Secondary findings from whole-exome sequencing data in families with familial combined hyperlipidemia (FCHL)
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Abstract

Background: During the interpretation of genome sequencing data, some types of secondary findings are identified that are located in genes that do not appear to be related to the causes of the primary disease. Although these are not the primary targets for evaluation, they have a high risk for some diseases different from the primary disease. Therefore, they can be vital for preventing and intervention from such disease.

Results: Here, we analyzed secondary findings obtained from WES in 6 families with FCHL disease who had an autosomal-dominant pattern based on their pedigrees. These finding are found in CDKAL1, ITGA2, FAM111A, WNK4, PTGIS, SCN10, TBX20, DCHS1, ANK2 and ABCA1 genes.

Conclusions: Secondary findings are very important and must be considered different variants from sequencing results in a diagnostic setting. Although we have considered these variants as secondary findings, some of them may be related to the primary disease.
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Background

Secondary or incidental findings are described as pathogenic (P) or more likely pathogenic variants with a phenotype unrelated to the primary disease [1]. These data are mainly obtained in families that undergo whole-genome or whole-exome sequencing (WGS/WES) due to an affected family member. These findings can be used for the early diagnosis of a new disease in the family before its symptoms appear, thus preventing mortality from latent diseases and leading to increased life expectancy [2].

Familial combined hyperlipidemia (FCHL) is a common familial lipoprotein disorder associated with premature cardiovascular disease (CVD) [3]. The frequency of FCHL in the general population is 1–3%, and in patients with a previous history of myocardial infarction (MI), it is 20–38% [4]. This disease is a heterogeneous disorder caused by a defect in the metabolism of chylomicrons, a defect in lipolysis or a decrease in fatty acid incorporation by adipose tissue, overproduction of very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) and Apo B100's overexpression in individuals with normal BMI [5]. FCHL can be accompanied by other metabolic disorders such as obesity, hypertension, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), metabolic syndrome (MS), insulin resistance (IR) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D) [6].
Although FCHL is a complex disease, there are families with several affected members who may follow a monogenic pattern of inheritance. Several genes might contribute to the FCHL phenotype, including the upstream transcription factor 1 gene (USF1), paraoxonases (PON1), protocadherin-related 15 (PCDH150), Forkhead box C2 (FOXC2), low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR), glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored HDL binding protein 1 (GPHDLBP1) and angiopoietin-like 3 (ANGPTL3) [7, 8]. FCHL diagnosis is therefore challenging because of a multifactorial pattern of inheritance with genetic and environmental factors involvement, but in some families there is a monogenic pattern of inheritance, and in these families with a specified pedigree and several members of affected individual, WES can be used for FCHL diagnosis to detect the major pathogenic variants associated with the disease [11]. However, in addition to the major pathogenic variants, there may be other important variants unrelated to early disease and indicate a risk of other diseases in the family. Therefore, after analyzing the WES results for main variants in related genes [12, 13], we decided to evaluate the secondary findings obtained in 6 different families with an autosomal-dominant inheritance of FCHL based on their pedigrees.

## Methods

### Study population

This study was performed on six families with a reoccurring and unusual constellation of juvenile-onset FCHL and early-onset coronary heart disease. All of the six families in this study had an autosomal-dominant pattern of inheritance based on their pedigrees. FCHL diagnosis was confirmed in accordance with the 2016 ESC/EAS (European Society of Cardiology/ European Atherosclerosis Society) Guidelines for dyslipidemias. The study was approved by the local university ethics committee. Besides, all participants in the study signed a consent form. Based on Fig. 1, we selected one member from each family for evaluation by WES; they are marked with an arrow in the pedigrees shown in Fig. 1. After evaluating family members and collecting clinical and laboratory data, genomic DNA was extracted from 5 ml of blood containing EDTA anticoagulant.

### Whole-exome sequencing

Six patients were screened using sequencing all exons of protein encoding regions and some other critical genomic regions. WES has been read 100 million times using the Illumina Sequencer. In total > 95% of the target

---

**Fig. 1** pedigrees of studied pedigrees from 1 to 6. Circles indicate female family members and squares male family members; slashes indicate that the family member is deceased. Family members with FCHL disease are indicated by solid symbols and those without FCHL indicated by open symbols. FCHL, familial combined hyperlipidemia
areas with acceptable sensitivity of about 99% has been investigated. WES can be used for some variants detection in genome and these variants are including gain/loss mutations, start loss or frame shift variants, in-frame insertions or deletions, variants within the intron–exon boundary, missense variants in exons and some lateral sequences in target areas. Following this, bioinformatics analysis was performed using international databases and some bioinformatics software. At this stage, various filters are used for the raw output to reduce the data to a limited number. Subsequent identifying related mutations, secondary findings were studied, and their characteristics were determined by some international databases such as OMIM, Gene Cards and MalaCards. In the next step we used some prediction software’s such as SIFT, Mutation Taster, FATHMM and DANN for investigation and prediction of identified variants on the cell’s biological function.

**Results**

All affected family members in this study had coronary artery diseases (CHD) events before the age of 50 years. Also they had total cholesterol > 240 mg/dl, LDL > 160 mg/dl and TG > 133 mg/dl, and according to the 2016 ESC/EAS guidelines associated with management of dyslipidemias, they had the standard criteria for FCHL. The diagnosis of genetic diseases using the WES technique provides numerous data and some of these findings are important for follow-up. Our study showed some likely pathogenic/pathogenic unrelated variants to FCHL. At least one secondary finding was identified in each family, with a disease-causing effect in some prediction software which are shown in Table 1. These findings include CDKAL1 (NM_017774: exon3: c. G116A: p. R39Q, ITGA2(NM_002203: exon4: c. A352G: p. 1118V), FAMIL1A (NM_001312911: exon4:c.C1216T: p Q406X), WNK4(NM_032387: exon1: c.178delA: p. S60fs), PTGIS (NM_000961: exon1: c. G62A: p. R21H), SCN10(NM_001293307: exon26: c.5116_5117del: p. L1706fs), TBX20(NM_001077653: exon10: c. G4330A: p. A1444T), ANK2(NM_001148: exon38:c.C6749T: p. S2250L), ABCA1(NM_005502: exon5: c.C357A: p. D119E). The interpretation of these variants is given in Table 1.

**Discussion**

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) has published instructions on reporting secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing [14]. Accordingly, only pathogenic or, most likely, pathogenic variants are reported as secondary findings. It is estimated that 1–4% of all individuals who have had an exome or genome sequencing have a secondary finding [15, 16]. Therefore, it can be said it is a form of screening that should be analyzed using a diagnostic

| ID | Related phenotype | DANN | SIFT | FATHMM | Mutation \( \text{taster} \) | Identified variants |
|----|------------------|------|------|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1  | Diabetes mellitus non-insulin dependent | D    | T    | D       | D                           | CDK5 Regulatory Subunit Associated Protein 1-Like 1 (CDKAL1) (NM_017774: exon3: c.G116A: p.R39Q) |
| 2  | Platelet-type bleeding disorder | D    | D    | D       | N                           | Integrin Subunit Alpha 2 (ITGA2)(NM_002203: exon4: c.A352G:p.1118V) |
| 3  | Gracile bone dysplasia Kenny–Caffey syndrome type 2 | D    | T    | N       | D                           | FAM111 Trypsin-Like Peptidase A (FAM111A) (NM_001312911: exon4:c.C1216T:p.Q406X) |
| 4  | Pseudohypoaldosteronism, type IIB | F    | F    | F       | F                           | WNK Lysine Deficient Protein Kinase 4 (WNK4) (NM_032387: exon1: c.178delA:p.S60fs) |
| 5  | Hypertension | D    | T    | N       | N                           | Prostaglandin I2 Synthase (PTGIS)(NM_000961: exon1: c. G62A: p.R21H) |
| 6  | Episodic pain syndrome, familial, | F    | F    | F       | F                           | Sodium Voltage-Gated Channel Alpha Subunit 10(SCN10) (NM_001293307: exon26:c.5116_5171del:p.L1706fs) |
| 7  | Atrial septal defect 4 (ASD4) | D    | D    | D       | D                           | T-Box Transcription Factor 20(TBX20)(NM_001077653: exon4:c.G577Ap.G193S) |
| 8  | Mitral valve prolapse 2 MVP2 | D    | T    | D       | D                           | Dachshous Cadherin-Related 1(DCHS1)(NM_003737: exon10:c.G4330A:p.A1444T) |
| 9  | Cardiac arrhythmia, ankyrin-B-related, Long QT syndrome | D    | D    | D       | D                           | Ankyrin 2(ANK2)(NM_001148: exon38:c.C6749T: p.S2250L) |
| 10 | Coronary artery disease & HDL deficiency | D    | T    | D       | D                           | ATP Binding Cassette Subfamily A Member 1(ABCA1) (NM_005502: exon5: c.C357A: p.D119E) |

B: Benign, T: tolerate, D: disease causing, N: natural, S: stop gain, F: frame shift
approach [17]. It may provide patients with an additional clinical follow-up for a special health condition [18]. However, the interpretation of these findings has always been challenging. Researchers and physicians have never reached a similar conclusion about them. On the one hand, identifying secondary findings can be a clue for patients and physicians to prevent diseases that may be in the relatively early stages. Also, genetic variants diagnosis associated with certain diseases before the phenotype is revealed can have a psychological burden on individuals [20, 21].

To date, various studies have been performed on a considerable number of samples among different populations, and the data obtained confirm the presence of a change in the number of secondary findings between different groups. For example, Jalkh et al. have identified 4262 variants in the 59 selected genes among 280 Lebanese individuals, of which 6% were pathogenic or possibly pathogenic [22]. Xue et al. have reported a frequency of 11% of secondary findings among 179 subjects [23], and in the examination of 1,000 WES belonging to Europeans/African people, they have obtained 1.2 to 3.4% of secondary findings [24]. This difference indicates that secondary findings’ evaluation depends on various factors such as study design, sequencing technology, experimental group and mainly data interpretation. In this study, secondary findings related to cardiac diseases were identified in some samples, and these findings may be important, being related to the underlying disease. One of the secondary findings was a mutation associated with mitral valve prolapse in the DCHS1 (dachsous cadherin-related 1) gene. Patients with MVP2 have nonsyndromic MVP with an autosomal-dominant pattern and severe variable expression [25]. Neubauer et al. have studied five young sudden unexplained death cases by focusing on 184 genes associated with heart disease by the WES. They found that there were different variants of the DCHS1 gene in one of these individuals, which are involved in mitral valve prolapse [26]. Besides, in agreement with our result, Freed et al. have examined five generations of a large family and found that at least 12 members had mitral valve prolapse without any manifestation of connective tissue abnormalities [27].

Conclusion
Secondary findings are very important and must be considered differently from sequencing results in a diagnostic setting. Secondary findings can be especially important in the autosomal-dominant state because autosomal-dominant pattern can be affected by unique features such as incomplete penetrance or variable expression, which may explain the absence of clinical manifestations during the experiment and this is controversial. Finally, in addition to the benefits of identifying secondary findings, there are some important points to consider: (1) they need approval in families, (2) some of them may be related to the primary disease, and (3) they may carry a psychological burden.
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