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Photostriction is predicted for group IV monochalcogenide monolayers, two-dimensional ferroelectrics with rectangular unit cells (the lattice vector $a_1$ is larger than $a_2$) and an intrinsic dipole moment parallel to $a_1$. Photostriction is found to be related to the structural change induced by a screened electric polarization (i.e., a converse piezoelectric effect) in photoexcited electronic states with either $p_x$ or $p_y$ (in-plane) orbital symmetry that leads to a compression of $a_1$ and a comparatively smaller increase of $a_2$ for a reduced unit cell area. The structural change documented here is ten times larger than that observed in BiFeO$_3$, making monochalcogenide monolayers an ultimate platform for this effect. This structural modification should be observable under experimentally feasible densities of photexcited carriers on samples that have been grown already, having a potential usefulness for light-induced, remote mechano-opto-electronic applications.

A truly novel opto-mechanical coupling in two-dimensional (2D) ferroelectric materials awaits to be discovered. Photostriction—the creation of non-thermal strain upon illumination [1–4]—has been well-documented in three-dimensional ferroelectrics such as SbSI [5] and BiFeO$_3$ [6, 7]. It has been suggested to be driven by the large voltage build-up caused by a photovoltaic effect and the resulting converse piezoelectricity [8], and it may be useful for applications such as remotely-switchable memory devices [9] and light-induced actuators [10]. The earliest studied photostrictive material, SbSI, transitions from a ferroelectric onto a paraelectric at a critical temperature $T_c < 300$ K. As photостrictive effects are larger in the ferroelectric phase, $T_c$ can be increased above 300 K on SbSI ceramics which have smaller domain sizes and display a non-uniform stoichiometry nevertheless. The photostriction response time increases with sample thickness due to a reduced penetration depth, being a few seconds on bulk samples [5]. On the other hand, ferroelectric films show photostriction within a few picoseconds [11–15], and even when the photoexcited electron-hole pair is localized [16, 17].

The growing interest on the interactions of light with 2D materials [18–21] makes a study of illumination leading to non-trivial structural deformations an interesting and timely endeavor. As long as a photoexcited state induces some amount of charge redistribution—which is a quite reasonable physical assumption—any material is expected to change shape as the structure is let to relieve the stress induced by the photoexcited carriers. Here, the surprising result is the rather large magnitude of such structural change for 2D ferroelectrics, that originates from an inverse piezoelectric effect upon illumination.

Two-dimensional ferroelectrics in the group-IV monochalcogenide monolayer family (GeS, GeSe, SnS, SnSe, among others) [22–31] undergo a ferroelectric-to-paraelectric transition with a transition temperature that is tunable by atomic number [25]. Ferroelectricity originates from the non-centrosymmetric unit cells depicted in Fig. 1(a). Due to structural symmetry, the electric dipole $P_0$ is zero along the $y$– and $z$– directions, and finite along the $x$– direction, as illustrated by two red horizontal arrows in Fig. 1(a), top view. $P_0$ is related to the projection of the vector joining atoms 1 and 2 (3 and 4) along the $x$– axis, and to how delocalized the electronic charge is. The structural side view in Fig. 1(a) includes an electronic isosurface of 0.3 e/Å$^3$, intended to display delocalization qualitatively. The horizontal separation between group-IV and chalcogen atoms, and the degree of localization of electrons yields $P_0 = 0.60, 2.77, and 2.06 \times 10^{-10}$ C/m for GeS, SnS, and SnSe, respectively, despite of the longer horizontal separation of ions on GeS. Lattice parameters for ground-state structures shown in Fig. 1(a) are $a_{1,0} = 4.4736$ Å and $a_{2,0} = 3.6655$ Å for GeS, $a_{1,0} = 4.3087$ Å and $a_{2,0} = 4.0786$ Å for SnS, and $a_{1,0} = 4.4038$ Å and $a_{2,0} = 4.2918$ Å for the SnSe monolayer.

Photostriction of SnS and SnSe is successfully predicted hereby, following the numerical approach proven to capture photostriction of BiFeO$_3$ [10] (photostriction of GeS is also demonstrated as Supplementary Material (SM)). Screening of the electric dipole due to photoexcitation will be shown to be the main driver of the structural distortion. This effect could be readily observed in recent experimental setups such as the one in Ref. [26].

The challenge at hand and the computational approach are described first. Then, the two direct optical transitions to be employed to demonstrate the effect are motivated, and the anisotropic change of lattice parameters (photostriction) upon photoexcitation is documented. The decrease of the dipole moment and unit cell area seen in our numerical results are explained in terms of a photoinduced inverse piezoelectric effect and electronic pressure afterwards.

The concept is straightforward: one creates the effect of a direct optical transition at the valence and conduc-
tion band edges, allowing the structure to relax the forces created in the photoexcited state. Even though these materials are indirect band-gap semiconductors (gray arrow in Fig. 1(b)), the direct transitions shown by vertical arrows in Fig. 1(b) bring carriers onto the bottom of electronic valleys. The two valleys near the corners of the first Brillouin zone are located at 0.390\(b_1\) (\(nX\)) and 0.415\(b_2\) (\(nY\)) for SnS (Fig. 1(b); \(b_1\) and \(b_2\) are reciprocal lattice vectors), and at similar locations for GeS and SnSe (SM).

These direct transitions are unlike indirect transitions in materials like silicon or bulk dichalcogenides, where photoexcited electrons are never excited into a local valley and quickly release energy by coupling to lattice vibrations on their way into the band minima. In the present case, excited electrons face up-hill energy bands in all directions due to the positive curvature of the local valleys, which may confine electrons sufficiently long for them to decay onto the valence band with non-negligible probability and preserving linear momentum.

In practice, capturing the effect requires approximations: specifically, the accuracy in forces needed to observe photostriction makes the Bethe-Salpeter approach [32, 33]—the technique of choice for optical excitations in materials of reduced dimensionality—prohibitively expensive, and the same could be said of a time-dependent approach to the problem [34]. Indeed, photostriction under a density of photoexcited carriers \(n_e\) changes lattice parameters \(\Delta a_i/a_{i,0} = |(a_i(n_e > 0) - a_{i,0})|/a_{i,0}\) (\(i = 1, 3\)) to within \(10^{-5} - 10^{-4}\) in bulk samples [3], making for a prohibitively expensive optimization of the electron-hole-pair hosting structure \(a_{i,0} = a_i(n_e = 0)\) here.

However, the recent discovery of ferroelectricity in monochalcogenide monolayers [25, 26] gives an opportunity to extend this well-known effect into 2D materials, and the structural deformation in photoexcited monochalcogenide monolayers will be demonstrated using the technique [10] that successfully reproduces the experimentally observed photostriction of BiFeO\(_3\) [35].

Göring formulated the interacting, photoexcited Hamiltonian as a model non-interacting DFT Hamiltonian [36], and the \(\Delta\)-self-consistent-field (\(\Delta\)SCF) method is a realization of Göring’s approach that assumes a one-to-one correspondence between the excited states of a Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian and the real system [37]. It creates a population imbalance akin to that produced from illumination, by depleting a finite number of electrons in the valence band and promoting them onto higher energy bands. \(\Delta\)SCF calculations of excited states for systems with reduced dimensions abound (e.g., Refs. [38–40]), and the \(\Delta\)SCF method as implemented in the ABINIT code [41] is employed to predict structural effects of direct optical transitions on ferroelectric monochalcogenide monolayers here. Calculations were performed on periodic structures with GBRV projected-augmented-wave [42] pseudopotentials [43] of the PBE type [44], which are known to underestimate the electronic band gap. Nevertheless, additional corrections make it prohibitive to demonstrate the effect within computational constraints.

Figure 1(c) shows the electronic structure of SnS decomposed in states with \(s\), \(p_x\), \(p_y\) or \(p_z\) orbital symmetry and belonging to a specific atomic species (Sn or S); projected band structures for GeS and SnSe, displaying similar trends, are provided as SM. Line thicknesses reflect the relative probability of finding a given orbital symmetry for a given band and chemical element. Optical transitions require non-zero matrix elements \(|\langle p_{i,x}|r|s_i\rangle|\) for wavefunctions with \(|p_i\rangle\) symmetry in the conduction band and \(|s_i\rangle\) symmetry in the valence band (\(i = x, y, z\)). According to Fig. 1(c), the group-IV element (Sn) has an orbital \(s\)–symmetry at the \(nX\) and \(nY\) valence band edges (as emphasized by orange rectangles at such band edges; this is the case for GeS and SnSe too (SM)). Similarly, a large probability is carried by Sn orbitals with \(p_x\) (\(p_y\)) symmetry at the \(nX\) (\(nY\)) conduction valley edge.
FIG. 2. SnS monolayer states at the valence band and the nX, Γ, and nY conduction local valley minima have p_x, p_z, and p_y orbital symmetries, respectively. Direct optical transitions at the nX and nY k−points perturb in-plane orbitals and will lead to the largest photostriction.

FIG. 3. Photostriction, a non-thermal change of a_1 and a_2 upon irradiation by light is demonstrated for (a) SnS and (b) SnSe monolayers. The change on a_1 and a_2 is one order of magnitude larger than that for BiFeO_3, under experimentally accessible excited carrier densities. (c)-(d) Photostriction decreases P. The inset in subplot (c) shows the k−point mesh employed (the remainder of the Brillouin zone is included by symmetry), and the zoom-in exemplifies three k−points (n = 1, 2, 3) photoexcited about the nX point.

This way, the non-zero <p_{n,c}|r|s_{n,c}> matrix element originates from a Sn intra-atomic direct optical transition with linearly-polarized absorption band edges [28, 45]. (Incidentally, one also notes that a direct optical transition at the Γ−point would lead to an excited state with out-of-plane (p_z) symmetry.)

Illumination by pulsed laser sources can generate photoexcited carrier density fluences as high as 10^{13} - 10^{14}/cm^2 on MoS_2 samples [46]. It will be shown that a much smaller density is needed for the effect being presently described to be experimentally achievable, after discussing the k−point mesh employed in calculations.

Considering spin-orbit coupling (SOC), a regular 2D mesh containing n_x^2 equally-weighted k−points yields a density of n_c = 1/(n_x^2 A_0) charge carriers per band per k−point per unit cell. The k−point mesh with n_k = 41—shown as an inset in Fig. 3(c)—permits creating n_c(n) = 2^2 n/(41^2 A_0) ≃ 1.3 n x 10^{12}/cm^2 excited charge carriers per band per unit cell. Here, the factor of four is due to the symmetry of the k−point mesh shown at the inset, and because carriers from two bands immediately below the bandgap are excited into two bands right above the bandgap that are slightly split due to SOC; the dependence of n_c on n = 0, 1, 2 or 3 allows for a gradual increment of photoexcited carriers. Recalling that photostriction of bulk samples results on |δa_1/a_1| ≃ 10^{-4} - 10^{-5} [3, 10, 35], a demanding relaxation limit for structural forces of 5 x 10^{-8} Ha/Bohr and an energy cutoff of 40 Ha were employed in our calculations.

Figure 2 displays the orbital character of the conduction band at the nX, Γ and nY k−points prior to structural optimization. The orbital character of these transitions determines the strength of the photostrictive effect.

Indeed, Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) display a decrease of a_1 (a_1(n_c > 0) < a_1,0) and an increase of a_2 (a_2(n_c > 0) > a_2,0) for both SnS and SnSe monolayers. More specifically, the ratio ∆a_1(n_c)/δa_2(n_c) is equal to −0.58 for the nX transition and −0.21 at the nY transition for SnS. In SnSe, ∆a_1(n_c)/δa_2(n_c) = −0.26 (nX) and −0.16 (nY). (For reference, Poisson ratios are 0.36 and 0.42 for SnS and SnSe, respectively [47].) In addition, a compression of the unit cell area A versus n_c (A < A_0) is found (SM). Figs. 3(a-b) contain the first prediction of photostrictive effects in 2D materials; they open a completely unexplored door for studies of coupled mechano-opto-electronic effects on 2D compounds.

Furthermore, the rather large change on a_1 and a_2 in Figs. 3(a-b) (|δa_1/a_1| ∼ 10^{-3}) (under experimentally accessible photoexcited charge carrier densities n_c ∼ 10^{12}/cm^2 [46]) is one to two orders of magnitude larger than that reported for bulk ferroelectrics and hence quite encouraging: such large values of δa_1/a_1 would place these new photostrictive 2D materials in a class of their own. A similar photostriction and decrease of P for GeS (SM) confirms the findings for SnS and SnSe, thus implying a generality of the effect on members of this material family for which a_1 ≠ a_2.

As indicated earlier, charge rearrangement is bound to occur upon photoexcitation and regardless of the numerical method employed (i.e., that in Refs. [32, 33], Ref. [34], or the present one [36, 37, 41], which permits a comparatively small time-consuming tracking of the structural distortion). Local exciton wavefunctions on GeS and GeSe shown in Ref. [45] will also necessarily perturb the initial electric dipole, and will lead to a structural distortion akin to the one shown here. Although numerical estimates will depend on method, the modification of the lattice structure with light is to be expected.

The effect of orbital symmetries on the magnitude of photostriction becomes manifest when testing a transition at the Γ−point for states that are deeper within the conduction and valence bands. In that case, photostriction turns negligible (SM): in-plane orbitals are naturally
better in screening the electric dipole than out-of-plane orbitals that extend into the vacuum. On the same vein, the effect turns stronger than in the bulk because the structural change driven by screening in-plane orbitals is never counteracted from a (sturdier) 3D structure.

The reduction of $P$ (obtained from Born effective charges) seen in Figs. 3(c-d) is related to the anisotropic change in lattice constants seen in Fig. 4(a) and 4(b) for SnS and SnSe, respectively. SnS and SnSe monolayers host an in-plane $P$ parallel to the $a_1$ lattice vector that becomes reduced as the ratio $a_1/a_2$ approaches unity [28]: this is why the polarization $P_0 \equiv P(n_e = 0) = 2.77 \times 10^{-10}$ C/m for SnS ($a_{1,0}/a_{2,0}=1.056$) is larger than that for SnSe ($P_0 = 2.06 \times 10^{-10}$ C/m, and $a_{1,0}/a_{2,0}=1.026$) already and, within a given material, the reason for the thermally-induced ferroelectric-to-paraelectric transition for a sudden change of the structural order parameter $a_{1,0}(T)/a_{2,0}(T)$ towards unity without illumination, where $P_0(T_c)$ goes all the way to zero [28, 30] at the transition temperature $T_c$. Photostriction is a new (optical) handle to reduce $a_1$ and increases $a_2$, regardless of valley edges being excited ($nX$, $nY$, $\Gamma$, or $nX$ to $nY$ (indirect)).

We showed the tunability of $a_1$ and $a_2$ with chemistry [25] and temperature [28]. Presently, the remarkable tunability under illumination is to be understood from an inverse piezoelectric effect [10] as follows. In 2D, the dielectric susceptibility $\chi^{2D}$ and the dielectric tensor $\sigma_{ij}$ (both diagonal) are related as $\chi_i^{2D} = (\epsilon_{i}-1)L$ [48–50], where $L$ is the vertical separation between (periodic) layers. This way, using the numerical change in polarization $P - P_0$ (which only occurs along the $x$–axis) and considering the $mm2$ point-symmetry of these compounds, lattice parameters $a_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) must evolve as [48, 51]:

$$\Delta a_i = \frac{d_{i1}}{8\epsilon_0\chi^{2D}}(P - P_0),$$  

(1)

as represented by the open symbols in Figs. 4(c-d). $\chi^{2D}_1$ is taken as is from Ref. [48] –and expressed in Å– and relaxed-ion values for $d_{ij}$ were taken from Ref. [47]: $\epsilon_0$ is the permittivity of vacuum [52]. These predicted trends are of the same order of magnitude to the values of $a_1$ and $a_2$ determined upon a full optimization of the photoexcited structure, and they imply that photostriction is primarily produced by an inverse piezoelectric effect due to a dipole screening by the photoexcited charge carriers.

Note that the slope in Eqn. 1 is independent of the valley being photoexcited ($nX$ or $nY$), making the predicted values for $\Delta a_{i1}/a_{i0}$ lie upon the same straight line. The actual polarization is slightly different when exciting the $nX$ or the $nY$ valley.

Electronic/hole pressure may also produce slight differences in slope when exciting different valleys. Elongation of in-plane lattice vectors leads to positive stress. But when let to relax, the material contracts back to its original structure. In general, any structure with positive stress will contract in response. Therefore, in a first approximation, the lattice also displays an elastic response (having a negative sign) given by:

$$\Delta a_i = \frac{d_{ij}}{8\epsilon_0\chi^{2D}}(P - P_0),$$  

(2)

Using the elastic coefficients from Ref. [48], and the in-plane stress recorded in Table I for $n_e(1)$ from the initial photoexcited structure prior to any structural relaxation, we obtain changes of $\Delta a_{i1}/a_{i0}$ from Eqn. (2) that are an order of magnitude smaller than those seen in Fig. 4(c-d). This way, the numerical results from the structural optimization must be dominated by the inverse piezoelectric effect, thus showing the relevance of ferroelectricity for this effect to occur in 2D materials.

The trends in Figs. 3-4 are similar to those for BiFeO$_3$, which implies similar mechanisms at play. Experimental realization of ferroelectric 2D monochalcogenide monolayers [26] (with no substantial depolarization fields due to size effects) enhances the present relevance of this work, and brings optimism in that the unique effects here described will soon be experimentally verified.
In conclusion, photostriction of group IV monochalcogenides has been predicted. Photostriction decreases the larger lattice vector \( a_1 \) and increases of the smaller one \( a_2 \). It mainly arises from an inverse piezoelectric effect that reduces the dipole moment in the unit cell and contracts the lattice vector that is parallel to the electric dipole. These results continue to highlight unique properties of two-dimensional ferroelectrics and their potential usefulness for mechano-optoelectronic applications.
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