Gene expression analyses identify a relationship between stanniocalcin 2 and the malignant behavior of colorectal cancer
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Background: Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the main causes of cancer-related death worldwide. Stanniocalcin 2 (STC2), a secreted glycoprotein, has been suggested to exert various functions in progression of many cancers. However, the precise biological role in CRC is not fully understood. Therefore, this study based on several public datasets aims at investigating the roles of STC2 in CRC.

Methods: We used The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) databases to evaluate the STC2 expression and its clinical significance in CRC. Cell migration and invasion by STC2 overexpression and knockdown were assessed using Transwell migration and Matrigel invasion assays. We next performed RNAseq analysis on SW480 cells with or without STC2 overexpression. Differentially expressed genes were selected by using fold-change >5 and P-value <0.05.

Results: In this study, we found that STC2 level was significantly higher in CRC than that in adjacent noncancerous tissues from TCGA and GEO. Tumors with high mRNA levels of STC2 were more common in patients with rectal cancer, left-sided CRC, advanced T-stage (T3–T4), positive lymph node involvement and advanced AJCC-stage (III–IV) from TCGA. STC2 displayed the negative correlation with the expressions of epithelial cell markers, while it was positively correlated with the expressions of mesenchymal cell markers, MMPs and the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT)-related transcriptional factors. Furthermore, we found that STC2 promoted cell migration and invasion in vitro. And a group of differentially expressed genes, which were modulated by STC2, were identified from RNAseq analyses.

Conclusion: Our study demonstrates that STC2 is overexpressed in CRC compared with normal tissues, and promotes CRC cell migration and invasion. Our data suggest that STC2 may be used as a potential biomarker for clinical application and target therapy in future.
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Introduction
Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common causes of morbidity and mortality globally, and the leading cause of death among cancer patients is metastasis.1 Current studies have revealed that the carcinogenesis of CRC is a multi-step process, which requires the accumulation of genetic and epigenetic changes.2 Although great advances have been made in elucidating the molecular basis of CRC, the exact mechanism underlying the pathogenesis of CRC remains unclear. Therefore, novel molecular biomarkers need to be identified in the future.

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Research Network, which is supervised by the National Cancer Institute and the National Human Genome Research Institute, is...
a consortium to analyze the molecular profiling and clinical parameters of 34 different tumor types on multiple levels (nucleotide mutation, copy number variation, mRNA expression, DNA methylation, and protein expression).3

The Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database established by the National Center for Biotechnology Information is a public community resource containing all high-throughput gene expression data from different types of species, diseases, and experimental conditions.4 Eight gene expression profiles of CRC were used in this study, including GSE18105,5 GSE20842,6 GSE21510,7 GSE24514,8 GSE41011,9 GSE44861,10 GSE47063,11 and GSE54986.12

Stanniocalcins (STCs) are secreted glycoproteins, which were originally identified in the corpuscles of Stannius in bony fish.13 The STC family includes two members, STC1 and STC2, both of which are highly conserved and play important roles in regulating calcium and phosphate homeostasis.13 More importantly, there is now increasing evidence of the roles of STC2 in progression of cancers. When compared with the relevant normal tissues, higher expression levels of STC2 were detected in various cancers, such as ovarian,14 gastric,15 and colorectal.16–19 Furthermore, STC2 was reported to be a predictive marker for tumor grade, invasiveness, metastasis, chemoresistance, recurrence, and prognosis.18,20 It was demonstrated that STC2 was associated with unfavorable overall survival in CRC.19 Chen et al17 found that STC2 promoted the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) process via AKT–ERK signaling in CRC. In addition, Yuan et al18 reported that STC2-induced chemoresistance is partly mediated by modulating the expression of P-glycoprotein. However, the precise role of STC2 in CRC remains to be investigated. Therefore, a study based on several public datasets was employed here.

In the present study, we found that CRC tissue exhibited an increased expression of STC2 in TCGA and GEO datasets when compared with normal tissue. It was demonstrated that the high expression of STC2 was strongly associated with tumor location, T stage, lymph node involvement, and American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) stage from the TCGA dataset. The correlation analysis from TCGA showed that STC2 displayed a positive correlation with the expression of the mesenchymal cell markers, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), and the EMT-related transcriptional factors. But a negative correlation between the levels of STC2 and the epithelial cell markers was observed from TCGA dataset. Furthermore, we also found that STC2 promoted migration and invasion of CRC cells in vitro through modulating a group of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) by RNAseq analyses.

**Materials and methods**

**TCGA database**

We extracted the gene expression data and corresponding clinicopathological data for 383 CRC patients from TCGA (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/). The statistical differences of gene expression levels were analyzed by Mann–Whitney Wilcoxon test or Student’s t-test according to the distribution of the variables. The results were presented as mean±SD of samples. We used Pearson’s R correlation coefficient to assess relationships between the mRNA expression levels of STC2 and other genes. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**GEO database**

The gene expression profiles (GSE18105, GSE20842, GSE21510, GSE24514, GSE41011, GSE44861, GSE47063, and GSE54986) were downloaded from GEO (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds/). Then we extracted STC2 expression data and employed Mann–Whitney Wilcoxon test or Student’s t-test to assess statistical differences in STC2 expression levels between CRC and normal control tissues. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Cell culture**

Human CRC cell lines SW480, DLD1, HCT116, and RKO were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. All the cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in the atmosphere of 37°C containing 5% CO2.

**Lentivirus-mediated STC2 overexpression and knockdown**

To generate the STC2 overexpression vector, the full-length open reading frame of human STC2 cDNA was originally cloned by PCR into pHBLV-Luc lentiviral vectors. For the knockdown of STC2, small hairpin RNA (shRNA) targeting STC2 gene was cloned into pGIPZ lentiviral constructs. The target sequence of STC2 used here was 5′-GGGCAAAGTCATTCAAAAGA-3′. Then the envelope plasmids (pMD2G) and lentiviral packaging plasmids (psPAX2) were co-transfected with pHBLV-Luc or pGIPZ plasmids into HEK293T cells with Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 24 hours, the supernatants were collected, and the corresponding cells were infected with lentivirus. Stable cell lines were selected in RPMI 1640 medium containing 2 µg/mL puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA) and confirmed by Western blot analysis.
Cell migration and invasion assays
A total of $1 \times 10^6$ cells in 200 µL serum-free medium were seeded into the upper side of 24-well Transwell chambers (Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA) coated with or without Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Then, RPMI 1640 medium with 10% FBS was added to the lower chamber. After 48 hours, cells on the lower surface of the chambers were stained with 0.1% crystal violet, and then cells were counted. Each experiment was repeated at least three times.

Western blot analysis
Total proteins were extracted from cells using the Protein Extraction Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Equal amounts (30 µg) of protein were separated on SDS-PAGE gels and then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The membranes were blocked with 5% fat-free dried milk and then probed with primary antibodies against STC2 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA; 1:200) and β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA; 1:1,000). β-actin served as a loading control.

RNA-sequencing analysis
Total RNA was obtained from SW480 with or without STC2 overexpression (SW480-NC and SW480-STC2) by using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA). RNaseq library was prepared for each cDNA sample using the Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq platform 2,500 platform (Illumina) by Aksomics (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). Transcript FPKM was used as the measurement of transcript expression. DEGs were selected by using fold-change $>5$ and $P$-value $<0.05$. RNA-sequencing datasets have been deposited into the NCBI with the accession number GSE116521.

Statistics analyses
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and GraphPad Prism 5. All data were presented as mean±SD of at least three independent experiments. Student’s $t$-test was used for comparisons between two groups of in vitro experiments in cell lines. $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results
Increased expression of STC2 in CRC from TCGA and GEO
To investigate the expression pattern of STC2 in CRC, we compared the STC2 expression levels in CRC and normal tissue samples from TCGA and GEO. The TCGA for CRC contained 383 CRC and 50 normal tissue samples, while the GSE18105, GSE20842, GSE41011, GSE44861, and GSE54986 datasets included 17, 65, 11, 47, and 6 paired CRC and normal control tissue samples, respectively. The GSE21510 contained 19 CRC and 25 non-tumor adjacent tissues, whereas GSE24514 contained 34 CRC and 15 non-tumor adjacent tissues. Fourteen CRC and four surrounding normal tissue samples were included in GSE47063. All GEO databases

| First author (publication year) | Country           | Dataset         | Platform            | Cancer n | Mean  | SD     | Non-tumor n | Mean  | SD     |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------------|-------|--------|
| Matsuyama et al (2010)          | Japan             | GEO:GSE18105    | Affymetrix GPL570   | 17       | 6.909495 | 0.988122 | 17          | 5.323416 | 0.284383 |
| Gaedcke et al (2010)            | Germany           | GEO:GSE20842    | Agilent GPL4133     | 65       | 8.751249 | 1.000798 | 65          | 6.585917 | 0.206843 |
| Tsukamoto et al (2011)          | Japan             | GEO:GSE21510    | Affymetrix GPL570   | 19       | 6.923814 | 0.896744 | 25          | 5.465800 | 0.234194 |
| Alhopuro et al (2012)           | Finland           | GEO:GSE24514    | Affymetrix GPL96    | 34       | 6.204555 | 0.510792 | 15          | 5.913547 | 0.269686 |
| Sun et al (2014)                | People’s Republic of China | GEO:GSE41011    | Phalanx GPL6254     | 11       | 4.028934 | 0.394237 | 11          | 3.708407 | 0.182433 |
| Ryan et al (2014)               | USA               | GEO:GSE44861    | Affymetrix GPL3921  | 47       | 6.826138 | 0.958492 | 47          | 6.093771 | 0.448783 |
| Uribe-Lewis et al (2015)        | UK                | GEO:GSE47063    | Illumina GPL6102    | 14       | 8.145573 | 1.651213 | 4           | 5.239302 | 0.434514 |
| Ding et al (2016)               | People’s Republic of China | GEO:GSE54986    | Illumina GPL10558   | 6        | 336.666667 | 176.569889 | 6           | 69.597542 | 8.612638 |

Abbreviation: GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus.
are summarized in Table 1. Consistent with the results from the TCGA datasets (Figure 1A; 8.393±1.751 vs 5.445±1.372, P<0.001), STC2 mRNA level was significantly higher in CRC than that in adjacent noncancerous tissues from GSE18105 (Figure 1B; 6.909±0.988 vs 5.323±0.284, P<0.001), GSE20842 (Figure 1C; 8.751±1.001 vs 6.586±0.207, P<0.001), GSE21510 (Figure 1D; 6.924±0.897 vs 5.466±0.234, P<0.001), GSE24514 (Figure 1E; 6.205±0.511 vs 5.914±0.207, P=0.013), GSE41011 (Figure 1F; 4.029±0.394 vs 3.708±0.182, P=0.024), GSE44861 (Figure 1G; 6.826±0.958 vs 6.094±0.449, P<0.001), GSE47063 (Figure 1H; 8.146±1.651 vs 5.239±0.435, P<0.001), and GSE54986 (Figure 1I; 336.667±176.570 vs 69.598±6.13, P=0.014). Together, these results indicated that STC2 had potential pro-tumorigenic effects in CRC.

**Promoting effect of STC2 on migration and invasion of CRC cells in vitro**

To give a better understanding of STC2 in CRC, we analyzed the basal expression of STC2 protein in CRC cell lines including SW480, DLD1, HCT116, and RKO. As shown in Figure 2A, it seemed that STC2 had high levels in HCT116 and RKO cells, but low in SW480 and DLD1 cells. So SW480 and DLD1 cells were chosen to adopt overexpression of STC2, whereas HCT116 and RKO cells were chosen to adopt inhibition of STC2. Then we conducted the functional analysis in CRC cells in vitro. First, the efficiency of STC2 overexpression and silence was assessed by Western blotting. As shown in Figure 2B, the expression level of STC2 was markedly augmented after transfection with STC2-overexpressed plasmids in SW480 and DLD1 cells, while it was significantly inhibited after transfection with STC2 shRNA vectors in HCT116 and RKO cells. Then, transwell and matrigel assays were conducted to evaluate the effects of STC2 on the migratory and the invasive potential of CRC cells. Overexpression of STC2 significantly facilitated migration and invasion of SW480 and DLD1 cells compared with control cells (Figure 2C). Consistently, knockdown of STC2 impaired it (Figure 2C), indicating that STC2 might play important roles in CRC metastasis.

**Figure 1** An increased expression of STC2 in CRC from TCGA and GEO.

**Notes:** Expression levels of STC2 were higher in CRC tissues compared with the adjacent normal tissues in TCGA (A), GSE18105 (B), GSE20842 (C), GSE21510 (D), GSE24514 (E), GSE41011 (F), GSE44861 (G), GSE47063 (H), and GSE54986 (I). *P<0.05; ***P<0.001.

**Abbreviations:** CRC, colorectal cancer; STC2, stanniocalcin 2; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.
Association of STC2 expression with clinico-pathological parameters from TCGA dataset

To define the role of STC2 in CRC, we further determine the relationship between STC2 expression and the clinico-pathological characteristics of 383 CRC patients from TCGA dataset. The clinical features were summarized in Table 2, including age, gender, tumor location, tumor size, microsatellite instability (MSI) status, history of colon polyps, KRAS mutation status, venous invasion status, TNM stage, and AJCC stage. As shown in Table 2, the expression level of STC2 mRNA increased in patients with rectal cancer compared with that in patients with colon cancer (9.057±1.399 vs 8.542±1.829, P=0.005), increased in patients with left-sided CRC compared with that in patients with right-sided CRC (9.065±1.366 vs 8.151±1.981, P<0.001), increased in stages T3–T4 compared with stages T1–T2 (8.823±1.598 vs 7.890±2.071, P<0.001), increased in patients with positive lymph node involvement compared with that in patients with negative lymph node involvement (8.946±1.592 vs 8.387±1.841, P=0.002), and increased in AJCC stages III–IV compared with AJCC stages I–II (8.894±1.621 vs 8.404±1.850, P=0.008). However, no associations were observed between STC2 expression and clinical parameters, such as age, gender, tumor size, MSI status, history of colon polyps, KRAS mutation status, venous invasion status, and distant metastasis (Table 2; P>0.05).

Correlation between STC2 expression and EMT-related markers from analysis of the TCGA dataset

To elucidate the mechanisms underlying STC2-mediated EMT, we sought to examine the correlation between the expression of STC2 and the epithelial and mesenchymal markers, EMT-related transcriptional factors, and MMPs from TCGA datasets. Consistent with the induction of EMT, STC2 levels were found to be significantly inversely correlated with the expression of the epithelial cell markers (Figure 3A), such as E-cadherin (R=−0.110, P=0.022),
Table 2 Relationship between the expression of STC2 and clinicopathologic characteristics from TCGA

| Parameters         | Patients (n) | Mean     | P25, P75               | P-value |
|--------------------|--------------|----------|------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)        |              |          |                        |         |
| ≤65                | 184          | 8.780    | (7.728, 10.019)        | 0.113   |
| >65                | 190          | 8.494    | (7.235, 10.050)        |         |
| Gender             |              |          |                        |         |
| Male               | 206          | 8.665    | (7.549, 10.053)        | 0.709   |
| Female             | 168          | 8.598    | (7.509, 9.988)         |         |
| Position           |              |          |                        | 0.005*  |
| Colon              | 288          | 8.542    | (7.242, 10.047)        |         |
| Rectum             | 95           | 9.057    | (8.074, 10.238)        |         |
| Position           |              |          |                        | 0.000*  |
| Left               | 194          | 9.065    | (8.079, 10.115)        |         |
| Right              | 176          | 8.151    | (6.757, 9.765)         |         |
| Tumor size (cm)    |              |          |                        | 0.739   |
| ≤1.2               | 129          | 8.628    | (7.372, 10.047)        |         |
| >1.2               | 114          | 8.701    | (7.665, 9.829)         |         |
| MSI                |              |          |                        | 0.122   |
| Negative           | 258          | 8.774    | (7.749, 10.083)        |         |
| Positive           | 120          | 8.457    | (7.089, 9.973)         |         |
| History of colon polyps |       |          |                        | 0.077   |
| No                 | 186          | 8.751    | (7.623, 10.003)        |         |
| Yes                | 80           | 8.368    | (7.155, 9.625)         |         |
| KRAS mutation      |              |          |                        | 0.270   |
| Negative           | 32           | 9.277    | (8.405, 10.300)        |         |
| Positive           | 27           | 8.852    | (7.626, 10.091)        |         |
| Venous invasion    |              |          |                        | 0.291   |
| Negative           | 248          | 8.698    | (7.545, 10.065)        |         |
| Positive           | 73           | 8.460    | (7.321, 9.720)         |         |
| T stage            |              |          |                        | 0.000*  |
| T1 + T2            | 69           | 7.890    | (6.793, 9.300)         |         |
| T3 + T4            | 302          | 8.823    | (7.665, 10.107)        |         |
| Lymph node         |              |          |                        | 0.002*  |
| Negative           | 204          | 8.387    | (7.130, 9.901)         |         |
| Positive           | 165          | 8.946    | (8.020, 10.173)        |         |
| Metastasis         |              |          |                        | 0.139   |
| Negative           | 251          | 8.631    | (7.530, 10.053)        |         |
| Positive           | 49           | 8.972    | (8.098, 10.038)        |         |
| AJCC stage         |              |          |                        | 0.008*  |
| I + II             | 192          | 8.404    | (7.130, 9.938)         |         |
| III + IV           | 168          | 8.894    | (7.972, 10.174)        |         |

Note: *P<0.05, statistical significance.

Abbreviations: AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; STC2, stanniocalcin 2; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.

Desmoplakin (R=−0.181, P<0.001), and occludin (R=−0.167, P<0.001). Conversely, a strong positive correlation between the mRNA levels of STC2 and the mesenchymal cell markers was observed from the TCGA dataset analysis (Figure 3B), including N-cadherin (R=0.116, P=0.016), fibronectin 1 (FN1; R=0.324, P<0.001), goosecoid (R=0.260, P<0.001), and FOXC2 (R=0.186, P<0.001). Interestingly, our results demonstrated that STC2 mRNA expression was closely related with MMP2 (Figure 3C; R=0.147, P=0.002), MMP3 (Figure 3C; R=0.374, P<0.001), and MMP9 (Figure 3C;
Gene expression analyses identify a relationship between stanniocalcin

R=0.224, P<0.001). In addition, EMT-related transcriptional factors displayed the positive correlation with STC2 (Figure 3D), including SNAIL (R=0.530, P<0.001), TWIST (R=0.355, P<0.001), β-catenin (R=0.211, P<0.001), and HMG A2 (R=0.182, P<0.001). Taken together, all these results suggested that STC2 promoted CRC metastasis through EMT signaling.

Identification of DEGs induced by STC2 overexpression from RNAseq

To further determine the differences in global gene expression profile by STC2, we performed RNAseq on SW480 cells with or without STC2 overexpression (SW480-NC vs SW480-STC2). We found that 429 genes showed at least 4-fold increase and 408 genes showed at least 4-fold decrease in SW480-STC2 cells when compared with scramble controls. Candidate genes upregulated (>7-fold; Figure 4A and Table 3) or downregulated by STC2 (>5-fold; Figure 4A and Table 4) were selected and summarized. Next, we investigated the expression patterns of these target genes in TCGA dataset. As shown in Figure 4B, the correlation analysis demonstrated that STC2 expression displayed strong positive correlation with coiled-coil domain containing 85B (CCDC85B; R=0.333, P<0.001), tumor-associated calcium signal transducer 2 (TACSTD2; R=0.200, P<0.001), ring finger protein 113A (RNF113A; R=0.225, P<0.001), neurexophilin 4 (NXPH4;
Figure 4 (Continued)
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Table 3 List of candidate genes upregulated by STC2 from RNA-seq

| Gene symbol | Fold change | Description |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| H1F0        | 342.003174  | H1 histone family member 0 |
| HNRNPH2     | 57.233059   | Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H2 |
| CCDC85B     | 39.787907   | Coiled-coil domain containing 85B |
| RBAGA       | 36.407345   | Ras-related GTP-binding A |
| TACSTD2     | 31.624689   | Tumor-associated calcium signal transducer 2 |
| CENP8       | 31.29068    | Centromere protein B |
| RNF113A     | 21.269817   | Ring finger protein 113A |
| TSPYL1      | 20.866106   | TSPY-like 1 |
| SMIM10      | 17.073034   | Small integral membrane protein 10 |
| CSNK2A3     | 16.724165   | Casein kinase 2 alpha 3 |
| NXPH4       | 16.6142421  | Neurexophilin 4 |
| UBQLN2      | 12.39677    | Ubiquilin 2 |
| UTP3        | 11.763036   | UTP3, small-subunit processome component |
| MRPL57      | 10.7517476  | Mitochondrial ribosomal protein L57 |
| GNG12       | 10.25738078 | G protein subunit gamma 12 |
| ARF6        | 8.891435614 | ADP ribosylation factor 6 |
| EXOSC6      | 8.185877    | Exosome component 6 |
| LINCO0116   | 8.037736957 | Long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 116 |
| HASPIN      | 7.989008    | Histone H3 associated protein kinase |
| FASTKD5     | 7.872255125 | FAST kinase domains 5 |
| CITED4      | 7.735957    | Cbp/p300 interacting transactivator with Gli/Asp-rich carboxy-terminal domain 4 |
| ZNF865      | 7.15529196  | Zinc finger protein 865 |

Abbreviation: STC2, stanniocalcin 2.

Table 4 List of candidate genes downregulated by STC2 from RNA-seq

| Gene symbol | Fold change | Description |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| RHOB        | 0.008998524 | Ras homolog family member B |
| PRNP        | 0.017845241 | Prion protein |
| SFN         | 0.019668514 | Stratrin |
| ZBED2       | 0.027573587 | Zinc finger BED-type containing 2 |
| HIST3H2A    | 0.031139269 | Histone cluster 3 H2A |
| RNF26       | 0.034797645 | Ring finger protein 26 |
| THAP11      | 0.061329844 | THAP domain-containing 11 |
| IRS2        | 0.066151925 | Insulin receptor substrate 2 |
| DOLK        | 0.077080648 | Dolchil kinase |
| HIST2H2BE   | 0.080842646 | Histone cluster 2 H2B family member E |
| ZNF770      | 0.081286499 | Zinc finger protein 770 |
| ZNF358      | 0.102695381 | Zinc finger protein 358 |
| SFRP5       | 0.105743046 | Secreted frizzled-related protein 5 |
| FJX1        | 0.10776027 | Four jointed box 1 |
| CDC4A       | 0.107929947 | Cell division cycle-associated 4 |
| MYADM       | 0.11265252 | Myeloid-associated differentiation marker |
| ZNF830      | 0.123662161 | Zinc finger protein 830 |
| ATXN7L3B    | 0.134359481 | Ataxin 7 like 3B |
| ZNF703      | 0.14828909 | Zinc finger protein 703 |
| NRB2        | 0.152734446 | Nuclear receptor-binding factor 2 |
| HRTC1       | 0.172996284 | Histidine rich carboxyl terminus 1 |
| CCDC71      | 0.179029879 | Coiled-coil domain containing 71 |
| APOL6       | 0.183460969 | Apolipoprotein L6 |
| EFHD2       | 0.185244142 | EF-hand domain family member D2 |

Abbreviation: STC2, stanniocalcin 2.

Figure 4 Identification of DEGs induced by STC2 overexpression from RNA-seq.

Notes: (A) The clustering heatmap of the significantly dysregulated genes in SW480 cells transfected with empty vector (SW480-NC) or STC2 expression vector (SW480-STC2). (B) The positive correlation between CCDC85B, TACSTD2, RNF113A, NXPH4, UTP3, MRPL57, EXOSC6, HASPIN or CITED4, and STC2 expression was illustrated in scatter plot from TCGA dataset. (C) The negative correlation between SFN, NRB2, HRTC1, CCDC71, APOL6, or EFHD2, and STC2 expression was illustrated in scatter plot by Pearson correlation analysis from TCGA dataset. 

Abbreviations: APOL6, apolipoprotein L6; CCDC71, coiled-coil domain containing 71; CCDC85B, coiled-coil domain containing 85B; CITED4, Cbp/p300 interacting transactivator with Gli/Asp-rich carboxy-terminal domain 4; DEG, differentially expressed gene; EFHD2, EF-hand domain family member D2; EXOSC6, exosome component 6; HASPIN, histone H3 associated protein kinase; HRTC1, histidine-rich carboxyl terminus 1; MMP, metalloproteinase; MRPL57, mitochondrial ribosomal protein L57; NRB2, nuclear receptor-binding factor 2; NXPH4, neurexophilin 4; RNF113A, ring finger protein 113A; SFN, stratrin; STC2, stanniocalcin 2; TACSTD2, tumor-associated calcium signal transducer 2; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.
and Cbp/p300 interacting transactivator with Glu/Asp-rich carboxy-terminal domain 4 (CITED4; \( R = 0.119, P = 0.013 \)). Consistently, we also found significant negative correlation between STC2 and stratifin (SFN; \( R = -0.281, P < 0.001 \)), nuclear receptor-binding factor 2 (NRBF2; \( R = -0.261, P < 0.001 \)), HRCT1 (\( R = -0.294, P < 0.001 \)), CCDC71 (\( R = -0.124, P = 0.010 \)), apolipoprotein L6 (APOL6; \( R = -0.190, P < 0.001 \)), and EF-hand domain family member D2 (EFHD2; \( R = -0.274, P < 0.001 \)) expression (Figure 4C). We selected these genes for further observation.

Then we checked the mRNA levels of these genes in TCGA dataset. Consistent with our results from RNaseq, the expression of CCDC85B (8.452±0.695 vs 7.660±0.586, \( P < 0.001 \)), TACSTD2 (8.692±2.714 vs 4.754±0.635, \( P < 0.001 \)), RNF113A (8.859±0.558 vs 8.165±0.333, \( P < 0.001 \)), NXPH4 (4.95±2.148 vs 1.861±0.899, \( P < 0.001 \)), MRPL57 (10.15±0.493 vs 9.697±0.415, \( P < 0.001 \)), EXOSC6 (8.109±0.478 vs 7.846±0.314, \( P = 0.012 \), and HASPIN (6.670±0.626 vs 5.633±0.719, \( P < 0.001 \)) were dramatically upregulated in CRC samples when compared with adjacent normal tissues (Figure 5A). Although there were no statistically significant differences of UTP3 (9.334±0.299 vs 9.208±0.263, \( P = 0.078 \)) and CITED4 (6.49±1.305 vs 6.110±1.017, \( P = 0.195 \)) expression between CRC and normal control tissues, a similar trend was observed. In addition, as shown in Figure 5B, we validated decreased levels of SFN (11.415±0.836 vs 12.182±0.773, \( P < 0.001 \)), NRBF2 (8.794±0.297 vs 9.029±0.352, \( P = 0.005 \)), HRCT1 (6.364±1.116 vs 8.93±1.040, \( P < 0.001 \)), and APOL6 (10.765±0.590 vs 11.091±0.452, \( P = 0.016 \)) in CRC compared with normal tissues from TCGA dataset. However, no differences of CCDC71 (8.672±0.432 vs 8.869±0.390, \( P = 0.060 \)) and EFHD2 (12.021±0.460 vs 12.101±0.389, \( P = 0.459 \)) levels were observed between CRC and normal tissues. All these results suggested that STC2 might modulate the expression of a group of candidate genes.

**Discussion**

The TCGA cohort is a publicly available database, including 34 types of cancer tissue and normal tissue.\(^3\) In our study, we performed TCGA data analyses and found significant upregulation of STC2 in CRC. Furthermore, these results were validated in eight NCBI GEO datasets. The concordant performance in all datasets was as expected. In addition, it was indicated that the STC2 expression was significantly associated with tumor location, T stage, lymph node metastasis, and AJCC stage. In this study, our results demonstrated that patients with left-sided CRC had tumors with the elevated expression of STC2. Recently, many studies indicated that left-sided and right-sided CRC might belong to two distinctly different categories of cancer.\(^{21,22}\) They had different embryological background, molecular patterns, clinical features, outcomes, and treatment.\(^{21,22}\) For instance, it was reported that microsatellite-stable (MSS) CRC had a distinct phenotype such as left-sided location.\(^{23,24}\) All of our results suggested that STC2 might contribute to the tumorigenesis or progression of CRC.

In our previous study, we have demonstrated that STC2 overexpression was a biomarker for aggressiveness in high-grade serous ovarian cancer.\(^4\) Interestingly, it was consistent with our results in CRC in this study. Here, we found that high STC2 expression was associated with advanced T-stage, positive lymphatic involvement, and advanced AJCC stage. In the study of STC2 in esophageal squamous cell cancer (ESC), Kita et al\(^5\) found that STC2 mRNA expression was significantly associated with lymph node metastasis and lymphatic invasion in ESC. Consistently, we reported that high STC2 levels were correlated with positive lymph node metastasis in CRC in this study. Lymph node metastasis is a critical event in the progress of malignancies.\(^{26}\) It is a multistep process that includes detachment of cancer cells from their primary site, migration into lymphatic vessels, spread and settlement of tumor cells in the lymphatic system, escape from the lymphatic system, and finally colonization at a distant site. Several pathways and growth factors are involved in this process,\(^{26,27}\) including VEGF-C/VEGF-D/VEGFR-3 pathway,\(^{28}\) fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2),\(^{29}\) insulin-like growth factor (IGF),\(^{30}\) and so on. As we know, EMT also plays a very important in it.\(^{31}\) Here, we identified the relationship between STC2 expression and lymph node metastasis. We speculated that STC2 promoted lymphatic metastasis through VEGF-C/VEGF-D/VEGFR-3 pathway and EMT-related molecules. However, its precise mechanism remains elusive. The molecular mechanism should be further investigated in future.

To further investigate the biological functions of STC2 in CRC, we compared the migration and invasion abilities of SW480 and DLD1 cell lines with or without STC2 overexpression. Promoting effects of STC2 on migration and invasion of CRC cells were observed. Consistently, the silence of STC2 reduced the migration and invasiveness of HCT116 and RKO cells in vitro. Here, this study identified a significant role of STC2 in CRC. STC2 facilitated the migration and invasion of CRC cells, and it was entirely...
Figure 5  Altered expression of DEGs in CRC from TCGA.
Notes: (A) Dot plots showed the expression of CCDC85B, TACSTD2, RNF113A, NXPH4, UTP3, MRPL57, EXOSC6, HASPIN, and CITED4 in CRC and the adjacent normal tissues from TCGA dataset. (B) Dot plots showed the expression of SFN, NRB2F2, HRCT1, CCDC71, APOL6, and EFHD2 in CRC and the adjacent normal tissues from TCGA dataset. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001.
Abbreviations: APOL6, apolipoprotein L6; CCDC71, coiled-coil domain containing 71; CCDC85B, coiled-coil domain containing 85B; CITED4, Cbp/p300 interacting transactivator with Glu/Asp-rich carboxy-terminal domain 4; CRC, colorectal cancer; DEG, differentially expressed gene; EFHD2, EF-hand domain family member D2; EXOSC6, exosome component 6; HASPIN, histone H3 associated protein kinase; HRCT1, histidine-rich carboxyl terminus 1; MRPL57, mitochondrial ribosomal protein L57; NRB2F2, nuclear receptor-binding factor 2; NXPH4, neurexophilin 4; RNF113A, ring finger protein 113A; SFN, stratifin; TACSTD2, tumor-associated calcium signal transducer 2; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.
in agreement with the fact that high STC2 expression was associated with advanced T- and N-stage.

Previous studies have suggested that STC2 expression plays an important role in the EMT of ovarian cancer and breast cancer. Here, we compared the relationships between the expression of STC2 and EMT-related genes using the TCGA datasets of CRC. The increased expression of mesenchymal markers and decreased expression of epithelial markers were identified in the STC2-high group. It highlighted a key role of STC2 in the regulation of EMT in CRC. The significantly elevated levels of MMP2, MMP3, and MMP9 were also observed in the STC2-high group here. Our findings showed an association between STC2 and EMT in CRC, and it raised the possibility that STC2 might partly drive EMT in CRC.

As STC2 overexpression played an important role in the CRC cells, there might be a number of differences in the gene expression profiles between STC2-high and STC2-low group. Thus, RNA-Seq was carried out on SW480 colon cancer cells with or without STC2 overexpression. The candidate genes identified by RNA-seq were further analyzed in the TCGA datasets. The results indicated that the expression levels of 15 genes tested were well matched between RNaseq and TCGA datasets. CCDC85B (also known as DIPA), which could be found on the centrosome, directly interacted with p78 and influenced malignant transformation by modulating gene transcription. TACSTD2 is a single transmembrane surface glycoprotein, which is highly expressed in various carcinoma tissues. Elevated TACSTD2 (also known as TROP-2) level was associated with poor survival in various tumors of epithelial origin, including CRC. Most interestingly, the results from preclinical studies demonstrated that antibody–drug conjugates (ADCs) against Trop-2 were effective for treatment in several epithelial cancers. As an oncoprotein, RNF113A promoted cell proliferation, migration, and invasiveness in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). In the study of genome-wide gene expression profiling of CRC and adjacent tissues by Sun and Qian, UTP3 was identified as 1 of 20 hub genes in CRC. It suggested that UTP3 plays a vital role in the development of CRC. Hawkins et al reported that EXOSC6 was identified as one of the five novel peptides presented by the genome-wide gene expression profiling of CRC and adjacent tissues. Therefore, HASPIN has been the focus of potential drugs for treating cancer recently, and its inhibitors possess antitumor effects. CITED4 interacts with CBP/P300 and disrupts the binding between hypoxia inducible factor 1 subunit alpha (HIF1α) and CBP/P300, and it leads to the inhibition of HIF1α-mediated transcription that is involved in hypoxia signaling. In CRC, Rogers et al found that knockdown of CITED4 inhibited cell proliferation and deregulated the expression of a group of genes involved in adherens and tight junctions. SFN, a member of the 14-3-3 family, was found to be downregulated in a large number of epithelial cancers. It is involved in multiple biological processes, including cell cycle, apoptosis, differentiation, and cell survival. NRBF2 was identified as a component of the class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase complex I (PI3KC3-C1), suggesting that NRBF2 was important in autophagy. Liu et al previously reported that APOL6 induced mitochondria-mediated apoptosis in DLD-1 CRC cell line. EFHD2, also known as swiprosin-1 (SWS1), was also found to be involved in tumorigenesis. It acted dual role as a negative or positive regulator in NF-kB pathway. In addition, it induced apoptosis in WEHI231 cell. However, it promoted metastasis in B16F10 cells. Taken together, these data suggested that STC2 promoted CRC progress by regulating a group of target genes.

**Conclusion**

Overall, our data revealed that STC2 showed significantly increased expression in CRC patients from public database and promoted cell migration and invasion in vitro. High STC2 levels closely associated with tumor location, T-stage, lymphatic metastasis, and AJCC stage. Correlation between STC2 and EMT-related markers was also observed here. Our data strongly indicated that STC2 might represent a novel and potential therapeutic target for CRC.
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