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Abstract

The molecular geometry of 2-bromo-2-methyl-1-phenylpropan-1-one was optimized by DFT quantum chemical calculations and used to determine various molecular parameters theoretically. The HOMO and LUMO energy gap reveals that the energy gap reflects the chemical activity of the molecule. Global reactivity descriptors values are determined for the title molecule. Determination and visualization of molecule sites prone to electrophilic attack and nucleophilic attack performed by mapping of total density to the electron density surface is done by MESP Map analysis. Also, electron localization function (ELF) and localized orbital locator (LOL), determination of possible reactive centres of the title molecule realized by calculation of Fukui function analysis were carried out. Stability of the molecule arising from hyper conjugative interactions, charge delocalization has been analyzed using natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis. The possibility of being NLO active were studied by investigating the linear polarizability (α) and first-order hyperpolarizability(β) values computed using DFT quantum mechanical calculations. UV absorption spectra (in gas phase and in different solutions) were investigated by TD-DFT using B3LYP/6-31G +G(d,p) basis set and electronic properties such as excitation energies, oscillator strength and wavelength were tabulated.

Introduction:

The reactivities of bromine-substituted (R-Br) or chlorine-substituted substrates (R-Cl) toward bromophilic or chlorophilic attack by a carbanion have been evaluated by intermolecular kinetics [1]. The reactions of this compound in which the bromine is on a tertiary carbon atom, with triethyl phosphite and with sodium diethyl phosphite take the same direction with formation of diethyl 2-methyl-1-propenyl phosphate [2]. Solvolysis involves treating the classified polymeric wastes with solvents and reagents to depolymerize the polymer to low molecular weight chemicals. A Grunwald-Winstein treatment of the specific rates of solvolysis of the compound in 100% methanol and in several aqueous ethanol, methanol, acetone, 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE), and 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) mixtures gives a good logarithmic correlation against a linear combination of \( N_T \) (solvent nucleophilicity) and \( Y_{Br} \) (solvent ionizing power) values. The pairing of DMSO and oxalyl bromide is reported as a highly efficient brominating reagent for various alkenes, alkynes and ketones. This bromination approach demonstrates remarkable advantages, such as mild conditions, low cost, short reaction times, provides...
excellent yields in most cases and represents a very attractive alternative for the preparation of dibromides and α-
bromoketones [3-4].

Computational details
The quantum chemical calculations of the title compound were performed with Gaussian 09 program package [5].
employing Becke-Lee-Yang-Parr functional (B3LYP) [6-7]. The structure of the compound was optimized using Density functional theory (DFT) using 6-311 +G(d,p) basis set. The molecular structure, MEP surfaces were visualized with Gauss View 5 program [8]. The physico-chemical properties such as Frontier molecular orbitals, molecular electrostatic potential map (MEP), thermodynamic and non-linear optical structural parameters were calculated. The electronic absorption spectrum was calculated using the time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) in water, DMSO and ethanol solution.

Results and Discussions:-
Molecular Geometry
The title compound has 23 atoms. A molecule consisting of N atoms has a total of 3N degrees of freedom, corresponding to the Cartesian coordinates of each atom in the molecule. In a nonlinear molecule, 3 of these degrees belong to the rotational, 3 of these degrees belong to the translational motions of the molecule and so the remaining corresponds to its vibrational motions. The net number of the vibrational modes is 3N-6. Therefore, for the title compound, three Cartesian displacements of 23 atoms provide 63 normal vibration modes. The molecular structure of the molecule with atom numbering is shown in Fig.1.

Topological analysis
Frontier molecular orbitals
The frontier molecular orbitals and their properties are important for predicting the most reactive position in π - electron system and several types of reactions in conjugated systems. [9-10]. The energy of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) is directly proportional to the ionization potential and characterizes the susceptibility of the molecule towards the attack of the electrophiles and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is related to the electron affinity and characterizes the susceptibility of the molecule towards the attack by nucleophiles [11]. The HOMO and LUMO values of the title compound are 7.254559 eV and 2.2705191eV respectively. The value of the energy gap is calculated to be 4.984040 eV which clearly indicates that charge transfer occur within the molecule and increasing the molecular activity. The HOMO-LUMO molecular orbitals are shown in Fig.2. It is clear from the HOMO-LUMO figure that HOMO is mainly situated over oxygen, bromine and ring atoms attached to oxygen atom while LUMO is mainly localized on atoms attached to oxygen and bromine atoms.

Global reactivity descriptors are presented in Table.1. The chemical reactivity descriptors of compounds such as electro negativity (χ), chemical potential (µ), hardness (η), softness (S) and electrophilicity index (ω) are evaluated using the following equations given below [12]:
\[ \chi = \frac{I + A}{2} \]
\[ \mu = -\frac{I - A}{2} \]
\[ \eta = \frac{I - A}{2} \]
\[ S = \frac{1}{2\eta} \]
\[ \omega = \mu^2 / 2\eta \]

Table 1: Calculated molecular parameters of the title compound.

| Parameters                                      | B3LYP/6-311+ G(d,p)          |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SCF energy (a.u.)                              | -3037.18807                   |
| Field Independent Dipole moment (Debye)        |                               |
| \( \mu_x \)                                    | -2.2130                       |
| \( \mu_y \)                                    | 1.1726                        |
| \( \mu_z \)                                    | 3.2135                        |
| \( \mu_{\text{total}} \)                       | 4.0742                        |
| Zero point vibrational energy (kcal/mol)        | 115.40327                     |
| Total thermal energy (kcal/mol)                 | 122.791                       |
| Molar heat capacity at const.volume (cal/mol K) | 44.313                        |
| Total entropy (cal K/mol)                       | 108.478                       |
| Vibrational Energy (Kcal/Mol)                   |                               |
| Frontier Molecular Orbital Energies (eV)        |                               |
| \( E_{\text{HOMO}} \) (eV)                      | 7.254559                      |
| \( E_{\text{LUMO}} \) (eV)                      | 2.270519                      |
| \( E_{\text{HOMO-LUMO gap}} \) (eV)            | 4.984040                      |
| Global Reactivity Descriptors (eV)              |                               |
| Chemical hardness (\( \eta \))                | 2.49202                       |
| Softness (\( S \))                             | 0.20064                       |
| Chemical potential (\( \mu \))                 | 4.76253                       |
| Electrophilicity index (\( \omega \))          | 4.55088                       |

Fig. 2: Homo-Lumo Figure of the title compound.
Molecular electrostatic potential analysis

The molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) is related to electronic density and a very useful descriptor for determining the sites for nucleophilic and electrophilic reactions [13]. The different values of electrostatic potential are represented by different colours and the potential increases in the order of red<orange<yellow<green<blue. From this surface, we can interpret that the most residing areas of electron density (denoted by more red areas) and least electron density residing areas (denoted by deep blue areas). The color code of the map was found to be in the range -4.417e-2 (deepest red color has negative extreme) to 4.417e-2 (deepest blue color has positive extreme). In the present MEP map, the maximum negative represents the site for electrophilic attack indicated by red colour while the maximum positive region represents the nucleophilic attack indicated by blue colour. The MEP map of the investigated compound (Fig. 3(a)) shows the regions of negative potential over the electronegative oxygen atom of the carbonyl group and less negative indicated by yellowish blob over the bromine atom and the regions having the positive potential are over the hydrogen atoms.

![MEP map](image)

**Fig.3 (a):-** MEP map of the title compound.  **(b) MEP Contour map of the title compound**

From Fig.3(b), it is clear that Br\(_1\) and O\(_2\) atoms are negatively charged as the local vdW surface are closer to bromine and have largely intersected solid contour lines around bromine and oxygen atoms. This can be further verified from the calculation for Hirshfeld atomic charges by Multiwfn program [14] for this molecule tabulated in Table.2.

**Table.2:-** Hirshfeld atomic charges of the title compound.

| Element Name | Atomic charge |
|--------------|---------------|
| Br\(_1\)     | -0.06889      |
| O\(_2\)      | -0.22011      |
| C\(_3\)      | 0.05310       |
| C\(_4\)      | 0.15272       |
| C\(_5\)      | -0.09154      |
| C\(_6\)      | -0.08723      |
Electron Localization function analysis
The modern method for investigating electronic structure of molecules free from arbitrary choice of molecular orbitals used in this study is the topological analysis of ELF as proposed by Silvi and Savin [15-16] belonging to quantum chemical topology [17]. The analysis such as ELF, LOL, Hole-Electron distribution Figures and Fukui Functions figures were performed using Multiwfn 3.7. [14] which is a multifunctional wave function analysis program. An electronic structure of a molecule described by ELF is represented by maxima (attractors) and its localization basin of $\eta (r)$ field, which characterize covalent bonds, lone pairs, core regions and valence shells in atoms. Calculated electron populations on chemical bonds, $N$, is related to integration electron density over localization basins. results represent average values with quantum uncertainty. The topological analyses of the Electron Localization function (ELF) and Localized orbital locator (LOL) are tools used for performing covalent bonding analysis as they reveal regions of molecular space where the probability of finding an electron pair is high [18-19].

The topological analysis has been carried out for ELF for the title compound. The 2D map of ELF of the title compound shown in Fig.4 defined by atoms Br, O and H. It can be viewed that the covalent regions have high electron localization value (red region), the electron depletion regions between valence shell and inner shell are shown by the blue circles around nuclei. It is clear from the 2D map, that the chemical bonds C-O and C-Br are described by irregular localization domains (orange) with smaller values of electron localization (0.8-0.9) and these bonds have a covalent character and exhibit high electron localization of shared electron (covalent) bonding. Also, high ($\approx$ 1) values of electron localization is observed around hydrogen atoms indicating the presence of highly localized bonding and non-bonding electrons. The blue regions around few carbon atoms show the delocalized electron cloud around it.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| $C_7$ | -0.01449 |
| $C_8$ | -0.02459 |
| $C_9$ | -0.03068 |
| $C_{10}$ | -0.03449 |
| $C_{11}$ | -0.03563 |
| $C_{12}$ | -0.02557 |

Fig 4: The 2D map of ELF of the title compound defined by Br, O and H atoms.
Localized orbital locator analysis
Molecular orbitals that are concentrated in a limited spatial region constitute the Localized molecular orbitals. Fig. 5 shows the LOL distribution under B3LYP/6-311 +G(d,p) method. It is clear that red colour intrudes into the interstitial space between the boundary atoms. From NBO analysis, a low stabilization energy is observed between Carbon-Bromine bonds and it is reflected as comparatively low values in LOL Figure and seen as distortion between Br1-C3 bond [20].

NBO analysis
Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) calculations were performed using NBO 3.1 program [21] as implemented in the Gaussian 09 package at DFT/B3LYP levels. The second order Fock-matrix was carried out to evaluate the donor (i) and acceptor (j) interaction in the NBO basis [22]. For each donor (i) and acceptor (j), the stabilization energy $E^{(2)}$ is associated as:

$$E^{(2)} = E_{ij} = q_i \left( \frac{F_{i,j}}{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} \right)$$

The electron transfers from filled bonding orbital (donor) to empty antibonding orbitals (acceptor) [23-25] leading to hyperconjugative interactions can be examined by employing NBO analysis. The donor-acceptor interactions in NBO basis were evaluated using the second order Fock matrix. [26-27]. The larger the $E^{(2)}$ value, the more intensive is the interaction between electron donor and electron acceptor which means more donating tendency from electron donors to acceptors and a greater extent of conjugation of the whole system and the possible intensive interactions and the perturbation energies obtained by NBO analysis are listed in Table.3.

Table.3:- Second order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix in NBO basis of the title compound.

| Donor (i) | Type | ED/e  | Acceptor (j) | Type  | ED/e  | $E^{(2)}$ (Kcal/mol) | $E(j)$- $E(i)$ (a.u) | $F(i,j)$ (a.u) |
|----------|------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Br1-C3   | σ    | 1.95584 | O2-C4        | σ*    | 0.01049 | 0.85                   | 1.15                 | 0.028           |
| O2-C4    | π    | 1.99328 | Br1-C3       | σ*    | 0.07559 | 3.41                   | 0.41                 | 0.034           |
| O2-C4    | σ    | 1.99328 | C7-C9        | σ*    | 0.02390 | 1.36                   | 1.65                 | 0.042           |
| C5-H14   | σ    | 1.98506 | C3-C4        | σ*    | 0.08309 | 3.91                   | 0.84                 | 0.052           |
| C6-H16   | σ    | 1.98576 | C3-C5        | σ*    | 0.01904 | 3.53                   | 0.89                 | 0.050           |
| C7-C9    | π    | 1.63931 | C7-C8        | σ*    | 0.02213 | 4.00                   | 1.26                 | 0.063           |
| C7-C9    | π    | 1.63931 | O2-C4        | π*    | 0.12990 | 15.11                  | 0.27                 | 0.061           |
| C7-C9    | π    | 1.63931 | C11-C12      | π*    | 0.32209 | 18.60                  | 0.28                 | 0.065           |
| C8-C10   | π    | 1.65080 | C7-C9        | π*    | 0.36928 | 18.83                  | 0.28                 | 0.065           |

Fig.5:- LOL colour filled map of the title compound.
In the title molecule, \( \pi \) (C11-C12) \( \rightarrow \) \( \pi^* \) (C7-C9) and \( \pi \) (C11-C12) \( \rightarrow \) \( \pi^*(C8-C10) \), leading to stabilization energy of 22.44, 18.02(Kcal/mol), \( \pi \) (C8-C10) \( \rightarrow \) \( \pi^* \) (C11-C12) and \( \pi \) (C8-C10) \( \rightarrow \) \( \pi^*(C7-C9) \), leading to stabilization energy of 21.74, 18.83(Kcal/mol), \( \pi \) (C7-C9) \( \rightarrow \) \( \pi^*(C11-C12) \) and \( \pi \) (C7-C9) \( \rightarrow \) \( \pi^*(O2-C4) \) has 18.60 and 15.11 (Kcal/mol) respectively and hence they give stronger stabilization to the structure. The stabilization of some of the ring is due to the interaction between C-C to anti bond of C-C in the ring as evident from the Table. 3.

Also interaction between LP(2) O2 \( \rightarrow \) \( \sigma^* \) (C3-C4) and LP(2) O2 \( \rightarrow \) \( \sigma^* \) (C4-C7) leads to stabilization of 21.13 and 18.37Kcal/mol. All these transitions with stabilization energies correspond to only three pairs of orbitals (C7-C9), (C8-C10) and (C11-C12) taking place in both forward and reverse direction among the orbitals within the ring structure.

### Condensed Fukui Function

The Fukui function describes the electron density after adding or removing some amount of electrons. R.G.Parr and W.Yang [28] reported condensed Fukui function and frontier function. The local reactivity descriptor like Fukui function indicates the preferred regions where a molecule will alter its density or indicates its natural tendency to deform at a given position on accepting or donating electron HUMO or LUMO [29]. The theoretical tool Fukui function was performed by UCA-FUKUI software to understand the chemical reactivity, the condensed Fukui function and related local and global parameters were calculated [30]. In order to find the chemical reactivity and selectivity of the specific atomic site in a molecule, condensed Fukui function and local softness are used [30-31].

The Fukui functions at the atom k result to be:

\[
f_k^+ = \sum_{\nu \in k} \left| |C_{\nu \mu}|^2 + \sum_{\sigma \neq \mu} C_{\nu \sigma}^* C_{\nu \sigma} S_{\sigma \nu} \right|
\]

where \( C_{\nu \mu} \) are the molecular frontier orbitals coefficients and \( S_{\sigma \nu} \) are the atomic orbital overlap matrix elements

\[
\begin{align*}
f_k^- &= \sum_{\nu \in k} \left| |C_{\nu \mu}|^2 + \sum_{\sigma \neq \mu} C_{\nu \sigma}^* C_{\nu \sigma} S_{\sigma \nu} \right| \\
f_k^+ &= \sum_{\nu \in k} \left| |C_{\nu \mu}|^2 + \sum_{\sigma \neq \mu} C_{\nu \sigma}^* C_{\nu \sigma} S_{\sigma \nu} \right| \text{for HOMO electrophilic attack} \\
f_k^- &= \sum_{\nu \in k} \left| |C_{\nu \mu}|^2 + \sum_{\sigma \neq \mu} C_{\nu \sigma}^* C_{\nu \sigma} S_{\sigma \nu} \right| \text{for LUMO nucleophilic attack} \\
f_k^+ &= \frac{1}{2} (f_k^+ + f_k^-) \text{[for radical attack]}
\end{align*}
\]

The sub-indexes "H" and "L" refers to HOMO and LUMO orbitals. When a molecule gains electrons, it has the reactivity site of electrophilic attack \( f_k^- \), when the molecule loses electrons, it has reactivity site for nucleophlic attack \( f_k^+ \), and when the molecule has neutral electrons, they are in radical attack. Local electrophilicity, Local Nucleophilicity, Hardness and second order Fukui functions are called Dual-Descriptor \( \Delta f \), and by using finite difference approximation [31-33], it can be defined as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta f^+ &= \Delta f^- \\
\Delta f^+ &= \frac{\eta_k - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon_k} \\
\Delta f^- &= \frac{\varepsilon_k - \eta_k}{\varepsilon_k} \\
\end{align*}
\]

For local Hardness

\[
\Delta f_k = |f_k^+ - f_k^-|
\]

For dual descriptor

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c}
C8-C10 & \pi & 1.65080 & C11-C12 & \pi^* & 0.32209 \\
C8-H19 & \sigma & 1.97835 & C7-C9 & \sigma^* & 0.02390 \\
C9-H20 & \sigma & 1.97839 & C7-C8 & \sigma^* & 0.02213 \\
C10-H21 & \sigma & 1.97975 & C7-C8 & \sigma^* & 0.02213 \\
C11-C12 & \pi & 1.64569 & C7-C9 & \pi^* & 0.36928 \\
C11-C12 & \sigma & 1.97989 & C9-C11 & \sigma^* & 0.01658 \\
C11-C12 & \sigma & 1.97989 & C10-C12 & \sigma^* & 0.32209 \\
C11-H22 & \sigma & 1.97974 & C7-C9 & \sigma^* & 0.02390 \\
C11-H22 & \sigma & 1.97974 & C10-C12 & \sigma^* & 0.32209 \\
C12-H23 & \sigma & 1.98044 & C9-C11 & \sigma^* & 0.01658 \\
LP Br1 & \sigma & 1.99450 & C3-C6 & \sigma^* & 0.02268 \\
LP Br1 & \pi & 1.97593 & C3-C6 & \sigma^* & 0.02268 \\
LP O2 & \sigma & 1.97788 & C4-C7 & \sigma^* & 0.06299 \\
LP O2 & \pi & 1.88463 & C3-C4 & \sigma^* & 0.08309 \\
LP O2 & \pi & 1.88463 & C4-C7 & \sigma^* & 0.06299 \\
\end{array}
\]
The Fukui function of the system defines the most reactive regions in a molecule. The individual atomic charges order was C > C > C > C > C > O > Br. Using the NPA charges of neutral (radical), negative (cation) and positive (anion) state of a present molecule, Condensed Fukui Functions evaluated by Frontier Molecular Orbital method for the title compound. 

| Atom | Atomic Number | HOMO Electrophilic attack ($f_k^+$) | LUMO Nucleophiliic attack ($f_k^-$) | Radical Attack ($f_k^0$) | Dual-descriptor ($\Delta f_k$) | Hardness | Local Electrophilic | Local Nucleophilic |
|------|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Br1  | 35            | 0.0000                           | 0.0028                            | 0.0014                    | 0.0028                          | 0.0000   | 0.0000            | 0.0024            |
| O₂   | 8             | 0.0002                           | 0.2165                            | 0.1083                    | 0.2163                          | 0.0009   | 0.0001            | 0.1849            |
| C₃   | 6             | 0.0007                           | 0.0628                            | 0.0318                    | 0.0621                          | 0.0004   | 0.0006            | 0.0536            |
| C₄   | 6             | 0.0108                           | 0.5997                            | 0.3052                    | 0.5889                          | 0.0053   | 0.0092            | 0.5120            |
| C₅   | 6             | 0.0001                           | 0.0006                            | 0.0003                    | 0.0004                          | 0.0000   | 0.0001            | 0.0005            |
| C₆   | 6             | 0.0003                           | 0.0141                            | 0.0072                    | 0.0138                          | 0.0001   | 0.0002            | 0.0120            |
| C₇   | 6             | 0.1164                           | 0.0386                            | 0.0775                    | -0.0778                         | 0.0308   | 0.0994            | 0.0329            |
| C₈   | 6             | 0.4912                           | 0.0300                            | 0.2606                    | -0.4612                         | 0.1293   | 0.4194            | 0.0256            |
| C₉   | 6             | 0.0507                           | 0.0102                            | 0.0305                    | -0.0405                         | 0.0134   | 0.0433            | 0.0087            |
| C₁₀  | 6             | 0.2899                           | 0.0213                            | 0.1556                    | -0.2686                         | 0.0763   | 0.2475            | 0.0181            |
| C₁₁  | 6             | 0.0218                           | 0.0002                            | 0.0110                    | -0.0216                         | 0.0057   | 0.0186            | 0.0002            |
| C₁₂  | 6             | 0.0179                           | 0.0016                            | 0.0097                    | -0.0163                         | 0.0047   | 0.0152            | 0.0013            |

The condensated Fukui functions using FMO theory were calculated and listed in Table 4. It is observed that maximum electrophilic attack order are C₆ > C₂ > C₉ > C₁₂ > C₁ > C₁₁ > C₄ > C₃ > C₅ > O₂ > C₅ and the maximum nucleophilic attack order are C₄ > O₂ > C₁ > C₁ > C₉ > C₁₀ > C₆ > C₈ > Br₁ > C₁₂ > C₁₄. The highest radical attack order was found to be C₄ > C₆ > C₁₀ > O₂ > C₇ > C₉ atoms [34].

The Fukui function of the system defines the most reactive regions in a molecule. The individual atomic charges deliberated by Frontier Molecular Orbital and Natural Population analysis (NPA) have been used to calculate the Condensed Fukui function (CFF). Koldandaivel et al. [35] introduced the atomic descriptor to determine the local reactive sites of the molecular structure. The reactivity indices are directly concerned with the selectivity of the molecule. Using the NPA charges of neutral (radical), negative (cation) and positive (anion) state of a present molecule, Fukui function $f^+ (\bar{r})$, $f^- (\bar{r})$, $f^0 (\bar{r})$ are calculated. Fukui function are calculated using the following solutions:

$f^+ (\bar{r}) = q_r (N+1) - q_r (N)$ for nucleophilic attack

$f^- (\bar{r}) = q_r (N) - q_r (N-1)$ for electrophilic attack

$f^0 (\bar{r}) = q_r (N+1) - q_r (N-1)$ for radical attack

where +, - and 0 show the nucleophilic, electrophilic and radical attack respectively.

| Atoms | Natural Population analysis | Condensed Fukui function | Dual-descriptor ($\Delta f_k$) |
|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| (N)   | Cation                      | Anion                    | Neutral                       | $f_k^-$ | $f_k^+$ | $f_k^0$ | $\Delta f_k$ |
| Br₁   | 0.1892                      | -0.24334                 | 0.01971                       | 0.16949 | 0.26305 | 0.43254 | 0.09356      |
| O₂    | -0.28659                    | -0.68746                 | -0.51678                      | 0.23019 | 0.17068 | 0.40087 | -0.05951     |
| C₃    | -0.18188                    | -0.21403                 | -0.16784                      | -0.01404 | 0.04619 | 0.03215 | 0.06023      |
| C₄    | 0.59091                     | 0.36036                  | 0.56437                       | 0.02654 | 0.20401 | 0.23055 | 0.17747      |
| C₅    | -0.59425                    | -0.58409                 | -0.58862                      | -0.00563 | -0.00453 | 0.01016 | 0.00111      |
| C₆    | -0.61119                    | -0.59353                 | -0.59799                      | -0.0132 | -0.00446 | 0.01766 | 0.00874      |
| C₇    | -0.04334                    | -0.06964                 | -0.13138                      | 0.08804 | -0.06174 | 0.0263 | -0.14978     |
| C₈    | -0.16084                    | -0.20154                 | -0.19211                      | 0.03127 | 0.00943 | 0.0407 | -0.02184     |
| C₉    | -0.15976                    | -0.22422                 | -0.18968                      | 0.02992 | 0.03454 | 0.06446 | 0.00462      |
| C₁₀   | -0.15880                    | -0.23953                 | -0.18893                      | 0.03013 | 0.0506 | 0.08073 | 0.02047      |

431
The condensed Fukui function \( (f_k^+, f_k^-, f_k^0) \) and Dual-descriptor \( (\Delta f_k) \) evaluated by NPA listed in Table.5. It is found that dual descriptor \( (\Delta f_k) \) value is highly positive for \( Br_1 \) having tendency to acquire electron and \( C_5 \) is electrophilic [36] and Condensed Fukui function figures \( (f_k^-, f_k^0, \Delta f_k) \) for the title compound is presented in Fig.6.

![Condensed Fukui function](image)

**Fig.6:** Condensed Fukui function \( (f_k^-, f_k^0, \Delta f_k) \) for the title compound.

### Nonlinear optical (NLO) property analysis

The first order hyperpolarizability \( (\beta) \), polarizability \( (\alpha) \) and dipole moment \( (\mu) \) were calculated using B3LYP/6-311 +G(d,p) level of the finite field approach. The complete equations for calculating the magnitude of total static dipole moment, polarizability and first-order polarizability using the x,y,z components from Gaussian '09 output are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{\text{tot}} &= (\mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2 + \mu_z^2)^{1/2} \\
\alpha_{\text{tot}} &= \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_{xx} + \alpha_{yy} + \alpha_{zz}) \\
\beta_{\text{tot}} &= \left[ (\beta_{xxx} + \beta_{xyy} + \beta_{xzz})^2 + (\beta_{yyy} + \beta_{yzz} + \beta_{yxx})^2 + (\beta_{zxx} + \beta_{zzy} + \beta_{yyz})^2 \right]^{1/2}
\end{align*}
\]

The calculated values of dipole moment, polarizability and hyper-polarizability are given in Table.6. The calculated dipole moment is 3.0516 Debye, polarizability \( \alpha_{\text{tot}} \) is equal to 5.265370x10^{-24} e.s.u and have non-zero values and was dominated by diagonal components. The first-order polarizability is found to be 2.9002 X 10^{-30} e.s.u which is nearly 5 times that of urea [37]. Our title compound with greater dipole moment and hyperpolarizability value shows that the compound has large NLO optical property.

### Table.6:- Dipole moment, Polarizability and hyperpolarizability of the title compound.

| Components | Value (Debye) | Components | Value (a.u.) | Components | Value (a.u.) |
|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| \( \mu_x \) | -1.5142      | \( \alpha_{xx} \) | 176.112871   | \( \beta_{xxx} \) | -345.489136 |
| \( \mu_y \) | -0.6562      | \( \alpha_{yy} \) | -0.015847    | \( \beta_{xyy} \) | 54.78063    |
| \( \mu_z \) | 2.5669       | \( \alpha_{zz} \) | 143.662352   | \( \beta_{xzy} \) | 25.061213   |
| \( \mu_{\text{tot}} \) (D) | 3.0516       | \( \alpha_{xy} \) | 2.009714     | \( \beta_{yyy} \) | 101.433255  |
| \( \mu_{\text{tot}} \) (D) | 3.0516       | \( \alpha_{xz} \) | 5.038148     | \( \beta_{xzz} \) | 32.716809   |
| \( \mu_{\text{tot}} \) (D) | 3.0516       | \( \alpha_{yz} \) | 102.628238   | \( \beta_{xyz} \) | -3.696230   |

The calculated values are listed in Table.6.
Theoretically, the first hyperpolarizability of the title compound is 4.779 times magnitude of urea. Domination of particular component indicates on a substantial delocalization of charges in that direction. In the present study, the biggest value of hyperpolarizability is noticed in $\beta_{yyy}$ direction and subsequently delocalization of electron cloud is more in that direction. The maximum $\beta$ value may be due to $\pi$-electron cloud movement from donor to acceptor which makes the molecule highly polarized and intramolecular charge transfer possible. So, from the magnitude of first hyperpolarizability, the title compound may be a potential applicant in the development of NLO materials.

**Electronic excitation analysis**
The electronic excitation analysis of the title compound has been carried out using TD-DFT method using B3LYP/6-311 +G(d,p) basis sets. The comparative theoretical UV absorption spectra in gas phase and in three solvents ethanol, water and DMSO is presented in Fig.7. Due to specific solute-solute and solute-solvent interaction in form of hydrogen bonding, the intensities, positions and shapes of the electronic absorption bands are usually altered when the absorption spectra are recorded in solvents of different polarity. The calculated results involving vertical excitation energies, oscillator strengths ($f$) and wavelength are tabulated in Table.7. According to Frank-Condon principle, the maximum absorption ($\lambda_{max}$) correspond to vertical excitation in UV spectrum [38]. It is observed the very intense electronic transition occur at 333.87, 333.69, 333.52 with oscillator strength 0.0028,0.0029 and 0.0028 for Ethanol, DMSO and water. Moreover, the theoretical wavelength in gas phase is found to be higher i.e.339.92 nm than the wavelength in different solvents.

| $\alpha_{tot} \times 10^{-24}$ (esu) | $\beta_{yyz} \times 10^{-24}$ | $\beta_{zzz}$ | $\beta_{tot}$ (esu) | $\beta_{tot}$ (compound) / $\beta_{tot}$ urea |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------|------------------|-------------------------|
| 5.265370x10^{-24}               | -10.699195        | 6.676574 | 2.9002 x 10^{-30} | 4.779                   |

**Fig.7:** Comparative Theoretical UV-Visible spectrum of the title compound.

The calculated band at 339.92 nm in theoretical spectrum corresponds to transition from HOMO-6 to LUMO (13.69%). The second dominant band observed at 278.73 corresponds to transition from HOMO-4 to LUMO (24.37%) while the third dominant band at 272.72 corresponds to the transition from HOMO to LUMO (79.68%).
Table 7: Electronic Energy, Wavelengths and Oscillator strengths calculated at TD-DFT method for the title compound.

| Solvent    | Excited state | Band Gap (eV) | Energy (cm⁻¹) | Wavelength 𝜆 (nm) | Oscillator strength |
|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Gas phase  | S₁            | 3.6475        | 29,419        | 339.92            | 0.0023             |
|            | S₂            | 4.4482        | 35,877        | 278.73            | 0.0118             |
|            | S₃            | 4.5461        | 36,666        | 272.73            | 0.0831             |
| DMSO       | S₁            | 3.7155        | 29,967        | 333.69            | 0.0029             |
|            | S₂            | 4.3348        | 34,962        | 286.02            | 0.0177             |
|            | S₃            | 4.4768        | 36,107        | 276.95            | 0.1809             |
| Ethanol    | S₁            | 3.7135        | 29,951        | 333.87            | 0.0028             |
|            | S₂            | 4.3393        | 34,998        | 285.73            | 0.0170             |
|            | S₃            | 4.4821        | 36,150        | 276.62            | 0.1739             |
| Water      | S₁            | 3.7175        | 29,983        | 333.52            | 0.0028             |
|            | S₂            | 4.3352        | 34,965        | 285.99            | 0.0167             |
|            | S₃            | 4.4817        | 36,147        | 276.64            | 0.1724             |

The distribution of hole, electron and both simultaneously are shown in Fig. 8. It can be observed that there is a spatial separation between the hole-electron distribution thus indicating the charge transfer.

Fig. 8: Visualization of (a) Hole distribution (b) Electron distribution (c) Hole-Electron distribution

Conclusion:
The optimization of structure geometry was done at DFT/B3LYP/6-311 +G(d,p) functional for analysis various molecular properties of the title compound. The significant difference in HOMO-LUMO energy gap supports the charge transfer within the molecule. The MEP map of the investigated compound shows the regions of negative potential over the electronegative oxygen atom of the carbonyl and the regions having the positive potential are over the hydrogen atoms. The chemical bonds C-O and C-Br are described by irregular localization domains with smaller
values of electron localization is observed from 2D map of ELF. It is clear from NBO analysis that most of transitions with stabilization energies correspond to only three pairs of orbitals (C7-C9),(C8-C10) and (C11-C12) and interaction between LP(2) O2→ σ* (C3-C4) and LP(2) O2→ σ* (C4-C7) leads to stabilization of 21.13 and 18.37kcal/mol. The sites of electrophilic and nucleophilic were determined using Fukui functions. UV absorption spectra (in gas phase and in different solutions) were investigated by TD-DFT using B3LYP/6-311 +G(d,p) basis set and electronic properties such as excitation energies, oscillator strength and wavelength were tabulated. NLO calculations showed that the first hyperpolarizability of the title compound is 4.779 times magnitude of urea suggesting that the title compound is NLO active.
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