Perceptions of medical students in Pakistan, KSA, and the US regarding the significance of case-based learning
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Abstract

Objective: This study aims to determine the perceptions of medical students in Pakistan, KSA, and the US regarding the significance of case-based learning (CBL).

Methods: For this cross-sectional study, data were collected by administering an online questionnaire to students in medical schools across Pakistan, KSA, and the US.

Results: A total of 344 medical students participated in this study, the great majority of whom agree that CBL paves the way for developing a sound understanding of the core subject, provides insight into real-life experiences, helps them transform from fact memorisers into problem solvers, and keeps them engaged during sessions, which motivates them to attend more of these. A comparison of respondents from Pakistan and KSA shows that CBL promotes deep learning and fostered their critical thinking; however, there was a difference in perception in some categories, including CBL as a tool used for grasping key concepts (p = 0.004), providing insight into real-life experiences (p = 0.001), offering a platform for self-directed learning (p = 0.000), nurturing
Introduction

Medical education is one of the most challenging fields and requires a lot of time, commitment, zeal, and focus. Subject matter mastery depends on students’ attitudes towards learning. Jamil et al. (2019) state that certain instruction modalities, such as case-based learning (CBL) and problem-based learning (PBL), force medical students to open up their textbooks to gather required information.

CBL is widely used as a learning tool during the clinical and initial years of medical studies worldwide. Twenty years ago, in most medical schools across Pakistan, the assessment focus was mainly based on extensive essay questions, with little emphasis on CBL, especially in basic medical sciences. However, there has been a paradigm shift over the last two decades, as multiple choice questions (MCQs) and clinical scenario-based questions are now frequently used in both formative and summative assessments.

Both, to cater to the need to equip future doctors and nurses with the art of problem solving and to better prepare them for their summative assessment, the significance of CBL cannot be understated. Since CBL has been adapted from PBL, it engages students in student-centred, self-directed peer learning, where students solve problems through knowledge exchanges and by consolidating their understanding of basic medical science core principles. Further critical evaluation of current literature on the significance of CBL in a pre-hospital setup using several databases shows that the CBL modality as a whole has been enjoyed by both the teachers and students involved.

Gul et al. (2020) demonstrate that CBL promotes a ‘deep learning approach’ among basic sciences students and consider it a valuable strategy for teaching subjects. Kaur et al. (2020) reported significant improvement in student presence in CBL sessions, although there was no difference in their test marks; they further express that the majority of the students found CBL to be a superior teaching method to traditional teaching and were more involved and more inspired because of it. There is abundant literature on the use of CBL among undergraduate medical students.

The use of active learning strategies, including CBL, is increasing in medical education. For a long time in countries like Pakistan, the traditional method of teaching (lecture-based) was prevalent in all institutions. However, recent developments in medical education and greater awareness of teaching and learning strategies have compelled institutions to adopt new methods and incorporate more active learning strategies for medical students. In this context, the present study is designed to explore and compare the perceptions of medical students in Pakistan with those of their counterparts in KSA and the USA.

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted in different medical colleges across Pakistan and with the Faculty of Medicine Rabigh at the King Abdul Aziz University in Jeddah, KSA, and also included students of various US medical schools (data were collected at the Avalon University School of Medicine USA by a 4th-year student during a joint session where medical graduates of different schools across the US participated). This study was conducted between February 2020 and September 2020 after receiving approval from the Institutional Review Board of CMH Kharian Medical College, Kharian, Punjab, Pakistan. Data were collected after obtaining the students’ consent, and their confidentiality was ensured.

A digital version of the questionnaire was distributed among the medical students. Questions designed to evaluate the learning outcomes of CBL were formulated based on the Dundee model of medical teaching, keeping in mind the parameters of the cognitive processes of Bloom’s revised taxonomy for knowledge. The pro forma content was circulated among the students, and their responses (agree) were collected and compared. Students from all 5 years have been represented in the study.

In Pakistani medical colleges, the procedure to implement CBL is as follows. A pre-structured case scenario outlined by learning objectives (LOs) is provided to students 1 week prior to CBL. On the CBL day, students discuss the LOs with each other in the presence of a facilitator. At the end of the session, MCQs (10–15) and extended matching questions are provided to assess the students’ knowledge. Each student group comprises 10–12 students, and the group leader and scribe from each are nominated before the start of the session. Each group is given half an hour; after this, the leaders present their respective group’s answers, and then a discussion among the students is allowed to commence, with intervention from the facilitator limited to when a group strays from the main theme. A similar trend, with minor changes, is followed in Saudi medical schools, although the frequency varies from once a week to once a month. However, in US medical schools, this process is followed more frequently—each lecture session comprises multiple case scenarios, incorporated in a digitally formulated manner, where a case is presented to the class, and students then engage in a discussion. The class is oriented towards the topic 1 week prior to the discussion. As one group had less than 30 participants in the Likert scale analysis, we followed the criteria mentioned by Conroy (2016).
Data analysis

The collected data were analysed using Excel and SPSS-24. The quantitative data are presented as frequencies and percentages. A chi-square test was carried out to analyse the comparison of responses from the students in Pakistan and those in KSA. A p-value of <0.05 was taken as significant.

Results

A total of 344 students responded—211 studying in medical colleges (both government and private) in Pakistan, 111 in KSA, and 22 in the US. The students’ general characteristics are given in Table 1. More than three-fourths of the respondents agree that CBL promotes deep learning, helps them transform from fact memorisers into problem solvers.

Table 1: General characteristics of the respondents.

| Parameters  | Pakistan N = 211 | KSA N = 111 | US N = 22 |
|-------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Gender      |                  |             |           |
| Male        | 197 responses    | 52 responses| 22 responses |
| Female      | 95 (45.02%)      | 27 (24.32%) | 12 (54.54%) |
|             | 102 (48.34%)     | 25 (22.52%) | 10 (45.45%) |
| Year of study |                |             |           |
| 1st year MBBS | 48 (22.74%)     | 20 (18.01%) | -         |
| 2nd year MBBS | 59 (27.96%)     | 38 (34.23%) | -         |
| 3rd year MBBS | 46 (21.80%)     | 15 (13.51%) | -         |
| 4th year MBBS | 32 (15.16%)     | 34 (30.63%) | 20 (90.90%) |
| Final year MBBS | 26 (12.32%)   | 04 (3.60%)  | 02 (9.09%) |

Table 2: Responses on the significance of case-based learning.

| Parameters                                                                 | Agree (responses from Pakistan, N = 211) | Agree (responses from KSA, N = 111) | Agree (responses from the US, N = 22) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| It promotes deep learning and helps develop critical thinking.            | 201 (95.26%)                             | 98 (88.28%)                         | 14 (63.63%)                           |
| It is an effective tool for grasping key concepts.                       | 207 (98.10%)                             | 90 (81.08%)                         | 18 (81.81%)                           |
| It paves the way for developing a sound understanding of the core subject.| 172 (81.51%)                             | 92 (82.88%)                         | 21 (95.45%)                           |
| It provides insight into real-life experiences.                          | 183 (86.72%)                             | 84 (75.67%)                         | 21 (95.45%)                           |
| It is a useful tool that helps medical students transform from fact memoriisers into problem solvers. | 190 (90.04%)                             | 94 (84.68%)                         | 21 (95.45%)                           |
| It provides a platform for self-learning.                                | 164 (77.72%)                             | 80 (72.02%)                         | 19 (86.36%)                           |
| It nurtures collaborative abilities.                                     | 167 (79.14%)                             | 81 (72.97%)                         | 18 (81.81%)                           |
| It keeps me engaged during the sessions.                                 | 167 (79.14%)                             | 87 (78.37%)                         | 20 (90.90%)                           |
| I would like to attend more CBL sessions.                                | 164 (77.72%)                             | 87 (78.37%)                         | 20 (90.90%)                           |

Table 3: Comparison of the perceptions of respondents from Pakistan and KSA regarding the significance of case-based learning.

| Parameters                                                                 | Country  | Agree N (%) | Disagree N (%) | p-value |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| It promotes deep learning and helps develop critical thinking.            | Pakistan | 201 (95.26%)| 10 (4.73%)     | 0.537   |
|                                                                           | KSA      | 103 (93.63%)| 7 (6.36%)      |         |
| It is an effective tool for grasping key concepts.                       | Pakistan | 200 (94.78%)| 11 (5.21%)     | 0.004   |
|                                                                           | KSA      | 94 (85.45%) | 16 (14.54%)    |         |
| It paves the way for developing a sound understanding of the core subject.| Pakistan | 198 (93.83%)| 13 (6.16%)     | 0.044   |
|                                                                           | KSA      | 96 (87.27%) | 14 (12.72%)    |         |
| It provides insight into real-life experiences.                          | Pakistan | 199 (94.31%)| 12 (5.68%)     | 0.001   |
|                                                                           | KSA      | 91 (82.72%) | 19 (17.27%)    |         |
| It is a useful tool that helps medical students transform from fact memoriisers into problem solvers. | Pakistan | 197 (95.26%)| 14 (6.63%)     | 0.001   |
|                                                                           | KSA      | 98 (89.09%) | 12 (10.90%)    |         |
| It provides a platform for self-learning.                                | Pakistan | 201 (95.26%)| 10 (4.73%)     | 0.000   |
|                                                                           | KSA      | 88 (80%)    | 22 (20%)       |         |
| It nurtures collaborative abilities.                                     | Pakistan | 195 (92.41%)| 16 (7.58%)     | 0.004   |
|                                                                           | KSA      | 90 (81.81%) | 20 (18.18%)    |         |
| It keeps me engaged during the sessions.                                 | Pakistan | 193 (91.46%)| 18 (8.53%)     | 0.002   |
|                                                                           | KSA      | 87 (41.23%) | 23 (58.77%)    |         |
| I would like to attend more CBL sessions.                                | Pakistan | 192 (90.99%)| 19 (9.00%)     | 0.082   |
|                                                                           | KSA      | 93 (84.54%) | 17 (15.45%)    |         |
saders, helps with grasping key concepts, provides insight into real-life experiences, nurtures collaborative skills, keeps them engaged during the sessions, and provides a platform for self-learning (Table 2).

A comparison of the respondents from Pakistan and KSA shows that both groups agree that CBL promotes deep learning and helps with critical thinking, and they expressed their interest in attending more CBL sessions. However, there was a difference in perception in a few categories: CBL used as a tool to grasp key concepts (p = 0.004), provide insight into real-life experiences (p = 0.001), help medical students transform from fact memorisers into problem solvers (p = 0.001), provide a platform for self-learning (p = 0.000), nurture collaborative abilities (p = 0.004), and keep students engaged during the sessions (p = 0.002) (Table 3).

Discussion

CBL provides a platform for interlinking basic medical science core concepts with real-life clinical scenarios. It fosters communication skills based on discussions among small groups and nurtures a sense of responsibility in accomplishing an allocated task in a self-directed study mode.

When it comes to agreeing that CBL promotes deep learning and critical thinking, respondents from the US (63.63%) lagged behind their counterparts in KSA (88.28%) and Pakistan (90.52%). The retention of acquired knowledge involves students’ ability to reproduce what they have learned. A study among undergraduate biochemistry students tested retention and found that those who took part in CBL sessions retained key concepts of the subject better than those who did not engage in this teaching methodology.

The basis of CBL scenarios is in line with the Dundee model of undergraduate medical education, where the emphasis is on producing problem-solving doctors rather than fact-memorising ones, further fostering deep learning and critical thinking. The finding related to the proportion of respondents from the US (63%) agreeing that CBL promotes deep learning and critical thinking is unexpected. This could be attributed to the trend to cram less among school, college, and then in US-based medical students. The cramming culture East Asian students grow up in results in them developing an understanding of a case when compared with the text or paper version. A lack of electronic journal access and a reliance on paper format CBL conduction in Pakistan might lead to the difference among Pakistani and US-based medical schools.

CBL works wonders for students in the US when compared to their peers in KSA and Pakistan, which could be attributed to the electronic or digital presentation of cases. A patient’s clinical background with presenting symptoms and complaints can better be shown using a digital format than paper. This is in line with the findings of Balslev et al. (2015), who documented that video recording showed posture and movements in a better way in developing an understanding of a case when compared with the text or paper version. A lack of electronic journal access and a reliance on paper format CBL conduction in Pakistan might lead to the difference among Pakistani and US-based medical schools.

Visual and auditory learning styles should be used in combination alongside a simulator, and by doing so, a triangle can be achieved in which learning by doing takes centre stage in enhancing the learning process. In this study, a staircase increment is found in the percentage of students (72.02% KSA; 77.72% Pakistan; and 86.36% US), coupling the promotion of self-learning and CBL. This trend might be attributed to greater financial independence among students in the US when compared with their counterparts in Pakistan and KSA, as financial independence creates a better sense of responsibility.

No significant difference was observed among many of the responses from Pakistan and KSA regarding CBL in promoting deep learning and critical thinking; they expressed their interest to attend more CBL sessions. This shows that students from both countries realise the substantial impact of CBL in the process of learning. However, there was a difference in perception in a few categories: CBL used as a tool to grasp key concepts, provide insight into real-life experiences, help medical students transform from fact memorisers into problem solvers, provide a platform for self-learning, nurture collaborative abilities, and keep students engaged during the sessions. At present, we do not have a specific explanation for the differences in response to this; however,
this could be due to the number of respondents from KSA being less than those from Pakistan.

Since the medical profession is evolving rapidly, there is a demand to inculcate self-directed, lifelong learning to keep medical graduates and future physicians updated in terms of their skills and competency and to equip them with self-learning strategies once formal schooling ends.26

This study’s strength is that it shows that CBL is an important tool for acquiring applied knowledge of basic medical sciences. It provides a generalised overview of the perceptions of diverse groups of students in different countries. This helps provide an understanding of medical students’ perceptions of CBL.

This study has a few limitations, such as the sample size being insufficient for generalising the results. Furthermore, as there were more students from preclinical sciences, a comparison of clinical and preclinical sciences is not possible. In addition, because the number of respondents from the US was low, they had to be excluded from the chi-square analysis; we did not compare their performance in tests or exams after they attended CBL sessions.

Conclusion

This study shows that CBL is an effective learning tool for medical students in all 5 years of the MBBS programme across Pakistan, KSA, and the US. The majority of students in all years perceive CBL as a tool that facilitates their transformation from fact memorisers into problem solvers, provides insight into real-life experiences, promotes deep learning, nurtures collaborative skills, and keeps them engaged during the sessions.

Recommendations

It is recommended to initiate medical students’ clinical exposure right from the first year of MBBS to achieve maximum benefits from CBL in the clinical setup. There is also a need to restructure and reformat CBL implementation in Pakistan from a paper-based format to a digital one, with available material related to presenting symptoms and complaints shown in a visual format, owing to the possibility that the retrospective patient journal in the electronic format might cultivate more SDL. Further studies to compare the trend at the national level are recommended.

Source of funding

This study did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Ethical approval

This study was approved by the ethical review committee of CMH Kharian Medical College with approval no. (diary number):5b, dated 17.01.2020.

Authors contributions

AMK conceptualised the study, analysed the data, formulated the initial draft, and proofread it; MS collected the data and contributed to formulating the discussion section and proofreading; IN contributed to writing the methods section and formulating the graphics; HK contributed to the data collection, writing of the discussion section, and proofreading; MR contributed to the data collection, methods, and proofreading; and MB contributed to the data collection, writing of the discussion section, and proofreading. All authors have critically reviewed the manuscript and approved the final draft and are responsible for the content and similarity index of the manuscript.

References

1. Jameel T, Gazzaz ZJ, Baig M, Tashkandi JM, Alharenth NS, Butt NS, et al. Medical students’ preferences towards learning resources and their study habits at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. BMC Res Notes 2019; 12(1): 30. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-019-4052-2.
2. Jameel T, Baig M, Gazzaz ZJ, Tashkandi JM, Al Alhareth NS, Khan SA, et al. Approaches towards professional studies and spare-time activities among preclinical and clinical year medical students. Cureus 2019; 11:e4905. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.4905.
3. McLean SF. Case based learning and its application in medical and health care field: a review of worldwide literature. J Med Educ Curric Dev 2016; 3: 39–49.
4. Yoo MS, Park JH. Effect of case-based learning on the development of graduate nurses problem-solving ability. Nurse Educ Today 2013; 34(1): 47–51.
5. Williams B. Case based learning – a review of the literature: is there scope for this educational paradigm in prehospital education? Emerg Med J 2005; 22(6): 577–581.
6. Gul A, Khun RA, Yasmeen R, Ahsan NH. How case based learning promotes deep learning in preclinical years of medical students? J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2020 Mar 3; 32(2): 228–233.
7. Kaur G, Rehncy J, Kahal KS, Singh J, Sharma V, Mateuja PS, et al. Case-based learning as an effective tool in teaching pharmacology to undergraduate medical students in a large group setting. J Med Educ Curric Dev 2020; 7. https://doi.org/10.1177/2382120520920640.
8. Hasamnis AA, Arya A, Patil SS. Case-based learning: our experience in clinical pharmacology teaching. J Pharm BioAllied Sci 2019; 11: 187–189.
9. Nair SP, Shah T, Seth S, Pandit N, Shah GV. Case based learning: a method for better understanding of biochemistry in medical students. J Clin Diagn Res: JCDR 2013 Aug; 7(8): 1576.
10. Thistlethwaite JE, Davies D, Ekocha S. The effectiveness of case-based learning in health professional education. A BEME systematic review: BEME Guide No. 23. Med Teach 2012; 34: e421–e444.
11. Harden RM, Davis MH, Crosby JR. The new Dundee medical curriculum: a whole that is greater than the sum of the parts. Med Educ 1997; 31(4): 264–271.
12. Krathwohl DR. In: Anderson LW, Krathwohl DR, editors. A taxonomy for learning, teaching and assessing: a revision of Blooms taxonomy for educational objectives. New York: Addison Wesley; 2001.
13. Conroy RM. How many participants at a minimum, should do a questionnaire, when you want to test its validity and reliability? 2016. Available at researchgate. https://www.researchgate.net/post. How many participants at a minimum should do a
questionnaire when you want to test its validity and reliability.

14. Kulak V, Newton DG, Sharma R. Does the use of case based learning impact the retention of key concepts in undergraduate biochemistry? Int J High Educ 2017; 6(2).

15. Matsuyama Y, Muijtjens AMM, Kikukawa M, Stalmeijer R, Murakami R, Ishikawa S, et al. A first report of East Asian student’s perception of progress testing: a focus group study. BMC Med Educ 2016; 16: 245.

16. Imran M, Shamim MS, Baig M, Farouq M, Gazzaz ZJ, Al-Mutairi OM. Tale of two cities: comparison of educational environment of two colleges (Jeddah and Rabigh) affiliated with one university. J Pak Med Assoc 2016; 66: 316–319.

17. Sayedalamin Z, Alshuaibi A, Baghaffar M, Almutairi O, Jameel T, Baig M. Utilization of smartphone related medical applications among medical students at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah: a cross-sectional study. J Infect Public Health 2016; 9(6): 691–697.

18. Gazzaz ZJ, Baig M, Al Alhendi BS, Al Suliman MM, Al Alhendi AS, Al-Grad MS, et al. Perceived stress, reasons for and sources of stress among medical students at Rabigh Medical College, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. BMC Med Educ 2018; 18(1): 1–9.

19. Baig M, Ali SK, Ali S, Huda N. Evaluation of multiple choice and short essay question items in basic medical sciences. Pak J Med Sci 2014; 30(1): 3–6. https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.301.4458.

20. Tariq S, Tariq S, Maqsood S, Jawed S, Baig M. Evaluation of cognitive levels and item writing flaws in medical pharmacology internal assessment examinations. Pak J Med Sci 2017; 33(4): 866–870. https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.334.12887.

21. Baig M, Tariq S, Rehman R, Ali S, Gazzaz ZJ. Concept mapping improves academic performance in problem solving questions in Biochemistry subject. Pak J Med Sci 2016; 32(4): 801–805.

22. Balselv T, De Grave WS, Muijtjens AM, Scherpbier AJ. Comparison of text and video cases in a postgraduate problem-based learning format. Med Educ 2005; 39(11): 1086–1092.

23. Ziv A, Ben-David S, Ziv M. Simulation based medical education: an opportunity to learn from errors. Med Teach 2005; 27: 193–199.

24. Williams BW, Byrne PD, Welindt D, Williams MV. Miller’s pyramid and core competency assessment: a study in relationship construct validity. J Contin Educ Health Prof 2016; 36(4): 295–299.

25. Muzafar Y, Khan HH, Ashraf H, Hussain W, Sajid H, Tahir M, et al. Burnout and its associated factors in medical students of Lahore, Pakistan. Cureus 2015; 7(11): e390.

26. Candy PC, editor. Self-direction for life long learning. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 1991. Eric (Institute of Education Sciences).

How to cite this article: Khalid AM, Sohail M, Naiyar I, Khalid H, Riaz M, Baig M. Perceptions of medical students in Pakistan, KSA, and the US regarding the significance of case-based learning. J Taibah Univ Med Sc 2021;16(3):344–349.