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Abstract

Background: The uniqueness of nursing profession contributes to the development in knowledge, and experience along with increasing age, education, economy, and position as well as forming a unique gender role throughout the life of this profession. Thus, the development and advancement of the demographic characteristics of nurses developed while in this profession exerts a very large influence on the caring behaviour of nurses. The aim of this study was to identify the effect of work setting and demographic factors on nurses’ caring behaviour and to identify the differences in nurses’ caring behaviors based on demographic factors between nurses in public hospitals and in public health services in Sabah, Malaysia.

Methods: This research is a cross-sectional study using the survey method. Data were collected from 3,532 nurses in public hospitals and public health services within Sabah, Malaysia. Data were analysed using two-way ANOVA.

Results: The findings reveal that age, education, economy, position, and experience had a significant effect on caring behaviour. Additionally, there are differences in nurses’ caring behaviors based on demographics factors between nurses working in public hospitals and public health services.

Conclusion: The present research has provided convergent evidence on the effect of demographic factors on nurses caring behavior and the differences in caring behavior based on demographic factors among nurses in public hospitals and public health services in Sabah, Malaysia.

Introduction

Caring Behavior (CB) is a core value that must be practiced by all staff in Ministry of Health Malaysia (MOH). The application of these values is to realize the vision and mission of MOH, which is to promote and facilitate the use of health care services in the community to achieve optimal health and a high-quality health system (Ghazali et al., 2007). To achieve the objectives of MOH, several efforts have been implemented to improve caring services, such as conducting caring service training from time to time to seniors and those who have just joined the health services department and encourage all staff to exhibit CB while serving patients or clients. Nevertheless, however, there is still dissatisfaction with health care services provided. The MOH receives about 7,000 complaints annually covering various aspects including services and facilities (Shazwani, 2017). Among the complaints received from the public were poor services and communication skills among doctors and nurses, long waiting times before receiving treatment, and inadequate equipment. Apart from that, the ministry has to spend about RM20 million every year as compensation for negligence. In the ministry's efforts to address the problem and provide the best treatment to the community, many initiatives have been taken including providing community simulation laboratories in nursing colleges.

Nurses are the backbone of every health care organization and their presence in every space of the health care services and contribute significantly to the achievement of the objectives of the national health
service. Since caring is the essence of nursing (Huynh et al., 2008), therefore they are more synonymous with CB than other health care workers in influencing patient’s satisfaction (Abdullah et al., 2021; Kaur et al., 2015). Nurses are the largest workforce in Public Hospital (PH) and Public Health Service (PHS), and they spend continuous time around the clock with patients in both settings. CB is often described as more often practiced by nurses who work in PH because they care for patients for 24 hours (shift duty). While nurses in PHS with clients only for 9 hours (office hour). It is likely that there are differences in the nurses CB performance due to the differences in the duration of nurses with the patient and client between the two work settings. In addition, nurses in PH take care of critically ill and chronic patients. While nurses in the PHS provide health care services for the community. Therefore, there are differences in workload, workplace environment, and patient and client characteristics between the two settings. Previous studies have identified that factors influencing nurses’ CB are workload, job satisfaction, workplace conditions, educational background, as well as the nature of patient characteristics. This condition is considered a major challenge for nurses especially workload and job satisfaction as the main factor influencing their CB (Shalaby et al., 2018). Due to nurses have different demographic backgrounds then the incompatibility of the workplace with the demographic characteristics of the nurses will affect the caring behavior of the nurses.

Apart from the above challenges, there are also several factors that may influence the performance of nurses’ CB. Previous studies have confirmed that employee biographical factors are one of the determinants of employee performance that contribute to organizational success. Demographic factors that have an influence on performance include age, gender, education, work experience, and the number of family dependents (Hendrawijaya, 2019). The uniqueness of nursing profession contributes to the development in knowledge, and experience along with increasing age, education, economy, and position as well as forming a unique gender role throughout the life of this profession. Thus. the development and advancement of the demographic characteristics of nurses developed while in this profession exerts a very large influence on the CB of nurses. Therefore, demographic factors make a significant contribution to this profession and should be given attention.

**Literature Review**

**Nurses Caring Behavior**

Henderson defined nursing as the unique function of the nurse is to assist the individual, sick or well, in the performance of those activities contributing to health or its recovery (or to peaceful death) that he would perform unaided if he had the necessary strength, will or knowledge. And to do this in such a way as to help him gain independence as rapidly as possible (Parker & Smith, 2010). To perform this function, nurses adhere to the values and attitudes of the nursing profession, which is to focus on nursing care and health care. These duties and responsibilities are part of the need to apply a humanistic vision, taking into account the emotional and cognitive aspects of the disease, while respecting the principle of confidentiality, according to a scientific-clinical perspective but beyond the physical sphere (Fernández-Feito et al., 2019). In performing activities that contribute to the health or recovery of the patient is
through the CB of the nurse. The theory of human caring stated that the relationship-focused caring is fundamental for healing practice which honour human holistically besides creating a healing environment. The combination of a caring-healing approach and nursing art is crucial to ensure the focus is on quality of life, inner healing experience, and caring practices that affect the outcome of patient. The existence of human caring value-guided ethic for professional practice and the existing nursing theory is in line with what is required by the public (Watson, 2006).

Nursing has openly evolved as central to the human phenomenon of nursing practice. Nursing’s values, ethics, philosophy, knowledge, and practices of human caring require language order, structure, and clarity of concepts and worldview underlying nursing as a distinct discipline and profession. The philosophy and theory of human caring sought to balance the cure orientation of medicine, giving nursing it's unique disciplinary, scientific, and professional standing with itself and its public. (Watson, 2009).

CB makes up the philosophical and ethical foundation for professional nursing and is a major focal point in nursing which is regarded as both an art and a science. This underpinning offers a framework that takes up and cuts across art, science, humanities, spirituality and new dimensions of mind-body-spirit medicine. Nurse-patient caring includes dimensions such as respectful deference to others, assurance of human presence, positive connectedness, professional knowledge and skills, attentiveness to the other’s experience (Wolf et al., 2003).

Nurses’ CB have long received attention abroad. Locally, however, studies on CB focused on nurses are limited (Kaur et al., 2015) especially related to nurses’ demographic factors and work setting. Needlessness, Zhang et al. (2021) found that there are differences in nurses’ behavior according to where they work, and demographic factors have significant differences between different work environments. In applying CB, nurses give great priority to providing health care to meet the human needs and the environment in which they work (Vujanić et al., 2020). By considering demographic factors, nurses with 15 years of work experience, rated their CB significantly lower than 30 years of work experience. Meanwhile, nurses with a Bachelor of Science in Nursing (BSc) rated lower CB than nurses with basic training. The authors explain that since BSc nurses are oriented on organizational work, management, administrative work, and communication with other healthcare professionals. Therefore, nurses with BSc have less time to interact directly with their patients. Whereas Aupia et al. (2018) found that nurses, patients, and nursing students have similar perceptions of CB. Male students had higher mean scores than female students in knowledge and skills assurance and attention. While students with clinical experience of 8 weeks got higher scores in the domain of CB relationships compared to students with experience of only 4 weeks. While the patient assumes the nurses’ CB is more important, despite considering knowledge and skills is crucial (Zhang et al., 2021). By creating a caring environment and improving the nurses’ CB can improve the quality of patient care and ultimately increase patient satisfaction (Soliman et al., 2015).

Public Hospital and Public Health Service
Nurses working in PHS have a duty to provide nursing care to injured, sick, and disabled patients. They treat patients individually and even treat several patients at one time. While, in PH, nurses are working in the larger community. In addition to caring for clients individually, they also take care of the entire population and strive to protect the health of the population as a whole. The duties of public health nurses include providing health education to the community regarding potential health problems, promoting health, healthy eating, safety, and good hygiene, and improving community access to health services. These different duties and responsibilities is often seen to have unequal workloads as nurses in the PH are considered to be more often involved with CB all the time compare to nurses in PH. For example, nurses who served in outpatient and inpatient units in hospital observed that when the workload of nurses is less due to nurses performing more unproductive activities resulting in a lack of frequency of nurses performing nursing care that reflects CB. A significant positive relationship between workload and CB through comfort care and the significant positive correlation between work commitment and CB through clinical care indicated that when nurses workload increases and their commitment decreases in achieving organizational goals, therefore can influence the CB of nurses, in turn, will affect patient satisfaction with health services (Fitriani et al., 2019).

Although nurses in PHS were observed to have higher demands for CB, however, nurses in PH also faced their own challenges. McCullough et al. (2020) found that nurses in remote settings considered primary health care to be a holistic social model of care that included caring for the community as well as the individual. However, they find it difficult to provide health care consistently to meet their intentions due to the resource-poor nature of the remote setting. The nurse also did not get inadequate physical resources, limited specialist health services, and lack of time was found to affect the ability of nurses in rural areas to provide better primary health care. In addition, although there has been an increase in health care needs, this does not indicate there has been a reasonable increase in the rate of nurses in primary health care services. This imbalance is related to a decline in the quality of care and patient safety, and also the impact on the health of professional groups. Hence, there is high stress among primary health care nurses, who experience many health changes due to burnout syndrome which contributes to a decline in the quality of care and patient safety (Pérez-Francisco et al., 2020). This situation may add further barriers to the practice of CB of nurses in PHS.

**Objectives**

The aim of this study is to identify the effect of work setting and demographic factors on nurses CB. The study also aimed to identify differences in nurses’ CB based on demographic factors between PH and PHS.

The research question is therefore proposed;

1. Do work setting and demographic factors affect the CB of nurses?
2. Are there significant differences in CB based on demographic factors between nurses in PH and PHS in Sabah?
Methods

Design

This research is a cross-sectional study using the survey method to examine the effect of work setting and demographic factor on nurse' CB and to investigate the difference in CB based on demographic factors (gender, age, education, economic status, position, and experience) between nurses in PH and PHS.

Participants

There are 10,637 registered nurses in Sabah state, encompassing all categories of nurses (Sabah State Health Department, 2021). The location of the study is comprised of PH and PHS (health clinics, maternal and child health clinics, rural clinics, travel clinics, and 1 Malaysia Clinics) throughout the state.

Ethics and data collection

Ethical consideration and approval to conduct the study in hospitals and district health offices had been obtained from the Medical Research and Ethics Committee (MREC) and National Medical Research Register (NMRR) (ref. no: NMRR-14-1226-21410) of Malaysia, Ministry of Health (MOH) and Sabah State Health Director (ref no: JKN(SB)PJNS/32).

Measurement

The questionnaire consists of a demographic information (age, economic status, education level, position, and working experience) section and The 24-item CB Inventory (CBI-24) which is considered to be the third-generation instrument for the measurement of caring (Papastavrou et al., 2011). The current study adopts the CBI-24 by Wu et al. (2006) to explore the perception of the frequency of CB as practiced by nurses. It is based upon a conceptual definition of nurse caring as an interactive and inter-subjective process that occurs during moments of shared vulnerability between nurses and patients (Watson, 2008). This scale consists of four components, namely, "assurance of human presence" (8 items), which deals with patients' needs and security; "knowledge and skill" (5 items), related to nurses as skillful and educated persons; "respectful deference to the other" (6 items), dealing with how nurses show interest in the patients; and "positive connectedness" (5 items), which corresponds to the need for nurses to be ready to help patients (Wu et al., 2006). For each item, respondents are requested to answer using a 6-point Likert scale (1 = never and 6 = Always). The CBI-24 demonstrated good internal consistency, Cronbach's $\alpha$ = .96 (Wu et al., 2006). The researchers translated the CBI-24 into the Malaysian language and requested help from bilingual experts (two Malaysian nursing experts who can read and write in Malay and English) to translate the translated instrument (Malay version) back into the English version using the back-translation technique.

Reliability of the instrument
A pilot study was conducted to ensure the suitability of this instrument in the local context as this instrument is from abroad. Respondents were composed of various categories of nurses from PH and PHS who came to the College of Allied Health Sciences to attend the local preceptor course. A total of 120 questionnaires were distributed before the program started, only 101 questionnaires were returned, only 95 questionnaires were filled in the demographic data section, and 98 questionnaires were filled in the Nurse Behavior Scale section. The results of the reliability evaluation of the 24-Item CB Inventory (CBI-24) instrument, were found to be at the overall level of Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient of .960. The results of the reliability assessment for each scale found different Cronbach's Alpha values. The highest Cronbach's Alpha coefficients, was the 'assurance' (Cronbach's alpha = .912), followed by the scale of "respectful" to patients scale (Cronbach's alpha = .887), the "knowledge and skills" scale (Cronbach's alpha = .870), and the "connectedness" scale (Cronbach's alpha = .821).

Data sources

This study used multistage cluster sampling to collect data. At the first stage, multistage cluster sampling was used to choose hospitals and district health offices. Followed by selecting the larger hospitals that had many wards and units, and district health offices that had many health clinics, rural clinics, and other units. For PHS, seven district health offices out of 24 in the state were chosen involving 10 health clinics, nine maternal and child health clinics, 73 rural clinics, and three traveling or mobile clinics. As for PHS, a total of 12 hospitals were chosen with a total of 244 wards and units out of 24 hospitals across the state. At the second stage, the sample was clustered according to ward or units in PHS and health clinics, rural clinics, and other units in the PH for distribution of questionnaires.

Before data collection, the researchers met with every hospital director, hospital matrons, area health officer, and district health matrons to discuss the administering of questionnaires. They proposed that the questionnaires be administered by the nursing sister or nurse-in-charge to avoid disruption to the nurses on duty. All personnel involved in the data collection procedure were briefed on how to administer the questionnaire on purpose, confidentiality, how to collect the data, how to respond to any respondents' inquiries and to inform the respondents that they had the right to decline to answer any question for any particular reason or withdraw from the study at any time. Completed questionnaires were kept in sealed envelopes or sealed paper boxes to ensure confidentiality and were not accessible to anyone.

To collect completed questionnaires, the researchers and research assistants re-visited each research site, though some officers, matrons, nursing sisters, and nurses were kind enough to volunteer to send the completed questionnaires by mail or through officially recognized individuals. Nevertheless, some challenges arose in the collection process. First, the geographical location of hospitals throughout the state is such that road access is difficult and takes time, especially for health clinics which are mostly located in remote areas. Also, some of the responsible person for administering the data collection unable to cooperate even though the researchers had explained the purpose of the study along with evidence of ethical considerations. Therefore, the study location was shifted to the nearest hospital or clinic that was willing to participate.
Notwithstanding that, a total of 4000 questionnaires were distributed to the respondents. The response rate was n = 3867 (96.68%). However, during the process of data entering, two questionnaires were found not filled, three questionnaires were unusable due to missing data, and three questionnaires had similar responses presumably filled by the same respondent. Next, a straight line was identified in 327 responses in which respondents gave a similarly high response rate in the questionnaire, which was considered a biased response to the data (Hair et al., 2017). This brought the total number of questionnaires that could be used to n=3532 (88.3%) which was considered a very high response rate.

**Data analysis**

IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26.0 program used for data analysis. Descriptive analysis was used to obtain mean, standard deviation, and frequency to represent demographic profiles such as gender, age, education level, economy, position, and experience. Two-way of ANOVA was used to identify the effect of work setting, and demographic factors on nurses’ CB and to analyze the differences in nurses’ CB based on demographic factors between PHS and PH. The significance level was set at p<0.05 and p<0.001.

**Results**

The respondents aged range from 20 - 59 years. The majority level of education was at Diploma level, 2096 (59.3%), followed by Certificate, 1293 (36.6%). More than three-quarters have economic status at the medium level, 2485 (70.3%). The majority of respondents held the position of Staff Nurse U29, 1795 (50.8%), followed by Community Nurse U19, 1072 (30.5%). The majority of the respondents had less than five years of working experience, 1229 (34.8%), followed by 5 to 10 years, 815 (23.1%), and 10 to 15 years, 578 (16.4%) (Table 1). The result of Two-way ANOVA test did not indicate significant effect of work setting on CB. The effect of interaction between work setting and demographic factors did not show a significant effect on nurses CB. The effect of the demographic factors (gender, age, education, economy, position, and experience) had a significant effect on CB (Table 2). The results of estimated marginal means of nurses CB indicate a significant difference in nurses CB between PH and PHS as shown in Figure 1.

**Table 1** Demographic profile
| Variable        | Setting | Mean   | SD    | N   |
|-----------------|---------|--------|-------|-----|
| **Age**         |         |        |       |     |
| 20 - 29 years   | PH      | 5.1351 | .63808| 1102|
|                 | PHS     | 5.1452 | .61189| 293 |
|                 | Total   | 5.1372 | .63246| 1395|
| 30 - 39 years   | PH      | 5.2161 | .66004| 736 |
|                 | PHS     | 5.2525 | .61826| 478 |
|                 | Total   | 5.2304 | .64390| 1214|
| 40 - 49 years   | PH      | 5.3299 | .60532| 381 |
|                 | PHS     | 5.3748 | .61022| 201 |
|                 | Total   | 5.3454 | .60687| 582 |
| 50 - 59         | PH      | 5.4264 | .60762| 230 |
|                 | PHS     | 5.3352 | .56395| 111 |
|                 | Total   | 5.3967 | .59447| 341 |
| **Total**       | PH      | 5.2171 | .64379| 2449|
|                 | PHS     | 5.2547 | .61426| 1083|
|                 | Total   | 5.2286 | .63503| 3532|
| **Education**   |         |        |       |     |
| Certificate     | PH      | 5.1440 | .67955| 606 |
|                 | PHS     | 5.2354 | .60647| 687 |
|                 | Total   | 5.1926 | .64313| 1293|
| Diploma         | PH      | 5.2329 | .63401| 1724|
|                 | PHS     | 5.2695 | .62923| 368 |
|                 | Total   | 5.2393 | .63318| 2092|
| Bachelor        | PH      | 5.3628 | .56244| 113 |
|                 | PHS     | 5.5224 | .57024| 26  |
|                 | Total   | 5.3927 | .56528| 139 |
| Master          | PH      | 5.2750 | .49965| 5   |
|                 | PHS     | 5.6458 | .02946| 2   |
|          | PH    | PHS   | Total |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| PhD      | 5.5417| 5.2547| 5.2286|
| Total    | 5.2171| 5.2547| 5.2286|

**Economy**

|          | PH    | PHS   | Total |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Low      | 5.1156| 5.1700| 5.1323|
|          | 5.1480| 5.2076| 5.1639|
| Below Average | 5.2241 | 5.2566 | 5.2344 |
| Medium   | 5.4894| 5.4413| 5.4743|
| Luxurious| 4.6019| 4.8403| 4.8778|
| Total    | 5.2171| 5.2547| 5.2286|

**Position**

|          | PH    | PHS   | Total |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Assistants Nurse (AN U11) | 4.8778 | 4.8403 | 4.8778 |
| Assistants Nurse (AN U14)  | 5.1745 | 4.8403 | 5.1745 |
| Position                        | Base  | PH    | PHS   | Total |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Community Nurse (CN U19)       |       | 5.114 | 5.2068| 5.163 | 22    |
| PH                            | 5.114 | .6852 |       |       |
| PHS                           | 5.2068| .61805|       |       |
| Total                          | 5.163 | .65195|       | 1072  |
| Community Nurses (CN U24)      |       | 5.3417| 5.3062| 5.3122| 148   |
| PH                            | 5.3417| .58876|       |       |
| PHS                           | 5.3062| .57018|       |       |
| Total                          | 5.3122| .57148|       | 148   |
| Community Nurse (CN U26)       |       | 5.4688| 5.2143| 5.3068| 22    |
| PH                            | 5.4688| .52693|       |       |
| PHS                           | 5.2143| .57080|       |       |
| Total                          | 5.3068| .55673|       | 22    |
| Staff Nurses (SN U29)          |       | 5.2124| 5.2649| 5.2205| 1795  |
| PH                            | 5.2124| .63031|       |       |
| PHS                           | 5.2649| .62519|       |       |
| Total                          | 5.2205| .62963|       | 1795  |
| Nursing Sister (NSr U32)       |       | 5.3871| 5.4925| 5.4073| 406   |
| PH                            | 5.3871| .61407|       |       |
| PHS                           | 5.4925| .52780|       |       |
| Total                          | 5.4073| .59929|       | 406   |
| Nurse Supervisor (NSpvr U36)   |       | 5.4861| 5.2639| 5.3750| 15    |
| PH                            | 5.4861| .45170|       |       |
| PHS                           | 5.2639| .77323|       |       |
| Total                          | 5.3750| .63238|       | 30    |
| Head Nursing Matron (NSpvr U41)|       | 5.3583| 5.3583| 5.3583| 5     |
| PH                            | 5.3583| .61633|       |       |
| Total                          | 5.3583| .61633|       | 5     |
| Nursing Sister (NSr U41)       |       | 4.9444| 6.0000| 5.4000| 5     |
| PH                            | 4.9444| .20972|       |       |
| Total                          | 4.9444| .20972|       | 5     |
| Nursing Matron (NSpvr U41)     |       | 5.2500| 5.7639| 6.0000| 5     |
| PH                            | 5.2500| .63738|       |       |
| PHS                           | 5.7639| .20554|       |       |
| Total                          | 5.4000| .64590|       | 5     |
| Experience       | Total | PH      | PHS     | PHS Total |
|------------------|-------|---------|---------|-----------|
|                  |       | 5.4167  | .69065  | 9         |
| Total            | PH    | 5.2171  | .64379  | 2449      |
|                  | PHS   | 5.2547  | .61426  | 1083      |
| <5 years         | PH    | 5.1164  | .64388  | 991       |
|                  | PHS   | 5.1611  | .62181  | 238       |
|                  | Total | 5.1250  | .63966  | 1229      |
| 5 - 10 years     | PH    | 5.2223  | .64257  | 530       |
|                  | PHS   | 5.1851  | .62394  | 285       |
|                  | Total | 5.2093  | .63598  | 815       |
| 10 - 15 years    | PH    | 5.2537  | .63457  | 313       |
|                  | PHS   | 5.3006  | .61394  | 265       |
|                  | Total | 5.2752  | .62509  | 578       |
| 15 - 20 years    | PH    | 5.2929  | .61863  | 199       |
|                  | PHS   | 5.3849  | .59968  | 114       |
|                  | Total | 5.3264  | .61243  | 313       |
| 20 - 25 years    | PH    | 5.3108  | .62145  | 131       |
|                  | PHS   | 5.4107  | .54642  | 56        |
|                  | Total | 5.3407  | .60027  | 187       |
| 25 - 30 years    | PH    | 5.4187  | .66592  | 188       |
|                  | PHS   | 5.3167  | .52275  | 65        |
|                  | Total | 5.3925  | .63282  | 253       |
| 30 - 35 years    | PH    | 5.4528  | .53145  | 75        |
|                  | PHS   | 5.3716  | .58814  | 49        |
|                  | Total | 5.4207  | .55362  | 124       |
| >35 years        | PH    | 5.3371  | .50705  | 22        |
|                  | PHS   | 4.9432  | .78024  | 11        |
|                  | Total | 5.2058  | .62811  | 33        |
| Total            | PH    | 5.2171  | .64379  | 2449      |
Table 2 Two-way ANOVA result

|        |     |     |    |
|--------|-----|-----|----|
| PHS    | 5.2547 | .61426 | 1083 |
| Total  | 5.2286 | .63503 | 3532 |
### Variable 1

| Source          | df | Mean Square | F   | Sig. | adj. $R^2$ |
|-----------------|----|-------------|-----|------|------------|
| Age             | 3  | 7.285       | 18.426 | <.001 |            |
| Work Setting    | 1  | 1.193       | .000 | .999 |            |
| Age * Setting   | 3  | .366        | .927 | .427 |            |
| **Total**       |    |             |      |      | .020       |

### Variable 2

| Source          | df | Mean Square | F   | Sig. | adj. $R^2$ |
|-----------------|----|-------------|-----|------|------------|
| Education       | 4  | 1.713       | 4.268 | .002 |            |
| Work Setting    | 1  | .575        | 1.433 | .231 |            |
| Education * Setting | 3  | .262        | .652 | .582 |            |
| **Total**       |    |             |      |      | .005       |

### Variable 3

| Source          | df | Mean Square | F   | Sig. | adj. $R^2$ |
|-----------------|----|-------------|-----|------|------------|
| Economy         | 4  | 4.068       | 10.222 | <.001 |            |
| Work Setting    | 1  | .176        | .441 | .506 |            |
| Economy * Setting | 3  | .135        | .339 | .797 |            |
| **Total**       |    |             |      |      | .013       |

### Variable 4

| Source          | df | Mean Square | F   | Sig. | adj. $R^2$ |
|-----------------|----|-------------|-----|------|------------|
| Position        | 11 | 1.990       | 5.006 | <.001 |            |
| Work Setting    | 1  | .191        | .482 | .488 |            |
| Position * Setting | 8  | .397        | 1.000 | .434 |            |
| **Total**       |    |             |      |      | .014       |

### Variable 5

| Source          | df | Mean Square | F   | Sig. | adj. $R^2$ |
|-----------------|----|-------------|-----|------|------------|
| Experience      | 7  | 3.101       | 7.852 | <.001 |            |
| Work Setting    | 1  | .442        | 1.119 | .290 |            |
| Experience * Setting | 7  | .527        | 1.334 | .229 |            |
| **Total**       |    |             |      |      | .021       |

**Discussion**
The purpose of this study was mainly to examine the effects of work setting and demographic factors on nurses’ CB. This study also considers the differences in CB based on demographic factors between nurses in PH and PHS. The results showed that there was no major effect of work setting on nurses’ CB. The effect of interaction between setting and demographic factors did not show a significant effect on nurses’ CB. The result shows that only the demographic factors (age, education, economy, position, and experience) had significant effects on nurses’ CB. Thus the alternative hypothesis for the effect of work setting and demographic factors on nurses’ CB is partially rejected. Contrary, Shalaby et al. (2018), found that there was no significant relationship between nurses’ CB with demographic factors (age, gender, and marital status). Nevertheless, there is a significant relationship between nurses’ CB and their experience and workload for all caring dimensions. In addition to their finding, there was a significant positive relationship between nurses’ level of education and feeling expression, environment, and human needs assistant of caring dimension.

The current study found that there were significant differences in nurses’ caring behaviors based on demographic factors between nurses working in public hospitals and public health services. Although fewer studies are examining the differences in nurses CB based on demographic factors between settings, Zhang et al. (2021) in their study on the relationship between ethical climates and nursing service behavior in public hospital and private hospitals has proven that there are differences in nurses’ behavior according to where they work. They found that the demographic factors (age, work experience, and education level) have significant differences between different work environments. They argue that the work environment climate factors greatly influence the behavior and practice of nurses which greatly influences patient satisfaction and also reflects the image of the health provider organization. For example, in PH, in addition to performing direct nursing care, nurses also perform indirect nursing care which contributes to the workload of nurses. Shalaby et al (2018), found that 80% of military hospital critical care unit nurses perceived factors of workload, job satisfaction, workplace conditions, and educational background, as well as patient characteristic traits, were highly influencing nurses’ CB and were a major challenge for nurses. Among these factors, workload and job satisfaction were placed by nurses as the first category factors that influence nurses’ CB. Their finding supported Oluma and Abadiga’s (2020) study in which they revealed that nurses who had personal satisfaction with their jobs had high CB.

The results of the two-way ANOVA showed that the age factor had a significant effect \[F(3,3524) = 18.43, p<.05\] on nurses’ CB. The sample aged 20-29 years showed a lower level of CB in PH compared to those in the PHS. The level of CB was found to increase when reaching the age of 40-49 in both settings. However, the nurses’ CB will decrease when it reaches the age of 50-59 years for samples in the PHS but continues to increase for samples in PH until they reach the age of 50-59 years. Zhang et al. (2021) identified the group differences in in-role and extra-role service behavior showed that nurses who work in a PH have a high level of in-role and extra-role service behavior were aged above 40 years. This indicates that the above 40-year-old sample has high behavior in performing the required tasks or can fulfill the core duties and responsibilities in caring for patients. They also had a high level of action behavior in performing tasks that were not included in the actual task but were related to their position or role as a
nurse that added value to the client and health care provider (Paulssen et al., 2019). This may be attributed to nurse's maturity in the above 40-year-old and 50-59 years old age group that has more work experience that contributes to more skill full in delivering nursing care in tandem with nurses' CB in the PH setting. While in the PHS nurses in the age category of 50-59 years are senior nurses who are usually among the nurse supervisors or head nurses who mostly perform supervisory duties on junior nurses and perform more administrative duties.

The results of the two-way ANOVA showed that the education factor had a significant effect \([F(4,3523) = 4.26, p<.05]\) on nurses' CB. The PH sample showed a lower level of CB compared to the PHS samples for all level of education. There was an increase in the level of CB started from certificate level of education until bachelor level of education, however, the level of CB showed a significant decrease at the level of masters and Ph.D. As Vujanić et al. (2020) argue that this is because nurses with Bachelor of Nursing level of education are oriented towards organizational work, management, administrative work, and communication with other healthcare professionals. Therefore, nurses with a higher level of education have less time to interact directly with their patients. As for the level of CB in the sample of PH, showed a significant increase consistently along with the increase in the level of education.

The results of the two-way ANOVA showed that the economy factor had a significant effect \([F(4,3531) = 10.22, p<.05]\) on nurses' CB. The low to medium economic status of the PH sample showed a lower level of CB compared to the sample of PHS. While levels of CB in PHS and PH continue to rise, however, samples in PHS showed higher nurses CB at above-average economic compared to PH samples. Whereas only a sample in PH had a luxurious economic but showed a very significantly decreased level of CB. Putra et al. (2021) found that salaries and rewards received by nurses were found to affect nurses' CB. The results of their study lead to a negative direction that the greater the salary received by the nurses, the lower the CB of the nurses.

The results of the two-way ANOVA showed that the position factor had a significant effect \([F(11,3532) = 5.00, p<.05]\) on nurses' CB. The result indicates that the lowest level of CB among all nurses was ANU11 in PH. The ANU14 in the PHS showed the lowest level of CB compared to the PH. Both of these positions have limited qualifications in the field of nursing therefore they usually do indirect nursing care. As for the CNU19 in the PHS showed higher CB levels than in PH. This position has a specialized training certificate in the field of community health nursing. Therefore, their CB is higher in PHS because they are more proficient in their knowledge and skills in PHS. In contrast, CNU24 and U26 in PHS showed lower CB levels than in PH. In addition to having specialized knowledge and skills in the field of community nursing they are also given the responsibility of supervising CNU19, and help prepare the report in PHS. This to some extent attracts part of their time to be with clients. Unlike in PH, they are more involved with direct nursing care. SNU29 and NsrU32, showed a high level of CB in PHS compared to those who work in PH. The categories of these two positions are very important in both settings either with or without the required advanced diploma or post-basic courses. In PHS, their function is to carry out family health care services (Malaysian Civil Service Commission, 2020). This suggests that nurses at PHS are more focused and in control of their clients' health care and only refer to physicians if problems and risks are
identified. While at PH, they conduct nursing care, and assist doctors in patient care. In addition, they also
supervise AN, CN, and other subordinate staff. They also assist NsrU32 in ward administration and
supervise trainees as well as perform other tasks based on instructions from superiors and other
professionals in the patient care team. Therefore, this may cause them to be burdened with indirect
nursing care that may affect their job satisfaction as a nurse which may influence CB (Shalaby et al.,
2018; Oluma & Abadiga, 2020).

Meanwhile, NSpvrU36 in PHS showed lower CB levels than in PH. This is because this position category
is senior and experienced nurses in the field of PHS. They are therefore involved in nursing management
to ensure that PHS activities run smoothly. Whereas, in PH they together with junior nurses carry out
nursing care on patients because of their extensive knowledge and experience in nursing care therefore
they more often apply CB. Meanwhile, NSpvrU41 and NSpvrU42 in PHS showed higher CB than PH. This
is because this position category, has their specific nursing qualifications and Bachelor of Nursing in
addition to extensive experience in the field of PHS, therefore they also along with junior nurses handle
health services on clients. While in PH they are given the task of nursing administration. Similarly, for
nurses in the categories of NSpvr U41, NsrU41, SPvr U41, and NPvr U42 posts. Only in PH had Head of
NSpvr U41, NSr U41, SPvr U41, and NPvr U42  samples. Therefore, no comparison can be made.

The results of the two-way ANOVA showed that the experience factor had a significant effect $[F(7,3532) =
7.85, p<.05]$ on nurses’ CB. The samples in PHS with experience below 5 years showed lower CB levels
compared to PH samples. The nurses CB in PH increased when they had served between 10 and 35
years. Although there is a slight decrease when work experience exceeds 35 years, nurses in PH remain
higher level of CB compared to PHS. Zhang et al. (2021) found that nurses in a PH with work experience
of 11-15 years have a high level of in-role behavior and nurses with 16 to 20 years of experience have
higher extra-role behavior. In-role performance refers to the behavior of individuals who perform tasks and
responsibilities that have been set. While extra-role behavior refers to the performance of behavior beyond
the expectations of an employee's role (Zhu, 2013). This suggests that the more experienced the nurses
are, the higher their behavioral performance in caring for patients. Therefore, CB between 10 to 35 years
of work experience is higher in PH. As Zhang et al. (2021) found that, nurse service behavior will increase
with increasing age (aged over 40 years), work experience (11-15 years and 16-20), have a bachelor's
degree or master's degree or higher, and had a senior nursing professional title are those who work in PH.
Meanwhile, nurses working in PHS showed a decline in CB levels starting after 25 years to more than 35
years. As explained earlier in PHS aged 50 to 59 years has a lower level of CB than the sample in the PH
due to this age category is an experienced nurse who holds management and administrative positions
and does less clinical work in the PHS. Therefore, CB levels will decrease when nurses have more than 25
to 35 years of work experience in PHS as most of them will be promoted and carry out nurse
management roles and responsibilities.

Overall, the results of this study show that demographic factors have a significant effect on the CB of
nurses. An alternative hypothesis is partially supported. An alternative hypothesis for differences in
nurses’ CB based on demographics factors between nurses in PHS and PH is supported. The level of
nurses CB in PHS is higher than nurses in the PH. This is contrary to the argument at the beginning that the CB of nurses in PH is higher than in PHS.

Limitations

Studies in examining the effects of work setting and demographic factors on nurses caring behavior and to identify the differences in nurses’ CB based on demographic factors between PHS and PH are relatively lacking. Therefore, it is quite difficult to compare the results of this study with the finding of previous studies. Like other studies, this study also faces limitations. First, the questionnaires were distributed through various levels, which should be distributed through the top management in each PH and PHS. Then distributed to Nursing Matron or Nursing Sister for distribution to wards and units in PH and health clinics, and rural clinics in PHS before distributed to the respondents. This is for the reasons to avoid interference to the nurses who are on duty. Therefore, confidentiality is beyond the control of the researcher as a researchers have no opportunity to collect data face to face. It is recommended that future studies use observation methods on direct and indirect nursing care and caring behavior of nurses. Second, this study was a cross-sectional survey in which no explanation was given to explain the relationship between nurses’ CB and demographic factors for both settings. The generalization of the findings of this study is potentially limited, as this study was only conducted in Sabah, Malaysia. It is hoped that the results of this study can be extended by researchers who are interested in studying this matter in the future.

Implications for Nursing Management

The present research has provided convergent evidence on the role of the demographic factors in nurses CB in PHS and PH settings. The results of this study can be used to find the best strategy by considering demographic factors to deliver nursing care with the best CB appropriate to the nurse’s work environment. It is hoped that this study can further explore the shortcomings of nurses CB based on their demographic factors in both settings so that remedial action can be taken for the benefit of health care recipients and health care providers especially the nursing workforce.

Conclusion

Nurses form the largest group of workers in PHS and PHS. Overall, the CB of nurses in the PHS was higher than that of nurses in PH as nurses in the PHS had greater control over their duties and responsibilities as nurses. It is hoped that this study can attract the attention of local researchers to explore further related to the perspective of local nurses, especially to determine the relationship between nursing staff, resource adequacy, support, and CB. The results of this study can help to understand the caring behavior of nurses concerning their demographic characteristics to provide quality care with the best patient outcomes and provide satisfaction to health care recipients. This study has added to theoretical contributions in the academic and research fields as well as in practical implications in the field of nursing practice by addressing the effects of demographic factor on CB and the differences in CB
based on demographic factors between nurses in PHS and PH. Through a literature search, this study is the first local study to evaluate the CB based on demographic factors among nurses in PHS and PH.
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