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ABSTRACT—— In this work, the new notion is defined namely essentially compressible relative to a submodule, as a new generalization of compressible module relative to a submodule where a module \( M \) is called compressible module relative to a submodule \( N \) of \( M \) if for all non-zero submodule \( K \) of \( M \) such that contains \( N \), there exists a monomorphism \( f \in \text{Hom}(M, K) \). We study some basic properties of this class and many relationships between these classes and other related concepts are presented and studied.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, \( R \) be a ring with unity and each module be a unitary right \( R \)-module. The concept of compressible modules introduced in 1981 by Zelmanowitz, where a module \( M \) is called compressible if it can be embedded in any non-zero submodule \( A \) of \( M \). In other words \( M \) is compressible module if for each nonzero submodule \( A \) of \( M \), there exists a monomorphism \( f \in \text{Hom}(M, A) \), retractable modules introduced in 1979 Khuri, where “ a module \( M \) is retractable if every nonzero submodule \( A \) of \( M \), \( \text{Hom}(M, A)\neq 0 \)” [16]. Moreover generalizations of these classes have been studied by several authors see [5], [7], [9], [11] and [17] a dual of retractable concept in 2006, a coretractable module appeared in [8]. However Amini [6], studied this class of modules, where “\( M \) is called coretractable if for all proper submodule \( A \) of \( M \), there exists \( 0 \neq f \in \text{Hom}(M/A, M) \)” and then more authors studied this concept and its generalizations for more see [4], [12], [13] and [14]. “Al-Aeashi and Al-Bakaa introduce the concept of retractable module relative to a submodule where for every proper submodule \( K \) of \( M \) containing \( N \), there is a nonzero homomorphism \( f : M \rightarrow K \)” see [2], and then in [3], they introduce the concept of compressible module relative to a submodule where for every proper submodule \( K \) of \( M \) containing \( N \), there is a monomorphism \( f : M \rightarrow K \). In this paper, we introduce a new notion of compressible module relative to a submodule. Where an \( R \)-module \( M \) is called essentially compressible module relative to a submodule \( N \) of \( M \) (essentially \( N \)-compressible) if for all submodule \( K \) of \( M \) where \( N \subseteq K \) there exists a monomorphism \( f : M \rightarrow K \) such that \( f(M) \leq M \). Some basic properties of these notions are given in addition we introduce the concept of small kernel compressible module where an \( R \)-module \( M \) is called small kernel compressible module (briefly, sk- compressible module) if for each non-zero submodule \( N \) of \( M \), there exists \( f : M \rightarrow N \) such that \( \ker f \ll M \), we shall denote \( \leq \), \( \ll \), \( \subseteq \) and \( \text{End}(M) \) for the submodule, small submodule, essential submodule and the endomorphism of a module \( M \) respectively.

2 PRELIMINARIES

Definition (2.1): An \( R \)-module \( M \) is called retractable if for all non-zero submodule \( N \) of \( M \), there exists a non-zero homomorphism \( f : M \rightarrow N \).

Definition (2.2): An \( R \)-module \( M \) is called compressible if for all non-zero submodule \( N \) of \( M \), there exists a non-zero homomorphism \( f : M \rightarrow N \).

It is clear every compressible module is retractable. There are some generalizations of these concepts as follows:

Definition (2.3): An \( R \)-module \( M \) is called small compressible if \( M \) can be embedded in each of its non-zero small submodule.

Equivalently, \( M \) is small compressible if there exists a monomorphism from \( M \) into \( N \) whenever \( 0 \neq N \ll M \). Where a submodule \( K \) of \( M \) is called small in \( M \) (\( K \ll M \)), in case \( M = K + L \) implies that \( L \approx M \) for any submodule \( L \) of \( M \) [17].
Definition (2.5): Let \( M \) be an \( R \)-module, \( N \) be a submodule of \( M \). \( M \) is called retractable module relative to \( N \) (briefly, \( N \)-retractable) if for all non-zero submodule \( K \) of \( M \) where \( N \leq K \) there exists a non-zero homomorphism \( f: M \rightarrow K \). A ring \( R \) is called retractable module relative to an ideal \( I \) of \( R \), if \( R \) is \( R \)-retractable module relative \( I \) [2].

Examples and Remarks (2.6) [2]:
1) Let \( M \) be an \( R \)-module and \( N \leq M \). Then clear that every retractable module is \( N \)-retractable module relative to each it’s submodule \( N \leq M \), but the converse is not true in general, for example the module \( Q \) as \( Z \)-module is retractable module relative to itself but not retractable module since \( Z \leq \text{Hom}(Q,Z)=0 \).
2) The \( Z \)-module \( Z \) is retractable module and hence is \( N \)-retractable module by Part(1).
3) Every compressible module is retractable relative for each submodule of it. That is clear since each compressible module is retractable module.
4) For each non-zero \( M \). We have \( M \) is \( M \)-retractable module.
5) Every semisimple \( R \)-module is \( N \)-retractable module, for all submodule \( N \) of \( M \). But not conversely such as Part(2), where \( Z \) is \( N \)-retractable module but not semisimple.

Definition (2.7): Let \( M \) be an \( R \)-module, \( N \) be a submodule of \( M \). \( M \) is called co-compressible relative to \( N \) (briefly, \( N \)-compressible) if for all non-zero submodule \( K \) of \( M \) where \( N \leq K \), there exists a monomorphism \( f: M \rightarrow K \). A ring \( R \) is called compressible relative to an ideal \( I \) of \( R \) if \( R \) is compressible \( R \)-module relative to \( I \) [3].

Examples and Remarks (2.8) [3]:
1) Every compressible module is compressible relative to each submodule.

The converse is not true in general, for example, consider \( M = Z \oplus Z \) as \( Z \)-module. \( M \) is not compressible module [17]. But it is \( N \)-compressible where \( N = 2Z \oplus Z \) since the only submodule contains \( N \) are \( M \) and \( N \). So there exists identity map \( f: M \rightarrow M \) where \( \ker f = 0 \) and \( g(x,y) = (2x,y) \), so \( \ker g = 0 \). Thus \( M \) is \( N \)-compressible module.
2) Every \( N \)-compressible module is \( N \)-retractable module for any \( N \leq M \).

The converse of above proposition is not true in general for example \( Z_6 \) as \( Z \)-module is \( N \)-retractable module but not \( N \)-compressible module for each submodule \( N \) of \( Z_6 \).
3) A module \( M \) is compressible if and only if \( M \) is \((0)\)-compressible.

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we shall introduce a new generalizations of the notation compressible module namely small kernel compressible module where we find each compressible module is small kernel compressible module and we introduce the notion of essentially compressible relative to a submodule as a new generalization of compressible module relative to a submodule.

Definition (3.1):
An \( R \)-module \( M \) is called small kernel compressible (briefly, sk-compressible) if for all nonzero submodule \( N \) of \( M \), there exists \( f: M \rightarrow N \), \( \ker f \ll M \).

Examples and Remarks (3.2)
1) Every compressible module is sk-compressible module.

Proof:
Let \( 0 \neq N \leq M \). Since \( M \) is compressible module, so there exists \( f: M \rightarrow N \) and \( f \) is monomorphism hence \( \ker f = 0 \ll M \). Then \( M \) is sk-compressible module.
2) The converse of Part(1) is not true, for example, consider \( Z_4 \) as \( Z \)-module is sk-compressible module but not compressible module.
3) There is no relation between sk-compressible module and \( N \)-compressible module for each \( N \leq M \).

For example, consider the example \( Z_4 \) as \( Z \)-module is sk-compressible module but not \( N \)-compressible where \( N=(2) \). While see example in part(1) examples and remarks(3.2) \( M = Z \oplus Z \) as \( Z \)-module is \( N \)-compressible but not sk-compressible since if \( N = Z \oplus (0) \) then \( f: Z \oplus Z \rightarrow Z \oplus 0 \ ker f \leq Z \oplus 0 \ker f \ll Z \oplus Z \), since the only small in \( Z \oplus Z \) is \( \{(0,0)\} \).
Recall that” A submodule N of an R-module M is invariant if f(N)≤N for each f∈End(M). Some authors called an invariant submodule, fully invariant submodule” and ” The right R-module M is called a duo module provided every submodule of M is fully invariant ”[1].

**Proposition (3.3):**
Let M be a duo R-module and N be a submodule of M. If M is N-compressible module, then M is sk-compressible module.

**Proof:**
Let M be an N-compressible module and K be a non-zero submodule. We must prove M is sk-compressible module.

If K≥N by N-compressibility we have a monomorphism f:M→K and hence kerf≤M, thus M is sk-compressible module.

If K<N, so K+N≥N and again by N-compressible module we have f:M→K+N and i:K+N→M. So iof∈End(M). But M be duo module thus iof(K)⊆K and ker(iof)≤M since iof is monomorphism, thus M is sk-compressible module.

Now, we shall define another generalization notion of N-compressible module as follows:

**Definition (3.4):**
Let M be an R-module and N be a submodule of M. M is called essentially compressible module relative to a submodule (briefly, essentially N-compressible module) if for all K≤N, there exists f:M→K is monomorphism such that f(M)≤M.

**Proposition (3.5):**
Every essentially N-compressible module is essentially N-retractable module.

**Proof:**
Let M be an essentially N-compressible module and K≥N, so there exists a monomorphism f:M→K such that f(M)≤M and hence M is essentially N-retractable module.

The converse of proposition (3.5) is not true in general, for example, consider Z_4 as Z-module is essentially N-retractable module but not essentially N-compressible module.

**Proposition (3.6):**
Let M be a uniform R-module and N be a submodule of M, then M is essentially N-compressible module if and only if M is N-compressible module.

**Proof:**
Let M be an essentially N-compressible module and N≥M, so there exists a monomorphism f:M→K such that f(M)≤M and hence M is essentially N-compressible module.

Suppose M is N-compressible module, for each K≥N, there exists a monomorphism f:M→K. To prove Imf≤M, since Imf≤K and hence Imf≤M, but M is uniform thus M is essentially N-compressible module.

**Proposition (3.7):**
Let M be an R-module and N,W be two submodules of M such that N≤W≤M, if M is essentially N-compressible module, then W is also essentially N-compressible module.

**Proof:**
Let K be a submodule of W such that N≤K, clear that K is a submodule of M and M is essentially N-compressible module, so there exists a monomorphism f:M→K such that f(M)≤M, but we have i:W→M the inclusion map is also monomorphism, hence fci:W→K is monomorphism and fci(W) is essentially submodule of M.

**Proposition (3.8):**
Let M be R-module and N_1,N_2 be two submodules of M. If M is essentially N_1-compressible module and M is essentially N_2-compressible module. Then M is essentially N_1+N_2-compressible module.

**Proof:**
Let N_1+N_2≤K so N_1≤K and N_2≤K but M is N_1-compressible module so there exists monomorphism f:M→K and f(M)≤M, likewise M is N_2-compressible module, so M is essentially N_1+N_2-compressible module.
Diagram(3.1): Retractable And Compressible Module Relative To A Submodule

- Simple module
- sk-compressible module
- sk-retractable module
- Compressible module
- Retractable module
- N-compressible module
- N-retractable module
- Essentially-N-retractable module
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