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ABSTRACT… Objectives: To describe the pattern of thoracic surgical diseases at a tertiary care hospital in South-Punjab Pakistan. Study Design: Descriptive, cross-sectional study. Setting: Nishtar Medical University hospital Multan, Pakistan. Period: From 01-01-2018 to 31-12-2018. Material & Methods: All the patients who were admitted through the out-patient department or referred from other units, over the year 2018, were analyzed. Variables under consideration included mode of admission, patient’s diagnosis, a surgical procedure performed, type of anesthesia-induced and the mortality. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS statistics version 20. Mean and percentages were used for numerical data. Data were presented using descriptive statistics. Results: A total of 634 patients (370 females and 264 males) were admitted during the study period, out of which 566 came through the out-patient department while the remaining were referrals from other wards. In total, 697 surgeries were performed with esophagoscopy and dilation being the most frequent (38.7%), followed by tracheostomy (16.3%). Corrosive intake (49.8%) accounted as the cause for the highest number of admissions followed by empyema thoracis (11.4%). Conclusion: Local study on the topic of Thoracic Surgery audit, in terms of surgeries performed and variation of cases presented has become an absolute necessity for better health care planning of Pakistan. The pattern of thoracic surgical diseases varies considerably in different regions and populations. The evaluation of these disease patterns can help to adopt appropriate preventive measures to reduce the incidence of these diseases. Furthermore, it can significantly improve our health system.
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INTRODUCTION

The surgical audit has been designed for continuous professional development and quality enhancement in the health care system. It involves a critical analysis of the pattern of various surgical diseases, the standard and efficacy of surgical procedures and the associated complications. The auditing process, eventually, results in improved patient’s outcome and maintains high-quality health care creating a foundation to modify the various approaches to clinical problems and gives a rationale for local changes in clinical practices. Furthermore, surgical audit plays a pivotal role in the reduction of in-hospital cost by improving the quality of healthcare. Along with these benefits, the audit also documents clinical details of a patient’s mortality. A sound surgical audit exposes the deficiencies in knowledge and skills, hence act as feedback for surgeons to ameliorate their work. A periodic surgical audit also enables to identify pattern and prevalence of different diseases in a specific clinical setting or a geographical area. All in all, it presents valuable information about the variations in patient burden, procedures being performed and overall mortality.

The Thoracic Surgery Department of Nishter Hospital, Multan is an urban tertiary care level hospital which also receives referrals from other departments and various interlinked areas. It is an 18 beds ward along with an operation theatre and an endoscopy suite. The operation theatre runs three times a week and the OPD is conducted.
once a week. Furthermore, a day is also specified for performing flexible upper gastrointestinal endoscopies.

As Pakistan is a developing country with minimal programs for disease control and prevention, infections like pulmonary tuberculosis are quite common. Smoking plays a major role in adding to the number of chest infections. Moreover, limited resources are a big hindrance to offer quality care to the patients. This has resulted in a massive increase in patient load with thoracic surgical diseases. This situation is bleaker especially in South Punjab and remote areas of Baluchistan. Thus, it has become a need of time to study the prevalence, pattern, and course of different thoracic surgical diseases at the local level, to identify their risk factors and to provide data to policymakers for better planning of future strategies.

MATERIAL & METHODS
This is a retrospective, descriptive cross-sectional study, conducted at Department of Thoracic Surgery, Nishter Hospital Multan, Pakistan for a whole year starting from January 2018 to December 2018 after taking consent from the Ethical Board Review at the University. The data for the study were obtained from medical records of the corresponding department and formulated to gather relevant details such as a patient’s demographic details, investigations, diagnosis, treatment modalities, and the resultant clinical outcome. Variables such as types of surgical procedures, mode of anesthesia and mortality were also documented. Data were entered into the SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) version 20 with results being presented as frequencies and percentages using descriptive statistical tests.

RESULTS
According to our results, a total of 634 patients were recorded in 2018 in Thoracic Surgical Department among which 89.3% (n=566) were admitted through OPD while rest of the 10.7% (n=68) patients were referred from other wards. About 58.3% (n=370) of the patients were females and 41.6% (n=264) were males. The mean age of patients was 27 years (range 1 year – 85 years). Clinical mortality of 15 patients was recorded too.

About 697 surgical procedures were performed out of which 62% (n= 432) were performed under general anesthesia and 38% (n=265) under local. The most common surgical procedure carried out was esophagoscopy and dilation (38.7%) followed by tracheostomy (16.3%) and tube thoracostomy (12.8%). Further details of performed procedures are presented in Table-I.

| Procedures                                             | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Tracheostomy                                           | 111           | 16.3           |
| Esophagoscopy and dilation                             | 263           | 38.7           |
| Colonic interposition                                  | 3             | 0.4            |
| Bifid stomach tube or iso-peristaltic stomach tube     | 13            | 1.9            |
| Lobectomy                                              | 19            | 2.8            |
| Thoracotomy                                            | 19            | 2.8            |
| Esophagectomy                                          | 15            | 2.2            |
| Tube Thoracostomy                                      | 87            | 12.8           |
| Decortication                                          | 16            | 2.4            |
| Rib Resection                                          | 50            | 7.4            |
| Sternotomy                                             | 3             | 0.4            |
| Pleurocutaneous window                                 | 12            | 1.8            |
| Pneumonectomy                                          | 2             | 0.3            |
| Monaldi Procedure                                      | 5             | 0.7            |
| Rigid Bronchoscopy                                     | 10            | 1.5            |
| Rigid Oesophagoscopy                                   | 17            | 2.5            |
| Heller Myotomy                                         | 6             | 0.9            |
| Chamberlain Procedure                                  | 2             | 0.3            |
| Cervical Lymph node biopsy                             | 5             | 0.7            |
| Tracheal Resection and anastomosis                      | 2             | 0.3            |
| Bullectomy                                             | 2             | 0.3            |
| Open Lung Biopsy                                       | 2             | 0.3            |
| VATS Thymectomy                                        | 3             | 0.4            |
| Chest wall Mass Excision                               | 4             | 0.6            |
| Pleural Biopsy                                         | 5             | 0.7            |
| Cardiomyoplasty                                        | 4             | 0.6            |
| VATS Sympathectomy                                     | 1             | 0.1            |
| Total                                                  | 679           | 100            |

Table-I. Details of surgical procedures performed

Over the whole year, corrosive ingestion was
most recurring disease (49.8%), followed by empyema thoracis (11.4%) and esophageal carcinoma (9.0%). The least reported cases were of lung carcinoma, mediastinal mass and lung cavitation (0.3%). Details of all thoracic surgical cases reported in 2018 are described in Table-II.

| Diseases                  | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Corrosive Intake          | 316           | 49.8           |
| Post Cricoid Cancer       | 42            | 6.6            |
| Esophageal Carcinoma      | 57            | 9.0            |
| Lung Cavitation           | 2             | 0.3            |
| Empyema Thoracis          | 44            | 6.9            |
| Mediastinal Mass          | 72            | 11.4           |
| Hydropneumothorax         | 2             | 0.3            |
| Lung Myectomy             | 6             | 0.9            |
| Congenital Lobar Emphysema| 3             | 0.5            |
| Pleural Effusion          | 11            | 1.7            |
| Chest wall Mass           | 9             | 1.4            |
| Lung Hydatid Cyst         | 11            | 1.7            |
| Bronchiectasis            | 7             | 1.1            |
| Achalasia                 | 14            | 2.2            |
| Lung Carcinoma            | 13            | 2.1            |
| Hemothorax                | 2             | 0.3            |
| Pypopneumothorax          | 6             | 0.9            |
| Flail Chest               | 6             | 0.9            |
| Laryngeal Carcinoma       | 6             | 0.9            |
|                            | 4             | 0.6            |
| Total                     | 634           | 100            |

Table-II. Pattern of thoracic surgical cases

DISCUSSION
Auditing of surgical procedures helps hospitals analyze the outcome and efficiency of a surgical ward. This directly helps to establish a more public accounting for the errors and mishaps that take place during the surgical procedures and eventually confront the morbidity and mortality rates of the patients.\(^7\) In a country which lacks resources and funds for managing the outgrowing number of patients presenting to the surgical wards for treatment, auditing can prove to be a blessing. In our study, tracheostomy was one of the procedures that top the list of the frequently performed procedures. Tracheostomy can be a failure or might result in numerous complications associated with aero digestive tracts. Emergency dealing physicians in our tertiary care setup still lack the expertise to completely avoid the magnitude of the consequences such a procedure might face and patients habitually return with an aggravated issue. Freeman-Sanderson et al.\(^8\) highlighted the importance of auditing the procedures of tracheostomy in a tertiary care hospital of Sydney, Australia by collecting a record of 140 patients undergoing the procedure in one year. Regardless of the sizes of the tracheostomy tubes inserted, 109 patients faced speech-language pathologies and were re-admitted, reaffirming the necessity of an audit system. Esophagoscopy and dilation were the most commonly performed surgical procedures in the thoracic surgery department of our hospital. Around 263 cases reported for the year 2018 which is a humongous number for a single endoscopic procedure in one year. Keren et al.\(^9\) worked on a 9-year long retrospective review to audit gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures establishing the fact that endoscopic procedures were a frequent practice in Bnai Zion Medical Center of Haifa, Israel.

Our study also reported corrosives to be a leading cause of emergency procedures similar to a study from Turkey\(^10\) which audited 629 cases in two years presenting with a single agent corrosive poisoning. It is worthy of mention that all these upper gastrointestinal endoscopies were performed for the dilation of caustic stricture. In the rural areas of Punjab, the prevalence of caustic ingestion was found to be quite high in young females of low socioeconomic status.\(^11\) This puts the country under great financial stress to deal with which compels the government to introduce educational programs and identify the risk factors. Corrosive poisoning in such a big number is in itself alarming for a region and the reason could very well in our country, be the lack of information and education on the harmful effects of poisons and corrosives or even domestic abuse. Dire actions should be taken to find the causes of intentional or forced corrosive ingestion and interventions should be made to prevent fatalities.
Surgeries for esophageal carcinoma were also seen in a considerable number in the Thoracic surgery department. Auditing of this surgery is vital to a hospital’s record to analyze whether there has been an increase or decrease in the number of cancer surgeries or their complications over the year that lead to re-admissions. Goan et al.\textsuperscript{12} reported cervical anastomotic leakage or pulmonary complications like effusion or empyema to be major reasons for re-admission after esophageal carcinoma surgery. We also present a similar report of approximately 9 cases of pleural effusion and 72 cases of empyema thoracis in our surgical audit study. These can be attributed to a high incidence of infections like tuberculosis in Pakistan where an approximate population of 5.7 million suffer from the aforementioned disease.\textsuperscript{13} Only a few patients with lung carcinoma or mediastinal tumors have been recorded in our audit. In addition to it, most of them presented to us at an advanced irresectable stage. This highlights the essence of a routine complete clinical assessment using chest radiographs. Periodic screening (annual or semiannual) in the high-risk lung carcinoma population with chest radiographs improves the distribution of these patients by disease stage, tumor resectability, and patient survival.\textsuperscript{13} This also highlights the fact that despite the presence of islands of a standardized protocol and work in some of the public health care facilities, the excellence needs to spread to all institutions through active participation and a thorough monitoring process initiated by all professionals.

**CONCLUSION**

There is an urgent need for country-wide studies on the subject of the surgical audit. It should be an obligatory part of every surgeon’s training, if not mandatory. The spectrum of diseases needs to be explored and the changes occurring in the pattern of admissions and treatments need to be documented to improve healthcare in Pakistan.
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