The effect of depopulation and restocking on reproductive and growth performances on Japanese commercial swine farms
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ABSTRACT. This study compared the reproductive and growth performances of pigs before and after depopulation and restocking after a foot-and-mouth disease outbreak in Japan. Data for the time period before and after depopulation and restocking were obtained from three farrow-to-finish farms. As a result of depopulation and restocking, hygiene levels were improved, and common infectious diseases, such as porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome and Aujeszky’s disease, remained undetected on the farms. Compared with before depopulation, reproductive and growth performances improved after depopulation; the number of total pigs born was higher, the postweaning mortality rate was lower, and the age at slaughter was lower (P<0.05). In summary, depopulation and restocking improved the reproductive and growth performances of pigs.
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Miyazaki Prefecture, on the southern island of Kyushu, is a major swine-producing area in Japan. In April 2010, a foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) outbreak occurred. To eradicate it, ring vaccination coupled with the depopulation of cattle and pig herds was performed in the most severely affected areas. As a result, FMD was eradicated by August 2010, and swine producers in the affected areas began farming again in November 2010. At the time of restocking, the swine producers implemented control programs to keep the area free from major infectious diseases including porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) and Aujeszky’s disease (AD).

To understand the effect of depopulation and restocking, we aimed to assess the reproductive and growth performances before and after FMD eradication.

The present study was conducted in the suburban areas of the town of Kawaminami, Miyazaki Prefecture, Japan. The town, located at a longitude of 131° 52′ E and latitude of 32° 19′ N, has a high density of pig farms (1.05/km²). Because of the FMD outbreak in April 2010, all cattle and pigs in the town were euthanized to prevent the spread of the infection. As both PRRS and AD were endemic and controlled by ring vaccination in this region before the FMD outbreak, strict control programs were implemented to eradicate them at the time of restocking. The controls were as follows: producers had to obtain new pigs, such as replacement gilts or weaned pigs, from PRRS- and AD-negative farms; the new gilts or sows had to have negative results from PRRS and AD tests before they could leave the farm of origin and after they arrived at the new farm; and 14 randomly selected pigs had to be tested for PRRS and AD twice per year with negative results. For PRRS and AD, blood samples were tested using PCR and ELISA.

To determine reproductive and growth performances, the farms selected in the study area had to possess the following data: (1) parity records of sows in an electronic form; (2) monthly records of growth performance; and (3) both of these records before and after the FMD outbreak. Parity records had to contain data on sow identification, parity, farrowing date, total pigs born, pigs born alive, pigs born dead, piglet weight at birth, date of weaning, number of pigs weaned, piglet weight at weaning and date of service and conception. Growth performance comprised data on postweaning mortality, age at slaughter for market pigs and average daily gain in the postweaning stage. As a result, three farrow-to-finish farms with complete records of reproductive and growth performances before and after the FMD outbreak were selected and used for further analysis. The number of sows which had breeding operations during the study period on these three farms ranged from 400 to 720. The female pigs were F1 crossbreeds of Landrace and Large White, obtained from a domestic breeding company.

The values of the production variables were compared between the pre-depopulation period (conditions before the FMD outbreak) and the post-depopulation period (conditions after the FMD outbreak). The data for the pre-depopulation period were collected from January 2008 to March 2010.
and for the post-depopulation period from January 2011 to July 2012. Regarding data for the post-depopulation period, mating performance data were available from January 2011 to July 2012 and farrowing and weaning performance data from April 2011 to July 2012. The reproductive data from 12,496 parity records of 3,757 sows were used for the present study. Growth performance data were available from January 2008 to March 2010 and from August 2011 to July 2012. The growth performance data were calculated as the sum of the monthly values of each growth performance measure divided by the number of monthly records at each of the three farms.

A linear mixed-effects model was applied to continuous data, and a mixed-effects logistic regression model was applied to binomial data (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, U.S.A.). The observational unit for comparing reproductive performance was parity. The dependent variable was reproductive performance, and the independent variables were the pre-depopulation and post-depopulation periods with the farm added to the model as a random effect. The observational unit for comparing growth performance was the farm. The dependent variables were growth performance, and the independent variables were the pre-depopulation and post-depopulation periods.

The average parities of sows in the pre-depopulation and post-depopulation periods were 3.8 (range: 1–11) and 2.3 (range: 1–4), respectively. Because of the small number of records with a parity of 4 in the post-depopulation period, comparisons of reproductive performance were applied to parities 1 to 3. Tables 1 and 2 show a comparison of the reproductive performance between the pre-depopulation and post-depopulation periods for sows with a parity from 1 to 3. In terms of the farrowing performance, sows in the post-depopulation period had a higher number of total pigs born and pigs born alive than those in the pre-depopulation period at parities 1 to 3 (P<0.05). No differences were found between the two periods in the number of pigs born dead or the piglet weight at birth. In the analysis of weaning and mating performances, piglet weight at weaning in the post-depopulation period was approximately 1 kg higher than that in the pre-depopulation period at parities 1 to 3 (P<0.05). This could have been caused, at least in part, by *Staphylococcus hyicus* infection, which is associated with high mortality [4] and occurred at the beginning of the period after depopulation on two farms. In contrast, sows in the post-depopulation period had longer weaning-to-first-mating intervals at parity 3, but higher farrowing rates at parity 2 than those of sows in the pre-depopulation period (P<0.05). This was because all three farms hesitated to cull sows with reproductive problems in order to maintain the farm’s female inventory after restocking. Even under such regulation, the higher farrowing rate at parity 2 and the higher piglet weight at weaning in the post-depopulation period compared with the pre-depopulation period indicated that depopulation and restocking had increased the breeding productivity in each herd. No difference was found between the two period groups in the number of pigs weaned. In terms of growth performance, the post-depopulation period had a lower postweaning mortality rate, a lower age at slaughter and higher average daily gain than those of the pre-depopulation period (P<0.05; Table 3).

This is the first report to quantify the effect of depopulation and restocking on both the reproductive and growth performances of pigs on Japanese commercial farms. The present study has indicated that depopulation and the subsequent introduction of stock can improve reproductive and growth performances. One possible factor relating to this

---

### Table 1. Comparison of farrowing performance between the pre-depopulation and post-depopulation periods

| Parity | 1          | 2          | 3          |
|--------|------------|------------|------------|
| Total pigs born (pigs) | 11.0 ± 0.07<sup>b</sup> | 11.3 ± 0.08<sup>b</sup> | 11.9 ± 0.09<sup>b</sup> |
| Pre-depopulation period | 11.8 ± 0.07<sup>b</sup> | 12.0 ± 0.10<sup>b</sup> | 13.0 ± 0.10<sup>b</sup> |
| Post-depopulation period | 10.2 ± 0.07<sup>b</sup> | 10.7 ± 0.08<sup>b</sup> | 11.2 ± 0.08<sup>b</sup> |
| Pigs born alive (pigs) | 11.1 ± 0.07<sup>b</sup> | 11.4 ± 0.10<sup>b</sup> | 12.2 ± 0.10<sup>b</sup> |
| Pre-depopulation period | 0.8 ± 0.03 | 0.6 ± 0.03 | 0.7 ± 0.04 |
| Post-depopulation period | 0.7 ± 0.03 | 0.6 ± 0.03 | 0.8 ± 0.04 |
| Piglet weight at birth (kg) | 1.4 ± 0.01 | 1.5 ± 0.01 | 1.5 ± 0.01 |
| Pre-depopulation period | 1.4 ± 0.01 | 1.5 ± 0.01 | 1.5 ± 0.01 |

Mean values within a column for each measurement with different letters were significantly different between the pre-depopulation and post-depopulation periods (P<0.05) (mean ± SEM).

### Table 2. Comparison of weaning and mating performances between the pre-depopulation and post-depopulation periods

| Parity | 1          | 2          | 3          |
|--------|------------|------------|------------|
| Number of pigs weaned (pigs) | 10.3 ± 0.05 | 10.3 ± 0.05 | 10.3 ± 0.05 |
| Pre-depopulation period | 10.1 ± 0.06 | 10.5 ± 0.05 | 10.7 ± 0.08 |
| Post-depopulation period | 6.6 ± 0.38<sup>b</sup> | 6.5 ± 0.36 | 6.4 ± 0.34 |
| Farrowing rate (%) | 8.8 ± 0.05<sup>b</sup> | 8.7 ± 0.03<sup>b</sup> | 8.8 ± 0.03<sup>b</sup> |
| Weaning-to-first-mating interval (days) | 12.5 ± 0.53 | 8.7 ± 0.41 | 8.0 ± 0.36<sup>b</sup> |
| Farrowing rate (%) | 12.1 ± 0.44 | 9.0 ± 0.31 | 9.5 ± 0.38<sup>b</sup> |
| Weaning-to-first-mating interval (days) | 86.6 ± 0.80 | 82.6 ± 0.99 | 88.6 ± 0.84<sup>b</sup> |
| Post-depopulation period | 87.3 ± 0.83 | 84.9 ± 0.97 | 94.2 ± 0.73<sup>b</sup> |

Mean values within a column for each measurement with different letters were significantly different between the pre-depopulation and post-depopulation periods (P<0.05). c) Values in the left, middle and right columns are the farrowing rates for parities of 0, 1 and 2, respectively. (mean ± SEM).
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Table 3. Comparison of growth performance between the pre-depopulation and post-depopulation periods

|                      | Pre-depopulation period | Post-depopulation period |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Postweaning mortality (%) | 7.9 ± 1.94a)       | 3.3 ± 0.15b)             |
| Age at slaughter (day) | 186.7 ± 9.83a)       | 166.5 ± 1.71b)           |
| Average daily gain (g) | 615.4 ± 37.14a)     | 690.7 ± 6.89b)           |

Mean values with different letters within a row were significantly different (P<0.05) (mean ± SEM).