NuSTAR broad-band X-ray observational campaign of energetic pulsar wind nebulae in synergy with VERITAS, HAWC and Fermi gamma-ray telescopes
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We report recent progress on the on-going NuSTAR observational campaign of 8 TeV-detected pulsar wind nebulae (PWNe). This campaign constitutes a major part of our NuSTAR study of some of the most energetic TeV sources in our Galaxy detected by VERITAS and HAWC. NuSTAR is the first focusing X-ray telescope operating above 10 keV in space with sub-arcminute angular resolution. Broad-band X-ray imaging and spectroscopy data, obtained by NuSTAR, allow us to probe sub-PeV electron populations through detecting synchrotron X-ray radiation. Our targets include PeVatron candidates detected by HAWC, the Boomerang nebula, PWNe crushed by supernova remnant shocks (or relic PWNe) and G0.9+0.1 in the Galactic Center. Using Fermi-LAT data and available TeV data, we aim to provide a complete, multi-wavelength view of a diverse class of middle-aged (~10–100 kyrs old) PWNe. Our NuSTAR analysis detected hard X-ray emission from the Eel and Boomerang PWNe and characterized their broad-band X-ray spectra most accurately. We plan to apply both time-evolution and multi-zone PWN models to multi-wavelength spectral energy distribution (SED) data over the radio, X-ray, GeV and TeV bands. In this proceeding, we will review our observational campaign and discuss the preliminary results for some PWNe.
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1. Introduction

Relativistic leptons in the GeV to TeV energy band are ubiquitous throughout the Galaxy. It is generally believed that a majority of the energetic leptons are generated by pulsar wind nebulae (PWNes) [12]. A PWN is an expanding bubble of a highly relativistic wind injected by a pulsar. High energy observations detected synchrotron and Inverse Compton Scattering (ICS) emission from numerous PWNes in the X-ray and TeV bands, respectively, suggesting that non-thermal electrons are accelerated to GeV–PeV energies within the PWNes [23]. The detailed characterization of PWNes’s electromagnetic (EM) spectra provides information on the particle’s energy distribution produced by the pulsar, and also on the nature of acceleration in relativistic shocks. With their powerful shock-driven acceleration, PWNes can serve as the perfect laboratories to study the behavior of relativistic outflows. But while the classic paper by Kennel & Coroniti [25] and subsequent work by Reynolds [32] laid the groundwork of particle acceleration and EM radiation from PWNes, other factors, such as interactions with the SNR reverse shock and interstellar medium, can also play a role in affecting the evolution and properties of PWNes. As a result, the observed morphology and spectral properties of individual PWNes vary significantly. Thus, it is crucial to observe as many PWNes as possible at various ages and in different environments, in order to disentangle the fundamental PWN parameters, such as the electron energy distribution and magnetic field, that are responsible for driving the EM radiation from PWNes [37]. Multi-wavelength spectral energy distributions (SEDs), coupled with spatially resolved spectroscopy (if possible), are required for this purpose.

Over the last two decades, the synergy between the X-ray and TeV gamma-ray telescopes has boosted our understanding of PWN astrophysics and electron acceleration in the Galaxy. From radio to MeV energy bands, synchrotron radiation dominates, while TeV emission originates from ICS of accelerated electrons off CMB photons or local IR/optical/UV radiation fields [35]. As of today, more than 70 X-ray emitting PWNes have been discovered and nearly half of them have been detected in the TeV band [23]. Chandra observations of PWNes revealed detailed X-ray features such as tori, jets and bow-shocks [21]. On the other hand, NuSTAR, operating in the broad bandwidth from 3 to 79 keV, detected some of the highest energy electron populations ($E_e \gtrsim 100$ TeV) from PWNes [33]. The earlier NuSTAR observations of nearby PWNes revealed the distinct hard X-ray morphologies of several prominent sources, such as the Crab nebula [29], G21.5–0.9 [30] and MSH 15–52 [6]. Even when detailed spatial investigations are not feasible, spectroscopic studies of unresolved or barely resolved PWNes in conjunction with other wavelength data can provide powerful constraints. Our NuSTAR observations of 8 PWNes, combined with multi-wavelength data, aim to address some fundamental astrophysics problems associated with TeV PWNes.

(1) Are some of the middle-aged PWNes PeVatrons? Gamma-ray sources detected to the highest energies by HAWC [19] and LHAASO [10] are of particular interest. These TeV sources may have a component powered by relativistic hadrons interacting with molecular clouds [8]. Alternatively, PeVatron PWNes that accelerate leptons to the PeV band have been proposed [7] and some of the middle-aged (∼10–100 kyrs old) PWNes may be associated with gamma-ray sources detected above ∼ 50 TeV [1]. Along with the HAWC and LHAASO TeV telescopes which are sensitive above ∼ 50 TeV, NuSTAR plays a complementary role of exploring sub-PeV electrons, with sub-arcminute angular resolution, via detecting the high-energy extension of their synchrotron radiation in the hard
X-ray band [16]. This was demonstrated by the *NuSTAR* detection of a spectral cut-off from 3C 58, indicating that electrons are accelerated to $E_e \sim 140$ TeV [5].

2. **Does the electron energy distribution have multiple components and does it vary between PWNe?** Radio through X-ray spectra of PWNe require electron energy distributions $N(E_e) \propto E_e^{-p}$ (where $E_e$ is the electron energy) with the measured power-law index $p$ varying from as small as 1 for radio-emitting particles to as high as 2.8 for X-ray-emitting particles [33]. The multi-wavelength SEDs of PWNe often fit to a broken power-law model [36]. It is unclear whether a single acceleration mechanism plus evolutionary effects can explain this, or whether multiple independent electron components are required. Broad-band SEDs can probe whether the universal electron acceleration mechanism (with similar $p$ values) operates for many PWNe or if other factors can later alter the electron energy distribution [26]. However, only 9 bright/young PWNe yielded an accurate measurement of the X-ray emitting electron spectral index so far and they seem to vary from $p = 1.6$ (Vela) to $p = 2.8$ (Kes 75) [21].

3. **What are the controlling parameters for distinct EM emission from middle-aged PWNe?** It is poorly understood which PWN parameters (e.g. pulsar age, B-field) primarily determine the various properties observed among middle-aged PWNe [21, 23], which represent an evolution phase from younger, well-confined PWNe to relic PWNe. Their complex, multi-wavelength features are also apparent from bow-shock PWNe [22] and PWNe crushed by SN reverse shock through their interaction with the environment. There are also several middle-aged PWNe whose high-energy emission is not explained by a leptonic model alone. For example, *NuSTAR* observation of the evolved PWN DA 495 found that a hybrid SED model composed of both leptonic and hadronic components is required to account for the multi-wavelength SED [13].

2. **Observations and data analysis**

Our 8 targets for *NuSTAR* observations, listed in Table 1, represent a heterogeneous group of TeV PWNe in the pulsar age range of 7–43 kys with spin-down power $E_p \sim 3 \times 10^{36}$ to $4 \times 10^{37}$ ergs s$^{-1}$. *NuSTAR* observed the 8 PWNe with a total exposure time of 590 ksec.

| PWN name | TeV source name | $\dot{E}$ [ergs s$^{-1}$] | Age [kys]$^a$ | Comments |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| G18.5–0.4 (Eel) | HESS J1826–130 | $3.6 \times 10^{36}$ | 14.4 | PeVatron candidate |
| G75.23+0.12 (Dragonfly) | eHWC J2019+368 | $3.6 \times 10^{36}$ | 17.0 | PeVatron candidate |
| G32.64+0.53 | eHWC J1850+001 | $9.8 \times 10^{36}$ | 42.9 | PeVatron candidate |
| G106.65+2.96 (Boomerang) | VER J2227+608 | $2.2 \times 10^{37}$ | 10.4 | Leptonic or hadronic?$^b$ |
| G309.92–2.51 | HESS J1356–645 | $3.1 \times 10^{36}$ | 7.3 | Leptonic or hadronic?$^b$ |
| G313.54+0.23 | HESS J1420–607 | $1.0 \times 10^{37}$ | 12.9 | Crushed PWN |
| G313.3+0.1 (Rabbit) | HESS J1418–609 | $4.9 \times 10^{36}$ | 10.3 | Crushed PWN |
| G0.9+0.1 | HESS J1747–281 | $4.3 \times 10^{37}$ | 5.3 | In the Galactic Center |

$^a$ Pulsar spin-down age. $^b$ It is unclear if the TeV source is of leptonic or hadronic origin.

(1) **PeVatron PWN candidates (3 targets):** G75.23+0.12 and G32.6+0.5 are associated with HAWC sources detected above $E_p \sim 50$ TeV, suggesting that they are PeVatron candidates. G18.5–0.4 (Eel PWN) is located in a complex region where several HAWC sources have been detected above 50 TeV (see §3.1).
(2) **Leptonic or hadronic TeV source? (2 targets):** The X-ray morphology of G309.92–2.51 strongly supports the PWN scenario, but it is still unclear whether its TeV emission has a leptonic and/or hadronic origin. G106.65+2.96 (Boomerang PWN) may contribute to the TeV emission detected within SNR G106.3+2.7 which interacts with a molecular cloud [4] (see §3.2).

(3) **PWNes crushed by SNR reverse shocks (2 targets):** G313.54+0.23 and G313.3+0.1 (the Rabbit nebula) are located in the complex Kookaburra region, which contains multiple high energy sources. Radio and X-ray morphology studies suggest that these extended X-ray nebulae offset from their TeV nebulae are potentially being crushed by SNR reverse shocks [3].

(4) **PWN in the Galactic Center:** G0.9+0.1 is a 5.3 kyr old PWN only ~ 1° away from Sgr A* [9]. This PWN is peculiar as its TeV emission is one of the weakest among the PWNe with similar spin-down ages. Despite some multi-wavelength SED studies, the nature of this unique PWN is uncertain with several possibilities: (1) the lack of low energy electron population due to fast adiabatic cooling [36], (2) lepto-hadronic scenario [20] and (3) highly-magnetized PWN [38].

### 2.1 NuSTAR data analysis

We are currently analyzing **NuSTAR** spectral, imaging and timing data of the 8 PWN targets shown in Table 1. We will jointly analyze available X-ray data from **Chandra** and **XMM-Newton** observations in order to characterize the broad-band X-ray morphology/spectra of PWNe. We largely follow the **NuSTAR** data analysis of other PWNe such as DA 495 [13]. Upon detecting a pulsatation signal, we can remove photon events from the pulsed components using a folded lightcurve or extrapolate the pulsar’s **Chandra** spectrum and estimate its contribution in the **NuSTAR** band – these are the standard methods for mitigating the contamination of the pulsar [5]. Since most of our targets are located outside the Galactic ridge and thus do not suffer from the Galactic ridge X-ray emission background, the **nuskybgd** tool [39] will be used to model **NuSTAR** background images. This will help resolve subtle hard X-ray features in the energy-resolved images by subtracting the simulated background images thus improving the signal-to-noise ratio [30].

### 2.2 Fermi-LAT data analysis

In between the X-ray and TeV bands, there are cases where **Fermi-LAT** GeV flux measurements or upper limits, together with other wavelength SED data, constrained the PWN parameters and demonstrated the unique role of GeV observations [31]. Furthermore, the ICS photons in the GeV band, without fast synchrotron cooling, allow robust measurements of intrinsic electron spectra which reflect the particle acceleration mechanism in middle-aged PWNe. To obtain GeV spectra of the PWNe, we are analyzing 13 years of LAT data using the latest Pass 8 version of the data with “Source” class events. By default, we use only events in the energy range from 10 GeV to 2 TeV due to the reduced contamination from diffuse Galactic emission and pulsar emission. To limit the contamination from gamma-ray emissions from the Earth’s limb, we set the maximum zenith angle set to 105°. The region of interest (ROI) is a square area of 10° × 10° centered at the position of each PWN. All point-like and extended sources within a square area of 30° × 30° from the center of the ROI listed in LAT 10-year Source Catalog (4FGL-DR2) are included in the model. The Galactic diffuse emission and extragalactic isotropic emission are modeled using gll_iem_v07.fits and iso_P8R3_SOURCE_V3_v1.txt, respectively. We undertake the binned likelihood analysis with
Fermipy (0.1° square bins), a python package that facilitates LAT data analysis with the Fermi Science Tools.

3. Preliminary results

We highlight our preliminary results on the Eel and Boomerang PWNe below. We plan to publish multiple papers, at least one from each source, including a comprehensive NuSTAR PWN paper summarizing the multi-wavelength observations.

3.1 Eel PWN: X-ray counterpart of HAWC J1825−138 and HESS J1826−130

A GeV pulsar (PSR J1826−1256) and its extended X-ray PWN are roughly coincident with HAWC J1825−138 and HESS J1826−130. The pulsar has a characteristic age of 14.4 kyr, spin-down luminosity of $3.6 \times 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$, and surface magnetic field $B = 3.7 \times 10^{12}$ G [22]. XMM-Newton and Chandra X-ray observations have characterized the surrounding PWN (known as the Eel PWN, [34]) in the X-ray band below 10 keV. The Eel PWN is extended, with a “tail” stretching over a $3.5' \times 1.6'$ ellipse in the X-ray band (Figure 1; right panel). However, it also features more compact and intense X-ray emission within $r \sim 15''$ from the pulsar [24] (“inner PWN”), while the diffuse X-ray tail feature will be referred to as the “extended PWN”. NuSTAR detected the inner PWN up to 20 keV (Figure 2) but failed to detect the extended PWN. An absorbed power-law model fit to the XMM-Newton and NuSTAR spectra of the inner nebula (Figure 2; right panel) yields a photon index of $\Gamma = 1.32 \pm 0.08$. We found that a predominant fraction (> 90% in the 2–10 keV band) of the X-ray emission originates from the extended X-ray nebula. Using XMM-Newton-EPIC and Chandra ACIS data, we determine that the extended PWN has a softer photon index of $\Gamma = 2.03 \pm 0.15$ than that of the inner nebula.
Figure 2: (a) NuSTAR image of the Eel PWN in the 10–20 keV band. Source and background extraction regions for NuSTAR spectral analysis are shown in the white circle ($r = 40''$) and white rectangle, respectively. The red ellipse marks the extended PWN. (b) Broad-band (1–20 keV) X-ray spectra of the inner PWN using NuSTAR FPMA (black) and FPMB (red) and XMM-Newton EPIC MOS1 (green), MOS2 (blue) and PN (cyan). The NuSTAR and XMM-Newton spectra are jointly fit to an absorbed power-law model.

3.2 Boomerang PWN: a highly magnetized, crushed PWN?

The Boomerang region consists of a compact PWN around the radio pulsar PSR J2229+6114 and its host SNR G106.3+2.7. The 51.6-ms pulsar has a characteristic age of 10.4 kyr, spin-down luminosity of $2.2 \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and surface magnetic field $B = 3.7 \times 10^{12}$ G. The PWN’s "boomerang" shape is visible in the radio image of the region at 1420 MHz, and diffuse radio emission in the same band extends out opposite the apex of the PWN into its host SNR [28] (Figure 3; left panel). The peculiar shape of the PWN and its extended radio emission suggests that the PWN has been crushed by a SNR reverse shock and the diffuse radio emission is a relic nebula swept away from the pulsar position [11, 14, 27]. The SNR reverse shock scenario is further supported by a high PWN B-field ($B = 2.6$ mG), which was suggested by a synchrotron break measured in the radio band [27]. In the GeV–TeV band, the Boomerang region has been extensively observed by Fermi-LAT and VERITAS. A recent detection by HAWC and LHAASO above ~ 50 TeV suggests that this is a PeVatron candidate. The extended gamma-ray emission, detected by Fermi-LAT and VERITAS, is spatially coincident with the tail region of SNR G106.3+2.7 as well as CO clouds [2]. In the X-ray band, both the pulsar and Boomerang PWN have been detected by Chandra [18]. A recent study with XMM-Newton, Chandra and Suzaku data detected diffuse X-ray emission from the head and tail region of the SNR [15].

Our NuSTAR observation, pointing at the pulsar, detected extended X-ray emission from the Boomerang PWN up to 20 keV. We generate background-subtracted NuSTAR images in the 3–10 keV and 10–20 keV bands (Figure 3; right panel) and fit with a 2D Gaussian source profile and the NuSTAR PSF. We find the source size (FWHM) decreasing from $35''$ (3–10 keV) to $20''$ (10–20 keV) toward higher energy, clear evidence of synchrotron burn-off in the PWN. Using the $Z^2$ algorithm, we searched for a pulsation from NuSTAR 3–20 keV lightcurve data extracted from a $r = 30''$ circular region around the pulsar. We detected a periodic signal at $P = 51.6$ msec, which is consistent with that measured by Halpern et al. [18]. We then produce NuSTAR spectra of the
**Figure 3:** (a) 1420 MHz radio image of the Boomerang region. The white dotted lines are radio contours indicating the boundary of SNR G106.3+2.7. The X-ray extension of the PWN is marked by the green circle ($r = 100''$) and the cyan ellipse represents the extension of TeV source VER J2227+608. (b) *NuSTAR* 10–20 keV image of the Boomerang PWN. 1420 MHz radio contours are shown in green and the position of PSR J2229+6114 is marked by the blue cross.

PWN after removing photon events in the on-pulse phase interval. We fit the 3–20 keV *NuSTAR* PWN spectra to an absorbed power-law model with a photon index of $\Gamma = 1.65 \pm 0.08$.

### 3.3 Multi-wavelength SED analysis

Our ultimate goal is to obtain the most accurate, broad-band SED data from radio to TeV band and determine fundamental PWN parameters by applying various SED models. First, we apply the standard NAIMA Python package for modeling SEDs [40]. In the NAIMA model, we assume that the same underlying electron energy distribution, in the form of a power-law, cut-off power-law or broken power-law model, is producing synchrotron radiation in the radio to X-ray band and ICS gamma-rays in the TeV band. Multi-wavelength SED fitting with NAIMA serves as our initial parameter survey and can be used to distinguish between the leptonic and hadronic models (in case that the origin of TeV emission is not firmly established). Our next step is to fit the SED data by a dynamical PWN evolution model [17] which allows us to determine the PWN B-field, pulsar age and intrinsic electron energy distribution.
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