**INTRODUCTION**

The 3-chymotrypsin (C)-like cysteine protease (3CL\textsuperscript{pro}) of coronaviruses (CoVs) is a target for developing antiviral drugs against SARS-CoV\textsuperscript{1-3}, MERS-CoV\textsuperscript{4-6} and SARS-CoV-2.\textsuperscript{7-10} CoVs encode four structural and accessory proteins: spike protein (S-protein), envelope protein (E-protein), membrane protein (M-protein), and nucleocapsid protein (N-protein); and two replicase polyproteins (pp1a and pp1ab). The open reading frames (ORFs) 1a and 1b encode pp1a and pp1ab,\textsuperscript{11-13} which are cleaved by papain-like protease (PLpro) and 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} into nonstructural proteins (nsps) for viral replication. However, the cleavage sites of 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} and their relevant nsps remain unclear, which is the subject of this perspective. Here, we address the subject from three standpoints. First, we explore the inconsistency in the cleavage sites and relevant nsps across CoVs, and investigate the function of nsp11. Second, we consider the nsp16 mRNA overlapping of the spike protein mRNA, and analyze the effect of this overlapping on mRNA vaccines. Finally, we study nsp12, whose existence depends on ribosomal frameshifting, and investigate whether 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} requires a large number of inhibitors to achieve full inhibition. This perspective helps us to clarify viral replication and is useful for developing anti-CoV drugs with 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} as a target in the current coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.
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**Abstract**

Coronavirus (CoV) 3-chymotrypsin (C)-like cysteine protease (3CL\textsuperscript{pro}) is a target for anti-CoV drug development and drug repurposing because along with papain-like protease, it cleaves CoV-encoded polyproteins (pp1a and pp1ab) into nonstructural proteins (nsps) for viral replication. However, the cleavage sites of 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} and their relevant nsps remain unclear, which is the subject of this perspective. Here, we address the subject from three standpoints. First, we explore the inconsistency in the cleavage sites and relevant nsps across CoVs, and investigate the function of nsp11. Second, we consider the nsp16 mRNA overlapping of the spike protein mRNA, and analyze the effect of this overlapping on mRNA vaccines. Finally, we study nsp12, whose existence depends on ribosomal frameshifting, and investigate whether 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} requires a large number of inhibitors to achieve full inhibition. This perspective helps us to clarify viral replication and is useful for developing anti-CoV drugs with 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} as a target in the current coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.

Abbreviations: 2′O-MTase, 2′-O-methyltransferase; 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}, 3-chymotrypsin-like cysteine protease; BCoV, bovine coronavirus; BEV, Berne virus; CoV, coronavirus; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; DMV, double-membraned vesicles; E-protein, envelope protein; EnoN, 3′ to 5′ endonuclease; Pp1 pro, porcine-like protease; RdRp, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; S-protein, spike protein; SADS-CoV, swine acute diarrhea syndrome coronavirus; SARS, severe acute respiratory syndrome; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; TRS, transcription-regulating sequence.
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and then cleaves three sites: between nsps1/2, nsps2/3, and nsps3/4 with the LXG motif.\textsuperscript{17} 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}—also called the main protease (M\textsuperscript{pro})—is released from nsp5 through autocleavage.\textsuperscript{18} Using recognition motifs such as XXXLQAXXX and XXXLQSXXX,\textsuperscript{19} 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} cleaves the remaining nsps.\textsuperscript{20}

3CL\textsuperscript{pro} is a desirable target for developing wide-spectrum anti-CoV drugs and drug repurposing\textsuperscript{21-24} because (a) the nsps cleaved by 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} influence the formation of the double-membrane vesicles (DMV),\textsuperscript{18,25-31} (b) 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} shares significant sequence identity and 3D structure among CoVs,\textsuperscript{32,33} (c) human proteases do not have identical cleavage specificity,\textsuperscript{3} and (d) 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} inhibitors are unlikely to be toxic to humans.\textsuperscript{8}

However, 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} has the potential for industrial application because its autocleavage occurs near the membrane\textsuperscript{34} or in the cytoplasm;\textsuperscript{35-37} therefore, its efficacy is higher than that of other proteases.\textsuperscript{35}

Much information on the 3D structure,\textsuperscript{38} mechanism,\textsuperscript{39} and substrate specificity\textsuperscript{40} of 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} has been elucidated. For example, 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} has at least three crystal structures:\textsuperscript{41} the wild-type active dimer (wt-dimer) with one active site per subunit,\textsuperscript{1,35} the monomeric form or the G11A, R298A, and S139A mutants that cannot dimerize;\textsuperscript{42-45} and the superactive octamer form.\textsuperscript{3} Therefore, two strategies have been employed to develop 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} inhibitors based on their active sites and dimerization.\textsuperscript{46-48}

So far, however, the cleavage sites by 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} and cleaved nsps remain controversial. For instance, a study based on 24 completely sequenced CoV genomes predicted 11 cleavage sites between nsp2, nsp3, nsp4, nsp5, nsp6, nsp7, nsp9, nsp10, nsp11, nsp12, and nsp13,\textsuperscript{49} but found that the cleavage site between nsp7/8 in pp1a and the cleavage site between nsp7/9 in pp1ab are the same.\textsuperscript{49} Here, there is no nsp8.

3CL\textsuperscript{pro} has 11 cleavage sites, that is, between nsp4/5, nsp5/6, nsp6/7, nsp7/8, nsp8/9, nsp9/10, nsp10/11, nsp12/13, nsp13/14, nsp14/15, and nsp15/16.\textsuperscript{26,49-51} Here, there is no cleavage site between nsp11/12.

Sometimes, a cleavage site by 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} is defined between nsp10/12.\textsuperscript{10,21} Here, there is no nsp11.

Although these discrepancies seem trivial, they are essential for 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} action and are instrumental for developing 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} inhibitors. In this perspective, we closely explore these inconsistent cleavage sites by 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} and their nsps, and discuss their possible relevance to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine and drug development.

2  |  CLEAVAGE SITES BY 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}

Initially, 13 probable cleavage sites with dipeptides Q/S(G) were predicted for 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} from infectious bronchitis virus (IBV), and cleavage sites 10, 11, 12, and 13 were located in F2 polyprotein (pp1b).\textsuperscript{20} Subsequently, it was revealed that these 13 cleavage sites include 2 cleavage sites—sites 3 and 4—flanking 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} for autocleavage.\textsuperscript{20} Thus, 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} cleaves 11 sites.\textsuperscript{20} These findings seem to show that 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} is located in pp3 in IBV, but 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} is actually located in pp2 in IBV per the current annotation (accession no. NC_001451.1). In addition, nsp8 is positioned from nucleotide (nt) 12313 to nt 12381 in pp1a, but is absent in pp1ab, where it merges with nsp9 from nt 12313 to nt 12354, and from nt 13354 to nt 15131.

Shortly after, seven cleavage sites were experimentally identified in ORF1b from murine CoV mouse hepatitis virus (MHV),\textsuperscript{52} which generated eight nsps rather than the five nsps generally accepted in pp1b.

Later, it was accepted that PL\textsuperscript{pro} and 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} in HCoV-229E RNA-directed RNA polymerase ORF1A (accession no. 464694) have 12 cleavage sites, 1 site cleaved by PL\textsuperscript{pro} and 11 sites cleaved by 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} generating 13 nsps.\textsuperscript{50} Here, the number of nsps in pp1a is more than that currently accepted—pp1a has 11 nsps from nsp1 to nsp11 and pp1ab has 16 nsps because ORF1b encodes 5 nsps from nsp12 to nsp16.\textsuperscript{15} In addition, HCoV-229E has two copies of PL\textsuperscript{pro} and generates two nsps, whereas most CoVs have a single PL\textsuperscript{pro} copy and generate three nsps.

Eventually, 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} cleaves 9 sites rather than 11 cleavage sites, 2 of which form its own flanking N- and C-terminal autoprocessing sites.\textsuperscript{38,53} This is plausible because 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} is located in nsp5, and its own N- and C-terminal autoprocessing sites are located at the cleavage sites between nsp4/5 and nsp5/6. In other words, 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} can trans-cleave five sites in pp1a—between nsp6/7, nsp7/8, nsp8/9, nsp9/10, and nsp10/11 and trans-cleave four sites in pp1b—between nsp12/13, nsp13/14, nsp14/15, and nsp15/16.

Of the frequently referenced CoVs, nsps were clearly annotated in nine CoVs (Table 1). As shown in Table 1, the identified nsps were inconsistent across different CoVs. For example, nsp11 is absent but merges with nsp12 in MHV (A59 C12 mutant) and SARS-CoV (Tor2). In another example, nsp8, nsp14, nsp15, and nsp16 are absent from avian IBV (Beaudette), porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV) CV777, and two SARS-CoVs (CUHK-W1 and TW1), but their nsp8 and nsp9 merge.

This inconsistency is interesting because the sizes of nsps cleaved by 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} are highly conserved among different groups of CoVs, whereas the sizes of nsps cleaved by PL\textsuperscript{pro} are irregular.\textsuperscript{49}

Table 1 shows the existence of 16 nsps for several CoVs. This is interesting because 15 cleavage sites are required to generate 16 nsps. However, PL\textsuperscript{pro} cleaves 3 sites and 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} cleaves 11 sites—which sums up to 14 cleavage sites—generating 15 nsps only. Indeed, 15 nsps are often mentioned,\textsuperscript{54} and therefore, the generation of 15 nsps is arithmetically correct. Intriguingly, a cleavage site is missing from such calculations.
| Type | Avian IBV | FCoV | MHV | PEDV | SARS-CoV | SARS-CoV | SARS-CoV | MERS-CoV | SARS-CoV-2 |
|------|------------|------|-----|------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Strain | Beaudette | FIPV 79-1146 | A59 C12 mutant | CV777 | Tor2 | CUHK-W1 | TW1 | HCoV-EMC/2012 | Wuhan-Hu-1 |
| ID | NC_001451.1 | DQ010921 | NC_001846.1 | NC_003436.1 | NC_004718.3 | AY278554.2 | AY291451.1 | NC_019843.3 | NC_045512.2 |
| Total bp | 27608 | 29147 | 31357 | 28033 | 29751 | 29736 | 29729 | 30119 | 29903 |
| nspl | 2548-8865 | 312-641 | 210-950 | 2982-7847 | 2704-9969 | 2719-9984 | 279-857 | 266-805 |
| nspl2 | 8866-9786 | 642-2948 | 951-2705 | 9288-10193 | 9970-10887 | 9985-10902 | 858-2837 | 806-2719 |
| nspl3 | 10915-11544 | 8790-9686 | 10209-11117 | 11283-11867 | 9985-10902 | 12007-12600 | 12022-12615 | 10020-10937 | 10055-10972 |
| nspl4 | 11878-12312 | 10578-10826 | 11979-12245 | 12192-12596 | 11773-12021 | 12940-13356 | 12955-13371 | 11814-12062 | 11843-12091 |
| nspl5 | 10827-11411 | 12246-12836 | 12022-12615 | 12063-12659 | 12092-12685 |
| nspl6 | 11412-11744 | 12837-13166 | 12616-12954 | 12660-12989 | 12686-13024 |
| nspl7 | 13172-16931 | 11745-12149 | 13167-13577 | 15377-17167 | 12955-13371 | 16152-17954 | 16167-17969 | 12990-13409 | 13025-13441 |
| nspl8 | 16932-18494 | 12150-12206 | 17168-18718 | 17955-19535 | 17970-19550 | 13410-13451 | 13442-13480 |
| nspl9 | 13578-13619 | 18719-19735 | 18719-19735 | 19536-20573 | 19551-20588 | 13433-16207 | 13442-13480 |
| nspl10 | 14936-16732 | 16361-18160 | 19736-20638 | 20574-21467 | 20589-21482 | 16208-18001 | 16237-18039 |
| nspl11 | 16733-18289 | 18161-19723 | 17970-19550 | 18002-19573 | 18040-19620 |
| nspl12 | 18290-19306 | 19724-20845 | 19551-20588 | 19574-20602 | 19621-20658 |
| nspl13 | 19307-20206 | 20846-21742 | 20589-21482 | 20603-21511 | 20659-21552 |
No predictable cleavage sites were observed between nsp10/11 and nsp11/12 in CoVs using the NetCorona 1.0 webserver. Additionally, the cleavage site between nsp11/12 in SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 cannot be found in literature although that between nsp10/12 is mentioned.21 pp1ab is a fusion between nsp11 and nsp12 when a ribosomal frameshifting occurs between ORF1a and ORF1b; thus, it is likely that the missing cleavage site is between nsp11/12, which is the cleavage site between pp1a and pp1b. Hence, a convincing explanation is that nsp11 appears only when pp1a exists, in which case the ribosomal frameshifting does not occur. Consequently, there are 15 nsp5 for pp1ab, but the co-existence of pp1a and pp1ab provides 16 nsp5. Here, the function of nsp11 remains unclear?

nsp14, nsp15, and nsp16 are absent from the four CoVs (Table 1). Meanwhile, a comparison based on the data shows the absence of nsp11 and nsp16, and total 14 nsp5 in both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2.10 The absence of nsp16 in pp1ab draws our attention, leading us to explore nsp16 in the next section.

In summary, this section indicates that (a) nsp11 exists in pp1a only but not in pp1ab, and there is no cleavage site between nsp11/12; (b) the 11 3CL cleavage sites are only applicable to pp1ab; and (c) the function of nsp11 is yet to be determined.

3 | nsp16 IN CoVs

nsp16 is an RNA cap-modifying enzyme, and forms a complex with nsp10. Therefore, it plays the role of 2′-O-methyltransferase (2′-O-MTase) in CoVs, which was first found in the nsp16 from feline CoV (FCoV) FIPV 79-1146; in the FASTA format of the FCoV genome (accession no, DQ010921), the mRNA for nsp16 is positioned at nt 19307—nt 20206, whereas the mRNA for S-protein is positioned at nt 20206—nt 24564, thus, their ORFs overlap at a single nt, 20206. In the graphics format of this FCoV genome, the mRNA for nsp16 is positioned at nt 19307—nt 20209, whereas the mRNA for S-protein is positioned at nt 20206—nt 24564, thereby, their ORFs overlap at three nucleotides, 20206-20209.

Table 2 lists 39 frequently referenced CoV genomes, where ORF1ab overlaps the mRNA for S-protein in 22 CoVs († in the last column in Table 2), that is, the mRNA for pp1ab overlaps the mRNA for S-protein in some groups of CoVs. This feature is more remarkable in CoVs from avian, bat, feline, swine as well as MERS-CoVs. The overlapping can be as large as 58 nts for MERS-CoV (the penultimate row in Table 2).

The overlap of ORF1ab on the subgenomic mRNA in CoVs is intriguing because the size of proteins translated from subgenomic mRNA is theoretically that of non-overlapping coding regions. Most subgenomic mRNAs are structurally polycistronic but functionally monocistronic, and thus their translation begins only from the 5′ of most ORF in viral proteins.

In the 1990s, the S-protein gene in equine isolate Berne virus (BEV), a torovirus, overlapped the replicase gene (ORF1b); Therefore, it was proposed that a motif, UGUUUAGU, directs the synthesis of the S-protein gene. Subsequently, this S-protein gene (mRNA 2) was found to have a short non-contiguous leader coming from the 5′ terminus of the BEV genome. Here, the overlapping results from a heterologous RNA recombination.

In contrast to torovirus, CoVs have a common 5′ leader sequence, which protects the capped mRNAs from nsp1-induced endonucleolytic cleavage, causing the accumulation of SARS-CoV mRNAs and proteins. In CoV lifecycle, the production of pp1ab occurs in the first phase of translation, whereas S-protein production by subgenomic mRNA occurs in the second phase. Between these two phases is the replication of minus- and plus-strand RNA in DMV. It is also unclear whether such overlapping affects nsp16 and S-protein functions, and the fusion of S-protein with a leader sequence, which includes the transcription-regulating sequence (TRS). This leads to the question of the selective advantage of CoV with the leader sequence in its subgenomic mRNAs.

As the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), nsp12, catalyzes leader-body fusion, we closely explore nsp12 in the next section.

In summary, this section focuses on the overlapping of the S-protein mRNA by the nsp16 mRNA because several mRNA vaccines are based on the S-protein mRNA, whereas the overlapping could be a potential source for heterologous RNA recombination.

4 | nsp12 IN CoVs

RdRp (nsp12) is a target for developing anti-CoV drugs. Usually, nsp7 and nsp8 act as nsp12 cofactors. Remdesivir, currently authorized by the FDA for emergency use, was originally designed to target the polymerases in HIV and hepatitis C virus (HCV).

The first high-resolution cryo-electron microscopy structure of SARS-CoV-2 full-length nsp12 has 932 residues, which is the size of joined nts 13442-13468 and 13468-16236 (SARS-CoV-2, Table 1). This illustration again confirms that nsp11 does not exist as a single entity in pp1ab; nsp11 only appears when ribosomal frameshifting does not occur, whereas ribosomal frameshifting is the only way to generate the joined nsp12, RdRp.

Here, one may ask whether RdRp and nsp11 must merge to function and whether nsp12 alone is nonfunctional. ORF1b
### Table 2

Overlap (†) and non-overlap of nsp16 mRNA over the S-protein mRNA in 39 frequently referenced CoVs

| Accession no | Strain or isolate | pp1ab (nt) | S-Protein (nt) |
|--------------|-------------------|------------|----------------|
| NC_001451.1  | IBV strain Beaudette | 529-20417  | 20368-23856†  |
| NC_048213.1  | IBV isolate Ind-TN92-03 | 529-20423  | 20374-23835†  |
| JF732903.1    | IBV strain Sczy3    | 526-20414  | 20365-23862†  |
| MN711790.1    | IBV isolate GA/1472/2004 | 529-20408  | 20359-23865†  |
| MT460496.1    | IBV isolate CK/CH/LAH/1806 | 526-12387  | 20371-23898†  |
|               |                    | 12462-20420|                |
| NC_048212.1   | Bat CoV isolate CMR704-P12 | 210-20842  | 20814-24623†  |
| NC_028824.1   | BtR-AlphaCoV/YN2012 | 135-20284  | 20281-23679†  |
| MF370205.1    | Rhinolophus bat CoV HKU2 isolate swine enteric alphacoronavirus CH/GD-01/2017/P2 | 297-20482  | 20479-23871†  |
| AF220295.1    | BCoV strain Quebec  | 211-13362  | 23655-27746   |
|               |                    | 13332-21389|                |
| AF391542.1    | BCoV isolate BCoV-LUN | 211-21494  | 23641-27732   |
| NC_003045.1   | BCoV isolate BCoV-ENT | 211-21494  | 23641-27732   |
| U00735.2      | Bovine CoV strain Mebus | 211-21494  | 23641-27732   |
| DQ010921      | FCoV strain FIPV 79-1146 | 312-20209  | 20206-24564†  |
| NC_002306.3   | Feline infectious peritonitis virus isolate 79-1146 | 311-20439  | 20436-24794†  |
| NC_001846.1   | MHV A59 C12 mutant | 210-21745  | 23929-27903   |
| AF201929.1    | MHV strain 2        | 210-13460  | 23755-27840   |
|               |                    | 13382-21583|                |
| AF208066.1    | MHV strain Penn 97-1 | 210-13460  | 23712-27677   |
|               |                    | 13382-21580|                |
| AF208067.1    | MHV strain ML-10    | 210-13613  | 23867-27841   |
|               |                    | 13535-21736|                |
| NC_003436.1   | PEDV strain CV777   | 297-20641  | 20638-24789†  |
| NC_028806.1   | Swine enteric CoV strain Italy/213306/2009 | 307-12354  | 20355-24503   |
|               |                    | 12312-20354|                |
| KR610993.1    | PEDV clone CBR1     | 1-20345    | 20342-24499†  |
| MF769442.1    | SADS-CoV isolate DCD5 | 304-20489  | 20486-23878†  |
| MK994937.1    | SADS-CoV isolate GDWT-P83 | 304-20489  | 20486-23878†  |
| MT039231.1    | Mutant SADS-CoV strain icSADS | 312-20497  | 20494-23886†  |
| MT747188.1    | SADS-CoV isolate CN/GDST/2017 | 304-20489  | 20486-23878†  |
| NC_002645.1   | HCoV-229E           | 293-20568  | 20570-24091   |
| NC_004718.3   | SARS-CoV Tor2       | 265-21485  | 21492-25259   |
| AY278488.2    | SARS-CoV BJ01       | 246-21466  | 21473-25240   |
| AY278554.2    | SARS-CoV CUHK-W1     | 250-21470  | 21477-25244   |
| AY278741.1    | SARS-CoV Urbani      | 265-21485  | 21492-25259   |
| AY282752.2    | SARS-CoV CUHK-Su10   | 250-21470  | 21477-25244   |
| AY291451.1    | SARS-CoV TW1         | 265-21485  | 21492-25259   |
| NC_019843.3   | MERS-CoV isolate HCoV-EMC/2012 | 279-21514  | 21456-25517†  |
| KT029139.1    | MERS-CoV/KOR/KNII/002_05_2015 | 279-21514  | 21456-25517†  |
| MF598722.1    | MERS-CoV strain camel/UAE_415915_W6_2015 | 279-21514  | 21456-25517†  |
| MG596803.1    | MERS-CoV/Bat-CoV/P.khulii/Italy/206645-63/2011 | 208-21437  | 21379-25416†  |
| MK967708.1    | MERS-CoV isolate Mescov/Egypt/Camel/AHRI-FAO-1/2018 | 268-21503  | 21445-25505†  |
| MN120514.1    | MERS-CoV isolate 013 | 279-21514  | 21456-25517†  |
| NC_045512.2   | SARS-CoV-2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1 | 266-21555  | 21563-25384   |
can encode a polypeptide only if ribosomal frameshifting from ORF1a to ORF1b occurs because ORF1b does not have an independent site for translation initiation. Thus, pp1b does not exist alone, and consequently nsp12 does not exist without nsp11.

Hence, the key point for generating RdRp is the −1 ribosomal frameshifting which is the focus of many studies. This ribosomal frameshifting overlaps two ORFs with 43 nucleotides in HCoV-229E51, with 86 nucleotides in IBV, and with 130 nucleotides in the L-A double-stranded RNA virus of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The occurrence of ribosomal frameshifting is required for the production of RdRp, which is needed in the second phase of translation. Although the signal for ribosomal frameshifting has been well studied and the RNA pseudoknot is designed as a target for anti-SARS agents, regulation of the ribosomal frameshifting mechanism remains unclarified.

A simple explanation of the mechanism is that the expression of ORF1b is initiated at specific levels relative to pp1a in CoVs, assuming there is a threshold for the occurrence of ribosomal frameshifting based on the ratio of pp1ab to pp1a. This ratio ranges from 1.8% to 1.9% in the L-A double-stranded RNA virus of S. cerevisiae, and from 5% to 10% in HIV-1. For HCoV-229E, the ribosomal frameshifting frequency ranges between 18% and 30%, of which less than 1% can synthesize pp1ab. Thus, the chance of generating pp1ab in CoVs is remarkably lower than that in the L-A double-stranded RNA virus of S. cerevisiae and HIV-1. Indeed, both pp1a and pp1ab from CoVs are difficult to detect in vivo.

This implies that many copies of pp1a, but few copies of pp1ab occur in CoVs. Although 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} is derived from nsp5 in both pp1a and pp1ab, most of 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} is from pp1a-derived. Thus, not every 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} has a good chance of cleaving the sites between nsp12/13, 13/14, 14/15, and nsp15/16 in pp1b.

In summary, this section reveals that the 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} significantly outnumbers its cleavage sites in pp1b; thus, a considerable number of 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} inhibitors are required to completely inhibit 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} action.

5 | CONCLUSION

In this perspective, we attempted to address several controversial issues on the cleavage sites and cleaved nsps in CoV pp1a and pp1ab by 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}. Meanwhile, several questions are raised: (a) what function does nsp11 perform, (b) can the overlap of nsp16 mRNA over the S-protein mRNA affect mRNA vaccine, and (c) can a low ribosomal frameshifting frequency affect 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} activity? The answers to these questions will enrich our understanding of the mechanism of viral replication and benefit the development of anti-CoV drugs as targets in the current COVID-19 pandemic.
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