Improved Measurements of Branching Fractions and $CP$ Partial Rate Asymmetries for $B \to \omega K$ and $B \to \omega \pi$
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We report improved measurements of $B$ to pseudoscalar-vector decays containing an $\omega$ meson in the final state. Our results are obtained from a data sample that contains $388 \times 10^6 B\bar{B}$ pairs accumulated at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance, with the Belle detector at the KEKB asymmetric-energy $e^+e^-$ collider. We measure the following branching fractions: $B(B^+ \to \omega K^+)[8.1 \pm 0.6\,\text{(stat.)} \pm 0.6\,\text{(syst.)}] \times 10^{-6}$, $B(B^+ \to \omega \pi^+)[6.9 \pm 0.6\,\text{(stat.)} \pm 0.5\,\text{(syst.)}] \times 10^{-6}$, and $B(B^0 \to \omega K^0)[4.4 \pm 0.8\,\text{(stat.)} \pm 0.4\,\text{(syst.)}] \times 10^{-6}$. The partial width ratio $\Gamma(B^+ \to \omega K^+) / \Gamma(B^0 \to \omega K^0)$ is $1.7 \pm 0.3\,\text{(stat.)} \pm 0.1\,\text{(syst.)}$. We also set the 90% confidence level upper limit $B(B^0 \to \omega \pi^)< 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$. In addition, we obtain the partial rate asymmetries $A_{CP} = 0.05^{\pm 0.05}_{\pm 0.01}\,\text{(stat.)} \pm 0.01\,\text{(syst.)}$ for $B^+ \to \omega K^+$, and $A_{CP} = -0.02 \pm 0.09\,\text{(stat.)} \pm 0.01\,\text{(syst.)}$ for $B^+ \to \omega \pi^+$.

In this paper, we report improved measurements of branching fractions and partial rate asymmetries for $B \to \omega h$ decays, where $h$ can be a kaon or pion. The partial rate asymmetry ($A_{CP}$) is measured for the charged $B$ decays and defined to be

$$A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(B^- \to \omega h^-) - \Gamma(B^- \to \omega h^+)}{\Gamma(B^- \to \omega h^-) + \Gamma(B^- \to \omega h^+)}.$$ 

Charmless hadronic $B$ decays provide a rich ground to understand the dynamics of $B$ meson decays and the origin of $CP$ violation. Two-body $B$ decays with a vector meson and a pseudoscalar particle $h$ (where $h$ is either a kaon or a pion) proceed through combinations of color-allowed ($T_u$) and color-suppressed ($C_u$) $b \to u$ tree diagrams and $b \to s$ ($P_s$) or $b \to d$ ($P_d$) penguin diagrams. For example, $B^+ \to \omega K^+$ proceeds through $T_u$, $C_u$, and $P_s$, $B^0 \to \omega K^0$ proceeds through $P_s$, $B^+ \to \omega \pi^+$ proceeds through $T_u$, $C_u$, and $P_d$, and $B^0 \to \omega \pi^0$ proceeds through $C_u$ and $P_d$. The QCD factorization (QCDF) approach suggests that the branching fractions of $B^+ \to \omega h^+$ decays are in the range $10^{-6} - 10^{-5}$ [1]. The predicted $B(B^0 \to \omega K^0)$ and $B(B^+ \to \omega K^+)$ are enhanced after including the rescattering effect from final-state interactions [2]. The perturbative QCD (PQCD) approach, on the other hand, suggests $B(B^+ \to \omega K^+) = 3.22 \times 10^{-6}$, and $B(B^0 \to \omega K^0) = 2.07 \times 10^{-6}$, and that $B(B^+ \to \omega K^+) = (10.6^{+10.4(+7.3)}_{-8.5(-5.4)}) \times 10^{-6}$, and $B(B^0 \to \omega K^0) = (9.8^{+8.6(+6.7)}_{-9.2(-4.3)}) \times 10^{-6}$ after considering next-to-leading-order accuracy [3]. Due to the lack of $T_u$ and $P_s$ diagrams, $B^0 \to \omega \pi^0$ is expected to be small [1, 4]. Experimentally, clear signals have been observed for $B^+ \to \omega K^+$, $B^+ \to \omega \pi^+$, and $B^0 \to \omega K^0$ with similar branching fractions [3, 5, 6, 7, 8]. However, experimental measurements to date are not yet precise enough for a quantitative confirmation of the pattern predicted by QCDF or PQCD.
Hadronic events are selected using criteria based on the charged track multiplicity and total visible energy, with an efficiency greater than 99% for generic $B\overline{B}$ events. All primary charged tracks must satisfy quality requirements based on their impact parameters relative to the run-dependent interaction point (IP). For tracks from the candidate $B$ mesons, their deviations from the IP position are required to be within ±0.1 cm in the transverse direction and ±3.0 cm in the longitudinal direction.

Charged particle identification is performed using a $K$-$\pi$ likelihood ratio, $R_K = L_K/(L_\pi + L_K)$, where $L_K$ ($L_\pi$) is the likelihood for a charged particle to be a kaon (pion) based on information from the ACC, TOF and CDC. Charged tracks with $R_K > 0.6$ are identified as kaons, and tracks with $R_K < 0.4$ are identified as pions. With these criteria, kaons produced in $B^+ \rightarrow \omega K^+$ decays are selected with an efficiency of 85%, while the corresponding rate of kaons that are misidentified as pions is 11%. On the other hand, pions from $B^+ \rightarrow \omega \pi^+$ are selected with an efficiency of 90% and have a corresponding kaon misidentification rate of 9%.

Candidate $\pi^0$ mesons are reconstructed from pairs of photons with an invariant mass in the range of $118$ MeV/$c^2 < M_{\gamma\gamma} < 150$ MeV/$c^2$ (within ±2.5σ around the PDG value $^{12}$). The cosine of the decay angle should satisfy $|\cos \theta_\gamma| < 0.9$, where $\theta_\gamma$ is the angle between the photon decay axis and the negative of the laboratory frame direction in the $\pi^0$ rest frame. Candidate $K^0_S$ mesons are reconstructed using pairs of oppositely charged tracks that have an invariant mass in the range of $482$ MeV/$c^2 < M_{\pi^+\pi^-} < 514$ MeV/$c^2$ (within ±3σ around the PDG value $^{12}$). The vertex of the $K^0_S$ candidate is required to be well reconstructed and displaced from the IP, and the $K^0_S$ momentum direction must be consistent with the $K^0_S$ flight direction. Candidate $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays are reconstructed from charged tracks with $R_K < 0.9$ (this requirement has a 96% efficiency per track), and from $\pi^0$ candidates with $e^+e^-$ center-of-mass frame (CM) momenta greater than 0.35 GeV/$c^2$. Candidate $\omega$ mesons are required to have invariant masses within ±30 MeV/$c^2$ (≈ ±3σ) of the PDG value $^{12}$.

B meson candidates are formed by combining an $\omega$ meson with either a kaon ($K^+$, $K^0_S$) or a pion ($\pi^+$, $\pi^0$). Two kinematic variables are used to select $B$ candidates: the beam-energy constrained mass $M_{bc} = \sqrt{(E_{\text{beam}}^C)^2 - |P_{\text{CM}}^B|^2}$, and the energy difference $\Delta E = E_B^C - E_{\text{beam}}^C$, where $E_{\text{beam}}^C$ is the beam energy in the CM frame, and $E_B^C$ are the momentum and energy, respectively, of the $B$ candidate in the CM frame. Candidates with $M_{bc} > 5.2$ GeV/$c^2$ and $|\Delta E| < 0.25$ GeV ($|\Delta E| < 0.30$ GeV for $B^0 \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$) are selected for further analysis.

The dominant background arises from quark-antiquark continuum events ($e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$, $q = u,d,s,c$). The continuum background is characterized by a jet-like structure, while the $B\overline{B}$ events have a more spherical distribution. The following event-shape variables calculated in the CM frame are employed to suppress the continuum. The thrust angle $\theta_T$ is defined as the angle between the thrust axis $^{13}$ of the $B$ candidate daughter particles and that of the rest of the particles in an event. Signal events are uniformly distributed in $\cos \theta_T$, while continuum events are sharply peaked near $\cos \theta_T = ±1.0$. Events with $|\cos \theta_T| < 0.9$ are selected.

A Fisher discriminant is formed by combining a set of modified Fox-Wolfram moments $^{14}$ with the variable $S_\perp$. This variable is the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of particles outside a 45° cone around the $B$ thrust axis, divided by the scalar sum of their momenta. Here, the transverse momenta are calculated with respect to the thrust axis, and we do not include daughters of the $B$ candidate. Further variables that have been found to separate signal from continuum background include: the cosine of the angle between the flight direction of the $B$ candidate and the beam direction ($\cos \theta_B$); the distance along the beam direction between the $B$ vertex and the vertex of the remaining particles in the event ($\Delta z$); and the cosine of the helicity angle, defined as the angle between the direction opposite to the $B$ flight direction in the $\omega$ rest frame and the direction normal to the plane defined by the three daughter pions of the $\omega$. The probability density functions (PDFs) for these three variables and the Fisher discriminant are obtained using Monte Carlo (MC) simulation for signal events and sideband data ($M_{bc} < 5.26$ GeV/$c^2$) for $q\overline{q}$ backgrounds. These variables are combined to form a likelihood ratio $R = \frac{L_{\omega}}{L_{\omega+S\perp}}$, where $L_{\omega+S\perp}$ is the product of signal ($q\overline{q}$) PDFs.

Additional background discrimination is provided by the quality of the $B$ flavor tagging of the accompanying $B$ meson. We use the standard Belle $B$ tagging package $^{16}$, which gives two outputs: a discrete variable ($q$) indicating the $B$ flavor, and a dilution factor ($r$) ranging from zero for no flavor information to unity for unambiguous flavor assignment. We divide the data into six $r$ regions. Continuum suppression is achieved by applying a mode-dependent requirement on $R$ for events in each $r$ region based on the figure-of-merit: $N_s/\sqrt{N_s+N_{\omega}S\perp}$, where $N_s$ is the expected number of signal events estimated from simulation and our previously published branching fractions $^{5}$, and $N_{\omega}S\perp$ is the number of background events estimated from sideband data ($M_{bc} < 5.26$ GeV/$c^2$). This $R$ requirement retains 74%, 68%, 74%, and 57% of the signal while rejecting 91%, 94%, 91%, and 95% of the continuum background for the $\omega K^+$, $\omega \pi^+$, $\omega K^0$, and $\omega \pi^0$ modes, respectively.

Simulation studies indicate small backgrounds from generic $b \rightarrow c$ transitions in the charged $B$ modes; they are found to be negligible for the neutral modes. Two additional backgrounds have also been considered: re-
flections of $B \to \omega \pi^\pm$ decays due to $\pi \to K$ misidentification, and feed-down from other charmless $B$ decays, predominantly $B \to \omega K^\ast (892)$ and $B \to \omega \eta (770)$. We include these three components in the fit used to extract the signal.

The signal yields and partial rate asymmetries are obtained using an extended unbinned maximum likelihood (ML) fit for $M_{bc}$ and $\Delta E$. The likelihood is defined as

$$
\mathcal{L} = e^{-\sum_j N_j} \times \prod_i \left[ \sum_j N_j P_j(M_{bc}, \Delta E_i) \right]
$$

where $i$ is the identifier of the $i$-th event, $P_j(M_{bc}, \Delta E_i)$ is the PDF of $M_{bc}$ and $\Delta E$, $N_j$ is the number of events for category $j$, which corresponds to either signal, $q\bar{q}$ background, reflections due to $K-\pi$ misidentification, or $BB$ background ($b \to c$ and charmless). There is no reflection component or $b \to c$ background for the neutral $B$ modes.

The signal distribution in $M_{bc}$ is parameterized by a Gaussian function centered near the mass of the $B$ meson, while the Crystal Ball line shape [12] is used to model the $\Delta E$ distribution. Both functions are calibrated with large control samples of $B \to D^0\pi^\pm$, $D^0 \to K^\mp \pi^\mp$, and $B \to \eta' K$, $\eta' \to \eta \pi^\mp \pi^\mp$, $\eta \to \gamma \gamma$. The continuum PDF is the product of a first-order polynomial for $\Delta E$ and an ARGUS function [18] for $M_{bc}$. All parameters of the continuum PDF are allowed to float in the fit except for the endpoint of the ARGUS function, which is determined using the $B \to D^0\pi$ sample. Other background PDFs are modelled by a smoothed two-dimensional $M_{bc} - \Delta E$ function obtained from MC simulation. The yields of the continuum and $BB$ backgrounds are floated in the fit, except for the reflection component. The normalizations of the reflection components are fixed to expectations based on the $B^+ \to \omega K^+$ and $B^+ \to \omega \pi^+ \pi^0$ branching fractions, as well as $K^+ \leftrightarrow \pi^+$ fake rates. The reflection yields are first calculated according to the assumed $\omega K^+/\pi^+$ branching fractions and then re-estimated based on our measured results from the fit. After a few iterations, the reflection yields converge. For the charged $B$ modes, we perform independent fits to the $B^+$ and $B^-$ samples in order to extract $A_{CP}$ according to Eq. 1.

Figure 1 shows the data and fit results for each mode. Table II lists the resulting event yields, efficiencies, corresponding branching fractions and $A_{CP}$. These new results are consistent with those measured by BaBar [8]. The branching fractions are computed as the sum of the yields divided by the corresponding efficiencies in each dataset and the total number of $B$ mesons. The reconstruction efficiency for each mode includes all intermediate branching fractions and is defined as the fraction of the signal yield remaining after all selection criteria, where the yield is determined by performing the unbinned maximum likelihood fit on a MC sample. Small correction factors are included to account for differences in the reconstruction efficiency between MC and data. The correction factor is obtained as a result of the relative $\pi^0$ reconstruction (1.00 for Set I and 0.96 for Set II) using the inclusive $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ samples. The corresponding systematic error is 4%. We determine the identification efficiency of charged kaons and pions by studying an inclusive $D^+ \to D^0\pi^+$, $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ sample. This selection criterion leads to a correction factor within the range of 0.90–0.96 and a corresponding systematic error of 1.7% for charged modes and 0.9% for neutral modes.

We define the significance as $\sqrt{-2\ln(\mathcal{L}_0/\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}})}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum likelihood from the fit when the signal yields are floated, and $\mathcal{L}_0$ is obtained when the signal yields are set to zero with the remaining fit parameters still floating. To verify that signal candidates indeed arise from $B \to \omega K$ or $B \to \omega \eta$, we in turn change the criterion on $M_{\omega \to \tau \pi^\pm \pi^\mp}$ or $|\cos \theta_{\text{hel}}|$, and repeatedly perform ML fits for $M_{bc}$ and $\Delta E$ to obtain yields in each bin of $M_{bc}, M_{\omega \to \tau \pi^\pm \pi^\mp}$ or $|\cos \theta_{\text{hel}}|$ shown in Figure 2, where $\theta_{\text{hel}}$ denotes the angle between the $B$ flight direction and the normal to the decay plane in the $\omega$ rest frame. The 90% C.L. upper limit for $B(D^0 \to \omega \pi^0)$ is calculated using the procedure of Ref. [19], which is based on the Feldman-Cousins method [20]. This procedure accounts for systematic uncertainties (discussed below). The estimated background (observed count) is 57 (65) events inside the $M_{bc} - \Delta E$ signal box.

The main systematic uncertainties for the branching fraction measurements are listed in Table III. These are evaluated as follows: charged tracking efficiency (1.0% per track) from partially reconstructed $D^{+} \to D^{0}\pi^{+}$, $D^{0} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}$; $K_S^0$ reconstruction (4.9%) from $D^{+} \to K_S^0 \pi^{+}$, $D^{+} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{0}$; $\omega$ mass resolution (3.0%); the requirement on $R$ (3.0%) from $B^{-} \to D^{0}\pi^{-}$, $D^{0} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}$; MC statistics (1.1–2.4%); and the number of $BB$ events in the data samples (1.3%). For the $A_{CP}$ measurement, the dominant systematic uncertainty comes from the fit parameters. Systematic uncertainties due to fit parameters are evaluated by varying each parameter by $\pm 1\sigma$ and adding in quadrature the resulting deviations from the central value. The total systematic uncertainty is the quadratic sum of all the above contributions. When varying each fit parameter by $\pm 1\sigma$ one at a time, the significance is recalculated and the lowest value is chosen as the final significance (shown in Table II) including the systematic uncertainty.

While the branching fractions for $B^+ \to \omega K^+$, $B^0 \to \omega K^0$, and $B^+ \to \omega \pi^+\pi^0$ are of comparable size, that for $B^0 \to \omega \pi^0$ is much smaller as compared to that for $B^+ \to \omega \pi^+$. This is in agreement with both QCDF and PQCD pictures, which predict that only color-suppressed tree and penguin diagrams contribute to $B^0 \to \omega \pi^0$ [1, 3, 4].
TABLE I: Signal efficiency ($\epsilon$), signal yield ($N_s$), significance including systematic error ($\Sigma_{sig}$), branching fraction (B), the 90% C.L. upper limit (U.L.) and partial rate asymmetry ($A_{CP}$) for the $B^+ \to \omega h^+$ decays. The first and second errors are statistical and systematic, respectively.

| Mode   | $\epsilon$ (%) | $N_s$ | $\Sigma_{sig}$ | B ($10^{-6}$) | U.L. ($10^{-6}$) | $A_{CP}$ |
|--------|----------------|------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------|
| $\omega K^+$ | 8.27 ± 0.17 | 259.5$^{+20.4}_{-19.3}$ | 19.5 | 8.1 ± 0.6 ± 0.6 | – | 0.05$^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$ ± 0.01 |
| $\omega \pi^+$ | 8.43 ± 0.17 | 224.8$^{+20.3}_{-19.3}$ | 17.1 | 6.9 ± 0.6 ± 0.5 | – | –0.02 ± 0.09 ± 0.01 |
| $\omega K^0$ | 2.50 ± 0.09 | 41.5$^{+8.0}_{-7.0}$ | 9.3 | 4.4$^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ ± 0.4 | – | – |
| $\omega \pi^0$ | 3.80 ± 0.12 | 5.9$^{+4.8}_{-4.1}$ | 1.5 | 0.5$^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$ ± 0.1 | 2.0 | – |

We also determine the partial width ratio
\[
\frac{\Gamma(B^+ \to \omega K^+)}{\Gamma(B^0 \to \omega K^0)} = 1.7 ± 0.3\text{(stat.)} ± 0.1\text{(sys.)},
\]
where the ratio of $B$ meson lifetimes $\frac{\tau_{B^+}}{\tau_{B^0}}$ is taken to be $1.076 ± 0.008$ [12]. The systematic error of the partial width ratio is reduced because of the cancellation of several common systematic errors. No evidence for direct CP violation is found for either $B^+ \to \omega K^+$ or $B^+ \to \omega \pi^+$.

Our results on branching fractions and CP asymmetries are consistent with the recent measurements from the BaBar collaboration.
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FIG. 2: Background-subtracted one-dimensional projections are obtained from data fit results in bins of fit yields in (left) the invariant mass $M_{\omega \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0}$ and (right) the absolute value of the cosine of the helicity angle $|\cos \theta_{\text{hel}}|$ for $\omega K^+$, $\omega \pi^+$, and $\omega K^0$ candidate events. Points are data, and histograms are from MC expectations for $B \to \omega h$.

Chen, C.K. Chua, and H.n. Li for invaluable instruction and discussions on particle physics phenomenology while at AS (Taiwan).

[1] M. Beneke, M. Neubert, Nucl. Phys. B 675, 333 (2003).

[2] H.-Y. Cheng, C.-K. Chua, Amarjit Soni, Phys. Rev. D 72, 014006 (2005).
[3] H.-n. Li, Satoshi Mishima, hep-ph/0608277; C.-H. Chen, Phys. Lett. B 525, 56 (2002).
[4] Cai-Dian Lü, Mao-Zhi Yang, Eur. Phys. J. C 23, 275 (2002).
[5] C.-H. Wang et al. (Belle Collab.), Phys. Rev. D 70, 012001 (2004).
[6] B. Aubert et al. (BaBar Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 061801 (2004).
[7] B. Aubert et al. (BaBar Collab.), Phys. Rev. D 70, 032006 (2004).
[8] B. Aubert et al. (BaBar Collab.), Phys. Rev. D (RC) 74, 011106 (2006).
[9] S. Kurokawa and E. Kikutani, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. Sect. A 499, 1 (2003), and other papers included in this volume.
[10] A. Abashian et al. (Belle Collab.), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. Sect. A 479, 117 (2002).
[11] Y. Ushiroda (Belle SVD2 group), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. Sect. A 511, 6 (2003).
[12] S. Edelman et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B 592, 1 (2004).
[13] We define the thrust axis for a collection of particles as the axis that maximizes the sum of the magnitude of the longitudinal momenta with respect to the axis.
[14] The Fox-Wolfram moments were introduced in G.C. Fox and S. Wolfram, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1581 (1978). The Fisher discriminant used by Belle, based on modified Fox-Wolfram moments (SFW), is described in K. Abe et al. (Belle Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 101801 (2001) and K. Abe et al. (Belle Collab.), Phys. Lett. B 511, 151 (2001).
[15] R. Ammar et al. (CLEO Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 674 (1993). The variable $S_\perp$ was first introduced by CLEO in the $B \to K^* \gamma$ analysis. We use the $B$ thrust axis to calculate $S_\perp$, while CLEO uses the photon direction.
[16] H. Kakuno et al. (Belle Collab.), Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 533, 516 (2004).
[17] J.E. Gaiser et al. (Crystal Ball Collab.), Phys. Rev. D 34, 711 (1986).
[18] H. Albrecht et al. (ARGUS Collab.), Phys. Lett. B 241, 278 (1990).
[19] POLE program, v.1.0 [http://www3.tsl.uu.se/~conrad/pole.html]; see also J. Conrad, O. Botner, A. Hallgren, and C. Pérez de los Heros, Phys. Rev. D 67, 012002 (2003).
[20] G.J. Feldman and R.D. Cousins, Phys. Rev. D 57, 3873, (1998).