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Abstract

Purpose: To present our experience on uterine artery embolization performed in patients with uterine fibroids.

Materials and methods: Thirty-two patients (mean age, 37 years) with symptomatic fibroids who underwent uterine artery embolization in our clinic between July 2014 and May 2015 were retrospectively analyzed. Uterine and fibroid volumes were determined by T1-weighted MR images. The change in symptoms after procedure and the severity of postprocedural pain were assessed by patients.

Results: Median follow-up period was 23 months (range 21-30 months). None of patients had menorrhagia, 79% had less menstrual pain, and 82% had less or none abdominal bloating or swelling during follow-up. The mean volumes of uterus and largest fibroid decreased 55% and 66% after embolization, respectively. The rate of patients who satisfied with the procedure was 97%. Majority of women (81.3%) had severe pain which lasted for 4.0 ± 3.0 days. They returned to daily activities within 5.0 ± 1.1 days, and to work within 7.0 ± 2.1 days. None of patients had persisting discharge, permanent amenorrhea, or infective complications.

Conclusion: Uterine artery embolization is an effective and safe treatment alternative for symptomatic uterine fibroids provided that an extensive clinical and radiological evaluation is performed. Early postprocedural period can be painful, but pain resolves fast.
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Introduction

Uterine fibroids (leiomyomata uteri) are the most common benign tumors of the uterus that present in more than half of women at the age of 40 and have a peak in the 5th decade [1,2]. Symptomatic fibroids can cause prolonged bleeding, bulk-related symptoms, pain and subfertility [3]. The standard treatment for symptomatic uterine fibroids is hysterectomy or myomectomy.

Arterial embolization is a technique for treatment of uterine fibroids which is used since 1990’s [4]. The studies showed that uterine artery embolization is an effective treatment against uterine fibroid symptoms in 80-94% of patients [5,6]. It is known that all types of fibroids (submucosal, subserosal and intramural) receive benefit from embolization [7]. It is a minimally invasive procedure with similar outcomes and lower rate of major complications compared to those of myomectomy [7,8]. The results of several comparative randomized studies suggested that uterine artery embolization should be considered as an option for women with symptomatic fibroids [3,7].

In this study we aimed to present our experience and 2-year follow-up data on uterine artery embolization performed in patients with uterine fibroids in our clinic. There are similar studies have been conducted but our result about menorrhagia is unique.

Materials and Methods

Study design and population

Thirty-eight consecutive patients with symptomatic fibroids who underwent uterine artery embolization in our clinic between July 2014 and May 2015 were retrospectively analyzed. Patients having one or more of fibroid symptoms, which are heavy menstrual bleeding: pelvic, back, flank or leg pain or pelvic pressure; and urinary frequency were included in the study. Exclusion criteria were gynecological cancer, pregnancy, perimenopause symptoms, pedunculated subserous leiomyomata and fibroids with a diameter longer than 12 cm. All of the patients were examined by gynecologists and besides digital pelvic examination, liquid-based cytology and HPV DNA tests were done to screen for cervical precancerous lesions. Transvaginal ultrasonography was used to support digital pelvic examination to evaluate adnexial regions. Patients with an endometrial thickness above 5 mm and no suspicious submucosal fibroids that disturb endometrial intactness were further evaluated by endometrial sampling. Women with an endometrium thicker than 12 mm were sampled routinely. Six patients were excluded from the study according to the exclusion criteria. Four patients had complex endometrial hyperplasia, one had a pedunculated subserous fibroid of 8 cm diameter and the last one had a multiloculated heterogenous lesion in left adnexial region besides fibroids. Six patients excluded from the study according to the exclusion criteria. Thirty-two patients (mean age, 37 years; age range, 45-49 years) are included in the study.

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee (2016/18-5, 10.11.2016), and all patient gave informed consent for the treatment.

Procedure of uterine arterial embolization

All patients were evaluated and followed up by gynecologists and an interventional radiologist (I.Y.) before the procedure. Initial clinical assessment included a pelvic examination and pap smear. Before the procedure, all patients had pelvic magnetic resonance T1-weighted
Follow-up procedures

At 3, 6, 12, 18 and 24 months after embolization, follow-up MR images were obtained. During the follow-up, patients were also asked to describe their symptoms related to uterine fibroid by choosing one of the following options: improved, unchanged, worsened.

Statistical analysis

Statview 512 software (Abacus Concept, California, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Study data were summarized using descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, median and range (minimum–maximum).

Results

All of the women had regular menstruation periods before the embolization. Menorrhagia and menstrual pain were the most common symptoms reported by 84.4% and 62.5% of patients, respectively (Table 1). Twenty-five patients (78%) had a history of anemia (hemoglobin <12.0 g/dL), for which one patient (3%) required blood transfusion. The number of symptoms per patient ranged between 1-3, which was 1.9 on average.

Selective uterine artery embolization was performed bilaterally in 31 patients (96.8%) (Figure 1) and unilaterally in one patient who had a history of pelvic surgery and a fibroid with the main blood supply from the right ovarian artery. All procedures were technically successful.

| Number of women who responded | Number of patients with symptoms, n(%) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Period symptoms               |                                        |
| Heavy                         | 32                                     | 27 (100) |
| Painful                       | 32                                     | 20 (62.5) |
| Previous anaemia              | 32                                     | 25 (78 ) |
| Previous blood transfusion    | 32                                     | 1 (3.1)  |
| Pressure symptoms             | 32                                     | 11 (34.4) |
| Swelling/bloating             | 32                                     | 12 (37.5) |
| Urinary symptoms              | 32                                     | 3 (10)   |
| Sciatica                      | 32                                     | 1 (3.1)  |

*Number of patients who definitively answered yes or no to the question

Table 1: Summary of presenting symptoms. Values are given as n (%).

Figure 1: 38 years old patient with menometrrhagia, swelling and anemia. (A) Pre-embolisation pelvic flush aortogram demonstrates enlarged right uterine artery. (B) Selective right uterine artery angiogram demonstrates a large hypervascularised mass.
Outcome of uterine artery embolization

Median follow-up period was 23 months (range, 21-30 months). None of the women had menorrhagia, 79% had less menstrual pain, and 82% had less or none abdominal bloating or swelling during follow-up. During follow-up, one patient got pregnant and delivered by cesarean section at term. The rate of patients who satisfied with the procedure was 97% (Figure 2).

The uterine and fibroid volumes were calculated on follow-up MR imaging at an average of 23 months (range, 21–30 months) after the embolization (Figure 3). The mean volumes of the uterus and largest fibroid decreased 55% and 66% after embolization from 952 to 428 cc and 410 to 140 cc, respectively. The uterine and fibroid volumes before and after embolization were shown in Table 2. All of the patients included in the study had relief in at least one symptom and all of them had a decrease in fibroid volume but we didn’t find any correlation between the symptom improvement and fibroid volume reduction.

Complications

Majority of women (81.3%) reported that they had greater pain after the procedure than they had during normal period. The postprocedural pain was worse than they expected for 17 patients (53%), better for 2 patients (6.4%), as expected for 13 patients (40.6). When the patients asked to describe their pain 0 (0%) told it was less than postprocedure pain, 26 (81.3%) told it was more than period pain, 1 (3.1%) told it was more than labour pain, 1 (3.2%) told it was the worst pain ever. After the embolization, patients had pain for 4.0 ± 3.0 days (mean), 4 (1-9) days median. They returned to daily activities within 5.0 ± 1.1 days (mean), 6 (1-10) days (median), and got back to work within 7.0 ± 2.1 days (mean), 6 (1-15) days median.

Sixteen women (50%) had vaginal discharge after the embolization, which ended within two weeks in 9 patients, lasted 4-8 weeks in 4 patients, and continued longer than eight weeks in 3 patients. One patient had transient amenorrhea and 2 patients had irregular periods after embolisation. One patient had recurrent menorrhagic symptoms at 16 months and had myomectomy. None of the patients had persisting discharge, permanent amenorrhoea, or infective complications.

Discussion

In this retrospective case-series, we primarily found that uterine arterial embolization decreased uterine and fibroid volume more than half and provided significant improvement in clinical symptoms without causing significant complications.

Uterine artery embolization is a well-established and minimally invasive alternative to surgery for treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids [3,7]. Preoperative clinical and radiological assessment of fibroid before the uterine artery embolization is very important. All patients being considered for uterine artery embolization must be evaluated both by the gynecologists and radiologists. Pelvic pathologies other than fibroids should be carefully evaluated for contraindications (e.g., malignancy). It is also important to evaluate present infections which may increase the complication risk of the embolization. MR imaging is modality which should be preferred before the embolization procedure for evaluation of the fibroid, uterus and concomitant pathologies. It is known that coexisting adenomyosis...
in uterine fibroids is associated with poor response [10,11]. Excluding adenomyosis would increase the success of the arterial embolization targeting fibroids. On the other hand, uterine artery embolization is also a treatment alternative for adenomyosis. So prediagnosis of adenomyosis is important to plan the arterial embolization procedure. If adenomyosis diagnosed before the embolization, it is not necessary to change treatment protocol. It is only important to know that if there is coexisting adenomyosis the treatment for fibroids may not be as successful as expected. In such a case it is important to inform the patient before the procedure.

The main purpose of the uterine arterial embolization for fibroids is elimination of the symptoms. Fibroid shrinkage is an additional advantage. In this study, we showed that there was a significant improvement in the clinical symptoms of fibroid after the uterine artery embolization. The procedure was well tolerated, and patients were highly satisfied with the procedure. First 24 hours after the procedure was mostly painful, but pain resolves fast so that most women can be back to work within 7 days. The end-point of embolization is also very important for reducing post-operative pain. Encouraging results following less extensive embolization have been presented [12]. The amount of the injected particles is reduced if spasm develops during the injection due to the stasis of the supervenes, which will cause to early termination of the procedure and insufficient embolization. Therefore, prevention of spasm by using microcatheters and slow injection has crucial importance. In order to prevent spasm, we performed very slow injection although it increases fluoroscopy time.

We also recorded a remarkable reduction in fibroid volumes. The measurements on MR imaging showed that uterus and fibroid shrank by 55% and 66% at two years after embolization, respectively. Similarly, the mean decrease in fibroid size varies from 50-70% in the literature [5,13-15].

We had no infective complications in our series, probably because we excluded or treated pre-existing infection, since infection may lead hysterectomy after the embolisation particulary in subserous, large and pedunculated fibroids [13]. It is also important to be prepared for potentially fatal septic shock early after arterial embolization. If a patient has a temperature >38°C longer than four days and has an increase in pain, infection must be considered. Blood cultures, urine cultures and midstream, full blood count, and vaginal swabs should be evaluated. Pelvic MR imaging should also be performed. Antibiotics should be administered as early as possible [16].

We had no patient with persisting vaginal discharge or ovarian failure in our study. However, ovarian failure has been reported in 2% of women under the age of 45 after uterine artery embolization [6,17]. Ovarian ischemia following embolization probably accounts for ovarian failure [17,18]. To prevent ovarian failure we used trisacryl gelatin microspheres with minimum size of 500 µm. It has also been reported that premature menopause may occur in 1-4% of women after the uterine artery embolization [17,19]. In some reports, amenorrhea rate reached to 12% over the age of 45 [20]. We had only one patient over the age 45 who didn’t have amenorrhea after embolization.

There is no evidence that embolization is a cause of infertility. In many previous studies, women get pregnant and have successful deliveries after uterine artery embolization [5,6,21]. Uterine artery embolization or myomectomy was not found to be related with infertility [22-24]. In our series, there was one pregnancy with term-delivery.

In comparison to surgical treatment, uterine artery embolization leads to fewer complications and reduces hospital stay and treatment cost, but provides similar profiles of efficacy and quality of life [16,25]. On the basis of current literature data and our findings we suggest that uterine artery embolization is a good alternative for the treatment of fibroids. The effects of various clinical parameters such as pre-embolization size and shape of the fibroids, signal characteristics and contrast enhancement pattern of MR imaging on the response to the treatment have been studied in the previous reports without any significant conclusion [26-28]. In the present study, we have not evaluated clinical factors affecting the outcome of uterine artery embolization. Additionally, the limited sample size of our series and the retrospective design preclude us from reaching a definitive conclusion on clinical applicability of uterine artery embolization and its benefits and disadvantages over myomectomy in the treatment of uterine fibroids. Further comparative and large scale studies are needed to confirm the uterine artery embolization as a safe and effective treatment alternative for uterine fibroids, and to evaluate the predictive factors for the outcome of uterine artery embolization, so that we can determine the patients who can obtain the most benefit from the procedure.

Spies et al. reported results of 200 consecutive patients with a mean follow-up time 21 months [29]. Menorrhagia was improved in 87% and bulk symptoms were improved in 93% at 3 months. In our study none of the patients had menorrhagia. Bulk symptom control is similar in our study (82%). Spies et al. reported that at 1 year, 90% of patients still had symptom control. Twenty-one (10.5%) patients had subsequent interventions or rehospitalizations. In our study 1 (3%) patient had subsequent intervention and rehospitalized.

Walker and Pelage reported results of 400 patients with a 16.7 months follow-up time [30]. Menstrual bleeding was improved in 84% and menstrual pain improved in 79%. In our study menorrhagia was improved in all patients, menstrual pain improved 79%.

Pron et al. reported results of 550 patients from the Ontario Uterine Fibroid Embolization Trial [31,32]. In this study menorrhagia improved in 83%, menstrual pain improved in 77% [33]. None of the reported studies revealed %100 improvement in menorrhagia.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, uterine artery embolization is an effective and safe alternative for the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids. An extensive clinical and radiological evaluation should be performed, and technical expertise should be sufficient to obtain the best outcome of the procedure.

| Magnetic resonance imaging | Volume (cc) |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Number of patients         | Mean [SD]   | Median (range) |
| Before                     |             |               |
| Uterus 32                  | 952 [541]   | 790 (240–2895)|
| Fibroid 65                 | 410 [530]   | 280 (15–2400)|
| After                      |             |               |
| Uterus 32                  | 428 [272]   | 426 (83–1265)|
| Fibroid 65                 | 140 [225]   | 82 (0–890)   |

Table 2: Magnetic resonance imaging based volumes of uterus and fibroids, baseline and for the follow up. Values are given as n, mean [SD] and median (range).
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