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Multipopulation Genetic Algorithm Based on GPU for Solving TSP Problem
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A GPU-based Multigroup Genetic Algorithm was proposed, which parallelized the traditional genetic algorithm with a coarse-grained architecture island model. The original population is divided into several subpopulations to simulate different living environments, thus increasing species richness. For each subpopulation, different mutation rates were adopted, and the crossover results were optimized by combining the crossover method based on distance. An adaptive mutation strategy based on the number of generations was adopted to prevent the algorithm from falling into the local optimal solution. An elite strategy was adopted for outstanding individuals to retain their superior genes. The algorithm was implemented with CUDA/C, combined with the powerful parallel computing capabilities of GPUs, which greatly improved the computing efficiency. It provided a new solution to the TSP problem.

1. Introduction

The Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) is one of the essential problems in computer science. The mathematical description is as follows. Given a set of cities \( c_1, c_2, c_3, \ldots, c_n \), the distance between every two cities \( d(c_i, c_j) \) is the length of the straight line connecting the two cities, and the problem requires a shortest sequence \( s \) to make total distance \( y \) minimal [1], and \( y \) is defined by

\[
y = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(c_{s(i)}, c_{s(i+1)}) + d(c_{s(1)}, c_{s(n)}). \tag{1}
\]

This problem has been identified as an NPH problem, and it is difficult to find the optimal solution for each instance. At present, heuristic algorithms are used to solve most TSP.

Genetic Algorithm (GA) is a method to find the optimal solution by simulating the natural evolution process. The principle of GA is simple, operability is strong, and it is excellent for global searching, so it is widely used in solving TSP. However, GA has some defects, such as easily falling into local optimal solutions and long search time.

Compute Unified Device Architecture (CUDA) is a parallel programming model launched by NVIDIA, which runs upon the Graphics Processing Unit (GPU) [2]. With CUDA, developers accelerate their projects by running the sequential part of the program within CPU and the parallel part on GPU. Each NVIDIA GPU has thousands of CUDA cores, which can launch thousands of threads for numerical calculations that will significantly improve the efficiency of the algorithm.

Bao Lin presented an improved hybrid GA to solve the two-dimensional Euclidean TSP, in which the crossover operator is enhanced with a local search [3]. Jain V proposed a new genetic crossover operator using a greedy approach [4]. Based on the traditional GA, Yu et al. proposed an algorithm that introduces the greedy method into species initialization [5]. AF El-Samak used Affinity Propagation...
Clustering Technique (AP) to optimize the performance of the GA for solving TSP [6]. Although previous studies have improved the traditional genetic algorithm, when the population size increases, the time consumed becomes an important factor affecting the efficiency of the algorithm, so it is necessary to parallelize the genetic algorithm to reduce the cost time of the genetic algorithm.

Chen S [7] and O’Neil [8] both proposed GPU-based parallel GA. However, they limited the initial population size to a small range, which was not conducive to improve population diversity and weakened the GA global search capability. In order to increase the diversity of the population, the initial population size should be increased as much as possible.

Here, we propose a coarse-grained parallel GA based on the island model, which increases the initial population size and divides the large-scale population into multiple subpopulations. The subpopulations simultaneously perform genetic operations such as distance crossing and adaptive mutation. Because this algorithm reduces running time when guaranteeing the accuracy of the results, it provides a feasible method to solve TSP.

2. Genetic Algorithm

2.1. Traditional GA. GA is a heuristic algorithm based on Darwin’s theory of evolution. Its key thought is natural selection: individuals with higher fitness in a population can survive and reproduce the next generation. Evolution usually starts with a randomly generated population of individuals and is an iterative process. In each generation, the fitness of each individual in the population is evaluated. Individuals with high fitness from the current population are selected, and the genome of each individual is modified (crossed and mutated) to form a new generation. Then, a new generation of candidate solutions will be used in the next generation of the algorithm. Generally, the algorithm terminates when it reaches the maximum number of generations or overall level satisfactory fitness. Five major components in the GA initial population, fitness, selection, crossover, and mutation are explained as follows.

Initial population: generate randomly and allow the entire range of possible solutions. The larger the population size, the higher the species richness.

Fitness: judge the individual’s ability to adapt to the environment. The greater the fitness, the higher the chance of survival. In TSP, the fitness is often set as the reciprocal of the individual path length.

Selection: select a pair of individuals with higher fitness from the population as parents of the next generation.

Crossover: this is the most important step in GA. Parents choose some points on their genes to exchange to produce offspring.

Mutation: the genes of the offspring may be subject to other influences and cause mutations. This step is used to simulate random mutations of chromosomes.

2.2. Coarse-Grained Parallelism Based on the Island Model. At present, mainstream parallel GA has four types of models: the master-slave model, island model, domain model, and hybrid model [7]. The island model is also known as a distributed model or a coarse-grained model. Its execution process is shown in Figure 1. First, a large population is initialized, and then the population is divided into several subpopulations 1, 2, ..., N. Second, subpopulations independently perform selection, crossover, and mutation. Third, some individuals in each subpopulation migrate to other subpopulations. Finally, when the specified number of generations is reached, the population is screened to find the optimal solution. We developed an algorithm based on the island model; divide individuals into several subpopulations. Then, load the subpopulations onto the GPU and create N threads, each thread is responsible for the genetic operations of a subpopulation. After reaching the specified number of generations, we search and output the optimal solution in all groups.

3. Algorithm Implementation

3.1. Selection. Selection is the process of selecting the fittest for the current population, intending to inheriting genes with higher fitness to future generations. Traditional selection algorithms include roulette algorithm and tournament algorithm. However, these algorithms require synchronization between threads, which is not conducive to massive parallelism. Here, we use a selection strategy based on fitness. The specific steps of this operation are as follows, and Figure 2 shows a specific example.

Steps 1: let the number of individuals in each subpopulation be M and set a selection threshold to an integer $p$, and $0 \leq p \leq [M/2]$

Steps 2: sort each subpopulation according to fitness

Steps 3: replace the $M - p + 1 \sim M$ values with the $1 \sim p$ values in each subpopulation

3.2. Crossover. Crossover is the process that two individuals exchange some of their genes according to a certain method to form a new individual. It is the most critical operation in GA, which determines the genes of individual offspring and is the key to search the global optimal solution. We use a decimal $P_c$ in the range [0,1] to control whether or not two individuals’ cross called the crossover rate. The specific operation is as follows: generate a crossover rate $P_c$ for each subpopulation $i$ by using formula (2), and combine the individuals within the population in pairs to serve as the parents of the next generation. A random number $pr$ in the range [0,1] is generated for each pair of parents. If $pr \leq P_c$, the parent does not cross, and if $pr > P_c$, cross is generated to generate offspring. Figure 3 shows a specific example.

The size of the crossover rate $P_c$ is very important. If the crossover rate is too large, the genetic pattern is more likely to be destroyed so that the individual structure with high fitness is quickly destroyed; if the crossover rate is too low, the search process will be slow, even stagnant. We have
assigned different crossover rates to each subpopulation within a specific range to simulate evolution in different environments. The crossover rate \( P_{ci} \) of the subpopulation \( i \) can be expressed by

\[
P_{ci} = \alpha \frac{i}{N} + \beta.
\]

Formula (2): let the total number of subpopulations be \( N \), \( i \) represents the number of the current population, \( 1 \leq i \leq N \), where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are control coefficients, to ensure that \( P_{ci} \) changes between \([\alpha/N + \beta, \alpha + \beta]\).

The parents who are ready to cross are determined, and then the specific method of crossover becomes the focus of research. Single-point crossover and two-point crossover are traditional crossover algorithms. Inspired by Tang [9], we use the crossover method based on individual distance, which is named distance crossover algorithm. Specific examples are given to describe the algorithm. Take 7 cities for example, number them from 0 to 6, and the distance between every two cities is shown in Table 1. A pair of parents \( A \) and \( B \) generate offspring \( C \) and \( D \), and the generating steps are as follows:

**Step 1:** assume that parent \( A = 0 \quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 1 \quad 5 \quad 6 \) and \( B = 3 \quad 5 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 2 \quad 6 \quad 4 \)

**Step 2:** determine the head of \( C \). Choose a city randomly. Here, select City 2 and move City 2 and the cities after it to the head of the sequence. City 2 is called a “determined city,” which is the area framed by a rectangle in the figure. In this way, the head of \( C \) is 2

\[
A = 0 \quad 3 \quad 1 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 0 \quad 4
\]

**Step 3:** determine the second city of \( C \). For the \( A \) and \( B \) sequences generated by step 1, compare the size of \( d \) (2, 3) and \( d \) (2, 6) according to the distance table, and we can get \( d \) (2, 3) < \( d \) (2, 6). According to the principle of small distance, the second city of \( C \) is determined as 3. It is equivalent to \( A \) providing a second city for \( C \); then, sequence \( A \) remains unchanged, moving the city after the city 3 in sequence \( B \) to the back of the “determined city,” and the “determined city” at this time is 2, 3, which is the area framed by the rectangle.

**Step 4:** repeat step 3 continuously to get sequence \( C \)

**Step 5:** the generation of child \( D \) is similar to \( C \), except that the order of the cities has changed. After selecting city 2 in step 1, move city 2 and the city in front of it to the end of the sequence so that the tail of \( D \) is 2

\[
C = 2 \quad 3 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 6 \quad 4 \quad 5
\]

**Step 6:** the \( D \) sequence is obtained according to the distance. The specific method is similar to step 3, and the arrangement of \( D \) is determined from tail to head. Finally, we get the order of offspring \( C \) and \( D \).

It is worth noting that the distance crossover method does not completely guarantee that the offspring are superior to the parents. In the process of generating offspring, the distance from the last city to the first city is not considered. If this distance is very large, the offspring may be worse than the parents. Therefore, this method can only guarantee the superiority of the offspring with a high probability. Without special circumstances, this crossover method accelerates the convergence very well.

### 3.3 Mutation

The mutation is an auxiliary method of GA to generate new individuals. It improves the local search capability of the algorithm and is also a powerful guarantee for achieving population diversity. We introduced the mutation rate \( P_{mut} \) in the range \([0,1]\) to control the number of mutated individuals; generate a random number \( pmr \) in the range \([0,1]\) for each individual, and then compare it with \( P_{mut} \). If \( pmr \leq P_{mut} \), the individual mutates, otherwise stays unchanged. The specific mutation way is the two points’ method, which randomly selects two points on the sequence of individuals and exchanges them. Figure 4 shows a specific example.
LT_he mutation rate corresponding to the 

\[ P_{c2} = 0.38 \]

Then, replace the individuals with numbers 5 and 6 with the individuals with numbers 1 and 2 to get a new subpopulation.

\[ \text{Pairs of parents} \]

\[ \text{Generate 3 random numbers} \]

\[ \text{Cross determination method} \]

\[ \text{Selection: taking a certain subpopulation as an example, the number of individuals in the subpopulation } M = 6 \text{, the fitness of each individual is displayed in a rectangular box. Set the selection threshold } p = 2, \text{ first sort following the degree of fitness from large to small. Then, replace the individuals with numbers 5 and 6 with the individuals with numbers 1 and 2 to get a new subpopulation.} \]

\[ \text{Figure 2:} \]

| City 0 | City 1 | City 2 | City 3 | City 4 | City 5 | City 6 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0      | 0      | 1      | 4      | 1      | 5      | 3      |
| 1      | 1      | 0      | 3      | 2      | 4      | 2      |
| 2      | 4      | 3      | 0      | 1      | 2      | 5      |
| 3      | 1      | 2      | 1      | 0      | 5      | 4      |
| 4      | 5      | 4      | 2      | 5      | 0      | 3      |
| 5      | 3      | 2      | 5      | 4      | 3      | 0      |
| 6      | 2      | 6      | 3      | 2      | 1      | 2      |

\[ \text{Table 1: The distance between each two cities.} \]

| \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline City 0 & City 1 & City 2 & City 3 & City 4 & City 5 & City 6 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 5 & 0 & 3 \\ 5 & 3 & 2 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 0 \\ 6 & 2 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{tabular} \]

\[ \text{Communicating with each other enriches the gene pool of species and promotes the evolution of species. We use an exchange method based on the migration rate, with migration interval } K, \text{ migration rate ER, and migration number } E. \text{ The specific steps for migration are as follows:} \]

\[ \text{Step 1: determine the size of migration interval } K, \text{ migration rate } ER, \text{ and migration number } E. \text{ Among them, } K \text{ represents the number of generations between two migrations; let MAXGEN be the maximum number of generations, then } 1 \leq k \leq \text{MAXGEN. ER represents the probability of whether migration is successful, } 0 \leq ER \leq 1. \]

\[ E \text{ refers to the number of individuals in each subpopulation participating in migration; let } M \text{ be the number of individuals in each subpopulation, then } 1 \leq E \leq M. \]

\[ \text{Step 2: when the number of generations reaches } nK, \text{ let } 1 \leq n \leq \text{MAXGEN}/K, \text{ generate a random number } Er \text{ in range of } [0,1], \text{ and if } Er \leq ER, \text{ migrate individuals from this generation} \]

\[ \text{Step 3: the specific migration method is to migrate the } 1 \sim E \text{ individuals of each subpopulation to the adjacent subpopulation and replace the } M - E + 1 \sim M \text{ individuals of the adjacent subpopulation. Figure 5 shows a specific example.} \]

\[ \text{3.4. Migration.} \text{ In nature, the same species distributed in different environments often migrate with each other. This behavior is called population migration.} \]
3.5. Elite Retention. The elite individuals of the parent generation are retained to prevent from participating in genetic operations of the next generation, and then these elites replace the poorer solutions in the offspring. The elite retention strategy prevents optimal individuals from being destroyed due to hybridization and accelerates the convergence speed of the GA. The elite retention strategy is as follows: set a retention value \( SN \), the number of individuals in each subpopulation is \( M \), then \( 1 \leq SN \leq M \). First, sort each subpopulation according to fitness from small to large. Then, select \( SN \) individuals at the tail and send them directly to the next generation without selecting, crossing, or mutating.

4. Experimental Results and Analysis

4.1. Comparison of Acceleration Effect. First, the acceleration effect of the GPU was tested, and the traditional Simple Genetic Algorithm (SGA) and Multipopulation Genetic Algorithm (MPGA) were used to calculate the chn31 problem and the running time was recorded. Since the evolution of GA is continuous, that is, the traits of the offspring depend on the genes of the parent, so the acceleration effect is more obvious in a single generation. In this experiment, the number of evolution generations is 500, and the average running time for each generation is obtained after the total running time is obtained. The experimental environments are shown in Table 2, and the experimental results are shown in Table 3. Figures 6 and 7 show that when the population size is less than 1000, the speed of the GPU is not as fast as the CPU because data replication and GPU-CPU communication cost more time. While the population increases to 1500 and more, the running time of the CPU keeps increasing linearly, and the running time of the GPU is relatively stable, which accelerates the speed-up ratio to 200 when the population size is 20,000. As a result, the efficiency of MPGA with a large-scale population is significantly higher than SGA.

From Table 3, in the case of fixed generations, as the population size continues to increase, the results obtained are closer to the optimal solution. Figures 6 and 7 show that when the population size is less than 1000, the speed of the GPU is not as fast as the CPU because data replication and GPU-CPU communication cost more time. While the population increases to 1500 and more, the running time of the CPU keeps increasing linearly, and the running time of the GPU is relatively stable, which accelerates the speed-up ratio to 200 when the population size is 20,000. As a result, the efficiency of MPGA with a large-scale population is significantly higher than SGA.

Figure 8 shows the curve of MPGA and SGA evolution under chn31 for 500 generations, the horizontal axis represents the number of generations, and the vertical axis represents the shortest path length. The solid and dashed lines represent the evolution of SGA and MPGA, respectively.
respectively. It can be seen from this that the SGA convergence rate is too fast, and it falls into a local optimal solution around 40 generations. The MPG convergence rate is relatively gentle, and the optimal solution 15377 was found at 250 generations. This proves that MPG has better convergence effect than SGA, and it is not easy to fall into the local optimal solution and has a strong global search ability. Figure 9 shows

| Population size | SGA time (ms) | SGA result | MPG time (ms) | MPG result | Speed-up ratio |
|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|----------------|
| 40              | 0.136         | 23308      | 16.56         | 17594      | 0.00821256     |
| 100             | 0.446         | 22456      | 21.64         | 16292      | 0.020609982    |
| 500             | 6.078         | 19358      | 32.28         | 15539      | 0.188289963    |
| 1000            | 20.79         | 18365      | 34.26         | 15476      | 0.606830123    |
| 1500            | 48.238        | 16873      | 35.26         | 15385      | 1.368065797    |
| 2000            | 80.394        | 16844      | 35.9          | 15467      | 2.239387187    |
| 5000            | 518.98        | 16797      | 36.3          | 15379      | 14.2969697     |
| 10000           | 2107.83       | 16599      | 36.88         | 15377      | 57.15374187    |
| 15000           | 4714.64       | 16161      | 43.24         | 15377      | 109.0342276    |
| 20000           | 8824.48       | 16161      | 43.88         | 15377      | 201.1048314    |
the final path of MPGA chn31, and the horizontal and vertical axes show the coordinates of the city.

4.2. TSPLIB Test. To verify the ability of MPGA of dealing with large-scale TSP for a further step, some TSPLIB datasets are selected for testing and comparing with traditional SGA. Repeat 30 times for each dataset, with an evolution generation of 1000 and population size of 10,000. The results are shown in Table 4.

According to the test results, the MPGA is superior to the SGA in various indicators. As the scale of the problem increases, the SGA falls into the local optimal solution, and the result is very different from the optimal solution. The MPGA is stable with a small deviation from the optimal solution. Although GA is parallelized in articles [7, 8], the number of populations is limited to a small range, which is not conducive to the improvement of population diversity. Based on the island model, MPGA improves the selection, crossover, and mutation algorithms to ensure the accuracy of the results.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, the island model coarse-grained architecture is used to implement the parallelization of GA, the MPGA. Compared with other GA [3, 4] based on GPU, MPGA increases the population size and the richness of species. With the computing power of the GPU, the large-scale population is divided and ruled, which shortens the running time. Compared with serial SGA, the speed is improved by about 200 times. For the crossover step in GA, variable crossover probability and distance-based crossover method are proposed to improve the crossover efficiency and ensure the global search ability of the algorithm. For the mutation step in GA, a generation adaptive mutation method is proposed to prevent the result from falling into the local optimal solution. MPGA increases the population size on the premise of ensuring the accuracy and achieves the balance between diversity and speed, which provides a new idea for solving TSP.

Data Availability

The data used to support this study are available from the corresponding author upon request. The source code can be downloaded at http://data.xao.ac.cn/static/gatsp.zip.

Table 4: Partial TSPLIB results of SGA and MPGA.

| Algorithm | MPGA | SGA |
|-----------|------|-----|
| TSPLIB    |      |     |
| Optimal   | att48| beilin52 | pr124 | rat195 | att48 | beilin52 | pr124 | rat195 |
|           | 33522| 7542 | 59030 | 2323 | 33522 | 7542 | 59030 | 2323 |
| Best      | 33522| 7542 | 59030 | 2323 | 39680 | 9309 | 90514 | 3508 |
| Worst     | 33715| 7548 | 60156 | 2591 | 43634 | 10429 | 92701 | 3702 |
| Mean      | 33612| 7544 | 59864 | 2413 | 41446 | 9975 | 92264 | 3591 |
| Time (ms) | 82   | 157 | 3125 | 5014 | 2517 | 4278 | 30856 | 75829 |
| Deviation | 0.003| 0.0002| 0.014 | 0.039 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.56 | 0.55 |
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