Coastal Vulnerability of the West Coast of Aceh Besar: A Coastal Morphology Assessment
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Abstract: The purpose of this study was to determine how vulnerable the west coast of Aceh Besar, Aceh province, Indonesia, is in terms of its coastal morphology. This research was conducted from August to December 2020 and data processing was carried out at the Geographical Information Systems Laboratory, Faculty of Marine Affairs and Fisheries, Syiah Kuala University. The method used was the coastal vulnerability index (CVI) with four geological parameters, namely geomorphological parameters, beach elevation, beach slope and shoreline changes. The results obtained from the CVI method show that 20.60% of the west coast of Aceh Besar, which has a total coastline length of 93.2 km, is in the very high vulnerability category (19.2 km), while 23.18% (21.6 km) is in the high vulnerability category, 8.80% (8.2 km) in the moderate category, 6.44% (6 km) in the low category and 40.99% (38.2 km) in the very low category. Sub-districts classified as having very high vulnerability are Peukanbada (7.94%), Leupung (6.22%), Lhoong (4.94%), and Lhoknga (1.50%). The geomorphology of areas that have very high vulnerability is generally in the form of sandy beaches with a very gentle slope, while, geomorphologically, areas that have very low vulnerability have a high elevation and cliff beaches.
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1. Introduction

Aceh Besar district is located in the Aceh province, tip of Sumatra, Indonesia, which is divided into two coastal locations, namely the north coast of Aceh Besar and the west coast of Aceh Besar. The sea water area is 2796 km² and Aceh Besar has a coastline of 344 km [1]. This coastal area is greatly influenced by natural phenomena, the surrounding environment and anthropogenic features [2,3]. Rukin [4] stated that coastal areas have unique landscapes and socio-economic characteristics that affect human activities, and these human activities usually create long-term effects in the form of coastal changes if they are not managed properly [5,6]. Socio-economic activities carried out by humans and geocenographic processes can result in morphological changes on the coast [7,8]. Since the coastal environment is very vulnerable and sensitive to change, a careful assessment of the critical issues and shortcomings is required before the implementation of any intervention or strategy involving the coastal system [9,10].

The morphology of the Aceh coast has changed significantly, especially in 2004, when a tsunami struck Aceh’s waters [11,12]. Syamsidik et al. [13] examined the morphological
recovery of the Banda Aceh City coast 10 years after the Aceh tsunami. The results of this study indicate that some of the coastal morphology in Banda Aceh has undergone significant changes and is still unstable, even though there are other parts that have experienced relative stability. According to the study in [14], changes in coastal morphology are related to the geo-oceanographic processes in coastal areas. These processes occur because of the natural balance that occurs in nature, such as storms that cause large waves, flooding due to tides, or erosion and sedimentation due to soil erodibility [15,16].

Joesidawati [17] identified the relationship between coastal morphology and coastal vulnerability using the geological and physical parameters of the coast. The results of this study indicate that almost all coastal areas studied have high vulnerability, despite the fact that 10 physical parameters were used. Meanwhile, Pramanik et al. [18] classified the physical parameters of the coast geologically into four studied variables, namely geomorphology, changes in shoreline, beach elevation, bathymetry and beach slope. The geomorphological type of the coastal area is one of the aspects used to indicate its vulnerability [19,20].

Research on the coastal vulnerability index has been carried out by several researchers. The authors of [7] conducted a study on the coastal vulnerability index in relation to sea level rises in Ghana, West Africa. Moreover, Pramanik et al. [18] also assessed coastal vulnerability in relation to sea level rises on the coast of Krishna Godavari, East India. Furthermore, the authors of another study reviewed the coastal vulnerability of Andhra Pradesh, India, by evaluating data collection, risk levels and mitigation strategies [21]. The findings of these studies explain why coastal vulnerability assessments have many different analytical approaches and methodologies, because coastal areas have very complex conditions, despite having the same foundation of interdependence and interrelationships. Research on the coastal vulnerability index in Indonesia, among other areas, was conducted by [22], in which an analysis of coastal vulnerability to the threat of sea level rises in Southern Yogyakarta was carried out. The results of vulnerability research associated with sea level rises indicate that these rises are very influential in terms of coastal vulnerability and are a key problem in coastal areas. In addition, reference [23] conducted a vulnerability analysis of the coast and fishery resources using the GIS approach on the coast of the Purworejo district in the southern part of Central Java province, Indonesia. The results showed that spatial visualization with imaging technology resulted in a more informative analysis. Furthermore, research on the coastal vulnerability index in the Aceh region was carried out by [24] using physical parameters from the Ujung Pancu to the Ujung Bate beaches of the north Aceh coast. Zouhrawaty et al. [25] also conducted research on the coastal vulnerability index in relation to storm disasters from the area of the estuary of Krueng Aceh to the Alue Naga flood canal of Aceh province. They found that almost 50% of the study area faces very high vulnerability.

The west coast of Aceh Besar constitutes a vulnerable environment and requires special attention to preserve its ecosystem and the human activities that occur within it. Many studies have been devoted to analyzing the main factors influencing coastal vulnerability as part of ecosystem conservation. The most frequently and commonly used approach is the coastal vulnerability index (CVI). This approach takes into account all relevant variables that characterize the coastal environment in relation to forcing actions, morphological characteristics, and socio-economic, ecological and cultural aspects [26]. Therefore, the morphological characteristics method is one of the approaches used in our assessment of the coastal vulnerability of the west coast of Aceh Besar.

The west coast of Aceh Besar is a coastal area that is prone to tsunami hazards, climate change and sea level rises. This area will always change continuously. Unfortunately, to date, research has never been conducted on the coastal vulnerability index (CVI) of the west coast of Aceh Besar. Therefore, an assessment of the vulnerability index of the west coast of Aceh is certainly necessary for the purpose of identifying areas that may be sensitive to natural changes in the future. When determining the coastal vulnerability index, a strategy is required to minimize the impact that will occur. For this reason, it is necessary to conduct a study to determine how much vulnerability exists on the west coast of Aceh Besar in
terms of its coastal morphology. Therefore, this study aims to assess the vulnerability index of the west coast of Aceh Besar based on its coastal morphology by limiting the assessment to four parameters, namely geomorphology, elevation, beach slope and shoreline change.

2. Research Methods

2.1. Location and Time of Research

The research was carried out on the west coast of Aceh Besar, starting from the coast of Ulee Leue to the coast of Lhoong, in a 93.2 km area (Figure 1). This research area includes four coastal sub-districts in the Aceh Besar district, namely Peukanbada, Lhoknga, Leupung and Lhoong. The research began in August 2020 and was completed in December 2020. Data processing was carried out at the Marine Geographical Information System Laboratory, Faculty of Marine Affairs and Fisheries, Syiah Kuala University.

![Figure 1. Map of research location in western part of Aceh Besar, district of Aceh, Sumatra, Indonesia.](image)

2.2. Work Procedures

2.2.1. Determination of Cell Points

The cell points of the research location were determined and divided into several cells by grouping the length of the coastline into an area of 200 m × 200 m, with the aim of making the analysis process easier and producing some data for each given cell. The results of each data cell then represent the results of the coastal vulnerability index mapping.

2.2.2. The Data Collection

The data collected included primary data and secondary data (Table 1). The primary data were obtained from the field survey results during the research period. Primary data such as geomorphology was obtained from geomorphological field mapping, while elevation data was measured directly in the field using GPS as the ellipsoid height which was then transformed to orthometric height. Meanwhile, the secondary data such as coastal slope and shoreline changes were obtained through data source providers from related agencies and several related references. To ensure the accuracy of the data obtained, the data were further verified and compared with data from field measurements and the results of previous studies in several locations. The collected data were calculated and analyzed using the CVI method and further analyzed to identify the coastal vulnerability classes using ArcGIS software.
Table 1. Data acquisition for coastal vulnerability.

| No. | Parameter        | Used Data               | Data Sources                      |
|-----|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1   | Geomorphology    | Geomorphology Data      | Google Earth                      |
|     |                  | Field Measurement and Analysis | BPN Aceh https://www.atrbpn.go.id/ (accessed on 20 October 2020) |
|     |                  | Field Survey            |                                   |
| 2   | Elevation        | Field Measurement and Analysis | BPN Aceh https://www.atrbpn.go.id/ (accessed on 20 October 2020) |
|     |                  | DEMNAS Geospatial Information Agency http://tides.big.go.id/DEMNAS (accessed on 20 October 2020) |
| 3   | Beach Slope      | BATNAS                  | Geospatial Information Agency     |
|     |                  | http://tides.big.go.id/DEMNAS/ (accessed on 20 October 2020) |
| 4   | Shoreline Changes| LANDSAT 8 OLI Earth Explorer—USGS https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov (accessed on 20 October 2020) |

2.2.3. Analysis of CVI Data

The determination of the value of the coastal vulnerability index (CVI) for each cell was carried out by combining several risk parameters associated with it to produce an indicator of coastal vulnerability. The calculation of the coastal vulnerability index value was carried out using the CVI method with four coastal morphological parameters, namely the root of the multiplication of a parameter value being studied divided by the number of parameters studied [27]. The evaluation of the elevation parameters was carried out by calculating the average elevation in each cell, while the evaluation of the coastal slope was carried out by calculating the average value of the cross-shore direction perpendicular to each cell. Shoreline changes were calculated based on Landsat OLI imagery data for the last five years from 2015 to 2019 by examining changes in the annual average anomaly.

\[
CVI = \sqrt{\frac{a \times b \times c \times d}{4}}
\]

where:
CVI = coastal vulnerability index (CVI)

\(a\) = geomorphology
\(b\) = beach elevation
\(c\) = coastal slope
\(d\) = change in coastline.

The geomorphic parameter \(a\) is geological fabric in relation to the geomorphological processes and rock mass strength parameters. Geomorphological features were defined from available geological maps, field investigation, and data from the professional and scientific papers [28–31]. Coastal elevation parameter \(b\) is determined based on DEM data and field measurements. The coastal slope \(c\) is used as an indicator of the relative vulnerability to inundation, coastal stability, and the potential coastline retreat [28]. While, the coastal slope was determined from the combination between bathymetry and DEM data. It was defined as a maximal slope of 50 m from the sea before the shoreline up to 50 m inland, calculated every 20 m because of the coastal slope’s variability and the value used was the average slope in each cell. The shoreline changed is a parameter related to energy acting on the coast causing beach erosion and sedimentation.

The determination of the index classification, using the Likert method [32] assisted by ArcGIS 10.3.1 software [33], is divided into five categories, namely very low, low, medium, high and very high (Table 2). These categories are classified according to the
calculation of the coastal vulnerability index and are assigned a color according to the level of vulnerability, as shown in Table 3 [34].

Table 2. Vulnerability parameter classes. (Sources: [29–31]).

| No. | Parameters          | Categories                                                                 |
|-----|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Geomorphology       | Very Low                      | Low                       | Medium                  | High                  | Very High              |
|     |                     | High cliffs, rocky beaches    | Medium cliffs, grooved beach | Low cliffs, alluvial plains | Pebble beach, estuary, lagoon | Barrier beaches, sand beaches, salt marshes, mudflats, deltas, mangroves, coral reefs |
| 2   | Beach Elevation (m) | ≥30.10                        | 20.1–30.0                 | 10.1–20.0               | 5.1–10.0               | 0.0–5.0                |
| 3   | Beach Slope (%)     | >1.20                         | 1.20–0.91                 | 0.90–0.61               | 0.60–0.30              | <0.30                  |
| 4   | Shoreline Change (m)| ≥(2.1)                        | 1.0–2.0                   | –1.0–1.0                | (−1)–(−2)              | <(−2.0)                |

Table 3. Classification of vulnerability levels.

| CVI Value | Vulnerability Level | Color  |
|-----------|---------------------|--------|
| 0.00–2.50 | Very Low            | Green  |
| 2.51–5.00 | Low                 | Blue   |
| 5.10–7.50 | Moderate            | Yellow |
| 7.51–10.00| High                | Orange |
| 10.10–12.50| Very High          | Red    |

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Coastal Vulnerability Based on Coastal Geomorphology

The results of our geomorphological study of the west coast of Aceh Besar can be seen in Figure 1. The west coast of Aceh Besar has a geomorphology composed of sandy beaches, rocky beaches, cliff beaches, barrier beaches, lagoons, and estuaries. The most dominant geomorphology on the west coast of Aceh Besar is sandy beaches (48.07%) and high cliffs (47%).

The vulnerability map from the geomorphological study of the west coast of Aceh Besar is shown in Figure 2 and Table 4. The results show that most of the west coast of Aceh Besar, with a study length of 93.2 km, is categorized as having a very high vulnerability of 50.64%, consisting of sandy beaches (48.07%), estuaries (0.64%), and barrier coast (1.93%). Meanwhile, the high-vulnerability area comprises 0.43% and is a lagoon area. Furthermore, the medium vulnerability area comprises 1.73%, made up of low-cliffed coast areas (0.64%) and rocky coast (1.29%). Finally, geomorphologically, 47% of the location is categorized as a high-cliffed coastal area.

Table 4. Percentage of coastal vulnerability in terms of coastal morphology.

| Sub-District | Geomorphological Vulnerability | Total |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------|
|              | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High |
| Peukanbada   | 11.16%   | 0.0% | 0.0%   | 0.0% | 7.94%     | 19.10%   |
| Lhoknga      | 7.30%    | 0.0% | 1.29%  | 0.0% | 8.15%     | 16.74%   |
| Leupung      | 6.01%    | 0.0% | 0.0%   | 0.0% | 12.3%     | 18.24%   |
| Lhoong       | 22.53%   | 0.0% | 0.64%  | 0.43%| 22.32%    | 45.92%   |
| Total        | 47.00%   | 0.0% | 1.93%  | 0.43%| 50.64%    | 100.00%  |
Isdianto et al. [35] stated that areas with sandy beaches, muddy beaches, barrier beaches, and deltas as part of their geomorphology are very vulnerable to the dangers of sea level rises and ocean dynamics such as currents and tidal waves. Therefore, beaches in this category are vulnerable to hazards. In addition, Alviani [36] and Sakka [37] also stated that areas that have low relief, such as barrier coasts, estuaries, lagoons, and deltas, have a high level of vulnerability to erosion. Meanwhile, areas with a low level of vulnerability to erosion are those that have hard substrates and high relief, such as rocky beaches and high cliffs.

The map of the vulnerability level and the quantitative percentage of vulnerability based on the coastal geomorphology of the area are shown in Figure 3 and Table 4. The results show that almost all areas in the northern part of Aceh Besar district have very high vulnerability. Figure 3 also shows that the sub-districts of Leupung and Lhoong are also areas with very high vulnerability compared to other areas because, geomorphologically, these areas are dominated by sandy beaches. Meanwhile, Peukanbada and Lhoong sub-districts have very low vulnerability because almost half of the area is located in high-cliffed coastal locations.

Figure 2. Geomorphology of west Aceh Besar district.
3.2. Coastal Vulnerability Based on Coastal Elevation

The results of the coastal elevation analysis of the west coast of Aceh Besar are shown in Figure 4, based on DEMNAS data, and Figure 5, from the field data analysis. Based on Figures 4 and 5 it can be concluded that around 40% of the west coast of Aceh Besar comprises cliffs with elevations above 30 m, while more than 51% of the area is located at an elevation between 0 and 5 m above sea level.

The map of the elevation vulnerability levels can be seen in Table 5. The results of this tabulation are presented in Figure 5, which shows that most of the study area consists of highly vulnerable areas with an average elevation of 2 m, spread across all sub-districts. When viewed from the coastal elevation, the Peukanbada sub-district has the lowest average elevation, which is 1.14 m with a coverage area of 7%. The Lhoong sub-district area is a low-elevation area with an average elevation of 1.75 m, but covers more than 21% of the low-elevation area. Meanwhile, the highest low-elevation area, on average, is Leupung sub-district, where the average elevation is about 1.82 m, covering more than 12% of the area.
The results of the coastal elevation analysis can identify and predict areas that will be lost due to the impact of future sea level rises [38]. Rahadiati et al. [38] estimated that, in the next 100 years, sea level rises will increase by between 0.5 and 5 m. In addition, Hamuna et al. [39] stated that, when high waves occur in a low coastal elevation, water will enter the land, causing water inundation. Therefore, the coast, which has a low elevation between 0 and 5 m above sea level, has a very high vulnerability in the event of sea level rises and large waves [40,41].

Table 5. Percentage of coastal vulnerability in terms of coastal elevation.

| Sub-District | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High | Total |
|--------------|----------|-----|--------|------|-----------|-------|
| Peukanbada   | 11.16%   | 0.0%| 0.0%   | 0.0% | 7.94%     | 19.10%|
| Lhoknga      | 2.79%    | 1.93%| 2.58%  | 0.0% | 9.44%     | 16.74%|
| Leupung      | 1.29%    | 4.72%| 0.0%   | 0.0% | 12.23%    | 18.24%|
| Lhoong       | 5.36%    | 14.81%| 4.08%  | 0.0% | 21.67%    | 45.92%|
| Total        | 20.60%   | 21.46%| 6.66%  | 0.0% | 51.28%    | 100.00%|

The results of the coastal elevation analysis can identify and predict areas that will be lost due to the impact of future sea level rises [38]. Rahadiati et al. [38] estimated that, in the next 100 years, sea level rises will increase by between 0.5 and 5 m. In addition, Hamuna et al. [39] stated that, when high waves occur in a low coastal elevation, water will enter the land, causing water inundation. Therefore, the coast, which has a low elevation between 0 and 5 m above sea level, has a very high vulnerability in the event of sea level rises and large waves [40,41].
3.3. Coastal Vulnerability Based on Coastal Slope

The analysis results in Figure 6 and Table 6 show that the overall slope of the west coast of Aceh Besar is dominated by very high vulnerability, with an average slope of 0.12%. This very gentle slope covers 47.6 km (51.07%) of the total length of the study area and is generally distributed across all sub-districts. Furthermore, 26 km (27.9%) of the study area in the Lhoknga, Leupung and Lhoong sub-districts shows high vulnerability with a coastal slope of 0.46%. Only about 11% of the total area, found in Peukanbada (8.58%), Lhoknga (2.36%) and Lhoong (0.21%) sub-districts, has very low vulnerability, with a coastal slope above 1.45%.

Table 6. Percentage of coastal vulnerability in terms of coastal slope.

| Sub-District | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High | Total Coverage |
|--------------|----------|-----|--------|------|-----------|----------------|
| Peukanbada   | 8.58%    | 2.58%| 0%      | 0%   | 7.94%     | 19.10%         |
| Lhoknga      | 2.36%    | 0%  | 0.43%  | 4.51%| 9.44%     | 16.74%         |
| Leupung      | 0%       | 0%  | 1.29%  | 4.72%| 12.23%    | 18.24%         |
| Lhoong       | 0.21%    | 0%  | 5.58%  | 18.67%|21.46%     | 45.92%         |
| **Total**    | 11.15%   | 2.58%| 7.30%  | 27.90%|51.07%     | 100.00%        |

In estimating the occurrence of sea level rises, the coastal slope must be studied and investigated, as sea level rises are highly likely to occur on gentle coastal slopes, which will potentially inundate coastal areas [42,43]. In addition, a sloping beach area will facilitate the run-up of waves entering the coast, which can lead to coastal erosion and flooding.
occurring more easily \cite{44,45}. In accordance with the results of the analysis in Figure 6, Lhoong sub-district area has the lowest coastal slope, at 0.09%, which is classified as very high vulnerability. On the other hand, Peukan Bada sub-district has very low vulnerability because the area comprises high cliffs with a steep coastal slope.

Figure 6. Map of vulnerability level based on coastal slope.

3.4. Coastal Vulnerability Based on Shoreline Changes

Activities that occur in coastal areas on land and at sea greatly influence changes in the coastline, in the form of either accretion or erosion \cite{36}. The results of the shoreline change analysis using Landsat OLI imagery from 2015 to 2019 are shown in Figure 7 and Table 7. These data indicate that the overall study area experienced an average erosion of $-3.34$ m across 9.8 km (10.51%) of the total length of the study area, which is categorized as having very high vulnerability. Furthermore, the 10.4 km area (11.16%) experienced an average erosion of $-1.45$ m, which was categorized as high vulnerability. Additionally, the 56.2 km area (60.31%) was categorized as being moderately vulnerable, with changes in the coastline between $-1$ and 1 m. Furthermore, the level of erosion in the 7.2 km area (7.73%) is $-0.59$ m, while the level of accretion in the 5.4 km area (5.79%) is 0.63 m. In total, 43.6 km (46.78%) of the length of the coastline has not changed because this comprises areas in which cliffs and rocky beaches are present. The areas that possess low vulnerability have an accretion of $+1.55$ m and a length of 10.4 km (11.16%). Meanwhile, areas with very low vulnerability experienced an accretion of $+2.54$ m ha and possessed a length of 6.4 km (6.86%).
Table 7. Percentage of coastal vulnerability in terms of coastline change.

| Sub-District | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High | Total |
|--------------|----------|-----|--------|------|-----------|-------|
| Peukanbada   | 0%       | 0%  | 11.16% | 0.43%| 7.51%     | 19.10%|
| Lhoknga      | 0.21%    | 2.36%| 12.02% | 2.15%| 0.00%     | 16.74%|
| Leupung      | 2.79%    | 2.58%| 6.65%  | 4.29%| 1.93%     | 18.24%|
| Lhoong       | 3.86%    | 6.22%| 30.48% | 4.29%| 1.07%     | 45.92%|
| Total        | 6.86%    | 11.16%| 60.31% | 11.16%| 10.51%    | 100.00%|

Changes in the coastline of the west coast of Aceh Besar can also be seen in the shoreline changes graph in Figure 8. The results of the analysis show that very large levels of erosion occurred in the last five years in Peukan Bada sub-district, while large amounts of sedimentation occurred in Lhoong sub-district. The overall results indicate that the shoreline changes in the study area mean that this location is in the moderate to very highly vulnerable category.
Changes in the shoreline occur due to hydro-oceanographic dynamics, which cause areas of erosion and sedimentation. However, the dominant factors that cause shoreline changes are location, coastal geomorphology [46] and the dynamics of waves and longshore currents [47]. Furthermore, the dynamics of currents, waves, wind and rain cause coastal degradation, while accretion generally occurs in areas that possess estuaries and areas that are host to human activities [48,49].

3.5. CVI of the West Coast of Aceh Besar—Overall Analysis

The results of the vulnerability analysis of the west coast of Aceh Besar can be seen in Figure 9 and Table 8. The results show that 19.2 km (20.60%) of the west coast of Aceh Besar has very high vulnerability, 21.6 km (23.18%) has high vulnerability, 8.2 km (8.80%) has medium vulnerability, 6 km (6.44%) has low vulnerability, and 38.2 km (40.99%) has very low vulnerability.

Table 8. West Aceh Besar coastal vulnerability index percentages.

| Sub-District | Very Low (%) | Low (%) | Medium (%) | High (%) | Very High (%) | Total (%) |
|--------------|--------------|---------|------------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| Peukanbada   | 11.15        | 0        | 0          | 0        | 7.94          | 19.09     |
| Lhoknga      | 4.72         | 2.58     | 0.86       | 7.08     | 1.50          | 16.74     |
| Leupung      | 6.01         | 0        | 2.79       | 3.22     | 6.22          | 18.24     |
| Lhoong       | 19.10        | 3.86     | 5.15       | 12.88    | 4.94          | 45.93     |
| Total        | 40.98        | 6.44     | 8.80       | 23.18    | 20.60         | 100.00    |

All four sub-districts have a very high level of vulnerability, with 7.94% of Peukanbada sub-district being very high vulnerability, followed by 6.22% of Leupung sub-district, 4.94% of Lhoong sub-district, and 1.50% of Lhoknga sub-district. The sub-districts that have very low vulnerability are Lhoong (19.10%), Peukanbada (11.15%), Leupung (6.01%), and Lhoknga (4.72%). Geomorphologically, areas with very high vulnerability generally have sandy beaches with a gentle coastal slope. Meanwhile, the geomorphology of areas with very low levels of vulnerability tends to include high elevations and cliffs.
4. Conclusions

Based on the results of the morphological characteristics assessment, using geomorphological parameters, coastal elevation, coastal slope and shoreline changes, we can conclude that ~54% of the west coast of Aceh Besar is in a state of moderate to very high vulnerability. The results of this study indicate that ~19.2 km (20.60%) of the west coast of Aceh Besar is very highly vulnerable, ~21.6 km (23.18%) is highly vulnerable, ~8.2 km (8.80%) is moderately vulnerable, ~6 km (6.44%) displays low vulnerability, and ~38.2 km (40.99%) displays very low vulnerability. The map of the results of the overall coastal vulnerability index (CVI) shows that all sub-districts on the west coast of Aceh Besar are generally very high and high vulnerability because, geomorphologically, the area is a sandy coastal area that has a significantly low elevation and a gentle coastal slope. Meanwhile, areas whose geomorphology comprises cliffs and high elevations have very low vulnerability.
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