The secreted integrin ligand *nephronectin* is necessary for forelimb formation in *Xenopus tropicalis*

Anita Abu-Daya, Satoko Nishimoto, Lynn Fairclough, Timothy J. Mohun, Malcolm P.O. Logan, Lyle B. Zimmerman *

Division of Developmental Biology, MRC-National Institute for Medical Research, Mill Hill, London, NW7 1AA, UK

**Article Info**

Article history:
Received for publication 19 March 2010
Revised 27 September 2010
Accepted 14 October 2010
Available online 23 October 2010

**Keywords:**
*Xenopus tropicalis*
Genetics
Limb
Mutant
Nephronectin
Integrin

**Abstract**

While limb regeneration has been extensively studied in amphibians, little is known about the initial events in limb formation in metamorphosing anurans. The small secreted integrin ligand *nephronectin* (*npnt*) is necessary for development of the metanephros in mouse. Although expressed in many tissues, its role in other developmental processes is not well-studied. Here we show that a transgene insertion that disrupts this gene ablates forelimb formation in *Xenopus tropicalis*. Our results suggest a novel role for integrin signalling in limb development, and represent the first inserational phenotype to be cloned in amphibians.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

**Introduction**

Limb formation is among the most intensively studied models for vertebrate organogenesis, incorporating initiation, growth, patterning of axes, regulated apoptosis, and specification and differentiation of specific cell types (Butterfield et al., 2010; Duboc and Logan, 2009; Logan, 2003). Amphibian limbs are also extensively used to understand regeneration (Beck et al., 2009; Brokes, 1997). Frog limb formation, however, is unique in vertebrate organogenesis. While all other organ systems (including limbs in urodele amphibians and other vertebrate taxa) form in parallel relatively early during embryogenesis, metamorphosing frog larvae develop limbs *de novo* after several weeks or more as free-swimming, growing tadpoles. In this respect, the prospective anuran limb has been compared to the insect imaginal disc, persisting in the larva in an undifferentiated state until specific cues are provided (Brown et al., 2005a), but the specific lineages and molecular signals responsible for limb initiation in these organisms remain unknown. Understanding how the metamorphosing tadpole initiates and patterns limbs that are largely homologous to those of other vertebrates in this unique context will elucidate processes critical for vertebrate limb formation and regeneration.

In amniotes, limb skeletal elements form as a result of outgrowth of flank lateral plate mesoderm cells (Capdevila and Izpisua Belmonte, 2001). The first molecular evidence for the specification of the forelimb-forming region is the expression of the T-box transcription factor, *Tbx5*. The requirement for *Tbx5* in forelimb formation is conserved in vertebrate models from zebrafish to mouse (Ahn et al., 2002). Conditional mouse mutants in *Tbx5* fail to initiate forelimb bud formation, and all of the elements of the forelimb appendicular skeleton, including the scapula and clavicle, fail to form (Rallis et al., 2003). Mutations in human *TBX5* are associated with Holt–Oram syndrome, a dominant disorder characterised by forelimb deformities and heart defects (Basson et al., 1997; Li et al., 1997). Limb formation in urodele amphibians has been studied extensively using embryological methods (Stephens, 1999; Harrison, 1918). As in amniotes, limb initiation occurs in parallel with other organogenesis processes. At early stages, the potential for limb formation extends nearly the entire length of the lateral plate, then becomes gradually restricted to the anterior and posterior limb fields, with the intervening flank mesoderm playing a role in positioning and polarizing the forelimb (Slack, 1976, 1977).

Analysis of limb initiation in the free-swimming anuran larva has largely been limited to descriptive studies. The hindlimb bud consists of mesenchyme originating from a thickening of the somatopleure, which migrates from the coelomic epithelium to establish close contact with the thickened epidermis opposite (Balinsky, 1931; Filatow, 1933; Tschernoff, 1907). An external hindlimb bud is visible at stage 46 in *Xenopus*, with forelimb buds appearing subsequently at stage 48, in contrast to amniotes where forelimb formation precedes hindlimb. The molecular mechanism governing timing of limb bud outgrowth is not known. Unlike most processes in metamorphosis, which are controlled by the general regulator thyroid hormone *(TH)*,
initial formation of the limb bud occurs in the presence of the TH-blocking goitrogen methimazole (Brown et al., 2005a) although subsequent outgrowth is halted. As in amniotes, the position of the forelimb appears to coincide with the anterior limit of mesodermal hoxc-6 expression (Burke et al., 1995), although fgf8 is not expressed in the prospective limb prior to budding (Christen and Slack, 1997), and dorsoventral patterning also appears to use a different mechanism than in amniotes (Christen and Slack, 1998). Limb muscles likewise may have a separate origin. In other tetrapods, these derive from myogenic progenitors that migrate from the somites into the nascent limb bud (Christ and Brand-Saberi, 2002). When Xenopus muscle lineages were traced by transiently expressing Cre recombinase in somites of floxed RFP/GFP transgenic embryos, body wall and tail muscles were consistently permanently labelled. Interestingly, limb muscles in these animals were conspicuously never labelled, suggesting that frog limb myogenic precursor cells are not committed during somitogenesis at early larval stages and may derive from an alternative lineage (Sato et al., 2005).

Nephronectin was first identified in screens for secreted ligands with the capacity to bind integrin αβ1 (Brandenburger et al., 2001; Morimura et al., 2001), and comprises five epidermal growth factor-like repeats, a linker segment containing the RGD cell adhesion motif, and a meprin-A5 protein receptor protein-tyrosine phosphatase μ (MAM) domain. Mouse knockouts of either nephronectin or its receptor show similar defects in epithelial–mesenchymal interactions in kidney organogenesis (Linton et al., 2007; Muller et al., 1997). The amphibian larval kidney (pronephros) abuts the forelimb-forming region, potentially playing a role in its formation. Intriguingly, extirpation studies in chick have implicated nephrogenic mesoderm in forelimb outgrowth (Geduspan and Solursh, 1992), although this model has subsequently been challenged (Fernandez-Teran et al., 1997; Perantoni et al., 2005).

Here we report a transgene insertion disrupting nephronectin in Xenopus tropicalis. The resulting xenopus de milo (xdm) phenotype lacks all detectable forelimb structures from the earliest stages of limb formation and abrogates tbx5 expression in the prospective forelimb region. No other tissues, including hindlimb, appear to be affected. This mutation provides the first evidence for integrin signalling in limb initiation, and is also the first insertional mutation to be cloned in amphibians.

Results

Xenopus de milo forelimb mutation disrupts nephronectin

The xenopus de milo (xdm) mutation was identified in a transgenic line containing an 8 kb fragment of the X. laevis Nkx2.5b promoter (Sparrow et al., 2000) driving GFP in the developing heart. Offspring of sibling crosses develop normally to stage 47, forming wild type hindlimbs, but a roughly Mendelian fraction (43/211, 20%) had no forelimbs (Figs. 1A and B). Xdm skeletal preparations lack all elements of the forelimb appendicular skeleton including the shoulder girdle (scapula and clavicle, Figs. 1C–F). The axial skeleton and hindlimbs are unaffected. We then examined mutant embryos at earlier stages beginning immediately prior to forelimb bud initiation (stage 47) to stage 53 when a developing handplate is obvious. In xdm mutants, no forelimb structures, including the earliest limb bud, are detected at any stage analyzed (Figs. 2E, J, O, and T). The timing and morphology of hindlimb formation are unaffected in the mutant (Figs. 2D, I, N, and S). A separate transgenic line bearing an independent insertion of the same construct showed no defects when bred to homozygosity, demonstrating that this phenotype was not a property of the transgene itself but could have resulted from a transgene integration disrupting an endogenous gene.

To characterize the transgene locus, we used Ligation-Mediated PCR (LM-PCR) with primers specific to both ends of the transgene to locate the integration at bp 91378–91352 in scaffold_111 of the JGI X. tropicalis genome assembly (http://www.genome.jgi-psf.org/Xentr4/Xentr4.home.html) in the third intron of the nephronectin (npnt) gene (Fig. 3A). Concatameric transgene insertions often result when Xenopus eggs are injected with sperm nuclei incubated with linear DNA constructs (Kroll and Amaya, 1996). Only 26 bp of endogenous npnt intron sequence is missing in the mutant allele, consistent with a relatively clean transgene insertion (Figs. 3A and S1).

Xdm is genetically linked to nephronectin

To address whether the forelimbless phenotype could be caused by a second site mutation, we confirmed its genetic linkage to the transgene insertion. Genotyping primers from endogenous npnt intron 3 sequence (Np and Nq) flanking the insertion site amplify a PCR product from wild type but not transgenic alleles; these primers in combination with transgene primers Tp and Tq amplify only from transgenic and not wild type (Figs. 3A and B). We used primers Np/Nr and Nr/Tq to genotype metamorphosing tadpoles from an xdm sibling cross. All non-transgene-bearing tadpoles (39/39) developed normal forelimbs. Among transgenic tadpoles, both marker sets produced amplification products from all morphologically wild type larvae (93/93) consistent with homozygosity at the insertion locus, while all (43/43) metamorphosing animals without forelimbs were homozygous for the transgenic allele (Fig. 3C). A total of 136/136 individuals genotyped therefore lacked recombination events between the transgene insertion and the mutation. Calculating the limit of linkage as if the next tadpole scored had an intervening crossover, the mutation...
appears closely linked (<0.8 cm) to the transgene insertion and behaves as a fully penetrant recessive allele.

Insertion of the transgene concatemer disrupts the endogenous npnt message structure, as shown by RT-PCR of stage 40 transgenic xdm tadpoles (Fig. 3D). Npnt message upstream of the insertion (exons 1–2) is expressed in homozygous transgenics, albeit at lower levels than in heterozygous (asterisk) or wild type siblings, but no expression of npnt exons downstream of the insertion site is detected. Loss of the downstream exons deletes ~85% of the wild type protein, including the 5 EGF-like repeats, the RGD–linker sequence required for npnt binding to α8β1 integrin (Sato et al., 2009), and the MAM domain (Fig. 3E). The remaining small N-terminal fragment contains no conserved protein domains, consistent with the mutant being a strong hypomorph or null allele.

Nephrinectin morpholino phenocopies xdm

To confirm a requirement for npnt in forelimb formation, we attempted to phenocopy the mutation with antisense morpholino oligonucleotides directed against npnt message. Control morpholino injections did not affect forelimb formation (431/431 wild type), but a morpholino targeting the first exon splice donor injected into one cell of

Fig. 2. Forelimb buds do not form in xdm. (A, F, K, P) Dorsal views of stages 47, 48, 50 and 53 wt tadpoles showing sites of the forelimb (black arrowheads) and the hindlimb (white arrowheads). (D, I, N, S) Hindlimb development occurs normally in the mutant compared with the wt (B, G, L, Q). (C, E) Stage 47 wild type and mutant tadpoles immediately prior to forelimb bud initiation. (H) Forelimb buds (dashed outline) first appear at stage 48 in wt tadpoles but not in xdm homozygotes (J). (M) Forelimbs are more distinct in stage 50 wt larvae but are still absent in xdm (O). (R) By stage 53 the wt forelimb has formed a handplate, while mutant tadpoles still lack forelimb buds (T).
two-cell embryos resulted in a statistically significant frequency of one-armed metamorphosing tadpoles (3/98, p = 0.001) which like xdm lacked all forelimb skeletal elements on the injected side (Figs. 4A and B). A combination of a different splice-blocking morpholino (directed against the third intron splice donor) and a translation-blocking morpholino also resulted in one-armed metamorphosing larvae (2/47, p = 0.01); neither of these morpholinos injected singly gave significant effects. Injected morpholino oligonucleotides have been shown to be capable of blocking expression until at least stage 43 in X. tropicalis, with recovery of endogenous gene expression by stage 47 (Nutt et al., 2001). We tried to define a window during which npnt was required by evaluating the persistence of the first exon splice-blocking morpholino. RT-PCR using primers spanning exons 1 and 2 shows that correctly-spliced message is depleted by the morpholino at stages 24 and 37, with recovery underway at stage 42 and approaching normal levels by stage 45 (Fig. 4C). Only a small minority (4%) of MO-injected embryos display limb defects, so the requirement for npnt is likely to occur after splicing has recovered in the majority of embryos. Our results show npnt splicing remains blocked at stage 37, consistent with a later requirement for this gene in forelimb initiation. The lower level of npnt product in control MO-injected embryos at stages 42 and 45 is consistent with WISH analysis (see Fig. 6). Interpretation of this result is complicated by the low penetrance of the morpholino in blocking forelimb formation. Even at relatively low penetrance, it is striking that npnt morpholino injection at cleavage stages can specifically affect limb formation, as forelimb buds first appear in X. tropicalis 2–3 weeks post-fertilization.

Neprhonetin acts upstream of tbx5 in forelimb bud initiation

In all vertebrate models studied from fish to mice, Tbx5 is required at the earliest stages of forelimb development for initiation of the forelimb bud (Agarwal et al., 2003; Ahn et al., 2002; Garrity et al., 2002; Ng et al., 2002; Rallis et al., 2003; Takeuchi et al., 2003) as well as for heart development (Brown et al., 2005b; Horb and Thomsen, 1999). Whole mount in situ hybridization (WISH) detected tbx5 staining in the nascent forelimb bud region in wild type (Figs. 5B and C) but not xdm (Figs. 5E and F) larvae, while expression in the heart was apparently unaffected (Figs. 5A and D), placing a specific requirement for npnt in forelimb initiation upstream of tbx5 (Ng et al., 2002).

Npnt itself is broadly expressed during development. At late neurula (stage 19, Fig. 6A) WISH analysis shows expression in the CNS and axial mesoderm, with additional staining in gill arches at the tailbud stage (stage 30, Fig. 6B). In the swimming tadpole (stage 39, Fig. 6C), npnt mRNA is detected in the pronephros adjacent to the forelimb-forming region as well as in the head, somites and CNS. Expression at later stages becomes broad and diffuse, with staining continuing in pronephros during limb initiation (stages 46–49, Figs. 6D–F, black arrows), but apparently absent from the forelimb-forming region (open arrows) and epidermis. We were unable to evaluate protein distribution since available antibodies raised to

---

**Fig. 3.** Xdm maps to a transgene insertion in nephronectin. (A) Schematic showing the wild type npnt genomic locus (above), and the structure of the Nkx2.5-GFP transgene insertion in the xdm allele (below). Genotyping primers which amplify the wild type npnt allele (N_F + N_R) and the junctions at each end of the insertion (N_F + N_T and T_R + N_R) are indicated. (B) Npnt sequence spanning the insertion can be amplified with npnt primers (N_F + N_T) from wild type (wt) but not transgenic (tgn) haploid embryos; chimaeric DNA at either end of the insertion can be amplified from transgenic but not wild type haploid transgenic tadpoles generated as in (C). Asterisk (left lane) marks heterozygous transgenic tadpole. RT-PCR (2nd and 3rd panels) shows that neither of these morpholinos injected singly gave significant effects. (D) Npnt mRNA is truncated by the transgene insertion. Top panel, 5 transgenic and 2 non-transgenic st. 40 tadpoles genotyped as in (C). The lower lane, npnt transcript map to a transgene insertion in Xdm nephronectin.

**Fig. 4.** Npnt knockdown phenocopies xdm. Npnt morpholino antisense oligonucleotides were injected into one blastomere of two-cell wild type embryos. (A, ventral view) Injection of two different non-overlapping morpholino sets resulted in unilateral forelimb ablation in a small but statistically significant number of metamorphosing tadpoles. (B) Morpholino knockdown ablates all forelimb skeletal elements, recapitulating the xdm phenotype. (C) Upper lanes, RT-PCR of control (c) and npnt splice-blocking (n) morpholino injected embryos indicates that npnt knockdown is virtually complete at stages 24 and 37, recovering at stage 42, and approaching wt levels at stage 45. Lower lanes, odc control.
mammalian npnt failed to recognize the Xenopus protein. We then analyzed the distribution of npnt’s known receptor subunits, α8 and β1 integrins. In X. laevis, β1 integrin is widely expressed in most tissues (Ransom et al., 1993); WISH analysis in X. tropicalis confirmed that β1 expression continues to be virtually ubiquitous leading up to forelimb bud formation in both whole mount and section (Figs. 7B, D, F, I, and J). Integrin α8 expression has not been previously described in Xenopus, but appears to be more localized than β1 in X. tropicalis. WISH at stages 44 and 45 (Figs. 7A, C, and G) shows strong expression in the liver and head cartilage, with smaller domains of discrete staining scattered in regions of little or no expression. By stage 47, α8 expression is widespread (Figs. 7E and H). The broad and diffuse expression of both the npnt ligand and its α8/β1 receptor contrasts sharply with the apparent specificity of the xdm phenotype, and does not suggest an instructive role for integrin signalling in induction of forelimb formation. However, the tissue and the developmental window requiring npnt function remain to be defined.

In mouse, deletion of npnt leads to ablation of the metanephros, a kidney organ not found in amphibians. Xenopus pronephros and mesonephros were examined to determine whether the mutation affected these amphibian nephric structures. The mutant pronephros was indistinguishable from wild type in its gross morphology, appearance in sections (Figs. 5C and F), and pax8 expression by WISH at stages 26 and 40 (Figs. 8A–D), and the adult renal organ, the mesonephros, was also morphologically wild type (Fig. 8). Kidney function appears to be normal in xdm homozygotes, as no localized edema is seen, and animals are capable of surviving for at least a month post-metamorphosis.

Discussion

The mechanisms governing limb formation in anurans have not been studied in depth. Here we show that in the X. tropicalis insertional mutation xdm, tadpoles fail to form forelimbs, and that
the phenotype maps to a disruption of the npnt gene. The defect can be phenocopied by morpholino knockdown of npnt, confirming a specific requirement for this gene in forelimb development. Expression of tbx5, the earliest known marker of forelimb-forming mesoderm in vertebrates, is ablated in this region in xdm embryos, although its expression in the heart is unaffected. Furthermore, all forelimb appendicular skeletal elements are absent, consistent with complete ablation of the entire forelimb program. In mouse, an equivalent loss of all forelimb elements is observed following the conditional limb knockout of Tbx5 (Rallis et al., 2003), while genetic deletion of Fgf10, the apparent direct downstream target of Tbx5, produces a slightly milder phenotype with some proximal limb rudiments being formed (Min et al., 1998; Sekine et al., 1999). Together, these data are consistent with npnt acting upstream of tbx5 in frog and implicate a previously unsuspected role for integrin signalling in forelimb initiation. Hindlimb development is entirely unaffected, indicating that the mechanisms that trigger initiation of the hindlimb and forelimbs are different in X. tropicalis. No other developmental defects have yet been detected in the mutant.

Npnt’s role in forelimb formation does not appear to be conserved in mammals, since forelimbs form apparently normally in the mouse knockout (Linton et al., 2007). The mouse mutant, however, shows ablation of the metanephros (a kidney component not present in amphibians), mirroring the kidney phenotype observed in deletions of the α8 integrin subunit of the npnt receptor (Muller et al., 1997). A role for nephrogenic mesoderm in forelimb outgrowth was originally suggested by extirpation studies in chick that disrupted wing formation (Geduspan and Solursh, 1992), and gained support with the demonstration that Fgf applied to the flank could induce ectopic limb bud outgrowth (Cohn et al., 1995) and that the developing mesonephros expresses Fgf8 (Crossley and Martin, 1995). This model was recently challenged by reports that forelimb initiation is normal in the absence of the mesonephros (Fernandez-Teran et al., 1997) and that conditional deletion of Fgf8 in mouse mesonephros has no effect on forelimb bud initiation (Perantoni et al., 2005). Pronephric abnormalities also coincide with loss or reduction of the forelimb in the direct-developing frog Eleutherodactylus coqui (Lee and Elinson, 2008). Both amphibian kidney components, the adult mesonephros and the larval pronephros, appear unaffected by the xdm mutation, and mutants are viable for at least a month after metamorphosis, consistent with wild type renal function. Intriguingly, the prospective forelimb is situated directly adjacent to the tadpole pronephros,
where at stage 39 a domain of npnt expression is observed, consistent with a role in signalling. At the stages immediately preceding forelimb development, expression of npnt becomes diffuse, but persists in the pronephros. Integrin receptors likewise continue to be expressed broadly.

The physiological functions of npnt remain poorly understood. It is thought to play a role in transmitting signals from the epithelium to the mesenchyme across the basement membrane through αβ integrin (Brandenberger et al., 2001), which it binds with ~100× higher affinity than other known ligands such as fibronectin and vitronectin (Sato et al., 2009). Npnt can regulate cell migration both in mammalian kidney development and in cancer metastasis (Kuphal et al., 2008; Linton et al., 2007). In zebrafish, pectoral fin precursors migrate in a Tbx5-dependent fashion from the lateral plate mesoderm to the fin-forming region (Ahn et al., 2002), and classical microscopy studies describe prospective amphibian hindlimb cells migrating from the coelomic wall to the overlying epidermis (Balinsky, 1931; Tscherneff, 1907). Xdm shows a remarkably specific phenotype in limb subtype initiation upstream of tbx5, the earliest known marker of the forelimb in vertebrates. While we have been unable to detect localized expression of either ligand or receptor, the population of cells contributing to the initial limb bud formation is likely to be very small and easily missed. Localized post-transcriptional regulation contributing to an instructive role in limb formation also remains a formal possibility. Our data are broadly consistent with npnt, possibly secreted by the pronephros, acting as a permissive factor mediating migration of forelimb progenitor cells between body wall and epidermis. Rescue experiments using the xdm mutant may help define the cell lineage of the prospective forelimb and the critical window for npnt function. It remains to be determined whether the requirement for npnt in Xenopus forelimb formation is a novel adaptation of the integrin signalling cascade in metamorphosis, or uncovers a conserved mechanism contributing to but not absolutely necessary for vertebrate limb development.

The diploid species X. tropicalis, with one of the smallest tetrapod genomes, can be used to combine genomic and genetic approaches with Xenopus' traditional strengths in embryology, gain-of-function assays, and more recently, transgenesis. Forward genetic screens in vertebrates have been hampered by time-consuming positional cloning, but insertional mutagenesis with known sequence constructs offers alternative mapping strategies (Amsterdam et al., 1999; Bronchain et al., 1999; Hamlet et al., 2006; Vergeau et al., 2007). A key question is the degree to which genetic analysis of this model will be redundant with ongoing studies of zebrafish. The novel role for integrin signalling in forelimb initiation demonstrated by xdm shows that at least for tetrapod-specific developmental processes such as limb and lung formation, genetic screens in X. tropicalis are likely to uncover additional important gene functions.

**Experimental procedures**

**Transgenesis**

Transgenesis was mediated by nuclear transfer (Amaya and Kroll, 1999; Kroll and Amaya, 1996) using modifications for X. tropicalis (Hirsch et al., 2002) to integrate a construct containing ~7.3 kb of X. laevis genomic DNA 5' to the transcriptional start of the Nkx2.5 (GenBank accession: GU573788) gene fused to GFP. Transgenic tadpoles were identified by cardiac fluorescence, grown to adulthood, and outcrossed to wild type; the xdm phenotype was observed in progeny of subsequent sibling crosses of one of the transgenic lines.

**Haploid embryos**

Haploid embryos were generated by in vitro fertilization of eggs from xdm carrier females with sperm suspensions irradiated in a Stratalinker at an energy setting of 50,000 μJ.

**Identification of disrupted locus**

Sequences flanking one end of the transgene insertion were obtained by LM-PCR with genomic DNA of homozygous xdm tadpoles using the
Whole mount in situ hybridization

Fixation and WISH were carried out as described previously (Sive et al., 2000) with modification of protease treatment to 15 mg/ml Proteinase K for 30’ at 37°C. WISH probes were obtained by subcloning RT-PCR products into the PCRII-TOPO vector using the TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen, K4600-40) and amplified by sequencing, except the itgb1 WISH probe transcribed from IMAGE clone 6995562. Primers used were:

- npnt
- F-CAATCCAGCAGTGCTTACA
- R-CATCCCCACGGAGCTGTTAT
- exons 1–2
- F-CGTCGGCCCTCTTTCTATC
- R-GGTCATTCTGAAGCACGAGA
- exons 4–8
- F-AAATGGGAGCAGCTGTGAGCC
- R-GATACTGGCCCGTGATACATT
- npnt 3’
- F-GACACATCGCCAAGTGAAGA
- R-GATACTGGCCCGTGATACATT

Statistical analysis

P values were calculated from 2 × 2 contingency tables using Fisher’s exact test. Supplementary materials related to this article can be found online at doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.10.015.
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