Drug Repurposing for the SARS-CoV-2 Papain-Like Protease
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As the pathogen of COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2 encodes two essential cysteine proteases that process the pathogen’s two large polypeptide products pp1a and pp1ab in the human cell host to form 15 functionally important, mature nonstructural proteins. One of the two enzymes is papain-like protease or PLpro. It possesses deubiquitination and delGlylation activities that suppress host innate immune responses toward SARS-CoV-2 infection. To repurpose drugs for PLpro, we experimentally screened libraries of 33 deubiquitinase and 37 cysteine protease inhibitors on their inhibition of PLpro. Our results showed that 15 deubiquitinase and 1 cysteine protease inhibitors exhibit strong inhibition of PLpro at 200 μM. More comprehensive characterizations revealed seven inhibitors GRL0617, SJBJ2-043, TCID, DUB-IN-1, DUB-IN-3, PR-619, and S130 with an IC50 value below 40 μM and four inhibitors GRL0617, SJBJ2-043, TCID, and PR-619 with an IC50 value below 10 μM. Among four inhibitors with an IC50 value below 10 μM, SJBJ2-043 is the most unique in that it does not fully inhibit PLpro but has a noteworthy IC50 value of 0.56 μM. SJBJ2-043 likely binds to an allosteric site of PLpro to convey its inhibition effect, which needs to be further investigated. As a pilot study, the current work indicates that COVID-19 drug repurposing by targeting PLpro holds promise, but in-depth analysis of repurposed drugs is necessary to avoid omitting critical allosteric inhibitors.

Introduction

The current prevailing COVID-19 pandemic has caused global health and economic consequences that are often compared to that of the 1918 influenza pandemic.[1] As of June 1st, 2021, the total number of confirmed global COVID-19 cases has exceeded 170 million, of which more than 3.5 million have succumbed to death (WHO data). Encouragingly, three COVID-19 vaccines developed by Pfizer/BioNtech, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson have been approved by FDA for human immunization in the United States. Although vaccines are promising in containing the pandemic, their availability does not diminish the urgent need for other effective antiviral drugs. Existing COVID-19 vaccines are targeting the membrane Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2, the pathogen of COVID-19. Spike is highly mutable.[1] New viral strains with critical mutations in Spike such as UK and South Africa SARS-CoV-2 strains have emerged. Effectiveness of vaccines against these new strains will be a concern.[1] Vaccines are also preventative making them not an option for the treatment of COVID-19 patients. For quick access to effective antivirals for COVID-19, repurposing of existing drugs has been broadly conducted. This effort has led to the identifications of a number of potential antivirals for SARS-CoV-2.[3] So far, remdesivir is the only antiviral that has been approved for the treatment of COVID-19. Remdesivir is a nucleoside analog that inhibits RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) of SARS-CoV-2. It shows high potency in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 in vitro but appears to be only modestly effective in treating COVID-19 patients.[2] In view of the ongoing pandemic in its colossal scale and apocalyptic impact, there is a dire need of more effective antivirals with novel mechanisms of action to save lives of COVID-19 patients.

As the pathogen of COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2 has a positive-sensed genomic RNA.[1] It encodes 10 open reading frames (ORFs). As the largest ORF, ORF1ab is translated to two large polypeptide products, pp1a (~500 kDa) and pp1ab (~800 kDa),[1] by the host protein translation system. The pp1ab polypeptide is formed due to a frameshift during protein translation. Both pp1a and pp1ab need to undergo proteolytic cleavage to form 15 mature proteins. These mature proteins are nonstructural proteins (nsps) essential for the virus in its reproduction and pathogenesis. The proteolytic cleavage of pp1a and pp1ab is an autocatalytic process. Two internal polypeptide regions, nsp3 and nsp5, possess cysteine protease activities that cleave themselves and all other nsps in pp1a and pp1ab. Nsp3 is commonly referred to as papain-like protease (PLpro) and nsp5 as main protease (Mpro).[1] Both PLpro and Mpro are essential to SARS-CoV-2. Of the two enzymes, PLpro recognizes the tetrapeptidyl LXGG motif juxtaposed between viral proteins nsp1 and nsp2, nsp3 and nsp6, and nsp3 and nsp4. Its cleavage after the LXGG motif leads to the release of nsps 1, 2, and 3 which are essential for host modulation and viral replication.[4] In addition, recent studies have shown that PLpro can proteolytically remove K48-crosslinked ubiquitin
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Results and Discussion

PL\textsuperscript{Pro} is a cysteine protease with a classical Cys-His-Asp catalytic triad (Cys111, His272 and Asp286). It is responsible for processing three cleavage sites in the viral polypeptides pp1a and pp1ab to release nsp1, nsp2, and nsp3. Besides this function, PL\textsuperscript{Pro} also has deubiquitinase and deISGylase activities to help SARS-CoV-2 evade host immune responses.\textsuperscript{[9,12]} Due to its both general protease and deubiquitinase nature, we screened existing deubiquitinase and cysteine protease inhibitors on their inhibition of PL\textsuperscript{Pro}.

To express PL\textsuperscript{Pro} for experimental characterization of existing deubiquitinase and cysteine protease inhibitors, we constructed a PL\textsuperscript{Pro} vector for expression in \textit{E. coli} (Figure 1A). In this construct, PL\textsuperscript{Pro} was fused to the C terminus of superfolder green fluorescent protein (sfGFP) that is known to stabilize a fused partner.\textsuperscript{[13]} A 6x His tag was added to the N terminus of sfGFP for affinity purification using Ni-NTA resins. A TEV cleavage site was inserted between sfGFP and PL\textsuperscript{Pro} for the proteolytic removal of PL\textsuperscript{Pro} from sfGFP by TEV protease. During the purification and treatment of the sfGFP-PL\textsuperscript{Pro} fusion, we noticed that the cleaved PL\textsuperscript{Pro} quickly aggregates. Therefore, for long term storage of PL\textsuperscript{Pro}, we have chosen to save and use sfGFP-PL\textsuperscript{Pro} instead of PL\textsuperscript{Pro} for all our assays. In order to test catalytic activities of PL\textsuperscript{Pro}, we synthesized a fluorogenic protein substrate Ub-AMC (AMC: 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin) using our recently developed activated cysteine-directed protein ligation technique and purchased a fluorogenic peptide substrate Z-LRGG-AMC (Figure 1B).\textsuperscript{[14]} The hydrolysis of Ub-AMC and Z-LRGG-AMC releases AMC whose strong blue fluorescence can be detected by a fluorometer or a fluorescent plate reader. To obtain an optimal assay condition for inhibitor characterizations, both Ub-AMC and Z-LRGG-AMC are titrated at 20 nM PL\textsuperscript{Pro} (Figure 1C and 1D). Based on the product formation

Figure 1. (A) The sfGFP-PL\textsuperscript{Pro} fusion protein whose expression in \textit{E. coli} has been tested. (B) Two PL\textsuperscript{Pro} substrates and their catalytic release of AMC. (C) The catalytic release of AMC at various concentrations of Ub-AMC by 20 nM PL\textsuperscript{Pro}. (D) The catalytic release of AMC at various concentrations of Z-LRGG-AMC by 20 nM PL\textsuperscript{Pro}. (E) The catalytic release of AMC at 50 μM LRGG-AMC by 20 nM PL\textsuperscript{Pro} in the presence of various concentrations of DMSO.
curves, we deemed that 5 μM Ub-AMC and 50 μM Z-LRGG-AMC provide easily detectable linear production formation within 1000 s and therefore used these two conditions in our inhibitor characterization assays. We have also characterized the influence of DMSO to the PLPro catalysis since most commercial small molecules are provided as 10 mM DMSO stocks. The stability of PLPro in the presence of DMSO restricts the highest drug concentration we can test. We titrated DMSO from 0.1% to 20% (Figure 1E). It showed that PLPro had slightly reduced activity at 2% DMSO and this inhibition trend by DMSO increases with the DMSO concentration. Considering that most of commercial small molecules are provided as 10 mM DMSO stocks, their concentrations will be 200 μM when they are diluted by 50-fold leading to 2% DMSO in the final assay conditions. At 2% DMSO, PLPro remains almost 100% active and is good for quantifying drug inhibition effects.

We initiated our search of PLPro inhibitors by testing 31 deubiquitinase inhibitors (Figure 2) and 37 cysteine protease inhibitors (Figure 3) that we purchased from MedChemExpress. These molecules were provided as 10 mM stocks in DMSO without further purification. Two additional deubiquitinase inhibitors C527 and VLX1570 were acquired from Cayman.

![Image of structures of 33 selected deubiquitinase inhibitors]

Figure 2. Structures of 33 selected deubiquitinase inhibitors.
Scientific (Figure 2). In an initial screening assay, all acquired small molecules were analyzed at a 200 μM final concentration. In this assay, an inhibitor (400 μM) was incubated with 40 nM PL \textsuperscript{Pro}, 4 mM DTT, and 4% DMSO in a reaction buffer containing 20 mM Tris and 300 mM NaCl at pH 7.5 for 30 min. 50 μL of this incubation solution was then mixed with an equal volume of a substrate solution containing 100 μM Z-LRGG-AMC in the reaction buffer to initiate the catalytic release of AMC. The AMC fluorescence (Ex380/Em440) was recorded in a plate reader and the linear slope within the first 10 min was calculated as the initial product formation rate. Among the deubiquitinase inhibitors at 200 μM, GRL0617 and TCID inhibited PL \textsuperscript{Pro} activity almost completely, PR-619 and ML364 had significant quenching effects leading to negative signals detected for the two compounds, and SJB2-043, P22077, LDN-57444, HBX19818, NSC632839, P005091, GSK2643943 A and SJB3-019 A inhibited PL \textsuperscript{Pro} partially but at significant levels (Figure 4A). In contrast, among 37 cysteine protease inhibitors, only S130 displayed strong inhibition of PL \textsuperscript{Pro} at the 200 μM level (Figure 4B and Table 2). The weak PL \textsuperscript{Pro} inhibition by most cysteine protease inhibitors is likely due to a much shallower active site in PL \textsuperscript{Pro} compared to other cysteine proteases.
For 16 small molecules that exhibited significant inhibition of PL$\text{Pro}^\text{in}^\text{a}$ at the 200 $\mu$M level (PR-619 and ML364 were selected as well), we further characterized their IC$_{50}$ values. For IC$_{50}$ characterization, AMC product formation rates at 20 nM PL$\text{Pro}^\text{in}^\text{a}$, 50 $\mu$M Z-LRGG-AMC and varied concentrations of a small molecule were measured in triplicates. Data of the AMC product formation rate vs the small molecule concentration were fitted nonlinearly to a sigmoidal inhibition equation to determine IC$_{50}$ values for all compounds in GraphPad Prism 9. All data are presented in Figure 5. For PR-619 and ML364, we adjusted the initial rate by subtracting autofluorescence and adding photobleaching from a provided inhibitor. After this adjustment, we noticed that ML364 did not show strong concentration-dependent inhibition of PL$\text{Pro}^\text{in}^\text{a}$ but PR-619 strongly inhibited PL$\text{Pro}^\text{in}^\text{a}$ at concentrations above 1 $\mu$M. Among all tested compounds, seven has a determined IC$_{50}$ value below 40 $\mu$M. These include SJB2-043 (0.56 ± 0.16 $\mu$M), GRL0617 (1.37 ± 0.10 $\mu$M), PR-619 (6.1 ± 1.2 $\mu$M), TCID (6.42 ± 0.32 $\mu$M), SJBJ3-019 A (8.15 ± 3.59 $\mu$M), DUB-IN-3 (12.5 ± 3.9 $\mu$M), and S130 (35.0 ± 1.4 $\mu$M); see Table 1. GRL0617, TCID, PR-619 and S130 fully inhibited PL$\text{Pro}^\text{in}^\text{a}$ at 200 $\mu$M. SJBJ2-043, SJBJ3-019a and DUB-IN-3 achieved only partial inhibition of PL$\text{Pro}^\text{in}^\text{a}$ at 200 $\mu$M. GRL0617 is a naphthalene-based compound that was previously shown as a non-covalent inhibitor of PL$\text{Pro}^\text{in}^\text{a}$ from SARS-CoV with no significant inhibition of host proteases.\footnote{15} PL$\text{Pro}^\text{in}^\text{a}$ proteins from SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 share 83 % sequence identity and have a very high level of sequence and structural similarity in their substrate binding pockets.\footnote{9b,11e, 16} Recent studies have showed that RL0617 can effectively inhibit PL$\text{Pro}^\text{in}^\text{a}$ of SARS-CoV-2.\footnote{9a,16a, 17} In line with these recent studies, our results show that GRL0617 is effective at inhibiting PL$\text{Pro}^\text{in}^\text{a}$ from SARS-CoV-2 (IC$_{50}$: 1.4 $\mu$M). Given its strong potency and relatively small size, structure-activity relationship studies of GRL0617 will likely lead to more potent PL$\text{Pro}^\text{in}^\text{a}$ inhibitors with high antiviral activities. TCID is an inhibitor for ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase L3 with a reported IC$_{50}$ of 0.6 $\mu$M.\footnote{18} TCID has two ketones that are conjugated to a highly electron withdrawing tetrachlorobenzene. Both ketones are highly prone to hydration and reaction with nucleophilic
cysteine residue in PLpro. It is likely that it interacts with PLpro covalently. A structural investigation of its complex with PLpro will serve as a starting point for the development of more potent inhibitors. PR619 is a reversible and cell-permeable inhibitor that broadly inhibits deubiquitinases. The propensity of exchanging the cyano group with a protein thiolate makes PR619 inactivates PLpro in a reversible manner. A structural investigation of its complex with PLpro will reveal novel targeting sites for the development of PLpro inhibitors. SJ2-043 has the lowest IC50 value among all tested small molecules, indicating very strong binding to PLpro. A potential application of this strong binding is to use it to develop aproteasome targeting chimera for PLpro. This is a direction that we are actively exploring. DUB-IN-3 is a USP8 inhibitor that has two nitrile groups conjugated to a diazine. The electron deficient nature of the diazine makes the two nitriles reactive toward nucleophilic residues in PLpro. It is possible that one of these two nitriles will hit on the catalytic cysteine in PLpro to form a reversible covalent complex. The low Hill coefficient of the DUB-IN-3 inhibition curve also indicates a complicated inhibition mechanism.

For six deubiquitinase inhibitors that showed high potency in inhibiting PLpro-catalyzed AMC release from Z-LRGG-AMC, we have also characterized their inhibition of PLpro in its hydrolysis of Ub-AMC. Ub-AMC is much larger than Z-LRGG-AMC and involves a much bigger interface than Z-LRGG-AMC to interact with PLpro. For inhibitors that do not directly target the active site, they may display different inhibition characteristics when Ub-AMC instead of Z-LRGG-AMC is used as a substrate. We tested all six inhibitors in the presence of 20 mM PLpro and 5 μM

| Name       | IC50 (μM)[a] | IC50 (μM)[b] |
|------------|-------------|-------------|
| FT671      | n.d.        |             |
| GNE-6776   | n.d.        |             |
| GRL0817    | 1.37 ± 0.10  | 1.80 ± 0.21 |
| SJB2-043   | 0.56 ± 0.16  | 0.091 ± 0.024 |
| PZ2077     | > 100       |             |
| FT827      | n.d.        |             |
| LDN-57444  | > 100       |             |
| HBX19818   | > 100       |             |
| TCID       | 6.42 ± 0.32  | 10.5 ± 2.7  |
| IU1-47     | n.d.        |             |
| NSC63283   | > 100       |             |
| MF-094     | n.d.        |             |
| USP25/28 inhibitor AZ1 | n.d. |             |
| 6-Thio guanine | n.d. |             |
| PG05091    | > 100       |             |
| USP7/USP47 inhibitor | n.d. |             |
| DUB-IN-1   | > 100       |             |
| GS2643943 A | > 100    |             |
| DUB-IN-2   | > 100       |             |
| SJB3-019 A | 8.15 ± 3.59 | n.d.        |
| DUB-IN-3   | 12.5 ± 3.9  | > 10        |
| USP7-IN-1  | n.d.        |             |
| EOAI3402143| n.d.        |             |
| IU1        | n.d.        |             |
| BAY 11–7082| n.d.        |             |
| PR-619     | 6.1 ± 1.2   | 12.9 ± 2.4  |
| ML-323     | n.d.        |             |
| ML364      | n.d.        |             |
| GNE-6640   | n.d.        |             |
| Degrazin   | n.d.        |             |
| b-AP15     | n.d.        |             |
| VLX1570    | > 100       |             |
| CS27       | n.d.        |             |

[a] Determined using Z-LRGG-AMC as a PLpro substrate (n.d.: not determined). [b] Determined using Ub-AMC as a PLpro substrate.

### Table 2. IC50 values of identified cysteine protease inhibitors against PLpro

| Name                              | IC50 (μM)[a] |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| NSC18058                          | n.d.         |
| Leupeptin                         | n.d.         |
| HY-17541 A                        | n.d.         |
| HY-17541                          | n.d.         |
| PMSF                              | n.d.         |
| EP1013                            | n.d.         |
| S130                              | 35.0 ± 1.4   |
| Petesicatib                       | n.d.         |
| Tauroursodeoxycholate              | n.d.         |
| Emricasan                         | n.d.         |
| N-Ethylmaleimide                   | n.d.         |
| CA-074                            | n.d.         |
| CA-074 methyl ester               | n.d.         |
| Z-VAD(DMt)-FMK                     | n.d.         |
| Aloxistatin                        | n.d.         |
| Q-VDO-Phe                         | n.d.         |
| H-Arg-4-MJNA                      | n.d.         |
| LY 300032B                        | n.d.         |
| BOC-D-FMK                         | n.d.         |
| Z-DEVD-FMK                        | n.d.         |
| E-64                              | n.d.         |
| Balicatib                         | n.d.         |
| Odanacatib                        | n.d.         |
| Belnacasan                        | n.d.         |
| Calpetin                          | n.d.         |
| E-64c                             | n.d.         |
| 3-Episulfonic Acid                | n.d.         |
| MDL-28170                         | n.d.         |
| Chelidonic acid                   | n.d.         |
| MG-101                            | n.d.         |
| Z-VAD-FMK                         | n.d.         |
| OT-82                             | n.d.         |
| Ikarioside A                      | n.d.         |
| Wedelolactone                     | n.d.         |
| Phenoxodiol                       | n.d.         |
| Z-Cyanopyrimidine                 | n.d.         |
| Z-WEHD-FMK                        | n.d.         |

[a] n.d.: not determined.
Ub-AMC (Figure 6). When 5 μM Ub-AMC was used as a substrate, IC₅₀ values of GRL0617, TCID and PR619 were determined as 1.80 ± 0.21, 10.5 ± 2.7 and 12.9 ± 2.4 μM, respectively. These IC₅₀ values are higher than but comparable to IC₅₀ values determined when 50 μM Z-LRGG-AMC was used as a substrate, indicating that all three inhibitors are likely involved in a similar mechanism in inhibiting PLₚᵣₒ. GRL0617 is known to bind the PLₚᵣₒ active site. It is highly likely that TCID and PR619 bind to the PLₚᵣₒ active site as well. When Ub-AMC was used as a substrate, SJB2-043 had a determined IC₅₀ value of 0.091 ± 0.024 μM. This IC₅₀ value is much lower than the determined IC₅₀ value when Z-LRGG-AMC was used as a substrate. Similar to the observed pattern when Z-LRGG-AMC was used as a substrate, SJB2-043 did not inhibit PLPro completely. It is obvious that SJB2-043 behaves very differently from GRL0617, TCID and PR619. It binds likely to an allosteric site of PLₚᵣₒ. This allosteric binding influences apparently the catalytic hydrolysis of Ub-AMC more than Z-LRGG-AMC. This observation is possibly attributed to the much larger binding interface of Ub-AMC that responds more sensitively to the PLₚᵣₒ structural perturbation. When Ub-AMC was used as a substrate, SBJ3-019a displayed an inhibition curve that is more complicated than that from SBJ2-043. It showed two inhibition stages with the first leading to partial inhibition and the second continuously inhibiting PLₚᵣₒ without reaching its inhibition plateau at the highest concentration we tested. Since it could not be fitted to a simple inhibition mechanism, we did not calculate its IC₅₀ value. When Ub-AMC was used as a substrate, DUB-IN-3 displayed a simple inhibition curve that did not reach its plateau at the highest inhibitor concentration. Its estimated IC₅₀ value was above 10 μM and similar to the IC₅₀ value determined when Z-LRGG-AMC was used as a substrate. This similarity indicates that DUB-IN-3 likely binds to the PLₚᵣₒ active site to achieve its inhibition of PLₚᵣₒ.

To understand how some inhibitors interact with PLₚᵣₒ, we conducted molecular docking using AutoDock Vina. As a starting point for docking, we used the crystal structure of PLₚᵣₒ bound with GRL0617 (PDB ID: 7CMD). GRL0617 and water molecules were removed from the active site to prepare PLₚᵣₒ for the docking analysis. To simplify our analysis, we limited docking around the active site. A binding pocket was defined based on the known residues of the S3/S4 binding pocket site of PLₚᵣₒ. 3D Conformers of selected compounds were generated using OpenBabel. We validated the docking protocol by conducting re-docking of GRL0617. Our docking results suggested a very similar binding mode of GRL0617 with PLₚᵣₒ as shown in the co-crystal structure (Figure 7A). GRL0617 formed two hydrogen bonds between its amides and PLₚᵣₒ residues.

Figure 5. IC₅₀ characterization for 16 small molecules on their inhibition of PLₚᵣₒ using 50 μM LRGG-AMC as a substrate. Experiments at different conditions were performed in triplicates.
Asp164 and Tyr268 and one hydrogen bond between its oxygen atom and Gln269. In addition, the naphthalene group of GRL0617 forms hydrophobic interactions with aromatic residues Tyr264 and Tyr268. Other inhibitors ML364, LDN-57444, TCID and DUB-IN-3 were also docked against PL\textsuperscript{Pro}. The protein-ligand interactions and their detailed binding modes were illustrated in Figure 7. In the docked model, ML364 forms a hydrogen bond with Asp164 and three hydrogen bonds with Arg166 (Figure 7B). LDN-57444, TCID, and DUB-IN-3 make hydrogen bonds with Tyr268, Tyr264, and Arg166, respectively (Figure 7C–E). There is no strong correlation between calculated binding energies and determined IC\textsubscript{50} values. This discrepancy may be explained by limited factors involved in the calculation that would lead to missing some of the potentially important interactions. Other contributing factors include potential covalent interactions with the enzyme. Further crystallographic investigation will provide critical insights on how these molecules inhibit PL\textsuperscript{Pro}.

**Conclusion**

Since 2003, there have been three coronavirus disease outbreaks. Many researchers have predicted that additional coronavirus diseases will emerge with higher frequency. For both combating the current pandemic and preparing to contain future coronavirus disease outbreaks, it is imperative to develop small molecule antivirals that may be applied generally to inhibit coronaviruses. Due to the highly conserved sequences among coronaviruses, PL\textsuperscript{Pro} is an attractive drug target for developing broad-spectrum antivirals. In this study, we experimentally characterized 33 deubiquitinase inhibitors and 37 cysteine protease inhibitors on their inhibition of PL\textsuperscript{Pro} from SARS-CoV-2. From this study, we identified seven molecules that potently inhibit PL\textsuperscript{Pro} with an IC\textsubscript{50} value below 40 μM when Z-LRGG-AMC was used a substrate. Five inhibitors GRL0617, SJB2-043, TCID, SJB3-019 A, and PR-619 have an IC\textsubscript{50} value below 10 μM. Interestingly, SJB2-043 only partially inhibits PL\textsuperscript{Pro} but has an outstanding IC\textsubscript{50} value of 0.56 μM. When Ub-AMC was used as a substrate, an even lower IC\textsubscript{50} value of 0.091 μM was determined. SJB2-043 binds likely to an allosteric site of PL\textsuperscript{Pro} to exert its inhibition effect. As a pilot study, the current work indicates that drug repurposing for COVID-19 by targeting PL\textsuperscript{Pro} holds promises but in-depth investigation of these inhibitors in their mechanisms of action is necessary for the development of more potent PL\textsuperscript{Pro} inhibitors based on them.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals**

The deubiquitinase inhibitor library and cysteine protease library were purchased from MedChemExpress. Two other deubiquitinase inhibitors, CS27 and VLX1579, were purchased from Cayman Chemicals. The PL\textsuperscript{Pro} substrate, Z-LRGG-AMC, was purchased from Cayman Chemicals.

**In-silico molecular docking study**

**Protein preparation:** The crystal structure of the SARS-CoV-2 PL\textsuperscript{Pro} in complex with inhibitor GRL0617 (PDB ID : 7CMD) was obtained from the RCSB Protein Data Bank (https://www.rcsb.org/). Only chain A of the homo-tetrameric structure was used in our docking study. The cognate ligand was
removed from the structure that was applied in the following redocking test. In AutoDockTools-1.5.7, water molecules were deleted and polar hydrogens were added to the structure. Finally, the prepared protein structure was converted into a PDBQT file for further docking studies.

**Ligand preparation:** Compounds in SDF format were converted into PDB files using OpenBabel-3.1.1. These PDB files were further converted into PDBQT files via AutoDockTools-1.5.7 with default options.

**Docking parameters and method:** In addition to receptor and ligand preparations, AutoDockTools-1.5.7 was also used for grid parameter setting. The cognate ligand of crystal structure 7CMD suggested the inhibitor binding site. A grid box with dimensions 30 × 30 × 30 centered at the coordinates X = -30.0, Y = -12.0, and Z = -30.0 was used to represent the search space. Then we applied AutoDock Vina docking protocol with options of 20 CPUs to use and maximum 100 binding modes to generate. Only modes with the highest binding affinities were shown in this paper.
Docking validation: We redocked the cognate ligand GRL0617 into its cognate receptor in order to verify the correctness of our docking method and parameters. The top row of Figure 7A showed the docked conformation in magenta and the crystal conformation in grey. The superposition of two conformations demonstrated the feasibility of our molecular docking method.

Expression and purification of PL<sup>pro</sup>

PL<sup>pro</sup> gene fragment was purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT), amplified with the primer pair that has XbaI and XhoI cleavage sites. The amplified gene was inserted into pBAD-sfGFP vector. In the final construct (pBAD-sfGFP--PL<sup>pro</sup>), a hexahistidine tag is located at the N-terminus of sfGFP which is followed by PL<sup>pro</sup>. Chemically competent Top10 E. coli cells were transformed with the pBAD-sfGFP--PL<sup>pro</sup> plasmid. Then a single colony was picked, inoculated into 10 mL of 2YT with ampicillin and grew overnight at 37 °C. The overnight culture was inoculated to 1 L of 2YT with 10-fold dilution and grown until OD 600 (optical density at 600 nm) reached 0.6. Then, the medium was cooled down to 20 °C and induced with 0.2 % L-arabinose for 24 h at 20 °C. The cells were collected by centrifuging at 6000 rpm for 30 mins and resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, 250 mM NaCl, 5 % glycerol, 0.2 % TritonX-100 and 1 mg/mL lysozyme at pH 7.8). Collected cells were lysed by sonication at 60% amplitude with 1 sec on 4 sec off for a total of 5 min. The cell debris was removed by centrifuging at 16000 rpm for 30 min and the supernatant was loaded onto 3 mL of Ni-NTA resin (Genscript). A gravity flow Ni-NTA resins to perform a gravity flow chromatography. The resin was washed with wash buffer (50 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 500 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, 1 mM TCEP at pH 7.8). Then the target protein was eluted with elution buffer (washing buffer substituting 20 mM imidazole with 300 mM imidazole). The elution was desalted to 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (ABC) buffer using a HiPrep Desalting column and lyophilized into 100 nmol per aliquot for further use.

Synthesis of Ub-AMC

Ub-AMC was synthesized using the Activated Cysteine-directed Protein Ligation (ACPL) technique described before. 100 nmol of lyophilized Ub-G76 C-6H was dissolved in 194.5 μL of 50 mM borate buffer (pH 9) supplied with 0.5 μL of 500 mM TCEP stock solution. It was then mixed with 300 μL of 40 mM Gly-AMC solution in DMSO to achieve a reaction mixture containing 24 mM Gly-AMC, 20 mM borate and 60 % DMSO. The ACPL reaction was initiated by adding 5 μL of 500 mM NTCB stock solution in DMSO and the pH value was carefully adjusted to 9 using 6 M NaOH solution. The reaction was performed at 37 °C for 16 h and terminated by desalting to 50 mM ABC buffer using a HiTrap Desalting column. The desalted sample was further purified using Ni-NTA purification by collecting the flow-through. The purified Ub-AMC was analyzed by Orbitrap ESI-MS, then flash frozen and stored in –80 °C freezer.

Screening assay

To have a preliminary test of inhibition ability of each drug, a screening assay was performed. 50 μL reaction solutions with 40 nM of PL<sup>pro</sup> and 400 μM of each drug (deubiquitinase inhibitors and cysteine protease inhibitors) were preincubated in PL<sup>pro</sup> assay buffer (20 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, pH 7.5) with 4 μM DTT in 37 °C for 30 min. It was then mixed with 50 μL solutions of 100 μM of Z-LRGG-AMC. The final assay solution had 200 μM of each compound, 20 nM PL<sup>pro</sup>, 2 mM DTT and 50 μM Z-LRGG-AMC. The fluorescence of AMC that was generated as a result of PL<sup>pro</sup> enzymatic activity was recorded in a plate reader using 380 nm as the excitation wavelength and 440 nm as the emission wavelength. The initial slope of the fluorescence-vs-time graph for each drug was analyzed by calculating the slope of the 0–10 min curve. The calculation was done by using linear regression analysis in GraphPad 9.0.

Inhibition analysis

The inhibition assay was performed in the same way as the screening assay except a series of inhibition concentrations were used. All the reactions were performed in triplicates. Reaction rates that were normalized against the rate in the centrifugation (10000 rpm, 30 min, 4 °C) and the supernatant was neutralized to pH 7.8 using 6 M NaOH solution. Subsequently, the neutralized supernatant was loaded onto Ni-NTA resins to perform a gravity flow chromatography. The resin was washed with wash buffer (50 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 500 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, 1 mM TCEP at pH 7.8). Then the target protein was eluted with elution buffer (washing buffer substituting 20 mM imidazole with 300 mM imidazole). The elution was desalted to 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (ABC) buffer using a HiPrep Desalting column and lyophilized into 100 nmol per aliquot for further use.

Expression and purification of Ub-G76 C-6H

The Ub-G76 C-6H was expressed according to the protocol described before. 14 Briefly, an overnight starting culture was generated as a result of PL<sup>pro</sup> gene fragment was purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT), amplified with the primer pair that has XbaI and XhoI cleavage sites. The amplified gene was inserted into pBAD-sfGFP vector. In the final construct (pBAD-sfGFP--PL<sup>pro</sup>), a hexahistidine tag is located at the N-terminus of sfGFP which is followed by PL<sup>pro</sup>. Chemically competent Top10 E. coli cells were transformed with the pBAD-sfGFP--PL<sup>pro</sup> plasmid. Then a single colony was picked, inoculated into 10 mL of 2YT with ampicillin and grew overnight at 37 °C. The overnight culture was inoculated to 1 L of 2YT with 10-fold dilution and grown until OD 600 (optical density at 600 nm) reached 0.6. Then, the medium was cooled down to 20 °C and induced with 0.2 % L-arabinose for 24 h at 20 °C. The cells were collected by centrifuging at 6000 rpm for 30 mins and resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, 250 mM NaCl, 5 % glycerol, 0.2 % TritonX-100 and 1 mg/mL lysozyme at pH 7.8). Collected cells were lysed by sonication at 60% amplitude with 1 sec on 4 sec off for a total of 5 min. The cell debris was removed by centrifuging at 16000 rpm for 30 min and the supernatant was loaded onto 3 mL of Ni-NTA resin (Genscript). A gravity flow Ni-NTA chromatography was performed. The Ni-NTA resin was washed with 10 times resin bed volume of wash buffer (20 mM Tris, 250 mM NaCl and 10 mM imidazole at pH 7.8) and eluted with 20 mM elution buffer (20 mM Tris, 30 mM NaCl and 300 mM imidazole at pH 7.8). The buffer was changed to 20 mM Tris and 30 mM NaCl with 20% glycerol by using HiPrep 26/10 desalting column. Finally, the protein was aliquoted, flash frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored in –80 °C freezer.
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absence of an inhibitor were used to determine IC_{50} values using GraphPad 9.0.
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