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ABSTRACT

Background The 100 000 Genomes Project (100K) recruited National Health Service patients with eligible rare diseases and cancer between 2016 and 2018. PanelApp virtual gene panels were applied to whole genome sequencing data according to Human Phenotyping Ontology (HPO) terms entered by recruiting clinicians to guide focused analysis.

Methods We developed a reverse phenotyping strategy to identify 100K participants with pathogenic variants in nine prioritised disease genes (BBS1, BBS10, ALMS1, OFD1, DYNC2H1, WDR34, NPHP1, TMEM67, CEP290), representative of the full phenotypic spectrum of multisystemic primary ciliopathies. We mapped genotype data ‘backwards’ onto available clinical data to assess potential matches against phenotypes. Participants with novel molecular diagnoses and key clinical features compatible with the identified disease gene were reported to recruiting clinicians.

Results We identified 62 reportable molecular diagnoses with variants in these nine ciliopathy genes. Forty-four have been reported by 100K, 5 were previously unreported and 13 are new diagnoses. We identified 11 participants with unreportable, novel molecular diagnoses, who lacked key clinical features to justify reporting to recruiting clinicians. Two participants had likely pathogenic structural variants and one a deep intronic predicted splice variant. These variants would not be prioritised for review by standard 100K diagnostic pipelines.

Conclusion Reverse phenotyping improves the rate of successful molecular diagnosis for unsolved 100K participants with primary ciliopathies. Previous analyses likely missed these diagnoses because incomplete HPO term entry led to incorrect gene panel choice, meaning that pathogenic variants were not prioritised. Better phenotyping data are therefore essential for accurate variant interpretation and improved patient benefit.

INTRODUCTION

The 100 000 Genomes Project (100K) is a combined diagnostic and research initiative managed by Genomics England Ltd (GEL). It aimed to sequence 100 000 genomes from 70 000 participants seen within the UK National Health Service (NHS) with either selected rare diseases or cancers, the latter allowing comparison of matched germline and somatic tumour genomes.1,2 To take part in 100K, participants consented to receive a result ‘relevant to the explanation, main diagnosis or treatment of the disease for which the patient was selected for testing’ (the ‘pertinent finding’), if identified.3 Furthermore, they consented to allow access to their fully anonymised genome sequence data and phenotype information for approved academic and commercial researchers. Short-read genome sequencing was performed using Illumina ‘TruSeq’ library preparation kits for read lengths 100 bp and 125 bp (Illumina HiSeq 2500 instruments), or 150 bp reads (HiSeq X). These generated a mean

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Whole genome sequencing and targeted gene-panel analysis have improved molecular diagnosis rates for patients with multisystemic ciliopathies.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ Reverse phenotyping from 100 000 Genomes Project data has identified 62 reportable molecular diagnoses with variants in nine prioritised ciliopathy genes, of which 18 are new diagnoses not reported by Genomics England Ltd.
⇒ Furthermore, we identified 11 unreportable molecular diagnoses in these genes, but these lacked adequate clinical data to justify returning the findings to recruiting clinicians.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE AND/OR POLICY

⇒ Reverse phenotyping can improve molecular diagnosis rates from large-scale genomic projects.
⇒ Comprehensive phenotypic data are essential to facilitate accurate variant interpretation.
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read depth of 32× (range, 27–54) and a depth >15× for at least 95% of the reference human genome. In the Main Programme Data Release 12 (5 June 2021) used in this study, data were available for 88,844 individuals: 71,597 in the rare diseases arm (33,208 probands and 33,388 relatives) and 17,247 in the cancer arm.

Large-scale genomic studies such as the 100K offer the opportunity to perform reverse phenotyping for genes of interest. In traditional forward genetics, observation of clinical features prompts differential diagnoses and the subsequent evaluation of genes with potentially pathogenic variants (phenotype-to-genotype model). In reverse phenotyping, the search begins with the identification of potentially pathogenic variants, which are then mapped in a reverse strategy against the key clinical features of patients in order to guide phenotyping. Patients with potential causative variants in the selected genes are assessed to see if their clinical features match the associated disease phenotype and inheritance pattern reported in the medical literature (genotype-to-phenotype model).

Reverse phenotyping strategies have been especially successful for diseases characterised by high heterogeneity and complex phenotypes. For example, reverse phenotyping is helping to uncover the genetic architecture of pulmonary arterial hypertension.4 Reverse phenotyping allowed diagnosis of 18/64 previously unsolved patients with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome through analysis of 298 causative genes after whole exome sequencing (WES). This was followed by multidisciplinary team (MDT) discussion and recommended additional examinations to detect previously overlooked signs or symptoms of the syndromic genetic disorder that was guided by knowledge of the identified pathogenic variants.5 Reverse phenotyping also provides an opportunity to extend or refine the phenotype for disease-associated genes, as demonstrated for a family with an INPP5E-related ciliopathy.6

Ciliopathies are a group of rare inherited disorders caused by abnormalities of structure or function of primary cilia (the ‘cell’s antenna’) or motile cilia (organelles responsible for the movement of fluid over the surface of cells).8 Ciliopathy syndromes present as a clinical spectrum, ranging from relatively common single-system disorders such as retinal or renal ciliopathies, through to rare, complex, multisystem syndromes. There is considerable phenotypic and genetic heterogeneity between the >35 reported ciliopathy syndromes.9 10 Common, shared clinical features include renal malformations and/or renal dysfunction, retinal dystrophy, developmental delay, intellectual disability, cerebellar abnormalities, obesity and skeletal abnormalities.12 Collectively, ciliopathies are thought to affect up to 1 in 2000 people based on three common frequent clinical features: renal cysts (1 in 500 adults), retinal degeneration (1 in 2000 people based on three common frequent clinical features: renal cysts (1 in 500 adults), retinal degeneration (1 in 2000 people), and polydactyly (1 in 500).12 Multisystemic ciliopathies can be grouped into metabolic/obesity ciliopathies, neurodevelopmental ciliopathies and skeletal ciliopathies. The variety in systems involvement reflects the critical role of cilia in development and health.9

We recently published a study determining a research molecular diagnosis for n=43/83 (51.8%) of probands recruited under primary ciliopathy categories by GEL, comprising the ‘Congenital Malformations caused by Ciliopathies’ cohort.13 We noted that a high proportion of diagnoses were caused by variants in non-ciliopathy disease genes (n=19/43, 44.2%). We hypothesised that this reflects difficulties in the clinical recognition of ciliopathies, as well as practical challenges in recruiting participants to 100K under appropriate rare disease domains. It is therefore reasonable to assume that there are also ‘hidden’ patients with ciliopathies recruited to alternative categories.

**METHODS**

In order to improve the rate of successful molecular diagnosis for unsolved 100K participants with known or suspected ciliopathies, we developed a reverse phenotyping strategy for selected exemplar genes that are most frequently mutated as a cause of primary multisystemic ciliopathies.

**Selection of common multisystemic ciliopathy genes to assess**

A literature review was undertaken to determine the most common genetic causes of multisystemic primary ciliopathies: Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS) and Alström syndrome (metabolic/obesity ciliopathies); Joubert syndrome (JBTS), Meckel-Gruber syndrome (MKS) and orofaciodyndigital syndrome (OFD) (neurodevelopmental ciliopathies); the skeletal ciliopathy Jeune asphyxiating thoracic dystrophy (JATD) and nephronophthisis (isolated or syndromic renal ciliopathy).2 Disease genes causative of ≥10% of the total syndrome burden were selected for inclusion in the reverse phenotyping analysis and are summarised alongside referenced literature (online supplemental table 1). Where disease genes are known to cause multiple ciliopathy syndromes, all associated conditions are included in the table. On this basis, nine disease genes were selected as exemplars that span the extensive phenotypic range of primary multisystemic ciliopathies: BBS1, BBS10, ALMS1, OFD1, DYNC2H1, WDR34, NPH1, TMEM67 and CEP290. All have autosomal recessive inheritance except OFD1 which is associated with X linked dominant OFD type 1 (OFD-1) and X linked recessive JBTS.13 Almost all individuals with OFD-1 are female; the few affected males are reported to be malformed fetuses delivered by an affected female.

**Identification of solved participants with causative variants in representative ciliopathy disease genes**

All analysis on the GEL datasets were performed within a secure workspace called the ‘Research Environment’. Clinical and participant data were integrated and analysed using ‘LabKey’ data management software. Previously reported diagnoses were identified using data in the NHS Genomics Medical Centres (GMC) ‘Exit Questionnaire’. The Exit Questionnaire is completed by the clinicians at the GMC for each closed case, and summarises the extent to which a participant’s diagnosis can be explained by the combined variants reported to the GMC from GEL and clinical interpretation providers. Data in Exit Questionnaires were filtered for reports containing variants in the nine ciliopathy disease genes, where the ‘case solved family’ was annotated as ‘yes’ (solved) or ‘partially’ (partially solved).

**Selection of key clinical terms associated with selected ciliopathy genes**

A literature search of review articles prioritised the key clinical terms for each of the nine selected ciliopathy genes. This assessed the potential match against phenotype and justification for reporting new molecular findings. Approved researchers submit a ‘Researcher Identified Diagnosis’ (RID) form using the secure GEL ‘Airlock’ system. This is then sent to the participant’s recruiting clinician for consideration of the fit to phenotype and the interpretation of variant pathogenicity, followed by decisions about whether the finding should be reported back to the participant. Usually, such cases are discussed at multi-MDT meetings involving clinical scientists, researchers and clinicians. Variants
Developmental defects classed as likely pathogenic or pathogenic and felt to be a good clinical match for phenotype, must be molecularly confirmed and formally reported by an NHS-accredited diagnostic laboratory before being fed back to the participant by the clinician responsible for their care. Decisions about feedback of variants of uncertain clinical significance (VUS) to participants are the responsibility of individual clinicians following MDT discussion, but are usually not fed back.

The rationale for selection of key features is presented in Table 1, supported by key references from the literature. To allow easier categorisation and to protect participant anonymity, they are grouped into 11 body systems. Without specific participant

Table 1  Key clinical features for ciliopathy syndromes associated with the nine selected ciliopathy genes of interest

| System               | Chosen ciliopathy gene(s) associated with syndrome | BBS | ALMS | JATD | OFD-1 | NPHP1 (isolated + syndromic), TMEM67+ CEP290 (syndromic) | TMEM67, CEP290, NPHP1, OFD1 | TMEM67, CEP290 | CEP290 |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----|------|------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Ophthalmic           | Retinal dystrophy                                  | M   | M    | M    | m*††  | m*††                                                 | m                        | M              |        |
|                      | Lens opacities                                     |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           |                |        |
|                      | Keratocorne                                       |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
| Gastrointestinal     | Abnormality of the liver                           | m   | M    | m    | m*††  | m*††                                                 | M                        | M              |        |
|                      | Abnormality of the gut                             |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
| Renal                | Abnormal renal morphology/dysfunction              | M   | M    | M    | M     | m*††                                                 | M                        | M              |        |
| Genitourinary        | Abnormality of the genitourinary system            | M   | m    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
| Cardiovascular       | Cardiomyopathy                                     |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           |                |        |
|                      | Congenital heart disease                           | m   | m    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Hypertension                                       |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
| Sensory              | SNHL                                              | m   | M    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Glue ear                                          |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Chronic otitis media                               | m   | m    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
| Endocrine/Metabolic  | Hypogonadotropic hypogonadism                      | M   | M    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Glucose intolerance                                |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Obesity                                            | M   | M    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Hypertriglyceridemia                               |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Thyroid abnormality                                | m   | m    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Polycystic ovarian syndrome                        | m   | m    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Neurological                                       | M   | m    | M    | m*††  | M                                                     |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Intellectual disability                            |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Neurodevelopmental delay                           | M   | m    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Hypotonia                                          | m   | M    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Ataxia                                             | m   | M    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Abnormality of brain morphology                    | m   | M    | m*†† | M     | M                                                     |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Seizures                                           |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Unusual sleep patterns                             | m   |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
| Skeletal             | Polydactyly                                       | M   | m    | M    | m     | m                                                    | M                        | M              |        |
|                      | Short stature                                      |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Narrow chest                                       |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Brachydactyly                                      | M   | M    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Micromelia                                         | M   | M    |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Leg cramps                                         |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
| Facial/Oral          | Dental abnormalities                               | M   |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Abnormal oral morphology                           | M   | M    | m    | m     |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Dysmorphic facial features                         |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
| Respiratory          | Abnormal pattern of respiration                    | M   |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Chronic airway infection                           |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Asthma                                             |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Pulmonary hypoplasia                               |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |
|                      | Cystic lung                                        |     |      |      |       |                                                       |                           | M              |        |

Key features are grouped into 11 body systems. Clinical features marked ‘M’ are major features (present in >50% and/or listed as major diagnostic or characteristic feature in the literature cited). Features marked with ‘m’ are minor features (present in <50% and/or listed as a minor diagnostic feature in the literature cited).

*Feature of NPHP1-associated JBTS-plus syndrome (Senior-Loken syndrome).
†Feature of TMEM67-associated JBTS-plus syndrome (COACH syndrome).
‡Feature of CEP290-associated JBTS-plus syndrome (Senior-Loken syndrome, Joubert syndrome with retinal disease, Joubert syndrome with renal disease, COACH syndrome).
List of all variants across 100K dataset with Ensembl VEP annotations and linked Plate Key/ID.

Step 2: filtering and prioritisation of SNVs using custom Python script

A. Exclude common variants: 100K MAF ≥ 0.002; gnomAD AF ≥ 0.002
B. Exclude variants called in non-cancerous transcripts
C. Create prioritised SNV subsets

Step 3: search for potentially pathogenic SVs using SVRare script

A. All unclassified, affected individuals with heterozygous variants on ClinVar pathogenic or Ensembl VEP High Impact prioritised subsets submitted to SVRare script
B. SVs overlapping coding regions of genes of interest extracted

Step 4: search for novel splicing variants using custom SpliceAI script

A. All rare variants submitted to SpliceAI using custom Python script
B. Variants potentially affecting splicing extracted (SpliceAI delta scores > 0.5)

Step 5: search for molecular diagnoses amongst prioritised SNV sub-lists, SVRare prioritised variants and SpliceAI prioritised variants

Recessive gene(s): BB12, BB210, ASXL1, DYNC2H1, WDR3, HMPP1, TMEM182, CEP290
• Homozygous variants, compound heterozygous variants
• X-linked genes: DFTP1
• Heterozygous variants in females, hemizygous variants in males

Step 6: reverse phenotyping – link to clinical data
A. Extract participant data from Clalkey
• Exclude unaffiliated relatives
B. Extract EMBL variants from database
C. Extract variants from VEP database

Step 7: ACMG assessment and assignment of diagnostic confidence

Mode of inheritance | Confident diagnosis | Probable diagnosis | Possible diagnosis
--- | --- | --- | ---
Recessive | 2 pathogenic / likely pathogenic variants for the selected gene | 1 pathogenic / likely pathogenic variant + 1 VUS | 2 VUSs
X linked | 1 pathogenic / likely pathogenic variant | N/A | 1 VUS

Step 8: determine whether novel molecular diagnoses can be reported

≥ 5 key clinical features present related to identified molecular diagnosis
No key clinical features present related to identified molecular diagnosis

REPORT to recruiting clinician
DO NOT REPORT

Figure 1 Reverse phenotyping diagnostic research workflow. ACMG, American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AF, allele frequency; GMC, Genomics Medical Centres; HPO, Human Phenotyping Ontology; MAF, major allele frequency; N/A, not available; SNV, single nucleotide variant; SV, structural variant; VEP, Variant Effect Predictor; VUS, variant of uncertain significance.
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The full diagnostic workflow developed, from extraction through to reporting of variants, is represented in figure 1.

Steps 1 and 2: single nucleotide variant filtering and prioritisation

The script ‘Gene-Variant Workflow’ (available from https://research-help.genomicsengland.co.uk/display/GERE/Gene-Variant+Workflow) was used to extract all variants in the nine genes in the 100K dataset from Illumina variant call format (VCF) files, aggregate them together and annotate them using the Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (VEP). This includes all intronic and exonic variants within the specified gene region. A custom Python script called filter_gene VARIANT workflow.py (available from https://github.com/sunaynabest/filter_100K_gene VARIANT workflow) was used to exclude common variants using the following criteria: 100K major allele frequency (MAF) ≥ 0.002; gnomAD allele frequency (AF) ≥ 0.002 and variants called in non-canonical transcripts. The allele frequency threshold of 0.002 was calculated using the ImperialCardioGenetics frequency filter calculator (available from https://cardiobd.org/allelefrequencyapp), as recommended by the Association for Clinical Genomic Science Best Practice Guidelines. Parameters were set as follows: biallelic inheritance, prevalence 1 in 500, allelic heterogeneity 0.1, genetic heterogeneity 0.2, penetrance 1, confidence 0.95, reference population size 121412 (based on the Exome Aggregation Consortium cohort).

Finally, prioritised sublists of SNVs were extracted using filter_gene VARIANT workflow.py as follows: (i) ClinVar pathogenic (variants annotated by ClinVar as ‘pathogenic’ or ‘likely pathogenic’); (ii) high impact (variants annotated by VEP as ‘high impact’ (stop gained, stop lost, start lost, splice acceptor_variant, splice donor_variant, frameshift_variant, transcript_ablation, transcript_amplification)); (iii) SIFT deleterious missenses (missense variants predicted ‘deleterious’ by the in silico prediction tool SIFT). Additional in silico missense variant predictions were obtained via the Ensembl VEP web interface (available from https://www.ensembl.org/Tools/VEP) from Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion and PolyPhen-2.

Step 3: SVRare script to prioritise potentially pathogenic structural variants

Heterozygous variants in the nine selected genes in either the ‘ClinVar pathogenic’ or ‘high impact’ SNV sublists were then analysed by the SVRare script. This uses a database of 554 060 structural variants (SVs) called by Manta and Canvas aggregated from 71 408 participants in the rare disease arm of 100K. Common SVs (≥ 10 database calls) were excluded, and the remaining rare SVs that overlapped coding regions of the selected genes were extracted and analysed manually. BAM files for prioritised SVs were inspected in the Integrative Genomics Browser (IGV). SVs were considered potentially causative if present in > 30% of reads. Participants with heterozygous variants identified as ‘deleterious missense’ by SIFT were excluded from further manual analysis by SVRare because of the very high number of such variants and likelihood that they would be classified as VUS. Online supplemental table 4 summarises the numbers of SIFT deleterious missense variant calls in each gene, for example, there are 810 calls in ALMS1 alone.

Step 4: SpliceAI script to prioritise potentially pathogenic splice defects

All rare variants called by the Gene-Variant Workflow script in the nine representative ciliopathy disease genes (100K MAF ≤ 0.002; gnomAD AF ≤ 0.002) were run through SpliceAI prediction software with an additional custom Python script (find_variants_by_gene_and_SpliceAI_score.py) available at https://github.com/Lord86/Extract_variants). Variants predicted to affect splicing according to the recommended cutoff (SpliceAI delta scores > 0.5) were extracted and analysed manually. Variants previously annotated by ClinVar as ‘benign’ were excluded.
Step 5: search for molecular diagnoses among prioritised variants
All prioritised variant lists were manually analysed for each gene: these comprised ClinVar pathogenic, high impact and SIFT deleterious missense SNV, SVRare and SpliceAI prioritised variant lists. For recessive genes (all except OFD1), homozygous or compound heterozygous variants were pursued. Heterozygous variants called in female participants and hemizygous variants called in male participants were pursued for X linked OFD1.

Step 6: link to clinical data and reverse phenotyping
The Gene-Variant Workflow output files contain ‘plate key’ identifiers (ID$s; unique identifiers used by GEL for DNA sample tracking and logistics) for all participants in whom each variant was called. These unique IDs for participant samples were used to obtain participant data via LabKey, including GMC exit questionnaires reporting outcomes and participant status. Participants were excluded if recruited as unaffected relatives or ‘solved’ or ‘partially solved’ with variants in alternative genes. For remaining participants (all unsolved probands or affected relatives), parental data were analysed where available, to determine variant segregation. HPO terms entered at the time of recruitment were also extracted. Further linked clinical data were obtained using the GEL user interface ‘Participant Explorer’.

This links to the source data in LabKey to identify participants with particular clinical phenotypes, determine longitudinal phenotypic and clinical data for any participant and allow comparison between multiple participants. From these, the number of key clinical features related to the identified ciliopathy gene was recorded for each participant, as well as the bodily system(s) involved.

Step 7: decision on reporting of novel molecular diagnoses
We reasoned that the presence of at least one major key clinical feature that was compatible with the implicated gene would be sufficient to report any newly identified potential molecular diagnoses to recruiting clinicians. If no major key clinical features were present, we were unable to justify reporting because they could not be considered a potential match for patients’ clinical features, the so-called ‘pertinent findings’.

Step 8: ACMG classification and assignment of diagnostic confidence categories for reportable diagnoses
Variant clinical interpretation was reviewed using the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)/Association for Molecular Pathology guidelines and each variant of interest among participants with reportable diagnoses was assigned an ACMG pathogenicity score.

Phenotype specificity is a key factor in variant interpretation, so only those deemed potentially pertinent findings, in the presence of at least one major key feature and therefore reportable, underwent variant interpretation and diagnostic confidence scoring. Diagnostic confidence categories were assigned as ‘confident’, ‘probable’ or ‘possible’ based on the assigned ACMG variant classifications (figure 1). A ‘confident’ diagnosis required two pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in genes with recessive inheritance, or one pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant in OFD1. A ‘probable’ diagnosis required one pathogenic/likely pathogenic and one VUS in genes with recessive inheritance; no ‘probable’ classification was possible for OFD1 variants. A ‘possible’ diagnosis was assigned in the presence of two VUS in recessive genes or one VUS in OFD1.

We exported anonymised data for publication through the Airlock system, after review by the GEL Airlock Review Committee. We present only information about the body systems with key features for each participant rather than specific HPO terms, in order to protect participant anonymity.

RESULTS

100K participants previously solved with causative variants in representative ciliopathy disease genes
Forty-four participants have previously been reported to have ‘solved’ or ‘partially solved’ molecular diagnoses in GMC exit questionnaires with variants in the nine representative ciliopathy disease genes (online supplemental table 3). Seven of these reported cases overlap with participants described in ‘Congenital Malformations caused by Ciliopathies’ cohort analyses. Interestingly, male participant #32 was recruited to the ‘rodcone dystrophy’ category with an apparently milder non-syndromic form of retinal dystrophy that was only identified in late adulthood (online supplemental table 3). Further clinical information from the recruiting clinicians revealed that the participant had a rod-cone dystrophy that lacked bone spicules typical for retinitis pigmentosa but was similar to Bardet-Biedl syndrome (figure 2A, B, C).

Participant #32 also had intellectual disability, truncal obesity, evidence of renal failure, short fingers and chronic respiratory disease with mild bronchiectasis (‘signet ring’ signs on CT scan of the chest; figure 2D). These are clinical features consistent with a syndromic ciliopathy, and we are not aware of any previous reports of males with hemizygous OFD1 variants having this combination of features.

Molecular details for two reported variants are incomplete, described as a heterozygous ‘large delins’ in ALMS1 (participant...
New reportable diagnoses identified through the reverse phenotyping research diagnostic workflow

We prioritised a total number of 3666 variants from the SNV, SV and SpliceAI outputs (online supplemental table 4) through our research diagnostic workflow; 30 variants led to potential reportable diagnoses in 18 previously unsolved participants through reverse phenotyping (table 2). However, on further investigation, n=5/18 participants (##45, ##47, ##48, ##50 and ##51) had causative variants that were already included in their GMC Exit Questionnaires, but had reporting outcomes annotated as ‘unknown’ or without listing the ciliopathy disease genes of interest. Although these outcomes may be due to inadvertent coding errors, we did not include the data from these participants for further analysis. Our workflow therefore identified a total of n=13/18 participants with new reportable diagnoses.

Identification of reportable SVs

Two participants have been identified with new potentially causative SVs through the SVRare script (figure 3). Participant ##45 had a maternally inherited, 116969 bp chr2 inversion and a 63550 bp gain (identified using Manta and Canvas, respectively), both including coding regions of ALMS1. After a careful inspection of the IGV plot, we also observed a monosomic chromosome 11 deletion (identified by Canvas), including the proteinuric renal disease category, has two Jeune syndrome features from the renal and skeletal systems, allowing this research finding to be reported to the recruiting clinician.

Novel unreportable diagnoses identified through research workflow

Eleven participants have unreportable, novel diagnoses in the nine ciliopathy disease genes (table 3). These participants have no major key clinical features among their entered HPO terms, or identifiable among the additional clinical data available on Participant Explorer, that can justify reporting to recruiting clinicians as potentially pertinent clinical findings. Four of these 11 have novel missense variants, which can only be classified as VUS. The other seven (##60, ##61, ##64, ##65, ##71, ##72, ##73) have at least one more definitively damaging variant, including high impact frame shifts, stop gains, splice acceptors and ClinVar pathogenic missenses.

DISCUSSION

Reportable diagnoses

We have used a reverse phenotyping strategy to identify 62 reportable molecular diagnoses with variants in 9 prioritised, multisystemic ciliopathy genes (BBS1, BBS10, ALMS1, OFD1, DYNC2H1, WDR34, NPHP1, TMEM67, CEP290). The nine genes chosen were representative exemplars that, from the literature review, span the extensive phenotypic range of ciliopathies. The addition of other ciliopathy genes (such as CPLANE1 for JBTS) would, of course, further increase diagnostic yield. Forty-four have been previously reported by 100K in GMC Exit Questionnaires, 5 were previously unreported and 13 represent new diagnoses that are compatible with the entered clinical phenotypes.4–6

Identification of reportable non-canonical splice defects

One new homozygous CEP290 intronic variant has been identified by using our SpliceAI script, predicted to cause a splice acceptor gain (SpliceAI DS_AG 0.64) (NM_025114.4:c.6011+874G>T) and gain of a potential splice acceptor site (Alamut screenshot; figure 3D). This variant was identified in participant ##49, recruited to the cystic kidney disease category. The proband’s father is heterozygous for the variant, but there is no maternal sample available in 100K. The recruiting clinician has been contacted and relevant tissues (blood, urinary renal epithelial cells) requested to perform functional splicing assays, but no response has been received. Therefore, the variant has been called a VUS, allowing classification of only a ‘possible’ diagnosis to be made.

Novel unreportable diagnoses identified through research workflow

Eleven participants have unreportable, novel diagnoses in the nine ciliopathy disease genes (table 3). These participants have no major key clinical features among their entered HPO terms, or identifiable among the additional clinical data available on Participant Explorer, that can justify reporting to recruiting clinicians as potentially pertinent clinical findings. Four of these 11 have novel missense variants, which can only be classified as VUS. The other seven (##60, ##61, ##64, ##65, ##71, ##72, ##73) have at least one more definitively damaging variant, including high impact frame shifts, stop gains, splice acceptors and ClinVar pathogenic missenses.

DISCUSSION

Reportable diagnoses

We have used a reverse phenotyping strategy to identify 62 reportable molecular diagnoses with variants in 9 prioritised, multisystemic ciliopathy genes (BBS1, BBS10, ALMS1, OFD1, DYNC2H1, WDR34, NPHP1, TMEM67, CEP290). The nine genes chosen were representative exemplars that, from the literature review, span the extensive phenotypic range of ciliopathies. The addition of other ciliopathy genes (such as CPLANE1 for JBTS) would, of course, further increase diagnostic yield. Forty-four have been previously reported by 100K in GMC Exit Questionnaires, 5 were previously unreported and 13 represent new diagnoses that are compatible with the entered clinical phenotypes.4–6

We have reported VUS results to recruiting clinicians in this project by using RID forms submitted through the secure GEL Airlock. The ACMG advises that VUS results identified through this study will be immediately reported back to patients by recruiting clinicians, but there is a high probability that at least some are the correct molecular diagnosis. Therefore, we believe it is important to report them from the research setting for current and future consideration, especially with the emergence of improved functional
## Table 2: Reportable new diagnoses identified via reverse phenotyping research diagnostic workflow

| Research ID | Dx confidence | Reported sex | Race/ethnicity | Ethnic origin | Gene(s) | Consequence | ClinVar listing | ACMG classification | AC/SCG classification | Genomic alteration | PolyPhen | SIFT | CADD | gnomAD_AF | 100K MAF | Segregation | # of key features | Systems involved |
|-------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------|------|------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 45          | Conf          | Ma           |                   |               | ALMS1   | NM_015120.4:10775del | Abs            | Path               | Path               | NM_055935.4:Gly597del | 5.2E-05             | 4.7E-04  |       |       | 11941369, 11941370, 17994715 | Path | Path | Path | M: Oph |
| 47          | Pass          | fe           |                   |               | BBS501  | NM_024695.4:17907>A   | 0.25E-05       | Delet              | Prob_dam           | NM_024695.4:Gly597del | 4.77E-04             | 4.77E-04 |       |       |                       | Abs | Abs |       | M: Ren, Oph, skeletodermal |
| 48          | Conf          | Ma           |                   |               | NPHP1   | NM_001128178.3:1027G>A | 0.0081155       | Delet              | Prob_dam           | NM_001128178.3:Gly597del | 10839884             | Path | 1 par (other unk) | Path | M: Ren, Oph |
| 49          | Pass          | fe           |                   |               | CEP290  | NM_025114.4:6011+874G>T | 0.0081155       | Delet              | Prob_dam           | NM_025114.4:Gly597del | 11941369, 11941370, 17994715 | Path | Path | Path | M: Oph, neu (n=3) |
| 50          | Pass          | fe           |                   |               | CEP290  | NM_025114.4:6011+874G>T | 0.0081155       | Delet              | Prob_dam           | NM_025114.4:Gly597del | 11941369, 11941370, 17994715 | Path | Path | Path | M: Oph, neu (n=3) |
| 53          | Pass          | fe           |                   |               | CEP290  | NM_025114.4:6011+874G>T | 0.0081155       | Delet              | Prob_dam           | NM_025114.4:Gly597del | 11941369, 11941370, 17994715 | Path | Path | Path | M: Oph, neu (n=3) |
| 54          | Pass          | fe           |                   |               | CEP290  | NM_025114.4:6011+874G>T | 0.0081155       | Delet              | Prob_dam           | NM_025114.4:Gly597del | 11941369, 11941370, 17994715 | Path | Path | Path | M: Oph, neu (n=3) |
| 55          | Conf          | Ma           |                   |               | ALMS1   | NM_015120.4:10775del | Abs            | Path               | Path               | NM_055935.4:Gly597del | 5.2E-05             | 4.7E-04  |       |       | 11941369, 11941370, 17994715 | Path | Path | Path | M: Oph, neu (n=3) |
| 56          | Conf          | Ma           |                   |               | ALMS1   | NM_015120.4:10775del | Abs            | Path               | Path               | NM_055935.4:Gly597del | 5.2E-05             | 4.7E-04  |       |       | 11941369, 11941370, 17994715 | Path | Path | Path | M: Oph, neu (n=3) |
| 57          | Pass          | Ma           |                   |               | ALMS1   | NM_015120.4:10775del | Abs            | Path               | Path               | NM_055935.4:Gly597del | 5.2E-05             | 4.7E-04  |       |       | 11941369, 11941370, 17994715 | Path | Path | Path | M: Oph, neu (n=3) |
| 58          | Pass          | Ma           |                   |               | ALMS1   | NM_015120.4:10775del | Abs            | Path               | Path               | NM_055935.4:Gly597del | 5.2E-05             | 4.7E-04  |       |       | 11941369, 11941370, 17994715 | Path | Path | Path | M: Oph, neu (n=3) |
| 62          | Conf          | Ma           |                   |               | CEP290  | NM_025114.4:5434_5435del | 0.0081155       | Delet              | Prob_dam           | NM_025114.4:Gly597del | 11941369, 11941370, 17994715 | Path | Path | Path | M: Oph, Neu |
| 63          | Conf          | Ma           |                   |               | CEP290  | NM_025114.4:5434_5435del | 0.0081155       | Delet              | Prob_dam           | NM_025114.4:Gly597del | 11941369, 11941370, 17994715 | Path | Path | Path | M: Oph, Neu |

Continued
variant interpretation tools. The problem lies in the lack of available lines of evidence to perform definitive variant classification, especially for missense and splice variants. The ACMG advises that ‘efforts to resolve the classification of the variant as pathogenic or benign should be undertaken’ when VUS are identified.²⁷ Currently, functional work to provide additional ‘strong’ evidence is largely limited to the research setting, done on a case-by-case basis where resources are available and interested researchers are involved. Improved variant sharing will also facilitate better variant classification because the recurrent identification of potential disease alleles among individuals with convincing shared phenotypes adds weight to the assessment of variant pathogenicity.

Alström syndrome is one of the rarer ciliopathies, with an estimated prevalence of 1:100,000 to 1:1,000,000 and around 950 affected individuals reported worldwide.³⁰ Biallelic ALMS1 variants have recently also been published as rare causes of non-syndromic retinal dystrophy and cardiomyopathy (online supplemental table 1). The identification of 14 patients with biallelic, predicted pathogenic ALMS1 variants is therefore higher than anticipated and may reflect under-recognition of this disease gene in the clinical setting. We expected to find a higher rate of TMEM67 diagnoses than the three identified, given that TMEM67 is the leading cause of JBTS and MKS, and is also associated with NPHP and COACH syndrome (online supplemental table 1). Potentially, given the greater disease burden and therefore familiarity with TMEM67, more straightforward TMEM67 diagnoses may have been identified by mainstream testing, preventing the need for those participants to be enrolled into 100K. However, this explanation may not be true for other genes because all 12 of the GEL reported BBS1 cases had at least one copy of the founder missense variant NM_024649.5:c.1169T>G, NP_078925.3: p.(Met390Arg). This is known to be the most frequent cause of BBS,³¹ and it would be expected to be identified by routine testing.

To illustrate the challenge of diagnosing biallelic BBS1 variants, even when one copy is the common founder missense variant NM_024649.5:c.1169T>G, NP_078925.3: p.(Met390Arg), we further reviewed the ‘Congenital Malformations caused by Ciliopathies’ (CMC) cohort, as described previously,³² for potential compound heterozygous BBS1 variants. Through manual inspection of aligned sequence reads in IGV, we identified a soft-clipped read signature in exon 13 in CMC cohort participant #59 (figure 3E) that was consistent with a recently described mobile SVA F family element insertion of size 2.4 kb.³² Analysis of parental alignments supported the variant being in trans with the maternally inherited c.1169T>G missense mutation (figure 3E). A duplex PCR screening assay (online supplemental data 1) and sequencing confirmed the presence of the mobile element insertion in the proband and their father (figure 3E). This case further demonstrates that reanalysis of 100K data improves diagnostic yield, and allows refinement (online supplemental data 1) of an existing diagnostic screening strategy³² that allows interpretation of unusual alignment profiles in short-read sequencing datasets.

Sources of additional diagnoses from the reverse phenotyping research diagnostic workflow

The Genomics England Rare Disease Tiering Process includes an automated variant triaging algorithm to classify variants on virtual PanelApp panel(s) selected according to entered HPO terms into a series of ‘Tiered’ categories, which have been described previously.³³ Tiered variants are primarily limited to

---

**Table 2 Continued**

| Dr. | Research ID confidence |
|----|------------------------|
| G6 | Poss                   |
| G7 | Poss                   |
| G8 | Poss                   |
| G9 | Conf                   |
| G10 | Poss                   |
| G11 | Poss                   |
| G12 | Poss                   |

---

**Notes:** VUS = variant of uncertain significance; Path Unk = pathogenic uncertain; 100K, 100,000 Genomes Project; Abs = absent; 1M = one million; 2M = two million; 3M = three million; 4M = four million; Abs, absent; ACMG, American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AF, allele frequency; Bi-parental, bi-parental; CADD, Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion; Conf, confident; Dx, diagnostic; Endo/met, endocrine/metabolic; FS, frameshift; Hemi, hemizygous; Het, heterozygous; HGVSc, Human Genome Variation Society coding; HGVSp, Human Genome Variation Society protein; Hom, homozygous; Intr, intronic; 100K, 100,000 Genomes Project; gain; Skel, skeletal; SL, start; TMEM67, transmembrane protein 67; Vect, vector.
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Figure 3  Likely pathogenic structural variants and other variants in selected ciliopathy genes identified through the reverse phenotyping research diagnostic workflow. (A) IGV plot of ALMS1 (NM_015120.4) in participant #45. We observed a monoallelic complex SV in the ALMS1 gene spanning from chr2:g.73424245 to chr2:g.73544334 (GRCh38). (B) Diagrammatic representation of complex ALMS1 SV in participant #45. After inspection of the IGV plots, we surmised that the alternative allele is a paired-duplication inversion, with block A at chr2:g.73424245_73427355, covering exons 4 and 5 (NM_015120.4), block B at chr2:g.73427355_73484777, covering exons 6–9 and block C at chr2:g.73484777_73544334. Note that the boundary between block B and C is an estimate as it is within a region with relatively low alignment quality. (C) IGV plot of heterozygous 56kb deletion identified in DYNC2H1 (NM_001377.3) in participant #70. The terminal four exons (86–89) have been deleted. (D) Alamut screenshot for CEP290 c.6011+874G>T variant in participant #49. Top tracks are donor/acceptor splice site predictions for the reference sequence and the bottom tracks are donor/acceptor predictions for the mutated sequence. Green highlighting identifies increasing scores for a potential splice acceptor site in the non-reference mutated sequence track. (E) Analysis of the BBS1 locus for Congenital Malformations caused by Ciliopathies (CMC) cohort participant #59 following trio whole genome sequencing. (i) The maternally inherited pathogenic variant, NM_024649.5:c.1169T>G, NP_078925.3:p.(Met390Arg) (highlighted by the black frames) is in trans with a paternally inherited mobile element insertion for which the target site duplication sequence is highlighted (red frames). Soft-clipped junction spanning reads, showing inserted nucleotides and the terminal poly(A) tract, are visible. (ii) Sanger sequencing confirmation of the maternally inherited c.1169T>C mutation. Exon 12 coding sequence is highlighted in peach. (iii) Duplex screening assay confirming that the mobile element insertion was present in the proband and his father (270 bp band). Upstream (iv) and downstream (v) junction fragments confirm that the target site duplication sequence is as previously reported. Variant nomenclature is according to transcript NM_024649.5. IGV, Integrative Genomics Browser; SV, structural variant.
### Table 3  Novel, unreportable diagnoses identified via reverse phenotyping research diagnostic workflow

| Research ID | Recruitment category | Gene | Variant zygosity | Consequence | HGVSc | HGVSp | gnomAD AF | 100K MAF | SIFT | PolyPhen | CADD | PubMed | ClinVar listing | Segregation | # of key features |
|-------------|----------------------|------|-----------------|-------------|--------|--------|-----------|----------|-------|----------|------|--------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|
| 52          | Intellectual disability | ALMS1 | Het | Missense | NM_015120.4:c.7738A>T | NP_055935.4:p.Ile2580Phe | 4.01E-06 | 0.00008997 | Delet | Ben       | 22.5 | Abs   | Abs | Mat | 0M, 2m    |
| 59          | Hereditary spastic paraplegia | BBS1 | Het | Missense | NM_024645.5:c.2395G>A | NP_078925.3:p.Glu786ys | 0.000756 | 0.00105728 | Delet | Pat, dam  | 23.8 | VUS   | Unk |         | 0M, 0n    |
| 60          | Primary immunodeficiency | CEP290 | Het | Frameshift | NM_025114.4:c.6161_6166del | NP_079390.3:p.Arg2055del | 1.90E-05 | 1.91E-05 | Delet | Prob_dam | 32   | Abs   | Unk |         | 0M, 0n    |
| 61          | Primary lymphoedema | CEP290 | Het | Silent | NM_025114.4:c.7048C>T | NP_079390.3:p.Gln2350Ter | 1.90E-05 | 1.91E-05 | Delet | Prob_dam | 32   | Abs   | Unk |         | 0M, 0n    |
| 64          | Limb-girdle muscular dystrophy | CEP290 | Hom | Missense | NM_025114.4:c.4061C>T | NP_079390.3:p.Arg1354Ter | 4.97E-05 | 9.53E-05 | Delet | Ben       | 27.7 | Abs   | lik_path, VUS | Unk | 0M, 0n    |
| 65          | Undiagnosed monogenic disorders | CEP290 | Het | Missense | NM_025114.4:c.5999C>A | NP_079390.3:p.Glu1973Asp | 1.27E-05 | 1.27E-05 | Delet | Prob_dam | 25.6 | Abs   | Abs | Unk | 0M, 0n    |
| 68          | Early onset dementia | CEP290 | Het | Missense | NM_025114.4:c.3127A>G | NP_079390.3:p.Arg1048Gly | 3.13E-05 | 6.35E-05 | Delet | Ben       | 23.2 | Abs   | – | VUS | 0M, 0n    |
| 69          | Epilepsy plus other features | CEP290 | Het | Missense | NM_025114.4:c.2447G>A | NP_079390.3:p.Arg816Gly | 5.00E-05 | 2.54E-05 | Delet | Prob_dam | 32   | 25.741-868 | VUS | Unk | 0M, 0n    |
| 71          | Hereditary ataxia | DYNC2H1 | Het | Missense | NM_001377.3:c.10143C>T | NP_015663.2:p.Thr3382Leu | 3.62E-05 | 1.00E-04 | Delet | Prob_dam | 31   | Abs   | Unk, path | path | 0M, 0m    |
| 72          | Early onset dementia | OFD1 | Het | Splice acceptor | NM_003611.3:c.3317-1G>A | NP_003612.1:p.Glu373Asp | 0 | 6.35E-06 | Delet | Ben       | 23.2 | Abs   | Unk |         | 0M, 0n    |
| 73          | Early onset dystonia | OFD1 | Het | Splice donor | NM_003611.3:c.3317+1G>T | NP_003612.1:p.Glu373Asp | 0 | 6.35E-06 | Delet | Ben       | 23.2 | Abs   | Unk |         | 0M, 0n    |

Abs, absent; ACMG, American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AF, allele frequency; CADD, Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion; E, exonic; F, frameshift; FDR, False Discovery Rate; HGVS, Human Genome Variation Society coding; HGVSp, Human Genome Variation Society protein; Hes, heterogeneous; Intr, intronic; MAF, maximum allele frequency; Mat, maternal; M, male; M, major clinical feature; Ms, missense; Pat, paternal; Path, pathogenic; Pol, polymorphic; PolyPhen, PolyPhen-2; PubMed, PubMed; Rare, rare; Rec, recurrent; SIFT, Sorting Intolerant From Tolerant; SL, start loss; SV, structural variant; Syn, synonymous; Unk, unknown; VUS, variant of uncertain significance.
those variants affecting coding sequences, and splice donor or acceptor sites. The standard 100K pipeline requires diagnostic labs to analyse variants that are triaged into tier 1 or 2. Tier three variants (rare coding SNVs in genes not included in the selected panel or panels) and untiered variants are not routinely analysed in the diagnostic setting. The selection of incorrect panels that prevents appropriate tiering of causative variants, and the fact that certain types of variant are not routinely tiered, will therefore both contribute to missed diagnoses. Furthermore, inaccurate or incomplete HPO term entries at the time of recruitment will lead to inappropriate virtual gene panel selections that will not allow the analysis of the correct causative disease gene. These problems of missed diagnoses for both the present reverse phenotyping study and our previous analysis of the ‘CMC’ cohort, suggests that a change in protocol should be considered. This would permit further gene panel selection in the absence of good phenotyping data, or when the answer is not found from the first panel(s) applied.

SVs and single heterozygous SVs in recessive disease genes are not routinely tiered, even when the genes are on the panel(s) applied. Filtering of all variants in our selected genes independent of the GEL tiering system, followed by independent annotation and analysis, has allowed us to identify SNVs most likely to be pathogenic, even when they are a single hit in a recessive disease gene. If the second variant in the same gene is difficult to find, for example, if it is an SV or intronic variant, then their identification in our pipeline could improve diagnostic yield. In particular, the introduction of the SVRare script, permitting exclusion of SV calls from analysis if they appear in >10 100K participants, has facilitated diagnosis of two previously unsolved participants (#45 and #70) with untiered, likely pathogenic SVs. SVRare provides a fast and systematic approach to SV analysis, which will be invaluable for future genomic studies. All 100K participants have SV.vcf files available in the Research Environment, called using the Manta and Canvas pipelines. To date, strategies to filter the huge number of SVs from these outputs, most of which are common and benign, have been limited. Alongside manual IGV inspection, the SVRare pipeline also allowed more accurate definition of the complex ALMS1 SV found in participant #45, since it was called as both a rare inversion (Manta) and duplication (Canvas).

A further source of untiered, potentially pathogenic variants is our custom SpliceAI script. Currently, novel intronic variants are not routinely tiered. SpliceAI has provided one possible new diagnosis in participant #49, with the identification of a rare, homozygous intronic variant predicted to cause a CEP290 splice acceptor gain (NM_025114.4:c.6011+874G>T, SpliceAI DS-AG 0.64; figure 3D).

These sources of potentially missed causative variants shows the value of research collaborations to make the most of available genomic data. In particular, comprehensive SV and intronic variant analysis facilitates diagnoses not easily achievable through WES and gene-panel testing, but the standard 100K diagnostic pipelines do not yet take full advantage of these analyses.

The challenge of poor phenotyping data that prevents accurate variant interpretation

The quality of phenotyping has proven highly significant in determining the accuracy of variant interpretation in this study. At the time of recruitment to 100K, the HPO term entry for participants was frequently sparse, comprising one or two terms only, often from just one organ system. The Participant Explorer user interface can provide additional clinical data from longitudinal patient records, which summarise medical history, and timelines for inpatient and outpatient observations, treatments and procedures. However, these data are of variable quality, and clinical features are not collated in a form amenable for genotype-phenotype correlation analyses. Given the frequently sparse clinical data available, we decided to report identified molecular diagnoses among participants with at least one major key clinical feature. This was to maximise the number of potential new diagnoses. With the limited data and systems available, we must pass responsibility on to the recruiting clinicians to refine any phenotypic fit in light of any additional clinical data to which they have access.

Effective communication with recruiting clinicians, providing additional clinical information not entered at the time of recruitment to 100K, has proven invaluable for accurate variant interpretation. However, of the 20 researcher-identified diagnosis forms and clinical collaboration request forms submitted via the GEL Airlock in the last 3 months, we have only received responses from four recruiting clinicians. Participant #62, recruited under the ‘epilepsy plus other features’ category with an ‘unsolved’ status on their GMC exit questionnaire, illustrates the value of effective researcher-clinician collaboration. We identified a ClinVar pathogenic CEP290 frameshift variant (NM_025114.4:c.5434_5435del, NP_079390.3:p.Glu1812LysfsTer5) and a deep intronic CEP290 variant known to cause a strong splice-donor site and insertion of a cryptic exon (NM_025114.4:c.2991+1655A>G). Participant #62 had one CEP290-related key clinical feature from the ophthalmic system category (keratoconus), permitting us to report the finding. The recruiting clinician confirmed the presence of key ophthalmological features not entered during recruitment to 100K, comprising a formal diagnosis of Leber Congenital Amaurosis (bilateral keratoconus and cataracts, no detectable ERG responses to light) that was not previously specified. This strengthened confidence that the molecular diagnosis is correct and that this participant is highly likely to have a CEP290-related syndromic ciliopathy. It is unclear if the neurological features reported for participant #62 (diffuse cerebellar atrophy confirmed by MRI, but no evidence of structural brain abnormalities or intellectual disability), in addition to epilepsy, are associated with syndromic ciliopathy or comprise a separate phenotype. Nevertheless, reporting the molecular diagnosis is especially important in this instance, because the CEP290 c.2991+1655A>G variant is a target for the development of antisense oligonucleotides that may offer a personalised therapy for patients.

Reverse phenotyping facilitates expansion of ciliopathy disease-gene associations

As was previously demonstrated for a family with an INPP5E-related ciliopathy, this study widens the phenotypic spectrum of known ciliopathy disease-gene associations through reverse phenotyping. For example, male participant #32 was reported ‘solved’ with a pathogenic hemizygous OFD1 frameshift variant in exon 20/23 (NM_003602.1:c.2680_2681del, NP_003602.1:p.Glu894ArgfsTer6). Although participant #32 was recruited to the ‘rod-cone dystrophy’ category with apparently non-syndromic retinal dystrophy, reverse phenotyping revealed that he had clinical features that were consistent with a syndromic ciliopathy. Truncating variants in the C-terminal end of OFD1 (exons 20–21) have recently been associated with the motile ciliopathy primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) without the characteristic skeletal, neurological or renal features of other OFD1-related disorders. The OFD1 protein is a component...
of ciliary basal bodies and centrioles, and has been shown to be essential for both primary and motile ciliogenesis.\(^3\)\(^8\) Therefore, it is entirely plausible that pathogenic OFD1 variants could cause features compatible with both motile and primary ciliopathies, therefore accounting for participant #32’s full constellation of features (retinal dystrophy, renal failure and intellectual disability in keeping with primary ciliopathies and PCD-like respiratory disease with motile ciliopathies). Further reports of patients with both motile and primary ciliopathy features that carry pathogenic OFD1 variants would strengthen this potential broadening of associated phenotypes. It is possible that the exon 20 frame-shift variant identified in participant #32 could just explain part of his phenotype, for example, his PCD-like respiratory disease, in keeping with the published literature.\(^3\)\(^8\) Conversely, retinal dystrophy may be an additional feature, as has been reported in association with X linked recessive ciliopathy caused by pathogenic OFD1 variants in affected males.\(^9\) We therefore suggest that individuals with a suspected OFD1-associated ciliopathy undergo a formal ophthalmological assessment to strengthen the diagnosis.

**Unreportable diagnoses**

As well as the 18 reportable molecular diagnoses, we also identified 11 unreportable molecular diagnoses for the 9 ciliopathy disease genes (table 3). Parental sequence is not available for any of the participants with unreportable diagnoses apart from one (#52). Lack of segregation analyses hamper accurate variant interpretation. Nevertheless, it is highly likely that some of these molecular diagnoses are correct and clinically actionable, with implications for the proband and for their relatives. The inability to report these findings is likely to be driven by inaccurate HPO term entry, which is a great loss to the participants. A review of reporting guidelines, given this important observation, may prove beneficial. For example, a system could be devised that marks potential pathogenic variants of interest that then requests further clinical information, but these remain unreportable until further, actionable data are available.

**Conclusion**

This study reveals the power of reverse phenotyping approaches to improve diagnosis rates for rare disease participants entered into large-scale genomic studies such as the 100K. Through the application of additional novel screening methodologies such as the SVRare suite, and with domain-specific knowledge, we have confirmed existing ciliopathy diagnoses and identified additional ones in a series of 100K participants who were not originally recruited as having a primary ciliopathy. Our findings suggest that diagnoses may be missed when screening of limited gene panels is directed by incorrect or incomplete HPO term entry, and that inaccurate phenotyping may prevent participants from accessing clinically valuable findings. We have discussed the challenges of 100K analyses more extensively in our recent commentary article and suggest potential improvements for future use of 100K data.\(^10\)\(^11\) Clearly, open dialogue between researchers, clinicians and clinical scientists is essential to fully exploit the available data for patient benefit in the postgenomic era.

**Author affiliations**

1Division of Molecular Medicine, Leeds Institute of Medical Research, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
2Yorkshire Regional Genetics Service, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK
3Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, John Radcliffe Hospital, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
4University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK
5Faculty of Medicine, Human Development and Health, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
6Windsor House Group Practice, Mid Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK
7North East and Yorkshire Genomic Laboratory Hub, Central Lab, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK
8Genomics England, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
9St. Paul’s Eye Unit, Royal Liverpool University Hospital, Liverpool, UK
10University College London (UCL) Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK
11Chalfont Centre for Epilepsy, Chalfont, UK
12Department of Ophthalmology, Torbay and South Devon NHS Foundation Trust, Torquay, UK
13Exeter Genomics Laboratory, Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust, Exeter, UK
14RILD Wellcome Wolfson Centre, University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, UK
15Peninsula Clinical Genetics Service, Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust, Exeter, UK

**Twitter** Christopher Mark Watson @ChristM_Watson and Gabrielle Wheway @gabriellewheway

**Collaborators** The Genomics England Research Consortium: Ambrose, J C (Genomics England, London, UK); Arumugam, P (Genomics England, London, UK); Beyer, R (Genomics England, London, UK); Bleda, M (Genomics England, London, UK); Boardman-Pretty, F (Genomics England, London, UK; William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK); Bostred, C R (Genomics England, London, UK); Foxwell, T (Genomics England, London, UK); Giess A (Genomics England, London, UK); Hamblin, A (Genomics England, London, UK); Henderson, S (Genomics England, London, UK); William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK); Hubbard, T J P (Genomics England, London, UK); Jackson, R (Genomics England, London, UK); Jones, L J (Genomics England, London, UK; William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK); Kasperovic, D (Genomics England, London, UK; William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK); Kayikci, M (Genomics England, London, UK); Kousathanas, A (Genomics England, London, UK); Lahnstein, L (Genomics England, London, UK); Leigh, S E A (Genomics England, London, UK); Leong, I U S (Genomics England, London, UK); Lopez, F J (Genomics England, London, UK); Maleady-Crowe, F (Genomics England, London, UK); McIntagert, M (Genomics England, London, UK); Mineo F (Genomics England, London, UK); Moutsianas, L (Genomics England, London, UK; William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK); Mueller, M (Genomics England, London, UK; William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK); Muraques, H (Genomics England, London, UK); Need, A C (Genomics England, London, UK; William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK); O’Donovan P (Genomics England, London, UK); Othams, C A (Genomics England, London, UK); Patch, C (Genomics England, London, UK; William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK); Perez-Gil, D (Genomics England, London, UK); Pereira, M B (Genomics England, London, UK); Pullinger, J (Genomics England, London, UK); Rahim, T (Genomics England, London, UK); Rendon, A (Genomics England, London, UK); Rogers, T (Genomics England, London, UK); Savage, K (Genomics England, London, UK); Sawant, K (Genomics England, London, UK); Scott, R H (Genomics England, London, UK); Siddiqi, A (Genomics England, London, UK); Sieghart, A (Genomics England, London, UK); Smith, S C (Genomics England, London, UK); Squissiny, L (Genomics England, London, UK); Stuckey, A (Genomics England, London, UK); Tavares, L A (Genomics England, London, UK); Thomas, E R A (Genomics England, London, UK); William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK); Thompson, S R (Genomics England, London, UK); Tucci, A (Genomics England, London, UK; William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK); Walford, M J (Genomics England, London, UK); Williams, E (Genomics England, London, UK); Witkowska, K (Genomics England, London, UK; William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK).

**Contributors** Conceptualisation: SB, CT, CI, CAJ, GW; data curation: SB, JY, Genomics England Research Consortium; formal analysis: SB, JY, ML, MR, CW, SM,
Developmental defects

4 Sweitlik EM, Prapa M, Martin JM, Pandya D, Auckland K, Morrell NW, Graf S. ‘There and back again’-Forward genetics and reverse phenotyping in pulmonary arterial hypertension. Genes 2020;11: doi:10.3390/genes11121408. [Epub ahead of print: 26 11 2020].

5 Landini S, Mazzinghi B, Becherucci F, Allinovi M, Provenzano A, Palavoz V, Ravaglia F, Artusio R, Bosi E, Stagi S, Sansavini G, Guzzi L, Valsecchi M, Murer P, Peruzzi R, Pasini A, Materassi M, Roperto RM, Andres H-J, Rotondi M, Giglio SR, Romagnani P. Reverse phenotyping after whole-exome sequencing in steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2020;15:89–90.

6 de Goede C, Yue WW, Yan G, Arijaratnam S, Chandler K, Downes L, Khan N, Mohan M, Lowe M, Banka S. Role of reverse phenotyping in interpretation of next generation sequencing data and a review of INPPSE related disorders. Eur J Paediatr Neurol 2020;25:296–97.

7 Singla V, Reifer JF. The primary cilium as the cell’s antenna: signaling at a sensing organelle. Science 2006;313:629–33.

8 Oud MM, Lamers IJC, Arts HH. Ciliopathies: genetics in pediatric medicine. J Pediatr Genet 2017;8:108–29.

9 Reifer JF, Leroux MR. Genes and molecular pathways underpinning ciliopathies. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2017;18:533–47.

10 Mitchison HM, Valente EM. Motile and non-motile cilia in human pathology: from function to phenotypes. J Pathol 2017;241:294–309.

11 Waters AM, Beales PL. Ciliopathies: an expanding disease spectrum. Pediatr Nephrol 2011;26:1039–56.

12 Quilan RJ, Tobin JL, Beales PL. Modeling ciliopathies: primary cilia in development and disease. Curr Top Dev Biol 2008;84:249–310.

13 Torriolo HV, Franco B, Buel AL, Thauvin-Robinet C. Oral-Facial-Digital Syndrome Type I. In: Adam MP, Arlingder HH, Pagon RA, eds. GeneReviews® (Seattle, WA: University of Washington, 1993). 3

14 McLaren W, Gil L, Hunt SE, Riat HS, Ritchie GLS, Thormann A, Flick E, Cunningham F. The Ensembl variant effect predictor. Genome Biol 2016;17:122.

15 Karcioglu KJ, Freemont PS, Ryder SA, McCormick K, Chinnici M, Kell NB, Wang Q, Collins RL, Lorichka KM, Ganna A, Birnbaum DP, Gauthier LD, Brand H, Solomonson M, Watts NA, Rhodes D, Singer-Berk M, England EM, Seaby EG, Kosmicki JA, Walters RK, Tashman K, Fanouris J, Banks E, Poterba T, Wang A, Seed C, Whiffin N, Chong JX, Samoche KA, Pearce-Hoffman E, Zappala Z, O’Donnell-Luriya AH, Mintzev EV, Wessburd B, Lee M, Ware JV, Vitalis C, Armanne JM, Bergelson J, Cubilskis B, Connolly KM, Courageus M, Donnelly S, Ferrier S, Gabriel S, Gentry J, Gupta N, Jeandel T, Kaplan D, Llanwarne C, Munshi R, Novod S, Petritti N, Roazen D, Ruano-Rubio V, Saltzman A, Schlesinger M, Toto J, Tibbetts K, Tonolen C, Wade G, Talkowski ME, Neale BM, Daly MJ, MacArthur DG, Genome Aggregation Database Consortium. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature 2020;581:434-443.

16 Whiffin H, Mintzev E, Walsh R, O’Donnell-Luriya AH, Karcioglu K, Kozikowski K, Ing AJ, Barton PJR, Funke B, Cook SA, MacArthur D, Ware JES. Using high-resolution variant frequencies to empower clinical genome interpretation. Genet Med 2017;19:1151–8.

17 Elleb SBE, Berry J, Forrester N, Turnbull C, Owens M, Eccles DM, Abbs S, Scott R, Deans Z, Lester T, Campbell J, Newman W, McMullan D. ACGS Best Practice Guidelines for Variant Classification in Rare Disease, 2020. Available: https://www.acgs.uk.com/media/1163/uki-practice-guidelines-for-variant-classification-v4-01-2020.pdf.

18 Landrum MI, Lee JM, Benson M, Brown G, Chao C, Chitipiralla S, Gu B, Hart J, Hoffman D, Howrner J, Jang W, Katz K, Oktvany M, Riley G, Sethi A, Tully R, Villamarin-Salomon R, Rubinstejn W, Maglott DR. ClinVar: public archive of interpretations of clinically relevant variants. Nucleic Acids Res 2016;44:D682–8.

19 Sim NL, Kumar P, Hu J, Henikoff S, Schneider G, Ng PC, SIFT web server: predicting effects of amino acid substitutions on proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 2012;40:431–7.

20 Rentsch P, Wittkop D, Cooper GM, Shendure J, Kircher M. Cadd: predicting the deleteriousness of variants throughout the human genome. Nucleic Acids Res 2019;47:D886–94.

21 Azhdahibi J, Jordan DM, Sunyev SR. Predicting functional effect of human missense mutations using PolyPhen-2. Curr Protoc Hum Genet 2013;Chapter 7.

22 Yu J, Szabo A, Pagnamenta AT, Shalaby A, Giacozzuoli E, Taylor J, Shears D, Pontikos N, Wright G, Michaelides M, Halford S, Downes S, Genomics England Research Consortium. Svrare: discovering disease-causing structural variants in the 100,000 genomes project. medRxiv 2021;2021.05.12.21256099.

23 Chen X, Schulz-Trieglaff O, Shaw R, Barnes B, Schlesinger F, Killberg M, Cox AJ, Kruglyak S, Saunders CT. Manta: rapid detection of structural variants and indels for germline and cancer sequencing applications. Bioinformatics 2016;32:1220–2.

24 Iakhsitno S, Roller E, Colombo C, Tedder P, Cox AJ. Canva SPVc: calling de novo copy number variants in pedigrees. Bioinformatics 2018;34:516–8.

25 Thovsladsdottir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP, Vizier IG. Integrative genomics viewer. Bioinformatics 2013;29:113–4.
Developmental defects

Joint consensus recommendation of the American College of medical genetics and genomics and the association for molecular pathology. Genet Med 2015;17:405–24.

28 Best S, Lord J, Roche M, Watson CM, Poulter JA, Bevers RP, Stuckey A, Szymanska K, Ellingford JM, Carmichael J, Brittain H, Toomes C, Inglehearn C, Johnson CA, Wheway G, Genomics England Research Consortium. Molecular diagnoses in the congenital malformations caused by ciliopathies cohort of the 100,000 genomes project. J Med Genet 2021; doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2021-108065. [Epub ahead of print: 29 Oct 2021].

29 Han PK, Umstead KL, Berhardt BA, Green RC, Joffe S, Koenig B, Kranz I, Waterston LB, Bieseker LG, Bieseker BB, PKI H, Bieseker BB. A taxonomy of medical uncertainties in clinical genome sequencing. Genet Med 2017;19:918–25.

30 Paisley RB, Steelis R, Barnett T, Williams D, Geberhiwot T, Gunay-Aygun M, Adam MP, Ardinghe HH, Pagon RA, Alström Syndrome. In: GeneReviews®. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, 1993.

31 Myktykin K, Nishimura DY, Searby CC, Shastri M, Yen H-jan, Beck: JS, Braun T, Streb LM, Cornier AS, Cox GF, Fulton AB, Carmi R, Lüleci G, Chandrasekharappa SC, Collins FS, Jacobson SG, Heckenlively JR, Weleber RG, Stone EM, Sheffield VC. Identification of the gene (BBS1) most commonly involved in Bardet-Biedl syndrome, a complex human obesity syndrome. Nat Genet 2002;31:435–8.

32 Bukowy-Bieryło Z, Rabiszcz A, Dobrowolski M, Pogorzelski A, Wojda A, Dmowska H, Grelka K, Sroczynski J, Witt M, Zietkiewicz E. Truncating mutations in exons 20 and 21 of the gene (OFD1) can cause primary ciliary dyskinesia without associated syndromic symptoms. J Med Genet 2019;56:769–77.

33 Best S, Inglehearn CF, Watson CM, Toomes C, Wheway G, Johnson CA. Unlocking the potential of the UK 100,000 Genomes Project—lessons learned from analysis of the “Congenital Malformations caused by Ciliopathies” cohort. J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet 2022;190:5–8.

34 den Hollander Al, Koenekepo BK, Zeger S, Lopez I, Arends ML, Voesenek KEI, Zonneveld MN, Strom TM, Meitinger T, Kranz I, van den Born LI, Roehrschneider K, Cremers FM. Mutations in the CEP290 (NPHP6) gene are a frequent cause of Leber congenital amaurosis. Am J Hum Genet 2011;89:105–12.

35 Dulla K, Agulà M, Lane A, Jovanovic K, Partlitz DA, Schüllens I, Chan HL, Schmidt I, Beumer W, Vothooren L, Collin RW, Garanto A, Dujkaier L, Bifol-Alpanes A, Semo MA, ayvan, Vugler AA, Biasutto P, Adamson P, Cheetham ME. Splice-Modulating Oligonucleotide QR-110 Restores CEP290 mRNA and Function in Human Individuals with Leber Congenital Amaurosis due to Compound Heterozygosity for the c.2991+1655A>G Mutation in CEP290. Int J Mol Sci 2018;19. doi:10.3390/ijms19030753. [Epub ahead of print: 07 Mar 2018].

36 Guo Z, Chen W, Wang L, Qian L. Clinical and genetic spectrum of children with primary ciliary dyskinesia in China. J Pediatr 2020;225:157–65.

37 Ferrante MI, Zullo A, Barra A, Bimonte S, Messaddeq N, Studer M, Dölle F, Franco B. Oral-Facial-Digital type I protein is required for primary cilia formation and left-right axis specification. Nat Genet 2006;38:112–7.

38 Bruel A-L, Franco B, Duffouf Y, Thevenon J, Jego L, Lopez E, Deleuze J-F, Doummar D, Giles RH, Johnson CA, Huynen MA, Chevrier V, Burgle L, Morleo M, Desgueres I, Pierquin G, Doray B, Gilbert-Dussardier B, Reversade B, Steichan-Gersdorf E, Baumann C, Panigrahi I, Fargeot-Espaliat A, Dieux A, David A, Goldberg A, Monges E, Gaillard D, Angente J, Aral B, Gigot N, St-Onge J, Birmbaum D, Phadke SR, Cormier-Daire V, Ep退市 T, Pazour GJ, Herranz-Pérez V, Goldstein JS, Pasquier L, Loget P, Saunié S, Mégarbané A, Rosnet O, Leroux M, Walliford JB, Blacoe OE, Nachury MV, Attie-Bitach T, Rivière J-B, Faivre L, Thauvin-Robinet C. Fifteen years of research on oral-facial-digital syndromes: from 1 to 16 causal genes. J Med Genet 2017;54:371–80.

39 Forsyth R, Gunay-Aygun M. Bardet-Biedl Syndrome Overview. In: Adam MP, Ardinghe HH, Pagon RA, eds. GeneReviews®. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, 1993.

40 Keppeler-Noreuil KM, Adam MP, Welch J, Mullenburg A, Willing MC. Clinical insights gained from eight new cases and review of reported cases with Jeune syndrome (asphyxiating thoracic dystrophy). Am J Med Genet A 2011;155:1021–32.

41 Stokman M, Lielen M, Kroes N. Nephronophthisis. In: Adam MP, Ardinghe HH, Pagon RA, eds. GeneReviews®. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, 1993.

42 Parisi M, Glass I. Joubert Syndrome. In: Adam MP, Ardinghe HH, Pagon RA, eds. GeneReviews®. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, 1993.

43 Hantill V, Szymanska K, Shafi SM, Wheway G, Johnson CA. McKie–Gruber syndrome: an update on diagnosis, clinical management, and research advances. Front Pediatr 2017;5.

44 Kumaras N, Pennesi ME, Yang P, Trupcek KM, Schlechter C, Moore AT, Weleber RG, Michaelides M. Leber Congenital Amaurosis / Early-Onset Severe Retinal Dystrophy Overview. In: Adam MP, Ardinghe HH, Pagon RA, eds. GeneReviews®. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, 1993.

45 Brown DE, Pittman JE, Leigh NW, Fordham L, Davis SD. Early lung disease in young children with primary ciliary dyskinesia. Pediatr Pulmonol 2008;43:S14–6.
## Supplementary Table 1: Selection of leading multi-systemic ciliopathy disease genes from the medical literature

| Ciliopathy syndrome                        | Leading genetic cause(s) | Mode of inheritance | Further ciliopathies associated with gene                                                                 | Reference(s) |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS)                | **BBS1** (23.4% of all BBS) | Recessive           | N/A                                                                                                         | (1-3)         |
|                                            | **BBS10** (14.5% of all BBS) | Recessive           | N/A                                                                                                         |               |
| Alström Syndrome (ALMS)                    | **ALMS1** (only causative gene) | Recessive           | - Non-syndromic retinal dystrophy<br>- Non-syndromic cardiomyopathy                                       | (4-8)         |
| Joubert syndrome (JBTS) and Meckel Gruber syndrome (MKS) | **TMEM67** (6-26% of all JBTS; 16% of all MKS) | Recessive           | - NPHP with hepatic fibrosis<br>- COACH syndrome (cerebellar vermis hypo/aplasia, oligophrenia, ataxia, ocular coloboma, and hepatic fibrosis) | (9-17)        |
|                                            | **CEP290** (6-22% of all JBTS, 2nd most common cause of MKS) | Recessive           | - Leber Congenital Amaurosis (LCA) / Early-Onset Severe Retinal Dystrophy (EOSRD) (15-20% of LCA / EOSRD cases)<br>- NPHP<br>- BBS<br>- Senior-Løken syndrome<br>- COACH syndrome | (14, 18-24)  |
| Jeune Asphyxiating Thoracic Dystrophy (JATD) | **DYNC2H1** (~50% of all JATD) | Recessive           | N/A                                                                                                         | (25-28)       |
|                                            | **WDR34** (~10% of all JATD) | Recessive           |                                                                                                             |               |
| Nephronophthisis (NPHP)                     | **NPHP1** (20-25% of all NPHP) | Recessive           | JBTS                                                                                                        | (29-31)       |
| Oral-facial-digital syndrome (OFD) Type 1   | **OFD1** (only genetic cause) | X-linked dominant   | JBTS (X-linked recessive)                                                                                   | (9, 32)       |
### Supplementary Table 2: HPO terms linked to clinical key terms for ciliopathy syndromes

| Key term                              | HPO ID    | HPO descriptor                                                                 | Linked HPO terms included in analysis                                      |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Retinal dystrophy                     | HP:0000556| Breakdown of light-sensitive cells in back of eye                                | • Cone/cone-rod dystrophy + sub-terms<br>• Rod-cone dystrophy + sub-terms<br>• Pattern dystrophy of the retina + sub-terms |
| Abnormality of eye movement           | HP:0000496| An abnormality in voluntary or involuntary eye movements or their control       | • Oculomotor apraxia (JBTS)<br>• Nystagmus (LCA)<br>• Roving eye movements (LCA) |
| Abnormal renal morphology / renal insufficiency | HP:0012210| Any structural anomaly of the kidney                                            | • Abnormal localisation of kidney + sub-terms<br>• Abnormal renal cortex morphology + sub-terms<br>• Abnormal renal echogenicity + sub-terms<br>• Abnormal renal medulla morphology + sub-terms<br>• Abnormal renal pelvis morphology + sub-terms<br>• Renal cyst + sub-terms<br>• Renal dysplasia + sub-terms<br>• Renal fibrosis + sub-terms<br>• Renal hypoplasia/aplasia + sub-terms |
| Abnormality of the liver              | HP:0001392| An abnormality of the liver                                                     | • Abnormal liver morphology + sub-terms<br>• Abnormal liver physiology + sub-terms<br>• Abnormality of the biliary system + sub-terms |
| Abnormality of the genitourinary system| HP:0000119| The presence of any abnormality of the genitourinary system                     | • Abnormality of the genital system + sub-terms<br>• Abnormality of the urinary system + sub-terms |
| Cardiomyopathy                        | HP:0001638| A myocardial disorder in which the heart muscle is structurally and functionally abnormal, in the absence of coronary artery disease, hypertension, valvular disease and congenital heart disease sufficient to cause the observed myocardial abnormality. | • All sub-terms |
| Sensorineural hearing impairment      | HP:0000407| A type of hearing impairment in one or both ears related to an abnormal functionality of the cochlear nerve. | • All sub-terms |
| Condition                                      | HP Number | Description                                                                 | Sub-Terms                                |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Abnormality of the sense of smell             | HP:0004408| An anomaly in the ability to perceive and distinguish scents (odors).         | All sub-terms                            |
| Abnormal pattern of respiration               | HP:0002793| An anomaly of the rhythm or depth of breathing                               | Apnoea + sub-terms                       |
|                                               |           |                                                                              | Tachypnoea + sub-terms                   |
| Hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism               | HP:000044 | Hypogonadotropic hypogonadism is characterized by reduced function of the gonads  | All sub-terms                            |
|                                               |           | (testes in males or ovaries in females) and results from the absence of the gonadal   |                                          |
|                                               |           | stimulating pituitary hormones: follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH). |                                          |
| Glucose intolerance                           | HP:0001952| Glucose intolerance (GI) can be defined as dysglycemia that comprises both prediabetes and diabetes. It includes the conditions of impaired fasting glucose (IFG) and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and diabetes mellitus (DM). | Type II diabetes mellitus + sub-terms    |
|                                               |           |                                                                              | Impaired glucose tolerance + sub-terms   |
| Obesity                                       | HP:0001513| Accumulation of substantial excess body fat.                                 | All sub-terms                            |
| Hypertriglyceridemia                          | HP:0002155| An abnormal increase in the level of triglycerides in the blood              | All sub-terms                            |
| Intellectual disability                       | HP:0001249| Subnormal intellectual functioning which originates during the developmental period. Intellectual disability, previously referred to as mental retardation, has been defined as an IQ score below 70. | All sub-terms                            |
| Neurodevelopmental delay                      | HP:0012758| None listed                                                                   | All sub-terms                            |
| Hypotonia                                     | HP:0001252| Hypotonia is an abnormally low muscle tone (the amount of tension or resistance to movement in a muscle). Even when relaxed, muscles have a continuous and passive partial contraction which provides some resistance to passive stretching. Hypotonia thus manifests as diminished resistance to passive stretching. Hypotonia is not the same as muscle weakness, although the two conditions can co-exist. | All sub-terms                            |
| Ataxia                                        | HP:0001251| Cerebellar ataxia refers to ataxia due to dysfunction of the cerebellum. This causes a variety of elementary neurological deficits including asynergy (lack of coordination between muscles, limbs and joints), dyssmetria (lack of ability to judge distances that can lead to under- or overshoot in grasping movements), and dysdiadochokinesia (inability to perform | All sub-terms                            |
rapid movements requiring antagonizing muscle groups to be switched on and off repeatedly)

| Condition                                      | HP:              | Description                                                                                           | Sub-terms                                           |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Abnormality of brain morphology                | 0012443          | A structural abnormality of the brain, which has as its parts the forebrain, midbrain, and hindbrain. | • Abnormal brainstem morphology + sub-terms          |
|                                                |                  |                                                                                                       | • Abnormal cerebral ventricle morphology + sub-terms |
|                                                |                  |                                                                                                       | • Abnormal midbrain morphology + sub-terms          |
|                                                |                  |                                                                                                       | • Abnormality of forebrain morphology + sub-terms    |
|                                                |                  |                                                                                                       | • Abnormality of hindbrain morphology + sub-terms    |
| Polydactyly                                    | 0010442          | A congenital anomaly characterized by the presence of supernumerary fingers or toes.                    | • All sub-terms                                     |
| Short stature                                  | 0004322          | A height below that which is expected according to age and gender norms. Although there is no universally accepted definition of short stature, many refer to "short stature" as height more than 2 standard deviations below the mean for age and gender (or below the 3rd percentile for age and gender dependent norms). | • All sub-terms                                     |
| Thoracic hypoplasia                            | 0005257          | None listed                                                                                            | • All sub-terms                                     |
| Brachydactyly / micromelia                     | 0001156          | Digits that appear disproportionately short compared to the hand/foot.                                  | • All sub-terms                                     |
| Micromelia                                     | 0002983          | The presence of abnormally small extremities.                                                         | • All sub-terms                                     |
| Abnormality of dentition                       | 0000164          | Any abnormality of the teeth.                                                                          | • All sub-terms                                     |
| Abnormal oral morphology                       | 0031816          | Any structural anomaly of the mouth, which is also known as the oral cavity.                           | • All sub-terms                                     |
| OFD1-specific facial dysmorphic features       | 0000316          | Hypertelorism: Interpupillary distance more than 2 SD above the mean (alternatively, the appearance of an increased interpupillary distance or widely spaced eyes) | • This term only                                    |
|                                                | 0000430          | Underdeveloped nasal alae: Thinned, deficient, or excessively arched ala nasi.                         | • This term only                                    |
|                                                | 000347           | Micrognathia: Developmental hypoplasia of the mandible.                                                | • This term only                                    |
Supplementary Table 3: Participants reported solved or partially solved in GMC exit questionnaires with variants in ciliopathy genes of interest

| RESEARCH ID | GMC exit report outcome | Reported Sex | 100K Recruitment Category | Gene | Variant Zygosity | Consequence | HGVSc | HGVSp | ACMG Class | Likely path |
|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------|------------------|-------------|-------|-------|------------|-------------|
| 1           | Solved                  | MALE         | BBS                      | ALMS1 | Het              | FS          | NM_015120.4:c.10775del | NP_055935.4:p.Thr3592LysfsTer6 | Path |
| 2           | Solved                  | FEMALE       | CDS                      | ALMS1 | Het              | SG          | NM_015120.4:c.11075C>T  | NP_055935.4:p.Arg3303Ter    | Path |
| 3           | Solved                  | MALE         | RCD                      | ALMS1 | Het              | FS          | NM_015120.4:c.284del    | NP_055935.4:p.Arg3303Ter    | Path |
| 4           | Solved                  | FEMALE       | LCA or EOSRD              | ALMS1 | Het              | SG          | NM_015120.4:c.10975C>T  | NP_055935.4:p.Arg3303Ter    | Path |
| 5           | Solved                  | MALE         | RCD                      | BBS   | Het              | FS          | NM_015120.4:c.10831_10832del | NP_055935.4:p.Ser2191HisfsTer16 | Path |
| 6           | Solved                  | MALE         | BBS                      | ALMS1 | Het              | FS          | NM_015120.4:c.10483C>T  | NP_055935.4:p.Ser2191HisfsTer16 | Path |
| 7           | Solved                  | MALE         | URUMD                    | ALMS1 | Het              | FS          | NM_015120.4:c.2515dup   | NP_055935.4:p.Ser839PhefsTer8 | Path |
| 8           | Solved                  | MALE         | RCD                      | BBS   | Het              | FS          | NM_015120.4:c.4684_4690dup | NP_055935.4:p.Ile1564AsnfsTer20 | Path |
| 9           | Solved                  | FEMALE       | BBS                      | BBS1  | Hom              | Mis         | NM_024649.5.c.1169T>G   | NP_078925.3:p.Met390Arg    | Path |
| 10          | Solved                  | MALE         | RCD                      | BBS1  | Hom              | Mis         | 19)                     | NP_078925.3:p.Met390Arg    | Path |
| 11          | Solved                  | MALE         | RCD                      | BBS1  | Hom              | Mis         | NM_024649.5.c.1169T>G   | NP_078925.3:p.Met390Arg    | Path |
| 12          | Solved                  | MALE         | RCD                      | BBS1  | Hom              | Mis         | NM_024649.5.c.1169T>G   | NP_078925.3:p.Met390Arg    | Path |
| 13          | Solved                  | MALE         | RCD                      | BBS1  | Hom              | Mis         | NM_024649.5.c.1169T>G   | NP_078925.3:p.Met390Arg    | Path |
| 14          | Solved                  | MALE         | RCD                      | BBS1  | Hom              | Mis         | NM_024649.5.c.1169T>G   | NP_078925.3:p.Met390Arg    | Path |
| 15          | Solved                  | MALE         | RCD                      | BBS1  | Hom              | Mis         | NM_024649.5.c.1169T>G   | NP_078925.3:p.Met390Arg    | Path |
| 16          | Solved                  | MALE         | RCD                      | BBS1  | Hom              | Mis         | NM_024649.5.c.1169T>G   | NP_078925.3:p.Met390Arg    | Path |
| 17          | Solved                  | MALE         | RCD                      | BBS1  | Hom              | Mis         | NM_024649.5.c.1169T>G   | NP_078925.3:p.Met390Arg    | Path |
| 18          | Solved                  | MALE         | RCD                      | BBS1  | Hom              | Mis         | NM_024649.5.c.1169T>G   | NP_078925.3:p.Met390Arg    | Path |
| 19          | Partially solved         | MALE         | RCD                      | BBS1  | Het              | Mis         | NM_024649.5.c.1169T>G   | NP_078925.3:p.Met390Arg    | Path |
| 20          | Solved                  | FEMALE       | RCD                      | Mito D| Hom              | Mis         | NM_024649.5.c.1169T>G   | NP_078925.3:p.Met390Arg    | Path |
| 21          | Partially solved         | MALE         | RID                      | BBS10 | Het              | Mis         | NM_024685.4:c.1230T>G   | NP_078961.3:p.His410Gln    | Likely path |
| 22          | Solved                  | MALE         | CAKUT                    | CEP290| Hut              | Mis         | NM_025114.4:c.2848dup   | NP_079390.3:p.Gln950ProfsTer6 | Path |

BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance on the supplemental material which has been supplied by the author(s).
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 23 | Solved | FEMALE | J BTS | CEP290 | Hom | SG | NM_025114.4:c.5932C>T | NP_079390.3:p.Arg1978Ter | Path |
| 24 | Solved | MALE | LCA or EOSRD | CEP290 | Hom | In-frame deletion | NM_025114.4:c.4661_4663del | NP_079390.3:p.Glu1554del | Likely path |
| 25 | Solved | FEMALE | LCA or EOSRD | CEP290 | Het | FS | NM_025114.4:c.5434_5435del | NP_079390.3:p.Glu1812LysfsTer5 | Path |
| 26 | Solved | FEMALE | C A K U T | CEP290 | Hom | SG | NM_025114.4:c.4174G>T | NP_079390.3:p.Glu1392Ter | Likely path |
| 27 | Partially | MALE | ID | CEP290 | Het | SG | NM_025114.4:c.322C>T | NP_079390.3:p.Arg108Ter | Path |
| 28 | Solved | MALE | R C D | DYNC1H1 | Het | SG | NM_001080463.2:c.9836C>A | NP_001073932.1:p.Ser3279Ter | Path |
| 29 | Solved | MALE | USD | DYNC1H1 | Het | Spl A | NM_001080463.2:c.10834-1G>A | - | Path |
| 30 | Solved | MALE | R C D | OFD1 | Hemi | FS | NM_003611.3:c.2680_2681del | NP_003602.1:p.Glu894ArgfsTer6 | Path |
| 31 | Solved | MALE | R C D | NPHP1 | Het | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Arg628Trp | Likely path |
| 32 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Asp139HisfsTer2 | Path |
| 33 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Glu984ArgfsTer6 | Path |
| 34 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Glu984ArgfsTer6 | Path |
| 35 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Glu984ArgfsTer6 | Path |
| 36 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Glu984ArgfsTer6 | Path |
| 37 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Glu984ArgfsTer6 | Path |
| 38 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Glu984ArgfsTer6 | Path |
| 39 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Glu984ArgfsTer6 | Path |
| 40 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Glu984ArgfsTer6 | Path |
| 41 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Glu984ArgfsTer6 | Path |
| 42 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Glu984ArgfsTer6 | Path |
| 43 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Glu984ArgfsTer6 | Path |
| 44 | Solved | MALE | UK FIY P | NPHP1 | Hom | Mis | NM_001121650.1:p.Glu984ArgfsTer6 | Path |

**Abbreviations:** 100K = 100,000 Genomes Project, GMC = Genomic Medicine Centre, ACMG = American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics, BBS = Bardet-Biedl syndrome, CDS = cone dysfunction syndrome, RCD = rod-cone dystrophy, LCA or EOSRD = Leber Congenital Amaurosis or Early-Onset Severe Retinal Dystrophy, ID = intellectual disability, URUMD = Ultra-rare undescribed monogenic disorders, SEOO +/- OEF + SS = Significant early-onset obesity with or without other endocrine features and short stature, CKD = cystic kidney disease, Mito D = mitochondrial disorders, RDS = rod-dysfunction syndrome, CAKUT = Congenital Anomaly of the Kidneys and Urinary Tract, J BTS = Joubert syndrome, Joubert Syndrome, ACMG = American College of Medical Genetics, Genomic Medicine Centre, Genome Medicine Centre, 100K = 100,000 Genomes Project, J Med Genet, et al. 2022;108476:1 BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance on the information supplied which has been supplied by the author(s).
syndrome, USD = Unexplained skeletal dysplasia, UKIYP = Unexplained kidney failure in young people, SARMIRD = Single autosomal recessive mutation in rare disease, Craniosyn S = craniosynostosis syndromes, RMCD = Rare multisystem ciliopathy disorders, Het = heterozygous, Hom = homozygous, Hemi = hemizygous, FS = frameshift, SG = stop gain, Mis = missense, Spl A = splice acceptor, Spl Reg = splice region, Path = pathogenic, Likely path = likely pathogenic, VUS = variant of uncertain significance
## Step 2 workflow inputs and outputs: filtering and prioritisation of SNVs using custom Python script

### INPUTS

**INPUT SNV DATA:** All SNVs from the 100K dataset for each selected ciliopathy gene generated by Gene-Variant Workflow. Separate lists for participants called on GrCh37 and GrCh38

| Gene   | ALMS1 | BBS1  | BBS10 | DYN2CH1 | WDR34 | OFD1 | NPHP1 | TMEM67 | CEP290 |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|--------|--------|
| Build  | GrCh37| GrCh38| GrCh37| GrCh38  | GrCh37| GrCh38| GrCh37| GrCh38  | GrCh37 |
| # un-filtered Gene-Variant Workflow variants | 54240 | 287121 | 24050 | 21769 | 80615 | 284569 | 7636  | 234958  | 21223  |
| PROCESS: filter using custom python script filter_gene_variant_workflow.py |
| A: Exclude common variants: 100K MAF ≥ 0.002; gnomAD AF ≥ 0.002 |
| B: Exclude variants called in non-canonical transcripts |
| # filtered variants: rare, canonical transcripts only | 11862 | 43098 | 1217 | 3802 | 153 | 588 | 16127 | 59165 | 1465  | 4939  |
| # filtered variants: rare, canonical transcripts only | 279 | 4365 | 3399 | 12254 | 28310 | 10226 | 1740 | 14200 |
| PROCESS: extract prioritised SNV sub-lists using custom python script filter_gene_variant_workflow.py: |
| • ClinVar pathogenic/likely pathogenic |
| • VEP High Impact (stop_gained, stop_lost, start_lost, splice_acceptor_variant, splice_donor_variant, frameshift_variant, transcript_ablation, transcript_amplification) |
| • SIFT deleterious missense |

### OUTPUTS

| Gene   | ALMS1 | BBS1  | BBS10 | DYN2CH1 | WDR34 | OFD1 | NPHP1 | TMEM67 | CEP290 |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|--------|--------|
| Total ClinVar Pathogenic | 13 | 43 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 22 | 16 | 58 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 64 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 36 | 22 | 78 |
| Total VEP High Impact | 30 | 130 | 2 | 22 | 5 | 28 | 19 | 141 | 4 | 38 | 0 | 70 | 7 | 35 | 11 | 57 | 36 | 167 |
| Total SIFT deleterious missense | 167 | 643 | 33 | 86 | 18 | 86 | 125 | 556 | 32 | 107 | 5 | 75 | 26 | 79 | 33 | 167 | 84 | 344 |
Distribution of prioritised variants between different prioritised SNV sub-lists

| Gene    | ALMS1 | BBS1 | BBS10 | DYNC2H1 | WDR34 | OFD1 | NPHP1 | TMEM67 | CEP290 |
|---------|-------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|--------|--------|
| # ClinVar Pathogenic + VEP High Impact | 13    | 43   | 0     | 11      | 5     | 17   | 5     | 26     | 1      | 6      | 0     | 58    | 2     | 7     | 4     | 20     | 19     | 73     |
| # ClinVar pathogenic + SIFT deleterious missense | 0     | 0    | 1     | 3       | 0     | 5    | 10    | 30     | 1      | 3      | 0     | 5     | 1     | 1     | 6     | 14     | 2      | 4      |
| # VEP High Impact (only) | 17    | 87   | 2     | 11      | 0     | 11   | 13    | 115    | 3      | 32     | 0     | 12    | 5     | 28    | 7     | 37     | 17     | 94     |
| # SIFT deleterious missense (only) | 167   | 643  | 32    | 83      | 18    | 81   | 115   | 526    | 31     | 104    | 5     | 70    | 25    | 78    | 27    | 153    | 82     | 340    |
| # ClinVar Pathogenic (only) | 0     | 0    | 0     | 0       | 0     | 0    | 1     | 2      | 0      | 0      | 0     | 1     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 2      | 1      | 1      |
| Total   | 197   | 773  | 35    | 108     | 23    | 114  | 144   | 699    | 36     | 145    | 5     | 146   | 33    | 114   | 44    | 226    | 121    | 512    |

Step 3 workflow inputs and outputs: search for potentially pathogenic SVs using SVRare script

**INPUTS**

**INPUT DATA:** PlateKey identifiers for all unsolved 100K participants (probands and affected relatives) with heterozygous ClinVar pathogenic or VEP high impact prioritised SNVs in one of the nine ciliopathy genes

N = 801 participants

**PROCESS:** Submitted to SVRare script (Yu et al, 2021)

Extracts participants with SVs called by Manta and/or Canvas with ≤ 10 calls across the 100K database, overlapping coding regions of the 9 ciliopathy genes

**OUTPUTS**

| Gene    | ALMS1 | BBS1 | BBS10 | DYNC2H1 | WDR34 | OFD1 | NPHP1 | TMEM67 | CEP290 |
|---------|-------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|--------|--------|
| # Prioritised SNVs | 0     | 1    | 0     | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0     | 0      | 0      |
| Impression | N/a   | LP   | N/a   | N/a     | N/a   | LP   | N/a   | N/a    | N/a    | Excl: 2nd hit in different gene | N/a   | N/a   | N/a   | N/a    | N/a    | Excl: alternative diagnosis |
### Step 4 workflow inputs and outputs: search for novel splicing variants using custom SpliceAI script

**INPUTS**

| INPUT DATA: all rare variants (100K MAF ≤ 0.002; gnomAD AF ≤ 0.002) called in canonical transcripts in the nine ciliopathy genes identified in unsolved 100K participants AS PER Step 2: Gene-Variant Workflow rare SNVs called in canonical transcripts filtered through custom python script (filter_gene_variant_workflow.py) |
|---|
| PROCESS: Run through custom SpliceAI Python script (find_variants_by_gene_and_SpliceAI_score.py) |

**FILTERING:**

- Variants called in unaffected relatives excluded
- Variants with SpliceAI delta score (DS) > 0.5 retained
- Variants already assessed on other SNV prioritised sub-lists excluded

**OUTPUTS**

| Gene  | ALMS1 | BBS1 | BBS10 | DYNC2H1 | WDR34 | OFD1 | NPHP1 | TMEM67 | CEP290 |
|-------|-------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|--------|--------|
| # rare variants with SpliceAI DS >0.5 | 1 | 22 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 53 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 15 | 4 | 34 |

The number of variants input, filtered and prioritised in steps 2, 3 and 4 of the reverse phenotyping diagnostic research workflow. Note that 100K participants had genomes called on GrCh37 or GrCh38 depending on when they were recruited to the project.

Abbreviations: SNV = single nucleotide variant, 100K = 100,000 Genomes Project, AF = allele frequency, MAF = maximum allele frequency, VEP = Variant Effect Predictor, SV = structural variant, Excl = excluded
Supplementary Data 1: Duplex PCR assay of a \textit{BBS1} exon 13 mobile element insertion

The patient presented with congenital right ptosis, childhood onset high myopia, rod/cone dysfunction, autism, dyspraxia and postaxial polydactyly on the left hand and foot that were removed in childhood. The patient was recruited to the 100,000 Genomes Project (100K) for whole genome sequencing, following identification of a heterozygous pathogenic variant in an autosomal recessive disease gene through mainstream testing. The \textit{BBS1} missense mutation, NM_024649.5:c.1169T>G, NP_078925.3:p.(Met390Arg), was insufficient to confirm the diagnosis in the absence of a second pathogenic variant. 100K tiering failed to identify a second deleterious allele in \textit{BBS1}. Manual inspection of the aligned sequence reads using the Integrative Genome Browser (IGV) v.2.4.10 (http://software.broadinstitute.org/software/igv/) (33) and interrogation of soft-clipped reads using BLAT (http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgBlat) (34), revealed a soft-clipped read signature that was consistent with a 2.4 kb insertion of an SVA F family element mobile element (35).

To confirm the \textit{BBS1} heterozygous missense variant, c.1169T>C, a PCR amplicon was first optimised; each reaction comprised 0.5 μL of genomic DNA (~50 ng/μL) 19.3 μL MegaMix PCR reagent (Microzone Ltd., Haywards Heath, UK) and 0.1 μL each of 10 μM forward (dTGTAAAACGACGGCCAGTAAAGGCAGCATTGTGAAGGG) and reverse (dCAGGAAACAGCTATGACCCCCTTCACTCCGACTTCAA) primers. Thermocycling conditions comprised 94°C for 5 minutes then 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 1 minute and 72°C for 2 minutes before a final extension step at 72°C for 5 minutes. Amplification products were resolved on a 1% Tris-borate-EDTA agarose gel, before being extracted and purified using a QIAquick column (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany), then Sanger sequenced using an ABI3730 following manufacturer’s protocols throughout (Life Technologies Ltd., Paisley, UK). Sequence chromatograms were analysed using 4Peaks v.1.8 (http://nucleobytes.com/4peaks/index.html). Universal sequence tags (underlined) were incorporated into primer tails for use with our routine diagnostic workflow.

To verify the apparent \textit{BBS1} exon 13 mobile element insertion, we implemented the duplex PCR assay as described previously (35). Each reaction comprised 0.5 μL of genomic DNA (~50 ng/μL) 19.2 μL of MegaMix PCR reagent and 0.1 μL each of 10 μM primer. These included a common intron 12 forward (dCACAGTACTCCACAAATAACTGCT), an intron 13 reverse
(dATTCCCCAGCTTTGCTGT) and insertion-specific reverse (dCAGCCTGGGCACCATTGA) primer. Thermocycling conditions required 35 cycles, but were otherwise as described above. Amplification products specific for the normal (440 bp) and insertion-containing (270 bp) allele were resolved on a 2% TRIS-borate-EDTA agarose gel prior to gel extraction and Sanger sequencing. To determine the precise sequence of the downstream target site duplication a further PCR was optimised for Sanger sequencing, using previously reported forward (F9: dAGTACCCAGGGACAAACACT) and reverse (R5: dGTCTTTCGGGGCACATTGAG) primers (35). Analysis of parental alignments supported the mobile element insertion being in trans with the maternally-inherited c.1169T>C mutation, with Sanger sequencing confirming the presence of the insertion in the proband and his father.
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