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Nisha Singh Rathore, Punit Kumar*

Department of Physics, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, India

Email address: punitkumar@hotmail.com (P. Kumar)

*Corresponding author

To cite this article: Nisha Singh Rathore and Punit Kumar. Phase Matched Third Harmonic Generation of a Gaussian Laser Pulse in High-Density Quantum Plasma. American Journal of Modern Physics. Vol. 5, No. 5, 2016, pp. 154-161. doi: 10.11648/j.ajmp.20160505.16

Received: July 22, 2016; Accepted: August 10, 2016; Published: October 6, 2016

Abstract: Third harmonic generation due to linearly polarized Gaussian laser pulse propagating through quantum plasma immersed in transverse wiggler magnetic field is studied using the quantum hydrodynamic (QHD) model. The effects associated with the Fermi pressure, the Bohm potential and the electron spin have been taken into account. Wiggler magnetic field plays both a dynamic role in producing the harmonic current and a kinematical role in ensuring phase-matching. It is shown that the harmonic radiation attain the maximum value at an instant when the phase matching is satisfied and thereafter decreases at later duration of laser pulse. The quantum effects also add to harmonic generation in the phase-matched case.
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1. Introduction

The interaction of intense laser pulse with plasma leading to harmonic generation has been an area of interest for the last thirty years. The physical phenomenon of interaction of a high intensity laser radiation with plasma leads to a number of relativistic and nonlinear effects such as self modulation, self-focusing, Raman scattering, and harmonic generation [1-2]. Harmonic generation of electromagnetic radiation in laser-produced plasmas and laboratory plasmas is an important nonlinear process with considerable potential for plasma diagnostics [3-12]. Although a number of high-order harmonic generations [13, 2] have been analyzed but the third harmonic generation [14, 15] has its unique place in the research related to laser plasma interactions. From last few years, a great deal of research has been focused on second and third harmonic in laser produced plasma [16-22]. Theory for coherent emission in the direction of propagation of laser beam, referred to as relativistic harmonic generation, has been derived [1, 2]. It indicates that because of the mismatch between the phase velocities of the laser pulse and the generated harmonics and because of the collective response of the plasma, the conversion efficiency should be low unless a means for phase-matching [23] is implemented.

Experimentally, Liu et al. [24] tried to measure the third harmonic light produced from relativistic harmonic generation but ultimately could give only an upper limit on the conversion efficiency. Averchi et. al. [25] proposed a different approach to obtain phase-matched generation of high order harmonic based on the use of pulsed Bessel beams. Sheinfux et. al. [26] demonstrated a scheme for creation of periodic plasma structures by ablating a lithographic pattern for quasiphase matched harmonic generation. Shibu and Tripathi [27] have studied phase-matched third harmonic generation of a laser beam propagating through a plasma channel and showed that the presence of a background density perturbation can account for phase-matching. Salih et al. [28] have studied the second-harmonic generation of a Gaussian laser beam in a self created magnetized plasma channel. Rax et al. [29] demonstrated that when an intense plane polarized laser pulse interacts with a plasma, the relativistic nonlinearities induce third harmonic radiation. Sapaev et. al. [30] demonstrated a novel method of quasiphase matching third harmonic generation in noble gases employing ultrasound. Kant et al. [31] observed the resonant third-harmonic generation of a short pulse laser from electron hole plasmas in the presence of wiggler magnetic field. It was observed that for a specific wiggler wave number value, the phase matching conditions
for the process are satisfied, leading to resonant enhancement in energy conversion efficiency.

All the above work has been done for classical plasma. Classical plasma physics has mainly focused on regimes of high temperatures and low densities, in which quantum mechanical effects play no role. Plasma where the density is quite high and the de-Broglie thermal wavelength associated with the charged particle i.e., \( \lambda_q = h/(2\pi m_k T) \) approaches the electron Fermi wavelength \( \lambda_{Fe} \), and exceeds the electron Debye radius \( \lambda_{De} \) (viz., \( \lambda_q \sim \lambda_{Fe} > \lambda_{De} \)), the study of quantum plasma becomes important. Furthermore, the quantum effects associated with the strong density correlation start playing a significant role when \( \lambda_q \) is of the same order or larger than the average inter-particle distance \( n^{-1/3} \), i.e., \( n\lambda_q^3 \geq 1 \) hold in degenerate plasma. However, the other condition for degeneracy is that the Fermi temperature \( T_F \) which is related to the equilibrium density \( n_e \) of the charged particles must be greater than the thermal temperature \( T \) of the system [32,33]. The high-density, low-temperature quantum Fermi plasma is significantly different from the low-density, high-temperature “classical plasma” obeying Maxwell-Boltzman distribution. During the last decade, there have been many papers devoted to influence of spin on dynamics of plasma [34, 35]. Recently, the quantum kinetic studying of the waves in plasma made [36]. The growing interest in investigating new aspects of dense quantum plasmas motivated by its potential applications in modern technology [37] e.g., microelectronics devices, quantum plasma echoes, metallic nanostructures, metal clusters, thin metal films, quantum well, quantum dots, nano-plasmonic devices, quantum x-ray free electron lasers, in super dense astrophysical environment (e.g. in the interior of Jupiter, white dwarfs, and neutron stars), in high intensity laser produced plasmas, in metallic nanostructures , in nonlinear quantum optics, in dusty plasmas and in next generation of laser based plasma compression experiment (LBPC), etc.

In this paper, we study the third harmonic generation of a Gaussian laser pulse in quantum plasma in the presence of a transverse wiggle magnetic field incorporating the quantum effects including the spin-1/2 effect. We have developed the mathematical formulation with the help of the quantum hydrodynamic (QHD) model [38, 39]. The QHD model consists of a set of equations describing the transport of charge density, momentum (including the Bohm potential) and energy in a charged particle system interacting through a self consistent electrostatic potential. QHD model is a macroscopic model and application is limited to those systems that are large compared to Fermi length of the species in the system. The advantages of the QHD model over kinetic descriptions are its numerical efficiency, the direct use of the macroscopic variables of interest such as momentum and energy and the easy way the boundary conditions are implemented.

Since quantum plasma is a highly dispersive medium, the phase matching conditions are not satisfied, thereby making the process non-resonant. If the process is made resonant, then the efficiency of the process can be enhanced significantly. Our focus is to enhance the third harmonic generation in quantum plasma by satisfying the phase matching condition in the presence of wiggler magnetic field. The wiggler provides additional momentum to make process resonant, which leads to enhance the efficiency of harmonic generation. In the process of third harmonic generation the oscillatory velocity of the plasma electrons due to pump laser field at \( (\omega_o, k_o) \) beats with a laser magnetic field to produce a second harmonic ponderomotive force at \( (2\omega_o, 2k_o) \). The oscillatory velocity due to this ponderomotive force betas with wiggler magnetic field \( (0, k_w) \) to exert a ponderomotive force at \( (2\omega_o, 2k_o + k_w) \) couples with the electron density oscillations at \( (\omega_o, k_o) \) to produce a nonlinear current at \( (3\omega_o, 3k_o + k_w) \), which drives the third-harmonic radiation.

2. Source Currents

Consider the propagation of a Gaussian laser pulse through quantum plasma of electron density \( n_e \). The electric and magnetic fields of the laser pulse are,

\[
\vec{E}_o = \hat{y}E_o \exp(k_o z - \omega_o t)
\]

\[
\vec{B}_o = \hat{x} \frac{c k_o}{\omega_o} E_o \exp(k_o z - \omega_o t).
\]

\[
E_o^2 = E_o^2 \exp(-\left( t - \frac{z}{v_g} \right)^2 / \tau^2).
\]

where \( k_o = (\omega_o / c) \eta_o \) is the propagation constant, \( \eta_o \) is the refractive index of the plasma and \( v_g = \eta_o c = c \) is the group velocity. An external wiggler magneticfield \( \vec{B}_w = \hat{z} B_w \exp(k_w z) \) is applied to the plasma. The interaction dynamics is governed by the following set of QHD equations.

\[
\frac{\partial (\gamma \vec{n})}{\partial t} = -\frac{e}{m \gamma} \left[ \vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} (\vec{v} \times \vec{B}) \right] - \frac{v_e^2 \vec{v} \vec{n}^3}{n_e^3} \n
= \frac{h^2}{2m^2 \gamma^2} \hat{\gamma} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{\gamma} \frac{\nabla^2}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{2 \mu_b}{m \hbar} \hat{S} \nabla \vec{B} \right),
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \gamma \vec{v} \cdot \hat{\gamma} \right) \hat{S} = \left( \frac{2 \mu_b}{\hbar} \right) (\vec{B} \times \hat{S}),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \gamma \vec{n}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \hat{\gamma} \frac{\partial \gamma \vec{n}}{\partial t} = 0.
\]
the plasma frequency and the frequency of gyration are being defined as \( \omega_p = \sqrt{\frac{e^2 n}{m \varepsilon_0}} \) \(^2\) and \( \omega_{\text{gyr}} = \omega / \gamma \) respectively, where \( e \) and \( m \) are electronic charge and rest mass respectively and \( \gamma \) is relativistic factor. The third term on the right-hand side of eq. (1) denotes the Fermi electron pressure. The fourth term is the quantum Bohm force and is due to the quantum corrections in the density fluctuation. The last term is the spin contribution to the momentum. The above equations are applicable even when different spin states are well represented by a macroscopic average. The wave equation for the current source is.

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{c^2 \partial t^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \nabla^2 \right) \tilde{E}(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \frac{\partial J}{\partial t},
\]

where, \( \tilde{J} \) is the current density.

From the equation of motion (eq. (2)), the components of quiver velocity at \((\omega_p, k_p)\) imparted to the plasma electron are obtained as

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{v}_{1x}^{(1)} &= v_{1x}^{(1)} E_x \exp(i k_x z - \omega_t), \\
\tilde{v}_{1y}^{(1)} &= [v_{1y}^{(1)} + v_{1y}^{(2)} \exp(i k_y z)] E_y \exp(i k_y z - \omega_t), \\
\tilde{v}_{1z}^{(1)} &= [v_{1z}^{(1)} + v_{1z}^{(2)} \exp(i k_z z)] E_z \exp(i k_z z - \omega_t),
\end{align*}
\]

where,

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{\gamma} &= \sqrt{\frac{e^2 k^2}{4 m \varepsilon_0}}, \ \gamma = (1 + \alpha^2) / 2^{1/2}, \\
\omega_p^2 &= \frac{e^2 n}{m \varepsilon_0}, \ \mu = \frac{e^2}{m c}, \\
v_{1x}^{(1)} &= \frac{k_p \Omega_{\gamma}^2}{2 n \omega_p^2} + \frac{k_p \Omega_{\gamma}^2}{2 n \omega_p^2}, \\
v_{1y}^{(1)} &= \frac{k_p \Omega_{\gamma}^2}{2 n \omega_p^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_p} \exp(\int \eta) - i \omega_p \eta \right], \\
v_{1z}^{(1)} &= \frac{k_p \Omega_{\gamma}^2}{2 n \omega_p^2} \left[ \frac{i \omega_p \mu E_z}{m \omega_p^2} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

In terms of \( \gamma_0 \) and the plasma frequency, the refractive index \( \eta_0 \) can be written as, \( \eta_0 = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{2 \alpha^2 \gamma_0} \\)\(^2\), (in the limit of \( \omega_p^2 / \omega_{\text{gyr}} ^2 \ll 1 \)). From the above equations of velocities it is evident that wiggler field effects the motion of electron both in longitudinal as well as in transverse direction. Due to the above oscillations there is first order perturbation in density

\[
\begin{align*}
n_{1x}^{(1)} &= \eta_{1x}^{(1)} E_x \exp(i k_x z - \omega_t), \\
n_{1y}^{(1)} &= \left[ \eta_{1y}^{(1)} + \eta_{1y}^{(2)} \exp(i k_y z) \right] E_y \exp(i k_y z - \omega_t), \\
n_{1z}^{(1)} &= \left[ \eta_{1z}^{(1)} + \eta_{1z}^{(2)} \exp(i k_z z) \right] E_z \exp(i k_z z - \omega_t),
\end{align*}
\]

which has been calculated using continuity equation (eq. 4) with,

\[
\xi = 1 - \frac{k^2 \Omega^2}{\omega_p^2}, \quad \eta_{1x}^{(1)} = \mu_0 n_{1x} k^2 \omega_p / m \gamma_0, \quad \eta_{1y}^{(1)} = \mu_0 n_{1y} k^2 \omega_p / m \gamma_0, \quad \eta_{1z}^{(1)} = \mu_0 n_{1z} k^2 \omega_p / m \gamma_0.
\]

Under the influence of the wiggler magnetic field, electron attains a spin angular moment. The dynamics of spin angular moment leads to change in dispersion. The first order perturbed spin angular momentum is obtained using the perturbative expansion of eq. (3),

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}_x^{(1)} &= \left[ S_{1x}^{(1)} \exp(i k_x z) \right] E_x \exp(i k_x z - \omega_t), \\
\tilde{S}_y^{(1)} &= \left[ S_{1y}^{(1)} \exp(i k_y z) \right] E_y \exp(i k_y z - \omega_t), \\
\tilde{S}_z^{(1)} &= \left[ S_{1z}^{(1)} \exp(i k_z z) \right] E_z \exp(i k_z z - \omega_t),
\end{align*}
\]

where,

\[
S_{1x}^{(1)} = \frac{2 S_B n_{k_p}}{\omega_p^2 \gamma_0}, \quad S_{1y}^{(1)} = \frac{\mu_0 S_{1x} \eta_0}{\omega_p^2 \gamma_0}, \quad \text{and} \quad S_{1z}^{(1)} = \frac{i \mu_0 S_{1x}}{\omega_p^2 \gamma_0}.
\]

The perturbed density and spin motion of electrons due to oscillatory velocities generate the oscillating currents. The current density is the sum of conventional source current \( J_{\text{ne}} \) and the spin current due to spin angular magnetic moment \( J_s = -i e \frac{n}{h} \nabla \times (n \tilde{S}) \), whose components are,

\[
\begin{align*}
J_x^{(1)} &= J_{1x}^{(1)} + J_{1x}^{(1)}, \\
J_y^{(1)} &= J_{1y}^{(1)} + J_{1y}^{(1)}, \\
J_z^{(1)} &= J_{1z}^{(1)} + J_{1z}^{(1)},
\end{align*}
\]

where,

\[
J_{1x}^{(1)} = \frac{n e n_{1x}^{(1)} - 2 k \mu_0 S_{1x} \eta_{1x}^{(1)}}{h \gamma_0}, \quad J_{1y}^{(1)} = \frac{n e n_{1y}^{(1)} - 2 k \mu_0 S_{1x} \eta_{1y}^{(1)}}{h \gamma_0}, \quad J_{1z}^{(1)} = \frac{n e n_{1z}^{(1)} - 2 k \mu_0 S_{1x} \eta_{1z}^{(1)}}{h \gamma_0}.
\]
\[ J_{1y}^{(1)} = -ie_n v_{1y}^{(1)} \left( \frac{2ik_\mu S(n_S n_{\eta_{1}}^{(3)} + S \eta_{1}^{(3)})}{\hbar \gamma} \right), \]
\[ J_{2y}^{(1)} = -ie_n v_{1y}^{(1)} \left( \frac{i(2k_\mu + k_y)S_{\mu \eta_{1}^{(3)}}}{\hbar \gamma} \right), \]
\[ J_{1z}^{(1)} = -\frac{2ik_\mu n_{\eta_{1}^{(3)}}}{\hbar \gamma} \text{ and } \]
\[ J_{2z}^{(1)} = -ie_n v_{1z}^{(1)} \left( \frac{2i(k_y + k_y)S_{\mu \eta_{1}^{(3)}}}{\hbar \gamma} \right). \]

Eqs. (15) - (17) contain the collective effects of the laser and magnetic fields on the plasma electron. The first term in Eqs. (15-17) arises due to the action of the radiation field on plasma electron while the second term denotes the effect of wiggler field, under the influence of electron spin and other quantum effects.

The first order electron velocity beats with magnetic field to produce a ponderomotive force \( \tilde{F}_{\rho z} \). The plasma electrons acquire an oscillatory velocity at \((2\omega, 2\mathbf{k}_y + \mathbf{k}_y)\) due to the force \( \tilde{F}_{\rho z} \), whose components are,
\[ v_{1y}^{(2)} = \left[ \eta_{1y}^{(2)} + \frac{\omega_v}{2i\omega} v_{1z}^{(1)} \right] E_0^2 \exp 2i(k_y z - \omega_y t), \]
\[ v_{1z}^{(2)} = \left[ \frac{\omega_v}{2i\omega} v_{1z}^{(1)} \right] E_0^2 \exp 2i(k_y z - \omega_y t), \]
\[ v_{2y}^{(2)} = \left[ \frac{\omega_v}{2i\omega} v_{2z}^{(1)} \right] E_0^2 \exp 2i(k_y z - \omega_y t). \]

where,
\[ v_{1z}^{(1)} = \frac{\omega_v}{2i\omega} v_{1z}^{(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad v_{2z}^{(1)} = -\frac{\omega_v}{2i\omega} v_{2z}^{(2)} \]

The second order perturbed electron densities due to the perturbed electron velocities are,
\[ n_{1y}^{(2)} = \left[ \left[ \frac{\omega_v}{2i\omega} v_{1z}^{(1)} \right] E_0^2 \exp 2i(k_y z - \omega_y t) \right], \]
\[ n_{1z}^{(2)} = \left[ \frac{\omega_v}{2i\omega} v_{1z}^{(2)} \right] E_0^2 \exp 2i(k_y z - \omega_y t), \]
\[ n_{2y}^{(2)} = \left[ \frac{\omega_v}{2i\omega} v_{2z}^{(1)} \right] E_0^2 \exp 2i(k_y z - \omega_y t). \]

The spin angular moment due to third order oscillatory velocity at \((3\omega, 3k_y + k_y)\) are,
\[ \eta_{1y}^{(2)} = \frac{k_y \eta_{1y}^{(3)} + \frac{\eta_{1y}^{(2)}}{\omega}}{\omega}, \quad \eta_{1z}^{(2)} = \frac{\eta_{1z}^{(2)}}{\omega}, \]
\[ \eta_{2y}^{(2)} = \left( \frac{2k_y + k_y}{\omega} \right) \left[ \eta_{1y}^{(2)} + \frac{\eta_{1y}^{(2)}}{\omega} \right]. \]

The spin angular moment is also the cause of density perturbation. The spin angular momenta of second order are,
\[ S_{1y}^{(2)} = \left( \frac{k_y + k_y}{\omega} \right) \eta_{1y}^{(3)} - \frac{\mu \mathbf{B}}{\omega \gamma}, \]
\[ S_{1z}^{(2)} = \left( \frac{k_y + k_y}{\omega} \right) \eta_{1z}^{(3)} - \frac{\mu \mathbf{B}}{\omega \gamma}, \]
\[ S_{2y}^{(2)} = \left( \frac{k_y + k_y}{\omega} \right) \eta_{2y}^{(3)} - \frac{\mu \mathbf{B}}{\omega \gamma} \]

The second order electron velocity beats with the magnetic field to give rise a force \( \tilde{F}_{\rho z} \) at \((3\omega, 3k_y + k_y)\). The third order electron velocity components due to this force are,
\[ v_{1y}^{(3)} = \left[ \frac{\omega_v}{2i\omega} v_{1z}^{(1)} \right] E_0^2 \exp 3i(k_y z - \omega_y t), \]
\[ v_{1z}^{(3)} = \left[ \frac{\omega_v}{2i\omega} v_{1z}^{(2)} \right] E_0^2 \exp 3i(k_y z - \omega_y t), \]
\[ v_{2y}^{(3)} = \left[ \frac{\omega_v}{2i\omega} v_{2z}^{(1)} \right] E_0^2 \exp 3i(k_y z - \omega_y t). \]
\[ n_i^{(3)} = \left[ \eta_i^{(3)} + \eta_i^{(2)} \right] E_i \exp (i(k_z z - \omega t)), \]
\[ n_y^{(3)} = \left[ \eta_y^{(3)} + \eta_y^{(2)} \right] E_y \exp (i(k_z z - \omega t)), \]
\[ n_z^{(3)} = \left[ \eta_z^{(3)} \exp (i(k_z z)) \right] E_z \exp (3i(k_z z - \omega t)), \]
\[ \frac{\exp (i(k_z z - \omega t))}{\omega}, \]
\[ S_x^{(3)} = \left[ S_x^{(3)} \exp (i(k_z z)) \right] E_x \exp (3i(k_z z - \omega t)), \]
\[ S_y^{(3)} = \left[ S_y^{(3)} + S_y^{(2)} \right] E_y \exp (3i(k_z z - \omega t)), \]
\[ S_z^{(3)} = \left[ S_z^{(3)} + S_z^{(2)} \right] \exp (i(k_z z)) E_z \exp (3i(k_z z - \omega t)), \]
\[ J_{\text{NL}}^{(3)} = \frac{\eta_i^{(3)} + \eta_i^{(2)} \exp (i(k_z z))}{\omega}, \]
\[ J_{\text{NL}}^{(3)} = \frac{6i k_\mu_\gamma}{h \gamma_o} S_i \eta_i^{(3)}, \]
\[ J_{\text{NL}}^{(3)} = -e n_i^{(3)} - \frac{6i k_\mu_\gamma}{h \gamma_o} \times (n_i^{(3)} + \eta_i^{(3)} + \eta_i^{(2)} S_i^{(3)}), \]
\[ J_{\text{NL}}^{(3)} = -e n_y^{(3)} - \frac{2(3 k_\mu) \mu_\gamma}{h \gamma_o} \times (n_y^{(3)} + \eta_y^{(3)} + \eta_y^{(2)} S_y^{(3)}), \]
\[ J_{\text{NL}}^{(3)} = \frac{6i k_\mu_\gamma}{h \gamma_o} \eta_z^{(3)} S_z^{(3)}, \]

where,
\[ \frac{\eta_i^{(3)}}{\omega} = \frac{2(3 k_\mu + k_o) \mu_\gamma}{3 \omega \gamma_o}, \]
\[ \frac{\eta_y^{(3)}}{\omega} = \frac{2(3 k_\mu + k_o) \mu_\gamma}{2 \omega \gamma_o}, \]
\[ \frac{\eta_z^{(3)}}{\omega} = \frac{3 k_\mu + k_o}{3 \omega \gamma_o}, \]

The third order nonlinear source current is,
\[ J_{\text{NL}}^{(3)} = J_i^{(3)} + J_y^{(3)} + J_z^{(3)}, \]
\[ J_i^{(3)} = J_i^{(3)} + \eta_i^{(2)} \exp (i(k_z z)), \]
\[ J_y^{(3)} = J_y^{(3)} + \eta_y^{(2)} \exp (i(k_z z - \omega t)), \]
\[ J_z^{(3)} = J_z^{(3)} + \eta_z^{(2)} \exp (i(k_z z - \omega t)), \]

\[ k_3 = (3 \omega / c) (1 - \omega_o^2 / 18 \omega_o^2), \]

3. Third-harmonic generation

The third harmonic field is governed by wave equation,
\[ \frac{\partial^2 E_{3\omega}}{\partial z^2} = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 E_{3\omega}}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial J_{\text{NL}}^{(3,3k_\mu+k_o)}}{\partial t} = \frac{4 \pi}{c} \delta(3 k_\mu + k_o) E_{3\omega}^{(3)}, \]

where, \( \zeta = (J_{\text{NL}}^{(3)} + J_{\text{NL}}^{(3)} + J_{\text{NL}}^{(3)}) \left( \frac{a_0 \omega \mu c}{e} \right) \)^1
we get
\[ \frac{\partial E_1}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_1}{\partial t} = \frac{\zeta}{2ik_3}. \] (42)

Using new set of variables \( \zeta = z, t = t' - z/c \) the above equation reduces to
\[ \frac{\partial E_3}{\partial z} = \frac{\zeta}{2ik_3} e^{-i\omega t}. \] (43)

Here, \( \Delta = k_3 - 3k_0 + k_w \). For the Gaussian pulse
\[ a_w^2 = a_{w0}^2 \exp(-t^2/\tau^2), \quad a_{w0} = eE_{w0} / mc \omega_{w0}, \]
\[ \gamma_w = \left[ 1 + a_{w0}^2 / 2 \exp(-t^2/\tau^2) \right]^{1/2} \]
is a function of time. For a given \( k_w \), one cannot have phase matching \( (\Delta = 0) \) for harmonic generation at all times. If one matches the wiggler wave number at the peak of the laser pulse \( (t = 0) \), \( k_w = 4 \omega_p \omega_{w0}^2 / 3c \omega^2 \gamma_{w0} \) where \( \gamma_{w0} = \left( 1 + a_{w0}^2 / 2 \right)^{1/2} \).

At all others times we have \( \Delta = k_w \left( \gamma_{w0} \gamma_w - 1 \right) \), and eq. (43) gives.
\[ E_3 = \frac{\zeta (e^{-i\Delta / \gamma_w \gamma_{w0}} - 1)}{2k_3 k_w (\gamma_{w0} / \gamma_w - 1)} \] (44)

At distance \( z = L \) we obtain the normalized third harmonic wave amplitude from eq (44).
\[ \left| \frac{E_3}{E_{w0}} \right| = \left[ \left( J_3^{(0)} + J_3^{(1)} + J_3^{(2)} \right) \left( a_w mc \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]
\[ \frac{e^{-3/4}}{\gamma_w} \frac{\text{Sink}_L (\gamma_{w0} / \gamma_w - 1)}{(\gamma_{w0} / \gamma_w - 1)} \] (45)

Thus, the efficiency of third harmonic generation process is
\[ \eta_h = \left| \frac{E_3}{E_{w0}} \right|^2 \] (46)

In figures 1 and 2, the variation of normalized wiggler wave number with \( t' / \tau \) is shown at different values of \( a_w = eE_{w0} / m \omega_{w0} \). The required wave number is smaller for higher values of \( a_w \) and larger for higher plasma density and pulse duration. The magnetic wiggler is employed to provide extra momentum for resonant third harmonic generation. However, due to short pulse duration the phase matching can be achieved for an instant only at particular wave number.

The efficiency of third harmonic generation has been plotted as a function of normalized wiggler frequency \( (\omega_w / \omega_p) \), for different values of plasma density in figure 3. The figure shows that for a constant plasma density, the harmonic grows with an increase in the wiggler field. Maximum efficiency appears at higher densities with increase in the wiggler field. The efficiency is maximum at an instant when the phase matching is satisfied and thereafter decreases at later duration of laser pulse. The maximum efficiency is attained at about \( \omega_w / \omega_p = 0.087 \). The cut off value for the harmonic generation and the saturation value for magnetic field also increase with plasma density. The strong magnetic field and quantum effects both contribute to increase in the cut-off value of second harmonic generation.

Figure 4 shows the variation of efficiency of third harmonic generation as a function of normalized electric field parameter \( a_w \) at different densities. The efficiency increases with the intensity of the laser. However, for relativistic regime \( (a_w \geq 1) \) the efficiency tends to saturate.
4. Conclusion

The power efficiency of third harmonic generation in quantum plasma for a Gaussian laser pulse using QHD model has been analysed taking into account the polarization and quantum effects. The efficiency of third harmonic generation is affected by the strength of magnetic field. The wiggler magnetic field provides the extra momentum for phase matching. The magnetic field enhances the efficiency of third harmonic generation to a significant level. Under the influence of quantum effects and magnetic field, the efficiency of generation of third harmonic is larger for phase matching condition than the phase mismatch condition. It is worth mentioning that in low-density plasma we need a super strong magnetic field to get maximum power efficiency of harmonic generation whereas in quantum plasma, which is highly dense, the excitation of efficient harmonics becomes easy by applying lesser magnetic field strength. A balance between the plasma density and applied field is required to obtain optimum efficiency.
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