Lattice topology dictates photon statistics

H. Esat Kondakci, Ayman F. Abouraddy & Bahaa E. A. Saleh

Propagation of coherent light through a disordered network is accompanied by randomization and possible conversion into thermal light. Here, we show that network topology plays a decisive role in determining the statistics of the emerging field if the underlying lattice is endowed with chiral symmetry. In such lattices, eigenmode pairs come in skew-symmetric pairs with oppositely signed eigenvalues. By examining one-dimensional arrays of randomly coupled waveguides arranged on linear and ring topologies, we are led to a remarkable prediction: the field circularity and the photon statistics in ring lattices are dictated by its parity while the same quantities are insensitive to the parity of a linear lattice. For a ring lattice, adding or subtracting a single lattice site can switch the photon statistics from super-thermal to sub-thermal, or vice versa. This behavior is understood by examining the real and imaginary fields on a lattice exhibiting chiral symmetry, which form two strands that interleave along the lattice sites. These strands can be fully braided around an even-sited ring lattice thereby producing super-thermal photon statistics, while an odd-sited lattice is incommensurate with such an arrangement and the statistics become sub-thermal.

Topology, the study of those properties of geometric objects that remain invariant under continuous transformations such as bending or stretching (homeomorphisms in general), has recently entered optics in several guises. First, the development of topological insulators in condensed matter physics has inspired the exploration of analogous concepts in the topology of photonic bands in carefully constructed optical structures, which offer intriguing possibilities such as one-way propagation and self-healing edge states. In an altogether different vein, topological features of the three-dimensional distribution of the optical field in physical space have been investigated, such as the knottedness of scalar wavefronts and the emergence of non-trivial topological structure in tightly focused vector fields. A lesser-studied impact of topology on optics, however, is that resulting from the interaction of light with a photonic structure that itself features non-trivial topology. An early prescient study examined optical scattering off knotted configurations to discern the underlying topology.

Here, we investigate the distinguishing features of bound optical fields propagating along disordered one-dimensional (1D) lattices having distinct underlying topologies—the line and the ring (Fig. 1a). At first sight, it appears that topology should have no impact on the field confined to such a structure and—moreover—that any non-trivial topological signatures displayed by the field are likely to be obscured as a result of disorder. Surprisingly, we find that the lattice topology plays a decisive role in determining both the circularity of the field quadratures and the photon statistics when a particular disorder-immune lattice symmetry is satisfied—so-called ‘chiral symmetry’. The physical platform we examine is an array of parallel waveguides with nearest-neighbor-only evanescent coupling, and we investigate the optical statistics when coherent light excites a single site, but the results can be readily extended to other photonic realizations.

Specifically, we show that the photon statistics produced by a disordered ring lattice with propagating chiral lattice-mode pairs is sensitive to its parity, while linear lattices featuring the same disorder lack this striking characteristic. The traditional concept of periodic boundary conditions—introduced by Max Born enforces the notion of self-consistency around a ring. In the case of the disordered ring lattices examined here, the delineation of the field into real and imaginary quadratures occupying alternating sites as a result of chiral symmetry brings about a new self-consistency condition: the complete braiding of the two strands representing the field quadratures. Successful braiding is incommensurate with an odd-sited ring lattice and can only be realized on even-sited ring lattices. The photon statistics associated with satisfying this boundary condition are markedly different from those produced in lattices where it is not. Indeed, super-thermal photon statistics are produced by an even-sited ring lattice, and removing or adding a single site from the lattice results in an abrupt change to sub-thermal...
photon statistics. In linear lattices, these distinctions are entirely absent and the free boundary conditions at the edges of this topology nullify any impact of the lattice parity.

The characteristics of light emerging from such 1D disordered lattices differ from thermal light. The ubiquity of thermal statistics in optics is a consequence of the assumptions underlying the Central Limit Theorem being readily satisfied under generally common conditions; for example, coherent light scattered from a random surface, or diffused through a disordered medium, acquires thermal statistics upon ensemble averaging. Nevertheless, there are situations for which light exhibits non-Gaussian and/or non-circular statistics: the quadratures can be Gaussian but not circularly symmetric resulting in Gaussian but non-thermal light; one of the quadratures may be altogether extinguished, resulting in a field having random amplitude but deterministic phase; or the complex field may be circularly symmetric with two identical and independent but non-Gaussian quadratures. We show that all these scenarios are spanned by light emerging from disordered 1D lattices in different topologies that support chiral-symmetric mode pairs.

**Results**

**Lattice model.** We consider coupled identical waveguides with nearest-neighbor-only interactions arranged on two different lattice topologies: the linear lattice and the closed ring lattice (Fig. 1a), the former of which has been studied extensively. The complex envelope of a coherent monochromatic field at lattice site evolves according to the matrix equation, where is the coupling matrix or the system's Hamiltonian (Methods) and is the axial position. We introduce disorder into the lattice by randomizing the waveguide couplings, which may be achieved by varying their separation—so-called off-diagonal disorder. The coupling matrix cannot be rearranged into block off-diagonal form, the probability distribution of the field is circularly symmetric in the complex plane resulting in a Rayleigh-like amplitude distribution and a uniform phase distribution.

**Figure 1.** Propagation of light along disordered coupled waveguides arranged on different 1D lattice topologies. (a) Evolution of the intensity along single realizations of 1D disordered linear and ring photonic lattices. The black arrow indicates the single waveguide excited at the input. (b) The coupling matrix associated with linear or even-sited ring lattices with off-diagonal disorder can be rearranged into block off-diagonal form. (c) Probability density function of the complex field produced by the lattices in (b). The field has only one quadrature component, either real or imaginary. (d) The probability distribution of the amplitude is half bell-shaped and the phase distribution is discrete. (e–g) Similar to (b–d) for odd-sited ring lattices. (e) The probability distribution of the field is circularly symmetric in the complex plane resulting in (g) a Rayleigh-like amplitude distribution and a uniform phase distribution.
and intensity \( I_r(z) = |A_r(z)|^2 \). Such a phasor sum leads one to expect that light emerges at the output with thermal statistics and circular symmetry since \( |b_n|, |\varphi_r(x)|, \text{and} \ |c_n| \) are all random variables in the probability space of the statistical ensemble. Thermal light is characterized by a complex optical field whose real and imaginary quadrature components are statistically independent and identically distributed Gaussian random variables. The field statistics thus exhibit circular symmetry in the complex plane and the field amplitude is Rayleigh-distributed with a uniform phase distribution, resulting in an intensity \( I \) that is exponentially distributed with normalized variance \( \text{Var}(I)/\langle I \rangle^2 = 1 \); Fig. 1f,g. We proceed to show that, surprisingly, thermal statistics are not necessarily produced upon traversing a disordered lattice of coupled waveguides.

Linear lattices with off-diagonal disorder display a disorder-immune symmetry since \( \hat{\mathbf{H}} \) can be rearranged in a block off-diagonal form (Fig. 1b)−so-called ‘chiral symmetry.’ In this case, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \( \hat{\mathbf{H}} \) come in skew-symmetric pairs, \( b_{-n} = -b_n \text{ and } \varphi_{-n}(x) = (-1)^n \varphi_n(x) \), in every realization—not only on average—and for every lattice disorder level \( \Delta C \), such that the output field for unit input excitation at \( x = 0 \) is

\[
A_r(z) = \begin{cases} 
2 \sum_{n=0}^{N} \varphi_n(0) \varphi_n(x) \cos(b_n z) , & \text{if } x \text{ even}, \\
2 \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varphi_n(0) \varphi_n(x) \sin(b_n z) , & \text{if } x \text{ odd},
\end{cases}
\]

where the lattice size is \( M = 2N + 1 \) and \( n = -N, \ldots, N \). The complex envelope \( A_r(z) \) thus alternates between real and imaginary values at even- and odd-indexed waveguides, respectively. Because only one quadrature survives at any lattice site, the phase takes on only discrete values, 0 or \( \pi \) (\( \pm \pi/2 \)) at even-indexed (odd-indexed) sites; see Methods. Consequently, the emerging light in general is non-thermal. For example, once the steady state is reached in the low-disorder limit, one field quadrature is approximately Gaussian and the intensity has a chi-square distribution with one degree of freedom and \( P(I) = \exp(-I/2\langle I \rangle)/(2\pi \langle I \rangle)^{1/2} \) characterized by a normalized variance \( \text{Var}(I)/\langle I \rangle^2 = 2 \); (Fig. 1c,d). Furthermore, associated with the topology-dependent circularity of the field quadratures is a concomitant dependence of the photon statistics on lattice topology. For this purpose, we take the normalized intensity correlation, \( g^{(2)} = \langle I^2 \rangle/\langle I \rangle^2 = \text{Var}(I)/\langle I \rangle^2 + 1 \), which is a standard descriptor for the field randomness; \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) denotes ensemble averaging and, by convention, \( 1 < g^{(2)} < 2 \) corresponds to sub-thermal statistics and \( g^{(2)} > 2 \) corresponds to super-thermal statistics.

In addition, any classical field can be described in terms of photon-number statistics. The transformation from intensity statistics to photon-number distribution can be obtained via Mandel’s formula given by

\[
P(n_{ph}) = \int_0^\infty \frac{n_{ph}^{n_{ph}}}{n_{ph}!} e^{-\mu} P(I) dI,
\]

where \( n_{ph} \) is the photon number and \( \mu = \langle n_{ph} \rangle \) is the average photon number proportional to the intensity. In this case, the normalized intensity correlation becomes \( g^{(2)} = \langle n_{ph}(n_{ph} - 1) \rangle/\langle n_{ph} \rangle^2 \) and is related to Mandel’s Q-parameter via \( Q = \text{Var}(n_{ph})/\langle n_{ph} \rangle - 1 = \langle n_{ph} \rangle (g^{(2)} - 1) \) (refs 27 and 49). In the context of photon statistics, super-thermal and sub-thermal statistics refer to bunched and anti-bunched arrivals of photons, respectively.

**From linear to ring topology.** Equation (1) provides a hint for the impact of lattice topology on the field circularity and photon statistics. The field at any axial position along a linear waveguide array endowed with chiral symmetry can be viewed as a result of braiding two different strands occupying adjacent sites from one end of the lattice to the other: a ‘real’ strand on even-indexed sites and an ‘imaginary’ strand on odd-indexed sites; Fig. 2a,b. The free boundaries of the linear array make this braiding insensitive to the number of sites on the lattice. Folding a linear lattice into a ring gives rise to two scenarios that depend decisively on the ring-parity—whether it has
an even or odd number of sites. If the ring lattice is even-sited, the real and imaginary strands form two closed braided rings (Fig. 2c), which preserves the chiral symmetry. The emerging light thus remains non-circular as in its linear counterpart. On the other hand, if the ring is odd-sited, the lattice structure is incommensurate with closed braided real and imaginary strands (Fig. 2d). Here, chiral symmetry is in fact broken, and the field may emerge with circular symmetry.

We are thus led to a remarkable prediction: the circularity of the field quadratures and the photon statistics of light emerging from a disordered ring-lattice is sensitive to the ring’s parity. In the steady state, this can entail a dramatic change in the normalized variance by simply adding or removing a single waveguide from the ring, in contradistinction to the insensitivity of the optical statistics in a disordered linear lattice to its parity.

Simulations of linear and ring lattices when excited from a single site confirm these predictions. An example of the statistics produced by a disordered linear lattice with chiral-symmetric mode pairs is provided in Fig. 3a–d. One field quadrature has a bell-shaped probability distribution while the other is deterministic. Consequently, the probability distribution for the phase $\phi$ is discrete and the field is not circularly symmetric. In the case of a disordered linear lattice lacking chiral-symmetric mode pairs, the field quadratures have identical distributions and the phase $\phi$ is uniformly distributed over the range $[0, 2\pi]$, so that the field phasor is indeed circularly symmetric (Fig. 3e–h).

In ring lattices with off-diagonal disorder, the field statistics depend crucially on the ring parity. When the ring is even-sited (here, the number of sites is $N_t = 24$), the field quadratures are braided around the ring (Fig. 2c) and the simulations confirm our prediction that the discrete phase distribution–observed in the corresponding linear lattice–is maintained (compare Figs 4a–d to 3a–d). However, when the ring is odd-sited ($N_t = 23$), the distribution

---

**Figure 3.** Probability distributions of the field quadratures, amplitude, and phase in linear disordered lattices. (a–d) Probability distributions of the (a) real quadrature, (b) imaginary quadrature, (c) amplitude, and (d) phase of the complex optical field at different output sites $x$ after propagating through a photonic lattice with off-diagonal disorder. The real (imaginary) quadrature vanishes on the odd (even) sites, and the phase takes binary values with equal probability: 0 or $\pi (\pm \pi/2)$ at even (odd) positions. (e–h) Same as in (a–d) for a lattice with diagonal disorder. The field is circularly symmetric, resulting in a uniform phase distribution. We use throughout ensembles of $10^5$ realizations and a single lattice site ($x = 0$) is excited.

**Figure 4.** Probability distributions of the field quadratures, amplitude, and phase in ring lattices with off-diagonal disorder. (a–d) Probability distributions of the (a) real quadrature, (b) imaginary quadrature, (c) amplitude, and (d) phase of the complex optical field at different output sites $x$ after propagating through an even-sited ring lattice. The real (imaginary) quadrature vanishes on the odd (even) sites, and the phase takes binary values with equal probability: 0 or $\pi (\pm \pi/2)$ at even (odd) positions. (e–h) Same as in (a–d) for an odd-sited ring lattice. (g) Amplitudes of the fields take Rayleigh-like distributions. (h) Phase distributions reflect an intermediate stage between discrete and uniform probability distributions.
of $\varphi$ is no longer discrete (compare Figs 4e–h to 3e–h), but instead shows an intermediate stage between the discrete and uniform distributions observed in disordered linear lattices endowed with and lacking chiral-symmetric mode pairs, respectively, therefore signifying a departure from field circularity. Indeed, simulations show that $\varphi$ has a discrete distribution when $\Delta C \to 0$ (the fields lacks circular symmetry) and a uniform distribution when $\Delta C \to 0$ (the field is circularly symmetric).

The dependence of the field circularity on the disorder level $\Delta C$ can be placed on a quantitative basis using the concept of the circularity quotient $\text{circ}(w_i)$ of a complex random variable $w_i$, which is defined as the ratio between the variable’s pseudo-variance and variance $\text{circ}(w_i) = \text{cov}(w_i, w_i^*)/\text{cov}(w_i, w_i)$, where $\text{cov}(w_i, w_i) = \langle w_i w_i^* \rangle$. It is clear that $\text{circ}(w_i) = 2$ whenever $w_i$ is a circularly symmetric complex random variable, while $|\text{circ}(w_i)| = 1$ for a linear $w_i$, so we quantify the field circularity by $\phi(z) = |\text{circ}[A_z(z) - \langle A_z(z) \rangle]|$. In any even-sited chiral ring lattice, the circularity coefficient $\phi(z) = 1$ for all disorder levels. In an odd-sited ring lattice, $\phi(z)$ increases with $\Delta C$ as shown in Fig. 5.

**Lattice topology and Anderson localization.** The dependence of the field circularity on $\Delta C$ in an odd-sited ring is a consequence of the transverse Anderson localization of light along the waveguides$^{23}$. At small disorder levels ($\Delta C \to 0$), the field spreads around the whole ring. The odd number of sites is incommensurate with fully braiding the real and imaginary strands of the field, thereby breaking the condition for chiral symmetry and leading to a circularly symmetric field and $\phi(z) \to 0$. On the other hand, light is localized in the vicinity of the excitation site $x = 0$ for large disorder levels ($\Delta C \to 1$) and hence does not extend around the ring lattice. As such, the lattice effectively corresponds to a linear lattice, chiral symmetry is preserved, the field is no longer circular, and ultimately $\phi(z) \to 1$. In an even-sited ring lattice, whether light is localized or not, the field strands can always be braided and $\phi(z) = 1$ for all disorder values $\Delta C$.

In addition, Anderson localization prevents the realization of Gaussian statistics, especially for high disorder levels. When light is strongly localized, it is coupled to a small number of lattice modes whose excitation amplitudes thus become strongly correlated, which implies the non-validity of the central limit theorem. Consequently, the field statistics deviate from the Gaussian distribution, although they remain bell-shaped. Reducing $\Delta C$ reverses this trend: the excitation is coupled to a larger number of lattice modes with independent amplitudes and Gaussian statistics are produced.

**Interplay of ring parity, disorder level, and lattice size.** The interplay between disorder level and lattice size in determining $g^{(2)}$ in even- and odd-sited ring lattices is illustrated in Fig. 6. Focusing first on $g^{(2)}$ at the excitation site $x = 0$, a marked distinction appears immediately between odd- and even-sited ring lattices: in the former $1 < g^{(2)} \leq 2$, corresponding to sub-thermal photon statistics, while $2 < g^{(2)} < 3$ in the latter, corresponding to super-thermal statistics. Consider the first row in Fig. 6b,c corresponding to a low disorder level ($\Delta C = 0.2$). In comparing lattices with 23 and 24 sites, we observe that the addition of a single site to the ring lattice results in a jump in $g^{(2)}$ from 2 to 3. In a ring lattice with 23 sites, the real and imaginary field strands cannot be consistently braided. Consequently, the two quadratures are symmetric and the low $\Delta C$ results in approximately Gaussian statistics. The field is circularly symmetric $\phi(z) \approx 0.1$ and thermal $g^{(2)} \approx 2$ (Fig. 6b). With the addition of a single site, the real and imaginary strands can be consistently braided around the 24-sited ring lattice. The field is no longer circularly symmetric $\phi(z) = 1$, and one quadrature has approximately Gaussian statistics leading to super-thermal light with $g^{(2)} \approx 3$ (Fig. 6c). In addition to the parity-based demarcation of the light statistics, the lattice size also effects the limit of thermalization. For a fixed disorder level, reducing the lattice size lowers $g^{(2)}$ in both even- and odd-sited lattices.
When the disorder level is low, \( g(2) \) takes similar values at all lattice sites since the intensity is evenly distributed. As the disorder level increases, transverse localization of light dominates and statistics become non-uniform across the lattice. Increasing the disorder level has the effect of blurring the distinction between the even- and odd-sited lattices. This may be understood by observing that localization associated with increasing disorder diminishes the extent to which light spreads across the lattice. In the limit of high disorder, light remains confined around the excitation site, thereby nullifying the impact of lattice size and thus its parity. Therefore, there is little contrast between the photon statistics produced in lattices with different parities when the lattice size is larger than the transverse localization width. For all lattice sizes in this case, \( g(2) \approx 2 \) on the excitation site. Finally, \( g(2) \) generally increases in off-center lattice sites \( x \neq 0 \), especially in the limit of high disorder where localization is most pronounced. This is associated, nevertheless, with extremely low intensity levels (denoted by green lattice sites in Fig. 6b,c where \( g(2) > 3 \)).

**Discussion**

We have found that topology plays an unexpected role in determining the thermalization statistics of light propagating in a disordered lattice of coupled waveguides. In linear lattices endowed with chiral symmetry, \( g(2) \) always corresponds to super-thermal statistics (\( g(2) > 2 \)), while \( g(2) \) in a ring lattice depends on the ring parity—whether it is even- or odd-sited. Adding or removing a single lattice site can produce a dramatic shift in \( g(2) \) from super-thermal to sub-thermal statistics.

Although the construction of optical structures with non-trivial topology is challenging, recent advances in the precise fabrication of coupled waveguide arrays\(^{36}\), modulated fiber loops\(^{57, 58}\), liquid crystals\(^{59, 60}\), and on-chip coupled resonators\(^{61, 62}\) provide routes for producing optical structures in which the effects predicted here may be observed.

In this study, we have focused on the scenario where a single lattice is coherently excited. Previous studies of disordered linear lattices indicate that the illumination configuration can modify the photon statistics in crucial ways. Indeed, it has been shown theoretically\(^{27}\) and experimentally\(^{47}\) that changing the relative phase between two excited sites can help tune the photon statistics between the two extremes of sub-thermal and super-thermal statistics. We expect that the exploration of illumination configurations on different lattice topologies may yet reveal further surprises.
Methods

For both linear and ring lattices, the propagation of an optical field is described by the first-order differential equation\(^{15,21}\)

\[
\frac{dE_x}{dz} + \beta_x E_x + C_{x,x-1} E_{x-1} + C_{x,x+1} E_{x+1} = 0,
\]

where \(E_x(z)\) is the complex optical field in the \(x\)th waveguide at the axial position \(z\), \(\beta_x\) is the propagation constant in the \(x\)th waveguide, and \(C_{x,x+1} = C_{x+1,x}\) is the coupling coefficient between waveguides \(x\) and \(x + 1\). If \(\beta\) is the mean propagation constant, \(\beta = \beta + \Delta \beta\), and \(A_x(z)\) is the complex field envelope, then substituting \(E_x(z) = A_x(z) \exp(i \beta z)\) into the evolution equation produces the matrix equation

\[
\frac{dA}{dz} + \hat{H} A = 0,
\]

where \(A = \{A_x(z)\}\), and \(\hat{H}\) is the coupling matrix or the system's Hamiltonian. Following the definitions given in the main text, the complex envelope at lattice site \(x\) after propagating a distance \(z\) is given by \(A_x(z) = \sum_n c_n \varphi_n(x) e^{i b_n z}\). For a single-lattice-site excitation from \(x = 0(A_x(0) = \delta_{x,0})\), we have \(c_n = \varphi_n(0)\) by simply projecting the input onto the eigenmodes. To prove that the real and imaginary field quadratures alternate between adjacent waveguides, we first split the summation into two and reverse the index in the first summation such that

\[
A_x(z) = \sum_{n=-N}^{-1} \varphi_n(0) \varphi_n(x) e^{i b_n x} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varphi_n(0) \varphi_n(x) e^{i b_n x} + \varphi_0(0) \varphi_0(x)
\]

Next, we make use of the relations \(b_{-n} = -b_n\) and \(\phi_n(x) = (-1)^n \varphi_n(x)\) along with \(b_0 = 0\) for lattices exhibiting chiral symmetry to obtain:

\[
A_x(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} [ \varphi_0(0) (-1)^n \varphi_n(x) e^{-i b_n x} + \varphi_0(0) \varphi_n(x) e^{i b_n x} ] + \varphi_0(0) \varphi_0(x)
\]

Example 1—Linear lattices with diagonal disorder and \(N = 5\). Here \(\hat{H}\) cannot be transformed into the block-diagonal form, and the lattice thus lacks chiral symmetry.

Example 2—Linear lattices with off-diagonal disorder and \(N = 5\). Here \(\hat{H}\) can be transformed into the block-diagonal form, indicating the presence of chiral symmetry.

Example 3—Even-sited ring lattice with off-diagonal disorder (\(N = 6\)). The block-diagonal form signals the presence of chiral symmetry and hence the eigenmodes come in chiral-symmetric pairs.
Although the lattice is characterized by off-diagonal disorder, the complex field is indeed circularly symmetric at sufficiently large $z$ such that $\text{Var}(b_n(z)) \gg 2\pi$, where $\text{Var}(b_n(z))$ is the variance in the eigenvalues $b_n$. Furthermore, $A_i(z)$ is thermal with circularly symmetric Gaussian quadratures for a wide range of disorder levels $\Delta \beta$.

In the case of diagonal disorder $^{21, 55}$, we assume random propagation constants (in units of $c$) described by a zero-mean uniform probability distribution of half-width $\Delta \beta$ (Fig. 1b) $0 \leq \Delta \beta \leq 3$.

Since the field is circularly symmetric and takes random independent phases at the sites on a lattice lacking chiral symmetry, folding this lattice into a closed ring topology will have no impact on the field. In linear lattices with diagonal disorder, the complex field is indeed circularly symmetric at sufficiently large $z$ such that $\text{Var}(b_n(z)) \gg 2\pi$, where $\text{Var}(b_n(z))$ is the variance in the eigenvalues $b_n$. Furthermore, $A_i(z)$ is thermal with circularly symmetric Gaussian quadratures for a wide range of disorder levels $\Delta \beta$.
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