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ABSTRACT

We present an analysis of recent XMM-Newton and HST archive data of the ultraluminous X-ray source NGC 1313 X-2. Quasi-simultaneous observations taken with XMM-Newton, HST, and VLT allow us to study both the X-ray light curve and its correlation with the optical emission of the two proposed counterparts of the ultraluminous X-ray source (ULX). At the end of 2003 December the source experienced a short but intense flare, reaching a maximum luminosity of $\sim 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$. At the same time, the optical flux of both the suggested counterparts did not show pronounced variations ($\leq 30\%$). Assuming that the ULX emission is isotropic and taking X-ray reprocessing into account, the optical data for one of the proposed counterparts are consistent with its being an early-type, main-sequence star of $\sim 10–18 M_\odot$ losing matter through Roche lobe overflow onto a $\sim 120 M_\odot$ black hole at an orbital separation corresponding to a period of $\sim 2$ days.

Subject headings: galaxies: individual (NGC 1313) — stars: individual (NGC 1313 X-2) — X-rays: binaries — X-rays: galaxies

Online material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

When, at the beginning of the 1980s, pointlike, off-nuclear X-ray sources in the field of nearby galaxies were first detected (see, e.g., Fabbiano 1989), it was immediately recognized that their luminosity was unusually large. If physically associated with their host galaxies, these sources would have an isotropic luminosity in excess of the Eddington limit for a $10 M_\odot$ object. Nowadays, more than 150 ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) are known (see, e.g., Roberts & Warwick 2000; Colbert & Ptak 2002; Swartz et al. 2004; Liu & Bregman 2005).

It is estimated that a significant fraction of ULXs are interacting supernovae or background active galactic nuclei (~50%; see Foschini et al. 2002a; Masetti et al. 2003; Swartz et al. 2004). However, the X-ray variability of many of them is similar to that observed in Galactic X-ray binaries (see, e.g., La Parola et al. 2001; Colbert & Ptak 2002; Swartz et al. 2004; Zampieri et al. 2004, hereafter Z04). The recent detection of a 62 days modulation in the light curve of M82 X-1, interpreted as the orbital period of the system, provided a direct confirmation of the binary nature of at least some ULXs (Kaaret et al. 2006a, 2006b). Moreover, ULX spectral properties share similarities with those of Galactic black hole X-ray binaries (BHXRBs; e.g., Foschini et al. 2002b). In several cases the spectrum can be well reproduced by a multicolor disk (MCD) blackbody plus a power law (PL), although the temperature of the MCD component is often much lower than that observed in BHXRBs (e.g., Miller et al. 2003, 2004; Feng & Kaaret 2005). For the brightest ULXs, a possible curvature above 2–3 keV has been recently reported, and more sophisticated spectral models appear to give better agreement with observations (Stobbart et al. 2006).

All these properties, along, in some cases, with the detection of stellar-like optical counterparts (Roberts et al. 2001; Goad et al. 2002; Liu et al. 2002, 2004; Kaaret et al. 2004; Z04; Kaaret 2005; Mucciarelli et al. 2005, hereafter M05; Soria et al. 2005), strongly suggest that a sizeable fraction of ULXs are accreting X-ray binaries. The present debate is focused on understanding what type of binaries they are. Many of the ULX properties can be explained if they do not emit isotropically (King et al. 2001; King 2002; King & Pounds 2003) or are dominated by emission from a relativistic jet (Körding et al. 2002; Georganopoulos et al. 2002; Kaaret et al. 2003). Another possibility is that they are truly emitting above the Eddington limit for $10 M_\odot$, either because accretion proceeds through a slim disk (Ebisawa et al. 2002; Kawaguchi 2003) or because the compact object is an intermediate-mass black hole (IMBH) with a mass in excess of $100 M_\odot$ (e.g., Colbert & Mushotzky 1999; Miller et al. 2003; Patruno et al. 2005, 2006). Despite the inherent difficulties due to the low counting statistics, X-ray timing analysis has been attempted in some ULXs and led to the detection of a quasi-periodic oscillation in the power density spectrum of M82 X-1. This may represent a powerful, independent method to measure the black hole mass (Strohmayer & Mushotzky 2003; Fiorito & Titarchuk 2004; Dewangan et al. 2006; Mucciarelli et al. 2006).

Multiwavelength observations are an invaluable tool to investigate the nature of ULXs. Radio emission, when present, gives important clues about the geometry, energetics, and lifetime of ULXs (Kaaret et al. 2003; Miller et al. 2005). Optical observations are crucial to identify ULX counterparts and to study the properties of putative ULX binary systems. Up to now only a very small number of ULXs have been convincingly associated with stellar objects of known spectral type (e.g., Liu et al. 2002, 2004; Kaaret et al. 2004; M05). All these ULXs are hosted in young stellar environments or star-forming regions, and their optical counterparts have properties consistent with those of massive stars. Some ULXs are also associated with extended optical emission nebulae (Pakull & Mirioni 2002; Pakull et al. 2006).

NGC 1313 X-2 is a well-studied ULX. The X-ray variability, high (isotropic) luminosity, and presence of a soft X-ray spectral component make it a prototypical object. Furthermore, the presence of an emission nebula (Pakull & Mirioni 2002; Ramsey et al. 2006;
Z04) and the detection of optical counterpart(s) \(Z04, M05\) provide a considerable amount of information on the ULX environment, available only for a very limited number of objects. Here we present a systematic study of the X-ray and optical variability of NGC 1313 X-2 based on archive data of the XMM-Newton satellite, the ESO VLT, and the Hubble Space Telescope (HST). Observations are reported in § 2, and results in § 3, where a model for the optical emission is also presented. Discussion follows.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. X-Ray Observations

\textit{XMM-Newton} observed NGC 1313 in nine exposures taken between 2000 and 2004. All the observations are listed in Table 1. A detailed analysis of the 2000 October data of NGC 1313 X-2 has been performed by Z04 (see also Turolla et al. 2006). Here we report results from an analysis of the EPIC pn exposures of the eight more recent observations. Data reduction and extraction have been carried out with standard software (XMM-SAS ver. 6.0.0). All the observations are affected by solar flares. The good time intervals left after subtraction of the high background periods (when the total off-source count rate above 10 keV is >1.0 counts s\(^{-1}\) for EPIC pn) are reported in Table 1. For the analysis we consider all the exposures with a good time interval longer than 1 ks. After performing standard cleaning of the event lists, we extracted source counts from a circle of 40\(^\circ\) centered on the position of NGC 1313 X-2 (Z04). The background counts were extracted from a circle of 50\(^\circ\) on the same CCD.

The spectral analysis was carried out within XSPEC (ver. 11.2.0). A two-component model consisting of an absorbed MCD black-body plus PL has been employed throughout, as it is routinely done for BHXBs. Previous applications of the same spectral model to a number of ULXs, including NGC 1313 X-2 (Miller et al. 2003, 2004; Cropper et al. 2004; Kong et al. 2005; Z04) gave a satisfactory fit to the data. The absorbing column density inferred from the different data sets is consistent with a constant value. We then performed again the fits fixing \(N_H\) to the average value weighted by the exposure time (\(N_H = 4.02 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}\^{-2}\)). For consistency, we also repeated the analysis of the 2000 EPIC pn spectrum of NGC 1313 X-2 following the procedure described above. The results from the spectral fits are reported in Table 2. The \textit{XMM-Newton} fluxes were consistently derived from the parameters of the spectral fits and are reported, with the corresponding luminosities, in Table 2 (a distance of 3.7 Mpc was assumed for the host galaxy; Tully 1988). The errors have been estimated from the maximum and minimum values of he flux, obtained varying the fit parameters systematically.

2.2. Optical Observations

\(B, V,\) and \(R\) images and spectra of NGC 1313 X-2 were taken with VLT+FORS1 in 2003 December. The results were presented

### Table 1

| Observation Number | Instrument          | Observation Id | Date       | Exposure (s) | GTI (s) | Filter |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|---------|--------|
| 1                  | XMM-Newton EPIC pn | 0106860101     | 2000 Oct 17| 31637        | 20600   | Medium |
| 2                  | XMM-Newton EPIC pn | 0150280101     | 2003 Nov 25| 8365         | 1087    | Thin   |
| 3                  | XMM-Newton EPIC pn | 0150280201     | 2003 Dec 9 | 5620         | 0       | Thin   |
| 4                  | XMM-Newton EPIC pn | 0150280301     | 2003 Dec 21| 10334        | 8272    | Thin   |
| 5                  | XMM-Newton EPIC pn | 0150280401     | 2003 Dec 23| 14094        | 3600    | Thin   |
| 6                  | XMM-Newton EPIC pn | 0150280501     | 2003 Dec 25| 15282        | 1668    | Thin   |
| 7                  | XMM-Newton EPIC pn | 0150280701     | 2003 Dec 27| 16666        | 0       | Thin   |
| 8                  | XMM-Newton EPIC pn | 0150280601     | 2004 Jan 8 | 14756        | 7696    | Thin   |
| 9                  | XMM-Newton EPIC pn | 0150281101     | 2004 Jan 16| 7034         | 4208    | Thin   |

### Table 2

| Observation | Date       | Count Rate (counts s\(^{-1}\)) | Flux\(^b\) | \(F_{\text{MCD}}/F_{\text{PL}}\) | \(L^d\) | \(kT_{\text{MCD}}\) (keV) | \(\Gamma\) | \(\chi^2/\text{dof}\) |
|-------------|------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------|------|---------------------|
| 1           | 2000 Oct 17| 0.24                          | 4.00\(^{+0.92}_{-0.89}\) | 0.93                         | 6.52\(^{+1.34}_{-1.02}\) | 0.16\(^{+0.02}_{-0.01}\) | 2.3\(^{-0.1}_{+0.1}\) | 1.24/75  |
| 2           | 2003 Nov 25| 0.67                          | 5.07\(^{+0.58}_{-0.37}\) | ...                           | 8.30\(^{+2.12}_{-0.03}\) | ...                     | 2.3\(^{-0.1}_{+0.1}\) | 1.06/44  |
| 4           | 2003 Dec 21| 0.80                          | 9.46\(^{+0.35}_{-0.33}\) | 0.63                         | 15.43\(^{+2.53}_{-2.29}\) | 0.13\(^{+0.03}_{-0.02}\) | 1.9\(^{-0.1}_{+0.1}\) | 1.11/44  |
| 5           | 2003 Dec 23| 0.89                          | 9.19\(^{+0.45}_{-0.34}\) | 0.94                         | 14.99\(^{+2.84}_{-2.28}\) | 0.15\(^{+0.03}_{-0.02}\) | 1.8\(^{-0.1}_{+0.1}\) | 0.99/40  |
| 6           | 2003 Dec 25| 0.56                          | 10.01\(^{+0.40}_{-0.37}\) | 0.87                         | 16.31\(^{+4.36}_{-4.28}\) | 0.15\(^{+0.04}_{-0.03}\) | 2.1\(^{+0.2}_{-0.2}\) | 0.99/42  |
| 8           | 2004 Jan 08| 0.39                          | 5.62\(^{+0.01}_{-0.02}\) | 0.47                         | 9.18\(^{+0.84}_{-0.72}\) | 0.13\(^{+0.03}_{-0.02}\) | 2.5\(^{-0.1}_{+0.1}\) | 0.82/67  |
| 9           | 2004 Jan 16| 0.34                          | 4.38\(^{+1.12}_{-1.12}\) | 0.45                         | 7.14\(^{+1.83}_{-1.83}\) | 0.17\(^{+0.03}_{-0.02}\) | 2.3\(^{-0.1}_{+0.1}\) | 1.01/66  |

\(^a\) \(N_H\) has been frozen at 4.02 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}\(^{-2}\).

\(^b\) Unabsorbed flux in units of \(10^{-12} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}\).

\(^c\) Ratio of the (unabsorbed) fluxes of the MCD and PL components.

\(^d\) Unabsorbed luminosity, in units of \(10^{38} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}\), for a distance of 3.7 Mpc.
by M05. HST images of this field were also obtained with ACS in two epochs (see Fig. 1). The optical observations were performed in parallel with the XMM-Newton pointings. The observation log of the VLT and HST images considered here are reported in Table 1. Aperture photometry was performed on the drizzled calibrated data (reduced by the HST pipeline) and transformed to the Cousins system following Sirianni et al. (2005) (see Table 3).

The uncertainties on the HST magnitudes are dominated by the calibration error (\(\sigma_{\text{C1}}=0.03\) mag for the HST photometry of point sources), including filter transformation. As a further check of the internal consistency of the HST photometry, we compared the magnitudes of 13 field stars obtained in the two epochs. The difference is significant only for one source in the sample (\(\Delta V=0.3\) mag). Excluding this source, the variability of which is probably intrinsic, the magnitude changes are randomly scattered around zero, with a mean absolute deviation of 0.04 mag.

The HST images clearly confirm that two distinct objects are present inside the X-ray error box of NGC 1313 X-2 (see Fig. 1), as first shown by M05 on the basis of VLT data. If we assume \(A_V \approx 0.3\) (Z04), taking Galactic absorption into account [the Cardelli et al. 1989 extinction law with \(R_V = A_V / E(B-V) = 3.1\) has been adopted throughout], the unreddened colors inferred from the first HST epoch are \(B-V=0.13\) and \(1.46\) for C1 and C2, respectively (see Table 3). The color for object C1 is consistent with that derived from VLT data \(B-V=0.2\); M05]. On the basis of the HST photometry, the color of object C2 is close to that of a K3–K4 supergiant. For both objects, there is evidence of variability in the \(V\) band between the two HST epochs (\(\Delta V=0.1\) mag, Fig. 2; see also Ramsey et al. 2006).

### TABLE 3

| Observation     | Filter | C1         | C2         |
|-----------------|--------|------------|------------|
| HST epoch I     | \(B\)  |
| VLT             | \(B\)  |
| HST epoch I     | \(V\)  |
| VLT             | \(V\)  |
| HST epoch II    | \(V\)  |
| VLT             | \(B-V\)| \(-0.03 \pm 0.06\) | \(1.56 \pm 0.06\) |
| VLT             | \(B-V\)| \(-0.1 \pm 0.2\)  | \(\geq 1.1\)  |

**3. X-RAY AND OPTICAL VARIABILITY**

#### 3.1. X-Ray Light Curve

Figure 3 shows the (unabsorbed) X-ray flux for all the available observations of NGC 1313 X-2. The XMM-Newton data were derived from the best-fitting spectral models (see Table 2), while the Einstein, ROSAT (Röntgensatellit), and ASCA (Advanced Satellite for Cosmology and Astrophysics) data are taken from Z04.
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**Fig. 1.—** HST + ACS images of NGC 1313 X-2. **Left:** F435W (\(B\)) band; **right:** F555W (\(V\)) band. The Chandra error box, the candidate optical counterparts C1 and C2, and the field sources A and D are shown (following Z04 and M05).
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**Fig. 2.—** Top and middle panels: \(\Delta V=V-V\) (HST epoch I) and \(\Delta B = B-B\) (HST epoch I) for objects C1 and C2 for the available epochs. The error bars correspond to 0.3 and 0.5 mag for \(V\) and \(B\), respectively (see text for details). **Bottom panel:** XMM-Newton count rates of NGC 1313 X-2 in the [0.2–10.0] keV range. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]
Until 2000 NGC 1313 X-2 exhibited variability up to a factor of 2 on a timescale of months, with a maximum luminosity of $10^{40}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ (Z04). Around 2003 December 25 (observation 6 in Table 1), the source experienced an intense flare, reaching a maximum unabsorbed flux of $10^{40}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Table 2). At the distance of NGC 1313 this corresponds to an intrinsic luminosity of $10^{40}$ ergs s$^{-1}$. Clearly this value depends on the adopted spectral model and hence should be taken with care (see, e.g., the slightly smaller values recently obtained for the 2000 October observation by Stobbart et al. 2006 adopting a more sophisticated spectral model). We also measured the fluxes of another ULX in the field (NGC 1313 X-3), known to be an interacting supernova (SN 1978K), in order to check whether the significant luminosity increase was real or artificially produced by residual systematic effects between the two observations. The flux of the supernova ($8.2 \times 10^{13}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) is consistent with a constant, within the uncertainties (the variation is $\pm 20\%$). Hence we conclude that the luminosity increase of NGC 1313 X-2 is significant and fully qualifies NGC 1313 X-2 as a bright ULX.

From the observed maximum luminosity ($L_{\text{max}} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{40}$ ergs s$^{-1}$) and assuming isotropic emission, the black hole mass $M_{\text{BH}}$ obtained setting $L_{\text{max}} = L_{\text{Edd}}$ ($L_{\text{Edd}}$ is Eddington luminosity) is $\approx 120 M_\odot$, about 2 times larger than that previously estimated by Z04. Sub-Eddington accretion would imply an even larger mass.

3.2. X-Ray Spectral Changes

The spectra of numerous ULXs, including NGC 1313 X-2, are well described by a MCD+PL model, similarly to those observed in Galactic BHXRBs. In fact, there is also some evidence of a closer similarity, inasmuch as some ULXs appear to show state transitions (Makishima et al. 2004; Winter et al. 2005). In the following we summarize the main results of an analysis of the X-ray spectral variability of NGC 1313 X-2. The most significant result is that the slope of the PL component seems to correlate with the flux, i.e., at higher fluxes the spectrum hardens (see Table 2). This behavior was already noticed by Z04 on the basis of a comparison between two ASCA observations and is opposite to that usually shown by Galactic BHXRBs. A similar correlation was also observed in a few ULXs in the Antennae galaxy by Fabbiano et al. (2003). The MCD component is important in the 2000 October and in the 2003 pointings with higher counting statistics (observations 4 and 5 in Table 1). Although there is some evidence of intrinsic variability of the thermal component, no definite conclusion can be reached at present because of the insufficient statistics. Finally, we note that the flux of the MCD component is comparable to that of the PL component (see Table 2).

3.3. Modeling the Optical Emission

In order to study the optical emission properties of objects C1 and C2 and compare them with the HST+VLT photometry, we implemented a model to compute the optical spectrum of a binary system with an IMBH taking irradiation effects into account. Our calculation relies on the same assumptions discussed in Copperwheat et al. (2005), who recently presented a thorough investigation of the infrared-through-optical emission properties of X-ray binaries with IMBHs. More specifically, we assume that accretion onto the IMBH is fueled by a massive companion filling its Roche lobe and that the X-ray emission is isotropic; the consequences of introducing some degree of beaming are discussed later on. A standard Shakura-Sunyaev disk (e.g., Frank et al. 2002) is assumed, and both the X-ray irradiation of the companion
(including the effects of disk shadowing) and the self-irradiation of the disk are accounted for. Following Copperwheat et al. (2005), radiative transfer at the donor and disk surfaces is treated assuming a plane-parallel atmosphere in radiative equilibrium, illuminated by the X-ray flux emitted from the innermost part of the accretion disk (see also Wu et al. 2001). In order to keep our treatment simple, we take the companion star to be spherical neglect the effects produced by the Roche lobe geometry and those related to the (possible) deformation induced by radiation pressure. Limb and gravity darkening were not included.

The model depends on the masses of the two components, the binary period (which, in turn, fixes the orbital separation), the accretion rate and the (unirradiated) temperature of the donor, in addition to the inclination angle and the orbital phase. The accretion efficiency and the albedo of the surface layers were chosen to be 

\[ \eta = GM_{\text{BH}}/c^2 r_m = r_S/2 r_m = 0.17 \] (where \( r_S \) is the Schwarzschild radius and \( r_m = 3 r_S \) is the inner disk radius) and \( \alpha = 0.9 \) (e.g., de Jong et al. 1996). Following Copperwheat et al. (2005), we took the hardness ratio 

\[ \xi = F_X(<1.5 \text{ keV})/F_X(>1.5 \text{ keV}) = 0.1 \]

The absorption parameters in the same two spectral bands were selected as \( k_L = 2.5 \) and \( k_g = 0.01 \). The \( V \) and \( B \) magnitudes of the (irradiated) disk plus donor have been computed for several values of the parameters of the binary. Each sequence of models, at fixed inclination angle \( i \), accretion rate \( \dot{M} \), and donor mass \( M \), corresponds to a track in the color-magnitude diagram (CMD; \( B - V \) vs. \( V \) here) along which the BH mass varies. Only inclination angles smaller than \( \sim 70^\circ \) are considered because eclipsing effects of the accretion disk on the donor (and vice versa) have not been taken into account. On the other hand, from the available data there is no positive evidence for eclipses of the X-ray source or the donor in NGC 1313 X-2. The mass and luminosity class of the donor fix its (unirradiated) surface temperature \( T_{\text{eff}} \). Different tracks have been obtained varying the orbital period \( P_{\text{orb}} \), which in turn determines the Roche lobe radius. The maximum allowed period is that for which the Roche lobe radius is equal to the donor radius. The computed tracks are compared with the optical (unreddened) magnitudes and colors of objects C1 and C2 for both the VLT and \( HST \) observations in § 3.4.

3.4. Objects C1 and C2

In order to constrain the parameters of the binary, we used the optical binary emission model introduced in § 3.3. One of the 2003 \( XMM-Newton \) pointings of NGC 1313 X-2 is within 2–3 days from the first \( HST \) epoch, while another is close to the VLT observation. These two \( XMM-Newton \) observations are those of 2003 November 25 and December 23; the latter was preferred to the observation of December 25 because of the higher statistics. It is therefore of interest to compare the \( V \) and \( B \) magnitudes of objects C1 and C2 in these two epochs. The variation of the unabsorbed X-ray flux between the same epochs is \( \sim 80\% \) (see Table 2). At the same time, however, the \( V \) and \( B \) band magnitudes of C1 do not show significant evidence of variability. The relative photometric error between the \( HST \) and VLT data as measured on a sample of field stars is in fact \( \sim 0.3 \) mag (see Fig. 2). A similar conclusion is reached also for object C2. Again, the magnitude change between the \( HST \) and VLT epochs is always smaller than the relative photometric error (\( \sim 0.5 \) mag for objects fainter than \( V = 24 \); see Fig. 2).

As an initial guess for the donor parameters in our model we use the values inferred on the basis of VLT photometry (M05); an \( O9-\text{B0} \) V star of \( \sim 20 M_\odot \), \( T_{\text{eff}} \sim 30,000 \) K for C1, and a \( G-\text{K} \) I star of \( \sim 10 M_\odot \), \( T_{\text{eff}} \sim 4500 \) K for C2. As mentioned above, this is consistent with what inferred from the \( HST \) photometry. The donor star in the case of object C1 is assumed to be on the zero-age main sequence and in contact with the Roche lobe (i.e., its radius is equal to the Roche lobe radius). Possible evolutionary effects or disturbances caused by the intense mass transfer are not accounted for. Results for object C1 are shown in Figure 4 for two different values of \( M \), chosen in such a way to
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Figure 4.—Color-magnitude diagram for the (irradiated) disk plus donor model for \( P_{\text{orb}} \sim 1.7 \) days, \( M \sim 15 M_\odot \), and \( T_{\text{eff}} \sim 25,000 \) K (object C1). Each panel refers to a different inclination angle \( i \). The two tracks correspond to \( M = 3.5 \) and 6.7 \( M_\odot \). These values are chosen in such a way to match the \( XMM-Newton \) flux measured in the two observations of 2003 November 25 and December 23, which are quasi-simultaneous with the \( HST \) epoch I and VLT observations, respectively. The labels indicate the BH mass. The \( V \) magnitude and \( B - V \) color as obtained from the VLT and the \( HST \) observations are also shown (open squares). [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]
match the XMM-Newton flux measured in the two observations of 2003 November 25 and December 23. The tracks on the CMD diagram are in agreement with the observed V-band magnitude and (B − V) color of object C1 for \( P_{\text{orb}} \approx 1.7 \text{ days} \), \( M \approx 15 M_\odot \), and \( T_{\text{eff}} \approx 25,000 \text{ K} \) (corresponding to an early B main-sequence star). Taking into account for current uncertainties on both color and magnitude, the companion mass and temperature, and the orbital period may vary in the ranges \( 10 \leq M/M_\odot \leq 18 \), \( 20,000 \text{ K} \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 30,000 \text{ K} \), and \( 1.5 \leq P_{\text{orb}} \leq 2 \text{ days} \), respectively. If the donor makes contact with its Roche lobe and \( 0.1 \leq M/M_{\text{BH}} \leq 0.8 \), the orbital period becomes a function only of the donor radius (or mass). In fact, when combining together the III Kepler's law and the expression for the Roche lobe radius (Frank et al. 2002), the dependence on \( M_{\text{BH}} \) disappears. As shown in Figure 4, the VLT and HST photometric points intersect the corresponding tracks at about the same value of the IMBH mass. This value increases with increasing inclination angle. Results shown in Figure 4 refer to orbital phase zero (superior conjunction). The variation in the \( V(B) \) band between the first HST epoch and the VLT one is \( \sim 0.23 \) (\( \sim 0.25 \)) consistent (within the errors) with what observed. Thus, although in these systems X-ray irradiation is very intense, the induced optical variability is not very large owing to the high intrinsic emission of the massive B donor. The calculation for phases 0.25 and 0.5 gives results similar to those obtained for phase 0, typically within 0.15 mag. Thus, this is the expected amplitude of the modulation possibly induced by the orbital motion. It is interesting to note that this result is consistent with the degree of variability observed in the \( V \) band between the two HST observations (\( \sim 0.1 \text{ mag} \); see Fig. 2).

A direct comparison of the three cases illustrated in Figure 4 shows that relatively large values of the inclination angle (\( i \gtrsim 50^\circ \)–60°) are required in order to obtain the correct optical flux for a BH mass \( M_{\text{BH}} \sim 120 M_\odot \). At lower inclination angles (Fig. 4, top and middle panels), the black hole masses needed to reproduce the optical magnitudes and color of object C1 are too small for the X-ray flux to be below the Eddington limit (if the emission is isotropic). Therefore, unless the Eddington limit can be circumvented, the binary system is expected to have a significant inclination angle. We note that, for any value of \( i \), the BH mass inferred from Figure 4 is always \( \gtrsim 70 M_\odot \). This is an absolute lower limits for \( M_{\text{BH}} \) still compatible with a very small beaming (beaming factor \( \gtrsim 0.6 \)). In this case the general picture discussed above reasonably continues to hold. On the other hand, if the ULX emission is more seriously beamed, the BH mass could be smaller: for a beaming factor of \( \sim \frac{1}{2} \), \( M_{\text{BH}} \) can be as small as \( 20 M_\odot \) without exceeding the Eddington limit. In this case, we expect no X-ray irradiation of both the disk and the companion, being the emission collimated away from the orbital plane. To test this possibility we computed a new sequence of models, following the same procedure outlined above, but switching off the disk/donor irradiation. It turns out that it is possible to reproduce the correct magnitude and color, although the donor is now less massive and cooler. However, this implies that the star is too small to fill its Roche lobe and thus accretion cannot proceed through Roche lobe overflow. Wind accretion may still be possible, although it seems unlikely that it can produce the required value of \( M \).

The situation for object C2 is reversed. We explored the parameter space by varying the donor mass and orbital period, but did not find any combination of values that could reproduce the data in the framework of isotropic emission. In particular, X-ray irradiation causes the \((B − V)\) color to always exceed the observed one. On the other hand, a massive and very extended K-type supergiant (\( M \sim 16 M_\odot \), \( T_{\text{eff}} \sim 4000 \text{ K} \), \( P_{\text{orb}} \sim 800 \text{ days} \)) would have properties consistent with those of object C2 if the black hole mass is \( \sim 20 M_\odot \). This of course requires a (moderate) beaming. We checked that a beaming factor of \( \sim \frac{1}{2} \) is enough and that the companion fills its Roche lobe. The optical magnitudes are correctly reproduced because the (unirradiated) disk contribution becomes negligible in comparison with the star intrinsic luminosity. However, in this case practically no variation in the optical is expected in response to an increase of the accretion rate, and the predicted magnitudes of C2 are constant. This is in contrast with the evidence of variability observed in the \( V \) band between the two HST observations (\( \sim 0.1 \text{ mag} \); see § 2.2 and Fig. 2), although some variations may be induced also by the orbital ellipsoidal modulation of the donor (which we did not take into account).

4. DISCUSSION

Although present data do not allow to reach a definite conclusion on the actual counterpart of the ULX NCG 1313 X-2, some firm points may be derived from the analysis presented in the preceding sections. If C1 is the counterpart, as it seems more likely, our model indicates that this is an IMBH X-ray binary with a relatively massive main-sequence donor that fills its Roche lobe. Taking a black hole mass of \( \sim 120 M_\odot \), as required to account for the observed X-ray flux in terms of isotropic emission, the donor mass is in the interval \( 10–18 M_\odot \) (taking photometric uncertainties into account; § 3.4). This is larger than the maximum main-sequence mass of the parent stellar association, \( \sim 8–9 M_\odot \), estimated using multicolor photometry and isochrone fitting by Pakull et al. (2006) and Ramsey et al. (2006).

However, considering that the lower bound for the donor mass is \( 10 M_\odot \), the difference is small. We note also that if C1 is the counterpart and C2 belongs to the same stellar association, the estimated masses of the two stars correctly places them on (or close to) and out of the main sequence, respectively. If the counterpart is C2 then the source is a binary formed by a late-type, massive supergiant and a stellar mass black hole with beamed X-ray emission. However, this scenario has some shortcomings. First, it predicts little if no optical variability, and this is in apparent contrast with the variations seen in the two HST observations. Second, the duration of the supergiant phase for a \( \sim 15 M_\odot \) star is very short (a few \( \times 10^8 \text{ yr} \)), making the possibility of catching the binary is such an evolutionary stage not very likely (Patruno & Zampieri 2006).

For object C1, an orbital modulation of amplitude \( \Delta V \sim 0.15 \) is expected because of orbital inclination and X-ray irradiation effects. This modulation is superimposed to a comparable variation caused by changes in the irradiating X-ray flux \( \sim 0.2 \text{ mag} \). In this respect, it is interesting to note that similar variations in the observed B-band VLT+Subaru photometry of object C1 have been recently reported also by Pakull et al. (2006) consistent with our findings. In principle, with a sufficient and suitably spaced number of observations, the orbital modulation can be singled out and measured with large-area ground telescopes or HST. The detection of this modulation would lead to the unambiguous determination of the orbital period of the binary. This, in turn, would allow us to definitely discriminate between C1 and C2 and, most importantly, to constrain the mass ratio of NGC 1313 X-2 and, eventually, the mass of the black hole.
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