Obesity prevalence among healthcare professionals in England: a cross-sectional study using the Health Survey for England
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ABSTRACT

Objective To estimate obesity prevalence among healthcare professionals in England and compare prevalence with those working outside of the health services.

Design Cross-sectional study based on data from 5 years (2008–2012) of the nationally representative Health Survey for England.

Setting England.

Participants 20,103 adults aged 17–65 years indicating they were economically active at the time of survey classified into four occupational groups: nurses (n=422), other healthcare professionals (n=412), unregistered care workers (n=736) and individuals employed in non-health-related occupations (n=18,533).

Outcome measure Prevalence of obesity defined as body mass index ≥30.0 with 95% CIs and weighted to reflect the population.

Results Obesity prevalence was high across all occupational groups including: among nurses (25.1%, 95% CI 20.9% to 29.4%); other healthcare professionals (14.3%, 95% CI 11.0% to 17.8%); non-health-related occupations (23.5%, 95% CI 22.9% to 24.1%); and unregistered care workers who had the highest prevalence of obesity (31.9%, 95% CI 28.4% to 35.3%). A logistic regression model adjusted for sociodemographic composition and survey year indicated that, compared with nurses, the odds of being obese were significantly lower for other healthcare professionals (adjusted OR (aOR) 0.52, 95% CI 0.37 to 0.75) and higher for unregistered care workers (aOR 1.46, 95% CI 1.11 to 1.93). There was no significant difference in obesity prevalence between nurses and people working in non-health-related occupations (aOR 0.94, 95% CI 0.74 to 1.18).

Conclusions High obesity prevalence among nurses and unregistered care workers is concerning as it increases the risks of musculoskeletal conditions and mental health conditions that are the main causes of sickness absence in health services. Further research is required to better understand the reasons for high obesity prevalence among healthcare professionals in England to inform interventions to support individuals to achieve and maintain a healthy weight.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity is linked to increased risk of developing a range of life-limiting illnesses, including heart disease,1 cancer2 and type 2 diabetes.3 It is known to increase the likelihood of lower back injury4 and has been associated with reduced quality of life.5 The WHO has estimated that between 2% and 7% of healthcare spending in developed economies can be attributed to obesity.6 In the UK, government spending on the direct medical costs of obesity is currently £6 billion, equivalent to 5% of the National Health Service (NHS) budget and is estimated to double by 2030.6

Prevalence of obesity in the UK ranks third highest in Western Europe after Malta and Iceland with a quarter of UK adults being obese.7 In England, 27% of both men and women are obese,8 and 60% of men and 50% of women are predicted to be obese by 2050.9 Prevalence of obesity among healthcare professionals in England is not known, although the Department of Health in England has estimated that 300,000 healthcare professionals can be...
It is important to be able to have an accurate assessment of the prevalence of obesity among healthcare professionals for three main reasons. First, obesity increases the likelihood of musculoskeletal disorders and mental health conditions, which are the leading causes of work-related illness and workplace injury for healthcare professionals. As well as being implicated in the onset of chronic diseases, these conditions and their associated sickness absence rates pose a potential problem for the efficacy and sustainability of the healthcare system by potentially reducing the capacity of the healthcare workforce.

Second, comparing obesity rates in different healthcare professional groups and with the general population will help to identify the possible contribution of adverse workplace factors such as a lack of access to healthy food options, shift working and a possible link between obesity and high demand/low control work to increasing obesity among healthcare professionals.

Third, widespread obesity among the workforce may hamper the efficacy of healthcare professionals’ health promotion efforts. As the largest professional group within healthcare systems both in the UK and internationally, nurses, in particular, have been encouraged to seize ‘teachable moments’ during routine care to educate and encourage patients to make positive changes to their health behaviours on health promotion practice found that patients are more likely to accept advice offered by a visibly healthy healthcare professional compared with a non-healthcare professional who is overweight or obese, and there is evidence that healthcare professionals’ lifestyle behaviours influence the frequency and willingness with which they offer health advice.

The aim of this study was to estimate the prevalence of obesity among nurses and healthcare professionals in England and compare prevalence with the general working population.

METHODS
Study design and participants
Analysis was conducted using the Health Survey for England (HSE), an annual nationally representative sample of the English population. The HSE is a stratified random probability sample of private households in England and is used to estimate prevalence of health conditions and disease risk factors, as well as to plan health services and monitor government performance against policy targets. Data collection from adults over the age of 16 years is conducted using Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing by an interviewer in participants’ homes. Anthropometric measurements, including height and weight, were taken by a trained interviewer, and the methods for collection are published elsewhere.

Five annual rounds of the HSE (2008–2012) were aggregated to ensure sufficient power to enable analysis. To increase comparability between occupational groups, analysis was restricted to participants aged 17–65 years old and who indicated they were economically active at the time of survey.

Measures
The four measures of obesity, occupation, gender and age were identified from the HSE. Each measure is discussed in turn.

Obesity
Interviewers measured participants’ height and weight from which body mass index (BMI) was derived. WHO classifications were used in analysis: ‘underweight’ (BMI <18.5), ‘normal’ (BMI=18.5–24.9), ‘overweight’ (BMI=25.0–29.9) and ‘obese’ (BMI≥30). Due to small numbers of underweight participants in the sample, underweight and normal weight categories were aggregated into a single category for analysis.

Occupation
Survey participants were asked their occupation with responses recorded using free text of up to 60 characters. Free-text responses were then classified using the standard occupational classification (SOC2000 for survey years 2008–2011) and SOC2010 (2012) to create a categorical variable. Occupations were aggregated into four separate groups: nurses, other healthcare professionals unregistered care workers and non-healthcare occupations. Aggregating occupational categories ensured sufficient numbers to enable comparison. The specific codes used to create each of these occupational groups are shown in table 1.

Sociodemographic characteristics
Data on gender and age were used in analysis to take account of potential compositional differences between occupational groups. Gender was selected as a covariate.
regression models were then used to compare the odds of being obese or not obese between nurses and other occupational groups. First, the model was built using occupational group as the only predictor. Second, sociodemographic variables (ie, gender and age) that might explain differences in prevalence between groups were entered into the model. Survey year was also included to take account of any potential temporal effects. Data were analysed using SAS V.9.1.3. Weights supplied by NatCen were applied in analysis. These weights increase the degree to which estimates are representative of the English population and adjust the sample to reduce bias from individual non-response within households. Results are shown for weighted data.

RESULTS
Sample
After aggregating data across all five survey years, 66283 individuals were included in the initial dataset. Including only those aged 17–65 years who indicated that they were working at the time of the survey and for whom occupation was recorded reduced the sample to 23290. Removing the 3127 (13.5%) people for whom BMI data were missing resulted in a final sample for analysis of 20103 individuals.

The unweighted sample included 422 nurses (2.1%), 412 other healthcare professionals (2.0%), 736 unregistered care workers (3.7%) and 18533 (92.2%) people in non-health-related occupations (table 2).

Obesity prevalence
After weighting of data, prevalence of obesity (BMI ≥30) among nurses was 25.12% (95% CI 20.88% to 29.37%) (table 3). Prevalence of obesity was higher among nurses than other healthcare professionals (14.39%, 95% CI 11.00% to 17.77%) and people in non-health-related occupations (23.51%, 95% CI 22.92% to 24.10%) but lower than among unregistered care workers, who had the highest prevalence among healthcare professionals (31.88%, 95% CI 28.44% to 35.32%). A similar pattern was observed for being overweight (BMI ≥25) (table 3).

A logistic regression model adjusted for age, sex and survey year indicated that, compared with nurses, the odds of being obese were significantly lower for other healthcare professionals (adjusted OR (aOR) 0.52, 95% CI 0.37 to 0.75) but higher for unregistered care workers (aOR 1.46, 95% CI 1.11 to 1.93) (table 4). No statistically significant difference was observed in prevalence of obesity between nurses and people working in non-health-related occupations (aOR 0.94 CI, 0.74, 1.18).

DISCUSSION
A quarter of nurses in England were obese (25.1%). Prevalence of obesity was lower compared with nurses in Australia (28.5%),15 New Zealand (28.2%),15 the

Table 1 SOC2000 and SOC2010 codes for occupational groups

| Occupational group                      | SOC2000 (2008–2011) | SOC2010 (2012) |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Nurses                                 | 3211                 | 2231           |
| Other healthcare professionals         |                      |                |
| Medical practitioners                  | 2211                 | 2211           |
| Psychologists                          | 2212                 | 2212           |
| Pharmacists                            | 2213                 | 2213           |
| Ophthalmic opticians                   | 2214                 | 2214           |
| Dental practitioners                   | 2215                 | 2215           |
| Medical radiographers                  | 3214                 | 2217           |
| Podiatrists                            | 3215                 | 2218           |
| Physiotherapists                       | 3221                 | 2221           |
| Occupational therapists                | 3222                 | 2222           |
| Speech and language therapists         | 3223                 | 2223           |
| Therapy professionals (NEC)            | 3229                 | 2229           |
| Midwives                               | 3212                 | 2232           |
| Unregistered care workers              |                      |                |
| Nursing auxiliaries and assistants     | 6111                 | 6141           |
| Care assistants and home carers        | 6115                 | n/a            |
| Care workers and home carers           | n/a                  | 6145           |
| Senior care workers                    | n/a                  | 6146           |
| Non-health occupations                 |                      |                |
| All other codes                        | All other codes      | All other codes |

NEC, not elsewhere classified.

because there is a considerable gender imbalance in the English nursing workforce towards female registrants. Age was included to account for different age compositions in each of the occupational comparison groups. The age cut-off of 17 years was used as 17 is the earliest point at which student nurses can enter practice. Using occupational categories for comparison will largely have self-adjusted for differences in socioeconomic status.

Statistical methods
Only participants with complete data were included in analysis as initial analysis identified no statistically significant difference in the likelihood of respondents in occupational groups having missing data relating to BMI (P=0.86). Prevalence of obesity was calculated for each occupational group with 95% CI. Logistic
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Table 2  Sample sociodemographic characteristics

|                     | Nurses (n=422) | Other healthcare professionals (n=412) | Unregistered care workers (n=736) | Non-health-related occupations (n=18 533) | Total (n=20 103) |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|
|                     | n             | %                                      | n                                 | %                                           | n                |
| **Survey**          |               |                                        |                                   |                                             |                  |
| 2008                | 147           | 34.83                                  | 129                               | 31.31                                       | 231              |
| 2009                | 41            | 9.72                                   | 38                                | 9.22                                        | 59               |
| 2010                | 87            | 20.62                                  | 93                                | 22.57                                       | 145              |
| 2011                | 79            | 18.72                                  | 79                                | 19.17                                       | 157              |
| 2012                | 68            | 16.11                                  | 73                                | 17.72                                       | 144              |
| **Gender**          |               |                                        |                                   |                                             |                  |
| Male                | 47            | 11.14                                  | 109                               | 26.46                                       | 94               |
| Female              | 375           | 88.86                                  | 303                               | 73.54                                       | 642              |
| **Age**             |               |                                        |                                   |                                             |                  |
| ≤29                 | 46            | 10.9                                   | 71                                | 17.23                                       | 128              |
| 30–34               | 38            | 9                                      | 54                                | 13.11                                       | 78               |
| 35–39               | 56            | 13.27                                  | 53                                | 12.86                                       | 74               |
| 40–44               | 72            | 17.06                                  | 62                                | 15.05                                       | 101              |
| 45–49               | 90            | 21.33                                  | 64                                | 15.53                                       | 107              |
| 50–54               | 55            | 13.03                                  | 48                                | 11.65                                       | 96               |
| 55–59               | 47            | 11.14                                  | 41                                | 9.95                                        | 82               |
| ≥60                 | 18            | 4.27                                   | 19                                | 4.61                                        | 70               |
| **BMI**             |               |                                        |                                   |                                             |                  |
| Mean (SD)           | 27.26         | (5.20)                                 | 25.91                             | (4.71)                                      | 28.35            |
| <25.00*             | 163           | 38.63                                  | 200                               | 48.54                                       | 239              |
| 25.00–29.99         | 150           | 35.55                                  | 148                               | 35.92                                       | 263              |
| ≥30.00              | 109           | 25.83                                  | 64                                | 15.53                                       | 234              |

*Underweight included with normal weight due to small numbers.
BMI, body mass index.

USA (27.0%), South Africa (51.6%) and Scotland (29.4%).

Obesity prevalence was especially high among older nurses. As almost half (47.1%) of English nurses are over the age of 45 years, this poses a likely future burden of ill health for the healthcare workforce. Prevalence of obesity among nurses was statistically significantly higher than among other healthcare professionals such as allied health professionals who, although categorised in the same socioeconomic classification, are less likely to work shifts and have disruptive working patterns that contribute to obesity. Prevalence of obesity among nurses

Table 3  Obesity and overweight by occupational group

| Occupational group                        | Obese (BMI ≥30.00) | Overweight (BMI ≥25.00) |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
|                                          | Weighted % | 95% CI | Weighted % | 95% CI |
| Nurses                                   | 25.12       | 20.88  | 29.37      | 21.09  |
| Other healthcare professionals           | 14.39       | 11.00  | 17.77      | 19.07  |
| Unregistered care staff                  | 31.88       | 28.44  | 35.32      | 33.61  |
| Non-health-related occupations           | 23.51       | 22.92  | 24.10      | 23.32  |
Table 4  Binary logistic regression models

| Occupational groups             | Obese (BMI ≥30.00) | Unweighted | Unadjusted | Weighted | Adjusted |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|
| Nurse                          | Comparison          |            |            |          |          |
| Other healthcare professionals | 0.53 (0.37 to 0.75)*| 0.55 (0.39 to 0.77)*| 0.50 (0.35 to 0.72)*| 0.52 (0.37 to 0.75)*|
| Unregistered care staff        | 1.34 (1.02 to 1.75)*| 1.40 (1.07 to 1.83)*| 1.40 (1.06 to 1.84)*| 1.46 (1.11 to 1.93)*|
| Non-health-related occupations | 0.91 (0.73 to 1.13) | 0.92 (0.73 to 1.15) | 0.92 (0.73 to 1.15) | 0.94 (0.74 to 1.18) |

| Survey year                    | Unweighted | Unadjusted | Weighted | Adjusted |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|
| 2008                           |            |            |          |          |
| 2009                           | 0.96 (0.85 to 1.07) | 0.95 (0.85 to 1.07) | 0.91 (0.81 to 1.02) | 0.91 (0.81 to 1.02) |
| 2010                           | 1.11 (1.01 to 1.22)*| 1.10 (1.00 to 1.20) | 1.11 (1.02 to 1.21)*| 1.10 (1.01 to 1.21)*|
| 2011                           | 0.97 (0.88 to 1.07) | 0.96 (0.88 to 1.06) | 0.95 (0.86 to 1.04) | 0.93 (0.85 to 1.02) |
| 2012                           | 0.95 (0.87 to 1.05) | 0.94 (0.85 to 1.03) | 0.96 (0.87 to 1.05) | 0.94 (0.86 to 1.03) |

| Gender                         | Unweighted | Unadjusted | Weighted | Adjusted |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|
| Male                           |            |            |          |          |
| Female                         | 0.92 (0.86 to 0.98)*| 1.21 (1.05 to 1.39)*| 0.93 (0.87 to 0.99)*| 0.90 (0.84 to 0.96)*|

| Age (years)                    | Unweighted | Unadjusted | Weighted | Adjusted |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|
| ≤29                            |            |            |          |          |
| 30–34                          | 1.21 (1.05 to 1.39)*| 1.21 (1.05 to 1.39)*| 1.26 (1.10 to 1.43)*| 1.26 (1.10 to 1.43)*|
| 35–39                          | 1.65 (1.45 to 1.88)*| 1.66 (1.45 to 1.89)*| 1.78 (1.57 to 2.01)*| 1.78 (1.58 to 2.02)*|
| 40–44                          | 1.74 (1.54 to 1.97)*| 1.74 (1.54 to 1.97)*| 1.83 (1.63 to 2.05)*| 1.83 (1.63 to 2.05)*|
| 45–49                          | 2.10 (1.86 to 2.38)*| 2.11 (1.87 to 2.39)*| 2.30 (2.04 to 2.58)*| 2.30 (2.05 to 2.59)*|
| 50–54                          | 2.32 (2.04 to 2.63)*| 2.32 (2.04 to 2.63)*| 2.54 (2.26 to 2.86)*| 2.54 (2.25 to 2.86)*|
| 55–59                          | 2.43 (2.14 to 2.77)*| 2.43 (2.13 to 2.77)*| 2.55 (2.24 to 2.89)*| 2.55 (2.25 to 2.90)*|
| ≥60                            | 2.21 (1.92 to 2.55)*| 2.18 (1.89 to 2.51)*| 2.33 (2.02 to 2.68)*| 2.31 (2.00 to 2.66)*|

*P<0.05.
BMI, body mass index.

was significantly lower than in unregistered care workers. This reflects population-level inequalities in obesity prevalence, where obesity is more common in people with low educational attainment, low income or in manual occupations.40–42

There was no statistically significant difference between the prevalence of obesity among nurses and the general working population. The greater health literacy of nurses might be expected to contribute to lower rates of obesity than the general population, but this study has shown that nurses are no more able to maintain a healthy weight than their age-related and gender-related cohorts.

Implications for policy and practice
These findings on the prevalence of obesity have important implications for the health of the health and social care workforce, the effectiveness of health promotion delivered by healthcare professionals and patient safety. Given the established link between obesity and increased risk of illness and injury, obesity among healthcare professionals potentially harms their health. Obese individuals may struggle with health issues associated with obesity, including fatigue, breathlessness or arthritis, that could reduce productivity in the workplace.43 Workforce capacity may be reduced through increased absenteeism and premature workforce exit.44 Together these two factors could increase the cost of service delivery considerably through sickness absence payments for existing staff, increased salary costs of temporary (agency) staff, increased training costs to replace staff and the attendant loss of experience and expertise. The high prevalence of obesity among the healthcare workforce should urge policymakers and employers to provide solutions, such as supporting staff to maintain a healthy weight through workplace initiatives.45 46 Investment in staff health would in turn benefit the health service in terms of sustainability and high-quality patient care via positive impacts on productivity, retention and absence rates through improved morale, job satisfaction and well-being.47

Obesity among healthcare professionals may hinder effective patient care through performance impairments.
that impact on patient safety. Nurses who are obese may experience considerable difficulty in carrying out certain physical aspects of patient care activities requiring access to tight spaces, range of motion and mobility and may struggle to perform nursing tasks such as cardiopulmonary resuscitation, moving and handling and attending to patients’ personal care needs due to limited space in washrooms.48 Even physically fit nurses are at risk of workplace injury, and performing certain physical aspects of the nursing role while obese may further harm nurses’ health or increase the likelihood of injury, potentially leading to sickness absence or workforce exit. More research is required to assess the impact of obesity on nurses’ ability to physically and mentally perform their role.

This research has important implications for approaches to service design and workforce realignment, especially in the context of expanded roles for unregistered care workers in England49 who were found to have the highest prevalence of overweight and obesity in our study. Urgent action from NHS England, involving occupational health (OH) and human resources (HR) departments across Trusts, is required to ‘put its own house in order’ and reduce the prevalence of obesity among healthcare professionals that was found to be higher than published Department of Health estimates.50 Only through such concerted effort will the health service in England prevent the potentially harmful effects that high levels of obesity may have on patient care, the sustainability of the health service and—most importantly—the individual health of those who work within it.

Strengths and limitations
This is the first study to use the HSE to estimate prevalence of obesity among healthcare professionals. Data were drawn from a nationally representative sample of the English population, which enhances generalisability. The height and weight measurements used to derive BMI were taken by trained interviewers rather than self-reported, which increases reliability. However, the study does have several limitations. First, BMI data for some participants (13.5%) were missing, although there was no statistically significant difference in the extent of missing data between occupational groups (P=0.86). Second, heterogeneity of roles and fields of practice within the nursing workforce is masked by the inability to differentiate within the single occupational classification of nurses. Third, there was no question about parental socioeconomic status that might have enabled analysis of social mobility in contrast to work done elsewhere on nurses and weight that drew on the Scottish Health Survey.11 Fourth, ethnicity might also partially account for the high rates of obesity: obesity rates are 9% higher in black women relative to white women and nearly 10% of the qualified nursing workforce identifies as black or black British,39 but the numbers included in the HSE were too small for confident analysis and to protect anonymity. Finally, for similar reasons, it was not possible to investigate the responses of individuals to questions asked in the HSE about weight perceptions and intentions to lose weight and their measured BMI.51

CONCLUSIONS
A quarter of nurses in England were obese (BMI ≥30). Prevalence of obesity among nurses was statistically significantly higher than other healthcare professionals, but significantly lower than unregistered care workers. There was no statistically significant difference between levels of obesity among nurses and the general working population. Obesity among healthcare professionals has potentially negative implications for the capacity, efficacy, sustainability and safety of healthcare services and the health of healthcare professionals. Further research is required to better understand the reasons for high levels of obesity among healthcare professionals, especially nurses and unregistered care workers. Urgent action is required to support healthcare professionals to achieve and maintain a healthy weight.
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