Longitudinal multimodal MRI as prognostic and diagnostic biomarker in presymptomatic familial frontotemporal dementia
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Developing and validating sensitive biomarkers for the presymptomatic stage of familial frontotemporal dementia is an important step in early diagnosis and for the design of future therapeutic trials. In the longitudinal Frontotemporal Dementia Risk Cohort, presymptomatic mutation carriers and non-carriers from families with familial frontotemporal dementia due to microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) and progranulin (GRN) mutations underwent a clinical assessment and multimodal MRI at baseline, 2-, and 4-year follow-up. Of the cohort of 73 participants, eight mutation carriers (three GRN, five MAPT) developed clinical features of frontotemporal dementia (‘converters’). Longitudinal whole-brain measures of white matter integrity (fractional anisotropy) and grey matter volume in these converters (n = 8) were compared with healthy mutation carriers (‘non-converters’; n = 35) and non-carriers (n = 30) from the same families. We also assessed the prognostic performance of decline within white matter and grey matter regions of interest by means of receiver operating characteristic analyses followed by stepwise logistic regression. Longitudinal whole-brain analyses demonstrated lower fractional anisotropy values in extensive white matter regions (genu corpus callosum, forceps minor, uncinate fasciculus, and superior longitudinal fasciculus) and smaller grey matter volumes (prefrontal, temporal, cingulate, and insular cortex) over time in converters, present from 2 years before symptom onset. White matter integrity loss of the right uncinate fasciculus and genu corpus callosum provided significant classifiers between converters, non-converters, and non-carriers. Converters’ within-individual disease trajectories showed a relatively gradual onset of clinical features in MAPT, whereas GRN mutations had more rapid changes around symptom onset. MAPT converters showed more decline in the uncinate fasciculus than GRN converters, and more decline in the genu corpus callosum in GRN than MAPT converters. Our study confirms the presence of spreading predominant frontotemporal pathology towards symptom onset and highlights the value of multimodal MRI as a prognostic biomarker in familial frontotemporal dementia.
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Introduction

Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) is the second most common presenile dementia disorder (onset <65 years), with a clinical profile of behavioural disturbances and/or language deterioration [behavioural variant (bv)FTD or primary progressive aphasia (PPA)] (Agosta et al., 2012; Rohrer and Rosen, 2013; Frings et al., 2014). FTD can have an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern, with mutations in the GRN or MAPT genes and the C9orf72 repeat expansion as its three major causes (Warren et al., 2013). Structural neuroimaging studies in symptomatic FTD have shown predominant grey matter volume loss of the prefrontal cortex, anterior temporal lobe, insula and anterior cingulate cortex early in the disease course, with atrophy increasing as the disease progresses (Seeley et al., 2008; Whitwell et al., 2009a, 2015; Pijuguet et al., 2011). Studies now also suggest white matter integrity loss as a hallmark of FTD, exceeding grey matter atrophy in the prefrontal cortices (Janssen et al., 2016; Harrington et al., 2016), converging evidence early in the disease process (Mahoney et al., 2014; Oishi et al., 2015; see also the literature review in the Supplementary material).

Comparable to studies in presymptomatic familial Alzheimer’s (Bateman et al., 2012; Benzinger et al., 2013; Kinnunen et al., 2018) and Huntington’s disease (Tabrizi et al., 2013; Harrington et al., 2016), converging evidence from studies in familial FTD also demonstrates the presence of a presymptomatic stage, reflected in early changes in neuroimaging, cognition, blood, and CSF (Borroni et al., 2008; Dopper et al., 2014; Rohrer et al., 2015; Jiskoot et al., 2016, 2018a, b; Meeter et al., 2016). White matter integrity loss of the uncinate fasciculus was found in the presymptomatic stage of both MAPT (Dopper et al., 2014; Jiskoot et al., 2018b), and GRN mutation carriers (Rohrer et al., 2008). Studies demonstrated gene-specific white matter integrity loss in the forceps minor in MAPT (Dopper et al., 2014), and the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (Borroni et al., 2008), and internal capsule (Jiskoot et al., 2018b) in GRN. Grey matter volume loss was found in, amongst others, the frontal, temporal, insular, and cingulate cortices (Janssen et al., 2005; Crucchaga et al., 2009; Rohrer et al., 2015). Cognitive and structural neuroimaging changes were identified from 5 to 10 years before estimated onset, respectively, with the first changes in MAPT being naming deficits and grey matter volume loss of the temporal lobe (hippocampus and amygdala), and the first changes in GRN being working memory deficits and grey matter volume loss of the insula (Rohrer et al., 2015). Although these results suggest a pathophysiological cascade of events in the presymptomatic stage of familial FTD, interpretation of these changes is hampered by the fact that analyses are based on cross-sectional data, and therefore do not represent individual longitudinal trajectories (Bateman et al., 2012; Schuster et al., 2015). Moreover, these studies make use of estimated years to symptom onset as a proxy for actual symptom onset, which is commonly used in familial Alzheimer’s disease (Bateman et al., 2012; Ryman et al., 2014; Kinnunen et al., 2018). Estimated years to onset is, however, far less accurate in familial FTD, given the large variation in age at onset between and within families (van Swieten and Heutink, 2008). Recent longitudinal analyses on 4-year follow-up neuropsychological assessment data (Jiskoot et al., 2018a) demonstrated subtle cognitive decline, starting 2 years prior to the development of clinical symptoms, suggesting a more sudden onset rather than a gradual acceleration when approaching the symptomatic disease stage. Longitudinal neuroimaging studies describing the trajectories of white matter integrity and grey matter volume loss in the presymptomatic stage of MAPT and GRN mutations are still lacking, but could provide valuable information on (i) imaging-based disease staging; (ii) characterization of disease trajectories; (iii) validation of prognostic biomarkers; and (iv) establishing the sensitivity of various neuroimaging techniques in early disease stages (Schuster et al., 2015).

In this study, we investigated longitudinal changes in grey matter volume and white matter integrity over a 4-year follow-up period in mutation carriers that developed clinical features of FTD in this time window (converters), presymptomatic mutation carriers (non-converters), and non-carriers from Dutch FTD families with GRN or MAPT mutations. The study aims are threefold: (i) to investigate cross-sectional and longitudinal changes in white matter integrity and grey matter volume between converters, non-converters and non-carriers from 4 years before to symptom onset; (ii) to explore converters’ within-individual trajectories; and (iii) to determine the prognostic value of longitudinal decline in multimodal neuroimaging parameters in predicting symptom onset, and to establish the best combination of multimodal neuroimaging parameters.
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Abbreviations: (bv)FTD = (behavioural variant) frontotemporal dementia; DTI = diffusion tensor imaging; (nfv)PPA = (non-fluent agrammatic variant) primary progressive aphasia; NPI-Q = Neuropsychiatric Inventory
Materials and methods

Participants

A cohort of 83 participants with 50% risk of developing familial FTD, recruited between December 2009 and October 2012 from large Dutch families with MAPT or GRN mutations (Dopper et al., 2014, 2016; Jiskoot et al., 2016, 2018a), were followed on a 2-year basis over a time period of 4 years. DNA genotyping assigned participants either to the mutation carrier or non-carrier group: 43 mutation carriers (30 GRN, 13 MAPT) and 40 non-carriers (31 GRN family members, nine MAPT family members). At study entry, participants were asymptomatic according to established diagnostic criteria for bvFTD (Rascovsky et al., 2011) or PPA (Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011), with FTD Clinical Dementia Rating–sum of boxes (FTD-CDR-SB) scores of 0 (Knopman et al., 2008). The study selection of mutation carriers was based on the presence of at least one follow-up MRI scan. We excluded 10 non-carriers who had not undergone all three study visits to ascertain stability of the data points, leaving 73 eligible participants in the final dataset (Fig. 1).

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

The clinical investigators and participants were blind for the participants’ genetic status, except for those that underwent predictive testing at their own request. For converters, we offered genetic counselling to the patient and family members, and we unblinded the genetic status to confirm the presence of the pathogenic mutation. All participants gave written informed consent at study entry. The study was approved by the Medical and Ethical Review Committee of the Erasmus Medical Center.

Clinical assessment

Every 2 years, all participants underwent a standardized clinical assessment consisting of a brain MRI, medical history, neurological examination, and an extensive neuropsychological test battery. Knowledgeable informants (e.g. siblings, spouses) were asked about functional, cognitive, behavioural and/or neuropsychiatric changes by means of a structured interview, FTD-CDR-SB (Knopman et al., 2008), and the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI-Q), a well-validated questionnaire for neuropsychiatric features (Kaufer et al., 2000), either during the study visit or afterwards in a telephone interview. Participants’ depressive features were rated every study visit by means of the BDI (Beck et al., 1961). Neuropsychological testing consisted of screening tests for global cognition—the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE; Folstein et al., 1975) and Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB; Dubois and Litvan, 2000)—and tests within the domains of language, attention and mental processing speed, executive function, memory, visuoconstruction, and social cognition (see Jiskoot et al., 2016, 2018a for the full battery).

Converters

Eight mutation carriers developed clinical features of FTD, five carrying an MAPT mutation and three carrying a GRN mutation. Using the information from the above-mentioned clinical
assessment in a multidisciplinary consensus meeting of the Erasmus MC FTD Expertise Centre, we deemed mutation carriers to be converters (either bvFTD or PPA) if they met the following criteria: (i) progressive deterioration of behaviour and/or language by observation or history (as provided by a knowledgeable informant); (ii) significant functional decline (evidenced by FTD-CDR-SB scores \(\geq 1\) at the first or second follow-up visit); and (iii) cognitive deficits \(\geq 1\) standard deviation (SD) in at least one domain on neuropsychological assessment. The frontal and/or temporal atrophy on MRI in six converters (five MAPT, one GRN), together with progressive behaviour deterioration, functional decline, and cognitive deficits, met the international diagnostic consensus criteria (A–C) for probable bvFTD (Rascovsky et al., 2011). The presence of predominant left posterior fronto-insular atrophy on MRI in two converters (both GRN), in combination with a non-fluent, halting speech, sound errors and agrammatism, impaired comprehension of syntactically complex sentences and spared single-word comprehension and object knowledge met the clinical diagnostic criteria for imaging-supported non-fluent/agrammatic variant PPA (nfvPPA; Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011). The mutation carriers without clinical features of FTD (FTD-CDR-SB of 0 at all study visits) are referred to as ‘non-converters’ \((n = 35)\). See Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary material for demographic, neuroimaging, clinical and neuropsychological data of the converters, and Fig. 1 for converters’ symptom onset within the study time-window.

**Study design**

The converters were compared to non-converters and non-carriers at three time points: baseline, follow-up after 2 and 4 years, presented as:

(i) 4 years before symptom onset: clinical and MRI data were available in six converters, as two developed symptoms between baseline and first follow-up visit, and therefore no data 4 years prior to symptom onset were available. Data were compared to baseline data of non-converters and non-carriers.

(ii) 2 years before symptom onset: clinical and MRI data were available for eight converters and compared to data of the 2-year follow-up of non-converters and non-carriers. Seven of the eight converters had reliable diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) data, while one converter (MAPT) was excluded due to insufficient data quality.

(iii) After symptom onset: clinical and MRI data were available from eight converters, and were compared to data of the follow-up after 4 years of non-converters and non-carriers. DTI data of seven converters were reliable, while one converter (MAPT) was excluded due to insufficient data quality.

**Image acquisition and (pre)processing**

On each study visit, we acquired volumetric T1-weighted and diffusion tensor images on a Philips 3 T Achieva MRI scanner (Philips Medical Systems) using an 8-channel SENSE head coil. Images were preprocessed by means of standard FMRIB Software Library (FSL; version 5.0.6) voxel-based morphometry (VBM) and tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) preprocessing tools (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). See the Supplementary material for specific image acquisition parameters and preprocessing pipelines. The resulting images were fed into whole-brain voxelwise statistics and region of interest analyses (see below).

**Whole-brain voxelwise statistics: TBSS and VBM**

We investigated cross-sectional white matter diffusion (fractional anisotropy) and grey matter volume differences between converters, non-converters and non-carriers at the three time points by means of permutation-based testing using 5000 permutations, applying one-way ANCOVAs with age and gender as covariates. Change over time maps were generated by subtracting the white matter and grey matter maps calculated at the post-symptom onset visit from the maps calculated at the 4 years before symptom onset visit in FSL. Using TFCE in Randomise, the significance level was set at family-wise error-corrected \(P < 0.05\). As the field of view of the diffusion tensor images provided incomplete coverage of the lower brain areas including the cerebellum, the cerebellum was masked out from the TBSS analyses. In one of the converters we found a large (asymptomatic) cerebellar cyst, we therefore performed the VBM analyses in two steps, ascertaining that this subject did not significantly affect the results in the cerebellum: (i) the entire group with the cerebellum included in all subjects; and (ii) the analysis excluding the above-mentioned converter.

**Region of interest selection**

Based on an extensive literature search into white matter and grey matter changes in FTD (Supplementary material and Supplementary Table 2), we selected the following white matter regions of interest: uncinate fasciculus, superior longitudinal fasciculus, inferior longitudinal fasciculus, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, genu, body and splenium of the corpus callosum, fornix, cingulum bundle, and forceps minor. The John Hopkins University 1-mm atlas in FSL was used to parcel the entire white matter into predefined regions of interest (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/Atlases; Mori et al., 2005). Using FSL tools, the regions of interest were restricted to voxels included in the mean fractional anisotropy skeleton mask, after which they were applied to the fractional anisotropy skeleton, giving left and right fractional anisotropy values per tract for each participant. The Harvard-Oxford 2-mm structural Atlas in FSL was applied to each subjects’ native space grey matter segmentation, after which the mean voxel grey matter partial volume estimation per region \((48\text{ left}, 48\text{ right regions of interest})\) was multiplied with the total volume of the image (in mm\(^3\)) giving the grey matter per region in millilitres. For the grey matter regions of interest, we selected the following regions: frontal lobe, prefrontal cortex, anterior temporal lobe, total temporal lobe, anterior cingulate cortex, total cingulate cortex, and insular cortex. The total cortical lobes and cingulate were the sum of all regions belonging to that area. The insula and anterior cingulate cortex were taken directly from the atlas. The prefrontal region of interest was the sum of the frontal pole, orbitofrontal cortex, and superior, middle and inferior frontal gyrus. The anterior temporal region of interest was the sum of the temporal pole and the...
Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) and GraphPad Prism 7 (La Jolla, California, USA). The significance level was set at \( P < 0.05 \) (two-tailed) across all comparisons. We compared baseline demographic data between groups by means of one-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni post hoc testing. Between-group differences in sex were analysed using Pearson \( \chi^2 \) tests. We analysed longitudinal data points of global cognition and questionnaires using linear mixed models. We investigated the classification abilities of region of interest white matter integrity and grey matter volume loss to discriminate between converters, non-converters and non-carriers by determining the area under the curve with 95% confidence intervals obtained by receiver operating characteristic analyses, with optimal cut-off levels at the highest Youden’s index (sensitivity + specificity – 1; Youden, 1950). First, for ease of interpretation, we standardized all raw fractional anisotropy and grey matter volumes by converting them into z-scores per time point (i.e. raw score minus the mean of non-carriers, divided by the standard deviation of non-carriers). Then, we calculated delta z-scores between time points per region of interest (after onset minus 4 years before symptom onset). To assess the performance of combinations of neuroimaging parameters, we performed logistic regression analyses, taking group (converter versus non-converters and converter versus non-carrier) as dependent variable and the delta z-scores as independent variables. The models were selected with a forward stepwise method according to the likelihood ratio test and by applying the standard \( P \)-values for variable inclusion (0.05) and exclusion (0.10), with age and gender as covariates. Goodness of fit was evaluated with the Hosmer-Lemeshow \( \chi^2 \) test. Nagelkerke \( R^2 \) is reported as measure of effect size. To examine the converters’ within-individual trajectories, we converted the raw clinical data scores to z-scores (i.e. individual test score minus the baseline mean of the non-carriers, divided by the baseline standard deviation of non-carriers) per time point. We calculated composite z-scores for cognitive domains by calculating z-scores per test and averaging the z-scores of the individual tests per domain (see Jiskoot et al., 2016, 2018a for the neuropsychological assessment battery).

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available as they contain information that could compromise the privacy of research participants (e.g. their mutation carriership).

Results

Demographics and clinical data

Demographic and clinical data of converters, non-converters and non-carriers are shown in Table 1. The mean familial age at symptom onset in converters was lower than in non-converters and non-carriers (both \( P < 0.001 \)). After symptom onset, converters had lower MMSE scores than non-converters and non-carriers (both \( P < 0.001 \)) and

| Table 1 Demographics and clinical data |
|----------------------------------------|
| Demographic | Converters (n = 8) | Non-converters (n = 35) | Non-carriers (n = 30) | \( P \)-value |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Age at study entry, years | 49.5 ± 9.6 | 50.3 ± 10.2 | 50.6 ± 10.7 | 0.966 |
| Sex, female (%) | 4 (50) | 21 (60) | 19 (63.3) | 0.790 |
| Education (Verhage)\textsuperscript{a} | 6.0 ± 0.6 | 5.5 ± 1.0 | 5.4 ± 1.0 | 0.365 |
| Gene, GRN (%) | 3 (37.5) | 27 (77.1) | 24 (80) | 0.050 |
| Onset age family, years | 52.4 ± 7.0 | 59.2 ± 5.5 | 59.4 ± 4.7 | 0.005 |
| Years from estimated onset at study entry | 5.0 ± 4.7 | 8.9 ± 8.1 | N/A | 0.596 |
| Clinical data | Years to onset |
| MMSE | 4 | 29.3 ± 0.8 | 29.2 ± 1.5 | 29.4 ± 0.9 | 0.685 |
| | 2 | 29.1 ± 1.1 | 28.8 ± 1.9 | 29.4 ± 1.1 | 0.288 |
| | 0 | 26.3 ± 3.3 | 29.3 ± 1.2 | 29.4 ± 0.9 | <0.001 |
| FAB\textsuperscript{b} | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| | 2 | 17.3 ± 0.8 | 17.5 ± 0.9 | 17.5 ± 0.8 | 0.888 |
| | 0 | 15.7 ± 1.6 | 17.1 ± 1.1 | 16.9 ± 1.4 | 0.057 |
| BDI | 4 | 13.1 ± 3.6 | 13.5 ± 4.8 | 4.0 ± 4.3 | 0.414 |
| | 2 | 3.1 ± 3.9 | 3.2 ± 4.2 | 3.7 ± 4.1 | 0.897 |
| | 0 | 9.6 ± 10.5 | 3.0 ± 6.6 | 3.5 ± 4.3 | 0.032 |
| NPI-Q\textsuperscript{c} | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| | 2 | 0.1 ± 0.4 | 2.9 ± 13.6 | 0.7 ± 1.3 | 0.638 |
| | 0 | 13.6 ± 16.4 | 3.9 ± 12.2 | 0.8 ± 1.5 | 0.015 |

Values indicate: mean ± SD. BDI = Beck’s Depression Inventory; FAB = Frontal Assessment Battery; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination.
\textsuperscript{a}Dutch educational system categorized into levels from 1 = \(< 6 \) years of primary education to 7 = academic schooling (Verhage, 1964).
\textsuperscript{b}Data only available on follow-up visits.
significantly more neuropsychiatric features, reflected in higher BDI (\(P = 0.031\) and \(P = 0.054\), respectively) and NPI-Q (\(P = 0.075\) and \(P = 0.011\), respectively) scores. In longitudinal analyses, converters showed a significant decrease in MMSE over time (\(P = 0.002\)). Changes in global cognition or neuropsychiatric features did not reach statistical significance in non-converters and non-carriers, although somewhat higher NPI-Q scores were found in non-converters at 2- and 4-year follow-up.

### Table 2 Whole brain comparisons (TBSS, VBM) between converters, non-converters and non-carriers

| WM/GM        | Cluster | Size | P       | MNI coordinates | L/R | Area (peak voxel) |
|--------------|---------|------|---------|-----------------|-----|-------------------|
|              |         |      |         |                 |     |                   |
| **Converters versus non-converters** |         |      |         |                 |     |                   |
| 4 years before symptom onset | WM      | -    | -       | ns               | -   | -                 |
|              | GM      | -    | -       | ns               | -   | -                 |
| 2 years before symptom onset | WM      | 21781 | 0.004   | 15 42 –12    | R   | IFOF, UF, forceps minor |
|              | 1882    | 0.023 | 34 1 –32 | R   | Cingulum         |
|              | 144     | 0.047 | 48 –36 –4 | R   | SLF              |
|              | 66      | 0.049 | –48 –10 22 | L   | SLF              |
|              | 19      | 0.050 | –56 0 15 | L   | SLF              |
| GM           | 27122   | <0.001 | 10 12 –28 | R   | Orbitofrontal cortex |
|              | 4660    | 0.004 | –40 2 –26 | L   | Planum temporale |
| After symptom onset | WM      | 61066 | <0.001 | 35 –8 –37   | R   | ILF               |
|              | GM      | 88222 | <0.001 | 50 –12 –46   | R   | Inferior temporal gyrus |
| Longitudinal decline | WM      | 894     | 0.047 | –3 153 84 | n/a | gCC |
|              | 18601   | 0.001 | –30 22 2  | L   | Insula           |
|              | 6318    | 0.001 | 44 –32 –26 | R   | Inferior temporal gyrus |
| **Converters versus non-carriers** |         |      |         |                 |     |                   |
| 4 years before symptom onset | WM      | -    | -       | ns               | -   | -                 |
|              | GM      | -    | -       | ns               | -   | -                 |
| 2 years before symptom onset | WM      | 21781 | 0.004   | 15 42 –12    | R   | IFOF, UF, forceps minor |
|              | 1882    | 0.023 | 34 1 –32 | R   | Cingulum         |
|              | 144     | 0.047 | 48 –36 –4 | R   | SLF              |
|              | 66      | 0.049 | –48 –10 22 | L   | SLF              |
|              | 19      | 0.050 | –56 0 15 | L   | SLF              |
| GM           | 23126   | <0.001 | 40 –38 –30 | R   | Fusiform gyrus |
|              | 538     | 0.021 | –16 50 32 | L   | Frontal pole     |
| After symptom onset | WM      | 62308   | <0.001 | 14 50 –15   | R   | IFOF, UF         |
|              | GM      | 81558  | <0.001 | 42 –10 –48   | R   | Inferior temporal gyrus |
|              | 439     | 0.030 | –14 62 44 | L   | Precuneus        |
|              | 150     | 0.036 | –18 –78 4 | L   | Lingual gyrus   |
|              | 41      | 0.047 | 20 –86 4 | R   | Occipital fusiform gyrus |
| Longitudinal decline | WM      | 914     | 0.026 | 2 153 84 | n/a | gCC |
|              | GM      | 25216  | 0.001 | –44 6 –30 | L   | Temporal pole |
|              | 11300   | 0.001 | 46 –32 28 | R   | Inferior temporal gyrus |
|              | 1165    | 0.014 | 18 –88 12 | R   | Occipital pole   |
|              | 391     | 0.029 | –34 –70 22 | L   | Lateral occipital cortex |
|              | 82      | 0.046 | –20 –90 4 | L   | Occipital pole |
| **Non-converters versus non-carriers** |         |      |         |                 |     |                   |
| 4 years before symptom onset | WM      | -    | -       | ns               | -   | -                 |
|              | GM      | -    | -       | ns               | -   | -                 |
| 2 years before symptom onset | WM      | -    | -       | ns               | -   | -                 |
|              | GM      | -    | -       | ns               | -   | -                 |
| After symptom onset | WM      | -    | -       | ns               | -   | -                 |
|              | GM      | -    | -       | ns               | -   | -                 |
| Longitudinal decline | WM | - | - | ns | - | - |
|              | GM      | -    | -       | ns               | -   | -                 |

\(gCC = \) genu corpus callosum; \(GM = \) grey matter; \(IFOF = \) inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; \(ILF = \) inferior longitudinal fasciculus; \(L = \) left; \(MNI = \) Montreal Neurological Institute; \(n/a = \) not applicable; \(ns = \) non-significant; \(R = \) right; \(SLF = \) superior longitudinal fasciculus; \(TBSS = \) Tract-Based Spatial Statistics; \(UF = \) uncinate fasciculus; \(VBM = \) voxel-based morphometry; \(WM = \) white matter. Clusters >50 voxels have been reported. \(P < 0.05,\) FWE-corrected for multiple comparisons.
in the uncinate fasciculus, superior longitudinal fasciculus, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, inferior longitudinal fasciculus, corpus callosum, forceps minor, cingulum, anterior thalamic radiation and anterior corona radiata than both the other two groups [Table 2, Fig. 2A(ii) and B(ii)]. Lower grey matter volumes were found in the bilateral frontal and temporal lobes, insula and cingulate cortex, extending to the parietal lobe and cerebellum of converters compared to non-converters and non-carriers [Table 2 and Fig. 2A(iii)]. After symptom onset, converters had lower fractional anisotropy values across all white matter tracts [Table 2 and Fig. 2A(ii)], and lower grey matter volumes of large areas covering the frontal and temporal cortices, but also subcortical areas (e.g. thalamus) and cerebellum, with relative sparing of the parietal and occipital lobes in comparison to non-converters [Table 2 and Fig. 2A(ii)]. The differences were in similar locations, but more extensive in comparison to non-carriers [Table 2 and Fig. 2B(ii)]. The results were not affected by the converter with the cerebellar cyst, as exclusion did not change the above-mentioned findings. No significant differences in fractional anisotropy or grey matter volume were found between non-converters and non-carriers at any time point (Table 2).

**Longitudinal whole brain white matter integrity and grey matter volume loss**

Converters demonstrated longitudinal decline of fractional anisotropy in the genu corpus callosum and forceps minor over time compared to non-converters (Table 2 and Fig. 3A); this was even more extensive (left uncinate fasciculus, left superior longitudinal fasciculus and posterior corpus callosum) when compared to non-carriers (Table 2 and Fig. 3A). The longitudinal trajectories of the right uncinate fasciculus and genu corpus callosum are shown in Fig. 4A. Furthermore, converters had significant grey matter volume loss of the prefrontal cortex, cingulate cortex, insula, temporal poles and inferior temporal gyrus in comparison to non-converters (Table 2 and Fig. 3B), again with more extensive grey matter volume loss (most of the frontal and temporal lobes, extending to the occipital cortex and cerebellum) compared to non-carriers (Table 2 and Fig. 3B). These results were also not affected by the converter with the cerebellar cyst, as exclusion did not change the above-mentioned findings. There were no significant differences in fractional anisotropy or grey matter volume loss between non-converters and non-carriers (Table 2).

**Within-individual trajectories in converters**

We further assessed the converters’ within-individual progression of global cognitive (MMSE, FAB), neuropsychiatric (NPI-Q), neuropsychological (language, executive function, social cognition, attention, memory) and neuroimaging (frontal and temporal lobe volume) measures (Fig. 5). Furthermore, a brief description of the clinical disease trajectories, including the onset of behavioural, neuropsychiatric, cognitive and neuroimaging changes can be found in the Supplementary material. As Figs 4A, 5 and the Supplementary material show, most MAPT converters had a relatively gradual onset of symptoms, reflected in slowly progressive clinical features and cognitive disturbances, but a steep increase of neuropsychiatric and grey matter volume loss. The GRN converters
demonstrated more rapid changes in all biomarkers around symptom onset. With respect to cognition, MAPT converters demonstrated decline in all domains, namely language, attention, executive function, social cognition, and memory, while GRN converters declined most on attention and executive function (Supplementary Table 1 and Fig. 5). With respect to grey matter atrophy, visual inspection showed a disproportional volume loss of the (right) temporal lobes in MAPT converters, and relatively more volume loss of the (left) frontal lobes in GRN converters (Fig. 5). Visual inspection of the white matter trajectories suggested more fractional anisotropy decline in the uncinate fasciculus in MAPT converters than GRN converters, and more decline in the genu corpus callosum in GRN converters than MAPT converters (Fig. 4A). As most converters with bvFTD had an underlying MAPT mutation, similar patterns of decline were found; comparably, all converters with nfvPPA had an underlying GRN mutation, and therefore showed similar patterns of decline to GRN converters (Figs 4A and 5). A steep increase of neuropsychiatric symptoms was noted in most bvFTD converters (converter 1, 2, 4 and 8), but not in nfvPPA converters (Supplementary material and Fig. 5).

Classification

Between converters and non-converters, longitudinal decline in the white matter integrity of the right uncinate fasciculus and genu corpus callosum provided significant classifiers (Table 3 and Fig. 4B). Decline in the genu corpus callosum provided the best fit for classifying between converters and non-converters ($\chi^2 = 0.738; P < 0.001$). The model correctly classified 85.0% of cases. Between converters and non-carriers, longitudinal decline of the right uncinate fasciculus, genu corpus callosum, forceps minor, right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, right insula, and left anterior temporal lobe, provided significant classifiers (Supplementary Table 3 and Fig. 4B). Decline in the genu corpus callosum and right inferior longitudinal fasciculus provided the best fit for classifying between converters and non-carriers ($\chi^2 = 1.000; P < 0.001$). The model correctly classified 100% of cases.

Discussion

Our study is the first 4-year longitudinal study examining different neuroimaging modalities in a large cohort of at-risk participants from families with FTD due to MAPT or GRN mutations. Eight mutation carriers developed clinical features of FTD (‘converters’). Cross-sectional analyses demonstrated extensive loss of white matter integrity and grey matter volume in converters, present from 2 years before symptom onset. Longitudinal analyses demonstrated the largest decline over time in the genu corpus callosum, uncinate fasciculus, forceps minor, and superior longitudinal fasciculus, as well as the prefrontal and cingulate cortex, insula, temporal pole and inferior temporal gyrus. Classifiers between converters, non-converters and non-carriers were white matter integrity loss of the right uncinate fasciculus and genu corpus callosum. Decline in the genu corpus callosum and right inferior longitudinal fasciculus...
provided the best measures for classifying between converters and non-converters.

Analogous to other cohort studies in familial FTD (Rohrer et al., 2015), Alzheimer’s disease (Bateman et al., 2012; Benzinger et al., 2013; Kinnunen et al., 2018), and Huntington’s disease (Tabrizi et al., 2013; Harrington et al., 2016), the transition period between presymptomatic and symptomatic disease stage, the time point of pathological changes, type of progression and topographical order of neuroimaging changes, and genotype versus phenotype-specific patterns may give significant insights into the exact disease process of FTD; these are addressed below.

One of the key benefits of our study is the use of actual onset age in converters. Looking in more detail at symptom onset, converters with GRN mutations had a more sudden start of symptoms, while subtle behavioural and cognitive changes evolved over years in a few MAPT mutation carriers. Conversion was based on onset of clinical features of FTD (behavioural and/or language deterioration), significant functional decline, and neurocognitive deficits. All eight converters met the diagnostic criteria for probable bvFTD (Rascovsky et al., 2011), or imaging-supported PPA (Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011), as they had frontal and/or temporal atrophy consistent with patterns described in early-stage symptomatic MAPT- and GRN-related FTD (Whitwell et al., 2009b, 2012; Rohrer et al., 2010; Cash et al., 2018). Although the present study does not include C9orf72-related FTD, it is important to recognize that symptomatic mutation carriers initially can have relatively normal structural imaging (Khan et al., 2012; Devenney et al., 2015) or atrophy patterns are less pronounced than in sporadic bvFTD (Devenney et al., 2014); therefore using frontal and/or temporal MRI abnormalities as core criteria in defining clinical conversion could miss a proportion of mutation carriers if generalized to a cohort.
including C9orf72. The exact timing of conversion was difficult to pinpoint due to a transitional stage of subtle behavioural or language impairment (Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011; Rascovsky et al., 2011), in line with the 'questionably/mildly symptomatic mutation carriers’ described by Kinnunen et al. (2018). Analogous to the mild cognitive impairment (MCI) phase in Alzheimer’s disease, several terms have been opted for this time period in FTD, such as ‘pre-bvFTD’ (Borroni et al., 2015), ‘prodromal frontotemporal dementia [FTD]’ (Hallam et al., 2007), ‘frontotemporal MCI’ (De Mendonça et al., 2004) and the more broadly defined ‘Mild Behavioural Impairment’ (Taragano et al., 2009). However, they do not capture the entire range of possible clinical features in presymptomatic familial
FTD, including early stage PPA. This emphasizes the need for additional clinical, neuroimaging, or fluid biomarkers. As a first step, incorporating neuropsychiatric measures into the definition of the transitional period of FTD could be considered, as subtle neuropsychiatric changes were found in presymptomatic mutation carriers in this and in previous studies (Rohrer et al., 2015; Cheran et al., 2018).

The most interesting findings of our study are the longitudinal changes in grey and white matter integrity 2 years before actual symptom onset, suggesting a specific 'change-point' when approaching symptom onset. A similar pattern was demonstrated regarding neuropsychological biomarkers in the same cohort (Jiskoot et al., 2018a), with a sudden onset of cognitive decline in e.g. language, executive function, social cognition and memory from 2 years before symptom onset. Previous studies using estimated years to onset as a proxy demonstrated similar changes in grey matter volume (Rohrer et al., 2015), white matter integrity (Jiskoot et al., 2018b) and cognition (Jiskoot et al., 2016), albeit earlier in the presymptomatic period, i.e. 5–10 years before estimated symptom onset. The discrepancy between the findings in our study and those in the larger GENFI study may be explained by the smaller and different samples (e.g. inclusion of C9orf72 mutation carriers, all mutation carriers combined in one group), differences in analysis method (mixed-effects models versus ANCOVAs on changes over time maps, percentage of total intracranial volume versus corrected absolute volume), and the use of estimated years to onset versus actual symptom onset. Furthermore, when using cross-sectional data, inferences depend on the assumption that biomarker trajectories are similar across patients (Benzinger et al., 2013), while in this study we were able to show that within-individual trajectories can differ. An interesting issue is the type of change (e.g. stepped change, linear) and rate of progression (e.g. gradual, accelerated) occurring after this change-point, and a study with a larger cohort of converters with longer follow-up will certainly shed more light on this matter. The present findings of a relatively rapid/abrupt trajectory of white and grey matter changes seems to be in contrast with the findings in our study and those in the larger GENFI cohort (Rohrer et al., 2015) was similar to the longitudinal patterns found in this study, with the atrophy spreading from the insula, temporal and frontal cortices to the cingulate, parietal and occipital cortices with approaching estimated symptom onset.

Prior studies have suggested that white matter abnormalities occur earlier and tend to exceed the grey matter atrophy (Agosta et al., 2012; Rohrer and Rosen, 2013; Frings et al., 2014; Lam et al., 2014). We found relatively simultaneous onset of changes in white matter integrity and grey matter volume loss. The small number of converters did not allow us to detect a robust sequential order of grey and white matter involvement or between specific cortical regions, while more extensive progression of white matter pathology than grey matter abnormalities were found in longitudinal studies in the symptomatic stage (Frings et al., 2014; Lam et al., 2014). In our study, the progression of grey matter atrophy seems visually larger than the white matter integrity loss. Potentially, this does not occur initially in the presymptomatic stage, but later on in the disease process (Lam et al., 2014). Our findings are in contrast with the more temporal order found in familial Alzheimer’s disease, reflected in elevated amyloid [Pittsburgh compound B (PiB)] PET 15 years before estimated onset, followed by reduced glucose metabolism [Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-PET] 10 years before estimated onset, and cortical thinning 5 years before estimated onset (Benzinger et al., 2013). As FDG-PET scanning has only been carried out in a small series of presymptomatic mutation carriers (Caroppi et al., 2015), the use of FDG-PET in a larger cohort will definitively give more insights into the temporal sequence of pathophysiological changes. Analogous to PiB-PET scanning in Alzheimer’s disease, the future availability of an optimal tau PET tracer in pre-symptomatic carriers with MAPT mutations will also give more information about the topographical and spatial spreading of tau pathology and its trajectory relative to grey matter atrophy and white matter integrity loss (Smith et al., 2016; Ono et al., 2017).

Regarding genotype-specific patterns of neuroimaging biomarkers, the uncinate fasciculus in MAPT converters tended to show the most decline in white matter integrity,
and GRN converters showed the most decline in the genu corpus callosum, although the numbers in both groups were too low to perform statistical analyses in order to draw firmer conclusions. These findings are in line with reported white matter atrophy of the uncinate fasciculus in MAPT-associated FTD, and white matter atrophy of the corpus callosum in GRN-associated FTD (Rohrer et al., 2010). Most of the MAPT converters in our study presented with bvFTD, which could explain the prominent involvement of the uncinate fasciculus, as reported earlier by Rohrer et al. (2010). Its role in both the language processing pathway (Grossman et al., 2004) and its anatomical connections to the limbic system in the temporal lobe (Olson et al., 2015) explains the strong connection between early damage to the uncinate fasciculus and the clinical phenotypes of MAPT and bvFTD, characterized by severe semantic and behavioural disturbances. With respect to grey matter atrophy, visual inspection showed more temporal volume loss in MAPT converters and frontal volume loss in GRN converters, in line with previous studies into both presymptomatic (Rohrer et al., 2015) and symptomatic familial FTD (Whitwell et al., 2009b, 2012; Cash et al., 2018). Most of the GRN converters in our study presented with nfvPPA, in which the speech production impairments have been associated with prominent atrophy of the prefrontal cortex and the inferior frontal lobes (Rohrer et al., 2013). With respect to disease progression, most converters with MAPT mutations had a slower progressive onset in clinical features, cognition, and grey matter atrophy and white matter integrity loss, indicating a more gradual disease progression. In contrast, all converters with GRN mutations had more rapid changes in these biomarkers around symptom onset, in line with a faster disease progression (Whitwell et al., 2015) and atrophy occurring nearer to symptom onset (Cash et al., 2018). Our results suggest variable disease trajectories between genetic mutation groups as well as within different genetic mutations, yet it remains to be elucidated whether the structural changes are solely phenotype-specific (bvFTD versus nfvPPA) changes, or whether they will follow genotypic patterns as well.

### Table 3 Diagnostic performance of white matter integrity and grey matter volume loss between converters and non-converters

| L/R | AUC (95% CI) | P       | Optimal cut-off | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|-----|-------------|---------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| **White matter tracts** | | | | | |
| UF  | R           | 0.83 (0.62–1.00) | 0.047 | –0.51 | 100% | 62.5% |
|     | L           | 0.81 (0.61–1.00) | 0.059 | -     | -     | -     |
| SLF | R           | 0.72 (0.50–0.93) | 0.186 | -     | -     | -     |
|     | L           | 0.64 (0.31–0.97) | 0.395 | -     | -     | -     |
| gCC | n/a         | 0.91 (0.86–1.00) | 0.014 | –0.85 | 100% | 81.3% |
| bCC | n/a         | 0.59 (0.26–0.93) | 0.571 | -     | -     | -     |
| sCC | n/a         | 0.72 (0.46–0.97) | 0.186 | -     | -     | -     |
| Fornix | n/a | 0.80 (0.54–1.00) | 0.073 | -     | -     | -     |
| Forcsp minor | n/a | 0.73 (0.47–0.99) | 0.156 | -     | -     | -     |
| Cingulum bundle | n/a | 0.72 (0.36–1.00) | 0.186 | -     | -     | -     |
| IFOF | R           | 0.77 (0.54–0.99) | 0.108 | -     | -     | -     |
|     | L           | 0.67 (0.41–0.94) | 0.299 | -     | -     | -     |
| ILF | R           | 0.64 (0.37–0.91) | 0.395 | -     | -     | -     |
|     | L           | 0.63 (0.28–0.97) | 0.450 | -     | -     | -     |
| **Grey matter areas** | | | | | |
| Frontal lobe | R | 0.53 (0.22–0.84) | 0.832 | -     | -     | -     |
|     | L           | 0.66 (0.30–1.00) | 0.235 | -     | -     | -     |
| Prefrontal cortex | R | 0.52 (0.20–0.83) | 0.899 | -     | -     | -     |
|     | L           | 0.66 (0.31–1.00) | 0.218 | -     | -     | -     |
| Temporal lobe | R | 0.69 (0.35–1.00) | 0.137 | -     | -     | -     |
|     | L           | 0.61 (0.33–0.89) | 0.396 | -     | -     | -     |
| ATL | R           | 0.51 (0.25–0.78) | 0.932 | -     | -     | -     |
|     | L           | 0.76 (0.47–1.00) | 0.051 | -     | -     | -     |
| Insula | R | 0.66 (0.38–0.94) | 0.218 | -     | -     | -     |
|     | L           | 0.60 (0.34–0.86) | 0.445 | -     | -     | -     |
| Cingulate cortex | R | 0.61 (0.31–0.91) | 0.396 | -     | -     | -     |
|     | L           | 0.78 (0.50–1.00) | 0.034 | –0.29 | 83.3% | 86.7% |
| ACC | R           | 0.74 (0.37–0.92) | 0.270 | -     | -     | -     |
|     | L           | 0.65 (0.36–0.94) | 0.252 | -     | -     | -     |

ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; ATL = anterior temporal lobe; AUC = area under the curve; bCC = body corpus callosum; gCC = genu corpus callosum; IFOF = inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; ILF = inferior longitudinal fasciculus; L = left; n/a = not applicable; R = right; sCC = splenium corpus callosum; SLF = superior longitudinal fasciculus; UF = uncinate fasciculus. White matter tract values represent fractional anisotropy, ranging between 0 and 1. Grey matter area values are expressed in millilitres, corrected for total intracranial volume. The optimal cut-off level was determined by the highest Youden’s index (i.e. sensitivity + specificity – 1) (Youden, 1950). Significant P-values are given in bold.
A larger cohort with more converters will provide us with more information on this matter, as—in contrast to amyloid-β in CSF and PIB-PET scans in Alzheimer’s disease—there is a lack of pathophysiological-specific biomarkers for FTD.

The predictive value of changes in the uncinate fasciculus, genu corpus callosum and left cingulate cortex for conversion in the following 2 years is an important and novel finding, as multimodal studies into FTD are scarce (Zhang et al., 2011). The finding of the genu corpus callosum as a significant discriminator between converters and non-converters is in line with the hypothesis of FTD as a network disease, in which loss of specific white matter tracts connecting grey matter areas results in large network failure. Studies from frontotemporal and Alzheimer’s disease have demonstrated both unimodal white matter and grey matter volume loss as being significant discriminators between both conditions (albeit weaker for grey matter than white matter), but the optimal classification (87% sensitivity, 83% specificity) was achieved using a combination of techniques (McMillan et al., 2012). Furthermore, Möller et al. (2015) showed that white matter integrity measures added complementary information to grey matter atrophy measures in FTD. It would be valuable for future studies to replicate the present classification findings, preferably with different and/or more neuroimaging techniques (e.g. resting state functional MRI, arterial spin labelling) or other statistical algorithms (e.g. support vector machines, machine learning techniques) to determine which combination of approaches could achieve the highest classification accuracy for conversion to the symptomatic disease stage.

With upcoming clinical trials, ongoing studies are investigating the potential of longitudinal MRI as sensitive disease biomarkers. These interventions should ideally be applied in the presymptomatic stage (Meeter et al., 2017). For both white matter integrity and grey matter volume loss present at 2 years prior to symptom onset, and increasing pathology when moving into the symptomatic stage, multimodal neuroimaging has proven its value as a disease staging and tracking biomarker. Longitudinal DTI changes in the genu corpus callosum as the strongest predictor for conversion makes it a potential neuroimaging biomarker for tracking disease progression in clinical trials, particularly since this is the white matter tract most consistently found across all clinical FTD syndromes (Elahi et al., 2017). Interestingly, the white matter integrity loss between 4 and 2 years before symptom onset seems to parallel the steep increase in neurofilament light chain levels found in converters in our previous study (Meeter et al., 2016). Future research should focus on the association between DTI parameters and neurofilament light chain levels in a larger sample, as they could serve as potential biomarkers for disease staging, the prediction of underlying pathology, and monitoring of treatment response in future therapeutic trials. Moreover, investigating the white matter tract abnormalities underlying neuropsychiatric features in conversion to the symptomatic stage would be an informative next step, as recent research demonstrated distinct spatial distributions of white matter pathology to be associated with specific behavioural symptoms across the major clinical FTD syndromes (Lansdall et al., 2018).

The key strength of our study is our longitudinal design, spanning a 4-year follow-up period of tracking at-risk participants from both MAPT and GRN families. The non-carriers from the same families were an ideal control group, as they had the same genetic and social background. Second, we used the true onset instead of estimated symptom onset. Third, all subjects underwent DTI imaging on the same scanner with the same sequencing parameters in a 4-year follow-up period. A drawback is the relatively small sample size and the clinical and pathological heterogeneity with respect to statistical power; this warrants replication in larger longitudinal cohorts with more converters, including C9orf72 repeat expansion carriers. Although our cohort also involves participants from C9orf72 families, study inclusion started in 2014; therefore no 5-year follow-up data are available yet. In the current study, we only described changes in fractional anisotropy, being the most sensitive parameter in the presymptomatic phase and the most pronounced measure with disease progression (Acosta-Cabronero et al., 2012; Dopper et al., 2014; Lam et al., 2014). However, to interpret the exact neuropathological processes underlying the DTI changes, future studies should also include other diffusivity measures. Further, DTI scan-rescan reliability in neurodegeneration has scarcely been studied, and can be potentially hampered by its unequal distribution throughout different brain regions (i.e. highly anisotropic white matter tracts have lower within-subject variability) and higher susceptibility to partial volume effects in smaller tracts (Cole et al., 2014). Finally, the DTI field-of-view in the present study was too small to include the lower white matter tracts in our analyses, although this would have been interesting considering recent findings of corticospinal tract degeneration in both bvFTD and nfvPPA (Omer et al., 2017).

Conclusion
Our longitudinal study demonstrates the presence of presymptomatic structural neuroimaging changes in FTD mutation carriers, starting 2 years before onset, with predominant frontotemporal pathology spreading towards and into symptom onset. Presymptomatic decline of white matter integrity of the uncinate fasciculus and genu corpus callosum, and grey matter volume loss of the left cingulate cortex, are consistent predictors of symptom onset in converters. Our results confirm the presence of a presymptomatic neuroimaging stage of familial FTD, and highlight the potential value of longitudinal multimodal structural MRI as a sensitive prognostic and diagnostic biomarker for presymptomatic to early symptomatic familial FTD.
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