Capsule-Endoscopic Findings of Ulcerative Colitis Patients
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Abstract
Background/Aims: Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory bowel disease characterized by diffuse mucosal inflammation, traditionally regarded as being limited to the colorectum. Although several gastroduodenal lesions have also been reported recently in cases of UC, in general, small-bowel lesions in UC are believed to be extremely rare. The aim of this study was to examine the small bowel by capsule endoscopy in patients with UC.

Methods: The study was conducted in 23 well-documented UC patients and 23 control volunteers. The frequency of small-bowel lesions, the number of small-bowel lesions per patient and the capsule endoscopy score were comparatively evaluated between the two groups.

Results: Of the 23 UC patients, 13 (57%) showed small-bowel lesions, and 8 (35%) had erosions. There were significant differences in the frequency of the small-bowel lesions (p < 0.001) and erosions (p = 0.009) between the two groups. The capsule endoscopy score was correlated with the UC disease activity index (r = 0.718, p < 0.001).

Conclusions: This is the first capsule-endoscopic study conducted to examine the small-bowel involvement in UC patients as compared with the healthy volunteers. It was concluded that UC, a chronic inflammatory bowel disease, can also involve the small bowel.

Introduction

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory bowel disease characterized by diffuse mucosal inflammation, mainly of the colorectum, and its etiology and pathogenesis still remain poorly understood. UC is traditionally regarded as predominantly involving the rectum and spreading proximally, generally sparing the alimentary canal proximal to the ileocecal valve. However, gastroduodenal lesions have also been reported recently in cases of UC, such as backwash ileitis and postcolectomy pouchitis [1–6]. Furthermore, some investigators have proposed that since UC is also commonly associated with extraintestinal involvement, such as of the biliary tract, anterior chamber of the eye and synovium, it should be considered as a systemic disease and not as a localized colonic disease [7–9]. Data on the small-bowel abnormalities in UC are limited, owing to the lack of availability of an optimum tool for exploring the entire length of the
small-bowel. While some reports of postcolectomy pouchitis have been published [3–5, 10], there are no reports, until date, of frequency and nature of small-bowel involvement in unoperated UC patients. Capsule endoscopy is a newly developed tool with a high diagnostic yield for small-bowel pathologies [11, 12]. In view of the scarcity of information on the small-bowel involvement in UC, we conducted the present capsule-endoscopic study to evaluate the frequency and nature of small-bowel involvement in UC patients. The aim of this pilot study was to investigate the frequency and characteristics of small-bowel lesions in patients with UC.

**Subjects and Methods**

This study was a prospective, endoscopist-blinded, case-control pilot study conducted in UC patients and healthy volunteers; the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval for the study was obtained from the Ethics committee of Yokohama Rosai Hospital, Yokohama, Japan. Written informed consent for participation in the study was obtained from all the UC patients and volunteers.

This study was conducted between June 2009 and December 2010 at Yokohama Rosai Hospital. UC patients and volunteers were recruited by putting up a poster at the hospital. The exclusion criteria were shown later. A total of 23 patients with well-documented UC (UC group) and 23 healthy volunteers (Control group) matched for age and sex were enrolled in this study. Matching was performed by an independent person unaware of the objective of this study. The profiles of the enrolled patients and volunteers are shown in table 1. The diagnosis of UC was confirmed in all cases using widely accepted clinical, radiologic, endoscopic and pathologic criteria [13, 14]. All patients were confirmed to be fecal culture-negative and cytomegalovirus antigenemia-negative. None of the patients or volunteers had a history of treatment with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or aspirin within 3 months prior to the start of the study. None of the volunteers had any symptoms (e.g. diarrhea or abdominal pain), any history of use of antiulcer medications (i.e. histamine H2 receptor antagonists, proton pump inhibitors, or misoprostol), or a history of cardiovascular, respiratory or gastrointestinal diseases.

The following clinical data of the enrolled patients were collected at the time of the capsule-endoscopic examination: age, sex, disease duration, location of the colonic lesions, disease activity, and medication history. Colonoscopy was performed within 1 month of enrollment. The colitis was classified into pancolitis, left-sided colitis (defined as disease extending up to the splenic flexure) or proctosigmoiditis, according to the location of the lesions. Disease activity was determined both clinically and by colonoscopy. The clinical disease activity was graded based on the clinical features and endoscopic mucosal appearances, in accordance with the criteria for determination of the Sutherland Index (disease activity index: DAI) [15]. Two physicians independently graded the endoscopic findings and DAI at the time of enrollment. A follow-up capsule endoscopy shall be performed in previously untreated patients with first-attack UC after remission is achieved (fig. 1).

**Capsule Endoscopy Procedure**

All the video images were reviewed using the Pill Cam SB and SB2 capsule endoscopy system (Given Imaging Ltd., Yokneam, Israel). The capsule-endoscopic examination was performed after the patients had fasted for 12 h. Fluids and light meals were allowed 2 and 4 h, respectively, after the capsule had been swallowed. Both the patients and volunteers were free to leave the hospital, with instructions to return within the 8-hour study period.

---

**Table 1. Characteristics of the UC patients and healthy volunteers in this study**

| Characteristic                                      | UC group | Control group | p value |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------|
| Number of subjects                                  | 23       | 23            |         |
| Sex (M:F)                                           | 13:10    | 14:9          | 0.764   |
| Mean age ± SD, years                                | 42.9 ± 18.0 | 40.0 ± 15.4   | 0.565   |
| Median duration of UC, months (range)               | 78 (1–292) |               |         |
| Extent of UC (pancolitis:left-sided colitis:proctosigmoiditis) | 12:7:4   |               |         |
| DAI (0–2)                                           | 6        |               |         |
| DAI (3–6)                                           | 10       |               |         |
| DAI (7–10)                                          | 7        |               |         |
| DAI (11–12)                                         | 0        |               |         |
| UC treatment                                        |          |               |         |
| No medication                                       | 13 (includes 8 first-attack UC) |         |         |
| Prednisolone                                        | 0        |               |         |
| Mesalazine                                          | 10       |               |         |

DAI = Disease activity index according to Sutherland’s criteria.
at the end of which the data recorder was removed. The recorded
digital information was downloaded from the recorder into the
computer and the images were analyzed using the proprietary
RAPID software. No bowel preparation procedure, such as ad-
ministration of polyethylene glycol solution or sodium phos-
phate, was used.

Data Analysis
Two independent investigators who were blinded to the group
allocation of the patients/volunteers separately reviewed the data
obtained from each of the capsule-endoscopic examinations. The
small-bowel lesions were classified in severity into three types;
reddened lesions, erosions, and ulcers. Reddened lesions such as
reddened folds, erythema/edema and petechiae were grouped
into a single category. Examples of typical reddened lesions are
shown in figure 2a. Superficial white lesions with surrounding
erythema were characterized as erosions. Examples of typical ero-
sions are shown in figure 2b. White lesions within a crater and
with surrounding erythema were classified as ulcers. An example
of a typical ulcer is shown in figure 2c. The finding of diffuse
small-bowel ulcers or multiple ulcers (>3) on capsule-endoscopic
examination was considered being diagnostic of Crohn’s disease,
as described in a previous report [16, 17]. Then, the distribution
of the small-bowel lesions was analyzed. The small bowel was di-
vided into 3 equal segments (proximal, middle and distal) on the
basis of the small-bowel transit time in each subject (see below for
detailed definition of the transit time). Furthermore, we assigned
the capsule endoscopy score for the small-bowel mucosal inflam-
matory changes in order to strengthen the validity of our results
[18]. This scoring index was based on three capsule-endoscopic
variables: villous appearance, ulceration, and stenosis. The muco-
sal inflammatory changes were assessed in tertiles, dividing the
small-bowel transit time into three equal time allotments. The
total score was the sum of the score for the highest tertile plus the
stenosis score. The results were classified into three categories
by the final numerical score: normal or clinically insignificant
change (score <135), mild change (score between 135 and 790),
and moderate or severe change (score ≥790).

If the judgment regarding the capsule-endoscopic findings or
capsule endoscopy score assigned by the two endoscopists was
different, the judgment of the preliminary endoscopist was used.

Statistical Analyses
The results were presented as mean or median (±SD or
range) for quantitative data, and as frequency (percentage) for
categorical data. Categorical data were analyzed using the χ² test
or Fisher’s exact test. The age, number of small-bowel erosions
and ulcers, and the total number of small-bowel lesions were
compared between the UC group and the control group by Stu-
dent’s t test. The capsule endoscopy score was also compared
between the UC group and the control group, and the statistical
significance of any differences was assessed by Mann-Whitney’s
U test. Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient (r) was
calculated to explore possible correlations between the capsule
endoscopy score and the DAI, capsule endoscopy score and the
score for the colonic appearance of the mucosa estimated for
calculation of the DAI. p < 0.05 was considered indicative of sta-
tistical significance.

Results
There were no examination-related complications in
this study. Passage of the capsule with the stool within 2
weeks was confirmed in all the patients and volunteers (if
natural passage of the capsule was not witnessed by the
patient, an abdominal X-ray was obtained 14 days after
the examination to confirm passage of the capsule). None
of the subjects developed any adverse symptoms during
the examination. In all the patients and volunteers, the
capsule reached the cecum within the recording time.

Capsule-Endoscopic Findings in the Two Groups
No ulcers were seen in either group, and none of the
UC patients had capsule-endoscopic findings consistent
with the diagnosis of Crohn’s disease.

The percentages of subjects with positive capsule-en-
doscopic findings (reddish lesions and erosions) in the
two groups are presented in figure 3. Small-bowel lesions
(reddened lesions and/or erosions) were noted in 13 of
the 23 patients (57%) of the UC group, and 2 of the 23
volunteers (7%) of the control group. A statistically sig-
nificant difference was observed in the frequency of
small-bowel lesions between the two groups (p < 0.001).
Erosions were seen in 8 patients (35%) of the UC group
and 1 volunteer (4%) of the control group. A statistically

Fig. 1. Flow diagram of this study.
significant difference was observed in the frequency of small-bowel erosions between the two groups \( (p = 0.009) \). A representative erosion in the UC group is shown in figure 4a, b.

**Comparison of the Number of Small-Bowel Lesions between the Two Groups**

There was a significant difference in the total number of small-bowel lesions (reddened lesions and erosions) per subject between the UC group (mean 5.0 ± 6.4) and the control group (mean 0.1 ± 0.5; \( p < 0.001 \)). Furthermore, there was a statistically significant difference in the number of erosions per subject between the UC group (mean 1.3 ± 2.6) and the control group (mean 0.0 ± 0.2; \( p = 0.029 \)). The data are shown in detail in figure 5a, b.

**Distribution of the Small-Bowel Lesions in the UC Patients**

In the UC group, a total of 115 small-bowel lesions (85 reddened lesions and 30 erosions) were found. The distribution of the small-bowel lesions was as follows (fig. 6a, b): 28 of the 115 small-bowel lesions (24%) were located in the proximal part, 40 (35%) in the middle part, and 47 (41%) in the distal part of the small intestine. Six of the 30 erosions (20%) were located in the proximal part, 9 (30%) in the middle part, and 15 (50%) in the distal part of the small intestine. When analyzed according to the location in the small bowel, the frequency of erosions and small-bowel lesions tended to increase with progression towards the distal intestine.

---

**Fig. 2.** a Example of a typical reddened lesion. b Example of a typical erosion. c Example of a typical ulcer.

**Fig. 3.** Proportion of subjects with positive capsule-endoscopic findings in the two groups.

**Fig. 4.** Representative erosions in the UC group.
Comparison of the Capsule Endoscopy Score for Small-Bowel Mucosal Inflammatory Changes between the Two Groups

The capsule endoscopy score for the small-bowel mucosal inflammatory changes are shown in Table 2. In the control group, the findings in all the volunteers were categorized as ‘normal’ or ‘clinically insignificant change (score ≤ 135)’. On the other hand, in the UC group, 9 of the 23 UC patients were classified as showing ‘mild change (135 ≤ score < 790)’ in the small-bowel mucosa, while the remaining were categorized as normal. None of the subjects in either group in this study showed ‘moderate or severe change’. There was a statistically significant difference in the proportion of subjects showing ‘mild change’ between the two groups (UC group 39% vs. control group 0%; p = 0.015). The median capsule endoscopy score in the UC group was significantly higher than that in the control group (UC group 112 (0–654) vs. control group 0 (0–112); p < 0.001).

Furthermore, to examine the correlation between the small-bowel inflammatory changes and the UC disease activity, we calculated the capsule endoscopy score and the DAI (Fig. 7a, b). A significant correlation was observed between the capsule endoscopy score and the DAI (r = 0.718, p < 0.001), as well as between the capsule-endoscopic score and the score for the colonic appearance of the mucosa estimated for calculation of the DAI (r = 0.554, p = 0.007).
Capsule-Endoscopic Findings before and after Treatment in Previously Untreated Patients with First-Attack UC

The present study included 8 patients with first-attack UC who had no history of previous treatment for UC. Capsule-endoscopic examination revealed small-bowel lesions in 7 of these untreated UC patients. Treatment with mesalazine and/or prednisolone resulted in remission in all of the 7 patients. A follow-up capsule endoscopy was performed in these patients after remission was achieved. Improvement of the small-bowel lesions along with improvement of the patients’ symptoms and colonoscopic findings was noted in these patients (fig. 8a–d). The capsule endoscopy score and DAI also improved with treatment in these patients (table 3).

Clinical Course of the UC Patients after the Capsule-Endoscopic Examination and Pathology of the Small-Bowel Erosions

The mean follow-up period of the UC patients after capsule endoscopy was 12.6 ± 4.6 months. In none of the subjects was the diagnosis of UC changed during the follow-up period into Crohn’s disease, Behçet’s disease, or other disease. Double-balloon endoscopy was performed in 2 patients who were found to have small-bowel erosions, to explore the small intestine, with biopsy of the small-bowel erosions. Cryptitis, crypt abscesses, and lymphoplasmacytic infiltration of the lamina propria mucosae were seen, while there were no granulomas, in either group of patients (data not shown).

Table 2. Comparison of the capsule endoscopy score for small-bowel inflammatory changes in the two groups

| Categories of small-bowel mucosal inflammatory changes | UC group | Control group | p value |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------|
| Normal or clinically insignificant change (<135)        | 14/23 (61%) | 23/23 (100%) | 0.015   |
| Mild change (135 ≤ score < 790)                         | 9/23 (39%) | 0/23 (0%)     | 0.015   |
| Moderate or severe change (≥790)                        | 0/23 (0%)  | 0/23 (0%)     | –       |

Table 3. Comparison of the DAI and capsule endoscopy score measured before and after treatment in patients with first-attack UC

| Patient No. | Before treatment | After treatment |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| DAI         | capsule endoscopy score | DAI         | capsule endoscopy score |
| 1           | 4                 | 255            | 2                 | 112               |
| 4           | 9                 | 393            | 1                 | 112               |
| 12          | 8                 | 654            | 2                 | 337               |
| 15          | 3                 | 112            | 1                 | 0                 |
| 16          | 8                 | 112            | 1                 | 0                 |
| 18          | 3                 | 280            | 0                 | 0                 |
| 21          | 4                 | 0              | 1                 | 0                 |
| 23          | 7                 | 337            | not administered  |                   |

DAI = Disease activity index according to Sutherland’s criteria.
Discussion

This is the first capsule-endoscopic study conducted to examine the small-bowel findings in UC patients as compared with those in healthy volunteers. We found a significantly higher frequency of small-bowel lesions in UC patients as compared with that in the control healthy volunteers. Similarly, the total number of small-bowel lesions and number of erosions were significantly higher in the UC group as compared with those in the control group.

It is well known that UC is often associated with extraintestinal manifestations. Previous studies have reported that extraintestinal manifestations occur in 51.5% of patients with UC [19]. UC is considered to be a multifactorial polygenic chronic inflammatory disease that predominantly affects the gastrointestinal system, with the potential also for systemic involvement, such as of the biliary tract, joints, skin and eyes [7–9]. Moreover, gastroduodenal lesions of UC have recently been reported, in addition to backwash ileitis and postcolectomy pouchitis [1–6]. It is thus not surprising that UC patients were also found to have small-bowel lesions, including redened lesions and erosions, in this study.

Therapy with mesalazine, sulfasalazopyrine and prednisolone has been reported to be effective for the upper gastrointestinal lesions of UC, whereas UC-associated gastroduodenitis has been shown to be refractory to antisecretory therapy (i.e. histamine H2 receptor antagonists, proton pump inhibitors, etc.) [3, 5, 20]. This suggests that these gastroduodenal lesions observed in UC patients do not have a peptic basis, but represent UC-associated lesions. In this study, we performed CE before and after treatment in patients with previously untreated first-attack UC. Small-bowel lesions observed before the treatment were found to have resolved following treatment with mesalazine and/or prednisolone, similar to the case for UC-associated gastroduodenitis, which has previously been reported to be responsive to this therapy. Moreover, almost all of the patients with first-attack UC (7 of 8) in this study had small-bowel lesions. In addition, histopathologic examination of the small-bowel erosions in UC showed cryptitis, crypt abscesses, and lymphoplasmacytic infiltration of the lamina propria mucosae. The findings were similar to the pathological findings of the colonic lesions in UC, including absence of granulomas. These findings suggest that the small-bowel lesions observed by capsule endoscopy in the UC patients in this study were all related to the UC.

The etiopathogenesis of the small-bowel lesions associated with UC is unknown; however, several mechanisms have been proposed based on the results of previous clinical studies of gastrointestinal lesions associated with UC and postcolectomy pouchitis. The efficacy of antibiotics and probiotics against pouchitis suggests that bacteria may play an important role in the inflammation [21]. In addition, it has been hypothesized that molecular mimicry between epithelial protein and bacterial proteins may contribute to the pathogenesis of UC [22]. The recent advances in the understanding of the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease suggest that chronic inflammation is due to the aggressive cellular immune responses to a subset of luminal bacteria [23, 24]. From these reports, it was speculated that the small-bowel lesions associated with UC could possibly develop as a result of dysregulated immune responses to bacterial antigens in genetically susceptible hosts and subsequent excessive autoimmune reactions to the small-bowel epithelium, possibly via memory T cells recruited from the small-intestinal mucosa, as demonstrated in a previous study of the colorectal lesions [25]. Intestinal bacterial flora are likely poor in the jejunum, but abundant in the ileum [26]. In our study, the number of small-bowel lesions detected tended to increase from the proximal to the distal intestine. These results suggest that intestinal bacteria may play an important role in the pathogenesis of the small-bowel lesions associated with UC.

The present study had some limitations. First, sufficient pathological proof was not obtained to indicate that the small-bowel lesions detected in the patients with UC were indeed UC-associated lesions. On the other hand, there are no specific pathological findings of UC. Cryptitis, crypt abscesses and lymphoplasmacytic infiltration of the lamina propria mucosae are seen in UC, but these are not specific diagnostic findings [27]. Furthermore, considering that almost all of previously untreated patients with first-attack UC in this study had small-bowel lesions, the small-bowel lesions observed were speculated to be UC-associated lesions. Second, although there were no patients who had findings consistent with Crohn’s disease, there was the possibility that the UC patients participating in this study included patients with indeterminate colitis (IC) or inflammatory bowel disease-type unclassified (IBDU). It has been reported that a clear distinction between Crohn’s disease and UC cannot be made in 10–15% of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). The term IC or IBDU is used for such patients who present with divergent clinical, endoscopic and histological features [28, 29]. Diagnosis of UC in this study was based on the typical endoscopic and histologic findings, after exclusion of other diseases (e.g. infectious colitis,
ischemic colitis, radiation colitis, collagenous colitis, microscopic colitis, and drug-induced colitis) [14, 15]; however, it has been reported that the diagnosis of IBD can sometimes change during long-term observation. In a recent report, among 18 IBDU/UC patients who underwent capsule endoscopy, 7 were diagnosed as having Crohn’s disease [30]. Even if some of IBDU or IC patients were included in this study, the frequency of small-bowel lesions was still remarkably high. Third, anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae mannan antibodies (ASCA) and perinuclear antineutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibodies (pANCA) have been proposed to be clinically useful as adjunctive tools for establishing the diagnosis of IBD and for differentiating between Crohn’s disease and UC [31]; however, we did not examine these markers, because ASCA is not available for use in Japan. Also, these markers are not included in the diagnostic criteria of UC in Japan, and are therefore not usually checked for in routine practice. All of the UC patients in this study showed typical clinical symptoms of UC and their endoscopic findings were also typical of UC. Moreover, patients with findings suggestive of Crohn’s disease, such as gastrointestinal strictures, fistulae and abscesses, were potentially excluded. We propose to continue this investigation, and that issue is going to the next research agenda. Fourth, the UC patients enrolled in this study were almost in remission and had only mild disease activity. It is unknown whether the disease activity of UC might be related to the incidence of gastroduodenitis associated with UC and backwash ileitis [32, 33]. On the other hand, it has been reported that extraintestinal manifestations such as those related to the joints, skin and eyes are associated with UC activity in most cases [34]. In this study, the capsule endoscopy score for small-bowel mucosal inflammation was correlated with the UC-DAI. The frequency of small-bowel lesions in severe UC patients remains unknown, and further studies including severe UC patients are necessary.

In conclusion, this study suggests that small-bowel pathologies exist at a high frequency in UC patients. UC is a chronic inflammatory bowel disease that may, in addition to predominantly affecting the colon, also involve the small bowel. The severity of the small-bowel mucosal inflammatory changes in UC patients was related to the UC disease activity. Further extensive studies are required for a clearer understanding of the pathogenesis of the small-bowel lesions in UC and also of the clinical significance of UC-associated small-bowel lesions.
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