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Pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) that are unique to viral RNA (e.g., double-stranded RNA or 5'-ppp-RNA) are recognized by Toll-like receptors (TLR3, TLR7, and TLR8) and the RIG-I-like receptors (RLR: RIG-I and MDA-5) in the host cell endosome and cytoplasm. Upon binding their respective PAMPs these pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs) trigger signaling cascades that induce nuclear translocation of transcription factors (IRF-3, IRF-7, and NF-kB), which induce expression of antiviral type I interferons (IFN-α and -β) (reviewed in Bowie and Unterholzner (2008)). IFN-α and -β bind to and signal through the type I IFN receptor (IFNAR) in an autocrine and paracrine manner to induce the expression of hundreds of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) that have diverse antiviral and immune regulatory functions. Recent studies have identified an...
additional PAMP on some viral mRNA lacking-2′-O-methylation, which is recognized by the ISG Ifit1 (Habjan et al., 2013; Hyde et al., 2014; Kimura et al., 2013; Menachery et al., 2014; Szretter et al., 2012). As mRNA of higher eukaryotic organisms contain-2′-O-methylation on their 5′ cap structures, Ifit1 may have evolved, in part, to distinguish self from non-self RNA.

Eukaryotic N-7- and 2′-O- methylation of host mRNA

RNA methylation is a common post-transcriptional modification that regulates gene expression by influencing diverse aspects of RNA biology including nuclear export, splicing, transcript stability, and translation. In eukaryotic cells, host mRNAs are capped at the 5′ end by an inverted N-7 methyl guanosine nucleoside (m7GpppN), which is linked to the RNA moiety by a triphosphate bridge (Fig. 1A). The presence of the m7G cap (cap 0) structure enhances mRNA translation by promoting the association of translation initiation factors such as elf4E with the 5′ end, as transcripts lacking methylated 5′ caps fail to complex efficiently with 40S ribosomal subunits (Both et al., 1975; Gebauer and Hentze, 2004; Shatkin, 1985). Additionally, methylated 5′ cap structures stabilize RNA transcripts by preventing degradation by 5′-3′ cellu lar exoribonucleases (reviewed in (Garneau et al., 2007)), and regulate early steps in RNA transcription by associating with gene promoters (reviewed in (Bentley, 2005; Pei et al., 2003; Schroeder et al., 2000)).

Addition of the m7G cap occurs co-transcriptionally in the nucleus via a three-step enzymatic reaction (Fig. 1B). Newly transcribed RNA (pppNp-RNA) is cleaved by RNA triphosphatase at the γ-phosphate to yield diphosphate RNA (ppNp-RNA), Guanylyltransferase, which forms part of the bifunctional capping enzyme (hCAP) that also encodes triphosphatase activity, then hydrolyzes the α-phosphate from GTP and transfers the GMP moiety to the ppNp-RNA acceptor to generate GpppNP. GpppNP-RNA is methylated by the N-7 MTase, which transfers a methyl group from an S-adenosyl-L-methionine (AdoMet) donor to the unmethylated G-cap acceptor, producing cap 0 RNA (m7GpppNP) and S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (AdoHcy) as a by-product. The 2′-O-ribose MTase (hMTr1) then transfers a methyl group from the AdoMet donor to the first and second nucleotides of cap 0 RNA to generate cap 1 and 2 cap structures, respectively. (C) Generation of viral cap 1 structures through non-canonical capping and methylation pathways. Analogous to eukaryotic RNA methylation, some viruses (e.g. coronavirus and poxvirus) catalyze N-7 and 2′-O methylation sequentially via a single enzyme (right). Although the cap 1 end product is identical between host and viral transcripts structural differences in the viral and eukaryotic enzymes that catalyze these reactions and their distinct modes of ligand binding make viral 2′-O MTases potential targets for inhibitor design.

![Fig. 1. Overview of cap 1 structure formation in eukaryotic and viral systems. (A) Chemical structure of the G-cap and methylation sites which form cap 0, cap 1, and cap 2 structures. (B) The eukaryotic canonical capping pathway. Newly transcribed RNA (pppNp-RNA) is cleaved by RNA triphosphatase at the γ-phosphate to yield diphosphate RNA (pppNp-RNA), Guanylyltransferase, which forms part of the bifunctional capping enzyme (hCAP) that also encodes triphosphatase activity, then hydrolyzes the α-phosphate from GTP and transfers the GMP moiety to the ppNp-RNA acceptor to generate GpppNP. GpppNP-RNA is methylated by the N-7 MTase, which transfers a methyl group from an S-adenosyl-L-methionine (AdoMet) donor to the unmethylated G-cap acceptor, producing cap 0 RNA (m7GpppNP) and S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (AdoHcy) as a by-product. The 2′-O-ribose MTase (hMTr1) then transfers a methyl group from the AdoMet donor to the first and second nucleotides of cap 0 RNA to generate cap 1 and 2 cap structures, respectively. (C) Generation of viral cap 1 structures through non-canonical capping and methylation pathways. Analogous to eukaryotic RNA methylation, some viruses (e.g. coronavirus and poxvirus) catalyze N-7 and 2′-O methylation sequentially via a single enzyme (right). Although the cap 1 end product is identical between host and viral transcripts structural differences in the viral and eukaryotic enzymes that catalyze these reactions and their distinct modes of ligand binding make viral 2′-O MTases potential targets for inhibitor design.](https://example.com/fig1.png)
unmethylated G-cap acceptor, producing cap 0 RNA (m7GpppNp) and S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (AdoHcy) as a by-product.

Whereas N-7-methylation is conserved among all eukaryotes, 2'-O-ribose methylation of the first or the first and second transcribed nucleotides immediately downstream of the m7G cap (which forms cap 1 and cap 2 structures, respectively) is present only in mRNA of bony fish and higher eukaryotes (Varela et al., 2014). The additional 2'-O-methylation event and generation of cap 1 structures facilitates splicing of small nuclear RNAs (snRNA) (Donmez et al., 2004) and enhances translation of mRNA during oocyte maturation (Kuge et al., 1998; Kuge and Richter, 1995). Beyond these functions, 2'-O methylation and cap 1 structures contribute to the recognition and restriction of non-self RNA, particularly in the context of the cell-intrinsinc immune response to viruses (Daffis et al., 2010; Habjan et al., 2013; Hyde et al., 2014; Kimura et al., 2013). Indeed, expression of the MTase (hMTr1, also known as ISG95) that catalyzes formation of N-7-ribose methylation and cap 1 structures contributes to the recognition and restriction of non-self RNA, particularly in the context of the cell-intrinsic immune response to viruses (Daffis et al., 2010; Habjan et al., 2013). Proposed differences in the structural interaction of host and viral 2'-O-MTases with their RNA ligands has been used as the basis for development of targeted viral MTase inhibitors, as discussed below.

2'-O-methylation and host-mediated restriction of viral RNA

2'-O methylation of cap structures contributes to the sensing of non-self RNA and restriction of viral replication and pathogenesis. Ift1, an IFN-induced RNA-binding protein, mediates this effect by preferentially binding to viral RNAs lacking 2'-O-methylation at their 5' end and inhibiting RNA translation (Daffis et al., 2010; Kimura et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014; Li et al., 2013; Menachery et al., 2014; Szretter et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014; Zust et al., 2011, 2013). Introduction of loss-of-function point mutations into the catalytic tetrad (KDKE) of flavivirus, coronavirus, and poxvirus 2'-O-MTases (e.g., West Nile virus NS5-E218A, Japanese encephalitis virus NS5-E218A, Dengue virus NS5-E216A and E217A, mouse hepatitis virus NSP16 D130A, human coronavirus 229E NSP16-D129A, SARS-coronavirus NSP16-D130A, and vaccinia virus J3-K175R) resulted in attenuated virus infections both in vitro and in vivo (Daffis et al., 2010; Kimura et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014; Li et al., 2013; Menachery et al., 2014; Szretter et al., 2012; Zust et al., 2011, 2013). Mutations that abrogated 2'-O methylation were associated with decreased viral replication in wild-type mice and cells, and an increased sensitivity to the antiviral actions of type I IFN. Replication and virulence was restored in the absence of an intact IFN signaling response or Ift1 (Daffis et al., 2010; Szretter et al., 2012; Zust et al., 2011) in primary cell culture (dendritic cells, macrophages, and neurons) or in vivo. Attenuated 2'-O-MTase mutant viruses have potential as vaccines as they retain immunogenicity and confer protection against challenge with pathogenic flaviviruses, rhabdoviruses, and coronaviruses (Li et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2014; Menachery et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014; Zust et al., 2013).

Ift1 belongs to a family of IFN-induced proteins (human IFT1 and IFT1B, IFT2, IFT3, and IFT5; mouse Ift1, Ift1b, Ift1c, Ift2, and Ift3) that are induced rapidly and expressed to high levels in an IFN-dependent and -independent manner following virus infection (Guo et al., 2000b; Kitamura et al., 2001; Sharma et al., 2003; Smith and Herschman, 1996; Terenzi et al., 2005). IFT genes exhibit low sequence identity between both orthologs and paralogs (31% to 69%) but are related by their multiple tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) domains (Smith and Herschman, 1996). The TPR motif comprises a degenerate 34 amino-acid sequence containing eight loosely conserved hydrophobic residues, and is important in mediating protein–protein interactions (Goebi and Yanagida, 1991; King et al., 1995; Lamb et al., 1995; Terlecky et al., 1995; Tzamarias and Struhl, 1995). TPR motifs form an amphipathic antiparallel helix and higher order helical structures, although the overall structure may vary considerably among proteins (reviewed in D'Andrea and Regan (2003)).

The crystal structures of the N-terminus of human IFT1, the full-length IFT2 homodimer, and full-length IFT5 monomer have helped to elucidate how IFT proteins interact with RNA ligands (Abbas et al., 2013; Feng et al., 2013; Katibah et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2012).

Table 1

| Binding Activity | Human IFT1 | Mouse Ift1 | Mouse Ift1c | Rabbit IFT1 | Human IFT2 | Mouse Ift2 | Mouse Ift3 | Human IFT5 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Cap binding | - | - ( > 1.4 μM) | + | - | + | - | - | - |
| Cap binding | + (242 nM) | + | + | + | + (20 ± 1 nM) | + (dsRNA) | - | - |
| Cap binding | + (7mGpppNp) | + | + | + | + (9 ± 2 nM) | + (dsRNA) | - | - |
| Cap binding | + (7mGpppNm) | - | - | - | + (457 ± 24 nM) | - | - | - |
| Cap binding | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cap binding | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cap binding | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cap binding | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cap binding | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cap binding | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cap binding | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cap binding | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

No or minimal binding (-), positive binding (+). Dissociation constant (K_d) is indicated in parentheses. Unavailable data is indicated by gray cells. Specificity for dsRNA binding is indicated (dsRNA).
Although a complete structure of mouse or human IFIT1 has not been determined, the sequence and structural homology between the N-terminal subdomains of IFIT1 and IFIT5 suggest a conserved mode of RNA ligand binding (Abbas et al., 2013). IFIT1, IFIT2, and IFIT5 all form superhelical structures containing a highly positively charged groove or pocket, which accommodates binding of different RNA ligands. Although the precise mode and specificity of RNA binding differs among IFIT proteins (Table 1), mutation of positively charged residues lying within this pocket is sufficient to abrogate RNA binding (Abbas et al., 2013; Katibah et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014; Pichlmair et al., 2011). IFIT1 may also undergo conformational changes upon ligand binding, as has been observed for IFIT5 (Abbas et al., 2013; Katibah et al., 2014). Unbound IFIT5 adopts a relatively open conformation near the binding pocket, presumably to facilitate interaction with different RNA ligands; upon association with RNA, structural changes result in a more closed conformation (Katibah et al., 2014).

Biochemical analysis also has contributed to our understanding of the specificity and dynamics of IFIT1-RNA binding (Table 1). Initial studies indicated that IFIT1 interacted with RNA transcripts containing 5'-ppp moieties (Pichlmair et al., 2011) in a manner similar to IFIT5 (Abbas et al., 2013; Feng et al., 2013; Katibah et al., 2013; Pichlmair et al., 2011). Surface plasmon resonance, filter binding, primer extension inhibition, and electromobility shift assays, all have defined a low affinity (K_d ~ 250 nM to > 1 μM) interaction between IFIT1 and 5'-ppp RNA (Kumar et al., 2014; Pichlmair et al., 2011), with one study indicating this strength of binding was sufficient to sequester negative strand RNA and inhibit infection of influenza A, vesicular stomatitis, and Rift Valley fever viruses (Pichlmair et al., 2011). IFIT1/IFIT1 binds more avidly (K_d ~ 9 to 30 nM) to viral or host cap 0 RNA lacking 2'-O methylation in a sequence-independent manner (Habjan et al., 2013; Hyde et al., 2014; Kimura et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014). The binding of cap 0 RNA by IFIT1 appears unique as IFIT2/IFIT2, IFIT3/IFIT3, and IFIT5 fail to bind with appreciable affinity (Habjan et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014). Although N-7-methylation of RNA (m7GpppNp-RNA) was not required for IFIT1 binding, this modification enhanced binding to IFIT1 and IFIT1B compared to unmethylated G-capped RNA (GpppNp-RNA). Nucleotides proximal to the methylated cap 0 and triphosphate bridge likely modulate IFIT1-RNA interactions, as primer extension assays suggest that at least ~ 4 or 5 nucleotides downstream of the cap 0 structure are bound by IFIT1 (Kumar et al., 2014). Analogously, nucleotides proximal to cap structures also

Fig. 2. Ifit1 inhibits diverse aspects of RNA translation. Canonical cap-dependent translation proceeds as follows: (1) the 40S ribosomal subunit complexes with eIF3 and the ternary complex (eIF2-GTP-Met-tRNA) to form the 43S pre-initiation complex. (2) eIF4E associates with the cap and recruits the eIF4F cap-binding complex (eIF4A, eIF4E, eIF4G). (3) eIF4F facilitates unwinding of the RNA and the 43S pre-initiation complex is recruited to form the 48S complex. (4) The 48S complex scans along the mRNA for the AUG initiator codon. (5) The 60S ribosomal subunit is recruited to the 48S complex to form the 80S initiator complex, which translates the mRNA. Ifit1/IFIT1 binds to subunits of the eIF3 complex (a) to prevent ternary complex formation or 43S formation and recruitment (Guo et al., 2000a; Hui et al., 2003, 2005; Kumar et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2003). (b) Ifit1 interaction with the 40S ribosomal subunit abrogates 48S formation (Kumar et al., 2014). (c) Ifit1/IFIT1 directly associates with cap 0 viral RNA and inhibits recruitment of eIF4E and eIF4F to RNA.
enhance binding of other cap-binding proteins to RNA (Chung et al., 1994; Kumar et al., 2014). This concept is supported by recent studies demonstrating that RNA secondary structure can inhibit Ifit1 binding independent of cap methylation status (Hyde et al., 2014), possibly through steric hindrance of Ifit1-RNA interactions. The influence of N-7-methylation status of cap 0 structures on IFIT1 binding may be species-specific, as differences in ligand binding affinities were observed for rabbit IFIT1 (Kumar et al., 2014).

Varying ligand specificities of human IFIT1 and IFIT1B suggests overlapping yet distinct biological functions of IFIT1-like genes. In contrast to IFIT1, which binds cap 0 but not cap 1 RNA, the paralog IFIT1B binds both cap 0 and cap 1 structures (Kumar et al., 2014). This cap 1 binding activity has fostered the hypothesis that IFIT1B has additional translational control functions in the absence of an antiviral response. This is supported indirectly by the observations that IFIT1 expression is not induced by IFN or PAMP stimulation (Fensterl and Sen, 2011; Liu et al., 2013), and can bind non-viral RNA ligands (Kumar et al., 2014).

Although IFIT1 has been implicated as an IFN-induced protein that inhibits translation of viral mRNA, the precise mechanism has remained unclear (Andrejeva et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2000a; Habjan et al., 2013; Hui et al., 2003, 2005; Kimura et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2003). In canonical cap-dependent translation (Fig. 2) (reviewed in Jackson et al. (2010)), the 40S ribosomal subunit complexes with eIF3 and the ternary complex (eIF2-GTP-Met-tRNA) to form the 43S pre-initiation complex. This pre-initiation complex is recruited to mRNA via cap-binding complex elf4F (elf4A, elf4E, elf4G), which together forms the 48S complex and scans mRNA sequences for the AUG initiator codon. Earlier studies suggested that Ifit1 and IFIT1 bind to subunits of the elf3 complex to prevent downstream events required for translation initiation (Guo et al., 2000a; Hui et al., 2003, 2005; Wang et al., 2003). The interaction of IFIT1 with components of the elf3 complex is species-specific, as human IFIT1 targets elf3e, which inhibits association with the ternary complex (Hui et al., 2003). More recently, IFIT1 has been suggested to inhibit translation at the step of 48S complex formation via interaction with the 40S subunit (Kumar et al., 2014). In comparison, mouse ifit1 reportedly interacts with elf3c to prevent elf4F-mediated recruitment of the 43S pre-initiation complex to RNA (Hui et al., 2005).

Ifit1 and IFIT1 initially were suggested to associate directly with translation factors in an RNA-independent manner, effectively sequestering them from the active translation pool. However, this mechanism did not explain how IFIT1 preferentially could inhibit viral versus host mRNA translation. Recent studies show that Ifit1 and IFIT1 directly bind cap 0 RNA and prevent recruitment of translation factors (Habjan et al., 2013; Hyde et al., 2014; Kimura et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014). Because of its relatively greater affinity for RNA lacking 2′-O-methylation, IFIT1 can out-compete elf4E or elf4F for binding, and thus remove cap 0 RNA from the actively translating pool (Kumar et al., 2014). Additionally, initiator and elongator tRNAs also compete with cap 0 RNA for IFIT1 and elf4F-activated CNNs (Chung et al., 1994; Kumar et al., 2014). This cap 1 binding activity has fostered the hypothesis that IFIT1B has additional translational control functions in the absence of an antiviral response. This is supported indirectly by the observations that IFIT1 expression is not induced by IFN or PAMP stimulation (Fensterl and Sen, 2011; Liu et al., 2013), and can bind non-viral RNA ligands (Kumar et al., 2014).

Although IFIT1 has been implicated as an IFN-induced protein that inhibits translation of viral mRNA, the precise mechanism has remained unclear (Andrejeva et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2000a; Habjan et al., 2013; Hui et al., 2003, 2005; Kimura et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2003). In canonical cap-dependent translation (Fig. 2) (reviewed in Jackson et al. (2010)), the 40S ribosomal subunit complexes with eIF3 and the ternary complex (eIF2-GTP-Met-tRNA) to form the 43S pre-initiation complex. This pre-initiation complex is recruited to mRNA via cap-binding complex elf4F (elf4A, elf4E, elf4G), which together forms the 48S complex and scans mRNA sequences for the AUG initiator codon. Earlier studies suggested that Ifit1 and IFIT1 bind to subunits of the elf3 complex to prevent downstream events required for translation initiation (Guo et al., 2000a; Hui et al., 2003, 2005; Wang et al., 2003). The interaction of IFIT1 with components of the elf3 complex is species-specific, as human IFIT1 targets elf3e, which inhibits association with the ternary complex (Hui et al., 2003). More recently, IFIT1 has been suggested to inhibit translation at the step of 48S complex formation via interaction with the 40S subunit (Kumar et al., 2014). In comparison, mouse ifit1 reportedly interacts with elf3c to prevent elf4F-mediated recruitment of the 43S pre-initiation complex to RNA (Hui et al., 2005).

Ifit1 and IFIT1 initially were suggested to associate directly with translation factors in an RNA-independent manner, effectively sequestering them from the active translation pool. However, this mechanism did not explain how IFIT1 preferentially could inhibit viral versus host mRNA translation. Recent studies show that Ifit1 and IFIT1 directly bind cap 0 RNA and prevent recruitment of translation factors (Habjan et al., 2013; Hyde et al., 2014; Kimura et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014). Because of its relatively greater affinity for RNA lacking 2′-O-methylation, IFIT1 can out-compete elf4E or elf4F for binding, and thus remove cap 0 RNA from the actively translating pool (Kumar et al., 2014). Additionally, initiator and elongator tRNAs also compete with cap 0 RNA for IFIT1 and elf4F-activated CNNs (Chung et al., 1994; Kumar et al., 2014). This cap 1 binding activity has fostered the hypothesis that IFIT1B has additional translational control functions in the absence of an antiviral response. This is supported indirectly by the observations that IFIT1 expression is not induced by IFN or PAMP stimulation (Fensterl and Sen, 2011; Liu et al., 2013), and can bind non-viral RNA ligands (Kumar et al., 2014).

Although IFIT1 has been implicated as an IFN-induced protein that inhibits translation of viral mRNA, the precise mechanism has remained unclear (Andrejeva et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2000a; Habjan et al., 2013; Hui et al., 2003, 2005; Kimura et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2003). In canonical cap-dependent translation (Fig. 2) (reviewed in Jackson et al. (2010)), the 40S ribosomal subunit complexes with eIF3 and the ternary complex (eIF2-GTP-Met-tRNA) to form the 43S pre-initiation complex. This pre-initiation complex is recruited to mRNA via cap-binding complex elf4F (elf4A, elf4E, elf4G), which together forms the 48S complex and scans mRNA sequences for the AUG initiator codon. Earlier studies suggested that Ifit1 and IFIT1 bind to subunits of the elf3 complex to prevent downstream events required for translation initiation (Guo et al., 2000a; Hui et al., 2003, 2005; Wang et al., 2003). The interaction of IFIT1 with components of the elf3 complex is species-specific, as human IFIT1 targets elf3e, which inhibits association with the ternary complex (Hui et al., 2003). More recently, IFIT1 has been suggested to inhibit translation at the step of 48S complex formation via interaction with the 40S subunit (Kumar et al., 2014). In comparison, mouse ifit1 reportedly interacts with elf3c to prevent elf4F-mediated recruitment of the 43S pre-initiation complex to RNA (Hui et al., 2005).

Ifit1 and IFIT1 initially were suggested to associate directly with translation factors in an RNA-independent manner, effectively sequestering them from the active translation pool. However, this mechanism did not explain how IFIT1 preferentially could inhibit viral versus host mRNA translation. Recent studies show that Ifit1 and IFIT1 directly bind cap 0 RNA and prevent recruitment of translation factors (Habjan et al., 2013; Hyde et al., 2014; Kimura et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014). Because of its relatively greater affinity for RNA lacking 2′-O-methylation, IFIT1 can out-compete elf4E or elf4F for binding, and thus remove cap 0 RNA from the actively translating pool (Kumar et al., 2014). Additionally, initiator and elongator tRNAs also compete with cap 0 RNA for IFIT1 and elf4F-activated CNNs (Chung et al., 1994; Kumar et al., 2014). This cap 1 binding activity has fostered the hypothesis that IFIT1B has additional translational control functions in the absence of an antiviral response. This is supported indirectly by the observations that IFIT1 expression is not induced by IFN or PAMP stimulation (Fensterl and Sen, 2011; Liu et al., 2013), and can bind non-viral RNA ligands (Kumar et al., 2014).
IFIT1B binding (Katibah et al., 2013, 2014), suggesting that IFIT1 may inhibit protein synthesis of mRNA independent of cap methylation status, by sequestering tRNAs from the translating pool (Kumar et al., 2014). This study also demonstrated an association of IFIT1 with the 40S ribosomal subunit that was independent of RNA binding, suggesting yet another mechanism of general translation inhibition. The precise mechanism of translation inhibition may be influenced by the potential of IFIT1 to form homo- or heterodimers with other IFIT proteins (Habjan et al., 2013; Pichlmair et al., 2011). Although the functional relevance of IFIT oligomerization requires exploration, it is an attractive hypothesis by which the cell could use IFIT proteins to modulate translation control under different cellular conditions.

Mechanisms of viral evasion of IFIT1-mediated restriction

Viruses have evolved diverse mechanisms to circumvent IFIT1-mediated restriction including: (a) generating cap 1 structures on their RNA by cap-snatching, or using virally- or host-encoded 2'-O MTases; (b) using cap-independent means of translation; or (c) using RNA secondary structural motifs to antagonize IFIT1 binding (Fig. 3).

Viral 2'-O MTases

Many RNA viruses that replicate in the cytoplasm (including Flaviviruses, Coronaviruses, Poxviruses, Paramyxoviruses, Reoviruses, and Rhabdoviruses) encode their own viral 2'-O MTases, which catalyze the formation of cap structures on viral mRNA that mimic those present on host mRNAs. Mutant viruses encoding defective 2'-O MTases are sensitive to IFIT1-mediated restriction and attenuated in vivo (Daffis et al., 2010; Li et al., 2013; Menachery et al., 2014; Szretter et al., 2012; Zust et al., 2011). Although cap 1 structures are generated by virus-encoded MTases, the mechanism of cap methylation is distinct compared to eukaryotes (Fig 1C). Structural and biochemical analysis of the MTases from eukaryotic hosts and viruses have illustrated unique characteristics of viral 2'-O MTases, (Assenberg et al., 2007; Egloff et al., 2002, 2007; Hodel et al., 1998), making them potential targets for drug development (reviewed in Dong et al. (2008) and Ferron et al. (2012)).

In contrast to cap formation in eukaryotic cells and by certain viruses (e.g., Poxviruses and Coronaviruses) where N-7 and 2'-O methylation is catalyzed by two enzymes, flaviviruses, reoviruses, and rhabdoviruses catalyze RNA methylation with a single viral enzyme (Assenberg et al., 2007; Bujnicki and Rychlewski, 2002, 2001; Ferron et al., 2002; Hercyk et al., 1988; Ray et al., 2006; Reinisch et al., 2000). The N-7 and 2'-O MTase activities of flaviviruses are encoded by the N-terminal domain of the NS5 gene (Ray et al., 2006). The NS5 MTase domain of flaviviruses possesses a Rossmann-like superfold and comprises a catalytic core of a seven-stranded β-sheet flanked by α-helices (reviewed in Martin and McMillan (2002) and Schubert et al. (2003)). The catalytic tetrad (KDKE) of MTases is conserved in the flaviviruses, poxviruses, reoviruses, and coronaviruses (Assenberg et al., 2007; Decroly et al., 2011; Egloff et al., 2002, 2007). Flavivirus MTase shows preferential binding for GTP, m7GTP, and m7GpppA within the cap-binding pocket. Substrates containing the first two native nucleotides (AG) of the flavivirus genome bind with higher affinity to the MTase than non-native nucleotide substrates, which may account for why these nucleotides are strictly conserved (Cleaves and Dubin, 1979; Egloff et al., 2007). m7GpppA and GpppA substrates also bind with different affinities, perhaps due to different modes of interaction of these substrates with the MTase (Egloff et al., 2002).

Reovirus capping and methylation is catalyzed by the λ2 protein, which forms pentamers that comprises part of the reovirus core (Koonin, 1993; Luongo et al., 1998; Reinisch et al., 2000). λ2 pentamers form a cylindrical structure through which viral mRNA passes and is capped and methylated at the N-7 and 2'-O position. The spatial arrangement of the catalytic sites within the λ2 pentamer indicates that capping, N-7- and 2'-O-methylation of viral RNA occurs sequentially as it shuttles from one end of the pentamer to the other. The presence of a flap at the terminus of the pentamer has been suggested to retain nascent viral RNA and ensure proper capping and methylation (Reinisch et al., 2000).

The N-7 and 2'-O MTase activity of coronaviruses is encoded by the viral NSP14 and NSP16 genes, respectively. While the coronavirus NSP14 N-7 MTase modifies nascent viral transcripts in a sequence-independent manner (Chen et al., 2013) the 2'-O MTase is sequence-specific, and requires a second viral protein (NSP10) as a cofactor (Chen et al., 2011; Decroly et al., 2008) for both RNA substrate and AdoMet binding.

The poxvirus 2'-O MTase VP39 catalyzes the formation of cap 1 structures. In contrast to reovirus λ2 and coronavirus NSP16, VP39 does not require oligomerization or cofactor binding for function (Hodel et al., 1998, 1996; Shi et al., 1997). Viral RNA binding to VP39 is mediated by a hydrophobic pocket, which together with base stacking, is believed to accommodate substrates in a sequence-independent manner (Hodel et al., 1998, 1996).

Cap-snatching by viruses

Orthomyxoviruses, bunyaviruses, and arenaviruses generate cap 1 structures by removing them from host mRNA transcripts via a mechanism called ‘cap-snatching’. During influenza virus infection, cap 1 structures are acquired from host nuclear mRNAs and used to prime viral RNA transcription. Both N-7 and 2'-O methylation of the cap are required for efficient transcriptional priming of influenza (Bouloy et al., 1980), which is mediated via PB2, the cap-binding protein of the influenza polymerase complex (PB1-PB2-PA) (Honda et al., 1999). Following cap 1 binding the viral polymerase complex cleaves the donor transcript 10 to 14 nucleotides downstream of the cap 1 structure (Dias et al., 2009; Li et al., 2001; Plotch et al., 1979, 1981; Shi et al., 1995; Yuan et al., 2009). The polymerase complex preferentially cleaves at purine residues and requires additional sequence specificity, such as A/U at nucleotide positions 9–11, and yields a 3'-OH moiety (Kawakami et al., 1983; Plotch et al., 1981).

Bunyavirus cap-snatching exploits the host eukaryotic nonsense-mediating mRNA decay pathway to generate cap 1 primers for viral transcription (Cheng and Mir, 2012). Bunyavirus nucleocapsid (N) binds preferentially to host cap 1 mRNA with premature stop codons and then sequesters mRNA in processing (P) bodies within the cytoplasm where RNA degradation takes place (Mir et al., 2008). Association with N protects cap 1 from degradation by the host decapping machinery, and results in the generation of cap 1 RNA oligomers of ~180 nucleotides (Hopkins et al., 2013; Mir et al., 2008). The viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (L protein) then cleaves cap 1 oligomers at a G residue approximately 14 nucleotides downstream of cap 1 to yield ‘mature’ cap 1 oligomers that serve as primers for viral RNA transcription (Cheng and Mir, 2012; Jin and Elliott, 1993; Mir et al., 2008, 2010; Patterson et al., 1984).

Less is known about the cap-snatching mechanism used by arenaviruses (Lehmann et al., 2014; Morin et al., 2010; Raju et al., 1990; Reguera et al., 2010). Analogous to bunyaviruses, the arenavirus L protein has RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity (Lukashevich et al., 1997; Vieth et al., 2004). L protein also encodes endonuclease and RNA-binding activity (Morin et al., 2010; Reguera et al., 2010) and is predicted to facilitate cap-binding and cleavage during cap-snatching through an undefined mechanism.

Cap-independent translation

Unlike eukaryotic mRNA and some viral RNA, which have cap 1 structures and use cap-dependent translation mechanisms, other
viruses have a 5’ covalently-linked viral protein, VPg (picornaviruses and caliciviruses) and/or an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES; picornaviruses and hepaciviruses) within the 5’-untranslated region (UTR) to facilitate cap-independent translation. In contrast to canonical cap-mediated translation where 43S pre-initiation complex association with the mRNA cap structure is followed by ribosomal scanning and 48S and 60S association, in IRES-mediated translation, the 43S complex binds directly upstream of the IRES and does not require ribosomal scanning or certain translation initiation factors (eIF1, eIF1A, and eIF4E) (reviewed in Jackson et al. (2010) and Pestova et al. (2001)). Viruses lacking 2’-O methylation on their viral mRNA (e.g., picornaviruses, caliciviruses, and hepaciviruses) may use cap-independent translation mechanisms to overcome IFT1 restriction. In theory, this might occur in one of two ways: (a) the VPg and/or IRES structures sterically hinder IFT1 binding or (b) the IRES bypasses the step at which IFT1 inhibits eIF3-dependent translation.

RNA structure-mediated antagonism

Alphaviruses are RNA viruses that replicate in the cytoplasm, translate via a cap-dependent mechanism, and yet lack a virally encoded 2’-O-methyltransferase or cap-snatching mechanism, and thus should be restricted by IFT1. Alphaviruses have a defined cap 0 structure on the 5’ end of their viral genomic and subgenomic RNA (Hefti et al., 1975; Pettersson et al., 1980). Although previous studies had shown that mutations in the Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEEV) 5’-UTR (G→A at position 3) were attenuating (Kinney et al., 1993, 1989) and resulted in enhanced sensitivity to type 1 IFN treatment (White et al., 2001), the basis for this was unknown. Analogously, mutations that altered the pathogenicity and IFN-sensitivity of other alphaviruses (e.g., Sindbis and Semliki Forest viruses) also mapped to the 5’-UTR (Klimstra et al., 1999; Kobiler et al., 1999; Kuhn et al., 1992; Logue et al., 2008). A recent study explains these earlier findings as it showed that RNA secondary structure in alphavirus 5’-UTR antagonizes IFT1 binding and antiviral activity (Hyde et al., 2014). Mutations within the 5’-UTR that affect stable RNA structural elements enabled restriction by or antagonism of IFT1 in vitro and in vivo by altering binding of IFT1 to viral RNA. Although this mechanism has not yet been described for other viruses, stable RNA structures are commonly found within the 5’-UTR of many viruses, which might impact IFT1 recognition in other viral systems.

Conclusions

In higher eukaryotes, detection of viral RNA is critical for initiating and establishing an antiviral state in cells. Cap 0 structures on viral RNA can act as PAMPs that are sensed and restricted by the IFN-induced gene, IFT1. In contrast to other RNA sensors (TLRs and RLRs) that induce signaling cascades that lead to the expression of type I IFN and ISGs, IFT1 appears to function predominantly as an antiviral effector molecule. The evolution of multiple mechanisms of antagonism by different families of RNA and DNA viruses indicates the importance of IFT1 as a key RNA sensor and antiviral molecule. Pathogenic viruses have evolved ways to produce mRNA with 5’-ends that mimic host cellular mRNAs, including viral RNA with N-7 and 2’-O methylation through several independent strategies (encoding capping machinery, ‘cap-snatching’, or using host capping machinery) or bypassing cap-dependent translation to use other modalities (IRES-dependent) for protein synthesis. The finding that different IFT proteins exhibit varying affinities for distinct RNA ligands suggests that IFT genes may recognize additional viral PAMPs, which have yet to be identified. The identification of IFT1 as a key RNA sensor and antiviral effector and the importance of cap 0 structures in abrogating IFT1-mediated restriction has provided a rationale for the development of novel antiviral interventions, including live attenuated vaccines (with 2’-O-methylation or RNA structure mutants) and small molecule inhibitors of viral 2’-O MTases.
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