Paraoxonase1 Genetic Polymorphisms in a Mixed Ancestry African Population
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Paraoxonase 1 (PON1) activity is markedly influenced by coding polymorphisms, Q/R at position 192 and M/L at position 55 of the PON1 gene. We investigated the frequencies of these polymorphisms and their effects on PON1 and antioxidant activities in 844 South African mixed ancestry individuals. Genotyping was done using allele-specific TaqMan technology, PON1 activities were measured using paraoxon and phenylacetate, oxidative status was determined by measuring the antioxidant activities of ferric reducing antioxidant power and trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity, and lipid peroxidation markers included malondialdehyde and oxidized LDL. The frequencies of Q192R and L55M were 47.6% and 28.8%, respectively, and the most common corresponding alleles were 192R (60.4%) and 55M (82.6%). The Q192R was significantly associated with 5.8 units’ increase in PON1 concentration and 15.4 units’ decrease in PONase activity after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, and diabetes, with suggestion of differential effects by diabetes status. The PON1 L55 variant was associated with none of the measured indices. In conclusion, we have shown that the Q192R polymorphism is a determinant of both PON1 concentration and activity and this association appeared to be enhanced in subjects with diabetes.

1. Introduction

Paraoxonase 1 (PON1) is a calcium dependent esterase synthesized in the liver and widely distributed in tissues including liver, kidney, intestine, and serum, where it associates with high-density lipoprotein (HDL). The enzyme has a dual physiological function in humans. First, it catalyzes the breakdown of various toxic organophosphate (OP) pesticides and nerve gases, including paraoxon, diazoxon, sarin, and soman [1, 2], which are potent acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitors. Secondly, PON1 is increasingly acknowledged as an atheroprotective enzyme due to its in vitro ability to inhibit oxidative modifications of LDL [3], HDL [4], macrophages [5], atherosclerotic lesions [6], and augment cholesterol efflux from macrophages [7]. In addition, PON1 lowers inflammatory responses in the arterial wall by destroying biologically active lipids in mildly oxidized LDL [8], impairing the differentiation of monocytes to macrophages [9], and decreasing monocyte chemotaxis and adhesion to endothelial cells [10]. Decreased PON1 activities have been reported in diseases with accelerated atherogenesis including diabetes and familial hypercholesterolemia [11–13].

The activity of the enzyme is markedly influenced by polymorphisms on the coding and promoter regions of the PON1 gene. The coding polymorphisms are Q/R at position 192 and M/L at position 55 which result in isozymes differing greatly in their activity toward various substrates [2, 14, 15]. The R isoform hydrolyzes paraoxon faster than the Q isoform,
whereas diazoxon, soman, and sarin are hydrolyzed at a higher rate by the Q than R isoform [2]. In contrast, the R isoform is less effective at hydrolyzing lipid peroxides than the Q isoform [2]. The M and L alleles are associated with lower and higher serum PON1 concentrations, respectively [16]. The distribution of the Q192R and L55M polymorphisms widely varies worldwide. For example, the frequency of the PON1 Q192 allele has a high frequency in Caucasians (0.70) [17, 18], but a considerably lower frequency in Mexicans (0.48) [19] and African-Americans (0.34) [18]. The PON1 L55 allele predominates in nearly all populations but variations still exist, for example, between Taiwanese (0.97) [20], Gabonese (0.695) [21], Turkish (0.39) [22], and Iranians (0.59) [23].

This study was undertaken to investigate the frequencies of PON1 Q192R and L55M polymorphisms and their possible relationship with PON1 activity and indices oxidative status (ferric reducing antioxidant power, trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity, malondialdehyde, and oxidized LDL). Herein, we investigated the mixed ancestry population from South Africa that has been shown to have one of the highest prevalence of type 2 diabetes in South Africa and sub-Saharan Africa at large [24].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Setting and Population. Details of the study including survey design and procedures have been described elsewhere [24, 25]. Study participants were members of a cohort study conducted in a mixed ancestry township (Belville South) which is located within the Northern suburbs of Cape Town, Western Cape, South Africa. The mixed ancestry population of South Africa, sometimes referred to as “coloured,” is of mixed genetic origin with contributions from Europeans, South Asians, Indonesians, and a population genetically close to the isiXhosa sub-Saharan Bantu [26]. The study was approved by the research ethics committees of Stellenbosch University (reference number: N10/04/118) and the Cape Peninsula University of Technology (CPUT/HW-REC 2010/H017) and was conducted according to the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki). All participants signed written informed consent after all the procedures were fully explained in the language of their choice. All participants received a standardized interview and physical examination during which blood pressure was measured according to the World Health Organisation (WHO) guidelines [27] using a semi-automated digital blood pressure monitor (Rossmax PA, USA) on the right arm in a sitting position. Anthropometric measurements were performed three times and their average used for analysis: weight (kg), height (cm), and waist (cm) and hip (cm) circumferences. Participants with no history of doctor diagnosed diabetes mellitus underwent a 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) as recommended by the WHO [28]. Further, the following biochemical parameters were determined on the Cobas 6000 Clinical Chemistry instrument (Roche Diagnostics, Germany): fasting plasma glucose, insulin, total cholesterol (TC), high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c), triglycerides (TG), C-reactive protein (CRP), γ-glutamyltransferase (GGT), and glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) certified by National Glycohaemoglobin Standardisation Programme (NGSP). Low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) was calculated using Friedewald's formula [29]. Serum cotinine was measured by chemiluminescent assay (Immulette 1000, Siemens).

2.2. Total Antioxidant Capacity. The total antioxidant capacity in plasma samples was assessed using the ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) and trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) assays. FRAP was done according to the method of Benzie and Strain [30]. Briefly, plasma samples were mixed with FRAP reagent, incubated for 30 min at 37°C, and the absorbance at 593 nm was recorded using a spectrophotometer (Spectramax plus384 Molecular devices, USA). The TEAC assay was according to Re et al. [31] and is based on monitoring (at 734 nm) the oxidation of 2,2′-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) radical (ABTS) cation formed by reacting ABTS and potassium persulfate. Distilled water was used instead of PBS to dilute the ABTS radical solution.

2.3. Paraoxonase Activity. Paraoxonase (PONase) and arylesterase (AREase) activities were measured using paraaxon and phenylacetate (Sigma Aldrich, SA) as substrates, respectively. PONase activity was measured using the method of Richter and Furlong [32] from the initial velocity of p-nitrophenol production at 37°C and the increased absorbance at 405 nm was monitored on a spectrophotometer (Spectramax plus384, Molecular devices, USA). Each serum sample was incubated with 5 mmol/L eserine (Sigma Aldrich, SA) for 15 minutes at room temperature to inhibit serum cholinesterase activity which is usually elevated in diabetes and would otherwise interfere with the determination of paraoxonase activity in serum from diabetic individuals. PON-1 activity of 1 U/L was defined as 1 μmol of p-nitrophenol hydrolyzed per minute. A slightly modified method of Browne et al. [33] was used to measure AREase activity. The working reagent consisted of 20 mmol/L Tris-HCl, 4 mmol/L phenyl acetate, pH 8.0, with 1.0 mmol/L CaCl₂ (Sigma Aldrich, SA). The reaction was initiated by adding 5 μL of 40-fold tris-diluted samples to 345 μL of the working reagent at 25°C. The change in absorbance at 270 nm was recorded for 60 minutes after a 20-second lag time on a Spectramax plus384 spectrophotometer. The activity, expressed as kU/L, was based on the molar absorptivity (1310) of phenol at 270 nm. In both assays, the rates used to generate the data points were derived from the linear portions of the rate versus time plots.

2.4. Lipid Peroxidation. Plasma MDA and ox-LDL were used as markers of lipid peroxidation (LPO). The method of Jentzsch et al. [34] was used to estimate the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) which reflect the production of MDA. Plasma ox-LDLs were measured using a quantitative sandwich ELISA kit (Cellbiolabs, San Diego, California).
2.5. Genotyping. DNA was extracted from whole blood using the salting-out method of Miller et al. [35]. Conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR) followed by direct DNA sequencing was performed for detection of the wild type, heterozygous, and homozygous genotypes of PON1 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), Q192R, and L55M. Conventional DNA was extracted from whole blood using the salting-out method of Miller et al. [35]. Conventional DNA was extracted from whole blood using the salting-out method of Miller et al. [35].

2.6. Definitions. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight per square meter (kg/m²) and waist-hip-ratio (WHR) as waist/hip circumferences (cm). Type 2 diabetes status was based on a history of doctor-diagnosis, a fasting plasma glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L, and/or a 2-hour post-OGTT plasma glucose ≥11.1 mmol/L. The homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated according to the following formula: HOMA-IR = [fasting insulin concentration (μIU/mL) × fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)]/22.5; while functional β-cells (HOMA-B%) were estimated using the formula: 20 × fasting insulin (μIU/mL)/fasting glucose (mmol/mL) − 3.5. The quantitative insulin-sensitivity check index (QUICKI) as 1/[log(fasting insulin (μIU/mL)) × log(fasting glucose (mg/dL))].

2.7. Statistical Methods. Of the 946 participants who took part in the survey, 941 consented for genetic studies. Among the latter, 103 were excluded for missing data on the genetic variables. Oxidative status profile was assessed in 491 subjects, but 121 were also excluded on account of missing consent or fully matching biochemical and genetic data. Therefore, 844 and 370 subjects had valid data for the overall genetic and oxidative stress and antioxidant status analyses, respectively. General characteristics of the study participants are summarized as count and percentage for dichotomous traits, mean and standard deviation (SD), or median and 25th–75th percentiles for quantitative traits. Traits were log-transformed to approximate normality, where necessary, prior to analysis. SNPs were tested for departure from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) expectation via a chi square goodness of fit test. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) was estimated using the D’ statistic. The chi square analysis of the variance (ANOVA) and Kruskal Wallis test were used to compare baseline characteristics across allele’s distribution. The interaction between SNPs was assessed through robust linear regression models, assuming additive models for the SNPs. Results corresponding to P values below 5% are described as significant. We did not adjust for multiple testing. All analyses used the statistical package R (version 3.0.0 [2013-04-03], The R Foundation for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria).

3. Results

3.1. Distribution of PONI Polymorphisms. Of the 844 subjects (men 208, 24.6%) 238 (28.2%) had diabetes, 478 (56.6%) were hypertensive, and the average age was 56.6 (15.5) years. Genotype and allele frequencies for the L55M and Q192R polymorphisms in the overall cohort as well as across genders and diabetes status are summarized in Table 1. The frequencies of Q192R and L55M were 47.6% and 28.8%, respectively, and the most common corresponding alleles were 192R (60.4%) and 55M (82.6%). Frequencies for both polymorphisms were not different between major subgroups, except for the Q192R genotype which differed significantly according to diabetes status (P = 0.028). Observed and expected frequencies for both polymorphisms were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium overall and within major subgroups.
The linkage between the two polymorphisms was moderate in the overall sample ($D' = 0.470, P < 0.0001$) but comparatively weaker in men ($D' = 0.199, P = 0.0001$), women ($D' = 0.290, P < 0.0001$), and in participants with diabetes ($D' = 0.219, P < 0.0001$) or without diabetes ($D' = 0.283, P < 0.0001$).

3.2. Baseline Profile Overall and Across PON1 Genotypes. The distribution of the baseline characteristics was not different within the various PON1 genotypes with respect to age, sex, adiposity, lipid profile prevalence of hypertension, and insulin resistance (Table 2). This, however, was not the case for prevalent diabetes ($P = 0.028$) and systolic blood pressure levels ($P = 0.04$) across Q192R polymorphism, and fasting plasma glucose ($P = 0.019$), 2-hr glucose ($P = 0.038$), and HbA1c ($P = 0.004$) across L55M polymorphism (Table 2).

3.3. PON1 and Oxidative Status Profile Across Genotypes. Table 3 shows the distribution of indices of PON1 and antioxidant activities across the genotypes. PON1 ($P = 0.015$), PONase ($P < 0.0001$), Ox-LDL ($P = 0.029$), TBARS ($P = 0.006$), and to some extent TEAC ($P = 0.053$) were significantly different across genotype of PON1 Q192R polymorphism, whereas fasting glucose ($P = 0.019$), 2-hour glucose ($P = 0.038$), and HbA1c ($P = 0.0004$) varied across PON1 L55M genotypes.

Table 4 shows the results from robust linear regression analyses for the prediction of PON1 and antioxidant status indices by the two PON1 variants. The PON1 Q192 polymorphism was significantly associated with PON1 concentration and PONase activity resulting in unit increases of 6.8 and decreases of 18.3, respectively. The association remained significant when the models were expanded stepwise to include age, sex, BMI, and diabetes with only modest attenuation of the effect size. However, when the interaction term of diabetes and Q192 was added to multivariable models, the main effect of the polymorphism remained significant for the prediction of PONase but was substantially attenuated for PON1 concentration with a borderline association ($\beta = 3.79, P = 0.08$). Furthermore, the effect of the interaction term diabetes*Q192 was significant for the prediction of PON1 concentration (interaction $P = 0.007$), but not for PONase, suggesting that the effect of the variant on PON1 concentration was more important in participants with diabetes (Table 4). Alone or with the other covariates in the models, the PON1 L55 was not significantly associated with any of the measured indices. However, there was a suggestion of a significant interaction by diabetes status in the effect of PON1 L55 on ox-LDL levels (interaction $P = 0.013$), with suggestion of a positive effect on ox-LDL levels in participants with diabetes and a negative or no effect in participants without diabetes ($\beta = -395.49, P = 0.075$) for the main effect of the variant in the multivariable model containing the interaction term gene*diabetes. In the polymorphisms only model (with and without their interaction term), the effects of variants on PON1 concentration and PONase remained significant for PON1 Q192 and nonsignificant for PON1 L55.

Effects on other analytes remained unchanged with always no evidence of Q192*L55 polymorphic interaction (Table 4).

4. Discussion

Paraoxanase 1 polymorphisms Q192R and L55M have been reported to explain over 90% of total phenotypic variance in PON1 activity using several substrates of the enzyme [36]. In this study, we used paraoxon and phenylacetate substrates to characterize the influence of both Q192R and L55M PON1 polymorphisms on PON1 concentrations and enzyme activity in a mixed ancestry population from South Africa. Only the Q192R appeared to be functional in this population as it was associated with both PON1 concentration and the paraoxanase activity. We observed that the presence of Q192 was associated with 15.4 U/L decrease and 5.8 µg/mL increase in PON1 activity and concentration after accounting for the effects of age, sex, BMI, and diabetes. There was indication that the effect of the variant on PON1 concentration was more important in participants with diabetes. In parallel, we report PON1 QQ192 to be associated markers of oxidative stress (ox-LDL and TBARS) and total antioxidants (TEAC) only in cross-genotype comparison, but not in linear regression (irrespective of the level of adjustment), possibly suggesting the absence of a relationship, the nonlinearity of the association if any, or the inadequacy of the log-additive model to approximate such an association.

PON1 activity can be measured using different substrates and reports have shown that the effect of PON1 polymorphisms varies according to the substrate used [2, 14, 15]. Among three of the commonly used substrates (paraoxon, phenyl acetate, and dihydrocoumarin), the most pronounced genotype effects were for PON1 paraoxon [36]. Previous studies have associated the R allele with higher risk and/or incidence of atherosclerotic heart disease in various populations such as Indians [37], Japanese [38], and Dutch [39]. On the other hand, Bhattacharyya et al. [40] conducted a prospective study of 1399 patients and reported higher serum levels of PON1 activity, lower systemic indices of systemic oxidative stress, and corresponding reductions in both prevalent coronary artery disease and prospective cardiac events in PON1 192RR carriers. Similarly, the findings of the present study appear to suggest a decreased atherosclerotic risk in subjects with PON1 192R since the presence in the PON1 Q192 was significantly associated with reduction of PON1 activity which in turn has been associated with the incidence of atherosclerotic heart disease in various populations such as Indians [37], Japanese [38], and Dutch [39]. On the other hand, Bhattacharyya et al. [40] conducted a prospective study of 1399 patients and reported higher serum levels of PON1 activity, lower systemic indices of systemic oxidative stress, and corresponding reductions in both prevalent coronary artery disease and prospective cardiac events in PON1 192RR carriers. Similarly, the findings of the present study appear to suggest a decreased atherosclerotic risk in subjects with PON1 192R since the presence in the PON1 Q192 was significantly associated with reduction of PON1 activity which in turn has been associated with the incidence of atherosclerotic heart disease in various populations such as Indians [37], Japanese [38], and Dutch [39].

The Q192R alloenzyme binds to the HDL particle with 3-fold lower affinity than the R192Q alloenzyme [43], but the HDL-bound QQ192 PON1 has been shown to be more effective at protecting the oxidation of LDL [2, 44]. Furthermore, it has been shown that increased HDL-bound PON1 content does not alter the HDL composition or properties but protects it from lipid oxidation of LDL [2, 44]. Furthermore, it has been shown that increased HDL-bound PON1 content does not alter the HDL composition or properties but protects it from lipid oxidation of LDL [2, 44].
| Characteristics | QQ192 | Q192R | 192RR | P value | 55MM | L55M | L55 | P value | Overall | Int P |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|------|------|-----|---------|---------|------|
| n               | 133   | 402   | 309   | 576     | 243  | 25   | 844 |        |         |      |
| Men, n (%)      | 32 (24.1) | 104 (25.9) | 72 (23.3) | 0.722 | 152 (26.4) | 54 (22.2) | 2 (8) | 0.066 | 208 (24.6) | 0.239 |
| Diabetes, n (%) | 36 (271) | 130 (32.3) | 72 (23.3) | 0.028 | 156 (271) | 71 (29.2) | 11 (44) | 0.168 | 238 (28.2) | 0.243 |
| Hypertension (%) | 76 (571) | 240 (59.7) | 162 (52.4) | 0.151 | 329 (57.1) | 135 (55.6) | 14 (56) | 0.917 | 478 (56.6) | 0.285 |
| Age, years (SD) | 55.4 (15.0) | 55.2 (15.0) | 52.6 (16.2) | 0.052 | 55.0 (15.6) | 54.1 (15.5) | 61.4 (12.0) | 0.063 | 54.3 (15.5) | 0.078 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 127 (23) | 126 (21) | 122 (20) | 0.040 | 124 (20) | 126 (22) | 125 (23) | 0.0672 | 125 (20) | 0.703 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 76 (17) | 76 (12) | 74 (12) | 0.138 | 75 (13) | 76 (13) | 74 (15) | 0.433 | 76 (13) | 0.126 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 29.4 (71) | 29.9 (71) | 29.4 (70) | 0.569 | 29.4 (70) | 30.2 (73) | 30.3 (73) | 0.288 | 29.6 (71) | 0.931 |
| Waist to hip ratio | 0.89 (0.09) | 0.88 (0.08) | 0.88 (0.11) | 0.875 | 0.88 (0.08) | 0.89 (0.12) | 0.89 (0.08) | 0.785 | 0.88 (0.10) | 0.056 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) | 6.5 (2.9) | 6.6 (3.3) | 6.3 (3.4) | 0.385 | 6.3 (2.9) | 6.7 (3.8) | 8.0 (4.7) | 0.019 | 6.5 (3.3) | 0.015 |
| 2-hour glucose (mmol/L) | 7.2 (2.6) | 7.7 (3.9) | 7.3 (3.4) | 0.343 | 7.4 (3.3) | 7.5 (3.4) | 9.6 (7.9) | 0.038 | 7.5 (3.5) | 0.762 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 5.6 (1.2) | 5.6 (1.2) | 5.5 (1.2) | 0.358 | 5.6 (1.2) | 5.6 (1.2) | 5.9 (1.2) | 0.372 | 5.6 (1.2) | 0.373 |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/L) | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.5) | 1.3 (0.4) | 0.693 | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.4) | 0.082 | 1.3 (0.4) | 0.857 |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L) | 1.5 (1.0) | 1.5 (0.9) | 1.5 (1.0) | 0.843 | 1.5 (0.9) | 1.5 (1.0) | 1.5 (0.7) | 0.885 | 1.5 (0.9) | 0.141 |
| LDL cholesterol (mmol/L) | 3.7 (1.0) | 3.7 (1.0) | 3.6 (1.0) | 0.457 | 3.6 (1.0) | 3.7 (1.0) | 3.8 (1.1) | 0.792 | 3.6 (1.0) | 0.723 |
| Gamma glutamyl transferase (IU/L) | 27 [19–40.2] | 26 [18–39] | 26 [18–42.2] | 0.748 | 27 [18–41] | 25 [18–36] | 30 [21–49] | 0.321 | 27 [18–40] | 0.325 |
| C-reactive protein (mg/L) | 3.5 [1.3–7.6] | 4.2 [1.1–9.8] | 3.5 [1.1–8.1] | 0.446 | 3.9 [1.1–8.8] | 3.5 [1.1–8.7] | 5.8 [2.7–11.0] | 0.165 | 3.8 [1.1–8.8] | 0.456 |
| Cotinine (ng/mL) | 10 [10–21] | 10 [9–247] | 10 [10–341] | 0.073 | 10 [9–309] | 10 [9–2475] | 10 [9–10] | 0.080 | 10 [9–283] | 0.282 |
| Fasting insulin (µU/mL) | 8 [3.7–14.1] | 7 [3.3–13] | 7 [2.7–13.9] | 0.667 | 7.4 [2.9–13.7] | 7.6 [3.7–13.8] | 6.8 [3.7–7.5] | 0.389 | 7.4 [3.1–13.5] | 0.752 |
| 2h insulin (µU/mL) | 35.7 [16–84.6] | 36.7 [20–60.7] | 34.7 [15.6–73.1] | 0.890 | 35.7 [18.7–66.7] | 36.6 [17.6–69.9] | 29.6 [13.8–56.7] | 0.660 | 36.3 [17.5–68] | 0.033 |
| Median glucose/insulin ratio (µU/mL) | 0.76 [0.39–1.58] | 0.79 [0.48–1.79] | 0.74 [0.42–1.81] | 0.718 | 0.79 [0.42–1.81] | 0.72 [0.45–1.51] | 0.89 [0.71–2.74] | 0.151 | 0.77 [0.43–1.77] | 0.462 |
| Median HOMA-IR | 2.1 [1.0–3.8] | 1.8 [0.9–1.7] | 1.8 [0.6–3.4] | 0.302 | 1.8 [0.7–3.8] | 1.9 [0.9–3.6] | 1.9 [0.7–2.7] | 0.756 | 1.8 [0.7–3.7] | 0.540 |
| Median HOMA-B% | 76 [24–158] | 63 [25–124] | 77 [27–149] | 0.150 | 70 [23–14] | 71 [33–104] | 56 [15–104] | 0.248 | 69 [25–138] | 0.140 |
| Median QUICKI | 0.15 [0.14–0.17] | 0.15 [0.14–0.17] | 0.15 [0.14–0.18] | 0.354 | 0.15 [0.14–0.18] | 0.15 [0.14–0.17] | 0.15 [0.14–0.17] | 0.694 | 0.15 [0.14–0.17] | 0.079 |
| Median 1/HOMA-IR | 0.48 [0.26–1.05] | 0.56 [0.27–1.16] | 0.57 [0.29–1.63] | 0.302 | 0.55 [0.26–1.46] | 0.53 [0.27–1.10] | 0.51 [0.37–1.32] | 0.757 | 0.54 [0.27–1.33] | 0.015 |

HDL: high density lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; QUICKI: quantitative insulin-sensitivity check index.
**Table 3:** Associations of genotypes with PON1 and oxidative stress markers.

| Characteristics          | QQ192 | Q92R | 192RR | P value | QQ192 | Q92R | 192RR | P value | QQ192 | Q92R | 192RR | P value | QQ192 | Q92R | 192RR | P value |
|--------------------------|-------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|---------|
| n                        | 53 (14.3) | 191 (51.6) | 126 (34.1) | 0.55 | 246 (66.5) | 114 (30.8) | 10 (2.7) | 370 |
| Men, n (%)               | 12 (22.6) | 49 (25.7) | 33 (26.2) | 0.87 | 66 (26.8) | 27 (23.7) | 1 (10) | 94 |
| Diabetes, n (%)          | 17 (32.1) | 67 (35.1) | 28 (22.2) | 0.49 | 71 (28.9) | 36 (31.6) | 5 (50.0) | 112 |
| Hypertension, n (%)      | 31 (58.5) | 100 (52.4) | 56 (44.4) | 0.17 | 124 (50.4) | 56 (49.1) | 7 (70.0) | 187 |
| PON1 conc (μg/mL)        | 104 [94–117] | 91 [78–112] | 94 [71–111] | 0.015 | 98 [74–113] | 98 [81–111] | 105 [95–112] | 98 [77–112] |
| PONase (U/L)             | 130 [93–182] | 189 [146–222] | 185 [144–222] | <0.0001 | 183 [132–222] | 185 [132–220] | 119 [96–160] | 0.059 |
| AREase (kU/L)            | 97 [72–119] | 108 [85–133] | 108 [84–132] | 0.346 | 104 [82–132] | 108 [83–133] | 125 [120–137] | 0.252 |
| FRAP (μM)                | 651 [526–754] | 702 [541–832] | 677 [559–831] | 0.381 | 677 [543–840] | 677 [547–763] | 674 [567–755] | 0.827 |
| TEAC (nM)                | 1206 [837–1442] | 1298 [946–1632] | 1338 [971–1644] | 0.053 | 1299 [934–1645] | 1268 [945–1581] | 1303 [1237–1330] | 0.774 |
| Ox-LDL (ng/mL)           | 3791 [2227–6155] | 4695 [3361–6009] | 4142 [2972–5298] | 0.029 | 4281 [3184–5622] | 4602 [3049–5842] | 2682 [1463–6314] | 0.501 |
| TBARS (nM)               | 1840 [942–3042] | 2424 [1844–3148] | 2223 [1598–2978] | 0.006 | 2298 [1640–3128] | 2282 [1625–3042] | 1114 [950–2822] | 0.221 |

PON: Paraoxonase 1; PONase: paraoxonase activity; AREase: arylesterase activity; FRAP: ferric reducing ability of plasma; TEAC: Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity; Ox-LDL: oxidized LDL; TBARS: thiobarbituric acid reactive substances.
Table 4: Regression coefficients from multiple robust linear models for the prediction of indices of PON1 and antioxidant status by PON1 polymorphisms, accounting for the potential effect of sex, age, diabetes, and adiposity.

| Gene                          | Model     | PON1  | PONase | AREase | FRAP  | TEAC  | Ox-LDL | TBARS |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
|                               |           | β     | P      | β      | P     | β     | P      | β     |
| PON1 Q192 (rs662)             | Alone     | 6.84  | 0.002  | -18.33 | 0.002 | -3.11 | 0.266  | -15.68 | 0.299  | -74.92 | 0.061  | 39.21  | 0.804  | -42.38 | 0.621  |
|                               | + Age     | 6.18  | 0.006  | -18.31 | 0.001 | -2.92 | 0.312  | -14.98 | 0.328  | -68.15 | 0.096  | 34.14  | 0.814  | -79.42 | 0.346  |
|                               | + sex     | 5.61  | 0.003  | -17.99 | 0.0006 | -3.44 | 0.166  | -14.41 | 0.346  | -66.78 | 0.080  | 41.48  | 0.777  | -83.18 | 0.393  |
|                               | + BMI     | 5.38  | 0.008  | -15.74 | 0.0009 | -2.42 | 0.339  | -10.41 | 0.471  | -64.51 | 0.075  | -54.69 | 0.711  | -100.98 | 0.211  |
|                               | + Diabetes | 5.82  | 0.001  | -15.41 | 0.002 | -0.77 | 0.759  | -9.54  | 0.485  | -51.33 | 0.121  | -142.46 | 0.348  | -109.75 | 0.152  |
|                               | + Diabetes*Q192R | 3.79  | 0.08  | -14.99 | 0.007 | -2.94 | 0.291  | -14.50 | 0.359  | -40.30 | 0.340  | -155.24 | 0.354  | -87.90 | 0.321  |
| Diabetes*Q192                 | Alone     | 11.32 | 0.007  | 2.09   | 0.848 | 4.85  | 0.366  | 22.86  | 0.472  | -49.17 | 0.553  | 122.96 | 0.709  | -138.59 | 0.438  |
|                               | + Age     | 0.82  | 0.758  | -5.37  | 0.455 | 3.17  | 0.361  | -21.31 | 0.274  | -31.05 | 0.534  | -184.80 | 0.314  | -64.68 | 0.529  |
|                               | + sex     | 1.05  | 0.508  | -6.62  | 0.338 | 2.49  | 0.474  | -19.21 | 0.354  | -29.75 | 0.528  | -156.72 | 0.380  | -93.82 | 0.327  |
|                               | + BMI     | 2.65  | 0.235  | -5.86  | 0.340 | 5.10  | 0.155  | -11.71 | 0.523  | -18.32 | 0.687  | -220.02 | 0.222  | -104.31 | 0.303  |
|                               | + Diabetes | 2.77  | 0.217  | -6.36  | 0.277 | 5.00  | 0.104  | -12.95 | 0.492  | -9.50  | 0.825  | -256.49 | 0.154  | -91.05 | 0.355  |
|                               | + Diabetes*L55M | 3.03  | 0.278  | -5.88  | 0.467 | 5.99  | 0.109  | -24.85 | 0.240  | -17.61 | 0.745  | -395.49 | 0.075  | -222.50 | 0.062  |
| Diabetes*L55                 | Alone     | -0.69 | 0.883  | -5.58  | 0.682 | -10.34 | 0.119  | 41.03  | 0.266  | 21.27  | 0.775  | 994.86  | 0.013  | 391.84 | 0.058  |
|                               | + PON1 L55 | 7.13  | 0.002  | -18.34 | 0.002 | -4.01 | 0.158  | -13.00 | 0.418  | -73.65 | 0.077  | 77.21  | 0.635  | -32.88 | 0.716  |
|                               | + Q92*L55 | 7.83  | 0.005  | -15.89 | 0.018 | -2.77 | 0.403  | -19.55 | 0.297  | -81.54 | 0.092  | 169.29 | 0.350  | -44.91 | 0.683  |
| PON1 Q192 (rs662)             | PON1 Q192 | -1.02 | 0.705  | -0.05  | 0.994 | 4.42  | 0.215  | -1781  | 0.379  | -6.39  | 0.902  | -212.36 | 0.304  | -57.23 | 0.615  |
|                               | + Q92*L55 | 2.88  | 0.544  | 11.71  | 0.377 | 8.47  | 0.183  | -37.88 | 0.287  | -31.84 | 0.728  | 65.66  | 0.849  | -98.36 | 0.638  |
| PON1 Q192 (rs662)             | PON1 L55  | -3.30 | 0.435  | -10.16 | 0.339 | -3.93 | 0.449  | 22.32  | 0.449  | 25.11  | 0.737  | -282.13 | 0.326  | 40.08  | 0.818  |

BMI: body mass index; PON1: Paraoxonase 1; PONase: paraoxonase activity; AREase: arylesterase activity; FRAP: ferric reducing ability of plasma; TEAC: Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity; Ox-LDL: oxidized LDL; TBARS: thiobarbituric acid reactive substances.
peroxidation [4]. Taken together, our results show that the R allele increases PON1 activity but also indicate that the PON1 QQ192 may be important in individuals with increased oxidative stress such as diabetes even though it suppresses the activity of the enzyme. Our findings, however, need to be confirmed in prospective studies with a larger sample size.

In our study we also show the predominance of PON1 55M (82.6%) in this population, an unusual finding reported in only one other study in much lower proportion (61%) [22]. The PON1 L55 PON1 has been associated with increased PON1 activity [45]; however, this was not apparent in this study. It is however worth noting that the distribution of indices of glycaemic control (FBG, 2 hr glucose, and HbA1c) differs significantly across the L55M genotypes which may suggest an association with poorer glucose control and therefore glycation-enhanced oxidative stress. Although we had a relatively large population for robust linear regression studies based on the number of predictors assessed in the current study, it is likely that the low frequency of some genotypes (in PON1 L55M in particular) has affected our power for uncovering some significant associations. However, our study also has major strengths. Unlike many existing studies, in addition to PON1 polymorphisms, we measured PON1 protein levels and activity by two methods and assessed oxidative status via several methods to demonstrate the consistency of our results.

In conclusion, we have shown that the Q192R polymorphism is a determinant of both PON1 concentration and activity. This association appeared to be enhanced in subjects with diabetes, thus suggesting a need to adjust for potential genetic confounding in future PON1 studies, involving diabetic subjects.
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