Line Width Mathematical Model in Fused Deposition Modelling for Precision Manufacturing
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Abstract. Additive manufacturing is becoming increasingly popular because of its unique advantages, especially fused deposition modelling (FDM) which has been widely used due to its simplicity and comparatively low price. However, in current FDM processes, it is difficult to fabricate parts with highly accurate dimensions. One of the reasons is due to the slicing process of 3D models. Current slicing software divides the parts into layers and then lines (paths) based on a fixed value. However, in a real printing process, the printed line width will change when the process parameters are set in different values. The various printed widths may result in inaccuracy of printed dimensions of parts if using a fixed value for slicing. In this paper, a mathematical model is proposed to predict the printed line width in different layer heights. Based on this model, a method is proposed for calculating the optimal width value for slicing 3D parts. In the future, the proposed mathematical model can be integrated into slicing software to slice 3D models for precision additive manufacturing.

1 Introduction

3D printing technologies (also known as additive manufacturing (AM)) have been developed for more than twenty years. This technology has become a competitive technique for manufacturing parts with complex structures that are difficult or even impossible to be produced via conventional manufacturing technologies [1–4]. Fused deposition modelling (FDM) is one of the most common AM technologies for manufacturing 3D parts. It has been widely used for fabricating not only prototypes, but also real life products. The principle of FDM is based on the manner of layer-by-layer printing and each layer is printed in a line-by-line manner [5–11]. Figure 1 shows the process of slicing 3D models for fabrication. Once a 3D model is obtained, it needs to be sliced into layers and each layer will be sliced into lines as shown in Figure 1. Then the final sliced 3D model part can be obtained, which will be sent to the printers for fabrication.

Tamburrino et al. [12] studied the influence of slicing parameters on the multi-material adhesion mechanisms of FDM printed parts. Different slicing parameters will affect the final printed properties. We previously [13–17] also studied different parameters’ effects on printable overhang and bridge features. We also [18–20] proposed some new printing methods via improving path planning process. Luu et al. [21] proposed an efficient slicing method for Catmull–Clark solids with functionally graded material. A new slicing algorithm was proposed by Wang and Li [22] to guarantee non-negative error of parts fabricated in AM processes. A novel toolpath generation method was proposed by Flores et al. [23], with the aim of fabricating metallic components in laser metal deposition technique. Volpato and Zanotto [24] studied the influence of different deposition sequences on final printed properties in FDM. Ezair et al. [25] proposed a volumetric covering print-path slicing for printing 3D models with superior properties (such as mechanical strength and surface finish). Currently, Xiong et al. [26] proposed a process planning method for adaptive contour parallel toolpath in AM with variable bead widths. The reasons of carrying out the above studies are mainly because of that the slicing parameters in AM will influence the final printed properties and accuracy. Slicing parameters change in each fabrication process may lead to unstable results of 3D printed properties and dimension accuracy. As shown in Figure 1(b), will the designed width of the part \(W_{\text{designed}}\) equal the final fabricated width \((5 \times w)\)? In fact, it is hard to achieve \(W_{\text{designed}} = 5 \times w\) in real fabrication processes due to the change of process parameters.

In this paper, a mathematical model is proposed to predict the printed line width in different process parameters (layer height, print speed, filament extrusion speed) for making the fabrication more stable. Based on this model, a method is proposed for calculating the optimal width value for slicing 3D parts. The mechanism of printed line width in AM processes are studied. In the future, the proposed mathematical model can be integrated into slicing software to slice 3D models for precision additive manufacturing.
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2 Mathematical model

2.1 Model for predicting printed line width in different process parameters

In AM fabrication processes, the printed line widths will change when process parameters change, especially layer height, print speed and filament extrusion speed. In a certain situation (all the process parameters keep the same while changing the layer height), the final printed line width will change as shown in Figure 2. This is due to the volume of extruded material keeps the same in certain process parameters and when changing the layer height, the widths will change. Larger layer height may lead to shorter width of printed layer due to extruded material volume consistency in certain filament extrusion speed. In time ‘t’, the volume \( M_{\text{extruded}} \) of extruded material can be calculated as follows:

\[
M_{\text{extruded}} = \pi \left( \frac{D_{\text{filament}}}{2} \right)^2 v_{\text{filament}} t
\]

where \( \pi \) is approximately equal to 3.14159; \( D_{\text{filament}} \) is the diameter of filament material; \( v_{\text{filament}} \) is the speed of filament extrusion speed. The length of the printed line during the period \( t \) can be calculated as the print speed \( v_{\text{print}} \) times \( t \) as shown in Figure 3. Therefore, the cross section area \( S_T \) in Figure 3 can be calculated as:

\[
S_T = \frac{v_{\text{print}}}{\pi} \left( \frac{D_{\text{filament}}}{2} \right)^2 \int \frac{1}{x^2 + \frac{y^2}{b^2}} dy
\]

As can be seen in this equation, the cross section area \( S_T \) will change as filament extrusion speed and/or print speed change.

As shown in Equation 2, the value of cross section area keeps a constant regardless of the layer height. When the layer height is \( h \) as shown in Figure 4(b), the cross section area \( S_T \) can be calculated as

\[
S_T = \pi ab - 2 \left( \frac{\pi b}{2} \right) \sqrt{b^2 - y^2} dy = \pi ab - \frac{\pi b}{4} \left( h - \frac{h^2}{b} \right) + \frac{b^2 \arcsin \frac{h}{b}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \pi b^2
\]

Combining equations (2), (5) and (6), the values of \( a \) and \( b \) can be obtained. Then the printed line width in different layer heights can be obtained as

\[
w = 2a
\]
2.2 Model for calculating optimal width for slicing parts

During the process of slicing 3D models, the width used for dividing a layer into lines is set as a constant by the slicing software. Here, the value of width is different from the printed line width. The width \( w_c \) here is the value used for slicing layers. This value of width should be changed when the layer height changes as it is similar as discussed in the previous section. In this subsection, a mathematical model is proposed for calculating the optimal width that should be used for slicing layers. As shown in Figure 5, the value of overlapped area changes when using different widths to slice a layer. The smaller the width is, the more the overlapped area. Once the overlapped area is larger than a value, the material may overflow as shown in Figure 5(c) and (d). While if the overlapped area is smaller than a value, space gap may occur between two printed lines as shown in Figure 5(a) and (b). The optimal overlapped area and corresponding material distribution between two printed lines should be as shown in Figure 6, ideally no space gap and overflow. Theoretically speaking, this can be achieved when the following equation is true.

\[
S_E = S_{E1} + S_{E2}
\]

where \( S_E \) is the size of overlapped area \( E \) in Figure 6, \( S_{E1} \) and \( S_{E2} \) are the sizes of areas \( E1 \) and \( E2 \) in Figure 6, respectively. In Figure 6, \( S_E, S_{E1} \) and \( S_{E2} \) can be calculated as follows.

\[
S_E = 2 \times 2 \frac{w_c}{a} \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{a^2 - x^2} dx
\]

\[
= 2 \times \frac{b}{a} \left[ \frac{w_c}{a} \sqrt{a - \frac{w_c}{a}} \left( a + \frac{w_c}{a} \right) + \right.
\]

\[
\left. a^2 \arcsin \left( \frac{w_c}{2a} \right) + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \right]
\]

\[
(9)
\]

\[
S_{E1} = S_{E2} = \frac{b h_{\text{melt}} - \frac{b h_{\text{melt}}}{a^2} \int_0^a \sqrt{a - x^2} dx - S_h}{2}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{b h_{\text{melt}}}{a} \frac{b}{a} \frac{h_{\text{melt}}}{a} \left( \frac{1}{2} a^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{b h_{\text{melt}}}{a} \frac{b}{a} \frac{h_{\text{melt}}}{a} \left( a + \frac{h_{\text{melt}}}{a} \right)
\]

\[
\left. + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \arcsin \left( \frac{h_{\text{melt}}}{2a} \right) + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \right]
\]

\[
(10)
\]

Based on Equations (8), (9) and (10), the optimal width \( w_c \) for slicing can be obtained.

3 Conclusion

In this paper, a mathematical model is proposed to predict the printed line width in different layer heights. Based on this model, a method is proposed for calculating the optimal width value for slicing 3D parts. In the future, the proposed mathematical model can be integrated into slicing software to slice 3D models for precision additive manufacturing. Currently, however, this is only a theoretical investigation. Experiments and comparisons will be carried out in the future.
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