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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the effectiveness of rotary master apical file (RMAF) with ultrasonic activation of endodontic file (UAF) in the removal of silicon oil-based calcium hydroxide (SOBCH) from the canal.

Methods: Ethical review committee approval and informed consent was obtained. 60 cases with necrotic teeth in which silicon oil-based intracanal medicament (Metapex) was to be placed were randomised in one of the two groups using sealed envelopes containing assignment codes for medicament removal: RMAF and UAF group. After standard protocol of coronal access, cleaning and shaping, silicon oil-based SOBCH was placed using a Lentulo spiral. A periapical radiograph was taken after SOBCH placement to check for adequate adaptation. On 7th day, after instrumentation and medicament removal according to respective group, a second radiograph was taken to evaluate the effectiveness. Effectiveness was calculated using a graded scale in which 0 and 1 are effective and 2 and 3 are ineffective cleaning. Teeth were statistically analyzed using the Mann Whitney U and Chi-square test.

Results: There was no statistically significant difference in the removal effectiveness of group RMAF and Group UAF at coronal (P=0.74) middle (P=0.71) and apical third (P=0.68). According to the graded score both techniques were equally effective in cleaning at all thirds of canal (RMAF=Apical: 1.09±0.70, Middle: 0.61±0.80, Coronal: 0.33±0.48 and UAF=Apical: 1.00±0.77, Middle: 0.52±0.74, Coronal: 0.28±0.46). Effectiveness of SOBCH removal using the two methods was not statistically significant between maxillary and mandibular teeth (P=0.35).

Conclusion: Both the removal methods, Ultrasonic activation of file and Rotary master apical file, for SOBCH were equally effective in all the thirds of canal. And none of the techniques were able to completely remove the SOBCH. Effectiveness of SOBCH removal using the two methods was not statistically different between maxillary and mandibular teeth.

Keywords: Adhesion failure, calcium hydroxide, clinical trial, intracanal medicament, metapex removal

INTRODUCTION

The objective of root canal treatment is the elimination of pain and infection through chemo-mechanical debridement followed by obturation of the root canal system (1). The elimination and control of microorganism during endodontic treatment is important because the presence of bacteroides is correlated with clinical symptoms in the affected teeth (2). Mechanical preparation of infected root canals can eliminate microorganisms, however, due to the complexity of root canal system some of the bacteria hide in isthmuses, apical delta, dentinal tubules and ramifications (1). Thus, the use of intracanal medicaments can eliminate these hidden
bacteria (3, 4). For selection of the ideal intracanal medicament, it is essential to determine which medicament can destroy microorganisms and neutralise their byproducts (5).

Calcium hydroxide \([\text{Ca(OH)}_2]\) has been used as an intracanal medicament in necrotic teeth, endodontic conditions including apexification, apexogenesis, and after trauma to prevent or resolve external resorption (6). A study by Shuiping et al. (7) quoted that root canal system was rendered 92.5% bacteria free after the use of \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\), as an intracanal medicament. It works by altering the bacterial cell walls and by denaturing a potent endotoxin, lipopolysaccharide, thereby rendering it less antigenic (8, 9). Its alkalinity (pH 12.5-12.8) (10) is attributed to its antimicrobial properties (11).

In spite of the success of \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\), as an intracanal medicament, several bacterial species, including Enterococcus faecalis, are reported to be resistant to complete eradication (3, 12). Enterococcus faecalis is often associated with primary endodontic failure and is difficult to remove during endodontic retreatment (13). For these cases use of Metapex (Meta Dental Corp. Ltd., Elmburst, NY, USA), a silicone oil-based \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\), (SObCH) paste containing 38% iodoform, is recommended as it better disinfects dentinal tubules infected with \(E.\text{faecalis}\) as opposed to calcium hydroxide alone (14).

However, before obturation, it is prudent to clean the canal from any remnants of intracanal medicament, as it has been found to be associated with decreased quality of root canal. It includes hindrance in the penetration of sealer into the dentinal tubules, thereby, decreasing adhesion of sealer with dentine resulting in leakage of root canal treated tooth (15). The residual intracanal medicament also results in interaction with zinc oxide eugenol-based sealer, forming calcium eugenolate (16). Moreover, it is also found to be associated with staining of tooth structure (17, 18).

There are various formulations available of \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\), which has either saline, or other potent medicaments mixed with it or addition of vehicles such as silicon oil (19). Considering the nature of oil-based \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\), it is difficult to remove from the canals and so there are a number of techniques to remove intracanal medicament completely from the canal including chemical methods, mechanical methods and lasers (20). Studies assessing ease of retrieval of SObCH i.e Metapex showed that it was difficult to remove and ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) or NaOCl alone did not effectively remove \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\) from the canal (16, 21). Moreover, none of the irrigation technique was able to completely remove all traces of intracanal medicament (22). Kumar et al. (23) compared various techniques for the removal of SObCH and was unable to find any technique that had removed more than 50 percent of the volume of intracanal medicament. Another study had compared various method for removal of oil-based \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\), and concluded that none of the technique was able to render canal completely clean, however, rotary and ultrasonic techniques significantly removed more \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\) (24).

All of the mentioned studies have been performed on extracted human teeth. Therefore, they are unable to make any justifiable inference for usage of these tested modalities on patients. In-vitro setting does not mimic the true clinical conditions and the limitations to use rotary or ultrasonic instruments on extracted teeth are not closely depicted whereas a clinical study has all the factors affecting the results including the patient’s oral health condition, saliva, tongue and retraction. A clinician’s skill and instruments efficacy is realistic when a study is done in-vivo. Since the literature is unable to propose any single technique that effectively removes the SOBCH medicament from the canal in a clinical setting and all the previous studies were in-vitro, it warrants a clinical study. Since, Kenee et al. (24) had shown that rotary and ultrasonic techniques worked relatively better than other techniques, the current study aimed to evaluate both of these techniques to conclude which one is better when used intraorally.

The aim of this randomised controlled trial was to compare the effectiveness of rotary master apical file with ultrasonic activation of endodontic file in the removal of silicon oil based calcium hydroxide from the canal.

**Null hypothesis**
The rotary master apical file (RAMF) is similar to ultrasonic activation of endodontic files (UAF) in the removal of SOBCH from the canal.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**
The present study is a parallel type triple-blinded, randomised clinical trial conducted at the Dental Clinics at our Hospital. The trial was in accordance to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) and registered at clinicaltrials.gov. (Nct04336709). After the approval from the institution’s Ethical Research Committee (ERC#2019-0304-3229), the subjects were recruited from the pool of patients being referred to the Operative Dentistry Department of our Hospital for the treatment of necrotic teeth from July 2019 to March 2020.

The inclusion criteria was patients aged older than 18 years who presented for root canal treatment of single rooted necrotic teeth (diagnosis confirmed using cold and electric pulp test) with chronic apical periodontitis (lesion size >2.0x2.0mm on periapical digital radiograph) having canal curvature less than 25⁰ (determined by Schneider’s method). Pregnant, immunocompromised or patients with other systemic illness were excluded. Periodontally compromised or teeth unable to be isolated under rubber dam were also not part of the study.

Sample size was calculated by sample size calculator (sample size determination in Health studies, WHO). The study by Bhuyan et al. (25) reported that the mean percentage of remaining \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\) after using ProTaper rotary was 35.49±4.73 while after using ultrasonic activation the mean remaining percentage of \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\) was 25.82±6.72. Keeping the above difference at 25% (determined by Schneider’s method). Pregnant, immunocompromised or patients with other systemic illness were excluded. Periodontally compromised or teeth unable to be isolated under rubber dam were also not part of the study.

Sample size was calculated by sample size calculator (sample size determination in Health studies, WHO). The study by Bhuyan et al. (25) reported that the mean percentage of remaining \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\) after using ProTaper rotary was 35.49±4.73 while after using ultrasonic activation the mean remaining percentage of \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\) was 25.82±6.72. Keeping the above difference at the level of significance (α) at 1% and power of study (1-β) at 99%, we needed at least 21 observations per group. In order to ensure the required sample of 42 at the end of the study and keeping in mind the dropouts, 60 subjects were enrolled for the study.

**Participant recruitment, randomization and blinding**
All subjects were recruited by one investigator (SSBD) who was not the part of data collection or assessment. Participa-
tion was voluntary after explanation and discussion of the risks, advantages and discomfort following the treatment procedure. Informed consent was obtained.

Patients falling in inclusion criteria were randomly assigned to one of the two groups using sealed envelopes containing assignment codes of SOBCH removal methods ie. rotary file or ultrasonic activation of file. Neither the patient nor the assessor or analyst was aware of the group allocation, however, due to the nature of intervention, the clinician carrying out the procedure was aware of the group. All participating investigators were trained on the case selection, assessment techniques, sample collection, data compilation sheets and their precise role in the study.

Clinical procedure
Endodontic procedure was performed by a single dentist not involved in the data collection. Standard protocol for root canal treatment was followed. Tooth was anaesthetised with local anaesthesia solution (2% lidocaine with 1:100,000 adrenaline) and then rubber dam was used to isolate the targeted tooth. After adequate access preparation, canal patency was obtained followed by working length determination on periapical radiograph using ISO 15K endodontic file within the canal. Optimum length was taken as 1 to 2 mm short of radiographic apex. All the canals were cleaned and shaped using ProTaper Next rotary file system (PTN, (Dentsply; Sirona, USA)) until X2 under copious irrigation with 5ml of 5.25% sodium hypochlorite solution (NaOCl). After canal preparation and adequate irrigation, canal was dried using absorbent paper followed by placement of SOBCH (Metapex, Meta Biomed, Korea) up to the working length using Lentulo spiral fillers. Periapical digital radiographs using a cone indicator were taken to check adaptability of intracanal medicaments. The access cavity was filled with a temporary filling, Cavit-G (3M ESPE AG, Seefeld, Germany). On the subsequent postoperative visit after 7 days, after removal of temporary restoration, the intracanal medicament was removed from the canals via one of the two techniques:

1. Irrigation with 5 ml of 5.25% NaOCl using a 27 gauge needle inserted to initial binding, after placement of the size 20 Hedstrom file to 1 mm short of working length followed by ultrasonic (EMS, Nyon, Switzerland) activation of an endodontic file (UAF) without touching the walls and activated at power setting of 4 with NaOCl as the irrigant for 30 seconds.

2. Idetical to 1, except a the master apical rotary file (ProTaper) (RMAF), rotating at 250 RPM was inserted to working length instead of using ultrasonic activation.

After each technique, the canals were irrigated with 5 ml of 5.25% NaOCl and then dried with paper points. Periapical digital radiographs were taken to evaluate the removal of intracanal medicament.

Two periapical radiographs (one after placing SOBCH and other after removal of SOBCH) were used for data collection. The clinical procedure was done by one member of the team (investigator A: M.A.M.) while the radiographs were assessed by the other investigator (investigator B: S.B.B.) who evaluated radiographs in both the groups without knowing the study group. The protocol for data collection is summarised in Figure 1.

The outcome was evaluated radiographically using a four grade scoring system (26) (Fig. 2) to determine the effectiveness of removal of the two methods (Primary independent variable). The other variable recorded was the arch of the treated tooth. The remaining Ca(OH)₂ in the canal was calculated for each experimental group in three segments of each root canal (coronal, middle, and apical). The grade 0 means complete cleaning and 3 means inability to clean, so the investigators grouped the grade 0 and 1 and considered it as effective cleaning and 2 and 3 were grouped as ineffective cleaning for the ease of data collection and analysis.

For Grades 2 and 3 (ineffective cleaning), the intracanal medicament was removed using combination of techniques and irrigants in order to clean the canal completely and a final x-ray was repeated.

Ten radiographs were evaluated and graded again for evaluation of remaining intracanal medicament after an interval of one week to determine intraexaminer reliability.
**Data analysis**

SPSS version 23 was used for date analysis. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine the distribution of data, which was found to be non-normal hence, nonparametric Mann Whitney U test was used to determine the difference between the two methods of medicament removal i.e UAF and RMAF at different surface levels. Chi-square was used to determine the association among variables like tooth location and technique for removal of intra canal medicament. Intraclass correlation coefficient was used to assess the intraexaminer reliability. Level of significance was kept at <0.01.

**RESULTS**

A total of 115 patients were analyzed out of which 55 were excluded because they either did not match the inclusion criteria, refused to participate or had other reasons. The trial included 60 patients of either gender, who were randomised in to two groups of 30 individuals each and received the intervention. From this, 11 were lost to follow up (6 from rotary group and 5 from ultrasonic group) and 7 were excluded due to fracture, failure or extraction of tooth. (3 from rotary group and 4 from ultrasonic group). A total of 42 patients were analysed in the end, 21 in each group. The present study is summarised in a CONSORT flow chart (Fig. 3). Of the 42 teeth, there were 22 incisors, 13 canines and 7 premolars.

The Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) came out to be 0.86 for intraexaminer reliability indicating good reproducibility of the results.

There was no statistically significant difference in the removal effectiveness of group RMAF and Group UAF at coronal (P=0.74) middle (P=0.71) and apical third (P=0.68) (Table 1).

Figure 4 shows the mean of scores graded on periapical radiographs after removal of medicament which reveals that both techniques were effective in cleaning at all thirds of canal (RMAF=Apical: 1.09±0.70, Middle: 0.61±0.80, Coronal: 0.33±0.48 and UAC=Apical: 1.00±0.77, Middle: 0.52±0.74, Coronal: 0.28±0.46). Though the medicament was not completely removed from any third of the canal in both techniques, the apical third had comparatively greater residue than the coronal and middle third.

Effectiveness of SOBCH removal using the two methods was not statistically significant between maxillary and mandibular teeth (P=0.35) (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Root canal disinfection is important to achieve a successful outcome in specially cases like of chronic apical periodontitis. Ca(OH)$_2$ being the most common intracanal medicament is used in such cases between the appointments (27). Oil-based Ca(OH)$_2$ was the intracanal medicament of choice for our trial as it is difficult to remove as compared to its aqueous formula.

---

**TABLE 1.** Comparison of oil-based Ca(OH)$_2$ removal methods at coronal, apical and middle thirds of root canals

| Removal method | n | Mean rank | Sum of ranks | P-value |
|----------------|---|-----------|--------------|---------|
| Coronal third  |   |           |              |         |
| Ultrasonic     | 21| 21.00     | 441.00       | 0.74    |
| Rotary         | 21| 22.00     | 462.00       |         |
| Middle third   |   |           |              |         |
| Ultrasonic     | 21| 20.88     | 438.50       | 0.71    |
| Rotary         | 21| 22.12     | 464.50       |         |
| Apical third   |   |           |              |         |
| Ultrasonic     | 21| 20.79     | 436.50       | 0.68    |
| Rotary         | 21| 22.21     | 436.50       |         |

*P≤0.01. Mann-Whitney U test

**TABLE 2.** Association between tooth arch and oil-based calcium hydroxide removal method

| Removal method | Arch (n) | P-value |
|----------------|----------|---------|
|                | Maxilla  | Mandible|
| Ultrasonic     | 12       | 9       | 0.35    |
| Rotary         | 9        | 12      |         |

*P≤0.01. Chi square
tion and needs special measures (27). A study Bolhari et al. (28) reveals that inability to remove Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} from the canal walls had destructive effects on the pushout bond strength (from 2.32±1.43 to 0.947±0.47) of Resilon/Epiphany sealers with adhesive failures being the most common reason for failure.

Several studies have evaluated the effectiveness of various intracanal medicament removal instruments and irrigants. Khaleel et al. (29) in their study suggest that sonic and ultrasonic activation of file removes more Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} from the canal walls as compared to ProTaper rotary files in all thirds of canal (P=0.00001). This may be attributed to the fact that ultrasonic activation allows a small file to be oscillated creating acoustic streaming in the irrigation solution in a large prepared canal space, coming in maximum contact with the walls for removal of medicaments (30). In another study by Tamil et al. (31) ultrasonic activation of file was found to be the most effective technique for intracanal medicament removal leaving 0% of Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}, whereas rotary file left a maximum 70% of residue in the canal. On the contrary, the present study signifies that there is no difference in the effectiveness of removal of oil based Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} between RMAF and UAF in all thirds of the canal.

The ProTaper rotary file used in the present study has positive rake angle improving its cutting efficiency, variable taper along its length, balanced pitch and helical angle to prevent screwing effect which may contribute to its improved effectiveness to remove oil-based Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} from canal (32). A study by Agrawal et al. (33) showed rotary files to have better effectiveness as compared to ultrasonics in the removal of Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}.

Comparatively, the intracanal medicament remnants were more in the apical than the coronal and middle third which is in line with the previous studies (24, 29, 31). This may be due to the irregular dentinal tubules, smaller canal area, anatomical complexity and constrictions of the apical third. In addition to this, the packing force when the files are inserted in the canal for medicament removal may plug Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}, apically making its removal difficult.

None of the studies determined the association between removal methods and tooth location in maxillary or mandibular arch. It can be assumed that the direct access and easy manipulation of mandibular teeth would yield better effectiveness in intracanal medicament removal in mandibular teeth but the present study did not signify any association with respect to tooth location.

The present study have used periapical digital radiographs to assess the remaining Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} in the canals because of its low radiation dose, ease of availability, cheaper and simplicity of procedure than CBCT (34). Exposing the patient to 3D radiographs to evaluate removal of intracanal medicament did not seem to be justifiable as its main indication is for evaluation of complex endodontic conditions (35). Also, there is positive correlation between periapical radiography and direct visualisation for confirmation of medicament removal, thus making it the modality of choice (36).

In order to exclude factors such as canal shape, curvature, and its effect on the instrumentation and removal of medicament, which might mislead our results, single rooted teeth with less than 25\degree root curvatures were taken according to the Schneider’s method (37).

Myriad of studies have calculated and reported the remaining amount of Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} on the canal walls using various methods, such as micro-computed tomography, CBCT, stereo microscopes and scanning electron microscopes (23, 25, 27, 38), but all of them were on extracted teeth which fails to mimic the conditions that exist in oral environment. This trial compares two techniques for removal of intracanal medicament in clinical setup so that the generated results are practical and applicable for clinical settings.

The limitation of current study is that 2D radiograph had been used for the analysis of effectiveness of intracanal medicament removal methods. There might be superimpositions, magnifications and due to its nature a minute remnant of Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} might be perceived as more residual material but this small amount is less likely to have significant effect on the results (36). Also, this study compared only two instrumentation systems and more techniques should be compared to assess removal of oil-based Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}. Moreover, different irrigation solutions with variable irrigation times, and concentrations could be compared in further studies.

**Clinical implications**

The study evaluates the effectiveness of the removal method which helps clinician to select the appropriate method to remove oil-based Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} which is difficult to remove, leaving remnants and compromising adequate seal, ultimately improving 3-dimensional obturation seal and better success rates.

**CONCLUSION**

Within the limitations of the present study, both the removal methods for oil-based calcium hydroxide intracanal medicament were equally effective in all the thirds of canal. And none of the techniques were able to completely remove the oil-based Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}. Effectiveness of Metapex removal using the two methods was not statistically different between maxillary and mandibular teeth.
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