Illustrations of the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi 營造法式: Jørn Utzon’s aesthetic confirmation and inspiration for the Sydney Opera House design (1958–1966)
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ABSTRACT
In his 1958 study trip to China, Danish architect Jørn Utzon (1918–2008) acquired two copies of the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi (Chinese Building Standards), first published in 1103 AD. Utzon’s own Yingzao fashi meticulously documented the imperial building practice in feudal China with numerous illustrations. The monograph later became one of the most important sources for Utzon to study the design, structure, construction and decoration of Chinese monuments during his enduring interest in Chinese building culture. However, the precise role of Utzon’s ideas and ideals inspired by the Yingzao fashi in the Opera House design still remains largely unexplored. By surveying the primary sources in The Utzon Archives, the State Library of New South Wales and the architectural collection of Utzon’s family, as well as interviewing his staff and colleagues, the authors argue the Yingzao fashi delivered an important impact both on the aesthetic ideal and architectonic characteristics of Utzon’s Opera House design. This presents that illustrations of Utzon’s Yingzao fashi served not only as a conceptual means to initiate his design but also as practical implements for him and his team to solve the problems of design, production and construction, before their forced resignation in 1966.
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1. Introduction
In his 1958 study trip to China, Danish architect Jørn Utzon (1918–2008) acquired two copies of the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi 营造法式 (Chinese Building Standards), first published in 1103 AD, with the help of his colleague in Beijing – Professor Liang Sicheng (1901–1972). Utzon’s Yingzao fashi meticulously documented the imperial building practice in China with numerous illustrations. The monograph later became one of the most important sources for Utzon studying the design, structure, construction and decoration of Chinese monuments for his lifetime interest in Chinese building culture. Previous scholarship has much argued the importance of Utzon’s perception of the Yingzao fashi in his Sydney Opera House design (1958–1966). However, the precise role of perceived ideas and ideals from the Yingzao fashi in Utzon’s Opera House design still remains widely unexplored.

What was Utzon’s perception of Chinese architecture in general and the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi in particular? How did Utzon present his understanding of documented Chinese architecture in his Sydney Opera House design? These two fundamental questions serve as the key to decipher the role of Yingzao fashi in Utzon’s design of the Sydney Opera House. In response to these questions, this article first clarifies the important elemental concepts in Utzon’s understanding of Chinese architecture by surveying his monographs and documents, as well as his writings...
on Chinese architecture.⁴ The authors also interviewed his colleagues, friends and family members. Based on the important findings of primary source materials, the authors construct a series of thematic comparative analyses between the illustrations of Utzon’s copy of Yingzao fashi and the Sydney Opera House design to reveal the analogies between them.

These analyses presented the perceived Yingzao fashi played two important roles in Utzon’s design of the Sydney Opera House; namely, aesthetic confirmation and inspiration. For the first, based on the early perceived ideas and ideals of Chinese architecture, the Yingzao fashi served as a precedent illuminating Chinese architecture as the dualistic synthesis of built forms for Utzon setting the fundamental design principle and artistic vision of the Opera House. For the second, the Yingzao fashi provided a fertile ground for helping Utzon to initiate, articulate and represent his design ideas, especially with his radical exploration of structural expressivity. Together, this presents that the Yingzao fashi delivered an important impact both on the aesthetic ideal and architectonic characteristics of Utzon’s Opera House design. This article argues that illustrations of Utzon’s Yingzao fashi served not only as a conceptual means to initiate his design but also as practical implements for him and his team to solve the problems of design, production and construction, before their forced resignation in 1966.

2. Utzon and his 1925-edition Yingzao fashi

During his 1958 trip to China, in Beijing, Utzon bought two yellow-covered copies of the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi 建造法式.⁵ One was for himself, and the other was for his elder son, Jan Utzon (1944-) who had decided to be an architect at that time.⁶ Utzon’s own copy later became one of the most important sources of inspiration for the Sydney Opera House design (1958–66).⁷ In his office, Utzon often looked at the last four volumes of the eight-volume set and used their illustrations to explain his ideas.⁸

Although Utzon did not know how to read Chinese, he could easily follow the book because of the abundance of architectural drawings. These drawings appear to be one of the most significant channels for him to build his perception of Chinese building culture throughout his lifetime career.⁹ Utzon was not only fascinated by the drawings of his own Yingzao fashi but also surprised by its genre and content.¹⁰ Utzon was first exposed to the photo-lithographic 1919-edition Yingzao fashi, through the collection of his uncle, Professor Aksel Einar Utzon-Frank (1888–1955) at the Danish Royal Academy of Fine Arts.¹¹ Different from this edition, the 1925-edition included reconstructed drawings illustrating the carpentry work of the late Qing Dynasty (1644–1912). These drawings were used to interpret and clarify the surviving classical drawings in the early edition believed as the Song Dynasty (960–1279) style.¹² Moreover, the 1925-edition was supplemented with reconstructed vibrant colours to emphasize the visual expressivity both of the painting and coating code of the Imperial carpentry (Li 2011).¹³ Finally, the edited and expanded content was lavishly woodblock-printed as a facsimile with a much grander format than the sober monographic 1919-edition.¹⁴

Utzon did not know why there were two different sets of drawings indicating two different styles of Imperial carpentry in his 1925-edition Yingzao fashi during his Sydney project.¹⁵ He saw the modern interpretation – the misleading drawings of Qing monuments – as the authorized representation of the Song Dynasty.¹⁶ This can be clearly followed in Utzon’s 1958 letter to Professor H. Ingham Ashworth (1907–1991) in Sydney showing Utzon’s reflection on his 1958 trip to China and his purchase of the small plain 1953-edition Yingzao fashi in Hong Kong – a miniature of the 1925-edition, as his personal gift to Ashworth:

It was a great experience to me to see the old architecture of China, specially in Peking, and it gave me a valuable

---

⁴The authors conducted a detailed survey of materials collected in The Utzon Archives, Aalborg University Library, the State Library of New South Wales, Australia, and the architectural collection of Utzon’s family, as well as his staff and colleagues. These important primary sources are served as the foundation to deliver the argument of this article.

⁵There were two different editions of the 1925 Yingzao fashi 建造法式: one was with yellow cover; and the other was with blue cover. See Chiu, Chen-Yu, “China Receives Utzon: The Role of Jam Utzon’s 1958 Study Trip to China in His Architectural Maturity.” Architectural Histories, volume 4, 2016, Art 12.

⁶Interview with Jan Utzon, 2009. Each copy costs Utzon 100 RMB.

⁷Interview with Peter Myers, 2010. During his stay in Sydney (1963–1966), his copy of the Yingzao fashi and office bag were Utzon’s two objects which he carried to the site office at Bennelong Point from his home in every working day.

⁸After surveying Utzon’s architectural collection, it is difficult to identify any other source which can provide him a richer content of Imperial building design, production, construction, ornamentation and representation in feudal China than his copy of the Yingzao fashi. The authors had fully surveyed Li (2011) The Utzon Archives in Aalborg University Library and Utzon’s private collection stored in Jan Utzon’s warehouse at Sante, Denmark. There are totally approximately 100 books on Chinese and Japanese art and architecture surviving today.

⁹Interview with Lin and Jan Utzon, 2011.

¹⁰For Professor Aksel Einar Utzon-Frank’s interest in Chinese art and architecture, see Chiu, Chen-Yu, Philip Goad and Peter Myers, “China in Denmark: The transmission of Chinese art and architecture from the view of Jam Utzon’s Danish socio-cultural background”, NORDIC Journal of Architecture, issue 1, 2017, 197–228.

¹¹See Jiren Feng’s (2012) Chinese Architecture and Metaphor: Song Culture in the Yingzao fashi Building Manual.

¹²See Luke Li’s The Yingzao fashi: Calhua Yanjiu.

¹³All these works conducted by Chinese Officer Zhu Qiqian (1872–1964) and the late Imperial master carpenters and painters, made the colourful 1925-edition Yingzao fashi very different from its early monochromatic 1919-edition or 1920-edition.

¹⁴Interview with Else Glahn at Birkerød, 2009.

¹⁵Interview with Else Glahn at Birkerød, 2009.
experience to study the innumerable beautiful staircases and the variation of roof constructions (floating roofs).

I am enclosing a reprint of the 900 year old building “code” or system and standardization according to which every official building such as temples and castles has been built in the last 900 years.\(^{17}\)

Near 40 years later, in his retrospective portfolio Jørn Utzon Logbook Vol. I: The Courtyard Houses (2004), Utzon included illustrations showing one lateral cross-section and four plans of palatial prototype from his Yingzao fashi to indicate the sources of inspiration for his design (Prip-Buus and Weston 2004, 168). One should note that Utzon’s illustrations are indicating the Qing Dynasty, rather than the Song Dynasty style. Utzon possibly saw the newly added, much clearer and more precise drawings in his 1925-edition as the original content of “900 year old building code”.\(^{18}\) This demonstrates that Utzon might not be able to identify the intricate differences between the two Imperial styles in feudal China.\(^{19}\)

Perhaps, for Utzon, there were no differences between the Song and the Qing Dynasty carpentry; he might have seen the Chinese building architecture as a unified and unchangeable whole.\(^{20}\)

The tension between Utzon’s understanding and misunderstanding of his perception of Imperial building culture in feudal China can be different from the scholarly outputs on the same subject either before or after his time.\(^{21}\)

Utzon’s perception of the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi may be much closer to conceptions he generated. However, these conceptions have never been clearly elucidated by Utzon’s own words throughout his career. Accordingly, previous scholarship on his work fell short of specifying the precise role of his perception of the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi in his Sydney Opera House design, despite Utzon had repeatedly acknowledged its important role in his project.

Accordingly, previous scholarship on his work fell short of specifying the precise role of his perception of the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi in his Sydney Opera House design, despite Utzon had repeatedly acknowledged its important role in his Sydney Opera House design.

\(^{22}\)

### 3. A monumental dualistic setting

Before Utzon acquired two copies of the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi, he had studied Chinese architecture through his own monographs.\(^{23}\) Among them, the work of art historian Osvald Sirén,喜龍仁 (1879–1966) and Chinese author Lin Yutang, 林語堂 (1895–1976) let Utzon perceive Chinese architecture as a dualistic synthesis characterized by its distinct architectonic forms. This led Utzon to employ similar ideas in the design of his own house at Hellebæk. As the architect explained (Weston 2002, 61):

In traditional Chinese architecture, the constructions are all visible; the elements have been divided up into male, bearing, and female, borne, and this system is also carried through in the treatment of colour.

Later in his statement of Middelboe house constructed with the precast concrete units, Utzon affirmed the similar design intention (Utzon 1955, 59):

The constructive elements have been stressed by strong colours: black and red together with the very distinct reinforced-concrete construction emphasizing the relation between the carrying and the carried elements.

This intention of combining contrasting forms contributed to Utzon’s design principle for his 1953 Langelinie Pavilion competition proposal. The pavilion was drawn as a juxtaposition of angular urban-scale podium and projecting curved roof forms. This Chinese-inspired dualist formula was represented again in Utzon’s 1957 competition proposal for the Sydney Opera House. In 1962, in “Platforms and Plateaus” manifesto, Utzon published his “Chinese houses and temples” sketch showing a combination of two distinct forms – a symmetric curved roof form and an asymmetric angular solid podium (Figure 1). This important sketch combined with Utzon’s own words illustrated the aesthetic ideal of his Opera House – a roof/earthwork juxtaposition (Utzon 1962, 116):

Chinese houses and temples owe much of their feeling of firmness and security to the fact that they stand on a platform with the same outline as that of the roof or sometimes even of larger size, depending upon the importance of the building. There is magic in the play between roof and platform.

As shown here in the schemes for the Sydney Opera House ..., you can see roofs, curved forms, hanging higher or lower over the plateau. The contrast of forms and the constantly changing heights between these two

---

\(^{17}\)Letter from Utzon to Ashworth, 12 June 1958, Ashworth Papers, National Library of Australia, Canberra, Box 1, Folder 9.

\(^{18}\)Letter from Utzon to Ashworth, 12 June 1958, Ashworth Papers, National Library of Australia, Canberra, Box 1, Folder 9.

\(^{19}\)Interview with Else Glahn at Birkerød, 2009.

\(^{20}\)Interview with Else Glahn at Birkerød, 2009.

\(^{21}\)Interview with Else Glahn at Copenhagen, 2009; interview with Tosten Bløndal at Copenhagen, 2010. This perhaps explains why Utzon decided to exclude a specially written essay on the historical account of the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi for his Logbook Series by his lifetime friend, architectural historian Else Glahn (1921–2011), a leading scholar of the subject, despite having personally invited Glahn to write this essay in the first place.

\(^{22}\)Peter Myers was the first scholar arguing the significant role of Chinese architecture in Utzon’s architectural career in his “Une histoire inachevée”, 1993. Later, in Françoise Fromonot, Jørn Utzon, The Sydney Opera House, the role of the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi and Johannes Prip-Møller, Chinese Buddhist Monasteries, Their Plan and its Function as a Setting for Buddhist Monastic Life, in Utzon’s architectural career was mentioned, but without further clarification. In Philip Drew, The Masterpiece, Jørn Utzon: A Secret Life, the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi was mentioned in terms of providing Utzon an important channel to perceive East Asian art and architecture, but there was no detail illuminated. In Richard Weston, Utzon: Inspiration, Vision, Architecture, the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi was suggested as an important monograph in Utzon’s early perception of Chinese building culture. However, its precise role in Utzon’s architectural career was similarly unclarified. In Philip Goad, “An Appeal for Modernism: Sigfried Giedion and the Sydney Opera House,” Fabrications, 8, No. 1, 2012 and Michael Asgaard Andersen, Jørn Utzon: Drawings and Buildings, two authors reconfirmed the importance of the 1925-edition Yingzao fashi in Utzon’s Opera House design with the support from newly discovered evidences. However, the detailed relationship in-between is still unknown.

\(^{23}\)See Jiren Feng’s Chinese Architecture and Metaphor: Song Culture in the Yingzao Fashi Building Manual.
elements result in spaces of great architectural force made possible by the modern structural approach […] .

Based on the preconceived dualism, to Utzon, the podium of Chinese architecture and the Sydney Opera House was representing a historical form – monumental artwork seen in ancient human culture (Utzon 1962, 113–140). This was in contrast with the roof forms, which were drawn from natural forms (Utzon 1962, 113–140). Utzon’s artistic vision of roof forms can be seen in two major analogies. One is between the curved projecting roof profile of Chinese architecture and Utzon’s proposed glass mullions, which represented the spreading wings of a skua gull; and the other is the hierarchical and representational compositions of Chinese bracket sets and Utzon’s plywood box beams for acoustic ceilings of the Minor Hall, which served as a sign of the transformation and constitution of an organism (Figure 2).24

To further reinforce the analogy between metaphorical nature and his design, Utzon decided to apply wood to conduct the interior of the Opera House. The wood was used not only for furniture, interior panelling and cladding, but also for glass mullions, acoustic ceilings, and walls.25 As a result, the Opera House interior was a wooden synthesis, constructed and finished by prefabricated plywood elements. To Utzon, as part of his exemplification of Chinese inspired dualistic aestheticism, this created contrasting human perceptions between the wooden interior and the concrete roof and podium:

24As Utzon explained in his Sydney Opera House: Utzon Design Principles: “Approaching one will notice the bronze covered vertical plywood mullions hanging as the folds of a bird’s wing,” and “ […] The overall shape of the hall, a free form hanging like a cloud in the sky,” see page 58.

25Before Utzon acquired the Yingzao fashi, he indicated the interior of his Opera House should be partially constructed by wood, according to his 1957 competition proposal and 1958 Red Book – the first revised proposal after competition outcome. In both cases, the wood was mainly used for internal facing and panelling. For more details, see Jørn Utzon Architects’ Sydney Opera House: The Descriptive Narrative, 1965.
The walls (of podium) will show the concrete as it was constructed, contrasting with the moulded plywood panels which form the components of the furniture and fixings. (Utzon 2002, 71). To emphasize the contrast between wood and concrete, Utzon further used “the modular sized moulded plywood panels, finished in the natural colour of the wood.” (Utzon 2002, 79). If constructed according to Utzon’s idealization and take on the dualistic aestheticism, the Opera House could have been identified by three distinctive components built by two major materials – the concrete forms for podium and roof with wooden interior in-between. (Utzon 2002, 70–71). Arguably, these distinct architectural idioms mirrored Chinese architecture indicated by Utzon’s Yingzao fashi as the carpentry constructed between the stone podium and roof cladding (Figure 1).

The analogy between the Opera House interior and Chinese carpentry documented in Utzon’s Yingzao fashi can be reinforced by the architect’s act of dividing interior components into small elements. In contrast with the monolithic roof and podium of the Opera House, the wooden complex both in Utzon’s interior design at Sydney and Chinese monuments were characterised by the distinct form of each element, and this can be seen in the articulated composition both of Utzon’s glass mullions and Chinese bracket set (Figure 3). In both cases, the wooden representational elements have a curved edge: the Opera House mullions were formed by bent plywood sheets, and Chinese brackets were conducted with rounded corner (Figure 3). Moreover, these curved wooden forms set a contrast to the angular forms of the podium. This contrast reindicated Utzon’s perception of the Opera House and Chinese architecture as a synthesis of cultural and natural forms. To further emphasize the analogy between his Opera House interior and animated nature, Utzon applied a series of representational bent

---

26 As Utzon explained with his own word in “The Sydney Opera House”, Zodiac 14, 1965, p. 86: “... in spite of an extremely complex form world, to create the glass wall of a relatively small number of interfitting elements to be mass-produced ... In my office, the photo below was our inspiration in the fight for arriving at our elements, and I wonder how often I have said: when some clever people have been able to produce a number of elements, put them together and talk through the result, then we must be able to solve this much simple problem, even if it seems impossible.”
plywood elements with flexible joints for producing glass mullions and corridor panels inside the podium. The former can be seen as his interpretation of curved roof outlines of Chinese monuments shaped by the representational purlins and rafters (Figure 4); and the later was similar to the projecting eaves of Chinese architecture constructed by rafters with varied inclinations.

4. Patterns and pattern design

Utzon’s Yingzao fashi not only clearly illustrated the carpentry of Chinese monuments in great detail, but also illustrated the none-carpentry work. The none-carpentry work covered from decoration patterns for panelling to framing elements. These patterns were mainly composed by two key elements: namely, circle and square (Figure 5). The identical circular elements were superimposed with each other to form the patterns representing metaphorical nature.

Utzon carefully studied the pattern of decorative ceiling composed as a double spiral formation (Figure 5). Later, in his Yellow Book – The Sydney Opera House (1962), showing the final scheme of his geometric principle of roof form, Utzon published his sketch of this pattern on the back cover for indicating his inspirational sources (Figure 5). The shape of the proposed roof form was composed of the identical and superimposed circles with two main intentions: one was that the identical circles presented the roof shaped by the equal curvature from a spherical form; and the other was to use the identical circle for successfully dividing the roof into representational ribs, and from ribs into modular rib elements (Figure 6). This resulted in the rib elements on the same latitude of the spherical form were the same, and the ones on different latitudes were sharing the same height (Figure 6). The achieved standardization and modularization of constitutional elements of the final roof form directly reflected the composition of the studied ceiling decorations in Utzon’s Yingzao fashi.

Following the completion of geometric principle of his roof form, Utzon drew the composition of proposed roof form within a circle which was framed by a bigger square (Figure 6). This sketch was similar to the diagrams documented in Utzon’s Yingzao fashi (Figure 5) – an interplay between circle and square: the former one was applied for shaping the roof representing metaphorical nature; and the latter was applied for the podium representing a historical earthwork. Together, this essential geometrical principle helped Utzon to synthesize his dualistic forms.

Clearly, Utzon applied the same principle while conducting the geometric composition for the acoustic ceilings of the Two Main Halls at Sydney. Both cases were composed by identical and superimposed circles, so the “puzzle” of two acoustics ceilings was shaped by identical curvatures (Figure 7). This served two specific purposes.

---

27Interview with Peter Myers, 2010.
Practically, due to the same curvature, it can speed up the manufacturing process with identical bending and moulding process. Aesthetically, the "puzzle" was presenting the metaphorical nature, such as the clouds, winds and waves. Utzon presented this idea with his own words and model constructed by interlocked metal tube:

Figure 4. (above) Glass walls, north major. Plan, elevation and section, showing the sweep of glass wall by varying inclinations to represent the volumetric spherical shape of the Opera House roof and to emphasize the horizontal curvilinear edge of its base, drawing no. 1318, *The Utzon Archives*, Aalborg University Library, Denmark. (below) The newly interpreted building cross-sections (from 11-purlin to 5-purlin building), Utzon’s 1925-edition *Yingzao fashi*, Vol.5, Chapter 31.

Figure 5. (left above) The circle-square, square-circle geometric principle, and (left below) the illustration showing the patterns of small-scale carpentry – a decorative ceiling, Utzon’s 1925-edition *Yingzao fashi*, Vol.5, Chapter 29, plate 2 and Vol.6, Chapter 32, plate 13. (right) Jørn Utzon, the covers of *Yellow Book, Sydney Opera House* (*Yellow Book*), 1962.
The invisible wind works up the water forming the surface into waves, varying winds – varying waves, but always of the same character.

The character, the style, has developed from a series of shapes in combination, all with the characteristic of water, waves – waves within waves – the water that breaks, foams, etc.

In my thought, I mould the invisible space with geometrically defined shapes in combination and when I have established the void I want, I freeze the situation in my mind.

Because I have moulded space with geometrically defined shapes, the whole enclosure of the void is fully defined and the surface of the enclosure is divisible in a number of similar elements. These similar elements can be mass produced – and, when their relationship has been clarified they can be assembled like a big jig-saw puzzle in space.[...].

5. Structural expressionism and formalism

In his design for the acoustic ceilings of the Two Main Halls at Sydney, Utzon did not use the plywood elements with inspired curvilinear shapes as panelling or cladding components. Instead, Utzon planned to use bent plywood sheet reinforced by the metal frame inside to form the plywood box beams with a span varying from 15 to 45 metres above the auditorium (Figure 7). This ambitious proposal reflected Utzon’s sketch of “Chinese houses and temples” in which the roof floated in the air and in contrast with the sense of heaviness of an urban-scale podium below (Figure 1). In both cases, the supporting pillars were reduced, and the sculptural and volumetric roof forms were embodied with articulated structural expressivities.

It is safe to assert that the 22 sections of Chinese monuments inside Utzon’s Yingzao fashi provided an important channel for him to understand the structural expressionism and expressive formalism of Chinese carpentry (Figure 4). The systematically arranged formations of bracket sets and stepped-beam roof frames illustrated in Utzon’s Yingzao fashi indicated that the formation of Chinese roof forms and projecting eaves was conducted by a hierarchical and representational system. Within this system, a monument with a higher status was constructed by more and larger representational wooden elements of roof and projecting eaves. This made its roof and eaves bigger, heavier and its curved profile more completed. Meanwhile, the number of internal columns and their height were reduced hierarchically. This approach made the roof form perceived as if floating in the air. This directly reflected the expressivity and monumentality of Chinese architecture.

Utzon’s perception of structural expressionism and expressive formalism of Chinese historical monuments stimulated him to launch his radical experiments for synthesizing his Sydney project. In 1962, Utzon designed the concrete canopies over the main staircases leading to the upper gallery of the Two Main Halls. The curved treatment on the soffit to concrete canopies can be read as the continuation of the floor beams, and shows the structural requirement for its projection (Figure 8). Utzon also designed the triangular projecting cladding elements above the openings of the Opera House podium. Both were alluded to the projecting eaves of Chinese architecture. Similar intention can be seen in Utzon’s proposal for the balconies of the Two Main Halls. They projected out and towards the side galleries (Figure 8). Utzon’s proposal for the Opera...
House glass mullions made by hot bonded plywood sheet clad with bronze outside and reinforced by the metal frame inside also revealed a similar approach. Without any vertical supporting element, the proposed mullions should be hanged and further projecting outward, seen as part of projecting canopy and cladding elements of the podium outside (Figure 4) and the inclined concrete ribs supporting the back balcony of the Two Main Halls inside (Figures 1 and 8).29

As soon as Utzon won the competition proposal for the Sydney Opera House in 1957, he sought for the ideal solution of its roof form, which for him was a freestanding shell structure with hyperbolic shape. He rejected to put any supporting pillars beneath the roof as a compromise to structural expressivity. After five years of struggling for consolidating his geometric principle for the roof, in 1962, Utzon transformed the originally proposed hyperbolic curve into spherical form. Utzon also rejected the scheme of space frame covered with double concrete skin for pursuing the concrete rib structure, which was far much heavier, more expensive, labour costing and difficult to build (Figure 6) (Mikami 2001, 62). Despite the alleged scandals of increasing cost and changes of design after this decision, at that time, Utzon directly picked the latter proposal and said: “… I don’t care how much it costs, I don’t care how long it takes to build or what scandals it causes, but this is what I want.” (Mikami 2001, 62).

Utzon later explained: “The architecture with the ribs is much more expressive than if the shells had been cast in-situ, with the resulting flat constructed surfaces.” (Utzon 2002, 78).

While conducting the design for acoustic ceilings both of the chamber music hall and rehearsal rooms, Utzon developed the plywood beam made by bent plywood sheets with maximum of 15-metre span. The roof forms of Utzon’s own house in Bayview – the Bayview House – was served as its mock up for testing the beam’s strength and durability. The composition of roof beams at Bayview was directly driven from Utzon’s study of Chinese elements had no chance to be tested by mock-up process, and Utzon and his team did not finalize the joint between the rib of shell vault roof and mullion before their resignation.

---

29These heavy elements had no chance to be tested by mock-up process, and Utzon and his team did not finalize the joint between the rib of shell vault roof and mullion before their resignation.
stepped beam roof frame documented in his *Yingzao fashi* (Figure 7).

At the end of 1965, Utzon and his team tried to finalize the geometric principle of plywood box beams for the Two Main Halls’ acoustic ceilings at Sydney. They were ready for exploring the beams’ internal structural formation for achieving the proposed large span above the auditoriums by conducting a mock-up evaluation (Figure 7). Unfortunately, the Sydney office of Arup and Associates, the partnering engineer, disapproved the proposal and further sent their report to the Ministry of Public Works, the government of New South Wales, Australia. This report not only provided two alternative schemes but also delivered their criticism to the Chinese inspired structural expressionism and expressive formalism seen in Utzon’s proposal:

[…] The weight of the proposed ceiling is greater than that which can be supported […].

[…] The erection of large units, 50 ft. long and 10 tons weight as required by the proposed scheme is considered difficult and expensive because of the size of the units, the weight and the restriction of the shell above. […] The cost of erection, however, would be considerably above that for the two modified schemes.

[…] The timber proposed, White Serayn, has high strength but is subject to a degree, to “nail sickness.” Further investigation would be required into the use of a less prone timber for those structural components which are not visible […].

In conclusion of this report, the Sydney office of Arup and Associates delivered their rejection of Utzon’s scheme using plywood (Ove Arup and Partners 1966), which was less practical than their “structural steel solution” in which “plywood sheets” were “a form of cladding” instead of being “part of the structure of the ceiling.”

After receiving the report, the Minister, David Hughes, immediately stopped the payment to Utzon and his consulting team for further negotiations. As Utzon refused to change his position from the architect in chief into the “design architect,” as well as to abandon his radical approach, he together with his team resigned in April 1966. None of their remaining proposals for the interior were realized.

6. Conclusion

Examining Utzon’s understanding and reflection of *Yingzao fashi* in his design of the Sydney Opera House project provides us a channel to assess his perception of Chinese architecture as a synthesis of varied conceptions and artefacts. This helps to review the important knowledge making, transfer and transformation of Chinese building tradition within a specific cross-cultural context. Utzon’s reinterpretation of the

---

30 See the report prepared by Ove Arup and Partners, Sydney Opera House Site, Bennelong Point, “Report on the structural details of the proposed scheme for the Minor Hall auditorium ceiling,” January 1966, Mitchell Library, State Library of New South Wales, 2, 3.
31 See “Report on the structural details of the proposed scheme for the Minor Hall auditorium ceiling,” 4.
32 Interview with Peter Myers, 2010.
interpreted Chinese traditional built forms in his 1925-edition *Yingzao fashi* further provides us a channel to decipher the architect’s design and artistic vision of the Sydney Opera House.

Historical and monumental Chinese built forms, illuminated by his *Yingzao fashi*, inspired Utzon to launch into his radical exploration of the extremity and extravagance of building design, production and construction. Indeed, the triumphal Chinese floating roof seen in his sketch of “Chinese temples and palaces” became the very ideal which Utzon so deeply admired and worked to achieve. However, on many occasions, Utzon’s Chinese inspired ideas were involved with difficulties in the progress of the Opera House. Rhetorically, like the absence of columns in Utzon’s sketch of a Chinese monument, the “absence” represents the surreal scene of making the roof float, so as to erect the whole Opera House in the air. This “absence” in both Utzon’s perception and reinterpretation of Chinese architecture further reminds us the absence of Utzon’s design in today’s Opera House. None of Utzon’s proposals has been realized after his forced resignation, and he never had a chance to finish his job and so had a reason to come back to Australia. Utzon’s republication of “Chinese temples and palaces” sketch in *Sydney Opera House: Utzon design principles* (2002), was his wordless allegory of the Opera House, as his doom, as his achievement (Utzon 2002, 6).
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