Biological Nuclear Transmutations as a Source of Biophotons
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Soft multi-photon radiation from hard higher energy reaction sources can be employed to describe three major well established properties of biophoton radiation: Namely, (i) the mild radiation intensity decreases for higher frequencies, (ii) the coherent state Poisson counting statistics, and (iii) the time delayed luminescence with a hyperbolic time tail. Since the soft photon frequencies span the visible to the ultraviolet frequency range, the hard reaction sources have energies extending into the nuclear transmutation regime.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Biophotons refer to a certain kind of radiation emitted by virtually all living systems\cite{1, 2}. The photons are emitted in the range from the visible to the ultraviolet, say $2.5 \times 10^{14}$ Hz $< (\frac{\omega}{2\pi}) < 10^{15}$ Hz. (1)

The spectrum of emitted biophotons may be described by the spectral distribution per unit frequency per unit time per unit area,

$$\frac{d\tilde{N}}{d\omega dtdA} = \frac{d\tilde{N}}{dtdA}.$$ (2)

and with a measured range of total biophoton radiation rates per unit area

$$10^0 \text{ sec cm}^{-2} < \left( \frac{d\tilde{N}}{dA} \right) < 10^5 \text{ sec cm}^{-2}. $$ (3)

The detailed rates depend on the biological sample being measured.

Our purpose is to provide evidence that the biophotons are a soft photon radiation signature of hard higher energy nuclear transmutations in biological systems. Biophotons are thereby a potentially useful probe of those biological tissues which exhibit significant nuclear reactions.

A. Biophotons Are Not Thermal

The biophoton distribution is surely not what might be expected from Planck thermal radiation

$$\lambda_T = \frac{2\pi\hbar c}{k_B T},$$

wherein $\nu$ is the effective density per unit volume of biophoton sources. (ii) The counting statistics is of the Poisson type\cite{4, 5},

$$P[n] \approx \prod_k \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \tilde{n}_k} \right] \left( \frac{\tilde{n}_k}{1 + \tilde{n}_k} \right)^{n_k},$$ (7)

B. Experimental Biophotons

The experimental situation is as follows: (i) The spectral distribution of biophotons is a gently decreasing function of frequency\cite{1, 3}, say inversely with frequency

$$\left( \frac{d\tilde{N}}{d\omega dA} \right) \approx \frac{cv}{\omega}, $$ (6)
FIG. 1: Shown is the theoretical prediction for the time delayed luminescence $\Gamma(t)$ versus time $t$ for the model Eq.\(\text{(3)}\). For the soft photon general case, there is a hyperbolic time tail $\Gamma(t) \sim (2\beta/t)$ for $t \gg \tau$ wherein $\tau$ is the initial rise time.

(iii) Biophotons exhibit time delayed luminescence\(\text{[6–8]}\). Such delays give rise to a time dependent transition rate with a hyperbolic long time tail; e.g.,

$$\Gamma(t) \approx \frac{2\beta t}{\tau^2 + t^2}, \quad \beta = \text{coupling strength}, \quad (8)$$

plotted in what follows in FIG.1 and which is inconsistent with simple “exponential decay” emission processes. Eq.\(\text{(5)}\) will be derived in Sec.IV.

C. Soft Photon Radiation

It will be shown in what follows that soft multi-photon radiation from hard high energy reaction sources obeying

$$\Delta E \gg \hbar \omega \quad (9)$$

allow for an explanation of all three experimental properties in Eq.\(\text{(6)}, \text{(7)}\) and \(\text{(8)}\). Given the frequency range of biophotons in Eq.\(\text{(1)}\), the hard high energy scale $\Delta E$ of the sources in Eq.\(\text{(9)}\) approach those of nuclear transmutations in biological systems. This forms the basis of the theoretical explanation of biophotons being proposed in this work.

II. BIO-NUCLEAR TRANSMUTATIONS

We here review some extensive experimental literature on biological systems which describe nuclear transmutations. No detailed theory is given for how biological systems allow for reactions at nuclear energies beyond the statement that such large energy transformations involve collective energies of many collectively acting electrons. Many electrons dumping their individually smaller energies into a single high energy nuclear reaction may contribute to a nuclear transmutation process with plenty of available biophysical energy.

Due mainly to the lack of a clear and detailed biophysical theory of nuclear transmutations, the experimental data is considered by some to be controversial. The present lack of a biophysical theory of how the collective energy of many degrees of freedom is focused on a few nuclear transmutation events by no means reflects badly on the reliable experimental data which clearly indicates nuclear transmutations. Reports of specific nuclear reactions which have not actually been directly observed also contribute to the controversy. If one does not report that which has not been directly and clearly observed, then what remains is considerable quality experimental data in favor of biophysical nuclear transmutations.

Pioneering experimental work on biological nuclear transmutations were carried out by Kervran\[9\]. Crabs, shellfish and crayfish have shells made largely of calcium. Often these animals molt, i.e. lose their shells and then create new shells. Typically, a crab of size $\sim 17 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$ is covered by a shell of weight $\sim 350 \text{ gm}$. During molting, these animals stay away from each other since they are vulnerable. It is unlikely that calcium could be passed between different animals during molting. In the entire body of a crab there is only enough calcium to produce $\sim 3\%$ of a shell taking into account the calcium carbonate stored in the hepato-pancreas just before molting. In about a day, such a crab will grow a new shell. In water completely devoid of calcium, shellfish can still create their calcium-bearing shells as shown by an experiment performed at the Maritime Laboratory of Roscoff\[10\]. A crayfish was put into a sea water basin from which calcium carbonate had been removed by precipitation. The animal made a new shell anyway. Chemical analysis made on animals secreting their shells has revealed that calcium carbonate is formed on the outer side of a membrane. On the opposite side of the membrane, there is no calcium\[10\]. The detailed nuclear transmutation source of the calcium is unknown. Possibilities have been conjectured.

Normal egg shells produced by hens contain calcium. Hens were confined in an area in which there was no source of calcium and no calcium was present in their diet\[11\]. The calcium deficiency became clearly manifested after a few days. Eggs with soft shells were laid. Purified mica containing virtually no calcium was fed to the hens. The hens jumped on the mica and began scratching around it very rapidly, panting over it; then they rested, rolling their heads on it, threw it into the air, and began scratching it again. The next day eggs with normal shells ($\approx 7\text{gm}$) were laid. An experiment of this kind, using the same mica, was undertaken with guinea-fowls over a period of forty days\[11\]. The administering of the mica was suspended three times and each time soft-shelled eggs were laid. It was suggested that the calcium in the egg shells was borrowed from the bones.
of the hens. The question of why soft eggs were laid when the mica was withheld remained unanswered. The detailed nuclear transmutation source of the calcium is again unknown.

A number of different families of microorganisms, such as Aspergillus Niger and Saccharomyces Cerevisiae, create potassium during growth[12, 13]. There has also been a failure of balance measurements to predict actual retention of magnesium and calcium by rats. These were determined by direct carcase analysis[14]. Although biological nuclear transmutations have certainly taken place, the detailed reactions involved are presently unclear.

Bacterial cultures can have effects on nuclear reaction rates. Measurements of the mercury nuclear weak decay, the detailed reactions involved are presently unclear.

\[ \frac{203}{80}Hg \rightarrow \frac{203}{81}Tl + e^- + \bar{\nu}, \]  

yield a half life of 46.6 days. When such nuclei, embedded in \( HgCl_2 \) molecules, are placed into a microbiological culture, the weak decay rates are severely reduced[15] indicating other branches of nuclear transmutation reactions.

The bacterial culture *Deinococcus Radiodurans* comfortably lives, multiples and does not mutate under the intense radiation which does considerable damage to many inanimate condensed matter systems. These bacteria can live within a nuclear reactor. The detailed mechanism for such a possibility is unknown. However, the metals within such bacteria can shield radiation with sufficient surface plasma excitations associated with weak interaction transmutations.

Finally, it has been experimentally shown[16], employing the Mössbauer effect, that changes in the expected isotope concentrations of \( \frac{55}{26}Fe \) and \( \frac{56}{25}Mn \) exist within growing microbial cultures. However, as an example of unfortunately reporting results beyond what is actually observed, we point out the final report[16] that the strong interaction fusion was observed; i.e.

\[ p^+ + \frac{55}{25}Mn \rightarrow \frac{55}{26}Fe \quad \text{(strong fusion)}. \]  

We find it more likely that the reaction sequence takes place in the form

\[ e^- + p^+ \rightarrow n + \nu \quad \text{(weak electron capture)}, \]
\[ n + \frac{55}{25}Mn \rightarrow \frac{56}{25}Mn \quad \text{(strong neutron capture)}, \]
\[ \frac{56}{25}Mn \rightarrow \frac{55}{26}Fe + e^- + \bar{\nu} \quad \text{(weak beta decay)}. \]

Altogether,

\[ e^- + p^+ + \frac{55}{25}Mn \rightarrow \frac{55}{26}Fe + e^- + \nu + \bar{\nu}, \]

with the final state neutrinos as the signature of a weak process. The experiment in and by itself does not distinguish between Eq.(11) and Eq.(12) and so we prefer workers not to report what they have not directly observed. The experimental data, however, remains of central importance as clear evidence of biological nuclear transmutations.

### III. SOFT PHOTONS

Soft photon emission from a charged particle scattering event are those photons radiated into coherent states which emerge from currents classical to a sufficient degree of accuracy[17, 18]. As a consequence of these classical current sources, soft photons have Poisson counting statistics[19] as in Eq.(7).

Consider a particle with charge \( e \) scattering off a fixed target with acceleration

\[ a(t) = (v_f - v_i)\delta(t) \]

wherein \( v_i \) and \( v_f \) are, respectively, the initial and final velocity and the delta function is implicitly spread out in time by the duration time \( \tau \) of the scattering event. The classical radiated energy is given by

\[ E_{\text{rad}} = \frac{2e^2}{3c^3} \int |a(t)|^2 dt, \]
\[ E_{\text{rad}} = \frac{2e^2}{3c^3} |v_f - v_i|^2 \delta(0), \]
\[ E_{\text{rad}} = \frac{2e^2}{3\pi c^3} \int_0^\infty d\omega. \]
\[ E_{\text{rad}} = \int_0^\infty \hbar \omega dN(\omega). \]

If \( dN(\omega) \) is the number of photons radiated into a bandwidth \( d\omega \), then Eq.(15) reads

\[ dN(\omega) = \frac{2}{3\pi} \left( \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} \right) \left| \frac{v_f - v_i}{c} \right|^2 d\omega. \]

For more general scattering events or decays, the distribution of emitted photons obeys

\[ dN(\omega) = \beta(\omega) \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < \beta \equiv \lim_{\omega \to 0} \beta(\omega) < \infty. \]

For charged particle scattering, Eq.(16) implies

\[ \beta = \frac{2\alpha}{3\pi} \left| \frac{v_f - v_i}{c} \right|^2, \]

wherein \( \alpha = (e^2/\hbar c) \). The case of beta decay for the neutron

\[ n \rightarrow e^- + p^+ + \bar{\nu} \quad \text{yields} \quad \beta = \frac{2\alpha}{3\pi} \left| \frac{v_+ - v_-}{c} \right|^2. \]

For a given nuclear reaction, the rules for calculating the quantum electrodynamic beta function \( \beta(\omega) \) in Eq.(17) are well known. Thus, the first biophoton experimental Eq.(3) is explained by nuclear transmutations. The second biophoton experimental Eq.(7) is also explained by nuclear transmutations since classical currents imply Poisson statistics. The third biophoton experimental Eq.(5) can be derived but the details are a bit more subtle as will be shown below.
IV. TIME DELAYED LUMINESCENCE

In order to understand time delayed bursts of soft photons, one may consider the general rules of quantum mechanics concerning the notion of the decay of a quantum state.

A. Survival Amplitudes

In the general theory, let us suppose a quantum state $\Psi$ is present at time zero for a system with Hamiltonian $H$. At time $t$ the state will then be $e^{-iHt/\hbar}\Psi$. The amplitude that the state $\Psi$ survives for a time $t$ is then

$$S(t) = \left(\Psi, e^{-iHt/\hbar}\Psi\right).$$

(20)

The survival probability that the state $\Psi$ exists at time $t$ is the absolute value squared of the survival amplitude squared,

$$P(t) = |S(t)|^2 \equiv e^{-\eta(t)}.$$

(21)

The transition rate per unit time $\Gamma(t)$ for a transition away from the state $\Psi$ is

$$\Gamma(t) = \dot{\eta}(t) = 2\Re e\chi(t),$$

wherein $S(t) \equiv e^{-\chi(t)}$.

(22)

The special case, $\Gamma(t) \equiv \Gamma = \text{const.}$, leads to an exponential decay in the survival probability $P(t) \approx e^{-\Gamma t}$. For the general case, there are time variations in $\Gamma(t)$ and

$$P(t) = \exp \left(-\int_0^t \Gamma(s) ds\right).$$

(23)

Formally, the survival amplitude of a quantum state is related to the energy probability density distribution of that state,

$$\rho(E) = \langle \Psi, \delta(E - H) \Psi \rangle,$$

via the Fourier transform

$$S(t) = \int \rho(E) e^{-iEt/\hbar} dE \equiv e^{-\chi(t)}.$$

(25)

Eq. (25) follows from Eq. (20) and Eq. (24). Let us now consider the implications of these general results for the emission of soft photons.

B. Photon Emission Rates

For a state with $n_k$ photons in mode $k$, the probability density in energy is given by

$$\rho(E) = \left(\delta \left(E - \sum_j \hbar\omega_j n_j\right)\right).$$

$$\rho(E) = \sum_{[n]} \left(\prod_k \left[\frac{n_k^{n_k} e^{-\bar{n}_k}}{n_k!}\right]\delta \left(E - \sum_j \hbar\omega_j n_j\right)\right),$$

(26)

wherein Eqs. (7) and (21) have been invoked. Eqs. (25) and (26) imply

$$e^{-\chi(t)} = \sum_{[n]} \left(\prod_k \left[\frac{n_k^{n_k} e^{-\bar{n}_k}}{n_k!}\right]\delta \left(E - \sum_j \hbar\omega_j n_j\right)\right),$$

$$\chi(t) = \sum_k \bar{n}_k (1 - e^{-\omega_k t}).$$

(27)

The number of photons $dN(\omega)$ emitted into a band width $d\omega$ is given by

$$dN(\omega) = \left[\sum_k \bar{n}_k \delta(\omega - \omega_k)\right] d\omega.$$

(28)

Thus

$$\chi(t) = \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-\omega t}\right) dN(\omega).$$

(29)

The transition rate per unit time for these soft photons follows from Eqs. (22) and (29); It is

$$\Gamma(t) = 2 \int_0^\infty \omega \sin(\omega t) dN(\omega),$$

$$\Gamma(t) = 2 \int_0^\infty \beta(\omega) \sin(\omega t) d\omega,$$

$$\beta(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \Gamma(t) \sin(\omega t) dt.$$

(30)

The above Eq. (30) is the central result of this section relating the time delayed luminescence $\Gamma(t)$ to the quantum electrodynamic beta function $\beta(\omega)$.

As an example of such $\beta(\omega)$ integrals in Eq. (30), let us consider the exponential “cut-off” model

$$\beta(\omega) = \beta e^{-\omega \tau} \Rightarrow \Gamma(t) = \frac{2\beta t}{\tau^2 + t^2}.$$

(31)

as in Eq. (8) and plotted in FIG. 1.

In the general case there is a rise time $\tau$ after which there is a slow hyperbolic decay in the time delayed luminescence $\Gamma(t)$ of the form

$$\Gamma(t) \approx \frac{2\beta}{t} \text{ for } t \gg \tau.$$

(32)

From Eq. (30) follows the rigorous limits

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t\Gamma(t) = 2 \lim_{\omega \to 0^+} \beta(\omega) = 2\beta.$$

(33)

Eq. (33) completes our discussion of soft photon hyperbolic time delayed luminescence.

V. CONCLUSION

We have shown that soft multi-photon radiation from hard higher energy reactions sources can be employed
to describe the three major well established properties of biophoton radiation. Since the soft photon frequencies span the visible to the ultraviolet frequency range, the hard reaction sources have energies extending into the nuclear transmutation regime. Thus, the biophotons serve as a valuable clue as to which biological systems exhibit a large number of nuclear transmutations.
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