Toenail as Non-invasive Biomarker in Metal Toxicity Measurement of Welding Fumes Exposure – A Review
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Abstract. Workers are exposed to a variety of heavy metal pollutants that are released into the environment as a consequence of workplace activities. This chemical pollutants are incorporated into the human by varies of routes entry and can then be stored and distributed in different tissues, consequently have a potential to lead an adverse health effects and/or diseases. As to minimize the impact, a control measures should be taken to avoid these effects and human biological marker is a very effective tool in the assessment of occupational exposure and potential related risk as the results is normally accurate and reproducible. Toenail is the ideal matrix for most common heavy metals due to its reliability and practicality compared to other biological samples as well as it is a non-invasive and this appears as a huge advantage of toenail as a biomarker. This paper reviews studies that measure the heavy metals concentration in toenail as non-invasive matrix which later may adapt in the investigation of metal fume emitted from welding process. The development of new methodology and modern analytical techniques has allowed the use of toenail as non-invasive approach. The presence of a heavy metal in this matrix reflects an exposure but the correlations between heavy metal levels in the toenail must be established to ensure that these levels are related to the total body burden. These findings suggest that further studies on interactions of these heavy metals in metal fumes utilizing toenail biomarker endpoints are highly warranted especially among welders.

1. Introduction

Biological marker or biomarker is widely known as a one of the alternative method used to measure and observed on the outcome of the disease and medical state as the results is accurate and reproducible [1]. Mayeux, (2004) had categorised biomarker into two major groups: biomarker of exposure which are used in risk prediction, and biomarker of disease, used to screen, diagnose and monitor disease progression [2]. The markers of human tissue and excreta can be obtained by invasive and non-invasive methods where non-invasive is much preferred due to greater acceptability [3]. The applications of biomarker in assessing welder’s health have been conducted in a few researches especially in investigating the impact of workplace setting to health. In recent years, a few authors have begun to measure heavy metals concentration emits from welding fumes by using a biomarker. However, the scope of biomarker used is limited to a specific method. Previous study has reported that the exposure to welding fumes especially manganese has significantly higher than controls and led to altered status of serum ferritin and transferrin receptor, TIR [4]. The findings had shown that serum
ferritin and TfR have a similar adequate sensitivity and were associated with age-related changes [5]. However, the results is biased as serum ferritin is an acute-phase reactant and this lead to gender differences where the results normally lower in women and this make ferritin less ideal [6], [7]. Furthermore, the issues of timing, persistence, dose and storage site need to be considered for this banked serum [2]. From the current research, Baker et al., (2015) found out that manganese influences the magnetic resonance properties in surrounding tissues since manganese is part of a paramagnetic element [8]. Despite the fact that MRI is highly sophisticated and may presented high accuracy data, but this approach also require a proficient and knowledgeable operator to operate machine, time consuming and require a special software prior to automated analysis [9].

Data from several sources have identified the used of urine as biomarker in tracing toxic metals [10]–[12]. Along the same line, there is a research revealed that the metal concentration exposed from metal fumes appeared positively in urine samples among welders with higher readings of manganese and chromium in female welders [13]. This view is supported by Golbabaei et al., (2012) who writes that the concentration of metals in welder’s urine is greater for chromium, cadmium and nickel respectively [14]. The proteins and polypeptides are quite stable in urine samples anyhow exosomes in urine represent a less stability due to changes of the temperature on sample storage [15], [16].

An assessment of occupational exposure and potential related risk then had been widely explored including implementation of blood as biomarker. In 1999, Bader et al. published a paper in which they described that blood is better than urine and/or hair in identifying manganese since blood is a highly complex tissue [17],[18]. In contrast to Bader, a number of studies argue that blood is not the recommended biomarker due to the different toxicokinetic profile where bloods represent recent exposures [19], [20]. Likewise, from the experiment of assessing metal fumes exposure among welders by using blood, urine and toenail; the researchers holds a view that toenail is preferred as a biomarker for long-term exposure assessment than blood and urine [21], [22].

Studies of biomarkers show the importance of invasive and non-invasive approach for a particular clinical study [23]. This approach offers some important insights into the observation of end points in a continuum of effects prominent from exposure of environmental agents to diseases; due to sensitivity, it is able to identify risk factors for the disease outcome and provide vast value to an environmental carcinogen; and non-invasive methods are fast becoming a key instrument in assessing metal toxicity compared to invasive methods because of greater acceptability [3]. This approaches provides immense mean values in identify risk factors for a disease due to its sensitivity and particularly beneficial in measuring the progressive diseases based on manifest symptoms due to prolong exposure to welding fumes. Therefore, the ability of biomarkers to detect medical state and heavy metals constituents in welders is promising. Biomarkers used to assist in extrapolation of available data to obtain the distinct data. Biomarkers may be classified into five categories: molecular lesions, metabolized exogenous agents, endogenously produced biomolecules, cellular/tissue changes and unchanged exogenous agents [3].

Since non-invasive is preferable than invasive method, thus, the study of metals due to welding fumes exposure by using biomarkers had undergo an extensive research. In view of all that has been mentioned so far, these studies provide important insight into the exploration of toenail as an assessment tools in evaluation of long-term occupational health impact due to metal fumes exposure towards welder.

2. Objective
This paper attempts to review on crucial sources of information on application of toenail as biomarker to identify heavy metal concentration in which later may adapt in the investigation of metal fume emitted from welding process. The reliability, validity, collection and sampling of metals in toenail due to welding activities were also assessed in this study. The purpose of this paper is to review research on toenail used as a biomarker to be incorporated in welding-metal fume studies. An overall brush up of welding fume biomarkers is crucially needed to give a clear picture and direction of future innovative and exploration of welding fume studies with potential health risks among welders.
3. Methods
This review of the world literature on metal concentration and toenail as biomarkers due to exposure to welding included a search of the online electronic databases published in English language in PubMed, Science Direct, and Scopus. The relevant bibliographies in identified paper were also taken into considerations and these efforts were not limited to put into use of Google and reference manager programme as a further confirmatory search tools. The literatures searched in the reference manager were published from 2010 to 2016 and these were focused on toenail as biomarker of heavy metal only. Each database was searched through April to June 2016. A general key words used to make the full review more focused in the search are: toenail, nail, heavy metal, welding fume, metal fume, exposure to metal, health risk, welder, metal toxicity and bio-monitoring. In obtaining a specific focused on reliability and validity of toenail, the search have been made through search engine of Science Direct, PubMed and Scopus by using term “toenail AND heavy metal AND air” within year 2010 to 2016 with the search details are ("nails"[MeSH Terms] OR "nails"[All Fields] OR "toenail"[All Fields]) AND ("metals, heavy"[MeSH Terms] OR ("metals"[All Fields] AND "heavy"[All Fields]) OR "heavy metals"[All Fields] OR ("heavy"[All Fields] AND "metal"[All Fields]) OR "heavy metal"[All Fields]) AND ("air"[MeSH Terms] OR "air"[All Fields]) AND ("2010/01/01"[PubDate] : "2016/12/31"[PubDate]). Science Direct had listed 20 articles journal and only 3 articles were significant with the research scope. Whilst, PubMed had listed 180 articles with only 6 articles were found relevant where one of the articles is the same articles as listed in the Scopus search engine.

4. Results
4.1. Toenail: Biomonitoring Human Exposure to Metals
There is a growing interest in the health assessment of heavy metal exposure as these elements may initiate an adverse health effect and have a potential to induce multiple organ damage, even at a lower level of exposure since it is considered as systemic toxicants [24]. Air, surface water and soil are the medium of heavy metals emissions to the environment and the existence is derived from a wide range of processes and pathways [25]. The toxicity of this heavy metals is depends on several factors including dose, route of exposure, and chemical species, as well as the age, gender, genetics, and nutritional status of exposed individuals [26]. The evaluation of metal exposure and prediction of human health risks is important and needed for decision maker as this have potential to minimize exposure risks [27]. Apart of metal exposure along any route of entry, it has long been recognized that, in occupational settings, a significant exposure to metal either in form of dust or fume can occur among welder through inhalation and may lead to adverse health effects.

Therefore, in assessing the subsequent biological effect and risk of metal toxicity in health of workers, the biomarkers is one of the best approaches to provide important information [3]. The variety of biomarkers for heavy metals is not focusing into one method only but the availability is largely different. Blood, hair, nails, and bone or urine specimens were used previously to assess heavy metals [28]. Heavy metal in welding fumes studies which have incorporated biomarkers to examine the heavy metal exposure via inhalation of welding metal fumes were carried out in Malaysia, Germany, France, Denmark, Saudi Arabia, and the United States [29]–[38]. In the literature on biomarker of heavy metals, the relative importance of toenail has been subject to considerable decision. This is by reason of toenail samples are non-invasive yet convenient to collect and store, grow more slowly compared to hair, not susceptible from external contaminants, and represent longer-term exposures than blood or urine [39]. This research has been supported by a previous study on the use of toenail as an attractive diagnostic tool in assessing heavy metals as it is an economical method, protected from infections and contaminations [40]. In investigating a concentration of heavy metals among workers, toenail is used to provide a better insight into biological marker of welding fumes. It is belief that a diversified heavy metals constitute in fine particulate matter were arise from welding
fumes and the level of these metals in toenail is corresponded with concurrent environmental exposure [41]. Therefore, all research adopted toenail as a specimen used as metal biomarker were included in this reviewed paper. A non-invasive approach of toenail concentrations is preferred and suggested as a useful biomarkers because it provide a time-integrated measure of intake in body and represent a long exposure time frame due to relatively slow growth rate [28], [42], [43]. A finding on the presences of heavy metals in the toenail from a different medium of exposure in a various sample subjects worldwide has been presented in Table 1. The results shows that toenail have a capacity to be a reliable biomarker for heavy metal tracing and this would suggest that it may be a promise tools in determine the existence of metal fumes in welders.

Table 1. Application of toenail as biomonitoring tools in assessing heavy metal concentration.

| Study Area     | Medium of Exposure                        | Subject                      | Heavy Metals | Findings                                                                 | References |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| South Korea   | Food: consumption of fish and seafood in dietary intakes. | Middle-aged adults          | Hg           | Higher toenail levels of mercury were associated with a higher risk of dysregulation of lipids. | [44]       |
| Pakistan      | Air and food: Dust exposure, drinking water and food. | Adults and children          | Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb, | The bioaccumulation ratios (BAF) shows that nail is an effective tools to fingerprint long term trace metals exposure. | [45]       |
| Cambodia      | Water: drinking water from groundwater.    | Residential community        | Mo, Co, Pb, Ni, Cr, As, Cu, Ba, Mn, Zn, Fe, | The levels of metals accumulated in toenail were similar for hair and corresponded with the levels of metals in the groundwater. | [46]       |
| Zambia        | Air: inhalation and exposure at mining industry. | Exposed and non-exposed community adults. | Cd, Co, Cu, Pb, Ni, Se, Zn. | Metal concentrations were found higher in toenail. | [47]       |
| Qatar         | Air, ingestion of food and water contamination. | Immigrant farm workers      | As, Ba, Cd, Cu, Mn, Mo, Pb, Se, U | Toenail likewise accumulates both toxic and essential elements in farm workers but there is no association of metals was found between toenail and urine. | [48]       |
| United States | Air: metal fumes exposure                  | Welder                       | Pb, Mn, Cd, Ni, As | All toenail metal concentrations were significantly correlated with one another. | [29]       |
| Iraq          | Severe contamination of water, soil, and air due to bombardment. | Adults and children (Fallujah families). | Al, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Mo, Cd, Pb, Th, U | The metal concentration level has been represented in toenails but hair contained significant higher amount than toenails. | [49]       |
| United States | Air: metal fumes exposure                  | Welder                       | Mn           | Manganese in the toenail appeared to have well correlated with 7 – 12 months exposure than blood or urine. | [22]       |
### United Kingdom

**Food:** ingestion of contaminated soil and dust.

**Residential community of the former mine site.**

**As**

Total As concentrations in toenails from the exposed group were significantly elevated and lead to chronic exposure to high environmental contamination. [50]

### Kenya

**Air:** industrial and vehicle air pollution sources.

**School age children**

**Pb, Cd, Zn, Fe, Ca**

The levels of heavy metal in the nail were significantly influenced by both environmental and nutritional factors. [40]

### Northern Japan

**Food:** fish

**Adults (women)**

**Hg**

Toenail was significantly correlated with the estimated daily mercury intake. [51]

### Italy

**Food:** diet and other sources

**Hospitalize male patients**

**Cd**

Suggest that nails and toenails are a biomarker of environmental cadmium exposure. [52]

### Finland, Germany, Israel, Netherland, Norway, Russia, UK, Switzerland, Spain

**Air:** inhalation of atmospheric soil dust.

**Adults (men)**

**Ce**

A metal concentration in toenail level was associated with an increased risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI). [53]

---

### 4.2. Reliability and Validity of Toenails as Biomarker of Metal Fumes

Park et al., (2012) suggested that nail has a potential to act as a biomarker and might be a favourable tools to monitor the xenobiotic exposure and adverse health effects to human’s anatomy [54]. Similar to welding fumes, tobacco smoke contained toxic materials and considerable amount of heavy metals such as cadmium, chromium, lead, nickel, aluminium, arsenic, copper, manganese, selenium and zinc [55]–[57]. Along these lines, based on research of tobacco smoke, it was decided that toenail is the best non-invasive biomarker to adopt for heavy metal investigation due to few factors: rapid growth, less exposure to external contamination, adequate sample availability and fusion of elements in the tissue [58], [59].

Finding from Sanders, Miller, Nguyen, Kotch, & Fry (2014) shows a positive results that seem to be consistent with other research which revealed a strong significant relation via Spearman’s correlation of metal in toenail notably manganese, chromium, lead, cadmium and arsenic among Vietnamese children’s lived in smelting craft village [60]. Although hair also is classified as non-invasive biomarkers alike toenails, by contrast, the exposure towards external contamination such as shampoo, dust, bleaching, dyeing and permanent waving may influenced the results and therefore, this is the main limitation of this biomarkers [23], [61]–[65]. Since, there is a limited study on the research of heavy metal concentration outlined in welders toenail, therefore, this review is focused on the correlation of heavy metal found by the utilization of toenail as non-invasive biomarker. The inter-comparison or the consideration of similar researches with regards to the heavy metal concentration traced in toenails via inhalation are discussed and has been presented in Table 2 and is intended to provide a clarification regarding the ability and capability of toenails as an effective tool to analyse heavy metal content in the human body. Indirectly, this comparison can reinforce a research and serve as a benchmark for measuring the use of toenails as an effective tool in the study and details the content of heavy metal in humans.
Apart of contaminated food and water, dust exposure may contribute to the burden of heavy metals in human body and approximately 50% of trace metal that exceed Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) is found in the Punjab’s industrial areas, thus confirming on the health threat to human [45]. The studies presented thus far provide evidence that the accumulation of heavy metals found in the toenail samples is higher compared to other biomarkers and this are associated with industrial sites that had an elevated level of metals in dust which may be affected by demographic characteristics, sources contamination and a difference of exposure routes [66]. In a research of toenail concentration in mining industry, Ndilila et al., (2014) had mentioned that the metal concentration of cadmium, cobalt, copper, lead, nickel, selenium and zinc were greater where the toenail copper concentration marked 85% of the validity; and admitting the small sample size and one form of biomarker used are the limitation in this study, yet authors have been quantified the significance of toenail as long-term metal exposure [47]. Similarly, Sanders et al., (2014) found that six types of metal concentrations of cadmium, manganese, lead, chromium, mercury and arsenic had detected in the toenail of every participant and has suggested that heavy metal levels in the blood have reflect recent exposures on the order of days, whereas in the toenail the levels represent longer-term exposures till a month onwards [60].

To better understand the mechanisms of toenail and its validity, Grashow et al., (2013) had analysed the metal level in the toenail among construction workers that was exposed to metal fumes in welding hours [29]. Notably, the lead, manganese, cadmium, nickel and arsenic were detectable in the toenail clippings and there was a high correlation between toenail metals in each participant especially between manganese and cadmium. Realizing that the growth rate or clipping length of toenail is varies across individuals and may considered as a constraint in this study, this study had proven that variation would not affect the validity of the study results as it is not correlated with hours welded [29]. Thus, this outcome had supported the ability of toenail as biomarker since this biological sample may capture an internal exposure over specific time intervals and have a capability to reflect a longer term exposure. This view is supported a findings of Mordukhovich et al., (2012) who writes on the potency of toenail as biomarker in a study of a cohort of elderly men and a positive association between toenail arsenic with the blood pressure levels have been discovered [39]. Once confirmed, a little evidence of association of toenail cadmium, mercury and lead with blood pressure may give an important impact in the United States since there are no investigations have assessed relations between blood pressure with arsenic, cadmium, mercury, manganese, or lead by using toenails as biomarkers. In the same year, Amaral et al., (2012) had revealed the high relation of exocrine pancreatic cancer (EPC) risk and toenail concentrations of lead, selenium, nickel, cadmium and arsenic since this is first epidemiologic study conducted [67]. Despite the study design is a retrospective with a small and difference of the recruitment period of sample size and appears as a weakness of the study, the authors also highlight on the matching on residence area; equal age distributions; the simultaneous quantification of the trace elements in the same laboratory and under the same quality control procedures as the important strength in the research and this had present toenail as a reliable approaches in assessing past exposures.

In another major study, Al-Sabbak et al., (2012) found that most metals of aluminium, manganese, cobalt, copper, zinc, molybdenum, lead, thorium and uranium had contained a significant amount in the toenail [7]. The studies presented thus far provide evidence that toenail is able to detect a level of metal concentrations in human body with a longer exposure window. A research executed by Laohaudomchok et al., (2011) in the population recruited from welding school observed that toenail is more practical than fingernail and hair as both of samples are more frequently open to the external environment thus this subject to contamination of samples [8].

The wide range of process had influence the occurrence of heavy metals in environment for a long time and currently, due to the rapid growth of modernisation and technology, the exposure of heavy metals is increasing in some areas in the workplace. Air, water, soil and food are the pathway of environmental exposure and this normally require in-situ monitoring to evaluate the existence of heavy metals in environment. The common pathway for heavy metals in occupational exposure is via
inhalation. Therefore, in assessing of heavy metals among worker due to occupational exposure, the implementation of toenail as biomarker requires a personal sampling at work area to ensure the data gain thru biomarker is conclusive.

Table 2. The inter-comparison of trace heavy metal concentrations (µg/g) reported worldwide in toenails for year 2010 – 2016.

| Study Design       | Element (µg/g) | Instruments | Authors |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|
|                    | Cd            | Cu          | Fe      | Mn  | Ni  | Zn   | Pb    | Cr   | As  | Co  |
| Population based study | 0.005         | 0.20        | 0.20   | 0.10 | 8.00 | 0.30 | 0.02  | 0.00 |     |     |
|                    | 1.00          | 88.0        | 24.0   | 19.0 | 382.0| 34.0 | 2.00  | 0.3  |     |     |
| Population based study |              |             |        |      |      |      |       |      |     |     |
| Cross-sectional study |              |             |        |      |      |      |       |      |     |     |
|                      | 0.37          | 32.5        | 0.37   | 62.0 | 0.8 | <DL  | 0.40  |      |     |     |
| Cross-sectional study |              |             |        |      |      |      |       |      |     |     |
|                      | 35.5          | 2225        | 33.8   | 599.0| 158.0| 1.00 | 11.5  |      |     |     |
| Retrospective study |              |             |        |      |      |      |       |      |     |     |
| Cross-sectional study |              |             |        |      |      |      |       |      |     |     |
|                      | 1.07          | 15.6        | 0.35   | 0.17 |     |      |       |      |     |     |
| Cross-sectional study |              |             |        |      |      |      |       |      |     |     |
|                      | 1.97          | 8.82        | 14.70  | 1.68 |     |      |       |      |     |     |
| Retrospective study |              |             |        |      |      |      |       |      |     |     |
| Epidemiological study |              |             |        |      |      |      |       |      |     |     |
| Case-control study  | 0.008         | 0.186       | 0.253  | 0.052|     |      |       |      |     |     |
|                    | 0.029         | 0.885       | 0.975  | 0.106|     |      |       |      |     |     |
| ICP-MS = Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry, DRC-ICP-MS = Dynamic Reaction Cell-Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry, ICP-AES = Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry.

4.3. Strength and Limitation of Toenail
From the past study, it has conclusively been shown that dietary selenium from food intake did not influence the concentration of selenium in the toenail [68]. In the same way, the correlation research was consistent and supported by other study on traced manganese from toenail [22], [69]. On the other hand, there is a correlation observed between intakes of arsenic in dietary with arsenic concentration in toenail among subjects in Bangladesh [70]. The most likely causes of this connection are due to presence of high concentration of arsenic in staples food in Bangladesh diet: rice and vegetables. Regardless of Kile et al., (2007) findings, a few researchers had acknowledged that in order to monitor the occupational exposure, trace elements or heavy metals in nails to be widely accepted and analysed [40], [71], [72]. In the 1970s, Hopps pointed to some of the ways in which he concluded the trace element analysis from nail samples is precise, accurate and reproducible as well as the specimen is easy to obtain and store [73].
A considerable amount of literature has been published on the adoption of both fingernails and toenails as biomarkers to trace specific metal concentrations in human body and each represent particular strength and limitation [74]–[77]. There are a number of important differences between fingernail and toenail. Compare to toenail, fingernail growth faster which this conditions is accessible for a frequent sampling among subject [78]. Nonetheless, fingernail is commonly exposed to exogenous chemicals (i.e. medication and nail polish) therefore this might leads to contamination and may affect results such as overestimation of endogenous chemical amount in body [22], [28]. In spite of this constraint, such circumstance may turn into an opportunity to assess the impact of the toxic chemicals handling.

However, unlike fingernail, toenail is more prevalent and preserved from any exogenous exposure and this provide a better option to measure any relevant metal concentration from industrial setting. This technique is scrutinize as a reliable and convenient tool in assessment of exposure because less exposure to water than other non-invasive biomarker particularly fingernail, skin and hair [79]. Moreover, toenails are easier to collect and store and this indicate a practical advantage on this approach instead of blood and urine [22], [43]. The reliability of toenail as a long-term biomarker for metal exposure has been discussed and considered and provide a more stable measure for trace elements [20], [80]–[82]. The analysis of toenail expresses a long-term exposure which reflects 2 – 12 months before collection of specimen whereas urine and blood reflects current exposure of 3 – 4 days and 2 – 3 days respectively [65], [79], [83]. Hence, the toenail clipping for heavy metal assessment in target population is required to be done at least 2 months before so that the reading of heavy metal is meticulous [75]. According to Hinwood et al., (2003), the metabolic activities in the body will be isolated from the time when nails detached from skin which causes the accumulation of heavy metals concentration in toenail is reliable [84].

Sriram et al., (2012) stated that the slow growth rate of toenail compared to fingernail is the advantage of this approach over any biological fluid i.e. blood or serum because it’s allow for continuous and chronological bio-monitoring of adverse exposure and may prove an advantageous in monitoring of long-term exposure and reflects any burden in human body and/or target organ [85]. Yet, the application of toenail as biomarker have been the subject of questioned by Angerer, Ewers, & Wilhelm (2007) which claimed to be hampered due to no standard operating procedure (SOPs) have been evaluated and published. The absence of SOPs for this approach is considered as limitation for this method [86].

4.4. Toenail as Non-Invasive Method: Collecting and Sampling

Toenail is the main non-invasive method used to determine a metal concentration in human body. The toenail from all toes of each subject were clipped and stored in small envelopes together with indication of toenail clipping date. This compound was prepared by adapting the procedure used by Laohaudomchok et al., (2011) [22]. The collection method of nail specimen is one of the more practical ways of retrieve and analyses of metals concentrations in epidemiological studies even the specimen has been stored for a months or years [87], [88]. According to Kile et al., (2005) and Sanders et al., (2014), a sufficient mass of toenail from all toes were collected in pooled by a registered nurse using a clean clipper and it is best if the metal concentration in the toenail can be analysed in authorized inter-laboratory nail testing to ensure an adequate quality assessment as the certified references material is insufficient [60], [70], [77]. Briefly, a modified version of Method 3050B, USEPA was used to extract the toenail [60]. Then, each sample was spiked with 10 μL of 1000 ppm gold stock solution in order to retain mercury for measurement. Next, 0.5 mL nitric acid (HNO₃), 0.1 mL hydrochloric acid (HCl), and 1 mL deionized water were added to the samples. The samples were heated at 95°C loosely capped to allow reflux for one hour. The samples were cooled, 1 mL of 30% hydrogen peroxide was added, and the samples were heated at 95°C for 15 min. The samples were cooled, 0.4 mL of HCl was added, and the samples were heated at 95°C for an additional 15 min. The samples were brought to a final volume of 10 mL using deionized water and vortex mixed. Samples were analysed using a Thermo X-Series II ICP-MS equipped with a dual gas collision cell. The limit
of detection (LOD) among toenail metals varied for each individual because the available mass differed by sample, and a higher sample mass resulted in a lower LOD.

In order to remove external contamination from nails, Kile et al., (2005) stated the sonicating samples in a 1% Triton X-100 solution is used for 20 minutes [70]. After that, toenails were then rinsed repeatedly in Milli-Q water, dried, weighed, and digested in nitric acid at room temperature [22], [39], [60], [65]. Inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) were used in analysed heavy metals in specimens as described by Goulle et al., (2009) after the resultant solution was diluted to 8% HNO₃ [77]. In the same vein, other past researchers however suggested that apart of accessing protein from nails for diagnosis and molecular epidemiological research, DNA in toenail also can be analysed in this biomarkers and the quality of DNA remained constant even though it has been stored for a long period [89], [90]. These statement has been proven by Nakashima et al., (2008) that found out the total called rate for DNA in old nails was 95% or higher which mean the preserved nail clipping for a prolong time were equivalent with fresh clipping nails [91].

5. Conclusions
This systematic review of a few published studies highlights the importance of biological monitoring of heavy metals by using toenail. Based on the reviewed done, the findings of this research provide insights for application of toenail as a useful tool of metal toxicity measurement and this can be administered to welder in order to minimize the exposure of heavy metals and adverse health effect. According to the studies that had been done, the results suggest that toenail may be a useful alternative matrix and attractive biomarker for the detection of heavy metal in human body.
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