Effect of Peak Current and Pulse On Time on the Coating Layer Thickness using Electrical Discharge Coating
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1. Introduction

Surface modification or also known as surface treatment is not a new process in the industry. It is widely used in the engineering field and biomedical, automotive, and aerospace [1]. The main function of surface modification is to change and enhance the material's chemical, mechanical, and physical properties. According to Oshida [2], by going through the surface modification process, the material can improve the wear resistance and resistance again degradation, biocompatibility, and surface wettability. This statement is also supported by Shibe and Chawla [3]. There are a few different techniques that can be used in surface modification. For instance, are electrical discharge machining (EDM), thermal spraying, physical vapor deposition (PVD), chemical vapor deposition (CVD), electroplating, laser coating, electron-beam irradiation, and sputtering [4].

Surface modification of workpiece by material transfer during the EDM process is known as electrical discharge coating (EDC). It is also known as a reversed method of EDM. EDC is a recent...
technology where the polarity is reversed. The anode is connected to the electrode, and the cathode is connected to the workpiece [5]. In the EDM process, the material is removed from the workpiece, while in EDC, the electrode material is deposited on the workpiece surface with a high current electric pulse and dielectric fluid [6]. Watane and Shirbhate [7] mentioned that EDC is one of the evolving coating processes due to its comfort, usability, simplicity, reliability, and cost-effectiveness. There are many ways to perform surface modification in EDC, such as EDC with powder metallurgical (PM) electrodes, EDC with multi-layer electrode, EDC with powder suspension, and dry EDC [8].

According to Kumari [9], the surface properties such as surface hardness, wear resistance, oxidation, and corrosion resistance will be enhanced after the EDC process. A study by Liew et al. [10] revealed that, with the addition of tungsten (W) powder, the micro-hardness of the aluminum workpiece showed an increment of about 104.86%. Tijo and Masanta [11] stated that the decomposed carbon contained in the dielectric fluid will react with the powder particle added to the fluid. This reaction produced a metallic carbide that was deposited on the workpiece surface.

Panda and Kumar [12] proved that the usage of manganese as a powder addition could improve the hardness of die steel, and this method can be applied on dies and press tools to keep it long-lasting. From the experiment conducted by Kumar and Batra [13], tungsten powder was used as an additive material to modify the surface of three types of die steel material. From the microhardness test conducted after the surface modification process, it was observed that 3.25% of tungsten was deposited in the H13 die steel machined surface using the EDC technique.

In Algodi et al. [14] research, the EDC technique was used to modify the surface of 304 stainless steel by using a PM titanium carbide (TiC) tool electrode. The result shows an improvement in the mechanical performance of the workpiece where the surface hardness had increased after going through the EDC process. This condition depended on the peak current, pulse on time, and the deposited material’s content [15]. According to Janmanee and Muttamara [16], the micro-cracks that occurred on EDMed surfaces were reduced. The workpiece’s surface hardness was improved when the titanium powder was added to the machining gap.

In previous research, many types of powders have been used, such as aluminium, graphite, silicon, chromium, copper, etc. However, the study of tungsten powder as an additive in the surface modification of aluminum is still scarce. Therefore, in this study, tungsten powder was added to the dielectric fluid. The effects of different peak current (Ip) and pulse on time (Ton) on the coating layer thickness were investigated experimentally using the EDC process.

2. Experimental Methods
2.1 Equipment and Materials

A die sinking machine model Sodick AQ35L was used to conduct the EDC experiment. This die sinker machine consists of 3-axis linear motor drive system with a quick response and vibration-free servo system. Aluminum 6061 with the dimension of 30 mm x 50 mm x 15 mm was used as workpiece material. A copper rod with a 6mm diameter was selected as a tool electrode in this research. Before the tool electrode was used for the experiment, the electrode tip was dressed using 320 grit sand paper with 300 rpm to get a flat base surface.

2.2 Preparation of Mixture

Tungsten powder with a purity of 99.5% was used as an additive in this study. Figure 1 shows the scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrograph of tungsten powder. Before the EDC experiment, the tungsten powder, surfactant span 83, and kerosene oil were mixed together by sonication using
a Labsonic ultrasonic homogenizer type P series. The mixing was performed at an amplitude of 50% with an interval of 0.5.

Fig. 1. SEM micrograph of tungsten powder

2.3 EDC Conditions

During the EDC experiment, the polarity was reversed. The aluminum 6061 workpiece was connected to the cathode, and the copper electrode was attached to the anode. The flushing system was used to circulate the dielectric fluid with the addition of tungsten particles. The duration for each test was set to 30 minutes. Peak current and pulse on time were varied while the other parameters such as voltage, pulse off time, and machining time were kept constant. Table 1 shows the experimental conditions for the process.

| Table 1 | Experiment conditions |
|---------|-----------------------|
| Parameters | Conditions |
| Workpiece material | Aluminum 6061 |
| Tool electrode | Copper |
| Dielectric fluid | Kerosene oil |
| Powder materials | Tungsten |
| Polarity | Aluminum 6061 Workpiece - Negative |
| | Copper Electrode - Positive |
| Surfactant | Span 83 |
| Voltage (V) | 40 |
| Current (A) | 3 and 4 |
| Pulse on time (µs) | 150, 200 and 250 |
| Pulse off time (µs) | 20 |
| Machining time (minutes) | 30 |

2.4 Measurement and Analysis

The deposited layer thickness was measured by using SEM model Zeiss EVO 50. Ten measurements of coating layer thickness were taken at different spots to ensure data accuracy. Before the measurement, the sample was cut in half using Miracut 151 Low-Speed Precision Cut Off Machine and then cleaned by an ultrasonic cleaning machine with ethanol. The cross-section was ground using 600, 800 and 1200 grit sandpaper to remove the burr. The polishing process was performed by a 1.0 µm diamat polycrystalline diamond suspension followed by a 0.05 µm nanopolish alumina suspension manufactured by PACE Technologies Corporation.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Coating Layer Thickness

The thickness of the coating layer on the aluminum 6061 workpiece was investigated after the EDC process. Figure 2 shows the SEM image of the sample with the magnification of 2000X at the cross-section area. From Figure 2, it can be seen that a thin layer was coated on the aluminum 6061 machined surface with different thicknesses. According to Liew et al. [10], the coated layer mainly consists of five elements, which were carbon (C), aluminum (Al), tungsten (W), oxygen (O), and copper (Cu). The coated layer becomes thicker as the peak current and pulse on-time increase. The thinnest coating layer was obtained at a peak current of 3A and a pulse on-time of 150 µs. In contrast, the thickest coating layer was obtained using 4A of peak current with a pulse on-time of 250 µs. This trend is in line with the findings of Algodi et al. [14].

Fig. 2. SEM image of coating layer of tungsten powder deposited on aluminium 6061 workpiece with condition (a) $I_p=3A$, $T_{ON}=150 \mu s$ (b) $I_p=3A$, $T_{ON}=200 \mu s$ (c) $I_p=3A$, $T_{ON}=250 \mu s$ (d) $I_p=4A$, $T_{ON}=150 \mu s$ (e) $I_p=4A$, $T_{ON}=200 \mu s$ (f) $I_p=4A$, $T_{ON}=250 \mu s$
3.2 Effect of Peak Current and Pulse On Time on the Coating Layer Thickness

The effect of peak current and pulse on time on the coating layer thickness is shown in Figure 3. It is clearly seen that the average thickness of the coating layer increases when the value of peak current increases from 3A to 4A under different pulses on time. The thinnest average value of 6.724 µm was observed at 3A, and the thickest average value of 17.239 µm was observed at parameter 4A. Generally, peak current was the most influencing factor and helped initiate spark between the electrode and workpiece [17]. According to Tyagi et al. [18], when a high peak current was used, more material was melted in the plasma channel by the stronger spark produced during the EDC process. Therefore, a higher amount of tungsten powders will be deposited on the aluminum 6061 surfaces, leading to a thicker coating layer.

Besides that, it can be seen that also the coating layer thickness increased significantly when a higher pulse on time was used. These results agree with those obtained by Chakraborty et al. [5] and Elaiyarasan et al. [19]. At a low pulse on-time value, the material deposition was lower due to the smaller plasma channel diameter. In this situation, only a small particle volume was present in the spark gap, resulting in a limited volume of material that melted and deposited on the workpiece. However, at a high pulse on-time value, the plasma channel expanded, and the volume of melted material will be higher, leading to a thicker coating layer.

![Figure 3. Effect of peak current on the coating layer thickness](image)

4. Conclusions

This research conducted surface modification of aluminum 6061 using the EDC process with tungsten powder as a powder additive. Peak current and pulse on time were varied, and their effects on the coating layer thickness were investigated. The important findings are concluded, as below:

i. When the value of peak current and pulse on-time increase, the coating layer thickness also increases.

ii. The thinnest coating layer was observed at \(I_p=3A\) and \(T_{on}=150\ \mu s\) with the average thickness of 6.724 µm. In comparison, the thickest coating layer was observed at parameter \(I_p=4A\) and \(T_{ON}=250\ \mu s\) with the average coating layer thickness of 17.239 µm.
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