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Abstract

Background: RBC membrane derived nanoparticles (NPs) represent an emerging platform with prolonged circulation capacity for the delivery of active substances. For endowing derived RBCs NPs various functions such as targeting ability, chemical modification of targeting groups or inserting targeted ligand-linker-lipid conjugates into the membranes is usually used as classical strategy. However, these methods may lead to structural failure of membrane proteins, consequently affecting its original immune escape function.

Results: In this study, we reported a green technology of “disassembly-reassembly” to prepare biomimetic reconstituted RBCs membrane (rRBCs) by separating the endogenous proteins and lipids from nature RBC membrane. IR780 iodide was used as a pattern drug to verify the property and feasibility of rRBCs by constructing IR780@rRBC NPs with IR780@RBC NPs and free IR780 as controls. The results demonstrated the superiority of IR780@rRBC NPs in toxicity, stability, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics compared with IR780@rRBC and free IR780.

Conclusions: The reported “disassembly-reassembly” strategy shows great potential to produce controllable and versatile rRBC membrane-inspired delivery platform, which may be used to overcome the deficiency of target-selectivity ligands in cell membrane coated nanoparticles.

1. Background

Over the past few decades, red blood cells (RBCs or erythrocytes) based drug delivery systems have attracted considerable attention to improve the pharmacokinetics, biodistribution and pharmacodynamics of therapeutics or diagnostics.[1, 2] Commonly, administration of most therapeutic agents by conventional formulations and dosage may lead to the limited clinical applications and therapeutic index, which are due to their rapid clearance and potential immunogenicity in vivo.[3, 4] In contrast, inherent biochemical and biophysical properties of erythrocytes make them a significant advantage over alternative technologies in terms of half-life, stability, versatility, safety and ease of manufacture as an ideal drug delivery platform.[5] Therefore, a variety of substances (e.g. enzymes, glucocorticoid analogs, immunosuppressant drugs, etc.) have been tried to be loaded in the erythrocytes for modulating their pharmacokinetics, and the encapsulated formulations also proved to be safe and to deliver the promise of a new technology capable of convenient solutions for old dilemmas.

Notably, numerous attempts have been tried to develop RBC membranes derived nanoparticles by utilizing its functional features. For example, various nanoparticles, including polymeric nanoparticles,[6] Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles,[7] mesoporous nanoparticles,[8] upconversion nanoparticles and gold nanocage and etc.,[9, 10] have been coated with RBC membranes and then inherit its immune system evade ability to achieve long term circulation in blood. However, the tissue permeability of derived RBC membranes - nanoparticles usually depends on the inherent enhanced permeability and retention effect (EPR) of nanoparticles.[11] For this purpose, several strategies have been carried out to improve the nanoparticles
accumulation in disease site by endowing targeting ability, which mainly include chemical modification of targeting groups on the surface of membranes or inserting targeted ligand-linker-lipid conjugates into the membranes.\[11, 12\] Unfortunately, these methods may lead to structural failure of membrane proteins, such as the self-recognition marker CD47 of preventing phagocytosis by macrophages, consequently affecting its original immune escape function.\[13, 14\]

A key concept for preserving structural integrity is green technologies, which are defined as the elimination of hazardous substances and prevention of health impacts in the design process.\[15\] With utilizing this technology, the fabricated biomaterial system was expected to restore the natural structure to avoid membrane proteins damage. For example, Wang and co-workers reported a reconstituted lipoprotein nanoparticle (RLNs) using whole-components of endogenous lipids and native apolipoproteins to replace purified apolipoprotein and commercial lipids.\[16\] Inspired by the above pioneering studies wherein reconstituted structure was created via a green strategy of “disassembly-reassembly”, we endeavored to construct a reconstituted RBCs (rRBCs) mimic that composed of isolated lipids and original proportions of endogenous proteins for reassembly, and finally possessed the complete features of native RBCs.

A pattern drug for demonstrating the above strategy in our biomimetic rRBCs is near-infrared (NIR) dye of IR780, which is a small organic molecules that absorb radiation in the wavelength range 700–1000 nm.\[17\] In the treatment of tumor, IR780 has been reported that it is a good candidate for the photodynamic therapy, photothermal therapy and NIR imaging due to its capability of generating a singlet oxygen, high photothermal conversion efficiency and preferential accumulation in multiple tumor cells.\[18\] However, strong hydrophobicity and high toxicity of IR780 limit its further clinical applications. Here, exploiting feasibility of this novel biomimetic green strategy of “disassembly-reassembly”, IR780 loaded rRBCs nanoparticles (IR780@rRBC) was fabricated with IR780@RBC and free IR780 as controls. The construction process of IR780@rRBC nanoparticles was as shown in Fig. 1: RBCs membrane was first isolated and purified from nature RBCs; endogenous lipids and proteins were separated from the obtained RBCs membrane; the separated lipids were used to encapsulate IR780 by film dispersion method, and the original proteins of RBCs membrane were added to the film by hydration method for reassembly of IR780 loaded rRBCs nanoparticles (IR780@rRBC).

In this study, we report and compare the solubility, toxicity, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of free IR780, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC. We expect the IR780@rRBC NPs formed by the above controllable and green assembly strategy to exhibit the superior performance in pharmacodynamics over IR780@RBC formed by a simple ultrasound method. And we secondly hypothesis that IR780@rRBC can improve the stability of IR780@RBC, and further contributing to the enhanced pharmacokinetics in vivo due to the prolonged circulation time. Based on these results, this controllable and green assembly technology will have variable applications in the area of rRBCs derived nanoparticles, for example, 1, targeting lipid or other functional ligand can be used to replace the endogenous lipids. 2, functional exogenous proteins can be used to replace the endogenous proteins. Overall, rRBCs biomimetic show
great potential to produce controllable and versatile RBC-inspired delivery platform compared with chemical synthesis and physical coating technology in future study.

2. Materials And Methods

2.1. Materials

IR780 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). The mouse colon cancer cell line (CT-26) was purchased from Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology (Shanghai, China). DAPI was purchased from Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology (Shanghai, China). The rabbit CD47 antibody were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology. All other reagents were from Nanjing Wanqing Chemical Glassware Instrument unless otherwise stated.

2.2. RBC membrane derivation

RBC membranes were obtained from nature RBCs following previously published protocols with modifications.[19] Briefly, whole blood was withdrawn from Balb/c mice and was centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C to collect the erythrocytes, which were continually washed with ice cold 1×PBS for three times. Then, the washed RBCs subjected into a hypotonic treatment with 0.25×PBS in ice bath for 20 min, and subsequently centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 15 min to remove the released hemoglobin. Finally, the obtained RBC membranes were washed with 1×PBS twice and were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min to get the RBC membrane.

2.3. Preparation of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC

IR780@RBC was prepared by an ultrasonic method. Briefly, the obtained RBC ghosts (1 mL whole blood) were sonicated for 10 min in a bath sonicator (50 kHz, 100W). The resulting vesicles were subsequently extruded serially through 400-nm and then 220-nm polycarbonate porous membranes. Then the resulted RBC-Membrane-Derived Vesicles were mixed with IR780 ethanol solution (1 mg/mL) and sonicated again for 5 min. Then the final product IR780@RBC was obtained by extruding through 220 nm polycarbonate porous membranes to remove free dye and dialyzing against water to remove ethanol.

IR780@rRBC was prepared by a film dispersion method.[20] In brief, the dehydrated lipids (1 mL whole blood) and 1 mg IR780 were dissolved in 5 mL of ethanol and the organic solvent was evaporated under vacuum at 37 °C. The dried lipid film was then hydrated with 2 mL of endogenous proteins solution (1 mL whole blood) at 37 °C for 30 min and sonicated at 100W for 5 min. Then the final product IR780@rRBC was obtained by extruding through 220 nm polycarbonate porous membranes to remove free dye. Endogenous proteins and dehydrated lipids were isolated and purified from RBC ghosts using an organic solvent method similarly with the purification method from human plasma sample.[21, 22] Briefly, the obtained RBC ghosts were dissolved in PBS buffer (pH 5~6) and delipidated by adding equal volume of ethanol. After centrifugation, the supernatant was mixed with equal volume of ethanol and centrifuged again. The final supernatant, which contained endogenous proteins and dehydrated lipids,
was concentrated by polyethylene glycol (MW: 20,000) and dialyzed against PBS buffer, followed by precipitating dehydrated lipids with cold ethanol.

SDS-PAGE was used to confirm the protein integrity of RBC membranes in IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC nanoparticles. Western blotting was conducted to assess the presence of CD47 protein. The amount of the IR780 in IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC was determined by UV–vis absorption spectra according to standard curve. IR780 encapsulation efficiency was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Encapsulation efficiency} (\%) = \frac{\text{weight of IR780 in NPs}}{\text{weight of total added IR780}} \times 100\%
\]

2.4. Characterization and stability of IR780@rRBC

Structure was examined using a transmission electron microscope (TEM, H-600, Hitachi, Japan). Particle size was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS, Brookhaven, USA). Absorption spectra were recorded by UV–vis spectro-photometer. The stability was evaluated by measuring the changed diameter in PBS buffer and serum at room temperature for up to 96 h. Long term stability was evaluated by measuring size of NPs before lyophilizing in 10 wt% sucrose solution and after resuspension. The amount of IR780 released from the IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC in PBS buffer was studied using the dialysis method.

2.5. Cytotoxicity

The cytotoxicity of IR780@rRBC NPs in CT-26 cells was measured according to a standard MTT assay at different incubation time. Briefly, the cells were seeded in 96-well plates (1×10^4 cells per well) and cultured until reaching ~80% confluence. Then, the culture medium was replaced with a fresh medium containing different concentration of IR780, IR780@RBC or IR780@rRBC NPs, after incubation for 2 h, 8 h or 24 h, the cell viability was measured by MTT assay at 490 nm.

2.6. Macrophage cell uptake studies of IR780@rRBC NPs

To compare the stealth functionality of IR780, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs, mouse macrophage cell line RAW264.7 was chosen for cell uptake studies. Simply, the cells were seeded into 6-well plates (2×10^5 cells per well) in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin and streptomycin. After cells were reaching ~60% confluence, they were washed by PBS and replaced with fresh complete cell culture medium containing IR780, IR780@RBC or IR780@rRBC NPs for 1 h. Then, cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min. After fixation, cells were washed with PBS and stained with DAPI for 10 min. Finally, cells were washed with PBS again and imaged by confocal microscopy.

2.7. In vivo pharmacokinetics studies
Six-week-old female balb/c mice were used in compliance with protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Nantong University. For pharmacokinetics studies, fifteen male mice were randomly divided into free IR780, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs groups (n=5). The above formulations were administered via intravenous injection with the IR780 dose of 1.4 mg/kg. At different time points (0, 15 min, and 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96 h), blood samples were collected by retro-orbital bleeding. The content of IR780 in the serum samples was measured using a Varioskan Flash Spectral Scanning multimode plate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

2.8. *In vivo* biodistribution and PTT therapeutic efficacy studies

For pharmacokinetics studies, 1×10^7 CT-26 cells were subcutaneously injected into the right flank of each mouse. Two weeks later, the developed tumor was excised and cut into ∼1 mm^3 pieces. The pieces of tumors were implanted into the right flank to establish subcutaneous tumors for the biodistribution and therapeutic studies.

Nine mice bearing xenograft tumor were used and divided into three groups (n = 3 per group) and were given a single dose of IR780@RBC NPs (0.3 mg/kg IR780) by intravenous injection. Whole-body fluorescence imaging was performed using IVIS Lumina imaging system (Xenogen Co., USA) with excitation/emission set to 745/808 nm. Fluorescence images were acquired in anesthetized animals at different time points (12 h, 24 h and 48 h). IVIS Living Imaging Software was used for the analysis of the amount of IR780 in tissues.

Therapeutic study started when the tumors reached ∼200 mm^3. The mice were divided into groups (n = 6 per group) and treated with (i) PBS, (ii) IR780 + NIR laser, (iii) IR780@RBC + NIR laser, (iv) IR780@rRNC NPs, (v) IR780@rRNC NPs +NIR laser. All samples were administered via Intravenous injection (1.4 mg/kg IR780). The day of administration was designated as day 0. After 24 h, the tumors were exposed to the NIR laser irradiation (1 W/cm^2) for 3 min. Treatment administrations and laser irradiation were repeated on days 2 and 3. Tumor sizes and mouse body weights were recorded every other day. Tumor volume (V) was calculated as \( V = d_2 \times D/2 \), where \( D \) and \( d \) are the longest and shortest diameter of the tumor, respectively.

2.9. Statistical analysis

Statistical assessment was conducted by two-sided Student’s t test for two groups and one-way ANOVA analysis of variance for multiple groups (P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant). All analyses were performed with SPSS 19.0 for Windows.

3. Results And Discussion

3.1. Preparation and characterization of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC
Several studies have reported that IR780 is tended to integrate with the hydrophobic parts of other components based on its strong hydrophobicity.[23] Hence, IR780 was easily enclosed in the hydrophobic area of RBC membranes to form IR780@RBC NPs by an ultrasonic method. For the preparation of IR780@rRBC NPs, a standard film dispersion method was used to encapsulate IR780 with the isolated and dehydrated lipids in ethanol, and then the PBS buffer containing endogenous proteins were adding to form NPs after forming the film. To characterize the reconstituted IR780@rRBC NPs, the morphology and particle size of the free IR780, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC were first measured by TEM and DLS. As shown in Fig. 2a-b, TEM images showed that IR780@rRBC NPs were more uniform and spherical compared with IR780@RBC NPs, and DLS results showed that the particle size of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs was 156.4 ± 16.8 and 80.28 ± 12.4 nm, respectively, which were consistent with the hydrodynamic diameter obtained from TEM.

To analyze the overall protein content of the IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs, SDS-PAGE was used to run nature RBC membrane, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC nanoparticle formulations, followed by Coomassie staining for visualization. As shown in Fig. 2c, compared with RBC membrane, both nanoparticles had protein profiles that largely mirrored that of the corresponding membrane. Additionally, CD47, a specific immunomodulatory protein marker responsible for immune escape property in RBC membrane, was carried out by western blot and the results showed that a near equivalent degree could be maintained after the formation of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs (Fig. 2d). These results here indicate that our biomimetic green strategy of “disassembly-reassembly” can bestow RBC surface proteins onto IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs for expected long-circulating delivery.

The absorption spectrum of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs in Fig. 2e showed that IR780 was successfully incorporated into the RBC and rRBC membranes. Encapsulation efficiency of IR780 in IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs was determined. The results showed that efficient loading with a high encapsulation efficiency of 75.2 ± 3.5% and 91.4 ± 6.4% was achieved, and the relative IR780 concentration in IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs was 750.2 and 910.4 µg/mL, respectively. Compared with the low solubility of free IR780 (0.4 µg/mL),[24] the solubility of IR780 could be increased by more than 1750-fold via encapsulation into RBC membranes, and its solubility could be further increased by more than 2250-fold via encapsulation into rRBC membranes. Taken together, these characterization data give strong physical evidence for successful loading IR780 into NPs wherein containing the properties of RBC membranes.

3.2. In vitro stability and drug release of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC

Considering the different preparation strategies of these two nanoparticles, a set of assays were carried out to assess nanoparticle stability in various media. To determine stability of the IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs in solution over time, samples were stored in either phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) or 100% serum, and their size was measured over time. The IR780@rRBC NPs exhibited stable size over a 96 h duration of the study in two media, while IR780@RBC NPs immediately aggregated in PBS to
~ 320 nm and in serum to ~ 700 nm (Fig. 3a-b). Regarding long term storage, the IR780@rRBC NPs formulation exhibited near identical size both before freeze-drying and after resuspension (Fig. 3c). *In vitro* release profiles of IR780 in PBS buffer were shown in Fig. 3d. The cumulative release of IR780 from IR780@rRBC NPs was less than 20% during 48 h, showing that IR780 was stably entrapped in the IR780@rRBC NPs with low leakage in a simulated physiological environment. In contrast, the cumulative release of IR780 from IR780@RBC NPs could be reached 70% during 48 h. Therefore, we expect that IR780@rRBC NPs will retain IR780 in the circulation and in simulated tumor environments, ensuring an optimal photothermal effect and decreased toxicity of IR780 in the following experiments.

3.3. *In vitro* photothermal and cytotoxicity effects of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC

To assess the photothermal effect of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs, the temperature was recorded by incubating them with the laser irradiation (1 W/cm²) for 3 min and the repeated irradiation for three cycles (1 W/cm²). From Fig. 4a-b, the temperature of free IR780 increased from ~ 30 °C to ~ 40 °C and decreased to ~ 35 °C in the third cycle. In contrast, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs exhibited a higher temperature increase form ~ 30 °C to ~ 50 °C and slowed temperature decrease form ~ 30 °C to ~ 40 °C in the third cycle. Thus, these results demonstrated that the formation of nanoparticles could improve the photothermal properties of IR780, which might be due to the decreased degradation rate of IR780 in NPs. These results are consistent with previous reports of encapsulation IR780 into nanostructured lipid carriers.[18] The cytotoxicity of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs was then evaluated in CT-26 cells according to a standard MTT assays. IR780@rRBC NPs were expected to be low toxic before the laser irradiation due to its sustained release and its photothermal toxicity was expected to be enhanced after the laser irradiation due to its superior photothermal properties when compared with IR780@RBC NPs and free IR780. As shown in Fig. 4c, the order of toxicity without laser irradiation was as follows: free IR780, IR780@RBC NPs, IR780@rRBC NPs, which reflected in the viability of cells treated with free IR780 at 6.25 µg/mL for 24 h declined to 25.07%, while the cell viability of IR780@RBC NPs and IR780@rRBC NPs could be maintained at 55.91% and 75.48%, respectively. In contrast, the order of toxicity with laser irradiation was as follows: IR780@rRBC NPs, IR780@RBC NPs, free IR780, which reflected in the viability of cells treated with free IR780 at 3.13 µg/mL for 24 h decreased to 24.62%, while the cell viability of IR780@RBC NPs and IR780@rRBC NPs could be achieved at 14.29% and 8.49%, respectively.

3.4. *In vivo* characterization of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC

After confirming the superior stability and photothermal effect of IR780@rRBC NPs compared with free IR780 and IR780@RBC NPs, we investigated whether IR780@rRBC NPs could inherit perfectly the stealth properties of RBC membrane, which reflected in effective escape in macrophage cells, prolonged circulation time and improved tumor accumulation. To assess these, the macrophage uptake study was first used to evaluate the ability of IR780@RBC NPs to escape the capture of macrophage cells. As
shown in Fig. 5a, compared with free IR780, the IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs significantly reduced the macrophage uptake and exhibited the weaker NIR fluorescence in RAW264.7 cells, indicating the IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs had the potential to escape the endothelial system clearance for further in vivo applications.

One of the outstanding advantages for erythrocytes as delivery system is to extend the circulation time based on a variety of immunomodulatory markers expressed on its cell membrane.[25, 26] Hence, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs were expected to have longer circulation time compared with free IR780, which might resulted in the enhanced accumulation in tumor via EPR effects. To test this, in vivo pharmacokinetics studies were conducted initially by administrating intravenously of free IR780, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs in mice. The concentration of IR780 in sampled blood was evaluated at indicated time points, and our results (Fig. 5b and Table S1) showed that the peak concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$) of IR780@rRBC, IR780@RBC and free IR780 was 2.94, 3.13 and 2.04 µg/mL respectively. The area under the plasma concentration–time curve (AUC) was 1.77 and 11.37 times higher in case of IR780@rRBC than IR780@RBC and free IR780 (118.82 vs 67.14 vs 10.45 µg/mL*h). In comparison to the free IR780 or IR780@RBC, IR780@rRBC also exhibited significantly prolonged half-life in the plasma ($t_{1/2}$) from 49.74 h to 34.74 h (IR780@RBC) or 7.98 h (free IR780). To analyze the bio-distribution, they were administered intravenously again, and the mice were sacrificed at 24 h after injection in order to collect the heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidneys and tumor for IR780 concentration analysis. As shown in Fig. 5c, IR780@rRBC NPs exhibited dramatic enhancement of tumor accumulation. Additionally, IR780@RBC NPs also demonstrated significantly decreased accumulations within certain major organs compared to IR780@RBC NPs. These data indicate that the IR780@rRBC NPs prepared by this controllable and green assembly strategy significantly improve the circulation time and tumor accumulation compared with free IR780 and IR780@RBC due to the nature properties of RBC membrane and the enhanced stability.

### 3.5. In vivo photothermal therapy (PTT) of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC

Based on the improved circulation time and effective tumor accumulation of IR780@rRBC, we started to explore and compare the antitumor efficacy in tumor-bearing mice treated with saline, free IR780, IR780@rRBC and IR780@RBC. First, we assumed that the accumulation of IR780@rRBC NPs would produce higher temperature in tumor site than IR780@rRBC and free IR780, and then the temperature curve was recorded in the tumor region 24 h after administration following the laser irradiation. As shown in Fig. 6a, the tumor temperature of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs could reach ~ 60 °C and ~ 70 °C respectively, while the free IR780 and saline groups showed slightly temperature increase to ~ 50 °C and ~ 40 °C respectively under the same laser conditions. Such a high temperature increase treated by IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs in the local tumor was expected to be sufficient to damage the tumor cells. H&E results exhibited significant necrosis of the tumors in the IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC groups but not in any of the saline group (Fig. 6b). Antitumor efficacy of the photothermal therapy with IR780@RBC was then studied and the mice were illuminated with laser irradiation at 24 h and 72 h post-injection. As shown in Fig. 6c-d, combination of laser treatment with IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC
significantly suppressed the tumor volume compared with saline and free IR780 groups, and the antitumor efficacy of IR780@rRBC was better than that of IR780@RBC treatment. Statistical results of tumor weight further confirming the superiority of IR780@rRBC NPs prepared by our reported novel assembly biomimetic technology.

Finally, we evaluated whether treatment with the IR780@rRBC NPs possessed any potential toxic side effects (Figure S1a-c). Body weight change was used to detect the overall treatment-induced toxicity and no obvious weight changes were observed in any of the groups. ALT (alanine aminotransferase), AST (aspartate aminotransferase) for hepatic function, and UREA (urea nitrogen) and CREA (creatinine) for renal function, were evaluated in blood samples and no visible differences of the above enzymes could be find in saline and IR780@rRBC treated group. H&E staining of the main organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney) further confirmed safety of the treatment with IR780@rRBC NPs, as there were no apparent pathological changes compared with saline group. Overall, these findings suggest that IR780@rRBC NPs is a safe therapeutic formulation in photothermal anticancer therapies.

4. Conclusions

In the present work, we reported a green“disassembly-reassembly” strategy to construct biomimetic reconstituted RBCs membrane (rRBCs) whose composition comes from the endogenous proteins and lipids from nature RBC membrane. IR780, a NIR dye, was used as pattern drug to be loaded in rRBCs to form IR780@rRBC NPs for demonstrating the benefits and feasibility of rRBCs with IR780@RBC NPs and free IR780 as controls. The exhibited profiles of IR780@rRBC NPs including decreased toxicity and increased stability in vitro, prolonged circulation capacity and enhanced PTT therapeutic efficiency in vivo indicate that the reconstituted rRBCs have great potential to replace nature RBCs for developing RBC membranes derived nanoparticles. Additionally, the endogenous proteins and lipids can also be replaced or supplied by other functional proteins or targeting lipids. Overall, rRBCs biomimetic represents a controllable and versatile RBC-inspired delivery platform compared with chemical synthesis and physical coating technology.

Abbreviations

RBC: Red blood cell
NPs: nanoparticles
rRBCs: reconstituted Red blood cell membranes
RLNs: reconstituted lipoprotein nanoparticles
ALT: alanine aminotransferase
AST: aspartate aminotransferase
UREA: urea nitrogen
CREA: creatinine

**Declarations**

**Ethics approval**

All mice received care in terms of the guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were used in terms of the Institutional Animal Care regulations and Use Committee (IACUC) of Nantong University.

**Consent for publication**

Not applicable

**Availability of data and materials**

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Competing interests**

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

**Funding**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No: 81903556 and 81703940), the Natural Science Fund for Colleges and Universities in Jiangsu Province (No: 19KJB350004). Dr Tianqing Liu is supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Early Career Fellowship (Grant No. 1112258).

**Authors’ contributions**

PkW is responsible for the design of the experiment and the writing of the thesis.

XJ and KkW is responsible for data collation.

SY is responsible for the animal experiments.

YY is responsible for the cell experiments.

TqL and KkW is responsible for providing funds and unified management of work.

**Acknowledgements**
References

1. Ruslan M, Charles J. A, Decoding the patterns of self and nonself by the innate immune system. Science. 2002;296(5566):298–300.

2. Hu CMJ, et al. Erythrocyte membrane-camouflaged polymeric nanoparticles as a biomimetic delivery platform. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011;108(27):10980–5.

3. Rainer H, Felix K. Polymer therapeutics: concepts and applications. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2010;45(8):1198–215.

4. Lisa T, et al. Pharmacokinetic aspects of biotechnology products. J Pharm Sci. 2004;93(9):-.

5. Rossi L, et al. Engineering erythrocytes for the modulation of drugs’ and contrasting agents’ pharmacokinetics and biodistribution. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2016;106:73–87.

6. Yang Q, et al. Erythrocyte Membrane-Camouflaged IR780 and DTX Coloading Polymeric Nanoparticles for Imaging-Guided Cancer Photo-Chemo Combination Therapy. Mol Pharm. 2019;16(7):3208.

7. Rao L, et al. Microfluidic Electroporation-Facilitated Synthesis of Erythrocyte Membrane-Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles for Enhanced Imaging-Guided Cancer Therapy. Acs Nano. 2017;11(4):3496–505.

8. Magnetic Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles Cloaked by Red Blood Cell Membranes: Applications in Cancer Therapy. Angewandte Chemie, 2017.

9. Rao L, et al. Erythrocyte Membrane-Coated Upconversion Nanoparticles with Minimal Protein Adsorption for Enhanced Tumor Imaging. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2017;9(3):2159–68.

10. Zhu DM, et al., Erythrocyte membrane-coated Gold nanocages for targeted cancer photothermal and chemical therapy. Nanotechnology, 2017.

11. Guo J, et al. Biomimetic Rebuilding of Multifunctional Red Blood Cells: Modular Design Using Functional Components. ACS Nano. 2020;14(7):7847–59.

12. Fang RH, et al. Lipid-insertion enables targeting functionalization of erythrocyte membrane-cloaked nanoparticles. Nanoscale. 2013;5(19):8884–8.

13. Sosale NG, et al. Macrophage engulfment of a cell or nanoparticle is regulated by unavoidable opsonization, a species-specific 'Marker of Self' CD47, and target physical properties. Curr Opin Immunol. 2015;35:107–12.

14. Zhen X, Cheng P, Pu K. Recent Advances in Cell Membrane–Camouflaged Nanoparticles for Cancer Phototherapy. Small. 2018;15(1):1804105.

15. Domingo C, Saurina J. An overview of the analytical characterization of nanostructured drug delivery systems: Towards green and sustainable pharmaceuticals: A review. Anal Chim Acta. 2012;744(none):8–22.
16. Wang R, et al. Green design "bioinspired disassembly-reassembly strategy" applied for improved tumor-targeted anticancer drug delivery. J Control Release. 2016;235:134–46.
17. Chen Y, et al. IR-780 Loaded Phospholipid Mimicking Homopolymeric Micelles for Near-IR Imaging and Photothermal Therapy of Pancreatic Cancer. Acs Appl Mater Interfaces. 2016;8(11):6852–8.
18. Li H, et al. Dual-function nanostructured lipid carriers to deliver IR780 for breast cancer treatment: Anti-metastatic and photothermal anti-tumor therapy. Acta Biomater. 2017;53:399–413.
19. Liu JM, et al. Erythrocyte membrane bioinspired near-infrared persistent luminescence nanocarriers for in vivo long-circulating bioimaging and drug delivery. Biomaterials. 2018;165:39–47.
20. Li H, et al. Dual-function nanostructured lipid carriers to deliver IR780 for breast cancer treatment: Anti-metastatic and photothermal anti-tumor therapy. Acta Biomater. 2017;53:399–413.
21. Wang RN, et al. Green design "bioinspired disassembly-reassembly strategy" applied for improved tumor-targeted anticancer drug delivery. J Controlled Release. 2016;235:134–46.
22. Ding Y, et al. Dual-functional bio-derived nanoparticulates for apoptotic antitumor therapy. Biomaterials. 2015;72:90–103.
23. Jiang C, et al. Hydrophobic IR780 encapsulated in biodegradable human serum albumin nanoparticles for photothermal and photodynamic therapy. Acta Biomater. 2015;14:61–9.
24. Chen G, et al. Oral Nanostructured Lipid Carriers Loaded with Near-Infrared Dye for Image-Guided Photothermal Therapy. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2016;8(38):25087–95.
25. Irène B, Catherine D, Patrick C. Nanoparticles in cancer therapy and diagnosis. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2012;64(5):24–36.
26. Su Y, et al. Design strategies and applications of circulating cell-mediated drug delivery systems. ACS Biomater Sci Eng. 2015;1(4):201–17.

Figures
The scheme and procedure for the preparation of IR780 loaded reconstitute RBC membrane nanoparticles (IR780@rRBC NPs). A) RBC membrane was prepared by a hypotonic method. Then RBC membranes were treated by mixed organic solvent, to separate the lipids and proteins. Lipids part was used to load IR780 by film dispersion method. At last, IR780@rRBC NPs were form by adding proteins.
with the film, following further extrusion. B) IR780@rRBC NPs increased stability in vitro, and prolonged circulation capacity and enhanced PTT therapeutic efficiency in vivo.

Figure 2

Characterization of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs. a) TEM image of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs; b) Size and zeta potential of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs; c) SDS-PAGE of natural RBC membrane, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs; d) CD 47 expression of natural RBC membrane, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs by western blot; e) UV/vis absorption spectra of natural RBC membrane, free IR780, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs.
Figure 3

Nanoparticle stability. Z-average size of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC in PBS (a) and serum (b) over 96 h. c) Z-average size of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs before lyophilization in 10 wt% sucrose and after resuspension. d) The release property of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs in PBS buffer.
Figure 4

In vitro photothermal and cytotoxicity. a) Solution temperatures of different formulations with the laser irradiation (n=3). b) Thermal curves of different formulations after repeated laser irradiation (n=3). c) Cell viability of different formulations on CT26 cells at different incubation time with or without laser (2h, 8h and 24h).
Figure 5

In vivo characterization. a) Fluorescence images of macrophage cells (Raw264.7) treated by free IR780, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs. b) Blood circulation time of free IR780, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs over a span of 96 h. c) Biodistribution of free IR780, IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC NPs 24 h after intravenous administration. All values are expressed as Means ± SD (n = 4).
Figure 6

Antitumor PTT activity of IR780@RBC and IR780@rRBC following intravenous administration in CT-26 colon cancer model. a) Tumor temperature changes after laser exposure. b) H&E stained tumor sections after PTT. c) Tumor volume after different formulations with or without laser. d) Tumor weight and representative tumor photograph after different formulations with or without laser.
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