Evaluation of Mung bean Germplasm for Resistance against Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Virus and Whitefly Population in Relation to Epidemiological Factors
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Abstract
Mung bean is attacked by several viral diseases but the most devastating is mung bean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) and its vector Bemisia tabaci (Genn.) when occurred in severe condition. The vulnerable germplasm and conducive environmental conditions contribute towards severe epidemics of MYMV and its vector population. In present investigation, 12 varieties/lines were screened out and correlated with epidemiological factors (minimum and maximum temperature, relative humidity, rainfall and wind speed). Four varieties/lines namely NM-92, NM-2006, Azri 2006, and NM-121 were found most effective for cultivation under prevailing environmental conditions. All environmental factors were significantly correlated with MYMV disease severity and whitefly population except rainfall and wind speed. Maximum disease severity was recorded maximum at maximum temperature (38 °C), minimum temperature (28 °C) and relative humidity (65%). Similarly, whitefly population increased with increase in maximum temperature and relative humidity ranging from 30-38 °C, 20-28 °C and 45-65%, respectively. It was concluded that with progress in temperature (minimum and maximum) and relative humidity, MYMV disease incidence and its vector population also increased.
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Introduction
Mung bean (Vigna radiata L.) belongs to family Fabaceae is famous for its rich protein seed contents which range between 22-24% ensure admirable digestibility and improved taste. It contains 24.7% protein, 0.6% fat, 0.5% ash and many vitamins like A, B, E, C and K [1]. Consumption of green mung beans has been studied to cure diseases i.e. diabetes, heart diseases, anemia, cancer, inflammation, digestion problems and celiac diseases. It contains phytoestrogen which has various benefits in producing collagen, elastin and hyaluronic acid. All of these play an important role in acquiring healthier and younger skin. It can also prevent osteoporosis and relieve hot flashes [2].

It is an important pulse crop that can be grown twice a year i.e. spring and autumn and can withstand adverse environmental conditions [3]. Its production is influenced by many factors among them mung bean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) disease is most significant, causing severe yield losses [4]. To overcome its production, evaluation of mung bean germplasm against MYMV for identification of resistance source under field conditions was carried out as genetic resistant is more important, environment eco-friendly way to regulate yellow mosaic virus.

Environment is an important component of disease epidemics, as weathers influences disease development and plays crucial part in disease spread. Therefore, comprehensive study of environmental factors was required for developing a reliable disease prediction system consisting of environmental variables which explained 81% of the variability in MYMV disease development [5]. High rainfall during the month of July and less or no rain in the month of August were crucial for the disease development [2]. Work done so far, for the study of environmental conditions conducive for MYMV disease development is not sufficient; therefore, this study will be helpful for prediction of disease that will help the farmers in decision making regarding integrated management of the MYMV.

MYMV did not spread through seed, soil or contact but transmitted through vector whitefly in a persistent manner [6]. The whitefly population showed positive correlation with the
disease development [7]. Disease incidence increased rapidly with the increase in the number of whitefly population [4]. The frequency of whitefly population increased due to excessive use of insecticides, as it has developed a resistance against whitefly [8]. Thus, the present study was designed to evaluate the mung bean germplasm under natural field conditions to identify new sources of resistance against mung bean yellow mosaic virus and its vector population and to determine the environmental conditions conducive to mung bean MYMV and whitefly population in the field.

Materials and Methods

Twelve mung bean genotypes namely NM-92, NM-2006, Azri 2006, NM-121, NM-54, NM-20-21, 014358, 014287, 014530, 07007, 058003 and NM-98 collected from Ayub Agricultural Research Institute (AARI), Faisalabad were sown for disease screening nursery in the Research Area of Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad following randomized complete block design replicated three times. Trial was not treated with any seed dressing chemical to increase the maximum chances of primary infection. Each test entry was planted in a row of 3 meter in length with 30cm row-to-row distance. The planting was done on 16th June 2016. One row of a most susceptible check (Kabuli mung) was sown after every ten test entries to enhance disease outbreaks.

Table 1: Disease rating scale (0-5) for MYMV disease.

| Grade | % Infection | Visual Symptoms | Infection Category |
|-------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 0     | All plant free of virus symptoms | Complete absence of symptoms | Highly resistant |
| 1     | 1-10% infection | Small yellowish spots scattered on some leaves | Resistant |
| 2     | 11-20% infection | Yellowish bright spots common on leaves, easy to observe | Moderately resistant |
| 3     | 21-30% infection | Yellowish bright specks common on leaves, easy to observe with larger patches of symptoms | Moderately susceptible |
| 4     | 30-50% infection | Bright yellow specks or spots on all leaves, minor stunting of plants and less number of pods | Susceptible |
| 5     | More than 50% infection | Yellowing or chlorosis of all leaves on whole plant, shortening of internode, severe stunting of plants with no yield or few flowers and deformed pods produced with small, immature and shriveled seeds | Highly Susceptible |

\[
\text{Disease incidence} = \left( \frac{\text{No. of infected plants}}{\text{Total number of plants}} \right) \times 100
\]

Correlation of environmental factors with MYMV disease development

Data of environmental conditions i.e. maximum and minimum air temperatures, relative humidity, rainfall, and wind velocity were collected from the meteorological station of the Department of Crop Physiology at (UAF).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed through statistical tests by using SAS/STAT statistical software [11]. Data for disease incidence, whitefly populations and environmental parameters were analyzed through correlation and regression analysis to estimate the relationship with environmental factors and disease development. Epidemiological factors having statistically significant impact on disease development were graphically plotted.

Results

No line was found highly resistant (HR) and resistant (R) whereas; four varieties/lines namely NM-92, NM-2006, Azri 2006, and NM-121 demonstrated moderately resistant (MR) response against MYMV. Two varieties such as NM-54 and NM-20-21 exhibited moderately susceptible (MS) and six varieties showed susceptible (S) response against MYMV (Table 1).

Table 2: Response of various mungbean varieties against different MYMV disease development.

| Serial # | Varieties/Lines # | Percentage Infection | Response |
|----------|-------------------|----------------------|----------|
| 1        | NM-92             | 11-20%               | MR       |
| 2        | NM-2006           | 11-20%               | MR       |
| 3        | NM-121            | 11-20%               | MR       |
Table 3: Correlation of environmental conditions with mungbean yellow mosaic virus on different mungbean varieties/lines.

| Name of Varieties | Max. Temp. (°C) | Min. Temp. (°C) | Relative Humidity (%) | Rainfall (mm) | Wind Speed (Km/ha) |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| NM-92             | 0.70*          | 0.77*          | 0.79*                 | -0.11*        | -0.38*            |
|                   | 0.021          | 0.05           | 0.01                  | 0.02          | 0.04              |
| NM-21-25          | 0.72*          | 0.16*          | 0.80*                 | -0.16*        | -0.33*            |
|                   | 0.01           | 0.05           | 0.05                  | 0.03          | 0.01              |
| 98001             | 0.75*          | 0.21*          | 0.83*                 | -0.13*        | -0.36*            |
|                   | 0.02           | 0.04           | 0.03                  | 0.01          | 0.04              |
| 7007              | 0.69*          | 0.11*          | 0.77*                 | -0.17*        | -0.37*            |
|                   | 0.02           | 0.02           | 0.01                  | 0.73          | 0.04              |

*: Significant

Table 4: Correlation of environmental conditions with whitefly population on different mungbean varieties/lines.

| Name of Varieties | Max. Temp. (°C) | Min. Temp. (°C) | Relative Humidity (%) | Rainfall (mm) | Wind Speed (Km/ha) |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| NM-92             | 0.57*          | 0.92*          | 0.62*                 | -0.23*        | -0.48*            |
|                   | 0.02           | 0.05           | 0.01                  | 0.04          | 0.02              |
| NM-21-25          | 0.73*          | 0.18*          | 0.82*                 | -0.10*        | -0.32*            |
|                   | 0.04           | 0.02           | 0.04                  | 0.04          | 0.02              |
| 98001             | 0.76*          | 0.19*          | 0.82*                 | -0.14*        | -0.40*            |
|                   | 0.01           | 0.01           | 0.04                  | 0.01          | 0.04              |
| 7007              | 0.75*          | 0.21*          | 0.82*                 | -0.15*        | -0.38*            |
|                   | 0.01           | 0.04           | 0.04                  | 0.77          | 0.04              |

Characterization of environmental factors conducive for MYMV disease development and whitefly population

Figure 1: Relationship of maximum temperature (°C) with MYMV incidence.
Four varieties/lines NM-92, NM-21-25, 98001 and 07007 were subjected to regression analysis to characterize the critical ranges of epidemiological conditions effective for MYMV disease development and whitefly population. It was found that all lines/varieties indicated maximum disease incidence when maximum temperature increased from 30-38 °C. This relationship was best explained as indicated by their r values 0.97, 0.99, 0.99 and 0.99 respectively (Figure 1). Linear regression model best explained the positive relationship between disease incidence (%) and minimum temperature. Maximum disease incidence (75%) was demonstrated by genotype 7007 as compared to all other varieties at the same temperature. This relationship was best explained by their r value 0.97 (Figure 2). A positive relationship was observed between relative humidity and disease incidence (%). With every single unit increase in relative humidity disease incidence (%) also increased against all four genotypes as indicated by their r values 0.97, 0.99, 0.98 and 0.98, respectively (Figure 3). A negative relationship was observed between rainfall (mm), wind speed (km/h) and disease incidence (%) (Figure 4 & 5).

![Figure 2: Relationship of minimum temperature (°C) with MYMV incidence.](image2)

![Figure 3: Relationship of relative humidity (%) with MYMV incidence.](image3)
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Figure 4: Relationship of rainfall (mm) with MYMV incidence.

Figure 5: Relationship of wind speed (km/h) with MYMV incidence.

Figure 6: Relationship of maximum temperature (°C) with whitefly population (%).
Figure 7: Relationship of minimum temperature (°C) with whitefly population (%).

Figure 8: Relationship of relative humidity (%) with whitefly population.

Figure 9: Relationship of rainfall (mm) with whitefly population.
Different lines indicated different response to whitefly population. A positive relationship was observed between maximum and minimum temperature and relative humidity with whitefly population (%). Maximum whitefly population was observed at maximum temperature (38 °C), minimum temperature (26 °C) and relative humidity (66%) (Figure 6-8). Linear regression model showed the negative relationship between rainfall (mm), wind speed (km/h) and whitefly population (%). With every single unit increased in rainfall (mm) and wind speed (km/h), whitefly population decrease (Figure 9 & 10).

Discussion

In the present investigations, an effort was made to exploit the sources of resistance in the available germplasm of mung bean. For this purpose, a set of germplasm was evaluated for their response to yellow mosaic virus disease. It was found that disease severity increased with increase in the age of plants resulting in serious disease outbreaks of the susceptible lines. Out of 12 varieties/lines, none of the line showed highly resistant (HR) and resistant (R) response, only 4 lines showed moderately resistant (MR) response. Our results showed the similarity with the earlier findings of Bashir et al. [12], and Shad et al. [13], who demonstrated that resistance in mung bean against MYMV is rare. Bashir [14], screened 276 lines and only 10 lines 95013, C1/95-3-140, C1/94-04-19, C1/95-03-20, C1/95-3-8, C1/94-3-140, C1/94-4-26, C1/94-4-5, C5/95-4-5, C5/95-5-19 and C1/95-3-17 were rated as resistant. Shad et al. [13], found that there was no resistant line against MYMV and seven susceptible and 247 highly susceptible lines exhibited meager resistance in mung bean. Similarly, Rathi [15], evaluated 50 entries from mung bean germplasm along with the standard control T 44 against whitefly and MYMV disease under field conditions. The incidence of MYMV disease on tested entries varied from 1, 0 and 25.42 percent compared to 14.78 percent on T 44. Khan et al. [16], described that, according to the mean score of MYMV genotypes such as VC1560D, VC3902A and Berken were found susceptible (S), VC2272, Emerald, Pusa Baisaki moderately susceptible (MS), 6601 and NM92 found highly resistant (HR). Among 22F1 hybrid combinations, 12 were detected as susceptible and 10 moderately susceptible towards MYMV disease reaction. Lack of resistance necessitates the development of viral resistant varieties through genetic engineering and biotechnology in future.

Epidemiological factors play significant role in MYMV disease development and whitefly population. The results of present investigation are in line with the findings of Khan et al. [16], who exhibited that, maximum disease incidence and whitefly population was observed at maximum and minimum temperature 37.92 and 17.7 °C, respectively. Livinder et al. [17], showed that, maximum and minimum temperature 34-35 and 26-27 °C were found most effective for the MYMV disease development. Similarly, Nath [18], Khan et al. [6], and Srivastava & Prajapati [19], reported positive correlation of relative humidity, rain fall and wind speed with the MYMV disease incidence.

The results of the present studies are also supported by the investigation of Bishnai et al. [5]; Pun et al. [20]; Bhagat et al. [7], who observed that relative humidity played a crucial role in the multiplication of virus and its vector and in the spread of mung bean yellow mosaic disease. Ali et al. [3], also studied the effect of environmental factors and concluded that the maximum and minimum air temperature, relative humidity and wind speed had significant influence on disease incidence. Similarly, Singh & Gurah [21], found that hot weather with little or no rainfall was conducive for multiplication of MYMV and Bemisia tabaci [22-24].
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