A Mathematical Model to Expedite Electroporation Based Vaccine Development for COVID-19
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Abstract: Electroporation has an application in the selective delivery of drugs explicitly into cells. However, the challenge is to achieve efficiency in delivering the drugs. The key parameter responsible for successful electroporation-mediated drug delivery is induced transmembrane voltage (ITMV). The Food & Drug Administration (FDA) has recently approved the clinical trials of DNA plasmid delivery of the COVID-19 vaccine through electroporation. The requirement is to develop a COVID-19 vaccine within a limited time. Hence, the extensive amount of laboratory experiments are not feasible. It has increased dependency on simulation-based analysis. The simulations of electroporation depend on ITMV expression for the specified cell and the environment. In this paper, we have derived the closed-form expression of ITMV (∆V_m). The closed-form expression is used in COMSOL Multiphysics simulation to obtain extracellular concentration variation as a function of time. The simulation results match the empirical results from the literature and hence validate the closed-form expression. The closed-form expression will reduce the development time of electroporation-assisted COVID-19 vaccine delivery.
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1. Introduction

The interaction of electrical signals with a living organism depends on the different structures of the organism. A cell consists of cytoplasm, which includes the nucleus, mitochondria, and other cell organelles necessary for a life surrounded by a thin insulating layer called the plasma membrane to protect the cytoplasm extracellular stress. The equivalent electrical model of a spherical cell is regarded as an electrolyte (cytoplasm) enclosed by a dielectric shell (Plasma membrane) and is regarded as a resistive capacitance (RC) circuit. The plasma membrane is a phospholipid bilayer that controls the exchange of materials between the cytoplasm and extracellular medium [1]. The cytoplasm is an electrolyte of resistance R_e, and the plasma membrane is a spherical capacitor of capacitance C_m. The membrane consists of a lipid bilayer that controls the inflow of materials from the extracellular medium to the intracellular medium and vice-versa. The lipid bilayer further consists of ion pumps, voltage-
gated channels, and ion transporters that are non-permeable to large molecules. The difference in ion concentration between extracellular space and intracellular space of the cell creates a potential difference (voltage) across the membrane, called trans-membrane resting voltage ($V_r$). Channels or pathways in the membrane are needed to allow the entry of large molecules like DNA into the cell. Several studies have been carried out to create pathways in the membrane under the effect of electricity.

The impact of applied electric field on the structural changes in the plasma membrane to study the exchange of materials between the extracellular medium and the cytoplasm of biological cells has been carried out extensively [2,3].

It has been observed that the structural change of cell membranes exposed to the external field makes the cell-permeable and conductive. The phenomenon is called Electroporation or Electro permeabilization and has a wide range of applications such as transport of drugs, DNA molecules into the cell, insertion of proteins into the cell membrane, fusion of cells, food preservation etc. [4–8].

Application of electroporation in gene vaccine or delivery of plasmids started way back in 1982 when Neumann et al. [9] first delivered DNA using electroporation. After that, there have been several recent developments in the use of electroporation as a potent technique for delivering vaccines or other medications using electroporation [10–18]. As reported by Miklavcic et al., 2019 [19] that there are about 80 reported clinical trials in which electroporation is used for delivery of DNA plasmid or other medicines. Recently in the COVID-19 pandemic US Food & Drug Administration (FDA) has approved clinical trials of INO 4800, a DNA plasmid vaccine with electroporation [20]. Thus, it is evident that electroporation is an effective alternative for DNA vaccine delivery, and therefore it requires a thorough understanding of the electroporation mechanism and the mathematics behind it.

When a cell is exposed to a pulsed electric field, the cell membrane is polarized [21], and induced transmembrane voltage ($V_m$) is developed across the membrane, which superimposes on the trans-membrane resting voltage [22]. Electroporation occurs when induced trans-membrane voltage exceeds the critical threshold value (≈1V) [23,24]. The increased induced transmembrane voltage ($V_m$) is limited by the pores created in the cell membrane.

Schwan [25] developed a theory to calculate the value of induced transmembrane voltage by considering a spherical cell exposed to a steady electric field by solving Laplace’s equation. The cell’s transient behavior can be described by accounting for the dielectric permittivity of the membrane ($\varepsilon_m$) in addition to its electrical conductivity.

In most studies, the detailed derivation of ITMV, which is the basic principle behind the study of electroporation, is not available. Therefore, this paper has presented a detailed derivation of ITMV for a single spherical cell under the electric field. Here detailed analysis of Schwann et al. [25] linear electric model with an equivalent circuit is studied in the paper with derivations that would help the community understand ITMV better. The paper further discusses a COMSOL Multiphysics model of Schwan cell to demonstrate electroporation mediated molecular transport.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Schwann electrical model of the biological cell.

Foster and Schwann proposed an electrical model of a biological cell in 1998 (also known as the Schwann model [25]). According to this model, the cell consists of three regions: homogenous conducting cytoplasm (i) having tens of micrometer in diameter, a thin insulating plasma membrane (m), and extracellular medium (e), as shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Schwan electrical model of a biological cell.](image)

The typical characteristics of the three regions of the cell are given in Table 1.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| L         | 20\(\mu\)m |
| h         | \(\sim 5\)nm |
| \(\sigma_c\) | \(\sim 15/\)m |
| \(\sigma_e\) | \(\sim 15/\)m |
| \(\epsilon_0\) | \(8.854 \times 10^{-12}\)\(C^2\)\(N^{-1}\)\(m^{-2}\) |
| \(\epsilon_e\) | \(80\epsilon_0\) |
| \(\epsilon_c\) | \(80\epsilon_0\) |
| \(\epsilon_m\) | \(80\epsilon_0\) |
| \(\sigma_m\) | \(10^{-5} - 10^{-7}\) m |

2.2. Electrical equivalent circuit of Schwann cell – 0D model.

In the electrical equivalent circuit, a cytoplasm is considered as an electrolyte of resistance 'Re', plasma membrane as a spherical capacitor of capacitance 'Cm', and the extracellular medium (ambient medium) as an electrolyte of resistance 'Re'. The equivalent electric circuit of a Schwann cell [19] is as shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Equivalent circuit of Schwann cell.](image)
When a voltage source \( V_0 \) is connected across a cell, the cell membrane’s charging takes place, leading to ITMV (Vm).

Charging of cell membrane after time \( t \) is given by

\[
Q = Q_0 \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_m}} \right) = Q_0 \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{t}{R_cC_m}} \right)
\]

where \( \tau_m = R_cC_m \) is the capacitive time constant.

Since \( V = \frac{Q}{C} \)

Therefore, \( V_m(t) = V_0 \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_m}} \right) \) (2)

If \( a \) is the radius of the cell and \( E \) is the electric field corresponding to the voltage source \( V_0 \), then \( E = \frac{V_0}{2a} \) or \( V_0 = 2aE \).

Now, \( V_m(t) = 2aE \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_m}} \right) \) (3)

Thus, induced transmembrane voltage increases exponentially with time. Thus, the above model depicts an intrinsic dependency of ITMV on the radius ‘\( a \)’ of the cell, further validated in the 3D model. The above model does not consider the effects of the cell’s shape and the duration of the applied electric field. Thus, a more heuristic approach of the partial differential equation- Maxwell equations is needed to study the electric field's effect as a whole in the cell.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Analytical derivation of induced transmembrane voltage (ITMV).

ITMV is defined as the difference between the electric potentials on both the side of the plasma membrane.

The spatial distribution of the electric field, \( \vec{E} \), is related to the distribution of space charge by Gauss's law in electrostatics.

\[
\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon}
\]

where \( \rho \) is the volume charge density and \( \varepsilon \) is the permittivity of the medium.

Since, \( E = -\nabla V \), where \( V \) is the electric potential so,

\[
\nabla^2 V = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}
\]

(4)

Since extracellular space and intracellular space of the cell are charge-free, \( \rho = 0 \), So the equation (4) can be modified as,

\[
\nabla^2 V = 0
\]

(5)

Equation (5) is known as the Laplace equation, whose solution gives the steady-state spatial distribution of \( V \) in a given coordinate system in the cell.

In cartesian coordinate system \((x,y,z)\), Laplace equation takes the form:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) V(x,y,z) = 0
\]

Or

\[
\frac{\partial^2 V(x,y,z)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V(x,y,z)}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V(x,y,z)}{\partial z^2} = 0
\]

(6)

Since the cell is assumed to be spherical, so rewriting equation (6) in the spherical coordinate system as

\[
\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial V(r,\theta,\phi)}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial V(r,\theta,\phi)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 V(r,\theta,\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} = 0
\]

(7)
Spherical polar coordinates are shown in Figure 3. Equation (7) is used to determine the spatial distribution of electric potential in and around the spherical cell subjected to a uniform electric field.

In the case of azimuthal symmetry, the potential V depends only on r and θ. Therefore, \( \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = 0 \).

Hence, \( V(r, \theta, \phi) \) is considered as \( V(r, \theta) \) in equation (7).

So, \( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial V(r, \theta)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial V(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) = 0 \)

Or, \( \frac{\partial^2 V(r, \theta)}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial V(r, \theta)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 V(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\cot \theta}{r^2} \frac{\partial V(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0 \) (8)

For solving Equation (8) by separation of variable technique, let, \( V(r, \theta) = R(r) G(\theta) \) (9)

Using equation (9) in equation (8) we get,

\( \frac{\partial^2 R(r) G(\theta)}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial R(r) G(\theta)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} R(r) \frac{\partial^2 G(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\cot \theta}{r^2} R(r) \frac{\partial G(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0 \) (10)

Dividing equation (10) by \( \frac{R(r) G(\theta)}{r^2} \) and replacing \( \partial / \partial r \to d/dr \) & \( \partial / \partial \theta \to d/d\theta \) we get,

\( \frac{1}{R(r)} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^2 \frac{d^2 R(r)}{dr^2} \right] + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{d R(r)}{dr} \right] + \frac{1}{G(\theta)} \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \left[ G(\theta) + \cot \theta \frac{d}{d\theta} \right] \frac{d}{d\theta} G(\theta) \]

\( = 0 \) (11)

The left-hand side of equation (11) is the function of "r" and the right-hand side is the function of "\( \theta \)". Since both sides of the equation (11) are equal, both sides should have a common constant value.

Let the constant value is "K", So,

\( \frac{1}{R(r)} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^2 \frac{d R(r)}{dr} \right] = K \)

Or \( \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^2 \frac{d R(r)}{dr} \right] - KR(r) = 0 \) (12)

and \( \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[ \sin \theta \frac{d G(\theta)}{d\theta} \right] + KG(\theta) = 0 \) (13)

These equations are known as Legendre's equation. The solution of equation (13) for all values of \( \theta \) from 0 to \( \pi \) is acceptable if \( K = n(n+1) \), where \( n \) is a positive integer. The solution of Legendre's equation is known as Legendre's polynomial, written as \( P_n(\cos \theta) \).

Legendre's polynomial can also be extended in orthogonal form as

\[ \int_{-1}^{1} P_n(x)P_m(x)dx = \frac{\delta_{mn}}{2n+1} \]  where \( x = \cos \theta \)
\( \delta_{mn} \) is Kronecker delta function.

\[
\delta_{mn} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } n \neq m \\
1 & \text{for } n = m
\end{cases}
\]

Therefore,

\( P_0(\cos \theta) = 1, \)
\( P_1(\cos \theta) = \cos \theta, \)
\( P_2(\cos \theta) = \frac{1}{2} (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1), \)
\( P_3 \cos \theta = \frac{1}{2} (5 \cos^3 \theta - 3 \cos \theta) \)

The solution to the equation (12) is given by

\[
R(r) = A r^n + B r^{-(n+1)} \quad \text{(14)}
\]

The applied electric field across the cell gets distorted near the cell due to the cell's polarization. However, as the cell's distance increases, the distortion in the applied electric field decreases, as shown in Figure 4.

The electric field remains unchanged at a large distance from the cell. Therefore, \( V(r) \) is directly proportional to the distance \( r \) from the cell.

![Figure 4. Distribution of electric field lines around a cell.](image)

Therefore, equation (14) for \( n = 1 \) can be written as

\[
R(r) = Ar + \frac{B}{r^2}
\]

and \( G(\theta) = P_1(\cos \theta) = \cos \theta \)

So, equation (9) can be written as

\[
V(r, \theta) = \left( Ar + \frac{B}{r^2} \right) \cos \theta
\]

The above equation is the general solution of \( V(r, \theta) \).

The system under consideration consists of three regions: cytoplasm (c), cell membrane (m), and extracellular medium (e). Each region has a different electric potential; as such, the value of constants \( A \) and \( B \) in equation (15) are different for three different regions.

The above equations can also be written as:

\[
V(r, \theta) = \begin{cases} 
V_c(r, \theta) = \left( A_c r + \frac{B_c}{r^2} \right) \cos \theta, & 0 \leq r \leq (a - h) \\
V_m(r, \theta) = \left( A_m r + \frac{B_m}{r^2} \right) \cos \theta, & (a - h) \leq r \leq a \\
V_e(r, \theta) = \left( A_e r + \frac{B_e}{r^2} \right) \cos \theta, & r \geq a
\end{cases}
\quad \text{(15)}
\]

where "a" is the radius of the cell and "h" is the thickness of the cell membrane.

To further simplify the calculation, we need to apply boundary conditions. The boundary conditions are:

I. The voltage "V" is finite at \( r = 0 \), so \( B_c = 0 \).
II. The electric field is uniform at a very large distance "r" from the cell’s center. Hence, \( V(r, \theta) = -E \cos \theta \)

So, \( A_e = -E \)

Hence, equation (15) can be rewritten as

\[
V(r, \theta) = \begin{cases} 
V_c(r, \theta) = A_c r \cos \theta, & 0 \leq r \leq (a - h) \\
V_m(r, \theta) = \left( A_m r + \frac{B_m}{r^2} \right) \cos \theta, & (a - h) \leq r \leq a \\
V_e(r, \theta) = \left( -E r + \frac{B_e}{r^2} \right) \cos \theta, & r \geq a 
\end{cases}
\]  

(16)

III. The electric field and current densities are continuous at two interfaces between three regions.

a) \( V_c(r, \theta)|_{r=(a-h)} = V_m(r, \theta)|_{r=(a-h)} \)

So, equation (16) can be written as:

\[
A_c = A_m + \frac{B_m}{(a-h)^3}
\]  

(17)

b) \( V_c(r, \theta)|_{r=a} = V_m(r, \theta)|_{r=a} \)

Therefore, \( A_m a + \frac{B_m}{a^3} = -E a + \frac{B_e}{a^3} \)

Or \( B_e = A_m a^3 + B_m + E a^3 \)  

(18)

c) \( \sigma_c \frac{\partial V(r, \theta)}{\partial r} |_{r=(a-h)} = \sigma_m \frac{\partial V_m(r, \theta)}{\partial r} |_{r=(a-h)} \)

Therefore, \( A_c = \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_c} A_m - 2 \left( \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_c} \right) \frac{B_m}{(a-h)^3} \)  

(19)

d) \( \sigma_m \frac{\partial V_m(r, \theta)}{\partial r} |_{r=a} = \sigma_e \frac{\partial V_e}{\partial r} |_{r=a} \)

Therefore, \( \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_c} \left[ A_m - \frac{2B_m}{a^3} \right] + E = -\frac{2B_e}{a^3} \)  

(20)

Using equation (18), we get,

\( A_m (\sigma_m + 2\sigma_e) = \frac{2B_m}{a^3} (\sigma_m - \sigma_e) - 3E \sigma_e \)

(21)

From the equation (17) and (19), we get,

\[
A_m + \frac{B_m}{(a-h)^3} = \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_c} A_m - 2 \left( \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_c} \right) \frac{B_m}{(a-h)^3}
\]

or \( A_m (\sigma_c - \sigma_m) = -\frac{B_m(2\sigma_m + \sigma_c)}{(a-h)^3} \)  

(22)

Dividing equations (21) and (22), we get

\[
B_m = \frac{3a^2(a-h)^3 E \sigma_e (\sigma_c - \sigma_m)}{2a^3(\sigma_m + 2\sigma_e)(\sigma_m + \frac{\sigma_e}{2}) - 2(a-h)^3(\sigma_m - \sigma_m)(\sigma_c - \sigma_m)}
\]  

(23)

Using equation (23) in (21), we get

\[
A_m = \frac{-3aE^3 \sigma_e (\sigma_c + 2\sigma_m)}{2a^3(\sigma_m + 2\sigma_e)(\sigma_m + \frac{\sigma_e}{2}) - 2(a-h)^3(\sigma_m - \sigma_m)(\sigma_c - \sigma_m)}
\]  

(24)

Using equations (23) and (24) in equation (17), we get

\[
A_c = \frac{-9aE^3 \sigma_e \sigma_m}{2a^3(\sigma_m + 2\sigma_e)(\sigma_m + \frac{\sigma_e}{2}) - 2(a-h)^3(\sigma_m - \sigma_m)(\sigma_c - \sigma_m)}
\]  

(25)

Using equations (23) and (24) in equation (18), we get

\[
B_e = \frac{E a^3(\sigma_m - \sigma_e)(2\sigma_m + \sigma_c)(a-h)^3(\sigma_m - \sigma_c)(2\sigma_m + \sigma_e)}{2a^3(\sigma_m + 2\sigma_e)(\sigma_m + \frac{\sigma_e}{2}) - 2(a-h)^3(\sigma_m - \sigma_m)(\sigma_c - \sigma_m)}
\]  

(26)

Using the values of constants \( A_m \) and \( B_m \) in equation (16), we get

\[
V_m(a - h, \theta) = (A_m(a - h) + \frac{B_m}{(a-h)^2}) \cos \theta
\]

On solving the equation by using values from equations (23) and (24), we get
\[ V_m(a-h, \theta) = \left[ \frac{-9Ea^3(\sigma_0-h)^3\sigma_e\sigma_m}{2(a-h)^2a^2(\sigma_m+2\sigma_e)(\sigma_m+\frac{\sigma_e}{2})-2(a-h)^3(\sigma_e-\sigma_m)(\sigma_e-\sigma_m)} \right] \cos \theta \]

and \[ V_m(a, \theta) = \frac{-3Ea^3\sigma_e[a^3(\sigma_e+2\sigma_m)-(a-h)^3(\sigma_e-\sigma_m)]}{a[a^2(\sigma_m+2\sigma_e)(\sigma_m+\frac{\sigma_e}{2})-2(a-h)^3(\sigma_e-\sigma_m)(\sigma_e-\sigma_m)]} \cos \theta \]

The induced transmembrane voltage (ITMV) is given by

\[ \Delta V_m = V_m(a-h, \theta) - V_m(a, \theta) \]

On solving the above equation and by reducing it we get,

\[ \Delta V_m = \frac{3\sigma_e[3a^2h_0^2(3a-h)(\sigma_m-\sigma_c)]}{2a^3(\sigma_m+2\sigma_e)(\sigma_m+\frac{\sigma_e}{2})-2(a-h)^3(\sigma_e-\sigma_m)(\sigma_e-\sigma_m)} Ea \cos \theta \]

This is also written as

\[ \Delta V_m = f_s Ea \cos \theta \tag{28} \]

where \(f_s = \frac{3}{2}\)

\[ \Delta V_m = \frac{3}{2} Ea \cos \theta \tag{29} \]

Since plasma membrane is dielectric \((\sigma_m = 0)\), therefore, equation (29) is reduced to

\[ f_s = \frac{3}{2} \]

So equation (28) can be written as

\[ \Delta V_m = \frac{3}{2} Ea \cos \theta \tag{30} \]

This equation is the steady-state Schwan's equation.

Thus, ITMV depends upon the cell radius, the magnitude of the applied electric field, and the orientation of the cell.

The positions of the spherical cell corresponding to \(\theta\) to \(0^0\) and \(180^0\) with respect to the electric field are called poles of the cell, and values of ITMV at poles are given by.

\[ \Delta V_m = \begin{cases} +\frac{3}{2} Ea & \text{for } \theta = 0^0 \\ -\frac{3}{2} Ea & \text{for } \theta = 180^0 \end{cases} \]

Thus, TMV values are maximum at poles of the spherical cell subjected to the DC electric field for hundreds of µs. The variation of \(\Delta V_m\) with angle \(\theta\) for a spherical cell and constant electric field "E" is shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 5. The variation of \(\Delta V_m\) with angle \(\theta\) for a spherical cell.](https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC122.19511961)

The transient behavior of the cell in the initial microseconds can be described by taking into consideration the dielectric permittivity of the membrane \(\varepsilon_m\) in addition to the electric conductivity.

https://biointerfaceresearch.com/
Hence, the equation of ITMV can be modified as
\[ \Delta V_m = \frac{3}{2} E_a \cos \theta \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_m}} \right) \]
where \( \tau_m \) is the membrane charging time and can be expressed as
\[ \tau_m = R_c C_m = \frac{a \varepsilon_m}{2h \left( \frac{\sigma_c \sigma_e}{\sigma_c + 2\sigma_e} \right) + a \sigma_m} \]

The membrane charging time is the time between the applied electric field and the induced TMV. The time delay under normal physiological conditions is less than \( \mu s \) but can be increased in the case of cells suspended in a low conductive medium. For AC electric field having a time period greater than \( \tau_m \) and for rectangular pulses longer than \( \tau_m \), the amplitude of ITMV is given by
\[ \Delta V_m = \frac{3}{2} E_a \cos \theta \]
For AC electric field having time period equal to or comparable to \( \tau_m \) is given by,
\[ \Delta V_m = \frac{3}{2} E_a \cos \theta \left( 1 - \frac{1}{e} \right) \]

Thus, we can conclude that the ITMV gets decreased exponentially with the application of the pulsed electric field.

3.2. COMSOL simulation of molecular transport.

To further investigate the molecular transport in an electroporated Schwan cell, we simulated the cell using the parameters mentioned in Table 1 in COMSOL Multiphysics 5.3.

To calculate the value of the electric field that is needed to be applied, we first set the ITMV \( V_m \) to 1V and \( \theta \) to be 00. The applied electric field is calculated to be 6.17 V. The calculations were made by considering the 30\( \mu \)m electrode gap [26]. The result of the simulation is shown in Figure 6.

![Figure 6. Time vs. intracellular concentration.](image)

From the graph, it is evident that the concentration of molecules started to increase with the electric field application. It has reached a maximum concentration of 200 mM. The concentration started to drop to 175 mM as the applied electric field started to decrease.

Thus, we can conclude that electroporation results in the transport of molecules into the intracellular space and can be used for DNA vaccination and plasmid delivery once the ITMV is calculated and a closed-form expression is derived.
4. Conclusions

This paper derives the close form expression for induced transmembrane voltage. The derivation includes the utilization of Gauss's law for the calculation of electric field distribution. The solution is obtained by converting the equation to Laplace's equation and solving it to calculate ITMV. The close loop expression is further used to evaluate the molecular transport mechanism in Multiphysics software.

The results obtained from the simulation significantly match with the extracellular concentration profile obtained by the empirical methods from the literature. The close form expression evolved in this paper helps in defining parameters for electroporation-assisted vaccine delivery of Covid-19. The simulation presents a time-efficient method for vaccine development in comparison to experimental methods.
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