Determination of sodium hyaluronate in pharmaceutical formulations by HPLC–UV
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Abstract A liquid chromatography (HPLC) method with UV detection was developed for determination of sodium hyaluronate in pharmaceutical formulation. Sodium hyaluronate is a polymer of disaccharides, composed of D-glucuronic acid and D-N-acetylglucosamine, linked via alternating $\beta-1, 4$ and $\beta-1, 3$ glycosidic bonds. Being a polymer compound it lacks a UV absorbing chromophore. In the absence of a UV absorbing chromophore and highly polar nature of compound, the analysis becomes a major challenge. To overcome these problems a novel method for the determination of sodium hyaluronate was developed and validated based on size exclusion liquid chromatography (SEC) with UV detection. An isotropic mobile phase consisting of buffer 0.05 M potassium dihydrogen phosphate, pH adjusted to 7.0 using potassium hydroxide (10%) was used. Chromatography was carried out at 25°C on a BioSep SEC S2000, 300 mm $\times$ 7.8 mm column. The detection was carried out using variable wavelength UV–vis detector set at 205 nm. The compounds were eluted isocratically at a steady flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. Sodium hyaluronate retention time was about 4.9 min with an asymmetry factor of 1.93. A calibration curve was obtained from 1 to 38 g/mL ($r^2 = 0.9998$). Within-day % RSD was 1.0 and between-day % RSD was 1.10. Specificity/selectivity experiments revealed the absence of interference from excipients, recovery from spiked samples for sodium hyaluronate was 99–102. The developed method was applied to the determination of sodium hyaluronate in pharmaceutical drug substance and product.

1. Introduction

Sodium hyaluronate is an anionic, nonsulfated glycosaminoglycan distributed widely throughout connective, epithelial, and neural tissues [1]. It is unique among glycosaminoglycans in that it is nonsulfated, forms in the plasma membrane instead of the Golgi, and can be very large, with its molecular weight often reaching the
Relative molecular weight values (Mn, Mw, Mz and Mp),
molecular weight distribution: MWD and polydispersity: Mw/Mn.
Procedure involves lengthy and tedious column calibration. In
regards to polymers, the molecular masses of most of the chains
will be too close resulting in eluting broad peaks in the GPC
separations.

The proposed method could be of use to industries that deal
with sodium hyaluronate and need to determine its content without
having to invest into GPC software.

2. Experimental

2.1. Instrumentation

Integrated high performance liquid chromatographic systems LC-
2010AHT from Shimadzu Corporation (Chromatographic and
Spectrophotometric Division, Kyoto, Japan) consisted of a binary
gradient system, a high speed auto-sampler, a column oven and
a UV–vis detector. BioSep SEC S2000, 300 mm × 7.8 mm analy-
tical column from USA, was used as stationary phase. Chromatog-
rams were recorded and integrated on PC installed with LC
solution chromatographic software, version 1.22 SP1 (Shimadzu,
Kyoto, Japan).

2.2. Reference substances, reagents and chemicals

Sodium hyaluronate was obtained from Yantai Dongcheng
Biochemicals, China. Sodium phosphate, sodium azide, phospho-
rice acid, potassium hydroxide, and potassium dihydrogen phos-
phate were purchased from Panreac Quimica (Barcelona) Espana.
Distilled water was obtained from a Milli-Q system Millipore,
Milford, MA, USA. All the chemicals and reagents were of
analytical or reagent grade. Reference standards of sodium
hyaluronate were obtained from British Pharmacopoeia Commis-
sion Laboratory, London. The excipients sodium dihydrogen
phosphate, di-sodium hydrogen phosphate and sodium chloride
were obtained from Merck, Germany. Ophthalmic formulations
containing sodium hyaluronate were developed and manufactured
in our research and development laboratory.

2.3. Chromatographic conditions

Isocratic mobile phase consisted of a 0.05 M potassium dihydro-
gen phosphate, pH adjusted to 7.0 using potassium hydroxide
(10% solution). The mobile phase was filtered and degassed
through membrane filter of 0.45 μm porosity under vacuum.
A constant flow rate of 1.0 mL/min was employed throughout
the analysis. Variable UV–vis detector wavelength was set at
205 nm. All pertinent analyses were made at 25 °C and volume of
solution injected on to the column was 10 μL.

2.4. Samples

Test samples were ophthalmic solution prepared in-house and
purchased from the local market with composition of 2.0 mg/mL
of sodium hyaluronate. Other test samples used were accelerated
stability samples with similar composition.
2.5. Solution preparation

2.5.1. Sodium hyaluronate standard solution
Standard solutions were prepared by transferring accurately about 80.0 mg of sodium hyaluronate reference standard to a 200 mL volumetric flask. A 150 mL portion of mobile phase was added initially and stirred on a magnetic stirrer until it dissolved. The solution was diluted to volume with the mobile phase and mixed. The solution was filtered through 0.45 μm membrane filter and 10 μL was injected.

2.5.2. Estimation from formulations
Contents of five containers of ophthalmic solutions, containing sodium hyaluronate 2.0 mg/mL, were transferred to a 100 mL beaker. From this, a 5 mL portion of analyte was transferred to a 25 mL volumetric flask. Initially 10 mL of mobile phase was added and shaken by hand for few minutes to extract and solubilize sodium hyaluronate and made to the volume with mobile phase. The solution was filtered through 0.45 μm membrane filter and 10 μL was injected directly on to the column.

2.6. Quantitation
Peak areas were recorded for sodium hyaluronate peak. The peak areas were taken into account to quantitate the label amount, in percentage, of the ophthalmic solution by using the following formula:

\[
\text{Sodium hyaluronate(\%)} = \frac{Ru}{Rs} \times \frac{C2}{200} \times \frac{25}{5} \times \frac{1}{LC} \times P
\]

---

**Fig. 1** (A) Mobile phase: 0.01 M phosphoric acid, pH adjusted to 3.0 using 10% potassium hydroxide, flow rate 0.50 mL/min, column, ultrahydrogel, 300 mm × 7.8 mm, 1000 Å and detector wavelength set at 205 nm. (B) Mobile phase: 0.1 M ammonium dihydrogen phosphate, flow rate 0.50 mL/min, column, ultrahydrogel, 300 mm × 7.8 mm, 1000 Å and detector wavelength set at 205 nm.

**Fig. 2** Effect of pH on retention time, detection response (peak area) and efficiency (as shown as plate number N/column) of sodium hyaluronate. Column, BioSep SEC S2000, 300 mm × 7.8 mm, mobile phase: 0.05 M potassium hydrogen phosphate, pH adjusted to shown below using potassium hydroxide (10% solution), flow rate of 1.0 mL/min, wavelength set at 205 nm and injection volume 10 μL.

**Fig. 3** Effect of buffer on detection response (peak area) and capacity factor of sodium hyaluronate. Column, BioSep SEC S2000, 300 mm × 7.8 mm, mobile phase: buffer (potassium hydrogen phosphate) at varied concentration as shown in below, pH adjusted to 7.0 using potassium hydroxide (10% solution), flow rate of 1.0 mL/min, wavelength set at 205 nm and injection volume 10 μL.


where $R_u$ is peak area obtained from sodium hyaluronate in the test solution; $R_s$ is the peak area obtained from sodium hyaluronate in the standard solution; $C$ is the weight, in mg, of sodium hyaluronate reference standard taken to prepare standard solution; $L_C$ is the label claim, in mg, of the test sample; $P$ is purity of sodium hyaluronate reference standard.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Chromatography

Chromatographic system comprising mixture of 0.01 M dibasic sodium phosphate, monobasic sodium phosphate and 0.02% sodium azide, as mobile phase at a constant flow rate of 0.5 mL/min, ultrahydrogel, 300 mm × 7.8 mm, 1000 Å and ultrahydrogel 300 mm × 7.8 mm, waters column as stationary phase in parallel and use of PDA detector resulted in no peak elution even after 60 min run time. Same chromatographic conditions were used as above with RI detector which resulted in peak elution with low response. 0.1 M aqueous potassium dihydrogen phosphate buffer, pH adjusted to 7.0 with 10% potassium hydroxide was tried and in isocratic conditions on the polysep-GFC-plinear 250 mm × 4.6 mm column, to obtain symmetrical peak shapes and clear separation of the signal peaks from the solvent front peaks but it resulted in no peak elution.

Upon investigation of following chromatographic system containing 0.01 M phosphoric acid, pH adjusted to 3.0 using potassium hydroxide and 0.1 M ammonium dihydrogen phosphate solution as mobile phase at a constant flow rate of 0.50 mL/min, ultrahydrogel, 300 mm × 7.8 mm, 1000 Å analytical column as stationary phase and detector wavelength at 205 nm resulted in peak elution at 3.2 min and 4.3 min respectively.

These investigations have resulted in very close elution of sodium hyaluronate to dead volume peak arising from diluents, shown in Fig. 1A and B.

Further, in order to develop a suitable and robust LC method for the determination of sodium hyaluronate by UV detection different mobile phases and columns were employed to achieve the best signal response and retention time. Finally, the mobile phase consisting of 0.05 M potassium dihydrogen phosphate, pH adjusted to 7.0 using potassium hydroxide (10%) at a constant flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and detector wavelength set at 205 nm, using a BioSep SEC S2000 300 mm × 7.8 mm, column was found to be appropriate, allowing good signal response of sodium hyaluronate.

3.2. Optimization of HPLC

The pH of the mobile phase can affect the analyte's retention time as well as the detection sensitivity. Fig. 2 shows the results of retention, detection response (peak area) and efficiency (shown as plate number $N$/column) of sodium hyaluronate at different pH. The optimal pH 7.0 was chosen for the determination of sodium hyaluronate by UV detection different mobile phases and columns were employed to achieve the best signal response and retention time. Finally, the mobile phase consisting of 0.05 M potassium dihydrogen phosphate, pH adjusted to 7.0 using potassium hydroxide (10%) at a constant flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and detector wavelength set at 205 nm, using a BioSep SEC S2000 300 mm × 7.8 mm, column was found to be appropriate, allowing good signal response of sodium hyaluronate.

| Level | Theoretical amount (mg/mL) | Theoretical (% of target level) | Determined amount (mg/mL) | Determined (% of target level) | Recovery (%) | Bias (%) |
|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| 1     | 0.320                     | 80.00                           | 0.3179                    | 79.78           | 99.35       | +1.2    |
|       | 0.320                     | 80.00                           | 0.3223                    | 80.59           | 100.73      | +0.40   |
|       | 0.320                     | 80.00                           | 0.3154                    | 78.85           | 98.56       | +0.10   |
| 2     | 0.400                     | 100.00                          | 0.3947                    | 98.67           | 98.67       | −0.08   |
|       | 0.400                     | 100.00                          | 0.3967                    | 99.17           | 99.17       | +0.80   |
|       | 0.400                     | 100.00                          | 0.3964                    | 99.10           | 99.10       | +0.32   |
| 3     | 0.480                     | 120.00                          | 0.4728                    | 118.20          | 98.50       | +0.13   |
|       | 0.480                     | 120.00                          | 0.4809                    | 120.22          | 100.18      | +0.46   |
|       | 0.480                     | 120.00                          | 0.4767                    | 119.17          | 99.31       | +0.26   |
aqueous environment which is unfavorable for ion-pairing. Therefore, potassium dihydrogen phosphate at pH 7.0 was chosen for estimation of sodium hyaluronate. Typical chromatogram of test solution is shown in Fig. 4.

3.3. Method validation

Test method for the determination of sodium hyaluronate was validated to include the essential demands of International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines [29]. Parameters like specificity, linearity, accuracy, precision, range, robustness and system suitability were examined.

3.3.1. Specificity

No interferences were observed due to obvious presence of excipients like sodium dihydrogen phosphate, disodium hydrogen phosphate and sodium chloride.

3.3.2. Linearity

Peak areas versus concentration in milligram per milliliter were plotted for sodium hyaluronate at the concentration range between 80.0 and 120.0% of target level. Sodium hyaluronate showed linearity in the range of 0.32–0.48 mg/mL, respectively. Linear regression equations and correlation coefficient ($r^2$) are provided below: $Y_{\text{sodium hyaluronate}} = 124908.2x - 101.658$ ($r^2 = 0.999910$).

3.3.3. Accuracy

Accuracy of the proposed HPLC determination was evaluated from the assay results of the components. Accuracy was done by performing the assay of samples and calculated the peak area responses of different samples by recovery method.

Appropriate portions of stock solution were spiked into blank placebo matrix to produce concentration of 80.0 to 120.0% of target level. Mean recovery of spiked samples was 99.30% for sodium hyaluronate shown in Table 1.

3.3.4. Precision

Instrumental precision was determined by six replicate determinations of standard solution, relative standard deviation was calculated and found to be 0.49% for sodium hyaluronate.

Method precision or intra-assay precision was performed by preparing six different samples from the same sample pool. Each solution was injected in triplicate under the same conditions and mean value of peak area response for each solution was taken. The relative standard deviation of sodium hyaluronate in six sample solutions was calculated. Relative standard deviations obtained for sodium hyaluronate was 0.51%.

Intermediate precision was performed by analyzing the samples by two different analysts employing different instruments. Standard solution and six different samples at 100% target level were prepared by each analyst. Relative standard deviation obtained from 12 assay results by two analysts was 0.61% for sodium hyaluronate.

3.3.5. Range

Range of a method is defined as the lower and higher concentrations for which the method has adequate accuracy, precision and linearity. To demonstrate the range, six samples each of lower concentration (80% of target level) and higher concentration (120% of target level) were prepared similar to accuracy samples by spiking the drug substance into blank matrix (placebo). Each sample was analyzed in duplicate. At lower concentration, mean recovery of sodium hyaluronate was found to be 99.42%. Relative standard deviation obtained from these determinations was found to be 0.68% for sodium hyaluronate. At higher concentration, mean recovery of sodium hyaluronate was found to be 100.08%. Relative standard deviation obtained at the higher concentration level was found to be 0.49%.

3.3.6. Robustness

Robustness of the proposed method was performed by keeping chromatographic conditions constant with following deliberate variations.

i. Change in column temperature.

ii. Changing flow rate from 1.0 to 1.2 mL/min.

Standard solution was injected six times in replicate for each minor change. System suitability parameters like peak asymmetry, theoretical plates, capacity factor and relative standard deviation were recorded for sodium hyaluronate peak and found to be within acceptable limits.

Six test samples at the target concentration level were prepared and analyzed for each change. Recoveries and relative standard deviations were calculated for sodium hyaluronate during each change and found to be 98.90–100.52% and less than 1.0 respectively. It was noted during the experiments that slight change in column temperature or flow rate does not affect the method and produces results with of similar system suitability.

3.3.7. System suitability

System suitability tests were performed to chromatograms obtained from standard and test solutions to check parameters such as peak retention, column efficiency, peak asymmetry and

| Table 2 | System suitability parameters of the proposed method. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Descriptive statistics | Retention time (min) | Peak asymmetry | Theoretical plates | Capacity factor |
| Average         | 4.98      | 1.94       | 2154.6          | 4.10           |
| %RSD            | 0.13      | 0.39       | 0.17            | 0.36           |

Each value is average of six determinations ($n = 6$).

| Table 3 | Application of the developed HPLC method for the determination of sodium hyaluronate. |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Manufacturer | Country of origin | Trade name | B. no | Conc. (mg/mL) $^a$ | Assay (%) |
| Sun Pharma   | India         | Hyvisc     | HK60345 | 0.10         | 99.98 $^a$ |
| URASAPHARM   | Germany       | Hylo-comp  | 034097  | 0.10         | 98.98 $^a$ |
| In-house RT  | Saudi Arabia  | Hyfresh    | HK023   | 0.20         | 99.99 $^a$ |
| In-house accelerated | Saudi Arabia | Hyfresh | HK023   | 0.20         | 98.26 $^a$ |

$^a$Each value is average of two determinations ($n = 2$).
capacity factor of sodium hyaluronate peak. Results obtained from six replicate injections of standard solution as per the proposed method are summarized in Table 2.

3.4. Application of the proposed method

In-house prepared samples, marketed samples and samples stored at accelerated stability conditions (40 °C/25%RH) were evaluated for assay of sodium hyaluronate. The method gave reproducible results of assay for all the samples tested for sodium hyaluronate. There was no interference observed in the estimation of test samples since the peak eluted at a reasonable retention time. The excipients in ophthalmic solution of sodium hyaluronate as a result of accelerated storage did not interfere with the estimation of the component. The assay of test samples (RT and accelerated) and market samples are summarized in Table 3.

4. Conclusion

A size exclusion liquid chromatography method based on UV detection has been developed and validated for determination of sodium hyaluronate in pharmaceutical formulations (ophthalmic solution). The method is specific, simple, rapid, accurate, precise (RSD<2.0%) and linear $r^2 = 0.9999$. The described method is suitable for routine quality control and stability studies.
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