Prepregnancy kidney dysfunction may perturb the normal physiologic adaptations of pregnancy, predisposing a woman and her fetus to adversity, at least partly mediated by placental and endothelial dysfunction. Complications such as preeclampsia and poor fetal growth may necessitate provider-initiated preterm birth. Preterm birth of any form before 37 weeks’ gestation occurs in 6% to 11% of viable pregnancies and is the leading cause of infant death.

Prepregnancy kidney dysfunction has been associated with preterm birth. Prior studies of the relation between prepregnancy kidney dysfunction and preterm birth were primarily case series and thus had inadequate statistical power to differentiate between the outcomes of spontaneous versus provider-initiated preterm birth. In addition, arbitrary cut points were used in these studies to define prepregnancy kidney dysfunction, and there was no accounting for important confounders.

In an effort to overcome the aforementioned limitations, we completed a large cohort study in a setting where prenatal and obstetric care is covered under a provincial health insurance plan. Using population-derived cut points for prepregnancy creatinine to define kidney dysfunction, we examined the risk of preterm birth and other related outcomes.

Methods

Study design and data sources

In this population-based cohort study, we considered all live births and stillbirths that occurred in hospital in Ontario, Canada, from April 2007 to October 2016. Obstetric care is covered for Ontario residents through the Ontario Health Insurance Plan, and nearly all women undergo ultrasonography during the first or second trimester to enable accurate dating of the pregnancy.
We identified all hospital births in Ontario in the Canadian Institute for Health Information’s Discharge Abstract Database, and linked these records to the Ontario Laboratories Information System (https://ehealthontario.on.ca/images/uploads/pages/documents/Lab_Results.pdf), which captures most outpatient laboratory testing in Ontario. We standardized all serum creatinine values obtained from the Ontario Laboratories Information System to isotope dilution mass spectrometry, the current reference standard. We linked all data sets using unique encoded identifiers for analysis at ICES. Specifics about the study databases are shown in Appendix 1, Table A1 (available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.200089/-/DC1).

Participants
Eligible participants were women with an in-hospital obstetric delivery between April 2007 and October 2016 in Ontario, with at least 1 outpatient measurement of serum creatinine within 10 weeks before the estimated date of conception. We chose a 10-week cut-off for serum creatinine measurement because there exist validated population-based values for this metric during that time period among healthy women who soon became pregnant. We excluded those younger than 16 years or older than 50 years at the time of conception, those with multifetal pregnancies and those with end-stage renal disease or a kidney transplant before conception. We also excluded women who died during pregnancy and those with a miscarriage, ectopic pregnancy or induced abortion before 20 weeks’ gestation.

Exposures and outcomes
The main exposure of interest was outpatient measurement of serum creatinine within 10 weeks before the estimated date of conception. For women with multiple serum creatinine measurements, we used the one closest to the time of conception. We defined normally elevated prepregnancy serum creatinine as any value above the 95th percentile (i.e., > 77 µmol/L), previously derived from a population-based sample of women without known kidney disease who became pregnant soon after the measurement.

The primary outcome was any preterm birth from 23 to 36 weeks’ gestation. Secondary outcomes were provider-initiated preterm birth at less than 37 weeks’ gestation, spontaneous preterm birth at less than 37 weeks’ gestation, early preterm birth (< 32 weeks’ gestation), preterm birth at less than 37 weeks’ gestation with newborn severely small for gestational age (i.e., below the 5th percentile for sex and gestational age), preterm birth at less than 37 weeks’ gestation with concomitant preeclampsia, and stillbirth at or later than 20 weeks’ gestation. The diagnostic codes used to identify each study outcome, along with information on their validation (including identification of a subcohort of women with chronic kidney disease), are detailed in Appendix 1, Table A2.

Statistical analysis
We first explored the continuous association between serum creatinine and the relative risk of preterm birth at less than 37 weeks’ gestation using univariable fractional polynomials in a modified Poisson regression model. We selected fractional polynomials using the “mfp” package (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mfp) in R software, version 3.1.2 (R Foundation, 2014), which uses the RA2 selection algorithm to select the best fitting of 44 models with different combinations of power transformations of the explanatory variable. On average, fractional polynomials show less bias and more precision than restricted cubic splines. Modified Poisson regression directly estimates the relative risk (RR) of the binary outcome and is a popular alternative to logistic regression models. After inspection of the best-fit plot, we subsequently categorized serum creatinine as either above the 95th percentile or less than or equal to the 95th percentile to facilitate interpretation of the model results.

Using the main model, we examined the associated risk of preterm birth and the secondary outcomes, each in relation to a prepregnancy serum creatinine value above the 95th percentile. We used modified Poisson regression with a robust error variance to derive unadjusted and adjusted RR values and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for each study outcome. For women who had more than 1 pregnancy, we used generalized estimating equations with an exchangeable correlation structure to account for correlated errors between pregnancies. We adjusted the RRs for variables chosen a priori, based on the existing literature, and included maternal age (continuous), rural residence (rural/missing or urban), residential income quintile (Q1/missing, Q2, Q3, Q4 or Q5 [highest]), world region of origin (Asia, Caribbean/Africa, Hispanic America or other) — each at the time of the index conception — as well as diabetes mellitus, chronic hypertension, and use of tobacco or illicit drugs within 4 years before the index conception date.

As might be expected for women of child-bearing age, estimated glomerular filtration rate is almost entirely dictated by the serum creatinine value. Accordingly, for all analyses, we used serum creatinine as the measure of kidney function.

We performed several additional analyses. To assess the difference in outcomes between women with and without prepregnancy impairment of kidney function (i.e., chronic kidney disease), we stratified the main model by these 2 subcohorts. Among women with chronic kidney disease, we further adjusted the multivariable model examining the outcome of preterm birth with concomitant preeclampsia for prepregnancy proteinuria, because new-onset proteinuria in pregnancy is itself a diagnostic criterion for preeclampsia (additional analysis 1).

Serum creatinine is not routinely assessed before or during pregnancy. As such, a woman could undergo serum creatinine measurement for a pre-existing condition, which might impart a selection bias. To assess for such a bias, we randomly selected women without prepregnancy measurement of serum creatinine from all who gave birth and matched them 1:1 by year of pregnancy to the main cohort of women with prepregnancy measurement of serum creatinine. We then reran the main model to compare those with and those without measurement of prepregnancy serum creatinine, while accounting for matching (additional analysis 2).

A pregnancy may not be planned, so a woman may have serum creatinine measured only in early pregnancy, such as at the time of her routine prenatal biochemical serum screening, typically at 11 0/7 to 20 0/7 weeks’ gestation. Accordingly, we assessed the relation between serum creatinine measured before pregnancy and at 11 0/7 to 20 0/7 weeks’ gestation using a Pearson correlation coefficient, limiting this analysis to the 5262 women whose serum creatinine was
measured at both time periods. Because the Pearson correlation was high (0.84, 95% CI 0.84 to 0.85), we reran the main model for all study outcomes in a cohort of women who had serum creatinine measured between 11⁶¹ and 20⁶⁷ weeks’ gestation, using a lower serum creatinine 95th percentile cut point (> 59 μmol/L). This lower cut point, which reflects the natural decline in serum creatinine during those gestational weeks, was also derived in a large population-based sample of women without apparent kidney dysfunction¹⁷ (additional analysis 3).

To account for potential surveillance bias imparted by a prior pregnancy, we reran the main model among nulliparous women (additional analysis 4). Additional analysis 5 was restricted to those with a recorded prepregnancy weight, which was further added to the main model as a covariable.

Finally, we repeated the main analysis with abnormal prepregnancy serum creatinine defined as greater than the 99th percentile (i.e., > 86 μmol/L), reflective of potentially worse renal function¹⁷ (additional analysis 6).

We used SAS version 9.4 for UNIX for all statistical analyses.

**Ethics approval**
The use of data in this project was authorized under section 45 of Ontario’s *Personal Health Information Protection Act*, which does not require review by a research ethics board.

**Results**
There were 57 359 pregnancies in which serum creatinine was measured in the 10-week period before conception. Of these, 1413 (2.5%) were excluded, leaving 55 946 pregnancies in the main cohort (Figure 1 and Table 1). The mean maternal age was 30.7 years, and 45.7% were nulliparous.

In the subcohort of 9830 pregnancies in women with chronic kidney disease, 8945 (91.0%) had a urine dipstick test for proteinuria, with the following results: no proteinuria (5253 [58.7%]); trace proteinuria (2071 [23.2%]); 1 to 2+ proteinuria (1416 [15.8%]); 3+ proteinuria (163 [1.8%]); missing (42 [0.47%]). Of these 9830 pregnancies in women with chronic kidney disease, 3303 (33.6%) had a urine microalbumin to creatinine ratio test, with the following results: undetectable to ≤ 2.0 (1487 [45.0%]); 2.1 to 20 (1547 [46.8%]); > 20 (256 [7.8%]); missing (13 [0.39%]).

For the entire cohort, there was a J-shaped relation between serum creatinine and the probability of preterm birth before 37 weeks’ gestation (Appendix 2, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.200089/-/DC1). The lower 95% CI for the risk of preterm birth became apparent starting at a serum creatinine of about 75 μmol/L.

---

**Figure 1:** Study flow diagram for creation of the main cohort of pregnancies with measurement of serum creatinine (SCr) within 10 weeks before conception, as well as the subcohort of pregnancies with apparently healthy renal function at the time of conception. *In the subcohort with chronic kidney disease, the frequencies of individual conditions are nonexclusive.*
The primary outcome of preterm birth before 37 weeks’ gestation was more frequent among women with prepregnancy serum creatinine above the 95th percentile (254 events [9.1%]) than among those whose serum creatinine was normal (3702 events [7.0%]), for a crude RR of 1.28 (95% CI 1.14 to 1.45) and an adjusted RR of 1.23 (95% CI 1.09 to 1.38) (Table 2). Of all preterm births, 2255 (57.0%) were initiated by the provider and 1701 (43.0%) were spontaneous. The adjusted RR for preterm birth before 37 weeks’ gestation was significant for provider-initiated preterm birth (1.30, 95% CI 1.11 to 1.52) but not for spontaneous preterm birth (1.12, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.37) (Table 2). The outcome of early preterm birth (before 32 weeks’ gestation) occurred among 2.0% of women with elevated prepregnancy serum creatinine compared with 0.93% of those at or below the 95th percentile (adjusted RR 2.04, 95% CI 1.54 to 2.69). All other outcomes followed a similar pattern (Table 2).

Of the 55 946 pregnancies in the main cohort, 46 116 occurred in women who had apparently healthy prepregnancy kidney function and 9830 in women who had chronic kidney disease (Figure 1 and Table 1). Women in the subcohort with chronic kidney disease

### Table 1: Characteristics of pregnancies with measurement of serum creatinine within 10 weeks before conception

| Characteristic | Overall n = 55 946 | With apparently healthy renal function n = 46 116 | With chronic kidney disease† n = 9830 |
|---------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Age at time of conception, yr, mean ± SD | 30.7 ± 5.1 | 30.7 ± 5.1 | 30.5 ± 5.4 |
| Maternal world region of origin | | | |
| Asia | 10 982 (19.6) | 9252 (20.1) | 1730 (17.6) |
| Caribbean/Africa | 2542 (4.5) | 1936 (4.2) | 606 (6.2) |
| Hispanic America | 1520 (2.7) | 1256 (2.7) | 264 (2.7) |
| Other | 40 902 (73.1) | 33 672 (73.0) | 7230 (73.6) |
| Income quintile | | | |
| Q1 (lowest) or missing | 12 014 (21.5) | 9628 (20.9) | 2386 (24.3) |
| Q2 | 11 225 (20.1) | 9240 (20.0) | 1985 (20.2) |
| Q3 | 11 306 (20.2) | 9311 (20.2) | 1995 (20.3) |
| Q4 | 12 455 (22.3) | 10 358 (22.5) | 2097 (21.3) |
| Q5 (highest) | 8946 (16.0) | 7579 (16.4) | 1367 (13.9) |
| Urban residence | 52 563 (94.0) | 43 292 (93.9) | 9271 (94.3) |
| Parity, median (IQR) | 1 (0–1) | 1 (0–1) | 1 (0–1) |
| Nulliparous | 25 563 (45.7) | 22 205 (48.2) | 3358 (34.2) |
| Prepregnancy weight, kg, mean ± SD‡ | 69.8 ± 17.9 | 69.2 ± 17.4 | 72.7 ± 19.7 |
| Medical comorbidities ≤ 4 yr before conception | | | |
| Diabetes mellitus type 1 and type 2 | 8695 (15.5) | 6456 (14.0) | 2239 (22.8) |
| Chronic hypertension | 7785 (13.9) | 5324 (11.5) | 2461 (25.0) |
| Illicit drug use or tobacco use | 3016 (5.4) | 2442 (5.3) | 574 (5.8) |
| Maternal conditions during index pregnancy | | | |
| Hypertensive disorder of pregnancy | 3387 (6.1) | 2359 (5.1) | 1028 (10.5) |
| Gestational diabetes mellitus | 5225 (9.3) | 3713 (8.1) | 1512 (15.4) |
| Measured renal function before conception | | | |
| Serum creatinine | | | |
| Measured value, μmol/L, mean ± SD | 60.7 ± 10.4 | 60.4 ± 9.5 | 61.7 ± 14.1 |
| Measured value > 95th percentile (i.e., > 77 μmol/L) | 2831 (5.1) | 2105 (4.6) | 726 (7.0) |
| Measured value > 99th percentile (i.e., > 86 μmol/L) | 684 (1.2) | 413 (0.90) | 271 (2.8) |
| Baseline eGFR | | | |
| Measured value, mL/min/1.73 m², mean ± SD | 113.9 ± 13.8 | 114.0 ± 13.3 | 113.1 ± 15.8 |
| Measured value > 60 mL/min/1.73 m² | 55 858 (99.9) | 46 116 (100.0) | 9742 (99.1) |
| Measured value < 5th percentile (i.e., < 88 mL/min/1.73 m²) | 2824 (5.0) | 2135 (4.6) | 689 (7.0) |

Note: eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate, IQR = interquartile range, SD = standard deviation.

*Except where indicated otherwise.

†Chronic kidney disease was defined as serum creatinine above the 95th percentile (i.e., > 77 μmol/L). Based on 20 161 pregnancies with known prepregnancy weight.
had a higher burden of comorbid conditions than those with apparently healthy renal function (Table 1).

In an examination of the 2 subcohorts, there was a higher rate of preterm birth before 37 weeks’ gestation among those with pre-pregnancy chronic kidney disease than among those with apparently healthy renal function, including those without elevated serum creatinine (9.7% and 6.5%, respectively) (additional analysis 1, presented in Appendix 3, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.200089/-/DC1). Stratification by these subcohorts generally showed more prominent and significant adjusted RRs related to abnormally high serum creatinine among the women with prepregnancy chronic kidney disease than among those without this condition, especially for the outcomes of preterm birth with concomitant preeclampsia (adjusted RR 2.52, 95% CI 1.68 to 3.77) and stillbirth (adjusted RR 3.14, 95% CI 1.76 to 5.61) (Appendix 3).

In the matched analysis of women who did and did not have measured prepregnancy serum creatinine, the adjusted RR for preterm birth before 37 weeks’ gestation was 1.05 (95% CI 1.01 to 1.10) (additional analysis 2, presented in Appendix 4, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.200089/-/DC1). Other than provider-initiated preterm birth, no differences were seen between groups for the other secondary outcomes (Appendix 4).

In a separate cohort of 56 819 pregnancies in which serum creatinine was measured at 11<sup>6/7</sup> to 20<sup>6/7</sup> weeks’ gestation, and using a 95th percentile cut point of 59 μmol/L, the adjusted RRs for the primary outcome and most secondary outcomes were greater in magnitude than in the main analysis (additional analysis 3, presented in Appendix 5, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.200089/-/DC1).

The results of additional analyses 4, 5 and 6 are presented in Appendix 6, Appendix 7 and Appendix 8, respectively (all available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.200089/-/DC1).

**Interpretation**

In this population-based study of nearly 56 000 pregnancies, the risk of preterm birth before 37 weeks’ gestation was 1.23 times higher for women with prepregnancy kidney dysfunction than for those with normal renal function. The associated risk of severe preterm birth (before 32 weeks’ gestation) was about

---

**Table 2: Risk of primary outcome (preterm birth < 37 weeks’ gestation) and secondary outcomes in association with abnormal prepregnancy serum creatinine (SCr)*

| Outcome† | No. (%) with outcome | Absolute risk difference, % (95% CI) | Unadjusted | Adjusted‡ |
|----------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| Preterm birth < 37 wk gestation | | | | |
| SCr normal: ≤ 95th percentile (n = 52 837) | 3702 (7.0) | 0.0 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
| SCr abnormal: > 95th percentile (n = 2806) | 254 (9.1) | 2.1 (0.96 to 3.10) | 1.28 (1.14 to 1.45) | 1.23 (1.09 to 1.38) |
| Provider-initiated preterm birth < 37 wk gestation | | | | |
| SCr normal: ≤ 95th percentile (n = 52 837) | 2097 (4.0) | 0.0 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
| SCr abnormal: > 95th percentile (n = 2806) | 158 (5.6) | 1.7 (0.79 to 2.50) | 1.42 (1.21 to 1.66) | 1.30 (1.11 to 1.52) |
| Spontaneous preterm birth < 37 wk gestation | | | | |
| SCr normal: ≤ 95th percentile (n = 52 837) | 1605 (3.0) | 0.0 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
| SCr abnormal: > 95th percentile (n = 2806) | 96 (3.4) | 0.38 (–0.30 to 1.10) | 1.11 (0.90 to 1.36) | 1.12 (0.91 to 1.37) |
| Preterm birth < 32 wk gestation | | | | |
| SCr normal: ≤ 95th percentile (n = 52 837) | 491 (0.93) | 0.0 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
| SCr abnormal: > 95th percentile (n = 2806) | 56 (2.0) | 1.1 (0.54 to 1.60) | 2.15 (1.64 to 2.83) | 2.04 (1.54 to 2.69) |
| Preterm birth < 37 wk gestation with severe SGA | | | | |
| SCr normal: ≤ 95th percentile (n = 52 781) | 200 (0.38) | 0.0 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
| SCr abnormal: > 95th percentile (n = 2804) | 18 (0.64) | 0.26 (–0.04 to 0.56) | 1.69 (1.05 to 2.74) | 1.52 (0.94 to 2.45) |
| Preterm birth < 37 wk gestation with preeclampsia | | | | |
| SCr normal: ≤ 95th percentile (n = 52 837) | 370 (0.70) | 0.0 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
| SCr abnormal: > 95th percentile (n = 2806) | 42 (1.5) | 0.80 (0.34 to 1.30) | 2.14 (1.56 to 2.93) | 1.82 (1.33 to 2.49) |
| Stillbirth at ≥ 20 wk gestation | | | | |
| SCr normal: ≤ 95th percentile (n = 53 115) | 278 (0.52) | 0.0 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
| SCr abnormal: > 95th percentile (n = 2831) | 25 (0.88) | 0.36 (0.01 to 0.71) | 1.69 (1.12 to 2.55) | 1.57 (1.04 to 2.37) |

Note: CI = confidence interval, ref. = reference category, RR = relative risk, SCr = serum creatinine, SGA = small for gestational age (birthweight < 5th percentile for gestational age and sex).

*Abnormal SCr defined as > 95th percentile (i.e., > 77 μmol/L). The analysis included 55 946 pregnancies from the main cohort with SCr measured within 10 weeks before conception.

†For all outcomes except stillbirth, the denominator (value provided in column 1) is live births. For stillbirths, the denominator is the sum of live births and stillbirths.

‡All models were adjusted for maternal age, rural or urban residence, residential income quintile (Q1 or missing, Q2, Q3, Q4, Q5), world region of origin (Asia, Caribbean/Mfrica, Hispanic America, other) — each at the time of the index conception — as well as diabetes mellitus, chronic hypertension, and illicit drug or tobacco use within 4 years before the index conception date.
doubled, and other outcomes related to preterm birth and poor placenta
tion were also seen, especially for women with chronic
kidney disease.

Prior studies of women with prepregnancy kidney dysfunc-
tion have been few in number and inconsistent in their conclu-
sions. A population-based study of 3405 Norwegian women with
mean serum creatinine before pregnancy of 60.5 μmol/L, a value
identical with that reported here, showed that after a mean of
4.7 years since their baseline serum creatinine measurement, the
risk of preterm birth was 6%, with abnormal estimated glomeru-
lar filtration rate defined by a cut point of less than 90 mL/min.14
In the Norwegian study, a significantly higher risk of the com-
bined end point of preeclampsia, small for gestational age and
preterm birth was not observed for women below the cut point
for estimated glomerular filtration rate (relative to those above
the cut point), but the large gap in time between serum creati-
nine measurement and any subsequent pregnancy might have
diluted any true relation or might have prevented the accurate
assessment of important confounders. In contrast, in another
study involving 504 pregnant Italian women, most affected by
stage 1 chronic kidney disease, the observed rate of preterm
birth was 33.4%.12 Furthermore, the observed risk of the com-
bined end point of preeclampsia, small for gestational age below
the 10th percentile and admission to neonatal intensive care was
higher among those with stage 1 chronic kidney disease than
among those with “normal” prepregnancy renal function (odds
ratio 2.67, 95% CI 2.00 to 3.55).

The current findings underscore the importance of prepreg-
nancy kidney dysfunction for key perinatal outcomes. It has been
postulated that even mild prepregnancy kidney dysfunction can
cause a maladaptive response to the normal physiologic changes
expected in pregnancy,21 leading to placental dysfunction.23-26 In
turn, placental dysfunction can produce a fetus that is severely
small for gestational age and preeclampsia, each of which is a
leading cause of provider-initiated preterm birth;26-28 it can also
cause higher neonatal morbidity and mortality.4,26-30 In the cur-
rent study, elevated serum creatinine was notably associated
with an increased risk for outcomes of a fetus severely small for
gestational age and preeclampsia, which may be reasons for the
increase in provider-initiated preterm birth but not spontaneous
preterm birth.

The timely recognition of prepregnancy kidney dysfunction
has several potential benefits, including informed counselling
about the risk of adverse perinatal outcomes, closer monitoring
of mother and fetus in pregnancy and potential use of acetylsali-
cylcic acid to prevent preeclampsia.29,31 However, the American
College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists does not specifically
address prepregnancy counselling or recommend investigations
for women with pre-existing renal dysfunction who are planning
a pregnancy.22 It may be appropriate in future guidelines to con-
sider the importance of serum creatinine measurement before
pregnancy or during the time of prenatal serum screening, es-
pecially given the test’s low cost and widespread availability.
Development of patient-specific clinical prediction models for
preterm birth or preeclampsia22 should likely include a test for
the added value of the serum creatinine concentration.

Limitations
This study had several limitations. We could not assess for medica-
tions that might influence renal function, including nonsteroidal anti-
flammatory drugs or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors,
nor could we assess for acute conditions, such as nephrolithiasis.
Some of the diagnostic codes for our outcomes have not been
validated, specifically those for provider-initiated preterm birth
and spontaneous preterm birth.

Prepregnancy renal function was based on a single measure-
ment and thus may have been misclassified. However, serum
creatnine shows little short-term within-person variability in the
general population.23

The criteria used to distinguish women with apparently
healthy prepregnancy renal function from those with prepreg-
nancy chronic kidney disease may have erroneously misclassi-
fied women. In particular, there may have been assignment
of women with chronic kidney disease to the group with healthy
renal function, because the diagnostic codes for chronic kidney
disease have low sensitivity.

The main cohort consisted of women with serum creatinine
measured on an outpatient basis and thus did not include
women who did not use medical services; those with serum cre-
tinine measured before the 1-week preconception period were
also omitted. To assess for this potential selection bias, we
matched women with and without a prepregnancy serum creati-
nine and observed some minor differences in the risk of preterm
birth and related outcomes (as shown in Appendix 4).

Out-of-hospital births were not captured in this study; how-
ever, they account for less than 2% of all Canadian births.34
A large proportion of women in the current cohort did not
have preconception measurement of urine protein, so the rela-
tion between renal clearance and preterm birth may have been
influenced by unrecognized proteinuria in some women.

The subgroup of women with chronic kidney disease was likely
heterogeneous, in terms of the causes and extent of their renal dis-
ease. This heterogeneity may impart different risks to pregnancy.35

Conclusion
In this study, prepregnancy kidney dysfunction, defined accord-
ing to population-based cut points, was associated with an
increased risk of preterm birth, as well as other related out-
comes. This was especially so for women with underlying chronic
kidney disease. Given that measurement of serum creatinine is a
readily available and inexpensive blood test, there may be a role
for screening potentially high-risk women for kidney dysfunction
either before conception or in the first half of pregnancy.
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