The Transcriptional Response of Candida albicans to Weak Organic Acids, Carbon Source, and MIG1 Inactivation Unveils a Role for HGT16 in Mediating the Fungistatic Effect of Acetic Acid
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ABSTRACT Candida albicans is a resident fungus of the human intestinal microflora. Commonly isolated at low abundance in healthy people, C. albicans outcompetes local microbiota during candidiasis episodes. Under normal conditions, members of the human gastrointestinal (GI) microbiota were shown to keep C. albicans colonization under control. By releasing weak organic acids (WOAs), bacteria are able to moderate yeast growth. This mechanism displays a synergistic effect in vitro with the absence of glucose in medium of culture, which underlines the complex interactions that C. albicans faces in its natural environment. Inactivation of the transcriptional regulator MIG1 in C. albicans results in a lack of sensitivity to this synergistic outcome. To decipher C. albicans transcriptional responses to glucose, WOAs, and the role of MIG1, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) on four biological replicates exposed to combinations of these three parameters. We were able to characterize the (i) glucose response, (ii) response to acetic and butyric acid, (iii) MIG1 regulation of C. albicans, and (iv) genes responsible for WOA resistance. We identified a group of six genes linked to WOA sensitivity in a glucose-MIG1-dependent manner and inactivated one of these genes, the putative glucose transporter HGT16, in a SC5314 wild-type background. As expected, the mutant displayed a partial complementation to WOA resistance in the absence of glucose. This result points toward a mechanism of WOA sensitivity in C. albicans involving membrane transporters, which could be exploited to control yeast colonization in human body niches.
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Considered as a human commensal, Candida albicans is frequently isolated from the human GI tract where it coexists harmlessly with its host. However, this yeast can become virulent, particularly when associated with certain pathologies such as immunodepression or antibiotic treatment (Yapar 2014; Pfäffer and Diekema 2007). At the core of C. albicans virulence is its dimorphic nature, an ability to switch between blastospore and filamentous forms, allowing C. albicans to invade tissue and evade immune cells (Saville et al. 2003; Krysan et al. 2014). This morphological switch of C. albicans occurs in response to numerous external stimuli such as temperature, pH, hypercapnia, serum, and mannitol or ammonium concentrations. Cell growth can also be impacted by as many conditions and demonstrates how responsive the yeast is to its environment. In its natural niches (GI tract, vagina, and skin), some of these signals, such as WOAs, are metabolites originating from the local bacterial population. For example, Lactobacillus, predominant genus of the vaginal microbiota, produces lactic acid to control C. albicans colonization in healthy women via WOA acidification (Ouset et al. 2001; Kohler et al. 2012). On the other hand, acetic and butyric acids are synthesized during anaerobic fermentation by bacteria present in the human GI tract (Mortensen and Clausen...
Yeasts strains were grown in YPD media (1% w/v yeast extract, 2% w/v D-glucose was replaced by maltose) at 37°C. We demonstrated that when exposed to concentrations of acetic and butyric acid similar to the ones identified in human stool, the C. albicans growth rate was reduced by ~50%. This triggered upregulation of genes involved in iron transport and an opposite effect on RNA synthesis genes (Cottier et al. 2015a). We further identified the transcriptional regulator MIG1 as a central contributor to WOA resistance. MIG1 inactivation increased sensitivity to acetic acid by 80% and by 20% for lactic and butyric acid in Yeast extract-Peptone-Dextrose (YPD) media (Cottier et al. 2015b). In the model yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Mig1p is known as a major regulator involved in glucose repression. In the presence of glucose, this zinc finger protein represses the expression of genes encoding proteins involved in the utilization of nonglucose sugars such as maltose or sucrose. Similarly, in C. albicans, inactivation of MIG1 has been associated with the derepression of gluconeogenic genes like PCK1 (Murad et al. 2001). When C. albicans is grown on maltose as a main carbon source instead of glucose, a wild-type strain of C. albicans displays an increased sensitivity to WOAs by ≤75% for acetic and butyric acid (Cottier et al. 2015b). This mimics the phenotypes observed with the MIG1 deletion mutant.

To identify which genes are involved in MIG1-glucose-dependent sensitivity to WOAs in C. albicans, we investigated the transcriptomic responses of this yeast to acetic and butyric acid in the presence of glucose or maltose for a wild-type and MIG1 mutant strain. Using RNA-seq, this multiparametric design allowed us to characterize (i) the glucose response, (ii) the response to acetic and butyric acid, (iii) the MIG1 regulation of C. albicans, and (iv) the MIG1-glucose-dependent genes involved in WOA sensitivity. The latter represented a group of six genes whose expression is significantly altered between wild-type and MIG1 mutant strains; but also between the different sources of carbon in the presence of WOAs. We focused our attention on one of them, HGT16, a putative glucose transporter that displayed a strong correlation with cell growth rate. We demonstrated that inactivation of this gene induced a partial recovery of acetic acid resistance in glucose-deprived media. This multifactorial analysis sheds light on C. albicans responses to common environmental cues, and will help to investigate the synergistic effect of different signals on C. albicans growth. Such an approach can support the development of innovative mechanisms to control C. albicans colonization.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and culture conditions**

The wild-type C. albicans strain SC5314 (Noble and Johnson 2005) and a MIG1 mutant (Cottier et al. 2015b) were used throughout this study. Yeasts strains were grown in YPD media (1% w/v yeast extract, 2% w/v peptone, and 2% w/v D-glucose, supplemented with 1.5% w/v agar for solid media only) or YPM (identical composition to YPD except D-glucose was replaced by maltose) at 37°C in a shaking incubator at 150 rpm. Stock cultures were preserved in 35% glycerol and maintained at −80°C. Acetic and butyric acid (from either Merck or Sigma) were added to freshly relaunched overnight cultures, diluted down to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.1.

**RNA-seq**

Cells were harvested by centrifugation and the pellet was flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA extractions and sequencing were performed identically to the previously described protocol (Cottier et al. 2015a). Raw and processed sequencing data are available on the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession number GSE99767.

**Data analysis**

 Reads were processed following the previously described protocol of Cottier et al. (2015a) using the C. albicans genome annotation (Assembly 21, version s02-m08-r09). Reads Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads (RPKM) were calculated in R (3.1.2). Statistical analysis was performed after addition to all values of the lowest RPKM measurement, then data were log10 transformed. Differentially expressed transcripts were identified in Prism (GraphPad) by a series of t-tests assuming unequal variance, followed by the two-stage linear step-up procedure of Benjamini, Krieger, and Yekutieli, with Q = 1%. Subsequently, only transcripts displaying an average expression ratio <0.5 (for downregulated transcripts) or >2 (for upregulated transcripts) were retained.

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed with the CGD GO Term Finder (Inglis et al. 2012), with P-values corresponding to Bonferroni-corrected hypergeometric test P-values. All other statistical analyses were performed in Microsoft Excel 2013 or Prism and, unless otherwise indicated in the respective figure legends, we used unpaired t-tests assuming unequal variances and error bars corresponding to SEM.

**Data availability**

Strains are available upon request. Supplemental Material, File S2 contains additional descriptions of materials and methods, figures, and tables. File S1 contains RPKM values for each gene in all conditions. Gene expression data are available at GEO with the accession number GSE99767.

**RESULTS**

**Wild-type and MIG1 mutant sensitivity to WOAs in the presence or absence of glucose**

Our previous works (Cottier et al. 2015a,b) characterized the transcriptional response of C. albicans to WOAs in MRS media pH 5.5, and identified Mig1p as a general transcriptional factor involved in WOA sensitivity in YPD media pH 5.5. The latter effect was dependent on the carbon source, as yeast were more sensitive to WOAs when glucose was replaced by maltose in YPD media. We reproduced those results in YPD and YPM media pH 5.5 supplemented with and without acetic or butyric acid at their respective inhibitory concentration of 50% (IC50) for both wild-type (SC5314) and the mig1Δ strain. As expected, a growth rate reduction of ~50% was observed for the wild-type cells in the presence of acetic or butyric acid compared to YPD alone (Figure S1 in File S2). This increased sensitivity to WOAs between the two strains was not observed when cells were grown in YPM media. These results are in agreement with our previous observation (Cottier et al. 2015b). The MIG1 mutant displayed a significantly higher sensitivity to both acetic and butyric acid than the control strain under the same conditions, respectively, 75 and 20%. We also confirmed that the SC5314 strain displayed a significantly higher sensitivity to acetic and butyric acid when grown in YPM compared to YPD media. As these results were in concordance with our previously published data (Cottier et al. 2015b), we collected cells at the end of the 6 hr growth assay and extracted RNA from the 12 conditions tested.

**Transcriptional responses of C. albicans**

We prepared cDNA libraries from the total RNA extracted for all 48 independent samples (12 conditions and four biological replicates); sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform using...
2 × 50 bp reads. We obtained an average of $1.4 \times 10^7 \pm 2.5 \times 10^6$ reads per sample, which were mapped to 6453 transcripts, including both open reading frames and noncoding RNAs. After processing of the reads, we reported gene expression as RPKM values. Hierarchical clustering (Figure 1) of the 48 samples displayed a clear separation principally to WOA treatment, followed by carbon source (YPD or YPM), and finally strains. Replicates were closely clustered together (except for four samples of replicate one) and indicative of a good reproducibility. To investigate the transcriptional responses to (i) glucose (YPD vs. YPM), (ii) MIG1 (SC5314 vs. mig1Δ in YPD media), (iii) acetic and butyric acid in YPD, and (iv) WOAs and carbon source simultaneously, we performed pair-by-pair comparisons. We obtained lists of genes significantly regulated between two conditions, and focused our attention on genes with at least a twofold regulation. For each comparison, we performed global functional analysis of these gene lists to gain biological insights into the transcriptional responses. We found statistically significantly enriched GO Biological Process terms among the transcripts significantly up- or downregulated.

**Glucose response**

*C. albicans* is frequently grown in the laboratory in rich media, like YPD, which contains 2% glucose, but this concentration can be as low as 0.05% in some body niches (e.g., the bloodstream) (Rodaki et al. 2009) or absent, and also subdued by more complex sugars like in the GI tract. To study the impact of an alternative carbon source on *C. albicans*, we selected a close comparative: maltose, a disaccharide formed by two units of glucose, which is produced in the gut during starch breakdown and alters the wild-type *C. albicans* strain growth rate by only <10% (Figure S1 in File S2). Additionally, maltose was shown in *S. cerevisiae* to alleviate glucose repression almost as effectively as MIG1 inactivation (Klein et al. 1996). We first compared the transcript profile between YPM and YPD media for the SC5314 strain; we identified 434 genes upregulated and 817 downregulated in the presence of maltose (Figure 2A). This result was expected as Mig1p is a central regulator of glucose repression (Zaragoza et al. 2000). These numbers were, respectively, 86 and 52 in a MIG1 mutant background, demonstrating that only 12% of the genes regulated by glucose were mig1-independent. Downregulated genes were significantly enriched in processes related to translation and the cell cycle, as anticipated from the decrease in *C. albicans* growth rate when exposed to maltose and in agreement with previous data obtained in *S. cerevisiae* (Ashe et al. 2000). On the other hand, among the upregulated genes, ATP metabolism and monosaccharide transport were activated. Indeed, 11 transporter genes displayed a higher expression level (GAL1, HGT13, HGT17, HGT19, HGT6, HGT7, HGT8, HXK2, HXT5, RGT1, and orf19.4090). Maltose transporter (MAL31), maltase (MAL32), and α-glucosidase (MAL2) were all upregulated by a factor of at least 17. This regulation is indicative of an adaptation of *C. albicans* to an alternative carbon source.

**Acetic and butyric acid responses**

We formerly described the transcriptional response of *C. albicans* to lactic, acetic, butyric, and propionic acid in MRS media, a glucose-rich media (2%), at pH 5.5 (Cottier et al. 2015a). We now performed a similar analysis in YPD media with acetic and butyric acid at IC50 with the identical SC5314 strain. Compared to the control condition (YPD), addition of acetic acid to the media induced upregulation of 1251 genes and downregulation of 316, while these numbers were, respectively, 895 and 202 for butyric acid (Figure 2B). Of these genes, 50% shared a similar regulation with both acids, and displayed a significant enrichment in genes related to ATP synthesis and mitochondrial respiration. Despite the reduction in growth induced by the presence of acids, *C. albicans* cells increased gene expression related to the production of energy. In our previous study, these processes were similarly enriched in upregulated genes at early time points. In this study, we also report a significant enrichment in upregulated genes for the processes of ribosome biogenesis and RNA processing in YPD media, but these were observed to be strongly downregulated in MRS media (Cottier et al. 2015a). To elucidate this discrepancy, we compared the results from this and our previous study. The transcriptional profiles of SC5314 in MRS and YPD media with or without acetic or butyric acid were analyzed, and we observed major differences between the two media (Figure S2 in File S2). Indeed, close to 61% of *C. albicans* genes were significantly regulated between MRS and YPD alone at pH 5.5. *C. albicans* gene expression was then strongly affected by the medium of culture, and by extension alters the transcriptional responses to WOAs. This observation might explain why, contrary to our previous dataset in MRS media (Cottier et al. 2015a), exposure to WOAs in YPD media did not reveal significant expression of genes encoding iron transporters. Under those conditions, only 52 genes were similarly regulated in response to acetic acid in addition to the media of culture (MRS or YPD); and in agreement with our previous analysis, genes involved in arginine biosynthesis (ARG1, ARG3, ARG5,6, CPA1, and CPA2) were upregulated in both media.

**Mig1 response**

We previously demonstrated the central role of Mig1p in WOA sensitivity, as the MIG1 mutant showed a decreased growth rate in response to lactic, acetic, propionic, and butyric acids when compared to the SC5314 control strain (Cottier et al. 2015b). To investigate which Mig1p targets were involved in this phenotype, we first characterized the transcriptional profile of the MIG1 mutant against the SC5314 control strain in YPD media. Inactivation of the transcription factor lead to the upregulation of 64 genes and downregulation of 25 (Figure 2C). As Mig1p has been described by others to be a gene repressor (Zaragoza et al. 2000; Murad et al. 2001), it was expected that a higher number of genes would be upregulated in the mutant strain. This group was enriched in genes involved in mono- saccharide transporter (GAL1, HGT1, HGT16, HGT19, HGT2, HGT6, HGT7, HXK2, and HXT5) and alternative carbon source utilization like galactose. Similarly to *S. cerevisiae*, Mig1p in *C. albicans* is responsible for the repression of the hexose membrane transporter in the presence of glucose (Ozcan and Johnston 1999). In *S. cerevisiae*, Mig1p is known to be phosphorylated by Snf1p in the absence of glucose, prompting a displacement of Mig1p from the nucleus to the cytoplasm and relieving its repression of genes (Treitel et al. 1998; De Vit et al. 1997). Thus, as in the absence of glucose where Mig1p is localized outside the nucleus, a mig1Δ strain should provide a similar profile of expression as a wild-type strain in YPM media. Indeed, only two genes were observed as differentially expressed between the two strains in the presence of maltose. This result is reproduced phenotypically as no significant difference in growth rate is observed between the SC5314 and mig1Δ strains in any conditions involving YPM media (Figure S1 in File S2).

**Genes involved in MIG1-glucose-dependent WOA resistance**

We observed that inactivation of MIG1 induced a higher sensitivity to WOAs in YPD media compared to the control strain, and similarly that
wild-type strain SC5314 displayed a significantly lower growth rate in the presence of WOAs while grown in YPM vs. YPD media (Figure S1 in File S2). As the latter effect is absent in a MIG1 mutant, we hypothesized that Mig1p targets involved in WOA sensitivity were also regulated between YPD and YPM supplemented with WOAs in the SC5314 background. We performed pairwise comparisons between the transcript profiles of the wild-type strain grown in YPD with acetic acid vs. YPM with acetic acid, and identically with butyric acid. Ninety-three genes were upregulated in the presence of acetic acid and 174 down-regulated. These numbers were 579 and 374, respectively, for butyric acid (Figure 2D). As expected, in the presence of maltose, processes involved in glucose metabolism were downregulated with both acids. A total of 138 genes were similarly regulated by both conditions.

Our final objective was the identification of genes involved in WOA sensitivity, where the impact on the phenotype is further increased by the inactivation of MIG1 or in the absence of glucose. Using our multifactorial analysis, we can now compare the list of genes significantly regulated by (i) WOAs, (ii) MIG1, and (iii) carbon source during WOAs exposure. As previously stated, C. albicans exposure to acetic or butyric acid led to the common regulation of 885 genes, while Mig1p was responsible for the regulation of 89 genes. Finally, we identified a set of 147 genes in which expression is altered between YPD and YPM media in the presence of WOAs. By comparing these three lists (Figure 3A), we noticed an intersect for only six genes (GLG2, orf19.7566, ALD6, FDH1, HGT16, and orf19.94). All six genes were upregulated under conditions where C. albicans sensitivity to WOAs impact on the phenotype is further increased by the inactivation of MIG1 or in the absence of glucose. Using our multifactorial analysis, we can now compare the list of genes significantly regulated by (i) WOAs, (ii) MIG1, and (iii) carbon source during WOAs exposure. As previously stated, C. albicans exposure to acetic or butyric acid led to the common regulation of 885 genes, while Mig1p was responsible for the regulation of 89 genes. Finally, we identified a set of 147 genes in which expression is altered between YPD and YPM media in the presence of WOAs. By comparing these three lists (Figure 3A), we noticed an intersect for only six genes (GLG2, orf19.7566, ALD6, FDH1, HGT16, and orf19.94). All six genes were upregulated under conditions where C. albicans sensitivity to WOAs...
WOAs was enhanced, implicating that the functions of these genes are associated with increasing sensitivity to WOAs rather than inhibition of a resistance mechanism. We performed a correlation analysis between profile of expression of these six genes and the growth rate reported for each condition (Figure 3B). As expected, we obtained negative Pearson coefficient factors between the two sets of data, and all correlations were significant ($P < 0.05$), except for ALD6. Interestingly, the strongest coefficient factors were obtained for $HGT16$ and orf19.7566, at $-0.874$ and $-0.872$, respectively. Both genes encode putative transmembrane proteins with 11 transmembrane domains. Due to their transmembrane localization, these proteins might have an impact on plasma membrane integrity and molecular diffusion.

**HGT16 inactivation restores acetic acid resistance**

Following the identification of putative targets involved in $MIG1$-glucose-dependent WOA sensitivity, we hypothesized that cell membrane transporters could actively or passively transport WOAs, potentially enhancing their impact on cellular processes. Therefore, as a proof of concept, we decided to inactivate the putative glucose transporter of the major facilitator superfamily: $HGT16$ (orf19.6141). Both alleles of $HGT16$ were inactivated using the SAT1-flipping strategy in the SC5314 background (Sasse and Morschhauser 2012). We confirmed by flow cytometry that no aneuploidy was introduced during the inactivation process (Figure S3A in File S2) and that no detection of $HGT16$ RNA messenger was possible in the double mutant: $hgt16^{\Delta}$.
We then grew the SC5314 and HGT16 mutant strains in YPD or YPM media supplemented with or without acetic acid, and measured growth rates over a 6 hr period. By qRT-PCR, we confirmed the observation made with RNA-seq, that HGT16 expression is significantly increased by at least a fourfold factor by acetic acid when assayed in YPM media (Figure S3, A and B in File S2), but constant between YPD and YPM in the absence of acetic acid. As expected by its low level of expression in YPD and YPM alone, HGT16 inactivation did not significantly alter growth rates between those conditions. However, the HGT16 mutant displayed a fivefold increase in acetic acid resistance compared to the control strain in YPM complemented with acetic acid, while an increase of 33% was observed with YPD media, but this was not significant (Figure 4). These results thus confirm that HGT16 upregulation during WOA exposure might contribute to WOA sensitivity in C. albicans.

**DISCUSSION**

Natural niches of *C. albicans* are complex environments where nutrient composition and availability are unpredictable and competition with other organisms for resources is strong (GI tract and skin). Mechanisms of adaptation to these conditions are critical for the yeast’s survival (Miramon and Lorenz 2017). Indeed, *C. albicans* has been described to respond to a large array of stimuli from carbon dioxide (Cottier et al. 2012) to substrate topography (Brand et al. 2008), passing by a large range of molecules like pheromones, carbon sources, or ammonium (Cottier and Muhlschlegel 2009). Most of these studies were focused on a single perturbation to the media of culture, while *C. albicans* most likely faces several changes concomitantly in its natural environment (e.g., the human diet). Integration of these different and sometimes contradictory signals remains an important part of understanding the mechanisms behind the adaptability of *C. albicans* to its environment. Here, by studying the *C. albicans* response to
WOAs in different media and genetic backgrounds, we aimed to identify the core components of this yeast sensitivity to WOAs. Our previous work (Cottier et al. 2015b) pointed out conditions where sensitivity to WOAs is enhanced without changing acid concentrations but by acting on other parameters such as carbon source (maltose instead of glucose) or the inactivation of genes (MIG1).

The glucose response of C. albicans has been described by (Rodaki et al. 2009); the authors performed transcriptional profiling of yeast grown in lactate compared to a range of glucose levels, from 0.01 to 1.0%, by microarray analysis (Rodaki et al. 2009). Similarly, we observed an increase in genes related to aerobic respiration in the absence of glucose, but from the 180 genes (expression ratio ≥ 2) identified as regulated between lactate and 1% glucose, only 20 were commonly present in our analysis. While both studies used YPD as the base medium, the strain of C. albicans (THE1 vs. SC5314), the time of incubation (30 min vs. 6 hr), the temperature (30° vs. 37°), and the alternative carbon source (lactate vs. maltose) were all different. Those differences could explain the lack of concordance between the two approaches. We reached a similar situation when comparing our transcriptional response of C. albicans to acetic and butyric acid in MRS and YPD media. Strains and conditions of culture were identical between the current study and our previous dataset (Cottier et al. 2015a), but we noticed only a few common responses like ATP synthesis or arginine biosynthesis, and dramatic differences regarding ribosome biogenesis. In fact, 61% of all C. albicans genes were significantly regulated between MRS and YPD alone, both rich media with 2% glucose, in a SC5314 strain. This high level of gene expression alteration between control samples explained the limited overlap between transcriptional profiles of C. albicans in response to WOAs.

Our transcriptional profile on MIG1 inactivation presented closer similarities with previous studies. A macroarray covering 2002 out of the 6218 open reading frames from C. albicans was used by Murad et al. (2001), where they described that 0.8% of the genes (fold change ≥ 3) were regulated by Mig1p in YPD media at 30°. In our study, using an SC5314 background strain at 37°, we reported 0.74% of the total genes to be similarly regulated. Some of the principal expressed genes were identified in both studies (HGT1, GCA1, GAL1, orf19.11, orf19.6888, PHR1, and MDH1). We also confirmed derepression of a major glucose metabolism enzyme, the phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase PCK1, in the mig1Δ strain, as previously described (Murad et al. 2001). In agreement with the described function of Mig1p in S. cerevisiae (Garcia-Salcedo et al. 2014), we reported that inactivation of MIG1 led to a general derepression of genes involved in non-glucose utilization, like monosaccharide transporters (GAL1, HGT1, and HGT16).

From the 90 genes significantly regulated by Mig1p, we identified 36 that were also differently expressed in the presence of acetic or butyric acid in YPD media (Figure 2C). To further reduce the number of putative Mig1p targets involved in WOA sensitivity, we compared the transcriptional profiles of genes differently regulated between YPD and YPM media in the presence of acids. We noticed that in the presence of YPM, where Mig1p is inactive, the C. albicans SC5314 strain displayed a higher sensitivity to WOAs compared to YPD media. Similarly, an increase sensitivity to WOAs was observed between SC5314 and the MIG1 inactivated strain in YPD media. We ended with a list of six genes (GLG2, orf19.7566, ALD6, FDH1, HGT16, and orf19.94) in which expression was negatively correlated with cell growth rate. None of these genes were part of the core stress response to WOAs that was previously identified (Cottier et al. 2015a), confirming the absence of a general stress response in C. albicans, as demonstrated by Enjalbert et al. (2003). Our hypothesis that inactivation of one of these genes would induce a higher resistance to WOAs was validated with HGT16. The mutant strain remained sensitive to WOA exposure, but showed a fivefold increase in resistance to acetic acid compared to the SC5314 wild-type strain in YPD media. The question of how to assess the remaining Mig1p targets for their role in WOA resistance persists. Orf19.7566, encoding another predicted membrane transporter would be a prime choice, following the results obtained with HGT16. We could hypothesize that these membrane transporters might open channels for the WOA molecules to be transported inside the cells, increasing their impacts on metabolic pathways.

In this study, we demonstrated an ability to reduce C. albicans growth rate by altering cellular compounds (MIG1 mutant) or external cues (carbon source). We also demonstrated that the expression of certain proteins like Hgt16p is, at least partially, responsible for C. albicans sensitivity to WOAs. Mechanisms to target the increased expression of such genes, or alterations of carbon source in the C. albicans environment, might be promising methods to keep yeast growth and colonization under control.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
This work is supported by an A*STAR (Agency for Science, Technology and Research) Investigatorship award to N.P. (JCO/1437a00117), by National Medical Research Council grant NMRC/BN8/0001b/2012, and by core funding from the Singapore Immunology Network. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. Sequencing was performed by the Genome Institute of Singapore Genome Technology and Biology Group, Singapore.
LITERATURE CITED

Ashe, M. P., S. K. De Long, and A. B. Sachs, 2000 Glucose depletion rapidly inhibits translation initiation in yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell 11: 833–848.

Brand, A., A. Vacharaksa, G. Bendel, J. Norton, P. Haynes et al., 2008 An internal polarity landmark is important for externally induced hyphal behaviors in Candida albicans. Eukaryot. Cell 7: 712–720.

Cottier, F., and F. A. Muhlischlegel, 2009 Sensing the environment: response of Candida albicans to the X factor. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 295: 1–9.

Cottier, F., M. Raymond, O. Kurza, M. Bolstad, W. Leewattanapasuk et al., 2012 The bZIP transcription factor Rca1p is a central regulator of a novel CO2 sensing pathway in yeast. PLoS Pathog. 8: e1002485.

Cottier, F., A. S. Tan, J. Chen, J. Lum, F. Zolezzi et al., 2015 The transcriptional stress response of Candida albicans to weak organic acids. G3 5: 497–505.

Cottier, F., A. S. Tan, X. Xu, Y. Wang, and N. Pavelka, 2015b MIG1 regulates resistance of Candida albicans against the fungistatic effect of weak organic acids. Eukaryot. Cell 14: 1054–1061.

De Vit, M. J., J. A. Waddle, and M. Johnston, 1997 Regulated nuclear translocation of the Mig1 glucose repressor. Mol. Biol. Cell 8: 1603–1618.

Enjalbert, B., A. Nantel, and M. Whiteway, 2003 Stress-induced gene expression in Candida albicans: absence of a general stress response. Mol. Biol. Cell 14: 1460–1467.

Fan, D., L. A. Coughlin, M. M. Neubauer, J. Kim, M. S. Kim et al., 2015 Activation of HIF-1α and LL-37 by commensal bacteria inhibits Candida albicans colonization. Nat. Med. 21: 808–814.

García-Salcedo, R., T. Lubitz, G. Beltran, K. Elbing, Y. Tian et al., 2014 Glucose de-repression by yeast AMP-activated protein kinase Snf1 is controlled via at least two independent steps. FEBS J. 281: 1901–1917.

Huang, C. B., Y. Alimova, T. M. Myers, and J. L. Ebersole, 2011 Short- and medium-chain fatty acids exhibit antimicrobial activity for oral microorganisms. Arch. Oral Biol. 56: 650–654.

Inglis, D. O., M. B. Arnaud, J. Binkley, P. Shah, M. S. Skrzypek et al., 2012 The Candida genome database incorporates multiple Candida species: multispecies search and analysis tools with curated gene and protein information for Candida albicans and Candida glabrata. Nucleic Acids Res. 40: D667–D674.

Klein, C. J., L. Olsson, B. Ronnow, J. D. Mikkelsen, and J. Nielsen, 1996 Alleviation of glucose repression of maltose metabolism by MIG1 disruption in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62: 4441–4449.

Kohler, G. A., S. Assefa, and G. Reid, 2012 Probiotic interference of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GR-1 and Lactobacillus reuteri RC-14 with the opportunistic fungal pathogen Candida albicans. Infect. Dis. Obstet. Gynecol. 2012: 636474.

Krysan, D. J., F. S. Sutterwala, and M. Wellington, 2014 Catching fire: Candida albicans, macrophages, and pyroptosis. PLoS Pathog. 10: e1004139.

Miramon, P., and M. C. Lorenz, 2017 A feast for Candida: metabolic plasticity confers an edge for virulence. PLoS Pathog. 13: e1006144.

Mortensen, P. B., and M. R. Clausen, 1996 Short-chain fatty acids in the human colon: relation to gastrointestinal health and disease. Scand. J. Gastroenterol. Suppl. 216: 132–148.

Murad, A. M., C. d’Enfert, C. Gaillardin, H. Tournu, F. Tekelia et al., 2001 Transcript profiling in Candida albicans reveals new cellular functions for the transcriptional repressors CaTup1, CaMig1 and CaNig1. Mol. Microbiol. 42: 981–993.

Noble, S. M., and A. D. Johnson, 2005 Strains and strategies for large-scale gene deletion studies of the diploid human fungal pathogen Candida albicans. Eukaryot. Cell 4: 298–309.

Osset, J., E. Garcia, R. M. Bartolome, and A. Andreu, 2001 [Role of Lactobacillus as protector against vaginal candidiasis]. Med. Clin. (Barc.) 117: 285–288.

Ozcan, S., and M. Johnston, 1999 Function and regulation of yeast hexose transporters. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 63: 554–569.

Pfaller, M. A., and D. J. Diekema, 2007 Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 20: 133–163.

Rodaki, A., I. M. Bohovych, B. Enjalbert, T. Young, F. C. Odds et al., 2009 Glucose promotes stress resistance in the fungal pathogen Candida albicans. Mol. Biol. Cell 20: 4845–4855.

Sasse, C., and J. Morschhauser, 2012 Gene deletion in Candida albicans wild-type strains using the SAT1-flipping strategy. Methods Mol. Biol. 845: 3–17.

Saville, S. P., A. L. Lazzell, C. Monteaudo, and J. L. Lopez-Ribot, 2003 Engineered control of cell morphology in vivo reveals distinct roles for yeast and filamentous forms of Candida albicans during infection. Eukaryot. Cell 2: 1053–1060.

Treitel, M. A., S. Kuchin, and M. Carlson, 1998 Snf1 protein kinase regulates phosphorylation of the Mig1 repressor in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 18: 6273–6280.

Yapar, N., 2014 Epidemiology and risk factors for invasive candidiasis. Ther. Clin. Risk Manag. 10: 95–105.

Zaragoza, O., C. Rodriguez, and C. Gancedo, 2000 Isolation of the MIG1 gene from Candida albicans and effects of its disruption on catabolite repression. J. Bacteriol. 182: 320–326.

Communicating editor: B. Andrews