Foraminiferal distribution and palaeoecological interpretation of the Eocene–Miocene carbonates at Al Jabal al Akhdar (northeast Libya)
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ABSTRACT – In the coastal area of northeastern Cyrenaica (Libya), the excellent exposures of Cenozoic limestone sequences of Al Jabal al Akhdar average around 1,000 m in thickness and allow detailed stratigraphic investigations to be undertaken. This study of the biostratigraphy and depositional environments has been augmented by an analysis of the microfacies and of matrix-free foraminiferal assemblages. The biotic contents of the microfacies provide a good tool for correlation with the Letter classification developed from the Indo-Pacific region. The palaeoecological significance of the biota has been evaluated by comparison with the ecological requirements of their present day counterparts. Limitations for the palaeoecological interpretations are mainly due to the inadequate relationships with existing ecological data sets and to some local bias in fossil recovery because of some unfavourable lithologies. In the investigated Eocene to Miocene shallow marine carbonate succession nine different microfacies and sub-microfacies were distinguished through depositional texture and biotic components. Wilson’s standard carbonate facies belts, integrated with present day foraminiferal distribution models, have been used for reference in microfacies analysis and description. Most of the microfossils present are foraminifera and a total of 150 taxa, including larger, small and planktonic foraminifera, have been recognized and their stratigraphic and palaeoecological distribution reported. Physiographically, the rock sequences investigated are referred to a shelf-carbonate platform complex, in which the depositional environments range from open shelf to restricted platform conditions. The nature and distribution of the foraminiferal assemblages and related biota, in association with sedimentological evidence, indicate a generalized shallowing upward trend in which several bathymetric oscillations, especially in the Oligocene, are reported. These reflect the interplay between local tectonics and large-scale eustatic changes. J. Micropalaeontol. 18(1): 45–65, June 1999.

INTRODUCTION
Al Jabal al Akhdar (Green Mountain) lies in northern Cyrenaica, northeastern Libya, and has excellent surface exposures of Meso-Cenozoic sedimentary sequences. The Cenozoic interval consists of about 1,000 m thick deposits that range in age from Palaeocene to Late Miocene. The study area is broadly located between 32 and 33°N latitude and 21 to 23°E longitude (Fig. 1). Two successions were investigated for their microfacies and foraminiferal content in the Middle Eocene–Middle Miocene interval. The first succession was measured from a new roadcut exposure along the Cyrene–Apollonia highway (CA section), while the second is from well A1-36, an exploration borehole drilled in the early 1960s by the Arabian Gulf Oil Company (Figs. 1 and 2).

The purpose of this study is to provide the first comprehensive account of the micropalaeontology of the middle Cenozoic in the central Al Jabal al Akhdar by using a reference section (CA section) and a supplementary section, the latter to include a significantly thick and fossiliferous Miocene interval which is absent in the CA section. Depending on the lithology, the biotic content changes remarkably and, consequently, its resolution potential. Although no formally established biozones have been generally used because of the rarity of species useful for biozone recognition, a biostratigraphic framework has been developed using the many age-diagnostic taxa recovered. Information derived from the microfacies and the matrix-free foraminiferal assemblages, and analogy with present day phylogenetically-related taxa, have been used for the palaeoenvironmental analysis. In general, each of the four main lithostratigraphic
units making up the studied succession represents a shallow-up sequence. These developed in various parts of a shelf-carbonate platform complex whose biotic content is only occasionally eliminated by dolomitization of diagenetic origin.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND STRATIGRAPHY
Al Jabal al Akhdar has been interpreted as an anticlinorium by Christie (1955), who described the geological structure of the region. This uplift can be broadly traced from near Benghazi to 200 km eastwards and, on the flanks of this large structure (north–south width 75 km), Cretaceous, Palaeocene, Eocene, Oligocene and Miocene limestones and marls are exposed. The total maximum thickness of the exposed sedimentary rocks exceeds 2000 m. Because the core of the structure has been uplifted since Cretaceous times, the Cenozoic successions are generally thick on the flanks of the anticlinorium (e.g. in well A1-36), whereas towards the crest they thin and are interrupted by unconformities (e.g. in the CA section). The anticlinorium is intersected, and in part bounded, by faults, most of which show a displacement upwards on that side of the fault closest to the crest of the structure. However, most of the upwards movement of the core has been accomplished by folding or flexing the rocks. The Cretaceous rocks are the most strongly folded and faulted, whereas the Eocene, in the coastal region resting unconformably on the Cretaceous, and the Oligocene and Miocene rocks are less strongly folded and rarely dip at angles greater than 10° (Eocene) to 3° (Oligo-Miocene) (Christie, 1955).

In the sections investigated, four formations ranging in age from the Middle Eocene to the Middle Miocene have been recognized. These are the Dernah, Al Bayda, Al Abraq and Al Faidiyah Formations. Several workers, including Barr & Weegar (1972), Klen (1974), Röhlic (1974), Zert (1974), Banerjee (1980), and El Hawat & Shelman (1993), have described these units, both at outcrop and in the subsurface.

The Middle to Late Eocene Dernah Formation (Gregory, 1911) has its type area near the town of Dernah, on the northern Cyrenaica coastal plain. In the area of this study, the Dernah Formation consists of hard and massive beds of fine- to coarse-grained, whitish to yellowish limestone, with intercalations of dolomitic limestone. The thickness is only 35 m in the CA section, whereas at well A1-36, in which a more complete sequence was drilled, it is nearly 360 m thick.

The Al Bayda Formation and Al Abraq Formation (Röhlich, 1974) typically crop out between the towns of Al Bayda and Al Abraq on the Al Bayda–Dernah highway. The Al Bayda Formation (Early Oligocene) is further subdivided into two members, the Shahat Marl and Algal Limestone (Kleinsmeide & Van Den Berg, 1968). Lithologically, the lower unit of this formation consists of yellowish limestone and soft, argillaceous limestone interbeds, whereas the upper unit is made up of massive and thick-bedded, yellowish and hard limestone. The thickness of the Al Bayda Formation is 40 m in the CA section and 36 m in well A1-36. In the study area, the Al Abraq Formation (Early to Late Oligocene) is characterized by thick-bedded and massive, yellowish limestone with intercalations of dolomitic limestone. This unit is missing in well A1-36, whereas it is 22 m thick in the CA section.

The Al Faidiyah Formation (Pietersz, 1968) has its type locality near the village of Qaryat al Faidiyah, about 16 km south of Cyrene. This unit has a thickness of 6 m in the CA section, in which only the stratigraphically oldest (Upper Oligocene) beds crop out, and 300 m in A1-36 well, where the unit consists of its Early to Middle Miocene section. Lithologically, the Al Faidiyah Formation mainly consists of soft and dark grey, argillaceous limestone intergrading with hard and thick-bedded dolomitic limestone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
A total of 50 samples from the 103 m thick CA section and 125 ditch cuttings samples from the nearly 700 m thick well A1-36 were processed for microfossils and foraminiferal analysis. During outcrop sampling, composition, sedimentary structures and macrofossil records were taken into account. Surface samples were collected at a maximum interval of 2.5 m, although in critical intervals (i.e. lithological facies changes) they had a closer spacing. Ditch cuttings samples were taken at 5–6 m intervals. All samples were then processed for thin section preparations after a careful examination of each of the ditch samples to pick only in situ material and to avoid, whenever possible, the caving problem. In several intervals, however, materials from shallower parts of the borehole were picked on purpose, because the experience of one of us (RB) has shown that microfossil preservation from caved beds is often much better than that of in situ materials (see also Copestake, 1993). In most of these cases, particular characteristics of the microfacies allowed their proper horizon to be recognized. Many of the samples, with the exception of the hard lithologies, were crushed and disaggregated by hydrogen peroxide solution and washed through a 63 μm sieve. Depending on the microfossil abundance,
Explanation of Plate 1

**fig. 1.** General view of microfacies A, fine-grained, bioclastic and planktonic foraminiferal mudstone-wackestone. Well A1-36, lowermost part of Dernah Formation, x16.

**fig. 2.** General view of microfacies B, nummultid wackestone with *Sphaerogypsina* sp. (top left) and fossil debris. CA section, lower part of Dernah Formation, x2.1.

**fig. 3.** Microfacies B, axial section of *Pellatispira* sp.1 and equatorial section of *Sphaerogypsina* sp. (bottom right). CA section, lower part of Dernah Formation, x6.9.

**fig. 4.** General view of microfacies B, packstone with pillared, small-sized *Nummulites* spp., echinoidrem remnants and serpulids. CA section, upper part of Dernah Formation, x1.6.

**fig. 5.** General view of microfacies B, packstone with common A-forms of *Nummulites* sp.1. CA section, upper part of Dernah Formation, x1.6.

**fig. 6.** General view of microfacies C, skeletal packstone with reworked (Eocene) discocyclinid and nummulitid debris. CA section, basal part of Shahat Marl Member, Al Bayda Formation, x5.8.

**fig. 7.** General view of microfacies C, packstone with reworked *Asterocyclina* spp. and discocyclinid remnants. CA section, lower part of Shahat Marl Member, Al Bayda Formation, x3.2.

**fig. 8.** General view of microfacies C, packstone with reworked *Nummulites* spp. and *Asterocyclina* spp. CA section, upper part of Shahat Marl Member, Al Bayda Formation, x2.7.
Explanation of Plate 2

fig. 1. General view of microfacies D, wackestone with small-sized, rare *Nummulites* spp. CA section, upper part of Shahat Marl Member, Al Bayda Formation, ×6.9. fig. 2. General view of microfacies E, poorly sorted grainstone with nodular coralline algae (large, dark areas), rare *Nummulites* spp., textulariids, rotaliids, and echinoid remnants. CA section, lower part of Algal Limestone Member, Al Bayda Formation, ×4.2. fig. 3. General view of microfacies E, grainstone with encrusted coralline algae, rotaliids and rare, small and thick-walled *Nummulites* spp. CA section, lower part of Algal Limestone Member of Al Bayda Formation, ×4.8. fig. 4. General view of microfacies F, wackestone–packstone with *Nummulites* cf. *fichteli* (left side) and small-sized *Nummulites* spp. The dark areas are nodular coralline algae. CA section upper part of Algal Limestone Member, Al Bayda Formation, ×1.6X3. fig. 5. General view of microfacies F, poorly sorted wackestone-packstone with *Nummulites* cf. *fichteli*. CA section, upper part of Algal Limestone Member, Al Bayda Formation. Note the compaction and concentration of small-sized, thick *Nummulites* spp., coralline algae (dark areas) with conceptacles (bottom left) and other skeletal remnants, ×4.2. fig. 7. General view of sub-microfacies G1, grainstone–packstone with porcelaneous foraminifera (miliolids), rotaliids, nummulitids, echinoid and coralline algae remnants. CA section, lower part of Al Abraq Formation, ×4.2. fig. 8. General view of sub-microfacies G1, packstone with common serpulids (bottom left and top right), coralline algae, rotaliids and recrystallized *Nummulites* spp. CA section, lower part of Al Abraq Formation, ×3.2.
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Explanation of Plate 3

**figs. 1 and 2.** General view of sub-microfacies G₂, glauconitic wackestone–packstone with abundant echinoid remnants (grey colour), and rare, small recrystallized *Nummulites* spp. CA section, upper part of Al Abraq Formation. Note the dominance of glauconite pellets (dark colour), ×3.2. **fig. 3.** General view of sub-microfacies G₂, wackestone–packstone with *Operculina* spp. and scattered fragments of *Miogypsina*. CA section, uppermost part of Al Abraq Formation (*Operculina* bed), ×1.6. **fig. 4.** General view of microfacies H, packstone–grainstone with common rotaliids, *Borelis melo* (left side) and miliolids. Well A1-36, middle part of Al Faidiyah Formation. Pelleted (faecal) patches have dark colour, ×9.5. **fig. 5.** *Nummulites* cf. *cuvillieri* Sander, axial section. Well A1-36, Dernah Formation, ×11.1. **fig. 6.** *Borelis melo* (Fichtel & Moll), oblique section. Well A1-36, Al Faidiyah Formation, ×15.9. **fig. 7.** *Miogypsina* sp. 1, longitudinal section. Well A1-36, Al Faidiyah Formation, ×11.1. **fig. 8.** *Sphaerogypsina* sp. 1, oblique section. Well A1-36, Dernah Formation, ×15.9.
from some tens to several hundreds benthic and planktonic foraminifera were picked per sample, identified and stored in cardboard slides. The study material, presently curated in the collections of the Capellini Palaeontological Museum (University of Bologna), will have a final repository in the Department of Earth Sciences of the University of Benghazi (Libya).

PREVIOUS WORK
Geological investigation in northeastern Libya started with Gregory (1911), who was the first to develop a systematic regional stratigraphy. Subsequently, Italian scientists, such as Stefanini (1923, 1935), Marchetti (1934) and Desio (1935, 1939), published comprehensive accounts mainly on stratigraphy, palaeontology and geomorphology.

The beginning of hydrocarbon exploration in Libya was crucial for the development of geological knowledge of Cyrenaica. In 1956 the first deep Libyan oil well was drilled on a surface structure recognized in the core of the Jabal al Akhdar. The first geological results derived from oil company activities are reported in the classic monograph *Geology and Archaeology of Northern Cyrenaica* (Barr, 1968a). The need to apply international stratigraphic rules and to simplify a complex stratigraphic nomenclature derived from the exploration activity of many oil companies produced a number of studies, including those by Kleinsmeide & Van den Berg (1968), Barr & Weegar (1972), Banerjee (1980) and Megerosi & Mamgait (1980). These accounts set the foundation for the geological and stratigraphic work developed in northern Cyrenaica. Later publications on the stratigraphy and sedimentology of the region include those by El Hawat & Salem (1987), Duronio et al. (1991) and El Hawat & Shalmi (1993). In general, however, studies of the biostratigraphy and micropaleontology of the Late Cretaceous and Tertiary of northern Cyrenaica are few and include those by Barr (1968b, 1972), Barr & Hammuda (1971), El Khoudary (1976, 1977, 1980), Barr & Bergren (1980) and Eliagoobi & Powell (1980), together with limited micropaleontological data from some of the rock units described here by Klen (1974), Röhlich (1974) and Zert (1974).

In spite of the quality and extent of the outcrop sections and over 40 years of intense hydrocarbon exploration, palaeoecologically oriented studies from northern Cyrenaica are absent, whereas they are numerous from elsewhere in the Tethyan middle Cenozoic.

MICROFACIES DESCRIPTION
The results of this study on the microfacies of the Cenozoic rocks from the Jabal al Akhdar contribute to our understanding of the depositional sequences and their correlation. The described microfacies are similar in definition and interpretation to those proposed by Wilson (1975) and Flügel (1982).

Microfacies from all the formations recognized in the field have been described and summarized in a number of associations (microfacies A to H, Fig. 2) (Plates 1–3). The ranges and observed occurrences of selected useful taxa from the biotic constituents of the microfacies are presented in Figs 3 and 4.

Microfacies associations show a large-scale cyclicity within the Oligocene rocks of the CA section. Oscillations of sea level and sedimentary gaps are evident in this outcrop and they are reflected in the alternation of microfacies typifying a relatively deep and open shelf (microfacies D) and others from a carbonate platform complex (microfacies C, E, F and G). This cyclicity pattern has not been recognized in well A1-36, where the Oligocene succession is incomplete and limited to the Shahat Marl Member of the Al Bayda Formation. Hence, microfacies E, F and G are recognized only in the CA section (Algal Limestone Member of the Al Bayda Formation and Al Abraq Formation). Microfacies H is recognized only in well A1-36, where it makes up the Early–Middle Miocene Al Faidiyah Formation. The microfacies associations present in the studied successions are described in the following sections.

Microfacies A (nummulitid and planktonic foraminiferal mudstone)
Whitish to grey carbonates containing microfacies A are medium- to thick-bedded and are relatively soft. Microfacies A consists of mudstones with rare, fine-grained allochems. In well A1-36 this microfacies (Plate 1, fig. 1) becomes more argillaceous and the allochems show iron oxide impregnations. Microfacies A represents the lowermost part of the Dernah Formation and small-sized Nummulites (<1 cm), with a low abundance and diversity, and globigerinids are the main biotic constituents.

Microfacies B (nummulitid and discocyclinid wackestone-packstone)
Yellowish, thick-bedded to massive, hard carbonates contain microfacies B. Lithologically, wackestone dominates in the lower part of the interval (Plate 1, fig. 2), whereas packstone is the prevailing lithology in the middle and upper parts (Plate 1, figs 4 and 5). The matrix is medium- to coarse-grained, with local sparitic patches. Micritic envelopes on skeletal remnants of nearshore origin are scarce. This microfacies comprises the lower and upper parts of the Dernah Formation. The assemblages are dominated by large-sized Nummulites spp. (up to 5 cm) and Discocyclina spp. Coraline red algae, molluscs and echinoderms provide common bioclast material, whereas small benthic foraminifera are usually rare. Common foraminiferous constituents include Nummulites gizehensis, N. cf. caviillieri, N. cf. subdiscorbinus, N. cf. fabiani, Assilina spp., Sphaerogypsina sp. 1, and Pellatispira sp. 1 (Plate 1, fig. 3). In the shaly intervals that regularly interbed the carbonates in the Dernah Formation of well A1-36, assemblages with Rotalia mexicana and Lenticulina texana have been recovered.

Microfacies C (nummulitid packstone)
Microfacies C has been described in yellowish and relatively soft, thin- to medium-bedded marly carbonates from the lower part of the Shahat Marl Member (Al Bayda Formation). Its lithology can be summarized as micritic packstone with a fine- to coarse-grained matrix that largely consists of skeletal remnants of echinoderm, mollusc, and bryozoan origin. In the basal beds of the Shahat Marl Member of the CA section, this microfacies contains abundant reworked (Eocene) discocyclinids and nummulitids (more than 90% of the total assemblage) (Plate 1, figs 6–8). In the bioclastic material, syntaxial cement rims, coated grains and traces of iron oxide are scarce. Globigerinids are rare, but persistent, constituents. The bioclasts in well A1-36 include foraminifera such as Nummulites cf. fichteli, N. cf. vascus
Fig. 3. Distribution of selected foraminiferal taxa from the Cyrene-Apollonia (CA) section.
| Age        | Eocene | Oligocene | Miocene |
|------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| Middle     |        |          |         |
| Late       |        |          |         |
| Early      |        |          |         |
| Early-Middle|      |          |         |

| Rock Unit | Derna FM | Al Bayda FM | Al Fadiyah FM |
|-----------|----------|-------------|---------------|

| Depth (m) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|

| Lithology | |
|-----------|---|

| Sample | Gyroidinoida schildii | Lensilina caroliniana | L. euryphora | Spathocyclina carinata | Cibicides antiquus | Eponides sulcatus | Nodosaria spp. | Terebratulites schencki | Anomalinaeidae filifera | Planulina mexicana | Bolivina berythi lamiososa | Conus auritus | C. cf. oblongus | Cibicides eocenius | C. m. perlucidus | Cibicidoides cf. incertum | Lenticulina melvilli | Marginulinopsis gazetta | Rotalia septifera | Cibicides falconensis | Peneroplis granum | Eponides everta | Lenticulina recticostata | Neopontonia schreberi | Leptatula lobata | Bagina cf. colymboides | Cibicides floridanus | Lenticulina cf. nobilis | Cibicides duxifera | Ammonia beccarii | Ephylladum adversum | E. conomophorum | E. macellum | Lenticulina umbonata | Melonis hirtius | Nucula scophus | Ephylladum crispum | Cibicides schencki | Ephylladum craticulatum | Triloculina gibba | Cibicides pachyderma | Astartegerina sp. 1 | Cibicides refugium | Cibicides decipiens | Cibicides decipiens | Lensulina cf. gibba | Prygo ohlana | Triloculina cf. austriaca | Quartuquiloculina semilunum | Cibicides adversum | Ephylladum cf. antonius | E. fitzgeraldii |

---

**Fig. 4.** Distribution of selected foraminiferal taxa from well A1-36.
and *Operculina complanata*.

**Microfacies D (planktonic foraminiferal mudstone–wackestone)**

Marly carbonates containing microfacies D are soft, medium- to thick-bedded and yellowish to brownish. In the Shahat Marl Member, this microfacies alternates with microfacies C. This mainly argillaceous mudstone and wackestone has a fine-grained matrix (Plate 2, fig. 1), and micritization of allochems and iron oxide are common. The assemblage consists of common globigerinids, rotaliids, and rare nummulitids. Molluscs and echinoid debris and smooth-shelled ostracods are also common components, whereas small benthic foraminifera, such as textulariids and small rotulids, are accessory constituents. Abundant and diverse assemblages of small foraminifera have been recovered in well A1-36 from marly rocks assigned to this microfacies (Fig. 4).

**Microfacies E (coral–algal and nummulitid packstone–grainstone)**

Microfacies E has been described from hard, massive to thick-bedded and whitish to yellowish carbonates. It comprises the lower part of the Algal Limestone Member (Al Bayda Formation). Poorly sorted packstone and grainstone of microfacies E have a fine- to medium-grained matrix and prevailing sparry calcite cement (Plate 2, figs 2 and 3). Structures of diagenetic origin, such as conceptacal and geopetal structures, and syntaxial overgrowths, have frequently been observed. The biotic content shows abundant nodular forms of coralline red algae and encrusting forms belonging to the genera *Lithophyllum* and *Lithothamnium*. The skeletal component also includes fragments or entire shells of nummulitids, operculinids, hetero-stegniids, amphistegniids, ostracods, corals, bivalves, gastropods, echinoids and bryozoans with variable proportions. Small foraminifera of attached and free mode of life locally occur.

**Microfacies F (nummulitid packstone–wackestone)**

Microfacies F has been described in white to grey, hard and thick-bedded carbonates. This microfacies is from the upper part of the Algal Limestone Member. The lithology mainly consists of packstone and wackestone in which cements range from micrite to sparite (Plate 2, figs 4–6). Micritization of allochems of diagenetic origin is common and other diagenetic features, such as those observed for microfacies E, are persistent. The biotic components are mainly poorly sorted and thick-walled *Nummulites* (*N. cf. fichteli*). Compared with microfacies E, this microfacies contains fewer coralline algae and, locally, they are absent. The abundance of foraminifera typifying soft substrates, epiphytes and planktonic foraminifera enables a further distinction from microfacies E. Ostracods and echinoid, bryozoan and mollusc debris make up the remaining skeletal components.

**Microfacies G**

The amount of siliciclastic materials and glauconite pellets has been used to separate two distinct sub-microfacies in microfacies G.

**Sub-microfacies G1 (miliolid and nummulitid wackestone–packstone).** Whitish to yellowish carbonates from which sub-microfacies G1 has been described are thick-bedded and relatively soft. This microfacies represents the lower part of the Al Abraq Formation and lithologically mainly consists of bioclastic wackestone and packstone (Plate 2, figs 7 and 8). The matrix is medium- to coarse-grained with poorly sorted concentrations of bioclast remnants. Most of the original bioclasts, however, have been completely dissolved and moulds outlined by a thin micrite envelope were then filled by sparry calcite cement. Other diagenetic features, such as conceptacal structures, syntaxial overgrowths, geopetal fabric (in gastropod shells) and bioclast recrystallization, are commonly observed. There is often evidence of partial secondary dolomitization. The foraminiferal component includes abundant miliolids and nummulitids and, with fewer numbers, amphistegniids, operculinas and rotulids. The bioclastic component consists of coralline red algae (e.g. *Lithophyllum* spp.), bivalves, gastropods, bryozoans, echinoids and serpulid tubes.

**Sub-microfacies G2 (nummulitid and coiled vaginulinid wackestone–packstone).**

Yellowish to brownish carbonates containing sub-microfacies G2 are hard and thick-bedded. They comprise the upper part of the Al Abraq Formation. The lithology and skeletal components are similar to the previous sub-microfacies, from which sub-microfacies G2 may be primarily distinguished because it contains sand-sized siliciclastic materials and light green glauconite pellets that are abundant throughout the interval (Plate 3, figs 1 and 2). The remainder of the sediment is made up of recrystallized bioclasts set in a fine micritic matrix. Compared with sub-microfacies G1, thick-shelled *Operculina complanata*, variable numbers of *Miogypsina* spp., coiled vaginulinids, agglutinated and miliolid taxa, and the up-section reduction of *Nummulites* spp. characterize the biotic component of sub-microfacies G2. The top of this sub-microfacies in the CA section (top of the Al Abraq Formation) is characterized by a high concentration of *Operculina* tests arranged parallel to bedding (Plate 3, fig. 3).

**Microfacies H (alveolinid and miogypsiniid packstone–grainstone)**

Carbonates in which microfacies H is represented are hard, thick-bedded to massive and are interbedded with marls and dolomitic limestones. This microfacies comprises the middle and upper parts (Miocene) of the Al Faidiyah Formation. The lithology mainly consists of pelleted (faecal) packstone and grainstone (Plate 3, fig. 4) in which rhombs of dolomite, locally impregnated by iron oxide, are common. The cement is mainly sparite in which the crystal size and irregular shape suggest neomorphic fabrics or crystalline granular texture. Geopetal structures and leaching of bioclasts also occurs. The biotic component consists of miliolids, elphidiids, nonionids, miogypsinids, alveolinids and ostracods. Debris of larger foraminifera, including *Eulepidina*, *Operculina*, *Miogypsina*, *Amphistegina*, *Spiroclupeus*, *Heterostegina* and *Borelis*, make up most of the allochems present.

**FORAMINIFERAL ASSEMBLAGES AND PALAEOGEOGRAPHIC AFFINITIES**

The washed residues obtained from the soft-rock intervals of the study successions enable the investigation of abundant and diverse foraminiferal assemblages. About 150 species and subspecies, belonging to more than 65 genera, have been identified. The preservation is variable, although it is generally
poor and only locally good. In a few instances the preservation is so poor as to prevent a proper identification of the foraminiferal taxa. Barren intervals are present and they may reflect a very poor preservation potential. Pyritization and calcification as diagenetic processes frequently occur in some stratigraphic levels. On the whole, the assemblages show a dominance of the calcareous benthic foraminifera (Rotaliina), with an average of 70–90% of the total assemblage, whereas porcellaneous (Miliolina) and agglutinated taxa (Textulariina) average 0–20 and 0–5%, respectively. Planktonic foraminiferal abundance is usually low (up to 10%). The simple diversity is generally low, with the exception of those intervals assigned to the foreslope and open platform, and ranges between 5 and 30 taxa/sample.

The bulk of the assemblages is generally represented by a very limited number of dominant taxa. This has also been observed in instances of high simple diversity, which is due to the high number of accessory species. Comparison among the foraminiferal assemblages recovered in the different lithostratigraphic units has shown that the species proportions strikingly change and the dominant species, usually large in size, may vary from sample to sample, probably reflecting changes in the preservation potential.

In a number of species, including Lenticulina texana (Plate 4, figs 1 and 2), Cibicidoides mexicanus, Lobatula lobatula, Nonion scaphum, Cibicides antiqua, Nonionella longispiralisata and N. turgida (Plate 4, fig. 4), different morphotypes can be distinguished. Common to abundant genera represented by more than one species include Nummulites; Operculina, Nonion, Nonionella, Rotalia, Eponides, Ammonia, Cibicidoides, Lenticulina, Elphidium, Quinqueloculina, Triloculina, Globigerina and Paragloborotalia.

Larger foraminifera have a low diversity throughout the studied successions, although they are abundant, especially in the Eocene interval. In the Late Eocene, reticulate Nummulites (N. cf. fabianii) are common; they were replaced in the Oligocene by N. cf. fichteli and by striate species including N. cf. vascus. In the Oligocene and Miocene intervals, larger foraminifera are less diverse and less abundant.

The Palaeogene foraminiferal assemblages of northern Cyrenaica show variable degrees of similarity with those reported in shelf settings of the Atlantic (Caribbean) and Indo-Pacific palaeobiogeographic provinces. Taxonomic similarities in the Palaeogene larger and smaller foraminiferal assemblages between the above regions and the Mediterranean are well documented and confirm the persistence of biogeographic relationships during the early Cenozoic. These were lost in the Early–Middle Miocene because of the interruption of the marine connections (between the Mediterranean and Indo-Pacific) and the changing climate (between Mediterranean and Caribbean).

Since the Palaeocene, the smaller benthic foraminifera of Libya and Egypt have their closest affinities with the Gulf Coast and Trinidad assemblages (Midway-type faunas) (Berggren & Phillips, 1971). Similarly, the Middle Eocene (Barr & Berggren, 1980) and Late Eocene–Oligocene (this study) Cyrenaican assemblages show significant degrees of similarity with those from the Gulf Coast and Caribbean (Bolli et al., 1994), northern Italy (Di Napoli Alliata, 1953), the Hungarian Basin (Sztáros, 1979, 1982) and Belgium (Batjes, 1958). However, these taxonomic comparisons are somewhat limited by the facies (carbonate or terrigenous) constraints, which may alter the composition of assemblages or induce diachroneities (e.g. the Middle Eocene Jackson-type faunas from Cyrenaica; Barr & Berggren, 1980).

Compared with the larger foraminifera from the Indo-Pacific (Adams, 1967, 1970; Clarke & Blow, 1969), the Cyrenaican assemblages, as well as other northern Africa assemblages, show marked similarities. They seem to be almost cosmopolitan at the generic level, whereas they show different degrees of endemism at the species level. For example, faunal isolating mechanisms are documented in the Tethyan realm. As pointed out by Schaub (1983), during the early Cenozoic the northern Tethyan (Pyrenees, Alps, Crimea and Iran) species of Nummulites differed from those of the southern Tethyan margin (northern Africa) because of an alleged deep-sea barrier that separated the two Tethyan margins, preventing an efficient communication among the neritic biota. Nummulites giezensis, for example, one of the southern species, is well known from northern Africa and the Arabian Peninsula (Schaub, 1983; Racey, 1994), but not in Europe, although N. lyelli, the last member of this phylogenetic lineage, is one of the most characteristic species of the uppermost Middle Eocene of northern Italy (Schaub, 1983).

**BIOSTRATIGRAPHIC RESULTS AND PROBLEMS**

Lower to middle Tertiary deposits predominantly consisting of shallow marine carbonates are widespread in northeastern Libya and throughout the Tethyan realm. In biostratigraphic practice their abundant larger foraminiferal assemblages have been extensively used and, since the work of Clarke & Blow (1969),

---

**Explanation of Plate 4**

**figs 1 and 2. Lenticulina texana** (Cushman & Applin), apertural and lateral views. Well A1-36, lower part of Shahat Marl Member, Al Bayda Formation, ×37.

**fig. 3. Textularia schencki** Cushman & Valentine, lateral view. CA section, upper part of Al Abraq Formation, ×50.

**fig. 4. Nonionella turgida** (Williamson), lateral view. CA section, lower part of Al Faidiyah Formation, ×100.

**figs 5 and 6. Nonionella jacksonensis spiralis** Bandy, lateral views. CA section, lower part of Al Abraq Formation, ×100.

**fig. 7. Uvigerina jacksonensis** Cushman, lateral view. Well A1-36, lower part of Shahat Marl Member, Al Bayda Formation, ×75.

**fig. 8. Spiroplectammina carinata** (d’Orbigny), lateral view. CA section, upper part of Al Abraq Formation, ×50.

**figs 9 and 10. Parvuskina schreibersi** (Czjzek), lateral views. CA section, lower part of Al Faidiyah Formation, ×50.

**figs 11 and 12. Neosponides schreibersii** (d’Orbigny), lateral views. CA section, upper part of Al Abraq Formation, ×25.

**figs 13 and 14. Nonion scaphum** (Fichtel & Moll), lateral and apertural views. Well A1-36, lower part of Shahat Marl Member, Al Bayda Formation, ×50.

**fig. 15. Cibicidoides mexicanus** (Nutall), lateral and apertural views. Well A1-36, lower part of Shahat Marl Member, Al Bayda Formation, ×50.

**figs 16 and 17. Cibicidoides pachyderma** (Rzehak), lateral views. Well A1-36, lower part of Shahat Marl Member, Al Bayda Formation, ×50.

**figs 18 and 19. Elphidium glabratum** Cushman, apertural and lateral views. CA section, upper part of Al Abraq Formation, ×37.
there have been numerous attempts to correlate larger foraminiferal zonations with those established by means of planktonic groups. However, because such rock types mostly contain facies-related fossil assemblages, correlations with standard zonations are often difficult. A further complication derives from the rarity of planktonic foraminifera in shallow water carbonates. Considering the discontinuous planktonic foraminiferal distribution presented in Figs 3 and 4, an obvious relationship between lithotypes and planktonic abundance can be recognized in this study. The prevalence of surface and intermediate dwellers and the absence of age-diagnostic deep-water dwellers is a further limitation related to the known planktonic foraminiferal depth stratification. Elsewhere, in areas having facies associations including both shallow neritic and pelagic settings (e.g. Chapronière, 1980; Benjamini, 1995), fairly accurate correlations have been established with plankton-based zonations.

The use of the Letter classification in the studied successions (Fig. 2), in the revised version proposed by Adams (1970, 1984) for the Indo-Pacific region, is based on the biotic similarities that existed throughout the Tethyan domain during the early and middle Cenozoic. Especially in rocks prior to the Upper Eocene, several phylogenetic lines of larger foraminifera provide means of large-scale correlation. Actually, from the Late Eocene to Miocene the increasing differentiation between western Tethys (Mediterranean) and Indo-Pacific (Adams, 1967, 1984), combined with slower rates of evolution in a number of larger foraminiferal groups (Herb & Hekel, 1973), reduced their potential as biostratigraphic and correlation tools.

In the present account, the limited biostratigraphic data obtained because of the facies control on the fossil range and abundance restricts the application of a formal zonal scheme and the use of quantitative or semi-quantitative methods of biostratigraphic correlation. Moreover, the development of a locally applicable zonation requires some confirmation of its lateral extent through studies on other biostratigraphically suitable sections. In spite of the complications arising from facies changes and stratigraphic gaps, the analysis of the distribution charts (Figs 3 and 4) indicate that every lithostratigraphic unit exhibits a distinctive age-related microfossil content. In this account, age determinations by means of nummulitids are based on the ranges by Clarke et al. (1970), Adams (1969), Blondeau (1972), Schaub (1981), Adams et al. (1983) and Racey (1995). The biostratigraphic data are summarized in the following sections.

**Middle Eocene**
The deposits of the lower and middle part of the Dernah Formation have been ascribed to the Middle Eocene (Zone Ta3 of Adams, 1970). This interval exhibits a rather monotonous and poorly diverse microbiotic content where nummulitids dominate. Because the attempts to extract free specimens other than larger foraminifera from this hard limestone was unsuccessful, we primarily based our analysis on thin sections. The record of large-sized nummulitids, including *Nummulites gizehensis*, allows this interval to be characterized. Well known from Middle Eocene assemblages in southern Mediterranean regions (Schaub, 1981; Boukhary & Hussein-Kamel, 1993), the large nummulitids are frequent at the top of the Middle Eocene Dernah Formation of the studied sections, where they are associated with *N. cf. cavillieri* (Plate 3, fig. 5), and *N. cf. subdiscorbinus*. *Discocyclina* spp., *Assilina* spp., *Sphaerogypsina sp.1* (Plate 3, fig. 8) and *Pellatispira sp.1* (Plate 1, fig. 3) also occur in this interval. The top of the Middle Eocene is defined by the last occurrence of *N. gizehensis* and associated taxa.

**Late Eocene**
This interval comprises the upper part of the Dernah Formation. Upper Eocene rocks have been primarily recognized through the occurrence of a nummulitid assemblage including the Priabonian *Nummulites cf. fabianii*. This time interval can be correlated with Zone Tb of Adams (1970). Similar to the underlying interval, the biotic component has low diversity and larger foraminifera, rotaliids and coiled vaginulinids comprise much of the assemblages. The analysis of washed sample residues yielded several small benthic foraminifera. Late Eocene–Oligocene in age, such as *Asterigerina bartonia*, *Elphidium glabratum* (Plate 4, figs 21 and 22), *Lenticulina texana*, *Rotalia mexicana* and *Uvigerina jacksonensis* (Plate 4, fig. 7). The Oligocene Al Bayda Formation rests disconformably on the Dernah Formation with a recognized stratigraphic gap in the well A1-36 succession.

**Oligocene**
The Oligocene deposits of the CA section are referred to the Al Bayda Formation, Al Abraq Formation and to the lower part of the Al Faiyiah Formation, whereas in well A1-36 they are limited to the lower member (Shahat Marl) of the Al Bayda Formation. This lower member provided a microfossil content significantly different in the two successions investigated. Although in outcrop the assemblages are still dominated by nummulitids, associated with limited numbers of small benthic taxa and reworked Eocene elements (*Discocyclina* and *Nummulites*), in well A1-36 the Shahat Marl yielded abundant and diverse foraminiferal assemblages, mostly recovered from the washed sample residues. These include planktonic foraminiferal
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taxa of some biostratigraphic significance, such as *Globigerina anguloloficalis–angulisutralis* group, *G. ciperoensis* (Plate 5, figs 14 and 18), *G. euapertura* (Plate 5, figs 19–21), *G. ouachitaensis*, *G. ouachitaensis* gnaucki (Plate 5, figs 10–12) and *Paragloborotalia opima opima*, which support an Early Oligocene age assignment (Zones P19–P21 as defined by Berggren & Miller, 1988). As no bivalve planktonic foraminifera (chilo- 

guembelinids) have been recorded, we lack the established criterion to separate the Early from the Late Oligocene (=extinction of chilo- 

guembelinids, Berggren & Miller, 1988; Berggren et al., 1995). However, the recovery of *Nummulites* without lepidocyclinids in the entire Shahat Marl interval at well A1-36 strongly suggests an Early Oligocene age. Small benthic foraminiferal species are also numerous and typical Oligocene elements include *Textularia schencki* (Plate 4, fig. 3), *Uvigerina jacksonensis*, *Cibicidoides mexicanus* (Plate 4, figs 15–17), *Asterigerina bartoniana*, *Bolivina beyrichi* and *Cibicidina antiqua*.

In well A1-36 the lower and middle beds of the Shahat Marl Member show a sharp change in their larger foraminiferal 

content from the underlying unit. Together with *Operculina* spp. and other nummulitids, the Early Oligocene is marked by the 

recovery of reticulate *Nummulites* including *N*. cf. *fichteli* and *N*. cf. *vascus*. In the CA section the biotic components in the middle 

and upper parts of the Oligocene succession are heavily 

controlled by facies constraints which make the foraminiferal 

record discontinuous. However, at least the top Al Abraq and 

the Al Faidiyah Formations can be assigned to the Late 

Oligocene by means of their total foraminiferal content. 

Planktonics include some of the above-mentioned taxa and others, such as *Catuspyradex dissilimit* (Plate 5, fig. 9), *Paragloborotalia opima nana*, *P. pseudonu eo continua* and *P. siakensis* (Plate 5, figs 15 and 16), which suggest a fairly wide 

age determination (Zones P19 to P22 of Berggren & Miller, 1988). A useful chronological constraint is probably the 

disappearance of *Nummulites* spp. recorded in the Upper part of 

the Al Abraq Formation of the CA section. As other 

nummulitids (*Operculina*) and ecologically consistent taxa are 

present up to the top of the section, we assign a biostratigraphic 

significance to this disappearance. In terms of the Letter 

zonation of Adams (1970), both Oligocene successions can be 

included in the zones *Tc* and *Td* (Fig. 2). In well A1-36 the 

boundary with the overlying Miocene beds is marked by a major 

unconformity.

**Miocene**

Rocks ascribed to the Miocene are limited to the Al Faidiyah 

Formation of the A1-36 well. The base of this interval is 

recognized through a sharp facies change corresponding to the 

Miocene transgression. Age-diagnostic larger and small benthic 

foraminifera are abundant, whereas planktonic taxa have only 

an occasional record. Significant larger foraminiferal co-

occurrences include those of *Borelis me lo* (Plate 3, figs 4 and 

6), *Lepidocyclina* (*Eulepidina*) cf. *dilatata*, *Miogypsina* sp. 1 (Plate 3, fig. 7), *Operculina complanata* and *Spirolocyclus* spp. 

With the exception of *B. me lo*, this assemblage may only indicate 

a broad Oligo-Miocene time interval. The species of *Borelis* 

known to date fall into two main groups defined through a 

length/diameter index (Hottinger, 1974; Sherif, 1991): a group of 

ovoid to fusiform species (Oligocene to Recent), and a group of 

spherical forms (Middle–Late Eocene to Recent). Well known 

from Lower to Middle Miocene strata (Hottinger, 1974), *B. me lo* 

has only a spherical morphology in the Mediterranean region 

(Adams, 1984). In well A1-36 *B. me lo* is relatively common 

either in thin section or as isolated specimens. This taxon has 

been recognized in Libya in the same time interval by Berggren 

(1967) and more recently by Sherif (1991) from the middle 

Miocene Al Khums Formation (northwest Libya). Supportive 

biostratigraphic evidence arises from sporadic occurrences of *Praeorbulina glomerosa* (Plate 5, figs 1 and 2) in the upper beds 

of the Al Faidiyah Formation. This recovery allows documenta-

tion of the planktonic foraminiferal Zone M5b (lower Middle 

Miocene) of Berggren et al. (1995). The Miocene Al Faidiyah 

Formation can be ascribed to the Letter Zones *Te5* and *F1* of 

Adams (1984).

**PALAEOENVIRONMENTAL DISTRIBUTION OF FORA-

MINIFERA**

Figure 5 presents a sketch of the environmental distribution of 

the most significant taxa recovered in the studied successions. 

The range of each taxon is compounded to include both 

environmental and stratigraphic relationships. The standard 

carbonate facies belts (SMF) of Wilson (1974, 1975), integrated 

with the foraminiferal distribution model of Hallock & Glenn 

(1986), have been used as reference categories.

**Larger Foraminifera**

Nummulitids are generally abundant and two basic groups of 

*Nummulites* have been recognized through their size and overall 

morphology, regardless of the proportions between megalo-

spheric and microspheric generations, for which a 15 : 1 ratio (A 

versus B forms) has been averaged. Large (1–5 cm) and flat 

Nummulites (e.g. *N. gizehensis*) have been recovered from the 

Middle Eocene microfacies A and B; these have been attributed 

to a shelf margin–foredeep setting (Wilson's SMF 3 to SMF 4). 

Patterns of distribution of the larger foraminifera in microfacies 

A and B do not indicate any accumulation comparable with the 

‘Nummulites’ banks’ or ‘Nummulites reefs’ reported by Arni 

(1965) from the Sirte Basin or from elsewhere in the 

Mediterranean region (e.g. Decrouez & Lanterno, 1979; Serra-

Kiel & Reguant, 1984). Rather, the composition and distribu-

tion of the Eocene Nummulites-dominated assemblages of 

northern Cyrenaica can be compared with those proposed by 

Luterbacher (1984) for the southern Pyrenees. In most of the 

Derna Formation, the density and diversity of the Nummulites 

assemblages are largely uniform, and nummulitid shells are 

consistently recovered from microfacies with abundant biode-

tritral constituents displaced from shallower settings. In the CA 

section El Hawat & Shelmani (1993) described coarsening-

upwards sequences developing large-scale, sigmoidal bodies 

which have been assigned to a nummulitic bank complex. With 

the exception of microfacies A, which comprises a small interval 

of lime mudstone with displaced, fragmented nummulitid shells, 

the wackestone and packstone we observed from the Derna 

Formation have a dominance of large, flat nummulitid shells 

arranged parallel to bedding (Plate 1, fig. 5). This indicates some 

hydrodynamic control on the biotic composition, although the 

depositional texture is mostly composed of lime mudstone. 

From the Late Eocene and above, small-sized (<1 cm), thick,
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| STANDARD FACIES | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Wilson (1974, 1975) | BASIN | OPEN SHELF | TOE OF SLOPE | FORESLOPE | ECOLOGIC REEF | EDGE OF PLATFORM | OPEN PLATFORM | RESTRICTED PLATFORM, LAGOON |

| DOMINANT FORAMS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Hallock & Glenn (1986) | LARGE, FLAT ROTALINES | LARGE, FLAT ROTALINES | INTERMEDIATE ROTALINES, FLAT SORITIDS | DIVERSE FAUNA | ROBUST ROTALINES & SORITIDS | INTERMEDIATE ROTALINES & SORITIDS | MILIOLIDS, PENEROPLEIDS & SMALL ROTALINES |

| LOCAL MICROFACIES | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| (THIS WORK) UNITS | DernaFM. | AlBaydaFM. | AlAbraqFM. | AlFaidiyahFM. |

| LARGER FORAMINIFERA |
|----------------------|
| Nummulitids |
| Large flat Nummulites |
| Small thick Nummulites |
| Large Operculina |
| Small Operculina |
| Small Discocyclina |
| Sphaerogypsina spp. |
| Spirogyropsa spp. |
| Miogypsina spp. |
| Amphistegina spp. |
| Borelis melo |
| Lepidocyclina (Eulepidina) |

| SMALLER FORAMINIFERA |
|-----------------------|
| Planktonic Foraminifera |
| Miliolids |
| Cibicidoidids |
| Nonionids |
| Rotaliids |
| Uvigerinids/Textulariids |
| Ammonids |
| Elphidiids |
| Lenticulinds |
| Eponidids |

Fig. 5. Environmental distribution of the most significant taxa in the Derna FM, Al Bayda FM, Al Abraq FM and Al Faidiyah FM.
reticulate and/or striate *Nummulites* (N. cf. *fabianii* in the Late Eocene, N. cf. *fichteli* and N. cf. *vascus* in the Oligocene) are abundant through microfacies B to sub-microfacies G2. These assemblages have a wide distribution from foreslope (SMF 4) to open platform settings (SMF 7). Large-sized *Operculina* (> 1 cm, given as *Operculina* spp. in the range charts) are common in most of the Oligo-Miocene deposits (microfacies C to H) and include the foreslope (SMF 4) up to the restricted platform settings (SMF 8). Small-sized *Operculina* (< 1 cm, including *Operculina complanata*) are common from the edge of the platform (SMF 6) to the restricted platform (SMF 8). Operculinas show conspicuous shell accumulations, parallel to bedding, in the uppermost part of the sub-microfacies G2, and, at the outcrop scale (top of the Al Abruq Formation), they form a characteristic *Operculina* bed. Other larger foraminifera, such as *Spirolypeus*, *Miogypsina*, *Amphistegina*, *Lepidocyclina* (*Eu-lepidina*) and *Borelis mela*, typify microfacies H and are common from open to restricted platform settings (SMF 7 and 8).

**Small Foraminifera**

Small benthic foraminifera are present in most of the platform sedimentary belts, with the exception of the ecological reef belt (SMF 5), where they are scarce, limited to attached taxa and associated with coraline red alga, nummulitids and biotritus produced by reef-associated biota. Miliolids are common throughout the open and restricted platform (SMF 6 to 8), whereas they are rare in open shelf settings. In Miocene deposits, nonionids, ammoniods and elphidiids are abundant and typify open to restricted platform palaeohabitats (SMF 7 and 8), whereas they are less common or absent in other parts of the platform belt. Cibicidoids, uvigerinids and agglutinated taxa exhibit a patchy distribution both in shelf and open platform settings. The abundance of typically open shelf taxa, such as coiled vagulinulids, epiondids and uvigerinids, in open to restricted platform belts may be in part related to storm-induced transport. Planktonic foraminiferal abundance and diversity are usually low, with the significant exception of the Oligocene foreslope deposits of the Shahat Marl (SMF 4), in which a suitable (marly) lithofacies may explain their abundant record.

**BIOTIC ECOLOGY VERSUS PALAEOECOLOGY**

The spatial and temporal distribution of a given palaeohabitat can be better interpreted by comparison with its present day counterpart. In the following section we examine the fossil assemblages in light of the known ecological information and with the assumption that patterns of fossil abundance and distribution are similar to those found for living assemblages (Dodd & Stanton, 1990). The great variety of microfacies and fossil assemblages recognized in this study reflects (1) the variety of environmental settings and (2) the effect of the lithofacies on fossil recovery.

In general, larger and small foraminifera from the mid-Cenozoic of northern Cyrenaica are a mix of infaunal and epifaunal taxa in which we recorded a prevalence of free, larger epifaunal types. Studies on present day tropical–subtropical, euphotic environments indicate that the nature of the substrate, water energy and light determine the structure and abundance of the biotic communities (Hottinger, 1983, 1989; Reiss & Hottinger, 1984; Hallock & Glenn, 1986; Goldring, 1995; Travé et al., 1996) and, to a certain extent, they influence other primary environmental factors. Pertinent examples are from the Gulf of Aqaba (Hottinger, 1977, 1983), where on soft substrates (low energy conditions) the permanent vegetation colonizing the seafloor allows the vegetation-derived organic matter to be recycled by the burrowing communities. In contrast, on hard substrates (high energy conditions), in which sessile communities show a prevalence of long-living stony corals, many organisms, including foraminifera, have algal symbions for nutrient recycling. Larger foraminifera possess symbions, such as zooxanthellae and diatoms (Lee, 1980), and, being involved in the foraminiferal metabolism, they have specific relationships with any given taxon (Leutenegger, 1984). Considering the direct relationship between the light spectrum and type of symbions, the depth distribution of the larger foraminifera seems to be largely determined by their symbions (Leutenegger, 1983, 1984) and, therefore, their depth range is limited to the euphotic zone (Hottinger, 1983). As suggested by Haynes (1965), however, the shape of larger foraminifera is probably a compromise between environmental hydrodynamics and the metabolic requirements of algal symbiosis.

Morphological changes with changing depth have been described in different larger foraminiferal groups and these involve their overall morphology and the development of internal structures to strengthen the test. For example, a trend towards flattening with and increase in depth seems to be a morpho-functional adaptation to a symbiont-bearing strategy. Changes in the wall surface to volume ratio, leading to a more flattened shape, enable more symbions to be hosted and this has been described for nummulitids and amphisteginids (Hallock & Glenn, 1986). Palaeoecologically, these results may suggest that assemblages dominated by large and flat nummulitids characterize deep environments, compared to the carbonates of marginal marine settings. Similarly, the addition of internal structures (e.g. the secondary septa in *Heterostegina*) may help the test to be more robust and, consequently, allow thinner walls. This may operate in a similar manner to a glasshouse (Haynes, 1965). Because of their symbiotic relationships, larger foraminifera are well adapted to oligotrophic conditions (Hallock, 1988) and, moreover, it has long been recognized that they typify warm water habitats (Murray, 1973).

Salinity is considered to be a significant foraminiferal limiting factor (Murray, 1991). Rotaliine larger foraminifera are typically stenohaline, with tolerance limits of the order of 30–40‰ (Reiss & Hottinger, 1984; Hallock & Glenn, 1986). Shallow-water miliolines, however, are common in lagoons and similar environments and are capable of tolerating higher salinities than rotaliines (Murray, 1991). However, the abundance of miliolines in itself in a given fossil assemblage should not necessarily be interpreted as indicative of hypersaline conditions.

Ecological studies of the microhabitats of present day small benthic foraminifera may be used for reconstructing ancient environments. This is because microhabitat preferences seem to be independent of taxonomy, being related to distinct morphological groups (Corliss, 1985, 1991). The relative proportions between taxa with either an epi- or infaunal mode of life in a fossil assemblage can provide information on the nature of the substrate, chemico-physical characteristics, oxygen and nutrient
levels (Gooday, 1994). Although most of the published work on foraminiferal microhabitats is devoted to deep-sea taxa, pertinent accounts on shallow-water taxa include those by Langer et al. (1989) and Kitazato (1994), whose synecological studies on Ammonia may be applied to Rotalia – a Palaeogene quasi-homeomorph of the living Ammonia – which is an abundant faunal element in the middle Cenozoic of northern Cyrenaica.

Apart from foraminifera, coralline algae are significant biotic components of the rocks studied and coralline algal frameworks abound in most of the coral–algal unit of the Al Bayda Formation. Studies of living reef-associated environments indicate that the taxonomic composition, shape, diversity and density of coralline algae are related to a number of factors, such as the light intensity, the quality of the substrate, the hydrodynamics and the relationships with other biotic components (Cloud, 1975; Wray, 1977; Adey, 1979; Perrin et al., 1995); their observed interplay makes the use of corallines alone as a palaeoecological tool difficult. A relationship between the spade which has the potential advantage of being taxonomically independent, encrusting forms seem to typify solid substrates and turbulent shallow environments (Johnson, 1961; Wilson, 1975; Wray, 1977); however, similar morphologies have been found from 30 to 80 m depth in the Indo-Pacific and Caribbean (Adey, 1979) and between 30 and 110 m depth in the Mediterranean (Bosence, 1985). Branching and nodular forms, which are common coraline morphologies in the upper Al Bayda Formation, are reported from less than 30 m depth, but they are also abundant between 30 and 90 m (Cloud, 1975). In their coralline algal zonation, Perrin et al. (1995) state that branching forms are generally found in shallow water, down to 10–15 m, whereas domal, massive or columnar colonies are dominant in deeper water. However, if light is the major factor controlling the distribution of coralline communities (Perrin et al., 1995), these should be used with caution as palaeobathymetric indicators, as the relationship between light intensity and depth variation may be controlled by factors of independent bathymetry.

PALAEOENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS AND TRENDS

Since the eustatic sea-level curve of Vail et al. (1977), the recognition of global sea-level changes has allowed a better understanding of their effects on shelf-living biota, including foraminifera. Pertinent examples from the Cenozoic are numerous and include those by Olsson & Wise (1987), Seiglie (1987) and Dobson et al. (1991).

In northern Cyrenaica, the late Early Eocene disappearance of deep-water benthic foraminifera (Barr & Berggren, 1980) should be related to the major sea-level lowstand culminating at the Early–Middle Eocene boundary (Haq et al., 1987). The presence of an important unconformity at this stratigraphic level is documented both in northern Cyrenaica (El Hawat & Shelmani, 1993) and in the northeastern Libyan offshore (Barbieri, 1990). The Cenozoic palaeobathymetric history of Al Jabal al Akhdar, however, reflects the prevailing effect of locally active tectonics over large-scale bathymetric changes. Röhl (1978, 1980), in a series of Late Cretaceous to Late Oligocene palaeogeographical maps of northern Cyrenaica, has shown how diastrophic movements produced NE–SW oriented emergent areas that were responsible for the main stratigraphic gaps and strongly influenced the sediment accumulation rates.

Dernah Formation

The biofacies distribution in the Dernah Formation reflects its abrupt lithological changes (e.g. carbonate versus dolomitic levels) and this is a serious limitation for a palaeobathymetric reconstruction. However, in well A1-36 the marly interbeds of this mostly carbonate succession yielded sufficiently well preserved small benthic foraminifera. These assemblages are characterized by large-sized specimens of epifaunal and shallow infaunal genera such as Rotalia (R. mexicana), Elphidium (mostly E. glabratum) and Lenticulina (mostly L. texana), which are common components of shallow shelf environments with a soft and/or vegetative substrate.

The increase in size and number of Nummulites, which has been observed up sequence may reflect either hydrodynamic control or improved habitat conditions. The abundance of Nummulites, associated with branching, articulated and/or encrusting red algae, and other less frequent larger foraminifera, such as small Discocyclina, Operculina and Sphaerogypsina, characterizes most of the Dernah Formation (microfacies B). A similar assemblage has been considered characteristic of a low-energy, carbonate shelf environment with a bathymetric range between 10 and 40 m (Berggren, 1974; Hottinger, 1983).

Al Bayda Formation

As previously stated, a disconformity marks the contact between the Eocene succession and the overlying Lower Oligocene Al Bayda Formation. In well A1-36 the marly lithology of this unit allowed the separation of a foraminiferal assemblage, whereas the outcrop section was mainly investigated by means of microfacies because of the prevailing marly carbonate lithology. The Al Bayda Formation outlines a generalized shallowing-up evolution. However, the changing biotic contents observed up-sequence allows us to trace palaeobathymetric oscillations that are probably related to the structurally dynamic region (Röhl, 1978) in which changes of palaeodepth in an open shelf environment of deposition is documented by the large-scale, cyclic alternation of the microfacies C (nummulitid packstone) and D (planktonic foraminiferal mudstone-wackestone) in the Shahat Marl Member of the CA section. Palaeodepth-diagnostic small benthic foraminifera recovered from the Shahat Marl of well A1-36 include Uvigerina jacksonensis, a typical carbonate shelf uvigerinid (Boersma, 1984) and Cibicidoides mexicanus. For the latter taxon, a morphological cline from middle neritic to bathyal settings has been described (van Morkhoven et al., 1986) by relating the increase in the degree of convexity of the dorsal side to the increase in palaeodepth. This was proved to be of a more general significance by similar morphological trends observed in other phylogenetically unrelated taxa (Barbieri, 1991). Further evidence of a shallow shelf environment of deposition derives from the planktonic foraminiferal assemblages, which are abundant, but only composed of surface Globigerina ciperoensis, G. ouachitaensis, Tenuitellinata angustiumbilicata and intermediate (G. praebullioloides) dwellers (depth ranking after Keller et al., 1992).
The proximity of a steep platform foreslope is documented through the abundance of locally derived biogenic debris, including fragments of *Discocyclina* reworked from the underlying Eocene deposits (CA section). As biodetritus (particularly nummulitid and discozcyclid fragments) is mostly recovered in microfacies C, we assume that changes in water palaeodepth, rather than the steepness of the platform edge, mainly controlled such biodetrital input.

The upper unit of the Al Bayda Formation (Algal Limestone Member) establishes a dramatic change in the environment of deposition. At the outcrop scale, a build-up produced by *in situ* coral colonies (ecological reef sensu Dunham, 1970, equivalent to Wilson’s SMF 5) and coral blocks eroded seawards from the reef make up the lower part of the Algal Limestone Member in the CA section. This is included in microfacies E, in which, however, coralline (melobesioid) algae are the main biogenic component. At the microfacies scale, sediments appear to be largely encrusted and the coral–algal framework is frequently infilled by mud and sand. Microfacies E is interpreted to have been deposited in the shallow forereef of an organic reef complex, a composite physiographic element that may develop from the surf zone down to 40–50m water depth or more (Wilson, 1975; Reijers & Hsü, 1986; Perrin et al., 1995).

Together with coralline algae, such as *Mesophyllum* and *Lithothamnion*, commonly occurring biotic elements of microfacies E include small-sized and thick larger foraminifera, attached (*Cibicidina antiqua* and *Lobatula lobatula*) and free small benthic foraminifera (*Rotalia mexicana*, miliolids and textulariids). The predominance of mud/sand dwellers over attached forms in the foraminiferal assemblages of microfacies E may be explained through displacements down to the platform margin of shallower materials, as observed elsewhere (e.g. Reid & Macintyre, 1988), and this may ultimately have had a significantly negative effect on the coral cover by increasing the water turbidity.

The upper part of the Algal Limestone Member is made up of microfacies F and is interpreted to be deposited in Wilson’s SMF 6 to 7 (shoal of platform edge to open platform). In microfacies F nummulitids are abundant, whereas coralline red algae are scarce and locally absent. The re-appearance of *Nummulites*, together with the abundance of other soft-substrate dwellers (mainly *Rotalia mexicana*) and epiphytes (bolivinids, miliolids, *Asterigerina bartoniana*, *Lobatula lobatula*), indicates substantial changes in the nature of the substrate. Although micrite is still common, the biotic composition and the poor sorting of the skeletal grains and intraclasts indicate considerable changes in energy conditions, well below sea level. Similar fossil assemblages have been described by Setiawan (1983) from Late Eocene shoals of northern Italy.

**Al Abraq Formation**

The transition between the Al Bayda Formation and the overlying Al Abraq Formation is marked in the CA section by a sharp palaeoenvironmental change. At the base of the Al Abraq Formation, a marly limestone interval a few metres thick yielded fairly diverse foraminiferal assemblages including a significant planktonic foraminiferal component. Lithologically, and in terms of microfacies, this short interval is similar to those described for the Shahat Marl Member as microfacies D and contains a typically shallow shelf foraminiferal assemblage. *Rotalia mexicana*, elphidids, nonionids, miliolids and asterigerinids, indicating open circulation and muddy substrates, are the prevailing components. Planktonic foraminiferal surface and intermediate dwellers are also present.

Most of the Al Abraq Formation exhibits biotic elements broadly indicative of shallow, open shelf settings. The distribution chart presented in Fig. 3 shows the obvious relationship in the CA section between lithofacies and microfossil recovery. There is no evidence of coral communities but, however, reefoid limestones characterize the middle part of the Al Abraq Formation in the Wadi Derna section (Zert, 1974), about 30 km north the location of well A1-36. The analysis of microfacies and free foraminiferal assemblages indicate some degree of biotic mixture throughout the unit. The subdivision into two sub-microfacies, G1 and G2, is mainly related to lithological evidence, sub-microfacies G2 being typified by siliciclastic materials and abundant glauconite pellets that, at the outcrop scale, produce an appearance of dark spots. Both sub-microfacies are characterized by skeletal wackestone and packstone in which displaced reef-associated (from back- to fore-reef) communities, including bryozoans, crustose coralline algae, serpulids, bivalves and gastropods, make up most of the biodetritus. Their provenance from a nearby reef complex is suggested by the recovery of thick-shelled, small *Nummulites*, thick-shelled *Amphistegina* and small miliolid-dominated microfacies of presumable lagoonal origin. The remaining small foraminiferal assemblage is assumed to be *in situ* and is characteristic of a shallow shelf with a vegetated, soft substrate. This free-living community includes both epiphytes and sediment-dwelling species. Accordingly, autochthonous larger foraminiferal assemblages have a prevalence of soft substrate dwellers (e.g. *Operculina* and *Nummulites*) over hard substrate dwellers (e.g. *Heterostegina*).

A shallowing-upwards trend in the Al Abraq Formation is suggested by the siliciclastic component (sub-microfacies G2) in the upper half of the unit, which may be produced by continentally derived sediment input attributable to a sea-level lowering. Further evidence derives from the abundant assemblage of *Operculina complanata* with prevalent small and thick specimens, relative to the species. Morphological trends on *Operculina*, showing thicker tests at shallower depths, have been reported by Fermont (1977) from the Gulf of Aqaba and, following the discussion in a previous section, such trends in the overall morphology of larger symbiont-bearing foraminifera probably have a wider validity, being described from phylogenetically unrelated taxa.

**Al Faidiyah Formation**

The Al Faidiyah Formation is present in the two study successions. However, whereas in the CA section the marly, Late Oligocene part of the formation conformably overlies the Al Abraq Formation, a major unconformity marks the Al Bayda (Early Oligocene)–Al Faidiyah (Early Miocene) transition in the well A1-36. On the whole, the two sections provide a sufficiently exhaustive palaeoenvironmental account of this lithostratigraphic unit and, apart from a strongly dolomitized interval near the base of the unit in well A1-36, the two successions provided accurate microfaunal lists.
At the base of the unit in the CA section, changes in the biotic component with respect to the underlying rock unit indicate a significant increase in palaeodepth. Assemblages suggesting outer shelf conditions are diverse and include anomalinids, cibicoidids, gavelinellids, planulinids, sphaeroidinids and planktonic foraminifera, although inner neritic taxa do exist. The marly carbonate microfacies described from this interval correspond to those previously described for the microfacies D. By comparison, the Miocene section of the Al Faidiyah Formation (well A1-36) contains foraminiferal assemblages of lagoon–inner neritic settings, including Borelis, Miogypsina, Asterigerinata, cibicids, miliolids and elphidiids, which abound throughout the interval and make up the biotic bulk of microfacies H. The assignment of this microfacies to Wilson’s SMF 8 (restricted platform and lagoon) also applies to the depositional texture of the rock, consisting of pelleted, diagenetically altered backstone and grainstone. Interestingly, abundant operculinid assemblages, which are assumed to thrive in open shelf settings down to a few tens of metres water depth (Hottinger, 1983), are limited to the lower part of the interval and this suggests an up-sequence trend towards more restricted conditions.

Some taxonomic mixtures reflect the use of ditch cuttings samples, and the consequent contamination by caving, in studying this Miocene interval. Examples include the recovery in the same stratigraphic levels of shallow-water taxa with different ecological requirements in terms of salinity (e.g. the hypersaline Borelis and the normal marine Miogypsina), substrate conditions and distribution on the continental shelf (e.g. the lagoonal Borelis and the open shelf Miogypsina and Operculina).

CONCLUSIONS
The nine different microfacies and sub-microfacies described from the middle Cenozoic succession of Al Jabal al Akhdar belong to a shelf–carbonate platform complex. Their stratigraphic distribution indicates a generalized shallowing-upwards trend and the depositional environments, from open to progressively more restricted conditions, include the open shelf (microfacies A and B), platform foreshore (microfacies C and D), forereef (microfacies E), shoal of platform edge (microfacies F), backreef to open platform (microfacies G) and restricted platform (microfacies H). The biotic contents of microfacies, complemented by the matrix-free microfaunal assemblages, allow us to properly define the different lithostratigraphic units in terms of palaeoecology and palaeobathymetry. The taxonomic composition of the foraminifera show high diversities, at the generic and specific levels, and some taxonomic mixing. This suggests that in transitional conditions diversification may be significantly higher than in each single environmental setting.

Palaeobiogeographically, the Palaeogene foraminiferal assemblages of Al Jabal al Akhdar have some degree of similarity with assemblages from the Caribbean and Indo-Pacific. Large-scale taxonomic comparisons, however, show limitations related to local lithofacies constraints.

The limited number of age-diagnostic foraminifera recognized does not allow the systematic application of a detailed biozonation. Nevertheless, the four units that comprise the study succession contain age-related taxa indicating the Middle to Late Eocene (Dernah Formation), the Early Oligocene (Al Bayda Formation), the Early to Late Oligocene (Al Abraq Formation) and the Late Oligocene to Middle Miocene (Al Faidiyah Formation).
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