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Abstract

**Background:** Cysteine protease B is considered crucial for the survival and infectivity of the *Leishmania* in its human host. Several microorganism pathogens bind to the heparin-like glycosaminoglycans chains of proteoglycans at host-cell surface to promote their attachment and internalization. Here, we have investigated the influence of heparin upon *Leishmania mexicana* cysteine protease rCPB2.8 activity.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** The data analysis revealed that the presence of heparin affects all steps of the enzyme reaction: (i) it decreases 3.5-fold the *kₐ* and 4.0-fold the *k₋₁*, (ii) it affects the acyl-enzyme accumulation with pronounced decrease in *k₂* (2.7-fold), and also decrease in *k₅* (3.5-fold). The large values of Δ*G* = 12 kJ/mol for the association and dissociation steps indicate greater substantial structural strains linked to the formation/dissociation of the ES complex in the presence of heparin, which underscores a conformational change that prevents the diffusion of substrate in the rCPB2.8 active site. Binding to heparin also significantly decreases the α-helix content of the rCPB2.8 and perturbs the intrinsic fluorescence emission of the enzyme. The data strongly suggest that heparin is altering the ionization of catalytic (Cys²⁵⁵)-S⁻/(His²⁵⁶)-Im²⁻ H ion pair of the rCPB2.8. Moreover, the interaction of heparin with the N-terminal pro-region of rCPB2.8 significantly decreased its inhibitory activity against the mature enzyme.

**Conclusions/Significance:** Taken together, depending on their concentration, heparin-like glycosaminoglycans can either stimulate or antagonize the activity of cysteine protease B enzymes during parasite infection, suggesting that this glycoconjugate can anchor parasite cysteine protease at host cell surface.
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Introduction

Papain-like cysteine proteases have been identified in parasitic organisms, such as *T. cruzi* (cruzain), *T. brucei* (trypanopain, TbCatB) and different *Leishmania* spp. (CPA, CPB, CPC) [1]. The cysteine proteinase (CP) activity of *Leishmania mexicana* is considerably greater in the mammalian amastigote form than in the promastigote forms [2]. These classes of CPs exist as multiple isoenzymes [3–7], which are encoded by a tandem array of 19 similar CPB genes [7–11]. The CPs, together with homologues from either leishmanias [12,13] and trypanosomatids such as *Trypanosoma cruzi* (cruzipain or cruzain) [14,15] and *Trypanosoma brucei* [16] are cathepsin L-like and are characterized by the presence of an unusual 100 amino acid C-terminal extension, which in some cases is highly glycosylated. Like cruzain, CPB from *L. mexicana* are abundant and stage-regulated and can occur on the surface of parasite [10–13].

CPB are thought to be crucial for the survival and infectivity of the parasite in its human host and have been involved in successful invasion of host macrophages by promastigotes, the transformation of parasitic forms, parasitic nutrition, and evasion of hosts immune system [10,11,17–19]. Because of the importance of cysteine proteases in the survival and in the life cycle of *Leishmania*, they have been targets for development of inhibitors as antileishmanial drugs [10,20,21].
A recombinant form of the enzyme encoded by CPB2.8 but lacking the C-terminal extension (known as CPB2.8ΔCTE) was expressed [22], and its substrate specificity has been studied extensively [23–26,27] and several peptide inhibitors have also been reported for it [29–30]. The rCPB2.8 presents the amino acids Asn64, Asp61, Asp64 in the α-helices that form the wall of the active site cleft [27,31].

Heparan sulfate proteoglycans are ubiquitous components of cell surface of animal cells. They are components of plasma membranes and also the extracellular matrix (ECM). Heparan sulfate is sulfated glycosaminoglycans composed of disaccharides containing uronic acid and glucosamine with N- and O-sulfates and N-acetyl substitutions. These molecules are located to regulate the cell interactions with the environment. The interaction of heparan sulfate or heparin-like glycosaminoglycans with proteins control a large spectrum of biological processes including cell homeostasis, growth factor activity, cell adhesion and parasitic infection [32,33].

Several microorganism pathogens bind to the glycosaminoglycan chains of proteoglycans at the host cell surface to promote their attachment and internalization [34]. Heparan sulfate proteoglycan-binding mediates the interaction of the amastigote form of Leishmania amazonensis to mammalian cells; this interaction seems to be an important first step in the host cell invasion by Leishmania [35]. Recently, it has been suggest that a cell surface metalloproteinase from promastigote form of L. (V.) braziliensis can interact with heparan-like glycosaminoglycans of vector Lulo cells [36]. Interesting, secreted cysteine protease (CPB) can participate in Leishmania infection by degradation of fibronectin of the host’s extracellular matrix (ECM), thereby facilitating the local spread of the parasite [37].

We have shown that glycosaminoglycans (GAGs), especially heparin-like compounds, can modulate the catalytic activity some papain-like enzymes [38,39]. Heparan sulfate and heparin are able to interact with cathepsin B specifically; this interaction promotes the stabilization of the enzyme in neutral/alkaline pH favoring the endopeptidasic activity of cathepsin B at neutral pH [39]. Also, the release of kinin by T. cruzi trypomastigotes was increased 10-fold in the presence of heparan sulfate. Previous data showed that heparan sulfate markedly potentiates the kininogenasic activity of cruzipain by forming a heparan sulfate-kininogen-cruzipain ternary complex [40]. Also, it has been shown that chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans are able to activate the collagenolytic activity of cathepsin K [41].

Therefore, the interaction of Leishmania cysteine protease with GAGs of the host cell surface may be of significant interest for understanding the biological role of this class of enzyme in degradation of host ECM components in parasite infection. This study addressed this possibility.

Materials and Methods

Enzyme rCPB2.8

The recombinant CPB2.8ΔCTE (designated rCPB2.8) throughout this manuscript is a recombinant cysteine protease type B truncated in the C-terminal) was obtained and purified as earlier described [22,25]. The rCPB2.8 expressed in E. coli show 38000-M, and it is converted to 26000-M, activity mature form after incubation in acidic medium at 37 °C in the presence of 100 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.5, 0.9 M NaCl, 2 mM EDTA (Ethylendiaminetetraacetic acid), and 10 mM DTT (Dithiothreitol) until full conversion was observed (approx. 2 – 4 h). N-terminal Pro-region peptides released during the activation process are removed by gel filtration at room temperature using a 50 mL Sephadex G-50 column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) equilibrated in 100 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.5, 2 mM EDTA, 10 mM DTT, 0.45 M NaCl, and 0.01% (v/v) Triton X-100 at a flow rate of 0.73 mL/min over 53 mL.

The enzyme concentration was determined by the titration of the active site using the irreversible inhibitor E64 (1-[(L-trans-eptosyuccinyl)~L-Arg(Ala)~L-Leu(Ala)~L-Glu]n-butan-4-yl) (Sigma) at a concentration of 100 mM sodium acetate buffer, 5 mM DTT, 20% glycerol (included in the buffer assay to increase the enzyme stability), pH 5.5 at 35°C for 10 min. The uninhibited remaining enzyme was monitored by the hydrolysis of Z-FR-MCA (Carbobenzoxy-L-phenylalanil-L-arginine-4-methylcoumarylimethylamide) in the wavelengths set at 360 nm for excitation and 480 nm for emission on a thermostatic Hitachi F-2500 spectrofluorometer.

Cloning, expression and purification of the N-terminal pro-region of Leishmania mexicana CPB2.8

The entire N-terminal pro-region of CPB2.8 was generated using PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) and the plasmid containing the N-terminal His tag rCPB2.8 sequence as template [22]. The primers used were 5’GGCATATGGACGCCGCGCTGCTGGCCTTCG3’ and 5’TACCTGGACGACAGTCTGTCGGCGCGCCTTGC3’. The PCR product was cloned into pET21a (Novagen) with Ndel and Xbol to yield a construct to express the N-terminal pro-region with a C-terminal His tag. The vector was transformed into Escherichia coli BL21DE3 for expression overnight at 37°C. The enzyme concentration was determined by the titration of the active site using the irreversible inhibitor E64 (1-[(L-trans-eptosyuccinyl)~L-Arg(Ala)~L-Leu(Ala)~L-Glu]n-butan-4-yl) (Sigma) at a concentration of 100 mM sodium acetate buffer, 5 mM DTT, 20% glycerol (included in the buffer assay to increase the enzyme stability), pH 5.5 at 35°C for 10 min. The uninhibited remaining enzyme was monitored by the hydrolysis of Z-FR-MCA (Carbobenzoxy-L-phenylalanil-L-arginine-4-methylcoumarylimethylamide) in the wavelengths set at 360 nm for excitation and 480 nm for emission on a thermostatic Hitachi F-2500 spectrofluorometer.

Enzyme assays

The influence of heparin upon rCPB2.8 endopeptidase activity was monitored fluorometrically using the fluorogenic substrate Z-FR-MCA. The fluorescence intensity was measured on a thermostatic Hitachi F-2500 spectrofluorometer. The steady-state kinetic assays with fluorogenic substrate were performed in 100 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.5) containing 20% glycerol and 5 mM DTT at 35°C. The enzyme was activated by its pre-incubation in the assay buffer for 5 min at 35°C before the substrate addition. The concentration of active rCPB2.8 was determined by titration with its irreversible inhibitor E-64. All reactions were done in 1×1 cm cross section quartz cuvette. For the Z-FR-MCA (0.1 — 10 μM) substrate assays, the excitation and emission wavelengths were set at 360 and 480 nm, respectively. The kinetic parameters were determined by measuring the initial rate of hydrolysis at various substrate concentrations in the absence or in the presence of heparin (0 — 60 μM). In the experiments we have used a size-defined (10 kDa) bovine lung heparin (The Upjohn Co), prepared by using size exclusion column approach [32]. The fluorescence of 7-amin-4-methyl-coumarin and ortho-aminobenzoic acid were determined for the calculation of precise rate constants. All kinetic experiments were performed in triplicate. In order to calculate the concentration of the released product calibration curves of fluorescence versus concentration were constructed. The data obtained were analyzed by nonlinear regression using the program GraFit 5.0 (Erithacus Software Ltd.). The data were analyzed in steady-state kinetic
The influence of other high sulfated GAGs namely heparan sulfate, chondroitin sulfate, and dermatan sulfate were analyzed by determination of inhibitory potential IC50. The enzyme rCPB2.8 activity was performed in 100 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.5) containing 20% glycerol and 5 mM DTT at 35°C pre-activated for 5min. The substrate Z-FR-MCA hydrolyses was followed in a spectrophotometer F2500 in the excitation and emission wavelengths set at 360 and 480 nm, respectively, in the absence and in the presence of different concentrations of GAGs. The residual enzyme activity was registered and the rate values were plotted against GAGs concentrations and the data analyzed by nonlinear regression using the program GraFit 5.0 (Erithacus Software Ltd.) using the equation 2. The GAG effects on the enzyme activity were monitored at different concentrations (0 — 60 μM). All kinetic experiments were performed in triplicate.

\[
y = \frac{V_{\max} |S|}{K_M \left(1 + \frac{1}{(S/K_s)} \right) + |S| \left(1 + \frac{1}{(S/K_s)} \right)}
\]

(1)

where Range is fitted uninhibited value and s is a slope factor. The equation assumes that y falls with increasing x.

**Determination of Individual Rate Constants for the Hydrolysis of Z-FR-MCA by rCPB2.8**

Although the three-step mechanism for cysteine protease catalyzed hydrolysis of peptides is widely accepted [59–61], the individual rate constants that describe the steps have not been determined in the studies of rCPB2.8 inhibition. Since \( k_{cat} = (k_2k_3M)/(k_2+K_2) \); \( K_2 = (k_{d2}+k_2)/k_2 \) and \( K_M = k_{d1}k_2/(k_2+K_2) \) are composite parameters of the rate constants, the Michaelis-Menten kinetic parameters \( k_{cat} \) and \( K_M \) determined by steady-state analysis do not give a detailed picture about the kinetic mechanism of a cysteine protease. Clearly, the only way to get an accurate picture of rCPB2.8 inhibition by heparin is through the determination of the heparin effect upon the mechanistic kinetic parameters \( k_1, k_{-1}, k_2, k_3, k_{2,0} \) and \( k_{3,0} \).

Based on the temperature dependence upon the kinetic parameters \( k_{cat}/K_M \) and \( k_{cat} \) for hydrolysis of Z-FR-MCA by the rCPB2.8 enzyme, we evaluated the individual constants \( k_1, k_{-1}, k_2, k_3 \) and \( k_0 \) of the hydrolytic reactions in the absence or in the presence of 40 μM heparin using the procedure reported by Ayala and Di Cera [42] and Judice et al. [43,44] which was based on the assumption that the hydrolytic process of a cysteine protease occurs as described below:

- The values of the kinetic parameters \( k_{cat} \) and \( K_M \) for rCPB2.8 was determined using 100 mM sodium acetate buffer, 20% glycerol, 5 mM DTT, pH 5.5 activating the enzyme for 10 min in the temperature range 10°C to 35°C. In the temperature above of 35°C the only modifications were: 1) each reaction at temperatures higher than 35°C up to 55°C were started by addition to the reaction mixture of enzyme previously activated at a lower temperature using 5 mM DTT, temperature and the initial velocity was registered in the first 60 sec; 2) the buffer pH was corrected for the temperature used.

The following equations were developed as earlier described [42–44]. The temperature dependence of rate constants obeys the Arrhenius law (Equation 3):

\[
k = k_0 \exp \left\{ \frac{E}{R \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0} \right)} \right\}
\]

(3)

where \( E \) is the activation energy associated with the rate constant \( k \), \( R \) is the gas constant, \( T \) the absolute temperature and \( k_0 \) the value of \( k \) at the temperature \( T_0 = 298.15 \) K. The equations that define the Michaelis-Menten parameters \( k_{cat}/K_M \) and \( k_{cat} \), which are based on the reaction of Figure 1, are as follows:

\[
k_{cat} = \frac{k_{cat}}{K_M} = \frac{k_1k_2}{k - 1 + k_2} \]

(4)

\[
k_{cat} = \frac{k_2k_3}{k_2 + k_3}
\]

(5)

The substitution of Equation 3 in the Eq. 4 and Eq. 5 results in the following expressions:

\[
\frac{k_{cat}}{K_M} = \frac{k_1,0k_2,0 \exp \{ - \frac{E_1 + E_2}{R} (\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0}) \} - k_1,0 \exp \{ - \frac{E_2}{R} (\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0}) \} + k_2,0 \exp \{ - \frac{E_1}{R} (\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0}) \}}{k_2,0 \exp \{ - \frac{E_2}{R} (\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0}) \} + k_3,0 \exp \{ - \frac{E_1}{R} (\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0}) \}}
\]

(6)

\[
k_{cat} = \frac{k_2,0k_3,0 \exp \{ - \frac{E_2 + E_3}{R} (\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0}) \} - \frac{k_2,0 \exp \{ - \frac{E_2}{R} (\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0}) \}}{k_2,0 \exp \{ - \frac{E_2}{R} (\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0}) \} + k_3,0 \exp \{ - \frac{E_1}{R} (\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0}) \}}
\]

(7)

in which \( k_{1,0}, k_{-1,0}, k_2,0, k_3,0 \) are the rate constants \( k_1 \) (association constant), \( k_{-1} \) (dissociation constant), \( k_2 \) (acylation constant) and \( k_3 \) (deacylation constant), respectively at the temperature \( T_0 = 298.15 \) K and \( E_1, E_{-1}, E_2 \) and \( E_3 \) are the corresponding activation energies.

From the plot of ln s versus 1/T and ln kcat versus 1/T, as indicated in the equations above (Equations 5 and 6), the parameters \( k_1,0, k_{-1,0}, k_2,0, k_3,0, E_1, E_{-1}, E_2 \) and \( E_3 \) can be determined for the temperature \( T_0 = 298.15 \) K.

\[
E + S \xrightleftharpoons[k_1]{k_{-1}} ES \xrightarrow[k_2]{k_3} EP + P_1 \xrightarrow[k_5]{\alpha K_5} E + P_2
\]

Figure 1. Schematic mechanism of hydrolytic process of a cysteine protease and inhibition. Individual constants \( k_1, k_{-1}, k_2, \) and \( k_5 \) of the hydrolytic reactions of cysteine protease. \( k_1 \) is the substrate diffusion constant into the active site, \( k_{-1} \) the substrate dissociation constant, \( k_2 \) the acylation constant, \( k_3 \) the deacylation constant, \( K_5 = (k_{d2}+k_2)/k_2 \), \( K_1 \) the inhibition constant, and \( \alpha \) is the parameter of \( K_5 \) and \( K_1 \) perturbation. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080153.g001
The Eyring transition-state theory allows the calculation of the entropy and enthalpy of activation using the following equation [43–47]:

\[ \ln k = \frac{R}{Nh} \left( \frac{\Delta S}{R} - \frac{\Delta H}{RT} \right) \]  

The substitution of Equation 8 in the Eq. 4 and Eq. 5 and calculating \( k_{cat} / K_M \) and \( k_{cat} / T \) results in the following expressions:

\[
k_{cat} = \frac{R}{Nh} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{\Delta S + \Delta S^2}{R} \right) \exp \left( - \frac{\Delta H + \Delta H^2}{RT} \right) \right]
\]

\[
k_{cat} = \frac{R}{Nh} \left[ \frac{\exp \left( \frac{\Delta S + \Delta S^2}{R} \right) \exp \left( - \frac{\Delta H + \Delta H^2}{RT} \right)}{\left( \exp \left( \frac{\Delta S^2}{R} \right) \exp \left( - \frac{\Delta H^2}{RT} \right) + \exp \left( \frac{\Delta S}{R} \right) \exp \left( - \frac{\Delta H}{RT} \right) \right)} \right]
\]

in which \( N \) is Avogadro’s number and \( k \) is Planck’s constant and \( \Delta S_1, \Delta S_2, \Delta S_3 \) and \( \Delta S_4 \) are corresponding activation entropies and \( \Delta H_1, \Delta H_2, \Delta H_3, \Delta H_4 \) are corresponding activation enthalpies. \( \Delta S_1, \Delta S_2, \Delta S_3, \Delta S_4, \Delta H_1, \Delta H_2, \Delta H_3, \Delta H_4 \) and \( \Delta H_4 \) can be obtained from parameters using the Eyring plot \( \ln(k_{cat} / K_M T) \) versus \( 1/T \) and \( \ln(k_{cat} / T) \) versus \( 1/T \).

The values of the kinetic parameters \( k_{cat} \) and \( K_M \) were determined for rCPB2.8 in the temperature range from 10°C to 55°C were: 1) each reaction at temperatures higher than 35°C was started by addition to the reaction mixture of enzyme previously activated at a lower temperature using 5 mM DTT, and the initial velocity was registered in the first 60 sec; 2) the buffer pH was corrected for the temperature used.

rCPB2.8 pH Activity Profile

For the determination of pH activity profiles, the kinetics of Z-FR-MCA substrate hydrolysis were performed in absence or in presence of 40 \( \mu \)M heparin at 35°C in four-component buffer system of constant ionic strength, consisting of 25 mM glycine, 25 mM acetic acid, 25 mM Mes and 75 mM Tris, containing 20% glycerol and 5 mM DTT, the pH of buffers were adjusted using HCl or NaOH diluted solutions. The substrate concentrations were kept 20-fold above the \( K_M \) values. The progress of the reaction was continuously monitored by the fluorescence of the released product and the initial rates were determined. It is important to mention that the enzyme was stable at pH range studied, and the pH values did not affect the ionic form of substrate. The pH activity profiles data were fitted according to Equation 11 by using nonlinear regression software system (GraFit version 5.0, Erithacus Software Ltd) as follows:

\[
V_{max} = \frac{V_{max,n}}{1 + 10^{pK_{ES1} - pH + 10^{pK_{ES2}}}}
\]

where \( V_{max,n} \) stands for the pH-independent maximum reaction rate, and \( pK_{ES1} \) and \( pK_{ES2} \) are the dissociation constants of a catalytically competent base and in the presence of the substrate respectively.

Effect of Heparin upon Intrinsic Fluorescence of the rCPB2.8

The intrinsic fluorescence of tryptophan residues of the rCPB2.8 was monitored in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7) containing 20% glycerol at 35°C by measuring the emission of fluorescence between at 300 — 450 nm (10 nm slit) after excitation at \( \lambda _{ex} = 290 \) nm (5 nm slit) in a Hitachi F-2500 spectrofluorimeter in the absence or in the presence of different concentrations of heparin (0 — 167 \( \mu \)M). The 1 cm path-length cuvette containing 1 mL of the buffered enzyme solution (1 \( \mu \)M) was placed in a thermostatically controlled cell compartment under constant magnetic stirring in the spectrofluorimeter for 5 min prior to the addition of small aliquots of a highly concentrated heparin solution with minimal dilution (less than 5%) and the decrease in fluorescence signal was read. The dependence of the relative fluorescence change, i.e., \( \Delta F = F_{obs} - F_0 \) where \( F_0 \) is the initial rCPB2.8 solution fluorescence value and \( F_{obs} \), is the observed fluorescence value after each addition of heparin, was analyzed by nonlinear least-squares data fitting by the binding Equation 11 according to [48,49], using Grafit 5.0 Software. The same procedure described above was used to measure the effect of heparin upon the intrinsic fluorescence of the N-terminal pro-region domain of CPB2.8.

Circular Dichroism Experiments

Circular dichroism (CD) measurements in far ultraviolet regions (190 — 260 nm) of rCPB2.8-heparin interactions were conducted in a JASCO J-810 spectropolarimeter scanning at rate of 50 nm/min at 35 °C. Cells of 0.1 cm for the far UV were used. The experiments were done in 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 5.8 containing 2 \( \mu \)M of enzyme rCPB2.8. The observed ellipticity was normalized to units of degrees cm² dmol⁻¹. All dichroic spectra were corrected by subtraction of the background for the spectrum obtained with buffer alone or buffer containing heparin fragments. The CD spectra for the rCPB2.8 was analyzed for the relative amount in percentage, of the secondary structural elements by a program based on comparison to the spectra obtained for the structures of known proteins according to [50].

Effects of heparin on the inhibitory activity of N-terminal pro-region of the enzyme rCPB2.8

The inhibitory effect of the N-terminal pro-region on the endopeptidase activity of the enzyme rCPB2.8 was determined in the absence or in the presence of 40 \( \mu \)M heparin. The enzyme rCPB2.8 was pre-activated by incubation in 50 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.5), containing 5 mM DTT, 20% glycerol for 10 min at 35°C. The rCPB2.8 aliquots were incubated at different concentrations of pro-region (0 — 0.24 \( \mu \)M) and or with N-terminal pro-region previously pre-incubated for 30 min with heparin, the final concentration of heparin at enzyme assay was 12 \( \mu \)M. The endopeptidase activity of the enzyme was continuously monitored by the hydrolysis of fluorogenic substrate Z-FR-MCA in a spectrofluorometer F-2500 Hitachi, set at wavelengths...
360 nm for excitation and 480 nm for emission. The remaining activities of the enzyme inhibited by N-terminal pro-region in the absence or in the presence of heparin were analyzed by nonlinear regression using the software GraFit 5.0 (Erithacus Software Ltda).

Results
Effect of Heparin upon the rCPB2.8 Endopeptidase Activity
The effect of heparin upon the rCPB2.8 endopeptidase activity was monitored with the aid of its fluorogenic substrate Z-FR-MCA, covering the rCPB2.8 substrates from S2 to S’1 [51,52]. The HPLC and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry analysis showed that Arg-MCA is the only peptide bond cleaved by rCPB2.8 on this substrate; also, heparin did not change the pattern of cleavage of this substrate by rCPB2.8. No interaction between Z-FR-MCA substrate with heparin was detected by studying the molar absorption of substrate in function of heparin concentration (data not shown). The possible effect of other high sulfated GAGs, namely heparan sulfate, chondroitin sulfate, and dermatan sulfate were also investigated upon rCPB2.8-catalysed hydrolysis of the fluorogenic substrate Z-FR-MCA. The results showed that the chondroitin sulfate, and dermatan sulfate are not able to inhibit the activity of rCPB2.8 in the concentration range from 0 – 60 μM (data not shown), and only heparin and heparan sulfate were capable of inhibit the endopeptidase activity of rCPB2.8. These results show that the interaction of heparin and heparan sulfate polysaccharides to rCPB2.8 is specific to heparin-like GAGs similar results have been observed previously [38,39].

The interaction of heparin with rCPB2.8 perturbs its catalytic activity upon Z-FR-MCA substrate (Fig. 2). The efficiency of the system for the hydrolysis of Z-FR-MCA can be altered by changing either K_M value (α parameter) or k_cat value (β parameter). Figs 2A and 2B show that the presence of heparin results in a large decrease in V_max value without changing the apparent binding affinity of the enzyme for its substrate Z-FR-MCA (k_S = 1.6±0.1 μM). It was observed that heparin binds to free rCPB2.8 (E) and to the enzyme-substrate complex (ES) with the same dissociation constant k_d = 17±1 μM (Figs 2D and 2E). The data analysis show that heparin inhibited rCPB2.8 by a linear non-competitive inhibition mechanism, α = 1.0±0.1 and β = 0 as depicted in Figure 1, where the ternary complex enzyme-substrate-inhibitor (ESI) cannot form product and can only be converted back to the ES complex or the EI complex.

Interesting, heparin showed a very similar kinetic pattern of inhibition upon papain when this enzyme was also assayed with the same substrate Z-FR-MCA. Heparin promoted a large decrease of 5.5-fold in Z-FR-MCA hydrolysis second-order rate without changing the affinity of papain for the Z-FR-MCA, showing a partial non-competitive inhibition behavior [38]. On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that the inhibitory effects of heparin on other cysteine proteases from the papain family may be related to the substrate structure [44,53].

Seminal works of Schneck et al., 2008, and Steiner et al., 1987, have shown that the steady-state kinetic parameters k_cat, K_M, and k_cat/K_M do not give a clear picture of cysteine-proteases [54] and serine-proteases [55] substrate specificity, because k_cat, K_M, and k_cat are composite parameters of the intrinsic rate constants. Therefore, the determination of the steady-state parameters is not sufficient to describe the kinetic mechanism in the case of rCPB2.8 inhibition by heparin. In order to get an accurate picture of rCPB2.8 inhibition by heparin, we also analyzed the effect of heparin upon the individual rate constants k_1, k_2, k_3, and k_4 for the substrate hydrolysis.

Determination of the Individual Rate Constants in the rCPB2.8 Kinetic Mechanism
We have used temperature studies of kinetic parameters to resolve the individual rate constants k_1, k_2, k_3, and k_4 in the kinetic mechanism of rCPB2.8 in the absence or in the presence of 40 μM heparin as previously described [42–47]. Measurements of k_cat/K_M and k_cat as a function of temperature can resolve all the parameters depicted in Equations 5, 6, 8 and 9.

The temperature dependence of k_cat/K_M and k_cat for Z-FR-MCA hydrolysis by rCPB2.8 is shown in Fig. 3, in the absence or in the presence of 40 μM heparin. Figs 3A and 3B show the Arrhenius plots of the specificity constant k_cat/K_M (Fig. 3A) and k_cat (Fig. 3B) and Figs 3C and 3D show the Eyring plots of the specificity constant k_cat/K_M (Fig. 3C) and k_cat (Fig. 3D) in the temperature range from 10 to 55°C. The observed curvature in the plots 3A and 3C is indicative of a change in the rate-limiting step for substrate hydrolysis due to the shift from k_2>>k_4 at low temperatures and k_4>>k_2 at high temperatures. The shift is caused by the drastic difference in activation energies for substrate acylation and dissociation when E_2>>E_4 or E_4>>E_2. On the other hand, the observed linearity in the plots in Figs 3B and 3D is a strong indicator that k_3>>k_2 [42,56]. Taking together these data, we resolved the kinetic rate constants k_1, k_2, k_3 and k_4 at the reference temperature (298.15 K), and the thermodynamic parameters E_a, ΔM, ΔS and Γ for this enzymatic reaction in the absence or in the presence of 40 μM heparin (Table 1).

Table 1 shows that the hydrolysis of substrate Z-FR-MCA by rCPB2.8 can be satisfactorily described by a three-step kinetic mechanism, where K_M = (k_e k_p)/k_2 stands for the formation of ES complex, k_2 stands for the rCPB2.8 acylation step and k_3 stands for the rCPB2.8 deacylation step [54]. As expected, the value of k_1 (20±1 s⁻¹) was much higher than the value of k_2 (2.7±0.1 s⁻¹) and the k_cat constant is mainly governed by k_2, these values agree with the linearity of the plots (Figs 3B and 3D), indicating that substrate acylation is rate limiting over the entire temperature range examined. Because k_2 (2.7±0.1 s⁻¹) is higher than 1-k (1.6±0.1 s⁻¹), the hydrolysis of Z-FR-MCA substrate by rCPB2.8 can be considered a diffusion controlled process; the constant of specificity k_cat/K_M (1.7±0.1 μM⁻¹.s⁻¹) is essentially defined by the association rate constant k_1 (2.7±0.1 μM⁻¹.s⁻¹). Despite of k_3>>k_2 and consequently K_S = K_M as k_3 is 1.7-fold higher than k_1, K_S (1.6±0.2 μM) cannot be considered a true dissociation constant.

The association constant k_1 can be limited either by diffusion or conformational rearrangements that facilitate the enzyme-substrate interaction. As diffusion-limited enzyme substrate encounters feature k_1 values > 10⁷ M⁻¹.s⁻¹ are linked to small activation energies E_a ≤ 42 kJ/mol [57], the information on k_1 and E_a is quite valuable in establishing mechanisms of enzyme-substrate interaction [56]. The present data (Table 2) show that the large value of E_a (62±5 kJ/mol) and k_1 (2.7 10⁰ M⁻¹.s⁻¹) < 10⁷ M⁻¹.s⁻¹ in the absence of heparin indicates substantial structural strains linked to the formation of the enzyme-substrate complex. Also, during the formation of enzyme-substrate complex there is a gain of entropy (ΔS_a = 47±3 J/mol.K) and it indicates that rCPB2.8 can assume a more open conformation [45]. As the entropy of activation is negative for the acylation and deacylation step [45], a substantial loss of entropy is observed during the acylation step as expected (ΔS_a = −75±23 J/mol.K) - strongly suggesting a refolding of the enzyme [45]. The “stickiness” of substrate, defined as the rate at which a substrate reacts to give products relative to the rate at which that substrate dissociates, in the absence of heparin k_2/k_1 = 2.0±0.2, shows that the propensity of the ES complex to undergo acylation is 2.0-fold larger than its propensity to decouple in E + S forms. The large
The influence of Heparin upon rCPB2.8 pH Activity Profiles

The effect of heparin on the pH activity profiles of rCPB2.8 was analyzed by monitoring the enzyme-catalyzed hydrolysis of the Z-FR-MCA substrate. Fig 4A shows that enzyme displays bell-shaped pH dependence both in the absence and presence of heparin. Fig 4B shows the Dixon-Web plot of log $V_{\text{maxapp}}$ versus pH where the dot lines of slope = 1 and slope = -1 tangent to the curve at very low log $V_{\text{maxapp}}$ values intersect the horizontal log $V_{\text{max}}$ line at pH$_{ES1}$ and pH$_{ES2}$, respectively. When rCPB2.8 was assayed in the presence of heparin a large effect of heparin was observed upon the pH-activity profile. Basically, 40 μM heparin promoted a general decreases of about 3.5-fold in the values of $V_{\text{max}}$ observed for Z-FR-MCA hydrolysis and shifted the rCPB2.8 pH activity profile about 0.3 units to the right. Table 3 shows that in the presence of heparin the value of pH$_{ES1}$ was shifted from 5.1 to 4.6, the pH$_{ES2}$ largely increased from 7.8 to 8.3 and the pH$_{\text{opt}}$ of rCPB2.8 was increased from 6.4 to 6.7.

The acidic pH$_{ES1}$ values stands to deprotonation of the active Cys$_{25}$, while the basic pH$_{ES2}$ values results from deprotonation of His$_{163}$ in the presence of Z-FR-MCA substrate at the active site of the enzyme. Taken together, these data strongly suggest that heparin is altering the ionization of catalytic (Cys$_{25}$)-S$^-$/(His$_{163}$)-Im$^+$ H ion pair of the rCPB2.8. A change in the ionization of the catalytic (Cys$_{25}$)-S$^-$/(His$_{163}$)-Im$^+$ H ion pair may be related to conformational change, or to direct electrostatic modulation induced by heparin binding or both [58,59].

Figure 2. Effect of Heparin upon the rCPB2.8 Endopeptidase Activity. The influence of heparin upon rCPB2.8 endopeptidase activity was monitored fluorometrically using the fluorogenic substrate Z-FR-MCA. The steady-state kinetic assays with fluorogenic substrate were performed in 100 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.5) containing 20% glycerol and 5 mM DTT at 35°C. The enzyme was activated by its pre-incubation in the assay buffer for 5 min at 35°C before the substrate addition. A, the rate of substrate Z-FR-MCA hydrolysis as a function of substrate concentration. The kinetic parameters were determined by measuring the initial rate of hydrolysis at various substrate concentrations in the absence or in the presence of heparin, control (△-△); 8 μM (●-●); 16 μM (■-■); 32 μM (□-□); 42 μM (▲-▲); 48 μM (○-○); 62 μM (-*-*) of heparin. B, the reciprocal plot 1/V versus 1/[S] in the presence of different concentrations of heparin (0 — 62 μM). C, replots of slope$_{1/ES1}$ versus [heparin]. D, replot of 1/V-axis intercept versus [heparin]. The data of replots were taken from the reciprocal plot 1/V versus 1/[S].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080153.g002

Heparin Binding-Induced rCPB2.8 Conformational Change

The conformational change in rCPB2.8 induced by heparin binding was assessed by monitoring changes in intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence [60]. Fig 5A shows the changes in the rCPB2.8 fluorescence emission spectra as a function of heparin concentration, increasing the concentration of heparin resulted in a progressive decrease in fluorescence emission spectra of the enzyme with an isosmimissive point around 390 nm. The presence of an isosmimissive point must be observed if there are two emissive species, irrespective of the origin of the species or their kinetics [61]. In this case, the isosmimissive point is related to the presence of both forms of enzyme: free enzyme (E) and enzyme-heparin complex (EH). Therefore, the variation of 1.0 μM rCPB2.8 fluorescence in function of heparin concentration was fitted according to Eq. 11. The kinetic analysis shows that heparin bind
rCPB2.8 by a saturable bimolecular reaction with an apparent $K_d$ of 16\(\pm\)2 mM (Fig. 5A, insert).

The effect of heparin on rCPB2.8 conformation was also analyzed by CD spectroscopy. Fig 5B shows that the presence of 40 mM heparin causes a significant change in the spectral envelope of the rCPB2.8, leading to a positive increase of the ellipticity value at [\(\theta\)]222 nm, suggesting that heparin decreases the helicity of rCPB2.8. Indeed, Table 4 exhibits the secondary structure content of enzyme in the absence or in presence of 40 mM heparin concentration. The fractions of the different rCPB2.8 structural types, \(\alpha\), \(\beta\), and remainder (R), were computed from the CD spectra (197–260 nm) as described previously (38). The data show a large decrease of 27\% in the rCPB2.8 \(\alpha\)-helix content induced by heparin, whereas \(\beta\)-structure and remainder content increased. These changes are likely to reflect the enzyme-heparin interaction.

**Heparin Decreases the Rate of Inhibition of rCPB2.8 by its N-terminal Pro-Region**

rCPB2.8 and other lysosomal cysteine proteases are synthesized as inactive zymogens due to the presence of an N-terminal pro-region; this domain is a potent inhibitor of the cysteine proteases [62,63]. Cleavage and dissociation of the N-terminal propeptide domain with concomitant activation of the cysteine protease occur as the result of an autocatalytic processing under acidic conditions [64] or in the presence of glycosaminoglycans [65–67].

---

**Table 1.** Kinetic rate constants of hydrolysis of Z-FR-MCA by rCPB2.8 in absence or in the presence of 40 mM heparin.

| ENZYME                | Kinetic rate constants (298.15 K) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                       | $k_1$(mM\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\)) | $k_1$ (s\(^{-1}\)) | $k_2$ (s\(^{-1}\)) | $k_3$ (s\(^{-1}\)) | $K_S$ (mM) | $k_{cat}$ (s\(^{-1}\)) |
| rCPB2.8               | Control                           | 2654±168            | 1.58±0.03            | 2.69±0.03            | 20±2       | 1.6±0.2              | 2.4±0.1              |
|                       | 40 \(\mu\)M heparin              | 768±11              | 0.40±0.01            | 1.00±0.01            | 5.7±0.6    | 1.8±0.2              | 0.85±0.08            |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080153.t001
The ability of heparin to bind rCPB2.8 N-terminal pro-region was analyzed by measuring the changes in the intrinsic fluorescence of the pro-region as a function of heparin concentration (Fig. 6A). Heparin also promoted a concentration-dependent decrease on the intrinsic fluorescence emission spectra of the pro-region. Heparin interacts with N-terminal pro-region of rCPB2.8 with a dissociation constant of 1.5±0.1 μM.

Heparin binding Cardin-motifs (B-X-B-B-X or B-B-X-B-B-B, where B is a basic amino acid residue and X a hidropathic residue) on the N-terminal pro-region of human cathepsin L and of Schistosoma mansoni (Sm) procathepsin B mediate zymogen destabilization and its subsequence activation [66,67]. Also, GAGs facilitate human procathepsin B activation through disruption of proregion-mature enzyme interactions binding in the several positively charged residues in the propeptide [68]. Therefore, the low affinity of heparin binding to mature human cathepsin L.

The substrate Z-FR-MCA hydrolysis was performed in absence (N) and in presence (○--○) of 40 μM heparin at 35°C in universal buffer containing 25 mM glycine, 25 mM acetic acid, 25 mM Mes and 75 mM Tris, containing 20% glycerol and 5 mM DTT, the pH of buffers were adjusted using HCl or NaOH diluted solutions as described under "Methods." A, the pH activity profiles data were fitted according to Equation 10 by using non-linear regression software system. The associated table shows the values

| Table 2. Activation energies, entropies, enthalpies and Gibbss free energies of hydrolysis of Z-FR-MCA by rCPB2.8 in absence or in the presence of 40 μM heparin. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | Activation Energies (kJ.mol\(^{-1}\)) | Entropies (J.mol.K\(^{-1}\)) | Enthalpies (kJ.mol\(^{-1}\)) | Gibbss Free Energies (kJ.mol\(^{-1}\)) |
| rCPB2.8         | E\(_{1}\)       | E\(_{-1}\)        | \(\Delta S_1\) | \(\Delta S_{-1}\) | \(\Delta S_2\) | \(\Delta H_1\) | \(\Delta H_{-1}\) | \(\Delta H_2\) | \(\Delta G_1\) | \(\Delta G_{-1}\) | \(\Delta G_2\) |
| Control         | 62±5            | 144±12            | 53±4           | 47±3           | -309±19      | -75±3       | 59±1          | 142±5         | 51±2          | 45±4          | 234±15        | 73±6         |
| 40 μM heparin   | 34±3            | 113±10            | 51±4           | -84±6          | -452±25      | -83±4       | 32±2          | 112±6         | 49±2          | 57±5          | 247±17        | 74±7         |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080153.t002

Discussion

The presence of heparin in the rCPB2.8 kinetic assays results in a decreased \(V_{\text{max}}\) (\(k_{\text{cat}}\)) value for the hydrolysis of Z-FR-MCA without change the substrate dissociation constant (Fig. 2). Heparin inhibits rCPB2.8 endopeptidase activity upon the substrate Z-FR-MCA by an apparent linear intersecting non-competitive type inhibition fashion, where heparin and the fluorogenic substrate Z-FR-MCA bind reversible, randomly, and independently at different sites of the enzyme resulting in inactive ternary ESI complex (Figure 1). It was observed that heparin binds free rCPB2.8 (E) with a dissociation constant of \(K_{d} = 17±1\ \mu M\); and heparin binds the complex enzyme/substrate (ES) with the same dissociation constant value (\(\alpha =1.0\)). These data strongly suggest that heparin binding distorts the structure of rCPB2.8 sufficiently to prevent the catalysis of the ternary complex ESI.

Although the three-step mechanism for cysteine cathepsins-catalyzed hydrolysis of peptides is widely accepted [54–55], the individual rate constants that describe the steps have not been
determined in the studies of rCPB2.8’s inhibition. More, if $k_2$ contributes significantly to the $K_S = (k_2 + k_3)/k_1$ relationship, then an inhibitor that affects the $k_{cat} = k_2k_3/k_2 + k_3$ value may also affect the $K_S$ value. Consequently, the only way to get an accurate picture of rCPB2.8 inhibition by heparin is through the determination of the effects of heparin upon each individual rate constant $k_1$, $k_{-1}$, $k_2$ and $k_3$.

In order to determine the values for the kinetic constants $k_1$, $k_{-1}$, $k_2$ and $k_3$ of the Z-FR-MCA hydrolysis by rCPB2.8, we studied the effect of temperature dependence upon $k_{cat}$ and $k_{cat}/K_M$ for the enzyme inhibition (Fig. 3). As expected, heparin affected the acyl-

**Table 3.** $pK_{ES}$ and pH$_{opt}$ values obtained by the monitoring the hydrolysis of Z-FR-MCA by the enzyme rCPB2.8 in absence or in the presence of 40 $\mu$M heparin.

|            | Control | Heparin 40 $\mu$M |
|------------|---------|-------------------|
| $pK_{ES1}$ | 5.1±0.1 | 4.6±0.1           |
| $pK_{ES2}$ | 7.8±0.1 | 8.8±0.1           |
| $pK_{ES3}$ | 6.4±0.1 | 6.7±0.1           |

doip:10.1371/journal.pone.0080153.t003

**Figure 5.** Heparin binding-induced rCPB2.8 conformational change. A, the intrinsic fluorescence of tryptophan residues of the rCPB2.8 was monitored in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer containing 20% glycerol at 35°C by measuring the emission of fluorescence between at 300 — 450 nm after excitation at $\lambda_{ex} = 290$ nm in the absence or in the presence of different concentrations of heparin (0 — 167 $\mu$M). The insert in A shows the variation of the intrinsic fluorescence emission $\Delta F$ ($F - F_0$) as a function of heparin concentration. B, effects of heparin on rCPB2.8 circular dichroism spectra. About 2 $\mu$M rCPB2.8 were determined in 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 5.8 in the absence (●●●) or in the presence (□□□) of 40 $\mu$M heparin.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080153.g005

**Table 4.** Far UV (197 — 260 nm) CD spectra of rCPB2.8.

|            | $\alpha$-Helix % | $\beta$-Sheet % | Remaining % |
|------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| rCPB2.8 — pH 5.0 | 18±1             | 38±1            | 44±1        |
| rCPB2.8 + 100 $\mu$M Heparin | 13±1             | 43±1            | 44±1        |

doip:10.1371/journal.pone.0080153.t004

**Figure 6.** Effects of heparin on the $N$-terminal pro-region of rCPB2.8. A, the influence of heparin concentration upon $N$-terminal pro-region segment intrinsic fluorescence emission. The insert in A shows the variation of the intrinsic fluorescence emission $\Delta F$ ($F - F_0$) of the $N$-terminal pro-region as a function of heparin concentration (0 — 167 $\mu$M) as described under “Methods.” B, heparin prevents the inhibitory activity of $N$-terminal pro-region upon rCPB2.8. The remaining activity of the enzyme was plotted as a function of $N$-terminal pro-region concentration in the absence (○○○) or in the presence (●●●) of 40 $\mu$M heparin.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080153.g006
enzyme formation with pronounced decrease in $k_0$ (2.7-fold), and also decreased the rate of the hydrolysis of the acyl-enzyme complex $k_3$ 3.5-fold (Table 1). Interestingly, the magnitude of the effect of heparin on the substrate association rate constant step ($k_1$) was basically the same as the heparin-induced decrease the substrate dissociation constant step ($k_{-1}$) in both cases heparin decreased 3.5-fold its values (Table 1). In other words, the apparent dissociation constant of the substrate $K_S$ was the same in the absence (1.6±0.2 μM) or in the presence of heparin (1.8±0.2 μM). These data corroborate the previously results depicted in Fig. 2 which describe heparin as an apparent non-competitive inhibitor of the rCPB2.8.

The binding of heparin with rCPB2.8 was characterized by a large enthalpy-entropy energetic compensation (Table 2), the data proves that heparin decreases diffusion of Z-FR-MCA into the rCPB2.8 active site by 3.5-fold and that this effect is linked to significant conformational change that increases the energetic barrier for formation of the productive ES complex by $ΔG = 12$ kJ/mol. As expected, heparin also increases the energetic barrier for the substrate dissociation from the ES complex by decreasing 4.0-fold the dissociation rate $k_{-1}$. In the presence of heparin the energetic cost ($ΔG_{-1} = ΔG_0$) is also increased 13 kJ/mol. Both large values of $ΔG$ of the association and dissociation steps indicate substantial structural strains linked to the formation/dissociation of the ES complex in the presence of heparin, which underscore a conformational change that prevents the diffusion of substrate in the rCPB2.8 active site.

The binding of heparin to rCPB2.8 also perturbs its intrinsic fluorescence. The binding of heparin induced a saturable suppression upon the intrinsic fluorescence emission spectra of the enzyme (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, the estimated affinity of heparin for rCPB2.8 measured by intrinsic fluorescence assays ($K_I = 16±2$ μM) was very similar to the values of $K_I$ found for the inhibition of its endopeptidase activity ($K_I = 17±1$ μM). These results indicate that heparin binding is perturbing the rCPB2.8 structure in a similar manner.

The quenching in the intrinsic fluorescence emission spectra of the enzyme indicates that the buried tryptophan residues became exposed to a more polar environment in the presence of heparin [60]. Because rCPB2.8 contains numerous tryptophan residues, the change in fluorescence could not be ascribed to specific residues. It is important to note that rCPB2.8 has 8 tryptophan residues in its composition [22], and that heparin binding produced a decrease of 25% in the intrinsic fluorescence of tryptophan residues present in it. Interestingly, the docking analysis show that the interaction of heparin with Trp$^{177}$ at subsite S$_1$’ and with Trp$^{499}$ residues at subsite S$_2$’ of the rCPB2.8 active site suppresses the intrinsic fluorescence of rCPB2.8. The present data are consistent with a conformational change induced by heparin binding that affects both enzyme activity and the intrinsic fluorescence of tryptophan residues.

Binding to heparin also significantly decreases the $α$-helix content of rCPB2.8. This binding was marked by significant changes in the shape and position of the CD spectral envelope (Fig. 5B). Table 4 shows that heparin decreases the helical content of rCPB2.8 by decreasing the number of amino acids residues in the helical conformation; and this effect seems to be related to the increase of the β-sheet content. These data strongly suggest that the conformational change induced by heparin binding leads to a decrease in the catalysis of the rCPB2.8 for the substrate Z-FR-MCA.

The presence of heparin disturbed the pH activity profile of the enzyme (Fig. 4), in the presence of heparin the value of the pH$_{S_{ES1}}$ was shifted from 5.1 to 4.6, and the pK$_{S_{ES1}}$ was increased from 7.0 to 8.8 (Table 3). For members of the papain family, the ionic thiolate-imidazole (Cys$^{69}-S-$ by (His$^{159}$)-Im+H interaction of the active site is predicted to result in low nucleophilic reactivity of the ion pair S atom as in the case for an un-ionized thiol group. It has been suggested that the release of nucleophilic reactivity in Cys$^{19}$ requires decrease in the solvation of (Cys$^{19}$)-S$^-$ by (His$^{159}$)-Im+H occasioned by movement of the cleft around Trp$^{177}$ (papain numbering). This Trp$^{177}$ movement results in His$^{159}$ being exposed to solvent with consequent decrease in its solvation of the thiolate anion of Cys$^{23}$. More, the Trp$^{177}$ movement is correlated with the interruption of Trp$^{177}$-Gln$^{19}$ hydrogen bond across pK$_4$; the rupture of the hydrogen bond between Trp$^{177}$-Gln$^{19}$ seems to be essential to establish the oxyanion hole in binding the developing tetrahedral species during the acylation process [58,59]. In rCPB2.8, the function of Trp$^{177}$ residue of papain in catalysis is assumed by Trp$^{185}$ [29].

Recently, it has been demonstrated that heparin and several other GAGs can increase the rate of pro-cysteine cathepsins maturation by disrupting the interactions between its N-terminal pro-region and mature enzymes [22,65,68]. Indeed, our results clearly show that heparin interacts with the N-terminal pro-region of rCPB2.8 showing dissociation constant of 1.5±0.1 μM, a value that is about 10-fold lower than its dissociation constant for mature rCPB2.8 ($K_I = 16±2$ μM). The results strongly suggest that heparin interacts preferentially with the inactive pro-enzyme rather than its mature form. It is also important to mention that the interaction of heparin with the N-terminal pro-region of rCPB2.8 significantly decreased its inhibitory activity against the mature enzyme (Fig. 6B). Despite of the exact molecular mechanism for rCPB2.8zymogen activation is unknown yet, the procathepsin B activation shows that this mechanism involves unimolecular conformational change followed by a bimolecular proteolytic removal of the propeptide, which can be accomplished in one or more steps. This activation is also facilitated by glycosaminoglycans or by binding to negatively charged surfaces [65].

Taken together, the present results suggest that heparin, at low concentrations (below 2 μM), can both weaken the effectiveness of the N-terminal pro-region inhibitor and potentiate the conversion of pro-enzyme into mature CPB form and, conversely, higher concentrations of heparin (above 20 μM) can inhibit this conversion. The large amounts of proteoglycans and free chains of GAG present in the extracellular matrix can overcome the high rate of conversion pro-enzyme into mature forms of CPB2.8, thereby decreasing the amount of the active enzyme present.

Thus the results suggest that GAGs from the ECM of host cells may be important binding sites for the parasite cysteine proteases. In the ECM, GAGs are covalently bound to core proteins, forming a dense network of fixed negative charges available for interaction with CPB enzymes released extracellularly by Leishmania during its process of infection. It has been shown that Leishmania can secrete cysteine protease enzymes (CPB) to the ECM of host cells; this process is related to parasite infection by proteolysis of fibronectin from host ECM [37]. Also, it is well known that during ECM remodeling or pathological degradation mediated by several proteolytic enzymes, GAG chains are released following hydrolysis of core proteins [69,70].

GAG chains released from ECM proteoglycans by the action of proteolytic enzymes during parasite infection and inflammatory response may contribute to the regulation of CPB enzymes by themselves and in association with inhibitors such
as its N-terminal pro-region. So, depending on their concentration, GAG can either stimulate or antagonize the activity of CPB enzymes during parasite infection. Similar GAG control has also been described for other classes of proteolytic enzymes [71].
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