Impact of coinfection status and comorbidity on disease severity in adult emergency department patients with influenza B
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Abstract

Background: Influenza B accounts for approximately one fourth of the seasonal influenza burden. However, research on the importance of influenza B has received less attention compared to influenza A. We sought to describe the association of both coinfections and comorbidities with disease severity among adults presenting to emergency departments (ED) with influenza B.

Methods: Nasopharyngeal samples from patients found to be influenza B positive in four US and three Taiwanese ED over four consecutive influenza seasons (2014–2018) were tested for coinfections with the ePlex RP RUO panel. Multivariable logistic regressions were fitted to model adjusted odds ratios (aOR) for two severity outcomes separately: hospitalization and pneumonia diagnosis. Adjusting for demographic factors, underlying health conditions, and the National Early Warning Score (NEWS), we estimated the association of upper respiratory coinfections and comorbidity with disease severity among adults presenting to emergency departments (ED) with influenza B.

Results: Amongst all influenza B positive individuals (n = 446), presence of another upper respiratory pathogen was associated with an increased likelihood of hospitalization (aOR = 2.99 [95% confidence interval (95% CI): 1.14–7.85, p = 0.026]) and...
pneumonia (aOR = 2.27 [95% CI: 1.25–4.09, \( p = 0.007 \)). Chronic lung diseases (CLD) were the strongest predictor for hospitalization (aOR = 3.43 [95% CI: 2.98–3.95, \( p < 0.001 \)), but not for pneumonia (aOR = 1.73 [95% CI: 0.80–3.78, \( p = 0.166 \)).

**Conclusion:** Amongst ED patients infected with influenza B, the presence of other upper respiratory pathogens was independently associated with both hospitalization and pneumonia; presence of CLD was also associated with hospitalization. These findings may be informative for ED clinician’s in managing patients infected with influenza B.
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1 | **INTRODUCTION**

Annually, seasonal influenza epidemics result in an estimated 290 000 to 650 000 deaths globally\(^1\) and account for between 9 and 45 million cases of illnesses annually in the United States alone.\(^2\) Seasonal epidemics are caused by two types of influenza viruses: influenza A and B.\(^3\) Historically, research has largely focused on influenza A due to its genetic variability, seasonal dominance, and pandemic potential.\(^4\)–\(^7\)

Influenza B viruses primarily infect humans without continuous circulation in animal reservoirs and undergo slower genetic variability over time.\(^8\) Thus, their pandemic potential is perceived to be less than influenza A.\(^7\) However, influenza B viruses have the potential to predominate seasonal circulation as demonstrated during the 2019–2020 season\(^2\) and have been shown to be associated with severe disease, in both pediatric and young adult populations.\(^5\)–\(^9\),\(^10\)

The presence of comorbidities, including cardiovascular diseases and chronic lung diseases, represent known risk factors for severe influenza complications,\(^2\) but these risk factors have primarily been derived from studies of patients with influenza A.\(^7\)–\(^11\)–\(^12\) In addition, the presence of coinfections with bacterial and/or viral pathogens has been found to be associated with increased disease severity, from studies of individuals with influenza A.\(^14\)–\(^16\) Systematic epidemiologic analyses regarding the association of comorbidity and coinfections in those infected influenza B have been relatively limited.\(^5\)–\(^7\),\(^17\)

In this investigation, we retrospectively evaluated a large biorepository of nasopharyngeal specimens (NPs) taken from ED patients found to be influenza B positive. Specimens and corresponding clinical data were collected from four US emergency departments (ED) and three Taiwanese ED over four consecutive seasons, 2014–2018. The NPs were tested for the presence of coinfections, and the clinical and laboratory data were evaluated to determine potential associations of other upper respiratory pathogens and comorbidities with disease severity in those with influenza B.

2 | **METHODS**

2.1 | Ethical clearance and approval by institutional review boards

The studies were reviewed and approved by all participating institutions’ Institutional Review Boards (IRB). Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine IRB approved protocols: IRB00135664, IRB00041233, IRB00141101, IRB00052743, and IRB00091667.

2.2 | Setting, study participants, and data collection

NPs and clinical data for this analysis were collected from patients who presented to the EDs of four US hospitals and three hospitals in Taiwan over four consecutive influenza seasons from 2014–2018. All subjects were part of two federally funded parent studies in which ED patients with suspected influenza were prospectively enrolled. US sites included The Johns Hopkins Hospital (JHH) in Baltimore, MD; Maricopa Medical Center in Phoenix, AZ; Olive View-UCLA Medical Center in Sylmar, CA; Truman Medical Center in Kansas City, MO); the Taiwan sites were the Chang Gung Memorial Hospitals (CGMH) in Taipei, Keelung, and Linkou, Taiwan.

Patients presenting to the study EDs with symptoms indicative of a respiratory infection were tested for influenza and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) using the Cepheid GeneXpert Flu/RSV assay (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA) in the associated hospital clinical laboratory. Study design and methods for testing patients in the four US sites were previously published\(^19\); all subjects from Taiwan were enrolled and tested by dedicated study coordinators who approached patients with confirmed and/or influenza-like illnesses with a protocol modified slightly from the one used in US sites. For all subjects who tested positive for influenza, a structured data collection form was completed by trained research coordinators using information from the electronic health records (EHR).
Data gathered included demographic characteristics, clinical information (including comorbidities), and clinical outcomes (including oxygen supplementation, disposition, length of hospital stay, and pneumonia diagnosis, as determined by the attending physician through radiological interpretation). Each patient was assigned a de-identified number. All de-identified data were entered into a secure Research Electronic Data Capture database, and the dataset underwent rigorous quality control measures to ensure accuracy.

2.3 Identification and classification of coinfections

The influenza B positive NPs from the enrollment visit were retrospectively analyzed for coinfections with other respiratory pathogens using the Genmark ePlex RP RUO cartridge (Genmark Diagnostics, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Pathogens that are detectable by this assay include adenovirus; coronaviruses HKU1, NL63, OC43, 229E, MERS; human metapneumovirus; influenza A, A/H1N1, A/H1N1pdm 2009, A/H3N2; influenza B; parainfluenza 1-4; rhinovirus/enterovirus; RSV A/B; Bordetella pertussis, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumophila, Mycoplasma pneumoniae. Coinfections were aggregated into a binary indicator to reduce statistical imprecision associated with a small number of observations for individual pathogens (Figure 1).

2.4 Data analysis

Assessment of coinfections with 17 common viral and four atypical bacterial respiratory pathogens represented the primary explanatory variable for severe influenza B disease in our analyses. All models were adjusted for demographic factors and underlying health conditions according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) classification of individuals at increased risk of influenza complications. To control for clinical risk upon presentation to the ED, we adjusted for the National Early Warning Score for acutely ill patients (NEWS) as a validated risk predictor for respiratory infections. Classifications based on the NEWS range between 0 and greater 7. Hospitalization and pneumonia diagnosis were used here as our outcome measure for disease severity; each has previously been reported as measure of disease severity, and both were systematically collected across all years and all study sites. Disposition and pneumonia diagnosis were dichotomized (admitted v. discharged patients and pneumonia v. no pneumonia diagnosis as determined by radiological findings).

2.5 Univariate statistics and bivariate analyses

Characteristics of the study population were assessed using summary statistics, while distributions were inspected via Q-Q-plots and Shapiro–Wilk test for normality. Categorical variables and outcomes by coinfection status were evaluated using Pearson’s Chi-square and
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**FIGURE 1** Number of enrolled cases per month for influenza B infections (n = 425) and influenza B coinfections with other respiratory pathogens (n = 21) across influenza seasons from 2014–15 to 2017–18 (n = 446); combined data from all seven sites. Connected black dots represent influenza B infections. Colored dots represent coinfections by respiratory pathogen type. RSV, respiratory syncytial virus.
Fisher exact statistics. Differences in continuous variables and ordinal scores were evaluated via Wilcoxon rank-sum tests and unpaired t tests for unequal variance. Unadjusted, bivariate associations between the two severity outcomes and individual predictors were explored by computing correlation coefficients, scatterplots, and simple logistic regressions.

### 2.6 Multivariable logistic regression models

All baseline models were adjusted for age, gender, race, and ethnicity. The covariate for age was centered at the average of 43.2 years for better interpretability of adjusted estimates. The indicators for race and ethnicity were coded with White and non-Hispanic/non-Latino participants constituting the reference group, respectively. Separate multivariable logistic regressions for each of the two severity outcomes were built by iteratively including predictor variables and assessing model fit improvement via likelihood ratio test (LRT) statistics. These manually fitted models were validated by using computational algorithms for forward and backward selection based on Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) values as well as best subset variable selection algorithms.25 Models for hospitalization were fitted using linear indicators for NEWS and underlying health conditions. For the pneumonia models, binary indicators with cut-offs at NEWS = 5 and one underlying health condition were selected based on superior model performance and previous literature.26 To adjust for heterogeneity across study sites, robust variance estimates based on Huber28 and White29 were used.

Interactions between coinfection status and other severity predictors were inspected by testing interaction terms and assessing changes in model fit via LRT statistics. Adjusted probabilities for the two severity outcomes by coinfection status were predicted from the fitted main models, holding other predictors constant at their mean.

### 2.7 Comorbidity analysis

A secondary analysis on the impact of individual comorbidities on disease severity was conducted. Respiratory conditions, including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, cystic fibrosis, tuberculosis, and emphysema, were combined into one predictor as chronic lung diseases (CLD). Adjusted probabilities were estimated analogously to the primary models from the fitted regression models.

### 2.8 Model validation

Goodness of model fit was assessed using Hosmer-Lemeshow test statistics,30 and collinearity of predictors was inspected through variance inflation factors (VIF). As test statistics for both models were non-significant (p > 0.05) and VIF consistently ranked below VIF = 5, adequate fit for the estimated models can be assumed. Validation of model predictions was performed through k-fold cross-validation techniques by iteratively leaving out one observation.

All statistical analyses were performed in Stata 15.1 (StataCorp LLC), and figures were refined using Prism9 (GraphPad software).

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Summary of the study population

A summary of the study population characteristics, comorbidities, and major disease severity outcomes is displayed in Table 1. A total of 446 ED patients with influenza B virus infection were identified across all sites and seasons. The majority (53.1% [237/446]) of influenza B cases were from JHH followed by CGMH, which had 17.5% (78/446) of cases. Across the four seasons, most influenza B cases were identified during the 2014–15 (34.8% [155/446]) and 2016–17 (31.8% [142/446]) seasons. The relative frequency of coinfections across all seasons was 47 per 1000 (21/446) influenza B patients. The most frequent coinfections observed were rhinovirus/enterovirus (42.9% [9/21]), parainfluenza virus (14.3% [3/21]), and adenovirus (9.5% [2/21]), but there was no obvious temporal pattern of coinfections observed across seasons (Figure 1).

The mean age of influenza B cases was 43.2 (SD = 16.0) years and females represented 57.6% (257/446) of the patient population. The gender distribution was similar among mono- and coinfections. Half of the study population (50.0% [223/446]) were Black, followed by White (26.9% [120/446]) and Asian (18.4% [82/446]). Most Asian participants were recruited at CGMH (95.1% [78/82]), Hispanic or Latin ethnicities constituted one fifth of participants (20.6% [92/446]). Patients had a median of 0 (interquartile range [IQR]: 0–1) underlying health conditions. The most prevalent comorbidities were chronic lung diseases (CLD), present in 27.8% (124/446) of cases, followed by cardiovascular diseases, which were present in 14.3% (64/446) of cases.

Table 1 also summarizes disease severity characteristics. The median NEWS was 2 (IQR: 1–3), indicating low clinical risk upon triage. Of those enrolled, 20.9% (93/446) were admitted to a hospital. The relative frequency of pneumonia was 92 per 1000 (41/446) patients, and 10.3% (46/446) patients required oxygen supplementation. Two of the admitted patients died (2.2% [2/93]), demonstrating an overall mortality rate of 4.5 per 1000 (2/446) patients.

None of the participants’ demographic and health characteristics indicated statistically significant differences when comparing those individuals with coinfections to those with influenza B infections alone (Table 1). The NEWS and underlying health conditions showed the strongest unadjusted associations with the two severity outcomes: hospitalization and pneumonia.

#### 3.2 Models for hospitalization

Adjusted models for estimating the odds of clinical outcomes by severity predictors are shown in Table 2. Presence of coinfections...
demonstrated the strongest effect size for hospitalization (OR = 2.99 [1.14–7.85], \( p = 0.026 \)) independent of other predictors in the fitted multivariable model. The odds of hospitalization increased by 49% (OR = 1.49 [1.37–1.62], \( p < 0.001 \)) per one-unit increase in the NEWS in the main adjusted model. Heterogeneity across race was high with Asian participants showing an 85% decrease in odds of hospitalization compared to White participants (OR = 0.15 [0.07–0.32]; \( p < 0.001 \)).

The adjusted probability for hospitalization among influenza B patients with coinfections (\( P = 0.29 \) [95% CI: 0.14–0.51]) was more than twice as high as those with influenza B monoinfections (\( P = 0.12 \) [0.09–0.16], Figure 2A).

### 3.3 Models for pneumonia diagnosis

Having a coinfection was statistically significantly associated with pneumonia (\( p = 0.007 \)), increasing the odds of being diagnosed with pneumonia by more than two-fold (OR = 2.27 [1.25–4.09]), adjusted for other predictors. An increased NEWS score (NEWS ≥ 5) resulted in a more than six-fold increased odds (OR = 6.10 [3.60–10.32], \( p < 0.001 \)), adjusted for other severity predictors. In contrast to the trends observed for hospitalizations, Asian race was strongly associated with increased odds of being diagnosed with pneumonia (OR = 3.22 [2.59–3.99], \( p < 0.001 \)), while the odds of pneumonia
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### TABLE 1 Summary of the study population characteristics, comorbidities, and clinical severity outcomes (\( n = 446 \))

|                                | Total (\( n = 446 \)) | Influenza B (\( n = 425 \)) | Influenza B + coinfection (\( n = 21 \)) | \( P \) value* |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------|
| **Study site**                 |                        |                             |                                          |               |
| Johns Hopkins Hospital—no. (%) | 237 (53.0)             | 230 (54.0)                  | 7 (33.0)                                 | 0.07          |
| Chang Gung Memorial Hospitals (Taiwan)—no. (%) | 78 (17.0)             | 71 (17.0)                   | 7 (33.0)                                 |               |
| Maricopa Medical Center—no. (%) | 48 (11.0)             | 47 (11.0)                   | 1 (5.0)                                  |               |
| Olive View-UCLA Medical Center—no. (%) | 44 (10.0)             | 42 (10.0)                   | 2 (10.0)                                 |               |
| Truman Medical Center—no. (%)  | 39 (9.0)               | 35 (8.0)                    | 4 (19.0)                                 |               |
| **Demographics**               |                        |                             |                                          |               |
| Age (years)—mean (SD)         | 43.2 (16.0)            | 43.4 (16.1)                 | 39.6 (14.2)                              | 0.25          |
| Female sex—no. (%)            | 257 (57.6)             | 245 (57.7)                  | 12 (57.1)                                | 0.57          |
| **Race**                      |                        |                             |                                          |               |
| White race—no. (%)            | 120 (27.0)             | 116 (27.0)                  | 4 (19.0)                                 |               |
| Black race—no. (%)            | 223 (50.0)             | 214 (50.0)                  | 9 (43.0)                                 |               |
| Asian race—no. (%)            | 82 (18.0)              | 75 (18.0)                   | 7 (33.0)                                 |               |
| Other race—no. (%)            | 21 (5.0)               | 20 (5.0)                    | 1 (5.0)                                  |               |
| Hispanic ethnicity—no. (%)    | 92 (20.8)              | 89 (21.1)                   | 3 (14.3)                                 | 0.59          |
| **Underlying health conditions** |                        |                             |                                          |               |
| Health conditions—median [IQR] | 0 [0.1]                | 0 [0.1]                     | 0 [0.1]                                  | 0.49          |
| Chronic lung diseases—no. (%) | 124 (28.0)             | 118 (28.0)                  | 6 (28.6)                                 | 0.95          |
| Cardiovascular diseases—no. (%) | 64 (14.3)             | 61 (14.5)                   | 3 (15.0)                                 | 0.95          |
| Immunocompromised (including HIV/AIDS)—no. (%) | 60 (13.5)             | 58 (13.7)                   | 2 (9.5)                                  | 0.59          |
| **Disease severity indicators** |                        |                             |                                          |               |
| NEWS—median [IQR]             | 2 [1.3]                | 2 [1.3]                     | 2 [1.3]                                  | 0.77          |
| Pneumonia—no. (%)             | 41 (9.2)               | 38 (8.9)                    | 3 (14.3)                                 | 0.43          |
| Hospital admission—no. (%)    | 93 (20.9)              | 88 (20.7)                   | 5 (23.8)                                 | 0.73          |
| Hospital length of stay—median [IQR] | 3 [1.6]             | 3 [1.6]                     | 2 [1.3]                                  | 0.37          |
| Intensive care unit admission—no. (%) | 9 (2.0)               | 9 (2.1)                     | 0 (0.0)                                  | 0.65          |
| Oxygenation—no. (%)           | 46 (10.3)              | 44 (10.4)                   | 2 (9.5)                                  | 0.90          |
| Deaths—no. (%)                | 2 (0.5)                | 2 (0.5)                     | 0 (0)                                    | 0.91          |

*Abbreviations: AIDS, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; NEWS, National Early Warning Score for acutely ill patients; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation of the mean.

*\( P \) values for comparisons by coinfection status based on Fisher exact test and Pearson’s Chi-square for binary variables (2 × 2); Wilcoxon rank-sum test for ordinal scores; \( t \) test adjusted for unequal variance for age differences.
were decreased among Black participants (OR = 0.73 [0.57–0.93], p = 0.011). The predicted probability of being diagnosed with pneumonia was twice as high amongst influenza B patients with coinfections (P = 0.10 [0.06–0.17]) compared to influenza B patients with mono-infection (P = 0.05 [0.03–0.07], Figure 2A).

3.4 | Interactions with coinfection status

All assessed interactions between coinfection status and other predictors yielded statistically nonsignificant results across the two severity outcome models.

3.5 | Secondary analysis for chronic lung diseases

In-depth analyses assessing the effect of specific comorbidities found that CLD was the strongest individual predictor variable for hospitalization. Having CLD increased the adjusted odds of hospitalization by more than three-fold (OR = 3.43 [2.98–3.95], p < 0.001) but CLD did not independently affect the adjusted odds of being diagnosed with pneumonia (OR = 1.73 [0.80–3.78], p = 0.166; Figure 3). Including CLD as an additional covariate in the main models for disease severity attenuated the effect of coinfections on both hospitalization and pneumonia diagnosis. However, having a coinfection still independently increased the odds of hospitalization or pneumonia diagnosis by 172% (OR = 2.72 [1.06–6.96], p = 0.037) and 121% (OR = 2.21 [1.16–4.21], p = 0.016), respectively.

Patients with CLD were predicted to be more than twice as likely to be admitted to a hospital (P = 0.25 [0.19–0.32] vs. no CLD: P = 0.09 [0.06–0.12]), whereas CLD minimally increased the probability of a pneumonia diagnosis (P = 0.07 [0.03–0.15] vs. no CLD: P = 0.04 [0.03–0.06], Figure 2B).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this large sample of individuals infected with influenza B (across 5 large hospitals and multiple influenza seasons), we found that having an upper viral and/or bacterial coinfection was strongly associated with more severe disease (as measured by either hospitalization or pneumonia). Having chronic lung diseases (CLD) was independently associated with hospitalization but did not increase the likelihood of being diagnosed with pneumonia.

Global influenza surveillance and multiple scientific reviews have found that seasonal influenza B has a substantial public health impact, accounting for up to 25–50% of the annual influenza burden. The majority of studies describing the frequency and severity of influenza B, however, have been conducted in pediatric and/or hospitalized populations. The impact of influenza B coinfections is largely demonstrated through case series and other reports, while systematically collected data are mostly absent. Our study reduces this evidence gap by showing an increased risk of severe disease amongst those with coinfections in an undifferentiated ambulatory population. While low clinical severity was observed, something common among patients reporting to EDs, this study underscores the potential disease severity of influenza B infections.

| Table 2 | Main adjusted models for estimating the odds of hospitalization and pneumonia by severity predictors |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model parameter | Hospitalization | Pneumonia |
|  | Odds ratio | 95% CI | P value | Odds ratio | 95% CI | P value |
| Demographics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (per year) | 1.04 | 1.03, 1.05 | <0.001 | 1.02 | 1.01, 1.03 | <0.001 |
| Female vs. male | 0.62 | 0.47, 0.80 | <0.001 | 1.03 | 0.65, 1.64 | 0.907 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black vs. White | 0.51 | 0.22, 1.22 | 0.131 | 0.73 | 0.57, 0.93 | 0.011 |
| Asian vs. White | 0.15 | 0.07, 0.32 | <0.001 | 3.22 | 2.59, 3.99 | <0.001 |
| Other vs. White | 0.86 | 0.42, 1.73 | 0.666 | 2.44 | 1.53, 3.90 | <0.001 |
| Hispanic/Latino vs. non-Hispanic/Latino | 0.49 | 0.31, 0.78 | 0.003 | 0.24 | 0.03, 1.76 | 0.159 |
| Coinfection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Influenza vs. influenza + coinfection | 2.99 | 1.14, 7.85 | 0.026 | 2.27 | 1.25, 4.09 | 0.007 |
| Disease severity indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underlying health conditions | 2.18 | 1.65, 2.89 | <0.001 | 3.21 | 1.76, 5.83 | <0.001 |
| NEWS | 1.49 | 1.37, 1.62 | <0.001 | 6.10 | 3.60, 10.32 | <0.001 |

Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; NEWS, National Early Warning Score for acutely ill patients.

Main models were adjusted for all variables in table.

Model estimates for odds ratios and 95 CI were based on multivariable logistic regressions using robust variance estimates adjusted for clustering by study site.
Observed and predicted adjusted probabilities (including 95% confidence intervals) for hospitalization and pneumonia diagnosis comparing patients with influenza B (white bars) and patients with influenza B coinfections with other respiratory pathogens (light gray bars; panel (A)) and comparing patients with influenza B and chronic lung diseases (dark gray bars; panel (B)). Adjusted probabilities by coinfection and chronic lung disease status were predicted from the fitted logistic regression models for the two severity outcomes, holding other predictors constant at their mean. Thin bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Whereas comorbidities represent established risk factors for severe complications associated with influenza A,\textsuperscript{2} investigations of the effects of specific comorbidities on disease severity are lacking for influenza B. In our study, CLD were the most common comorbidities and demonstrated the strongest effect for hospitalization, independent of other comorbidities. Given this high prevalence and strong effect of CLD on disease severity, it is important to identify CLD among influenza B patients as our study demonstrates its importance as a risk factor in understanding the clinical burden and complications of influenza B.

Additionally, we found unexpected heterogeneity in the risk of severe disease by race/ethnicity as Asians exhibited a strongly reduced susceptibility for hospitalization while being Asian was associated with an increased risk for developing pneumonia. As these effects were mostly driven by the Asian subpopulation in Taiwan, this finding may be influenced by detection bias due to differences in practices of admitting patients and diagnosing pneumonia between US and Taiwanese hospitals. However, previous studies have documented geographic variation in the burden of influenza B,\textsuperscript{33,41–43} especially across Asia with Taiwan frequently reporting influenza B circulation.\textsuperscript{33,42,44} While these effects should be interpreted with caution, this finding underscores the importance of recruiting diverse populations in future clinical research on influenza B to elucidate the role of race/ethnicity and geographic origin in disease severity.

There are several limitations associated with this study. First, this was a secondary analysis of a biorepository and clinical dataset, which relied on either availability of residual specimens and/or prospective enrollments (Taiwan). Accordingly, potential biases may exist. However, given that the methods and protocols for sampling were well-established at all sites and numbers of samples were robust across seasons, we do not believe that this was a major problem. A second major limitation is the relatively small number of coinfections (n = 21), which likely reduced the statistical power of our models. This scarcity of coinfection data is common in influenza B research\textsuperscript{33,39} and represents a hindrance to assessing the impact of coinfections on disease severity as well as distinguishing the impact of individual pathogens as coinfections. This underscores the need to expand data collection on influenza B and coinfections to allow studies on larger patient cohorts.

Third, we faced challenges in analyzing the protective effect of influenza vaccination. Varying definitions of patients’ vaccination status over time and across study sites permitted a reliable assessment of vaccine coverage and estimating its effect on disease severity. While this study was not designed to estimate vaccine effectiveness, it remains crucial to examine the impact of vaccination on disease severity associated with influenza B infections in future research.

Our study highlights the importance and need for ongoing and more advanced research on influenza B. Future studies should include next-generation sequencing to evaluate epidemiologic and clinical interactions between influenza A and B subtypes. We did not subtype influenza B samples and could accordingly not distinguish differences in disease severity between lineages. Previous research has shown age differences between patients infected with Yamagata and Victoria viruses\textsuperscript{41,45,46} and indicated influenza B Victoria to be associated with severe disease more frequently.\textsuperscript{46} Future influenza research should also consider emerging infectious diseases as coinfections. The COVID-19 pandemic\textsuperscript{47} represents a striking example of a rapidly spreading respiratory pathogen, which should be tested for as a coinfection,\textsuperscript{48,49} especially due to its co-occurrence during the influenza season.\textsuperscript{50} Our understanding of the impact of coinfections among patients is not limited by our research capacities, but the absence of viral sequence data to conduct such research. This could be changed by using new multiplex testing technologies for respiratory pathogens and expanding genomic surveillance nationally and globally.

In conclusion, biomedical research has been focused on influenza A, resulting in substantial knowledge gaps concerning the epidemiology and pathogenesis associated with influenza B, especially regarding coinfections.\textsuperscript{5,7,41} Our study helps to improve understanding of these gaps. We identified coinfections and chronic lung diseases as the most important risk factors for severe disease complications associated with influenza B. Despite representing one of the largest epidemiologic analyses on disease severity of influenza B in association with coinfections and comorbidities to date, our results require further investigation and confirmation.
FIGURE 3  Forest plots for adjusted odds ratios (including 95% confidence intervals and p values) by severity predictor for (A) hospitalization and (B) pneumonia diagnosis. Adjusted odds ratios were estimated using the multivariable logistic regression models for the two severity outcomes. OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; NEWS, National Early Warning Score for acutely ill patients.
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