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Abstract

Interest in forgiveness has explored in recent years as researchers and clinicians began to recognize its value for maintaining emotional well-being, physical health, and healthy intimate relationships. Individuals who tend to adopt forgiving rather than revengeful strategies generally have less aggressive behaviours and better interpersonal relationships with others. We hypothesized that an individual's interpersonal relationship could be predicted by his/her trait forgiveness and aggressive behaviour. We tested this hypothesis using a survey, in which five hundred and thirty-eight college students (195 males and 343 females) from five universities in China were investigated with Trait Forgiveness Scale (TFS), Interpersonal Disturbance Scale (IDS), Social-support Scale (SS) and Aggression Questionnaire (AQ). Descriptive statistics and inferential statistics were adopted to analyse the data of survey in SPSS 21. Results indicated that (a) significant gender differences existed in trait forgiveness, that is, trait forgiveness of female students was significantly higher than that of male students (t = -2.252, p< 0.05); (b) trait forgiveness positively correlated with social support (r = 0.27, p< 0.001), and negatively correlated with interpersonal disturbance (r = -0.35, p< 0.001) and aggressive behaviours (r = -0.40, p< 0.001); (c) trait forgiveness was the best predictor of interpersonal relationship; (d) aggressive behaviour served as a partial mediator variable between trait forgiveness and interpersonal relationship. These results imply that although trait forgiveness and aggressive behaviour both affect an individual's interpersonal relationship, the affecting paths were different. Trait forgiveness may directly affect interpersonal relationship, or indirectly through aggressive behaviour.
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1 INTRODUCTION

An interpersonal relationship is a strong, deep, or close association or acquaintance between two or more people, which is based on communication, interactions, or some other type of social commitment and is the basis of social groups and society as a whole. Interpersonal relationships often yield good outcomes, such as companionship, security, and social support, though sometimes they are challenged by serious conflicts (Karremans, Van Lange, Ouwerkerk, & Kluwer, 2003), which may result in anger, resentment, hurt and other negative feelings creating cycles of hostility and desire for revenge. In the face of hurt, whether or not the
offended will be able to forgive the offender and avoid negative emotions such as anger and hostility, is essential for the establishment of good interpersonal relationship.

As a construct that may maintain interpersonal relationships and contribute to well-being, FORGIVENESS, in recent years, has received increasing attention (McCullough, Pargament, & Thoresen, 2000; Watkins et al., 2011). Forgiveness can be regarded as a response to a specific interpersonal hurt or transgression perpetrated by another. When the offended forgives, his/her thoughts, feelings and behaviors toward the offender become less negative and more positive. Meanwhile, his/her motivations to avoid and/or seek revenge against the offender are replaced with a motivation to maintain a positive relationship (McCullough et al., 2000). In addition, forgiveness can also be conceptualized as a personality trait, which can be characterized along a forgiving–unforgiving continuum (Berru, Worthington, Parrott, O Connor, & Wade, 2001; Brose, Rye, Lutz-Zois, & Ross, 2005; McCullough & Witvliet, 2002). Individuals who possess such trait may be accustomed to choosing forgiving others as their habitual response to interpersonal transgressions across the time and situations. By forgiving each other, people can move beyond desires for revenge and restore benevolent and harmonious interpersonal relations (McCullough et al., 1998; McCullough, Worthington, & Rachal, 1997; Tse & Yip, 2009; Watkins et al., 2011).

Aggression, an important research field in psychology and a common social phenomenon on campus, is overt, often harmful, social interaction with the intention of inflicting damage or other unpleasantness upon another individual (de Almeida, Cabral, & Narvaez, 2015). Although some scholars have questioned psychological conceptualizations of aggression as universally negative and thought it had some adaptive benefits (Ferguson & Beaver, 2009), aggressive behaviors, in most cases, might be associated with maladjustment for both aggressors and victims (Card & Little, 2006; Hawley, Little, & Card, 2007). Interpersonal relationship and even life safety might be threatened by aggressive behaviors. Indeed, empirical evidence has accumulated over the past decades suggesting personality is one of the most important factors that lead to aggressive behaviors. Neuroticism is positively associated, while agreeableness, openness and conscientiousness are negatively associated, with physical aggression among undergraduate students (Barlett & Anderson, 2012; Sharpe & Desai, 2001; Yu, Lim, & Gamble, 2016).

Taking the close connections of these three psychological constructs into consideration, the present study assumes that aggressive behavior may serve as a mediator between trait forgiveness and interpersonal relationship. The exploring of the relationship between the variables among Chinese undergraduates will, thus, make a unique contribution to the literature.

2 METHOD

2.1 Participants and Procedure

A sample of 538 college students (195 men and 343 women) with a mean age of 20.04 years (SD =1.37) participated in the study. Students from five universities of three cities in China, namely Hohhot, Beijing and Guangzhou, were drawn as a convenience sample. The students were told by the instructors to participate in the study voluntarily without receiving any extra credit and to complete the scales anonymously in class. Measures of forgiveness, aggression and interpersonal relationship were completed as part of a standard intake battery of assessments. All participants were treated in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the American Psychological Association.

2.2 Measures

2.2.1 Trait Forgiveness Scale (Yang, 2013)

This 8-item scale measures two dimensions of trait forgiveness: tendency to forgive (TF) and tendency to revenge (TR). Each subscale has four items. The scale was developed on the basis of previous literature review, open questionnaire survey and individual interviews done with college students. Respondents are required to rate the items on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). The TFS has shown acceptable internal consistency (TF: α = 0.74; TR: α = 0.75) and test-retest reliability (TF: rs = 0.71; TR: rs = 0.74 for 4 weeks intervals). The TFS has also shown acceptable construct validity supported by confirmatory factor analysis and convergent and divergent correlations with other measures of forgiveness and related constructs.

2.2.2 Aggression Questionnaire (Buss & Perry, 1992)

This 29-item questionnaire is used to measure individual's aggression behaviors. Respondents are required to rank certain statements along a 5-point continuum from 1 (extremely uncharacteristic of me) to 5
(extremely characteristic of me). The questionnaire returns scores for 4 dimensions of aggression: Physical Aggression, Verbal Aggression, Anger and Hostility. Due to the low internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.43$), the items in Verbal Aggression were deleted in present study. The internal consistency of total scale and other three subscales are adequate ($Cronbach \alpha = 0.68 \sim 0.85$).

2.2.3 Perceived Social Support Scale

The scale is used as a brief self-report measure of subjectively assessed social support in which 12-item ratings were made on a 7-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (very strongly disagree) to 7 (very strongly agree), which was originally developed by Zimet et al (1990) and translated into Chinese by Jiang (Wang, Wang, & Ma, 1999). The 12-item scale was designed to measure the perceived adequacy of support from the following three sources: family, friends and significant others. Each subscale includes 4 items and the total score of PSSS is the sum of the three subscales. The higher score the participant gets, the more social support he/she can perceive. The internal consistency of total scale and other three subscales are adequate ($Cronbach \alpha = 0.92, 0.82, 0.88$ and 0.82, respectively) in present study.

2.2.4 Interpersonal Disturbance Scale (Zheng, 1999).

The 28-item scale is a diagnostic measure for interpersonal problematic behaviors. Each item should be responded with “yes” (1 point) or “no” (0 point). The higher score the participant gets, the more interpersonal disturbance he is suffering from. The internal consistency of the scale is acceptable ($Cronbach \alpha = 0.83$) in present study.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Independent Sample T-test

The study firstly examined whether there was any difference in demographic variables. Independent sample T-test showed that trait forgiveness of female students was significantly higher than that of male students ($t = -2.25$, $p < 0.05$).

Then, according to the score of trait forgiveness, the respondents were divided into two groups: high score group (the first 27%) and low score group (the last 27%). Independent sample T-test was used to compare the differences in interpersonal relationship between two groups. Results showed that significant differences existed in social support ($t = -6.07$, $p < 0.001$) and interpersonal disturbance ($t = 8.64$, $p < 0.001$). The individual who has higher score of trait forgiveness will have more social support and less interpersonal disturbance.

3.2 Partial Correlation Analysis

Considering the demographic variables may interact with trait forgiveness, in turn, impact on interpersonal relationship, the study adopted partial correlation analysis to examine the association between trait forgiveness and interpersonal relationship under the premise of controlling demographic variables. Results showed trait forgiveness was positively correlated with social support ($r = 0.28$, $p < 0.001$) and negatively with interpersonal disturbance ($r = -0.37$, $p < 0.001$).

3.3 Multivariate Regression Analysis

Multivariate regression analyses with Stepwise method were conducted to further explore the association among demographic variables, trait forgiveness and interpersonal relationship, among which gender, major, origin, siblings number and trait forgiveness were set as predicative variables, while social support and interpersonal disturbance as dependent variables. Results showed that (1) trait forgiveness, major, siblings numbers and gender could predict social support significantly and together they could account for 11.1% of the variation in social support ($R^2 = 0.111$); (2) trait forgiveness, origin, major and siblings numbers could predict interpersonal disturbance significantly and together they could account for 16.0% of the variation in interpersonal disturbance ($R^2 = 0.16$); (3) in the above two regression analyses, trait forgiveness was the best predictive variable, and it could account for 7.3% and 11.9% of the variation in social support and interpersonal disturbance (See Table 1).
Table 1 Results of Multivariate Regression Analysis

| DV                      | IV               | R    | R²   | ΔR   | F     | Beta | t    |
|-------------------------|------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Social Support          | Trait forgiveness| 0.271| 0.073| 0.073| 41.712| 0.268| 6.465|
|                         | major            | 0.296| 0.088| 0.015| 25.297| -0.102| -2.357|
|                         | Siblings numbers | 0.319| 0.101| 0.013| 19.729| -0.124| -2.979|
|                         | Gender           | 0.333| 0.111| 0.010| 16.281| 0.101| 2.332|
| Interpersonal Disturbance| Trait forgiveness| 0.345| 0.119| 0.119| 71.159| -0.360| -8.942|
|                         | Origin           | 0.377| 0.142| 0.023| 43.615| -0.105| -2.298|
|                         | Major            | 0.390| 0.152| 0.010| 31.366| 0.109| 2.699|
|                         | Siblings numbers | 0.400| 0.160| 0.008| 24.903| 0.100| 2.197|

Note. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; IV=independent variable; DV=dependent variable

3.4 Pearson Correlation Analysis

The correlations between trait forgiveness, aggressive behavior and interpersonal relationship were calculated (see Table 2). Result showed that all variables were significantly correlated (all p<0.001): (1) Aggressive behavior correlated negatively with trait forgiveness and social support, positively with interpersonal disturbance; (2) Trait forgiveness correlated positively with social support and negatively with interpersonal disturbance; (3) Social support correlated negatively with interpersonal disturbance. That is to say, individuals who are more forgiving generally have less aggressive behaviors and better interpersonal relationships with others.

Table 2 Correlations between trait forgiveness, aggression and interpersonal relationship

|                      | Aggression | Social Support | Interpersonal Disturbance | Trait forgiveness |
|----------------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Aggression           | 1.00       |               |                           |                  |
| Social Support       | -0.28**    | 1.00          |                           |                  |
| Interpersonal Disturbance | 0.43***  | -0.37**       | 1.00                      |                  |
| Trait forgiveness    | -0.40**    | 0.27**        | -0.35                     | 1.00             |

Note. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

3.5 Mediating Effects Testing

Based on the comparison of various testing methods of mediating effects, Wen (2004, 2014) proposed a composite testing procedure, which was better than any single testing method in terms of type 1 and type 2 error rates and could be used to test both partial and full mediating effects. According to Wen's newly proposed procedure, three regression equation models were run to estimate the relationships among aggressive behaviors, trait forgiveness and social support and to generate the statistics needed to test the mediating effects (see Table 3). In equation #1, trait forgiveness was regressed on social support to estimate the regression coefficient c (βc = 0.266, p<0.001). In equation #2, trait forgiveness was regressed on aggressive behaviors to estimate the regression coefficient a (βa = -0.398, p<0.001). In equation #3, both trait forgiveness and aggressive behaviors were regressed on social support to estimate the regression coefficients c’ and b (βc’ = 0.185, βb = -0.203, p< 0.001) . The regression coefficients a and b were significant, indicating that aggressive behavior was the mediator between trait forgiveness and social support, while the regression coefficients c and c’ were significant, indicating that aggressive behavior had a partial mediating effect between trait forgiveness and social support(Wen, Chang, Hau, & Liu, 2004; Wen & Ye, 2014). In present study, the coefficient measuring the total effect of trait forgiveness on social support was 0.266 in equation #1. When aggressive behavior was introduced in equation #3, the coefficient was reduced to 0.185, indicating that aggressive behavior mediated the relationship between trait forgiveness and social support. The decrease of 0.08 (0.266-0.185) in the standardized coefficient represented the mediated effect, and the ratio of mediating effects on total effects was 30.4% (Effectm = ab/c = 0.398 × 0.203 ÷ 0.266 = 0.304). The similar mediating effect of aggressive behavior was also found between trait forgiveness and interpersonal disturbance (see Table 4). The mediating effects of aggressive behavior between trait forgiveness and interpersonal relationship (including social support and interpersonal disturbance) could be depicted through a path diagram (see Fig. 1).
Table 3 Mediating effect of AB between TF and SS

| Equation | IV → DV | R   | R²  | ΔR  | F    | Beta | t    |
|----------|--------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| (1) Y = cX + e₁ | TF → SS | 0.266 | 0.070 | 0.070 | 40.273 | 0.266 | 6.346 |
| (2) M = aX + e₂ | TF → AB | 0.398 | 0.158 | 0.158 | 99.590 | -0.398 | -9.979** |
| (3) Y = c’X + bM + e₃ | AB → SS | 0.277 | 0.077 | 0.077 | 43.918 | -0.203 | -4.529** |
|         | TF → SS | 0.325 | 0.105 | 0.028 | 31.162 | 0.185 | 4.132 |

Note. ** p< 0.01; IV = independent variable; DV = dependent variable; AB = aggressive behavior; SS = social support; TF = Trait forgiveness

Table 4 Mediating effect of AB between TF and ID

| Equation | IV → DV | R   | R²  | ΔR  | F    | Beta | t    |
|----------|--------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| (4) Y = cX + e₁ | TF → ID | 0.347 | 0.121 | 0.121 | 72.804 | -0.347 | -8.533 |
| (5) M = aX + e₂ | TF → AB | 0.398 | 0.158 | 0.158 | 99.590 | -0.398 | -9.979** |
| (6) Y = c’X + bM + e₃ | AB → ID | 0.437 | 0.191 | 0.191 | 125.123** | 0.355 | 8.517** |
|         | TF → ID | 0.476 | 0.227 | 0.036 | 77.641 | -0.207 | -4.959 |

Note. ** p< 0.001; IV = independent variable; DV = dependent variable; AB = aggressive behavior; ID = interpersonal disturbance; TF = Trait forgiveness

Fig.1 Path program of mediating effects

4 DISCUSSION

In this study, the gender difference of trait forgiveness was found, namely, the total score of female students was significantly higher than that of male students, which was consistent with most researches at home and abroad (Brown, 2003; Li, 2011). The differences may be related to the different levels of empathy, the different thinking styles or the different tendencies in moral choice and moral judgment between male and female.

As for the relationship between trait forgiveness and interpersonal relationship, we hypothesized that two paths existed: direct path and indirect path. On one hand, trait forgiveness may directly promote harmonious relationship and reduce interpersonal disturbance. On the other hand, trait forgiveness may indirectly influence interpersonal relationship through some mediating variables. The results of this study can be used to verify the existence of these two paths.

In present study, the results of independent sample t-test, partial correlation analysis and regression analysis strongly demonstrated the existence of direct path. Forgiveness, with a nature of prosocial altruism and self-protection, can help individuals establish and maintain good interactions, improve and repair damaged relationships (Finkel, Rusbult, Kumashiro, & Hannon, 2002), increase individuals’ satisfaction to interpersonal relationships (McCullough, 2000), especially in family (Maio, Thomas, Fincham, & Carnelley, 2008) and marriage (McNulty, 2008).

The partial mediating effect of aggressive behavior between trait forgiveness and interpersonal relationship
was also found in present study. As we know, aggressive behavior, which is closely connected with personality traits, can be seen as an important evaluating indicator and influencing factor of interpersonal relationship. Those who have high score of trait forgiveness often have the following characteristics: emotionally stable, friendly, altruistic, compassionate, outgoing, sociable and popular. When offences occur, though experiencing the negative emotions caused by hurt, the offended with high trait forgiveness often choose rational ways rather than aggressive behaviors to solve the problem in order to maintain psychological or interpersonal harmony. They show sympathy for the offenders, drop negative emotions, such as hatred, anger and fear, restore the peace of mind and avoid the spread of hurt by means of forgiveness. The individuals who are more forgiving usually have better interpersonal relationship, more social support and less interpersonal disturbance. As for the offended with low trait forgiveness, on the contrary, they may bear a grudge against the offender, meditate on the offences, pass the pain on to the offender or the others. Pushed by negative emotions, they may aggress or revenge against the people around them, which may lead to the deterioration of interpersonal relationship, including the lack of social support and the long-term interpersonal disturbance.

5 CONCLUSION

(1) Significant gender differences existed in trait forgiveness, that is, trait forgiveness of female students was significantly higher than that of male students.

(2) Trait forgiveness positively correlated with social support and negatively with interpersonal disturbance.

(3) Trait forgiveness could predict interpersonal relationship significantly.

(4) Aggressive behavior served as a partial mediator variable between trait forgiveness and interpersonal relationship.
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