On the Effectiveness of a “Tractor Mounted Road Sanitizing Unit” Designed to Combat COVID-19 Spread
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Abstract Under the situation of a dreadful spread of COVID-19 throughout the world, it is an absolute necessity to develop proper strategy for its containment. Toward this end, a novel outdoor disinfection system is designed for effective sanitization of long stretches of highways, market places, shopping malls, etc., where there are large traffic and high chances of spreading of the virus. The disinfection system utilizes available tractor powered road tankers of municipal houses, and it has specific features for maximum sanitization coverage. This is achieved through a distributed spraying nozzle mounted around the tank along with two extendable hand sprayers with flexible hoses. The effectiveness of the spray system is studied using an image processing technique. The spray cloud is illuminated by a double-pulsed Nd:YAG laser, and the images are captured using a Nikon D3300 DSLR camera. The average droplet size of the spray coming out from the nozzle is measured, and finally, the area of influence of the spray is obtained from a statistical analysis.
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Introduction

Corona virus particles are spherical in shape with approximate diameters of 0.125 microns (125 nm). The smallest particles are of 0.06 micron size, whereas the largest are of 0.14 microns [1]. The virus is carried by the droplets released when someone coughs or sneezes and it remains viable, or able to still infect people, in aerosols for at least 3 h [2]. Additionally, it remains active on plastic and stainless steel surfaces for 2–3 days and remains infectious for up to 24 h on cardboard and 4 h on copper [2].

The term droplet is often taken to refer to droplets of size >5 μm in diameter that fall rapidly to the ground under gravity, and therefore they are transmitted only over a limited distance (e.g., ≤1 m). On the contrary, the term droplet nuclei (or aerosol) refers to droplets having size ≤5 μm in diameter that can remain suspended in air for significant periods of time, allowing them to be transmitted over distances >1 m [3, 4]. The droplet and aerosol motion depend significantly on various environmental factors, such as the gravity, the direction and strength of local airflows, local temperature and relative humidity (which will affect both the size and mass of the droplet due to evaporation).

Sneezing may produce as many as 40,000 droplets between 0.5–12 μm in diameter [5, 6] that may be expelled at speeds up to 100 m/s [7], whereas coughing may produce up to 3000 droplet nuclei, about the same number as talking for five minutes [8]. The novel corona virus can spread through the air—not just through the large droplets emitted in a cough or sneeze. Though current studies aren’t conclusive, “the results of available studies are consistent with aerosolization of virus from normal breathing [9]”.

From the above discussion, it is clear that the virus can remain alive and active for quite a long on various surfaces and even some considerable time in air also under suspended condition. Hence, a person may get infected through all these various medium. Wearing a mask is of extreme importance in combating the infection under such situation. Another useful way to combat with the spreading of corona virus is proper sanitization and disinfection. A
hand sanitation is an absolute necessity. Disinfection of various surfaces, rooms, etc., both indoor and outdoor may be taken up as a strong precautionary measure. The disinfectant most commonly used in outdoors is a diluted solution of sodium hypochlorite, or household bleach. These disinfectants may be useful for application over surfaces; however, its effectiveness on air-suspended aerosolized viruses is under research [10].

As a preventive measure to contain the spread of COVID-19, a tractor PTO (power take-off) powered outdoor disinfectant spray system is designed and developed. The tractor is attached with a tank having 12 nos. of spray atomization nozzles and 2 nos. of hand spray system (2 nozzles each) to deliver an aqueous disinfectant solution. There are several outdoor disinfectant fogger/atomizer machines available in the market which are mainly carried by an operator and sprayed by hand. This mode not only requires great effort but also very much time-consuming. Additionally, the spray effect also cannot be guaranteed. Hence these are not suitable for long-distance operation. However, the current invention is capable of providing extensive operation with long stretches of highways.

Reference may be made to Patent no. WO2007085140A1 [11], wherein a disinfecting spray device comprising a liquid storage bottle and a spray head mounted on the mouth of the liquid storage bottle is described. It can be widely used for disinfection and deodorization in home, or in public places. However, the device is not suitable for long-distance operation since it is to be carried by an operator. In Patent no. CN203389122U [12], a disinfectant spraying vehicle is invented, which comprises a disinfectant solution sprinkling wagon, liquid storing barrel and shower nozzle. However, the device is specifically designed for disinfecting pig houses. Reference may be made to Patent no. US20120298151A1 [13], wherein a device for disinfecting, sterilizing and/or maintaining medical, especially dental, instruments is reported. From the above patent search, it is observed that there is no suitable device (or a vehicle) which can be extensively used for disinfecting large areas around long roads. Hence, the present invention could be an indispensable system under the current pandemic condition due to COVID-19 spread.

**Description of the Disinfectant Spray System**

The sanitizing unit designed and developed is driven by a tractor coupled with a normal liquid tank of capacity 2000–5000 L as shown in Fig. 1. The specific advantage of using a tractor is that it features a splined output shaft to which can be attached drive shafts to power implements. A live power take-off (PTO) transfers the power directly from the engine to the implement regardless of whether a tractor is moving or at rest. A high-pressure pump (15–35 kg/cm²) is mounted at the rear side of the tractor on the designed base plate with proper support structure. The pump is driven by the power from the tractor PTO through belt pulley arrangement. The enhancement of the rotating speed of the pump is achieved by selecting suitable pulley ratio. The pump operates at its rated speed of 800–1400 rpm. The suction side of the pump is connected with the tank discharge port by flexible hose/MS pipes with ball valve. The pump discharge is connected with the discharge header through flexible hose. The discharge header is fabricated with 1-inch pipe and is having four delivery pipes with ball valves. One delivery pipe is connected to the tank mounted piping network, and two other delivery pipes are supplying pressurized liquid to the hand sprayer through flexible hoses. The remaining delivery pipe is for draining the excess amount of liquid. The spray piping network is attached as an embodiment around the liquid containing tank involving twelve numbers of mist nozzles placed at a gap spacing of 500 mm. The nozzles are arranged in such a way that as the tractor moves a maximum possible area may be covered by the nozzles. There is also a provision of two hand spraying systems which can be extendable up to 30 feet on both sides of the vehicle. Each hand spraying system is attached with two mist nozzles at their delivery end. The hand sprayers are provided in order to reach certain places where the tractor may not be able to enter.

A lever operated mechanism helps in engagement/disengagement of the tractor with the system. Opening/closing of the valves at different locations allows a synchronized liquid flow through the network. The spray can be accomplished either in the form of mist or jet by adjusting a rotating knob in the nozzle. The tank is attached with the tractor by universal coupling, thereby eliminating difficulties during turning of the vehicle. Figure 2 demonstrates the sanitizing unit under operation for disinfection of road side.

**Materials, Methods and Discussion**

In order to determine the effectiveness of a spraying system in an objective and quantitative manner, a systematic analysis may be performed either through an experimental route or by numerical simulation. Certain characteristics of a spray such as the cone of the spray, length of penetration, wettability/impact, etc., can be estimated to understand its effectiveness. An image processing technique is utilized here to perform the effectiveness analysis of the nozzle spray. To start with, spray from a single nozzle of the system is analyzed keeping the other nozzles closed. Laser sheet is generated by a double-pulsed Nd:YAG laser
manufactured by QUANTEL, France [14]. Laser source was kept at different distances such as 1 m, 2 m and 3 m from the nozzle, and the laser light was kept perpendicular to the spray direction so that the total plane of spraying can be visible. The schematic diagram of experimental setup is shown in Fig. 3.

The plane of the jet at 1 m distance was acquired via a DSLR camera (Nikon D3300) in video mode with ISO 3200, 300 mm focal length and 50 frames per second. Synchronization of laser and camera is done using a nanosecond timer box controlled by TSI 4G imaging software [15]. TSI 4G imaging software installed on a DELL PRECISION T7600 workstation coordinates the whole flow visualizations. After acquiring the movie, 2630 nos. of frames were extracted from 50 s duration video file, where the frame resolution was 1920X1080 pixels. However, due to mismatch of acquiring frequency and laser frequency, all the frames were not useful, so only frames having full frame illumination were selected for processing. This way, total 195 frames were selected for image processing and feature extraction.

The image processing operation was performed in MATLAB 2014™ environment with necessary functions. The image from the front plane was preprocessed, where the blue channel matrix was extracted from the selected color images. However, the green channel should be extracted as the used laser was green in color [16]. But, that was over-saturated, so most of the pixels became 255 gray value; accordingly, the blue channel was extracted. The acquired and pre-processed images are shown in Fig. 4a, b, respectively.

It can be seen from Fig. 4b that the plane of the jet looks quasi-circular and irregular. The lower quarter portion of the image can be approximated for the evaluation of total circular area and the area of influence. Accordingly, the lower quarter image has been cropped (Fig. 5a) and from that the circular portion of jet coverage has been extracted (Fig. 5b).

Therefore, the extracted coverage area was selected by trial and error and the area with a circle of 360 pixel radius has been selected. After that, the extracted portion was thresholded by using Otsu’s optimal thresholding method [17] as shown in Fig. 6.

After thresholding the area having pixel value 1 (i.e., coverage area) was determined to evaluate the one-fourth
of the area of influence. Finally, the fraction of area of influence was determined by using the following equation:

\[
\text{Area of influence (in fraction)} = \frac{\text{coverage area}}{\text{area of quarter circle}}
\]

In order to determine the droplet size, edge detection operation (Canny edge detection) [18] has been performed on the thresholded images of Fig. 5a. Then, circle Hough transform (CHT) operation has been performed to
determine the average droplet diameter [19–21]. The image of CHT is shown in Fig. 7.

In this case, the average diameter estimated was 3.52 mm with 0.05 mm standard deviation over 195 images.

In a similar manner, droplet size (with standard deviation) and the area of influence were determined for other axial distances which are summarized in Table 1:

Figure 8 shows the droplet size and area of influence variations with axial distance from the nozzle. A statistical curve fitting with an exponential fit is performed. The fit functions are shown in the figures with best fit values of the coefficients. The goodness of the fit can be understood from the errors as given below:

\[
e_d(0) = 0.0019, e(\delta) = 0.256, e(\gamma) = 0.0023; e(I_0) = 0.0012, e(x) = 2.89, e(\beta) = 0.0002
\]

**Summary**

A tractor powered disinfectant spray system is designed and developed for the containment of COVID-19 spread. The sanitizing unit is specifically useful for long road sanitization in addition to narrow lane, market place, etc., with the hand sprayer system. Since the liquid tank is attached with the tractor there is no need for any additional power source to drive the tank. Also, the pump circulating the liquid is powered from the tractor PTO.

An image processing technique is applied to estimate the effectiveness of the disinfectant spray system. The effectiveness of the system is quantified and measured in the form of average droplet size of the spray and its area of influence. However, no such claim has been made in this study that the system could be able to destroy or kill corona virus. Overall, it is observed that the droplets are larger in size close to the spray nozzle and the size decreases as the

**Table 1** Droplet size and area of influence at different axial distances

| Distances | Droplet size          | Area of influence (%) |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 m       | 3.52 mm (SD 0.345 mm) | 87                    |
| 2 m       | 1.89 mm (SD 0.201 mm) | 39                    |
| 3 m       | 1.47 mm (SD 0.174 mm) | 20                    |
axial distance increases in an exponential fashion. Accordingly, the area of influence, i.e., the effectiveness of the spray system, is more near the nozzle with an estimated efficiency of 87% and decays exponentially with the axial distance. This is because of the fact that as the axial distance from the nozzle increases, the spray gets more diffused and driven away from the target due to turbulent diffusion. Hence, the striking effectiveness of the spray on a specific target decreases with increasing distance.
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