Realization of a Room-Temperature Spin Dynamo: The Spin Rectification Effect
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We demonstrate a room temperature spin dynamo where the precession of electron spins in ferromagnets driven by microwaves manifests itself in a collective way by generating d.c. currents. The current/power ratio is at least three orders of magnitude larger than that found previously for spin-driven currents in semiconductors. The observed bipolar nature and intriguing symmetry are fully explained by the spin rectification effect via which the nonlinear combination of spin and charge dynamics creates d.c. currents.

PACS numbers: 73.50.Pz, 76.50.+g, 84.40.-x, 85.75.-d

There is currently great interest in generating d.c. currents via spin dynamics [1, 2, 3, 4]. The significance is two fold: on the one hand, it provides electrical means for investigating spin dynamics, while on the other hand, it may pave the way for designing new spin sources for spintronic applications. In semiconductor materials with spin-orbit coupling, both aspects have been demonstrated [3, 4]. Progress with ferromagnetic metals (FM) has been achieved using magnetic multilayers [1, 2]. Very recently, a few groups [6, 7] have begun to develop techniques for electrical detection of spin resonances in a FM single layer. Understanding the d.c. effects in a FM single layer is crucial for clarifying whether spin pumping effects might exist in magnetic multilayers [3, 4]. Until now, in contrast to the case for semiconductors [3, 4], the important question of how to effectively generate d.c. currents from a single FM remains unclear. This leaves us the understanding of the interplay between spin dynamics and electrostatic response incomplete, as evidenced in the controversial views represented in recent work [7, 8].

In this paper, we present both experimental and theoretical answers to this question. A spin dynamo is constructed which generates d.c currents via spin waves in a FM microstrip. The observed bipolar nature and intriguing symmetry allow us to unambiguously identify the origin of this phenomenon as the spin rectification effect. A general formula is obtained which fully describes our experimental results. To give a simple picture of the spin rectification effect, we begin with the well-known optical rectification effect, which occurs in nonlinear media with large second order susceptibility. Here the optical response to the product of time-dependent electric fields \( e_0 \cos(\omega t) \) is governed by the trigemonometric relation:

\[
\cos(\omega_1 t) \cdot \cos(\omega_2 t) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \cos[(\omega_1 - \omega_2) t] + \cos[(\omega_1 + \omega_2) t] \}. 
\]

If the frequencies \( \omega_1 = \omega_2 \), the terms with difference and sum frequencies causes optical rectification and second harmonic generation, respectively. Similar nonlinear dynamic response to the product of RF electric and magnetic fields is the origin of the spin rectification effect, investigated here by using a spin dynamo.

The spin dynamo we constructed is sketched in Fig. 1b and c. It is the microscopic counterpart of Faraday’s dynamo shown in Fig. 1a. Both devices generate d.c currents in a static magnetic field, but the rotational motion of a macroscopic copper plate in the Faraday’s dynamo is replaced by microscopic spin precession in the spin dynamo. The device is based on a permalloy (Py) microstrip (typically 2.45 mm \( \times \) 20 \( \mu \)m \( \times \) 137 nm) placed in the slot between the ground (G) and signal (S) lines of a coplanar waveguide. (d) Top view micrography of a device.

FIG. 1: (color online). (a) Faraday’s dynamo with a revolving copper disk converts energy from rotation to a current of electricity. (b) Spin dynamo with a FM strip converts energy from spin precession to a bipolar current of electricity. (c) Diagram of the spin dynamo structure with Py strips placed in slots between the ground (G) and signal (S) lines of a coplanar waveguide. (d) Top view micrography of a device.

*Electronic address: hu@physics.umanitoba.ca
vice in an electromagnet and feeding the CPW with microwaves using a conventional microwave power generator, d.c. currents are generated in the Py strip at room temperature. To preserve the symmetry of the CPW, two identical Py microstrips are inserted in both slots. They are measured independently, and the same effect is found. Several spin dynamos with different Py thickness have been measured in various configurations. The data reported here have been rendered, both experimentally and theoretically, to convey the most significant aspects of the observed phenomena. For the same purpose, a special sample holder has been constructed that enables the spin dynamo to rotate about both $x$ and $y$-axes with an angular resolution approaching $0.01$ degree.

Fig. 2 demonstrates the production of bipolar d.c. currents from the Py strip under microwave radiation. The microwave frequency is set at $5.4$ GHz. The current $I$ flowing along the $x$-axis is measured using a current amplifier while sweeping the magnetic field $H$ applied nearly perpendicular to the Py strip. We define $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as the small angles of the field direction tilted away from the $z$-axis towards $x$ and $y$ axes, respectively. As shown in Fig. 2a, when $\beta$ is set to zero, the current $I(\alpha, H)$ measured at $\alpha = -1^\circ$ shows a positive peak and a negative dip. The current rapidly diminishes when $\alpha$ is tuned to zero, and then changes polarity when $\alpha$ becomes positive (Fig. 2b).

The same bipolar behaviour holds true for the current $I(\beta, H)$ measured at $\beta = 0$ and plotted in Figs. 2c and d, except that its polarity also changes upon reversing $I$. For $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1^\circ$, and for $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = -1^\circ$. The microwave frequency is fixed at $5.4$ GHz. Insets summarize the angular dependence of the maximum current measured at $H < 0$.

![Fig. 2: (color online). Bipolar d.c. currents measured as a function of the magnetic field $H$ applied with angles (a) $\alpha = -1^\circ$, $\beta = 0$, (b) $\alpha = 1^\circ$, $\beta = 0$, (c) $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = -1^\circ$, and (d) $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1^\circ$. The microwave frequency is fixed at $5.4$ GHz. Insets summarize the angular dependence of the maximum current measured at $H < 0$.](image)

A sharp cusp corresponding to the magnetic anisotropy was observed for the FMR [12]. Here, in the situation with $\beta = 0$, $\mathbf{M}$ rotates with increasing $H$ from the easy axis parallel to the $x$-axis towards the direction of $\mathbf{H}$. When $\alpha$ is small, we find the solution of the magnetostatic problem gives $\cos \varphi \approx H \cos \alpha / M_0$ where the angle $\varphi$ shown in Fig. 3a describes the direction of $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to the hard $z$-axis. This simple relation is confirmed by the AMR measured at $\beta = 0$, plotted in Fig. 3b, which is well represented by $R(H) = R(\infty)[1 + (\Delta \rho / \rho) \sin^2 \varphi]$. By noticing the apparent similarity between the measured SSW dispersion and the AMR trace shown in Figs. 3a and b, respectively, we suggest an empirical expression describing SSW at $|H| < M_0$, given by $\omega = 2\gamma (AK^2 / \mu_0 M_0) \sqrt{1 + (4\pi / p) \sin^2 \varphi}$, which well describes the measured dispersions.

It follows therefore that the spin dynamo is an ideal device for electrically detecting spin resonances in FM microstructures. In order to focus on the origin of the spin rectification effect, we leave the interesting physics of spin waves in the spin dynamo to a later paper and continue here by studying $I(\beta, H)$ measured at $\alpha = 0$. Since $\mathbf{H}$ in this case is tilted towards the $y$-axis by a small angle $\beta$, and because $N_y \approx N_y \approx N_z$ for the long Py strip, we make an approximation to simplify the otherwise complicated magnetostatic problem. With increasing $H$, we assume $\mathbf{M}$ rotates first from the easy $x$-axis towards the direction of $\mathbf{H}$. Physically this means the Py strip is much easier to magnetize along the $y$-axis than the $z$-axis. By describing the direction of $\mathbf{M}$ with the angle $\theta$ measured with respect to the $y$-axis as shown in Fig. 3c, we find $\cos \theta = H / M_1$ for $|H| < M_1$, and $\theta \approx 0$ for...
\[ |H| > M_1 \text{, where } M_1 \equiv N_y M_0 / \sin \beta. \]

As shown in Fig. 3b, our approximation is justified by the AMR trace measured at \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \beta \approx -1^\circ \), which agrees well with the curve calculated from \( R(H) = R(\infty) |1 + (\Delta \rho/\rho)| \sin^2 \theta| \) for \( |H| < M_1 \approx 0.4 \text{T} \). In this field range, we find that the current \( I(\beta, H) \) partially follows \( \partial R(H)/\partial H \). This comparison is shown in Fig. 3c.

All of these results indicate that the current is induced by the dynamics of \( \mathbf{M} \), the equilibrium direction of which is determined by the angles \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) at \( |H| > M_0 \), and \( \varphi \) and \( \theta \) at \( |H| < M_0 \). These results imply that the electric and magneto response in the spin dynamo are coupled, as demonstrated by the following arguments on the nature of the spin rectification effect.

It is well known that under internal magnetic (\( \mathbf{H}_i \)) and electric (\( \mathbf{E} \)) fields, the magnetostatic response of the Py strip is determined by \( \mathbf{M} = \chi_0 \mathbf{H}_i \) via the static permeability tensor \( \chi_0 \), while the electrostatic response is described by the generalized Ohm’s equation \( \mathbf{J} = \varrho \mathbf{E} - R_A (\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{M}) \mathbf{M} + \sigma \mathbf{R}_0 \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{H}_i + \sigma \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{M} \). This equation takes into account spin-charge coupling effects phenomenologically via the nonlinear terms. Here the second term describes magnetanoisotropy via the AMR coefficient \( R_A = \Delta \rho / \rho M_0^2 \), which we have used to calculate the AMR trace \( R(H) \). The last two terms describe the Hall effect with the ordinary and extraordinary Hall coefficient given by \( R_H = -1.9 \times 10^{-8} \Omega \text{cm/T} \) and \( R_1 \approx 3.3 \times 10^{-8} \Omega \text{cm/T} \), respectively.

Dynamically, the magneto and electro responses of the Py strip to the RF magnetic (\( \mathbf{h} \)) and electric (\( \mathbf{e} \)) fields are given by \( \mathbf{m} = \chi \mathbf{h} \) and \( \mathbf{j} = \sigma \mathbf{e} \), respectively. Here, the high-frequency permeability and conductivity tensors \( \chi \) and \( \sigma \) determine the dynamic spin accumulation \( \mathbf{m} \) and the eddy current \( \mathbf{j} \), respectively. If static and dynamic fields coexist, the nonlinear effects couple not only the magneto and electric response, but also the static and dynamic ones. Solving the equations for both static and dynamic response self consistently, we obtain the d.c. current density \( \mathbf{I} \) in the absence of the applied static electric field as

\[ \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{J}_0 - R_A (\mathbf{J}_0 \cdot \mathbf{M}) \mathbf{M} + \sigma R_0 \mathbf{J}_0 \times \mathbf{H}_i + \sigma R_1 \mathbf{J}_0 \times \mathbf{M} \]

where \( \mathbf{J}_0 = -R_A (\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{m}) \mathbf{M} + (\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{M}) \mathbf{M} + \sigma R_0 (\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{h}) + \sigma R_1 (\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{m}) \) is determined by the time average \[15\] of the product of \( \mathbf{h} \) and \( \mathbf{e} \).

Eq. (2) is the general expression for the spin-rectification effect in FM. Taking into account the large electric and magneto anisotropy of the Py microstrip, the measured current density in our spin dynamo is obtained:

\[ I \approx -2R_A M_x \{ (j_x m_x) - \sigma R_1 (M_y (j_x m_x) - M_z (j_x m_y)) \} \]

(3)

Since the components of \( \mathbf{M} \) are described by the angles \( \alpha \), \( \beta \), \( \varphi \) and \( \theta \) defined before, it is straightforward to show that if \( \beta = 0 \), \( I(\alpha, H) \approx -2R_A M_0 (j_x m_x) \sin \alpha \) for \( |H| > M_0 \), and \( I(\alpha, H) \approx -2R_A M_0 \sqrt{1 - (H/M_0)^2} (j_x m_x) |\alpha/|\alpha| \) for \( |H| < M_0 \). When \( \alpha = 0 \), \( I(\beta, H) = 0 \) for \( |H| > M_0 \) and \( I(\beta, H) \approx 2(R_A/N_y) (j_x m_y) H \sin \beta \) for \( |H| < M_1 \). From these results, the bipolar symmetry deduced for \( I(\alpha, H) \) and \( I(\beta, H) \) is exactly the same as summarized in Eq. (1). The result \( I(\beta, H) = 0 \) for \( |H| > M_0 \) explains the disappearance of the sharp resonances in Figs. 2c and d. Note that for \( |H| < M_1 \), \( I(\beta, H) \) is quasi-resonant which combines the resonant nature of \( m_y \) and a nonresonant linear dependence on \( H \). It is easy to show that \( |I(\beta, H)| \) saturates at about \( 2R_A (j_x m_y) M_0 \) at small angles and \( I(\beta, H) \) follows \( \partial R(H)/\partial H \) in the field dependence, which agree well with the experimental results shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. Terms related to \( R_0 \) and \( R_1 \) cause more complicated features in \( I(\beta, H) \), and are neglected here for brevity.

It is clear therefore that the spin rectification effect, which generates d.c. currents via spin dynamics, is analogous to the optical rectification effect. The effect appears whenever spin and charge responses mix via nonlinear responses. In semiconductors, spin-orbit coupling may affect such a mixing. In FM, the effect is nonzero due to spin-charge coupling effects. It should be noted that a few earlier works \[15, 16\] have analyzed to a great detail special features of a dc effect induced by FMR in FM thin films. Here in this work, Eq. (2) gives a more general solution to the generalized Ohm’s equation, and the new expression of Eq. (3) describes the spin-induced currents in FM microstrips. Experimentally, in contrast to earlier works \[15, 16\] where only a pulsed voltage signal resonantly induced by FMR was recorded by using a high-power (up to kW’s) pulsed microwave source, we are...
orders of magnitude, reaching values as high as 1. The voltage/power sensitivity have been increased by three orders of magnitude.

Open marks are maximum currents measured at 5.4 GHz on a spin dynamo with the Py thickness \( d = 137 \text{ nm} \) set at \( \alpha = -1^\circ, \beta = 0 \). Solid marks show both the closed circuit currents (left scale) and the open circuit voltages (right scale) measured at 6 GHz on a second spin dynamo with \( d = 120 \text{ nm} \) set at \( \alpha = -2^\circ, \beta = 0 \).

FIG. 4: (color online). The bipolar d.c. currents generated by both the FMR (upward triangles) and the \( p = 2 \) SSW (downward triangles) depend linearly on the microwave power over three orders of magnitude. Open marks are maximum currents measured at 5.4 GHz on a spin dynamo with the Py thickness \( d = 137 \text{ nm} \) set at \( \alpha = -1^\circ, \beta = 0 \). Solid marks show both the closed circuit currents (left scale) and the open circuit voltages (right scale) measured at 6 GHz on a second spin dynamo with \( d = 120 \text{ nm} \) set at \( \alpha = -2^\circ, \beta = 0 \).

able to directly measure two distinct types of d.c. currents, i.e., \( I(\alpha, H) \) induced resonantly by both FMR and SSW, and \( I(\beta, H) \) caused quasi-resonantly by the combination of AMR and the spin excitations. These currents with different characteristics show an intriguing bipolar symmetry which is well explained by Eq. (3). All these striking new results are pivotal for clarifying the spin pumping effect. And they are achieved due to the exceptional technical advance of the novel spin dynamo, which was neglected for spin battery design based on interfacial spin transport. Since the characteristic length scale of the spin transport in FM is only about a few nanometers, experimental attempts to test spin battery are facing the challenge of distinguishing effects caused by lateral and interfacial spin transports.

In summary, we have demonstrated a spin rectification effect which generates d.c. currents via spin wave excitations. The unprecedented high power sensitivity and the intriguing bipolar symmetry may enable new RF signal procession and sensor applications utilizing spin dynamics. By highlighting the analogy between spin and optical rectification effects, we have not only achieved a clear understanding of the spin rectification effect in the FM strip, but also provided a more general and consistent view for interpreting spin-driven currents, which is currently of great interest and have been studied in a variety of materials.
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