Age Dependence and Isotype Specificity of Influenza Virus Hemagglutinin Stalk-Reactive Antibodies in Humans
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ABSTRACT  Influenza remains a major global health burden. Seasonal vaccines offer protection but can be rendered less effective when the virus undergoes extensive antigenic drift. Antibodies that target the highly conserved hemagglutinin stalk can protect against drifted viruses, and vaccine constructs designed to induce such antibodies form the basis for a universal influenza virus vaccine approach. In this study, we analyzed baseline and postvaccination serum samples of children (6 to 59 months), adults (18 to 49 years), and elderly individuals (≥65 years) who participated in clinical trials with a recombinant hemagglutinin-based vaccine. We found that baseline IgG and IgA antibodies against the H1 stalk domain correlated with the ages of patients. Children generally had very low baseline titers and did not respond well to the vaccine in terms of making stalk-specific antibodies. Adults showed the highest induction of stalk-specific antibodies, but the elderly had the highest absolute antibody titers against the stalk. Importantly, the stalk antibodies measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) showed neutralizing activity in neutralization assays and protected mice in a passive-transfer model in a stalk titer-dependent manner. Finally, we found similar patterns of stalk-specific antibodies directed against the H3 and influenza B virus hemagglutinins, albeit at lower levels than those measured against the H1 stalk. The relatively high levels of stalk-specific antibodies in the elderly patients may explain the previously reported low influenza virus infection rates in this age group. (This study has been registered at ClinicalTrials.gov under registration no. NCT00336453, NCT00539981, and NCT00395174.)

IMPORTANT  The present study provides evidence that titers of broadly neutralizing hemagglutinin stalk-reactive antibodies increase with age, possibly due to repeated exposure to divergent influenza viruses. These relatively high levels of antistalk titers may be responsible for lower circulation rates of influenza viruses in older individuals. Our findings suggest that the level of antistalk antibodies is a good surrogate marker for protection against influenza virus infection. In addition, the levels of antistalk antibodies might determine the breadth of protection against different drifted strains.

S  easonal influenza virus infections cause significant global morbidity and mortality every year (1, 2). In addition, influenza A viruses cause pandemics in irregular intervals. Current influenza virus vaccines are efficacious but are very strain specific and protect against viruses well matched with the vaccine formulation (3). Immunity induced by these conventional vaccines is mostly directed to the immuno-dominant globular head domain of the hemagglutinin (HA), the major surface glycoprotein of the virus. This part of the HA has a high plasticity and allows the virus to escape the immune response, a mechanism called antigenic drift (4). This phenomenon makes it necessary to update vaccines on a regular (annual) basis (5). Antibodies against the conserved, immuno-subdominant stalk domain of the HA are usually not induced to high titers by seasonal influenza virus vaccines (6–8). However, such antibodies have been shown to be broadly protective and efficacious against multiple subtypes of influenza virus HAs (9–16). Universal influenza virus vaccine candidates aiming to induce stalk-reactive antibodies are currently under development (17-25). Here we investigate the prevalence of anti-HA stalk antibodies in different age groups. Using reagents based on chimeric HAs (cHAs) (26, 27), we determined titers for the group 1, group 2, and influenza B virus HA stalk domains in children (6 to 59 months), adults (18 to 49 years), and elderly individuals (≥65 years). Immunity was measured pre- and postvaccination with a licensed recombinant-protein-based influenza virus vaccine (28, 29). Furthermore, we characterized the functionality of group 1 stalk-reactive antibodies in vitro and in vivo.

RESULTS  Titers of anti-HA stalk IgG antibodies increase with age. A recent report has shown that the titer of antistalk antibodies can rise over time in individuals (30). Here we analyze baseline and post-
vaccination serum samples of children (6 to 59 months), adults (18 to 49 years), and elderly individuals (≥65 years) who participated in various clinical trials conducted with Flublok (manufactured by Protein Sciences Corporation), a novel recombinant-HA (rHA)-based vaccine (28, 29, 31). Recombinant cHA proteins consisting of exotic head domains (avian origin H5 and H6 heads) and stalk domains of H1, H3, or influenza B virus HAs were used to analyze the immune response to the HA stalk domain. Humans are usually naive to these exotic head domains, and we have previously shown that cHA constructs can be used to measure stalk-reactive antibodies in human serum without interference from head-reactive antibodies (27, 32, 33). Group 1 HA/H1 baseline stalk titers increased significantly with age from geometric mean titers of 165.3 in children to 1674.4 in adults and 5740.6 in the elderly (Fig. 1A). Interestingly, vaccination with rHA moderately boosted antistalk titers in adults (who had medium baseline stalk titers) 2.8-fold but boosted them less in children (low baseline titers, 1.6-fold) or the elderly (high baseline titers, 1.4-fold) (Fig. 1B). These results were in good agreement with reactivity to heterosubtypic H5 HA (also group 1) from a highly pathogenic avian H5N2 isolate (HSNX strain A/Northern pintail/Washington [WA]/40964/14) demonstrating the breadth of the antistalk response (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Interestingly, group 1/H1 stalk titers correlated with hemagglutination inhibition titers in children, but this correlation was lost in elderly individuals (Fig. S2). Group 2/H3 stalk titers and B HA stalk titers followed the same trend, with significantly higher stalk titers in the elderly, moderate titers in middle-aged adults, and low titers in children. Baseline titers against group 2- and B virus-specific HA stalks were in general low in all age groups (Fig. 1C to F). Importantly, we also found that prevaccination sera of adults and the elderly competed strongly with a characterized, neutralizing antistalk antibody (Fig. S3).

IgA, but not IgM, antibodies against the H1 stalk were increased after vaccination. Next, we assessed whether other antibody subtypes, like IgA and IgM, followed similar trends. We were interested in the IgA response since IgA stalk antibodies have been shown to have greater neutralizing potency than IgG antistalk antibodies (34). Group 1/H1 antistalk IgA antibodies had lower baseline titers than IgG in all age groups (Fig. 2A). However, they followed the same trend as IgG, with lowest titers in children, medium titers in middle-aged adults, and the highest titers in the elderly (Fig. 2A). Interestingly, there was much more variation in the IgA baseline responses—specifically in the elderly—than in the IgG stalk titers. Again, the response to the vaccine was best (2.2-fold induction) in the middle-aged adult group (Fig. 2B). IgM baseline titers were higher than IgA baseline titers (albeit lower than IgG baseline titers). However, IgM stalk 28 days postvaccination were not significantly different from baseline titers (Fig. 2C and D). This phenomenon might be explained by the late sampling time point, which might have missed the IgM peak. Alternatively, it might also indicate that stalk responses originate from memory B cell pools and are not necessarily the result of de novo responses by naive B cells.

IgG stalk titers against the H1 stalk consist predominantly of IgG1 and IgG3 and not IgG2 or IgG4. In addition to analyzing the response to different immunoglobulin subtypes, we examined the different IgG isotypes that exist in humans. We randomly selected 20 individuals for each age group and tested their sera for all 4 IgG subclasses against the H1 stalk, as well as reactivity against a full-length H1 HA (A/New Caledonia/20/1999 virus). Both pre- and postvaccination titers against the HA stalk were driven by IgG1 and IgG3. IgG2 and IgG4 titers were mostly below the limit of detection and were also not boosted by vaccination (Fig. 3A). Similarly, the response against full-length H1 HA was mostly driven by IgG1 and IgG3. However, we also detected IgG2 titers—a clear difference from the antibody response against the HA stalk (Fig. 3B). These findings are consistent with earlier studies that showed that antibody responses against the HA are dominated by IgG1 and IgG3 (35) in healthy adults.

H1 stalk-reactive antibody titers measured by ELISA correlate with in vitro neutralization. To assess the in vitro functionality of anti-group 1 stalk-reactive antibodies, we performed a microneutralization assay with a virus that expresses a ch9/1 HA and an N3 neuraminidase (NA). The stalk domain of this virus is derived from H1, but both the head domain and the neuraminidase are of avian origin and will not be bound by sera from humans who are naive to H9 and N3 (27, 32). Therefore, the assay measures only the neutralizing activities of group 1/H1 stalk-reactive antibodies. We found that neutralization baseline titers were lowest in children (geometric mean titer, 13.2), followed by adults (34.8) and the elderly (93.0) (Fig. 4A). Induction of neutralization titers postvaccination was highest in middle-aged individuals. These results reflect the titers measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). A correlation analysis showed that there was in fact good correlation between antistalk ELISA titers and anti-chimeric H9/1N3 (anti-ch9/H1) neutralization titers (Fig. 4B).

H1 stalk-reactive antibodies are protective in an in vivo mouse challenge model. To test the in vivo functionality and protection provided by group 1/H1 stalk-reactive antibodies in humans, a passive serum transfer challenge experiment was performed with BALB/c mice. Pools of prevaccination and postvaccination serum samples were generated separately for all age groups. The serum was then intraperitoneally injected into five mice per serum pool. Two hours after serum transfer, the mice were challenged with 9,000 PFU of ch9/1N3 virus. As discussed above, this virus has an exotic HA head domain (H9) and an irrelevant neuraminidase. Therefore, a protective effect by these human sera can be attributed to antibodies that react with the H1 stalk domain. Six days postchallenge, the mouse lungs were extracted and homogenized, and the amount of remaining infectious virus particles was measured in a plaque assay. Interestingly, the virus titers on day 6 postchallenge were inversely correlated to the stalk-reactive antibody titers measured by ELISA (Fig. 5). Children had the lowest baseline stalk antibody titers, and mice that received this serum had the highest remaining infectious virus particles in their lungs (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the small increase in antibodies postvaccination had a big impact in the in vivo experiment and lowered the mean virus titer from 459 PFU to 79 PFU. Mice receiving the adult prevaccination serum had a mean virus titer of 51 PFU, but there was no detectable infectious virus in the lungs of the adult postvaccination group. Mice receiving serum from elderly individuals had no detectable virus levels for both the pre- and postvaccination pools (Fig. 5). While the virus dose used was nonlethal and did not induce significant weight loss in the control mice, these results show that antistalk titers measured by ELISA or by microneutralization assay exhibit significant biological activity in an in vivo assay in a dose-dependent manner.
FIG 1  Titers of antistalk antibodies are age dependent. (A) Group 1/H1 stalk antibodies increase with age. Mean baseline titers for children (165.3) are lower
A majority of the human population has low titers of antibodies with specificity to the HA stalk domains. These antibody titers are most likely generated by natural infection (27, 36–38) or exposure to very divergent influenza virus vaccines (32, 33, 39, 40). Regular seasonal inactivated influenza virus vaccines usually do not induce these types of antibodies at significant levels (6–8). While strain-specific antihead antibodies might have higher neutralizing potency than stalk-reactive antibodies \(\textit{in vitro} (34, 41), \) it has been shown that stalk-reactive antibodies can confer robust protection.
In our cross-sectional study, we found that children (6 to 59 months) had the lowest baseline titers of stalk-reactive antibodies. Middle-aged individuals (18 to 49 years) had intermediate baseline titers, and elderly individuals (≥65) had relatively high titers of stalk-reactive antibodies. Our findings correlate very well with a recent longitudinal study that found an increase in antistalk titers in individuals over time (30). The trends were similar for group 1, group 2, and influenza B HA stalk antibodies. However, group 1 stalk titers were in general higher than group 2 antibody or B virus antistalk titers. Again, this is not surprising since humans have been repeatedly exposed to divergent group 1 HAs (H1N1, H2N2, and pandemic H1N1 viruses).

**FIG 3** Isotype composition of the group 1/H1 antistalk response. (A) IgG antibodies against the group 1/H1 stalk domain are predominantly of the IgG1 and IgG3 subclasses, while there is very low reactivity for IgG2 and no reactivity for IgG4. (B) Responses against the full-length H1 protein used in the vaccine. Interestingly, adults and the elderly seem to have low IgG2 reactivity to the H1 head domain.

**FIG 4** Antistalk neutralization titers. (A) Neutralizing titers against a virus with an H1 stalk domain show a pattern of age-related titer increases similar to that for the ELISA titers. The mean of the IC_{50} values is very low in children (13.2) but increases after vaccination (19.7). The adults start with medium titers (34.8) and increase to a titer of 97.3. Elderly individuals start at a titer of 93.0 and increase slightly to a titer of 109.8 after vaccination. (B) The microneutralization IC_{50}s against a virus containing the H1 stalk domain correlate very well with the H1 titers measured by ELISA (Spearman r = 0.7094, P < 0.0001).
and potentially also the 1976 H1N1 swine influenza virus vaccine). It is known that sequential exposure to divergent influenza HAs from the same group is able to boost stalk-reactive antibodies (27, 30, 32, 33, 38–40, 43, 44). In contrast, only one group 2 HA virus (H3N2) has been circulating in humans in the last 100 years. For influenza B viruses, the situation is more complex, since two divergent lineages cocirculate. However, these two lineages express very similar HA proteins with a maximum amino acid divergence of approximately 10%. This small difference is most likely not enough to efficiently drive antistalk antibody induction.

Influenza viruses are the cause of an estimated 5 million cases of severe illness and 500,000 deaths annually worldwide. The majority of influenza deaths, up to 90%, are within the population older than 65 years (45). Importantly, this high influenza-related mortality rate in the elderly is not reflective of the overall infection attack rates. Influenza viruses circulate predominantly in children, less so in adults, and to only a very low extent in the elderly population (46–48). A recent study in the Netherlands determined the H1N1 attack rates in children to be 35%, in 20- to 39-year-old individuals to be 6.6%, and in ≥40-year-old individuals to be 2.8% (48). This means that elderly people are not likely to become ill with influenza virus infections, but if they do, they have a high risk of mortality (48), mostly due to immunosenescence and a higher incidence of comorbidities in this age group.

**FIG 5** In vivo efficacy of antistalk antibodies. (A) Day 0 and day 28 serum samples were pooled separately for each age group and intraperitoneally injected into five 6- to 8-week-old BALB/c mice for each pool. Two hours later, mice were challenged with the cH9/1N3 virus. Six days later, lungs were harvested and virus titers in lungs were measured. (B) The virus titers in the lungs of mice that received the children’s prevaccination serum were almost as high as in the naïve challenged mice. The titers were lower in the mice receiving the postvaccination children’s serum. There was virus detectable in the mice that received adult prevaccination sera but not in those receiving adult postvaccination sera. Both the pre- and the postvaccination sera of the elderly individuals were protective in mice. (B) The virus lung titers negatively correlate with the IgG titers against the H1 stalk.
group. Our current study provides a possible explanation for the reduced attack rates in the elderly population. Attack rates in the elderly are low for H1N1, and the rates for H3N2 are moderate (46, 47, 49). Baseline titers of antistalk antibodies against the group 1 stalk (e.g., H1) are high in the elderly populations, while stalk titers against group 2 (e.g., H3) are comparatively lower. Stalk titers and attack rates inversely correlate, and high titers of antistalk antibodies in the elderly population may be one of the factors that provide protection and contribute to lower attack rates. Stalk antibodies against influenza B virus HA are also higher in the elderly, and the attack rate of influenza B virus declines with age (46). As an example, a study by Monto and Sullivan showed that infection rates during an influenza B outbreak were 28 to 35% in children (5 to 14 years) but were much lower in adults (20 to 59 years, 2.5 to 5.2%) and the elderly (≥60 years, 3.1%) (47). However, many other factors might influence the relative protection of the elderly population. The remaining question is whether elderly individuals who get infected with influenza viruses are the ones who have lower-than-average levels of stalk antibodies or whether there might be other confounding factors, like immunosenescence or a higher frequency of underlying disease, that will cause this population cohort to get sick. An alternative explanation may be that IgA stalk titers are important, and these show much higher variation than IgG titers (although they follow the same trend as IgG in terms of age). Individuals with low titers of IgA antistalk antibodies may have a higher risk of contracting a severe influenza virus infection. In contrast to the elderly, children have low baseline stalk titers and also show the highest attack rates. Vaccination of children with a vaccine that induces high antistalk titers might reduce the circulation of the virus in that age group and would most likely indirectly protect the elderly as well (50, 51). Importantly, a strategy in which individuals are vaccinated at a young age to induce high and long-lasting antistalk immune responses would also circumvent the problem of low seroconversion rates in immuno-senescence elderly vaccinees. If individuals maintain their protective titers over time, they will not have to be vaccinated at a later point in their life, when their response to the vaccine would already be suboptimal.

We have shown that the measured antistalk antibodies are functional in vitro, they neutralize virus, and they are also protective in vivo. Importantly, ELISA stalk titers, neutralizing activity, and in vivo protection correlate well. The majority of the induced antistalk antibodies were IgG and IgA antibodies. IgM levels were relatively low and did not—in contrast to IgG and IgA levels—increase with age. IgG1 and IgG3 represented the majority of the stalk-reactive IgG response and IgG2 and IgG4 were mostly below the limit of detection. Interestingly, a portion of the study subjects mounted an IgG2 antibody response against full-length NC99 H1 HA, while such a response was absent for the HA stalk. Furthermore, middle-aged individuals had the best stalk response to the vaccine, while children (low stalk titers) and the elderly (high stalk titers) showed very low induction. It is likely that a solid priming for the stalk domain enhances the ability of the immune system to boost an antistalk response. This priming might be absent in children. In contrast, the lack of a boost in the elderly might be a sign of immuno-senescence. The other possibility is that the ceiling for an antistalk response is already reached. However, anti-group 2 and anti-influenza B HA stalk titers were significantly lower than anti-group 1 stalk titers, making this possibility very unlikely. Interestingly, there was no IgM response to the vaccine in any age group, but it is unlikely that the peak of the IgM response was captured on day 28 postvaccination.

It was surprising that middle-aged individuals mounted a moderately strong response against the stalk domain upon vaccination with a seasonal influenza virus vaccine. A possible explanation may be the nature of the vaccine used in this study. The formulation contained highly purified influenza virus HA protein at a dose three times higher than that in the standard vaccine dose (29). First, the stalk domain might be more exposed in purified HA vaccines than in split-virion vaccines, and this might facilitate interactions between antigen and B cell receptors. Second, the higher vaccine dose given might have enhanced the stalk response as well. Third, the recombinant HA was produced in insect cells, and these cells attach smaller N-linked glycans to proteins than do mammalian cells or avian cells. It has been shown that smaller glycans on HA antigens correlate with a broader immune response, possibly due to a more accessible stalk domain (2, 52–54). All three factors might have contributed to the observed effect.

Finally, these findings are of importance for the development of a stalk-based universal influenza virus vaccine. Many stalk-based vaccine approaches build on preexisting immunity (55, 56). The presence of baseline antistalk immunity present in the middle-aged population suggests that these individuals have been primed and that their antistalk antibodies can potentially be boosted with the right vaccine. In contrast, children might need a prime vaccination with, e.g., a live attenuated influenza virus vaccine before administering a stalk-based vaccine.

In conclusion, we find that stalk-reactive antibody levels increase with age, are protective in vitro and in vivo, and might be associated with lower influenza virus attack rates in elderly individuals. Our findings warrant further investigation of antistalk antibody titers as a possible correlate of protection.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cells, viruses, and proteins.** Madin Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Gibco). DMEM was supplemented with fetal bovine serum (FBS, 10%; HyClone) and a penicillin-streptomycin antibiotics mix (100 U/ml of penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin; Gibco). BTI-TN5B1-4 cells were propagated in serum-free SFM medium (HyClone) in the presence of antibiotics (100 U/ml of penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin; Gibco). The ch9/1N3 virus was grown in 10-day-old embryonated eggs (Charles River Laboratories) as described before (27, 32), and the titer was determined on MDCK cells. This virus features an H9 globular head domain from influenza A/guinea fowl/Hong Kong/WF10/99 virus combined with an H1 stalk domain from A/Puerto Rico/8/34 virus (PR8), an N3 NA from A/swine/Missouri/4296/424/06 virus, and the internal genes from PR8. Recombinant proteins—including H1 from A/New Caledonia/20/99 virus, H5 from A/Northern pintail/WA/4096/14 virus, H6/1 HA (H6 head from A/mallard/Sweden/81/02 virus combined with an H1 PR8 stalk), H5/3 HA (H5 head from A/Vietnam/1203/2004 virus combined with an H3 A/Pert/16/09 stalk) and H5/B HA (H5 head from A/Vietnam/1203/2004 virus with the stalk domain from the B/Florida/04/06 HA)—were expressed in the baculovirus expression system as described before (8, 17, 57, 58).

**Human serum samples.** Human serum samples were provided by Protein Sciences Corporation from trivalent recombinant seasonal influenza HA vaccine trials. The trials were randomized, double-blind, multicenter trials comparing levels of safety and immunogenicity of Flublok recombinant influenza vaccine versus inactivated influenza vaccine or a placebo. All participants received a total of 135 μg of recombinant HA (45 μg per strain). The details for the clinical trials can be found on
ClinicalTrials.gov under the following identifiers: NCT00336453 (children, 6 to 59 months, 40 individuals were included [59]), NCT00539981 (adults, 18 to 49 years, 61 individuals [60]), NCT00395174 (elderly, ≥65 years, 51 individuals [61]). The youngest cohort included 40 children between 6 and 59 months of age (mean, 29 months; 40% female and 60% male), the middle cohort included 61 adults between 18 and 49 years of age (mean, 34 years; 57% female and 43% male), and the oldest cohort included 51 adults 65 years of age and older (mean, 73 years; 55% female and 45% male). Additional information is shown in Table S1 in the supplemental material. Serum samples before vaccination (baseline) and 28 days after vaccination were retrieved sequentially by subject identification number, dependent on the availability of both time points, and analyzed at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, NY, under the Sinai exempt HS number 15-00126 (not a human research determination).

ELISA. Microtiter plates (96 wells) were coated with 50 µl recombinant protein per well diluted in carbonate buffer (0.1 M Na₂CO₃, NaHCO₃, pH 9.4, 50 µl/well) at a concentration of 2 µg/ml overnight at 2 to 5°C. The next day, plates were blocked with phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 (PBS-T), 3% goat serum (Life Technologies), and 0.5% milk powder (blocking solution) for 1 h at room temperature. Serum samples were diluted to a 1:100 starting concentration, followed by 2-fold serial dilutions in blocking solution. After a 2-h incubation, the plates were washed 3 times with PBS-T and 50 µl of secondary antibody diluted in blocking solution added to each well. After 1 h, plates were washed 4 times with PBS-T. Plates were developed for 1 h with SigmaFast o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (OPD) (Sigma), and then the reaction was stopped with 3 M hydrochloric acid. Plates were read at an optical density (OD) at 490 nm, and data were analyzed in Microsoft Excel. Endpoint titer was determined when the reactivity of the diluted sample reached background levels. The following secondary antibodies were used: anti-human IgG (Fab-specific)-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) antibody (Sigma A0293, 1:3,000), anti-human IgA (α-chain-specific)-HRP antibody (Sigma A0295, 1:3,000), anti-human IgM (μ-chain-specific)-HRP antibody (Sigma A6907, 1:3,000), anti-human IgG1 Fc-HRP (SouthernBiotech 9054-05, 1:3,000), anti-human IgG2 Fc-HRP (SouthernBiotech 9060-05, 1:3,000), anti-human IgG3 hinge-HRP (SouthernBiotech 9210-05, 1:3,000), and anti-human IgG4 Fc-HRP (SouthernBiotech 9200-05, 1:10,000). The complete set of serum samples was analyzed in group 1, group 2, and group B. To find differences in IgG subtype specificities of antihemagglutinin head and stalk antibodies, a subset of 20 individuals of each age group were randomly selected and tested for all IgG subtypes against H1 stalk and a full-length H1 protein. For competition ELISAs, we followed the protocol described above, with the modification that plates were incubated after the initial blocking step with murine stalk MAb KB2 (26, 62) as the competitor (100 µl per serum pool). Two hours later, mice were challenged with 9,000 PFU of ch9/1N3 virus (an approximately 0.1 50% lethal dose [LD₅₀]), and their lungs were harvested on day 6 postchallenge. The lungs were homogenized and spun down, and the supernatant was aliquoted and frozen at −80°C. Virus titers were determined in a plaque assay on MDCK cells as previously described (32). All procedures were performed in accordance with the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism. Age groups were compared in a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey multiple-comparison tests. Correlation analysis was performed with a Spearman correlation test. Data are presented as geometric means. Competition ELISA results were compared using a paired t test. Significance is indicated as follows: not significant (ns), P > 0.05; *, P ≤ 0.05; **, P ≤ 0.01; ***, P ≤ 0.001; and ****, P ≤ 0.0001.
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