Genetic variations regulate alternative splicing in the 5' untranslated regions of the mouse glioma-associated oncogene 1, Gli1
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Abstract

Background: Alternative splicing is one of the key mechanisms that generate biological diversity. Even though alternative splicing also occurs in the 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) of mRNAs, the understanding of the significance and the regulation of these variations is rather limited.

Results: We investigated 5' UTR mRNA variants of the mouse Gli1 oncogene, which is the terminal transcriptional effector of the Hedgehog (HH) signaling pathway. In addition to identifying novel transcription start sites, we demonstrated that the expression ratio of the Gli1 splice variants in the 5' UTR is regulated by the genotype of the mouse strain analyzed. The GT allele, which contains the consensus intronic dinucleotides at the 5' splice site of intron 1B, favors exon 1B inclusion, while the GC allele, having a weaker 5' splice site sequence, promotes exon 1B skipping. Moreover, the alternative Gli1 5' UTRs had an impact on translational capacity, with the shorter and the exon 1B-skipped mRNA variants being most effective.

Conclusions: Our findings implicate novel, genome-based mechanisms as regulators of the terminal events in the mouse HH signaling cascade.

Background

Alternative splicing and transcriptional initiation are key mechanisms, which generate diversity both at the mRNA and protein levels. Recently, several independent research efforts revealed that more than 90% of human genes are alternatively spliced [1,2], and about 50% of both human and mouse genes have multiple alternative promoters [3]. Additionally, a genome-wide screening of alternative splicing and transcriptional initiation estimated that a significant number of genes are differentially spliced within 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) [4]. Moreover, another genome-wide analysis identified 324 out of 17897 genes that display associations between flanking single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and gene expression/alternative transcription, demonstrating the regulatory effects of genetic variation in human populations [5]. These non-bias/genome-wide analyses highlight the importance of alternative splicing/promoter usage as general mechanisms of regulation control in mammalian cells.

UTRs are considered to influence gene expression by modulating mRNA stability and/or translational efficiency. Consequently, UTR heterogeneity for a specific gene is likely to have a differential impact on protein expression [6]. Analysis of variable 5' UTRs in the TGF-beta, BRCA1 and MDM2 genes, have indicated that the shorter UTR variants are translated more efficiently [7].

The Hedgehog (HH) signaling pathway plays a central role in embryonic development and adult tissue homeostasis [8]. Abnormal activation of the pathway has been associated with various cancers in skin, brain, lung, digestive tract, prostate and pancreas [9-12]. The mechanistic details of the HH signaling pathway, which is generally thought to be well conserved in evolution, have mostly emerged from studies in Drosophila. In the absence of HH ligands, the PTCH receptor inhibits the activity of the 7-pass transmembrane protein Smoothened (SMO), which acts as a positive regulator of the pathway. Interaction of HH ligands with PTCH relieves the inhibitory control of PTCH on SMO, allowing the GLI transcription
factor to dissociate from the negative regulator Suppressor of Fused (SUFU) and translocate into the nucleus, activating target genes. In mammals there are three paralogues of HH proteins, Sonic HH, Indian HH and Desert HH, two PTCH receptors, PTCH1 and PTCH2, and three GLI transcription factors, GLI1, GLI2 and GLI3. Additionally, splice variants of several HH signaling components have been identified [13], in line with an earlier report, which, by the use of genome-wide RNAi, highlighted the importance of alternative splicing in this pathway [14].

GLI1 was originally identified as a highly expressed gene in human glioma [15] and acts as a downstream effector of the HH signaling cascade, mediating the transcriptional response [16]. GLI1 is also a target gene of the HH pathway, resulting in a positive feedback loop. Moreover, overexpression of GLI1 in transgenic mice leads to the induction of basal cell carcinomas and trichoepitheliomas [17]. Alternative splicing in the GLI1 5’ UTR regions of human and mouse has earlier been reported by Wang and Rothnagel [18]. Recently, we and others have demonstrated the occurrence of functional, differential splicing events in the coding regions of human GLI1 [19,20].

In this study, novel mouse Gli1 mRNA variants, whose transcriptional initiation is further upstream of the reported exon 1 sequence, were identified. Additionally, we obtained evidence that genetic variation is a key determining factor for the alternative splicing events in the Gli1 5’ UTRs, which have functional implications on translational efficiency.

Results
Identification of novel transcription start sites in the mouse Gli1 gene

In a previous report, we have shown the presence of novel human GLI1 splice variants, which skip exons 2 and 3 [19]. Moreover, Wang and Rothnagel had earlier reported the skipping of exon 1A and/or exon 1B in mouse Gli1 [18]. To clarify the splicing pattern of the mouse Gli1 gene at its 5’ end, Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (RACE) analysis using reverse primers within exon 4 (Table 1) was performed on RNA extracted from NIH3T3 cells. Surprisingly, we did not detect any RACE product below the expected size of a transcript that includes exon 1, 1A, 1B, 2, 3 and 4 (Figure 1A, white triangle). On the contrary, the major products observed were significantly longer. This finding implies the presence of other exon(s) and/or the extension of known exons. Sequence-verification of the RACE products demonstrated the existence of novel transcriptional start sites (TSSs) for exon 1, which are located approximately 350 (TSS-L) or 290 (TSS-M) nucleotides upstream of the reported Gli1 TSS, TSS-S (Figure 1B, DDBJ: AB234616 and AB234617). Additionally, we analyzed Gli1 exon-skipping events in the HH signaling responsive NIH3T3 cells, with or without treatment by the Smo agonist SAG [21], the constitutively activated *Ptch1* -/- mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cell line, and C57BL/6 mouse embryos at 8.5 and 9.5 days post coitum (d.p.c.) by nested PCR with primer sets within exon 1 and 4 (Table 1). Inclusion of all exons (1-1A-1B-2-3-4) and exon 1B skipping were the two splicing events detected in the analyzed samples (Figure 1C). Interestingly, the ratio of these two variants was different depending on context. Moreover, we could neither detect exon 2 and 3 skipping, as observed in human [19], nor skipping of both exons 1A and 1B [18] in these samples or in a mouse cDNA panel (data not shown). Additionally, the recently reported exon 3-partial exon 4 skipping in human [20], was not observed, even though the reverse primers used hybridized to the retained exon 4 segment. Note that all mouse Gli1 mRNA variants observed are characterized by unique 5’ untranslated regions (5’ UTRs), but a common translational start site in exon 2.

Expression profiles of Gli1 variants in embryos, cell lines, and medulloblastoma tumors

To compare the expression pattern of the 5’ Gli1 variants, we performed real-time RT-PCR with PCR primers designed to specifically detect the individual Gli1 transcripts (Figure 2A and Table 2). As a control, we also used another primer set, from exon 11 to exon 12, to detect the 3’ end of the Gli1 mRNAs.

Initially, we confirmed Gli1 variant expression in the C57/BL6 mouse embryos at 8.5 and 9.5 d.p.c. by real-time RT-PCR (Figure 2B). Similarly to the nested PCR analysis shown in figure 1B, Gli1 variants skipping exon 1B (ΔE1B) were more abundant to the ones including exon 1B (E1B) in both embryonic stages. Furthermore, the Cycle threshold (Ct) values of Gli1 variants that were transcribed from TSS-L and TSS-M (L+M) were nearly equal to the Ct values of either the ΔE1B or the exon 11 to 12 (E11-12) transcripts. This finding highlighted that the majority of the mouse Gli1 mRNAs initiate at upstream TSSs in the embryo, in agreement with the previous observations of the NIH3T3 cell line (Figure 1B). Additionally, to elucidate the in vivo distribution of these Gli1 transcripts in developing embryonic compartments, we analyzed 9.5 d.p.c. mouse embryos by whole mount in situ hybridization (See additional file 1). All probes used showed comparable distribution patterns of the variants, as also did a Gli1 3’ end control riboprobe. These data suggested that the expression of all the alternative Gli1 mRNAs was likely to be controlled by similar developmental mechanisms.

To test the impact of HH signaling activation on the expression of the Gli1 variants, real-time RT-PCR analysis was performed in the HH signaling responsive
NIH3T3 cells and wild type MEFs (wtMEFs), and the constitutively active Sufu\(^{-/-}\) and Ptch1\(^{-/-}\) MEFs (Figure 3A and 3B). In NIH3T3 cells, the E1B variant was more abundant than the \(\Delta E1B\), and HH signaling activation, elicited by SAG, could not alter the predominance of E1B. On the other hand, wtMEFs expressed the \(\Delta E1B\) variant as the major Gli1 transcript and, in line with NIH3T3 cells, exhibited a parallel upregulation of the alternative Gli1 variants by HH signaling activation, resulting in an expression pattern similar to that of Sufu\(^{-/-}\) MEFs. Moreover, Ptch1\(^{-/-}\) MEFs equally expressed both the E1B and the \(\Delta E1B\) variants, even though the Ct value of the L+M transcripts was similar to that of SAG-treated wtMEFs or Sufu\(^{-/-}\) MEFs. Importantly, the wtMEFs and Sufu\(^{-/-}\) MEFs,

**Table 1: Primer sequences for RACE and nested PCR analysis**

|                     | Forward primers in exon 1 | Reverse primers in exon 4 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1st PCR             | 5’-AGTTTCCAGCCTGACCCAC    | 5’-GAGGTCCGGATTACGGTTT    |
| Nested PCR          | 5’-ACCACGGCCCCACGGAG      | 5’-ATCAGAAGGGGCAGATGG     |
Figure 2 Expression of Gli1 variants during embryogenesis. (A) Schematic representation of the variant-specific primer sets for real-time RT-PCR used to detect the alternative Gli1 transcripts. Gray, black and white arrows indicate the alternative transcription start sites TSS-L, TSS-M and TSS-S of the Gli1 mRNAs. The initiator methionine codon (ATG) in exon 2 is also shown. The positions of the forward and reverse primers for detection of the L (E1L-F, E1L/M-R), L+M (E1M-F, E1L/M-R), ΔE1B (E1A-F, E1B-R), and ΔE1B (E1A-F, E2/1A-R) transcripts are indicated by white and black triangles, respectively. Exons are shown by boxes. (B) Gli1 expression profile in mouse embryos. The L, L+M, E1B, and ΔE1B variants were quantified by real-time RT-PCR using SYBR Green in two 8.5 d.p.c. and two 9.5 d.p.c. mouse embryos. Data are presented as relative Ct (cycle threshold, the number of PCR cycles that reaches an arbitrary threshold) values (ΔCt), that is the Ct of the individual transcripts minus the Ct of the housekeeping gene Arp. A logarithmic plot of the 2-ΔCt values is shown. The error bars indicate the standard deviation and the statistical significance between the L and L+M as well as the E1B and ΔE1B transcripts is shown (*: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, Student’s t-test).
which were ΔE1B variant-dominant, were both established from C57BL/6 mice, NIH3T3 cells, which were E1B variant-dominant, were from BALB/c mice, while Ptch1+/− MEFs, which had comparable expression of both variants, were from mice with mixed genetic background [22].

Overactivated HH signaling and Gli1 overexpression play a central role in medulloblastoma tumorigenesis [23]. This prompted us to investigate the expression profile of the Gli1 variants in medulloblastomas from the tumor-prone Sufu+/−Trp53+/− mice maintained on a C57BL/6 genetic background (Heby-Henricson, K, Bergström, Å, Rozell, B, Toftgård, R, Teglund, S, unpublished) by using real-time RT-PCR (Figure 3C). As anticipated, the expression of all Gli1 variants was remarkably upregulated in the tumor samples compared to normal cerebellum, but the relative expression pattern of the variants was not apparently influenced by tumorigenesis. Additionally, the ΔE1B variant was found to be expressed at higher levels than E1B, as in wtMEFs and Sufu+/− MEFs. Similar upregulation patterns of the Gli1 transcripts were also observed in medulloblastomas from Ptch1+/− mice maintained on a C57BL/6 genetic background (See additional file 2).

These results are therefore suggesting that neither HH signaling activation nor tumorigenesis preferentially affect the regulation of expression of the individual Gli1 variants. On the other hand there is a strong influence of the mouse strain/genotype. C57BL/6 mice predominantly express the ΔE1B variant, BALB/c mice the E1B variant, while mice with mixed genetic background have comparable expression of both. In line with these observations, a mouse cDNA panel from BALB/c mice consistently showed increased expression of the E1B relative to the ΔE1B variant (data not shown).

Identification of SNP and SINE polymorphisms involved in mouse Gli1 exon 1B inclusion/skipping

Since our results clearly indicated that the relative expression pattern of the Gli1 variants was not affected by either HH signaling or tumorigenesis, but instead the ΔE1B to E1B ratio was well correlated with the mouse strains analyzed, variations in the genomic DNA sequence, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), could be involved. To identify differences among the mouse strains that influence the expression of these variants, we PCR amplified and sequenced the Gli1 exon 1B genomic region from NIH3T3, wtMEFs and Ptch1+/− MEFs. Three SNPs in the vicinity of the donor site of the intron 1B were identified, one of which affected the conserved 5’ intronic dinucleotides (Figure 4, DDBJ: AB520987 - AB520989). NIH3T3 cells, which predominantly express the exon 1B-included variants, have the canonical GT dinucleotide sequence at the intronic boundary. On the other hand, wtMEFs, as well as Sufu+/− MEFs (data not shown), which predominantly express the exon 1B-skipped variants, have a GC intronic dinucleotide, implying a less efficient exon definition and consequently an increased exon skipping [24]. Interestingly, Ptch1+/− MEFs are apparently heterozygous, containing both the GT and the GC alleles, in line with the comparable expression of the exon 1B-included and -skipped variants. Moreover, to further assess the effects of these SNPs on splicing efficiency, the GT and GC alleles were analyzed with two different splice site predictors, Human Splicing Finder [25] and NNSPLICE 0.9 [26]. Both programs demonstrated that the SNPs affect the prediction of splice sites, with the GT allele predicted to contain the expected 5’ splice site, while this was not the case for the GC allele.

Additionally, C3H/10T1/2 cells, which are generally used for HH signaling-dependent differentiation assays [27], were analyzed. Interestingly, no exon 1B inclusion could be detected by either real-time RT-PCR (Figure 5A) or nested PCR with primers within exon 1 and exon 4 (data not shown). Moreover, sequencing the exon 1B genomic region identified two insertions, a SINE B1 repeat in intron 1A and importantly, a SINE B2 repeat in exon 1B, which expanded the exonic sequence from 119 to 328 nucleotides (Figure 5B and 5C, DDBJ: AB520990). Consequently, the expanded exon 1B sequence, in combi-
Figure 3 Upregulation of Gli1 variants by HH signaling activation/tumorigenesis. Gli1 expression profile in NIH3T3 cells (A), mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (B), and medulloblastoma tumors (C). Gli1 variants in NIH3T3 cells or wild type MEFs (wtMEFs), treated with methanol (MeOH) or the Smo agonist, SAG, Ptch1\(-/-\) or Sufu\(-/-\) MEFs, and normal cerebellum (N1 and N2) or medulloblastoma tumors (MB1 and MB2) from Sufu\(+/-\)/Trp53\(-/-\) mice were analyzed by real-time RT-PCR. Data are presented as relative Ct (Cycle threshold) values (ΔCt), that is the Ct of individual transcripts minus the Ct of the housekeeping genes Arp/Gapdh. A logarithmic plot of the 2^ΔCt values is shown. The PCR primer sets to detect the variants are the ones depicted in Figure 2A. The error bars indicate the standard deviation and the statistical significance between the L and L+M as well as the E1B and ΔE1B transcripts is shown (*: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, Student’s t-test). ND, non-detected, the signal is below the sensitivity limit of the assay. Note that although real-time RT-PCR can not detect the ΔE1B variant without SAG treatment in NIH3T3 cells, standard nested RT-PCR (Figure 1B) does, but apparently in a non-quantitative pattern.
nation with the presence of the GC intronic dinucleotide, further reduced exon definition, resulting in complete exon skipping [24]. These findings are therefore providing support to the notion of the importance of sequence polymorphisms in defining splicing patterns.

Functional analysis of the Gli1 5' untranslated regions

Since the expression pattern of Gli1 variants was depended on the genetic background and was maintained as a fingerprint in different mouse strains, the possibility of regulatory roles for the alternative 5' UTRs was considered [6]. This was further supported by the fact that the 5' UTRs of mouse Gli1 have high GC content and are predicted to form multi- and long stem-loop structures (See additional file 3). To address the functional impact of these untranslated regions on the Gli1 mRNA transcripts, six 5' UTRs, namely L, M, S, LΔ1B, MΔ1B and SΔ1B (Figure 6A), were cloned in front of the Renilla luciferase gene of the psiCHECK2 vector. These constructs were transiently transfected into wtMEFs or NIH3T3 cells, with or without signaling activation by SAG treatment, and into Ptc1+/− or Sufu+/− MEFs. In all cases, elimination of 5' segments, from L to M and then to S resulted in higher luciferase activity (Figure 6B, C, D and See additional file 4). Additionally, skipping of exon 1B had a significant positive effect on the luciferase activity in the case of the L and S constructs, but was less pronounced for the M construct. This implies that not only 5' UTR length but also alterations in secondary structure, elicited by exon 1B skipping, may influence heterologous protein production. Moreover, HH signaling activation had no apparent influence on the observed luciferase activities. Collectively, these data suggest that the choice of transcription start site, in combination with exon 1B inclusion/skipping, influences the capacity of the Gli1 variants to produce a protein product.

Finally, we investigated whether this differential capacity of the 5’ UTRs is due to modulations of the mRNA stability or the translational efficiency. To achieve this, the endogenous Gli1 levels in wtMEFs were enriched by SAG, followed by inhibition of the process of transcription with actinomycin D treatment and subsequent mRNA measurements. The results revealed no significant differences in mRNA stability among the Gli1 variants (See additional file 4). Thus, it may be suggested that the alternative 5’ UTRs are likely to regulate Gli1 protein levels via translational mechanisms.

Discussion

In this report the 5’ UTR regions of the mouse Gli1 gene were analyzed, resulting in the identification of two novel transcription start sites and sequence polymorphisms, which control exon 1B inclusion/skipping. Our findings demonstrate that SNPs in the 5’ splice site of intron 1B and a SINE B2 insertion in exon 1B have major effects in determining the expression ratio of the 5’ UTR variant mRNAs, which distinctly influence translational efficiency (Figure 7).

Initially, 5’ RACE and RT-PCR analysis clearly showed 5’ variations in the Gli1 transcripts (Figure 1). However, the previously reported exon 2 - exon 3 skipping in human normal tissues/tumor cell lines [19], exon 3 - partial exon 4 skipping in human cancer cells and especially glioblastomas [20] and exon 1A - exon 1B skipping in mouse [18] could not be detected. Moreover, the 5’ RACE analysis revealed the presence of some minor transcripts, apparently transcribed from alternative TSSs (data not shown, DDBJ: AB232673 - AB232676). As their expression levels were quite low, we chose to focus on the relatively abundant Gli1 variants in order to analyze the impact of alternative transcripts on HH signaling.

We demonstrated that exon 1B inclusion/skipping is intimately related with SNPs in the donor site of intron 1B (Figures 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5). The GT allele contains a canonical 5’ splice site sequence, allowing efficient exon 1B definition and consequently enhances the inclusion of
Figure 5 The exon 1B structure/function in C3H/10T1/2 cells. (A) Lack of the exon 1B-included Gli1 variants in the C3H/10T1/2 cell line. Gli1 variants in C3H/10T1/2 cells, treated with methanol (MeOH) or SAG, were analyzed by real-time RT-PCR. Data are presented as relative Ct (Cycle threshold) values (ΔCt), that is the Ct of individual transcripts minus the Ct of the housekeeping gene Arp. A logarithmic plot of the 2^-ΔCt values is shown. The PCR primer sets used are the ones depicted in Figure 2A. The error bars indicate the standard deviation and the statistical significance between the L and L+M as well as the E1B and ΔE1B transcripts is shown (*: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, Student’s t-test). ND, non-detected, the signal is below the sensitivity limit of the assay. (B) Comparison of the genomic structure of the Gli1 exon 1B region in C3H/10T1/2 and wtMEF cells. The white box indicates the exon 1B, while the orange and blue boxes the “AAAAATCACCTAGG” and “GGGATGTTTCTTCTT” sequences, respectively, which are duplicated by the B1 SINE (orange rhomb) and B2 SINE (blue rhomb) insertions in C3H/10T1/2 cells. The vertical black bars in C3H/10T1/2 represent the intronic boundaries of exon 1B. The scale of the genomic sequence, 100 base pairs, is indicated by a double arrow. (C) Genomic sequence of the exon 1B region in C3H/10T1/2 cells. The SINE insertions and the duplicated regions are highlighted by the same colors as in (B). The triangles indicate the intronic boundaries of exon 1B.
Figure 6 Functional differences of the Gli1 5' UTR variants. (A) Schematic representation of the six Gli1 5' UTRs analyzed. The alternative TSSs, the individual exons and the initiator methionine codon are represented as in Figure 2A. Bold lines indicate the six 5' UTR regions, L, M, S, LΔ1B, MΔ1B, SΔ1B, which were cloned into the NheI site, upstream of the Renilla luciferase coding region (black box) of the psiCHECK2 vector as described in methods. (B, C, and D) Luciferase activity of the six reporter constructs after transfection into NIH3T3 cells, Sufu-/- MEFs, and Ptch1-/- MEFs, respectively. Transfected NIH3T3 cells were treated with methanol (MeOH) or SAG. The Renilla luciferase activity was normalized relative to that of the Firefly luciferase. The error bars indicate the standard deviation. The statistical significance of the differences among the Gli1 5' UTR constructs is shown. (*: p < 0.01, ANOVA - Bonferroni test. The white star indicates significance relative to all other data sets).
The expression ratio of the Gli1 5′ UTR variants is dependent on polymorphisms that affect the inclusion/skipping of exon 1B. The presence or not of the canonical intronic di-nucleotide GT at the 5′ splice site of intron 1B has a major impact in controlling exon 1B splicing. Additionally, the skipped variants have a higher translational efficiency, highlighting the importance of strain differences as modulators of HH signaling-dependent/independent Gli1 protein levels.

Since the relative expression pattern of the Gli1 variants was not affected by either HH signaling activity (Figure 3A and 3B) or tumorigenesis (Figure 3C)/embryogenesis (Figure 2B), the identified polymorphisms are primary determinants in controlling the splicing regulation of the Gli1 5′ UTRs. SNPs/mutations affecting splicing have been reported for consensus splice sites, but were also identified at significant distances from splice junctions [28,29]. Our own analysis of the 284 reported mutations in rat and other species, with the GT substitution and the GC allele has a weaker 5′ splice site sequence, resulting in less efficient exon 1B definition and therefore promotes the skipping of exon 1B, as observed in NIH3T3 cells. On the other hand, the GC allele has a weaker 5′ splice site sequence, resulting in a less efficient exon 1B definition and therefore promotes the skipping of exon 1B, as observed in wtMEFs and Sufu+/- MEFs. Moreover, Ptc1+/- MEFs, which are heterozygous and contain both the GT and GC alleles, expressed comparable levels of the exon 1B-included and -skipped variants.

Secondary structure prediction of the Gli1 5′ UTRs by Mfold highlighted the presence of a long stem-loop structure in L and M that is retained in MA1B but not in LA1B and may have a role in the differential translatabilities of MA1B versus LA1B (See additional file 3). However, free energy, ΔG, calculations were not fully in line with the capacity for translation. In addition, we examined whether two other parameters, G-quadruplex (G4) DNA/RNA structures and upstream ORF (upORF), might influence the translational efficiency (See additional file 6). Nucleotide region 251-294 has a G4 motif sequence G3-N1-G3-N1-G3-N1-G3, and nucleotide region 22-55 has a similar sequence with a potential G4 structure. Moreover, the genome wide G4 DNA database QuadBase [33] predicted an antisense G4 motif at nucleotide region 322-360. G4-structures are formed not only on DNA but also on RNA [34], and a G4 motif on the NRAS mRNA was reported to suppress translation [35]. Additionally, the exon 1B-included variants, L, M and S, have an upORF in the same frame as the Gli1 ORF (fully upstream, 46 encoded amino acids), while the exon 1B-skipped variants, LA1B, MA1B and SA1B, have an upORF (38 encoded amino acids) that overlaps with the Gli1 ORF. Although no significant differences between fully upstream and overlapping upORF could be identified in a
Recent report, long cap-to-upORF distances were found to increase translational inhibition [36]. Wang and Rothnagel have used a 5’ UTR construct (alfa-UTR), which is almost equivalent to the S construct in this report, mutated at four ATG codons and apparently eliminating 46 amino acids upORF that we have identified, and observed increased reporter activity, in line with the above predictions [18]. Thus, the combinations of upORFs with G4 structures in the Gli1 5’ UTRs are likely to have a role as mediators of the observed patterns of translation.

**Conclusions**

Our findings highlight the complex posttranscriptional regulation of the mouse Gli1 oncogene. mRNA variants with alternative 5’ UTRs were identified, mechanisms that control their expression levels were dissected, and the differential impact of the 5’ UTRs on protein synthesis was determined. Moreover, the demonstrated strain differences in regulatory controls of this oncogene suggest that these may have a role in modulating tumor susceptibility in mouse models.

**Methods**

**RACE and PCR**

5’ RACE was performed by using the GeneRacer kit (Life Technologies, CA, USA), with mouse Gli1 exon 4 reverse primers (MWG-Biotech, Ebergsberg, Germany) (Table 1). The RACE products were analyzed on a 4% NuSieve 3:1 agarose gel (FMC BioProducts, ME, USA) and verified by PCR direct sequencing or sequencing of TAClones in the pGEM-T vector (Promega, WI, USA). Pairs of initial and nested primers were also designed within mouse Gli1 exon 1, as shown in Table 1, and used in combination with the RACE exon 4 primers. The nested PCR analysis and sequence-verification were carried out as described in previous reports [37,38].

**Cell culture**

The murine fibroblast cell lines NIH3T3, Ptch1+/− MEFs [39], wtMEFs, Sufu+/− MEFs and C3H/10T1/2 were cultured as described before [37,40,41]. Cells were treated with the Smo agonist SAG at a concentration of 100 nM, with the medium changed to low serum (0.5% FBS or 1% FBS for wtMEFs), and allowed to grow for an additional 2 days.

**Mice**

The use of animals was approved by the Stockholm South Animal Ethics Committee. The mice were kept at the animal facility of the Karolinska University Hospital, according to local and national regulations. The Sufu+/−/Trp53+/− mice were generated by intercrossing Sufu+/− [41] and Trp53+/− mice [42]. The Ptch1+/− mouse strain has been described previously [22]. Both the Sufu+/−/Trp53+/− and the Ptch1+/− strains were maintained on a C57BL/6 genetic background.

**Isolation of cerebellum cells**

Normal cerebella and medulloblastoma tumors from Sufu+/−/Trp53+/− mice were digested with papain, triturated to obtain single-cell suspensions and then centrifuged through a 35%-65% Percoll gradient. Cells from the 35%-65% interface were suspended in Neurobasal medium (Life Technologies, CA, USA). Isolated granule cells were counted and checked with a microscope.

**RNA isolation, and real-time RT-PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from cells, tissues and mouse embryos, using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Real-time RT-PCR was performed as described before [19]. Dissociation curves were generated after each PCR run to ensure that a single, specific product was amplified. The results were analyzed with the comparative Cycle threshold (Ct) method. For normalization, we used the expression level of Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gapdh), and/or Acidic ribosomal protein (Arp). The PCR primers are shown in Table 2.

**Analysis of polymorphic variants**

Genomic DNA was amplified with pairs of initial and nested primers, which are flanking the exon 1B region and are listed in Table 3. The PCR products were purified (Qiagen), and directly sequenced (MWG-Biotech). The obtained sequences were compared with the Mus musculus chromosome 10 genomic contig, strain C57BL/6J (GenBank: NT_039500).

**Functional analysis of 5’ UTRs**

For generating the 5’ UTR constructs, we PCR amplified the selected regions by using specific RACE products as templates, with the primers (MWG-Biotech) listed in Table 4. The PCR products were then digested with the NheI restriction enzyme and cloned upstream of the

---

**Table 3: Primer sequences for polymorphism analysis**

| Type  | Forward primers           | Reverse primers           |
|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1st PCR | 5’-TGGCGTCTGCCCCTTCTGTTCCTTCA | 5’-TCTTGACAGTTCTGTGGAGGTCAG |
| Nested PCR | 5’-CGGGGAGACGCTCTGCTCTGAAAG | 5’-TGAGGCAGTCTTTGAAATGGAAG |
Table 4: Primer sequences for generation of the 5’ UTR constructs

| Forward primer                        | Reverse primer                        |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| L, LΔ1B 5’-GGCGCTAGCAGTAGAGCATTAGGTTCC | S-5’GGGCTAGCCGGCAGTCTCAGGGAAGGAT       |
| M, MΔ1B 5’-GGCGCTAGCTACCACAGCTCATTTCTAG | S-5’GGGCTAGCCGGCAGTCTCAGGGAAGGAT       |
| S, SΔ1B 5’-GGCGCTAGCCAGCCCCAGTTCAGGCCC | S-5’GGGCTAGCCGGCAGTCTCAGGGAAGGAT       |

The Nhel restriction sites are shown in italics.

Renilla luciferase open reading frame of the psiCHECK2 vector (Promega, GenBank: AY535007), which is under the control of the SV40 early enhancer/promoter. All constructs were verified by sequencing using BigDye Terminator v1.1 Cycle Sequencing Kits and an ABI prism DNA sequencer (Life Technologies).

Two hundred ng of each of the 5’ UTR constructs were transfected into NIH3T3 or wtMEF cells, with or without SAG treatment initiated 24 hours after transfection, and Ptc-hc1 or Sufu MEFS using the FuGENE6 (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) transfection reagent. The activities of Renilla and Firefly luciferases were determined by using the dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) with a FB12 Luminometer (Berthold Detection System, Pforzheim, Germany) or an Infinite M200 (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The experiments and individual measurements were performed at least twice.

Additional material

Additional file 1 Expression pattern of Gli1 variants in mouse embryos. Additional file 2 Expression of Gli1 variants in medulloblastoma tumors. Additional file 3 Secondary structure characteristics of Gli1 5’ UTRs. Additional file 4 Functional analysis of Gli1 5’ UTRs. Additional file 5 Comparison of the exon 1B/intron 1B junctions among different species. Additional file 6 G4 structures and upORFs in the Gli1 5’ UTRs. Additional file 7.
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