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Abstract

This paper presents a bicomplex version of the Spectral Decomposition Theorem on infinite dimensional bicomplex Hilbert spaces. In the process, the ideas of bounded linear operators, orthogonal complements and compact operators on bicomplex Hilbert spaces are introduced and treated in relation with the classical Hilbert space $M'$ imbedded in any bicomplex Hilbert space $M$.
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1 Introduction

Hilbert spaces over the field of complex numbers are indispensable for mathematical structure of quantum mechanics [14] which in turn play a great role in molecular, atomic and subatomic phenomena. The work towards the generalization of quantum mechanics to bicomplex number system have been recently a topic in different quantum mechanical models [2, 3, 4, 19, 20]. More specifically, in [7, 8] the authors made an in depth study of bicomplex Hilbert spaces and operators acting on them. After obtaining reasonable results responsible for investigations on finite and infinite dimensional bicomplex Hilbert spaces and applications to quantum mechanics [9, 10, 11, 13], they in [8] asked for extension of Riesz-Fischer Theorem and Spectral Theorem on infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Recently, the authors [17] have obtained the bicomplex analogue of the Riesz-Fischer Theorem on infinite dimensional bicomplex Hilbert spaces. They proved that every separable bicomplex Hilbert space is isometrically isomorphic to the bicomplex analogue of $l^2$. In this article we obtain a bicomplex version of the Infinite Dimensional Spectral Decomposition Theorem using bicomplex eigenvalues.

2 Preliminaries

This section first summarizes a number of known results on the algebra of bicomplex numbers, which will be needed in this paper. Much more details as well as proofs can be found in [15, 18, 19, 20]. Basic definitions related to bicomplex modules and scalar products are also formulated as in [7, 20], but here we make no restrictions to finite dimensions following definitions of [8].

2.1 Bicomplex Numbers

The set of bicomplex numbers

$$\mathbb{M}(2) := \{w = z_1 + z_2 i_2 \mid z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}(i_1)\},$$

is a four dimensional algebra extending the reals by two independent and commuting square roots of $-1$ denoted by $i_1$ and $i_2$. The product of $i_1$ and $i_2$ defines a hyperbolic unit $j$ such that $j^2 = 1$. They are a particular case of the so-called Multicomplex Numbers (denoted $\mathbb{M}(n)$) [15, 16] and [23]. In fact, bicomplex numbers

$$\mathbb{M}(2) \cong \mathbb{C}(1, 0) \cong \mathbb{C}(0, 1)$$

are unique among the complex Clifford algebras (see [1, 6] and [21]) in the sense that this set form a commutative, but not division algebra. An important subset of $\mathbb{M}(2)$ is $\mathbb{D} := \{x + yj \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$. There are three natural involutions of bicomplex numbers, given by conjugations on $\mathbb{C}(i_1)$ and $\mathbb{C}(i_2)$ together with their composition. For the sake of notations, we put them as $w^{i_1} := \bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2 i_2; \ w^{i_2} := z_1 - z_2 i_2$ and $w^{i_3} := \bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2 i_2$. For each conjugation there is a
corresponding modulus: \( \sqrt{w \cdot w^k} \) for \( k = 1, 2, 3 \) [18]. Each of the sets \( \mathbb{C}(i_k) \) is isomorphic to the field of complex numbers, while \( \mathbb{D} \cong \mathbb{Cl}_2(0, 1) \) is the set of so-called hyperbolic numbers, also called duplex numbers (see, e.g. [22], [18]).

The operations of the bicomplex algebra are considerably simplified by the introduction of two bicomplex numbers \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) defined as

\[
e_1 := \frac{1 + j}{2}, \quad e_2 := \frac{1 - j}{2}.
\]

In fact \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) are hyperbolic numbers. They make up the so-called idempotent basis of the bicomplex numbers. One easily checks that (\( k = 1, 2 \))

\[
e_1^2 = e_1, \quad e_2^2 = e_2, \quad e_1 + e_2 = 1, \quad e_k i = e_k, \quad e_1 e_2 = 0.
\]

Any bicomplex number \( w \) can be written uniquely as

\[
w = z_1 + z_2 i_2 = z_1 e_1 + z_2 e_2,
\]

where

\[
z_1 = z_1 - z_2 i_1 \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = z_1 + z_2 i_1
\]

both belong to \( \mathbb{C}(i_1) \). Note that

\[
|w| = \sqrt[\sqrt{2}]{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2}.
\]

The caret notation (\( \hat{1} \) and \( \hat{2} \)) will be used systematically in connection with idempotent decompositions, with the purpose of easily distinguishing different types of indices. As a consequence of (2.3) and (2.4), one can check that if \( \sqrt[\sqrt{2}]{} \) is an \( n \)th root of \( z_1 \) and \( \sqrt[\sqrt{2}]{} \) is an \( n \)th root of \( z_2 \), then \( \sqrt[\sqrt{2}]{} e_1 + \sqrt[\sqrt{2}]{} e_2 \) is an \( n \)th root of \( w \).

The uniqueness of the idempotent decomposition allows the introduction of two projection operators as

\[
P_1 : w \in \mathbb{M}(2) \mapsto z_1 \in \mathbb{C}(i_1),
\]

\[
P_2 : w \in \mathbb{M}(2) \mapsto z_2 \in \mathbb{C}(i_1).
\]

The \( P_k \) (\( k = 1, 2 \)) satisfies

\[
[P_k]^2 = P_k, \quad P_1 e_1 + P_2 e_2 = \text{Id},
\]

and, for \( s, t \in \mathbb{M}(2) \),

\[
P_k(s + t) = P_k(s) + P_k(t), \quad P_k(s \cdot t) = P_k(s) \cdot P_k(t).
\]

The product of two bicomplex numbers \( w \) and \( w' \) can be written in the idempotent basis as

\[
w \cdot w' = (z_1 e_1 + z_2 e_2) \cdot (z_1' e_1 + z_2' e_2) = z_1 z_1' e_1 + z_2 z_2' e_2.
\]
Since 1 is uniquely decomposed as $e_1 + e_2$, we can see that $w \cdot w' = 1$ if and only if $z_1^2 z_2' = 1 = z_2 z_2'$. Thus $w$ has an inverse if and only if $z_1^2 \neq 0 \neq z_2'$, and the inverse $w^{-1}$ is then equal to $(z_1)^{-1} e_1 + (z_2)^{-1} e_2$. A nonzero $w$ that does not have an inverse has the property that either $z_1^2 = 0$ or $z_2^2 = 0$, and such a $w$ is a zero divisor. Zero divisors make up the so-called null cone $NC$. That terminology comes from the fact that when $w$ is written as in (2.1), zero divisors are such that $z_1^2 + z_2^2 = 0$. Any hyperbolic number can be written in the idempotent basis as $x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2$, with $x_1$ and $x_2$ in $\mathbb{R}$. We define the set $D_+$ of positive hyperbolic numbers as

$$D_+ := \{x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 \mid x_1, x_2 \geq 0\}. \quad (2.12)$$

Since $w^{t_3} = \hat{z}_1 e_1 + \hat{z}_2 e_2$, it is clear that $w \cdot w^{t_3} \in D_+$ for any $w$ in $M(2)$.

### 2.2 $M(2)$-Module and Scalar Product

The set of bicomplex numbers is a commutative ring. A module $M$ defined over the ring of bicomplex numbers is called an $M(2)$-module [20, 7, 8].

Let $M$ be an $M(2)$-module. For $k = 1, 2$, we define $V_k$ as the set of all elements of the form $e_k |\psi\rangle$, with $|\psi\rangle \in M$. Succinctly, $V_1 := e_1 M$ and $V_2 := e_2 M$. In fact, $V_k$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}(i_1)$ and any element $|v_k\rangle \in V_k$ satisfies $|v_k\rangle = e_k |v_k\rangle$ for $k = 1, 2$. It was shown in [7] that if $M$ is a finite-dimensional free $M(2)$-module, then $V_1$ and $V_2$ have the same dimension.

For any $|\psi\rangle \in M$, there exist a unique decomposition

$$|\psi\rangle = |v_1\rangle + |v_2\rangle, \quad (2.13)$$

where $v_k \in V_k$, $k = 1, 2$.

It will be useful to rewrite (2.13) as

$$|\psi\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle + |\psi_2\rangle, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$|\psi_1\rangle := e_1 |\psi\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi_2\rangle := e_2 |\psi\rangle. \quad (2.15)$$

In fact, the $M(2)$-module $M$ can be viewed as a vector space $M'$ over $\mathbb{C}(i_1)$, and $M' = V_1 \oplus V_2$. From a set-theoretical point of view, $M$ and $M'$ are identical. In this sense we can say, perhaps improperly, that the module $M$ can be decomposed into the direct sum of two vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}(i_1)$, i.e. $M = V_1 \oplus V_2$.

#### 2.2.1 Bicomplex Scalar Product

A bicomplex scalar product maps two arbitrary kets $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ into a bicomplex number $(|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle)$, so that the following always holds ($s \in M(2)$):

1. $(|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle + |\chi\rangle) = (|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle) + (|\psi\rangle, |\chi\rangle)$;
2. $(|\psi\rangle, s |\phi\rangle) = s (|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle)$;
3. \((\langle \psi |, |\phi \rangle) = (|\phi \rangle, |\psi \rangle)\);
4. \((\langle \psi |, |\psi \rangle) = 0 \iff |\psi \rangle = 0\).

The bicomplex scalar product was defined in [20] where, as in this paper, the physicists’ convention is used for the order of elements in the product.

Property 3 implies that \((\langle \psi |, |\psi \rangle) \in \mathbb{D}, \) while properties 2 and 3 together imply that \((s|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle) = s^{13}(|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle)\). However, in this work we will also require the bicomplex scalar product \((\cdot, \cdot)\) to be hyperbolic positive, i.e.

\[
(\langle \psi |, |\psi \rangle) \in \mathbb{D}^+, \forall |\psi \rangle \in M.
\]

This is a necessary condition if we want to recover the standard quantum mechanics from the bicomplex one (see [9, 13]).

**Definition 2.1.** Let \(M\) be a \(\mathbb{M}(2)\)-module and let \((\cdot, \cdot)\) be a bicomplex scalar product defined on \(M\). The space \(\{M, (\cdot, \cdot)\}\) is called a \(\mathbb{M}(2)\)-inner product space, or bicomplex pre-Hilbert space. When no confusion arises, \(\{M, (\cdot, \cdot)\}\) will simply be denoted by \(M\).

In this work, we will sometimes use the Dirac notation

\[
(\langle \psi |, |\phi \rangle) = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle
\]

for the scalar product. The one-to-one correspondence between bra \(\langle \cdot |\) and ket \(|\cdot \rangle\) can be established from the Bicomplex Riesz Representation Theorem [8, Th. 3.7]. As in [11], subindices will be used inside the ket notation. In fact, this is simply a convenient way to deal with the Dirac notation in \(V_1\) and \(V_2\). Note that the following projection of a bicomplex scalar product:

\[
(\cdot, \cdot)^k := P_k((\cdot, \cdot)) : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(i_1)
\]

is a standard scalar product on \(V_k\), for \(k = 1, 2\). One can easily show [8] that

\[
(\langle \psi |, |\phi \rangle) = e_1 P_1((\langle \psi_1 |, |\phi_1 \rangle)) + e_2 P_2((\langle \psi_2 |, |\phi_2 \rangle))
\]

\[
= e_1 \langle \psi_1 |, |\phi_1 \rangle^1 + e_2 \langle \psi_2 |, |\phi_2 \rangle^2.
\]

We point out that a bicomplex scalar product is completely characterized by the two standard scalar products \((\cdot, \cdot)^k\) on \(V_k\). In fact, if \((\cdot, \cdot)^k\) is an arbitrary scalar product on \(V_k\), for \(k = 1, 2\), then \((\cdot, \cdot)\) defined as in \((2.21)\) is a bicomplex scalar product on \(M\).

From this scalar product, we can define a norm on the vector space \(M'\):

\[
||| \langle \phi | \rangle || := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{(\langle \phi_1 |, |\phi_1 \rangle)^1 + (\langle \phi_2 |, |\phi_2 \rangle)^2}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{|| \phi_1 ||^1 + || \phi_2 ||^2}.
\]
Here we wrote
\[ ||\phi_k||_k = \sqrt{(|\phi_k|, |\phi_k|)}_k, \] (2.23)
where \(| \cdot |_k\) is the natural induced norm on \(V_k\). Moreover,
\[ ||\phi|| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{(|\phi_1|, |\phi_1|)_1 + (|\phi_2|, |\phi_2|)_2} = \sqrt{|(|\phi|, |\phi|)|}. \] (2.24)

**Definition 2.2.** Let \(M\) be an \(\mathcal{M}(2)\)-module and let \(M'\) be the associated vector space. We say that \(\| \cdot \| : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is a \(\mathcal{M}(2)\)-norm on \(M\) if the following holds:
1. \(\| \cdot \| : M' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is a norm;
2. \(\|w \cdot |\psi\rangle\| \leq \sqrt{2|w| \cdot \| |\psi\rangle\|}, \forall w \in \mathcal{M}(2), \forall |\psi\rangle \in M\).

A \(\mathcal{M}(2)\)-module with a \(\mathcal{M}(2)\)-norm is called a normed \(\mathcal{M}(2)\)-module. It is easy to check that \(\| \cdot \|\) in (2.22) is a \(\mathcal{M}(2)\)-norm on \(M\) and that the \(\mathcal{M}(2)\)-module \(M\) is complete with respect to the following metric on \(M\):
\[ d(|\phi\rangle, |\psi\rangle) = |||\phi\rangle - |\psi\rangle|| \] (2.25)
if and only if \(V_1\) and \(V_2\) are complete (see [8]).

**Definition 2.3.** A bicomplex Hilbert space is a \(\mathcal{M}(2)\)-inner product space \(M\) which is complete with respect to the induced \(\mathcal{M}(2)\)-norm (2.22).

### 3 Bounded Linear Operators on Bicomplex Hilbert Spaces

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \(M\) be a bicomplex Hilbert space and \(T : M \rightarrow M\) be a bicomplex linear operator. Then \(T\) is continuous if and only if \(T\) is bounded.

**Proof.** Suppose \(T\) is bounded. Then
\[ \|T(|\phi\rangle)\| \leq K\| |\phi\rangle\|, \forall |\phi\rangle \in M. \]

Define the projection \(T_k : M \rightarrow V_k\) as
\[ T_k|\phi\rangle := e_kT(|\phi\rangle), \forall |\phi\rangle \in M, k = 1, 2. \]

Then
\[ ||T_k|\phi_k\rangle|| = ||e_kT(|\phi_k\rangle)|| \leq ||T(|\phi_k\rangle)|| \leq K|||\phi_k\rangle||, \forall |\phi_k\rangle \in V_k \subset M. \] (3.1)

Thus \(T_k\) is bounded on the normed vector space \((V_k, || \cdot ||)\) for each \(k = 1, 2\). Therefore \(T_k\) is continuous for each \(k = 1, 2\). Hence \(T = T_1 + T_2\) is continuous.

Conversely, suppose that \(T\) is continuous. Then \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) being projections of \(T\) are continuous. So \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) are bounded and therefore \(T = T_1 + T_2\) is bounded. \(\square\)
Remark 3.2. In fact, since a normed \( \mathbb{M}(2) \)-module \( M \) can be viewed as a normed vector space \( M' \) over \( \mathbb{C}(i_1) \), all the results concerning normed vector spaces are automatically true for bicomplex Hilbert spaces. In particular, if we denote by \( B(M) \) the space of the bicomplex bounded linear operators \( T : M \to M \) with

\[
\|T\| := \sup\{\|T(\phi)\| : \|\phi\| \in M, \|\phi\| \leq 1\},
\]

then the normed \( \mathbb{M}(2) \)-module \( \{B(M), \| \cdot \| \} \) can also be viewed as a normed vector space \( B'(M) \subset B(M') \) over \( \mathbb{C}(i_1) \). Furthermore, if \( S : M \to M \) and \( T : M \to M \) are bicomplex bounded linear operators, then

\[
\|T \circ S\| \leq \|T\| \|S\|. \quad (3.3)
\]

By the Bicomplex Riesz Representation Theorem [8], the concept of adjoint operator is well defined over infinite dimensional bicomplex Hilbert space. However, since the norm cannot be represented directly with a bicomplex scalar product, the standard proof to show that: “the adjoint operator of a bounded operator is bounded” fail in that case. To obtain a similar result in the bicomplex space, we need to proceed with \( M' \).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( M \) be a bicomplex Hilbert space. An operator \( T : M' \to M' \) is a linear operator over the complex Hilbert space \( M' \) with the following property:

\[
T(e_k|\phi\rangle) = e_k T(|\phi\rangle)
\]

\( \forall |\phi\rangle \in M \text{ and } k = 1, 2 \) if and only if \( T : M \to M \) is a bicomplex linear operator over \( M \).

**Proof.** From a set-theoretical point of view, \( M \) and \( M' \) are identical. So, the operator \( T \) is automatically well defined over \( M \), but we have to prove that \( T \) is \( \mathbb{M}(2) \)-linear. Hence, we have that

\[
T(|\phi\rangle + |\psi\rangle) = T(|\phi\rangle) + T(|\psi\rangle) \quad (3.4)
\]

and

\[
T(z|\phi\rangle) = z T(|\phi\rangle) \quad (3.5)
\]

\( \forall |\phi\rangle, |\psi\rangle \in M \text{ and } \forall z \in \mathbb{C}(i_1) \). Now, let \( w \in \mathbb{M}(2) \) be an arbitrary bicomplex number. Hence, \( w = z_1 e_1 + z_2 e_2 \) with \( z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}(i_1) \), and

\[
T(w|\phi\rangle) = T(w|\phi\rangle) = T((z_1 e_1 + z_2 e_2)|\phi\rangle) = T(z_1 e_1|\phi\rangle) + T(z_2 e_2|\phi\rangle) = z_1 T(e_1|\phi\rangle) + z_2 T(e_2|\phi\rangle) = z_1 e_1 T(|\phi\rangle) + z_2 e_2 T(|\phi\rangle) = w T(|\phi\rangle). \quad (3.11)
\]

Conversely, since \( \mathbb{C}(i_1) \subset \mathbb{M}(2) \), it is obvious that a bicomplex linear operator on \( M \) is automatically a linear operator on \( M' \).
Lemma 3.4. Let $M$ be a bicomplex Hilbert space. Let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be a bicomplex linear operator over $M$. Then the adjoint operator $T^*$ for $M$ is the same than the adjoint operator on $M'$.

Proof. Let us work with the idempotent decomposition. Using the projections $T_1$ and $T_2$, we have that:

$$T(|\phi\rangle) = T_1(|\phi_1\rangle) + T_2(|\phi_2\rangle) \forall |\phi\rangle \in M$$

(3.12)

where $T_k$ is a linear operator on the complex Hilbert space $V_k$ for $k = 1, 2$. Now, let $T^*_k$ be the adjoint operator of $T$ on $V_k$, for $k = 1, 2$, and let’s define:

$$T^*(|\phi\rangle) = T^*_1(|\phi_1\rangle) + T^*_2(|\phi_2\rangle) \forall |\phi\rangle \in M.$$  

(3.13)

Since the range of $T^*_k$ is in $V_k$ for $k = 1, 2$, it is clear that $T^*$ is a bicomplex linear operator on $M$. In fact, by definition, for any $|\psi_k\rangle, |\phi_k\rangle \in V_k$ we have that

$$(|\psi_k\rangle, T_k|\phi_k\rangle)_k = (T_k^*|\psi_k\rangle, |\phi_k\rangle)_k$$ for $k = 1, 2$.  

(3.14)

Hence,

$$(|\psi\rangle, T|\phi\rangle) = (T^*|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle)$$

(3.15)

where

$$(|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle) = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle = \langle \psi_1 | \phi_1 \rangle_1 e_1 + \langle \psi_2 | \phi_2 \rangle_2 e_2$$

(3.16)

for all $|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle \in M$. Hence, $T^*$ is the adjoint operator of $T$ on $M$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.3, we know that $T$ is also a linear operator on $M'$. So, if we define the scalar product on $M'$ in this way:

$$(|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle)' = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle' = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \langle \psi_1 | \phi_1 \rangle_1 + \langle \psi_2 | \phi_2 \rangle_2 \right],$$

(3.17)

we have that $T^*$ is also the adjoint operator on $M'$ and the norm on $M$ is the same than the norm on $M'$. \qed

Using this new approach, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.5. The adjoint operator $T^*$ of a bounded operator on a bicomplex Hilbert space $M$ is bounded. Moreover, we have $\|T\| = \|T^*\|$ and $\|T^*T\| = \|T\|^2$.

Proof. By the Lemma 3.5, $T^*$ is also the adjoint operator on $M'$. Therefore, using the analogue results for the complex Hilbert spaces, we obtain automatically that $T^*$ is continuous on $M'$. Hence, $T^*$ is also continuous on $M$. Moreover, since the norm on $M$ is the same as the norm on $M'$ although they live on the sets with different structures, we obtain also that $\|T\| = \|T^*\|$ and $\|T^*T\| = \|T\|^2$ on $M$ (see [5, Th. 4.1.1.]). \qed
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4 Orthogonal Complements

In this section, we explain more precisely the relationship between $M$ and $M'$. First, it is easy to show that $V_\perp$ is orthogonal to $V_2$ in $(M,(\cdot,\cdot))$ and $(M',(\cdot,\cdot)')$. In fact, $V_1\perp = V_2$. Therefore, the same symbol $\perp$ can be used for $M$ and $M'$, and we have

$$M = V_1 \oplus V_1\perp = V_1 \oplus V_2 = M'. \quad (4.1)$$

However, this is not the case for the subspace $V$. Let \{\ket{\psi_1}, \ldots, \ket{\psi_n}, \ldots\} be a Schauder $M(2)$-basis associated with the bicomplex Hilbert space $\{M, (\cdot,\cdot)\}$. That is, any element $\ket{\psi}$ of $M$ can be written as

$$\ket{\psi} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \ket{\psi_n}, \quad (4.2)$$

with $w_n \in M(2)$. As was shown in [20] for the finite-dimensional case, an important subset $V$ of $M$ is the set of all kets for which all $w_n$ in (4.2) belong to $C(i_1)$. It is obvious that $V$ is a non-empty normed vector space over complex numbers with Schauder basis \{\ket{\psi_1}, \ldots, \ket{\psi_n}, \ldots\}.

From Theorem [8, Th. 3.11] we see that if \{\ket{\psi_1}, \ldots, \ket{\psi_n}, \ldots\} is an orthonormal Schauder $M(2)$-basis and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e_1 z_{n1} + e_2 z_{n2}) \ket{\psi_n}$$

converges in $M$, then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |e_1 z_{n1} + e_2 z_{n2}|^2$$

converges in $\mathbb{R}$. In particular, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_{nk}|^2$ also converges. Hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{nk} \ket{\psi_n}$ converges and this allows to define projectors $P_1$ and $P_2$ from $M$ to $V$ as

$$P_k(\psi) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{nk} \ket{\psi_n}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$ 

Therefore, any $\ket{\psi} \in M$ can be decomposed uniquely as

$$\ket{\psi} = e_1 P_1(\ket{\psi}) + e_2 P_2(\ket{\psi}). \quad (4.3)$$

As in the finite-dimensional case [20], one can easily show that ket projectors and idempotent-basis projectors (denoted with the same symbol) satisfy the following, for $k = 1, 2$:

$$P_k(s\ket{\psi} + t\ket{\phi}) = P_k(s) P_k(\ket{\psi}) + P_k(t) P_k(\ket{\phi}). \quad (4.4)$$

**Definition 4.1.** Let \{\ket{\psi_n}\} be an orthonormal Schauder $M(2)$-basis of $M$ and let $V$ be the associated vector space. We say that a scalar product is $C(i_1)$-closed in $V$ if $\forall \ket{\psi}, \ket{\phi} \in V$ implies $(\ket{\psi}, \ket{\phi}) \in C(i_1)$. 


We recall (see [8]) that if the scalar product is $C(i_1)$-closed in $V$ then the inner space $(V, ||·||)$ is closed in $M$. Hence, since any closed linear subspace of a Hilbert space satisfy the Projection Theorem [12], we have that

$$M' = V \oplus V^\perp$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

when the scalar product is $C(i_1)$-closed under $V$. In this case, it is easy to verify that the orthogonal complement of $V$ for $(M', (·, ·))$ is

$$V^\perp = \{e_1|\psi\rangle - e_2|\psi\rangle : |\psi\rangle \in V\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

This is not the case for $(M, (·, ·))$ since the orthogonal complement of $V$ is $\{0\}$. In fact, since $M$ is not a Hilbert space, the Projection Theorem cannot be applied.

Finally, using (4.3), if we define

$$V_{1^2} := \{e_1P_2(|\psi\rangle) + e_2P_1(|\psi\rangle) : |\psi\rangle \in V_1\} = \{e_2P_1(|\psi\rangle) : |\psi\rangle \in V_1\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

where $1^2$ is used as the natural extension of the conjugate $1_2$ in $M(2)$, we obtain that $V_{1^2} = e_2V = V_2 = V_{1^2}$ and

$$M = V_1 \oplus V_{1^2} = V_1 \oplus V_2 = M'.\hspace{1cm} (4.8)$$

**Remark 4.2.** This definition of $1^2$ is universal for any element inside a bicomplex Hilbert space with an orthonormal Schauder $M(2)$-basis, and satisfy the following properties:

1. $\langle |\phi\rangle |\phi\rangle^{12} = |\phi\rangle$;
2. $\langle |\phi\rangle \pm |\psi\rangle |\phi\rangle^{12} = |\phi\rangle^{12} \pm |\psi\rangle^{12}$;
3. $\langle w|\phi\rangle |\phi\rangle^{12} = w^{12}|\phi\rangle^{12}$

\forall |\phi\rangle, |\psi\rangle \in M$ and $\forall w \in M(2)$.

5 Bicomplex Compact Operators

**Definition 5.1.** A bicomplex linear operator $T$ on a bicomplex Hilbert space $M$ is called a bicomplex compact operator if, for every bounded sequence $\{|\phi_n\rangle\}$ in $M$, the sequence $\{T(|\phi_n\rangle)\}$ contains a convergent subsequence.

We can verify easily that the collection of all bicomplex compact operators on a bicomplex Hilbert space $M$ is an $M(2)$-module. Moreover, since $\{M, (\cdot, \cdot)\}$ and $\{M', (\cdot, \cdot)'\}$ share the same topology, we obtain the following result as a direct consequence of Lemma 3.3.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $T$ be a bicomplex linear operator on a bicomplex Hilbert space $M$. Then $T$ is a bicomplex compact operator if and only if $T$ is a compact operator on $M'$. 
Therefore, many results are automatically true for bicomplex compact operators. We can cite the following as examples (see [5]):

**Corollary 5.3.** Bicomplex compact operators are bounded.

**Corollary 5.4.** The adjoint of a bicomplex compact operator is compact.

However, using Theorem 5.2, it is not possible to obtain a bicomplex version of the Spectral Theorem for Self-Adjoint Compact Operators. In this way, we obtain the standard Spectral Theorem for $M'$ where the eigenvalues are complex. To obtain a bicomplex version, we need to come back to the idempotent decomposition with the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.5.** Let $T$ be a bicomplex linear operator on a bicomplex Hilbert space $M$. Then $T$ is a bicomplex compact operator if and only if $T_k$ is compact on $V_k$ for $k = 1, 2$.

**Proof.** Let $\{|\phi_{nk}\rangle\}$ be an arbitrary bounded sequence in $\{V_k, (\cdot, \cdot)_{F_k}\}$ for $k = 1, 2$. From the definition of the $M(2)$-norm (see [8]) we have that

$$||\psi_k||_k = \sqrt{2}||\psi||, \; \forall \psi \in V_k.$$ 

Hence, $|\phi_{nk}\rangle$ is also a bounded sequence in $\{M, (\cdot, \cdot)\}$ for $k = 1, 2$. Now, using the definition of a bicomplex compact operator, we have that the sequence $\{T(|\phi_{nk}\rangle)\}$ contains a convergent subsequence for $k = 1, 2$. Hence, $T_k$ is compact on $V_k$ since $T_k(|\phi_n\rangle) = T(|\phi_{nk}\rangle)$ for $k = 1, 2$. Conversely, if $\{|\phi_n\rangle\}$ is an arbitrary bounded sequence in $M$, then $\{|\phi_{nk}\rangle\}$ is also a bounded sequence in $\{V_k, (\cdot, \cdot)_{F_k}\}$ for $k = 1, 2$ since

$$||\psi_k||_k \leq \sqrt{2}||\psi||, \; \forall \psi \in V_k.$$ 

Therefore, if we apply successively the definition of compact operators for $k = 1, 2$, we find a convergent subsequence $\{T_k(|\phi_{nk}\rangle)\}$ for $k = 1, 2$. Hence, since $T(|\phi_n\rangle) = T_1(|\phi_{n1}\rangle) + T_2(|\phi_{n2}\rangle)$, the sequence $\{T(|\phi_n\rangle)\}$ contains a convergent subsequence.

We are now ready to prove the infinite dimensional bicomplex spectral decomposition theorem.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let $T$ be a self-adjoint, bicomplex compact operator on a separable infinite dimensional bicomplex Hilbert space $M$. Then there exist in $M$ an orthonormal (Schauder) $M(2)$-basis $\{|\psi_n\rangle\}$ consisting of eigenvets for $T$. Moreover, for every $|\phi\rangle \in M$,

$$T(|\phi\rangle) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle |\psi_n\rangle, |\phi\rangle |\psi_n\rangle$$

(5.1)

or using the bra-ket notation,

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\psi_n\rangle\langle \psi_n|$$

(5.2)

where $\lambda_n$ is the eigenvalue corresponding to $|\psi_n\rangle$. 

Proof. By Lemma 5.5, the projection operator $T_k$ is compact for $k = 1, 2$. Moreover, using (3.12) and (3.13), we have that $T_k$ is also self-adjoint for $k = 1, 2$. Hence, the classical Spectral Decomposition Theorem holds for $T_k$ on $V_k$ since $V_k$ is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space for $k = 1, 2$ (see [17]). From this result, we get the orthonormal sets $\{|\psi_n^1\rangle\}$ and $\{|\psi_n^2\rangle\}$ of eigenvectors of $T_1$ and $T_2$ respectively. Now, let

$$|\psi_n\rangle := |\psi_n^1\rangle + |\psi_n^2\rangle \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

It is easy to show that $\{|\psi_n\rangle\}$ an orthonormal $M(2)$-basis. Now, let $\lambda_n$ be the eigenvalue of $T$ associated with $|\psi_n\rangle$ so that

$$T(|\psi_n\rangle) = \lambda_n |\psi_n\rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.4)

Since $\forall p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we have that,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n | \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n | \psi_p | \psi_p\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\psi_n\rangle \delta_{np}$$

$$= \lambda_p |\psi_p\rangle$$

$$= T(|\psi_p\rangle) \text{ by (5.4)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.5)

then, by linearity and continuity of the operator $T$, we obtain the following conclusion:

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n |.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.6)
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