Characterization of the Nicotianamine Exporter ENA1 in Rice
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Under iron (Fe) deficiency, graminaceous plants produce and secrete Fe-chelating phytosiderophores of the mugineic acid (MA) family into the rhizosphere to solubilize and mediate uptake of sparingly soluble Fe in the soil. MAs and their biosynthetic intermediate, nicotianamine (NA), are also important for the translocation of divalent metals such as Fe and zinc (Zn) throughout the plant body. In this study, the physiological role of the efflux transporter EFFLUX TRANSPORTER OF NA (ENA1), which exports NA out of cells, was analyzed in rice. Promoter-GUS analysis showed that ENA1 was mainly expressed in roots, and strongly upregulated under Fe-deficient conditions. In epidermal onion cells and rice roots, green fluorescent protein-tagged ENA1 localized mainly to the plasma membrane, while a part of the fluorescence was observed in vesicular structures in the cytoplasm. In the younger stage after germination, ENA1-overexpressing rice plants exhibited truncated roots with many root hairs compared to wild-type plants, while these phenotype were not observed in high Zn-containing medium. In Arabidopsis, which use a different strategy for Fe uptake from rice, ENA1 overexpression did not show any apparent phenotypes. Oligo DNA microarray analysis in rice showed that ENA1 knockout affects the response to stress, especially in root plastids. These results suggest that ENA1 might be recycling between the plasma membrane and cellular compartments by vesicular transport, playing an important role in the transport of NA, which is important for the physiological response to Fe deficiency.
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INTRODUCTION

Iron (Fe) is an essential element for all living organisms. In plants, Fe plays a key role in electron transfer in both photosynthetic and respiratory reactions in chloroplasts and mitochondria. Fe deficiency leads to leaf chlorosis and decreased plant yield. On the other hand, excess Fe is toxic, because it catalyzes the generation of free radicals. To acquire Fe from the rhizosphere, plants have evolved two strategies (Marschner et al., 1986). In strategy-I plants, Fe is mobilized via coumarin-type siderophores (Schmid et al., 2014; Rajniak et al., 2018; Tsai et al., 2018) and Fe(III) reduction prior to uptake in the form of ferrous Fe (Eide et al., 1996; Robinson et al., 1999; Vert et al., 2002). In strategy-II plants, Fe is mobilized via chelation through mugineic acid
(MA)-type phytosiderophores (Takagi, 1976) and uptake of intact Fe(III)-phytosiderophore complexes (Römheld and Marschner, 1986). It has been believed that most plants acquire Fe by strategy-I, while only graminaceous plant species acquire Fe by strategy-II (Marschner, 1995).

In graminaceous plants, MAs are synthesized through a conserved pathway, in which three sequential enzymatic reactions convert S-adenosyl methionine to 2′-deoxymugineic acid (DMA) (Mori and Nishizawa, 1987; Shoji et al., 1990; Ma and Nomoto, 1993; Ma et al., 1999; Mori, 1999; Arco and Satrústegui, 2005). Expression of the genes involved in MAs biosynthesis is strongly induced by Fe deficiency. MAs are also involved in metal transport in planta (Mori et al., 1999; Kawai et al., 2001; Suzuki et al., 2006; Kakei et al., 2009). Only in the phytosiderophore biosynthesis pathway of graminaceous plants, nicotiamnine (NA) acts as the direct precursor for the synthesis of DMA, whereas NA is synthesized in all plants including those that employ strategy-I for Fe acquisition (Noma and Noguchi, 1976; Rudolph et al., 1985). NA is structural analog of MAs and chelates metal cations, including Fe and zinc (Zn), manganese (Mn), and copper (Cu) (Beneš et al., 1983; Von Wirén et al., 1999). In both Strategy I and II plants, NA functions as metal chelator for intracellular metal trafficking and for long-distance metal transport between different organs (Takahashi et al., 2003; Bashir et al., 2010; Kobayashi and Nishizawa, 2012), including phloem-mediated transport of Fe from source to sink organs (Hell and Stephan, 2003; Takahashi et al., 2003; Schulier et al., 2012).

In graminaceous plants, it was reported that NA is a main chelator of Zn in the phloem sap (Nishiyama et al., 2012). Intracellularly, NA was suggested to be important for vacuolar sequestration in the detoxification of excess Fe (Pich et al., 2001). In Arabidopsis halleri, one of the intensively studied hyperaccumulator species, NA concentrations can be extremely high (Deinlein et al., 2012), suggesting that NA plays a key role in Zn hyperaccumulation.

Fe translocation and cellular Fe transport are regulated by different transporters. MAs are secreted from the roots via TRANSPORTER OF MAs (TOM1) (Nozoye et al., 2011, 2013) to solubilize Fe in the rhizosphere. The resulting Fe(III)–MAs complexes are then taken up through the action of YELLOW STRIPE 1 (YS1) transporters (Mihashi and Mori, 1989; Curie et al., 2001; Inoue et al., 2009). Transgenic rice plants with repressed expression of TOM2, which is a homolog of TOM1 and can efflux DMA, showed impaired growth because of a defect in Fe mobilization (Nozoye et al., 2015). These results corroborate that DMA solubilizes and mobilizes precipitated Fe in the apoplast. ZINC-INDUCED FACILITATOR 1 (ZIF1), a homolog of TOM1 in Arabidopsis, is a tonoplast-localized transporter believed to transport NA from the cytoplasm to the vacuoles (Haydon and Cobbett, 2007; Haydon et al., 2012). ZINC-INDUCED FACILITATOR 1-overexpressing Arabidopsis plants showed interveinal chlorosis and had higher Fe but lower Zn concentrations in their shoots than wild-type (WT) plants, while the opposite tendency occurred in roots (Haydon et al., 2012). These results suggested that NA is involved in the subcellular distribution and inter-organ partitioning of Fe and Zn, and that perturbing NA transport may have significant impact on Fe and Zn nutrition. However, the association between Fe, Zn, and NA, and MAs remains unclear. EFFFLUX TRANSPORTER OF NA (ENA1), a rice gene belonging to the major facilitator superfamily as the TOM family and ZIF1, has been described to transport NA out of cells, when expressed in Xenopus oocytes (Nozoye et al., 2011). The expression of ENA1 was strongly induced in Fe-deficient roots, suggesting that ENA1 is involved in Fe homeostasis in rice. However, its physiological function in planta has not been identified. In this study, different approaches were taken to investigate the physiological function of ENA1 in planta.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Construction of Plant Expression Vectors and Transgenic Plants**

To construct the β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter fusion genes, an 1.5-kb fragment of the 5′-upstream region of ENA1 (Os11g0151500) was amplified from genomic DNA extracted from rice leaves (cv. Nipponbare) using the primer pairs 5′-AAGCTTTTGGTCCAACTCTAAGAGAT-3′ and 5′-ACTAGTCAGTGGCTTCAGAACCCTCA-3′ and ligated into the pCR4 Blunt-TOPO vector (Invitrogen). Gene fragments were then excised and subcloned into the pG121Hm vector (Hiei et al., 1994) upstream of the GUS open-reading frame to generate the ENA1 promoter-GUS construct. To assess the subcellular localization of ENA1 in onion epidermal cells or rice plants, an attL/attR substrate recombination reaction between pENA1 and pH7FWG2 (Karimi et al., 2002) was used to generate the CaMV 35S promoter–ENA1–enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) cassette. To confirm subcellular localization of ENA1 in rice plants, ENA1–GFP or GFP–ENA1 cassettes were cloned into the pDEST35S–SGFP binary vector ( Ishimaru et al., 2005) using LR Clonase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions for expression under control of the 35S promoter as described previously (Nozoye et al., 2011, 2015). Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain C58 carrying these constructs was used to transform rice (cv. Tsukinohikari) plants (Hiei et al., 1994).

**Histochemical Localization and Subcellular Localization of ENA1**

β-Glucuronidase activity in the roots and shoots of ENA1 promoter::GUS transgenic plants was determined using a histochemical assay, as described previously (Inoue et al., 2003; Nozoye et al., 2011, 2015). Subcellular localization of ENA1 in onion epidermal cells or rice roots was observed as described previously (Nozoye et al., 2011, 2015). FM-64 (1 mM; Molecular Probes) was used for staining the plasma membranes by incubation of rice roots section on glass slides at room temperature. Confocal images of rice roots were acquired with a laser scanning microscope (LSM Pascal and LSM 780, Zeiss,
Characterization of ena1 Mutants

Five ena1 Tos17 mutant lines, namely, NG1014, NG1060, ND1041, ND0824, and NC0379, generated through retrotransposon insertion (Hirochika et al., 1996) were obtained from the former National Institute of Agricultural Sciences (NIAS) [https://tos.nias.affrc.go.jp/ in Japanese; present organization is Institute of Agrobiological Sciences (NARO)]. To confirm the insertion of Tos17 into the ENA1 gene, PCR was performed using the Tos17 left-border specific primers (Tos17L) and ENA1-specific primers (pE1 for NG1060, pE2 for NG1014, pE3 for ND8024, pE4 for ND1041, and pE5 for NC0379) (Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Figure S3). When the Tos17 fragment was inserted into ENA1 gene, PCR fragments were expected to be amplified. To explore whether ENA1 disruption by tos17 was homozygous or heterozygous, PCR on genomic DNA using primers amplifying the fragment between the tos17 insertion regions was performed. Primer sets were as follows: pE1 and pE2 for NG1060; pE1 and pE5 for NG0379; and pE1 and pE4 for NG1014, ND8024, and ND1041. If the Tos17 insertion was homozygous, fragments of 1.3, 3, or 1.6 kb were not expected to be amplified by the primer sets for NG1060, NC0379, or other lines, respectively, since insertion of tos17 into these regions would disrupt the ENA1 sequence. When the amplified fragment was the same sizes as in WT, although they carry a Tos17 insertion in ENA1, these plants were handled as being heterozygous.

For DNA microarray analysis, rice plants were grown hydroponically. Rice seeds (Oryza sativa L. cv. Nipponbare) were surface-sterilized using 2.5% sodium hypochlorite and then germinated for 1 week on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium. After germination, the seedlings were transferred to a 20-L plastic container containing a nutrient solution medium. After germination, the seedlings were transferred to a 20-L plastic container containing a nutrient solution. Excitation/emission wavelengths of 488 nm/490–540 nm or 2 µm were measured. Nine plants for each line were used for each analysis. The concentrations of Fe, Zn, Mn, and phosphorus (P) were determined using inductively coupled plasma–mass spectroscopy, as described previously (Nozoye et al., 2014a).

Semiquantitative Reverse Transcription (RT)-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from the roots of three plants per line using the RNeasy Plant Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Using ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Master Mix with gDNA Remover (Toyobo, Tokyo, Japan), contaminating genomic DNA was removed from total RNA and first-strand cDNA was synthesized. The forward and reverse primers used for ENA1 were 5′-ACAAATGGCAAGGAACTGA-3′ and 5′-CAAGATTGTG GCGGTACAAC-3′. The forward and reverse primers used for OsActin1 were 5′-ACACGGGTGCATGGTGCGTG-3′ and 5′-ACCCGAGCTGGTTGTAGAA-3′. The sizes of the amplified fragments were confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

Analysis of Rice Genes Overexpressed in Arabidopsis thaliana

Transgenic Arabidopsis plants overexpressing ENA1, OsIRO2 (Ogo et al., 2006), DAMSI1, TOM2, and TOM3 generated previously by Kondou et al. (2009) were obtained from the rice full-length cDNA overexpressing Arabidopsis mutant database1 (Ichikawa et al., 2006). Arabidopsis seeds were surface-sterilized and germinated on 1/2 MS medium containing 100 µM Fe(III)-EDTA, 1/2 MS medium without Fe, 1/2 MS medium replacing Fe(III)-EDTA with 1 µM FeCl2, or 1/2 MS medium replacing Fe(III)-EDTA with 1 mM Fe(III)-citrate. Shoots were harvested 1 month after germination and used for analysis of metal concentrations as described above.

Oligo DNA Microarray Analysis

Microarray expression analysis was performed as described previously (Nozoye et al., 2011). Total RNA was extracted from shoots and roots of ena1 mutants (ND0824 #A, NG1060 #A, and NG1060 #D) and WT plants using the RNeasy Plant Kit (Qiagen), labeled with Cy-3 or Cy-5, and hybridized to Agilent rice 44K oligo DNA microarrays (Agilent Technologies). For each line, a mixture of three plants was used to extract RNA. For expression analysis, gene expression ratios were calculated by (signal value of ena1 mutant sample)/(signal value of WT sample), and genes whose expression levels were increased or decreased by at least twofold were categorized as upregulated or downregulated, respectively. To assess reproducibility of the microarray analysis, genes whose expression levels were changed in all three independent lines (ND0824 #A, NG1060 #A, and NG1060 #D) were further analyzed.

To identify upregulated genes under Fe-deficient conditions, the ratio was calculated as (signal value of Fe-deficient [−Fe] sample)/(signal value of Fe-sufficient [+Fe] sample), and these ratios were used to identify Fe deficiency-induced genes, as described previously (Nozoye et al., 2011). Genes showing differential expression

1http://ricefox.psc.riken.jp/index.php?contents=top&subcontents=research& research=foxh
with a significant difference according to Student’s t-test ($P < 0.05$) were analyzed. To evaluate the function of ENA1 in planta, we performed gene ontology (GO) analysis using agrigo (Du et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2017). agrigo is designed to identify enriched GO terms in a list of microarray probe sets. Three categories were included in the analysis, namely biological process, molecular function, and cellular component.

RESULTS

Promoter-GUS Analysis of ENA1

In Fe-sufficient plants, ENA1 promoter activity was low in primary and branched lateral roots (Figures 1A,B,E), where ENA1 expression was localized to the epidermis and cortex (Figures 1G,I). Under Fe-deficient conditions, ENA1 promoter activity was strongly enhanced in both, primary and lateral roots, except in root tips (Figures 1C,D,F,H,J). Root cross-sections further revealed that ENA1 promoter activity was confined to the epidermis near the root tips, while it was detected across all tissues in basal root zones (Figures 1H,J). In shoots, ENA1 expression was also induced by Fe deficiency but only observed near the root-to-shoot junction, where translocation spots exist between xylem and phloem (Figures 1K,L). In leaves, ENA1 expression was not observed (data not shown).

Intracellular Localization of ENA1

To investigate the subcellular localization of ENA1, ENA1–GFP, or GFP–ENA1 fusion constructs were transiently expressed in onion epidermal cells (Supplementary Figure S1). Both ENA1–GFP and GFP–ENA1 fusion proteins were observed in the plasma membrane and in the cytoplasm. In the cytoplasm, some of the GFP–ENA1 and ENA1–GFP fusion proteins were localized to vesicular structures. This localization was more common with the ENA1–GFP fusion protein than the GFP–ENA1 fusion protein. To confirm the cellular localization in rice plants, ENA1–GFP or GFP–ENA1 fusion genes were stably expressed in rice plants, in which expression was observed in roots. There ENA1–GFP-dependent fluorescence was mainly localized to the plasma membrane (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figures S2A–F). A part of ENA1–GFP fusion proteins was observed in intracellular compartments. The fluorescence intensity from the GFP–ENA1 fusion protein was much weaker than that of the ENA1–GFP fusion, while the mRNA levels of GFP–ENA1 and ENA1–GFP fusion genes were similar (Supplementary Figure S2G). The expression pattern was not affected by the Fe concentration of the medium.

Analysis of the tos17 Insertion Line in ENA1 Loci

Five tos17 insertion mutants with retrotransposon fragments inserted in ENA1 (NG1014, NG1060, ND1041, ND0824, and NC0379) were identified (Figure 3A and Supplementary Figure S3A). Nested PCR was performed to confirm the insertion of tos17 in ENA1, which showed that three lines
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FIGURE 2 | Subcellular localization of ENA1-GFP in rice roots. (A,E,I) Fluorescence image of FM64-stained rice roots. (B,F,J) Fluorescence image of GFP. (C,G,K) Differential interference contrast image. (D,H,L) Overlay.

of NG1014 (#C, #D, #E), three of NG1060 (#A, #C, #D), two of ND1041 (#D, #E), two of ND0824 (#B, #C), and two of NC0379 (#A, #D) carried a tos17 insertion in the ENA1 gene (Supplementary Figure S3B). Next, to explore whether the transgenic plants harboring the tos17 retrotransposon in ENA1 were in a homozygous or heterozygous state, genomic PCR amplifying the tos17 insertion region was performed (Supplementary Figure S3C). NG1014 (#D), NG1060 (#A, #C, #D), ND1041 (#D, #E), and NC0379 (#A, #D) were heterozygous, while NG1014 (#C, #E), ND0824 (#B, #C), and NC0379 (#D) were homozygous. RT-PCR showed that ENA1 was knocked-out in NG1014 (#C, #E), NG1060 (#A, #D), ND1041 (#E), and NC0379 (#A, #D) (Figure 3B and Supplementary Figure S3B). ENA1 fragments in ND0824 (#B, #C) were not absent, although the tos17 insertion in ENA1 was homozygous, and their sizes were smaller than in the WT. In ND0824, Tos17 was inserted at the junction of the intron and exon of ENA1, which could influence the size of ENA1.

To analyze the function of ENA1 in planta, plants were cultivated hydroponically under Fe-sufficient or Fe-deficient conditions, and plant characteristics such as plant height, root length, chlorophyll content, and metal concentrations were analyzed (Supplementary Figure S4). However, there were no apparent differences among ena1 knockout mutants, ENA1–GFP- or GFP–ENA1-overexpression lines, or WT plants.

Since ENA1 has the ability to export NA (Nozoye et al., 2011), it was speculated that the function of ENA1 in planta might be similar to that of ZIF1, a putative NA exporter in Arabidopsis, and involved in Fe and Zn homeostasis (Haydon and Cobbett, 2007; Haydon et al., 2012). Intervenial chlorosis of ZIF1-overexpressing Arabidopsis was recovered by addition of Fe, while this phenotype became more severe under high Zn conditions (Haydon et al., 2012). Therefore, the phenotypes of ena1 knockout mutants in rice, NG1060 #A and NC0379 #A, the ENA1–GFP- or GFP–ENA1-overexpressing lines, and WT were compared in MS medium with adequate nutrient supply or excess Zn supply (Figure 4). Under adequate nutrient supply, roots of ENA1–GFP- and GFP–ENA1-overexpressing rice plants were shorter than those of WT and ena1 knockout mutants (Figures 4A,F). The ENA1–GFP- and GFP–ENA1-overexpressing plants had more root hairs compared to WT and ena1 knockout mutants (Figures 4A,C,D). Under high Zn conditions, the short-root phenotype and enhanced root hair formation of ENA1–GFP- and GFP–ENA1-overexpressing rice plants were no longer observed (Figure 4B). These phenotypes were not observed when the plants were grown hydroponically (Supplementary Figure S4E). Under adequate nutrient supply, Fe concentrations in shoots were lower in ENA1–GFP- overexpressing rice plants and ena1 knockout mutant 1060#A compared to WT (Figure 4G). Under adequate nutrient supply, root Fe concentrations in ENA1–GFP-overexpressing rice plants were slightly lower than in WT and ena1 knockout plants. Under high Zn conditions, Fe concentrations in the shoots were not significantly different among ENA1–GFP-overexpressing rice plants, ena1 knockout mutants, and WT plants. Under high Zn conditions, root Fe concentrations in GFP–ENA1-overexpressing rice plants and ena1 knockout mutants 1060#A were slightly higher compared with WT plants. Zn concentrations did not significantly differ among ENA1–overexpressed rice plants, ena1 knockout mutants, and WT under both adequate nutrient supply and high Zn condition (Figure 4H). Under adequate nutrient supply, P concentrations in shoots were slightly lower in ENA1–GFP-overexpressing rice plants and the ena1 knockout line 1060#A compared to WT (Figure 4I). Under high Zn conditions,
shoot P concentrations were slightly higher in both ENA1-GFP and ENA1–GFP overexpressing rice plants compared to WT and ena1 knockout mutants. In roots, there was no difference in P concentrations among these lines. There were no differences in Mn concentrations following modification of ENA1 expression (Figure 4J).

Analysis of ENA1-Overexpressing Arabidopsis

ZINC-INDUCED FACILITATOR 1-overexpressing Arabidopsis exhibited a drastic phenotype with interveinal chlorosis and higher shoot Fe (Haydon and Cobbett, 2007; Haydon et al., 2012). To examine whether this phenotype could be reproduced by overexpression of ENA1, transgenic Arabidopsis plants overexpressing ENA1 were analyzed (Figure 5). Transgenic Arabidopsis plants overexpressing rice Fe homeostasis-related genes including OsIRO2, TOM1, TOM2, TOM3, and OsDMAS1 were also analyzed. The plant appearance of ENA1-, OsIRO2-, and TOM2-overexpressing Arabidopsis was not different from WT, irrespective of Fe treatment (Figure 5A). One month after germination, plants were harvested and the metal concentrations in shoots were analyzed (Figure 5C). No significant differences in shoot Fe, Zn, or Mn concentrations were observed between WT plants and transgenic plants overexpressing ENA1, OsIRO2, and TOM2, independent of external Fe treatment. Mn concentrations in ENA1-overexpressing Arabidopsis shoots were higher than in WT plants when grown with an Fe(III) source. Fe and Zn concentrations in OsIRO2-overexpressing Arabidopsis shoots were lower than in WT plants when grown with an Fe(III) source. Arabidopsis plants overexpressing DMAS1 and TOM3 exhibited impaired germination and were unable to survive (Figure 5C). TOM1-overexpressing Arabidopsis seeds did not germinate, although the seed age was similar to that of WT and other transgenic Arabidopsis seeds (data not shown).

Oligo DNA Microarray Analysis of ena1 Knockout Mutant Lines

To analyze the molecular function of ENA1 in planta, genome-wide changes in shoot and root gene expression were compared in ena1 knockout and WT plants using oligo DNA microarray analysis. To exclude the effect of Tos17 insertions in the genome other than in the ENA1 locus, only those genes were analyzed, whose expression level was at least twofold upregulated or downregulated in all plants of two ena1 knockout mutants (NC0379#A, NG1060#A and #D). Among the 29,864 unique genes on the oligo DNA microarray, 116 and 213 genes were upregulated in shoots and roots, respectively, of the ena1 knockout mutants under Fe-sufficient conditions (Figure 6A). Under Fe deficiency, 366 and 201 genes were upregulated in ena1 shoots and roots, respectively. In contrast, 210 and 179 genes were downregulated in shoots and roots of the ena1 knockout mutants under Fe-deficient conditions (Figure 6A). Under Fe deficiency, 366 and 201 genes were upregulated in ena1 shoots and roots, respectively. In contrast, 210 and 179 genes were downregulated in shoots and roots of the ena1 knockout mutants under Fe-deficient conditions, while 719 and 362 were downregulated in ena1 shoots and roots, respectively, under Fe-deficient conditions. The upregulated and downregulated genes in shoots and roots under both Fe-sufficient and Fe-deficient conditions of the ena1 knockout mutants compared to WT are listed in Tables 1, 2. In the list of upregulated genes, almost all genes are unknown and have not yet been characterized (Table 1). On top of the
FIGURE 4 | Plant phenotype of ena1 knockout mutants (0379#A and 1060#A), GFP-ENA1- or ENA1-GFP-overexpressing rice plants, and WT. Rice plants grown on MS medium (normal) or MS medium with high zinc (Zn) concentrations (++Zn). (A–D) Plant appearance at 12 days after germination under normal (A,C,D) or high (B) conditions. (Continued)
FIGURE 4 | Continued
Zn (B) conditions. Scale bars represent 0.5 cm. Roots pictures of ENA1-GFP overexpressing (C) or wild-type (WT) plants (D) under normal conditions are enlarged. (E,F) Shoot length (E) and root length (F) were measured 12 days after germination. Error bars represent the standard error (n = 9). (G–J) Fe, Zn, manganese (Mn), and phosphorus (P) concentrations in the shoots and roots of ena1 knockout mutants, GFP-ENA1- or ENA1-GFP-overexpressed rice plants, and WT. Values represent means of three replicates. Error bars represent standard deviations. DW, dry weight. Different letters indicate significant differences according to the Tukey–Kramer HSD test (n = 9, P < 0.05).

FIGURE 5 | Phenotype of transgenic Arabidopsis plants overexpressing ENA1, TOM2, TOM3, OsIRO2, or OsDMAS1. (A) Plant appearance at 1 month after germination. ENA1-, TOM2-, or OsIRO2-overexpressing Arabidopsis plants were grown next to WT plants in the same medium. (B) RT-PCR analysis of the expression of ENA1, TOM2, and OsIRO2 in Arabidopsis shoots. (C) Plant appearance of Arabidopsis plants overexpressing TOM3 or OsDMAS1 at 1 month after germination. (D) Fe, Zn, and Mn concentrations in the shoots of transgenic Arabidopsis overexpressing ENA1, TOM2, or OsIRO2. Values are the means of three to six replicates. Error bars represent standard deviation. DW, dry weight. Letters indicate significant differences according to Tukey–Kramer HSD test (n = 3–6, P < 0.05).

downregulated genes in the ena1 knockout mutants was a gene homologous to PV72, a seed-specific vacuolar sorting receptor (Watanabe et al., 2004), whose expression was downregulated in roots and upregulated in shoots of the WT by Fe deficiency (Table 2).

Under Fe deficiency, we observed significant changes in gene expression, reflecting how plants cope with the stress and maintain Fe homeostasis. Therefore, it was analyzed whether the expression of Fe deficiency-regulated genes was altered in ena1 knockout mutants (Figure 6B). Among the genes whose expression was different from the WT in ena1 knockout mutants, 13.5% and 16.8% of genes in shoots under Fe-sufficient and Fe-deficient conditions, respectively, were genes modulated by Fe deficiency (Figure 6B). In roots, the corresponding numbers were 24.2% and 40.9%. Among the Fe deficiency-modulated genes, whose expression was up-regulated in ena1 knockout mutants compared with the WT under Fe-sufficient conditions, the ratio of the upregulated genes by Fe deficiency was higher than that of the down-regulated genes, especially in the roots. Under Fe-deficient condition, this trend was opposite. The ratio of downregulated genes by Fe deficiency was higher than that of upregulated genes among the upregulated genes in the
**FIGURE 6** | Gene expression analysis in ena1 knockout mutants and WT plants. (A) Venn diagrams represent genes differentially expressed in roots of ena1 knockout mutants compared to WT plants under Fe-sufficient or Fe-deficient conditions. Of a total of 29,864 genes, 2,102 (746 upregulated and 1,356 downregulated) were differentially expressed by at least twofold between ena1 knockout mutants compared to WT plants under both Fe-sufficient and Fe-deficient conditions compared with the gene list in Supplementary Table S2. (B) Graphs representing the numbers of Fe deficiency-responsive genes among the 2,102 genes, whose expression levels were upregulated or downregulated in ena1 knockout mutants compared to WT shoots and roots under Fe-sufficient or Fe-deficient conditions. Pink or blue colors indicate the ratios of genes that showed upregulation (–Fe/+Fe > 2) or downregulation (–Fe/+Fe < 0.5), respectively, by at least twofold under Fe-deficient conditions in the WT.

**ena1** knockout mutants compared with WT, while the ratio of upregulated genes by Fe deficiency was higher than that of downregulated genes by Fe deficiency among the down-regulated genes in the ena1 knockout mutants compared with WT. Expression changes of Fe homeostasis-related genes in the ena1 knockout mutants compared with WT were analyzed (Table 3). The expression of OsNAS1, OsNAS2, OsDMAS1, TOM1, and OsYSL15 tended to be lower in Fe-deficient shoots of ena1 knockout mutants than of WT plants.

To gain further insights into ENA1-dependent processes, an agriGO analysis was carried out to identify enriched categories among the genes, whose expression level was altered in the
TABLE 1 | Upregulated genes in the ena1 knockout mutants.

| RAP locus     | Gene description                                      | ena1 ko/WT | Fe-sufficient shoot | Fe-deficient shoot | Fe-sufficient root | Fe-deficient root | Root | Shoot |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|-------|
| Os03g0115800  | Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 171.58     | 221.22              | 164.98             | 202.44             | 0.72               | 0.80 |
| Os06g0265100  | Hypothetical protein                                   | 89.11      | 238.59              | 80.07              | 98.16              | 1.02               | 0.88 |
| Os01g0692400  | Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 72.39      | 57.63               | 16.23              | 15.58              | 0.99               | 0.61 |
| Os02g0252400  | Zn-finger, Dof-type domain containing protein          | 52.81      | 27.39               | 41.84              | 54.43              | 0.98               | 0.98 |
| Os07g0511400  | Hypothetical protein                                   | 24.39      | 54.23               | 2095.42            | 2403.44            | 0.72               | 0.90 |
| Os12g0428300  | Retrotransposon gag protein family protein             | 20.92      | 33.42               | 8.90               | 15.25              | 1.11               | 1.09 |
| Os06g0215900  | Oxo-phytodienoic acid reductase                       | 19.11      | 38.59               | 20.56              | 39.15              | 1.16               | 0.97 |
| Os06g0216000  | Oxo-phytodienoic acid reductase                       | 18.47      | 17.24               | 7.70               | 6.62               | 1.38               | 1.02 |
| Os03g0629800  | Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 14.53      | 29.03               | 50.96              | 83.70              | 0.66               | 0.25 |
| Os04g0390800  | Short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase SDR family protein | 10.56      | 4.89                | 5.76               | 33.58              | 0.47               | 3.91 |
| Os06g0261300  | Hypothetical protein                                   | 9.01       | 5.74                | 8.84               | 6.26               | 1.07               | 1.48 |
| Os07g0457200  | Non-protein coding transcript, putative npRNA          | 7.92       | 13.78               | 5.41               | 18.80              | 1.93               | 1.42 |
| Os02g0216200  | Hypothetical protein                                   | 7.30       | 13.45               | 3.00               | 2.26               | 0.82               | 0.97 |
| Os07g0511100  | Glycine-rich protein precursor                         | 7.30       | 8.71                | 280.70             | 389.21             | 0.98               | 2.14 |
| Os08g0441700  | Hypothetical protein                                   | 6.46       | 9.09                | 9.07               | 11.95              | 0.46               | 1.00 |
| Os11g0491900  | (No hit)                                               | 5.59       | 23.16               | 4.86               | 16.77              | 0.54               | 0.77 |
| Os04g0607800  | (No hit)                                               | 5.31       | 23.89               | 5.06               | 15.19              | 0.84               | 0.65 |
| Os01g0108500  | (No hit)                                               | 4.13       | 6.22                | 11.49              | 13.75              | 0.97               | 0.35 |
| Os07g0122000  | Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 3.93       | 11.01               | 3.80               | 5.67               | 0.86               | 0.42 |
| Os01g0538200  | Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 2.09       | 3.98                | 4.40               | 4.36               | 1.25               | 1.07 |

Listed are the genes whose expression levels were upregulated at least twofold in the ena1 knockout (ko) mutants compared to wild type (WT). Shown are the averages of the expression ratios calculated by (signal value of the enal ko mutants line)/(signal value of the corresponding WT) in the three ena1 ko lines (NC0379#A, NG1060#A, and NG1060#D). The ratios calculated by (signal value in WT under Fe-deficient condition)/(signal value in WT under Fe-sufficient condition) are also represented. Upregulated or downregulated genes are shaded in pink or blue, respectively.

ena1 knockout mutants (Supplementary Figures S5–8). In Fe-deficient roots of ena1 plants, the most significantly enriched GO term for biological processes was “photosynthesis, light harvesting” (GO:0009765) (Supplementary Figure S5A). GO enrichment analysis also revealed enrichment of genes involved in the biological processes “oxylipin metabolism,” “jasmonic acid biosynthesis,” and “systemic acquired resistance.” The molecular function “tetrapyrrole binding” (GO:0046906) (Supplementary Figure S5B) and the cellular compartments “membrane-bound vesicle” (GO:0031988) and “cytoplasmic membrane-bound vesicle” (GO:0016023) (Supplementary Figure S5C) were also strongly enriched among the genes downregulated in Fe-deficient roots of the ena1 knockout. In Fe-deficient shoots of ena1 knockout mutants, “DNA replication” (GO:0006260) and “methylammonium transmembrane transporter activity” (GO:0015200) were the only significantly enriched terms in biological process and molecular function, respectively (Supplementary Figures S6A,B). Interestingly, “membrane-bound vesicle” (GO:0031988) and “cytoplasmic membrane-bound vesicle” (GO:0016023) were also the most significantly enriched GO terms for cellular compartments among genes downregulated in Fe-deficient shoots of the ena1 knockout mutants (Supplementary Figure S6C). The molecular function “Zn ion binding” (GO:0008270) was enriched in the Fe-sufficient shoots of the ena1 knockout mutants (Supplementary Figure S8A), whereas the cellular compartments “membrane-bound vesicle” (GO:0031988) and “cytoplasmic membrane-bound vesicle” (GO:0016023) were again the most significantly enriched GO terms in both Fe-sufficient roots (Supplementary Figure S7) and shoots (Supplementary Figure S8B). These GO terms were not enriched among the genes upregulated in the ena1 knockout mutants compared to WT.
TABLE 2 | Downregulated genes in the ena1 knockout mutants.

| RAP locus         | Gene description                                      | ena1 ko/WT | Fe-sufficient shoot | Fe-deficient shoot | Fe-sufficient root | Fe-deficient root | Root | Shoot |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|--------|
| Os11g0190700      | PV72. A seed-specific vacuolar sorting receptor        | 0.09       | 0.05                | 0.11               | 0.07               | 0.16               | 18.11    |        |
| Os03g0323700      | (No hit)                                               | 0.10       | 0.05                | 0.17               | 0.16               | 1.09               | 0.89     |        |
| Os02g0534700      | Pectinesterase inhibitor domain containing protein     | 0.22       | 0.31                | 0.37               | 0.28               | 1.00               | 0.98     |        |
| Os01g0128200      | Zn-finger, C2H2-type domain containing protein         | 0.25       | 0.24                | 0.24               | 0.29               | 0.97               | 0.98     |        |
| Os01g0522400      | ARM repeat fold domain containing protein              | 0.27       | 0.28                | 0.25               | 0.28               | 0.98               | 0.99     |        |
| Os03g0294100      | Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 0.29       | 0.29                | 0.26               | 0.25               | 0.99               | 0.98     |        |
| Os03g0151000      | Hypothetical protein                                   | 0.29       | 0.28                | 0.27               | 0.25               | 0.99               | 1.63     |        |
| Os12g0166800      | Hypothetical protein                                   | 0.30       | 0.26                | 0.28               | 0.31               | 0.99               | 0.99     |        |
| Os01g0213700      | Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 0.31       | 0.25                | 0.30               | 0.18               | 0.94               | 0.70     |        |
| Os09g0254600      | MTN3 and saliva-related transmembrane protein family   | 0.31       | 0.24                | 0.26               | 0.26               | 0.99               | 0.73     |        |
| Os10g0500300      | MTD2. Zinc finger, RING/FYVE/PHD-type domain containing protein | 0.32       | 0.35                | 0.30               | 0.35               | 0.97               | 0.97     |        |
| Os12g0117800      | Protein of unknown function DUF1191 family protein     | 0.33       | 0.38                | 0.27               | 0.29               | 1.25               | 0.98     |        |
| Os06g054200       | Glycoside hydrolase, family 28 protein                 | 0.35       | 0.34                | 0.39               | 0.32               | 0.98               | 0.98     |        |
| Os08g0392200      | Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 0.35       | 0.42                | 0.40               | 0.29               | 0.87               | 0.99     |        |
| Os02g0452900      | Hypothetical protein                                   | 0.39       | 0.26                | 0.27               | 0.29               | 0.74               | 2.12     |        |
| Os01g0239500      | Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 0.40       | 0.41                | 0.17               | 0.26               | 1.28               | 0.95     |        |

| Gene description                                      | Fe-sufficient shoot | Fe-deficient shoot | Fe-sufficient root | Fe-deficient root | Root | Shoot |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|--------|
| PV72. A seed-specific vacuolar sorting receptor        | 0.09                | 0.05               | 0.11               | 0.07               | 0.16    | 18.11  |
| (No hit)                                               | 0.10                | 0.05               | 0.17               | 0.16               | 1.09    | 0.89   |
| Pectinesterase inhibitor domain containing protein     | 0.22                | 0.31               | 0.37               | 0.28               | 1.00    | 0.98   |
| Zn-finger, C2H2-type domain containing protein         | 0.25                | 0.24               | 0.24               | 0.29               | 0.97    | 0.98   |
| ARM repeat fold domain containing protein              | 0.27                | 0.28               | 0.25               | 0.28               | 0.98    | 0.99   |
| Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 0.29                | 0.29               | 0.26               | 0.25               | 0.99    | 0.98   |
| Hypothetical protein                                   | 0.29                | 0.28               | 0.27               | 0.25               | 0.99    | 1.63   |
| Hypothetical protein                                   | 0.30                | 0.26               | 0.28               | 0.31               | 0.99    | 0.99   |
| Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 0.31                | 0.25               | 0.30               | 0.18               | 0.94    | 0.70   |
| MTN3 and saliva-related transmembrane protein family   | 0.31                | 0.24               | 0.26               | 0.26               | 0.99    | 0.73   |
| MTD2. Zinc finger, RING/FYVE/PHD-type domain containing protein | 0.32                | 0.35               | 0.30               | 0.35               | 0.97    | 0.97   |
| Protein of unknown function DUF1191 family protein     | 0.33                | 0.38               | 0.27               | 0.29               | 1.25    | 0.98   |
| Glycoside hydrolase, family 28 protein                 | 0.35                | 0.34               | 0.39               | 0.32               | 0.98    | 0.98   |
| Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 0.35                | 0.42               | 0.40               | 0.29               | 0.87    | 0.99   |
| Hypothetical protein                                   | 0.39                | 0.26               | 0.27               | 0.29               | 0.74    | 2.12   |
| Conserved hypothetical protein                         | 0.40                | 0.41               | 0.17               | 0.26               | 1.28    | 0.95   |

Listed are the genes whose expression levels were downregulated by at least twofold in the ena1 knockout (ko) mutants compared to WT. Shown are the averages of the expression ratios calculated by (signal value of the enal ko mutants line)/(signal value of the corresponding WT) in the three ena1 ko lines (NC0379#A, NG1060#A, and NG1060#D). The ratios calculated by (signal value in WT under Fe-deficient condition)/(signal value in WT under Fe-sufficient condition) are also presented. Upregulated or downregulated genes are shaded in pink or blue, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Since the discovery of MAs, we have explored strategies to acquire insoluble Fe from the soil, and meanwhile the molecular machinery involved in Fe acquisition in graminaceous plants has been largely identified (Kobayashi et al., 2018). MAs and NA are involved in the translocation and intracellular transport of metals, including Fe (Kakei et al., 2009; Nishiyama et al., 2012), however, the intracellular transport of Fe by MAs and NA remains largely unexplored. It has been suggested that the transporters for MAs and NA are involved in the regulation of metal flows in planta. In this study, we characterized ENA1, which can export NA out of the cell (Nozoye et al., 2011).

ENA1 May Be Involved in the Transport of NA From the Intracellular Compartment Outside Cells in Roots

Promoter-GUS analysis showed that under Fe-sufficient conditions ENA1 is mainly expressed in lateral roots (Figure 1). In root cross-sections, ENA1 expression was observed in the root epidermis. Under Fe-deficient conditions, ENA1 expression was strongly induced in roots, except near the root tip. Near the root tips, ENA1 expression was observed only in the epidermis, while ENA1 expression was observed in whole roots including the epidermis, cortex, and vascular bundle near the basal area of the roots. These results are consistent with previous studies, in which laser micro-dissection analysis showed that ENA1 was mainly expressed in roots and expression in the cortex was induced by Fe deficiency (Ogo et al., 2014). The expression patterns of ENA1 in roots were similar to those of the DMA exporters TOM1 and TOM2, and of the Fe importers OsYSL15 and OsIRT1 (Ishimaru et al., 2006; Inoue et al., 2009; Nozoye et al., 2011, 2015). In the leaf and stem, ENA1 expression was not observed under Fe-sufficient or Fe-deficient conditions. Interestingly, ENA1 expression was observed in the discrimination center (DC) at the basal part of the shoots, where Fe accumulates after absorption and translocation from xylem to phloem in barley (Tsukamoto et al., 2009). ENA1 expression in the DC was strongly induced by Fe deficiency. TOM2, a DMA exporter
### Table 3: Expression changes of Fe homeostasis-related genes in the ena1 knockout (ko) mutants.

| Gene                          | Fe-sufficient shoot | Fe-deficient shoot | Fe-sufficient root | Fe-deficient root |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Biosynthesis of mugineic acid family phytosiderophores** |                     |                    |                   |                   |
| Os03g0307300 OsNASI            | 0.74                | 0.38               | 0.71              | 1.02              |
| Os03g0307200 OsNAS2            | 1.12                | 0.38               | 0.73              | 1.02              |
| Os07g0689600 OsNAS3            | 0.94                | 1.04               | 0.89              | 1.01              |
| Os02g0306400 OsNAAT1           | 1.05                | 1.20               | 0.83              | 1.03              |
| Os03g0237100 OsDMAS1           | 0.77                | **0.45**           | 0.82              | 0.85              |
| **Methionin cycle**           |                     |                    |                   |                   |
| Os01g0323600 OsSAMS2           | 0.92                | 0.92               | 1.01              | 1.06              |
| Os06g0112200 MTN               | 1.06                | 0.81               | 1.04              | 0.99              |
| Os12g0589100 OsAPT1            | 0.86                | 0.57               | 0.82              | 0.84              |
| Os04g0669800 OsMTKI            | 0.91                | 0.61               | 0.96              | 0.87              |
| Os11g0216900 OsD2/2            | 0.94                | 1.12               | 0.64              | 1.24              |
| Os04g0306400 RPI               | 1.06                | 0.74               | 0.79              | 0.84              |
| Os11g0484000 DEP               | 1.10                | 0.75               | 1.05              | 0.93              |
| Os06g0486900 FDH               | **3.05**            | 1.66               | **2.11**          | **2.71**          |
| Os06g0486800 FDH               | 0.88                | 0.55               | 0.93              | 0.79              |
| Os09g0453800 OsD/4             | 1.06                | 0.60               | 0.87              | 0.88              |
| **Transcriptional regulation of Fe-deficiency responses** |                     |                    |                   |                   |
| Os01g0952800 OslR02            | 0.88                | 0.96               | 0.81              | 0.92              |
| Os03g0379300 OslR03            | 0.99                | 1.07               | 0.95              | 1.08              |
| Os08g0101000 IDEF1             | 1.18                | 1.22               | 1.08              | 1.05              |
| Os05g0426200 IDEF2             | 1.02                | 1.21               | 1.09              | 1.04              |
| Os01g0689300 OsHRZ1            | 1.00                | 1.15               | 1.06              | 0.90              |
| Os05g0551000 OsHRZ2            | 1.20                | 1.11               | 0.87              | 0.98              |
| **Fe uptake and/or translocation** |                     |                    |                   |                   |
| Os11g0134900 TOM1              | 0.61                | **0.35**           | 0.56              | 0.90              |
| Os11g0135000 TOM2              | 1.18                | 1.27               | 1.72              | 1.14              |
| Os11g0135900 TOM3              | 1.16                | 1.39               | 0.75              | 0.85              |
| Os02g0650300 OsYSL15           | **2.11**            | **0.32**           | 0.88              | 1.17              |
| Os02g0649900 OsYSL2            | 0.46                | 1.57               | **0.50**          | 1.09              |
| Os03g0667500 OsR1T1            | 0.67                | 0.61               | 1.73              | 1.30              |

Listed are Fe deficiency-inducible genes involved in Fe uptake and utilization and transcriptional regulators of Fe-deficiency responses. Shown are the averages of the expression ratios calculated by (signal value of the ena1 ko mutants line)/(signal value of the corresponding WT) in the three ena1 ko lines (NC0379#A, NG1060#A, and NG1060#D). Uregulated or downregulated genes are shaded in pink or blue, respectively. Ratios upregulated or downregulated by at least twofold in all three lines are indicated in bold, while if at least one replicate was not upregulated or downregulated by at least twofold, values are indicated in italics.

and homolog of TOM1, is strongly expressed in the DC under both Fe-sufficient and Fe-deficient conditions (Nozoye et al., 2015). TOM2 repression in rice plants impaired plant growth, suggesting that TOM2 is involved in DMA transport, which is important for Fe mobilization in the plant body. These results suggested that Fe mobilization in the DC is important for Fe homeostasis. ENA1 may be involved in Fe mobilization in the DC by NA efflux.

The subcellular localization of ENA1 was examined in onion epidermal cells (Supplementary Figure S1) and rice root cells (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S2). GFP–ENA1 and ENA1–GFP fusion proteins were localized in the plasma membrane and in the cytoplasm of onion epidermal cells. There, the GFP–ENA1 and ENA1–GFP fusion protein partly localized to vesicular structures. In rice roots, the ENA1–GFP fusion protein localized mainly to the plasma membrane, and partially to intracellular vesicular structures. MA biosynthesis through NA secretions in barley and rice follows a diurnal rhythm and occurs 2–3 h after sunrise while its production is constant (Takagi et al., 1984; Nozoye et al., 2014a). These observations suggested that MAs are stored in cells until secretion. Vesicles surrounded by ribosomes have been shown to accumulate near the plasma membrane just before sunrise in Fe-deficient barley and rice roots (Nishizawa and Mori, 1987; Negishi et al., 2002; Nozoye et al., 2004, 2014a,b). MA secretion in barley and rice follows a diurnal rhythm and occurs 2–3 h after sunrise while its production is constant (Takagi et al., 1984; Nozoye et al., 2014a). These observations suggested that MAs are stored in cells until secretion. Vesicles surrounded by ribosomes have been shown to accumulate near the plasma membrane just before sunrise in Fe-deficient barley and rice roots (Nishizawa and Mori, 1987; Negishi et al., 2002; Nozoye et al., 2014a). These vesicles are not observed in Fe-sufficient roots. Therefore, these vesicles were considered to contain MAs, and to store these until secretion. It has been proposed that all enzymes involved in MAs biosynthesis localize to these vesicles. Indeed, a fusion protein of GFP with the rice NA synthase 2 (OsNAS2), one of the MAs biosynthetic enzymes, was observed as dot-like structures in rice root cells, suggesting that at least NA is synthesized in MAs-containing vesicles (Nozoye et al.,
The Mechanism of NA Transport Differs Between Rice and Arabidopsis

To examine the physiological role of ENA1 in planta, characteristics of ena1 knockout mutants carrying tos17 insertions (Supplementary Figure S3) and ENA1–GFP- or GFP–ENA1-overexpressing rice plants was analyzed (Figure 3). However, in hydroponics there were no apparent differences (Supplementary Figure S4). In rice, NA is an intermediate of MAs biosynthesis and DMA is the final product. DMA and NA are involved in metal translocation in the plant body (Suzuki et al., 2006; Kakei et al., 2009; Nishiyama et al., 2012; Nozoye et al., 2015). On the other hand, Arabidopsis produces NA but not DMA. In rice, transporters of DMA, such as members of the TOM family, may compensate or even take over transport functions that are mediated by ENA1 in Arabidopsis. Such a view is supported by the fact that YS1-type transporters also transport both, DMA and NA (Schaaf et al., 2004).

Under Fe-adequate conditions, root growth of ENA1–GFP- or GFP–ENA1-overexpressing rice plants was significantly impaired, but this impairment was not observed under high Zn conditions (Figure 4). The roots of ENA1–GFP- or GFP–ENA1-overexpressing rice plants were shorter and had more root hairs than the WT. Fe concentrations were slightly lower in shoots of
ENA1–GFP or GFP–ENA1 overexpressing rice plants. However, these phenotypes were rather moderate compared to those of ZIF1-overexpressing Arabidopsis or zif1 mutants (Haydon and Cobbett, 2007; Haydon et al., 2012). Since the root phenotype is similar to the response under P starvation (Peret et al., 2014), we determined P concentrations (Figure 4). However, differences in root P concentrations were not correlated with changes in ENA1 expression. In shoots, the P concentration in ENA1–GFP-overexpressing rice plants was slightly lower than in WT plants under adequate nutrient supply, but slightly higher than in WT plants under elevated Zn supply, although these differences were not large. NA transport by ENA1 may be affected in part by both, Fe and P nutrition in planta.

When ENA1 was overexpressed in Arabidopsis, plants grew well and metal concentrations in the leaves were not significantly different from WT, unlike under overexpression of ZIF1. A difference was only observed in the Mn concentration of shoots. Based on amino acid homology, ZIF1 is most closely related to TOM1, which may be the main transporter responsible for DMA secretion from roots into rhizosphere (Nozoye et al., 2011). However, it has been shown that TOM1 can efflux DMA, but not NA. In rice, TOM2 and TOM3 are homologs of TOM1. It has been shown that TOM2 is also a DMA exporter that plays a role in metal translocation in the plant body (Nozoye et al., 2015). Since both NA and DMA are involved in long-distance metal translocation, TOM2 might complement ENA1 functions in rice. In this study, similar to the case with ENA1, TOM2-overexpressing Arabidopsis did not show any obvious phenotypes (as opposed to ZIF1-overexpressing Arabidopsis), while TOM1-, TOM3-, and OsDMAS1-overexpressing Arabidopsis could not germinate. Arabidopsis NAS (AtNAS1-4) did not localize to vesicular structures in the cytoplasm like OsNAS2-GFP did in rice (Nozoye et al., 2014b). These results suggest that the cellular compartments producing NA may differ between rice and Arabidopsis, and these cellular compartments may be relevant for the function of NA.

Oligo DNA Microarray Analysis Suggests That Intracellular Transport of NA Through ENA1 May Be Involved in Maintaining Fe Homeostasis, and ENA1 Knockouts Influence Plastid Function in Roots

To examine the molecular function of ENA1, oligo DNA microarray analysis was performed. In ena1 knockout mutants, the number of genes whose expression level was downregulated was larger than that of upregulated genes relative to the WT. Previously, we identified genes whose expression was upregulated under Fe deficiency, including transcription factors, MAs biosynthetic enzymes, and Fe transporters (Nozoye et al., 2011, 2014a). Under Fe-sufficient conditions, genes whose expression was induced under Fe deficiency tended to be upregulated in ena1 knockout mutants compared to WT (Figure 6B). By contrast, under Fe-deficient conditions, genes whose expression level was induced by Fe deficiency tended to be downregulated in ena1 knockout mutants (Figure 6B). Indeed, the expression levels of the genes involved in MAs biosynthesis and transport were lower in the Fe-deficient shoots (Table 3). These results suggest that ena1 mutants respond to Fe deficiency even under Fe-sufficient conditions; however, ena1 mutants could not respond to Fe deficiency under Fe-deficient conditions. agriGO analysis showed that genes whose expression levels were downregulated in ena1 mutant roots under Fe-deficient conditions are involved in photosynthesis, jasmonic acid biosynthetic processes, and innate immunity. Further molecular functions of these genes are related to chlorophyll binding. In addition, cellular components were involved in cytoplasmic membrane-bound vesicles. Fe is important for photosynthesis in chloroplasts since it plays a role as enzyme cofactor in chlorophyll biosynthesis. In Arabidopsis, it has been shown that chlorophyll biosynthesis in leaves is downregulated and metabolite changes under Fe-deficient conditions allow plants to prevent photo-oxidative damage (Rodriguez-Celma et al., 2013). In general, plastids synthesize chlorophylls, carotenoids, and fatty acids, and are involved in aromatic amino acid biosynthesis, and reduce several inorganic nutrients (Buchanan et al., 2015). As some of these Fe-dependent functions are also relevant for root plastids, Fe must be imported also into root plastids. NA transport through ENA1 may take over such a function to adjust metabolism and prevent the stress response under Fe deficiency, such as the production of reactive oxygen species (Figure 7). As mentioned above, OsNAS2-GFP fusion proteins localized as dot-like structures in rice root cells (Nozoye et al., 2014a). In this study, the expression levels of OsNAS1, OsNAS2, and OsDMAS1 were lower in the Fe-deficient shoots of ena1 mutants than in the WT (Table 3), suggesting that ENA1 expression is involved in DMA and NA biosynthesis, which may take place in intracellular vesicles. Other than WT plants, ena1 mutants could not induce Fe deficiency-inducible genes under Fe deficiency. This result may indicate that ENA1 is involved in Fe trafficking into cellular compartments such as plastids. Further studies on the intracellular trafficking of Fe by Fe transporters including ENA1 will be important to characterize the mechanisms maintaining Fe homeostasis in planta.
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FIGURE S1 | Subcellular localization of ENA1 in onion epidermal cells. (A) GFP-ENA1. (B) ENA1-GFP.

FIGURE S2 | Subcellular localization of ENA1-GFP in rice roots. (A,D) Differential interference contrast image. (B,E) Fluorescence image. (C,F) Overlay. (G) GFP expression was examined by RT-PCR in ENA1-GFP- or GFP-ENA1-overexpressed rice plants and WT.

FIGURE S3 | Insertion positions and ENA1 expression in the mutants. (A) Schematic representation of ENA1 and insertion positions of tos17 fragments. Primer positions used for tos17 insertion checks are represented by arrows. Blue arrows indicate primer Tos17L and black arrows indicated primers pE1, pE2, pE3, pE4, and pE5 (Supplementary Table S1). (B,C) Confirmation of integration of tos17 (B) and the homozygous status of ena1 mutants. (B) Nested PCR with primers: Tos17L primer located in tos17 and pE1–5 located in the ENA1 gene were used. (C) Primers pE1–5 located in ENA1 interposing tos17 were used.
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