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Abstract—The transfer of health monitoring data from multiple patients using wireless body-area networks requires the use of robust, and energy and bandwidth efficient multiple-access schemes. This paper considers the frequency-division multiple access for the wireless uplink to a fixed access point when using infrared signals to collect medical data from several patients inside an emergency waiting room. The conventional optical orthogonal scheme applies Hermitian symmetry to obtain real-valued signals, which implies increased computational complexity. We consider a new approach transmitting only the real part of a complex-valued signal, where no such constraint is imposed. Based on the proposed scheme, and taking into account the limited dynamic range of an infrared light-emitting diode, we study the performance of direct current biased and asymmetrically clipped schemes, and show their advantage in terms of energy efficiency and computational complexity, as compared with the conventional schemes. For instance, we show that by using asymmetric clipping, around 35 mW less transmit power is needed to achieve a bit error rate of $10^{-3}$ in the considered scenario. We also demonstrate the robustness of the proposed scheme against multiple access interference.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The DELAY in adequate treatment or hospitalization, due to the long waiting times in an emergency reception room, is the leading cause of patient mortality in hospitals [1]. This situation can be improved by identifying any deterioration of patients' health conditions at an early stage. Continuous real-time monitoring of patients inside the emergency waiting room is crucial for a rapid intervention in order to minimize severe medical complications. This can be achieved by sending timely data from the health monitoring sensors, through the use of wireless body area networks (WBANs) to a central computer which causes an alarm if needed [2], [3], [4]. In a WBAN, from a data transmission perspective, at first, all on-body sensors send the medical data to a coordinator node (CN), placed on the body, which is referred to as intra-WBAN communications. Then, the CN transmits these collected data to an off-body network via an access point (AP), which is called extra-WBAN communication [5], [6].

A. OWC-Based WBAN

Optical wireless communications (OWC) based on visible light (VL) and infrared (IR) are considered as one of key enabling technologies for the future networks, in particular, in medical applications [7], [8]. This is, in particular, due to their energy efficiency, immunity to electromagnetic interference, and availability of unlicensed spectrum, as compared to radio-frequency (RF) solutions. In addition, in an OWC-based WBAN, the inherent immunity against eavesdropping on confidential health information (due to the confinement of light in indoor scenarios) enhances the security features [9].

In this paper, we consider the use of IR links for the uplink extra-WBANs, where a CN placed on the patient body transmits the medical data to an AP in an emergency waiting room scenario. The use of IR rather than VL is to avoid user discomfort and visual annoyance [6]. VL can eventually be used in the downlink, i.e., from the AP to the CN, by using the light-emitting diode (LED) based luminaries; this way, the interference between the uplink and downlink can also be avoided [10]. Concerning the IR uplink, one major concern is eye-safety, which imposes a limitation on the transmitted optical power according to the IEC (International Electrotechnical Commission) standard [11]. Fortunately, LEDs typically emit a wide beam from a large area, enabling the use of relatively high optical powers and link ranges of a few meters.
B. Need for Efficient Multiple Access Solution

In practice, in a typical emergency waiting room scenario, a large number of patients may need to be monitored simultaneously. This should be managed by using an efficient multiple access (MA) solution that allows several patients to share the same communication channel for the transfer of their medical data. Considering a few number of patients inside a hospital ward, orthogonal multiple access (OMA) schemes such as time- and code-division MA (TDMA and CDMA) were proposed for WBANs in [6], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16] because of their relatively low implementation complexity. However, their complexity increases with increased number of users due to the increasing synchronization requirements for TDMA and the longer signatures for CDMA. The increased number of users also results in reduced per-user data-rate. To address these issues, non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA) have been considered, such as a bandwidth (BW) efficient sparse code NOMA technique that was proposed for health information monitoring in [17]. However, code-domain NOMA techniques generally suffer from high decoding complexity, in particular, with increased number of users [18]. Alternatively, power-domain NOMA can be used [19] but in addition to the high computational complexity of successive interference cancellation (SIC) detection [20], [21] for large numbers of users, the performance is highly affected by the correlation between the users’ channels [22]. This is usually the case in our considered application due to closely placed patients inside the emergency waiting room and their mobility.

C. Frequency Division Optical Multiple Access

Optical orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (O-OFDM) is quite efficient for addressing frequency selectivity of the aggregate channel (due to BW limited channel, transmitter (Tx), and/or receiver (Rx)) [23], [24]. Considering intensity modulation with direct detection (IM/DD), to obtain a real-valued “time-domain” (TD) signal in O-OFDM, Hermitian symmetry (HS) is usually imposed on the transmitted “frequency domain” (FD) signal frame. Due to the constraint of signal positivity, before modulating the LED, a DC-bias is further added to the signal before lower clipping; what is usually called direct current offset (DC) O-OFDM. Alternatively, asymmetrically clipped (AC) O-OFDM can be used, which modulates only the odd subcarriers and zero-clips the negative TD signal afterwards [25], resulting in a spectral efficiency loss of factor 2, compared to DCO-OFDM [26].

One main issue with O-OFDM is the relatively high peak-to-average-power ratio (PAPR) of the modulated signal given the limited dynamic range (DR) of the optical front-ends [27], [28], [29]. Although a high PAPR does not affect the thermal limitations of the LED in the case of relatively fast signal modulation considered here [30], in order to avoid the need to low power-efficiency power amplifiers, the PAPR is further limited by further upper clipping the transmit signal. The resulting signal distortion due to lower and upper clipping is usually modeled by considering the so-called clipping noise [31]. Countless solutions have been proposed so far to reduce the PAPR of an O-OFDM signal, e.g., based on block coding, selective mapping, and pilot assisted transmission, usually at the cost of increased complexity [32], [33].

The extension of O-OFDM to O-OFDMA is known as an efficient MA technique [34] that we consider here in order to satisfy the demand for large transmission BW (due to a relatively large number of users) and to manage the MA interference (MAI). Considering battery-powered CNs, power consumption is crucial, which is related to the computational complexity and the transmit optical power. An alternative approach to O-OFDMA is TDMA scheduling of O-OFDM, which offers a better energy efficiency at the cost of reduced performance [35]. However, due to the low sensing rate of the medical sensors (the corresponding duty cycle can be as low as typically 1% [3]), TDMA based schemes impose additional complexity with the increased number of users. Another alternative is the so-called optical single-carrier FDMA (O-SCFDMA) [36]. SCFDMA has been adopted in the LTE standard as the uplink MA scheme due to its lower PAPR [37], [38]. Compared to OFDMA, additional discrete Fourier transform (DFT) pre-coding is required at the Tx side when using SCFDMA, as well as some additional filtering when very low PAPR is required, which results in a relatively high computational complexity.1

D. Proposed MA Scheme and Contributions

In this work, we propose a MA scheme for extra-WBAN uplink, which does not need to apply the HS constraint to the FD signal. This could be seen as an extension of the scheme introduced in [39]. With this new scheme, called O-ROFDMA (R standing for real-valued), only the real part of the TD signal is transmitted. A similar approach was proposed in [40], where the complex-valued TD signal was used for phase rotation before removing the imaginary part to construct a continuous phase Flip-OFDM signal.2 Focusing on the proposed O-ROFDMA, we show in this paper the advantage of the O-ROFDMA scheme compared with O-OFDMA and O-SCFDMA in terms of energy efficiency, PAPR, bit-error-rate (BER), and computational complexity. The particularity of the proposed study is that we take into account the limited DR of the LED and evaluate the performance of these schemes for both DCO and ACO signaling.

The main contributions of this work are:

- Proposing a HS-free O-ROFDMA signaling scheme for extra-WBAN uplink by transmitting only the real part of the TD signal;
- Performance comparison of the proposed scheme with O-OFDMA and O-SCFDMA considering realistic characteristics of opto-electronic components;

1Note that SCFDMA can be implemented as an add-on in the transmission chain, but it is likely to be less used in 5G, where it is considered as an optional mode only, besides the mandatory OFDMA.

2Flip-OFDM is an alternative approach to ACO-OFDM by which the negative parts of the O-OFDM signal are flipped before transmitting both positive and flipped parts in two consecutive sequences. Unlike Flip-OFDM, the continuous phase Flip-OFDM scheme does not require cyclic “midfix” between the positive and flipped sequences due to its phase continuity [40].
In the considered hospital scenario, we consider only a single AP, i.e., a single-cell with radius of \( r_{\text{cell}} \). For larger spaces, multiple APs can be used in a multi-cell [41], [42] or a single-cell [6] architecture. CNs are assumed to transmit the medical data at a rate of 500 Kbps for the extra-WBAN link, which satisfies the requirement of most health monitoring sensors, e.g., temperature, blood pressure, ECG, and SPO2 [43], [44].

CNs are considered to be placed on the shoulder of patients, which has been suggested as a rational choice in [10], [45], due to the relatively high possibility of having a LOS link to the AP as well as the patient comfort. At the Rx (i.e., AP) side, we consider the use of a simple PIN photodetector (PD) with no optical concentrator ensuring less sensitivity to background radiation (i.e., ambient noise), as compared to an avalanche PD, as well as a relatively large field-of-view (FOV).

At the Tx (i.e., CN) side, we consider the use of low-power IR LEDs (having a relatively wide beam), due to eye-safety issues given the relatively large number of patients in the emergency waiting room.\(^3\) We consider a commercial IR LED as in [46], with a wavelength of 850 nm and maximum radiant intensity of 6.4 mW/sr. So, considering the simultaneous transfer of data from sixteen patients, the CNs equipped with such LEDs meet the IEC eye-safety requirement.

We assume that each CN is perfectly time synchronized with the AP. Note that, due to the limited mobility of patients in the room, the sensitivity to time synchronization errors (which is a recurrent problem in OFDMA-based systems [47]) is relatively low. Note that RF OFDMA requires multi-user time and frequency synchronization in order to avoid MAI and near-far problems, this is usually referred to as initial ranging [48]. However, the inherent absence of a carrier in O-OFDMA removes the need for frequency synchronization [49]. Also, a number of timing synchronization methods are reported in the literature [49], [50], [51], [52], which are mainly based on the transmission of training sequences, also used to acquire the channel state information (CSI).\(^4\) We assume that the AP has a perfect knowledge of the CSI of all CNs.

\(^{\text{3}}\)Note that, according to the IEC standard, the IR exposed to a cornea with an irradiance limit of 100 W/m\(^2\) has no eye-hazard [11]. This irradiance limit can be calculated as the radiant intensity of 4 W/sr from a distance of 0.2 m, following the measurement condition in the standard.

\(^{\text{4}}\)Training sequences from each CN can be sent to the AP in the uplink, based on which the estimated CSI at the AP is sent to the CNs via the downlink (which typically benefits from a higher signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR)).
in (1) is the Lambertian order, related to the LED semi-angle at half power \( \Phi_{1/2} \), \( m = -\ln 2 / \ln (\cos \Phi_{1/2}) \) [7].

Considering the transmit optical power \( P_{t(\text{opt})} \) and the PIN PD responsivity \( R \), the received photo-current is [7]:

\[
i_t = R H_{\text{LOS}} P_{t(\text{opt})}.
\]

(2)

Denoting the load resistance of the Rx trans-impedance amplifier (TIA) by \( R_L \), the received electrical power \( P_t \) is:

\[
P_t = i_t^2 R_L.
\]

(3)

Considering a PIN PD at the AP, background and thermal noises are the dominant noise sources, modeled as zero-mean additive white Gaussian with the one sided power spectral density \( N_0 \) [7], [54]:

\[
N_0 \approx 4K_B T / R_L + 2q_e I_a,
\]

(4)

where, \( K_B \) is the Boltzmann’s constant, \( T \) refers to the temperature, \( q_e \) stands for the electron charge, and \( I_a \) denotes the ambient current noise.

III. CONVENTIONAL MA SCHEMES

Here, we present a brief description of the “conventional” MA schemes, i.e., O-OFDMA and O-SCFDMA, for the two cases of DCO and ACO signaling.

A. DCO-OFDMA

Figure 3 shows the block diagram of DCO-OFDMA signaling. First, the collected data bits from sensors are grouped together by the serial to parallel (S/P) converter and mapped into \( M \)-QAM complex symbols \( \hat{X}_k \), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, M-1 \). Here, \( M \) refers to the number of data-carrying symbols (i.e., subcarriers) per user. Then, these \( M \) symbols are mapped into a subset of subcarriers \( X_k \), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1 \), where \( N \) is the total number of subcarriers before the HS block. Considering a maximum \( L \) number of patients, the total number of subcarriers is \( N = ML \). We will explain in detail the considered subcarrier mapping technique in Section V. To obtain a real TD OFDMA signal, HS constraint is then imposed on \( X_k \) to get \( \hat{X}_k \), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1 \); \( N = 2N + 2 \), such that,

\[
\hat{X}_k = [0, X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{N-1}, 0, X_{N-1}, \ldots, X_0].
\]

Then, an \( N \)-point inverse-fast-Fourier transform (IFFT) is performed, that generates the real-valued TD signal \( x_n \):

\[
x_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \hat{X}_k \exp \left( j \frac{2\pi n k}{N} \right); \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1.
\]

(5)

After parallel to serial conversion (S/P) and adding a cyclic prefix (CP) of length \( N_{\text{CP}} \) at the end of each frame, the resulting signal is passed through a digital to analog converter (DAC) and an amplifier (AMP) before the addition of a DC bias \( B_{\text{DC}} \) to obtain \( \tilde{x}_n \):

\[
\tilde{x}_n = x_n + B_{\text{DC}},
\]

(6)

where \( \tilde{x}_n \) denotes the amplified signal (see Fig. 3). To fix \( B_{\text{DC}} \), the DR of the LED should be taken into account, as explained later in Section IV-C. Lastly, after lower and upper clipping \( \hat{x}_n \), the resulting signal \( \tilde{x}_n \) will drive the LED.

At the Rx, after photo-detection and removing the DC, the obtained electrical signal is amplified by a TIA and passed to an analog to digital converter (ADC) to generate a discrete-time signal \( r_n \). Then, after removing the CP and S/P conversion, \( N \)-point fast-Fourier-transform (FFT) is done on the signal, resulting in:

\[
\hat{Y}_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} r_n \exp \left( -j \frac{2\pi n k}{N} \right); \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1.
\]

(7)

Afterwards, after removing HS from \( \hat{Y}_k \), single-tap equalization is performed on the resulting signal \( \hat{Y}_k \). Then, the signal is passed through a subcarrier demapping block to obtain symbols \( \hat{Y}_k \) corresponding to the desired user data before P/S conversion and QAM demodulation.

B. ACO-OFDMA

In ACO-OFDMA, only odd subcarriers are used for signal transmission. After applying the HS constraint, the input

---

5In the sequel, we use the two terms of “subcarriers” and “symbols” interchangeably.
symbol frame $\tilde{X}_k$ before the IFFT has the following structure,

$$\tilde{X}_k = [0, X_0, 0, X_1, \ldots, 0, X_{N-1}, 0, X_{N-1}^*, \ldots, 0, X_0^*].$$

After the IFFT, the negative samples can be clipped without loss of information due to the anti-symmetry property of $x_n$ [55]. The subsequent steps for ACO-OFDMA are similar to those of DCO-OFDMA, described in the previous subsection. Note that ACO-OFDMA also requires a DC bias to be added to $\tilde{x}_0$ to adapt to the actual LED I-V characteristics [24], as will be explained in Section IV-C.

C. DCO-SCFDMA

The block diagram of the DCO-SCFDMA scheme is shown in Fig. 4. QAM mapped symbols from each user are precoded by an $M$-point FFT before subcarrier mapping and adding HS, and $N$-point IFFT. This allows to reduce the signal PAPR at the cost of increased complexity. The other steps of signal transmission are similar to the case of DCO-OFDMA, explained above. At the Rx side, after $N$-point FFT, equalization, and subcarrier demapping, an $M$-point IFFT is applied to the resulting signal.

D. ACO-SCFDMA

Similar to the case of O-OFDMA, described in Section III-B, by ACO-SCFDMA, the transmit signal frame follows the same steps as for DCO-SCFDMA except that only the odd subcarriers are used for data transmission.

IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED MA SCHEME

As mentioned previously, in our proposed ROFDMA scheme, only the real part of the TD signal is transmitted, hence removing the need for HS. Let's first explain the theoretical foundation of recovering a FD signal from the real part of its IFFT. Consider the FD symbols $X_k$ after subcarrier mapping without imposing HS, and the corresponding TD signal $x_{n'}$, $n' = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1$. We have [56]:

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{M} X_k + X_{N-k}^* & \text{IFFT} \rightarrow \Re \{x_{n'}\}, \\
\frac{1}{M} X_k - X_{N-k}^* & \text{IFFT} \rightarrow j \Im \{x_{n'}\}
\end{cases}$$

Here, $X_{N-k}^*$ refers to the complex conjugate and time-reversed version of $X_k$. Given (8), it is obvious that recovering $X_k$ from the real part of $x_{n'}$ alone is not possible. This is due to the complex-conjugate term $X_{N-k}^*$ that overlaps with the subcarriers $X_k$ and causes FD aliasing, as illustrated in Fig. 5.

The effect of aliasing can be avoided by padding a zero at the beginning of the symbol frame $X_k$ and an adequate number of zeros (at least $N$) at the end [57]. This increases the number of subcarriers for IFFT to $N' \geq 2N + 1$. Based on this, Fig. 6 illustrates the reconstruction of the FD signal from the real

---

6Note that, it is essential to pad a zero at the beginning of the frame if the signal is complex-valued [57].
part of the TD signal. Given the real-valued FD symbols $X_k$ in Fig. 6(a), the symbols $\tilde{X}_k$ of the extended frame (with zero padding, in Fig. 6(b)) are given by:

$$
\tilde{X}_k = \begin{cases} 
X_{k-1}; & \hat{k} = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \\
0; & \hat{k} = N + 1, \ldots, N' - 1.
\end{cases}
$$

(9)

Because of zero padding, there will be no FD aliasing between the complex conjugate and time-reversed version of the signal $\tilde{X}_k$ (see Fig. 6(c)), allowing the correct recovery of the original signal $X_k$, as seen in Fig. 6(d).

A. DCO-ROFDMA

Figure 7 shows the block diagram of DCO-ROFDMA signaling. The mapped subcarriers $X_k$ in the FD signal are zero-padded (before the IFFT block) instead of imposing HS as in (9). Then, only the real part of the TD signal $\Re \{x_n\}$ is used for transmission, following the same steps described for DCO-OFDMA.

At the Rx side, the signal processing steps are similar to DCO-OFDMA up to the FFT block. The FD signal $\tilde{Y}_k$ is recovered by performing FFT only on $\Re \{x_n\}$:

$$
\tilde{Y}_k = \begin{cases} 
X_{k-1}; & \hat{k} = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \\
X^*_{N-1-k}; & \hat{k} = N + 1, \ldots, N' - 1, \\
0; & \text{elsewhere.}
\end{cases}
$$

(10)

After the FFT block, the transmitted symbols are recovered:

$$
Y_k = \tilde{Y}_k; \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, N.
$$

(11)

Then, channel equalization, subcarrier- and QAM-demapping are performed in order to recover the transmitted bits.

B. ACO-ROFDMA

In ACO-ROFDMA, after zero padding, the transmit symbols $\tilde{X}_k$ before the IFFT block have the same frame structure as for DCO-OFDMA except that only even subcarriers are used to transmit data:

$$
\tilde{X}_k = \begin{bmatrix} 
0, 0, X_0, 0, X_1, 0, \ldots, X_{N-1}, 0, & 0, \ldots, 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}^{(2N+2) \text{ times}}.
$$

The rest of the processing steps are the same as for DCO-ROFDMA. Note that, in contrast to the scheme presented in [39], it is essential to transmit information in the even subcarriers to ensure orthogonal cardinal sine (sinc) shape.

C. Setting DC Bias and Clipping

In practice, a DC bias is added to the TD signal in order to adapt the signal to the LED DR [24]. We consider the commercial LED model HDN1102W-TR, with I-V characteristics shown in Fig. 8 [46].

Note that the LED operates almost linearly within the voltage range of 1.38 to 1.62 V. For the sake of simplicity, we consider the approximate linearized characteristics in our analysis, (the red plot in the figure).\(^8\) Considering these lower and upper clipping levels, we set $B_{DC} = 1.38$ V for ACO schemes. For DCO schemes, we set $B_{DC}$ to the mid point between the upper and lower clipping bounds, i.e., 1.5 V, to affect the TD signal equally.

V. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

A. Parameter Specification and Performance Metrics

We present here a set of numerical results to study the performance of the proposed O-ROFDMA scheme for extra-WBANs in the emergency waiting room scenario. We consider the number of patients $L = 16$ and bit rate $R_b = 500$ Kbps per user. Also, $M = 4$ subcarriers per user are considered with the total number of subcarriers $N = 64$. The other simulation parameters are summarized in Table I, where the LED parameters correspond to the I-V characteristics in Fig. 8.

\(^7\)Note that, in order to keep the same spectral efficiency as for the conventional MA schemes which employs HS, we add one extra zero at the end of the frame $\tilde{X}_k$ such that $N' = 2N + 2$.

\(^8\)Note that a digital predistorter can be employed at the Tx to reduce the effect of LED non-linearity [58].
TABLE I
PARAMETERS USED FOR NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

| Parameter                | Symbol | Value |
|--------------------------|--------|-------|
| Max. number of users     | $\mathcal{L}$ | 16    |
| Data-rate per user       | $R_b$  | 500 Kbps |
| Cell radius              | $r_{\text{cell}}$ | 3 m   |
| CN height                | $h_{\text{CN}}$ | 1 m   |
| AP height                | $h_{\text{AP}}$ | 3 m   |
| LED wavelength [46]      | $\lambda$ | 850 nm |
| LED semi-angle at half power | $\phi_{1/2}$ | 60° |
| LED BW [46]              | —      | 20 MHz |
| Power conversion efficiency [46] | $\alpha$ | 0.8 |
| Tx orientation angle intervals | $\varphi_{tx}$ | -60°–60° |
| PD responsivity          | $\mathcal{R}$ | 0.6 A/W |
| PD active area           | $A_d$  | 1 cm$^2$ |
| Rx FOV                   | $\theta_c$ | 70° |
| TIA resistor value       | $R$    | 50 $\Omega$ |
| Rx noise temperature     | $T$    | 300 K |
| No. of mapped subcarriers | $N$   | 64    |
| Subcarriers allocated per user | $M$ | 4    |
| CP length                | $N_{\text{CP}}$ | 2    |
| DAC/ADC                  | —      | 8 bits |
| DC-bias, DCO             | $B_{\text{DC(DCO)}}$ | 1.50 V |
| DC-bias, ACO             | $B_{\text{DC(ACO)}}$ | 1.38 V |
| Upper clipping           | —      | 1.62 V |
| Lower clipping           | —      | 1.38 V |
| Target BER               | $\text{BER}_a$ | 10$^{-3}$ |

![User 1](image1)
![User 2](image2)
![User 3](image3)

Fig. 9. An example of interleaved subcarrier mapping for the case of $\mathcal{L} = 3$ users, each having three symbols denoted by $S_0$, $S_1$ and $S_2$.

Note that we consider 8-bit ADC and DAC and the presented numerical results take into account the corresponding quantization noise. Also, unless otherwise specified, for the sake of simulation simplicity, the CNs are considered to point straight upward (towards the ceiling), i.e., $\varphi_{tx} = 0$ in Fig. 2.

Interleaved subcarrier mapping is applied to each user symbols, as illustrated in Fig. 9 for the case of three users. This leads to a lower PAPR for the case of SCFDMA [59], in addition to mitigating burst errors. The signal PAPR is considered as the ratio between the peak electrical power of the TD signal (before clipping) to its average power, defined as $E\{x_n^2\}$. The PAPR performance is typically studied by considering its complementary cumulative distribution function (CCDF) [60].

The link performance is evaluated in terms of the average BER as a function of the electrical transmit power $P_{t(\text{elec})} = \frac{E_b}{N_0}$ or the electrical SNR$^9$ per bit, $E_b/\delta$. Note, $P_{t(\text{elec})} = P_{t(\text{opt})}/\alpha$ with $\alpha$ being the electrical-to-optical power conversion efficiency of the LED. Given the considered LED I-V characteristic curve in Fig. 8, the part of $P_{t(\text{elec})}$ corresponding to the DC bias equals 7 mW and 46.2 mW for ACO and DCO schemes, respectively.

For random link scenarios (e.g., the case of randomly-oriented Txs, presented later), the outage probability is considered, which is defined as the probability that the BER exceeds a given threshold, BER$_{th}$.

Due to the limited modulation BW of the LED, an important parameter is the required BW per user, which depends on the signaling scheme. Considering $M$-QAM constellation, the spectral efficiencies of DCO and ACO schemes are [23]:

$$\Gamma_{\text{DCO}} = \frac{\log_2(M) N}{N + N_{\text{CP}}} \text{ (bps/Hz)}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\text{ACO}} = \frac{\log_2(M) N}{2N + N_{\text{CP}}} \text{ (bps/Hz)}.$$

Remember that $N = 2N + 2$ with $N$ the number of mapped subcarriers. As ACO schemes use only half of the subcarriers, the corresponding spectral efficiency will be half of the corresponding DCO scheme, keeping the same constellation size and number of subcarriers. To make a fair comparison between DCO and ACO-based schemes, we fix the spectral efficiency by setting $M$ accordingly. The spectral efficiencies per user are then given by $\Gamma_{\text{DCO}}/\mathcal{L}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{ACO}}/\mathcal{L}$, with the corresponding required BWs per user as:

$$B_{\text{DCO}} = \frac{R_b}{\Gamma_{\text{DCO}}/\mathcal{L}} = \frac{R_b \mathcal{L}}{\Gamma_{\text{DCO}}}, \quad B_{\text{ACO}} = \frac{R_b \mathcal{L}}{\Gamma_{\text{ACO}}}.$$

B. PAPR Analysis

Figure 10 compares the PAPR of the proposed O-ROFDMA scheme with O-OFDMA and O-SCFDMA for the case of DCO.

$^9$Note that the SNR is defined here at the input of the Rx. For instance, for the case of ACO-OFDM signaling, the SNR refers to the noise at the Rx input before applying the FFT. In other words, our SNR definition takes into account the noise on both odd and even subcarriers [61].
signaling with 16-QAM modulation and different numbers $M$ of data carrying symbols. We notice that the PAPR performances of DCO-ROFDMA and DCO-OFDMA are almost the same, while being largely outperformed by DCO-SCFDMA, as expected. This is due to the additional DFT precoder used in SCFDMA (see blue-shaded blocks in Fig. 4), which spreads the energy of each symbol across all subcarriers prior to the $N$-point IFFT [37], [38], [62].

Also, as expected, PAPR increases with increased $M$. Given the typically small number of symbols $M$ for low data-rate medical applications, we can conclude that the signal PAPR remains relatively low. Note that for low CCDF values, the PAPR is almost the same regardless of the constellation size, in particular, for small $M$ (results not shown).

C. BER Performance

To study the BER performance, we consider the most limiting case of maximum channel attenuation, i.e., when all users are placed at the cell edge, corresponding to $D = 3$ m from the center of the cell.

1) DCO Signaling: In this case, given $L = 16$ and $M = 4$, $N = 130$ after imposing the HS. Then, considering $R_b = 500$ Kbps, Fig. 11 shows the BER performance versus $E_{b(elec)}/N_0$ for 4-QAM, 16-QAM and 64-QAM constellations, corresponding to the spectral efficiencies $\Gamma_{DCO} \approx 1$, 2, and 3 bps/Hz, and the required transmission BWs $B_{DCO} = 8.4$, and 2.67 MHz, respectively. We have fixed $P_{t(elec)}$ to 48 mW, which ensures a low BER for DCO schemes, as we will later show in Section V-D. We notice that the BER performances of DCO-OFDMA and DCO-ROFDMA are almost the same, while being outperformed by DCO-SCFDMA, in particular, for 64-QAM. This is due to the relatively low PAPR of SCFDMA, resulting in lower clipping noise.

2) ACO Signaling: In this case, considering the same $R_b$ and QAM constellation sizes as above, the required transmission BWs are $B_{ACO} = 16.8$, and 5.33 MHz, corresponding to the spectral efficiencies $\Gamma_{ACO} \approx 0.5$, 1, and 1.5 bps/Hz, respectively. Note that $B_{ACO}$ values are still below the considered LED BW of 20 MHz. Here, $N = 258$ and $P_{t(elec)}$ is set to 23 mW, which is lower than that for DCO schemes due to the smaller required bias $B_{DC}$, as explained in Section V-D. Note that a BER comparison between ACO and DCO schemes does not make sense here, given the different $P_{t(elec)}$ considered. Figure 12 shows the corresponding BER performances where we notice nearly the same performance for ACO-OFDMA and ACO-ROFDMA, while ACO-SCFDMA outperforms both for 64-QAM, as expected. For reduced $\Gamma_{ACO} \approx 0.5$ bps/Hz, lower SNRs are reasonably required to attain a target BER.

D. Electrical Power Efficiency

Let us define the electrical power efficiency as the required $P_{t(elec)}$ to achieve a target BER. We consider the same scenario as in the previous subsection, where the users are placed at the same position at the distance $D = 3$ m. BER plots of the different considered schemes are compared in Fig. 13 for the spectral efficiency of $\approx 1$ bps/Hz, where 16-QAM and 4-QAM constellations are considered for ACO and DCO schemes, respectively. Here, $P_{t(elec)}$ is changed by varying the amplitude of $x_n$ (see Fig. 3) taking into account $B_{DC}$. To focus on the effect of signal clipping, we set relatively high $E_{b(elec)}/N_0 = 15$ dB, which should potentially result in a low BER, as seen in Fig. 12.

One can notice the BER degradation at relatively large $P_{t(elec)}$ due to the clipping noise. Also, the ACO schemes require approximately 35 mW less $P_{t(elec)}$ to obtain a target BER, compared to DCO schemes. The performance of SCFDMA is close to those of OFDMA and ROFDMA for

10To go more into detail, the BER leveling effect around 0.3 that we observe at relatively high transmit powers for ACO schemes is due to signal clipping of “outer points” in the 16-QAM constellation. When $P_{t(elec)}$ is increased too much (not shown in the figure), the BER jumps to 0.5 as all 16-QAM constellations points get clipped. Also, note that increasing too much the amplitude of $x_n$ does not necessarily result in an increase in $P_{t(elec)}$, as this latter relies on the symbols after clipping.
both DCO and ACO signaling. Meanwhile, as expected, due to the low PAPR, SCFDMA is less impacted by clipping noise for relatively large $P_{t\text{ (elec)}}$.

Remember from Section IV-C that, due to the added DC bias, the minimum required $P_{t\text{ (elec)}}$ for ACO and DCO schemes is 7 and 46.2 mW, respectively. The slope of the BER at relatively low $P_{t\text{ (elec)}}$ that is noticed for ACO schemes is due to the effect of the quantization noise due to the ADC at very low effective signal powers (i.e., excluding the part of the power related to $B_{\text{DC}}$). This effect is rather negligible for a small constellation size, i.e., the case of DCO-schemes with 4-QAM. At the aforementioned $P_{t\text{ (elec)}}$ where the effective signal power equals zero, BER $= 0.5$.

Let us now compare the performance of ACO schemes for spectral efficiencies of $\approx 0.5$ and $\approx 1$ bps/Hz, as shown in Fig. 14. As expected, a lower $P_{t\text{ (elec)}}$ is required to attain a target BER for a lower spectral efficiency, which is due to using a smaller constellation size at the cost of increased signal BW. Moreover, for 4-QAM case ($\Gamma_{\text{ACO}} \approx 0.5$ bps/Hz), clipping noise affects the link performance for relatively large transmit powers, i.e., $P_{t\text{ (elec)}} \gtrsim 50$ mW, while ACO-SCFDMA again shows a superior performance due to a lower PAPR.

E. MAI Effect

To elucidate the effect of MAI, let's focus on ACO-ROFDMA as an appropriate transmission scheme due to its lower transmit power requirement (as shown in the results of Fig. 13). Figures 15(a) and 15(b) show the BER performances of a desired user with different distances $D$ from the cell center for $\Gamma_{\text{ACO}} \approx 0.5$ bps/Hz. For MAI case, the other 15 users are positioned at the cell center. $N_0$ is set to $3.93 \times 10^{-22}$ W/Hz according to (4) and the parameters specified in Table I.
the maximum MAI), and the same $P_{t\text{(elec)}}$ is set to all users. We have also presented as benchmark the BER plots for the case where there is only the desired user in the cell, indicated by NMAI (standing for No-MAI). Obviously, the BER degrades by increasing $D$ due to the decrease in the Rx SNR. The important observation is the difference between MAI and NMAI cases as one would expect no performance degradation when considering multiple users. This is, in fact, due to signal clipping, which affects the orthogonality between users’ signals. The degradation is more noticeable for increased clipping, which affects the orthogonality between users’ sig-

The important observation is the difference between MAI and NMAI cases as one would expect no performance degradation when considering multiple users. This is, in fact, due to signal clipping, which affects the orthogonality between users’ signals. The degradation is more noticeable for increased clipping, which affects the orthogonality between users’ signals. The degradation is more noticeable for increased $D$ due to the well-known near-far problem.

To clarify this, consider the case of two users with 4-QAM modulation with rectangular pulse shaping on the TD signal at the Tx. After applying ACO-ROFDMA, the spectra of the two users’ signals should normally be in the form of two orthogonal sincs, as illustrated in Fig. 16(a). However, when signal clipping is applied at the Tx, this orthogonality is lost due to the spectral regrowth in the adjacent subcarriers, see Fig. 16(b). As a result, signal reception is effectively affected by MAI, which is more pronounced at relatively high transmit powers, for larger constellation sizes, and with increasing $D$, as can be seen from Fig. 15.

For the sake of completeness, consider now the more realistic case of random Tx orientations. (So far, we have considered that all Txs are pointing toward the ceiling in order to avoid costly simulations and to focus on the performance study of the MA schemes.) For this, the $\varphi_{tx}$ of the CNs in Fig. 2 are generated randomly according to a zero-mean Gaussian distribution, while being limited to the interval $(-60^\circ, 60^\circ)$. Nevertheless, still only the LOS contribution is taken into account, which is justified by the considered large room dimensions, and hence, the rather negligible contribution of non-LOS links. Based on $10^5$ random orientations for all users, $P_{out}$ results as a function of $D$ are shown in Fig. 17 for the cases of MAI and NMAI, considering $\text{BER}_{1\text{th}} = 10^{-3}$. $P_{t\text{(elec)}}$ is set to $23 \text{ mW}$, corresponding to a low BER, based on the results of Fig. 15. For the case of 4-QAM with NMAI, $P_{out}$ is less than $10^{-3}$ and the corresponding plot is not shown. As expected, $P_{out}$ increases as the user moves from the center of the cell to the edge due to the higher probability of losing the LOS link. Given that the required $P_{out}$ for WBAN application is about $10^{-2}$ [6], this is achieved for $D \lesssim 1.5$ m and $D \lesssim 1.4$ m for 4-QAM and 16-QAM cases, respectively.

In practice, given the large dimensions of an emergency waiting room, in order to increase the link robustness, multiple APs should be employed [6] with short enough distance between them, e.g., less than 3 m for the case of 16-QAM.

### VI. Complexity Analysis

To evaluate the computational complexity of the considered signaling schemes, we focus on the complexity of realizing the $\mathcal{N}$-point IFFT/FFT for a number $\mathcal{N}$ of mapped subcarriers, as well as the extra $\mathcal{M}$-point FFT/IFFT used in O-SCFDMA.

The computational complexity of IFFT/FFT is related to the floating-point operations (FLOPs) for real additions and multiplications [63]. Without loss of generality, we consider a radix-2-based algorithm, for the sake of simplicity, using which an $\mathcal{N}$-point IFFT/FFT requires approximately $5\mathcal{N}\log_2(\mathcal{N})$ arithmetic operations [64]. For O-ROFDMA, the FLOP count at the Tx is reduced by a factor of 2 due to applying padded zeros in the last $\mathcal{N}$ subcarriers. Figure 18 illustrates an example of radix-2 IFFT algorithm for DCO-ROFDMA considering $\mathcal{N} = 8$. At Stage-1, given a zero in one of the symbols in a butterfly of length 2, no arithmetic operation is required, and the outputs follow the input symbols. Therefore, the first half of symbols are in fact identical copies of the second-half symbols, see Stage-2. This way, no arithmetic operation is required for half of the symbols (shown in red color), thus reducing the computational complexity by a factor of 2.
and the highest complexity. Moreover, the number of arithmetic operations required by ACO-ROFDMA is equal to that for DCO-OFDMA.

VII. CONCLUSION

We proposed a HS-free O-ROFDMA scheme which transmits only the real part of the time-domain signal for the uplink extra-WBANs in an emergency waiting room scenario. We investigated the proposed scheme in terms of energy efficiency and computational complexity. More specifically, taking into account the parameters of realistic opto-electronic components, we studied the BER performance of DCO-ROFDMA and ACO-ROFDMA, compared with O-OFDMA and O-SCFDMA counterparts. In particular, we demonstrated the effect of induced MAI due to signal clipping, resulting in a loss of orthogonality between the signals of different users. Focusing then on ACO-ROFDMA as a more appropriate scheme due to its better energy efficiency (despite the requirement of a larger BW), we investigated the impact of MAI under fixed and randomly oriented Txs. We further showed that, thanks to the reduced computational complexity of O-ROFDMA, compared with O-OFDMA, a significant reduction in the Tx (CN) energy consumption can be achieved for medical WBANs.

As future research directions, it will be interesting to investigate the use of multiple AP arrangements for large-size indoor spaces, and to develop efficient solutions for time synchronization between the APs and hand-overs within a multi-cell architecture, in order to ensure high-fidelity medical data transmission under user mobility conditions.
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