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ABSTRACT--- This paper deals with stepwise development in hybrid electric vehicle based on battery source and ultracapacitor. The focus is on the utilization of ultracapacitor and sustainable development in a hybrid vehicle application. Various control strategies to improve utilization of ultracapacitor has been studied and represented here.
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I. INTRODUCTION

World Health Organization (WHO) has reported that New Delhi, India has been the most polluted city in the world [1] as per conclusion from 2014 data [2-3]. Air pollution is mostly due to vehicles. Use of vehicles is increasing very rapidly in India from the last two decades, which causes more and more air pollution[4], in New Delhi [5-7].

To reduce pollution due to the use of conventional fossil fuels, electric vehicles must be popularized. From the last few decades, hybrid electric vehicles are attracting more attention since they help us in reducing pollution levels[8]. Government of India also takes steps towards more utilization of electric vehicles mainly in the area of transportations. Distribution of e-Riksha at low cost is one of the efforts taken by the Government of India in various states[9].

As an essential first step, the introduction of the ultracapacitor is needed. Article [10] gives a brief history and development of ultracapacitor. To explore the field of an ultracapacitor-based hybrid electric vehicle, it is essential to understand the background of electric vehicle and stepwise development in it. Also, it is necessary to understand the role of ultracapacitor and its control systems which are mostly based on different types of DC-DC converters. To know these controllers, it is necessary to know in brief about the background of the DC-DC controller.

II. BACKGROUND OF ULTRACAPACITOR

Paper relates within electrochemical capacitor (EC) called as ultracapacitor or Supercapacitor. Since the late 1800s, the store of electrical energy in the supercapacitor has been known and H.I. Becker of General Electric (U.S. Patent 2,800,616) reported first electrical appliance using ultracapacitor charge storage was reported in 1957 (the device was impractical). The commonly used format is attributed by Robert A. Rightmire at the SOHIO (Standard Oil Company of Ohio) in 1962 and registered in U.S. patent (U.S. 3,288,641) and a consequential patent (U.S. Patent 3,536,963) by Donald L. Boos in 1970. Many other related successive patents and articles which covers all aspects of ultracapacitor technology. In 1978, with the license of SOHIO ultracapacitor used commercially but as back-up power devices for volatile clock chips and complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) compute memories.

The next development in an ultracapacitor is as follows, in 1962 by electrochemical capacitors at SOHIO, followed by electrochemical capacitors at NEC in 1975 as well as electrochemical capacitors at econd in 1975, then in 1978 Panasonic take step towards EC at Panasonic, EC at elit in 1988, thenElma in 1989 and at maxwell in 1991. EC also at Esma in 1993, EC at cap-xx in 1994, then at Nippon Chemicon in 1995, followed byNesscap in 1998.

III. DEVELOPMENT IN ELECTRIC VEHICLE

In the last 25 years, many other applications have emerged like, power generation, power quality, industrial actuator power sources, portable wireless communication, electric vehicles (EVs) energy storage and hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs).

In hybrid electric vehicles, many challenges were identified and resolved. But the idea of implementation of ultracapacitor takes a longer time for acceptance [11]. In this, compression between battery and ultracapacitor was majorly debated. The article gives one important keep that, the basic differences between electrochemical capacitors and batteries are due to their structures/functions. The difference in their materials/structures and in physical/chemical mechanisms dependents on functions [12]. The battery stores energy chemically and capacitor stores its charge electrostatically. The battery has much higher energy density and undergoes physical change between the charged and the discharged state. Whereas capacitor has a low density as compared to the battery but very little change in material structure with charge state. The important outcome of the article is information on which ultracapacitor has was taken into consideration as a storage device. The next difference is between charge and discharge time of battery and ultracapacitor. The time required for ultracapacitors for charging and discharging is in seconds. On the other hand, the battery takes time in minutes or in
hours to get charge and discharge. The next difference is given in terms of energy storage and the life cycle of battery and ultracapacitor. Advantage of battery is the chemical changes that give cycle life for deep discharge and some batteries provide 5,000 cycles or more than that. The ultracapacitors life cycle is in terms of hundreds, thousands or in millions of cycles, whereas batteries life cycle is in hundred and thousands of cycles. Paper also comments on today’s advanced batteries. These are more efficient and have high power capability without any major de-rating. But in heavy hybrid vehicles, an ultracapacitor is the most promising energy storage device as is suggested in the paper. One example of heavy truck operation is also mentioned in the paper.

The challenges for any energy storage and high-life-cycle are required for heavy hybrid vehicles due to heavy heat dissipation during charging and discharging. Ultracapacitors are well-suited for heavy hybrid vehicle applications because of high efficiency and life cycle. The major difference between batteries and ultracapacitor used in electric vehicles was clearly indicated in Figure.1 [10].

In 1881, Frenchman GustaveTrouvé built the first electric vehicle. It was a battery (lead-acid) based having 0.1 HP DC motorcycle driven an electric vehicle. Figure. 3 shows a schematic diagram first EV.

Paper says there were many advantages electric vehicle compared to the conventional ICE vehicle, such as reducing the emissions, high efficiency, and smooth operation. In Paris salon (1898), one-step-ahead has been taken. The first hybrid electric vehicle (HEV) has been introduced by Pieper (Belgium) and Vendoveli (France) in coordination with Priestly Electric Carriage Company, France. Figure 4 shows a hybrid electric vehicle.

The next Hybrid electric vehicle, that is series HEV introduced in the Paris Salon (1899) and followed with parallel HEV, Series-Parallel EHV, Complex EHV, Fuel cell HEV and then Plug-in HEV.
In 1839, Sir William Grove (The Father of the Fuel Cell) discovered the possibility to generate electricity by reversing the electrolysis of water, and further development happened up to 1932, which is done by Francis Bacon to successive development of fuel cell. On the basis of the above-reviewed topic, review process shifted towards the implementation of ultracapacitor in replacement of fuel cell. For that, some more paper has been reviewed. In the next paper [16-17], application of ultracapacitor in Electric Hybrid Vehicles has been studied. In this paper, the author gives details explanation regarding the implementation of ultracapacitor in combination with battery to drive motor.

Next paper [18] deals with AES (auxiliary energy system), which is designed for electric vehicles. For better efficiency of operation, an experimental model was presented. As AES device, an ultracapacitor is used. The DC-DC converter is also implemented in the circuit. In the first case, a battery (lead-acid) replaces the fuel cell. In the next case, ultracapacitors were used in combination with lithium batteries for evaluation of power support systems. As, per the paper, results show a cost decrease at the rate when ultracapacitors were used. The developed system is represented in Figure.6.

The next reviewed paper [19] is useful to identify and understand various batteries characteristics and helpful for the selection of battery for the proposed scheme. The comparative analysis is shown in Figure 7.

### Table 1. Characteristics of Commercial Batteries For HEV Applications

|          | Capacity (Ah) | Voltage (V) | Resistance (mΩ) | W/kg 95% SoE | Usable SoC |
|----------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|
| NHM      | 6.5           | 7.2         | 1.1             | 207          | 40%        |
| Ceramic  | 12            | 12          | 1.0             | 195          | 30%        |
| Soft     | 14            | 1.2         | 1.1             | 172          | 30%        |
| Li-Ion   | 7             | 4           | 7.0             | 256          | 20%        |
| Sulfuric | 4             | 4           | 3.4             | 745          | 18%        |
| Lead-acid| 25            | 12          | 7.8             | 77           | 28%        |

### IV. IMPLEMENTATION OF DC-DC CONVERTERS IN HEV & RESULTS

In the next part of the paper, authors are dealing with DC-DC converter and energy management system (EMS). From all mentioned topologies, one topology designed as an inaccessible converter with a transformer for charging and discharging of batteries. Then dual active bridge converter was designed as represented in Figure 8. In this topology, the left side bridge works as an inverter while energy delivering from the converter to the battery. The right side bridge was used to invert power from DC to AC while discharging of the battery. By operating phase shift of two half bridges, zero-voltage switching were obtained. This allows lossless snubber capacitances a resonant discharge, before conduction of the switching devices. Each anti-parallel diode was conducted and the circuit uses the leakage inductance of the transformer as an interface between the two half-bridge converters. Dual active bridge system provides fast control with high power density. Furthermore, some more DC-DC converter has been reviewed.

Basic dc-dc converter circuit [20] i.e. bucks converter and boost converter is reported in Figure. 8 as a schematic diagram. In this, buck converter was used with a switching network for dropping voltage dc component and switching harmonics. An SPDT (single pole double throw) switch is used for circuit operation. For position 1 of the switch (Figure 8), switch output voltage Vs(t)=Vg. But at position 2, Vs(t) = 0.

The switching frequency (fs) is equal to 1/Ts. Paper suggests semiconductor devices such as BJTs, power MOSFETs, diodes, IGBTs used as SPDT switch. Now, a factor which equals to D (duty cycle), where 0 ≤ D ≤ 1 and Vs≤ Vg (Figure 9). The power dissipated PD=0 (Ideally). Hence, Vs(t) = 0, Therefore PD= 0 if contacts of switch
were closed. To remove harmonics, low pass filter was implemented. The diagram is shown in Figure 8.

\[ V_x = \frac{1}{T_s} \int_0^T v_x(t) dt = DV_g \]  

(1)

Figure 8. Switch network of the buck converter

Initially, the switch is in position 1. At this position, inductor circuit stores some energy. Then the switch is shifted to position 2. When the total energy supplied to the load is the same as the sum of source energy and energy stored in the inductor circuit during switch at position 1. The total boosting is dependent on total energy storing capability of the inductor circuit. This paper gives a brief idea of buck, boost, and buck-boost converter. By using this information, a constant output voltage based DC-DC converter has been developed for simulation purpose. Next paper[21] deals with combination battery with ultracapacitor to extend battery life cycle during utilization for an electric vehicle. The author also focuses on sizing and hybridization of battery and ultracapacitor. For optimizing power flow between ultracapacitor and battery, an energy management strategy has been proposed and implemented.

\[ f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{LC}} \]  

(2)

The corner frequency \( f_0 \) given by

\[ M(D) \] conversion ratio is given as

\[ M(D) = \frac{V}{V_g} \]  

(3)

The \( M(D) \) for the buck converter is given as

\[ M(D) = D \]  

(4)

In the next step, the boost converter is explained in detail.

The topology is shown in Figure 12, we concentrated on the parallel combination of battery and ultracapacitor with DC - DC converter. The UC and battery providing energy to load requirements during normal running conditions. However, during a ride through the condition, the peak energy requirement may increase the capability of the battery and ultracapacitor. During this condition, engine power was used for the required power.

The power \( P_{veh} \) has equal to the sum of the battery power \( P_{BU} \) and the UC power \( P_{UC} \). Another paper[22] which comments on power utilization topology between ultracapacitor and battery.

Figure 9. Switch voltage waveform.

Figure 10. The buck converter Schematic diagram

Figure 11. Switch voltage waveform.

Figure 12. EV/parallel PHEV system configuration.
An optimizing method for energy storage, operation of a battery/ultracapacitor for a hybrid energy storage system (HESS) has been reviewed here. The outcome of the paper is the utilization of the power of battery and ultracapacitor for a smaller energy storage system.

**Figure 14. Bi-Directional (Two-input) DC-DC Converter**

As many topologies explained in this paper, from all this, bi-directional (two input) DC-DC converter system having more stability and efficiency. In this topology, it is assumed that the same power is extracted from UC and battery SoCs. The voltage level of both DC - DC converters is maintained the same but the current is distributed amongst them. It is shown that HESS (passive) can supplies 3 times more battery power during pack condition.

Battery energy saving based paper deals[23] in the direction of saving and expand the life of the battery. Paper gives a brief idea about loading condition of. In this manuscript, simulation is done on Matlab and ANL (Argonne National Laboratory)’s Autonomie software. This system was confirmed by HIL (Hardware in the Loop). In result, the author demonstrates a significant expansion of 76% of battery life was achieved by reducing HESS with 72 ultracapacitor cells. Similar paper[24] based mainly focuses on ultracapacitor life extension, which is done by applying battery and ultracapacitor parallel combination. Paper also gives an idea about various advancements in the battery-operated system. The advancements of battery are given as, Smoothing of the Battery Current, Vehicle Range Extension, Low-Temperature Operation, battery lifetime improvement, etc. The cycling experimentation of the system shows that up to 40% lifetime extension of battery might be possible in combination ultracapacitor.

Paper [25] which is helpful to understand and provides a basic idea about the algorithm of control system has been studied. In this paper, ultracapacitor (SC) is initially charged at the initial time, the author demonstrates a significant expansion of lifetime extension of battery. However, the control system for ultracapacitor having a scope of improvement. Currently, it seems that, even with parallel hybrid vehicle technology, the utilization of ultracapacitor having a scope of improvement. However, the control system for ultracapacitor energy also having the opportunity for better efficiency.
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