ABSTRACT

Aims: This research was carried out to study the nutrients removal by different parts of coconut. This palm takes up a lot of nutrients from the ground for growth and development. Removal of nutrients varies from different components of palm. Hence this was carried to study the nutrient removal by different parts of coconut to improve growth.

Study design: The study was carried out in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with 2 factors (A and B) and 4 replications.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was carried out at Coconut Orchard Department of Plantation and Spices Horticultural College & Research Institute Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore during 2021-2022.

Methodology: Here the major nutrient (NPK) removal of two varieties Chowghat Orange Dwarf (COD) and West Coast Tall (WCT) were estimated. The nutrient removal was estimated by collecting plant sample before fertilizer application (initial) and 3rd and 6th months after fertilizer application. These samples were dried powdered and analysed with respective procedures and instruments.

Results: The result revealed that the removal of nutrients from parts of coconut is increased from initial (without fertilizer application) to 3rd and 6th months after fertilizer application in both Chowghat Orange Dwarf (COD) and West Coast Tall (WCT). There is more removal of potassium compared to nitrogen and phosphorus. The percentage of nutrients removed was 13.52, 18.69, 21.22 during initial, 3rd month and 6th month in COD as such 16.22, 19.8, 22.44 in WCT respectively. Comparing with Chowghat Orange Dwarf (COD) and West Coast Tall (WCT) removes more nutrients.

Conclusion: The present study revealed that there was enormous removal of potassium from both varieties Chowghat Orange Dwarf (COD) and West Coast Tall (WCT). So, there is a need to increase in the potassium fertilizer application from normal recommended dose or potassium enriched nutrient application is recommended.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Coconut (Cocos nucifera L.) is one of the most important tropical tree crops in the world and is widely known as the “tree of life” for many communities in different nations because it provides a large number of products that can be used to support the local economy [1]. It is placed in palm family (Areaceae) with chromosome number 2n=32. Coconut crop as unique versatility because every part of the coconut palm is used, and it produces a range of valuable products, many of which contribute to health maintenance and improvement [1]. There are two important varieties in coconut palm depending on their fruit bearing habit. These are the “tall” and “dwarf” coconut trees. Among these
two varieties of coconut the tall variety is slow growing. It starts bearing fruits after 6–10 years of planting, and its productivity is maintained even after 80–120 years. The fruits of the tall variety palm mature in 12 months. Whereas the dwarf variety starts bearing fruit within 1–2 years of planting and gains a height of less than half that of the tall variety [2].

It was reported that there is a regular removal of plant nutrients in coconut palm along with harvested nuts, fallen fronds and other parts of the inflorescences. Many previous studies have shown that all parts of coconut palm like harvested nuts, fronds and other residue removes a considerable quantity of macro and micro nutrients [3]. Studies on nutrient removal will provide a clear understanding of the rate and amount of nutrient depletion from soil. This nutrient depletion of different eco-systems belonging to different productivity categories will be useful in formulating fertilizer recommendations [4]. Information on the rate and amount of nutrient depletion from coconut lands of different yield potentials will be a useful input for developing more logistic approaches to coconut nutrition and soil management [5].

2.MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Location and crop variety

The experimental research was carried out in 25 years old coconut plantations having 11° N latitude and 77° E longitude at Coconut Nursery, Department of Spices and Plantation crops, Horticulture College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore with elevation of 426 m above mean sea level (MSL). In this study nutrient removal and uptake by coconut was estimated in coconut cultivars COD (Chowghat Orange Dwarf) and WCT (West Coast Tall) planted at a spacing of 7.5m × 7.5m in coconut nursery and their growth characters was also noticed during nutrient removal.

2.2. Physico-chemical characteristics of soil.

Soil samples were taken from research fields at various depths of 30 cm, 45 cm, 60 cm, and then they are shade dried, powdered and sieved through a 2mm sieve. The available nutrient contents like nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, pH and EC were analysed in soil samples [6] [7]. Table 1

2.3. Nutrient concentration analysis of different parts of coconut.

To determine the nutrient contents in different parts of coconut samples were collected viz., for leaf nutrient status estimation leaflet samples were collected from either side of rachics of the 14 frond and for frond nutrient status estimation same fronds end part is used. Nuts were also collected and separated in husk and shell. These all samples were dried in hot air oven and made into powdered for
estimation of different nutrient contents like nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and micronutrients. The nutrient contents are estimated by using the following methods.

| Sl. No | Properties                        | Methods                                           | Reference |
|--------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1.     | Available nitrogen (Kg⁻¹ha)       | Alkaline permanganate method                      | [8]       |
| 2.     | Available phosphorus (kg⁻¹ha)     | Colorimetrically using ammonium molybdate as the colouring agent | [7]       |
| 3.     | Available potassium (kg⁻¹ha)      | Flame photometry                                  | [6]       |
| 4.     | DTPA extractable micronutrients (viz., Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu) (mg⁻¹ kg) | Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS)         | [9]       |

2.4. Observation recorded

- No of leaves /frond (pairs), No of inflorescences per palm, Trunk height (m), Trunk girth(diameter)(cm), No. of fronds, No. of bunches, no of nuts / bunches, no of nuts/palm, Whole nut weight(kg), Dehusked nut weight (kg), Husk weight(kg), Shell weight(kg), Weight of entire leaf with fronds (green)kg, Weight of entire leaf with fronds(dried)kg, Volume of nut water(ml).

3. RESULT

The experimental field soil chosen for research contains nitrogen at 213 kg/ha (WCT) and 193 kg/ha (COD) which is found to be low in both the field. The phosphorus at 23.5 kg/ha (COD), 39 kg/ha (WCT) and potassium content of 511 kg/ha (COD), 981 kg/ha (WCT) which is found highest in the field. Both the fields were slightly alkaline with pH range of 8.00-8.30 and EC of 0.20-0.21 ds/m shows it is non saline in nature (Table 1). Soil analysis of these field showed that soil texture is clay loam and sandy clay loam with Calcareous lime status.

Table 1: Soil analysis of experimental plot at initial stage
### 3.1. Growth characters

Many growth parameters like no of leaves /frond (pairs), no. of fronds, no. of bunches no of nuts / bunches and no of nuts / palm as 94.25, 24.25,6, 4.15,25 of West Coast tall variety was highest compared to chowghat orange dwarf has 90.25,16.3.25, 5.27,16.5. Though the bearing stage of tall variety is late compared to dwarf variety the yield parameters are higher in tall varieties (Table2).

**Table 2: Growth characters of Chowghat Orange Dwarf (COD) and West Coast Tall (WCT).**

| S. No | Parameters                     | Chowghat Orange Dwarf | Orange Dwarf | West Coast Tall |
|-------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1     | No of leaves /frond (pairs)    | 90.25                 | 94.25        |                 |
| 2     | No of inflorescences per palm  | 5-6                   | 8-12         |                 |
| 3     | Trunk height (m)               | 8.56                  | 15.25        |                 |
| 4     | Trunk girth(diameter)(cm)      | 26.77                 | 28.36        |                 |
| 5     | No. of fronds                  | 16                    | 24.25        |                 |
| 6     | No. of bunches                 | 3.25                  | 6            |                 |
|   | No of nuts / bunches | 5.27 | 4.15 |
|---|---------------------|------|------|
| 8 | No of nuts / palm   | 16.5 | 25   |
| 9 | Whole nut weight(kg)| 0.92 | 1.12 |
|10 | Dehusked nut weight (kg) | 0.44 | 0.56 |
|11 | Husk weight(kg)     | 0.41 | 0.49 |
|12 | Shell weight(kg)    | 0.16 | 0.18 |
|13 | Weight of entire leaf with fronds (green)kg | 3.30 | 3.45 |
|14 | Weight of entire leaf with fronds(dried)kg | 1.33 | 1.51 |
|15 | Volume of nut water (ml) | 196.1 | 210 |

### 3.2. Nutrient removal at different stage

The removal of N from different parts mean showed that leaf removes a large amount of N in both the varieties (COD -2.16%, WCT- 2.63%) followed by husk. Removal of nitrogen content from plant components was gradually increased from initial (no fertilizer application) to 3rd and 6th month after fertilizer application Table 3.

Removal of phosphorus from different parts is presented in Table 4. The removal of P from different parts mean showed that all parts remove a specific moderate quantity of P at range of 0.20%-0.70%. Husk which removes 0.67% of P. Removal of phosphorus content from plant components also increased gradually from initial (no fertilizer application) to 3rd and 6th month after fertilizer application.
Table 3: Nitrogen removal from different parts of COD and WCT varieties of coconut (%)

| N(%) | LEAF | FRONDS | SHELL | HUSK |
|------|------|--------|-------|------|
| Treatments | initial | 3rd month | 6th month | Mean | initial | 3rd month | 6th month | Mean | initial | 3rd month | 6th month | Mean |
| Nutrient removal in COD | 1.95 | 2.17 | 2.35 | 2.16 | 0.78 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 0.95 | 0.55 | 0.34 | 0.75 | 0.54 | 0.87 | 1.36 | 1.25 | 1.16 |
| Nutrient removal in WCT | 2.52 | 2.60 | 2.76 | 2.63 | 1.20 | 1.28 | 1.48 | 1.32 | 0.92 | 0.71 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 1.41 | 1.60 | 1.75 | 1.59 |
| MEAN | 2.23 | 2.39 | 2.56 | 2.39 | 0.99 | 1.15 | 1.27 | 1.13 | 0.73 | 0.52 | 0.80 | 0.69 | 1.14 | 1.48 | 1.50 | 1.37 |

| A | B | A*B | A | B | A*B | A | B | A*B | A | B | A*B |
|---|---|-----|---|---|-----|---|---|-----|---|---|-----|
| SEd | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.11 |

Table 4: Phosphorus removal from different parts of COD and WCT varieties of coconut (%)

| P(%) | LEAF | FRONDS | SHELL | HUSK |
|------|------|--------|-------|------|
| Treatments | initial | 3rd month | 6th month | Mean | initial | 3rd month | 6th month | Mean | initial | 3rd month | 6th month | Mean |
| Nutrient removal in COD | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.68 | 0.52 |
### Table 5: Potassium removal from different parts of COD and WCT varieties of coconut (%)

| K (%) | LEAF | FRONDS | SHELL | HUSK |
|-------|------|--------|-------|------|
|       | initial | 3<sup>rd</sup> month | 6<sup>th</sup> month | Mean | initial | 3<sup>rd</sup> month | 6<sup>th</sup> month | Mean | initial | 3<sup>rd</sup> month | 6<sup>th</sup> month | Mean |
| Treatments | Nutrient removal in COD | 0.60 | 0.54 | 0.83 | 0.65 | 1.47 | 1.55 | 1.80 | 1.61 | 0.51 | 0.37 | 0.73 | 0.53 | 7.77 | 7.72 | 8.29 | 7.93 |
| | Nutrient removal in WCT | 0.96 | 0.81 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 1.93 | 1.83 | 1.97 | 1.91 | 0.89 | 0.71 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 8.47 | 8.22 | 8.37 | 8.35 |
| | MEAN | 0.78 | 0.67 | 0.88 | 0.78 | 1.70 | 1.69 | 1.88 | 1.76 | 0.70 | 0.54 | 0.79 | 0.67 | 8.12 | 7.97 | 8.33 | 8.14 |
|       | A | B | A*B | A | B | A*B | A | B | A*B | A | B | A*B | A | B | A*B | A | B | A*B |
| SEd | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.19 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.40 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.40 |
The amount of K removed from different parts of coconut palm is presented in Table 5. Potassium one of the important major nutrients was the highest removed compared to other major nutrients N and P. Husk is a part in coconut which removes enormous amount of K (8.14%). Other all parts remove only small quantity. Potassium removal also slowly increased from initial (without fertilizer application) to 3rd and 6th months after fertilizer application.

**DISCUSSION**

The coconut palm extracts various amounts of macronutrients from different sections of the plant. The nuts removed far more K and N than P. K depletion may occur quickly through exchangeable soil by fallen plant components and harvested nuts in the plantation if K fertilizer is applied at a greater rate alone with other N and P fertilizers [10].

Despite applying the prescribed fertilizer, the depletion rate of exchangeable K in the soil would be 38.3 kg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) at a production rate of 7,500 nuts ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\). As a result, the depletion should be compensated for either by increasing the amount of chemical fertilizer used or by recycling the palm’s organic components [3] [5].

Application of extra 80 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of MOP would be sufficient to fill the gap between K removal and input by fertilizer. It is important to note that K input to soil at the recommended rate of both fertilizer and a mulch with all the fallen coconut fronds would be 156.7 kg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), which is still less than the rate of through uptake by the palm [11].

If all fallen fronds and inflorescences applied as mulch to the manure circles and incorporated to the soil, the rate of depletion of K would be decreased considerably.

Husk the part of coconut removes higher amount of K. This can be overcome by practicing mulch of fresh husk in the manure circle because the husk contains 35% of water-soluble K. this would help in saving inorganic fertilizers and compensate the effect of depletion [12].

**CONCLUSION**

The results of the current investigation showed that different coconut portions remove varied amounts of the major nutrients, with potassium being the one eliminated most frequently. The most K is removed by both COD and WCT. When comparing total nutrient removal from coconut palm plant parts, West Coast Tall removes more nutrients than Chowghat Orange Dwarf. There is a need to apply more fertiliser than is advised, especially for potassium, due to an increased removal of potassium from the crop.
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