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Abstract: To design innovative and novel optical materials with high mobility, two kinds of disubstituted derivatives for mer-tris(4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum (mer-AlND3) with push (EDG)–pull (EWG) substituents have been designed. The structures of mer-tris(8-EDG-2-EWG-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum (type I) and mer-tris(8-EWG-2-EDG-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum (type II) in the ground and first excited states have been optimized at the B3LYP/6-31G(D) and CIS/6-31G(D) level of theory, respectively. It can be seen from frontier molecular orbitals analysis, in all these complexes, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) is localized on the pyridine-4-ol ring of A-ligand while lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is on the pyridyl ring of B-ligand in ground state irrespective of electron donor/acceptor substitution present on the ligands similar to that of mer-tris(8-hydroxyquinoline) aluminum (mer-Alq3) and parent mer-AlND3. The absorption and emission wavelengths have been evaluated at the TD-PBE0/6-31G(D) level and it can be see that all the type I derivatives show blue shift while most of the type II derivatives show red shift compared to mer-AlND3. All the disubstituted complexes have showed hypsochromic shifts in both the absorption and emission spectra when compared with the calculated absorption and emission spectra respectively of mer-Alq3. It can be seen that the reorganization energies of some of the disubstituted derivatives are comparable with mer-Alq3 and these derivatives might be good candidates for emitting materials in OLED.
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1 Introduction

Organic light-emitting diodes (OLED) are currently gaining importance as a promising technology for the fabrication of flat-panel display devices [17]. OLEDs are heterojunction devices in which layers of organic transport materials are usually incorporated into devices as amorphous thin solid films [8]. Organometallic complexes are suitable candidates for OLEDs [9-18] due to their stability, emission-color purity, and availability both as singlet [19] and triple emitters [20] and their ease of deposition by means of thermal vacuum evaporation. Following the initial report of utilization of mer-Alq3 as electron transport material and emitting layer in OLED [21,22], the derivatives of metal quinolates has become the focus of new electroluminescent materials research with mer-Alq3 being the most often used [8,23,24]. Although research into the development of OLEDs in the past decade is rapidly growing, in recent years the studies of the fundamental molecular properties of metaloquinolates have been reported in the literature [25-39]. It is clear from these reports that a detailed understanding of the relationship between the ligand structure and optical properties of the resulting Al-complex is essential to make progress in the improvement of OLED devices. The optical properties of mer-Alq3-type derivatives are dominated by the ligand centered excited states [37], which originate from the electronic π-π* transitions in the quinolinolate ligands [38] and HOMO and LUMO orbitals are located on the phenoxide side of the ligand and on the pyridyl side.
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of the ligand respectively [40-42]. This indicates that the substituent attached to the quinolinolate ligand plays an important role in tuning the emission of the Al-complex. In general electron donating groups attached to pyridine ring cause a blue shift in the emission while the introduction to phenoxide ring cause a red shift [43-48]. The electron withdrawing groups such as chloro [39] and cyano [16] show almost negligible emission shifts, while the strong electron withdrawing group such as sulfonamide results in blue shifted emission [50] and the substitution of fluorine at different positions in mer-Alq3 ligand show variable emission [51]. The mer-AlND3 and its methyl derivatives are reported to be the blue version analogues of mer-Alq3 and can be used as electron transporting layer as well as emitting layer in the OLEDs [52]. So in the present study our main aim is to design theoretically some new novel emitting materials with tunable color as well as high charge mobility by introducing electron donating groups (EDG) and electron withdrawing groups (EWG) at 8 and 2 positions and vice versa on 4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato ligands respectively in mer-AlND3. The present work deals with the detailed theoretical study of these Al-complexes for their structural, optical and charge transport properties on two types of disubstituted derivatives of mer-AlND3 with push (EDG)–pull (EWG) substituents (where EDG= -CH3/-NH2 and EWG = -CN/-Cl). For the type I, disubstituted derivatives (1-4), the mer-tris(8-EDG-2-EWG-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum depict for EDG on position 8 and EWG group on position 2 on 4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato ligands, where as for type II (5-8), the mer-tris(8-EWG-2-EDG-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum represent for EDG on position 2 and EWG on position 8 on 4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato ligands. The ab initio (HF) and DFT (B3LYP) methods have been used to optimize the ground-state geometries. The first singlet excited-state geometries have been optimized at the ab initio configuration interaction singles (CIS) level of theory. The excited-state geometry has been compared with the optimized ground state structure. The time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) methods have been used to calculate the absorption and the emission energies and the reorganization energies have been calculated for all the dissubstituted derivatives and compared with mer-Alq3 and mer-AlND3.

2 Computational Details

The ground state (S$_g$) geometries of all the dissubstituted mer-AlND3-derivatives (1-8) in which the EDG and EWGs groups are substituted at positions 8 and 2 respectively on ligands and vice versa (Fig 1). The geometry of these derivatives is constructed by using the reported x-ray coordinates of the parent mer-AlND3 [52], which possesses C$_2$ symmetry. The geometry optimizations have been carried out using DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(D) method using G09 package [53]. This method has been proved to be a reliable approach for Alq3 and its derivatives [54-63], mer-AlND3 and its methyl derivatives [64]. During optimization the atomic positions of the all these derivatives have been fully relaxed without any constraints and only the lowest-lying minima are reported. The optimizations have been carried using the Berny optimization algorithm with a default integration grid. The B3LYP functional consists of Becke’s three-parameter hybrid exchange functional [65] combined with the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional [66]. Frequency calculations have been carried out to ensure that the optimized geometry has all positive frequencies and thus is a minimum on the potential energy surface. The first excited state (S$_1$) geometries have been optimized using the ab initio CIS approach [37] and previously this approach has been applied on mer-Alq3 and its derivatives [54-63], mer-AlND3 and its methyl derivatives [64] and other OLED materials [67-71] which has given reliable results. The absorption and emission spectra of these derivatives have been evaluated at by PBE0/6-31G(D) method using the B3LYP/6-31G(D) and CIS/6-31G(D) optimized geometries respectively. The reorganization energies which is one of the important parameters for determining the charge mobilities have been calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(D) level for all these derivatives.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Ground State geometries

The model disubstituted derivatives (1-8) used in this study are obtained by systematic substitution of EDG (-CH$_3$/NH$_2$) and EWG (-CN/Cl) at 8 and 2-positions respectively on each ligands of mer-AlND3 as shown in Fig.1, considering the reported parent mer-AlND3 crystal geometry [52]. The ligands are labeled with A–C designating the three naphthyridinato ligands substituted with different aryl EWG/EDGs in the 8 and 2-positions as shown in Fig.1. In these derivatives the central Al atom (+3 formal oxidation state) is surrounded by the three naphthyridinato ligands in a pseudo-octahedral configuration with the A- and C- naphthyridinato nitrogens and the B- and C- naphthyridinato oxygens trans to each other (Fig.1).
The calculated geometrical parameters of (1-8) are shown in Table 1, along with the optimized parameters of mer-AlND3 and the experimental [52] parameters for the same are given for comparison. Variation in the calculated bond lengths and bond angles have been observed for all the disubstituted derivatives, when compared to calculated geometrical parameters of parent molecule (mer-AlND3). In type I disubstituted derivatives (1-4), the calculated bond lengths of Al-Ni and Al-O have been found to be shortened and lengthened respectively. The maximum decrease in Al-Ni bond have been found to be 0.30 in both the complexes 3 and 4, and the minimum in 2 (0.008) and 1 (0.007) as compared to parent molecule. The maximum increase in the Al-O bond have been found to be 0.022 in 4 and 0.017 in 3 as compared to parent molecule, while negligible deviation has been found in 1 and 2. The shortening of Al-Ni bond follows the order: 4 = 3 > 2 > 1 (Table 1). In type II disubstituted complexes (5-8) the Al-Ni bond is lengthened i.e., 0.011 in 6 and 0.008 in 8 as compared to parent molecule, while negligible deviation has been found for 5 and 7. The calculated Al-O bond has been shortened i.e., 0.007 in 6 and 0.006 in 8 as compared to parent molecule, while negligible deviation has been found for 5 and 7 (Table 1). By introducing the EDG at position 8 in the ligands, shortening of the Al-Ni bond has been observed in type I complexes. This may due to the increase in the electron density on N-atom of the pyridine ring. As a result the N-atom attracts Al towards itself leading to the decrease in the Al-N bond length. Maximum Al-Ni bond length shortening have been observed in 3 and 4, because in both the complexes strong EDG is present at position 8, which donates more electron towards N-atom (vide supra) (Table 1). By introducing strong EWG at position 8 in the ligands, the Al-Ni bond length increases because it attract the electrons of N-atom towards itself there by a decrease in the electron density on N-atom resulting in an increase of the Al-Ni bond length in 6 and 8. In the case of 5, the weakly deactivating group i.e., -Cl at position 8 and weakly acting group i.e., -CH3 at position 2, balance the effect of each other, hence negligible change in the bond lengths. The Al-Ni bond in the complex is slightly shortened due the presence of the weakly deactivating

| N* | Different ligands | Derivative |
|----|------------------|------------|
| 8 CH3, 2 Cl | tris(8-methyl-2-chloro-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum | 1 |
| 8 CH3, 2 CN | tris(8-methyl-2-cyano-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum | 2 |
| 8 NH2, 2 Cl | tris(8-amino-2-chloro-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum | 3 |
| 8 NH2, 2 CN | tris(8-amino-2-cyano-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum | 4 |
| 8 Cl, 2 CH3 | tris(8-chloro-2-methyl-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum | 5 |
| 8 CN, 2 CH3 | tris(8-cyano-2-methyl-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum | 6 |
| 8 Cl, 2 NH2 | tris(8-chloro-2-amino-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum | 7 |
| 8 CN, 2 NH2 | tris(8-cyano-2-amino-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminum | 8 |

*N = 8 or 2 denotes as positions at where “H”s of the ligand are substituted by EDG (-CH3 or -NH2) And EWG (-Cl or -CN) – (b).

Figure 1: (a) The Geometry of mer-AlND3 with three ligands labeled as A-C (b) the atom numbering for the disubstituted mer-AlND3 complexes considered in this study (c) the structure of mer-tris(8-methyl-2-chloro-4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato) aluminumas an example.
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Table 1: Calculated bond lengths (in Å) and bond angles (in deg) of (1-8) in their ground state (S0) computed at B3LYP/6-31G(D) level.

|            | Exp*    | mer-AIN3 | 1   | 2    | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8    |
|------------|---------|----------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Al-N_A     | 2.021   | 2.080    | 2.074 | 2.074 | 2.060 | 2.065 | 2.079 | 2.085 | 2.078 | 2.083 |
| Al-N_B     | 2.050   | 2.111    | 2.104 | 2.103 | 2.081 | 2.081 | 2.111 | 2.122 | 2.106 | 2.115 |
| Al-N_C     | 2.003   | 2.062    | 2.056 | 2.056 | 2.044 | 2.043 | 2.060 | 2.065 | 2.059 | 2.065 |
| Al-O_A     | 1.861   | 1.866    | 1.869 | 1.869 | 1.883 | 1.888 | 1.888 | 1.864 | 1.861 | 1.866 | 1.864 |
| Al-O_B     | 1.873   | 1.892    | 1.895 | 1.894 | 1.909 | 1.909 | 1.909 | 1.889 | 1.889 | 1.889 | 1.886 |
| Al-O_C     | 1.869   | 1.893    | 1.896 | 1.895 | 1.909 | 1.909 | 1.908 | 1.891 | 1.888 | 1.892 | 1.888 |
| N-Al-Oc    | 174.2   | 171.7    | 171.3 | 171.0 | 171.3 | 171.1 | 171.5 | 171.4 | 171.5 | 171.4 |
| N-Al-Oc    | 172.9   | 173.0    | 172.8 | 172.7 | 172.6 | 172.6 | 172.6 | 172.5 | 172.5 | 172.7 |
| O-Al-Oc    | 171.1   | 167.9    | 168.0 | 168.0 | 168.7 | 168.7 | 167.5 | 167.3 | 167.9 | 167.8 |
| µ           | 3.728   | 5.722    | 7.503 | 6.995 | 8.956 | 2.096 | 0.455 | 0.848 | 2.781 |

*Experimental data for mer-AIN3 from [52]

The group (Cl) at position 8 and the strong activating group (NH2) is at position 2, which in turn results in the less withdrawing effect than the donating effect (Table 1). It can be seen from the Table 1, the calculated bond angles for these disubstituted derivatives are in good agreement with bond angles of parent molecule. It can be seen from these results that the standard deviation values of the crystallographically derived bond lengths and bond angles range from 0.018−0.029 and 0.0797 −0.0799 respectively depending on the substations. The ground state dipole moment (µ) values for 1-8 are computed at the B3LYP/6-31G(D) level are given in Table 1. Earlier studies have shown that the EDG/EWG has pronounced effect on the dipole moment of the substituted complex [58]. Here also it has been observed that the EDG/EWG affects the dipole moment of the studied derivatives (1-8). By substitution EDG at position 8 and EWG at position 2 (Type I) leads to µ > 6 and by reverting the substitution i.e., EDG at position 2 and EWG at position 8 (Type II) leads to µ < 3. The trend in the dipole moment in these disubstituted derivatives is as follows: 4 > 2 > 3 > 1 > mer-AIN3 > 8 > 5 > 7 > 6 (Table 1).

3.1.1 Structure and Energy of ground state frontier molecular orbitals

Since the frontier molecular orbitals i.e., HOMO and LUMOs play an important role in determining the excitation as well, charge transport properties have been computed for 1-8 using B3LYP/6-31G(D) method. It has been already reported that the HOMOs are localized mostly on A-ligand while LUMOs are localized on B-ligand in mer-AIN3 and its methyl derivatives [64]. The distribution patterns of the HOMO, LUMO and LUMO+1 of all these disubstituted derivatives in their ground states are shown in Fig. 2 [1 (type I) and 5 (type II)] and Fig. S1 [supporting information for the distribution pattern of HOMOs and LUMOs+1 for (1-8)], which suggests the localization of frontier molecular orbitals. The HOMOs and LUMOs in these disubstituted derivatives show the similar trend of localization on A and B ligands, respectively as compared to mer-AIN3. It can be seen that HOMOs are located on the phenoxide oxygen and the N1, C2, C3 and C4 of the A-ligand, while LUMOs are located on the pyridyl ring of B-ligand. The LUMO+1 is mostly localized on C-ligand and on the N, C1, and C3 (pyridyl ring) of A-ligand (Figs. 2 and S1). The gap energies (Eg) have been calculated between the difference of HOMO and LUMO+1 energies because the major assignments for the absorptions are mainly from
Table 2: Calculated HOMO, LUMO, LUMO+1, and gap energies ($E_{gs}$ and $E_r$) of (1-8) (in eVs) in their ground state ($S_0$) computed at TD-PBE0/6-31G(D)/B3LYP/6-31G(D) level.

| Derivative | HOMO | LUMO | LUMO+1 | $E_{gs}$ | $E_r$ |
|------------|------|------|--------|---------|-------|
| 1          | -6.544 | -2.270 | -2.033 | 4.274   | 4.511 |
| 2          | -6.887 | -2.785 | -2.564 | 4.102   | 4.323 |
| 3          | -6.044 | -1.523 | -1.318 | 4.521   | 4.726 |
| 4          | -6.360 | -2.101 | -1.919 | 4.259   | 4.441 |
| 5          | -6.346 | -2.268 | -2.039 | 4.078   | 4.307 |
| 6          | -6.789 | -3.140 | -2.917 | 3.649   | 3.872 |
| 7          | -6.126 | -1.906 | -1.697 | 4.220   | 4.429 |
| 8          | -6.538 | -2.743 | -2.533 | 3.795   | 4.005 |
| mer-AlND3  | -6.188 | -2.085 | -1.843 | 4.103   | 4.345 |

$E_{gs} = |HOMO - LUMO|; E_r = |HOMO - (LUMO+1)|$

Table 3: The differences of the bond lengths ($\Delta r$) between the first excited state (CIS/6-31G(D)) and the ground state (HF/6-31G(D)) for ligands A ($\Delta A_{r_{Al\ldots C}}$), B ($\Delta B_{r_{Al\ldots C}}$) and C ($\Delta C_{r_{Al\ldots C}}$) respectively for 1 and 5 (for ligand bond labels see Fig. S3).

| Ligand bond label | 1 | 5 |
|------------------|--|--|
| a (Al-O)         | 0.059 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.067 | 0.005 | -0.003 |
| b (Al-N)         | -0.068 | -0.010 | 0.010 | -0.070 | -0.013 | 0.010 |
| c                | -0.025 | 0.000 | 0.001 | -0.016 | 0.001 | -0.001 |
| d                | -0.005 | 0.000 | 0.001 | -0.007 | 0.001 | -0.001 |
| e                | 0.040 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| f                | -0.023 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.028 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| g                | 0.054 | 0.000 | 0.083 | 0.060 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| h                | -0.048 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.054 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| i                | -0.005 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.005 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| j                | 0.045 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.047 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| k                | -0.042 | -0.001 | 0.000 | -0.041 | -0.001 | 0.000 |
| l                | 0.077 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.071 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| m                | -0.006 | 0.001 | 0.000 | -0.028 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| n                | 0.032 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.060 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

$E$ - stands for excited-state and G - stands for the ground state.

HOMO to LUMO+1. The HOMO, LUMO and LUMO+1 energy level of these dissubstituted derivatives (except 3 and 7) are lower than AlND3 (Table 2). Among the type I complexes, it can be seen that the trend in all the HOMO, LUMO and LUMO+1 energies is as follows: $3 > 4 > 1 > 2$, while the $E_r$ follows: $3 > 1 > 4 > 2$. In case of type II complexes, a general trend is observed in all the HOMO, LUMO and LUMO+1 and the $E_r$, i.e., all these energies decrease in the same sequence $7 > 5 > 8 > 6$ (Table 2). In all these derivatives (1-8), the $E_r$ are found to be ~0.08–0.38 eV higher in 1, 3, 4 and 7 and ~ 0.22–0.47 eV lower in 2, 5, 6 and 8, when compared to mer-AlND3 (ca. 4.345 eV), while it is found to be ~0.02–0.65 eV higher than mer-Alq3 (ca. 3.856 eV) depending on the ligand substitutions (Table 2).

3.2 First Excited-state geometries

The experimental measurement on transition metal (Mq3) chelates solutions show a relatively large shift between the optical absorption and emission spectra [72]. This may be due to the significant geometrical differences between the ground and excited states. In the present work, studies have been carried out to investigate the geometrical changes associated with electronic excitations to the first singlet excited state ($S_1$). To attempt this, the CIS method has been used to determine the excited-state ($S_1$) geometry and this was compared with the HF optimized ground state geometry. The $S_1$ geometry optimizations have been carried out by CIS/6-31G(D) method using the corresponding HF/6-31G(D) optimized ground state (HF-$S_0$) geometries. In our previous study, the same approach has been adopted for mer-AlND3 and its methyl derivatives [64] and in earlier reports this method has been successfully applied on Alq3 and its derivatives [27,54-63]. The optimized bond lengths of the ground state at the optimized at HF/6-31G(D) (HF-$S_0$) and the excited state at the CIS/6-31G(D) ($S_1$) methods respectively along with the differences between the bond lengths of the $S_0$ and HF-$S_0$, states for ligands A ($\Delta A_{r_{Al\ldots C}}$), B ($\Delta B_{r_{Al\ldots C}}$) and C ($\Delta C_{r_{Al\ldots C}}$) are shown in Table 3 [1 (type I) and 5 (type II)] and Table S1(a-d) [supporting information for (1-8)]. The scheme of the ligand bond labels used in Table 3, are shown in the supporting information (Fig. S3). It can be seen from the Table 3, that the positive and negative values in the difference columns indicate the bond elongation and contraction upon ground to $S_1$ transition respectively. The comparison of the (HF-$S_0$) and $S_1$ geometries for A-, B- and C-ligands in (1-8) indicates that the structural shift is predominantly localized on the ligand A. The B- and C-ligands are slightly affected except for changes in the Al-O and Al-N bond lengths [Table 3 and Table S1(a-d)]. The changes of the bond lengths between the (HF-$S_0$) and $S_1$ states are in agreement with previous investigation [27,31,37,56,70]. To complement this study, the electron population changes associated to the transition have also been investigated, through a NBO (natural bond orbital) calculation [73]. The electronic charge distribution in HF-$S_0$ and $S_1$ states calculated with the HF/6-31G(D) and
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3.2.1 Structure and Energy of excited state frontier molecular orbitals

The HOMO and LUMO distribution patterns of (1-8) in their excited states are shown in Fig. 4 [1 (type I) and 5 (type II)] and Fig. S4 [supporting information for the distribution pattern of HOMOs and LUMOs + 1 for (1-8)], which suggests the localization of frontier molecular orbitals. The HOMO is localized mainly on the pyridine-4-ol ring of A-ligand while the LUMO is localized mainly on the pyridyl ring of A-ligand (Figs. 3 and S4). The HOMO and LUMO energies of (1-8) computed using the TD-PBE0/6-31G(D)//CIS/6-31G(D) method are shown in Table 5. The gap energies \(E_{\text{g}}\) have been calculated between the difference of HOMO and LUMO energies because the major assignments for the emission are mainly from HOMO to LUMO (Table 5). Here also it can be seen that the HOMO and LUMO energy levels of all the complexes (except 3 and 7) are lower than that of both the AlND3 and Alq3. In the type I complexes both the HOMO and LUMO energies decrease in the same sequence i.e., \(2 > 1 > 4 > 3\), while the gap energies decrease in the sequence of \(3 > 4 > 1 > 2\). In type II complexes both the HOMO and LUMO energies decrease in the same sequence i.e., \(6 > 8 > 5 > 7\), while the gap energies decrease in the sequence of \(7 > 5 > 8 > 6\). Here also the gap energies in all these complexes (1-8) are found to be \(-0.05\)–\(-0.56\) eV higher in 1, 3, 4, 5 and 7 and \(-0.10\)–\(-0.37\) eV lower in 2, 6 and 8, when compared to mer-AlND3 (ca. 4.345 eV), while it is found to be \(-0.27\)–\(-1.19\) eV higher than mer-Alq3 (ca. 3.224 eV) depending on the substitutions (Table 5).

3.3 Absorption and emission properties

In the earlier study [64] on mer-AlND3 and its methyl derivatives, the absorption and emission spectra have been calculated by using time dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) and we have shown that PBE0 gave reliable absorption and emission wave lengths among SVWN, BLYP, BP86, BPW91, B3LYP, B3P86, B3PW91, MPW1PW91 and PBE0 functionalities. We have also explained that the basis set has no significant effect on absorption wavelength and the absorption and emission energies have been calculated by TDDFT at the PBE0/6-31G(D) level using B3LYP/6-31G(D) and CIS/6-31G(D) optimized geometries respectively. Here also similar to the previous study the absorption and emission wavelengths of (1-8) have been computed at the same level of theory and are summarized in Table 6, along with the experimentally reported absorption and emission wavelengths of mer-AlND3 [52] for the sake of comparison. It can be seen in
Table 4: The NBO charges (Q) of the ligands in 1 and 5, in the optimized ground (Q_{HF-S0}) and first excited (Q_{S1}) states structures and the charge differences between Q_{HF-S0} and Q_{S1} states calculated at the HF/6-31G(D) and CIS/6-31G(D) methods, respectively (for atom labels see Fig. S4) (for EDG and EWG labels are not shown).

### Derivative-1

| Atom | \( Q_{HF-S0} \) A | \( Q_{S1} \) A | \( Q_{S1} - Q_{HF-S0} \) A | \( Q_{HF-S0} \) B | \( Q_{S1} \) B | \( Q_{S1} - Q_{HF-S0} \) B | \( Q_{HF-S0} \) C | \( Q_{S1} \) C | \( Q_{S1} - Q_{HF-S0} \) C |
|------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| O 1  | -0.917 | -0.882 | -0.927 | -0.914 | -0.928 | 0.035 | 0.002 | -0.002 |
| N 2  | -0.631 | -0.653 | -0.642 | -0.646 | -0.636 | -0.022 | -0.008 | 0.006 |
| C 3  | 0.156 | 0.110 | 0.168 | 0.154 | 0.166 | -0.046 | 0.002 | -0.002 |
| C 4  | -0.308 | -0.303 | -0.307 | -0.310 | -0.306 | 0.005 | -0.001 | 0.001 |
| C 5  | 0.086 | 0.100 | 0.084 | 0.086 | 0.083 | 0.014 | 0.003 | -0.002 |
| C 6  | 0.175 | 0.173 | 0.173 | 0.188 | 0.173 | 0.172 | 0.012 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| C 7  | 0.318 | 0.290 | 0.315 | 0.315 | -0.027 | 0.000 | -0.001 |
| C 8  | -0.417 | -0.419 | -0.419 | -0.418 | -0.419 | -0.003 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| C 9  | 0.524 | 0.559 | 0.511 | 0.512 | 0.035 | -0.001 | 0.001 |
| C 10 | 0.108 | 0.107 | 0.111 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| N 11 | -0.582 | -0.612 | -0.579 | -0.612 | 0.035 | -0.001 | 0.001 |

### Derivative 5

| Atom | \( Q_{HF-S0} \) A | \( Q_{S1} \) A | \( Q_{S1} - Q_{HF-S0} \) A | \( Q_{HF-S0} \) B | \( Q_{S1} \) B | \( Q_{S1} - Q_{HF-S0} \) B | \( Q_{HF-S0} \) C | \( Q_{S1} \) C | \( Q_{S1} - Q_{HF-S0} \) C |
|------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| O 1  | -0.925 | -0.924 | -0.936 | -0.938 | 0.042 | 0.002 | -0.002 |
| N 2  | -0.617 | -0.634 | -0.629 | -0.621 | -0.022 | -0.010 | 0.007 |
| C 3  | 0.140 | 0.139 | 0.153 | 0.151 | -0.047 | 0.003 | -0.002 |
| C 4  | -0.307 | -0.298 | -0.306 | -0.306 | 0.009 | -0.001 | 0.001 |
| C 5  | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.037 | 0.036 | -0.001 | 0.003 | -0.002 |
| C 6  | 0.166 | 0.164 | 0.163 | 0.163 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| C 7  | 0.346 | 0.346 | 0.330 | 0.346 | 0.047 | 0.001 | -0.001 |
| C 8  | -0.405 | -0.406 | -0.407 | -0.407 | -0.005 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| C 9  | 0.513 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.047 | -0.001 | 0.001 |
| C 10 | 0.115 | 0.107 | 0.110 | 0.114 | -0.006 | 0.002 | 0.000 |
| N 11 | -0.580 | -0.576 | -0.574 | -0.576 | -0.039 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| C 12 | -0.661 | -0.662 | -0.661 | -0.662 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Cl   | 0.039 | 0.049 | 0.039 | 0.044 | 0.038 | 0.011 | 0.002 | -0.001 |
| Al   | 2.139 | 2.138 | 2.139 | 2.138 | -0.004 |
all the disubstituted derivatives, the major transitions for absorption are from HOMO to LUMO+1 while for the emission are from HOMO to LUMO (Table 6). 1, 3 and 4 showed the blue shifts of absorption spectra ca 18 nm, 46 nm and 26 nm, while 6 and 8 showed red shifts of the absorption spectra ca 55 nm and 35 nm compared with the calculated mer-AlND3 values respectively. The $\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ of 2, 5 and 7 are almost equal to mer-AlND3. The absorption spectra of 1, 3 and 4 are blue shifted due to the increase in the gap energies, whereas as 5, 6 and 8 are red shifted due to the decrease in the gap energies in their ground states. The $\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ of 2, 5 and 7 are comparable with mer-AlND3 due to the similar gap energies in their ground states (Table 6). The 1-4 and 7 showed blue shifts in emission spectra ca 34 nm, 18 nm, 69 nm, 60 nm and 33 nm, while 6 and 8 showed red shifts of the emission spectra ca 67 nm and 13 nm when compared with the calculated mer-AlND3 respectively. The $\lambda_{\text{emi}}$ of 5 is almost equal to mer-AlND3, due to the similar gap energies in their excited states. The emission spectra of 1-4 and 7 are blue shifted due to the increase in the gap energies while in 6 and 8 are red shifted due to the decrease in the gap energies in their excited states. Thus the derivatives namely 1-4 and 7 are good candidates for blue and the derivatives namely 6 and 8 are good candidates for red light emitting materials respectively, when compared to mer-AlND3. All the disubstituted complexes have showed hypsochromic shifts in both the absorption (except 6) and emission spectra when compared with the calculated absorption (ca 410 nm) and emission spectra (ca 523 nm) respectively of mer-Alq3. Thus these disubstituted derivatives can be used as good candidates for blue light emitting materials in OLEDs.

### 3.4 Charge transfer and Reorganization energies

Namely the band theory [74,75] and the hopping model [75-83] are widely used for describing the charge transport in organic materials. With the overlap of neighboring molecular orbitals the band is formed through which the conduction of the charge takes place according to the band theory model. On the other hand the hopping model

| Derivative | HOMO | LUMO | $E_{\text{H-L}}$ |
|------------|------|------|-----------------|
| 1          | -6.245 | -2.164 | 4.081          |
| 2          | -6.349 | 2.734  | 3.615          |
| 3          | -5.788 | -1.499 | 4.289          |
| 4          | -6.073 | -1.947 | 4.126          |
| 5          | -6.045 | -2.198 | 3.847          |
| 6          | -6.492 | -3.061 | 3.431          |
| 7          | -5.826 | -1.780 | 4.046          |
| 8          | -6.298 | -2.606 | 3.692          |
| mer-AlND3  | -5.894 | -2.032 | 3.862          |

$E_{\text{H-L}} = |\text{HOMO–LUMO}|$

| Derivative | Major Transitions | $\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ (nm) | $f^b$ | Major Transitions | $\lambda_{\text{emi}}$ (nm) | $f^b$ |
|------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|
| 1          | H → L+1(79%)      | 332                        | 0.1478| H → L (72%)       | 368             | 0.1198|
| 2          | H → L+1(80%)      | 344                        | 0.1654| H → L (80%)       | 384             | 0.1288|
| 3          | H → L+1(74%)      | 304                        | 0.1516| H → L (80%)       | 333             | 0.2086|
| 4          | H → L+1(74%)      | 324                        | 0.2022| H → L (79%)       | 342             | 0.2260|
| 5          | H → L+1(71%)      | 353                        | 0.1296| H → L (77%)       | 399             | 0.1081|
| 6          | H → L+1(73%)      | 405                        | 0.1062| H → L (71%)       | 469             | 0.0858|
| 7          | H → L+1(69%)      | 340                        | 0.1095| H → L (78%)       | 369             | 0.1194|
| 8          | H → L+1(69%)      | 385                        | 0.1012| H → L (70%)       | 415             | 0.1122|
| mer-AlND3  | H → L+1(69%)      | 350 (341)c                 | 0.1029| H → L (69%)       | 402 (433)c      | 0.0730|

$^a$H, L and L+1 stands for HOMO, LUMO and LUMO + 1; $^b$f is oscillator strength; $^c$ the experimental absorption ($\lambda_{\text{abs}}$) and emission wavelengths ($\lambda_{\text{emi}}$) of mer-AlND3 are taken from [52], which are shown in the parenthesis.

---

### Table 5: Calculated HOMO, LUMO, and gap energies ($E_{\text{H-L}}$) of (1-8) in their excited state (S$_1$) computed at TD-PBE0/6-31G(D)/CIS/6-31G(D) level.

| Derivative | HOMO | LUMO | $E_{\text{H-L}}$ |
|------------|------|------|-----------------|
| 1          | -6.245 | -2.164 | 4.081          |
| 2          | -6.349 | 2.734  | 3.615          |
| 3          | -5.788 | -1.499 | 4.289          |
| 4          | -6.073 | -1.947 | 4.126          |
| 5          | -6.045 | -2.198 | 3.847          |
| 6          | -6.492 | -3.061 | 3.431          |
| 7          | -5.826 | -1.780 | 4.046          |
| 8          | -6.298 | -2.606 | 3.692          |
| mer-AlND3  | -5.894 | -2.032 | 3.862          |

$E_{\text{H-L}} = |\text{HOMO–LUMO}|$
is more suitable where coupling between neighboring molecules are small, and this is more appropriate in our case here. Using this model the charge transport that is calculated here is the intermolecular process in which the charge hops between two molecules. The hole and electron transport process at the molecular level in the electroluminescent layer can then be portrayed as the electron transfer/hole transfer reactions between the neighboring molecules as [27,84-95]:

\[ \text{M}^{+} + \text{M}^* \rightarrow \text{M}^* + \text{M}^{+} \]

where \( \text{M}^* \) is the neutral molecule interacting with neighboring oxidized or reduced \( \text{M}^{+} \). In the case of electron transport the interaction can be considered between a molecule in the neutral state interacting with a radical anion and in the case of hole transport the interaction can be considered between a molecule in the neutral state and cation. The rate constant for electron transfer (\( k_{\text{et}} \)) can be defined using the Marcus theory as [84]:

\[
K_{\text{et}} = \frac{(4\pi^2)/(\hbar)}{\tau^2(4\pi\lambda_k^2 T)} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{4k_B T}\right)
\]  

(1)

where \( \tau \) is the transfer integral/coupling matrix element between neighboring molecules, \( \hbar \) is the Plank’s constant, \( \lambda \) is the reorganization energy, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( T \) is the temperature. The two major parameters that determine transfer rates and ultimately the charge mobility are \( \tau \) and \( \lambda \) which should be maximum and minimum respectively for achieving significant charge transport. An evaluation of \( \tau \) would require the relative positions of the molecules in the solid state as it is related to the energetic splitting of the frontier orbitals of the interacting molecules. To calculate the charge transfer integrals, the crystal data is required which is not available, so we have calculated only one of the important parameter of mobility, i.e., reorganization energy. On the basis of reorganization energy, the hole and electron mobilities of \( 1, 2, 5 \) and \( 6 \) derivatives are comparable with mer-AIND3.

Table 7: From Table 7, it can be seen that the introduction of strongly activating –NH\(_2\) group at position 8 (3 and 4) or position 2 (7 and 8) boost the electron reorganization energies in the disubstituted derivatives compared with AIND3. The hole reorganization energies of 3,4 and 8 (except 7) are lower compared to the parent AIND3. The hole reorganization energies of 3,4 and 8 (except 7) are lower compared to the parent AIND3. From Table 7, it can be seen that the introduction of strongly activating –NH\(_2\) group at position 8 (3 and 4) or position 2 (5 and 6) along with EWDs –CI/–CN, the hole as well as electron reorganization energies are almost same as parent molecule (mer-AIND3). Introduction of weakly deactivating group –Cl does not affect the strength of reorganization energies for 1 and 5. This may be due to that the weakly deactivating group –Cl and weakly activating group –CH\(_3\) counter balance the effect of each other. The electron reorganization energies of 2 and 6 are smaller than mer-AIND3. This may be due to the presence of strongly deactivating group –CN.

Previously the reorganization energies for hole/electron of mer-Alq3 and its derivatives [56,57,59,61,63] and mer-AIND3 [64] has been calculated at B3LYP/6-31G(D) level. Hence the reorganization energy calculations have been carried out for (1-8) using the same methodology and compared with AIND3 (Table 7). In general introduction of EDGs favor the p-channel materials while the introduction of EWDs promote the n-channels materials [96]. To calculate the mobility, the reorganization energy and transfer integrals are important parameters to calculate the mobility. In order to evaluate the transfer integral the crystal data is required which is not available, so we have calculated only one of the important parameter of mobility, i.e., reorganization energy. On the basis of reorganization energy, the hole and electron mobilities of 1, 2, 5 and 6 derivatives are comparable with mer-AIND3.

From Table 7, it can be seen that the introduction of strongly activating –NH\(_2\) group at position 8 (3 and 4) or position 2 (7 and 8) boost the electron reorganization energies in the disubstituted derivatives compared with AIND3. The hole reorganization energies of 3,4 and 8 (except 7) are lower compared to the parent AIND3. The hole reorganization energies of 3,4 and 8 (except 7) are lower compared to the parent AIND3. From Table 7, it can be seen that the introduction of strongly activating –NH\(_2\) group at position 8 (3 and 4) or position 2 (5 and 6) along with EWDs –CI/–CN, the hole as well as electron reorganization energies are almost same as parent molecule (mer-AIND3). Introduction of weakly deactivating group –Cl does not affect the strength of reorganization energies for 1 and 5. This may be due to that the weakly deactivating group –Cl and weakly activating group –CH\(_3\) counter balance the effect of each other. The electron reorganization energies of 2 and 6 are smaller than mer-AIND3. This may be due to the presence of strongly deactivating group –CN.

It can be seen that the hole/electron reorganization energies of some of the disubstituted derivatives i.e., 1, 2, 5 and 6 are comparable with the mer-Alq3[89] and hence these derivatives might be good candidates for emitting materials possessing comparable charge carrier mobility as mer-Alq3. From these results, it is seen that the donor/acceptor substitution has a significant effect on the intrinsic charge mobilities on the disubstituted derivatives when compared to mer-

\[
\lambda_{\text{hole}} = (M_1^\text{c} - M_c) + (M^* - M_1) \\
\lambda_{\text{ele}} = (M_1^\text{a} - M_a) + (M^* - M_1)
\]  

(2)

(3)

where \( M \) is the optimized ground state energy of the neutral molecule, \( M(M^*) \) is the optimized energy of the cationic(anionic) molecule, \( M^*_c(M^*_a) \) is the energy of the neutral molecule in cationic(anionic) geometry, and \( M_1^*(M_1^-) \) is the energy of the cationic (anionic) molecule in neutral geometry. In these calculations only the structural modification of the molecules are considered neglecting the polarization effect in the surrounding medium. The electron/hole transport is predictable from the electron (\( \lambda_{\text{ele}}/\text{hole} \)) reorganization energies and in general has good agreement with the experimental observations [27,71,82,85-95].

The electron reorganization energies of 3,4 and 8 (except 7) are lower compared to the parent AIND3. This may be due to that the weakly deactivating group –Cl and weakly activating group –CH\(_3\) counter balance the effect of each other. The electron reorganization energies of 2 and 6 are smaller than mer-AIND3. This may be due to the presence of strongly deactivating group –CN. It can be seen that the hole/electron reorganization energies of some of the disubstituted derivatives i.e., 1, 2, 5 and 6 are comparable with the mer-Alq3[89] and hence these derivatives might be good candidates for emitting materials possessing comparable charge carrier mobility as mer-Alq3. From these results, it is seen that the donor/acceptor substitution has a significant effect on the intrinsic charge mobilities on the disubstituted derivatives when compared to mer-
Table 7: Calculated reorganization energies (in eV) of (1-8) for hole ($\lambda_{\text{hole}}$) and electron ($\lambda_{\text{ele}}$) at B3LYP/6-31G(D) level.

|        | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| $\lambda_{\text{hole}}$ | 0.213 | 0.253 | 0.232 | 0.199 | 0.176 | 0.231 | 0.231 | 0.252 | 0.174 |
| $\lambda_{\text{ele}}$  | 0.236 | 0.279 | 0.207 | 0.512 | 0.321 | 0.269 | 0.224 | 0.341 | 0.309 |

Alq3. Thus these derivatives might be good candidates for emitting materials possessing comparable charge carrier mobility as mer-Alq3.

4 Conclusions

We have designed some disubstituted derivatives of mer-AlND3 for OLEDs by introducing strong electron with drawing groups on position 8 and electron donating groups on position 2 on 4-hydroxy-1,5-naphthyridinato ligands and vice versa. The ground state structures of these disubstituted derivatives of mer-AlND3 have been optimized at the DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(D) level of theory. From the frontier molecular orbitals analysis it is seen that in these derivatives the HOMOs and LUMOs are localized mainly on the A-ligand and B-ligand respectively, similar to both the mer-AlND3 and Alq3. The CIS/6-31G(D) method has been used to obtain the $S_1$ states. The correlations between the structural relaxations in the excited state have been made for all these derivatives and are found to be mainly localized on the different ligands. The absorption and emission spectra calculations are carried out using TD-PBE0/6-31G(D) method and are found to be comparable with both the mer-AlND3 and mer-Alq3. From the results it can be seen that the derivatives namely 1-4 and 7 are good candidates for blue and the derivatives namely 6 and 8 are good candidates for red light emitting materials respectively when compared to mer-AlND3. All the disubstituted complexes have showed hypsochromic shifts in both the absorption and emission spectra when compared to Alq3. Thus these disubstituted derivatives can be used as good candidates for blue light emitting materials in OLEDs. The reorganization energies have been calculated at B3LYP/6-31G(D) level. From these results it can be seen that the hole/electron reorganization energies of some of the disubstituted derivatives i.e., 1, 2, 5 and 6 are comparable with the mer-Alq3 and hence these derivatives might be good candidates for emitting materials possessing comparable charge carrier mobility as mer-Alq3. Thus the theoretical study of structural, electronic and charge transport properties of such complexes may be useful in designing the efficient emitters useful in OLEDs.
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