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Abstract

Background: Education delivers a fundamental base for all further human, social and economic development. It inspires creativity and fosters innovation. Education is generally considered as the tool for economic growth and social development of a nation. Education or school outcomes are strongly associated with the quality and/or relevance of leadership style used by principals. The quality of education is positively correlated to quality educational leadership. As a result, in educational organisations, leadership behaviour plays a significant role in success in schools.

Methods: To understand the research issues and to investigate the feeling of the public secondary schools principals and teachers in the study, this research applied a qualitative research approach. Specifically qualitative instrumental case study design was used. In this research 34 participants were selected, 24 teaches and 10 principals from the 65 public secondary schools. Semi-structured individual in-depth interviews used to collect data from participants. Data analysis was done concurrently with the data collection process. As the interviews conducted in Amharic language, the interviews translated to English language after the audio recorded data transcription. In this research ATLAS.ti 8 qualitative data analysis software used to facilitate the organisation of collected data.

Results: The public secondary school management system has unique structures in schools namely, teachers’ one-to-five grouping, development group/change army and school command post committees together with different departmental structures. Even though the school has four principals (one head principal and three vice-principals), all of them seemed to focus on coordinating and developing an ‘educational army’ in the school. The principals are evaluated by the officials based on the effectiveness of the school in developing the ‘educational army’, such as monitoring one-to-five teams. They also work hard at changing teachers’ attitude toward to the ruling political party. The school principal has no mandate to make any decisions alone as it can be negated by the command post.

Conclusions: the public secondary school management structure is consisted of principals and department heads. However, the process of managing and leading the school is executed by hidden politically organized body like school command post, one to five structures and ruling party members in the school.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Education delivers a fundamental base for all further human, social and economic development. It inspires creativity and fosters innovation. Education is generally considered as the tool for economic growth and social development of a nation. In other words, economic and social development has a strong association with the quality of education offered to citizens. Aydin, Sarier and Uysal (2013:807) and Elias and Girum (2018:1) explain that education plays a pivotal role in the process of development and public transformation. One of its purposes is to produce highly trained and skilled human resources, which can sustain and accelerate economic and social development of a country (Elias & Girum 2018:1).

Educational or school outcomes are strongly associated with the quality and/or relevance of leadership style used by principals and its possible influence on the level of teacher job satisfaction. To attain the goals of the school, the principal needs to engage in an effective leadership style to inspire and encourage teachers (Eyal & Roth, 2011:271; Nir & Hameiri, 2014). Thus, school principal leadership style and teacher satisfaction play critical roles in the achievement of educational outcomes (Ibrahim & Wahab, 2012). In harmony with this statement, Hallinger (2007) and Leithwood and Sun (2012:420) report that, in an educational organisation, in which appropriate leadership styles are used by principals and where the staff is highly motivated, the goals of the organisation are very likely to be achieved.

Education for All (EFA,2015), reported that student achievement has not sufficiently improved in Ethiopian schools even if the government’s core investments have given priority to equipped educational infrastructure and
related human and physical input. The same source states that ensuring quality education is not the issue in the lag of student outcomes, as the government has been striving to ensure accessibility and equity of education for its country’s learners, but equal emphasis should also be placed on quality (Harris & Jones, 2010). The economic and social development of a country is determined by the next generation’s quality of education (EFA, 2015).

According to Mengistu (2012), among the actors of an education system, teachers are vital inputs. Mostly quality of education is positively related to their attitudes towards their job, skill of teaching and pedagogy and knowledge of subject mastery (EFA, 2015). Moreover, the role of school principals in enhancing teacher job satisfaction is a determinant factor in educational successes (Ibrahim & Wahab, 2012). The same source enhances the capacity of teachers to function effectively by contributing significantly to the realisation of the outcomes of school instruction. Therefore, without suitable leadership style practices being in place in the school, educational mission and visions of schools are difficult to attain. The achievement of schools is negatively impacted by principals’ appropriate leadership style use and the level of job satisfaction of teachers (Wahab, Fuad, Ismil, & Majid, 2014).

According to resources such as Abdo (2000), the Centre for British Teachers and VOS (2008) and Mengistu (2012), the education system in Ethiopia is currently challenged by a high teacher turnover, low number of capable teachers, low levels of motivation, low teacher morale and poor quality of the working environment. Fekede (2015), Mengistu (2012) and Tesfaw (2014) have all reported that there is low level of teacher satisfaction and high turnover among public secondary school teachers. There seems to be a dearth of research in Ethiopia, which investigates the influence of principal leadership styles (instructional and transformational leadership) on teacher job satisfaction.

In the area of educational leadership and specifically, school leadership variables such as political interference seems to be related to school management and leadership. However, these areas have not been intensely researched resulting in an empirical study gap in the area. Therefore, to fill the gap, this study has focused on an investigation of school management and leadership practice in public secondary schools of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

2. ETHIOPIAN SECONDARY SCHOOL MANAGEMENT PRACTICE

To achieve the goals of the growth and transformational development plan and good governance in Ethiopian sectors, effective implementation of civil service reform has been implemented. The main purpose of civil service reform is to develop civil servants who have the capability, knowledge, skills and common understanding of, and appropriate attitude toward governmental policies and strategies (Defere, 2016:79). In order to achieve the developmental goals, civil servants should develop the same attitude towards the policies and strategies. In the same regard, public schools are one of the institutions that implement civil service reform. To ensure effective implementation of policies and strategies effectively three wings, the ruling party wing, the government wing, and the community wing, work under the jurisdiction of the developmental army (የልማት-የልማት serawit), (Addis Ababa City Government Human Resource Capacity Building Bureau, 2014).

The educational development army or the change army employs tactical arrangements to ensure that policies are implemented successfully. These include aspects such as the teacher one-to-five structure, the change army or development group, and the command post. The teacher’s one-to-five structure is a governmental structure, a model or a network, where one member (leader of the group) is responsible for monitoring the activities of five more members. The group is organised by 4-7 teacher members within a department. The group leader of the one-to-five group is the one who has a good attitude towards and understanding of the government policies and strategies, most of the time he/she is member of ruling party. The one-to-five group leaders have the responsibility of organising the group meeting each week, setting the discussion agendas, preparing a plan for the group, reporting the weekly discussion and representing the one-to-five group at the change army group meetings. The leaders of one-to-five have the responsibility of shaping the attitude and developing the skills of the members in line with the government policies and strategies (Addis Ababa City Government Human Resource Capacity Building Bureau, 2014:4; Canada: Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada: Ethiopia, 2016).

The change army/ development group is formed from two or above two one-to-five group leaders, thus a collection of one-to-five leaders. In secondary schools, the change army is organised according to related departments such as Social Science (History, Geography, Civics Education), Language Departments (English, Amharic), and Natural Sciences (Chemistry, Physics, Mathematics, Biology, Physical Education). The main responsibilities of the change army are to ensure good practice in each of the departments and solve problems that are raised in the one-to-five group meetings. The command post in the school is the higher body that discusses every educational, political, community participation agenda and presents collective decision. The command comprises a school principal (as the chairperson), three vice-principals, change army leaders, and two teachers from the ruling party members.

The Ethiopian government starts to implement the above structures in schools in 2008. However, limited research has been conducted on the school management and educational army development in school. Siyum and Gebremedhin (2015:223-235) conducted a study on the influence of politics on teacher’s academic freedom and
on the independence of academic institutions. The study found that the school principal, department heads and unit leaders are affiliated to the ruling party whereas teachers who were not in key positions as a result of not being involved in the party membership. Teachers need to become affiliated to the ruling party in order to be appointed to a position in the school or they are assigned to other sectors. Partiality is seen in schools where non-member teachers are not involved equally in professional development activities like training and upgrading of their education level. This means that in order to receive benefits, teachers are prompted to become members of the ruling party, which results in teacher’s loss of their academic freedom which could influence the quality of education.

Finally, the study revealed that performance evaluation of teachers was highly dependent on political activities and lacked the focus of the teaching and learning process. Thus, teacher members of ruling party achieved greater evaluation points than the non-members. According to this study, political interference is the main reason for the professional dissatisfaction of teachers and the failure of schools to achieve their main objectives (Siyum & Gebremedhin, 2015). In general, research on issues of political interference in educational leadership, in particular school leadership and teacher job satisfaction, have not been given due attention in the developing countries such as Ethiopia. The seemingly non-democratic political dictate from the top and state political interference is found in the country’s schools. Investigating the impact of principal leadership behaviour in the given non-democratic context on teacher job satisfaction, may bring a different perspective to the literature. It is vital to explore the Ethiopian school experience with respect to school leadership styles and teacher job satisfaction in the context of the school management system and the macro-political discourse in Ethiopia.

This study has emerged as the result of my own interest and experience in the field of educational leadership. I have served the Ethiopian education system for the last fifteen years, as a teacher, as a school principal, as a district education department head and currently as a vice-dean for a college of Menelik II Medical and Health Science at Kotebe Metropolitan University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. In my experience, I have observed that teachers’ own low job satisfaction, demonstrates a high turnover from school to school and a great number of teachers have left the teaching profession as a result. Regarding school leadership, schools are managed by principals appointed by political leaders. As a result, appointee school principals are regarded as mere conduits to channel policies and strategies designed by higher political decision makers often to control the outcomes of the educational system irrespective of public, teacher and student demands.

Objective of the study:

- To understand the school management and leadership practice in public secondary schools in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Specific objectives:

- To understand the nature of school management and leadership experienced by teachers in Addis Ababa public secondary schools.
- To investigate the political interference in school management and leadership practice in public secondary schools in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- To understand the perception of public secondary schools principals and teachers toward their school management and leadership.

3. RESEARCH APPROACH

To understand the research issues and to investigate the feeling of the public secondary schools principals and teachers in the study, this research uses a qualitative research approach. A qualitative approach is used to investigate subjective assessment of opinions, behaviour and attitudes of the research participants (Merriam, 2002). To obtain more in-depth information on participants’ reflections about leadership style and job satisfaction, a qualitative research approach was deemed most appropriate for this research.

4. RESEARCH DESIGN

A research design is the blueprint of the research. It constitutes how the research is to be conducted, giving answers to the basic questions such as what, when, how, who, and related questions in the research process (Yin, 2014). This research employs a qualitative instrumental case study research design as it allows the researcher to understand the feelings and interpret the lived experiences of study participants (Creswell, 2013:21). According to Stake (1995), a qualitative instrumental case study research design is important to investigate a particular case in order to gain in-depth investigation into the researched issue.

5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In this qualitative research, methodology appropriate for investigating and identifying the research problems will include the sampling procedure, the data collection instruments and data analysis techniques and is presented in the following sections.
5.1. Sampling
The study area is Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Addis Ababa City Administration public secondary schools’ principals and teachers are the target population of the study. There are 65 public (government-owned) secondary schools (Addis Ababa Education Bureau, 2018). School principals and teachers in these schools make up the population for this study. Thus, the participants of this research are principals and teachers of the public secondary schools in Addis Ababa. The sample of the study was selected by purposive sampling, which allows the researcher to perform a qualitative investigation under study (Creswell, 2013:155). In this research 34 participants were selected, 24 teaches and 10 principals from the 65 schools. The final sample size of the research is determined by the saturation of information extracted from study participants.

5.2. Data Collection
In qualitative research there are different ways of collecting data. Hence, in this research, semi-structured individual in-depth interviews are used to collect data from participants. The in-depth interview is a common tool used for data gathering in qualitative research (Darlington & Scott, 2002), allowing the researcher to understand and investigate the research issue. Before commencement of data collection, piloting of the interview will be conducted with three participants to revise and refine the interview tool to develop and minimise the unclear items in the interview questions. The research participants will be interviewed using the semi-structure interview instrument prepared for this study, conducted in Amharic language.

6. INTERVIEW TRANSCRIPTIONS
Interviews with study participants, teachers and principals, were transcribed from the audio recordings in the Amharic language and then the verbatim texts were translated into English. The researcher frequently checked the translations with the actual recorded audio to ensure that the translations were correct and relayed the same concept with the actual audio-recorded document. The translated texts were imported into ATLAS.TI 8 for coding. Then the data were examined to see the evolving patterns of themes.

7. Data Analysis
Data analysis will be done concurrently with the data collection process. As the interviews will be conducted in Amharic language, the interviews will be translated to English language after the audio recorded data transcription. In this research ATLAS.ti 8 qualitative data analysis software will be used to facilitate the organisation of collected data. The data coding process and categorising of similar data codes will be used for developing themes to determine the research findings.

8. QUALITY MEASURES OF RIGOUR DATA
In qualitative research to ensure the trustworthy and reliability of data is the vital issue. According to Rubin and Rubin (2012), establishing a good relationship with participants and ensuring the safety of participants are especially important. The researcher has the responsibility for ensuring ethical conduct and standards in the research process. To maintain the quality of the research, trustworthiness techniques will be employed in the research process. Marshall and Rossman (2016) state that the trustworthiness of the research is ensured by applying methods such as: transferability, dependability, credibility and conformability techniques.

In this research, to improve the transferability of the findings, research techniques such as purposive sampling, the size of participants determined by the saturation of information obtained during data collection, thick description, establishing trust and conducting the interviews in the official language, Amharic(which all participants can speak well). In this research to maintain the research dependability care taken for the research methodology, sampling selection, and data collection process and data audit trial. During the data collection audio recorder was used. To conform to the credibility of this research, efforts made during the process of sample selection, data collection and establishing a positive relationship with participants. In addition to these, data triangulation, prolonged engagement with participants, participants check and verify the interview transcripts, and audit trial applied to maintain the validity of findings. Conformability is the measurement of neutrality of the researcher. In this research to minimise the bias, the researcher applied the techniques such as conducting the interview in the mother tongue of the participants. In addition, the participants have a right to select interview time and site, enough time allocated to the interview and the interview items and leading questions were avoided.

9. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS
As the research conducted in Addis Ababa city public secondary schools, permission to collect data from public secondary school the Addis Ababa City Government Education Bureau obtained. Moreover, the research participants were informed about the research purpose and objectives of the research. Then they were asked their willingness of participating in the interview. The researcher was prepared the informed consent document which had necessary information about the research and researcher personal information including physical address and
email. Moreover, this form describes the purpose, procedure, risk and benefits of the study. It also elaborated the right to withdraw from the study at any time. After the participant has asked participants of their willingness to participate in the research, they were then asked to sign on the consent sheet.

In this research, the name of the school and the name of participants were not specified. To minimise the risk and ensure the confidentiality, the interview time and site selected by the participants. The interviews were audio recorded and any document related to this research kept in a safe place and only the researcher and the supervisor of this research have access. Thus, in this research, all effort was taken to secure the ethical issues.

10. STUDY RESULTS
Public School Management Structures

Educational management and leadership practices are fields of study related to the operation of schools and other educational sectors (Bush, 2008:1). According to Bush and Glover (2014: 554), school leadership is the process of mobilising and influencing teachers and support staff of the schools’ shared goals. Successful school leadership practices have resulted in positive effects on teacher effectiveness, school performance and student achievement (Bush, 2007:391).

The Ethiopian secondary school structure is comprised of four principals, three vice-principals and one chief principal or headmaster of the schools. This type of school structure was viewed differently by the research participants. Most participants supported the adopted school structure and the number of school principals. They argued that the larger number of school principals facilitates the school managerial routines effectively and enhances the quality of education. Supporting this idea, participants explained the structure as follows:

There are four principals, one head principal and three vice-principals. The first vice-principal focuses on the teaching process; the second vice-principal focuses on teacher’s development; the third vice-principal focuses on teachers’ structure, which is the most recent one. This principal oversees speeding up the schoolwork, organising structures like the one-to-five grouping, the development army/BSC and other aspects of the school structure. (TP14)

Building on this explanation, a teacher further explained that:

The main one is the head principal; he/she is in charge of coordinating the three vice-principals and oversees the whole schoolwork. The first vice-principal is in charge of overseeing the teaching/learning process. The second one is in charge of the structural aspects of the school like, the one-to-five grouping and clubs. The third vice-principal is in charge of teacher progress and training teachers in continuous professional development (CPD) and balanced scorecards (TP12)

However, few teachers opposed the number of principals (one head principal and three vice-principals) appointed to schools. However, even though there are four principals in the schools, some teachers believe that the effectiveness and efficiency of the school management system have not improved, and they feel that there has been little or no change in the quality of education. The participant mentioned that previously schools had two principals: one head principal and one vice-principal. Principal P7 reported that the previous system resulted in an effective school management system and quality of education and was a better system than the current one, which aligns with the view of this teacher:

I don’t understand the current structure well. It was good when there were only two principals, but now there are four. (TP15)

In addition to the management structure being composed of four principals, the other vital issue observed in the public secondary school management system was the involvement of stakeholders in school management activities. Three stakeholders were identified as being involved in the school management system. The first stakeholder is the government wing which represents principals, teachers and administrative staff of the school who might or might not be members of the ruling political party. The government wing is responsible for activities such as pedagogical activities or usual school routines, assigned by the government. The positions held by members of this wing are often merit-based. The second stakeholder is the ruling party-political wing. This wing represents the duties of ruling party members. Despite the positions they hold, they are the cadres of the ruling party and even include the principals.

Siyum and Gebremedhin (2015:223-235) report that a position like a school head or department head is usually given to ruling party members. These people, placed in positions of authority, are given a dual mission once they are assigned to the position. The first mission is performing their routine government jobs such as teaching or administrative responsibilities. The second mission is implementing the ruling party policies and strategies in the schools. According to the data collected from the participants, most of the teachers perceived the ruling party members in various positions in the schools as working as spies. Teachers believe that the primary task of the cadres in the schools is to obtain information from school communities and give it to the principals of the school and the party official. The ruling party members often have regular meetings in the school to discuss current political issues. However, the Ethiopian Constitution boldly states that schools are secular and free from any political interference. However, it seems that the ruling party violates the Constitution as reported by the
participants who have witnessed what is happening in schools. An example from an interview extract is given below.

The school is led by the coordination of three administrative wings. These are a political wing (the governing ruling party members), community wing (Students’ parents), and Government wing. (TP2).

Similarly, principals also confirmed that they mainly focus on the political agenda that the ruling party dictates. They are highly engaged in recruiting and organising members of the ruling political party, discussing political issues in the school and reporting the political activities organised inside and outside the school. Even though the school has four principals (one head principal and three vice-principals), all of them seemed to focus on coordinating and developing an ‘educational army’ in the school. The principals are evaluated by the AACA sub-city officials based on the effectiveness of the school in developing the ‘educational army’, such as monitoring one-to-five teams. They also work hard at changing teachers’ attitude toward to the ruling political party. Principals are not evaluated based on the effort they make in enhancing student achievement and ensuring quality education.

The following extract offers some explanation:

The head principal focuses on politics and public relation tasks. I, most of the time, was working on the political issues that were directed by AACA sub-city officials. I reported about my school ‘developmental army’ building, one-to-five grouping, ‘command post’ activities and other activities of party members every week to the political wing. The vice-principals are oversee assembling and coordinating the political activities, co-curricular activities, one-to-five networking and ‘educational army’ development in the school. They also manage human resource issues and work with the Student Council. (PP01).

To ensure effective implementation of policies and strategies in schools the ruling party wing, the Government wing, and the community wing work under the jurisdiction of the developmental army (የልማት መርበት Yelmat serawit) (Addis Ababa City Government Human Resource Capacity Building Bureau, 2014). The development army or the change army employs tactical arrangements to ensure that policies are implemented successfully. These include aspects such as the command post and the teacher one-to-five structures both of which are discussed below.

Command post: a tool for political interference

The command post is a unique administrative structure, which includes the different bodies of the school community such as the government wing, the political wing, and the community wing. It is comprised of principals, selected teachers who are members of the ruling party (politically affiliated teachers) and the head of the change army. As participants in this study explained, the command post of the school makes every decision and serves as a centre of command in the school. It is a centralised system of management and has disproportionate power in the school. The school principal has no mandate to make any decisions alone as it can be negated by the command post. The participants confirmed that government officials back the command post, which is organised and supported by the ruling party. They further explained that the command post and one-to-five grouping structure were first established by the ruling party at the local government level, namely at woreda and kebele levels to mobilise the grassroots level community for election and political indoctrination. The ruling party designed these groups (command post) as political tools to fulfill its political interest in the schools. Many teachers oppose the existence of the command post structure in the school management system as they believe that it is used by the ruling party as a political instrument to impose its political ideology and suppress the academic freedom of teachers and other workers who challenge its ideology. Hence, teachers tend to criticise the command post structure in the schools as they feel that it is used to interfere in teaching activities and school activities in general. In this regard, principals who participated in this study commented on the issue as follows:

Command post means a military headquarter where you give orders. Therefore, teachers claim that the name by itself is wrong and should not be used in the school as teachers are not soldiers. Instead, it should be called the school bylaws. The phrase has a political connotation. The Government implemented the command post in schools to put teachers under pressure. This is against academic freedom. Most of the teachers were dissatisfied by political interferences in the education system. The ‘command post’ is used to lead and organise departments. The principals and department heads are part of the ‘command post’ lead the teaching and learning processes in the school. ( PP 001)

School principals are not happy with the involvement of the command post structure in the school leadership as it tends to prevent the autonomy of the principals to exercise their leadership roles, leadership style and decision-making powers in the school. Every managerial activity, teaching and learning process is implemented under close control of the command post and as a result, the interference of the command post in school management is considered a problem by teachers. Regarding this, a participant explained that:

The politics are holding the directors back not to perform their duties on full scale. As all the decisions concerning the school’s matters are made by higher Government officials, there is less power among teachers and school directors to make decisions and bring changes in schools. There are also committees (command post) who will decide on some small matters in the school. (TP4)
Sometimes, the level of interference goes further to checking the classroom interaction, where teachers are asked about their classroom interactions. Trust between the managing body and teachers has been broken, as articulated by a teacher: there is high suspicion and lack of freedom among teachers. This hurts teachers’ job initiation (TP8). As the working relationship is tainted with distrust and apprehension, teachers’ feelings towards school and their work have changed and they feel side-lined. Another teacher supports this idea: Teachers are not involved in any of the decision-making. Most of the every decision is sent from the upper level management. Its somehow feels like a military command. (TP9)

Structurally, school leadership is organised by one principal, three deputy principals, department heads and administration wing heads (finance unit, human resource unit, purchasing unit, and material and general service unit). These represent the school managing committee and lead the school activities. However, without dismantling this managing body, the government has organised another school managing entity called the command post. The command post is organised by the school principals (principal and his/her deputies), with the social science department having one representative, the natural science department one representative, the language department one representative (these units are named a developmental group- (ግንባርቀደም), teachers from the ruling party (one from each front) but in contrast to the legal structure of the school managing body, it has more political power. In some cases, a representative of the teachers, a police officer from a nearby police station, and a representative of students are added to the command post. All members of the command post are expected to be ruling party members. Thus, the school management and the leadership processes are not run by the legally structured school management body, but are manipulated by an organised, politically empowered entity called the command post which strives for the sustainability of the ruling party.

**Teachers’ one-to-five grouping: a controlling strategy**

Teachers are organised in groups, named one-to-five grouping to facilitate the teaching and learning process. Every teacher in the school is integrated into a network of one-to-five. The structure is composed of a minimum of four and a maximum of seven teachers in a group. Of the group members, one teacher is selected to lead the one-to-five grouping. The leaders of one-to-five-groups are senior members of the ruling party (ginbare-kedem, ይልማትቡድን), a situation which has led to the development of a negative attitude towards the group composition. Teachers perceive the structure as the political tool designed by the Government to interfere in the management of the school system.

Teachers are organised in groups. However, teachers do not want to participate in one-to-five groups. They believe that it is useless to participate in such groups. They think that the Government organised teachers in one-to-five groups for the sake of politics. They do not believe that participating in one-to-five groups improves the quality of education. (TP3).

However, another teacher sees the one-to-five group structure in a different light, although he/she does report on resistance found with acceptance of the grouping:

*There are challenges and resistance among teachers toward one-to-five groups. The teachers believe that one-to-five groups were designed by the Government to control teachers and achieve their political motives. Though the Government gives awareness training on the objective of one-to-five groups, the teachers could not accept it. I believe that if teachers work based on one-to-five groups, there will be a change. They can use cooperative teaching techniques to teach their students. The teachers can also use it to solve their problem, to share their experience of teaching, to implement the same kinds of teaching methods. Teachers must report what they have got from one-to-five group discussion. (TP4)*

Some teachers see the positive effects that the group interactions could have on teaching. A few teachers perceived the one-to-five grouping approach as important to improving the quality of education if the school management implemented the discussions properly and followed its implementation. Using weekly meetings to discuss educational issues would assist teachers in finding solutions to problems they face during the teaching and learning process. The grouping would also create good opportunities to share their experiences. Moreover, the grouping helps to fill knowledge, skill, and information gaps of the teaching staff. In this regard, one participant reported that:

*If teachers believe in the importance of participating in one-to-five group discussions, they will be highly effective in improving students’ performance. However, the teachers did not want to take part in the group discussions. They give many reasons for this. They say that the Government introduced one-to-five group discussions to control teachers’ political involvements. They also say that teachers’ responsibility should be teaching. The teachers believe that participation in one-to-five group discussions is a waste of time as it takes too much time. Instead, they worked in other private schools as a part-timer to earn more money to support them. (TP4).*

Some teachers perceived the one-to-five structure as time-consuming. The group needs to meet every week and prepare a plan and report on every meeting. Teachers do not want to engage in meetings and feel that they are wasting their time in one-to-five activities. Many teachers often leave school as soon as they have finished
teaching, particularly as they are working at additional part-time jobs to supplement their salaries, which are minimal and do not cover family expenses:

Many teachers are not interested in participating in one-to-five group discussions. It is not only ineffective but also monotonous and boring. Many teachers have two or three extra part-time jobs outside the school as they have received poor pay, and it could not cover their house rent and other expenses. Few teachers perceived the one-to-five groups as an important tool for the effectiveness of school management. (TP15).

Another participant agreed that the meetings are time-consuming and the content is not educationally based:

We discuss irrelevant issues in our one-to-five group discussions. For example, we discuss the country’s current situation and political turmoil happening in the country and ways to protect our students and teachers from being involved in the conflicts. We also discuss ways to avoid conflicts among students in the school compound. (TP5)

Although many teachers reported that participating in one-to-five meetings is mandatory to ensure better results in their performance evaluation, they also mentioned that one-to-five discussions might or might not be important for improving the teaching and learning process and quality of education. But it seems that the school management body focused only on whether the discussions were held or not, calculating the amount of time spent on one-to-five discussions and checking the report received from the group leaders. If the discussions were conducted and reported on every week, the members of the groups would get a better score during annual performance efficiency evaluation. One teacher reported that:

There are one-to-five groups in our school. There is a weekly meeting among the groups. We already told the administrators that teachers participate in one-to-five group discussions as they fear them. We are not allowed to oppose and be absent from the group discussions. Authorities already told us that anyone who does not want to participate in and who wants to oppose one-to-five discussions should leave his/her job immediately. Though teachers do not believe in the importance of one-to-five groups, as they fear the school leadership, they meet and write false reports. (TP6).

Another teacher asserted the same:

If teachers did not participate in one-to-five group discussions, they would be given a poor score in their performance evaluation result. Then, it becomes a matter of survival for teachers. Therefore, teachers prepare false minutes and reports and submit them to the principals. The principals know the situation. As they are forced to accept the program, they want teachers to prepare a false report and submit it to them. School competed with other schools based on the reports they submit. (TP5).

Teachers remarked that they have no interest in participating in the one-to-five grouping. It was perceived that such groups are used as political instruments to manipulate and control teachers in the schools. The grouping is used to suppress the academic freedom of teachers in the schools. Furthermore, one-to-five group members are expected to meet every week to discuss issues that do not have any relation to the teaching and learning process. This made discussions boring and time consuming. The participant further explained that:

Teachers do not like to participate in one-to-five group discussions. The discussions were full of problems. The main cause is that teachers have many academic activities that they should be engaged in. Besides, they are expected to meet every week and take minutes and report it to the principals. This means the teachers should meet four times a month and forty times in ten months. They should also prepare forty minutes and report them to principals. Teachers are tired of doing these routine activities. They do not have another option since these activities are criteria for their promotion and other benefits. If teachers did not participate in one-to-five group discussions, they would be given a low grade in their performance evaluation result. Therefore, it is a matter of survival for teachers. Therefore, they prepare false minutes and reports and submit them to the principals. The principals were conscious of the situations. As they are forced to accept the system, they want teachers to prepare a false report and submit it to them. Obviously, principals know that their school competes with other schools based on the reports they submit (TP5).

Another teacher mentioned that assigning teachers in one-to-five groupings created distrust between teachers and the government. The structure does not function in enhancing the quality of education in the schools. It is used instead for spying on teachers’ day-to-day life and their attitude towards the ruling party:

Teachers disagree with the objective of one-to-five grouping. They believe that the Government designed to introduce its politics in schools and to control teachers. As teachers have no options, they participate in one-to-five group discussions. It has no use for teachers. (TP5).

Every staff member in the school must participate in one-to-five groups. According to the Addis Ababa City Government Human Resource Capacity Building Bureau (2014-4), organising teachers into such groups facilitates the management of school activities, creating smooth and fast communication. Such groups are also useful in identifying the strengths and weaknesses of teachers and building their capacity. Groups also facilitate experience sharing and control of teachers. However, the participants do not really understand the mission of the one-to-five structure. Their perception of the one-to-five structure is not aligned with the government’s aim. Teachers feel that
the previous school management structure was able to effectively manage the school and lead the instruction. They now perceive that the aim of these one-to-five structures is to spy on teacher’s political activities and they feel that it is used as a political tool rather than an aid to enhancing education.

**Teachers Attitude to the Nature of School Management**

As mentioned previously, secondary schools in Ethiopia have one head principal and three vice-principals. The number of principals is determined by the number of students registered in the school. If the number of students is higher than 500, the school will have one main principal and three vice-principals. If the number of students in the school is less than 500, one head principal and two vice-principals will be assigned to manage the school. The head principal has the responsibility of managing the overall system of the school to achieve the goals of the school. The three vice-principals are given various tasks in the school. The head principal is responsible for the teaching and learning process, the second one is responsible for teachers’ professional development in the school, and the third vice-principal is responsible for organising teachers into one-to-five groups and coordinating co-curricular activities of the school. The primary responsibility of the third vice-principal is carrying out missions given by the ruling party. 

For example, among the research participants, P16 and P22 stated that the school management system does not pay much attention to teacher job satisfaction and the quality of education. It rather focuses on political missions that they have been given and the political activities that would enable them to achieve the mission. In this regard, a teacher asserted:

*There are four principals in my school. From my experience, the way the school principals are assigned does not help to bring quality of education and job satisfaction to teachers because the school principals give more emphasis to politics. As a result, they are not effective.* (TP8).

More specifically, a teacher reported that the school management system was practically organised not to manage the performance of the school instructional programme. The school function to control teachers is by organising them in one-to-five groups. The teacher further stated that the departmental structure of the school was enough to manage every activity in the teaching and learning process. The one-to-five group structure rather created redundancy and work duplication in the school. This participant further explained the facts discussed in this paragraph.

*Teachers ask the reason tasks that could have been done by the departments are being done by teachers who are organised into one-to-five groups. Most of the teachers participate in the groups because of fear. The structure of the department is enough for the work. The one-to-five groups are perceived as interference on teachers’ freedom. On the contrary, the Government argues that the implementation of one-to-five groups is very useful to support the school work though the work has already been done by the departments. Teachers and students are forced to participate in the groups. They do not want it. The departments could have done the work. Organising teachers in one-to-five groups have political reasons behind it. Principals mainly focus on the political mission they have been given instead of managing schools appropriately.* (TP22).

In addition to the school principals who were responsible for school management, schools were also managed by the ‘command post’. The ‘command post’ is a higher decision-making organ in the school system managing teacher discipline, teacher performance evaluation, and the teaching and learning process in school. The school command post evaluates every activity in the school, based on the political ideology and philosophy of the ruling party. It works to establish the hegemony of the ruling party in the school. Principal participant 18 further explained that:

*The school administration uses collective leadership. There are two main and three supporting work tasks that I am in charge of, which are all part of the management. We make all the decisions together. We forward the schools status quo to society. For example, there is a ‘command post’, which is made up of different stakeholders such as students and teachers from both social and natural science fields and member of the ruling party, the parents, and supervisors. They play a major role in decision making concerning the school’s affairs.* (PP19).

The school management and leadership activities are highly influenced by the ruling party members. As far as the management process is collective, the bureaucratic chain is long and time consuming. Principals have most of their working time wasted on unnecessary meetings. Political agendas are given more emphasis than classroom instructional and implementation of the curriculum. Regarding the nature of the school management, most of the teachers are not interested; they participate in one-to-five and other structures without much interest. One-to-five groups must meet every week, their attendance and presence at the meeting is expected. A teacher who is absent from the one-to-five meetings will be subjected to disciplinary measures, and finally, would be awarded a low performance evaluation score. In general, asking question about the existence of the command post, and one-to-five structures in educational institutions is considered as opposing the ruling party.
Political Interference in School Leadership

According to Siyum and Gebremedhin’s study (2015), conducted at Nigste-Saba High School, Adwa, Tigray, Ethiopia, political interference was found to be the main reason for the professional dissatisfaction of teachers and the failure of schools to achieve their main objectives.

Principal selection and assignment based on political affiliation

In the Addis Ababa City Administration (AACA) public secondary schools, the principals are selected and assigned based on their political commitment. Most responding teachers believed that principal positions were filled by ruling party members. Principals have been given political missions in the schools. Many teachers believed that the Ethiopian education system is highly governed by the politics of the government although schools are expected to be independent (Siyum & Gebremedhin 2015:223-235). A teacher asserted that:

School principals are politically appointed to schools though they do not have the necessary education for the position. Then, once they seized the positions, the Government facilitates sponsors for them to attend university education to get the necessary qualification. This is the main cause for teachers’ disappointment... Principals are assigned to the school for achieving the political mission that they have been given. (TP4).

On the other hand, a principal participant stated that there is an improvement concerning school principal selection and assignment. He further explained that the City Administration has begun to assign principals on a merit basis. He said that he was assigned based on merit and qualification. However, the participant did not deny the fact that political affiliation has been a fundamental factor for assignment to principal positions. He explained that:

The selection and recruitment of principals are very vague. Some of us got this position by recruitment and selection, but the others are politically assigned. Previously, principals were directly appointed to schools without considering their qualifications. Nowadays, the vacancy is posted, and anyone who qualifies for the post can apply and compete based on the civil service rule and regulations. (PP01)

In some instances, school principal selection seems merit-based, but it is not without political influence. A teacher who wants to become a principal can apply for the position. However, the one who applies must have a positive recommendation from the command post committee of the district (Woreda) education bureau. The District (Woreda) command post committee constitutes the pro-ruling party member. Their main task is to screen the teacher applicants for principalship, evaluating applicants’ political history, their participation, and contribution as a political member in the ruling party. Regardless of the educational qualification of the applicant, the command post committee will only submit a recommendation letter for the applicant who is a ruling party member. Applicants who are not members of the ruling party are rarely given the chance to pass the selection criteria and get a recommendation letter. There are rare cases where teachers, who are not the political party members, are selected; however, in these cases, it is not applicable for principals to be political party members. If the selected principal is not a member of the political party, the sub-city education officials push the principal to become a ruling party member. If the principal is not willing to be a ruling party member, they select a teacher who is a ruling party member and replace him/her. Regarding this issue, Participants 1, 9 and 11 asserted:

Concerning the appointment of the school director, the school director should be a member of the governing political party to be appointed as a school director. His/her educational qualification and leadership competence are not considered a major criterion. Being a member of the governing party is a major criterion, so this disappoints the most of teachers in the school. (TP1).

Another principal also asserted that:

The principals are appointed after they have competed with others, but no one gets a fair chance for the position. If the person gets a letter of recommendation from the district/Woreda/ command post, he or she has a great chance to be principal. On top of this, your political affiliation to the ruling party also matters. If you are a member of the leading political party, you have high chances to be selected. Therefore, the sub-city command post will evaluate the letter from the district ‘Woreda’ command post committee and appoint the principal based on the information received from them. (PP17)

Another teacher stated that to be a public secondary school principal, membership in the ruling party is mandatory. Educational qualifications, profession and experience are not given priority in the process of assigning a school principal. As a result, teachers in such schools lack the passion to establish a positive relationship with those principals. He further explained that:

They do not qualify for educational leadership. These principals give more attention to political missions that they received from the Government than the teaching-learning process in their schools. If they graduate in any educational discipline, they can be getting the job. Their profession competency and educational qualification will not get priority, rather their political involvement in the ruling party and their loyalty for the party gets priority. (TP3)

Participant 13 also stated that the presence of political interference in the appointment of school principals
makes teachers perceive principals as incapable of leading schools effectively. Thus, the relationship between principals and teachers is poor. The trust between the teachers and the principal is deficient, so teachers do not cooperate with their principals. The participant said that: *As teachers believe that their principals are not professional but rather are politicians, they are not willing to cooperate.* (TP5)

Findings also suggest that politics runs deep in the school structure. As previously mentioned, depending on the size of the schools, they now have four principals (one head principal and three vice-principals). Among the three vice-principals, one is responsible for leading, organising and monitoring the ruling party members in the school. Also, he/she frequently arranges meetings with political leaders who are not members of the school and gathers information about the school’s internal activities regarding what teachers think about the ruling party. Regarding this issue, one of the participant teachers reported the following: *Among the four school leaders, one works on organising teachers in different activities of the ruling political party* (TP8), acknowledging that the responsibility of structural vice-principal is to organise the hidden political structure that supports the ruling party, such as recruiting teachers and administration staff to be ruling party members, organising the political meetings at the school and gathering political information from students as well as from teachers, organising the one-to-five structures and the educational change army. The vice principal leads the political activity in the school. The same participant gave his opinion as follows:

*It is purely political activity. The principal organises teachers into four political parties of EPDRF: OPDO (Oromo People Democratic Organization), TPLF (Tigrian People Liberation Front), ANDM (Amhara National Democratic Movement) and SNNPDF (South Nation and Nationality People Democratic Front).* (TP8)

Moreover, a teacher revealed that:

*Out of the four principals, one of them is vice-principal of the structural sector, which purely does political activities. The main aim of this vice-principal is to formulate and lead the political structures. It is said that this vice-principal coordinates the ‘co-curricular clubs’, but this is just a cover; his main aim is to make sure the policies of the ruling party were implemented inside the school compound* (TP10).

The principal, who participated in this research, also explained that the focus of the school principal was mainly to organise, support and discuss the politics in the school with the ruling party members. They also work to identify teachers who oppose or stand against the Government’s political ideology as well as the overall activities of teachers in the school. The school principal has the responsibility to strengthen the one-to-five grouping, the command post, and school development army roles in the school. If there are some irregularities or defects in the political structure of the school, the principal is held responsible. His/her survival in the school is under question. This is because the performance evaluation criteria of the principals are based on how strongly involved the school members are in political activities such as establishing one-to-five grouping and creating an effective developmental army to support education in the school, “yetemhirte limat serawit ginbata” (የትምህርትልማትሰራዊትግንባታ).

Sub-city education officials or supervisors visit schools regularly to ensure that the principals are doing their job according to ruling party priorities. Officials have zero tolerance for non-participation regarding one-to-five grouping and other ruling party-political activities. A principal asserted that:

*The head principal focuses on politics and public relation tasks. Most of the time, I was working on the political issues that were given by the sub-city officials. I reported every week to political wing about my school developmental army building, one-to-five group, command post activities and other activities of ruling party members.* (PP01)

The government directly assigns a principal who works purely for the ruling political party in organising the political members of teachers, students, and administrative staff at Addis Ababa City Administration public secondary schools. Though the vice-principal mainly focuses on carrying out the ruling party mission in the school, he/she has been given a cover job description of coordinating and managing co-curricular activities of the school.

**Principals’ lack of autonomy to manage the schools**

Most teachers believe that their principals are assigned based on their political affiliation with the ruling party. Thus, teachers have no trust and confidence in their principals’ skills to lead their schools. They believe that their principals have no capacity and ability in educational leadership. On the other hand, teachers who are members of the ruling party interfere in the principals’ activities. Such teachers dominate decision making by the school administration. In such schools, principals are not autonomous in decision making. In this regard, a teacher mentioned that:

*Teachers also have low confidence in their principals as they believe that the leadership has no power and confidence to decide on matters. This is because those teachers who are members of the ruling party informally act on behalf of the principals and decide on matters in the school.* (TP1)

This finding is also supported by the study of Siyum and Gebremedhin (2015:223-235), who found that there
is a significant relationship between position and the politics of ruling party membership in the schools. Moreover, pointed out that there is a group called the ‘political wing’ which includes teachers who are party members. This political wing is dominant in that it is responsible for every decision that is made in the schools. The principal has no autonomy to decide on school matters without consulting the political wing of the school. Similarly, another teacher asserted that:

The political wing serves as a mediator between schools’ leadership and the schools’ community. Members of such a wing provide information about teachers whether they are doing their job or not. They also check whether Government policies are implemented properly. In addition, they have a role in the management committee. They plan an evaluation session in the command post. Generally, these teachers have high decision-making power in their schools. (TP2).

According to Seashore, Leithwood, Wahlstron and Anderson (2010), school principals are the ones who have the power and leadership autonomy in the schools. Practising leadership, making decisions and setting the vision of the school is the primary function of school principals. However, the autonomy of school principals in Addis Ababa City Administration public secondary schools has been violated by the political unit called the command post in the schools, the ruling party members presence in schools and the education bureau officials. Principals do not have the freedom to make decisions; they have to consult with others, and as such others will make the final decision.

Principal focus on political activities

Research has shown that school leadership is a priority in schools. Effective leadership can make a difference in schools with school climate and environment and is essential in improving the efficiency and equity of schooling. The core responsibilities of school leaders should be clearly defined and should include their responsibilities of the practices most needed to improve teaching and learning (Pont, Nusche & Moorman, 2009:9). However, this study found that schools in Addis Ababa City Administration area are not achieving their mission. Political activities are given more emphasis than the teaching and learning activities. Furthermore, Participant 12 stated that:

Instead of focusing on the teaching/learning process, principals focus on delivering urgent political reports that are demanded by a higher authority. Moreover, staff meetings which they organize usually focus more on political-related issues than the school establishment. (TP16).

Another teacher also claimed that:

They only focus on the political mission they have been given instead of leading their schools in a proper way. (TP8).

Taking the idea further, a teacher remarked that:

There are political structures in schools. A party entity organises political assemblies and provides guidance regarding the four national parties that form the ruling party. There is usually an assembly in which the participants are political members inside the school. The members of this group have political affiliations and strong ties with the administration. (TP10).

Teachers believe that there is political interference from the ruling party in their schools. Accordingly, political interference affects the teaching-learning process and ultimately the performance of teachers and student outcomes. Teachers reported that school principals force them to accept the ruling party ideology and become members. As a result, they set regular meetings to discuss issues related to politics. In this regard, a teacher stated that:

There is political interference in the schools from the governing party. We are always told that the main target for having regular meetings is to bring the same and common thought among teachers about Government policy. This means that teachers should follow the Government political ideology. We are not allowed to think differently. In those regular staff meetings, there is no academic agenda which raises issues related to our profession, but all meetings focus on politics. (TP6).

According to the research participants, public secondary schools in Addis Ababa are suffering from political interference. Principals have little or no freedom to lead the school based on their leadership style. Every managerial decision is made in consultation with the command post of the school. The school plan, vision, mission and objectives are applied as a top-down approach. Principal performance evaluation also focuses on school political activities, not on instructional/teaching achievement. In this regard, the leadership system of the school seems to be centralised and led like a military command.
Political affiliation determines the relationship in schools

Most of the school principals are members of the ruling party. Their main objective is to convince and recruit teachers to join the ruling party as members. To do this, principals establish a close relationship with teachers who are party members. As a result, two groups of teachers exist in schools. One group comprises teachers who are not members of the ruling party and are considered an opposition. The other group is composed of those who are members of the ruling party. Teachers in the second group are granted favours in different forms even though they are not in possession of the appropriate knowledge and skills. In line with this, a teacher explained that equity between the two groups causes tension:

There are two groups of teachers in the school. These teachers are either political party members or not. Those who are not members of a political party have a feeling of being neglected by the Government. This is because many teachers who are party members were seen being selected to be a principal regardless of their leadership skills or work ethics. On the other hand, those who are not party members but have good leadership skill and work ethics for the position of a principal might fail to get the chance to be selected. This scenario has created many grievances among teachers because they were not treated equally. (TP22)

A teacher reveals that the relationship among the staff of the school is mainly based on their political attitude. If a teacher is a member of the ruling party his/her communication is with teachers of the same political affiliation. Groups were created in the school community; but this was not done to engage with common goals. Usually, the principals are the member of the ruling party, and they support the teachers who are party members.

There is a good relationship among member of governing political party. There is a poor relationship among teachers who are not members of a political party. There is a deliberate division and partiality among teachers (TP8)

Principals are assigned as political appointments, and as a result, their potential as a leader is under question. As there is a political agenda in schools, there is no trust and little communication between principal and teachers. In such cases, it is challenging to ensure the quality of education.

Political intention dominates school management structures

As previously stated, public school principals are assigned based on their political affiliation. It was also observed that there is interference by the ruling party in schools. Thus, most participants perceived that the school management structure such as the command post, developmental army, one-to-five grouping, and the three wings (government, political, and community) were tools that the government has implemented in schools in order for them to follow the ruling party’s political missions. However, reports from teachers reveal that the implementation of these structures has not contributed to the improvement of the quality of education. Teacher participant 22 testified that the principals do whatever is necessary to control their teachers’ political thinking. Accordingly, principals establish positive relationships with those teachers who are members of the ruling party. On the other hand, these principals fail to develop similar relationships with those teachers who are not members of the ruling party. As a result, teachers in the latter group feel they are isolated and strangers in their schools. For instance, one teacher witnessed that:

A principal in his school tried to assess the teachers’ political ideology and their attitude towards the current political status quo. The goal the principal had in his mind was to find out whether teachers are members of opposition parties or not. This shows teachers are deliberately treated differently based on how loyal these teachers to the ruling party are. It is common to observe party members are favoured differently than the rest. (TP22)

Furthermore, another teacher participant stated that the principals of the schools spend most of their time organising members of the ruling party. The teaching-learning process is not the prime focus. The higher officials regularly supervise and evaluate whether the political mission given to principals has been effective in the schools. As a result, the continuation of the principals as head of the schools depends on the results of the evaluation regarding the political activities and the effectiveness of developmental army building in their respective schools. Thus, in the situation that the instructional activities of schools are not managed well, the quality of education becomes poor. A participant of this study also stated that:

Though school principals are expected to organise co-curricular clubs, they use it as a pretext. They mainly focus on ways of sustaining the existence of the governing political party in their schools. They give due emphasis on politics rather than the teaching-learning process. (TP8)

The leader role is a central factor for the effectiveness of the school. However, the role of the school principals was changed to a political activist in the public schools of Addis Ababa. They ignore the teaching and learning activities and focus on the political agenda.

Interference of politics: schools are not exempt

As argued above, schools are not free from political interference. They are not politically neutral. According to
the participant teachers, their school management system is not free from direct interference of the governing political party of the country. The pretext that the ruling party gives for its interference in the school management system is that the government has the responsibility to implement and manage its educational policy in schools. This shows that there is a direct and clear political interference manifested by assigning members of the ruling party as the school principals without any fair competition, organise teachers and students respectively in one-to-five groupings, and establish a command post committee, and recruit students, teachers, and administrative staff as members of the ruling political party.

However, many teachers strongly oppose the government’s political interference in the education system. Teachers who oppose interference are subject to disciplinary measures, with a warning letter from the principals being the first step. As a result, many teachers are dissatisfied and do not participate fully, and rather detach themselves from participating in school matters, only coming to school to teach their classes. As a result, these teachers and the school principals do not enjoy a positive relationship at work. A principal explained that:

*It is difficult to say the school is free from political involvement. The school is secular when it comes to religion but not politics. Teachers are called into meetings during school hours to discuss the ruling party agenda. We are opposing this, but higher officials threaten to punish us for opposing this. In fear of punishment, we send out teachers to attend the meeting even though they have classes to cover. Students stay in school without education. They suffer a lot because of this. (PP17)*

It seems that the teaching and learning process is compromised by teachers being removed from class during school hours to attend meetings arranged by the ruling party. Even though this practice is opposed to educational theory, principals feel that they must obey such requests. However, with classes being left unattended, teaching and learning is at risk and this, the researcher believes, is the key reason for poor student achievement.

It seems that principals have been charged by the government to keep a close watch on the staff members. To accomplish this and to give feedback to the government, participant teacher P12 indicated that principals and teachers, who are party members, select students for political membership. These students are given missions to spy on teachers in their classrooms. It is reported that:

*Principals use students to spy on teachers in the classroom. This created mistrust among teachers and school principals. (TP8)*

These students report everything that the teacher says during the class session. Even though the government does not take teachers’ comments into consideration, many teachers strongly oppose political activities that are performed in schools, particularly persuading young students to join the ruling political party. In this regard, a participant teacher further explained that:

*Teachers criticise school principals for recruiting students to be party members by letting them fill in a form for political membership even though they say schools are free from political activities. The principals do not deny it. This means that it is true. The teachers also say that students come to school to learn not to join a political party. The teachers also forward their comment towards principals’ role in facilitating political celebrations and events for the governing party different commemorating occasions. Comments also go against these principals as they also engage in organising the school community as a community wing, government wing, and organisational wing. (TP4)*

Teachers do not seem happy with the way the school is structured along political lines with three wings. The participant teachers also compared the private school management system with that of the governments. He stated that he wished to see school management in Ethiopia free from political interference. If professionals who were trained in school leadership lead schools, the quality of education will be improved. In this context, the teacher stated that:

*I wish to see schools free from the influence of political parties in Ethiopia. I want to see schools having principals appointed based on professional competence with autonomy. There should be schools, which are led by professionals; otherwise, school leaders who are loyal to a political party can only focus on duties ordered by the governing party. On the other hand, private school principals have better autonomy. There were no interferences from district officers. Therefore, government school leaders should be free from government interferences in their decision-making and leadership. (TP4).*

Empirical study findings by Siyum and Gebremedhin (2015: 223-235) revealed that the ruling party-political interference is so profound that it affects the academic freedom of the teacher. Moreover, the influence of politics on schools seems to contribute to the principals’ decision to favour teachers who are party members. In many instances, they are awarded high-performance evaluation results and are involved in many administrative aspects. Due to special favours by the principals, teachers who are members of the ruling party are given the opportunity to participate in professional development training. Academic freedom is not considered the right of teachers in schools. Using quality of education and government education policies and strategies as a pretext, the ruling party organises teachers into one-to-five groupings while having a command post structure in place in schools.
11. Recommendations

Public secondary school’s management system was affected by the ruling party politics despite any legal support, and this contrary to the Ethiopian Constitution. This illegal and unpleasant situation resulted in low quality education. More specifically, structures like ‘command post’, ‘developmental army’ and ‘one-to-five’ were perceived by public secondary school teachers as political tools for the ruling party to manipulate schools. These structures have made schools ungovernable by exercising a hidden political agenda. Thus, the government should protect schools from political interferences. Schools should have the autonomy of academic freedom. Educational stakeholders such as the government, school leaders, student parents and the Ethiopian Teachers Association should work together to fight political interference in schools. Ensuring that schools are freed from political interference does not mean only from the ruling political party but also from the opposition parties as well. Schools should be has academic freedom to provide quality and relevant education to students.

Regarding principals’ assignment to the position, principals were recruited for the post based on their political orientations and most dominantly as the result of the membership to the ruling political party. Consequently, principals were predominantly engaged in political activities within the schools as part of their commitments. Therefore, principals did not allocate enough time to manage and supervise the quality and relevance of the pedagogical activities the schools were supposed to provide to students. It is mandatory for the school principals to revisit their duties and responsibilities of working for the educational excellence of their schools, improving student performance and creating a productive work environment. Moreover, inappropriate principals’ leadership practices were one of the responsible factors for low level of teacher job satisfaction in Addis Ababa public secondary schools. Regarding this, it can be suggested that the MoE should fully implement a merit-based appointment of school leaders. In other words, educational leader specifically, school principal recruitment and selection should be based on their qualification, experience and personal leadership qualities. Moreover, the school principal selection process should not be interfered with by politically affiliated structures such as Woreda and district offices. Instead, the selection of school principals ought to invite parents, teachers and student associations, teacher association in addition to government education offices.

Implications

This study was undertaken before the political transition in the country. Currently Ethiopia has new government and government system. Thus, I recommend for further research on the political transition may change the school management and leadership.
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