Abstract. The Golgi complex is a dynamic organelle engaged in both secretory and retrograde membrane traffic. Here, we use green fluorescent protein–Golgi protein chimeras to study Golgi morphology in vivo. In untreated cells, membrane tubules were a ubiquitous, prominent feature of the Golgi complex, serving both to interconnect adjacent Golgi elements and to carry membrane outward along microtubules after detaching from stable Golgi structures. Brefeldin A treatment, which reversibly disassembles the Golgi complex, accentuated tubule formation without tubule detachment. A tubule network extending throughout the cytoplasm was quickly generated and persisted for 5–10 min until rapidly emptying Golgi contents into the ER within 15–30 s. Both lipid and protein emptied from the Golgi at similar rapid rates, leaving no Golgi structure behind, indicating that Golgi membranes do not simply mix but are absorbed into the ER in BFA-treated cells. The directionality of redistribution implied Golgi membranes are at a higher free energy state than ER membranes. Analysis of its kinetics suggested a mechanism that is analogous to wetting or adsorptive phenomena in which a tension-driven membrane flow supplements diffusive transfer of Golgi membrane into the ER. Such nonselective, flow-assisted transport of Golgi membranes into ER suggests that mechanisms that regulate retrograde tubule formation and detachment from the Golgi complex are integral to the existence and maintenance of this organelle.

The Golgi complex is responsible for net transport of protein and lipid from the ER to more distal compartments (including lysosomes and the plasma membrane) and recycling of membrane components back to the ER. It also is involved in important biochemical processes (i.e. glycosylation of proteins and biosynthesis of lipids) that enable the cell to tailor its biosynthetic and secretory products for specific needs. The characteristic structural elements of the Golgi complex responsible for these properties include polarized stacks of flattened cisternae enriched in glycoprotein and glycolipid processing enzymes, and vesicles and tubules associated with the rims of stacks (Rambourg et al., 1979; Saraste and Kuismanen, 1984; Sasaki et al., 1984; Ladinsky et al., 1994; Clermont et al., 1995), and tubule connections between Golgi stacks frequently are observed in electron micrographs (Tanaka et al., 1986; Rambourg and Clermont, 1990; Sesso et al., 1994). Tubules can be rapidly generated by Golgi membranes in vivo and in vitro under various conditions (Cluett et al., 1993; Weidman et al., 1993; Banta et al., 1995; de Figueiredo and Brown, 1995), and time-lapse recordings of the Golgi complex labeled with a fluorescent lipid analog, NBD-ceramide, have revealed tu-
bule processes emerging from Golgi elements (Cooper et al., 1990). These observations indicate that tubule formation is an inherent property of Golgi membranes.

Tubules could act as discrete carriers in Golgi traffic, resembling elongated vesicles that migrate within the cytoplasm or translocate along microtubules to their target membranes, unidirectionally and without causing mixing. Alternatively, tubules could establish connections/linkages between the same or different compartments. In the latter case, mechanisms would be needed to explain how maintenance of the chemical distinctions between compartments and directed transport of protein and lipid is achieved.

Membrane traffic has been shown to be mediated by tubules extending between organelles in cells treated with the drug brefeldin A (BFA). BFA blocks membrane export out of the ER in vivo (Fujiiwara et al., 1988; Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1989; Doms et al., 1989) and inhibits vesicle formation both in vivo (Donaldson et al., 1991) and in vitro (Orci et al., 1991). This is likely due to BFA’s inhibition of nucleotide exchange onto ADP-ribosylation factor (ARF), a low–molecular weight GTP binding protein (Helms and Rothman, 1992; Donaldson et al., 1992b), which prevents assembly of cytosolic coat proteins (including COP I components) onto target membranes (Orci et al., 1991; Klausner et al., 1992). At the same time, extensive retrograde transport of Golgi components to the ER mediated by growth of Golgi tubules occurs with BFA, leading to the complete loss of Golgi structure (Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1990). Since a normal retrograde pathway from the Golgi back to the ER exists (Pelham, 1991; Jackson et al., 1992), it has been suggested that transport into the ER induced by BFA represents enhanced trafficking through this retrograde pathway as a result of nonselective transport into it of Golgi protein and lipid (Klausner et al., 1992; Lippincott-Schwartz, 1993). How trafficking in BFA-treated cells differs from normal retrograde traffic, what role tubules play in mediating such traffic, and how its perturbation by BFA leads to Golgi disassembly are important unanswered questions.

In this study we exploit previously developed and characterized green fluorescent protein (GFP)-Golgi chimeric proteins (Cole et al., 1996a) to examine the dynamics of the Golgi complex in vivo and how it is perturbed by BFA. The GFP chimeras provide useful probes of Golgi membrane morphology and dynamics: they localize almost exclusively to Golgi membranes and their diffusional mobility is rapid. By imaging Golgi membranes over time, we show that thin membrane connections between adjacent Golgi elements continuously remodel Golgi structure and that membrane tubules readily pull out along microtubules and detach from Golgi rims. In BFA-treated cells, tubules formed at a more rapid rate and failed to detach from Golgi structures. A dynamic tubule network was generated and persisted for 5–10 min until rapidly emptying protein and lipid contents into the ER within 15–30 s with no Golgi structure remaining. The directionality of the redistribution process implied Golgi membranes to be at a higher free energy state than ER membranes, while its speed (too fast to be explained by simple diffusion) was consistent with tension-driven membrane flow.

Flows are common in fluid systems having free energy differences either across or along their surfaces (Finkelstein, 1987; Bloom et al., 1991) and result in rapid and unidirectional fluid transport (Batchelor, 1967). Spreading of a film on an air–water interface, wetting of a dry solid substrate by a film, or osmotically driven solvent flow through channels that exclude solute are typical examples of flow (de Gennes, 1985; Probst, 1994). In the context of membranes, flow results from forces either chemically or mechanically produced, which in the context of membranes are conventionally expressed as surface tension gradients (force/area) (Probst, 1994). The existence of tension-driven membrane flow between Golgi and ER compartments suggested by our findings raises fundamental questions about the basic physical–chemical parameters of the Golgi–ER membrane system and the role and regulation of membrane tubules.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Brefeldin A was purchased from Epicenter Technologies (Madison, WI) and was used at concentrations of 2–5 μg/ml. Nocodazole was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO) and used at concentrations between 1 and 5 μg/ml. When nocodazole was added to cells on ice for 20 min, subsequent incubation at 37°C resulted in the complete depolymerization of all microtubules as detected by immunofluorescence microscopy of fixed specimens. N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Rabbit polyclonal antibody N10 against human milk galactosyltransferase (GalTase) was kindly provided by Dr. E. Berger (University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland). Rhodamine-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgGs were purchased from Southern Biotechnology (Birmingham, AL).

DNA Constructs

The GFP chimeras used in this study (GFP-GalTase and GFP-KDEL) are the same as those described in Cole et al. (1996a) and used the S65T variant of GFP (Heim et al., 1995). Briefly, the GFP coding region was placed downstream of coding sequences containing human galactosyltransferase or a mutant form of the human homologue of the yeast ERD2 protein, ELPl (Heu et al., 1992), also known as KDEL. GFP-GalTase contains amino acids 1–60 of galactosyltransferase, including the NH2-terminal cytoplasmic tail, transmembrane domain, and 17 amino acids of the luminal domain fused to full-length GFP. The mutant form of KDEL-GFP used in this study was generated by mutagenizing the aspartic acid to an asparagine residue at position 195 of ELPl (analogous to the mutation at position 193 in ERD2 described in Townsley et al., 1993). This mutation caused KDEL-GFP to be more tightly localized to the Golgi complex, with less ER staining compared with the wild-type protein.

Cells

HeLa and CHO cells from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD) were grown in flasks with DME supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM glutamine, and 150 μg/ml penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C in 5% CO2. Cells replated onto No. 1 glass coverslips were transiently transfected with GFP chimera cDNAs by CaPO4 precipitation for 16 h, washed once in PBS, and then incubated in complete medium for an additional 24 h before viewing. Cells were imaged live on a temperature-controlled microscope at 37°C. CHO cells were used in the immunogold labeling experiment. All other experiments were performed using HeLa cells.

BODIPY-ceramide labeling of cells to visualize Golgi membranes was performed as follows. Cells were incubated for 10 min at either 4 or 37°C in serum-free Eagles Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM) and 25 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, with 2–5 μM of BODIPY-ceramide. BODIPY-FL C5-Cer/cis-DDB-Cer; Molecular Probes Inc., Eugene, OR) (1,000× stock dis-
solved in methanol). Cells were then rinsed and incubated with EMEM containing 0.68 mg/ml defatted-BSA (Sigma Chemical Co.) at 37°C for ~30–60 min before imaging with the 488-nm line of the confocal microscope or with a fluorescein filter set of a conventional fluorescent microscope as described below.

**Electron Microscopy**

Cryoimmunoelectron microscopy was according to Liou et al. (1996). CHO cells expressing GFP-GalTase were fixed in the culture dishes by adding 1 vol of 4% formaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde to the culture medium for 10 min at room temperature. Fixation was continued for an additional 90 min after diluting the above solution with fresh medium 1:1. Cells were carefully scraped from the dish with a rubber policeman, rinsed in phosphate buffer/0.15 M glycine, and embedded in 10% gelatin. Gelatin blocks were infused with 2.3 M sucrose overnight and then frozen in liquid nitrogen. A cryoultramicrotome (Reichert Ultracut S) was used to cut thin cryosections (60 nm) that were collected on pyrolyform-coated nickel grids. Immunogold labeling on the grids was performed using a rabbit polyclonal antibody to GFP (CLONTECH Laboratories, Palo Alto, CA) at 1:500 dilution, and protein A conjugated with 15-nm gold particles (Biocell Labs, Carson, CA). An electron microscope (model CM10; Phillips Electronic Instruments, Mahwah, NJ) was used to examine and photograph specimens.

**Immunofluorescence Microscopy**

Cells were fixed in 2% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 min at room temperature and then washed in PBS solution containing primary antibody, 0.15% saponin, and 10% FCS for ~45 min. The primary antibody solution was rinsed off and then replaced by rhodamine-labeled secondary antibody solution for 60 min. The coverslips were then washed in PBS serum. Coverslips were mounted on glass slides in Fluoromount G (Southern Biotechnology) for viewing on a fluorescence microscope.

**Time-Lapse Imaging and Microscopy**

HeLa cells grown on glass coverslips were sealed into a chamber fashioned out of silicon rubber (Ronsil; North American Reiss, Blackstone, VA) placed on a glass slide and containing buffered medium with Oxyrase (Oxyrase, Inc., Ashland, OH). The cells in Figs. 3 C and 4 were viewed with a scanning confocal attachment (model MRC 600; Bio-Rad Labs, Hercules, CA) attached to a microscope (model Axioplan; Carl Zeiss, Inc., Thornwood, NY) with a 63× planapochromat lens (NA 1.4; Carl Zeiss, Inc.). The 488-nm line of a krypton-argon laser was used with a 1 or 3% neutral density filter. Digital output was routed through a time and date generator (model WJ-810; Panasonic Corp., New York), and single frames were recorded on an optical memory disk recorder (model 3031F; Panasonic). Cells in Figs. 2; 3, A and B; and 11, A and B, were viewed on a confocal laser scanning microscope (model LSM 410; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) equipped with a Kr/Ar laser and a 100× 1.4 NA planapochromat oil immersion objective. The GFP molecule was excited with the 488 line of the laser and imaged with a 515–540 bandpass filter. The time-lapse sequence in Fig. 11 B was recorded using macros programmed with the Zeiss LSM software package. In all other experiments, cells were viewed with a custom built inverted wide field microscope (model Eikoscope; Yona Microscopes, Columbia, MD). This microscope was equipped with a 63×, 1.4 NA objective and a cooled charge-coupled device (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ) with a KAF 1400 pixel Kodak chip (Rochester, NY) for 12-bit image detection. A 100-W mercury lamp was used as the light source. Neutral density filters, excitation (485 nm band pass), emission (515 nm long pass), and dichroic filters (fluorescence set XF32; Omega Optical Inc., Brattleboro, VT) were used to select the appropriate spectra for imaging GFP.

**Figure 1.** Localization of GFP-GalTase by immunogold microscopy. Gallery of images from cryosections of CHO cells expressing GFP-GalTase (A–C) or GFP-KDEL (D) that were immunostained with anti-GFP antibody and 15-nm colloidal gold protein A. Note that multiple cisternae within Golgi stacks are specifically labeled with gold particles. Nu, nucleus; Pm, plasma membrane. Bars, 0.2 µm.
and BODIPY-ceramide. Biological Detection Systems imaging software (version 1.6, now Oncor imaging, Oncor Instruments, San Diego, CA) or IPLab Spectrum was used to control image acquisition (Macintosh Quadra 800; Apple Computer Co., Cupertino, CA). Images were manipulated using IPLab Spectrum (Signal Analytics, Vienna, VA), NIH-Image software (Wayne Rasband, Research Services Branch, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD), and Adobe Photoshop (San Jose, CA). Images were printed with a Fujix Pictrography 3000 Digital Printer (Fuji Photofil Co., Tokyo, Japan). None of the cooled CCD images collected and displayed had any saturated pixels. The dynamic range was 0–255 gray levels for the cooled CCD images. For the confocal images, the range was 0–255 gray levels. There was no overexposure in those confocal images (Fig. 11, A and B), which were used to analyze Golgi blinkout and to fit a diffusion constant since it clearly would have spoiled the quantitation.

Computer Simulation and Analysis of Diffusive Transport

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) was performed on a Zeiss LSM 410 using the 488-nm line of a Kr/Ar laser (Cole et al., 1996a). The outlined box was photobleached at full laser power (100% power, 100% transmission), and recovery of fluorescence was monitored by scanning the entire cell at low laser power (30% power, 0.3% transmission) in 10-s intervals. Negligible bleaching occurred while imaging the recovery process at low laser power.

Diffusion was modeled assuming a random tubular network of variable density that was defined by the measured fluorescence in a so-called reference state (i.e., long times after Golgi blinkout in BFA-treated cells, or before bleach in the FRAP experiments). The fluorescence in the cell was assumed to evolve diffusively from an initial state (i.e., before blinkout in BFA-treated cells, or immediately after bleach after FRAP) towards the reference image. The initial and reference images, and background intensities to subtract, were supplied to a simulation program written in Fortran, which could produce output curves of fluorescence versus time for any desired regions of interest. The basic principles used by this program are all described in Appendix A. The time used in the simulation is converted to physical units by supplying the pixel size and an assumed $D_{eff}$. Curves using different trial values of $D_{eff}$ could then be plotted together with actual data.

We have extensively tested this method under conditions where diffusive transport could be assumed (i.e., FRAP experiments) and values for $D_{eff}$ could also be obtained from a dedicated FRAP instrument (Cole et al., 1996a). In another study performed in this lab (Ellenberg et al., 1997), diffusion of ER proteins was analyzed using a traditional FRAP approach in which a thin strip was bleached across the cell. $D_{eff}$ was determined for large numbers of cells both by the traditional approach of fitting to an equation describing one-dimensional diffusion and by matching predicted curves produced by the simulation program with actual data. The resulting $D_{eff}$ determined in the same cell using the two methods were extremely similar.

Results

Localization of the GFP-Golgi Chimeras

Galactosyltransferase is a Golgi resident enzyme that functions in the remodeling of N-linked oligosaccharides attached to proteins moving through the secretory pathway. By contrast, KDEL receptor is an itinerant Golgi protein, which recycles KDEL-containing ligands passing through the Golgi back to the ER (Lewis and Pelham, 1992). Previous work has demonstrated that addition of the GFP tag to these proteins does not interfere with Golgi targeting of these proteins or with ligand-induced recycling of KDEL (Cole et al., 1996a). GFP-tagged galactosyltransferase (GFP-GalTase) and KDEL receptor (GFP-KDEL) were both shown to reside predominantly in juxtanuclear Golgi-like structures, with GFP-KDEL also found in small amounts in the ER (Cole et al., 1996a). To further analyze the Golgi location of these chimeras, we used immunogold electron microscopy, and confocal microscopy of double-labeled specimens. Immunoelectron microscopy using thin, frozen sections labeled with polyclonal antibodies to GFP followed by secondary antibodies coupled to 15-nm gold revealed the ultrastructural distribution of the chimeras. As shown in Fig. 1, A–C, for GFP-GalTase, nearly all of the gold particles were restricted to the Golgi complex and were mostly found associated with stacked cisternae. No significant gold labeling of plasma membrane or nuclear envelope was detected. In cells expressing GFP-KDEL (Fig. 1D), a similar localization of gold particles to Golgi stacks was observed, but occasional gold particles were also found over the ER.

![Figure 2. Double labeling of GFP chimeras with Golgi markers using immunofluorescence microscopy.](image-url)
Confocal microscopy was used to compare the location of GFP-GalTase and GFP-KDELr with other well-characterized Golgi markers, including GM130, a cis-Golgi matrix protein (Nakamura et al., 1995) and GalTase, a Golgi enzyme enriched in medial-trans cisternae of Golgi stacks (Berger et al.) (Fig. 2). Cells expressing the GFP-chimeras were fixed and then labeled with primary antibodies to GM130 or GalTase followed by rhodamine-conjugated secondary antibodies. When such cells were imaged using a confocal microscope, significant overlap in the distribution of green (GFP-chimera) and red (antibody) fluorescence was observed, giving rise to a merged yellow signal. The subtle difference in staining pattern observed for some of the proteins (for example, GFP-KDELr overlapped more with GM130 than with GalTase) is likely to reflect differences in localization of these proteins to different sets of Golgi cisternae, as suggested in previous studies comparing the distribution of Golgi markers using confocal microscopy (Antony et al., 1992; Nilsson et al., 1993; Shima et al., 1997). Altogether, the above results suggest that the majority of GFP-tagged GalTase and KDELr molecules expressed within HeLa or CHO cells reside within Golgi stacks and that they exhibit overlapping distributions among Golgi cisternae.

**Morphology and Dynamics of Golgi Membranes Labeled with GFP Chimeras in Living Cells**

To analyze the dynamic properties of Golgi membranes in living cells, time-lapse recordings of HeLa cells expressing the GFP-Golgi fusion proteins were performed. In Fig. 3, A and B, each time point was composed of a set of confocal slices extending the depth of the cell so that the complete three-dimensional structure of the Golgi could be visualized. The data showed that the overall arrangement of Golgi elements within the cytoplasm was relatively stable over 15 min of imaging. However, local remodeling of Golgi elements constantly occurred and involved the formation and/or detachment of thin tubule processes that interconnected adjacent Golgi elements. Many of the tubules appeared to initiate more stable and thicker membrane continuities between Golgi elements (Fig. 3, arrows) and were reminiscent of the tubules observed in electron microscopic studies that connect Golgi stacks into a continuous network (Tanaka et al., 1986; Rambourg and Clermont, 1990).

The large scale stability of Golgi structures contrasted with the considerable plasticity of Golgi rims observed in time-lapse sequences captured at high speed. Thin tubular processes were found to extend rapidly, break off, or detach from the rims of Golgi stacks during any 5-min interval of imaging at 7-s intervals (Fig. 3 C). Tubule extension and retraction frequently lasted 30–60 s and were a ubiquitous and prominent feature of Golgi dynamics.

Tubule activity of Golgi membranes labeled with GFP-Golgi proteins resembled in many respects those previously reported for the fluorescent lipid analogue NBD-ceramide (Cooper et al., 1990), which localizes to Golgi stacks and the TGN (Lipsky and Pagano, 1987; Pagano et al., 1989). Imaging of living cells with the lipid analogue addi-
tionally revealed, however, submicron particles (previously described as secretory vesicles leaving the TGN) that moved outward from the Golgi region to the plasma membrane (Cooper et al., 1990). GFP-tagged KDELR and GalTase described here were never observed in outward moving particles or vesicles (only tubules) and never appeared on the plasma membrane. Instead, these proteins remained predominantly localized to Golgi membranes with small amounts found in detached tubules and the ER.

**Characteristics of Golgi Tubule Processes in Untreated Cells**

Time-lapse sequences captured at high speeds from cells expressing GFP-KDELR revealed Golgi tubules to extend rapidly, break off, and to continue to move out to the cell periphery (Fig. 4 and Quicktime movie sequence at http://dir.nichd.nih.gov/CBMB/pb4labob.htm). Analysis of the sequences showed Golgi tubules moved along curvilinear tracks at average rates of 0.6 μm/s (Table I). They frequently extended 4–6 microns before retracting back or detaching from Golgi elements on a time scale ranging from 9–120 s. Detached tubules continued to move peripherally at rates of 0.6 μm/s before changing shape and direction. On some occasions, detached tubules curled up into a ball and remained in this state until they disappeared from view (perhaps as a result of fusion with the peripheral ER membrane system). Since detached tubules could be followed out to the periphery of the cell where they remained visible for significant lengths of time and were observed on either a confocal or conventional microscope sampling a full depth of field, they were not an artifact arising from imaging thin sections. Those tubule processes containing GFP chimeras that did not detach from Golgi elements sometimes initiated stable membranous connections with adjacent Golgi elements, as shown in Fig. 3, A and B.

The curvilinear path and rate of movement of Golgi tubules suggested they were transported along microtubules. Consistent with this, Golgi tubule processes were not observed in cells treated with the microtubule-disrupting agent nocodazole (not shown). Since Golgi tubules appeared to move peripherally along microtubules, a plus end–directed microtubule motor, possibly kinesin (Vale et
al., 1985; Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1995), could be associated with their membranes to power such movement.

**Proliferation of Golgi Tubules during BFA Treatment**

The steady-state dynamics of Golgi membranes containing GFP chimeras described above was profoundly altered upon treatment of cells with BFA. BFA prevents the binding of peripheral COP I proteins onto Golgi membranes and results in Golgi membrane tubulation and redistribution into the ER (Klausner et al., 1992). Time-lapse imaging of BFA-treated cells revealed that the rate of tubule formation dramatically increased within 4–5 min after adding the drug (Fig. 5 and Table I). BFA-induced Golgi tubules were motile, extending/retracting and sometimes appearing to bifurcate (Fig. 5). Their overall appearance and properties were similar to those found in normal cells (Table I), except that they did not detach from the Golgi and extended enormous lengths (up to 20 μm) into the cytoplasm. That GalTase and the fluorescent Golgi lipid analogue BODIPY-ceramide (Pagano et al., 1991) colocalized within the same tubules in BFA-treated cells (Fig. 6) suggested extensive mixing of Golgi lipids and proteins within these structures.

**Delayed and Rapid Redistribution of Golgi Membranes into the ER in BFA-treated Cells**

The Golgi tubule network generated during BFA treatment persisted for several minutes. Then, very abruptly, the network disappeared and GFP chimera fluorescence redistributed into the ER (Fig. 5 and Quicktime movie sequence at http://dir.nichd.nih.gov/ CBMB/pb4labob.htm.). Emptying of GFP chimera fluorescence into the ER in some cases took only 15 s. In the cell shown in Fig. 5, for example, fluorescence within the Golgi tubule network at 8 min 33 s was completely redistributed into the ER (including the nuclear envelope) by 8 min 57 s. Although redistribution of Golgi into the ER during BFA-treatment is

Figure 5. Tubulation and explosive disassembly of the Golgi complex in BFA-treated cells. GFP-GalTase-expressing HeLa cells treated with BFA were imaged at 4-s time intervals using a cooled CCD microscope system at 37°C. Images shown begin after 4 min (4:00) of BFA treatment and extend until 8 min 57 s (8:57). Tubule formation was accentuated in these cells without tubule detachment. GFP-GalTase rapidly emptied from the Golgi tubular system into the ER over a period of about 14 s beginning at 8 min 33 s (8:33). Thereafter, the GFP label remained dispersed in ER membranes leaving no identifiable Golgi structures behind. Bar, 5 μm. See Quicktime movie sequence at http://dir.nichd.nih.gov/CBMB/pb4labob.htm.
process (i.e., radioactive decay). This suggested that entry
period of about 4 min) (Fig. 7 A). Significantly, the number of Golgi structures in a population of cells treated with BFA decreased exponentially in time (after a latency period of about 4 min) (Fig. 7 C), resembling a first-order process (i.e., radioactive decay). This suggested that entry
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Figure 6. Tubules containing BODIPY-ceramide in BFA-treated cells and their codistribution with GalTase. (A–C) Golgi membranes were labeled with BODIPY-ceramide and then treated with BFA before imaging at 37°C with a cooled CCD microscope system. Images were collected at 0 min (A), 5 min (B), and 10 min (C) after BFA treatment. Note that juxtanuclear Golgi structures labeled by the fluorescent lipid analog tubulated in response to BFA and then dispersed. (D and E) Cells plated on gridded coverslips were labeled with BODIPY-ceramide and imaged 5 min after BFA treatment. The cells were fixed, permeabilized, and then prepared for immunofluorescence using rabbit anti–mouse GalTase antibody followed by rhodamine-labeled goat anti–rabbit IgG. Cells previously imaged in fluorescein optics were located and then reimaged in rhodamine optics to compare the distribution of the two labels. Arrows point to staining of GalTase (E) and BODIPY-ceramide (D) colocalized to the same Golgi tubules in BFA-treated cells. Bars, 10 μm.

well known (Doms et al., 1989; Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1989), the two step process of Golgi tubule network formation followed by sudden, rapid delivery of Golgi membrane into the ER was unexpected.

Golgi redistribution into the ER occurred at different times in different cells (Fig. 7 A) but was always a sudden, explosive event that we called Golgi “blinkout.” Within a population of over one hundred cells, Golgi blinkout usually occurred 4 to 8 min after BFA was added and had a duration of 15–60 s during which Golgi-localized fluorescence dispersed into the ER (Fig. 7 B). Significantly, the number of Golgi structures in a population of cells treated with BFA decreased exponentially in time (after a latency period of about 4 min) (Fig. 7 C), resembling a first-order process (i.e., radioactive decay). This suggested that entry of BFA-treated Golgi membranes into the ER system is likely to involve a unique fusion event or entry site rather than targeting and fusion of a mass of tubules or vesicles.

Golgi membranes labeled with BODIPY-ceramide also redistributed into the ER in BFA-treated cells by a rapid and discrete process (Fig. 8 A). The onset and duration of Golgi blinkout in these cells was indistinguishable from that of cells expressing the GFP-Golgi protein chimera. For both, blinkout usually occurred between 4 and 8 min after adding BFA and lasted only 15–60 s (Fig. 8 B). In addition, Golgi structures in the population of cells disappeared exponentially in time, consistent with a unique fusion event triggering the redistribution process (Fig. 8 C). As found for the GFP-Golgi protein chimera, very little BODIPY label was left concentrated in Golgi structures after this redistribution (Fig. 8 A), with the label instead found distributed throughout the ER system. In cells stained with higher concentrations of BODIPY-ceramide, however, a Golgi remnant sometimes remained and presumably corresponded to the trans-Golgi network, which does not redistribute its membranes into the ER with BFA (Lippincott-Schwartz, 1991). These results suggest that both Golgi lipid and protein are delivered at equivalent rapid rates into the ER upon initiation of a distinct event (possibly fusion of a single Golgi tubule with the ER) in BFA-treated cells.

Golgi blinkout was temperature dependent and NEM sensitive. At temperatures below 30°C tubules proliferated, but Golgi redistribution into the ER rarely occurred within 30 min of imaging (data not shown). In the presence of NEM (2 μg/ml added 2 min after BFA), tubules formed but quickly lost their ability to move, and no redistribution of Golgi membrane into the ER occurred (data not shown).

**Effects of Microtubule Disruption and Golgi Fragmentation on the Process of Golgi Blinkout**

Cells treated with nocodazole before addition of BFA showed no induction of Golgi tubules (Fig. 9), consistent with a microtubule requirement for peripheral extension and movement of these elements. In addition, the interval of time preceding Golgi blinkout dramatically increased and occurred anytime between 10 and 50 min of BFA treatment. The duration of individual Golgi blinkouts, however, was unchanged. This is illustrated in Fig. 9 (see also Quicktime movie sequence at [http://dir.nichd.nih.gov/CBMB/pb4labob.htm](http://dir.nichd.nih.gov/CBMB/pb4labob.htm)), where GFP-GalTase fluorescence associated with the Golgi complex was relatively constant for 36 min of BFA treatment and then completely redistributed into the ER during the next 1 min. This result suggests that extension of Golgi tubules along microtubules serves to increase the probability of fusion between Golgi and ER membranes. Once fusion between these two organelles is initiated (which can occur in the absence of Golgi tubule extension since ER membranes are distributed throughout the cytoplasm), the Golgi rapidly and completely empties into the ER.

Within single cells whose Golgi elements were scattered/fragmented and not obviously interconnected, distinct BODIPY-ceramide or GFP-GalTase containing Golgi elements disappeared at different time points after BFA treatment. This is shown for BODIPY-ceramide in the cell shown
in Fig. 10, where 22 images (comprising the time course of BFA-induced Golgi blinkout) were analyzed. The distribution of Golgi elements at the start of the experiment is shown schematically on top. The three panels on the bottom show three time series (direction of time is left to right, 2:40–6:09 min) correlating to three vertical line scans through the data set. The intensity of the BODIPY-ceramide during the time series was mapped to a color lookup table shown at the upper right, with the most intense signal colored purple and blue, and least intense colored red and yellow. Bright BODIPY-ceramide structures (correlating to discrete Golgi elements) disappeared suddenly at different time points upon BFA treatment, suggesting that multiple Golgi blinkouts occur within a single cell when membranes from one Golgi element either fail to connect or are unable to connect to others (i.e., during microtubule disruption).

Evidence that a Tension-driven Membrane Flow Drives Golgi Redistribution into the ER

The finding that BFA-treated Golgi membranes are rapidly delivered into the ER over a 30-s to 1-min time interval in a probabilistic manner and that microtubules increase the probability (but not the speed) of redistribution is consistent with blinkout being initiated by a single fusion event between a Golgi tubule and the ER, rather than by massive budding and fusion of vesicles. Redistribution of Golgi membrane under this model would occur by lateral membrane movement across the newly formed site of ER–Golgi continuity and then spreading of Golgi membrane across the ER. The unidirectionality of this process, with the entire Golgi body absorbed into the ER rather than the two compartments mixing while retaining their respective areas, indicates that free energy is lost upon Golgi membrane redistribution. This finding, together with
the observation that lipid and protein move at identical rapid rates into the ER (despite the fact that lipid typically diffuses 10 times faster than protein) raises the question whether Golgi material moves into the ER diffusively or is carried by a tension-driven flow. The latter process is common in many continuous fluid systems having free energy differences. A typical example is the spreading of detergent on an air–water interface. In that case, a lateral tension gradient (force/area) arising from unequal concentration of detergent at the air–water interface causes a flow that spreads the detergent much faster than diffusion (Probst, 1994).

To address whether tension-driven membrane flow contributes to the Golgi redistribution process required quantitative analysis of digitized images of the GFP-protein chimeras collected during blinkout. An effective diffusion constant $D_{\text{eff}}$ for the GFP-protein chimeras during the redistribution process can be calculated from such images (Appendix A). This $D_{\text{eff}}$ can then be compared with the $D_{\text{eff}}$ measured from photobleaching experiments of the same protein species in the ER when only diffusive transport is occurring. If flow into the ER were occurring during the redistribution process, then $D_{\text{eff}}$ for the spread of GFP-protein chimeras through the ER at blinkout should be greater than $D_{\text{eff}}$ measured during recovery after photobleaching performed with the chimera equilibrated within the ER (after long-term BFA treatment).

A method was developed for calculating $D_{\text{eff}}$ from photobleaching and Golgi redistribution experiments that accounts for the relative density and geometry of the ER in the actual cell being imaged. The mathematics and theory for this approach are discussed in Appendix A, and its application is outlined in Materials and Methods. It is instructive to contrast our methodology with the conventional method for determining $D_{\text{eff}}$ using FRAP. In FRAP, a narrow (~2-μm) strip is rapidly bleached across a fluo-
rescent sample, and fluorescence recovery into the strip is fit to an analytically derived function describing one-dimensional diffusion from an infinite medium into a hole. Here, we simulated the diffusive recovery of the optical intensity profile within the entire cell after photobleaching and made no assumptions about the shape and size of the bleached region or whether partial recovery occurred during the course of the bleach. The experimental image at any time point was evolved forward under the assumption that it was relaxing diffusively towards the prebleach intensity pattern. Assuming lateral diffusion alone is governing the fluorescence recovery in the experiment, a single $D_{\text{eff}}$ should describe all experimental regions of interest (ROIs) that are plotted from the experiment. This provides an immediate internal check on the quality of the algorithm used to simulate diffusive transport. During Golgi redistribution into the ER in BFA-treated cells, if fluorescence transfer was occurring by lateral diffusion then simulations using $D_{\text{eff}}$ obtained from photobleaching recovery experiments should reproduce it as well as for the photobleaching recovery experiment.
The above method was first used to calculate $D_{\text{eff}}$ from photobleach recovery experiments in cells with GFP-GalTase completely redistributed into the ER by BFA well after blinkout, where only diffusive transport should be occurring. To obtain a $D_{\text{eff}}$ for diffusional recovery in this experiment, theoretical curves were simulated assuming a diffusive process and matched to the experimental data by adjusting only the diffusion constant used in the simulation. As shown in Fig. 11 A, a single $D_{\text{eff}} (2.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{sec})$ reasonably fit the experimental data for all ROIs in the cell shown, even though each ROI displayed a different recovery curve reflected by the density of ER in that region. This $D_{\text{eff}}$ fit experimental photobleaching data obtained from numerous cells using hundreds of different

![Figure 11. Evidence for membrane flow from Golgi to ER: diffusive recovery from photobleach contrasted with the time course of Golgi blinkout.](image)

(A) Three confocal images showing photobleaching and recovery of GFP-GalTase in the ER in cells treated with BFA for 1 h. The left panel is the prebleach image, the middle panel is just after the bleach, and the right panel is after recovery. The bleached region is outlined in dashed lines. The fluorescent intensities within the boxed ROIs labeled 1, 2, and 3 during recovery are plotted as symbols to the right (diamonds, crosses, and pluses, respectively). The smooth curves are simulations with $D_{\text{eff}}$ of $2.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{sec}$ as explained in the text and Appendix A. The dashed curves are the same simulation assuming $D_{\text{eff}}$ of $5.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{sec}$. Note that even though each ROI displayed a different recovery curve, a single $D_{\text{eff}}$ of $2.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{sec}$ in the simulations could effectively account for all the experimental data. (B) The same cell as in A was imaged during Golgi blinkout after addition of BFA. The two confocal images correspond to onset of Golgi blinkout and after the redistribution into the ER is complete. The fluorescent intensities within the boxed ROIs labeled 1, 2, and 3 during Golgi blinkout are plotted to the right as symbols (pluses, crosses, and diamonds, respectively). Numerical simulations began with the fluorescent density field shown in the left panel and assumed diffusive transport toward the field in the right panel. The smooth curves in the graph show the simulated intensity assuming $D_{\text{eff}}$ of $2.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{sec}$, whereas the dashed curves show the simulated intensity assuming $D_{\text{eff}}$ of $5.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{sec}$. Note the latency period of the experimental data in relation to the simulated curves and the more sigmoidal rise. (C) Three cooled CCD images of a GFP-KDEL−expressing cell at the beginning of Golgi blinkout (left), 7.4 s later (middle), and the final time point 37 s later (right). Fluorescent intensity values of the four ROIs shown were plotted as a function of time in BFA. Numerical simulations ran from the first panel toward the third as in B. Diffusion constants for each ROI that best fit the data are shown. Bars (A and C), 10 μm.
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ROIs and was close to the same $D_{\text{eff}}$ measured previously for GFP-GalTase in the ER by conventional FRAP methods (e.g., $2.1 \times 10^{-6}\text{cm}^2/\text{s} \pm 0.2$) (Cole et al., 1996a). For comparison, simulated curves for the ROI in Fig. 11A using $D_{\text{eff}}$ of $5 \times 10^{-6}\text{cm}^2/\text{s}$ are shown as dashed lines in the graph. We have used this algorithm extensively to model diffusive recovery in another study and found good matches to diffusion coefficients generated using more traditional slit photobleaching approaches (Ellenberg et al., 1997).

Fig. 11B shows a similar analysis after fluorescence redistribution into the ER of GFP-GalTase in the same cell as in Fig. 11A at the time of Golgi blinkout. The time course of the redistribution was followed by plotting the intensity averages over several strategically placed ROIs. Shown on the same graph are curves from computer simulations using $D_{\text{eff}}$ of $2.5 \times 10^{-6}\text{cm}^2/\text{s}$ or $5 \times 10^{-6}\text{cm}^2/\text{s}$, which start from the first time shown and model the redistribution as diffusion (see Appendix A). It is assumed that when the numerical integration begins, all the GFP marker is in contact with the ER and free to diffuse throughout this compartment. In contrast to the case for the photobleach recovery experiment above, the experimental data points from Golgi blinkout could not be described by a single $D_{\text{eff}}$. Moreover, many of the ROIs examined had a latency period in relation to the simulated curves and a more sigmoidal rise, suggestive of a wave of material progressing outward as a flow rather than diffusive transport. This type of kinetic analysis of Golgi blinkout was performed on over 10 cells with similar results. Data from a cell expressing GFP-KDEL is shown in Fig. 11C. The simulated $D_{\text{eff}}$ that best fit the experimental data to each ROI are indicated (despite each having a latency period and more sigmoidal rise compared with the simulated diffusion curves, though less than in Fig. 11B). For the two ROIs to the right of the Golgi, $D_{\text{eff}}$ was slightly less than $D_{\text{eff}}$ measured in the photobleaching experiment for GFP-KDEL. For the ROIs below the Golgi, however, $D_{\text{eff}}$ was three to five times this value.

The disparity in diffusion constants needed to fit different ROIs in Golgi blinkout experiments was not explained by assuming delayed fusion of different regions of the Golgi complex with the ER. In Fig. 11C, for example, one might assume that fusion between Golgi and ER first occurred at a tubule in the bottom of the figure, so as to be closer to the ROI that ostensibly required a large $D_{\text{eff}}$ and further from those that were fit with a small $D_{\text{eff}}$. However, under this scenario facilitated transport or flow would need to be invoked since it is impossible to drain the Golgi by diffusive transport through only a few tubules in a short time interval (see Discussion), while flow through one or a few tubules would do the job. Also, placing the release point low in the figure would increase the $D_{\text{eff}}$ determined for the upper ROI by a factor approximately four (the distance to be traveled is roughly doubled), thus making $D_{\text{eff}}$ again too large. The problems with a purely diffusive model would not be alleviated by supposing that other points of fusion occurred later since material definitely has moved between the first two images and the less time available for that to occur pushes the inferred $D_{\text{eff}}$ value upward. These results indicate that simple diffusive models cannot explain the rate Golgi membrane redistributes into the ER during BFA treatment (i.e., $D_{\text{eff}}$ are too high), whereas flow-assisted membrane transport processes can.

**Discussion**

**Golgi Membrane Tubules**

Ultrastructural studies have shown tubules (with diameters of 50–100 nm and variable length) to be an inherent feature of Golgi membranes. Both the cis- and trans-cisterna are composed of extensive tubule networks, and tubule bridges frequently connect different stacks or loop back to cisternae within the same stack (Tanaka et al., 1986; Rambourg and Clermont, 1990; Weidman et al., 1993). Moreover, circular profiles in stereopairs of thick specimens often correspond, not to vesicles, but to cross-sections of numerous narrow tubules extending off from edges of Golgi cisternae (Clermont et al., 1995). What studies of fixed cells cannot reveal is the dynamic nature of these tubules, including how they arise (i.e., by vesicle-vesicle fusion, periplasmic fusion, or extension/detachment from cisterna [Rothman and Warren, 1994]), their lifetime, and their fate in vivo. The most striking feature of untreated Golgi membranes studied in living cells with GFP-tagged Golgi proteins was the continuous extension of membrane tubules from Golgi rims at rates on the order of 0.6 µm/s along microtubules, as well as their detachment. Such tubules are a prominent, ubiquitous feature of Golgi dynamics and appear to play a dual role both in interconnecting adjacent Golgi elements and in transporting membrane out from the Golgi in normal cells.

In the latter capacity, detached tubules containing GFP-Golgi proteins moved significant distances into the cell periphery before curling up and then often disappearing from view. This suggested they might have a carrier function in some membrane transport pathway. KDEL and GalTase are never transported to the cell surface but do recycle to the ER (Pelham, 1991; Cole et al., 1996b), which exists as a peripheral membrane network throughout the cell (Terasaki et al., 1986). Assuming the GFP tag did not alter this property, Golgi tubules carrying these proteins to the cell periphery could represent retrograde transport intermediates. Since BFA augments but does not otherwise alter the morphological properties of Golgi tubules, our observations are consistent with the supposition that BFA treatment merely accentuates a normal constitutive membrane cycling pathway. Interestingly, GFP-KDEL was observed more often in the peripherally extending Golgi tubules than GFP-GalTase (data not shown), suggesting that KDEL recycle to the ER more frequently than GalTase.

The other function of tubules is to connect Golgi elements and thereby to facilitate the well-established capacity of the Golgi body to remodel itself. Such processes are likely to be important for Golgi reassembly after mitosis (Warren, 1993) and after removal of BFA (Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1990) or other agents that lead to Golgi fragmentation. The high mobility of Golgi resident proteins within Golgi membranes revealed by photobleaching studies (Cole et al., 1996a) enables resident components to diffuse quickly between stacks that have become interconnected by tubules and plausibly facilitates the amalgamation of Golgi elements.
Regulation of Tubule Formation

Given the dual roles of tubules in Golgi dynamics and their proliferation in response to BFA, what can be said about their regulation? To fabricate a tubule or vesicle from flat bilayer without an external force requires increasing the outer leaflet area at the expense of the inner one. Most directly this can occur by flipping lipids from inner to outer leaflets (Mui et al., 1995) or inserting new moieties into the outer leaflet (Fuchs et al., 1995). Alternatively, one can increase the effective area per head group in the outer leaflet by increasing the charge via phosphorylation, by cleaving one of the acyl chains by a phospholipase, or by recruiting wedge-shaped lipids to the bud site, or merely via unequal electrostatic screening of the two leaflets (Chou et al., 1997). Since tubules proliferate in BFA-treated cells where peripheral “coat” proteins (including COP I proteins) are dissociated from Golgi membranes, such proteins may play an ancillary and perhaps a regulatory role rather than a structural one in tubulation. COP I proteins, for example, might act like cytosolic “receptors” for membrane-bound proteins that are recruited into the retrograde pathway (Pelham, 1994), and in so doing could couple with any one of the many mechanisms for inducing membrane curvature.

The extension of Golgi tubules appears to be a relatively simple process once the mechanism for supplying membrane curvature is at hand. Our results show that microtubules are required and that the membrane tubules move at speeds 0.6 μm/s towards the plus ends of microtubules. Thus, a kinesin-like motor is likely involved (Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1995), and the mechanisms by which the tubule components of the ER are extended along microtubules (Dabora and Sheetz, 1988; Allan and Vale, 1994) provide a possible model for Golgi tubules. The motor proteins may simply guide the Golgi membrane extensions and overcome the resistance of cytoplasmic obstacles. An alternative possibility is that the microtubule motor force itself creates tubules from a free membrane with no preferred curvature. Given a bending modulus in the range of typical in vitro experiments of ~10 times thermal and force of ~4 pN appropriate to kinesin, radii of ~50 nm are implied by the balance of forces (Dai and Sheetz, 1995; Sheetz and Dai, 1996). Arguing against this interpretation, however, are the appearance of tubules in the correct size range in in vitro experiments (Cluett et al., 1993) and the various biochemical means the cell has for the regulation of membrane curvature.

Tubulation in Response to BFA

An immediate target of BFA is ARF, which in physical terms is an energy-driven assembly-transport system for supplying COP I to membranes (Donaldson et al., 1992; Orci et al., 1993; Palmer et al., 1993; Rothman and Wieland, 1996). When nucleotide exchange onto ARF is inhibited by BFA, COP I proteins are quickly depleted from Golgi membranes (Donaldson and Klausner, 1994). In response, Golgi tubules proliferate, they are longer, and they do not so readily detach from the Golgi complex. Ultimately, fusion with the ER occurs and the Golgi disappears. Given this mechanism of BFA action, either the absence of COP I unblocks processes that cause leaflet area imbalance and hence tubules, or the tubulation is an autonomous downstream consequence of the perturbation in ARF cycling (for example, as a result of ARF’s effect on phospholipase D metabolism [Brown et al., 1993; Cockcroft et al., 1994; Kistakis et al., 1996]). Both scenarios could impact protein sorting processes in the Golgi system.

If peripheral “coat” proteins served as a filter or aggregator to facilitate recycling of specific proteins, then there must be at least two populations of transport structures in untreated cells (for recycling components versus forward moving cargo), the contents of which would indiscriminately mix and distribute into tubules in the presence of BFA. Another function of such coat proteins suggested by BFA treatment is in promoting the detachment of tubules. This would be another way in which the integrity of the Golgi body is protected, by severing potential links to other organelles. Whether this property has any structural relation to the mechanism that promotes tubule formation remains to be seen.

Golgi Membrane Resorption into the ER

Golgi elements that were extensively interconnected by membrane tubules during the first 5 to 8 min of BFA treatment rapidly emptied protein and lipid into the ER in a process (called blinkout) usually lasting only 30 s. Golgi structures within a large population of cells were observed to undergo blinkout at distinct time points as in a first-order or exponential process. This suggested that Golgi blinkout within individual cells was initiated by a single stochastic event, possibly fusion of a single Golgi tubule with the ER rather than multiple fusion events over an extended period.

The interval before blinkout was greatly increased (to between 10 to 50 min) when Golgi tubule extension was inhibited by depolymerization of microtubules by nocodazole. Once fusion between the ER and Golgi was initiated, however, redistribution still occurred as a very rapid process with Golgi protein and lipid emptying into the ER in less than one minute. This result indicates microtubules are not critical to the redistribution or blinkout phenomenon, although they increase its probability of occurrence, presumably by providing tracks for Golgi tubules to extend peripherally, where they have a greater chance of contacting the ER (which also extends peripherally along microtubules).

Since the blinkout process appeared identical whether viewed with a protein or lipid marker and in neither case was there any significant Golgi remnant structure, we infer that Golgi membranes were absorbed (i.e., moved unidirectionally) into the ER after ER–Golgi fusion, rather than that the two compartments merely mixed but retained their respective areas (see Fig. 12). Various estimates put the surface area of the Golgi at 20% of that of the ER system (Griffiths et al., 1989), so that if a significant fraction of Golgi structure remained intact after BFA-induced redistribution, a concentration of fluorescent material (representing 20% of total fluorescent signal) would still be visible in the Golgi region as material mixed uniformly throughout the ER–Golgi system. Since no such residual concentration of GFP fluorescence was found, the results suggest that the entire Golgi body is absorbed into the ER unidi-
Tension-driven Membrane Flow from Golgi to ER

Given that there appears to be chemical potential or free energy differences between ER and Golgi membranes that lead to unidirectional transport when these membranes fuse upon BFA treatment, one can ask whether such transport occurs diffusively or is driven by a membrane tension flow. Free energy differences do not per se give rise to flow; a diffusion and sticking mechanism could also account for irreversible absorption of one compartment by another. Flow results from forces, which in the context of membranes are surface tension gradients (force/area) (Probstein, 1994). One straightforward mechanism for establishing tension gradients is to remove material from membranes in one region and add it in another, as has been reported to occur at the plasma membrane (Dai and Sheetz, 1996). Chemical physics supplies a number of other situations involving multiple phases in contact such as wetting and spreading of a surfactant along an interface where flow-based transport exists and is more rapid than diffusion (de Gennes, 1985; Probstein, 1994).

Our evidence for tension-driven membrane flow in the ER–Golgi system is circumstantial; we have not directly measured a velocity for Golgi membrane transport into the ER. To favor a diffusive explanation as much as possible in our analysis of Golgi redistribution into the ER during BFA treatment, we assumed simultaneous connection of all parts of the Golgi to the ER. In one case (see Fig. 11 C), the fluorescence intensity of GalTase-GFP in various ROIs within the ER all started to rise at the same time during Golgi blinkout, but the $D_{eff}$ required to fit the overall rise varied by a factor of 10 among the ROIs and for the fastest was a factor of 4-5 times greater than the diffusion constant measured by FRAP. One might argue that in this case it was the lipid diffusion constant (plausibly 5–10 times larger than the GFP-KDEL value of 4.5 × 10⁻³ cm²/s) that governed the blinkout time, and that the fluorescent protein was swept along. In another case (see Fig. 11 B), however, $D_{eff}$ was not so great. The experimental data in this case could not fit well by simple diffusion since it had a latency period followed by a sigmoidal rise in intensity values resembling that of a wave or front of fluorescent material moving across the ER. Such a sigmoidal profile would be expected from flow-assisted transport. The complex geometry of the ER was not responsible for these unusual time courses since our methodology (see Appendix A) was able to quantitatively simulate photobleach recovery in the same cells and obtain the same diffusion constants that were measured previously by FRAP (Cole et al., 1996).

If one speculates about how connections are made between the Golgi and ER at the time of Golgi blinkout, there are circumstances where diffusion may be ruled out on
purely theoretical grounds (see Appendix B). Consider two organelles connected by a single tube of diameter, \( d = 0.1 \mu \text{m} \), and length, \( L = 3 \mu \text{m} \), which drains a Golgi area of \( A = 100 \mu \text{m}^2 \). Assume Golgi proteins diffuse down the tube with a diffusion constant of \( 1 \mu \text{m}^2/\text{s} \) (Cole et al., 1996a). To favor diffusion as much as possible assume the concentration is 1 (arbitrary units per area) on the Golgi end of the tube and 0 on the ER side, and that the ER is perfectly absorbing and redistribution within it is instantaneous. Then the time to empty the Golgi of its material would be \( A \times L/\pi d \times \pi d \), or 1,000 s. By contrast, a flow velocity of \( v = 10 \mu \text{m}/\text{s} \) could accomplish the transfer via one tube in a time of \( A/(v\pi d) = 30 \text{ s} \), irrespective of length (see Appendix B).

While this velocity is very high in comparison to molecular motors, it is modest on the scale of what surface tension–driven flows can produce. To explain by analogy what may occur within the Golgi–ER system, we can imagine a situation where flow generated in the course of mixing contributes to the mixing process itself. Consider an interface (e.g., oil–water or water–air) with a nonuniform concentration of surfactant. The interfacial tension depends on the surfactant concentration point by point, so it too is nonuniform, and its spatial gradient generates a force per area at the interface. A bulk flow ensues that transports the surfactant. Diffusion still occurs, but it may be overwhelmed by the flow-induced transport.

For the ER–Golgi system, the role of “surfactant” could be played by specific lipids (i.e., cholesterol) from the Golgi interacting with the tails of ER lipids. Alternatively, the Golgi lipid head groups could lower the energy of the ER–cytoplasm or ER–lumen interface, or the Golgi proteins might fulfill either role. Tension differences of order 0.1 to 1 times the thermal energy (\( k_BT \)) per surfactant would generate velocities of several microns per second (see Appendix B). Membrane flows of this type are either recirculating or must entail an increase in area somewhere since the lipids are incompressible. Thus, we have to imagine that the ER swells to accommodate the Golgi membrane or new ER tubules or cisternae are created. In either case, the mechanical energy generated by the tension difference has to supply the necessary work.

The tension in the ER system, alternatively, could be due to the action of microtubule motors (i.e., kinesin), which extend the ER peripherally, while the Golgi membranes are flaccid. The insensitivity of the Golgi blinkout kinetics to nocodazole and the 10–100-fold lower values of mechanically induced tension deduced from the kinesin force compared to plausible chemically induced tensions, however, lead us to favor a chemical rather than mechanical basis for tension in the ER system. The mechanical tension is \( f/(4\pi r) \), where \( f \) is the microtubule motor force and \( r \) is the tubule radius (Sheetz and Dai, 1996).

In conclusion, the similarity in the time of Golgi blink-out when imaging either protein or lipid plus the wave-like propagation of Golgi material in the ER argue against diffusive transport and suggest flow-based membrane transport within the ER–Golgi system in BFA-treated cells. Tension-driven membrane flow within biological membranes is a new concept (Sheetz and Dai, 1996), but if one views membrane-bound organelles as lipid solvents with dissolved or mesh-like protein at different free energy states, then flows might be the norm and not the exception when different compartments become connected via tubules. Tubule formation and detachment from organelles would thus need to be tightly regulated to modulate such velocity-based transport pathways and thereby to maintain organelle integrity. It remains to be elucidated how organelles generate membrane-associated free energy differences (Do energy-driven peripheral coat proteins which concentrate and sort protein and lipid play a role?) and whether such free energy differences are harnessed by cells to drive organelle disassembly.

**Appendix A**

**Diffusion in a Heterogeneous Environment**

We want to relate the diffusion constant, \( D_{\text{eff}} \), we measured experimentally for spreading of fluorescent protein through the tubular and cisternal components of the ER to its ideal value \( D_0 \), appropriate to a planar fluorescent protein. To do so, we assume the ER is a random mesh of tubes of average radius \( r \) and average length between connections of \( L \gg r \). Their orientation is random in either a plane or a volume, and their average density is spatially nonuniform. The tubes are treated as one-dimensional objects because material introduced at one corner becomes uniform circumferentially in a time much less than the diffusion time to the next node. We can therefore use \( D_0 \) to describe diffusion along an individual tube.

Let there be some mean gradient \( \bar{g} \) in the density averaged on scales \( \gg L \), then \( D_{\text{eff}} \) is defined by

\[
\bar{g} = \text{Flux} = -D_{\text{eff}} \bar{\theta}.
\]

(A1)

If we assume the ER is isotropic, \( D_{\text{eff}} \) is a scalar and not a matrix. For a tube making some angle \( \theta \) with respect to \( \bar{g} \), the concentration gradient along the tube is reduced to \( g \cos \theta \) and the flow along the tube is \( D_0 g \cos \theta \). The projection of that flux along \( \bar{g} \) again (to get the flux needed in A1) is reduced by another factor of \( \cos \theta \). The average of \( \cos^2 \theta \) over a sphere (\( d = 3 \) is 1/3 or over a circle (\( d = 2 \)) is 1/2. Hence,

\[
D_{\text{eff}} = (D_0/d).
\]

(A2)

To address the problem of inhomogeneity, we assume the variation in both the thickness of the cell or the volume density of tubes is slow on a scale of \( L \), so we can use \( D_{\text{eff}} \). To determine the flux, \( \bar{j} \), in this inhomogeneous system we let \( \bar{p} \) be the average density of the ER, defined by the signal a long time after redistribution of fluorescence. The flux of material is no longer proportional to the density gradient \( \nabla \rho \) but rather the gradient of the density measured relative to \( \bar{\rho} \), the fractional filling. Thus,

\[
\bar{j} = -D_{\text{eff}} \bar{\rho} \bar{\nabla} \rho \cdot \bar{\nabla} \bar{\rho}.
\]

(A3)

The flux is zero when \( \rho \) is a fixed fraction of \( \bar{\rho} \) and when \( \bar{\rho} \) is uniform, it disappears from A3 and we obtain the conventional equation for flux interms of the density gradient.

The image manipulations after the data was recorded on disk, and all calculations required for the fitting were done.
with programs written in FORTRAN or C expressly for this purpose. To simulate Eq. A3, the equation was approximated by a discrete difference equation (second order accurate, three point differences in both directions; Press et al., 1992). The approximate equation conserved the total intensity exactly. The time stepping was done with the simplest first order accurate explicit scheme. This did not compromise our results because the experimental points involved intensity averages over 10 or more pixels in each direction to reduce instrumental noise and the effects of random movements inside the cell. Our computed values did not change to two figure accuracy when the time step was decreased by a factor of two or four. To accelerate the time stepping after enough evolution had occurred, we would coarsen the mesh.

The reference density \( \rho \) was sometimes blurred to eliminate instrumental noise which on the confocal images could amount to \( \pm 10\% \) of the total 8-bit range from pixel to pixel. The experimental image was sometimes cropped so as to retain only the cell interest.

The origin of time in the simulations is defined by the image we started from, but the unit is not known until \( D_{\text{eff}} \) is determined. To fix it, the experimental and numerical data were averaged over identical regions of interest at each time point. The time axis for all the numerical curves was then scaled to optimally match the experiment and \( D_{\text{eff}} \) read off.

### Appendix B

**Tension Driven Flow**

Little is known about the basic physical-chemical parameters of the Golgi–ER membrane system other than that the Golgi is adsorbed into the ER rather than the reverse. Thus, the ER provides a lower (free) energy environment for the Golgi contents. To estimate the velocities that could be produced during Golgi redistribution into the ER, we suppose the free energy difference, or tension if expressed in energy/area of membrane, is of order \( T \) ergs. It is immaterial precisely which constituents of the Golgi–ER system are responsible for the tension difference.

Our model for Golgi adsorption is by analogy with a surfactant (Golgi) that modulates the tension of an interface (the ER). A lateral tension gradient produces a force and hence a flow. An estimate for the averaged flow velocity \( \bar{v} \) proceeds the same way for any tension-driven flow, namely the energy per time provided by the tension difference is balanced by the viscous dissipation set up by the flow. Any back pressure that has to be overcome to add extra lipid to the ER has to be subtracted from the energy available from the tension.

To model the dissipation, we assume some fraction of the ER proteins form a rigid matrix through which the lipids and remaining proteins flow. (If instead the ER membranes were entirely mobile \( \bar{v} \) would increase because of the reduced dissipation from whatever larger scale matrix limits the flow.) The diffusion constant \( D_{\text{p}} \) of a protein in an ideal bilayer is several times \( 10^{-9} \) cm\(^2\)/s. We can invert the Einstein relation that relates \( D_{\text{p}} \) to the force on an anchored protein when the bilayer flows by at \( \bar{v} \) as

\[
 f_p = \frac{T}{D_{\text{p}}} \tau. \tag{B1} 
\]

Assume there are \( n_p \) proteins/area anchored inside a tube of length \( l \) and diameter \( d \) down which the lipids move with velocity \( \bar{v} \). The energy dissipated per time per protein is \( f_p \bar{v} \) and the total dissipation is

\[
 P_{\text{diss}} = \frac{T}{D_{\text{p}}} \bar{v}^2 (n_p \pi dl). \tag{B2} 
\]

The energy per time available from introducing new material is \( P_1 = \alpha_l T v n_l \pi d \), where \( n_l \) is the number of lipids/area. Solving \( P_{\text{diss}} = P_1 \) for \( v \) gives

\[
 \tau = \alpha_l D_p (n_l / n_p) / L \approx 10 \mu/s \tag{B3} 
\]

taking \( \alpha_l \approx 1, D_p \approx 10^{-9} \) cm\(^2\)/s, \( n_l / n_p \approx 10^2 \), \( L \approx 1 \mu \). The flow dissipation in a three-dimensional mesh or network comes predominantly from the region around the inlet (i.e., the distance to the first branch point), so \( L \) was approximated as the spacing between branch points in the ER.

An alternative estimate for \( P_{\text{diss}} \) models the ER as a two dimensional film flowing through an array of obstacles with spacing \( \delta \) for which D’arcy’s law reads (Batchelor, 1967)

\[
 \nabla \sigma = \mu \nabla \bar{v} \tag{B4} 
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the tension or energy/area whose gradient \( \nabla \sigma \) is the force/area. The constant \( \mu \) can be evaluated by assuming that the viscous stresses induced by the obstacles is equivalent to flow in a two-dimensional channel of width \( \delta \), i.e., \( \mu = 12 \nu / \delta^2 \), where \( \nu \approx 1 \) cm\(^2\)/s is the kinematic viscosity of the lipid (Bloom et al., 1991) and \( \rho_l \) the mass/area of film. The numerical factor comes largely from converting the parabolic velocity profile in the channel \( v(y) \) into \( \bar{v} \) via

\[
 \int_0^\delta v(y) \, dy = \delta \bar{v}. \tag{B5} 
\]

The force/area can be converted into a dissipation as in (B2) by multiplying by \( L \) and the area/time as in (B2). Thus,

\[
 P_{\text{diss}} = 12 (\nu / \delta^2) \rho_l \bar{v}^2 (\pi dl) \tag{B5} 
\]

and equating to \( P_1 \) yields

\[
 \tau = \frac{\alpha_l T \delta^2 n_l}{12 \nu \rho_l L} \approx 10^2 \mu/s \tag{B6} 
\]

using \( \delta \approx 5 \) nm and other numbers as before.

Our estimates so far merely place an upper bound on \( \bar{v} \) since they assume all the energy in the surface tension difference is available to drive the flow, i.e., there are no dissipative losses other than those attributable to \( \bar{v} \). In reality, whatever components are responsible for the tension difference can mix by diffusion and “short out” the potential responsible for the flow. Given the velocities we are finding, the Golgi material will have to spread quite far into the ER before intrinsic diffusion becomes competitive with flow.
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