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Abstract. Félix, Halperin, and Lemaire have shown that the rational module category $\text{Mcat}$ and the rational Toomer invariant $e_0$ coincide for simply connected Poincaré duality complexes. We establish an analogue of this result for the sectional category of a fibration.

1. Introduction

The sectional category (or genus) of a fibration $p: E \to X$, $\text{secat}(p)$, is the least integer $n$ such that $X$ can be covered by $n + 1$ open sets on each of which $p$ admits a continuous local section. This invariant, which has been introduced by Schwarz in [12], is a generalization of the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of a space: if $X$ is a path-connected pointed space, then the L.-S. category of $X$, $\text{cat}(X)$, is precisely the sectional category of the path fibration $e_{v_1}: PX \to X$, $\lambda \to \lambda(1)$ where $PX$ is the space of paths beginning in the base point. Another important special case of sectional category is the topological complexity of a space, introduced by Farber [3] in order to give a topological measure of the complexity of motion planning problems in robotics. The topological complexity of a space $X$, $\text{TC}(X)$, is the sectional category of the fibration $e_{v_{0,1}}: X^{[0,1]} \to X \times X$, $\lambda \to (\lambda(0), \lambda(1))$.

L.-S. category has been extensively studied in the framework of rational homotopy theory (see [8, Part V], [2, Chapter 5]). It has been established, in particular, that the rational L.-S. category of a simply connected Poincaré duality complex $X$, $\text{cat}_0(X)$, coincides with the rational Toomer invariant of $X$, $e_0(X)$. This result actually follows from two independent results: first, Hess’ theorem [10] that, for any simply connected CW complex of finite type, the rational category coincides with the invariant $\text{Mcat}$, and second, the theorem by Félix, Halperin, and Lemaire [6] that, for any simply connected Poincaré duality complex $X$, one has $\text{Mcat}(X) = e_0(X)$. The goal of this paper is to extend the result of Félix, Halperin, and Lemaire to sectional category. More precisely, considering the generalizations,
respectively denoted by Msecat and Hsecat, of the invariants Mcat and e₀ to fibrations (see Section 2.3), we establish in Section 3 the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( p : E \rightarrow X \) be a fibration such that \( H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \) is a Poincaré duality algebra. Then \( \text{Msecat}(p) = \text{Hsecat}(p) \).

In Section 4 we apply this result to Farber’s topological complexity.

All spaces we consider in this article are compactly generated Hausdorff spaces, and fibrations are understood to be surjective. Throughout this paper we work over the field \( \mathbb{Q} \) of rational numbers. All graded vector spaces we consider are \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded with upper degree, and all differential vector spaces are cochain complexes, i.e., the differential raises the upper degree by one. Our general reference for notions and results from rational homotopy theory is [8].

2. **Sectional category, Msecat, and Hsecat**

2.1. **Fundamental results on sectional category.** We recall here two fundamental results on sectional category due to Schwarz [12].

Let \( p : E \rightarrow X \) be a fibration, and let \( p^* : H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(E) \) be the morphism induced by \( p \) in cohomology. Then, considering the nilpotency of the ideal \( \ker p^* \), that is, the least integer \( n \) such that any \( (n+1) \)-fold cup product in \( \ker p^* \) is trivial, we have

**Theorem 2.1.** \( \text{nil} \ker p^* \leq \text{secat}(p) \leq \text{cat}(X) \).

Another fundamental result is the very useful characterization of sectional category in terms of joins.

**Definition 2.2.** The (fiber) join of two maps \( p : E \rightarrow X \) and \( p' : E' \rightarrow X \), denoted by \( E *_X E' \), is the double mapping cylinder of the projections \( E \times_X E' \rightarrow E \) and \( E \times_X E' \rightarrow E' \), i.e., the quotient space \( ((E \times_X E') \times I \amalg E \amalg E')/ \sim \) where \( (e, e', 0) \sim e, (e, e', 1) \sim e' \). The join map of \( p \) and \( p' \) is the map \( j_{p,p'} : E *_X E' \rightarrow X \) defined by \( j_{p,p'}([e,e',t]) = p(e) = p'(e') \), \( j_{p,p'}([e]) = p(e) \), and \( j_{p,p'}([e']) = p'(e') \). The \( n \)-fold join and the \( nth \) join map of \( p \) are iteratively defined by \( *^0_X E = E, *^n_X E = (*^{n-1}_X E) *_X E, j^0 p = p, \) and \( j^n p = j_{j^{n-1} p,p} \).

Notice that here the notation \( *^n \) means the join of \( n + 1 \) copies of the considered object.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( p : E \rightarrow X \) be a fibration. If \( X \) has the homotopy type of a CW complex, then \( \text{secat} p \leq n \) if and only if \( j^n p \) has a section.

2.2. **DGA modules.** Recall that if \((A,d)\) is a differential algebra, a (left) \((A,d)\)-module (or differential module over \((A,d)\)) is a graded vector space \((M,d)\) with an action of \(A\) that is compatible with the differential \(d(a \cdot m) = da \cdot m + (-1)^{|a|}a \cdot dm\). If \( \varphi : (A,d) \rightarrow (B,d) \) is a morphism of differential algebras, then \((B,d)\) is naturally endowed with an \((A,d)\)-module structure, given by \(a \cdot b = \varphi(a) \cdot b\), and \( \varphi \) is a morphism of \((A,d)\)-modules. We say that a morphism \( f : (M,d) \rightarrow (N,d) \) of \((A,d)\)-modules admits a homotopy retraction if there exists a commutative diagram of \((A,d)\)-modules

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(M,d) & \xrightarrow{id} & (M,d) \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow \\
(N,d) & \leftarrow & (P,d)
\end{array}
\]
where the arrow \( \sim \) is a quasi-isomorphism. It is well known that the category of \((A, d)\)-modules is a proper closed model category (see for example [9]). A morphism of \((A, d)\)-modules admits a homotopy retraction if and only if it admits a retraction in the homotopy category of that model category.

2.3. The invariants Msecat and Hsecat. Let \( p : E \to X \) be a fibration. As usual, we denote by \( A_{PL} \) Sullivan’s (contravariant) functor of polynomial forms from the category of spaces to the category of commutative cochain algebras. In [9], the module sectional category of \( p \), \( \text{Msecat}(p) \), has been defined as the least integer \( n \) such that the morphism of \( A_{PL}(X) \)-modules \( A_{PL}(j^n p) : A_{PL}(X) \to A_{PL}(\ast^n_X E) \) admits a homotopy retraction. If no such \( n \) exists, one sets \( \text{Msecat}(p) = \infty \). If \( p \) is a fibration over a space of the homotopy type of a CW complex, then, by Theorem 2.3, \( \text{Msecat}(p) \leq \text{secat}(p) \).

Consider a simply connected pointed space \( X \) of the homotopy type of a CW complex and the path fibration \( ev_1 : PX \to X, \lambda \mapsto \lambda(1) \). It has been shown in [9] that \( \text{Msecat}(ev_1) \) equals the classical invariant \( \text{Mcat}(X) \). As mentioned in the introduction, K. Hess [10] established that \( \text{Mcat}(X) = \text{cat}_0(X) \), the rational L.-S. category of \( X \). An example showing that, in general, \( \text{Msecat} \) does not coincide with rational sectional category is given in [9,11].

We define the cohomology sectional category of \( p \), \( \text{Hsecat}(p) \), by

\[
\text{Hsecat}(p) \leq n :\Leftrightarrow H(A_{PL}(j^n(p))) \text{ is injective } \Leftrightarrow H^*(j^n(p)) \text{ is injective.}
\]

We obviously have \( \text{Hsecat}(p) \leq \text{Msecat}(p) \). If \( X \) is a simply connected well-pointed space of the homotopy type of a CW complex and \( p = ev_1 : PX \to X \), then \( \text{Hsecat}(p) \) coincides with the rational Toomer invariant of \( X, e_0(X) \). In [9], it has been established that \( \text{Msecat}(p) \geq \text{nilker} p^* \). We note that the argument given in [9] actually shows that \( \text{Hsecat}(p) \geq \text{nilker} p^* \). We finally also observe that the existence of a commutative diagram of the form

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\ast^n_X PX & \longrightarrow & \ast^n_X E \\
\downarrow j^n ev_1 & & \downarrow j^n p \\
X & \swarrow & \ast^n_X E \\
\end{array}
\]

permits one to establish the following analogues of the second inequality of Theorem 2.1 for a fibrations over simply connected CW complexes of finite type:

\[
\text{Hsecat}(p) \leq e_0(X), \quad \text{Msecat}(p) \leq \text{Mcat}(X).
\]

3. Fibrations over Poincaré duality spaces

3.1. Poincaré duality. Recall that a finite dimensional commutative graded algebra \( H \) with \( H^0 = \mathbb{Q} \) is a (rational) Poincaré duality algebra of formal dimension \( n \) if \( H \) is concentrated in degrees \( 0 \leq p \leq n \) and there exists an element \( \Omega \in H^n \) such that \( H^n = \mathbb{Q} \cdot \Omega \) and the map of degree \( -n \)

\[
\Phi : H \to \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Q}) \\
a \mapsto \Phi(a) : b \mapsto \Omega^2(a \cdot b), \quad \Omega^2(\Omega) = 1, \quad \Omega^2(H^{\neq n}) = 0
\]

is an isomorphism. The element \( \Omega \) and the isomorphism \( \Phi \) are respectively referred to as the fundamental class and the Poincaré duality isomorphism.
If \((A, d)\) is a commutative cochain algebra such that \(H = H(A, d)\) satisfies Poincaré duality, then a map analogous to \(\Phi\) can be defined on \(\omega \in \mathcal{S}\) as a subset such that
\[ A^n = \mathbb{Q} \cdot \omega \oplus S \quad \text{and} \quad d(A^{n-1}) \subset S. \]
We can then define \(\omega^\sharp: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\) by
\[ \omega^\sharp(\omega) = 1, \quad \omega^\sharp(S) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^\sharp(A^i) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq n \]
as well as the following map of degree \(-n\):
\[ \phi: A \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Q}) \]
\[ a \mapsto \phi(a): b \mapsto \omega^\sharp(a \cdot b). \]
Recall that \(\text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Q})\) is an \((A, d)\)-module with respect to the action of \(A\) given by \(a \cdot f(x) = (-1)^{|a|} f(ax)\) and the differential \(\delta\) given by \(\delta f = (-1)^{|f|} f d\). It is a well-known fact that the map \(\phi\) is a quasi-isomorphism of \((A, d)\)-modules.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let \(p: E \rightarrow X\) be a fibration such that \(H^*(X)\) is a Poincaré duality algebra. Recall that we want to prove that \(\text{Msecat}(p) = H\text{secat}(p)\). We already know that \(\text{Msecat}(p) \geq H\text{secat}(p)\). The other direction follows by applying the following theorem to the morphism of commutative cochain algebras \(A_{PL}(j^n p): A_{PL}(X) \rightarrow A_{PL}(\ast_X E)\).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \(f: (A, d) \rightarrow (B, d)\) be a morphism of commutative cochain algebras such that \(H(A, d)\) is a Poincaré duality algebra. If \(H(f)\) is injective, then \(f\) admits a homotopy retraction as a morphism of \((A, d)\)-modules.

**Proof.** We first use the so-called “surjective trick” [8, p. 148] to factor \(f\) in the category of commutative cochain algebras as a quasi-isomorphism \(i: (A, d) \rightarrow (R, d)\) with a retraction \(r\) followed by a surjective morphism \(q: (R, d) \rightarrow (B, d)\). We then have \(B = R/K\) where \(K\) is the kernel of \(q\). Since \(H(f)\) is injective, so is \(H(q)\), and since \(H(A, d)\) is a Poincaré duality algebra, so is \(H(R, d)\). As in Section 3.1, denote by \(\omega \in R^n\) a cocycle representing the fundamental class \(\Omega\) of \(H(R, d)\). Since \(H(q)\) is injective, \(\omega \notin d(R^{n-1}) + K^n\). Thus we can write
\[ R^n = \mathbb{Q} \cdot \omega \oplus S \]
where \(S\) satisfies \(d(R^{n-1}) + K^n \subset S\). Observe that, under these conditions, the map \(\omega^\sharp\) defined in Section 3.1 satisfies \(\omega^\sharp(K) = 0\). Since \(K\) is an ideal of \(R\), the quasi-isomorphism of \((R, d)\)-modules \(\phi: (R, d) \rightarrow (\text{Hom}(R, \mathbb{Q}), \delta)\) (of degree \(-n\)) defined in Section 3.1 satisfies \(\phi(K) = 0\). Therefore, composing with the suspension isomorphism, we get a quasi-isomorphism of \((R, d)\)-modules (of degree 0)
\[ \tilde{\phi}: (R, d) \xrightarrow{\phi} (\text{Hom}(R, \mathbb{Q}), \delta) \xrightarrow{s^{-n}} \text{Hom}(R, \mathbb{Q}, \delta) \]
that factors as \(q\) followed by a morphism of \((R, d)\)-modules \(l: (B, d) = (R/K, d) \rightarrow s^{-n}(\text{Hom}(R, \mathbb{Q}), \delta)\). Recall that the \(n\)th suspension of an \((R, d)\)-module \((M, d)\) is the \((R, d)\)-module \(s^{-n}(M, d) = (s^{-n}M, d)\) given by \((s^{-n}M)^i = M^{i-n}, a \cdot s^{-n}x = (-1)^{|a|} s^{-n}(a \cdot x)\) and \(d(s^{-n}x) = (-1)^{n} s^{-n}(dx)\). The suspension isomorphism given by \(x \mapsto s^{-n}x\) is an isomorphism of \((R, d)\)-modules of degree \(n\). Note that we use the possibly unintuitive notation \(s^{-n}M\) for the \(n\)th suspension of an upper graded module in order to be consistent with the conversion \(M^i = M_{-i}\) between upper and lower degrees of graded modules.
Now factor $q$ in the closed model category of $(R, d)$-modules as a cofibration $j: (R, d) \rightarrow (P, d)$ followed by a weak equivalence $\psi: (P, d) \simto (B, d)$ and form the following commutative diagram of $(R, d)$-modules:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(R, d) & \xrightarrow{id} & (R, d) \\
\downarrow{j} & & \downarrow{\phi} \\
(P, d) & \overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} & (B, d) \\
\end{array}
$$

By the well-known lifting lemma, we obtain a retraction $\rho$ of $j$. The commutative diagram of $(A, d)$-modules

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(A, d) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (R, d) & \xrightarrow{id} & (R, d) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (A, d) \\
\downarrow{f} & & \downarrow{j} & & \downarrow{r} & & \\
(B, d) & \overset{\psi}{\leftarrow} & (P, d) & \xrightarrow{j} & \\
\end{array}
$$

shows that $f$ admits a homotopy retraction of $(A, d)$-modules. \hfill \Box

4. Application to topological complexity

4.1. Topological complexity and the lower bounds MTC and HTC. Let $X$ be a path-connected space of the homotopy type of a CW complex of finite type. The topological complexity of $X$, $TC(X)$, is the sectional category of the fibration $ev_{0,1}: X^{[0,1]} \rightarrow X \times X$, $\lambda \rightarrow (\lambda(0), \lambda(1))$ [3]. Note that this fibration is equivalent to the diagonal map $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$. Therefore, the cohomological lower bound $\text{nilker} ev_{0,1}^* = \text{nilker} \Delta^*$ coincides with $\text{nilker} \cup$ where $\cup: H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ is the cup product. Theorem 2.1 gives:

$$\text{nilker} \cup \leq \text{HTC}(X) \leq \text{cat}(X \times X).$$

One also has $TC(X) \geq \text{cat}(X)$ when $X$ is pointed [3]. Using the invariants $\text{Msecat}$ and $\text{Hsecat}$, we obtain two other lower bounds of $TC$, for which we naturally use the following notation:

$$\text{HTC}(X) := \text{Hsecat}(ev_{0,1}), \quad \text{MTC}(X) := \text{Msecat}(ev_{0,1}).$$

The invariant $\text{MTC}$ has been introduced in [9]. We have

$$\text{nilker} \cup \leq \text{HTC}(X) \leq \text{MTC}(X).$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we obtain

**Corollary 4.1.** If $H^*(X)$ is a Poincaré duality algebra, then $\text{MTC}(X) = \text{HTC}(X)$.

*Proof.* It suffices to observe that since $H^*(X)$ is a Poincaré duality algebra, this also holds for $H^*(X \times X) = H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X)$. \hfill \Box

If $H^*(X)$ is not a Poincaré duality algebra, the numbers $\text{MTC}(X)$ and $\text{HTC}(X)$ can be different. Indeed, Félix, Halperin, and Thomas [5] constructed a simply connected CW complex of finite type $X$ such that $\text{cat}_0(X) = \infty$ and $e_0(X) = 2$. It has been shown in [9] that $\text{MTC}(X) \geq \text{cat}_0(X)$, and so it follows that $\text{MTC}(X) = \infty$. On the other hand, $\text{HTC}(X) \leq e_0(X \times X) = 2e_0(X) = 4$. Let us also note
that \cite{9} contains an example showing that MTC can be greater than nil \ker \cup. The argument given actually shows that this also holds for HTC.

4.2. The invariants of Jessup, Murillo, and Parent. Let \( X \) be a simply connected space of the homotopy type of a CW complex of finite type, and let \((\Lambda(V), d)\) be a Sullivan model of \( X \). Inspired by the classical algebraic description of rational L.-S. category due to Félix and Halperin \cite{4}, Jessup, Murillo, and Parent \cite{7} consider the multiplication \( \mu: (\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V, d) \to (\Lambda V, d) \), which is a model of the fibration \( ev_{0,1}: X^I \to X \times X \), and define the invariants \( tc(X) \) and \( mtc(X) \) in terms of the projections

\[
p_n: (\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V, d) \to \left(\frac{\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V}{(\ker \mu)^{n+1}}, \bar{d}\right)
\]

as follows:

- \( tc(X) \) is the least integer such that \( p_n \) admits a homotopy retraction as a morphism of commutative cochain algebras;
- \( mtc(X) \) is the least integer such that \( p_n \) admits a homotopy retraction as a morphism of \((\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V, d)\)-modules.

They establish that

\[
TC_0(X) \leq tc(X) \quad \text{and} \quad MTC(X) \leq mtc(X) \leq tc(X).
\]

Here, \( TC_0(X) \) is the rational topological complexity of \( X \), i.e., the topological complexity of a rationalization of \( X \).

We can extend the above definitions by denoting by \( htc(X) \) the least integer \( n \) such that \( H(p_n) \) is injective. We then have \( HTC(X) \leq htc(X) \). Indeed, as shown in \cite{9}, the \( n \)th join map of the fibration \( ev_{0,1}: X^I \to X \times X \) can be modeled by a semifree extension of \((\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V, d)\)-modules of the following form:

\[
(\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V, d) \to (\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V \otimes (\mathbb{Q} \oplus Y), d), \quad d(Y) \subset (\ker \mu)^{n+1} \oplus \Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V \otimes Y.
\]

We can therefore define a morphism

\[
\xi: (\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V \otimes (\mathbb{Q} \oplus Y), d) \to \left(\frac{\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V}{(\ker \mu)^{n+1}}, \bar{d}\right)
\]

of \((\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V, d)\)-modules by setting \( \xi(Y) = 0 \). This morphism permits us to see that \( MTC(X) \leq mtc(X) \) and that \( HTC(X) \leq htc(X) \).

Applying Theorem 3.1 to the morphism \( p_n \), we get:

**Corollary 4.2.** If \( H^*(X) \) is a Poincaré duality algebra, then \( htc(X) = mtc(X) \).

As a final remark, we would like to mention that very recently the first author has shown that the numbers \( tc(X) \), \( mtc(X) \), and \( htc(X) \) coincide with \( TC_0(X) \), \( MTC(X) \), and \( HTC(X) \) respectively \cite{1}.
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