Efficacy of newly discovered DNA aptamers targeting AXL in a lung cancer cell with acquired resistance to Erlotinib
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Background: Accumulating evidences indicate that AXL overexpression or activation is associated with cancer progression and acquired resistance to targeted anti-cancer drugs such as epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs). Despite recent development of several drugs that target multiple receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), drugs that selectively target AXL signaling are extremely rare. Short nucleic acid aptamers are non-immunogenic molecules with high binding affinity and specificity to their target molecules that could potentially be used as a novel cancer treatment.

Methods: Modified-DNA aptamers were selected on the basis of its ability to bind recombinant human AXL. AXL aptamers were selected for their inhibition of AXL and then selected aptamers were tested for their use to overcome acquired resistant to EGFR-TKI on a lung cancer cell with acquired resistance to erlotinib.

Results: These new AXL aptamers inhibited cell viability to an extent of 30–40% in HCC827/ER cells with acquired resistance to erlotinib. The possible mechanism of overcoming the acquired resistance may be by inhibiting the activation of Akt and Erk. Although, aptamers effectively decreased cell viability of erlotinib-resistant cell line, the combination of aptamers and erlotinib did not synergistically decrease the survival of the resistant cell line.

Conclusions: We developed newly modified DNA aptamers that selectively bind to AXL receptors, and assessed their efficacy in a human lung cancer cell with acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI.
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Introduction

AXL belongs to the TAM (Tyro-Axl-Mer) family of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) which involves many biological functions including coagulation, immune response, and cancer progression (1-3). AXL consists of two immunoglobulin-like repeats with dual fibronectin type 3-like repeats in the extracellular domain and its intracellular kinase domain (4). AXL receptor activation occurs via ligand-dependent or ligand-independent receptor dimerization. Growth arrest-specific protein 6 (Gas6) is known to be the only ligand that binds to the extracellular domain of AXL, and receptor homo- or hetero-dimerization with other RTKs leads to the phosphorylation of AXL with subsequent activation of intracellular downstream signaling molecules (4-6). AXL receptor activation triggers the signaling of many downstream pathways such as PI3K/ Akt, MAPK, and PKC, thus regulating cellular processes involved in proliferation, survival, and migration (7-10).

Overexpression of AXL has been reported in several human cancers including colon, esophageal, thyroid, breast, lung, and liver (7,11). Several previous studies showed that AXL overexpression or activation is associated with increased motility and invasiveness of cancer cells and further confers resistance to conventional chemotherapy drugs and targeted drugs such as epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs), demonstrating its potential as a novel therapeutic target for cancer treatment (12-15).

Although few drugs that show efficacy against multiple RTKs including MET, VEGFR2, and AXL have been developed and are being tested in clinical trials in several types of cancers, drugs that selectively target AXL signaling are extremely rare (16-20). Nucleic acid aptamers are novel therapeutic molecules, composed of short structured single-stranded RNA or DNA with high selective binding affinity to their target molecules (21-24). Aptamers have several major advantages over other therapeutic molecules in terms of high specificity with less toxicity, low cost, convenient synthesis, no immunogenicity, long-term stability by chemical modification, and delivery capability of nanoparticles or chemotherapeutic agents (25-27).

In this context, we developed new and modified-DNA aptamers that selectively bind to AXL receptors, and evaluated their effects on human lung cancer cells that acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI via activation of AXL signaling. We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-2447).

Methods

Modified systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX)

The advanced SELEX technology was used as previously described (28). Briefly, aptamers were selected from a DNA library containing a 40-nucleotide randomized region in which 5-(N-benzylcarboxamide)-2’-deoxyuridine (Bz-dU) or 5-(N-naphthylcarboxamide)-2’-deoxyuridine (Nap-dU) was substituted for dT (29,30). The oligonucleotides contained a central randomized region of 40 nucleotides flanked by two conserved flanking regions of nucleotides (5’-CGACGCTCCTGCTTTTG-40N-CTGGGTGCTGCTCCTG-3’). Twenty-five thermal cycles were conducted at 93 °C for 30 s, 52 °C for 20 s, and 72 °C for 60 s. A mixture of 1 nmole of aptamer library dissolved in a buffer solution (40 nM HEPES/pH 7.5, 120 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.002% Tween20) was heated at 95 °C for about 3 min and then slowly cooled to 30 °C at 0.1 °C/s for refolding. The binding process was performed at 37 °C. Aptamer library was pre-incubated with His-tag magnetic bead (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) to eliminate non-specific binder to magnetic bead. Aptamer library in supernatant were collected and incubated with purified 10 pmole of target protein for 30 min and then target protein was captured by contacting with His tag magnetic beads for 10 min. Aptamers bound to the target protein was eluted with 2 mM NaOH solution and amplified via PCR with normal NTPs, biotin-labeled reverse primer, and non-labeled forward primer, but not including Bz-dU nor Nap-dU. PCR products were collected and captured by streptavidin-coated beads, and sense-strands were eliminated with 2 mM NaOH solution. Modified aptamers were prepared by primer extension, which was performed with forward primer, and NTP mixture that dTTTP was substituted with Bz-dU or Nap-dU. The resulting aptamers were used in the next SELEX rounds. The target protein used for aptamers selection were recombinant Human AXL extracellular domain (Met 1-Pro 449), fused with a polyhistidine tag at the C-terminus produced in Human Cell (Creative Biomart, Shirley, NY, USA).

Cloning and sequencing of selected aptamers

After 8 rounds of SELEX, eluted aptamers were amplified by PCR, and then cloned into TA cloning Kit (Solgent, Daejeon, Korea). Fifty clones were picked for each sample.
and the cloned part were sequenced by Solgent. Sequences were aligned using the ‘aptamer motif searcher’, an in-house program of Aptamer Sciences Inc. (Pohang, Korea).

**Binding affinity assays**

The aptamer-protein equilibrium dissociation constant (Kd) was determined by the nitrocellulose-filter binding method (31). For all binding assays, aptamers were dephosphorylated using alkaline phosphatase (New England Biolab, Beverly, MA, USA), 5-end labeled using T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs) and [32P]-ATP (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ, USA) (32). Direct binding assays were carried out by incubating 32P-labeled aptamer at a concentration of less than 10 fM and protein at concentrations ranging from 1 mM to 10 fM in selection buffer at 37 ℃. The fraction of bound aptamer was quantified with a PhosphorImager (Fuji FLA-5100 Image Analyzer, Tokyo, Japan).

**Cell culture and reagents**

The human non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell lines (HCC827/ER and H2009) were kindly provided by Lee JC (Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Korea). Cells were cultured in RPMI1640 medium containing 10% FBS, 2 mmol/L L-glutamine and 100 U/mL of penicillin and streptomycin, and maintained at 37 ℃ in a humidified chamber containing 5% CO₂. Erlotinib and PHA-665752 were purchased from Selleck Chemicals. The cell line used was confirmed to be mycoplasma-free using standard methods.

**Cell viability assay**

To perform cell viability assay, cells were seeded at a concentration of 5x10⁴ cells in 96-well sterile plastic plates overnight and then treated with relevant agents. After 72 hours, cell viability was determined by a Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cell viability index was detected at the wavelength of 450 nm using a microplate reader.

**Western-blot assay**

Cells were lysed in buffer containing 137 mmol/L NaCl, 15 mmol/L EDTA, 0.1 mmol/L sodium orthovanadate, 15 mmol/L MgCl₂, 0.1% Triton X-100, 25 mmol/L MOPS, 100 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 20 mmol/L leupeptin, adjusted to pH 7.2. The antibodies (Abs) used were anti-p-AXL(Tyr702) Ab (5724; Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA), anti-AXL Ab (sc-166269; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), anti-p-Akt (Ser473) Ab (9271; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Akt Ab (sc-1618; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-p-Erk (Thr202/Tyr204) Ab (9106; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Erk Ab (sc-292838; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and anti-actin Ab (sc-47778; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Proteins were detected by a LAS-4000 (Fuji, Tokyo, Japan) imaging system using enhanced chemiluminescence reagents (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

All data were obtained by at least 3 independent experiments. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS Statistics version 24 (IBM Corp, Chicago, IL, USA). Differences between groups were tested using t-test. Data were processed as mean ± standard deviation (± SD), and P value <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

**Results**

**Discovery of aptamers that selectively bind to AXL receptors and their effect on cell viability**

Using differential advanced-SELEX, we identified modified-DNA aptamers that selectively bind recombinant human AXL. We ultimately selected and sequenced 5 different kinds of AXL aptamers (5, 9, 49, 55, and 81) with high affinity (Tables 1,2), and evaluated their effects on the cell viability of HCC827/ER cells with acquired resistance to erlotinib by the activation of AXL signaling (33). AXL was expressed and phosphorylated in HCC827/ER cells, but not in parental HCC827 cells (33). Although no significant difference was noted between 5 different kinds of aptamers (200 nM), all selected aptamers 5, 9, 49, 55, and 81 showed 72.3%, 69.5%, 64.8%, 65.6%, and 75.5% of inhibitory effects on cell viability, respectively (Figure 1A). Despite the 72.3% inhibitory effect aptamer 5 had shown, it was excluded as it did not alter AXL, Akt,
Table 1 Sequence of aptamers

| Aptamer | Sequence                                      |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 5       | 5’-CTGTAGCTTTAATCCGTACGTCGCTTTAAGCTAAGGCT-3’  |
| 9       | 5’-ACCTTTCTGCTTTAATGTTTTGGGACTAGAGCTATG-3’    |
| 49      | 5’-AACCCGCCTCAGCGACAGCATATTAGGTAAAGACGCC-3’   |
| 55      | 5’-TTTTACAAAGCCGGACTGCTAGGAATACAGGACGCTCA-3’  |
| 81      | 5’-GGCTGCGAGGCTTATTTGCCCTTAATAGGCCCTTCT-3’    |

Table 2 Binding affinity of aptamers to the AXL receptor

| Aptamer | 5     | 9     | 49    | 55    | 81    |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Aptamer-AXL equilibrium dissociation constant (K_d) | 40.6 nM | 6.8 nM | 85.5 nM | 7.5 nM | 4.2 nM |

Figure 1 Effects of aptamers in lung cancer cell lines. (A) Cell viability after the treatment of aptamers that selectively bind to AXL receptors in HCC827/ER cells. Cell viability was measured after 72-hr treatment of each aptamer in the concentration of 200 nM. Cell viability was measured using the CCK-8 assay. Results are representative of at least 3 independent experiments, and the error bars signify standard deviations (± SD). (B) Immunoblot analysis of AXL, phospho-AXL, Akt, phospho-Akt, Erk, and phospho-Erk. HCC827/ER and H2009 cells were treated with each aptamers (200 nM) for 72 hrs. Actin serves as a loading control.
or Erk activations, implying a different pathway for the inhibition of cell viability. From the four candidates, we chose two aptamers (49 and 81) as aptamer 49 reduced phosphorylation level of AXL while AXL expression was maintained at a similar level to the control and aptamer 81 was selected as it reduced the expression of AXL the most. These two aptamers also demonstrated the strongest inhibition of Erk activation for further examination of its effects on the cell viability of HCC827/ER cell. For reference, selected aptamers, 49 and 81, did not affect phosphorylation levels of AXL and Erk in H2009 cell which also express phosphorylated form of AXL but do not represent acquired resistance (34,35) (Figure 1B), validating the specific activity of these aptamers on HCC827/ER cells.

**Figure 2** Efficacy of aptamers and their combined treatment of erlotinib in HCC827/ER cells. (A) Cell viability after treatment with the aptamers targeting AXL (49 and 81). Cells were treated with aptamers at indicated doses for 72 hrs. (B) Efficacy of the combination of erlotinib with an aptamer. Cells were treated with multiple incremental doses of each aptamer in combination with the fixed dose (1 μM) of erlotinib for 72 hrs. (C) Efficacy of the combination of erlotinib with an aptamer. Cells were treated with multiple incremental doses of erlotinib in combination with the fixed dose (200 nM) of each aptamer for 72 hrs. Cell viability was measured using the CCK-8 assay. Results are representative of at least 3 independent experiments, and the error bars signify standard deviations (± SD).

**Aptamer treatment has no effect on the sensitivity of HCC827/ER cells to EGFR-TKIs**

HCC827/ER cells treated with increasing doses of AXL aptamers 49 and 81 showed maximal inhibitory effect on cell viability (approximately 40%) with 400 nM of aptamers with no significant differences between the two aptamers (Figure 2A). The decrease in cell viability was much greater compared to previous studies where inhibition effects of AXL aptamer or AXL inhibitory drugs were less than 20–50% (14,23,27,33). A previous study showed that the combined treatment of erlotinib and NPS-1034 effectively inhibited AXL tyrosine phosphorylation and overcame erlotinib resistance via a complete inhibition of EGFR downstream signaling (33). Therefore, we also
evaluated the combined effects of erlotinib and AXL aptamers. HCC827/ER cells were treated with increasing doses of AXL aptamers, 49 or 81, and a fixed dose of erlotinib (1 μM), which resulted in a maximal inhibitory effect with 400 nM of aptamer treatment (Figure 2B). With a fixed dose of AXL aptamers, inhibition of cell viability was approximately 30%, independent of the dose of erlotinib (Figure 2C). In conclusion, no significant additive or synergistic effects were noted compared to the effects of AXL aptamer alone (Figure 2). In the same sense, a previous study of Cerchia et al. also showed that treatment with chemotherapeutic agents observed no measurable synergy when combined with AXL aptamers (27).

**Aptamer treatment does not enhance sensitivity to EGFR-TKIs and/or MET inhibitor in HCC827/ER cells**

HCC827/GR cells with acquired resistance to gefitinib exhibits MET overexpression as a mechanism of resistance to EGFR-TKI, and thus treatment with MET inhibitor such as PHA-665752 in combination with gefitinib could effectively overcome gefitinib resistance (33). However, PHA-665752 in combination with erlotinib did not overcome erlotinib resistance in HCC827/ER cells (33). To further explore combined activity of aptamers with other drug, we evaluated the effects of PHA-665752 in combination with AXL aptamers and/or erlotinib in HCC827/ER cells with acquired resistance to erlotinib. While combination of aptamer and drug had no negative effect on the cells, combination of aptamers with drugs had no significant additive or synergistic effects on decreasing the cell viability (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

In this present study, we investigated the efficacy of newly developed modified-DNA aptamers that selectively bind to AXL receptors in human lung cancer cells with acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI via activation of AXL signaling. Increased expression and activation of AXL accompanied by epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) are known to be associated with acquired resistance to chemotherapeutic agents including both antimitotic drugs and targeted drugs (36-38). Previous studies revealed that the sole overexpression of AXL was observed in cells from acute myeloid leukemia patients with progression on chemotherapy (36) and that acquired cisplatin refractoriness with subsequent resistance to EGFR-TKI in NSCLC cell lines was closely related with AXL tyrosine kinase-mediated EMT (37). Moreover, Liu et al. reported that AXL overexpression confers lapatinib resistance in HER2-positive breast cancer with restoration of the sensitivity to lapatinib by siRNA transfection against AXL (38).

We demonstrated that the two newly developed aptamers exhibiting high selective affinity to AXL receptors inhibited 30–40% of cell growth and viability in human lung cancer cells with acquired resistance to erlotinib, possibly through mechanisms of inhibiting AXL and also its downstream components, Akt and Erk (Figure 1). This is in line with previous study by Cerchia et al. (27), which showed that the selective binding of the RNA-based aptamer, GL21.T to AXL significantly reduced RTK activity and the subsequent activation of two main downstream effector molecules Akt and Erk. However, treatment with GL21.T alone exhibited poor efficacy on inhibiting cell viability and proliferation, only reducing ~20% of viable cells in four different cell lines. In contrast, interfering with AXL expression by a specific shRNA against AXL displayed a much stronger effect in reducing cell viability (to the extent of 50%) than the aptamer treatment alone, possibly suggesting a limited potential of AXL aptamers in suppressing AXL receptors or signaling pathway downstream of AXL. Furthermore, other previous studies demonstrated that the single inhibition
of AXL via XL880, a small molecule inhibitor of AXL and MET or siRNA transfection was not successful in the sufficient suppression of cell viability in erlotinib-resistant cells (14,33). In this sense, a further study is required in identifying ways to increase the effectiveness of aptamers.

Regarding resistance to RTK inhibitors, AXL is known to interact and dimerize with other cell surface receptors such as HER2, HER3, and EGFR in several tumor types, thus making it possible for cancer cells to survive through activation of bypass signaling pathway even in the presence of targeted agents blocking those receptors (6,14,38-40). Concerning this, AXL overexpression and activation with EMT-related phenotypical change were demonstrated in EGFR-mutant lung cancer xenografts with acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI (14). Similarly, AXL overexpression and its dimerization with EGFR played a pivotal role in maintaining EGFR signaling pathway despite the presence of EGFR-blocking antibody cetuximab (40), and AXL dimerization with HER3 bypassed inhibition of HER2 signaling in breast cancer cells (38). These results provide reasonable evidence for the treatment of AXL inhibitors in combination with targeted agents at the time of acquired resistance by activation of AXL signaling. In support of this, Rho et al. demonstrated that a combination of EGFR-TKI with NPS-1034 that targets both MET and AXL synergistically inhibited cell proliferation and induced cell death in human lung cancer cells with acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI via AXL activation (33). Also, Zhang and colleagues showed that genetic or pharmacological inhibition of AXL with concurrent treatment with erlotinib significantly inhibited tumor growth in in vivo EGFR-mutant lung cancer models with acquired resistance to erlotinib (14). In contrast, our study indicated that aptamers targeting AXL partly inhibited cell viability independent of the effects of combined EGFR-TKI. However, previous studies have shown that the treatment with AXL aptamers alone significantly inhibited in vivo tumor growth in a mouse xenograft model (23,27). Therefore, further studies should demonstrate whether our newly developed aptamers with selective affinity to AXL also suppress in vivo tumor growth or exhibit significant antitumor efficacy when combined with EGFR-TKIs in a in vivo study.

**Conclusions**

To sum up, our newly developed modified-DNA aptamers selectively targeting AXL showed partial inhibitory effects in human lung cancer cells with acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI via activation of AXL signaling.
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