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Key points

Dental students across the UK and Ireland self-report low confidence when addressing patient mental health in the dental clinic, especially when addressing undiagnosed psychiatric conditions.

Interactive workshop teaching is an effective method to significantly improve the confidence of dental students when addressing patient mental health.

This study recommends the implementation of interactive workshop teaching within BDS curricula to ensure graduates can ‘identify, explain and manage the impact of medical and psychological conditions’, as recommended by the GDC.

Abstract

Background Dental practitioners can have low confidence when addressing patient mental health as part of wider patient management. This is increasingly relevant due to the rising prevalence of mental health conditions and the relationship that can exist between mental and oral health. Interactive workshop teaching on patient mental health may enhance the confidence of dental students when addressing mental health conditions in patients. This study trialled workshop teaching as an educational intervention in five UK and Irish dental schools.

Methods A quantitative, scenario-based confidence survey to further establish the need for intervention, followed by delivery of a workshop intervention to volunteer participants. Pre- and post-workshop surveys were used to assess the effectiveness of the workshop.

Results Survey data showed low confidence among dental students when addressing patient mental health. Workshop intervention improved dental student confidence on average from 2.3–3.7 on a five-point scale, with less than 0.5% likelihood that reported changes in confidence were due to chance.

Discussion Low confidence of dental students addressing patient mental health scenarios echoed wider literature findings surrounding dental clinicians’ ability to address patient mental health. This further demonstrated the need for educational intervention, with workshop effectiveness demonstrated within this paper.

Conclusion Workshop teaching is an effective way to enhance dental student confidence when addressing patient mental health and should be considered for implementation in the Bachelor of Dental Surgery curriculum.

Background

Psychiatric disorders are becoming more common among the UK population. One in four people will experience a mental health problem in any given year,1 and in 2016, 20% of men and 30% of women had been diagnosed with a mental health condition.2 NHS adult psychiatric morbidity surveys have additionally reported an increase in prevalence of common mental disorders since 1993.3 From the perspective of both the GDC and holistic patient care, it is within the dental scope of practice to have an awareness of and to integrate psychiatry within dentistry, in order to minimise patients ‘falling through the gaps’ of psychiatric services.4

Previous studies have highlighted the low confidence dentists feel when addressing patients who have psychiatric conditions, reports of change in confidence were unlikely to be due to chance. This supports the findings of our study, which showed a significant improvement in confidence among dental students when attending a workshop on patient mental health.

Interactive workshop teaching improved dental student confidence on average from 2.3 to 3.7 on a five-point scale, with less than 0.5% likelihood that reported changes in confidence were due to chance. This demonstrates the need for educational intervention, with workshop effectiveness demonstrated within this paper.

Discussion

Low confidence of dental students addressing patient mental health scenarios echoed wider literature findings surrounding dental clinicians’ ability to address patient mental health. This further demonstrated the need for educational intervention, with workshop effectiveness demonstrated within this paper.

Conclusion

Workshop teaching is an effective way to enhance dental student confidence when addressing patient mental health and should be considered for implementation in the Bachelor of Dental Surgery curriculum.
where 46% of surveyed UK dentists did not refer patients with mental health conditions and if they did make a referral to a specialist dentist it was for a physical manifestation, rather than psychological. Often, dentists may have preconceived notions about how a patient might react to a discussion linking their oral health with their mental health, with 33% of surveyed dentists assuming a patient would be insulted by such a conversation. These assumptions can come from the lack of formal education received in this area, with the same study outlining that knowledge about psychiatric disorders and specifically eating disorders often came from media or personal experience, not dental school.

Currently, UK dental students report low confidence when addressing patient mental health and it is likely that this low confidence is due to the limited focus within the Bachelor of Dental Surgery (BDS) curriculum. Addressing patient mental health refers to ‘the ability to understand the impact of mental health on dental treatment, ask further questions in order to appropriately gauge a medical history, make psychiatric referrals or make recommendations to visit a GP.’ Consequently, workshops and communication skills teaching have been identified by dental students as methods to enhance their confidence and ability to address psychiatric conditions among patients.

Cochrane Reviews have established workshop teaching as a method that is able to introduce small to moderate changes in professional outcomes, highlighting that interactive education is additionally more effective than didactic learning. Further research has also demonstrated that in continuing medical education (CME), ‘formal interactive CME interventions are effective in changing physician performance’. As a result of dental student recommendation, CME research and Cochrane evidence, the core researchers (EE, AO, SS, CM and DH) developed an interactive, communication skills-based workshop, designed to be delivered to clinical years of the BDS curriculum. This study planned to further investigate UK and Irish dental students’ confidence when addressing patient mental health and then deliver intervention-based workshop teaching to address the issue.

### Methodology

#### Study design

The core researchers developed an approach of a quantitative, scenario-based confidence survey to further establish the need for intervention, followed by delivery of an educational workshop intervention to volunteer participants. Pre- and post-workshop surveys were used to assess the effectiveness of the workshop. The study was implemented across five dental schools, with each school having an interviewed and appointed team of student researchers who would conduct the study (Table 1).

#### Scenario-based confidence survey

The scenario-based confidence survey involved four fictional scenarios where patient mental health presented within the dental clinic (Table 2). These scenarios were generated by the core researchers based upon common mental health disorders among the UK population. Each scenario asked the respondent to rate how they would feel asking further questions or how confident they were in their ability to manage the patient. Participants rated themselves on a scale of 1 (‘no confidence’) to 10 (‘absolute confidence’) for each scenario.

This survey was intended to identify the confidence felt by UK and Irish dental students as a population and to potentially further evidence the need for the workshop intervention. The scenario-based confidence survey was conducted in each dental school before the workshop was delivered. The workshop teaching was to take place regardless of the survey results and the survey did not influence the design of the workshop, which had been developed before the study began and was based on previous work in one UK dental school.

This survey was created using SurveyMonkey or Google Forms and was emailed as an online link to all dental students in clinical year groups of the BDS degree at each institute. Clinical year groups were defined as year groups where dental students had patient-facing contact; typically, years three, four and five. The survey was not assessed for validity beyond face validity by the core researchers and the results were described using simple descriptive statistics.

---

**Table 1: Dental schools involved in the study and the appointed researchers responsible for conducting the research within each school**

| Dental school                                      | Appointed researchers                  |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Barts and the London Dental School, Queen Mary University of London | Emma Elliott,* Sathyam Sharma* and Alya Omar* |
| King’s College London                              | Gopi Patel and Anusha Chopra          |
| Trinity College Dublin, University of Dublin       | Varsha Lal, Alison McCullagh and Shahd Busnaina |
| Newcastle Dental School                            | Harriet Beaty and Aurelia Claudia      |
| Dundee Dental School                               | Alex Blair                             |

*Key:*  
* Student researchers at Barts and the London Dental School (EE, SS and AO) were responsible for the design and implementation of the overall study, alongside Dr Dominic Hurst and Dr Catherine Marshall.

**Table 2: Survey scenarios**

| Mental health condition | Scenario and question                                                                 |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Depression              | You are screening a new patient, Mr Frederick, a 50-year-old man. As part of the medical history, you ask if he suffers from any psychiatric disorders. After hesitating, he states he suffers from depression. The form requires that you ‘give details’ about his depression. How confident would you feel asking Mr Frederick further questions about his depression? |
| Eating disorders        | Ms Patel is a 20-year-old patient. You notice she is severely underweight and has a history of severe caries, so ask her to fill out a diet sheet. She later returns the diet sheet, which seems heavily exaggerated and does not seem to correspond to her frame. You suspect the patient may be hiding an eating disorder. How confident would you feel asking Ms Patel questions about a potential eating disorder? |
| Anxiety                 | You are screening Ms Angelou, a 40-year-old woman. She declares she has been recently diagnosed with generalised anxiety disorder. How confident would you feel in appropriately managing Ms Angelou’s dental care? |
| Schizophrenia           | You are treating Mr Chand, a 34-year-old man. He claims to have no medical history; however, he reveals he is taking medication which you recognise to be prescription anti-psychotic medication. How confident would you feel returning to the psychiatric disorders section of the medical history and asking further questions? |
The workshop intervention

An educational workshop designed to cover psychiatry within dentistry was created by the core researchers, including Dr Catherine Marshall, a clinical lecturer in psychiatry. The workshop was constructed to cover key topics outlined in Figure 1 and was intended to last between 1.5–2 hours. Case-based discussion was considered to be a focal point at the end of the workshop to link together the discussed concepts and to allow students to apply this knowledge within a small, group setting. In order to promote student engagement and open conversation with these case discussions the workshop was limited to 20 participants.

The workshop was delivered within each institute by the student researcher(s) and a mental health specialist local to each dental school. Volunteer participants from clinical year groups were invited to participate.

Pre- and post-workshop surveys

Workshop participants completed pre- and post-workshop surveys, which contained a mix of quantitative and qualitative questions (Table 3). The core researchers developed these questions and they were not validated beyond face validity. These surveys were used to assess average participant progress following the workshop and judge the effectiveness of the intervention. Quantitative aspects of the surveys were analysed using statistical analysis, applying a paired t-test to the confidence scale questions that appeared in both the pre- and post-workshop surveys.

Participants and setting

Research participants were students from the clinical years of the BDS degree at five different dental schools. Convenience and volunteer sampling was used to recruit participants for the workshop. It is important to note that the workshop was an extracurricular activity and thus was not officially timetabled, limiting participant availability.

Students were invited to participate in the workshop by email and face-to-face. Participant information and consent forms were distributed before each workshop.

Ethics

Ethical approval was granted independently by each of the five institutional ethics departments: QMREC2321a (Queen Mary University of London), DSREC122019/01 (Trinity College Dublin), MRSU-19/20-15011 (King’s College London), UoS/SDEN/UGI2019030 (Dundee) and 1867/848/2020 (Newcastle).

Results

Quantitative results

Scenario-based confidence survey

In total, 1,285 dental students from five UK and Irish dental schools were sent the survey, with 225 respondents, giving an average response rate of 18.8%. The results were collated and presented as average dental student confidence per question in Figure 2. Average self-reported student confidence was low, averaging 4.86/10 across all four questions. Confidence was lowest in scenario two, where an undiagnosed eating disorder was directly impacting dental treatment.

Workshop participant demographics

Overall, 63 dental students participated in the workshop teaching. The lowest attendance for an individual workshop was six participants and the highest attendance was 20 participants, with an average attendance of 12.6 participants per workshop. The majority of participants were in their fourth year of BDS (49.2%), with 28.8% in their fifth year and 22% in their third. It was anticipated that...
third-year students might negatively affect the averages; however, on analysis the average ‘pre-workshop survey’ confidence scale of those in third year was 2.25 and of those in fifth year 2.18.

Demographic data on workshop participants were limited to age range and whether they were graduate-entry or undergraduate-entry to the BDS programme (Figures 3 and 4). Two workshops were delivered in person as originally intended. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, three dental schools delivered the workshop virtually via Zoom or a similar service (Table 4). All workshops were co-delivered by the student researcher(s) and a mental health specialist local to that school. At Trinity College Dublin, the student researcher held a previous degree in psychology and so fulfilled both roles, alongside a local dentist with a special interest in mental health.

Pre-workshop and post-workshop survey comparison

Results were analysed using a paired t-test for the following three questions:

1. Dental signs and symptoms present as a consequence of mental health problems. How confident do you feel about recognising these?
2. How confident would you feel talking to a patient about their mental health?
3. If a patient presented with a mental health problem, how confident would you feel in your ability to address this if necessary?

Workshop participants were asked these three questions in the pre- and post-workshop survey to study how the workshop intervention influenced their confidence. These questions were felt to represent major teaching components of the workshop. Each question was answered on a scale of 1 (‘not confident’) to 5 (‘very confident’). Across all questions, the average increase in confidence was from 2.3–3.7. For each question and data set, the p value was <0.005, hence changes in confidence are less than 0.5% likely to be due to chance. Figure 5 outlines the changes in confidence pre- and post-workshop, with the Y axis representing the scale of 1–5 from ‘not confident’ (1) to ‘very confident’ (5).

Pre-workshop, only 7.6% of participants knew where they could refer a patient for further help regarding their mental health and were able to outline what they could do. Post workshop, 92.5% of participants were aware of where they could refer such patients and what services they could communicate with.

Feedback and qualitative results

In total, 57.4% of workshop participants strongly agreed with the statement ‘I found today’s workshop useful’ and the remaining participants either agreed with the statement (39.3%) or were neutral to the statement (3.3%). Selected participant comments about the usefulness of the workshop are highlighted below:

- ‘Great conversations and enlightening communication skills which can be put into practice with patients’
- ‘The most useful aspect of the workshop for me was going through the different options for referral that are available to us as dentists. I feel more confident about how to bring up mental health with my patients.’

In total, 58.3% of workshop participants strongly agreed with the statement ‘I enjoyed today’s workshop’ and the remaining participants either agreed with the statement (36.7%) or were neutral to/disagreed with the statement (5%). Selected participant comments about what they enjoyed most are highlighted below:
Discussion

The general low confidence of dental students across the baseline confidence survey scenarios reflected wider literature findings investigating the ability of dentists to address patient mental health, suggesting that there has been limited focus on enhancing teaching in this field.7,8 This further demonstrated the need for effective, formalised education of psychiatry within dentistry. Self-reported confidence was lowest when addressing the eating disorder scenario in the confidence survey. This low confidence likely related more to the context of the scenario, rather than the topic of eating disorders themselves. The scenario linked severe caries with the patient’s underlying psychological health and as such, demanded action and awareness of pathways available.

As indicated by a previous Cochrane review9 and CME research,10 an interactive, educational workshop can be an effective educational tool. In this study, student confidence increased as a result of the workshop in three target areas: confidence at recognising dental presentations that can relate to mental health, confidence when talking to their patients about mental health and confidence in their ability to generally address patient psychiatric disorders. It has been highlighted that, in medical undergraduate programmes, in-person psychiatry lectures are preferred as opposed to video recordings, suggesting interactivity is a component to student learning.11 Additionally, medical students believe their learning would be enhanced when focusing on commonly encountered psychiatric scenarios.12 Our workshop was able to encompass these elements and evidence the success of such a ‘student-focused’ intervention design.

The split between virtual workshop teaching in three schools and in-person workshop teaching at two schools had no negative impact on the overall average changes in participant confidence. This suggests that online educational tools can be equally effective and indicates success of virtual education during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, our data were too small to make a conclusive statement on the comparative effectiveness of the two delivery methods and further investigation is warranted.

Student feedback was overwhelmingly positive. The workshop was considered useful and enjoyable, with feedback asking for more fictional, case-based discussion and for handouts or guideline summaries. The development of guidelines for dental clinicians addressing patient mental health has long been discussed, with their development proposed in a 2001 paper.7 In 2000, the British Society for Disability and Oral Health created ‘Oral healthcare for people with mental health problems: guidelines and recommendations’,9 which primarily focused on the dental disease presentation of those with mental health disorders and barriers to accessing dental care.

Although pertinent, these guidelines do not provide a framework of action for a dental practitioner concerned about a patient’s mental health, making only a small reference to which services should be liaising with each other to overcome barriers to accessing dental care. There is still a need for guideline development for dental clinicians to be able to understand how to liaise externally when the patient is previously unknown to psychiatric services. In addition to supporting education in this area by evidencing the positive impact workshop teaching can have, we also highlight the need for further guideline development.

This study demonstrates that there is a need for education of psychiatry within dentistry and that students are receptive and engaged with teaching in this area, if they are able to attend. In accordance with the GDC statement ‘dental graduates should be able to identify, explain and manage the impact of medical and psychological conditions’,9 this study recommends including teaching on psychiatry within dentistry as part of the BDS curricula. The core researchers intend to develop an interactive, e-module workshop that can be delivered within dental schools. Under the COVID-19 pandemic, we feel that this is the most sustainable way to officially introduce such teaching into the curriculum.

Limitations

The scenario-based survey and pre- and post-workshop surveys were not validated beyond face validity. Given the widespread nature of the five dental schools involved in the study, we feel that the results are generalisable to the UK and Irish dental student population.
We collected limited demographics data and cannot comment on the diversity of the students who participated in the study. Additionally, we cannot comment on how self-reported confidence would translate into real clinical behaviour. While we feel our results could be applicable to other oral healthcare professionals, our study did not focus on their curricula or their confidence regarding patient mental health.

Conclusion

In the UK and Ireland, dental students self-report low confidence when addressing fictionalised patient mental health scenarios. Interactive, educational, workshop-based teaching, including fictionalised case discussions and co-delivery alongside a mental health specialist, was an effective tool for improving dental students’ confidence with patient mental health. We recommend that this should be considered for implementation into undergraduate dental student curricula and intend to act on this with the creation of an interactive e-module workshop for use in dental schools.
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