Early-Stage Development of Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Neurons
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Recent advances in human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) offer new possibilities for biomedical research and clinical applications. Differentiated neurons from hiPSCs are expected to be useful for developing novel methods of treatment for various neurological diseases. However, the detailed process of functional maturation of hiPSC-derived neurons (hiPS neurons) remains poorly understood. This study analyzes development of hiPS neurons, focusing specifically on early developmental stages through 48 hr after cell seeding; development was compared with that of primary cultured neurons derived from the rat hippocampus. At 5 hr after cell seeding, neurite formation occurs in a similar manner in both neuronal populations. However, very few neurons with axonal polarization were observed in the hiPS neurons even after 48 hr, indicating that hiPS neurons differentiate more slowly than rat neurons. We further investigated the elongation speed of axons and found that hiPS neuronal axons were slower. In addition, we characterized the growth cones. The localization patterns of skeletal proteins F-actin, microtubule, and drebrin were similar to those of rat neurons, and actin depolymerization by cytochalasin D induced similar changes in cytoskeletal distribution in the growth cones between hiPS neurons and rat neurons. These results indicate that, during the very early developmental stage, hiPS neurons develop comparably to rat hippocampal neurons with regard to axonal differentiation, but the growth of axons is slower.
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Human induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC)-derived neuronal cells provide advantages for drug discovery, screening, and establishment of novel therapies (Yahata et al., 2011; Heilker et al., 2014). These cells have potential to detect human-specific side effects prior to clinical tests. Previous studies have utilized neurons differentiated from iPSCs that were developed from patients for therapeutic advancement in the treatment of Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Yagi et al., 2011; Egawa et al., 2012; Imaizumi et al., 2012; Chung et al., 2013). However, the detailed processes of functional maturation of hiPSC-derived neurons (hiPS neurons) are still largely unknown, and the mechanisms of development should be better understood before hiPS neurons are utilized as a tool for drug discovery and screening.

Neurons have the ability to form an axon and dendrites, which is important for information transfer in the central nervous system. Neuronal polarization goes through stereotypical changes leading to outgrowth of the axon and
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dendrites. Immature neurons have lamellipodia and filopodia that become multiple immature neurites at a later stage, and then one of the neurites grows rapidly to become an axon. This neuronal morphogenesis can be observed in cerebellar granule neurons, cortical neurons, and hippocampal neurons (Komuro et al., 2001; Hatanaka and Mura-kami, 2002; Noctor et al., 2004). Even under in vitro conditions, neurons are able to polarize to form an axon and multiple dendrites; this feature has been observed in rat hippocampal neurons (Dotti et al., 1988; Goslin and Banker, 1989; Craig and Banker, 1994) as well as in chick forebrain neurons (Heidemann et al., 2003).

This study investigates early developmental processes of hiPS neurons. We compared morphological features and localization of cytoskeletal proteins between rat neurons and hiPS neurons. Rat primary neurons cultured from the rat hippocampus were utilized because many studies have been performed and their development is well understood. iCell neurons (Cellular Dynamics International [CDI], Madison, WI) were utilized as the hiPS neurons. iCell neurons are a mixture of postmitotic neuronal subtypes composed primarily of γ-aminobutyric acidergic (GABAergic) and glutamatergic neurons. This composition is another reason for the comparison with rat hippocampal neurons, in which glutamate and GABA are the principal transmitters (Benson et al., 1994; Craig et al., 1994). Our results suggest that hiPS neurons develop similarly to rat neurons, although axonal polarization and growth are slower.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Animal experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Animal Care and Experimentation Committee, Gunma University Showa Campus (Maebashi, Japan). Efforts were made to keep animal suffering to a minimum and to reduce the numbers of animals used.

**Cell Cultures**

Primary hippocampal cultures were prepared according to methods developed by Banker and Goslin (1998), with slight modifications (Takahashi et al., 2003).

**Rat primary hippocampal cultures.** Hippocampal neurons from embryonic day 18 Wistar rats (Charles River Laboratories Japan, Yokohama, Japan) were dissociated by trypsin treatment and triturated through a Pasteur pipette. The neurons were plated (5,000 cells/cm²) on coverslips (18 mm; Matsumani, Osaka, Japan), coated with poly-L-lysine (1 mg/ml), and incubated in minimum essential medium (MEM; Invitrogen, San Diego, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Three hours later, the coverslips were transferred to a dish containing a glial monolayer sheet and were maintained in serum-free MEM with a B-27 supplement (Invitrogen).

**hiPS neuron cultures.** In this study, iCell neurons served as hiPS neurons. The cells were defrosted according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. In total 7,000 cells/cm² were plated on coverslips (15 mm; Matsumani) coated with poly-L-lysine (1 mg/ml). The hiPS neurons were also incubated in MEM, and 3 hr later the coverslips were transferred to a glial sheet and maintained in B-27-supplied MEM. All cultures were maintained at 35.8°C in an incubator with 5% CO₂ for 1–2 days in vitro (DIV).

**Immunocytochemistry**

Both rat neurons and hiPS neurons were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.01% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 15 min at room temperature (RT). The cells were then washed twice with 0.1 M PBS and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min. After being blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin in PBS (PBSA) for 60 min at RT, the cells were washed with PBS and incubated with primary antibodies in PBSA overnight at 4°C. The dilutions of primary antibodies were antidrebrin antibody (1:1; clone M2F6; hybridoma supernatant; RRID:AB_2532045; Shirao and Obata, 1986), anti-β-tubulin type III antibody (1:10,000; catalog No. G7121; RRID:AB_430874; Promega, Madison, WI), and antiphosphorylated neurofilament antibody (1:10,000; Sternberger Monoclonals, Baltimore, MD). F-actin was detected with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Afterward, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated with appropriate species-specific secondary antibodies, fluorescein-conjugated antimouse IgG (MP Biomedicals, Aurora, OH), Cy5-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Chemicon, Temecula, CA), and Alexa Fluor 647 anti-rabbit IgG (Molecular Probes), in PBSA for 2 hr at RT. Finally, the cells were washed twice and mounted on slide glasses with PermaFluor mounting medium (Lab Vision, Fremont, CA) for microscopic evaluation. All neurons were analyzed with a conventional fluorescent microscope (Axio Imager 2; Zeiss, Jena, Germany) in MetaMorph microscopy automation and image analysis software (Meta Imaging V7.7; RRID:SciRes_000136; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).

**Antibody Characterization**

See Table I for a list of all primary antibodies used. Anti-drebrin antibody recognizes two bands of approximately 110 kDa molecular weight on Western blots of mouse brain. The upper band is drebrin A (adult isoform) and the lower is drebrin E (embryonic isoform). Only drebrin E is detected in the extract from drebrin A knockout mouse brain. No band is observed when drebrin null mutation mouse brain is used. Anti-β-tubulin type III antibody recognizes a single band of 50 kDa on Western blots. Antiphosphorylated neurofilament antibody recognizes bands of 160–200 kDa molecular weight on Western blots.

**Time-Lapse Imaging**

Prior to seeding, dissociated rat and hiPS neurons were transfected with pEGERF-C1 vector with Nucleofector (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Both types of neurons were seeded on an Iwaki glass base dish (glass 12 mm; Asahi Glass, Tokyo, Japan) coated with poly-L-lysine (1 mg/ml) and maintained in MEM with B-27 supplement. These neurons were initially allowed to attach to the glass base and were then subsequently cocultured with glial cells seeded on coverslips (22 × 22 mm; Matsumani). The neurons were incubated in a confocal scanner box for live-cell imaging (Cell Voyager CV1000; Yokogawa Electric Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) at 35.8°C with 5% CO₂. Time-lapse images were acquired from 2
DIV cells every 10 min for 3 hr. In this time-lapse imaging experiment, cells with more than three neurites were observed as target cells, and the longest neurite was considered to be an axon. We used this morphological classification for identifying axons and dendrites because we were not able to use immunocytochemistry with antiphosphorylated neurofilament antibody on live cells. Although hiPS neurons sometimes had an axon that was not obviously longer than other neurites, our immunocytochemical analysis of fixed cells showed that the longest neurite was immunopositive for phosphorylated neurofilament. In addition, because the longer process has been used to represent the axon in rat neurons (Dotti et al., 1988), we used this morphological criterion in the time-lapse experiment to identify an axon.

### Staging of Developing Neurons

The neurons were classified as stage 1 (Fig. 1A,D), stage 2 (Fig. 1B,E), or stage 3 (Fig. 1C,F) according to a classification table suggested by Dotti et al. (1988), with minor modifications. After neurons had been labeled by rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin, neurites were defined as a process extending more than 10 µm from the neuronal soma. An axon was defined as a neurite labeled by axonal markers, such as antiphosphorylated neurofilament antibody or antitau-1 antibody. We defined cell stages as stage 1, a cell without neurites; stage 2, a cell with some neurites that are not labeled by axonal markers, suggesting no axonal differentiation at this stage; and stage 3, a cell with an axon. Morphological analyses were performed in MetaMorph software.

### Statistical Analysis

For multiple comparisons, the Steel-Dwass test was used, and the Welch’s t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparison between two groups. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.01$. 

---

**TABLE I. Primary Antibodies Used**

| Antigen                        | Description of immunogen                                      | Source, host species, catalog/clone/lot No., RRID | Concentration used |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Drebrin                        | Purified chicken drebrin E                                    | Hybridoma supernatant, mouse monoclonal antibody, clone M2F6, RRID:AB_2532045 | 1:1               |
| β-Tubulin type III             | Synthetic peptide (EAQGPK) corresponding to the C-terminus of βIII-tubulin | Promega, mouse monoclonal antibody, catalog No. G7121, clone 5G8, RRID:AB_430874 | 1:10,000 in PBSA  |
| Phosphorylated neurofilament   | Homogenized hypothalami recovered from Fischer 344 rats       | Sternberger Monoclonals, mouse monoclonal antibody, catalog No. 837701, clone SMI-310 | 1:10,000 in PBSA  |

---

Fig. 1. Developmental classification of neurons. Rat hippocampal neurons and hiPS neurons were double labeled with antiphosphorylated neurofilament antibody (green, an axon marker) and rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (red). Stage 1–3 neurons were observed in both rat neurons and hiPS neurons. A,D: Stage 1 cells at 5 hr after cell seeding. B,E: Stage 2 cells at 24 hr after cell seeding. C,F: Stage 3 cells at 48 hr after cell seeding. Axons are indicated with arrowheads. The axon of hiPS neurons was shorter compared with that of rat neurons. Scale bars = 20 µm.
RESULTS

Initial Maturation of Rat Neurons and hiPS Neurons

To evaluate maturation of developing neurons, we classified the neurons as stage 1, stage 2, or stage 3. We analyzed cells at 5, 24, and 48 hr after cell seeding and quantified the cell number at each stage to observe initial maturation. At each time point, the ratio of stage 1 cells was similar between rat neurons and hiPS neurons (Fig. 2Aa). At 5 hr after cell seeding, the majority of cells were still in stage 1. However, after 48 hr, the majority of cells had transformed into other stages. The shift to stage 2 was detectable at 5 hr after cell seeding in both neuronal populations, suggesting that development to stage 2 occurs in a similar manner (Fig. 2Ab). This was consistent with the fact that no significant differences in the ratio of stage 1 cells were observed (Fig. 2Aa). From 24 hr after cell seeding onward, however, the ratio of stage 2 cells was significantly greater in the hiPS neurons, suggesting that the shift to stage 3 occurred slowly in the hiPS neurons.

Differentiation Into Stage 3 Neurons

At 5 hr after cell seeding, it was difficult to observe stage 3 cells in the rat neurons and hiPS neurons. However, from 24 hr onward, there were some stage 3 cells in the rat neuronal population (Fig. 2Ac). After 48 hr, more than half of the rat neurons were at stage 3. However, less than 20% of hiPS neurons were at stage 3, even after 48 hr (Fig. 2Ac). In the rat neuronal population, stage 3 axons were fivefold (axon/dendrite = 5.51 ± 0.41 μm, n = 56) longer than other neurites (i.e., dendrites), whereas axonal length of hiPS neurons at stage 3 was only twofold (axon/dendrite = 2.11 ± 0.17 μm, n = 33) longer than the dendrites (Fig. 2Bb). There was no significant difference in dendrite length (rat, 30.68 ± 1.69 μm, n = 75; hiPS neuron, 27.46 ± 1.90 μm, n = 33; P = 0.26; Fig. 2Bb), whereas axonal length of hiPS neurons was significantly shorter than that of rat neurons (rat, 133.98 ± 5.88 μm, n = 61; hiPS neuron, 54.18 ± 2.73 μm, n = 41; P < 0.01; Fig. 2Bc). The hiPS neuronal axons and rat neuronal axons were immunostained with antiphosphorylated neurofilament antibody (stage 3; Fig. 1) and with antitau-1 antibody (data not shown). Collectively, these data suggest that axonal differentiation occurs in hiPS neurons as well, but axonal growth of hiPS neurons is slower than that of rat neurons, which could correlate with slower differentiation of hiPS neurons from stage 2 to stage 3.

We also quantified neurite number in stage 3 neurons and found that there was a significant difference between the average numbers of neurites (rat, 4.50 ± 0.15, n = 83; hiPS neuron, 3.22 ± 0.18, n = 40; P < 0.01; Fig. 2Bd). This result indicates that neuritogenesis was slower in hiPS neurons than in rat neurons, at least in their initial stages, which is consistent with a previous report (Harrill et al., 2011).

Axonal Growth of Rat Neurons and hiPS Neurons

Because axons were shorter in the hiPS neurons at DIV 2, we next investigated whether the shorter axon was due to slower growth or random growth directions. Both rat neurons and hiPS neurons were transfected with GFP, and time-lapse recordings were performed at DIV 2 for 3 hr with 10-min intervals. In this experiment, we used morphological classification for identifying axons and dendrites. The total growth after 3 hr was significantly greater in rat neuronal axons compared with hiPS neurons (rat, 33.57 ± 4.07 μm, n = 17; hiPS neuron, 5.12 ± 1.58 μm, n = 16; P < 0.01; Fig. 3A). The average growth was faster in rat axons than in hiPS neuron axons (rat, 11.84 ± 1.95 μm/hr; hiPS neuron, 1.80 ± 1.42 μm/hr; P < 0.01; Fig. 3B).

Axons do not always grow in one direction. In fact, they grow in various directions, and sometimes they even retract. Recordings were made every 10 min, and axonal length was compared frame by frame. If the axons were longer than in the former frame, we assumed that it was an elongation. Similarly, if the axons were shorter than in the former frame, we assumed that it was a retraction. We then compared elongation speed and retraction speed separately (Fig. 3C). We found that elongation of rat axons was significantly faster than that of hiPS neurons axons (rat, 29.32 ± 1.75 μm/hr; hiPS neuron, 18.78 ± 1.51 μm/hr; P < 0.01; Fig. 3Ca). However, we did not detect any significant differences in retraction speed between the two neuronal populations (rat, −21.69 ± 2.02 μm/hr; hiPS neuron, −15.53 ± 1.16 μm/hr; P = 0.02; Fig. 3Cb).

Next, we quantified the numbers of elongations or relocations within our recordings and calculated each ratio (Fig. 3D) to determine frequency of elongation or retraction. Because we performed time-lapse recordings for 3 hr with 10-min intervals, we had a total of 17 recording frames. In the rat neurons, elongation occurred more often than retraction (elongation, 65.7%; retraction, 34.3%; P < 0.01; Fig. 3Da), but both occurred equally in the hiPS neurons (elongation, 50.7%; retraction, 49.3%; P = 0.67; Fig. 3Db). These results indicate that axonal growth in the hiPS neurons was slower because of slower elongation and a lesser chance to elongate.

Cytoskeletons of the Growth Cones

Our results suggest that hiPS neurons differentiate normally, although elongation and differentiation are slower. We tested whether this was due to abnormalities in the growth cones. For this, we compared localization of cytoskeletal proteins, F-actin, β-tubulin (microtubules), and drebrin, between rat neurons and hiPS neurons. As shown in Figure 4A, in line with previous reports (Lin and Forscher, 1993; Mizui et al., 2009), the F-actin is distributed in the tips of growth cones, drebrin is localized in the middle, and β-tubulin is found in the proximal part. These patterns were similar in both rat neurons and hiPS neurons. Although our observation indicated that hiPS neurons had relatively small growth cones (Fig. 4A), there was no statistically significant difference in the average area of growth...
cones between rat neurons and hiPS neurons (rat, 61.03 ± 13.59, n = 60; hiPS neuron, 45.62 ± 4.92, n = 40; P = 0.90; Fig. 4B).

Cytochalasin D induces fragmentation and depolymerization of drebrin-free F-actin (Asada et al., 1994; Nair et al., 2008). We treated the DIV 2 neurons with 1 μM

Fig. 2. Percentage of each stage and neurite length. A: Percentages of cells in each stage: stage 1 (a), stage 2 (b), stage 3 (c). Steel-Dwass test was used for statistical analysis, n = 40 per group. B: Analysis data of neurite length. Ratio of axonal length and dendrite length, rat neuron (n = 56) vs. hiPS neurons (n = 33), P < 0.01 (a). Dendritic length (rat, n = 75; hiPS neuron, n = 33) and axonal length (rat, n = 61; hiPS neuron, n = 41) of both neurons at stage 3, 48 hr after cell seeding (b,c). Neurite number (rat, n = 83; hiPS neuron, n = 40) of both neurons at stage 3, 48 hr after cell seeding (d). Mann-Whitney U test was used in a,b,d, and Welch’s t-test was used in c. **P < 0.01.
cytochalasin D (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) for 20 min and observed changes in localization of drebrin, F-actin, and β-tubulin. As shown in Figure 5, localization of drebrin and F-actin was changed to the tips of filopodia and lamellipodia following cytochalasin D treatment. As a result, microtubules extended into the edge of the growth cone in the rat neurons, which is in line with previously published results (Mizui et al., 2009). The reaction of these three proteins was similar in the growth cones of the hiPS neurons. These data suggest that function of the growth cones is comparable between the rat neurons and the hiPS neurons.

**DISCUSSION**

We show that hiPS neurons and rat neurons develop similarly, but development of the hiPS neurons is
slower. In particular, axonal growth of the hiPS neurons is slower and the chance of elongation is lower. However, structural elements of the growth cone and their response to cytochalasin D are not different between rat and human neurons, suggesting that growth cone function is comparable between hiPS neurons and rat neurons.

Morphological Development Is Slightly Different Between Rat Neurons and hiPS Neurons

Morphological analysis demonstrates that the initial maturation of neurons from stage 1 to stage 2 occurs in a similar manner in both neuronal populations. Conversely, the shift from stage 2 to stage 3 occurs more slowly in hiPS neurons, suggesting that axonal polarization of the neurons is slower in hiPS neurons than in rat neurons. Furthermore, rat stage 3 neurons always have axons that are clearly longer than other neurites, whereas human stage 3 neurons sometimes have an axon that is not obviously longer than other neurites. These results suggest that axonal growth of the hiPS neurons is slower, although those axons were immunopositive for axonal markers, such as phosphorylated neurofilament and tau-1. Moreover, we show that the neurite number of rat neurons is significantly greater than that of stage 3 hiPS neurons. This is consistent with a previous report in which primary rat cortical cultures and human embryonic stem cell-derived neuronal cultures were compared, showing that neurite length and neurite numbers are less in human neuronal cultures (Harrill et al., 2011). According to Harrill and colleagues (2011), this difference might be due to different protocols, fresh brain tissue vs. frozen stock, different species, or different sensitivity to components in the culture medium.

Average Axonal Growth Speed

The current results show that rat axons are more than fivefold longer than dendrites in stage 3, which is consistent with a previous report that the axonal growth speed of rat neurons is five- to tenfold greater than that of dendrites from stage 3 onward (Dotti et al., 1988). The hiPS neurons, however, have axons only twofold longer.
than the dendrites. In addition, the current data clearly show that axonal elongation of the rat neurons is faster than that of the hiPS neurons. A possible explanation is the difference in adhesion strength between rat neurons and hiPS neurons. However, the possibility of this is low because retraction speeds (which are different from elongation speeds) are similar between the two populations. Results from the present study also suggest that the increased speed of rat axonal growth is due to a greater frequency of elongation than retraction. In contrast, axons of hiPS neurons show no increase in elongation frequency. Therefore, another possible explanation is that hiPS neurons do not respond well to factors that induce elongation under our experimental conditions. We observed a much longer axon in hiPS neurons at DIV 4 than at DIV 2 (data not shown), so we cannot exclude the possibility of greater axonal growth speed. Future studies are required to determine the mechanisms involved in promoting axonal growth of hiPS neurons and whether the axons grow faster in the later stage.

**Function of the Growth Cones**

Growth cones are important for guiding neurites during neuronal development. In neuronal growth cones, retrograde flow of actin is observed, and the flow is thought to play a role in axonal guidance and directed cell movement. Growth cones have three domains, a peripheral
domain, a central domain, and a transitional zone. The peripheral domain is composed of radial formations of unipolar F-actin bundles (Lewis and Bridgman, 1992), and the central domain is a microtubule-rich domain (Forscher and Smith, 1988). The actin arc lying between the peripheral and central domains is called the transitional zone, where F-actin bundles are decorated with drebrin E (Mizui et al., 2009). In the peripheral domain, F-actin bundles flow relatively quickly, whereas, in the transitional zone, actin structures move slowly (Schaef er et al., 2002). This slow movement might be due to binding of drebrin to F-actin (Geraldo and Gordon-Weeks, 2009; Mizui et al., 2009).

The current results show that F-actin, β-tubulin, and drebrin in the growth cone of hiPS neurons have localizations similar to those of rat neurons, as previously reported (Mizui et al., 2009). In addition, the average growth cone area is similar in both neurons, suggesting comparable growth cone functions in both neuronal populations. Furthermore, when we depolymerized F-actins that were not associated with drebrin, actin dynamics were inhibited, and the localizations of F-actin with drebrin intruded to the tip of filopodia similarly in both neuronal populations. This suggests that F-actin function is normal in hiPS neurons. Microtubule function also seems to be normal in hiPS neurons, given that we did not detect any differences in β-tubulin localization in either growth cone. Additionally, interactions between F-actins and microtubules are known to play important roles in growth cone steering (Rodriguez et al., 2003). In growth cones, drebrin interacts with end-binding protein 3 (EB3), a microtubule-binding protein (Geraldo et al., 2008). In both growth cones, microtubules enter the actin arc, where drebrin is present, but not the filopodia, where drebrin is absent. In contrast, in cytochalasin D-treated growth cones, both drebrin and microtubules enter the tip of filopodia in both neurons. Therefore, the interaction between drebrin and EB3 seems to be normal in both neuronal populations.

Comparison of Rat Neurons and hiPS Neurons

The hiPS neurons used in this study were provided by CDI. According to the information provided by CDI, these neurons are from the forebrain and are composed of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons. Indeed, several studies that used iCell neurons showed functional AMPA, NMDA, and GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (Haythornthwaite et al., 2012; Dage et al., 2014). Because glutamate and GABA are the principal transmitters in rat hippocampal neurons (Benson et al., 1994; Craig et al., 1994), it can be assumed that these two neuronal populations contain similar cell types. Consequently, some studies made a comparison between rat neurons and human neurons (Harrill et al., 2011; Alhebshi et al., 2014). Because the hiPS neurons we used in this study have a forebrain identity, we assumed that we could compare rat neurons with the hiPS neurons. This assumption is also supported by a study showing that neurons initially develop in a similar manner, even when chick forebrain neurons and rat hippocampal neurons were compared (Heidemann et al., 2003).

Our subjects were early-stage developmental hiPS neurons, making it difficult to discuss maturation of hiPS neurons compared with maturation of rat neurons at this point. However, it has been reported that hiPS neurons can be cultured long term and can form mature, functional neurons (Odawara et al., 2014). Odawara and colleagues (2014) also reported that hiPS neurons require a longer time to mature. Therefore, our findings of slow axonal maturation in hiPS neurons might be due to slower maturation of hiPS neurons over a longer period. Furthermore, to maintain the hiPS neurons for a longer time, coculture with rat astrocytes seems to be crucial, and physical contact with rat astrocytes is also important. Although our culture system used coculture with rat astrocytes, there was no physical contact. This could inhibit axonal growth. The optimal condition for hiPS neuronal cultures remains poorly understood. Therefore, further studies are required to define the ideal culture conditions, including culture medium constituents, sensitivity of neurons to chemical components, and physical contact with other cells for hiPS neuronal development.

To summarize the results from our study, morphological features during the early developmental stages (stages 1 and 2) are similar between rat and human neurons. However, from stage 3 onward, morphological development of the hiPS neurons is slower, suggesting that axonal differentiation of hiPS neurons occurs but at a slower rate. Because neurite formation of hiPS neurons until stage 2 seems to be similar to that of rat neurons, we might use the same evaluation methods for the neurite formation in assessment of developmental neurotoxicity of medicines. However, we must evaluate axonal polarization and development of hiPS neurons carefully because the standard manner of development has not yet been established.
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