Green Production Planning and Control Model with ABC under Industry 4.0 for the Paper Industry
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Abstract: In the last 20 years, with the liberalization of the economy, and the trend of industrial globalization, people have gradually paid more attention to environmental protection. With the tremendous advances in information technology, enterprises facing such a severe impact on the business operations, business administrative models must be innovative and adaptable in order to survive and flourish. The paper industry is not only a highly polluting industry, but in the case of long-term overcapacity, the price of paper products is often suppressed, which lowers profitability. The purpose of this study, which is based on the production data of a paper company, is to pose a mathematical programming decision model which integrates green manufacturing technologies, activity-based costing (ABC), and the theory of constraint (TOC); this model should assist in preparing the best production plans, and achieve the optimal profitable product mix. In addition, this study also proposes that the most popular related technologies developed by Industry 4.0 be applied to production control in recent years in order to enhance production efficiency and quality. The findings of this study should contribute to the improvement of the competitiveness of the paper industry, and provide insights into the value of an integrated mathematical programming model applied for product-mix decision. At the same time, we have also applied the related technologies developed by Industry 4.0 to machine maintenance and quality control in manufacturing workshops. With its tremendous benefits, we can actively arouse the industry’s understanding of, and attention to, Industry 4.0, thereby increasing the interest in industrial 4.0-related technology investments.
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1. Introduction

The development of the Internet of Things (IoT) and the Cyber-Physical System (CPS) technologies, after the new program of Industry 4.0 was launched in Germany at the Hannover Trade Fair in 2011, signified the opening of the fourth industrial revolution [1]. Since then, many manufacturing research institutes and companies around the world have studied this topic and are now emphasizing that Industry 4.0 manufacturing will involve the automated and intelligent interoperation of the exchange of information to control the production operation of the machine. With the characteristics of the Internet of Things (IoT) and the Cyber-Physical System (CPS), the related items of materials, sensors, machines, products, supply chain and customers can be interconnected to each other. Each machine can independently and autonomously exchange information and control behavior during the production process, making it possible for the product to control its own manufacturing process [2]. New IT technology has made the development of manufacturing technology undergo a new paradigm...
shift to the so-called Industry 4.0. Since then, the terminology of Industry 4.0 is one of the hottest manufacturing topics among the academia and industry globally. Taiwan is facing the same situation. In addition to research already started in the academia, the industry is actively involved in the use of related technologies developed by Industry 4.0 to enhance the competitiveness of enterprises.

Facing a highly competitive global market, the accuracy of product costs has become one of the major strategic issues for modern companies. Since the conventional cost accounting in product cost calculation mainly allocates the overhead cost by using the volume-related assignment basis (such as direct labor hours, machine hours, direct material cost, etc.). When the overhead comprises only a fraction of the product costs, this method has little effect on the accuracy of the product cost. However, in the present manufacturing environment, with the increasing automation and computerization of manufacturers, the overhead will increase rapidly (especially in the Industry 4.0 production environment). If conventional cost accounting is used, the product cost will be seriously distorted. In 1988, Cooper and Kaplan [3] recommended using ABC to increase the accuracy of product costs. On the other hand, product-mix design analysis is an important part of the cost allocation view of ABC. In the early days, ABC researchers seldom illustrated how to use ABC costing in the optimal product-mix. The ABC costing system had also been criticized because it did not apply to production-related decisions [4,5]. Therefore, this paper suggests a mathematical programming model to analyze product mix decisions under ABC that maximizes a firm’s profit with various constraints.

Furthermore, taking into account the increasing environmental protection awareness today, environmental protection issues will become an important key factor in supporting the sustainable development of enterprises. Some stakeholders have also begun to notice the companies’ environmental activities. For a long time, global climate warming has been worsening due to the concentration of CO$_2$ in the atmosphere increasing by about 30% [6]. In response to this situation, the government has formulated a series of carbon reduction strategies [7–9]. Companies are also now treating environmental protection as an indicator of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) [10], emphasizing that business operations should consider not only their own operating and financial conditions, but also their impact on the natural environment and society. A major issue facing all countries in the world today is how to deal with the probable impact of carbon dioxide emission reduction on economic development [11]. Many countries have tried to adopt a “carbon tax” approach to increase the operating costs of high-energy-consuming companies to reduce carbon dioxide emissions. Sathre and Gustavsson [12] believe that environmental taxation can prove to be an innovative method that enables companies to both reduce their environmental impact and increase their economic efficiency. Therefore, this article considers the cost of carbon dioxide emissions to help the paper industry maximize their profits under the concept of environmental protection.

In light of this, the purpose of this paper is to use mathematical programming to incorporate carbon tax costs and TOC into the ABC product-mix decision model. Simultaneously, this paper plans to discuss the use of related technologies developed by Industry 4.0 in the production process control (shop floor control) to contribute to the profitability and market competitiveness of the paper industry. That is, the research question explored in this paper is how to determine product-mix to achieve the maximal profit under the various resource and carbon emission constraints by using the mathematical programming model in the production planning stage, and how to control the production and achieve the planning targets by using Industry 4.0 technologies in the production control stage. The remaining sections of this paper are as follows: the research background is described in Section 2; a green production planning decision model under ABC for the paper company is presented in Section 3; a numerical example is used to illustrate the application of the model is presented in Section 4; the shop floor control under Industry 4.0 in the paper industry is described in Section 5; the discussions and conclusions are presented in Sections 6 and 7, respectively.
2. Research Background

2.1. Brief of Industry 4.0

In recent years, the European countries' industries have been facing competition from developing countries and the threat of population aging. According to the Economic Policy Committee and the European Commission, the working-age population (24–60 years old) will be reduced by 16% to about 48 million [13]. In 2011, the share of industrial value in Western European countries fell by 25%. In contrast, the share of industrial value in developing countries (such as India, China, and Brazil) increased by 179% compared to 1990. Due to labor shortages and the need to shorten product development time and improve the effective use of resources, these issues have already driven the development of industrial technologies, including the Internet of Things (IoT) and the Cyber-Physical System (CPS). These are the two state-of-the-art technologies developed in the past few years. In the current factory, CPS is supported by information technology such as Manufacturing Execution System (MES), Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) and Remote Maintenance concepts. It combines various self-abilities to connect the virtual with the physical world. Therefore, the operation, monitoring, diagnosis, and troubleshooting functions of the automated production system are realized, which not only improves the level of automation, but also optimizes industrial and network efficiency and achieves the goal of smart factories.

2.2. The Application of Related Technologies Developed by Industry 4.0

Application of related technologies developed by Industry 4.0 mainly includes the following four aspects:

1) Manufacturing aspects

In the production environment of Industry 4.0, the future factories will not only automatically connect and exchange information with manufacturing resources (such as sensors, machines, conveyors, robots, actuators, etc.), but the factories will also become conscious and smart enough to predict the current status of machines. On the other hand, the functions such as product design, production engineering, production planning, and control will be modeled as modular aspects and closely linked, which means that these functions are not only commanded by the decentralized system, but also controlled interdependently. Future factories with this mechanism are called Smart Factories [14].

2) Business aspects

Industry 4.0 means a complete communication network, which exists among the production value chains of suppliers, companies, factories, logistics, customers, etc. It will optimize their configuration in real time according to the needs and status of relevant network departments. In addition, the reduction of costs, pollution, raw materials, and carbon dioxide emissions will bring maximum profits to the cooperative departments of all value chains [15].

3) Products aspects

Because Industry 4.0 is embedded with sensors, identifiable components, and processors, these smart products can hold information and knowledge to measure product status and track products based on information analysis results. Moreover, a complete log of production information can be embedded into the products to help product developers achieve the optimal design, prediction, and maintenance [16].

4) Customers aspects

Industry 4.0 allows customers to order any function and any number of products. Additionally, customers can not only change their orders at any time during the production free of charge, but also understand the product's production information [17].
The Cyber-Physical System (CPS) is a key technology underlying Industry 4.0. It uses sensors, network technology, and computers to connect various devices, machines, and digital systems, enabling various machines to communicate and interact with each other, thereby realizing the seamless integration of the virtual and physical worlds. Many CPS-based researchers have deconstructed Industry 4.0 into five levels: Connection, Conversion, Cyber, Cognition, and configuration [18].

(1) Connection Level

The first stage in developing a CPS appliance is to acquire accurate and reliable data from the machine or its elements. Data can be directly acquired from the enterprise’s IT systems, such as ERP, MES, SCM, etc., or be measured by sensors.

(2) Conversion Level

Data analysis techniques must be used to transform raw data into useful information that brings self-awareness to the machines for use in prognostics and health management.

(3) Cyber Level

The cyber level plays the role of the Central Information hub in the CPS architecture; in order to better understand the status of each machine in the fleet, it uses specific analyses to extract additional information from the massive amount of information collected. These analyses provide the machine with the ability of self-comparison, to predict the future behavior of the machine.

(4) Cognition Level

At this level, you can gain insight into the systems being monitored and provide the right knowledge to make decisions, as you can get the available comparison information and the status of individual machines. Therefore, an expert user can make the right decision on the task priority of optimizing the maintenance process.

(5) Configuration Level

At the configuration level, due to its supervisory control function, the machine can be self-configured and self-adaptive. Therefore, the configuration level plays the role of an artificial intelligence in the network, which is considered as a future attribute of manufacturing.

This study applied the related technologies developed by Industry 4.0 to the field of production control, such as mechanical condition detection and process quality control. At the same time, various types of sensors are installed in the machine so that more accurate and reliable production data can be collected, which enhances the accuracy and immediacy of the ABC cost calculation.

2.3. Green Production and Environmental Protection in the Paper Industry

2.3.1. Green production

The deterioration of the environment is a serious threat to social development. Highly polluting products are the main source of environmental pollution in the manufacturing industry. Therefore, minimizing the impact on the environment stemming from production has become an important issue for all manufacturers [19,20]. The pursuit of green product manufacturing can reduce the burden on the environment. Green products are products that have little or no impact on human health. In general, green products may be made from recycled waste, manufactured in a more energy-efficient manner or supplied to the market with less packaging. Green products have become an important focus of environmental policy planning. The common criticism of green environmental protection is that environmental practices will increase costs and reduce the net income. However, the larger question is: How does the manufacturing industry implement green production? Boons [21] proposed the following six options for green production chain management:
(1) **Reduce the quantity of material used:** Reduce the quantity of material needed to produce the product.

(2) **Search for alternative materials:** Replace the original materials with alternative materials that have a less environmental impact.

(3) **Recycling of materials:** Recycling of the materials that make up the product.

(4) **Search for alternative products:** Replace the original product with another product that performs the same function.

(5) **Product Recycling:** Recycling and reuse of the product after its use.

(6) **Eliminating excessive functions:** Stop production of unused or less used product features.

### 2.3.2. The Paris Agreement

The Paris Agreement is a climate agreement signed by the UN’s 195 member countries on 12 December 2015 at the UN Climate Summit in 2015; hopefully, it can jointly deter global warming. Article 2 of the Paris Agreement states that, in the future, efforts will be made to control the increase in the temperature of the earth within the range of up to 2 °C in comparison with the pre-industrial age, and efforts must be made to pursue the above-mentioned harder target of increasing the warming rate to within 1.5 °C, in understanding that this will highly decrease the risk and impact of climate change [22].

Due to the growing global competition and changing consumer needs in recent years [23], increasing environmental considerations and energy shortages have made green issues a topical subject in many industries [24]. Enterprises attempt to recover renewable raw materials in order to obtain profits while simultaneously protecting the environment [25], and are devoted to the sustainable development of the environment [26]. In the production processes, energy, resource consumption, and virgin material consumption are actively reduced, thus, reducing waste output in the production processes.

The essence of environmental management is to solve ecological environmental problems during the process of business growth [27], as well as to provide enterprises with production efficiency and the efficient use of raw materials, including using smaller quantities of raw materials, recycling, reusing raw materials, and reducing the cost of waste, thereby enhancing the efficient use of raw materials and reducing wasted resources [28].

The government has considerable authority to dominate or push the industry, including making regulations and industrial plans and assisting enterprises with adequate funds and resources when implementing environmental strategies for continuous proceedings, and planning [29]. However, as various governments are stressed by limited natural resources and waste disposal, they have actively established resource recovery policies [25], and as the public continues to increase pressure on governments regarding environmental pollution, it compels governments to establish strict environmental legislation and substantial fines for environmental pollution, with the intention of reducing enterprise-induced pollution through proper environmental management [30].

### 2.3.3. Environmental Protection Measures in Typical Paper Industry

Some environmental protection measures in the paper industry are described as follows:

(1) **Purchasing various waste papers as raw material to make paper products in order to reduce environmental pollution and because it is more economical than using pulpwod.**

(2) **Using cogeneration equipment (also known as electrothermal co-production), where the energy released from the combustion of fuel simultaneously generates electric and thermal energy, and surplus electricity and heat can be sold in order to use energy more efficiently.**

(3) **Using contamination control equipment for pollution treatment, such as electrostatic precipitators (ESP) and flue gas desulfurization (FDG), in order to reduce solid suspended particles and SOx.**
(4) After coagulating the sedimentation of wastewater treatment, the resulting sludge, which contains high contents of organic substances and fertilizing ingredients, can be supplied to farmers for use as a soil amendment or for composting (high magnesium fertilizer).

(5) The ash from the bottom of the boiler can be supplied for use in construction landfill, brick-making, artificial aggregate, and building materials.

2.4. Brief of the ABC (Activity-Based Costing) Method

As mentioned before, since the traditional cost accounting system allocates overhead to the product cost through the use of volume-related allocation criteria (such as direct labor hours, machine hours, direct material costs, etc.), its main disadvantage is that in the modern manufacturing environment as manufacturing processes become more automated and computerized, overhead cost will increase rapidly. If traditional cost accounting is also used, product costs will be seriously distorted. In addition, in the market environment with a low product demand and diversified products, there are many volume-unrelated production activities, such as product design, mold replacement, and handling; these differ from the volume-related measures. In other words, mass production may consume more machine hours than small production, but mass production does not necessarily spend a higher batch activity cost than small production. Therefore, in the higher overhead industries, using traditional cost accounting will overestimate or underestimate product costs. In view of this, Cooper and Kaplan [3] suggest using activity-based costing (ABC) to improve the accuracy of product costs. Research on implementing ABC exists in various industries, such as manufacturing, logistics, hotels, libraries and construction, airlines, etc., [31–35]. In addition, the ABC method is also applied to various fields, such as quality improvement, project management, software development, product outsourcing, environmental management, and so on [32,35–41].

The cost assignment of the ABC two-phase model is shown in Figure 1 [42–44]. ABC regards markets, channels, customers, processes, product lines, and products as the cost objects. The cost calculation of a cost object requires identifying the various activities required to complete the cost object first, and then to trace the various resources consumed by each activity. Therefore, ABC uses two-phase assignments to calculate the costs of cost objects. In the first phase, various resource costs are allocated to activity cost pools through resource drivers. Resources used by manufacturing companies may include raw materials, machinery, personnel, utilities, and energy, whose costs will be allocated to activities by using the appropriate resource drivers. Resource drivers represent the resource consumption in production activities, such as machine hours, kilowatt-hours, and square feet. In the second phase, each activity cost pool is allocated to cost objects by using appropriate different types of activity drivers, for example, the number of machine hours for machining, and time for setting up machines [31]. An activity driver is used to measure the activity consumption of the cost objects [45]. Manufacturing activities can be divided into the following categories [46]:

- Unit-level activities: performed once for each unit of product, such as processing and 100% inspection.
- Batch-level activities: performed once for each batch of products, such as installation, handling, and sampling inspection.
- Product-level activities: performed to benefit all units of a specific product, such as product design changes.
- Facility-level activities: performed to sustain manufacturing facilities, such as a factory guard.

The cost information obtained from the cost object can be used for strategic decisions related to quoting, purchasing, outsourcing, profit analysis, product-mix, and so on. In the process of product-mix decision-making, this paper considers the cost of facility activities as a fixed cost.
2.5. The Theory of Constraints (TOC)

The theory of constraints, as proposed by Dr. Eliyahu M. Goldratt in *The Goal* in 1984, was created as a method of continuous improvement. He believed that each enterprise body is an organic system with its own goals, and there are bound to be constraints in the system that affect the goals. The constraint theory starts from bottleneck management, and moves through the continuous removal of bottlenecks and constraints, thus improving the overall operations and achieving maximum benefits. Since TOC’s goal is achieving maximum throughput through short-term optimization procedures for managing resources and eliminating bottlenecks under given overheads and operating expenses [47], some researchers have proposed that TOC can be used for product mix decisions in short-term production [48]. Plenert [49] also believed that if TOC is used for multiple resource constraints, the resulting product mix may not be the optimal product-mix, as the determination of the product mix may lead to a bottleneck shiftiness; however, this limitation can be overcome through integer linear programming (ILP) [50].

This study constructs a mathematical programming model that establishes the optimal product mix in the short term through the flexibility of using restricted resources. The use of such restricted resources will affect the results of the ABC costing, which in turn affects the optimal product mix. For example, exceeding the limit of carbon dioxide emissions will increase the carbon tax cost; if it exceeds normal working hours, it will use overtime, which increases the direct labor time with a higher wage rate.

2.6. The Relationships between ABC, TOC, and Industry 4.0

ABC can calculate product costs more accurately, while TOC is a step-by-step improvement for bottlenecks to increase profits. In terms of product costing, as TOC is short-term, it uses restricted resources in the production process (for example, total carbon emissions limits, available labor hours and machine hours, restrictions on raw material supply, etc.), which will affect the results of ABC costing, which in turn affects the best product mix. For example, exceeding the limit of carbon dioxide emissions will increase the carbon tax cost. When production takes longer than normal working hours, it is necessary to use overtime, which increases direct labor costs due to the higher wage rate. In the case of Industry 4.0, various types of sensors can be installed in a machine, thus, more accurate and reliable Resource and Activity driver data can be collected, which improves the accuracy and immediacy of the ABC cost calculation. On the other hand, the related technologies developed by Industry 4.0 can be applied to the production control of actual production. Under the functional structure of MES, it can respond to the changes in production-related parameters and production resource constraints caused by actual production conditions, and give back information to managers to respond effectively, and adjust the production plan in time. At the same time, it can timely detect the actual situation of production, quality and machine operation, and give back to the manager to take effective improvement measures in time to achieve the planned production target [51]. For the
above description, a mathematical programming model of ABC production planning and control under Industry 4.0 is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. The relationship between the mathematical programming model and Industry 4.0 in this research.

3. Green Production Planning Decision Model under ABC for a Paper Company

3.1. A Production Process for a Typical Paper Company

The production process for a typical paper company is shown in Figure 3. The processes of paper-making are briefly described as follows:

Figure 3. The production process of paper-making.

Pulping:

The fundamental purpose of pulping is to stir the pulp, waste paper, and additives in the pulper into a mud or porridge. The pulp, waste paper, and additives are uniformly mixed in a pulp chest in appropriate mix proportions; there are different mix proportions for different product specifications.
Papermaking:

The pulp prepared in the pulping process enters the paper machine system, and the pulp fibers are hydrated with water. By water flow, the fibers are dispersed over a metal mesh, and form into longitudinal and transverse fibers to enter the paper machine, where the fibers adhere to the papermakers’ felt; most of the moisture in the fibers is removed by a water press.

Rewinding and packing:

Finally, after drying and calendering, the fibers are made into usable paper. The final step is to pack the paper products in boxes and deliver them to customers.

3.2. Assumptions

In this paper, we take the papermaking industry as an example. The product-mix decision model of the Green ABC Optimal Production Plan presents the following five assumptions:

1. The activities in the paper mill process have been classified into four level activities (unit, batch, product, and facility). The company’s ABC study team selected the appropriate resource drivers and activity drivers for the current production process.
2. The unit sales price of the product and the unit purchase price of the direct material do not change with the increase or decrease of the purchase quantity.
3. Machine capacity expansion is not considered.
4. With two shifts, the normal working time for each shift is 8 h, and can be extended by 4 h overtime with a higher wage rate to extend the direct working hours.
5. Carbon tax at different tax rates according to the level of CO$_2$ emissions and the cost of carbon dioxide emissions are regarded as a piecewise variable cost.

3.3. Notations

This paper uses the following variables and parameters notations:

Decision variables:

1. $X_i$ is the production quantity of product $i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n$;
2. $(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ is an SOS1 set of 0–1 variables, where only one variable is 1;
3. $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ is an SOS2 set of non-negative variables, where at most two adjacent variables can be non-zero;
4. $N_{ij}$ is the number of batches of batch-level activity $j$ ($j \in B$) of product $i$;
5. $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is an SOS1 set of 0–1 variables, where only one variable must be non-zero;
6. $(\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3)$ is an SOS2 set of non-negative variables, where at most two adjacent variables can be non-zero;

Parameters:

1. $P_i$ is the unit sales price of product $i$;
2. $C_m$ is the unit cost of the $m$th material, $m = 1, 2, 3, ..., s$;
3. $A_{im}$ is the demand for the $m$th material of product $i$;
4. $E_i$ is the process yield rate of product $i$;
5. $Q_m$ is the available quantity of the $m$th material;
6. $HC_1$ is the total direct labor cost of HQ$_1$;
7. $HC_2$ is the total direct labor cost of HQ$_2$;
8. $HC_3$ is the total direct labor cost of HQ$_3$;
9. $TLH$ is the total hours required for direct labor;
10. $HQ_1$ is the total normal available hours of direct labor;
11. $HQ_2$ is the total direct labor hours after 2 h of overtime;
HQ is the total direct labor hours after 4 h of overtime;
LH is the required labor hours per unit of product i;
TMC is the total costs of the machine;
H is the machine hours required per unit of product i in the pth process, p = 1, 2, 3, . . . , k;
U is the available machine hours of the pth process;
d is the activity cost of each active driver for activity j;
K is the number of each batch for batch-level activity j (j ∈ B) of product i;
N is the number of batches of batch-level activity j (j ∈ B) of product i;
R is the time required of the activity driver of batch-level activity j (j ∈ B) of product i;
T is the time restriction of the activity driver of batch-level activity j (j ∈ B);
TVOC is the total CO emissions;
COV is the carbon tax cost at COQ;
V is the CO emissions per unit of product i;

3.4. A Mathematical Programming for the Decision-Making Model

In this section, the general model proposed in this paper will be described, and a numerical example for illustration of how to apply this model to a real case company is presented in Section 4. A numerical example for illustration.

The optimal green product-mix decision model under ABC is depicted as follows:

Maximize Z = Total Revenue

- Total Unit Activity Cost (Direct Material Cost, Direct Labor Cost, Machine Cost)
- Total Batch Activity Cost (Inventory Handling Cost, Set-up Cost)
- Carbon Tax Cost
- Environment Regulatory Cost

\[
Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i X_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{s} C_m A_{im} \left(1 \div E_i\right) X_i - \left[HC_1 + (HC_2 - HC_1)\gamma_1 + (HC_3 - HC_1)\gamma_2\right] \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{p=1}^{r} U_p H_{ip} X_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in B} d_j R_{ij} N_{ij} - (COV_1\Phi_1 + COV_2\Phi_2 + COV_3\Phi_3) - F
\]  

3.4.1. Total Revenue

The total revenue is represented by \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i X_i\), where \(P_i\) is the unit sales prices of products i; \(X_i\) is the number of sales of the ith product.

3.4.2. Total Direct Material Cost: Unit-Level Cost

In this paper, we assume that direct materials are purchased at a fixed price. Therefore, the direct material cost function is a linear function. \(A_{im}\) represents the mth material requirement of the ith product; there are 1 to n products and 1 to s raw materials. \(E_i\) represents the process yield rate of product i. The decision-maker decides the maximum resource \(Q_m\) available for each raw material according to the actual cost information previously provided by the accounting department. The total material costs are represented by \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{s} A_{im} \left(1 \div E_i\right) X_i\), which is associated with the constraints expressed in Equation (2). The function of material cost is shown in Figure 4.
Direct material constraints:

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{s} A_{im}(1 \div E_i)X_i \leq Q_m \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, s \]  

(2)

3.4.3. Total Direct Labor Costs: Unit-Level Cost

According to the government’s labor law, the direct labor time and direct labor cost of paper mills can be expanded at a higher wage rate through overtime or night shifts in the short term. Figure 5 shows the detailed total labor cost conditions. The normal direct working hours available are given as HQ1 and the corresponding direct labor costs are a fixed amount of HC1. Next, direct labor hours can be extended to HQ2 and HQ3 through overtime or night shifts, and the total labor costs are presented as HC2 and HC3, respectively. The total direct labor costs are expressed as \([HC_1 + (HC_2 - HC_1) \gamma_1 + (HC_3 - HC_1) \gamma_2]\) as in Equation (1).

![Figure 4. The direct material cost function.](image)

![Figure 5. The piecewise direct labor cost function.](image)

Equations (3)–(8) represent the total labor hours required under the relevant constraints. The TLH indicates the total labor hours required for a papermaking mill, as shown in Equation (3). In Equations (4)–(8), \((\delta_1, \delta_2)\) is an SOS1 set of 0–1 variables, where only one variable will be 1; \(\delta_1 = 1\) and \(\delta_2 = 1\) indicate that the total labor hour falls within the second range [HQ1, HQ2] and the third range [HQ2, HQ3] of labor hour, respectively, in Figure 5. \((\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)\) is an SOS2 set of non-negative variables, where at most two adjacent variables can be non-zero [52]. When \(\gamma_0\) and \(\gamma_1\) are non-zero, it means that the total labor hour falls within the second range [HQ1, HQ2]; when \(\gamma_1\) and \(\gamma_2\) are non-zero, it means that the total labor hour falls within the third [HQ2, HQ3]. Besides, when \(\gamma_0 = 1, \gamma_1 = 1,\) and \(\gamma_2 = 1\), it means that the data point will be B, C, and D, respectively. When \(\delta_1 = 1, \delta_2 = 0,\)
\( \gamma_0 = 1 \), and \( \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0 \), the data point will fall within the first segment AB, where \( \text{TLH} \leq \text{HQ}_1 \), and the total direct labor cost is \( \text{HC}_1 \).

For instance, if \( \delta_1 = 1 \), then \( \delta_2 = 0 \) from Equation (8); \( \gamma_0 \leq 1 \), \( \gamma_1 \leq 1 \), \( \gamma_2 = 0 \), \( \gamma_0 \geq \gamma_1 = 1 \) from Equations (4)–(7), which means that the paper mills will need to work overtime. However, if \( \gamma_0 = 1 \), then \( \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0 \); this means that overtime work is not required. Furthermore, if \( \delta_1 = 0 \), then \( \delta_2 = 1 \) from Equation (8), \( \gamma_0 = 0 \), \( \gamma_1 \leq 1 \), \( \gamma_2 \leq 1 \), and \( \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 1 \) from Equations (3)–(7), then the total required labor hour is \( \text{HQ}_1 + (\text{HQ}_2 - \text{HQ}_1) \gamma_1 + (\text{HQ}_3 - \text{HQ}_1) \gamma_2 \), indicating that the paper mills need production overtime. The total direct labor costs are represented by \( [\text{HC}_1 + (\text{HC}_2 - \text{HC}_1) \gamma_1 + (\text{HC}_3 - \text{HC}_1) \gamma_2] \).

Unit-level direct labor hour constraints:

\[
\text{TLH} = \text{HQ}_1 + (\text{HQ}_2 - \text{HQ}_1) \gamma_1 + (\text{HQ}_3 - \text{HQ}_1) \gamma_2
\]

\( \gamma_0 - \delta_1 \leq 0 \) \hspace{1cm} (4)

\( \gamma_1 - \delta_1 - \delta_2 \leq 0 \) \hspace{1cm} (5)

\( \gamma_2 - \delta_2 \leq 0 \) \hspace{1cm} (6)

\( \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 1 \) \hspace{1cm} (7)

\( \delta_1 + \delta_2 = 1 \) \hspace{1cm} (8)

3.4.4. Total Machine Cost: Unit-Level Cost

In this paper, we assume that the machine cost function is a linear function, \( \text{H}_{ip} \) represents the machine hours required per unit of product \( i \) in the \( p \)th process and there are \( n \) products and \( r \) machines. The maximum machine hours available for each machine per month are 528 h (24 h/day \( \times \) 22 days/month), and regarding machine depreciation hourly as machine cost per hour for each machine. \( \text{U}_p \) represents the hourly cost of the machine for the \( p \)th process. The total machine costs are represented by \( (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{p=1}^{r} \text{H}_{ip} \text{X}_i) \), where the associated constraints are shown in Equation (9).

Machine hour constraints:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{p=1}^{r} \text{H}_{ip} \text{X}_i \leq \text{M}_p \quad p = 1,2,...,r
\]

3.4.5. Batch Activity Cost Function for Inventory Handling and Setup Activities

The total batch activity costs are represented by \( (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in B} d_{ij} \text{R}_{ij} \text{N}_{ij}) \), where the associated constraints are shown in Equations (10) and (11).

Batch activity constraints:

\[
\text{X}_i \leq \text{K}_{ij} \text{N}_{ij} \quad i = 1,2,...,n; j \in B
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{R}_{ij} \text{N}_{ij} \leq \text{T}_{jr} \quad i = 1,2,...,n; j \in B
\]

3.4.6. Carbon Tax Function

Although new green production technologies can reduce carbon dioxide emissions, there are still carbon dioxide emissions from the steam supply device of the paper-making machine. Equation (12) is used to quantify \( \text{CO}_2 \) emissions during the production process. It also assumes that taxation is taxed at different tax rates based on the quantity of \( \text{CO}_2 \) emissions, so as to support the government’s carbon tax policy and fulfill the company’s social responsibility. In other words, as carbon dioxide emissions increase, the carbon tax will also increase. Therefore, the total cost of carbon dioxide emissions will be a piecewise linear function consisting of three different tax rates as shown in Figure 6. Carbon dioxide
emissions could increase from COQ1 to COQ2 and COQ3. Therefore, the total carbon tax costs are COV1, COV2, and COV3 at COQ1, COQ2, and COQ3 respectively [53].

In Equations (13)–(18), \((\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)\) is an SOS1 set of 0–1 variables, where only one variable must be non-zero; \((\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3)\) is an SOS2 set of non-negative variables, where at most two adjacent variables can be non-zero [51].

The first segment: if \(\lambda_1 = 1 \ (\lambda_2, \lambda_3 = 0\), from Equation (18)), then \(\Phi_0 \leq 1; \Phi_1 \leq 1; \Phi_2 \leq 0; \Phi_3 \leq 0\); and \(\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 = 1\) from Equations (13)–(17). Then the total CO2 emission and the total carbon tax costs of the paper-making mill are \(\text{COQ}_1\Phi_1 + \text{COV}_1\Phi_1\), respectively. This expresses that \((\text{COQ}_1\Phi_1, \text{COV}_1\Phi_1)\) is the linear combination of \((0, 0)\) and \((\text{COQ}_1, \text{COV}_1)\).

The second segment: if \(\lambda_2 = 1 \ (\lambda_1, \lambda_3 = 0\), from Equation (18)), then \(\Phi_0 \leq 0; \Phi_1 \leq 1; \Phi_2 \leq 1; \Phi_3 \leq 0\); and \(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 = 1\) from Equations (13)–(17). Then the total CO2 emission and the total carbon tax costs of the paper-making mill are \(\text{COQ}_1\Phi_1 + \text{COQ}_2\Phi_2 + \text{COV}_1\Phi_1 + \text{COV}_2\Phi_2\), respectively. This expresses that \((\text{COQ}_1\Phi_1 + \text{COQ}_2\Phi_2, \text{COV}_1\Phi_1 + \text{COV}_2\Phi_2)\) is the linear combination of \((\text{COQ}_1, \text{COV}_1)\) and \((\text{COQ}_2, \text{COV}_2)\).

The third segment: if \(\lambda_3 = 1 \ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = 0\), from Equation (18)), then \(\Phi_0 \leq 0; \Phi_1 \leq 0; \Phi_2 \leq 1; \Phi_3 \leq 1\); and \(\Phi_2 + \Phi_3 = 1\) from Equations (13)–(17). Then the total CO2 emission and the total carbon tax costs of the paper-making mill are \(\text{COQ}_2\Phi_2 + \text{COQ}_3\Phi_3 + \text{COV}_2\Phi_2 + \text{COV}_3\Phi_3\), respectively. This expresses that \((\text{COQ}_2\Phi_2 + \text{COQ}_3\Phi_3, \text{COV}_2\Phi_2 + \text{COV}_3\Phi_3)\) is the linear combination of \((\text{COQ}_2, \text{COV}_2)\) and \((\text{COQ}_3, \text{COV}_3)\). In short, if the paper-making mill generates excessive carbon dioxide emissions, this will lead to an increase in the carbon tax rate. The total costs of carbon dioxide emissions are expressed as \((\text{COV}_1\Phi_1 + \text{COV}_2\Phi_2 + \text{COV}_3\Phi_3)\), whose associated constraints are shown as follows:

**CO2 emission constraints:**

\[
\text{TVOC} = \text{COQ}_1\Phi_1 + \text{COQ}_2\Phi_2 + \text{COQ}_3\Phi_3 
\]

\[
\Phi_0 - \lambda_1 \leq 0 \tag{13}
\]

\[
\Phi_1 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq 0 \tag{14}
\]

\[
\Phi_2 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 \leq 0 \tag{15}
\]

\[
\Phi_3 - \lambda_3 \leq 0 \tag{16}
\]

\[
\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3 = 1 \tag{17}
\]

\[
\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1 \tag{18}
\]
3.5. Energy Recycling

In reducing environmental pollution, the use of recycled waste paper as raw material is more economical than using pulpwood [54]. In this paper, Company P used recycled paper as a part of raw materials; to decrease costs and reduce the environmental impact, the quantity of recycled paper used will increase in the future. In addition, by using cogeneration equipment (also known as electrothermal co-production), the energy released from the combustion of fuel simultaneously generates electric and thermal energy, and surplus electricity and heat can be sold in order to use energy more efficiently.

After coagulating the sedimentation of wastewater treatment, the resulting sludge contains fibers from pulp dishing and filler from the white water dishing of paper mills. Its principal components include calcium carbonate, magnesium carbonate, and fiber, which can be used as a soil amendment after drying, and is mainly sold to farmers for composting (high magnesium fertilizer). When coal is combusted in a boiler to generate power, heavier dust particles are discharged from the bottom of the boiler, called bottom ash; it can be used for construction landfill, brick making, artificial aggregate, and building materials.

3.6. Other Sale and Production Constraints

The use of paper is very extensive and is mainly divided into the following six purposes:

1. **Culture Paper**: As the information transmission and cultural heritage used, it is closely related with the printing industry, for common cultural paper such as coated paper, Dowling paper, newsprint, etc.

2. **Industrial paper**: Used to manufacture paper boxes, cartons, paper cups that need to be processed by the operation; it is called industrial paper, common industrial paper such as Liner board, corrugating medium, Coated whiteboard, Chipboard, etc.

3. **Packaging paper**: Manufacturing paper bags, shopping bags of paper, such as wrapping paper, Kraft paper, etc.

4. **Household paper**: Paper used related to health care or home life, such as toilet paper, facial tissues, napkins, etc.

5. **Information paper**: In response to the rise of office automation and computer list machines, the rapid development of paper in recent years, such as plain copy paper, inkjet printing paper, thermal paper, no carbon required paper, etc.

6. **Other paper**: Paper made for other uses, such as rice paper, banknote paper, rust-proof paper, etc.

The case company (Company P) in this paper is a small and medium-sized company with a monthly output of about 3000 tons. Its products are mainly for the packaging market. For example, product 3 is used for the fruit protection of fruit trees to prevent sunburn and insect or bird bites. Product 2 is used for the packaging of fried foods to prevent oil leakage. In particular, product 3 is used for the packaging of department store boutiques. As the monthly demand in Taiwan is limited to 500 tons per month, there are fewer mills producing product 3, but the prices are relatively higher.

Thus, the model proposed in this paper is used to find the optimal product mix to achieve the maximum profit under the constraints of production resources, market demand, and carbon emission quota. Therefore, when these limit variables change, the optimal product mix will change accordingly. If there are market demand limits of products, we can add the constraints for the product demand’s upper limits. Similarly, if there is a minimum efficient scale of production, we can add the constraints for the products’ lower limits. This means that the model should be built based on the real situations; then we can use the model to find the useful solutions.
4. A Numerical Example for Illustration

4.1. Example Data and Optimal Decision Analysis

This article provides a numerical example to illustrate how the ABC (Activity-based Costing) is applied in a mathematical programming model to decide the optimal product-mix. The illustrative data are shown in Table 1.

Assume that Company P (case company) uses three kinds of direct materials (m = 1, 2, 3) to produce three kinds of products 1, 2 and 3 (i = 1, 2, 3). When Company P uses the ABC cost system to determine the optimal product-mix, it is required to compute the following basic activity costs: (1) unit-level activity cost: These costs include material, labor and machine costs; (2) Batch-level activity costs such as, the costs of inventory handling and machine set-up; (3) Facility-level activity costs, including plant management costs and compliance with environmental regulatory costs. Table 1 lists the relevant data for this example. The cost of compliance with environmental regulation refers to the cost of dealing with routine inspections, waste discharge and disposal, and ensuring that the production process complies with local government laws and environmental regulations. This study assumes that the cost of compliance with environmental regulatory is a fixed cost, represented as a constant $30,000 per month.

Company P has to determine the optimal quantity of products for each product based on its current capabilities. Equations (1)–(18) are the equations for the green production planning decision model in this model, which are specifically expressed as follows:

Maximize $Z = \text{Total Revenue}$

- Total Unit Level Activity Cost (direct material cost, direct labor cost, machine cost)
- Total Batch Level Activity Cost (inventory handling cost, set-up cost)
- Carbon Tax Cost
- Facility Level Activity Cost (plant management and environment regulatory cost)

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i X_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{s} C_m A_{im} (1 \div E_i) X_i - [HC_1 + (HC_2 - HC_1)\gamma_1 + (HC_3 - HC_1)\gamma_2] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{p=1} \gamma_j N_{ij} - (COV_1\Phi_1 + COV_2\Phi_2 + COV_3\Phi_3) - 30,000$$

$$= (1700 \times X_1 + 1400 \times X_2 + 1200 \times X_3) - [(670 \times 0.80 + 200 \times 0.15 + 2500 \times 0.05)/0.81 \times X_1 + (670 \times 0.70 + 200 \times 0.20 + 2500 \times 0.10)/0.81 \times X_2 + (670 \times 0.65 + 200 \times 0.30 + 2500 \times 0.05)/0.91 \times X_3] - [190,080 + (253,440 - 190,080) \times \gamma_1 + (332,640 - 190,080) \times \gamma_2] - [(50 \times 0.12 + 250 \times 0.22 + 12 \times 0.13) \times X_1 + (50 \times 0.12 + 250 \times 0.18 + 12 \times 0.12) \times X_2 + (50 \times 0.12 + 250 \times 0.17 + 12 \times 0.11) \times X_3] - [(18 \times 1) \times N_{i1} + (18 \times 1) \times N_{i2} + (18 \times 1) \times N_{i3}] - [(100 \times 5) \times N_{i2} + (100 \times 4) \times N_{i2} + (100 \times 4) \times N_{i2}] - (10,000 \times \Phi_1 + 19,000 \times \Phi_2 + 31,000 \times \Phi_3) - 30,000$$

Subject to sales quantity:

$$X_1 \leq 500$$

Subject to direct material:

$$0.80/0.89 \times X_1 + 0.70/0.90 \times X_2 + 0.65/0.91 \times X_3 \leq 2200$$
$$0.15/0.89 \times X_1 + 0.20/0.90 \times X_2 + 0.30/0.91 \times X_3 \leq 700$$
$$0.05/0.89 \times X_1 + 0.10/0.90 \times X_2 + 0.05/0.91 \times X_3 \leq 300$$
Table 1. The example data.

|                          | j | i    | Product 1 | Product 2 | Product 3 | Available Capacity |
|--------------------------|---|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Maximum Demand (Ton)     |   |      |           |           |           | X₁ ≤ 500          |
| Selling price (USD/ton)  |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Direct material          |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| m = 1                    |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| C₁ = $670/ton            |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| A₁₁                      |   |      | 0.80      | 0.70      | 0.65      | Q₁ ≤ 2000         |
| m = 2                    |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| C₂ = $200/ton            |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| A₁₂                      |   |      | 0.15      | 0.20      | 0.30      | Q₂ ≤ 700          |
| m = 3                    |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| C₃ = $2500/ton           |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| A₁₃                      |   |      | 0.05      | 0.10      | 0.05      | Q₃ ≤ 300          |
| Eᵢ                       |   |      | 89%       | 90%       | 91%       |                   |
| Direct labor constraint  |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Cost                     |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Labor hours (hr)         |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| HC₁ = 190,080            |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| HC₂ = 253,440            |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| HC₃ = 332,640            |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| HQ₁ = 31,680             |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| HQ₂ = 39,600             |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| HQ₃ = 47,520             |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Wage rate (USD/ hr)      |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| WR₁ = 6                  |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| WR₂ = 8                  |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| WR₃ = 10                 |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Labor hours (hr/ton)     |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Pulping (hr/ton)         |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Machine hours            |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| U₁ = $50/ hr             |   |      | 1         |           |           |                   |
| H₁₃                      |   |      | 0.12      | 0.12      | 0.12      | MH₁ = 528         |
| paper making (hr/ton)    |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Machine hours            |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| U₂ = $250/ hr            |   |      | 2         |           |           |                   |
| H₂₃                      |   |      | 0.22      | 0.18      | 0.17      | MH₂ = 528         |
| Rewinding (hr/ton)       |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Machine hours            |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| U₃ = $12/ hr             |   |      | 3         |           |           |                   |
| H₃₃                      |   |      | 0.13      | 0.12      | 0.11      | MH₃ = 352         |
| Batch-level activity     |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Inventory handling       |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Handling hours           |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| d₁ = $18/hr              |   |      | 1         |           |           |                   |
| R₁₃                      |   |      | 1         | 1         | 1         | T₁ = 528          |
| K₁₃                      |   |      | 100       | 100       | 100       |                   |
| Set-up                   |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Handling hours           |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| d₂ = $100/hr             |   |      | 2         |           |           |                   |
| R₂₃                      |   |      | 5         | 4         | 4         | T₂ = 528          |
| K₂₃                      |   |      | 400       | 600       | 600       |                   |
| CO₂ emission constraint  |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Cost (USD)               |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| COV₁ = 60,000            |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| COV₂ = 114,000           |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| COV₃ = 195,000           |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| COQ₁ = 2500              |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| COQ₂ = 4000              |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| COQ₃ = 5500              |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Vᵢ                       |   |      | 1.2       | 1         | 0.9       |                   |
| Tax rate (USD/ton)       |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| TR₁ = 24                 |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| TR₂ = 36                 |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| TR₃ = 54                 |   |      |           |           |           |                   |
| Environmental regulatory costs. | |      | Total fix cost | $30,000   |           |                   |
Subject to direct labor hour:

\[
18 \times X_1 + 16 \times X_2 + 15 \times X_3 - 31680 - (39600 - 31680) \times \gamma_1 - (47520 - 31680) \times \gamma_2 \leq 0
\]
\[
\gamma_0 - \delta_1 \leq 0
\]
\[
\gamma_1 - \delta_1 - \delta_2 \leq 0
\]
\[
\gamma_2 - \delta_2 \leq 0
\]
\[
\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \leq 1
\]
\[
\delta_1 + \delta_2 = 1
\]

Subject to machine hour:

\[
0.12 \times X_1 + 0.12 \times X_2 + 0.12 \times X_3 \leq 528
\]
\[
0.22 \times X_1 + 0.18 \times X_2 + 0.17 \times X_3 \leq 528
\]
\[
0.13 \times X_1 + 0.12 \times X_2 + 0.11 \times X_3 \leq 352
\]

Subject to batch-level inventory handling:

\[
X_1 - 100 \times N_{11} \leq 0
\]
\[
X_2 - 100 \times N_{21} \leq 0
\]
\[
X_3 - 100 \times N_{31} \leq 0
\]
\[
1 \times N_{11} + 1 \times N_{21} + 1 \times N_{31} \leq 528
\]

Subject to batch-level setup:

\[
X_1 - 400 \times N_{12} \leq 0
\]
\[
X_2 - 600 \times N_{22} \leq 0
\]
\[
X_3 - 600 \times N_{32} \leq 0
\]
\[
5 \times N_{12} + 4 \times N_{22} + 4 \times N_{32} \leq 528
\]

Subject to VOC emission:

\[
1.2 \times X_1 + 1 \times X_2 + 0.9 \times X_3 - 2500 \times \Phi_1 - 4000 \times \Phi_2 - 5500 \times \Phi_3 \leq 0
\]
\[
\Phi_0 - \lambda_1 \leq 0
\]
\[
\Phi_1 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq 0
\]
\[
\Phi_2 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 \leq 0
\]
\[
\Phi_3 - \lambda_3 \leq 0
\]
\[
\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3 = 1
\]
\[
\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1
\]

We use the mathematical programming decision model and LINGO 16.0 software to examine the sample data and derive an optimal solution as shown in Table 2.

| Table 2. The optimal solution for example data. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| $X_1$ = 500 | $X_2$ = 1415 | $X_3$ = 910 |
| $\delta_1$ = 0 | $\delta_2$ = 1 |                |
| $\gamma_0$ = 0 | $\gamma_1$ = 0.2815657 | $\gamma_2$ = 0.7184343 |
| $N_{11}$ = 5 | $N_{21}$ = 15 | $N_{31}$ = 10 |
| $N_{12}$ = 2 | $N_{22}$ = 3 | $N_{32}$ = 2 |
| $\lambda_1$ = 0 | $\lambda_2$ = 1 | $\lambda_3$ = 1 |
| $\Phi_0$ = 0 | $\Phi_1$ = 0.7773333 | $\Phi_2$ = 0.2226667 |
| $\Phi_3$ = 0 |                |                |
According to the results of the above-mentioned production plan decision model solution, the monthly optimal product-mix of the green production plan decision is \((X_1, X_2, X_3) = (500, 1415, 910)\), which requires 2200 tons \((= 0.8 \div 0.89 \times 500 + 0.7 \div 0.90 \times 1415 + 0.65 \div 0.91 \times 910)\) of the first kind of material; 698 tons \((=0.15 \div 0.89 \times 500 + 0.20 \div 0.90 \times 1415 + 0.30 \div 0.91 \times 910)\) of the second kind of material; 235 tons \((=0.05 \div 0.89 \times 500 + 0.10 \div 0.90 \times 1415 + 0.05 \div 0.91 \times 910)\) of the third kind of material; 45,290 \((=18 \times 500 + 16 \times 1415 + 15 \times 910)\) direct labor hours; 339 machine hours \((=0.12 \times 500 + 0.12 \times 1415 + 0.12 \times 910)\) of the first process of machinery; 520 machine hours \((=0.22 \times 500 + 0.18 \times 1415 + 0.17 \times 910)\) of the second process of machinery; 335 machine hours \((=0.13 \times 500 + 0.12 \times 1415 + 0.11 \times 910)\) of the third process of machinery, and 2834 tons \((=1.2 \times 500 + 1 \times 1415 + 0.9 \times 910)\) of \(\text{CO}_2\) emissions. The maximum profit \((Z)\) is $1,154,258. This entails that the total direct labor hours are expanded to 45,290 h by adding 13,610 overtime hours, and \(\text{CO}_2\) emissions are expanded to 2834 tons; there are 343 extra \(\text{CO}_2\) emissions requiring a higher carbon tax.

4.2. Sensitivity Analysis

In recent years, the world has been suffering from PM2.5, and Taiwan is no exception. PM2.5 often reaches the red alert level in Central and Southern Taiwan in the winter. According to reports by Taiwan’s Environmental Protection Agency, one-third of Taiwan’s PM2.5 is mainly derived from industrial development. The government is planning to double the carbon tax rate in order to effectively guide Company P to reduce air pollution emissions. If so, the \(\text{CO}_2\) emission costs of Company P will increase by $72,024 \((=24 \times 2500 + 36 \times 334)\) and the maximum profit will be reduced to $1,082,234. The details are shown in Table 3. Therefore, this year, Company P will blend RDF-5 with coal as a fuel for boilers to effectively reduce \(\text{CO}_2\) emissions.

### Table 3. The increase in carbon emission costs by doubling the carbon tax rate.

| \(\text{CO}_2\) Emissions (Ton) | Tax Rate (USD/Ton) | \(\text{CO}_2\) Emission Costs | Tax Rate (USD/Ton) | \(\text{CO}_2\) Emission Costs | Difference |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| \((\lambda_1 = 1)\) 2500      | 24                | 60,000                        | 48                | 120,000                       | 60,000     |
| \((\lambda_2 = 1)\) 334       | 36                | 12,024                        | 72                | 24,048                        | 12,024     |
| **Total 2834**                | **72,024**        | **144,048**                   |                   |                               |            |

In addition, Green purchase refers to purchasing products that fully consider reducing the environmental burden [55], and express a preference for the environment by purchasing products or services that can improve environmental protection [56]. Company P also attaches great importance to the use of recycled paper as a raw material. Although recycled paper with a higher quality is usually costs about 30% higher than the average purchase price, the case company is still actively developing pulping technology and plans to increase the proportion of recycled paper usage to 50% to fulfill its social responsibilities and reduce production costs. If this goal can be achieved, the monthly optimal product-mix of the green production planning decision will be changed into \((X_1, X_2, X_3) = (500, 1141, 1250)\), with the maximum profit increased to $1,519,473.

5. Shop Floor Control under Industry 4.0 in Paper Industry

5.1. Status Monitoring

Due to the innovation of production technology, the pattern of our industrial production has been transformed from labor-intensive into capital-intensive and technology-intensive. The original hand-made products have been replaced by automated production equipment. To be able to maintain a high competitiveness in the international market, it is necessary to improve the product quality and production efficiency. Toyota’s Toyota Production System (TPS), developed in the 1970s, is also
synonymous with Lean Production. The TPS integrates a set of methods and tools with management concepts to completely eliminate seven forms of waste (Muda), including overproduction, excessive inventory, poor quality, unnecessary conveyance, over processing, unnecessary motion, waiting for work, and to produce profit through cost reduction. [57]. Lean Production (TPS) defines everything that does not create value as waste [58], and is widely recognized and accepted in industrial environments. It involves a rigorous integration of human manufacturing processes, continuous improvement, and a focus on value-added activities by avoiding waste [59]. However, to achieve the goal of lean production, the reliability of production equipment is an important determinant. That is, the production efficiency and product quality depend on the effective maintenance of the equipment. There is a famous statement in the factory: “maintenance is more important than repair, and repair is more important than purchase”; it fully expresses the importance of maintenance in equipment management.

To ensure the safe and stable operation of equipment, while taking into account the reliability and availability of the equipment, the early diagnosis, control, and prevention of equipment failures provide an important guarantee for the safe operation and smooth production in the factory. The implementation of equipment monitoring is based on the past usage of the equipment and the current operating conditions combined with maintenance records. It is thus possible to determine whether the equipment is abnormal, and to predict the useful life of the equipment without stopping the equipment and disassembling the selected components; therefore, equipment monitoring can maximize the economic benefits under a fully safe environment.

Under Industry 4.0, experts are establishing a machine-specific model to track the changes, regimes and health status of each machine, such as by building cyber-twins to manage various components of bearings and gearboxes. The cyber-twins can offer self-awareness and self-prediction to machines and their components. The self-awareness can provide machines with the ability to assess the operational status of individual machines, while self-prediction can help to predict the future behavior of each machine and provide proper alerts and maintenance instructions [60]. The diagram of the data and information flow in a cyber-physical system-enabled plant is shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7. The diagram of the data and information flow in a Cyber-physical system-enabled plant.
Company P, in this paper, is using vibration diagnosis to detect paper machine bearing fault conditions. A general paper machine is 25 m in length and 8 m high; the vibration measurement process uses a dual-channel spectrum analyzer and accelerometer on the roller bearing position to select 9 measurement points for horizontal, vertical and axial vibration measurements in three directions, and the measured vibration signal transmitted to the laboratory through the 8 channel signal recorder to do a detailed analysis, in order to determine the deterioration of the bearings for preventive maintenance. Implementation results in the machine operating rate increasing by 15%, and the product non-performing rate decreasing by 20%.

5.2. Quality Control

IoT and smart manufacturing comprise the basic core of Industry 4.0. In the production process, machines and related detection components can collect and share production data in real time as the ultimate result of the production process. Produce quality can supply insights into machine status through reverse reasoning algorithms. It can also supply feedback for system management to adjust the production schedules [61]. SPC (Statistical Process Control) mainly refers to the statistical method applied to real-time quality monitoring in the production process. The product quality information in the production process is divided scientifically into random fluctuations and abnormal fluctuations. When the quality abnormality trend is significant in the production process, it will alert managers in real time to take appropriate measures to eliminate anomalies and recover the machine to its normal state of stability in order to achieve quality control [62]. The basic principles and methods of SPC were proposed by Dr. Shewhart in the 1930s. The purpose of SPC is to effectively monitor and control the quality of the products in the production process. After World War II, Japan was the first to widely promote and apply SPC in the industrial field during the period 1950–1980. As a result, Japan’s products are among the best in the world in terms of quality and productivity.

In the past, Company P used manual data collection and statistical analysis when applying the SPC method; the main shortcoming was that the processing time was too long and difficult to implement. Sensors are now used to collect data (Product quality data: Thickness, Dryness; Production parameters: Pulp concentration, Production speed, Pressure roller clearance, Vapor supply; Input data: Raw materials, working hours, machine hours, carbon emissions, overhead) and use PLC, MES and IoT for data statistics, analysis, transmission and monitoring. When the thickness of the product exceeds the control boundary, the monitoring system will transmit the abnormal information to the manager. The manager immediately adjusts the production parameters to restore the product quality to normal and avoids a large number of defective products due to delayed processing. At the same time, through the quality abnormality correction mechanism (cause analysis, propose improvement measures, implement improvement, improve quality performance: improve yield rate/reduce quality cost), we eliminate the cause of poor quality, prevent abnormal recurrences, and improve the quality performance. The schematic diagram of SPC joint correction improvement is shown in Figure 8.

In addition, in terms of the cost of the product, when the actual product cost calculated by the ABC cost system is higher than the standard cost, the ABC traceability function can be used to find the over-consumed resources, and the improvement mechanism is implemented according to the above-mentioned correction and improvement mechanism, and various improvement methods are used to improve or break through the limitations of resource bottlenecks to improve production efficiency and effectively reduce product costs.
6. Discussion

6.1. Managerial Insights for Industrial Practitioners

In addition to the accurate and complete product cost estimation, the ABC cost method can provide company managers with a clearer understanding of the resource consumption of different products and processes [63], in order that cost management and product mix can be improved, which enables optimal pricing and production decisions. In addition, timely and reliable acquisition of production-related data is an important factor in order to obtain accurate product cost estimates. Currently, due to the development of related technologies in Industry 4.0, various Sensor devices can be installed on machines, and production data can be obtained in a more timely and accurate manner [64], which improves the use efficiency of ABC and greatly reduces the cost of manually collecting production data, as conducted in the past.

However, in the process of pursuing the most profitable product mix, enterprises are often limited by their limited resources in the short-term, which affects the company’s profit target. Therefore, in the long run, enterprises must use various improvement technologies to enable the internal resources of the company to be effectively used, which can simultaneously break through the limitations of bottleneck resources and achieve the effect of improving business performance [65].

The lack of laborers and rising labor costs are the current operational difficulties faced by enterprises all over the world, which forces companies to work hard to gain production automation, as IT technology has promoted the boom of Industry 4.0 in recent years [66]. Enterprises can use industry 4.0 related technology to integrate software and hardware in production control, in order that the replenishment, manufacturing, monitoring, adjustment, and timely improvements of the production process can be automatically completed in the production environment of Industry 4.0, which significantly improves the efficiency of business operations [67].

In summary, a company manager can fully integrate the methods and technologies of ABC, TOC, and Industry 4.0 in business management. With the use of timely and accurate production cost information, a company can break through the limitations of the internal bottlenecked resources of the company through the improvement of related technologies developed by Industry 4.0. Business managers can make the right business decisions and help their company become a world-class company.
6.2. Related Issues & Future Research Directions

There are some related issues not involved in this research. These could be possible future research directions.

6.2.1. Multiple-Objective Problem

This paper only considers the production resources and carbon dioxide emission costs invested in the current production process of the paper industry and uses the product mix decision model to obtain the best product mix to achieve the single goal of maximum profit. Future research may attempt to extend these technologies to a variety of industries and different activities. This is because volume discounts, including product sales prices and material procurement costs, are placed in product mix decision models to reflect changes in real-world economic operating environments. In addition, in the face of the diversified needs of consumers, business operators need to exert their creativity to pursue current trends, but also take measures to foster product diversity and fast supplying in order to win the competitive advantage. Therefore, while planning their own profit targets, companies must also consider the multi-objective considerations of customer service levels and supplier profits [68]. This is also the direction of future research.

6.2.2. Efficiency Using DEA (Data Envelopment Analysis)

In 1978, Charnes, Cooper, and Rhodes proposed “Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)” as a tool to measure the efficiency and productivity of decision-making units [69]. DEA is considered a relatively new “data-oriented” approach for evaluating the performance of peer decision-making units (DMUs) with multiple performance measures that convert multiple inputs into multiple outputs [70]. The single objective of this paper is to determine the product mix for the maximum profit through ABC’s accurate cost calculation according to the cost structure, price, and resource constraints of each product. Therefore, it is slightly different from the purpose of using DEA and can be listed as a direction for future research.

6.2.3. Cap-and-Trade Issue

With the rapid development of human economic activities, carbon emissions have been further aggravated. In recent decades, the global temperature has risen much faster than in previous periods; therefore, reducing carbon emissions is an important issue for the world’s governments. Many governments have developed policies to regulate carbon emissions. The European Union Emissions Trading Scheme (EU ETS), as established in 2005, actively promotes cap-and-trade regulations. Therefore, companies must make a tradeoff between spending in the carbon market and reducing carbon emissions. At the same time, the Eurobarometer survey found that nearly 50% of consumers have a preference for low-carbon products and are willing to pay higher prices to buy low-priced products [71]. Based on the above background, the impacts of cap-and-trade regulations and consumers’ preference for low-carbon products during business decision-making is the direction of future research.

In addition, governments in various countries have been seeking many policies to reduce carbon emissions, and integrated carbon taxes and carbon trading are considered to be the most effective regulatory mechanisms. The government determines the mechanism of carbon taxes and the quota allocation for carbon emissions and pursues social welfare as its biggest goal. Enterprises, on the other hand, consider the cost of carbon emissions between carbon taxes and carbon trading, and determine their output and sales volume under the goal of maximizing profits [72]. Therefore, how to use the carbon tax and carbon trading model structure to strike a balance between environmental protection and enterprise development, in order that both parties are satisfied, is also a direction for future studies.
6.2.4. The Cost of Stopping Due to Problems in Machines

The tangible losses caused by machine failure and shutdown roughly include reduced production losses and losses due to poor quality, such as remanufacturing, scrapping, customer complaints, etc. While intangible losses include credit losses, reduced safety, low employee sentiment, etc.

This shows the importance of preventive diagnosis and maintenance of machines. Therefore, this study specifically proposes the use of related technologies developed by Industry 4.0 to implement status monitoring [60] in Section 5.1, in order to reduce the machine failure rate and improve production performance. However, since the relevant sensors for production data collection have not yet been fully installed in Company P, it is difficult to calculate the cost of these actual losses in detail, which is a limitation of this study. The impact of machine downtime on production costs can be incorporated into future research models.

6.2.5. The Cost of the Periodic Maintenance of the Machines

Regarding the periodic maintenance of machines; in the past, general mechanical maintenance was performed on a regular basis through the estimated lifetime of the components according to intuitive experience. However, the service life of components, as estimated by intuition experience, is often inaccurate, and the data of the actual use time of parts is difficult to collect, which makes it difficult to conduct regular maintenance on machinery. Therefore, companies usually wait until a machine has a problem or fails to repair a fault, thus, in addition to the original maintenance costs (mainly including parts costs, labor costs, and downtime production loss), losses may also include huge quality failure costs. Now, through the development of Industry 4.0, companies can collect mechanical operating parameters through sensors, and diagnose production line equipment through the Internet of Things and big data analysis technology to reduce the chance of sudden equipment failure [73]. Generally, the estimated benefit can reduce Maintenance costs by 20–25%, eliminate unexpected downtime by 70–75%, and increase productivity by 20–25%, thus, it can be seen that the stability and normality of the production line equipment of the factory has deeply affected the competitiveness of the manufacturing industry. Therefore, this study specifically proposes the use of Industry 4.0 technology to implement status monitoring [74] in Section 5.1 to arouse the attention and interest of industry in promoting Industry 4.0. However, since the relevant sensors for mechanical operating parameters collection have not yet been fully installed in Company P, it is difficult to calculate the maintenance costs in detail, which is a limitation of this study. The cost-benefit analysis of using related technologies of Industry 4.0 for the periodic maintenance of machines is listed as the direction for future research.

6.2.6. The Application of Related Technologies Developed by Industry 4.0 in Environmental Pollution Prevention and Control

It is well known that carbon dioxide emissions and sewage discharge are the main causes of environmental pollution [75]. In the past, the Taiwan Environmental Protection Agency did not regularly assign auditors to factories to conduct the monitoring of carbon dioxide emissions and sewage discharge. However, due to insufficient manpower, the results were not significant. Now we can use sensors to sample in the environment of Industry 4.0, and then analyze and monitor the issues through the Internet of Things, big data, and cloud technology, and display the results for relevant management units in a timely manner to achieve the effects of saving manpower and real-time automatic monitoring. “The application of related technologies developed by Industry 4.0 in environmental pollution prevention and control” can be incorporated into future research.

6.2.7. The Model Validated against Real Data

Since Taiwan’s current carbon tax system is still under review by the Legislative Yuan, the case proposed by this study is based on the pre-determined carbon tax rate in its draft to try to calculate the possible impact. This is a limitation of this study. Other data, such as product prices, material prices,
labor costs, and mechanical depreciation, are the actual average data from the past six months. Due to the formal implementation of the carbon tax system in Taiwan, the impacts of carbon emission costs in this research model are included in the direction of future research.

6.2.8. The Detail Diagram of Circular Economy in Paper Industry

In recent years, the circular economy (CE) has received more and more attention around the world. CE promotes the use of closed-loop production models within the economy. Its purpose is to improve resource utilization efficiency, especially focusing on the recycling of industrial waste, to achieve a better balance and harmony between the economy, the environment and society [76]. Figure 3 presented in this study is the main process of the ABC cost calculation in the paper industry. In the future, the concept of a circular economy will be used to incorporate the cost-benefit analysis of energy improvement and waste recycling into the future research scope. Figure 9 shows a detailed diagram of the circular economy in the paper industry.

6.2.9. The Application of Related Technologies Developed by Industry 4.0

Industry 4.0 has become a hot topic in recent years, as it can be applied to various industries [66]. This study briefly introduces the role that Industry 4.0 can play in data collection and production control, thereby arousing the attention of Taiwanese industries to understand and apply related technologies developed by Industry 4.0, which is in its infancy in the paper industry. This study, adds “What Industry 4.0 can do for the paper industry” as a topic for future research.

7. Conclusions

As economic environments are changing rapidly, the prices of products and raw materials are volatile. In addition, as the government’s environmental laws and regulations have become more rigorous, the awareness of social responsibility has gradually increased, and these factors affect company costs and profits. Therefore, from the point of view of the enterprise, how to establish a suitable mathematical programming model for a production planning mechanism to deal with changes in business environments, and provide timely access to the optimal product-mix to obtain the highest profit are the most anticipated issues for managers.
The purpose of this paper is mainly to integrate the TOC constraints (such as direct labor constraints, available machine constraints, and direct material supply constraints) under the ABC costing system, and integrate piecewise linear functions to estimate direct labor costs and carbon dioxide emission costs. A mathematical programming model was developed and used to prepare a production plan with the optimal green product mix. An illustrative numerical example was used to illustrate the application of the model. This model allows companies to make timely production decisions for the optimal production mix, as based on the changes in the production environment and constraints (e.g., fluctuations in supply and price of production resources, changes in product market demand, adjustments to environmental regulations, etc.). This is the primary contribution of this research. In addition, this paper proposes the use of Industry 4.0-related technologies for the paper industry; for example, Industry 4.0 can be used in shop floor processes to monitor machine status and quality control. Its benefits actively cause the business community to understand and consider Industry 4.0, which promotes interest in Industry 4.0-related technology investments.

In the literature, there are some related research articles. For example, Tsai et al. (2012) used the mathematical programming model to make the best decisions for green production capacity expansion in for food industry [77], and Tsai et al. (2013) used the ABC method to accurately calculate environmental costs and proposed the production decision model math by using mathematical programming for the automotive industry [78]. Recher et al. [79] also used the ABC method to develop the Activity-based Emission (ABE) Analysis approach for measuring the carbon footprint of business processes. This research extended these research articles and Industry 4.0 to propose a green production and planning approach for the paper industry.

The research model of this study fully demonstrates the relationship between ABC, TOC, and Industry 4.0 in Section 2.6; while Section 6.1 details its management implications, which can be used as an important reference model for companies to improve their cost structure. This is the most important value of this research. Therefore, when enterprises use this model to measure their resource costs, carbon emission costs, and related resource constraints currently used, if the enterprise cannot obtain the profit it deserves, it means that their manufacturing costs are too high. At this time, the function of ABC’s resources tracing can be used to identify costly resources and inefficient processes or activities (including CO2 emissions), and then, improve them by various improvement methods (including Industry 4.0 related technologies), thus, gradually optimizing the optimal product mix, and finally, achieving the goal of obtaining the most profit.
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