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Abstract
We present herein a first proof of concept demonstrating the potential of a protein nanopore-based technique for real-time detection of selected Gram-negative bacteria (Pseudomonas aeruginosa or Escherichia coli) at a concentration of 1.2 × 10^8 cfu/mL. The anionic charge on the bacterial outer membrane promotes the electrophoretically driven migration of bacteria towards a single α-hemolysin nanopore isolated in a lipid bilayer, clamped at a negative electric potential, and followed by capture at the nanopore’s mouth, which we found to be described according to the classical Kramers’ theory. By using a specific antimicrobial peptide as a putative molecular biorecognition element for the bacteria used herein, we suggest that the detection system can combine the natural sensitivity of the nanopore-based sensing techniques with selective biological recognition, in aqueous samples, and highlight the feasibility of the nanopore-based platform to provide portable, sensitive analysis and monitoring of bacterial pathogens.

Keywords: Protein nanopore, α-Hemolysin, Gram-negative bacteria, Antimicrobial peptides, Bacteria biosensing

Background
One of the clearest and present dangers posed to health is the acquired microbial infection, which can spread widely, mainly due to the globalized food and beverage production and supply or in the form of biological warfare agents. While such outbreaks hit equally high-income countries as well as poor or developing ones, the latter category still remains more exposed to such risks, as the 2012 World Health Organization data from sub-Saharan Africa reported more than two million deaths attributed to a variety of infectious diseases [1].

This alone calls for the delivery of cheap, easy-to-use, and improved microbial detection methods. To detect and identify pathogens, among widely used approaches are the traditional sample cultivation protocols, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, immunomagnetic separation, surface plasmon resonance detection, and nucleic acid-based polymerase chain reaction technologies [2–5].

Despite their proven potential, the abovementioned techniques are plagued by a number of drawbacks that hinder them from becoming the method of choice when it comes to achieving portable and rapid pathogens detection, e.g., their implementation is time-consuming, costly, and labor-intensive, and it requires well-equipped, sterile laboratories and highly trained personnel [6, 7].

Thus, efforts were devoted to developing miniaturized diagnostic systems based on microfluidic platforms or nanomaterials for pathogen detection and analysis that circumvent the necessity of sophisticated infrastructure and sample preparation procedures, paving the way for a faster diagnosis with reduced implementation costs, while simultaneously providing reliable epidemiological data [8–14].

One of the newest paradigms applicable for sensitive, selective and high-throughput detection of biological-active compounds relies on protein or solid-stated nanopore-based biosensors, which have emerged as materials with powerful analytic capabilities for biosensing applications. In a nutshell, the idea behind the nanopore-based biosensors relies on the fact that the single macromolecule capture, and subsequent interactions of the molecules and the pore, produces a displacement of electrolytes in the channel, leading to
a sudden decrease in conductivity which can be resolved and recorded using low noise feedback-loop operational amplifiers. Due to the fact that such characteristic changes in the nanopore-mediated current depend upon the physico-chemical and topological features of the analyte, the analysis of the stochastic electric blockade signature can be used to reveal the concentration, identity, and other microscopic features of the analyte (e.g., diffusion coefficient, volume, charge) [15–18].

As vivid examples suggesting their usefulness in the realm of molecular detection, nanopores were successfully employed for RNA and DNA detection and analysis [19–22], peptides [23–25], protein detection and analysis [26–28], and small analytes like metal ions, amino acids, neurotransmitters, or antibiotic molecules belonging to the β-lactam family [29–35]. It is thus accepted that biosensors based upon nanopores or ion channels may shape the modern paradigms of medical care and drug screening, environmental monitoring, or food safety [36–38].

With direct relevance for the detection of pathogens, leading to the possible development of robust and portable solid-state nanopore-based biosensors, in recent papers, there were reported the detection of single HIV-1 particles [39], the rigid rod-shaped tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) [40], and the capture and translocation of the stiff filamentous virus fd, which may have further applications as fd virus is a marker of sewage contamination in ground water [41].

We present herein a first proof of concept, to our knowledge, for the detection of two different Gram-negative bacteria (i.e., Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli) with a single α-hemolysin (α-HL) protein pore embedded in a reconstituted lipid bilayer. By exploiting the binding efficiency towards these bacterial strains of an antimicrobial peptide developed in our laboratories, we probed the possibility of differentiating between the two types of bacteria with the α-HL nanopore. With further development, we suggest that the approach might be applied to the fabrication of bacterial sensor nanoarrays, enabling real-time detection of multiple strains of bacterial cells simultaneously.

Methods
Bacterial Culture
E. coli (ATCC 25922) and P. aeruginosa (ATCC 15692) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Bacterial cells were cultured at 37 °C in appropriate culture medium (Luria Broth or 0.05% NaCl with nutrient broth). The cells were counted (1 × 10^8 cfu/mL) using a UV spectrophotometer. Next, the cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 4000×g for 5 min and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and moisture was eliminated using a lyophilizer for 5 min.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)
Morphological features of bacterial cells were assessed by comparing E. coli and P. aeruginosa cells grown to mid-logarithmic growth phase at 37 °C and resuspended in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 2 h at 4 °C, dehydrated through a 50–100% ethanol series (10 min at each step), and coated with platinum. The cells were then examined under a scanning electron microscope (JSM-7100F; Jeol, Tokyo, Japan) (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1 Morphological analysis of bacterial membrane using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Representative pictures of a E. coli and b P. aeruginosa bacterial cells by SEM. Scale bar = 10 μm
CMA3 Peptide Synthesis and Purification

The CMA3 peptide (KWLLLKHHIGKHFLSAKKF-NH2) was synthesized as previously described [42] using the 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) solid-phase method on Rink amide 4-methyl benzhydryamine resin (0.55 mmol/g; Novabiochem, Darmstadt, Germany) with a Liberty microwave peptide synthesizer (CEM Co., Matthews, NC, USA). To obtain N-terminal fluorescently labeled peptides, resin-bound peptides were treated with 20% (v/v) piperidine in dimethylformamide to remove the protective Fmoc group from the N-terminal amino acid residue. The peptides were then cleaved from the corresponding resins, precipitated with ether, and extracted. The resultant crude peptides were purified by reversed-phase preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on a Jupiter C18 column (250 × 21.2 mm, 15 μm, 300 Å) using a 0–60% acetonitrile gradient in water containing 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid. The purity of the extracted peptides (more than 95%) was then confirmed using analytical reversed-phase HPLC on a Jupiter proteo C18 column (250 × 4.6 mm, 90 Å, 4 μM). The molecular mass of the peptides was confirmed using a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometer (MALDI II; Kratos Analytical, Inc., Spring Valley, NY, USA).

Electrophysiology

A single heptameric α-hemolysin protein pore (α-HL) (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) was allowed to self-assemble into an artificial lipid membrane composed of 1,2-diphytanoyl-sn-glycerol-phosphocholine (Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, AL, USA), reconstituted across a circular aperture with a diameter of ~150 μm punctured in a 25-μm-thick Teflon film (Goodfellow, Malvern, MA, USA), pre-treated with 1:10 hexadecane/pentane (HPLC-grade, more than 95%) was then confirmed using analytical reversed-phase HPLC on a Jupiter proteo C18 column (250 × 4.6 mm, 90 Å, 4 μM). The molecular mass of the peptides was confirmed using a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometer (MALDI II; Kratos Analytical, Inc., Spring Valley, NY, USA).

Electrophysiology

A single heptameric α-hemolysin protein pore (α-HL) (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) was allowed to self-assemble into an artificial lipid membrane composed of 1,2-diphytanoyl-sn-glycerol-phosphocholine (Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, AL, USA), reconstituted across a circular aperture with a diameter of ~150 μm punctured in a 25-μm-thick Teflon film (Goodfellow, Malvern, MA, USA), pre-treated with 1:10 hexadecane/pentane (HPLC-grade, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), separating the two polycarbonate chambers (volumes of 1 mL) of the recording cell. The chambers were filled with a 0.5-M KCl solution buffered with 10 mM HEPES at pH = 7 and 5 mM MES at pH = 4, respectively. The trans (not grounded) chamber was filled with a ~1.2 × 10^8 cfu/mL of bacterial suspension containing either P. aeruginosa or E. coli, obtained by rehydration of the lyophilized bacteria with the same electrolytic solution. Upon addition of ~1 μL from a monomeric stock solution of α-HL made in 0.5 M KCl on the cis (grounded) side of the membrane and continuous stirring for about 5 min, successful insertion of the heptameric α-HL nanopore occurred. The ion current mediated by the α-HL nanopore was recorded in the voltage-clamp mode with an Axopatch 200B (Molecular Devices, USA) patch-clamp amplifier, at the room temperature of ~22 °C. When the experiments were performed in the presence of antimicrobial peptides, CMA3 was added to the trans chamber at a bulk concentration of 20 μM and was allowed to interact with the bacterial membranes for ~10 min before starting the recordings. Amplified electric signals reflecting ion current through the α-HL nanopore were low-pass filtered at a corner frequency of 10 kHz. Data acquisition was performed with a NI PCI 6221, 16-bit acquisition board (National Instruments, USA) at a sampling frequency of 50 kHz, using a LabVIEW 8.20 (National Instruments, USA) virtual instrument. Numerical analysis and graphical representation of the acquired data were performed using Origin 6 (OriginLab, USA) and pClamp 6.03 (Axon Instruments, USA) software.

Results and Discussion

We show the experimental principle of single-bacteria detection using the α-HL nanopore in Fig. 2. In the closed vicinity of a single nanopore inserted in a lipid membrane, whose electric potential on the trans side is negatively biased, the trans-added negatively charged bacteria are electrotopheretically driven towards the lumen entrance of the protein by the electric field lines generated by the transmembrane potential. Despite the microscopic intricacies of the transport, which are different mainly due to the much larger hydrodynamic radius of bacteria—and therefore much diminished diffusion coefficient and mechanic deformability—as compared to individual peptides or DNA molecules, the actual electrotopheretic coefficient of closely related bacteria is in the close order of magnitude of other small molecules as mentioned above (~10^{-8} \text{ m}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}) [43]. Therefore, we believe that at its core, the physical mechanism of the bacteria capture by a single α-HL nanopore may be similar (\textit{vide infra}, also) to that encountered in the case of biomolecules [26, 33, 44]. Note that bacterial cells bear a net negative charge on their surface due to negative phosphate and carboxyl groups of LPS molecules [45, 46], and zeta potential values measured in low salt buffers, range from ~22 to ~55 mV for the \textit{E. coli} [47] and from ~11 to ~42 mV, respectively, for \textit{P. aeruginosa} cells [48, 49]. We conclude that by the virtue of physical principles explained in Fig. 2, a trans-negative transmembrane potential creates electric field lines extending from the bulk electrolyte into the entrance of the nanopore, enabling the electrophoretic funneling of bacteria towards the α-HL lumen entrance, and give rise to a temporary reduction in the ionic current through the nanopore, suggestive of bacterial cell detection at the single-particle level as depicted graphically in Fig. 2.

In Fig. 3, we display typical recordings probing the reversible changes in the ionic current through a single α-HL nanopore, when either \textit{P. aeruginosa} or \textit{E. coli} bacterial cells were added on the negatively biased trans side of the membrane, at an optimal concentration in our experiments of 1.2 × 10^8 cfu/mL. During the course of preliminary recordings carried out in the presence of
Fig. 3 Demonstration of individual detection of *P. aeruginosa* (P.a.) and *E. coli* (E.c.) with the α-HL. Original current recordings showing reversible current blockage events induced by the association of P.a. and E.c., respectively, with the α-HL. The amplitude histograms showed on the right side indicate the nearly complete ionic flow obstruction through the nanopore by either bacterium. Selected time intervals characterizing the frequency ($\tau_{on}$) and duration ($\tau_{off}$) of bacteria-α-HL interactions are shown in the inset of a. c, d Scatter plots of current blockade versus dwell time of blockade events reflecting the *P. aeruginosa* (P.a.) and *E. coli* (E.c.) interaction with the α-HL. The distinct states of the nanopore, i.e., free and temporarily blocked by a bacterium, are denoted in c. The applied transmembrane potential was $\Delta V = -80 \text{ mV}$.
less concentrated bacterial cells added in the electrolyte (~8 × 10^7 cfu/mL), the scarcity of the bacteria-α-HL nanopore blockade events precluded a reliable statistical analysis (data not shown). Control experiments performed in the absence of bacteria, or in the presence of bacteria added on the trans side, but positively biased membranes, confirmed the absence of such blockade events (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

Given the experimental specifics of microbiology protocols used herein, e.g., the rehydration of bacterial cells, it cannot be excluded that anionic, inner constituents of bacteria or even anionic macromolecules from the bacterial membrane may get released in the buffer, interact with the negatively biased nanopore, and thus are prone to interfere with the blockade events shown in Fig. 3. The net concentration of such constituents is expected to be extremely low to be detected with the α-HL nanopore, given that the pool of bacteria they could come from is only in the order of 10^8 cfu/mL. On the other hand, it should be recollected that within simplifying assumptions, the passage of a spherical analyte through a cylindrical nanopore generates a current block across the nanopore ΔI_{block}, whose modulus is directly proportional to the conducting volume excluded by the analyte (δ), ΔI_{block} = \sigma_{buffer} \Delta V / l_p (σ_{buffer} represents the conductivity of the buffer, ΔV is the transmembrane potential across the nanopore, and l_p is the nanopore's length) [27]. From this volumetric perspective alone, this formula tells us that analytes with variable volumes (δ) entering the nanopore, as it would be the case of individual molecules or molecular oligomers detached from bacterial cells (vide supra), are expected to generate heterogeneous blockade events whose magnitudes (ΔI_{block}) would span a wider range of values, proportional to their volume. As a direct example in this respect, in previous work we have shown that minute variations on the physical and chemical properties of the same analyte result in distinguishable values of the current block across the nanopore (ΔI_{block}) [33], and this is one attribute which makes the nanopore approach so useful for single-molecule studies and identification of even closely related molecules.

In contrast, the all-points histogram presented in Fig. 3 and below reveal only two Gaussian peaks, assigned to the free and the α-HL blocked nanopore. All things considered, we conclude that blockade events detected in our experiments (Fig. 3 and below) reflect bacteria-α-HL reversible interactions.

The current blockade signature through the α-HL nanopore held at ΔV = −80 mV, caused by bacterial cell-α-HL collisions (Fig. 3a, b) and seen as upwardly oriented current spikes from ~−28 ± 0.5 pA (open nanopore) to ~−3.8 ± 0.6 pA (blocked nanopore)) contain very short events (seen better in the zoomed-in insets) and longer ones. As indicated by scatter plots of points corresponding to the inter-event time distribution shown in Fig. 3c, d, the mean association time of E. coli to the α-HL, calculated from the time distribution of events belonging to the “free pore” distribution, is roughly one order of magnitude faster than that of P. aeruginosa (τ_{on}E.c. = 0.23 ± 0.004 s; τ_{on}P.a. = 1.47 ± 0.05 s). To confirm this further, the dynamics of the reversible association between bacterial cells and a single α-HL nanopore was investigated at different applied potentials, and we computed the reciprocal of the mean inter-event times (τ_{on}), i.e., the bacteria-α-HL association rate (rate_{on}). As shown in Fig. 4, rate_{on} for either type of bacterial cells increases exponentially with the applied voltage, and E. coli associates faster than P. aeruginosa to the α-HL within the range of the applied transmembrane potentials. For each applied voltage, the experiments were performed several times in order to probe the reproducibility. In Additional file 1: Figure S4, we show the additional analysis of dissociation times (τ_{off}) of individual bacteria from the nanopore, which strengthen further the distinct kinetic pattern of such interactions depending upon the bacterial cells under investigation. Note however that unlike experiments done with macromolecules able to translocate across the nanopore (e.g., peptides, nucleic acids), bacteria are sterically excluded from such excursions, meaning that our reported dissociation times reflect solely bacteria unbinding from the nanopore to the same side, under thermal forces.
A relevant issue we tried to address next was to shed light on the dominant model at play, regarding the mechanism of bacterial cell-α-HL nanopore association. As it is known, one of the widely used models describing the thermodynamics and kinetic pathway of interactions taking place between individual molecules makes use of the transient complex formation during a chemical transformation (or molecular interaction, for that matter) and is being placed at the core of the classical transition-state theory [50]. In contrast, we investigate herein interactions taking place between macroscopic particles (i.e., bacterial cells) and individual proteins (i.e., the α-HL nanopore) rather than interactions taking place between individual molecules, and this may restrict the applicability of the classical transition-state theory. However, it is noteworthy that the α-HL nanopore is immobilized in the lipid membrane, thus exposing only a limited domain roughly consisting of the 2 nm in diameter opening of the α-HL’s β-barrel on the trans side (see cartoon representations in Figs. 2 and 6), to enable the capture of the trans-added bacterial cells. In other words, despite their ∼μm range in diameter (Fig. 1), the bacterial cells association to the α-HL nanopore are mediated by molecular interactions taking place solely on a nanometer-scale surface patch on the incoming bacteria, which are being guided by the transmembrane potential towards the α-HL’s β-barrel opening on the trans side. These considerations allow us to recognize, among others (vide infra), the classical Kramers’ theory as a putative mechanism describing the association of bacteria with the nanopore.

Inspired from previous studies [33, 44, 51], the capture mechanism of bacterial cells investigated herein can be described either in the diffusion-limited regime, case in which the capture rate would be limited by the time required for the bacteria to arrive at the pore, or with the energy barrier model, in which the capture rate is described in the framework of the classical Kramers’ theory, according to which the delivery of the bacterial cells is necessary but not sufficient to result in binding to the pore’s mouth, as they need to acquire energy to overcome an energetic barrier for the binding to occur. The salient feature which distinguishes between the two models lies in the voltage dependence of the association rate, which is predicted to vary linearly with the applied voltage, for the energy barrier model. Thus, the results presented in Fig. 4 are consistent with an energy barrier model in which bacterial cells are delivered to the pore mouth multiple times before a successful, transient binding occurs. As it has previously been used to describe the entry of proteins into a nanopore [52], the voltage dependence of rate_{on} describing the association of both E. coli and P. aeruginosa with the α-HL, was fitted with an exponential curve consistent with the Van’t Hoff-Arrhenius law, expressed by the equation: 

\[ \text{rate}_{\text{on}} = A \cdot \exp\left(\frac{q \Delta V - k_BT_m}{k_B T_m}\right), \]

where \( q \) is the effective electric charge at the surface of the bacterial cell which has to surpass the energy barrier \( U_p \), at the entrance of the α-HL pore, \( \Delta V \) is the negatively applied transmembrane potential, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, and \( T_m \) is the absolute temperature of the environment. The constant \( A \) depends on the concentration of bacterial cells, the diffusion coefficient of bacteria, and the geometry of the protein pore [26], and given the close geometric resemblance of E. coli and P. aeruginosa, we consider it similar for the two types of bacteria to a first approximation. The above expression can be written as rate_{on} = \( r_0 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{q \Delta V}{k_B T_m}\right) \)

where the constant term \( r_0 = A \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{U_p}{k_B T_m}\right) \) represents the association rate in the absence of the applied voltage. We note that among others, the energy barrier term (\( U_p \)) contains lumped contributions related to electrostatic repulsive interactions manifested between the negatively charged bacterial cells and the lumen entrance of the α-HL nanopore, composed of 14 aspartic acids (D127 and D128) and seven lysines (K131) from the seven protein monomers, which at neutral pH assumes a net negative charge [53].

For the negatively charged surface of the bacteria, the electric charge \( q \) is equal to \(-z|e|\), where \( z \) represents the effective electric valence and \(|e|\) is the elementary charge of the electron. The values for \( r_0 \) and \( z \) respectively, as determined from the fitted exponential curves, are summarized in Table 1. At least two important remarks should be made regarding this result: (i) although the net electric surface charge of bacteria is far larger, the fact that the effective electric charge contributing to bacteria-α-HL interactions is barely in the order of an elementary charge comes as no surprise, considering that the dimensions of bacterial cells are in the order of micrometers, while the α-HL nanopore opening dimensions are in the order of nanometers [16]. That is, the electric field created by the external applied voltage and extending out of the α-HL’s lumen into the trans chamber will act upon

Table 1 Values for the capture rate (\( r_0 \)) of P. aeruginosa (P.a.) and E. coli (E.c.) cells by the α-HL nanopore in the absence of the applied voltage, and the effective electric valence (quantified by \( z \)) on the bacterial surface in contact with the α-HL’s lumen opening, estimated in the absence and presence of trans-added CMA3 peptide (20 μM) from the exponential fits shown in Fig. 4.

|            | E.c.                  | P.a.                  | E.c. +CMA3 | P.a. +CMA3 |
|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|
| No peptide|                       |                       |            |            |
| \( r_0 \) (s⁻¹) | 0.04 ± 0.02           | 0.66 ± 0.17           | 0.05 ± 0.02 | 1.33 ± 0.14 |
| \( z \)    | 0.95 ± 0.10           | 0.58 ± 0.09           | 0.82 ± 0.10 | 0.48 ± 0.04 |
a very limited number of negative charges present on the bacterial surface, which are localized in the close proximity of the nanopore. Moreover, given that at a room temperature of $T_m = 300$ K, the Debye length $\kappa^{-1}$ for a KCl solution of 0.5 M ionic strength is approximately 0.4 nm

$$k^{-1} = \sqrt{\frac{e_i e_0 T_m}{2(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_0) N_A^N A^N}},$$ where $e_i$ and $e_0$ represent the relative permittivity of the electrolyte ($e_i \sim 70$) and vacuum permittivity, respectively, $N_A$ is Avogadro’s number, $e^-$ stands for the elementary charge, $k_B$ is Boltzmann constant, $T_m$ the absolute temperature, and $I$ represents the ionic strength of electrolyte in molar units; a limited number of negative charges belonging to the extremities of the O-side chains of LPS which make up for the charge distribution strength of electrolyte in molar units; a limited number of negative charges present on the bacterial surface [54, 55] will interact electrostatically with the charged ring at the trans opening of the $\alpha$-HL nanopore. (ii) The effective electric charges contributing to bacteria-$\alpha$-HL interactions were found to be lower for $E. coli$ cells as compared to $P. aeruginosa$. This creates an apparent paradox, considering that the capture process of $E. coli$ by the $\alpha$-HL nanopore, which is governed in part by the electric attractive interactions between bacterial cells and the electric field lines at the entrance of the nanopore (Fig. 2), is higher as compared to $P. aeruginosa$. As we will also demonstrate below, we conclude that electrostatic repulsive interactions manifested between the negatively charged bacteria and the $\alpha$-HL’s lumen entrance contribute to an increase in the free energy barrier needed to be overcome ($U^*$, vide supra), as the bacteria proceeds along its pathway to the nanopore’s entrance, leading to its transient association to the $\alpha$-HL. Thus, in qualitative terms, less charged bacteria (i.e., $E. coli$) will associate more easily with the $\alpha$-HL nanopore. This is also confirmed by the fact that the constant term $r_0 = A \exp(-\frac{U^*}{k_BT_m})$ from the model used to characterize the dynamics of bacteria-$\alpha$-HL interactions (vide supra) is almost one order of magnitude larger for $E. coli$ than for $P. aeruginosa$ cells (see Table 1).

In a recent paper [42], we demonstrated that a synthesized antimicrobial peptide termed CMA3, derived from two naturally occurring AMPs, cecropin A and magainin 2, showed antimicrobial activity against drug-resistant $E. coli$ and $P. aeruginosa$ strains used herein. A key step leading to microbe lysis by AMPs belonging to this class is represented by the binding and subsequent insertion of peptides into the bacterial membrane [56], driven by a combination of peptide–bacteria electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions. As a consequence, and as demonstrated previously by us and other authors, the cationic CMA3 peptide adsorption to the outer membrane containing negatively charged LPS progressively neutralizes the net negative charge on the bacterial surface, and this will be directly correlated to the amount of peptide bound to bacteria [42, 47, 57].

Based on these, we employed the CMA3 peptide as a putative molecular recognition element and sought to interrogate basic biophysical aspects on how the specificity of antimicrobial peptide–bacteria interactions lead to a measurable response, when detecting the two types of bacteria tested herein with the $\alpha$-HL nanopore.

In Fig. 5a, b, we show selected recordings of reversible ion current blockades through the $\alpha$-HL nanopore caused by the association of either $P. aeruginosa$ or $E. coli$ cells with the nanopore held at $\Delta V = -80$ mV, in the presence of CMA3 peptide (20 |u|M) added on the trans side of the membrane. Note that as a consequence of the net positive charge (+8|e|) on CMA3 at neutral pH, individual peptide-nanopore interactions are excluded at negative potentials. Thus, the events seen are indicative solely of bacterium-nanopore collisions. To further substantiate this, we show in Additional file 1: Figures S2 and S3 ion current traces recorded in control experiments (i.e., the absence of bacterial cells), demonstrating the absence and, respectively, presence of CMA3-$\alpha$-HL reversible interactions, as seen at negative and positive transmembrane potentials held across the nanopore, similar in absolute values to those used herein.

As argued above, the adsorption of the cationic CMA3 to either bacterial strain will decrease the net value of the negative charge on their outer membrane, and this would tend to lower the electrophoretically driven capture rate of bacteria by the $\alpha$-HL nanopore (see also Fig. 1). Apparently counterintuitive, the scatter plot analysis indicates a slight, yet visible, decrease in the association time of either bacterium at the $\alpha$-HL’s entrance as compared to the case of no peptide added, which would correspond to an increase in the capture rate of bacteria by the nanopore (Fig. 5c, d). For a better quantitative evaluation, the dynamics of the reversible association between bacterial cells and a single $\alpha$-HL nanopore, taking place in the absence or presence of the CMA3 peptide, was calculated from the inverse of the average association time ($t_{on}$) of bacterial cells to the pore, as the capture rate (rate$_{on}$) of bacteria by the nanopore, and shown to be voltage dependent (Fig. 4).

Data points shown in Fig. 4 suggest that an increase in the transmembrane potential leads to correspondingly lower association times of either bacteria strain to the $\alpha$-HL, which is expected due to the increase in the electrophoretic force acting on bacteria, and the association process proceeds faster for the $E. coli$ than $P. aeruginosa$. By contrast, at a given value of the transmembrane potential, a CMA3 peptide-complexed bacteria—which would display a net surface charge deficit—associates faster with the $\alpha$-HL nanopore at the lumen entrance, while the overall voltage-dependent association follows the same tendency as for the peptide-free bacteria. Thus, within the range of transmembrane potentials which allows the optimal recording of the two types of bacterial...
cell interaction with the α-HL in the absence and presence of the CMA3 peptide, \(\Delta V = -80 \text{ mV} \div -110 \text{ mV}\) for \(P. \text{ aeruginosa}\) and \(\Delta V = -50 \text{ mV} \div -90 \text{ mV}\) for \(E. \text{ coli}\), the addition of 20 \(\mu\text{M}\) CMA3 decreased the average times measured between successive capture events of bacterial cells (\(\tau_{on}\)) with 26.5 ± 6.2% for \(P. \text{ aeruginosa}\) and 39.3 ± 6.9% for \(E. \text{ coli}\), respectively.

As presented above, to explain this apparent paradox, we note that the entrance of the α-HL’s β-barrel lumen, pointing towards the trans side, is negatively charged at neutral pH [53]. On a simplified yet realistic framework, we assume that the primary site of bacteria interaction with the α-HL nanopore, leading to its transient capture and the obstruction of ions passage across the nanopore, occurs at the trans mouth of the α-HL’s lumen. Thus, a negative charge distribution on this region reflects as an electrostatic barrier for the electrophoretically driven, negatively charged bacteria towards the α-HL (Fig. 6). In previous work, we demonstrated the crucial role played by such interactions for the capture of peptides by the α-HL [58].

We conclude that a decrease on the negative charge present on the bacteria surface, as induced in the present case by the membrane-adsorbed CMA3 peptide, will contribute both to a decrease in the electrostatic component of the energy height a bacterium must surpass to attach to the pore from the trans side, and a build-up of bacterial cells concentration at the barrier entrance via diminished bacteria-α-HL repulsive electrostatic interactions [44], and this would explain fairly well the data presented in Fig. 5. In quantitative terms, and by employing a similar formalism as above, the effective electric charge on the surface of the bacterial cells and the constant term \(r_0 = A \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{U_{m}}{k_{B}T}\right)\) from the model used to characterize the dynamics of bacteria-α-HL interactions in the presence of the CMA3 peptide were calculated from the nonlinear fit of data shown in Fig. 4 and are displayed in Table 1.

To further probe the relevance of electrostatic interactions manifested between the bacterial cells and the α-HL lumen opening, we conducted experiments at a pH = 4 set in both chambers of the bilayer setup. Under such conditions, the 14 aspartic acids (Asp127 and Asp128 in each of the heptamers of the α-HL pore assembly, pK\(_a\) values ~3.9) will be partially protonated, thus leading to a dramatic decrease in the negative charge at the entrance of the protein pore. Note that the bacterial species used herein are negatively charged over a wide range of pH values. However, at acidic pH’s and depending upon the particular bacterial cells, the net surface charge of bacterial cells’ outer membrane
Fig. 6 Cartoon representation (not drawn to the scale) reflecting the putative role played by the CMA3 peptide adsorption to P.a., on the bacterial cell capture process. As compared to the control case (no peptide, a), the cationic CMA3 peptide (charge = +8|e| at pH = 7) adsorption to P.a. (b) determines a net decrease on the bacterial surface charge on the outer membrane (Q), at pH = 7. The charge reduction on bacterial surface leads to an augmented association rate of the P.a.-α-HL interactions, resulting from the reduced magnitude of electrostatic repulsive forces manifested between the negatively charged bacteria and the lumen entrance of the α-HL (Fp-b), which over-compensates for the reduced electrophoretic force (Felp) acting on the bacterium (see text). Around neutral pH, the net electric charge present at the entrance of α-HL’s lumen is $\sim -7|e^\text{−}|$.

may be slightly diminished as compared to neutral pH, as reported for E. coli cells [59]. The rationale was that if the bacteria-α-HL collisions were controlled, among others, by the repulsive electrostatic interactions manifested at the negatively charged lumen entrance of the nanopore, a partial neutralization of these charges would facilitate bacteria-α-HL association.

This expectation is fully consistent with experimental observations, which involved only P.a. for this objective, showing an almost two-order of magnitude decrease in the bacteria-α-HL mean association time at pH = 4, as compared to experiments carried out at pH = 7 (Fig. 7, data corresponding to the distribution of “free pore” events). In addition, this observation suggests that acidic electrolytes may present better opportunities to record bacteria-α-HL blockades, and in conjunction with alternative ways aimed at enhancing the bacteria capture rate, e.g., the use of salt gradients across the nanopore [28], this may be useful in forthcoming studies to improve the detection limit of our approach.

As a cautionary note, we remind that previous work has revealed the presence of a so-called gating dynamics of the α-HL nanopore at acidic pH, which could interfere with the stochastic changes in the current across the nanopore generated by the bacterial cell-nanopore interactions described herein [60]. As we show herein by our control experiments (Additional file 1: Figure S5), such intrinsic, stochastic changes in the ion current through the protein at low pH have a distinct appearance in terms of frequency of occurrence and duration as compared to the blockade events caused by individual bacteria colliding to the nanopore, so they could be reliably excluded from our kinetic analysis. Moreover, prevalent intrinsic gating events on the α-HL nanopore were seen only at higher transmembrane potentials as compared to those used in this study (Additional file 1: Figure S5, panel d). The scatter plot analysis shown in Fig. 7d, e, illustrates that the mean dissociation time of the P.a.-α-HL complex at pH = 4 ($t_{\text{off}} = 1.4$ s; data corresponding to the “blocked pore”) is almost two orders of magnitude larger as compared to pH = 7 ($t_{\text{off}} = 0.02$ s). This may be also a consequence of the reduced repulsive interactions manifested between the P.a. and the α-HL, which would facilitate the bacterium residence at the α-HL’s lumen entrance in acidic pHs as compared to neutral ones.

Interestingly, the further addition of the CMA3 peptide on the electrolyte solution at pH = 4 does very little to the association kinetics of the P.a. to the α-HL as compared to neutral pH (Fig. 8), and this tendency is preserved at any applied transmembrane potential (Fig. 9). Again, this is counterintuitive since the cationic CMA3 association to the bacterium surface is expected to diminish the net value of negative charge density on the surface, and thus further augment P.a.-α-HL association process. A possible explanation for this phenomenon may have to do with the decreased ability of such synthetic antimicrobial peptides to bind to the bacterium surface at low pHs, meaning that the overall surface charge remains largely unchanged when the peptide is added to the buffer solution. This hypothesis remains to be tested further.

Conclusions

Within the scope of this work, we have demonstrated the first proof of concept of a nanopore system based upon the α-HL protein to detect P. aeruginosa or E. coli presence in aqueous buffers. We capitalized on the ability of a selected antimicrobial peptide to interact specifically with and alter to a different extent the outer
membrane surface charge density of bacterial cells and illustrated the impact of altering the surface charge of the bacterial cells on their detection by the α-HL nanopore. Based on these findings, we suggest the potential of using the α-HL nanopore in conjunction with molecular probes such as more specific AMP’s, or bacteria-specific, multivalent functionalized nanostructured substrates [61], to enable detection of bacteria in real-life samples. This is achievable by exploiting such analyte’s ability to target and modify selectively the
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**Fig. 7** *P. aeruginosa* (*P.a.*) interaction with the α-HL at neutral and acidic pH’s. **a** At pH = 4, the partial depletion of the net negative charge at the lumen entrance of the α-HL (~−1|e|) results on a reduced electrostatic repulsive forces manifested between the negatively charged bacteria and the lumen entrance of the α-HL (Fp-b) as compared to neutral pH (see also Fig. 6). In **b** and **c**, we show representative traces displaying the current fluctuations entailed by *P.a.* occluding the α-HL at pH = 7 and pH = 4, respectively. The applied transmembrane potential was ΔV = −80 mV. In **d** and **e**, we represent the events scatter plot of current blockade (ΔIblock) versus dwell time of the free and blocked pore, for traces recorded at pH = 7 and pH = 4.

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 8** Electrical characterization of *P. aeruginosa* (*P.a.*) interaction with the α-HL at acidic pH. Comparison of *P.a.*-induced ion current blockades through the α-HL recorded at pH = 4 and ΔV = −70 mV, in the absence (a) and presence of the trans-added CMA3 peptide (b). In **c** and **d**, we show the events scatter plots of ΔIblock and dwell times of the free and *P.a.*-blocked α-HL corresponding to the representative traces shown in **a** and **b**. The comparison of dwell times distribution corresponding to the “free pore” reveals the virtual absence of the CMA3 peptide effect on bacterial cells association to the nanopore.
outer membrane charge density of pathogens, whose electrophoretic-mediated interaction with the nanopore will generate blockade events of the current across the α-HL with distinct kinetic patterns than those of other particles present in the buffer.

It should be noted that despite its appeal in terms of development simplicity, one of the shortcomings of the approach reported herein towards detecting with specificity bacterial cells lies in the difficulty of particle discrimination based solely on their physical volume. Namely, for the case of large particles comparable in size with the bacteria used herein, which are precluded from entering the inner volume of the α-HL, identification based solely on their size would be almost impossible as the current blockade extent across the nanopore would be rather similar. The electrophoretic mobility and net charge on the particles are still expected to influence the kinetics of such blockade events, as our data demonstrate that charge alteration of the bacterial surface leads to a distinct kinetic signature on bacteria-nanopore reversible interactions. As an alternative strategy for perspective, we propose that tailored peptides or specific antibodies to target bacterial surface more specifically, as to discern between Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria or even between pathogenic and nonpathogenic cells, could be covalently attached at the α-HL mouth via flexible linkers with optimal length and, at the same time, remain free to explore the nearby electrolyte. Thereupon, depending on the biorecognition probe(s) that is (are) hybridized to the nanopore, and based upon the principles revealed herein, such a construct might be used for the real-time, multiplex, and parallel detection of an extended spectrum of bacterial pathogens.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Contains control experiments performed in the absence and presence of bacteria, added on the trans side, at positively biased membranes, control experiments performed at pH = 4 in the absence of bacteria, at negatively biased membranes, and control experiments performed in the presence of trans-added CMA3 peptides in the absence of bacterial cells, at negative and positive transmembrane potentials held across the nanopore. (DOCX 1419 kb)
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