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Abstract. It is proven that for any numbers \( n = 2^m - 1 \), \( m \geq 4 \) and \( r \), such that \( n - \log(n+1) \leq r \leq n \) excluding \( n = r = 63, n = 127, r \in \{126, 127\} \) and \( n = r = 2047 \) there exists a propelinear perfect binary code of length \( n \) and rank \( r \).
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1. Introduction

Denote by \( \mathbb{F}^n \) a vector space of dimension \( n \) over the Galois field \( GF(2) \) with respect to the Hamming distance. The Hamming distance \( d(u, v) \) between two vectors \( u, v \in \mathbb{F}^n \) is defined as the number of coordinates in which \( u \) and \( v \) differ. Any isometry of \( \mathbb{F}^n \) is given by a coordinate permutation and a translation. We denote by \( \text{Iso}(\mathbb{F}^n) \) the group of all isometries of \( \mathbb{F}^n \):

\[ \text{Iso}(\mathbb{F}^n) = \{ (v, \pi) \mid v \in \mathbb{F}^n, \pi \in S_n \} \]

where \( S_n \) denotes the symmetric group of degree \( n \) and \( (v, \pi)(x) = v + \pi(x) \) for any \( x \in \mathbb{F}^n \). The group operation in \( \text{Iso}(\mathbb{F}^n) \) is the composition \( (u, \pi) \circ (v, \tau) = (u + \pi(v), \pi \tau) \) for all \( (u, \pi), (v, \tau) \in \text{Iso}(\mathbb{F}^n) \). Here, and throughout the entire paper, we use \( \pi \tau(x) = \pi(\tau(x)) \) for \( x \in \mathbb{F}^n \).

An arbitrary subset of \( \mathbb{F}^n \) is called a binary code of length \( n \). The minimum distance of a code \( C \) is the minimum value of the Hamming distance between any two different codewords from \( C \). Two codes \( C \) and \( D \) are said to be equivalent if \( C = \phi(D) \), for some isometry \( \phi \) of \( \mathbb{F}^n \). By \( \text{Sym}(C) \) we denote the group of all coordinate permutations that fix the code \( C \) set-wise and call it the symmetry group of \( C \). By \( \text{Iso}(C) \) we denote the group of all isometries of \( \mathbb{F}^n \) fixing the code \( C \) set-wise, and we call it the automorphism group of \( C \). Note that in some papers, code automorphisms are defined as coordinate permutations fixing the code set-wise.

A code \( C \) is called single-error-correcting perfect (or perfect, for the sake of brevity) if for any vector \( x \in \mathbb{F}^n \) there exists exactly one vector \( y \in C \) such that \( d(x, y) \leq 1 \). It is well known that such codes exist if and only if \( n = 2^m - 1, m \geq 1 \). For any \( n = 2^m - 1, m \geq 1 \), there is exactly one, up to equivalence, linear perfect code of length \( n \) and it is called the Hamming code.

Throughout the paper we assume that \( C \in \mathbb{F}^n \) is a perfect code of length \( n \) containing the all-zero vector \( 0^n \) with \( n \) coordinates. For such a code \( C \), its kernel

\[ \ker(C) = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid \phi(x) = x, \forall \phi \in \text{Sym}(C) \} \]

\[ \text{Iso}(\mathbb{F}^n) = \{ (v, \pi) \mid v \in \mathbb{F}^n, \pi \in S_n \} \]
K is defined as the set of all codewords that leave C invariant under translation, that is,
\[ K = \{ x \in C \mid x + C = C \}. \]
The kernel K of C is a linear subspace of \( \mathbb{F}^n \) and the code C is a union of cosets of K. Rank \( \text{rank}(C) \) of a code C is the dimension of the linear span \( \langle C \rangle \).

A code C is called transitive if \( \text{Iso}(C) \) acts transitively on C.

Let \( \Pi \) be a mapping of the codewords from C into the admissible permutations: \( x \mapsto \pi_x : (x, \pi_x) \in \text{Iso}(C) \), such that \( \pi_{(x, \pi_x)y} = \pi_x \pi_y \). Then we can define a group operation on C:
\[ x \ast y = (x, \pi_x)y. \]
A code equipped with the operation defined above is called a propelinear structure on C and is denoted by \( (C, \Pi, \ast) \) (simply \( (C, \ast) \) if we do not need any information on \( \Pi \)). A code is called propelinear if it has a propelinear structure.

It is easy to see that any propelinear code is transitive. Transitive codes were constructed and studied in [12, 13]. Propelinear codes were introduced in [8] and investigated further in [9] [2, 3]. It is proven that perfect propelinear codes can be obtained by using the well known Vasil’ev construction, see [10], and by the Mollard construction, see the proof in [2]. In [3] an exponential number of nonequivalent propelinear perfect codes having small ranks is presented.

In this paper we solve the rank problem for propelinear perfect codes: all possible ranks of perfect codes are attainable by propelinear perfect codes, except full ranks for lengths 63, 127, 2047 and the rank 126 for codes of length 127.

2. Propelinear full rank perfect codes of lengths 15 and 31

Let us recall the Vasil’ev construction [14]. Let C be a perfect binary code of length \( (n-1)/2 \). Let \( \lambda \) be any map from C into the set \{0, 1\} and \( |x| = x_1 + \cdots + x_n \), where \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), \( x_i \in \{0, 1\} \). The code
\[ C^n = \{(x + y, |x| + \lambda(y), x) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}^{(n-1)/2}, y \in C\} \]
is called Vasil’ev perfect code. Let \( (C, \ast) \) be a propelinear structure on C, then a homomorphism \( \lambda \) from \( (C, \ast) \) into \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is called a propelinear homomorphism (or propelinear function).

**Theorem 1.** (See [10]) Let \( (C, \ast) \) be a propelinear structure on a perfect binary code C of length n, let \( \lambda \) be a propelinear function from the code C into \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \). Then the Vasil’ev code \( C^n \) is propelinear perfect.

Generally speaking, the problem of checking propelinearity of a given transitive code is computationally hard. In [2] we limited ourselves with normalized propelinear codes. Recall, see [2], that a propelinear structure \( (C, \Pi, \ast) \) is called a normalized propelinear if the permutations assigned to the codewords of the same coset of the kernel, coincide. Computer research is carried out in a way that the number of possible candidates for propelinear structures increases exponentially as the size of kernels decreases by unity, meaning that codes of full rank seem to be out of a computational reach (as they have relatively small kernels). In order to avoid this problem, we require codes to have trivial symmetry groups. In this case, there is just one opportunity for a assignment of permutations, in other words, \( \text{Aut}(C) \).
is acting regularly on codewords of $C$, \[11\]. So, $C$ is a normalized propelinear code and the following statement holds.

**Lemma 1.** A transitive code with trivial symmetry group is normalized propelinear.

Among perfect codes of length 15 from the database \[6\], we found 44 transitive codes with trivial symmetry groups, 39 of them having full rank and 5 having rank 14. Note that the existence of propelinear perfect codes of length 15 of all possible ranks, with the exception of full rank code, was previously shown in \[2\].

**Lemma 2.** There is a propelinear perfect code of length 15 of any admissible rank.

We give two more lemmas concerning Vasil’ev codes. Note that the assigned permutations $\Pi(C)$ of the propelinear code $C$ of length $(n-1)/2$ form a subgroup of $S_{(n-1)/2}$, see \[2\]. Some of the homomorphisms of $C$ into $Z_2$ can be described in terms of those of the group $\Pi(C)$.

**Lemma 3.** Let $(C, \Pi, \ast)$ be a propelinear code. Any group homomorphism $\lambda'$ of $(\Pi(C), \circ)$ into $Z_2$ can be extended to a propelinear homomorphism $\lambda$ of $(C, \Pi, \ast)$ into $Z_2$ in the following way: $\lambda(x) := \lambda'(\pi x)$.

**Proof.** The structure-preserving property follows immediately from the definition of a propelinear code:

$$\lambda(x \ast y) = \lambda'(\pi_{x \ast y}) = \lambda'((x, x_1) y) = \lambda'(x) + \lambda'(y) = \lambda(x) + \lambda(y).$$

**Lemma 4.** Let $C^n$ be a code given by the Vasil’ev construction \[11\] with function $\lambda$. Then $\text{rank}(C^n) = \text{rank}(\{(y, \lambda(y)) : y \in C\}) + (n - 1)/2$ and $\text{rank}(C) + (n - 1)/2 \leq \text{rank}(C^n) \leq \text{rank}(C) + (n + 1)/2$.

**Proof.** The basis of the linear span of $C^n$ can be chosen in such a way that it contains vectors: $(x^i, |x^i|, x^i)$, for vectors $\{x^i : i \in \{1, \ldots, (n-1)/2\}\}$ being a basis of $F^{(n-1)/2}$. Obviously, the rank of $\{(y, \lambda(y), 0^{(n-1)/2}) : y \in C\}$ is equal to that of $\{(y, \lambda(y)) : y \in C\}$.

Depending on the function $\lambda$ the rank of the code $C^n$ is equal to $\text{rank}(C) + (n + 1)/2$ if the vector $e_{n+1}$ belongs to its span, otherwise it is equal to $\text{rank}(C) + (n - 1)/2$.

**Theorem 2.** There exists a full rank normalized propelinear perfect binary code of length 31.

**Proof.** Lemma \[2\] implies the existence of propelinear perfect codes of length 15 of full rank. In order to construct a perfect code of length 31 of full rank, another computer search was carried out. As mentioned before, there are exactly 39 propelinear full rank perfect codes of length 15 with trivial symmetry group. For each of the codes we considered propelinear homomorphisms of special type, i.e., satisfying Lemma \[3\] and looked at the sizes of the ranks of the Vasil’ev codes of length 31 using Lemma \[4\]. Only three of 39 codes (the numbers of these codes are 5584, 5844, 5823 from the database \[6\]) produce full rank Vasil’ev codes of length 31. An interesting fact is that the symmetry groups of the Steiner triple systems of the obtained codes of length 31 are trivial, so the codes inherit the trivial symmetry group property.
3. Rank problem

In this section we solve the rank problem for propelinear perfect codes using the results of the previous section as well as the Vasil’ev and the Mollard constructions. Recall the Mollard construction for binary codes. Let $C^t$ and $C^m$ be any two perfect codes of lengths $t$ and $m$, respectively, containing all-zero vectors.

Let $x = (x_{11}, x_{12}, \ldots, x_{1m}, x_{21}, \ldots, x_{2m}, \ldots, x_{t1}, \ldots, x_{tm}) \in \mathbb{F}^{tm}$. The generalized parity-check functions $p_1(x)$ and $p_2(x)$ are defined as $p_1(x) = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_t) \in \mathbb{F}^t$, $p_2(x) = (\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \ldots, \sigma'_m) \in \mathbb{F}^m$, where $\sigma_i = \sum_{j=1}^m x_{ij}$ and $\sigma'_j = \sum_{i=1}^t x_{ij}$. Let $f$ be any function from $C^t$ to $\mathbb{F}^m$. The set

$$\mathcal{M}(C^t, C^m) = \{(x, y + p_1(x), z + p_2(x) + f(y)) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}^{tm}, y \in C^t, z \in C^m\}$$

is a perfect binary Mollard code of length $n = tm + t + m$, see [5]. Here the abbreviation $\mathcal{M}(C^t, C^m)$ indicates the lengths of initial codes $C^t$ and $C^m$. It is clear that the codes with other lengths $t'$ and $m'$ can also yield a perfect code $\mathcal{M}(C^{t'}, C^{m'})$, with the same parameters as the code $\mathcal{M}(C^t, C^m)$, both these codes could coincide or be different, moreover, they could be nonequivalent.

**Theorem 3.** (See [2]) Let $C^t$ and $C^m$ be arbitrary propelinear perfect binary codes of lengths $t$ and $m$, respectively. Let $f$ be a propelinear homomorphism from $C^t$ to $\mathbb{F}^m$. Then the Mollard code $\mathcal{M}(C^t, C^m)$ is a propelinear perfect binary code of length $n = tm + t + m$, see [2].

Further we consider the Mollard codes with the function $f \equiv 0^m$.

**Lemma 5.** (See [13]) The perfect binary Mollard code $\mathcal{M}(C^t, C^m)$ of length $n = tm + t + m$ with $f \equiv 0^m$ has rank $tm + r(C^t) + r(C^m)$.

**Theorem 4.** For any $n = 2^m - 1$, $m \geq 4$ and arbitrary $r$, satisfying $n - \log(n + 1) \leq r \leq n$ excluding cases of $n = r = 63; n = 127, r \in \{126, 127\}$ and $n = r = 2047$, there exists a propelinear perfect binary code of length $n$ and rank $r$.

**Proof.** The proof is provided by applying the Vasil’ev construction for small $n$ and by induction applying the Mollard construction beginning with $n = 2^8 - 1$. In order to make the induction step working we need several initial steps.

By Lemma 2 for $n = 15$ we have propelinear perfect codes of length 15 of all possible ranks.

Using these propelinear codes of length 15, Theorem 1 and Lemma 4 setting the function $\lambda \equiv 0$ we obtain propelinear perfect codes of length 31 having all possible ranks with the exception of full rank. A full rank code we have by Theorem 2.

Applying further the Vasil’ev construction with the function $\lambda \equiv 0$ we obtain for $n = 63$ propelinear perfect codes of all possible ranks, except the full rank. For $n = 127$ we start with the obtained Vasil’ev perfect codes of length 63 and again by the Vasil’ev construction with $\lambda \equiv 0$ we obtain propelinear codes of length 127 for all possible ranks with the exceptions of codes of full rank and rank 126.

Let us consider the Mollard codes

(2) $$\mathcal{M}(C^{2^4 - 1}, C^{2^4 - 1}), \mathcal{M}(C^{2^4 - 1}, C^{2^2 - 1}), \mathcal{M}(C^{2^2 - 1}, C^{2^2 - 1})$$

of lengths 255, 511 and 1023 respectively. From Lemma 5, varying the propelinear codes of different ranks of lengths 15 and 31, we get the propelinear Mollard codes (2) for each possible rank.
In order to fulfill the case \( n = r = 2^{11} - 1 = 2047 \) we have to construct the Mollard code \( \mathcal{M}(C^{2^7-1}, C^{2^8-1}) \) or \( \mathcal{M}(C^{2^5-1}, C^{2^6-1}) \) from full rank propelinear codes of length 63 or 127, which we do not have (or we have to use another approach to construct such codes). But as we see below the open cases do not influence on the process of obtaining propelinear perfect codes of all possible ranks and all admissible lengths \( n \geq 2^{12} - 1 \).

Let the theorem be true and there exist propelinear perfect codes of any rank for every length

\[
2^{4s} - 1, \quad 2^{4s+1} - 1, \quad 2^{4s+2} - 1
\]

for \( s \geq 2 \).

Applying the Mollard construction to these propelinear codes and propelinear perfect codes of length 15 or 31 of different ranks by Theorem 3 we obtain the following four perfect codes

\[
\mathcal{M}(C^{2^s-1}, C^{2^s-1}), \quad \mathcal{M}(C^{2^s-1}, C^{2^s+1-1}), \quad \mathcal{M}(C^{2^s+1-1}, C^{2^s-1}), \quad \mathcal{M}(C^{2^s+2-1}, C^{2^s-1}),
\]

of lengths

\[
2^{4(s+1)} - 1, \quad 2^{4s+5} - 1, \quad 2^{4s+6} - 1, \quad 2^{4s+7} - 1,
\]

respectively. From Lemma 5 we see that varying the codes of different ranks in the induction hypotheses, we obtain the Mollard codes (3) for every length (4) for each possible rank, beginning with the rank of the Hamming code up to the full rank. Since we did not use in the inductive step any propelinear codes of lengths \( 2^{4s+3} - 1, \quad s \geq 2 \) and among them the propelinear codes of lengths 63, 127 and \( 2^{11} - 1 \), this completes the proof.

Remarks. In our opinion the open cases \( n = r = 63 \) and \( n = r = 127 \) can be covered by the Vasil’ev construction applied to full-rank propelinear perfect codes of lengths 31 and 63 using a special propelinear functions. The last two open cases \( n = 127, \quad r = 126 \) and \( n = r = 2^{11} - 1 \) could be then covered by the Vasil’ev construction with the zero function \( \lambda \) and by the Mollard construction \( \mathcal{M}(C^{2^5-1}, C^{2^6-1}) \) (or \( \mathcal{M}(C^{2^5-1}, C^{2^6-1}) \)) with the zero function \( f \) respectively.

The question of nontrivial lower and upper bounds on kernel dimension, as well as the rank and kernel problem for propelinear perfect codes are still open. The rank and kernel problem can be formulated as follows: which pairs of numbers \((r, k)\) are attainable as the rank \( r \) and kernel dimension \( k \) of some propelinear perfect code of length \( n \). Recall that the rank and kernel problem for perfect binary codes was solved in [1].

All computer searches have been carried out using the MAGMA [15] software package. Some properties of perfect transitive codes of length 15 and extended perfect transitive codes of length 16 such as rank, dimension of the kernel, order of the automorphism group can be found in [4].
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