Introduction

Hematopoiesis is a continuous process of blood production that begins with the self-renewal and differentiation of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs). HSCs are mainly in a quiescent state; only small amounts of HSCs enter the cell cycle to proliferate and differentiate into mature blood cells. During this process, many regulators control the balance between cycling and quiescence as well as between proliferation and differentiation. When this balance is broken, hematological diseases result. One example of this is leukemia, whereby leukemic cells become transformed, gaining the ability to proliferate but losing the ability to effectively differentiate. The molecular regulators of this balancing act are the subject of intense study in the field of hematopoiesis.

The cell cycle is controlled by cyclins, cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) and CDK inhibitors. Cyclin D is the first cyclin expressed in the cell cycle after stimulation by mitogenic signals. The complex of cyclin D and CDK 4/6 stimulates cells to enter the cell cycle and complete the G1/S phase transition. Once a cell passes this checkpoint, the cell can go through the whole cell cycle and division irrevocably. Cyclin E is the other cyclin involved in the G1/S phase transition. However, constitutive expression of cyclin E2 cannot bypass arrest at the G1 phase induced by cyclin D1 degradation. This indicates that cyclin D is the most important cyclin in the cell cycle. The dominant isoform, cyclin D3, is uniquely required for the proliferation of HSCs and is regulated mainly at the transcriptional level in these cells. Transcription factors bind to the promoter of cyclin D3, regulating the expression of the cyclin...
D3 gene. Both positive and negative regulators could theoretically be involved in this regulation\(^6\). However, most studies have focused on the positive regulators, with characterization of negative regulators seldom reported in the literature.

The transcription factor c-Ets1 is a member of the Ets family of transcription factors, commonly involved in the regulation of development, differentiation and proliferation\(^7\). c-Ets1 is expressed in hematopoietic cells and contributes to their cellular differentiation. It plays an essential role in lymphoid cell differentiation and also promotes megakaryocytic and lymphoid expression in hematopoietic cells. We found a negative regulatory region in the promoter of cyclin D3 and a c-Ets1-binding motif in this region. As previously discussed, c-Ets1 may play a negative role in the expression of cyclin D3.

Umbilical cord HSCs possess common characteristics of human HSCs and have the advantages of being easily procured with a low risk to donors. For these reasons, these cells have become an alternative source of HSCs for experimental and clinical studies\(^13\). In these experiments, umbilical cord HSCs were utilized to explore the underlying mechanism in the regulation of cyclin D3 by c-Ets1.

## Materials and methods

### Cell culture

Human CD34+ cells were obtained from umbilical cord blood of healthy donors. CD34+ cells were isolated using Midi-MACS technology (Miltenyi Biotec, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 50 mL of umbilical cord blood was treated with Ficoll-Paque™ PLUS to isolate mononuclear cells. To prevent coagulation, 0.6% ACD-A was added. CD34+ cells were purified twice with the Midi-MACS. The purified cells were seeded at 1×10⁶ cells/mL in 24-well plates and maintained in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM) supplemented with 25% fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin (100 IU/mL), stem cell factor (SCF) (100 ng/mL), FLt3-L (100 ng/mL), IL-3 (50 ng/mL), IL-6 (50 ng/mL) and thrombopoietin (TPO) (2 U/mL) in a humidified atmosphere at 5% CO₂ and 37 °C. The medium was refreshed on the third day and cells were harvested on the sixth day. The harvested cells were utilized for all subsequent experiments. After harvesting, cells were stained with mouse anti-CD34-FITC antibodies, with mouse IgG₁ (BD Biosciences, USA) used as a negative control. Cells were incubated at 4°C in the dark for 30 min, washed with PBS, fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde and subsequently analyzed by flow cytometry (Becton Dickinson, USA) to measure the proportion of CD34+ cells present. The results were obtained from three independent samples.

### Plasmid constructs

To generate the cyclin D3 promoter deletion constructs, the restriction endonuclease sites of the full-length sequence of human cyclin D3 promoter were predicted by Webcutter 2.0 software. Using human blood lymphocyte genomic DNA as a template, cyclin D3 promoter deletions of different lengths were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The primers utilized were as follows: sense primers (containing Kpn I sites) were:

- PA1 (Kpn I : -1042): 5’-CGG GGT ACC ggg agg tgt aag aac tgc-3’
- PA2 (Kpn I : -924): 5’-CGG GGT ACC ggg ctt gtc caa acc tag-3’
- PA3 (Kpn I : -764): 5’-CGG GGT ACC gag agt ctg cat ttc taa cc-3’
- PA4 (Kpn I : -686): 5’-CGG GGT ACC ggt gta ga gac aga atc tg-3’
- PA5 (Kpn I : -549): 5’-CGG GGT ACC gca ggc ttc act aag aac-3’
- PA6 (Kpn I : -436): 5’-CGG GGT ACC att gtt tcc tgg gtt act ag-3’
- PA7 (Kpn I : -380): 5’-CGG GGT ACC aag ttc tct gca ccc ggt gg-3’
- PA8 (Kpn I : -262): 5’-CGG GGT ACC gct cag cca acc ctt tcc-3’
- PA9 (Kpn I : -195): 5’-CGG GGT ACC att cca cgg ttc ata cat c-3’

and the antisense primer (containing Smal I sites) was PB (+ 2): 5’-TCC CCC GGT ata ctc ggc cag cga aca-3’.

PCR conditions (Table 1) varied according to expected product lengths. PCR fragments were inserted into pGEM-T Easy vectors (Promega, USA) between the Kpn I and Bam I sites. Subcloning of the amplified fragments into the luciferase expression vector pGL3-Basic (Promega, USA) was performed via Kpn I and Bgl II sites (Bam I and Bgl II sites are compatible). The constructs were designated as pD3-Δ, where Δ refers to the number of base pairs in the upstream 5’-flanking sequence with respect to the start codon of cyclin D3.

### Table 1. PCR conditions for application of various promoter deletion fragments.

| Promoter sequence | Denaturation | Anneal | Extension | Cycles |
|-------------------|--------------|--------|-----------|--------|
| pA1B, pA2B       | 94°C 1 min   | 55°C   | 72°C 2 min| 30     |
| pA3B             | the first cycle 5 min | 1 min | the last cycle 8 min | 30 |
| pA4B, pA5B       | 94°C 1 min   | 56°C   | 72°C 2 min| 30     |
| pA6B             | the first cycle 5 min | 1 min | the last cycle 8 min | 30 |
| pA7B, pA8B       | 94°C 1 min   | 56°C   | 72°C 1 min| 30     |
| pA9B             | the first cycle 5 min | 45 s  | the last cycle 8 min |       |

pA1B is the full-length promoter. Kpn I and Smal I sites are respectively included in sense and anti-sense primers.

### Liposomal transient transfection and luciferase assay

The harvested cells (5×10⁶ cells/mL) were transiently transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were plated in 24-well plates in IMDM with 15% FBS and transfected using...
0.8 μg of the luciferase reporter plasmid (pD3-Δ or pD3-Basic vector), with 0.1 ng of pRL-CMV as an internal control in each well. The cells were harvested 36 h later, and lysates were assayed by dual luciferase reporter system (Promega, USA) on a SIRIUS Luminometer V3.1 (Berthold, Germany) to determine the promoter activity. The negative regulatory domain was identified based on the results from five independent experiments.

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)**

C-Ets1 was selected as a candidate transcription factor that might bind the negative regulatory domain of cyclin D3 with the assistance of TFSEARCH 1.3v software[14]. Nuclear extracts were prepared to determine the expression of C-Ets1 in CD34+ cells using an EMSA kit (Panomics, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The DNA sequence of the C-Ets1 probe was 5'-GGA GGA GGG CTG CTT GAG GAA GTA TAA GAA T-3'. Nuclear extracts (15 μg) were co-incubated with biotin-labeled specific DNA probes and C-Ets1 antibodies (Santa Cruz, CA), subjected to 6% SDS-PAGE and transferred to membranes. Bands were visualized with ECL (Amersham, UK).

**Point mutant constructs**

The core-binding motif of C-Ets1 is GAAG (CTTC on the antisense chain). To confirm that C-Ets1 could bind to the CTTC motif present in the cyclin D3 promoter, a point mutant construct was generated by PCR with primers P1 (5'-GGG AAG CTT TTT GCA AAA GCC TAG GCC TCC-3') and P2 (5'-TTT GGT ACC ATT GTT CTT CGG GTG ACT AGA GTC CGA TTT TTT GCA AAA GCC TAG GCC TCC-3'). Nuclear extracts (15 μg) were co-incubated with biotin-labeled specific DNA probes and C-Ets1 antibodies (Santa Cruz, CA), subjected to 6% SDS-PAGE and transferred to membranes. Bands were visualized with ECL (Amersham, UK).

**Western blot**

CD34+ cells were harvested 36 h after being transfected with either pSG5-Ets1 or pSG5 vectors. The pSG5-Ets1 expression vector was kindly provided by Prof J CHEN of Tzu Chi University, Taiwan, China. Total protein was extracted, subjected to SDS-PAGE, transferred to the membrane and successively incubated with cyclin D3 and β-actin (internal control) antibodies followed by corresponding secondary antibodies. Cyclin D3 and β-actin bands were visualized with ECL.

**RT-PCR**

CD34+ cells were harvested 36 h after transfection with either pSG5-Ets1 or pSG5 vectors. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was employed to examine mRNA expression. The primers 5'-GAG GGG GAA TAG TCT AGA TG-3' (sense) and 5'-TAC AGT AGG ATG GT CCT-3' (antisense) were utilized for the cyclin D3 gene (515 bp). The PCR conditions were as follows: 94°C for 5 min (first cycle), 28 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 56°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 8 min. For GAPDH (340 bp), the primers 5’-CCT TGC CTC TCA GAC AAT GC-3' (sense) and 5’-CCA CGA CAT ACT CAG CAC-3' (antisense) were used under the same PCR conditions. An aliquot of each reaction mixture was analyzed by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel, and the band density was determined.

**Methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT) assay**

CD34+ cells were separated into two groups and transfected with either pSG5-Ets1 or pSG5 vectors. The proliferation of these cells was determined by MTT (Sigma, USA) assay. The transfected cells were seeded into 96-well plates (5×10^3 cells/well) and incubated for 36 h in triplicate. Fifty microliters of MTT solution (1 mg/mL) was added to each well for 4 h at 37°C. Subsequently, 100 μL of DMSO (Sigma, USA) was added to each well for 10 min at 37°C. Optical density (OD) values of each well were measured in a microplate reader at 490 nm (A_{490}). The experiment was repeated three times.

**Statistical analysis**

Results are presented as the mean±standard deviation (SD). Student’s t-test for paired data was used to determine significant differences between the two groups. Differences were considered significant when the P value was less than 0.05.

**Results**

**Isolation and confirmation of CD34+ cells**

Purification of 85.06±3.02% CD34+ cells was achieved from umbilical cord blood after two rounds of purification with Midi-MACS (Figure 1A). Additionally, 60.0±4.31% of cells were CD34+ after 6 d of culture and proliferation (Figure 1B).

**Construction of promoter deletion plasmids for cyclin D3**

Nine cyclin D3 promoter deletion fragments were generated by PCR and inserted into the pGL3-Basic vector (pD3-Δ) that contained the luciferase reporter gene. The results of restriction enzyme digestion patterns and nucleic acid sequencing confirmed the contents of the constructs.

**Promoter activity in CD34+ cells**

The CD34+ cells exhibited various levels of luciferase activity when transiently transfected with either pD3-Δ or pD3-Basic vectors. The relative activity of the promoter was defined as the ratio of the luciferase activity value of promoter deletion constructs (pD3-Δ) to that of the complete promoter sequence (pD3-1044) (Figure 2). According to our results, the promoter activity of pD3-362 was greatly enhanced compared with that of pD3-439 (P<0.01), which indicated that a negative regulatory sequence was located between -439 bp and -362 bp.
c-Ets1 is a candidate to negatively regulate cyclin D3 transcription

Based on the results above, we utilized TFSEARCH 1.3 to search for transcription factor binding sites over the regulatory region between -439 bp and -362 bp. We found binding sites for USF, c-Ets, HNF-3b, N-Myc and Lyf-1. Excluding putative transcriptional activators and those not involved in hematopoiesis, we speculated that c-Ets1, which was widely expressed in hematopoietic cells, was a good candidate involved in the negative regulation of cyclin D3\(^{[5–11]}\). To test this hypothesis, we performed EMSA and super shift assays to determine the nuclear expression of c-Ets1 in CD34\(^+\) cells. As shown in Figure 3A, c-Ets1 was detected with specific oligonucleotide probes and antibodies, confirming that c-Ets1 was expressed in the nuclei of CD34\(^+\) cells.

c-Ets1 binds to an AGGA core sequence in the regulatory domain of cyclin D3

We generated the point mutant pD3-439m to further analyze the binding sites of c-Ets1 to the negative regulatory domain of the cyclin D3 promoter. The dual luciferase assay indicated that pD3-439m transfected cells had increased relative promoter activity (0.58±0.06) compared with those transfected with wild-type pD3-439 (0.36±0.09), with a \(P\) value less than 0.05. We speculate that c-Ets1 binds to the AGGA core sequence on the negative regulatory region.

c-Ets1 expression suppresses the activity of the cyclin D3 promoter

To further confirm the negative effects of c-Ets1 on cyclin D3 expression, CD34\(^+\) cells were transfected with the c-Ets1 expression plasmid pSG5-Ets1 or the empty vector pSG5. Western blot results confirmed that c-Ets1 was overexpressed in CD34\(^+\) cells after transfection (Figure 3B). Furthermore, we co-transfected the CD34\(^+\) cells with pSG5-Ets1 (or pSG5) and the promoter deletion constructs pD3-1044, pD3-926, pD3-439, pD3-362, pD3-263 or pGL3-Basic. Luciferase assays indicated that c-Ets1 significantly inhibited the activity of pD3-1044, pD3-439 and pD3-362 transfected cells (Figure 3C) \((P<0.05)\), which confirmed that c-Ets1 was negatively regulating the cyclin D3 promoter.

c-Ets1 suppresses cyclin D3 expression in CD34\(^+\) cells

Finally, we investigated the effects of c-Ets1 on the expression of cyclin D3 in CD34\(^+\) cells. The expression of both cyclin D3 mRNA (Figure 4A) and protein levels (Figure 4B) was downregulated by overexpressing c-Ets1.

c-Ets1 inhibits the proliferation of CD34\(^+\) cells

Compared with the pSG5 vector group (1.623±0.086), the OD value of the pSG5-Ets1 group (1.336±0.107) was lower \((P<0.05)\). The inhibition rate was 17.76%±2.24%. These data showed that c-Ets1 could inhibit the proliferation of CD34\(^+\) cells.
Discussion

The initiation of cell cycle progression is crucial to the differentiation and proliferation of HSCs. Especially important is the G1 phase, as extracellular signals during this interval may signal cells to commit to progression to the next phase. Cyclin D proteins, which are expressed before cyclin E at the initial stage of the cell cycle, are essential G1-phase regulators. With the stimulation of mitogenic signals (such as growth factors), HSCs synthesize cyclin D proteins, which form a complex with CDK4 and CDK6, leading to the phosphorylation of Rb protein and the successive activation of downstream genes. In this way, cells pass the G1/S checkpoint and enter the S phase. Once this checkpoint is overridden, cells can progress through the whole cell cycle, even in the absence of growth factors [2, 12].

At present, three isoforms of cyclin D have been identified that display tissue-specific expression patterns. Cyclin D3 is a dominant regulator in HSCs and is regulated mainly at the transcriptional level [3, 5]. Transcription factors bind to the promoter region of cyclin D3 and regulate the activity of promoters and, thus, the transcription of the target gene. Both positive and negative regulatory elements are involved in the modulation of cyclin D3 transcription [6]. To date, most studies concerning cyclin D3 transcription have focused on the positive regulators, with few reports discussing negative regulators.

To explore the mechanism underlying cyclin D3 transcription regulation, we cloned promoter deletion sequences, transfecting them into CD34+ cells after short-term expansion. The CD34 antigen is a generally accepted marker of human HSCs and early progenitor cells. The amount of CD34+ cells after short term expansion was more than 60%, indicating that more than half of the expanded cells retained their stem and progenitor cell characteristics. Furthermore, most of the expanded cells were in the cell cycle and could be transfected easily. As a result, we discovered a negative regulatory region between -439 bp and -361 bp in the promoter of cyclin D3. Further analysis indicated that binding sites of many transcription factors such as USF, c-Ets1, HNF-3b, N-Myc and Lyf-1 were also present in this region. USF is a positive transcription factor and can up-regulate the activity of various promoters [15]. Additionally, HNF-3b is expressed mainly in liver and is responsible for the modulation of genes involved in glucose and lipid metabolism during hepatocyte differentiation [16]. Some laboratories have demonstrated that N-Myc is a positive transcriptional regulator and involved in carcinogenesis, especially in the nervous system [17]. Lyf-1 is expressed in lymphoid cells and involved in the transcription of some lymphoid-specific genes [18]. The transcription factor c-Ets1 is expressed in HSCs and the megakaryocytic, myeloid and lymphoid lineages, where it plays a crucial role in differentiation and proliferation [8–12]. Based on all this evidence, we speculated that c-Ets1 was probably a negative transcription factor.
for cyclin D3 transcription.

Utilizing EMSA and super shift assays, we found that c-Ets1 was expressed in the nucleus of CD34+ cells and that it bound to the negative regulatory domain of the cyclin D3 promoter. Transient expression of c-Ets1 in CD34+ cells suppressed promoter activity, decreased the mRNA and protein level of cyclin D3, and inhibited the proliferation of CD34+ cells. Our results indicate that c-Ets1 can repress cyclin D3 promoter activity and is thus a negative regulator of cyclin D3.

The Ets protein family is one of the largest signal-dependent transcription factor families. Ets1, present on human chromosome 11q23 and encoding a 54 kDa protein, is a widely expressed transcription factor implicated in cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis, but its role varies depending on cell type and differentiation stage[7–12]. Our results demonstrate that c-Ets1 is expressed in CD34+ cells and specifically binds to the cyclin D3 promoter. Furthermore, c-Ets1 inhibits cyclin D3 activity, consequently decreasing its transcription and expression. Because cyclin D3 plays a key role in modulating the proliferation of CD34+ cells, c-Ets1 is a potential target for inhibiting the growth of CD34+ cells. Our results help in clarifying the mechanism underlying hematopoietic diseases, providing possible targets for clinical treatments.
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