; end inspiratory acquisition; tube voltage, 120 kV; tube current-exposure time product, 145 mAs; section thickness, 0.625 mm; section thickness after reconstruction, 10 mm; and spacing 10 mm. Unenhanced CT scans were obtained for all patients.

Quantification and assessment of COVID-19 infection by uAI

The uAI Intelligent Assistant Analysis System, a deep learning-based software, was specifically developed by United Imaging Medical Technology Company Limited (Shanghai, China) for COVID-19 assessment. This AI software has a modified 3D convolution neural network and a combined V-Net with bottleneck structures [11, 12]. Detailed information on the same was provided in previous reports [13, 14]. The lung segmentation model was launched as early as 2018, while latest models such as infection segmentation and lung field segmentation were added in Feb-Mar, 2020. As detailed in previous report [13], 249 and 300 CT images from COVID-19 patients were used for training and evaluation, respectively. A deep learning-based segmentation network called VB-Net was proposed, which achieved 91% overlap with manual segmentations for infections, and 98% overlap for the lung region. The population in this work is different from the previous datasets used for training and evaluation.

The baseline CT scans of patients were first fed to the deep learning-based segmentation system, which generated infection areas in the whole lung, lung lobes and all bronchopulmonary segments. After segmentation, various metrics were computed to quantify the COVID-19 infection, including volumes of infection in the whole lung, lung lobes and bronchopulmonary segments. Two radiologists made the necessary manual changes for identifying the atypical lesions to validate the results.

In addition, the percentages of infection in the whole lung, lung lobes and bronchopulmonary segments were also computed to measure the severity of the disease and to describe the anatomical pattern within the lung. The Hounsfield unit (HU) histogram within the infection region can be visualized for evaluation of ground glass opacity (GGO) and solid components inside the infection region. The intelligent software used the HU value to classify the lesion into three types: less than −300 for GGO, −300 to 50 for sub-solid and greater than 50 for solid.
Table 1: Patient characteristics

| Characteristic          | Value |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Age (years, mean ± SD)  | 55.7 ± 14.9 |
| Male                    | 1250 (51%)   |
| Range (10–29)           | 51 (2%)      |
| Range (30–49)           | 306 (12%)    |
| Range (50–69)           | 647 (26%)    |
| Range (70–100)          | 246 (10%)    |
| Female                  | 1210 (49%)   |
| Range (10–29)           | 38 (2%)      |
| Range (30–49)           | 232 (9%)     |
| Range (50–69)           | 722 (29%)    |
| Range (70–100)          | 218 (9%)     |
| Comorbidities           |        |
| Hypertension            | 543 (22%)    |
| Diabetes                | 255 (10%)    |
| Cardiovascular disease  | 94 (4%)      |
| Liver disease           | 51 (2%)      |
| Nervous system disease  | 44 (2%)      |
| Chronic lung disease    | 50 (2%)      |
| Chronic kidney disease  | 26 (1%)      |
| Tumour                  | 32 (1%)      |
| Self-reported symptoms  |        |
| Fever                   | 1807 (73%)   |
| Cough                   | 1734 (70%)   |
| Fatigue                 | 1388 (56%)   |
| Dyspnoea                | 1194 (49%)   |
| Myalgia                 | 791 (32%)    |
| Productive cough        | 391 (16%)    |
| Diarrhoea               | 153 (6%)     |
| Nausea or vomiting      | 86 (3%)      |
| Headache                | 77 (3%)      |
| Outcome                 |        |
| Recovery                | 2447 (99%)   |
| Death                   | 13 (1%)      |

Data presented as n (%) unless otherwise indicated

Table 1 summarizes the patients’ clinical characteristics. The common patient comorbidities included hypertension, diabetes, coronary atherosclerotic heart disease, liver disease, nervous system disease, chronic lung disease, chronic kidney disease and tumour. The common self-reported symptoms of illness were fever (73%), cough (70%), fatigue (56%), dyspnoea (49%), myalgia (32%) and productive cough (16%). As of April 10, 2447 (99%) patients had recovered and 13 (1%) patients had died.

Figure 1 shows the complete pipeline for quantitative COVID-19 assessment. Lesions on chest CT were automatically identified by the intelligent software. Only a few atypical lesions required manual identification (Fig. 2).

Among the 2460 CT images, 2215 (90%) showed manifestations of COVID-19 pneumonia in both the lungs. Altogether, 167 (7%) showed unilateral pulmonary infection with 81 (3%) in the right lung and 86 (3%) in the left, while 84 patients (3%) had negative chest CT scans. Altogether, 36 (1%) and 50 (2%) patients had left and right lung infection, respectively, accounting for more than 50% of each affected lung volume, and 27 (1%) patients had total lung infection accounting for more than 50% of the total volume of both lungs (Table 2).

In terms of lesion distribution, infection was found in the apicoposterior segment of the left upper lobe, the anterior segment of the left upper lobe, the superior lingular segment of the left upper lobe, the inferior lingular segment of the left upper lobe, the dorsal segment of the left lower lobe, the anterior basal segment of the right upper lobe, the posterior segment of the right upper lobe, the lateral segment of the right middle lobe, the mediastinal segment of the right middle lobe, the dorsal segment of the right lower lobe, the anterior basal segment of the right lower lobe, the anterior basal segment of the right lower lobe, the lateral segment of the right lower lobe and the posterior basal segment of the right lower lobe in 1756 (71%), 1624 (66%), 1603 (65%), 1575 (64%), 1797 (73%), 1696 (69%), 1813 (74%), 1884 (77%), 1501 (61%), 1802 (73%), 1630 (66%), 1548 (63%), 1482 (60%), 1958 (80%), 1225 (50%), 1766 (72%), 1837 (75%) and 1892 (77%) cases of 2460 cases, respectively (Fig. 3). The generalized linear mixed model showed that the favoured site of COVID-19 pneumonia was the dorsal segment of the right lower lobe ($p < 0.001$) (Table 3).

In terms of lung changes, the appearance of different types of lung is shown in Fig. 4. CT scans showed that 298 (12%), 778 (32%) and 1300 (53%) patients had pure GGO, GGO with sub-solid lesions and all three types,

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± SD. Categorical variables are expressed as counts and percentages. The Chi-square test was used to compare the distribution of CT features in relation to age and sex. The generalized linear mixed model was used to compare the incidence of infection in different lung segments. In this model, lung segment, age and sex were used as fixed effects, and patients were used as random effects. The odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported.
respectively. There were 2305 patients (94%) and 71 patients (3%) primarily presenting with GGO and sub-solid lesions, respectively (Table 2). As demonstrated in Table 3, the distribution of CT scan signs was not significantly different between male or female patients ($p = 0.4125$). However, elderly patients ($\geq 60$ years) were more likely to develop pneumonia and manifest with sub-solid lesions in CT scans compared to younger patients ($p < 0.001$) (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Recently, COVID-19 cases have been escalating worldwide. This has led to an increasing use of radiological examinations, such as the chest CT, to aid in diagnosis, determination of patient prognosis and evaluation of the COVID-19 disease course by physicians. Li et al. had reported that AI software could accurately detect and differentiate COVID-19 pneumonia from community-acquired pneumonia and other lung
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**Fig. 1** Pipeline for quantifying COVID-19 infection. A chest CT scan is first fed into the deep learning-based segmentation system. Then, quantitative metrics are calculated to characterize infection regions in the CT scan, including but not limited to the following: infection volumes, and percentages of infection (POIs) in the whole lung, lung lobes, and bronchopulmonary segments.
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**Fig. 2** Representative uAI output of a 54-year-old man with COVID-19 CT images showing bilateral lesions, which were analysed by the uAI intelligent assistant system.
diseases [10]. However, the quantitative assessment of CT features by AI software has not been reported extensively. These objective data are urgently needed by clinical workers [14, 15]. In this study, we analysed the CT scans of 2460 COVID-19 patients using the uAI Intelligent Assistant Analysis System. This software can accurately segment different anatomical structures of the lung to detect infection regions and measure the percentage of infection in both lungs, along with its lobes and segments. The measures can be adjusted using customized HU ranges.

It has been reported that patients affected by COVID-19 pneumonia show bilateral and multilobar involvement in CT scans, with lesions being more frequent in the lower lobes [16, 17]. Consistent with these studies, our data also showed that COVID-19 pneumonia manifested in both lungs in 2215 of 2460 patients. Furthermore, the study found that the favoured site of COVID-19 pneumonia is the dorsal segment of the right lower lobe. This may be because of the innate anatomic features of the lobar bronchus. Since the bronchus of the right lower lobe of the lung is straighter and steeper than other bronchial branches, and the angle between the right lower lobe and the long axis of the trachea is smaller, the right lower lobar bronchus is more likely to be infected.

Previous studies have shown that the most common CT feature of COVID-19 pneumonia is the presence of multifocal GGOs [18, 19]. In this study, lesions were automatically classified by the uAI Intelligent Assistant Analysis System into GGOs, sub-solid lesions and solid lesions. Results showed that 2305 patients (94%) presented primarily with GGO. This may be explained by the pathogenesis of the virus, with different stages of infection manifesting as a progression of abnormalities seen in the chest CT scans. As the disease progresses, alveolar septal capillaries dilate and become congested and alveolar exudates and lobular interstitial oedema appear. The CT scan would show patchy or flaky ground glass shadows. Local pulmonary vascular dilation demonstrated by dual-energy CT might accelerate the formation of GGO [20]. However, with an increase in alveolar exudate, expansion of intravascular interstitial blood vessels and aggravation of the alveolar and interstitial oedema, CT scans would progress to show a consolidation likened to ground glass. A gradual increase in the density of lesions may also be seen. The ground glass shadow then decreases in density or even completely disappears during the absorption period. Thus, even in the presence of changing lesion appearances, GGOs were present throughout all CT scans. The

---

### Table 2 Chest CT features of patients with COVID-19

| CT feature                                      | No. (% of patients) |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| **Lesion presentation on scan**                 |                     |
| Negative                                       | 84 (3%)             |
| Unilateral infection                           | 167 (7%)            |
| Only right lung                                | 81 (3%)             |
| Only left lung                                 | 86 (3%)             |
| Bilateral infection                            | 2215 (90%)          |
| Left lung infection volume greater than 50%    | 36 (1%)             |
| Right lung infection volume greater than 50%   | 50(2%)              |
| Total lung infection volume greater than 50%   | 27 (1%)             |
| **CT signs**                                   |                     |
| Pure GGO                                       | 298 (12%)           |
| GGO + sub-solid                                | 778 (32%)           |
| GGO + sub-solid + solid                        | 1300 (53%)          |
| GGO as the main lesion                         | 2305 (94%)          |
| Sub-solid as the main lesion                   | 71 (3%)             |

*CT* computed tomography, *GGO* ground glass opacity

---
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study also found that sub-solid lesions were more likely to be found in the CT scans of elderly patients compared to those of younger patients. This may be related to the general observation of COVID-19 pneumonia being more serious in the elderly [21].

AI-based software has become one of the dominant approaches in fighting against COVID-19. The uAI Intelligent Assistant Analysis System integrates human-in-the-loop strategy into the training of a VB-net-based segmentation network, which involves interactivity with radiologists into the training of the network [13]. In addition, AI can improve work efficiency by accurate delineation of infections in CT images, facilitating subsequent quantification [14]. Moreover, assessing the disease by AI-based software is of great importance that could help decision making in treatment planning. Although there are still limited studies, we believe that the more accurate and objective data provided by intelligent software will greatly improve the quality of healthcare.

The present study had several limitations. Due to the hypoxemia and inability to move, many critically ill patients

| Variable                                      | OR   | OR (95% CI) | p      |
|-----------------------------------------------|------|-------------|--------|
| Sex (reference: female)                       | 1.751| 1.461       | 2.1    | < 0.001|
| Age (reference: under 60 years)               | 4.097| 4.092       | 5.884  | < 0.001|
| Distribution (reference: medial basal segment of right lower lobe) | 6.568| 5.58       | 7.731  | < 0.001|
| Apicoposterior segment of left upper lobe     | 5.66 | 4.815       | 6.654  | < 0.001|
| Anterior segment of left upper lobe           | 3.58 | 3.055       | 4.194  | < 0.001|
| Superior lingular segment of left upper lobe  | 3.336| 2.848       | 3.907  | < 0.001|
| Inferior lingular segment of left upper lobe  | 3.038| 2.595       | 3.557  | < 0.001|
| Dorsal segment of left lower lobe             | 4.58 | 3.902       | 5.376  | < 0.001|
| Lateral basal segment of left lower lobe      | 6.967| 5.916       | 8.206  | < 0.001|
| Posterior basal segment of left lower lobe    | 9.126| 7.727       | 10.779 | < 0.001|
| Apical segment of right upper lobe            | 2.384| 2.039       | 2.787  | < 0.001|
| Posterior segment of right upper lobe         | 6.69 | 5.682       | 7.876  | < 0.001|
| Anterior segment of right upper lobe          | 3.653| 3.117       | 4.281  | < 0.001|
| Lateral segment of right middle lobe          | 2.779| 2.375       | 3.252  | < 0.001|
| Medial segment of right middle lobe           | 2.242| 1.918       | 2.62   | < 0.001|
| Dorsal segment of right lower lobe            | 12.301| 10.377 | 14.582 | < 0.001|
| Anterior basal segment of right lower lobe    | 5.868| 4.99        | 6.9    | < 0.001|
| Lateral segment of right lower lobe           | 7.621| 6.465       | 8.984  | < 0.001|
| Posterior basal segment of right lower lobe   | 9.417| 7.97        | 11.126 | < 0.001|

Fig. 4 Representative CT scans of the different lesions observed in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. A A 52-year-old man. CT image showing ground glass opacity lesions in both the upper lung fields. B A 46-year-old woman. CT image showing ground glass opacity lesions mixed with sub-solid lesions in both the lower lung fields. C A 35-year-old woman. CT image showing solid lesions in the right lower lung fields.
cannot undergo CT examinations. Therefore, not all patients can benefit from this novel technology. Secondly, there was a need to adjust the uAI Intelligent Assistant Analysis System manually when identifying atypical lesions. Finally, since the study’s data was only extracted from the baseline CT and one single-centre CT, there is a need for more in-depth research on evaluating the potential impact of AI software in COVID-19 patient management.

In conclusion, the use of chest CT combined with subsequent analysis by the uAI Intelligent Assistant Analysis System can accurately evaluate the pneumonia in COVID-19 patients. Typical CT features of COVID-19 pneumonia include multifocal bilateral GGOs, with the most common site of infection being the dorsal segment of the right lower lobe. The ability of the uAI Intelligent Assistant Analysis to quickly and accurately localize and quantify infection regions from CT scans will not only aid in the diagnosis of COVID-19, but also aid in assessing the disease to help guide physicians in their treatment plans.
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