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Abstract

This text describes the process of development of the new Spanish Prehospital Advanced Triage Method (META) and explain its main features and contribution to prehospital triage systems in mass casualty incidents. The triage META is based in the Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) protocols, patient’s anatomical injuries and mechanism of injury. It is a triage method with four stages including early identification of patients with severe trauma that would benefit from a rapid evacuation to a surgical facility and introduces a new patient flow by-passing the advanced medical post to improve evacuation. The stages of triage META are: I) Stabilization triage that classifies patients according to severity to set priorities for initial emergency treatment; II) Identifying patients requiring urgent surgical treatment, this is done at the same time than stage I and creates a new flow of patients with high priority for evacuation; III) Implementation of Advanced Trauma Life Support protocols to patients previously classified according to stablished priority; and IV) Evacuation triage, stablishing evacuation priorities in case of lacks of appropriate transport resources. The triage META is to be applied only by prehospital providers with advanced knowledge and training in advanced trauma life support care and has been designed to be implemented as prehospital procedure in mass casualty incidents (MCI).

Background

Mass casualty incidents (MCI) are defined by World Health Organization as events which generate more patients at one time than locally available resources can manage using routine procedures [1]. A recent population based epidemiological study has identified more MCI than expected [2], and this should be taken into consideration when planning the response. This means that special procedures must be used in these situations in order to save as many lives as possible. One of these procedures is triage [3], defined as classification of patients in various categories according to severity and prognosis, to determine the priority of treatment and evacuation [4]. A number of studies have been conducted to try to define adequate specificity and sensitivity of a suitable triage method to be used in the prehospital scene [5] and a systematic review concluded that “there is a lack of scientific evidence about the effects of validated pre-hospital triage systems and about the effects of using the same triage system in two or more settings of the Emergency Medical Services (EMS)” [6]. Some triage methods have demonstrated good performance in training exercises [7, 8] but not in real incidents [9].

Most of these triage methods are based in basic life support techniques and have been designed to be applied by rescue teams and firemen [10]. The specific and complex development of EMS worldwide [11, 12] have made necessary to develop new triage methods adapted to advanced medical care in the prehospital setting in order to take advantage of medical knowledge that advanced medical teams can perform on the field [13]. In a MCI basic triage methods can underestimate severity of
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injured people and can lead to an unappropiate prehos-
pital care or even overestimate severity which could lead
to overuse of resources for patients that don’t need them
[14]. Some recently developed triage methods have tried
to improve those aspects [15]. A relevant element to be
improved in advanced triage methods is early detection
of severe injured patients that could benefit from rapid
transport to a surgical facility, instead of delaying trans-
port due to overalmed resources in the prehospital
setting.

The aim of this paper is to present the development
process and design of the Spanish prehospital advanced
triage method (META, Spanish acronym for Modelo
Extrahospitalario de Triage Avanzado) as well as its
main features and field operating mode in a mass cas-
uality incident. It should be noted that META is a
method of advanced triage type to be used only by ad-
vanced prehospital providers with adequate training and
education in advanced trauma life support protocols and
techniques.

**Methods**

The development process of the META was made in
several stages: in the first stage we performed an extensive
literature review of the currently available prehospital tri-
age methods, their features and contextual healthcare sys-
tem in which they were designed in order to identify and
list all parameters that could be potentially used in the
prehospital classification of victims (triage) during a MCI.

During the second phase of the study the degree of per-
ceived usefulness and feasibility of use in the setting of an
MCI were analyzed for each of the selected parameters by
a sample of health professionals of the Spanish healthcare
system. To do this a survey was designed for doctors and
nurses working in hospital emergency departments and
prehospital emergency care systems in which they were
asked to evaluate three dimensions for each parameter: (i)
Ability to predict the patient’s vital risk, (ii) Ability to
prioritize patient evacuation and (iii) Feasibility of use of
the parameter in the prehospital setting in case of MCI.

To assess the relevance of each parameter a numerical
scale from one (irrelevant) to ten (maximum relevance)
was used in each of the three dimensions.

Once studied the degree of perceived usefulness and
feasibility of use of each parameter during the third
phase of the study, a wide panel of experts from differ-
ent backgrounds (doctors, nurses, prehospital care staff,
hospital emergency room and surgical trauma care staff)
finally decided the parameters to be included in the tri-
age method and sequence of application of each param-
eter during the triage process.

**Results**

Table 1 Mean scores of parameters perceived as significantly relevant ($p < 0.05$) to be included in a system of triage in an scale from one to ten

| Parameter                  | Vital risk | Health care priority | Usage feasibility | Global mean |
|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Systolic blood pressure    | 7,646153846| 7,246153846          | 6,98461538        | 7,292307691 |
| Intrusion                 | 7,4        | 7,03769231           | 7,90769231        | 7,446153847 |
| Vehicle struck            | 7,384615385| 7,769230769          | 8,10769231        | 7,753846155 |
| Another deceased person   | 7,753846154| 7,230769231          | 8,44615385        | 7,810256412 |
| Mechanic ventilation      | 7,861538462| 8,415384615          | 7,32307692        | 7,866666666 |
| Stridor                   | 7,533846154| 8,092307692          | 8,15384615        | 7,933333332 |
| Pelvic fracture           | 8,169230769| 8,8                  | 7,21538462        | 8,061538463 |
| Gun fire                  | 8,03769231 | 8,2                  | 8,23076923        | 8,153846154 |
| Ejection                  | 8          | 8,107692308          | 8,38461538        | 8,164102563 |
| Conscience level          | 8,123076923| 8,415384615          | 8,2              | 8,246153846 |
| Breathing retraction      | 8,076923077| 8,461538462          | 8,27692308        | 8,271794873 |
| Skin alteration           | 8,230769231| 8,46153846           | 8,292307694       | 8,323076922 |
| Carotid pulse             | 8,415384615| 8,338461538          | 8,27692308        | 8,343589744 |
| Prehospital intubation    | 8,4        | 8,733076923          | 8,01538462        | 8,379487181 |
| Skull fracture            | 8,615384615| 8,615384615          | 8,6              | 8,61025641 |
| Hinged chest              | 8,461538462| 9,030769231          | 8,46153855        | 8,646153848 |
| AIRWAY OBSTRUCTION        | 9,076923077| 8,784615385          | 8,84615385        | 8,902564104 |
Fig. 1 Parameters perceived as significantly relevant ($p<0.05$) to be included in a system of triage.

Fig. 2 Main steps in META triage model.
The MCI different from those already mentioned. Appendix shows the complete list of 45 identified parameters.

Seventeen out of the 45 parameters studied were found to be significantly relevant \( (p < 0.05) \) for potential inclusion in the META. Table 1 and Fig. 1 show the scores obtained for the three dimensions studied for each parameter, as well as the overall mean. Ten of them, most of them with higher scores, were related to severe trauma patient clinical evaluation and advanced trauma life support (ATLS). The rest were related to mechanism of injury and anatomical lesions. Taking into consideration these results, the panel of experts organized the selected parameters to design the final triage method which is mainly based in the ATLS protocols and in severe trauma patient field triage to detect potential surgical life threatening injuries.

META triage is to be implemented during the medical prehospital response to an MCI and has four stages: 1) Stabilization triage, 2) Identification of need of urgent surgical care, 3) Advanced trauma life support techniques and 4) Evacuation triage (Fig. 2).

1- Stabilization triage: at this stage patients are initially evaluated using the advanced trauma life support protocols and every patient with actual or potential risk for airway, breathing or circulation is classified as red. Patients with single neurological disability or in need of hospital evaluation after brief exposition are classified as yellow. The rest of the patients are classified as green. At this stage only basic life saving interventions are performed like basic airway opening and haemorrhage control with pressure or tourniquet [16] (Fig. 3).

2- Identification of need of urgent surgical care. The aim of this stage (applied at the same time as first stage) is to identify patients who do not benefit of complex prehospital care and needs rapid transport to a surgical facility. This creates a new flow of patients that will bypass advanced medical post and will be directed to evacuation area with a minimum acceptable care. For these purpose we used the Guidelines for field triage of injured patients developed in 2011 by the National Expert Panel of Field Triage [17]. These recommendations were adapted by the panel of experts to be applied in EMS with advanced resources. Final recommendations in this stage are: a) All penetrating injuries to head, neck, torso and extremities proximal to elbow or knee, b) Open pelvic fracture, c) Closed pelvic fracture with mechanical or haemodynamic instability and d) Blunt torso trauma with haemodynamic instability.

3- Advanced Trauma Life Support. All patients, once classified will be treated following advanced trauma life support protocols [18]. Red patients from first
stage will be treated first, then yellow and finally green.

4. Evacuation triage. Once we have treated out of hospital emergencies on the field, we have to decide, in a scarred resources situation, which patient needs to be evacuated first. The first ones will be those with urgent surgical care need that have not been detected prior to evacuation. Then, we have define a new category as “high priority criteria” for those who have severe injury with haemodynamic or respiratory instability and one of these: systolic blood pressure under 110 mmHg [19], motor Glasgow coma score under six [20], intubation or explosion in confined space [21]. Patients with airway, breathing or circulation compromise not solved with high priority criteria will be first evacuated, then those with ABC compromise not solved but without high priority criteria. Then red patients with solved ABC compromise. All these patients will have red tags [22]. Next will be patients with single neurological disability, and finally those needing hospital evaluation but without any of the mentioned situations (Fig. 4). The full META triage model is represented in Fig. 5.

Discussion
The selection of the variables identified as potentially be incorporated to an advanced triage method is based primarily on well-known aspects of the clinical approach to prehospital treatment of patients with severe trauma, as well as in physiological, and anatomical aspects and the mechanism of injury. Once evaluated by doctors and nurses, parameters with higher scores are those related to physiological aspects. We decided to design a method based in the ATLS protocol but with an anatomical component that creates a new high priority category for those patients that benefit from rapid transport to a surgical facility.

This new model of triage would be useful specially for EMS staffed by doctors or nurses, but also for EMS based in paramedics with an advanced education, knowledge and skills in the management of patients with acute severe

---

**Fig. 4** Evacuation triage

---

**URGENT SURGICAL EVALUATION**
- All penetrating injuries to head, neck, torso and extremities proximal to elbow or knee
- Open pelvic fracture
- Closed pelvic fracture with mechanical or haemodynamical instability
- Blunt torso trauma with haemodynamical instability

**HIGH PRIORITY CRITERIA (HPC)**: Severe injury with haemodynamic or respiratory instability and one of these: SBP<110, mGCS<6, intubation or explosion in confined space

**MOST RELEVANT SEVERE INJURIES**: Open or depressed skull fracture
- Chest wall instability
- Two or more proximal long bone fractures
- Crushed, degloved or mangled extremity
- Amputation proximal to wrist or ankle
- Severe burns
trauma. Most of the well known triage methods do not
fulfil the principles of the advanced trauma life support. In
the above triage methods [23], for example, if a patient is
able to walk will be classified as mild patient (green), but
most doctors and nurses know that a patient with severe
burns can walk, or even a patient in shock due to abdom-
inal bleeding can also do it in the early stages of shock;
both are typical examples of undertriage. Another ex-
ample would be a patient with a respiratory rate of 35 per
minute caused by a state of anxiety and should not be
classified as severe. These two are typical examples of
patients benefiting from using a more accurate method of
triage to be able to early identify circumstances that may
threaten their lives or to identify those patients who do
not even need a hospital evaluation. Another example
would be a patient with a respiratory rate of 35 per
minute caused by a state of anxiety and should not be
classified as severe. These two are typical examples of
patients benefiting from using a more accurate method of
triage to be able to early identify circumstances that may
threaten their lives or to identify those patients who do
not even need a hospital evaluation. These are typical
cases in which the triage META would provide a more
accurate triage in a MCI. Also the fact that the design of
META has taken into account the perception of doctors
and nurses on different aspects of the parameters included
suggests that the method is better received by advanced
prehospital care providers. On the other hand, the fact
that the organization of triage META is done in different
stages makes it easy to incorporate into the usual proce-
dures in MCI. The first stage on META helps us to detect
life-threatening injuries, gives us an initial idea for the
organization and helps us to prioritize patients. We only
apply the basic life-saving techniques to keep patient alive
waiting for the next stage, and at the same time we apply
second stage to identify those injuries that threaten the
patient’s life and who benefit from rapid transport to sur-
gical facility.

In a MCI with overwhelmed healthcare resources the
flow of patients at different stages of the medical response
is often slow. This means that patient transportation may
be delayed and for some patients this could be life threat-
ening [24]. This is one of the reasons why we have created
a second stage to identify those patients who have a high
priority for evacuation so as not to have to go through all
medical posts but go directly from the triage area to the
evacuation area with minimum acceptable care. Third
stage is useful to apply advanced trauma life support tech-
niques according to patients needs and means that priority
may change. Most advanced techniques are performed in
this stage for advanced life support teams who have to
decide, according to the needs and available resources, which are the most feasible techniques according to the circumstances.

Once a patient is treated or stabilized should go to the evacuation point. In this point we go to stage four for evacuation triage. This last triage allows us to use available transport resources in the best possible way. In case of lack of transport resources patients classified as red have the highest priority for evacuation. These “high priority criteria” allows us to distinguish different priorities among patients classified as red and are based in a combination of clinical elements and injury mechanisms in order to improve evacuation of severe patients. This new method of advanced triage is highly adaptable to future scientific findings related to prehospital care and organization of MCI.

One of the strengths of triage META is that has been developed taking into account the perception of emergency services staff on the factors to be considered in a method of triage and this is an important aspect that influences the success in implementation in daily work. Also the varied background of the expert panel has made possible a broad discussion on the different aspects and perceptions of the management of trauma care in the prehospital healthcare of MCI. Triage research has many limitations and is very difficult to fulfil the best evidence recommendations [25]. Our method of triage has been developed in a country with a specific health system, which is the type of European public health systems with universal coverage. It would be necessary to consider how its applicability is affected in countries with characteristics very different from ours context.

Conclusion
Triage META is a model of advanced prehospital triage and is a tool to be used by doctors and nurses trained as providers of advanced trauma life support, but also by paramedics with advanced education, knowledge and skills in management of patients with severe acute trauma. It can be implemented into MCI procedures and one of the main contributions is the early detection of severe surgical patients that benefit from rapid transport to a surgical facility. This mean that two flows of patients are needed in order to avoid delays of transport in these patients.

Appendix

Appendix: Parameters identified in literature review as potentially usable in an MCI advanced triage method

Anatomical Paramethers
- Amputation proximal to the wrist or ankle
- Tear or crushing of limbs
- Open or depressed skull fracture
- Pelvic fracture
- Proximal fractures of two or more long bones
- Contused wound
- Flail chest

Physiological Paramethers
- Heart Rate
- Respiratory rate
- Prehospital intubation
- Level of consciousness
- Paralysis
- Carotid Pulse
- Radial pulse
- Ventilation
- Airway obstruction
- Oxygen saturation
- Systolic blood pressure
- Staring
- Pediatric Evaluation Triangle
- Spotted, pallor or cyanosis of the skin
- Respiratory distress
- Stridor, grunting or breathing sound
- Speech disorder or crying
- Flaring nostrils
- Interactivity

Mechanism of injury
- Knife injury
- Firearm
- Outrage
- Fall
- Rescue time more than 20 minutes
- Ejected from vehicle
- Presence of a died person in the same vehicle
- Vehicle Intrusion
- Motorbike accident

Others
- Medical Criteria
- Overtriage if case of doubt regarding severity of the patient
- Age under 15 or over 55
- Pregnant more than 20 weeks
- Severe kidney disease
- Limb injury time dependant
- Burns
- Sex
- Haemostatic disorder or anticoagulants treatment
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