Merkel cell polyomavirus large T antigen binding to pRb promotes skin hyperplasia and tumor development
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Abstract

Clear evidence supports a causal link between Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCPyV) and the highly aggressive human skin cancer called Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC). Integration of viral DNA into the human genome facilitates continued expression of the MCPyV small tumor (ST) and large tumor (LT) antigens in virus-positive MCCs. In MCC tumors, MCPyV LT is truncated in a manner that renders the virus unable to replicate yet preserves the LXCXE motif that facilitates its binding to and inactivation of the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein (pRb). We previously developed a MCPyV transgenic mouse model in which MCC tumor-derived ST and truncated LT expression were targeted to the stratified epithelium of the skin, causing epithelial hyperplasia, increased proliferation, and spontaneous tumorigenesis. We sought to determine if any of these phenotypes required the association between the truncated MCPyV LT and pRb. Mice were generated in which K14-driven MCPyV ST/LT were expressed in the context of a homozygous RbΔLXCXE knock-in allele. We found that many of the phenotypes including tumorigenesis that develop in the K14-driven MCPyV transgenic mice were dependent upon LT’s LXCXE-dependent interaction with pRb. These findings highlight the importance of the MCPyV LT-pRb interaction in an in vivo model for MCPyV-induced tumorigenesis.

Author summary

Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCPyV) causes a highly aggressive form of skin cancer called Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC). In human MCC tumors, two viral proteins are expressed: truncated large T antigen (LT) and small T antigen (ST). It is hypothesized that the
interaction between MCPyV LT and a cellular tumor suppressor protein, the retinoblastoma protein (pRb), is involved in MCPyV-associated MCC development. In this study, we found that the LT-pRb interaction promotes hyperplasia, proliferation, and tumor development in the skin of transgenic mice expressing the MCPyV LT and ST antigens. This is the first study to directly interrogate a function of the MCPyV LT in skin and highlights the importance of its specific interaction with the host protein pRb in promoting cutaneous phenotypes. These results not only provide insight into the mechanisms of the individual T antigen oncoproteins that are potentially important during virus-induced human disease, but also advance our understanding of how functions of the MCPyV T antigens potentiate changes in the skin, a proposed site of MCPyV tissue tropism.

Introduction

Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) is a rare and highly aggressive human cancer associated with several risk factors, including advanced age, light-colored skin, ultraviolet exposure, and immunosuppression [1–3]. In the United States, the incidence of MCC has been predicted to rise in the coming years due to the growing aged population [4]. Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCPyV) was discovered in 2008 when Feng and colleagues identified a polyomavirus clonally integrated in MCC [5]. To date, MCPyV is the only known human polyomavirus causally associated with a human malignancy [6,7]. MCPyV infection is ubiquitous in the human population, largely asymptomatic, and likely occurs during early childhood [8–15]. Because MCPyV is associated with a skin malignancy, can be detected in skin swabs of healthy individuals [16], and can infect and replicate in both keratinocytes and dermal fibroblasts [17–21], the prevailing hypothesis is that MCPyV causes MCC through its infection of cells that normally reside in the skin; however, the cell of origin for MCC has not yet been identified.

In MCPyV-positive MCC tumors, sequences from the early region of the viral DNA genome are clonally integrated into a host cell chromosome, leading to expression of the viral small T (ST) antigen and a truncated form of the viral large T (LT) antigen [5]. The integrated MCPyV T antigens have been implicated in transformation and tumorigenesis in both in vitro and in vivo studies (Reviewed in [7,22,23]). We previously reported that these viral early proteins, together, act as tumor promoters in the skin of K14Cre-MCPyV168 transgenic mice [24]. The importance of the T antigens in MCC tumorigenesis is underscored by the fact that their expression is required for optimal MCC cell growth and proliferation [25–27]. Evidence suggests that ST is more dominant than LT in promoting transformation in standard transformation assays: either alone or in combination with LT, the MCPyV ST protein can transform rodent and human fibroblasts in vitro [25, 28–32], which correlates with its ability to cause hyperphosphorylation of the translation initiation factor eIF4E binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) [31,33]. In MCC cell lines, ST can specifically recruit MYCL and MAX to the EP400 complex to transactivate a large number of genes that contribute to its transforming functions [34]. MCPyV ST, alone, has also been shown to be tumorigenic in transgenic mouse models [31,35–37] and promotes the development of intra-epidermal MCC-like lesions when expressed together with the Merkel cell specification factor atonal homolog 1 (Atoh1) in epithelial cells [36]. More recently, Verhaegen and colleagues have demonstrated the development of MCC with striking phenotypic and molecular similarities to human MCC in mice expressing MCPyV LT and ST together with Atoh1 on a p53-deficient background [35]. On the other
hand, evidence for a robust transforming activity of MCPyV LT has not yet been demonstrated [25,30]. Nevertheless, MCC tumor cell proliferation is reliant on LT expression and function [38,39], suggesting a critical role for MCPyV LT in MCC.

In MCC tumors, integration of the MCPyV genome invariably leads to truncating mutations within the C-terminus of LT [40–42]. The resulting truncated LT proteins typically lack LT’s DNA binding domain and helicase activity, thus preventing viral DNA replication in MCC tumors. However, the truncated LT retains the LXCXE motif within the N-terminus that mediates its interaction with the retinoblastoma protein (pRb) [28,42,43], a member of the pocket protein family of cellular tumor suppressors. Pocket proteins are targeted for inactivation by many oncogenic viruses, leading to cell cycle deregulation and cellular proliferation [44]. The LXCXE motif in the pRb-binding domain of MCPyV LT is required for its interaction with pRb, and mutagenesis of the LXCXE motif abolishes LT’s ability to co-immunoprecipitate pRb-LT complexes in vitro [42]. Several lines of evidence indicate that LT-mediated inactivation of pRb is critical to its ability to promote MCC tumor cell growth and proliferation [25,38,39,45,46]. In a human MCC xenograft model, MCC xenografts regressed when LT expression was silenced and the LXCXE-dependent interaction of LT and pRb was found to be necessary for MCC tumor growth [39]. In immortalized fibroblasts, the association of LT with pRb is critical for its ability to promote cellular proliferation and motility [47]. Sequencing of MCC tumors reveals that MCPyV-negative MCC tumors typically contain mutations that inactivate the RB1 gene; whereas most MCPyV-positive MCCs contain wildtype RB1 gene [46, 48]. MCPyV-positive MCCs also have a lower number of somatic mutations, including other tumor suppressors such as p53, than do MCPyV-negative MCCs. Unlike SV40 LT, which inactivates both pRb and p53, we have shown that MCPyV LT activates p53 by upregulating expression of ARF, which inhibits MDM2, a protein that induces degradation of p53 [49]. In the same study, we demonstrated that co-expression of MCPyV ST reduced p53 activity through activation of MDM2 [49]. Together, these findings support the hypothesis that tumor suppressor inactivation including pRb is key to MCPyV-driven MCC. Recent studies indicate that truncated LT promotes trans-differentiation of MCC precursor cells, at least in part by increasing expression of Atoh1 in an LXCXE-dependent manner [50,51]. Therefore, it is clear that truncated LT and its association with pRb is important in promoting MCC cell growth and proliferation.

We have previously developed a MCPyV transgenic mouse model (K14Cre-MCPyV168), in which expression of both truncated LT and intact ST, as expressed in MCC tumors, is directed to the skin using a keratin 14 (K14) promoter. Their expression led to hyperproliferation, increased expression of MCM7, an E2F-responsive gene, and spontaneous epithelial tumorigenesis in the skin [52]. Because the MCPyV LT-pRb interaction is predicted to increase E2F activity, we sought to determine if the ability of MCPyV to interact with pRb contributes to acute phenotypes and adult-onset tumorigenesis in our K14Cre-MCPyV168 transgenic mice. To do so, we made use of RbΔLXCXE (or RbΔL) knock-in mice, in which three residues within the pRb cleft required for binding LXCXE containing peptides were mutated, rendering the pRb protein incapable of binding LXCXE motif-containing proteins including adenovirus E1A and high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) E7 oncoproteins, but retains other functions of pRb such as its ability to bind and repress E2F transcription factors [53–55]. We have previously used the same RbΔL mice to explore pRb-dependent and pRb-independent functions of another viral protein, HPV16 E7, in vivo [56,57]. Here, we generated K14Cre-MCPyV168-RbΔL mice to determine the specific contribution of the LXCXE-dependent interaction between MCPyV LT antigen and pRb protein to epithelial phenotypes and tumorigenesis observed in K14Cre-MCPyV168 transgenic mice.
Results

The Rb^{\text{ALXCE}} mutation in pRb reduces its interaction with MCPyV LT

We have previously shown that epithelial expression of the MCPyV T antigens in murine skin leads to the development of epithelial hyperplasia, increased proliferation, and spontaneous tumorigenesis, as well as strong induction of E2F-dependent gene expression indicative of LT’s inactivation of the pRb protein [52]. We therefore sought to determine the specific role of MCPyV LT’s interaction with pRb in the development of epithelial phenotypes and tumorigenesis in K14Cre-MCPyV168 MCPyV transgenic mice. To do so, we utilized a knock-in mouse strain (Rb^{\text{ALXCE}} or Rb^{\text{AL}}) that expresses a mutant form of pRb containing three alanine substitutions in the binding cleft that renders the protein unable to bind proteins with the LXCXE motif such as HPV16 E7 [53,54]. The Rb^{\text{ALXCE}} mutant retains binding to cellular E2Fs, induces G1 arrest in pRb-null cells, and is regulated by cyclin D/cdk4 complexes similarly to wild-type pRb [54]. To verify that the mutations present in the mutant Rb^{\text{AL}} protein specifically prevent binding to MCPyV LT, we overexpressed either the wild-type pRb or the mutant pRb^{\text{AL}} protein alone, or with a construct containing the same MCPyV early region DNA sequence expressed in our transgenic mice (MCCw168 early region), in 293FT cells. Using whole cell lysates collected at 48 hours post-transfection from three independent experiments, we immunoprecipitated pRb and performed a western blot for the truncated version of MCPyV LT (Fig 1A). We used densitometry to quantify the amount of LT immunoprecipitated with either the wild-type pRb or the mutant pRb^{\text{AL}} protein (Fig 1B). As shown in both the immunoblot and quantified results, we observed specific co-immunoprecipitation of LT and wild-type pRb. There was a significant reduction in the amount of LT immunoprecipitated with the mutant form of pRb compared to wild-type pRb (direct values for LT/immunoprecipitated pRb: 0.01 vs. 0.49, p = 0.03; normalized to RbWT: 0.12 vs. 1.0, p = 0.001; unpaired t-test). However, we still observed a small amount of LT co-immunoprecipitated with Rb^{\text{AL}}. Therefore, the Rb^{\text{AL}} mutation significantly reduces, but does not completely eliminate, the interaction of Rb with MCPyV LT. Given this result, we used this allele to assess the role of the LT-pRb interaction in promoting epithelial phenotypes in K14Cre-MCPyV168 mice.

We generated MCPyV transgenic mice on either a homozygous pRb wild-type (WT) or pRb mutant (Rb^{\text{AL}}) background by crossing R26-LSL-MCPyV168 with either RbWT or Rb^{\text{AL}} mice. To maintain the pRb status homozygous in all groups, we also generated K14Cre-Rb^{\text{WT/WT}} or K14Cre- Rb^{\text{AL/AL}} mice. As a result, four groups of mice were included in this study (Fig 1C): 1) Rb^{\text{WT/WT}}MCPyV168 +/- (Rb^{\text{WT}}) mice that have a wild-type pRb protein and no MCPyV T antigen expression in the absence of Cre recombinase, 2) K14CreRb^{\text{WT/WT}}MCPyV168 +/- (MCPyV-Rb^{\text{WT}}) mice that have a wild-type pRb protein and no MCPyV T antigen expression in the absence of Cre recombinase, 3) Rb^{\text{AL/AL}}MCPyV168 +/- (Rb^{\text{AL}}) mice that express the mutant pRb protein and no MCPyV T antigen expression, and 4) K14CreRb^{\text{AL/AL}}MCPyV168 +/- (MCPyV-Rb^{\text{AL}}) mice that express the MCPyV T antigens in the stratified epithelium on the mutant pRb background. All mice were on a mixed C57BL/6:FVB/N genetic background. To verify our models, we performed western blots for the MCPyV T antigens using skin lysates prepared from all four groups of mice. As expected, we did not detect T antigen expression in the absence of Cre recombinase in either Rb^{\text{WT}} or Rb^{\text{AL}} mice (Fig 1D). In Cre-positive mice, we observed comparable levels of both ST and truncated LT antigens in the skin of MCPyV-Rb^{\text{WT}} and MCPyV-Rb^{\text{AL}} mice, confirming expression of the MCPyV T antigens in the skin of mice regardless of pRb protein status.
Fig 1. The RbΔL mutation in pRb disrupts its interaction with MCPyV LT but does not affect K14-directed expression of MCPyV T antigens in the skin of mice. A) Co-immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis of the MCPyV large T antigen (LT) and pRb. 293FT cells were transfected with pcDNA-dsRed plasmid (none), pRbWT only, pRbΔL only, a MCPyV-168 ER expression construct expressing the MCPyV168 early region only, or co-transfected with pRbWT + MCPyV168ER or pRbΔL + MCPyV168ER. At 48 hours post-transfection, whole cell lysates were collected. Using an anti-pRb antibody, the pRb protein was immunoprecipitated from a total of 1 mg of total protein lysate. Pre-cleared lysate corresponding to approximately 3% (30 μg) of that used in the immunoprecipitation assay was used as an input control. The input controls and immunoprecipitated complexes were then analyzed by western blotting for pRb (top), the MCPyV LT antigen (middle; Ab3 antibody) and β-actin as a loading control. B) Quantitation of co-immunoprecipitated MCPyV LT protein normalized to the amount of immunoprecipitated pRb protein. Three independent replicates of pRb immunoprecipitation followed by pRb and MCPyV LT
immunoblotting were performed. Using densitometry, the total amount of immunoprecipitated pRb and MCPyV LT co-immunoprecipitated with pRb was quantified. The results are shown as direct values (left) or normalized to pRb-WT (right). Error bars indicate standard deviation. Statistical comparisons were performed using unpaired t-tests and p-values are indicated. Please refer to the text for more information regarding statistical comparisons.

A breeding scheme to generate the four experimental groups of mice included in this study. All groups of mice were generated to remain homozygous for either the Rb WT or Rb ΔLXCXE (RbΔL) alleles. For each group of mice, the abbreviated genotype label is indicated in parentheses. C) Overview of the selection of whole skin lysates isolated from Rb WT-MCPyV168 and Rb ΔL-MCPyV168 transgenic mice alone (-Cre) or crossed with K14Cre (+Cre). Skin lysates (50 μg total protein) were analyzed for MCPyV large T (LT) expression with the Ab3 antibody, small T antigen expression (ST) with the Ab5 antibody, and COX IV as a loading control.

Overt phenotypes and epithelial hyperplasia are significantly reduced, but not eliminated, in the skin of MCPyV-RbΔL mice

In our previously reported study, we observed that epithelial expression of the MCPyV T antigens induced several gross phenotypes including small body size, ruffled pelage, hyperplastic skin, and acanthosis or skin thickening that was particularly evident on the ears [52]. In a mixed C57BL/6 and FVB/N genetic background, many of these overt phenotypes resolved by approximately 2 months of age. Because our current study involves mice on a mixed genetic background, we assessed 3-week-old weanlings from all four groups of mice for the development of overt phenotypes (Fig 2A). In the absence of MCPyV T antigen expression, both Rb WT and Rb ΔL mice appeared normal. In K14CreRb WT-MCPyV168 mice expressing the MCPyV T antigens on a wild-type pRb background (MCPyV-Rb WT), we observed severe epithelial phenotypes, including small body size, ruffled fur, and thickened skin, consistent with our previous study. Remarkably, these overt phenotypes were almost completely absent in K14CreRb AL-MCPyV168 mice expressing MCPyV T antigens on the Rb ΔL background (MCPyV-Rb ΔL), despite the continued expression of MCPyV LT and ST in the skin (Fig 1D). These results indicate that the LXCXE-dependent interaction between truncated MCPyV LT and pRb is a prominent driver of overt phenotypes in our MCPyV transgenic mice.

We harvested ear tissue from 3-week-old mice from all four groups to evaluate the histopathology of the skin. We imaged hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections from these samples (Fig 2B) and used the resulting images to quantify epithelial hyperplasia (Fig 2C). The total number of suprabasal and basal cells comprising the full thickness of the stratified epithelium of ear skin was counted in samples harvested from Rb WT (n = 6), MCPyV-Rb WT (n = 6), Rb ΔL (n = 5), and MCPyV-Rb ΔL (n = 8) mice. The number of suprabasal cells were divided by the number of basal cells to calculate the suprabasal:basal ratio and assess the degree of epithelial hyperplasia for each sample. In the absence of MCPyV T antigen expression, there was no significant difference in the suprabasal:basal ratios in Rb WT and Rb ΔL skin (0.64 ± 0.09 vs. 0.67 ± 0.05; p = 0.66), indicating that the Rb ΔL allele, even in a homozygous state, did not affect epithelial hyperplasia. This observation is consistent with our previous findings that the Rb ΔL mutation does not affect epithelial thickness [57]. In contrast, we observed a significant increase in epithelial hyperplasia in MCPyV-Rb WT skin expressing the MCPyV T antigens on a wild-type pRb background compared to Rb WT skin (2.62 ± 0.25 vs. 0.64 ± 0.09; p = 0.002), and this difference was readily apparent by histopathological analysis of the tissues. Although less visually pronounced, we also observed that MCPyV T antigen expression on the mutant pRb background (MCPyV-Rb ΔL) also induced a significant increase in epithelial hyperplasia compared to Rb ΔL mice (0.67 ± 0.05 vs. 1.01 ± 0.17; p = 0.002). However, the suprabasal:basal ratio was significantly reduced in MCPyV-Rb ΔL skin compared to MCPyV-Rb WT skin (1.01 ± 0.17 vs 2.62 ± 0.25; p = 0.0007). These results indicate that the LXCXE-dependent pRb-LT interaction in murine skin contributes significantly to epithelial hyperplasia.
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**A.**

| Rb<sup>WT</sup> | MCPyV-Rb<sup>WT</sup> | Rb<sup>DL</sup> | MCPyV-Rb<sup>DL</sup> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|

**B.**

| Rb<sup>WT</sup> | MCPyV-Rb<sup>WT</sup> | Rb<sup>DL</sup> | MCPyV-Rb<sup>DL</sup> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|

**C.**

Average Suprabasal/Basal Ratio

| Rb<sup>WT</sup> | MCPyV-Rb<sup>WT</sup> | Rb<sup>DL</sup> | MCPyV-Rb<sup>DL</sup> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
MCPyV T antigen-induced cellular proliferation is significantly reduced but not eliminated, whereas E2F-dependent gene expression is mostly retained in the skin of MCPyV-Rb\textsuperscript{AL} mice

In our previous studies, we found that epithelial expression of the MCPyV T antigens significantly increases cellular proliferation as measured by DNA replication via BrdU incorporation \cite{52}. Therefore, we sought to determine the impact of MCPyV T antigen expression on cellular proliferation on a wild-type versus mutant pRb background by injecting mice with BrdU 1 hour prior to sacrifice. Using tissue samples from the same mice used to evaluate epithelial hyperplasia \cite{52}, we performed immunohistochemistry for BrdU on ear tissue sections (Fig 3A) and quantified the average percentage of total, basal, and suprabasal BrdU incorporation (Fig 3B) in all four groups of mice. As expected based on our prior studies \cite{52}, in tissues from MCPyV-Rb\textsuperscript{WT} mice compared to Rb\textsuperscript{WT} mice, we observed a significant increase in the average percentage of total (15.0% vs. 2.3%; \(p = 0.002\)), basal (22.9% vs. 3.4%; \(p = 0.002\)), and suprabasal (12.1% vs. 0.08%; \(p = 0.002\)) BrdU-positive cells in the stratified epithelium of the ear skin. Likewise, we measured a significant increase in the average percentage of total (4.89% vs. 2.69%; \(p = 0.0007\)) and basal (8.57% vs. 4.01%; \(p = 0.002\)) BrdU-positive cells in MCPyV-Rb\textsuperscript{AL} tissues compared to Rb\textsuperscript{AL} tissues. Interestingly, there was no significant increase in the average percentage of suprabasal BrdU-positive cells in MCPyV-Rb\textsuperscript{AL} skin compared to Rb\textsuperscript{AL} skin (1.13% vs. 0.65%; \(p = 0.35\)). While the MCPyV T antigens appeared to still be capable of increasing the average percentage of total and basal BrdU-positive cells on the Rb\textsuperscript{AL} background, it is notable that the overall percentages of total (4.89% vs. 15.04%; \(p = 0.0007\)), basal (8.57% vs. 22.87%; \(p = 0.0007\)), and suprabasal (1.13% vs. 12.14%; \(p = 0.0007\)) BrdU-positive cells in MCPyV-Rb\textsuperscript{AL} skin were still significantly lower than those observed in MCPyV-Rb\textsuperscript{WT} mice. These results indicate that the LXCXE-dependent pRb-LT interaction is important to the induction of cellular proliferation in the skin of mice, particularly in the suprabasal layer of the stratified epithelia.

Our previous studies found that K14-directed expression of the MCPyV T antigens significantly increased E2F-dependent gene expression as measured by an E2F-dependent gene, MCM7 \cite{52}. MCM7 protein expression is normally restricted to the basal cells of the stratified epithelium in wild-type skin. However, MCPyV T antigen expression causes strong MCM7 expression throughout the full thickness of stratified epithelium. Given its nature as an E2F-dependent gene and thus its strong link to pRb inactivation by polyomavirus T antigens, we hypothesized that the induction and reorganization of MCM7 expression was dependent on the MCPyV-LT interaction with pRb. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed the pattern of MCM7 protein expression in ear tissues harvested from Rb\textsuperscript{WT}, MCPyV-Rb\textsuperscript{WT}, Rb\textsuperscript{AL}, and MCPyV-Rb\textsuperscript{AL} mice using immunohistochemistry (Fig 3C). As expected, MCM7 protein
Fig 3. MCPyV T antigen-induced cellular proliferation and E2F-dependent gene expression are significantly reduced, but not eliminated, in the skin of MCPyV-Rb<sup>WT</sup> mice. A) Ear tissues harvested from Rb<sup>WT</sup>, MCPyV-Rb<sup>WT</sup>, Rb<sup>ΔL</sup>, and MCPyV-Rb<sup>ΔL</sup> mice were analyzed using immunohistochemistry for BrdU and counterstained with hematoxylin (bottom). BrdU-positive cells contain brown nuclei. The black line indicates the basement membrane between the epithelium and the underlying dermis. Corresponding representative H&E-stained images are included as a reference (top). All scale bars = 100 μm. B) For BrdU quantitation, basal cells were defined as any cell in contact with the basement membrane. Suprabasal cells included all other cells within the stratified epithelium that were not touching the basement membrane. An image is shown with brackets identifying the suprabasal layer and a line pointing to the basal layer. The number of total, basal, and suprabasal BrdU-positive cells in the stratified epithelium were counted in 10 fields of view per slide from each mouse per group. These values were then divided by the total number of epithelial cells in each sample to calculate the percentage of BrdU-positive cells, and these values were then averaged. An average of the averages was then calculated for each group of mice. Error bars indicate standard deviation. A Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was used to compare values among groups. Asterisks indicate statistical significance (**p<0.002, ***p<0.0007) and ‘ns’ means non-significant. Please refer to the text for more information regarding statistical comparisons. C) Ear tissues harvested from Rb<sup>WT</sup>, MCPyV-Rb<sup>WT</sup>, Rb<sup>ΔL</sup>, and MCPyV-Rb<sup>ΔL</sup> mice were analyzed using immunohistochemistry for the MCM7 protein and counterstained with hematoxylin (bottom). Insets are enlarged images to highlight suprabasal cells staining positive for MCM7 (black arrowheads). All scale bars = 100 μm. D) E2F-luciferase assay results from primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) isolated from Rb<sup>WT</sup> and Rb<sup>ΔL</sup> mice transfected with viral protein effectors. MEFs from litter-matched Rb<sup>WT</sup> and Rb<sup>ΔL</sup> embryos from two different litters were used: RbWT MEFs-1 and RbΔL MEFs-1 (dark blue and maroon, respectively) and RbWT MEFs-2 and RbΔL MEFs-2 (light blue and pink, respectively). Cells were transfected with an E2F-luciferase reporter construct (6XE2F; Firefly luciferase) only, or co-transfected with 6XE2F reporter plus SV40 large T antigen (LT), the MCPyV LT, or human papillomavirus 16 (HPV16) E7 protein. In all conditions, cells were co-transfected with a control plasmid expressing Renilla luciferase that was used to normalize for transfection efficiency. At 48 hours post-transfection, a dual-luciferase assay was performed to quantify firefly and Renilla luciferase expression in each condition. Following normalization of all luciferase values (firefly/Renilla), the values in cells only transfected with the reporter (6XE2F Only) were set to 1.0 and all other values were compared to this value within each
expression was restricted to the basal cell layer of the stratified epithelium in Rb\textsuperscript{WT} mice and we observed a similar pattern of expression in Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} mice, indicating that the mutations in the pocket domain of Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} do not affect the MCM7 protein expression pattern. Consistent with our previous observations, there was strong induction and reorganization of MCM7 protein expression in the skin of MCPyV-Rb\textsuperscript{WT} mice. While its intensity was slightly reduced, MCM7 expression was present in basal cells and suprabasal cells throughout the full thickness of the stratified epithelium in MCPyV-Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} skin (Fig 3C; inset and black arrowheads). These results were somewhat unexpected but may reflect either the residual weak interaction between LT and Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} that we observed in our co-immunoprecipitation experiments (Fig 1A and 1B) or a heretofore unknown role in E2F-dependent gene expression induced by the continued expression of ST in MCPyV-Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} mice (Fig 1D).

To quantify the induction of E2F-dependent genes in cells expressing either Rb\textsuperscript{WT} or Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} and MCPyV LT, we performed \textit{in vitro} luciferase assays. We utilized a reporter containing six E2F consensus sites upstream of the firefly luciferase gene (6XE2F) \cite{58} to measure the ability of MCPyV LT to induce luciferase activity in primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) isolated from matched wild-type or Rb\textsuperscript{ΔΔL} littermates \cite{55, 59}. Rb\textsuperscript{WT} and Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} MEFs from two independent litters were transfected with 6XE2F reporter alone, or co-transfected with a construct expressing the MCPyV truncated LT that is expressed in our MCPyV transgenic mice. We also co-transfected cells with either HPV16 E7 protein or SV40 LT, other viral effector proteins that target the pRb protein for inactivation and thus induce E2F-dependent genes. All cells were also co-transfected with a \textit{Renilla} luciferase construct to serve as a control to normalize for transfection efficiency. At 48 hours post-transfection, dual-luciferase assays were performed to quantify luciferase activity and normalized values were set relative to cells with reporter only. The luciferase activity levels achieved with the 6XE2F reporter in primary MEFs in this study, which are lower than other stronger luciferase reporter constructs, are comparable to levels achieved in other studies using this reporter \cite{60, 61}.

In Rb\textsuperscript{WT} MEFs co-transfected with the 6XE2F reporter and MCPyV LT, we observed an approximately 3-fold induction in luciferase over reporter alone, which was significantly reduced in Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} MEFs (Fig 3D). This reduction was evident in both sets of matched littermate MEF cell strains expressing MCPyV LT (Rb\textsuperscript{WT} vs Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} MEFs-1 (n = 6): p = 0.01; MEFs-2 (n = 3): p = 0.003; unpaired t-tests). We observed similar reductions in E2F-driven luciferase activity in Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} MEFs compared Rb\textsuperscript{WT} MEFs expressing HPV16E7 (Rb\textsuperscript{WT} vs Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} MEFs-1 (n = 3): p = 0.01; MEFs-2 (n = 3): p = 0.02; unpaired t-tests) and SV40 LT (Rb\textsuperscript{WT} vs Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} MEFs-1 (n = 6): p = 0.09; MEFs-2 (n = 3): p = 0.06; unpaired t-tests) although the reduction in SV40 LT-expressing MEFs did not reach statistical significance. While luciferase activity was significantly reduced in Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} MEFs expressing MCPyV LT, it was not reduced to the level seen in cells expressing the reporter only. Together, these \textit{in vitro} results indicate that the reduced ability of MCPyV LT to bind the Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} mutant significantly reduces, but does not completely eliminate, E2F-driven gene expression.

**The LXCXE-dependent interaction between pRb and the MCPyV large T antigen is required for spontaneous tumor development in murine skin**

We previously reported that K14Cre-MCPyV168 (same as MCPyV-Rb\textsuperscript{WT} mice in this study) transgenic mice spontaneously develop benign tumors or papillomas on the skin \cite{52}. The
development of tumors was only observed in transgenic mice that were maintained on a pure FVB/N genetic background. Therefore, to determine the role of LT-pRb interactions in spontaneous skin tumorigenesis, we backcrossed the original RbΔL transgenic mice from the C57BL/6 genetic background to the FVB/N genetic background for at least 10 generations. We then generated the same four groups of mice (Fig 1C) on the FVB/N genetic background. Consistent with our previous observations (Fig 2A), the MCPyV-RbWT mice developed overt epithelial phenotypes that were largely absent in MCPyV-RbΔL mice (Fig 4A). As expected based on our prior studies [52], a proportion of MCPyV-RbWT mice developed skin papillomas on the dorsal skin and areas surrounding their hind legs (Fig 4A). Some of these papillomas persisted while some spontaneously regressed, again consistent with our previous observations [52]. We monitored mice over the course of 6 months and counted the number of mice per group that ever developed spontaneous tumors over this time course. Out of 19 total MCPyV-RbWT mice that were monitored, 58% (n = 11/19) spontaneously developed skin tumors, whereas 42% (n = 8/19) never developed observable or palpable skin tumors (Fig 4B; top) over the 6-month period. Strikingly, all of the MCPyV-RbΔL mice (100%; n = 13/13) were tumor-free and never developed skin tumors (Fig 4B; bottom). The difference in tumor incidence between MCPyV-RbWT and MCPyV-RbΔL mice was highly significant (p = 0.0006; two-sided Fisher’s Exact Test). These results indicate that the LXCXE-dependent interaction between pRb and

Fig 4. The interaction between pRb and the MCPyV large T antigen is required for spontaneous tumor development in murine skin. A) Representative images of MCPyV-RbWT (top) and MCPyV-RbΔL (bottom) mice on the FVB/N genetic background at 6 months of age. The black arrowheads highlight two representative spontaneous skin tumors arising on the skin of MCPyV-RbWT mice. All photographs were taken at the same distance from each subject and therefore reflect the same magnitude. B) Pie charts indicate spontaneous tumor incidence in the skin of MCPyV-RbWT (top) and MCPyV-RbΔL (bottom) mice on the FVB/N genetic background that developed over the course of 6 months. The number of mice in each condition is shown in parentheses.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010551.g004
the MCPyV LT antigen is required for spontaneous tumor development in the skin of MCPyV transgenic mice.

**Discussion**

Despite strong evidence that the human polyomavirus MCPyV is causally associated with Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) [22], the relationships between MCPyV and the skin are not yet fully understood. This ongoing area of research has provided insight in recent years, particularly through the use of transgenic mouse models that target expression of those viral proteins implicated in MCC carcinogenesis, truncated LT and ST antigens, to the skin [31,36,37,52]. In the study presented here, we utilized our previously developed MCPyV transgenic mice to explore the role of the LXCXE-dependent interaction between the truncated LT antigen and the retinoblastoma protein (pRb), a host tumor suppressor protein, in the development of cutaneous phenotypes and epithelial tumors. By performing these studies in an in vivo system where both MCPyV T antigens are expressed in the skin, we were able to specifically manipulate a function of LT antigen while avoiding disruption of ST functions. We took advantage of a genetically engineered knock-in mouse line that expresses a mutant pRb protein (RbΔLXCXE) that is defective in binding to proteins via the LXCXE motif [53–55]. By using the very specific and targeted approach provided by RbΔLXCXE mice, we were able to circumvent the potential pleiotropic and off-target effects associated with germline pRb knockout models and avoid introducing mutations within LT protein that may alter other viral protein functions. Consistent with previous reports, [39,42], we found that the LXCXE motif is required for robust LT-pRb interaction and the mutations present in the mutant RbΔLXCXE protein greatly reduce LT binding (Fig 1A and 1B). We discovered that the development of severe overt cutaneous phenotypes (Fig 2A), suprabasal BrdU incorporation indicative of cellular proliferation (Fig 3A and 3B), and spontaneous epithelial tumorigenesis (Fig 4) in MCPyV transgenic mice were dependent on the LXCXE-mediated interaction between truncated LT and pRb. The LT-pRb interaction also significantly contributed to epithelial hyperplasia (Fig 2B and 2C) and basal cell proliferation (Fig 3A and 3B). Interestingly, we still observed a reorganized spatial pattern of E2F-dependent gene expression in the skin of MCPyV transgenic mice on the mutant pRb background (Fig 3C). This study reveals that, in K14Cre-MCPyV168 mice, the majority of the MCPyV T antigen-induced overt cutaneous phenotypes, histopathological changes, and epithelial tumorigenesis are either exclusively or in large part attributable to the LXCXE-dependent interaction between truncated LT and the tumor suppressor pRb.

This is the first study to directly interrogate a specific function of and identify phenotypes attributable to the MCPyV truncated LT antigen in skin in vivo. Various MCPyV transgenic mouse models have been developed that express ST antigen only [31,37], truncated LT only [36], or combined expression of truncated LT and ST [36,52]. In some of these models, ST antigen was identified to be the more potent viral oncoprotein in murine skin. For instance, individual expression of ST is oncogenic in the stratified epithelium of pre-term embryos and adult mice [37] and in the spleen and liver under p53-null conditions [31] and can stimulate Merkel cell proliferation when expressed in Atoh1-positive cells [31]. Moreover, co-expression of ST and Atoh1 in the epithelium was sufficient to facilitate the development of intraepidermal MCC-like lesions in murine skin [36]. More recently, a murine model was reported in which full-blown MCC tumors developed in the skin of mice expressing MCPyV truncated LT and ST together with Atoh1 expression and loss of p53 [35]. Epithelial-specific expression of ST alone also induced many of the same phenotypes we have observed in K14Cre-MCPyV168 mice expressing ST and LT in the stratified squamous epithelium, including hyperplasia, increased proliferation, and activation of the DNA damage response [31,36,37,52]. However,
our findings that most of the phenotypes that develop in our transgenic model are exclusively or in large part driven by LT, even in the presence of continued ST expression, seems to be distinct from results observed by Verhaegen and colleagues. In their report, individual expression of truncated LT did not result in the development of any discernible phenotypes, nor did LT enhance phenotypes in ST mice or ST+Atoh1 mice, leading them to conclude that truncated LT is dispensable for phenotypes and MCC-like tumor development in their model system [36]. There are several possible explanations for these disparate findings regarding the role of LT in transgenic mice. First, it is unlikely these differences are a result of using LT sequences from different MCC tumor-derived MCPyV genomes as transgenes, because both studies used MCPyV DNA derived from the same MCCw168 tumor specimen [28]. One explanation is that Verhaegen et al. performed their studies in a panel of pre-term embryos whereas our studies were performed in older mice (3 weeks to 6 months of age). It is possible that certain LT-induced phenotypes develop only after prolonged expression in the skin and were absent in pre-term embryos. Another key difference is that these studies were performed using transgenic mice on either mixed (FVB/N and C57BL/6; Figs 1–3) or pure FVB/N genetic backgrounds (Fig 4) whereas other groups perform studies using mice on pure C57BL/6 transgenic background. FVB/N mice carry a polymorphic variant of the Ptch1 gene that predisposes them to spontaneous and oncogene-induced squamous carcinoma of the skin [62]. Therefore, it is possible that MCPyV viral oncogenes, and perhaps LT specifically, cooperate with the Hedgehog signaling pathway to induce epithelial phenotypes and tumorigenesis in a unique manner on the FVB/N genetic background. Finally, the contrasting results may simply reflect differences between transgenic models in the relative expression of the MCPyV T antigens in the skin due to the different genetic strategies used to target expression.

While many phenotypes in K14Cre-MCPyV168 transgenic mice were either significantly or entirely reduced on the RbΔL background, some of them were not completely eliminated. Epithelial hyperplasia (Fig 2C) and total cell and basal cell proliferation (Fig 3B) were still significantly increased in MCPyV-RbΔL mice compared to RbΔL mice. We also found that aberrant expression of MCM7, an E2F-dependent gene, was largely retained in suprabasal layers of skin from MCPyV-RbΔL mice (Fig 3C). There are several potential explanations for these persistent phenotypes in the absence of robust LT-pRb binding. The most straightforward explanation is that they result from the retained, albeit weak, binding between RbΔL and LT (Fig 1A). The mutations present in RbΔL were identified using the crystal structure of an HPV E7 peptide containing its LXCXE motif bound to pRb, and therefore were designed to specifically eliminate binding of pRb to E7 [54]. Subsequent studies by Dick et al. identified other residues outside of the LXCXE binding pocket that contribute to pRb-E7 interactions, including a conserved lysine patch that, when mutated, not only disrupted the interaction between pRb and E7, but also disrupted the interaction with the LT from another polyomavirus, SV40 [53]. Another group independently and similarly found that this lysine patch contributes to the interaction between pRb and SV40 LT [63]. It is possible that MCPyV LT is more reliant upon these additional residues outside of the LXCXE binding pocket than either HPV E7 or adenovirus E1A. While it is unclear whether MCPyV LT interacts with pRb in the exact manner as SV40 LT, these observations suggest that there are regions outside of the LXCXE binding cleft of pRb that could allow some level of continued interaction between MCPyV LT and the pRbΔL mutant and allow phenotype development and suprabasal E2F-dependent gene expression in MCPyV-RbΔL mice. Considering this evidence, it is worth noting that our E2F luciferase assay results (Fig 3D) showed, albeit in vitro, that a continued low level of E2F-dependent gene expression occurs in pRbΔL MEFs expressing MCPyV LT, as well as SV40 LT and HPV16 E7. It is also possible that other E2F regulators are involved. Although it has been reported that MCPyV LT only binds pRb and not the other pocket proteins p107
and p130 [38], it is possible that LT interacts with the other pocket proteins under different conditions or in vivo.

There are other plausible explanations for the residual phenotypes in MCPyV-RbΔL mice, including the continued co-expression and function of ST. Both hyperplasia and increased proliferation are well-documented phenotypes associated with epithelial ST expression in murine skin [31,37]. However, it is notable that the LT-pRb binding in MCPyV-RbWT mice significantly increased both hyperplasia and proliferation compared to MCPyV-RbΔL where LT-pRb binding is reduced but ST expression is unaffected, indicating that ST function plays a minor role in promoting these phenotypes in this model. While our current results suggest the LXCXE-dependent interaction with pRb is the predominant function associated with the ability of LT to induce phenotypes in murine skin, the interaction of LT with other cellular proteins may also contribute to phenotypes in K14Cre-MCPyV168 mice. For instance, LT binds to USP7 [64] and Vam6p [65], and although these interactions were proposed to contribute to viral replication, it is possible they may also contribute to oncogenic development in vivo. There are several other additional functions and domains of LT [66] that may also play a role. Finally, it is possible that the residual phenotypes in MCPyV-RbΔL mice arise from the effects of the RbΔL mutation itself, which have been reported to include disruptions to heterochromatin structure that lead to aneuploidy and genomic instability [55]. However, we find this to be unlikely as we did not observe similar residual phenotypes in RbΔL mice in the absence of MCPyV T antigens.

Our finding that the LXCXE-dependent interaction between truncated LT and pRb is a significant contributor to many of the T antigen-induced overt and molecular phenotypes that develop in the skin of K14Cre-MCPyV168 transgenic mice is consistent with the documented importance of MCPyV LT in MCC biology. In vitro, LT is more important than ST in the maintenance of MCC cell proliferation and survival [25] and this ability to promote MCC cell growth is dependent on an intact LXCXE Rb-binding motif [39]. These findings were replicated in immortalized human fibroblasts, where Richards et al. found that truncated LT promotes proliferation in an LXCXE-dependent manner [47]. These LT functions are aligned with our results in vivo showing that epithelial hyperplasia (Fig 2), increased epithelial cell proliferation (Fig 3), and spontaneous tumorigenesis (Fig 4) are largely or completely dependent on the LXCXE-dependent interaction between LT and pRb in murine skin. Binding of LT to pRb via the LXCXE motif also contributes to the vast majority of LT-induced gene expression changes in human fibroblasts in vitro [47], and it would be interesting to explore whether the same is true in epithelial cells of the skin in vivo. In addition to its role in promoting proliferation and broad changes in host gene expression, there is growing evidence that LT is involved in the induction of key Merkel cell lineage genes in vitro. Expression of MCPyV LT and ST in keratinocytes increases early Merkel cell markers keratin 8 and keratin 18 [67] and additional studies have more specifically implicated LT as the viral protein responsible for inducing Merkel cell-associated genes. Expression of LT increases ATOH1 gene transcription in fibroblasts [50] and also stabilizes Atoh1 protein levels in HEK293 and U2OS cells [67]. Furthermore, truncated LT increases Sox2 expression in an LXCXE-dependent manner, and this in turn increases Atoh1 expression in keratinocytes [51]. While this collection of in vitro evidence is compelling, we have yet to observe conclusive results demonstrating that epithelial expression of the MCPyV T antigens increases Merkel cell markers in K14Cre-MCPyV168 transgenic skin tissue samples. Notably, epithelial-targeted expression of ST and/or LT antigens also failed to induce the Merkel cell markers K8 and Sox2 in other transgenic models in the absence of Atoh1 co-expression [36]. It is possible that the mechanisms underlying T antigen mediated induction of Merkel cell-related gene expression in vivo differ from those in vitro, or there are factors present in human but not mouse cells that render the MCPyV T antigens sufficient to...
induce Merkel cell gene expression in keratinocytes. Additional studies are warranted to explore these differences. In conclusion, our results illuminate the importance of the specific interaction between the MCPyV LT antigen and the pRb tumor suppressor protein in promoting cutaneous phenotypes in an in vivo transgenic model of MCPyV T antigen expression. This is the first study to directly interrogate a function of the MCPyV LT in skin in an in vivo context and our results provide important insight into functions of the individual T antigens in a proposed site of MCPyV tissue tropism. Future studies utilizing both existing and continuously evolving transgenic mouse models of MCPyV T antigen expression provide exceptional opportunities to further characterize the relationship between these proposed viral oncoproteins, the skin, and virus-induced disease.

Materials and methods

**Ethics statement**

All animal experiments were performed in full compliance with standards outlined in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals by the Laboratory Animal Resources (LAR) as specified by the Animal Welfare Act (AWA) and Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare (OLAW) and approved by the Governing Board of the National Research Council (NRC). Mice were housed at the McArdle Laboratory Animal Care Unit in strict accordance with guidelines approved by the Association for Assessment of Laboratory Animal Care (AALAC), at the University of Wisconsin Medical School. All protocols for animal work were approved by the University of Wisconsin Medical School Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC; approved protocol number M005871).

**Transgenic mice**

The ROSA26-LSL-MCPyV168 transgenic mice have been described previously [52]. Briefly, these transgenic mice contain a conditional allele in which the MCPyV early region, isolated from MCC tumor specimen MCCw168 (MCPyV168; GenBank: KC426954.1), was cloned downstream of a region containing the triple SV40 polyadenylation sequences that function as transcription terminators (stop) flanked by two loxP sites (loxP-stop-loxP, or LSL). The LSL, MCPyV168, and a bovine growth hormone polyadenylation terminator was cloned into the pROSA26PA plasmid. To express the MCPyV T antigens in the skin, ROSA26-LSL-MCPyV168 were crossed with transgenic mice expressing Cre recombinase driven by the human keratin 14 (Krt14 or K14) promoter (K14Cre). Both ROSA26-LSL-MCPyV168 and K14Cre mice were maintained on the FVB/N murine genetic background. The Rb\(^{ΔLXCXE}\) (also known as Rb\(^{ΔL}\)) mice have been previously described [55]. The Rb\(^{ΔL}\) transgenic mice contain a knock-in allele that contains 3 alanine mutations in the murine retinoblastoma protein (pRb) binding cleft (I746A, N750A, M754A) that are equivalent to the residues in human pRb shown to block LXCXE-mediated interactions (I753A, N757A, M761A) [54]. The Rb\(^{ΔL}\) mice were maintained on a mixed C57BL/6 and FVB/N genetic background. All studies except tumorigenesis studies were performed on a mixed genetic background with all experimental groups being bred to contain the same level of genetic heterogeneity of FVB/N and C57BL/6 genetic backgrounds. To perform tumorigenesis studies, the Rb\(^{ΔL}\) mice were backcrossed onto the FVB/N genetic background for at least 10 generations and then used to regenerate the four groups of mice indicated in Fig 1C.

**Genotyping**

All transgenic mice used in these studies were verified by PCR genotyping. Genomic DNA was isolated from tail snips and resuspended in water. Separate PCR reactions were used to identify
the wild-type or recombinant ROSA26 allele, presence of the Cre recombinase gene, and the Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL} transgenes. PCR products were evaluated using agarose gel electrophoresis. The following primers were used for genotyping: P1 (5’-AAA GTC GCT CTG AGT TGT TAT-3’), P2 (5’-GGG AAG AGT TTG TCC TCA-3’) and P3 (5’-AGC GGG AGA AAT GGA TAT-3’) specific for the ROSA26 allele; 3069 (5’-TTC CTC AGG AGT GTC TTC GC-3’) and 3070 (5’-GTC CAT GTC CTT CCT GAA GC-3’) for K14Cre; FD134 (5’-AGC TTC ATA CAG ATA GTT GGG-3’) and FD135 (5’-CAC ACA AAT CCC CAT ACC TAT G-3’) for Rb\textsuperscript{ΔL}. All primers were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA).

**Tissue procurement/processing**

One hour prior to sacrifice, mice were treated with 100mg/kg 5-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) by intraperitoneal injection. Mice were euthanized using CO\textsubscript{2} asphyxiation followed by cervical dislocation and expiration was confirmed by verifying respiratory arrest. Ear tissue was harvested and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Tissues were then histologically processed, paraffin-embedded and serial section at 5\textmu m thickness. Every 10th section was stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

**Immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis**

The 293FT cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. For immunoprecipitation assays, 293FT cells were plated at a density of 1.5 x 10\textsuperscript{5} cells/mL in 10 cm dishes approximately 24 hours prior to transfection in culture media without antibiotics. For overexpressing pRb in vitro, pCMV promoter expression constructs pFAD102 (RbWT) and pFAD139 (Rb\textsuperscript{ΔLXCXE}) that contain the wild-type pRb or mutant (I753A, N757A, M761A) human pRb cDNA, respectively, have been described previously [54]. To overexpress the MCPyV T antigens, we used the mammalian expression vector pLenti6.3-MCPyV168ER, a construct that contains the same DNA sequence of the MCPyV early region expressed in Rosa26-LSL-MCPyV168 transgenic mice that was PCR amplified and introduced into the pLenti6.3 lentiviral expression vector (Thermo Fisher; Waltham, MA) via gateway cloning. Using the manufacturer’s protocol, 20 \mu g of DNA was transfected in single-construct conditions and 24 \mu g (12 \mu g of each plasmid) total DNA transfected in co-transfections using Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent (Thermo Fisher; Waltham, MA). One condition included transfection of a pCMV-GFP construct that was used to survey for green cells and determine successful transfection, which were evident at both 24 and 48 hours post-transfection. At 48 hours post-transfection, whole cell lysates were collected in a total of 1 mL lysis buffer that had been previously used to co-immunoprecipitate MCPyV LT and pRb in 293 cells (50 mM Tris-HCl, 0.15 M NaCl, 1% Triton-X100, pH 7.4; supplemented with protease/phosphatase inhibitors) [42]. Protein concentrations of whole cell lysates were determined using BioRad Protein Assay reagent (BioRad, Hercules, CA). Approximately 500 \mu g of total protein in a total volume of 1 mL was pre-cleared by incubating with normal rabbit serum (Vector Laboratories; Burlingame, CA) followed by incubation with 40 \mu L Protein A/G Plus-agarose (sc-2003) and rotating at 4°C. Beads were removed by centrifugation at 2500 rpm, leaving the pre-cleared lysate (“input”), of which 30 \mu g was reserved to load as an input control. The remaining pre-cleared lysate was then incubated for 1.5 hours at 4°C with 2 \mu g anti-pRb antibody (clone H-2; sc-74570; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) with constant rotation. To immunoprecipitate immune complexes, 20 \mu L of Protein A/G Plus-agarose beads were added to each sample and rotated overnight at 4°C. Beads were collected by centrifugation at 2500 rpm, followed by four washes with lysis buffer. Beads were resuspended in SDS loading buffer, boiled for 5 minutes, resolved using SDS-PAGE electrophoresis with 10% gels poured.
using TGX Stain-Free FastCast Acrylamide solutions (BioRad; Hercules, CA). Following electrophoresis, immunoblots were performed using the methods detailed below.

Whole tissue lysates were prepared by mincing mouse skin samples that had been snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. RIPA lysis buffer supplemented with protease/phosphatase inhibitor cocktail was used for tissue lysis on ice. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 30 minutes. Protein concentrations of whole cell or whole tissue lysates were determined using BioRad Protein Assay reagent (BioRad, Hercules, CA). Equivalent concentrations of protein (50 μg skin lysates) were resolved using precast polyacrylamide gels (Mini-Protean TGX AnyKD or Mini-Protean TGX 4–20% gradient gels; BioRad, Hercules, CA). Resolved proteins were then transferred to 0.45 μM nitrocellulose membrane (Whatman Protran BA85; GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA). Following transfer, membranes were stained with 0.1% Ponceau S in 1% acetic acid to verify equal loading and successful transfer of proteins and then blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in TBS-BGT (Tris-buffered saline containing BSA and glycine supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20). Separated and immobilized proteins were analyzed using the following primary antibodies at the indicated dilutions: β-actin (1:5000; Sigma, St. Louis, MO), COXIV (1:1000; ab33985; Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom), MCPyV LT (Ab3) (1:5000;[28, 68]), MCPyV small/LT (Ab5) (1:5000;[28]), and pRb (1:200; sc-74570 clone H-2; Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX). To visualize immune complexes, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:10,000; Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA) and chemiluminescent substrate (Clarity ECL; BioRad, Hercules, CA) were applied to membranes, followed by exposure on a ChemiDoc Gel Imaging system (BioRad, Hercules, CA). All washes were performed with TBS-BGT, and blots were stripped with 0.2 M sodium hydroxide where applicable.

To quantify the relative amount of LT immunoprecipitated with pRb, densitometry analysis was performed on immunoblots from three independent co-immunoprecipitation experiments using ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD). Using images of anti-pRb and anti-LT immunoblots of pRb immunoprecipitates, the density of bands corresponding to immunoprecipitated pRb were quantified from immunoblots from three independent experiments. Likewise, the density of bands corresponding to co-immunoprecipitated LT were quantified from immunoblots from three independent experiments. The average density of immunoprecipitated LT was then normalized to the average density of LT to yield a value for the relative amount of LT co-immunoprecipitated with pRb.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Tissue sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated with xylenes and graded ethanol, respectively. For immunohistochemical staining, endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched with 3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in methanol. Heat-induced antigen retrieval was performed in 0.01 M citrate buffer, pH 6.0 solution. Antibodies against the following proteins were used: bromodeoxyuridine BrdU (MilliporeSigma #NA61, Burlington, MA; 1:50), mini-chromosome maintenance protein 7 (MCM7) (MCM7, Thermo Fisher Scientific #MS862, Waltham, MA), biotinylated horse anti-mouse/rabbit IgGs (Vector Laboratories; Burlingame, CA). For immunohistochemical staining, proteins were visualized with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) and tissues were counterstained with instant hematoxylin (Vector Laboratories; Burlingame, CA). All images were taken with a Zeiss AxioImager M2 microscope using the AxioVision software version 4.8.2.

**Suprabasal:Basal ratio and BrdU quantitation**

The total number of basal and suprabasal cells were quantified using H&E-stained sections of ear tissue and expressed as a suprabasal:basal ratio. The ratio calculated from at least three
individual mice from each group was averaged (error bars: ±SD). For BrdU quantitation, one slide from at least three individual mice was analyzed by microscopy and 10 images (20X) were captured. The total number of cells and BrdU-positive cells were quantified with an automated cell counting program [developed by David Ornelles (Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC)] using ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD)]. This program was developed to allow the user to manually choose a region of interest on an image of a stained tissue section, within which the total number of cells and BrdU-positive cells (brown nuclei) are quantified. This program has been compared to manual quantitation and yields results indistinguishable to those counted by hand (S1 Fig). The percentage of total BrdU-positive cells was calculated for each sample using the average of 10 fields from each mouse. These values were then averaged among the mice in each group. The standard deviation reflects variation between individual mice. A two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to compare the average percentage of BrdU-positive cells between groups. Statistical analysis was performed using MSTAT software (version 7.0 last accessed September 27, 2021). Graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism (Version 9.1.2). For all analyses, tissue sections from both white and brown offspring were included.

E2F luciferase assays and quantitation

Primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were generated from wild-type (RbWT) and RbΔL/ΔL (RbΔL) matched littermates as described previously [55, 59]. MEFs were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM l-glutamine, penicillin (50 U/ml), and streptomycin (50 μg/ml). All experiments were carried out using MEFs at passage 4 from RbWT and RbΔL matched littermates from two different litters.

To perform E2F luciferase experiments, MEFs were plated in triplicate at a density of 3 x 10^4 cells/well in a Nunc 96-well white clear-bottom plate (Thermo Scientific; # 165306) in culture media without antibiotics. Approximately 2 hours post-plating, cells were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent according to the manufacturer protocol (Thermo Fisher; Waltham, MA). All cells were transfected with 0.4 μL Lipofectamine 2000 reagent and a total of 100 ng DNA/well: 20 ng Renilla luciferase plasmid pRL-TK (Promega, Madison, WI, #E2241) used as a control for transfection efficiency, 40 ng of the E2F luciferase reporter (pGL3-6XE2F; kindly provided by Dr. Karl Munger (Tufts University) and described previously [58]), and 40 ng of viral effector protein. In the reporter-only condition where no viral effector protein was transfected, pcDNA6-dsRed was used to keep the total amount of transfected DNA constant and to survey for red cells as a visual readout for successful transfection, which were evident at both 24 and 48 hours post-transfection in all MEF strains. The following constructs were used to express viral effector proteins: pLenti6.3-MCPyV168ER (described above), pLXSN-HPV16E7 (kindly provided by Dr. Karl Munger (Tufts University) and described previously [69]), and pCMV-TAg to express SV40 LT [70]. At 48 hours post-transfection, a dual luciferase assay was performed using the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI; #E2920). Luminescent signals were read using a BMG Pherastar Multimode plate reader (BMG Labtech, Cary, NC) at the Small Molecule Screening Facility (University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center, UW-Madison). Data was analyzed by normalizing firefly luciferase values to Renilla luciferase values for all conditions. The reporter + viral effector conditions were normalized to reporter only (no viral effector) to generate the graph in Fig 3D.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Quantitation of total and BrdU-positive cells in stratified epithelia. A) The major steps during quantitation of total and BrdU-positive cells from representative images of BrdU
immunohistochemistry using an automated counting program in ImageJ. First, a region of interest (ROI) is defined by the user. The program then quantifies the total number of cells within the ROI. The total number of BrdU-positive cells, as defined by brown nuclei, are then quantified. B) Comparison of the total percentage of BrdU-positive cells quantified using manual counting (black bars) and automated counting (gray bars) in three different groups of mice (n = 3 each). Error bars indicate standard deviation.
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