Catalytic conversion of nitrogen to ammonia by an iron model complex
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The reduction of nitrogen (N₂) to ammonia (NH₃) is a requisite transformation for life1. Although it is widely appreciated that the iron-rich cofactors of nitrogenase enzymes facilitate this transformation2-5, how they do so remains poorly understood. A central element of debate has been the exact site or sites of N₂ coordination and reduction6-7. In synthetic inorganic chemistry, an early emphasis was placed on molybdenum6 because it was thought to be an essential element of nitrogenases3 and because it had been established that well-defined molybdenum model complexes could mediate the stoichiometric conversion of N₂ to NH₃ (ref. 9). This chemical transformation can be performed in a catalytic fashion by two well-defined molecular systems that feature molybdenum centres9,10. However, it is now thought that iron is the only transition metal essential to all nitrogenases3, and recent biochemical and spectroscopic data have implicated iron instead of molybdenum as the site of N₂ binding in the FeMo-cofactor12. Here we describe a mononuclear Fe complex that catalyses the reduction of N₂ to NH₃ under mild conditions, and in which more than 40 per cent of the proton and reducing equivalents are delivered to N₂. Our results indicate that a single iron site may be capable of stabilizing the various N₂Hₓ intermediates generated during catalytic N₂H₃ formation. Geometric tunability at iron imparted by a flexible iron–carbon interaction in our model system seems to be important for efficient catalysis13-15. We propose that the interstitial carbon atom recently assigned in the nitrogenase cofactor may have a similar role16,17, perhaps by enabling a single iron site to mediate the enzymatic catalysis through a flexible iron–carbon interaction18.

Nitrogen is fixed on a vast scale by the industrial Haber–Bosch process using a solid-state Fe catalyst at very high temperatures and pressures, and in nature by nitrogenase enzymes under ambient conditions1. These enzymes feature active-site cofactors rich in S and Fe (FeFe–cofactor), and can additionally contain a Mo (FeMo–cofactor; Fig. 1) or V (FeV–cofactor) site2,3.

The intimate mechanism of biological nitrogen fixation is a topic that has fascinated chemists, biochemists and biologists4-7. Synthetic chemists have searched for decades for well-defined complexes that can catalyse N₂ reduction to NH₃8-11. Chatt’s early work with low-valent Mo complexes provided a mechanistic outline for approaching this problem now commonly called the Chatt, or distal, mechanism, wherein a terminal nitride intermediate is generated on liberation of the first NH₃ equiv. (ref. 9; Fig. 1, bottom). Other mechanisms, including an ‘alternating’ scenario (Fig. 1, top), have also received attention8. So far, even modest catalysis of NH₃ production directly from N₂ by a well-defined model complex is still limited to the original tri(amido)amine Mo systems10 and the more recently discovered phosphine-pincer Mo system11. Earlier work had established the electrochemical feasibility of an NH₃ production cycle with W (ref. 19).

Synthetic efforts to establish whether one or more Fe sites can catalyse N₂ reduction to NH₃ in a well-defined model complex have progressed more slowly. For example, previous Fe–N₂ model complexes have not afforded more than ~10% of NH₃ per Fe equiv. on treatment with proton sources22-24. Despite important advances24, which have most recently included reductive N₂ cleavage at Fe (ref. 22) and the catalytic reductive silylation of N₂ facilitated by unknown Fe species derived from Fe precursors such as ferrocene and iron pentacarbonyl25, the delivery of protons and electrons to N₂ to generate NH₃ catalytically at a synthetic Fe complex has remained an unsolved challenge. Here we show that a recently reported mononuclear Fe complex8,14, [(TPB)Fe(N₂)][Na(12-crown-4)] (TPB, tris(phosphine)borane; Fig. 2a), can catalyse the reduction of N₂ to NH₃ by protons and electrons in solution at ~78 °C under one atmosphere of N₂.

The Fe centre of the [TPB]Fe fragment readily binds N₂ as evidenced by the featured five-coordinate complex [(TPB)Fe(N₂)][Na(12-crown-4)] and the previously reported neutral N₂ adduct, (TPB)Fe(N₂) (ref. 14). The same scaffold also accommodates a variety of other nitrogenous ligands relevant to NH₃ generation, including terminally bonded
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NH₂, NH₃ and N₂H₃ ligands. Both the substrate-free complex, [(TPB)Fe][BARF₄] where [BARF₄] represents the weakly coordinating anion [B(3,5-(CF₃)₂-C₆H₃)₄]⁻ (ref. 15), and (TPB)Fe(N₂) may be reduced to [(TPB)Fe(N₂)][Na(12-crown-4)] by Na/Hg reduction under 1 atm N₂ followed by the addition of 2 equiv. of 12-crown-4 to encapsulate the sodium cation (Fig. 2a). Model reactions with silyl electrophiles have also shown that the N of the coordinated N₂ ligand can be mono- or difunctionalized with concomitant increase in the Fe–B distance. Furthermore, starting from (TPB)Fe(NH₂), a reductive protonation sequence has been established (Fig. 2b) that liberates NH₃ from an Fe–NH₂ intermediate with simultaneous uptake of N₂. In sum, the rich reaction chemistry of the (TPB)Fe scaffold with nitrogenous ligands motivated us to examine more closely the possibility that it might catalyse N₂ fixation.

The addition of excess acid to [(TPB)Fe(N₂)][Na(12-crown-4)] at −78 °C was investigated (Fig. 2c). When [(TPB)Fe(N₂)][Na(12-crown-4)] was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (THF), cooled to −78 °C and exposed to 6 equiv. of H⁺ in the form of HBARF₄•2Et₂O, a previously unobserved yellow solution resulted that, on warming followed by addition of proton sponge (1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene), was shown by ¹H NMR analysis to contain the previously characterized complex [(TPB)Fe(NH₂)][BARF₄] (~30–35% of the total Fe), along with resonances consistent with [(TPB)Fe][BARF₄] (~40–45% of the total Fe) and two other minor and as yet unidentified paramagnetic (TPB)Fe-sites, (Supplementary Information). An independent electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) study of this low-temperature protonation reaction in 2-methyltetrahydrofuran revealed a new rhombic S = 1/2 signal (Supplementary Information) that we speculate may be (TPB)Fe(NH₂)⁺ or an alternative structural isomer such as (TPB)Fe(NH(NH₂))⁺. Spin quantification of this species showed it to represent >85% of the Fe species in solution, and its rhombic EPR spectrum is highly similar to the rhombic EPR signature that is obtained on oxidation of (TPB)Fe(N₂) to generate (TPB)Fe(N₃)⁺ (Supplementary Information). Subsequent low-temperature reduction of a similarly prepared mixture regenerates [(TPB)Fe(N₂)][Na(12-crown-4)], as determined by infrared spectroscopy, suggesting the possibility of cycling protonation and reduction with this Fe system.

To explore the possibility of N₂ reduction catalysis using this (TPB)Fe system, we tested several reductants (for example Na[naphthalenide], Mg(THF)₃(anthracene), Na/Hg and KC₈) and acids (for example HCl, trifluoromethanesulphonic acid and HBARF₄•2Et₂O) in a variety of solvents and solvent mixtures (for example THF, dimethoxyethane, diethyl ether and toluene). When carried out at −78 °C numerous reaction conditions showed unusually high yields of NH₃ relative to the number of Fe equivalents in the reaction vessel, and the combination of KC₈, HBARF₄•2Et₂O and Et₂O solvent enabled the catalytic generation of NH₃.

In a representative catalytic run, red [(TPB)Fe(N₂)][Na(12-crown-4)] was suspended in diethyl ether in a reaction vessel at −78 °C, followed by the sequential addition of excess acid and then excess reductant. Ammonia analysis followed the indophenol protocol (Methods and Supplementary Information) and the independent identification of ammonium salts by ¹H NMR spectroscopy in dimethylsulphoxide.
(DMSO) by comparison with an authentic sample of $[\text{NH}_4]^+$[Cl] (Fig. 3a). An experiment performed using the $[(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+\text{N}_2]^+[(\text{Na}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$ catalyst under a $^{15}\text{N}_2$ atmosphere, followed by $^1\text{H}$ NMR analysis (Fig. 3a) of the volatile reaction products, confirmed the production of $[^{15}\text{NH}_4]^+$[Cl], as expected, with only trace $[^{14}\text{NH}_4]^+$[Cl]. This overall procedure has been repeated many times, and Table 1 includes data averaged from 16 independent runs (entry 1) in which yields were, on average, 7.0 equiv. NH$_3$ per Fe equiv. Using 7.0 equiv. NH$_3$ as the product stoichiometry, 44% of the added protons are reliably delivered to N$_2$ to produce NH$_3$. Our individual runs reached a maximum of 8.5 equiv. NH$_3$ per Fe equiv. under these standard conditions. $[(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+\text{N}_2]^+$[Cl] is also an effective catalyst and afforded 6.2 ± 0.7 equiv. NH$_3$ per added Fe equiv. (Table 1, entry 2). For comparison, the Mo systems of refs 10, 11 have afforded between 7 and 12 equiv. NH$_3$ per Mo equiv. The current Fe system seems to be active at an unusually low temperature (−78 °C) but benefits from a strong reductant (K$_2$C$_8$). We do not yet know whether conditions can be found that will tolerate a milder reductant, for example by circumventing the need to generate the $(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)^−$ anion during catalysis.

Table 1 lists several sets of conditions (entries 10–15) other than the standard conditions described above that were studied. Several of these alternative conditions showed NH$_3$ generation, although not in catalytic or even in high yields. NH$_4$Cl was not detected as an additional product when using the standard catalytic protocol for NH$_3$ generation with $[(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]^+[(\text{Na}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$ (Supplementary Information). If 2 equiv. N$_2$H$_4$ (per Fe) were added to $[(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]^+[(\text{Na}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$ in diethyl ether and the mixture was then subjected to the standard catalytic conditions and work-up, only trace N$_2$H$_4$ remained (Supplementary Information). This result suggests that if N$_2$H$_4$ is generated as an intermediate during catalysis, it would not likely be detectable on work-up and analysis. It is worth noting that HBA$^{+}$[SiP$_2$i-Pr$_2$]Et$_2$O and KC$_8$ react in the absence of an Fe precursor, under the standard catalytic conditions at −78 °C, to generate H$_2$ but not NH$_3$ (>75% yield of H$_2$ after 40 min). That H$_2$ generation is kinetically feasible without the addition of an Fe precursor, and yet NH$_3$ can nonetheless be generated on the addition of $[(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]^+[(\text{Na}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$ or $[(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]$.

Figure 3 | Spectral data for ammonia analysis, and catalyst poisoning. $^1$H NMR spectrum (300 MHz) of $[^{14}\text{NH}_4]^+$[Cl] in DMSO-$d_6$, produced from a catalytic run under $^{15}\text{N}_2$ (top) and of $[^{14}\text{NH}_4]^+$[Cl] in DMSO-$d_6$, produced from an independent catalytic run under 1 atm $^{15}\text{N}_2$, δ, chemical shift. b, Representative optical data for NH$_3$ product analysis using the indophenol method from catalytic runs using the standard conditions with the precursors indicated. c, H$_2$ binds to $[(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]$ to generate $[(\text{TPB})(\mu\text{-H})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]$, which itself is ineffective for the catalytic generation of NH$_3$ from N$_2$ under the standard conditions.

Table 1 | Ammonia generation from N$_2$ mediated by Fe precursors

| Entry | Fe precursor | NH$_3$ equiv./Fe† |
|-------|--------------|------------------|
| 1     | $[(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]^+[(\text{Na}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$ | 7.0 ± 1† |
| 2     | $[(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]^+[(\text{Na}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$ | 6.2 ± 0.7 |
| 3     | $[(\text{SiP}_2^{i\text{Pr}_2})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]^+[(\text{Na}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$ | 0.7 |
| 4     | $[(\text{TPB})(\mu\text{-H})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]^+$ | 0.5 |
| 5     | FeCl$_2$ | <0.1 |
| 6     | FeCl$_3$ | <0.1 |
| 7     | Cp$_2$Fe | <0.2 |
| 8     | Fe(CO)$_5$ | <0.1 |
| 9     | None | <0.1 |

Variations on standard conditions using $[(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]^+[(\text{Na}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$

| Entry | Variation | NH$_3$ equiv./Fe† |
|-------|-----------|------------------|
| 10    | HOTf as acid | 0.4 |
| 11    | [Lutidinium][BArF$_4$] as acid | <0.1 |
| 12    | HCl as acid | <0.1 |
| 13    | Cp$_2$Co as reductant | 0.6 |
| 14    | Cp$_2$Cr as reductant | <0.2 |
| 15    | K metal as reductant | 0.4 |

$^1$H$_2$ was collected by vacuum transfer of the reaction volatiles into HCl in diethyl ether. A dimethoxyethane solution of [Na][O(Ph)$_2$B] (20 equiv. relative to Fe) was added to the reaction vessel residue, followed by an additional vacuum transfer, to ensure complete liberation of all NH$_3$. The [NH$_4$][Cl] precipitate formed in the acidic Et$_2$O collection vessel was reconstituted in deionized H$_2$O, from which an aliquot was taken for indophenol quantification. Analysis of the [NH$_4$][Cl] formed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy in DMSO, compared with an authentic sample, provided independent confirmation of NH$_3$ generation. Data for individual experimental runs, and additional runs using potential precatallysts that are not presented in this table, are provided in Supplementary Information. Unless noted otherwise, all yields are reported as an average of 4 runs.‡Averaged of 16 runs.

HOTf, trifluoroacetanesulfonic acid; i-Pr, isopropyl; lutidinium, 2,6-dimethylpyridinium; t-Bu, tert-butyl.

underscores the facility with which this Fe system mediates overall hydrogen-atom delivery to N$_2$.

To explore further whether a (TPB)Fe-containing precursor is needed to facilitate the overall catalysis, beyond the stoichiometric model reactions summarized above, we canvassed several Fe complexes under analogous conditions. Of most interest is the complex $[(\text{SiP}_2^{i\text{Pr}_2})\text{Fe}(\text{N}_2)]^+[(\text{Na}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$ which is isosstructural to $[(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]^+[(\text{Na}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$ but in which the B atom of TPB is replaced by a Si atom.$^m$ A central difference between (TPB)Fe and (SiP$_2^{i\text{Pr}_2})Fe$ complexes is the far greater flexibility of the Fe–B bond by comparison with the Fe–Si bond that is positioned trans to the apical ligand.$^{15–18}$. Although some NH$_3$ generation was observed for $[(\text{SiP}_2^{i\text{Pr}_2})\text{Fe}(\text{N}_2)]^+[(\text{Na}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$ when subjected to the standard catalytic reaction conditions described above, stoichiometric yields of NH$_3$ relative to Fe were obtained (0.7 ± 0.5 equiv. NH$_3$ per Fe equiv.; Table 1, entry 3). We also conducted additional control experiments under the standard catalytic conditions with FeCl$_2$·1.5THF, FeCl$_3$, Cp$_2$Fe (ref. 25) and Fe(CO)$_5$ (ref. 25) (entries 5–8) and found that only trace amounts of NH$_3$ (<0.2 equiv. in all cases on average; four runs) were produced by these Fe precursors$^{30}$ (Supplementary Information). The known phosphine-supported Fe(0)–N$_2$ complex Fe(depe)$_2$(N$_2$) (ref. 28) was also subjected to the standard conditions and afforded sub-stoichiometric yields of NH$_3$ per Fe equiv. (Supplementary Information).

In separate work, the addition of H$_2$ to (TPB)Fe(N$_2$) was shown to generate (TPB)(μ-H)Fe$^+(N$_2$)$ as a stable product$^{30}$ (Fig. 3c). We hence suspected that catalyst poisoning might occur in part through the formation of (TPB)(μ-H)Fe$^+(N$_2$)$ under the catalytic reaction conditions. In accord with this idea, when $[(\text{TPB})\text{Fe}^+(\text{N}_2)]^+[(\text{Na}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$ was exposed to 10 equiv. HBA$^{+}$[SiP$_2$i-Pr$_2$]Et$_2$O and 12 equiv. KC$_8$ at low temperature, infrared and $^{31}$P NMR analysis of the resulting mixture showed the presence of (TPB)(μ-H)Fe$^+(N$_2$)$ through its signature spectroscopic features$^{30}$ (30% of total Fe by $^{31}$P NMR integration;
Supplementary Information). (TPB)(µ-H)Fe(N2)(H) is stable for short periods to both HBAF2·2Et2O and also KCO3 in Et2O at room temperature, and when subjected to the standard catalytic conditions for N2 production liberates only 0.5 ± 0.1 equiv. NH3 per equiv. (Table 1, entry 4, and Fig. 3b).

The general absence of a functional, catalytic Fe model system over the past few decades has often led to an emphasis on Mo (ref. 30) as a plausible site of N2 uptake and reduction at the most widely studied FeMo-cofactor. Although this may yet prove to be true, recent spectroscopic and biochemical evidence has sharpened the focus on an Fe centre as the N2-binding site14. The results reported here establish that it is possible to catalyse the conversion of N2 to NH3 by protons and electrons using a well-defined mononuclear Fe–N2 complex, and suggests the possibility that a single Fe-binding site of the cofactor could in principle mediate N2 reduction catalysis18. To achieve this catalytic behaviour, geometric flexibility at the Fe–N2 binding site would be beneficial as it would stabilize NH3 intermediates with different electronic structure requirements. Such geometric and redox flexibility, under the local three-fold symmetry presented by an Fe centre, its three neighbouring sulphides and the interstitial light atom of the FeMo-cofactor14–17 may at least in part be achieved by attributing a hemilabile role to the interstitial C atom (Fig. 1). Such a role could serve to expose an initial Fe–N2 binding site by Fe–C donation. Subsequent modulation of the Fe–C interaction and, hence, the local Fe geometry as a function of the N2 reduction state would enable the Fe centre to stabilize the various NH3 intermediates along a pathway to NH3. Although it is inherently speculative, this hypothesis is rooted in the functional (TPB)Fe catalysis discussed here, along with the types of (TPB)Fe complex and stoichiometric transformation described previously for this scaffold13–15.

Many mechanistic questions arise from the present Fe catalyst system. Although all of the model complexes relevant to the (TPB)Fe–N2–NH3 system are mononuclear, we cannot exclude the possibility of bimolecular reaction intermediates. More generally, we do not know whether the N2 reduction catalysis proceeds along a distal pathway via a terminal nitride intermediate, such as (TPB)Fe(N) or (TPB)Fe(N)+, via intermediates along an alternating pathway, such as (TPB)Fe–NH–NH2 or (TPB)Fe–NH–NH2+ or via some hybrid pathway. The tentatively assigned (TPB)Fe=NH–NH2 species that can be observed by EPR spectroscopy provides us with a useful starting point for such studies. In light of the identification of C as the interstitial light atom of the cofactor, it is also of interest to develop and compare synthetic model systems that feature a C atom in the ligand backbone instead of a B atom.

**METHODS SUMMARY**

**General considerations.** All complexes and reagents were prepared according to literature procedures referenced in the Supplemental Information unless otherwise noted. Manipulations were carried out under an N2 atmosphere utilizing standard dry glove-box or Schlenk-line techniques. All solvents used were deoxygenated and dried by N2 sparging followed by passage through an activated alumina column. Proton NMR spectra were referenced to residual solvent peaks. EPR X-band spectra were obtained on a Bruker EMX spectrometer with the aid of the Bruker WIN-EPR software suite, and were referenced to residual solvent peaks. EPR X-band spectra were obtained on a Bruker EMX spectrometer with the aid of the Bruker WIN-EPR software suite.

**Spectroscopy.** NMR measurements were made using Varian 300-MHz spectrometers. Deuterated solvents for these measurements were obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories and were dried and degassed before use. All ‘H NMR spectra were referenced to residual solvent peaks. EPR X-band spectra were obtained on a Bruker EMX spectrometer with the aid of the Bruker WIN-EPR software suite, version 3.0. The EPR spectrometer was equipped with a rectangular cavity that operated in the TE102 mode. Temperature control was achieved with a liquid-N2-filled quartz Dewar flask in which the sample was submerged during data collection. Ultraviolet-visible spectra were acquired on a Cary 50 spectrometer from 1,100 to 200 nm in the fast-scan mode. Infrared spectra were obtained using KBr pellets on a Bio-Rad Excalibur FTS 3000 spectrometer using Varian RESOLUTIONS PRO software set at a resolution of 4 cm−1.

**Catalysis and ammonia collection and quantification.** The standard catalysis protocol involved the addition of first acid and then reductant to a suspension of the pro-catalyst in diethyl ether at ~78 °C in a closed vessel under 1 atm N2. Ammonia produced during each catalytic run was collected by vacuum transfer of the reaction volatiles onto anhydrous HCl in diethyl ether. The resulting slurry was dried and extracted into water and aliquots were then tested for the presence of ammonia by the indophenol method.

**Supplementary Information** is available in the online version of the paper.
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METHODS

General considerations. We prepared [(TPB)Fe(N$_2$)][Na(12-crown-4)$_2$] (ref. 14), [(TPB)Fe][BArF$_4$] (ref. 15), (TPB)(μ-H)Fe(H)(N$_2$) (ref. 29), [lutidinium][BArF$_4$] (ref. 31), HBARF$_4$·2Et$_2$O (ref. 32), [(SiP$_3$-Pr$_3$)Fe(N$_2$)](ref. 26), FeCl$_2$·(THF)$_{1.5}$ (ref. 33), KC$_8$ (ref. 34), [(TPB)Fe(NH$_3$)][BArF$_4$] (ref. 15), [(TPB)Fe(N$_2$H$_4$)][BArF$_4$] (ref. 15) and Fe(depe)$_2$N$_2$ (ref. 28) were prepared according to literature procedures. Labelled$^{15}$N$_2$ (98% purity) was obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. Solvents used for catalytic runs were additionally stirred for more than 2 h over Na/K alloy and then filtered before use, and subjected to standard sparging (Ar gas) and passage through an activated alumina column.

Ammonia quantification. A Schlenk tube was charged with HCl (3 ml of a 2.0 M solution in Et$_2$O, 6 mmol). Reaction mixtures were vacuum-transferred into this collection flask. Residual solid in the reaction vessel was treated with a solution of [Na][O-t-Bu] (40 mg, 0.4 mmol) in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (1 ml) and sealed. The resulting suspension was stirred for 10 min before all volatiles were again vacuum-transferred into the collection flask. After completion of the vacuum transfer, the flask was sealed and warmed to room temperature. Solvent was removed in vacuo and the remaining residue was dissolved in H$_2$O (1 ml). An aliquot of this solution (20 or 40 μl) was then analysed for the presence of NH$_3$ (trapped as [NH$_4$][Cl]) by the indophenol method$^{35}$. Quantification was performed with ultraviolet–visible spectroscopy by analysing absorbance at 635 nm. The tables in Supplementary Information show the raw data for the runs. Runs with small absorbance levels (<0.02 absorbance units) suffer from a large degree of error due to a small signal-to-noise ratio. Catalytic runs that used a 40 μl aliquot are denoted with an asterisk, accounting for larger relative absorbances.

Standard catalytic protocol. We suspended [(TPB)Fe(N$_2$)][Na(12-crown-4)$_2$] (2 mg, 0.002 mmol) in Et$_2$O (0.5 ml) in a 20-ml scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar. This suspension was vigorously stirred and cooled to $-78 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ in a cold well inside the glove box. A similarly cooled solution of HBARF$_4$·2Et$_2$O (93 mg, 0.092 mmol) in Et$_2$O (1.5 ml) was added to the suspension in one portion with rapid stirring. Any remaining acid was dissolved in cold Et$_2$O (0.25 ml) and added subsequently. The reaction mixture turned light yellow-orange and became homogeneous on addition of acid, and the resulting solution was stirred for 5 min before being transferred into a pre-cooled Schlenk tube equipped with a stir bar. The original reaction vial was washed with cold Et$_2$O (0.25 ml) and was subsequently transferred to the Schlenk tube. Solid KC$_8$ (15 mg, 0.100 mmol) was suspended in cold Et$_2$O (0.75 ml) and added drop by drop to the rapidly stirred solution in the Schlenk tube, which was then tightly sealed. The reaction was stirred for 40 min at $-78 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ before being warmed to room temperature and stirred for a further 15 min. Detailed experimental procedures and data for all experiments can be found in Supplemental Information.
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