SUMMARY This paper seeks to improve power-performance efficiency of embedded systems by the use of dynamic reconfiguration. Programmable logic devices (PLDs) have the competence to optimize the power consumption by the use of partial and/or dynamic reconfiguration. It is a non-exclusive approach, which can use other power-reduction techniques simultaneously, and thus it is applicable to a myriad of systems. The power-performance improvement by dynamic reconfiguration was evaluated through an augmented reality system that translates Japanese into English. It is a wearable and mobile system with a head-mounted display (HMD). In the system, the computing core detects a Japanese word from an input video frame and the translated term will be output to the HMD. It includes various image processing approaches such as pattern recognition and object tracking, and these functions run sequentially. The approach achieved marked improvements; the computational speed was the same but the power consumption was reduced to around 1/3.
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1. Introduction

The reduction of power consumption has become an important problem in not only super computers but also electronic devices [1], [2]. For example, the concept, dark silicon, comes from the gap between Moore's Law [3] and Dennard scaling [4]; the shortage of LSI power disables a larger fraction of circuits whenever process rule advances. The frequency control such as Intel [5], AMD [6], and NVIDIA [7] is proposed as a means of handling the problem. But, the approach is not a fundamental solution because there are a measurable amount of on-power transistors in an idle state, where they do not contribute to any computation but consume their power. Heterogeneous architecture [8]–[10] is one candidate to overcome the problem. Thus, this paper proposes a reduction approach with the use of heterogeneous modules by reconfigurable computing.

This paper starts with the definition of the power consumption of a device, and then discusses its reduction. Figure 1 shows the brief overview of electrical power composition of an ASIC and a reconfigurable device.

As shown in Fig. 1, the power consumption of an ASIC, \( P_{ASIC} \), can be expressed simply as the following equation:

\[
P_{ASIC} = P_{static} + P_{dynamic}
\]

where \( P_{dynamic} \) and \( P_{static} \) are the power consumption of logic circuit activities and leakage currents, respectively. The both \( P_{static} \) and \( P_{dynamic} \) increase in proportional to the number of transistor gates of a device, namely the size of a device. Toward the power reduction of a device, its size reduction is an effective approach.

The power consumption of a reconfigurable device, \( P_{RECONF} \) can be expressed as follows.

\[
P_{RECONF} = P_{config} + P_{static} + P_{dynamic}
\]

where \( P_{config} \) is required for holding its circuit configuration. \( P_{config} \) may negate the beneficial effect of a size reduction but it is an essential piece to hold a circuit design as an electrical data. If a large computing circuit is designed by a small chunk of circuit configuration, it can help to solve this problem. Thus, coarse-grained reconfigurable architecture (CGRA) [11]–[15] has been attracting many researches [16], [17]. This paper also focused on CGRA and proposed a spatiotemporal module-based pipeline to design an energy-efficient system. It balanced the profits and losses, which was evaluated in a CGRA system [18], [19].

An augmented reality (AR) system was chosen for the evaluation. AR has rapidly spread to daily life as typified by mobile applications but, the contradiction, performance and power consumption, has vexed many industries. For example, each system would like to compute 30 frames-per-second (fps) video in VGA (640x480) resolution. On the other hand, each is a battery-powered system and its computational device must consider extreme power saving for...
long-term execution. Thus, the evaluation of an AR application in a real system can serve as a test bed for future systems.

Moreover, the proposed approach is evaluated from not only its power-performance efficiency but also the accuracy of implemented algorithms. The system treats pattern recognition and object tracking. The former uses the subspace method [20] and the latter adapts the Kanade-Lucas-Tomasi (KLT) algorithm [21]. These algorithms are widely known in computer vision but the both approaches are unsuited for reconfigurable architectures because they include much complex calculation such as the extraction of a square root of 32-bit or 64-bit data width. Thus, the approaches specialized for reconfigurable device are required for achieving higher performance. This paper provides a fixed-point-and-space-reduced subspace method and an elliptical KLT tracking method. Each one of them is assessed on the real hardware system and the details of the results are described in this paper.

2. Background

2.1 Power Consumption of Interconnect Switches

Reconfigurable devices have not only on-chip memory storage for a circuit configuration but also interconnect switches [22] which connects each element on a device such as I/Os, logics and on-chip RAMs. The interconnect switches are a critical component to configure a circuit design. But it does not represent a direct contribution for the computation.

The clear discussion of the power reduction of a device requires some sort of quantitative evaluation of interconnect switches though it is so difficult. This paper assumes that the ratio of their power consumption shows an insignificant impact on the benefit of reconfigurable computing. The reason is the ratio does not reach 10 percent even if a fine-grained device was selected [23], [24]. Furthermore, a coarse-grained reconfigurable architecture (CGRA) devices can expect to reduce their ratio.

2.2 The Application Range by Dynamic Reconfiguration

The constituents of the power consumption is composed of $P_{\text{config}}$, $P_{\text{static}}$, and $P_{\text{dynamic}}$ as shown in Fig. 1.

$P_{\text{config}}$ is dependent on the reconfigurable architecture which is adopted to a system. In general, the power consumption of a CGRA device is smaller than that of a fine-grained reconfigurable architecture device.

$P_{\text{static}}$ is dependent on the number of power-on transistors. It can be eliminated by a power-gating approach [17], [25] but not a clock-gating approach [26], [27]. Thus, the reduction of the number of power-on transistors, namely a device size reduction, is a vital component in the reduction of $P_{\text{static}}$. The use of dynamic reconfiguration and a functional-based design is helpful as a way of the size reduction.

$P_{\text{dynamic}}$ is dependent on logic circuit activities. If all circuits are fully-pipelined and operating, $P_{\text{dynamic}}$ is not reduced by any approach because they can not be removed at all. But, if not, reconfigurable computing has the potential to reduce it. For example, supposing that loop computations and functional pipelines are implemented, Fig. 2 gives a typical instance.

In this figure, Module A always operates while an external device is sending a data stream to the reconfigurable device. One loop computation in Module A takes $p$ clock cycles in this case. When $N$ output data are required in the next module, it takes $N \times p$ clock cycles in total until the next computation can begin. And then, the computational result of Module B is output to Module C in $q$ cycles. Finally, Module C output the final result in $r$ clock cycles and one series of computations in Fig. 2 ends.

Here, the fact remains that the three modules, Module A, B, and C do not work at the same time though we can say all modules are used for the computation. For example, at most two modules run simultaneously in Fig. 2. Thus, if reconfigurable computing follows not only a spatial implementation but also a fine-grained computational timeline, it can reduce the power consumption without any disadvantage. The benefit can be described in Sect. 2.3.

2.3 Power Reduction by Dynamic Reconfiguration

Before discussing the optimization of circuit configurations, three main groups in reconfigurable circuits are described as follows.

Active the circuits in this class mean computational circuits configured by a circuit configuration. In other words, the circuit information is loaded to physical entities including interconnects. It can work as a meaningful computational module. Thus, all the power, $P_{\text{config}}$, $P_{\text{static}}$, and $P_{\text{dynamic}}$, is consumed.

Idle the circuits in this class is almost the same as Active circuits. The difference is whether the computation on the circuits is effective or not. For example, the activity of circuits may be controlled by enable signals which are generated by other circuits. In another example,
circuits may wait input data in the same manner as pipeline stalls of a processor. The important part is that the wiring network, clock line network, and combination logic are alive though the output data are not updated in these example. Thus, $P_{\text{config}}$ and $P_{\text{static}}$ are not reduced. $P_{\text{dynamic}}$, may be reduced but not removed but the effect is dependent on the combination of device architecture and circuit design.

**Void** the circuits in this class has no configuration data, and therefore the circuits has a different behaviour to that of Idle circuits. That is, it can expect the larger reduction of $P_{\text{dynamic}}$ compared with Idle circuits. Furthermore, $P_{\text{config}}$ and $P_{\text{static}}$ may also be reduced if the reconfigurable architecture supports configuration field decomposition.

The difference of the presence of dynamic reconfiguration is shown in Fig. 3.

Without reconfiguration, three modules, Module A, B and C, are implemented on a single device. The reason is any modules cannot be added to a finished product such as ASICs. Some fine-grained architecture devices are classified in this category because their reconfiguration time is too large to do dynamic reconfiguration, which are generally used in a rapid-prototyping system. A power-gating approach [17], [25] may be used for its power reduction as shown in Fig. 3 (i). But, it can not be applied to all cases because the overhead of restarting circuits is not small. A clock-gating approach [26], [27] can become the alternative as shown in Fig. 3 (ii). It can reduce $P_{\text{dynamic}}$ but not $P_{\text{static}}$ described in Sect. 2.2. If we would like to reduce not only $P_{\text{dynamic}}$, but also $P_{\text{static}}$, dynamic reconfiguration are required.

With reconfiguration, as shown in Fig. 3 (iii), we can reduce $P_{\text{static}}$ because the basic circuit amount is cut by 25% (=3/12) in this instance. Then, some power reduction is expected at Void circuits if the circuit reconfiguration is scheduled from the view of a computational timeline. Moreover, a clock-gating approach can be applied to this implementation the same as Fig. 3 (ii). The difference of clock-gating approaches including our proposition is explained in the following section.

### 2.4 Dynamic Reconfiguration with a Clock-Gating Approach

As discussed in earlier sections, dynamic reconfiguration is an effective approach toward the reduction of the power consumption. In this section, this paper introduces a clock-gating approach for further power reduction. Figure 4 shows the difference of clock-gating approaches in dynamic reconfiguration.

The Case A in Fig. 4 is the most commonly used approach when a clock-gating approach is discussed. It is work on a device properly but it has not been optimized for reconfigurable devices.

The Case B is optimized in a temporal axis. When the amount of Void circuits is integrated for a computation period, it is larger than that of Case A. Thus, Case B can achieve the larger power reduction compared to Case A.

The Case A is the most aggressive approach. It can achieve further power reduction compared with both Case A and B though the complicated design process and the knowledge of the adopted architecture are required. One thing which is important is that Case C requires not only a module-based spatial design but also a fine-grained temporal control.

A CGRA device, DAPDNA-2 produced by Tokyo Keiki Inc., is selected as a testbed in this paper. The next section describes the features.

### 2.5 Augmented Reality Applications

AR applications have struggled to satisfy both of performance and low power consumption. The Sekai Camera [28] is an AR application. The information, air tag, is displayed on the screen and users will find the information to detect the
position and direction for their target site. GPS, Wi-Fi, and electronic compasses assist processor to lead the result of air tags. It leads high accurate result. But the whole system would be complicated and its power consumption is large for long-term execution.

Scene Recognition Engine (SREngine) [29] is another AR application. It extracts feature points from a scene image taken by image sensors, and then the scene is recognized by comparing with a scene database which is prepared by prior learning. Some additional information can be provided by the recognition and users can know the place, shops, landmarks, and so on by the application. SREngine is a good technique for mobile computing because it does not need any other sensors such as GPS. However, there are two controversial points. One is SREngine that requires cloud communication. The computation is supported by SREngine servers via Internet since mobile devices have poor computational power. The other is its recognition rate which is not so high because the limited computation is not able to support all situations such as the difference of field angle and exposure.

We propose hardware direct computation as a total solution and it makes the most of the limited power resource. It has technological feasibility when the system adjusts for the situation demands with hardware reconfiguration. It has been discussed for more than a decade [30], [31] but there is few quantitative analysis of real applications on a real system. One of the contributions of this paper presents the overall assessment of an AR application on a real system.

3. DAPDNA-2 Architecture

3.1 DAPDNA-2 Architecture

Figure 5 shows the overview of DAPDNA-2 architecture. It is one type of dynamic reconfigurable architectures and the devices are delivered by Tokyo Keiki Inc [15]. It consists of the Digital Application Processor (DAP), the Distributed Network Architecture (DNA), and I/O interfaces.

DAP is a custom-made 32-bit RISC processor and any application program works as well as general processors. For instance, we can run the axLinux [32] and add web server functions on DAP. DNA includes an array which is composed of configurable processing elements, on-chip memory blocks, and so on. Its array configurations and these connections are specified by a DNA configuration which is stored in a context RAM. The configuration stored in Bank 0 is used for the current design which works at the moment.

If multiple configurations are stored in different context memories, it facilitates the pre-fetching of a design and then supports fast reconfiguration. DAPDNA-2 has 4 multiple context memories: Bank 0, 1, 2, and 3 in Fig. 5. Bank 0 always stores a foreground configuration and the others have background configurations. A background configuration can be switched from foreground configuration in a single clock cycle, about 6 nanoseconds. If the number of configurations is larger than 4, its excess configurations will be loaded from DAP to background context memories.

4. Subspace Method

Subspace methods are a well-known approach for pattern recognition [20]. A subspace means a low-dimensional space which is generally formed by eigenvalue decomposition or principal component analysis. It provides a reasonable approximation to the set of patterns such as an image database and is very attractive because high-dimensional data analyses are unwanted, in other words, they can be represented using a small number of coefficients. For these reasons, a proper feature subspace is strongly required and

| Name | # | Function |
|------|---|----------|
| PE   | 168 | Arithmetic, logical & comparative operation |
| DLE  | 136 | Shift register for latency adjustment |
| RAM  | 32  | 32 bit x 4,096 words per RAM element |
| CNT  | 24  | I/O address generation or counter |
| LDB  | 4   | Input from asynchronous input buffer |
| STB  | 4   | Output to asynchronous output buffer |
| LDX  | 4   | Input from direct access |
| STX  | 4   | Output to direct access |

Table 1 The number of PEs and I/Os classified to functions.
refined approaches for subspace learning are discussed.

Figure 6 shows the processing flow of subspace learning. Each image pattern which is expressed as a pixel vector \( x \) belongs in class \( \omega_j \). The principle component analysis algorithm calculates the covariance matrix \( \mathbf{R}_j = E[xx^T|x \in \omega_j] \) and \( \mathbf{R}_j \) is estimated using \( \hat{\mathbf{R}}_j = n_j^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} x_j x_j^T \), where \( n_j \) is the number of dimensions for \( x \). It extracts the eigenvectors \( u_j \) and the corresponding eigenvalue \( \lambda_j \). This CLAss-Featuring Information Compression, namely CLAFIC [33], is a basic method and widely used for the principal component analysis. The original patterns are transformed to the eigenvector-coordinate system, and then a subspace \( L_j \) is obtained.

In this pattern classification, unknown input patterns are classified by using the subspace as shown in Fig. 7. The similarities between an input vector \( x_j \) and the subspace are calculated by the Eq. (3).

\[
S_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_j} (x_j^T s_j)^2
\]  

where \( s_j \) is the \( j \)-th eigenvector belonging to class \( \omega_j \). The \( s_j \) is also expressed as

\[
(\hat{\mathbf{R}}_j - \lambda_j I)s_j = 0 \quad (j = 1, \cdots, d_j)
\]  

where \( \lambda_j \) is larger than \( \lambda_{j+1} \). \( x_j \) will be classified into class \( \omega_j \) which takes the maximal value of the similarity \( S_j(x_j) \).

5. Motion Tracking by a Gradient Descent Method

The Lucas-Kanade (LK) method [21] is one of differential approaches which relies on the spatial and temporal derivatives of an image. The constraint on intensity conservation brings about a situation where the global illumination does not change but some pixels can move. In particular, when the pixel \((x, y)\) at time \( t \) moves to \((x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y)\) at \( t + \Delta t \), the following constraint equation is obtained.

\[
I(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y, t + \Delta t) = I(x, y, t)
\]  

The intensity derivatives can be approximated by the first order of the Taylor series expansion.

\[
I(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y, t + \Delta t) = I(x, y, t) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}(\Delta x) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}(\Delta y) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}(\Delta t)
\]  

Using the Eq. (5), the Eq. (6) becomes

\[
0 = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}(\Delta x) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}(\Delta y) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}(\Delta t)
\]  

\[
= u_1 I_x + I_y v + I_t
\]  

where \((u, v)\) is the optical flow vector \( d \) and \( I_x, I_y \) and \( I_t \) are partial derivatives of the image intensity. In supposing that \( d \) is constant in a small window \((w \times w)\), the intensity values of \( n \) pixels are assumed:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I_{x_1} & I_{y_1} \\
I_{x_2} & I_{y_2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
I_{x_n} & I_{y_n}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
u \\
v
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
I_t \\
I_{t_1} \\
\vdots \\
I_{t_n}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

Equation (9) is given by solving the Eq. (8) using the method of least squares.

\[
A^T A d = -A^T b, \quad \text{then} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix}
I_{x_1} & I_{y_1} \\
I_{x_2} & I_{y_2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
I_{x_n} & I_{y_n}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix}
I_t \\
I_{t_1} \\
\vdots \\
I_{t_n}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

\[
A^T A \text{ is a regular matrix and therefore } d \text{ is given by solving the following equations.}
\]

\[
d = Z^{-1} e
\]  

where,

\[
Z = A^T A = \begin{bmatrix}
\sum \sum w I_{x_1}^2 & \sum \sum w I_{x_1} I_{y_1} \\
\sum \sum w I_{y_1} I_{x_1} & \sum \sum w I_{y_1}^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
e = A^T b = \begin{bmatrix}
\sum \sum w I_{x_1} I_t \\
\sum \sum w I_{y_1} I_t
\end{bmatrix}
\]
As presented above, it is very important that noticeable characteristic points are found in a target image. The Kanade Lucas Tomasi algorithm [34] is one of the most popular approaches for finding feature points in computer vision and the procedure is as follows:

1. Calculate $Z$'s eigenvalues in the Eq. (12)
2. Choose minimal eigenvalues
3. Detect feature points if they are larger than threshold $\lambda$

6. Implementation

6.1 System Overview

Figure 8 shows the photographs of one interface board and one DAPDNA-EP102 board.

The experiment system is composed of five components: one four-million-pixel CCD-image sensor, one controller, one head-mounted display named Vuzix iWear VR920, one interface board which is developed by this project, and one DAPDNA-EP102 board. The DAPDNA-EP102 board has one DAPDNA-2 device and one 512MBytes micro SDRAM. Figure 9 shows the system overview and the relation among these devices.

The integrated development environment named DAPDNA-FW II is used for DAPDNA-2 design. It supports design simulation and debugging, and can send the design and program to a real device. DNA Designer can set not only PE placements and their inter connection but also PE operations and parameters through GUI. Therefore, it is possible to develop the LSI design easily without hardware description language (HDL).

The system is intended to a multilingual translational application for augmented reality. When a user wants to translate a foreign word to a first language, a word which is captured as an input image is designated by the user and then automatically translated by the system. The system can provide much information including interpretive images if a rich database is prepared. For developing as a portable AR system, the system demands to be high; the system should finish all computation of a VGA frame in 33 milliseconds, the size is smaller than a cube 15 cm on a side, and the power consumption must be less than 10 watts including HMD and some peripheral devices.

Processing flow of the proposing system is shown in Fig. 10. The input image from the CCD sensor module is loaded to reconfigurable computation block in DNA. After word recognition and tracking computations, the output image is generated and sent to head-mounted display. The input-output processing is a constant flow but all circuits cannot be implemented on a single device. Here, the computational block is dynamically reconfigured for the subspace method, the feature point extraction and the LK method sequentially. The configuration time is too short, less than 7ns, and therefore the configuration timing can be arranged freely.

6.2 Subspace Method Optimization

Each element of eigenvectors is expressed using 32-bit floating-point values. However, reconfigurable devices including DAPDNA are not good with multiplications, divisions and extractions of square root using broad data width such as 32-bit and 64-bit notation. To avoid this, the dictionary data is converted from floating point notations to fixed point notations. This conversion leads to save many circuits and realize highly parallel computation.

Fig. 8 (a) I/F board (the reverse side), (b) DAPDNA-EP102 board, and (c) DAPDNA-EP102 combined with the I/F board.

Fig. 9 System overview and device connection.

Fig. 10 Processing and reconfiguration flow.
6.3 KLT Tracker Optimization

There is a big problem how the computation circuits for eigenvalues of $Z$, $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$, are implemented on a single DAPDNA. The computation is required to solve characteristic equations of $Z$. That is:

$$\lambda^2 + a\lambda + b = 0 \quad (14)$$

where,

$$a = -(\sum w_i I_i^2 + \sum w_i I_i^2) \quad (15)$$

$$b = \text{det} Z = \text{det} \left[ \begin{array}{cc}
\sum \sum w_i I_i^2 & \sum \sum w_i I_i I_y \\
\sum \sum w_i I_i I_y & \sum \sum w_i I_i^2
\end{array} \right] \quad (16)$$

This naive approach requires to compute extractions of square root and it has an unwanted effect on the circuit inefficiency. The proposed approach is what eigenvalues are estimated from the coefficients of a characteristic equation without computing eigenvalues. Factor in Vieta’s formulas, the relationship between eigenvalue is computed:

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = -a > 0 \quad (17)$$

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2 = b > 0 \quad (18)$$

Minimum eigenvalue of good feature points to track showed plus value at least because of result of preliminary experiment. So points that minimum eigenvalue is minus value check off. Minimum eigenvalue assumed plus value ($\lambda_2 > \lambda_1 > 0$):

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > 0 \quad (19)$$

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2 > 0 \quad (20)$$

If both $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ and $\lambda_1\lambda_2$ are larger compared with the previously-stored values, minimum eigenvalue is larger too. Therefore the minimal eigenvalue judged from the value of coefficients.

7. Result

Through our experiment using the translation system for AR, we evaluate that the recognition and tracking algorithm which are implemented on a single DAPDNA with dynamic reconfigurations as shown in Fig. 6. In this section, we show the result of circuit implementations and the each performance evaluation. The overview of implementation results is shown in Table 2.

7.1 Development System

The outline and usage scene of our system are shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 11 respectively. The size of the system is $13 \times 10 \times 14$cm and the weight is about 300 g including the CCD image sensor, the head-mounted display, our interface board et al. The function of the system is tested using our AR translation application for Japanese restaurant menu which includes 26 items. The CCD image sensor takes 30 frames VGA-resolution image per a second. Then DAPDNA receives the image adequately and execute processing for recognition and tracking et al. After the processing, result image is transferred through the interface board and is displayed on the head-mounted display properly. For the menu, the system can recognize and track all items correctly.

7.2 Evaluation Experiment for Subspace Method

In experiment of recognition process, we measure precision and processing time of both implementations software and DNA. The procedure for the experiment is as follows.

1. Prepare training data and test data for each class
2. Calculate subspace of each class using corresponding training data.
3. Classify test data using the calculated subspaces.
4. Measure mean precision and processing time of each class

In our procedure, number of training data is 10,250 and number of test data is 1,000 for each class. These data are generated by applying affine transformation to template image. The processing time is between input of feature vector and output of classification result. The processing time of software implementation is measured by using Query Performance Counter function and Query Performance Frequency function which are offered by Microsoft. Specification of computer for the experiment of software implementation is Windows Vista Business 32bit OS, Intel Core 2 Duo CPU E6550 2.33GHz and 2,048MB DDR2 memory.

| Table 2 | Implementation results: Subspace, KLT, and LK. |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Processing | Subspace | KLT | LK |
| Number of EXE elements | 41 | 125 | 150 |
| Number of Delay elements | 4 | 25 | 44 |
| Number of RAM elements | 1 | 26 | 41 |
| Processing time (ms) | 0.691 | 0.049 | 0.005 |
| Power consumption (mW) | 461 | 2241 | 3725 |

Fig. 11 ① CCD captures a whole menu, ② DNA starts to translate a word in a particular rectangle, ③ A translation result is proposed in real time.
The counterpart program is written by C++ and OpenCV1.0 and the development environment is Microsoft Visual Studio 2008 Professional Edition 9.0.21022.8. The processing time of DAPDNA is calculated by required clock cycle until output of classification result and frequency of DNA 166 MHz. The mean precision is calculated as shown in Eq. (21).

\[
\text{mean precision} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\text{correct}_i}{1000} \quad (21)
\]

where \(n\) is number of class and \(\text{correct}_i\) is number of test data which is classified correctly.

Result of the experiment is shown in Table 3. Here, precision of the DAPDNA implementation is estimated by fixed-point software implementation. The precision and each similarity for some test data are equivalent in DAPDNA and software implementation. In precision, fixed-point implementation fall below floating-point implementation by approximately 10%. In processing time, DAPDNA calculates about four times faster than the software implementation.

### Table 3 Precision and processing time for subspace method.

| Implementation type                      | Precision (%) | Time (ms) |
|------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Software (32-bit floating point)         | 90.25         | 2.62      |
| Software (16-bit fixed point)            | 80.54         | 2.48      |
| DAPDNA (16-bit fixed point)              | 80.54         | 0.691     |

![Fig. 12](image-url) Evaluation result for KLT tracker.

![Fig. 13](image-url) Feature points (a) Our algorithm (b) OpenCV.

7.3 Evaluation Experiment for KLT Tracker

Tracking performance is evaluated by two different images experiment. One is the original image and the other is translation image. Comparative approach applied OpenCV library. Result of the evaluation experiment is shown in Fig. 12. The result shows that tracking performance of our tracking algorithm is as well as OpenCV library. Results of detecting good feature points by our tracking algorithm and OpenCV library are shown in Fig. 13.

8. Evaluation Result

In the AR system, recognition process (Subspace method) and tracking process (LK + KLT method) are required for each frame and these processes should be completed within 33 ms.

The processing time of Subspace is 0.69 ms and LK + KLT is 0.05 ms respectively. Total processing time of the two process is 0.74 ms so about 98% of 1 frame time is used by these processes uneconomically.

To use the 33 ms more efficiently, we tested three approaches shown in Fig. 14. The Case A is the general approach in reconfigurable computing. The total power consumption is about 4.2 J and it is already smaller than general-purpose CPU.

The Case B is adjusting reconfiguration timing. The power consumption of Subspace is 461 mW and LK + KLT is 5966 mW so Subspace’s power consumption is less than 10% of LK + KLT process’s one. From this fact, assigning much time to Subspace configuration than LK + KLT configuration can reduce power consumption.

The Case C is introducing inactive configuration in addition to Subspace and LK + KLT configuration. Here, Subspace configuration still uses extra time. The introducing more reasonable configuration, input and output circuit only,
is considered. Assigning extra time which is used by recognition configuration to introduced configuration can reduce power consumption more than Case A.

Table 4 shows evaluation result for average power of each approach and how much percentage Case C can reduce power consumption compared with other ones. The average power of proposed method is 0.7 Joule and takes over 80% less power than naive one.

9. Conclusion

In this paper, a spatiotemporal design was discussed based on the implementation of AR application. Although fine-grained reconfigurability are desired, coarse-grained architecture was able to achieve better power-performance compared with a naive approach. It can bring SoC the significant advance of power performance because all computational circuits do not work simultaneously. Thus, reconfigurable SoCs will be one solution for not only future mobile computing but also heavily-loaded computing such as the systems in data center. However, the size of reconfigurable modules and the frequency of updating them should be considered for achieving higher power-performance. To obtain the universal rule, the trade-off among the size, the frequency, and the granularity of reconfigurable architecture must be discussed. This remains our future work.
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