Human exploration of the Moon is associated with substantial risks to astronauts from space radiation. On the surface of the Moon, this consists of the chronic exposure to galactic cosmic rays and sporadic solar particle events. The interaction of this radiation field with the lunar soil leads to a third component that consists of neutral particles, i.e., neutrons and gamma radiation. The Lunar Lander Neutrons and Dosimetry experiment aboard China’s Chang’E 4 lander has made the first ever measurements of the radiation exposure to both charged and neutral particles on the lunar surface. We measured an average total absorbed dose rate in silicon of $13.2 \pm 1 \mu\text{Gy/hour}$ and a neutral particle dose rate of $3.1 \pm 0.5 \mu\text{Gy/hour}$.

**INTRODUCTION**

The Moon is the next stepping stone for human space exploration, and several nations have announced plans for its exploration by humans. Space radiation exposure is one of the major risks for astronauts’ health (1–3) as the chronic exposure to galactic cosmic rays (GCRs) may have late health effects such as induction of cataract (4), cancer (5–7), or degenerative diseases of the central nervous system (8) or other organ systems (9, 10). Moreover, exposure to large solar particle events (SPEs) in a situation with insufficient shielding may cause severe acute effects (11). The exposure to GCR is inevitable but generally contributes a low dose rate compared to the sporadic, unpredictable, but sometimes very intense SPEs in which solar energetic particles are accelerated close to the Sun by solar flares and coronal mass ejections. The nucleonic component of GCR consists mainly of protons (~87%), helium (~12%), and heavier nuclei (~1%) (12). These nuclei have very high energy and are therefore highly penetrating. Because of their single charge, protons are only weakly ionizing, and helium nuclei are four times more ionizing per nucleus. The remaining 1% of nuclei are high (H) atomic number (Z) and energy (E) elements (HZE) that contribute to radiation damage disproportionally according to the square of their nuclear charge, $Z^2$, resulting in very dense ionization along their tracks. Because of nuclear fragmentation and other complex interactions with matter, their exact effects on humans are uncertain but may be considerable (13, 14).

It appears that there have been no active (i.e., time resolved) measurements of the radiation dose rate on the surface of the Moon until the Chinese Chang’E 4 mission landed in the von Karman crater on the far side of the Moon on 3 January 2019 at 02:26 UTC. During the Apollo missions, astronauts carried dosimeters with them (15) to the Moon, but time-resolved radiation data from the surface of the Moon were never reported (16). Here, we report radiation dose rate measurements with previously unseen accuracy from the surface of the Moon.

For the assessment of the radiation exposure, the relevant quantities have to be measured by the detector systems: The absorbed dose, $D$, is the ratio of the energy ($E$; usually measured in keV) deposited in a detector and the mass, $m$, of the detector and is expressed in units of Gray (Gy = J/kg). Division by the accumulation time results in the measured dose rate (expressed in Gy/hour). Using a combination of two detectors in coincidence, one measures the distribution of energies deposited in a detector to obtain the linear energy transfer (LET) spectrum [usually in units of keV per micron (keV/μm)]. This spectrum is integrated with so-called quality factors, $Q$, used as biological weights to obtain the dose equivalent, $H$, which is expressed in units of Sievert (Sv = J/kg). The exact procedures are defined by the International Commission on Radiation Protection (17). Because the human body is not made of silicon, and to make dose, dose rate, and LET measurements more easily comparable to others, one normally converts the values measured in Si to the corresponding quantities in water using a constant dose conversion factor of 1.30 (18).

The Lunar Lander Neutrons and Dosimetry (LND) experiment is described in more detail in the literature (19), but we summarize the pertinent information here for convenience. The LND is mounted in the payload compartment of the Chang’E 4 lander. The red arrow in Fig. 1 points at the reclosable door that protects LND from the cold lunar nights but is open during lunar daytime. The LND consists of...
a stack of 10 dual-segment silicon solid-state detectors (SSDs), A to J, as shown in the main part of Fig. 2. Total absorbed dose and dose rate are measured in detector B, and the absorbed dose (rate) from neutral particles is measured in the inner segment of the C detector, C1, with the closely spaced detectors B and D as well as the outer segment of C, C2, serving as anticoincidence to discriminate against charged particles. The LET is then determined as discussed above from the \(\frac{dE}{dx}\) measured using three different combinations of detector pairs with different counting rates and average path lengths. Penetrating particles are measured by requiring signals in all 10 detectors.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Figure 3 shows time-resolved measurements acquired by LND from 3 January to 12 January and from 31 January to 10 February 2019, i.e., when the lander was not hibernating during the intensely cold lunar night. To survive this extreme thermal environment, the Chang’E 4 lander contains a radioisotope thermoelectric generator (RTG) and three radioisotope heater units (RHUs); in addition, the Yutu-2 rover is also equipped with an RTG. We have measured the contributions of the lander RTG and RHUs in August 2018 before the launch of the spacecraft and have thus determined their contribution to be 5.2 ± 0.6 \(\mu\)Gy/hour to the total dose rate and 1.7 ± 0.4 \(\mu\)Gy/hour to the neutral dose rate (20). These values have been subtracted from the measurements shown in Fig. 3, which shows (from top to bottom) (A) the evolution of total dose rate with time and the same for neutral dose rate (B) and charged-particle dose rate (C), as well as the flux of penetrating particles (D). The first four data points in Fig. 3A lie above the remaining data points because they were acquired while the Yutu-2 rover with its RTG was still piggybacked on the lander deck. The following data points show the dose rate after the rover had rolled off the lander and was separated from the lander and LND by at least 7 m. The last 11 hours in the first lunar day show a further decrease in dose rate that also extends to 3 February 2019. During this period of time, the liquid \(\text{NH}_3\) thermal control system (TCS; shown in red in inset A to Fig. 2) of the lander had been activated, provided additional shielding, and modulated high-energy neutrons (21). The contribution of (predominantly) high-energy neutrons is shown in Fig. 3B and clearly exhibits a drop at the end of the first lunar day, which also extends throughout the time period when the TCS was active. As expected, the dose rate from charged particles (shown in Fig. 3C) shows no
Low-energy (E < Si nuclei of the C1 detector segment and the energy deposited by dose rate from neutral particles shown in Fig. 3B. This measurement treating particles (Fig. 3D), show much larger fluctuations than the dose rate shown in Fig. 3 (A and C), as well as the flux of penetrating particles. UT, universal time.

The dose rates shown in Fig. 3 (A, B, and C) are thus due to statistical fluctuations and to fluctuations in the number of helium nuclei contributing to the total dose rate and even rarer contributions from heavy ions. The lander was again put into hibernation on 10 February 2019.

The LND measures time-resolved LET spectra that are remarkably invariant. Figure 4 shows such spectra acquired during the first lunar day. The black curve (black circles) shows the LET spectrum for the time period when the rover was still piggybacked on the lander, the red curve (and red squares) shows the same quantity while the lid that protects the LND sensor head from the cold night was still closed, and the purple upward triangles show data with the lid opened (as in Fig. 1). Last, the blue downward triangles show the LET spectrum acquired while the TCS was active. It is nearly impossible to distinguish any differences in these spectra. LET spectra are only measured for charged particles, so their invariance is consistent with the finding from Fig. 3 (C and D) that the charged particle dose rate and flux hardly varied at all during the LND measurements. Thus, the clear differences in measured dose rates seen in the course of the first two lunar days must be due to changes in the dose rate from neutral particles, which is also borne out by Fig. 3B and underlines the importance of also measuring the dose rate from neutral particles.

RESULTS

Ignoring the data acquired while Yutu-2 was still piggybacked on the lunar lander module and while the TCS was active [11 January 2019 (14:41) to 3 February 2019 (07:53)], we measured an average total dose rate in silicon of 13.2 ± 1 and 3.1 ± 0.5 μGy/hour in silicon for neutral particles. The background due to the RTG and RHUs was measured in August 2018 (20), and the values reported above have been corrected accordingly; the corresponding errors are reported separately in Table 1. Thus, we find that neutral particles contributed a nonnegligible fraction of 23 ± 8% to the total dose (22). Subtracting the neutral contribution, we find that the average absorbed dose rate due to charged particles is 10.2 ± 1.1 μGy/hour in Si. After conversion of the LET spectrum to LET in water, as discussed in Introduction, we obtain an average quality factor of (Q) = 4.3 ± 0.7. After multiplication of the charged-particle absorbed dose rate (in water) measurement given above with (Q), we obtain the GCR dose equivalent rate of 57.1 ± 10.6 μSv/hour from charged particles. A summary of the values discussed in this paragraph is given in Table 1.

While we can model the shielding provided by the LND instrument itself, the shielding provided by the lander (Fig. 1) is not known to us. LND is mounted to the inside panel of the Chang’E 4 payload compartment (shown in gray in Fig. 2). We estimate that most of the lander structure consists of honeycomb structures with an effective thickness corresponding to 1 mm of Al. The Al housing of the LND sensor head itself was milled to a thickness of 1.5 mm. Accounting for additional materials such as printed circuit boards in the sensor head and projection effects with a factor of square root of 2, we arrive at an average shielding thickness of ~3.5 mm Al equivalent. This corresponds to a shielding of ~1 g/cm² that can be compared to
LND measured the radiation environment on the surface of the Moon at this precision for the first time. In addition, due to the fact that we are now approaching solar minimum conditions, the contributions from GCR can be seen as upper estimations for the GCR dose. In the time period reported here, no SPE was observed from the surface of the Moon. Such events can increase the dose by orders of magnitude behind only thin shielding (32).

**DISCUSSION**

LND measured an average dose equivalent of 1369 μSv/day on the surface of the Moon. For the same time period, the dose equivalent onboard the International Space Station (ISS) as measured with the DOSIS 3D DOSTEL instruments (29) was 731 μSv/day with contributions only from GCR of 523 μSv/day. The additional ~208 μSv/day is due to protons while crossing the South Atlantic Anomaly. Therefore, the daily GCR dose equivalent on the surface of the Moon is around a factor of 2.6 higher than the dose inside the ISS. Because the Sun is currently still in an extended activity minimum (30), the dose rate from GCR reported here may be considered as an upper limit for human exploration of the Moon during conditions of low solar activity. Settlements on the Moon will provide additional shielding because they will be buried beneath layers of lunar regolith. While this would decrease the dose rate from charged particles, the absolute contribution from neutrons is expected to increase for shielding constructed from in situ resources, as borne out by measurements with the Apollo 17 Lunar Neutron Probe Experiment. These showed that the flux of thermal and epithermal neutrons increases significantly up to a depth of approximately 150 g/cm² (31).

**INTERPRETATION**

To put the values reported here into context, we briefly summarize measurements with the Apollo 17 Lunar Neutron Probe Experiment. Thus, the values reported here can be taken as good estimates for the dose rate during EVAs on the lunar surface.

**Table 1. Summary of measurements of the radiation dose rate measured in μGy/hour on the lunar surface.** The errors of the background dose rate from the RTG/RHUs (20) are considered systematic errors and have been added quadratically when reporting the final values in the rightmost column.

| Dose rate (μGy/hour) | Measured | Background | Final in Si |
|----------------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Total                | 18.4 ± 0.4 | 5.2 ± 0.6 | 13.2 ± 0.7 |
| Neutral              | 4.7 ± 0.1  | 1.7 ± 0.5  | 3.1 ± 0.5  |
| Charged              | 13.7 ± 0.4 | 3.5 ± 0.8  | 10.2 ± 0.9 |
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The Chang'e 4 payload thermal control system (TCS) circulates ammonia (NH₃) from the warm regions in the lander to the walls of the payload compartment where it provides heat during the late lunar evening, night, and early morning. During lunar daytime, the TCS that serves the payload compartment is empty. Six heat pipes service the panel to heat during the late lunar evening, night, and early morning. During lunar daytime, the warm regions in the lander to the walls of the payload compartment where it provides weathering from LND measures total dose and dose from neutral particles separately. The charged-particle detector is shielded by a 0.82-mm-thick Al entrance window, and its 1-mm-thick D2 detector is thus shielded by 0.82 mm of Al and 148 μm of Si.

The LND B detector sees varying amounts of shielding because of its location inside LND and the Chang'e 4 lander; the minimum shielding is 25 μm of Al, 50 μm of Kapton, and 0.5 mm of Si. The CraTER D1 and D2 detectors are also shielded by varying material thicknesses from the instrument and LRO spacecraft (19). If used in coincidence with other detectors, CraTER's 148-μm D1 detector is shielded by a 0.82-mm-thick Al entrance window, and its 1-mm-thick D2 detector is thus shielded by 0.82 mm of Al and 148 μm of Si.
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