Oroantral communication closure with Bichat adipose body: etiology, clinical and radiographics features

Fechamento de comunicação bucosinusal com bola de Bichat: considerações sobre os fatores etiológicos, sinais clínicos e radiográficos

Cerrado de comunicación buco-sinusal con bola de Bichat: consideraciones sobre los factores etiológicos, señales clínicos y radiográficos

Abstract

Objective: This study aims to clarify to the dentist about the possible etiological factors responsible for such complication, to identify its clinical and radiographic signs and to describe the surgical technique adopted in these cases. Case Report: For this purpose, the authors present the clinical case of a patient with post-exodontia oroantral fistula of the right permanent maxillary first molar. The proposed treatment was the closure of the fistula under local anesthesia using the Bichat adipose body, with advantages of being a simple procedure, easy to perform, that does not require specific material, minimal complications, low morbidity and can be performed in the own dental practice and with high success rates proven by several studies. Discussion: The oroantral fistula is a common pathological occurrence in the dental clinic, characterized by communication of the maxillary sinus with the oral cavity when the maxillary sinus is pneumatic or during dental extractions of upper posterior elements whose roots are closely related to the maxillary sinus. Conclusion: Communications should be treated immediately, if there are signs of inflammation it should be treated first, so that surgical correction can be performed later.

Descriptors: Oroantral Fistula; Fat Body; Surgery, Oral.

Resumen

Objetivo: Este estudio tiene como objetivo aclarar al cirujano dentista en cuanto a los posibles factores etiológicos responsables por esa complicación, identificando sus signos clínicos e radiográficos y describiendo la técnica quirúrgica adoptada en estos casos. Reporte de Caso: Para ello, los autores presentan el caso clínico de un paciente con fistula buco-sinusal pos-exodoncia, del primer molar superior derecho permanente. El tratamiento propuesto y ejecutado fue el cierre de la fistula bajo anestesia local, utilizando el cuerpo adiposo Bichat, con ventajas de ser un procedimiento simple, de fácil ejecución, que no necesita de material específico, complicaciones mínimas, baja morbilidad y puede ser realizado en el propio consultorio odontológico y con altas taxas de éxito comprobadas por varios estudios. Discusión: La fistula buco-sinusal es una ocurrencia patológica común en la clínica odontológica, caracterizada por la comunicación con el seno maxilar con la cavidad oral cuando el seno maxilar es pneumático o durante extracciones de elementos superiores cuyas raíces están íntimamente relacionadas con el seno maxilar. Conclusión: Las comunicaciones deben ser tratadas inmediatamente, si se houver sinais de inflamação, devem ser tratadas primeiro, para que posteriormente proceda à correção cirúrgica.

Descritores: Fístula Bucal-exodontia; Cuerpo Adiposo; Cirugía Bucal.

INTRODUCTION

Oroantral fistula is a common pathological occurrence, where an opening or communication of the maxillary sinus with the oral cavity occurs, as a result of a loss of soft and hard tissue that normally separates the two compartments. These occurrences are often accidentally cause during dental extractions of posterior maxillary elements whose roots are closely related to the maxillary sinus. These teeth are only 1 to 7 mm from the maxillary sinus. The main upper teeth involved are first molars, followed by second and third molars.
This fistula may occur due to an aggressive surgical technique, excess alveolar curettage after extraction and also to other less frequent etiological factors that cause a oroantral fistula, such as destruction of the sinus by periapical lesions and removal of cysts and/or palate or maxillary sinus tumors.

The diagnosis of oroantral communication is made through detailed anamnesis, clinical and imaging examination, where the continuity of the radiopaque line that delimits the floor of the affected maxillary sinus can be observed, when compared with the adjacent side. The most frequent complaints are related to the possible passage of food or fluids from the oral cavity to the sinus, nasal timbre, halitosis, runny nose, facial pain or frontal headache and the presence of unilateral nasal discharge. For clinical diagnosis is recommended the visual inspection, alveolar palpation and Valsalva maneuver, which consists of forced nasal exhalation, which promotes alveolar air or pus through the fistula, depending on the state of the maxillary sinus.

If the oroantral fistula is smaller than 2 mm in diameter, the most appropriate treatment is the stabilization of the clot and its preservation at the extraction site with the aid of a well-performed suture. Usually this small fistula closes spontaneously, when the fistula is larger than 3 mm or when there is inflammation in the antrum or periodontal region, it is necessary the closure.

Several methods for the closure of oroantral fistulas have been reported in the literature, such as oral and palatal flap sliding, use of bone grafts and material implants, inferior facial artery musculomucosal flap or myofascial temporal flap associated to the Bichat adipose body. However, these procedures have not provided satisfactory results in all cases where such procedure was performed.

The buccal adipose body or Bichat's fat-pad consists of a main body resting on the maxillary periosteum and upper fibers of the buccinator muscle, which four processes extends: pterygopalatine, temporal, pterygoid and buccal. The adipose body is encapsulated in the cheek by a fascial envelope derived from the parotid-masseteric fascia.

Several authors consider the Bichat adipose ball graft technique favorable for cases with severe damage to the palatal and/or buccal mucosa, and for preservation of vestibule depth and also for the high success rate obtained with the technique. Due to their easy access and rich vascularization, it is considered a convenient and recommendable treatment for oroantral communications.

This study aims to clarify to the dentist the possible etiological factors responsible for this complication, identify their clinical and radiographic signs and describe the surgical technique adopted in these cases.

**CLINICAL CASE**

A 35-years-old male patient, melanodermic, presents the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology Service of the Santa Casa de Misericórdia in Montes Claros, complaining of fluid passing from the oral cavity to the nasal cavity, in addition to halitosis and pain in the region. The patient reported that the communication appeared one week after upper right first molar extraction. Intraoral clinical examination showed the presence of a defect in the alveolar ridge in the attempt to close the fistula. Patient reported not being a smoker and not having any drug disorders or allergies, presented normal pulse, blood pressure and respiratory rate.

After local anesthesia, an incision was made in the region, complemented by a relaxing incision, with bone support so that the granulomatous inflammatory tissue inside the opening was completely excised.

After margins regularization, two types of incision were made, horizontal, above the alveolar ridge, and vertical incisions extended to the anterior and posterior buccal sulcus, to provide exposure of the buccal extension of the Bichat’s fat-pad that was used as a pediculated graft to close the oroantral fistula.
For the removal of the adipose body, a small incision was made, if it is made large it may cause an excess of lobes out of the adipose body, which will interfere in the surgical field afterwards. After that, the adipose body was manipulated to the side of the surgical defect on the fistula to preserve its thin capsule, and then it was sutured to the palatal mucosa. There should be no suture tensions to avoid periphery tissue necrosis (Figure 3).

The mucoperiosteal flap was repositioned in its original position, and the sutures were performed between the adipose body and the oral flap (Figure 4). After adaptation of the adipose body over the bone defect, the suture was performed (Figure 5).

Epithelialization of the buccal adipose body graft occurs in 3-4 weeks even without fat covering. The patient was instructed on the type of diet, which should be light or liquid, medication, oral hygiene and care, such as not smoking in the first week, open his mouth during sneezing, not sucking, avoiding nose blowing and other activities that exert pressure gradient. As a postoperative pharmacological protocol, amoxicillin 500 mg was prescribed every 8 hours for 7 days, and for analgesia, dipyrone 500 mg every 6 hours for 2 days. The suture was removed 10 days after the surgical procedure, a complete adaptation of the wound edges and the presence of adipose tissue was observed.

**DISCUSSION**

The technique of using the buccal adipose body for the closure of oroantral fistulas presents a very low failure rate when performed correctly according to the protocol. Otherwise, its use may have some disadvantages.

The highest failure rate of the use of the buccal adipose body occurs when there is a necrosis of the adipose tissue, which usually occurs when the suture exerts a great tension on the tissue. For this reason, Pereira et al. suggest a tension-free suture to avoid the failure of the procedure. Raldi et al. report that the presence of infection or maxillary sinusitis is another condition that causes treatment failure. A fistula will never close with the inflamed sinus, especially when there is purulent discharge, under these conditions, the infection should be treated before surgery.

Other complications of the use of the buccal adipose body are cases such as hematoma and facial nerve injuries, which can be avoided by careful incision of the buccinator muscle fascia and limited dissection between masticatory spaces. Pereira et al. cited the possibility of a depression in the cheek and discreet changes in speech through the use of this technique.

However, Raldi et al. disagrees with this statement. Although there are contrary statements regarding the success of this technique, most of the authors certify that the buccal adipose body surgical method presents wide application, great success rate, low risk of infection, being a safe and effective method. In addition, the technique of using the buccal adipose tissue for treatment of oroantral communication does not modify the depth of the buccal sulcus avoiding the need of second surgical procedure for prosthodontics rehabilitation of the patient.

**CONCLUSION**

It can be concluded from this study that communications should be treated immediately, if there are signs of inflammation it should be treated first, so that surgical correction can be performed later. In the case reported, the Bichat’s fat pad was used for the closure. The technique presented proved...
to be safe and effective, providing a comfortable postoperative for the patient, without changing the depth of the buccal sulcus. However, the steps of the procedure must be followed to avoid possible complications.
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