Study of soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nose after backward movement of the maxilla in orthognathic surgery
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Abstract (J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2020;46:385-392)

Objectives: This study evaluates soft tissue changes of the upper lip and nose after maxillary setback with orthognathic surgery such as Le Fort I or anterior segmental osteotomy.

Materials and Methods: All 50 patients with bimaxillary protrusion and skeletal Class II malocclusion underwent Le Fort I or anterior segmental osteotomy with backward movement. Soft and hard tissue changes were analyzed using cephalograms collected preoperatively and 6 months postoperatively.

Results: Cluster analysis on the ratios shows that 2 lines intersected at 4 mm point. Based on this point, we divided the subjects into 2 groups: Group A (less than 4 mm, 27 subjects) and Group B (more than 4 mm, 23 subjects). Also, each group was divided according to changes of upper incisor angle (≥4°=A1, B1 or <4°=A2, B2). The correlation between A and B groups for A'/ANS and Ls/Is (P<0.001) was significant; A'/A (P=0.002), PRN/A (P=0.043), PRN/ANS (P=0.032), and St/Is (P=0.010). Variation of nasolabial angle between the two groups was not significant. There was no significant correlation of vertical movement and angle variation.

Conclusion: The ratio of soft tissue to hard tissue movement depends on the amount of posterior movement in the maxilla, showing approximately two times higher rates in most of the midface when posterior movement was greater than 4 mm. The soft tissue changes caused by posterior movement of the maxilla were little affected by angular changes of upper incisors. Interestingly, nasolabial angle showed a different tendency between A and B groups and was more affected by incisal angular changes when horizontal posterior movement was less than 4 mm.
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I. Introduction

Correction of facial deformities by orthognathic surgery aims to restore normal functions and appearance of the oral and maxillofacial region. Facial profile changes after surgery are the first visual indicator of successful results and, thus, one of the critical determinants of patient satisfaction. Therefore, accurate prediction of changes in soft tissue in response to hard tissue movement is essential in order to achieve patient satisfaction with aesthetic outcomes.

The nasolabial region of the midface is essential in determining facial aesthetics, and it can be significantly affected by skeletal movement related to maxillary surgery such as Le Fort I or segmental osteotomy. Nevertheless, it is difficult to predict soft tissue movement after orthognathic surgery due to the various factors involved in soft tissue movement.

Research on soft tissue changes after orthognathic surgery has been increasing with the need for a more accurate prediction of postoperative outcomes. Hack et al. showed that the ratio of soft tissue to hard tissue movement following Le Fort I surgery approached 1:1 over a long-term period. However, Betts et al. argued that soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nasolabial area are directly affected by adjacent soft tissue and skeletal muscles, and concluded that it is difficult to determine the ratio of movement due to the various factors involved.

There have been numerous studies on soft tissue changes...
after maxillary surgical movement, reporting soft to hard tissue ratios ranging from 0.33:1 to 0.9:1. Moreover, another study mainly focused on soft-tissue changes in the upper lip and nose responding to anterior or superior movement of the maxilla. However, posterior movement of the maxilla through orthognathic surgery has been steadily increasing to optimize facial aesthetics. Thus, soft tissue changes related to posterior movement of the maxilla should be further investigated.

Several research methods have been introduced to predict soft tissue changes associated with facial bone movement. Lateral cephalometric radiography, cephalometric prediction with video images, and three-dimensional computerized tomography are commonly used in clinical settings. Lateral cephalometric radiography is generally considered a reliable tool for evaluating pre- and postsurgical measurements and is widely utilized for treatment planning and evaluation. Yitschaky et al. demonstrated that the difference in 2D and 3D images for linear or ratio measurement was not significant.

In this study, we sought to analyze postoperative changes in soft tissue by lateral cephalometric radiography among patients, measuring the ratio of soft tissue change to the corresponding maxillary posterior movement. Moreover, we investigated correlations between the soft tissue changes and influencing factors that could increase the accuracy of predicted outcomes of orthognathic surgery.

II. Materials and Methods

1. Subjects

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kyung Hee University Dental Hospital (No. KHD IRB 1311-1), and the informed consent was waived.

The subjects comprised 50 patients (10 males, 40 females) diagnosed with bimaxillary protrusion or skeletal class II malocclusion who underwent orthognathic surgery such as Le Fort I osteotomy or maxillary anterior segmental osteotomy between February 2010 and October 2013 at Kyung Hee University Dental Hospital. The age of patients ranged from 19 to 33 years at the time of surgery. We only included patients who underwent maxillary orthognathic surgery with backward movement of the maxilla parallel to the horizontal reference line based on the A point. Other surgical techniques such as rotation and impaction were included as long as the overall direction of maxilla was posterior. Changes in midfacial soft tissue after maxillary rotational movement could be evaluated by measuring the vertical and horizontal linear movement of bone and angular changes of incisors. Postoperative follow-up was conducted over a period of at least six months and confirmed that no complications or relapses occurred that required surgical intervention. The patients in this study underwent postoperative orthodontic treatment and we measured linear and angular movement of various landmarks on the anterior maxillary teeth and bone including orthodontic movement 6 months postoperative.

Table 1. Two-dimensional landmarks for orthognathic surgery

| Hard tissue reference | Abbreviation | Landmark         | Definition                                                                 |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ANS                   | ANS          | Anterior nasal spine | Protrusion of the maxilla at the base of the nose                         |
| N                     | Nasion       | The midpoint of the frontonasal suture |
| S                     | Sella        | Position of deepest concavity on the anterior profile of the maxilla |
| A                     | A point      | The most prominent point on the maxillary incisor                       |
| Is                    | Incisor superius | The incisal tip of the maxillary incisor                            |
| Soft tissue reference | PRN          | Pronasale         | Most anterior point of the nose tip                                        |
| Sn                    | Subnasale    | Point at which the nasal septum merges with the upper cutaneous lip in the midsagittal plane |
| A’                   | Soft tissue A point | Deepest point on the upper lip                                         |
| Ls                    | Labrale superius | Most anterior point of the upper lip                                   |
| St                    | Stomion superius | Most inferior point of the upper lip                                |

Measurement

| Upper incisor angle | Angles between HRL and the upper central incisor axis |
| Nasolabial angle   | Angle formed by a line tangent to the columella through the subnasale and by a line tangent to the upper lip |
| Distance from VRL  | Horizontal changes of the hard and soft tissue according to movement of the maxilla |
| Distance from HRL  | Vertical changes of the hard and soft tissue according to movement of the maxilla |

(VRL: vertical reference line, HRL: horizontal reference line)
2. Methods

1) Lateral cephalometric radiographs and measurements
Lateral cephalometric radiographs were taken within one month preoperatively (T0) and six months postoperatively (T1) using CX 90SP (Asahi, Tokyo, Japan) in the natural head position with centric occlusion and centric relation. Lateral cephalometric radiography was obtained by a single operator who performed measurement using the V-ceph program (ver. 4.0; Cybermed, Seoul, Korea). The analysis of lateral cephalometric radiographs was performed by an oral and maxillofacial surgeon and a third-year resident using the Legan and Burstone cephalometric analysis introduced by Burstone et al.\textsuperscript{14} and Moore\textsuperscript{15} in late 1970s. For consistency between examiners, the two examiners gathered and consulted in advance and this analysis was conducted once every two weeks for 4 weeks. A horizontal line drawn $7^\circ$ clockwise from the Sella-Nasion (SN) plane through the sella was used as the horizontal reference line (HRL), and a vertical line perpendicularly drawn from the sella to the HRL was used as the vertical reference line. After soft and hard tissue landmarks in the maxilla were identified, horizontal and vertical distances and angles from the reference line were measured. The reference lines and landmarks used in this study are listed below. (Table 1, Fig. 1, 2)

2) Statistical analysis
Data analyses were performed with independent t-test and Mann–Whitney U test using IBM SPSS (ver. 20; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Cluster analysis was also conducted by measuring the amount of hard tissue movement from landmark A to A’ and by evaluating the ratio of soft tissue movement in response to hard tissue movement. A normality test was performed to determine parametric and non-parametric methods. Mann–Whitney U test and independent t-test were used as the non-parametric and parametric tests in this study to determine the correlation between groups, respectively. The significance level was set to $P<0.05$.

III. Results

1. Cluster analysis of soft and hard tissue changes
We performed cluster analysis based on horizontal changes of A’ on soft tissue according to the amount of horizontal, posterior movement of reference point A on hard tissue, and the amount of soft tissue movement to hard tissue movement ratio. We found two lines with different slopes intersected near 4 mm of hard tissue movement (x-axis), and therefore additionally divided subjects into two groups: Less than 4 mm (Group A, 27 subjects) and more than 4 mm (Group B, 23 subjects). (Fig. 3) Each group was further divided into two
subgroups to evaluate the effects of incisal angular changes on the soft tissue: a subgroup with changes in upper incisor angle (≥4°, Group A1: 12 subjects, 5.38±3.51; Group B1: 8 subjects, 9.38±3.93) and a subgroup with few changes (<4°, Group A2: 15 subjects; B2: 15 subjects).

2. A comparison of soft tissue change to hard tissue movement ratios in Group A and Group B

1) Horizontal movement

Our results show that there were statistically significant soft tissue changes in A’ according to hard tissue changes in A, with a mean ratio of 0.2:1 in Group A and 0.4:1 in Group B with a P-value of 0.002.* (Table 2) The ratio of PRN to A changes was 0.3:1 in Group A and 0.3:1 in Group B with a P-value of 0.043. The mean ratio of A’ to ANS was also statistically significant at 0.3:1 in Group A and 0.5:1 in Group

| Ratio (ST/HT) | Group A | Group B | P-value |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|
| ΔA’/ΔA       | 0.226   | 0.420   | 0.002*  |
| ΔSN/ΔA       | 0.298   | 0.331   | 0.369   |
| ΔPRN/ΔΔA     | 0.298   | 0.312   | 0.043*  |
| ΔA’/ΔANS     | 0.288   | 0.469   | 0.001** |
| ΔSn/ΔANS     | 0.398   | 0.367   | 0.097   |
| ΔPRN/ΔANS    | 0.348   | 0.354   | 0.032*  |
| ΔLs/ΔLa      | 0.729   | 0.895   | 0.156   |
| ΔSt/ΔIs      | 1.169   | 1.119   | 0.510   |
| ΔLs/ΔIs      | 0.516   | 0.972   | 0.000** |
| ΔSt/ΔIs      | 0.868   | 1.196   | 0.010*  |
| ΔNasolabial angle | −8.500 | −6.363 | 0.346   |

(Δ: change between pre and postoperative measurements, SD: standard deviation)

*P<0.05, **P<0.001 on independent t-test or Mann–Whitney U test, respectively.

Refer to Table 1 for the definition of landmarks.
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Table 3. Ratios of soft tissue (ST) to hard tissue (HT) vertical movement in groups A and B

| Ratio (ST/HT) | Group A | Group B | P-value |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|
| ΔA’/ΔA       | 0.994   | 0.111   | 0.360   |
| ΔSn/ΔA       | −0.540  | 0.450   | 0.622   |
| ΔPRN/ΔΔA     | 0.647   | 0.122   | 0.390   |
| ΔA’/ΔANS     | 0.315   | −0.5545 | 0.211   |
| ΔSn/ΔANS     | −0.2143 | −0.9833 | 0.805   |
| ΔPRN/ΔANS    | 0.3881  | 0.3333  | 0.767   |
| ΔLs/ΔLa      | 0.1720  | −0.1360 | 0.182   |
| ΔSt/ΔLa      | 0.2042  | 0.2408  | 0.852   |
| ΔLs/Δls      | 0.0641  | −1.0618 | 0.914   |
| ΔSt/Δls      | 0.2235  | 0.1291  | 0.307   |

(Δ: change between pre and postoperative measurements, SD: standard deviation)

P-values were calculated using independent t-test or Mann–Whitney U test according to normality of the data.

Refer to Table 1 for the definition of landmarks.
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B with a P-value of 0.001. The ratio of labrale superius (Ls) to incisor superius (Is) changes was approximately 0.5:1 in Group A and 1.0:1 in Group B with a P-value of less than 0.001. The mean ratio for stomion superius (St) to Is change was 0.9:1 and 1.2:1 in groups A and B, respectively (P=0.010). There were no significant changes in nasolabial angle in relation to the horizontal movement of hard tissue in the maxilla. On the other hand, the ratio of Sn to A change, Sn to ANS change, Ls to incisor anterius (Ia) changes, and St to Ia changes showed no statistical significance with a P-value of more than 0.05.

2) Vertical movement

There was no significant difference in vertical movement of soft tissue in response to posterior movement of hard tissue (Table 3).

### IV. Discussion

Predicting accurate treatment outcomes considering adjacent soft tissue movement is important, particularly when planning of orthognathic surgery for patients with skeletal malocclusion. However, facial soft tissue is a complex structure interconnected with facial bones through a continually

**Table 4.** Ratio of soft tissue (ST) to hard tissue (HT) horizontal movement according to incisal angle variation in Group A

| Ratio (ST/HT) | Subgroup A1 | Subgroup A2 | P-value |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| ΔA'/ΔA      | Mean       | SD          | Mean   | SD  |       |
|             | 0.182      | 0.314       | 0.285  | 0.294 | 0.188 |
| ΔSn/ΔA      | 0.286      | 0.331       | 0.313  | 0.314 | 0.770 |
| ΔPRN/ΔA     | 0.354      | 0.747       | 0.222  | 0.320 | 0.938 |
| ΔA'/ΔANS    | 0.184      | 0.368       | 0.426  | 0.575 | 0.086 |
| ΔSn/ΔANS    | 0.403      | 0.590       | 0.392  | 0.554 | 0.716 |
| ΔPRN/ΔANS   | 0.318      | 0.539       | 0.389  | 0.633 | 0.918 |
| ΔLs/ΔIa     | 0.799      | 0.618       | 0.635  | 0.479 | 0.451 |
| ΔSt/ΔIa     | 1.313      | 0.867       | 0.976  | 0.473 | 0.261 |
| ΔLs/ΔIs     | 0.490      | 0.373       | 0.551  | 0.340 | 0.658 |
| ΔSt/ΔIs     | 0.907      | 0.536       | 0.816  | 0.316 | 0.606 |
| ΔNasolabial angle | −6.188 | 3.674 | −11.583 | 7.391 | 0.017* |

(Δ: change between pre and postoperative measurements, SD: standard deviation)

*P<0.05 on independent t-test or Mann–Whitney U test according to normality of the data.

Refer to Table 1 for the definition of landmarks.

**Table 5.** Ratios of soft tissue (ST) to hard tissue (HT) horizontal movement according to incisal angle variation on Group B

| Ratio (ST/HT) | Subgroup B1 | Subgroup B2 | P-value |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| ΔA'/ΔA      | Mean       | SD          | Mean   | SD  |       |
|             | 0.432      | 0.158       | 0.400  | 0.078 | 0.593 |
| ΔSn/ΔA      | 0.348      | 0.146       | 0.302  | 0.087 | 0.428 |
| ΔPRN/ΔA     | 0.320      | 0.139       | 0.300  | 0.105 | 0.731 |
| ΔA'/ΔANS    | 0.474      | 0.126       | 0.459  | 0.077 | 0.486 |
| ΔSn/ΔANS    | 0.377      | 0.118       | 0.348  | 0.101 | 0.564 |
| ΔPRN/ΔANS   | 0.361      | 0.158       | 0.343  | 0.103 | 0.973 |
| ΔLs/ΔIa     | 0.971      | 0.140       | 0.763  | 0.263 | 0.066 |
| ΔSt/ΔIa     | 1.147      | 0.137       | 1.071  | 0.410 | 0.336 |
| ΔLs/ΔIs     | 1.127      | 0.335       | 0.701  | 0.290 | 0.007* |
| ΔSt/ΔIs     | 1.315      | 0.328       | 0.988  | 0.442 | 0.115 |
| ΔNasolabial angle | −7.429 | 2.533 | −5.250 | 3.105 | 0.089 |

(Δ: change between pre and postoperative measurements, SD: standard deviation)

*P<0.05 on independent t-test or Mann–Whitney U test according to normality of the data.

Refer to Table 1 for the definition of landmarks.
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changing interplay, making it difficult to accurately predict surgery outcomes. Moreover, soft tissues adjust to repositioned facial bones as time goes on, taking from 6 months up to several years to stabilize\textsuperscript{11,16}. Dann et al.\textsuperscript{6} and Stella et al.\textsuperscript{11} reported that the soft tissues stabilized after six months, showing consistent results with other studies\textsuperscript{5,6,11,17,18}. Therefore, we measured and analyzed lateral cephalometric radiographs taken at baseline and at six months postoperative.

Previous studies found that soft tissue changes following Le Fort I occur mainly in the upper lip and nose and investigated soft tissue changes responding to maxillary movement at different angles\textsuperscript{8,19-22}. Although posterior movement of the anterior maxilla using Le Fort I is well established\textsuperscript{23,24}, Le Fort I involving movement of the entire maxilla is poorly understood, as the majority of studies only focused on the measurement of soft tissue distances responding to maxillary movement\textsuperscript{5,9,10}). Chew\textsuperscript{5} reported a ratio of ANS horizontal movement to nasal tip of 1:0.35, and an upper central incisor to upper lip ratio of 1:0.84. Park and Hwang\textsuperscript{25} described an upper incisor to upper lip ratio in posterior movement of the maxilla by anterior segmental osteotomy of 1:0.67. Several studies reported various rates to soft tissue changes to maxilla movement\textsuperscript{26,27,28}. Hence, our study focused on changes in the nasolabial soft tissue, especially after backward movement of the maxilla, and also analyzed soft to hard tissue ratios according to the amount of posterior movement of the maxilla.

We evaluated the nasolabial soft tissue responses to hard tissue changes by measuring changes on lateral cephalometric radiographs. The results showed that significant differences in soft tissue change corresponded to hard tissue movement. Cluster analyses were carried out on soft tissue changes in A' and ratios responding to the changes in the A point, dividing the data into two groups with significant differences in postsurgical outcomes. The data of these two groups were plotted on the xy-plane relative to hard tissue movement (x-axis) and soft tissue changes (y-axis). The linear regression lines of the two sets of data intersected in near 4 mm, representing hard tissue movement, that led us to classify two groups into less than 4 mm (Group A) and more than 4 mm (Group B) of movement.

The ratio of postsurgical changes in Ls to Is and St to Is changes indicates significant soft tissue change differences between the two groups in reference to the maxillary central incisor. The ratio of A point to A’ point in Group A and Group B are consistent with previous studies on ratios of soft tissue changes responding to hard tissue movement in the anterior or superior directions, which ranged from 0.33:1 to 0.9:1\textsuperscript{3,6,7,29}. Dann et al.\textsuperscript{6} reported ratios of ΔLs to ΔIs after anterior movement of the maxilla of 0.5:1, while Mansour et al.\textsuperscript{5} reported a value of 0.62:1, which are both consistent with the current results for Group A. Soft tissue changes after anterior maxillary movement mainly occur in the nasal area, showing a similar pattern after posterior movement. The upper lip region could be rotated and horizontally advanced, responding to the amount of maxillary incisal movement. Alar cinch suture and V-Y vestibular closure are used to prevent widening of the nasal base and thinning of the upper lip and could improve surgery outcomes after maxillary movement\textsuperscript{30,31}. However, there is controversy over this finding in posterior movement of the maxilla, and many studies excluded the Alar cinch suture and V-Y vestibular closure as an influencing factor\textsuperscript{32}. In this study, we did not consider nasolabial suture methods as an influencing factor.

In this study, patients were divided into groups according to the amount of hard tissue movement. We found that soft tissue responses varied between groups. The change rate of the soft tissue of the nasal tip and upper lip region in Group B was approximately twice the rate in Group A, suggesting this finding as a guideline when considering the amount of posterior movement of the maxilla to obtain the desired soft tissue changes during orthognathic surgery.

Movement of the maxilla affects the nasolabial tissue according to the direction and the amount of maxillary movement\textsuperscript{33}. Previous studies reported an increase in nasal tip protrusion and a decrease in nasolabial angle, particularly after anterior movement\textsuperscript{34}, while posterior maxillary movement caused a decrease in nasal tip protrusion and an increase in nasolabial angle\textsuperscript{5}. Schouman et al.\textsuperscript{9} reported an 8.9° to 18° increase among bimaxillary protrusion patients treated with posterior maxillary movement. In the present study, nasolabial angle changes were 6.6° to 8.5° on mean, showing less change compared with previous studies, and no significant differences were observed between the two groups.

There are influencing factors that affect nasolabial soft tissue changes, including vertical maxillary movement, posterior-superior rotation, and incisal angle variations\textsuperscript{5}. Excluding these factors, we measured postsurgical changes considering posterior movement of the hard tissue reference point (point A) and carried out analyses. In order to identify other factors involved in soft tissue changes, we measured vertical changes in each landmark along the horizontal plane. Moreover, the two groups were further divided into subgroups according to incisal angle variation. There were no significant differences in vertical movement between the two groups, indicating no
bias due to vertical movement in soft tissue.

The two groups were divided into subgroups (A1, A2, B1, B2) based on incisal angle changes in order to identify the effect of incisal angle variation on the nasolabial soft tissue. Statistical analyses revealed that nasolabial angle changes were increased with incisal angle variations in Group A. The ratio of upper lip to incisal tip (ΔLs/ΔIs) in Group B showed statistical significance. However, there were no significant differences found in other regions. These results suggested that incisal angle variation might have no significant impact on the soft to hard tissue ratio. Mean changes in nasolabial angle appear more affected by incisal angle changes with a small amount of horizontal posterior movement than incisal angle changes with a large amount of posterior movement.

We were able to predict soft tissue changes after posterior movement of the maxilla in this study and found that soft tissue changes are significantly affected by the amount of posterior movement of the maxilla. This result is clinically relevant and will be invaluable for predicting soft tissue responses to orthognathic surgery, especially when posterior movement of the maxilla is expected, improving outcomes and satisfaction among orthognathic surgery patients.

V. Conclusion

We analyzed landmark measurements on lateral cephalometric radiography taken preoperative and 6 months postoperative. We also analyzed soft tissue changes of the upper lip and nose among study participants, who were divided into two groups according to horizontal posterior movement of the A point (Group A: <4 mm; Group B: ≥ 4 mm).

1. There were significant differences in the ratio of soft-to-hard tissue movement between the A and B groups, with approximately two-fold higher rates in most of the midface region when posterior movement was ≥4 mm.

2. When comparing subgroups divided based on incisal angle variation in the maxilla, we found no statistically significant changes in response to backward movement of the maxilla except nasolabial angle in Group A and ΔLs/ΔIs change ratio in Group B. This result indicates that incisal angle variation has little influence on the soft tissue change ratio according to the amount of hard tissue movement of the maxilla.

3. Statistical analyses revealed that nasolabial angle did not correspond with the inclination of the upper incisor in Group B (≥4 mm) and that nasolabial angle was more affected by incisal angle changes when the amount of horizontal posterior movement was relatively small.

Collectively, these results indicate that the nasolabial soft tissue changes following posterior movement in maxillary surgery vary according to the amount of posterior hard tissue movement (<4 mm or ≥4 mm), which should be taken into consideration in pre-surgical planning for successful orthognathic procedures.
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