Introduction

Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), the most frequent histologic type of lung cancer, is also one of the most frequently diagnosed carcinoma and one of the leading cause of cancer-related death in the world (1). There is a great improvement in the therapy of LUAD in recent years, but the prognosis of LUAD is still poor with less than 18% of 5-year survival rates (2). We all know that the development of lung cancer, including LUAD, is a multifactor process, and numerous genes participate in the process. Therefore, identification of the key genes...
of LUAD is crucial for understanding the mechanism of LUAD and provides possible therapeutic targets for further clinical application.

The rapid progress in proteomics, genomics and bioinformatics, especially gene-expression profiling by microarray have promoted the discovery of mechanism and key genes in many kinds of diseases, especially in tumors (3-5). Using gene microarray chips can conveniently detect the genes expression information and is very useful for screening differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (6). This method has been widely used to explore the mechanism of diseases, and a large number of microarray datasets have been produced in recent years and a great number of these datasets have been stored in the public databases, such as NCBI-Gene Expression Omnibus database (NCBI-GEO) and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (7). Re-analyzing and integrating the datasets of these public databases can produce useful clues for our research.

In recent years, a great number of microarray studies of LUAD have been carried out, and thousands of DEGs (8,9) have been identified. But due to the heterogeneity of the tissues and samples in independent studies, these results are always limited or inconsistent. Many results also are produced from single cohort study and the sample size is too small. All of these effects cause a poor reliability of the results. However, using integrated bioinformatics methods might decrease these disadvantages. In this study, we downloaded three original human LUAD microarray datasets [GSE43458 (10), GSE32863 (11), GSE10072 (12)] from the NCBI-GEO (available online: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo). A total of 196 LUAD samples and 137 normal samples were available from these three datasets. We first analyzed DEGs by GEO2R and identified shared DEGs in all three datasets, and then developed Gene ontology, wiki-pathway enrichment analysis. In order to identify hub genes for DEGs, Cytoscape software were used to construct protein–protein interaction (PPI) network (http://string-db.org) and calculate node degrees. We further verified the differential expressions and the association with the prognosis of LUAD of these hub genes in the TCGA and the GTEx projects, using GEPIA (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html) (13), which contain 483 LUAD patients and 374 normal individuals. Besides, we also analyzed the association with the prognosis of LUAD of those hub genes by Kaplan-Meier analysis, an online tool Kaplan-Meier Plotter (http://kmplot.com/analysis/) (14). The genetic alterations of these hub genes in LUAD patients were studied by using cBioPortal. Identifying DEGs and finding the key candidate genes will help us to find more accurate and reliable targets for early diagnosis and therapy of LUAD.

We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-2110).

**Methods**

**The information of microarray datasets and identification of DEGs**

Three gene expression profiles of LUAD and normal or adjacent non-tumor lung tissues (GSE43458, GSE32863, GSE10072) were obtained from NCBI-GEO. The microarray data of GSE43458 was based on GPL6244, including 80 LUAD and 30 normal lung tissues (10). The GSE32863 dataset was based on Platforms GPL6884, including 58 LUAD and 58 adjacent normal lung tissues (11). The GSE10072 dataset was based on GPL96 Platforms, including 58 LUAD and 49 normal lung tissues (12). For data analysis we used GEO2R, an online tool of GEO. The criteria of DEGs was adjust P value <0.05 and |logFC| >1. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

**Gene ontology, WikiPathway enrichment analysis, integration of PPI network and identification of hub genes**

The functional annotation including Gene Ontology analysis and WikiPathway analysis of DEGs was done by CluGo APP of Cytoscape software platform (15) with P<0.05 as the cut-off criterion. The PPI network of the DEGs was constructed by a widely used online tools of The Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING) (http://string-db.org) (16), the threshold of PPIs was confidence score >0.4. The PPI network was reconstructed by Cytoscape software platform (15). Hub genes of the network were identified by a plug-in of Cytoscape software platform called cytoHubba, which has a powerful function to explore subnetworks and important nodes in a given network by calculating the connectivity of nodes with several topological algorithms, in this study two kinds of topological algorithms (MCC, Degree) was respectively used to calculate the top 25 nodes in the PPI network, and we identified 20 shared nodes as the most significant candidate genes in both methods.
Verify the hub genes in the TCGA and the GTEx projects
We verify the differential expressions of these hub genes in the TCGA and the GTEx projects, using GEPIA (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html) (13), which contain 483 LUAD patients and 374 normal individuals. The criteria of DEGs was adjust P value <0.001 and |logFC| >1.

Verify the association between hub genes and prognosis of LUAD
We verify the association between hub genes and prognosis of LUAD using GEPIA (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html) (13), which contain 483 LUAD patients’ survival data. Then further analyzed the association between the prognosis of LUAD and these hub genes by Kaplan-Meier analysis, an online tool Kaplan-Meier Plotter (http://kmplot.com/analysis/) (14), which contain 2,437 lung cancer patients with relapse-free and overall survival data. The criteria of significant association was log-rank P value <0.05.

The genetic alterations of these hub genes in LUAD patients
We investigate the genetic alterations of these hub genes in LUAD patients by an online tool The eBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://www.ebioportal.org/), we choose four studies about LUAD, which contain 1,847 LUAD patients totally.

The expression of CENPF in different subgroups of LUAD patients
The online tool UALCAN (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu) can provide useful information about different subgroups of genes in 31 cancer types according to age, gender, race, smoking condition and cancer stage groups. We use UALCAN to investigate the expression of CENPF in different subgroups of LUAD.

Statistical analysis
We used GEO2R to analysis GEO data and identify DEGs, an online tool (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r/). The criteria of DEGs was adjust P value <0.05 and |logFC| >1. Survival analysis was performed using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and a log-rank test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results
Identification of DEGs in LUAD
We screened 897, 1,239 and 646 DEGs from three datasets GSE43458, GSE32863 and GSE10072 respectively, with cut-off criterion of adjusting P value <0.05 and |logFC| >1. And 240 overlapping DEGs were obtained from the three profile datasets (Figure 1).

Functional annotation of DEGs
The functions and pathways enrichment of candidate DEGs were analyzed using Cytoscape software platform CluoGO APP. The DEGs were classified into biological process (BP) group, molecular function (MF) group and cellular component (CC) group (Figure 2). As shown in Figure 2, the BP group of DEGs mainly enriched in: kidney development, response to corticosteroid, regulation of epithelial cell apoptotic process, cardiac chamber morphogenesis, muscle organ development, negative regulation of proteolysis, negative regulation of peptidase activity, cellular response to transforming growth factor beta stimulus; the MF group of DEGs mainly enriched in: low density lipoprotein receptor activity, calcitonin family receptor activity, primary amine oxidase activity, metalloendopeptidase inhibitor activity, phospholipase A2 inhibitor activity, potassium channel inhibitor activity; the CC group of DEGs mainly enriched: in spindle pole, amylin receptor complex, fibrillar collagen trimer, external side of plasma membrane, basolateral plasma membrane, myosin II complex, intrinsic component of external side of plasma membrane; the WikiPathway of DEGs mainly enriched: in matrix metalloproteinases,
Figure 2 Functions and pathways enrichment of DEGs. biological process (BP) group, molecular function (MF) group, cellular component (CC) group and wiki-pathway was analyzed by Cytoscape software platform CluGo APP with P<0.05 as the cut-off criterion. The length of the bar represents the gene number of enriched. DEGs, differentially expressed genes.
angiogenesis, gastric cancer network 1, spinal cord injury, IL1 and megakaryocytes in obesity.

The construction of PPI network and identification of key candidate genes

All the overlapping 240 DEGs were filtered into the DEGs PPI network by the STRING online tools and Cytoscape software. There are 240 nodes and 749 edges in the network (Figure 3).

We have used two kinds of topological algorithms (including Degree and MCC) to calculate the top 25 nodes in the PPI-network (Figure 4A,B, Tables 1,2), and we have identified 20 shared nodes (IL6, MMP9, EDN1, VWF, TOP2A, CD34, COL1A1, SPP1, CDC20, KIAA0101, CDH5, CCNB2, NEK2, PRC1, TIMP3, TYMS, CENPF, TPX2) as the most significant candidate genes in both methods (Figure 4C, Table 3).

Verify the hub genes in the TCGA and the GTEx projects

We verified the differential expression of LUAD of these hub genes in the TCGA and the GTEx projects, using GEPIA, which contains 483 LUAD patients and 374 normal individuals. With the criteria of P value <0.001 and |logFC| >1, all these hub genes were differentially expressed except TIMP1 and FOS (Figure 5).

Verify the association between hub genes and prognosis of LUAD

We first verified the association between hub genes and prognosis of LUAD using GEPIA, which contains 483 LUAD patients’ survival data. The high expression of these ten genes, including: TPX2 (HR =1.6; log rank P=0.0013), CENPF (HR =1.5; log rank P=0.0098), TYMS (HR =1.7; log rank P=0.00052), PRC1 (HR =1.6; log rank P=0.0012),
NEK2 (HR =1.7; log rank P=0.00031), CCNB2 (HR =1.7; log rank P=0.00079), KIAA0101 (HR =1.6; log rank P=0.0012), CDC20 (HR =1.5; log rank P=0.011) and SPP1 (HR =1.4; log rank P=0.015), were confirmed to associate with the poor prognosis of LUAD in this analysis (Figure 6).

Then we further verified the association between the prognosis of lung cancer and hub genes by Kaplan-Meier analysis using online tool Kaplan-Meier Plotter, which contains 2,437 lung cancer patients with relapse-free and overall survival data. The high expression of these ten genes also have significant association with the poor prognosis of lung cancer in this analysis (Figure 7), including TPX2 [HR =2.62 (2.19–3.15); log rank P<1E−16], CENPF [HR =1.61 (1.42–1.84); log rank P=2E−13], TYMS [HR =1.86 (1.6–2.15); log rank P<1E−16], PRC1 [HR =2.31 (1.94–2.76); log rank P<1E−16], NEK2 [HR =2.09 (1.76–2.49); log rank P<1E−16], CCNB2 [HR =2.57 (2.14–3.08); log rank P<1E−16], KIAA0101 [HR =1.9 (1.63–2.22); log rank P<1E−16], CDC20 [HR =2.29 (1.93–2.73); log rank P<1E−16], TOP2A [HR =2.15 (1.81–2.56); log rank P<1E−16] and SPP1 [HR =1.33 (1.17–1.51); log rank P=1E−05].

The genetic alterations of these hub genes in LUAD patients

The genetic alterations of these hub genes by cBioPortal in
LUAD patients showed that CENPF had the highest rate of 4%, the rate of NEK2 was 2.2%, the rate of TPX2 was 1.5%, and the most commonly alteration type was missense mutation (Figure 8).

**The expression of CENPF in different subgroups of LUAD patients**

The expression of CENPF was significantly increased in all age, gender, race, smoking condition and cancer stage groups of LUAD patients (Figure 9). The P value of all subgroup vs. normal group was <0.05. But there was no significant difference in LUAD patients between different age groups, and also no significant difference between different gender, race and cancer stage groups. The P value between each subgroup was not <0.05.

**Discussion**

In recent years, a large number of basic and clinical studies have been conducted to explore the underlying mechanisms and causes of LUAD development and progression, but

| Rank | Name   | Score |
|------|--------|-------|
| 1    | IL6    | 47    |
| 2    | MMP9   | 31    |
| 3    | EDN1   | 27    |
| 4    | VWF    | 24    |
| 5    | TOP2A  | 22    |
| 6    | CD34   | 21    |
| 7    | FOS    | 20    |
| 8    | COL1A1 | 17    |
| 8    | SPP1   | 17    |
| 8    | DCN    | 17    |
| 11   | TIMP1  | 16    |
| 11   | COL3A1 | 16    |
| 13   | CDC20  | 15    |
| 13   | CD36   | 15    |
| 15   | KIAA0101 | 14 |
| 15   | CDH5   | 14    |
| 17   | CCNB2  | 13    |
| 18   | NEK2   | 12    |
| 18   | PRC1   | 12    |
| 18   | TIMP3  | 12    |
| 18   | TYMS   | 12    |
| 18   | A2M    | 12    |
| 18   | CAV1   | 12    |
| 24   | CENPF  | 11    |
| 24   | TPX2   | 11    |
the morbidity and mortality rates of LUAD are still high worldwide. The primary cause of this dilemma is that the development and progression of LUAD is a multifactor process, which is influenced by numerous genes. However, most studies only study a single cohort population or focus on a single gene, which may limit the accuracy and credibility of the results.

In this study, we integrated three LUAD profile datasets from NCBI-GEO public database to deeply analyze the data by bioinformatic methods, and identified 240 overlapped DEGs in the first step. And the results of GO analysis showed that the DEGs were involved in kidney development, response to corticosteroid, regulation of epithelial cell apoptotic process in BP; wiki-pathway enrichment annotation indicated that the DEGs were mainly enriched in matrix metalloproteinases, angiogenesis, gastric cancer network 1, spinal cord injury.

Bioinformatic analysis is considered as a powerful tool to explore novel diagnosis markers and therapeutic targets for various diseases, particularly for cancers, in recent years. A study in gastric cancer reveal that COL1A2, THBS2, COL1A1, ITGA5 and COL4A1 may be potential biomarkers and useful therapeutic targets for gastric cancer.
Another integrated bioinformatic analysis study of prostate cancer has shown that the BZRAP1-AS1 may become a potential powerful biomarker of prostate cancer (18). Numerous similar studies of LUAD have been conducted as well. In LUAD patients, four transcription factors, including forkhead box D1, homeobox A5, E74-like ETS transcription factor 5 and Krüppel-like factor 5, had been identified to be associated with LUAD by integrated bioinformatic analysis. However, their studies selected candidate genes only using module method to calculate the degree of connectivity of the nodes in the PPI-network. In addition, their selected candidate genes were not validated in the TCGA and the GTEx projects, and the association between the candidate genes and the prognosis of LUAD had not been validated in the study.

In Our study, we integrated three GEO datasets, and in the PPI-network we calculate the top 25 genes by the MCC method and Degree method of CytoHubba plugin, and 20 genes was overlapped in both methods. Then we revalidated the results in the database of TCGA and the GTEx. As a result, we identified 18 common nodes, including IL6, MMP9, EDN1, VWF, TOP2A, CD34, COL1A1, SPP1, CDC20, KIAA0101, CDH5, CCNB2, NEK2, PRC1, TIMP3, TYMS, CENPF, TPX2, as the most significant candidate genes in both databases. Furthermore, we verified the association between these hub genes and the prognosis of LUAD by TCGA and the GTEx projects, which increased the reliability of our research. We found that ten hub genes, including TPX2, CENPF, TYMS, PRC1, NEK2, CCNB2, KIAA0101, CDC20, TOP2A and SPP1, associated with robust poor prognosis of LUAD.

TPX2 has the highest HR value and the third highest genetic alteration rate in this study. Numerous studies reported that TPX2 was a mitotic factor and important for organization of microtubule, formation of spindle (19-21). A recent study has reported that TPX2 plays a critical role as a coactivator of AURKA in the drug resistance of LUAD for carrying EGFR mutations (22). The thymidylate synthase (TYMS) plays an important role in the early stages of DNA biosynthesis and have been identified as an important chemotherapy target for several pathological type of cancers (23). TYMS is found to be associated with the effective treatment of pemetrexed in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCL) (24), and the polymorphisms of TYMS are contribute to the risk of NSCL in non-Hispanic whites (25). PRC1, which plays a crucial role in activating the Wnt/β-catenin pathway, is considered as a powerful prognostic biomarker and a promising therapeutic target for LUAD (26). In other studies, NEK2, CCNB2, KIAA0101, CDC20, SPP1 and TOP2A were also identified as prognostic factors to predict outcomes for patients with NSCLC or LUAD (27-31).

CENPF has been reported as a prognostic biomarker of prostate cancer for poor survival and metastasis (32), but rare studies have reported the association between CENPF and LUAD. Centromere protein F (CENPF) encodes a centromere-kinetochore protein, which is a component of the nuclear matrix during the G2 phase of interphase and plays an important role in the process of chromosome segregation during cell mitosis (33). Many studies have revealed that the overexpression of CENPF was associated with poor prognosis of several kinds of tumors, such as breast cancer, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma and bladder cancer (34,35). But the carcinogenesis role, expression characteristic and potential target of CENPF in LUAD have not been

| Table 3 20 shared nodes in both methods |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| IL6              | MMP9             |
| MMP9             | EDN1             |
| EDN1             | VWF              |
| VWF              | TOP2A            |
| TOP2A            | CD34             |
| CD34             | FOS              |
| FOS              | COL1A1           |
| COL1A1           | SPP1             |
| SPP1             | TIMP1            |
| TIMP1            | CDC20            |
| CDC20            | KIAA0101         |
| KIAA0101         | CDH5             |
| CDH5             | CCNB2            |
| CCNB2            | NEK2             |
| NEK2             | PRC1             |
| PRC1             | TIMP3            |
| TIMP3            | TYMS             |
| TYMS             | CENPF            |
| CENPF            | TPX2             |
Figure 5 The differential expression of 18 hub genes in the TCGA and the GTEx projects about LUAD patients. Red box represents LUAD samples and gray box represent normal samples. The asterisk (*) means $P<0.05$. LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.
Figure 6 Prognostic curve of hub genes in the TCGA and the GTEx projects about LUAD patients. Only show the significant ten hub genes. The red curve represents high expression of the gene. The blue curve represents low expression of the gene. LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.
Figure 7 Kaplan-Meier analysis of ten hub genes in the Kaplan-Meier plotter database about lung cancer patients. The red curve represents high expression of the gene. The dark curve represents low expression of the gene.
In our study, CENPF has the highest genetic alteration rate in LUAD patients. The most commonly alteration type was missense mutation. The expression of CENPF was significantly increased in all age, gender, race, smoking condition and cancer stage groups of LUAD patients. The result suggested that CENPF may become a promising target for further study in LUAD.

In recent similar study, the mRNA level and protein level of CENPF was significantly increased in breast cancer and lung cancer, and the potential downstream signal pathway of CENPF were P53 pathway, PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway, and mTORC1 pathway. CENPF played an important role in promoting bone metastasis in breast cancer through the PI3K-AKT-mTORC1 pathway (34). In our study, we found CENPF has the highest genetic alteration rate in LUAD, this manifest CENPF maybe a potential powerful biomarker or a therapy target of LUAD. Large sample clinical studies should be performed to further confirm the association between CENPF and LUAD in the future.

However, there are certain limitations in this study, such as that these findings were obtained from microarray data and online databases via bioinformatic methods. Therefore, more basic studies and clinic studies of large sample and multicenter are necessary to further confirm the results of this study in the future.

**Conclusions**

A total of ten genes including TPX2, CENPF, TYMS, PRC1, NEK2, CCNB2, KIAA0101, CDC20, TOP2A and SPP1 were identified as key candidate genes in LUAD, and CENPF may play a critical role in the carcinogenesis of LUAD. Our findings may provide a deeper understanding of the
development and progression of LUAD.
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