Our experiences with a single injection axillary block technique
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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: Axillary plexus block is one of the widely used technique for upper extremity surgery. In this study, we retrospectively evaluated the single injection axillary plexus block technique we used in our routine anesthesia practice, between August 2010-March 2011.

METHODS: Medical records of ASA I-III, 40 17 female and 23 male patients who underwent elective single injection axillary block performed by neurostimulation technique in elective distal part of the arm, forearm and hand surgeries were evaluated, retrospectively. Axillary block was performed with a nerve stimulator, and a 22 G, 50 mm insulated needle. The needle was inserted immediately superior to axillary artery, advanced through the lateral border of the pectoralis major muscle and to the most proximal part of the axilla. The local anesthetic mixture (1% lidocaine 20 ml + 0.25% bupivacaine 15 ml) was injected to the place (point) where the distal motor responses of the median and ulnar nerves were elicited at the same time, before dropping the stimulation current down to 0.5 mA. In our study, demographic data, motor and sensory block times, the success rate and the complications of the block were evaluated.

RESULTS: The mean block performance time was 1.21±0.39 min in our 40 patients. The onset time of the motor block was 14.20±4.96 min and the sensory block was 17.19±2.71 min, respectively. The success rate of the block was 97.5 percent. No complication was found during 24 hours postoperatively. The sensory and motor functions returned properly in all patients.

CONCLUSION: In our study we found that the single injection axillary block technique was easy to perform with its higher success and lower complication rates. Therefore we concluded that axillary block should be supported in appropriate cases.
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Peripheral nerve blocks are frequently used techniques in upper, and lower extremity surgery. For upper extremity surgery, brachial plexus block is preferred due to its advantages as application with ease with lower complication rates, and shorter hospital stay [1, 2, 3]. Various approaches can be used for brachial plexus block. Dependent on the surgical field, and individual experiences, choice of type of
the block varies [3, 4]. Axillary approach to brachial plexus provides adequate anesthesia in the surgeries performed on the distal arm, and hand. Besides, thanks to its ease of application, and reliability, its use is becoming more prevalent [2, 5, 6, 7].

For the determination of the location of brachial plexus, loss of resistance, transarterial injection, paresthesia, neurostimulation, and ultrasonographic techniques can be used [2, 4]. Thus brachial plexus can be blocked using single, double or multiple injections [2, 4, 5]. For a successful block local anesthetic solution should be injected into the nerve sheath, rather than directly into the nerve. In the axillary region, brachial plexus divides into median, radial, and ulnar nerves, however all of these nerves are contained in the same neurovascular sheath [2, 8].

In our study, we aimed to evaluate retrospectively axillary block method using neurostimulation method applied with a single dose of the anesthetic agent injected into the region where a combined distal motor response of median, and ulnar nerves is elicited.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

After the informed consent of the patient was obtained, axillary block evaluations of 40 ASA I-III patients aged >17 years who had undergone surgical interventions on the distal 1/3 of the arm, forearm, and hand in the operative room of the clinic of orthopedics, between August 2010, and March 2011 were analyzed retrospectively. We have detected that the patients with a body mass index of < 40 kg/m² without any contraindication for regional anesthesia, neurological, and psychiatric disorder, bleeding diathesis, and allergy to local anesthetics had received axillary block.

In the preoperative preparation room, in patients who will receive peripheral block, peripheral vascular access is opened using an 18-20 G catheter, and for premedication IV midazolam is administered at a dose of 0.03 mg/kg. In our axillary block applications, the arm to be intervened is brought into 90° abduction with the body, and elbow into 90° flexion. Following sterilization, and local anesthesia, axillary artery is palpated in the axillary fossa to the most proximal to the junction of pectoralis major, and coracobrachial muscles (Figure 1). Just over the axillary artery towards the direction of the brachial plexus using a peripheral nerve stimulator (Stimuplex HNS11; B.Braun Medical, Germany), and 50 mm 22 G insulated needle (Stimuplex, DB Braun Medikal) stimulation current at 1 mA with a frequency of 2 Hz is delivered for 0.1 ms to find the point where combined distal motor response of median, and ulnar nerves is elicited. On the point

**Figure 1. Injection site in axillary block.**
requirement for an additional analgesic, and patient’s satisfaction. For statistical analysis, SPSS for Windows package program was used. Results were expressed as means plus standard deviation.

RESULTS

Demographic data, and types of operations are shown in Table 1. In our case axillary block performance time was 1.21±0.39 min. Onset times of motor (14.20±4.96 min) and sensory block (17.19±2.71 min) were also determined (Table 2).

In 7 (17.5%) patients with inadequate analgesia, fentanyl had been used, and in cases with persistent pain (n=6; 15%), propofol was used to achieve additional analgesia. One patient (2.5%) whose ad-
ditional analgesia, and sedation were inadequate, was switched to general anesthesia. Patient satisfaction was rated as good (n=38; 95%), and moderate (n=2; 5%). None of our patients’ satisfaction levels was rated as bad, and very bad (Table 3). In 97.5% of the patients blocks were achieved successfully with additional analgesics, and sedation without switching to general anesthesia.

Tourniquets were applied intraoperatively in 32 out of 40 patients without inducing pain. Motor block levels were recorded as incomplete (n=39), and complete (n=1). In 3 patients during application of block, vascular puncture was required which did not interrupted the procedure. Any other complication did not develop. Since the patients were hospitalized during resolution of the block, block recovery time could not be evaluated.

Within the first 24 hours following application of block, any complication including hypotension, arrhythmias, nausea, paresthesia, and did not occur, and sensory, and motor functions of all patients recovered without any problem.

### DISCUSSION

Axillary approach to the brachial plexus is a frequently used technique thanks to its easy applicability, close vicinity of the nerves to vascular structures, and lower complication rates [2, 3, 6]. Success in axillary block, depends on injection of the local anesthetic solution to the point nearest to the targeted nerve, concentration, and volume of the anesthetic solution used. Ease, and rapidity of application, and lower complication rates are targeted [7].

Our median block performance time was 1.21 minutes. In publications on multiple injection techniques, block performance times for double, and triple-injection techniques have been reported as 5-6, and 8-13 minutes, respectively [6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Single-injection technique can be evaluated as more advantageous relative to multiple injection techniques in that it has a shorter performance times, and its application is more comfortable both for the patient, and the physician.

In an arm at 90° abduction, median, and ulnar superficial, radial, and musculocutaneous nerves moves into deeper structures [2, 15]. Most frequently, when compared with the axillary artery, median nerve is situated more laterally, and ulnar nerve is found at an anteromedial location. While radial nerve is adjacent to posterior aspect of the axillary artery. However various studies have demonstrated potential variations in their anatomical locations [1, 15, 16]. Retzl et al. performed ultrasound-guided studies with volunteers, and demonstrated that location of the nerves in the axillary region can be variable, and these nerves can leave the axillary sheath at the distal end of the pectoralis minor muscle [16]. Thus, they emphasized the need to perform the nerve block, as far as possible, from the most proximal part of the axillary region.

Combined use of short-, and long-acting anesthetic agents reportedly shortens block onset time [4]. In our applications, we also used short-, and long-acting anesthetic agents in combination, and injected anesthetic agent as far as possible- into the most proximal part of the axillary region. With this approach, sensory block onset time was 17.18 minutes. In multiple injection techniques, sensory block onset times have been reported to vary between 15, and 18 minutes. [12, 14, 17]. This time interval appears to comply with our sensory block onset time we achieved with single-injection method. In the axillary block technique, success rates changing between 60, and 100% have been reported [3, 4, 6, 10, 14, 16].

### Table 3. Number of patients who received additional analgesics, and sedation, and those switched to general anesthesia

|                        | n  | %   |
|------------------------|----|-----|
| Additional analgesic Fentanyl | 7  | 17.5 |
| Sedation               | 6  | 15  |
| General anesthesia     | 1  | 2.5 |
| Patient satisfaction   |    |     |
| Good                   | 38 | 95  |
| Moderate               | 2  | 5   |
| Dissatisfied           | 0  | 0   |
| Extremely dissatisfied | 0  | 0   |
Many reports have indicated that neurovascular region septas within the axillary sheath prevented spread of the local analgesic agents which can cause induction of incomplete blocks [15, 19, 20]. However irrefutable data are lacking about the impact of septas on the spread of local anesthetic agents and whether they prevent distribution of local anesthetic agents or not [15, 21]. In fact, Partridge et al. injected methylene blue into the axillary sheath of cases with axillary septas, and demonstrated staining of all of medial, ulnar, and radial nerves. [21]. They indicated that owing to the communications between compartments amidst septas, multiple injections are unnecessary in order to achieve axillary block. In their randomized studies, Liu et al. compared single, and double-injection methods using ultrasonographic guidance or nerve stimulator, and could not demonstrate any difference between groups as for block success rates [13]. They revealed that as determinative factors in the success rates of various nerve blocks, the injection point within the sheath of the brachial plexus, the level of injection might be more important than the technique of multiple injections. They advocated that different rates of block quality, and success reported in their studies could be related to the site, and level of the injections.

In studies where local anesthetic agents more than 30 ml were used, better drug distribution in the axillary region with higher success rates have been demonstrated [22, 23]. Besides, as reported in the literature, local anesthetic agent applied lateral to the median nerve spreads along the axillary artery and easily blocks the musculocutaneous nerve [11, 13, 24]. Still in proportion with the time elapsed after the injection, due to the spread of local anesthetic agent within the axillary sheath, the quality of radial nerve block conceivably increases [11, 13].

Our single-injection block technique we applied in our procedures was very successful (97.5%). Our higher success rate can be attributed to our selection of injection site as the most proximal part of the axillary region, the level of the block, and the volume of injection solution used. We think that with this approach, nerves in the brachial plexus or those leaving the sheath of the plexus at the level of coracoid processus were blocked which increased our success rates.

In conclusion, single –injection axillary block technique which is applied on the region where distal motor responses of median, and ulnar nerves to the neurostimulation (the region innervated both of these two terminal branches of the brachial plexus) is elicited at the same time can be evaluated as a safe method in that it has a shorter block performance time with easily applied technique, lower complication, and higher success rate, and favourable patient satisfaction levels. Therefore we have concluded that axillary nerve block should be brought into agenda, and its use should be encouraged in suitable cases.
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