ABSTRACT

The three dimensional structure of DNA in the nucleus (chromatin) plays an important role in many cellular processes. Recent experimental advances have led to high-throughput methods of capturing information about chromatin conformation on genome-wide scales. New models are needed to quantitatively interpret this data at a global scale. Here we introduce the use of tools from topological data analysis to study chromatin conformation. We use persistent homology to identify and characterize conserved loops and voids in contact map data and identify scales of interaction. We demonstrate the utility of the approach on simulated data and then look data from both a bacterial genome and a human cell line. We identify substantial multiscale topology in these datasets.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The 6 billion bases in the human genome would span a length of almost two meters if stretched end to end, yet occupy a compacted volume inside the nucleus of only a few \(\mu m^3\). Even more remarkably, this million-fold level of compression is not random, but exhibits a complex hierarchical structure that intimately effects genome function through regulation of gene expression. This multiscale pattern ranges from nucleosomes every 150 bases, promoter interactions at the megabase scale, topologically associated domains at the 10 megabase scale, and finally to organization of discrete chromosomes \([4]\). Chromatin conformation is dynamic, and will change throughout the cellular cycle, under the influence of a diverse range of chromatin remodeling proteins, such as CTCF. Chromatin architecture can further be controlled epigenetically through post-translational modifications including methylation and phosphorylation.

Recently developed experimental approaches have provided unprecedented high-throughput access into the three dimensional architecture of DNA inside the nucleus \([9,4,1]\). These methods, known as chromosome conformation capture (3C), use next-generation sequencing to probe for enriched physical proximity between nonadjacent genomic loci. Hi-C couples 3C with ultra-deep sequencing to measure genome-wide interaction patterns in an unbiased manner. However, while chromatin may fold in three dimensions, Hi-C contact data is only an indirect representation of these spatial relationships. Several approaches have been developed to use contact map information to generate 3D embeddings of chromatin, however this introduces additional uncertainty in the analysis \([1]\). Further, the contact map is an average over an ensemble of configurations. We would therefore like to directly characterize topological properties of the ensemble without the need for such an embedding.

Topological data analysis (TDA) has been applied to several problems in genomics \([3,6]\). In this brief note we introduce the use of TDA to characterize the complex structure of chromatin inside the nucleus. Our primary tool is persistent homology, which extracts global information about geometric and topological invariants in data.

We first demonstrate the approach on data from simulated polymer folding. We then consider data from \(C.\ crecentus\), a circular bacterial genome. Finally, we apply our approach to human cell line data, showing how persistent homology can capture complex multiscale folding patterns. As we show, tools from topological data analysis may prove powerful at analyzing chromatin interaction data.

2. BACKGROUND

Hi-C contact data is generated as follows: First, DNA is cross-linked in formaldehyde, linking segments of chromatin that are close in spatial proximity. This step links pieces of chromatin that are in spatial proximity. Second, pieces are fragmented and ligated to form closed loops. Finally, pieces are sheared and sequenced, and the ends of each read are mapped to loci on the genome. The data is summarized as a contact map representing counts of interactions between nonadjacent loci. For more details, see \([1]\). From raw frequency data, normalization procedures are then applied to
account for biases. Normalization is a difficult problem and several such methods have been developed, see [1] for discussion. In this work we largely use normalized contact matrices as input. Pearson correlation \( \rho \) measures similarity between loci, which we convert to a distance as \( d = 1 - \rho \).

Hi-C experiments have identified topologically associated domains. Existing computational analyses have focused on identifying significant off-diagonal contacts and associating them with specific genomic interactions. Here we focus on the global scales of chromatin folding.

We use persistent homology to analyze Hi-C contact maps. Persistent homology captures information about loops and voids in a dataset using homology. Homology information is tracked across a scale parameter \( \epsilon \) via a series of nested simplicial complexes (see [2] for more details). Invariants are summarized in a barcode diagram indexed by homology dimension \( H_d \). Each bar in the diagram, indexed as \( PH_i \), is annotated with a birth time, \( b_i \), and a death time, \( d_i \). \( H_1 \) gives information about looping between loci, and \( H_2 \) gives information about voids. Following [10], we define the size of a PH class as

\[
x_i = \frac{b_i + d_i}{2}.
\]

The distribution of PH class sizes reflects the scales of folding observed. We use Dionysus to compute persistent homology [11].

### 2.1 Long-Range Chromatin Interactions

Long-range chromatin interactions can manifest in a number of different biological consequences at megabase scales. In Figure 2 we show a cartoon of two possible types of interaction. On the left we see a single interaction mediated by a binding protein that brings two nonadjacent loci into contact. This could reflect a promoter-enhancer interaction, for example. We call this interaction a one-jump loop. On the right, we see a more complex interaction representing multiple nonadjacent loci surrounding a dense compartment of polymerase proteins. This phenomenon is known as a transcription factory, and genes adjacent to a given factory will have correlated levels of expression. We call this interaction a multi-jump loop, because the minimal hole generated by the filtration will span multiple nonadajacent loci.

#### 2.2 Minimal Cycle Algorithm

It is important to be able to localize a cycle in order to annotate particular loops an interactions. To define a notion of minimal cycle corresponding to a PH class, we first use the contact map to locate an “essential edge” which a cycle must contain. To do this, the values of the heatmap are perturbed so that they are unique and there is a well-defined map from PH birth times to pairs of chromatin segments. That is, we can associate to each PH class \((b_i, d_i)\) a unique “essential edge” that enters the filtration at the birth time \(b_i\). We define a minimal cycle corresponding to \((b_i, d_i)\) to be one containing the essential edge that traverses the shortest length along the genome, and is homologically independent from the minimal cycles of all classes born before \(b_i\) [13]. This does not uniquely specify a cycle, and we break ties by preferring cycles with shorter jumps. Specifically, if \(x y \ldots z\) is homologous to \(x x + 1 y \ldots z\) (where \(x > y\)) then the latter is considered better. We use a breadth-first search starting with the essential edge to locate a minimal cycle for a given PH class. Then, we shorten any jumps if possible.

### 3. POLYMER SIMULATIONS

To explore the use of topological methods for analyzing chromatin data, we used code from [6] to simulate equilibrium folded polymer conformations. The model uses a Monte Carlo approach to simulate chromatin as a one-dimensional polymer chain confined to a volume and allowed to come to an equilibrium conformation. After equilibration, the 3D distance between monomers can be used as a measure of the contact frequency.

In Figure 3 we show the output of one such a simulation. Here, we simulated a 50 megabase chromatin segment as a

Figure 1: Three hierarchies of chromatin organization. At the 100 bp scale, DNA chains wrap around protein complexes called nucleosomes. At the megabase scale, these chains are compacted into domains. Lieberman-Aiden et al. proposed closed domains form a fractal globule structure. At the genome scale, chromosomes fold into the nucleus in separate territories. The fractal globule represents densely packed regions not open for transcription. Fractal globule image from [9]. Reprinted with permission from AAAS.

Figure 2: Two examples of long range chromatin interactions resulting in a topological loop. (A) A protein mediated (red) point-interaction between an enhancer (green) and promoter (yellow) sequence. (B) A transcription factory consists of dense RNA polymerase (green) around a structural core in which adjacent genomic loci (colored segments) will be cotranscribed. Transcription factors (purple) are shown.
chain of 1,000 15 nm monomers. Each monomer corresponds to approximately 6 nucleosomes, or 1200 bp. We inserted 10 fixed loops into the chain at random positions on the interior of the chain. These loops represent recurrent protein-mediated interactions and mimic chromatin folding patterns observed in real data. An ensemble of 5,000 conformations were generated and then averaged to yield the contact map depicted on the left. On the right, we show the output of persistent homology on the average contact map. Persistent homology recovers 10 $H_1$ intervals, consistent with the simulation and showing that topological information can be extracted from Hi-C-like contact maps.

4. CAULOBACTER DATA

We examined interaction data from *Caulobacter crescentus* as published in [8]. *C. crescentus* has a 4MB circular genome. In that paper, chromatin interaction domains (CIDs) were identified at scales between 30 to 420 kb. The authors proposed a structural model consisting of brush-like plectonemes arranged along the circular fiber.

Here we look at sample GSM1120446, a wildtype *Caulobacter* cell. In Figure 4A we show the contact map data binned at 10 kb resolution. Clearly identifiable are the strong interactions along the diagonal, as well as the circular off-diagonal interactions. In Figure 4B is the barcode diagram computed from this contact map. Finally, in Figure 4C we see that the size of $H_1$ invariants is strongly bimodally distributed.

We used the minimal-cycle algorithm to determine a representative basis for each $H_1$ loop. Figure 5 shows the set of minimal cycles arranged along the genomic axis. We divide the loops between small- and large-scale loops as identified in Figure 4C. On the left, we see that the small-scale loops cover small genomic scales and are regularly distributed along the genome. These small loops may associate to small nucleoid-associated proteins or structural maintenance complexes (see [14]), or may simply reflect stochastic folding. On the right, we see the large-scale loops cover broader genomic regions (average size 100kb). These loops do not associate with the CIDs identified in [8], but rather reflect larger scale folding patterns.

5. HUMAN DATA

We examined one of the original human Hi-C data sets as published in [9]. In that paper, the authors proposed a two-compartment model of chromosomal organization associated with open and closed chromatin states and correlated with gene expression patterns. At the megabase scale, they proposed a fractal globule model in which nearby loci along the polymer are spatially proximate in 3D.

We looked at data from GM06690, a healthy human lymphoblastoid cell line. In Figure 6 we show an example from chromosome 1 measured at 1 MB resolution. On the left is the observed contact map. The gray band in the middle represents the position of the centromeres. On the right is the barcode computed persistent homology. We observe substantial structure in both $H_1$ and $H_2$.

In Figure 7 we show the distribution of $H_1$ bar sizes. We observe a strong bimodal structure, representative of two scales of chromatin folding. This is consistent with the results in [9], which identified topologically associated domains at the 10MB scale.
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