The efficacy of Alahmady ring implantation in the management of neovascular glaucoma
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Purpose: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of Alahmady ring implantation in the management of neovascular glaucoma.

Methods: A total of 15 eyes of 15 patients with intractable neovascular glaucoma with intraocular pressure (IOP) ≥28 mmHg not responding to medical treatment were retrospectively analyzed. All patients had poor visual acuity and underwent Alahmady ring implantation. The ring was designed from fenestrated silicon tube used in lacrimal surgeries and was implanted subsercularly after passing it through the anterior chamber. Patients were followed up for at least 24 months. Success in this study was defined based on IOP ≥8 mmHg and <21 mmHg, with not more than 1 glaucoma drug, and improvement of patient symptoms and signs with maximum use of beta blocker as antiglaucoma drug.

Results: A total of 15 eyes of 15 patients (9 males [60%] and 6 females [40%]) were analyzed in this study. The mean IOP before surgery was 38.6 mmHg (standard deviation [SD]: 6.98) and it was 14.05 mmHg (SD = 7.57) after surgery. The follow-up range was 24–36 months. Success of silicon drainage device was defined as an IOP <21 mmHg on the last follow-up visit. Medications included only beta-blockers and topical steroids for those who were without severe complications or for those who were not in a condition to undergo a further glaucoma surgery.

Conclusion: Alahmady ring implantation proves to be a good surgical option for neovascular glaucoma; however, a longer follow-up period is recommended.
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Introduction

Neovascular glaucoma (NVG) remains a great challenge to ophthalmologists. It is an aggressive type of secondary glaucoma with underlying severe ocular pathology poorly responding to conventional glaucoma drugs and also with high failure rate in conventional glaucoma surgeries.1

Coats2 in 1906 first described new vessel formation on the iris (rubeosis iridis) in patient eyes with central retinal vein occlusion. Weiss et al3 in 1963 introduced the term “neovascular glaucoma” that is now widely accepted. NVG has been reported with many ocular diseases; the most common diseases are proliferative diabetic retinopathy, ischemic central retinal vein occlusion, and ocular ischemic syndrome.4 The main pathological process in these diseases is retinal ischemia. However approximately 3% of NVG cases are due to inflammation without retinal ischemia.5 The pathology well known as ischemia triggers the release of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), interleukin, and other angiogenic factors that diffuse into the anterior segment, resulting in new vessels formation at the iris (NVI), and anterior chamber angle (NVA).6–8

In the early stages of NVI and NVA, patients may have normal intraocular pressure (IOP), but later on the fibrovascular scaffolding of these vessels proliferates across
the angle and IOP becomes severely elevated. Ultimately, if this condition is not properly treated, this fibrovascular proliferation contracts and produces synechial angle closure and ectropion uveae with severe elevation of IOP, thereby damaging the optic nerves with subsequent blindness.9

Two main criteria are to be focused on in the management of NVG. The first is to decrease the IOP either by prescribing medicines or surgery or by both. The second is to decrease retinal ischemia and consequently decrease VEGF, which is essential for long-term treatment. However, panretinal photocoagulation remains the mainstay treatment. It decreases VEGF release from ischemic retina. Recently, use of anti-VEGF agents has been found to be helpful in the rapid regression of neovascularization with widespread clinical use nowadays.10,11

Medical treatment of NVG includes topical beta-blockers, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, prostaglandin agonists, alpha-adrenergic agonists, oral carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, topical steroid therapy, and cycloplegic drugs. Surgical management is indicated only when medical therapy fails to control IOP. However, the long-term success rate of trabeculectomy is only moderate due to severe inflammation encountered with NVG eyes. Adjunctive therapy with trabeculectomy such as the use of 5-fluorouracil and mitomycin C showed better success rates in the early postoperative period, which decreased with longer-term follow-up.12,13

In recent years, glaucoma drainage implants (GDI) markedly improved long-term prognosis in NVG, the main cause of success is the mechanical mechanism of decreasing the IOP which is independent from ocular inflammation which is the main cause of failure in filtering bleb.14 The use of GDI is limited due to the difficulty faced during implantation; also GDI needs healthy ocular tissues like conjunctiva and tenon capsule as it has a relatively large exposure area. As the implant is highly expensive, use of glaucoma implant becomes a difficult choice, especially in developing countries. In this study, we attempted to design a new implant (Alahmady ring) from silicon tube used in lacrimal surgeries. Figures 1 and 2 show how a ring is formed from a silicon tube, which is implanted sub sclerally after passing it through an AC. Figure 3 shows how a metal probe is passed and Figure 4 shows how the silicon tube is passed through the AC. Figure 5 shows how a metal probe is passed through the silicon tube. Figure 6 shows Alahmady ring after formation. Figure 7 shows the Alahmady ring after cut near penetration. Figure 8 shows the closure of the scleral flap

Materials and methods
This retrospective study was performed in Sohag Faculty of Medicine between March 2013 and April 2014 with a follow-up for at least 24 months. In this study, 15 eyes of 15 patients with NVG with IOP ≥28 mmHg not responding to medicines, such as topical beta-blockers, alpha-agonists, topical steroids, atropines, and systemic carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, were analyzed. Preoperative evaluation of all patients included meticulous history taking, history of previous ocular diseases, and history of laser treatment and the number of times the treatment was given. Previous surgical intervention and previous diagnosis of any ocular diseases were done by any ophthalmologist. Visual acuity assessment by Snellen’s chart and converted to Log Mar. In patients with poor visual acuity perception of light and projection of rays from all 4 directions were tested in all cases with color evaluation by discrimination of red and green colors by use of colored filters in glasses trial set. IOP was measured by tonopen (Reichert Tono-Pen Tonometer). A meticulous anterior segment examination and posterior segment examination were done by slit lamp bio-microscopy including anterior chamber (AC) examination by Gonio lens. Indirect ophthalmoscopy and fluorescein angiography were performed in all patients with clear media to determine the severity of ischemia and the presence of other ocular comorbidities.

All patients underwent implantation of Alahmady ring that was designed from Eagle silicon tube used in lacrimal surgeries. Figures 1 and 2 show how a ring is formed from a silicon tube, which is implanted sub sclerally after passing it through an AC. Figure 3 shows how a metal probe is passed and Figure 4 shows how the silicon tube is passed through the AC. Figure 5 shows how a metal probe is passed through the silicon tube. Figure 6 shows Alahmady ring after formation. Figure 7 shows the Alahmady ring after cut near penetration. Figure 8 shows the closure of the scleral flap
Figure 2 Alahmady silicon ring after fenestration.

Figure 3 Passage of a metal probe through the anterior chamber.

Figure 4 Passage of a silicon tube through the anterior chamber.

Figure 5 Passage of the metal probe through the silicon tube.

Figure 6 Alahmady ring after formation.

Figure 7 Alahmady ring after cutting the silicon tube near penetration.
over the ring. Figure 9 shows the gonioscopy procedure with the tube in the AC.

Both general and local anesthesia were used: 9 patients (60%) were given general anesthesia and 6 patients (40%) local anesthesia (3 mL lidocaine retrobulbar anesthesia with 0.5 mL lidocaine injected in the subconjunctival region of upper fornix). All surgeries were performed by the same surgeon and the same surgical technique was followed for all patients. Conjunctival dissection was performed with fornix-based conjunctival flap. A limbus-based triangular scleral flap was raised into the clear cornea with blade no 15. Then 2 microtrabeculectomies, each one is (1 mm thickness) with 2.5 mL in between both sides of the flap. After that the silicon tube, which was fenestrated manually by 2.2 mL of keratome, was inserted using a metal probe by microtrabeculectomy procedure into the AC and then out of the AC by contralateral microtrabeculectomy. After passing the tube into the AC, the tube was punctured by sharp knife 20. 3 mL from fenestration was done before then passing the metal probe through the silicon tube to form Alahmady ring by the tube. The tube was cut adjacent to the penetration site and covered by a scleral flap. The scleral flap and conjunctiva were closed by virgin silk 8/0. Postoperative treatment included topical antibiotic for 1 week, frequent topical corticosteroid for 1 month, atropine for 2 weeks once daily, and systemic anti-inflammatory drugs.

Patients were followed up for at least 24 months. Success in this study was defined as IOP less than 21 mmHg, with or without the use of a beta blocker as a single anti-glaucoma drug, local steroid, and atropine. Postoperative examination was done after 6 hours, 24 hours, 3 days, 1 week, and then every week for 3 months and then every month for 2 years.

During each visit, measurement of IOP, slit lamp examination, vision evaluation, and gonioscopy were performed.

A written consent was obtained from all the patients for the surgery and this study after fully describing the surgery and its possible complications. All patients agreed to undergo this surgery due to its novelty and also because all had poor vision. They were ready to undergo destructive surgeries and even enucleation due to their long-term suffering.

The ethics committee of the Sohag Faculty of Medicine approved this study.

Statistical analysis
Data were collected and analyzed by SPSS for Windows (version 16.0, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

Preoperative and postoperative variables were compared by paired t-test. \( P<0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
Fifteen eyes of 15 patients (9 males [60%] and 6 females [40%]) were analyzed in this study. The age of patients ranged from 46–68 years (mean age: 57.73). The IOP before surgery ranged from 32 to 46 mmHg (mean IOP: 38.60 mmHg; SD =3.83). Postoperatively it was 15.60 mmHg (SD =5.34); 1 month after surgery; it was 18.06 mmHg (SD =2.86) 1 year after surgery; and 2 years after surgery, it was 18.46 mmHg (SD =2.41). The follow-up range was 24–36 months (mean follow-up: 15.0–18.46). A total of 4 patients (26.67%) already underwent glaucoma surgery (all trabeculectomy) and 4 patients (26.67%) cataract surgery.
All patients were under treatment for NVG; they were prescribed topical beta-blockers, alpha agonists, topical steroids, atropines, and systemic carbonic anhydrase inhibitors.

All patients suffered from redness of eye and pain that ranged from discomfort to severe agonizing pain. After surgery, all patients reported improvements with no agonizing pain. Only 5 patients (33.33%) reported a slight discomfort. Persistent redness was observed in 10 eyes (66.67%).

Table 1 shows patients’ demographic data, and the mean age was 57.73 years. Of the total patients involved, 60.0% were male and 40.0% female.

Table 2 shows the data of patients who previously underwent surgery for glaucoma (26.67%) and cataract (26.67%), and of those who did not undergo any previous surgery (46.67%). Regarding the underlying causes of NVG in patients, there were (66.67%) cases had proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) and (33.33%) had central retinal vein occlusion.

Table 3 shows the IOP data of patients obtained before and after surgery with follow-up. The preoperative IOP was 38.6 and decreased to 15.60, after 1 month of surgery with a highly statistically significant difference \((P<0.000)\). The preoperative IOP decreased to 18.06 after 12 months of surgery \((P<0.000)\) and to 18.46 after 24 months of surgery \((P<0.000)\). According to the success criteria of our study, 3 patients (20%) failed to obtain IOP < 21 mmHg, who were prescribed only one anti-glaucoma medication.

Table 4 shows the preoperative data of the 15 patients included in our study, whereas Table 5 shows the postoperative data of these patients.

Table 1  Demographic data of patients obtained before surgery

| Patient data | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| Age, years   | Mean ± SD  |
|              | 57.73±6.52 |
|              | Min–max    |
|              | (46.0–68.0) |
| Sex          | Male       |
|              | 9 (60.0%)  |
|              | Female     |
|              | 6 (40.0%)  |

**Abbreviations:** max, maximum; min, minimum; SD, standard deviation.

Table 2  Clinical data of patients obtained before and after surgery

| Item                              | Description |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Previous surgery                  |             |
| Glaucoma                          | 4 (26.67%)  |
| Cataract                          | 4 (26.67%)  |
| No surgery                        | 7 (46.67%)  |
| Underlying cause                  |             |
| PDR                               | 10 (66.67%) |
| CRVO                              | 5 (33.33%)  |

**Abbreviations:** CRVO, central retinal vein occlusion; PDR, proliferative diabetic retinopathy.

Table 3  IOP data of patients obtained before and after surgery

| Description (mmHg) | IOP before surgery  | IOP at 1 month     | IOP at 12 months    | IOP at 24 months    |
|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                    | 38.60±3.83 (32.0–46.0) | 15.60±5.34 (5.0–23.0) | 18.06±2.86 (14.0–25.0) | 18.46±8.46 (15.0–18.46) |

**Note:** Data presented as mean ± standard deviation (range).

**Abbreviation:** IOP, intraocular pressure.

Table 4  Preoperative data

| Patient number | Age (years) | Sex | IOP (mmHg) | Vision | Colour vision | Previous surgery | Underlying cause |
|----------------|-------------|-----|------------|--------|---------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1              | 65          | Male| 34         | Log Mar 3 | Good          | Glaucoma         | PDR              |
| 2              | 55          | Female| 37       | Log Mar 1.7 | Good          | No               | PDR              |
| 3              | 57          | Female| 42       | Log Mar 2.2 | Bad           | Cataract         | PDR              |
| 4              | 46          | Female| 40       | Log Mar 1.2 | Bad           | No               | CRVO             |
| 5              | 58          | Male | 37        | Log Mar 1.7 | Good          | Glaucoma         | PDR              |
| 6              | 64          | Male | 33        | Log Mar 2.2 | Good          | No               | PDR              |
| 7              | 61          | Female| 38       | Log Mar 3  | Good          | Cataract         | PDR              |
| 8              | 60          | Male | 32        | No PL      | No            | Glaucoma         | RD               |
| 9              | 68          | Male | 39        | No PL      | No            | Cataract         | CRVO             |
| 10             | 54          | Male | 44        | Log Mar 1.4| Good          | No               | PDR              |
| 11             | 55          | Female| 41       | Log Mar 2.5| Bad           | No               | PDR              |
| 12             | 56          | Male | 39        | Log Mar 3  | Bad           | Glaucoma         | CRVO             |
| 13             | 52          | Male | 38        | Log Mar 1.7| Good          | No               | CRVO             |
| 14             | 67          | Male | 46        | No PL      | No            | Cataract         | PDR              |
| 15             | 48          | Female| 39       | Log Mar 2.2| Good          | No               | CRVO             |

**Abbreviations:** PL, perception of light; CRVO, central retinal vein occlusion; IOP, intraocular pressure; PDR, proliferative diabetic retinopathy.
Table 5 Postoperative data

| Patient number | IOP at 1 month (mmHg) | IOP at 12 months (mmHg) | IOP at 24 months (mmHg) | Vision at 24 months | Color vision at 24 months |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| 1              | 18                     | 18                      | 19                     | Log Mar 3           | Good                     |
| 2              | 19                     | 19                      | 19                     | Log Mar 1.7         | Good                     |
| 3              | 16                     | 17                      | 18                     | Log Mar 2           | Good                     |
| 4              | 6                      | 16                      | 17                     | Log Mar 1           | Bad                      |
| 5              | 14                     | 15                      | 16                     | Log Mar 1.7         | Good                     |
| 6              | 12                     | 15                      | 16                     | Log Mar 2           | Good                     |
| 7              | 10                     | 16                      | 15                     | Log Mar 3           | Good                     |
| 8              | 16                     | 18                      | 19                     | No Pl               | No                       |
| 9              | 19                     | 21                      | 20                     | Log Mar 1.3         | Good                     |
| 10             | 23                     | 25                      | 23                     | Log Mar 2.5         | Bad                      |
| 11             | 17                     | 18                      | 18                     | Log Mar 3           | Bad                      |
| 12             | 20                     | 20                      | 21                     | Log Mar 1.7         | Good                     |
| 13             | 17                     | 18                      | 19                     | No Pl               | No                       |
| 14             | 22                     | 21                      | 22                     | Log Mar 1.3         | Good                     |
| 15             | 5                      | 14                      | 15                     | Log Mar 1.3         | Good                     |

Abbreviations: IOP, intraocular pressure; PL, perception of light.

Complications

One patient (6.67%) developed transient postoperative hypotony but the IOP quickly recovered within 4 days, which did not need any other intervention. One eye (6.67%) required subsequent interventions due to conjunctival dehiscence and tube exposure. This condition was overcome by repositioning the tube and by performing conjunctival closure. Two patients (13.34%) developed early choroidal detachment. This condition improved in the next 2 weeks on treatment with topical atropines and topical steroids with systemic non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. One patient developed hyphema intraoperatively that markedly decreased by repeated wash. Minimal hyphema persisted in the next 1 week, which spontaneously resolved within 2 weeks. No serious complications such as endophthalmitis, retinal detachment, or vitreous hemorrhage were reported during the follow-up period.

Discussion

NVG is an aggressive type of glaucoma, which often results in poor visual outcomes. Most of the patients with NVG have a severe underlying systemic and ocular pathology which causes NVG as a late presentation of their primary systemic and/or ocular disease. This makes NVG very difficult to treat.

Surgical intervention is indicated when medical therapy is inadequate to control IOP, particularly if synechial angle closure from NVA has occurred.

GDIs have widely been used for the treatment of complicated glaucomas including NVG.\textsuperscript{15-17}

In this study, we found that the Alahmady silicon ring implant decreased the IOP from 38.60 mmHg (SD =3.83) to 15.60 mmHg (SD =5.34) 1 month after surgery, which is a mean reduction of 59.5%. The IOP further decreased to 18.06 mmHg (SD =2.86) 1 year after surgery, which is a mean reduction of 53.2%, and to 18.46 mmHg (SD =2.41) 2 years after surgery, which is a mean reduction of 52.1%.

In a tertiary hospital study in Oman, Ahmad glaucoma valve implant decreased the mean preoperative IOP from 37.0 mmHg (SD =12.1) to 18.4 mmHg (SD =10.7) at 3 months and to 18.0 mmHg (SD =14.1) at 1 year postoperatively. The reduction in IOP observed in the aforementioned study is slightly higher than that in our study. This difference might be due to various types of resistant glaucomas, and the aforementioned study concluded that worst results were observed in patients with NVG.\textsuperscript{18}

The Alahmady implant used in this study achieved an IOP lowering slightly less than that reported by Huang et al using their implant in 159 eyes of complicated glaucoma patients. They reported a success rate of 87% at the most recent follow-up examination.\textsuperscript{19}

The reduction in IOP reported in this study is also slightly lower than that reported by Aung and Seah (85.5%), who used non-valved glaucoma implants in Asian eyes.\textsuperscript{20} One of the possible reasons is that all our patients suffered from NVG, which is associated with a much higher risk of failure compared to other types of glaucoma.

Several authors have advocated the use of bevacizumab as an adjunct to glaucoma surgery in order to facilitate surgery and improve long-term outcomes. Lavin et al undertook a large study of NVG patients, comparing those eyes treated with and without intravitreal bevacizumab with better results in previously injected patients.\textsuperscript{21}
Risk factors for progressive failure of MMC-treated trabeculectomies included younger age and prior vitrectomy. In our study we did not use any adjunctive therapy as we tried to detect the effect of Alahmady ring implant without any factor that may affect success rate. Early postoperative hypotony in this study was 6.67%, which was similar to other series of non-valved glaucoma implant (5%–16.5%). In comparison with Huang’s series, their rate of postoperative hypotony was lower. The majority of the postoperative complications were transient and resolved either spontaneously or with simple surgical procedures. Tube erosion through the conjunctiva occurred in 1 patient with no major complications with tube repositioning and conjunctival closure. In Lai et al’s study on 65 patients with Ahmad valve implantation, only 2 eyes had erosion of the tube through the patch graft that finally required a regraft. This might be due to the fact that frozen corneoscleral patch graft was used to cover the exposed part of the tube in their study.

According to the success criteria in our study, 3 patients (20%) failed to obtain IOP < 21 mmHg with only 1 anti-glaucoma medication. The value thus obtained in this study is less compared to that obtained in Huang et al’s study, in which Ahmad valve implant was used in 159 eyes of complicated glaucoma patients. The decrease in IOP values observed is also slightly lower than that observed in Aung and Seah’s study, in which non-valved glaucoma implant was used in Asian eyes (85.5%).

Our result is better than Lai et al in total success rate as their success rate was 73.8% and they explain lower success rate due to large number of NVG involved in their study. However, a decreased success rate of our study might be due to NVG itself, as all the previous studies that focused on various types of resistant glaucomas obtained worst results in patients with NVG.

Conclusion
Alahmady ring implantation in NVG patients is a simple, effective, and safe technique. This ring is made of silicon tube widely used in lacrimal surgeries, which makes it cost-effective and to be widely used in developing countries; however, longer follow-up period with anti-VEGF is recommended.
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