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The physiology of thermogenesis in mitochondria (mediated by uncoupling protein, UCP) has traditionally been explained as the dissipation of proton gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane into heat. However, there are differences of opinion on how thermogenesis is achieved by UCPs and the mechanistic theories have not been correlated sufficiently with UCP’s structure. Recent experimental evidence suggests strong correlation of diffusible reactive oxygen species (DROS) with UCP-induced thermogenesis. Further, the mechanistic explanations of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (mOxPhos) were recently revamped with murburn concept, which considers DROS as an obligatory catalytic agent in mOxPhos. Herein, we propose that UCPs (aided by the large pore and positively charged amino acids of aqueous-phase loops) enable protonation and transport of DROS. Thus, UCP facilitates DROS-reactions amongst themselves, forming water and liberating heat around the inner mitochondrial membrane. Thereby, the simple murburn scheme for biothermogenesis integrates structural information of UCP with its attributed physiological function. Biomed Rev 2018; 29: 73-82
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INTRODUCTION
Brown adipose tissue (BAT) gets its brown color owing to the presence of large amounts of mitochondria, which are in turn thus colored owing to high amounts of heme-containing membrane proteins. In 1978, a ~32 KD protein was implicated in BAT mitochondrial heat generation (thermogenesis). This protein was called uncoupling protein (UCP) (1). Over time, other structural-functional homologs UCPs were discovered (UCP1, UCP2….UCP5), and they were also called mitochondrial anion carrier proteins (MACP). UCP1, also called thermogenin, is now understood to serve as the key player of thermogenesis in BAT. The uncoupling phenomenon mediated by UCPs is envisaged to pose key therapeutic potential and clinical implications in obesity (2), diabetes, and cancer (3). As per the explanations available in textbooks (4-6) and reviews (7-9), a proton gradient (or trans-membrane potential, that is otherwise metabolically harnessed to synthesize ATP) gets dissipated into heat generation, aided by the action of UCP. Very recently, some experimental works questioned...
the classical perception that free fatty acids were essential for UCP-mediated uncoupling (10-11). In recent times, concrete physiological evidences have also accrued for a diffusible reactive oxygen species (DROS)-based origin for thermogenesis (12-14). In parallel, by comparing to the microsomal xenobiotic metabolism (mXM) model (15), we had discredited the long-standing explanations for mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (mOXPhos) and proposed a murburn scheme (a newly coined term standing for “murbed/closed burning”, connoting a mild but unrestricted redox catalysis) to explain mOXPhos (16-18). Keeping the spectrum of such developments in perspective, we perform structure-function analyses of UCPs and propose that thermogenesis sponsored (in BAT) by UCP can be explained by the murburn scheme of mitochondrial metabolism.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Herein, only direct molecular mechanistic aspects are investigated and indirect/allosteric regulations, control by nucleotide binding, other metabolic/hormonal/neuronal controls and expression outcomes, etc. are not discussed. Since crystal structures of the native human UCPs are not yet available, a combination of approaches were used to derive structural insights of the trans-membrane helical segments and the coils/loops/strands in matrix/inter-membrane space. In one approach, the amino acid sequences of proteins were retrieved from Uniprot database and aligned using multiple alignment logics (Jalview, CLUSTAL, etc.) and the primary structures were also analyzed for trans-membrane helices/segments (TMH/TMS) identification through online servers (TMpred, TOPCONS, topPRED, PRED-TMR2, etc.). In another approach, the solution-state NMR-determined structure of recombinant murine UCP2 [2LCX (19), of residues 14-309 and a C-terminal His6 tag, with a probe-modified protein bound to GDP, solubilized within DNA nanotubes and phospholipids] was used as a template to derive structural homology models [using Swiss-Model (20) and Phyre2 (21)] of native human UCP1. In a third approach, I-TASSER (22) threading strategy was used for modeling human UCP1 structure from a library of proteins’ structures and the most suitable model was chosen. A consensus was derived from the three exercises above regarding the tentative location of the six TMS and their delineation from the strands/coils/loops on the matrix/inter-membrane space (IMS). This was also similar to the experimental model proposed by NMR-mapping and homology analyses of human UCP1 structure (23). Therefore, the packing/locations of the TMS and the intervening coils (of matrix and inter-membrane space) were determined with an acceptable level of confidence for human UCP1. The Phyre2 and I-TASSER models of structures of UCPs thus derived were compared to the non-transmembrane regions of other membrane channels like aquaporin (3GD8, 1JN4) and murine UCP2. The pdb files were viewed and plotted with Pymol/Chimera (24-25) and cavity analysis was done with CAVER/POCAS (26-27) with probe radius and grid size of 2 Å and 1 Å, respectively.

RESULTS AND ANALYSES

Figure 1 and Table 1 present a brief snapshot of the results obtained in the current study. A comparison of UCP(s) was made with other small membrane proteins, with 5-7 helices. It can be seen that the loops of matrix (and to some extent, the inter-membrane space) of UCP1 showed a preponderance of positively charged residues, lysine (K) and arginine (R). Further, the packing of the six helices in UCP(s) is rather “loose” and the enclosed channel/cavity dimension is far greater than a protein of similar size (with pore), aquaporin. While aquaporin could have a dimension of 3-5 Angstroms as the pore diameter, UCP1’s channel was approximately 3 to 5 times greater. The amino acids lining the coils in an anion-transport protein like ADP/ATP porter (1OKC) also showed an excess of lysine and arginine. However, the channel within this protein was smaller and hour-glass shaped (constriction in the middle of the TM region, results not shown).

DISCUSSION

Even though there are several mechanistic proposals for thermogenesis by UCP1, they can be broadly addressed (Fig. 2) under three models/types. In the fatty acid protonophore model (28-29), UCP does not deal with protons at all and only helps the free fatty acyl anion flip directionally from the matrix side to the inter-membrane side. Then, the protonated fatty acid flips from the inter-membrane side to the matrix-side on its own. In the proton buffering model (30-31), UCP1 acts as a proton carrier (directly or indirectly), assisted by the fatty acid. In these proposals, a UCP-bound fatty acyl group helps in swinging the proton from the IMS (inter-membrane space) to the matrix side. In the symporter model (32), the proton is transported along with the fatty acid through the pore of UCP. Essentially, all the three UCP-sponsored thermogenesis classical mechanisms obligatorily require fatty acids and thermogenesis results owing to the movement of inter-membrane space (IMS) protons (that were originally expelled by the purported ETC-proton pumps). That is, a matrix-ward movement of IMS protons is facilitated by the UCP and this process undoes the built-up
Figure 1: Images for the structural bioinformatics studies of UCP. (A) Protter plot of consensus secondary structure of human UCP1. Lysine and Arginine residues are shaded, and the helical/loop residues demarcated. (B) Comparison of matrix and IMS views of Phyre\textsuperscript{2} cartoon structure of UCP1. The Lys and Arg residues are shown with blue and red stick depictions. (C) A juxtaposition of the I-TASSER model of UCP1 (red) with the NMR-derived structure of murine UCP2 (blue) shows that the helical and extra-membrane loops are conserved. (D) Matrix views of the channels of Phyre\textsuperscript{2} model of UCP1 and crystal structure of aquaporin. The average pore diameter of UCP1 is clearly much larger than that of aquaporin. (E) The lateral views of the Phyre\textsuperscript{2} and I-TASSER models of UCP1 are compared with aquaporin’s channel (shown as grey surface) on the right.
Table 1: Analysis of loop/coil amino acids and cavity of UCP, with respect to controls.

| No. | Protein                                      | Pore Volume (Å³)* | Charged amino acids on coils/loops | Charged amino acids on coils/loops |
|-----|----------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|     | (Amino acids, TM helices)                     | POCASA, CAVER     | Matrix (+ve, -ve)                 | IMS (+ve, -ve)                    |
| 1   | Murine mitochondrial translocator (2MGY) (169, 5) | No pore           | K39, R103, R161, R162, R166, E169 | E3, R128 (1, 1)                   |
|     |                                              |                   | (5, 1)                            |                                   |
| 2   | Human A2A receptor (3PWH) (329, 7)            | 392 (conical), na | E212 (0, 1)                       | D261 (0, 1)                       |
| 3   | Bovine aquaporin (1J4N) (271, 6+2)           | 439, 509          | K40, D50, D123, D210 (1, 3)       |                                   |
|     |                                              |                   | E4, D160, R161, R162, R163, R164, |                                   |
|     |                                              |                   | D165, R236, D239, D242, R243, K245|                                   |
| 4   | Human aquaporin (3GD8) (223, 6+2)            | 402, 366          | R108, K109, D179, D181, D182, D184| E63, K64, D69, D228 (1, 3)       |
|     |                                              |                   | (2, 4)                            |                                   |
| 5   | Human UCP1 (SwissModel) (307, 6)             | 5209, na          | R54, K56, R71, K151, R153, K249, K257, K269 (8, 0) | K107, K204, D210, D211, K293, R294, E295, K298, R300, D304 (6, 4) |
| 6   | Human UCP1 (Phyre²) (307, 6)                 | 5534, na          | R40, R54, K56, K151, R153, K249, K269 (9, 0) | K107, K204, D210, D211, K293, R294, E295, K298, R300, D304 (6, 4) |
| 7   | Human UCP1 (I-TASSER) (307, 6)               | 4050, na          | R40, R54, K56, R71, K151, R153, K249, K257, K269 (7, 0) | D8, K107, D210, D211, K204, K293, R294, E295, R300, D304 (5, 5) |
| 8   | Murine UCP2 (2LCK) (303, 6)                  | 4930, na          | E46, R52, R60, R149, R154, R155, R262, K263, E264 (7, 2) | K109, E112, K206, D212, D213, R305, E306 (3, 4) |

*Assuming a grossly cylindrical pore for a phospholipid membrane 30 nm thick, a mean diameter of 4-5 Å gives a hydrodynamic pore volume of 375-590 Å³ for aquaporin. Assuming a mean diameter of 13-15 Å, UCP1 would have a pore volume of 4000-5300 Å³. This means that UCP’s channel volume is approximately 10 times aquaporin’s, implying a much higher flux density across the UCP.

proton-gradient (or trans-membrane potential), and in turn, this “potential dissipation” manifests as heat (Figure 2, step 3).
All the three classical mechanistic models for UPC-mediated thermogenesis counter key aspects of mitochondrial physiology and thermodynamics. The oxidation of NADH/succinate mediated by the inner-membrane proteins of mitochondria would not involve matrix to IMS proton-pumping because mitochondria lack the required amount of protons (16-17). Therefore, a proton-pump based potential gradient cannot be built from a starting state and cannot operate in a steady state. Even if proton pumps were to be involved in mitochondria, the outward pumping process would be exothermic (as oxidation of NADH is exothermic), which must directly imply that the inward movement across the same membrane-system must be endothermic. So, a purported inward movement of the pumped-out inter-membrane space protons, generating heat, is not thermodynamically feasible. Chemically, the outcomes (disconnection of ATP-synthesis from NADH-oxidation, with the concomitant generation of heat) mediated by UCP are quite similar to what results from the purported flip-flop motions that dinitrophenol (DNP) makes (as an uncoupler) in mitochondrial membrane. If mitochondrial membrane was impervious to protons (a small unit positive charge), it is improbable that the membrane would be freely permeable to dinitrophenolate ion, which is a much larger molecule with three negative and two positive charges. The surface of inner mitochondrial membrane is highly dense with negative charges, owing to the preponderance of cardiolipin. So, a spontaneous or directional flip-flop movement of nitrophenolate anion (and in analogy, fatty acyl anion) across the membrane is infeasible. Yet, DNP
is known to uncouple NADH-oxidation from ATP-synthesis, leading to thermogenesis. Since there is little within the chemical structures of DNP/UCP that is capable of generating heat by its own merit, the mechanism of thermogenesis would not depend on sophisticated structural aspect of the membrane or trans-membrane potential. Therefore, it is reasonable to deduce that the cause for thermogenesis must primarily lie in mitochondrial metabolism and how DNP/UCP affects the dynamics of the metabolic process.

Recently, the classical mechanism(s) has been countered by the observation that UCP-mediated uncoupling was observed in BAT even without lipolysis (10-11). So, it is imperative to think beyond the classical paradigms of uncoupling mediated by UCP. In the last five years, experimental research has opened up new perspectives towards the roles of ROS in mitochondrial metabolism. Recent experimental works with the BAT in vivo systems have provided substantial evidence that thermogenesis occurs through DROS dynamics (12-14). DROS is a key causative agent that leads to heat production in brown adipose tissue in methamphetamine induced hyperthermia models (33). In experimental studies, it was shown that UCP knock-out mice suffered from elevated levels of oxidative stress in cold (34). These studies demonstrate that DROS dynamics is obligatorily connected to physiological thermogenesis. [Earlier experimental evidence also indicates the interaction of ROS with fatty acids and UCP (35). UCP 2 and 3 are supposedly involved in ROS control and mild uncoupling is supposed to prevent ROS production (36). Evidence for activation of proton conductance by ROS-associated roles of UCP was also documented (37).]

The ability of charged amino-acids to modulate DROS (conversion of superoxide to peroxide or vice versa) has been clearly established in redox-active membrane proteins. It was found in an NADPH oxidase enzyme that deletion of a loop or even

![Figure 2: The various classical models of UCP-mediated thermogenesis in mitochondria:](image)

*[For details of mechanism, please refer text.]*
the mutation of a single histidine residue or synthetic peptides with positive amino acids could alter the DROS-modulating ability of membrane-bound protein (38-40). An analogy from the mXM system is very useful to understand the uncoupling by UCP in mOxPhos. It was observed in the mXM system that when the N-term segment (rich in positively-charged residues) of flavoenzyme reductase was truncated and left within the reaction milieu, high levels of uncoupling/inhibition of cytochrome P450 (CYP) mediated reactions were noted. We had reasoned that this resulted because the N-term segment is capable of DROS modulation, leading to lowered lifetime of the catalytically useful superoxide. Otherwise, the intact N-term segment served as an anchor for the protein within the phospholipid membrane, a feature which enabled enhanced catalysis by the CYP because membrane anchoring and proximity to reductase would enable efficient harnessing of DROS (41). Analogously, as shown in this study, the coils (in matrix and IMS) of UCP harbor lysine-arginine rich residues and coupled with the large trans-membrane channel, these proteins could affect the bulk DROS-dynamics within the mitochondrial system. There is no direct chemico-physical evidence or structural correlation for how trans-membrane proton movement (which is expected to occur everywhere in cells!) could lead to ATP-synthesis or heat generation. However, the simple chemical reaction involving DROS are well-known to generate heat and DROS-dynamics can also be correlated to UCP structure. We have already demonstrated that superoxide could aid ATP synthesis (18). Therefore, the murburn model of uncoupling, as shown in Figure 3 could help explain the phenomenon of heat generation in BAT. The wide pore of UCP could effectively serve as (aquated) proton and/or DROS high flux-density conduit and the positively charged amino acids lining the UCP channel could help protonate a DROS like superoxide. Recent studies have revealed that UCPs can serve as generic anion transporters (42). In turn, this would facilitate more radicals reacting among themselves (leading to water formation) on both sides of the inner membrane, consequently generating heat. (Since the IMS is cramped and proton availability is high, heat generation would be efficient.) Fatty acids are interface-active agents that would be expected to affect the dynamics of DROS in a concentration-dependent manner, which could explain the differences that prevailed in the field. Since the transient concentration of the negatively charged superoxide goes down in the matrix owing to the uncoupling process, the trans-membrane potential is also diminished as a consequence. An analogy is again relevant here from the mXM system- interfacial DROS-scavengers/ modulators (dihalophenolics and amphipathic vitamins/derivates, respectively akin to DNP and fatty acid) were misunderstood to be active-site inhibitors of CYP enzymes (analogous to the “proton-potential” of mOxPhos). We had shown that such molecules affected the DROS dynamics at the phospholipid interface, where CYP and the flavoenzyme NADPH-oxidase were co-localized (15, 41, 43-46). Therefore, the effects of DNP-mediated uncoupling and thermogenesis in mOxPhos is explained by the DROS-modulation ability (not proton-potential dissipation!) of membrane bound DNP.

The critical dependence of rates and energetics on protons can be well understood by considering the disproportionation and one-electron redox equilibrium of a key element of murburn scheme- superoxide. Some reactions are shown in Box 1 below; to highlight the concepts of “proton-influenced” kinetics/thermodynamics and DROS interactive chemistry involved (47-50).

As seen, protons play a critical role in several steps and affect both the thermodynamic and kinetic aspects (and this also explains the historical confusions regarding proton involvement). Superoxide is the key agent for the initiation of the oxygen-centric murburn mOxPhos scheme. Although superoxide production would be independent of protons, superoxide activity (for ATP-synthesis) would be critically dependent on protons because its protonated species (hydroperoxyl radical) is known to be many times more (re)active than superoxide itself. If the superoxide gets protonated near the membrane, it would be easily lost to Haber-Weiss chemistry in the aqueous milieu (leading to the liberation of heat energy). Therefore, murburn scheme explains the wide-bore channel and the extensive distribution of charged amino acids on the loops of UCP, both of which were unaccounted by earlier mechanistic proposals. The double membrane structure of mitochondria can now be seen a feature that enables a “mild and unrestricted” burning within the vicinity of the organelle’s inner membrane. Since it is known that NADH oxidation is channelized into ATP synthesized + heat generated, the findings herein serve as support for the obligatory involvement of DROS in the mOxPhos scheme (18). Further, the ideas espoused herein explain why homeotherms show enhanced oxygen consumption at lower ambient temperatures for a given physiological activity (example- ducks diving for fishing) (51). From the analyses above, it is fortieth to accept that a steady-state population of Haber-Weiss reactions (of DROS reacting among themselves) would also occur independently or be mediated via several other proteins/channels around the vicinity of the inner mitochondrial membrane, thereby explaining the background thermogenesis in cells.
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Figure 3: The murburn scheme for UCP-aided thermogenesis in mitochondria: There are two modalities of uncoupling and heat generation—one is by matrix-ward inundation of protons and the other is via IMS-ward movement of DROS. Since the intermembrane space is compacted, the probability of DROS reactions is higher, within a unit time, thereby generating heat (which is conserved by the lipid bilayers).

Box 1
Proton-involvement in superoxide dismutation kinetics:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HO}_2^- + \text{HO}_2^* &\rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \quad [k = 1 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \text{ (acidic)}] \\
\text{HO}_2^- + \text{O}_2^* (+ \text{H}^+) &\rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \quad [k = 1 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \text{ (pH = 4.8)}] \\
\text{O}_2^*^- + \text{O}_2^*^- (+ 2\text{H}^+) &\rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \quad [k \leq 3 \times 10^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \text{ (alkaline)}]
\end{align*}
\]

Proton-involvement in the reduction of oxygen:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O}_2 + \text{H}^+ + e^- &\rightarrow \text{HO}_2^- [-50/120 \text{ mV (acidic, pH < 4.8)}] \\
\text{O}_2 + e^- &\rightarrow \text{O}_2^- [-330/-160 \text{ mV (neutral or alkaline)}]
\end{align*}
\]

Proton-involvement for the reduction of superoxide:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HO}_2^- + \text{H}^+ + e^- &\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + [1440 \text{ mV (acidic, pH < 4.8)}] \\
\text{O}_2^- + 2\text{H}^+ + e^- &\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + [890 \text{ mV (neutral)}] \\
\text{O}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- &\rightarrow \text{HO}_2^- + \text{OH}^- [+200 \text{ mV (alkaline, pH >11.5)}]
\end{align*}
\]

Examples of reactions leading to water:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{^*OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 &\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2^- + \text{H}^+ \quad [k = 3 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}] \\
\text{^*OH} + \text{OH}^- &\rightarrow \text{O}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad [k = 1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}] \\
\text{^*OH} + [\text{O}_2^- + (\text{H}^+)] &\rightarrow [\text{OH}^- + (\text{H}^+)] + \text{O}_2 \quad [k = 8 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}]
\end{align*}
\]

Examples of ROS interactive dynamics:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{^*OH} + \text{O}^- &\rightarrow \text{HO}_2^- \quad [k \leq 2 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}] \\
\text{^*O}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{^*OH} + \text{OH}^- \quad [k = 2 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}] \\
\text{^*OH} + \text{^*OH} &\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \quad [k = 1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}]
\end{align*}
\]

Example of ROS interacting with other species in milieu:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NAD(H)}^+ + \text{O}_2 &\rightarrow \text{NAD}^+ + (\text{H})\text{O}_2^- \quad [k \approx 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}]
\end{align*}
\]
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