Intravenous Anesthetic, Propofol Affects Synaptic Responses in Cerebellar Purkinje Cells
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Objective: Propofol is an intravenously administered anesthetic that enhances γ-aminobutyric acid-mediated inhibition in the central nervous system. Other mechanisms may also be involved in general anesthesia. Propofol has been implicated in movement disorders. The cerebellum is important for motor coordination and motor learning. The aim of the present study was to investigate the propofol effect on excitatory synaptic transmissions in cerebellar cortex.

Methods: Excitatory postsynaptic currents by parallel fiber stimulation and complex spikes by climbing fiber stimulation were monitored in Purkinje cells of Wister rat cerebellar slice using whole-cell patch-clamp techniques.

Results: Decay time, rise time and amplitude of excitatory postsynaptic currents at parallel fiber Purkinje cell synapses and area of complex spikes at climbing fiber Purkinje cell synapses were significantly increased by propofol administration.

Conclusion: The detected changes of glutamatergic synaptic transmission in cerebellar Purkinje cell, which determine cerebellar motor output, could explain cerebellar mechanism of motor deficits induced by propofol.
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INTRODUCTION

Propofol (2,6-diisopropylphenol) is a short-acting intravenous anesthetic that is widely used for induction and maintenance of general anesthesia in clinics.1 The anesthetic activity of propofol primarily involves enhancement of γ-aminobutyric acid type A (GABAA) receptors.2 The effects of propofol on glutamatergic transmission have been studied.3

Clinical use of propofol has been linked with movement disorders including dystonia and ataxia.4,5 Since, the cerebellum is essential for motor coordination and balance, cerebellar dysfunction could contribute to propofol-induced movement disorders.6,7 Purkinje cells (PCs) provide the signals required for motor planning, execution, and coordination in their neuronal activity.7,8 PCs occupy a central position in the cerebellar circuit and over 200,000 granule cell axons, known as parallel fibers (PFs), synapse onto a single PC (PF-PC) and a mature PC also receives excitatory input from the inferior olive via a single climbing fiber (CF, CF-PC).9 The changes of glutamatergic synaptic transmission in PCs might lead to alterations in ongoing motor activity and fine motor control (Fig. 1).9

Recent studies have identified some alterations of cerebellar synaptic transmission in motor dysfunction animal models, especially ataxic mouse.9,10 However, the mechanism of action of propofol for PCs is poorly understood. We hypothesized that propofol modulates cerebellar function by altering the excitatory synaptic transmission provided by PF-PC and CF-PC synapses. Presently, we evaluate the actions of propofol on PF-PC and CF-PC syn-
Propofol Effects on Purkinje Cell Synapses

Fig. 1. Circuits of the cerebellum that provide excitatory synaptic inputs to Purkinje cells. Two pathways provide excitatory input to Purkinje cells (PC), parallel fibers (PF) and climbing fibers (CF). These inputs arise from granule cells (GC) and cells in the inferior olive (I.O), respectively. PCs integrate these excitatory inputs and send GABAergic projections to the deep cerebellar nuclei (DCN). PFs were stimulated with electrodes positioned in the distal part of the molecular layer, and CF was stimulated with electrodes placed in the granule cell layer. All recordings were carried out in the presence of gamma-aminobutyric acid A receptor antagonist picrotoxin (100 μM).

aptic transmission in the cerebellar cortex using whole-cell patch-clamp recordings.

METHODS

Cerebellar Slice Preparation

Experiments were performed using postnatal 17- to 28-day Wister rats. All animal procedures were carried out in accordance with the regulations of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Konyang University (Daejeon, Korea). The animals were decapitated after being anesthetized with ether, and their cerebellums were rapidly removed and placed in an ice-cold dissection solution containing (in mM) 220 sucrose, 2.5 KCl, 1 Na₂HPO₄, 2.5 MgCl₂, 0.5 CaCl₂, 25 NaHCO₃, and 20 D-glucose, bubbled with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂. Parasagittal slices (300 μm thick) from the cerebellar hemisphere were prepared using a VT 1000 M vibratome (Leica, Heidelberg, Germany). All experiments were performed at 30°C to 32°C (TC-324B; Warner Instrument, Hamden, CT, USA) after initial 1-hour incubation at 34°C.

Whole Cell Patch-clamp Recording

After incubation, the recording chamber was continuously perfused at a rate of 1.5 ml/min with oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing (in mM) 125 mM NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 26 NaHCO₃, and 25 D-glucose bubbled with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂. Picrotoxin (100 μM; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was added to aCSF for all experiments. Recordings were made from PCs in lobules IV-VI, which were visually identified based on their location using a model BX50WI upright microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with Nomarski optics and a 40x water-immersion objective. Recording pipettes (GC150T-7.5; Harvard Apparatus, St. Laurent, QC, Canada) were fabricated by pulling glass capillaries on a model PP-830 micro-electrode puller (Narishige Scientific Instruments, Tokyo, Japan). Patch pipettes had resistances of 3-5 MΩ. The standard internal solution was a K-based solution containing (in mM) 140 K-gluconate, 10 HEPES, 0.1 EGTA, 4 KCl, and 5 Mg-adenosine triphosphate (ATP) (pH adjusted to 7.3 with potassium hydroxide [KOH]).

All recordings of PF-excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) were performed in PCs voltage clamped at −70 mV in the whole-cell configuration. For PF stimulation, a standard patch pipette was filled with external aCSF and placed in the distal part of the molecular layer. Square pulses of 0.1-ms duration with amplitudes ranging from 10 to 100 μA were applied at 0.075 Hz. PF-EPSCs were identified by their characteristic features of graded response amplitude and paired-pulse facilitation (PPF). The paired-pulse ratio was calculated as the second EPSC amplitude over the first EPSC amplitude multiplied by 100.

CF-complex spikes (CSs) in current clamp recording were evoked with a stimulation electrode located in the granule cell layer, approximately 150 to 300 μm from the patched PC, using a stimulation intensity of 40 to 120 μA. CF responses were confirmed by all-or-none-response and presence of paired-pulse depression. During CS recordings, the baseline membrane potential was maintained at −70±1 mV via current injection. Propofol effects were studied by monitoring CSs every 60 seconds.

Experiments were performed using an EPC-10 amplifier; stimulation and data acquisition were controlled using PatchMaster software (HEKA Elektronik, Lambrecht, Germany). Signals were filtered at 3 kHz and digitized at...
10 kHz. Recordings were discarded when input resistance values changed by >20%. Only recordings with an access resistance <30 MΩ were evaluated. Our control data were recorded in the presence of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma-Aldrich; 0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.1%). Propofol (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in DMSO to make a 250 mM stock solution, which was diluted into recording solution to the desired propofol concentration (25, 50, 100, 250 µM).

### Table 1. Summary of time-course of synaptic currents at cerebellar parallel fiber (PF)-Purkinje cell (PC) synapses

| PF-EPSCs | 0.01% DMSO (n=8) | 25 µM propofol (n=8) | 0.02% DMSO (n=8) | 50 µM propofol (n=10) | 0.04% DMSO (n=10) | 100 µM propofol (n=8) | 0.1% DMSO (n=8) | 250 µM propofol |
|----------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Ipeak (pA) | 640.6±44.3 | 719.4±48.2 | 805.4±55.9 | 807.4±64.4 | 820.6±64.9 | 820.2±70.0 | 788.0±26.9 | 1,014.8±56.4** |
| 10-90% rise time (µs) | 1,875±106 | 2,125±168 | 1,928±112 | 2,193±95** | 1,810±108 | 2,000±101* | 2,484±81 | 2,991±150** |
| Decay time (ms) | 6.7±0.4 | 7.0±0.6 | 6.8±0.4 | 7.4±0.3* | 5.7±0.2 | 6.2±0.1* | 8.2±0.3 | 9.1±0.4** |

Values are number only or mean±standard error of mean; determined for evoked excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) at PF-PC synapses. The 10-90% rise time and the decay time of PF-EPSCs evoked by 50 µA stimulation. The mean values determined for EPSCs exposed to dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were compared to the corresponding values obtained using propofol. Asterisks indicate the significant differences obtained from these comparisons (*p<0.05, **p<0.01; paired Student’s t test).

### Statistical Analysis

Propofol application was evaluated using paired Student’s t test. Values in the text are expressed as mean±standard error of mean. Statistical analysis used Origin 8.0 software (Originlab, Northampton, MA, USA). The p values less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistically significant differences.

---

**Fig. 2.** Propofol affects synaptic transmission at parallel fiber-Purkinje cell synapses. (A) Representative traces of parallel fiber evoked excitatory postsynaptic currents (PF-EPSCs) at PF-PC synapses. The 10-90% rise time and the decay time of PF-EPSCs evoked by 50 µA stimulation. The mean values determined for EPSCs exposed to dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were compared to the corresponding values obtained using propofol. Asterisks indicate the significant differences obtained from these comparisons (*p<0.05, **p<0.01; paired Student’s t test).
RESULTS

Propofol Enhances PF-PC Synaptic Transmission

We first examined the effect of propofol on excitatory post-synaptic currents elicited by PF stimulation (PF-EPSC). PF-EPSCs were identified by amplitude enhancement in a graded manner with stimulus intensity and PPF. At concentrations of 25 to 100 μM (Table 1), 20-minute administration of propofol did not make a difference in the peak amplitudes of EPSCs compared with DMSO at all stimulus intensities (Fig. 2B; 5 to 50 μA, 50 μM, n=8). However, 250 μM propofol significantly enhanced the amplitude of PF-EPSCs by 128% of those of DMSO. The mean amplitude of PF-EPSCs evoked by 50 μA stimulation was 788.0±26.9 pA in DMSO and 1,014.8±56.4 pA in 250 μM propofol (Fig. 2D). Analysis of PF-EPSC kinetics evoked by 50 μA stimulation revealed significant differences in both rise and decay times between DMSO (0.02, 0.04, 0.1%) and propofol (50, 100, 250 μM; Table 1).

PF-EPSC enhancement suggested an increase in glutamatergic transmissions, which could be caused by facilitation of presynaptic glutamate release and/or increased postsynaptic sensitivity to glutamate. To check the existence of a presynaptic action, we evaluated the effect of propofol on PPF ratio at several intervals (10 to 500 ms). PPF is an increase in the second post-synaptic response when it is elicited shortly after the first, and it is a form of short-term plasticity widely considered to be of pre-synaptic activities in the central nervous system.13) PPF ratio in 50 μM propofol was equivalent to those in DMSO at all interstimulus intervals (Fig. 3B). However, 250 μM propofol significantly reduced the PPF ratio at interval of 50 ms, from 187.9±8.0% to 160.3±3.7% after propofol (Fig. 3D, p<0.01). These results suggest that 250 μM propofol increases glutamate release from PF and enhanced excitatory synaptic transmission in PCs.

Fig. 3. Short-term plasticity is altered in propofol administration at parallel fiber-Purkinje cell synapses. (A) Representative traces of parallel fiber evoked excitatory postsynaptic currents (PF-EPSCs) evoked by paired-pulse stimulation (50 ms interval) in 0.02% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and 50 μM propofol. (B) Paired-pulse facilitation (PPF) ratios at different interstimulus intervals (10-500 ms) plotted for 0.02% DMSO (open circles, n=9) and 50 μM propofol (closed circles, n=9). (C) Representative traces of PF-EPSCs induced by paired-pulse stimulation (50 ms interval) in 0.1% DMSO and 250 μM propofol. (D) PPF ratios of 250 μM propofol (closed circles, n=8) were significantly smaller compared to 0.1% DMSO (open circles, n=8). The second response is expressed as a percentage of the response to the first pulse and plotted as a function of interstimulus intervals. Data shown represent the mean±standard error of mean. *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001; paired Student’s t test for DMSO vs. propofol.
Propofol Affects CS Area at CF-PC Synapse

Another distinct excitatory pathway involving CF innervates PC (Fig. 1). This pathway provides powerful input that release glutamate at the proximal portion of the dendritic tree of PC. CF activation elicits a unique response, known as the complex spike (CF-CS) consisting of a fast Na$^+$ spike followed by several secondary spikelets and an afterhyperpolarization (AHP; Fig. 4A). The first Na$^+$ spike amplitudes were 59.4±2.56 mV before DMSO and 58.0±2.47 mV after DMSO administration (n=4). Propofol at 50 μM did not affect the Na$^+$ spike amplitudes (58.3±8.35 mV/57.2±7.40 mV; pre-/post-propofol; n=4). In analysis in baseline area of CS (Fig. 4D), DMSO administration did not make a difference in CS area, measured from the onset of the event until the start of the AHP (n=4). However, 50 μM propofol significantly increased in CS area by 120% of the value before propofol administration (Fig. 4D, n=4). These findings suggest that propofol administration could alter CF-PC synaptic transmission.

DISCUSSION

Motor dysfunctions are often associated with cerebellar dysfunction. The present electrophysiological study was undertaken to describe changes of synaptic response in cerebellar PCs induced by propofol. The results reported here show that the motor deficits after propofol administration may be associated with changed cerebellar circuit, including input-output relationships and decay time of EPSC at PF-PC synapses and CS area at CF-PC synapses.

Propofol increased decay time regardless of enhancing amplitude of PF-EPSC (Table 1). PF-EPSC is an α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptor-mediated EPSC, because cerebellar PCs are devoid of NMDA receptors. Recent studies reported strong immunoreactivity of GluR1/AMPA receptor subunit in P14 and P21 Wistar rat cerebellar cortex, and described
that propofol slowed the channel kinetics of the AMPA receptor by elevating phosphorylation in GluR1.16-18

We hypothesize that the slowing in decay time of PF-EPSC could be related to cerebellar dysfunction caused by propofol. Slowing of the EPSC decay may contribute to slower rising EPSPs and increased duration of the EPSP plateau, and should also worsen the temporal precision of spike generation, which will block fine motor control in the cerebellar circuits.19-21

One of the proper functions of an anesthetic is immobility. In humans, immobility is defined as suppression of movement in response to surgical stimulation.22 Recent reviews have suggested that one mechanism cannot totally account for anesthetic-induced immobility and that another; nonspecific mechanism could induce suppression of movement.23 In this study, propofol administered at relatively low concentrations (25 to 100 μM) did not affect the peak amplitude of PF-EPSCs. In contrast, at concentrations of 250 μM, the amplitudes of PF-EPSCs were strongly increased compared with those recorded under control conditions. This result suggests a possible mechanism by which propofol produces immobility. One possibility is that increased amplitude of PF-EPSCs depresses the excitability of deep cerebellar nuclei with inhibitory signal and leads to suppressed movement by acting on the higher center of the cerebellum, rather than on the spinal cord, which is the site of anesthetic action.24,25 Since PCs are the sole output of the computational circuitry of the cerebellar cortex and principally project GABAergic signals to the deep cerebellar nuclei, which projects to motor centers, augmentation in the strengths of PF-PC synapses could block appropriate motor outputs.7,8,26

The decreased PPF ratio observed in this study suggests that the increased amplitude of PF-EPSCs by propofol may be related to the fact that an increment change in presynaptic calcium entry augments neurotransmitter release at the PF-PC synapses.13 It is also possible that some effects other than calcium entry resulting from the propofol administration could contribute to the observed changes in cerebellar synaptic transmission.

The concentrations of propofol in this study were higher than a clinically-relevant range. This discrepancy might originate from the differences between physiological conditions in the brain and various brain slice recording systems. Compared to other cellular preparation, the relatively higher concentrations of propofol are required to produce effects in brain slice preparation.17,27,28

At present, despite many plausible candidates, there is neither single channels gated by ligand/voltage to explain the immobility induced by general anesthetics, nor any combination of effects to explain immobilization.23 There has been a great deal of attention regarding the inhibitory GABA A receptor as the site of action of general anesthetics.29 On the other hand, the glutamate receptor, which is the cardinal class of excitatory neurotransmitter-gated receptor channels, have received relatively less attention as to whether they are a molecular target for anesthetics in the central nervous system.30 Although propofol enhances GABAergic synaptic transmission, the changes of the glutamatergic synaptic transmissions by propofol shown in the current study indicate that immobility and motor dysfunction induced by propofol could not be entirely mediated by activation of the GABAergic system.2

In drug interaction, additivity has a common site of action, and synergy has different sites of action.22 The anesthetic interaction of between propofol and midazolam—two drugs that act on GABA A receptors—might be expected to be additive. However, a synergistic interaction that produces immobility has been described.30 Moreover, while propofol produces immobility, midazolam alone cannot suppress movement to noxious stimulation.31,32 These reports indicate that GABA A receptor does not seem to be sufficient to explain immobility and that propofol may have another site of action to suppress movement besides the GABAergic system.23 Thus, we suggest that enhanced PF-EPSCs change the glutamatergic synaptic transmission in cerebellar circuit, and could be a mechanism of immobility, at least in propofol anesthesia.

Although the physiological relevance of changes in PC firing or synaptic response triggered by the CS and the channels that mediate the CS are not fully understood, it has been shown that CSs play a central role in the induction of long-term depression (LTD) at PF-PC synapses, in which motor adaptation and learning allow appropriate motor activity to occur.6,13 Assuming that the first spike of the CS is generated by voltage-dependent Na + channels, and the secondary spikelets superimposed on slow depolarization plateau are mediated by nonactivating and resurgent Na + currents, voltage dependent Ca 2+ channels, Kv3 K + channels, and small-conductance Ca 2+ activated K + channels are candidate mediators of the AHP.14,15,34 We previously reported that 50 μM propofol
induce LTD impairment\(^{35}\) and presently show that 50 \(\mu M\) propofol changes the CS area of the slow phase without affecting the fast Na\(^+\) spike and AHP of CS. The findings are in general agreement with the idea that alterations in CF-CSs could contribute to the motor dysfunction.\(^{11,36}\) Future studies should determine which current is responsible to slow CS components and the precise propofol targets.

Collectively, our findings suggest that the motor deficits effects of propofol are, at least partly a consequence of cerebellar function alterations, and that propofol exposure could adversely affect PF-PC and CF-PC synaptic transmissions in the cerebellar cortex, as well as a number of complex brain functions.
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