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Abstract

Recent FCC regulations on TV white spaces allow geo-location databases to be the sole source of spectrum information for White Space Devices (WSDs). Geo-location databases protect TV band incumbents by keeping track of TV transmitters and their protected service areas based on their location, transmission parameters and sophisticated propagation models. In this article, we argue that keeping track of both TV transmitters and TV receivers (i.e. TV sets) can achieve significant improvement in the availability of white spaces. We first identify wasted spectrum opportunities, both temporal and spatial, due to the current approach of white spaces detection. We then propose DynaWhite, a cloud-based architecture that orchestrates the detection and dissemination of highly-dynamic, real-time, and fine-grained TV white space information. DynaWhite introduces the next generation of geo-location databases by combining traditional sensing techniques with a novel unconventional sensing approach based on the detection of the passive TV receivers using standard cell phones. We present a quantitative evaluation of the potential gains in white space availability for large scale deployments of DynaWhite. We finally identify challenges that need to be addressed in the research community in order to exploit this potential for leveraging dynamic real-time fine-grained TV white spaces.

I. INTRODUCTION

The unlicensed usage of TV white spaces, which refer to portions of the UHF spectrum (and parts of the VHF spectrum in the US), has been introduced by the FCC as a means to supply mobile devices’ ever increasing demand for high quality communication and multimedia streaming [1]. Utilizing these white spaces is only allowed while strictly forbidding interference with primary spectrum incumbents (i.e. TV receivers and wireless microphones). The ruling ensures the mitigation of interference between spectrum incumbents and White Space Devices (WSDs) through forcing WSDs to use one of two methods: Following the first method, WSDs use white spaces after sensing the spectrum for TV transmissions with a very low threshold of $-107$ dbm. Spectrum sensing capabilities add complexity and cost complications to WSDs, especially with this low threshold. The second method, which is the currently preferred one, relies on consulting geo-location databases that keep track of available white spaces in certain areas [1]. Although the main spectrum incumbents that need protection are the TV receivers, not transmitters, TV receivers are typically passive, i.e. they do not transmit signals, and thus are difficult to detect[1]. Therefore, geo-location databases protect TV receivers by protecting the entire coverage area of a TV transmitter through keeping record of TV transmitters’ information including location, antenna height, transmission power, and channels used. The geo-location databases combine this information with sophisticated propagation models in order to determine the protection area of a TV transmitter, where no WSD can be active [2], [3].

In this article, we argue that current geo-location databases regulations, while guaranteeing high protection of the spectrum incumbents, waste significant spectrum opportunities by protecting the entire coverage area of TV transmitters. In particular, it is not necessary that the entire coverage area contains active, i.e. turned on, TV receivers, which are the main devices to be protected. In many cases, there are spectrum holes, both temporal and spatial, that are void of active TV receivers. In particular, recent studies show that, while average Americans watch 5.2 hours of TV a day, less than 10% of these TV viewers watch broadcast channels [4]. These temporal spectrum holes are wasted opportunities that can reach up to 23 channels in some urban areas (e.g. Miami City, Florida) according to our results presented in this article. This potential gain in spectrum availability is a great incentive for leveraging dynamic real-time TV white spaces awareness, especially in spectrum-hungry urban areas that will experience exponential demand on wireless bandwidth.

Based on the aforementioned observations on current geo-location databases, we propose DynaWhite; a new cloud-based architecture for future dynamic real-time TV white spaces spectrum awareness. DynaWhite approach leverages both the TV transmitters and receivers information to provide fine-grained real-time spectrum availability information; For TV transmitters information, DynaWhite extends the current geo-location databases [2], [3], that use over-protective propagation models, to allow for collaborative spectrum sensing using WSDs [5]. Although such solutions are not attractive in terms of cost, accuracy, and power consumption; it is expected that sensing will take an important role in the future of WSDs as spectrum becomes

Protection of wireless microphones is guaranteed in the FCC regulation by providing two channels specifically for wireless microphones and allowing events with large numbers of wireless microphones to register in the geo-location databases.
more congested and technology advances. For TV receivers information, to handle the problem of sensing the passive TV receivers, DynaWhite uses an unconventional sensing approach that leverages the ubiquitous standard cell phones and other mobile devices. In particular, we argue for a crowd-sourcing approach, where today’s sensor-rich cell phones can be used to detect TV receivers’ location and state (e.g. ON/OFF and TV channel viewed) based on their acoustic, visual, and other fingerprints.2

Finally, DynaWhite uses the cloud computing scalability and vast computational and storage capabilities to keep track of this highly-dynamic, real-time and fine-grained TV white spaces.

This article is organized as follows. Section II identifies the wasted white spaces opportunities according to the description of current geo-location databases by the FCC [1]. We then present DynaWhite, our next generation highly-dynamic, real-time and fine-grained TV white space detection architecture in Section III. We evaluate the potential gain that would be achieved with the adoption of DynaWhite in Section IV. Section V provides a discussion of the research challenges that need to be addressed for truly realizing this architecture. We finally present related work and conclude the article in sections VI and VII.

II. TV WHITE SPACES: THE WASTED OPPORTUNITIES

Initially, FCC regulations mandated WSDs to use both geo-location databases and spectrum sensing. Later, a recent modification of the FCC regulations allowed WSDs to obtain information on available white spaces only through geo-location databases [1]. While, this new regulation lessens the burden on WSDs, it suffers from wasting white spaces both spatially and temporally.

Figure 1 summarizes two different scenarios in which white space opportunities are missed. In Scenario 1, the temporally wasted opportunity, although the white space network lies within the TV station’s protected service area, the TV set in the vicinity of the network is turned OFF (or for the same practical purpose, currently tuned to a particular channel, leaving other channels available for unlicensed usage). Conventional geo-location databases, that are not aware of the location of TV sets or their state, will declare the entire set of allocated channels in the area as unavailable.

On the other hand, the second scenario is composed of two different instances (a and b) in which white spaces are spatially wasted. In Scenario 2.a, the white space network lies within the protected service area but has no TV sets in the vicinity of the network. In Scenario 2.b, the white space network lies in the theoretical protected service area of the TV station, but the station’s signal is obstructed. These scenarios lead to wasted white space opportunities, because conventional geo-location databases rely solely on propagation models.

2Different sensors, e.g. the microphone and camera, can be used to detect the presence of the TV set as well as the current running channel as we show in [1].
Avoiding wasting spectrum opportunities is particularly important in urban areas, where huge numbers of wireless devices and congested RF spectrum are the norm. Table I shows the available white spaces for both fixed and portable WSDs in four urban and four rural cities using the ShowMyWhiteSpace application developed by the FCC approved geo-location database company Spectrum Bridge Inc. [7]. We observe that white spaces are more available for portable WSDs compared to fixed WSDs as the maximum allowable transmission power for them is 4W and 40mW respectively [7].

To sum up, temporal spectrum opportunity waste occurs when the state of the TV set (ON/OFF or the channel it is currently tuned to) is ignored. However, spatial spectrum opportunity waste occurs when an area with no TV sets, or outside the coverage area of a TV tower, is falsely protected, in order to over protect TV receivers. These wasted opportunities are critical especially in spectrum-hungry urban areas. DynaWhite’s novel unconventional TV receivers sensing approach combined with collaborative spectrum sensing using WSDs address these wasted opportunities.

### III. THE DYNAWHITE ARCHITECTURE

The identified wasted spectrum opportunities require alterations in geo-location databases to evolve from storing and processing the relatively static TV towers’ information (e.g. location, transmission power, antenna height and channel), to collect, process and store the highly dynamic, real-time TV sets’ information. In order to address this evolution, we exploit the scalability and reliability of cloud storage and processing. We propose the DynaWhite architecture that can generate real-time geo-location databases via a cloud-based system that is responsible for processing and aggregating sensory information. This information is different in: (1) nature, e.g. TV set state information, and (2) reliability metrics, e.g. based on the quality of the contributing sensors. This architecture aims to address the expected bandwidth demand on white space networks by tracking every available spectrum opportunity in real-time. For the rest of this section, we present an overview of the proposed architecture and its operation, then we discuss the two main components of the new database: the conventional WSD spectrum sensing for TV transmitters and the unconventional TV receiver state sensing using standard cell phones.

#### A. Overview and Operation

Figure 2 gives an overview of a scenario of DynaWhite. Contributing devices, equipped with localization mechanisms, submit location-tagged spectrum sensing data (from WSDs) or ambience sensing data (from standard cell phones) to the DynaWhite cloud infrastructure. DynaWhite divides the area of interest into fine grained cells and calculates white space availability for each cell separately based on the collected sensory information. A cell is considered a “free cell” with respect to a certain channel in two cases: (1) when there is no TV signal on the channel within the cell or (2) when the cell has no TV sets that are tuned to the channel. The architecture supports that devices submit their data (push mode) or to query devices at specific locations for spectrum status on demand (pull mode). The two modes enable the system to enhance its view of the spectrum. It should be noted that, DynaWhite defaults to traditional geo-location databases when there is no sensory information available for a particular cell or when the confidence of the spectrum information is not high.

Figure 3 shows how a typical spectrum availability query is handled by DynaWhite. The multi-layered flow is divided based on the different types of available white space opportunities. These opportunities vary in terms of persistence and reliability. For each location-annotated White Spaces Information Query, DynaWhite aims to obtain the most persistent and reliable availability information. We define white spaces information persistence as the duration of time the spectrum information will be valid. Moreover, we define white spaces information reliability as a function of DynaWhite’s confidence in the contributors and the conclusion made based on their collected sensory information.

The first layer consults conventional geo-location databases to identify white space opportunities based only on the parameters of licensed TV transmitters. This layer presents white space information with the highest reliability and persistence.

### Table I

| City                | Available Channels for Fixed WSD (4W) | Available Channels for Portable 100 mW WSD | Available Channels for Portable 40 mW WSD |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| New York, NY        | 0                                    | 0                                        | 0                                        |
| Los Angeles, CA     | 0                                    | 0                                        | 0                                        |
| Miami, FL           | 0                                    | 0                                        | 2                                        |
| Philadelphia, PA    | 1                                    | 0                                        | 3                                        |
| Hudson, NY          | 13                                   | 7                                        | 18                                       |
| Palatka, FL         | 15                                   | 11                                       | 20                                       |
| Amador City, CA     | 18                                   | 10                                       | 20                                       |
| Conconully, WA      | 23                                   | 16                                       | 25                                       |

*TABLE I URBAN AND RURAL AREAS CHANNEL AVAILABILITY USING SHOWMYWHITE SPACE APPLICATION BY SPECTRUM BRIDGE INC (AS OF FEB 11TH, 2012).*
The second layer checks the presence of TV transmitters based on spectrum sensing readings previously collected by WSDs that lie within the white space network’s coverage area. If there is no data available, DynaWhite will pull real-time spectrum information on demand. This layer can increase the number of available white space channels or help the network enhance its transmission parameters based on the sensed interference on each channel. The white space information obtained from this
layer is persistent as the view of the spectrum is not likely to change fast. However, this sensory information needs to be confirmed by more than one contributing device in order to establish its reliability.

The third layer uses standard cell phones to detect the presence and the state of TV sets within the white space network’s coverage area. This is particularly useful when the TV transmitter is operational while no TV sets are tuned to its channel. This type of white space information is highly volatile because TV viewers switch channels randomly. Moreover, in order to increase the reliability in such information more than one contributor needs to support the same sensor based decision. We note, however, that the information collected over time from multiple devices at a particular location can determine the set of channels typically viewed at this location, ultimately increasing the persistence and reliability of the spectrum availability data by basing its decisions on the history of channels viewing.

White space opportunities, obtained from each layer, are associated with a validity period to improve DynaWhite’s reliability. The WSD is required to re-check with the DynaWhite database for the white space’s availability after the validity period expires. This period depends on both the persistence level and reliability associated with each reading. These levels are calculated based on the number of contributors conforming on the same reading as well as the source of the sensed information. Upon the reception of DynaWhite’s response to its query, the WSD can determine the channels to transmit on, based on its required quality of service and the valid period of each available white space.

B. WSD Spectrum Sensing

Signal readings are collected from spectrum sensing enabled WSDs. Spectrum sensing enabled devices as specified by the FCC are either sensing only devices, that depend solely on spectrum sensing to detect available channels, or as the FCC encourages, mixed devices that use spectrum sensing with geo-location databases [1]. Another source of spectrum information includes dedicated sensing infrastructures, that could be deployed in order to enhance spectrum utilization in spectrum starved areas. Several cooperative spectrum sensing algorithms can be used to make the best of these spectrum sensory information [5].

FCC regulations for sensing only devices require initial sensing of 30 seconds to select the channel to be used. After that, these devices are required to ensure that the channel is vacant every 60 seconds [1]. While these regulations ensure protection of TV spectrum incumbents, they require a mobile device to regularly check to ensure the consistency of the spectrum view. On the other hand, DynaWhite’s interest lies in determining the spectrum view for each cell and the cell’s area is smaller than the approved sensing only device coverage area. Therefore, the spectrum sensing requirements for DynaWhite are more relaxed.

C. TV Set Awareness using Standard Mobile Devices

Sensory information collected by standard mobile devices (cell phones, laptops, tablets, etc) can be used as unconventional spectrum sensors to deduce whether a TV set is available in a certain cell or not. If a TV set is detected, regular checks are performed to check whether the TV is turned ON or not and to detect the channel that TV is currently tuned to. Sensors like camera, microphone, accelerometer, etc can be used to detect both TV set behavior (e.g. visual and acoustic fingerprints) and TV viewer behavior (e.g. sitting, using the remote and texting about TV shows). This information could be used to identify the presence of TV sets and whether they are ON or OFF. Moreover, using online streaming sites and channel guides, the channel currently playing could be detected using only the acoustic fingerprint of the TV set [6].

Smart infrastructures (e.g. smart homes) can also be used for inferring information as they are equipped with sensors designated for different functionalities required to improve the quality of people’s life. These functionalities include TV controls which can be directly used to update DynaWhite. Another form of sensors are the new generation of Internet-enabled smart TV sets that can be used to update DynaWhite’s database in real-time with information on the channels viewed. These sources of information could reliably tell whether there is a TV that is ON in a certain area of interest and the channel that TV is tuned to.

By accumulating and aggregating detected channel information for each TV set, collected from different sources with different reliability levels, and correlating them with time, high confidence TV set detection decisions can be reached. DynaWhite then becomes capable of estimating the viewer’s watching profile. This stochastic behavior can further be leveraged to enhance DynaWhite decisions and reliability estimation.

IV. DYNAWHITE POTENTIAL

In this section, we quantify the potential gain that can be obtained with the adoption of DynaWhite based systems through two city-scale simulations.
A. Potential Gain in White Spaces

We conducted simulations for Miami City in Florida and New York County in New York, in order to illustrate the potential gains in spectrum availability in urban areas that can be achieved using DynaWhite. According to the United State’s Census Bureau, New York County, NY has 732,204 households with an area of 22.83mi² and Miami City has 149,077 households with an area of 35mi² [8]. In our simulation, we distributed these households uniformly over the two areas assigning one TV set for each household. We randomly picked only 21% of the TV sets as operational and selected 10% of the TV sets to be showing a broadcast channel [3]. We assigned one of the broadcast TV channels in the designated area to each of the TV sets (27 channels in New York County and 26 channels in Miami City) [7].

We distributed 100,000 WSDs over the two areas to measure the potential increase in the number of available channels. Then, we measured the amount of free white spaces on which each WSD can operate without violating the FCC’s protection criteria for the TV sets. The protection criteria for co-channel transmission was selected to be 23db SNR and −33db SNR for adjacent channel transmissions [1]. We applied the Okumura-Hata model for urban areas [9] to identify the separation needed between the WSD and the TV set in order to maintain the minimum field strength of 41dbu for TV service at the TV set which is specified by the FCC [1]. The simulation was made for the following transmission powers:

- 1 mW used in experiments conducted for local area white space networks in [10].
- 5 and 10 mW account for possible transmission powers that could be used to increase white spaces availability and range.
- 40 mW which is the maximum transmission power specified by the FCC for WSDs working in within a TV stations protected service area broadcasting on adjacent channel.
- and 100 mW which is the maximum allowed transmission power by the FCC for portable WSDs [1].

The geo-location database’s awareness of these different transmission powers presents another enhancement that enables the detection of white spaces relative to the WSD’s transmission power. This results in avoiding current geo-location database’s assumptions that the WSD can work only on two levels of power (i.e. 40mW and 100mW). Table [II] summarizes the parameters for each of the different transmission obtained using the Okumura-Hata model for urban areas.

The results of the simulation are summarized in Table [III]. There is a significant difference between the two cities due to the difference in population densities. Comparing DynaWhite’s spectrum availability to conventional geo-location databases (Table [I], for Miami City, devices working with any transmission power obtain at least 12.2 extra channels instead of no channels in case of 100 mW for conventional geo-location databases. Similarly, in New York County, despite the high density of TV sets, almost 20% of devices working with 100 mW transmission power obtain 1.27 channels on average to work on instead of not being able to work at all when using the conventional geo-location databases.

As we lower the transmission power, aiming at increasing the bandwidth available for enterprise local area networks, the number of available channels increases reaching 23.4 in Miami and 9.65 in New York County for all devices working with 1 mW (i.e. having a coverage of 59m). This highlights the significant gains that can be achieved through a city scale DynaWhite deployment.

| Power | Coverage | Min. separation distance for adjacent channel transmission | Min. separation distance for co-channel transmission |
|-------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 1 mW  | 59 m     | 9 m                                                      | 182 m                                             |
| 5 mW  | 86 m     | 13.2 m                                                  | 265 m                                             |
| 10 mW | 101 m    | 15.5 m                                                  | 310 m                                             |
| 40 mW | 140 m    | 22.4 m                                                  | 430 m                                             |
| 100 mW| 173 m    | 26.4 m                                                  | 533 m                                             |

**Table II**
Parameters used in the simulations for TV band devices with transmission powers 1, 5, 10, 40 and 100 mW in terms of the maximum coverage distance, the minimum distance between the TV band device, and the TV set to avoid interference [1].

| Power | Percent of WSDs gaining more channels in New York County | Average number of channels gained in New York County | Percent of WSDs gaining more channels in Miami | Average number of channels gained in Miami |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1 mW  | 100%                                                     | 9.65                                                | 100%                                          | 23.4                                     |
| 5 mW  | 99%                                                      | 4                                                   | 100%                                          | 21                                       |
| 10 mW | 92%                                                      | 2.7                                                 | 100%                                          | 19.6                                     |
| 40 mW | 49.8%                                                    | 1.53                                                | 100%                                          | 15.5                                     |
| 100 mW| 19.9%                                                    | 1.27                                                | 100%                                          | 12.2                                     |

**Table III**
Simulation of potential gain in white spaces for WSDs working in Miami City, Florida and New York County, New York with transmission powers 1, 5, 10, 40 and 100 mW given information on TV sets locations and the channels they are currently tuned to.
B. Research Challenges

The DynaWhite architecture can significantly alter the perception of the white spaces, especially within urban cities. This section discusses a number of research challenges that need to be tackled by the research community to realize DynaWhite’s potential gain.

1) Sensory Reliability: To declare a channel free for unlicensed usage based on crowd-sourced sensory information, a high confidence level is needed in this information. One of the main challenges faced by DynaWhite is to determine the confidence associated with each contributor and contributed sensory information. Another related challenge is to determine the validity period of a free channel.

Measures should also be taken to ensure the reading’s validity and to protect DynaWhite from malicious users injecting false sensory information to gain more white spaces. Factors determining the validity of the sensory information include the number of the contributors, consistency of different contributions, the confidence associated with each contributor and the history of sensory readings collected for each cell. Viewers’ profiles could be used to enhance the reliability in the decisions.

Moreover, the range of validity periods should be studied. For instance, current FCC regulations have a minimum validity period of 60 seconds for portable devices relying on either sensory information or geo-location databases. Lower validity periods should be studied weighing their potential gain as compared to the overhead of accessing DynaWhite with high frequency.

2) Location Granularity: Current FCC regulations require a location estimation accuracy within 50 meters [1]. The effect of using DynaWhite, especially with knowing the location of TV sets, on the accuracy of localizing WSDs should be studied. On the other hand, building the dynamic geo-location databases requires the location information of the TV sets and the sensing devices. Location estimation can be performed with different granularity. For example, the location of the building where the TV set is located can be determined using the GPS sensor on the phone and/or GSM-based localization. More fine-grained indoor localization systems, e.g. [11], can be used to determine the TV sets position to within a couple of meters. This can enable a new set of applications, e.g. femto TV white spaces cells. Finally, the granularity of DynaWhite’s view of the map should be determined. In particular, the size of the cells for which DynaWhite detects white spaces availability needs to be studied.

3) Incentives: Convincing mobile holders and spectrum sensing-enabled device holders to sense and share their sensory data with others to allow for better spectrum utilization is a challenging problem. This is particularly important as users will have to use their scarce battery and network connection to share their information with DynaWhite servers. Batch operation can be used to upload the data when, e.g., free WiFi is available or the device is connected to power. However, this will be at the expense of the real-time aspect of the sensed information.

4) Privacy: Another aspect is the privacy of the user who shares her phone sensor information. One solution is to submit just final results of detection, i.e. the status of the TV and the current channel instead of sharing the raw data, i.e. acoustic and visual data. However, this may consume the battery faster. Another potential solution is to leverage the user’s other more capable less-restricted devices, such as laptops, to do the processing locally.

5) Regulations: The DynaWhite system cannot be deployed until the regulatory authorities issue rulings allowing for ambience sensing as a source of spectrum information. To address this challenge, controlled field-tests should be carried out to show the feasibility, gains, and any conflicts the proposed fine-grained geo-location databases can cause.

V. RELATED WORK

There are currently two approaches to ensuring the protection of TV white space incumbents both based on TV transmitters information. The first approach, adopted by the FCC, relies on geo-location databases that keep track of TV transmitters’ parameters and propagation models in order to estimate the areas that need to be protected [2]. The work presented in [3] extends this approach through using sophisticated propagation models and presents a scalable architecture of geo-location databases. The second approach, adopted by the IEEE 802.22 standard, relies on collaborative spectrum sensing between the WSDs. In this approach, WSDs submit their spectrum view to a central entity that is responsible for performing spectrum sharing functionalities [5]. DynaWhite incorporates these two approaches and extends them by its unconventional sensing approach for TV receivers.

On the other hand, detecting TV receivers has been addressed before using either special hardware, that senses the power leakage of a receiver’s local oscillator [12], [13], or using central trusted manually-updated databases [2], [14]. The former technique requires the usage of special hardware that needs to be setup in the vicinity of the TV set. Such techniques do not scale and are hard to deploy. The latter technique does not scale to a large scale studies the effect of knowing TV receivers information on the available white spaces, in terms of amount of additional available frequencies, they assume that in some countries, e.g. Norway, everyone that has TV receiver have to register TV receiver information in order to pay the broadcasting license fees.

We believe that DynaWhite poses as the next generation of geo-location databases for TV white spaces. This approach aims at detecting all available white space opportunities through a multi-layered architecture. This architecture uses unconventional spectrum sensing, supported by conventional spectrum sensing and geo-location databases to detect spectrum opportunities that
are diverse in reliability and persistence. In addition, *DynaWhite* exploits the cloud’s computational and storage capabilities to infer available white spaces.

**VI. Conclusion**

We presented *DynaWhite*, an architecture for creating and maintaining the next generation geo-location databases characterized by being highly-dynamic, real-time, and fine-grained. The proposed architecture provides new temporal and spatial spectrum opportunities by incorporating both crowd-sourcing based spectrum sensing and an unconventional technique for TV sets localization and state detection using ambience sensors. Furthermore, we layout possible future directions of research for improving the performance of this new generation of geo-location databases.
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