Instantaneous death risk, conditional survival and optimal surgery timing in cervical fracture patients with ankylosing spondylitis: A national multicentre retrospective study
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Background: The mortality rate in patients with ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and cervical fracture is relatively high.

Objectives: This study aimed to investigate the instantaneous death risk and conditional survival (CS) in patients with AS and cervical fracture. We also studied the relationship between surgical timing and the incidence of complications.

Methods: This national multicentre retrospective study included 459 patients with AS and cervical fractures between 2003 and 2019. The hazard function was used to determine the risk of instantaneous death. The five-year CS was calculated to show the dynamic changes in prognosis.

Results: The instantaneous death risk was relatively high in the first 6 months and gradually decreased over time in patients with AS and cervical fracture. For patients who did not undergo surgery, the instantaneous risk of death was relatively high in the first 15 months and gradually decreased over time. For patients with American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale (ASIA) A and B, the 5-year CS was 55.3% at baseline, and improved steadily to 88.4% at 2 years. Odds ratios (ORs) for pneumonia, electrolyte disturbance, respiratory insufficiency, and phlebothrombosis decreased as the surgery timing increased.

Conclusion: Deaths occurred mainly in the first 6 months after injury and gradually decreased over time. Our study highlights the need for continued surveillance and care in patients with AS with cervical fractures and provides useful survival estimates for both surgeons and patients. We also observed that early surgery can significantly increase functional recovery, and decrease the incidence of complications and rehospitalisation.
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Introduction

Ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is a chronic inflammatory disorder that primarily affects the spine (1). The global overall incidence of AS ranges from 9 to 30 per 10000; however, its prevalence varies widely in different countries (2). The prevalence of AS in men is much higher than that in women (the male to female ratio is approximately 2–3:1) (3). Chronic back pain and stiffness are the most common symptoms, and any part of the spine may be involved. The biomechanical properties of the spine are altered by chronic inflammation. This chronic process gradually results in spontaneous ossification and fusion of the spinal segments (4). Therefore, this change in the spine can lead to increased susceptibility to vertebral fractures, and even minor injuries can be substantial (4–6).

Patients with AS are nearly 3.5 times more likely to sustain cervical fractures compared to the general population (7, 8). A previous study pointed out that the incidence of vertebral fractures in patients with AS was approximately 10% (9). Among them, nearly 81% of fractures are located at the cervical level (10). Due to the rigid spine in patients with AS, vertebral fractures are often unstable, which causes a high rate of neurological injury, mortality, and morbidity (11, 12). Furthermore, a delay in the diagnosis and timing of surgical intervention can also lead to poor outcomes. Previous studies found a mortality rate of 5.3–11.3% in AS patients with spinal fracture (6, 13). Moreover, the mortality in patients with AS with cervical fracture is nearly double that in patients without AS (14).

For patients with neurological injury, approximately one-third of these patients will experience disease progression without surgery (15). Spinal fractures in patients with AS can lead to devastating complications, and surgery may be the most beneficial approach to prevent these complications (16). It is well
known that early surgery can help improve functional recovery; however, whether early surgery could help decrease the incidence of other complications remains unclear.

The instantaneous death risk can reveal the estimated death hazard rates and further the current understanding of how the instantaneous risk of death changed across survival times. Traditional survival analyses mainly estimate the survival rate from the time of diagnosis, such as the 5-year survival rate. However, the mortality risk is the highest during the first few months after injury and gradually decreases over time. Therefore, cumulative survival rate cannot reflect changes in prognosis over time. The concept of conditional survival (CS) can solve this problem by considering only patients who have survived for a certain period, and it may be an appropriate tool to assess dynamic changes in prognosis (17, 18). However, this concept is usually used in cancer research, and we creatively introduce it to assess mortality in cervical fracture patients with AS.

Although, a recent study reported the mortality of cervical fracture patients with AS and diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH) (19), information on the characteristics of mortality in patients with cervical fracture and AS is still scarce. Additionally, the instantaneous death risk and CS in patients with cervical fracture and AS have not been studied. Knowing the mortality pattern of cervical fracture patients with AS can further our understanding of the exact survival rate among medium- and long-term survivors, and could promote personalised medicine. Therefore, in the present study, we aimed to show the instantaneous death risk and CS of cervical fracture patients with AS, and to show the importance of early treatment in the prognosis of cervical fracture patients with AS.

Methods

The study and informed consent were approved by the ethics committee of the Xijing Hospital of Air Force Medical University (KY20212199-F-1).

Data sources

Data were retrospectively obtained from tertiary care centres, such as Xijing Hospital, West China Hospital, The Third Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Xi'an Honghui Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Shanghai Hospital of Shanghai, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jiangsu Provincial Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University Second Affiliated Hospital, and Anhui Provincial Hospital. Data were acquired all over the country to ensure that the results were more representative. Written or oral informed patient consent was obtained at the time of admission or at follow-up, when possible.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Patients who admitted to hospitals with AS and cervical fracture between 1 August 2003 and 31 December 2019 were included. AS was diagnosed according to the diagnostic criteria for ankylosing spondylitis (20) and cervical fractures were confirmed by imaging tests (computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)). For patients with trauma and new pain, imaging tests were performed for fracture screening. Patients with serious infection, tumour, or congenital spinal deformity; patients with blood system diseases (such as hemophilia, primary thrombocytopenic purpura, leukemia, lymphoma, myeloma and aplastic anemia), serious cardiopulmonary disease (such as serious chronic heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, unstable or severe angina pectoris, acute pulmonary embolism, severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and chronic pulmonary heart disease), or other diseases that may significantly decrease their lifespan; and patients with other spondylitis, such as forester’s disease or DISH, psoriatic arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease-associated arthritis, and suppurative spondylitis were excluded. Patients who died before hospital admission were excluded from this study.

Study participants

Ultimately, we included 459 patients who met the inclusion criteria. We collected data, including demographic characteristics, injury mechanism, fracture sites, American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale (ASIA) grade, comorbidities, surgery type, timing, and complications. The study population was followed-up from the date of admission to death or until 31 June 2020 whichever came first. Mortality data were collected by mail, phone calls, short messages, or household registration agencies in government departments.

The choice of surgical procedure, surgical process, postoperative rehabilitation treatment, and orthopaedic treatment are summarised in Supplement File 1.

Variables

At the time of admission, we performed neurological examinations according to the ASIA classification to divide the patients into five subgroups (21). The fracture level was divided into two subgroups based on the fracture sites: the upper cervical spine (C1-C4) and lower cervical spine (C5-C7). Multiple traumas were defined as brain, chest, or other site fractures. Injury patterns were categorised as high-energy injury (e.g. violence, motor vehicle accidents, or falls) and low-energy injury (e.g. fall from standing height or less, recreational activities). All surgeries were performed by experienced spine surgeons.
Statistical analysis

Survival rates were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and the log-rank test was used to analyse significant differences between the subgroups. We used univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models to determine the risk of mortality. The hazard function for death was estimated using a fixed-bandwidth kernel approach that incorporates boundary kernels (22, 23).

Five-year CS was defined as the probability of survival at five years from the day of diagnosis, given that the patients had already survived for a period of time (17). Therefore, the CS for years from the day of diagnosis, given that the patients had years by the survival at X years:

\[
\text{CS}(Y|X) = \frac{\text{survival}(X + Y)}{\text{survival}(X)}
\]

We calculated the 5-year overall survival at baseline and 5-year CS at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months and 2 years after admission. In addition, CS estimates were stratified according to surgery, multiple severe traumas, and respiratory insufficiency.

Restricted cubic splines (RCS) were used to model the probability of complications according to the time elapsed from injury to surgery. We also examined the non-linear associations between surgical delay and the risk of complications nonparametrically using restricted cubic spline analyses (24). In the cubic spline analysis, we used the earliest surgery timing as the reference and four knots. The RCS was built using STAT software (version 14.2; Stata Corp, College Station, TX), according to a previous guide. RCS is a powerful tool for demonstrating non-linear relationships in regression models (24). In brief, they can show the association between continuous variables and the risks of outcomes.

Statistical analyses were conducted using STAT software (version 14.2; Stata Corp, College Station, TX), SPSS Version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY), and GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). All P values of<0.05 were considered statistically significant in the present study.

Results

The demographic characteristics of patients are presented in Table 1. The mean age (SD) was 52.96 ± 11.65 years, and approximately 94% of the participants were male. The prevalence of ASIA grades A, B, C, D, and E were 19.8%, 38.6%, 15.7%, 8.1%, and 17.9%, respectively. The frequency of surgical treatment among patients with ASIA grades A, B, C, D, and E were 74.7%, 80.2%, 80.6%, 78.4%, and 72.0%, respectively (P=0.556). Hypertension was the most common comorbidity, whereas pneumonia and respiratory disease were the most common complications. Furthermore, nearly 77.6% of patients underwent surgical treatment. Among them, 22.9% of patients underwent anterior surgical treatment, 34.4% were treated with posterior surgery, and 20.3% were treated with combined anterior-posterior surgery.

The overall Kaplan–Meier survival curve is shown in Figure 1A. When classified by ASIA grade, we found deaths mainly in patients with ASIA grades A and B (Figure 1B). In addition, we found that patients with pneumonia or respiratory failure had a significantly poor prognosis, whereas surgery could significantly improve prognosis (Figures 1C–E). We then used the hazard function curve to show the hazard rates over time, and the instantaneous death risk among the survivors changed over time (Figures 1F–J). For the total population, we found that the instantaneous death risk was relatively high in the first 6 months and gradually decreased over time. Similarly, we found that the instantaneous death risk among patients with ASIA A and B, pneumonia, and respiratory failure was much higher. However, surgery can help decrease the risk of instantaneous death more rapidly.

The results of the multivariate Cox regression analysis are presented in Table 2. For the total population, we found that age, ASIA A and B grade, non-surgery, pneumonia, pleural effusion, respiratory insufficiency, deep venous thrombosis, electrolyte disturbance, decubitus, urinary tract infection, and digestive system disorders were risk factors (P<0.05). However, for patients who had already survived for 1 month, we found that only age, non-surgery, pneumonia, respiratory insufficiency, and digestive system disorder were risk factors (P<0.05). In addition, for patients who had already survived for 3 months, we found that only surgery, pneumonia, respiratory insufficiency, and deep venous thrombosis significantly influenced prognosis (P<0.05).

Because mortality was relatively high in patients with ASIA grades A and B, we calculated the CS for this population. The 5-year CS increased from 55.3% at baseline to 88.4% at 2 years (Table 3 and Supplement Figure 1). The 5-year CS was much lower in non-surgical patients but improved a lot after surviving for two years. (Supplement Figure 1B). Pneumonia had a significant influence on prognosis at baseline. After survival for 6 months, the 5-year CSs for these two groups were higher than 80% (Supplement Figure 1C). Additionally, we found that respiratory insufficiency significantly increased patient mortality. Subjects who had respiratory insufficiency had the lowest relative survival at baseline (25.5%), but the survival rate increased rapidly over time. After surviving for 2 years, the 5-year CS rate for patients with respiratory insufficiency was > 70%.

The relationships between surgical timing and complications, functional recovery, and rehospitalisation were analysed using restricted cubic spline models. The odds ratios (ORs) for pneumonia, electrolyte disturbance, respiratory
insufficiency, and phlebothrombosis decreased as surgery timing increased. This suggests that early surgery may decrease the prevalence of complications (Figures 2A–D). In addition, early surgery increased the probability of functional recovery (Figure 2E). We also found that early surgery decreased the incidence of re-hospitalization (Figure 2F).

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the instantaneous death risk and CS among patients with AS and cervical fracture. In addition, we investigated the relationship between surgical timing and complications, functional recovery, and rehospitalisation. We found that the instantaneous death risk was relatively high in the first 6 months after injury and gradually decreased over time. For patients who did not undergo surgery, the instantaneous risk of death was relatively high in the first 15 months and gradually decreased over time. The 5-year CS of AS patients with cervical fractures has steadily improved. For patients who survived for more than 6 months, the 5-year survival rate increased by nearly 30% compared with the baseline survival rate.

The innovations and advantages of our study can be summarised as follows: 1) Our study had a relatively large sample size and a long follow-up time; 2) our study showed that the instantaneous death risk patients faced in different time periods has not been studied previously; 3) our study provided a conditional survival rate which helps us understand the exact survival rate among medium- and long-term survivors; and 4) our results do help us know dynamic changes in prognosis and meanwhile promote personalized medicine.

A previous study indicated that cervical fractures are most common in patients with AS (6). In addition, they are often unstable fractures with a high risk of spinal cord injury (SCI). Patients with SCI have a poorer prognosis and a higher risk of mortality and morbidity (25). This result was similar to our findings that deaths occurred were mainly in patients with ASIA grades A and B. Previous studies pointed out that the in-hospital mortality rate was approximately 3.4–17% (14, 25–29). However, the above studies may be underpowered because

| TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of study participants (n=459). |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **No. (%)**                                                    |
| **Demographics**                                              |
| **Age, year**                                                 |
| Mean (SD) 52.96 (11.65)                                       |
| Median (IQR) 52.0 (45.0-59.0)                                 |
| **Sex**                                                       |
| Male 433 (94.3%)                                              |
| Female 26 (5.7%)                                              |
| **Injury factors**                                            |
| High-energy injury 136 (29.6%)                                |
| Low-energy injury 323 (70.4%)                                 |
| **Fracture level**                                            |
| Upper cervical spine (C1-C4) 142 (30.9%)                      |
| Lower cervical spine (C5-C7) 317 (69.1%)                      |
| **ASIA grade**                                                |
| A 91 (19.8%)                                                  |
| B 177 (38.6%)                                                 |
| C 72 (15.7%)                                                  |
| D 37 (8.1%)                                                   |
| E 82 (17.9%)                                                  |
| **Associated conditions**                                     |
| Brain injury 20 (4.4%)                                        |
| Chest injury 29 (6.3%)                                        |
| Fractures in other parts 70 (15.3%)                           |
| **Comorbidities**                                             |
| 0 379 (82.6%)                                                 |
| 1 55 (12.0%)                                                  |
| 2 6 (1.3%)                                                    |
| ≥3 19 (4.1%)                                                  |
| **Surgery type**                                              |
| Anterior 105 (22.9%)                                          |
| Posterior 158 (34.4%)                                         |
| Combined 93 (20.3%)                                           |
| Non-surgery 103 (22.4%)                                       |
| **Time-to-operation, h**                                     |
| Mean (SD) 29.13 (64.09)                                      |
| Median (IQR) 15 (8-30)                                        |
| **Hospitalization Characteristics**                           |
| Length of stay, day (SD) 17.64 (16.90)                        |
| Rehospitalization 269 (58.6%)                                 |
| **Complications**                                             |
| Pneumonia 95 (20.7%)                                          |
| Pleural effusion 30 (6.5%)                                    |
| Respiratory failure 62 (13.5%)                                |
| Deep venous thrombosis 16 (3.5%)                              |
| Electrolyte disturbance 32 (7.0%)                             |
| Loose internal fixation/Fracture displacement 10 (2.2%)       |
| Decubitus 12 (2.6%)                                           |

(Continued)
they only assessed in-hospital mortality with a very short time period and had a relatively low number of patients. Therefore, further studies are warranted to describe mortality in AS patients with cervical fracture (30). Our study provided detailed mortality data for patients with AS and cervical fracture. The follow-up period was relatively long and the number of participants was relatively large.

A previous study indicated that the prognosis of patients with SCI is associated with the neurological level of injury (NLI) (31). Besides, Groah et al. reported that NLI contributed to the risk of cardiovascular disease in patients with SCI (32). A more recent study showed that older patients with injuries at levels higher than C4 were nearly seven times more likely to die than those with cervical SCI lower than C4 (33). Generally, NLI and different fracture type may be closely associated with mortality and complications. According to the AO classification system, cervical fractures among patients with AS are commonly type B or C fractures which are usually unstable. Classification of cervical fractures can help in treatment choice (34). However, information regarding the prognosis of different AO cervical fracture types remains limited. Therefore, in future studies, we would like to clarify the association between NLI and different AO fracture types and prognoses among patients with AS.

Although the survival function is fundamental in conveying how mortality risk changes over time, the hazard function provides additional useful insights over and above what can be easily obtained from the survival function (35). It can be used to obtain more detailed information regarding the insufficiency process for patients. The hazard function conveys how risk changes over time in terms of instantaneous death risk among survivors (36). Therefore, the hazard function can provide significant clinical insights. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to provide a hazard function for patients with AS and cervical fractures. From our results, we can easily determine the change in the instantaneous death risk over time and the period with the highest death risk.

Baseline survival prediction may play a significant role in determining treatment options and life planning. However, its prognostic accuracy may be lost when patients outlive their initially predicted survival time (37). Our results demonstrated changes in the probability of survival over time after initial survival projections. This statistical concept provides dynamic prognosis prediction (38). We found that the increase in CS was the highest among patients with respiratory insufficiency, with the least favourable prognosis at baseline. In addition, CS significantly increased in patients with pneumonia and in those who could not receive surgical treatment. For these groups, who survived for more than 6 months, we can confidently state that the five-year survival rate is nearly 80% instead of 30–40%. Our results will certainly assure patients of their likelihood to live longer.

A recent study revealed that patients with AS with cervical fracture, especially ASIA A, are at a high risk of complications (29). There is growing concern about the complications in AS patients receiving surgical treatment for spine fractures.


| Covariates | Univariable COX regression | Multivariable COX regression | Univariable COX regression | Multivariable COX regression | Univariable COX regression | Multivariable COX regression | Univariable COX regression | Multivariable COX regression | Univariable COX regression | Multivariable COX regression |
|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|            | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P-value | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P-value | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P-value | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P-value | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P-value |
| Age        | 1.042 (1.021-1.063) | <0.001 | 1.031 (1.008-1.054) | 0.008 | 1.038 (1.010-1.067) | 0.008 | 1.045 (1.010-1.082) | 0.024 | 1.029 (0.987-1.074) | 0.178 |
| Gender     | Male REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF | Female 0.251 (0.035-1.811) | 0.171 | 0.171 | 0.171 | 0.171 | 0.171 | 0.171 | 0.171 | 0.171 |
| Fracture level | Upper cervical spine (C1-C4) REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF | Lower cervical spine (C5-C7) 1.755 (0.975-3.158) | 0.061 | 0.061 | 0.061 | 0.061 | 0.061 | 0.061 | 0.061 | 0.061 |
| Injury factors | Low-energy injury REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF | High-energy injury 1.012 (0.654-1.568) | 0.956 | 0.956 | 0.956 | 0.956 | 0.956 | 0.956 | 0.956 | 0.956 |
| ASIA grade | E REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF | D 1.807 (0.375-8.697) | 0.461 | 1.588 (0.326-7.731) | 0.567 | 2.063 (0.231-18.460) | 0.517 | 1.583 (0.172-14.579) | 0.685 | 0.685 |
|            | C 5.731 (1.238-26.528) | 0.026 | 3.089 (0.636-15.011) | 0.162 | 5.054 (0.565-45.236) | 0.147 | 2.186 (0.227-21.022) | 0.498 | 0.498 |
|            | B 13.504 (2.959-61.635) | 0.001 | 6.036 (1.260-28.929) | 0.025 | 19.561 (2.407-159.003) | 0.005 | 7.226 (0.829-62.991) | 0.073 | 0.073 |
|            | A 28.899 (6.981-119.638) | <0.001 | 6.606 (1.446-30.187) | 0.015 | 34.357 (4.620-255.484) | 0.001 | 5.303 (0.617-45.554) | 0.128 | 0.128 |
| Comorbidities | 0 REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF | 1 0.788 (0.339-1.833) | 0.580 | 0.672 (0.238-1.896) | 0.452 | 0.530 (0.074-3.805) | 0.159 | 0.209 (0.024-1.848) | 0.159 | 0.159 |
|            | 2 2.547 (0.620-10.463) | 0.195 | 0.327 (0.077-1.388) | 0.130 | 5.103 (1.214-21.458) | 0.026 | 0.548 (0.120-2.502) | 0.437 | 0.437 |
|            | ≥3 2.403 (1.034-5.588) | 0.042 | 0.996 (0.381-2.607) | 0.994 | 2.991 (1.053-8.495) | 0.040 | 0.879 (0.233-3.314) | 0.849 | 0.849 |
| Surgery    | Surgery not performed REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF | Surgery performed 0.315 (0.195-0.507) | <0.001 | 0.293 (0.171-0.504) | <0.001 | 0.338 (0.177-0.649) | 0.001 | 0.287 (0.129-0.637) | 0.002 | 0.017 (0.057-0.431) | <0.001 | 0.140 (0.045-0.433) | 0.001 |
| Complications | Pneumonia 9.719 (5.865-16.105) | <0.001 | 2.491 (1.326-4.681) | 0.005 | 10.551 (5.357-20.780) | <0.001 | 3.130 (1.361-7.197) | 0.007 | 8.249 (3.055-22.275) | <0.001 | 3.137 (1.022-9.624) | 0.046 |
|            | Pleural effusion 2.319 (1.149-4.680) | 0.019 | 0.939 (0.428-2.061) | 0.876 | 4.483 (2.044-9.830) | <0.001 | 1.322 (0.497-3.516) | 0.576 | 2.712 (0.614-11.976) | 0.188 | 0.188 |
|            | Respiratory failure 17.072 (10.398-28.029) | <0.001 | 5.146 (2.779-9.560) | <0.001 | 14.323 (7.488-27.396) | <0.001 | 4.626 (2.037-10.507) | <0.001 | 12.921 (4.798-34.798) | <0.001 | 5.611 (1.873-16.804) | 0.002 |

(Continued)
However, there is limited research in the literature. Previous studies have pointed out that surgical site infection, implant insufficiency, and nosocomial pneumonia were the main complications during hospitalisation (27, 29, 39–43). In contrast to earlier findings, we found that pulmonary complications were the most common complications and significantly affected prognosis. One possible explanation is that our study had a long follow-up period. In addition, several studies grouped AS and DISH as ankylosing spinal disorders for further research, which may limit the ability to obtain AS-specific results. Therefore, our results provide an avenue for the management of complications in patients receiving surgical treatment for spinal fractures. In addition, the relationship between surgery timing and the incidence of complications has not been studied. We first pointed out that early surgery can significantly increase functional recovery and decrease the incidence of complications and rehospitalisation. Finally, only surgical treatment remained an independent predictor of survival at baseline and at one- and 3-month survivals. These results show the importance of early surgery. Therefore, our results are important for providing prognostic information not only for patients but also for surgeons.

Previous studies have shown the rate of delay in diagnosing fractures in patients with a rigid spine was very high (39, 44). Over 80% of patients have a secondary decline in neurologic function when there is a delay in diagnosis (39). Therefore, early diagnosis and intervention in AS patients with cervical

| Covariables | Univariable COX regression | Multivariable COX regression | Univariable COX regression | Multivariable COX regression | Univariable COX regression | Multivariable COX regression |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|             | Hazard Ratio (95% CI)       | P-value                     | Hazard Ratio (95% CI)       | P-value                     | Hazard Ratio (95% CI)       | P-value                     |
| Deep venous thrombosis | 3.779 (1.726–8.272) | 0.001 | 0.780 (0.306–1.979) | 0.602 | 4.440 (1.570–12.562) | 0.005 | 0.717 (0.205–2.514) | 0.603 | 10.176 (2.879–35.971) | <0.001 | 4.520 (1.180–17.320) | 0.028 |
| Electrolyte disturbance | 4.201 (2.322–7.608) | <0.001 | 0.980 (0.485–1.979) | 0.954 | 7.366 (3.522–15.406) | <0.001 | 1.415 (0.592–3.379) | 0.435 | 6.981 (1.951–24.979) | 0.003 | 3.091 (0.650–14.688) | 0.156 |
| Decubitus | 4.589 (1.983–10.619) | <0.001 | 2.611 (1.050–6.492) | 0.039 | 4.602 (1.412–15.003) | 0.011 | 2.754 (0.735–10.314) | 0.133 | – | – | – |
| Urinary tract infection | 3.217 (1.171–8.837) | 0.023 | 0.424 (0.120–1.494) | 0.182 | 3.290 (0.791–13.680) | 0.101 | – | – | 3.989 (0.527–30.208) | 0.180 | – | – |
| Digestive system disorder | 6.062 (2.891–12.715) | <0.001 | 4.504 (1.900–10.678) | 0.001 | 4.932 (1.511–16.099) | 0.008 | 12.363 (2.952–51.776) | 0.001 | – | – | – |

ASIA, American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale; CI, confidence index; REF, reference.

### Table 2 Continued

| Variables | Baseline | 1-Month Survivors | 3-Month Survivors |
|-----------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|
|           | Univariable COX regression | Multivariable COX regression | Univariable COX regression | Multivariable COX regression |
|           | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P-value | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P-value | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P-value | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P-value |
| Deep venous thrombosis | 3.779 (1.726–8.272) | 0.001 | 0.780 (0.306–1.979) | 0.602 | 4.440 (1.570–12.562) | 0.005 | 0.717 (0.205–2.514) | 0.603 | 10.176 (2.879–35.971) | <0.001 | 4.520 (1.180–17.320) | 0.028 |
| Electrolyte disturbance | 4.201 (2.322–7.608) | <0.001 | 0.980 (0.485–1.979) | 0.954 | 7.366 (3.522–15.406) | <0.001 | 1.415 (0.592–3.379) | 0.435 | 6.981 (1.951–24.979) | 0.003 | 3.091 (0.650–14.688) | 0.156 |
| Decubitus | 4.589 (1.983–10.619) | <0.001 | 2.611 (1.050–6.492) | 0.039 | 4.602 (1.412–15.003) | 0.011 | 2.754 (0.735–10.314) | 0.133 | – | – | – |
| Urinary tract infection | 3.217 (1.171–8.837) | 0.023 | 0.424 (0.120–1.494) | 0.182 | 3.290 (0.791–13.680) | 0.101 | – | – | 3.989 (0.527–30.208) | 0.180 | – | – |
| Digestive system disorder | 6.062 (2.891–12.715) | <0.001 | 4.504 (1.900–10.678) | 0.001 | 4.932 (1.511–16.099) | 0.008 | 12.363 (2.952–51.776) | 0.001 | – | – | – |

### Table 3 Five-year conditional survival rates among ASIA A and B grade patients.

| Variables | 5-Year relative survival at baseline (%) | At 1 month | At 3 months | At 6 months | At 1 year | At 2 years |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total     | 55.3 ± 5.3                             | 67.8 ± 5.4 | 81.3 ± 5.3 | 85.6 ± 5.1 | 85.6 ± 5.1 | 88.4 ± 4.9 |
| Surgery performed | Yes | 63.6 ± 5.6 | 74.7 ± 5.6 | 88.9 ± 5.0 | 93.4 ± 4.5 | 93.4 ± 4.5 | 93.4 ± 4.5 | 93.4 ± 4.5 | 93.4 ± 4.5 | 93.4 ± 4.5 |
| No        | 35.2 ± 9.2                             | 49.6 ± 11.7 | 60.6 ± 12.9 | 64.2 ± 13.1 | 64.2 ± 13.1 | 72.7 ± 13.4 |
| Pneumonia | Yes | 42.4 ± 6.8 | 55.3 ± 8.0 | 77.1 ± 8.5 | 85.1 ± 8.0 | 85.1 ± 8.0 | 88.8 ± 7.5 |
| No        | 68.1 ± 7.0                             | 78.8 ± 7.1 | 83.7 ± 6.9 | 85.5 ± 6.8 | 85.5 ± 6.8 | 87.8 ± 6.6 |
| Respiratory insufficiency | Yes | 25.5 ± 6.8 | 38.2 ± 9.4 | 58.4 ± 12.2 | 64.9 ± 12.7 | 64.9 ± 12.7 | 75.0 ± 12.5 |
| No        | 76.5 ± 5.6                             | 83.5 ± 5.3 | 90.5 ± 4.7 | 93.6 ± 4.4 | 93.6 ± 4.4 | 93.6 ± 4.4 |

ASIA, American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale.
fractures may minimise the risk of complications (45). Similarly, our results partially support this conclusion. Early diagnosis and treatment are very important for patients with AS and cervical fractures.

However, this study has some limitations. First, the inherent limitations of a retrospective study may have led to an underestimation of mortality and comorbidities. Second, because the CS model cannot incorporate multivariate analysis, the estimates may have been slightly biased. This limitation can be partially overcome by conducting stratified analyses to minimise the effects of relevant characteristics. Third, the survival rate was very high in patients with ASIA grades E and D. Therefore, we could not include these patients in further survival analysis. Fourth, we inevitably missed deaths before admission, which may have overestimated the survival rate. Fifth, every country has a different healthcare system; therefore, our data may not be easily generalisable to other countries. Finally, there are still some differences in the cognition and judgment of different surgeons between different hospitals, which may affect patients’ prognosis.

**Conclusion**

We found that in patients with AS with cervical fractures, the instantaneous death risk was relatively high in the first 6 months and gradually decreased over time. For patients who did not undergo surgery, the instantaneous risk of death was relatively high in the first 15 months and gradually decreased over time. Additionally, the 5-year CS of AS patients with cervical fractures has improved over time. The largest improvements in CS were observed in the patients with respiratory insufficiency. Our study highlights the need for continued surveillance and care in patients with AS with cervical fractures and provides useful survival estimates for both surgeons and patients. We also indicated that early surgery can significantly increase functional recovery and decrease the incidence of complications and rehospitalisation. Therefore, early diagnosis and treatment (surgical or orthopaedic), and an intense rehabilitation protocol may be beneficial for these patients.
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