Production of a Novel Mineral-based Sun Lotion for Protecting the Skin from Biohazards of Electromagnetic Radiation in the UV Region
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ABSTRACT
Background: Sun protection materials have been one of the major concerns in pharmaceutical industry since almost one century ago. Various materials have been found to have such an effect but there are still many unknown substances that have not been discovered.

Objective: To introduce a novel mineral-based sun lotion with considerable UV absorption properties compared to commercially available sunscreens.

Method: UV absorption properties of transparent plastic sheets covered by a uniform cream layer of different mineral-based sun lotions and a commercially available sun lotion were tested.

Results: Sun lotions containing specific proportion of bentonite and zeolite minerals were capable of absorbing the highest level of UV light compared to that of the commercially available sun lotion.

Conclusion: Mineral-based sun lotions can be considered as cost effective alternatives for current commercial sunscreens.
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Introduction
The solar ultraviolet spectrum which reaches the earth’s surface consists of two major bands, UVA with a wavelength of 320 to 400 nm and UVB with a wavelength of 280 to 320 nm. In spite of the lower energy in UVA band, it accounts for 95% of the sunlight’s UV exposure [1]. At the cellular level, generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) due to UVA radiation causes a great deal of oxidative stress and leads to harmful effects on the cell, including cell death through necrosis or apoptosis, DNA damage, and other disorders [1,2].

Moreover, DNA damage and mutation are specific effects of the exposure of human skin to UVB radiation; i.e. effects of UVB on the DNA molecule such as base changes and formation of cyclobutane-pyrimidine dimers (CPD), pyrimidine-pyrimidone (6-4) photodimers (6-4PP), and 8-hydroxy-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) [3-5].

It is widely known that health problems associated with UV exposure are skin cancer, skin aging, and other biological disorders due to physical, chemical, and histological changes in the skin [6-8]. There are many successful methods for treatment of skin damages but sun block-
ing agents are appropriate methods, because almost all of these adverse effects could be avoided through proper prevention [2,9,10].

Based on these facts, sunscreen agents are being widely used to prevent UV radiation damages, and are largely incorporated into products such as creams, lotions and cosmetic products. Evaluation of sunscreen efficacy is expressed by Sun Protection Factor (SPF) which is defined as the UV energy exposed to the protected skin that causes Minimum Erythema Dose (MED). There are many questions about SPF in sunscreens because it is able to prevent sunburn and has no effect on other UV damages such as skin aging and DNA damages. The ability of sunscreens to absorb or reflect UVA and UVB is different and sunburn is believed to be the results of UVB. This means that UVA has been neglected in SPF, but UVA induces free radicals that can affect DNA. [11-14]

To date, a wide variety of compounds have used in sunscreen products that absorb or reflect UVA and UVB. However safety and efficacy of these materials are very important. These materials must have no risk and side effects; for example, some of these materials such as PABA (p-aminobenzoic acid) and benzophenone have been banned in Europe because of their toxic effects. [14,15]

Additionally, one of the important factors in sunscreens is their photostability because some sunscreen’s ingredients lose their protection after UV or light exposure. Hence, it is a challenge to standardize the materials with photostability [16,17]. Recently, finding materials to protect the skin against both UVA and UVB, has attracted worldwide attention. Therefore, nanoparticles such as ZnO and TiO$_2$ are found to be good inorganic materials for broad beam protection. The spectrum absorbed by ZnO is lower than 380 nm and TiO$_2$ absorbs a spectrum lower than 365 nm. In higher wavelengths, only scattering occurs by ZnO and TiO$_2$, so ZnO is used to provide protection against UVA while TiO$_2$ is used for protection against both UVA and UVB [18]. Sunscreen ingredient studies show that UV radiation exposure on TiO$_2$ can increase photogeneration of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and encapsulation of TiO$_2$ within Zeolite decreases the generation of ROS [19]. Nanotechnology research on UV protection demonstrates that nanoparticles of natural Zeolite applied on textile materials scatter the UV radiation and it has good UV protection [20]. Based on the above facts, it is necessary to find a new sunscreen material for solar UV protection with high protection and photostability.

Material And Methods

XRD and XRF methods were used to determine the structure of zeolite and bentonite minerals. The UV absorption has to be evaluated in all experimental steps; therefore, all the experiments have been done in the peak UV exposure during the midday in a periodic manner (i.e. absorption of series of all specimens were measured consecutively and then the tests were performed again) and the UV meter apparatus was used perpendicularly to the sun beam in order to get the most intensive light beam in each test.

Specimens

The specimens were transparent plastic sheets (10×10 cm$^2$) covered by a uniform cream layer. In order to make a uniform thickness all over the transparent plastic sheets, a silk printing net was used and the average of five different measurements for each sheet was used for evaluation in each experiment.

Experimental groups

There were eight experimental tests as follows:

Test 1. Natural sunlight (No UV absorption)
Test 2. UV absorption by the transparent sheet only
Test 3. UV absorption by control cream (cream without any minerals)
Test 4. UV absorption for cream containing 5% zeolite  
Test 5. UV absorption for cream containing 10% zeolite  
Test 6. UV absorption for cream containing 5% bentonite  
Test 7. UV absorption for cream containing 10% bentonite  
Test 8. UV absorption for a commercially available cream (SPF=30)

Results and discussion
Results obtained in this study showed that the bentonite and zeolite minerals were capable of absorbing the highest level of UV light compared to that of the control cream. It was also shown that bentonite and zeolite minerals have UV absorption as much as other conventional sunscreens which are commercially available in the market. The data are shown in figure 1. Our results are partially in line with the findings of a study conducted in 2006 by Perioli et al. who investigated the new sunscreen formulations stabilized by intercalating PABA, within the lamellar structures of two kinds of hydrotalcite. According to these investigators, both intercalated products showed an increased protection range and, in one case, an improved sunscreen photostability. They concluded that the use of these materi-
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