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INTRODUCTION

Adenosine A\textsubscript{2A} receptors (A\textsubscript{2A}Rs) are mostly known to control long-term synaptic plasticity throughout the brain (reviewed in Cunha, 2016), namely in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) where they facilitate long-term potentiation (LTP) in excitatory synapses onto fast spiking interneurons and control network activity (Kerkhofs et al., 2018). The PFC mediates cognitive and executive functions including working memory, attention and inhibitory control...
(Goldman-Rakic, 1999; Fuster, 2001), which are disrupted in major neuropsychiatric disorders such as attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), addiction and schizophrenia (Arnsten et al., 2015). Notably, the antagonism of A2A R has been tied to the improvement of mood and memory deficits in several neuropsychiatric disorders (Chen, 2014; Kaster et al., 2015; Viana da Silva et al., 2016). The contribution of A2A R in the PFC is suggested by the observation that the antagonism of adenosine receptors with their general antagonist caffeine improves attention and short-term memory in animal models of ADHD (Caballero et al., 2011; Pandolfo et al., 2013). Furthermore, A2A R antagonism increases impulsivity (Oliveros et al., 2017), attenuate the effects of dopamine D2 receptor antagonism on effort-based decision making (Pardo et al., 2012) and attenuate working memory deficits in rats with PFC dopamine depletion (Horita et al., 2013).

While optogenetic activation of A2A R signaling pathways in the medial PFC improves maintenance of spatial working memory (Li et al., 2018), there are still no direct evidence in the medial PFC improves maintenance of spatial working memory (Goldman-Rakic, 1999; Fuster, 2001), which are disrupted in major neuropsychiatric disorders such as attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), addiction and schizophrenia (Arnsten et al., 2015). Notably, the antagonism of A2A R has been tied to the improvement of mood and memory deficits in several neuropsychiatric disorders (Chen, 2014; Kaster et al., 2015; Viana da Silva et al., 2016). The contribution of A2A R in the PFC is suggested by the observation that the antagonism of adenosine receptors with their general antagonist caffeine improves attention and short-term memory in animal models of ADHD (Caballero et al., 2011; Pandolfo et al., 2013). Furthermore, A2A R antagonism increases impulsivity (Oliveros et al., 2017), attenuate the effects of dopamine D2 receptor antagonism on effort-based decision making (Pardo et al., 2012) and attenuate working memory deficits in rats with PFC dopamine depletion (Horita et al., 2013).

While optogenetic activation of A2A R signaling pathways in the medial PFC improves maintenance of spatial working memory (Li et al., 2018), there are still no direct evidence supporting a role for the endogenous activation of A2A R in the PFC to modulate behavior. This is of particular relevance since A2A R are present in different areas of the forebrain (i.e., cerebral cortex, hippocampus and striatum) with different impacts on different behavioral outputs, as heralded by the striking opposite phenotypes resulting from the selective deletion of A2A R from only the striatum or forebrain neurons (Shen et al., 2008, 2013; Wei et al., 2014). Thus, to better understand the role of the endogenous activation of A2A R in the PFC, we now selectively downregulated A2A R in the rat prelimbic medial PFC (PLmPFC) and evaluated the consequences on PFC-related behaviors such as working memory, anxiety-related behavior and delay-based cost-benefit decision-making. Our findings reveal that the downregulation of A2A R in the PLmPFC decreased the choice of the large reward in a T-maze-based cost-benefit paradigm in which the cost was delay, suggesting an increase in impulsive decision making, a finding relevant for disorders with impaired decision making, such as Parkinson’s disease, schizophrenia, ADHD and addiction (Lee, 2013).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

Male Wistar rats (7-week-old) were purchased from Charles River (Barcelona, Spain) and housed in a temperature and humidity-controlled environment with 12 h light on/off cycles and *ad libitum* access to food and water. All studies were conducted in accordance with the principles and procedures outlined as “3Rs” in the EU guidelines (210/63), FELASA, and the National Centre for the 3Rs (the ARRIVE; Kilkenny et al., 2010), and were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the Center for Neuroscience and Cell Biology (ORBEA 78/2013).

**Generation and Bilateral Administration of Lentiviral Vectors Into the PLmPFC**

A small hairpin RNA targeting A2A R (shA2A R, nt 419–437) was inserted into a lentivirus together with an enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) reporter gene, as previously detailed (Simões et al., 2016; Viana da Silva et al., 2016). This shA2A R has been shown to cause a 68% decrease of A2A R mRNA expression and a 55% decrease of A2A R protein density in the striatum, where the high density of A2A R allows a faithful quantification (Viana da Silva et al., 2016). A hairpin targeting the coding region of red fluorescent protein (nt 22–41) was used as an internal control (shCTRL). These lentivectors (1 µL per hemisphere at 750,000 ng of p24 antigen/mL) were stereotaxically delivered into the PLmPFC of the two hemispheres at an infusion rate of 0.2 µL/min in the following coordinates: antero-posterior: +3.20 mm; lateral: ±0.60 mm; dorso-ventral: −3.80 mm (Paxinos and Watson, 2009).

**Radioligand Binding Assay in Total Membranes From the PLmPFC**

The amount of tissue allowed a single point radioligand binding which was carried out with slight modification to our previous studies (Cunha et al., 1999; Ferreira et al., 2015). Three male Wistar rats of 6–8 weeks of age were bilaterally injected shA2A R in their PLmPFC, while four Wistar rats were bilaterally injected with the shCTRL. At 5 weeks post-injection, rats were decapitated under halothane anesthesia, and their brains transferred to ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (composition in mM: NaCl 125, KCl 3, MgSO4 1, CaCl2 2, NaHPO4 1.25, NaHCO3 25–26 and glucose 11, pH 7.4 (osmolality of 300 mOsmol/kg), oxygenated with carbogen (95% O2 + 5% CO2). We obtained coronal brain slices from which we dissected the PLmPFC, which was homogenized in 1.8 mL of ice-cold membrane preparation solution of the following composition: sucrose (320 mM), EDTA (2 mM), MgCl2 (3 mM), HEPES (15 mM), pH 7.4, supplied with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, 1 µL/mL). The homogenates were then centrifuged at 1,000 g for 30 min, at 4°C to decant intracellular debris. The membrane-rich supernatant was then re-centrifuged at 20,000 g for 30 min, and the pellets were vigorously resuspended in 450 µL binding assay buffer of the following composition: NaCl (100 mM), Tris-HCl (50 mM), EDTA (1 mM), MgCl2 (3 mM), protease inhibitor (1 µL/mL), pH 7.4. Next, 100 µL of the protein suspension was mixed with 200 µL of assay buffer containing adenosine deaminase (Sigma-Aldrich; final concentration, 3 U/mL), guanosine 5′-diphosphate (Abcam; 100 µM), and either the A2A R-selective antagonist, SCH58261 (Tocris; 1 µM) to measure non-specific binding or its vehicle, DMSO (0.1% v/v) to yield the total binding. This mixture also contained the A2A R-selective radioligand 3H-ZM241385 (American Radiolabeled Chemicals, St. Louis, MO, USA; specific activity, 30 mCi/mmol) at a final concentration of 2.63 nM. The binding assay was carried out in duplicate. The remaining 50 µL of protein aliquots were used to determine protein concentration with the BCA method. The mixtures (containing 20.6 ± 1.4 µg of protein) were left to incubate for 2 h at room temperature in Eppendorf-tubes, then were rapidly transferred into 15 mL of ice-cold washing solution (Tris-HCl, 50 mM, BSA 0.1% v/w), and instantly vacuum-filtered with the help of a Millipore filtration unit, containing Whatman GF/B glass microfiber filters, which had been soaked overnight in Tris-HCl.
(10 mM), pH 9.1, containing 0.25% v/v of the cationic polymer polyethylenimine (Sigma; Bruns et al., 1983). The glass tubes were rinsed with an additional 15 mL of washing solution onto the filters. The filters then were harvested into 3 mL of Aquasafe scintillation liquid and after 24 h, were counted for tritium with the help of a Tricarb β-counter (PerkinElmer). Binding values are expressed as fmol binding sites per mg protein.

Behavioral Experiments
Behavioral analyses started 21 days post-surgery and were conducted between 8:00 AM and 6:00 PM under a low intensity red light (12 lx), after habituation of the animals to the room for at least 1 h and with care to clean all apparatus with ethanol after testing each animal to eliminate olfactory cues. We carried out two groups of experiments, all videotaped and analyzed using the ANY-maze video tracking system (Stoelting, Wood Dale, IL, USA).

Experimental Set I
The first group of rats were sequentially exposed to the following behavioral tests with a 24 h interval in between them: the elevated plus maze, in order to assess anxiety-like behavior; the open field test to assess locomotor activity as well as anxiety-like behavior; and the splash test in order to evaluate mood alterations.

The elevated plus maze was carried out in an elevated plus-shaped maze with two open arms arranged perpendicularly to two closed arms, as previously described (Kaster et al., 2015). Rats were allowed to explore the maze for 10 min. The general principle of this test is that more “anxious” animals will likely explore less the risky open arms as opposed to the closed arms, which are perceived as safer. Thus, anxiety-like behavior was measured as a lower percentage of open arm entries (Pellow et al., 1985). Entries were counted whenever all the four paws of the animal crossed into one of the arms. The open field test was carried out in a square-shaped arena (1 × 1 m) with defined peripheral and central (36% of total area) zones. Rats were allowed to explore the arena for 10 min and only the first 5 min were analyzed (Gonçalves et al., 2015). Locomotor activity was measured as the total distance traveled and anxiety-like behavior was measured by the time spent in the center zone of the arena, which is perceived as a more threatening area (Choleris et al., 2001; Prut and Belzung, 2003).

The splash test was used as a measure of anxiety- and depressive-like behavior as previously described (Kaster et al., 2015). We measured grooming bouts (head washing and nose/face and body grooming) over 5 min after a 10% sucrose solution was splashed on the dorsal coat of the animal (Yalcin et al., 2005).

Experimental Set II
This second set of experiments sequentially tested rats in a delay-based cost-benefit decision making paradigm in a T-maze, followed by spatial working memory tests using a Y-maze and a repeated trial Morris water maze (MWM).

Delay-Based Cost-Benefit Decision-Making Paradigm in a T-maze
This test is based on delay aversion, which is used as a measure of impulsive decision making or impulsive choice (Pattij and Vanderschuren, 2008). Animals had to choose between a large-but-delayed and small-but-immediate reward (adapted from Bizot et al., 2007). The testing apparatus was a gray-colored T-maze, built out of PVC, with 50-cm-high walls, consisting of a starting runway, ending in two perpendicular 50-cm-long, 15-cm-wide arms. Four removable guillotine wood doors were vertically inserted at the entry and 10-cm from the end of each arm. The space between doors in each arm was enough to accommodate a rat. One week before starting the behavioral tests, rats were food-restricted to achieve 90% of their original weight. During that time, palatable dog chow pellets (Royal Canin Junior®) were given to the rats to habituate them to the new food. The task was divided into three different phases: habituation, training and testing phase.

Habituation
Rats were individually placed on the starting runway and allowed to freely explore the apparatus. Each arm had three pellets, including the starting runway. After 5 min, the number of pellets ingested was verified. If an animal had not eaten all the pellets, it was subjected to a new habituation trial. Up to five trials were conducted each day. After eating all the pellets, the rats progressed to the training phase. The number of habituation trials to reach training criterion was recorded.

Training Phase
Rats were run in the maze where one arm of the apparatus had a small reward (0.5 pellet) and the other had a large (two pellets) reward. The arm where the large reward was placed was randomly selected for each rat, but it was always on the same side throughout the experiment for a given rat. Rats were individually placed on the starting runway and had equal access to both arms. Both doors in the chosen arm were opened when the animal turned to its direction. As soon as the first door was crossed, it was closed to prevent the rat from escaping. The second door in that arm remained open to allow the animal to eat the reward. Then, another trial was carried out until a session of five trials was complete. Up to two sessions of five trials were conducted in the same day. The criterion to progress to the testing phase was choosing the large reward at least four times in five trials in two consecutive sessions. Otherwise, further trials were carried out in the next day. The number of training sessions to reach testing criterion was recorded.

Testing Phase
The test was conducted in five consecutive days, each day consisting of five trials. A delay of 15 s was imposed before the rat had access to the large reward, i.e., after choosing the arm with the large reward, both doors were closed right after the animal crossed the first one, keeping the animal between doors during this period. No delay was imposed after entering the small reward.
arm. The number of choices of the large reward was recorded for each day.

**Working Memory Tests**

The Y-maze spontaneous alternation test was carried out as previously described (Augusto et al., 2013). The rats explored the maze for 8 min. The spontaneous alternation test takes advantage of the natural tendency of animals to choose a different arm than the one previously chosen (Dudchenko, 2004). In a correct sequence, a rat chooses a different arm in each of the successive three entries. The percentage of alternation in correct sequences was used to evaluate spatial working memory.

The repeated trial MWM was carried out in a circular pool (100 cm in diameter, 55 cm high), filled with water at 26°C. A platform (10 cm in diameter) was placed just under the surface of the water. The extra-maze cues in the testing room were kept constant. The test was adapted from a four-trial repeated acquisition protocol described in previous studies (Whishaw, 1985; Zhou et al., 2009) with four consecutive daily trials repeated during four consecutive days. The interval between trials was less than 1 min. The platform was moved to a new quadrant every day, but kept in the same position for all trials on the same day. The rats were allowed to swim until they reach the platform. Working memory was evaluated through the latency of escape from the starting point to the platform.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 6 GraphPad Software. Data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Data were analyzed using unpaired Student’s t-test and two-way ANOVA for repeated measures, followed by Bonferroni post hoc test as appropriate. p < 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

We generated lentivectors, with neuronal tropism (Lundberg et al., 2008), encoding shRNAs to selectively neutralize A2AR (shA2AR) together with EGFP. These lentivectors were injected into the PLmPFC (Figure 1A) of rats. Upon dissection of the PLmPFC 5 weeks post-injection, we performed radioligand binding assay with 3H-ZM241385, an A2AR ligand, to assess A2AR density. The density of A2AR in PLmPFC total membranes was $42.28 \pm 13.46 \text{ fmol/mg protein}$ forshCTRL-treated rats ($n = 4$)
and 17.13 ± 16.03 fmol/mg protein in PLmPFC total membranes from shA2AR-treated rats (Figure 1B), representing a 59 ± 18% decrease in A2AR protein density as compared to shCTRL-treated rats (n = 3–4), a down-regulation similar to that achieved in the striatum (Viana da Silva et al., 2016).

**Downregulation of A2AR in the PLmPFC Induces Slight Mood Alterations With No Changes of Locomotor Activity**

We then evaluated if the downregulation of A2AR in the PLmPFC impacted on locomotor activity. As shown in Figure 1C, there was no difference in the total distance traveled between shA2AR- and shCTRL-treated rats (24.59 ± 1.28 m for shA2AR-treated rats vs. 24.96 ± 2.10 m for shCTRL-treated rats, n = 10, p = 0.8830), suggesting that the exploratory behavior was not affected. Regarding anxiety-like behavior, the results were less clear: there was no difference in the time spent in the center of the open field between shA2AR- and shCTRL-treated rats (10.48 ± 3.58 s for shA2AR-treated rats vs. 10.49 ± 2.72 s for shCTRL-treated rats, n = 10, p = 0.9983; Figure 1D). However, in the elevated plus maze test, while total number of arm entries remained unchanged (25.38 ± 1.07 n = 8, for shA2AR-treated rats vs. 28.78 ± 1.64, n = 9, for shCTRL-treated, p = 0.1116; Figure 1E), shA2AR-treated rats entered less in the open arms as compared with shCTRL-treated rats (36.24 ± 3.32%, n = 8, for shA2AR-treated rats vs. 53.86 ± 4.46%, n = 9, for shCTRL-treated, p = 0.0289; Figure 1F). In contrast, the time spent in the open arms was not significantly altered (41.56 ± 6.04% n = 8, for shA2AR-treated rats vs. 53.86 ± 4.46%, n = 9, for shCTRL-treated, p = 0.1173; Figure 1G). In the splash test, there was an increase in sucrose grooming frequency (7.20 ± 1.14 events for shA2AR-treated rats vs. 4.10 ± 0.737 events for shCTRL-treated rats, n = 10, p = 0.0351; Figure 1H). Altogether, these data suggest that downregulating PLmPFC A2AR might result in a discrete anxiogenic profile.

**Downregulation of A2AR in the PLmPFC Renders Rats More Averse to Delay**

We used a delay-based cost-benefit decision making paradigm in a T-maze (Figures 2A,B) to evaluate preference for a small immediate reward over a larger, but delayed reward. In the habituation phase, shA2AR- and shCTRL-treated rats needed a similar number of habituation trials to reach training criterion, i.e., they learned similarly that there was a reward at the end of two arms (shA2AR-treated rats learned over 7.25 ± 0.88 trials, whereas shCTRL-treated rats learned over 8.38 ± 1.64 trials, n = 8, p = 0.5575; Figure 2C). In the training phase, shA2AR-treated rats needed a lower number of training sessions to reach testing criterion, i.e., they learned faster to choose the larger reward as compared to shCTRL-treated rats (shA2AR-treated rats learned over 3.25 ± 0.16 sessions, Figure 2D). However, in the elevated plus maze test, while total number of arm entries remained unchanged (25.38 ± 1.07 n = 8, for shA2AR-treated rats vs. 28.78 ± 1.64, n = 9, for shCTRL-treated, p = 0.1116; Figure 1E), shA2AR-treated rats entered less in the open arms as compared with shCTRL-treated rats (36.24 ± 3.32%, n = 8, for shA2AR-treated rats vs. 53.86 ± 4.46%, n = 9, for shCTRL-treated, p = 0.0289; Figure 1F). In contrast, the time spent in the open arms was not significantly altered (41.56 ± 6.04% n = 8, for shA2AR-treated rats vs. 53.86 ± 4.46%, n = 9, for shCTRL-treated, p = 0.1173; Figure 1G). In the splash test, there was an increase in sucrose grooming frequency (7.20 ± 1.14 events for shA2AR-treated rats vs. 4.10 ± 0.737 events for shCTRL-treated rats, n = 10, p = 0.0351; Figure 1H). Altogether, these data suggest that downregulating PLmPFC A2AR might result in a discrete anxiogenic profile.

![FIGURE 2](image-url) | Downregulation of A2AR in the PLmPFC increases impulsive choice. (A) Scheme of the testing apparatus (gd, guillotine door). (B) Scheme of the experimental design. (C) shA2AR- and shCTRL-treated rats required a similar number of habituation trials to reach training criterion. (D) shA2AR-treated rats required less training sessions than shCTRL-treated rats to reach testing criterion (when they learn to choose the large reward). (E,F) With a 15-s-imposed delay, shA2AR-treated rats were more intolerant to delay as compared to shCTRL-treated rats, as shown by their decreased choice of the large reward. Data are mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01, unpaired Student’s t-test; *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01, two-way analysis of variance [ANOVA] for repeated measures, followed by Bonferroni post hoc test.
whereas shCTRL-treated rats learned over 6.00 ± 0.82 sessions, n = 8, p = 0.0122; Figure 2D). However, in the testing phase, shA2AR-treated rats were more intolerant to a 15-s-imposed delay as compared to shCTRL-treated rats, suggesting an increase in impulsive choice upon down-regulation of A2A R in the PLmPFC. A repeated measures ANOVA analysis indicated a decrease in the choices of the large reward with increased number of sessions (F(5,70) = 28.08, p < 0.0001), with shA2AR treatment (F(1,14) = 13.64, p = 0.0024), and a session × shA2AR treatment interaction (F(5,70) = 3.10, p = 0.0138; Figure 2E). The total number of choices of the large reward was 8.63 ± 0.82 in shA2AR-treated rats and 16.00 ± 1.67 in shCTRL-treated rats (n = 8, p = 0.0026; Figure 2F).

**Downregulation of A2AR in the PLmPFC Does Not Affect Spatial Working Memory**

There were no differences in spontaneous alternation in the Y-maze test between shA2AR- and shCTRL-treated rats (65.87 ± 5.61%, n = 9, for shA2AR-treated rats vs. 66.44 ± 3.99%, n = 5, for shCTRL-treated rats, p = 0.9350; Figure 3A). In the MWM test, repeated measures ANOVA revealed an effect for trial (F(15,180) = 4.63, p < 0.0001), but not for shA2AR treatment (F(1,12) = 0.31, p = 0.5853; Figure 3B). Overall, these data suggest that A2A R in the PLmPFC have no impact on spatial working memory.

**DISCUSSION**

We have recently reported that A2A R in the PLmPFC control long-term plasticity of excitatory synaptic transmission onto fast spiking interneurons (Kerkhofs et al., 2018) and that they are necessary for dopamine-induced decrease in population activity (Real et al., 2018). Thus, we anticipated a role for PFC A2A R in the control of PFC-dependent behaviors, which include anxiety-like behavior (Calhoon and Tye, 2015; Tovote et al., 2015), cost-benefit decision-making (Bailey et al., 2016) and working memory (Goldman-Rakic, 1999; Fuster, 2001). The most evident effect resulting from the down-regulation of A2A R selectively in the PLmPFC was increased aversion to delay, suggestive of increased impulsive decision making, while only a discrete increase in anxiety-like behavior was observed, and spatial working memory was not significantly affected.

A2A R, in particular those in the nucleus accumbens, have been consistently implicated in cost-benefit decision-making in which the cost is physical effort (e.g., Font et al., 2008; Mingote et al., 2008; Pardo et al., 2012; Nunes et al., 2013). Specifically, activation of A2A R decreased lever pressing for the preferred food as opposed to eating the readily available less preferred chow (Font et al., 2008) and disrupted performance in an instrumental task with high work demands (Mingote et al., 2008), while A2A R blockade or genetic deletion attenuated haloperidol (dopamine D2 receptor antagonist)-induced decrease in the choice of the high reward arm of a T-maze that was accessible after climbing a barrier (Pardo et al., 2012). Now, we show that PLmPFC A2A R have the opposite effect on a cost-benefit decision-making task in which the cost was delay. Decisions about different, yet interrelated, types of costs have dissociable neural circuits and neurochemical mechanisms (Rudebeck et al., 2006; Floresco et al., 2008; Bailey et al., 2016), which may have contributed to this difference. Furthermore, it is known that A2A R are able to modulate the same behavior in opposite direction, depending on the brain region that is being manipulated. That is, for instance, the case for fear memory (Wei et al., 2014; Simões et al., 2016) and psychomotor activity (Shen et al., 2008). Thus, a more comprehensive study involving region-selective manipulations of A2A R will be necessary to dissect their role across different types of decision costs.
The impact of PFC A2A R on delay-based decision making could either be due to a control of: (i) aversion to the holding chamber before the reward; (ii) an altered goal-directed to habit-based strategy; (iii) an altered subjective value of the reward; (iv) an altered spatial memory encoding; and (v) impulsivity. This is compatible with the main involvement of the accumbens-PFC-amygdala circuitry (Floresco and Ghods-Sharifi, 2007; Hauber and Sommer, 2009) as well as of the dorsal hippocampus (Liu et al., 2016) in T-maze based analysis of effort-based decision making, and with the ability of the PFC to control aversive memories (Courtin et al., 2013), goal-directed behavior (Gourley and Taylor, 2016), the subjective value of rewards (Kable and Glimcher, 2007), processing of spatial memory (Jin and Maren, 2015) and impulsivity (Kim and Lee, 2011). However, the previous analysis of the role of A2A R in these different behaviors leads us to propose that PFC-A2A R mostly control delay-based decision making by controlling impulsivity. This contention stems from observations that: (i) A2A R control aversive memories, but this is fully accounted by the impact of amygdalar A2A R (Simões et al., 2016); (ii) A2A R control the shift from goal-directed to habit-based strategies, but this is fully accounted by the activity of A2A R in medial spiny neurons of different striatal regions (Yu et al., 2009; Li et al., 2016); (iii) A2A R control reward, but this is dependent on A2A R in the nucleus accumbens rather than PFC A2A R (Harper et al., 2006; Wydra et al., 2018); and (iv) A2A R control spatial memory, but this is fully accounted by A2A R in the dorsal hippocampus (Li P. et al., 2015; Pagnussat et al., 2015). Furthermore, we now report that PFC A2A R have a discrete impact on anxiety-like behaviors. Therefore, it is likely that the control by PFC A2A R of delay-based decision making might be a consequence of an ability of PFC A2A R to control impulsivity, which is often inferred from the analysis of delay-based decision tasks (Dalley et al., 2011; Kim and Lee, 2011). This contention that PFC A2A R might control delay-based decision making by controlling impulsivity is in agreement with the key role of the PFC in gating impulsivity (Sripada et al., 2011; Mason et al., 2014). However, it should be made clear that this is an indirect inference rather than a direct demonstration and future work should address if PFC A2A R also control others forms of impulsivity apart from impulsive intertemporal choice, such as impulsivity based on speed instead of accuracy (see Kim and Lee, 2011).

Our finding of increased delay aversion upon decreased function of PLmPFC A2A R seems to fully account for the exacerbation of waiting impulsivity observed upon systemic antagonism of A2A R (Oliveros et al., 2017). Likewise, there also seems to be a positive correlation between the intake of caffeine (Grant and Chamberlain, 2018) or caffeinated alcoholic beverages (Amlung et al., 2013; Heinz et al., 2013) and higher impulsivity. Although caffeine is a mixed antagonist of A1/A2A R antagonist (Fredholm et al., 2005), and its intake is already biased by the predisposition to impulsivity (Waldeck and Miller, 1997; Jones and Lejuez, 2005), animal studies indicate that caffeine can actually reduce impulse choice behavior only in the sub-population of rodents with medium-to-high basal level of impulsivity (Barbelivien et al., 2008). Thus, blockade of adenosine receptors seems to work as a normalizer of function, bolstering impulsivity in low-impulsivity individuals and dampening impulsivity when it is already elevated. This putative shift of A2A R function may be associated with stressful conditions in the brain (reviewed in Cunha, 2016) or with a disbalance among the PFC, dorsal hippocampus and nucleus accumbens in the control of impulsivity (Kim and Lee, 2011; Monterosso et al., 2001), since synaptic plasticity is differently regulated by A2A R in each of these brain areas (D’Alcantara et al., 2001; Costenla et al., 2011; Kerkhofs et al., 2018). This possibility allows reconciling the presently observed increased impulsivity upon selective downregulation of A2A R in the PLmPFC with the beneficial effect of caffeine and A2A R antagonists in processes such as memory deterioration (Cunha and Agostinho, 2010; Chen, 2014), ADHD (Pandolfo et al., 2013), schizophrenia (Rial et al., 2014), effort-based decision-making (e.g., Pardo et al., 2012; Nunes et al., 2013), ethanol consumption (Nam et al., 2013) or psychomotor responses triggered by drugs of abuse (e.g., Shen et al., 2008; Matos et al., 2015), all of which are worsened with increased impulsivity.

The role of A2A R in the control of anxiety is not straightforward (reviewed in Cunha et al., 2008; Yamada et al., 2014). Accordingly, there was a discrete effect upon downregulating A2A R selectively in the PLmPFC on anxiety-like behavior in the elevated plus maze test and in the splash test, whereas no effect was observed in the open field test. Although previous human genetic association studies implicate polymorphisms of the A2A R gene in caffeine-induced anxiety (Alsene et al., 2003; Tsai et al., 2006), there is some discrepancy on the impact on anxiety-like behaviors of A2A R genetic deletions and A2A R pharmacological antagonism (e.g., Ledent et al., 1997; El Yacoubi et al., 2000; Kaster et al., 2015) as well as A2A R overexpression (Giménez-Llort et al., 2007; Coelho et al., 2014). The inconsistency in these global manipulations of A2A R might result from a differential contribution of A2A R in different brain regions. This is exemplified by the observations that the deletion of A2A R in striatal neurons does not affect anxiety-like behavior, while deletion of A2A R in the entire forebrain or focal deletion of hippocampal A2A R both produce an anxiolytic phenotype (Wei et al., 2014). Our results add further complexity to the A2A R-mediated modulation of anxious behavior and warrants future region-selective studies to unravel the impact of A2A R in different circuits in the control this behavior.

Given that the downregulation of A2A R in the PLmPFC resulted in a discrete anxious phenotype, caution must be taken when inferring impulsive choice behavior from a T-maze delay-based cost-benefit decision making analysis. The enclosure of animals with an anxious phenotype in a small compartment between two arms of the T-maze during the delay period could have induced a context aversion, leading the rats to choose the small reward solely as a result of a cost-benefit re-evaluation rather than impulsivity. Furthermore, the subjective value of the large food reward was greater in shA2A R- as compared to shCTRL-treated rats as they needed lower number of training sessions to reach testing criterion. Because of this, the extinction of this subjective value could also be faster, adding a confound to our observations. It is known that PLmPFC and A2A R regulate...
fear responses. However, the involvement of A2A R in fear responses is complex, as the nature of regulation depends on the manipulated brain region (Wei et al., 2014; Simões et al., 2016). If A2A R in the PLmPFC also regulates fear responses is not known. Interestingly, impulsive choice behavior has been shown to predict greater anxiety-like behavior in rats (Stein et al., 2015), and in humans, anxious individuals were shown to be impulsive decision-makers in the delay discounting task (Xia et al., 2017), both in agreement with our findings. Thus, future studies to clarify the role of A2A R in anxiety and fear responses and their relationship to impulsive behavior will be useful to dissociate between the impact of PLmPFC A2A R on impulsive decision making vs. on reward value- and context-dependent re-evaluation of cost-benefit during decision making.

The final PFC-related behavioral output that was investigated was working memory, which is bolstered upon pharmacological and genetic ablation of A2A R, both in physiological and pathological situations (reviewed in Chen, 2014), whereas transgenic overexpression of A2A R in the cortex of rats impairs working memory (Giménez-Llort et al., 2007). Working memory is a short-lasting on-line memory buffer system that holds behaviorally relevant information to ongoing tasks and relies on a network of brain regions connected to and orchestrated by the PFC (Goldman-Rakic, 1999; Fuster, 2001). However, we now show that the genetic downregulation of A2A R selectively in the PLmPFC of rats does not affect spatial working memory when assessed as the spontaneous alternation in the Y-maze and it has an inconsistent effect on working memory assessed in the repeated trial MWM test. Our findings are in line with a recent report that optogenetic activation of A2A R signaling pathways in the mPFC did not affect spatial working in the Y-maze test (Li et al., 2018); in contrast, the selective manipulation of A2A R in the striatum is sufficient to control working memory (Zhou et al., 2009; Wei et al., 2011) in a manner equivalent to the improvement of spatial working memory upon systemic antagonism of A2A R (Augusto et al., 2013; Li et al., 2018). Thus, it seems that striatal A2A R override mPFC A2A R in controlling working memory performance in physiological conditions. However, it remains to be determined to which extent PFC A2A R might contribute to the recovery of the deterioration of working memory performance afforded by A2A R blockade in different pathological conditions (Horita et al., 2013; Li W. et al., 2015).

Altogether, our findings that PLmPFC A2A R mediate impulsive choice constitutes the first direct demonstration of a role of A2A R in the control of behavior in physiological conditions. We have recently shown that PFC A2A R LTP in excitatory synapses onto fast spiking interneurons and control PLmPFC network activity (Kerkhofs et al., 2018). Thus, future research targeting selectively A2A R in PLmPFC fast spiking interneurons will be needed to clarify whether specifically A2A R located on glutamatergic synapses impinging on fast spiking interneurons control decision making and impulsive choice, or these behaviors are rather dependent on cooperation among A2A R located in different cell types. Furthermore, the differences observed between the selective manipulation of A2A R in the PLmPFC and more global alterations of A2A R function clearly warrant the need of future studies to dissect the hierarchy of the different roles of A2A R in different brain regions in the control of mood and cognition. Additionally, since decision making and impulsive choice is also modulated by dopamine receptors, it will also be interesting to probe whether the effect of A2A R on impulsive choice involves interaction with dopamine D2R, especially because antagonism and genetic deletion of A2A R dampen dopamine-mediated decrease in PFC network activity (Real et al., 2018). Finally, the up-regulation of A2A R in synapses upon brain disease condition (reviewed in Cunha, 2016), namely in the PFC (Pandolfo et al., 2013), heralds the potential of A2A R as relevant players controlling the pathophysiology of several neuropsychiatric disorders (Cunha et al., 2008), which still remains to be explored.
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