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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study is to investigate the alterations of thalamic nuclei volumes and the intrinsic thalamic network in patients with migraine.

Methods: We enrolled 35 patients with migraine without aura and 40 healthy controls. All subjects underwent three-dimensional T1-weighted imaging. The thalamic nuclei were segmented using the FreeSurfer program. We investigated volume changes of individual thalamic nuclei and analyzed the alterations of the intrinsic thalamic network based on volumes in the patients with migraine.

Results: Right and left thalamic volumes as a whole were not different between the patients with migraine and healthy controls. However, we found that right anteroventral and right and left medial geniculate nuclei volumes were significantly increased (0.00985% vs. 0.00864%, p = 0.0002; 0.00929% vs. 0.00823%, p = 0.0005; 0.00939% vs. 0.00769%, p < 0.0001; respectively) whereas right and left parafascicular nuclei volumes were decreased in the patients with migraine (0.00359% vs. 0.00435%, p < 0.0001; 0.00360% vs. 0.00438%, p < 0.0001; respectively) compared with healthy controls. The network measures of the intrinsic thalamic network were not different between the groups.

Conclusions: We found significant alterations of thalamic nuclei volumes in patients with migraine compared with healthy controls. These findings might contribute to the underlying pathogenesis of the migraine.
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Background

Migraine is a common disabling headache disorder, affecting between 10% and 20% of the population worldwide, [1] and is characterized by recurrent headaches of moderate to severe intensity, pulsating quality, and unilateral location. Headaches are aggravated by routine physical activity and are associated with nausea, photophobia, and/or phonophobia [2].

In recent years, neuroimaging technology has provided more convenient methods for better understanding the pathogenesis of neurological disorders. Several brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies have identified structural and functional changes in patients with migraine and have suggested that the alterations of these changes may be associated with the pathophysiology of migraine [3–7]. These changes have been demonstrated in patients with migraine in different phases of the disease [8]. In the prodrome period, hypothalamus, pons, spinal trigeminal nuclei and visual cortex may be involved, and visual cortex is associated with the aura period. In addition, various regions including thalamus as well as cingulate cortex, cerebellum, periaqueductal gray, hypothalamus, pons, spinal trigeminal nucleus, visual cortex, middle frontal cortex, somatosensory and temporoparietal cortex can be related with ictal phase of the migraine [8].

In the pathogenesis of migraine, the role of the thalamus has been considered as the relay center for ascending nociceptive information, via the trigemino-vascular pain pathway, from lower brain areas to various cortical regions [9]. The presence of multisensory symptoms during migraine attacks and the central role of the
thalamus indicate a potential involvement of the thalamus in the pathogenesis of migraine [9]. Recent neuroimaging research, with advantages of brain MRI techniques, has revealed an expanding spectrum of additional structural and functional roles of the thalamus in migraine, which could provide a better understanding of the pathophysiology of migraine. In a structural study, there were no changes in the overall volume of the thalamus in patients with migraine compared with healthy controls [6, 7]. However, in another study with 37 migraineurs, T1 relaxation time was significantly shorter in the thalamus of migraineurs compared with healthy controls [3]. In addition, the magnetization transfer ratio was higher and the T2* relaxation time was shorter in migraineurs with aura [3]. These data reveal broad microstructural alterations in the thalamus of migraineurs compared healthy controls, suggesting increased iron deposition and myelin content/cellularity. In a functional study, 17 patients with migraine underwent resting-state functional MRI scan during migraine attacks [4]. The authors found increased functional connectivity between the right thalamus and several contralateral brain regions, such as superior parietal lobe, insular cortex, primary motor cortex, supplementary motor area, and orbitofrontal cortex, whereas decreased functional connectivity was noted between the right thalamus and ipsilateral brain areas, including the primary somatosensory cortex and premotor cortex [4]. The study suggested that network connectivity between the thalamus and the pain-modulating as well as pain-encoding cortical areas were affected during migraine attacks [4]. Another study assessed the local levels of glutamate/glutamine and gamma-aminobutyric acid in the thalamus in patients with migraine and healthy controls using proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy [5]. That study revealed significantly increased glutamate levels in the thalamus, suggesting increased regional excitability [5]. All of these studies commonly demonstrate the pivotal role of the thalamus in the pathogenesis of migraine.

The aim of this study was to investigate the alterations of thalamic nuclei volumes and the intrinsic thalamic network in patients with migraine compared with healthy controls. We hypothesized that there would be significant alterations of thalamic nuclei volumes or network, which could be related to the pathogenesis of migraine.

Methods
Subjects
This was a cross-sectional study conducted in a tertiary hospital. This study was approved by our hospital’s institutional review board. We prospectively enrolled the subjects according to the following criteria: [1] patients had visited the neurology department of our hospital between August 2018 and July 2019, [2] patients were newly diagnosed with migraine without aura based on the International Classification of Headache Disorders, [10] who had no preventive medications for migraine, [3] patients had normal brain MRI on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery and T2-weighted imaging with visual inspection, and [4] patients had no history of medical, neurological, or psychiatric disease.

The control group included 40 age- and sex-matched healthy subjects. All healthy control subjects had normal neurological findings and no history of medical, neurological, or psychiatric disease, including any types of headache. All had a normal MRI on visual inspection.

Brain MRI
All MRI scans were performed using a 3.0 T MRI scanner (AchievaTx, Phillips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands) equipped with a 32-channel head coil. All patients were interictal state of headache at the time of MRI scan. All subjects, including patients with migraine and healthy controls, underwent contiguous three-dimensional volumetric T1-weighted imaging with a high sagittal resolution appropriate for the analysis of structural volume. The three-dimensional T1-weighted images were obtained using a turbo-field echo sequence with the following parameters: TI = 1300 ms, TR/TE = 8.6/3.96 ms, flip angle = 8°, and 1 mm³ isotropic voxel size.

Analysis of thalamic nuclei volumes
Volumetric analysis was performed using the “recon-all” function in the FreeSurfer program [http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/]. This step included several steps of imaging processes, including normalization of signal intensity, skull stripping to separate areas of the skull in the normalized space, and automatic segmentation. Then, individual thalamic nuclei were segmented. We obtained the absolute individual thalamic nuclei volumes from these automated methods, which is a Bayesian segmentation method based on a probabilistic atlas derived from histology (Fig. 1) [11]. Next, the volumetric measures were calculated using the following equation: the structural volumes (%) = (absolute structural volumes/total intracranial volumes) × 100.

Analysis of intrinsic thalamic network
We performed a volume-based analysis of the intrinsic thalamic network using Brain Analysis using Graph Theory (BRAPH; [http://braph.org]) [12]. They were built for each group as a collection of nodes representing brain regions (individual thalamic nucleus volumes) connected by edges corresponding to the connections between them. In the intrinsic thalamic network analysis, we used
the volumes of 50 individual thalamic nuclei, including right and left anteroventral nuclei in the anterior group; right and left laterodorsal and lateral posterior nuclei in the lateral group; right and left ventral anterior, ventral anterior magnocellular, ventral lateral anterior, ventral lateral posterior, ventromedial, and ventral posterolateral nuclei in the ventral group; right and left central medial, central lateral, paracentral, centromedian, and parafascicular nuclei in the intralaminar group; right and left paratenial, medial ventral, mediodorsal medial magnocellular, and mediodorsal lateral parvocellular nuclei in the medial group; and right and left geniculate, medial geniculate, suprageniculate, pulvinar anterior, pulvinar inferior, pulvinar lateral, and pulvinar medial nuclei in the posterior group. The edges were calculated as the partial correlation coefficients between every pair of brain regions while controlling for the effects of age and sex. For each group, a structurally weighted connectivity matrix was built. To detect differences between groups in the intrinsic thalamic network topology based on graph theoretical analysis, we calculated the average strength, characteristic path length, global efficiency, local efficiency, clustering coefficient, modularity, assortativity, and small-worldness index [12]. We investigated the alterations of these network measures in the patients with migraine compared with healthy controls.

**Statistical analysis**
Comparisons of the factors were analyzed using the chi-squared test for categorical variables and the Student’s t-test for continuous variables. In the comparison of the network measures, we tested the statistical significance of the differences using nonparametric permutation tests with 1000 permutations. We quantified correlations between thalamic nuclei volumes and clinical variables, such as disease duration, attack frequency, headache intensity (visual analog scale) using Pearson’s correlation test. Categorical variables were presented as the frequency and percentage, whereas continuous variables were presented as the mean value ± standard deviation. A two-sided p-value less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance for all analysis. However, in the analysis of the volume differences in the thalamic nucleus between the two groups, we set the significant p-value as 0.001 (0.05/50 = 0.001, Bonferroni corrections). When it comes to intrinsic thalamic network analysis, a p-value of 0.006 (0.05/8 = 0.006, Bonferroni corrections) was considered as significant. In terms of correlation analysis, we set the significant p-value as 0.001 with Bonferroni corrections. All statistical tests were performed using MedCalc® (MedCalc Software version 19, Ostend, Belgium; https://www.medcalc.org; 2019).

**Results**

**Clinical characteristics of the subjects**
Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of patients with migraine and healthy subjects. The mean age of the patients with migraine was 37.9 years. More than two-thirds of the patients with migraine were female. Patient age and male-to-female ratio were not different between the patients with migraine and healthy subjects.

**Thalamic nuclei volumes**
Table 2 reveals the volume of the thalamus as a whole and of the individual thalamic nucleus. Right and left thalamic volumes as a whole were not different between the patients with migraine and healthy controls. However, when it comes to the individual thalamic nucleus, there were significant differences between the patients with migraine and healthy controls (Fig. 2). The right anteroventral and right and left medial geniculate nuclei.
Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the patients with migraine and healthy controls

|                      | Patients with migraine (n = 35) | Healthy controls (n = 40) | p-value |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age, years           | 37.9 ± 10.7                     | 35.4 ± 6.8               | 0.2241  |
| Male, n (%)          | 9 (25.7)                        | 9 (22.5)                 | 0.7467  |
| Disease duration     | 9.2 ± 7.8                       |                          |         |
| Attack frequency per month, n | 3.8 ± 3.7                      |                          |         |
| Headache intensity, visual analog scale | 6.9 ± 1.5                       |                          |         |

volumes were significantly increased (0.00985% vs. 0.00864%, \( p = 0.0002 \); 0.00929% vs. 0.00823%, \( p = 0.0005 \); 0.00939% vs. 0.00769%, \( p < 0.0001 \); respectively), whereas the right and left parafascicular nuclei volumes were decreased in the patients with migraine compared with healthy controls (0.00359% vs. 0.00435%, \( p < 0.0001 \); 0.00360% vs. 0.00438%, \( p < 0.0001 \); respectively), even after multiple corrections.

Intrinsic thalamic network

Table 3 displays the intrinsic thalamic network in the patients with migraine and healthy controls. The network measures, such as average strength, characteristic path length, global efficiency, local efficiency, clustering coefficient, modularity, assortativity, and small-worldness index, in the patients with migraine were not different from those in the healthy controls, which suggested no alterations of the intrinsic thalamic network in the patients with migraine.

Correlation analysis

Some measures of the thalamic nuclei volumes were correlated with clinical variables. The disease duration was negatively correlated with left medial geniculate nucleus (\( r = -0.459, p = 0.024 \)), and visual analog scale was also negatively correlated with right lateral geniculate, right lateral posterior, and right pulvinar inferior nucleus (\( r = -0.343, p = 0.043 \); \( r = -0.343, p = 0.043 \); \( r = -0.434, p = 0.091 \); respectively). However, after multiple corrections, there were no significant correlations between them.

Discussion

The main finding of this study was that anteroventral and medial geniculate nucleus volumes were significantly increased with decreased volumes of parafascicular nuclei in the patients with migraine without aura compared with healthy controls, despite the fact that thalamic volumes as a whole were not changed. However, the intrinsic thalamic network was not different between them.

Only one study had investigated thalamic nucleus volumes in the patients with migraine [13]. They found significant volume reductions in central nuclear complex, anterior nucleus, and lateral dorsal nucleus. Because the central nuclear complex belongs to the intralaminar nuclei and includes the central medical and the parafascicular nuclei, the results of the previous study was partially in agreement with our study [13]. However, the previous study had several limitations compared to our study. Their patients were a heterogeneous group. They pooled data of patients with migraine with and without aura. In addition, the patients were enrolled in the four centers, which had different scanners with large variability in coil and gradient properties between them. In addition, they segmented the thalamus into 10 thalamic nuclei, only. We investigated the alterations of 25 individual thalamic nucleus volumes, and firstly analyzed the intrinsic thalamic network in patients with migraine.

The anteroventral nucleus receives dense limbic-related projections from the mammillary nuclei via the mammillo-thalamic tract and the medial temporal lobe via the fornix [14]. The output of this nucleus is primarily directed to the cingulate gyrus through the anterior limb of the internal capsule [14]. Thus, the anteroventral nucleus is an important synaptic station in the Papez circuit, which is related to emotion and memory acquisition [14]. We found that the volume of the anteroventral nucleus was increased in patients with migraine. Migraine is related to highly specific conditioning or sensitization to pain-related stimuli [15]. Sensitization refers to the process in which neurons become increasingly sensitive to nociceptive or non-nociceptive stimulation with decreased response thresholds [15]. In the patients with migraine, not only nociceptive pain but also emotional words or emotional negative affect may act as migraine triggers [16]. Emotional stress is one of the most common triggers of acute migraine attack, attributed to about 80% of attacks [17]. In addition, patients with migraine have higher levels of perceived stress than healthy controls [18]. From these results, we can speculate that the increased volume of the anteroventral nucleus may produce a sensitization to emotional stress in patients with migraine.

The medial geniculate nucleus is considered to be part of the lateral thalamic nuclear group. The medial geniculate nucleus receives ascending auditory input via the brachium of the inferior colliculus and projects to the primary auditory cortex in the temporal lobe [14]. Thus, the medial geniculate nucleus is thought to be primarily responsible for auditory perception. Patients with migraine often report an
Table 2 Differences in the individual thalamic nuclei volumes between patients with migraine and healthy controls

| Thalamic nucleus                  | Patients with migraine | Healthy controls | p-value |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------|
|                                  | Mean, %                | SD, %            | Mean, % | SD, % |         |
| Lt. whole thalamus              | 0.47280                | 0.04550          | 0.45970 | 0.06109 | 0.2997 |
| Rt. whole thalamus              | 0.45230                | 0.04183          | 0.43560 | 0.05335 | 0.1406 |
| **Anterior group**              |                        |                  |         |       |         |
| Lt. anteroventral               | 0.00922                | 0.00116          | 0.00834 | 0.00163 | 0.0098 |
| Rt. anteroventral               | 0.00985                | 0.00126          | 0.00864 | 0.00135 | *0.0002 |
| **Lateral group**               |                        |                  |         |       |         |
| Lt. laterodorsal                | 0.00204                | 0.00053          | 0.00169 | 0.00050 | 0.0040 |
| Rt. laterodorsal                | 0.00201                | 0.00046          | 0.00165 | 0.00064 | 0.0069 |
| Lt. lateral posterior           | 0.00912                | 0.00119          | 0.00818 | 0.00134 | 0.0021 |
| Rt. lateral posterior           | 0.00874                | 0.00105          | 0.00790 | 0.00151 | 0.0076 |
| **Ventral group**               |                        |                  |         |       |         |
| Lt. ventral anterior            | 0.02742                | 0.00261          | 0.02588 | 0.00380 | 0.0470 |
| Rt. ventral anterior            | 0.02692                | 0.00236          | 0.02557 | 0.00319 | 0.0425 |
| Lt. ventral anterior magnocellular | 0.00237             | 0.00026          | 0.00219 | 0.00034 | 0.0117 |
| Rt. ventral anterior magnocellular | 0.00238             | 0.00023          | 0.00222 | 0.00033 | 0.0242 |
| Lt. ventral lateral anterior    | 0.04659                | 0.00513          | 0.04492 | 0.00627 | 0.2156 |
| Rt. ventral lateral anterior    | 0.04439                | 0.00403          | 0.04412 | 0.00557 | 0.8104 |
| Lt. ventral lateral posterior   | 0.06329                | 0.00748          | 0.06184 | 0.00873 | 0.4459 |
| Rt. ventral lateral posterior   | 0.05991                | 0.00595          | 0.05942 | 0.00811 | 0.7722 |
| Lt. ventral posterolateral      | 0.07074                | 0.00809          | 0.06968 | 0.01077 | 0.6367 |
| Rt. ventral posterolateral      | 0.06738                | 0.00743          | 0.06448 | 0.00992 | 0.1610 |
| Lt. ventromedial                | 0.00161                | 0.00018          | 0.00163 | 0.00026 | 0.6798 |
| Rt. ventromedial                | 0.00164                | 0.00019          | 0.00166 | 0.00028 | 0.7851 |
| **Intralaminar group**          |                        |                  |         |       |         |
| Lt. central medial              | 0.00461                | 0.00049          | 0.00333 | 0.00076 | 0.0641 |
| Rt. central medial              | 0.00474                | 0.00056          | 0.00441 | 0.00069 | 0.0306 |
| Lt. central lateral             | 0.00253                | 0.00057          | 0.00227 | 0.00056 | 0.0554 |
| Rt. central lateral             | 0.00266                | 0.00058          | 0.00231 | 0.00059 | 0.0128 |
| Lt. paracentral                 | 0.00025                | 0.00003          | 0.00025 | 0.00005 | 0.8959 |
| Rt. paracentral                 | 0.00025                | 0.00003          | 0.00024 | 0.00004 | 0.7118 |
| Lt. centromedian                | 0.01752                | 0.00187          | 0.01754 | 0.00257 | 0.9710 |
| Rt. centromedian                | 0.01722                | 0.00223          | 0.01743 | 0.00238 | 0.6950 |
| Lt. parafascicular               | 0.00360                | 0.00056          | 0.00438 | 0.00067 | *< 0.0001 |
| Rt. parafascicular               | 0.00359                | 0.00069          | 0.00435 | 0.00057 | *< 0.0001 |
| **Medial group**                |                        |                  |         |       |         |
| Lt. paratenial                  | 0.00051                | 0.00006          | 0.00048 | 0.00007 | 0.0619 |
| Rt. paratenial                  | 0.00048                | 0.00006          | 0.00045 | 0.00007 | 0.0501 |
| Lt. medialis ventral            | 0.00084                | 0.00011          | 0.00076 | 0.00013 | 0.0021 |
| Rt. medialis ventral            | 0.00082                | 0.00012          | 0.00072 | 0.00014 | 0.0018 |
| Lt. mediodorsal medial magnocellular | 0.05298            | 0.00633          | 0.04999 | 0.00760 | 0.0703 |
| Rt. mediodorsal medial magnocellular | 0.05160             | 0.00573          | 0.04793 | 0.00681 | 0.0146 |
| Lt. mediodorsal lateral parvocellular | 0.01831            | 0.00241          | 0.01737 | 0.00263 | 0.1143 |
| Rt. mediodorsal lateral parvocellular | 0.01827            | 0.00238          | 0.01675 | 0.00232 | 0.0066 |
| **Posterior group**             |                        |                  |         |       |         |
| Lt. lateral geniculate          | 0.01474                | 0.00213          | 0.01342 | 0.00218 | 0.0099 |
| Rt. lateral geniculate          | 0.01419                | 0.00197          | 0.01330 | 0.00151 | 0.0304 |
| Lt. medial geniculate           | 0.00939                | 0.00116          | 0.00769 | 0.00133 | *< 0.0001 |
aversion to various sensory stimuli during an acute attack, such as sound (phonophobia) [19]. Phonophobia can be defined as aversion to normally nonaversive sounds. This symptoms are reported in 70% to 80% of patients with migraine during an acute attack [20]. It is a plausible explanation that increased volumes of the medial geniculate nucleus might be related to phonophobia in patients with migraine. An interesting report using positron emission tomography examined the changes in regional cerebral blood flow as an index of neuronal activity in the human brain during migraine attacks [21]. During the attacks, increased blood flow was found in the auditory association cortex [21].

The parafascicular nucleus is one of the intralaminar nuclei, which are characterized by their projections to the neostriatum and to other thalamic nuclei, along with diffuse projections to the cerebral cortex [14]. Thalamic regions have been traditionally proposed to support pain processing and arousal [22, 23]. We found that patients with migraine had significantly decreased parafascicular nucleus volumes. In an animal study on familial hemiplegic migraine (FHM) that examined the effects of an FHM-1 mutation in the central trigeminal nociceptive

| Thalamic Nuclei                      | Patients with migraine | Healthy controls |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Rt. medial geniculate              | 0.00929                | 0.000132        |
| Lt. suprageniculate                | 0.00095                | 0.00020         |
| Rt. suprageniculate                | 0.00098                | 0.00017         |
| Lt. pulvinar anterior              | 0.01548                | 0.00182         |
| Rt. pulvinar anterior              | 0.01442                | 0.00155         |
| Lt. pulvinar medial                | 0.07370                | 0.00823         |
| Rt. pulvinar medial                | 0.06754                | 0.00801         |
| Lt. pulvinar lateral               | 0.01131                | 0.00149         |
| Rt. pulvinar lateral               | 0.01009                | 0.00127         |
| Lt. pulvinar inferior              | 0.01369                | 0.00178         |
| Rt. pulvinar inferior              | 0.01294                | 0.00187         |

SD: standard deviation, Lt: left, Rt: right
*p < 0.001
pathway, transgenic mice expressing the FHM-1 mutation had more activation in the intralaminar nuclei following nociceptive trigemino-vascular stimulation when compared with wild-type animals [24]. FHM is a subtype of migraine characterized by hemiplegic aura, and two-thirds of patients with FHM also experience typical migraine attacks [25]. We can assume that alterations of the parafascicular nucleus could be related to the pathogenesis of migraine attacks. Furthermore, the parafascicular nucleus projects to the rostral and lateral areas of the frontal lobe but is more closely related with frontal lobe [14]. A previous meta-analysis on changes in gray matter in patients with migraine showed that the migraineurs had decreases in gray matter volume mainly in the frontal lobe, such as the inferior frontal gyrus, precentral gyrus, and middle frontal gyrus [26]. This result is in agreement with the findings of our present study.

We also investigated the intrinsic thalamic network based on individual thalamic nuclei volumes and found no alterations of the thalamic network in the patients with migraine compared with healthy controls. Structural connectivity refers to anatomical connections linking a set of neural elements [27]. There is a lot of evidence on the abnormal thalamo-cortical network in patients with migraine using functional MRI data [28] and diffusion tensor imaging study [29]. However, we focused on the intrinsic thalamic network and demonstrated its well-preserved status in patients with migraine despite alterations in the volume of individual thalamic nuclei.

The strength our study was that we enrolled only newly diagnosed patients with migraine and included migraine without aura to increase the homogeneity of subjects group. In addition, this is the first migraine research study to investigate the various thalamic nuclei volumes and focus on alterations of the thalamic nuclei volume and network compared with healthy controls.

However, this study has several limitations. First, this study used a cross-sectional design. This design makes it difficult to discover the causal relationship between structural changes and clinical features and the role of the thalamus in the pathophysiology of the disorder. Longitudinal studies with larger sample sizes are needed to confirm our results. Second, we used only the FreeSurfer program based on the T1-weighed images for the segmentation of the thalamic nuclei. It was suggested that a multimodal imaging strategy (T1- and T2-weighted images as well as diffusion tensor images) could improve the accuracy of thalamic segmentation [30]. However, among the most sophisticated programs of MRI analysis currently available, the FreeSurfer program represents a set of automated tools most widely used to reconstruct the brain’s structures. FreeSurfer usually offers a higher and more robust reproducibility compared with other neuroimaging analysis techniques [31]. In addition, a previous study using MRI-based thalamic nuclei volume analysis like our study demonstrated a good agreement with previous histological studies and showed an excellent test-retest reliability [32]. Third, a recent systemic review of previous functional connectivity studies in migraine showed a poor level of reproducibility and no migraine-specific pattern in functional network [33]. Migraine is a heterogeneous disorder, which might cause variation in results between studies. In addition, no sample size or power calculation guidelines are available for functional connectivity studies. It would be needed to consider multicenter studies to allow for better and more reproducible studies [33]. However, we investigated the structural connectivity based on thalamic nuclei volumes, which could be a more stable method than functional connectivity study.

**Conclusion**

We found significant alterations of thalamic nuclei volumes in patients with migraine without aura compared with healthy controls, especially in the anteroventral, medial geniculate, and parafascicular nuclei. These findings might contribute to the underlying pathogenesis of the migraine.
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