Prior human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccination prevents recurrent high grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia after definitive surgical therapy: Post-hoc analysis from a randomized controlled trial
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Persistent infection with oncogenic human papillomavirus (HPV) is a pre-requisite for cervical cancer, with women who have already undergone treatment for related cervical lesions representing a high-risk group for the subsequent development of cervical cancer. To date, HPV vaccination is not thought to alter the course of disease in women with prevalent type-specific infections or pre-existing lesions at the time of vaccination. This post-hoc analysis of a randomized controlled trial however shows that women who undergo surgery for cervical lesions after receiving the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine may continue to benefit from vaccination, with a reduced risk of developing subsequent CIN2+.

What’s new?
Persistent infection with oncogenic human papillomavirus (HPV) is a pre-requisite for cervical cancer, with women who have already undergone treatment for related cervical lesions representing a high-risk group for the subsequent development of cervical cancer. To date, HPV vaccination is not thought to alter the course of disease in women with prevalent type-specific infections or pre-existing lesions at the time of vaccination. This post-hoc analysis of a randomized controlled trial however shows that women who undergo surgery for cervical lesions after receiving the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine may continue to benefit from vaccination, with a reduced risk of developing subsequent high-grade cervical disease.

Phase III studies evaluating HPV vaccine efficacy have routinely excluded women with a prior history of colposcopy, making it impossible to prospectively evaluate efficacy in those already having undergone treatment for cervical disease prior to enrolment. As a surrogate, we conducted a post-hoc analysis of the end-of-study data from the PApilloma TRIal against Cancer In young Adults (PATRICIA), to evaluate whether the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine reduced the incidence of subsequent cervical lesions, compared with control (hepatitis A vaccine), among those women who underwent an excisional procedure for a first histopathologically confirmed lesion after vaccination.

Methods
Detailed methods for PATRICIA, a Phase III, randomized, double-blind, controlled, efficacy trial, have been reported previously. The trial is registered with clinicaltrials.gov, identifier NCT00122681. The protocol and other materials were approved by independent ethics committees or institutional review boards.

Participants
Healthy women aged 15–25 years at first vaccination, from 135 centers in 14 countries in Asia Pacific, Europe, Latin America and North America, who reported no more than six lifetime sexual partners before study enrolment were
included, regardless of their HPV DNA status, HPV serostatus or cytology at baseline. Women were excluded if they had a history of colposcopy or colposcopy was planned to evaluate abnormal cervical cytology. Written informed consent/assent was obtained from all participants and/or their parents.

Randomization and masking
Participants were randomly assigned (1:1) to receive the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine (Cervarix®, GSK Vaccines) or a control hepatitis A vaccine (GSK Vaccines) at 0, 1 and 6 months. Both groups were unmasked after the month 48 visit and offered crossover vaccination.

Procedures
Cervical liquid-based cytology samples were collected six-monthly. Samples were tested for HPV DNA using broad-spectrum PCR SPF10-LiPa25 (version 1 based on licensed Innogenetics SPF10 technology; Labo Biomedical Products, Rijswijk, The Netherlands), which tested for 14 oncogenic types (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 66 and 68), and type-specific PCR for HPV-16 and −18,4,19

Cytological examination was performed 12-monthly using the Bethesda 2001 classification system. Colposcopic referral and/or repeat cytology were performed according to a prespecified clinical management algorithm. All lesions were biopsied, and treatment was by excision. Lesion margins were evaluated and if compromised, women were managed according to local medical practice, after which all patients were followed according to the prespecified clinical management algorithm. Visual inspection of the vagina and vulva during gynaecological or colposcopic evaluation was added via protocol amendment, after which suspected vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (VIN) or vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia (VaIN) could result in biopsy, with further management according to local practice. Lesional tissue for all cases of CIN1+, VIN1+ or VaIN1+ was tested for HPV DNA by PCR. Women continued study procedures following cervical therapy with further management according to local practice. Exit colposcopy was performed for all women who had cytologically evident abnormalities (atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance/oncogenic HPV positive by HCII or low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion) in the 12 months preceding, and including the month 48 visit.

Biopsy and excisional treatment specimens were fixed in buffered formalin, paraffin embedded, cut, then following placement onto slides, haematoxylin and eosin stained for microscopy. Slides were first examined by a routine panel of histopathologists at Quest Diagnostics (Teterboro, NJ, USA), who provided the diagnosis used for clinical management. Thereafter, slides with a diagnosis of CIN1+, VIN1+ or VaIN1+ were sent to a second panel of three gynaecological histopathologists, masked to vaccine allocation, for endpoint determination using a majority rule.

Statistical analysis
The end-of-study analysis was conducted once all subjects had completed the month 48 visit. The main endpoint for the current post-hoc analysis was vaccine efficacy against CIN2+ 60 days or more post-surgery, irrespective of HPV DNA type, in women who underwent an excisional procedure [loop electrosurgical excision procedure (LEEP) or cone] for a first cervical lesion. Other endpoints were vaccine efficacy 60 days or more post-surgery against CIN2+ associated with HPV-16 and/or HPV-18 and against CIN1+, VIN1/VaIN1+, cytologically predicted low grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (LSIL) and high grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSIL), irrespective of HPV DNA type or associated with HPV-16 and/or HPV-18.

We selected CIN2+ as the main endpoint for the current post-hoc analysis, since vaccine efficacy against CIN2+ was the prospectively defined primary endpoint for this trial. Whilst CIN2+ is widely accepted as a surrogate marker for cervical cancer vaccine efficacy in studies of prophylactic HPV vaccines,20 CIN3 is a more sensitive predictor as the true precursor lesion to cervical cancer. Although CIN2 is classified as an HSIL according to the Bethesda System, it is a poor predictor of progression.21 Accordingly, the majority of international guidelines protect adolescents below the age of 20 years from treatment of CIN2, because the clinical course of cervical lesions is different in this age group compared with women in the over 25 years’ age group, in addition to the adverse pregnancy outcomes for this younger age group post ablative treatment. This is underscored by our findings that only 3 out of 6 cone specimens contained CIN2+.

The 60-day window was selected with the aim of capturing new rather than residual disease, and for consistency with a similar analysis conducted for the quadrivalent HPV vaccine.22 Sensitivity analyses in which case counting started 30, 90 or 120 days post-surgery were performed. For completeness, as these data have not been presented previously, we also report vaccine efficacy against VIN/VaIN1+ and VIN/VaIN2+ associated with HPV-16 and/or HPV-18 for all women (i.e., not only those who underwent surgical therapy).

Event rates were calculated as the number of cases divided by total follow-up in years for each group and were expressed per 100 person-years. Vaccine efficacy and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using a conditional exact method. Results were considered to support statistically significant vaccine efficacy if estimates and 95% CIs were above zero. Follow-up started the day after the first treatment for cervical lesions and ended at the time the outcome occurred, or at the time of the last sample (up to month 48). For VIN/VaIN endpoints for all women, follow-up started the day after first vaccination and ended at the time the outcome occurred. The numbers of subjects with VIN/VaIN1+ and VIN/VaIN2+ 60 days or more post-surgery were summarized, but statistical analyses were not done due to the small number of cases.
Endpoints were evaluated in the total vaccinated cohort (TVC), which included all women who received at least one dose of vaccine or control and were evaluable for efficacy (i.e., had a baseline PCR or cytology sample and one further sample available).

Statistical analyses were done with SAS version 9.1 and Proc StatXact-7.

Results
Study population
The TVC of 18,644 women (Fig. 1) was a diverse population (Table 1) including women with evidence of current or previous HPV infection, or with abnormal low-grade or high-grade cytology (Table 2), as reported previously. The proportion of women categorized as non-HPV-naïve at baseline (ie, were DNA positive for at least one of 14 oncogenic HPV types investigated and/or were seropositive for HPV-16 or HPV-18 and/or had abnormal cytology results) was 38% in the TVC, 72% in the cohort that received treatment, and 80% in the cohort that subsequently developed CIN2+

Efficacy
Vaccine efficacy against CIN2+ 60 days or more post-surgery was 88.2% (95% CI: 14.8 to 99.7) irrespective of HPV DNA type in the lesion (Table 3). The number of cases of CIN2+ prevented was 1.8 per 100 person-years. There were few cases of HPV-16 and/or HPV-18 CIN2+ and vaccine efficacy for this endpoint was not significant (100% [−63.1 to 100]; Table 3).

The one CIN2+ case in the vaccine group (Case 1: Fig. 1) occurred in a 16-year-old woman who, at baseline, was HPV-16 DNA positive and HPV-16 seropositive, with LSIL predicted by cytology. At six months she had HSIL predicted by cytology and was referred for colposcopy. CIN2 (HPV-68 DNA positive) was diagnosed on punch biopsy. She underwent cone biopsy at 8 months and CIN1 was diagnosed; the margins of the excisional material were disease-free. At 14 months she was referred for colposcopy again and VaIN1 (HPV-39/68 positive)
was diagnosed on punch biopsy. Punch biopsy was repeated at 19 months and CIN2 (HPV-39 positive) and VaIN3 (HPV-68 positive) were diagnosed. She underwent LEEP and was found to have squamous metaplasia (no high risk HPV type detected). No further treatment was done and no abnormality was detected on exit colposcopy at month 60.

In five of the 10 women who developed CIN2+ post-surgery, the HPV genotype found in the new cervical lesion was the same as one of the types found in the first lesion (Cases 4, 6, 7, 8 and 10: Fig. 2; Table 4). The histological margins of excisional material for the first lesion were disease-free for six women (Cases 1, 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9) and compromised for the remaining four (Cases 2, 4, 7 and 10). Two of the women with disease-free histological margins had a new lesion which contained at least one of the HPV genotypes found in the first lesion (Cases 6 and 8). Three of four women with compromised margins (Cases 4, 7 and 10) had at least one of the HPV genotypes found in the first lesion.

Vaccine efficacy was demonstrated against CIN1+ associated with HPV-16 and/or HPV-18 after surgical therapy (100% [26.1 to 100]), but not against CIN1+ irrespective of HPV genotype in the lesion (42.6% [21.1 to 74.1]; Table 3). Significant vaccine efficacy was also shown against LSIL associated with HPV-16 and/or HPV-18 (89.5% [21.6 to 99.8], but not against LSIL irrespective of HPV genotype (30.5% [142.7 to 29.0]; Table 3). There were only a small number of cases of HSIL (four cases irrespective of HPV DNA and one case associated with HPV-16 and/or HPV-18, all in the control group) and significant vaccine efficacy was not attained (Table 3).

Vaccine efficacy against external genital lesions associated with HPV-16 and/or HPV-18 for all women in the TVC, regardless of whether they underwent surgical therapy, was 73.1% (36.3 to 90.1) for VIN/VaIN1+ (seven and 26 cases in

---

**Table 1. Demographic characteristics at baseline**

|                                | TVC\(^1\) (N = 18,644) | Treatment for first lesion during study\(^2\) (N = 454) | Subsequent CIN2+ ≥60 days after treatment\(^3\) (N = 10) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Age in years, mean (SD)        | 20.0 (3.1)               | 21.1 (4.1)                                            | 18.7 (3.3)                                            |
| Race, n (%)                    |                          |                                                       |                                                       |
| Black                          | 693 (3.7)                | 19 (4.2)                                              | 1 (10.0)                                              |
| East and South East Asian      | 4,346 (23.3)             | 13 (2.9)                                              | 2 (20.0)                                              |
| Chinese                        | 1,514 (8.1)              | 1 (0.2)                                               | 0 (0.0)                                               |
| Hispanic                       | 1,330 (7.1)              | 26 (5.7)                                              | 1 (10.0)                                              |
| White/Caucasian                | 10,218 (54.8)            | 334 (73.6)                                            | 6 (60.0)                                              |
| Other                          | 543 (2.9)                | 61 (13.4)                                             | 0 (0.0)                                               |
| Ever had sexual intercourse, n (%) |                        |                                                       |                                                       |
| Yes                            | 15,860 (87.1)            | 432 (95.2)                                            | 10 (100)                                              |
| No                             | 2,359 (12.9)             | 22 (4.8)                                              | 0 (0.0)                                               |
| Missing                        | 425                      | 0                                                     | 0                                                     |
| Number of sexual partners in past year, n (%) | | | |
| 0                              | 586 (3.7)                | 7 (1.6)                                               | 0 (0.0)                                               |
| 1                              | 11,731 (74.1)            | 228 (52.9)                                            | 4 (40.0)                                              |
| 2                              | 2,275 (14.3)             | 114 (26.5)                                            | 2 (20.0)                                              |
| ≥3                             | 1,231 (7.8)              | 82 (19.0)                                             | 4 (40.0)                                              |
| Missing                        | 2821                     | 23                                                    | 0                                                     |
| Smoking status, n (%)          |                          |                                                       |                                                       |
| Never smoked or smoked ≤6 months | 12,789 (70.2)          | 222 (48.9)                                            | 3 (30.0)                                              |
| Smoker for ≥6 months (current or past) | 5,432 (29.8)         | 232 (51.1)                                            | 7 (70.0)                                              |
| Missing                        | 423                      | 0                                                     | 0                                                     |

Where data are missing, percentages are calculated out of available data.
\(^1\)Nine thousand three hundred nineteen women in vaccine group and 9,325 women in control group.
\(^2\)One hundred and ninety women in vaccine group and 264 women in control group had treatment for a first lesion during the study without occurrence of CIN2+ within 60 days of first treatment.
\(^3\)One woman in vaccine group and 9 women in control group had CIN2+ 60 days or more after first treatment.

Abbreviations: CIN2+: cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2 or greater; n (%): number (percentage) of subjects in given category; SD: standard deviation; TVC: total vaccinated cohort.
Table 2. HPV infection and disease status at baseline

|                                   | TVC\(^1\) (N = 18,644) | Treatment for first lesion during study\(^2\) (N = 454) | Subsequent CIN2\(^+\) ≥60 days after treatment\(^3\) (N = 10) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                   | n (%)                   | n (%)                                               | n (%)                                                       |
| HPV-naive\(^4\)                   |                         |                                                      |                                                             |
| Yes                               | 11,644 (62.4)           | 126 (27.8)                                          | 2 (20.0)                                                    |
| No                                | 7,000 (37.5)            | 328 (72.2)                                          | 8 (80.0)                                                    |
| Serostatus at baseline            |                         |                                                      |                                                             |
| HPV-16 seropositive              | 3,099 (16.6)            | 153 (33.7)                                          | 2 (20.0)                                                    |
| HPV-18 seropositive              | 2,149 (11.5)            | 66 (14.5)                                           | 2 (20.0)                                                    |
| Serostatus and DNA status at baseline |                      |                                                      |                                                             |
| HPV-16 seropositive and DNA positive | 536 (2.9)             | 91 (20.0)                                           | 1 (10.0)                                                    |
| HPV-18 seropositive and DNA positive | 190 (1.0)             | 13 (2.9)                                            | 0                                                           |
| Number of DNA positive results\(^5\) at baseline for high risk HPV types |                  |                                                      |                                                             |
| 0 positive results                | 14,861 (79.7)           | 168 (37.0)                                          | 3 (30.0)                                                    |
| 1 positive result                 | 2,472 (13.3)            | 140 (30.8)                                          | 3 (30.0)                                                    |
| 2 positive results                | 865 (4.6)               | 86 (18.9)                                           | 3 (30.0)                                                    |
| ≥3 positive results               | 416 (2.2)               | 60 (13.2)                                           | 1 (10.0)                                                    |
| Missing                           | 30 (0.2)                | 0                                                   | 0                                                           |
| DNA positive\(^5\) at baseline for individual high risk HPV type |              |                                                      |                                                             |
| HPV-16                            | 1,004 (5.4)             | 142 (31.3)                                          | 3 (30.0)                                                    |
| HPV-18                            | 433 (2.3)               | 32 (7.0)                                            | 0                                                           |
| HPV-31                            | 417 (2.2)               | 48 (10.6)                                           | 1 (10.0)                                                    |
| HPV-33                            | 182 (1.0)               | 31 (6.8)                                            | 0                                                           |
| HPV-35                            | 133 (0.7)               | 10 (2.2)                                            | 0                                                           |
| HPV-39                            | 379 (2.0)               | 33 (7.3)                                            | 0                                                           |
| HPV-45                            | 161 (0.9)               | 17 (3.7)                                            | 0                                                           |
| HPV-51                            | 764 (4.1)               | 54 (11.9)                                           | 3 (30.0)                                                    |
| HPV-52                            | 653 (3.5)               | 53 (11.7)                                           | 3 (30.0)                                                    |
| HPV-56                            | 317 (1.7)               | 15 (3.3)                                            | 0                                                           |
| HPV-58                            | 225 (1.2)               | 29 (6.4)                                            | 0                                                           |
| HPV-59                            | 185 (1.0)               | 4 (0.9)                                             | 0                                                           |
| HPV-66                            | 434 (2.3)               | 31 (6.8)                                            | 2 (20.0)                                                    |
| HPV-68                            | 326 (1.8)               | 22 (4.8)                                            | 0                                                           |
| Disease status at baseline        |                         |                                                      |                                                             |
| No disease                        | 16,871 (90.5)           | 268 (59.0)                                          | 4 (40.0)                                                    |
| ASC-US                            | 844 (4.5)               | 63 (13.9)                                           | 1 (10.0)                                                    |
| ASC-H                             | 22 (0.1)                | 13 (2.9)                                            | 1 (10.0)                                                    |
| LSIL                              | 846 (4.5)               | 86 (18.9)                                           | 3 (30.0)                                                    |
| HSIL                              | 58 (0.3)                | 23 (5.1)                                            | 1 (10.0)                                                    |
| AGC                               | 9 (0.0)                 | 1 (0.2)                                             | 0                                                           |

\(^1\)Nine thousand three hundred and nineteen women in vaccine group and 9,325 women in control group.

\(^2\)One hundred ninety women in vaccine group and 264 women in control group had treatment for a first lesion during the study without occurrence of CIN2\(^+\) within 60 days of first treatment.

\(^3\)One woman in vaccine group and 9 women in control group had CIN2\(^+\) 60 days or more after first treatment.

\(^4\)Women who were DNA negative for all 14 of the oncogenic HPV types investigated, seronegative for HPV-16 and HPV-18, and had normal cytology at baseline.

\(^5\)HPV DNA positive by PCR.

Abbreviations: AGC: atypical glandular cells; ASC-H: atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude HSIL; ASC-US: atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; CIN2\(^+\): cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2 or greater; HPV: human papillomavirus; HSIL: high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; LSIL: low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; n (%): number (percentage) of subjects in given category; TVC: total vaccinated cohort.
vaccine and control groups, respectively) and 54.5% (42.0 to 87.6) for VIN/VaIN2 (five and 11 cases, respectively).

The number of women in vaccine and control groups with external genital lesions 60 days or more after surgical therapy, regardless of HPV DNA, was seven vs. four for VIN/VaIN1 and one vs. one for VIN/VaIN2, respectively. These were all VaIN lesions and no VIN was reported. The one subject in the vaccine group classified as having VaIN2 after surgery had VaIN3 (HPV-68 DNA positive) and CIN2 (HPV-39 DNA positive; Case 1: Table 4; Fig. 2).

In sensitivity analyses in which case counting started 30, 90 or 120 days post-surgery, estimates of vaccine efficacy were generally similar to when counting started 60 days post-surgery (Supporting Information Table S1).

Discussion
In this post-hoc analysis, we show that women who undergo surgical therapy after vaccination with the HPV-16/18 vaccine may continue to benefit due to a reduction in the risk of developing new or recurrent CIN2+. In the vaccine group, women who had been diagnosed and treated for a first cervical lesion were protected against subsequent CIN2+ associated with HPV-16 and/or HPV-18, with no new cases detected. However, there was an effect over and above protection against vaccine types, with efficacy of 88% against subsequent CIN2+, regardless of causal HPV type. The HPV-16/18 vaccine has consistently shown cross-protective efficacy against certain non-vaccine oncogenic HPV types (i.e., HPV-31, -33, -45 and -51), and thus cross-protection is likely to contribute to the high efficacy observed in our analysis.

We did not show vaccine efficacy against subsequent CIN1+ irrespective of HPV type, as the majority of low-grade lesions detected after surgical therapy were associated with non-vaccine HPV types, but we did show significant efficacy against CIN1+ associated with vaccine HPV types. The vaccine was not efficacious in preventing subsequent LSIL irrespective of HPV type, but significantly reduced LSIL.

| Endpoint | Interval since surgery for first lesion | HPV type in lesion | Group   | N   | Cases | Rate (95% CI) | Efficacy (95% CI) |
|----------|----------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|-----|-------|---------------|------------------|
| CIN2+    | ≥60 days                               | Irrespective of HPV DNA | Vaccine | 190 | 1     | 0.24 (0.01–1.32) | 88.2% (14.8 to 99.7) |
|          |                                        |                    | Control | 264 | 9     | 2.01 (0.92–3.81) |                  |
|          |                                        | HPV-16/18          | Vaccine | 190 | 0     | 0.00 (0.00–0.87)  | 100% (−63.1 to 100)|
|          |                                        |                    | Control | 265 | 4     | 0.87 (0.24–2.24)  |                  |
| CIN1+    | ≥60 days                               | Irrespective of HPV DNA | Vaccine | 190 | 12    | 2.91 (1.50–5.08)  | 42.6% (−21.1 to 74.1) |
|          |                                        |                    | Control | 264 | 22    | 5.07 (3.18–7.68)  |                  |
|          |                                        | HPV-16/18          | Vaccine | 190 | 0     | 0.00 (0.00–0.87)  | 100% (26.1 to 100) |
|          |                                        |                    | Control | 265 | 7     | 1.55 (0.62–3.19)  |                  |
| LSIL     | ≥60 days                               | Irrespective of HPV DNA | Vaccine | 101 | 27    | 13.40 (8.83–19.50) | −30.5% (−142.7 to 29.0) |
|          |                                        |                    | Control | 110 | 21    | 10.27 (6.36–15.70)|                  |
|          |                                        | HPV-16/18          | Vaccine | 160 | 1     | 0.29 (0.01–1.61)  | 89.5% (21.6 to 99.8) |
|          |                                        |                    | Control | 163 | 8     | 2.75 (1.19–5.41)  |                  |
| HSIL     | ≥60 days                               | Irrespective of HPV DNA | Vaccine | 159 | 0     | 0.00 (0.00–1.04)  | 100% (−59.4 to 100) |
|          |                                        |                    | Control | 215 | 4     | 1.07 (0.29–2.74)  |                  |
|          |                                        | HPV-16/18          | Vaccine | 174 | 0     | 0.00 (0.00–0.95)  | 100% (−3950.4 to 100) |
|          |                                        |                    | Control | 234 | 1     | 0.25 (0.01–1.38)  |                  |

1Incidence rate of women reporting at least one event per 100-person years.

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; CIN: cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; HPV: human papillomavirus; HSIL: high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; LSIL: low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; N: number of women in each group who underwent surgery for a first cervical lesion and who did not have the specified event within 60 days after treatment of the first cervical lesion. Cases: number of women with at least one event at least 60 days after treatment for a first cervical lesion.
associated with HPV-16 and/or HPV-18. It has previously been documented that many low-grade cervical lesions will regress spontaneously without intervention, whereas HPV-16 and HPV-18 infections have a propensity for persistence and progression to CIN2+ compared with some other onco-
gen types. Our results are generally in line with data published for the licensed quadrivalent HPV-6/11/16/18 vaccine (Gardasil, Merck & Co), which, in a post-hoc analysis similar to the one we conducted, was shown to reduce the frequency of subsequent CIN2+ 60 days or more after surgery irrespective of HPV type by 65% (20 to 86%). Additionally, a recent prospective, nonrandomized study conducted in Korea showed that administration of the quadrivalent HPV vaccine commencing one week after treatment by LEEP for CIN2+ may prevent disease recurrence, with significantly fewer vaccinated than nonvaccinated women having recurrence of CIN2+ (2.5% [9/360] vs. 7.2% [27/377], p < 0.01).

Rates of recurrence of histologically proven CIN2+ after treatment for a previous high-grade cervical lesion vary from center to center and are influenced by a number of factors including initial diagnosis, age, treatment type and duration of follow-up. However, the rate of 3.4% (9 of 264 women) observed in the control group of PATRICIA is broadly similar to recurrence rates observed in previous studies. We found that most women who developed CIN2+ post-
treatment in our cohort (and in a similar evaluation of the quadrivalent HPV-6/11/16/18 vaccine) had evidence of exposure to high-risk HPV at baseline before vaccination.

The precise mechanism of action for protection against subsequent cervical lesions in women who have had surgery for a first lesion is not known. The vaccine would be expected to provide protection against de novo HPV infection with vaccine HPV types and some cross-protection against non-vaccine HPV types. An additional potential mechanism is that for women who had been previously infected with HPV (with naturally acquired immunity, but with no HPV DNA detected), boosting the natural immune response by vaccination may keep the virus in check, preventing it from becoming an active productive viral infection with subsequent lesion development. Support for this theory comes from Phase III vaccine trials, which show that women who had no HPV DNA detected, but who had a naturally acquired serological response, were less likely to develop lesions than those who were DNA and antibody-positive.

It should be recognized that there is a difference between the incidence and regression rates of HPV and/or CIN in young women as compared to women older than 25 years age. Therefore, while the biology of HPV and CIN lesions is identical irrespective of age, there is a difference in the natural history for outcomes with respect to age. This is well exemplified by the Costa Rican natural history study, where
### Table 4. Clinical and virological characteristics for women who had CIN2+ 60 days or more after surgical therapy for cervical disease

| Case (Age) | Baseline serostatus | HPV-16 | HPV-18 | Time | Cytology | HPV DNA | Time/biopsy or treatment/diagnosis (high risk HPV DNA by PCR) | Second lesion |
|------------|---------------------|--------|--------|------|----------|---------|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| **Vaccine group** |                      |        |        |      |          |         |                                                             |              |
| 1 (16 y)   | Positive            | Negative| M0     | LSIL | HPV-16   |         | M6/punch/CIN2 (HPV-68)                                      |              |
|            |                     |         | M6     | HSIL | HPV-68   |         | M12/LSIL/HPV-68                                            |              |
|            |                     |         | M12    | LSIL | HPV-68   |         | M18/HSIL/HPV-39/52/68                                       |              |
|            |                     |         | M18    | HSIL | HPV-39/52/68|       | M18/HPV-39/52/68                                           |              |
| 2 (17 y)   | Negative            | Negative| M0     | ASC-H| HPV-51/52|         | M0/punch/CIN2 (HPV-52)                                      |              |
|            |                     |         | M6     | LSIL | HPV-16   |         | M12/Normal/HPV-16                                           |              |
|            |                     |         | M12    | Normal| HPV-16   |         | M18/Normal/HPV-31                                           |              |
|            |                     |         | M18    | Normal| HPV-31   |         | M24/Normal/HPV-31                                           |              |
|            |                     |         | M24    | Normal| HPV-31   |         | M30/Normal/None                                              |              |
|            |                     |         | M30    | Normal| None     |         | M36/Normal/None                                              |              |
|            |                     |         | M36    | Normal| None     |         | M48/Normal/None                                              |              |
| 3 (18 y)   | Negative            | Negative| M0     | Normal| HPV-31/52|         | M17/Normal/HPV-31                                           |              |
|            |                     |         | M18    | HSIL  | HPV-16/52|         | M24/Normal/HPV-33                                           |              |
|            |                     |         | M24    | LSIL  | HPV-33/52/68|       | M30/Missing/HPV-33                                          |              |
|            |                     |         | M30    | Missing| HPV-33  |         | M32/Normal/HPV-33                                           |              |
Table 4. Clinical and virological characteristics for women who had CIN2+ 60 days or more after surgical therapy for cervical disease (Continued)

| Case (Age) | Baseline serostatus | Cytology | HPV DNA | Time/biopsy or treatment/diagnosis (high risk HPV DNA by PCR) | Second lesion |
|------------|---------------------|----------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
|            | HPV-16 | HPV-18 | Time | Cytology | HPV DNA | Time/biopsy or treatment/diagnosis (high risk HPV DNA by PCR) |               |
|            |        |        |     |          |         | M32/LEEP/CIN3 (compromised margins) (HPV-33)                  |               |
|            |        |        |     | Normal   | HPV-59   | M36/punch/CIN3 (HPV-16)                                      |               |
|            |        |        |     | Normal   | HPV-59   | M39/cone/CIN1 (disease-free margins) (no high risk HPV type detected) |               |
|            |        |        |     | ASC-US N | HPV-33/52 |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        |     | Negative | Negative |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        |     | LSIL     | HPV-16   |                                                                  |               |
| 4          | (19 y) |        | M0  | Normal   | HPV-16   |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M12 | Normal   | HPV-16   |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M18 | Missing  | HPV-16   |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M24 | Normal   | HPV-16/52 |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M30 | HSIL     | HPV-16/52 |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M36 | HSIL     | HPV-16   |                                                                  | M36/punch/CIN3 (HPV-16) |
|            |        |        | M48 | Normal   | None      |                                                                  | M39/cone/CIN1 (disease-free margins) (no high risk HPV type detected) |
| 5          | (24 y) |        | M0  | Normal   | None      |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M6  | Missing  | HPV-45/52 |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M12 | LSIL     | HPV-45/52 |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M18 | HSIL     | HPV-45/52 |                                                                  | M16/punch/CIN1 (HPV-45/52) |
|            |        |        | M24 | Normal   | None      |                                                                  | M19/cone/CIN1 (disease-free margins) (HPV-45) |
|            |        |        | M30 | Normal   | HPV-33    |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M36 | ASC-US P | HPV-33    |                                                                  | M49/punch/CIN3 (HPV-33) |
|            |        |        | M42 | LSIL     | HPV-33    |                                                                  | Cone treatment was not needed. Follow-up cytology was normal. |
|            |        |        | M48 | LSIL     | HPV-33    |                                                                  |               |
| 6          | (16 y) |        | M0  | Normal   | None      |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M6  | Missing  | HPV-51    |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M12 | Normal   | HPV-51    |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M18 | Missing  | HPV-51    |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M24 | Normal   | None      |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M30 | Missing  | HPV-58    |                                                                  |               |
|            |        |        | M36 | ASC-US P | HPV-16/45/58 |                                                              | M40/punch/CIN2 (HPV-16/58/68) |

Follow-up cytology was normal.
Table 4. Clinical and virological characteristics for women who had CIN2+ 60 days or more after surgical therapy for cervical disease (Continued)

| Case (Age) | Baseline serostatus | HPV-16 | HPV-18 | Time | Cytology | HPV DNA | First lesion | Time/biopsy or treatment/diagnosis (high risk HPV DNA by PCR) | Second lesion |
|------------|---------------------|--------|--------|------|----------|---------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| M42        | LSIL                | HPV-16/45/58 |       |       |          |         |              | M41/LEEP/CIN2 (disease-free margins) (HPV-16/58)            |              |
| M48        | LSIL                | HPV-16/68 |       |       |          |         |               | M47/punch/CIN2 (HPV-16/68)                                 |              |
| 7          | Negative Positive  | M0 LSIL | HPV-16/51/66 | M6   | ASC-US P | HPV-16/33/51/66 | M/punch/CIN1 (no high risk HPV type detected) | Followed up according to local practice. Colposcopy was done approximately 2 weeks later (outside of the study). |
| 8          | Negative Negative  | M0 ASC-US N | None | M6   | Missing  | HPV-16/51 | M/punch/CIN3 (HPV-16) | M17/punch/CIN2 (HPV-51)                                       |
| 9          | Negative Negative  | M0 Normal | HPV-52 | M42  | Normal   | HPV-52 |              | M48/exit colposcopy was negative and no treatment was done |
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| Case (Age) | Baseline serostatus | Cytology | HPV DNA | First lesion | Time/biopsy or treatment/diagnosis (high risk HPV DNA by PCR) | Second lesion | Time/biopsy or treatment/diagnosis (high risk HPV DNA by PCR) |
|------------|---------------------|----------|---------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| (16 y)     |                     |          |         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M6         | Missing             | None     | None    |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M12        | LSIL                | HPV-39/58|         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M18        | LSIL                | HPV-16/56/58|       |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M24        | LSIL                | HPV-16/39/66|       |              | M25/punch/CIN2 (HPV-16)                                      |              |                                                               |
| M30        | Normal              | HPV-68   |         |              | M27/LEEP/CIN2 (HPV-16/39) and CIN3 (disease-free margins) (HPV-16/45) |              |                                                               |
| M36        | ASC-US P            | HPV-51/68|         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M42        | LSIL                | HPV-51/68|         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M48        | Normal              | HPV-51   |         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| 10 Positive| Positive            | Positive |         | M4/punch/CIN3 (HPV-45/51)                                |              |                                                               |
| (25 y)     |                     |          |         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M6         | Missing             | HPV-45/51|         | M6/LEEP/CIN3 (compromised margins) (HPV-51)                 |              |                                                               |
| M12        | ASC-US P            | HPV-45/51|         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M18        | Missing             | HPV-51   |         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M24        | LSIL                | HPV-51   |         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M30        | Missing             | HPV-51   |         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M36        | HSIL                | HPV-51   |         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M42        | Normal              | None     |         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |
| M48        | Normal              | None     |         |              |                                                               |              |                                                               |

Abbreviations: ASC-H: atypical squamous cells cannot exclude high-grade squamous epithelial lesion; ASC-US: atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; CIN: cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; HSIL: high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; LEEP: loop electrosurgical excision procedure; LSIL: low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; M: month; N: negative for oncogenic HPV DNA by hybrid capture II; P: positive for oncogenic HPV DNA by hybrid capture II; y: years.
they found that the rate of new HPV infections declined with age.\textsuperscript{31} Interim results from an ongoing study of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in women older than 25 years showed that vaccine efficacy was similar in women who were seropositive and DNA-negative at baseline compared with those who were both DNA-negative and seronegative.\textsuperscript{32} The risk however of progression of HPV infection to CIN2+ in women >25 years in this study was similar to that in women 15–25 years in PATRICIA.\textsuperscript{33}

The mechanism may relate to vaccine boosting of cellular adaptive and innate immune responses, as shown by the efficacy of the HPV-16/18 vaccine against genital warts primarily due to HPV-6/11,\textsuperscript{34} and recurrent respiratory papillomatosis due to HPV-6/11 (AM Kaufmann, personal communication, 2013). Vaccination induces a strong TH1 helper T-cell response against the vaccine L1 antigen that is cross-reactive with non-vaccine type L1. T-cells are also induced to other HPV antigens such as E6, supporting reversal of tolerance and kick starting a broad immune response. In addition, previous studies reported therapeutic vaccination as an excellent method to stimulate the immune system. In a phase I/II clinical trial evaluating the use of MVA E2 recombinant vaccinia virus in treating CIN1, CIN2 and CIN3 lesions associated with HPV infection, cells cytotoxic to HPV-transformed cells, and the generation of antibodies against MVA E2, correlated with the regression of lesions and reduction of HPV viral load in all MVA E2-treated patients.\textsuperscript{35} A recent study, the first therapeutic vaccine, VGX-3100 composed of synthetic plasmids targeting HPV-16 and HPV-18 E6 and E7 proteins, is encouraging, but has not yet shown efficacy against CIN2/3 associated with HPV-16 and HPV-18.\textsuperscript{36} The mechanism of action of the vaccine does not only involve antibodies, but also cell-mediated immunity. A strength of our study is that we have detailed information on margin status of excisional material for each woman treated for a first cervical lesion who subsequently developed lesions post-surgery. Three of the four women with compromised margins had subsequent cervical lesions associated with at least one of the HPV genotypes found in the original lesion, suggesting residual disease.

However, our analysis has some limitations. PATRICIA was not designed to evaluate the effects of vaccination post-treatment and this was a post-hoc analysis. Women with a prior history of colposcopy were excluded from PATRICIA, so we were unable to evaluate vaccine efficacy in women who underwent treatment for HPV-related disease prior to vaccination. As a surrogate, we identified women who received surgery for a first cervical lesion during the study and investigated the impact of vaccination on any subsequent lesions postsurgery, but the subset of women who underwent surgery was not a randomized group. Due to the efficacy of the vaccine in preventing a first occurrence of cervical disease, more subjects were referred for colposcopy and treatment in the control group than the vaccine group, which introduced bias into the analysis. The two groups were not necessarily comparable for baseline characteristics as women in the vaccine group would be expected to have fewer lesions associated with HPV types −16 and −18. Furthermore, due to the relatively small number of women who had surgical therapy and then subsequently experienced new or recurrent disease, our analysis has limited statistical power. We were unable to reliably estimate vaccine efficacy against subsequent VIN/ValN due to the small number of observed cases. Visual inspection of the vagina and vulva was introduced via a protocol amendment late in the study and external genital lesions did not need to be biopsied.

Finally, women included in this study were younger than those included in most screening programs today (≥ 20 years of age). While current vaccination programs aim primarily at adolescent girls and young women, older women are typically offered screening and cytology. As noted for those naïve to vaccine-relate HPV infections, vaccine efficacy is not age dependent. Studies of HPV vaccination in women aged up to 55 years have shown a protection of ~90% against HPV-16/18 infections for those naïve to these infections. Based on this, the recently published HPV-FASTER concept considers expanding routine vaccination programmes to women of up to 45 years of age, along with at least one HPV DNA-screening tests at the age of 30.\textsuperscript{37} Vaccination in older women might not be cost-efficient until current vaccine prices decline substantially. However, expanding the indications for HPV vaccination and adapting HPV screening programs among older women could potentially reduce cervical cancer incidence, and decrease the burden to health-care systems more quickly, particularly in countries from Central and Eastern Europe, Latin America, Asia and some more-developed parts of Africa where screening is nonexistent or not effective.

An adequately powered, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, delivering the vaccine or control after treatment for CIN3, would ideally be needed to overcome the above-mentioned potential biases and to estimate the true efficacy of HPV vaccination after treatment in preventing recurrence of high-grade cervical disease. Trials on CIN3+ may be not feasible given the number of subjects to complete, but those countries with high coverage of vaccines and good surveillance (comprehensive cytology and HPV DNA registries) should be able to answer these questions with time.\textsuperscript{22,28}

When unvaccinated women present for colposcopy and/or treatment, gynaecologists should actively seek to vaccinate them. Indeed, all sexually active adult women should be encouraged to have the vaccine regardless of whether they have had a cervical abnormality as they can benefit, with the proviso that such women will continue to need active screening (HPV DNA or cytologically).\textsuperscript{38–40} Reducing the incidence of recurrent and/or residual lesions in women who have undergone ablative or excisional treatment would be expected to lead to fewer repeat treatments and associated hospital
visits and a reduction in the negative psychological sequelae and potential obstetric consequences of repeated treatment.
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