Reduced Arteriovenous Shunting Capacity After Local Heating and Redistribution of Baseline Skin Blood Flow in Type 2 Diabetes Assessed With Velocity-Resolved Quantitative Laser Doppler Flowmetry
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OBJECTIVE—To compare the microcirculatory velocity distribution in type 2 diabetic patients and nondiabetic control subjects at baseline and after local heating.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—The skin blood flow response to local heating (44°C for 20 min) was assessed in 28 diabetic patients and 29 control subjects using a new velocity-resolved quantitative laser Doppler flowmetry technique (qLDF). The qLDF estimates erythrocyte (RBC) perfusion (velocity × concentration), in a physiologically relevant unit (grams RBC per 100 g tissue × millimeters per second) in a fixed output volume, separated into three velocity regions: \( v < 1 \) mm/s, \( v = 1–10 \) mm/s, and \( v > 10 \) mm/s.

RESULTS—The increased blood flow occurs in vessels with a velocity > 1 mm/s. A significantly lower response in qLDF total perfusion was found in diabetic patients than in control subjects after heat provocation because of less high-velocity blood flow (\( v > 10 \) mm/s). The RBC concentration in diabetic patients increased sevenfold for \( v \) between 1 and 10 mm/s, and 15-fold for \( v > 10 \) mm/s, whereas no significant increase was found for \( v < 1 \) mm/s. The mean velocity increased from 0.94 to 7.3 mm/s in diabetic patients and from 0.83 to 9.7 mm/s in control subjects.

CONCLUSIONS—The perfusion increase occurs in larger shunting vessels and not as an increase in capillary flow. Baseline diabetic patient data indicated a redistribution of flow to higher velocity regions, associated with longer duration of diabetes. A lower perfusion was associated with a higher BMI and a lower toe-to-brachial systolic blood pressure ratio. Diabetes 59:1578–1584, 2010
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be reported (21). Furthermore, measures are given for an unknown measurement volume that varies not only with instrumentation but also with the optical properties at the measurement site and with variations in the blood flow itself (13). This further obstructs the physiologic interpretation of the results.

We have developed a new LDF signal analysis based on simulations of light transport in an adaptive mathematical model of tissue. As the perfusion estimates achieved with this method are presented in physiologically relevant units (grams erythrocytes [RBC]/100 g tissue × mm/s) in a given output volume (3 mm³), the method is called quantitative LDF (qLDF). Besides the benefits of a constant output volume and physiologically relevant units, the method also provides a means of differentiating the blood flow into various flow velocity regions (22). This velocity differentiation can potentially be used to associate differences in the velocity distribution to specific vessel types because large vessels normally have a much higher blood flow velocity than smaller vessels.

The aim of the present study was to compare the velocity distribution of the microcirculatory blood flow between patients with diabetes type 2 and normal control subjects during baseline and local warming. To our knowledge, this has previously not been possible, and the differences in the velocity distribution can be used to draw conclusions about the type of vessels that are mainly involved in the pathologic disturbances of the microcirculation in diabetes type 2.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

The patients included in this study are part of a larger study called Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Patients with Diabetes—a Prospective Study in Primary Care (CARDIPP) that was launched in 2005 with the aim of identifying markers for cardiovascular disease to facilitate earlier and individually adjusted intervention in middle aged patients with type 2 diabetes. The nondiabetic control subjects were part of a parallel control study called CAREFUL. Within the CARDIPP and CAREFUL studies, parameters such as height, weight, and blood pressure were measured. The investigation included medical history, also covering data on diabetes duration and ongoing medication. Blood specimens were drawn in the morning after a 10-h overnight fast. Aortic pulse wave velocity was measured with an applanation tonometry device. From the recorded signals, Doppler power spectra were calculated, calibrated, and averaged in 5-s intervals for the two different source-detector separations (22,25). Conventional LDF perfusion measures (26) were calculated for both separations from the calculated and averaged Doppler power spectra. These extended protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (D. no. M26-05 T41-08).

From the recorded signals, Doppler power spectra were calculated, calibrated, and averaged in 5-s intervals for the two different source-detector separations (22,25). Conventional LDF perfusion measures (26) were calculated for both separations from the calculated and averaged Doppler power spectra. These extended protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (D. no. M26-05 T41-08).

Data are means ± SD. Statistical comparisons of diabetic patients vs. nondiabetic control subjects: *P < 0.05, †P < 0.001. §One value missing; ‖two values missing; ¶three values missing; #five values missing.

### Table 1: Characteristics of diabetes patients and nondiabetic control subjects

|                          | Control subjects | Diabetic patients |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| n                        | 23               | 23                |
| Sex (M/F)                | 8/15             | 14/9              |
| Age (years)              | 62 ± 6           | 60 ± 3            |
| Diabetes duration (years)| NA               | 7 ± 6             |
| Height                   |                  |                   |
| Men (cm)                 | 178 ± 7          | 176 ± 7           |
| Women (cm)               | 166 ± 7          | 164 ± 5           |
| Weight                   |                  |                   |
| Men (kg)                 | 84 ± 16          | 91 ± 8            |
| Women (kg)               | 67 ± 10          | 78 ± 9*           |
| BMI (kg/m²)              | 25 ± 3           | 29 ± 3‡           |
| Glucose (mmol/l)         | 6.0 ± 0.8§       | 8.1 ± 2.15$       |
| Triglyceride (mmol/l)    | 1.3 ± 0.8        | 1.9 ± 1.4¶        |
| Cholesterol (mmol/l)     | 5.8 ± 1.0        | 4.2 ± 0.6¶        |
| LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) | 3.5 ± 0.8§       | 2.4 ± 0.6†¶       |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) | 1.7 ± 0.6        | 1.1 ± 0.3¶        |
| A1C (%)                  | NA               | 6.0 ± 0.9§        |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 120 ± 12        | 122 ± 10          |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 74 ± 8           | 72 ± 7            |
| Pulse wave velocity (m/s) | 8.9 ± 1.7       | 11.2 ± 2.0¶       |
| Left ventricular mass    |                  |                   |
| Men (g)                  | 106 ± 11‖        | 127 ± 34          |
| Women (g)                | 106 ± 29         | 93 ± 36§          |
| Carotid intima-media thickness (mm) | 0.67 ± 0.12 | 0.75 ± 0.13*     |
| TBI                      | 0.89 ± 0.16¶     | 0.93 ± 0.12       |

The protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (D. no. M26-05 T41-08).
medication, and the most frequently used antihypertensive drugs were ACE inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers (14 subjects), followed by 8 subjects taking β-blockers, 5 taking diuretics, and 4 taking calcium channel blockers. Twenty subjects were treated with statins. Four subjects were current smokers, and 12 were former smokers. Microalbuminuria, defined as an albumin-to-creatinine ratio \( \geq 30 \) mg/mg, was present in 1 subject. History of cardiovascular disease was prevalent in 3 subjects. The baseline measurement was excluded for 3 diabetic patients because of a too short acclimatization period (<15 min).

Statistical comparisons between diabetic patients and control subjects were performed using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test. Bivariate correlations were evaluated using Pearson product-moment analysis. Outlier data were excluded in the correlation analysis, using an upper limit of the mean + 3 SD of diabetic patient data. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

RESULTS

Examples of the qLDF perfusion measures during the heat provocation are shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. 1A, typical behavior of the perfusion in the three different velocity regions is shown. Only a small increase was found in the low-velocity region, whereas a larger increase occurred in the mid- and high-velocity regions. In Fig. 1B, two typical heat responses of the total perfusion (all velocities) are shown. In the first case (Fig. 1B, circles), the perfusion decreases after the initial heat-induced increase, and shortly thereafter the perfusion increases again. In the second case (squares), this biphasic response is not visible and the perfusion quickly settles on a more or less constant level after the temperature rise. Both types of responses occurred in both diabetic patients and controls and were approximately equally common. In Fig. 2, a comparison of the response of the qLDF perfusion estimate and the conventional LDF (cLDF) perfusion estimates at the two source-detector separations is shown. The cLDF perfusion estimates have been normalized so that they equal the average baseline level of the qLDF perfusion estimate.

A summary of the cLDF and qLDF perfusion measures is given in Table 2. Values are given for baseline, that is, the median during the first 5 min when the probe holder was heated to 32°C, and for the plateau of the heat provocation response, that is, the median of the last 5 min. No significant differences were found at baseline. For the plateau, significant differences between diabetic patients and control subjects were found for cLDF using both source-detector separations (0.25 and 1.2 mm) and for qLDF total perfusion and for velocities \( > 10 \) mm/s. A similar analysis of the perfusion during the initial peak in the heat response, that is, the median over 2 min starting 2 min after the heat onset, showed that both cLDF measures and qLDF total perfusion were significantly lower for diabetic patients than for control subjects (data not presented).

Bivariate correlation analysis for diabetic patient data increases for the 23 control subjects were 1,755, 1,046, and 734%, respectively.

FIG. 1. Typical response of the perfusion in the three different velocity regions (A) and two typical responses of the total perfusion (B).
mid-velocity region \((R = 0.46, P < 0.05)\). The relations between TBI and qLDF data after heat are presented in Fig. 4.

Bivariate correlation analysis for control data were performed at baseline and after heat for the two conventional LDF perfusion estimates, the quantitative perfusion in the different velocity regions and the total perfusion, the concentration of moving blood cells and their average velocity, versus TBI. No significant correlations were found.

Bivariate correlations between the cLDF perfusions at both fiber distances and the total qLDF perfusion and BMI, LDL, glucose level, and pulse wave velocity, respectively, were performed for diabetic patients and control subjects separately after heat provocation. No significant correlation was found in the control group between any LDF perfusion measure and any clinical variable. For diabetic patients, LDL was related to cLDF perfusion at 0.25 mm separation \((R = -0.49, P < 0.05)\) and BMI was related or borderline related to three perfusion measures (cLDF perfusion at 0.25 mm fiber separation, \(R = -0.49, P < 0.05\); cLDF perfusion at 1.2 mm fiber separation, \(R = -0.40, P < 0.06\); qLDF total perfusion \(R = -0.41, P < 0.06\)).

**DISCUSSION**

In about half of the measurements, both for the diabetic patients and control subjects, a distinct biphasic heat response could be observed. The first part of this biphasic response is foremost related to the fast axon reflex, whereas the second part is related to the NO-induced response \((14,15)\). In the measurements where this biphasic response is not visible, the two phases are overlapping. The biphasic response becomes more evident when the heat increase is slower \((15)\). An attenuated response has previously been reported for both the axon reflex and the NO-induced response in diabetic type 2 patients \((7,27)\) and a significant difference between diabetic patients and control subjects in the increase from baseline to both the initial peak and the late plateau was also found in the current study. The level of the increase was similar to that reported by Colberg et al. \((1)\), who used a similar protocol.

Figure 2 shows an example of the perfusion response to heat for both the cLDF perfusion estimates at the two different source-detector separations and for the qLDF

**TABLE 2**
cLDF and qLDF perfusion estimates at baseline and at the plateau

| LDF Time | Fiber separation/velocity | Control subjects | Diabetic patients |
|----------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| \( n \)  |                          |                  |                  |
| c B      | 0.25 mm separation       | 46 ± 12          | 46 ± 15\*        |
| c B      | 1.2 mm separation        | 242 ± 84         | 260 ± 55\*       |
| q B      | \( v <1 \) mm/s          | 0.019 ± 0.012    | 0.016 ± 0.005\*  |
| q B      | 1 < \( v <10 \) mm/s     | 0.016 ± 0.009    | 0.019 ± 0.009\*  |
| q B      | \( v >10 \) mm/s         | 0.026 ± 0.012    | 0.032 ± 0.015\*  |
| q B      | All \( v \)              | 0.061 ± 0.025    | 0.067 ± 0.019\*  |
| c Pl     | 0.25 mm separation       | 485 ± 215        | 335 ± 113\*      |
| c Pl     | 1.2 mm separation        | 1,842 ± 562      | 1,491 ± 396\*    |
| q Pl     | \( v <1 \) mm/s          | 0.017 ± 0.019    | 0.021 ± 0.018    |
| q Pl     | 1 < \( v <10 \) mm/s     | 0.20 ± 0.08      | 0.16 ± 0.06      |
| q Pl     | \( v >10 \) mm/s         | 0.77 ± 0.42      | 0.53 ± 0.26\*    |
| q Pl     | All \( v \)              | 0.98 ± 0.44      | 0.71 ± 0.28\*    |

Data are means ± SD. cLDF was measured using 0.25- and 1.2 mm fiber separations. qLDF was measured in different velocity regions. B, baseline, median over first 5 min; c, conventional, arbitrary units; q, g RBC/100 g tissue × mm/s; Pl, plateau, median over last 5 min. *Baseline measurements were excluded for three diabetic patients because of too short acclimatization. Statistical comparisons of diabetic patients vs. control subjects: *\( P < 0.05; \* P < 0.01.\)

were performed at baseline and at 44°C for the two conventional LDF perfusion estimates, the quantitative perfusion in the different velocity regions and the total perfusion, the concentration of moving blood cells (Table 3) and their average velocity (Table 4), versus duration of diabetes, A1C and TBI. Significant correlations were found at baseline for duration versus the quantitative perfusion in the low-velocity region \((R = -0.46, P < 0.05)\) and in the mid-velocity region \((R = 0.48, P < 0.05)\), the average velocity \((R = 0.63, P < 0.01)\), and the average concentration \((R = -0.55, P < 0.05)\). The relations between duration and qLDF data at baseline are presented in Fig. 3. No significant correlations were found for A1C versus any of the perfusion estimates. Significant correlations were found after heat for TBI versus the quantitative perfusion at 1.2 mm separation \((R = 0.42, P < 0.05)\), the quantitative total perfusion \((R = 0.42, P < 0.05)\), and the average velocity \((R = 0.46, P < 0.05)\). The relations between TBI and qLDF data after heat are presented in Fig. 4.

| Fiber separation/velocity | Control subjects | Diabetic patients |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| \( n \)  |                  |                  |
| c B      | 0.25 mm separation | 0.61 ± 0.18      | 0.57 ± 0.16¶    |
| c B      | 1.2 mm separation  | 0.86 ± 0.14      | 0.83 ± 0.084¶   |
| q B      | \( v <1 \) mm/s   | 0.085 ± 0.064    | 0.069 ± 0.027¶  |
| q B      | 1 < \( v <10 \) mm/s | 0.0064 ± 0.0034  | 0.0075 ± 0.0034¶ |
| q B      | \( v >10 \) mm/s  | 0.0076 ± 0.00032 | 0.0091 ± 0.00033¶ |
| q B      | All \( v \)       | 0.092 ± 0.065    | 0.077 ± 0.027¶  |
| c Pl     | 0.25 mm separation | 0.78 ± 0.12      | 0.74 ± 0.14    |
| c Pl     | 1.2 mm separation  | 0.96 ± 0.05      | 0.96 ± 0.046   |
| q Pl     | \( v <1 \) mm/s   | 0.039 ± 0.043    | 0.061 ± 0.090  |
| q Pl     | 1 < \( v <10 \) mm/s | 0.056 ± 0.022    | 0.048 ± 0.017  |
| q Pl     | \( v >10 \) mm/s  | 0.018 ± 0.0069   | 0.013 ± 0.0052* |
| q Pl     | All \( v \)       | 0.11 ± 0.051     | 0.12 ± 0.083   |

Data are means ± SD. cLDF was measured using 0.25- and 1.2 mm fiber separations. qLDF was measured in different velocity regions. B, baseline, median over first 5 min; c, conventional, arbitrary units; q, g RBC/100 g tissue × mm/s; Pl, plateau, median over last 5 min. ¶The baseline measurements were excluded for three diabetic patients because of too short acclimatization. Statistical comparisons of diabetic patients vs. normal control subjects: *\( P < 0.05.\)
It illustrates that the relative increase from baseline to the late plateau was higher for the qLDF than for the cLDF estimates. The response for the 1.2 mm source-detector separation was weaker than for the 0.25 mm separation for the cLDF estimates. Actually, the increase for qLDF was higher than the increase in the cLDF for all measurements but one, and the increase for the long fiber separation was generally lower than the increase for the short one. This difference between the qLDF and cLDF perfusion estimates is due to the well-known nonlinearity to the blood tissue fraction in cLDF perfusion, which is not present in qLDF perfusion (22). The nonlinearity is not severe, nor does it have a great impact on the results in this study. However, when measuring on sites with higher blood tissue fraction than the dorsum of the foot, which has a relatively low blood tissue fraction, this may be of major importance. A method has previously been presented to compensate for the nonlinearity in the cLDF perfusion estimates (28). However, that method was designed and evaluated using plastic phantoms, and its usefulness for in vivo measurements has been questioned by others (29,30).

Table 2 shows that the high velocities are affected the most by the heat provocation and that they also differ the most between the diabetic patients and the control subjects. In contrast, no significant increase is found in the perfusion for the lowest velocity region, and no significant difference between the diabetic patients and the control subjects is found in the two lowest velocity regions. Knowing that the thermoregulation involves mostly the larger vessels in the microcirculation where the velocity is generally higher, it is expected that the high velocities are affected the most by the heat provocation. The unchanged low-velocity flow and the highly increased high-velocity flow indicate that the increased flow due to the heat provocation is shunted from the artery to the vein side.

![Figure 3](image-url)
diabetic patients compared with control subjects thus strongly suggests that the maximal arteriovenous shunting capacity is reduced in diabetic patients. Furthermore, a decreasing perfusion response to heat was associated with increasing BMI and decreasing TBI and, similarly, a decreasing average velocity with decreasing TBI. These relationships were observed only in diabetic patients and not in control subjects. The clinical importance of these findings remains to be answered in the future.

Relatively large SDs were found for all perfusion estimates presented in Table 2, both at baseline and during the heat provocation. This may not only reflect intraindividual differences but may also be a result of large spatial inhomogeneities in the microcirculation (21). Although Colberg et al. (1) argue that performing the measurements in a thermoneutral environment should reduce these inhomogeneities, which should be further reduced by the 32°C baseline level of the probe holder, the study would have benefited from measurements at multiple sites. For baseline measurements that must not exceed a few minutes, multiple measurement sites are recommended for future studies. Another limitation of this study is that we were not able to control for differences in antihypertensive medication between the two groups.

As stated in the Introduction, results concerning the microvascular blood flow in diabetic patients in baseline have been contradictory (1,3,4,11). In this study, no significant differences were found between the diabetic patients and the control group (Table 2), but a correlation between baseline flow and diabetes duration was found (Fig. 3). It is very interesting to observe that this correlation was the opposite for the lowest and middle velocity regions, as is very interesting to observe that this correlation was the significant differences were found between the diabetic patients and control subjects (Table 2), but a correlation between baseline flow and diabetes duration was found (Fig. 3). It was reported that the heat provocation increases the blood flow for velocities over 1 mm/s, whereas blood flow at lower velocities is unchanged, 2) the reduced perfusion increases after local heating that is observed in diabetic patients compared with control subjects is found for velocities >10 mm/s, and 3) a reduced low-velocity flow (<1 mm/s) and increased mid-velocity flow (1–10 mm/s) are related to diabetes duration at baseline conditions. The physiologic interpretations of these results are that 1) the thermoregulation is a process involving primarily large, high-velocity vessels and the increased flow is shunted from the artery to the vein side, 2) the maximal arteriovenous shunting capacity is reduced in diabetic patients; and 3) a long diabetes duration is associated with a reduced nutritive capillary flow due to an increased arteriovenous shunt flow at baseline conditions. All of this has previously been known or suspected, but not proven by direct measurements on the microcirculation.
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