HIIT’ing or MISS’ing the Optimal Management of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of High- Versus Moderate-Intensity Exercise Prescription
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Introduction: Polycystic Ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a metabolic disorder associated with increased cardiovascular disease risk. Exercise is an effective treatment strategy to manage symptoms and reduce long-term health risk. High-intensity interval training (HIIT) has been suggested as a more efficient exercise mode in PCOS; however, it is not clear whether HIIT is superior to moderate intensity steady state exercise (MISS).

Methods: We synthesized available data through a systematic review and meta-analysis to compare the effectiveness of isolated HIIT and MISS exercise interventions. Our primary outcome measures were cardiorespiratory fitness and insulin resistance, measured using \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) and HOMA-IR respectively.

Results: A total of 16 studies were included. Moderate-quality evidence from 16 studies identified significant improvements in \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) following MISS (\( \Delta = 1.081 \text{ ml/kg/min}, p < 0.001, n = 194 \)), but not HIIT (\( \Delta = 0.641 \text{ ml/kg/min}, p = 0.128, n = 28 \)). Neither HIIT nor MISS improved HOMA-IR (\( \Delta = -0.257, p = 0.374, n = 60 \)) and (\( \Delta = -0.341, p = 0.078, n = 159 \)), respectively.

Discussion: A significant improvement in \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) was evident following MISS, but not HIIT exercise in women with PCOS. This contrasts with previous literature in healthy and clinical cohorts that report superior benefits of HIIT. Therefore, based on available moderate-quality evidence, HIIT exercise does not provide superior outcomes in \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) compared with MISS, although larger high-quality interventions are needed to fully address this. Additional dietary/pharmacological interventions may be required in conjunction with exercise to improve insulin sensitivity.
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INTRODUCTION

Polycystic Ovary syndrome (PCOS) is the most common endocrine condition, affecting between 5 and 21% of the premenopausal population (Teede et al., 2010; Azziz et al., 2016; Lizevaa et al., 2016), and is the leading cause of anovulatory infertility (Moran et al., 2017). Criteria for diagnosis include 2 or more of: biochemical or clinical hyperandrogenism, irregular or absent menses, and the presence of morphological polycystic ovaries (Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS Consensus Workshop Group, 2004). In addition to its reproductive sequelae, PCOS is recognized as a metabolic disorder that increases the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors including hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus (Kakoly et al., 2019), which may increase the likelihood of developing cardiovascular disease (CVD) (Talbott et al., 2004; Teede et al., 2018; Berni et al., 2021). A key alteration in PCOS is insulin resistance (IR), which is central to disease pathogenesis and intrinsic to the condition (Cassar et al., 2016). The intrinsic IR experienced by women with PCOS has the potential to exacerbate or be affected by risk factors such as obesity (Cassar et al., 2016) and hyperandrogenism (Burghen et al., 1980; Diamanti-Kandarakis and Dunai, 2012).

Management decisions are driven by symptomatic need. Lifestyle and diet modification, and pharmacological interventions are commonly utilized. However, adherence to treatment interventions, including lifestyle and pharmacological methods, is often poor in this population, and has been reported as low as 21% (Hoeger, 2008; Kim et al., 2020; Parker et al., 2020). Exercise, alone and in conjunction with concurrent interventions, has recently been reviewed (dos Santos et al., 2020; Patten et al., 2020). Studies of moderate-intensity steady state (MISS) exercise prescription in PCOS have shown improvements in body composition (Aye et al., 2018; Costa et al., 2018; Kirk et al., 2019; dos Santos et al., 2020), insulin sensitivity (Al-Eisa et al., 2017; Aye et al., 2018; Kirk et al., 2019) and hormonal profile (Al-Eisa et al., 2017; Aye et al., 2018). Thus, international guidelines recommend that individuals with PCOS achieve 150-mins of MISS exercise, or 75-mins of vigorous-intensity activity per week (Teede et al., 2018). However, these PCOS-specific guidelines are based on general population data due to a lack of high-quality controlled trials in this population (Stepto et al., 2019). Consequently, the optimum exercise prescription for the management of PCOS is currently unknown.

Emerging data suggest that high-intensity interval exercise (HIIT) may improve cardiometabolic risk factors in individuals with PCOS and may improve exercise adherence (Almenning et al., 2015; Greenwood et al., 2016). However, interpretation of these data is hampered by inconsistency in the interventions utilized, incorporation of diet and/or pharmacological interventions, widely varied modalities, intensities and prescriptions, and small participant numbers. It is therefore challenging to establish the true effects of HIIT on outcomes and thus its role in PCOS management (Stepto et al., 2019). The primary aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to establish the impact of both MISS and HIIT exercise interventions on cardiorespiratory fitness and insulin resistance. Our secondary aim was to investigate the influence of both prescriptions on anthropometric and lipid profiles.

METHODS

Protocol and Registration

This meta-analysis was approved and registered with PROSPERO (registration number: CRD42021255461).

Ethical Approval, Search Strategy and Data Extraction

We performed a systematic search of the literature in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (Figure 1) of all publications up to 14th April 2021 utilizing the Pubmed, Scopus, EBSCO and ovidMEDLINE databases. Search terms were modified when required for the purpose of each database and consisted of the terms Polycystic Ovary syndrome, exercise, fitness, insulin, body mass index and hyperandrogenism (Supplementary Material A). Restrictions on search limits where possible included research in humans, females and studies written in the English language. Following the removal of all duplicates, two reviewers (CTR and RNL) independently screened all identified titles and abstracts, and full texts. Any disagreements throughout this process were discussed and consensus reached by a third reviewer (VLM). The reference list of all included studies following full-text review were manually screened to identify any other potential studies to include within the analysis.

Two authors (CTR and RNL) completed the extraction of all relevant data from eligible studies. Where reported, anthropometric, lipid profile, cardiometabolic profile, cardiorespiratory fitness data and sample sizes were extracted through the Covidence software (Covidence, RRID:SCR_016484, version 1) into a predesigned form (Microsoft Excel, RRID:SCR_016137, version 16.49). Where a trial produced multiple publications, results were merged and the largest participant number for each outcome was used in the quantitative synthesis. Where data were unclear or unable to be extracted as presented in the manuscript, the authors were contacted via email twice. If no response was received within 14 days of the second email, or raw data was unable to be provided, the study was excluded from the meta-analysis.

Participants, Eligibility and Interventions

Utilizing the Participant, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome and Studies framework, our systematic review consisted of females diagnosed with PCOS through any recognized criteria between the ages of 18–50 years (Table 1). Inclusion in the meta-analysis was under the premise that the participants completed an isolated HIIT or MISS exercise intervention that did not include any concurrent treatment, including dietary manipulation, drug interventions or resistance training. Control data were collected from eligible studies that utilized PCOS controls who stated the following: participants were not provided with an exercise intervention; participants were not eligible to participate if they were exercising more than twice per week; were told to maintain their normal lifestyle with no change; and/or continue with standard care offered by their GP. Odds ratios (OR) comparing exercise vs. usual care for primary outcomes were calculated. The British Association for Cardiovascular
Prevention and Rehabilitation (BACPR, 2019) guidelines were utilized for the categorization of MISS [40–70% VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) /heart rate reserve (HRR) or 60–80% maximal heart rate (HRmax)]. HIIT was defined as exercise that was repetitive and intermittent in nature, with intensities exceeding 90% HRmax, 85%HRR or 85% VO\(_{2}\text{max}\), in accordance with Norton et al. (2010). Exercise interventions that began within one threshold and traversed into another during the intervention period were excluded.

The primary outcomes of the meta-analysis were measures of insulin resistance through homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and cardiorespiratory fitness, as measured by relative maximal oxygen consumption (VO\(_{2}\text{max}\)). Secondary outcomes included anthropometrics (body mass, body mass index [BMI] and waist circumference), cardiometabolic indices such as lipid profile (high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-C], low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-C], total cholesterol [TC] and triglycerides), fasting glucose and fasting insulin. Data on exercise adherence and fidelity was extracted from studies where provided. Adherence was calculated as the number of sessions completed divided by the number of sessions prescribed. Exercise fidelity was reported as a % achievement of target exercise intensity.
### Data Analysis

The mean ± standard deviation (SD) and sample size were input for each variable where provided. Where standard error of the mean (SEM) was presented, SD was calculated by:

$$\text{SEM} \times \sqrt{N}.$$  

where 95% confidence intervals (CI) were presented, the SD was calculated by:

$$\sqrt{N} \times (\text{Upper limit of CI} \ - \ \text{Lower limit of CI})/3.92$$

All outcome variables were input into the analysis software (Comprehensive Meta-analysis software (V.2.0), Biostat, Englewood, NJ, USA). To establish the effect of exercise in PCOS compared with usual care non-exercising PCOS controls, random effects OR or were calculated on primary outcomes for HIIT and MISS exercise interventions, and reported as [OR (95% CI = lower [lwr.] to upper [upp.]), p = x]. Random-effects meta-analyses were run on each individual outcome variable in order to account for heterogeneity within the sample. The random-effects model provides a buffer for the individual variation that is inevitable between studies due to effect sizes and sample variation, and allows for a more comparable estimate of the true effect. Using the DerSimonian and Laird (1986) method, weighted means (Δ), standard error (SE), variance, and 95% confidence intervals (CI; lwr. to upp.) were calculated for each outcome variable and reported as [Δ, (95% CI = lwr. to upp.), p = x]. Forest plots of the standard difference in means ± 95% confidence intervals were created for each individual meta-analysis. Analyses were grouped to allow comparisons between the impact of HIIT exercise vs. MISS exercise interventions on each individual outcome variable.

### Risk of Bias and Quality of Evidence Assessment

Publication bias was assessed through funnel plots on primary outcomes and was reported for grouped analyses. The weighted sum of squared differences between individual study effects and the pooled effect across the studies (Q), and the percentage of variation across the studies due to heterogeneity ($I^2$) was reported as (Q, $I^2$, p = x). To assess the quality and validity of the included studies, the Tool for the AssEssment of STudy quality and reporting in EXercise (TESTEX) was utilized (Smart et al., 2015). This scale utilizes a points system of a maximum of 15 points awarded for quality and reporting, and is specialized for the use in exercise interventions. Studies scored between 0–5 were classified as low-quality evidence, between 6–10 as moderate-quality evidence, and 11–15 as high-quality evidence. Two authors (CTR and RNL) independently assessed study quality using the TESTEX checklist, and any conflicts were resolved by a third reviewer (VLM).

### RESULTS

#### Search Outcomes

The systematic search of the literature returned 713 studies (Figure 1). Following title/abstract and full-text screening, 16 studies were included within the final analysis. For the included 16 studies, the total sample size for our primary outcomes of HOMA-IR and $VO_{2\ max}$ was 219 (HIIT = 60; MISS = 159) and 222 (HIIT = 28; MISS = 194), respectively. The number of studies included in the individual analyses varied due to inconsistency of reported outcomes across the literature. Study quality score and characteristics, including intervention exercise prescription, adherence and fidelity are reported in Table 2.

#### High-Intensity Exercise Interventions

A total of five publications utilized HIIT as their method of intervention. Intervention duration ranged from 8 to 24 weeks (14.0 ± 6.3) with a session frequency of 3 sessions per week. Exercise modality varied between cycle ergometer (Roessler et al., 2013) treadmill walking/running and outdoor walking/running (Roessler et al., 2013; Faryadian et al., 2019; Ribeiro et al., 2020). Two studies (Almenning et al., 2015; Benham et al., 2021) allowed for participants to select their desired aerobic equipment to complete the exercise. Two studies reported partial supervision of the exercise intervention (at least 1 session supervised).

### Table 1 | Population, interventions, comparators, outcomes and study designs framework.

| Participant | Intervention | Comparison | Outcome |
|-------------|--------------|------------|---------|
| PCOS diagnosis by any established criteria | Exercise intervention of moderate-intensity exercise (40–70% $VO_{2\ max}$ or 60–80% $HR_{max}$) or high-intensity exercise (repetitive bouts of exercise above the maximum threshold of moderate intensity exercise, interspersed by active/rest periods) | HIIT vs. MISS | Primary: Insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and cardiorespiratory fitness ($VO_{2\ max}$) |
| Premenopausal women aged 18–50 | | | Secondary: anthropometrics (body mass, BMI and WC), lipid profile (HDL-C, LDL-C, TC and triglycerides), fasting insulin and fasting glucose |
| No weight restrictions | | | |

**PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; HRR, heart rate reserve; $HR_{max}$, maximal heart rate; HIIT, high-intensity interval training; MISS, moderate-intensity interval training; BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC, total cholesterol.**
### TABLE 2 | Study characteristics and quality assessment scores.

| Author                     | Participant characteristics (Age [years] and BMI [kg/m²]) | Quality assessment score | PCOS diagnostic criteria | Exercise intervention | Modality | Duration of intervention | Session frequency | Exercise intensity | Session duration | Supervised | Adherence | Fidelity |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Al-Eisa et al. (2017)      | Age = 27.9 ± 4.1; BMI = 30.5 ± 2.8                       | 5                        | Rotterdam MISS           | Treadmill walking      | 12 weeks | 3 x p/w                | 65–75% HRR       | 45 mins           | Yes              |            |           |          |
| Almenning et al. (2015)    | BMI = 23.8 ± 4.8                                         | 11                       | Rotterdam HiIT           | Treadmill or outdoor walking/running and/or cycling (self-selected) | 10 weeks | 3 x p/w                | 2 x (90–95% HR<sub>max</sub>) | 2 x ~40 mins     | Partial | 80%      |          |
| Aye et al. (2018)          | Age = 28.3 ± 6.5; BMI = 29.4 ± 25.5                      | 7                        | Rotterdam MISS           | Treadmill              | 8 weeks  | 3 x p/w                | 60% VO<sub>2max</sub> | 60 mins           | Yes              |            |           |          |
| Benham et al. (2021)       | Age = 29.1 ± 4.1; BMI = 31.4 ± 8.6                       | 9                        | Rotterdam HiIT           | Aerobic exercise equipment of choice | 24 weeks | 3 x p/w                | ~ 20–25 mins | Partial | 81%      | 65% (61%, 85%)* |
| Covington et al. (2016)    | Age = 25.6 ± 3.1; BMI = 32.1 ± 5.2                       | 6                        | Rotterdam MISS           | Treadmill walking/running | 16 weeks | 5 x p/w                | 55% VO<sub>2max</sub> | ~ 23 mins (W1-4)  | Yes              |            |           |          |
| Faryadian et al. (2019)    | Age = 34.3 ± 4.7; BMI = 21.2 ± 1.7                       | 4                        | Rotterdam HiIT           | Running                | 12 weeks | 3 x p/w                | 2 x [4 x (4 mins:3 mins) @ 90–95% HR<sub>max</sub>] | 2 x ~35–40 mins |            |           |            |
| Giallauria et al. (2008)   | Age = 22.8 ± 3.7; BMI = 29.2 ± 2.9                       | 9                        | Rotterdam MISS           | Cycle ergometer        | 12 weeks | 3 x p/w                | 60–70% VO<sub>2max</sub> | 40 mins           | Yes              | 100%       | 67%       |
| Jedel et al. (2011)        | Age = 30.2 ± 4.7; BMI = 27.7 ± 6.4                       | 7                        | Rotterdam MISS           | Self selected (walking/cycling/aerobic exercise) | 16 weeks | 3 x p/w                | HR above 120 bpm  | ~ 30 mins         | No               | 73%        |           |          |

(Continued)
TABLE 2 | Continued

| Author                  | Participant characteristics (Age [years] and BMI [kg/m²]) | Quality assessment score | PCOS diagnostic criteria | Exercise intervention | Modality | Duration of intervention | Session frequency | Exercise intensity | Session duration | Supervised | Adherence | Fidelity |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Kirthika et al. (2019)  | 6 Rotterdam MISS Treadmill                                | 16 weeks                 | 3× p/w                   | 6km/h                  | 45 mins              | Yes                      | 93%              |
| Orio et al. (2016)      | Age = 25.9 ± 2.7; BMI = 26.7 ± 2.8                        | 8                        | Rotterdam MISS Cycle ergometer | 24 weeks              | 3× p/w                | 60–70% VO₂max         | 45 mins          | Yes             | 78%        |
| Randeova et al. (2002)  | Age = 29.7 ± 6.8; BMI = 34.0 ± 4.5                        | 7                        | NIH MISS Walking         | 24 weeks              | ~ 3× p/w              | Above 120bpm           | ~20–60 mins      | No              |
| Ribeiro et al. (2020)   | Age = 29.0 ± 4.3; BMI = 28.7 ± 4.8                        | 6                        | Rotterdam HIIT Treadmill | 16 weeks              | 3× p/w                | 70% HRmax → 85–90% HRmax | 30 mins → 50 mins | Yes             | 83%        | 97%       |
| Age = 29.1 ± 5.3; BMI = 28.4 ± 5.6 | 6 | Rotterdam HIIT Treadmill | 16 weeks              | 3× p/w                | 65% HRmax → 75–80% HRmax | 30 mins → 50 mins | Yes             | 76%        | 85%       |
| Roessler et al. (2013)  | Age = 31.0 ± 4.9; BMI = 36.7 ± 4.7                        | 7                        | Rotterdam HIIT Cycle ergometer and walking/running | 8 weeks (2 week ramp) | 3× p/w                | 55 mins             | 82%              | 67%              |
| Sprung et al. (2013)    | Age = 28.0 ± 4.8; BMI = 33.0 ± 3.2                        | 6                        | Rotterdam MISS NA        | 16 weeks              | 3× p/w → 5× p/w       | 30% HRR → 60% HRR    | 30 mins → 45 mins | Yes             | 100%       | 91%       |
| Tiwari et al. (2019)    | Age = 24.5 ± 4.8; BMI = 28.3 ± 3.7                        | 9                        | Rotterdam MISS Marching  | 24 weeks              | 3× p/w                | HR above 120 bpm       | 30 mins          | Partial         |
| Wu et al. (2021)        | Age = 32.7 ± 3.2; BMI = 23.8 ± 3.0                        | 6                        | Rotterdam MISS Cycle ergometer | 12 weeks              | 4× p/w                | Individualized VO₂AT | 60 mins          | Yes             |

* Data were reported as median and IQR.
HR, heart rate; HRR, heart rate reserve.
Progression throughout the intervention is depicted by → .
(Almenning et al., 2015; Benham et al., 2021), one reported full supervision (Ribeiro et al., 2020) and two studies did not report supervision status (Roessler et al., 2013; Faryadian et al., 2019). Exercise intensity was prescribed using \(HR_{\text{max}}\) in four studies (Roessler et al., 2013; Almenning et al., 2015; Faryadian et al., 2019; Ribeiro et al., 2020), and HRR was utilized in a single study (Benham et al., 2021). Session duration ranged between 20 and 55 mins. Adherence to HIIT across these studies was 82 ± 1% and exercise fidelity was 82 ± 21%.

Moderate-Intensity Exercise Interventions

A total of 12 publications utilized MISS as their method of exercise intervention. Intervention duration ranged from 8 to 24 weeks (16.6 ± 5.6), with session frequency ranging from 3 to 5 sessions per week. Exercise modality across MISS interventions varied, with cycle ergometer (Giallauria et al., 2008; Orio et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2021) and treadmill (Covington et al., 2016; Al-Eisa et al., 2017; Aye et al., 2018; Kirthika et al., 2019; Ribeiro et al., 2020) most frequently utilized. Two studies allowed participants to select their desired modality to complete the exercise (Jedel et al., 2011; Benham et al., 2021), while one reported using marching on the spot (Tiwari et al., 2019) and one did not report modality (Sprung et al., 2013). Eight studies indicated full supervision of the exercise intervention (Giallauria et al., 2008; Sprung et al., 2013; Covington et al., 2016; Orio et al., 2016; Aye et al., 2018; Kirthika et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2021), two studies indicated partial supervision (Tiwari et al., 2019; Benham et al., 2021) and two studies indicated no formal supervision (Randeva et al., 2002; Jedel et al., 2011). Exercise intensity was prescribed using %VO\(_{2\text{max}}\) in four studies (Giallauria et al., 2008; Covington et al., 2016; Orio et al., 2016; Aye et al., 2018), HRR in three studies (Sprung et al., 2013; Al-Eisa et al., 2017; Benham et al., 2021) and %HR\(_{\text{max}}\) in one study (Ribeiro et al., 2020). Two studies utilized a minimum working heart rate of 120 bpm (Randeva et al., 2002; Tiwari et al., 2019), one study using a set treadmill speed of 6 km/h (Kirthika et al., 2019) and one used the individuals VO\(_2\) achieved at anaerobic threshold (VO\(_{2\text{AT}}\)) (Wu et al., 2021). Session duration across the MISS intervention ranged from 20 to 60 mins. Adherence to MISS was 67 ± 9% and exercise fidelity was 86 ± 14%.

Publication Bias

There was significant heterogeneity in overall reported VO\(_{2\text{max}}\) scores (Q = 24.43, I\(^2\) = 59%, p = 0.007) which, when grouped for HIIT (Q = 1.43, I\(^2\) = 0%, p = 0.490) and MISS (Q = 20.22, I\(^2\) = 65%, p = 0.005), was only evident in the MISS studies. There was also significant heterogeneity in overall reported HOMA-IR scores (Q = 23.39, I\(^2\) = 49%, p = 0.025), which was evidenced in only the MISS (Q = 18.71, I\(^2\) = 57%, p = 0.017) studies when grouped for exercise type (HIIT, Q = 4.10, I\(^2\) = 27%, p = 0.251). Analyses were not corrected for publication bias, and are shown below (Figures 2–5).

Quality of Evidence

The evidence was rated as moderate-quality. Two studies (Al-Eisa et al., 2017; Faryadian et al., 2019) were of low-quality evidence, 13 studies (Randeva et al., 2002; Giallauria et al., 2008; Jedel et al., 2011; Roessler et al., 2013; Sprung et al., 2013; Covington et al., 2016; Orio et al., 2016; Aye et al., 2018; Kirthika et al., 2019; Tiwari et al., 2019; Ribeiro et al., 2020; Benham et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2021) were of moderate-quality evidence, and a single study (Almenning et al., 2015) was of high-quality evidence.

Meta-Analyses

Odds Ratios

HIIT exercise did not statistically reduce HOMA-IR [1.641, (0.86–3.12), p = 0.131] or increase VO\(_{2\text{max}}\) [1.899, (0.34–10.66),
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FIGURE 3 | Forest plots of standard difference in means 95% ± confidence intervals for: (A) BMI, (B) waist circumference, and (C) body mass as measures of anthropometric profile. Filled squares represent study outputs. Lines represent 95% confidence intervals. Filled blue diamonds represent the weighted mean determined through meta-analyses.

$p = 0.466$] compared with PCOS controls. Conversely, MISS exercise statistically, significantly reduced HOMA-IR [(1.727, 1.04–2.87), $p = 0.035$] and statistically increased $\dot{V}O_{2}\text{max}$ [4.683, (1.92–11.43), $p = 0.001$] compared with PCOS controls [Supplementary Figures B and C (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.5437518)].

**Primary Outcomes**

There was no effect on HOMA-IR following HIIT [$\Delta = −0.257$ ($−0.822$ to $0.309$), $p = 0.374$] or MISS exercise [$\Delta = −0.341$ ($−0.721$ to $0.038$), $p = 0.078$]. In contrast, there was a statistically significant increase in $\dot{V}O_{2}\text{max}$ following MISS exercise [$\Delta = 1.081$ ml/kg/min (0.624–1.537), $p < 0.001$], but not following HIIT [$\Delta = 0.641$ ml/kg/min (−0.185 to 1.466), $p = 0.128$] (see Figure 2).

**Anthropometric Outcomes**

There was no statistically significant effect on BMI [$\Delta = −0.026$ kg/m² ($−0.397$ to $0.344$), $p = 0.890$], body mass [$\Delta = −0.046$ kg ($−0.447$ to $0.354$), $p = 0.820$] or waist circumference [$\Delta = −0.224$ cm ($−0.634$ to $0.183$), $p = 0.281$] following HIIT exercise. Conversely, following MISS exercise, there were statistically significant reductions in BMI [$\Delta = −0.332$ kg/m² ($−0.305$ to $−0.160$), $p = 0.000$] and waist circumference [$\Delta = −0.524$ cm ($−0.751$ to $−0.297$), $p = 0.000$]. There was no statistically significant effect of MISS exercise [$\Delta = −0.230$ kg ($−0.517$ to $0.057$), $p = 0.116$] on body mass (Figure 3).
FIGURE 4 | Forest plots of standard difference in means ± confidence intervals for: (A) HDL-C, (B) LDL-C, (C) T-C, and (D) triglycerides as measures of lipid profile. Filled squares represent study outputs. Lines represent 95% confidence intervals. Filled blue diamonds represent the weighted mean determined through meta-analyses.

FIGURE 5 | Forest plots of standard difference in means ± confidence intervals for: (A) fasting glucose, (B) fasting insulin as measures of cardiometabolic profile. Filled squares represent study outputs. Lines represent 95% confidence intervals. Filled blue diamonds represent the weighted mean determined through meta-analyses.
Cardiometabolic Outcomes

Our analysis showed no effect of either HIIT or MISS exercise on HDL-C (Δ = −0.040 mmol/L (−0.574 to 0.495), p = 0.884) and Δ = −0.137 mmol/L (−0.172 to 0.447), p = 0.384, respectively), LDL-C (Δ = −0.378 mmol/L (−0.864 to 0.108), p = 0.128) and Δ = −0.274 mmol/L (−0.555 to 0.007), p = 0.056, respectively) or triglycerides (Δ = 0.020 mmol/L (−0.382 to 0.422), p = 0.922; and Δ = −0.094 mmol/L (−0.304 to 0.117), p = 0.384, respectively) (Figure 4). Total cholesterol was not impacted by HIIT (Δ = −0.164 mmol/L (−0.567 to 0.239), p = 0.424), but was statistically, significantly reduced following MISS exercise (Δ = −0.454 to −0.032), p = 0.024]. Fasting glucose remained unchanged following both HIIT (Δ = −0.066 mmol/L (−0.518 to 0.385), p = 0.773) and MISS exercise (Δ = −0.332 to −0.221), p = 0.694] (Figure 5). In contrast, there was a statistically significant reduction in fasting insulin following MISS exercise (Δ = −0.303 pmol/L (−0.577 to −0.083), p = 0.009] but not HIIT (Δ = −0.019 pmol/L (−0.510 to 0.292), p = 0.594] (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

Summary of Main Findings

The aim of this analysis was to determine the effects of an isolated exercise intervention of HIIT or MISS exercise on measures of cardiorespiratory fitness and insulin resistance in individuals with PCOS from previously published data. We also sought to investigate the impact of HIIT and MISS exercise on anthropometric and cardiometabolic indices. The key findings from this analysis are (1) Only MISS exercise interventions improved VO₂max, (2) Neither exercise type improved HOMA-IR, (3) Only MISS exercise improved anthropometric profile, and (4) MISS exercise interventions decreased TC, but neither exercise type had any effect on HDL-C, LDL-C or triglycerides. Based on our analyses of the current moderate-quality evidence, MISS exercise appears to be a superior approach in improving cardiorespiratory fitness and BMI in women with PCOS, and should be prescribed as part of the comprehensive package of care for this condition. However, there is not enough high-quality evidence to disregard HIIT as a potential method of management of the condition, and further research is needed to understand the impact of HIIT exercise on outcomes in PCOS.

Insulin Resistance

Insulin resistance is a common feature of PCOS independent of overweight or obesity (Burghen et al., 1980; Dunaif, 1997; Stepto et al., 2013), which can interplay with and exacerbate symptoms of the condition (Teede et al., 2007; Stepto et al., 2013). In our analysis, neither HIIT nor MISS significantly improved HOMA-IR. Similar results have been reported recently, with no improvement in HOMA-IR or fasting insulin following 16 weeks of HIIT exercise (Lionetti et al., 2021) and equivocal results following MISS exercise (Shele et al., 2020) in individuals with PCOS. However, we did observe a significant reduction in fasting insulin following MISS exercise, which may suggest improved insulin sensitivity, as a reduced amount of insulin is required to act upon a given concentration of glucose in order to maintain normal metabolic homeostasis (Iaccarino et al., 2021). One potential mechanism that may underpin the differences that appear within our analysis is a shift toward more oxidative and insulin-sensitive fiber type (T₁) in the skeletal muscle (Wojtaszewski and Richter, 2006; Fisher et al., 2017). Longer duration, moderate-intensity, aerobic-based exercise, but not HIIT, has been associated with an increased percentage of T₁ fibers (Wilson et al., 2012). Human and rodent studies (Fisher et al., 2017) have suggested that a greater insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in T₁ muscle fibers is related to insulin sensitivity, therefore increased T₁ muscle fibers may improve metabolic health.

A recent review has suggested that exercise volume may also play a pivotal role in controlling insulin sensitivity (Iaccarino et al., 2021). The authors reported that exercise interventions of ~170 mins of weekly exercise showed greater improvements in insulin sensitivity than interventions of ~115 mins/week. From our synthesis, the mean weekly exercise MISS interventions was around the 170 minute threshold (164 ± 59 mins/week), whereas the HIIT interventions did not meet this threshold (124 ± 31 mins/week). This may explain the improvement shown in fasting insulin following MISS interventions in this cohort compared with HIIT, and may indicate that individuals with PCOS should complete a larger volume of exercise if their aim is improving insulin sensitivity. It is also important to note that improvements in insulin sensitivity can be lost within 4 days of exercise cessation independent of exercise type (Ryan et al., 2020). Therefore, the timing of any post-intervention assessments may also explain the lack of change in HOMA-IR seen in our analysis. In addition, studies included within our analysis used HOMA-IR to measure insulin sensitivity. The euglycaemic–hyperinsulinaemic clamp is the gold standard measure of insulin sensitivity in humans and is more sensitive to small fluctuations in insulin sensitivity compared to HOMA-IR (Muniyappa et al., 2008). This may explain the lack of change in insulin sensitivity following both MISS and HIIT interventions, however few studies report insulin sensitivity using the euglycaemic–hyperinsulinaemic clamp method, and HOMA-IR is commonly used as the clinical measure of insulin sensitivity (Muniyappa et al., 2008).

Cardiorespiratory Fitness

An increase in VO₂max of 1-MET (equating to an ~3.5 ml/kg/min increase in oxygen consumption) can reduce the risk of CVD related mortality by 15% (Kodama et al., 2009). Our synthesis suggests that MISS exercise significantly improves VO₂max by ~3 ml/kg/min, equating to an ~11% risk reduction for all-cause mortality (Kodama et al., 2009). MISS exercise also resulted in an increase in VO₂max four-fold greater than usual care, non-exercising PCOS controls. These increases were evident in relative VO₂max and are unlikely due to changes in body mass. This therefore likely reflects an improvement in absolute VO₂max rather than a change in body composition. Surprisingly, these significant improvements were absent following HIIT, despite a mean improvement of ~2.8 ml/kg/min, which would confer similar reductions in mortality risk (Kodama et al., 2009).
Furthermore, differences in VO_{2max} outcomes may be attributed to the total volume of exercise stimulus that participants were exposed to. Our analysis showed that MISS interventions were 2.6 weeks longer in duration and included 14 more exercise sessions during the intervention period than those partaking in HIIT exercise interventions. This shorter study duration may have limited the improvements in VO_{2max} in the HIIT interventions. In addition, of the HIIT interventions assessed, two studies (Roessler et al., 2013; Benham et al., 2021) reported exercise fidelity of ~65%. The inability to achieve the desired intensity within these interventions may also explain the lack of significant improvement in the HIIT interventions.

Our results deviate from previous studies where significant improvements in VO_{2max} were evident following HIIT interventions in obesity (Chin et al., 2020), cardiometabolic disease (de Nardi et al., 2018; Boff et al., 2019) and PCOS (Lionett et al., 2021). This deviation may be a result of a significant publication bias toward studies reporting increases in VO_{2max} in the MISS literature which was not evident within the HIIT literature included in our analyses. In addition, selection bias from participants may be impacting the improvement in VO_{2max}. The HIIT participants in our analysis had a higher baseline VO_{2max} (30.3 ± 4.9 ml/kg/min) compared with the MISS group (26.3 ± 4.6 ml/kg/min). Exercise interventions typically result in the greatest improvement in VO_{2max} in those with the lowest baseline values. Therefore, the lack of improvement in VO_{2max} following HIIT interventions may be explained by baseline differences in cardiorespiratory fitness between the HIIT and MISS cohorts in our analysis, the publication bias in the MISS studies included, or differences in the duration and frequency of exercise interventions employed.

Importantly, in our analysis, MISS interventions resulted in a significant improvement in VO_{2max}. Increased mitochondrial oxidative capacity is linearly correlated with improvements in VO_{2max} (van der Zwaard et al., 2016). MISS exercise induces an increase in mitochondrial volume and density, and a subsequent increase in respiratory capacity of the mitochondria (Holloszy and Coyle, 1984), potentially mediated by a shift toward T_{1} skeletal muscle fibers. Increases in mitochondria are also only evident in T_{1} muscle fibers following 12 weeks of MISS exercise and are not evident following the same duration of sprint-interval training (Skelly et al., 2021). Taken together, this suggests that MISS exercise, but not HIIT exercise, may improve oxidative capacity in individuals with PCOS through changes in muscle fiber type and mitochondrial density.

**Anthropometric Profile**

Overweight and obesity are prevalent in more than 50% of individuals with PCOS and have the potential to exacerbate symptoms of the condition (Diamanti-Kandarakis and Dunaií, 2012). Our analyses showed that MISS exercise induced significant reductions in BMI (−3.3 kg/m²) and waist circumference (−2.84 cm) which were absent following HIIT exercise interventions. These results are similar to previous studies, where there is a clearly established dose-response relationship between total exercise volume and reductions in weight (Slentz et al., 2004). Our analysis is also in line with previous work in healthy and diseased cohorts, where HIIT exercise has not been shown to elicit improvements in anthropometric profile, likely related to the lower exercise volume employed in HIIT interventions (Sultana et al., 2019; Viana et al., 2019). The observed reductions in waist circumference following MISS exercise may reflect important benefits for individuals with PCOS, as visceral adipose accumulation is associated with increased insulin resistance and systemic inflammation (Košt et al., 2020), and an ~25% increased mortality risk, independent of BMI (Koster et al., 2008). Therefore, MISS exercise should be prescribed to individuals with PCOS as a means of reducing anthropometric indices, especially visceral adiposity, which may result in metabolic health benefits.

**Cardiometabolic Profile**

Commonly, individuals with PCOS present with dyslipidaemia, characterized by reduced HDL-C, elevated triglycerides and increased LDL-C concentrations (Wild et al., 2011). LDL-C is established as a potent risk factor for the development of CVD (Ference et al., 2017). Lowering of LDL-C concentration through pharmacological intervention has been shown to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events (Ference et al., 2017; Johannesen et al., 2020). However, aerobic exercise alone does not appear to change LDL-C levels unless accompanied by weight loss (Katzmarzyk et al., 2001; Wang and Xu, 2017), and may not be sensitive to low-moderate intensity exercise (Albarrati et al., 2018). In accordance with this, our results showed no significant reduction in LDL-C following MISS or HIIT exercise alone, despite a significant decrease in weight following MISS. Longer-term (16 weeks) intervention has been shown to reduce LDL-C significantly following treatment with diet and exercise combined, with optimal reductions in LDL-C observed after 12 months (Varady and Jones, 2005). The mean duration of isolated exercise of both HIIT and MISS interventions were 14 and 16 weeks, respectively, neither of which induced significant change. Therefore, to reduce LDL-C, a combination of diet and exercise may be required over a longer-term duration.

Our findings following MISS exercise showed a significant reduction in TC, which incorporates both HDL-C and LDL-C and can be therefore be misleading. It is likely that a significant reduction in TC following MISS exercise can be attributed to the non-significant reduction in LDL-C given no impact of MISS exercise on HDL-C. A reduction in TC is important for long-term cardiovascular disease risk in this population, and has been previously associated with volume of exercise (Varady and Jones, 2005; Kodama et al., 2007). As exercise volume is lower in HIIT compared to MISS, this may also explain the improvement in TC evident following MISS interventions only. Intriguingly, HDL-C did not change as a result of either exercise type. Therefore, these results may also be due to the inclusion of PCOS patients with lipid profiles within normal ranges, who did not present with hyperlipidaemia. Our analysis investigated the impact of isolated exercise without concurrent intervention, such as diet or lifestyle interventions.
modification. Kodama et al. (2007) suggest that exercise alone only improves HDL-C when MISS exercise at a volume (duration and frequency) greater than exercise guideline recommendations is employed. Exercise alone may also not improve HDL-C levels in those with a higher BMI (Kodama et al., 2007) and weight loss may need to accompany exercise to increase plasma HDL-C (Nicklas et al., 1997). Therefore, while MISS exercise may have some beneficial effect on cardiometabolic profile due to a greater overall exercise volume, patients with PCOS may require additional dietary and/or pharmacological interventions to appropriately control dyslipidaemia.

Limitations
There was a significant publication bias in the analysis of MISS interventions that demands caution when interpreting these results. There are very few randomized controlled trials on the impact of exercise without concurrent intervention. Therefore, we were required to extract data from a wider range of methodological studies where there was potential for researcher bias, participant selection, small samples of participants and small study numbers. This also impacts on study quality, where most evidence synthesized was of moderate quality. Our review was also focused on the cardiometabolic aspects of PCOS and did not include analysis of androgen levels or clinical symptoms. Finally, despite PCOS having multiple phenotypes, only four studies (Jedel et al., 2011; Almenning et al., 2015; Ribeiro et al., 2020; Benham et al., 2021) explicitly reported phenotypical subgroups within their analyses. Previous data suggest that the different phenotypical presentations of PCOS may respond differently to exercise stimuli hence this is an important area for further study (Borzan et al., 2021).

Future Direction
Our analysis highlights the requirement for larger, randomized controlled trials to be conducted in order to further our understanding of PCOS, and how exercise, especially HIIT, can be utilized as a tool for disease management. Such studies should include an analysis of androgen concentrations and clinical manifestations of the condition. Future exercise studies should also report on exercise adherence, compliance and fidelity of the programme in order to further understand the optimal method of exercise to help manage this condition, in addition to analyzing the impact of these factors on exercise behavior following the intervention period. Furthermore, the impact of different exercise modalities on PCOS phenotypes is required in order to discriminate any effect of PCOS sub-type, rather than employing a “one size fits all” approach.

CONCLUSION
Our analysis is the first to compare the impact of isolated HIIT and MISS exercise intervention in individuals with PCOS. MISS exercise resulted in a four-fold increase in VO2max and significant reduction in HOMA-IR compared with controls receiving usual care from their GP. A beneficial impact of MISS exercise was also evident on anthropometric indices and total cholesterol in individuals with PCOS, which supports the value of MISS exercise prescription in disease management. In contrast, HIIT did not convey these benefits, although higher-quality evidence is required to fully understand the impact of HIIT on outcomes in PCOS before this can be excluded as a potential treatment option.
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