Design Optimization of Polymer Insulator to Reduce the Leakage Current
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Abstract: Outdoor insulator is a vital part in the power system. The performance of the outdoor insulator affects the reliability of the power system. Nowadays silicone rubber insulators are widely used instead of porcelain and glass insulators due to its numerous advantages. Even though the polymer insulator has so many advantages, the insulator design is still a concern area for the better electrical performance. Insulators under the outdoor service environment are subjected to the different type of pollutions like coastal and industrial. Under the rain or mist condition, the polluted insulator surface becomes wet and leads to the flow of leakage current (LC) and finally converts into the flashover. In this paper attempt has been made to reduce the LC so chances of the flashover may reduce. The design of the insulator has been optimized to reduce the LC compared to the available design by varying the design parameters considering the guideline provided by IEC-60815-3 standard. In this work, the two design parameters Shed angle and spacing between two sheds has been considered for optimization of the design to reduce the LC. The selection of these two parameters has been done on the basis that by changing these variables the amount of material which is silicone rubber is remaining same.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Outdoor insulators are used in power system to support and insulate the energized conductor from the ground. Nowadays, Polymer insulators are widely used due to its better electrical performance compared to Porcelain, mica, and glass insulator [1,2]. As shown in fig.1, the basic design of the polymer insulator has a fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) core, two metal fittings and Weather sheds. FRP core is used as a load bearing structure. To protect the FRP core against various environmental stress and provide a leakage distance, weather sheds are formed outside the FRP core[3].

As the outdoor insulator has to work in different environmental conditions, they are subjected to different type of pollutants like coastal, industrial [1,2]. The pollutants are accumulating on the surface of the insulator and become wet during fog or mist environment. This wetted pollution surface allows a low resistive path to current to flow along the surface. This current is known as surface leakage current [4]. It is observed that the transmission line passing through the sea shore area, suffers from the frequent insulator flashover especially in rainy seasons [5]. Frequent flashover deteriorates the life span of the insulator and leads to the outage of the transmission line. So it is required to modify the design of the insulator to improve the electric behaviour under polluted condition. In [6] it is suggested the alternate shed design reduces the electric field compare to regular shed design. The electric field is reduced by increasing the creepage distance which leads to the more use of the FRP and housing material so that size, weight and cost are increased. The effect of design parameters like shed diameter, rod diameter and shed angle on surface resistance and hence on current density were calculated for non-ceramic profile based on circuit theory by Young et al.[6,7].
As the literature review clears that the LC is converted into the flashover and finally outage of power supply, it is required to improve the available design which gives less LC. In IEC 60815-3 standard, the guidelines are given for the selection and dimensioning of the polymer insulator for the outdoor application. In this, there are certain limits are recommended for the different design parameters. In this research, the actual 11 kV insulator has been collected from the Hi-Tech Company which manufacturing the different types of polymer insulator. With the help of COMSOL Multiphysics software, the design has been modified which gives less amount of electric field and hence the LC compared to the available design. Here, the two design parameters shed angle and spacing between two sheds has been considered to modify the design to reduce the LC and so the chances of the flashover will be reduced. The idea behind selecting these two parameters is that the requirement of material remains approximately the same as that of the available design. A parametric study has been carried out to find the best combination of shed angle and the spacing between two sheds which gives least LC.

II. NUMERICAL METHOD

To find the leakage current, the electric field is required. For the analysis like potential and electric field distribution, Laplace and Poisson equation has been used. There are several methods for a solving partial differential equation such as Laplace and Poisson equation. The most widely used methods are the Finite Difference Method (FDM), Finite Element Method (FEM), Boundary Element Method (BEM) and Charge Simulation Method (CSM)[9]. In this paper, the Finite Element method is used as a numerical technique with the aid of COMSOL software. In contrast to other methods, the Finite Element Method (FEM) takes into accounts for the non-homogeneity of the solution region. Also, the systematic generality of the methods makes it a versatile tool for a wide range of problems. This method states that a complicated domain can be sub-divided into a series of smaller regions in which the differential equations are approximately solved. By assembling the set of equations for each region, the behaviour over the entire problem domain determined.

Using COMSOL Multiphysics software, the Finite Element Method (FEM) analysis procedure consists of three steps [10]. These steps are Pre-processing, Solution and Post-processing. In pre-processing, one should define the geometry and material properties of the structure and the type of solver to use. The finite element model, or mesh, is created by defining the shapes of an element, the sizes of element and any variation of these throughout the model. Once the geometry is defined, the solid model is discretized into a suitable finite element mesh using a variety of meshing tools as shown in fig 2. The great care has to take while doing the meshing. Here triangular element has been selected for meshing. Usually, the mesh is created to give smaller elements in areas of stress concentration to enhance the accuracy of the solution. The boundary condition and loads are applied in this stage. Dirichlet boundary condition has been used between dielectric-conductor surfaces while Neumann has been used for the dielectric-dielectric boundary. Boundary condition defines the behaviour of the Electric field lines when it enters from one medium to another medium. The FEM provides solution of domain based closed boundary problem while outdoor Insulator is an open boundary problem as it has to work in an open environment. To make the insulator closed, a fictitious boundary is created surrounding insulator.

III. PROBLEM SOLUTION EQUATION

A. Electric Field And Potential Distribution Calculations

One simple way for electric field calculation is to calculate electric potential distribution. Then, electric field distribution is directly obtained by minus gradient of electric potential distribution. In electrostatic field problem, electric field distribution can be written as follows [11].

\[ E = -\nabla V \]  

(1)

From Maxwell’s equation

\[ \nabla E = \nabla (-\nabla V) = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \]  

(2)

Where, \( \rho \) is surface charge density, C/m,
ε is material dielectric constant (ε = ε₀εᵣ)

ε₀ is free space dielectric constant (8.854 × 10⁻¹² F/m)

εᵣ is relative dielectric constant of dielectric material.

Placing (1) into (2), Poisson’s equation is obtained.

\[ \varepsilon \cdot \nabla (\nabla V) = -\rho \]  

(3)

Without space charge ρ=0, Poisson’s equation becomes Laplace’s equation.

\[ \varepsilon \cdot \nabla (\nabla V) = 0 \]  

(4)

B. FEM Analysis of Electric Field

The finite element method is one of numerical analysis methods based on the variation approach and has been widely used in electric and magnetic field analyses since the late 1970s. Supposing that the domain under consideration does not contain any space and surface charges, two-dimensional functional \( F(u) \) in the Cartesian system of coordinates can be formed as follows:

\[
F(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_D \left[ \varepsilon_x \left( \frac{du}{dx} \right)^2 + \varepsilon_y \left( \frac{du}{dy} \right)^2 \right] dxdy 
\]  

(5)

Where \( \varepsilon_x \) and \( \varepsilon_y \) are \( x\)- and \( y\)-components of dielectric constant in the Cartesian system of coordinates and \( u \) is the electric potential.

In case of isotropic permittivity distribution \( (\varepsilon = \varepsilon_x = \varepsilon_y) \), (5) can be reformed as

\[
F(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_D \left[ \left( \frac{du}{dx} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{du}{dy} \right)^2 \right] dxdy 
\]  

(6)

If the effect of dielectric loss on the electric field distribution is considered, the complex functional \( F(u) \) should be taken into account as

\[
F(u^*) = \frac{1}{2} \int_D \omega \varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon - j\varepsilon \cdot \tan \delta) \left[ \left( \frac{du^*}{dx} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{du^*}{dy} \right)^2 \right] dxdy 
\]  

(7)

where \( \omega \) is angular frequency, \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of free space \( (8.85 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F/m}) \), \( \tan \delta \) is tangent of the dielectric loss angle, and \( u^* \) is the complex potential.

Inside each sub-domain \( D_e \), a linear variation of the electric potential is assumed as described in (8)

\[
u_e(x, y) = \alpha_{e1} x + \alpha_{e2} y + \alpha_{e3} ; \ (e = 1, 2, \ldots, n_e)
\]  

(8)

Where, \( u_e(x, y) \) is the electric potential of any arbitrary point inside each sub-domain \( D_e \). \( \alpha_{e1}, \alpha_{e2} \) and \( \alpha_{e3} \) represent the computational coefficients for a triangle element \( e \); \( n_e \) is the total number of triangle elements.

The calculation of the electric potential at every knot in the total network composed of many triangle elements was carried out by minimizing the functional \( F(u) \), that is,

\[
\frac{\partial F(u_i)}{\partial u_i} = 0 \ ; \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, np
\]  

(9)

Where, \( np \) stands for the total number of knots in the network.

Then a compact matrix expression

\[
[S_{ij}][u_i] = [T_j] \ ; \ i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, np
\]  

(10)

Where \( [S_{ij}] \) is the matrix of coefficients, \( \{u_i\} \) is the vector of unknown potentials at the knots and \( \{T_j\} \) is the vector of free terms. After (10) is successfully formed, the unknown potentials can be accordingly solved.
C. Current Density And Leakage Current Calculation

Once the current density is find out, by taking surface integration of pollution layer, surface Leakage current can be find out with the help of following equation (11) where \( J \) is the current density and \( S \) is the surface area.

\[
I = \int_{S} J \, ds
\]  

(11)

IV. FEM MODEL

In FEM, one has to assign some required inputs at the pre-processing stage and after the finite element calculation which is known as the processing stage, one can get the required output quantities. The process flow using FEM is shown in fig.3.

![Fig.3 Input output flow of simulation activity](image)

To draw the available geometry or the insulator, the dimensions are taken from the manufacturing company. The detail of the dimension parameters is tabulated in table 1. As to find the electric field belongs to Electrostatic solution and to find the LC belongs to Electric current solution the combination of these two modules is used for the results. Table 2 represents the properties used for the simulation.

| TABLE 1: Configuration of the insulator |
|----------------------------------------|
| **Dimensions** | **Geometry** |
| Rated voltage | = 11kv |
| Number of shades | = 3 |
| Minimum creapage distance | = 340 mm |
| Dry arcing Distance | = 155 mm |
| FRP road diameter | = 32 mm |
| Spacing (d) | = 50 mm |
| Height system voltage | = 12kv |

In the software environment, the originally available geometry is drawn which has parameters mentioned in table1. The fictitious boundary is taken at approximately three times the dimensions of the geometry. The assigned conductivity to the pollution layer is mentioned in table 2. The Dirichlet and Numen boundary are assigned the interfaces of insulator-insulator and insulator-conducting material respectively. The loading condition applied in terms of the voltages. The upper electrode is considered as a live electrode which is at 11000/1.73 V =6350.85 V and the bottom electrode is considered as a dead end where 0V is assigned.
TABLE 2: Properties of the material of the insulator

| Sr. no. | Material name          | Relative permittivity | Electrical conductivity (S/m) |
|---------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| 1       | Silicon rubber         | 4.3                   | 1e-12                        |
| 2       | FRP                    | 7.2                   | 1e-12                        |
| 3       | Forged steel           | 1                     | 59e6                         |
| 4       | Air                    | 1.26                  | 1e-13                        |
| 5       | Pollution -conductivity| 81                    | 0.193                        |

In the processing stage the voltage distribution, electric field distribution, current density has been found out. By taking the surface integration, the LC can be finding out. In the original design, the shed angle and the shed spacing are 15° and 50 mm respectively. As per the IEC-60815 the range of the accepted design are mentioned in table 3. The knowledge of the terminology shed angle and spacing between two sheds is shown in fig. 8. To find which combination will give the minimum LC, the parametric study has been carried out and the results of LC for various combinations is also taken.

TABLE 3: Design parameters range as per IEC-60815-3

| Parameter                   | Range of parameter |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Shed angle, a(degree)       | 5-25               |
| Distance between shed, d (mm)| 25-50              |

Fig.4 Shed angle (a) and spacing (d)

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig.5 shows the comparison of the voltage distribution for the available and proposed an optimized design in polluted condition. Here it can be seen that the voltage distribution is more linear in the proposed design where the shed angle is 10° and the spacing between sheds is 27mm. More linear voltage distribution gives less electric stress which is shown in fig. 6. The maximum electric stress in available design is 96830.63 V/m while in proposed design it is 82300.57 V/m. The percentage reduction is 15% in the electric field. Fig. 7 shows the distribution of the current density over the surface of the insulator. The surface integration of the current density gives the surface leakage current. From the Fig.8 it can be seen that the LC for the available design is 0.967 mA while in the proposed design is reduce to 0.847mA.
Fig. 5 Comparison of voltage distribution for the available and proposed optimized design in polluted condition

Fig. 6 Comparison of Electric field distribution for the available and proposed optimized design in polluted condition

Fig. 7 Current density under polluted condition (a) Available design (b) proposed design
VI. CONCLUSIONS

Leakage current is the main reason for the flashover under the polluted condition in the outdoor insulator. It is required to optimize the insulator design to reduce the LC. In this research, the effect of the design parameters has been analyzed. By changing the two design parameters shed angle and spacing between sheds, the electric field is reduced by 15%. The LC can be reduced by 12.4% which indicates the less chances of the flashover. The research approach is cost effective as the material required is remained same. The use of new design optimizes the washing schedule which is the main concern for the utility for the insulator.
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