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Abstract
Wheat is one of the most important food security crops that cultivated from small to large scale farms in Ethiopia. However, its productivity has been limited due to various biotic and biotic factors including weeds. The aim of the study was to investigate the effects of post emergence herbicides application on weed management and productivity of wheat. Four types of herbicides 2,4-D 1lt ha⁻¹, Pyroxulam 0.5lt ha⁻¹, Florasulam 100ml ha⁻¹ and Florasulam+ Amirpyrold 33gm ha⁻¹ along with control a weedy check were laid out in Randomized Completely Block Design with three replications. A total of identified 12 weed species were recorded 86 % broad and 14 % grass weeds. Relative weed density also indicated that the field was highly infested by Galinsoga pulviflora Cav. but the lowest infestation of Guizotia scabra(Vis) Chiov was recorded in the field. Results also revealed that significant effects of post emergence herbicides application for all the traits studied except number of seeds per spike. The minimum dry biomass weeds (88.33 kg ha⁻¹) and the highest weed control efficiency (78.60%) were recorded from the Pyroxulam. The highest number of productive tillers (133.33 m⁻²), stand count(414.33 m⁻²), seeds per spike (76.48), thousand grain weight (42.60g), grain yield (4346.58 kgha⁻¹) and biological yield (12100kg ha⁻¹) were recorded from Pyroxulam while the lowest values were observed from the weedy check. Therefore, it can be concluded that Pyroxulam effectively managed weeds and gave maximum yield which could be recommended for the test environment. Since the experiment was conducted in one location and for single season, it should be repeated over seasons or multi locations for best recommendation.

Keywords: application, effect, herbicides, maximum, Pyroxulam

DOI: 10.7176/JEES/11-3-05
Publication date:March 31st 2021

INTRODUCTION
Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) belongs to the family Poaceae and the genus Triticum. The global annual wheat production is 731.6 metric tons from an area of 215.87 million hectares giving an average yield of 3.39 metric tons ha⁻¹(USDA,2019).In Ethiopia, it is one of the major staple and strategic food security crop with an average annual production and productivity of 4.64 MT and 2.73 ton s ha⁻¹ respectively (CSA,2018).Wheat has great nutritional value and contains starch (60-90%),protein (11-16.5%),fat (1.5-2%),inorganic ions(1.2-2%) and vitamins (Ali et al., 2014). Bread wheat is known to be a major source of energy and protein. Traditionally the crop is used for making Dabo, Dabokolo, Genfo, Kinche and other types of foods. The straw is good source for animal feed and is also used for hatching roofs (Mathewos et al., 2012).

Although wheat has a great nutritional and economic importance, its productivity has been constrained due to various biotic and abiotic factors(Haidar et al.,2014).Among the biotic factors weeds are one of the major constraints in wheat production as they reduce productivity due to competition, allelopathy and by providing habitats for pathogens as well as serving as alternate host for various insects, fungi and increase harvest cost (Abbas et al.,2009).Studies indicated that crop losses due to weed competition throughout the world are greater than those resulting from combined effects of insect pests and diseases (Amare et al.,2014).The yield loss caused by weed infestations in wheat ranges from 10- 65% depending up on the weed species, their density and environmental factors(Gezu and Soboka,2001;Oerke and Dehne,2004).

The weed controlling methods utilized so far are laborious, tiresome and expensive due to increasing cost of labor, draft animals and farm implements. To date, the use chemical weed control has becoming popular worldwide and in Ethiopia in particular mainly due to scarcity or expensive labor during peak of growing season and relatively higher weeding cost (Marwat et al.,2008).However, the choice of most appropriate herbicide, proper time of application and proper dose is an important consideration for lucrative returns(Khalil et al.,2008).In addition, continuous use of a single herbicide or herbicides of the same family or mechanism of action eventually resulted in weed resistance, herbicide persistence as well as the buildup of toxic residues in food chain, toxic effects to humans and animals (Qasem,2009).

Previous studies showed application of herbicides decreased dry weight of weeds significantly compared to dry weight in non-treated plots and increased yield components and grain yield (Akhtar et al., 1991; Ahmed et al., 1993; Bibi, et al., 2008). However, information of broad spectrum herbicides on weed control and productivity of wheat remains limited in Ethiopia. The absence of this information has prevented better understanding of the efficacy of different herbicides for various weed flora and identification appropriate herbicides in wheat. Hence,
addressing issues of weed control in wheat is indispensable. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of post emergence herbicides application on weed management and productivity of wheat.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the study area

Field experiment was conducted during the 2018/19 main cropping season under rain fed conditions at Holeta Agricultural Research Center. Holeta is located 33 km west of Addis Ababa at an elevation of 2400 m a.s.l and within the geographic coordinates of 9°06’N land 38°33’E. The area receives a mean annual rain fall of 1144 mm with mean minimum and maximum temperatures of 6°C and 22°C respectively (EIAR, 2018). The soil of the experimental field is clay loam i with pH of 6.65, organic carbon (2.26%), available Phosphorus (14.17 mg kg⁻¹) soil, total nitrogen (0.12%) and cation exchange capacity (17 Cmol kg⁻¹) (EIAR, 2018). The edaphic and climatic conditions observed during the trial period were favorable for the exuberant growth of numerous weed species that competed with the crop plants.

Treatments and experimental design

The treatments included four types post emergence herbicides (Agro 2,4-D amine 720g/L (1L ha⁻¹), Pallas 45 OD (0.5L ha⁻¹), Derby 175 SC (100ml ha⁻¹), Lancolet 450 WG (33 g ha⁻¹)) plus weedy check. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications.

Experimental procedure and crop management

The field was ploughed twice with tractor followed by harrowing to make fine seed bed. A 4 m x 3 m (12 m²) gross plot size was used as the experimental unit accommodating 15 rows of each 4 m length. Well popularized wheat variety ‘Dendea’ 200 kg ha⁻¹ was used as a test crop. Seeds were drill planted in rows at 20 cm spacing between rows. The experimental area was fertilized with the recommended rate of 55 kg ha⁻¹ of N and 182 kg ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅ that were applied in the form of Urea (46% N) and DAP (18% N, 46% P₂O₅), respectively. Nitrogen fertilizer was applied at two doses (split application) i.e. 2/3 of it was applied at time of sowing by mixing with full dose of Nitrogen and the remaining 1/3 was applied at tillering stage. Herbicides were applied at post emergence stage (30 DAE) with the help of knapsack sprayer nozzle size of 350um while the volume of water was 200L/ha⁻¹ pressurized at 40 psi. All other management practices were uniformly applied to all plots as per the recommended practices.

Data collection

Data regarding the kind of weed species and their densities were determined at 25 days after sowing i.e., before the application of herbicides) from four 0.25 m x 0.25 m quadrats randomly placed in each plot. After the weed flora was categorized as grasses and broad leaf weeds, identification was done using a weed identification guide for Ethiopia (Stroud and Parker, 1989). The aboveground dry weed biomass harvested from each quadrat placed into paper bags separately and sun dried before oven drying at a 65°C for 48 hours and subsequently the dry weights were measured. Weed control efficiency (WCE) was determined by the formula, 

\[ WCE \% = \frac{W_{DC} - W_{WP}}{W_{DC}} \times 100 \]

where, WCE=Weed Control Efficiency, WDC=Weed Dry weight in Control Plot and DWP=Weed Dry weight in Particular treatment (Davasenapathy et al., 2008). Plant height was taken with a meter from 4 randomly taken and pre-tagged plants in each net plot area from the plant base to the tip of the spike excluding of awns at physiological maturity and the average was used for the analysis.

Spike length was taken with a ruler from 4 randomly taken and pre-tagged plants in each net plot area from the base of the spike to the tip of the spike excluding of awns at physiological maturity and the average was used for the analysis. Number of fertile tillers was counted from five rows with the length of 1 m randomly taken in each net plot area and was converted into m² at harvest. Number of seeds per spike was determined from randomly taken 4 spikes per plot. Thousand grain weights were measured from the bulk of threshed produce from the net plot area and their weight recorded. Biological yield was determined by taking the total weight of the harvest from each net plot area after sun dried the whole aboveground biomass. Grain yield was measured after threshing the sun dried plants harvested from each net plot and the yield was adjusted at 12.5% grain moisture content (Amare et al., 2014). Harvest index was calculated by the formula, 

\[ HI\% = \frac{\text{Total above ground dry biomass}}{\text{Grain Yield}} \times 100 \]

Yield loss was also calculated by

\[ YL\% = \frac{\text{MGYPT} - \text{MGPT}}{\text{MGYPT}} \times 100 \]

where, YL% = yield loss, MGYPT=maximum grain yield of particular treatment and GYPT=grain yield of particular treatment.
Statistical analysis
After checking the assumptions of ANOVA using descriptive and analytical statistics, data were subjected to analysis of variance using the GLM procedure of SAS (SAS 9.3 version). When the treatment effects were significant, means were compared using Fisher’s LSD test at 5% level of significance (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Composition of weed flora and density in the experimental field
The weed community comprised of both broadleaf and grass weeds which were classified into eight major families. Out of total weeds species present in the experimental field 86% were annual broadleaf weeds while 14% were annual grasses weeds. The maximum relative weed density in the field was G. pulviflora (22.91%) followed by P. nepalense (18.55%) while minimum relative weed density was recorded from G. scabra (0.98%) (Table -1).

Table 1. Common names, scientific names, densities and life forms of weed species in experimental field

| Scientific names             | Families            | Weed density m⁻² before spray | Relative Weed Density (%) | Life form/category   |
|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Arthraxon prinodes L.        | Poaceae             | 11.03                        | 5.35                      | Annual (grass)      |
| Setaria pumila L.            | Poaceae             | 15.12                        | 7.34                      | Annual (grass)      |
| Phalaris paradoxa L.         | Poaceae             | 2.69                         | 1.31                      | Annual (grass)      |
| Galinsoga pulviflora Cav.    | Compositae          | 47.18                        | 22.91                     | Annual (broad leaf) |
| Corriigola capensis Wild     | Caryophylaceae      | 24.35                        | 11.82                     | Annual (broad leaf) |
| Guizotia scabra(Vis)Chiov    | Compositae          | 2.03                         | 0.98                      | Annual (broad leaf) |
| Oxalis corniculata HBK       | Oxalidaceae         | 14.00                        | 6.79                      | Annual (broad leaf) |
| Plantago lanceoleta L.       | Plantaginaceae      | 9.51                         | 4.62                      | Annual (broad leaf) |
| Polygonum nepalense L.       | Polygonaceae        | 38.20                        | 18.55                     | Annual (broad leaf) |
| Raphanus raphanistrum L.     | Brassicaceae        | 7.50                         | 3.65                      | Annual (broad leaf) |
| Spergula arvensis L.         | Caryophylaceae      | 10.00                        | 4.86                      | Annual (broad leaf) |
| Cyanotis barbata D.Don       | Commelinaceae       | 24.35                        | 11.82                     | Annual (broad leaf) |

Dry biomass of weed
The applications of herbicides were significant on dry biomass of weeds. The highest dry biomass of weeds (411.66 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in weedy check whereas the minimum (88.33 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded due to Pyroxulam application (Table-2).

The application of herbicides 2, 4-D, Florasulam and Florasulam + Amirpyrolid revealed that statistically no significant difference. The minimum dry biomass of broad leaf weeds at Pyroxulam might be related to the activity of broad spectrum herbicides and also due to the ability of the herbicide in reducing weed density by killing both broadleaf and narrow leaf weeds by affecting the physiological process of those weeds resulted in mortality of weeds that remained in field.

This finding was similarly with Nadeem et al. (2006) and Munsif et al. (2009) who concluded that maximum weed density in weedy check can be attributed to unchecked growth, while application of herbicide caused mortality of weed resulting in lower weed density at harvest. Unavailability of nutrients in control and suppression by well-developed wheat plants resulted in similar weed density.

Table 2. Effects of herbicides on dry biomass of weed and weed control efficiency

| Treatments            | Dry weed biomass ( kg ha⁻¹) | Weed control efficiency (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2,4-D                 | 113.33b                     | 72.55c                       |
| Pyroxulam             | 88.33c                      | 78.60a                       |
| Florasulam            | 106.66bc                    | 73.76bc                      |
| Florasulam + Amirpyrolid | 95.00bc                  | 76.99ab                      |
| Weedy check           | 411.66a                     | 0.00d                        |
| LSD(0.05)             | 22.83                       | 4.32                         |
| CV (%)                | 7.44                        | 3.77                         |

Weed control efficiency
Weed control efficiency was influenced by herbicides application. The maximum weed control efficiency was recorded at Pyroxulam (78.60%) while no weed control efficiency at weedy check (Table -2).

The application 2,4-D and Florasulam showed that statistically no significant difference. Similarly, the application of Pyroxulam and Florasulam + Amirpyrolid revealed that statistically no significant difference. The higher weed control efficiency at Pyroxulam might be related to lowest dry biomass of weeds which exhibited that the ability of the herbicides killing various weeds species in wheat.

Additionally, the result also revealed that broad spectrum herbicides increased weed control efficiency due to...
to limited weed growth but at low weed control efficiency as a result of severe inter and intra specific competition and higher weed biomass. This might be related to the activity of broad spectrum of the herbicide against both broad and grassy weeds as compared to other herbicides application. These findings are similar with who reported that herbicides with broad spectrum provided better weed control efficiency than control treatment (Ashiq et al., 2007). Tana et al. (2018) reported that the high control efficiency indicated that the weed were controlled when they are young or before they accumulated more dry matter by competing with the crop plants.

**Plant height**

The application of different herbicides had significant effect on plant height. The maximum plant height was recorded from weedy check plots (109.00 cm) followed by Florasulam + Amirpyrolid (105.83cm) while the minimum plant height (101.66cm) was obtained from application of Pyroxulam (Table -3).

The application of 2,4-D, Pyroxulam and Florasulam showed that statistically no significant differences. Correspondingly, Florasulam and Florasulam + Amirpyrolid exhibited no significant differences. The tallest plants 109cm at weedy check indicated that the competition of weeds. The result clearly showed that plant attained its maximum height where the competition was severe for light between crop as well as weed but at lower competition, plant could not invest larger resources to attain its maximum height. Similar findings was reported from Bibi et al. (2008) who reported that in weedy check the wheat crop invested photosynthate in attaining the vegetative superiority by shading weeds. These findings was contradicted with the work reported by Khalil et al. (2009) who concluded that plant height is strongly under genetic control and but not affected by herbicides application. Plant height is a varietal character more affected by the genotype than by the environment. However, interaction of factors to some extent significantly altered plant height (Safdar et al., 2011).

**Number of tillers**

The numbers fertile tillers m⁻² was significantly affected by different herbicidal application. Maximum number of fertile tillers (132.33m⁻²) were recorded from application of Pyroxulam while the minimum number of fertile tillers (82.00 m⁻²) was recorded at weedy check plots (Table -3).

The application of 2,4-D and Pyroxulam caused statistically no significant difference. Moreover, the application of Florasulam and Florasulam + Amirpyrolid showed that statistically non-significant. The maximum number of fertile tillers at Pyroxulam could be related with lower dry biomass of weeds, better weed control efficiency that resulted in less inter and intra specific completion of weeds with crop plants for water, nutrients and other growth factors and also due to the optimum space for wheat plants to flourish and produce fertile tillers up to their potential but the probable reason of lower number of fertile tillers in other interaction could be grasess escaped from their phytotoxicity and were competitive with wheat resulting in lower tillers. Lower number of fertile tillers in weedy check treatment can be attributed to higher weed density that resulted in competition for plant growth resources.

Dalga (2016) reported similar results that under low competition between weeds and crop for resources that enhanced productive tillers. Asad et al. (2017) also stated that increase in number of fertile productive tillers relatively better weed control which ultimately facilitated by more translocation of photosynthate towards reproductive growth due to lower weed wheat competition. Hussein et al.(2013) also reported that effective weed control methods could reduce dry matter of weed and increased number of wheat productive tillers.

**Stand count**

The stand count m⁻² was significantly affected by different herbicidal application. Maximum number of stand count (414.33m⁻²) were recorded from application of Pyroxulam while the minimum number of stand count (154.33 m⁻²) was recorded at weedy check plots (Table -3).

The application of 2, 4-D and Pyroxulam caused statistically no significant difference on stand count. Correspondingly, the application of Florasulam and Florasulam + Amirpyrolid showed that statistically non-significant. The maximum number of stand count at Pyroxulam could be related with lower dry biomass of weeds, better weed control efficiency that resulted in less inter and intra specific completion of weeds with crop plants for water, nutrients and other growth factors and also due to the optimum space for wheat plants to flourish and produce fertile tillers up to their potential but the probable reason of lower number of fertile tillers in other interaction could be grasess escaped from their phytotoxicity and were competitive with wheat resulting in lower tillers. Lower number of fertile tillers in weedy check treatment can be attributed to higher weed density that resulted in competition for plant growth resources.

Dalga (2016) reported similar results that under low competition between weeds and crop for resources that enhanced productive tillers. Asad et al. (2017) also stated that increase in number of fertile productive tillers relatively better weed control which ultimately facilitated by more translocation of photosynthate towards reproductive growth due to lower weed wheat competition. Hussein et al. (2013) also reported that effective weed control methods could reduce dry matter of weed and increased number of wheat productive tillers.
Table 3. Effects of herbicides on plant height, number of tillers and stand count

| Treatments               | Plant height(cm) | Number of tillers | Stand count (m²) |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 2,4-D                   | 102.50c          | 130.00a          | 411.66ab         |
| Pyroxulam               | 101.66c          | 132.33a          | 414.33a          |
| Florasulam              | 102.66bc         | 126.66a          | 408.66b          |
| Florasulam + Amirpyrolid| 105.83ab         | 127.00b          | 408.88b          |
| Weedy check             | 109.00a          | 82.00c           | 154.33c          |
| LSD (0.05)              | 3.19             | 2.67             | 4.47             |
| CV (%)                  | 1.62             | 1.18             | 0.66             |

Spike length
The application of different herbicides had significant effect on the spike length of wheat. The highest spike length was recorded from application of Pyroxulam (9.58 cm) followed by the application of 2,4-D (9.16 cm) but the lowest spike lengths were recorded at weedy check (Table-4).

The application of all tested herbicides produced statistically no significant difference except Florasulam. The maximum spike length recorded at Pyroxulam could be related to effective weed control that resulted in better growing conditions for the crop to utilize resources effectively to produce longer spikes but at weedy check due to severe competition shorter spikes produced.

Similar result was reported from Tana et al. (2018) continuous increase in spike length which might be attributed to relief of wheat plants from weed competition leading to better growing conditions and more resources availability to the wheat plants. Asad et al. (2017) also stated that increase in spike length attributed to minimum crop - weed competition in treated plots and more availability of moisture that cause healthy plant growth.

Number of seeds per spike
The application herbicides had no significant effect on number of seeds per spike but the maximum numbers of seeds per spike were counted at application of Pyroxulam (76.58) whereas minimum number of grains per spike was counted from weedy check plot (Table - 4).

The highest number of seeds per spike at application of Pyroxulam might be related to lower dry weed biomass, better weed control efficiency that contributed to the crop to have longer spikelets for producing more number of seeds. Lower number of seeds per spike can be attributed to shorter spike length in weedy check plots due to severe crop-weed competition.

Similar results have also been reported by Pandey et al. (2007) increase in number of grains per spike can be attributed to availability of nutrients and greater spike length of wheat. According to Ali et al. (2014) the number of seeds per spike increased with decreased weed competition. The poor grain filling due to presence of weeds was reported to be due to reduced tillering, ear formation, and stem weight and height reduction in wheat (Fazal et al., 2012).

Thousand grain weight
The application of different herbicides imposed significant effect on thousand grain weight. Maximum thousand grain weight was recorded at application of Pyroxulam (42.60 gm) closely followed by use of 2, 4-D (41.20 gm) while minimum number of thousand grain weight was recorded at weedy check (Table - 4).

The ANOVA result showed that the interaction of 2, 4-D and Pyroxulam caused statistically no significant difference and also application of Florasulam and Florasulam + Amirpyrolid showed statistically no significant differences. The highest thousand grain weight at Pyroxulam could be related to lower dry weed biomass, better weed control efficiency, minimum intra and inter-specific competition that enable the crop to utilize resources efficiently to produce well vigor seeds but at weedy check treatments lowest number of thousand grain weight was due to higher competition of plant growth resources that resulted in less vigor seeds.

Similar results from Pandey et al. (2007) reported that lower thousand grain weight in weedy check and availability of nutrients and better plant growth might be the reason for heavier grains in high fertilizer levels.

Table 4. Effects of herbicides application on spike length, number of seeds per spike and thousand grain weight

| Treatments                        | Spike length(cm) | Number of seeds per spike | Thousand grain weight (gm) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2,4-D                             | 9.16ab           | 66.58                     | 41.20ab                   |
| Pyroxulam                         | 9.58a            | 73.08                     | 42.60a                    |
| Florasulam                        | 8.75b            | 68.33                     | 39.06c                    |
| Florasulam + Amirpyrolid          | 8.91ab           | 69.16                     | 39.86Bc                   |
| Weedy check                       | 7.58c            | 65.33                     | 28.40d                    |
| LSD (0.05)                        | 0.78             | NS                        | 1.89                      |
| CV (%)                            | 4.73             | 8.91                      | 2.63                      |
Grain yield
The grain yield was significantly affected by the application of different herbicides. Maximum grain yield (4346.58 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was obtained from Pyroxulam while minimum grain yield (1348.33 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was obtained from weedy check (Table -5 ).

The application of Florasulam and Florasulam + Amirpyrolid showed that statistically no significant difference. The highest number of grain yield at Pyroxulam could be related to less weed competition with crop for plant growth factors and optimum space for wheat plants to flourish and produce fertile tillers up to their potential, more number of seeds per spike, thousand grain weight and higher number of total biomass production. The herbicide also had the ability of controlling various weed species and persistent. However, the lower grain yield at weedy check treatments was due to the severe inter and intra specific competition that resulted in lower yield and yield components.

The result of this study was similar with Nadeem et al. (2006) which stated that different herbicidal treatments had a significant effect on grain yield of wheat. The greatest reduction of yield was occurred when no herbicide was applied. Increased in yield in herbicides treated plots were due to the efficient weed control and thus the crop utilized all the available resources. These results are in conformity with the work of Tunio et al. (2004) who reported that herbicidal treatments significantly increased grain yield in wheat. Ali et al. (2014) also stated that maximum grain yield was at weed free due to less weed population, better nutrient and water use efficiency but minimum at weedy check.

Biological yield
The application different post emergence herbicides highly influenced biological yield. The maximum biological yield was obtained from the combination of Pyroxulam (12100 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) while minimum biological yield (5750 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was obtained from weedy check (Table - 5).

The use of Florasulam and Florasulam + Amirpyrolid revealed that statistically no significant difference. The higher number of biological yield at application Pyroxulam could be related to less weed competition with crop plants for water, nutrients and other growth factors and also due to the optimum space for wheat plants to flourish and produce fertile tillers up to their potential, more number of seeds per spike and thousand grain weight that contributed to biological yield. However, the lowest biological yield at weed check signified severe competition of weeds resulted in fewer number of plants in plots.

Similar results were reported from Ali and Awan (2004) who stated that decreasing the biological yield in wheat might be due to weed competition as a consequence of depletion of nutrient supply and water by weeds, which resulted in reduced growth, seed and straw yields of crop plants. However, it is suggested that high seed rates were found to increase yield in well watered conditions, whereas the reverse was true with low soil moisture (Marwat et al., 2011).weed control methods increased biological yield of wheat reducing the weed infestation (Zahoor et al.,2012).

Table 5. Effects of herbicides on grain yield, biological yield, harvest index and yield loss

| Treatments            | Grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Biological yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Harvest index (%) | Yield loss (%) |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 2,4-D                 | 3558.17c                     | 8000.00c                        | 32.53a            | 14.57b        |
| Pyroxulam             | 4346.58a                     | 12100.00a                       | 26.42b            | 3.75d         |
| Florasulam            | 4053.83b                     | 10433.30b                       | 28.07b            | 10.23c        |
| Florasulam+Amirpyrolid| 4034.75b                     | 10566.70b                       | 27.75b            | 10.66c        |
| Weedy check           | 1348.33d                     | 5750.00d                        | 18.99c            | 70.14a        |
| LSD(0.05)             | 55.46                        | 1130                            | 2.28              | 1.23          |
| CV(%)                 | 0.83                         | 6.4                             | 4.53              | 2.98          |

Harvest index
The application of different herbicides showed highly significant effect on harvest index. The highest harvest index (32.53\%) was observed at 2, 4-D.The minimum harvest index (18.99\%) was obtained from weedy check (Table - 5).

The application of Pyroxulam, Florasulam and Florasulam + Amirpyrolid showed that statistically no significant differences. The maximum number of harvest index at 2, 4-D might be related to higher in total biomass production. The result also clearly indicated that harvest index was lower at higher total biomass production. Similar findings were reported from Ali et al.(2014) and Amare et al.(2014) concluded that harvest index increased with decreased in weed competition. Sujoy et al. (2006) was also reported that significant variation in harvest index of wheat due to weed control treatments.

Yield loss
The uses of various post emergence herbicides were highly significant on yield loss of bread wheat. The use of
Pyroxulam gave the lowest yield loss (3.75%) as compared to other tested herbicides but maximum yield loss was obtained from weedy check plots 70.14% (Table - 5).

The application of Florasulam and Florasulam + Amipryloid showed that statistically no significant differences. The lowest yield loss at Pyroxulam could be related to higher weed control efficiency, more number of yield components and better crop growing conditions to produce higher yield. In contrast, higher weed biomass resulted in greatest yield reduction due to competition for growth resources and fewer number of wheat in the plots.

The highest yield loss obtained from weedy check plots as a result of higher weed density and competition. The finding was in conformity with the work of Amare et al (2014) reported that highest yield loss was at weedy check and under poor weed control. On the other hand, Karlen et al (2002) reported a yield reduction as high as 80% in wheat due to weed competition throughout the crop growing season.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION
Wheat is one of major food grains that contain different nutrient and cultivated from small to large scale farms in Ethiopia. Weed management practices such as promising herbicides are among the important methods for the management of weeds to improve wheat production and productivity. Therefore, this study was designed to investigate the effects of post emergence herbicides application on weed growth and productivity of wheat.

All of the traits studied were significantly affected by different herbicides application except number of seeds per spike. The minimum number of dry biomass of weeds and weed control efficiency were recorded at application of Pyroxulam but no weed control at weedy check while the maximum numbers of dry biomass of weed were obtained from weedy checks. The maximum number of productive tillers, stand count, thousand grain weight, grain yield and biological yield were obtained from of Pyroxulam treated plots but no significant difference was observed due to applied herbicides on seeds per spike. The maximum plant height was recorded from of weedy check. The maximum harvest index was observed at 2, 4-D.

Application of Pyroxulam comparatively gave low significant yield loss as compared to other tested herbicides while highest yield loss was obtained at weedy check plots. Herbicides having the ability of controlling various weed species gave better yield advantages as well as for good weed management over narrow spectrum herbicides. Pyroxulam is recommended for controlling various weed species in wheat field at small scale and large scale farms. However, more yield advantages was obtained from application of broad spectrum herbicide Pyroxulam. Since the experiment was conducted for one season and location, it should be repeated over seasons or multiple locations for best recommendation.
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