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Abstract: In case of small-batch production, applications of classic technologies and tools, for e.g. injection moulding and classic moulds are not competitive. Application of additive technologies (AT) for direct production of finally parts can partially reduce deficiencies of classic approach, but there are for e.g. limited number of available materials. Potential solution is application of AT in production of so-called bridge moulds for small-batch production from originally requested material for final part. This paper presents PolyJet process of AT and its possible application for production of bridge polymer moulds for injection moulding of small quantity of the moulded parts together with design rules for PolyJet bridge moulds.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Modern markets define increased demands on development and production processes. Besides demands on increased product quality, flexibility level in development and production processes, at the same time there are demands on decreasing costs and especially on reducing time for development and production. An additional trend, as a part of megatrends linked with global increasing of human population, and which is highlighted in some segments of global market, is abandoning of mass production and transition to small-batch or even one piece (personalised, custom) production, leading to changes in production paradigm [1]. For fulfilling such demands on the market, since 1980’s additive production (3D printing) processes are in constant development. Main characteristic of this processes is adding material mostly layer-by-layer until production of the final product, based only upon 3D CAD product models, without any need for additional tools (like in case of subtractive or forming processes). Such principle enables production of products with very complex geometrical shapes, which will be very difficult or even impossible to produce by the application of classic technologies. However, despite mentioned advantages, additive manufacturing has certain disadvantages, compared to the classic technologies. First of them, is the limited range of available materials appropriate for additive manufacturing, compared to for example with injection moulding of polymers. Moreover, the physics of making products layer-by-layer is significantly different from physics of classic processes (e.g. injection moulding), resulting in deviations from the expected mechanical and other properties of the products made by additive and classic production processes. In case, when it is necessary to produce certain product in a small series for testing of future products, or for product certification, such deviations are not permissible. For the utilisation of additive manufacturing advantages, possible solution of the mentioned problems can be found in the application of additive manufacturing for production of so-called bridge moulds (tools), which enable production of small series products from original materials with original production processes (e.g. injection moulding).

The paper presents PolyJet process of AT and its possible application of bridge moulds, specificity of their production and advantages of their application in practise.

2 SYSTEMATISATION OF 3D PRINTED MOULD

The demands on moulds for serial production by injection moulding and the possibilities of additive manufacturing processes are not completely in compliance, therefore direct application of additive manufacturing for injection moulding in the industrial environments still does not have the expected significance despite invested efforts in this field [2, 3]. Rapid Tooling (RT) processes are exposed to far sharper demands compared to Rapid Prototyping (RP) processes. In case of RT processes, produced moulds must stand long-term parameters of e.g. injection moulding (pressures, temperatures, impact loads, shear loads, etc.), they have to be wear resistant, they have to be produced in very narrow tolerances with high surface quality for moulded part aesthetics and for easier moulded part ejection from the mould cavity.

When durability of the moulds (mould inserts) for injection moulding becomes important, 3D printed moulds are divided into three groups:

- soft (temporary) tools/moulds,
- bridge tools/moulds,
- hard tools/moulds.

In case of soft moulds, only a few moulded parts can be produced within such moulds, before the moulds are worn or damaged. An example of this type of moulds is a silicone mould (Fig. 1). The main advantage of this tooling approach is that such moulds can be produced within one day.

A small-batch production of dozen to a few thousand parts can be run with so-called bridge moulds (Fig. 2). Final number of produced moulded parts depends on applied materials (paper, metal, polymers ...). Bridge moulds also can be produced in relatively short time (from one to few days), which also depends on applied materials and additive technologies.
Finally, with hard moulds (Fig. 3) it is possible to produce a few hundred thousand moulded parts. Their durability is similar to the moulds produced in conventional ways, but they require much more time and expenses for production, compared to the soft and bridge moulds.

3 PolyJet ADDITIVE TECHNOLOGY

According to the standard ISO/ASTM 52900 [7], all processes of Additive Manufacturing are divided into 7 categories: binder jetting, direct energy deposition, material extrusion, material jetting, powder bed fusion, sheet lamination and vat photo-polymerisation. The PolyJet process belongs to the material jetting processes of additive manufacturing. Generally, the PolyJet process consists of jetting a thin layer of photosensitive polymer material(s) (acrylic resins) in the form of fine droplets through a head with a nozzle grid, followed by curing with a source of UV light (UV lamp) (Fig. 4).

In the return path of the printing head, a small cutter will remove small bulging at the top of the layer which results in spreading the material in the form of droplets, obtaining a more accurate layer thickness.

The layer thickness can be set to 16 µm or 32 µm. While jetting one layer, during the production of complex parts, the 3D printer uses both, model and support materials [1] (Fig. 5).

After finishing one layer, the build platform is lowered down for next layer thickness and the process is repeated until finishing the part. After removing the finished part from the build platform, the support material should be removed from the finished 3D printed part (e.g. with water jetting).

The main advantage of the PolyJet process is the ability to cure the whole layer at the same time, which is much faster compared to some processes that use selective curing on the working layer (e.g. like Stereolithography). The PolyJet process is very accurate, and as it uses model materials in liquid form, a small surface roughness can be achieved. Therefore demands for additional treatment of PolyJet 3D printed parts are minimal. Also, as each layer is fully cured during the printing process, there is no need for additional curing after 3D printing [1]. Second generation of PolyJet printers enabled application of two different types of basic acrylic resins as built materials at the same time in a process called PolyJet Matrix. The PolyJet Matrix process works by simultaneously jetting two distinct photopolymer built materials in pre-set combinations (previously prepared and separated in the STL file). The dual-jet process combines these materials to produce multi-material parts and create new composite materials, called Digital Materials [8].

3.1 PolyJet Technology for Production of Temporary/Bridge Moulds

PolyJet moulds for injection moulding are not intended to be a replacement for soft or hard tools used in mid- and high volume production. Instead, they are intended to fill the
gap between soft and hard moulds as well as a substitute for 3D printed prototypes [1].

In cases where design changes are required, a new iteration of the mould can be created in-house at minimal cost. This, combined with the speed of the PolyJet 3D printing, allows designers and engineers greater design freedom. Complex geometries of moulded parts and moulds do not represent any limitation in PolyJet mould manufacturing. Moreover, for this process NC programming is not needed, and manufacturing is based only on mould CAD model [1].

Fig. 6 shows a comparison of moulds produced with PolyJet technology and moulds produced with classic technologies from common mould materials and AM technologies for production of metal bridge/hard moulds. It has to be stressed that A and B processed materials (e.g. unreinforced polyolefins) are far less aggressive, and C and D groups are more aggressive materials for mould cavity wall wearing (e.g. reinforced polymers) [9].

Material RGD 525 is the strongest material, but its toughness is 5 to 7 times lower. RGD-5160-DM is suitable for production of details with wall thickness down to 1.5 mm, while RGD-5161-DM for wall thickness down to 1.0 mm. If the highest impact resistance and shock absorption are requested, Digital ABS plus is the most appropriate. With hardening process in furnace (Fig. 7), heat deflection temperature can be increased for all materials up to 30%.

4 DESIGN RULES FOR BRIDGE POLYJET MOULDS

While design of bridge PolyJet moulds, it is possible to apply basic guidelines for design of classic moulds for injection moulding. However, because of specific PolyJet materials properties for production of bridge moulds, it is necessary to make some modifications in design concept.
This is necessary because of compensation of mechanical, thermal and dimensional characteristics of such plastic moulds. Some of the basic rules for design of PolyJet bridge moulds are [5]:

- increase the draft angles for easier moulded part ejection (Fig. 8),

- at all sharp edges add minimal radius,
- for building a 3D printed mould into a classic mould base, add at least 0.2 mm in height at the back face to improve mould closing and avoiding of flash (Fig. 9),

- while 3D printing of the core pin, it is recommended height/width aspect ratio of 3:1 (for larger aspect ratio it is recommended to use exchangeable metallic pins),
- while making holes, minimal diameter is 0.8 mm,
- for mould gate design, classic side, film, tab and ring gates are recommended (avoid tunnel and pin gates) (Fig. 10),

- gate dimensions have to be 2-3 times larger than gate dimensions in classic steel moulds,
- gate thickness has to be equal or larger than maximal moulded part wall thickness,
- metallic sprue has to be built into plastic mould, in order to avoid direct contact of the plastic mould with hot injection moulding machine nozzle,
- build in classic ejector pins that are not placed on distances smaller than 3 mm from the mould cavity edge (otherwise, the mould can be damaged) (Fig. 11),

- decrease the ejector holes diameters for 0.2 to 0.3 mm and adjust them for the ejector mounting into the mould insert with classical machining,
- complex mould cavity geometry has to be split into multiple mould inserts (Fig. 12), in order to achieve appropriate mould cavity venting through tolerances in inserts contact,

- although, because of poor thermal conductivity of PolyJet materials, classic mould tempering by cooling channels and water as a coolant is not efficient, avoiding it can contribute to prolongation of the mould durability (expected up to 20 %) (Fig. 13),
- more effective cooling is done by blowing of compressed air on the mould parting plane,
while preparing for 3D printing, mould insert should be oriented so that the mould cavity is up-oriented (glossy surface without support material), which will result with smoother mould cavity surface (Fig. 14),

mould insert should be oriented on the building platform with larger dimension in line with the printing head moving direction (print lines) because in that orientation the material is better cured - it is longer exposed to the UV light (Fig. 15).

In the application of bridge PolyJet mould inserts, several approaches in embedding in standard mould bases or their independent application are possible. In the case of smaller injection moulded parts, it is possible to independently use PolyJet bridge moulds. Depending on the application of hand or mechanical injection moulding machines (Fig. 16), it is necessary to adjust PolyJet moulds dimensions correspondingly.

In the case of using a hand injection moulding machine, the designer has larger freedom in mould design and optimising its dimensions (Fig. 17).

When PolyJet moulds are applied on mechanical injection moulding machines, it is necessary to adjust mould dimensions to the injection moulding machine clamping plates and tie bars, as well as the rest of the mould base. Besides, it is necessary to enlarge the thickness of the mould plates, compared to the moulds aimed to operate with hand injection moulding machines, in order to avoid creation of cracks on the mould plates (Fig. 18).

In the case of the production of large amount of moulded parts on larger injection moulding machines, PolyJet mould inserts have to be embedded into a steel mould base (Fig. 19).
6 CONCLUSION

The PolyJet process of 3D printing bridge moulds enables simple manufacturing of whole moulds or mould inserts in relatively short time. An additional advantage of this process is the 3D printing of moulds at room temperature, which will not cause mould shrinkage and deformation which otherwise have to be taken into account during mould design. Mould cavity walls are very smooth (because of application of material in liquid form), which enables easier moulded part ejection and smooth surface of moulded parts. In order to be successful in the application of PolyJet 3D printed moulds, general rules for design, manufacturing and post processing have to be taken into account.
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