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The cosmic infrared background (CIB) contains emissions accumulated over the entire history of the Universe, including from objects inaccessible to individual telescopic studies. The near-IR (~1 – 10 µm) part of the CIB, and its fluctuations, reflects emissions from nucleosynthetic sources and gravitationally accreting black holes (BHs). If known galaxies are removed to sufficient depths the source-subtracted CIB fluctuations at near-IR can reveal sources present in the first-stars-era and possibly new stellar populations at more recent times. This review discusses the recent progress in this newly emerging field which identified, with new data and methodology, significant source-subtracted CIB fluctuations substantially in excess of what can be produced by remaining known galaxies. The CIB fluctuations further appear coherent with unresolved cosmic X-ray background (CXB) indicating a very high fraction of BHs among the new sources producing the CIB fluctuations. These observations have led to intensive theoretical efforts to explain the measurements and their properties. While current experimental configurations have limitations in decisively probing these theories, their potentially remarkable implications will be tested in the upcoming CIB measurements with the ESA’s Euclid dark energy mission. We describe the goals and methodologies of LIBRAE (Looking at Infrared Background Radiation with Euclid), a NASA-selected project for CIB science with Euclid, which has the potential for transforming the field into a new area of precision cosmology.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Development of modern physical cosmology began in earnest in the early 20th century, when Hubble’s insight into the radial velocities of galaxies yielded the first observational evidence for expanding Universe models proposed as an immediate consequence of Einstein’s gravitational field equations. The now accepted theory of the Universe’s origin is known as the Big Bang model, named so in jest in 1950 by one of the proponents of the alternative “steady-state” cosmology, Sir Fred Hoyle. The discovery of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation in 1964 (Penzias and Wilson, 1965), anticipated by Alpher (1948), Alpher and Herman (1948), added a firm observational pillar in support of the Big Bang theory (Dicke et al., 1965). The COBE/FIRAS measurements (Mather et al., 1990) revealed a highly accurate black-body energy spectrum for the CMB confirming its origin in the hot dense early phase of the Big Bang. The CMB angular structure, uncovered first with the COBE/DMR measurements (Smoot et al., 1992), provided an unprecedented insight into the density field of the Universe a mere ~400,000 years after the Big Bang.

This century has so far marked the emergence of precision cosmology, when the fundamental cosmological parameters and the contributions of the Universe’s basic constituents to its matter and energy budget have been accurately determined. A standard cosmological model describing the evolution of structure has been established in agreement with the observations of CMB angular fluctuations on sub-degree scales as probed by balloons (de Bernardis et al., 2000; Lange et al., 2001) and post-COBE finer resolution WMAP (Bennett et al., 2013) and Planck (Planck Collaboration et al., 2013) satellites. In addition, our understanding of high-energy physics is now sufficiently advanced for connecting cosmological phenomena to the quantum physics of the primordial Universe.

The widely accepted cosmological concordance model requires large amounts of dark matter (DM), as well as dark energy (DE) of unknown nature and origin, and — in broad terms — explains the Universe’s structure as follows. The large-scale isotropy of the Universe, as well as the small-scale inhomogeneities that evolved into galaxies and galaxy clusters, are thought to be the result of a period of early accelerated expansion, termed inflation (Guth, 1981; Kazanas, 1984; Linde, 1982). While the precise mechanism driving inflation and the underlying pre-inflationary structure of space-time are still unknown, the matter density field predicted by inflation is now established observationally on scales ≥ 10 Mpc, which encompass masses ≥ 10^{14} M⊙. Smaller scales subdivide structures presently in nonlinear regime where the original density field is not probed directly.

Much of the progress has been made through observational and theoretical studies of the CMB. It was only recently, however, that a lesser known relative of the CMB, the cosmic infrared background (CIB) started getting attention. CIB contains emissions over the entire history of the Universe, including from sources inaccessible to direct telescopic studies. The latter category includes the epoch when first stars were born as well as possible new populations at later times. The near-IR (1-10 µm) CIB, the subject of this review, probes emissions from early stars and black holes (BHs) (Bond et al., 1986; Cooray et al., 2004; Kashlinsky et al., 2004; McDowell, 1986; Partridge and Peebles, 1967; Salvaterra and Ferrara, 2003; Santos et al., 2002). To isolate the part of the CIB from new, potentially interesting cosmological sources, resolved galaxies must be excised from the maps to sufficiently faint levels. The remaining, source-subtracted CIB can then be compared to that expected after “reasonable” extrapolations, based on other data, from remaining known galaxies. An excess, if significant, would potentially reveal important cosmological information on the nature of the new sources, their epochs, abundances, and the density field in which they reside.

Measurements over the past decade from analyses of Spitzer by Kashlinsky et al. (2012, 2005, 2007b), Cooray et al. (2012) and AKARI (Matsumoto et al., 2011) satellite data identified near-IR CIB fluctuations remaining in deep integrations on sub-degree and degree scales. It appears that these fluctuations cannot originate from remaining known galaxy populations (Helgason et al., 2012; Kashlinsky et al., 2003). It was further found that the CIB fluctuations are coherent with unresolved soft cosmic X-ray background (CXB) at levels much higher than expected from remaining known populations suggesting significantly greater BH proportions among the CIB sources than in known populations (Cappelluti et al., 2013, 2017b; Helgason et al., 2014; Mitchell-Wynne et al., 2016). The extensive list of empirical properties for these CIB fluctuations, discussed below, provides a further important set of clues to the origin of these sources. While some of the CIB properties, such as its amplitude, can be modeled with new populations at intermediate redshifts, other empirical evidence points toward the fluctuations originating at early epochs, possibly the “first stars era”. New programs, specifically on the upcoming dark energy Euclid mission, will probe with unprecedented accuracy and scope the CIB from high redshifts, and its properties, enabling unique insight into the era of the first luminous sources, identifying their nature and the properties of the underlying density field at those epochs.

This review summarizes the current state of the near-IR CIB fluctuation measurements, their potentially remarkable theoretical implications, and discusses the future prospects of this rapidly developing field. Wherever the context permits, we will plot results in terms of the original quantities displayed in the corresponding mea-
CMB photons are orders-of-magnitude more abundant given by 

$$W = \frac{c^2}{\nu} I_\nu \propto h_{\text{Planck}} \left( \frac{M_{\text{Jy} / \text{sr}}}{\nu} \right) \text{ cm}^{-3}.$$ 

For comparison the CMB photons are orders-of-magnitude more abundant with $n_{\text{CMB}} = 413 \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

CMB observations established the flat geometry of the Universe. We will thus adopt the Friedman-Robertson-Walker flat metric for the Universe with the interval $ds^2 = c^2 dt^2 - (1 + z)^{-2}(dx^2 + x^2 dz^2)$ where $z, x, t, \omega$ are the redshift, comoving coordinate distance, cosmic time, and solid angle. Photons move along null geodesics, $ds^2 = 0$. The Friedman equations with the matter, dark energy ($\Lambda$), radiation/relativistic component and curvature density parameters $\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda, \Omega_\gamma, \Omega_K$ lead to $c(1+z)dt/dz = R_H/E(z)$, where $E(z) \equiv [\Omega_\gamma(1+z)^4 + \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_K(1+z)^2 + \Omega_\Lambda f(z)]^{1/2}$ with $f(z)$ describing the evolution of DE and $R_H \equiv cH_0^{-1}$. The Hubble constant is $H(z) = H_0E(z)$ and the distance measures become: the coordinate distance $x(z)$ is given by $c \int (1+z)dt/dz = R_H \int_0^z dz/E(z)$, the comoving angular diameter distance $d_A(z) = x(z)$ and the luminosity distance $d_L(z) = (1+z)x(z)$. Proper distances/scales are $(1+z)^{-1} \times (\text{comoving distances/scales})$ and proper time intervals are $(1+z) \times (\text{cosmic time})$.

We adopt the cosmological parameters $\Omega_K = 0, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.72, \Omega_{\text{matter}} = 0.28, \Omega_{\text{baryon}} = 0.045, h = 0.71,$ and $\sigma_8 = 0.9$ where the present-day Hubble constant is $H_0 = 100h \text{ km/sec/Mpc}$. $\sigma_8$ is the present-day linear matter density contrast over a sphere of comoving radius $r_8 = 8h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ [Davis and Peebles, 1983]. The total mass contained on average within the comoving radius $r$ is $M(r) = 4.9 \times 10^{13} (r/1h^{-1}\text{Mpc})^3$ and the baryonic mass is $M_{\text{baryon}}(r) = 7.8 \times 10^{10} (r/1h^{-1}\text{Mpc})^3$. We also adopt $\Omega_\gamma = 0$ and, for the bulk of the review, $f(z) = 1$, equivalent to the DE reflecting the vacuum energy density resulting in a cosmological constant $\Lambda$ or equation of state with pressure $P = -\rho\omega^2$.

The origin of structures in the Universe is tied to matter density fluctuations, $\delta_m(\vec{x})$, produced during inflationary expansion. During matter-dominated era, these fluctuations feel stronger gravitational field and expand at a slower rate than the average Universe: fluctuations grow until they become non-linear, separate from the comoving frame and collapse. The fluctuations represent a stochastic random field, which can then be decomposed into independent Fourier modes. The variance of each mode is defined as the power spectrum. The correlation function, $C(|\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2|) = \langle \delta_m(\vec{x}_1)\delta_m(\vec{x}_2) \rangle$, is then the Fourier transform of the power spectrum. The isotropy of the Universe requires that the correlation function depends only on the absolute value of the separation distance and the power spectrum only on the absolute wavenumber. The power spectrum defines all properties of Gaussian random fields, such as inflation-produced density fluctuations.

The standard cosmological model gives the power spectrum of the primordial adiabatic component of matter density fluctuations produced during the inflationary roll-over, which is in agreement with CMB observations. This component of the matter density fluctuations starts with an approximately Harrison-Zeldovich (HZ) slope of the 3-D power spectrum $P_{3D, \text{initial}}(k) \propto k^{\gamma}$ [Guth and Pi, 1982], which is preserved on scales above the horizon at matter-radiation equality, but gets modified by differential growth of smaller wavelength harmonics [Bond and Efstathiou, 1984]. The resultant 3-dimensional power spectrum, $P_{3D}(k)$, normalized to $\sigma_8$ at $z=0$, is shown in Fig. 1. The inflationary density field is highly Gaussian and so is fully specified by its power spectrum. An important scale, to serve as a standard ruler, imprinted in the spatial spectrum is that of the baryonic acoustic oscillations (BAOs) at the acoustic horizon at decoupling, $r_{\Delta A O} \approx 150\text{Mpc}$ [Eisenstein and Hu, 1998, 1999]. The mean squared amplitude of density fluctuations over a given radius $r$ is given by $\sigma_M^2(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int k^2 P_{3D}(k)W_{\text{TH}}(kr)dk$ with $W_{\text{TH}}(x) = 3j_1(x)/x^2$, $j_n$ being the spherical Bessel function of order $n$; it is shown in Fig. 1 with dotted line at $z=0$. Such measurements on a scale $r = \pi/2 k^{-1}$ correspond to an effective sampling interval of $\Delta = 2r$, and thus a (minimum) spatial wavelength of $2\Delta = 2\pi k^{-1}$. Later, measurements of angular fluctuations are characterized in terms of the equivalent angular wavelength $\theta = 2\pi q^{-1}$.

If the dark matter (DM) is made up of primordial black holes (PBHs), as motivated recently [Bird et al., 2016; Clesse and García-Bellido, 2016; Kashlinsky, 2016] by the LIGO gravitational wave (GW) discovery [Abbott et al., 2016], the Poissonian fluctuations due to PBHs would provide an extra isocurvature density fluctuation component discussed first by [Meszaros, 1974, 1975] before the inflationary paradigm was introduced. The addition to power in density fluctuations at the time of the PBH formation front that component would be a constant $P_{PBH, \text{initial}} = n_{PBH}^2$ in comoving units. This
The primordial density field in the standard ΛCDM cosmological model, as shown in Fig. 1, is such that after the Universe recombines at \( z_{\text{rec}} \sim 1,000 \), there is an extended period, nicknamed the “Dark Ages”, when no luminous sources existed and everything was made up of neutral hydrogen (H I) until the dark halos collapsing at \( z \lesssim 40 \) – 50 produced the first luminous sources. The near-IR CIB provides a new powerful tool to study the emergence of the Universe from the Dark Ages and the nature of the early luminous sources; recent CIB fluctuation results may have already produced tantalizing insight into these questions.

The nature of the first luminous sources, and proportions of BHs among them, are currently unknown together with the luminosity density they produced as the Universe started emerging from the Dark Ages. If dominated by massive stars and/or accreting BHs, the first luminous sources would radiate at the Eddington limit, \( L_{\text{Edd}} \propto M \), so that the net bolometric luminosity density produced by them is insensitive to the details of their mass function, \( n(M) \), since \( \int n(M) L dM \propto \rho (in \ sources) \) (Rees, 1978). This leads to the net bolometric flux roughly equal to the maximal luminosity of any gravitating object, \( L_{\text{max}} = c^2/G \), distributed over the Hubble radius \( R_H = c H^{-1} \) sphere, or \( F \sim L_{\text{max}}/(4 \pi R_H^2) \) times model-dependent parameters, such as the fraction of baryons in these sources, the redshift of emission and their radiation efficiency (Kashlinsky et al., 2004). For sources at \( z \gtrsim 10 \), these emissions would go primarily into the near-IR CIB and with a net flux which is significant for realistic parameter values. While this part of the mean CIB is challenging to isolate from other components, it would have substantial fluctuations with a distinct spatial distribution reflecting the underlying matter power spectrum at those epochs.

There are intuitive reasons why there would be potentially significant, measurable CIB fluctuations from the first stars era (Cooray et al., 2004; Kashlinsky et al., 2004): 1) first stars are predicted to have been massive, with luminosity per unit mass larger than present-day stellar populations by a factor \( \sim 10^4 \); a similar factor applies to accreting Eddington-limited BHs; 2) their relative CIB fluctuations would be larger as they span a relatively short time-span in the evolution of the universe; and 3) these sources formed at the peaks of the underlying density field, amplifying their clustering properties.

### III. MEAN LEVELS OF BACKGROUND LIGHT

We define the Extragalactic Background Light (EBL) at wavelengths from UV to 10 µm to be the sum of all emissions from extragalactic sources. The CIB is the EBL at IR wavelengths, and in this review we focus on the near-IR CIB at 1 to 10 µm. The cosmic optical background (COB, 0.1-1 µm) has similar origins as the CIB, but is restricted to sources at \( z \lesssim 7 \) (Bernstein, 2007; Kawara et al., 2017; Mattila et al., 2017a,b). The CXB is the net diffuse emissions from 0.5 to 100 keV, with the soft X-ray CXB referring to the range of [0.5–2] keV.

In this section we discuss the status of the mean levels of the backgrounds. Previous reviews by Hauser and Dwek (2001); Kashlinsky (2005a) covered the status of the measurements prior to 2004 and the reader is referred to these papers for overviews. Here we will mainly discuss the progress and the new results obtained since that time, referring to the earlier results only briefly when required for clarity and completion.
### A. Galaxy counts and resolved EBL/CIB

The total flux from counted galaxies in deep surveys gives a direct lower bound on the CIB, identifying the contribution to it from the known resolved populations. A possible CIB excess over that component would contain contributions from new extragalactic populations. Since populations’ energy emissions are cut off below the Lyman-cutoff wavelength, ~0.1(1+z)μm, the wavelength dependence of the CIB would indicate the epochs when it arises. This situation from optical to near-IR bands has been discussed in review by Kashlinsky (2005a) using the counts data available at the time. The updated discussion is presented below.

Following earlier determinations of deep counts in the near-IR (e.g. Fazio et al., 2004a; Gardner et al., 1993; Glazebrook et al., 1994; Madau and Pozzetti, 2000; Totani et al., 2001a; and many other authors) further deeper counts covering wider range of wavelengths have been obtained with new ground and spaceborne instruments (Ashby et al., 2015, 2013; Driver et al., 2016; Keenan et al., 2014; Thompson et al., 2005; Windhorst et al., 2011, etc.). Fig. 2 shows the build-up of the EBL and CIB from the deepest optical (represented by r-band) and near-IR counts available as of this review. The CIB contributions from known galaxy populations peak at AB mag ~ 20 – 21 with little additional contribution out to AB > 28 (e.g. see detailed discussion in Driver et al., 2016; Kashlinsky, 2005a). The figure also shows the reconstructed CIB from known populations with the methodology of Helgason et al. (2012, hereafter HRK12) discussed later in Sec. VI.E. This heuristic reconstruction follows the counts data very accurately, especially at the faint end relevant here; the small deviations at the bright end may be due to pollution from star counts and other systematics. Table 1 gives the CIB estimates in the HRK12 reconstruction for all galaxies and for those remaining below current or future limiting magnitudes. These agree well with the net CIB flux integrated directly from galaxy counts (Table 5 of Kashlinsky, 2005a); see also Fig. 4 of Driver et al. (2016) for updated diffuse fluxes from counts (Beckwith et al., 2006; Bouwens et al., 2010; Windhorst et al., 2011).

**Table 1: HRK reconstruction of diffuse flux (nW/m²/sr).**

| r | I | H | K/2.4μm | 3.6μm | 4.5μm |
|---|---|---|---------|-------|-------|
| Net F | 7.5±2 | 9.4±3 | 9.0±3 | 7.7±1 | 14.7±2 | 3.2±1.5 |
| m₀ | 26 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 23 | 25 | 25 |
| F(>m₀) | 1.4±1.0 | 1.0±1.0 | 0.8±0.9 | 1.1±1.1 | 0.2±0.4 | 0.14±0.31 |

**B. Direct measurements of CIB**

Subtraction of solar system and Galactic foregrounds (see review by Leibert et al., 1998) from space-based measurements of the absolute sky brightness, yield direct measurements of the mean CIB. Observations are usually obtained over multiple wavelengths, and mean CIB estimates are derived by averaging data over large areas. This approach was adopted by both the COBE/DIRBE and the IRTS/NIRS instruments and its results were reviewed extensively before (Hauser and Dwek, 2001; Kashlinsky, 2005a). Here we briefly review only the new results on the mean near-IR CIB that appeared since the Kashlinsky (2005a) review.

There have been several efforts to apply new modeling and analysis techniques to existing data sets to make improved estimates of the mean CIB. Updated results from the DIRBE measurements have been provided by Levenson and Wright (2008) and by Sano et al. (2015, 2016). The IRTS data were reexamined by Matsumoto et al. (2013). These reanalyses generally lead to smaller systematic uncertainties than earlier estimates, but like earlier work, they point to the presence of ~1 – 5 μm IR emission in excess of that expected from the integrated light of known galaxies (and zodiacal and Galactic foregrounds). New independent observations of the sky brightness by the AKARI spacecraft, also reinforce this picture (Tsumura et al., 2013). New observations from the CIBER suborbital mission, extend the CIB measurements to shorter wavelengths, but indicate that the spectrum is flattening or falling from 1.25 to 0.8 μm (Matsumoto et al., 2017). Deep HST/NICMOS observations have been analyzed by Thompson et al. (2007a,b), who report no near-IR CIB excess above the levels contributed by known galaxies to within a few nW/m²/sr. However, the empirical method they apply is sensitive to structure in the CIB, but does not distinguish the mean CIB from the empirically subtracted zodiacal light.

Figure 3 displays the recent mean CIB measurements. Lower limits derived from the integration of the fluxes of resolved galaxies are well below the mean CIB at 0.8 – 4 μm. While the currently claimed direct mean CIB levels are in tension with constraints from γ-ray absorption (see Section III.C), the CIB levels implied by the current fluctuation measurements, a few nW/m²/sr, can be comfortably accommodated.
The primary difficulty with all direct measurement of the CIB and the interpretation of these measurements is the large uncertainty associated with the subtraction of bright foregrounds, particularly the zodiacal light. Dwek et al. (2005a) propose that the similarity of the energy spectra suggest that incompletely modeled zodiacal light could be responsible for the apparent CIB excess. However, with extension to shorter wavelengths, the similarity is less clear (Tsumura et al., 2010).

It has been noted (e.g., Cooray et al., 2009) that a mission outside the interplanetary dust cloud can make greatly improved mean CIB and COB determination due to reduction of the zodiacal light. Greenhouse et al. (2012) and Matsuura et al. (2014) present concept studies for such a mission. Studies using Pioneer (Toller, 1983) and New Horizons (Zemcov et al., 2017) data set upper COB limits.

The uncertainties associated with foregrounds can be reduced with analysis of the CIB fluctuation rather than its mean intensity. Flux measurements were pioneered in the CIB context of DIRBE data by Kashlinsky et al. (1996a,b) and Kashlinsky and Odenwald (2000). At optical wavelengths, such methodology has been explored earlier by Shectman (1973, 1974).

C. Limits from \( \gamma \)-ray absorption

CIB emissions may provide a source of abundant photons at high \( z \). The present-day value of \( I_\nu \) corresponds to a comoving number density of photons per logarithmic energy interval, \( d\ln E, \) of \( \frac{\pi}{c} \frac{I_\nu}{\nu T_{\text{Planck}}} = 0.6(I_\nu/1 \text{ MJy sr}^{-1}) \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and if these photons come from high \( z \) their number density would increase \( \propto (1+z)^3 \) at early times. These photons with the present-day energies, \( E \), would also have higher energies in the past and they would thus provide absorbers for sources of sufficiently energetic photons via \( \gamma \gamma \rightarrow e^+e^- \) when \( E \nu \mathcal{E}_{\text{CIB}} \geq (m_e c^2)^2 \). The \( \gamma \gamma \) absorption, being electromagnetic in nature, has cross-section magnitude similar to that for the Thomson scattering, \( \sigma_T \): it is given by \( \sigma = \frac{3}{16} \sigma_T (1 - \beta^2)[3(\beta^2 - 2) + (3 - \beta^2) \ln(1 + \beta)] \) where \( \beta = 1 - \frac{E}{m_e c^2} \), \( E \) and \( \mathcal{E} \) the present day energies of the CIB and \( \gamma \)-ray photons respectively; the primes denote rest-frame energies, e.g. \( E' = E(1+z) \). The cross-section has a sharp cutoff as \( \beta \to 1 \), peaks at \( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_T \), and is \( \sigma \propto \beta \) for \( \beta \lesssim 0.6 \). The mean free path of \( \gamma \)-ray photons in the presence of CIB would be \( (n_{\gamma\text{CIB}} \sigma)^{-1} \sim 0.8(\sigma_T/\sigma)(1 \text{ MJy sr}^{-1}/I_\nu)(1+z)^{-3} \text{ Mpc} \). Fig. 3 top shows the CIB expressed as \( I_\nu \) and as the comoving photon number density times \( \sigma_T c H_0^{-1} \), along with regions defined by the \( \gamma \gamma \) absorption threshold.

This interaction generates absorption at sufficiently high \( \gamma \)-ray energies for a given IR/optical wavelength (marked in Fig. 3 top). Measuring this absorption provides independent constraint on the EBL/CIB and its evolution with \( z \). However, the net diffuse flux probed in this way is not source-subtracted, and is not wavelength-specific. If significant CIB comes from high-\( z \) sources, it would have provided a far more abundant source of photons at high \( z \) which interact with photons of present-day energy \( E \gtrsim 2M_e c^2/E' \gtrsim 30(1+z) \text{GeV} \) so that even
FIG. 3 The SED of the mean CIB as derived from recent direct measurements and reanalyses: Levenson and Wright (2008) (green diamond), Sano et al. (2015, 2016) (red crosses), Matsumoto et al. (2013) (violet dots), Tsunura et al. (2013) (pink dots), Matsuura et al. (2017) (black dots, points with yellow/blue (upper/lower) systematic uncertainty bands are derived with subtraction of the Kelsall et al./Wright zodiacal light models). The Thompson et al. (2007a,b) limit on the net CIB at 1.1 and 1.6 \( \mu m \) is within 3-4 nW/m\(^2\)/sr of the level given by known galaxy counts. The orange (lower) band shows the HRK12 CIB, reconstructed from galaxy counts, bounded by its HFE to LFE uncertainties. Also shown are the modeled (black line) and measured (large red circles) CIB extrapolated from integrated galaxy counts by Driver et al. (2016). Levels of CIB/EBL inferred from \( \gamma \)-ray absorption are shown from H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2013) (gray band), Ahnen et al. (2016) (striped pink band), Biteau and Williams (2015) (blue stars). Adapted with modifications and additions from Driver et al. (2016).

a moderate CIB from first stars era could be identified in spectra of \( \gamma \)-ray sources at \( z \gtrsim 3 \)–5 (Kashlinsky, 2005b). Helgason and Kashlinsky (2012) reconstruct \( \tau \) from known sources with the multi-wavelength reconstruction of Helgason et al. (2012). This gives the minimal absorption and shows that TeV photons are fully absorbed from nearby (\( z \lesssim 1 \)) sources (Fig. 1), so to probe first stars era with this method more directly one needs GeV photons.

Dwek et al. (2005a) and Aharonian et al. (2006) examined the strong CIB in the context of \( \gamma \)-ray absorption towards blazars and production from Population III systems. They conclude that Population III systems are unlikely to contribute much to the CIB excess claimed in the IRTS and DIRBE studies, although such statements quantitatively depend on the assumed SED of EBL (Kashlinsky and Band, 2007). Ackermann et al. (2012) detect attenuation from EBL in the combined sample of Fermi blazars out to \( z \gtrsim 1.6 \). Fig. 3 shows CIB/EBL levels from Ahnen et al. (2016); Biteau and Williams (2015); H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2013). Constraints from the observed \( \gamma \)-ray absorption (H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2013) give upper limits of 17 and 14 nW m\(^{-2}\)/sr at 1.1 and 1.6 \( \mu m \). This is to be compared with the resolved CIB from faint galaxy counts estimated in Table 1 at these bands. Thus \( z \lesssim 8 \) and 5 nW m\(^{-2}\)/sr currently appear feasible in CIB excess at these wavelengths.

Constraints may however be less restrictive because of an alternative suggested explanation of secondary TeV photons produced by interaction of cosmic rays and EBL (Essey et al. 2010; Essey and Kusenko, 2010). In that interpretation, the intrinsic spectra of blazars at TeV energies have absorption due to high CIB levels, but appear unabsorbed because cosmic rays (protons) from
the blazar jets interact with lower energy EBL along the line of sight to produce pions and secondary \(\gamma\)-rays, when \(E_p E_{\text{EBL}} \geq (m_\pi c^2)^2\). Those secondary \(\gamma\)-rays coincide with the blazar within the angular resolution of the Cherenkov telescopes because the intergalactic magnetic fields are weak \((\lesssim 10^{-14}\text{G})\) and unable to deflect the cosmic ray protons from the line-of-sight.

**D. Resolved cosmic X-ray background**

The CXB was discovered by Giacconi *et al.* (1962) in a rocket flight originally designed to detect X-ray emission from the Moon; the CXB was the first cosmic background discovered. The shape of the CXB spectrum in the 3-50 keV range was first determined by HEAO-1 (Marshall *et al.*, 1980) and shows a pronounced maximum emitted energy in the 20-30 keV range. Figure 5 (from Cappelluti *et al.*, 2017b) summarizes the best measurements up-to-date. The measurement of the absolute level of the X-ray background is complicated, because of systematic uncertainties in the instrument responses, as well as the instrumental background and solid angle characteristics. Additionally, there are systematic differences in the contribution of relatively bright X-ray sources, which are present in wide-field collimated instruments and typically avoided in narrow-field imaging surveys. Thus, throughout the history of CXB measurements there have been systematic differences in the measured absolute CXB intensity, which are partially reflected in Figure 5. There is a 10-20% difference between the minimum and maximum flux measured in the energy range 1-20 keV, corresponding to systematic CXB flux uncertainty of \(\sim 1-2 \text{keV/cm}^2\text{sec/sr}\) around 1 keV.

X-ray surveys are practically the most efficient means of finding active galactic nuclei (AGNs) over a wide range of luminosity and redshift. Deep surveys with focusing X-ray telescopes on ROSAT, Chandra, and XMM-Newton have resolved the majority of the extragalactic CXB into faint discrete X-ray sources. Enormous multi-wavelength photometric and spectroscopic follow-up efforts have identified optical and/or NIR counterparts to most of these sources, and have shown that the main contributors to the CXB are indeed AGN at redshifts up to \(z \sim 5\) (e.g., Brandt and Alexander, 2015; Brandt and Hasinger, 2005). One of the key observational tools is the determination of the X-ray luminosity function of these AGN, and its cosmological evolution, which gives strong constraints on the accretion history of the Universe. The best-fit model for the distribution of AGN as a function of luminosity and redshift is the so-called “luminosity-dependent density evolution” (LDDE), which shows a strong dependence of the AGN space density evolution on X-ray luminosity, with a clear increase of the peak space density redshift with increasing X-ray luminosity. This “AGN cosmic downsizing” evolution is seen both in the soft X-ray (0.5-2 keV) and the hard X-ray (2-10 keV) bands (e.g., Fotopoulou *et al.*, 2014; Miyaji *et al.*, 2015; Ueda *et al.*, 2014, and references therein), as well as in other wavebands (see discussion in Hasinger, 2008).

The spectral shape of the CXB was a puzzle for some time, because it does not resemble typical AGN spectra. The resolution came from cosmological population synthesis models, where the evolving AGN luminosity function is folded with sophisticated AGN spectral model templates including the Compton reflection hump, and a wide distribution of neutral gas absorption column densities from unabsorbed heavily Compton-thick AGNs. The flux of 1 keV \(2\text{cm}^{-2}\text{keV}^{-1}\text{sr}^{-1} = 1.6 \times 10^{-9}\text{erg/cm}^2\text{sr}\) as shown in the right vertical axis.

**FIG. 5** Compilation of independent measurements of the CXB spectrum from several different instruments in the 0.5-500 keV range (adapted from Cappelluti *et al.*, 2017b, see references therein for the individual datasets). The magenta data points have been recently derived from the Chandra legacy data in the COSMOS field Cappelluti *et al.* (2017b). The solid and dashed lines show population synthesis model curves using the Gilli *et al.* (2007) model, adapted from Comastri *et al.* (2015). The thin solid and thick solid lines show the total AGN spectrum and the contribution of Compton-thick (mildly- to heavily absorbed, see text) AGNs in the model, respectively. The dashed curves show the same information, but assume a 4 times larger abundance of the heavily absorbed Compton-thick AGNs. The flux of 1 keV \(2\text{cm}^{-2}\text{keV}^{-1}\text{sr}^{-1} = 1.6 \times 10^{-9}\text{erg/cm}^2\text{sr}\) as shown in the right vertical axis.
compared to the population synthesis models. There are still significant uncertainties in the actual contribution of Compton-thick to the luminosity function and cosmic evolution of AGN, and thus their contribution to the accretion history of the Universe.

Through the studies of the X-ray background and of large samples of black holes in nearby galaxies, it has become clear that supermassive black holes at the centers of galaxies must play an important role in the cosmic evolution of galaxies. AGN and galaxies in general undergo very similar evolution patterns, where the peaks of AGN activity and star formation occur in the same redshift range \( z = 1.5 - 2 \) and show a similar dramatic decline (downsizing) towards lower \( z \). Strong correlations have been found between the BH mass and global properties of its host galaxy spheroid, like the bulge luminosity and the stellar velocity dispersion, i.e. the \( M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma \) relation \( \text{[Ferrarese and Merritt, 2000]} \). Using these correlations, the mass density of local dormant supermassive black holes in galaxy centers has been estimated, and is found largely consistent with the mass density accreted by AGN throughout the history of the Universe \( \text{[Marconi et al., 2004]} \). This already goes some way towards augmenting the local BH mass density, but is not sufficient.\( \text{[Comastri et al., 2015]} \) therefore had to assume another, so far undetected component of BH mass growth, e.g. BHs which are completely shrouded by obscuring material and only radiate at mid-IR wavelengths. However, one major uncertainty in these estimates is the unknown cosmological evolution of the obscuration fraction \( \text{[e.g. Hasinger, 2008; Treister and Urry, 2006]} \). There is also mounting evidence, that the fraction of galaxy mergers is significantly higher among Compton-thick AGN compared to the normal CXB population \( \text{[Kocevski, 2017; Kocevski et al., 2013]} \). In particular at high redshifts, where galaxy mergers are expected to be more common, obscured accretion can play a much larger role than locally.

IV. THEORY BEHIND CIB FLUCTUATION STUDIES

A. CIB fluctuations primer

1. Theoretical basis

CIB is a decisive tool when sources of interest are fainter than the sensitivity limits of the instrument or are too numerous to be individually resolved (i.e. are confused) at the instrument’s angular resolution. The
goal is to probe CIB levels from faint populations below the (ideally low) threshold defined by instrument noise and resolution, i.e. $F_{\text{CIB}}(m > m_{\text{lim}})$. For a sufficiently faint removal threshold, and suitable $\lambda$, the hope is that one would move sufficiently far along the redshift cone to probe the earliest sources.

The rate of the net CIB flux production probed in observer band at wavelength $\lambda$ is:

$$\frac{dF_\lambda}{dz} = \frac{c}{4\pi}L_{\lambda}^\prime(z) \frac{1}{1+z} \frac{dt}{dz}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $L(z)$ is the comoving luminosity density at the rest wavelength $\lambda^\prime$. Emissions from astrophysical sources in the rest frame UV are cut off at the Lyman break due to absorption by the intergalactic medium (IGM), which happens at rest $\lambda_{L^\prime} = 0.912 \mu$m if the IGM is fully ionized or at the Ly$\alpha$ of $\lambda_{L^\prime} = 1216 \mu$m if it contains H I.

In the Cartesian limit (small angles), CIB fluctuations can be Fourier transformed, $\Delta(\vec{q}) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int dF(x) \exp(-i\vec{x} \cdot \vec{q}) dx$, and characterized by the 2-dimensional projected power spectrum, $P(q) = \langle |\Delta(\vec{q})|^2 \rangle$, as a function of the angular frequency $q$ (or angular scale $2\pi/q$). A typical rms flux fluctuation is $\sqrt{q^2 P(q)/2\pi}$ on the angular scale of wavelength $2\pi/q$. Theoretically there are two types of contributions relevant for interpretation of the measured cosmological projected (2-D) power spectrum of source-subtracted CIB fluctuations: 1) shot noise from remaining sources occasionally entering the beam, and 2) the clustering component that reflects clustering of the remaining CIB sources.

The shot-noise power is given by [Kashlinsky 2005a]:

$$P_{SN} = \int_{m_{\text{lim}}}^{\infty} S^2(m) \frac{dN}{dm} dm$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $m_{\text{lim}}$ is the limiting magnitude of sources remaining in the source-subtracted CIB map, $S(m)$ is the flux of a source of AB magnitude $m$, and $dN/dm$ is the number counts of the sources per $dm$. This component is intrinsically white, but convolved with the instrument beam. When interpreting observations, it is useful to consider the shot-noise as follows: source-subtracted CIB fluctuations are measured at a given shot noise level, which per eq. 2 defines the equivalent effective magnitude (or flux) of source removal. The net mean CIB flux from sources remaining in the data is then $F_{\text{CIB}}(m > m_{\text{lim}}) = \int_{m_{\text{lim}}}^{\infty} S(m) \frac{dN}{dm} dm$. Hence the remaining shot noise is connected to the remaining CIB as $P_{SN} \sim S(\bar{m}) F_{\text{CIB}}$ with $\bar{m}$ being the effective magnitude of the remaining populations [Kashlinsky et al. 2007c]. When discussing observational results the shot noise power will be expressed in units of $[P_{SN}] = nW \cdot mW^{-2} / sr$ which is equivalent to $3 \times 10^{-12} nW / m^2 / sr$. Measurements of the diffuse flux CIB fluctuations will be expressed in units of $[\sqrt{q^2 P(q)/2\pi}] = nW / m^2 / sr$.

The clustering component is generally made up of two terms [Cooray and Sheth 2002]: the 1-halo term, and the 2-halo term. The 1-halo term is essentially a white noise term convolved with an “average” halo profile of the remaining sources and so reflects an average halo profile below angular scales subtending a typical halo. It is unimportant for high-$z$ sources, but may be important for more local extended ones. The projected 2-halo term is related to the underlying 3-D power, $P_{3D}$, of the sources by the relativistic [Limber 1953] equation:

$$\frac{q^2 P(q)}{2\pi} = \int_0^{z_{\text{Ly}}} \left( \frac{dF_\lambda}{dz} \right)^2 \Delta^2(qd_\Lambda^{-1}; z) dz$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\Delta^2(k, z) \equiv \frac{k^2 P_{3D}(kz)}{2\pi cH^{-1}(z)}$ is the mean square fluctuation in the source counts over a cylinder of diameter $k^{-1}$ and length $cH^{-1}(z)$ and $\frac{dF_\lambda}{dz}$ is the CIB flux production at rest $\lambda^\prime = \lambda/(1+z)$ over the epochs spanned by the integration [Cooray et al. 2004, Fernandez et al. 2010, Helgason et al. 2016, Kashlinsky et al. 2004, 2015a].

Because cosmological sources have a Lyman break due to IGM absorption by H I at rest wavelength $\lambda_{L^\prime}$, the integration stops at $1 + z_{\text{Ly}}(\lambda) = \lambda/\lambda_{L^\prime}$ because at larger redshifts sources emit only longward of the Lyman-break wavelength; the integration extending to redshift specified by the far edge of the filter of band $\lambda$. This will be used in the Lyman tomography in Sec. 3.7 below.

The density field is today linear on scales $> r_8$ and the scale of non-linearity is smaller at higher $z$. It is reasonable to assume that on linear scales the density of luminous sources traces that of the underlying matter to within a scale-independent bias factor. For reference, angular scale of $1'$ subtends 1.1, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6 $\times 10^{-4}$ Mpc at $z = 5, 10, 15, 20$. As Fig. 1 shows, the density field on these scales is in linear regime at $z > 8$–10. We assume a $\Lambda$CDM template for $P_{3D}$ in eq. 3 for the high-$z$ contributions to CIB fluctuations on arcminute scales and beyond. If the range of $z$ spanned by the populations that are probed is narrow, as can arise if lower-$z$ sources are removed and very high-$z$ sources do not enter beyond $z_{\text{Ly}}$, one can relate CIB fluctuations to the net CIB flux as $\delta F(2\pi/q) \sim F_{\text{CIB}}(qd_\Lambda, z)$, where $z$ is a suitably averaged redshift of the sources.

Let us assume that a fraction $f_{\text{Halo}}$ of all matter in the Universe collapses in halos capable of producing luminous sources at a given redshift, converting on average a fraction $f_*$ of the halo baryons into luminous sources. The bolometric diffuse flux produced by these populations, after they have converted their mass-energy into radiation with radiation efficiency $\epsilon$, is

$$F_{\text{tot}} \simeq f_{\text{Halo}} f_* \left( \frac{c}{4\pi} \rho_{\text{baryon}} c^2 \right) z_{\text{eff}}^{-1} \simeq 9.1 \times 10^5 f_{\text{Halo}} f_* z_{\text{eff}}^{-1} \frac{\Omega_{\text{baryon}} h^2}{0.0227} \frac{nW}{m^2 sr}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $z_{\text{eff}} \equiv 1/(1+z)^{-1}$ is a suitably averaged effective redshift factor which accounts for the radiation energy density decreasing with expansion as $\propto (1+z)^{-4}$ vs. the matter density $\propto (1+z)^{-3}$.
2. Observationally determined quantities and their uncertainties

Once CIB maps are produced, e.g. for a square field of width \( \Theta \), the diffuse flux is Fourier transformed with pixels in the Fourier plane having a width of \( \Delta q = 2\pi/\Theta \). Because flux is a real quantity, only half of the Fourier plane is independent. The power spectrum is defined as \( P(q) = \langle |\Delta(q)|^2 \rangle \), where \( \Delta(q) \) is the 2-D Fourier transform (FT) of the source-subtracted CIB. For ease of comparison with background intensities, we plot results as the mean squared fluctuation at angular scale \( 2\pi/q \), defined as \( q^2 P(q)/(2\pi) \). For spherical harmonic expansion, \( \delta F(\theta, \phi) = \sum a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) \), the power is \( C_\ell = \langle |a_{\ell m}|^2 \rangle_m = \frac{1}{2\ell+1} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} |a_{\ell m}|^2 \). At small angular scales, the multipole in spherical harmonic expansion is related to the angular wavenumber via \( \ell \simeq q/(\text{rad}^{-1}) \).

The cross-power describing the correlations between fluctuations at different wavelengths (1,2) is \( P_{1 \times 2}(q) = \langle \Delta_1(q) \Delta_2^*(q) \rangle = \langle (R_1(q)R_2^*(q) + I_1(q)I_2^*(q)) \rangle \) with \( R, I \) standing for the real, imaginary parts of the Fourier transform, \( \Delta(q) \). The cross-power spectrum is a real quantity which can be positive or negative.

The correlation function, \( C(\theta) = \langle \delta F(\vec{x})\delta F(\vec{x}+\vec{\theta}) \rangle \), and the 2-D power are interrelated via an integral transform, which in the limit of small angles \( \theta < 1 \) rad is: \( C(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty P(q)J_0(\theta q)q dq \) and \( P(q) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty C(\theta)J_0(\theta q)q \theta dq \)

with \( J_0 \) being cylindrical Bessel function of 0-th order. Any white noise power, such that \( P = \text{const} \), results in \( C(\theta) \propto \theta^2 \) and directly translates only into the zero-lag value of the correlation function (i.e. variance). The shot-noise component is white noise convolved with the beam and will be reflected in the correlation function values only up to roughly the beam scale. Non-zero values of the correlation function on scales much greater than the beam scale are determined from a total of \( \sum_{\ell=1}^{30} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} |a_{\ell m}|^2 \). At small angular scales, the multipole in spherical harmonic expansion is related to the angular wavenumber via \( \ell \simeq q/(\text{rad}^{-1}) \).

The coherence between the two bands is defined \( C_{12} \equiv \frac{P_{12}}{P_{11}^{1/2}P_{22}^{1/2}} \). It should lie between 0 and 1 (no to full coherence).

We now turn to errors/uncertainties for the measured quantities: auto-powers, cross-powers and coherence. We assume that the underlying \( \Delta(q) \) is Gaussian-distributed, but note that this may be affected by biasing (Bardeen et al. 1986; Jensen and Szalay 1986; Kaiser 1984; Kashlinsky 1991; 1998).

The errors on the power measured from a finite size field are subject to the sampling (“cosmic”) variance (Abbott and Wise 1984). Namely, if the power \( P \) at the central wavenumber \( q \) is determined from a total of \( N_q \) independent pixels in the Fourier plane the error on this measurement is \( \sigma_P = P/\sqrt{N_q} \). Because the auto-power is a quadratic quantity, and is \( \chi^2 \)-distributed, this approximation does not correspond to the standard 68\% confidence limit at the very large scale, where \( N_q \sim 1 \), but at smaller scales it is a reasonable approximation. An additional issue is that masking of resolved sources in the maps generates coupling between various Fourier harmonics thereby biasing/distorting the measurement of the power from FT because of the convolution with mask. Thus one should proceed with caution and verify the power results from FFT with the much more CPU intensive computation of the correlations function (e.g. Kashlinsky et al. 2005; Matsumoto et al. 2011), which is immune to masking effects. In practice, when \( \geq 30-35\% \) of the maps are masked there is good consistency between the two approaches (Kashlinsky et al. 2005), but the two can at times diverge for much more aggressive masking with the correlation function being a more reliable estimate (Kashlinsky 2007).

The cross-power for uncorrelated quantities can be both positive and negative and would be distributed in a Gaussian manner if the underlying quantities are Gaussian-distributed. The cosmic variance error on its measurement from the same field at two different bands is \( \sigma_{P_{12}} \equiv \sqrt{P_{11}P_{22}/N_q} \) (see Cappelluti et al. 2013).

For errors on the coherence, or the square of the correlation coefficient \( R \), the situation is more complicated since statistical errors must be evaluated from the confidence contours of the quantity of interest \( C \equiv R^2 \), which must be derived from its underlying probability distribution function (PDF). Due to the highly non-linear structure of \( R \) with respect to the underlying quantities in both the numerator and the denominator, its PDF is not trivially derivable. However, once the errors on the power at each \( q \) are determined, one can then propagate them via the Fisher transformation (Fisher 1915) to give the confidence contours of the resultant correlation coefficient. Because errors are always equivalent to confidence contours, one needs to evaluate the 68\% confidence limits of \( R \) from the errors on the power. The Fisher transformation technique represents the standard way to evaluate the probability distribution of \( R \) and relate the uncertainties to those of the powers. The Fisher transformation works as follows: One evaluates the central value, \( R_0 = \sqrt{C_0} \) of the correlation coefficient from the power data per above. The Fisher transformation technique is to compute the quantity \( Z = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1+R}{1-R} \right) \), which is normally distributed in most practical cases (Fisher 1915). This transformation, and its inverse \( C = [\tanh(Z)]^2 \), is then used to construct the corresponding confidence interval for \( C \): one evaluates the 68\% contours of \( Z \) from the variances of the auto- and cross-powers, assumed to be equivalent to the 68\% confidence levels. The variance in \( Z \) is related to the errors on powers as \( \sigma^2_Z = \frac{C_0}{(1-C_0)^2} \left( \frac{\sigma^2_{P_{11}}}{P_{11}} + \frac{\sigma^2_{P_{22}}}{P_{22}} + \frac{\sigma^2_{P_{12}}}{P_{12}} \right) \). The 68\% contours for \( C \) are derived from \( Z \pm 1\sigma_Z \), 95\% from \( Z \pm 2\sigma_Z \), etc. The confidence contours for \( C \) are thus constrained to the interval of \([0, 1]\) and \([-1, 1]\) for \( R \).
B. Contribution from remaining known galaxy populations

Helgason et al. (2012) developed a robust heuristic way of reconstructing CIB fluctuations from galaxy populations spanning wavelengths from UV to mid-IR out to $z \sim 6$. The assembled database for the reconstruction now covers over 340 luminosity function (LF) surveys from UV to mid-IR (HRK12, Helgason et al. 2014; Helgason and Kashlinsky, 2012), and the methodology allows filling in the redshift cone with known galaxies across the required wavelengths.

The HRK12 methodology works as follows: the LF in the optical and near-IR can be well described by the Schechter (1976) function parameterized by $M^*$, $\phi^*$ and $\alpha$. Table 1 in HRK12 shows the measured Schechter parameters from multiple surveys as a function of both rest-frame wavelength and redshift. Whereas $M^*$ and $\phi^*$ are well measured out to large distances, the faint-end slope $\alpha$ is poorly constrained and often is simply kept fixed in fits. Deep near-IR number counts provide the best constraints on the faint-end LF slope as they are dominated by the faint end of the LF at $z \sim 1 - 3$, where measuring $\alpha$ directly becomes challenging. In other words, the faint galaxy counts at 1–5 $\mu$m sample the faint end of the LF at different rest-frame wavelengths at intermediate $z$ where the volume density of sources per solid angle is at maximum. More importantly, compared to the LF, the uncertainties in the counts are robust, i.e. they are not affected by systematic uncertainties associated with redshift determinations or degeneracy in the best-fit Schechter parameters. The only assumptions in the reconstruction are 1) the LF is well described by the Schechter function, and 2) that the evolution and spectral behavior of $\alpha$ is smooth and does not exhibit sudden changes in a narrow interval. The uncertainties of the reconstruction, around the default model, are bracketed by the high-faint-end (HFE) and low-faint-end (LFE) limits from varying $\alpha$ within the limits allowed by the data.

The accuracy of the reconstruction is verified by the remarkably good fits to the subsequently measured, and much deeper than at the time, IRAC counts (Ashby et al. 2012, 2013) and Fig. 2 above.

C. Reionization limitations on first stars era

At recombination ($z_{\text{rec}} \sim 1100$) photons and baryons decouple and the Dark Ages begin lasting until the unknown redshift(s) when the first luminous sources formed, reionizing the Universe. Two opposite regimes govern the later evolution: even a small amount of neutral hydrogen (H I) in the IGM would absorb any light emitted in rest UV bands by resonant absorption in the Lyman lines of 0.1216, 0.1026 and 0.09725 $\mu$m (Ly-\(\alpha\), \(\beta\), \(\gamma\) respectively) with the largest cross-section being due to Ly-\(\alpha\) (Gunn and Peterson, 1965). Conversely, the ionized IGM affects the CMB in several ways, mainly: 1) the CMB angular power spectrum would be suppressed by Thomson scattering on sub-degree scales, 2) the Thomson scattering of CMB photons would also lead to linear polarization of the CMB (Rees, 1968), and 3) peculiar motions generate new temperature anisotropies (see IV.D.3). The probability of scattering is $\propto 1 - \exp(-\tau^*_{\text{GP}})$ with $\tau^*_{\text{GP}}$ being the Thomson optical depth and, since CMB angular structure is measured to have a clear peak structure at $\ell \sim 100$, it follows that $\tau^*_{\text{GP}} < 1$. The induced CMB polarization is fixed by the quadrupole anisotropy of the scattering IGM, so polarization on scales exceeding the horizon at $z_{\text{rec}}$ (or $\sim 1^\circ$) provides evidence of Thomson scattering, or $\tau^*_{\text{GP}} > 0$.

Thus reionization encodes information about the nature of the first stars, first galaxies and the emergence of large-scale structure (see discussions in Mesinger, 2016 ed.). A nice overview of the underlying physics and measurements is found in Zaroubi (2013).

1. Gunn-Peterson absorption and neutral hydrogen at low $z$

As pointed out by Gunn and Peterson (1965, hereafter GP) the high value of the Ly-\(\alpha\) cross-section, $\sigma_{\alpha} = 4.88 \times 10^{-18}$cm$^2$ (ignoring line-broadening effects), leads to a very high optical depth:

$$\tau^*_{\alpha} (z) = 1.2 \times 10^4 \int_0^z \frac{x_{\text{HI}}(z') (1+z')^2}{\sqrt{\Omega_m (1+z')^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} dz'$$

where we adopted a He mass fraction of $Y = 0.24$. This results in full absorption even for a very small fraction of the cosmologically distributed neutral hydrogen $x_{\text{HI}}$.

The observed absence of the H I trough in quasar spectra at wavelengths shorter than the rest Ly-\(\alpha\) line shows that by $z \sim 6$ the intergalactic hydrogen has been reionized (see review by Becker et al., 2013, and references therein). Very broadly, eq. 3 and the observed lack of absorption of quasar spectra require $x_{\text{HI}} \lesssim 10^{-4} (1+z)^{-3/2}$ out to $z \lesssim 6$. Prior to that sources of UV radiation had to exist to ionize the surrounding gas. Similar limits on $x_{\text{HI}}$ have been reached with probing the Ly-damping of GRBs (Totani et al., 2006).

Numerous observations suggest that reionization of hydrogen was complete by $z_{\text{ion,HI}} = 6_{-0.5}^{+0.3}$ as summarized in Fan et al. (2006a). Follow-up high-resolution spectroscopy of the SDSS-discovered QSOs at $z \sim 6$ established that the Universe contained large amounts of neutral IGM at $z > z_{\text{ion,HI}}$ as shown in Fig. 7 (from Fan et al., 2006a). The lower panel shows the reconstructed effective GP optical depth which is $\tau^*_{\text{GP}} \sim (1+z)^{4.3}$ out to $z \sim 5.5$ rising exponentially at higher $z$. Bernardi et al. (2003) find that the effective optical depth decreases suddenly after $z \sim 2.4$ by about 10% and climbs back to the smooth scaling again by $z \sim 2.9$. 
These observations do not constrain when the hydrogen reionization began or how it proceeded. Bolton and Haehnelt (2007) argue for an extended reionization period. Bolton and Haehnelt (2013) show with simulations that observations may not require a large change in $x_{HI}$ between $z \simeq 6$ and 7, but “may instead be indicative of the rapid decrease in the typical mean free path for ionizing photons expected during the final stages of reionization” from “the increasing incidence of absorption systems which are optically thick to Lyman continuum photons”. More recent observations start to probe the $z \simeq 6 - 7$ range. Ota et al. (2017) found that comparison of models of Lyman-α emitters with the measured Lyman-α luminosity function suggested that the neutral fraction of H increased with redshift at $z > 6$. These observations are compatible with both fast (steep) and extended reionization histories as described below.

2. Thomson optical depth and high-z ionization

Detailed transition modeling from neutral to ionized state of the IGM hydrogen is subject of intense current theoretical and observational investigations with the main observational constraints coming from CMB temperature anisotropies. CMB photons are scattered off free electrons, damping the primary anisotropies and generating a large-scale polarization signal (Mukhanov, 2005) and secondary anisotropies (Atio-Barandela and Doroshkevich, 1994; Vishniac, 1987). These effects are determined by the Thomson scattering (cross-section $\sigma_T = 6.65 \times 10^{-25} \text{cm}^2$) optical depth given by

$$\tau_e(0, z_{reion}) = 2 \times 10^{-3} \int_{0}^{z_{reion}} \frac{x_e(z)(1+z)^2}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Lambda}}} dz \equiv 0.038 + \Delta \tau_e(z > 6)$$

where $x_e$ is the fraction of free electrons at each redshift $z$. In eq. (6), the integration gives the total optical depth. A small fraction of cold gas exists in the form of galaxies and Ly-α systems, that could be as large as 10% (see references in Salvador-Solé et al. 2016). Removing the contribution $\tau_e(0, 6) \simeq 0.038$ at $z \leq 6$, as evidenced by the GP absorption probes, leaves

$$\Delta \tau_e(z > 6) \simeq 0.003 \Omega_m^{-1/2} \int_{z=6}^{z_{reion}} x_e(z) \sqrt{z} dz$$

(7)

as the high-z contribution to the net Thomson optical depth, which is constrainable by CMB and is of relevance here. It gives a weighted measure of the fraction of free electrons, $\langle x_e(z > 6) \rangle$, since the start of reionization at the unknown redshift $z_{reion}$ until the epoch when the GP absorption is known to vanish, $z \simeq 6$. These epochs contain the first stars era.

After reionization a fraction $1 - \exp[-\tau(0, z_{reion})] \simeq 0.038 + \Delta \tau$ of CMB photons is scattered off, so their contribution to the primary CMB fluctuations gets smeared out up to the reionization horizon scale $\ell_{reion} \simeq \pi z_{reion}^{1/2} \Omega_m^{0.09}$. Due to the damping of the primary CMB radiation power spectrum $C_\ell$, CMB TT anisotropies constrain the amplitude of the matter power spectrum as $A_S \exp[-2\tau(0, z_{reion})]$ and, in combination with gravitational lensing measurements that are sensitive to $A_S$, this can be used to place useful constraints on $\tau(0, z_{reion})$. Hu (2002; Planck Collaboration et al. 2016).

The large-scale E-mode polarization of the CMB is a very sensitive probe of reionization (Reichardt, 2016). Compton scattering produces polarization only when the
Planck measured parameters, lensing data tend to prefer lower values of $\tau$. The Planck 2016 result includes Planck temperature and High Frequency Instrument (HFI) polarization data. These measurements, although derived assuming reionization in a step-like transition, have important implications for the physical processes driving the reionization of the IGM (Greig and Mesinger 2016; Mitra et al., 2016). The high value measured by WMAP 1yr supported models of early, $z \approx 15$ reionization (Choudhury and Ferrara 2004), driven by metal free Pop III stars. The decreasing values of $\tau$ measured from subsequent observations reduced the need for high-$z$ galaxies as reionization sources (Bouwens et al., 2015; Mitra et al., 2015; Robertson et al., 2015). A steep reionization favors models where quasar contributions were negligible at $z \geq 6$ and the earlier reionization was driven by early galaxies. Alternative sources such as dark matter annihilation and decay have also been considered (Liu et al., 2016).

For a steep reionization the most recent value of Table II implies that the average redshift at which reionization occurred was between $z = 7.8$ and 8.8 and lasted $\Delta z < 2.8$. The Planck Collaboration used the reionization fraction $x_e(z) = (f/2)[1 + \tanh((u(z_H) - u(z))/\Delta u)]$, with $u(z) = (1 + z)^{3/2}$, $\Delta u = (3/2)(1 + z)^{1/2}\Delta z_H$ and $\Delta z_H = 0.5$ (Lewis, 2008) and fit the value of $z_H$ to the measured value of $\tau$. But the width and location of the polarization peak contains more information than the overall Thomson optical depth. Allowing arbitrary ionization histories shows a preference in the data for more extended reionization processes out to $z \sim 30$ (Heinrich et al., 2014; Heinrich et al., 2016) considered a fiducial model with a constant ionization $x_e = 0.15$ in the range $6 \leq z \leq 30$ although the exact value fluctuates around this fiducial model to fit the $C^{EE}_\ell$ data. The excess of power in the E-mode of polarization in the multipole range $10 \leq \ell \leq 20$, present in Planck 2015 LFI data, is compatible with $\sim 20\%$ of the volume of the Universe being ionized by $z \sim 20$ (Miranda et al., 2016).

In Fig. 5 we plot the contribution to the Thomson optical depth for the two ionization histories discussed above as a function of redshift, $\tau(0,z)$. When computing the fraction of free electrons, it is necessary to take into account the contribution from He. Its first ionization happens in parallel to that of H but its second ionization, requiring 54eV photons, is assumed to have been delayed until quasars, that can emit the necessary energetic photons are sufficiently abundant, at $z \sim 3-4$ (Becker et al., 2011; Madau and Meiksin, 1994; Miralda-Escude et al., 2000). Then, we take $f = 1 + f_{He}$ for singly ionized He and $f = 1 + 2f_{He}$ for doubly ionized He. We model the He reionization by a tanh function centered at $z_{He} = 3.5$ and width $\Delta z_{He} = 0.5$. Since GP test shows the Universe is ionized by $z \approx 6$, the total contribution of the IGM to the Thomson optical depth up to $z = 6$ is $\tau(0,6) = 0.038$. A small fraction of cold gas exists in the form of galaxies and Ly-$\alpha$ systems, that could be as large as 10%
contribution from high-$z$ sources since $\Delta \tau \approx 0.045$ while this contribution is only $\Delta \tau \approx 0.02$ for the tanh model. Note that the peak of the polarization power spectra of the symmetric hyperbolic tangent reionization model is narrower than the extended reionization model.

D. New high-$z$ populations and their consequences

Here we discuss the various high-$z$ candidates that contribute to CIB, and the environments in which they form and subsequently influence. These sources leave potentially detectable signatures of their redshifts though the Lyman break that should truncate their UV emission. The CIB that they leave behind is subject to reionization constraints discussed above in Sec. IV.C. For more detailed information regarding emissions from the individual sources possible at high $z$, the reader is referred to excellent recent reviews by Bromm (2013b); Ferrara (2012); Latif and Ferrara (2016).

1. First halo collapse

Given the underlying matter power spectrum, the number density of available halos can be computed via the Press-Schechter formalism (Press and Schechter, 1974), assuming that any region that reached density contrast $\delta_{\text{col}}=1.68$ undergoes spherical collapse. The emergence of the first luminous sources at the end of the cosmic dark ages is largely governed by the ability of primordial gas to cool inside these halos (e.g. Bromm, 2013a). In the absence of any metal coolants, prior to the dispersal of the first heavy elements from Pop III supernovae, there are two principal cooling channels in the early universe. At temperatures in excess of $\sim 10^4 \text{K}$, line radiation from atomic hydrogen, predominantly concentrated in the Ly$\alpha$ transition, provides very strong cooling. For the $\Lambda$CDM power spectrum, the first DM halos are characterized by shallow gravitational potential wells, with correspondingly low virial temperatures, $T_{\text{vir}}$.

Halos with $T_{\text{vir}} \lesssim 10^4 \text{K}$ will thus not be able to activate atomic hydrogen cooling. In such low-$T_{\text{vir}}$ systems, the so-called minihalos, cooling has to rely on molecular hydrogen. The H$_2$ formation chemistry in the absence of dust grains is catalyzed by free electrons left over from the epoch of recombination, with a rate that depends on the gas temperature: $\text{H} + e^{-} \rightarrow \text{H}^{-} + \gamma$ followed by $\text{H}^{-} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + e^{-}$ (Yonevama, 1972). (At higher densities 3-body reactions become important, $3\text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{H}$ and $2\text{H} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2$ (Palla et al., 1983). For sufficient H$_2$ production, temperatures of $\sim 10^3 \text{K}$ are required. This effect selects DM halos with $T_{\text{vir}} \sim 10^3 \text{K}$, minihalos, as the formation sites for the first (Pop III) stars. Molecular hydrogen, however, is fragile, and can easily be destroyed by non-ionizing soft-UV photons in the Lyman-

(see references in Salvador-Solé et al., 2016). Removing this contribution yields a conservative lower bound on the CMB optical depth of $\tau(0, 6) \approx 0.035$. In Fig 8 the (blue) dashed and (red) dot-dashed lines correspond to the tanh-model with Planck 2015 and Planck LFI 2016 CMB optical depth values while the (black) solid line corresponds to the extended reionization model. The contribution to the optical depth at $z \lesssim 6$ is $\tau = 0.038$, common to all models. However, when fitting Planck 2016 LFI data, the extended model allows for a much higher
equilibrium of gas in stars gives their typical temperature $T_F \sim m_p^{4/3} k_B^{-1} G M^{2/3}_* n_p^{-1/3}$, where $m_p$ and $n_p$ are proton mass and number density. 2) If all baryons participate in nuclear burning, $\gamma$’s would have number density similar to protons $n_\gamma \sim n_p$. 3) Stars are optically thick, so photons are at the same thermodynamic temperature. Thus $P_{\text{radiation}} \sim n_\gamma k_B T_* \sim P_{\text{gas}}$. 4) Stars radiate as black-body $aT_*^4 \sim n_k B T_*$, so $T_* \sim (k_B/\alpha)^{1/3} n_\gamma^{1/3}$. Combining 1-4) leads to $M_* \sim M_{\text{Chandra}} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\right)^{3/2} m_p^{2/3} \sim 1.44 M_\odot$.

So how do stars reach that mass when collapse starts in much more massive clouds and halos? In his seminal galaxy formation paper Hoyle (1953) proposed the so-called opacity-limited fragmentation theory for the origin of stars. He noted that initially, while the cooling time is much less than the collapse time protostellar clouds collapse isothermally. As a result the Jeans mass in the cloud, $M_{\text{Jeans}} \propto T^{3/2} \rho^{-1/2}$, decreases as the density, $\rho$, increases during the collapse. The cloud becomes susceptible to fragmentation into progressively smaller clumps. This hierarchical fragmentation stops when density gets high enough to make the fragment opaque, and trap the radiation released, via shocks and such, during collapse. For absorption opacity this happens when the optical depth across the fragment reaches $\tau \sim 1$, the temperature starts rising adiabatically as $T \propto \rho^{2/3}$. The Jeans mass then stops decreasing and the final fragment forms. Hoyle showed that this occurs, for solar metallicity opacities, at a fraction of $M_\odot$; the rest presumably getting accreted after the fragmentation stops.

Prior to enrichment of gas with metals, atomic hydrogen can only cool gas to $T \sim 10^4$ K and $T_p$, which is hard to form, could lower the temperature a bit as discussed below. Given that the Jeans mass $\propto T^{3/2}$, it was thought early on that first stars would have to be massive. However, Reese (1976) showed very generally that this does not have to be the case: The maximal achievable rate of cooling is the black body one, when emission is radiated by the surface of the fragment with radius $r$, at $\sim a T^4 (4 \pi r^3)$. Collapse ceases being isothermal and fragmentation stops when the cooling is of order the free-fall rate of release of binding energy, $\zeta \propto (GM^2/r)(G\rho)^{-1/2}$. Combining this with the Jeans criterion for fragment’s mass, $M_F \zeta \propto (\pi k_B T/m_p G)^{3/2} \rho^{-1/2}$ leads to the minimal fragment mass being $M_{F,\text{min}} \sim M_{\text{Chandra}} (k_B T / m_p c^2)^{1/4} \propto T^{-0.25}$.

Note only the weak dependence on $T$ which arises because while there are no coolants in the absence of metals to keep $T$ low, the same absence of metals makes the onset of $\tau \sim 1$ occur at higher $\rho$. Thus the absence of metals does not necessarily require high masses for forming stars. Efficiency of fragmentation is also affected by the angular momentum of the collapsing protogalactic clouds (Kashlinsky, 1982).

The above assumes that stars formed in efficient fragmentation of collapsing much more massive clouds, a condition that is not necessarily applicable to the first objects forming out of smooth density field in metal-free
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**Fig. 9** Adapted from Kashlinsky et al. (2015a). The projected angular density of early luminous halos for $P_{\text{PBH}} = 0$ at redshifts greater than $z$ assuming stars and accreting BHs form when $T_{\text{vir}} \geq 10^4$K (black) and $10^5$K (red). If PBHs make up the DM, the number would be higher. Horizontal thick solid line shows the confusion limit for a beam of $10^{-12}$ sr (or 0.04 arcsec$^2$) in area.

Werner (LW, 11.2–13.6 eV) bands. Such a pervasive LW background is expected to rapidly emerge in the aftermath of the initial Pop III star formation. It has therefore been argued that the first galaxies, defined as systems that can sustain self-regulated star formation, will be hosted by more massive DM halos. Indeed, “atomic cooling halos” with $T_{\text{vir}} \geq 10^4$ K are considered promising candidates for first-galaxy hosts, as they would not have to rely on H$\alpha$ as a coolant, and could instead tap into the much more efficient, and resilient, atomic hydrogen channel. In summary, there are two characteristic scales for DM host halos, expressed in terms of $T_{\text{vir}} \sim 10^5$ K and $10^4$ K, where the former is predicted to host the first stars, and the latter the first galaxies (see review by Bromm and Yoshida, 2011).

Fig. 9 shows the projected density of collapsed halos with parameters suitable for star formation assuming the power spectrum shown in Fig. 4. The horizontal line shows the confusion limit for the JWST-type beam assuming confusion intervenes at $> 0.02$ halos/beam (Condon, 1974). There are various possibilities for boosting the small scale power in the spectrum of underlying matter fluctuations, from e.g. modified inflationary and early Universe physics (Clesse and Garcia-Bellido, 2015; Kashlinsky, 2016; Kusenko et al., 2017) increasing the levels of the CIB from first stars era.

2. First stars

Anthropic argument dictates that stars have typical mass of order solar, i.e. given the values of the fundamental constants a self-gravitating thermonuclear reactor must have mass $M_* \sim M_\odot$. This follows as: 1) pressure
early Universe. Current models suggest that the first, metal-free (Pop III) stars formed at $z < 30$ in dark-matter mini-halos with virial temperatures $T_{\rm{vir}} < 10^{4}\ K$ cooling their gas via H$_2$ line emission. Detailed numerical work \cite{Abel:2002, Bromm:1999} in the context of the standard $\Lambda$CDM model suggested that first stars are likely very massive forming out of high density clumps ($n \sim 10^3\ cm^{-3}$) inside the $\sim 10^5\ M_{\odot}$ minihalos (see review by Bromm and Larson, 2004, and refs therein).

Once formed, Pop III stars affect their own evolution (feedback) in two ways: (a) by producing copious amounts of LW photons they photo-dissociate H$_2$ molecules in nearby objects \cite{Agarwal:2014, Regan:2014, Suyon:2014, Sugimura:2014}, quenching their cooling and star formation; (b) by polluting the star forming gas with metals dispersed by Pop III SNe, thereby changing the gas fragmentation properties \cite{Schneider:2002, Schneider:2006}, and inducing a transition to a normal Pop II star formation mode \cite{Pallottini:2014, Tornatore:2007, Xu:2013}.

Numerous evolution modes and stellar activity in pregalactic Universe have been discussed with varying constituents from quasi-normal stellar populations, massive stars, BHs forming in the course of stellar activity, massive binaries, etc \cite{Ercol:2004, Fernandez:2012, Fernandez:2006, Fernandez:2010, Helgason:2016, Kashlinsky:1983, Mirabel:2011, Salvaterra:2003, Santosa:2002}. Massive stars, such as hypothesized to dominate the first stars era, are radiation-pressure dominated, and emit nearly at the Eddington limit. In addition, they are close to fully convective with the entire stellar mass taking part in the hydrogen burning \cite{Bromm:2001, Schaerer:2002}. This leads to the high radiative efficiency of $\epsilon \sim 0.007$ and a correspondingly more efficient CIB production. For normal Pop II stars, described by a Salpeter IMF, the effective efficiency is an order of magnitude lower since only a small core burns hydrogen.

3. First black holes

For accreting BHs, the radiative efficiency can be as high as $\epsilon = 0.4$ for maximally rotating Kerr-holes, reaching values much greater than that of H-burning. Thus, BHs can contribute significantly even with much smaller fraction than stars. Two type of stand-alone BHs appear relevant to discuss in this context: (a) Direct collapse BHs (DCBHs) forming during cosmogonic evolution during the first stars era. These BHs would be very massive, as discussed below, but of low abundance. And (b) Primordial BHs (PBHs) which may have formed in the very early Universe \cite{Carr:1975} with much lower masses, comparable to the mass within the cosmological horizon at the time of their formation, but having much greater abundance.

a. DCBHs: The process by which astonishingly massive (BH mass $M_* \approx 10^9 M_{\odot}$) BHs came into existence within 1 Gyr from the Big Bang is one of the most puzzling mysteries in cosmic evolution. The current paradigm stipulates that supermassive BHs (SMBHs) have grown from smaller seeds by gas accretion. This hypothesis, however, faces a number of difficulties. The most striking complication is connected with the short time available for the build-up of SMBH. Assuming that gas accretion occurs at the Eddington rate, assembling the SMBH mass ($M_\ast = 2 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$) deduced for the most distant quasar ULAS J1120+0641 \cite{Mortlock:2011} at redshift $z = 7.085$ (or, cosmic age 0.77 Gyr) requires a seed mass $M_\ast > 400 M_{\odot}$). Such value is about 10 times larger than the most recent estimates of the mass of first stars (and, consequently, of their remnant BHs). Serious concerns are raised also by the assumption that accretion occurs at the Eddington rate. The most obvious route to form the early BHs during the first stars era is via the final collapse of sufficiently massive Pop III stars ($M > 30 M_{\odot}$), with the exception of the narrow pair-instability interval $150 < M/M_{\odot} < 260$ \cite{Aoki:2014, Woosley:2015}). A number of studies \cite{Alvarez:2009, Jeon:2014, Johnson:2013, Milosavljevic:2009} have shown, however, that stellar BHs accrete very inefficiently, although under some extreme conditions they might grow super-critically if accretion occurs through a slim disk \cite{Alexander:2014, Madau:2014, Volonteri:2015} because they spend most of their lifetime in low-density regions. Thus, they appear unable to rapidly build the observed $z = 7$ SMBH population. A compelling solution is to start with a significantly larger seed mass. The early proposals for the formation of massive BHs directly from the gas-phase by \cite{Eisenstein:1995, Loeb:1994} have now developed into more complete scenarios \cite{Begelman:2009, Ferrara:2014, Johnson:2013, Latif:2013, Petri:2012, Regan:2009, Yue:2014}. The direct collapse channel invokes the formation of massive BHs in environments where gas gravitational collapse proceeds at sustained rates ($> 0.1 M_{\odot} \ yr^{-1}$). The most promising candidates for these super-accreting environments are dark matter halos with virial temperature (a proxy for mass) $T_{\rm{vir}} \sim 10^4 K$. In these halos primordial gas cools almost isothermally via collisional excitation of the hydrogen 1$s-1$p transition followed by a Ly$\alpha$ photon emission. As the accretion rate is $\propto T_{\rm{vir}}^{7/2}$, this mechanism guarantees extreme accretion rates, $\sim 0.1 - 1 M_{\odot} \ yr^{-1}$, feeding the central object, a central protostellar gas condensation. For efficient feeding, the accretion flow should remain smooth, i.e. it should not fragment. Fragmenta-
tion is in general induced by a softening of the equation of state below the isothermal value $\gamma = 1$, i.e. the gas cools as it gets denser. While $\text{Ly}\alpha$ cooling keeps the gas on the isothermal track, the presence of $\text{H}_2$ molecules, heavy elements or dust provides extra cooling, and induces fragmentation. In primordial gas, one has then to prevent only the formation of $\text{H}_2$. This can be achieved by irradiating the collapsing gas with a sufficiently strong external UV field that photo-dissociates $\text{H}_2$. Such UV radiation field is likely coming from a nearby star-forming galaxy and/or the general collective background radiation from all galaxies present at earlier redshifts. UV radiation effects on larger halos ($T_{\text{vir}} > 10^4 \text{ K}$) are spectacularly different (Agarwal et al. 2012; Dijkstra et al. 2014; Visbal et al. 2014). If $\text{H}_2$ is photo-dissociated by a sufficiently strong LW intensity, $J > J_{\text{crit}}$, hydrogen $\text{Ly}\alpha$ line emission and other processes sustain an almost isothermal collapse preventing gas fragmentation into stellar sub-units. Under these conditions, theoretical works (Begelman et al. 2006; Bromm and Loeb 2003; Regan and Haehnelt 2009; Van Bork et al. 2014; Volonteri et al. 2009) show that the most likely outcome is a rapid ($\approx 1 \text{ Myr}$) formation of a $M_\star = 10^4 - 6 M_\odot$ DCBH. However, this process can occur only as long as the gas is metal-free; otherwise fragmentation and star formation would take place (Ferrara et al. 2013). As DCBH also emit LW radiation, they might stimulate additional DCBH formation (Yue et al. 2016a). Finally, X-rays from DCBH preheat the intergalactic medium, before galaxies reionize it. In conclusion, the key requirements of the mechanism are that the collapsing gas: (a) can be cooled by $\text{Ly}\alpha$ line emission; (b) is metal-free, and (c) is exposed to a UV radiation field. Whether and for how long these conditions can be simultaneously met during cosmic evolution is unknown. In halos meeting conditions (a)-(c) the central gas condensation grows rapidly, turning into a Super Massive Star (SMS). The Kelvin-Helmholtz timescale of these objects is much longer than their accretion time, implying that they grow virtually without emitting light. If during the evolution smooth accretion can be maintained, the SMS grows until it finally encounters a General Relativity instability. This will induce a rapid, direct collapse into a massive BH, i.e. without passing through a genuine stellar phase. These objects, with masses up to $10^6 M_\odot$, are dubbed as DCBHs. If they exist, DCBH would represent the ancestors of SMBH and offer the ultimate solution of the problems plaguing the field. For a more thorough review of DCBH we refer the reader to Latif and Ferrara (2016). Finally, in addition to direct collapse, Begelman and Rees (1978); Kashlinsky and Rees (1983) pointed out that massive seeds may also form as a result of star-star runaway collisions in young ultra-dense Nuclear Star Clusters (for modern versions see, e.g. Lupi et al. 2014; Portegies-Zwart and McMillan 2002).

b. PBHs: The LIGO discovery of GWs from a pair of BHs of similar and unexpected mass ($\sim 30 M_\odot$) (Abbott et al. 2016e) has rekindled suggestions that DM may be composed entirely or predominantly of PBHs (Bird et al. 2016; Clesse and Garcia-Bellido 2016; Kashlinsky 2016). PBHs in the mass range of $\sim 10 - 100 M_\odot$ appear allowed by the available observational data (see discussion in e.g. Carr et al. 2016) and the required abundance would appear in broad agreement with recently claimed abundance of quiescent black hole X-ray binaries in our Galaxy (Tetarenko et al. 2016) and possibly also with the observations of high-velocity clouds near the Galactic Center driven by inactive BHs rapidly plunging into molecular clouds (Takekawa et al. 2017; Yamada et al. 2017). The mass range also is within the cosmological horizon at $0.01 - 1 \text{ GeV}$ when various mechanisms for generating PBHs in the early Universe operate (e.g. Jedamzik 1997). The strongest constraint against them was claimed by Mack et al. (2007); Ricotti (2007); Ricotti et al. (2008) to arise from observations of the lack of distortions of CMB black-body spectrum from COBE FIRAS (Fixsen 2009; Mather et al. 1990), but new recent reanalyses of the accretion efficiency onto PBHs during the pre-recombination era find significantly weaker constraints and argue against ruling out PBHs of $\gtrsim 100 M_\odot$ as the dominant component of DM (Ali-Haämoud and Kamionkowski 2016; Aloni et al. 2016; Horowitz 2016). Numerous other observational tests of this proposal have been suggested (e.g. Brandt 2016; Hawking 1993; Muñoz et al. 2016; Schutz and Liu 2017). If DM is made up of PBHs, the latter would introduce a new Poissonian component to the underlying density field as pointed out first by Meszaros (1974, 1975) prior to development of inflationary paradigm. This component would substantially accelerate the collapse of the first halos and potentially make substantial contribution to the CIB (Kashlinsky 2016). If PBHs are present they would also require theoretical modifications in the processes affecting first stars era objects. Gas at sound speed $c_s$ in halo of velocity dispersion $v_d$ is accreted within the radius $r_{\text{acc}} = G M_{\text{PBH}}/u^2$ with $u^2 = v_d^2 + c_s^2$. The total accretion mass is $M_{\text{acc}} = 2(v_{\text{gas}}/10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3})(M_{\text{PBH}}/30 M_\odot)^3(u/1 \text{ km sec}^{-1})^{-6} M_\odot$. For typical parameters this may be a non-negligible fraction of the minihalo baryons at $\sim M_{\text{acc}}/M_{\text{PBH}} \times \Omega_{\text{CDM}}/\bar{\Omega}_{\text{bar}} \approx M_{\text{PBH}}^{2} u^{-6}$ up to a few percent, but will not increase the PBH mass dramatically. Radiation from accreting PBHs may inhibit $\text{H}_2$ formation and thus influence adjacent star formation and DCBH collapse and evolution as discussed e.g. in Agarwal et al. (2012); Bromm and Loeb (2003); Yue et al. (2014). At the same time, the increased fractional ionization of the cosmic gas produced by PBHs increases the primordial $\text{H}_2$ abundance by up
to two orders of magnitude (Ricotti et al., 2008). The increase of the cosmic Jeans mass due to X-ray heating is negligible for models consistent with the CMB data. Hence, the formation rate of the first galaxies and stars would be enhanced by a population of PBHs. Furthermore, stellar dynamical evolution of PBH minihalo may play important cosmogonical role. The PBHs in minihalos will evolve via secular stellar dynamical effects similar to that discussed in Kasen and Rees (1983) and by loss of energy to GW emissions. Stellar evaporation will lead to a core-halo structure with the isothermal core of radius $r_c$ and $N_{PBH}$ PBHs evolving on Gyr timescales $t_{\text{evap}} \sim N_{PBH} / \ln N_{PBH} \times r_c / v_d$, at constant binding energy, or $v_d \propto N_{PBH}^{-1/2}$, because evaporating PBHs carry zero energy. Formation of massive BHs may be accelerated here. A fraction of PBHs will become binary when GW emission exceeds their kinetic energy ($\sim v_d^2$) (Bird et al., 2016). The fraction of PBHs that will form binaries before evaporation is $f_{\text{PBH binary}} \sim N_{\text{PBH}}^{2/3} \times \frac{10^{-8} \text{pc}}{\text{pc}} \left( \frac{M_{\text{min}}}{30 M_\odot} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{v_d}{1 \text{km sec}^{-1}} \right)^{-18/7}$ (Kashlinsky, 2016). Instead of evaporating the resultant binaries will spiral in to the center due to dynamical friction possibly forming a central large BH contributing to the massive BH formation in early Universe.

4. Impact on/from thermal history of IGM

The IGM is heated by the radiative and, to a smaller extent, mechanical energy deposition by stars, black holes, and possibly dark matter annihilation/decay along cosmic evolution. Heating from these sources occurs primarily in the form of photoionization heating. As photons with energies $h\nu > 13.6 \text{ eV}$ ionize neutral hydrogen atoms, the energy of the photoelectron is gradually thermalized in the gas resulting in a temperature increase. The same process controls the ionization of He atoms, requiring 24.6 eV and 54.4 eV to produce singly- or doubly-ionized ions of He. Contributions from heavier elements are negligible due to their low abundances.

Photoionization largely dominates the thermal budget of the IGM. Shock-heating of the gas produced, e.g. by supernova-driven galactic outflows, is confined in small volumes (Ciardi and Ferrara, 2005; Ciardi et al., 2006) around galaxies, in the so-called circum-galactic medium extending up to about the virial radius of the galaxy host halo. This conclusion is supported by the low level of intergalactic turbulence measured in the IGM (Evoli and Ferrara, 2011; Rauch et al., 2001). Supernova-heated gas might have marginally ($< 10\%$) contributed to reionization by up-scattering of CMB photons inside hot bubbles (Johnson and Khochfar, 2011; Oll, 2001). Additional heating to the IGM can be provided by cosmic rays (Sazonov and Sunyaev, 2013). However, both the production and diffusion of these energetic particles is quite uncertain in the Epoch of Reionization (EoR).

For this reason, the thermal history of the IGM is intimately connected to the process of cosmic reionization. As ionized (H II) regions grow around the sources and merge, progressively filling the intergalactic space, the gas within them is heated to a temperature typical of ionized regions, i.e. in the range $(1 - 30) \times 10^4 \text{ K}$. The characteristic volume of these “bubbles” is given by the classical Strömgren formula $V = \bar{n}_e / \alpha_{\text{R}} \bar{n}_e^2$, where $\bar{n}_e$ is the source ionizing photon rate, $\alpha_{\text{R}} = 2.6 \times 10^{-13} T_{\text{4}}^{-1/2}$ is the Case B recombination rate of hydrogen, and $\bar{n}_e(z)$ is the mean IGM electron density at the relevant redshift. If the sources have hard spectra, containing significant amounts of helium ionizing radiation, analogous He I and He II spheres (typically embedded in the H one) will be produced. These bubbles form the typical “patchy” structure characterizing the reionization process, in which H I is progressively destroyed.

Outside H II regions the IGM remains largely neutral. However, if some X-ray emitting sources like accreting BHs, high-mass X-ray binaries, annihilating/decaying dark matter, or hot emitting plasma do exist, the IGM can be heated well outside H II regions. This is possible because the comoving mean free path, $\lambda_X$, of an X-ray photon of energy $E_X \approx (E_X/13.6 \text{ eV})^{2.6}$ times longer than that of UV photons

$$\lambda_X = 20 \bar{x}_{\text{HI}} \left( \frac{E_X}{0.3 \text{ keV}} \right)^{2.6} \left( \frac{1 + z}{10} \right)^{-2} \text{Mpc},$$

where $\bar{x}_{\text{HI}}$ is the IGM mean neutral fraction. Such long mean free path reduces the patchiness of the ionized gas, and results in a more uniform ionization field.

In addition, X-ray ionization is often incomplete, with $\bar{x}_{\text{HI}} \approx 10 - 30\%$, as when $\bar{x}_{\text{HI}}$ exceeds a few percent, most of the photon energy is deposited by secondary electrons (Shull and van Steenberg, 1983; Valdés and Ferrara, 2008) in the form of heat. The X-ray illumination therefore produce very extended patches of mostly neutral gas heated to temperatures of $\approx 1000 \text{K}$ in which much smaller H II regions are embedded.

The temperature evolution of the neutral IGM component is of great interest for the H I 21 cm redshifted tomography of the IGM (Mesinger et al., 2013). Lacking so far sensitive measurements of such signal (this situation is bound to change with the advent of the Square Kilometer Array), only weak lower bounds to the IGM spin temperature – a good proxy of the kinetic temperature as the two are efficiently coupled by the Wouthuysen-Field effect – can be derived: $T_s > 6 \text{K}$ at $z = 8.4$ (Greig and Mesinger, 2016). See review by Furlanetto et al. (2006). Hence, here we will concentrate on the temperature of the ionized gas during the reionization process.

The equation describing the IGM kinetic temperature $T$ at mean density (where temperature measurements are
available) is (Theuns et al., 2002):
\[
\frac{1}{T} \frac{dT}{dt} = -2H + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{d\mu}{dt} + \frac{2\mu}{3k_BT} \frac{dQ}{dt},
\]
(9)
where $H$ is the Hubble parameter, $k_B$ Boltzmann’s constant, $\mu$ the mean molecular weight, and $Q$ is the effective (heating - cooling) radiative cooling rate. The latter includes photo-electric heating, and cooling via recombination, excitation, inverse Compton scattering, collisional ionization, and bremsstrahlung. The second term on the right hand side of the above is relatively unimportant, accounting for the change in the number of particles in the thermal bath; it becomes marginally important (few percent level) only during He II reionization.

Note that in the absence of heating the IGM temperature evolution would follow a purely adiabatic evolution imposed by the Hubble expansion (first term on the left side of eq. 9), corresponding to $T$ $\propto$ $(1 + z)^2$. Adiabatic expansion remains the dominant cooling mechanism for gas around the cosmic mean, $\bar{n} \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^{-3}$$(1+z)^3$; however, at $z > 7$ the contribution of inverse Compton cooling off CMB electrons cannot be neglected.

As the above equation depends on the number of particles, and hence on the ionization state of the gas, its solution requires a derivation of $x_e$. This is usually done by balancing the radiation rate from all the sources, $\Gamma$, with the recombination rate. For hydrogen, such equation in equilibrium is simply $n_H \Gamma = n_H^2 \alpha_B(T)$; similar equations hold for the different ionization stages of He.

As the photoionization timescale ($\approx \Gamma^{-1}$) is much shorter than the cooling timescale ($H^{-1}$), the implicit assumption of ionization equilibrium is well justified.

A general expression for $\Gamma$ valid for both H and He is:
\[
\Gamma_i = c \int_{\nu_{i0}}^{\infty} d\nu \frac{\nu}{h\nu} a_i^0, \tag{10}
\]
where $a_i^0$ is the photoelectric cross section of the species $i = \text{H, He}$, $\nu_{i0}$ is the photoionization threshold frequency of species $i$, and $u_\nu$ is the specific energy density of the UV background. The specific energy density is related to the specific intensity of the radiation by $u_\nu = 4\pi J_\nu/c$.

The most standard approach is to adopt the new (Haardt and Madau, 2012) prescription for the UV background intensity evolution, and a spectral shape of the form $J_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$. The power index depends on the spectra of sources considered ($\alpha_s$) and the filtering due to radiative transfer effects in the Lyα forest whose logarithmic slope of the column-density distribution is $\beta = 1.3 \pm 0.2$. Then, $\alpha = \alpha_s + 3(\beta - 1)$, with $\alpha_s = 0.5$–$1.0$ for stellar sources, and $\alpha_s = 1.5 \pm 0.2$ for quasars. The considerable uncertainty in $\alpha$ does not represent a major problem as the IGM temperature sensitivity to this parameter is limited by the optically thin conditions prevailing in the IGM. Then the photoheating rate $dQ/dt \propto (2 + \alpha)^{-1}$ varies at most by a factor of 2, corresponding to an even smaller temperature change as $T \approx (dQ/dt)^{0.6}$.

Thus, once the initial temperature of the gas, $T_i$, at some fiducial $z_i$ is assigned, the thermal history can be computed straightforwardly. Most models take $z_i$ as the redshift of reionization, thus postulating that reionization is instantaneous. While this is known not to be the case, such assumption is justified if eq. 9 is thought to describe the evolution of a Lagrangian fluid element that has been engulfed by an expanding H II region at $z_i$.

The outcomes of such models are used to interpret the temperature measurements obtained from the Lyα forest data in quasar absorption line experiments. These measurements became available around the beginning of this century (McDonald et al., 2003; Ricotti et al., 2004; Schaye et al., 2000). Although uncertain, such measurements allowed to conclude that the IGM temperature at the mean density, $T_0$, at $z \approx 3$ was too high to be consistent with the heat input produced by hydrogen reionization alone. The most popular solution to this problem involved extra-heating due to He II reionization occurring around $z = 3$ (Hui and Haiman, 2003), an idea also supported by the tentative (and debated) detection of a bump in the $T_0$ evolution located at that epoch.

The situation has become now clearer with the renewed interest in the IGM thermal history sparked by the new observations by Becker et al. (2011) (see also Rudie et al., 2012). The key advance has been to move away from the uncertain determination of $T$ at $\rho = \bar{\rho}$, and measure it at a critical density $\rho_*$ at which the Lyα Gunn-Peterson optical depth $\tau \approx 1$. In this regime, the Lyα forest lines are most sensitive to temperature. The difficulty is that to transform $T_i \equiv T(\rho_*)$ into the usually quoted $T_0$ one needs to know the adiabatic index, $\gamma$, entering the equation of state $T(\rho) = T(\rho_*)^{\gamma - 1}$. These data, complemented by more recent ones by Boera et al. (2014), have allowed to put together a high-quality sample extending in the range $1.6 < z < 4.8$. The new data confirm the peak at $z \approx 3.1$, where $T_0 \approx 2 \times 10^4$ K. Such feature is most straightforwardly interpreted with the extra heating provided by He II reionization, although models involving intergalactic absorption of TeV blazars (Broderick et al., 2012; Puchwein et al., 2012) or heating from cosmic rays (Lacki, 2015) have been suggested as alternative explanations. Note that in the absence of He II reionization heating, hydrogen reionization would have left the IGM at a much lower temperature ($\approx 5000$ K) at $z = 3$.

The above data can also constrain the temperature of the IGM in the EoR (Bolton et al., 2012; Furlanetto and Oh, 2009; Lidz and Malloy, 2014). The models must be anchored to the highest $z$ (Becker et al., 2011) datapoints, which imply that at $z = 4.8$ the IGM temperature was $(6.5 \pm 1.5) \times 10^4$ K. Then one varies the value of $z_i$ and $T_i$ and selects models that predict values within the error bars. As already mentioned, UV background spectral index variation have only minor effects on the thermal evolution. One can also explore slightly
more sophisticated models in which different parcels of gas are heated at different temperature in a given reionization redshift span \((\Delta z_i, \Delta T_i)\), and then average over the results. Additional models [Bolton et al., 2014] calibrate their predictions on numerical simulations to derive the evolution of \(\gamma\).

In brief, due to the rapid cooling imposed by adiabatic expansion which forces the temperature to set onto an asymptotic value, a large degeneracy exists among many reionization models with different \((\Delta z_i, \Delta T_i)\). However, some extreme models in which hydrogen reionization is either (i) very short \(\Delta z_i \leq 3\) and ends at \(z = 6\), or (ii) produces too high (> 25000K) temperatures in the ionized gas are excluded as the gas cannot timely cool to the measured temperatures at \(z = 4.8\). It appears that the temperature value which is consistent with the largest number of thermal histories, also including those in which reionization can start earlier than \(z = 9\), is \(T = 2 \times 10^4\)K.

### 5. Sunyaev-Zeldovich contributions and imprints

Free electrons in the IGM resulting from reionization produce CMB temperature anisotropies via the Sunyaev-Zeldovich (SZ) effect. The two main contributions to this effect are the anisotropies produced by the thermal motion of electrons, known as thermal SZ (TSZ) [Sunyaev and Zeldovich, 1972] and those produced by their peculiar motion, termed kinematic SZ (KSZ) [Sunyaev and Zeldovich, 1980]. The CMB temperature anisotropies generated by the ionized gas in the direction \(\hat{n}\) are

\[
\frac{\Delta T_{SZ}(\hat{n})}{T_{\text{CMB}}} = \int \left[ G(x) \frac{k_B T_e}{m_e c^2} \frac{\hat{v}_e \cdot \hat{n}}{c} \right] d\tau_e \frac{dz}{dz} \tag{11}
\]

where \(m_e\), \(T_e\), \(\hat{v}_e\) are the electron mass, temperature and peculiar velocity. The TSZ has a characteristic frequency dependence \(G(x) = x\coth(x/2) - 4\) with \(x = h\nu/k_B T_{\text{CMB}}\); ignoring relativistic corrections \(G(x) \approx -2\) below \(~217\) GHz, vanishes at \(217\) GHz and goes positive at higher \(\nu\).

At the physical conditions expected to hold during reionization, the temperature would be \(T_e \approx 10^4\)K and the KSZ effect would be about three orders of magnitude larger than the TSZ contribution. Nevertheless, the TSZ effect offers a direct probe to the physical conditions of the ionized gas. Cross-correlation of CMB temperature anisotropies with CIB fluctuations could provide a direct measurement of the temperature of the IGM during reionization [Atro-Baraneda and Kashlinskii, 2014]: the subdominant TSZ component can be isolated in the presence of multi-frequency CMB maps, when frequency differencing remove the primary CMB and any KSZ components. The potentially measurable TSZ component carries information on the condition of the IGM at the pre-reionization epochs being proportional to the product of \(\tau_e\) and \(T_e\) integrated along the line-of-sight.

In the KSZ effect we can distinguish “homogeneous” linear [Vishniac, 1987] and non-linear [Hu, 2000] contributions due to the peculiar motion of baryons in a completely ionized IGM and the “patchy” anisotropies generated by peculiar motions when the ionization fraction varies in space [Aghanim et al. 1996; Gruninov and Hu, 1998; Knox et al., 1998; Mesinger et al., 2012]. As the first stars and BHs start producing UV photons, they generate ionization spheres around them. Before those spheres merge, the Universe would be ionized in patches generating KSZ anisotropies of \((\Delta T/T_{\text{CMB}}) \sim \tau_e(v_{\text{rms}}/c)^2(1 + z_{\text{reion}})^3/(4 \Delta z_{\text{reion}})^{1/2}\), where \(v_{\text{rms}}\) is the rms peculiar velocity, \(\theta\) the angular scale subtended by the ionized patches, and \(\Delta z_{\text{reion}}\) is the redshift duration of the patchy phase. Thus, the patchy component can be used to set an upper limit on the duration of reionization [Zahn et al., 2012]. Munshi et al. (2016) discuss how to separate it from the homogeneous contribution.

Numerical radiation-hydrodynamical simulations estimate an amplitude \(\ell(\ell + 1)C^{\text{KSZ}}/2\pi\ell = 3000 \sim 0.6 \sim 2.8 \mu K^2 \) at \(\ell = 3000\) [Battaglia et al., 2013]. Data from Planck, ACT and SPT-SZ provide consistent constraints: George et al. (2013) found \(\ell(\ell + 1)C^{\text{KSZ}}/2\pi\ell = 3000 < 3.3 \mu K^2\) at the 95% confidence level and translated this upper limit into a constraint on the duration of the period when the electron fraction grows from 20% to 99% of \(\Delta z < 5.4\) also at the 95% confidence level. Lower ionization fractions are largely made up of ionized regions too small to be probed by the SPT data [George et al., 2013]. Furthermore, if star formation is suppressed in low mass dwarf galaxies and minihalos located in ionized or LW-dissociated halos, then more extended reionization histories are compatible with upper limits on the KSZ power spectrum [Park and Ricotti, 2013]. A similar constraint was derived from the UV luminosity functions of star forming galaxies at \(z = 6\) to 10. Ishigaki et al. (2017) concluded that the redshift interval where the ionization fraction grows from 0.1 to 0.99 was \(\Delta z = 4.1 \pm 1.7\).

### 6. Sub-mm first dust emission

Dust grains are a fundamental constituent of the interstellar medium (ISM) of galaxies. A large fraction (> 50%) in the Milky Way) of the heavy elements produced by nucleosynthetic processes in stellar interiors can be locked into these solid particles. Most relevant here, they efficiently absorb optical/ultraviolet (UV) stellar light, by which they are heated, and re-emit this energy as longer (FIR/sub-mm) wavelength radiation that can freely escape from the galaxy. It is then natural to expect a tight relation between the UV “deficit” and the IR excess produced by this process.

The presence of dust at high \((z \geq 6)\) redshift implies that conventional dust sources (AGB and evolved stars) are not the dominant contributors. This is because their...
evolutionary timescales are close to or exceed the Hubble time at that epoch (\(\approx 1 \text{ Gyr}\)). Following the original proposal by [Todini and Ferrara, 2001], it is now believed that the first cosmic dust were formed in the ejecta of supernovae ending the evolution of much more fast-evolving massive stars [Bianchi and Schneider, 2007; Gall et al., 2011; Hirashita and Ferrara, 2002; Nozawa et al., 2007]. For similar reasons the standard grain growth acting on grains during their residence time in molecular clouds of contemporary galaxies cannot increase the amount of dust by considerable amounts [Ferrara et al., 2016]. Thus, albeit quasar host galaxies show remarkably high dust masses [Beelen et al., 2008; Michalowski et al., 2010], in general the dust/gas ratio towards high-z rapidly decreases [Dunlop, 2013] as also witnessed by the observed steepening of early galaxies UV spectra. This does not come as a complete surprise given that the average metallicity of the Universe increases with time. Ferrara et al. (1999) (for a recent calculation see da Cunha et al., 2013) noticed another important feature of high-z dust. Due to the redshift increase of CMB temperature, \(T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.725(1+z)\) K, the FIR signal from dust becomes increasingly swamped by the CMB. At \(z = 6\), for example, \(T_{\text{CMB}} = 19\text{K}\); as usual dust temperatures in the diffuse ISM of galaxies are in the range 20–40 K, the effect cannot be neglected. Even more dramatic, if not complete, might be the suppression of the signal from dust in dense regions (e.g. molecular clouds) where the dust is in thermal equilibrium with the CMB.

The superb sensitivity of the ALMA interferometry has allowed detection of the FIR signal of a handful of Lyman Break Galaxies (LBGs) for which HST rest-frame UV photometry (and hence the UV slope \(\propto \lambda^\beta\) determination) by [Capak et al., 2015], reporting a puzzling deviation of detected LBGs from the more local infrared excess (IRX) vs \(\beta\) relation [Meurer et al., 1999]. In practice, these galaxies, although characterized by relatively flat \(\beta \approx -1\) values, indicative of non-negligible dust attenuation, show a noticeable FIR deficit, i.e. they are relatively “FIR-dark”.

Such suggested deficit has been strongly reinforced by an even more recent report by the ASPECS survey [Bouwens et al., 2016]. The authors have performed deep 1.2 mm-continuum observations of the Hubble Ultra Deep Field (HUDF) to probe dust-enshrouded star formation from 330 LBGs spanning the redshift range \(z = 2–10\). The striking result is that the expectation from the Meurer IRX-\(\beta\) relation at \(z = 4\) was to detect at least 35 galaxies. Instead, the experiment only provided 6 tentative detections (in the most massive galaxies of the sample). Clearly, redshift evolution either of the dust temperature and/or mass must play a key role.

An exception to the above scenario is the puzzling case of A1689-zD1 [Knudsen et al., 2010; Watson et al., 2013], a \(z = 7.5 \pm 0.2\) gravitationally-lensed LBG where the thermal dust emission has been detected by ALMA. The large FIR flux \(L_{\text{FIR}} = (6.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{10} \text{ L}_\odot\) indicates considerable dust amounts, consistent with a Milky Way dust-to-gas ratio. A similar result has been obtained by [Laporte et al., 2017] for the \(z \approx 8 \text{ Y-band dropout}\) galaxy, A2744 YD4. The ALMA 1 mm detection can be interpreted to arise from dust thermal emission, with an estimated dust mass of \(6 \times 10^5 M_\odot\). How this large dust amount formed so quickly is a challenging question for the future.

Overall, the CIB level produced by the dust components from early times is generally expected to be small [De Rossi and Bromm, 2017] in comparison to the mean CIB detected at these wavelengths [Fixsen et al., 1998; Puget et al., 1990]. It is unlikely to be detectable in direct measurements, but with enough dust may be isolated in some suitably constructed cross-correlation studies.

7. Reconstructing emission history via Lyman tomography

It is important also to isolate the CIB production as a function of redshift. Different cosmogonical models predict different modes of evolution at various high \(z\) including the range of epochs that cannot be probed even after the advent of the JWST. Kashlinsky et al. (2015a,b) proposed a methodology to reconstruct CIB contributions by \(z\) using the Lyman tomography in the presence of two adjacent, non-overlapping filters at wavelengths \(\lambda_2 > \lambda_1\). The discussion assumes that there are no emissions below some Lyman-cutoff wavelength \(\lambda_{\text{Ly, cutoff}}\), which corresponds to Ly- \(\alpha\) at rest 0.1216\(\mu\)m when reprocessing is done by the halo H I, or Ly-continuum (0.0912\(\mu\)m) otherwise [Santos et al., 2002]. Such cutoff is fundamentally different from situations such as the Balmer break, where emissions, albeit of different amplitudes, exist on both sides of the wavelength and which gets washed out in the CIB integrations over different \(z\).

The projected CIB auto-power is related to the underlying \(P_{3D}\) of the sources by the relativistic Limber equation

\[ g^2 P_{2z} = \frac{q^2 P_2(q, < z_{\text{Ly}}(\lambda_2))}{2\pi} \int_{z_{\text{Ly}}(\lambda_2)}^{z_{\text{Ly}}(\lambda_1)} dF_{\lambda_1}(\zeta) \frac{dF_{\lambda_2}(\zeta)}{d\zeta} \Delta z^2 (q d_A^{-1} ; z) dz \]  

(12)

At \(\lambda_2 > \lambda_1\), we can write eq. 12 as:

\[ g^2 P_{2z}(q, < z_{\text{Ly}}(\lambda_2)) = \frac{q^2}{2\pi} \int_{z_{\text{Ly}}(\lambda_2)}^{z_{\text{Ly}}(\lambda_1)} dF_{\lambda_2}(\zeta) \frac{dF_{\lambda_2}(\zeta)}{d\zeta} \Delta z^2 (q d_A^{-1} ; z) dz \]

(13)

\[ P_{\Delta z} \] above probes emissions spanning \(\Delta z\) at \(z_{\text{Ly}}(\lambda_1) < z < z_{\text{Ly}}(\lambda_2)\) and arises from populations inaccessible to
λ₁, but present at λ₂. Here \( P₁, P₂ \) are auto-power spectra at the adjacent bands λ₁, λ₂ with coherence \( C₁₂ = \frac{P₁²}{P₁ P₂} \).

One would like to isolate the power, \( P₂∆x \), arising from luminous sources between \( z_L(λ₁) \) and \( z_L(λ₂) \). Rewriting (13) leads to CIB fluctuation generated over \( z_L(λ₁) < z < z_L(λ₂) \) as follows:

\[
\frac{q^2 P_{∆x}(q)}{2π} = \left[ \frac{q^2 P₂}{2π P₁} - \frac{P₁²}{P₁ P₂} \right]_{\text{data}} + \frac{q^2 P_{sys}}{2π} \tag{14}
\]

where the first rhs term is fully given by the data and the last term is driven by incoherence of the sources at the two adjacent bands which occupy the same span of redshifts \( z < z_L(λ₁) \):

\[
\frac{q^2 P_{sys}}{2π} = \left[ \frac{C₁₂(q, z < z_L(λ₁)) - 1}{C₁₂(q, z < z_L(λ₂))} \right] \times \left[ \frac{q^2 P₁²}{2π P₁} \right]_{\text{data}} \leq 0 \tag{15}
\]

Subscript “data” refers to directly measurable quantities. \( P_{sys} \leq 0 \) because \( C \leq 1 \) and the measurable quantity \( (P₂ - \frac{P₁²}{P₂}) \) sets a strict upper limit on the CIB fluctuations arising at \( z_L(λ₁) < z < z_L(λ₂) \).

E. New diffuse sources at intermediate and low \( z \)

The possibility of non-negligible CIB fluctuations arising at low to intermediate \( z \) from a “missing light” associated with galaxy populations but distributed in diffuse structures around masked sources has been proposed by Cooray et al. (2012b). This “missing light” is termed the intrahalo light (IHL) which would permeate the Universe. IHL is to be distinguished from “intracluster light” (ICL; Lin and Mohr, 2004; Mihos, 2016; Mihos et al., 2005), associated with clusters of galaxies, which in turn are removed/isolated in CIB fluctuations studies; much of the ICL is further linked to extended halos of brightest cluster galaxies. The mean luminosity of an IHL contributing halo of mass \( M \) is assumed to be modeled at rest \( λ \) as

\[
δ₁(M, z) = f_{\text{IHL}}(M) \left( \frac{L_{2.2μm}(M)}{M} \right) (1 + z)^{n} \tag{16}
\]

with \( F_{λ} \) being the SED of the IHL component, normalized to unity at 2.2 \( μm \) and assumed to be the same as that of old red stellar populations of elliptical galaxies. The fraction of the halo light stripped away as IHL is modeled as \( f_{\text{IHL}} = A_f (M/10^{12}M_☉)^{β} \) and the free parameters \((α, β, A_f)\) are adjusted to fit CIB observations. The parental halo luminosity is normalized per ICL observations of Lin et al. (2004) to be \( L_{2.2μm}(M) = 5.6 \times 10^{12}(M/2.7 \times 10^{14}M_☉)^{0.7}L_☉ \). The angular power spectrum of the IHL is then calculated from the 1-halo term associated with the halo assumed to follow the NFW profile (Navarro et al., 1997, NFW), and a 2-halo term reflecting the underlying clustering. The halo number density is derived from the underlying ΛCDM hierarchy via a Sheth and Tormen (2002) variant of the Press-Schechter prescription.

A very generic prediction of the IHL model is that the CIB excess there is produced by 1) the same types of populations as in known galaxies, that 2) are located at \( z \ll 10 \) and hence their IHL component is coherent with the diffuse light at visible wavelengths, and 3) have no enhanced BH activity of populations. Because the IHL-producing stellar populations have normal Salpeter-type IMF with emissions dominated by normal stars, to produce the same CIB levels one would need to convert more baryons than from very massive stars radiating close to the maximal efficiency of H-burning. Additionally, as discussed in Helgason et al. (2014, Sec.4.3) the light-to-mass ratio of the IHL is calibrated based on intracluster light (at \( 2.7 \times 10^{14}M_☉ \)), and extrapolated as a power-law down to much lower mass scales. The bulk of the IHL is thus associated with low-mass systems so that it requires low-mass systems to host IHL exceeding their own stellar light. This results in IHL comparable to the integrated energy produced by the entire galaxy populations.

A possibility also exists of CIB contributions at low \( z \) from a new particle decay Bond et al. (1986), Gong et al. (2015), Kohri and Kodama (2017).

V. CURRENT MEASUREMENTS AND DATASETS

While mean levels of CIB are generally overwhelmed by foregrounds, Kashlinsky et al. (1996a) noted that the same is not true for fluctuations and have pioneered the use of fluctuations to study CIB, applying it to DIRBE (Kashlinsky et al., 1996a; Kashlinsky and Odenwald, 2000). The low angular resolution (0.7°) of the DIRBE beam did not allow removal of many sources and restricted the probing of the net CIB fluctuation levels at the DIRBE bands from 1.25 to 240 \( μm \). Further development came with deep 2MASS study of higher angular resolution, but ground-based instrument, where Kashlinsky et al. (2002); Odenwald et al. (2003) developed studies of source-subtracted CIB to isolate CIB fluctuations at 1.1, 1.6, and 2.2 \( μm \) from galaxies fainter than \( m_{AB} \sim 20–21 \). The next significant step was made using the IRAC instrument (Fazio et al., 2004b) onboard Spitzer, where Kashlinsky et al. (2007) identified significant source-subtracted CIB fluctuations at 3.6 and 4.5 \( μm \), after subtracting known sources to deeper levels, which exceed the contribution from remaining known galaxies. This signal was confirmed with numerous follow-up studies. AKARI-based analysis by Matsumoto et al. (2011) showed consistency with the IRAC measurements, but also identified significant source-subtracted CIB fluctuations at 2.4 \( μm \). NICMOS-based study Thompson et al. (2007b) reached well beyond the depth of the 2MASS CIB results, but the shallower CIBER results at 1.1, 1.6 \( μm \) (Zemcov et al., 2014b) conflict both 2MASS and NICMOS.

The currently available results are discussed below af-
ter summarizing the requirements for probing CIB fluctuation component as faint as that expected from early sources. While there is currently overall agreement about the source-subtracted CIB signal identified at 2–5 µm, the various measurements, discussed below, at 1–2 µm led to currently conflicting and mutually exclusive measurements; hence the division of the discussion in this section. Fig. 10 shows the wavelength range of the filters employed in the data analyses discussed below.

A. Requirements for probing source-subtracted CIB fluctuations from new populations

CIB science goals are driven by the need to reliably uncover, via CIB fluctuations, populations which cannot be resolved because they are fainter than the confusion limit of the present-day instruments. Arendt et al. (2010) discuss in detail the exhaustive search for systematics in the analysis of the deep Spitzer data placing particular emphasis on the map-making algorithms and understanding of the instruments. There are three main requirements, broken down into detail below: I) Maps must be prepared that isolate the source-subtracted CIB fluctuations down to the faintest levels such as expected from first stars era; II) tools used to analyze the processed (and clipped) data must properly evaluate random and systematic contributions to large scale fluctuations; and III) a robust cosmological interpretation of the results must demonstrate certain characteristics and rule out others.

• I. Map assembly: 1. Maps of diffuse emission should be constructed carefully, removing artifacts well below the expected cosmological signal. In practice, this means that the maps should not have any structure at levels above $\delta F \sim 0.01$ nW m$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ at arcminute scales at the IRAC wavelengths. 2. No correlations should be introduced when constructing the maps. 3. In constructing the maps, one should avoid spatial filters that may remove the very populations which are in the confusion noise and whose signal is to be identified. 4. Because of temporal variations of the zodiacal light, data should be collected in as short time intervals as possible.

• II. Analysis tools: 5. Both the amplitude and the power spectrum of the instrument noise must be estimated from the data (e.g., time differenced A–B maps). This is particularly necessary for shot noise estimates. 6. If sources are removed from the images via modeling and subtraction, the source model should accurately account for extended low surface brightness emission, i.e. the low level wings of the PSF and the intrinsic brightness profile of extended galaxies. The modeling should not be pushed so deep that it alters the random noise distribution of the measurements. 7. The effects on the power spectrum caused by masking sources need to be considered carefully. If the fraction of removed pixels is small (typically $\lesssim 30\%$) one can apply FTs; otherwise the correlation function must be evaluated to demonstrate explicitly that the power spectra recovered are consistent with the computed correlation functions. 8. The beam must be reconstructed and its large and small-scale properties understood.

• III. Interpretation: 9. A true cosmological signal must be demonstrated to be isotropic on the sky. 10. End-to-end simulations must be done to test that no artifacts mimic the signal found. 11. Foreground contributions must be evaluated: Galactic ISM (cirrus) can be extrapolated from locations and wavelengths where it is brighter; zodiacal emission can be measured via its temporal changes at different epochs.

B. Measurements at 2–5 µm

First measurements here were motivated by theoretical suggestions of Cooray et al. (2004); Kashlinsky et al. (2004) of a measurable CIB fluctuation signal, in certain configurations, that arises from first stars era. While Cooray et al. (2004) proposed a configuration of wide fields with relatively shallow depth, Kashlinsky et al. (2004) suggested analyzing deep relatively small regions, where more galaxies can be removed but the angular scales are more limited. Kashlinsky (2005a) identified a first suitable dataset from early Spitzer IRAC observations (Barmby et al. 2004) and laid the ground for future work by establishing the required machinery and identifying for the first time a source-subtracted CIB fluctuation component. This component exceeded that from remaining known ("ordinary") galaxies and was proposed to originate in sources from the first stars era.
1. Spitzer

NASA’s Spitzer Space Telescope is a 0.85m diameter infrared telescope launched in 2003 on an Earth-trailing orbit (Werner et al., 2004). Its CIB results have been obtained with the InfraRed Array Camera (IRAC) (Fazio et al., 2004b), which covered 4 channels at 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, 8 µm when it operated in the cryogenic regime until mid-2009. After its cryogen was exhausted it continued operating in a warm phase at 3.6 and 4.5 µm.

a. Self-calibration and map processing were established as described in Arendt et al. (2010). A method for self-calibration intended to make optimal use of IR imaging data with minimal (or no) need for separate calibration data was outlined by Fixsen et al. (2000). The procedure essentially calculates a least-squares fit between the data and a model of the data. The data model includes parameters describing the astronomical sky (e.g. the intensity at each pixel in an image of the observed field), and various detector parameters (e.g. gain factors and offsets for each pixel of the detector). An example of the use of a more complex data model is provided by Arendt et al. (2002). The self-calibration procedure relies on use of an observing strategy that allows the determination of the model parameters for the sky, without degeneracies (Arendt et al., 2000). Standard Spitzer/IRAC observations are designed with this in mind, through the use of relatively large scale and highly varied dither patterns.

Self-calibration has proved beneficial for the analysis of IRAC data, because it can identify and remove instrumental artifacts that are not fully corrected in IRAC’s standard basic calibrated data (BCD). The extensive verification of the self-calibration processing is provided by Arendt et al. (2010). Figure 11 (from that work) illustrates the improvement that self-calibration can make in the removal of large-scale background variations induced by the observing strategy and mosaicking procedures.

b. Results obtained with Spitzer IRAC instrument are discussed below in chronological order.

The analysis of early Spitzer observations of the QSO 1700+6416 field by Kashlinsky et al. (2003) established the methodology later used for such CIB studies and provided first indication of significant source-subtracted CIB fluctuations. The QSO1700 field encompassed 5′ × 10′ (1 × 2 IRAC FoVs) integrated over ∼8 hrs/pix. After applying self-calibration to assemble maps from individual AORs, the assembled maps at 3.5, 4.5, 5.8 and 8 µm were processed by iteratively 1) clipping off resolved sources and 2) removing outer parts of sources via a suitably modified CLEAN algorithm (Arendt et al., 2010; Högbom, 1974) out to a given level of the remaining shot-noise (and a given factor above the noise power) to produce the diffuse flux maps suitable for CIB study.

The clipped pixels were filled with δF = 0 as originally done in Kashlinsky et al. (2002); Odenwald et al. (2003) so as not to add power per Parseval theorem. The clipped fraction of the map was ≤25%. The power spectrum of the noise was computed using FFTs from the time-differented data, (A − B), and the CIB power was evaluated as P = PA+B − PA−B. The clipping was then allowed to run deeper, removing up to ∼75% of the map. Then the correlation function was evaluated instead and was found to remain consistent in amplitude and shape with the CIB power computed from FFTs at the ≤25% clipping as shown in the Supplementary Information of Kashlinsky et al. (2003). Foreground emission contributions to the measured power were found to be well below the identified fluctuation except at 8µm, where the signal was consistent with being dominated by Galactic cirrus emissions. However, the diffuse maps at 3.6 and 4.5 µm, on the one hand, and 8 µm on the other were found correlated at a weak, but statistically significant level suggesting that the populations contributing to the diffuse power at the former wavelengths are also present.
at the latter. There was no correlation between the removed sources and the residual diffuse flux maps. The power from remaining known galaxies reproduced well the shot-noise at small angular scales, but was shown to be well below the identified CIB power from clustering at $\gtrsim 20''$. It was suggested there that the clustering arises in new populations, posited to be at the first stars era.

After a set of new deeper Spitzer measurements became available through the significantly deeper GOODS observing program at $\simeq 24$ hr/pix (Dickinson 2008), Kashlinsky et al. (2007b) analyzed the data in four parts of sky probing source-subtracted CIB fluctuations from maps of $10' \times 10'$. Despite the deeper shot-noise levels (see Table II), they identified a similar CIB clustering component extending the measurements to larger angular scales. Importantly, that study allowed to probe potential systematics better: the deeper observations were from two distinct epochs separated by 6 months, when each epoch was still sufficiently deep ($\sim 12$ hr/pix) but when the IRAC detectors were rotated by 180° with respect to the previous epoch. Reanalysis used in Kashlinsky et al. (2007a) used finer pixelization (0.6'' instead of 1.2'') resulting in a larger fraction of the sky, $f_{sky}$, left for the power spectrum computation. If the diffuse fluctuation signal originated from the detectors, it would have been different at the two different orientations contrary to what was observed. Using the new observations at much lower shot-noise levels Kashlinsky et al. (2007d) have further refined the high-$z$ interpretation of the CIB signal quantifying the high-$z$ luminosity density and the typical source fluxes in that case.

Using an alternative scheme for map production and analysis, Cooray et al. (2007, also Chary et al. (2008) claimed that the power spectrum drops with additional masking from shorter band data and the signal originates in faint blue galaxies located at the peak of star formation and not associated with first stars era. However, their maps had only $20-30\%$ of the sky remain for FT and Kashlinsky (2007) showed that - in their data - the correlation function is computed for such heavily masked maps instead, the signal remains the same within the statistical uncertainties. After adopting the self-calibration scheme of Arendt et al. (2010) that claim appears to have been abandoned (Cooray et al. 2012b). Kashlinsky et al. (2007a) found no correlations between the source-subtracted CIB fluctuations from clustering identified in Spitzer data and very faint galaxies found in visible with HST/ACS out to 0.9 $\mu m$ and $m_{AB} \gtrsim 28$.

The above analyses were all done for the Spitzer cryogenic mission, which ended in 2009 after the telescope’s supply of cryogen was exhausted. In the warm Spitzer mission only IRAC’s channels at 3.6 and 4.5 $\mu m$ remained operational. During the warm mission observation, the SEDS observing program (Ashby et al., 2013) supplied new data that, while at depth of 12-13 hrs/pix were intermediate between the QSO1700 and GOODS observations, covered substantially larger areas of the sky. Kashlinsky et al. (2012) have processed the suitably covered areas extending, for the time, the measurements to $\sim 1''$ where the signal remained consistent with a high-$z$ population of sources as posited in the original analysis of Kashlinsky et al. (2003). It was shown that, correcting a high-$z$ $\Lambda$CDM power template for masking effects iteratively, the measured power is well reproduced by a population clustered in that manner.

Fig. 12 shows the CIB fluctuation data from seven different regions in the sky analyzed at the shot-noise level of the QSO1700 field. At similar shot-noise levels the signal appears isotropically distributed on the sky, consistent with its cosmological origin.
FIG. 14 From Kashlinsky et al. (2012). Field-averaged CIB fluctuations at 3.6, 4.5 μm and the cross-power spectrum. Dotted line shows the upper limit on CIB fluctuations from remaining known galaxies derived in Kashlinsky et al. (2005). Black solid line is the contribution of the remaining ordinary galaxies per Sullivan et al. (2007) which clearly lies beneath the data contrary to the text there. Shaded areas show the reconstructed residual fluctuations from Helgason et al. (2012) due to ordinary galaxies. The dashed line shows the shot-noise contribution: 

\[ P_{SN} = 57.5 \text{nJy-nW/m}^2/\text{sr} \] (or \( 4.8 \times 10^{-11} \text{nW/m}^2/\text{sr} \)) at 3.6 μm and \( P_{SN} = 31.5 \text{nJy-nW/m}^2/\text{sr} \) (2.2 \( \times 10^{-11} \text{nW/m}^2/\text{sr} \)) at 4.5 μm. Blue solid line corresponds to the high-\( z \) ACDM (toy) model processed through the mask of each field and then averaged. The "toy" model here refers to the template of \( k^2 P_{AD} \) evaluated at \( k = q d_A \) with \( d_A \sim 7 \text{ Gpc} \) corresponding to \( z \sim 10 \) and with the amplitude fitted to the data. Thick solid red line shows the sum of the three components.

The dependence of the Spitzer first results on the clipping was addressed by Kashlinsky et al. (2005). Fig. 13 shows the CIB correlation function from that analysis for the various clipping and demonstrates robustness of the measured signal in the presence of more aggressive masking, specified by deeper cutting parameter, \( N_{cut} \), and wide mask size for each pixel applied, \( N_{mask} \).

Fig. 17 shows the CIB fluctuation, including the cross-power, to \( \sim 1^\circ \) averaged over two SEDS field at the shot-noise levels corresponding to \( \sim 13 \text{ hrs/pix} \). The cross-power, that could be identified because the region of overlap between the two IRAC channels was large for SEDS observations, appears consistent between the two IRAC channels despite their separate optical paths. The shape of the clustering component to \( \sim 1^\circ \) is consistent with sources distributed according high-\( z \) ACDM model. Cooray et al. (2012b) reproduced the source-subtracted CIB fluctuations from much shallower, but wider Bootes field. The Spitzer integrations were only 6 min/pix over a net area of 8 deg² and additional optical data were used to remove sources to sufficiently low shot noise level, but leaving less than 50% of the assembled map for power spectrum computation. They identified the signal to \( \sim 1^\circ \), consistent with an earlier analysis of Kashlinsky et al. (2012), and suggested an alternative origin for the fluctuations arising in the IHL from new populations stripped of their parental galaxy halo at intermediate redshifts \( z \sim 2 - 3 \).

Table III sums up all the Spitzer-base measurements in the various sky configurations discussed above. The columns: (1) Name of the field. (2) Galactic coordinates \((l_{GaL}, b_{GaL})\). (3) Size \((^\circ)\). (4) \( t_{exp} \) (hrs). (5) \( P_{SN} \) at (3.6, 4.5 μm) in nJy-nW/m²/sr. (6) Limiting \( m_{AD} \) at (3.6, 4.5 μm). (7) Sky fraction, \( f_{sky} \) in %, remaining for \( P(q) \) computation. (8) References: [1] Kashlinsky et al. (2003), [2] Kashlinsky et al. (2007a,b), [3] Kashlinsky et al. (2012), [4] Cooray et al. (2012b).

The signal appears the same in different location and has now been measured to \( \sim 1^\circ \). Its origin is now agreed upon to arise in new sources with two competing theories of a high-\( z \) origin or IHL at low to intermediate \( z \).

c. Foreground contributions are important to evaluate: Zodiaceal light is the strongest foreground in terms of total intensity. However, the zodiacal light is very smooth on a wide range of angular scales. Apart from distinct orbital structures: the asteroidal dust bands, the earth-resonant ring, and comet dust trails, only upper limits have been set on the structure of the zodiacal light at mid-IR wavelengths where zodiacal light is brightest (Abraham et al., 1997; Pyo et al., 2012). Extrapolating these limits to Spitzer’s near-IR wavelengths indicates that spatial fluctuations of the zodiacal light must be comparable or less than the observed fluctuations.

More direct and restrictive estimates of the possible contribution of zodiacal light to large scale fluctuations has been made by examining the power spectra in A-B difference maps, where A and B represent observations of the same field collected \( \sim 6 \) or 12 months apart (Kashlinsky, 2005a). These power spectra isolate the contribution the zodiacal light, because the structure must vary with time, and thus does not cancel out as do the Galactic and extragalactic signals. Similarly, the presence of significant cross correlation between the structure at different epochs, indicates that zodiacal light cannot be the dominant signal (Kashlinsky et al., 2012).

Most recently, Arendt et al. (2016) examined the 3.6 and 4.5 μm power spectra for a 10’ × 10’ region in the COSMOS field for 5 epochs. The epochs were chosen to span the widest possible range of solar elongation and brightness variation of the zodiacal light. They found that the large scale power showed no correlation with the zodiacal light intensity, but noted that roughly 50%
the white noise (best characterized at the smallest scales) correlates with the zodiacal light intensity, presumably due to the photon shot noise of the zodiacal light.

A different foreground to consider is stellar emission from our Galaxy. The unresolved starlight is a significant contributor to the mean IR background in low resolution studies, such as COBE/DIRBE (Arendt et al. 1998, Hauser et al. 1998). However, with higher angular resolution and sensitivity, resolved sources can be identified and subtracted from the data at a level well below the point where extragalactic sources outnumber Galactic stars (e.g. Ashby et al. 2013).

Emission from the Galactic ISM (i.e. cirrus) is perhaps the most difficult foreground to address. Estimates of the contribution of cirrus emission to the fluctuations are generally made by extrapolation measurements made at other wavelengths and locations where the ISM is more easily detected. In some fields, there is evident cirrus at 8 µm where PAH features yield relatively bright emission. In these cases, an upper limit on the cirrus contribution at shorter wavelengths can be made by assuming that the 8 µm power spectrum is dominated by cirrus, and rescaling the power spectrum to shorter wavelength using a spectral energy distribution established from observations in low-latitude Galactic studies (Arendt et al. 2010, Kashlinsky, 2005a; Kashlinsky et al. 2012).

d. Contribution from remaining known galaxies needs to be robustly estimated in the balance of the CIB. In the original study Kashlinsky (2005a) have already shown, by calculating an upper limit on the CIB power assuming the power law of galaxy clustering observed on small scales to extent throughout, that known galaxies below the removal threshold do not reproduce the CIB clustering signal. This is shown with the dotted line as an upper limit in Fig. 14. In other words, if populations at lower redshifts and spanning longer cosmic periods with less biasing were to explain the measurement, they would require production of much larger CIB, which would be comparable to the net CIB flux at 3.6 and 4.5 µm from all the known galaxies out to $m_{AB} > 26$ (Ashby et al. 2013, 2012, Fazio et al. 2004a). In their calculations Sullivan et al. (2007) confirmed this, as shown in their Figure 8, although the text of the paper contradictorily states throughout that the clustering can be produced by normal galaxies at $22.5 < m_{Vega} < 26$. Their estimate, shown as the solid line in Fig. 14, is clearly below the upper limit worked out earlier in Kashlinsky (2005a). Helgason et al. (2012, HRK12) have done a very sophisticated analysis described in Sec. IV.B and confirmed this, further lowering the possible contributions from known galaxy populations at $z \lesssim 6$.

e. Coherence with unresolved CXB has been identified by Cappelluti et al. (2013) in the Chandra-based cross-correlation analysis of the source-subtracted CIB and CXB maps. The Chandra X-ray Observatory is sensitive to X-rays in the [0.1-10] keV band with an energy resolution of 150 eV and a FoV of 16.9’ x 16.9’. The sharp imaging capabilities of Chandra and its highly elliptical orbit allow observations with a very low background. In X-ray observations there are two main background components, one which is purely astrophysical produced by blending of all sources below the detection limit (CXB) and diffuse emission from the Galaxy and the Local Hot Bubble. The source-subtracted CXB flux depends on the observation depth since deeper exposures yield a larger fraction of resolved CXB. The second component, which we call particle internal background (PIB), arises from charged solar wind or cosmic rays particles interacting with the spacecraft and/or producing secondary X-ray photons by fluorescence. The local (Galactic) components of the X-ray diffuse emission are dominant at low energy ($E < 1.5 - 2$ keV) while, at higher energies, the PIB and the extragalactic CXB dominate the signal.

Because of the grazing incidence design of X-ray telescopes (in contrast to optical and IR telescopes), regions of the detector close to the optical axis have better point-source sensitivity than outer parts of the field of view: the PSF FWHM varies from 0.5’’ on axis to > 8’’ off-axis. Also the effective area varies with the off-axis angle. As a result, on-axis sources would produce a detection while off axis ones would instead contribute to CXB. This means the mean background level is a function of the off-axis angle. These properties are important to consider when studying unresolved CXB fluctuations. An advantage of X-ray data, compared to optical/near-IR, is that for every photon the CCD records time of arrival, energy, and position. In this way, by sorting the events in time of arrival one can produce maps of odd (A) and even (B) events to be used later for time-differenced (A-B) evaluation of the noise floor in power spectra. Cappelluti et al. (2013) used 1.8 Ms data from the AEGIS-XD survey to produce CXB fluctuation maps, $\delta F_X(\vec{\gamma})$, after removing X-ray detected sources (Goulding et al. 2012). The AEGIS-XD survey consists of 66 Chandra ACIS-I pointings. The common area between Chandra and Spitzer is a narrow strip of 8’ x 45’. A subsequent analysis of CIB-CXB crosspower by Mitchell-Wynne et al. (2016) used 4 Ms of Chandra and Spitzer data in the CDFS area covering ~110 arcmin². Both used the approach developed by Cappelluti et al. (2012) and improved in Cappelluti et al. (2013) for producing X-ray fluctuation maps: resolved point sources like AGN, star-forming galaxies, X-ray binaries and diffuse galaxy clusters are removed as of first step of maps production. X-ray sources have a low source surface density compared to optical/NIR sources. Lehmer et al. (2012) showed that at the depth of Chandra deep
fields, the source density is of the order of $\sim 3 \times 10^4$ sources/deg$^2$. This means that masking X-ray sources removes less than 10% of the pixels. However, when producing X-ray fluctuation maps one must take into account also peculiarities of X-ray telescopes, such as position-dependent amplitude and nature of the two components of the background (i.e., the CXB and the PIB).

To model the PIB they took advantage of the observations of ACIS-I in stowed mode. The instrument is exposed but is stowed out of the focal plane and far from the onboard calibration source, when only PIB signal is present. Since the mean PIB level in this “dark frame” differs from the observation but its spectral shape is constant within 1-2%, these maps are then scaled to match the actual background level. After masking and subtracting the background they evaluate the position-dependence of the astrophysical background using an exposure map. Another effect to account for is the low pixel occupation number of X-ray photons (e.g., in the full [0.5-7] keV band $\sim 1.1$ photons/Ms/pix). This means that the Poisson noise dominates the noise on small scales.

To measure the X-ray spectrum of the CIB–CXB crosspower, while still minimizing the Poisson noise, Cappelluti et al (2013) divided the total counts into 3 X-ray bands, [0.5-2] keV, [2-4.5] keV and [4.5-7] keV, each with $\sim 130,000$ X-ray photons. In a later analysis, Mitchell-Wynne et al (2016) used deeper exposures of a much smaller field, collecting about 1/4 of the photons used in the earlier study but with a similar occupation number.

Cappelluti et al (2013) also studied dependence on the masking by subjecting the data to 1) the IR mask from Kashlinsky et al (2012) and 2) an X-ray mask that specifically removes X-ray groups and cluster down to $\sim 10^{13} M_{\odot}$. CIB power spectra with or without the additional X-ray masking agree to better than 5% on all scales, consistent with the populations responsible for the CIB fluctuation signal being unrelated to the remaining known galaxy or galaxy cluster populations in the field.

After verifying that the CIB and CXB maps noise were uncorrelated, the cross-correlation analysis between [0.5-2] keV and [2-4.5] keV and [4.5-7] keV was performed with the IRAC source-subtracted CIB maps at 3.6 and 4.5 $\mu$m. Their analysis identified the cross-power between $4\arcsec - 1200\arcsec$ and evaluated the significance by characterizing the actual dispersion of the cross-power on scales of 10$^\prime$-1000$\prime$. In Cappelluti et al (2013) the overall CXB–CIB cross-power was significant at 3.6$\sigma$ and 5.6$\sigma$ for 3.6 $\mu$m and 4.5 $\mu$m vs. [0.5-2] keV, respectively, while no significant correlation between any IRAC maps and harder X-ray channels was identified. Mitchell-Wynne et al (2016) find 3.7$\sigma$ and 4.7$\sigma$ significant cross-powers above 20$\arcsec$, respectively. Unlike Cappelluti et al (2013) they also find a marginally significant signal in 3.6 $\mu$m vs. [2-7] keV (2.7$\sigma$) and 4.5 $\mu$m vs. [2-7] keV (3.7$\sigma$), respectively.

The new study by Cappelluti et al (2017a) uses data from the Chandra Deep Field South (CDFS), Hubble Deep Field North (HDFN), EGS/AEGIS field and UDS/SXDF surveys comprising 1,160 Spitzer hours and $\sim$12 Ms of Chandra data collected over a total area of 0.3 deg$^2$. They show the consistency between the measured cross-powers in each of the regions and, after combining/stacking, report a highly significant detection of a cross-power signal from clustering on large angular scales $>20\arcmin$ between the 3.6$\mu$m, 4.5$\mu$m and [0.5-2] keV bands. The total significance of the detected clustering component of the cross-power is $\sim 5\sigma$ and $\geq 6\sigma$, respectively. The level of coherence between the two background fluctuations from clustering is at least $C \sim 0.15 - 0.2$, this being a lower limit with the CXB power of the new sources being unknown and limited from above observationally. At the same time they find no significant correlation with harder X-ray bands. Accounting for the contribution of known unmasked source population at $z < 7$, this excess appears about an order of magnitude at 5$\sigma$ level.

Fig. 15 presents overall results for the 3.6, 4.5 $\mu$m cross-powers with the soft [0.5-2] keV unresolved CXB from Cappelluti et al (2017a).

f. Spitzer CIB correlations with diffuse light at other wavelengths were probed in several studies as a tool to provide insights in addition to cross-correlating source-subtracted CIB from Spitzer with unresolved CXB fluctuations. Of particular relevance to interpretation would be whether the CIB correlates with visible light since any high-$z$ CIB component should not exhibit a visible counterpart because of the Lyman break in the sources around $\sim 0.12/(1 + z)\mu$m. Soon after the discovery of the source-subtracted CIB fluctuations with Spitzer, Kashlinsky et al (2007a) demonstrated that there are no correlations between the source-subtracted IRAC maps and the HST/ACS data to $m_{\text{AB}} \approx 28$. This result implies that the Lyman break wavelength is red-shifted beyond the longest ACS wavelength at 0.9 $\mu$m unless the

FIG. 15 Based on results from Cappelluti et al (2017a). Top: the fluctuations’ cross-power spectrum between IRAC 3.6 (left) and 4.5 $\mu$m CIB and Chandra soft CXB. Bottom: same but for the noise of Chandra time-differenced data.
CIB anisotropies come from more local but extremely faint ($L < 2 \times 10^7 L_{\odot}$) and so far unobserved galaxies. This likely requires that the detected CIB fluctuations arise from objects within the first Gyr of the Universe’s evolution (cf. Mitchell-Wynne et al. [2015]).

At longer wavelengths, Kashlinsky et al. (2012) find only marginal correlations between their 3.6, and 4.5 μm source-subtracted diffuse maps with those at 8 μm, consistent with either cirrus, remaining known galaxies or new populations contributing to diffuse light at both wavelengths. Matsumoto et al. (2011) find no correlations of the AKARI source-subtracted diffuse maps with the AKARI far-IR data at 100 μm. Thacker et al. (2015) claimed a cross-correlation of Spitzer data with diffuse maps from Herschel at 250, 350, and 500 μm. The Spitzer data at 3.6 μm, with a 0.1 hr net integration depth, was repixelized at the common resolution of 6′′, leading to both significantly larger removed sky and greater shot-noise (i.e. shallower depth) in the combined images. The resultant images had less than 40% of the map pixels available for Fourier analysis, yet the correlation function has not been evaluated in the paper to substantiate the robustness of the strong cross-power on sub-degree scales, which was interpreted as coming mostly from IHL. However, a close look shows that the adopted contribution from remaining known galaxies, shown in Thacker et al. (2015, green dashes in Fig. 9) without uncertainties, corresponds to the low-faint-end of the HRK12 reconstruction. Our evaluation of the high-faint-end limit of the HRK12 reconstruction for the appropriate parameters, which is as plausible, increases the CIB power from remaining galaxies by up to an order of magnitude on sub-degree scales. Consequently, the power from remaining known galaxies could be revised upwards by a high enough factor to largely explain the claimed levels of coherence with remaining known galaxies. The uncertainties in the contributions from remaining known galaxies are thus sufficient to account for the claimed coherence at the levels of $C \sim (1 - 3)\%$: the cross-power can be explained if about $\sqrt{C} \sim 10\%$ of the sources are common to both the near- and far-IR channels. Galactic cirrus further increases the cross-power, particularly at the largest angular scales probed. The claimed necessity of the IHL in explaining the reported cross-power advanced in Thacker et al. (2015) thus appears unsubstantiated.

2. AKARI

AKARI is the most recent Japanese IR satellite (Murakami et al., 2007). Its 0.68 m telescope is 20% smaller Spitzer’s, leading to a lower angular resolution, but the field of view of AKARI’s Infrared Camera (IRC; Onaka et al. 2007) is 10′, which is twice as wide as Spitzer’s IRAC. The IRC obtained images in three near-IR bands at 2.4, 3.2, and 4.1 μm, with 1.46′′ pixels. It also included mid-IR bands at 7, 9, and 11 μm with 2.34′′ pixels and 15, 18, and 24 μm with ~2.45′′ pixels. Matsumoto et al. (2011) used IRC data from the North Ecliptic Pole (NEP) Monitor Field to investigate fluctuations in the CIB in the three near-IR bands. The NEP Monitor field (Wada et al. 2007) was observed regularly throughout the mission. Observations were dithered over a single 10′ field of view, but the field rotation throughout the mission yields a uniformly covered circular region of 10′ in diameter. The shot noise level after source subtraction and masking corresponds to limiting AB magnitudes of 22.9, 23.2, 23.8 at wavelengths of 2.4, 3.2, 4.1 μm, respectively (Matsumoto et al., 2011).

Matsumoto et al. (2011) describe the procedures used for data reduction, including flat fielding, dark subtraction, and corrections for instrumental artifacts. Source subtraction on the final stacked images was very conservative/aggressive. Pixels in the maps exceeding 2σ were masked, and the procedure was iterated, until no further pixels exceeded 2σ. To remove lower surface brightness portions of sources, the IRAF DAOPHOT package was used to find and subtract sources as seen in the unmasked images to the 2σ level, and higher resolution ground-based $K_s$ band images were convolved with the IRC PSF and used to subtract the emission of extended sources. These source-subtracted images were then masked with the original 2σ clipping mask, plus an additional margin of 1 pixel (1.5′′) around all clipped regions. This left ~47% of the circular field available for analysis.

Power spectrum analysis, using FFT, of the source-subtracted and clipped images revealed spatial fluctuations in the data in excess of the power shown in dark maps, generated from an equivalent number of concurrent dark frames. The dark maps are found to be very similar to time-differenced A–B maps, which are generated by inverting the sign of half the data, so that any fixed signal from the cancels out and only noise (and systematic errors) remain. The power that is measured in excess of the A-B noise, appears to be dominated by shot noise (white) components at small angular scales.

![FIG. 16 Adapted from Matsumoto et al. (2011). Power spectra in the AKARI Monitor field after subtraction of the noise power measured from dark maps. Dotted line shows the fitted shot (white) noise level of remaining sources that were too faint to subtract/mask. Convolution with the beam attenuates the power in this component at the smallest angular scales.](image-url)
FIG. 17 Correlation and cross correlation functions for the source-subtracted backgrounds in the AKARI Monitor field (adapted with modifications from Matsumoto et al., 2011). The pixel scale of the maps was modified from 1.5′′ to 6′′ to speed up these calculations, at the expense of finer resolution. The errors bars without symbols (red) are derived from the dark maps. The black symbols are derived from the actual sky maps, and clearly show significant large scale structure.

but with a non-white excess increasing at large scales (∼ 50′′), especially at 2.4 and 3.2 μm (Figure 18).

Because of the large clipping fraction, Matsumoto et al. (2011) have also verified their FFT-based power results by computing the correlation function. The correlation function, reproduced in Figure 17, also indicates the presence of large scale structure, and cross correlations show that the structure is similar in all three near-IR bands. Importantly, the cross-power evaluated there confirms that the same populations are present at all wavelengths and cluster on similarly large scales. Furthermore, since the shot-noise contribution to C(θ) is contained within C(0), the correlation function directly isolates the clustering component of the underlying populations.

The amplitudes of the power spectra at large angular scales are indicative of the mean spectral energy distribution (SED) of the sources that produce the fluctuations. The SED is found to rise towards shorter wavelength with a slope similar to the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of a blackbody (Figure 18). This is consistent with the rising SED implied by the Spitzer/IRAC data, but extends the trend to shorter wavelengths (2.4 μm).

Seo et al. (2015) have extended the Matsumoto et al. (2011) analysis to larger angular scales by using data from NEP deep survey (Wada et al., 2008). These data are not as deep as the Monitor Field, but span angular scales up to 1000′′ (Figure 19). Seo et al. (2015) use only 2.4 and 3.2 μm data from this data set, because the 4.1 μm band had insufficient depth for source-subtracted CIB studies. The data reduction used by Seo et al. (2015) is similar to that used by Matsumoto et al. (2011). However, the analysis of the source-subtracted images differs as power spectra are corrected for mode coupling due to masking, the map-making transfer function, and the beam via the same procedure as in Cooray et al. (2012). Although the clipping fraction approaches 70% in the maps, no correlation function has been presented.

Both Matsumoto et al. (2011) and Seo et al. (2015) considered, tested, and rejected the possibility that zodiacal light or Galactic foregrounds can contribute to the reported power at large angular scales (∼ 100′′). Matsumoto et al. (2011) ruled out zodiacal light because of: the lack of signal in A–B tests using data collected at different times, the discrepancy between the SED of the residual large scale power and the zodiacal light, and the extrapolation from limits on the zodiacal light fluctuations established in the mid-IR (Pyo et al., 2012). The extrapolation is also used by Seo et al. (2015) to rule out zodiacal light. The contribution of faint Galactic stars...
is dismissed in both papers, as both resolve sources to depths where source counts are strongly dominated by galaxies rather than Galactic stars. Both papers rule out cirrus contributions, based on lack of correlation with far-IR emission at 90 µm (Matsumoto et al. 2011), which does show evidence of cirrus emission at the NEP. In contrast, at mid-IR wavelengths (7-11 µm) Pyo et al. (2012) find that the large-scale mid-IR power can be accounted for by rescaling the large-scale power at 90 µm according to a typical cirrus spectrum. At 15-24 µm Pyo et al. (2012) report the photon shot noise as the dominant component of the power spectrum, even at large angular scales.

The upshot of the AKARI-based analysis is 1) consistency with the Spitzer results at 3.6 and 4.5 µm, 2) identification of the source-subtracted CIB at 2.4 µm and demonstrating, via cross-correlation, that it arises from the same populations as at the AKARI longer IRC channels, and 3) identifying the energy spectrum of the sources-subtracted CIB which approximates $νI_ν ∝ λ^{-3}$. Helgason and Komatsu (2016) suggest possible systematics in the interpretation due to the beam modeling uncertainties of Matsumoto et al. (2011): the deduced shot-noise level is sensitive to the beam and with the beam from Seo et al. (2013) they recover a larger shot-noise power. This decreases the effective limiting magnitude by $Δm ∼ 0.5$ resulting in larger contributions from remaining known galaxies, which they suggest are enough to explain the bulk (although not all) of the detected CIB power spectrum. They point out however, that “the same is not true for Spitzer/IRAC measurements at similar wavelengths, which still show fluctuations in excess of what can be attributed to faint galaxies” and which are consistent with the AKARI results as discussed below. While this is indeed an important point, we note that the power they attribute is predominantly shot-noise, is flat and will not contribute to the measured by AKARI correlation function beyond the beam scale ($θ ∼ 3''$), which is shown in Fig. 17 and which they do not attempt to model. The correlation function shows the same populations at all of the AKARI wavelengths, which are highly coherent and with a distribution distinct from white/shot-noise.

3. Currently established CIB fluctuation properties at 2–5 µm

We now sum up the properties of the source-subtracted CIB fluctuations that currently appear established in this wavelength range.

a. Cosmological origin of fluctuations in new populations: Fig. 12 illustrates that the CIB fluctuation signal from clustering detected by Spitzer is consistent with being isotropic on the sky as required by its cosmological origin. Fig. 20 shows that the same signal is present in the AKARI measurements at the adjacent wavelengths. The fields analyzed using Spitzer data and shown in Table III span a factor of $∼ 3$ in cirrus intensity, yet exhibit a consistently similar large scale component out to $∼ 1''$. Likewise, the signal appears temporally invariant suggesting small, if any, contribution from zodiacal emission. The detected CIB fluctuation thus appears to arise from clustering of new extragalactic populations. The CIB fluctuations contain two components: small scales arise from the shot noise from remaining galaxies and large scales arise from the clustering of contributing sources.

b. Contribution from remaining known galaxies appears negligible at large scales from Spitzer measurements, but the situation may be less clear for AKARI, given the somewhat small deep field (Matsumoto et al. 2011) which leads to larger statistical uncertainties, or the shallower wider field (Seo et al. 2015) which leaves pollution

FIG. 19 Adapted from Seo et al. (2014). The 2.4 µm power spectrum of the source-subtracted AKARI NEP Deep field (Seo et al. 2015), compared to the shot noise level, and the power expected from faint remaining galaxies as derived from simulations. At scales $> 100''$ the measured power exceeds that expected from the remaining galaxies that are too faint to subtract or mask.

FIG. 20 Comparison of CIB fluctuations from AKARI with Spitzer. Spitzer results are shown in same color scheme as Fig. 12. AKARI results are scaled to Spitzer wavelengths with the $λ^{-3}$ SED - black filled circles are from Matsumoto et al. (2011) and black open circles in the left panel are the shallower measurements from Seo et al. (2015) at 3.2 µm with a higher shot noise levels dominating small scales. All measurements trace the same populations at angular scales $≥ 30''$, although, because of their shallower depth and smaller area, the AKARI data are more polluted by the remaining known sources.
from remaining known galaxies at larger levels. Nonetheless, the AKARI data at 2.4 μm exhibit excess at larger scales that are consistent with large-scale fluctuations from Spitzer at 3.6 and 4.5 μm, and the correlation functions evaluated there show clear deviations from shot-noise dominating remaining known galaxy contributions at all wavelengths. Fig. 21 sums up the contributions from remaining known galaxies to the measured powers.

c. Spectral Energy Distribution: AKARI-based analysis extended the CIB fluctuation measurement to 2.4 μm and suggested an approximately Rayleigh-Jeans type spectral energy distribution of the sources producing them, νLν ∝ ν−α with α ∼ 3. Fig. 21 shows the combined AKARI+Spitzer results with a fit of a high-z ΛCDM template extrapolated from Spitzer to the AKARI 2.4 μm channel shown in blue; solid line shows the least-squares amplitude derived at 2.4 μm and the blue dotted denote the 1-σ error span.

d. Clustering component vs shot-noise power: The source-subtracted CIB fluctuations measured with Spitzer data appear with low shot noise, while exhibiting a substantial clustering component, which indicates the origin of the clustering component in very faint populations (currently S < 20 nJy at 3.6 and 4.5 μm). The source-subtracted CIB fluctuations measured from Spitzer data at progressively lower shot noise levels are shown in Fig. 22. The clustering component does not yet appear to decrease as the shot noise is lowered by a factor of ~ 6 in analyses using progressively deeper exposures. This has important cosmological implications for proposed models as summarized in the figure caption and discussed further later.

e. Coherence of new sources between 3.6 and 4.5μm: Fig. 23 derived from the Kashlinsky et al. (2012) measurements, shows the coherence between the source-subtracted CIB fluctuations from Spitzer at 3.6 and 4.5 μm. There appears a consistent picture of the CIB measurements obtained with Spitzer: 1) the coherence is always bounded from above by unity including the errors, which were evaluated using the Fisher transformation, 2) with small scales dominated by the remaining known galaxy populations, which are independently removed at the two bands and so are less coherent than 3) the large scales, where new populations dominate, which cannot be resolved with Spitzer and, hence, were not yet removed.

f. CIB-CXB cross-power: appears significant between the source-subtracted CIB in Spitzer measurements and unresolved soft X-ray CXB as illustrated in Fig. 23 with results from Cappelluti et al. (2017a). If the observed arcminute scale CIB is produced by sources at the epoch of the first stars, then it arises from sources which would have been coeval with or evolve into, or even be, the first generation of BHs in the Universe. Since BH accretion inevitably produces intense X-ray radiation, one would expect a certain level of coherence between the fluctuations of the two cosmic backgrounds. The coherence uncovered in the measurements can also be interpreted as the fraction of the emission due to the common populations so that Cij ∝ ζiζj, where ζi and ζj are the fractions of the emissions produced by the common populations between bands i, j. Cappelluti et al. (2013) determined the level of coherence between the source-subtracted CIB and CXB and found it of the order CIB-CXB ~ 0.05 at the largest angular scales, so if all the CXB power is produced by sources correlating with the CIB then a lower limit on the CIB fluctuations produced in association with the X-ray sources is 15%-25%. We note that the stated coherence represents a lower limit on the true CIB-CXB coherence of the new sources, since the CXB power they contribute is, while observationally unknown, less than the measured power from the diffuse X-ray maps. The level of unresolved CXB around 1 keV is < 1 keV/cm²/sec/sr (see Table III in Cappelluti et al. (2017b), and Fig. 5), corresponding to comoving number density of the X-ray photons at 1 keV of nCXB < 4 × 10⁻¹⁰cm⁻³. At the same time the excess CIB of ~ 1nW/m²/sr around 3μm requires comoving density of CIB photons at nCIB ~ 6 × 10⁻⁴cm⁻³. Thus the sources producing the two together should have nCXB((1+z)keV)/nCIB(3/(1+z)μm) < 6.6 × 10⁻⁷ requiring the X/O ratio (defined as the logarithmic slope from 0.25μm to 2 keV, Tananbaum et al. (1979)) αX/O < -2.
The remaining shot noise power, $P_{SN}$, is shown in each panel in units of nJy·nW/m$^2$/sr. Upper panels correspond to 3.6 µm, lower to 4.5 µm. Dotted lines show the remaining shot noise fluctuation in the Spitzer/IRAC maps convolved with the IRAC beam. No decrease of the large-scale clustering component is yet apparent at the lower shot-noise levels. This appears to conflict with the currently developed IHL models, shown in green from Cooray et al. (2012b) (short dashes) and Zemcov et al. (2014) (long dashes), where the 1-halo component contributes an effective shot noise, which may be related to the large scale amplitude driven by the 2-halo term. Solid blue line shows a high-$z$ ΛCDM template, $k^2P(k)$ at $k = qd_A$ with $d_A \sim 7$Gpc, normalized to the CIB fluctuation from Spitzer and corrected for the mask as described in Kashlinsky et al. (2012). The PBH model of Kashlinsky (2016) naturally produces the required CIB, has sources located at these distances and is effectively represented by the solid blue line. The DCBH model of Yue et al. (2013b) is plotted with red triple-dot-dashed lines. Both BH models appear to match the current data since the shot noise amplitude, being fixed by the abundance of the individual sources and their fluxes, is below the levels reached in these measurements.

![FIG. 22 Adapted from Kashlinsky et al. (2015b). Current Spitzer/IRAC-based measurements at different shot-noise levels (decreasing in amplitude from left to right) from Cooray et al. (2012b), Kashlinsky (2005a), Kashlinsky et al. (2012, 2007a). The remaining shot noise power, $P_{SN}$, is shown in each panel in units of nJy·nW/m$^2$/sr. Upper panels correspond to 3.6µm, lower to 4.5 µm. Dotted lines show the remaining shot noise fluctuation in the Spitzer/IRAC maps convolved with the IRAC beam. No decrease of the large-scale clustering component is yet apparent at the lower shot-noise levels. This appears to conflict with the currently developed IHL models, shown in green from Cooray et al. (2012b) (short dashes) and Zemcov et al. (2014) (long dashes), where the 1-halo component contributes an effective shot noise, which may be related to the large scale amplitude driven by the 2-halo term. Solid blue line shows a high-$z$ ΛCDM template, $k^2P(k)$ at $k = qd_A$ with $d_A \sim 7$Gpc, normalized to the CIB fluctuation from Spitzer and corrected for the mask as described in Kashlinsky et al. (2012). The PBH model of Kashlinsky (2016) naturally produces the required CIB, has sources located at these distances and is effectively represented by the solid blue line. The DCBH model of Yue et al. (2013b) is plotted with red triple-dot-dashed lines. Both BH models appear to match the current data since the shot noise amplitude, being fixed by the abundance of the individual sources and their fluxes, is below the levels reached in these measurements.]

![FIG. 23 Coherence between in CIB 3.6 and 4.5 µm. Small angular scales show the incoherent contributions due to differentially removed sources at the two bands. Larger scales are dominated by the coherent CIB from new populations. Adapted from Kashlinsky et al. (2015b).]

![FIG. 24 Cross-power between CIB at 3.6 (left) and 4.5 (right) µm and unresolved soft X-ray CXB at [0.5-2]keV from Cappelluti et al. (2017a) is shown with filled circles and 1-σ errors. Line denote contributions from remaining known sources: shot-noise (dashes) and clustering component (dotted) from galaxies and AGNs, hot gas (dotted) and the total (solid). Shaded regions marks angular scales where clustering component dominates, which is measured to exceed overall for > 20" the contributions from known sources at > 5σ level for both IR bands. See details in Cappelluti et al. (2017a).]

$g$. Application of Lyman tomography to Spitzer CIB was made by Kashlinsky et al. (2015b) with data analyzed in the IRAC configuration of Kashlinsky et al. (2012). As Fig. 13 shows the IRAC filters are adjacent and non-overlapping, presenting a testing ground for the Lyman tomography. The measured CIB powers at the two IRAC channels, $P_{3.6}, P_{4.5}$, and the cross-power, $P_{3.6 \times 4.5}$, shown in Fig. 14 were used to construct eq. 14 the
excess power component that arises where the Lyman-break populations are present at 4.5 µm, but not at 3.6 µm (30 ≤ z ≤ 40 assuming the Ly-break at these pre-reionization epochs due to the Lyα absorption). The CIB data used consist of two regions of $21' \times 21'$ and $8' \times 62'$ of similar integration depth. The regions have full overlap between 3.6 and 4.5µm. Fig. 25 shows the resultant $P_{\Delta z} = P_{4.5} - P_{3.6 \times 4.5}/P_{3.6}$ with 1σ errors. The slope of the fluctuations is close to that of non-linear galaxy clustering produced by differentially removed sources at the two IRAC bands. Kashlinsky et al. (2015) decompose the data shown in the figure into 1) shot-noise, 2) non-linear clustering from remaining differentially removed galaxies at the two IRAC bands, assumed to follow $P \propto q^{-1}$, consistent with the 2MASS CIB measurements, and 3) high-z ΛCDM and evaluate the amplitudes of each component. The red solid line in the figure shows the resultant fit from the non-linear clustering component. In the presence of the empirically determined remaining galaxy component, the amplitude of clustering component with the concordance ΛCDM power template at $z \sim 30$ is shown at its 1σ upper limit. The resultant high-z component is shown in Fig. 25. Its fitted amplitude implies the contribution to the power measured at 4.5 µm via the Spitzer data by Kashlinsky et al. (2012) to be at most 2% from $z \gtrsim 30$, setting the best upper limits available to date on emissions from these epochs.

C. Measurements at 1–2 µm

There is significantly less agreement between the various measurements at this wavelength range, and their interpretation is therefore subject to what data set is assumed to represent reality. The measurements have been done in the following chronological order: 1) CIB analysis by Kashlinsky et al. (2002), Odenwald et al. (2003) using deep 2MASS data from the ground (Nikolaev et al. 2000) at 1.1, 1.6 and 2.2 µm, 2) much deeper CIB analysis by Thompson et al. (2007a,b) using space-based NICMOS/HST data at 1.1 and 1.6 µm over a smaller region (Thompson et al. 2008), 3) the shallowest of the analysis over a larger area of the sky (Zemcov et al. 2014) using partially overlapping filters center at 1.1 and 1.6 µm with a stratospheric CIBER measurement (Bock et al. 2013), and 4) a deep analysis using WFC3/HST data by Mitchell-Wynne et al. (2015). The lower 4 panels in Fig. 10 show the filters employed in obtaining the results discussed in this section in chronological order.

1. Deep 2MASS

The 2MASS standard star survey (Nikolaev et al. 2000) was used by Kashlinsky et al. (2002); Odenwald et al. (2003) to develop the required methodology and probe for the first time source-subtracted CIB fluctuations. The analysis was done after the assembled field of $\sim 8.6' \times 1'$ was divided, in order to eliminate artifacts, into seven square patches of $512' \times 512'$ probing CIB in each patch out to angular scales $2\pi/q \sim 200''$. The resolution was limited by atmospheric seeing at about 2''. Galaxies have been identified and removed down to Vega magnitude of $\sim 18.7 - 20$ (AB magnitudes $\sim 20 - 21$) in the J, H, K$_s$ photometric bands, with each of the patches clipped to its individual depth. As discussed in Kashlinsky et al. (2002), this leaves CIB from galaxies at $z \gtrsim 0.6 - 1$ depending on magnitude and band. The sky fraction removed with the resolved sources was less than 10% allowing robust CIB FT analysis. After analyzing contributions from atmospheric glow and other foregrounds, CIB fluctuations were claimed with the clearly non-white-noise spatial spectrum produced by (evolving) non-linear clustering from remaining galaxies with $P \propto q^{-n}$ and the slope varying between $n=1.35$ for the shallowest removal and $n=0.6$ for the deepest; for reference the present-day non-linear clustering has $n \sim 1.3$. Fig. 26 shows the amplitude of the resultant source-subtracted CIB fluctuations at the fiducial scale $q^{-1} = 1''$ and the effective deduced slope, $n$, in the seven 2MASS CIB patches with sources remaining below the flux corresponding to the AB magnitude shown in the horizontal axis.

While it is reported to “identify the signal as CIB fluctuations from the faint unresolved galaxies”, there
FIG. 26 CIB fluctuations from 2MASS (see data in Fig. 2 of Kashlinsky et al. 2002). Shaded regions are shot-noise contributions from HRK12 reconstruction from HFE to LFE with thick central line for default model (solid - J, dotted - H, dashed - K).

may be possible systematical biases affecting this analysis which may stem from the required in the data destriping corrections, adopted to cover a narrow width of pixels in the Fourier plane, and which in turn affect the conversion of the remaining $\sigma$’s of the maps to effective magnitudes as discussed in Odenwald et al. (2003). In addition, the ground-based observations are significantly affected by the variability of OH-glow. In any event, this study probes the remaining CIB at too shallow a depth (by today’s standards) to be useful in probing high-$z$ emissions.

2. NICMOS/HST

NICMOS-based source-subtracted CIB fluctuations at 1.1 and 1.6$\mu$m were studied by Thompson et al. (2007a,b) after progressively eliminating galaxies down to much fainter fluxes than in 2MASS using data from the NUDF field of 2’ on the side (Thompson et al. 2005). After removing identified sources down to AB magnitude of $\sim 27.7$, 93% of the map remained for robustly direct power spectrum evaluation. The sky maps were at the sub-arcsecond resolution of HST. Donnerstein (2015) discusses the contributions from remaining outer parts and finds them small. Thompson et al. (2007b) show the Fourier plane of their images to be clean of artifacts from map construction. The resultant CIB fluctuations from that study are plotted with black asterisks in Fig. 27 at various depths of removal. At the magnitude limits corresponding to the depth reached in the 2MASS studies, the NICMOS results do not fully agree with the former study but the difference can be accounted for if one assumes the 2MASS images to be at an effectively brighter removal magnitude due to e.g. destriping as discussed above. The asterisks in the figure show the diffuse light fluctuations at the ultimate removal threshold. The remaining diffuse light fluctuations appear significantly in excess of those from remaining known galaxies (Helgason et al. 2012).

Based on the color-ratio of the 1.1 to 1.6 $\mu$m diffuse fluctuations Thompson et al. (2007b) suggest “that the 0.8–1.8 $\mu$m near-infrared background is due to resolved galaxies in the redshift range $z < 8$, with the majority of power in the redshift range of 0.5-1.5”.

FIG. 27 Adapted with modifications from Thompson et al. (2007a,b). RMS fluctuations from the auto-power from the NICMOS analysis (Thompson et al. 2007b) at different magnitude removal thresholds. Squares mark no removal, diamonds are for removal out to $m_{AB} \simeq 19$ and asterisks are for the maps cleaned of NICMOS sources to the final depth. Triangles mark the NICMOS noise as estimated by Thompson et al. (2007a,b). For comparison the 2MASS-based CIB fluctuations from Kashlinsky et al. (2002); Odenwald et al. (2003) are shown as red error bars without symbols. The CIB fluctuations from galaxies remaining at the greatest NICMOS depth are shown in yellow shade using the HRK12 reconstruction.
3. CIBER

The CIBER suborbital rocket-borne experiment \cite{bock2013,zemcov2014} has recently suggested CIB fluctuations at 1.1 and 1.6 $\mu$m shown in Fig. 28 from \cite{zemcov2014}. Its imaging camera probes emissions with $\Delta\lambda/\lambda \simeq 0.5$ around the central wavelengths over a square field-of-view of 2 deg on the side with $\sim 6''$ pixels. After removing galaxies to Vega magnitude of 17.5 at J band (about 3 magnitudes brighter than deep 2MASS), and construction of maps that are the difference of separate fields (to remove common instrumental artifacts), only 30 – 50% of the sky is left for Fourier analysis on the CIBER maps; see e.g. Figs. S4–S7 of \cite{zemcov2014}. After rejecting some of the data due to the stratospheric air-glow, four fields observed over 2 flights formed the basis for the analysis.

Their key assertions are that 1) “The observed fluctuations exceed the amplitude from known galaxy populations”, 2) since they do not fit the epoch-of-reionization modeling of \cite{cooray2012a} they “are inconsistent with EoR galaxies and black holes”, and 3) “are largely explained by IHL emission” without accounting for the remaining difference between the measurement and the IHL model.

Although the masking approaches 70% of the pixels in this study, the correlation function has not been evaluated to substantiate the robustness of the claimed power spectra. Various potential issues with the analysis have been discussed in \cite{kashlinsky2015}, which are impossible to assess further in the absence of explicit calculation of the correlation function for the heavily masked maps. \cite{yue2016} have questioned the extragalactic origin of the claimed CIBER-Spitzer cross-power assigning it to the Galactic cirrus instead.

4. WFC3/HST

\cite{mitchell-wynne2015} looked at diffuse background fluctuations in deep HST/WFC3 (and ACS) observations of the CDFS. They applied the self-calibration procedure of \cite{arendt2010} to construct 120 arcmin$^2$ maps at 1.25 and 1.6 $\mu$m. After masking 47% of the maps, they use the same methodology for computing the power spectrum from FTs as in \cite{zemcov2014}; despite the highly substantial masking their correlation function is not shown. Using the assembled WFC3-based images in conjunction with ACS and IRAC data they fit a multi-component model assuming 1) the existence of IHL with the template from \cite{cooray2012b}, in addition to 2) remaining known galaxies modeled after \cite{helgason2012}, 3) diffuse Galactic cirrus emission and, 4) a high-$z$ component from \cite{cooray2012a}. Assuming these components they conclude that the HST-based CIB fluctuations at 1.1 and 1.6 $\mu$m contain high-$z$ emissions at the luminosity density a factor of $\sim 2 - 3$ lower than derived earlier in \cite{kashlinsky2007} at 3.6 and 4.5 $\mu$m from Spitzer CIB measurements. They also obtain with this fit modeling a cirrus level “at least a factor of 3 larger than the upper limit” from CIBER.

The source-subtracted CIB fluctuations from that study at 1.1 and 1.6 $\mu$m are shown in green in Fig. 28 and can be interpreted as being in reasonable agreement with findings of \cite{thompson2007a} and \cite{thompson2007b}. However, a significant disagreement emerges - at both small and large scales - when one evaluates the cross-power between the two wavelength maps for the NICMOS images from \cite{thompson2007a} and that from \cite{mitchell-wynne2015} as shown in Fig. 29. \cite{thompson2007a} did not evaluate this cross power, but the archival images (intensity, sigma, and SExtractor detections) are available. We downloaded the 1.1 and 1.6 $\mu$m images, rotated and cropped them, and applied appropriate conversions to $\nu I_\nu$ in nW/m$^2$/sr. No model is applied to remove sources. Masking the sources using the regions indicated by the SExtractor detection image yields a mask that is similar to, but not exactly the same as, the masking

\footnote{https://archive.stsci.edu/pub/hlsp/udf/nicmos-treasury/version2/}
FIG. 29 Black circles show the $1.25 \times 1.6\mu m$ cross-power from WFC3 from Mitchell-Wynne et al (2016). Triangles are the $1.1 \times 1.6\mu m$ cross-power evaluated for this review from NICMOS maps: upward triangles are for “Thompson mask,” downward for extended mask; the two are slightly shifted for easy display.

illustrated in Thompson et al (2007). This mask excludes 10% of the data, slightly larger than the stated above 7% exclusion. We also tested a more conservative mask analogous to Donnerstein (2015), in which we expanded the masked regions by a radius of 7.5 pixels ($0.675''$), which leads to excising 31% of the data. The cross-power does not require noise subtraction if the noise at the two channels is uncorrelated. These appear significant differences in the cross power of the NICMOS and WFC3-based data sets. Additionally, the auto- and cross-powers for the Mitchell-Wynne et al (2015) results appear to lead to coherence exceeding unity at both small and large scales. It is not clear what HST dataset and diffuse maps better approximate reality.

5. Current state of CIB fluctuations at 1–2 $\mu m$

Unlike in the 2–5$\mu m$ range, there appears no mutually agreed upon CIB fluctuations results at 1–2$\mu m$, preventing from any robust cosmological modeling. This will be reflected in our discussions below, although whenever it makes sense we will make brief, if more speculative, excursions into this range of wavelengths.

D. Integrated CIB excess

The measured CIB fluctuation excess at 2–5 $\mu m$ appears to have only small (within the uncertainties) variations between $\sim 1'$ and $\sim 1''$. The power spectrum of such CIB fluctuations from the new populations can be characterized with an amplitude at some fiducial scale and a template. The CIB fluctuations, at say $\sim 5'$ which was used for such normalization in Kashlinsky et al (2012), as measured with Spitzer and AKARI can be integrated to give the net integrated CIB flux fluctuations over the wavelengths of the detections leading to:

$$\delta F_{2-5\mu m}(5') = \int_{\lambda_{AKARI}}^{\lambda_{IRAC}} \left( \frac{q^2 P_{\lambda}}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} = \left[ \frac{(4.5/2.4)^{\alpha} - 1}{\alpha} \right] \delta F_{4.5\mu m}(5') \simeq 0.09 \frac{nW}{m^2 sr}$$

where $\nu \delta I_{\nu} \equiv \left[ \frac{q^2 P_{\lambda}}{2\pi} \right]^{1/2}$ is the CIB flux fluctuation in nW m$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ and we assume per Fig. 21 that it scales with wavelength as $\nu \delta I_{\nu} \propto \lambda^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha \simeq 3$; for $\alpha = 2$ the above expression gives $\delta F_{2-5\mu m} \simeq 0.065$ nW m$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$. In the above expression we have taken the AKARI and Spitzer/IRAC filters to have the integrated range of 2–5 $\mu m$ and the “nominal” central values of the filters were plugged into the middle expression above.

Assigning the relative amplitude of CIB fluctuation for a given template at the fiducial scale of, say 5’, $\Delta F' \equiv \delta F_{2-5\mu m}(5')/F_{2-5\mu m}$ would require the new populations to produce a net integrated CIB flux of $F_{2-5\mu m} = \delta F_{2-5\mu m}(5')/\Delta F' \sim 1nW/m^2/sr$ for $\Delta F' \sim 10%$. If its $\lambda^{-3}$ SED extends to 1.6 $\mu m$, the integrated CIB fluctuation excess from the new populations would be higher at $\Delta F'(5') \sim 0.3$ nW m$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ over the 1.6–5 $\mu m$ range leading to $F_{1.6-5\mu m} \lesssim 3$ nW m$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ still within the errors of the current conservative CIB measurements of Mitchell-Wynne et al (2007). Conversely, if the $\lambda^{-3}$ SED of the CIB excess observed with Spitzer does not extend to the shortest AKARI 2.4 $\mu m$ channel the required CIB would be correspondingly smaller.

VI. IMPLICATIONS OF CIB FLUCTUATION RESULTS

A. General implications

The general implications of the source-subtracted CIB fluctuations stem from 1) the properties of the clustering, in shape and amplitude, that appear 2) at very low shot noise power levels (Kashlinsky et al, 2007c).

As discussed in Section IV.A.1 the shot-noise power is $P_{SN} \simeq S_{\nu}(\bar{m})/F_{tot}(>m_{lim})$, where $F_{tot}(>m_{lim})$ is the CIB flux from remaining sources. The measured levels of the shot-noise do not currently reach the regime of attenuation of the large-scale fluctuation from clustering; the point where this happens would then probe the flux of the typical sources responsible for this CIB component. The deepest current limits reached are $P_{SN} = (26,14)$ nJy-nW m$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ at (3.6,4.5) $\mu m$. Since $P_{SN} \sim SF_{tot}$, these limits coupled with the above, imply the upper limits on the typical fluxes of the sources producing them:

$$S_{(3.6,4.5)\mu m} \lesssim (26,14) \left( \frac{F_{tot}}{nW/m^2/sr} \right)^{-1} \text{nJy}$$
Such objects would have $m_{AB} \geq 28$--29 and may have fluxes well below what can be probed individually even with the JWST.

A lower limit on the projected surface density, $n_2$, of the new sources can be estimated in a similar manner by writing the shot-noise power from these sources as $P_{SN} \sim F_{SN}^2/n_2$. The measured shot-noise at $P_{SN} \sim 10^{-11}$nW$^2$/m$^2$/sr (Kashlinsky et al. 2007b) gives an upper limit on the shot-noise from the new populations, so their number per beam of area $\omega$, $N_2$, must exceed:

$$N_2 \gtrsim 0.1 \left( \frac{F_{CIB}}{\text{nW/m}^2/\text{sr}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{P_{SN}}{10^{-11}\text{nW}^2/\text{m}^2/\text{sr}} \right)^{-1} \frac{\omega}{10^{-12}\text{sr}}$$

Confusion intervenes when there are more than 0.02 sources/beam (Condon, 1974), so this shows that the bulk, perhaps all, of the new populations would be within the confusion noise of the instruments with beams of $\omega \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-13}$sr or effective radii $\gtrsim 0.05''$. Note that the shot noise in the current measurements is clearly produced by remaining known galaxies and the component contributed by the new sources may be much smaller leading to still stronger constraints from confusion.

B. Known populations

It is now generally agreed that known populations appear insufficient to explain the source-subtracted CIB signal measured at 2–5 $\mu$m. Its origin is then posited to lie in new sources, either at high $z$ or more recent epochs. It was also shown that even extrapolating from the measured UV LFs (Bouwens et al. 2011) of the known galaxy and stellar populations to higher $z$ does not explain the CIB (Cooray et al. 2012a; Yue et al. 2013a), although latter studies of high-$z$ UV LF (Finkelstein et al. 2013) may ease the degree of the disparity somewhat.

C. High-$z$ sources

The bolometric flux produced by populations containing a fraction $f$ of the baryons in the Universe after they have converted their mass-energy into radiation with efficiency $\epsilon$ at an effective redshift $z_{eff} \equiv 1/((1 + z)^{-1})$ is given by eq. (19). Populations at high $z$ are strongly biased, span a short period of cosmic time, and are expected to produce $\Delta_{5'} \sim 10\%$ relative CIB fluctuations around 5$'$ scale. Such populations would then require producing about $F_{CIB} \sim 1$ nW m$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ in the integrated flux at near-IR wavelengths (2 – 5 $\mu$m) implying a correspondingly large luminosity density around rest-frame UV at $z \gtrsim 10$ as argued by Kashlinsky et al. (2007c). Mitchell-Wynne et al. (2015) derived similar numbers from an assumed multi-component fit, including IHL and high-$z$ sources, to deep Spitzer and HST data. The overall fraction of Universe’s baryons needed to explain the CIB is $f_{Halo}f_*$ (see Sec. 2.3.2 in Kashlinsky et al. 2015b). Massive stars can convert matter into radiation with an efficiency of $\epsilon \approx 0.007$, whereas accretion onto BHs can reach $\epsilon \lesssim 0.4$. If the integrated CIB fluctuation approximates the bolometric flux produced by these sources, the mean fraction of baryons that go into the sources inside each halo, is:

$$f_* = 0.1 \left( \frac{f_{Halo}}{0.01} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{0.01} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{z_{eff}}{10} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta_{5'}}{0.1} \right)^{-1} \times \left( \frac{F_{tot}}{\text{nW/m}^2/\text{sr}} \right) \left( \frac{F_{CIB}(2-5\mu m)}{F_{tot}} \right)$$

Thus in order to produce the measure CIB at $z > 10$ with “reasonable” formation efficiencies ($f_* < 10\%$) one requires a large fraction of matter in collapsed halos capable of producing luminous sources.

1. First stars

Potential CIB contributions from first stars have been discussed by various authors assuming both predominantly massive Pop III stars (e.g. Cooray et al. 2004; Kashlinsky et al. 2004; Boulanger et al. 2015b; Salvaterra and Ferrara 2003; Santos et al. 2002) as well as mixed stellar mass functions which include also normal mass stars at high $z$ (e.g. Fernandez et al. 2012, 2010; Helgason et al. 2016). Helgason et al. (2016) conducted an extensive study of the contribution to CIB expected from early stellar populations in standard LCDM cosmology and Fig. 59 summarizes their results for stellar contributions. The fraction of halos, $f_{Halo}$, collapsing at given $z$ according to several variants of the Press and Schechter (1974) prescription, is shown in the upper panel of the figure. Then one can evaluate the net CIB assuming stars of a given stellar mass function form in the collapsed halos with mean efficiency $f_*$. Four stellar mass functions were considered: 1) IMF$_1$ with standard Kroupa mass-function in the (0.1 – 100)$M_\odot$ range, 2) IMF$_{10}$ with log-normal mass function with characteristic mass of 10$M_\odot$ and dispersion of 1$M_\odot$ in the (1 – 500)$M_\odot$ range, 3) IMF$_{100}$ with Salpeter-type power law $\propto M^{-2.35}$ in the (50 – 500)$M_\odot$ range, and 4) IMF$_{500}$ with all stars having 500$M_\odot$ emitting in the near-Eddington fashion. All stars were assumed to evolve from single zero-age main sequence objects, using calculations of luminosity and spectra computed from the population synthesis code of Zackrisson et al. (2011). The resultant mean efficiency $f_*$ required to explain the observed CIB fluctuations at 2–5$\mu$m within the standard LCDM density field (Fig. 1) appears high as shown in the lower panel of Fig. 50. Helgason et al. (2016) discuss further the requirements of high-$z$ sources to produce the observed CIB fluctuations within the conventional, if necessarily simplified, framework of gravitational clustering and spherical collapse of adiabatic LCDM fluctuations. They conclude
that 1) first galaxies, if extrapolated to \( z > 8 \) from known UV luminosity functions would produce much less CIB fluctuation power than observed (cf. Cooray et al. 2012a; Yue et al. 2013b), and 2) at still higher \( z \) (first) stars would have to i) form inside the collapsed halos at substantial formation efficiencies (converting \( f_\alpha \gtrsim 5\% \) of the available baryons in collapsing halos) and ii) be very massive (\( \sim 500 M_\odot \)) if they are to explain by themselves the observed CIB anisotropies. Kashlinsky et al. (2015a) reproduce the observed Spitzer signal with massive early stars forming at the mean formation efficiency \( f_\alpha \simeq 4\% \) out to \( z = 10 \).

The “high-mean-formation-efficiency” difficulty can ultimately be traced to a relative paucity of high-\( z \) collapsed halos - with the parameters considered appropriate for star formation - due to the limited amount of power set by the adiabatic ΛCDM component of matter fluctuations, which arose from the period of inflation. Later we discuss how the abundance of the halos collapsed at high \( z \) is dramatically increased if PBHs constitute the DM, and reduce - by large factors - the efficiencies required to produce the observed CIB anisotropies.

We note that various natural evolutionary modes of first stars, e.g. enhanced binary formation in turn leading to high mass X-ray binaries (Mirabel et al. 2011), would reduce the required efficiency, \( f_\alpha \), easing the energetics requirements for producing the observed CIB excess.

2. Direct collapse black holes (DCBHs)

The motivation to consider first black holes as CIB sources is two-fold: (a) the power from even the faintest reionization sources appears to be insufficient; (b) the CIB-CXB correlation implies the presence of a substantial population of accreting sources. In addition, DCBH \( (M_{\text{DCBH}} = 10^{4-6} M_\odot) \) seeds can ease the already mentioned problem of explaining the inferred masses of supermassive BHs. It is then appealing to consider high-\( z \) accreting DCBH as additional CIB sources. Such faint “AGN” have so far escaped detection from even the deepest X-ray observations (Cowie et al. 2012; Willott 2011) at any stage during their growth. Whereas deep X-ray surveys do not cover enough volume at high redshift, current wide-area studies are simply not deep enough \( (L_X > 10^{42.75} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \). Fiore et al. (2012). A possible exception is the discovery of two \( z > 6 \) DCBHs claimed by Pacucci et al. (2016), which has raised considerable hope to firmly identify these supermassive BH ancestors.

CIB fluctuations may also arise from DCBHs providing a viable alternative to discover them. The original proposal of this was made by Yue et al. (2013b, 2014) who showed that under some conditions, a high-\( z \) DCBH population could explain the observed CIB fluctuations, and most importantly, also the observed CIB-CXB coherence. The spectrum of accreting black holes formed through the direct collapse of metal free gas in halos with virial temperature \( T > 10^4 \) K are very likely to be Compton-thick. This fact has several important implications: (a) as most of photons with energy \( > 13.6 \) eV are absorbed by the large column density of surrounding gas, the contribution of these objects to reionization is negligible; (b) for the same reason, the DCBH contribution to the CXB is reduced significantly; (c) ionizing photons are re-processed into optical-UV bands (free-free, free-bound and 2-photon emission) while Lyα photons are trapped, and finally converted into 2-photon emission. These secondary photons eventually escape the object and considerably boost (by a factor of 10) the contribution of these sources to the CIB fluctuations.

According to Yue et al. (2013b) predictions, fitting the latest Spitzer observations at 3.6 and 4.5 \( \mu \)m, the observed CIB fluctuations at \( \theta > 100'' \) can be explained (Fig. 31) by DCBHs formed in metal-free halos with virial temperature \( T_{\text{vir}} = (1 - 5) \times 10^4 \) K earlier than \( z \simeq 12.5 \). These DCBHs are formed with initial masses of the or-

![FIG. 30](image-url) Adapted from Helgason et al. (2016). Top: the mass fraction in collapsed halos as a function of redshift. Solid and dashed lines correspond to halos with different \( T_{\text{vir}} \) as marked. Dotted lines show the classic Press and Schechter (1974) prediction compared with solid lines for the variant of Sheth and Tormen (2003). Note that the classic Press-Schechter prescription underestimates the abundance of the most extreme objects. Additional complication may arise through UV radiation from first sources, supernova blast waves, and chemical enrichment of the ambient gas. Bottom: the star formation efficiency \( f_\alpha \) required to produce the CIB fluctuations by a given redshift, \( z_{\text{end}} \). The curves assume the entire stellar population forming with IMF \( \text{F} \), IMF\( \text{F10} \), IMF\( \text{F100} \), IMF\( \text{F500} \) (red, green, blue, orange; top to bottom) in all halos. The solid lines show the case where minihalos \( T_{\text{vir}} > 1000K \) are included whereas the dashed lines include \( T_{\text{vir}} > 40,000 \) K halos only.
order of $10^{3.8} M_\odot$, and subsequently were able to grow by accreting gas at the Eddington limit for about 30-50 Myr.

A population of DCBHs with these characteristics would produce a CXB intensity at 1.5 keV that is well below the current observational limits as long as the obscuring gas column density exceeds $N_H \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{25} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$. Analogously, DCBHs contribute only marginally to the CXB angular power spectrum. However, the DCBH signal emerges in the CXB-CIB cross-correlation at scales $> 100''$. For $N_H = 1.5 \times 10^{25} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$ the cross-correlation level of the DCBH population is $\simeq 8 \times 10^{-12} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \, \text{nW m}^{-2} \, \text{sr}^{-1}$, in tantalizing agreement with recent observations of Bose et al. (2013), despite the remaining large uncertainties in current data.

Thus, the near-IR CIB fluctuations and their coherence with the CXB might be the smoking gun of a peculiar population of early intermediate mass BHs; they might also shed light on the challenging questions posed by the rapid formation of SMBHs seen in quasars.

3. Primordial black holes (PBHs)

Following the original LIGO discovery of GW150914 from two $\sim 30 M_\odot$ coalescing BHs (Abbott et al., 2016d) and a tentative detection of another similar object, LVT151012 (Abbott et al., 2016c), two more GW events were announced from a total of 6-7 weeks of Advanced LIGO operations: GW151226 (Abbott et al., 2016c) and GW170104 (Abbott et al., 2017), where there appears a marginal evidence for misaligned spins while no electromagnetic emissions were detected. With the current total of 8-10 BHs, this indicates the presence of BHs with masses peaking near $M_{BH} \sim (20-30) M_\odot$. While the pre-LIGO detection expectations were that the dominant source of detectable GWs would be binary-neutron-star (BNS) mergers (Abadie et al., 2010), by now a growing population of BHs within the above mass-range, while subject to LIGO-specific selection effects, appears to dominate the GW emitting sources. If these BHs are primordial making up or dominating DM, the extra Poissonian component of the density fluctuations would lead to much greater rates of collapse at early times, which would naturally produce the observed levels of the CIB fluctuations (Kashlinsky, 2016).

As discussed earlier, the DM from PBHs will contain an extra (isocurvature) component due to Poissonian fluctuations (Meszaros, 1974, 1975) with the power spectrum $P_{\text{PBH}} \propto k^{-3}$ extrapolation to scales not accessible to CMBFAST, but relevant for first halos collapse. Red horizontal solid line is the Poissonian power from DM PBHs of $M_{PBH}=30 M_\odot$, which clearly dominates the scales relevant for halo collapse at this $z$; $P_{\text{PBH}} \propto M_{PBH}$, with $M_{PBH}$ being a suitably averaged mass in case of PBH mass distribution.

Right: Fraction of collapsed halos at $T_{eq} \equiv 10^5 K$ vs $z$ for standard ΛCDM power spectrum (small stars) and $M_{PBH}=30 M_\odot$ (small circles); same for $T_{vir} > 10^5 K$ shown with large stars/circles. Thick solid lines mark the overall fraction of baryons, $f_{\text{halo}} f_*$ needed to produce the observed CIB with the H-burning radiative efficiency $\epsilon = 0.007$ (blue) and BH-type efficiency $\epsilon = 0.2$ (black). The required mean baryon conversion efficiency into luminous sources inside each halo, $f_*$, would be the ratio of the solid curves to the symbols. $f_*$, while high otherwise, is very modest if the PBHs make up DM.

$P_{\text{PBH}}(z) = \frac{9}{4} (1+z_{eq})^2 n_{PBH}^{-1} g(z)^{-2} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-2} \left( \frac{M_{PBH}}{30 M_\odot} \right) \left( \frac{\Omega_{CDM} h^2}{0.13} \right) g^{-2}(z) \, \text{Mpc}^3$ (20)
where \( g(z) \) is the linear growth factor of fluctuations from \( z = 0 \) to today, with \( g(0) = 1 \). We assumed all PBHs to have identical mass of \( 30 M_\odot \); the discussion can be trivially generalized to any PBH mass distribution with a suitably averaged effective \( M_{PBH} \). Fig. 32 left shows the extra power component for \( M_{PBH} = 30 M_\odot \) compared to the ΛCDM power spectrum from the purely adiabatic fluctuation component. The power is plotted vs the mass contained in wavelength \( 2\pi/k \) which is \( M(r) = 1.15 \times 10^{12} (r/1\text{Mpc})^3 M_\odot \) for the adopted cosmological parameters. This extra power is \( \propto M_{PBH} \) and for \( M_{PBH} > 1 M_\odot \) dominates the small scales relevant for collapse of the first halos at \( z > 10 \), but has no impact on the observed CMB anisotropies or baryonic-acoustic-oscillations (BAO) (Eisenstein and Hu, 1999) which appear in CIB fluctuations on arcminute scales. Moreover, unlike the clustering component, white noise power contributions to the angular CIB power spectrum are not affected by biasing amplification (Kashlinsky et al., 2004). This shows that there is a dramatic increase in power from the Poissonian PBH component, normalized to the LIGO results, on scales relevant to first halo collapse.

The higher abundance of collapsed halos in which first sources would form at \( z > 10 \) for the PBH DM case is shown in Fig. 32 (right) for 1) minihalos where H\(_2\) formation is efficient evolve at \( T \lesssim 10^4 \text{K} \) and 2) where, in the absence of H\(_2\), the metal-free gas will be able to cool to \( 10^4 \text{K} \) and collapse in halos with larger virial temperature will proceed isothermally. In this case luminous sources within the much more abundant early collapsed halos would reproduce the observed Spitzer and AKARI CIB fluctuations with modest formation efficiency requirements. This can be demonstrated by taking population models from Helgason et al. (2016) and rescaling them by the collapse-efficiency ratio from Fig. 32 right. Specifically, Spitzer-based CIB fluctuations would now be reproduced with only \( f_s < 0.5\% \) forming out to \( z \gtrsim 15 \) (instead of 4\% with formation continuing to \( z \approx 10 \)) and the lines in Fig. 5 of Helgason et al. (2016) need to be rescaled down by the corresponding factors. Additionally, the measured CIB-CXB coherence Cappelluti et al. (2013) would require that at least \( \gtrsim (10-15)\% \) of the luminous CIB-producing sources are accreting BHs, broadly consistent with this scenario. The black-body temperature of the emissions arising from the Eddington-accreting BHs is \( T_{acc} \propto M_{BH}^{-1/4} \) (e.g. Kazanas, 2015), so PBHs being much less massive than DCBHs may have CXB component extending to harder X-ray energies.

Gas collapse/evolution in the PBH minihalos may affect the subsequent emitting source formation inside them as outlined in Sec. IV.D.3.B A possibility, discussed in Yue et al. (2013a) for the DCBH model, whereby the gaseous collapsed halos are Compton thick so the ionizing photons are absorbed and reprocessed into a two-photon continuum, may also apply here.

The arguments are valid only if the PBHs make up all, or at least most, of DM, but at the same time the mechanism appears inevitable if DM is made of PBHs. Upcoming extensive aLIGO observing runs, O3 and beyond, planned to start after increasing sensitivity (Abbott et al., 2016), and combined with aVirgo, should be critical in testing this proposition.

D. New intermediate and low-\( z \) sources

1. Intrahalo light

The fits to CIB fluctuations at 3.6 and 4.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) according to the original IHL model from Cooray et al. (2012a) are shown with green short dashes in Fig. 22. The revised model fits from Zemcov et al. (2014) are shown with long green dashes in the figure; in that most recent form, IHL arises mostly at \( z < 0.5 \). While the model can be said to reasonably fit the CIB fluctuations at the highest shot-noise, in its presented forms the IHL fails to account for the data at deeper shot noise levels, available before the introduction of the model, and it remains to be seen whether satisfactory fits to the available data can be constructed by its proponents. In addition, there remain a number of observational and theoretical challenges which make the IHL interpretation problematic. All tests that have been conducted so far have failed to reveal any spatial correlation between the fluctuation signal and extended emission from detected galaxies. If the IHL were to arise from stars originally formed within galaxies, the unresolved fluctuations should produce a measurable spatial correlation with the spatial distribution of resolved galaxies. The apparent absence of such correlations with i) the subtracted outer parts of galaxies, ii) artificial halos placed around galaxies, and iii) the insensitivity to the increased area of source masking, all present challenges for the IHL model. These observational tests are described in detail in Arendt et al. (2010) and Donnerstein (2015). The IHL also does not account for the measured correlation with the soft X-ray background (Cappelluti et al., 2017a; Helgason et al., 2014; Mitchell-Wynne et al., 2010).

2. Axion decay

Gong et al. (2015) proposed that axions with a mass \( \sim 4 \text{eV} \) decay via two \( \gamma \)'s with wavelengths in the near-IR band and in the process leave a signature in the EBL power spectrum over the 0.6-1.6 \( \mu \text{m} \) range in agreement with data. It is not clear, however, how the measured high coherence levels between the near-IR CIB and unresolved soft X-ray CXB can be explained in this model.

\footnote{http://www.virgo-gw.eu/}
E. Limitations of current instrumental configurations

Current observations of source-subtracted CIB fluctuations, at 2–5 μm, as discussed above suggest existence of important new cosmological populations, and its coherence with unresolved CXB implies that in part they include BHs. It is important to identify the nature and the epochs of these sources and their influence on the contemporary high-z Universe. We identify here these goals, the limitations of the current surveys in their regard, and the observational capabilities required to resolve them:

a. Probing directly the epochs from Ly-cutoff is critical to understanding the origin of the new populations responsible for the clustering component of the CIB fluctuations. This can be probed by the implied absence of unresolved CXB. The Lyα line in the presence of H I (prior to full reionization). This cutoff around 0.1(1 + z)μm provides a critical marker of the epochs when the CIB originated; at z ≃ 10 this corresponds to observer wavelength of ∼1 μm. Determining the epochs of the CIB fluctuation sources requires availability of both visible and near-IR exposures to sufficiently large depths (mAB ≥ 24) ideally on the same instrument. Fig. 33 shows with hashed regions the reconstructed CIB fluctuation levels, with their systematic uncertainties, from galaxies remaining in the currently available and shortly upcoming experimental configurations with their depth and wavelengths accessibility related to this: CIBER2 (Lanz et al. 2014, green), AKARI+HST (red), Spitzer+HST (blue) and the Euclid-based configuration detailed in the following Section VII. The CIB fluctuation signal is illustrated with the amplitudes at 4′ where the source-subtracted CIB fluctuation is theoretically expected to be near its peak. The amplitude of the mean squared CIB fluctuation detected with AKARI and Spitzer is shown with black solid square and triangles respectively. As one can see, CIB/EBL fluctuations from remaining known galaxies are such that, to probe a possible Lyman break of the CIB signal at 3.6/4.5 μm, one must eliminate sources to fainter magnitudes than feasible in the current experiments (see discussion in Kashlinsky et al. 2015a).

b. Probing the CIB cross-power and coherence with the CXB with good energy resolution and statistical precision is further critically important in that it tells us in what fraction the CIB emissions come from stellar nucleosynthetic processes or are generated via BH accretion as well as proportions of the two kinds of populations. The use of Chandra as a probe for the CIB vs. CXB coherence has intrinsic limitations arising from the design of the telescope itself (Sect. VII.B.1.e). In fact, its main feature, the high angular resolution, has been obtained at the price of a relatively low effective area, a limited field of view, and strong vignetting that limit the observatory’s survey grasp. The net effects of this design on the Chandra survey performances and fluctuation studies are: a) deep coverage is reached only on a small portion of the field of view near the optical axis; b) images are significantly shallower off-axis; c) modeling sources of noise/foreground is complicated by the broad PSF tails; d) cosmic variance, due to the limited corrected field of view severely affects the large scale measurements of the clustering components; e) Poisson fluctuations due to the low photon surface density number (counts/pix) (i.e. δF_{Poiss}_{X} ≥ δF_{CXB}) affect the maps severely. The net effect is that P_{A−B} ≫ P_{CXB} or, in other words, the X-ray fluctuations signal is background dominated. These effects limit the reliability of CXB vs CIB coherence with Chandra to < 1000'' . Suitably designed rasters, as used for the UDS or the EGS fields (Sect. VII.B.1.e), or stacking fields can mitigate these effects (Cappelluti et al. 2013, 2017b). Another limitation is that P_{A−B} is one order of magnitude larger in the hard X-ray band than in the soft band (Cappelluti et al. 2017b). This limits the energy bands where the coherence can be evaluated and hence the precision on the SED of the signal. So far significant cross-power has been measured only between CIB and [0.5–2] keV with upper limits derived in the hard X-ray bands, limiting probing the X-ray spectrum of the sources. No measurement has been performed with XMM-Newton yet, despite its much larger collecting area and smaller vignetting compared to Chandra; XMM-Newton has a rather broad PSF (15'' HEW, on axis) that hampers the masking of re-
solved sources. Moreover XMM-Newton’s orbit is such that the instrument suffers from severe background flaring and soft protons, which are difficult to model. For the same reason the larger effective area of XMM-Newton in the hard band cannot be fully exploited in fluctuation studies. Future survey missions, like eROSITA, will address these problems by covering extensive area of sky with a high throughput wide-field telescope with smaller vignetting, which in addition will be cancelled out by the scan geometry. The background in the eROSITA’s L2 orbit may be more stable than for XMM-Newton. eROSITA’s broad PSF will remain an issue, but below the scan geometry. The background in the eROSITA’s vignetting, which in addition will be cancelled out by the hard band cannot be fully exploited in fluctuation studies. Remaining known sources CIB, \( F(>m_0) \) in nW/m^2/sr, and shot noise, \( P_{SN} \) in nJy-nW/m^2/sr. Limiting magnitudes for remaining sources use \( \sim 2.5 \sigma \) removal as will be used in CIB studies, which differs from the nominal \( 5\sigma \) by \( \Delta m = 0.75 \).

The VIS instrument performs very broadband (0.55-0.90 \( \mu m \)) imaging using an array of 36 4k CCD detectors with a pixel scale of 0.1”\(^2\). NISP imaging is done in Y, J, and H bands using an array of 16 2k HgCdTe detectors at a pixel scale of 0.3”\(^2\). Via a beam splitter, both instruments have similar fields of view of \( \sim 0.7^\circ \times 0.7^\circ \) (\( \sim 0.53 \) deg\(^2\)).

*Euclid’s* main scientific objectives, studying DE evolution to \( z \sim 2 \), require the mission to carry out two surveys. The Wide Survey aims to cover \( \sim 20,000 \) deg\(^2\) at a nominal depth, whereas the Deep Survey will total \( \sim 40 \) deg\(^2\) observed to 2 magnitudes deeper than the Wide Survey. Data from both surveys should also be useful for studies of the source-subtracted CIB fluctuations. Laureijs et al. (2011, 2014) give a comprehensive overview of the Euclid primary science goals, telescope, instruments, and observing strategy.

The analysis of source-subtracted CIB fluctuations would involve three largely separable tasks: 1) construction of source-subtracted images of suitable scale and depth, and minimal artifacts, 2) subtraction/masking resolved sources, and 3) evaluating the fluctuations.

The means of producing maps for CIB analysis has varied according to the data being used and the researchers performing the study. Default processing pipelines are usually more focused on the resolved sources, and may not be designed to accurately reconstruct diffuse background emission that extends on scales larger than the detector. For *Spitzer/IRAC* data, self-calibration Fixsen et al. (2000) has proved a useful means of mosaicking individual frames into wider and deeper mosaic images, while removing fixed-pattern structure that correlates with the detector rather than the sky Arendt et al. (2010). This technique may be applied to *Euclid* data, but given the size of the *Euclid* surveys there are several issues of scale which need to be addressed to do this efficiently.

The field size of the data that are self-calibrated and analyzed would be limited to sizes up to the maximum scale of interest for the CIB (\( \sim 1^\circ \)). The limited field size retains the ability to analyze the 2-D fluctuations...
without difficulties of the mapping projection. For some tests, much larger regions could be mapped and analyzed in HEALPIX format \citep{Gorski2005}. For angular scales sampled by the limited fields, averaging results from many fields should be equivalent to measurement of the same contiguous area. Multiple smaller fields also allow a wider capability to check field to field consistency.

Savings in processing speed and output data volume can be attained by reducing the resolution of the data. The smallest angular scale information is non-essential, as it primarily reveals the shot-noise level of the CIB, which is also revealed at scales of \(\sim 10''\) and larger (Fig. 34). In working with degraded resolution data, it will be useful to remove sources from individual exposures before creating mosaic images rather than after. Source removal can be based on the size, shape, and brightness of identified sources from the standard processing pipelines. Low resolution source-subtracted mosaics may also have a decreased fraction of masked pixels, because the low-resolution pixel need only be masked if all of the underlying full-resolution pixels are masked.

The analysis of the power spectrum using FFTs, or the correlation function using slower methods, will be more expedient with smaller, lower resolution images and the project will involve both forms of analysis. Processing and analysis will be similar for both the Wide and the Deep Surveys, as both will use the same observing strategy (exposure times and sequence, dithering, etc.). Because the Deep Survey fields are located near the ecliptic poles and are revisited regularly, the repeated coverage at constantly rotating position angles should lead to better self-calibration result and improved data quality, beyond the direct increase in sensitivity of the observations.

\section*{B. Foregrounds: Galactic Stars, ISM and Zodiacal Light}

The same foregrounds (stars, DGL, and zodiacal light) that can potentially affect the CIB fluctuation measurements of other spaced-based observations will need to be considered for analysis of the Euclid data as well (Sections V.B.1.c, V.B.2, V.C.3, V.C.4).

With high angular resolution and good sensitivity (Table IV), most Galactic stars can be individually resolved and masked at the high latitudes of the Euclid surveys. The stellar luminosity function declines at \(M_J \gtrsim 8\) (e.g. \cite{Bochanski2010}), but even late M stars at \(M_J \sim 11\) can be detected by NISP in the wide survey at distance of several kpc. However, cooler and fainter brown dwarfs (e.g. \cite{Dupuy2012}) will only be individually detectable on scales \(\lesssim 100\) pc.

The DGL energy spectrum is expected to rise as wavelengths decrease from Spitzer/IRAC’s 3.6 \(\mu\)m band to Euclid NISP’s Y band. At the Euclid wavelengths, the DGL should be strongly dominated by scattered light with little to no contribution from thermal emission. The wide survey will necessarily cover many regions of higher DGL intensity than previously studied small deep fields (usually selected in part for low ISM column densities). Thus the DGL will usually be stronger than in most previous studies, but the wide survey observations will allow much more robust correlation of potential DGL against other tracers of ISM, or even simply Galactic latitude. Measured relative to the 100 \(\mu\)m thermal dust emission, the mean intensity of high latitude DGL at \(\sim 0.4 - 5\) \(\mu\)m has been pieced together by a number of studies \citep{Arai2015, Brandt2012, Sano2015, 2016, Tsumura2013}. However, these and other studies (e.g. \cite{Mitchell-Wynne2015}) also report significant variations in the DGL at varied locations.

To help minimize backgrounds and increase sensitivity, the Euclid surveys avoid low ecliptic latitudes. However the range of allowed solar elongations is very limited compared to most other facilities, and repeat coverage will only exist for the deep survey and calibration fields. Therefore, detection of zodiacal light influences through temporal tests will be limited, and examination of trends vs. ecliptic latitude may be the most useful approach for the wide survey. A critical look at the colors of the fluctuations can also be useful as CIBER results indicate that the mean CIB spectrum is redder than the zodiacal light \citep{Matsumura2017}. At longer wavelengths, 3.6 - 4.5 \(\mu\)m \citep{Arendt2016} have shown that the zodiacal light does not affect the power from clustering in large scale fluctuations, but it does contribute to the white noise component of the power spectrum.

\section*{C. Probing the power spectrum and its Lyman break}

The configuration of Euclid’s near-IR and visible bands, and the coverage and depth of the surveys, are all uniquely suitable in probing, highly accurately, source-subtracted CIB fluctuations from new sources at early times. The large area covered by the Euclid’s Wide Survey enables measuring the fine features of their power spectrum with unprecedentedly high precision and the Deep Survey allows probing the clustering component at unprecedentedly faint depth.

Mask corrections for the evaluated power are not expected to be important with the Euclid configuration: at 0.3'' resolution there would be \(\sim 1.44 \times 10^8\) pix/deg\(^2\), whereas at the depth of the Deep survey there would be \(\sim 2 \times 10^5\) sources/deg\(^2\) according to deep counts at the near-IR NISP bands. Even taking conservatively \(30 - 50\) pixels/source on average, the mask would eliminate only a few percent of the pixels in the Wide Survey and a bit more in the Deep Survey. However, although the mask fraction is small enough to enable robustly accurate power computation, the images would already be in the confusion limit for their deepest sources, increasing the usefulness of CIB studies. In this limit
FIG. 34 HRK12 reconstruction of fluctuations from known galaxies remaining in the Euclid VIS and NISP bands (Table IV) is shown with grey shaded area for Wide Survey and red lined area for Deep Survey; dashed line denotes the default reconstruction and dotted lines show the HFE to LFE limits for each band. The thick solid line from [Kashlinsky et al. (2015a)] is an example of high-z CIB, which fits Spitzer 3.6, 4.5 μm CIB fluctuation data, based on the IMF500 model from [Helgason et al. (2016)] with $f_{\ast} = 0.04$ ending at $z_{end} = 10$; it has no emissions in Y and VIS bands due to the Lyman cutoff of the source emissions.

the correction for masking, even if necessary in this high-precision measurement, can be done using a methodology of [Kashlinsky et al. (2012)] adopting a high-accuracy template as prior, running it through the mask in simulated maps and comparing the output to measurements. At this resolution removing sources at 2.5σ, or even more aggressively, would be possible; in this limit only $\sim 1\%$ of the noise pixels would be additionally removed at this threshold. This makes the expected total fraction of removed pixels comfortably below 10%.

Fig. 34 shows the advantages provided by the Euclid configuration for CIB power measurements. The HFE to LFE range of CIB fluctuations from the HRK12 reconstruction of remaining known galaxies is shown with shaded regions for each configuration. Thick line shows a high-z CIB example, which fits Spitzer 3.6 and 4.5 μm CIB fluctuation data [Helgason et al. 2016; Kashlinsky et al. 2015a]. The figure shows that such CIB fluctuation component can be robustly resolved in the presence of the known galaxies remaining here. The bulk of the fluctuation signal is contained between $\sim 1'$ and a few degrees with the peak near 10'–15' corresponding to the ΛCDM power spectrum projected to the distance of the emitting sources. The large total area available for the CIB maps would enable the CIB power measurement with better than sub-percent statistical accuracy below 1° assuming that 10,000 deg$^2$ would be useful for CIB analysis. In the 40 deg$^2$ area of the Deep survey, the power spectrum will be measured with better than $\lesssim 15\%(\theta/1')$ statistical accuracy on sub-degree scales. Thus the fine structure of the CIB can be resolved with high statistical accuracy in both Euclid configurations.

The figure also shows that in this configuration the Lyman break of the high-z CIB component can be probed very robustly. In both the VIS and Y bands the levels of remaining known galaxies are comfortably below the high-z component normalized to the measured source-subtracted CIB from Spitzer and which is prominent at the Euclid J and H bands.

Fig. 22 shows that the clustering component of the CIB fluctuations does not yet appear to decrease, within the measurement errors, with the lower shot noise reached in deeper IRAC integrations. As discussed earlier this sets strong constraints on nature of the individual sources producing these CIB anisotropies and finding the shot noise level which starts affecting (decreasing) the large scale clustering component of the CIB would provide important information about the sources producing it. The Wide and Deep survey of Euclid appear suitable for probing with good accuracy the clustering component as function of shot noise out to significantly lower depths and larger angular scales than hitherto possible.

To conclude this discussion, the Euclid parameters, designed for independent dark energy studies, are well positioned to 1) probe the fine structure of the CIB power spectrum highly accurately, 2) determine directly the epochs of the sources producing them from the Lyman cutoff by comparing with the signal at the shorter wavelengths, and 3) probe the behavior of the clustering component as one reaches significantly lower shot-noise levels.

D. Probing BH contribution: CXB-CIB crosspower

In addition to the already operating X-ray satellites, Chandra and XMM-Newton, the expected 2018 launch of eROSITA will be of significant importance for the LIBRAE measurements of the CXB-CIB cross-power. eROSITA$^7$ (extended Roentgen Survey with an Imaging Telescope Array) is an instrument developed by the Max-Planck-Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics together with the German Space Agency DLR, to fly on

$^7$ http://www.mpe.mpg.de/eROSITA
FIG. 35  **Left:** estimates of the Euclid vs. [0.5-2] keV cross-power noise floor. Solid bands represent the Euclid vs. eROSITA configuration for 40 deg$^2$ survey described here. The symbols represent the 3σ detection floor expected from the HRK12 reconstruction of contributions from known galaxies remaining (Table V) in the shown binning. Cyan (upper) shading shows the floor for the eROSITA vs Euclid-VIS cross-power; green eROSITA vs Euclid-H. Blue and dark green (upper and lower) dots (mean value from Table IV) with intervals (HFE and LFE), represent configurations for Chandra vs Euclid/VIS and Chandra vs. Euclid/H respectively.  **Right:** Same as left for [2-10] keV band.

Russia’s space mission Spektrum-RG (SRG). It consists of an array of 7 Wolter-Type I nested mirror systems with 7 X-ray CCD detectors in the focal planes. It will perform an all sky X-ray survey in the [0.1-12] keV range. In the [0.1-2] keV band, the survey will be ∼30 times deeper than the ROSAT All-Sky-Survey, while in the [2-12] keV band eROSITA will perform the first ever all-sky survey with a focusing X-ray telescope. The eROSITA active field of view will be ∼1 deg$^2$, which together with the large collecting area gives eROSITA a grasp of ∼1000 cm$^2$deg$^2$ at [0.5-2] keV, about 3x larger than the combination of the 3 XMM-Newton telescopes (Merloni et al. 2012). The eROSITA PSF will have a half power diameter (HPD) of ∼15″ on axis and 28″ in survey mode.

The eROSITA All-Sky Survey (eRASS) will map the entire sky with a cadence of 6 months and an average exposure of ∼2.5 ks, plus two ∼100 deg$^2$ regions of deep survey, with an exposure of the order of 100 ks at the North and South Ecliptic poles (NEP, SEP), corresponding to limiting sensitivity of 1.1×10$^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and 2×10$^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. In the deep survey the source density will be of the order ∼400 deg$^{-2}$. By masking the X-ray sources with a radius of the HPD, about 90% of the image pixels will be available for the analysis, but still a significant fraction of the source flux will leak outside the mask, which will contaminate the diffuse light estimate.

Since the uncertainty on the cross-power spectrum is proportional to the square root of the survey area, eROSITA and Euclid will provide results to better than ±10% statistical uncertainties out to 1°–2° scales. LIBRAE will cross-correlate the Euclid bands with eROSITA X-ray bands and, on smaller areas with Chandra or XMM-Newton. In theory, if the observed CXB-CIB cross-power is due to accreting BHs at high-z, we do not expect to measure similarly strong coherence between the CXB and the (VIS, Y) as with J, and H bands if the typical sources lie at $z > (4, 6.5)$.

LIBRAE will measure the average X-ray spectrum of the sources contributing to the excess fluctuations by cross-correlating multiple X-ray bands with one or more near-IR bands. The eROSITA range could be divided into energy bands compatible with the X-ray CCD energy resolution, that reaches 60 eV at low energies and >100-150 eV at high energies (>2 keV). However, in a tradeoff between energy resolution and signal-to-noise ratio a reasonable energy resolution in the X-ray band will be of the order of 0.5 to 1.5 keV. The X-ray spectrum carries information about the amount of intrinsic absorption in the host galaxy (measured with the depression of the low energy signal) and the accretion rate (measured with the spectral index). This information, combined with a precise measurement of the clustering properties, may constrain the number density of the sources. These are very important quantities since, if these are high-z BHs, the number density, accretion rate and columns density are key descriptors of these BH populations. If the sources are instead at low-z, these are important to characterize the nature of these populations.

How well can Euclid and eROSITA (and Chandra) measure any CXB-CIB cross-powers? To provide an estimate of the noise floor for these forthcoming measurements by using realistic assumptions of the instrument configurations, we have simulated Euclid deep survey fluctuation maps of 40 deg$^2$ where the signal is only produced by the shot-noise from unresolved discrete sources. The shot-noise levels used are from Table IV, and we varied them according to assumptions on the faint end of the luminosity function adopted in the extrapolation to faint Euclid limiting magnitudes (Helgason et al. 2012). For eROSITA we simulated two deep survey maps of 40 deg$^2$, each, with 100 ks exposure in the [0.5-2] keV and [2-10] keV bands, respectively. The maps have an average count-rate of 2.14 and 0.92 ct/s/arcmin$^2$ (Merloni et al. 2012) in the two bands, respectively. The count rates have been converted into count maps with an exposure map and Poisson noise was added. The maps have been then transformed into surface brightness maps with an energy conversion factor given by the instrumental response and finally into fluctuation maps in units of erg cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$. The same procedure has been adopted to create simulated noise maps with the typical configuration of a Chandra medium-deep field of ∼0.2 deg$^2$, and an exposure of 400 ks, by using the background values in the EGS field analysis by Cappelluti et al. (2013, 2017b).

From those maps we estimated the 3σ noise floor of the cross-power spectrum for every combination of band and instrument configuration. In Fig. [55] we show the computed 3σ upper limit on the noise power, i.e.
approximately constant surface temperature $T_z \mu$ beyond 0.9 sources’ epochs are such that the Ly$\alpha$ producing a large number of ionizing photons with significant result. At the same time, the CIB signal from Atrio-Barandela and Kashlinsky (2014) show how such situations, would have ionized and heated up the surface gas, heated to a temperature of $T_e = T_{e,4} 10^4 K$. If the electron temperature $T_e$ and density $n_e$ are constant, the Comptonization parameter averaged over the solid angle $\omega_B$ subtended by the bubble would be $Y_{C,B} = (4/3) \sigma_T n_e R_{ion} (kT_e/m_e c^2)$, where $R_{ion}$ is the radius of the ionized cloud. Each ionized bubble would generate a CMB mean distortion over an area of solid angle $\omega$ given by $t_{TSZ,B} = G_\nu Y_{C,B} \Delta T_{CMB}$ where $G_\nu$ is the frequency dependence of the $\Delta T$ effect. The net distortion will be the added contributions of all bubbles in the CMB pixel, $T_{TSZ} = n_2 \omega t_{TSZ,B}$, where $n_2 \omega$ is the total number of bubbles along the line of sight on a pixel of solid angle $\omega$.

Since the shot noise power $P_{SN} \sim F_{CIB}^2/n_2$, the sky density of these sources is given by eq. (15) leading to

$$T_{TSZ} \simeq \frac{4}{\pi} G_\nu T_{CMB} \frac{k_B T_e \sigma_T M_{ion} F_{CIB}^2}{m_e c^2} \frac{d_A^2}{\mu m_H} \frac{P_{SN}}{200 G_\nu} \simeq 200 \left( \frac{0.5 \text{ Gpc}}{d_A} \right)^2 \frac{M_*}{10^4 \kappa \mu M_{\odot}} T_{e,4}$$

(21)

Here, $F_{CIB}$ is the net CIB flux from these sources in nW/m$^2$/sr, $\mu$ is the mean gas molecular weight and $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant. $M_*$ corresponds to a conservative choice for the mass of the ionizing sources in each early halo and the proper angular diameter distance $d_A=0.5–0.9 \text{ Gpc}$ at $z=20–10$. For the above, the effective Thomson optical depth due to the reionized medium $\Delta \tau \simeq 200 nK/[T_{CMB}(k_B T_e/m_e c^2)]=0.044$ is below the measurement values in Table [II].
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For example, massive Population III stars have approximately constant surface temperature $T_e \sim 10^4 K$ producing a large number of ionizing photons with energy $\geq 13.6 eV$, and resulting in a constant ratio of the ionizing photons per H-burning baryon in these objects. There would be $\sim 10^{62} M_*/M_\odot$ ionizing photons produced over the lifetime of these stars ($\sim 3 \times 10^6$ yr) (Bromm et al., 2001; Schaefer, 2002) by a halo containing $M_*$ in such sources. If $\kappa$ ionizing photons are required to ionize a H atom, around each halo containing $M_*$ in stars there will be a bubble of $M_{ion} \sim 10^8 \kappa^{-1} M_*$ ionized gas, heated to a temperature of $T_e = T_{e,4} 10^4 K$. If the electron temperature $T_e$ and density $n_e$ are constant, the Comptonization parameter averaged over the solid angle $\omega_B$ subtended by the bubble would be $Y_{C,B} = (4/3) \sigma_T n_e R_{ion} (kT_e/m_e c^2)$, where $R_{ion}$ is the radius of the ionized cloud. Each ionized bubble would generate a CMB mean distortion over an area of solid angle $\omega$ given by $t_{TSZ,B} = G_\nu Y_{C,B} \Delta T_{CMB}$, where $G_\nu$ is the frequency dependence of the $\Delta T$ effect. The net distortion will be the added contributions of all bubbles in the CMB pixel, $T_{TSZ} = n_2 \omega t_{TSZ,B}$, where $n_2 \omega$ is the total number of bubbles along the line of sight on a pixel of solid angle $\omega$.

Since the shot noise power $P_{SN} \sim F_{CIB}^2/n_2$, the sky density of these sources is given by eq. (15) leading to
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Here, $F_{CIB}$ is the net CIB flux from these sources in nW/m$^2$/sr, $\mu$ is the mean gas molecular weight and $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant. $M_*$ corresponds to a conservative choice for the mass of the ionizing sources in each early halo and the proper angular diameter distance $d_A=0.5–0.9 \text{ Gpc}$ at $z=20–10$. For the above, the effective Thomson optical depth due to the reionized medium $\Delta \tau \simeq 200 nK/[T_{CMB}(k_B T_e/m_e c^2)]=0.044$ is below the measurement values in Table [II].

FIG. 36 Adapted with modifications from Atrio-Barandela and Kashlinsky, 2014. Filled regions correspond to [3.6]–[H] through [VIS] colors of up to $\sim 15$. The observed $3.6/4.5 \mu$m vs $[0.5-2]$ keV cross power in the same angular range is $\sim (3-5) \times 10^{-11}$ nW m$^{-2}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-2}$. This means that we can measure drop-outs in the cross-power between X-ray versus 3.6 $\mu$m and X-ray versus Euclid H–VIS bands of the order $\sim 10^2$. This corresponds to [3.6]–[H] through [VIS] colors of up to $\sim 5$ magnitudes, which are sufficient to obtain significant measurements of the Lyman break. However, this means that, regardless of the nature of the sources, it will be possible for the first time to infer the properties of source populations with a detailed measurement of the broad band SED of the EBL fluctuations.
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Due to variation in the number density of bubbles with a relative number fluctuation of $\Delta \approx 0.1$, the CMB distortion $T_{\text{TSZ}}$ would generate CMB temperature fluctuations. The TSZ temperature anisotropies would have amplitude $\sim T_{\text{TSZ}} \Delta$ that is potentially detectable by cross-correlating the produced CMB anisotropies with CIB fluctuations. For bubbles coherent with CIB sources, the cross-power between CIB and TSZ is $P_{\text{CIB} \times \text{TSZ}} \approx \sqrt{T_{\text{CIB}} P_{\text{TSZ}}}$. To compute this cross-correlation, the sub-arcsecond Euclid CIB and arcminute resolution CMB maps will be brought to a common resolution. When measuring the cross-power from IR and microwave maps ($n_{\text{pix}}$) of $N_{\text{pix}} \text{ CMB pixels}$, the error is $\sigma_{P_{\text{CIB} \times \text{TSZ}}} \approx \sqrt{T_{\text{IR}} P_{\text{mw}}/\sqrt{n_{\text{pix}}}}$, since at $\gtrsim 1'$ the Euclid CIB maps will have negligible noise with $P_{R}=P_{\text{CIB}}$. From the Euclid Wide Survey the CIB power on arcminute scales will be measurable by LIBRAE to sub-percent statistical accuracy. If primary CMB is removed, the foreground-reduced microwave maps would be dominated by instrument noise $\sigma_{n}$, foreground residuals $\sigma_{I_{\text{res}}}$ and, more importantly, the TSZ of the unresolved cluster population $\sigma_{e_{\text{cl,unnr}}}$. With $N_{\nu}$ microwave frequency channels the variance of the microwave map would be $\sigma_{\text{mw}} = \sigma_{n}^{2}/N_{\nu} + \sigma_{I_{\text{res}}}^{2} + \sigma_{e_{\text{cl,unnr}}}^{2}$. The signal-to-noise would be $S/N \sim T_{\text{TSZ}} / \sigma_{\text{mw}}$, reaching $S/N \gg 1$ for certain experimental configurations discussed below. Specifically

$$S/N = 7 \frac{T_{\text{TSZ}}}{200 n K} \frac{\sigma_{\text{mw}}}{0.1} \left( \frac{\sigma_{n}}{5 \mu K} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{N_{\text{pix}}}{3 \times 10^{6}} \right)^{-1/2}$$

(22)

where $N_{\text{pix}} = 3 \times 10^{6}$ is the expected sky coverage of the Euclid Wide Survey at the native Planck resolution of $5'$. At the same time, emissions from early times, $z \lesssim 10$, should exhibit no correlations at VIS and, likely, Y bands with CMB enabling the measured cross-power from the Euclid’s longest bands to be uniquely interpreted.

The CMB-CIB cross-power peaks around $\sim 10'$, scales which can be probed with the forthcoming CMB instruments that plan to cover large areas of the sky with noise of $\sigma_{n} \lesssim$ a few $\mu$K. Also important in eliminating the contribution of primary CMB and the KSZ terms to the measured signal is availability of multiple frequencies covering both sides of the TSZ zero frequency at $\sim 217$ GHz: any components having black-body energy spectrum can then be eliminated in taking $T$-differences at different frequency pairs as proposed in Atrio-Barandela and Kashlinsky (2014). The CMB data from the forthcoming experiments planned to complete by the time of the Euclid surveys would reach higher S/N of the CMB-CIB cross-power than the combined Planck+SPT data shown in Fig. 39. In its first two years of observation, the ACTPol camera observed $\sim 600 \text{deg}^2$ at $149$ GHz with a noise level of $17 \mu \text{K}$-arcmin and a resolution of $1.3' - 2'$ for the different arrays (Sherwin et al., 2010). The NSF-supported new Adv ACTPol camera will observe in five bands spanning the $25-280$ GHz range with a resolution of $\sim 1.5'$ (Ward et al., 2016), similar to the currently operating ACTPol. As of this writing the AdvACTPol configuration is planned to map $\sim 10^4 \text{deg}^2$ with sub-arcminute resolution of $1.3'$ FWHM at frequencies of $\sim 97$ and $\sim 148$ GHz (Thornton et al., 2016). At the smallest angular scales, the Silk-Michie damping suppresses the primary CMB temperature anisotropies to an amplitude $\delta T \lesssim 1 \mu \text{K}$ leaving the variance of the microwave map dominated by the instrument noise and possible foreground residuals. The larger frequency coverage will allow to efficiently remove foregrounds. Although the final sensitivity and total observing area are yet to be determined, the noise is a factor of $\sim 5-6$ lower than in the current data, and the new camera can observe $\gtrsim 2 \times 10^3 \text{deg}^2$, covering $\sim 3 \times 10^6$ pixels in a reasonable amount of time. Then the cross-correlation of a single map with the source subtracted CIB data will reach the $S/N$ shown in Fig. 39 if foreground residuals are negligible. These results are easily scalable to other noise levels and different configurations, since $S/N \propto (N_{\text{pix}}/\sigma_{n})^{1/2}$ where $N_{\text{pix}}$ is the number of pixels in the survey area, and $\sigma_{n}$ is the noise variance of the observations. The CMB-CIB cross-power can be determined with a statistical $S/N \sim 25$ if the CMB-S4 generation of experiments currently being designed reach their noise target of $\lesssim 2\mu \text{K}$-arcmin with an angular resolution of $\lesssim 2'$ (Abitbol et al., 2017).

F. History of emissions from Lyman tomography

The NISP filters, depth and sky coverage available for LIBRAE with the Euclid mission appear particularly useful for the application of the Lyman tomography method, Sec. 4V.D.7 (Kashlinsky et al., 2015a). The large areas covered by the Euclid surveys enable high precision measurement of the source-subtracted CIB power spectra in each of the bands which then allow accurate construction of the quantity $P_{\Delta z} \equiv P_{2} - P_{1/2}/P_{1}$ in each of the two NISP band pairs [J–Y, H–J], with subsequent wavelengths ordered $\lambda_{2} > \lambda_{1}$. In terms of $z$-range, the Y–J configuration then covers CIB emissions over $8.8 < z < 11.7$ and the J–H isolates CIB from $11.7 < z < 15.4$; the upper/lower redshifts of these ranges will be denoted $z_{1}/z_{2}$. This assumes the Lyman-cutoff at rest $\text{Ly}_{\alpha}$ of $\lambda_{\text{Ly}_{\alpha}} = 0.12 \mu$m, appropriate for the pre-full ionization conditions at these epochs. Fig. 57h shows that these Euclid filters isolate emissions over a narrow range of distances about $\sim 5-7\%$ in comoving $d_{A}$, centered at $d_{A}$. For reference, $\pi/q = 10^4$ corresponds to $\ell=2,160$ and subtends comoving scale of $\sim 20\,h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ at $z=10$, scales that are in highly linear regime at those epochs.

The power spectrum of the emitting sources would be proportionally related to the underlying $\Lambda$CDM one, since the relevant angular scales sublend tens of comoving Mpc where the density field was highly linear. Because the procedure isolates a narrow shell
in \( d_A(z) \) around \( d_0 \), the comoving angular distance to the central filter wavelength, one can further expand \( \Delta^2_{\Lambda CDM} (q/d_A) \) as \( \Delta^2_{\Lambda CDM} (q/d_0^{-1})(1-n_{\Delta}(q/d_0^{-1})(d_0/d_a)) \), where \( n_{\Delta}(k) \equiv d \ln \Delta^2_{\Lambda CDM} (k)/d \ln k \) is the spatial spectrum of the \( \Lambda CDM \) template and \( dA_d \equiv dA(z)-d_0 \sim (5-7)\%d_0 \). Fig. 37b shows the template expected from the concordance \( \Lambda CDM \) model and the spectral index \( n_{\Delta} \) then. Given the narrow range of \( d_A \) spanned by each \( Euclid \) filter for the Lyman tomography and the values of \( n_{\Delta} \) the power from sources over the narrow range of epochs can be approximated as:

\[
\frac{q^2 P_{\Delta z}}{2\pi} \approx \Delta^2_{\Lambda CDM} (q/d_0^{-1}) \int_{z_{LY}(\lambda_2)}^{z_{LY}(\lambda_1)} \left( \frac{dF_{\Lambda CDM}}{dz} \right)^2 \, dz \tag{23}
\]

Eq. 23 shows that 1) history of emissions over \( z_{LY}(\lambda_1) < z < z_{LY}(\lambda_2) \) is recoverable in the \( Euclid \) adjacent filter configurations and 2) the resultant \( P_{\Delta z} \) preserves information about underlying parameters over these \( z \). Both can be recovered in the LIBRAE CIB measurements.

Figs. 37c and d illustrate the potential accuracy of this procedure in recovering the history of CIB emissions with an example normalized to reproduce \( Spitzer \) fluctuations at 3.6 and 4.5 \( \mu m \). It is taken from Kashlinsky et al. (2015a) using 1) an IMF500 modeling (Helgason et al. 2016) and 2) a \( Euclid \)-specific reconstruction of the contribution from remaining known galaxies from HRK12. Red lines show the true history of the emissions inside halos collapsing according to the standard \( \Lambda CDM \) model. Incoherence due to remaining known galaxies is explicitly incorporated in this example and the history recovered with this method is shown with black lines covering the span of systematic uncertainties of the reconstruction. Except a slight bias upward of a few percent, the history of emissions appears recovered accurately with the \( Euclid \) configuration, even preserving the BAO features in the underlying power spectrum in this example. Kashlinsky et al. (2015a) show that good accuracy is achieved even when only a few percent of the \( Spitzer \)-based CIB fluctuations originate at high \( z \). In practice, with the \( Euclid \) CIB measurements the true \( z \) will be verified by measuring distance from fitting the angular template which appears accurately recoverable.

G. Probing BAOs and dark energy at \( 10 < z < 16 \)

\( Euclid \)'s goal is to explore the Universe’s expansion history to understand the origin of the current accelerated period and the nature of DE by measuring the clustering of galaxies out to \( z \sim 2 \) and the weak lensing distortion out to \( z \lesssim 3 \). Amendola et al. (2016) summarize the main observables to be extracted from the data to forecast future performance of the satellite in testing the various models. The \( Ly \) tomography described above will, in principle, be possible using all 4 \( Euclid \) filters, VIS, Y, J, H. The results will contribute to these goals by exploring the BAOs and cosmological parameters at redshifts \( 6 < z < 16 \), much higher than those available with the standard techniques (Kashlinsky et al. 2015a).

From the derived CIB maps used in the tomographic reconstruction, \( [Y-VIS], [J-Y] \) and \( [H-J] \), isolating populations over \( \Delta A_d \sim d_A \), LIBRAE will test the expected \( \Lambda CDM \) template at this new range of \( z \). The location and amplitude of the maximum and BAOs imprinted in the matter power spectrum can be used then to determine cosmological parameters. To that purpose, the power spectrum needs to be sampled with sufficient angular resolution. In the frequency domain the resolution \( \Delta \theta \), is set by maximum size, \( \Theta_0 \), of the region being analyzed: \( \Delta \phi = 2\pi/\Theta_0 \). To achieve a resolution of \( \Delta \phi = 0.5' \) requires \( \Theta_0 \sim 20' \) (Kashlinsky et al. 2015a). In Fig. 38
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we plot the power spectra of ΛCDM models with various \( \Omega_\Lambda = [0.635, 0.68, 0.725] \). The data are centered around the ΛCDM matter power spectrum with \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.68 \). These cosmic variance errors do not include instrumental noise and systematic effects; the data are taken from a rectangular patch of area \( 20^\circ \times 10' \). Since the power is measured from CIB fluctuations that are biased with respect to the underlying matter power spectrum, the data constrains the overall shape but not its amplitude. Consequently, all cosmological parameters that modify the shape and location of the acoustic peaks, such as \( \Omega_{\text{bar}}, \Omega_K \), massive neutrino energy density, etc, can be constrained by the tomographic reconstruction of the power spectrum.

BAOs encode information about the sound horizon at recombination, whose value is \( r_s = 144.81 \pm 0.24 \) Mpc (Planck Collaboration et al., 2014b). The angular scale subtended by the sound horizon can be measured from the correlation function of galaxies to derive angular diameter distances at epochs probed by galaxy catalogs (Eisenstein and Hu, 1998). The technique can also be applied to the frequency domain (Percival et al., 2010) to constrain \( \Omega_{\text{DE}} \), the DE equation of state, \( p = w\rho c^2 \), and/or the interactions within the dark sector (Wang et al., 2016). The dynamical evolution of DE affects reionization of the Universe (Xu et al., 2017) and Ly tomography will provide angular diameter distances to that \( z \), allowing us to test the effect of models on an epoch that can not be probed with current techniques (Aubourg et al., 2015).

H. LIBRAE summary

LIBRAE’s goals are summed panoramically in Fig. 39:

- Measuring power spectrum of source-subtracted CIB fluctuations at near-IR to sub-percent statistical accuracy with the Wide Survey’s NISP data.
- Probing epochs of sources producing the CIB fluctuations by cross-correlating with diffuse light from the Wide Survey’s VIS data.
- Probing the CIB properties as function of depth from the Deep Survey.
- Determining the nature of the sources (BHs vs. stars) by cross-correlating with X-ray data assembled for this project.
- Probing the condition of the IGM at pre-reionization by cross-correlating source-subtracted CIB from the Wide Survey with multi-frequency all-sky CMB data.
- Probing BAOs and DE evolutions at \( 10 < z < 20 \) using Lyman tomography.
- Probing the history of emissions at \( 10 < z < 20 \) using Lyman tomography.
LIBRAE will thus identify the net emissions from the first stars era, lead to a better understanding of the IGM at that epoch, isolate the contributions from the first BHs and probe the history of emissions at $9 \lesssim z \lesssim 16$ and the cosmological parameters at those times.

VIII. OTHER FORTHCOMING EXPERIMENTAL CONFIGURATIONS

- **JWST** with its near-IR camera, NIRCam, will identify individual sources to much fainter fluxes than either *Spitzer* or *AKARI* creating an opportunity to measure cumulative CIB emissions produced at still earlier epochs or from fainter sources. The NIRCam wavelength coverage spans seven wide overlapping filters from 0.7 to 5 $\mu$m and so will have a built-in capability to directly probe the Lyman-break of the unresolved populations, provided the instrument noise, astronomical foregrounds and foreground galaxy populations can be isolated. Kashlinsky et al. (2015) identify an experimental configuration of the JWST which, together with the strategies developed there, can provide critical insight into the origin of the source-subtracted CIB fluctuations detected in *Spitzer* and *AKARI* measurements, identify the epochs where the fluctuations arise, probe the fluxes of the sources producing them and reconstruct/constrain the history of the emissions via the proposed adjacent two-band Lyman tomography. They show that the CIB science dictates a configuration with 400 hrs of NIRCam mapping for all 7 wide NIRCam filters of 1 deg$^2$ contiguous area to $m_{AB} \simeq 28$ in a low cirrus region, e.g. the Lockman Hole or CDFS. With that setup one would be able to address important questions pertaining to the details and nature of populations that led the universe out of the Dark Ages. They also discuss the effects of the open configuration of the JWST on the CIB study arguing that the potential stray light effects may be mitigated to yield a fundamental constraint on the otherwise inaccessible range of epochs (and fluxes) of the CIB sources that are expected to lie in the confusion noise of the JWST beam. This measurement will supply additional important data for cross-correlating with the CIB to be measured by LIBRAE, and expand the *Euclid*’s reach to the greater depth and wavelength coverage available with NIRCam.

- **WFIRST** is a flagship NASA mission$^9$ that will provide further venues for accurate measurements of source-subtracted CIB fluctuations from its deep coverage of 2,000 deg$^2$ of the sky in the planned wide survey mode. The survey will employ 4 (out of six) near-IR bands at 1, 1.3, 1.6 and 1.8 $\mu$m and will have deeper, than *Euclid*, integrations to $m_{AB} \sim 27.5$ (2.5$\sigma$). In addition, as of this writing, the mission is planned to have two extra channels centered at 0.6 and 0.87 $\mu$m. The visible channel, available around 0.6 $\mu$m (J. Kruk, private communication) in the planned Guest Observer program would allow probing the Lyman break of source-subtracted CIB fluctuations with the WFIRST data alone, although probably from mapping a smaller area than the wide survey. The net sky area covered by WFIRST is an order of magnitude smaller than *Euclid*’s Wide Survey, but would still allow probing the CIB power spectrum with sub-percent statistical accuracy at arcminute scales. Its deeper exposures will enable probing the evolution of the CIB clustering component at still lower shot-noise levels than with *Euclid*. The large area of the survey, mapped at 4 near-IR channels to greater depths than *Euclid*, will provide an opportunity for further application of the Lyman tomography and BAO study at the $6.5 \lesssim z \lesssim 15.5$ epochs.

- **CIBER-2** is planned to probe EBL in six bands at [0.6, 0.8, 1.1, 1.3, 1.6, 1.9]$\mu$m with a 28.5 cm Cassegrain telescope after removing sources to $m_{AB} \sim 19$ (1.3$\mu$m, J-band) over a $\sim 1^\circ \times 2^\circ$ FoV (Lanz et al. 2014). The instrument will be flown to suborbital altitudes on board a series of sounding rockets. CIBER-2 is tasked to “explore cross correlations on both sides of the Lyman break to distinguish between low and high redshift components of the EBL” fluctuations. However, Fig. 33 shows the challenges and problems when trying to achieve this goal with CIB/EBL anisotropies in such a shallow configuration where high-$z$ component appears subdominant compared to, and is much smaller than the uncertainties in, the CIB fluctuations from remaining known galaxies. Other configurations designed to similarly shallow exposures would be subject to similar limitations.

- **SPHEREx** is a proposed NASA MIDEX mission selected for Phase A study$^{10}$. If selected further, it will employ Linear Variable Filters to carry out an all sky spectral survey with spectral resolution $R \simeq 41$ at $[0.75-4.18] \mu$m and $R \simeq 135$ at $[4.18-5] \mu$m. The survey will have angular resolution of $6.2^\prime$ and depth $m_{AB} \sim 18-19$ (5$\sigma$). Although, one of the planned goals of the survey is to probe the origin of CIB fluctuations, the shallow depth, and hence the uncertainties of the substantial component of the remaining known galaxies, would preclude reliably isolating high-$z$ CIB fluctuations as discussed in Sec. VI.E and illustrated with Fig. 33. Additionally, the low angular resolution of the instrument, would remove large fraction of the sky at $\sim 50\%$, on a par with CIBER; this will require development and application of the correlation function tools to verify any FT-based CIB fluctuation analysis.

- **21 cm SKA**. Cross-correlating the CIB with the H I 21cm line signal from EoR can provide additional
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$^9$ https://cosmology.caltech.edu/projects/CIBER2

$^{10}$ http://spherex.caltech.edu/
information. To first order, if galaxies were the CIB and reionization sources, one would expect a CIB-21cm anti-correlation produced by ionized bubbles around the sources (Fernandez et al., 2014; Mao, 2014). If instead CIB sources are obscured black holes from which only X-rays can escape (i.e. no UV emission) the situation can be very different. With their long mean free paths and efficient IGM heating, X-rays could dramatically boost the 21 cm signal during the early EoR stages (Haiman, 2011; Mesinger et al., 2013). The anticorrelation is the strongest when the ionization fraction is about 50%. Although there are free parameters in these models, the cross-correlation signal is rather insensitive to their variation, as many of the same parameters (as, e.g. the star formation efficiency, stellar mass, metallicity) affect both the infrared and the 21 cm line emission. Cross-correlations can also reduce some of the limitations of both types of experiments, like the lack of redshift information for CIB sources. If detected, the CIB-21cm correlation will inform us precisely on the redshift distribution of the sources (McQuinn and White, 2013). This will be made possible by the forthcoming Square Kilometer Array (SKA) data.

IX. OUTLOOK FOR THE FUTURE

This review summarized current observational status of the near-IR CIB anisotropy measurements and their cosmological implications. Following many new measurements and observations this novel field has recently come from a relative obscurity to significant, rapid development, to become a subject of lively scientific debate. The coming years will bring more accurate CIB fluctuation measurements with new upcoming missions. We discussed these here with a particular emphasis on the LIBRAE project which will utilize data from the Euclid Dark Energy mission, currently planned for launch in late 2020/early 2021, for source-subtracted CIB measurements. To achieve decisive interpretation, one needs diffuse light measurements with experimental configurations that 1) reach deep exposures to be able to identify the potential Lyman cutoff of the high-z CIB sources, 2) combined with availability of the space-borne data in visible bands, 3) measured over wide area to reach high accuracy determination of the source-subtracted CIB power spectrum, and to simultaneously be able to correlate the measured CIB with 4) suitable X-ray background data (from eROSITA and Chandra) to probe the contributions from accreting BHs from nucleosynthetic sources at high z, and 5) multi-frequency CMB data over large areas of the sky with low noise and high angular resolution, such as planned from the currently planned surveys (AdvACTPol and CMB-S4), to identify condition of high-z IGM. Newly developed methodologies will enable precision science with the future CIB data.

---

https://www.skatelescope.org/
| Acronym | Full description |
|---------|-----------------|
| ACIS-I  | Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer - imaging arrays |
| AEGIS XD | All-wavelength Extended Groth strip International Survey - X-ray, deep |
| AGB     | Asymptotic giant branch |
| AKARI   | A Japanese infrared satellite |
| ALMA    | Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array |
| AOR     | Astronomical observational request |
| BAO     | Baryonic acoustic oscillation |
| BH      | Black hole |
| BNS     | Binary Neutron Star |
| CCD     | Charge-coupled device |
| CIB     | Cosmic infrared background |
| CLEAN   | A deconvolution algorithm |
| CMB     | Cosmic microwave background |
| COB     | Cosmic optical background |
| COBE    | Cosmic Background Explorer |
| CXB     | Cosmic X-ray background |
| DAOPHOT | Dominion Astrophysical Observatory stellar photometry package |
| DCBH    | Direct collapse black hole |
| DE      | Dark energy |
| DGL     | Diffuse Galactic light |
| DIRBE   | Diffuse InfraRed Background Experiment |
| DM      | Dark matter |
| EBL     | Extragalactic InfraRed background light |
| EoR     | Epoch of Reionization |
| eRASS   | eRosita all-sky survey |
| eROSITA | extended Roentgen Survey with an Imaging Telescope Array |
| FFT     | Fast Fourier transform |
| FIRAS   | Far Infrared Absolute Spectrophotometer |
| FoV     | Field of view |
| FT      | Fourier transform |
| FWHM    | Full width half maximum |
| GOODS   | Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey |
| GP      | Gunn-Peterson (effect) [Gunn and Peterson, 1965] |
| GW      | Gravitational wave |
| H I      | Neutral hydrogen |
| H II     | Ionized hydrogen |
| HFE     | High faint end (of HRK12 reconstruction) |
| HPD     | Half power diameter |
| HRK12   | Helgason, Ricotti & Kashlinsky [Helgason et al, 2012] |
| HST     | Hubble Space Telescope |
| HZ      | Harrison-Zeldovich |
| ICL     | Intra-cluster light |
| IGM     | Inter galactic medium |
| IHL     | Intra-Halo light |
| IMF     | Initial mass function |
| IRAC    | InfraRed Array Camera |
| IRAF    | Image Reduction and Analysis Facility |
| IRC     | InfraRed Camera (on AKARI) |
| IRTS    | infraRed Telescope in Space |
| IRX     | InfraRed excess |
| JSM     | Interstellar medium |
| JWST    | James Webb Space Telescope |
| KSZ     | Kinematic SZ (effect) |
| LBG     | Lyman Break Galaxy |
| LCDM    | Lambda cold dark matter |
| LDDE    | Luminosity-dependent density evolution |
| LF      | Luminosity function |
| LFE     | Low faint end (of HRK12 reconstruction) |
| LIBRAE  | Looking at Infrared Background Radiation Anisotropies with Euclid |
| LIGO    | Laser Interferometer GW Observatory |
| LW      | Lyman-Werner |
| NEP     | North ecliptic pole |
| NFU     | Navarro-Frenk-White [Navarro et al, 1997] |
| NICMOS  | Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer (on HST) |
| NIRCam  | Near Infrared Camera (on JWST) |
| NISP    | Near Infrared Spectrometer and Photometer (on Euclid) |
| NUDF    | NICMOS ultra deep field |
| OH-glow | OH (molecular) emission |
| PHB     | Primordial black hole |
| PDF     | Probability distribution function |
| PIB     | particle internal background |
| PSF     | Point-spread function |
| ROSAT   | Röntgensatellit |
| SED     | Spectral energy distribution |
| SEDS    | Spitzer Extended Deep Survey |
| SEP     | South ecliptic pole |
| SFG     | Spectrum Röntgen Gamma |
| SMBH    | Supermassive black hole |
| SZ       | Sunyaev-Zeldovich (effect) [Sunyaev and Zeldovich, 1972] |
| TSZ     | Thermal SZ (effect) |
| VIS     | Visible instrument (on Euclid) |
| WMAP    | Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe |

X. APPENDIX: ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Table V lists the common acronyms and abbreviations used throughout the review.
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