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Developmental regulation of an organelle tether coordinates mitochondrial remodeling in meiosis

Eric M. Sawyer1, Pallavi R. Joshi1, Victoria Jorgensen1, Julius Yunus2, Luke E. Berchowitz2, and Elçin Ünal1

Cellular differentiation involves remodeling cellular architecture to transform one cell type to another. By investigating mitochondrial dynamics during meiotic differentiation in budding yeast, we sought to understand how organelle morphogenesis is developmentally controlled in a system where regulators of differentiation and organelle architecture are known, but the interface between them remains unexplored. We analyzed the regulation of mitochondrial detachment from the cell cortex, a known meiotic alteration to mitochondrial morphology. We found that mitochondrial detachment is enabled by the programmed destruction of the mitochondria–endoplasmic reticulum–cortex anchor (MECA), an organelle tether that bridges mitochondria and the plasma membrane. MECA regulation is governed by a meiotic transcription factor, Ndt80, which promotes the activation of a conserved kinase, Ime2. We further present evidence for Ime2-dependent phosphorylation and degradation of MECA in a temporally controlled manner. Our study defines a key mechanism that coordinates mitochondrial morphogenesis with the landmark events of meiosis and demonstrates that cells can developmentally regulate tethering to induce organelle remodeling.

Introduction

Mitochondria are essential organelles that host an array of cellular processes, ranging from ATP production to iron–sulfur cluster assembly. In many cell types, mitochondria are organized into a network of interconnected tubules that is dynamically remodeled by fusion and fission (Friedman and Nunnari, 2014). In addition, the position and motility of mitochondria are regulated to allow proper distribution within the cell and inheritance during cell division (Mishra and Chan, 2014; Westermann, 2014). Although the list of factors that modulate mitochondrial architecture and dynamics continues to expand, relatively little is known about their developmental regulation.

Fusion, fission, anchoring, and transport collectively shape the mitochondrial network. All of these processes are broadly conserved in eukaryotes but have been most extensively characterized in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The budding yeast mitochondrial network exists as a branched structure that is dynamically remodeled by fusion and fission, while maintaining associations with the plasma membrane (Hoffmann and Avers, 1973; Nunnari et al., 1997). Plasma membrane anchoring requires a protein complex called the mitochondria–ER–cortex anchor (MECA; Cerveny et al., 2007; Klecker et al., 2013; Lackner et al., 2013; Ping et al., 2016). MECA belongs to a growing list of protein complexes collectively known as tethers, which establish membrane contact sites between disparate organelles (Kornmann et al., 2009; Elbaz-Alon et al., 2014, 2015; Murley et al., 2015; Murley and Nunnari, 2016). By physically bridging organelles, tethers enable interorganelle communication and establish spatial cellular organization (Eisenberg-Bord et al., 2016; Murley and Nunnari, 2016). Studies in multiple organisms have demonstrated the physiological importance of organelle tethers in controlling metabolism, intracellular signaling, pathogen defense, and organelle inheritance (Helle et al., 2013; Prinz, 2014; Schrader et al., 2015; Eisenberg-Bord et al., 2016). Furthermore, it has been shown that organelle tethers can be dynamically regulated in response to changes in the cellular milieu, including metabolites and ions (Nhek et al., 2010; Hönscber et al., 2014; Kumagai et al., 2014). However, whether and how these structures are subject to developmental regulation to meet the demands of differentiation into new cell types is not clear.

A key cellular differentiation program in budding yeast is gametogenesis, which includes segregation of chromosomes by meiosis and the production of specialized gamete cells called spores. Various organelles, including mitochondria, undergo extensive remodeling during this process (Stevens, 1981; Miyakawa et al., 1984; Neiman, 1998; Fuchs and Loidl, 2004; Gorsich and Shaw, 2004; Suda et al., 2007; Tsai et al., 2014). Mitochondrial...
distribution changes dramatically during the meiotic divisions, when mitochondria lose their plasma membrane association, instead localizing near the gamete nuclei (Stevens, 1981; Miyakawa et al., 1984; Gorsich and Shaw, 2004). Subsequently, ~50% of the mitochondria from the progenitor cell is inherited by the gametes (Brewer and Fangman, 1980), and the remaining pool is eliminated (Eastwood et al., 2012; Eastwood and Meneghini, 2015). Although little is understood about the mechanisms responsible for mitochondrial reorganization and inheritance during meiosis, many other aspects of this developmental program, including transcriptional and cell cycle control, have been worked out in great detail in this organism (Marston and Amon, 2004; Neiman, 2011; van Werven and Amon, 2011; Winter, 2012). To what extent the previously identified meiotic regulators control mitochondrial dynamics and segregation has been unexplored.

In this study, we elucidated how mitochondrial reorganization is coordinated with meiotic development. We observed that mitochondria abruptly detach from the plasma membrane at the onset of anaphase II. To identify the mechanism responsible for regulating mitochondrial detachment, we examined a series of meiotic mutants with defects in meiotic progression. To our surprise, central meiotic regulators, such as the cyclin-dependent kinase CDK1/Cdc28 and the anaphase-promoting complex, were entirely dispensable for mitochondrial detachment. Instead, we found that the transcription factor Ndt80 and the meiosis-specific kinase Ime2 dictate the timing of mitochondrial detachment. Ndt80 controls mitochondrial detachment by inducing the expression of Ime2 and promoting its kinase activity (this study; Benjamin et al., 2003; Berchowitz et al., 2013). Ime2 phosphorylates both subunits of the MECA complex in vitro. Furthermore, Num1 undergoes Ime2-dependent phosphorylation in vivo. Finally, we show that Ime2 promotes MECA proteolysis, and this timely destruction of MECA drives mitochondrial detachment. Our results indicate that organelle tethering can be developmentally regulated to facilitate organelle remodeling, a feature of many cellular differentiation programs.

Results

Mitochondria detach from the plasma membrane in meiosis

To characterize the morphology and dynamic behavior of mitochondria during meiotic differentiation, we used time-lapse microscopy to monitor cells that simultaneously expressed fluorescent markers of mitochondria (Citi-GFP) and the nucleus (Htβ1-mCherry). Before the nuclear divisions, the mitochondrial network retained its characteristic morphology, existing as an interconnected tubular structure anchored to the cell cortex. Consistent with previous studies (Stevens, 1981; Miyakawa et al., 1984; Gorsich and Shaw, 2004), we found that mitochondria dissociated from the cell cortex during meiosis II (Fig. 1A and Video 1). We term this phenomenon “mitochondrial detachment.” Our data indicate that mitochondrial detachment occurs coincident with anaphase II. At the time of mitochondrial detachment, 68% of cells had begun anaphase II (Fig. 1A). By 10 min after mitochondrial detachment, 90% of cells had initiated anaphase II.

To further determine the timing of mitochondrial detachment, we used two additional staging markers. The first marker, GFP-Spo2051–91, is an indicator of plasma membrane biogenesis that takes place as part of gamete maturation (Nakanishi et al., 2004; Neiman, 2011). Concomitant with the meiosis I to meiosis II transition, this process, termed prospore membrane formation, begins with fusion of vesicles at the yeast centrosomes, known as spindel pole bodies. As judged by changes in GFP-Spo2051–91 localization, mitochondrial detachment occurred after membrane nucleation, but before the closure of the newly formed plasma membranes (Fig. 1B and Video 2).

The second marker, Spc42-GFP, is a component of the spindle pole body. The distance between the duplicated spindle pole bodies is a reliable metric to determine the timing of metaphase to anaphase transition, because the spindle length increases approximately twofold during this period (Palmer et al., 1989; Kahana et al., 1995; Yeh et al., 1995). We measured when mitochondrial detachment took place with respect to changes in spindle length in cells carrying Spc42-GFP and Citi-mCardinal. This analysis revealed that mitochondrial detachment occurred at the beginning of anaphase II (Fig. 1C and Video 3). Hence, the timing of mitochondrial detachment is precise and occurs with stereotyped timing relative to other well-defined meiotic events.

Many canonical cell cycle regulators are dispensable for mitochondrial detachment

Because mitochondrial detachment occurred simultaneously with anaphase II onset, we reasoned that cell cycle regulators with characterized meiotic functions might jointly control the meiotic divisions and mitochondrial detachment. Because the initial steps of spore formation occur during meiosis II, active coupling of chromosome and organelle segregation could ensure gamete fitness. We monitored mitochondrial detachment and meiotic progression in strains carrying deletion or conditional alleles of genes encoding key cell cycle regulators (Fig. 2A). We also noted that before meiotic entry, all of the mutants examined showed mitochondrial morphology indistinguishable from wild type, indicating that these alleles did not constitutively alter mitochondrial organization (Fig. 2B–H). 8 h after induction of meiosis, the vast majority of wild-type cells contained four distinct nuclei that had not yet assembled into spores. In these cells, mitochondria invariably detached from the cortex and instead localized near the four postmeiotic nuclei (Fig. 2B).

Among the cell cycle regulators that we analyzed, the polo kinase Cdc5, the anaphase-promoting complex activator Cdc20, and the cyclin-dependent kinase CDK1/Cdc28 are all essential for cell viability. To avoid perturbing the mitotic functions of these genes, we depleted CDC5 and CDC20 only from meiotic cells by replacing their promoters with the mitosis-specific CLB2 promoter (Lee and Amon, 2003). To down-regulate CDC28, we used a chemical inhibitor–sensitive allele, cdc28-as1 (Bishop et al., 2000). It has been reported that each mutant perturbs meiotic chromosome segregation: cdc5 and cdc20 mutants are defective in exiting metaphase I (Lee and Amon, 2003), whereas inactivation of cdc28-as1 in prophase I with 1-NM-PPI inhibits meiosis I spindle assembly entirely (Benjamin et al., 2003). In each condition, the expected nuclear division defect was observed. However, mitochondrial detachment was unaffected. Mitochondria not only detached from the plasma membrane but...
also adopted their perinuclear localization, similar to wild-type cells (Fig. 2, C–E).

In addition to testing essential cell cycle regulators, we also assessed the role of nonessential regulators with defined meiotic functions. The Cdc14 Early Anaphase Release (FEAR) network controls the release of the Cdc28 antagonist phosphatase Cdc4 (Stegmeier et al., 2002; Yoshida et al., 2002). FEAR network signaling is absent in spo12Δ cells, which results in aberrant meiosis I spindle disassembly, culminating in the formation of binucleate postmeiotic cells instead of tetranucleate (Klapholz and
Esposito, 1980; Marston et al., 2003; Kamieniecki et al., 2005). In spo12Δ cells, mitochondrial detachment was normal (Fig. 2 F). Mitochondrial detachment was also unaffected in ama1Δ cells (Fig. 2 G), which lack a meiosis-specific anaphase-promoting complex activator necessary for spore biogenesis (Cooper et al., 2000; Diamond et al., 2009).

Finally, we sought to address the possibility that prospore membrane formation is necessary for mitochondrial detachment, such as through sequestration of mitochondria into spores, because close proximity between mitochondria and prospore membrane has been observed (Suda et al., 2007). Synthesis of the prospore membrane requires assembly of a meiosis-specific structure on the cytoplasmic face of the spindle pole body, called the meiotic outer plaque (Knop and Strasser, 2000). In the absence of Spo21 (also known as Mpc70), a meiotic outer plaque component, other subunits fail to localize to the meiotic outer plaque, and therefore prospore membrane formation is completely disrupted (Knop and Strasser, 2000). We found that in spo21Δ cells, mitochondrial detachment was entirely unpinned (Fig. 2 H). From these analyses, we conclude that much of the regulatory scheme that defines meiotic chromosome segregation and cellular differentiation is dispensable for mitochondrial detachment and that other factors must be involved in regulating when and how mitochondria dissociate from the cell cortex.

The meiosis-specific transcription factor Ndt80 is required for mitochondrial detachment

We noted that in wild-type cells, mitochondrial morphology was indistinguishable at meiotic entry and prophase I, with mitochondrial detachment occurring abruptly during the second meiotic division. The master regulator controlling the transition to the meiotic divisions is the transcription factor Ndt80 (Xu et al., 1995; Chu and Herskowitz, 1998). Transcription of NDT80 mRNA occurs during prophase I, but the ability of Ndt80 protein to localize to the nucleus is restricted by the pachytene checkpoint, which monitors the completion of double-strand break repair requisite for successful chromosome segregation (Chu and Herskowitz, 1998; Hepworth et al., 1998; Tung et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2011). In the absence of NDT80, cells exhibit a prolonged arrest during the pachytene stage of prophase I, failing to undergo meiotic divisions and subsequent gamete maturation (Xu et al., 1995).

To determine whether NDT80 is required for mitochondrial detachment, we examined mitochondrial morphology in a
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**Ime2 kinase is required for mitochondrial detachment**

Ndt80 directly regulates the expression of ~200 genes (Chu and Herskowitz, 1998; Cheng et al., 2018). Among them, a particularly compelling candidate is the meiosis-specific kinase Ime2 (Smith and Mitchell, 1989; Yoshida et al., 1990; Kominami et al., 1993; Foini et al., 1996; Nocedal et al., 2017). Ime2 belongs to a family of serine/threonine protein kinases displaying sequence similarities to both cyclin-dependent kinases and mitogen-activated protein kinases (Irmiger, 2011). Although originally characterized as an early gene required for premeiotic S phase (Dirick et al., 1998), Ime2 kinase activity is significantly elevated during meiosis II (Benjamin et al., 2003; Berchowitz et al., 2013). It has been shown that Ndt80 is also necessary for the increase in Ime2 activity during meiosis II, independent of its role in regulating IME2 expression (Benjamin et al., 2003; Berchowitz et al., 2013).

To determine whether Ime2 is necessary for mitochondrial detachment, we used a conditional allele, ime2-as1, that can be selectively inhibited by the drug 1-NA-PP1 (Benjamin et al., 2003). By controlling the timing of inhibitor treatment, we could bypass the requirement for Ime2 in premeiotic S phase. In cells arrested in prophase I by pGAL-NDT80, simultaneous addition of β-estradiol and 1-NA-PP1 resulted in retention of mitochondria at the cortex, even though cells performed meiosis I, as previously reported (Benjamin et al., 2003; Fig. 3 B). Mitochondrial detachment was normal in IME2 wild-type cells after Ndt80 induction (Benjamin et al., 2003). By controlling the timing of inhibitor treatment, we could bypass the requirement for Ime2 in prophase I. Using the same allele (Berchowitz et al., 2013), we found that Ime2 kinase activity was dependent on Ndt80 (Fig. 4, A and B). In contrast, prophase-arrested (−Ndt80) cells do not activate Ime2, as evidenced by persistent low-level activation (Fig. 4 A). Our results are consistent with the interpretation that Ime2 is activated in a manner dependent on Ndt80 but independent of the cell cycle regulators examined, thereby explaining the uncoupling of mitochondrial detachment and meiotic progression shown in Fig. 2.
The mitochondria-plasma membrane tether MECA is phosphorylated in an Ime2-dependent manner

How does Ime2 trigger mitochondrial detachment? A simple model would be that Ime2 inhibits the activity of a factor that normally connects mitochondria to the cell cortex. Because Ime2 is a protein kinase, such a factor could be an Ime2 substrate. A clear candidate was MECA (Cerveny et al., 2007; Klecker et al., 2013; Lackner et al., 2013). In mitotic cells, MECA tethers mitochondria to the plasma membrane by forming large assemblies at the contact sites between the two membranes (Cerveny et al., 2007; Klecker et al., 2013; Lackner et al., 2013).
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A and B). To analyze Num1, we immunoprecipitated Num1-3V5 with constitutively active Ime2 (Ime2-st) purified from yeast. We found that Mdm36 was phosphorylated only in the presence of Ime2, suggesting that it is a direct substrate of the kinase (Fig. 5, D). We isolated Num1 from prophase I–arrested cells (ndt80Δ) that expressed either wild-type Ime2 or the hyperactive Ime2-st and used label-free mass spectrometry (MS) to map phosphorylation sites. This approach led to the identification of four phosphorylated serine residues in Num1, one of which (S1094) was present only when Ime2 activity was high (Fig. 5 D). We used the same strategy to probe in vivo Mdm36 phosphorylation sites. However, we were unable to obtain sufficient amounts of the Mdm36-3V5 protein from meiotic cells, for even moderate peptide coverage, and no phosphorylation sites were detected (data not shown). We conclude that Num1, the major subunit of MECA, is phosphorylated in an Ime2-dependent manner during meiosis.

MECA undergoes dynamic changes in meiosis

To investigate a possible role of MECA in regulating mitochondrial dynamics during meiosis, we first examined the localization of Num1 and Mdm36. Similar to previous studies in vegetative cells (Cerveny et al., 2007; Tang et al., 2012; Klecker et al., 2013; Lackner et al., 2013; Ping et al., 2016; Kraft and Lackner, 2017), Num1 and Mdm36 formed prominent clusters at the cell cortex before meiosis II (Fig. 6 A); these foci represent contact sites between mitochondria and the plasma membrane. In contrast, meiosis II cells were devoid of Num1 and Mdm36 puncta. We further characterized MECA dynamics in meiosis by monitoring Num1-GFP localization in a strain carrying mitochondrially targeted blue fluorescent protein (mitoBFP) and Htb1-mCherry. In premeiotic cells, mitochondria were tethered at the plasma membrane (Fig. 6 B). In contrast, meiosis II cells were largely devoid of the puncta, and mitochondria were detached. The number of Num1 foci and total cellular fluorescence were significantly reduced in meiosis II cells compared with cells from earlier stages (Fig. 6, C and D). Furthermore, the disappearance of Num1 foci was dependent on Ndt80 expression (Fig. 6, B–D), but not on Cdc20 (Fig. S2A), consistent with our previous observations of mitochondrial behavior (Figs. 2 E, 3 A, and 4). These results strongly indicate that the timely detachment of mitochondria from the plasma membrane is driven by developmentally regulated changes in the MECA complex.

In a matched ribosome profiling and quantitative MS dataset generated from a yeast meiotic time course (Cheng et al., 2018), both Num1 and Mdm36 exhibit dynamic regulation during meiosis. Namely, as assessed by quantitative MS, the protein levels of Num1 and Mdm36 decrease as meiosis progresses, reaching their minima at 8 h (Fig. 6, E and F). We confirmed the decline in protein levels by immunoblotting with strains expressing epitope-tagged Num1-3V5 or Mdm36-3V5 from their endogenous loci (Fig. 6, G and H; and Fig. S3). In each case, protein decline occurred in an Ndt80-dependent manner (Fig. 6, G and H), suggesting that Num1 and Mdm36 protein levels are actively regulated.

The decline in Num1 and Mdm36 protein levels is not accompanied by a decrease in ribosome footprint density (Fig. 6, E and F). This result is consistent with the idea that MECA undergoes dynamic changes in meiosis that are coupled to its regulation in the nucleus, where it is required for nuclear envelope breakdown.

Figure 4. Ime2 activation is uncoupled from meiotic progression. Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity during meiosis in wild type and cell cycle mutants. Ime2-3V5 was immunoprecipitated from lysate collected at the indicated time points. On-bead Ime2-3V5 was incubated with histone H1 and γ-32P ATP. Ime2 kinase activity toward histone H1 was determined by autoradiography, and Ime2-3V5 abundance in the reaction was determined by immunoblotting. Ime2 kinase activity is plotted with the background subtraction. Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity during meiosis in wild type and cell cycle mutants. Ime2-3V5 was immunoprecipitated from lysate collected at the indicated time points. On-bead Ime2-3V5 was incubated with histone H1 and γ-32P ATP. Ime2 kinase activity toward histone H1 was determined by autoradiography, and Ime2-3V5 abundance in the reaction was determined by immunoblotting. Ime2 kinase activity is plotted with the background subtraction. Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity during meiosis in wild type and cell cycle mutants. Ime2-3V5 was immunoprecipitated from lysate collected at the indicated time points. On-bead Ime2-3V5 was incubated with histone H1 and γ-32P ATP. Ime2 kinase activity toward histone H1 was determined by autoradiography, and Ime2-3V5 abundance in the reaction was determined by immunoblotting. Ime2 kinase activity is plotted with the background subtraction. Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity during meiosis in wild type and cell cycle mutants. Ime2-3V5 was immunoprecipitated from lysate collected at the indicated time points. On-bead Ime2-3V5 was incubated with histone H1 and γ-32P ATP. Ime2 kinase activity toward histone H1 was determined by autoradiography, and Ime2-3V5 abundance in the reaction was determined by immunoblotting. Ime2 kinase activity is plotted with the background subtraction. Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity during meiosis in wild type and cell cycle mutants. Ime2-3V5 was immunoprecipitated from lysate collected at the indicated time points. On-bead Ime2-3V5 was incubated with histone H1 and γ-32P ATP. Ime2 kinase activity toward histone H1 was determined by autoradiography, and Ime2-3V5 abundance in the reaction was determined by immunoblotting. Ime2 kinase activity is plotted with the background subtraction. Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity during meiosis in wild type and cell cycle mutants. Ime2-3V5 was immunoprecipitated from lysate collected at the indicated time points. On-bead Ime2-3V5 was incubated with histone H1 and γ-32P ATP. Ime2 kinase activity toward histone H1 was determined by autoradiography, and Ime2-3V5 abundance in the reaction was determined by immunoblotting. Ime2 kinase activity is plotted with the background subtraction. Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity during meiosis in wild type and cell cycle mutants. Ime2-3V5 was immunoprecipitated from lysate collected at the indicated time points. On-bead Ime2-3V5 was incubated with histone H1 and γ-32P ATP. Ime2 kinase activity toward histone H1 was determined by autoradiography, and Ime2-3V5 abundance in the reaction was determined by immunoblotting. Ime2 kinase activity is plotted with the background subtraction. Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity during meiosis in wild type and cell cycle mutants. Ime2-3V5 was immunoprecipitated from lysate collected at the indicated time points. On-bead Ime2-3V5 was incubated with histone H1 and γ-32P ATP. Ime2 kinase activity toward histone H1 was determined by autoradiography, and Ime2-3V5 abundance in the reaction was determined by immunoblotting. Ime2 kinase activity is plotted with the background subtraction. Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity during meiosis in wild type and cell cycle mutants. Ime2-3V5 was immunoprecipitated from lysate collected at the indicated time points. On-bead Ime2-3V5 was incubated with histone H1 and γ-32P ATP. Ime2 kinase activity toward histone H1 was determined by autoradiography, and Ime2-3V5 abundance in the reaction was determined by immunoblotting. Ime2 kinase activity is plotted with the background subtraction. Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity during meiosis in wild type and cell cycle mutants. Ime2-3V5 was immunoprecipitated from lysate collected at the indicated time points. On-bead Ime2-3V5 was incubated with histone H1 and γ-32P ATP. Ime2 kinase activity toward histone H1 was determined by autoradiography, and Ime2-3V5 abundance in the reaction was determined by immunoblotting. Ime2 kinase activity is plotted with the background subtraction. Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity during meiosis in wild type and cell cycle mutants. Ime2-3V5 was immunoprecipitated from lysate collected at the indicated time points. On-bead Ime2-3V5 was incubated with histone H1 and γ-32P ATP. Ime2 kinase activity toward histone H1 was determined by autoradiography, and Ime2-3V5 abundance in the reaction was determined by immunoblotting. Ime2 kinase activity is plotted with the background subtraction. Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity during meiosis in wild type and cell cycle mutants. Ime2-3V5 was immunoprecipitated from lysate collected at the indicated time points. On-bead Ime2-3V5 was incubated with histone H1 and γ-32P ATP. Ime2 kinase activity toward histone H1 was determined by autoradiography, and Ime2-3V5 abundance in the reaction was determined by immunoblotting. Ime2 kinase activity is plotted with the background subtraction.
indicating that the abundance of Num1 and Mdm36 cannot be easily explained by regulation at the level of protein synthesis. Instead, we reasoned that Num1 and Mdm36 are actively degraded. To test whether the reduction in Num1 and Mdm36 protein levels requires the proteasome, a major conduit for protein degradation, we treated prophase I–arrested cells with the proteasome inhibitor MG-132 and simultaneously released them from the Ndt80 block. Upon MG-132 treatment, Num1 protein levels failed to decline to the extent seen in the control (Fig. 7 A); however, Mdm36 levels continued to decrease (Fig. 7 B). To assess proteasome dependence by an independent method, we used a hypomorphic allele of a 26S proteasome lid subunit, rpn6-1 (Isono et al., 2005). Consistent with the MG-132 data, in rpn6-1 cells, the protein levels of Num1, but not Mdm36, were stabilized throughout meiosis (Fig. S2, B and C), indicating proteasome dependence for Num1 degradation. To address the possibility that Mdm36 might instead be degraded by autophagy, we used the GFP-Mdm36 allele. Because GFP is relatively resistant to vacuolar degradation, autophagic degradation of the tagged protein leads to the accumulation of free GFP in the vacuole (Kanki and Klionsky, 2008). We observed free GFP by immunoblotting in late meiosis (Fig. 7, C and D), consistent with autophagy-dependent turnover of Mdm36. However, our data do not exclude the possibility of autophagy-independent processing of GFP-Mdm36. Altogether, these analyses reveal the programmed destruction of Num1 and Mdm36 causes the dissolution of MECA assemblies in meiosis II.

Ime2 induces mitochondrial detachment by promoting MECA degradation

What is the relationship between Ime2 and MECA in mediating mitochondrial detachment? We posited that Ime2 interferes with MECA function during meiosis II by promoting its destruction, thereby triggering mitochondrial detachment. To test this hypothesis, we first examined whether Ime2 regulates MECA stability by measuring Num1 and Mdm36 abundance in the ime2-as1 mutant background. Treatment with 1-NA-PP1 at the time of pGAL-NDT80 induction attenuated the degradation of Num1 and Mdm36 that was observed in wild-type cells (Fig. 8, A and B). Monitoring MECA assemblies in single cells yielded similar results (Fig. 8, C–F). Num1-GFP clusters persisted at later meiotic time points upon Ime2 inhibition (Fig. 8, C–E). Notably, the number of Num1 foci and overall Num1 intensity did not differ significantly between prophase I–arrested (+0 h) and Ime2-inhibited (+3 h) cells. In each case, mitochondria remained attached to the plasma membrane, presumably through the persistent contact
Figure 6. MECA is dynamically regulated in meiosis. (A) Left: diagram of the mitochondria–plasma membrane contact site. MECA contains two known subunits, Num1 and Mdm36, and is responsible for tethering mitochondria to the plasma membrane. Right: localization of MECA subunits, Num1-mKate2 and GFP-Mdm36, in live premeiotic and meiosis II cells (UB16677). Single planes in the z-axis are shown. The meiotic stage was determined by the prospore membrane marker BFP-Spo2051–91. (B) Maximum-intensity projections of live pGAL-NDT80 GAL4.ER cells (UB15124) showing MECA localization (Num1-GFP), mitochondrial localization (mitoBFP), and nuclei (Htb1-mCherry). Cells were imaged at time of transfer to SPO medium (premeiotic) and 5 h (prophase I). Then, at 5 h, the culture was split and treated with 1 µM β-estradiol (+Ndt80) or ethanol vehicle control (−Ndt80). Cells from the split cultures were imaged at 8 h. Dashed lines: cell boundaries. (C and D) Quantifications of the experiment in B. Analysis of the +Ndt80 sample was restricted to meiosis II stage cells (n = 20 cells per group). Medians are plotted as horizontal lines. The Mann–Whitney nonparametric test was used to test statistical significance. (C) Number of Num1-GFP foci per cell (see Materials and methods). (D) Whole-cell Num1-GFP fluorescence quantification from maximum-intensity projection, normalized to cell area. (E and F) Quantifications of Num1 and Mdm36 steady-state protein levels (MS) and synthesis (ribosome profiling) during meiosis from a published dataset (Cheng et al., 2018). (G and H) Immunoblots of MECA subunits in pGAL-NDT80 GAL4.ER strains. Strains were induced to sporulate for 5 h, then flasks were split and treated with 1 µM β-estradiol (+Ndt80) or ethanol vehicle control (−Ndt80). Hxk2 serves as a loading control. (G) Blot for Num1-3V5 (UB12402). Num1 runs above the highest (190-kD) ladder band. (H) Blot for Mdm36-3V5 (UB13851). Scale bars, 2 µm; inset panels, 400 nm.
sites. Further, Num1 and Mdm36 remained colocalized after Ime2 inhibition (Fig. 8 F). The simplest interpretation of these data is that Ime2-dependent regulation leads to Num1 and Mdm36 degradation, which in turn causes MECA disassembly and mitochondrial detachment in anaphase II.

To further test the involvement of Ime2 in MECA destruction, we examined the impact of expressing the hyperactive Ime2-st kinase on MECA levels in prophase I–arrested cells. Both the number of Num1 foci and total cellular fluorescence decreased significantly upon IME2-st expression (Fig. 8, G–I). Our results indicate that elevated Ime2 activity in prophase I is sufficient to trigger premature Num1 degradation.

If Ime2 acts through MECA to promote mitochondrial detachment, then removal of MECA should restore normal mitochondrial detachment in ime2-as1 cells. To test this hypothesis, we engineered a version of Num1 that can be degraded in an Ime2-independent manner (Fig. 9), using the auxin-inducible degron system (Nishimura et al., 2009). We found that forced destruction of Num1 rescued the mitochondrial detachment defect observed in ime2-asi cells (Fig. 9, B and C), highlighting Num1 as a key Ime2 target that is responsible for altering mitochondrial distribution during meiosis.

Discussion
In this study, we have shown that organelle morphogenesis during cellular differentiation can be accomplished by programmed removal of organelle tethers. MECA, which normally localizes to and maintains contact sites between mitochondria and the plasma membrane, is inactivated in meiosis II. As a consequence, mitochondria detach from the plasma membrane in a temporally coordinated manner. Mitochondrial detachment is regulated by the meiosis-specific kinase Ime2, which phosphorylates MECA and promotes its destruction (Fig. 10). Altogether, our study defines a key mechanism that coordinates mitochondrial dynamics with meiotic progression and demonstrates that organelle remodeling can be mediated by posttranslational regulation of organelle tethers.

Regulated destruction of an organelle tether acutely changes intracellular organization
In vegetative growth, MECA assembly in daughter cells is regulated during the cell cycle (Kraft and Lackner, 2017). Num1 exists in at least two distinct populations, one of which is independent of mitochondria and anchors cytoplasmic dynein at the bud tip (Omer et al., 2018). It is not clear, however, whether vegetative cells regulate the disassembly of MECA in any meaningful way. Once MECA is assembled, it is a very stable anchor: by FRAP, no appreciable recovery was observed over 20 min (Kraft and Lackner, 2017). Thus, it appears that MECA is a source of stability for an organelle that is otherwise highly dynamic in its architecture (Friedman and Nunnari, 2014; Mishra and Chan, 2014; Westermann, 2014).

During meiosis, MECA undergoes temporally coordinated disassembly. The timing of MECA destruction is determined by the level of Ime2 activity, which in turn is controlled by the mei-
Figure 8. **Ime2 regulates MECA function in meiosis.** (A and B) Immunoblots of MECA subunits in pGAL-NDT80 GAL4-ER. Strains were induced to sporulate and were released 5 h later from prophase I arrest by the addition of 1 µM β-estradiol to induce NDT80. Simultaneously, 20 µM 1-NA-PP1 was added to inhibit ime2-as1, with wild-type IME2 as the control. Band intensity quantifications normalized to the Hxk2 loading control and time course staging by DAPI staining for each time point are shown below the immunoblots. (A) Num1-3V5 immunoblot in wild type (UB12402) and ime2-as1 (UB12403). Num1 runs above the highest (190-kD) ladder band. (B) Mdm36-3V5 immunoblot in wild type (UB13851) and ime2-as1 (UB14546). (C) Maximum-intensity projections of live pGAL-NDT80 GAL4-ER cells containing wild-type IME2 (UB15124) or ime2-as1 (UB16047). Cells were induced to sporulate, then treated with 1 µM β-estradiol and 20 µM 1-NA-PP1 at 5 h. Images were acquired at the time of β-estradiol addition (0 h) or 3 h later. Dashed lines: cell boundaries. Mitochondria, mitoBFP. Nuclei, Htb1-mCherry. (D and E) Quantifications for the experiment in C. Analysis was restricted to cells that had entered the meiotic divisions (n = 20 cells per group). Medians are plotted as horizontal lines. The Mann–Whitney nonparametric test was used to test statistical significance. (D) Number of Num1-GFP foci per cell (see Materials and methods). (E) Whole-cell Num1-GFP fluorescence quantification from maximum-intensity projection, normalized to cell area.
otic transcription factor Ndt80. Our study demonstrates that an organelle tether can be developmentally regulated and reveals how this regulation could impact organelle remodeling. A similar principle might apply to other organelles and different developmental contexts.

In meiotic cells, the cortical ER detaches from the plasma membrane in a manner highly reminiscent of mitochondrial detachment (Suda et al., 2007). MECA destruction probably does not explain this behavior. Although ER has been observed in association with MECA by light microscopy and coimmunoprecipitation (Lackner et al., 2013), electron microscopy analysis did not reveal a significant association (Klecker et al., 2013). In addition, in neither case was it suggested that MECA acts to tether the ER to the plasma membrane. However, it has been recently shown that ER–plasma membrane anchoring proteins, Scs2/22, drive the assembly of a subset of MECA structures (Omer et al., 2018). Therefore, it is possible that loss of SCS2 and/or SCS22 function during meiosis could also contribute to MECA disassembly, although this perturbation alone is unlikely to explain the full extent of meiotic ER remodeling. ER–plasma membrane contacts are established by additional factors, including Tcb1/2/3 and Ist2, which act redundantly with Scs2/22 (Manford et al., 2012). Based on the finding that removal of any one tether or class of tethers was insufficient for detachment of cortical ER from the plasma membrane, it would appear that meiotic cells have to target multiple tethers simultaneously to disrupt ER–plasma membrane contacts. Alternatively, changes intrinsic to the ER or plasma membrane, such as protein or lipid composition, may explain these phenomena. Interestingly, the plasma membrane pool of the lipid phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate is depleted in late meiosis, instead accumulating on prospore membranes (Rudge et al., 2004).

Ime2 is a key regulator of mitochondrial dynamics in meiosis

Our studies reveal a distinct and unanticipated function for Ime2 during meiosis II: regulation of the mitochondria–plasma membrane tether MECA. Our data support a model in which Ime2 triggers MECA destruction by promoting the phosphorylation of its subunits, thereby causing acute changes in mitochondrial organization (Fig. 10). Several observations are consistent with this model. First, Ime2 phosphorylates both MECA subunits in vitro. Second, inactivation of Ime2 causes stabilization of...
MECA subunits, persistence of MECA clusters, and retention of contacts between mitochondria and the plasma membrane throughout meiosis. Third, expression of a hyperactive IME2 allele in prophase I leads to Num1 phosphorylation and results in the premature disassembly of MECA and untimely mitochondrial detachment. Finally, degradation of Num1 by artificial means rescues the mitochondrial detachment defect that occurs in IME2-inactivated cells. Our data, however, do not rule out the possibility that Ime2 can also influence MECA in an indirect manner (Fig. 10), for instance through its effect on other, yet to be identified, MECA regulators.

Ime2-dependent destruction of MECA shares similarities with another critical meiotic event: clearance of the translational repressor Rim4. Rim4 assembles into amyloid-like aggregates, which are thought to sequester a group of mRNAs away from ribosomes by binding to their 5’ UTRs (Berchowitz et al., 2013, 2015; Carpenter et al., 2018). Degradation of Rim4 during meiosis II relieves the translational repression of its targets. Similar to MECA, high Ime2 kinase activity is both necessary and sufficient to disassemble Rim4 aggregates and promote their degradation (Berchowitz et al., 2013, 2015; Carpenter et al., 2018). Rim4 contains a total of 114 serine and threonine residues (S/Ts). Although the initial MS suggested the existence of a single Ime2-dependent phosphorylation site, subsequent work identified 39 additional phosphorylation sites. Clearance of Rim4 assemblies is governed by multisite phosphorylation, with at least 36 S/Ts necessary for its degradation. Importantly, a threshold amount of phosphorylation, rather than modification of critical residues, is necessary for Rim4 clearance (Carpenter et al., 2018). By comparison, Num1 contains 356 S/Ts. Thus far, MS identified four phosphorylated residues in Num1, one of which appears to be Ime2 dependent. However, this number is likely to be an underestimate, because the peptide coverage for Num1 was <60% in our immunoprecipitation (IP)-MS analysis. Moreover, unlike the Rim4 IP-MS, our experiments did not include a phosphopeptide enrichment step due to the low expression level of Num1. Adding further to the complexity is the observation that the second MECA subunit, Mdm36, also appears to be phosphorylated by Ime2. Therefore, MECA control by Ime2 is likely to be complex. More thorough analysis is needed to elucidate how phosphorylation affects MECA stability, mitochondrial organization, and inheritance.

Mitochondrial inheritance during gametogenesis
How does mitochondrial detachment lead to meiosis-specific inheritance of the organelle? In budding yeast, mitochondria exhibit four distinct behaviors during meiotic differentiation: (a) abrupt detachment from the mother cell plasma membrane, followed by (b) extensive contacts with the gamete nuclei, (c) limited inheritance, and (d) programmed elimination. Previous EM data suggested that only about half of the starting mitochondrial population is inherited by the four gametes (Brewer and Fangman, 1980). The remaining mitochondria are eliminated by mega-autophagy that commences at the end of gametogenesis (Eastwood et al., 2012; Eastwood and Meneghini, 2015). It will be interesting to determine whether the two populations of mitochondria—namely, the inherited and discarded—are different from one another and whether quality control pathways exist to selectively transmit healthier mitochondria to gametes (Neiman, 2011; Kraft and Lackner, 2018). Perhaps the ability of mitochondria to form direct contact sites with the nuclear envelope, evident in Fig. 2 and previous studies (Stevens, 1981; Suda et al., 2007), is part of this selection. Regardless, we propose that the contact sites between mitochondria and nuclear envelope ensure that the mitochondrial genome is inherited during yeast gametogenesis. We further posit that the regulated detachment of mitochondria from the progenitor cell plasma membrane in meiosis II is the first step toward mitochondrial segregation into gametes. Identifying the molecular nature of the mitochondrial–nuclear contact sites and their regulation will enhance our understanding of mitochondrial inheritance during meiotic differentiation.

Gametogenesis-specific changes to mitochondrial architecture and inheritance are ubiquitous in metazoan germ cells. For example, primary oocytes of animals contain a unique structure known as the Balbiani body that assembles adjacent to the nucleus (Kloc et al., 2004). The Balbiani body houses a collection of organelles, including mitochondria and protein–RNA inclusions, and facilitates their segregation. In Drosophila melanogaster oogenesis, mitochondria are transported between cells, from nurse cells to the oocyte, via a polarized microtubule network that passes through ring canals (Cox and Spradling, 2003). Later, mitochondria are actively tethered to the actin cytoskeleton at the posterior of the oocyte, in proximity to the pole cells that give rise to the germline. Importantly, in the absence of tethering, mtDNA transmission is compromised.
ic-null alleles generated by promoter replacement using pCLB2 (Mitchell, 1995) and lacks the C-terminal 241 amino acids. Meiotic differentiation between budding yeast and metazoans extends beyond homologous recombination and meiotic chromosome segregation.

Understanding the molecular basis of meiotic specializations to mitochondria is important, not only to enhance our understanding of the organelle’s physiology, but also for its potential impact on human disease and health span. It is widely appreciated that mitochondrial interactions and programmed mitochondrial elimination. We speculate that the evolutionary conservation of meiotic differentiation between budding yeast and metazoans extends beyond homologous recombination and meiotic chromosome segregation.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains and plasmids

All yeast strains used in this study are derivatives of SK1 (Padmore et al., 1991), except for B14, and are described in Table S1. The Ndt80 block–release system and associated strains were described previously (Benjamin et al., 2003; Carlile and Amon, 2008) and contain pGAL-NDT80 and GAL4.ER transgenes. The PPI analogue sensitive kinase alleles cdc28-as1 (F88G; Bishop et al., 2000) and ime2-as1 (M146G; Benjamin et al., 2003) have been described. The IME2-st allele was described previously (Sia and Kenyon, 2017). Therefore, studying mitochondria in the context of gametogenesis could aid in the development of strategies to counteract mitochondrial dysfunction and disease.

To visualize mitochondria, we used several different strategies. First, we C-terminally tagged CIT1 with a fluorescent protein, as described (Higuchi-Sanabria et al., 2016), using a pET22b mod inducible expression plasmid described previously as pET22b mod (Ping et al., 2016) and provided by L. Chan. The 3V5 tagging plasmid was a gift from V. Guacci (University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA). We also expressed the Su9 mitochondrial targeting sequence from Neurospora crassa fused to yeast-enhanced GFP (yEGFP) under regulation of a pGPD1 promoter, expressed from a pNH603 single integration plasmid (Youk and Lim, 2014), but modified to remove homology to the DED1 locus (a gift from L. Chan). Last, we expressed a mitoBFP construct from a pRS424 plasmid modified to carry a KanMX or NatMX marker instead of TRP1 (termed pRS(3μ)-KanMX or pRS(3μ)-NatMX). The mitoBFP construct was described as pYES-TagBFP (Murley et al., 2013), and pRS424 was described previously (Christianson et al., 1992).

To visualize the prospore membrane, we fused amino acids 51–91 from Sp020 (Nakanishi et al., 2004) to the C terminus of link-yEGFP (Sheff and Thorn, 2004) or mTagBFP2, under regulation of the ATG8 promoter (amplified from pRS306-2xyEEGFP-ATG8; Graef et al., 2013), subcloned into the LEU2 integrating plasmid pLC605 (a gift from L. Chan) or pRS24 (a gift from G. Schlissel, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA), generating pUB1395. Then, pUB1395 and a repair template were cotransformed into yeast, the plasmid was lost by streaking cells without selection, and the presence of the yEGFP tag was confirmed by PCR and sequencing.

To generate the GFP-MDM36 strain, we used a Cas9-mediated genome editing strategy similar to a described method (Anand et al., 2017). Annealed oligonucleotides encoding the guide RNA (5′-GAACATCTACTACTATGCA-3′) were inserted into a centromeric plasmid carrying a URA3 marker and pPGK1-Cas9 (a gift from G. Schlissel, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA), generating pUB1395. Then, pUB1395 and a repair template were cotransformed into yeast, the plasmid was lost by streaking cells without selection, and the presence of the yEGFP tag was confirmed by PCR and sequencing.

To purify Mdm36 from Escherichia coli, we used an IPTG-inducible expression plasmid described previously as pET22b mod T7prom::H6-T7-Mdm36 (Ping et al., 2016) and provided by L. Lackner (Northwestern University, Evanston, IL). (See below for protein purification method.)

Sporulation

Unless indicated otherwise, cells were induced to sporulate by a traditional starvation synchronization method. At all steps, flasks were shaken at 275 rpm. First, cells were grown in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose, 22.4 mg/l uracil, and 80 mg/l tryptophan) for ∼24 h at room temperature to reach saturation (OD600 = 10). The YPD culture was used to inoculate BYTA culture medium (1% yeast extract, 2% bacto tryptone, 1% potassium acetate, and 50 mM potassium phosphate) to OD600 = 0.25 and grown for ∼16 h at 30°C to reach OD600 = 5. Then, the cells were pelleted.
ER transgenes were induced to sporulate as described above. Concentration of 1 µM from a 5-mM stock (in ethanol) to induce after 5 h in SPO medium, β-estradiol was added to a final concentration of 1 µM from a 5-mM stock (in ethanol) to induce NDT80 expression. In experiments using synchronization by the Ndt80 block-release system, cells carrying the pGAL-NDT80 and GAL4. ER transgenes were induced to sporulate as described above. After 5 h in SPO medium, β-estradiol was added to a final concentration of 1 µM from a 5-mM stock (in ethanol) to induce NDT80 expression.

Microscopy
All images were acquired with a DeltaVision Elite wide-field fluorescence microscope (GE Healthcare) and a PCO Edge sCMOS camera, operated by the associated softWoRx software. Time-lapse imaging experiments were performed in an environmental chamber heated to 30°C, with images acquired with a 60×/NA1.42 oil-immersion Plan Apochromat objective. Cells were maintained on concanavalin A–coated, glass-bottom 96-well plates (Corning). Every 10 min, a stack of 8 Z positions (1-µm step size) was acquired, with mCherry (32% intensity, 25-ms exposure) and FITC (10% intensity, 25-ms exposure) filter sets. All other imaging experiments were acquired at ambient temperature (~22°C) with a 100×/NA1.4 oil-immersion Plan Apochromat objective. All live cells were imaged in SPO medium. Where indicated, cells were fixed at room temperature for 15 min by adding formaldehyde (final concentration of 3.7%) directly to the culture medium. To halt fixation, cells were washed once with 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.4, and stored in 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, with 1.2 M sorbitol. Spheroplasts were gently pelleted (900 g) and gently resuspended in 500 µl of 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, with 1.2 M sorbitol as a wash step. Spheroplasts were then pelleted again and resuspended in 10–50 µl of 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, with 1.2 M sorbitol. Spheroplasts were mounted on poly-L-lysine–coated multiwell slides. Then, slides were submerged in −20°C methanol for 3 min followed by −20°C acetone for 10 s. After drying, the slide was filled with VectaShield Antifade Mounting Medium with DAPI (Vector Labs) and sealed with a coverslip.

For tubulin immunofluorescence, fixed cells were resuspended in 200 µl of 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, with 1.2 M sorbitol, to which 20 µl of glusulase (Perkin-Elmer) and 6 µl of 10 mg/ml zymolase 100T (MP Biomedicals) were added to obtain spheroplasts. Digestions were incubated at 30°C with rotation for 2–3 h. Completion of the digestion was monitored by sensitivity of a small aliquot of cells to lysis in the presence of 0.5% SDS. Spheroplasts were gently pelleted (900 g) and gently resuspended in 500 µl of 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, with 1.2 M sorbitol as a wash step. Spheroplasts were then pelleted again and resuspended in 10–50 µl of 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, with 1.2 M sorbitol. Spheroplasts were mounted on poly-L-lysine–coated multiwell slides. Then, slides were submerged in −20°C methanol for 3 min followed by −20°C acetone for 10 s. Next, spheroplasts were incubated with a 1:200 dilution of a rat anti-tubulin antibody (RRID:AB_325005, MCA78G; Bio-Rad) suspended in PBS-BSA (5 mM potassium phosphate, 15 mM NaCl, 1% BSA, and 0.1% sodium azide). After 1–3 h in a wet chamber, primary antibody was removed, and the wells were washed twice with PBS-BSA and incubated with a 1:200 dilution of a preabsorbed anti-rat FITC-conjugated secondary antibody (RRID:AB_2340652, 712-095-153; Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs). After incubation at room temperature for 1 h, antibody was removed, and the wells were washed twice with PBS-BSA. Then, the slide was filled with VectaShield Antifade Mounting Medium with DAPI (Vector Labs) and sealed with a coverslip.

Immunoblotting
To harvest protein, 3.7 OD600 equivalents of cells were pelleted and resuspended in 1 ml of 5% TCA and incubated at 4°C for ≥10 min. Then, cells were washed with 1 ml TE50, pH 7.5 (50 mM Tris and 1 mM EDTA), and finally with 1 ml of acetone, and then allowed to dry completely. To extract protein, ~100 µ1 glass beads and 100 µl lysis buffer (TE50, pH 7.5, 2.75 mM DTT, and 1× complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]) were added to the pellet and shaken with a Mini-Beadbeater-96 (BioSpec). Next, 50 µl of 3× SDS sample buffer (187.5 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 6% 2-mercaptoethanol, 30% glycerol, 9% SDS, and 0.05% bromophenol blue) was added, and the mixture was heated for 5 min. We found that recovery of Num1 was enhanced by heating at 50°C rather than boiling.

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE with Bolt 4–12% Bis-Tris Plus Gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and transferred onto membranes. For Num1, we transferred to a 0.45-µm Immobilon-FL

Immunofluorescence
Cells collected from meiotic culture at the desired time points were fixed in 3% formaldehyde overnight at 4°C. Then, cells were pelleted, washed once with 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.4, and stored in 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, with 1.2 M sorbitol at 4°C.

For DAPI staining, cells were mounted on poly-L-lysine–coated multiwell slides. Then, slides were submerged in −20°C methanol for 3 min followed by −20°C acetone for 10 s. After drying, the slide was filled with VectaShield Antifade Mounting Medium with DAPI (Vector Labs) and sealed with a coverslip.

Statistical analysis
All tests of statistical significance were nonparametric (Mann–Whitney test or Fisher’s exact test) and performed in Prism (GraphPad Software). The results from all statistical tests are reported as two-tailed P values.
PVDF membrane (Li-Cor Biosciences) in a Mini-Protean Tetra tank (Bio-Rad) filled with 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, and 9% methanol, run at 180 mA (maximum, 80 V) for 3 h. For all other blots, we transferred to a 0.45-µm nitrocellulose membrane with a semi-dry transfer system (Trans-Blot Turbo) and its supplied transfer buffer (all from Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked for 30 min with Odyssey Blocking Buffer (PBS; Li-Cor Biosciences) at room temperature, then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody mixtures diluted in Odyssey Blocking Buffer (PBS) with 0.1% Tween-20. For detection of V5 epitope, we used a mouse anti-V5 antibody (RRID: AB_2556564, R960-25; Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a 1:2,000 dilution. For detection of GFP, we used a mouse anti-GFP antibody (RRID:AB_2318308, 632381; Clontech) at a 1:2,000 dilution. For detection of T7 epitope, we used a mouse anti-T7 antibody (RRID: AB_1121744, 69522; EMD Millipore) at a 1:2,000 dilution. As a loading control, we used a rabbit anti-hexokinase (Hxk2) antibody (RRID:AB_219918, 100-4159; Rockland) at a 1:15,000 dilution. For secondary detection, we used an anti-mouse secondary antibody conjugated to IRDye 800CW at a 1:15,000 dilution (RRID: AB _621847, 926-32212; Li-Cor Biosciences) and an anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to IRDye 680RD at a 1:15,000 dilution (RRID:AB_10956166, 926-68071; Li-Cor Biosciences) in Odyssey Blocking Buffer (PBS) with 0.01% Tween-20. Blots were imaged with an Odyssey CLx scanner (Li-Cor Biosciences) or a Typhoon scanner (GE Healthcare). Membranes were developed using a化学Pur FPLC (GE Healthcare). Peak Ime2-st fractions were pooled, aliquoted, and stored at −80°C.

**Ime2 IP in vitro kinase assay**

Measurement of Ime2 kinase activity in vitro was performed similarly to a published method (Bercehowitz et al., 2013). At each time point, cell pellets from 2 ml SPO culture were harvested by centrifugation and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Pellets were thawed on ice, and 220 µl NP-40 lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 1% NP-40) with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (60 mM β-glycerophosphate, 0.1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 15 mM p-nitrophenyl phosphate, 0.095 U/ml aprotinin [Sigma], 0.1 mg/ml leupeptin [Sigma], 1 mM PMSF [Sigma], 1 mM DTT, and 1× fungal-specific protease inhibitor cocktail [Sigma]) was added. Cells were lysed in a Fast-Prep (MP Bio) with zirconia beads (BioSpec). Lysates were clarified by centrifugation twice at 16,000 g for 10 min. Ime2-3V5 IP was performed using 15 µl anti-V5 agarose beads (Sigma) for 2 h at 4°C. IP samples were washed twice with NP-40 lysis buffer, then twice with 25 mM MOPS, pH 7.2.

For kinase reactions, agarose beads with bound Ime2-3V5 were incubated with 6 µl cell lysis buffer (25 mM MOPS, pH 7.2, 15 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.02 mg/ml leupeptin, 0.04 U/ml aprotinin, 0.1 mM sodium orthovanadate, and 15 mM p-nitrophenylphosphate) for 15 min at room temperature, followed by the addition of 10 µl kinase reaction mixture (25 mM MOPS, pH 7.2, 2 mg/ml Histone H1 [Sigma], and 0.2 mM ATP) containing 50 nCi γ-32P ATP. Kinase reactions were incubated for 15 min at room temperature and stopped by the addition of 10 µl of 3× SDS loading buffer and boiling (5 min). Kinase reactions were separated on a 4–15% SDS Criterion TGX PAGE gel (Bio-Rad) and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was cut, with the half containing histone H1 subjected to autoradiography (imaged with a Typhoon scanner; GE Healthcare).
MECA subunit in vitro kinase assays

Ime2-st kinase and recombinant Mdm36 substrate were purified as described above. Immunoprecipitated substrates were purified as follows. 50 OD600 equivalents of cells growing in YPD were pelleted, resuspended in 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, pelleted again, and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen pellets were thawed on ice and resuspended in 300 µl NP-40 lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, and 5% glycerol) containing 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, 3× Complete Ultra Protease Inhibitors without EDTA, and 1× PhosSTOP phosphatase inhibitors (Roche). Cells were broken on a Mini-Beadbeater-96, and extracts were clarified by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 15 min. Total protein concentration was determined by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad). For each IP, 1 mg total protein was incubated with 15 µl V5 agarose beads (Sigma) in a total IP volume of 200 µl. Two parallel IPs were run for each sample. After incubation with extract for 2 h at 4°C, beads were washed with NP-40 lysis buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Then, the beads from duplicate IPs were pooled and washed three more times with NP-40 lysis buffer supplemented with inhibitors and twice with 25 mM MOPS. The beads were split for the kinase assay (+Ime2-st and −Ime2-st). Before setting up the kinase assay, half of the bead volumes of each tube were reserved for immunoblot analysis. Proteins were eluted from the beads by incubation in 1× SDS sample buffer (62.5 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 2% 2-mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol, 3% SDS, and 0.017% bromophenol blue) for 5 min at 50°C.

For the kinase assay, recombinant or on-bead substrate was incubated with 6 µl HBII (15 mM MOPS, 15 mM MgCl2, 5 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 3× Complete Ultra without EDTA, and 1× PhosSTOP) for 15 min at room temperature. Solution 1 was prepared (1.1 µl of 100 mM ATP, 275 µl water, and 3 µl 6,000 Ci/mmol 32P ATP). The kinase reaction was assembled by adding 5 µl solution 1 and 2 µl 1.5 µM Ime2-st to the substrate in HBII, resulting in a final volume of 16 µl in 25 mM MOPS. After 15 min at room temperature, reactions were stopped with 3× SDS sample buffer, heated, and run on an SDS-PAGE gel. The gels were fixed in 10% methanol and 10% acetic acid, dried, and exposed to a phosphor screen. Screens were imaged with a Typhoon scanner (GE Healthcare).

Denaturing IP and MS

To generate denatured protein extracts, cells were first pelleted in multiples of 9.25 OD600 equivalents (i.e., 5 ml SPO culture), then resuspended in two-fifths culture volume of 5% TCA at 4°C and distributed into tubes such that each contained 9.25 OD600 equivalents. After incubation overnight at 4°C, cells were pelleted, washed once with acetone, and dried completely. To break pellets, 100 µl zirconia beads and 150 µl TE50, pH 7.5, 2.75 mM DTT, 1× PhosSTOP (Roche), and 3× Complete Ultra EDTA Free (Roche) were added to each tube. Pellets were disrupted on a Mini-Beadbeater-96. SDS was added to 1%, extracts were denatured by heating at 50°C for 5 min, and NP-40 lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, and 5% glycerol) was added, supplemented with 1× PhosSTOP and 3× Complete Ultra EDTA Free, to a final volume of 1.5 ml (i.e., diluting SDS to 0.1%). Cleared lysates pooled from five tubes were added to V5 agarose beads (Sigma), incubated for 2 h at 4°C with rotation, and then washed twice with each of the following: (1) 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1% NP-40; (2) 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 0.05% NP-40, and 5% glycerol; and (3) 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, and 5% glycerol. After washes, 1× SDS sample buffer was added to the beads, and proteins were eluted by heating at 50°C for 5 min. Eluted proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, then stained with a Colloidal Blue Staining Kit (Invitrogen).

Gel bands containing the desired protein were excised, washed for 20 min in 500 µl of 100 mM NH4HCO3, then incubated at 50°C for 15 min in 150 µl of 100 mM NH4HCO3, and 2.8 mM DTT. 10 µl of 100 mM iodoacetamide was then added to the cooled gel band mixtures and incubated for 15 min in the dark at room temperature. Then the gel slice was washed in 500 µl of equal parts 100 mM NH4HCO3 and acetonitrile with shaking for 20 min. Gel slices were shrunk by soaking in 50 µl acetonitrile for 15 min. Then the supernatant was removed, and residual solvent was removed in a speed vac. Gel fragments were rehydrated with 10 µl of 25 mM NH4HCO3 containing sequencing-grade modified trypsin (Promega), incubated at room temperature for 15 min, and then supplemented with additional trypsin to completely cover the gel slices. Digestion was allowed to continue overnight at 37°C. Then, the supernatant, with two washes with 60% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid and one wash with acetonitrile were all combined and dried completely in a SpeedVac.

MS was performed by the Vincent J. Coates Proteomics/Mass Spectrometry Laboratory at University of California, Berkeley. mudPIT methods were used to achieve good sequence coverage of target proteins in a complex mixture. A nano LC column was packed in a 100-µm inner-diameter glass capillary with an emitter tip. The column consisted of 10 cm of Polaris c18 5 µm packing material (Varian), followed by 4 cm of Partisphere 5 SCX (Whatman). The column was loaded by use of a pressure bomb and washed extensively with buffer A (see below). The column was then directly coupled to an electrospray ionization source mounted on a LTQ XL linear ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). An Agilent 1200 HPLC equipped with a split line to deliver a flow rate of 300 nl/min was used for chromatography. Peptides were eluted using an eight-step mudPIT procedure (Washburn et al., 2001). Buffer A was 5% acetonitrile and 0.02% heptafluorobutyric acid (HFBA). Buffer B was 80% acetonitrile and 0.02% HFBA. Buffer C was 250 mM ammonium acetate, 5% acetonitrile, and 0.02% HFBA. Buffer D was the same as buffer C, but with 500 mM ammonium acetate.

Protein identification was performed with Integrated Proteomics Pipeline (IP2; Integrated Proteomics Applications) using ProLuCID/Sequest, DTASelect2, and Census (Tabb et al., 2002; Cocorva et al., 2007; Park et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2015). Tandem mass spectra were extracted into ms1 and ms2 files from raw files by using RawExtractor (McDonald et al., 2004). Data were
searched against the SK1 sequence of the target protein (Yue et al., 2017) plus the yeast database supplemented with sequences of common contaminants and concatenated to a decoy database in which the sequence for each entry in the original database was reversed (Peng et al., 2003). LTQ data were searched with 3,000.0 milli-atomic mass unit precursor tolerance, and the fragment ions were restricted to a 600.0 parts per million tolerance. All searches were parallelized and searched on the Vincent J. Coates proteomics cluster. Search space included all fully tryptic peptide candidates with no missed cleavage restrictions. Carbamidomethylation (+57.02146) of cysteine was considered a static modification. We required 1 peptide per protein and both tryptic termini for each protein identification. The ProLuCID search results were assembled and filtered using the DTASelect program (Tabb et al., 2002; Cociorva et al., 2007) with a peptide discovery rate (FDR) of 0.001 for single peptides and a peptide FDR of 0.005 for additional peptides for the same protein. Under such filtering conditions, the estimated FDR for peptides was never more than 0.5%. Spectra for individual posttranslational modifications of interest were manually inspected.

Data availability
All the reagents generated in this study are available upon request.

Online supplemental material
Video 1 shows the Hb1-mCherry marker strain undergoing meiosis; Video 2, the GFP-Spo2051–91 marker strain; and Video 3, the Spo42-GFP strain. Videos 4 and 5 show pGAL-NDT80 (+ GAL induction) cells undergoing meiosis or arrested in prophase I. Videos 6 and 7 show ime2-asI and control cells undergoing meiosis. Fig. S1 contains montages of Videos 4–7. Fig. S2 shows that CDC20 is not necessary for Num1 degradation and that the rpm6-1 allele phenocopies MG-132 treatment. Fig. S3 shows that the MDM36-3V5 allele is functional. Table S1 lists the strains used in this study. Table S2 lists the plasmids used.
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