Effect of Sorbent Reactivity on Flue Gas Desulphurization in Fluidized-Bed Boilers under Air Firing Mode
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The article presents research into the reactivity and SO2 sorption abilities of calcareous sorbents, which vary in quality. Two sorbents were used: limestone and travertine, with grain sizes between 0.125 and 0.250 mm. The sorbent reactivity tests were performed on a laboratory test stand. The reactivity index and the conversion degree were also determined. SO2 sorption studies were conducted in an industrial boiler. The desulphurization efficiency was determined taking into the account the content of calcium and sulphur put into and taken out of the boiler. The relation of the desulphurization process parameters such as fuel sulphur content, stream sorbent, sorbent utilization degree, desulphurization efficiency, depending on the molar ratio Ca/S and the duration of the process was specified. The SO2 sorption results were compared with the reactivity index of the sorbents. The investigation in dry combustion-gas desulphurization methods showed the high quality of the sorbents whose reactivity index is above 3.5.
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INTRODUCTION

The emission of pollutant gases into the atmosphere from fossil fuel combustion such as coal in large combustion plants causes important environmental problems. So, during the combustion process, the sulphur from coal is oxidized to SO2 and released into the atmosphere contributing to acid rain formation.

Among the possibilities for burning coal, fluidized bed combustors are a promising technology1–6 because the desulphurization process (up to 95 %) can be carried out by injecting calcium-based sorbent into the furnace.

According to the equilibrium diagram of CaCO3–CaO, under typical air firing mode, CaO reacts with SO2 to form CaSO4 (solid). The reactions that take place in the desulphurization process are:

\[
\text{CaCO}_3 \rightarrow \text{CaO} + \text{CO}_2
\]

(1)

\[
2\text{CaO} + 2\text{SO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{CaSO}_4
\]

(2)

The more usual setup used to analyze the effect of main operating conditions on the limestone behaviour were thermogravimetric analyzers (TGA)7–9 and bench fluidized bed reactors10,11. The former allows studying the behaviour of the limestone for long time tests, simulating the mean residence time in a fluidized bed combustor, and the latter makes it possible to study the limestone sulphation in similar operating conditions to those in fluidized bed boilers. As well as the operating conditions, such as temperature, particle size, SO2 concentration, attrition,12–14 etc., the quality of sorbent15 is the basic parameter that determines the effects of dry flue gas desulphurization methods. The effects should be understood not only as the meeting of required sulphur dioxide concentration levels, but also the minimizing of the streams of sorbents used and the improvement of the quality of products after flue gas desulphurization (reduction of free CaO). Presently, quite sufficient attention is only being given to the efficiency of flue gas desulphurization. However, it should be borne in mind that the lowering of permissible emission levels will entail a considerable increase in the amounts of sorbents needed for flue gas desulphurization, and the only way of meeting the newly specified emission levels will be either by selection of the best limestones for flue gas desulphurization or improving their sorption properties.

Based on previous studies on reactivity index carried out over a period of 15 years (with more than 1200 analyses of sorbents from different deposits being made) it can be stated that good class sorbents predominate (over 60 % of results) in flue gas desulphurization. A mere 4 % of the sorbents examined fit in the class of excellent sorbents and 15 % in the very good sorbent class, the rest being made up by sorbents of either sufficient or poor quality.16–26

The aim of this work was to analyze the behaviour of two limestones with different sorption properties and reactivity indexes, on the flue gas desulphurization process. For this purpose, experimental tests in a lab-stand and in an industrial plant of fluidized bed boiler were performed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

To study the effect of the reactivity of the limestone on the flue gas desulphurization, two sorbents were used in a narrow range of...
Table 1. Chemical composition of the limestones

| Component          | Limestone (sorbent I) | Travertine (sorbent II) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| %                  | %                     | %                       |
| CaCO₃              | 91.10                 | 97.20                   |
| MgCO₃              | 0.75                  | 0.10                    |
| SiO₂               | 1.54                  | 0.20                    |
| Al₂O₃              | 0.77                  | 0.50                    |
| Fe₂O₃              | 0.12                  | 0.10                    |
| Other              | 5.72                  | 1.90                    |

particle size between 0.125 and 0.250 mm. However, in the case of sorbents of a particle size below 0.125 mm, the material was tested within the entire particle size range. Table 1 shows the chemical composition of both limestones which exhibit a high CaCO₃ content. In addition, brown coal with a particle size between 0.05 and 0.30 mm was used as fuel whose ultimate and proximate analysis is shown in the Table 2.

Procedure

To study the behaviour of the sorbents, two facilities were used: a laboratory test stand and an industrial fluidized bed boiler.

Laboratory test stand

The tests were carried out on a laboratory test stand whose scheme is shown in Figure 1.

The facility consists of an electric furnace and a quartz reactor. The reactor has a diameter of 0.1 m and a height of 1.5 m. Sorbents and the model gas containing sulphur dioxide, oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon dioxide were fed into the column. The temperature in the reaction chamber was kept at a constant level of 378 K for the drying stage and 1123 K for calcination and for sorption property tests. The flow of sulphur dioxide was controlled using a double control system consisting of a regulator coupled with a rotameter and a mass flow controller. The flow of the remaining gases was controlled and regulated by the use of regulators and rotameters. The concentrations of individual gas components at the column outlet were also measured using a SICK Maihak type Mulror 610 flue gas analyser (Germany). In each sample tested, the chemical composition was determined. Using a LECO SC-144 analyzer (Poland), the contents of sulphur were determined. Using the classic chemical method, the percentage of Ca²⁺ content was determined. The test proper was conducted in two steps. In the first step, the sample was calcined at a temperature of 1123 K for 0.5 h in a nitrogen atmosphere. In the second step, the remaining model gases, composed of SO₂=5091 mg · m⁻³, CO₂ 16 %, and O₂ 3 % with the balance of nitrogen carrier gas, were fed into the calcined sample. The second step took 1.5 h. After completion of the test, chemical composition of the flue gas desulphurization product was tested. Using the TruSpec CHN analyzer (Poland), the contents of carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen were assayed. The concentration of oxygen was determined from the difference.

To study the sorption properties, the absolute sorption and reactivity index were determined based on the Ahlstrom Pyro-power Development Laboratory test[15] and using Equations (3) and (4). For the assessment of the sorption properties, the reactivity scale shown in Table 3 was used.

\[
R_i = \frac{x_{Cp_{100}}} {M_{Cp_{100}}} \left(1 - \frac{M_{Cp_{100}} x_{Cp_{100}}}{M_{Cp_{100}}} \frac{x_{Sp_{100}}}{1000} \right), \tag{3}
\]

\[
C_i = 1000 \cdot \frac{x_{Sp_{100}} - x_{Sp_{100}}}{1 - \frac{M_{Cp_{100}}}{M_{Cp_{100}}} \frac{x_{Cp_{100}}}{1000}} \cdot \frac{x_{Cp_{100}}}{1000} \left(1 - \frac{M_{Cp_{100}} x_{Cp_{100}}}{M_{Cp_{100}}} \right). \tag{4}
\]

The conversion degree was determined based on the variations in sulphur dioxide concentration during the test and the initial concentration, when a load of sorbent, calcium oxide, of 1.5 g was...

Table 2. Technical and elemental analysis of the fuel

| Fuel               | Technical analysis (g · g⁻¹) (ad) | Elemental analysis (g · g⁻¹) (ad) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Moisture           | 45                                | Carbon content (C) (%)           |
| (ad)               |                                   | 39.5                             |
| Volatile matter    | 35                                | Hydrogen content (H) (%)         |
| (Vadf) (g · g⁻¹)   |                                   | 2.6                              |
| Coke residue       | 5                                 | Oxygen content (O₉ₙ) (%)          |
| (FC₉ₙ) (g · g⁻¹)   |                                   | 12.0                             |
| Ash                | 15                                | Sulphur content (S) (%)          |
| (g · g⁻¹)          |                                   | 0.8                              |
| Lower heating value| 11500                             | Nitrogen content (N) (%)         |
| LHV(kJ · kg⁻¹)     |                                   | 0.9                              |

Parameters determined according to Polish standards[27-28]
Superscript: daf-dry and ashless state; diff-calculated by difference
ad - on an air dried basis
fed into the reaction column. The velocity of the gas was 1.25 m·s⁻¹. Calcium oxide from the limestone was assayed in chemical analysis prior to the test.⁹¹ The calculation was made following Equation (5):

\[
X = \frac{V_s \cdot R \cdot T}{w \cdot P} \int_0^t (c_0 - c)dt.
\] (5)

Industrial boiler

Another facility used for the analysis of the flue gas desulphurization process was a circulating fluidized bed combustor. The assessment of the flue gas desulphurization process was based on the balance of calcium in the combustion chamber. The sorbent used for the desulphurization process met the requirements of the particle size curve for the fluidized bed boiler with a range of particle size between 0.09 and 0.30 mm. The balance enabled the determination of the actual limestone consumption. A block diagram of the developed calcium balance is shown in Figure 2.

The balance was based on the basic principle saying that the amount of calcium fed into the boiler equals the amount of calcium removed from the boiler, represented by Equation (6):

\[
m_{CaFed} = m_{CaRem}.
\] (6)

The streams of calcium fed into and removed from the boiler, occurring in Equation (6), were defined according to the following relationships:

\[
m_{CaFed} = \gamma_{CaF} \cdot m_F + \gamma_{CaSorb} \cdot m_{Sorb}.
\] (7)

\[
m_{CaRem} = \gamma_{CaRem} \cdot m_{FA} + \gamma_{CaBA} \cdot m_{BA}.
\] (8)

In the stream of calcium fed into the boiler, the calcium introduced with the flue gas desulphurization sorbent and the calcium introduced with the fuel are considered. As the test results show, calcium contained in brown coal accounts for as much as up to 7% of the calcium supplied for desulphurization, so this makes a significant figure in the flue gas desulphurization process. In the case of hard coal, these values were smaller even by an order of magnitude.

The efficiency of the sulphur bonding process was calculated based on the obtained average SO₂ concentration in the flue gas and the calculated SO₂ concentration, assuming 100% conversion of S to SO₂, according to the relationship below:

\[
\eta = \frac{C_{TSO_2} - C_{SO_2}}{C_{TSO_2}}.
\] (9)

A very important element in the assessment of flue gas desulphurization is the coefficient of calcium utilization for flue gas desulphurization purposes, which is determined from Equation (10):

\[
\frac{S}{Ca} = \frac{\eta \cdot m_F \cdot \gamma_{Sr}}{m_{F} \cdot \gamma_{CaCO_3} + m_{Sorb} \cdot \gamma_{CaSorb}}.
\] (10)

The Ca/S molar ratio is determined from Equation (11). This ratio represents the amount of sorbent and fuel calcium used for desulphurization related to the amount of fuel sulphur:

\[
\frac{Ca_F}{S} = \frac{0.32 \cdot m_{Sorb} \gamma_{CaCO_3,SO_2} + 0.57 \cdot m_{F} \cdot \gamma_{CaO}}{m_{F} \cdot \gamma_{Sr}}.
\] (11)

The adopted methodology involved carrying out tests with 4 h breaks. During that time, between the measurements, the following aspects stabilized: the process parameters, the flow in the boiler, as well as the equilibrium of the calcium in the fly ash and the bottom ash. In the case of mechanical activation, samples of fly ash for activation and samples of semi-dry flue gas desulphurization products were additionally taken. The sampling time was determined by the time necessary for boiler stabilization at specific parameters and the technical capabilities to take all samples at the same time. A period of 72 h was assumed as the minimum time of boiler operation at constant parameters. During the test, a constant boiler power was maintained to assure the comparability of the obtained results. The second constant parameter was sulphur dioxide concentration at the exit of the combustor (around 400 mg·m⁻³). It was maintained at a fixed level during testing, and controlled at the outlet from the combustion chamber and from the flue. Measurements were taken using a monitoring system coupled with the boiler’s system. The scope of tests for particular samples is given in Table 4. Due to the fact that the fuel parameters were variable during testing, the

---

### Table 3. Scale of the reactivity of sorbents

| Limestone assessment | Ri | Ci |
|----------------------|----|----|
| Excellent            | < 2.5 | > 120 |
| Very good            | 2.5–3.0 | 100–20 |
| Good                 | 3.0–4.0 | 80–100 |
| Satisfactory         | 4.0–5.0 | 60–80 |
| Poor                 | > 5.0 | < 60 |

### Table 4. Components determined for the calcium balance

|                     | CaO<sub>free</sub> | CaO<sub>total</sub> | CaCO<sub>3</sub> | S<sub>total</sub> | C<sub>total</sub> | C<sub>carbonate</sub> |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Fly ash             |                  |                   |                |                |                |                 |
| Bottom ash          |                  |                   |                |                |                |                 |
| Sorbent             | CaCO<sub>3</sub> | MgCO<sub>3</sub> | S<sub>total</sub> | loss on ignition, moisture content, R<sub>i</sub>, C<sub>i</sub>, X |
| Fuel                | Calorific value, ash content, moisture content, C, S, O, N, H, and Ca contents |
balance was made using data averaged over the whole measurement day.

The analyses of the physicochemical properties of samples were made based on the applicable standards. The determination of the carbon and sulphur contents of sorbent, fuel, fly ash, and bottom ash was made using a LECO SC-144 automatic analyzer (Poland).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Laboratory Test Stand

In order to know the retention capacity of the limestone, that is, the sorption reactivity, experimental tests were carried out for both limestones in the laboratory stand.

From Equations (3) and (4) the values of absolute sorption $C_i$, and reactivity index $R_i$ were calculated. The sorbent (I) exhibits a high value of $R_i$ (around 4.0). According to Table 3, based on the scale of the reactivity, this limestone belonged to the satisfactory level and then it had low sorption properties. However, sorbent (II) presents a low $R_i$ value (around 2.5) and thus better sorption properties could be expected.

Industrial Boiler

The principal tests were carried out on an industrial scale on fluidized-bed boilers. In order to describe the phenomena associated with combustion-gas desulfurization, the balance of calcium in the combustion chamber as well as the methodology of taking samples and their analysis for fluidized-bed boilers were developed. In addition, the calcium balance enabled the determination of the division of ash into fly ash and bottom ash, combustion-gas desulfurization efficiency as well as the Ca/S molar ratio. The tests were carried out on boiler fired brown coal, boilers with a hot cyclone, and a boiler equipped with an external heat exchanger. Seven principal combustion-gas desulfurization tests were carried out with different sorbents and in different systems of sorbent fed into the fluidized-bed boiler chamber. The tests were carried out with the use of limestone sorbents of either low or very good sorption properties determined in laboratory tests.

Flue gas desulfurization with limestone of low sorption properties (sorbent I)

The industrial test lasted 5 days, in which time the boiler operated under a constant load (100 %). A sufficient quality sorbent was used for desulfurization, as per the specifications given above in Table 1.

Following the adopted methodology described previously, the basic parameters of flue gas desulfurization were determined. The resulting relationship of the sorbent stream with the sulphur content of fuel is represented in Figure 3a. With the increase of the amount of sulphur in the fuel, the sorbent stream increases, as should be expected. The sorbent quality during the tests was invariable, and the desulfurization process was only determined by the sulphur content of fuel. This statement is confirmed by the results of testing of the reactivity index and the conversion degree, which are given in Table 5.

The sorption properties of the sorbent were at a comparable level during the tests, with the difference between individual samples being less than 2 %, although the sorbent used was only of sufficient quality, as per Ahlstrom Pyropower Development Laboratory’s classification.

The increase in fuel sulphur content causes an increase in the degree of sorbent utilization in the combustion chamber (Figure 3b). There is also consistency here with the authors’ laboratory tests, according to which the increase in the sulphur dioxide concentration caused an increase in the degree of conversion.

Figure 3c, in turn, shows the dependence of the Ca/S molar ratio on the fuel sulphur content. The straight line obtained from interpolation is parallel to the axis of abscissae. In the correctly conducted flue gas desulfurization process and with the unchanged sorption properties of the sorbent, this is the course of the line that can be expected. When analyzing the data for both limestones in Tables 5 and 6, it can be noticed that the Ca/S molar ratio is closely dependent on the sorption properties. However, with the limestone of low sorption properties, the obtained values of Ca/S are overestimated by more than five times relative to the design assumptions and thus no variation can be noticed.

The graph of flue gas desulfurization as a function of Ca/S molar ratio (Figure 3d) shows that the line representing the variation is flat and, in the Ca/S range from 6 to 10, it coincides with the design line. Beyond this range, no increase in flue gas desulfurization is observed with increasing Ca/S molar ratio. Most measurement points lie in the flue gas desulfurization efficiency range of 90–93 %, with Ca/S ranging from 5 to 18. In the trial, flue gas desulfurization efficiencies consistent with the design assumptions were successfully achieved, and the boiler maintained the present SO$_2$ emission levels. However, the amounts of limestone (sorbent I) used for flue gas desulfurization were unsatisfactory. The excessive Ca/S molar ratios are associated with the excessive limestone streams. The only cause of this was the low sorption properties of the sorbents. With such sorbents, high flue gas desulfurization efficiencies can only be obtained at the cost of excessive limestone streams. According to the design curve, the majority of Ca/S measurement points should lie in the range of up to 6.

Flue gas desulfurization with travertine of very good sorption properties (sorbent II)

The studies involved tests using travertine (sorbent II) whose composition is presented in Table 1. As in the case before, the calcium balance was made. Figure 4a illustrates the effect of fuel sulphur content on the sorbent stream. A reverse dependence of the sorbent stream on the sulphur content can be seen. With the increase in fuel sulphur content, the sorbent stream decreases. The only reason for that might be the improving sorption properties of the limestone with the time. That fact was in agreement with the results of reactivity index $R_i$ tests given in Table 6.

From the analysis of the reactivity index, it is found that in all test cases the $R_i$ was below 3.0, so the boiler manufacturers’ requirements were met. The fuel sulphur content during all of the three test days was, as for industrial conditions, stable and contained in the range from 0.28 % to 0.35 %. As the stream of the sorbent increased, the content of sulphur in the fuel decreased. It resulted from very good sorption properties of the sorbent. Noteworthy is the magnitude of the sorbent stream that is more than twice as small compared to the sorbents of low sorption properties, at the same fuel sulphur content level.

In Figure 4b, variation in the sorbent utilization degree and fuel sulphur content in the duration of the test is shown. This relationship repeats itself for all tests carried out, and the sorbent utilization degree increases with increasing fuel sulphur content. The achieved sorbent utilization degrees are very high. They are more than 3× higher than for the sorbents with $R_i$ above 4.0.
Figure 4c illustrates the effect of the sorbent stream on the sorbent utilization degree. The obtained result is extremely clear, so there was no need for interpolation. The sorbent utilization degree increases with decreasing sorbent stream; obviously, this result needs to be considered jointly with the result given in Table 6. It clearly illustrates the relationship between the reactivity index, the sorbent utilization degree, and the amount of sorbent fed to the combustion chamber. With the improvement in

Table 5. Reactivity index and conversion degree during the test with sorbent I

| Sorbent I test 4 h average | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  |
|---------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $R_i$ (kmol·kmol$^{-1}$)  | 4.05| 4.12| 4.06| 4.16| 4.09| 4.11| 4.09| 4.12| 4.01| 4.01|
| X (%)                     | 24.7| 24.3| 24.6| 24.0| 24.5| 24.1| 24.5| 24.3| 24.1| 24.1|

Table 6. Reactivity index and conversion degree during the test with sorbent II

| Sorbent II test 4 h average | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $R_i$ (kmol·kmol$^{-1}$)    | 2.84| 2.80| 2.65| 2.58| 2.57| 2.52|
| X (%)                       | 36  | 35  | 38  | 39  | 39  | 41  |
sorption properties (the change of Ri from 2.84 to 2.52), we observe an improvement in sorbent utilization from 16 to 27%. At the same time, with increasing sorbent utilization degree, the amount of sorbent to be fed into the combustion chamber in order to desulphurize the flue gas from 1.4 to 1.16 kg · s⁻¹ decreases. Using sorbents of high sorption properties allows good effectiveness in flue gas desulphurization. When comparing the results for flue gas desulphurization efficiency as a function of Ca/S with the design assumptions (Figure 4d), it can be seen that using sorbents of Ri below 3.0 produces better effects than those resulting from the design assumptions. A desulphurization efficiency of 85 % was achieved at Ca/S equal to 2.23, whereas from the design assumptions it follows that this desulphurization efficiency could be achieved at Ca/S equal to 3. Achieving high fuel gas desulphurization efficiencies with small limestone amounts depends mainly on the quality of the sorbent. Therefore, upon the analysis carried out it can be stated that the knowledge of the reactivity index of a given sorbent allows a preliminary evaluation of the desulphurization effectiveness.

Figure 5 summarizes the effect of reactivity factor on the degree of sorbent utilization in the combustion chamber. The behaviour of the interpolation curve clearly informs of the effects of using different quality sorbents. It can be seen that changing the reactivity index from 3.2 to 2.5 results in a more than 2% increase in the degree of sorbent utilization for flue gas desulphurization. Similarly, the dependence of the sorbent stream on the reactivity index is shown in Figure 6. Looking at the course of the interpolation curve it can be seen that a slight reactivity index increase from 2.5 to 3.2 results in a 2% increase in the degree of sorbent utilization for flue gas desulphurization.

Similarly, the dependence of the sorbent stream on the reactivity index is shown in Figure 6. Looking at the course of the interpolation curve it can be seen that a slight reactivity index increase from 2.5 to 3.2 results in a 2% increase in the degree of sorbent utilization for flue gas desulphurization.

Figure 4. Parameters of flue gas desulphurization with travertine (sorbent II).
CONCLUSIONS

From the tests carried out with classic sorbents with the reactivity index equal to approximately 4, it turned out that the sorbent utilization degree was 5% on average, which resulted in an increase in the stream of sorbent needed for flue gas desulphurization by 3.5 times. However, the required sulphur dioxide emission levels could be successfully met with a relatively high flue gas desulphurization efficiency of approximately 90%.

The flue gas desulphurization tests have demonstrated that the currently used limestones have highly varying sorption properties, which determines the flue gas desulphurization quality. A crucial effect on the flue gas desulphurization is shown by the index of reactivity, Ri. According to its definition, the reactivity index takes into account: limestone purity, initial limestone sulphur content, the amount of sulphur bonded in the desulphurization process, fraction composition, as well as calcination temperature which proved to be a very important factor.

It can be established that it is incorrect to conduct flue gas desulphurization using sorbents with Ri higher than 3.5. Only through an initial selection made by the use of the reactivity index can the efficiency of desulphurization of flue gases be estimated.
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NOMENCLATURE

- $C_i$: coefficient of absolute sorption
- $c_0$: initial SO$_2$ concentration in the model gas mixture (kmol·m$^{-3}$)
- $C_{SO_2}$: average SO$_2$ concentration in the flue gas (mg·m$^{-3}$)
- $c_t$: SO$_2$ concentration in time $t$ (kmol·m$^{-3}$)
- $C_{SO_2}(t)$: calculated SO$_2$ concentration (mg·m$^{-3}$)
- $x_{Ca}, x_{Cp}, x_{Sp}, x_{Cb}, x_{Sb}$: percentage share of calcium in the sorbent, carbon in the sorbent before the sulphurization process, sulphur after the sulphurization process, carbon before the sulphurization process, and sulphur before the sulphurization process respectively (%)
- $m_{CaFed}, m_{CaRem}$: stream of calcium fed to the boiler, removed from the boiler respectively (kg·s$^{-1}$)
- $m_F, m_{Sorb}$: stream of fuel, sorbent respectively (kg·s$^{-1}$)
- $m_{AshFed}, m_{AshRem}$: stream of ash fed to the boiler, ash removed from the boiler respectively (kg·s$^{-1}$)
- $m_F, m_A, m_{AC+S}$: stream of fly ash, bottom ash, ash in the fuel, ash from incomplete combustion of coal/sulphur respectively (kg·s$^{-1}$)
- $M_S, M_{Ca}, M_C, M_{CO_2}, M_{SO_2}$: molar mass of sulphur, calcium, carbon, carbon dioxide, sulphur dioxide (kg·kmol$^{-1}$)
- $P$: pressure in the reactor (Pa)
- $R$: gas constant (Pa·m$^3$·kmol$^{-1}$·K$^{-1}$)
- $R_i$: reactivity index
- $S$: sulphur content (kg·s$^{-1}$)
- $Ca$: content of the calcium taking part in the desulphurization process (kg·s$^{-1}$)
- $Ca_T$: content of the total calcium introduced into the boiler (kg·s$^{-1}$)
- $T$: temperature (K)
- $t$: reaction time (s)
- $w$: number of calcium moles fed to the reactor (kmol)
- $V_s$: molar velocity of gas flowing through the reactor (kmol·s$^{-1}$)
- $X$: molar Ca conversion degree (%)
- $\eta$: efficiency of the sulphur bonding process
- $Y_{CaT}, Y_{CaOx}$: share of calcium in the fuel, calcium oxide in the fuel, sulphur in the fuel respectively (kg·kg$^{-1}$)
- $Y_{CaT}, Y_{CaSorb}$: share of calcium in the sorbent, in the fly ash, in...
\( \gamma_{\text{CaO}_3} \cdot \gamma_{\text{CaO}_2} \) the bottom ash respectively (kg \cdot kg\(^{-1}\))

\( \gamma_{\text{CaCO}_3}, \gamma_{\text{CaO}_3} \) share of calcium carbonate in the sorbent, calcium oxide in the sorbent respectively (kg \cdot kg\(^{-1}\))
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