Frailty in Patients with Cardiovascular Disease: Why, When, and How to Measure
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Abstract Frailty is a geriatric syndrome of impaired resistance to stressors due to a decline in physiologic reserve. Frailty and cardiovascular disease (CVD) share a common biological pathway, and CVD may accelerate the development of frailty. Frailty is identified in 25% to 50% of patients with CVD, depending on the frailty scale used and the population studied. Frail patients with CVD, especially those undergoing invasive procedures or suffering from coronary artery disease and heart failure, are more likely to suffer adverse outcomes as compared to their non-frail counterparts. Five-meter gait speed is a simple and effective way of objectively measuring frailty in patients with CVD and should be incorporated in risk assessment.
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Introduction

The word frail originates from the French frêle, meaning “of little resistance,” and from the Latin fragilis, meaning “easily broken.” In medicine, frailty is a geriatric syndrome used to define older adults with impaired resistance to stressors due to a decline in physiologic reserve [1]. The decline in physiologic reserve is multifactorial and involves a number of organ systems. Implicit in this definition is the introduction of a stressor (illness, surgery) followed by an injury and/or incomplete recovery, and ultimately a greater risk of mortality and morbidity.

Frailty has become increasingly relevant in the field of cardiovascular medicine for two principal reasons. First, the patient population is aging; of the 6,160,000 Americans discharged with a first diagnosis of cardiovascular disease (CVD), 62% were ≥65 years of age, and of those not surviving, 67% were ≥75 years or age [2]. Chronologic age alone is insufficient to characterize the heterogeneous group of older adult patients, and consideration of frailty is important to better reflect biological age. Second, there is an emerging body of literature linking CVD and frailty both at the mechanistic level and the epidemiologic level [3].

The objectives of this review are to recapitulate the mechanistic and epidemiologic links between CVD and frailty and to provide a framework for when and how to measure frailty in CVD patients based on current evidence.

Mechanistic Link Between CVD and Frailty

Although the pathways leading to CVD and frailty are complex, both have been strongly tied to chronic low-grade inflammation (Fig. 1). Causes of this type of inflammation include lifelong antigenic exposure, angiotensin 1R activation, obesity, insulin resistance, and redox imbalance [4], all of which are found in greater relative amounts in patients with CVD and patients with frailty. Circulating inflammatory markers such as neutrophils, monocytes, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, and interleukin-6 (IL-6) are increased in CVD and frailty [5–7], as are downstream thrombotic markers such as factor VIII and D-Dimers [8].
In CVD, inflammation plays a central role in oxidation of lipoproteins and activation of plaques [9]. In frailty, inflammation promotes a catabolic neurohormonal state that serves to redistribute amino acids from skeletal muscle to other organ systems, leading to profound loss of muscle mass [10, 11]. Because muscle is the main reservoir for amino acids, loss of muscle mass and change in muscle metabolism impairs the body’s ability to maintain and repair itself in the face of stressors [12, 13]. Hence, loss of muscle mass is a core component of frailty.

Another common pathway leading to CVD and frailty is insulin resistance [14, 15]. Independent of its contribution to chronic inflammation, insulin resistance leads to impaired muscle protein breakdown, which in turn leads to reduced availability of amino acids for maintenance and repair functions. Vitamin D deficiency has also been implicated in both CVD and frailty [16–19], as well as a number of nuclear factors including telomere shortening [20–23] and lamin A/C reduction [24].

In addition to sharing causal pathways, CVD has been shown to contribute to the development of frailty [25, 26]. The mechanism remains to be elucidated, but may be related to chronic repetitive injury and neurohormonal activation imposed by the CVD (eg, ischemic heart disease, hypertension, valvular heart disease).

**Epidemiologic Link Between CVD and Frailty**

Four studies suggested a cross-sectional association between prevalent frailty and prevalent CVD in community-dwelling older adults: Zutphen Elderly Men’s Study (odds ratio [OR] 4.1; 95% CI, 1.8–9.3; n=450) [27], Cardiovascular Health Study (OR 2.79; 95% CI, 2.12–3.67; n=4,735) [28], Beaver Dam Eye Study (OR 1.43 per point; 95% CI, 1.13–1.82; n=2962) [29], and the Women’s Health and Aging Studies (OR 2.72; 95% CI, 1.72–4.30; n=670) [30]. In the Cardiovascular Health Study, there was also an association between frailty and subclinical cardiovascular abnormalities such as left ventricular hypertrophy and carotid intima-media thickness.

The Women’s Health Initiative Observational Study showed that community-dwelling older women with CVD and cardiovascular risk factors were at higher risk of developing incident frailty [31]. Coronary artery disease and stroke were associated with the highest risk of developing incident frailty (OR 1.47; 95% CI, 1.25–1.73 and OR 1.71; 95% CI, 1.24–2.36, respectively; n=40,657). In this study and others, frailty was strongly predictive of survival over a long-term follow-up period.

The French 3 City Study and the Health ABC Study showed that community-dwelling older adults who were frail (as determined by gait speed) were at higher risk of developing incident CVD events and mortality [25, 26]. In the French 3 City Study, slow gait speed was associated with a threefold increase in cardiovascular mortality over 5 years (OR 3.00; 95% CI, 1.65–5.57; n=3208) but no difference in death due to cancer or death due to other causes, implying a specific effect of frailty on CVD.

Outside of the community setting, there have been fewer studies examining the prevalence and prognostic impact of frailty in hospitalized older adults or those with established severe CVD. Purser et al. [32] showed that, depending on the definition used, 27% to 50% of elderly patients admitted to a cardiology ward with severe coronary artery disease were frail [32]. Slow gait speed outperformed other markers of frailty and was associated with a fourfold increase in mortality over 6 months (OR 4.00; 95% CI, 1.10–13.80; n=309). Cacciatore et al. [33] showed that 54% of elderly patients with chronic heart failure were frail, which was in turn associated with an increase in mortality over 12 years (OR 1.62; 95% CI, 1.08–2.45; n=1332) [33]. Using a different definition of frailty, Boxer et al. [34] showed that 25% of elderly patients with chronic heart failure were frail. Tjam et al. [35] showed that frailty was more predictive of mortality than New York Heart Association (NYHA) class.

The sum of these epidemiologic studies underscores the frequent coexistence of frailty and CVD, with the prevalence of frailty varying between 25% and 50% depending on the definition used. Furthermore, these studies brought forth the non-negligible impact of frailty on CVD events and mortality.

**When to Measure Frailty**

Because frailty is a syndrome of impaired resistance to stressors, it is well suited to predict the elderly patient’s...
response to cardiovascular stress. One of the most promising clinical applications lies in using frailty to predict the elderly patient’s risk of mortality and morbidity in the setting of invasive cardiovascular procedures. In particular, cardiac surgery imposes marked physiologic stress on numerous organ systems, and existing risk prediction models perform poorly in the elderly [36]. These models contain up to 77 variables encompassing patient age, sex, illness severity, and comorbid conditions, but they have yet to formally incorporate an objective measure of frailty.

In the Frailty Assessment Before Cardiac Surgery (Frailty ABC’S) Study, 46% of elderly patients aged 70 years or older undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery and/or valve surgery were found to be frail as measured by a 5-m gait speed ≥6 s [37]. Gait speed was not correlated with age, left ventricular ejection fraction, or risk scores, suggesting that it was representing a distinct domain. After adjusting for the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) risk score, slow gait speed was associated with a threefold increase in postoperative mortality or major morbidity (OR 3.05; 95% CI, 1.23–7.54; n=131). Slow gait speed was also associated with greater need for discharge to convalescence or rehabilitation facilities, and a trend toward a longer length of stay. The value of adding gait speed to the STS risk score was incremental and resulted in a longer length of stay. The value of adding gait speed to the STS risk score was incremental and resulted in a substantial improvement in area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve from 0.70 to 0.74 and integrated discrimination index of 5%, two measures of model performance.

In a study from the Maritime Heart Center Cardiac Surgery Registry, only 4% of patients undergoing cardiac surgery were found to be frail; having increased mortality and discharge to convalescence or rehabilitation facilities [38]. The low prevalence of frailty could be explained by the mixture of elderly and non-elderly patients, and the definition of frailty that was based on disability (“end-stage” frailty) and dementia rather than conventional criteria for frailty. This study underscores the relatively lower yield of frailty in non-elderly patients and when disability-based criteria are used.

A study from the Heart Center Leipzig included patients aged ≥74 years undergoing cardiac surgery [39]. The definition of frailty was based on a composite score (range of 1–35) using a modified Cardiovascular Health Study scale, instrumental activities of daily living, balance testing, a panel of laboratory tests, and pulmonary function tests. The unadjusted risk of postoperative mortality was greater in those with higher composite scores.

Another promising clinical application for frailty lies in predicting the elderly patient’s risk of mortality and morbidity in the setting of heart failure [33–35]. These patients often have very advanced age, many comorbid conditions, frequent hospitalizations, and high mortality rates, rendering the clinical evaluation and management decisions complex. Frailty may enlighten the global assessment and at times explain nonspecific symptoms such as exhaustion and weakness.

Finally, frailty may be measured in any elderly patient with CVD when a global assessment is desired to better reflect complexities related to advanced age, comorbidity, disability, and nonspecific symptomatology.

**How to Measure Frailty**

A number of different clinical instruments exist to objectively measure the phenotype of frailty [40*, 41]. These instruments vary from 1 to 70 items, but tend to share similar core items: slowness, weakness, and physical inactivity.

One of the most widely used instruments is the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) scale [42]. The CHS scale includes 5 items: slowness measured by 5-m gait speed, weakness measured by handgrip strength, physical inactivity measured by questionnaire, exhaustion measured by questionnaire, and unintentional weight loss >10 lbs over 1 year measured by self-report. Three or more positive items are required to classify the patient as frail, and one or two positive items are required to classify the patient as pre-frail. The pre-frail category is disregarded by some because its prognostic utility is less well established.

There have been a number of modifications to the CHS scale. The expanded CHS scale adds two items to the standard CHS scale—cognitive impairment and mood disturbance—because some believe these to be integral components of frailty. The MacArthur Study of Successful Aging (MSSA) scale adds five items: cognitive impairment, self-reported weakness, anorexia, high IL-6, and high CRP; four or more positive items are required to classify the patient as frail [43]. An analysis of the MSSA scale sub-dimensions revealed that the combination of slowness, weakness, physical inactivity, and cognitive impairment was most predictive.

Of note, many investigators have found that the single item of slow gait speed is most predictive of frailty and adverse outcomes, often outperforming more elaborate scales and other physical performance tests such as handgrip strength, chair-rises, and balance testing [32*, 44, 45]. The single measure of gait speed for frailty has been endorsed by a recent task force [40*], and has the advantage of being easily applicable without much time or cost and without relying on subjective questionnaires. Gait speed is typically measured over a 5-m distance (range of 2.4–10 m, with the distance used having little effect on measured speed) [46] at the patient’s comfortable walking pace.
Instructions for performing the 5-m gait speed test can be found in Fig. 2.

Jones et al. [47] have proposed two additional frailty scales. The Canadian Health and Aging (CHSA) Frailty Index includes a checklist of 70 items, integrating a large number of comorbid conditions, disabilities, cognitive impairments, mood disturbances, and mobility limitations. The CHSA Clinical Frailty Scale simplifies this checklist into a semi-quantitative global judgment that classifies patients on a scale from 1 to 7 with 1 being very fit and 7 being severely frail [48].

The Calgary Cardiac and Cognition scale was developed in hospitalized patients aged ≥60 years undergoing cardiac catheterization, with the stated goal of predicting worsening activities of daily living (ADL) and quality of life over a period of 1 year [49]. Like the CHS scale, the Calgary Cardiac and Cognition scale includes five items with three or more required to classify a patient as frail: cognitive impairment measured by the Trail-Making Test Part B, mood disturbance measured by the geriatric depression scale, tandem balance <10 s, body mass index <21 or >30 kg/m², and living alone. Gait speed was not predictive in this study, although a short 2.4-m test was used and the investigators pointed out that many patients were restrained by intravenous lines. Conversely, in the Frailty ABC’S Study, the presence of intravenous lines, walkers, and canes did not affect the reliability of the gait speed test.

One common pitfall is equating frailty with disabilities in ADL (e.g., getting dressed unassisted) or instrumental ADL (e.g., going shopping unassisted). Frailty occurs earlier and can be elicited in a large number of well-functioning older adults; disability occurs later and can be viewed as the end-result of longstanding frailty and comorbidity burden. Despite the overlap between frailty, disability, and comorbid conditions, these represent distinct domains that are not interchangeable [50]. Another common pitfall is identifying frailty based on a visual “eyeball” impression, especially when this visual impression is driven by the presence of very advanced age and low body mass index. In fact, frail patients are more likely to have high body mass index and excess central adiposity [14, 37*, 51].

Future Directions

With the emergence of evidence showing the prognostic value of frailty in elderly patients with CVD, ongoing efforts are being directed toward incorporating frailty into existing and novel risk prediction models. Until these models are available, clinicians may consider measuring frailty in selected patients on a case-by-case basis.

Therapeutic strategies may be divided as those that prevent or reverse the development of frailty, and those that prevent adverse outcomes in frail patients. Interventions likely to be beneficial in preventing frailty include regular physical exercise [52–58] and balanced nutrition. The value of pharmacotherapy remains to be confirmed. Interventions likely to prevent adverse outcomes in patients found to be frail include comprehensive geriatric assessment to optimize comorbid conditions and promote early recognition of complications such as delirium [59–61], physical therapy [62, 63], and accessibility to social support systems [64, 65]. Moreover, frail patients requiring coronary revascularization or valve replacement may benefit from less invasive

---

**Fig. 2** Instructions for the 5-m gait speed test

**5-meter gait speed test**

- In an unobstructed area, position the patient with his/her feet behind and just touching the 0-meter start line
- Instruct to “Walk at your comfortable pace” until a few steps past the 5-meter mark (should not start to slow down before)
- Begin each trial on the word “Go”
- Start the timer with the first footfall after the 0-meter line
- Stop the timer with the first footfall after the 5-meter line
- Repeat 3 times and record average, allowing sufficient time for recuperation between trials
- Frailty is defined as an average time taken to walk the 5-meter course ≥ 6 seconds*

* Depending on the source, time cutoffs for frailty have varied between 5.0-7.7 seconds (0.65-1 meters/second). Walking aids such as canes and walkers may be used if needed.
therapeutic options, such as minimally invasive surgery or transcatheter interventions, although this has yet to be formally studied.

Conclusions

Frailty and CVD share common biological pathways, and CVD may accelerate the development of frailty. Frailty is identified in 25% to 50% of patients with CVD, depending on the frailty scale used and the population studied. Frail patients with CVD, especially those undergoing invasive procedures or suffering from coronary artery disease and heart failure, are more likely to suffer adverse outcomes compared to their non-frail counterparts. The 5-m gait speed test is a simple and effective way of objectively measuring frailty in patients with CVD and should be incorporated in risk assessment. Further research will clarify how to best incorporate frailty in existing risk models and how to optimize health status and prevent adverse outcomes in frail patients.
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