Green’s dyadic approach of the self-stress on a dielectric-diamagnetic cylinder with non-uniform speed of light
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Abstract. We present a Green’s dyadic formulation to calculate the Casimir energy for a dielectric-diamagnetic cylinder with the speed of light differing on the inside and outside. Although the result is in general divergent, special cases are meaningful. It is pointed out how the self-stress on a purely dielectric cylinder vanishes through second order in the deviation of the permittivity from its vacuum value, in agreement with the result calculated from the sum of van der Waals forces.
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1. Formulation of the Green’s dyadic approach

The electromagnetic Green’s dyadic functions \( \Pi \) have been successfully used in many occasions (for an extensive view see [2] and references within) and can be applied to very complicated geometries. Their use happen to be critical in this calculation [3]. This approach helps us compute the vacuum expectation value of the fields rigorously; we show that the approach is both illuminating of the physics and unambiguous.

1.1. Green’s dyadic equations; formalism

In a medium of constant electric permittivity \( \varepsilon' \) and magnetic permeability \( \mu' \) we insert an infinitely long cylinder of radius \( a \) with permittivity and permeability \( \varepsilon \) and \( \mu \). The product of these parameters is different than that of the outside parameters. There are no real charges of any kind present in the problem, \( \rho = J = 0 \) and since we work at a fixed frequency we can Fourier transform the electric and magnetic fields,

\[
E(r,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} E(r,\omega) e^{-i\omega t}, \quad B(r,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} B(r,\omega) e^{-i\omega t}, \quad (1)
\]

and the corresponding Maxwell’s equations are

\[
\nabla \times E = i\omega \mu H, \quad \nabla \cdot D = 0, \quad (2a)
\]

\[
\nabla \times H = -i\omega \varepsilon E, \quad \nabla \cdot B = 0. \quad (2b)
\]

‡ On sabbatical leave at the Department of Physics, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130 USA
Green’s dyadic approach of the self-stress on a dielectric-diamagnetic cylinder

In order to write down the Green’s dyadic equations, we introduce a polarization source \( \mathbf{P} \). The first equation in (2a) and the second one in (2a) get then changed to,

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = -i\omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} - i\omega \mathbf{P}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}.
\]

The linear relation of polarization source with the electric field defines the Green’s dyadic as

\[
\mathbf{E}(x) = \int (dx') \mathbf{\Gamma}(x, x') \cdot \mathbf{P}(x').
\]

Since the response is translationally invariant in time, we work with the Fourier transform of the dyadic at a given frequency \( \omega \). We can then, by simple substitution, write the dyadic Maxwell’s equations in a medium characterized by a dielectric constant \( \varepsilon \) and a permeability \( \mu \):

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{\Gamma}' - i\omega \mu(\omega) \mathbf{\Phi} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon(\omega)} \nabla \times \mathbf{1}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Phi} = 0, \quad (5a)
\]

\[
-\nabla \times \mathbf{\Phi} - i\omega \varepsilon(\omega) \mathbf{\Gamma}' = \mathbf{0}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma}' = 0. \quad (5b)
\]

and where the unit dyadic \( \mathbf{1} \) includes a three-dimensional \( \delta \) function, \( \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \). Quantum mechanically, these Green’s dyadics give the one-loop vacuum expectation values of the product of fields at a given frequency \( \omega \),

\[
\langle \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}') \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{i} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'), \quad \langle \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}') \rangle = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{1}{\omega^2 \mu^2} \nabla \times \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}'. \quad (6)
\]

Thus, from the knowledge of the classical Green’s dyadics, we can calculate the vacuum energy or stress.

Since the TE and TM modes do not separate, we cannot use the general waveguide decomposition of modes into those of TE and TM type\( || \). However we can introduce the appropriate partial wave decomposition for a cylinder, in terms of cylindrical coordinates \((r, \theta, z)\)\( \S \):

\[
\mathbf{\Gamma}'(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \omega) = \sum_{m = -\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\{ \left[ \nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right] f_m(r; k, \omega) \chi_{mk}(\theta, z) \right. \\
+ \frac{i}{\omega \varepsilon} \nabla \times \left[ \nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right] g_m(r; k, \omega) \chi_{mk}(\theta, z) \left\}, \quad (7a)
\]

\[
\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \omega) = \sum_{m = -\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\{ \left[ \nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right] \tilde{g}_m(r; k, \omega) \chi_{mk}(\theta, z) \right.

\]

\[
- \frac{i\varepsilon}{\omega \mu} \nabla \times \left[ \nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right] \tilde{f}_m(r; k, \omega) \chi_{mk}(\theta, z) \left\}, \quad (7b)
\]

where the cylindrical harmonics are \( \chi(\theta, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{im\theta} e^{ikz} \), and the dependence of \( f_m \) etc. on \( \mathbf{r}' \) is implicit. Notice that these are vectors in the second tensor index. Because of the presence of these harmonics we have

\[
\nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \rightarrow \frac{im}{r} \mathbf{\hat{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \equiv \mathbf{\mathcal{M}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \times (\nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \rightarrow \frac{i\kappa}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial^2}{r^2} - \hat{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \equiv \mathbf{\mathcal{N}}. \quad (8)
\]

\( \S \) In order to have divergenceless Green dyadics, we redefine the electric Green’s dyadic in the following way,

\[
\mathbf{\Gamma}'(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \omega) = \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \omega) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon(\omega)} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \] and \( \mathbf{\Phi} \) is the magnetic dyadic.

\( || \) For example as given in Ref. 4. However, this is here impossible because the TE and TM modes do not separate. See Ref. 5.

\( \S \) A slight modification of that given for a conducting cylindrical shell 3.
in terms of the cylinder operator \( d_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{m^2}{r} \). It is trivial to see that the divergence of \((7a)\) and \((7d)\) is zero, satisfying immediately two the dyadic Maxwell’s equations. Now, if we use the Maxwell equation \((5d)\) we conclude

\[
\tilde{g}_m = g_m \quad \text{and} \quad (d_m - k^2)\tilde{f}_m = -\omega^2 \mu f_m.
\]

More elaborate work is needed to get a condition form the other Maxwell equation \((5a)\). Using the above we can write \((5a)\) as,

\[
\sum_m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\{ -\mathcal{M} \left( d_m - k^2 \right) \frac{1}{\omega^2 \mu} \tilde{f}_m - \frac{i}{\omega \varepsilon} (d_m - k^2)\mathcal{N} g_m \right\} \chi_{mk}(\theta, z) = 0
\]

if we multiply the above by the expression \( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta d z \chi_{mk}'(\theta, z) \), and apply \( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta d z \chi_{mk}'(\theta, z) = 2\pi \delta(k - k') \delta_{mn} \), we find

\[
\sum_m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\{ i\omega \mu \mathcal{N} g_m + \varepsilon \mathcal{M} \tilde{f}_m \right\} \chi_{mk}(\theta, z) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla \times 1 = 0.
\]

where the delta functions are now made explicit. By dotting this expression with \( \hat{z} \) we notice that \( \hat{z} \cdot \mathcal{M} = 0 \) and \( \hat{z} \cdot \mathcal{N} = -d_m \) and after a little manipulation we get to the fourth order differential equation:

\[
d_m \mathcal{D}_m \tilde{f}_m(r; r', \theta', z') = \frac{\omega^2 \mu}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{M}' \frac{1}{r} \delta(r - r') \chi_{mk}^*(\theta', z').
\]

If we now dot it with \((\nabla \times \hat{z})\), we learn that a similar equation holds for \( g_m \):

\[
d_m \mathcal{D}_m g_m(r; r', \theta', z') = -i \omega \mathcal{N}' \frac{1}{r} \delta(r - r') \chi_{mk}(\theta', z'),
\]

where we have made the second, previously suppressed, position arguments explicit and the prime on the differential operator signifies action on the second primed argument.*

To solve those equations, we separate variables in the second argument,

\[
\tilde{f}_m(r, r') = \left[ \mathcal{M}' F_m(r, r'; k, \omega) + \frac{1}{\omega} \mathcal{N}' G_m(r, r'; k, \omega) \right] \chi_{mk}(\theta', z'),
\]

\[
g_m(r, r') = \left[ -\frac{i}{\omega} \mathcal{N}' G_m(r, r'; k, \omega) - i \mathcal{M}' \tilde{G}_m(r, r'; k, \omega) \right] \chi_{mk}(\theta', z').
\]

where we have introduced the two scalar Green’s functions \( F_m, G_m \) satisfying

\[
d_m \mathcal{D}_m F_m(r, r') = \frac{\omega^2 \mu}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r} \delta(r - r') \quad \text{and} \quad d_m \mathcal{D}_m G_m(r, r') = \frac{\omega^2}{r} \delta(r - r'),
\]

while \( \tilde{F}_m \) and \( \tilde{G}_m \) are annihilated by the operator \( d_m \mathcal{D}_m \),

\[
d_m \mathcal{D}_m \tilde{F}(r, r') = d_m \mathcal{D}_m \tilde{G}(r, r') = 0.
\]

* The ambiguity in solving for these equations is absorbed in the definition of subsequent constants of integration.*

* The Bessel operator appears, \( \mathcal{D}_m = d_m + \lambda^2 \); \( \lambda^2 = \omega^2 \varepsilon \mu - k^2 \).
1.2. Green’s dyadic solutions

The Green’s dyadics have now the form:
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{F}'(r, r'; \omega) &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\{ \mathcal{M} \mathcal{M}'^* \left( -\frac{d_m - k^2}{\omega^2 \mu} \right) F_m(r, r') + \mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}'^* \frac{1}{\omega^2 \varepsilon} G_m(r, r') \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\omega} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}'^* \left( -\frac{d_m - k^2}{\omega^2 \mu} \right) \tilde{F}_m(r, r') + \frac{1}{\omega \varepsilon} \mathcal{N} \mathcal{M}'^* \tilde{G}_m(r, r') \right\} \chi_{mk}(\theta, z) \chi_{mk}^*(\theta', z'), \quad (17a)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{F}(r, r'; \omega) &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left\{ -\frac{i}{\omega} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}'^* G_m(r, r') - \frac{i\varepsilon}{\omega \mu} \mathcal{N} \mathcal{M}'^* F_m(r, r') \\
& \quad - i \mathcal{M} \mathcal{M}'^* \tilde{G}_m(r, r') - \frac{i\varepsilon}{\omega \mu} \mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}'^* \tilde{F}_m(r, r') \right\} \chi_{mk}(\theta, z) \chi_{mk}^*(\theta', z'). \quad (17b)
\end{align*}
\]

In the following, we will apply these equations to a dielectric-diamagnetic cylinder of radius \( a \), where the interior of the cylinder is characterized by a permittivity \( \varepsilon \) and permeability \( \mu \), while the outside is vacuum, so \( \varepsilon = \mu = 1 \) there. Let us consider the case that the source point is outside, \( r' > a \). If the field point is also outside, \( r, r' > a \), the scalar Green’s functions \( F'_m, G'_m, \tilde{F}'_m, \tilde{G}'_m \) that make up the above Green’s dyadics (we designate with primes the outside scalar Green’s functions or constants) obey the differential equations (15) and (16) with \( \varepsilon = \mu = 1 \). The solutions to these equations are:
\[
F'_m(r, r') = \frac{\omega^2}{\lambda^2} \left[ \frac{a'^F_m}{r'|m|} + b'^F_m H_m(\lambda' r') \right] r^{-|m|} - \frac{\omega}{\lambda^2} \frac{1}{2|m|} \left( \frac{r_<}{r_>} \right)^{|m|} \\
+ \left[ A'^F_m + B'^F_m H_m(\lambda' r') \right] H_m(\lambda r') - \frac{\omega^2}{\lambda^2} \frac{\pi}{2i} J_m(\lambda' r_<) H_m(\lambda' r_>, \quad (18)
\]
while \( G'_m \) has the same form with the constants \( a'^G_m, b'^G_m, A'^G_m, B'^G_m \) replaced by \( a'^G_m, b'^G_m, A'^G_m, B'^G_m \), respectively. The homogeneous differential equations have solutions
\[
\tilde{F}'_m(r, r') = \frac{\omega^2}{\lambda^2} \left[ \frac{a'^\tilde{F}_m}{r'|m|} + b'^\tilde{F}_m H_m(\lambda' r') \right] r^{-|m|} + \left[ A'^\tilde{F}_m + B'^\tilde{F}_m H_m(\lambda' r') \right] H_m(\lambda' r'), \quad (19)
\]
while in \( \tilde{G}'_m \) we replace \( a'^F \rightarrow a'^\tilde{G} \), etc.

When the source point is outside and the field point is inside, all the Green’s functions satisfy the homogeneous equations (16) with \( \varepsilon, \mu \neq 1 \), and then \( F_m, G_m, \tilde{F}_m, \tilde{G}_m \), are of the same form as in equation (19) with the corresponding change of constants. In all of the above, the outside and inside forms of \( \lambda \) are given by \( \lambda^2 = \omega^2 - k^2 \) and \( \lambda^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon - k^2 \).

The various constants are to be determined, as far as possible, by the boundary conditions at \( r = a \). The boundary conditions at the surface of the dielectric cylinder are the continuity of tangential components of the electric field, of the normal component of the electric displacement, of the normal component of the magnetic induction, and of the tangential components of the magnetic field (we assume that there are no surface
\[^{\dagger}\text{For details see [3] and [4].}\]
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In terms of the Green’s dyadics, the conditions read

\[ \hat{r} \cdot \epsilon \Gamma' \bigg|_{r=a+} = 0, \quad \hat{\theta} \cdot \Gamma' \bigg|_{r=a+} = 0, \quad \hat{z} \cdot \Gamma' \bigg|_{r=a+} = 0. \] (20a)

\[ \hat{r} \cdot \mu \Phi \bigg|_{r=a-} = 0, \quad \hat{\theta} \cdot \Phi \bigg|_{r=a-} = 0, \quad \hat{z} \cdot \Phi \bigg|_{r=a-} = 0. \] (20b)

By imposing those boundary conditions, we find that the only constants contributing to the energy are:

\[ B_m^G = -\frac{e^2}{\mu} (1 - \varepsilon \mu) \frac{mk\omega}{\lambda \nu D} j_m(\lambda a) h_m(\lambda' a) b_m^F, \] (21a)

\[ B_m^G = -\left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} \right)^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu} (1 - \varepsilon \mu) \frac{mk\omega}{\lambda \nu D} j_m(\lambda a) b_m^F, \] (21b)

\[ B_m^F = \frac{\omega^2 \pi}{\lambda^2 2i} \frac{j_m(\lambda' a)}{h_m(\lambda' a)} + \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} \right)^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu} \frac{j_m(\lambda a)}{h_m(\lambda a)} B_m^G, \] (21c)

\[ B_m^G = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu} \frac{e^2}{\lambda^2 \nu D} \frac{mk\omega}{\lambda \nu D} j_m(\lambda a) h_m(\lambda' a) b_m^G, \] (21d)

\[ B_m^F = -\left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (1 - \varepsilon \mu) \frac{mk\omega}{\lambda \nu D} j_m(\lambda a) b_m^G, \] (21e)

\[ B_m^G = \frac{\omega^2 \pi}{\lambda^2 2i} \frac{j_m(\lambda' a)}{h_m(\lambda' a)} + \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{j_m(\lambda a)}{h_m(\lambda a)} B_m^G, \] (21f)

all in terms of \( B_m^F = -\frac{\mu e^2}{\varepsilon \lambda \nu D} \) and \( B_m^G = -\frac{e^2}{\lambda \nu D}. \)

The denominators occurring here are:

\[ \Xi = (1 - \varepsilon \mu)^2 \frac{mk^2 \omega^2}{\lambda^2 \nu D} j_m^2(\lambda a) h_m^2(\lambda' a) - D \tilde{D}, \] (22a)

\[ D = \varepsilon \lambda' a j_m'(\lambda a) h_m(\lambda' a) - \lambda a h_m'(\lambda a) j_m(\lambda a), \] (22b)

\[ \tilde{D} = \mu \lambda a j_m'(\lambda a) h_m(\lambda' a) - \lambda a h_m'(\lambda a) j_m(\lambda a). \] (22c)

It is now easy to check that the terms in the Green’s functions that involve powers of \( r \) or \( r' \) do not contribute to the electric or magnetic fields. So, even though we are not able to determine all the constants (notice that there is some ambiguity in these since they cannot be uniquely determined), it is not an issue since the energy will be well defined. These constants enter always in the same form and therefore their individual values are not relevant. As we might have anticipated, only the pure Bessel function terms contribute. It might be thought that \( m = 0 \) is a special case, and indeed \( \frac{1}{2|m|} \left( \frac{r_e}{r_g} \right) |m| \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r_e}{r_g} \), but just as the latter is correctly interpreted as the limit as \( |m| \rightarrow 0 \), so the coefficients in the Green’s functions turn out to be just the \( m = 0 \) limits for those given above, so the \( m = 0 \) case is properly incorporated.

††The denominator structure appearing in \( \Xi \) is precisely that given by Stratton, and is the basis for the calculation given, for example in Ref. [7]. It is also employed in an independent rederivation of the Casimir energy for a dilute dielectric cylinder.
1.3. Stress on the cylinder

We are now in a position to calculate the pressure on the surface of the cylinder from the radial-radial component of the stress tensor

\[ P = \langle T_{rr} \rangle(a-) - \langle T_{rr} \rangle(a+) \]  

where \( T_{rr} = \frac{1}{2} [\varepsilon(E_{\theta}^2 + E_z^2 - E_{r}^2) + \mu(H_{\theta}^2 + H_z^2 - H_{r}^2)] \). As a result of the boundary conditions, the pressure on the cylindrical walls is given by the expectation value of the squares of field components just outside the cylinder, therefore

\[ T_{rr}|_{a-} - T_{rr}|_{a+} = \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{2} \left( E_{\theta}^2 + E_z^2 + \frac{E_r^2}{\varepsilon} \right)|_{a+} + \frac{\mu - 1}{2} \left( H_{\theta}^2 + H_z^2 + \frac{H_r^2}{\mu} \right)|_{a+}, \]

where the expectation values are given by \( \langle \rangle \) in terms of the Green’s functions. We obtain the pressure on the cylinder as

\[ P = \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{16\pi^2a^4} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\zeta a dka h}{\Xi} \left\{ K_m(y')I_m(y)I'_m(y)y(k^2a^2 - \zeta^2a^2\mu) - K'_m(y')I''_m(y) \right. \]

\[ \times K_m(y') \left[ \frac{m^2k^2a^2\zeta^2a^2}{y^2\varepsilon} \left( -2(\varepsilon + 1)(1 - \varepsilon\mu) + \frac{k^2a^2 - \zeta^2a^2\varepsilon}{y^2}(1 - \varepsilon\mu)^2 \right) \right. \]

\[ \left. - \frac{y^2}{y'} \left( \frac{m^2}{y'^2} \left( k^2a^2 - \frac{\zeta^2a^2}{\varepsilon} \right) + y'^2 \right) \right] - K'_m(y')I''_m(y)K_m(y)\mu y'(k^2a^2 - \zeta^2a^2\varepsilon) \]

\[ - I_m(y)I'_m(y)K''_m(y)y \left[ \frac{m^2}{y'^2}(k^2a^2\mu - \zeta^2a^2) + y'^2\mu \right] \left\} + \{\varepsilon \leftrightarrow \mu\}, \]

where we have performed the Euclidean rotation \( \omega \rightarrow i\zeta, \lambda \rightarrow ik \), and \( \tilde{\Xi} \) is the rotated \( \Xi \). Here \( y = \kappa a \), \( y' = \kappa'a \) and the last bracket indicates that the expression there is similar to the one for the electric part by switching \( \varepsilon \) and \( \mu \), showing manifest symmetry between the electric and magnetic parts. However, this expression is incomplete. It contains an unobservable “bulk” energy contribution, which the formalism would give if either medium, that of the interior with dielectric constant \( \varepsilon \) and permeability \( \mu \), or that of the exterior with dielectric constant and permeability unity, fills all the space \([10]\). The corresponding stresses are computed from the free Green’s functions which satisfy \([15]\), and have solutions

\[ F^{(0)}_m(r, r') = \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} G^{(0)}_m(r, r') = -\frac{\omega^2\mu}{\varepsilon\lambda^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2|m|} \left( \frac{r_<}{r_>} \right)^{|m|} + \frac{\pi}{2i} J_m(\lambda r_<)H_m(\lambda r_>) \right], \]

where \( 0 < r, r' < \infty \). Notice that in this case, both \( F^{(0)}_m \) and \( \tilde{G}^{(0)}_m \) are zero. After the Euclidean rotation the bulk pressure becomes

\[ P^b = T^{(0)}_{rr}(a-) - T^{(0)}_{rr}(a+) = \frac{h}{16\pi^2a^4} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta a dka \left\{ y^2I'_m(y)K''_m(y) \right. \]

\[ \left. - (y^2 + m^2)I_m(y)K_m(y) - y'^2I'_m(y')K''_m(y') + (y'^2 + m^2)I_m(y')K_m(y') \right\}. \]

This term must be subtracted from the pressure given in \([25]\). Note that \( P^b = 0 \) in the special case \( \varepsilon\mu = 1 \) as it should be.
2. Dilute dielectric cylinder

We now turn to the case of a dilute dielectric medium filling the cylinder, that is, set \( \mu = 1 \) and consider \( \varepsilon - 1 \) as small. We can then expand the integrand in (25) and (27) in powers of \( (\varepsilon - 1) \). Because the expression in (25) is already proportional to that factor, we need only expand the integrand to first order. The total pressure can then be written as:

\[
P - P^b = \frac{\hbar}{8\pi^2a^4} (\varepsilon - 1)^2 \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dy \left\{ \frac{y^4}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} K^2_m(y) I'_m(y) I_m(y) \right. \\
+ K^2_m(y) I'_m(y) \frac{y^2}{4} - K^2_m(y) I'_m(y) \frac{y^4}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{m^2}{y^2} \right) + K'_m(y) I^2_m(y) K_m(y) \\
+ K^2_m(y) I'_m(y) \frac{y^2}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{m^2}{y^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{m^2}{2y^2} \right) - K^2_m(y) I'_m(y) \frac{y^2}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{m^2}{2y^2} \right) \\
+ K^2_m(y) I'_m(y) I_m(y) \left( 1 + \frac{m^2}{2y^2} \right) \right] + \frac{3y}{16} \left[ I_m(y) K_m(y) \right] \right\}.
\]

Thus the total stress vanishes in leading order which is consistent with the interpretation of the Casimir energy as arising from the pairwise interaction of dilutely distributed molecules. Several methods to compute this integral are explained with great detail in [3] and in [9]. There it is shown that making use of the asymptotic expansion for the Bessel functions, we can numerically evaluate the integral

\[
P = \frac{(\varepsilon - 1)^2}{32\pi^2a^4} (0.007612 + 0.287168 + 0.024417 - 0.002371 - 0.00012 - 0.30159) \\
= 0.000000,
\]

and by introducing an exponential regulator \( e^{-\delta y} \) in (28) we can unambiguously separate the two divergent terms

\[
P_{\text{div}} = \frac{(\varepsilon - 1)^2}{32\pi^2a^4} \left( \frac{13\pi^2}{32\delta^3} - \frac{315\pi}{8192\delta} \right).
\]

The form of the divergences is exactly as expected [11, 12]. In particular, there is no \( 1/\delta^2 \) divergence. How do we interpret these terms? It is perhaps easiest to imagine that \( \delta \) is given in terms of a proper-time cutoff, \( \delta = \tau/a, \tau \to 0^+ \). Then if we consider the energy, rather than the pressure, the divergent terms have the form \( E_{\text{div}} = e^{\tau L} + e^{\frac{L}{\tau}} \). Here \( L \) is the (large) length of the cylinder. Thus, the leading divergence corresponds to an energy term proportional to the surface of the cylinder, and it therefore appears sensible to absorb it into a renormalized surface energy which enters into a phenomenological description of the material system. The \( 1/\tau \) divergence is more problematic. It is proportional to the ratio of the length to the diameter of the cylinder, so it seems likely that this would be interpretable as an energy term referring to the shape of the body. In any case, although the structure of the divergences is universal, the coefficients of those divergences depend in detail upon the particular regularization scheme adopted. The nature of divergences in such Casimir calculations is still under active study [2, 13, 14, 15]. In contrast, the term proportional to \( (\varepsilon - 1)^2/a^2 \) is unique.
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The universality of the finite Casimir term makes it hard not to think it has some real significance. Thus, of course, it could not have been any other than that zero value given by the van der Waals calculations \[7\] [16] [17].

3. Conclusion

We have shown how the Green’s dyadic formulation, modified for dielectric materials, exhibits a transparent way to calculate the Casimir energies of a dielectric-diamagnetic cylinder and showed that in the dilute case, it coincides with that obtain by summing the van der Waals energies of the constituent molecules. However, the identity is not really that trivial, because both the van der Waals and the Casimir energies contain divergent contributions. This is particularly crucial when one is considering the self-stress of a single body rather than the energy of interaction of distinct bodies. It was nontrivial to show the analog for the case of the dielectric sphere [18], and the calculation for the dielectric cylinder turned out to be extraordinarily difficult.
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