INTRODUCTION

The restoration of endodontically treated teeth has undergone significant changes in the recent years. The demands of esthetics and strength play an important role in selecting the optimum restoration for endodontically treated anterior teeth. Currently, composite resin build up using fiber post with adhesive resin cement has become widely accepted and gaining more popularity.

The histological characteristics of the root dentin following endodontic treatment in addition to the properties of the different bonding materials make the cementation of fiber posts a challenging procedure. Several factors contribute to this
difficulty, such as limited access to the root canal, moisture control, and lack of direct vision during handling of the materials.\(^5\) The adhesion of the post to the root canal system can be affected by different elements. Effect of irrigant solutions, in varying concentrations, on dentin collagen,\(^6\) as well as the density and orientation of the dentin tubules in the root canal walls\(^7\) may have an effect on the adhesion. Moreover, the polymerization stress of the resin cement, the presence of thick smear layer, in addition to the cementation approach used and the chemical and physical properties of the posts are all contributing factors that can possibly effect the quality of adhesion at the post-cement–adhesive–dentin interfaces.\(^8\)

Self-adhesive cements have different chemical compositions and application techniques that influence bonding performances. They were designed to adhere to the tooth structure without using a separate etchant and bonding agent. In addition, these systems are composed of conventional methacrylate monomer, acid-based monomer used to condition tooth substrate, glass particles, and initiator-accelerator system to start and complete polymerization process. They were manufactured to simplify the cementation procedures and reduce the technique sensitivity that was associated with multiple-step adhesive systems.\(^9\) These resin cements have a low demineralization effect, especially if the dentin is covered with thick smear layer, and it does not form a distinguishable hybrid layer.\(^10\) Among the different bond strength testing procedures, push-out bond strength testing is considered the most reliable for recording the bond strength failure similar to the clinical situation.\(^11\)

The routine use of sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) as the primary endodontic irrigant solution is justified by its wide-spectrum antimicrobial activity and its unique capability to dissolve organic tissue remnants.\(^12\) After irrigation to remove the smear layer, residual chemicals are likely to diffuse along dentinal tubules which may affect the penetration of resin into dentinal tubules or inhibit the polymerization process of resin cement.\(^13\) Consequently, this will affect the bond between adhesive systems and the treated (demineralized) dentin, and reduce the durability of the cemented post and restoration.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of NaOCl and two resin systems on bond strength of cemented fiber posts, and to evaluate their failure modes.

The study hypotheses were:
1. There would be no significant difference in bond strength of the fiber posts, to root canal dentin, between two groups cemented with MultiCore Flow Core Build-Up or with self-adhesive RelyX-Unicem.
2. There would be no significant difference in bond strength of the fiber posts to root canal dentin between groups irrigated with 5.25% sodium hypochlorite; 2.5% sodium hypochlorite; or with saline

**METHODS**

Sample selection and preparation: Sixty human single-rooted maxillary permanent central and lateral incisors, with root length of more than 14 mm, were selected. Freshly extracted teeth were immediately placed in 5.25% NaOCl for 5 minutes, then stored in distilled water until further use. All teeth were evaluated under 20X magnification to exclude any teeth which displayed a crack or craze line. Teeth with caries, cervical erosion, previous endodontic treatment, post or crown were also excluded.

The crown of each tooth was sectioned at 2mm incisal to the CEJ with a diamond bur at high speed under copious water-cooling. Standardized endodontic procedure was then performed until a master apical file of 0.46 was achieved. A final flush with 2ml of 17% Ethylene-diamine-tetra-acetic acid for 20 seconds was used to irrigate the root canal. The canals were dried with sterile paper and a gutta-percha master cone coated with AH-26 sealer (Dentsply De Tray, Konstanz, Germany) was condensed into the canal.

**Grouping of specimens:** The root-canal treated teeth were randomly assigned into six groups of 10 teeth each (Table-I).

**Table-I: List of groups with the respective irrigant solution and adhesive system used in each group.**

| Groups (n=10 teeth) | Irrigant Solution | Adhesive System | Manufacturer of the Adhesive System |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| A                  | 5.25% NaOCl      | MultiCore Flow | Ivoclar Vivadent Inc, Amherst, NY, USA |
| B                  | 5.25% NaOCl      | RelyX-Unicem   | 3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA         |
| C                  | 2.5% NaOCl       | MultiCore Flow | Ivoclar Vivadent Inc               |
| D                  | 2.5% NaOCl       | RelyX-Unicem   | 3M ESPE                           |
| E                  | NaCl             | MultiCore Flow | Ivoclar Vivadent Inc               |
| F                  | NaCl             | RelyX-Unicem   | 3M ESPE                           |
In all groups, a size #3 tapered fiber post of 15mm length and 1.6mm diameter (3M ESPE RelyX Fiber Post, St. Paul, MN, USA) was used. To create an 11mm post space, the condensed gutta-percha was removed using a heated plugger. The post space was then irrigated with 2ml of the assigned irrigant solution. With a low speed hand piece, sequential reamers (3M ESPE) were used to shape the canals. RelyX-Unicem (3M ESPE) and MultiCore Flow (Ivoclar Vivadent Inc, Amherst, NY, USA) were used to cement the fiber posts according to the manufacturers’ guidelines.

**Push-out bond strength assessment:** Samples were embedded in a cylindrical Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) mounting jig to facilitate perpendicular sectioning (Fig.1a). A clear self-curing specimen mounting material “Koldmount” (Vernon-Benshoff Company, Albany, NY, USA) was mixed according to the manufacturer’s instructions and poured into the mounting ring and allowed to set for 20 minutes at room temperature (75 to 80°F) (Fig.1b).

After setting, the mounted specimen was stabilized to a metallic base in a low speed diamond saw (Model 650, SBT South Bay Technology Inc, Arlington, VA, USA), and was sectioned to cervical and apical specimens to yield twenty segments for each group (n=20) (Fig.1c).

To evaluate the effect of different irrigant solutions on bond strength, samples were subjected to a push-out test using a universal testing machine (Instron.Co, MA, USA). A metallic device, 7mm in height, 2cm in diameter, and with a central opening slightly larger than the diameter of the root canal orifice was used to hold the tooth sections. To insure the load was only concentrated on the cemented post, a 1.2mm plunger which is slightly smaller than the diameter of the cemented post was used to apply the load at a rate of 1mm/min in an apical-coronal direction until the post dislodged.

The bond strength $\sigma$ (MPa) was calculated using the following formula:

$$\sigma = \frac{C}{A}$$

Where $C$=rupture load of each specimen (N), $A$=bonded area (mm$^2$). The value of bonded area “A” was calculated using the equation:

$$A = 2\pi rh$$

Where $\pi$=3.14 (constant), $r$=radius of post, and $h$=length of specimen measured by a digital caliper.

**Failure analysis:** After push-out testing, the failed specimens were evaluated under a stereomicroscope (Swift-Stereo-Eighty-Microscope, Swift Instruments International, Tokyo, Japan) to determine failure modes.

**Statistical analysis:** Mean and standard deviation values of bond strength were calculated. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Post-Hoc-Tukey HSD multiple comparison tests were used to analyze the differences in bond strength between the irrigant groups. Independent t-tests were also used to compare the bond strength between specimen positions for each irrigant group. Statistical software (SPSS version 20; IBM Corp) was used for the analysis and the significance level was set at $\alpha=0.05$.

**RESULTS**

Group D showed the highest mean push-out bond strength (20.07±5.08Mpa) among all tested groups, while the lowest values were recorded in group A (14.13±2.82Mpa), with statistical significant difference between these two groups as shown in Table-II.

The results of the one-way ANOVA revealed a highly significant difference with regard to the irrigants used (p<0.001) but no significant differences were found based on the resin system used (p=0.359) and with the irrigant and cement system combined (p=0.325). Table-III presents the ANOVA and post hoc Tukey tests analysis used to
calculate the differences between groups based on the cervical and apical segments. The mean push-out bond strength in the cervical segments was found to be significant between the groups (p<0.001). The mean push-out bond strength in the root canal was significantly affected by the root region with regard to group C, and D (p<0.001), apart from group E which used saline and MultiCore (p<0.05). The independent t tests results showed that the mean push-out bond strength values of the cervical segments was significantly higher than the apical segments in the above-mentioned three groups (p<0.05). Moreover, the total mean push-out bond strength of the cervical segments (18.77±5.06Mpa) was found to significantly higher than the apical segments (14.54±3.20Mpa) (p<0.001). Multiple comparisons of the segments by post hoc tests revealed significant differences between groups only for the cervical segments with regard to group 3 and 4 (p<0.05).

Most of the specimens (71.67%) failed predominantly at the interface between the adhesive and the dentin surface (Fig.2a) and only two specimens (1.67%) showed cohesive failure (Fig.2c) as shown in Table-IV. Among 15% of adhesive failures from the post (Fig.2b), there were relatively more numbers observed in the group B and mixed failures (Fig.2d) were observed more in the group C.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, upper central and lateral incisors were selected because they have similar root canal anatomy. The push-out strength test is not only a simple and valid method but also allows fabrication of a number of specimens out of one

**Table-II: Push-out bond mean strength values of the different groups in Mpa (± SD).**

| Groups | Mean  | SD    | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------|-------|-------|---------|---------|
| A      | 14.13a| 2.82  | 10.02   | 19.61   |
| B      | 16.27ab| 3.26  | 10.36   | 20.78   |
| C      | 19.40b | 6.37  | 10.15   | 28.19   |
| D      | 20.07b | 5.08  | 12.33   | 28.45   |
| E      | 15.37a | 3.37  | 9.01    | 21.38   |
| F      | 14.68a | 3.20  | 9.76    | 21.97   |
| Total  | 16.65 | 4.72  | 9.01    | 28.45   |

Superscript (a, b, ab) show the different significance levels. Means with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05), means sharing the same superscript are not significantly different; Post Hoc tests.

**Table-III: Push-out bond mean strength values of the cervical and apical segments in Mpa (± SD).**

| Groups | Cervical segment | Apical segment | P value |
|--------|------------------|----------------|---------|
| A      | 14.80±3.03       | 13.45±2.56     | 0.294   |
| B      | 17.37±2.94       | 15.18±3.33     | 0.136   |
| C      | 24.76±2.62       | 14.04±3.88     | 0.000*  |
| D      | 23.66±3.86       | 16.48±3.30     | 0.000*  |
| E      | 17.23±2.87       | 13.51±2.82     | 0.009*  |
| F      | 14.80±3.69       | 14.56±2.82     | 0.870   |
| Total  | 18.77±5.06       | 14.54±3.20     | 0.000*  |

P value 0.000* 0.258

* Statistically significant P-value (p<0.05), Independent t-test for the segments and ANOVA for the total sample. Means with different superscripts in a column differ significantly (p<0.05), means sharing the same superscript are not significantly different; Post Hoc tests.

**Table-IV: Type of failure modes in each group.**

| Groups | Root | Adhesive-dentin | Adhesive-post | Cohesive | Mixed |
|--------|------|----------------|---------------|----------|-------|
| A      | CERVICAL | 7       | 0             | 0         | 3     |
| B      | CERVICAL | 5       | 4             | 1         | 0     |
| C      | CERVICAL | 5       | 3             | 0         | 2     |
| D      | CERVICAL | 8       | 1             | 0         | 1     |
| E      | CERVICAL | 9       | 1             | 0         | 1     |
| F      | CERVICAL | 8       | 0             | 0         | 2     |
| Total  | (%)   | 86      | 18            | 2         | 14    |

(71.6) (15.0) (1.67) (11.66)
Effects of NaOCl & resin cements on bond strength of fiber posts

In the post-adhesive interface, the retaining capacity relies on the mechanical physical interlocking and chemical retention if any, or as a result of friction. The other interface is the adhesive-dentin interface, which relies on various factors like dentin substrate conditioning and bonding procedures, since the dentin could be etched with self-etch AdheSE DC as with MultiCore or not etched or condition the dentin as in the case of RelyX-Unicem.

A higher number of adhesive-dentin interface failures were observed in this study. This result is in agreement with several studies that used self-adhesive cements. Firstly, this may be due to the fact that self-adhesive resin cement does not form a distinct hybrid layer between dentin and resin tags like etch-and-rins or self-etching adhesive systems. Furthermore, the self-adhesive system is unable to etch a thick smear layer and demineralize the dentin surface. In the presence of smear layer that is not removed as with RelyX-Unicem, the dislocation resistance of bonded fiber posts was contributed largely by sliding friction. Secondly, the residual from NaOCl may reduce the bond strength and inhibit the polymerization of resin cement. NaOCl forms a thin layer of oxygen along the entire dentin surface leading to weakness in the adhesive-dentin interface.

The present study showed that irrigant solutions with different concentrations played an important role in influencing the bond strength of the fiber posts regardless of the cement used, therefore, careful selection of the irrigant is an important step during the post and core procedure. However, this study was limited to one type of fiber post, three types of irrigation protocols and two types of resin systems. The extent of the superficial changes on the root canal surfaces, the use of more challenging dentin substrate such as caries-affected or sclerotic dentin and the post surface treatments were not evaluated in this study, which needs further evaluation to confirm our results.

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of this study, the following can be concluded:
1. Irrigant solutions and their different concentrations significantly affect the push-out bond strength of the cemented fiber post
2. Post spaces irrigated with NaOCl solutions showed significantly stronger push-out bond than those irrigated with NaCl.
3. Both, RelyX-Unicem resin cement and MultiCore build up material showed similar bonding strength.

4. For all experimental groups combined, push-out bond strength was found to be higher in the cervical root segments than in the apical root segments.
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