Effects of indole on drug resistance and virulence of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium revealed by genome-wide analyses
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Many Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria produce large quantities of indole as an intercellular signal in microbial communities. Indole demonstrated to affect gene expression in *Escherichia coli* as an intra-species signaling molecule. In contrast to *E. coli*, *Salmonella* does not produce indole because it does not harbor *tnaA*, which encodes the enzyme responsible for tryptophan metabolism. Our previous study demonstrated that *E. coli*-conditioned medium and indole induce expression of the AcrAB multidrug efflux pump in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium for inter-species communication; however, the global effect of indole on genes in *Salmonella* remains unknown.

**Results:** To understand the complete picture of genes regulated by indole, we performed DNA microarray analysis of genes in the *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strain ATCC 14028s affected by indole. Predicted *Salmonella* phenotypes affected by indole based on the microarray data were also examined in this study. Indole induced expression of genes related to efflux-mediated multidrug resistance, including *ramA* and *acrAB*, and repressed those related to host cell invasion encoded in the *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 1, and flagella production. Reduction of invasive activity and motility of *Salmonella* by indole was also observed phenotypically.

**Conclusion:** Our results suggest that indole is an important signaling molecule for inter-species communication to control drug resistance and virulence of *S. enterica*.
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**Background**

Bacteria communicate using small molecules by a process termed quorum sensing. Accumulation of quorum-sensing signals in growth medium indicates cell density. The use of chemical signals for bacterial communication is a widespread phenomenon [1-5]. In Gram-negative bacteria, these signals could be N-acyl derivatives of homoserine lactone, cyclic dipeptides, and quinolones [6-12]. These signals regulate various functions such as bioluminescence, differentiation, virulence, DNA transfer, and biofilm maturation [13-22].

The intestinal tract is colonized by approximately 10\(^{12}\) commensal bacteria including those belonging to the genus *Escherichia* [23-25]. Among *Enterobacteriaceae*, indole is produced by *E. coli* and certain *Proteus* such as *Proteus vulgaris*, *Providencia* spp., and *Morganella* spp. [26]. Indole production is commonly used for *Escherichia coli* identification [26]. Indole is generated from tryptophan by the enzyme tryptophanase, encoded by *tnaA* [27]. Extracellular indole is found at high concentrations (over 600 μM) when *E. coli* is grown in enriched medium [28]. Furthermore, indole has also been found in human feces at comparable concentrations (~250–1100 μM) [29,30]. Recent studies have also revealed that indole is an extracellular signal in *E. coli*, since it has been demonstrated to regulate uptake, synthesis, and degradation of amino acids in the stationary phase of planktonic cells [31], multicopy plasmid
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maintenance, cell division [32], biofilm formation [28], acid resistance [33], and expression of multidrug exporters in *E. coli* [34-36] as well as to regulate the pathogenicity island, including the locus of enterocyte effacement of pathogenic *E. coli* [37,38]. Indole has also been demonstrated as an important cell-signaling molecule for a population-based antibiotic resistance mechanism [39].

*Salmonella enterica* is a bacterial pathogen that causes various diseases in humans including gastroenteritis, bacteremia, and typhoid fever [40]. In contrast to *E. coli*, S. enterica does not harbor *tnaA*; therefore, this organism does not produce indole [41]. In our previous study, we demonstrated that an *E. coli*-conditioned medium and indole induced expression of the *acrAB–tolC* multidrug efflux system of *Salmonella* in a RamA regulator-dependent manner [35]. This suggests that indole is used as a cell-signaling molecule in both intra- and interspecies communication. However, the global effect of indole on *Salmonella* remains to be elucidated.

We hypothesized that indole controls expression of a wide range of genes and plays a role in regulating the physiological functions of *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium. Therefore, to reveal the complete picture of indole-controlled genes, we conducted microarray analysis of genes affected by indole. Predicted *Salmonella* phenotypes affected by indole based on the microarray data were also examined in this study.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions**

*S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains used in this study were the wild-type strain ATCC14028s [42] and its derivatives. These included strain NES114 which harbors a FLAG-tag fused at the chromosomal ramA gene (*ramA-FLAG::KmR*) and strain NES84 which carries a *ramA* reporter plasmid (ATCC 14028s/pNNramA) [35]. Derivatives also included various deletion mutants: *ramA* deletion mutant 14028sΔ*ramA::kan*<sup>R</sup>, *ramR* deletion mutant 14028sΔ*ramR::kan*<sup>R</sup> and mutant 14028sΔ*ram:*<sup>R</sup> deleted of the whole *ram* locus. Bacterial strains were grown at 37 °C in Luria–Bertani (LB) broth supplemented with indole (Sigma) where appropriate.

**DNA microarray analysis**

The ATCC 14028s strain was grown in the presence or absence of 1 or 4 mM indole. The cells were rapidly collected for total RNA extraction when the culture reached an optical density (OD) of 0.6 at 600 nm. Total RNA was extracted from the cells using the RNeasy Midi kit (Qiagen) and Turbo DNA-free™ kit (Ambion). After extraction of total RNA, fluorescent labeling of cDNA was performed using the GeneChip DNA labeling reagents (Affimmetrix). The fluorescent-labeled cDNA was hybridized in cDNA microarray plates (NimbleExpress™ S. typhimurium array; NimbleGen Systems, Inc.). The degree of fluorescence in the plates was measured and quantified using the GeneChip Scanner 3000 (Affymetrix) and GeneChip Operating Software ver. 1.4 (Affymetrix), respectively. Measured values were compared to control values, and p values of distribution of logged data were obtained. A ranked conversion of p values was calculated, and values lying inside 2.5 % of the two extremes were considered valid.

**Semiquantitative RT-PCR**

The ATCC 14028s strain was grown in the presence or absence of 2 mM indole. The cells were rapidly collected for total RNA extraction when the culture reached an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6. Total RNA from bacterial cultures was extracted as described above. Semiquantitative RT-PCR was used to measure the transcriptional expression of *rrs* and *ramA*. RNA was reverse-transcribed using random hexamers and TaqMan reverse transcription reagents (Applied Biosystems), and PCR was performed using Takara LA Taq DNA polymerase (Takara Bio, Inc.). The primers for *rrs* were *rrs*-F and *rrs*-R (Table 1) and those for *ramA* were *ramA*-F and *ramA*-R (Table 1).

**β-Galactosidase assay**

The NES84 strain [35] was grown in the presence of 0–3 mM indole until an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6 was reached. β-Galactosidase activity was determined as described by Miller [43]. All assays were performed in triplicate.

**Construction of the *ramA*-FLAG strain**

Insertion of the FLAG-tag of the *ramA* gene 3’ terminal was performed as described by Datsenko and Wanner [44]. The kanamycin resistance gene *aph*, flanked by FLP recognition sites, was amplified by PCR using the primers *ramA*-FLAG-forward (GCCAGGGCTCTTAATCGTA AAGAAACAGCATGGCGTAAGCATGACTACAAGG ACGACGATGACAGATGAGTGGCTGGAGCTGC TTC) and *ramA*-FLAG-reverse (CGATTAACACTTTC AATGGGTACGGCTGGCTTTTCTCTTCTTTATGTA ATCTCCCTTAG) of the sequence of the FLAG-tag is the unknown in the sequence of the *ramA*-FLAG- forward primer. The resulting PCR products were used to transform the recipient ATCC 14028s strain harboring the pKD46 plasmid, which expresses Red recombinase [44]. The chromosomal structure of the mutated loci was verified by PCR.

**Western blotting**

The NES114 strain (*ramA*-FLAG::Km<sup>R</sup>) was grown in the presence of 2 mM indole until an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6 was reached. Bacterial cells were washed with buffer [20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl, and 1 mM EDTA], resuspended in 1 ml of the same buffer, and disrupted by
sonication using the Branson Sonifier 200 (Branson Sonic Power Co., Danbury, CT, USA) on ice for 2.5 min. Whole-cell lysate (10 μg of protein) was separated on 15% SDS-PAGE using Tris-glycine SDS as the running buffer. The gel was transferred to PVDF membranes, and analyzed by western blotting using a monoclonal anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma). The blot was developed using anti-mouse IgG horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibody and analyzed using the ECL detection system (GE Healthcare).

Transmission electron microscopy
One hundred microliters of an overnight culture of the wild-type Salmonella strain was added to 5 ml of LB broth, and the bacterial culture was grown in the presence or absence of 1 mM indole until an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 was reached. Bacterial cells were collected by centrifugation, fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde solution, and observed using a transmission electron microscope JEM-2100 (JEOL Ltd.).

Gene expression analysis by qRT-PCR
Bacteria were grown until mid-log phase (OD₆₀₀ of 0.6) and harvested by centrifugation. Pelleted cultures were stabilized with RNAProtect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen) and stored at ~80 °C until use. Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Removal of residual genomic DNA was performed using the Turbo DNA-free kit (Ambion) and examined by negative PCR amplification of a chromosomal sequence. RNA integrity was examined by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. Total RNA was reverse-transcribed using random hexamers and the SuperScript III First Strand Synthesis System (Applied Biosystems). Primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in Table 1. The cycling conditions were as follows: 95 °C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 15 s. After each run, amplification specificity and the absence of primer dimers were examined using a dissociation curve acquired by heating the PCR products from 60 to 95 °C. The relative quantities of transcripts were determined using the standard curve method and normalized against the geometric mean of three reference genes (gmk, gyrB, rrs). In qRT-PCR experiments performed to address the effect of 1 mM indole, the expression level of each gene of interest was calculated as the average of three independent RNA samples. A two-tailed Student’s t-test was used to assess significance using a p value of <0.05 as a cutoff.

Measurement of motility of Salmonella
An overnight culture of the ATCC 14028s Salmonella strain was diluted in LB broth and grown in the presence or absence of 1 mM indole until an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 was reached. Next, 1 µl of bacterial culture was spotted in the center of a semi-solid agar plate containing 1% tryptone peptone and 0.3% BactoAgar and incubated at 37 °C for 3–5 h in a humidified incubator, after which strains were assessed for motility.

Invasion assay
Invasions assays were essentially performed as previously described [45]. Caco-2 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% inactivated fetal bovine serum, 1% nonessential amino acids, and 1% antibiotic solution (Gibco, Invitrogen). Cells harvested by trypsinization were seeded at 2 × 10⁵ cells/well in a 24-well plate (Falcon) and incubated for 4 days at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ in the medium described above, to obtain a confluent monolayer. Antibiotic was removed 24 h before performing the invasion assays. Bacteria were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 in LB

Table 1 Primers used in this study

| Primer name | Oligonucleotide sequence (5’ to 3’) |
|------------|------------------------------------|
| For semiquantitative RT-PCR | |
| rrs-F | CCACACGCGCCGGGTAAAT |
| rrs-R | TTACGCCCAATGATCCGAAT |
| ramA-F | AITTGAAATCCGCGTATCATATT |
| ramA-R | TGCGGATGCAGCTTAGGAAAT |
| For quantitative RT-PCR | |
| gmk-f | TTGCACAGGAGGCGGT |
| gmk-r | GCCGCAAGTGCCGAGTAAAT |
| gyrB-f | TCTCCTCACAGCAAAAGATAGC |
| gyrB-r | CGCTACGCTGTCGTCAT |
| rrs-f | CCACACGCGCCGGGTAAAT |
| rrs-r | TTACGCCCAATGATCCGAAT |
| ramA-f | GCCGCAAGTGCCGAGTAAAT |
| ramA-r | GCCGCAAGTGCCGAGTAAAT |
| acrB-f | TCTGTTCTGTCGATGTCACCT |
| acrB-r | AACCCGGAATCTCGGAATCAAA |
| mdtE-f | AGTGCCTGGAACACACCATC |
| mdtE-r | GATATACGACCGGATT |
| tolC-f | GCCCGTGCGCAATAGT |
| tolC-r | CCCGATCTATCCAGGT |
| lhc-f | ATATCCAGCGCCGATGGAG |
| lhc-r | TTGCTCACAGTCATAAAC |
| hilA-f | CATGCTGCTGACGTTGAG |
| hilA-r | CGTAAATCATCCGCTATAAAC |
| invF-f | TGAAAGCCGACCAAAATGAAAT |
| invF-r | GCCGACGCGCAAAGAA |
| invA-f | GGCGCCAAAGAAAAGATG |
| invA-r | GAAATATACGCGCCATT |
| sipA-f | TTTGCTGATGAGTATCCGTTA |
| sipA-r | CCACCGTTTTGTCACCA |
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broth in the presence or absence of 1 mM indole. After washing with DMEM, bacteria were inoculated on Caco-2 cells at a multiplicity of infection of 30, and the plates were further incubated for 30 min. The bacteria-containing medium was removed from the wells, and the cells were washed with PBS. Cells were incubated for 1.5 h with DMEM supplemented with 100 μg/ml gentamicin. Cells were washed with PBS and lysed by the addition of sterile ultrapure water for 30 min. Serial dilutions were plated on LB agar. The percentage of penetrating bacteria was calculated on the basis of the ratio of the counted cfu to the bacterial inoculum. For each bacterial strain and for each condition, three replicates were used.

Results
Indole affects gene expression in Salmonella

The regulation of Salmonella genes in the wild-type strain ATCC 14028s by 1 or 4 mM indole, was analyzed using DNA microarray. To exclude noise data based on microarray analysis, we considered that genes for which expression changed in the presence of both 1 and 4 mM of indole were significantly regulated by indole. As a result, it was revealed that 24 (Table 2) and 53 genes (Table 3) were upregulated and downregulated by indole, respectively.

| STM no. | Gene   | Function                                                                 | Effect of indole on gene expression (fold change) |
|--------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|        |        |                                                                          | Concentration of indole (mM)                      |
|        |        |                                                                          | 1       | 4       |
| STM0521 | ybbV   | Putative cytoplasmic protein                                             | 8.6     | 7.0     |
| STM0581 | ramA   | Transcriptional regulator (activator) of acrAB and tolC (AraC/XylS family) | 7.0     | 39      |
| STM0584 | entD   | Enterochelin synthetase, component D (phosphopantetheinyltransferase)    | 6.1     | 7.5     |
| STM0707 | kdpF   | Putative outer membrane protein                                          | 8.6     | 18      |
| STM0823 | ybsJ   | Putative periplasmic protein                                              | 3.2     | 11      |
| STM1156 | yceA   | Putative enzyme related to sulfurrtransferases                           | 4.3     | 8.0     |
| STM1214 | ycrR   | Putative outer membrane protein                                          | 4.6     | 37      |
| STM1251 |        | Putative molecular chaperone (small heat shock protein)                  | 11      | 9.2     |
| STM1355 | ydiP   | Putative transcription regulator, AraC family                            | 11      | 7.5     |
| STM1472 |        | Putative periplasmic protein                                              | 7.5     | 15      |
| STM1790 | hyaE   | Putative thiol-disulfide isomerase and thioredoxins                       | 7.0     | 9.2     |
| STM1868A|        | Putative protein                                                          | 4.3     | 7.0     |
| STM2103 | wcaJ   | Putative UDP-glucose lipid carrier transferase/glucose-1-phosphate transferase in colanic acid gene cluster | 4.0     | 5.3     |
| STM2106 | wcaF   | Putative glycosyl transferase in colanic acid biosynthesis                | 4.6     | 34      |
| STM2206 | fruF   | Phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent sugar phosphotransferase system, EIIA 2      | 4.6     | 12      |
| STM3028 | stdB   | Putative outer membrane usher protein                                    | 6.5     | 6.5     |
| STM3444 | bld    | Regulatory or redox component complexing with Bfr, in iron storage and mobility | 8.0     | 20      |
| STM3511 | yhgI   | Putative thioredoxin-like proteins and domain                            | 5.7     | 8.0     |
| STM3606 | yhbB   | Putative transcription regulator (LuxR/UhpA family)                       | 7.0     | 20      |
| STM3668 | yoaK   | Putative malate dehydrogenase                                             | 7.0     | 9.2     |
| STM3941 |        | Putative inner membrane protein                                           | 8.6     | 18      |
| STM4213 |        | Putative phage tail sheath protein                                       | 6.5     | 5.7     |
| STM4327 | fxsA   | Suppresses F exclusion of bacteriophage T7                                | 4.9     | 5.7     |
| STM4548 | bgU    | Transcriptional regulator (activator) of bgU operon (LuxR/UhpA family)    | 26      | 5.7     |

Genes upregulated by indole

Expression of the 24 genes listed in Table 2 was upregulated by both 1 and 4 mM indole. Eighteen genes are considered to code for putative proteins, and four genes, ramA, ydiP, yhjB, and bgU, are regulatory in nature. The transcriptional activator RamA, which belongs to the AraC/XylS family of regulatory proteins, promotes multidrug resistance by increasing expression of the AcrAB–TolC multidrug efflux system in several pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae [35,46–53]. RamA has also been reported to negatively affect virulence [47]. Both YdiP and YhjB are putative transcription regulators that belong to the AraC and LuxR/UhpA families, respectively (Table 2). YhjB is considered a response regulator

Table 2 Salmonella genes whose relative expression was increased by indole
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Table 3 *Salmonella* genes whose relative expression was decreased by indole

| STM no. | Gene | Function                                                                 | Effect of indole on gene expression (fold change) |
|---------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|         |      |                                                                          | Concentration of indole (mM)                     | 1       | 4       |
| STM0701 | speF | Ornithine decarboxylase isozyme, inducible                                | 0.35                                             | 0.038   |
| STM0964 | dmsA | Anaerobic dimethyl sulfide reductase, subunit A                           | 0.12                                             | 0.063   |
| STM0965 | dmsB | Anaerobic dimethyl sulfide reductase, subunit B                           | 0.13                                             | 0.025   |
| STM1092 | orfX | Putative cytoplasmic protein                                              | 0.082                                            | 0.031   |
| STM1171 | flgN | Flagellar biosynthesis: believed to be export chaperone for FlgK and FlgL | 0.27                                             | 0.082   |
| STM1183 | flgK | Flagellar biosynthesis, hook-filament junction protein 1                  | 0.19                                             | 0.031   |
| STM1184 | flgL | Flagellar biosynthesis, hook-filament junction protein                    | 0.18                                             | 0.044   |
| STM1626 | trg  | Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein III, ribose and galactose sensor receptor | 0.15                                             | 0.054   |
| STM1732 | ampW | Outer membrane protein W; colicin S4 receptor; putative transporter      | 0.29                                             | 0.047   |
| STM1764 | narG | Nitrate reductase 1, alpha subunit                                       | 0.095                                            | 0.041   |
| STM1765 | narK | MFS superfamily, nitrite extrusion protein                               | 0.059                                            | 0.047   |
| STM1917 | cheA | Methyl esterase, response regulator for chemotaxis (cheA sensor)         | 0.27                                             | 0.067   |
| STM1918 | cheR | Glutamate methyltransferase, response regulator for chemotaxis           | 0.14                                             | 0.0078  |
| STM1919 | cheM | Methyl accepting chemotaxis protein II, aspartate sensor-receptor        | 0.18                                             | 0.018   |
| STM1921 | cheA | Sensory histidine protein kinase, translates signal between chemo- signal receptors and CheB and CheY | 0.18 | 0.029   |
| STM1922 | motB | Enables flagellar motor rotation, linking torque machinery to cell wall  | 0.15                                             | 0.021   |
| STM1923 | motA | Proton conductor component of motor, torque generator                    | 0.20                                             | 0.036   |
| STM1960 | flaD | Flagellar biosynthesis; filament capping protein; enables filament assembly | 0.31                                             | 0.011   |
| STM1961 | flaS | Flagellar biosynthesis; repressor of class 3a and 3b operons (RfIA activity) | 0.31 | 0.019   |
| STM1962 | flaT | Flagellar biosynthesis; possible export chaperone for FliD               | 0.25                                             | 0.038   |
| STM2256 | napB | Periplasmic nitrate reductase, small subunit, cytochrome C550, in complex with NapA | 0.23 | 0.082   |
| STM2257 | napH | Ferredoxin-type protein: electron transfer                                | 0.12                                             | 0.067   |
| STM2258 | napG | Ferredoxin-type protein: electron transfer                                | 0.077                                            | 0.027   |
| STM2259 | napA | Periplasmic nitrate reductase, large subunit, in complex with NapB        | 0.072                                            | 0.033   |
| STM2260 | napD | Periplasmic nitrate reductase                                             | 0.063                                            | 0.0078  |
| STM2261 | napF | Ferredoxin-type protein: electron transfer                                | 0.044                                            | 0.024   |
| STM2872 | prgI | Cell invasion protein; cytoplasmic                                        | 0.22                                             | 0.10    |
| STM2873 | prgI | Cell invasion protein; cytoplasmic                                        | 0.18                                             | 0.041   |
| STM2874 | prgH | Cell invasion protein                                                    | 0.082                                            | 0.0078  |
| STM2885 | sipB | Cell invasion protein                                                    | 0.33                                             | 0.11    |
| STM2897 | invE | Invasion protein                                                          | 0.25                                             | 0.038   |
| STM2899 | invF | Invasion protein                                                          | 0.12                                             | 0.095   |
| STM3127 |      | Putative cytoplasmic protein                                              | 0.29                                             | 0.058   |
| STM3128 |      | Putative oxidoreductase                                                   | 0.14                                             | 0.036   |
| STM3129 |      | Putative NAD-dependent aldehyde dehydrogenase                            | 0.14                                             | 0.047   |
| STM3149 | hybA | Function unknown, initially thought to be hydrogenase-2 small subunit which now identified as hybO | 0.25 | 0.025   |
| STM3216 |      | Putative methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein                             | 0.16                                             | 0.038   |
| STM3217 |      | Aerotaxis sensor receptor, senses cellular redox state or proton motive force | 0.13 | 0.047   |
| STM3242 | tdcD | Propionate kinase/acetate kinase II, anaerobic                             | 0.14                                             | 0.029   |
| STM3243 | tdcC | HAAAP family, L-threonine/L-serine permease, anaerobically inducible      | 0.082                                            | 0.044   |
| STM3244 | tdcB | Threonine dehydratase, catabolic                                          | 0.063                                            | 0.029   |
| STM3245 | tdcA | Transcriptional activator of tdc operon (LysR family)                     | 0.18                                             | 0.095   |
| STM3577 | tcp  | Methyl-accepting transmembrane citrate/phenol chemoreceptor              | 0.13                                             | 0.041   |
comprising a CheY-like receiver domain and a helix-turn-helix DNA-binding domain. YhjB in E. coli stimulates dephosphorylation of two histidine kinases, EnvZ and NtrB, although the sensor kinase for YhjB phosphorylation has not yet been identified [54]. BglJ forms a heterodimer with RcsB to relieve repression of the E. coli bgl operon and allow arbutin and salicin transport and utilization [55,56]. Expression of all these regulatory genes increased by more than 10-fold in the presence of indole. Of particular interest, expression of ramA increased by 39-fold in response to 4 mM indole. Noticeably, higher indole concentration did not always lead to a higher expression level. Indeed, bglJ expression was more increased in response to 1 mM indole (26-fold) than to 4 mM indole (5.7-fold).

Among other genes upregulated by indole, functions of the gene products of entD, fruF, bfd, and fxsa have been characterized. EntD has phosphopantetheinyl transferase activity [57] and is involved in biosynthesis of the iron-acquiring siderophore enterobactin [58]. FruF is a bifunctional PTS system fructose-specific transporter subunit IIa/HPr protein [41,59]. Bfd is a bacterioferritin-associated ferredoxin considered to be involved in Bfr iron storage and release functions or in regulation of Bfr [41]. FxsA of E. coli was described as a suppressor of the F exclusion of phage T7 [60]. Expression of all these genes was more strongly induced by 4 mM indole than by 1 mM indole.

**Table 3** Salmonella genes whose relative expression was decreased by indole (Continued)

| Gene          | Description                              | Relative Expression |
|---------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| STM3626 dppF  | ABC superfamily (atp_bind), dipeptide transport protein | 0.31 0.036          |
| STM3628 dppC  | ABC superfamily (membrane), dipeptide transport protein 2 | 0.33 0.088          |
| STM4258       | Putative methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein | 0.08 0.088          |
| STM4305 fumB  | Fumarase B (fumarate hydratase class I), anaerobic isozyme | 0.19 0.088          |
| STM4306       | Putative anaerobic dimethyl sulfoxide reductase, subunit A | 0.14 0.082          |
| STM4435       | Putative anaerobic dimethyl sulfoxide reductase, subunit B | 0.11 0.058          |
| STM4452 nrdD  | Anaerobic ribonucleoside-triphosphate reductase | 0.047 0.095         |
| STM4465       | Putative ornithine carbamoyltransferase | 0.19 0.10           |
| STM4466       | Putative carbamoyl kinase | 0.19 0.10           |
| STM4467       | Putative arginine deiminase | 0.082 0.019         |

**Indole upregulates genes involved in efflux-mediated multidrug resistance**

As reported above, microarray analysis identified that indole significantly increased expression of ramA, encoding a transcriptional activator of the multidrug transporter genes acrAB and tolC of Salmonella [35]. To confirm this result, we performed reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and observed that transcript levels of ramA increased when bacterial cells of the strain ATCC 14028s were treated with 2 mM indole (Figure 1A-1). To investigate whether indole induces production of RamA, we constructed a strain NES114 that harbors a FLAG-tag fused to chromosomally-encoded ramA. Western blotting revealed increased production of RamA in the presence of 2 mM indole (Figure 1A-2). Microarray analysis demonstrated that expression of ramA was more strongly induced by 4 mM indole (39-fold increase relative to untreated cells) than by 1 mM
indole (7.0-fold increase), indicating that the effect of indole on expression of ramA may be concentration dependent. To investigate the effect of different indole concentrations on the promoter activity of ramA, a β-galactosidase assay with the NES84 strain [35] was performed, and it was found that indole activated the ramA promoter in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 1B). The finding of enhanced promoter activity of ramA is in good agreement with our previous observation [35].

Confounding classical RT-PCR results, quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) indicated that expression of ramA in the strain ATCC 14028s increases by 4-fold in the presence of 1 mM indole (Figure 1C-1). As previously reported, RamR represses to the same extent the expression of ramA [46,64]. Therefore, to determine a possible contribution of RamR to induction of expression of ramA via indole, the effect of 1 mM indole on expression of ramA in a ΔramR strain (14028sΔramR:kan^R) was examined. At this concentration indole did not induce
expression of ramA suggesting indole-mediated ramA induction is indeed dependent on the presence of RamR (Figure 1C-1). However, whereas indole was shown to induce expression of acrB in the wild-type strain, this induction was neither observed in the ΔramR (14028sΔramR::kan) nor in the ΔramA strain (14028sΔramA::kan) (Figure 1C-2). This suggested that indole-mediated induction of acrB expression is not solely dependent on RamA, but nevertheless also requires the presence of the RamR transcriptional repressor.

**Indole represses motility of Salmonella**

Expression of genes related to bacterial flagella biosynthesis, flagella motor activity, and chemotaxis was repressed by indole, and this repression was predicted to have profound negative effects on flagellar synthesis and bacterial motility. FlhC is a master regulator protein involved in flagellar biogenesis in Salmonella [65]. Indole also reduced expression of flhC, and this reduction was independent of ramA and ramR (Figure 2A). To confirm the microarray findings, we examined the effect of indole on the presence of flagella in wild-type Salmonella cells by transmission electron microscopy (Figure 2B). Flagella were detectable in bacterial cells regardless of indole treatment; however, the number of flagella decreased when cells were treated with indole (Figure 2B and C). It was observed that motility of Salmonella ATCC 14028s strain decreased in the semi-solid agar plate when bacterial cells were treated with indole (Figure 2D). These results suggest that the reduction in the number of flagella by indole may affect motility of Salmonella.

**Indole represses expression of the invasion genes**

Inside the host, S. enterica serovars can invade and survive in epithelial cells and macrophages. Therefore, invasion of the host intestinal cells is critical for initiation of salmonellosis. Several genetic elements responsible for the invasive phenotype of S. enterica serovar Typhimurium are located in SPI-1, a 40-kbp region of the chromosome at centrisome 63. As described above, we found that genes located in SPI-1 such as prgJ/I/H, sipB, and invE/F were repressed by indole. To further investigate repression of SPI-1 genes by indole, we measured expression of hilA, sipA, invA, and invF of the ATCC 14028s strain in response to different indole concentrations by qRT-PCR (Figure 3). hilA is located on SPI-1, and it encodes the HilA regulator, which controls

---

**Figure 2 Indole represses flagella production and motility of Salmonella.** (A) The effect of indole on expression of flhC measured by qRT-PCR. The wild-type strain ATCC 14028s and its ramR::kan and ramA::kan deletion mutants were grown in the presence (+) or absence (−) of 1 mM indole. flhC expression level was expressed relative to that measured in the wild-type strain grown without indole, which was assigned the unit value. The data corresponds to mean values from three independent replicates. The bars indicate the standard deviation. (B) Transmission electron microscopy was used to detect flagella on the wild-type strain (ATCC 14028s) grown in the presence or absence of 1 mM indole. (C) The number of flagella attached to a single cell was counted from images taken using transmission electron microscopy. Data were collected from 30 bacterial cells for both indole-treated and untreated cells. Bars correspond to the standard deviation. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.01) according to the two-tailed Student’s t-test. (D) Indole represses motility of Salmonella. After incubation of the wild-type ATCC 14028s strain in the presence or absence of 1 mM indole, motility was assayed on a semi-solid agar plate. Result is representative of one of the three experiments.
expression of SPI-1 genes, including the type III secretion system (T3SS). invF also encodes an invasion regulatory protein of SPI-1, sipA encodes an effector protein, the secretion of which is mediated by T3SS. invA encodes a structural component of T3SS. qRT-PCR revealed that indole decreased expression of hilA, sipA, invA, and invF in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 3). Since it was reported that overexpression of ramA results in decreased expression of SPI-1 genes [47] and our study indicated that indole induces ramA, we investigated the effect of ramA deletion on expression of SPI-1 genes regulated by indole. As shown in Figure 3, indole repressed expression of hilA, sipA, invA, and invF; however, its repressive effect on those in the ramA-deleted mutant (14028sΔramA::kanR) was slightly lower than that observed in the wild-type strain (ATCC 14028s) when bacterial cells were treated with 0.125 or 0.25 mM indole. In contrast, when bacterial cells were treated with concentrations of indole of 0.35 mM or more, the repressive effect on SPI-1 genes was similar in the wild-type and in the mutant strains. These data suggest that indole partially represses SPI-1 genes in a RamA-dependent manner when cells are treated with lower indole concentrations; however, the repressive effect of indole on SPI-1 may be RamA-independent at higher concentrations.

A critical step in Salmonella pathogenesis is invasion of enterocytes and M cells of the small intestine via expression of a type III secretion system encoded by SPI-1 that secretes effector proteins into host cells, leading to engulfment of bacteria within large membrane ruffles. As indicated previously, indole represses expression of genes encoded by SPI-1, suggesting that indole reduces invasion of mammalian cells by Salmonella. To examine this possibility, we investigated the effect of indole in an invasion assay using Caco-2 cells. When bacterial cells were treated with 1 mM indole, the invasion rate of Salmonella was reduced compared to that in untreated bacterial cells (Figure 4). We also examined the effect of deletions of ramR and of the whole ram locus on invasive activity of Salmonella treated with 1 mM indole. Indole repressed
invasive activity of the ΔramR (14028sΔramR::kan<sup>R</sup>) and of the Δram mutant (14028sΔram::kan<sup>R</sup>), as observed with the wild-type strain (Figure 4). These data suggest that 1 mM indole phenotypically represses invasive activity of <i>Salmonella</i> in a <i>ram</i> locus-independent manner.

### Discussion

Increasing evidence indicates that indole controls various phenotypes of <i>E. coli</i> including multidrug resistance and virulence as an extracellular signal [28,31-34,37-39]. In contrast to <i>E. coli</i>, the effect of indole on <i>Salmonella</i> had not been clearly elucidated, probably because <i>Salmonella</i> does not produce indole. Therefore, we sought to resolve the effect of indole on gene expression in the <i>S. enterica</i> serovar Typhimurium ATCC 14028s strain by microarray analysis. As hypothesized and confirmed in previous studies [35,36], microarray analysis revealed that indole increased expression of <i>ramA</i>, which is involved in regulation of the AcrAB–TolC multidrug efflux system.

Including <i>ramA</i>, 24 genes were upregulated by indole. Among them, 18 were more strongly induced by 4 mM indole than by 1 mM indole. Conversely, 53 genes were downregulated by indole, 51 of which were more strongly repressed by 4 mM indole than by 1 mM indole. These data suggested that expression of most indole-regulated genes is indole concentration dependent. In fact, promoter activity of <i>ramA</i> increased as indole.

Figure 4 Indole reduces invasive activity of <i>Salmonella</i> cells. In vitro invasion of Caco-2 cells by the wild-type ATCC 14028s strain and its ramR::kan<sup>R</sup> and ram::kan<sup>R</sup> deletion mutants grown in the presence (+, solid bars) or absence (−, open bars) of 1 mM indole. The percentage of intracellular bacteria was determined after infecting Caco-2 human intestinal epithelial cells and gentamicin treatment. Results are representative of a single experiment where each strain was tested in triplicates.

Figure 5 Proposed regulatory network controlled by indole. Indole induces the multidrug efflux system genes <i>acrAB</i> and <i>tolC</i> through the increased expression of <i>ramA</i>. Indole represses flagellar and SPI-1 genes in a <i>ram</i> locus-independent manner. However, the indole-mediated upregulation of <i>ramA</i> may be partially involved in decreased expression of SPI-1 genes.
concentration increased. Similarly, expression of SPI-1 genes decreased as indole concentration increased.

Indole induced ramA, and this induction is probably responsible for induction of acrB, which encodes the multidrug efflux pump (Figure 5). Most of the genes upregulated by indole encode putative proteins. Several of the genes, such as STM1251, STM1472, STM1868A, STM3941, and STM4213, have yet to be named. Among these, the only gene identified in E. coli is STM4213, which encodes a putative phage tail sheath protein. If the function of these putative genes is clarified, then other phenotypes induced by indole in addition to multidrug resistance will also be understood.

In this study, we found that indole repressed various genes related to bacterial motility and virulence. Decreased motility and invasive activity of Salmonella were also phenotypically observed. Recent studies revealed the coordinate regulation of flagellar and SPI-1 genes by FliZ, encoded by a gene in the fliA operon [66,67]. It was demonstrated that FliZ posttranslationally controls HilD to positively regulate expression of hilA [67]. Our microarray data revealed reduced expression of fliZ when bacterial cells were treated with indole (fliZ expression was reduced to 0.47- and 0.038-fold of the level in untreated cells by 1 and 4 mM indole, respectively), and that expression of hilA was also repressed by indole. Indole may coordinately repress flagellar and SPI-1 genes via the regulatory network of FliZ. Because previous study indicated that both RamA and RamR are involved in the control of SPI-1 genes [47], we examined their effects on repression of SPI-1 genes and invasive activity of Salmonella. The results suggested that indole suppresses SPI-1 genes in a RamA/RamR-independent manner (Figure 5). Similarly, repression of flhC by indole was also RamA/RamR independent. These data are suggestive of the presence of another pathway for indole to repress flagellar and SPI-1 genes, whereas acrAB/tolC is induced by indole in a RamR/RamA-dependent manner. Bacterial adhesion to the Caco-2 cells, which is the primary step of the cell invasion process, was not addressed in our experiments. However, since flagella were repressed by indole, it cannot be excluded that the defective invasion partially resulted from a lesser adherence to the cells, and not only to the repression of SPI-1 genes. It should also be noted that several of the indole-repressed genes are related to anaerobic respiration in addition to motility and SPI-1 genes. Because it is suggested that indole is a biological oxidant in bacteria [68], this oxidative effect may lead to repression of these genes.

In conclusion, we identified that indole induces expression of genes related to efflux-mediated multidrug resistance and represses expression of genes related to invasive activity and motility of S. enterica serovar Typhimurium. Reduction of invasive activity and motility of Salmonella by indole was phenotypically observed. Because Salmonella itself does not produce indole, our results suggest that indole could also be an important signaling molecule for inter-species communication to control drug resistance and virulence of Salmonella in addition to its role in intra-species communication in E. coli. Indeed, it was previously demonstrated that E. coli-conditioned medium induced the AcrAB pump in Salmonella through the RamA regulator [35]. The type of environment in which Salmonella experiences the effect of indole is not well understood. It is believed that Salmonella may be exposed to high indole concentrations in the intestine, in which several species of indole-producing bacteria exist. In fact, indole is found in human feces at comparable concentrations (~250–1100 μM) [29,30], and recent studies indicated the importance of indole in favorable inter-kingdom signaling interactions between the intestinal epithelial cells and commensal bacteria [69]. In addition to indole itself, indole derivatives such as skatole (3-methylindole) also occur naturally in feces after being produced from tryptophan in the mammalian digestive tract. Therefore, indole and skatole may additively affect gene expression in Salmonella. In fact, when we examined the effect of skatole by a β-galactosidase assay, it significantly stimulated the promoter activity of ramA (unpublished data). Thus, there is a possibility that these molecules enhance drug resistance of Salmonella, while simultaneously repressing their motility and pathogenicity in the intestinal tract. Interestingly, the effect of indole on the pathogenicity of E. coli is the opposite of that on Salmonella. In enterohemorrhagic E. coli, it was suggested that indole can activate expression of EspA and EspB as well as secretion and stimulate the ability of EHEC to form attaching and effacing lesions in human cells [38]. Thus, although indole secreted by E. coli enhances the virulence of E. coli, it reduces the virulence of Salmonella, probably to the advantage of E. coli. This finding suggests that the gastrointestinal flora may affect regulation of virulence traits in Salmonella via the signaling of indole.
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