Study of drying kinetics and quality attributes of fermented corn grains as affected by drying temperatures and velocities

Abstract
Corn grains were fermented for three days and later drained and dried at 60°C, 65°C and 70°C and air velocity of 1.37, 1.82 and 2.32 m/s in a convective hot air dryer. Kinetics of drying was investigated using Fick’s second law. The dried samples were milled and analyzed for proximate (moisture, protein, fat, ash, fibre and carbohydrates) and physico-chemical properties (water absorption capacity, least gelation, swelling capacity, loose and packed bulk density). Non-linear regression analysis was used for the modelling kinetics and analysis of variance. Drying pattern was observed to be in the falling rate period and the coefficient of determination \( R^2 \) ranged from 0.861 to 0.999, Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) ranged from 0.0310 to 0.3808, Mean Bias Error (MBE) ranged from 0.000296 to 0.1114 and reduced chi square \( \chi^2 \) ranged from 0.000815 to 0.2610. Proximate results were as follows: moisture (10.05-11.36%), protein (8.23-8.70%), fat (3.23-3.56%), ash (1.25-1.33%), fibre (2.10-2.31%) and carbohydrate (74.23-74.80%). Physico-chemical results were as follows: water absorption capacity (1.03-1.37 cm3/g), least gelation capacity (3.47-3.52%), swelling capacity (1.10-1.36 g water/g sample), loose bulk density (0.52-0.53 g/cm3) and packed bulk density (0.75-0.79 g/cm3). It can be concluded that at air velocity of 1.37 m/s, Logarithm model best described the drying behaviour of the samples while at air velocity of 1.87 and 2.32 m/s, Midilli models had the best fit describing the drying characteristics of the samples. Increase in temperature and air velocity decreased the values of moisture and fat content but increased water absorption capacity and swelling capacity.
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Abbreviations: \( D_m \), moisture diffusivity (m2/s); \( L \), half of the thickness of the sample (g water/g solid); \( M_{i0} \), initial moisture content of the sample (g water/g solid); \( M_i \), instantaneous moisture content of the sample (g water/g solid); \( M_e \), equilibrium moisture content of the sample (g water/g solid); \( MBE \), mean bias error; \( MR \), moisture ratio; \( MR_{exp} \), experimental moisture ratio; \( MR_{pred} \), predicted moisture ratio; \( n \), Drying constant in the model; \( N \), number of observation; RMSE, root mean square error; \( R^2 \), coefficient of determination; \( t \), drying time (hr); \( \chi^2 \), reduced chi square; \( z \), number of constant in the models

Introduction

Corn or maize constitutes a staple food in many regions of the world. In 2011, the United States produced 274 million metric tons followed by China with an estimate of 208 million metric tons. Other producing countries include Brazil, Mexico, Argentina, India, France, Canada and South Africa with estimates of 71, 22, 21, 21, 16, 12 and 12 million metric tons, respectively.1 Corn grains find its application in different cultures and based on the level technology accrued, nutritional knowledge, societal status and processing factors. In general, maize finds usefulness in domestic food consumption and industrial raw materials. Others include pharmaceutical, biofuels and ornamental uses. Specifically, it can be consumed in the unripe state when the kernels are fully grown but still soft simply by boiling or roasting the whole ears and eating the kernels right off the cob.2,3 It is a major source of starch and cooking oil (corn oil) and of corn gluten. According to Jadhav et al.,4 maize starch can be hydrolyzed and enzymatically treated to form syrups, particularly high fructose corn syrup which can also be fermented and distilled to produce grain alcohol. Fermented corn grains are widely used as weaning food for infant and as dietary staples for adults.5,6 One of the African foods is ‘ogi’. This is a porridge prepared from fermented maize, sorghum or millet in West Africa that forms a staple food of that region and serves as a weaning food for infants. ‘Mahewu’ is another staple food popular among native South African and it is traditionally prepared by adding one part of maize meal to 9 parts of boiling water. The suspension is cooked for 10 minutes, allowed to cool and then transferred to a container where it is left for fermentation. At this stage, wheat flour about 5% of the maize meal is added to serve as a source of inoculum. Other African products are ‘eko agidi’, ‘kenkey’, ‘bogobe’, ‘injera’, ‘kisira’ and local alcohol.

One of the ways to preserve corn is by drying and transformation to flour; it can be preserved in this form and can have shelf life of more than 6 months. Corn flour is used as a replacement for wheat flour to make cornbread and other baked products.8 Generally, treatments such as steeping, milling, sieving and drying are involved in preparation of these fermented foods.6 Mostly in Africa, after harvesting, drying of corn is done by spreading the product under sun for moisture removal; although cheaper but the products are of low quality due to environmental contamination such as dust and insects. In view of this fact, drying of corn using mechanical dryer is a better option over sun drying considering the economic importance of the product to Africans. As a result of this, fermented corn grains...
were dried in a tunnel dryer with two objectives in mind. The first objectives were to investigate drying characteristics of fermented corn grains by using mathematical modeling of thin layer drying. This was done to analyze the moisture diffusion coefficients which play important role in moisture transport during drying since mathematical modeling using thin layer drying models has been applied in drying of fruits, vegetables, seafood and other agricultural products.9,10 The second objective was to study the effect of combinations of drying parameters (temperatures and air velocity) on the nutritional quality attributes of fermented corn grains flour.

Material and methods

Materials

Dried corn grains procured from a local market were used for the experiment. They were sorted and winnowed so as to eliminate all form of dirt and physical contaminants that were likely to be present in the samples. After that, the sorted corn grains were soaked in potable water for three days to effect fermentation. After fermentation, they were drained, dried and packaged for chemical analysis. The reagents used to determine the nutritional properties were of analytical grade.

Methods

Drying experiment

The drying experiment was performed in a tunnel dryer built in the Department of Food Science and Engineering, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso Nigeria. The dryer was operated at air temperature of 60, 65 and 70°C at constant air velocity of 1.37, 1.82 and 2.32 m/s. The dryer was installed in an environmental chamber at ambient temperature. The temperature and the air velocity in the dryer were at steady state before samples were introduced into the dryer. Corn grains with rectangular slab-like structure were selected for the experiments. The grain had average dimensions of 8x5x3 mm measured with Vernier caliper. The samples were placed in the dryer and removed manually every 1 hour to determine weight loss of the sample. The drying experiment was stopped when three consecutive sample weights remained constant. The samples were removed from the dryer, milled in a disc attrition machine, sieved and packaged in air tight plastic bags for further analysis.

Mathematical model

To understand the suitable model for the drying characteristics of the samples, the experimental data were fitted in four models described in Table 1. These models show relationship between moisture ratio and drying time. Moisture ratio (MR) during the thin layer drying was obtained using equation 1

\[ MR = \frac{M_r - M_e}{M_0 - M_e} \]  

However, due to continuous fluctuation of relative humidity of the drying air in the dryer, equation 1 is simplified in equation 2

\[ MR = \frac{M_i}{M_0} \]  

Estimation of the drying models constants

The drying model constants were estimated using a non-linear regression analysis. The analysis was performed using Statistical Package for Social Scientist (SPSS 15.0 version) software. The reliability of the models was verified using statistical criteria such as coefficient if determination \( R^2 \), reduced chi-square \( \chi^2 \), root mean square error (RMSE) and mean bias error (MBE). A good fit is said to occur between experimental and predicted values of a model when \( R^2 \) is high and \( \chi^2 \), RMSE and MBE are lower.13,14 The statistical criteria to test the reliability of the models are as follows:

\[ \chi^2 = \frac{\sum (MR_{exp,i} - MR_{pred,i})^2}{N - z} \]  

\[ MBE = \frac{1}{N} \sum (MR_{pred,i} - MR_{exp,i}) \]  

\[ R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum (MR_{exp,i} - MR_{pred,i})^2}{\sum (MR_{exp,i} - MR_{exp})^2} \]  

Determination of moisture diffusivity

Fick’s equation can be simplified to describe the drying characteristics of fermented corn grains. The simplified equation was used to determine the effective moisture diffusion from the samples during drying. The equation according to Srikiatden & Regbesola et al.15,16–19 is represented thus:

\[ MR_{exp} = \frac{M_r - M_e}{M_0 - M_e} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} \exp\left(-\frac{(2n-1)^2\pi^2D_{eff}t}{4l^2}\right) \]  

The effective moisture diffusivity \( D_{eff} \) was calculated from the slope of plot of ln MR against drying time (t) according to Doymas,10 is represented in equation 7

\[ K = \frac{D_{eff}t}{4l^2} \]  

Chemical analysis of the samples

The dried and fermented corn grain flours were subjected to the following analyses in order to determine its nutritional composition.

Proximate and chemical composition analyses

Moisture content, ash, protein, fat and carbohydrate content. Also, solubility, swelling capacity, least gelation concentration, water absorption capacity and bulk density were analysed using AOAC methods.21
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Statistical analysis

All determination of proximate composition and functional properties analysis as reported in this study were carried out in triplicates. In each case, a mean value was calculated and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was also performed and separation of the mean values was done by Duncan’s multiple range test at p<0.05 using statistical package for social scientist (SPSS) software, version 15.0.

Results and discussion

Effect of temperature on moisture content and moisture ratio

The pattern of moisture loss in the sample is as shown in Figures 1–3. From the graph, it was observed that the samples exhibited a falling rate pattern. This is true because most agricultural products often exhibit falling rate period as reported by Ajala & Ajala,22 Velic et al.,23 Karel & Lund,24 Ramaswamy & Marcotte.25 During the falling rate period, drying occurred which mainly controlled by internal factor of diffusion mechanism in the grain as reported by Ramaswamy & Marcotte,25 unlike constant rate period which could be controlled by external condition such as temperature, air humidity and air velocity. At this stage, there was no resistance to mass transfer.11,23

The effect of temperatures on the drying characteristics of the sample shows that in Figure 1, it took 4 hours for moisture loss from 0.69 (g water/g solid) to 0.17 (g water/g solid) at drying temperature of 70°C. Also, it took 4 hours to bring moisture content from 0.73 to 0.28 g water/ g solid at 65°C while it took the same hour to bring the moisture content of the sample from 0.73 to 0.38 g water/g solid at 60°C. The same trend of temperature effect was observed as observed in (Figures 2) & (Figures 3). It was deduced from this data that higher temperatures induced higher moisture removal from the grains. The greater the temperature difference between the drying air and the food, the greater the heat transfer to the food and faster the moisture removal from the grains. This observation was earlier reported by Ajala & Ajala,13 Bellagha et al.26

The pattern of dimensionless moisture ratio against drying time is demonstrated in Figures 4, Figures 5 & Figures 6. The drying rate was faster at 70°C than 65°C and 60°C; this is because moisture removal was faster at 70°C than other two temperatures. This same observation was reported in literature.27,28 In the same vein, the moisture ratio gradient caused by temperature difference between the solid and drying medium at 60°C was lower than 65°C and the moisture gradient at 70°C was the steepest. This could explain further the reason for moisture removal at 70°C was faster than lower temperatures.
Statistical results

The results of statistical criteria for the models were as observed in Table 2–4. These were determined from fitting the experimental moisture ratio against drying time to evaluate the goodness of fit. In Table 2, coefficient of determination $R^2$ was greater than 0.90 except Midilli at 70°C. The values of chi square ranged from 0.002317 to 0.05815, 0.0002181 to 0.009818, 0.000815 to 0.018972 and 0.001826 to 0.003961 for Henderson and Pabis, Newton, Midilli and Logarithms models, respectively. The lowest values for MBE was 0.000296 in Henderson and Pabis Model while the highest value was 0.01285 in Newton model. The lowest RMSE value was 0.022771 found in Midilli model while 0.094473 in Newton model was the highest value. In Table 3, the values of $R^2$ were greater than 0.90 except Newton at 70°C. The values of mean bias error (MBE) ranged from 0.0022 to 0.0819, 0.0145 to 0.0809, 0.0145 to 0.1818 and 0.0165 to 0.0819 for Henderson and Pabis, Newton, Midilli and Logarithms models, respectively. In Table 4, the values of $R^2$ were greater than 0.93 in all the models.

Table 2 Values of statistical parameters when $v=1.37$ m/s

| Model          | Temp | $a$     | $R^2$  | $\chi^2$ | MBE     | RMSE   |
|----------------|------|---------|--------|----------|---------|--------|
| Henderson & Pabis | 70   | 0.969   | 0.002317 | 0.000296 | 0.039301|
|                | 65   | 0.948   | 0.05815 | 0.00194 | 0.068208|
|                | 60   | 0.955   | 0.005288 | 0.000874 | 0.065042|
| Newton         | 70   | 0.976   | 0.002181 | 0.007854 | 0.043238|
|                | 65   | 0.917   | 0.009818 | 0.002982 | 0.094473|
|                | 60   | 0.936   | 0.007875 | 0.00846  | 0.084613|
| Midilli        | 70   | 0.861   | 0.018972 | 0.00126  | 0.079523|
|                | 65   | 0.992   | 0.001157 | 0.005335 | 0.026348|
|                | 60   | 0.996   | 0.000815 | 0.00451  | 0.022771|
| Logarithms     | 70   | 0.979   | 0.003961 | 0.001826 | 0.036443|
|                | 65   | 0.993   | 0.002178 | 0.00727  | 0.039802|
|                | 60   | 0.997   | 0.001826 | 0.00791  | 0.036443|

Table 3 Values of statistical parameters when $v=1.82$ m/s

| Model          | Temp | $R^2$  | $\chi^2$ | MBE     | RMSE   |
|----------------|------|--------|----------|---------|--------|
| Henderson & Pabis | 70   | 0.904   | 0.0183   | 0.0395  | 0.1132 |
|                | 65   | 0.975   | 0.0055   | 0.0182  | 0.0625 |
|                | 60   | 0.988   | 0.0015   | 0.0014  | 0.0324 |
| Newton         | 70   | 0.883   | 0.0195   | 0.0265  | 0.1249 |
|                | 65   | 0.967   | 0.006    | 0.0102  | 0.0695 |
|                | 60   | 0.983   | 0.0015   | 0.0045  | 0.0356 |
| Midilli        | 70   | 0.997   | 0.2053   | 0.1114  | 0.3204 |
|                | 65   | 0.963   | 0.2055   | 0.1017  | 0.3206 |
|                | 60   | 0.999   | 0.0052   | 0.0156  | 0.0509 |
| Logarithms     | 70   | 0.979   | 0.0143   | 0.0325  | 0.0927 |
|                | 65   | 0.985   | 0.0029   | 0.0062  | 0.0419 |
|                | 60   | 0.989   | 0.0017   | 0.0024  | 0.0319 |

Table 4 Values of statistical parameters when $v=2.32$ m/s

| Model          | Temp | $R^2$  | $\chi^2$ | MBE     | RMSE   |
|----------------|------|--------|----------|---------|--------|
| Henderson & Pabis | 70   | 0.948   | 0.0147   | 0.0125  | 0.107  |
|                | 65   | 0.992   | 0.016    | 0.0819  | 0.1116 |
|                | 60   | 0.986   | 0.0014   | 0.0022  | 0.0331 |
| Newton         | 70   | 0.935   | 0.0069   | 0.0105  | 0.0787 |
|                | 65   | 0.991   | 0.0131   | 0.0809  | 0.1078 |
|                | 60   | 0.981   | 0.0011   | 0.0041  | 0.0317 |
| Midilli        | 70   | 0.991   | 0.0023   | 0.0145  | 0.1131 |
|                | 65   | 0.988   | 0.261    | 0.1818  | 0.3808 |
|                | 60   | 0.989   | 0.1982   | 0.1008  | 0.3318 |
| Logarithms     | 70   | 0.979   | 0.0186   | 0.0165  | 0.1116 |
|                | 65   | 0.993   | 0.019    | 0.0819  | 0.1125 |
|                | 60   | 0.986   | 0.0014   | 0.0022  | 0.031  |

The values of root mean square error (RMSE) ranged from 0.0331 to 0.1116, 0.0317 to 0.1078, 0.1131 to 0.3808 and 0.0310 to 0.1125 for Henderson and Pabis, Newton, Midilli and Logarithms models, respectively. The goodness of fit for a model is when $R^2$ is high and chi square, RMSE, MBE values are low as earlier stated. After analyzing the models, it was found that at drying velocity of 1.37 m/s, Logarithms model has the highest average value of $R^2$ and lowest value of chi square which suggested that Logarithms model best described the experimental thin layer drying of the samples. However, at the air drying velocity of 1.87 and 2.32 m/s, the Midilli model has the highest average value of $R^2$ and low value of mean bias error and root mean square error respectively, which suggested that Midilli model best described the experimental thin layer drying of the samples.

This suggests that temperatures affect modelling process and drying rate pattern of agricultural product. But, the effect of the velocity on the drying rate was not significantly observed at air velocity of 1.87 and 2.32m/s any more than 1.37 m/s for the corn grains in this study. This is because, it took 6 hrs for drying the product at 70°C when $v=1.37$ m/s as shown in Figure 1, likewise it also took the 6 to dry the product at 70°C when $v=1.87$ but it took 7 hrs to dry the corn samples at 70°C when $v=2.32$ m/s. Therefore, this implies that higher air
velocity could induce higher drying rate in samples but occasionally a stage may be reached when increase in the air velocity has no more effect. This was earlier observed by Ajala. Because of this occasional occurrence, ASTM recommends maximum useful air speed of 3 m/s flowing parallel to the surface. This is based on recommended speed for a wet bulb thermometer on a sling psychrometer regardless of the humidity of the air.

| Table 5 | Values for model constants when v = 1.37 m/s |
|---------|--------------------------------------------|
| Model   | Temp | N  | a   | k  | B   | C   |
| Henderson and Pabis | 70   | 1.115 | -0.313 |
|          | 65   | 1.214 | -0.266 |
|          | 60   | 1.213 | -0.252 |
| Newton  | 70   | 0.275 |
|          | 65   | 0.168 |
|          | 60   | 0.203 |
| Midilli\(^a\) | 70   | -6.1E7 | 0.852 | 0.009 | -0.118 |
|          | 65   | -0.089 | -0.049 | -3.06 | -0.021 |
|          | 60   | 1.464 | 0.988 | 0.075 | -0.011 |
| Logarithms | 70   | 0.998 | -0.308 | 0.039 |
|          | 65   | 5.298 | -0.021 | -4.269 |
|          | 60   | 1.949 | -0.077 | -0.92 |

| Table 6 | Values for model constants when v = 1.82 m/s |
|---------|--------------------------------------------|
| Model   | Temp | N  | a   | k  | b   | c   |
| Henderson and Pabis | 70   | 1.107 | 0.24 |
|          | 65   | 1   | 0.662 |
|          | 60   | 1.053 | 0.24 |
| Newton  | 70   | 0.214 |
|          | 65   | 0.201 |
|          | 60   | 0.217 |
| Midilli\(^a\) | 70   | -30 | 1.098 | 0.041 | -0.154 |
|          | 65   | 2.128 | 0.945 | 0.017 | -0.105 |
|          | 60   | 1.381 | 1.009 | 0.157 | 0.011 |
| Logarithms | 70   | 112.835 | 0.001 | -111.8 |
|          | 65   | 1.359 | -0.966 | -0.325 |
|          | 60   | 1.073 | 0.227 | -0.026 |

| Table 7 | Values for model constants when v = 2.32 m/s |
|---------|--------------------------------------------|
| Model   | Temp | n  | a   | k  | b   | c   |
| Henderson and Pabis | 70   | 1.084 | 0.313 |
|          | 65   | 0.982 | 0.269 |
|          | 60   | 0.969 | 0.195 |
|          | 70   | 0.287 |
| Newton  | 65   | 0.275 |
|          | 60   | 0.205 |
| Midilli\(^a\) | 70   | 1.674 | 1.003 | 0.134 | 0.004 |
|          | 65   | -0.193 | 0.153 | -1.635 | -0.047 |
|          | 60   | -0.009 | 0.254 | -1.193 | -0.088 |
| Logarithms | 70   | 1.958 | 0.116 | -0.917 |
|          | 65   | 0.944 | 0.299 | 0.046 |
|          | 60   | 0.999 | 0.183 | -0.034 |

| Table 8 | Effective moisture diffusivities for fermented corn grains |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Air velocity (m/s) | Air temperature (°C) | Effective moisture diffusivity (m²/s) (Deff x 10⁻¹¹) |
| 1.37   | 60   | 2.78 |
| 1.37   | 65   | 2.95 |
| 1.37   | 70   | 3.06 |
| 1.82   | 60   | 2.84 |
| 1.82   | 65   | 3.24 |
| 1.82   | 70   | 4.05 |
| 2.32   | 60   | 2.03 |
| 2.32   | 65   | 2.84 |
| 2.32   | 70   | 3.24 |

Effective diffusivity

Table 8 presents the values for effective moisture diffusivity of the fermented corn grains. It was shown that the effective diffusivity is temperature and velocity dependent. At air velocity of 1.37 m/s, the effective moisture diffusivity values were 2.78 x 10⁻¹¹, 2.95x 10⁻¹¹ and 3.06 x 10⁻¹¹ m²/s for 60, 65 and 70°C, respectively. In the same trend, at air velocity of 1.82 m/s, the effective moisture diffusivity increased to 2.84 x 10⁻¹¹, 3.24 x 10⁻¹¹ and 4.05 x 10⁻¹¹ m²/s for 60, 65 and 70°C, respectively. On the contrary, the trend of effective moisture diffusivity decreased at air velocity of 2.32 m/s with values of 2.03 x 10⁻¹¹, 2.84 x 10⁻¹¹ and 3.24 x 10⁻¹¹ m²/s for 60, 65 and 70°C, respectively. In summary, effective moisture diffusivity was directly influenced by both temperature and air velocity but at a certain stage, further increment in air velocity could reduce the effective moisture diffusivity as earlier stated. This observation is in line with other authors.\(^1,12,23,30–33\) The values of effective moisture diffusivity obtained are within the range of food product (10⁻¹¹ to 10⁻⁸ m²/s) as reported by Doyman.\(^34\) However, the value of moisture diffusivity was less than that of vegetables. For instance, tomato dried at 75°C has \(D_{eff}\) of 12.27x10⁻¹⁰ m²/s as reported by Akanbi et al.\(^35\) The lower values in corn grain when compared to vegetables were due to the lower moisture content of the grain, internal structure and thick outer coat of the corn.
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Effect of temperature and air velocity on proximate composition of fermented corn grains

The influence of temperature and air velocity is clearly demonstrated on the proximate composition of the samples. The values ranged from 10.05-11.36% and exhibited significant difference at p<0.05 through every temperature regime. The values of moisture content were close to the values reported by Sule et al. Temperature and air velocity did affect the moisture content in an inverse relationship because increase in air temperature and velocity decreased the moisture content proportionally. The lower values of moisture content recorded proved the stability of the corn flour during storage as water activity that could induce molds was reduced. Protein content of the corn grains ranged from 8.30 to 8.70% and the samples did exhibit comparable with those reported by Sule et al. There was no significant difference among the samples. The values were similar to those reported by Ikya et al.,29 with value of 4.85%. The values of ash content ranged from 1.25-1.33% without exhibiting significant difference among the samples at p<0.05. The values were similar to those reported by Marina et al.29 The values of fibre content ranged from 2.10 to 2.13% and exhibited no significant difference at p<0.05. The values were close to the values reported by Sule et al.16 The values of carbohydrate ranged from 74.23 to 74.53% and there was no significant difference among the samples. The values were comparable with those reported by Sule et al.16 In nutshell, the effect of temperatures and air velocities were not obvious on ash, fibre and carbohydrate content but were on moisture and fat content Table 9.

Effect of temperature and air velocity on physicochemical properties of fermented corn grains

The values of physico-chemical properties of fermented corn grains were as observed in Table 10. The water absorption capacity at p<0.05 in their values at different temperatures and velocities. Increase in temperature and air velocity decreased the fat content. This is because fat globules evaporated during mass transfer at higher temperatures compared to lower temperatures. The values were similar to those reported by Ikya et al.,29 with value of 4.85%. The values of ash content ranged from 1.25-1.33% without exhibiting significant difference among the samples at p<0.05. The values were similar to those reported by Marina et al.29 The values of fibre content ranged from 2.10 to 2.13% and exhibited no significant difference at p<0.05. The values were close to the values reported by Sule et al.16 The values of carbohydrate ranged from 74.23 to 74.53% and there was no significant difference among the samples. The values were comparable with those reported by Sule et al.16 In nutshell, the effect of temperatures and air velocities were not obvious on ash, fibre and carbohydrate content but were on moisture and fat content Table 9.

Effect of temperature and air velocity on physicochemical properties of fermented corn grains

The values of physico-chemical properties of fermented corn grains were as observed in Table 10. The water absorption capacity
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Table 9 Evaluation of proximate composition of dry fermented corn grains

| T (°C) | V (m/s) | Moisture (%) | Protein (%) | Fat (%) | Ash (%) | Fibre (%) | Carbohydrate (%) |
|-------|--------|--------------|-------------|---------|---------|-----------|------------------|
| 60    | 1.37   | 11.36±0.92   | 8.43±0.76   | 3.53±0.47 | 1.33±0.01 | 2.10±0.02 | 74.53±3.45       |
| 60    | 1.82   | 11.33±0.87   | 8.30±1.03   | 3.56±0.65 | 1.31±0.01 | 2.10±0.03 | 74.86±2.89       |
| 60    | 2.32   | 11.33±1.09   | 8.23±0.88   | 3.54±0.12 | 1.26±0.02 | 2.10±0.02 | 74.80±4.87       |
| 65    | 1.37   | 10.84±0.53   | 8.40±0.54   | 3.43±0.21 | 1.30±0.01 | 2.10±0.01 | 74.53±3.26       |
| 65    | 1.82   | 10.81±1.02   | 8.30±0.49   | 3.33±0.22 | 1.26±0.02 | 2.10±0.01 | 74.70±4.21       |
| 65    | 2.32   | 10.79±0.99   | 8.53±0.76   | 3.40±0.34 | 1.30±0.02 | 2.10±0.03 | 74.26±2.43       |
| 70    | 1.37   | 10.30±0.65   | 8.36±0.49   | 3.30±0.55 | 1.30±0.01 | 2.13±0.04 | 74.53±3.22       |
| 70    | 1.82   | 10.20±0.78   | 8.30±0.47   | 3.26±0.57 | 1.25±0.03 | 2.13±0.03 | 74.66±3.12       |
| 70    | 2.32   | 10.05±0.84   | 8.70±0.89   | 3.23±0.44 | 1.33±0.04 | 2.13±0.02 | 74.23±2.99       |

T, drying temperature (°C); V, air velocity (m/s), a, b, c, k, Drying constant in the model.
values ranged from 1.03 to 1.37 cm$^3$/g and had significant difference at p<0.05. The values were greater than the values reported by Adegunwa et al., with values range of 0.26 to 0.66 cm$^3$/g. The changes in values were obviously affected by temperature and air velocity as increase in temperature from 60 to 70$^\circ$C increased water absorption from 1.03 to 1.37 cm$^3$/g. The reason for this is that water absorption capacity is moisture dependent, the higher the moisture content, the less the water absorption capacity. Also, water absorption capacity could be influenced by protein and fat interaction in the food samples. The values of least gelation capacity of the sample ranged from 3.47 to 3.53% and were not significantly different at p<0.05 as the influence of drying temperatures and velocities were not pronounced on these values. The values were in agreement with the values reported by Muhammad et al. Swelling capacity of the fermented corn grains ranged from 1.10 to 1.36 g water/g sample, the samples exhibited significant difference among one another at p<0.05. It was observed that as the temperature and air velocity increased, swelling capacity also increased. This could be as a result of low moisture content of samples at higher temperature as Tilahun related swelling capacity of flour to hydrogen bonds of water molecules in the starch structure. The values reported in this study were close to those reported by Sidibe & Ajala et al. Swelling capacity of flour is useful to determine quality property of the flour because the higher the swelling capacity, the greater its usefulness in product formulation. The values of loose bulk density ranged from 0.52 to 0.53 g/cm$^3$. The drying parameters of temperature and air velocity had no effect on these values as there were no significant differences (p<0.05) exhibited among the samples. Loos bulk density is a function of particle size distribution and is a primary measurement index for determining the quality of incoming raw materials. The values of packed bulk density ranged from 0.75 to 0.79 g/cm$^3$ and all samples dried at 60$^\circ$C were significantly different from samples dried at 65 and 70$^\circ$C. The values of bulk density in this study were close to those values reported by Adegunwa et al. The values of the samples reduced as the drying temperature increased. This could be as a result of lower moisture content of the samples at higher temperature of drying. Bulk density is useful in quality control, food packaging control, separation processes designing of packaging and transportation.

**Conclusion**

Fick’s law of diffusion for thin layer drying can be used to model drying characteristics of fermented corn; effective moisture diffusivity fall with the range of food products and increased with increase in temperature and velocity. Increase in temperature and velocity increased the values of water absorption and swelling capacity. On the contrary, moisture and fat content decreased with increase in temperature and velocity.
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