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Abstract: One of the informational requirements in planning import and export activities is to an awareness of a country's comparative advantage in the production of goods and services. The present paper attempts to assess Iran's Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) in industrial subsectors based on two-digit code of the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) and effects of five top subsectors with the highest average of RCA on the total Iranian real industrial exports. RCAs for Iranian industrial subsectors (during 2001-2010) were calculated for 2001-2010 time period and seasonal data (2001-2010) were collected to estimate the RCA Model. Auto Regressive Distributed Lags (ARDL) Method was employed to investigate the effects of these subsectors on the total Iranian real industrial exports. The econometric results show that the subsectors with highest RCA average have a positive and significant effect on the total Iranian real industrial exports.
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1. Introduction

Today, foreign trade comprises a significant part of economic activities in many countries throughout the world. Besides, the significance and the role of foreign trade in the countries' economic development have been rising since the early 19th century due to an unprecedented growth in the global economy. As a result, only those countries can take the initiative in solving import and export related problems that have made specific plans concerning their long-term socioeconomic objectives. One of the informational requirements in planning import and export activities is to have an awareness of a country's comparative advantage for the production of goods and services. In order to study export comparative advantage, Balassa (1965) derived an index that measured a country's comparative advantage. The Balassa Index tries to show whether a given country has a "revealed" comparative advantage rather than to determine the underlying sources of comparative advantage (Utkulu and Seymen, 2004). The comparative advantage, in general, reveals a nation's capabilities in manufacturing and exporting a commodity in cooperation with other countries. The increasing importance of gaining independence from oil revenues, due to the fluctuations and volatility of oil prices and the severe effects of oil global markets on the revenues of oil-exporting countries, has made non-oil exports as a means to obtain foreign currency income. Industrial exports are always considered as one of the major issues in the field of "non-oil exports". Today, the development of exportation of industrial goods is regarded as an inevitable requirement because it can increase foreign currency income and, thus, make it possible to implement economic development programs which involve considerable foreign currency costs. Fluctuations in foreign currency income require formulation of some policies in order to increase the exports of industrial products. As a result, the identification of those Iranian industrial subsectors with a comparative advantage seems of high significance. This paper attempts to assess Iran's Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) in industrial subsectors based on two-digit code of the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) for (2001-2010) and effects of five top subsector with the highest average of RCA on the total Iranian real industrial exports.

2. Literature Review

Theory of Absolute Advantage by Smith (1776) was based on the idea that each country produces and exports only the goods that a country is more efficient in their production than other countries. The Comparative Advantage Theory assumes that a country with the greatest absolute advantage and the lowest
opportunity cost than another country attempts to produce a product. However, due to the basic assumptions of this theory, it was faced with a number of criticisms. The neo-classical trade theory was composed by the factor endowment theory (Ohlin, 1933) and the resource endowment theory by Samuelson, 1941. Different theories were proposed by economists after these theories, suggesting that besides labor, abundance of natural resources, and raw materials factors, some other factors such as research and development, technology, human resources skills, consumers’ preferences, and market conditions are effective in the creation of comparative advantage for different countries. Lin et al. (1996) argued that a comparative advantage strategy is the best option for the economic growth. Because different goods require different combinations of factor inputs, each economy should choose the most advantageous industrial structure based on its resource endowments. The structure of factor endowments and the relative abundance of factors of production within a given economy depend both on the natural endowments of that economy and on its economic development level. Changjun and Ping (2002) used Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) Index for China and Chinese provinces at one digit level of Standard International Trade Classification (SITC). They used the RCA index to demonstrate the fact that China has changed its export patterns in such a way to coincide with its comparative advantage and that there are distinct differences in export patterns between the coastal regions and the interiors in China. Utkulu and Seymen (2004) used Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) index to study Turkey’s comparative advantage. The result of RCAs obtained for the various commodity groups show that clothing, fruits and vegetables, sugar, honey, tobacco, vegetable oils, rubber industries, textiles, fabrics, and related products of these three commodity groups enjoy comparative advantage among EU Member States and this is a strategy used by Turkey to join the EU. Smyth (2005) used Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) index at one digit level of Standard International Trade Classification (SITC) to measure comparative advantage in Ireland. The results of RCA measurement indicate that Ireland has comparative advantage in chemical, pharmaceutical, food, live animals markets.

3. Methodology

The classic international trade theory based on differences in factors of proportion was developed in its neoclassical form by Heckscher and Ohlin (HO) to explain the underlying reasons for trade and to predict the trade patterns used by different countries. Countries with large amounts of land and minerals are expected to export more goods and commodities. Comparative advantage in association with international trade indicates that if a country can produce a given good at a lower cost than other goods, the country has a comparative advantage in the production of such a good and it can take advantage by entering the international trade
market by exporting those goods that enjoy a comparative advantage. RCA is one of the export comparative advantage indices, used for the first time by Balassa (1965) to evaluate the export yields and different goods. Balassa (1965) suggests that perhaps it is not necessary to include all constituents affecting a country’s comparative advantage. Instead, he believes that comparative advantage should be "revealed" by observed trade patterns, and in line with the theory, one needs to assess pre-trade relative prices which are not observable. Thus, inferring comparative advantage from observed data is called "revealed" comparative advantage (RCA). In practice, this is a commonly accepted practice to analyze trade data (Utkulu and Seymen, 2004). In this paper, we use Balassa’s (1965) measure of relative export performance, defined as a country’s share of world exports of a commodity divided by its share of total world exports. The Index is measured as follows (Equation 1):

\[
RCA_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij}}{x_j} / \frac{x_{iw}}{x_w}
\]

Where \(X\) is exports, \(i\) sector, \(j\) the country, and \(w\) represents the world.

If the value of this index is located at the distance (0,1) it means that a given country has no comparative advantage in that sector. On the other hand, if the distance varies from 1 to infinite values, the country in question enjoys comparative advantage in the given sector and it moves towards specialization in production and exportation. This method encompasses all the factors affecting comparative advantage such as factors of production, supply and demand, and exports. Besides, the method represents the true comparative advantage of a given country. According to the Balassa, the above index, relying on the existing structure of exports, is an appropriate tool to investigate whether a given country is able to join the WTO or not and also to assess possible long-term effects of commercial liberalization. In the present study, RCA index has been calculated for all industrial subsectors based on the ISIC two-digit code (See Table 1). In addition, using an average RCA index, the five top subsectors with the highest average of RCA were determined and effects of each subsector on the total real industrial exports were measured through Real Exports Function (Cerra & Dayal-Gulati, 1999; Goldstein & Khan, 1985) derived from export supply and demand function (Equation 2):

\[
\ln x = c_o + c_1 \ln \text{er} + c_2 \ln \text{gdp}_w + c_3 \ln \text{gdp}_i + c_4 \text{RCA}_j
\]

Where \(\ln x\) is the logarithm of real industrial exports, \(\ln \text{er}\) is the logarithm of the real effective currency rate, \(\ln \text{gdp}_w\) the world’s GDP, \(\ln \text{gdp}_i\) shows Iran’s GDP, and \(\text{RCA}_j\) is revealed comparative advantage in Iran’s industrial subsectors.

4. Results and Discussion

**Revealed comparative advantage in Iran’s industrial subsectors:** The values of all variables under study in the time period of 2001 to 2009 were collected from the data available at the Trade Statistics Yearbook of Customs of Islamic Republic of Iran and the International Trade Center (ITC) and the values of RCA index have been given in (Table 1), upon which the following results were obtained:

- Chemical products and other non-metallic production industries have benefited from comparative advantage in the whole period under study.
- Production of tobacco, wood, cork for consumption other than furniture, products made from bamboo and straw materials, production of paper and paper products, publishing, printing and reproduction of the press, machinery and equipment not classified elsewhere, administrative, calculating, and computing machines, motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers, and other transport equipment, furniture products not classified elsewhere were all without comparative advantage during the period under study.
- Food and beverage industry, textile and leather production, processing and manufacturing leather bags, suitcase and saddle, harness, and footwear enjoyed comparative advantage during the period under study, except in 2009.
- Production of coke, oil refineries, and nuclear fuels benefitted comparative advantage during the period under study except for 2002.
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• Production of basic metals enjoyed comparative advantage during the period under study except for 2001 and 2008.
• Production of fabricated metal products except for machinery and equipment has enjoyed comparative advantage only in 2001, 2002, and 2003.
• Production of rubber and plastic products benefitted from comparative advantage during the period under study, except for 2004 and 2007.
• Production of clothing, processing, and dyeing furs has benefitted from comparative advantage from 2001 to 2009 but it did not possess comparative advantage in the remaining years of the period under study.
• Based on the calculated average of the comparative advantage, production of textile, coke, oil refineries, nuclear fuels, chemical products, other non-metallic mineral products, and basic metals has had the highest rate of comparative advantage.

Table 1: RCA and its average in Iranian industrial subsectors

| Two digit ISIC | Products | RCA | RCA | RCA | RCA | RCA | RCA | RCA | RCA | RCA | RCA | Average |
|----------------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| 15 Food and beverage | 2.89 | 3.04 | 5.10 | 1.56 | 1.61 | 3.66 | 1.64 | 1.17 | 0.31 | 2.33 | |
| 16 Tobacco products | 0.44 | 0.006 | 0.72 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.18 | |
| 17 Textiles | 10.47 | 11.00 | 15.14 | 4.68 | 3.66 | 5.61 | 2.26 | 1.90 | 0.07 | 6.09 | |
| 18 Clothing, processing and dyeing fur leather production, processing and manufacturing leather bags, suitcase, saddle, harness, and footwear Wood and cork for consumption other than furniture, products made from bamboo and straw materials | 1.89 | 2.43 | 3.16 | 0.74 | 0.50 | 0.70 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 6.62 | 1.82 | |
| 19 Coke, oil refineries, and nuclear fuels | 7.40 | 0.26 | 10.38 | 3.82 | 2.73 | 6.73 | 2.83 | 2.71 | 4.56 | 5.59 | |
| 20 Chemical products | 2.43 | 2.88 | 3.67 | 1.73 | 1.99 | 1.36 | 2.43 | 22.85 | 2.74 | 4.67 | |
| 21 Paper and paper products | 0.32 | 0.44 | 0.73 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.1 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.30 | |
| 22 Paper used in publishing and the press | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.66 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.37 | |
| 23 Coke, oil refineries, and nuclear fuels | 4.96 | 4.80 | 8.51 | 3.20 | 3.77 | 6.05 | 2.38 | 2.14 | 14.45 | 5.59 | |
| 24 Chemical products | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.94 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.64 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.37 | |
| 25 Plastic and rubber products | 1.69 | 1.66 | 1.92 | 0.53 | 0.63 | 0.92 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.1 | 0.89 | |
| 26 Other nonmetallic minerals | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.94 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.64 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.37 | |
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30 Office and calculative equipment
0.00 0.00 0.05 0.01 0.00 0.016 0.00 0.04 0.00
31 Electric devices not classified elsewhere
0.35 0.52 0.81 0.20 0.25 0.47 0.33 0.22 0.01 0.35
32 Radio, TV, and mass media products
0.04 0.06 0.00 0.01 0.03 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.00 0.03
33 Medical and optic tools, instrumentation, watches ...
0.04 0.07 0.13 0.09 0.03 0.06 0.028 0.03 0.00 0.05
34 Vehicles, trailers, semi-trailers
0.026 0.26 0.33 0.16 0.19 0.53 0.38 0.22 0.02 0.26
35 Other transportation machineries
0.23 0.06 1.19 0.10 0.14 0.24 0.15 0.07 0.00 0.24
36 Furniture and products not classified elsewhere
0.32 0.32 0.79 0.38 0.49 0.48 0.11 0.10 0.00 0.33

*Source: Research findings
*Five top industrial subsectors with the highest average of RCA have been codified as 1*, 2*, 3*, 4*, and 5*.

The value of RCA index is higher than one (RCA > 1) for nine industrial subsectors indicating these subsectors enjoy a comparative advantage in the world market. Subsectors with a high revealed comparative advantage in Iran are chemical products, other non-metallic products, textiles, basic metals and coke, oil refineries, and nuclear fuels.

**Model Estimation**

**Model Structure:** After identification of the five industrial subsectors with the highest RCA average, Equation (2) is rewritten as Equation (3):

\[ \ln x = c_0 + c_1 \ln r + c_2 \ln \text{GDP}_i + c_3 \ln \text{GDP}_w + c_4 \text{RCA17} + c_5 \text{RCA23} + c_6 \text{RCA24} + c_7 \text{RCA26} + c_8 \text{RCA27} + u \]

Iranian industrial subsections based on the two-digit ISIC code inserted into the model include: textiles (RCA17), nonmetallic minerals (RCA26), chemical products (RCA24), coal, coke - oil refineries and nuclear fuels (RCA23), and basic metals (RCA27), (industries with code of ISIC 17, 23, 24, 26, 27), and residual sentences u1.

**Stationary Test:** In this study, Augmented Dickey Fuller Test (ADF) was employed to investigate the stationary of all variables in Equation (3). If the absolute value of the statistics of (ADF) is larger than the absolute value of the critical values, the variables in question will be static. The results obtained through this test, shown in Table (2), suggest that ln\text{r} and \ln x are static with the order one or I (1) and the rest of variables are static or of order I(0). Critical values at level of 1%, 5%, and 10% with equivalent are -4.29, -3.56, and -3.21 and without equivalent -3.67, -2.96, and 2.62.

**Table 2: Augmented Dickey Fuller Test Statistics to check in the stationary of the model variables**

| Test Variables | Without trend | Variable level | Without trend | Variable level | First order difference | Test statistics of stationary test |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| LnX            | -----         | -----          | -2/95         | -----          | -4/16                  | I(1)                              |
| LnER           | -----         | -----          | -----         | -----          | -7/65                  | I(1)                              |
| LnGDPi         | -6/36         | -4/05          | -----         | -----          | -----                  | I(0)                              |
| LnGDPw         | -3/03         | -----          | -----         | -----          | -----                  | I(0)                              |
| RCA17          | -----         | -3/66          | -----         | -----          | -----                  | I(0)                              |
| RCA23          | -4/13         | -4/62          | -----         | -----          | -----                  | I(0)                              |
| RCA24          | -3/26         | -3/59          | -----         | -----          | -----                  | I(0)                              |
| RCA26          | -3/65         | -3/72          | -----         | -----          | -----                  | I(0)                              |
| RCA27          | -2/83         | -----          | -----         | -----          | -----                  | I(0)                              |

Source: Research findings
Model estimation was performed after investigating the stationary of the variables. As shown by the stationary test, the variables used in the study are of order zero and one. Thus, it is possible to use Auto-Regressive Distributed Lags (ARDL) Method. According to the estimation procedure, ARDL form of Equation (3) will be in the form of Equation (4):

$$\ln X_t = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \gamma_j \ln X_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{q_1} \beta_{1j} \ln ER_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{q_2} \beta_{2j} \ln GDP(i)_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{q_3} \beta_{3j} \ln GDP(w)_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{q_4} \beta_{4j} RCA17_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{q_5} \beta_{5j} RCA23_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{q_6} \beta_{6j} RCA24_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{q_7} \beta_{7j} RCA26_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{q_8} \beta_{8j} RCA27_{t-j} + \delta Trend + \mu_t$$

The reason for not using intercept is due to appropriate fitting of the model in conditions that there is no intercept and also empirical documentation gained through prior studies in which no intercept was employed to fit the model best. The results of estimation of the self-explaining vector model with distribution intervals related to Iranian real industrial exports during 2001:1-2009:4 have been shown in Table (3) below. Due to the small sample size, Schwars Bayesian Criterion (SBC) was employed to optimally select the model so that lower degree of freedom will be lost. Schwars Bayesian Criterion has given Lag 1 to variables (RCA24), (RCA26), and lner and Lag 0 to the other variables. The estimation of the short-term model indicates that at 95% confidence level the variable coefficient of Iran’s and the world’s GDP logarithm is significant and has a positive effect on total real industrial exports. The variable coefficient of real effective currency rate logarithm is insignificant, and RCA index coefficients of non-metallic mineral products, chemical products, coke, oil refineries, nuclear fuels, basic metals and textiles are significant and have a positive effect on total real industrial exports. Besides, production of chemical and non-metallic mineral products has a significant lag, negatively affecting total real industrial exports. The results of diagnostic tests indicate that the significance level of the two LM and F statistics is greater than the error level of 5% in these tests. As a result, the null hypothesis was confirmed at 95% level of significance, indicating that there is no auto-regression between disorder terms, the proper functional terms, distribution of disorder terms, and homogeneity variance of error terms.

| Predicting variable | Coefficient | Standard deviation | Lnx dependent variable t-statistics (level of sig) |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Lnx(-1)             | 0.59        | 0.071              | 8/35[0.000]                                  |
| Ln ER               | -4/84       | 2/01               | -2/95[0.227]                                 |
| Ln ER(-1)           | 3/90        | 2/72               | 2/43[0.220]                                  |
| Ln GDP i            | 0/55        | 0/38               | 1/08[0.02]                                   |
| Ln GDP w            | 0/15        | 0/08               | 2/95[0.000]                                  |
| RCA17               | 0/08        | 0/02               | 2/71[0.001]                                  |
| RCA23               | 0/20        | 0/04               | 4/23[0.001]                                  |
| RCA24               | 0/19        | 0/02               | 7/55[0.000]                                  |
| RCA24(-1)           | -0/30       | 0/03               | -9/34[0.000]                                 |
| RCA26               | 0/25        | 0/04               | 6/32[0.000]                                  |
| RCA26(-1)           | -0/09       | 0/01               | -5/21[0.000]                                 |
| RCA27               | 0/02        | 0/02               | 0/99[0.047]                                  |
| TREND               | 0/20        | 0/04               | 4/44[0.000]                                  |
| Diagnostic Test     | R²=0.98     | DW=2/1             | F=456/59[0.000]                              |

Source: Research findings

**Estimation of long term model:** Boundary Test (Pesaran et al., 2001) was employed to ensure long-run equilibrium relationship between the model variables. F statistics obtained through the test is greater than the upper limit of critical statistics of Pesaran et al. at 10% of error level. As a result, the null hypothesis indicating that there is no long-run equilibrium relationship between the variables in the model was rejected.
and the opposite hypothesis (the existence of a long-run equilibrium relationship) was accepted. Based on long-run equilibrium relationship, the coefficients of long term model has been estimated as shown Table (4), indicating that a 95% confidence level, the variable coefficient of Iran's and the world's GDP logarithm is significant and has a positive effect on total real industrial exports, the variable coefficient of real effective currency rate logarithm is insignificant, and, finally, RCA index coefficients for non-metallic mineral products, chemical products, coke, oil refineries, nuclear fuels, basic metals and textiles are significant and have a positive effect on total real industrial exports.

Table 4: Results of estimation of long term coefficient

| Predicting variable | Coefficient | Standard deviation | Lnx dependant variable t-statistics (level of sig) |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Ln ER               | -3/43       | 2/63               | -0/88[0/38]                                      |
| Ln GDP i            | 0/55        | 0/38               | 1/08[0/035]                                      |
| Ln GDP w            | 0/15        | 0/08               | 2/95[0/001]                                      |
| RCA17               | 0/2         | 0/05               | 3/84[0/001]                                      |
| RCA23               | 0/05        | 0/03               | 1/48[0/035]                                      |
| RCA24               | 0/2         | 0/04               | 0/83[0/000]                                      |
| RCA26               | 0/41        | 0/09               | 4/21[0/001]                                      |
| RCA27               | 0/062       | 0/066              | 1/35[0/057]                                      |
| Trend               | 0/51        | 0/11               | 4/60[0/047]                                      |

Source: Research findings

All coefficients of RCA17, RCA23, RCA24, RCA26 and RCA27 were positive and Iran had a comparative advantage in textile products, coke, oil refineries, nuclear fuels, chemical products, nonmetallic minerals, and basic metals as Iran has abundant resources and mines such as decorative stones, clay, salt, oil, and gas resources.

Error Correction Model: The results of error correction model for the function of total real industrial exports presented by Microfit are shown in Table 5. The value of error correction is indicative of 40% of short term disequilibrium in each period.

Table 5: error correction model for ARDL

| Predicting variable | Coefficient | Standard deviation | t-statistics (level of sig) |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| dLn ER              | -2/43       | 3/01               | -2/95[0/007]                |
| dLn GDP i           | 0/32        | 0/18               | 1/08[0/025]                 |
| dLn GDP w           | 0/09        | 0/06               | 1/36[0/000]                 |
| dRCA17              | 0/08        | 0/02               | 2/71[0/01]                  |
| dRCA23              | 0/05        | 0/03               | 1/48[0/03]                  |
| dRCA24              | 0/19        | 0/02               | 7/55[0/000]                 |
| dRCA26              | 0/25        | 0/04               | 6/32[0/000]                 |
| dRCA27              | 0/02        | 0/02               | 0/99[0/031]                 |
| dTrend              | 0/20        | 0/04               | 4/44[0/000]                 |
| ECM(-1)             | -0/40       | 0/07               | -5/61[0/00]                 |

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

The results of the model estimation indicate that during the period under study, Iran’s GDP (with the coefficient of 0.55), textile products (0.2), coke, oil refineries, and nuclear fuels (0.05), chemical products (0.2), nonmetallic minerals (0.41), and basic metals (0.062) have a positive and significant effect on the total real industrial exports. Iranian Government can support its industry subsectors through the following strategies:
• Directing labor force and expertise into subsectors with have comparative advantage.
• Giving investment priority to subsectors with high comparative advantage.
• Granting credits and loans of low interest rates in order to fund subsectors with high comparative advantage.

Therefore, the Iranian Government should pay attention to subsectors with higher comparative advantage through appropriate planning and prioritizing investments so that through benefitting from the advantage given by these subsectors, it can produce goods at a lower cost than other countries and thus to develop nonoil exports and to increase foreign currency incomes.
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