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Freestanding silicene, a monolayer of Si arranged in a honeycomb structure, has been predicted to give rise to massless Dirac fermions, akin to graphene. However, Si structures grown on a supporting substrate can show properties that strongly deviate from the freestanding case. Here, combining scanning tunneling microscopy/spectroscopy and differential conductance mapping, we show that the electrical properties of the \((\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})\) phase of few-layer Si grown on Ag(111) strongly depend on film thickness, where the electron phase coherence length decreases and the free-electron-like surface state gradually diminishes when approaching the interface. These features are presumably attributable to the inelastic inter-band electron-electron scattering originating from the overlap between the surface state, interface state and the bulk state of the substrate. We further demonstrate that the intrinsic electronic structure of the as grown \((\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})\) phase is identical to that of the \((\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^\circ\) reconstructed Ag on Si(111), both of which exhibit the parabolic energy-momentum dispersion relation with comparable electron effective masses. These findings highlight the essential role of interfacial coupling on the properties of two-dimensional Si structures grown on supporting substrates, which should be thoroughly scrutinized in pursuit of silicene.

Silicene, a monolayer of Si arranged in a honeycomb structure, has attracted tremendous attention in the past few years as an alternative Dirac system to graphene\(^1\)–\(^4\), with the advantages of being readily adapted to the current mainstream Si-based electronics and possessing a strong spin–orbit coupling which may lead to potential applications in spintronics\(^5\)–\(^7\). Thus far, freestanding silicene has not been synthesized. Most of the silicene structures were grown on Ag surfaces\(^8\)–\(^18\), with a few successes on ZrB\(_2\)\(^19\) and Ir\(^20\). Yet, interaction with the substrate may render it rather difficult to probe the intrinsic properties of silicene\(^21\)–\(^24\). Furthermore, if the inversion symmetry of the silicene lattice is broken by orbital hybridization with the substrate, it will lead to the breakdown of the Dirac fermion characteristics that are predicted in the freestanding silicene\(^21\)–\(^24\).

Among the various superstructures observed on the Ag(111) surface, silicene of the \((\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})\) phase has been claimed to be weakly bound to the substrate and thus maintains the massless Dirac fermions in early reports\(^25\)–\(^28\). However, more recent studies found that the \((\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})\) surface reconstruction occurs on multilayered Si instead of a monolayer\(^15\)–\(^18,29,30\). In addition, such a \((\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})\) phase resembles both geometrically and electronically the reconstructed Ag on Si(111), suggesting the formation of a surface alloy\(^31\)–\(^33\). Two central questions then arise, i.e., what is the bonding configuration in this multilayered structure and how stable is the film. Since it is well known that Si tends to form sp\(^3\) hybridization over sp\(^2\) at room temperature and atmospheric pressure, one would expect a bulk-like Si structure to form spontaneously as the interaction strength with the substrate surface decays with...
increasing layer thickness. Indeed, this structure transition was observed recently by low energy electron microscopy and Raman measurements\textsuperscript{31,34}.

In this letter, we report the influence of interfacial coupling on the electronic structure and electrical properties of multilayered Si grown on the Ag(111) surface via scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and spectroscopy (STS) measurements. We observe the growth of a $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ phase on top of the $7 \times 7$ interfacial layer, which results in a $2 \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}$ superstructure. We further show that the intrinsic electronic structure of the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ reconstructed surface is identical to that of the Si(111)-Ag$\sqrt{1 \times 1}$R30° surface, and both exhibit the parabolic dispersion relation with comparable electron effective masses. However, in few-layer $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ Si structures, the electron phase coherence length decreases and the free-electron-like surface state gradually diminishes when approaching the interface, suggesting a strong substrate influence on the electrical properties of thin films. We attribute this finding to the inelastic inter-band electron-electron scattering originating from the overlap between the surface state, interface state and the bulk state of the substrate.

Results and Discussion

The growth of Si on the Ag(111) substrate shows a rich phase diagram, among which the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ phase is often observed in multilayers grown at a relatively high temperature. As shown in Fig. S1(a), at 320°C a nearly complete monolayer of the $\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7}$ superstructure initially forms, consistent with the previous reports\textsuperscript{31,34}. It is worth noting that $\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7}$ is not a highly ordered phase, rendering assignment of the atomic structure challenging\textsuperscript{10,35,36}. Recently, a universal model has been proposed to explain the variety of $\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7}$ structures that have been reported thus far\textsuperscript{14}. Essentially, $\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7}$ stands for a superstructure formed between a Si monolayer in the honeycomb lattice (Si(1 × 1)) and the Ag(111) substrate surface with the disorder driven by strain relaxation. Upon further deposition, the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ phase emerges. Fig. S1(b) illustrates a surface with the co-existence of both structures.

To investigate the evolution of the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ reconstructed film and its interplay with both the $\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7}$ Si superstructure and the Ag(111) substrate, we perform STM and STS measurements. Fig. 1(a) shows the observation of the first $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ atomic layer. The area outlined by the black dotted line in (a) presents a continuous film. The apparent layer numbers are labeled in the boxed regions of (a) and (b), which are also used as reference locations for zoomed-in STM topography images discussed later. Note that the area outlined by the black dotted line in (a) presents a continuous film. (c) Apparent height line profiles taken along the green, red, blue, and black marks denoted in (a) and (b) with the appropriate corresponding color. The line profiles show the apparent interlayer spacing of Si structures (blue arrows), the apparent height difference between the first $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ atomic layer and the underlying Ag surface (the green arrow), and the apparent out-of-plane spacing of the Ag(111) substrate (the black arrow). The interlayer spacing of Si structures measured by STM matches with the d-spacing of bulk Si(111).
about 1.50 ± 0.10 Å. To exclude the influence of the substrate defect on the apparent height measurement, Fig. S3(a) shows another area of the film grown on a large and clean Ag(111) terrace. As depicted in the line profile, the apparent height of the first (√3 × √3) atomic layer is 1.60 Å, within the same range as that obtained in Fig. 1(c). Note that the height measurement depends on the bias applied between the tip and sample due to the different density of states present on the surfaces of the Ag(111) substrate and the (√3 × √3) reconstructed adlayer. Figure 1(b) shows the multilayered (√3 × √3) structures with further deposition, where the line profiles along the black, red, and blue traces indicate that the inter-layer spacing of the (√3 × √3) reconstructed films beyond the first atomic layer is 3.14 ± 0.03 Å, consistent with the d-spacing of bulk Si(111) within the experimental error. We attribute this observation to the...
formation of $sp^3$ hybridization in the bulk-like multilayered films. The step height of Ag(111) is $2.36 \pm 0.03$ Å, as marked by the black arrow in Fig. 1(c).

High-resolution STM imaging is further performed on the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) structures, as depicted by the square boxes in Fig. 1(a,b), with well-defined layer numbers. Figure 2 shows the corresponding first layer ((a)-(c)), second layer ((d)-(f)), third layer ((g)-(i)), and fourth layer ((j)-(l)) topographies at three different sample biases (+1.5 V, +0.5 V, and −1.0 V). The primitive unit cell and surface superstructures are labeled by the red and black diamonds, respectively, with the corresponding diffraction spots illustrated by the red and black circles in the inserted fast Fourier transform (FFT) images. As one can see, on the first and second atomic layers, a pronounced ($\sqrt{2}T \times \sqrt{2}T$) periodicity in addition to the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) pattern is observed in both the STM and FFT images taken at the sample biases of +1.5 V and +0.5 V. However, the ($\sqrt{2}T \times \sqrt{2}T$) superstructure decays with increasing layer thickness, as evidenced by the images taken on the third and fourth atomic layers at the same biases, and eventually disappears on thicker films (see the STM images in Fig. S4 which were taken on the sixth ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) atomic layer).

In contrast, only ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) structure can be identified on these films imaged at a sample bias of −1.0 V.

To account for the bias and thickness dependent topography, STS spectra are taken on films of varying thickness. As shown in Fig. 3(a,b), two pronounced filled states at $\sim −0.2 \text{ eV}$ and $\sim −0.9 \text{ eV}$ can be identified on all atomic layers. Meanwhile, as the layer thickness increases, both states shift slightly towards the Fermi level, but the energy difference between the two remains constant ($\sim 0.7 \text{ eV}$). It is worth noting that this property of the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) reconstructed surface resembles that of the Si(111)-Ag,Ag($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)30° surface, which exhibits a bonding state composed of the Ag 5p orbitals with the binding energy ranged between 0 and $−0.3 \text{ eV}$ with respect to the Fermi level (denoted as $s_1$), and a state stemming mainly from the Ag 5s orbitals that are centered around $−1 \text{ eV}$ (denoted as $s_2/s_3$)37–40. It is also known that charge donation to the Si(111)-Ag($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)30° surface by the presence of excess Ag atoms (beyond what is needed to form the reconstructed surface, i.e., ~1 ML) induces a peak shift of the surface states away from the Fermi level, while the energy difference between $s_1$ and $s_2/s_3$ states is kept constant at 0.7 eV39–41. The striking similarities between these two surfaces suggest that the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) reconstructed structure achieved by depositing Si on the Ag(111) substrate should intrinsically be a Ag-Si alloy via diffusion of Ag atoms from the substrate to the top surface through the bulk-like Si film. Similar to the Si(111)-Ag($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)30° surface, the energy shift of the surface states can be attributed to electron donation by excess Ag atoms. We expect this carrier doping effect to decay as the film thickness increases, resulting in a gradual shift of surface state peaks toward the Fermi level.

Next, we discuss the bias-dependent imaging associated with this distinct surface electronic structure. When the surface is imaged at the $−1 \text{ V}$ sample bias, the $s_2/s_3$ surface state dominates the tunneling process, leading to the observation of the prevalent filled state image of the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) structure (see the STM images in Fig. S4 which were taken on the sixth ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) atomic layer). However, when the surface is imaged at $+1.5 \text{ V}$, where the density of states is mainly contributed from the bulk-like Si film38,40, the majority of electrons from the tip will tunnel into the empty state of the bulk. Thus, the corresponding STM images are governed by the atomic structure of the film as well as the interference
pattern between the top surface and the bottom interface, showing both the Moiré pattern and the superstructure, i.e., Moiré pattern, as presented in Fig. 2(a,d,g,j), especially in the thin film case. Intriguingly, at a sample bias of $+0.5\;\text{V}$, the Moiré pattern is only pronounced in the images taken on the first and second atomic layers (Fig. 2(b,e)), while on thicker films the periodicity dominates (Fig. 2(h,k)), presumably due to the enhanced $s_1$ state and the associated electron tunneling to $s_1$, resulting in the observation of the empty state image of the structure (see the STM images in Fig. S4 which were taken on the sixth ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) atomic layer).

To confirm that the periodicity indeed originates from Moiré interference, we performed a calculation on the geometric structure and the surface diffraction pattern, assuming that the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30° adlayer is grown above the ($\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7}$)R19.1° interface structure. Note that these periodicities are all indexed with regard to the Si lattice. As shown in Fig. S5, when the primary unit vectors of the two lattices are azimuthally rotated to each other by 10.9°, a ($\sqrt{21} \times \sqrt{21}$) superstructure is generated in both the surface electron diffraction pattern and the simulated geometric structure, suggesting a well-defined epitaxial relation between the two. Note that the FFT images can be directly compared with the surface diffraction pattern as they both probe the reciprocal space of the lattices. Here, the observation of the Moiré pattern indicates that the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) phase tends to grow on top of the ($\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7}$) interface structure instead of directly on the Ag(111) substrate. During this process, the nearby ($\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7}$) material is being consumed. Finally, we note that the ($\sqrt{21} \times \sqrt{21}$) Moiré pattern fades away as the interface/substrate influence subsides, which is evidenced by the diminished ($\sqrt{21} \times \sqrt{21}$) signal in the thicker multilayered films shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. S4.

As is well known, the $s_1$ state of the analogous Si(111)-Ag($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30° surface exhibits a parabolic dispersion crossing the Fermi level that is located within the projected bulk band gap, enabling the propagation of a surface two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG)\cite{27-29}. Although there have been reports on the energy-momentum dispersion relation of the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) phase of few-layer Si grown on Ag(111) by differential conductance (dI/dV) mapping of surface standing wave patterns, these measurements...
were performed over very limited energy ranges. Consequently, linear dispersion relations have been claimed and attributed to the existence of Dirac fermions. Here, we conduct similar measurements by dI/dV mapping, but over a wide energy range, on a \(33\times \sqrt{3}\) reconstructed Si film with thickness larger than six atomic layers. Note that in this letter this is the only experiment carried out at the liquid helium temperature (4.5 K), which is crucial for probing the scattering of low-energy surface electrons. Compared to the images of the surface taken at 77 K, triangular-shaped domains separated by domain boundaries are observed, suggesting that a structural phase transition has occurred. Nevertheless, these domain boundaries do not seem to act as scattering centers for surface electrons. Rather, scattering is dominated by the step edges, resulting in distinct standing wave patterns at different sample biases, as revealed in Fig. 4 (c–f). The layout of the participating step edges is illustrated in a zoomed-out STM image as shown in Fig. S7(a). With the wave numbers of the surface electrons at different energies further determined from the FFT images of the corresponding dI/dV maps, we are able to derive a parabolic dispersion relation of the free-electron like surface state. The effective electron mass, as deduced from the dispersion curvature, is \(m^*=0.15\pm 0.01m_e\), where \(m_e\) is the free electron mass. These results are consistent with those obtained on the Si(111)-Ag(\(\sqrt{3}\times \sqrt{3}\))R30° surface. Thus, we can conclude that the \(\sqrt{3}\times \sqrt{3}\) phase of few-layer Si grown on Ag(111) is not a Dirac fermion system as claimed by Chen et al., instead it shows the 2DEG properties identical to Si(111)-Ag(\(\sqrt{3}\times \sqrt{3}\))R30°. It is worth noting that such a parabolic dispersion was previously observed, although it was originally attributed to the Ag(111) surface state.

Built on the profound understanding of the surface electronic structure and the origin of the surface 2DEG, we next explore the evolution of the s1 state, specifically its magnitude and line width, as a function of layer thickness. As shown in Fig. 3(b), the s1 state is suppressed on the first \(\sqrt{3}\times \sqrt{3}\) atomic layer, while on layers further away from the interface the corresponding STS peak becomes more pronounced and sharper. To comprehend the trend, we perform a series of dI/dV mapping on \(\sqrt{3}\times \sqrt{3}\)
reconstructed films of varying thickness on Ag(111). The sample bias is chosen between +0.3 V and +0.9 V, allowing standing waves to be pronounced at 77 K.

Figure 5(a,b) show the surface topography and corresponding differential conductance map, respectively, taken along the boundary between the bare Ag(111) substrate and the first \( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \) atomic layer (yellow square in Fig. 1(a)). Although standing wave oscillations through the Shockley surface state on Ag(111) are readily observed \(^{46}\), the wave oscillations are too weak to be distinguished on the \( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \) structure. This is further corroborated by the line profile, as shown in Fig. 5(e), taken along the A–B section illustrated in Fig. 5(b). In contrast, standing wave oscillations start to emerge on the second \( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \) atomic layer, accompanied by an increased wave magnitude and wave decay length with layer number. As illustrated in the differential conductance map in Fig. 5(d) (the corresponding topography shown in Fig. 5(c)) and the line profile across the boundary of the second and third \( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \) atomic layers (red lines in Fig. 5(d,e)), only three weak standing wave oscillation peaks are observed on the second layer, while it shows four strong oscillations on the third layer. The zoomed-out STM image of the same area is presented in Fig. S9(a), where the layer numbers can be precisely identified. A similar example of the strengthened standing wave pattern on upper layers is depicted in Fig. S8(d,e), and as well in Fig. S9(c,d). Note that the potential difference in the strength of the scattering barrier at the vacuum-film vs. the film-film edge and how it contributes to the standing wave oscillation have been thoroughly evaluated, with the details provided in Fig. S10.

It is known that the phase coherence length of a 2DEG, \( L_{\phi} \), resulting from the free-electron-like surface state, is proportional to the decay length of the surface standing wave and inversely proportional to the line width of the STS peak \(^{47-50}\). The similarity in the thickness-dependent trend between the evolution of the \( s_1 \) state and the standing wave pattern further confirms that the 2DEG observed on the \( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \) phase originates from the \( s_1 \) surface state. Moreover, the thickness-dependent \( L_{\phi} \) strongly reflects a substrate influence on the properties of the 2DEG. We speculate that the inelastic inter-band electron-electron \((e-e)\) scattering resulting from the overlap between the \( s_1 \) state and the bulk state of the Ag substrate is the main driving force for the decayed/diminished standing wave observed on the \( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \) structures closely atop the Ag(111) substrate \(^{48,51,52}\). A similar scattering mechanism has led to the decay of standing waves on noble metal surfaces when the surface state band approaches the bulk band edge \(^{48,52}\).

The strong substrate effect is also reflected in the electronic structure of the \( \sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7} \) pattern. Figure 6(a) shows the STS spectra taken on the bare Ag(111) surface and on the \( \sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7} \) surface. The Shockley surface state rising at \( \sim -60 \) mV accounts for the 2DEG observed on the \( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \) surface, as illustrated in Fig. 5(b), Fig. 6(b,c), and Fig. S8(a-c). However, this state is smeared by the \( \sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7} \) structure, likely owing to the strong hybridization between the adlayer and the substrate surface \(^{21-24}\), leading to the formation of a new interface state at around 0.2 eV above the Fermi level. The differential conductance mapping images shown in Fig. 6(b,c) further illustrate the absence of standing waves.
underneath the ($\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7}$) structure, suggesting that the free-electron-like Ag(111) surface state has been eliminated\textsuperscript{33}. Thus, in contrast to the earlier report\textsuperscript{28}, our observation implies that the 2DEG observed on the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) phase grown on top of the ($\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7}$) structure cannot originate from the Ag(111) surface state.

**Conclusion**

We have investigated the influence of interfacial coupling on the electronic structure of the few-layer Si grown on the Ag(111) surface. These films display the bulk-like $sp^3$ hybridization, while the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) reconstructed surface interferes with the ($\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7}$) interfacial structure resulting in a ($\sqrt{2}T \times \sqrt{2}T$) Moiré pattern. Combining STS and differential conductance mapping, we show that the free-electron-like surface state of the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) structure gradually diminishes, associated with a decrease in electron phase coherence length when approaching the interface. These features are presumably attributable to the inelastic inter-band electron-electron scattering originating from the overlap between the surface state, interface state and the bulk state of the substrate. We further demonstrate that the intrinsic electronic structure of the as-grown ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) phase is identical to that of the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30° reconstructed Ag on Si(111), both of which exhibit the parabolic energy-momentum dispersion relation with comparable electron effective masses. These findings highlight the essential role of interfacial coupling on the properties of two-dimensional Si structures grown on supporting substrates, which should be thoroughly scrutinized in pursuit of silicene.

**Methods**

The experiments were carried out in an Omicron NanoTechnology GmbH low-temperature scanning tunneling microscope (LT-STM) equipped with a separate sample preparation system. The base pressures of the two chambers were both maintained below 1 × 10\textsuperscript{-10} mbar. The silver (Ag) substrate was cleaned in the preparation system by argon ion sputtering (1 keV/25 A) for 30 minutes followed by thermal annealing at ∼ 500 °C for several cycles. Si was then evaporated from a custom-built evaporator (∼ 1000 °C) onto the preheated Ag substrate (∼ 320 °C) with a growth rate of ∼ 0.05 ML/min. After deposition, the sample was in situ transferred to the LT-STM chamber and cooled to 77 K or 4.5 K for STM/STS measurements. STS and differential conductance mapping were obtained by applying a small modulation signal (18 mV) at the frequency of 1.2 kHz to the tip-sample junction and detecting the corresponding ac tunneling current signal with a lock-in amplifier. Spectra on Ag(111) were taken periodically as a reference to confirm tip consistency.

**References**

1. Takeda, K. & Shiraiishi, K. Theoretical possibility of stage corrugation in Si and Ge analogs of graphite. *Phys Rev B* **50**, 14916 (1994).

2. Cahangirov, S., Topsakal, M., Aktürk, E., Sahin, H. & Ciraci, S. Two- and one-dimensional honeycomb structures of silicon and germanium. *Phys Rev Lett* **102**, 236804 (2009).

3. Guzmán-Verri, G. G. & Voon, L. Y. Electronic structure of silicon-based nanostructures. *Phys Rev B* **76**, 075131 (2007).

4. Ni, Z. et al. Tunable bandgap in silicon and germanene. *Nano Lett* **12**, 113 (2012).

5. Ezawa, M. Valley-polarized metals and quantum anomalous Hall effect in silicene. *Phys Rev Lett* **109**, 055502 (2012).

6. Liu, C.-C., Feng, W. & Yao, Y. Quantum spin Hall effect in silicene and two-dimensional germanium. *Phys Rev Lett* **107**, 076802 (2011).

7. Liu, C.-C., Jiang, H. & Yao, Y. Low-energy effective Hamiltonian involving spin-orbit coupling in silicene and two-dimensional germanium and tin. *Phys Rev B* **84**, 195430 (2011).

8. Vöglt, P. et al. Silicene: Compelling experimental evidence for graphene-like two-dimensional silicon. *Phys Rev Lett* **108**, 155501 (2012).

9. Feng, B. et al. Evidence of silicene in honeycomb structures of silicon on Ag(111). *Nano Lett* **12**, 3507 (2012).

10. Liu, Z. L. et al. The fate of the ($2\sqrt{3} \times 2\sqrt{3}$)R30° silicene phase on Ag(111). *APL Mater* **2**, 092513 (2014).

11. Salomon, E. & Kahn, A. One-dimensional organic nanostructures: A novel approach based on the selective adsorption of organic molecules on silicon nanowires. *Surf Sci* **602**, L79 (2008).

12. Dávila, M. E. et al. Comparative structural and electronic studies of hydrogen interaction with isolated versus ordered silicon nanoribbons grown on Ag(110). *Nanotechnology* **23**, 385703 (2012).

13. Kara, A. et al. A review on silicene—New candidate for electronics. *Surf Sci Rep* **67**, 1 (2012).

14. Janotchtian, H. et al. A comprehensive study of the ($2\sqrt{3} \times 2\sqrt{3}$)R30° structure of silicene on Ag(111). *e-print arXiv*:1412.4902 (2014).

15. Resta A. et al. Atomic structures of silicene layers grown on Ag(111): Scanning tunneling microscopy and noncontact atomic force microscopy observations. *Sci Rep* **3**, 2399 (2013).

16. Aralunie, R. et al. Structural transition of silicene on Ag(111). *Surf Sci* **608**, 297 (2013).

17. De Padova P. et al. 24 h stability of thick multilayer silicene in air. *2D Mater* 1, 021003 (2014).

18. Sone, H. et al. Epitaxial growth of silicon on ultra-thin Ag(111) films. *New J Phys* **16**, 095004 (2014).

19. Fleurence, A. et al. Experimental evidence for epitaxial silicene on diboride thin films. *Phys Rev Lett* **108**, 245501 (2012).

20. Meng, L. et al. Buckled silicene formation on Ir(111). *Nano Lett* **13**, 685 (2013).

21. Gou, Z. X., Furuya, I., Iwata, J. & Oshiyama, A. Absence and presence of Dirac electrons in silicene on substrates. *Phys Rev B* **87**, 235435 (2013).

22. Liu, C. L. et al. Substrate-induced symmetry breaking in silicene. *Phys Rev Lett* **110**, 076803 (2013).

23. Cahangirov, S. et al. Electronic structure of silicene on Ag(111): Strong hybridization effects. *Phys Rev B* **88**, 035432 (2013).

24. Tsoutsou, D., Xenogiannopoulos, E., Goliak, E., Tsipas, P. & Dimoulas, A. Evidence for hybrid surface metallic band in (4×4) silicene on Ag(111). *Appl Phys Lett* **103**, 231604 (2013).

25. Chen, L. et al. Evidence for Dirac fermions in a honeycomb lattice based on silicon. *Phys Rev Lett* **109**, 056804 (2012).
26. Feng, B. et al. Observation of Dirac cone warping and chirality effects in silicene. *ACS Nano* 7, 9049 (2013).
27. Chen, L. et al. Spontaneous symmetry breaking and dynamic phase transition in monolayer silicene. *Phys Rev Lett* 110, 085504 (2013).
28. Chen, J. et al. Persistent Dirac fermion state on bulk-like Si(111) surface. *e-print* arXiv:1405.7534 (2014).
29. Arafune, R., Lin, C. L., Nagao, R., Kawai, M. & Takagi, N. Comment on “Evidence for Dirac fermions in a honeycomb lattice based on silicene.” *Phys Rev Lett* 110, 229701 (2013).
30. Padoca, P. et al. Evidence of Dirac fermions in multilayer silicene. *Appl Phys Lett* 102, 163106 (2012).
31. Mannix, A. J., Kiraly, B., Fisher, B. L., Hersam, M. C. & Guisinger, N. P. Silicon growth at the two-dimensional limit on Ag(111). *ACS Nano* 8, 7538 (2014).
32. Yamagami, T., Sone, J., Nakatsuji, K. & Hirayama, H. Surfactant role of Ag atoms in the growth of Si layers on Si(111) (√3 × √3)-Ag substrates. *Appl Phys Lett* 105, 151603 (2014).
33. Shirai, T. et al. Structure determination of multilayer silicene grown on Ag(111) films by electron diffraction: Evidence for Ag segregation at the surface. *Phys Rev B* 89, 241403(R) (2014).
34. Acun, A., Poelsena, B., Zandvliet, H. J. W. & van Gastel, R. The instability of silicene on Ag(111). *Appl Phys Lett* 103, 263119 (2013).
35. Jamgotchian, H. et al. Growth of silicene layers on Ag(111): Unexpected effect of the substrate temperature. *J Phys: Condens Matter* 24, 172001 (2012).
36. Rahman, M. S., Nakagawa, T. & Mizuno, S. Growth of Si on Ag(111) and determination of large commensurate unit cell of high-temperature phase. *Ipn J Appl Phys* 54, 015502 (2015).
37. Aizawa, H. & Tsukada, M. First-principles study of Ag adatoms on the Si(111)-Ag,√3 × √3-R30° surface. *Phys Rev B* 59, 10923 (1999).
38. Hasegawa, S. et al. Surface state bands on silicon-Si(111)-Ag surface superstructure. *Ipn J Appl Phys* 39, 3815 (2000).
39. Johansson, L. S. O., Landemark, E., Karlsson, C. J. & Uhrberg, R. I. G. Fermi-level pinning and surface-state band structure of the Si(111)-Ag,√3 × √3-R30° surface. *Phys Rev Lett* 63, 2092 (1989).
40. Wan, K., Lin, X. F. & Nomaguchi, J. Reexamination of the Ag/Si(111)-√3 × √3 surface by scanning tunneling microscopy. *Phys Rev B* 45, 9509 (1992).
41. Nakajima, Y., Takeda, S., Nagao, T., Hasegawa, S. & Tong, X. Surface electrical conduction due to carrier doping into a surface-state band on Si(111)-√3 × √3-Ag. *Phys Rev B* 56, 6782 (1997).
42. Tong, X., Jiang, C. S. & Hasegawa, S. Electronic structure of the Si(111)-√3 × √3-(Ag+Au) surface. *Phys Rev B* 57, 9015 (1998).
43. Uhrberg, R. I. G., Zhang, H. M., Balasubramanian, T., Landemark, E. & Yeom, H. W. Photoelectron spectroscopy study of Ag/Si(111)-√3 × √3 and the effect of additional Ag adatoms. *Phys Rev B* 60, 12002 (1999).
44. Ono, M., Nishigata, Y., Nishio, T., Eguchi, T. & Hasegawa, Y. Electrostatic potential screened by a two-dimensional electron system: A real-space observation by scanning-tunneling spectroscopy. *Phys Rev Lett* 96, 016801 (2006).
45. Hirahara, T., Matsuda, I., Ueno, M. & Hasegawa, S. The effective mass of a free-electron-like surface state of the Ag/Si(111)-√3 × √3 surface investigated by photoemission and scanning tunneling spectroscopies. *Surf Sci* 563, 191 (2004).
46. Pennec, Y. et al. Supramolecular gratings for tunable confinement of electrons on metal surfaces. *Nat Nanotechnol* 2, 99 (2007).
47. Bürgi, L. & Leandpeux, O., Brune, H. & Kern, K. Probing hot-electron dynamics at surfaces with a cold scanning tunneling microscope. *Phys Rev Lett* 82, 4516 (1999).
48. Vitali, L. et al. Inter- and intraband inelastic scattering of hot surface state electrons at the Ag(111) surface. *Surf Sci* 523, 47 (2003).
49. Fukumoto, H., Aoki, Y. & Hirayama, H. Decay of Shockley surface state by randomly adsorbed Bi atoms at Ag(111) surfaces. *Phys Rev B* 86, 165311 (2012).
50. Li, J., Schneider, W.-D., Berndt, R., Bryant, O. R. & Crampin, S. Surface-state lifetime measured by scanning tunneling spectroscopy. *Phys Rev Lett* 81, 4464 (1998).
51. Silkin, V. M., Balassia, A., Leonardo, A., Chulkov, E. V. & Echenique, P. M. Dynamic screening and electron dynamics in nonhomogeneous metal systems. *Appl Phys A* 92, 453 (2008).
52. Ünal, A. A. et al. Hybridization between the unoccupied Shockley surface state and bulk electronic states on Cu(111). *Phys Rev B* 84, 073107 (2011).
53. Martínez-Galera, A., Brihuega, I. & Gómez-Rodriguez, J. M. Ethylene irradiation: A new route to grow graphene on low reactivity metals. *Nano Lett* 11, 3576 (2011).

Acknowledgments
Research supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Award #DMR-1410417 (synthesis of samples and scanning tunneling microscopy/spectroscopy studies), and by Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science Early Career Research Program through the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Award #DE-SC0006400 (calculations on surface diffraction pattern and geometric structure).

Author Contributions
PPZ. and J.F. conceived the project; J.F. performed the experiments, and analyzed the data with PPZ.; S.R.W. carried out calculations on surface diffraction pattern and geometric structure; PPZ., J.F. and S.R.W. wrote the manuscript and discussed the results.

Additional Information
Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Feng, J. et al. Interfacial Coupling and Electronic Structure of Two-Dimensional Silicon Grown on the Ag(111) Surface at High Temperature. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 10310; doi: 10.1038/srep10310 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/