Genetic variation and phylogenetic relationships of *Thelymitra javanica* (Orchidaceae: Orchidoideae) in East and Central Java, Indonesia
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Abstract. Wijaya IMS, Daryono BS, Purnomo. 2020. Genetic variation and phylogenetic relationships of *Thelymitra javanica* Blume (Orchidaceae: Orchidoideae) in East and Central Java, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 21: 1174-1181. *Thelymitra* J.R. Forst & G. Forst is a terrestrial orchid genera and mainly distributed in Australia as a center of its diversification. Moreover, *Thelymitra javanica* Blume is the only species of *Thelymitra* found in Asia, especially in Indonesia. In Australia and New Zealand, most of *Thelymitra* species easily found in lowland, whereas, *T. javanica* in Indonesia preferred to grows near the peaks of mountains around 2,000-3,000 m asl. The high altitude correlated with high light intensity and lower soil nutrients which require specific adaptation of plant to survive. The wide range of adaptation could be due to the presence of genetic variability among populations of *Thelymitra*. Therefore, this study was carried out to assess the genetic variability and phylogenetic relationships of *T. javanica* in East and Central Java. The genetic variability of *Thelymitra* was evaluated by molecular approaches, which is preferably utilized to determine the plant taxonomy to establish the taxonomic uncertainties. ITS-nrDNA is a popular DNA marker that widely used in phylogenetic study among various taxa. Sequences were analyses using Maximum Likelihood method with 11 in-group, 6 interspecies out-group of *Thelymitra*, and 2 intergeneric out-group of Thelymitrinae subtree. The result showed that ITS-nrDNA sequences of *T. javanica* have no variation in nucleotide compositions and showing polytomy branch in cladogram. *Thelymitra javanica* also has a close relationship with *Thelymitra longifolia* J.R. Forst & G. Forst that are endemic to New Zealand.
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INTRODUCTION

Indonesia is known for its biodiversity and most of its geographical area (except Papua because of its data deficient) classified as biodiversity hotspots with conservation priority that supported 16,500 of endemic plants (Myers et al. 2000). Orchid (*Orchidaceae*) is one of the important plants that have the highest endemcity among the plants. The endemicity of orchid caused by its adaptability in variable environments and perform a specific interaction with other organisms especially mycorrhiza (Dressler 1993; Kindlmann and Jersakova 2005; Swarts and Dixon 2009). Mycorrhiza known has a specific symbiosis with orchid roots and played an important role in growth and development of the plants (Swarts and Dixon 2009; Reiter et al. 2018). It raised the term of orchid mycoheterotrophic and holomycorrhizotrophic because of its dependency on mycorrhiza.

In the world, more than 25,000 species under 800 genera of orchids were estimated (Dressler 1993; Brown et al. 2008). Orchid mainly distributed in tropical zone from the beach to the mountain as terrestrial or epiphytic plant (Dressler 1993; Brown et al. 2008; Roberts and Dixon 2008). Some orchid species are also adapted to an extreme area like *Thelymitra javanica* Blume that grow in sandy soil with high light intensity near the peak of the mountain in Java (Backer and v.d. Brink 1968; v. Steenis 1972; Comber 1990).

*Thelymitra* J.R. Forst & G. Forst is a genus of terrestrial orchid with its center of distribution in Australia (Kalkman 1955; Backhouse 2007), and *T. javanica* is the only one recorded in Asia (Backer and v.d. Brink 1968; v. Steenis 1972; Comber 1990). *Thelymitra javanica* was first described by C.L. Blume with a specimen from Mount Gede, Indonesia (Blume 1825), and is taxonomically stable with only one revision from tribe Thelymitrideae to be Diurideae (Chase et al. 2015). In the lower taxonomical level, *Thelymitra* was classified in subtribe Thelymitrinae, along with *Epiblema* R.Br. and *Calochilus* R.Br. (Keros et al. 2001; Chase et al. 2015).

Tribe Diurideae consists of tuberous terrestrial orchid with a well-developed vegetative reproduction (Jeannes 2013; Weston et al. 2014) and highly ambiguous in morphology (Cameron et al. 1999; Edens-Meier and Bernhardt 2014; Hopper 2014). The morphological ambiguity is usually resolved using the molecular approaches to produce a more robust classification system. The DNA sequence is one of the molecular approaches used in the taxonomical study of orchid up to different strata of plants viz., family (Cameron et al. 1999; Chase et al. 2015), tribe, genera, species, even intra species (Yorifuji et al. 2005; Yukawa et al. 2013).
DNA sequence utilized in determination of plant taxonomy has been rapidly developing for recent times (Ali-Hemaid et al. 2014). Although, cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (COI) of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is widely used as a molecular marker in animal DNA barcoding, the universal DNA region as a marker for plant has not been found yet. In plants, various types of DNA regions used for taxonomical study, but ITS-nrDNA (Internal Transcribed Spacer-nuclear ribosomal DNA) region is widely used in phylogenetic reconstruction up to family, tribe, and intraspecies level (Soltis and Soltis 1998; Douzery et al. 1999; Yukawa et al. 2013; Ali et al. 2015).

ITS is a non-coding region of the nrDNA coding region (in order 18S-ITS1-5.8S-ITS2-26S) and has a high mutation rate (Soltis and Soltis 1998; Aprilyanto and Sembiring 2016). In phylogenetic analysis, every researcher has their own preference to combine each part of ITS-nrDNA. Hribova et al. (2011) prefer to use only ITS1 and ITS2 because of its high evolution rate at the level of intraspecies or intrapopulation, while Yao et al. (2010) specifically recommend ITS2 as a universal DNA barcode for eukaryote, both in plant and animal. In this study, we also used 5.8S sequence that makes ITS-nrDNA consist of ITS1-5.8S-ITS2. By using those sequences, this study aimed to assess the genetic variability and phylogenetic relationships of T. javanica.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Area of the sample collection

The samples of T. javanica were collected from 4 different mountains: Mount Arjuno (East Java S7°46′13.5″ E112°37′11.6″), Mount Lawu (borderline between East and Central Java S7°37′58.5″ E111°1′44.8″), Mount Sumbing (Central Java S7°22′57.6″ E110°0′40.9″), and Mount Andong (Central Java S7°23′25.9″ E110°22′13.7″) (Figure 1). The coordinate of locations marked with GPS (Garmin csx64s).

Procedures

Plant materials

The leaf materials of 11 populations of T. javanica were collected from 4 different mountains at various altitudes (Table 1). The leaf chooses wisely, because of T. javanica only has one leaf that would affect its metabolism. We use some criteria for collecting leaf material. First, we considered the young and clean leaf to avoid its secondary metabolic that could affect DNA extraction. And second, we only collect one sample in each colony that consists of 5 individuals or more. For data records, spirit specimens were deposited in Herbarium Bogoriense, Indonesian Institute of Science (LIPI), Indonesia.

Molecular methods

DNA was extracted from 30 mg leaves using PhytoPure Extraction Kit SL8510 (Nucleon, Tepnel Life Sciences PLC, Manchester). DNA purity was checked by ratio of spectrophotometer value at 260 nm and 280 nm. DNA amplification initiated if the ratio of DNA purity at the range of 1.8-2.0 that indicates the DNA extract was fairly pure to avoid miss-amplification. The ITS-nrDNA region was amplified with polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) by using ITS5 as forward primer (5′-GGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAAACAAGG-3′) and ITS4 as reverse primer (5′-TCCTCGGTTATGATGATG-3′) (White et al. 1990). PCR reaction was carried out in 25 µL volume that composed of 9.5 µL ddH2O (Invitrogen UltraPure Distilled Water), 12.5 µL PCR mix (Bio-25041 MyTaqTM), 1 µL forward primer, 1 µL reverse primer, and 1 µL DNA template (DNA extract). PCR program was including 35 cycles following protocol of pre-denaturation at 95°C in 1 minute, denaturation at 94°C 15 seconds, annealing at 54°C in 15 seconds, elongation at 72°C in 10 seconds, and post-elongation at 72°C it 2 minutes.

Figure 1. Study sites and habitus of T. javanica in East and Central Java (A) Study site in Mount Sumbing, Andong, Lawu, and Arjuno; and (B) The appearance of T. javanica in its habitat
The PCR products tested by electrophoresis on 2% agarose in 1x TBE buffer with 2 µL Florsafe Gel Stain. Electrophoresis using 4 µL of DNA template and 3.5 µL of DNA ladder, and running at 50 V for 60 minutes using Mupid-ExU electroporator. Gel documentation used to visualize electrophoretic results and checked the existence of ITS-nrDNA region. The PCR products that contain ITS-nrDNA region then sequenced by BigDye® Terminator v.3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Life Technologies Corporation, USA).

Data analysis
The ITS-nrDNA sequences were processed as contig files in Gene Studio Pro software, then analyzed in MEGA7 (Aprilyanto and Sembiring 2015; Kumar et al. 2016; Arisuryanti et al. 2017). The sequences alignment was performed by Clustal Omega in http://ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/ and copied as a nexus file by Mesquite software. The cladogram construction was done in MEGA7 with Maximum Likelihood algorithm, Kimura-2-parameter nucleotide substitution model, and 500 replicates in bootstrap analysis. Genetic variations showed in nucleotide compositions and distance matrix obtained from MEGA7 software.

The outgroup obtained by BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) analysis consisting 6 species of other Thelymitra as an interspecies outgroup and 2 species from different genera but is classified in the same subtribe Thelymitrinaceae as an intergeneric outgroup (Table 2).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genetic Variations based on ITS-nrDNA Sequences
All samples of T. javanica are composed of 689 nucleotides with no variation in nucleotide composition and frequency. The ITS sequence of T. javanica consists of 257 bp ITS1, 167 bp 5.8S, and 265 bp of ITS2. The BLAST search for ITS sequence of T. javanica shows maximum identity for T. pauciflora (100%) and T. longifolia (99%). The other Thelymitra species are 95-97% identity, while C. paludosus and E. grandiflorum have the same identity in 92%.

Based on nucleotide composition after alignment, T. flexuosa, T. pauciflora, and E. grandiflorum also contain 665 nucleotides but vary in nucleotide frequency (Table 3). The G content of all samples is generally above 31%, except T. ixioides, T. pauciflora, and C. paludosus that have G content 26.8%, 27.8%, and 28.7%, respectively. In reverse, the C content of those three was above 31% while the others were 27.2-28.8%. This composition and frequency would affect the phylogenetic tree of all taxa studied.

The distance matrix is shown in Table 4. Without nucleotide variation in T. javanica samples, the distance matrix shows 0.000% dissimilarity. The distance matrix also reveals the low dissimilarity of T. javanica within T. longifolia, which is 0.008%. Even though T. pauciflora has the highest identity in BLAST search, the dissimilarity of T. pauciflora with T. javanica is much higher than T. longifolia (1.11%).

Phylogenetic Relationships based on ITS-nrDNA Sequences
The phylogenetic tree (cladogram) derived from 616 nucleotides uses Maximum Likelihood method, Kimura-2-parameter substitution model (Kimura 1980) and bootstrap with 500 replicates to ensure the robustness of the tree (Felsenstein 1985).

Cladogram of phylogenetic relationship shown in Figure 2 reveals two clades, clade I composed by T. javanica, T. longifolia, T. benthamiana, T. cyanea, T. flexuosa, dan E. grandiflorum, while clade II composed by T. pauciflora, T. ixioides, dan C. paludosus. Even though Epiblema (E. grandiflorum) and Calochilus (C. paludosus) are placed in the same subtribe with Thelymitra (Subtribe Thelymitrinaceae), E. grandiflorum is closely related with the clade I, whereas C. paludosus with the clade II. The three species that have similar G and C content before are all placed in clade II.

Discussion
The species diversification of Thelymitra originated in southwest Australia, then dispersed by westerly winds to reach areas far to the east; this might explain how this genus occurs in Tasmania, New Zealand, and New Caledonia (Nauheimer et al. 2018). Australia is the center of its distribution, so Thelymitra is known as Australian orchid. Only one species of Thelymitra is distributed in Asia, identified as Thelymitra javanica. This species is found in Java and Lombok (Kalkman 1955; van Steenis 1972; Comber 1990) and even recorded in Luzon, Philippines (van Steenis 1972). The occurrence of T. javanica in Indonesia remains unclear because its distribution has not been recorded in East Nusa Tenggara, which is nearer to Australia. T. javanica was recorded in Lombok and Java but not in Bali, which is also confusing. This could be the result of a lack of flora assessment in Bali and East Nusa Tenggara, which provides new scope of flora research in those islands.

Table 1. Sampling units of T. javanica

| Mount     | Number of sampling unit | Altitude (m asl) |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Arjuno    | 1                      | 3,026           |
| Arjuno    | 2                      | 3,119           |
| Arjuno    | 3                      | 3,323           |
| Lawu      | 1                      | 2,478           |
| Lawu      | 2                      | 2,995           |
| Lawu      | 3                      | 3,243           |
| Sumbing   | 1                      | 3,140           |
| Sumbing   | 2                      | 3,193           |
| Sumbing   | 3                      | 3,201           |
| Andong    | 1                      | 1,674           |
| Andong    | 2                      | 1,678           |
Table 2. List of species and their accession code for molecular analysis in this study. Samples obtained from GenBank are marked with an asterisk.

| Species                          | Accession code | Source                |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| **In-group**                     |                |                       |
| Thelymitra javanica Blume Mt. Andong | MG978402 | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra javanica Blume Mt. Andong | MG978403 | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra javanica Blume Mt. Arjuno | MG978404 | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra javanica Blume Mt. Arjuno | MG978405 | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra javanica Blume Mt. Arjuno | MG978406 | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra javanica Blume Mt. Lawu | MG978407 | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra javanica Blume Mt. Lawu | MG978408 | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra javanica Blume Mt. Lawu | MG978409 | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra javanica Blume Mt. Sumbing | MG978410 | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra javanica Blume Mt. Sumbing | MG978411 | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra javanica Blume Mt. Sumbing | MG978412 | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| **Interspecies out-group**       |                |                       |
| Thelymitra pauciflora R.Br.      | *AF321605      | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra longifolia J.R. Forst & G. Forst | *AF348070 | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra ixioidea Sw.          | *AF321604      | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra benthamiana Rchb.f.   | *AF348067      | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra cyanea (Lindl.) Benth.| *AF348068      | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| Thelymitra flexuosa Endl.        | *AF348069      | Perkins and Weston (2000) |
| **Intergeneric out-group**       |                |                       |
| Calochilus paludosus R.Br.       | *AY029046      | Perkins and Weston (2001) |
| Epiblema grandiflorum R.Br.      | *AF348029      | Perkins and Weston (2002) |

Thelymitra javanica occupies a specific area in Java, which is near the peak of a mountain. Theoretically, its habitat could be isolated, but morphological analysis among some mountains in Java shows morphological plasticity (Wijaya et al. 2018). The molecular approach is needed to investigate any genetic variations among T. javanica and reveal its relationships with other Thelymitra species from Australia. The selection of markers in a molecular approach should be chosen wisely. ITS-nrDNA sequences of T. javanica (GC content 58.6%) rooted the T. javanica clade, while C. paludosus (60.3%) was placed in the same clade as T. pauciflora and T. ixioideae.

Nucleotide composition, particularly GC content, could be considered to point out genetic variations. In plants, predicted GC content interacts with genome function and ecological adaptation (Smarda et al. 2014). High GC content usually correlates to high thermal stability because of its triple hydrogen bonds. High GC content requires more energy to synthesize. This leads to misincorporation with A/T (which cost less energy) in DNA replication, and then causes mutation (Smarda and Bures 2012). Smarda et al. (2014) conducted research of GC content in monocots and found that the GC content affected the adaptation of monocots in seasonally dry winter cold or in areas with some seasonal water deficiency.

In phylogenetic analysis of T. javanica, similar nucleotide compositions among 11 samples of T. javanica affected the polytomy branch in the cladogram. The polytomy branch also includes T. longifolia as the closest-related species to T. javanica. This is interesting, since T. longifolia is a native species of New Zealand (Edens-Meier et al. 2013; Jeanes 2013). The disjunction of Thelymitra distribution based on this ITS-nrDNA sequence ignites some speculation, such as the possibility of pollination similarities that conserve the ITS-nrDNA region or the marker itself that are inadequate to construct a sufficient phylogenetic tree.
There is a unique pollination situation between *T. longifolia*, *T. javanica*, and *T. ixioides* already known. The pollination of *T. longifolia* is dominated by self-pollination, even showing sub-cleistogamous flowering identical to a self-pollination strategy (Edens-Meier and Bernhardt 2014). Self-pollination is also dominant in *T. javanica*. Grown in extreme environments, *T. javanica* has a lack of pollinators and tends to self-pollination. The simple pollen wall structure in *T. javanica* (Wijaya et al. 2018) also supports the probability of self-pollination. Franchi et al. (2002) stated that a lack of specific pollen structure indicates desiccation-sensitive pollen, which led to short-viability pollen. If *T. javanica* had a short flowering time (the flowering time slightly differs among mountains, and the flowering stage lasts about 1-2 months), the self-pollination selection would be higher.

Both *T. longifolia* and *T. javanica* show identical reproduction systems, while *T. ixioides*042des is very different. *T. ixioides*, placed in clade II, is highly dependent on cross-pollination (Sydes and Calder 1993), which indicates high gene flow. Some species of Australia’s *Thelymitra* also have the ability to form interspecies hybrids in nature (Edens-Meier et al. 2013). There is a possibility that the ITS-nrDNA in some species of *Thelymitra* are conservative and indicative of incomplete concerted evolution of nrDNA, as Hribova et al. (2011) found in *Musa*.

Table 3. Nucleotide composition and frequency of *T. javanica* and out-groups. Frequency showed in percentage

| Species | T(U) | C  | A  | G  | T(U)+A | G+C | Total |
|---------|------|----|----|----|--------|-----|-------|
| T. javanica MG978402 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 19.1 | 31.4 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 665.0 |
| T. javanica MG978403 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 19.1 | 31.4 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 665.0 |
| T. javanica MG978404 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 19.1 | 31.4 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 665.0 |
| T. javanica MG978405 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 19.1 | 31.4 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 665.0 |
| T. javanica MG978406 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 19.1 | 31.4 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 665.0 |
| T. javanica MG978407 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 19.1 | 31.4 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 665.0 |
| T. javanica MG978408 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 19.1 | 31.4 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 665.0 |
| T. javanica MG978409 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 19.1 | 31.4 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 665.0 |
| T. javanica MG978410 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 19.1 | 31.4 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 665.0 |
| T. javanica MG978411 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 19.1 | 31.4 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 665.0 |
| T. javanica MG978412 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 19.1 | 31.4 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 665.0 |
| T. pauciflora AF321605 | 19.4 | 31.4 | 21.4 | 27.8 | 40.8 | 59.2 | 665.0 |
| T. longifolia AF348070 | 21.2 | 28.6 | 19.0 | 31.2 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 664.0 |
| T. ixioides AF321604 | 19.5 | 31.1 | 22.6 | 26.8 | 43.1 | 56.9 | 668.0 |
| T. benthamiana AF348067 | 20.8 | 28.8 | 18.8 | 31.5 | 40.6 | 59.4 | 669.0 |
| T. cynarea AF348068 | 20.9 | 27.5 | 20.3 | 31.2 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 669.0 |
| T. flexuosa AF348069 | 20.3 | 28.3 | 20.2 | 31.3 | 40.5 | 59.5 | 665.0 |
| C. paludosus AY029046 | 19.8 | 31.6 | 19.9 | 28.7 | 39.7 | 60.3 | 668.0 |
| E. grandiflorum AF348029 | 21.4 | 27.2 | 20.0 | 31.4 | 41.4 | 58.6 | 665.0 |

Table 4. Matrix distance of *T. javanica* and out-groups. The number at the top row indicates the species as same as the first column

| Species       | T(U) | C  | A  | G  | T(U)+A | G+C | Total |
|---------------|------|----|----|----|--------|-----|-------|
| 1 T. javanica MG978402 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2 T. javanica MG978403 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 3 T. javanica MG978454 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 4 T. javanica MG978405 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 5 T. javanica MG978406 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 6 T. javanica MG978407 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 7 T. javanica MG978408 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 8 T. javanica MG978409 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 9 T. javanica MG978410 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 10 T. javanica MG978411 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 11 T. javanica MG978412 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 12 T. longifolia AF348070 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 |
| 13 T. pauciflora AF321605 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.113 |
| 14 T. ixioides AF321604 | 1.094 | 1.094 | 1.094 | 1.094 | 1.094 | 1.094 | 1.094 |
| 15 T. cynarea AF348068 | 0.040 | 0.040 | 0.040 | 0.040 | 0.040 | 0.040 | 0.040 |
| 16 T. flexuosa AF348069 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 |
| 17 T. benthamiana AF348067 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| 18 C. paludosus AY029046 | 1.090 | 1.090 | 1.090 | 1.090 | 1.090 | 1.090 | 1.090 |
| 19 E. grandiflorum AF348029 | 0.082 | 0.082 | 0.082 | 0.082 | 0.082 | 0.082 | 0.082 |
The utilization of ITS-nrDNA sequence in this study might be insufficient to reveal the genetic variation of *T. javanica* at the intraspecies level, although Yukawa et al. (2013) successfully used ITS-nrDNA to verify the taxonomical ambiguity of *Grammatophyllum speciosum*, and Purnomo et al. (2017) successfully constructed the phylogenetic relationships of water yams (*Dioscorea alata* L.). Khademi et al. (2016) also successfully used ITS-nrDNA to investigate unresolved clades in *Acer monspessulanum* subspecies in Iran. The other non-coding region of nrDNA may be used in future studies, such as IGS (intergenic spacer) and ETS (external transcribed spacer), which might have a higher mutation rate at the infraspecific level (Soltis and Soltis 1998; Poczai and Hyvonen 2010). The *rbcL* and *matK* markers could also be used and combined with those nrDNA markers to produce a high resolution of phylogenetic trees.

In plant taxonomy, a molecular approach may decrease or increase taxa. Yorifuji et al. (2015) used the *matK* (cpDNA) sequence to find that *Arundina graminifolia* var. *revoluta*, which grows on rock formations in the middle of a river, and *Arundina graminifolia* var. *graminifolia*, which grows in the hills in West Borneo, are not a variety, but a forma. Karremans et al. (2015) use ITS-nrDNA sequence to reveal that the new species of *Specklinia* sp. which is morphologically described turns out to have an identical sequence with *Specklinia marginata* Frisone & M.W. Chase. Hopper (2014) used ITS-nrDNA and found some divergence that could lead the six subgenera of *Caladenia* R.Br. to be the new six genera.

In conclusion, the ITS-nrDNA sequences of *T. javanica* have no variations within species. In this study, *Thelymitra* did not show a monophyletic branch. *Thelymitra javanica* is closely related to *T. longifolia*, *T. benthamiana*, *T. cyanea*, and *T. flexuosa*, which are rooted by *Epiblema grandiflorum*. In the other branch, *T. pauciflora* is closely related with *T. ixioides* and rooted by *C. paludosus*. We hope the ITS-nrDNA sequences of *T. javanica* represents the *Thelymitra* species in the Asia region.

The utilization of a molecular approach in taxonomical study has its own specification in determining taxonomical status. Although the ITS-nrDNA sequences of *T. javanica* did not show any variation within species, the occurrence of this plant in Java was evidence of disjunction in plant dispersal, and the plant should be wisely conserved. Since the distribution is mainly at the peak of mountains or at least 2000 m above sea level, the population pattern of *T. javanica* shows a uniquely separated gene pool. This gene pool pattern easily faces extinction by the vortex of extinction mechanism if the population remains in low
density. The population size of *T. javanica* should be assessed to determine its conservation status; this should be done quickly to prevent genetic loss. Swarts and Dixon (2009) found drastic losses of orchid species were caused by habitat fragmentation, disappearance of key species, increased fire threat, pollinator decline, and introduction of feral animals. Some of those major threats happened in Indonesia, such as the trend of mass hiking that caused habitat fragmentation and fires that impacted the disappearance of the orchid mycorrhizal fungus and its pollinator. Fires, which have occurred in some mountains over the past three years, could also burn the tubers of *T. javanica* as the main vegetative reproductive mechanism. Without mycorrhiza and pollinators, the orchids may not last much longer (Swarts and Dixon 2009; Reiter et al. 2018). Based on the distribution pattern and threats faced by *T. javanica*, the conservation of this species should be regulated.
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