CRISPRminer is a knowledge base for exploring CRISPR-Cas systems in microbe and phage interactions
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CRISPR-Cas systems not only play key roles in prokaryotic acquired immunity, but can also be adapted as powerful genome editing tools. Understanding the native role of CRISPR-Cas systems in providing adaptive immunity can lead to new CRISPR-based technologies. Here, we develop CRISPRminer, a knowledge base and web server to comprehensively collect and investigate the knowledge of CRISPR-Cas systems and generate instructive annotations, including CRISPR arrays and Cas protein annotation, CRISPR-Cas system classification, self-targeting events detection, microbe–phage interaction inference, and anti-CRISPR annotation. CRISPRminer is user-friendly and freely available at http://www.microbiome-bigdata.com/CRISPRminer.
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CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)-Cas (CRISPR-associated proteins) systems are prokaryotic adaptive immune systems that are known to protect bacteria and archaea from phage infection. Understanding the native role of CRISPR-Cas systems and their programmable nature is very inspiring for adaptive immune system studies and can lead to the development of new CRISPR-based technologies. Bacteria and phages are engaged in an evolutionary arms race. Bacteria utilize CRISPR-Cas adaptive immune systems for protection against phage infection. In turn, some phages have been found capable to evade CRISPR-Cas immunity using anti-CRISPR proteins. Several databases or web servers have been developed to gather information and provide useful applications to study CRISPR-Cas systems, such as CRISPRs web server (including CRISPRFinder2, CRISPRdb6, and CRISPRCompare7), CRISPRCasFinder8, CRISPRRone8, CRISPR10, and anti-CRISPRdb database11. CRISPRFinder and its updated version CRISPR-CasFinder12 are tools to detect CRISPR arrays and Cas gene clusters. CRISPRdb6 focus on displaying CRISPR arrays and generating dictionaries of spacers and repeats. CRISPRCompare7 is used to compare CRISPRs. In general, the CRISPRs web server, CRISPRCasFinder8, CRISPR10 and CRISPRRone8 focuses on the annotation and characterization of CRISPR arrays of repeat-spacer units, and/or the Cas locus, while the anti-CRISPRdb11 is a database of manually collected anti-CRISPR proteins and their homologs from publications. However, each of these databases only focuses on a specific field of CRISPR biology and provides limited information concerning CRISPR-Cas systems or anti-CRISPRs, without building a connection between the microbe and phage based on CRISPR-Cas immunity. Currently, with the expansion of the diversity and classification of CRISPR-Cas systems, and novel findings on microbe–phage coevolution, the study of CRISPR-Cas systems requires all-inclusive data from multiple aspects/dimensions combined with thorough mining, yet such a comprehensive online resource or web server is still lacking.

Here, we develop the CRISPRminer database and web server to fill this gap by comprehensively collecting and mining the knowledge underlying these systems from published observations and generating instructive annotations, and developing pipelines for CRISPR-Cas system prediction, classification, and annotation based on python scripts and a series of public tools.

Results

Database content. The current CRISPRminer database has integrated five categories of information that are experimentally or computationally identified to be important for CRISPR studies (Fig. 1), including annotation and visualization of the CRISPR-Cas systems in 43,140 bacterial and 167 archaean organisms from 3588 species (1279 genera), classification of the CRISPR-Cas systems into six types (21 subtypes) based on signature Cas genes and distinctive gene architectures, collection of 22,110 self-targeting events from publications and detection of 6260 putative self-targeting events in 4136 bacterial organisms, inference of putative 55,279 microbe–phage interactions based on CRISPR-spacer sequences and 1972 relations extracted from NCBI database, and annotation of the anti-CRISPR proteins experimentally identified in published papers.

CRISPR array and Cas protein annotation module. By investigating completely or partially sequenced archaean and bacterial genomes downloaded from the NCBI FTP site (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/all/), we identified 91,685 CRISPR arrays in 62,176 bacterial and 167 archaean organisms (distributed in 3588 species of 1279 genera) using PILER-CR12, among which 43,140 organisms (including 2467 complete genomes and 262 plasmids) are detected with both the CRISPR arrays and the corresponding Cas proteins. The process for CRISPR-Cas system detection is demonstrated in Fig. 2. Briefly, the PILER-CR program is used with default parameters to identify CRISPR arrays, and then sequences including 15 kbp upstream and downstream of the CRISPR arrays are extracted. Open Reading Frame (ORF) annotation is performed using FragGeneScan13. All ORFs are further annotated using RPS-BLAST against 395 profiles that represent 93 distinct Cas protein families. The CRISPR arrays lacking nearby Cas genes or Cas clusters lacking nearby CRISPRs are defined as isolated ones. The type of each CRISPR-Cas locus is determined according to the signature cas genes, such as major effector module genes including cas7, cas3, cas8, cas10, cas1, cas9, and cpf114. At the genus level, Mycobacterium, Escherichia, Clostridoides, and Salmonella contribute to approximately 50% of the detected CRISPR systems. At the species level, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Escherichia coli, Clostridoides difficile, and Salmonella enterica are the dominant species. However, the enriched genuses and species may be due to the largest number of strains sequenced for health-related issues, but not the actual distribution of these CRISPR-Cas systems in the microbial world.

In the CRISPR-Cas module, users can browse the precalculated CRISPR-Cas systems through the taxonomy tree, or fuzzy search of the bacterial and archaean description or using RefSeq accession numbers. Both global and local views are provided to visualize the detailed information. The global view provides the locations of the CRISPR regions on the genome coupled with the predicted genomic islands (GIs) using IslandViewer15 and predicted prophage regions using Prophinder16 and PHASTER17. The local view provides a visualization of the CRISPR arrays (shown as x10 for example, where 10 denotes the copy number of the repeats) and the Cas proteins (colored based on their functions such as acquisition, ancillary, CASCADE, crRNA maturation, and helper) with detailed information for the CRISPR array (location, repeats, and spacers) and Cas genes (locations, protein sequences, HMM profiles, and type/subtype). In addition, using the “compare” tool, users can compare the CRISPR systems among the organisms belonging to the same species or genus.

CRISPR-Cas system classification module. The Classification module provides (sub)types annotation of the CRISPR-Cas systems based on the archaean and distinctive gene architectures according to the most recent classification studies8,19 (Fig. 2). The classification scheme encompasses two classes and six types. Class 1 CRISPR-Cas systems are defined by the presence of a multi-subunit crRNA–effector complex, including type I (I-A, I-B, I-C, I-D, I-E, I-F, and I-U subtypes) and type III (III-A, III-B, III-C, and III-U subtypes) CRISPR-Cas systems, as well as the putative new type IV20. Class 2 CRISPR-Cas systems are defined by the presence of a single subunit crRNA–effector module, including type II (II-A, II-B, and II-C subtypes), as well as two putative new types V (V-A, V-B, V-C, and V-U subtypes) and VI (VI-A, VI-B, and VI-U subtypes)21. Users can conveniently browse the bacterial organisms belonging to each (sub) type with detailed information, such as bacterial description, RefSeq ID, Genbank ID, and the Cas operon composition.

Self-targeting module. Self-targeting is suggested as a form of autocommunity22, and has been used as a genomic marker to screen CRISPR-Cas inhibitor genes in Listeria monocytogenes23. The Self-Targeting module includes 22,110 self-targeting events collected from publications22-24 and 6260 putative self-targeting
events detected from 4136 CRISPR containing organisms using a similar methodology to previous reports\(^\text{13}\) (Fig. 2). Briefly, self-targeting spacers are detected using blastn (with default settings and an e-value limit of $10^{-2}$) against all the contigs within the same assembly except for the DNA bases within the predicted CRISPR arrays. These published and putative self-targeting events will enable the further exploration of their biological significance in CRISPR-based autoimmunity.

Microbe–phage interaction module. Recent work suggests that phage–host interactions can be inferred by analyzing CRISPR spacer sequences based on the hypothesis that hosts only incorporate spacer sequences from the phages that infect them\(^\text{25}\). In the “Interaction” module, to infer a large-scale microbe–phage infection network, the spacers from each microbe organism is collected and used to BLAST (blastn with parameters settings: `word_size = 8`, `e-value < 0.01`, and up to three mismatches allowed) against an integrated database of 2281 phage and archaeal virus genomes from the NCBI viral Genome Resource, the EMBL EBI phage genomes and the phagedb databases (Fig. 2). The interactions are grouped by their host and could be easily browsed or indexed by their host names. Additionally, information for interacting spacers and their targeting protospacers, as well as the phage genome content near protospacers, is provided. If the protospacer is located in a protein region, the protein annotation is also provided. Finally, each phage genome is also searched for its bacterial or archaeal host, which is annotated under the fields “isolate host” or “host” in its Genbank file. This analysis could help establish the link between phages and microbe, which might be an important supplement to the current understanding of natural microbial communities.

Anti-CRISPRs annotation module. This module contains all 23 anti-CRISPR protein families detected and validated in the CRISPR-bearing bacterial or archaeal organisms, including four from type I-E, ten from type I-F, five from type II-A, three from type II-C, and one from type I-D. Since more anti-CRISPRs are estimated to be uncovered, we searched for homologs using blastp (with `e-value < 0.01`, identity > 40%) of all validated anti-CRISPR proteins, extracted the surrounding genomic content (five
An Apache server, and the communication with the server is made through a Common Gateway Interface. The server works by redirecting or sending the user input to the python script for handling and displaying the results in numerical, textual, and visual output.

**Discussion**

In summary, we comprehensively collected information about CRISPR-Cas systems and anti-CRISPRs to construct the CRISPRminer web server, seeking to accelerate our understanding of the co-evolutionary relationship between microbe and phages. As a specifically designed CRISPR knowledge database, CRISPRminer not only integrates the dispersed data but also provides a convenient way for in-depth data mining. First, we provide a Prediction module to investigate all five categories of CRISPR-related information for an existing or a newly sequenced genome by uploading or pasting the sequence. Second, we provide several techniques for browsing and comparing the detected CRISPR-Cas systems in the CRISPR-Cas module. Users can look up desired bacterial and archaeal genomes through the taxonomy tree, or by a fuzzy search of the microbial description or use of the RefSeq accession number. The CRISPR systems could also be compared at different taxonomy levels (species or genus) and coupled to the annotation of genomic islands (GIs, regions of probable horizontal origin) and prophage regions. Third, by studying self-targeting spacers from all detected CRISPR arrays in currently sequenced bacterial genomes, users could further explore their biological meanings in CRISPR-based autoimmunity. Fourth, by blasting 918,168 spacers against an integrated database of 2281 phage genomes, a large-scale microbe–phage infection network is inferred and could support further characterization of the interaction patterns and underlying processes. Finally, we incorporated experimentally validated anti-CRISPR proteins and their homologs, and annotated their surrounding gene content to facilitate anti-CRISPR discovery. This comprehensive and user-friendly CRISPRminer offers a large collection of information that could be useful to the CRISPR or microbiology community and is a much-needed tool that will

---

**Prediction module**. The Prediction module is used to annotate CRISPR-related knowledge (including CRISPR arrays and Cas clusters annotation, CRISPR-Cas systems classification, interacting phages inference, self-targeting events detection, and anti-CRISPR homolog searching) for an existing or a newly sequenced genome by directly uploading or pasting the nucleotide sequence in FASTA format. The prediction procedure underlying CRISPRminer is implemented using python scripts and a series of public tools including blastn/blastp, rpsblast, HmmScan, FragGeneScan, PILER-CR, and CRISPRCasFinder (Fig. 2). At the CRISPR-Cas system annotation step, both the PILER-CR + HmmScan and CRISPRCasFinder strategies are supported. Briefly, in CRISPRCasFinder procedure, the CRISPR arrays are identified using CRISPRFinder, putative ORFs are identified using Prodigal v2.6.3, cas gene clusters and their (sub)type are determined using MacSyFinder. The detected CRISPR arrays coupled with nearby (within 10kbp) Cas clusters are identified as CRISPR-Cas loci according to their distances using the dynamic programming algorithm. The PILER-CR + HmmScan procedure is the same as previously described in CRISPR-Cas system annotation module.

**Web server**. CRISPRminer is implemented using the ThinkPHP framework and the front-end design is created using the Bootstrap framework, which is a well-established tool for intuitive design. Besides, the front-end design is also created using the cross-platform JavaScript library jQuery, which is designed to simplify the client-side scripting of HTML. In addition, CRISPRminer also uses DataTable, the plugin for jQuery, to conveniently display data. CRISPRminer back-end runs on top of a Web server.
facilitate research on CRISPR biology and complement other available tools for CRISPR-based applications such as genome editing. Our database will be updated regularly with newly released bacterial and archaean genomes and provide all five categories of CRISPR-related information for these genomes automatically by utilizing the underlying prediction procedure.

**Code availability.** The public tools used in this study can be downloaded from their official website, such as blastn/blastp and rpsblastp (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), Hmmscan (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/hmmscan), PILER-CR (https://www.drive5.com/pilercr/), FragGeneScan (http://omics.informatics.indiana.edu/FragGeneScan/), and CRISPRCasFinder (https://crisprcas.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/Home/Download). CRISPRminer user manual is available at http://www.microbiome-bigdata.com/CRISPRminer/index.php/Home/Index/help. The source code for CRISPRminer is available in the GitHub repository (https://github.com/hitzhangfan/microbiome-bigdata-Analyzer/tree/master/CRISPRminer).

**Data availability**

Bacterial and archaean genome sequences were downloaded from NCBI FTP site (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/all/) in May 2017. 395 profiles were collected from a recent study (Makarova et al., Nat. Rev. Microbiol. (2015)). Prophage regions were extracted from PHASTER (http://phaster.ca/) and ALACME database (http://aclame.ulb.ac.be/Tools/Prophinder/). Genome islands were downloaded from IslandViewer 4 web server (http://www.pathogenomics.sfu.ca/islandviewer/). Phage genomes were downloaded from NCBI viral Genome Resource (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/viruses/), EMBL EBI phage genomes (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ebi/genomes/phage.html), and phagedb (http://phagesdb.org/). Besides, the datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study could be downloaded online from the CRISPRminer website (http://www.microbiome-bigdata.com/CRISPRminer/). We confirm that all relevant data are available from the authors.
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