A systematic review of minor phytocannabinoids with promising neuroprotective potential
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Embase and PubMed were systematically searched for articles addressing the neuroprotective properties of phytocannabinoids, apart from cannabidiol and Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol, including Δ9-tetrahydrocannabivarin, cannabidiolic acid, Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinolic acid, cannabichromene, cannabinoid, cannabichromenic acid, cannabichromevarin, cannabigerol, cannabigerolic acid, cannabigerivarin, cannabigerovarinic acid, cannabichromevarinic acid, cannabidivarinic acid, and cannabinol. Out of 2,341 studies, 31 articles met inclusion criteria. Cannabigerol (range 5 to 20 mg.kg\(^{-1}\)) and cannabidivarin (range 0.2 to 400 mg.kg\(^{-1}\)) displayed efficacy in models of Huntington's disease and epilepsy. Cannabichromene (10–75 mg.kg\(^{-1}\)), Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinolic acid (20 mg.kg\(^{-1}\)), and tetrahydrocannabivarin (range 0.025–2.5 mg.kg\(^{-1}\)) showed promise in models of seizure and hypomobility, Huntington's and Parkinson's disease. Limited mechanistic data showed cannabigerol, its derivatives VCE.003 and VCE.003.2, and Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinolic acid mediated some of their effects through PPAR-γ, but no other receptors were probed. Further studies with these phytocannabinoids, and their combinations, are warranted across a range of neurodegenerative disorders.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), neurodegenerative diseases will be the second most prevalent cause of death by 2040 (Gammon, 2014). The cellular mechanisms of these diseases typically overlap with neuronal dysfunction and a common thread is neuronal cell death, regardless of definitive clinical presentations.

Typically, neurodegenerative diseases are categorized as amyloidoses, which includes Alzheimer’s disease and British familial dementia; synucleinopathies, which includes Lewy body disorders such as Parkinson’s; and proteinopathies, which includes amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and tauopathies (Kovac, 2018). Other common neurological disorders include epilepsy and stroke, characterized by recurring, unprovoked seizures and vascular pathology, respectively. Recently, stroke was reclassified as a neurological disease by the International Classification of Disease (ICD) 11, highlighting that while strokes predominantly have a vascular origin, the neurological consequences are often severe (Shakir, 2018).

Current treatments for neurodegenerative and neurological conditions are often limited and usually rely on managing symptoms
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rather than having a significant effect on delaying disease progression (Kiaei, 2013). For example, Huntington disease is managed with tetramethylphenidate (TMZ) 75–200 mg per day to alleviate chorea (involuntary movement), but because it acts as a vesicular monoamine transporter (VMAT) inhibitor, interfering with both 5-HT and dopamine degradation, patients can develop neuropsychiatric symptoms along with other side effects (Hayden, Leavitt, Yasothan, & Kirkpatrick, 2009; Kaur, Kumar, Jamwal, Deshmukh, & Gauttam, 2016; Wyant, Rider, & Dayalu, 2017). Other first-line treatments, for example, L-Dopa in Parkinson’s disease, often cause side effects and do not delay disease progression. Finally, cholinesterase inhibitors such as donepezil are only minimally effective in improving cognition for the treatment of Alzheimer’s disease. In light of this, there is clearly an urgent need to develop new therapies with more tolerable side effect profiles to combat these debilitating conditions and increase the quality of life of the ageing population.

Over 120 different phytocannabinoids have been isolated from Cannabis sativa (ElSohly & Gul, 2015). Of these, Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ9-THC) and cannabidiol (CBD) are the most abundant and widely studied. Δ9-THC is responsible for the psychoactive effects of cannabis, which are mediated through the cannabinoid CB1 receptor (Pertwee, 2008). Δ9-THC also interacts with other targets including transient receptor potential (TRP) channels, the orphan G-protein receptor, GPR55, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs; Pertwee & Cascio, 2015). CBD has also been shown to modulate a wide range of pharmacological targets including 5-HT1A receptors, PPARγ and TRPV1 channels, but has no psychoactive effects because it does not activate central CB1 receptors (see Ibeas Bih et al., 2015, and Russo & Marcu, 2017). Interaction with these targets has given CBD status as a neuroprotectant, anti-inflammatory agent and antioxidant (Fernandez-Ruiz et al., 2013; Maroon & Bost, 2018). These features, along with its favourable safety profile in humans (Millar et al., 2019; World Health Organization, 2017) has made CBD in many respects, a more desirable drug candidate than Δ9-THC. CBD has shown promise in several animal models of neurodegeneration as well as clinical trials for Parkinson’s, Alzheimer’s and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Iuvone, Esposito, de Filippis, Scuderi, & Stedaro, 2009). Furthermore, a fixed combination of CBD and Δ9-THC (1:1) is currently licenced by GW Pharmaceuticals under the brand name Sativex® to treat pain and spasticity associated with multiple sclerosis (MS), and Epidiolex® (pure CBD) is licensed to treat Lennox-Gastaut syndrome and Dravet syndrome, which are severe forms of childhood epilepsy. Other cannabis-based medicines (CBMs) are also under development. GW Pharmaceuticals has four compounds (structures are not disclosed) in the pipeline for neurological conditions including glioblastoma, schizophrenia and neonatal hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy (GW Pharmaceuticals, 2019).

Phytocannabinoids are highly unique compounds, they are promiscuous in action, modulating a range of pharmacological targets as well as exhibiting high antioxidant capability due to their phenolic structures and the presence of hydroxyl groups (Borges et al., 2013; Hampson, Grimaldi, Axelrod, & Wink, 1998; Yamaori, Ebisawa, Okushima, Yamamoto, & Watanabe, 2011). These features, along with their lipophilicity and ability to act as anti-inflammatory agents, makes them desirable therapeutic candidates for the treatment of CNS disorders, as they can effectively cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB), modulate the immune response, and target the many aspects of neurodegeneration (Deiana et al., 2012). These characteristics have been well established for Δ9-THC and CBD but are less well known for some of the minor constituents of the plant. Thus, in order to understand the full therapeutic potential of Cannabis sativa, the pharmacology of the lesser-known components of the plant should be elucidated (Turner, Williams, Iversen, & Whalley, 2017). Given the wide-ranging neuroprotective effects of Δ9-THC and CBD already established, it is not unreasonable to suggest other phytocannabinoids may exhibit similar or more potent neuroprotective properties. Therefore, the aim of this systematic review was to collate all available data on the neuroprotective effects of Δ9-tetrahydrocannabionolic acid (Δ9-THCA), Δ9-tetrahydrocannabivar (Δ9-THCV), cannabidiolic acid (CBD), cannabidivar (CBDV), cannabichromene (CBC), cannabichromenic acid (CBCA), cannabichromevarin (CBCVA), cannabigerol (CBG), cannabigerolic acid (CBGA), cannabigerivarin (CBGV), cannabigerovarinic acid (CBGVA), cannabichromenic acid (CBCVA), cannabidivaric acid (CBDVA), and cannabolin (CBN). These phytocannabinoids were selected based on their abundance in the plant, ease of synthesis, efficacy in other fields (e.g., as anticancer agents or treatments for inflammatory bowel disease), and similarities in their structure to CBD and Δ9-THC (which have already shown promise as a neuroprotectants and displayed safety in humans) and are therefore more likely to have neuroprotective potential and exhibit human translatability.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Data sources and search strategy

An electronic search was conducted using the search engines PubMed and Embase from its inception to June 2019. This was carried out in accordance with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines (Moher, Liberati, & Tetzlaff, 2009; Shamseer et al., 2015; Tóth, Schumacher, Castro, & Perkins, 2010) Search terms included Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinolic acid, Δ9-tetrahydrocannabivar, cannabidiolic acid, cannabidivarin, cannabichromene, cannabichromenic acid, cannabichromevarin, cannabigerol, cannabigerolic acid, cannabigerivarin, cannabigerovarinic acid, cannabichromenic acid, cannabidivaric acid and cannabolin (and their corresponding abbreviations), phytocannabinoids, neurovascular unit, pericytes, neurons, astrocytes, human brain microvascular endothelial cells, brain, neuroinflammation, hypereexcitability, neurodegeneration, Huntington’s, Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s, epilepsy, and stroke. Two independent reviewers carried out the searches by November 2019, and the reference lists of the final papers were hand searched for any additional studies.
2.2 | Eligibility and exclusion criteria

Conference abstracts and review articles were excluded. No restrictions were applied to type of study, publication year, or language. Inclusion criteria were as follows: an original, peer reviewed article that involved the application of emerging phytocannabinoids in an in vivo or in vitro model of neurodegeneration or neuronal damage. Studies that looked at two derivatives of CBG, known as VCE-003 or VCE-002.3 were also included because current research is focused on these compounds, based on their increased affinity for PPARγ. Studies that assessed CBD, Δ⁹-THC, Δ⁹-THC:CBD 1:1 (Sativex®), or similar combinations of phytocannabinoids (i.e., different ratios of phytocannabinoids) were excluded from this review. After duplicates and irrelevant articles were removed, the full text was obtained for the remaining articles, and studies were examined for data regarding Δ⁹-THCA, Δ⁹-THCV, CBDA, CBDV, CBC, CBCA, CBCV, CBG, CBGA, CBGV, CBGA, CBCVA, CBV, and CBN application in an in vivo model of neuroprotection or neuronal damage. Dose and route of administration were extracted from in vivo studies and where possible range and average were calculated. If studies reported mechanistic data, this was also described in Section 3.

3 | RESULTS

The preliminary search retrieved 2,341 studies, which after duplicates were removed left 1,851. A total of 107 cannabinoid studies were retrieved; once exclusion criteria were applied, 26 articles were considered to be potentially relevant and their full texts obtained. After additional screening (including reviewing reference lists for any potential studies), 28 studies were included in this review; see Figure 1. Table 1 summarizes the in vitro data included in this review, and Table 2 summarizes the in vivo data.

Within the 28 studies, the neuroprotective models were epilepsy (n = 7), Huntington’s disease (n = 6), Parkinson’s (n = 4), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (n = 3), neuroprotection (not disease specific, n = 2), multiple sclerosis (MS; n = 1), Rett syndrome (n = 2), neuroinflammation (n = 1), Alzheimer’s (n = 1), and oxidative stress (n = 1). Fifteen papers studied CBG or its derivatives, five studies used CBN, eight studies used CBDV, and four studies used CBC. Only two studies used Δ⁹-THC, and three used Δ⁹-THCA. CBDA was only included in one study. No data on the neuroprotective effects of CBGA, CBGV, CBCA, CBCV, CBCVA, CBV, or CBDVA were identified. Figure 2 shows some of the minor phytocannabinoids structures with CBD and Δ⁹-THC for reference, and Table 3 summarises the neurological conditions for which emerging cannabinoids have shown therapeutic potential.

3.1 | Cannabigerol (CBG) and its derivatives

Nine studies included in vitro data, and eight included in vivo data on CBG and its derivatives that are formed by the oxidation of CBG (Carrillo-Salinas et al., 2014; Díaz-Alonso et al., 2016; García et al., 2018). VCE-003 and VCE-003.2 have displayed increased affinity for PPARγ, thus maintaining their anti-inflammatory properties while having little affinity for CB₁ and CB₂ receptors (VCE-003 Ki > 40 μM for CB₁ and Ki > 1.76 μM CB₂, Granja et al., 2012, and VCE-003.2 Ki > 40 μM for both CB₁ and CB₂, García et al., 2018). All studies except one reported a positive effect of CBG, VCE-003, or VCE-002.3, compared with control in the disease model being studied. In an in vivo model, using 3-nitropropionic acid (3-NP) to induce Huntington’s disease, CBG (10 mg·kg⁻¹ per day i.p.) significantly attenuated the up-regulation of COX-2, iNOS, IL-6, and TNF-α (Valdeolivas et al., 2015). CBG treatment also prevented 3-NP-induced neuronal loss, recovered catalase, SOD and GSH, compared with control, and down-regulating genes that were directly associated with Huntington’s disease including sgkl, Cd44, and normalized levels of huntingtin-associated protein-1. Aggregation of mutant huntingtin protein was diminished, and motor deficits such as hindlimb clasp and dystonia and general locomotor activity were also improved (Valdeolivas et al., 2015). Hill et al. (2014) assessed the anti-convulsant potential of CBG (50–200 mg·kg⁻¹ i.p.) when...
| Phytocannabinoid | Compound | Concentration/Incubation period | Neuro model | Cells used | n number | Results | Study |
|-----------------|----------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Cannabigerol (CBG) | Cannabigerol derivative VCE-003.2 | 500 nM for 21 days | Huntington's disease | Mouse embryonic stem cells (R1 line)/P19 neurospheres | n = 3 | VCE-003.2 increased CTIP-2 positive cells, promoted neuronal like-differentiation and significantly larger P19 neurospheres versus vehicle treated cells (P < 0.01) | Aguareles et al. (2019) |
| Cannabigerol derivative VCE-003 | 1, 5, 10 μM (human T-cells). 1 and 2.5 μM (RAW 264.7 cells) for 3 days post stimulation | Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis to model multiple sclerosis (MS) | Jurkat, BV2 RAW 264.7 cells. Human peripheral T-cells | n = 3 | 1 μM reduced expression of iNOS in BV2 microglial cells. Antagonists AM630 (CB2) and GW9662 (PPARγ) blocked these effects. Prevented T cell division at 1 and 5 μM and inhibition of the release of all soluble mediators (T-cells) | Carrillo-Salinas et al. (2014) |
| Cannabigerol derivatives: VCE-003 and VCE-003.2 | 1–50 μM (N2a) for 24 h 50 nM–50 μM (HiB5) 30, 10, and 3 μM for 6 h | Huntington's disease | (N2a cells/HiB5 cells) Immortalized striatal neuroblasts expressing huntingtin/mutant repeats | n = 3 | VCE-003.2 improved cell viability (10 and 25 μM) and prevented excitotoxicity in N2a cells. VCE-003.2 Reduced the number of cells with aggregates (neuroblast) and improved neuronal viability post serum deprivation | Diaz-Alonso et al. (2016) |
| VCE-003 cannabigerol quinone derivative | 0.1, 1, 10, and 25-μM CBG/VCE-003 (HTT cells, 24 h) (microglia, 18 h; hippocampal cells; mice treated 15 days 5 mg kg⁻¹ ip. VCE-003) | Multiple sclerosis | HEK293 cells and primary microglial cells. HT22 mouse hippocampal cells | n = 3 | VCE-003 protected neuronal cells from excitotoxicity. Reduction in IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, PGE2, and MIP-1-α in microglia (1, 10, and 25 μM) VCE-003 ameliorated MS symptoms induced by TMEV | Granja et al. (2012) |
| VCE-003.2 cannabigerol derivative | BV2 cells 5 μM VCE-003.2 for 21 h. VCE-003.2 (M-213 cells) Vehicle (0.1% DMSO) | Parkinson's disease model induced by LPS (conditioned medium from BV2 | Mouse microglial BV2 cells. M-213 (striatal cell line) neuronal cells | BV2 cells: n = 14, 7 repeats | In BV2 cells, VCE-003.2 significantly decreased TNF-α COX-2 and iNOS mRNA. Attenuated | García et al. (2018) |

(Continues)
| Phytocannabinoid | Compound | Concentration/Incubation period | Neuro model | Cells used | n number | Results | Study |
|-----------------|----------|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
|                 |          | versus 0.1, 0.5, and 1 μM for 40 h | cells added to M-213 | n = 3 repeats | CBG at 2.5 and 7.5 μM increased cell viability approximately 20% compared to control. | Gugliandolo et al. (2018) |
| Cannabigerol    |          | MTT assay: 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5, 15, and 20 μM pretreated 24 h; NSC-34; pretreated with 7.5 μM | Neuroinflammation—medium from LPS stimulated macrophages | NSC-34 motor neurons | n = 3 | CBG pretreatment inhibited apoptosis and reduced; IL-1β, TNF-α, INF-γ (NSC-34 motor neurons). CBG restored decreased Nrf2 levels | Hill et al. (2014) |
|                 |          | Electrophysiology: 1/10 μM 20 min, hNAv cells: 1 nM–200 μM for 100 s | PTZ seizures | Transverse hippocampal slices, SH-SY5Y, hNAv cell lines | n = 4, 6 samples per group | 10 μM CBG significantly reduced peak Nav current in SH-SY5Y cells and mouse cortical neurons. CBG was also effective as a low affinity Nav channel blocker. | Rodriguez-Cueto et al. (2018) |
| Cannabidiol* and cannabigerol | VCE-003.2 | 0.1, 0.5, 1, and 5 μM added 1 h prior to LPS, for 24 h | Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis | Astrogial cells (mutant SOD1 mice) | n = 3 repeats | CBG dose-dependently activated PPARγ | Valdeolivas et al. (2015) |
| Cannabigerol    |          | 6 h—supplementary information cannot be accessed | Huntington's disease | Immortalized striatal progenitor cells: STHdh<sup>Q7/Q7</sup> and STHdh<sup>Q111/Q111</sup> cells | n = 6 | CBG had no significant effect on any of the endpoints measured. | Shinjyo & Di Marzo (2013) |
| Cannabigerol    |          | 1 μM 24-h ATP assay/viability and differentiation for 2 days | Neuroprotection | Adult neural stem cells/progenitor cells (NSPC) | n = 6 | CBDV decreased amplitude and duration of LFPs and increased Mg^2+ free induced LFPs frequency (>10 μM). | Hill et al. (2012) |
| Cannabidivarin (CBDV) |       | 1, 10, and 100 μM 30 min after epileptiform activity for 30 min | Epilepsy—spontaneous local field potentials (LFPs) | Transverse hippocampal slices male/female Kyoto rats | n > 5 | CBDV decreased amplitude and duration of LFPs and increased Mg^2+ free induced LFPs frequency (>10 μM). | Hill et al. (2012) |
| Cannabidivarin (+CBD) |       | 3, 10, 30 μM 30–40 min after control readings for 1 min | Epilepsy | HEK cells (HEK293) transfected with | n = 4 | CBDV was anticonvulsant, and TRPV1 antagonist | Iannotti et al. (2014) |
| Phytocannabinoid                          | Compound                        | Concentration/Incubation period | Neuro model                                                                 | Cells used                                                                 | n number | Results                                                                                   | Study                                      |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Cannabichromene (CBC)                   | Cannabichromene                 | 1 μM 24-h ATP assay/viability and differentiation for 2 days | Neuroprotection                                                           | Adult neural stem cells/progenitor cells (NSPC)                     | n = 6    | CBC raised viability in B27 medium. CBC had no significant effect on proliferation. In B27 medium, CBC up-regulated nestin, but reduced GFAP. | Shinjyo & Di Marzo (2013)                  |
| Cannabidiol (CBN)                       | Cannabinol/Δ⁹ THC                | 100, 20, 4, 0.8, 0.16, or 0 μM for 48 h | Huntington’s disease                                                        | PC12 cells expressing polynucleotide repeats (103 glutamines)     | n = 2 repeats, average 3–4 wells | Cannabinol reduced LDH activity in medium at 20 and 100 μM. At 100 μM, CBN decreased LDH release by 84%. Protective EC₅₀ of CBN was determined to be 30 μM in this model. | Aiken, Tobin, & Schweitzer, 2004          |
| Cannabidiol (+THC and CBD)              | Cannabinol (+THC and CBD)       | 0.1, 1, 2.5, 5, and 10 μM for 24 h | Oxidative stress and neuroprotection                                        | Primary cerebral granule cells (rats/mice), CB1 expressing cell lines, PC12 and HT22 cell lines | n = 3    | Cannabinol was shown to be a potent antioxidant.                                          | Marsicano, Moosmann, Hermann, Lutz, and Behl (2002) |
| Tetrahydrocannabinolic acid (Δ⁹-THCA)   | Δ⁹-THCA                         | 0.5, 10, 20, 40, and 50 μM applied directly after epileptiform activity. 20-min pretreatment at 10 μM | In vitro electrophysiology (epileptiform bursting)                         | Brain slices obtained from male and female outbred rats             | n = 5    | Δ⁹-THCV (20–50 μM) decreased burst incidence, PDS amplitude and frequency. The most significant effect was at 50 μM. Δ⁹-THCV also decreased epileptiform burst speed (40 μM). Δ⁹-THCV was found to act as a CB₁ ligand in receptor binding assays. | Hill et al. (2010)                        |
| Tetrahydrocannabinolic acid (Δ⁹-THCA)   | Δ⁹-THCA                         | 0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10 μM for 48 h | Parkinson’s disease                                                         | Dopaminergic neuronal cell culture                                 | n = 3–4 wells/treatment       | Δ⁹-THCA had no effect on the survival of dopaminergic                                           | Moldzio et al. (2012)                     |
| Phytocannabinoid          | Compound                                      | Concentration/Incubation period | Neuro model                                      | Cells used          | n number | Results                                                                 | Study                                                                 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mixed                    | $\Delta^2$-Tetrahydrocannabinolic acid (THCA) and cannabidiolic acid (CBDA), cannabigerol (CBG) | 0, 0.5, and 1 $\mu$M (THCA) N2a cells—48 h, 0 and 0.1–15 $\mu$M (THCA, CBDA, and CBGA in HEK-293 T cells)—6 h, 1–10 $\mu$M $\Delta^2$-THCA STHdh Q7/Q7 cells—1 h/CB | Huntington's disease/ neurodegeneration | HEK-293 T Neuro-2a STHdh Q7/Q7 cells | n = 5 repeats | $\Delta^2$-THCA increased neuronal cell viability post serum deprivation and increased mitochondrial mass. This effect was blocked by a PPARγ antagonist GW9662. | Nadal et al. (2017) |
| Cannabichromene, cannabidiol, cannabidiol, cannabigerol, cannabino, $\Delta^2$-tetrahydrocannabinol, $\Delta^2$-tetrahydrocannabinolic acid | 0.01, 1, and 10 $\mu$M for 48 h | Neuroprotection | N18TG2 cells (neuroblastoma cell line) | In triplicate with 2–5 repeats | Emerging phytocannabinoids did not affect the number of dopaminergic neurons. CBG and CBC decreased GSH levels (0.1 and 1 $\mu$M and 1 and 10 $\mu$M). 0.1 $\mu$M CBDV reduced GSH levels by 9.6%; THC, THCA, and CBN have no effect. CBDV and CBN decreased resazurin reduction at 10 $\mu$M (32.9 and 38.9%) and affected PI uptake at all concentrations. CBG also affected PI uptake at 0.1 and 10 $\mu$M. | Rosenthaler et al. (2014) |
| Cannabigerol, cannabichromene, cannabidiol, and cannabino (as well as THC), 250 nM–10 $\mu$M | Oxotosis assay, 30 min. Energy loss assay: 22 h. | Alzheimer's disease | MC65 cells (human nerve cell line), HT22 cells (mouse hippocampal cell) | n = 6 (twice in triplicate) | CBG, CBDV, CBC, CBN, and THCA prevented oxtosis. | Schubert et al. (2019) |
| Phytocannabinoid Compound | Concentration/Incubation period | Neuro model | Cells used | n number | Results | Study |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------|---------|-------|
| CBD, and CBD derivative DMCBD* | Trophic factor withdrawal, 48 h | line), and BV2 microglial cell line | CBG, CBDV, CBC, and CBN preserved trophic factors. THCA was toxic to MC65 cells at 1 μM; however, CBDV, CBC, CBN, and CBDA prevented amyloid toxicity at ≤100 nM. CBDV, CBG, CBC, and CBN (100 nM) prevented MC65 neurons from accumulating amyloid β (Aβ). |

*Results from 3 independent experiments.

For in vivo data see Table 2.
| Phytocannabinoid | Compound | Dose/route/time | Neuro model | Animals | n number | Results | Study |
|------------------|----------|----------------|-------------|---------|----------|---------|-------|
| Cannabigerol (CBG) | Cannabigerol derivatives VCE-003 and VCE-003.2 | 10 mg kg⁻¹ of body weight intraperitoneally per day until kill | Two models of Huntington's disease | M CD1 mice (12 weeks) | n = 7 each group | QA model: VCE-003.2 RotaRod performance, prevented neuronal loss, microglial activation and reduced astrogliosis. 3NP model: VCE-003.2 improved motor deficits, reduced all pro-inflammatory mediator release, and prevented neuronal loss. | Díaz-Alonso et al. (2016) |
| Cannabigerol derivative VCE-003.2 | | 10 mg kg⁻¹ oral once daily for 3 days before kill | Huntington's disease | M C57/6 N mice (10 weeks) | n = 3–6 mice/condition | VCE-003.2 promoted neurogenesis, increased GFAP-positive cells, and reduced microglial activation. Mice performed better on the RotorRod test drug treated versus vehicle. | Aguareles et al. (2019) |
| Cannabigerol derivative VCE-003.2 | | Oral 10 mg kg⁻¹, 20 mg kg⁻¹, 16 h after LPS for 28 days daily | LPS-induced Parkinson's disease | C57BL/6 F mice, 7–11 months old | n = 6 mice per group | 20 mg kg⁻¹ partly corrected altered cylinder rearing test but poor activity in rotarod and CAA tests. VCE-003.2 attenuated TNF-α, IL-1β (greatest effect at 20 mg kg⁻¹) and recovered TH nigrostriatal neurons. | Burgaz, García, Gómez-Cañas, Muñoz, and Fernández-Ruiz (2019) |
| Cannabigerol derivative VCE-003 | | Daily 5 mg kg⁻¹ i.p. for 21 days | Autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) to model MS | F C57BL/6 mice | n = 6 animals per group | 5 mg kg⁻¹ of VCE-003 decreased EAE symptoms. VCE-003 decreased microglial/macrophage activation, reduced demyelination, maintained myelin structure, and reduced axonal damage lesions. Significant decrease in all measured inflammatory mediators. | Carrillo-Salinas et al. (2014) |
| VCE-003 cannabigerol quinone derivative | | 15 days 5 mg kg⁻¹ i.p. VCE-003 treated 60 days after infection | Multiple sclerosis (M5) induced by TMEV | SJL/J mice | n = 12 | Clinical score (0–5) was significantly improved with VCE-003 treatment. VCE-003 completely recovered motor activities to normal levels. | Granja et al. (2012) |
| Phytocannabinoid | Compound | Dose/route/time | Neuro model | Animals* | n number | Results | Study |
|------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| VCE-003.2 | cannabigerol derivative | 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.p. 16 h post LPS and then daily for 21 days | Parkinson’s disease model—LPS induced | M C57BL/6 mice | n = 4–6 subjects per group | VC-003.2 prevented nigrostriatal neuronal loss and reduced microgliosis. Elevation in iNOS was decreased by VC-003.2 versus control. | Garcia et al. (2018) |
| Cannabigerol | 50–200 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.p. 1 h before PTZ seizures | PTZ seizure model (85 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.p.) | M Wistar Kyoto rats | n = 72 | CBG had no effect on seizure severity, incidence, or timing and did not alter animal mortality. CBG displayed no anti-convulant effects. | Hill et al. (2014) |
| Cannabigerol derivative VCE-003 | 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.p. animals 60 days old up to age 18 weeks | Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis | M B6SJ-L-Tg (SOD1*G93A) 1Gur/J versus WT | n = 5–6 animals per group | In SOD1 mice, VCE-003.2 delayed disease progression and reduced a number of neuropathological signs. Weight loss was reduced, as were anomalies in clinical score. | Rodríguez-Cueto et al. (2018) |
| Cannabigerol (CBG) | 4 intraperitoneal injections every 24 h at a dose of 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for 6 weeks (4 weeks after birth to 10 weeks) | Huntington’s disease induced by 3NP/R6/2 variant mice | 16-week-old M C57BL/6 mice/4- to 10-week-old R6/2 mice | n = 6–8 animals/experiment | CBG improved motor activities, prevented neuronal loss, increased GFAP staining, and decreased Iba-1 staining. CBG down-regulated Huntington associated genes and decreased inflammatory mediators. | Valdeolivas et al. (2015) |
| Cannabidivarin (CBDV) Pretreatment vehicle versus | 400 mg kg\(^{-1}\) CBDV oral for 15 h | Seizures induced by PTZ 95 mg kg\(^{-1}\) | Wistar-Kyoto rats (3/4 weeks old). | n = 51 | 400 mg kg\(^{-1}\) CBDV significantly decreased seizure severity and increased latency to first signs of seizure. CBDV did not significantly affect gene expression changes induced by PTZ. | Amada et al. (2013) |
| Cannabidivarin (CBDV) | 50, 100, and 200 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.p. injection 1 h/30 min before induced seizures. 400 mg kg\(^{-1}\) oral gavage 13.5/3.5 h before intraperitoneal PTZ | Epilepsy (mES seizures: 30 mA, 100 Hz for 200 ms, or generalized seizures 85 mg kg\(^{-1}\) PTZ injected intraperitoneally | F/M adult Wistar Kyoto rats. Non-Agouti (DBA)/ mice 3–4 weeks, ICR (CD-1) mice 5 weeks old | n = 80 (10/group), 640 Wistar rats 3–4 weeks old (n = 15/group) | 200 mg kg\(^{-1}\) CBDV—90% of mice remained seizure free. In rats, CBDV significantly decreased PTZ seizure severity and rodent mortality (200 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and delayed seizure onset. On co-administration | Hill et al. (2012) |
| Phytocannabinoid | Compound | Dose/route/time | Neuro model | Animals\(^a\) | n number | Results | Study |
|------------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Cannabidiol (CBD) | Cannabidiol (CBD) | 1 h pretreatment 50, 100, and 200 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.p. (rats) 10–200 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.p. (mice) | PTZ seizures (85 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) or pilocarpine (380 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | M Wistar Kyoto rats, M MF1 mice, DBA/2 mice 3–4 weeks | n = 10 mice n = 15 rats | CBDV significantly affected observed seizure severity >50 mg kg\(^{-1}\). Mortality was reduced by CBDV administration. | Hill et al. (2013) |
| Cannabidiol (CBD) | Cannabidiol (CBD) | 2, 20, and 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) versus vehicle control, daily intraperitoneally for 14 consecutive days | Rett syndrome | 5-month-old MeCP2-308 (B6.129S-MeCP2tm1Heto/J | n = 70 | 20 mg kg\(^{-1}\) CBDV improved motor learning ability. Brain weight was increased with CBDV treatment. CBDV had no effect on GPR55 levels and neurotrophin levels. | Vigli et al. (2018) |
| Cannabidiol (CBD) | Cannabidiol (CBD) | 0.2, 2, 20, and 200 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i per day i.p. initiated postnatal day (PND) 28 until PND 67. | Rett syndrome model (WT vs. MeCP2 KO) | Mecp2-mouse (WT vs. KO) | n ≥ 5 per treatment group total n = 112 | 2–200 mg kg\(^{-1}\) per day CBDV reduced tremors, and 0.2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) per day was ineffective. CBDV reduced hind limb clasping but again not at the lowest dose tested. CBDV improved breathing and gait abnormalities, reduced total symptom score, and improved neurological motor deficits. | Zamberletti et al. (2019) |
| Cannabichromene (CBC) | CBC | 0.01 ml g\(^{-1}\) and 25, 50, and 75 mg kg\(^{-1}\) CBC (mice), 1.0 ml kg\(^{-1}\), 10–75 mg kg\(^{-1}\) CBC (rats) i.p. for 1 h prior to electroshock | Electroshock seizure test: 50 mA intensity for 0.2 s | MICR albino mice or male Sprague–Dawley rats | n = 90 (mice), 193 mice, 106 rats | CBC/THC had no effect on tonic hindlimb extension. CBC did not alter latency. CBC (lowest dose) shortened the duration of extension. All doses of CBC depressed motor activity (first 10-min interval). | Davis and Hatoum (1983) |
| Cannabinol (CBN) | CBN | 5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) subcutaneous pouch (25-g mouse), 28 days up to 12 weeks | Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) SOD1 model | Tg(SOD1-G93A) 2Gur (11) mice. Assigned 6 weeks of age | n = 18 | Motor abnormalities were delayed by CBN versus vehicle. | Weydt et al. (2005) |
| Phytocannabinoid Compound | Dose/route/time | Neuro model | Animals<sup>a</sup> | n number | Study |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------|----------|-------|
| Δ<sup>9</sup>-THCV | 2 mg kg<sup>−1</sup> i.p. for 14 days | Parkinson's disease (by 6-hydroxytryptamine-6-HT) or LPS | M Sprague-Dawley rats/CB2 knockout mice | n = 5–6 rats per group | THCV improved motor activities, reduced neuronal loss and reduced microglial activation. THCV was able to preserve TH positive neurons (LPS model). Garcia et al. (2011) |
| Δ<sup>8</sup>-THCV | 0.025, 0.25, and 2.5 mg kg<sup>−1</sup> i.p. + vehicle prior to initiating seizures | Seizures induced by 80 mg kg<sup>−1</sup> PTZ | M Wistar rats | 64 rats in total; n = 16 per group | Median seizure severity, duration, progression, or latency was unaffected by any dose of THCV. 33% of animals exhibited a complete absence of seizures at a dose of 0.25 mg kg<sup>−1</sup> THCV. Hill et al. (2010) |
| Δ<sup>9</sup>-THCA | 20 mg kg<sup>−1</sup> i.p. 30 min before 3NPA, every 24 h for 4 days | Huntington's disease (3NPA model) | M C57BL/6 mice | n = 70; 9 animals per group | THCA improved hindlimb dystonia and locomotor activity. THCA down-regulated all pro-inflammatory mediators. Nadal et al. (2017) |

<sup>a</sup> Animal sex denoted by F (female) and M (male).
administered prior to the initiation of pentylenetetrazole (PTZ) seizures; however, despite being able to block Nav channel activity, CBG had no effect on seizure severity. No antagonist experiments were conducted in these studies, but Valdeolivas et al. (2015) did show that CBG dose-dependently activated PPARγ in cultured striatal cells (WT and mutant huntingtin; supplementary data). Four studies reported that the CBG derivatives VCE-003 (5 mg·kg⁻¹ i.p.) and VCE-003.2 (10 mg·kg⁻¹ p.o./i.p.) successfully reduced immune cell activation in macrophages, microglia, and infiltrating neutrophils in models of EAE (to model MS) and Huntington’s and LPS-induced Parkinson’s disease (PD; Aguareles et al., 2019; Carrillo-Salinas et al., 2014; Díaz-Alonso et al., 2016; García et al., 2018). In the in vivo Parkinson’s disease model, García et al. (2018) found that PPARγ antagonist T0070907 (5 mg·kg⁻¹) blocked VCE-003.2-mediated decreases in TNF-α, IL-1β, and iNOS mRNA levels, but no other antagonists were investigated. In a follow-up study by the same group, 20 mg·kg⁻¹ (but not 10 mg·kg⁻¹) oral VCE-003.2 promoted a trend towards recovery in the basal ganglia of LPS-lesioned mice and was associated with decreases in IL-1β gene expression, lysosomal-associated membrane protein-1 (LAMP-1), and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) immunostaining (Burgaz et al., 2019). Orally dosed VCE-003.2 (10 mg·kg⁻¹) promoted neurogenesis in mice subjected to mutant Huntington expression in a Huntington’s disease model (Aguareles et al., 2019). In another model of Huntington’s disease VCE-003.2 (10 mg·kg⁻¹ i.p.) prevented neuronal loss, indicated by increases in Nissl and NeuN staining and at the same dose improved RotaRod performance and reduced astroglisis in mice, measured by attenuated levels of GFAP and ionized calcium binding adaptor molecule 1 (Iba-1; Díaz-Alonso et al., 2016). Rodríguez-Cueto et al. (2018) found that VCE-003.2 10 mg·kg⁻¹ i.p. successfully improved neuropathological deterioration and normalized CB2 receptor and IL-1β levels, in an experimental model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, but again no mechanisms of action were probed.

In vitro, Schubert et al. (2019) reported that CBG (100 nM) prevented MC65 neurons from accumulating toxic amyloid β (Aβ) protein in an Alzheimer’s disease model. CBG also preserved neuronal trophic factors in primary rat cortical neurons (EC₅₀ 1.5 μM) and prevented oxytosis in mouse HT22 hippocampal nerve cells (EC₅₀ 1.9 μM). Although no mechanisms were explored in this study, neither MC65 neurons nor HT22 cells express CB1 or CB2 receptors, leading the authors to conclude that these effects were mediated independently of these receptors. In N2a cells, VCE-003.2 (10 and 25 μM) prevented excitotoxicity induced by glutamate and in models of LPS induced Parkinson’s disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (García et al., 2018; Rodríguez-Cueto et al., 2018). Similarly, VCE-003 (0.1–25 μM) dose dependently protected neuronal cells in a model of MS, while VCE-003.2 (500 nM) promoted neuronal differentiation when dosed for 21 days in an in vitro model of Huntington’s disease, but no antagonist experiments were conducted to explain these effects (Aguareles et al., 2019; Granja et al., 2012). In a model of neuroinflammation, pretreatment with CBG (7.5 μM) improved viability in cells treated medium from LPS-stimulated macrophages and, while

**FIGURE 2** Structured and pharmacological profiles of some of the minor phytocannabinoids with cannabidiol (CBD) and tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ⁹-THC) included for reference: Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinolic acid (Δ⁹-THCA), Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinolic acid (Δ⁹-THCV), cannabidivaric acid (CBDV), cannabidiolic acid (CBD), cannabichromene (CBC), cannabigerol (CBG), and cannabinoil (CBN)
authors reported that CBG treatment resulted in PPARγ down-regulation, no direct mechanistic probing was conducted (Gugliandolo, Pollastro, Grassi, Bramanti, & Mazzon, 2018). Granja et al. (2012) and Carrillo-Salinas et al. (2014) found that treatment with VCE-003 (1 and 2.5 μM and 1, 10, and 25 μM) blocked the secretion of a number pro-inflammatory mediators including IL-6, TNF-α, IL-1β, and CCL3 in macrophages and primary microglia. VCE-003.2 also attenuated TNF-α and L-1β secretion but from BV2 mouse microglial cells (5 μM) and astroglial cells (1 and 5 μM; Díaz-Alonso et al., 2016; García et al., 2018; Rodríguez-Cueto et al., 2018). Díaz-Alonso et al. (2016) and García et al. (2018) deduced that VCE-003.2 did not mediate its protective effects via CB1 or CB2 receptors due to poor binding affinity (Ki > 40 μM) and both groups found that VCE-003.2 was an agonist at PPARγ (IC50 of 1.2 μM).

3.2 | Cannabidivaricin (CBDV)

All in vivo cannabidivaricin (CBDV) studies evaluated the anti-epileptic properties of the compound in models of Rett syndrome and MES seizures (Amada, Yamasaki, Williams, & Whalley, 2013; Hill et al., 2012; Hill et al., 2013; Vigli et al., 2018; Zamberletti et al., 2019). Doses in these studies ranged from 0.2 to 400 mg·kg⁻¹ per day in rodents with efficacy in reducing tremors was observed between 2 and 200 mg·kg⁻¹ per day. Two studies reported that 200 mg·kg⁻¹ i.p. CBDV significantly decreased PTZ seizure severity and mortality in rats (A. J. Hill et al., 2012; Hill et al., 2013). Hill et al. (2012) found that 90% of animals remained seizure free at a dose of 200 mg·kg⁻¹ CBDV i.p. per day; however, lower concentrations of CBDV were ineffective (0.2 mg·kg⁻¹). CBDV had no effect on the severity of pilocarpine convulsions at any tested concentration (50–200 mg·kg⁻¹ per day). CBDV (400 mg·kg⁻¹ oral gavage) suppressed PTZ seizures, significantly decreasing seizure severity but had no effect on expression of epilepsy related genes (Amada et al., 2013). Another study reported that 20 mg·kg⁻¹ i.p. CBDV dosed for 14 days improved brain weight in Rett syndrome (RTT) mice, compared with WT mice, but had no effect on neurotrophin levels (Vigli et al., 2018). None of these in vivo studies conducted antagonist experiments to further elucidate the anticonvulsant effects of CBDV.

In HEK293 cells transfected with TRPV1, 2, and 3 channels, CBDV caused a concentration-dependent bidirectional current at TRPV1 channels similar to capsaicin, and capsazepe (TRPV1 channel antagonist) blocked this effect. Furthermore, 5'-idoresiniferatoxin (5'-IRTX), a selective antagonist of TRPV1 channels counteracted the effect of CBDV in the duration but not amplitude of neuronal burst. These data suggest that CBDV acts as an agonist at these channels, but some of CBDVs effects are mediated independently of this channel. However, no other antagonists were tested to establish which receptors were responsible for the other effects of CBDV (Iannotti et al., 2014). Hill et al. (2012) reported that CBDV (10 and 100 μM) decreased the amplitude and duration of local field potentials in hippocampal brain slices, with an anti-epileptiform effect observed in the
CA1 region (100 μM). CBDV also showed efficacy in an in vitro model of Alzheimer's disease, preventing oxytosis and energy loss in HT22 cells (EC50 1.1 μM and 90 nM, respectively), as well as reducing Aβ toxicity (EC50 100 nM) and trophic withdrawal (EC50 350 nM); however, no mechanistic data were reported to determine how these effects were mediated (Schubert et al., 2019).

3.3 | Cannabichromene (CBC)

In a model of electroshock seizure, CBC (10–75 mg kg⁻¹ i.p. per day) significantly depressed motor activity during the first 10-min interval, but subsequently only the highest dose was effective (Davis & Hatoum, 1983). In vitro, Shinjyo and Di Marzo (2013) found that 1μM CBC increased viability of adult nestin-positive neuronal stem cells when applied in medium without growth factors (B27 medium), by inducing ERK phosphorylation. No antagonist data were presented in these studies.

3.4 | Cannabinol (CBN)

Only one in vivo study assessed CBN (5 mg kg⁻¹ per day) in an SOD1 model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. CBN delayed motor abnormalities at Day 17 in the chronic treatment regimen, compared with vehicle control, but disease progression was not affected (Weydt et al., 2005). In a model of Huntington’s disease, Aiken et al. (2004) found that CBN reduced LDH activity in PC12 cells (20 and 100 μM), but the authors did not investigate the mechanism(s) of this effect. CBN displayed potent antioxidant activity in primary cerebral granule cells under oxidative stress conditions; however, no antagonist data were presented on this cannabinoid (Marsicano et al., 2002).

3.5 | Δ⁹-THCV

Male Sprague–Dawley rats and CB2 receptor knockout mice were dosed with 2 mg kg⁻¹ per day Δ⁹-THCV over a period of 14 days in a model of Parkinson’s disease, induced by 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) or LPS (García et al., 2011). Δ⁹-THCV reduced slow motor movements induced by 6-OHDA and enhanced mean velocity of movement with a potency similar to rimonabant. Chronic Δ⁹-THCV dosing reduced microglial activation and preserved nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons after 6-OHDA application and in the LPS model of Parkinson’s disease, Δ⁹-THCV preserved TH positive neurons, mirroring the effects of the CB2 receptor agonist HU-308. Thus, authors speculated that Δ⁹-THCV mediated at least some of its effects in the LPS model via CB2 receptors (García et al., 2011). Also, 2 mg kg⁻¹ Δ⁹-THCV blocked the effects of the CB1 receptor agonist, CP55,940, suggesting it acts as an antagonist at this receptor. However, no data were presented assessing if such antagonistic properties of Δ⁹-THCV at CB1 receptors mediated its protective effects in the 6-OHDA or LPS models of Parkinson’s disease. Hill et al. (2010) studied Δ⁹-THCV in a seizure model induced by 80 mg kg⁻¹ PTZ and found that at a dose of 0.25 mg kg⁻¹ i.p. Δ⁹-THCV, with 33% of animals having a complete absence of seizures. Although no direct mechanistic probing was investigated, receptor binding assays were performed on rat cortical membranes, and Δ⁹-THCV was found to act as a CB1 receptor ligand (CB1 Kᵢ ~ 290 nM; [³⁵S]SR141716A displacement but no agonist stimulation using [³⁵S]GTPγS binding; Hill et al., 2010).

3.6 | Δ⁹-THCA

In an acute 3-NP model of Huntington’s disease, Nadal et al. (2017) observed a significant improvement in hindlimb dystonia (uncontrollable hindlimb muscle contraction) and locomotor activity in male, C57BL/6 mice treated with Δ⁹-THCA (20 mg kg⁻¹ per day i.p.). Δ⁹-THCA also prevented astrogliosis and microgliosis and attenuated the up-regulation of pro-inflammatory mediators induced by 3-NP. These effects were blocked when mice were co-administered with the PPARγ antagonist T0070903 (with the exception of IL-6; Nadal et al., 2017). In vitro, N2a cells infected with the huntingtin polyQ repeats resulted in toxicity, which was significantly reduced by treatment with Δ⁹-THCA, as well as decreased expression of inflammatory mediators: TNF-α, iNOS, IL-6, and COX-2. Δ⁹-THCA also improved neuronal viability post-serum deprivation, and this effect was prevented by GW9662, a PPARγ antagonist. No other antagonists were used in this study (Nadal et al., 2017).

Δ⁹-THCA (0.01–10 μM) displayed no pro-survival effect on dopaminergic neurons but had a significant, positive effect on cell count (123%) when compared to the control, in an in vitro model of Parkinson’s disease (Moldzio et al., 2012).

4 | DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review on the neuroprotective effects of lesser-known, minor phytocannabinoids in various models of neurological disease. Data obtained from our search revealed that CBG, VCE.003, VCE.003.2, and CBDV were the most promising candidates as neuroprotectants, while Δ⁹-THCV, Δ⁹-THCA, CBC, and CBN have limited but encouraging data as neuroprotectants. CBG, VCE.003, VCE.003.2, and Δ⁹-THCA mediated their neuroprotective effects at least in part by the nuclear receptor PPARγ. CBDV was found to mediate some of its antiepileptic effects via TRPV1 channels, and Δ⁹-THCV was found to be a CB2 receptor ligand and a possible CB2 receptor agonist, but no experiments were conducted to establish whether its neuroprotective action was mediated by CB1 or CB2 receptors. No other receptors were investigated, and no studies assessed the neuroprotective potential of CBDA, CBGA, CBGV, CBCV, CBGVA, or CBDVA.

CBG was first isolated in 1964 by the same group that reported the structure of Δ⁹-THC (Gaoni & Mechoulam, 1964). It exhibited
antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, while displaying no psychotropic effects, as it is a poor CB1 receptor agonist (Gauson et al., 2007; Navarro et al., 2018; Rosenthaler et al., 2014). CBG is a partial agonist at CB2 receptors, a potent α2-adrenoceptor agonist (EC50 0.2 nM) and a moderate 5-HT1A receptor antagonist, as well as interacting with various TRP isoforms including TRPV1 and 2 channels (Cascio, Gauson, Stevenson, Ross, & Pertwee, 2010; De Petrocellis et al., 2012). Studies included here show that these compounds have significant anti-inflammatory effects, including attenuating cytokine release and decreasing the activation of immune cells, an effect observed in both in vitro and in vivo models.

CBG and its derivatives were particularly effective in models of Huntington's disease, targeting multiple facets of the disease including gene expression, easing motor symptoms, reducing microglial activation, and attenuating the inflammatory response. Huntington's disease pathophysiology, like other neurodegenerative disorders, exhibits uncontrolled microglial activation, which is a key part of the neuroinflammatory response. In early stages of this disease, PET imaging has revealed marked microglial activation, which was correlated with impairments of neuronal activity (Tai et al., 2007). Microglial activation along with increases in pro-inflammatory mediators has also been detected in post-mortem Huntington's disease brains (Palpagama, Waldvogel, Faull, & Kwakowsky, 2019). Interestingly, microglial mediated neuroinflammation was suppressed with the activation of CB2 receptors (Ehrhart et al., 2005). However, given VCE-003 and VCE.003.2's protective effects were likely to be CB2 and CB2 receptor-independent, their effects on microglial activation are likely to be via a different mechanism, possibly through the activation of PPARγ, which has an important role in regulating the inflammatory response, especially in the CNS (see Bright, Kanakasabai, Chearwae, & Chakraborty, 2008; Villapol, 2018). It is also worth noting that microglial activation can be protective, preserving neurons by secreting anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-4 and IL-10 as well as various trophic factors (see Le, Wu, & Tang, 2016, and Pöyhönen, Er, Domanskyi, & Airavaara, 2019). In line with these observations, there effectively needs to be a balancing act between enabling some degree of microglial activation to protect neurons, while limiting their over-activation that would ultimately lead to damage. Given that the symptoms of Huntington's disease are currently managed using VMAT inhibitors (such as TBZ) to decrease levels of monoamines, it would be useful to assess whether CBG and its derivatives have any efficacy as VMAT inhibitors, or whether their protective effects in models of Huntington's disease are independent of this mechanism. If the latter is the case, future studies should investigate low-dose VMATs (to minimize neuropsychiatric side effects) together with CBG or its derivatives as an adjuvant therapy to assess if there is an additive, or even synergistic, protective effect of these compounds.

Long-term dose tolerability and a lack of accumulation in tissue are both essential features of neuroprotective agents as these drugs are typically taken for life after disease onset. In a study conducted by Deiana et al. (2012), CBG was found to have similar PK profiles in rats and mice but exhibited slower brain penetration in mice. Both animals also had higher concentrations of CBG following i.p. injection compared to oral administration, but interestingly in rats, this did not equate to higher concentrations in brain tissue (Deiana et al., 2012). From the results in our review, treatment with CBG, VCE-003, and VCE.003.2 was well tolerated and ranged from just 3 days to 10 weeks with two studies dosing CBG orally and seven studies dosing intraperitoneally. Deiana et al. (2012) reported that animals tolerated CBG better after i.p. administration, compared with the oral route. In humans, i.p. dosing is not a viable means of regular administration, and all drugs given orally have a larger side effect profile. Moreover, patients receiving certain oral therapies for neurological conditions, such as levodopa for Parkinson's disease, must also take medications to minimize peripheral effects (Fahn, 2008). Therefore, dose formulation and route of administration for these compounds should be carefully assessed, based on thorough ADME profiling and feasibility of long-term dosing.

CBG exhibited positive effects in two Huntington's disease models, despite one study using oral and the other i.p., administration. Of note, CBD has already been trialled in Huntington's disease patients; CBD (10 mg·kg−1; 700 mg average daily dose) was given for 6 weeks and resulted in a consistent plasma level of 5.9–11 ng·mL−1. Once treatment had stopped, elimination was between 2 and 5 days, suggesting CBD did not accumulate and remain in plasma longer than 5 days in these Huntington's disease patients (Consroe et al., 1991). Further studies should elucidate whether CBG and its derivatives display efficacy in humans and clarify whether their activation of PPARγ corresponds to their neuroprotective properties and if other receptors are involved. More data are also needed on the PK profiles of CBG and its derivatives in older mice and larger mammals and to establish whether it exhibits a similar elimination to CBD in humans. These factors would aid in the translation of this compound as a treatment for neurodegenerative conditions.

Cannabidivarin (CBDV) is a structural analogue of CBD, with the molecule shortened by two methylene bridges (Morales, Hurst, & Reggio, 2017; Vollner, Bieniek, & Korte, 1969). From our search, in vivo studies consistently reported 200 mg·kg−1 i.p. CBDV having anti-epileptic effects and a 400 mg·kg−1 oral dose also showing promise. Like CBD, CBDV is a agonist at TRPV1/2 and TRPA1 channels, and an antagonist at TRPM8 channels, which may explain similarities in their neuroprotective properties, particularly the action of CBDV as an agonist at TRPV1 channels (De Petrocellis et al., 2011; Iannotti et al., 2014; Scott & Williamson, 2007). In our review, studies showed that CBDV did not affect neurotoxic levels or epilepsy-related gene expression. Thus, it can be assumed that CBDV mediates its protective effects independent of these pathways (Amada et al., 2013; Vigli et al., 2018). Deiana et al. (2012) reported that CBDV was rapidly absorbed in mice and rats, but there was a higher drug concentration in plasma and brain following oral treatment in rats compared to mice. Furthermore, while i.p. injection resulted in similar PK profiles in the two species, brain concentrations in rats were higher. This brings into question the differences in the amount of CBDV delivered to the brain in the studies conducted in mice compared with rats presented in this review and whether this influenced study outcomes. Only two studies reported chronic CBDV dosing both in models of Rett
syndrome, highlighting the need for future studies to assess the long-term tolerability of CBDV as an anti-epileptic agent and how different species exhibit different bioavailability of this compound, as these will both affect the translatability of CBDV to humans.

Although out of the scope of this review, it is worth noting that CBDV has already been trialled as an anti-convulsant by GW Pharmaceuticals in a phase IIa, placebo-controlled study of 162 adult patients (clinical trial number: NCT02369471/NCT02365610). The drug GWP42006 (which contains CBDV as its main ingredient) was dose titrated (over 2 weeks) up to a 800 mg twice daily dose for a 6-week stable treatment period. However, focal seizures were inadequately controlled with this dose and GWP42006 displayed no difference in efficacy to the placebo control group (Schultz, 2018). While this may cast doubt on the translatability of the evidence presented in this review, it is worth highlighting that the maximum dose in humans from the GW study would be considerably less than if the same dose regimens as the in vivo studies were followed for a 60-kg human. Furthermore, Morano et al. (2020) have suggested that the inability of CBDV to control seizures was in part due to an extremely high response from the placebo group and that the use of purified CBDV may have also influenced the study outcome. Therefore, it is important to exercise caution when extrapolating the findings from the in vitro and in vivo data presented here and what doses may be effective in clinical trials.

Cannabinochromene (CBC) was first isolated in 1966 by Gaoni and Mechoulam and is a non-psychotropic cannabinoid that does not interact with CB1 receptors (Gaoni & Mechoulam, 1966). CBC is an agonist at CB2 receptors and TRP channels, acting potently at TRPA1 as well as displaying some activity at TRPV3 and TRPV4 channels (Cascio & Pertwee, 2015; De Petrocellis et al., 2008, 2011; de Petrocellis et al., 2012; Udoh, Santiago, Devenish, McGregor, & Connor, 2019). CBC (0.001–1 μM) exhibited promising anti-inflammatory effects in an in vitro model of colitis, decreasing LPS increased nitrite levels and attenuating IFN-γ and IL-10 secretion in peritoneal macrophages (Romano et al., 2013). More recently CBC acted as a CB2 receptor agonist in AtT20 cells transfected with these receptors and was confirmed by application of the CB2 receptor antagonist AM630, which blocked the effects of CBC (Udoh et al., 2019). We found only two papers related to neuroprotective effects of CBC; in vivo CBC suppressed motor activity while in vitro CBC improved viability of neural stem cells (Davis & Hatoum, 1983; Shinjyo & Di Marzo, 2013). The anti-inflammatory effects of CBC may play a pivotal role in its ability to act as a neuroprotectant, as inflammation and overactivation of the immune response are important features of neurodegenerative conditions. Thus, further research should assess this compound in neuro-inflammatory conditions, where it may have potential.

Cannabinol (CBN) is an oxidation product of Δ9-THC and was the first cannabinoid to be discovered and isolated (Wood, Spivey, & Easterfield, 1899). Like Δ9-THC, it has been shown to activate CB1 receptors (K i 211.2 nM) but with lower potency, as well as acting as an agonist at TRPV2 channels (Rhee et al., 1997; Russo & Marcu, 2017). CBN (1 mg·mL −1) was recently shown to reduce mechanical sensitization and sensitivity of afferent muscle fibres in an in vivo model of myofascial pain, but no mechanism of action was investigated (Wong & Cairns, 2019). From our search, limited data showed that CBN decreased cell damage and acted as a potent antioxidant in a cell-based Huntington’s disease model (Alken et al., 2004). The antioxidant activity of CBN is a characteristic feature of cannabinoids, which as previously mentioned, is thought to be due to the presence of the phenolic ring and carboxyl moieties, as well as the ability to increase antioxidant defences. CBN has already shown extensive antioxidant properties, including increasing the levels and activity of antioxidants, capturing ROS, and transforming them into less active forms, as well as activating nuclear erythroid 2-related factor (Nrf2) that governs the transcription of many antioxidants genes (see Atalay, Jarocka-karpowicz, & Skrzydlewska, 2020). Oxidative stress is a key feature of neurodegenerative disorders including Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s disease. In the latter condition, Aβ deposits contain a significant number of binding sites for biometals (zinc, copper, and iron) that contribute to oxidative stress in patients (Huang, Zhang, & Chen, 2016; Kozlowski et al., 2009). Furthermore, Alzheimer’s disease patients have decreased levels of antioxidant enzymes and increased products of oxidative stress, such as peroxidised lipids and oxidized proteins in brain tissue (Kim et al., 2006; Sultana et al., 2011). Also, large amounts of ROS are generated by reactive microglial cells, with studies showing superoxide produced by microglia directly contributing to the death of dopaminergic neurons in Parkinson’s disease (Hernandes, Café-Mendes, & Britto, 2013). It is clear that more information is needed on the pharmacology of CBN, especially its antioxidant potential. Moreover, the ability of CBDV, CBG, CBC, and CBN to reduce Aβ deposits in vitro is also noteworthy and it is clearly of interest to examine the antioxidant and anti-inflammatory potential of these compounds in Alzheimer’s disease models in vivo and whether these compounds act through mechanisms, similar to those of CBD.

Δ2-THCV is a homologue of Δ9-THC differing by just a propyl side chain, and studies have suggested that Δ2-THCV acts as a CB1 receptor agonist, sharing properties with Δ9-THC, albeit with less potency (Gill, Paton, & Pertwee, 1970; Pertwee, 2008). They exhibit similarities in their in vivo effects such as inducing catalepsy in mice and Δ9-THC-like effects in humans (Gill et al., 1970; Hollister, 1974). We found two studies where Δ2-THCV showed promise as an anti-epileptic agent and protected neurons in two models of Parkinson’s disease, while García et al. (2011) suggested Δ9-THCV mediated some of its protective effects by acting at CB1 and CB2 receptors, the possible mechanisms of action of Δ2-THCV was largely unexplored (García et al., 2011; Hill et al., 2010). In an earlier study, Δ9-THCV displaced [3H]CP55940 from specific sites in mouse brain and CHO-hCB2 cell membranes (K i values 75.4 nM and 62.8 nM, respectively), and along with data from GTPγS-binding experiments, the authors concluded Δ9-THCV acted as a CB2 receptor antagonist (Thomas et al. 2005). Other groups have shown Δ9-THCV can block CB2 receptor activity in murine cerebellar slices and, at 5.8 μM, increased GABA release from neurons, sharing the same properties as AM251, a CB1...
receptor antagonist (Ma, Weston, Whalley, & Stephens, 2008; Pertwee, 2008). Thus, while there is evidence to suggest Δ²-THCV mediates some of its protective effects via CB₁ and CB₂ receptors, the data remain largely unclear, and there is also a lack of investigation into the potential of Δ⁹-THCV to act at other known cannabinoid targets.

Microglial activation and the presence of neuroinflammatory factors are well known characteristics of Parkinson’s disease and well documented among patients (Mogi et al., 1994; Qian et al., 2011). Moreover, studies have demonstrated that microglial overactivation leads to deleterious effects and the exacerbation of the immune response, especially the release of pro-inflammatory mediators. As observed with the CBG derivative VC-003.2, microglial activation was decreased by Δ⁹-THCV, inducing a protective effect by dampening the immune response. Studies have already demonstrated the ability of CBD to modulate the immune response by acting as an agonist of PPARγ and altering NF-κB signalling, which is up-regulated in both microglia and astrocytes of Parkinson’s disease patients. Furthermore, activation of PPARγ leads to inhibition of NF-κB signalling and decreases mRNA levels of proinflammatory mediators TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and iNOS (Vallée, Lecarpentier, Guillevin, & Vallée, 2017). Therefore, it would be of interest to determine whether Δ⁹-THCV is able to reduce microglial activation through the same mechanism as CBD, involving the activation of PPARγ.

Limited pharmacokinetic data on Δ⁹-THCV have shown it exhibits rapid absorption in rats and mice when administered either i.p. or orally but is rapidly eliminated when orally administered (<1.5 h) compared to i.p administration where its elimination rate is >5 h (Deiana et al., 2012). Interestingly, Δ⁹-THCV exhibited extensive brain penetration (exceeding plasma levels), regardless of the route of administration, meaning it can effectively cross the BBB. At 24 h, Δ⁹-THCV was no longer detected, suggesting that it exhibits a lack of accumulation in brain tissue (Deiana et al., 2012). Altogether, these features, along with evidence collected in this study, support Δ⁹-THCV as a neuroprotective agent. However, clearly, more data with Δ⁹-THCV are needed, especially to assess safety after chronic dosing and whether this compound exhibits tolerance with long-term use.

Δ⁹-THCA is the acidic precursor of Δ⁹-THC, and competition binding assays revealed that this compound was unable to achieve displacement of [³H]-CP55,940 (CB₁ and CB₂ receptor agonist) up to 10 μM, suggesting Δ⁹-THCA exhibits poor affinity for CB₁ or CB₂ receptors (McPartland et al., 2017). Results from this study also showed that Δ⁹-THCA has little efficacy at these receptors as it exhibited no inhibition of forskolin-mediated cAMP, compared to Δ⁹-THC that acted as an agonist in this assay. Our search revealed that Δ⁹-THCA had anti-inflammatory effects that improved neural viability in a model of Huntington’s disease, but interestingly, it did not affect the survival of dopaminergic neurons in a model of Parkinson’s disease (Moldzio et al., 2012; Nadal et al., 2017). In a recent study, Anderson, Low, Banister, McGregor, and Arnold (2019) reported that Δ⁹-THCA had extremely poor brain penetration (an optimistic brain–plasma ratio of 0.15) in both vehicles tested. Furthermore, studies have shown that Δ⁹-THCA has poor stability and rapidly decarboxylates to Δ⁹-THC, bringing into question whether the ability of Δ⁹-THCA to act as a neuroprotectant in the studies presented here is actually due to nearly unavoidable contamination with Δ⁹-THC (Anderson et al., 2019; McPartland et al., 2017). Overall, these data warrant further investigation into Δ⁹-THCA as a potential neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory agent, but with caution, and such studies should include purity data on Δ⁹-THCA to enhance the robustness of the experimental data.

There were no studies identified in this review that looked at the potential neuroprotective effects of other cannabinoid varins or their acidic forms such as CBGV, CBGVA, CBDVA, CBCV, and CBCCA. This may be due to the lack of commercial availability of these compounds due to their low concentrations in the plant, costly synthetic production or that these compounds are not very stable. CBD was only used in one study on Huntington’s disease, where it had no protective effects. This compound, however, has shown promise in other conditions including breast cancer migration, inflammatory pain and nausea (Bolognini et al., 2013; Rock, Limebeer, & Parker, 2018; Takeda et al., 2012), with groups suggesting that CBD is 1,000 times more potent at the 5-HT₁A receptor than CBD (Bolognini et al., 2013). Activation of the 5-HT₁A receptor is protective both in vitro in Parkinsonian models and in vivo in models of hypoxia ischaemia (Miyazaki et al., 2013; Pazos et al., 2013). Although Anderson et al. (2019) concluded that CBDA displayed poor brain penetration in an oil-based formulation, uptake was increased when CBDA was formulated in a Tween-based vehicle. Also, CBDA was anti-convulsant at 10 and 30 mg·kg⁻¹ displaying greater potency compared to CBD (100 mg·kg⁻¹). These data support CBDA’s efficacy in the brain, as well as highlighting its potential as an anticonvulsant (Anderson et al., 2019). Considering these points, CBDA may be also protective in conditions such as ischaemic stroke and Parkinson’s disease and warrants further investigation. Recent studies have also shown that CBDA, CBGV, and CBGA interact with various TRP channel isoforms including TRPV1, TRPV2, TRPA1, and TRPM8 channels. Of note, CBGV and CBGA were also potent desensitizers of TRPV3 and TRPV4 channels, respectively (De Petrocellis et al., 2012). While the extent of the role of TRP channels in neuroprotection has yet to be fully understood, these receptors are involved in a wide range of neurological disorders. For example, TRPA1-deficient mice were more likely to sustain damage post ischaemia and TRPA1 channel activation in Alzheimer’s disease may have a crucial role in regulating astrocyte-mediated inflammation (Lee et al., 2016; Pires & Earley, 2018). Conversely, TRPV1 channel activity has been implicated in epilepsy having a role in neuronal excitability and synaptic transmission (Nazrogulu, 2015). Therefore, CBDA, CBGV, and CBGA interactions at TRP channels may be beneficial in conditions that involve these channels in their pathophysiology.

Translatability of these data and the viability of minor phytocannabinoids as neuroprotectants will also rely on understanding and perhaps manipulating their bioavailability and pharmacokinetic properties. In a recent systematic review conducted by our group, Miller, Stone, Yates, and O’Sullivan (2018) highlighted discrepancies regarding CBD bioavailability, Cmax, Tmax, and half-life (t1/2) in humans depending on the route of administration and formulation and
whether CBD was dosed in a fed or fasted state. That being said, studies conducted in piglets (Garberg et al., 2017) and rodents (Hammell et al., 2016; Long et al., 2012) have shown a dose-dependent relationship between CBD administration and brain and plasma concentrations. Limited data extracted by Millar et al. (2018) showed that administration of CBD in humans also led to dose-dependent increases in plasma concentrations, suggesting the same may apply to brain concentrations in man.

Information on the human metabolites of CBD, Δ⁹-THC, and other phytocannabinoids is scarce, with the majority of research focusing on the extensive first pass metabolism of CBD and the identification of its urinary metabolites. Of interest, a patent filed by Mechoulam et al. (2010) described that two major metabolites of CBD, 7-hydroxy (7-OH) CBD and 7-carboxy (7-COOH), are both anti-inflammatory and dose dependently inhibit TNF-α, NO, and ROS. However, these data have yet to be confirmed in academic studies or found to be true of other phytocannabinoids. In addition, the cytochrome P450 (CYP) superfamily is responsible for metabolizing 60%–80% of CNS-acting drugs, 23% by CYP3A4 and 38% CYP2C19, both of which accept CBD as a substrate (Cacabelos, 2010; Iffland & Grotenhermen, 2017). Altogether, these findings highlight that there are major gaps in the ADME of phytocannabinoids, as well as a lack of identification of metabolites and whether they have biological effects. In phase II trials, the minor phytocannabinoids presented in this review will, in all likelihood, be used alongside current therapies to see if they can augment survival of neurons and/or symptom burden, rather than being used as a single agent. In light of the above, it will be essential to consider the interactions that these compounds may have when administered in conjunction with conventional drug therapies (where they exist) and to establish potential synergistic or deleterious effects. Looking forward, initial ADME data will be essential to determine whether these compounds have true clinical potential and for their subsequent formulation and administration.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

This review aimed to collate and summarise all current data on the neuroprotective potential of phytocannabinoids other than Δ⁹-THC and CBD. Despite the lack of studies available in this area, we found that all phytocannabinoids tested displayed neuroprotective properties in a range of disorders. CBG and its derivatives displayed significant anti-inflammatory effects and were particularly effective in Huntington's disease models. CBDV, Δ⁹-THCV, and CBC were effective as anti-seizure agents, while CBN displayed antioxidant activity and Δ⁹-THCA had anti-inflammatory effects. CBG and Δ⁹-THCA, like CBD, mediate their anti-inflammatory effects through PPARy. Many of the studies were screening studies that conducted no mechanistic probing, suggesting that research into these compounds is still in its early stages. Extensive pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data in larger mammals are also necessary on these compounds, given that all in vivo studies in this review were conducted in mice and rats. This would provide more evidence for the facilitation of these compounds as therapies in humans. Further studies are required to investigate the full neuroprotective potential of these compounds particularly the mechanisms underlying their protective effects, as well as exploring whether their combinations may enhance their capabilities as neuroprotectants. While we have focused on a select number of minor phytocannabinoids, based predominantly on their shared physical and biological similarities to CBD, there are over 100 phytocannabinoids and terpenes present in the Cannabis plant that could potentially display neuroprotective potential.

5.1 | Nomenclature of targets and ligands

Key protein targets and ligands in this article are hyperlinked to corresponding entries in http://www.guidetopharmacology.org, the common portal for data from the IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOLOGY (http://www.guidetopharmacology.org), and are permanently archived in the Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2019/20 (Alexander, Christopoulos et al., 2019; Alexander, Cidlowski et al., 2019; Alexander, Fabbro et al., 2019; Alexander, Mathie et al., 2019).
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