Phylogeny of the Serrasalmidae (Characiformes) based on mitochondrial DNA sequences

Guillermo Ortí, Arjun Sivasundar¹, Kelly Dietz and Michel Jégu²

School of Biological Sciences, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA.

Abstract

Previous studies based on DNA sequences of mitochondrial (mt) rRNA genes showed three main groups within the subfamily Serrasalminae: (1) a “pacu” clade of herbivores (Colossoma, Mylossoma, Piaractus); (2) the “Myleus” clade (Myleus, Mylesinus, Tometes, Ossubitus); and (3) the “piranha” clade (Serrasalmus, Pygocentrus, Pygopristis, Pristobrycon, Catoprion, Metynnis). The genus Acnodon was placed as the sister taxon of clade (2+3). However, poor resolution within each clade was obtained due to low levels of variation among rRNA gene sequences. Complete sequences of the hypervariable mtDNA control region for a total of 45 taxa, and additional sequences of 12S and 16S rRNA from a total of 74 taxa representing all genera in the family are now presented to address intragroup relationships. Control region sequences of several serrasalmid species exhibit tandem repeats of short motifs (12 to 33 bp) in the 3’ end of this region, accounting for substantial length variation. Bayesian inference and maximum parsimony analyses of these sequences identify the same groupings as before and provide further evidence to support the following observations: (a) Serrasalmus gouldingi and species of Pristobrycon (non-striolatus) form a monophyletic group that is the sister group to other species of Serrasalmus and Pygocentrus; (b) Catoprion, Pygopristis, and Pristobrycon striolatus form a well supported clade, sister to the group described above; (c) some taxa assigned to the genus Myloplus (M. asterias, M. tiete, M. ternetzii, and M. rubripinnis) form a well supported group whereas other Myloplus species remain with uncertain affinities (d) Mylesinus, Tometes and Myleus setiger form a monophyletic group.
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Introduction

Piranhas and pacus (Serrasalmids) form a distinctive assemblage of characiform fishes. For a long time, they were considered a subfamily within the family Characidae. Recent phylogenetic studies of these fishes, however, strongly suggest that Characidae is non-monophyletic and that serrasalmids are not closely related to taxa originally placed in the subfamily Characinae, or other characid subfamilies (Zanata, 2000), but rather that they may be more closely related to Anostomoidae (Calcagnotto et al., 2005). All these arguments support the separate family status of serrasalmids and pimelodids; their relationships to other families within the order Characiformes, however, remain uncertain (Ortí and Meyer, 1997; Calcagnotto et al., 2005; Hubert et al., 2005). Species of the Serrasalmidae are endemic to the Neotropics and are distributed widely in all the major river systems of South America. At least 60 species (in 15 genera) have been recognized. This family includes the well-known piranhas, notorious from accounts of their group-predatory behavior, the seed-eating tambaqui, which is highly regarded as a food species, and the pacus. Several serrasalmid species are of economic importance and are used in aquaculture (Junk, 1984; Marshall, 1995; Araujo-Lima and Goulding, 1997).

Characteristic features of serrasalmids include a compressed body, a long dorsal fin with more than 16 rays and the presence of sharp serrae arising from modification of abdominal scales. The number of these serrae is variable, ranging from 6 to 9 in Acnodon to over 60 in Piaractus.

Serrasalmids occupy diverse habitats from lowland floodplains and flooded forests to upstream habitats in the headwater regions of river systems (Lowe-McConnel, 1975; Géry, 1977, 1984). They also display a range of trophic specializations, with three general feeding habits: carnivory, frugivory and lepidophagy (feeding on the scales of other fishes). Feeding habit is reflected in the mor-
Carnivorous serrasalmids usually have one row of tricuspid teeth on each jaw, while frugivores have two series of incisor or molariform teeth on the premaxilla, one row of teeth on the dentaries, and often a pair of symphysial teeth. The lepidophagous taxa have tuberculated teeth located on the outer side of the premaxilla that are used to remove scales from other fish. Not all species are specialists however, and their feeding habit varies with age and food availability (Nico and Taphorn, 1988; Wine-miller, 1989; Leite and Jégu, 1990). The arrangement and morphology of teeth have been the main characters traditionally used in serrasalmid classification.

Eigenmann (1915) erected the subfamilies Serrasalminae, containing six genera with one row of teeth on each jaw, and Mylinae, with nine genera having two rows of teeth on the premaxilla. The monotypic, lepidophagous genus *Catoprion* was included in the Mylinae. Classifications that followed also were based largely on dental morphology (Norman, 1929; Gosline, 1951; Géry 1977), and differed mainly in the assignment of ranks for some taxa (e.g. genera changed to subgenera).

In the first cladistic treatment of serrasalmid systematics, Machado-Allison (1983) inferred the presence of two lineages, labeled A and B (Figure 1a), which correspond to the Mylinae and Serrasalminae of Eigenmann, respectively, but including the genera *Catoprion* and *Metynnis* with the piranha clade. The first test of this hypothesis with molecular data (Ortí et al., 1996) used mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequences, and recovered a phylogeny of the group containing three or four distinct lineages rather than two (Figure 1b) based on fragments of the 12S and 16S rRNA genes. Relatively low levels of sequence divergence among the rRNA genes, however, resulted in poor resolution within these groups, and a representative of the genus *Pygopristis* was not included in that study. The mtDNA data strongly suggested that *Pristobrycon* includes two components: *Pristobrycon striolatus*, closely allied to *Catoprion*, and the other species of *Pristobrycon*, more closely related to *Serrasalmus* and *Pygocentrus*. A recent phylogenetic study of species of *Serrasalmus* and *Pygocentrus* (Hubert et al., 2007) based on mitochondrial control region sequences provided higher resolution for this group. Within the “*Myleus clade*,” mtDNA data (Ortí et al., 1996) were not able to resolve with confidence the relationships among the included taxa, but also did not support the monophyly of *Myleus* or the subspecies designations proposed by Géry (1972, 1977): *Myleus*, *Myloplus*, *Prosomyleus*, and *Paramyloplus*. A morphological reassessment of elements included in *Myleus* (Jégu and Santos; 2002; Jégu et al., 2003) proposed the recognition of *Myleus setiger* (formerly *Myleus pacu*) as the only valid representative of the genus and moved the other components to the genus *Myloplus* (originally erected by Gill, 1896). We follow these taxonomic recommendations in this study.

Most recently, a multi-gene assessment of characiform phylogeny based on mitochondrial (16S and cytochrome b) and nuclear DNA (4 fragments) supported the distinctive grouping of serrasalmids among characiforms (Calcagnotto et al., 2005). But since that study focused on higher-level relationships among characiforms, it included only 12 serrasalmid taxa, and obtained inconclusive results for within family relationships.

The current study aims to evaluate the previous findings with an extended data set, and also employ a more variable molecular marker to resolve relationships at the shallower nodes within each of the groups. The taxonomic sampling of the 12S and 16S mtDNA sequence data set is here extended from 34 to a total of 74 serrasalmid taxa (including *Pygopristis*). In an attempt to increase resolution among closely related species, 44 sequences from the mitochondrial control region (D-loop) representing all genera in
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**Figure 1** - Previous phylogenetic hypotheses for the Serrasalmidae: (a) phylogenetic relationships within the subfamily Serrasalminae proposed by Machado-Allison (1983) based on morphological characters and (b) Ortí et al. (1996) based on partial mtDNA sequences of the 12S and 16S ribosomal RNA genes.
the family are employed. Albeit based on mtDNA sequence data only, this study represents the most comprehensive molecular systematic treatment of this group to date.

**Methods**

**Taxon sampling**

Representatives of all serrasalmid genera were sampled from their natural habitat and also obtained from commercial sources (aquarium trade). Several specimens per genus, and in some cases more than one specimen per species were used to confirm taxonomic identities and also to control for intraspecific variation. Outgroup taxa were chosen from the Anostomoidea and Cynodontidae based on a recent analysis of characiform relationships that suggest a close relationship of these groups to serrasalmids (Calcagnotto et al., 2005). A complete list of taxa used for this study, their associated Genbank accession numbers, their source and (when present) voucher information are presented as Supplementary Material (Table S1).

**DNA amplification and sequencing**

Genomic DNA was isolated from ethanol-preserved muscle tissue by proteinase K / SDS dissolution, followed by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation (Sambrook et al., 1989). Segments of the small (12S) and large (16S) subunits of the ribosomal RNA mitochondrial genes were amplified by PCR in 50 μL reactions containing 10 μL dNTPs (1 mM), 5 μL reaction buffer (10X), 2 μL MgCl₂ (50 mM), 2 μL of each primer (10 μM), 0.5 μL (2.5 U) of Taq DNA polymerase (Gibco BRL), 2 μL of template DNA (100 ng/μL) and 26.5 μL H₂O. PCR conditions were as follows: 94 °C (3 min), 30 cycles of 94 °C (1 min), 57 °C (1 min), 72 °C (1 min), followed by 72 °C (2 min). Primers used for PCR and sequencing of the 12S fragment were L1091 and H1478 (Kocher et al., 1981). Sequences of the 12S and 16S rRNA genes corresponding to positions 1091-1478 and 2510-3059 in the human mitochondrial genome, respectively (Anderson et al., 1981). Sequences of the 12S and 16S fragments published by Ortí et al., 1996) were chosen from the Anostomoidea and Cynodontidae to control for potential sequencing errors. Sequences that were found misplaced in the resulting tree were re-sequenced or eliminated from subsequent analyses. Given the degree of redundancy in taxonomic sampling, errors can be detected when sequences from putative congeneric or conspecific specimens are not placed together in the tree. Some exceptions to this procedure are discussed below. After the preliminary NJ analyses, the ribosomal 12S and 16S fragments were gauged for congruence in phylogenetic signal by the incongruence length difference (ILD) test (Farris et al., 1994; Farris et al., 1995), implemented as the partition homogeneity test in PAUP* 4.0 (Swofford, 2000). This test showed no significant difference among the two partitions, and the 12S and 16S sequences

**Phylogenetic analysis**

All sequences were aligned with Clustal X (Thompson et al., 1997) using default parameters. Each fragment (12S, 16S, and D-loop) was aligned separately and the ribosomal gene alignments were subsequently verified using the secondary structure models described by Ortí et al. (1996). Alignment gaps that were inserted by ClustalX in putative stem regions that may imply disruption of hairpin structure were moved to contiguous loops or non-paired regions. D-loop sequences were compiled into two separate groups due to alignment ambiguities when all sequences were aligned together. The two groups (the ‘piranha clade’ and the rest) differ substantially in total length for this fragment. Micro or minisatellite repeats within the variable control region were identified and excised from the sequences before the alignment. Indels for all resulting alignments were coded for phylogenetic analysis following the modified complex method described by Müller (2006) implemented in the program SeqState (Müller, 2005).

Alignments for each fragment were analyzed initially by the neighbor joining method (NJ: Saitou and Nei, 1987) to control for potential sequencing errors. Sequences that were found misplaced in the resulting tree were re-sequenced or eliminated from subsequent analyses. Given the degree of redundancy in taxonomic sampling, errors can be detected when sequences from putative congeneric or conspecific specimens are not placed together in the tree. Some exceptions to this procedure are discussed below. After the preliminary NJ analyses, the ribosomal 12S and 16S fragments were gauged for congruence in phylogenetic signal by the incongruence length difference (ILD) test (Farris et al., 1994; Farris et al., 1995), implemented as the partition homogeneity test in PAUP* 4.0 (Swofford, 2000). This test showed no significant difference among the two partitions, and the 12S and 16S sequences...
were concatenated for all further analyses. The D-loop data were compiled into 2 separate data sets, one for the ‘piranha clade’ and one for the remaining taxa of the family.

Tree searches were performed using PAUP* version 4.0b4a (Swofford, 2000), MrBayes 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001, Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003), and TreeFinder (Jobb, 2006). Maximum parsimony (MP) analyses performed in PAUP* used heuristic searches starting with stepwise addition trees and replicated 100 times, with each replicate starting with random input order of sequences. Branch swapping was performed by the tree-bisection-reconnection (TBR) method. The consistency index (CI) and the rescaled consistency index (RC) were computed for the best trees. Bootstrap values (BV) were used to estimate confidence in the resulting topology and were based on 100 replicates of heuristic search with starting trees obtained by stepwise addition. MP analyses were applied to the DNA sequence data alone or in combination with the coded indel characters. Modeltest 3.7 (Posada and Crandall, 1998) was used to determine the optimal model of nucleotide evolution for each data set. Maximum likelihood (ML) searches were performed with TreeFinder specifying the model determined by Modeltest. Bayesian inference (BI) was performed by running 4 MCMC chains simultaneously for 1 million generations, sampling every 100 steps (i.e., saving a total of 10,000 trees and parameter sets). MrBayes 3.1 by default runs two such MCMC chains simultaneously (Nruns = 2) and independently for each run, starting from different random trees. The value of 1 million generations was determined by examination of the average standard deviation of split frequencies (as they approach zero). At least two independent runs were performed to check for convergence. After each run, stationarity was verified by examination of the plot of generation versus the log probability of the data (the log likelihood values) and the burnin value was determined to summarize the results. This value was typically less than 2000 samples, but a conservative value of 5000 was usually chosen. The DNA data were analyzed under the 6-parameter model (Nst = 6) with invariant sites and rate variation (rates = invgamma). Indels were coded as a second partition for the Bayesian analyses under the Standard model of evolution allowing for among site rate variation (rates = gamma), and both partitions were unlinked for the analysis (unlink statefreq = (all) revmat = (all) pinvar = (all)), allowing each to have its own rate (prset applyto = (all) ratepr = variable). A consensus tree was computed using the sumt command and the posterior probabilities (PP) were obtained directly from the frequency of each partition among the post-burnin trees.

Results

12S and 16S data

Mitochondrial rRNA sequences from a total of 74 serrasalmin taxa plus 9 outgroup species were collected (total = 83). The total length of the combined 12S plus 16S alignment was 890 bp (347 bp of 12S and 543 bp of 16S). Length variation among sequences resulted in 58 additional indel characters coded by the modified complex method, 14 of which used a step matrix and the rest were unordered for MP analyses. None of the step matrices coded by SeqState was internally inconsistent. Of the total 948 characters, 580 were constant, 104 were variable but uninformative for parsimony, and 264 were informative. Pairwise sequence divergence ranged from 0 to 0.105 (uncorrected “p” or proportion of sites that differ) among the ingroup taxa, and from 0.055 to 0.144 between serrasalmids and the outgroup taxa. A total of 10 ingroup taxa were excluded from further analyses because their sequences were identical to another taxon that was included (see Supplementary Material, Table S1). Therefore a final data set of 73 taxa was used for phylogenetic inference.

Model parameters for the mtDNA sequence data suggest significant levels of among site rate variation (proportion of invariant sites = 0.51, and alpha = 0.56), typical of ribosomal DNA data. Indel characters also exhibited significant among-site rate variation (alpha = 1.0). The result obtained by Bayesian analysis (Figure 2) agrees with previous results based on mtDNA (Figure 1b) and with the other inference methods used in this study. The Serrasalmidae form a distinct, strongly supported monophyletic group, containing three main clades: (1) a “pacu” clade, comprised of Colossoma, Mylossoma and Piranctus that is the sister group to the other serrasalmids, (2) the Myloss clade, containing Myloss, Mylossinus, Tometes and Ossubtus; and (3) the “pirahna” clade, with the genera Serrasalmus, Piristobycon, Pygocentrus, Pygopristis, Catopririon and Metynnis.

The analyses are not conclusive with regard to the placement of Acnodon and also do not support the monophyly of the two species (A. normani and A. oligacanthus) included in the study. Results from ML analysis are almost identical to the BI tree (Figure 2) differing only in the branching pattern with each major clade (mostly shown as polytomies in Figure 2). ML results also place A. oligacanthus within the Myloss clade, separate from A. normani (outside of the Myloss clade). Maximum parsimony analyses yielded 740 equally parsimonious trees (L = 1224, CI = 0.43, RC = 0.32), a strict consensus of which recovers the monophyly of the piranha and the pacu clades, but not of the Myloss clade. MP bootstrap analysis yields BV = 56 and 95 for these two clades, respectively, and no support for the Myloss clade. Interestingly, the monophyly of Acnodon was recovered in several (but less than 50%) of the 740 equally parsimonious trees. A posteriori reweighting of characters (based on the RC, Farris, 1969, Carpenter, 1988) reduced the number of MP trees to 81, a strict consensus of which shows the same relationships among the main groups that were obtained with BI (Figure 2) but with a monophyletic Acnodon (A. normani + A. oligacanthus) as the sister group to the Myloss clade.
Relationships among taxa within these three groups are relatively well resolved for the pacu and piranha groups but not among taxa in the *Myleus* clade. Within the pacu group, the sister group relationship between *Colossoma* and *Mylossoma* is recovered by ML analysis, and supported by a PP = 0.98 (Figure 2), but it does not receive support from MP bootstrap analysis. The five species of *Metynnis* included in the study form a strong monophyletic group (PP = 1.0, BV = 92) and the genus is well supported (PP = 1.0 and BV = 78) as the sister group to all other taxa in the piranha clade (Figure 2). *Pristobrycon striolatus* forms a distinct taxon, branching off next in the piranha clade, and quite separate from the other putative *Pristobrycon* species (*P. serrulatus* and *P. eigenmanni*) that group tightly with *Serrasalmus* and *Pygocentrus*, the most derived group within the piranha clade. This result is robust in all methods of analysis and was reported before (Ortí et al. 1996). The two specimens assigned to *Pygopristis denticulatus* used in this study do not form a monophyletic group in any analysis and their sequence divergence is 0.025 (uncorrected “p” value), a relatively large difference for an intraspecific comparison. As reported earlier (Ortí et al., 1996) the relationships among species of *Serrasalmus*, *Pygocentrus* and *Pristobrycon*, are not resolved by the 12S and 16S sequence data.

Mitochondrial control region data

Complete mitochondrial control region sequences from 45 serrasalmid taxa were collected. In agreement with *a priori* expectations (e.g., Meyer, 1993), D-loop sequences display much higher levels of variation than the rRNA genes, with sequence divergences ranging from 0.017 to 0.256 (uncorrected “p” divergence) among serrasalmid taxa. However, this higher level of polymorphism also resulted in problematic sequence alignment. Up to about 500 bp of the 5’ end of the control region (Domain I, Brown et al., 1986) contained tandem repeats in several taxa examined (Table 1). The repeated motifs ranged from 12 bp to 33 bp and some repeat patterns were imperfect (some repeats slightly different to the others). These motifs were repeated up to 17 times (in the case of *Catoprion*) and they accounted for most of the variation in length of the amplified fragments. Tandem repeat regions were not used for phylogenetic analyses because their homology among divergent serrasalmid taxa was impossible to assess. After excluding the repeated regions, the D-loop sequences were aligned separately for the “piranha” clade (18 taxa) and the other groups (27 taxa), resulting in alignment lengths of 1130 bp and 1180 bp, respectively. Indels were coded as above, resulting in the addition of 86 or 119 characters for each data set, respectively. Figure 3 shows the BI tree for the pacu and the *Myleus* clades based on the control region data. The data set analyzed consisted of 1299 total characters, of which 583 were constant, 214 were variable but parsimony-uninformative and 502 were parsimony-informative. MP analysis recov-
erred a single tree (L = 1941, CI = 0.55, RC = 0.34) that is almost identical to the BI tree, differing only in the branching order among the more derived taxa. MP bootstrap analysis results agree well with PPs obtained with BI (Figure 3). In agreement with the 12S and 16S data, *Mylossoma* and *Colossoma* are placed as sister genera, but unlike the rRNA genes, control region data provide strong support for this relationship (PP = 1.0 and BV = 92). The monophyly of both species of *Acnodon* also is supported strongly by the control region data (posterior prob = 1.0 and MP bootstrap support = 98) and *Acnodon* is placed as the sister group of *Myleus*, *Myloplus*, *Mylesinus*, *Tometes*, and *Ossubtus*. Among these taxa there is not much resolution, except to support a basal position of *Myloplus rhombiodalis* (a n dar o-bust clade composed of *Myloplus* species, *M. rubripinnis*, *M. asterias*, *M. tiete*, and *M. ternetzi*), that forms the sister group to the rest of the taxa.

Figure 4 shows the BI tree for the piranha clade obtained with control region sequences. The data set analyzed consisted of 1216 total characters (1130 bp and 86 indel characters), of which 697 were constant, 189 were variable but parsimony-uninformative and 332 were parsimony-informative. MP analysis recovered a single tree (L = 976, CI = 0.58, RC = 0.44) that is almost identical to the BI tree, differing only in the branching order among *Serrasalmus*, *Pygocentrus* and (non-striolatus) *Pristobrycon* taxa. MP bootstrap analysis results agree well with PPs obtained with BI (Figure 4). The presence of three divergent groups of piranhas is well supported: (1) the genus *Metynnis*, (2) the *Catoprion-Pygocephalus-Pristobrycon striolatus* group and (3) the *Serrasalmus-Pygocentrus* group (*Pristobrycon* species other than *Pristobrycon striolatus*, such as *P. serrulatus* and *P. eigenmanni*, are here assigned to *Serrasalmus*). The control region data resolved with high confidence (PP = 1.0 and BV = 100) the relationships among *Catoprion*, *P. striolatus*, and *Pygocephalus* that were not fully resolved in the 12S and 16S tree (Fig 2). Within the *Serrasalmus* clade, there is weak evidence (PP = 0.53) for affinities among *Pygocentrus* and one group of *Serrasalmus* species (*S. manueli*, *S. maculatus*, and *S. rhombeus*), and somewhat higher support (PP = 0.83 and BV = 54) for affinities among putative *Pristobrycon* (non-striolatus) with a different group of *Serrasalmus* species (*S. gouldingi*, *S. serrulatus*, and *S. eigenmanni*).

**Discussion**

This study represents the most complete molecular systematic treatment of serrasalmids to date. Building on
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**Table 1 - Tandem repeats in the 3’ region of the Control Region of serrasalmid taxa.**

| Taxon                        | Repeat motif                          | Number of repeats | Length of tandem repeat region |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| *Catoprion*                  | AGTACATATGTATAGTACATCATGATTGGTTT      | 17 (p)            | 510 bp                        |
| *Pristobrycon striolatus* 224,225 | AGTACATATGTATAGTACATCATGATTGGTTT      | 3 or 7 (p)        | 99 to 231 bp                  |
| *Metynnis hypsauchen*        | ATGGTGATCTAAGTACATAATAGTTATAGTACATA  | 3 (i)             | 111 bp                        |
| 19 *Metynnis*                | ATGGTGATCTAAGTACATAATAGTTATAGTACATA  | 4 (i)             | 148 bp                        |
| 20 *Metynnis*                | ATGATCTAATACTATATAGTTATAGTACATA       | 4 (i)             | 136 bp                        |
| *Serrasalmus rhombeus* 222, 220 | ATGGTGATCTAAGTACATAATAGTTATAGTACATA  | 3 to 5 (i)        | 111 to 185 bp                 |
| *Serrasalmus spilopleura* 139 | GGGGCCACCAT                           | 5 (p)             | 60 bp                         |

1 Number of perfect (p) or imperfect (i) repeats.
Although based on a single molecular marker (mtDNA), the results of this study carry several taxonomic implications. Most notably, many of the generic designations in the family seem to lack support or are clearly contradicted by the data. Some of these conclusions are not new: *Pristobrycon striolatus* has previously been regarded as quite distinct from its congeners (Machado-Allison et al., 1989), differing in several morphological aspects and its well-supported grouping with *Catoprion* and *Pygopristis* is consistent with the finding of Ortí et al. (1996). Our present results confirm this observation and therefore we prefer to restrict *Pristobrycon* to the single species *P. striolatus*, and place all other taxa previously assigned to this genus in *Serrasalmus*. According to the classification of Géry (1977), the genus *Serrasalmus* contained the subgenera *Pygopristis*, *Pristobrycon*, *Pygocentrus*, *Taddyella* and the nominate subgenus *Serrasalmus*. *Serrasalmus* (*Pristobrycon*) *striolatus* was noted to resemble closely the subgenus *Pygopristis*. This observation is well supported by our molecular analysis of control region data, as this species forms a clade with *Catoprion* and *Pygopristis* (Figure 4), and is not closely related to the other species putatively assigned to *Pristobrycon* (#224 designated *Serrasalmus serrulatus* here) in the rRNA tree (Figure 2). Based on various morphological characters, *Serrasalmus gouldingi* is distinct from other members of the genus (Machado-Allison and Fink, 1996). In this analysis, it was found to be more closely related to the remaining *Pristobrycon* than it is to other species of *Serrasalmus*. This group containing *S. gouldingi*, *S. eigenmanni* and *S. serrulatus* is the sister group to the *Serrasalmus*- *Pygocentrus* clade. The genus *Serrasalmus* contains within it the genus *Pygocentrus*. Results from analysis of control region sequences of a dense taxonomic sampling for *Serrasalmus* and *Pygocentrus* provides strong evidence for the monophyly of *Pygocentrus* but its relationship to diverse components of *Serrasalmus* remains unresolved (Hubert et al., 2007). Some of the poor resolution obtained in our study is evidently the consequence of poor taxonomic sampling.

Some authors (e.g. Géry, 1977) have recognized the existence of four subgenera within *Myloplus*, namely *Myloplus*, *Paramyloplus*, *Prosomyloplus* and the nominate subgenus *Myloplus*, within this genus. These subgeneric distinctions have been, as with all previous classifications, based primarily on dental morphology. Other authors, however, rejected these subgeneric distinctions due to the lack of autapomorphies (Machado-Allison and Fink, 1995). The monophyly of subgenera within *Myloplus* is not supported by analyses of mtDNA data. Analysis of the *Myloplus* group reveals the polyphyly of the formerly designated genus *Myloplus* and supports the taxonomic rearrangement proposed by Jégu and Dos Santos (2002) and Jégu et al. (2003), but relationships among the various components of this group remain tentative. The group formed by *Myloplus*
setiger with Mylesinus and Tometes is relatively well-supported (PP = 1.00, BV = 67, Figure 3) suggesting strong affinities of Mylaspis with species designated to these genera. A robust group of Myloplus species (<i>M. rubripinnis</i>, <i>M. asterias</i>, <i>M. tiete</i>, and <i>M. ternetzi</i>) is also well supported by the control region data.

As these analyses have shown, there are several taxonomic inconsistencies in this subfamily. While this study represents the most comprehensive molecular systematic treatment of this group, and utilizes a highly variable mtDNA marker to provide resolution of shallow nodes, placement of some taxa remains uncertain. In order to provide a strong foundation for taxonomic revision of the group, future studies would benefit from utilizing dense taxonomic sampling, nuclear gene sequences, together with mtDNA and morphological characters to help resolve some of these ambiguous relationships.
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**Table S1** – The specimens used in this study retain the numbers from the Orti lab tissue collection. In parentheses after some species numbers are additional (older) reference “p” numbers of these specimens. Following the species name is information on the collection locality, source, and museum or collector’s field numbers for those specimens with vouchers. Instituto Nacional de Pesquisa da Amazônia (INPA), US National Museum of Natural History at Washington, DC (USNM), Museum d’histoire naturelle de Geneve (MHNG), and Museum National d’histoire naturelle de Paris (MNHN). Finally GenBank accession numbers (GB) are given for the 12S, 16S and D-loop fragments, respectively. For some serrasalmids and the outgroup taxa, the D-loop was not sequenced, thus the two GB accession numbers correspond to 12S and 16S sequences, respectively, or otherwise as indicated in parantheses.

**Family Serrasalminae**

**Genus Pygocentrus**

*Pygocentrus cariba* 5 (p1), Rio Orinoco, Venezuela, collector: P Petry. INPA 12453. GB: EF601844, AF283954 (16S and D-loop, 12S not available).

*Pygocentrus nattereri* 78, locality unknown, commercial source. GB: U33558, U33590, AF283953.

*Pygocentrus nattereri* 155, Rio Uruguay, Salto Grande, Argentina. USNM 325686. GB: U33559, U33591.

**Genus Serrasalmus**

*Serrasalmus altuvei* 411, locality unknown; collector: F Magallanes (FM 60811142520). GB: EF601831, EF601845.

*Serrasalmus brandtii* 408, locality unknown. GB: EF601846 (16S only).

*Serrasalmus eigenmanni* 258, locality unknown; collector: M Burgos (MNHG BR928). GB: EF601832, EF601847, AF283946.

*Serrasalmus gouldingi* 1 (p5) locality unknown; collector: F Magallanes (FM-003-98). GB: AF283945 (D-loop).
Serrasalmus gouldingi 32 (p17), Rio Pitinga, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (#2416). GB: AF283922, AF283943, AF283944.

Serrasalmus maculatus 139, Rio Uruguay, Salto Grande, Argentina. USNM 325683. GB: U33560, U33592, AF283948.

Serrasalmus manueli 256, Rio Xingu, Para, Brazil, collector: P Petry (PET09), INPA 13031. GB: AF283949 (D-loop).

Serrasalmus manueli 33 (p18), Rio Urubu, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (#2297). GB: AF283921, AF283942, AF283950.

Serrasalmus manueli 407, locality unknown. GB: EF601832, EF601848.

Serrasalmus rhombeus 10, locality unknown; collector: JIR Porto (#2241). GB: AF283917, AF283938.

Serrasalmus rhombeus 11, locality unknown; collector: JIR Porto (#2251). GB: AF283918, AF283939.

Serrasalmus rhombeus 218, locality unknown, collector: JIR Porto (#1). GB: AF283914, AF283935, (12S and 16S sequences = S. rhombeus 10).

Serrasalmus rhombeus 219, locality unknown, collector: JIR Porto (#4). GB: AF283915, AF283936, (12S and 16S sequences = S. rhombeus 10).

Serrasalmus rhombeus 220, 2n=58, R. Negro-Solimoes, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (#7). GB: U33561, U33593, AF283951.

Serrasalmus rhombeus 221, 2n=60, R. Solimoes, I. Marchantaria, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (#2). GB: U33562, U33594 (12S and 16S sequences = S. rhombeus 10).

Serrasalmus rhombeus 222, locality unknown; collector: JIR Porto (#3). GB: AF283916, AF283937 (12S and 16S sequences = S. rhombeus 10), AF283952.

Serrasalmus rhombeus 260, locality unknown; collector: M Burgos (MHNG BR926). GB: AF283920, AF283941.

Serrasalmus serrulatus 224, R. Solimoes, I. Marchantaria, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto. GB: U33563, U33595, AF283947.

Serrasalmus sp. 249, locality unknown; collector: P Petry (B). GB: AF283919, AF283940.
Genus *Pygopristis*

*Pygopristis denticulatus* 14 (p4), locality unknown; collector: F Magallanes (FM-002-98). GB: EF601832, EF601850, AF284464.

*Pygopristis denticulatus* 412, locality unknown; collector: F Magallanes (FM60911142520). GB: EF601835, EF601851.

Genus *Catoprion*

*Catoprion mento* 80, locality unknown, commercial source. GB: U33565, U33599, AF284462.

*Catoprion mento* 15 (p14), R. Uatumã, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (#3334). GB: AF283911, AF283932.

Genus *Pristobrycon*

*Pristobrycon striolatus* 225, Rio Pitinga, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto. GB: U33597, U33596, AF284463.

*Pristobrycon striolatus* 226, Rio Pitinga, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto. GB: U33564, U33598.

*Pristobrycon striolatus* 400, Rio Maroni, Guiana; collector: M Jegu (MNHN 1988-1573). GB: EF601849 (16S only)

Genus *Metynnis*

*Metynnis hypsauchen* 16 (p7), locality unknown, collector: F Magallanes (FM005-98). GB: AF283913, AF283934, AF283957.

*Metynnis maculatus* 17 (p8), locality unknown; collector: F Magallanes (FM-004-98). GB: EF601836 (12S only).

*Metynnis mola* 202, Rio Miranda, Pantanal, MS, Brazil; collector: PR Souza (INPA 10146). GB: U33567, U33601.

*Metynnis* sp. 19 (p31), Rio Urubu, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (M2527). GB: EF601837 (12S) AF283955 (D-loop)

*Metynnis* sp. 20 (p32), Rio Negro, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (M3240). GB: AF283912, AF283933, AF283956.

*Metynnis* sp. 81, locality unknown, commercial source. GB: U33566, U33600.

Genus *Myleus*

*Myleus setiger* 21 (p33), Alto Tocantins, Para, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (11-354). GB: EF601838 (12S) AF283970 (D-loop).
Myleus setiger 238, Rio Pitinga, Cachoeira 40 Ilhas, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (#22). GB: U33572, U33606, AF283969.

Myleus setiger 239, Rio Xingu, Cachoeira do Kaituka, Pará, Brazil, collector: JIR Porto (#23). GB: U33573, U33607.

Genus Myloplus

Myloplus asterias 235, Rio Pitinga, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (#19). GB: U33569, U33603, AF283964.

Myloplus planquettei 399, Rio Maroni (Pidima), Guiana; collector M Jegu. GB: EF601839, EF601852, EF601861.

Myloplus rhomboidalis 428 (p38), Oyapoque, Guiana; collector: JIR Porto (#25). GB: AF283910, AF283931, AF283976.

Myloplus rubripinnis 414, Rio Maroni (Pidima), Guiana; collector: M Jegu (#0199). (12S sequence = Myleus rubripinnis 415).

Myloplus rubripinnis 415, Rio Maroni, Guiana; collector: M Jegu (#0599). GB: EF601840, EF601853, EF601860.

Myloplus schomburgkii 233, Rio Urubu, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (#11). GB: U33571, U33605, AF283968.

Myloplus sp 79, locality unknown, commercial source. GB: U33568, U33602, AF283965

Myloplus sp. 434 (p49), Rio Xingu, Altamira, Para, Brazil; collector: P Petry (PET02-97). GB: AF283903, AF283924, AF283974.

Myloplus sp. 436 (p51), Rio Xingu, Altamira, Para, Brazil; collector: P Petry (PET04-97), INPA 13035. GB: AF283907, AF283928, AF283975.

Myloplus ternetzi 433 (p43), Rio Oyapoque, Guiana; collector: JIR Porto (#27). GB: AF283902, AF283923, AF283967.

Myloplus tiete 210, Rio Miranda, Pantanal, MS, Brazil; collector: PR Souza, INPA 10147. U33570, U33604, AF283966.

Genus Mylesinus

Mylesinus paraschomburgkii 227, Rio Pitinga, Cachoeira 40 Ilhas, AM, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (#16). GB: U33574, U33608, AF283971.

Mylesinus paraschomburgkii 228, R. Pitinga, Cachoeira 40 Ilhas, collector: JIR Porto (#17). GB: U33609 (12S sequence= Mylesinus paraschomburgkii 227)
*Mylesinus paucisquamatus* 259, locality unknown, collector: M Burgos (BR1018). GB: AF283906, AF283927, AF283973.

**Genus Tometes**

*Tometes* sp. 246, R. Xingu, Cachoeira do Kaituka, Pará, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (#31). GB: U33575, U33610, AF283972

*Tometes* sp. 254, Rio Xingu, Para, Brazil; collector: P Petry (PET 06). GB: AF283904, AF283925.

*Tometes* sp. 255, Rio Xingu, Para, Brazil; collector: P Petry (PET 07). GB: AF283905, AF283926 (12S and 16S sequences = *Tometes* sp. 254).

*Tometes lebaili* 404, Rio Maroni (nivree), Guiana; collector M Jegu (#025). GB: EF601841, EF601854.

*Tometes lebaili* 405, Rio Maroni (nivree), Guiana; collector M Jegu (#026). MNHN 1998-1346. (12S and 16S sequences = *Tometes lebaili* 404).

*Tometes lebaili* 416, Rio Maroni (Antecume), Guiana; collector M Jegu (#0799). MNHN1999-1346. (12S and 16S sequences = *Tometes lebaili* 404). GB: EF601859 (D-loop)

*Tometes lebaili* 417, Rio Maroni (Antecume), Guiana; collector M Jegu (#0899). (12S and 16S sequences = *Tometes lebaili* 404). GB: EF601858 (D-loop).

**Genus Ossubtus**

*Ossubtus xinguense* 252, locality unknown, collector: P Petry, INPA 13194. GB: AF283908, AF283929

*Ossubtus xinguense* 253, locality unknown, collector: P Petry, INPA 13195. GB: AF283909, AF283930, AF284461.

**Genus Acnodon**

*Acnodon normani* 243, Rio Xingu, Para, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (#28). GB: AF285429, AF285430, AF284460.

*Acnodon normani* 244, Rio Xingu, Cachoeira do Kaituka, Pará, Brazil; collector: JIR Porto (#29), GB: U33576, U33611.

*Acnodon normani* 245, Rio Xingu, Cachoeira do Kaituka, Pará, Brazil, collector: JIR Porto (#30), GB: U33577, U33612.

*Acnodon oligacanthus* 402, Rio Maroni (Tetombe), Guiana; collector M Jegu, MNHN 1998-1595. GB: EF601842, EF601855, EF601857
Acnodon oligacanthus 403, Rio Maroni (Paouleke), Guiana; collector M Jegu, MNHN 1998-1572. GB: EF601843, EF601856.

Genus Mylossoma

Mylossoma duriventre 203, Rio Solimoes, AM, Brazil; collector: P Petry, INPA 10154. GB: U33578, U33613, AF283961.

Mylossoma paraguayensis 214, Rio Miranda, Pantanal, C. Grande, Brazil; collector PR Souza, INPA 10152. GB: U33579, U33614, AF283962.

Mylossoma aureum 204, Rio Solimoes, Ilha da Marchantaria, AM, Brazil; collector: P Petry, INPA 10153. GB: U33580, U33615.

Genus Colossoma

Colossoma macropomum 216, Rio Solimoes, I. Marchantaria, AM, Brazil; collector: P Petry, INPA 10149. GB: U33581, U33616, AF283963.

Colossoma macropomum 201, Rio Solimoes, I. Marchantaria, AM, Brazil; collector: P Petry, INPA 10150. GB: U33582, U33617.

Genus Piaractus

Piaractus mesopotamicus 143, locality unknown; collector: A Fortuny. GB: U33585, U33620.

Piaractus mesopotamicus 205, Rio Miranda, Pantanal, C. Grande, Brazil; collector: PR Souza, INPA 10151. GB: U33583, U33618, AF283959.

Piaractus brachypomus 200, Rio Solimoes, I. Marchantaria, AM, Brazil; collector: P Petry, INPA 10148. GB: U33584, U33619, AF283958.

Piaractus brachypomus 45, locality unknown, commercial source. GB: U33586, U33621, AF283960.

Family Curimatidae

Cyphocharax gilberti 62, NE Brazil, commercial source, USNM 318079. GB: U33985, U34022.

Steindachnerina sp. 159, Rio Uruguay, Salto Grande, Argentina, commercial source, USNM 325691. GB: U33986, U34023.

Family Prochilodontidae

Prochilodus lineatus B1, Río de la Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina, commercial source. GB: U33987, Z22696

Family Chilodontidae

Chilodus sp. 172, Suriname; collector Jaap de Greef. GB: U33989, U34027.
Family Anostomidae

*Abramites hypselonotus 77*, unknown locality, commercial source, GB: U33988, U34025.

*Leporinus obtusidens 133*, Rio Paraguay, Asuncion, Paraguay; collector A Espinach Ros. GB: U34031, U34026.

Family Hemiodontidae

*Hemiodus sp. 191*, unknown locality, commercial source. GB: U33981, U34018.

Family Parodontidae

*Apareiodon affinis 156*, Rio Paraná, Corrientes, Argentina; collector G Orti. GB: U33982, U34019.

Family Cynodontidae

*Rhaphiodon vulpinus 124*, Rio Uruguay, Salto Grande, Argentina; collector R Delfino. GB: U33964, U34001.