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ABSTRACT

The immediate post-weaning period is one of the most stressful phases in a pig’s life, and during this period, piglets are usually exposed to environmental, social and psychological stressors which have direct or indirect effects on gut health and overall growth performance. In this review, the impact of husbandry practices on gut health outcomes and performance of piglets is discussed. Husbandry practices in the swine barn generally include nutrition and management practices, maintenance of hygienic standards and disease prevention protocols, and animal welfare considerations. Poor husbandry practices could result in reduced feed intake, stress and disease conditions, and consequently affect gut health and performance in weaned piglets. Reduced feed intake is a major risk factor for impaired gut structure and function and therefore a key goal is to maximize feed intake in newly weaned piglets. In weaned piglets, crowding stress could reduce pig performance, favor the proliferation of pathogenic bacteria resulting in diarrhea, stimulate immune responses and interfere with beneficial microbial activities in the gut. Sanitation conditions in the swine barn plays an important role for optimal piglet performance, because unclean conditions reduced growth performance, shifted nutrient requirements to support the immune system and negatively affected the gut morphology in weaned piglets. Appropriate biosecurity measures need to be designed to prevent disease entry and spread within a swine operation, which in turn helps to keep all pigs and piglets healthy. Collectively, husbandry practices relating to feeding and nutrition, animal welfare, biosecurity and disease prevention are important determinants of gut health and piglet performance. Thus, it is suggested that adopting high husbandry practices is a critical piece in strategies aimed at raising pigs without the use of in-feed antibiotics.

© 2017, Chinese Association of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Weaning is one of the most challenging phases in a pig’s life, often accompanied by reduced growth performance and increased incidences of diarrhea (Leibbrandt et al., 1975; Vente-Spreeuwenberg et al., 2003). This phase is frequently characterized by reduced feed intake (Bruininx et al., 2002a; Vente-Spreeuwenberg et al., 2003) which, in concert with an immature digestive and immune systems, predisposes the piglet to gastrointestinal disturbances. The major effects of an immature digestive system in weaned piglets include reduced activity of digestive enzymes, changes in intestinal morphology (Hampson, 1986; Boudry et al., 2004) and reduced digestion in the small intestine. In relation to the immune system, nursery piglets are extremely immune deficient and extensively rely on sow’s milk for protection of immunity, growth and survival (Stokes et al., 2001). Hence, piglet management during weaning is one of the most challenging tasks in swine production.

Husbandry practices in the swine barn generally include nutrition and management practices, maintenance of hygienic standards and disease prevention protocols, and animal welfare considerations (e.g., space allowance and ambient temperature for piglets) (Canadian Pork Council (CPC), 2014). Husbandry practices and gut health in weaned piglets are strongly inter-related as these factors...
have a direct effect on gut structure and function. The term gut health in animals is not well-defined, however, numerous indices, such as those relate to gut structure and function, and microbial population, incidences of diarrhea have been used to describe gut health outcomes (Lalle’s et al., 2007). The factors that affect gut health and growth performance in piglet husbandry practices include feeding strategies (Dong and Pluske, 2007), exposure to crowding stress (Khaffpour et al., 2014), sanitation (Jayaraman et al., 2016; Kahindi et al., 2014) and disease conditions (Opapeju et al., 2009). To minimize the adverse effects of weaning and their subsequent consequences, appropriate husbandry management strategies need to be taken to maximize post-weaning performance. The objective of this paper is to review the effects of husbandry practices on gut health and growth performance of weaned piglets. It is suggested that to effectively manage gut health of piglets raised under production systems that do not rely on in-feed antibiotics, intervention strategies must include a consideration of husbandry practices. Such knowledge will allow a more robust assessment of the effectiveness of any one intervention strategy.

2. Factors affecting feed intake in weaned piglets

The effects of feed intake on gut morphology and growth performance in weaned piglets has been well reviewed by Dong and Pluske (2007). For a detailed discussion on the determinants of voluntary feed intake in swine, please refer to the review by Nyachoti et al. (2004). For the newly-weaned piglets, various factors affecting feed intake have been identified and these include creep feeding (Bruininx et al., 2002a), weaning age (Davis et al., 2006), mixing of different litters after weaning (Björk, 1989; McGlone and Curtis, 1985), dietary nutrient level and balance (D’Mello, 2003), diseases or the immune activation status (Edmonds et al., 1997; Jayaraman et al., 2015; Williams et al., 1997), environmental factors (Bruininx et al., 2002b), palatability of feed stuffs (Bell, 1984; van Heugten, 2001), physical form of diets (Hancock and Behnke, 2001; Patridge, 1989), feeding practices (Han et al., 2006), and water supply and quality (Dybkaer et al., 2006; Thacker, 2001).

2.1. Feed intake affects gut health and function

Reduced feed intake immediately after weaning could lead to adverse morphological and functional changes in the intestine (Dong and Pluske, 2007). The major adverse changes in intestinal morphology include shortening of the villi, hyperplasia of crypt cells, and increased epithelial cell mitosis (Nabuurs et al., 1993; Van Beers-Schreurs, 1995). Due to these changes in intestinal morphology, gut functions can be incomplete, resulting in decreased brush-border enzyme activity and absorptive capacity (Hampson and Kidder, 1986; Nabuurs et al., 1993; Vente Spreuwenberg et al., 2004). Furthermore, low feed intake and stress in piglets could lead to reduced gut mucosal integrity confirmed by an increase in paracellular transport, and a decrease in villous height (Spreuwenberg et al., 2001). Because of increased paracellular permeability, luminal antigens rather than bacteria may enter the lamina propria, resulting in inflammation (Spreuwenberg et al., 2001). Available evidences indicate that reduced feed intake is a major contributing factor to the abruptly-reduced intestinal villus height (VH) (Cera et al., 1988; Spreuwenberg et al., 2001).

The brush border enzyme activities have been used as indicators of maturation and digestive capacity of the small intestine (Hampson and Kidder, 1986). Low feed intake associated with weaning negatively affects brush-border enzyme activity and absorption ability of the gut (Fig. 1; Dong and Pluske, 2007; Vente Spreuwenberg and Beynen, 2003). For optimal digestive and absorptive function of the small intestine, longer VH is desirable (Pluske et al., 1997). Pluske et al. (1997) indicated that feed intake linearly and positively correlates with VH in piglets. Moreover, restricted feed intake negatively affects intestinal morphology in piglets (Verdonk et al., 2007). Furthermore, adequate feed intake prevents the loss of the barrier function of the tight junctions in piglets after weaning which indicates the significance of a sufficient luminal nutrient supply to maintain the barrier function. Hence, sufficient feed intake could be particularly essential for the proximal small intestine because this part depends more on luminal nutrient supply than the distal small intestine (Stoll et al., 2000).

Verdonk et al. (2007) studied the effects of feed intake level on gut structure and permeability as determined using the Ussing chambers. The authors indicated that restricted feed intake negatively affected gut morphology compared to high level of feed intake in weaned piglets but did not affect trans-epithelial transport. Collectively, low feed intake is a major risk factor for impaired structure and function, which negatively affects gut morphology and barrier function. Hence, the key goal in swine husbandry practice is to maximize feed intake in newly weaned piglets. Efforts to achieve this goal must start in farrowing room with managing feed intake in the lactating sow. Sows nursing newborns require essentially full feeding during lactation, therefore, supplementing the sow’s diet during late gestation and lactation periods may improve performance of the sow and her piglets (Kirkden et al., 2013).

2.2. Creep feeding

In commercial swine production, creep feeding during the suckling period prior to weaning has been a common husbandry practice because it increases weaning weight of piglets and leads to a smooth transition period for the piglets from sow’s milk to the dry feed (Cabrera et al., 2013; Dong and Pluske, 2007). Previous studies demonstrated that creep feed intake has a positive effect on post-weaning feed intake (Bruininx et al., 2002a; Cabrera et al., 2013; Kuller et al., 2007), and it is assumed that nursery piglets offered creep feed prompts them to get adapted to solid feed (Dong and Pluske, 2007). In addition, Bruininx et al. (2002a) investigated whether consumption of creep feed prior to weaning stimulated the increase in post-weaning feed intake and performance. In this study, 149 piglets were provided creep feed containing chromic oxide (1%) and the remaining 49 piglets were not offered creep feed. Fecal samples were collected at 3 different time points (18, 22 and 27 d of age) and the visual appearance of green color of feces indicated the consumption of creep feed. The piglets were designated as eaters, which showed green colored feces at all-time points, and the piglets that never showed green colored feces as non-eaters. In addition, the piglets were grouped as non-eaters which were not provided creep feed. The authors showed that feed intake and daily gain during the first 8 days after weaning was higher for eaters than for non-eaters of creep feed in lactation or for those piglets not provided with creep feed during this period. These studies indicate that piglets consuming more creep feed during lactation get adapted to solid diets, which promotes gut development and therefore helps them more easily manage with a dietary change after weaning.

With respect to gut health, creep feeding helps to maintain nutrient supply after weaning, and consequently prevents villous atrophy, thereby reducing the chances of post-weaning diarrhea in piglets (Pluske et al., 1996). Furthermore, creep feed intake during lactation improved net absorption in the small intestine after weaning which could decrease the risk of post-weaning diarrhea (Kuller et al., 2007).
The management strategies for creep feeding in piglets include offering fresh and palatable creep feed at least 2 weeks of age (Appleby et al., 1991), frequent feeding, feed should be accessible (Wattanakul et al., 2005), increasing feeder space (Appleby et al., 1992), and supplementation of feed additives in creep feed (Cabrera et al., 2013; Shim et al., 2005). For example, supplementation of the feed additive oligo-fructose in antibiotic-free creep feed favored the growth of beneficial bacteria (Bifidobacterium species) and reduced the harmful bacteria (coliforms) in the colon (Shim et al., 2005). Similarly, supplementation of creep feed with L-glutamine has been shown to improve feed conversion possibly due to improved intestinal health (Cabrera et al., 2013). Collectively, creep feeding is advantageous in improving gut health in weaned piglets, and thus promotes growth performance in piglets.

2.3. Feeder space

Pigs are highly social animals and their feeding behavior is to eat in a group (Figueroa et al., 2013), and therefore enough feeder space need to be provided to allow group feeding. Restricted feeder space could increase the competition among the pigs for the feed, which would result in reduced growth performance. Hence, providing adequate feeder space is critical to weaned pig performance (CPC, 2014) because limited feeder space can increase competition at the feeder, which is likely to compromise feed intake thus leading to reduced growth rates (Aversos et al., 2012; Georgsson and Svendsen, 2001). In a growth study, Lindemann et al. (1987) demonstrated that weaned piglets offered 9 cm per pig feeder space allowance had significantly higher growth performance compared to those provided 3 cm per pig feeder space allowance. Recently, He et al. (2016) evaluated the effect of restricted feeder space (2 spaces per pen versus 5 spaces per pen; area of each feeding space was 15 cm × 15 cm) on growth performance of weaned piglets. The authors indicated that limited feeder space (5 spaces per pen) is associated with increased risk of mortality or slow growth in weaned piglets. Overall, restricted feeder space can compromise growth performance and welfare of pigs, which may contribute to depressed performance in piglets. Because feed intake is an important factor in gut development, any factor such as space allowance, which influences feed intake is therefore potentially capable of impacting gut health outcomes in weaned piglets.

3. Effects of stress in pigs

Stress is generally defined as any threat to an animal’s homeostasis (Mawdsley and Rampton, 2005). During stress, physiological mechanisms get activated to maintain homeostasis, which might also compromise productivity. The major stressors during the post-weaning phase include nutritional (e.g., removal of sow’s milk), psychological (e.g., mixing with other littermates) and environmental (e.g., change in ambient temperature) (Campbell et al., 2013), which result in drastic reduction in feed intake (Fig. 2). Weaning anorexia is associated with significant gastrointestinal tract (GIT) disturbances characterized by decreased digestive and absorptive capacity and consequently results in an increase in pathogenic bacteria (Pluske et al., 1997; Lalle’s et al., 2004). Moreover, crowding stress also decreases immune competence by decreasing immune cell numbers or increasing immunosuppressive mechanisms, and reduced growth rate (Khaifipour et al., 2014). Therefore, appropriate husbandry practices and nutritional management need to be taken to minimize stressful conditions and optimize growth performance in piglets.

At weaning, the piglet is exposed to various stressors and pathogenic infections due to restricted space allowance, mingling with unfamiliar animals, unfavorable ambient temperature, contaminated air, and low bio-security. The combined and additive effects of these stressors and pathogenic threats accelerate the detrimental effects on the growth performance of pigs (Hyun et al., 1998). The health of the pig will, therefore, be improved if the number of stressors in the pigs’ environment are reduced.

3.1. Effects of crowding stress on gut health

In weaned piglets, crowding escalates social stress and adversely affect feed consumption and growth performance (Kil and Stein, 2010). Khaifipour et al. (2014) investigated the effects of crowding stress on performance and immunological parameters in weaned piglets challenged with enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC). The authors demonstrated that crowding stress increased plasma cortisol in stressed piglets compared to non-stressed piglets. In animals, plasma cortisol concentration has been considered as a stress indicator. Moreover, crowding stress had a direct impact on immune response in pig as indicated by an elevated serum

Fig. 1. Effects of low feed intake on brush-border enzyme activity and absorption ability after weaning in piglets. Adapted from Dong and Pluske (2007), vente Spreeuwenberg and Beynen (2003).
cytokines and plasma cortisol (Khafipour et al., 2014; Oha et al., 2010). In a recent study, Li et al. (2017) demonstrated that weaned piglets under chronic social stress had reduced growth performance and altered gut barrier and nutrient transport function.

Restricted space allowance is one of the stressors for piglets at the time of weaning (Kil and Stein, 2010) which results in crowding stress and as a result negatively affects feed intake and growth performance (Khafipour et al., 2014). Crowding stress also results in abnormal behavior and levels of aggression, which increases non-growth energy expenditure (Turner et al., 2003; Nyachoti et al., 2004; Khafipour et al., 2014). The current recommended space allowance for weaned pig is at least 0.34 m² per pig in slatted pens (AAFC, 1993), however, in antibiotic-free feeding regimen, more space allowance might be required (Varley, 2004).

In relation to gut health, crowding stress reduced resistance to bacterial infection as well as increasing fecal shedding of weaned piglets (Jones et al., 2001). Similarly, Khafipour et al. (2014) demonstrated that weaned piglets exposed to crowding stress and challenged with ETEC had increased proliferation of ETEC in the ileal and colon digesta compared to those provided with adequate space. Crowding stress leads to an increase in intestinal pH, which may create favorable conditions for ETEC colonization resulting in diarrhea. In general, low intestinal pH favors establishment of beneficial bacteria and inhibit harmful bacteria (Ohland and MacNaughton, 2010). Collectively, crowding stress can directly or indirectly deteriorate gut health and growth performance of weaned piglets.

Fig. 2. Schematic diagram illustrating the effects of stress in weaned piglets.

4. Effects of sanitation on performance and gut health

In commercial swine production, clean and hygienic sanitation play an important role in growth performance of healthy pigs (CPC, 2014). Le Floc’h et al. (2006) proposed that degradation of sanitary conditions could provoke moderate inflammation in weaned piglets. In swine studies, poor sanitary conditions could be created by keeping the animal room uncleaned and non-disinfected prior housing and during the growth period (Kahindi et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2005; Le Floc’h et al., 2009). Under poor sanitary conditions, piglets had depressed growth performance, stimulated immune system (Williams et al., 1997) and a provoked inflammatory response (Le Floc’h et al., 2006) which interferes with growth because of competition for nutrients between structural tissues and immune function (Le Floc’h et al., 2009). Due to immune system stimulation, amino acids are the most commonly affected nutrients and the requirements of amino acids could be increased in weaned piglets (Kahindi, 2015; Jayaraman et al., 2015). For instance, the optimal standardized ileal digestible threonine:lysine for weaned pigs raised under unclean sanitary conditions was higher (66.5% vs. 65%) than for those raised under clean sanitary conditions (Jayaraman et al., 2015). In a growth study, Jayaraman et al. (2016) demonstrated that a room with unclean sanitary conditions had higher NH₃ (26.65 vs. 18.17 ppm) and H₂S (0.099 vs. 0.010 ppm) compared to the room with clean sanitary conditions. In this study, unclean sanitary conditions increased aerial NH₃ and H₂S concentrations in the room. The deterioration of air quality could have caused distress and a drop in feed intake, thus leading to the observed reduced growth performance of piglets reared under...
unclean sanitary conditions. The underlying mechanism could be that, under unclean conditions, piglets had reduced feed intake which could have caused alterations in gut morphology as reported by Pluske et al. (1996). After weaning, negative alterations in intestinal morphology of pigs occur which includes reduced villous height and, to a lesser extent, reduced crypt depth. Zhao et al. (2007) indicated that piglets raised under unclean conditions had shorter villous height and less crypt depth compared to those raised under clean conditions, which could be the influence of sanitary conditions. In addition, Pastorelli et al. (2012) demonstrated that weaned piglets raised under unclean sanitary conditions had higher incidences of soft feces and diarrhea compared to those raised under clean sanitary conditions. Results of these studies collectively demonstrate that, maintaining high standards of cleanliness in the nursery is critical for optimal performance of piglets partly because of its direct or indirect effects on gut health and function.

5. Biosecurity measures to promote gut health in pigs

Biosecurity is defined as the implementation of measures that reduce the risk of disease agents being introduced and spread in an animal and its environment. It requires that people adopt a set of biosecurity practices and activities involving domestic, exotic and wild animals and their products (Food and Agriculture Organization FAO, 2010). Biosecurity and security procedures are entwined to improve the health status and productivity of pigs (Levis and Baker, 2011). In general, swine herd maintenance depends on 1) development of herd immunity, 2) biosecurity, 3) pig flow, 4) medicine management, 5) stock health management, 6) pig environment, and 7) disease management (Kyriazakis and Whittemore, 2006). However, it is important to note that biosecurity of a pig farm and security risk factors are unique to that farm and, therefore, each biosecurity plan should be farm specific (Levis and Baker, 2011).

Biosecurity includes bio-exclusion, bio-containment, and bio-management (Levis and Baker, 2011). Bio-exclusion (also known as external biosecurity) is preventing the entry of undesirable pathogens into the farm. For example, preventing the entry of common enteric pathogens such as ETEC, Salmonella and porcine proliferative ileitis into the pig farm. Most pig producers concentrate on bio-exclusion as these types of practices are vital indicators for the chances of pathogen introduction, particularly in regards to the porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (Bottoms et al., 2013). Bio-management is the combined effort to control economically infectious diseases that are already present in the farm population. Proper cleanliness and disinfection of the pig rooms, vaccinations, all-in/all-out pig movement are some of the important procedures to minimize the pathogen build-up or enhance immunity levels in the pigs are the key components of bio-management. In pigs, enteric disease is an intricate interaction between the number of pathogens present in the environment, immune competence of the pigs, and concomitant stresses occurring within the pigs’ environment (Pluske et al., 2002). Therefore, control of enteric disease is one of the most challenging areas for pig producers, regardless of whether the animals are housed indoors or outdoors. In the pig farm environment, though it is impossible to avoid without any disease risk, biosecurity practices aid in reducing the disease risk (Bottoms et al., 2013).

5.1. The cost of enteric disease in the pig industry

Kyriazakis and Whittemore (2006) indicated that for unhealthy pigs, the price paid by a pig industry is the sum of the following: the costs of veterinarian visits, veterinary medicine, vaccines, the cost of in-feed medicines, cost of lost efficiency pre-farm gate due to decrease in sow productivity, reduced growth rate and fee efficiency, loss of product quality, and loss of saleable product through meat processing condemnation.

5.2. Pig flow – managing an all-in/all-out system

The practice of all-in/all-out management system breaks the disease cycle by preventing the sharing of air-space of pigs carrying clinical disease with pigs susceptible to the infection by that disease. The principle behind all-in/all-out system is that between batches of pigs, the location is completely cleared, disinfected and rested to ensure the cycle of infection is broken and premises do not themselves serve as a reservoir for infective material (Kyriazakis and Whittemore, 2006).

The key reasons for using all-in/all-out system are to minimize exposure levels to pathogenic organisms in the pig farm, to avoid the spread of diseases from adult pigs to younger pigs, and to increase feed efficiency and rate of gain by maintaining a high health status (Levis and Baker, 2011). Furthermore, it can be argued that by minimizing exposure to pathogenic organisms, and especially those that cause enteric diseases, this management strategy will be invaluable to production systems raising pigs without the use of in-feed antimicrobial agents.

6. Conclusions

Husbandry practices are one of the most important determinants of piglet performance. Poor husbandry practices could result in low feed intake, stress and disease conditions, and consequently affect gut health and performance of weaned piglets. Therefore, appropriate feeding and nutrition strategies, management practices and animal welfare activities, biosecurity and disease prevention measures are critical determinants of gut health and piglet performance. Thus, it is suggested that husbandry practices must be considered as a critical piece in the overall strategy of raising weaned piglets without in-feed antibiotics.
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