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Objectives
Despite very low rates of vertical transmission of HIV in the UK overall, rates are higher among women starting antenatal antiretroviral therapy (ART) late. We investigated the timing of key elements of the care of HIV-positive pregnant women [antenatal care booking, HIV laboratory assessment (CD4 count and HIV viral load) and antenatal ART initiation], to assess whether clinical practice is changing in line with recommendations, and to investigate factors associated with delayed care.

Methods
We used the UK’s National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood for 2009–2014. Data were analysed by fitting logistic regression and Cox proportional hazards models.

Results
A total of 5693 births were reported; 79.5% were in women diagnosed with HIV prior to that pregnancy. Median gestation at antenatal booking was 12.1 weeks [interquartile range (IQR) 10.0–15.6 weeks] and booking was significantly earlier during 2012–2014 vs. 2009–2011 ($P < 0.001$), although only in previously diagnosed women. Overall, 42.2% of pregnancies were booked late ($\geq 13$ gestational weeks). Among women not already on treatment, antenatal ART commenced at a median of 21.4 (IQR18.1–24.5) weeks and started significantly earlier in the most recent time period ($P < 0.001$). Compared with previously diagnosed women, those newly diagnosed during the current pregnancy booked later for antenatal care and started antenatal ART later (both $P < 0.001$). Multivariable analyses revealed demographic variations in access to or uptake of care, with groups including migrants and parous women initiating care later.

Conclusions
Although women are accessing antenatal and HIV care earlier in pregnancy, some continue to face barriers to timely initiation of antenatal care and ART.
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Introduction

Improvements in antiretroviral therapy (ART) and obstetric care have contributed to the lowest ever rate of mother-to-child transmission of HIV (MTCT) of 0.5% in the UK and Ireland in recent years [1]. However, MTCT rates are higher in some groups of women, such as those starting ART late in pregnancy [1]. There are currently around 1200–1300 pregnancies to diagnosed HIV-positive women in the UK annually [2]; optimizing their care is important not only to prevent transmission of HIV to their children, but also to ensure good maternal health, and the best possible pregnancy outcome.

The antenatal ‘booking’ appointment is generally the first contact pregnant women have with maternity services, and provides an opportunity for the identification of maternal and familial risk factors, the offer of timely antenatal screening, and an opportunity to communicate important information to women [3]. Early booking is particularly important for women living with HIV, whether diagnosed before or during the pregnancy, to ensure that they receive the HIV-specific care they require in a timely manner, including assessment of
clinical and virological status, and initiation of ART for those not already on treatment.

Current international and UK guidelines recommend earlier initiation of antenatal ART than previously [4,5]. Identifying population groups at increased risk of late antenatal booking and ART initiation will help target efforts towards ensuring equity in access to and uptake of care. Our objective was to investigate the timing of key elements of care of HIV-positive pregnant women in the UK [antenatal care booking, HIV laboratory assessment (CD4 count and HIV viral load) and antenatal ART initiation], to assess whether clinical practice has changed in line with the recommendations, and to investigate factors associated with delayed antenatal booking and initiation of antenatal ART.

Methods

Data source

The National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood (NSHPC) is a well-established, comprehensive, active surveillance study covering the UK and Ireland. Demographic, clinical and obstetric information is collected using standardized reporting forms [6].

Definitions and classifications

Data on UK pregnancies only, resulting in a live or still birth delivered from 1 January 2009 onwards, and reported by the end of June 2014, were utilized. Some degree of reporting delay is to be expected for pregnancies occurring in more recent years. Pregnancies without information on the timing of HIV diagnosis were excluded (n = 10). Two calendar periods, 2009–2011 and 2012–2014, were compared to examine changes over time; the earlier period was covered by the 2008 British guidelines recommending antenatal ART initiation by 28 gestational weeks [7], and the second by 2012 guidelines recommending ART initiation by 24 weeks [4]. Both guidelines recommended earlier initiation in women requiring treatment for their own health, and those with high viral loads. We defined late antenatal care booking as booking at ≥13 completed gestational weeks, in line with general pregnancy guidelines [3,8]. Analyses were stratified by the timing of HIV diagnosis: pregnancies in previously diagnosed women (further stratified according to ART status at conception where appropriate) and those in women diagnosed after conception (‘newly diagnosed’).

Assessment of the timing of the earliest laboratory test was restricted to newly diagnosed women and based on reported date of earliest CD4 count or viral load test (restricted to those taken between 14 days prior to conception and 7 days after delivery). Baseline (pre-ART) measurements were further restricted to those prior to or up to 7 days after ART initiation (≤7 days after delivery in women receiving no antenatal ART). Viral load measurements were grouped reflecting cut-offs for recommended timing of ART initiation in women not requiring treatment for their own health [4]. Analyses of timing of antenatal ART initiation were, by definition, restricted to pregnancies not conceived on treatment.

Statistical methods

Data were analysed using Stata 12.1 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX). Proportions were calculated among those with known information on the variable of interest and compared using the χ² test or χ² test for trend. Medians are presented with interquartile range (IQR) and were compared using the Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test.

Logistic regression models were fitted to identify factors associated with late antenatal care booking. Kaplan–Meier analysis was used to describe time to ART initiation graphically, and factors associated with later antenatal ART initiation were analysed using Cox proportional hazards modelling. The proportional hazards assumption was assessed by examining log-log plots and the model’s Schoenfeld residuals [9]. The Therneau–Grambsch test was significant both globally and locally for time period, CD4 count and viral load in both strata; this is not surprising given the relatively large sample sizes. There was evidence of nonparallelism and crossings in the log-log plots; however, these were mainly in the lower and upper tails of the distribution of time to ART initiation (and thus related to relatively small numbers of women), while the central part of this distribution showed little evidence of departures from the assumption. Variables with overall significance at the P < 0.1 level in univariable analyses were included in multivariable models, with other variables only included if they improved the fit (assessed using the Wald test). Time period was included a priori. Some women with a prior diagnosis contributed more than one pregnancy to these analyses, and this clustering was accounted for by using robust standard errors [10].

Ethical approval

The National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood has London Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee approval (MREC/04/2/009).
Results

Study population

By the end of June 2014, 5693 eligible pregnancies had been reported (5641 live and 52 still births) in 4962 women (3506 deliveries in 2009–2011 and 2187 in 2012–2014). Overall, 2886 (50.7%) pregnancies were among women conceiving on ART, 1601 (28.1%) among diagnosed women not on ART at conception and 1166 (20.5%) among women newly diagnosed during the current pregnancy; the remaining 40 pregnancies were to women diagnosed before conception, but missing information on ART status at conception (Table 1). The proportion of pregnancies in women with previously diagnosed HIV increased from 76.6% in 2009–2011 to 84.2% in 2012–2014 (P < 0.001). Overall, most pregnancies were in women from sub-Saharan Africa (75.3%), over two-fifths were reported in London, nearly three-quarters were in parous women, and half were conceived on ART.

Timing of booking for antenatal care

Booking date was reported for 5162 (90.7%) pregnancies. It was less well reported for pregnancies from London compared with the rest of England (P < 0.001), and

Table 1 Pregnancy characteristics stratified by timing of HIV diagnosis

| Characteristic | Diagnosed prior to current pregnancy - not on ART at conception | Diagnosed prior to current pregnancy - on ART at conception | Diagnosed prior to current pregnancy (irrespective of ART status)* | Newly diagnosed during current pregnancy | Total (all pregnancies) |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Total         | 1601                                                          | 2886                                                       | 4527                                                          | 1166                                   | 5693 (100)            |
| Time period   |                                                               |                                                            |                                                                |                                        |                       |
| 2009–2011     | 1049 (65.5)                                                   | 1611 (55.8)                                                | 2686 (59.3)                                                   | 820 (70.3)                             | 3506 (61.6)           |
| 2012–2014     | 552 (34.5)                                                    | 1275 (44.2)                                                | 1841 (40.7)                                                   | 346 (29.7)                             | 2187 (38.4)           |
| Maternal age at delivery |                                                               |                                                            |                                                                |                                        |                       |
| < 25 years    | 209 (13.1)                                                    | 140 (4.9)                                                  | 355 (7.8)                                                     | 177 (15.2)                             | 532 (9.3)             |
| 25–34 years   | 967 (60.4)                                                    | 1479 (51.2)                                                | 2472 (54.6)                                                   | 727 (62.3)                             | 3199 (56.2)           |
| ≥ 35 years    | 425 (26.5)                                                    | 1267 (43.9)                                                | 1700 (37.6)                                                   | 262 (22.5)                             | 1962 (34.5)           |
| Maternal region of origin |                                                               |                                                            |                                                                |                                        |                       |
| UK/Ireland    | 276 (17.4)                                                    | 331 (11.6)                                                 | 612 (13.7)                                                    | 157 (13.7)                             | 769 (13.7)            |
| Europe        | 80 (5.0)                                                      | 107 (3.8)                                                  | 190 (4.2)                                                     | 77 (6.7)                               | 267 (4.8)             |
| Eastern Africa| 642 (40.5)                                                    | 1386 (48.7)                                                | 2048 (45.8)                                                   | 397 (34.7)                             | 2445 (43.5)           |
| Middle Africa | 100 (6.3)                                                     | 190 (6.7)                                                  | 293 (6.6)                                                     | 49 (4.3)                               | 342 (6.1)             |
| Western Africa| 311 (19.6)                                                    | 498 (17.5)                                                 | 813 (18.2)                                                    | 301 (26.3)                             | 1114 (19.8)           |
| Southern Africa| 77 (4.9)                                                     | 130 (4.6)                                                  | 209 (4.7)                                                     | 61 (5.3)                               | 270 (4.8)             |
| Africa (unspecified) | 11 (0.7)                                                    | 30 (1.1)                                                   | 41 (0.9)                                                      | 18 (1.6)                               | 59 (1.1)              |
| Elsewhere     | 88 (5.6)                                                      | 174 (6.1)                                                  | 265 (5.9)                                                     | 85 (7.4)                               | 350 (6.2)             |
| Reporting region |                                                               |                                                            |                                                                |                                        |                       |
| London        | 641 (40.2)                                                    | 1279 (44.4)                                                | 1926 (42.6)                                                   | 488 (42)                               | 2414 (42.5)           |
| Midlands and the East | 409 (25.6)          | 649 (22.5)                                                 | 1073 (23.8)                                                   | 284 (24.4)                             | 1357 (23.9)           |
| North         | 253 (15.9)                                                    | 414 (14.4)                                                 | 677 (15)                                                      | 153 (13.2)                             | 830 (14.6)            |
| South         | 189 (11.8)                                                    | 394 (13.7)                                                 | 591 (13.1)                                                    | 150 (12.9)                             | 741 (13.1)            |
| Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland | 103 (6.5)         | 145 (5)                                                    | 249 (5.5)                                                     | 87 (7.4)                               | 336 (5.9)             |
| Parity        |                                                               |                                                            |                                                                |                                        |                       |
| Nulliparous   | 300 (19.6)                                                    | 559 (20.4)                                                 | 864 (20.1)                                                    | 555 (50.7)                             | 1419 (26.3)           |
| One previous birth | 592 (38.7)         | 1018 (37.2)                                                | 1626 (37.9)                                                   | 323 (29.5)                             | 1949 (36.2)           |
| Two previous births | 400 (26.1)       | 740 (27.0)                                                 | 1145 (26.7)                                                   | 145 (13.2)                             | 1290 (23.9)           |
| At least three previous births | 238 (15.6)     | 419 (15.3)                                                 | 660 (15.4)                                                    | 72 (6.6)                               | 732 (13.6)            |
| Earliest CD4 count |                                                        |                                                            |                                                                |                                        |                       |
| ≥ 500 cells/μL | 484 (33.1)                                                   | 1163 (44.2)                                                | 1654 (40.3)                                                   | 306 (27.9)                             | 1960 (37.7)           |
| 350–499 cells/μL | 432 (29.5)                                                   | 800 (30.4)                                                 | 1238 (30.2)                                                   | 258 (23.6)                             | 1496 (28.8)           |
| 200–349 cells/μL | 368 (25.2)                                                   | 517 (19.7)                                                 | 886 (21.6)                                                    | 317 (28.9)                             | 1203 (23.1)           |
| < 200 cells/μL | 178 (12.2)                                                    | 149 (5.7)                                                  | 327 (8.0)                                                     | 214 (19.5)                             | 541 (10.4)            |
| Earliest viral load |                                                        |                                                            |                                                                |                                        |                       |
| < 50 copies/mL | 275 (18.1)                                                    | 2350 (85.9)                                                | 2641 (61.8)                                                   | 145 (12.8)                             | 2770 (51.4)           |
| 50–29 999 copies/mL | 931 (61.4)                                                   | 342 (12.5)                                                 | 1279 (29.9)                                                   | 700 (61.6)                             | 1973 (36.6)           |
| 30 000–99 999 copies/mL | 213 (14.0)                                            | 25 (0.9)                                                   | 238 (5.6)                                                     | 168 (14.8)                             | 406 (7.5)             |
| ≥ 100 000 copies/mL | 98 (6.5)                                                      | 18 (0.7)                                                   | 116 (2.7)                                                     | 124 (10.9)                             | 240 (4.5)             |

ART, antiretroviral therapy.

Values are n (%).

*Group combines the first two groups presented in the table (n = 1601 + 2886) plus 40 pregnancies with missing information on ART status at conception.

†Earliest antenatal measurement in that pregnancy, not restricted to measurements taken prior to ART initiation.
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better reported for pregnancies in parous women \((P < 0.001)\). Gestational age at booking had a right-skewed distribution, with a median (IQR) of 12.1 (10.0–15.6) weeks. Overall, 42.2\% of pregnancies were booked late at \(\geq 13\) completed weeks: 25.7\% at 13–17 weeks, 10.0\% at 18–23 weeks, and 6.6\% at \(\geq 24\) weeks. The proportion of pregnancies booked late declined from 44.8\% in 2009–2011 to 38.2\% in 2012–2014 \((P < 0.001)\), although only in previously diagnosed women. Pregnancies in previously diagnosed women were booked earlier than those in newly diagnosed women at a median of 12.0 (9.7–14.9) weeks compared with 13.1 (10.6–18.0) weeks \((P < 0.001)\), respectively (Fig. 1a,b); 39.7\% of pregnancies in previously diagnosed women were booked late at \(\geq 13\) weeks (including 14.2\% at \(\geq 18\) weeks), compared with 51.9\% (25.7\% at \(\geq 18\) weeks) in newly diagnosed women \((P < 0.001)\). In previously diagnosed women, there was no association between gestational age at booking and CD4 count \((P = 0.33)\).

In univariable analyses, maternal region of origin, UK geographical area of report, and parity were significantly associated with late booking in pregnancies to both previously and newly diagnosed women (Table 2). Additionally, in pregnancies to previously diagnosed women, earlier time period, low antenatal CD4 count and not conceiving on ART were associated with increased odds of late booking.

Both multivariable models included all variables from the univariable analyses, except maternal age and viral load, which did not improve the fit (Table 2). In multivariable analyses of pregnancies in previously diagnosed women, late booking was associated with earlier time period, originating from abroad (including European-born women and those from all regions of sub-Saharan Africa), pregnancy reported from outside London, being parous, not conceiving on ART, and having a low CD4 count. Among pregnancies to newly diagnosed women, late booking was associated with sub-Saharan African origin (all regions), and higher parity. The odds of late booking were lower for pregnancies reported from elsewhere in England compared with London.

In a sensitivity analysis of the association between being born abroad and late antenatal booking which excluded women known to have arrived in the UK at \(\geq 13\) gestational weeks \((n = 86)\), the results were little changed and the strong association between African origin and late booking, regardless of timing of diagnosis, remained (data not shown).

Timing of first reported laboratory test (CD4 count or viral load) in pregnancies among newly diagnosed women

The timing of the first reported antenatal HIV-related laboratory test was available for 1139 of 1166 (97.7\%) pregnancies. It was at a median of 17.0 weeks of gestation (IQR 13.4–23.4 weeks), and the interval between conception and testing decreased between the two time periods [median 17.4 (IQR 13.7–23.6) weeks in 2009–2011 and 16.0 (IQR 12.9–22.6) weeks in 2012–2014; \(P = 0.016\)]. Information on both antenatal booking date and date of first antenatal laboratory test was available for 1044 pregnancies (89.5\%). The laboratory test was on or after the booking date in 908 of 1044 pregnancies; among these, the median time from booking to laboratory assessment was 2.9 weeks (IQR 1.3–5.9 weeks), with over a third (343 of 908) having a lag of \(\geq 4\) weeks.

Timing of antenatal ART initiation

In almost all pregnancies, women received antenatal ART (99.2\%; 5620 of 5666), and in most this was combination
| Maternal region of origin       | Booked at ≥ 13 weeks/total (%) | OR (95% CI) | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| UK/Ireland                     | 177/551 (32.1)                 | 1           |        | 48/140 (34.3) | 1       |
| Europe                         | 64/173 (37.0)                  | 1.16 (0.86–1.59) | <0.001 | 31/70 (44.3) | 1.52 (0.85–2.74) | 0.160 |
| Eastern Africa                 | 758/1823 (41.6)                | 1.50 (1.22–1.85) | <0.001 | 197/351 (56.1) | 2.45 (1.63–3.69) | <0.001 |
| Middle Africa                  | 130/264 (49.2)                 | 2.05 (1.50–2.81) | <0.001 | 33/48 (68.8) | 4.22 (2.09–8.52) | 0.001 |
| Western Africa                 | 304/763 (39.8)                 | 1.40 (1.10–1.77) | <0.001 | 159/284 (56.0) | 2.44 (1.60–3.71) | <0.001 |
| Southern Africa                | 81/190 (42.6)                  | 1.57 (1.11–2.23) | <0.001 | 39/58 (67.2) | 3.33 (2.05–5.74) | <0.001 |
| Africa (region unspecified)   | 14/37 (37.8)                   | 1.29 (0.63–2.64) | 0.492 | 7/18 (38.9) | 1.22 (0.44–3.35) | 0.700 |
| Elsewhere                      | 84/243 (33.9)                  | 1.10 (0.78–1.61) | 0.307 | 30/78 (38.5) | 1.20 (0.67–2.13) | 0.538 |
| London                         | 656/1852 (35.4)                | 1           |        | 265/459 (57.7) | 1       |
| Midlands and the East          | 35/836 (42.2)                  | 1.33 (1.13–1.58) | 0.001 | 118/240 (49.2) | 0.71 (0.52–0.97) | 0.031 |
| North                          | 285/651 (43.8)                 | 1.42 (1.18–1.71) | 0.001 | 58/148 (39.2) | 0.47 (0.32–0.69) | <0.001 |
| South                          | 212/512 (41.4)                 | 1.29 (1.05–1.58) | 0.015 | 61/133 (46.1) | 0.68 (0.46–1.00) | 0.090 |
| Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland | 119/237 (50.2) | 1.84 (1.40–2.42) | 0.001 | 46/93 (54.4) | 0.91 (0.57–1.44) | 0.695 |
| Parity                         |                               |             |        |             |        |
| Nulliparous                    | 254/799 (31.8)                 | 1           |        | 265/525 (50.5) | 1       |
| One previous birth             | 541/1490 (37.1)                | 1.26 (1.05–1.52) | 0.012 | 150/293 (51.2) | 1.03 (0.77–1.37) | 0.844 |
| Two previous births            | 460/1033 (44.5)                | 1.72 (1.42–2.09) | 0.001 | 78/126 (61.9) | 1.59 (1.07–2.37) | 0.022 |
| On ART at conception           | 297/605 (49.1)                 | 2.07 (1.66–2.58) | <0.001 | 36/64 (55.6) | 1.26 (0.75–2.13) | 0.384 |
| No                             | 650/1488 (44.6)                | 1           |        | n/a         | –       |
| Yes                            | 964/2602 (37.0)                | 0.73 (0.64–0.84) | <0.001 | 1.19 (0.97–1.45) | 0.091 |
| Early CD4 count^6              |                               |             |        |             |        |
| ≥ 500 cells/μL                | 557/1492 (37.3)                | 1           |        | 138/279 (49.0) | 1       |
| 350–399 cells/μL              | 460/1215 (40.9)                | 1.16 (0.99–1.36) | 0.067 | 120/235 (51.1) | 1.06 (0.75–1.50) | 0.748 |
| 200–349 cells/μL              | 330/796 (41.5)                 | 1.19 (1.00–1.42) | 0.057 | 152/292 (52.4) | 1.12 (0.80–1.55) | 0.500 |
| < 200 cells/μL                | 152/300 (50.7)                 | 1.72 (1.34–2.22) | <0.001 | 105/196 (53.6) | 1.17 (0.81–1.69) | 0.399 |
| Earliest viral load^6          |                               |             |        |             |        |
| < 30 000 copies/mL            | 1416/3552 (39.9)               | 1           |        | 402/775 (51.9) | 1       |
| 30 000–99 990 cells/μL        | 101/213 (47.4)                 | 1.36 (1.02–1.81) | 0.034 | 81/156 (51.9) | 1.00 (0.71–1.41) | 0.991 |
| ≥ 100 000 cells/μL            | 45/106 (42.2)                  | 1.10 (0.74–1.67) | 0.606 | 57/111 (51.4) | 0.98 (0.66–1.48) | 0.914 |

AO, adjusted odds ratio; ART, antiretroviral therapy; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

*The multivariable model for pregnancies in previously diagnosed women included 3493 observations (and analyses were adjusted for 3149 clusters; i.e. the 3493 pregnancies occurred in 3149 women).

†The multivariable model for pregnancies in women diagnosed during their current pregnancy included 938 observations.

‡Earliest antenatal measurement in that pregnancy, not restricted to measurements prior to ART initiation.
ART (cART) (98.3%; 5507 of 5602; unspecified regimen in 18). Just over half of all pregnancies were conceived on treatment (n = 2886), and virtually all women not on ART at conception started ART during pregnancy (98.3%; 2704 of 2750); start date was available for 2619 (1543 with prior diagnosis). Median gestation at ART initiation among all those initiating ART in pregnancy was 21.4 (IQR 18.1–24.5) weeks [21.0 (IQR 17.6–24.1) weeks in previously diagnosed women, compared with 21.9 (IQR 18.7–25.0) weeks for newly diagnosed women; \( P < 0.001 \) (Fig. 2). ART was started significantly earlier in pregnancies delivered in 2012–2014 [median 19.3 (IQR 16.9–23.4) weeks] compared with 2009–2011 [median 22.0 (IQR 18.9–24.7) weeks; \( P < 0.001 \)], a trend apparent for both previously and newly diagnosed women (both \( P < 0.001 \)).

Among women not on ART at conception, the median time from antenatal booking to ART initiation was 7.1 (IQR 2.9–11.1) weeks; 7.3 (IQR 2.6–11.6) weeks in those previously diagnosed and 6.9 (IQR 3.0–10.9) weeks in those newly diagnosed. Among pregnancies to newly diagnosed women, the median gap from laboratory testing to ART initiation was 3.0 (IQR 0.3–6.6) weeks. The time from laboratory testing to ART initiation was shorter for pregnancies delivered in 2012–2014 than for those delivered in 2009–2011 [median 2.7 (IQR 0.1–6.0) vs. 3.0 (IQR 0.4–7.0) weeks, respectively; \( P = 0.03 \)]; it was also shorter for those with a pre-ART antenatal CD4 count of <350 vs. \( \geq 350 \) cells/μL [median 2.7 (IQR 1.1–5.0) vs. 5.1 (IQR 2.0–9.1) weeks, respectively; \( P < 0.001 \)], and those with viral loads \( \geq 30000 \) HIV-1 RNA copies/mL [median 2.3 (IQR 0.9–4.7) weeks] compared with <30 000 copies/mL [median 4.3 (IQR 1.7–8.1) weeks] \( P < 0.001 \).

In univariable analyses of factors associated with the timing of antenatal ART initiation (Table 3), ART was started significantly earlier for pregnancies delivered in the later period compared with 2009–2011, regardless of the timing of HIV diagnosis. Factors associated with shorter time to ART initiation among previously diagnosed women were being reported outside London, having a lower CD4 count and having a higher viral load. There was a similar pattern for newly diagnosed women, but additionally, there were differences according to maternal age and world region of origin, with older women starting ART earlier, and women from Western Africa, in particular, starting later than UK/Irish-born women.

In the multivariable model for pregnancies in previously diagnosed women (Table 3), later time period remained associated with earlier ART initiation \( (P < 0.001) \). Compared with London, ART was started somewhat earlier in the North of England \( (P = 0.003) \) and in Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland \( (P = 0.035) \), and women with at least three previous births started later than nulliparous women \( (P = 0.013) \). There was some suggestion that those with lower CD4 counts started earlier than those with counts \( \geq 500 \) cells/μL, but this only reached statistical significance for those with counts of 200–350 cells/μL \( (P = 0.001) \). Those with viral loads \( > 30000 \) copies/mL started earlier than those with lower viral loads \( P < 0.01 \). There was no evidence that including maternal age or world region of origin improved the fit of the model. In a sensitivity analysis excluding women known to have arrived in the UK after conception \( (n = 10) \), the results were little altered.

For pregnancies in newly diagnosed women, the pattern was similar, with ART started earlier in the later period \( (P < 0.001) \). Women from Eastern, Western, and Southern Africa started later than those from the UK/Ireland \( (P < 0.05) \), with some evidence that women from Europe did too \( (P = 0.068) \). ART was started earlier in all regions/areas of the UK compared with London, although this was only significant for the North of England \( (P = 0.039) \). Lower CD4 counts were associated with earlier ART. When pregnancies to women known to have arrived in the UK after conception \( (n = 54) \), similar patterns were observed, although there was less evidence of an association between Eastern or Southern African origin and later ART \( (P = 0.095 \) and \( P = 0.081 \), respectively), while women from Western Africa remained more likely to start ART later \( (P = 0.004) \) (data not shown). Finally, when the model was restricted to women who booked at <13 weeks, evidence remained that women born abroad initiated ART later than those born in the UK/Ireland. In particular, women from Western Africa started later [adjusted hazard ratio \( \text{aHR} 0.50; 95\% \) confidence interval (CI)]
Table 3 Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards analyses of factors associated with shorter time to antenatal antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation, stratified by timing of HIV diagnosis

| Characteristic | Diagnosed prior to current pregnancy | Newly diagnosed during current pregnancy |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|               | n         | HR (95% CI) | P-value | aHR (95% CI)* | P-value | n         | HR (95% CI) | P-value | aHR (95% CI)† | P-value |
| Time period   |           |             |         |             |         |           |             |         |             |         |
| 2009–2011     | 1022      | 1.24 (1.10–1.39) | < 0.001 | 1.28 (1.12–1.46) | < 0.001 | 796      | 1.20 (1.05–1.37) | 0.006 | 1.34 (1.15–1.55) | < 0.001 |
| 2012–2014     | 521       | 1.12 (0.95–1.33) | 0.185 | 1.15 (0.95–1.40) | 0.155 |
| Maternal age at delivery |           |             |         |             |         |           |             |         |             |         |
| < 25 years    | 203       | 1.08 (0.86–1.35) | 0.997 | 1.06 (0.82–1.37) | 0.310 |
| 25–34 years   | 932       | 0.98 (0.83–1.16) | 0.750 | 0.76 (0.59–1.00) | 0.259 |
| ≥ 35 years    | 408       | 0.97 (0.81–1.16) | 0.586 | 0.72 (0.56–0.93) | 0.006 |
| Maternal region of origin |           |             |         |             |         |           |             |         |             |         |
| UK/Ireland    | 270       | 1.07 (0.80–1.44) | 0.069 | 1.05 (0.78–1.42) | 0.131 |
| Europe        | 78        | 1.09 (0.85–1.41) | 0.001 | 1.07 (0.82–1.41) | 0.002 |
| Eastern Africa| 616       | 1.05 (0.90–1.23) | 0.020 | 1.00 (0.84–1.20) | 0.930 |
| Middle Africa | 96        | 0.96 (0.73–1.26) | 0.367 | 0.91 (0.65–1.27) | 0.087 |
| Western Africa| 303       | 0.93 (0.78–1.11) | 0.846 | 0.84 (0.63–1.14) | 0.100 |
| Southern Africa| 74      | 1.08 (0.86–1.35) | 0.052 | 0.87 (0.66–1.16) | 0.192 |
| Africa (region unspecified) | 10      | 1.01 (0.86–1.51) | 0.560 | 0.91 (0.73–1.14) | 0.001 |
| Elsewhere     | 83        | 1.02 (0.80–1.31) | 0.093 | 0.91 (0.76–1.10) | 0.018 |
| Reporting region |           |             |         |             |         |           |             |         |             |         |
| London        | 621       | 1.12 (1.02–1.33) | 0.100 | 1.00 (0.84–1.18) | 0.621 |
| Midlands and the East | 394     | 1.11 (0.96–1.28) | 0.013 | 1.06 (0.90–1.25) | 0.189 |
| North         | 240       | 1.18 (1.10–1.48) | 0.110 | 1.10 (0.93–1.29) | 0.179 |
| South         | 183       | 1.11 (0.94–1.31) | 0.879 | 1.08 (0.81–1.41) | 0.001 |
| Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland | 99      | 1.21 (0.98–1.51) | 0.035 | 1.13 (0.89–1.37) | 0.035 |
| Parity        |           |             |         |             |         |           |             |         |             |         |
| Nulliparous   | 289       | 1.18 (1.01–1.38) | 0.100 | 1.03 (0.84–1.25) | 0.976 |
| One previous birth | 569   | 1.09 (0.87–1.37) | 0.125 | 0.98 (0.82–1.16) | 0.017 |
| Two previous births | 389  | 1.00 (0.87–1.37) | 0.125 | 0.98 (0.82–1.16) | 0.017 |
| At least three previous births | 226  | 1.01 (0.87–1.37) | 0.367 | 0.99 (0.82–1.20) | 0.560 |
| Baseline CD4 count* |           |             |         |             |         |           |             |         |             |         |
| ≥ 500 cells/µL | 388    | 1.18 (1.01–1.38) | 0.036 | 1.13 (0.97–1.32) | 0.098 |
| 350–499 cells/µL | 341   | 1.15 (1.01–1.30) | 0.036 | 1.13 (0.97–1.32) | 0.098 |
| 200–349 cells/µL | 288   | 1.13 (1.07–1.20) | 0.001 | 1.12 (1.07–1.17) | 0.001 |
| < 200 cells/µL | 144    | 1.13 (1.02–1.30) | 0.032 | 1.09 (0.93–1.25) | 0.049 |
| Baseline viral load† |           |             |         |             |         |           |             |         |             |         |
| < 30 000 copies/mL | 899   | 1.01 (0.91–1.11) | 0.417 | 1.01 (0.91–1.11) | 0.207 |
| 30 000–99 999 copies/mL | 207   | 1.27 (1.09–1.49) | 0.115 | 1.14 (0.96–1.33) | 0.013 |
| ≥ 100 000 copies/mL | 90    | 1.16 (1.03–1.31) | 0.007 | 1.07 (0.94–1.21) | 0.639 |

aHR, adjusted hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

An HR of > 1 indicates a shorter time to ART initiation.

*The multivariable model for pregnancies in previously diagnosed women included 1074 observations (and analyses were adjusted for 996 clusters; i.e. the 1074 pregnancies occurred in 996 women).

†The multivariable model for pregnancies in women diagnosed during their current pregnancy included 903 observations.

‡First antenatal measurement in that pregnancy, restricted to measurements prior to ART initiation.

§Viral load grouping based on cut-offs used for recommending timing of ART initiation in women not requiring treatment for their own health [4].

0.34–0.74; P < 0.001), as did those from Southern Africa (P = 0.05).

Infant HIV status

HIV status was reported for 3988 infants by the time of this analysis – 16 were perinatally infected (half of whom were born to women with a prior diagnosis). Three-quarters of perinatally infected infants (n = 12) were born to women who booked late, 11 of whom were not on ART at conception.

Discussion

During the study period, the vast majority (99%) of women received antenatal ART, median gestation at antenatal ART initiation declined over time, and women with high viral loads started ART earlier, in line with changes to UK recommendations [4]. Longer duration of antenatal ART is associated with a lower risk of vertical transmission of HIV, with a rapid decline in maternal viral load during the first few weeks of ART [1,11]. The crude vertical transmission rate for deliveries during...
2009–2014 is consistent with the previously published UK rate of 0.46% for 2010/2011 [1]. Although there was a trend towards earlier booking for antenatal care (among previously but not newly diagnosed women), over two-fifths of pregnancies in HIV-positive women were booked at ≥ 13 weeks (38% for 2012–2014), compared with 28% of pregnancies in England overall during 2012–2013 [12]. Later booking among HIV-positive women probably reflects the cultural and sociodemographic characteristics of this population, and these analyses revealed some important demographic variations in the timeliness of antenatal booking and antenatal ART initiation.

Women from sub-Saharan Africa were more likely to book late, irrespective of the timing of diagnosis; being born outside the UK and/or being of non-white ethnicity has been reported as a risk factor for later booking in the general UK population [13–16], and also in the NSHPC data [17]. US data show that women of black ethnicity experience poorer antenatal care usage [18,19], as does the French Perinatal Cohort [20]. This may in part reflect differing cultural beliefs around antenatal care [21], as well as possible barriers to accessing care, including lack of knowledge about the health care system and language difficulties [13,22]. Culturally appropriate promotion of antenatal care services among ethnic minority women in the UK is one approach that may be beneficial [23]. Newly diagnosed women born abroad also started ART later than those born in the UK/Ireland (there was no such association among those previously diagnosed), and this was particularly apparent among women from Western Africa. This association persisted in several sensitivity analyses, indicating that it was not simply related to women arriving in the UK late in pregnancy, nor just a reflection of late presentation for antenatal care. In previously diagnosed women, late booking was more common outside London, whereas for newly diagnosed women, late booking was more common in London. Reasons are unclear but may reflect the likely greater concentration of newly arrived migrants in London who may have particular difficulties accessing health care.

Current UK standards state that positive HIV results should be received by maternity services within 10 working days of the sample being taken and the result given to women within 10 days of this, and that there should be ‘urgent’ referral to the HIV specialist team thereafter [24]. Although a majority of newly diagnosed women had prompt laboratory assessment, over a third had a gap of ≥ 4 weeks between booking and laboratory assessment. Taking date of laboratory assessment as a proxy for first appointment with the HIV specialist team, the finding suggests that this is an area of practice that may need improving. It is, however, encouraging that, on the whole, newly diagnosed women started ART reasonably promptly with respect to current UK guidelines [4]. Those with lower CD4 counts started ART significantly sooner, and those with lower CD4 counts or high viral loads had shorter gaps from laboratory testing to ART initiation. Looking forwards, there is a move towards recommending earlier ART initiation. UK treatment guidelines are being revised, and are likely to recommend ART initiation regardless of CD4 count in light of the results of the Strategic Timing of AntiRetroviral Therapy (START) trial [25]; pregnancy guidelines are consequently expected to change. Recently updated US pregnancy guidelines state that ART should be considered as soon as HIV is diagnosed [26].

Higher parity was associated with later booking (most notably among previously diagnosed women), a trend also observed in the general UK population [13,15,27]. Previously diagnosed women with at least three previous births also started ART later. Reasons are likely to be complex. Different solutions may be required for women who start ART late as a consequence of delayed booking for antenatal care, and those who book for care in good time but experience subsequent delays in ART initiation. An audit of women in the NSHPC who received no antenatal ART or a short duration of ART reported that, among those previously diagnosed, declining treatment was the most common reason (11 of 15; 73%)[28].

Women with a prior HIV diagnosis, many of whom will have been diagnosed during a previous pregnancy [29,30], should be receiving ongoing HIV care, which might be expected to facilitate early ART initiation in subsequent pregnancies. However, we have previously demonstrated that retention in HIV care after delivery may be suboptimal, with two-fifths of women presenting with a second pregnancy not being on treatment despite an immunological indication [31]. Women not on ART at conception booked later than those conceiving on treatment, which is of concern as timely booking and prompt referral to HIV services are needed, especially for those with low CD4 counts. Higher levels of loss to follow-up from HIV care have been reported among people not receiving treatment [32], and disengagement from services may have particularly serious consequences for pregnant women. Although antenatal ART was started earlier in previously diagnosed women with lower CD4 counts, in adjusted analyses there was little difference in ART timing between those with the lowest CD4 counts and the healthiest women. This suggests that there may be a group of high-risk women who are poorly engaged with care and/or suboptimally managed. It should also be borne in mind that, although women with a CD4 count
< 350 cells/μL should be on ART at conception, those who are not may have concerns about initiating ART early in pregnancy because of fears of teratogenicity.

There are some limitations to this analysis. Women cannot book for antenatal care in the UK before they have entered the country. Although the NSHPC collects data of arrival in the UK, this information was completely missing for around one-third of women born abroad. Even among those with information reported, over half had only year of arrival (not actual date) provided, so it was not appropriate to utilize this variable in the main analyses; instead, the effect of timing of arrival on the findings was assessed in sensitivity analyses. Week of antenatal booking was missing for 9% of women (81% of whom had a prior HIV diagnosis). Although only a small minority of this group did not start ART before delivery (3.3% of those not conceiving on treatment), this was higher than for women who booked at < 13 weeks (1.1%). Some of those with missing booking date may represent a particularly high-risk group requiring targeted interventions to help them engage with services. Meanwhile, earliest laboratory test date during current pregnancy is likely to be the date on which the sample was taken, although a minority of NSHPC respondents may report other dates, such as the date on which the result was reported from the laboratory. There may have been unadjusted confounding as a result of some variables (e.g. socioeconomic factors) not being available in the NSHPC, which has to limit data items collected to maximize reporting. Where delays in ART initiation were apparent, it was not possible to assess to what extent these were health system- or provider-related, structural (socioeconomic environment), or related to women's individual circumstances, beliefs and choices. Further exploration of this would be helpful, but is beyond the scope of this analysis.

These analyses, based on comprehensive national data, demonstrate significant improvements in the timeliness of antenatal care booking and antenatal ART initiation in the UK in recent years. However, they also reveal some important demographic variations in access to or uptake of care and highlight the fact that some groups of HIV-positive women continue to face barriers to timely initiation of antenatal care and ART.

Acknowledgements

National surveillance of obstetric and paediatric HIV is undertaken through the NSHPC in collaboration with Public Health England (formerly the Health Protection Agency) and Health Protection Scotland. We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of the midwives, obstetricians, genitourinary physicians, paediatricians, clinical nurse specialists and all other colleagues who report to the NSHPC through the British Paediatric Surveillance Unit of the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health, and the obstetric reporting scheme originally established under the auspices of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. We also thank Helen Peters, Icina Shakes, Angela Jackson, and Kate Francis for their essential contributions to the NSHPC, and acknowledge the invaluable role of Janet Masters (Study Co-ordinator) who sadly passed away in December 2012. During the period in which these data were collected, the National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood received core funding from the Health Protection Agency (grant number GHP/003/013/003), with additional funding from the National Screening Committee and the Welton Foundation. The work was undertaken within the Population, Policy and Practice Programme, which benefitted from funding support from the Medical Research Council (MRC) in its capacity as the MRC Centre of Epidemiology for Child Health (grant number G0400546). The University College London Institute of Child Health received a proportion of funding from the Department of Health's National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centres funding scheme. CEF received funding through an MRC PhD studentship. LB received funding through an MRC Clinical Research Training Fellowship.

References

1 Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000–2011. AIDS 2014; 28: 1049–1057.

2 National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood. Quarterly slide set (data up to end of September 2015), 2015 [Accessed February 2016]. Available from: https://www.ucl.ac.uk/nshpc/slides.

3 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Routine antenatal care for the healthy pregnant woman London, UK, National Institute for Clinical Excellence, 2008.

4 de Ruiter A, Taylor G, Clayden P et al. British HIV Association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women 2012 (2014 interim review). HIV Med 2014; 15 (Supp 4): 1–77.

5 World Health Organization. Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection. Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organization, 2013.

6 Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, Tookey PA. Trends in management and outcome of pregnancies in HIV-infected women in the UK and Ireland, 1990–2006. BJOG 2008; 115: 1078–1086.
7 de Ruiter A, Mercey D, Anderson J et al. British HIV Association and Children’s HIV Association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women. *HIV Med* 2008; 9: 452–502.

8 Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. *Standards for Maternity Care. Report of a Working Party*. London, UK, Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, 2008.

9 Therneau TM, Grambsch PM. Chapter 6. Testing Proportional Hazards. In Dietz K, Gail M, Krickeberg K, Tsiatis A, Samet J, eds. *Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model*, New York, USA, Springer, 2000.

10 Kirkwood BR, Sterene JAC. *Essential Medical Statistics*. 2nd ed. Oxford, UK, Blackwell, 2003.

11 Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000–2006. *AIDS* 2008; 22: 973–981.

12 Health and Social Care Information Centre. *NHM Maternity Statistics – England*, 2012–13 (Provider-level analysis) 2013 [Accessed March 2015]. Available from: http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB12744.

13 Cresswell JA, Yu G, Hatherall B et al. Predictors of the timing of initiation of antenatal care in an ethnically diverse urban cohort in the UK. *BMJ Pregnancy Childbirth* 2013; 13: 103.

14 Kupek E, Petrou S, Vause S, Maresh M. Clinical, provider and sociodemographic predictors of late initiation of antenatal care in England and Wales. *BJOG* 2002; 109: 265–273.

15 Rowe RE, Magee H, Quigley MA, Heron P, Askham J, Brocklehurst P. Social and ethnic differences in attendance for antenatal care in England. *Public Health* 2008; 122: 1363–1372.

16 Hollowell J, Oakley L, Vigurs C, Barnett-Page E, Kavanagh J, Oliver S. Increasing the early initiation of antenatal care by Black and Minority Ethnic women in the United Kingdom: a systematic review and mixed methods synthesis of women’s views and the literature on intervention effectiveness. *British Medical Journal* 2012; 4: 110.

17 Benza S, Liamputtong P. Pregnancy, childbirth and motherhood: a meta-synthesis of the lived experiences of immigrant women. *Midwifery* 2014; 30: 575–584.

18 Boerleider AW, Wiegers TA, Mannien J, Francke AL, Deville WL. Factors affecting the use of prenatal care by non-western women in industrialized western countries: a systematic review. *BMJ Pregnancy Childbirth* 2013; 13: 81.

19 Therneau TM, Grambsch PM. *Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model*, New York, USA, Springer, 2000.

20 Jasseron C, Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R et al. Prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission: similar access for sub-Saharan African immigrants and for French women? *AIDS* 2008; 22: 1503–1511.

© 2016 The Authors. *HIV Medicine* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British HIV Association. *HIV Medicine* (2017), 18, 161–170.