Statistics of off-diagonal entries of Wigner K-matrix for chaotic wave systems with absorption
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Abstract
Using the random matrix theory approach we derive explicit distributions of the real and imaginary parts for off-diagonal entries of the Wigner reaction matrix K for wave chaotic scattering in systems with and without time-reversal invariance, in the presence of an arbitrary uniform absorption. Whereas for time-reversal invariant system (β = 1) the scattering channels are assumed to be random and orthogonal on average, for broken time-reversal (β = 2) we consider the case of nontrivially correlated channel vectors.
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1. Introduction

The phenomenon of chaotic resonance scattering of quantum waves (or their classical analogues) has attracted considerable theoretical and experimental interest for the last three decades, see e.g. articles in [1] and recent reviews [2–5]. The resonances manifest themselves via fluctuating structures in scattering observables, and understanding their statistical properties as completely as possible remains an important task. The main object in such an approach is the energy-dependent $M \times M$ random unitary scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$, $S^\dagger(\lambda)S(\lambda) = 1_M$ which relates amplitudes of incoming and outgoing waves at spectral parameter (energy) $\lambda$. Here the integer $M$ stands for the number of open channels at a given energy $\lambda$, the dagger denotes the Hermitian conjugation and $1_M$ is the $M \times M$ identity matrix. Statistics of fluctuations of the scattering observables over an energy interval comparable with a typical separation between resonances can be most successfully achieved in the framework of the so called ‘Heidelberg approach’ going back to the pioneering work [6], and reviewed from different perspectives in [7–9]. In such an approach the resonance part of the $S$-matrix is expressed via
the Cayley transform in terms of the resolvent of a Hamiltonian $H$ representing the closed counterpart of the scattering system as

$$S(\lambda) = \frac{I_M - iK}{I_M + iK} \quad \text{with} \quad K = W^+ \frac{1}{\lambda I_N - H_N} W,$$

(1)

where $W$ is the $N \times M$ matrix containing the couplings between the channels and the system. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the unitarity of $S(\lambda)$ follows from Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian represented in the framework of the Heidelberg approach by $N \times N$ self-adjoint matrix $H_N$. The resulting $M \times M$ matrix $K$ is known in the literature as the Wigner reaction $K$-matrix.

To study fluctuations induced by chaotic wave scattering one then follows the paradigm of relying upon the well-documented random matrix properties of the underlying Hamiltonian operator $H$ describing quantum or wave chaotic behaviour of the closed counterpart of the scattering system. Within that approach one proceeds with replacing $H_N$ with a random matrix taken from one of the classical ensembles: Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE, $\beta = 2$), if one is interested in the systems with broken time reversal invariance or Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE, $\beta = 1$), if such invariance is preserved and no further geometric symmetries are present in the system. The columns $w_a$, $a = 1, \ldots, M$ of the coupling matrix $W$ are usually considered either as fixed orthogonal vectors [6] (complex for $\beta = 2$ or real for $\beta = 1$), or alternatively as independent Gaussian-distributed random vectors orthogonal on average [10]. The results turn out to be completely insensitive to the specific choice (i.e. fixed versus random) of the coupling as long as inequality $M \ll N \to \infty$ holds in the calculation. The approach proved to be extremely successful, and quite a few scattering characteristics were thoroughly investigated in that framework in the last two decades, either by the variants of the supersymmetry method or related random matrix techniques, see e.g. early papers [6, 11, 12] as well as more recent results in [13–17]. The results of such calculations are found in general to be in good agreement with available experiments in chaotic electromagnetic resonators (‘microwave billiards’), dielectric microcavities and acoustic reverberation cameras (see reviews [2–5]) as well as with numerical simulations of scattering in such paradigmatic model as quantum chaotic graphs [18] and their experimental microwave realizations [19–22]. Note that the Wigner $K$-matrix is experimentally measurable in microwave scattering systems, as it is directly related to the systems impedance matrix [23–25].

One of serious challenges related to theoretical description of scattering characteristics is however related to the fact that experimentally measured quantities suffer from the inevitable energy losses (absorption), e.g. due to damping in resonator walls and other imperfections. Such losses violate unitarity of the scattering matrix and are important for interpretation of experiments, and considerable efforts were directed towards incorporating them into the Heidelberg approach [12]. At the level of the model (1) the losses can be taken into account by allowing the spectral parameter $\lambda$ to have finite imaginary part by replacing $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda + i\alpha/N \in \mathbb{C}$ with some $\alpha > 0$. This replacement violates Hermiticity of the Wigner matrix $K$; in particular entries of $K$ become now complex even for $\beta = 1$. Note that our choice of scaling of the absorption term with $N$ is to ensure access to the most interesting, difficult and experimentally relevant regime when absorption term is comparable with the mean separation between neighbouring eigenvalues of the wave-chaotic Hamiltonian $H$, the latter being in the chosen normalization of the order $N^{-1}$ as $N \to \infty$. The statistics of the real and imaginary parts of the diagonal entries $K_{aa}$ in that regime was subject of considerable theoretical work [26–28] and by now well-understood and measured experimentally with good precision for $\beta = 1$ in microwave cavities [23–25, 29] and graphs [19–22]. Very recently first experimental results for $\beta = 2$ were reported as well [30].
The situation with off-diagonal elements $K_{a\neq b}$ is in comparison much worse. At present no theoretical results for the associated distributions are available in the literature for the most interesting and experimentally relevant case $\beta = 1$ apart from the case of zero absorption [15], and the mean value and variance for $|K_{ab}|^2 = (\Im K_{a\neq b})^2 + (\Re K_{a\neq b})^2$ [31]. The main goal of the present paper is to fill in this gap partly by presenting the distribution of imaginary $\Im K_{a\neq b}$ and real $\Re K_{a\neq b}$ parts for the $K$-matrix entries in the presence of absorption:

$$K_{a,b} = \text{Tr} \left\{ \left( \frac{\lambda + i\alpha}{N} I_N - H_N \right)^{-1} w_b \otimes w_a^T \right\}$$  

(2)

for systems with preserved ($\beta = 1$) time reversal invariance, assuming the size $N \to \infty$. Our method also straightforwardly works for the simpler case of broken time-reversal invariance ($\beta = 2$) where the full distribution of $|K_{a\neq b}|^2$ has in fact already been derived for the case of uncorrelated channels, see equations (11)–(13) in [32]. We start with briefly reconsidering that case in our framework, giving an alternative derivation of the result in [32], and showing that it is simply related to the joint probability density of $\Im K_{a\neq b}$ and $\Re K_{a\neq b}$. We then provide a generalization of that result to the case of correlated channel vectors, see equation (8). Note that such choice violates the standard channel ‘orthogonality on average’ assumption, and renders the associated ensemble-average $S$–matrix to be non-diagonal. The non-diagonality reflects presence of the so-called ‘direct’ scattering (as opposed to resonance scattering), see e.g. [34]. As such situation is rarely discussed in the literature on the ‘Heidelberg model’ (see however a recent work [35]) we give a brief account of it in the appendix A, with emphasis on the important notion of ‘perfect coupling’ which as we shall see can be achieved by both changing strength of the channel couplings and/or increasing correlations between channels.

Then we concentrate on the $\beta = 1$ case, restricting ourselves to treating uncorrelated random channels.

2. Discussion of the main results

2.1. Systems with broken time-reversal invariance

In this case we assume that the matrix $H_N$ is a complex Hermitian GUE matrix distributed according to the probability density $dP(H_N) \propto e^{-N/2 \text{Tr} H_N H_N^\dagger} dH_N$, so that the expectations over the ensemble are defined by $\langle [...] \rangle_{\text{GUE}(N)} := \int [...] dP(H_N)$. Initially we assume for simplicity that the components of the channel vectors $w_a$ and $w_b$ are uncorrelated complex Gaussian random variables with mean zero and variance $1/N$, and we denote the expectation values with respect to the channel vectors by the overbar. Next, we consider the simplest nontrivial case of correlated channels characterized by a $2 \times 2$ covariance matrix. Note that to compute the joint probability density of real and imaginary parts of $K_{a\neq b}$ it is technically more convenient to consider its characteristic function, given by the Fourier transform

$$R(q, q^*) = \langle \exp i/2(q K_{a,b}^* + q^* K_{a,b}) \rangle_{\text{GUE}(N)}.$$

(3)

2.1.1. Uncorrelated channel vectors $w_a$ and $w_b$. The complex Gaussian nature of components of the channel vectors $w_a$ allows one to easily relate the characteristic function (3) to the GUE expectation of ratios of characteristic polynomials (for the derivation see section 3.1 below):
\[
R(q, q^*) = \left\langle \frac{\det \left( (H_N - \lambda I_N)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{N} I_N \right)}{\det \left( (H_N - \lambda I_N)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2 + |q|^2}{N} I_N \right)} \right\rangle_{\text{GUE}(N)}.
\] (4)

The expectation values of products of ratios of characteristic polynomials (and their large\(-N\) asymptotics) are well known, see [33, 36, 37]. Using those results one easily obtains the following expression for \(R(q, q^*) \equiv R(|q|)\) in the limit \(N \to \infty\) for any value \(\lambda\) of the spectral parameter belonging to the bulk of the GUE spectrum:

\[
R(|q|) = \frac{|q/2|^4 \exp (-2\pi \rho(\lambda) \sqrt{\alpha^2 + |q/2|^2})}{4\alpha \sqrt{\alpha^2 + |q/2|^2}}
\times \left( \frac{\exp (2\pi \rho(\lambda)\alpha)}{(\sqrt{\alpha^2 + |q/2|^2} - \alpha)^2} - \frac{\exp (-2\pi \rho(\lambda)\alpha)}{(\sqrt{\alpha^2 + |q/2|^2} + \alpha)^2} \right), \quad \lambda \in (-2, 2)
\] (5)

where \(\rho(\lambda) = 1/(2\pi)\sqrt{4 - \lambda^2}\) is the mean density of GUE eigenvalues given by the Wigner semicircular law. The numerical verification of (5) for systems with broken time-reversal symmetry is provided in figure 1.

Inverting this characteristic function yields the joint probability density function of \(K_{a,b}\) and \(K^{*}_{a,b}\) described in the following:

**Proposition 1.** Define the operator \(\hat{D}_x\) as

\[
\hat{D}_x = \sinh(x) \left( 1 + \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) - 2 \cosh(x) \frac{d}{dx}.
\]

Then the joint probability density function of the pair \((K_{a,b}, K^{*}_{a,b})\), with \(H_N \in \text{GUE}(N)\) in the limit \(N \to \infty\) is given by:

\[
P(K_{a,b}, K^{*}_{a,b}) = \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi} \lim_{x \to 2\pi \rho(\lambda)x} \hat{D}_x \exp \left( -\sqrt{x^2 + 4\alpha^2|K_{a,b}|^2} \right).\] (6)

In the case \(\alpha \to 0^+\), which corresponds to vanishing absorption, the joint density \(P(K_{a,b}, K^{*}_{a,b})\) acquires a simple form:

\[
P(\Re K_{a,b}, \Im K_{a,b}) = \frac{\rho(\lambda)}{4} \frac{|K_{a,b}|^2 + 4\pi^2 \rho^2(\lambda)}{(|K_{a,b}|^2 + \pi^2 \rho^2(\lambda))^{3/2}}
\]

which implies due to the rotational symmetry that the variables \(u_1 = \Re K_{a,b}\) and \(u_2 = \Im K_{a,b}\) each are distributed, respectively, as:

\[
P(u_i) = \frac{\rho(\lambda)}{2} \frac{u_i^2 + 3\pi^2 \rho^2(\lambda)}{(u_i^2 + \pi^2 \rho^2(\lambda))^2}, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

Note that the results for arbitrary spectral parameter \(\lambda \in (-2, 2)\) could be obtained from those for \(\lambda = 0\) by rescaling \(\alpha \to \alpha\eta\) and \(|q| \to |q|\eta\) with the ratio \(\eta = \rho(\lambda)/\rho(0)\). This is a particular manifestation of the well-known spectral universality of random matrix results, the property which we will use in the next section to deal with a much more challenging problem of scattering systems with preserved time-reversal invariance.
2.12. Correlated channel vectors $w_a$ and $w_b$. To consider the simplest nontrivial correlations between channel vectors we assume that the entries $w_{a,n}$ (resp. $w_{b,n}$) with different values of $n$ remain independent and identically distributed Gaussian variables, whereas $w_{a,n}$ and $w_{b,n}$ with the same value of $n$ are correlated. The correlations can be then described by a non-diagonal $2 \times 2$ Hermitian positive definite covariance matrix $C^{-1}$ such that $w_a^\dagger w_b = (C^{-1})_{ab}$. We remind that such choice violates the standard channel ‘orthogonality on average’ assumption, and renders the associated ensemble-average $S$-matrix to be non-diagonal. We give a brief account of consequences of such non-diagonality in the appendix A. The corresponding joint probability density $P(w_{a,n}, w_{b,n})$ has the form.
\[ \mathcal{P}(w_{a,i}, w_{b,i}) \propto \exp \left\{ -N \left[ w_{a,i} \right] \mathbf{C} \left[ w_{b,i} \right] \right\}. \]

It is then easy to show that the resulting characteristic function is again expressed as the ratio of determinants, similar to the uncorrelated case:

\[ \mathcal{R}(q, q^*) = \frac{\det \left( (\lambda I_N - H_N)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{N} I_N \right)}{\Pi_{i=1,2} \det \left( (\lambda I_N - H_N) + \frac{1}{2N} (\kappa + (-1)^i \sqrt{k^2 + 4\delta}) I_N \right)}_{\text{GUE(N)}}, \]

where we denoted:

\[ \tilde{k} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{C_{ab}}{\det \mathbf{C}} q + \frac{C_{ab}}{\det \mathbf{C}} q^* \right) \]

\[ \tilde{s} = \alpha^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( \frac{C_{ab}}{\det \mathbf{C}} q - \frac{C_{ab}}{\det \mathbf{C}} q^* \right) + \frac{|q|^2}{4 \det \mathbf{C}}. \]

It is useful to recall that \( \frac{C_{ab}}{\det \mathbf{C}} = (C^{-1})_{ab} \) so reflects the nonvanishing correlations between the two channel vectors. Note that we assume the entries of \( \mathbf{C} \) to be of order unity: \( C_{i,j=a,b} = O(1) \) as \( N \to \infty \). Evaluating then the expectation over the GUE matrices in (7), we find in the large-\( N \) limit:

\[ \mathcal{R}(q, q^*) = \frac{e^{-2(i\lambda - \sigma_0(\lambda))(\sqrt{k^2 + 4\delta} + 2\alpha)}}{8(\sqrt{k^2 + 4\delta})} \left( (1 - e^{i\sigma_0(\lambda)})k^2 - (\sqrt{k^2 + 4\delta} - 2\alpha)^2 + e^{i\sigma_0(\lambda)}(\sqrt{k^2 + 4\delta} + 2\alpha)^2 \right). \]

Examples of \( \mathcal{R}(q, q^*) \) for different levels of absorption are shown in figures 2–4 for a particular choice of the covariance matrix \( \mathbf{C}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -i \\ i & 1 \end{bmatrix} \). Small discrepancies between simulations and the theoretical formula visible in the figures are due to the finite size of the matrices used in simulations and can be checked to gradually disappear as the size of the matrices is increased. The latter effect is the more pronounced the bigger values of \( \alpha \) are considered.

### 2.2. Systems with preserved time-reversal invariance

In such a case we assume \( H_N \) to be the real symmetric GOE matrix distributed with the probability density \( d\mathcal{P}(H_N) \propto \exp \left( -\frac{N}{2} \text{Tr} H_N^2 \right) dH_N \), whereas the channel vectors \( w_{a,i} \) are assumed to be independent for \( a \neq b \) and their components are chosen to be real i.i.d. mean-zero Gaussian random variables of variance \( \text{Var}(w_{a,i}) = 1/N, j = 1, \ldots, N \). In what follows we denote the corresponding expectations with \( \langle \ldots \rangle = \int \ldots \mathcal{P}(w_a) d\mathbf{w}_a d\mathbf{w}_b \) and \( \langle \ldots \rangle_{\text{GOE(N)}} = \int \ldots \mathcal{P}(H_N) dH_N \) respectively. As before, to address the distributions of \( \mathbf{K}_{a,b} = \Re \mathbf{K}_{a,b} + i \Im \mathbf{K}_{a,b} \) we introduce its characteristic function \( \mathcal{R}(k, s) = k + is \) as in (3). Integrating out the Gaussian-distributed channel vectors one arrives at the following representation:

\[ \mathcal{R}(k, s) = \frac{\det \left( (\lambda I_N - H_N)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{N} I_N \right)}{\Pi_{i=1,2} \det^{1/2} \left( (\lambda I_N - H_N)^2 + (-1)^i \frac{1}{N} (\lambda I_N - H_N) + \frac{\alpha^2}{N} I_N \right)}_{\text{GOE(N)}}, \]
where we denoted \( \omega_1^2 = \alpha^2 - \ii \omega x \) and \( \omega_2^2 = \alpha^2 + \ii \omega x \).

The major difficulties in evaluating such type of GOE averages arise from the presence of half-integer powers in the denominator. Using a variant of the supersymmetry approach one can derive a finite \( -N \) representation for the above as an integral over \( 4 \times 4 \) positive definite matrices (see appendix B), but its asymptotic/saddle-point analysis for \( N \gg 1 \) presents a considerable technical challenge, see a detailed discussion in [15]. Note also the lack of rotational invariance in the plane of the complex variable \( q \) as, in contrast to the GUE case, \( \mathcal{R}(k, \omega) \) does not depend only on \(|q| = \sqrt{k^2 + \omega^2} \). Although all this prevented us from finding the full joint probability density for the pair \( (\mathbf{K}_{a,b}, \mathbf{K}_{a,b}^*) \), we succeeded in extracting the (most important) special cases:

\[
\mathcal{R}(\omega, 0) = \langle \exp \left( \ii \omega \mathbf{K}_{a,b} \right) \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)}, \quad \mathcal{R}(0, k) = \langle \exp \left( \ii k \mathbf{K}_{a,b} \right) \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)}
\]

yielding the characteristic function for respectively imaginary and real part of \( \mathbf{K}_{a,b} \). Note that those quantities can be separately measured. To simplify our calculation we concentrate on the spectral centre \( \lambda = 0 \), the general case \( \lambda \neq 0 \) recovered by using the spectral universality via rescaling with the mean spectral density, exactly like in \( \beta = 2 \) case. Our main result for the characteristic functions is given by

**Proposition 2.** Consider \( \mathbf{K}_N \in \text{GOE}(N) \), \( \omega_c \sim N(0, \frac{1}{2} 1_N) \) for \( c = a, b \) and define the functions

\[
C(q_1, q_2, \alpha) = q_1^2 - 4q_1 q_2 (4q_2 - 1) + 2q_1 q_2 (1 - 4q_2 + 8q_2^2) + q_1^2 (1 - 8q_2 + 44q_2^2) \]

\[
-4(q_1 + q_2) \left( -q_1^3 + q_1 q_2^2 (4q_2 - 5) + q_1 q_2^2 (4q_2 - 5) + q_1^3 (4q_2 - 1) \right) \alpha^2 + 16q_1 q_2^2 (q_1 + q_2)^2 \alpha^4
\]

and

\[
D(q_1, q_2, \alpha) = C(q_1, q_2, \alpha) - 8(q_1 + q_2)^2 \alpha^2 \left( q_1 + q_2 - 2q_1 q_2 + 4q_1 q_2 (q_1 + q_2)^2 \right) \]

Then the characteristic function of the real \( \Re \) and imaginary \( \Im \) parts of \( \mathbf{K}_{a,b} \) for \( \lambda = 0 \) are given in the limit \( N \to \infty \) by the following integral representations:

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \langle \exp \left( \ii \omega \Im \mathbf{K}_{a,b} \right) \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} dq_1 dq_2 |q_1 - q_2| J_0 \left( \alpha (q_1 - q_2) \right) \times e^{-\frac{1}{4} (q_1 + q_2) \left( |q_1 q_2|^{-1} + 4\alpha^2 \right) \left( D(q_1, q_2, \alpha) \sinh(2\alpha) - 2\alpha C(q_1, q_2, \alpha) \cosh(2\alpha) \right)} \]

\[
\times 512 \sqrt{\pi} q_1 q_2 (q_1 + q_2)^{5/2} \alpha^3
\]

and

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \langle \exp \left( \ii \omega \Re \mathbf{K}_{a,b} \right) \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} dq_1 dq_2 |q_1 - q_2| J_0 \left( \kappa \sqrt{\frac{k^2}{4} + \alpha^2} (q_1 - q_2) \right) \times e^{-\frac{1}{4} (q_1 + q_2) \left( |q_1 q_2|^{-1} + 2(k^2 + 2\alpha^2) \right) \left( D(q_1, q_2, \alpha) \sinh(2\alpha) - 2\alpha C(q_1, q_2, \alpha) \cosh(2\alpha) \right)} \]

\[
\times 512 \sqrt{\pi} q_1 q_2 (q_1 + q_2)^{5/2} \alpha^3
\]

where \( J_0(x) \) and \( \text{I}_0(x) \) are Bessel function and modified Bessel function, respectively.
Note 1. The probability density function of the scaled imaginary part \( u = \alpha^{-1}\Im K_{ab} \) can be written in a closed form by inverting the associated Fourier transform. Namely, denoting the integrand function in equation (13) for \( s = 0 \) as \( f(q_1, q_2; \alpha) \) one gets
\[
\mathcal{P}(u) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 dp df \left( \frac{|u|}{(2pt)(t+1)}, \frac{|u|}{2pt}(1-t); \alpha \right) \frac{|u|}{p^2t^2 \sqrt{1-p^2}}.
\] (15)

A similar inversion in (14) seems however impractical.

Note 2. Introduce the GOE semicircle eigenvalue density \( \rho(\lambda) = 1/(2\pi)\sqrt{4-\lambda^2} \) and the ratio \( \eta = \rho(\lambda)/\rho(0) \). Then characteristic functions \( \langle \exp(\im \im K_{a,b}) \rangle_{\text{GOE}}(\eta) \) and \( \langle \exp(\im \Re K_{a,b}) \rangle_{\text{GOE}}(\eta) \) for any \( \lambda \in (-2, 2) \) in the limit \( N \to \infty \) can be obtained from the case \( \lambda = 0 \) by rescalings \( \alpha \to \eta \alpha \), \( s \to \eta s \) and \( k \to \eta k \). Namely,
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \langle \exp(\im \im K_{a,b}) \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)}(\alpha, \lambda) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \langle \exp(\im \im \eta K_{a,b}) \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)}(\eta \alpha, 0)
\] (16)
and
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \langle \exp(\im \Re K_{a,b}) \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)}(\alpha, \lambda) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \langle \exp(\im \eta \Re K_{a,b}) \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)}(\eta \alpha, 0).
\] (17)

An analogous result holds for the probability distribution \( \mathcal{P}(\cdot; \alpha, \lambda) \) in equation (15) with \( \lambda \neq 0 \); now rescaling \( \tilde{u} = \eta^2 u \), i.e. \( \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}; \varepsilon, \lambda) = \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}; \eta \alpha, 0) \). The numerical comparison is presented in figures 5 and 6. A justification for the rescaling can be provided based on the results in [15], see appendix B.

Note 3. For large values of absorption \( \alpha \gg 1 \) it is natural to expect that the probability density of \( \Im K_{ab} \) should approach the Gaussian shape. This is fully confirmed by figure 7.

Note 4. Although we were not able to find the joint probability density for the pair \( \Re K_{a,b} \) and \( \Im K_{a,b} \), we can shed some light on cross-correlations between the imaginary and real parts by using the results of the paper [31] for the variance of \( |K_{ab}|^2 = (\Im K_{a\neq b})^2 + (\Re K_{a\neq b})^2 \). Namely, in figure 8 we present the quantity
\[
\tau(\alpha) = \frac{\langle (\Im K_{a,b})^2 \rangle}{\langle (\Re K_{a,b})^2 \rangle} - 1,
\]
where \( \langle (\Im K_{a,b})^2 \rangle \) and \( \langle (\Re K_{a,b})^2 \rangle \) are calculated in the appendix C. We see that the real and imaginary parts are correlated, but gradually decorrelate with increased absorption.

3. Derivations of the main results

3.1. Systems with broken time-reversal invariance

Our starting point in this case is to write \( K_{a,b} \) in terms of the eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \) and associated eigenvectors of the matrix \( H_N \):
\[
K_{a,b} = \sum_{n=1}^N w_{a,n} w_{b,n}^* \lambda_n - \lambda_n + i\alpha/N.
\]
where \( w_{a,n} = \langle w_a | n \rangle \), \( w_{b,n}^* = \langle n | w_b \rangle \) are projection of the channel vectors on the eigenvectors \( |n\rangle \), \( n = 1, \ldots, N \). Due to Gaussian nature of the channel vectors their projections on any system of orthonormal vectors are again Gaussian and independent.

We then aim to compute the following characteristic function

\[
\tilde{R}(q, q^*) = \langle \exp i \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} q^* w_{a,n} w_{b,n}^* + q \frac{w_{a,n} w_{b,n}^*}{\lambda - \lambda_n + i \alpha/N} \right) \rangle_{\text{GUE}(N)}.
\]

Evaluating the standard Gaussian integrals over \( w_{a,n} \) and \( w_{b,n} \) yields

**Figure 2.** Real (dots) and imaginary (line) parts of the characteristic function equation (8) for \( K_{a,b} \) in systems with broken time-reversal invariance and absorption \( \alpha = 1 \) with \( q \in [0, 12] \) and the special choice of the channel covariance matrix, for \( \lambda = 0 \) (top), \( \lambda = 1 \) (bottom). Markers indicate numerical results involving \# Samples 10000 for the matrix size \( N = 100 \).
\[ \tilde{R}(q) = \left( \prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_n)^2 + \alpha^2/N^2}{(\lambda - \lambda_n)^2 + \alpha^2/N^2 + |q|^2/N^2} \right)_{\text{GUE}(N)} \]

\[ = \left( \frac{\det((H_N - \lambda I_N)^2 + \alpha^2/N^2 I_N)}{\det((H_N - \lambda I_N)^2 + (|q|^2/N + \alpha^2/N^2) I_N)} \right)_{\text{GUE}(N)} := \tilde{R}(|q|). \]

Figure 3. Real (dots) and imaginary (line) parts of the characteristic function equation (8) for \( K_{a,b} \) in systems with broken time-reversal invariance and absorption \( \alpha = 5 \) with \( q \in [0, 12] \) and the special choice of the channel covariance matrix, for \( \lambda = 0 \) (top), \( \lambda = 1 \) (bottom). Markers indicate numerical results involving \# Samples 10000 for the matrix size \( N = 100 \).
When $N \to \infty$, the above object has been evaluated in [36]. Namely, defining the two-point kernel $S$ via

$$S(x-y) = \begin{cases} e^{i\pi(x-y)}, & \text{if } \Re(x) > 0 \\ \frac{e^{-i\pi(x-y)}}{x-y}, & \text{if } \Re(x) < 0 \end{cases}$$

one than has the following representation:

---

Figure 4. Real (dots) and imaginary (line) parts of the characteristic function equation (8) for $K_{ab}$ in systems with broken time-reversal invariance and absorption $\alpha = 10$ with $q \in [0, 12]$ and the special choice of the channel covariance matrix, for $\lambda = 0$ (top), $\lambda = 1$ (bottom). Markers indicate numerical results involving $\# \text{ Samples } 10000$ for the matrix size $N = 100$.
\( R(q) = \rho(\lambda) \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + |q|^2}}{|q|^4} \det[e^{-i\phi(\lambda)\xi - \eta} \zeta_i - \eta_j] \) for \( i, j = 1, 2 \).

Changing to polar coordinates, integrating out angular variables, performing obvious manipulations and finally rescaling leads eventually to:

**Figure 5.** Characteristic function of \( \Im \langle K_{ab} \rangle \) as given by (13) versus numerical simulations for systems with preserved time-reversal invariance at different level of absorption: \( \alpha = 1 \) (dots), 5 (dash), 10 (line) (\# Samples 80000, \( N = 100 \), circular markers) for \( \lambda = 0 \) (a), 1 (b).
\[ \mathcal{P}(K_{a,b}, K_{a,b}^*) = \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi} \lim_{\lambda \to 2\pi \rho(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 + r^2}} J_0(2\alpha|K_{a,b}|r) \]

\[ \times \left( \sinh(x) \left( 1 + \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) - 2 \cosh(x) \frac{d}{dx} \right) \exp(-x\sqrt{1 + r^2}) \]

Changing \( y = \sqrt{1 + r^2} \) and using that \( K_{1/2}(u) = \sqrt{\frac{u}{\pi}} e^{-u} \), where \( K_{\nu}(u) \) is the Bessel-Macdonald function of order \( \nu \), allows to compute the integral, see [38]:

**Figure 6.** Characteristic function for \( \Re K_{a,b} \) as given by (14) versus numerical simulations for systems with preserved time-reversal invariance at different level of absorption: \( \alpha = 1 (\text{dots}), 5 (\text{dash}), 10 (\text{line}) \) (\# Samples 50 000, \( N = 300 \), circular markers) for \( \lambda = 0 (a), 1 (b) \).
\[ \int_0^{+\infty} dr \frac{J_0(2\alpha|K_{a,b}|r)}{\sqrt{1+r^2}} \exp \left( -x\sqrt{1+r^2} \right) = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{x^2+4\alpha^2}|K_{a,b}|^2}}{\sqrt{x^2+4\alpha^2}|K_{a,b}|^2}. \]

### 3.2. Systems with broken time-reversal invariance and correlated channels

In this section we sketch the derivation in the case of channels correlated as described in the section 2.1.2 and characterized via a $2 \times 2$ complex matrix $C^{-1}$. The characteristic function
\[
\langle \exp \left( \frac{i}{2} (qK_{a,b}^* + qK_{b,a}^*) \right) \rangle_{\text{GUE}(N)}
\]
is then given by the ensemble average of
\[
\prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\det C}{\pi^2} \int_{C^2} \prod_{j=a,b} dw_j, dw_j^* \exp \left\{ -N \left[ \begin{array}{c} w_{a,a} \\ w_{b,a} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} w_{a,a} \\ w_{b,a} \end{array} \right]^\dagger C \left[ \begin{array}{c} w_{a,a} \\ w_{b,a} \end{array} \right] + \frac{i}{2} \left( q w_{a,a} w_{b,a}^* \delta_n + q^* w_{a,a} w_{b,a} \delta_n \right) \right\}
\]
where we introduced the notation $\delta_n = \lambda - \lambda_n - i\alpha/N$. Performing the Gaussian integrals over the channel variables allows to represent the characteristic function as
\[
\langle \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\det C}{\pi^2} \left( N^2 C_{11} C_{22} - (NC_{12} - i\frac{\delta_n}{\sqrt{\delta_n}})(NC_{12} - i\frac{\delta_n}{\sqrt{\delta_n}}) \right) \rangle_{\text{GUE}(N)}
\]
which in turn can be equivalently represented as an average of the ratio of determinants over the GUE ensemble:
\[
\frac{\det((\lambda - i\alpha/N)1_N - H)}{\prod_{j=1,2} \det((\lambda 1_N - H) + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{k}} (k + (-1)^j \sqrt{k^2 + 4\delta}) 1_N)} \langle \text{GUE}(N) \rangle
\]
where we denoted...
\[
\tilde{k} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{C_{12}^* q}{\det C} + \frac{C_{12}}{\det C} q^* \right)
\]
\[
\tilde{s} = \alpha^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( \frac{C_{12}^* q - C_{12}}{\det C} q^* \right) + \frac{|q|^2}{4 \det C}
\]
Evaluating the ensemble average in the large \(-N\) limit (see equation (4.9) in [33]) we then arrive at the characteristic function given by:
\[
R(q, q^*) = -\left( \frac{(\varepsilon_1 - \mu_1)(\varepsilon_1 - \mu_2)(\varepsilon_2 - \mu_1)(\varepsilon_2 - \mu_2)}{(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)(\mu_1 - \mu_2)} \right) (N\rho(\lambda))^2 \det \left[ e^{-\frac{i}{\alpha} \text{Re}(\xi - \eta) \text{Im}(\xi_i - \eta_j) \Big|_{ij=1,2} \right]
\]
where we used the notations
\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_j &= \lambda + \xi_j \rho(\lambda) \\
\mu_j &= \lambda + \eta_j \rho(\lambda) \\
\xi_j &= \rho(\lambda) \left( (-1)^{j+1} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{k^2 + 4s} + \frac{1}{2} \left( k + (-1)^{j+1} \right) \sqrt{k^2 + 4s} \right) \\
\eta_j &= i (-1)^j \alpha \rho(\lambda)
\end{align*}
\]
with \(j = 1, 2\). Finally, after simple algebra we arrive at the final result given in equation (8).

3.3. Derivations for the case of preserved time-reversal invariance
In full analogy with the previous section we consider the characteristic function of \(K_{a,b}\):
\[
R(q, q^*) = \langle e^{i/2 (q K_{a,b} + q K_{b,a}^*)} \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)}
\]
with \(q = k + is \in \mathbb{C}\). The argument in the exponential can be written in the basis of the eigenvector of \(H\) as:
\[ q^* K_{ab} + q K_{ab}^* = \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_{a,n} w_{b,n} \left( q^* (\lambda - \lambda_n - i\alpha/N) + q (\lambda - \lambda_n + i\alpha/N) \right) \left( \lambda - \lambda_n \right)^2 + \alpha^2/N^2. \]

The integration over the real Gaussian variables \( w_{a,n}, w_{b,n} \) and simple rearranging leads to representation equation (9).

At the next step we set \( \lambda = 0 \) in equation (9) and represent \( H_N \) as a block matrix:

\[
H_N = \begin{bmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} & h_1^T \\ H_{12} & H_{22} & h_2^T \\ h_1 & h_2 & H_{N-2} \end{bmatrix},
\]

(18)

where \( H_{N-2} \) is the \((N-2) \times (N-2)\) submatrix obtained by deleting the first two columns and the first two rows of \( H_N \), while \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) are \((N-2)\) dimensional vectors. The numerator in equation (9) for \( \lambda = 0 \) can be then written using the Schur complement formula as

\[
det \left( H_N^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{N^2} I_N \right) = det \left( H_{N-2}^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{N^2} I_N \right) |\Delta|^2
\]

with:

\[
\Delta = det \left( \begin{bmatrix} H_{11} - i\alpha & H_{12} \\ H_{12} & H_{22} - i\alpha \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} h_1^T \\ h_2^T \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{H_{N-2}^2 - i\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} \right).
\]

The determinants in the denominator of equation (9) can be replaced by Gaussian integrals via \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} dx \exp\{-x^T A x\} \propto 1/\sqrt{\det A} \) for \( \Re A > 0 \). As the result, the characteristic function for \( \Im K_{ab} \) at \( \lambda = 0 \) can be represented by the following integral:

\[
\langle e^{i \eta K_{ij}} \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)} \propto \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} dx_1 dx_2 \exp \left( - \text{Tr} \left( H_N^2 Q + \sum_{j=1,2} \frac{\omega_j^2}{N^2} I_N \left( x_j \otimes x_j^T \right) \right) \right) \right)
\]

\[
\times \det \left( H_{N-2}^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{N^2} I_N \right) |\Delta|^2 \right)_{\text{GOE}(N)}
\]

(19)

where here and afterwards we systematically suppress multiplicative constants and restore them only in the end of the calculation. The symmetric \( N \times N \) matrix \( Q = x_1 \otimes x_1^T + x_2 \otimes x_2^T \) satisfies \( \text{Rank}(Q) = 2 \). Therefore its spectrum \( \sigma(Q) \) is given generically by two positive eigenvalues \( \{q_1 > 0, q_2 > 0\} \), with the rest \( N - 2 \) eigenvalues being identically zero, implying \( Q = O \text{ diag}(q_1, q_2, 0, \ldots, 0) O^T \) with \( O \in O(N) \). The integral over variables \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) has a particular invariant form and can be written in terms of a \( 2 \times 2 \) symmetric matrix

\[
\tilde{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} |x_1|^2 & x_1 \cdot x_2 \\ x_1 \cdot x_2 & |x_2|^2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

with \( \sigma(\tilde{Q}) = \sigma(Q) \setminus \{0\} \). Now one can apply the following

**Proposition (See [39]).** For real matrices \( Q \) of the form \( \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j \otimes x_j^T \), where \( x_j \in \mathbb{R}^N \), \( \forall j \) the following identity holds:
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \text{d}x_j \Theta(\sigma(Q)) = \frac{\pi^{-k(k-1)/4}}{\Gamma(\frac{N-k}{2})} \int_{Q>0} \text{d}Q \det(Q)^{(N-k-1)/2} \Theta(\sigma(Q)),
\]
where the integration in the right-hand side is over the space of the real symmetric positive definite matrices of dimension \(k \times k\).

In our case \(k = 2\) and the integral over \(Q\) can be rewritten introducing the spectrum \(q_1, q_2\) as integration variables. This leads to:
\[
\mathbb{E}_{\text{GOE}(N)} \left< e^{i\sum_{\alpha} K_{\alpha}} \right> \propto \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq_1 dq_2 \sum_{q_1, q_2} \frac{1}{N^2} \text{Tr} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & q_2 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{O}^\dagger \mathcal{O},
\]
where we denoted

\[
\Phi(\sigma(Q), \alpha) = (\det(H_N^2 + \alpha^2/N^2)) \exp(-\text{Tr}H_N^2Q)_{\text{GOE}(N)}.
\]

Parametrizing \(\mathcal{O} \in O(2)\) as \(\begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix}\) the group integration in equation (21) is equivalent to:
\[
\int_{0}^{\pi/2} d\phi e^{i\phi(q_1 + \frac{1}{2}q_2) + \frac{1}{2}sin^2(\phi)q_1q_2} = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{N^2}(q_1 - q_2)} J_0\left(\frac{\alpha S}{N^2}(q_1 - q_2)\right),
\]
where \(J_0(\lambda)\) is the Bessel function of the first kind of order 0.

The expectation over GOE ensemble in equation (22) can be now evaluated directly by employing the block structure presented in equation (18). Introducing the notations \(M = (H_{N-2} - i\frac{\alpha}{N})^{-1}\) and \(M^* = (H_{N-2} + i\frac{\alpha}{N})^{-1}\) one finds that \(\Phi\) can be written in the following form

\[
\Phi(q_1, q_2; \alpha) = \sum_{m, n, p} u_{m, n, p}(q_1, q_2, \alpha) \left< (\text{Tr}(M^m(M^*)^n)^p) \right>_{\text{GOE}(N-2)}
\]
for some coefficients \(u_{m, n, p}(q_1, q_2, \alpha)\) with \(0 \leq m, n, p \leq 2, 0 \leq p \leq 4\).

To see this we proceed as follows. We notice that \(\text{Tr}(H_N^2(Q + N/4 1_N))\) is a quadratic polynomial in the entries of \(H_N\):

\[
\text{Tr} \left( H_N^2(Q + N/4 1_N) \right) = \alpha_1 H_{11}^2 + \alpha_2 H_{22}^2 + \alpha_{12} H_{12}^2 + \beta_1 |h_1|^2 + \beta_2 |h_2|^2 + \frac{N}{4} \text{Tr} \left( H_{N-2}^2 \right)
\]

with

\[
\alpha_1 = q_1 + N/4, \quad \alpha_2 = q_2 + N/4, \quad \alpha_{12} = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \quad \beta_1 = q_1 + N/2, \quad \beta_2 = q_2 + N/2.
\]

Further we have

\[
\Delta \Delta^* = |H_{11}H_{22} - Z_1H_{22} - H_{11}Z_{22} + Z_{11}Z_{22} - H_{12}^2 - Z_{12}^2 + 2H_{12}Z_{12}|^2,
\]

where \(Z_{ij} = i\alpha/N\delta_{ij} + \text{Tr}(M(h_i \otimes h_j^T))\). The Gaussian integrals over \(H_{1,1}, H_{1,2}, H_{2,2}\) can be performed using the identity
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-ax^2} |x^2 + bx + d|^2 dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4a^{3/2}} (3|c|^2 + 2a|b|^2 + 2a(d^*c + d^*e) + 4a^2|d|^2), \quad a > 0, \text{ and } b,c,d \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

(25)

In this way we start with integrating out \(H_{11}\) via

\[
\int e^{-\alpha_i H_{11}^2} |\Delta|^2 dH_{11} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\alpha_1} \left( |H_{22} - Z_{22}|^2 + 2|a_1| - Z_{11}H_{22} + Z_{11}Z_{22} - H_{12}^2 - Z_{12}^2 + 2H_{12}Z_{12}|^2 \right)
\]

and then similarly integrate over \(H_{22}\) and \(H_{12}\). This finally yields

\[
\Phi(q_1, q_2; \alpha) \propto \int dh_1 dh_2 dH_{N-2} e^{-\beta_1 |h_1|^2 - \beta_2 |h_2|^2} \det \left( H_{N-2}^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{N^2} I_{N-2} \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( a_1 + a_2 |Z_{11}|^2 + a_3 |Z_{22}|^2 + a_4 |Z_{12}|^2 + 2a_5 \Re(Z_{11}Z_{22} - Z_{12}^2) + a_6 |Z_{11}Z_{22} - Z_{12}^2|^2 \right),
\]

where

\[
a_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha_1^{1/2}} + 3 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{1/2}, \quad a_2 = 2 \alpha_1 \alpha_1^{1/2}, \quad a_3 = 2 \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{1/2},
\]

\[
a_4 = \frac{8 \alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\alpha_1^{1/2}}, \quad a_5 = -2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{1/2}, \quad a_6 = \frac{4 \alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\alpha_1^{1/2}}.
\]

Remembering the definition of \(Z_{ij}\), the integration in equation (26) over \(h_1\) and \(h_2\) relies on the following identities valid for \(\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0\):

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-2}} (h_1^T Mh_1) e^{-\beta_1 k_1 h_1} dh_1 = \left( \frac{\pi}{\beta_1} \right)^{(N-2)/2} \frac{1}{2\beta_1} \text{Tr} M
\]

and

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-2}} e^{-\beta_1 k_1^2} (h_1^T M_1 h_1) (h_1^T M_2 h_1) \, dh_1 = \frac{\pi(N-2)/2}{4 \beta_1^{(N-2)/2}} \frac{1}{\beta_1^2} (\text{Tr} M_1 \text{Tr} M_2 + 2 \text{Tr} M_1^2 M_2)
\]

as well as

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2(N-2)}} e^{-\beta_1 k_1^2 - \beta_2 k_2^2} (h_1^T M_1 h_2) (h_1^T M_2 h_2) \, dh_1 dh_2 = \left( \frac{\pi}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right)^{(N-2)/2} \frac{1}{4 \beta_1 \beta_2} \text{Tr} M_1 M_2
\]

and

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2(N-2)}} e^{-\beta_1 k_1^2 - \beta_2 k_2^2} (h_1^T M_1 h_2)^2 (h_1^T M_2 h_2)^2 \, dh_1 dh_2 = \frac{1}{16} \left( \frac{\pi}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right)^{(N-2)/2} \frac{1}{\beta_1 \beta_2} (\text{Tr} M_1^2 M_2^2 + 4 \text{Tr} M_1^2 M_2 + 2 \text{Tr}^2 M_1 M_2 + 2 \text{Tr} (M_1 M_2)^2)
\]

and finally

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2(N-2)}} e^{-\beta_1 k_1^2 - \beta_2 k_2^2} (h_1^T M h_2)^2 (h_1^T M^* h_1) \, dh_1 dh_2
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{\pi}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right)^{(N-2)/2} \frac{1}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \left( \text{Tr} M^2 \text{Tr} M^* + 2 \text{Tr}(M^2 M^*) \right)
\]

and
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2(N-2)}} e^{-\beta_1 h_1^T - \beta_2 h_2^T} (h_1^T M h_2)^2 (h_1^T M^* h_1) (h_2^T M^* h_2) \, dh_1 dh_2
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{16} \left( \frac{\pi}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right)^{(N-2)/2} \frac{1}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \left( \text{Tr} M^2 \text{Tr}^2 M^* + 4 \text{Tr} M^2 M^* \text{Tr} M^* + 4 \text{Tr}(M M^*)^2 \right).
\]

Performing in this way integrations over \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) leads to the following cumbersome intermediate expression:
\[
\Phi(q_1, q_2; \alpha) \propto \left( \frac{\pi}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right)^{(N-2)/2} \int dH_{N-2} e^{-\frac{2\pi}{N^2} H_{N-2}^2} \det(H_{N-2}^2 + \frac{a^2}{N} \mathbf{1}_{N-2}) \times \left\{ u_1 + u_2 (\text{Tr} M - M^*) + u_3 \text{Tr} M^* + 2 u_4 \text{Tr} M + 2 u_5 \text{Tr} M^* + u_6 (\text{Tr} M - M^*) (\text{Tr} M^* + 2 \text{Tr} M^* ) \right. \\
\left. + \text{Tr} M^2 \text{Tr} M^* + 2 \text{Tr} M^2 M^* - (\text{Tr} M^2 \text{Tr} M + 2 \text{Tr} M^2 M) \right) \right\},
\]

with:
\[
u_1 = a_1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{N^2} a_2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{N^2} a_3 - 2 \frac{\alpha^2}{N^2} a_5 + \frac{\alpha^4}{N^2} a_6,
\]
\[
u_2 = - i \frac{\alpha}{N} a_2 - i \frac{\alpha}{N} a_3 + i \frac{\alpha a_5}{2 N} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1} + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \right) - i \frac{\alpha^2 a_6}{2 N^2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1} + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \right),
\]
\[
u_3 = \frac{a_2}{2 \beta_1^2} + \frac{a_3}{2 \beta_2^2} + \frac{a_4}{4 \beta_1 \beta_2} + \frac{a_6 \alpha^2}{2 N^2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1^2} + \frac{1}{\beta_2^2} \right),
\]
\[
u_4 = \frac{a_5}{4 \beta_1 \beta_2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{4 N^2} \frac{a_6}{\beta_1 \beta_2}; \nu_5 = \frac{a_2}{4 \beta_1^2} + \frac{a_3}{4 \beta_2^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 a_6}{4 N^2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1^2} + \frac{1}{\beta_2^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2 N^2} \frac{a_6}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right),
\]
\[
u_6 = \frac{i \alpha}{8 N} a_6 \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1^2} + \frac{1}{\beta_2^2} \right); \nu_7 = \frac{a_6}{16 \beta_1 \beta_2}.
\]

In this way evaluating \( \Phi(\sigma(\mathbf{Q}), \alpha) \) is reduced to performing \( GOE(N-2) \) averaging of polynomials of traces for \( M = (H_{N-2} - \frac{i \alpha}{N})^{-1} \) and its complex conjugate. Observing that \( M - M^* = 2 i \frac{\alpha}{N} M M^* \), each monomial can be rewritten as a combination of derivatives of characteristic polynomials, i.e. \( \partial_\xi^m \det(H_{N-2} - (\xi \pm \frac{i \alpha}{N})) |_{\xi=0} \) for some \( m > 0 \). Exploiting this the final integration over \( H_{N-2} \) is performed as follows. First we introduce the correlation function of the product of two characteristic polynomials, which in the limit \( N \gg 1 \) takes the form, see e.g. [40]:
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\[
\left\langle \det \left( H_{N-2} - i\frac{\alpha}{N} - \xi \right) \det \left( H_{N-2} + i\frac{\alpha}{N} - \xi \right) \right\rangle_{\text{GOE}(N-2)}
\]

\[
f(\xi_+ - \xi_-) \cos \left( f(\xi_+ - \xi_-) \right) + \sin \left( f(\xi_+ - \xi_-) \right) = C_{S^p}(\xi_+ - \xi_-)
\]

with \( f(\xi) = 2i\alpha + N\xi \). Now by employing the identities

\[
\frac{d}{d\xi} \det(H_{N-2} - (\xi \pm i\alpha/N)I_N) = -\text{Tr}\left(H_{N-2} - (\xi \pm i\alpha/N)I_N\right)^{-1} \det(H_{N-2} - (\xi \pm i\alpha/N)I_N)
\]

and

\[
\frac{d}{d\xi} \text{Tr}\left(H_{N-2} - (\xi \pm i\alpha/N)I_N\right)^{-k} = k \text{Tr}\left(H_{N-2} - (\xi \pm i\alpha/N)I_N\right)^{-(k+1)}
\]

one is able to represent \( \Phi \) in the following form:

\[
\Phi(q_1, q_2; \alpha) \propto \lim_{\delta \to 0} \sum_{j=0}^{4} b_j(q_1, q_2, \alpha) D_j C_{S^p}(\delta)
\]

where the coefficients \( b_j \) are given by the following expressions:

\[
b_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{12}}} + \frac{3\alpha_{12}}{\alpha_{12}^2} + 2\frac{\alpha_{1}^2}{N^2} \frac{\alpha_1^2}{\sqrt{\alpha_{12}}} + 4\frac{\alpha_{1}^2 \alpha_2^2}{N^4} \frac{\alpha_{12}^2}{\alpha_{12}^2} + 4\frac{\alpha_1^4 \alpha_{12}^2}{N^4} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_{12}^2}{\alpha_{12}^2}
\]

\[
b_1 = -\frac{iN}{\sqrt{\alpha_{12}}} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2} \right) - \frac{1}{N} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_{12}^2}{\alpha_{12}^2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1} + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \right) - 2\frac{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_{12}^2}{N^2} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_{12}^2}{\alpha_{12}^2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1^2} + \frac{1}{\beta_2^2} \right)
\]

\[
b_2 = -\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_{12}^2}{\alpha_{12}^2} \beta_1 \beta_2 - 2\frac{\alpha_1^2}{N^2} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_{12}^2}{\alpha_{12}^2} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{12}} \beta_1^2} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\sqrt{\alpha_{12}} \beta_2^2} \right) + \frac{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_{12}^2}{N^4} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_{12}^2}{\alpha_{12}^2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1^2} + \frac{1}{\beta_2^2} \right)
\]

and finally

\[
b_3 = \frac{i \alpha}{2N} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_{12}^2}{\alpha_{12}^2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1 \beta_2^2} + \frac{1}{\beta_2 \beta_1^2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad b_4 = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_{12}^2}{4\sqrt{\alpha_{12}} \beta_1^2 \beta_2^2}
\]

whereas \( \hat{D}_j \) stand for the following differential operators:

\[
\hat{D}_0 = 1, \quad \hat{D}_1 = -2\partial_\delta, \quad \hat{D}_2 = \partial_\delta^2, \quad \hat{D}_3 = -2\partial_\delta^3 + \frac{4N}{\alpha} \partial_\delta^2 - \frac{2N^2}{\alpha^2} \partial_\delta
\]

and

\[
\hat{D}_4 = \partial_\delta^4 - \frac{N^2}{\alpha^2} \left( 2\partial_\delta^2 + \frac{iN}{\alpha} \partial_\delta - \frac{4N}{\alpha} \partial_\delta^2 \right)
\]
where \( \partial_\beta^1 \) := \( \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \). Now by rescaling \( q_{1,2} \rightarrow N^2 q_{1,2} \) and recalling the definitions (24) we see that for \( N \gg 1 \) to the leading order we can replace

\[
(\beta_1/\beta_2)^{N/2} \beta_1 \beta_2 \approx e^{-1/4(q_1^{-1} + q_2^{-1})}(q_1 q_2)^{1-N/2},
\]
as well as \( \alpha_1 \approx N^2 q_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \approx N^2 q_2 \).

Finally, we can restore the suppressed proportionality constant in equation (19) by noticing that for any \( N \) \( \langle \exp(\text{tr} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{K}_{a,b}) \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)} = 1 \) for either \( \alpha = 0 \) or \( s = 0 \). Note however that in the equation (21) the limit \( \alpha \rightarrow 0 \) and the integration over \( q_{1,2} \) do not commute. Therefore the constant of proportionality must be a function of \( \alpha \). The only unknown factor comes from equation (27). To this end we consider \( N \) to be odd for simplicity and further consider the limit \( \xi_{\pm} \rightarrow 0 \) and \( \alpha \rightarrow 0 \). We then have, see [41]:

\[
|\det \mathbf{H}_{N-2}^{(1-)}|_{\text{GOE}(N-2)} = N^{(N-2)(1-)} 2^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma(r/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-3/2} 2^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma(r+j-1/2)}{\Gamma(j+1/2)}
\]
with \( r > 1 \). This allows to restore the multiplicative constant and obtain the characteristic function as in equation (13). Further inverting the Fourier transform we obtain the probability density function of \( \mathbb{S} \mathbf{K}_{a,b} \). In doing this it is useful to notice that:

\[
\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[ f_{0}(\alpha s(q_1 - q_2)) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{d} \omega e^{i\alpha s \mathbf{K}_{a,b}} J_{0}(\alpha s(q_1 - q_2)) \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{I(\Omega)}{\sqrt{\alpha^2(q_1 - q_2)^2 - \mathbb{S}^2 \mathbf{K}_{a,b}}}
\]
where \( I(\Omega) \) is the indicator function of the set \( \Omega = \{(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 | \alpha^2(q_1 - q_2)^2 > \mathbb{S}^2 \mathbf{K}_{a,b}\} \). The form of the set \( \Omega \) suggests to introduce a new rescaled variable \( \mu = \alpha^{-1} \mathbb{S} \mathbf{K}_{a,b} \) to arrive to equation (15).

3.4. Derivation of equation (14)

The derivation of the characteristic function for \( \mathbb{S} \mathbf{K}_{a,b} \) follows very similar lines and we only briefly sketch it here. Assuming \( \lambda = 0 \), it is sufficient to observe that

\[
\langle \exp(\text{tr} \mathbb{S} \mathbf{K}_{a,b}) \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)} = \left\langle \frac{\det(\mathbf{H}_{N}^{(2)} + \tilde{\omega}^2)}{\Pi_{l=1}^{2} \det^{1/2}(\mathbf{H}_{N}^{(2)} + (-1)^{l} i \mathbf{H}_{N} + \tilde{\omega}^2)} \right\rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)}.
\]

Noticing that the denominator can be rewritten as:

\[
\det((\mathbf{H}_{N}^{(2)} + \tilde{\omega}^2)^{-1/2} \det((\mathbf{H}_{N}^{(2)} + \tilde{\omega}^2)^{-1/2}
\]
with \( \tilde{\omega}^2 = (k/2 + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + K^2/4})^2/N^2 \) and \( \tilde{\omega}^2 = (k/2 - \sqrt{\alpha^2 + K^2/4})^2/N^2 \) we conclude that the GOE expectation in (29) can be obtained from the corresponding expression equation (19) for the characteristic function of \( \mathbb{S} \mathbf{K}_{a,b} \) by simply replacing \( \omega_{1,2} \) in equation (19) with the new values \( \tilde{\omega}_{1,2} \) as above. The only difference comes from the integration over the orthogonal group of \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices in equation (23) which is replaced with
\[ I = \frac{\pi}{2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2N^2}(k^2 + 2\alpha^2)(q_1 + q_2) \right) J_0 \left( \frac{k}{N^2} \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \frac{k^2}{4}(q_1 - q_2)} \right). \]

Note that we found challenging to obtain an explicit probability density of \( \Re K_{a,b} \) by inverting the Fourier transform as the integral

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\Re K_{a,b}} \exp \left( -\frac{(q_1 + q_2)}{2N^2} k^2 \right) \, dk \]

does not seem to have a simple closed form expression, and can be evaluated only numerically.
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**Appendix A. Mean S–matrix for non-orthogonal channels and the perfect coupling**

We start with recalling that entries \( S_{ab}(\lambda) \) of the \( M \times M \) scattering matrix \( S \) in (1) can be rewritten equivalently as [6]

\[ S_{ab}(\lambda) = \delta_{ab} - 2i w^*_a \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda I_N - \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}} \right] w_b, \]  (A.1)

with an effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = H_N - i \Gamma, \quad \Gamma := WW^\dagger = \sum_{c=1}^{M} w_c \otimes w^*_c \succeq 0 \]  (A.2)

whose \( N \) complex eigenvalues \( \lambda = E_{n} - i \Gamma_{n} \) provide poles of the scattering matrix in the complex energy plane \( \lambda \), commonly referred to as the resonances. Recall that \( \Gamma \) for \( M < N \) has exactly \( M \) positive eigenvalues which we denote \( \gamma_c, c = 1, \ldots, M \), the rest \( N - M \) being zero.

Using standard methods, one can perform averaging over \( H \in \text{GUE} \) (or \( \text{GOE} \)) for any given set of channel vectors \( w_c, c = 1, \ldots, M \) and get in the limit \( N \to \infty \):

\[ \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{(\lambda + i0) I_N - \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}} \right]_{\text{GUE}(N)} = \frac{g_0(\lambda)}{1 + ig_0(\lambda)\Gamma}, \]  (A.3)

where for \( |\lambda| < 2 \) we introduced \( g_0(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - i\sqrt{4 - \lambda^2}}{2} \). The mean \( S \)–matrix then is immediately given by the following expression as \( N \to \infty \):

\[ \overline{S}(\lambda) = I_M - 2i g_0(\lambda) W^\dagger \frac{1}{I_N + ig_0(\lambda)\Gamma} W = \frac{1_M - ig_0(\lambda) W^\dagger W}{1_M + ig_0(\lambda) W^\dagger W}. \]  (A.4)

To see the influence of channel non-orthogonality let us consider the simplest example of a two-channel system characterized by two equivalent channels with the equal norms \( w^*_1 w_1 = w^*_2 w_2 =: \gamma \) and non-vanishing scalar product \( w^*_1 w_2 = c \), with \( |c| < \gamma \)
giving $W^*W = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma & c \\ c^* & \gamma \end{bmatrix}$. Note that such matrix has two positive eigenvalues $\gamma_1 = \gamma + |c|$ and $\gamma_2 = \gamma - |c|$, with $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2$. Note that this case of fixed channels should be identified with the random Gaussian correlated channels considered in the section 2.1.2 for the particular choice of the correlation matrix $C^{-1} = W^*W$.

Choosing for simplicity also $\lambda = 0$ gives $i\gamma_0 = 1$ hence after a simple calculation we get the mean S-matrix in the form:

$$S(\lambda = 0) = \frac{1}{(1 + \gamma)^2 - |c|^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \gamma^2 + |c|^2 & -2c \\ -2c^* & 1 - \gamma^2 + |c|^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

whose eigenvalues are given by

$$s_1 = \frac{1 - (\gamma + |c|)}{1 + (\gamma + |c|)} \equiv 1 - \gamma_1, \quad s_2 = \frac{1 - (\gamma - |c|)}{1 + (\gamma - |c|)} \equiv 1 - \gamma_2.$$

We conclude that by fixing some $1/2 < \gamma < 1$ and increasing correlation parameter $|c|$ one can force the eigenvalue $s_1$ of the mean S-matrix vanish at $|c| = 1 - \gamma$. This is a general phenomenon related to the so-called ‘perfect coupling’ situation, and we consider it next in generality.

In the general case, note that (A.4) implies, in particular, for the determinant of the mean S-matrix the expression

$$\det \left( S(\lambda) \right) = \frac{\det \left( 1 + i\gamma_0(\lambda)W^*W \right)}{\det \left( 1 + i\gamma_0(\lambda)W^*W \right)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.5)

Remembering that the matrices $W^*W$ and $\Gamma$ for $M < N$ share $M$ nonvanishing eigenvalues $\gamma_c$, $c = 1, \ldots, M$, one can easily check that the modulus of the determinant can be written in terms of those eigenvalues as

$$\left| \det \left( S(\lambda) \right) \right| = \prod_{c=1}^{M} \sqrt{\frac{g_c - 1}{g_c + 1}}, \quad g_c = \frac{1}{2\pi \rho(\lambda)} \left( \frac{1}{g_c + 1} \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.6)

We see that by starting with small channel vector norms $w^*w$ and allowing them to increase gradually, as well as introducing nonzero off-diagonal channel correlations, the eigenvalues $\gamma_c$ will be increase, and causing the combinations $g_c$ first to reach unity at the spectral parameter $\lambda = 0$ and $\gamma_c = 1$. For such a choice one of the eigenvalues of the mean scattering matrix will vanish leading to zero determinant. This special regime is precisely one called in the literature the ‘perfect coupling’ case, and has many interesting features. In particular, it corresponds to the formation of widely distributed chaotic resonances described by the characteristic power-law tail in the probability density of the resonance widths, see [42] and [11].

**Appendix B**

In this appendix we quote an explicit integral representation from [15]:
\( <e^{i\alpha K_{ab}}_{\text{GOE}(N)} \propto \int_{Q_{0=0}} dQ (\det Q)^{(N-5)/2} \exp \left(-\frac{N}{4} \text{Tr}(QL)^2 + i \frac{N}{2} \text{Tr}(QLM) \right) \)
\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr_2 \exp \left(-\frac{N}{2} (r_1^2 + r_2^2 - i2\lambda(r_1 + r_2)) \right) (r_1 r_2)^{N-4} \left(\frac{1}{2i\alpha}\right)^4
\times \prod_{j=1}^4 (r_1 + \lambda_j)(r_2 + \lambda_j) \exp \left(N(\lambda^2 - \alpha^2/N^2) \right)
\times \left(\frac{2i\alpha}{N(r_1 - r_2)} \cos \left(\frac{2i\alpha(r_1 - r_2)}{2f^2}\right) - \frac{2}{N} \sin \left(\frac{2i\alpha(r_1 - r_2)}{2}\right) \right). \)

(B.1)

In the above \( Q \) is \( 4 \times 4 \) positive definite real symmetric matrix, \( L = \text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda + \frac{1}{N}\sqrt{\alpha^2 + i\alpha\lambda}, \lambda + \frac{1}{N}\sqrt{\alpha^2 - i\alpha\lambda}, \lambda - \frac{1}{N}\sqrt{\alpha^2 - i\alpha\lambda}) \) and \( M = \text{diag}(\lambda + \frac{1}{N}\sqrt{\alpha^2 + i\alpha\lambda}, \lambda + \frac{1}{N}\sqrt{\alpha^2 - i\alpha\lambda}, \lambda - \frac{1}{N}\sqrt{\alpha^2 - i\alpha\lambda}, \lambda) \). A naive saddle point approximation leads to \( r_{1,2} = 1/2(i\lambda \pm 2i\rho) \) but substituting it back to equation (B.1) shows that the integrand vanishes at the saddle-point value. Finding a way to fully control the higher order expansion around the saddle point and extract all relevant contributions remains a challenge. It is easy to see however that the result of the expansion will satisfy the rescaling property (16).

**Appendix C**

Our goal is to give a brief derivation of the explicit expressions for \( <(\text{R}K_{a,b})^2_{\text{GOE}(N)} \) and \( <(\text{S}K_{a,b})^2_{\text{GOE}(N)} \).

We start by rewriting \( K_{ab} \) in the basis of the eigenvectors of \( H_N \), namely:

\[
K_{ab} = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{i,j=1}^N w_{ai} O_{ia} \langle O_{ib} w_{bj} \rangle / (\lambda - \lambda_n)^2 + \alpha^2/N^2.
\]

It is easy to see that, after performing the averaging over the Gaussian channel vectors, we can write

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} <(\text{S}K_{a,b})^2_{\text{GOE}(N)} = -\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{2N} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left( \alpha^3 \sum_{n=1}^N \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda - i\alpha/N) I_N - H_N} \right) \right)_{\text{GOE}(N)} \right)
\]

and:

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} <(\text{R}K_{a,b})^2_{\text{GOE}(N)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{2N} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left( \alpha^\lambda \sum_{n=1}^N \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda - i\alpha/N) I_N - H_N} \right) \right)_{\text{GOE}(N)} \right).
\]

Where we assumed valid the interchange of the limit with the derivative. The traces above, in the limit of \( N \to \infty \), can be written in terms of the Stieltjes transform of the semicircle density:

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{H_N - z} \right)_{\text{GOE}(N)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{4 - x^2}}{x - z} dx
\]

\[
= \frac{\lambda - i\alpha/N}{2} \left( -1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{(\alpha/N + i\lambda)^2}} \right)
\]
The imaginary part can be extracted by observing that \( \sqrt{a + ib} = x + iy \) where \( x = 1/\sqrt{2}\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + a} \) and \( y = \text{sign}(b)/\sqrt{2}\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 - a} \). Performing the derivatives in \( \alpha \) leads to:

\[
\langle (\Re K_{a,b})^2 \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)} = \frac{\sqrt{4 - \lambda^2}}{4\alpha},
\]

and the same result holds for \( \langle (\Im K_{a,b})^2 \rangle_{\text{GOE}(N)} \).
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