The Sharp Upper Estimate Conjecture for the Dimension $\delta_k(V)$ of New Derivation Lie Algebra
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Abstract: Hussain, Yau, and Zuo introduced the Lie algebra $L_k(V)$ from the derivation of the local algebra $M_k(V):=O_n/(g+\partial_1(g)+\cdots+\partial_k(g))$. To find the dimension of a newly defined algebra is an important task in order to study its properties. In this regard, we compute the dimension of Lie algebra $L_k(V)$ and justify the sharp upper estimate conjecture for fewnomial isolated singularities. We also verify the inequality conjecture: $\delta_5(V) < \delta_4(V)$ for a general class of singularities. Our findings are novel and an addition to the study of Lie algebra.
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1. Introduction

It is commonly known that at the origin of $\mathbb{C}^n$, $O_n$ are the germs of holomorphic functions. Naturally, the algebra of $n$ indeterminate power series may be identified by the $O_n$. Yau considered the Lie algebras of the derivation of moduli algebra $A(V):=O_n/(g, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n})$, where $L(V)\equiv \text{Der}(A(V), A(V))$, and $V$ denotes the isolated hypersurface singularity. $L(V)$ is well recognized as solvable finite dimensional Lie algebra ([1–3]). $L(V)$ distinguished from the other types of Lie algebra present in singularity theory ([4,5]) is known as the Yau algebra of $V$ [6]. Several new natural connections have been developed in recent years by Hussain, Yau, Zuo, and their research fellows ([7–12]) between the finite set of solvable dimensional Lie algebras (nilpotent) and the complex analytical set of isolated hypersurface singularities. Three different ways have been introduced to associate isolated hypersurface singularities with Lie algebra. From a geometric point of view, these associations support understanding the solvable Lie algebra (nilpotent), [9]. Since the 1980s, Yau and their research fellows have provided much work on singularities [9,13–22].

Let a holomorphic function $g:(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be defined by the isolated hypersurface singularity $(V, 0)$, with its multiplicity $\text{mult}(g)$. $\text{mult}(g)$ in the power series expansion is the order of the nonvanishing lowest term of $g$ at 0. In [23], the new derivation Lie algebras are defined in the following way:

Let $\partial_\ell(g):=\frac{\partial^{\ell} g}{\partial x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_\ell}} | 1 \leq i_1, \cdots, i_\ell \leq n >$ be an ideal. For $\text{mult}(g) = m$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$, $M_k(V):=O_n/(g+\partial_1(g)+\cdots+\partial_k(g))$ are the new $k$-th local algebra and $L_k(V)$ its new Lie algebras of derivations with dimension $\delta_k(V)$, which is a new numerical analytic invariant. $L_k(V)$ is the generalization of Yau algebra. More details can be found in ([23]).
A conjecture for the analytic invariant \( \delta_k(V) \) was proposed in [23] as:

**Conjecture 1** ([23]). Let \( \delta_k(\{x_1^{b_1} + \cdots + x_n^{b_n} = 0\}) = h_k(b_1, \ldots, b_n), 0 \leq k \leq n \) and \((V, 0) = \{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0\}, (n \geq 2) \) be an isolated singularity with weight type \((w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n, 1)\). Then, \( \delta_k(V) \leq h_k(1/w_1, \ldots, 1/w_n) \).

In [23], the inequality conjecture for \( \delta_k(V) \) was also proposed in following way:

**Conjecture 2** ([23]). With the above notations, let \((V, 0)\) be defined by \( g \in \mathcal{O}_n, n \geq 2 \). Then,

\[
\delta_{k+1}(V) < \delta_k(V), k \geq 1.
\]

For binomial and trinomial singularities, Conjecture 1 holds true when \( k = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) ([12, 17, 20, 23, 24]), and Conjecture 2 holds true for \( k = 1, 2, 3 \) ([23, 24]).

The main goal of this study is to confirm Conjecture 1 (resp. Conjecture 2) for binomial and trinomial singularities when \( k = 5 \) (resp. \( k = 4 \)). The following are our key findings.

**Theorem 1.** Let \((V(g), 0) = \{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : x_1^{b_1} + \cdots + x_n^{b_n} = 0\}, (n \geq 2; b_1 \geq 7, 1 \leq j \leq n)\), where \( b_j \) are fixed natural numbers. Then,

\[
\delta_5(V(g)) = h_5(b_1, \ldots, b_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_i - 6}{b_i - 5} \prod_{j=1}^{n}(b_j - 5).
\]

**Theorem 2.** Let \((V, 0)\) be a binomial singularity, which is defined by \( g(x_1, x_2) \), a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight type \((w_1, w_2; 1)\) and \( \text{mult}(g) \geq 7 \). Then,

\[
\delta_5(V(g)) < h_5\left(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{w_i} - 6 \prod_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{w_i} - 5\right).
\]

**Theorem 3.** Let \((V, 0)\) be a binomial singularity, which is defined by \( g(x_1, x_2) \), a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight type \((w_1, w_2; 1)\) and \( \text{mult}(g) \geq 7 \). Then,

\[
\delta_5(V(g)) < h_5\left(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}, \frac{1}{w_3}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{w_i} - 6 \prod_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{1}{w_i} - 5\right).
\]

**Theorem 4.** Let \((V, 0)\) be a trinomial singularity, which is defined by \( g(x_1, x_2, x_3) \), a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight type \((w_1, w_2, w_3; 1)\) and \( \text{mult}(g) \geq 7 \). Then,

\[
\delta_5(V(g)) < h_5\left(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}, \frac{1}{w_3}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{w_i} - 6 \prod_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{1}{w_i} - 5\right).
\]

**Theorem 5.** Let \((V, 0)\) be a trinomial singularity, which is defined by \( g(x_1, x_2, x_3) \), a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight type \((w_1, w_2, w_3; 1)\) and \( \text{mult}(g) \geq 7 \). Then,

\[
\delta_5(V(g)) < h_5\left(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}, \frac{1}{w_3}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{w_i} - 6 \prod_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{1}{w_i} - 5\right).
\]

2. Preliminaries

Proposition 1.2 of [25] states: Let finite dimension associative algebras \( A \) and \( B \) have units for the tensor product,

\[
\text{DerS} \cong (\text{Der}A) \otimes C(B) + C(A) \otimes (\text{Der}B).
\]

**Theorem 6** ([25]). For commutative associative algebras \( A, B \),

\[
\text{DerS} \cong (\text{Der}A) \otimes B + A \otimes (\text{Der}B).
\]

(1)

The following result is used in this work.
Theorem 7 ([17]). For ideal \( \mathcal{J} \) in \( R = \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \cdots, x_n\} \),

\[
(Der_3 R) / (\mathcal{J} \cdot Der_C R) \cong Der_C (R/\mathcal{J}).
\]

The linear endomorphism \( D \) of commutative associative algebra \( A \) with \( D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b) \) is called a derivation of \( A \).

Proposition 1. Analytically, a weighted homogeneous fewnomial singularity \( g \) with \( \text{mult}(g) \geq 3 \) is equivalent to a linear combination of the series:

Type A. \( x_1^{b_1} + x_2^{b_2} + \cdots + x_n^{b_{n-1}} + x_n^{b_n}, \) \( n \geq 1, \)

Type B. \( x_1^{b_1} x_2 + x_2^{b_2} x_3 + \cdots + x_n^{b_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{b_n}, \) \( n \geq 2, \)

Type C. \( x_1^{b_1} x_2 + x_2^{b_2} x_3 + \cdots + x_n^{b_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{b_n} x_1, \) \( n \geq 2. \)

Corollary 1. Analytically, each binomial isolated singularity is equivalent to one of the three series:

A) \( x_1^{b_1} + x_2^{b_2}, \) B) \( x_1^{b_1} x_2 + x_2^{b_2}, \) C) \( x_1^{b_1} x_2 + x_2^{b_2} x_1. \)

Proposition 2 ([26]). Let \( g(x_1, x_2, x_3) \) be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity with \( \text{mult}(g) \geq 3. \) Then, \( g \) is analytically equivalent to one of the five series:

Type 1. \( x_1^{b_1} + x_2^{b_2} + x_3^{b_3}, \)

Type 2. \( x_1^{b_1} x_2 + x_2^{b_2} x_3 + x_3^{b_3}, \)

Type 3. \( x_1^{b_1} x_2 + x_2^{b_2} x_3 + x_3^{b_3} x_1, \)

Type 4. \( x_1^{b_1} + x_2^{b_2} + x_3^{b_3} x_1, \)

Type 5. \( x_1^{b_1} x_2 + x_2^{b_2} x_3 + x_3^{b_3}. \)

3. Proof of Theorems

The following propositions will be used to prove the main results of this paper.

Proposition 3. Let \( (V(g), 0) \) be an isolated singularity and \( g = x_1^{b_1} + x_2^{b_2} + \cdots + x_n^{b_n} \) \( (b_j \geq 7, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n) \) be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight type \( (\frac{1}{b_1}, \frac{1}{b_2}, \cdots, \frac{1}{b_n}, 1) \). Then,

\[
\delta_3(V(g)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_i - 6}{b_i - 3} \prod_{j=1}^{n}(b_j - 5).
\]

Proof. After simple calculation, the moduli algebra \( M_3(V) \) has a monomial basis of the form

\[
\{x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_n^{b_n}, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, \cdots, 0 \leq j_n \leq b_n - 6\},
\]

with the following relations:

\[
x_1^{b_1-5} = 0, x_2^{b_2-5} = 0, x_3^{b_3-5} = 0, \cdots, x_n^{b_n-5} = 0.
\] (2)

Without loss of generality, one can write derivation \( D \) in terms of the monomial basis in the following way:

\[
Dx_i = \sum_{j=0}^{b_i-6} \sum_{j_2=0}^{b_2-6} \cdots \sum_{j_n=0}^{b_n-6} c_{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n} x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \cdots x_n^{j_n}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.
\]
The sufficient and necessary conditions may be found using the relations (2) to define a derivation of $M_5(V)$ in following way:

\[
\begin{align*}
&c^1_{b_1,b_2,b_3,\ldots,b_n} = 0; 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6, \cdots, 0 \leq j_n \leq b_n - 6; \\
&c^2_{b_1,b_2,b_3,\ldots,b_n} = 0; 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6, \cdots, 0 \leq j_n \leq b_n - 6; \\
&c^3_{b_1,b_2,0,\ldots,b_n} = 0; 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, \cdots, 0 \leq j_n \leq b_n - 6; \\
&\vdots \\
&c^n_{b_1,b_2,0,\ldots,b_{n-1},0} = 0; 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, \cdots, 0 \leq j_{n-1} \leq b_{n-1} - 6.
\end{align*}
\]

The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_5(V)$ has the following basis:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_n^{b_n} \partial_1, & \quad 1 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6, \cdots, 0 \leq j_n \leq b_n - 6; \\
x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_n^{b_n} \partial_2, & \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 1 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6, \cdots, 0 \leq j_n \leq b_n - 6; \\
x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_n^{b_n} \partial_3, & \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6, 0 \leq j_4 \leq b_4 - 6, \\
& \quad 0 \leq j_5 \leq b_5 - 6, 0 \leq j_6 \leq b_6 - 6, \cdots, 0 \leq j_n \leq b_n - 6; \\
& \vdots \\
x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_n^{b_n} \partial_n, & \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6, \cdots, 1 \leq j_n \leq b_n - 6.
\end{align*}
\]

This implies

\[
\delta_5(V(g)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_i - 6}{b_i - 5} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (b_j - 5).
\]

\[\square\]

**Remark 1.** Let $(V(g),0)$ be a fecnomial isolated singularity, where $g = x_1^{b_1} + x_2^{b_2}$ ($b_j \geq 7, j = 1, 2$) is a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weight type $(\frac{1}{b_1}, \frac{1}{b_2}; 1)$. Then, from Proposition 3, we obtain

\[
\delta_5(V) = 2b_1 b_2 - 11(b_1 + b_2) + 60.
\]

**Proposition 4.** Let $(V,0)$ be a binomial singularity of type B defined by $g = x_1^{b_1} x_2 + x_2^{b_2}$ ($b_1 \geq 6, b_2 \geq 7$) with weight type $(\frac{b_1 - 1}{b_1 b_2}, 1; 1)$. Then,

\[
\delta_5(V) = 2b_1 b_2 - 11(b_1 + b_2) + 63.
\]

For $\text{mult}(g) \geq 7$, we conclude that

\[
2b_1 b_2 - 11(b_1 + b_2) + 63 \leq \frac{2b_1 b_2^2}{b_2 - 1} - 11 \left( \frac{b_1 b_2}{b_2 - 1} + b_2 \right) + 60.
\]

**Proof.** After simple calculation, the moduli algebra $M_5(V)$ defined as

\[
M_5(V) = C\{x_1, x_2\}/(g_1 x_1 x_2, g_2 x_1 x_2, g_3 x_1 x_2 x_3, g_4 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4, g_5 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5, g_6 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6)
\]

has a monomial basis of the form

\[
\{x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2}, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6; x_1^{b_1-5}\}.
\]
Without loss of generality, one can write derivation $D$ in terms of the monomial basis in the following way:

$$
Dx_i = \sum_{j_1=0}^{b_1-6} \sum_{j_2=0}^{b_2-6} c_{j_1,j_2}^i x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} + c_{b_1-5,0}^i x_1^{b_1-5}, \quad i = 1, 2.
$$

The Lie algebra $L_5(V)$ has the following basis:

$$
x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \partial_1, 1 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6; x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \partial_2, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 1 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6;
$$

$$
x_2^{b_2-6} \partial_1; x_1^{b_1-5} \partial_1; x_1^{b_1-5} \partial_2.
$$

We obtain the following formula

$$
\delta_5(V) = 2b_1b_2 - 11(b_1 + b_2) + 63.
$$

Finally, we need to show that

$$
2b_1b_2 - 11(b_1 + b_2) + 63 \leq \frac{2b_1b_2^2}{b_2-1} - 11 \left( \frac{b_1b_2}{b_2-1} + b_2 \right) + 60. \tag{4}
$$

After solving 4, we have $b_1(b_2 - 9) + b_2(b_1 - 5) + 5 \geq 0$. \square

**Proposition 5.** Let $(V, 0)$ be a binomial singularity of type $C$ defined by $g = x_1^{b_1} + x_2^{b_2}$ with weight type $(\frac{b_1-1}{b_2-1}; \frac{b_1-1}{b_2-1}; 1)$. Then,

$$
\delta_5(V) = \begin{cases} 
2b_1b_2 - 11(b_1 + b_2) + 66; & b_1 \geq 7, b_2 \geq 7 \\
2b_2 - 2; & b_1 = 6, b_2 \geq 6.
\end{cases}
$$

For $\mult(g) \geq 7$, we conclude that

$$
2b_1b_2 - 11(b_1 + b_2) + 66 \leq \frac{2(b_1b_2 - 1)^2}{(b_1 - 1)(b_2 - 1)} - 11 \left( \frac{b_1b_2}{(b_1 - 1)(b_2 - 1)} \right) + 60.
$$

**Proof.** After simple calculation, the following moduli algebra

$$
M_5(V) = C\{x_1, x_2\} / \langle g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6, g_7, g_8, g_9, g_{10}, g_{11}, g_{12}, g_{13}, g_{14}, g_{15} \rangle
$$

has a monomial basis of the form

$$
\{ x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2}, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6; 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6; x_1^{b_1-5}; x_2^{b_2-5} \}. \tag{5}
$$

Without loss of generality, one can write derivation $D$ in terms of the monomial basis in the following way:

$$
Dx_i = \sum_{j_1=0}^{b_1-6} \sum_{j_2=0}^{b_2-6} c_{j_1,j_2}^i x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} + c_{b_1-5,0}^i x_1^{b_1-5} + c_{0,b_2-5}^i x_2^{b_2-5}, \quad i = 1, 2.
$$

The Lie algebra $L_5(V)$ has the following basis:

$$
x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \partial_1, 1 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6; x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \partial_2, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 1 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6;
$$

$$
x_2^{b_2-6} \partial_1; x_2^{b_2-6} \partial_1; x_1^{b_1-5} \partial_2; x_2^{b_2-5} \partial_2; x_1^{b_1-5} \partial_2; x_2^{b_2-5} \partial_2.
$$
Therefore, we obtain

\[ \delta_5(V) = 2b_1b_2 - 11(b_1 + b_2) + 66. \]

For \( b_1 = 6, b_2 \geq 6 \), we obtain the following bases of Lie algebra \( \mathcal{L}_5(V) \):

\[ x_j^b \partial_2, 1 \leq j \leq b_2 - 5; x_j^{b_2-5} \partial_1; x_1 \partial_1; x_1 \partial_2. \]

We also need to show that

\[ 2b_1b_2 - 11(b_1 + b_2) + 66 \leq \frac{2(b_1b_2 - 1)^2}{(b_1 - 1)(b_2 - 1)} - 11(b_1b_2 - 1)(\frac{b_1 + b_2 - 2}{(b_1 - 1)(b_2 - 1)}) + 60. \quad (6) \]

After solving 6, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&b_1b_2^2((b_2 - 4)(b_2 - 4) - b_1(b_2 - 7)) + b_3^3 + 4b_2b_2 + 10b_2^3(b_1 - 5) + 6b_1b_2(b_1 - 5) \\
+ &3b_1^2(b_2 - 5) + b_2b_3(b_1 - 5) + 15b_1 + 2(b_2 - 5) \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly, we can check that Conjecture 1 holds true for \( b_1 = 6, b_2 \geq 6 \).

**Remark 2.** Let \((V, 0)\) be a trinomial singularity of type 1 defined by \( g = x_1^{b_1} + x_2^{b_2} + x_3^{b_3} \) \((b_1 \geq 7, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 7)\) with weight type \((\frac{1}{b_1}, \frac{1}{b_2}, \frac{1}{b_3}; 1)\). Then, from Proposition 3, we obtain

\[ \delta_5(V) = 3b_1b_2b_3 + 85(b_1 + b_2 + b_3) - 16(b_1b_2 + b_1b_3 + b_2b_3) - 450. \]

**Proposition 6.** Let \((V, 0)\) be a trinomial singularity of type 2 defined by \( g = x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3 + x_3^{b_3} \) \((b_1 \geq 6, b_2 \geq 6, b_3 \geq 7)\) with weight type \((\frac{1-b_1+b_2b_3}{b_1b_2b_3}, \frac{1}{b_2b_3}, \frac{1}{b_3}, 1)\). Then,

\[
\delta_5(V) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
3b_1b_2b_3 - 16(b_1b_2 + b_1b_3 + b_2b_3) + 89(b_1 + b_3) & \quad b_1 \geq 6, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 7 \\
+85b_2 - 493; & \quad b_1 \geq 6, b_2 = 6, b_3 \geq 7 \\
2b_1b_3 - 7b_1 - 9b_3 + 29; & \quad b_1 \geq 6, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 7.
\end{array} \right.
\]

For \( b_1 \geq 6, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 7, \) we conclude that:

\[
3b_1b_2b_3 - 16(b_1b_2 + b_1b_3 + b_2b_3) + 89(b_1 + b_3) + 85b_2 - 493 \leq \frac{3b_1b_2b_3}{(1 - b_1 + b_2b_3)(b_3 - 1)} - 16 \left( \frac{b_1b_2b_3^2}{1 - b_1 + b_2b_3} \right) + \frac{b_1b_2b_3^2}{b_3 - 1} + 85 \left( \frac{b_1b_2b_3}{1 - b_1 + b_2b_3} \right) + \frac{b_2b_3}{b_3 - 1} + b_3) - 450.
\]

**Proof.** After simple calculation, the moduli algebra \( M_5(V) \) has the following basis:

\[
\{ x_j^{b_1} x_j^{b_2} x_j^{b_3} \, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6; 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6; 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{b_1-5} x_2^{b_2}, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_j^{b_1} x_j^{b_2} x_j^{b_3} \, 0 \leq j \leq b_1 - 6. \}
\]

Without loss of generality, one can write derivation \( \mathcal{D} \) in terms of the monomial basis in the following way:

\[
\mathcal{D} x_i = \sum_{j=0}^{b_1-6} \sum_{j_2=0}^{b_1-6} \sum_{j_3=0}^{b_1-6} c_{j_1,j_2,j_3}^{j_1} x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} x_3^{j_3} + \sum_{j=0}^{b_1-6} c_{j}^{j_1,0,b_3} x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_3} x_3^{b_3-5} + \sum_{j=0}^{b_1-6} c_{j}^{j_1-5,0,b_3} x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_3} x_3^{b_3-5}, i = 1, 2, 3.
\]
The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_5(V)$ has following basis:

\[
x_1^j x_2^j x_3 \partial_1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3 \partial_1, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_3 - 6, \\
x_2^{b_2} x_3 \partial_1, \quad 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1 x_2^{b_2} \partial_1, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, \\
x_1 x_2^j x_3 \partial_2, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 1 \leq j \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1 x_3^{b_3} \partial_2, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_3 - 6, \\
x_1 x_2 x_3^{b_3} \partial_2, \quad 1 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j \leq b_2 - 6, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6, \\
x_1 x_2 x_3 \partial_3, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1 x_2^{b_2} \partial_3, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, \\
x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3 \partial_3, \quad 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6.
\]

We obtain

\[
\delta_5(V) = 3b_1 b_2 b_3 - 16(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3) + 89(b_1 + b_3) + 85b_2 - 493.
\]

For $b_1 \geq 6, b_2 = 6, b_3 \geq 7$, we obtain the following basis:

\[
x_1^j x_2^j x_3 \partial_1, \quad 1 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 5, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^j x_2 \partial_1, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 5, \\
x_1 x_2^j \partial_2, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6; x_1 x_3^{b_3} \partial_2, \quad 1 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 5, \\
x_1 x_2 x_3^{b_3} \partial_3, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 5, 1 \leq j \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1 x_2 \partial_3, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 5, \\
x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3 \partial_3, \quad 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6.
\]

We obtain

\[
\delta_5(V) = 2b_1 b_3 - 7b_1 - 9b_3 + 29.
\]

For $b_1 \geq 6, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 7$, we need to prove following inequality:

\[
3b_1 b_2 b_3 - 16(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3) + 89(b_1 + b_3) + 85b_2 - 493 \leq \frac{3b_1 b_2^2 b_3^2}{(1 - b_3 + b_2 b_3)(b_3 - 1)} \\
- 16\left(\frac{b_1 b_2 b_3^2}{1 - b_3 + b_2 b_3} + \frac{b_1 b_2^2 b_3}{1 - b_3 + b_2 b_3} + \frac{b_2 b_3^2}{b_3 - 1}\right) + 85\left(\frac{b_1 b_2 b_3}{1 - b_3 + b_2 b_3}\right)
\]

After solving the above inequality, we obtain

$\delta_5(V) = 3b_1 b_2 b_3 + 89(b_1 + b_2 + b_3) - 16(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3)$

Proposition 7. Let $(V, 0)$ be a trinomial singularity of type 3 defined by $g = x_1^{b_1} x_2 + x_2^{b_2} x_3 + x_3^{b_3} x_1$ ($b_1 \geq 6, b_2 \geq 6, b_3 \geq 6$) with weight type

\[
\left(\frac{1 - b_2 + b_3}{1 + b_1 b_2 b_3}, \frac{1 - b_1 + b_1 b_2}{1 + b_1 b_2 b_3}, \frac{1 - b_1 + b_1 b_2}{1 + b_1 b_2 b_3}; 1\right).
\]

Then,

\[
\delta_5(V) = \begin{cases} 
3b_1 b_2 b_3 + 89(b_1 + b_2 + b_3) - 16(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3) & b_1 \geq 7, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 7 \\
-543; & b_1 = 6, b_2 = 7, b_3 \geq 6 \\
2b_1 b_3 - 9b_2 - 7b_3 + 33; & b_1 \geq 6, b_2 = 6, b_3 \geq 6 \\
2b_1 b_3 - 7b_1 - 9b_3 + 33; & b_1 \geq 7, b_2 = 6, b_3 = 6 \\
2b_1 b_2 - 9b_1 - 7b_2 + 33; & b_1 \geq 7, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 = 6 
\end{cases}
\]
For \( b_1 \geq 7, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 7 \), we conclude that:
\[
3b_1b_2b_3 + 89(b_1 + b_2 + b_3) - 16(b_1b_2 + b_1b_3 + b_2b_3) - 543 \leq \frac{3(1+b_1b_2b_3)^3}{(1-b_3+b_2b_3)(1-b_3+b_1b_3)(1-b_2+b_1b_2)} + 85\left(\frac{1+b_1b_2b_3}{1-b_3+b_2b_3} + \frac{1+b_1b_2b_3}{1-b_1+b_3b_2} + \frac{1+b_1b_2b_3}{1-b_2+b_1b_3}\right) - 16\left(\frac{1+b_1b_2b_3}{1-b_3+b_2b_3}(1-b_1+b_3b_2) + \frac{1+b_1b_2b_3}{1-b_1+b_3b_2}(1-b_2+b_1b_3)\right) + \frac{1+b_1b_2b_3}{(1-b_3+b_2b_3)(1-b_2+b_1b_3)} - 450.
\]

**Proof.** The moduli algebra \( M_3(V) \) has the following monomial basis
\[
\{ x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6; 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6; 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{b_1-5}x_2^{b_2-5}x_3^{b_3}, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6; \}
\]

Without loss of generality, one can write derivation \( D \) in terms of the monomial basis in the following way:
\[
Dx_i = \sum_{j_1=0}^{b_1-6}\sum_{j_2=0}^{b_2-6}\sum_{j_3=0}^{b_3-6} c_i^{j_1j_2j_3} x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3} + \sum_{j_1=0}^{b_1-6} c_i^{j_1j_2-5}x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2-5}x_3^{b_3} + \sum_{j_3=0}^{b_3-6} c_i^{j_1j_2-5}x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2-5}x_3^{b_3} + \sum_{j_2=0}^{b_2-6} c_i^{j_0j_2-5}x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2-5}x_3^{b_3} - 6, 1, 2, 3.
\]

The Lie algebras \( L_3(V) \) have the following bases:
\[
x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}\partial_1, 1 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_2^{b_2-6}x_3^{b_3}\partial_1, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_2^{b_2-6}x_3^{b_3}\partial_1, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}\partial_2, 1 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6; x_2^{b_2-6}x_3^{b_3}\partial_2, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5; x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}\partial_2, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5; x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}\partial_2, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5; x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}\partial_3, 1 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}\partial_3, 1 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}\partial_3, 1 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6.
\]

Therefore, we have
\[
\delta_5(V) = 3b_1b_2b_3 + 89(b_1 + b_2 + b_3) - 16(b_1b_2 + b_1b_3 + b_2b_3) - 543.
\]

In case of \( b_1 = 6, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 6 \), we obtain the following bases:
\[
x_2^{b_2-6}x_3^{b_3}\partial_1, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5; x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}\partial_1, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_2^{b_2-6}x_3^{b_3}\partial_2, x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}\partial_2, 1 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5; x_2^{b_2-6}x_3^{b_3}\partial_2, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5; x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}\partial_3, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_2^{b_2-6}x_3^{b_3}\partial_3, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5; x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}\partial_3, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}\partial_3, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5.
\]

Therefore, we have
\[
\delta_5(V) = 2b_2b_3 - 9b_2 - 7b_3 + 33.
\]

Similarly, we can obtain bases for \( b_1 \geq 7, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 = 6 \) and \( b_1 \geq 6, b_2 = 6, b_3 \geq 6 \). For \( b_1 \geq 7, b_2 \
\geq 7, b_3 \geq 7 \), we need to prove following inequality:
\[
3b_1b_2b_3 + 89(b_1 + b_2 + b_3) - 13(b_1b_2 + b_1b_3 + b_2b_3) - 543 \leq \frac{3(1+b_1b_2b_3)^3}{(1-b_3+b_2b_3)(1-b_3+b_1b_3)(1-b_2+b_1b_2)} + 85\left(\frac{1+b_1b_2b_3}{1-b_3+b_2b_3} + \frac{1+b_1b_2b_3}{1-b_1+b_3b_2} + \frac{1+b_1b_2b_3}{1-b_2+b_1b_3}\right) - 16\left(\frac{1+b_1b_2b_3}{1-b_3+b_2b_3}(1-b_1+b_3b_2) + \frac{1+b_1b_2b_3}{1-b_1+b_3b_2}(1-b_2+b_1b_3)\right) + \frac{1+b_1b_2b_3}{(1-b_3+b_2b_3)(1-b_2+b_1b_3)} - 450.
\]
From the above inequality, we obtain

\[
4(b_1 b_2 + b_2 b_3 + b_1 b_3) + b_1 (b_2 - 6) + b_2 (b_3 - 6) + b_3 (b_1 - 6) + 4b_2^2 [b_2 (b_3 - 6) + b_3 (b_2 - 6)] + 3b_2^2 [b_1 (b_3 - 5) + b_3 (b_1 - 6)] + 5b_2^2 [b_2 (b_3 - 6) + b_2 (b_1 - 5)] + 2(b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2) + 3(b_1 b_2 + b_2 b_3 + b_3 b_1) + 2b_1 b_2 b_3 + 5(b_1 b_2^2 b_3 + b_1 b_2 b_3^2) + 2b_1^2 b_2 b_3 + b_1 b_2 b_3 [2b_1 - 10] + b_1 b_2 b_3^2 (b_3 - 6) (b_2 - 6) + b_1 b_2 b_3^2 (b_3 - 6) (b_1 - 6) + b_1 b_2^2 b_3^2 (b_3 - 6) (b_2 - 6) + b_1 b_2^2 b_3^2 (b_3 - 6) (b_1 - 5) + b_1 b_2 b_3^2 (b_1 - 6) (b_2 a - 3) + b_1 b_2 b_3 (b_3 - 6) + b_1 b_2 b_3^2 (b_1 - 5 - b_3 (b_3 - 6)) + b_1 b_2^2 b_3^2 (b_2 (b_3 - 6) (b_1 - 5) + b_1 b_2 b_3^2 (b_2 (b_1 - 6)) (b_1 b_3 - 6) + 11 \geq 0.
\]

Similarly, we can check that Conjecture 1 holds true for 1): \(b_1, b_3 \geq 6, b_2 = 6\); 2): \(b_1 \geq 7, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 = 6\); and 3): \(b_1 = 6, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 6\).

**Proposition 8.** Let \((V, 0)\) be a trinomial singularity of type 4 defined by \(g = x_1^{b_1} + x_2^{b_2} + x_3^{b_3} x_2\) \((b_1 \geq 7, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 6)\) with weight type \((\frac{1}{b_2}, \frac{1}{b_2}, \frac{1}{b_2-1}, \frac{1}{b_3-1})\). Then,

\[
\delta_3 (V) = 3b_1 b_2 b_3 + 89b_1 + 85(b_2 + b_3) - 16(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3) - 471.
\]

For \(\text{mult}(g) \geq 7\), we conclude that:

\[
3b_1 b_2 b_3 + 89b_1 + 85(b_2 + b_3) - 16(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3) - 471 \leq \frac{3b_1 b_2 b_3}{b_2-1} + 85(b_1 + b_2 + \frac{b_3}{b_3-1}) - 16(b_1 b_2 + \frac{b_1 b_2}{b_2-1} + \frac{b_2 b_3}{b_3-1}) - 450.
\]

**Proof.** The moduli algebra \(M_3(V)\) has the following monomial basis

\[
\{x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} x_3^{j_3}, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6; 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6; 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{j_1} x_3^{j_3-5}, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_1 - 6\}.
\]

Without loss of generality, one can write derivation \(D\) in terms of the monomial basis in the following way:

\[
Dx_i = \sum_{j_1=0}^{b_1-6} \sum_{j_2=0}^{b_2-6} \sum_{j_3=0}^{b_3-6} c_{j_1 j_2 j_3} x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} x_3^{j_3} + \sum_{j_1=0}^{b_1-6} c_{j_1 0 0} x_1^{j_1} x_3^{j_3-5}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.
\]

The Lie algebras \(L_3(V)\) have the following bases:

\[
x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} x_3^{j_3} \partial_1, \quad 1 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{j_1} x_3^{j_3-5} \partial_1, \quad 1 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6,
\]

\[
x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} x_3^{j_3} \partial_2, \quad 1 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 1 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{j_1} x_3^{j_3-5} \partial_2, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6,
\]

\[
x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} x_3^{j_3} \partial_3, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{j_1} x_3^{j_3-5} \partial_3, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6.
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
\delta_3 (V) = 3b_1 b_2 b_3 + 89b_1 + 85(b_2 + b_3) - 16(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3) - 471.
\]

Next, we also need to show that when \(b_1 \geq 7, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 6\),

\[
3b_1 b_2 b_3 + 89b_1 + 85(b_2 + b_3) - 16(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3) - 471 \leq \frac{3b_1 b_2 b_3}{b_2-1} + 85(b_1 + b_2 + \frac{b_3}{b_3-1}) - 16(b_1 b_2 + \frac{b_1 b_2}{b_2-1} + \frac{b_2 b_3}{b_3-1}) - 450.
\]

From the above inequality, we obtain

\[
\frac{b_1 b_2 (2b_2 - 11)}{b_2 - 6} + b_2 b_3 + b_3 (b_2 - 4) + \frac{6b_3}{b_2 - 5} + \frac{b_1 [b_2 (b_3 - 5) + 6]}{b_2 - 5} \geq 0.
\]

\]
Proposition 9. Let \((V, 0)\) be a trinomial singularity of type 5 defined by \(g = x_1^{b_1} x_2 + x_2^{b_2} x_1 + x_3^{b_3}\) \((b_1 \geq 6, b_2 \geq 6, b_3 \geq 7)\) with weight type \((\frac{b_1-1}{b_1-1}, \frac{b_2-1}{b_2-1}, \frac{b_3-1}{b_3-1})\). Then,

\[
\delta_5(V) = \begin{cases} 
3b_1 b_2 b_3 + 85(b_1 + b_2) + 93b_3 - 16(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3) \\
-492; \\
2b_2 b_3 - 11b_2 - 6b_3 + 34;
\end{cases}
\]

For \(b_1 \geq 7, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 7\), we conclude that:

\[
3b_1 b_2 b_3 + 85(b_1 + b_2) + 93b_3 - 16(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3) - 492 \leq \frac{3b_3(b_1 b_2 - 1)^2}{(b_2 - 1)(b_1 - 1)} + 85 \frac{b_1 b_2 - 1}{b_1 - 1} + \frac{b_2 b_3 - 1}{b_2 - 1} - 450.
\]

Proof. The moduli algebra \(M_5(V)\) has the following monomial basis

\[
\{x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{b_3}, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6; 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6; 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{b_1-5} x_3^{b_3}, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_2^{b_2-5} x_3^{b_3}, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6\}
\]

Without loss of generality, one can write derivation \(D\) in terms of the monomial basis in the following way:

\[
Dx_i = \sum_{j_1=0}^{b_1-6} \sum_{j_2=0}^{b_2-6} \sum_{j_3=0}^{b_3-6} c_{j_1}^{b_1} x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{b_3} + \sum_{j_3=0}^{b_3-6} c_{j_3}^{b_3-5} x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{b_3} + \sum_{j_3=0}^{b_3-6} c_{j_3}^{b_3-5} x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{b_3}, i = 1, 2, 3.
\]

The Lie algebras \(L_5(V)\) have the following bases:

\[
x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{b_3} \partial_1, 1 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{b_1-5} x_3^{b_3} \partial_1, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6,
\]

\[
x_2^{b_2-5} x_3^{b_3} \partial_1, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_2^{b_2-6} x_3^{b_3} \partial_1, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6,
\]

\[
x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{b_3} \partial_2, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 1 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{b_1-5} x_3^{b_3} \partial_2, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6,
\]

\[
x_2^{b_2-5} x_3^{b_3} \partial_2, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_2^{b_2-6} x_3^{b_3} \partial_2, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6,
\]

\[
x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{b_3} \partial_3, 0 \leq j_1 \leq b_1 - 6, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 6, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6; x_1^{b_1-5} x_3^{b_3} \partial_3, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6,
\]

\[
x_2^{b_2-5} x_3^{b_3} \partial_3, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 6.
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
\delta_5(V) = 3b_1 b_2 b_3 + 85(b_1 + b_2) + 93b_3 - 16(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3) - 492.
\]

For \(b_1 = 6, b_2 = 6, b_3 = 7\), we obtain the following basis:

\[
x_2^{b_2} x_3^{b_3} \partial_2, 1 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 5, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5; x_2^{b_2-4} x_3^{b_3} \partial_1, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5,
\]

\[
x_1 x_3^{b_3} \partial_1, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5; x_2^{b_2-4} x_3^{b_3} \partial_2, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5,
\]

\[
x_1 x_3^{b_3} \partial_3, 0 \leq j_2 \leq b_2 - 5, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5; x_1 x_3^{b_3} \partial_2, 0 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5,
\]

\[
x_1 x_3^{b_3} \partial_3, 1 \leq j_3 \leq b_3 - 5.
\]

We have

\[
\delta_5(V) = 2b_2 b_3 - 11b_2 - 6b_3 + 34.
\]

Next, we need to show that when \(b_1 \geq 7, b_2 \geq 7, b_3 \geq 7\), then

\[
3b_1 b_2 b_3 + 85(b_1 + b_2) + 93b_3 - 16(b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3) - 492 \leq \frac{3b_3(b_1 b_2 - 1)^2}{(b_2 - 1)(b_1 - 1)} + 85 \frac{b_1 b_2 - 1}{b_1 - 1} + \frac{b_2 b_3 - 1}{b_2 - 1} - 450.
\]
After solving the above inequality, we obtain
\[
b_1(b_1 - 6)(b_2 - 5)(b_3 + (b_1 - 4)b_2(b_2 - 6)b_3) + b_1^2(b_3 - 5)(b_2 - 4) + b_2^2b_1 + 4b_1(b_2 - 5) \\
+ 4b_2(b_1 - 5) + 4b_3(b_1 - 4) + 11b_1b_2 + 13b_1b_3 + 4b_2b_3 + 21b_2 + b_1b_2(b_1 - 5) \\
+ (b_1 - 4)b_2(b_2 - 5)(b_3 - 4) + (b_1 - 5)(b_3 - 6) + 21 \geq 0.
\]

Similarly, for \( b_1 = 6, b_2 \geq 6, b_3 \geq 7 \), Conjecture 1 also holds true.  

Proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. Proposition 3 implies the proof of Theorem 1.  

Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. Theorem 2 is an immediate corollary of Remark 1, Proposition 4, and Proposition 5.  

Proof of Theorem 3.

Proof. It follows from Propositions 4–5, Remark 1 and Propositions 4–5, Remark 3 of [23] that the inequality \( \delta_5(V) < \delta_4(V) \) holds true.  

Proof of Theorem 4.

Proof. Propositions 6–9 and Remark 2 imply the proof of Theorem 4.  

Proof of Theorem 5.

Proof. It is follows from Propositions 6–9, Remark 2 and Propositions 6–9, Remark 4 of [23] that the inequality \( \delta_5(V) < \delta_4(V) \) holds true.  

4. Conclusions

The \( \delta_k(V) \) is a new analytic invariant of singularities. To find the dimension of a newly defined algebra is an important task in order to study its applications. In this paper, we computed the dimension of the Lie algebra \( L_k(V) \) and proved the sharp upper estimate conjecture partially for \( \delta_k(V) \) of fewnomial isolated singularities (binomial and trinomial). We also proved the inequality conjecture: \( \delta_5(V) < \delta_4(V) \) for a general class of singularities. The main results of this paper are the extension of previous results published in [23]. The novelty of this paper is the validity of Conjectures 1 and 2 regarding a large class of singularities, for higher values of \( k \). The present work may also help to verify the two inequality conjectures for the general \( k \).
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