Identifying \textit{Bacillus cereus} with conventional methods is neither specific nor rapid because of the close relatedness of the \textit{B. cereus} group, hence the need for molecular methods. Genotypic profiling of \textit{B. cereus} isolates from food was obtained by Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA-polymerase chain reaction (RAPD-PCR) using OPR13 primer. A dendrogram was drawn with the Numerical Taxonomy System of Statistic (NTSYS) software. Thirty of the isolates were subjected to molecular identification by 16S rDNA sequencing. The thirty sequences were deposited in GenBank for accession number. Phylogenetic relationship of the 16S rDNA sequence obtained was carried out with the Multiple Alignment using Fast Fourier Transform (MAFFT) software version 7.0. The evolutionary tree was drawn using the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA6) software. The dendrogram generated for the RAPD profile showed that all the strains are closely related, with a similarity coefficient of 70%. The isolates were confirmed with 16SrDNA sequencing as \textit{B. cereus}. The thirty sequences deposited in GenBank were given accession numbers: KX574760–KX574769, KX610811–KX610820, MT757957-MT757963, and MT772282-MT772284. By comparing the phylogenetic relationship, eleven of the strains did not cluster with the reference strains from the GenBank but form distinct clades, which means they are likely to be of different ancestors. Conventional methods rarely differentiate bacteria of the same species into clade, neither can it describe their ancestral lineage. Therefore, it is important to employ molecular methods in identifying bacteria to give detailed information about them.

1. Introduction

\textit{Bacillus cereus} is Gram-positive rod, motile with peritrichous flagella, and ubiquitous. It can multiply in soil [1] and has also been found in food [2–4]. It survives adverse conditions through endospore formation [2, 5]. Spores are more resistant to dry heat and radiation than vegetative cells, and resistance to heat is more considerable in reduced water activity food [6]. The \textit{B. cereus} group is now made up of seven members [7] in which \textit{B. cereus}, \textit{B. anthracis}, and \textit{B. thuringiensis} are the most significant and are closely related [8]. Therefore, identifying members of the \textit{B. cereus} group with biochemical or physiological characteristics is difficult because these characteristics complicate their accurate peculiarity [9]. Biochemical tests do not give distinct differentiation among the groups, but molecular techniques provide fast and accurate identification of the microorganisms [10]. Desai and Varadaraj [3] used polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to confirm twelve isolates as \textit{B. cereus} out of twenty-six isolates previously characterized as \textit{B. cereus} with the conventional method.

Molecular methods such as Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) [11], Multilocus Enzyme Electroelphoresis (MLEE) [12], Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism [13], and Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST) [14–16] have been found useful in the study of \textit{B. cereus}.

RAPD, which is a form of PCR, is used to study the genetic diversity of an individual. The short RAPD primers of 10 nucleotides are used to amplify the random sequences of the targeted DNA with low temperature during the
annealing stage. It is a widely used tool in the study of genetic diversity, population and evolutionary genetics, animal-plant-microbe relationships, plant and animal breeding, pesticide/herbicide resistance, and forensic studies [17–19].

Phylogenetics has made it possible to study the evolutionary history and associations that exist among individuals and groups of organisms, e.g., species, population, or genes. Phylogenetic inference is used to ascertain such association, and the outcome is represented with a phylogenetic tree. The three main inference methods for deducing molecular phylogenies are maximum parsimony, maximum likelihood, and pairwise distances [20]. Phylogeny is presently used in many disciplines such as molecular biology, epidemiology, genetics, ecology, conservation biology, evolution, and forensics.

In Nigeria, foods are retailed by people with little or no knowledge about personal hygiene. Vegetables are sometimes put on the bare floor and handled with bare hands before being sold to consumers. Cooked foods like rice and spaghetti sometimes had contact with the hands of the seller when the spoons and fork used in taking the food are supported with bare hands that have been used in handling money laden with pathogenic bacteria. Smoked fish and hides are exposed to dust, flies and sold with bare hands to consumers. Fried meats are sold inside old newspapers, which might have been contaminated. Knowing fully well that B. cereus has been described as a volatile human pathogen, there is need to confirm the isolates recovered from these retailed foods as B. cereus using 16S rDNA sequencing, to carry out genotypic profiling of the isolates to confirm if strains in retailed foods are the same, and to determine the relationship of some of the genes with closely related genes in GenBank.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Extraction of Genomic DNA. One hundred B. cereus isolates from some retailed foods previously identified with biochemical tests were randomly selected for further confirmation with molecular methods. Each bacterial isolate was subcultured on Luria-Bertani Agar (Merck, South Africa) and incubated at 37°C for 18–24 hours. Genomic DNA was extracted using the Zymo Soil DNA kit (Zymo Research, USA) by following the manufacturer’s instructions written in the manual.

2.2. Primers Employed in This Study. The primers employed were synthesized by IDT (Integrated DNA Technology, USA). The primers are F1/R2 (F1-5’-AGA GTT TGA TCI TGG CTC AG-3’; R2 5’-AGC GIT ACC TTT GTA CTA CTT-3’) [21] used for 16S rDNA identification while OPR 13 (5’-GGA CGA CAA G-3’) [22] was used for genetic profiling. The primers were reconstituted with Tris/EDTA buffer according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

2.3. Genetic Typing of Bacillus cereus with RAPD-PCR. The OPR13 primer was used for the amplification of random segments of the genomic DNA of Bacillus strains. The RAPD-PCR assay was performed in a reaction volume of 25 μl containing 12.5 μl Master mix, 11 μl nuclease-free water, 0.5 μl primer, and 1 μl DNA template. The PCR cycles consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 4 min, then 40 cycles comprising DNA denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, primer annealing at 35°C for 1 min, and DNA extension at 72°C for 2 min, then a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min [23]. RAPD-PCR bands were separated by electrophoresis in a 2.0% (w/v) agarose gel (LASEC) containing SYBR safe stain (Life Technologies, Thermofisher), immersed in Tris/Acetic acid/EDTA (TAE) (BioRad, USA) buffer, run at 80 V for 90 min. Molecular Ruler (Thermofisher) 1kb base pairs (bp) ladder was used as a molecular weight marker. After the migration of DNA bands, the gel was photographed on the Gel Doc 2000 Image analyzer (BioRad, USA).

2.4. Analysis of RAPD-PCR Profile. Each gel was examined, and the presence or absence of polymorphic bands in individual lanes was scored 1 and 0, respectively. The scored bands were subjected to the Numerical Taxonomy System of Statistic (NTSYS) software. In NTSYS analysis, the scatter diagram of the scored bands is useful in revealing a grouping.

2.5. 16S rDNA Identification. The primer set F1/R2 was used for the identification. They were performed in reaction mixtures containing 25 μl Master mix, 22 μl nuclease-free water, 1.0 μl primer, and 2 μl template DNA making a final volume of 50 μl. The PCR amplification was performed in a thermal cycler (C1000 Touch, BioRad) with the following conditions: initial denaturation at 96°C for 5 minutes, followed by 30 cycles at 96°C for 45 seconds, annealing at 56°C for 30 seconds, extension at 72°C for 2 minutes, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. The PCR product was analyzed and viewed as described above.

2.6. Sequencing of the PCR Product. Thirty PCR products from 16S rDNA were submitted to Inqaba Biotechnical Industrial (Pty) Ltd, Pretoria, South Africa, for sequencing. The PCR products were sequenced in the forward and reverse directions on the ABI PRISM™ 3500xl Genetic Analyser. Purified sequencing products were analyzed using CLC Main Workbench 7 followed by a BLAST search in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). The thirty sequences were deposited in GenBank for accession number.

2.7. Phylogenetic Analysis. 16S rDNA gene of the B. cereus was also used for the phylogenetic analyses in order to establish the relationship among them. The search for possible reference nucleotide sequences in the NCBI GenBank database was achieved by using the partial sequences from the 16S rDNA of Bacillus cereus [24]. Multiple alignments of nucleotide sequences were obtained with the software Multiple Alignment using Fast Fourier Transform (MAFFT) version 7.0 [25], while two main methods distance-based and character-based were employed in drawing the tree using Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis 6 (MEGA 6) [26].
Figure 1: Agarose gel electrophoresis for RAPD-PCR profiles obtained with primer OPR13 for strains in the *B. cereus* group. M: marker, WR: white rice, JR: jollof rice, TT: smoked titus, GP: green pea, SG: spaghetti, RB: runner bean, MP: meat pie, CR: carrot, MT: meat, CB: cabbage, SW: smoked African chad, SP: sweet pepper, and PN: smoked blue whiting.
3. Results

3.1. Genetic Profiling. Results from Agarose gel electrophoresis using Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA-PCR (RAPD-PCR) for the genetic profiling of the isolates showed that many of the isolates expressed multiple bands characteristic of RAPD-PCR (Figures 1(a)–1(c)). Some showed a single band while others did not show any band at all. Some of the isolates on the lanes SP5, WR36, WR39, MP75, SW89, MP113, WR130, WR150, MT154, WR176, JR189, WR193, SW89, SG99, and SW98 showed pronounced single bands with an amplified fragment of about 750 bp (Figure 1). The dendrogram generated from computer analysis of the RAPD-PCR using the NTSYS software revealed that all the bacteria are closely related while those grouped together, that is, MP75 and SW89, only showed single band and SW79 and WR123 did not produce any band while GP27 and WR30, MP104 and SW134 are the same strain (Figure 2). The OPR13 primer used for the genetic profiling gave a good representation. Many of the isolates showed multiple bands characteristic of RAPD-PCR. Oh et al. [11] employed RAPD-PCR using the OPR13 primer.
for typing of *Bacillus* isolates. Their *B. cereus* strains were classified into 19 banding patterns. They reported that the RAPD patterns obtained with OPR13 distinguished better than OPA3. RAPD and Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) were used to assess the similarity between emetic *B. cereus* strains. Seventeen (17) distinct banding patterns were obtained, while 10 strains did not give any banding pattern with PFGE [27]. Also, in this research, five strains SW79, MP117, WR123, RB155, and SG166 did not give any bands, but the ImageLab software was able to detect bands for MP117 and SG166. Strains WR123 and SW79 did not produce any band based on the analysis, while the remaining three clustered very close to them. Ghelradi et al. [28] also used RAPD-PCR to identify *B. cereus* to the strain level and concluded that the method is useful in describing intraspecific changes among organisms.

### Table 1: *B. cereus* isolates accession number and the source of the samples.

| Isolate code | Accession number | Source of food sample | Closest relative | Homology (%) | Access code of the reference strain in the gene bank |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| RB8          | KX574760         | Runner bean           | *Bacillus cereus* strain MS-Deb-PCB-Bac-1 | 100          | MN545143                                          |
| RB59         | KX574761         | Runner bean           | *Bacillus cereus* strain SLG2 | 97.04        | MT043919                                          |
| RB31         | KX574762         | Runner bean           | *Bacillus cereus* strain EG4 | 99.66        | KY435707                                          |
| MP111        | KX574763         | Meat                  | *Bacillus cereus* isolate HKS 4-2 | 93.38        | DQ289062                                          |
| RB37         | KX574764         | Runner bean           | *Bacillus cereus* strain LCB | 94.47        | FJ867921                                          |
| RB57         | KX574765         | Runner bean           | *Bacillus cereus* strain XJ22 | 87.30        | KC510021                                          |
| MT167        | KX574766         | Meat                  | *Bacillus proteolyticus* strain SUR.04LB | 100          | MT052616                                          |
| JR166        | KX574767         | Jollof rice           | *Bacillus cereus* strain AMc01NA | 97.38        | MT052656                                          |
| MT188        | KX574768         | Meat                  | *Bacillus cereus* strain BCHA20 | 88.22        | MT533450                                          |
| GP17         | KX574769         | Green pea             | *Bacillus cereus* strain ATCC 14579 | 91.34        | MT421927                                          |
| SG1          | KX610811         | Spaghetti             | *Bacillus cereus* strain ZMC6 | 99.41        | MN555338                                          |
| PM10         | KX610812         | Smoked hide           | *Bacillus cereus* strain GL34 | 99.47        | MK099886                                          |
| MP113        | KX610813         | Meat                  | *Bacillus cereus* strain S19 | 94.58        | MN879950                                          |
| GP14         | KX610814         | Green pea             | *Bacillus cereus* strain D21 | 99.06        | KC441762                                          |
| WR123        | KX610815         | Cooked rice           | *Bacillus* sp strain UFSC-40S1 | 96.51        | MT269024                                          |
| SW124        | KX610816         | Smoked African chad   | *Bacillus cereus* strain Ism37 | 99.75        | KP988025                                          |
| SG127        | KX610817         | Spaghetti             | *Bacillus cereus* strain MS-Deb-PCB-Bac-1 | 100          | MN545143                                          |
| SG129        | KX610818         | Spaghetti             | *Bacillus cereus* strain LZH-F21 | 100          | MN099150                                          |
| RB155        | KX610819         | Runner bean           | *Bacillus cereus* strain Le6 | 99.61        | MT279464                                          |
| SG169        | KX610820         | Spaghetti             | *Bacillus cereus* strain BAL34 | 93.08        | KP717398                                          |
| WR140        | MT757957         | Cooked rice           | *Bacillus cereus* strain MD152 | 100          | MT642947                                          |
| WR126        | MT757958         | Cooked rice           | *Bacillus cereus* strain MD152 | 100          | MT642947                                          |
| WR88         | MT757959         | Cooked rice           | *Bacillus cereus* strain MD152 | 100          | MT642947                                          |
| TT7006       | MT757960         | Smoked titus          | *Bacillus cereus* strain MD152 | 100          | MT642947                                          |
| WR13         | MT757961         | Cooked rice           | *Bacillus cereus* strain MD152 | 100          | MT642947                                          |
| SG12          | MT757962         | Spaghetti             | *Bacillus cereus* strain L2C3 | 100          | MN661108                                          |
| SG18         | MT757963         | Spaghetti             | *Bacillus cereus* strain MD152 | 100          | MT642947                                          |
| SG13          | MT772282         | Spaghetti             | *Bacillus cereus* strain S8 | 100          | MT611946                                          |
| SG99         | MT772283         | Spaghetti             | *Bacillus cereus* strain S8 | 100          | MT611946                                          |
| RB84         | MT772284         | Runner bean           | *Bacillus cereus* strain MD152 | 99.85        | MT642947                                          |
| SG92         | MT772284         | Runner bean           | *Bacillus cereus* strain MD152 | 100          | MT642947                                          |

3.2.16S rDNA Identification of *B. cereus*. The universal couple of primers F1/R2 was able to detect the 16S region of the isolates with a molecular size of 1500 bp. The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) result that has the highest similarity with the biological sequence in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database was recorded as the identity of the isolates. The sequences deposited in GenBank with their accession numbers and source of each isolate are presented in Table 1. The isolates were identified as *Bacillus cereus*. Oh et al. used the universal primer F27/R1492 and reported that their strains have high similarity with members of the *B. cereus* group in the GenBank.

3.3. Phylogenetic Relationship of *B. cereus*. The phylogenetic relationship between *B. cereus* and the very closely related strains from the GenBank were analyzed using NeighborJoining (NJ) and Maximum Likelihood (ML) trees (Figures 3 and 4). The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) is shown next to the branches. All positions greater than 50% are shown. The two trees were employed to establish the proven resolution and statistical significance of the various treeing algorithms [29, 30]. The NJ tree revealed that RB8, PM10, GP14, WR123, SW124, SG127, RB155, and MT167
displayed high bootstrap values greater than 90% with their relatives \textit{B. cereus} in the GenBank revealing their similarity percentage. This high bootstrap value expressed by the aforementioned \textit{Bacillus} spp. is beyond 70% borderline of the degree of relatedness proposed by [31]. Furthermore, SG5, RB29, RB31, GP87, MP111, MP113, SG129, JR168, and SG169 form distinct clades with a bootstrap value lower than 50% but with closest relative to \textit{B. cereus}. They did not cluster with any strains as a result of their nucleotide signature pattern peculiarity, which is in line with the findings of [32]. This distinctiveness calls for their novelty as reported by [30]. This NJ result was based on a cluster-based algorithm utilized in calculating the pairwise distance between sequences and group sequences that are most similar. For clearness, the character-based method (Maximum Likelihood) chooses the best model for the variation pattern of the sequences by correlating a set of data against the set of models of evolution [33]. The ML tree showed that PM60, GP111, WR123, SW124, SG127, RB137, and MT167 possessed a very

Figure 3: Neighbour-Joining method of phylogenetic tree based on the partial 16S rDNA gene sequence, showing the phylogenetic relationships between the \textit{Bacillus cereus} and the most closely related strains from the GenBank. Sequences obtained in this study higher than 90% are denoted with rectangle and lower than 50% with a triangle.
high similarity percentage greater than 90% with B. cereus of the reference sequences from GenBank. This compares well with the result obtained from the NJ with the exception of RB8 and RB155 that exhibited lower than 50% homology with their Bacillus relatives. This may be as a result of mutation. Hence, the relationship between these strains and their Bacillus spp. relatives has been wiped out [30]. ML deduced that RB29 and JR168 have very low similarity percentage with their relative, which is almost the same with the NJ tree. This is not reliable because their DNA reassociation is above the threshold level based on the result depicted by the ML tree [34]. ML tree also showed that some B. cereus from this research did not align with any of the reference taxa based on their uniqueness. These include SG5, RB8, RB31, GP87, MP111, MP113, SG129, RB155, RB157, SG169, and MT200. These eleven isolates are likely to be a far relative of B. cereus strains because they possessed distinct nucleotide signature from their relatives in the GenBank.

4. Conclusions

This research has shown that some of the isolates are the same as revealed by the dendrogram generated for the RAPD profile. Eleven of the strains form a distinct clade that can never be deduced with conventional methods. The B. cereus isolates are associated with foods such as runner bean and...

---

**Figure 4:** Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic tree based on partial 16S rDNA gene sequence, showing the phylogenetic relationships between the Bacillus cereus and the most closely related strains from GenBank. Sequences obtained in this study higher than 90% are denoted with the yellow triangle, greater than 50%, but lower than 80% with the blue rectangle and less than 50% with a red circle.
green pea, which are used in the preparation of fried rice, while meat pie is one of the snacks consumed by people to satisfy hunger. Extra caution must be taken when preparing foods with these ingredients, and snacks such as meat pie should be consumed when hot to prevent food poisoning.
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