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The article delivers the only still unknown coefficient in the 4th post-Newtonian energy expression for binary point masses on circular orbits as function of orbital angular frequency. Apart from a single coefficient, which is known solely numerically, all the coefficients are given as exact numbers. The shown Hamiltonian is presented in the center-of-mass frame and out of its 57 coefficients 51 are given fully explicitly. Those coefficients are six coefficients more than previously achieved [Jaranowski/Schäfer, Phys. Rev. D 86, 061503(R) (2012)]. The local divergences in the point-mass model are uniquely controlled by the method of dimensional regularization. As application, the last stable circular orbit is determined as function of the symmetric-mass-ratio parameter.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The measurement of gravitational waves will finally reveal the motion of binary black holes with highest precision including the 4th post-Newtonian (4PN) approximation under consideration in the present article [1]. Particularly important is the knowledge of the last stable circular orbit (LSCO) beyond which binary systems do plunge and undergo merging. Though PN schemes are not quite appropriate for describing plunge orbits reasonable estimates can be made for the LSCO. For achieving more, the effective-one-body (EOB) approach by Damour and collaborators [2], which is strongly based on PN approximations, allows treatment of the dynamics beyond LSCO (see, e.g., [3, 4]). Of most urgent need is the knowledge of the PN dynamics beyond 3.5PN order, particularly the 4PN order.

In this communication we will report on the calculation of six more coefficients of the 4PN center-of-mass Hamiltonian for binary point-mass systems which resulted in the knowledge of 51 coefficients out of total 57 ones. Without knowledge of the missing six coefficients, the full energy expression of binary systems on circular orbits is derived for the first time taking into account a coefficient which was recently calculated numerically [5]. The numerically-only-known coefficient is uniquely connected with the global structure of the near zone and needs for its calculation the regularization of infrared divergences. All the other coefficients in the energy expression for circular orbits at 4PN order – apart from the intimately connected with logarithmic function term linear in the ratio of reduced mass to total mass – are calculable with the aid of the technic of dimensional regularization, in this way controlling the ultraviolet divergences resulting from the applied point-mass model. It is worth noticing that the corresponding logarithmic terms in the Hamiltonian presented below were uniquely calculated with the aid of analytic regularization in three-dimensional space; here, pure dimensional regularization would have supplied logarithmic expressions not in agreement with the Poincaré algebra. The previous achievements in the expression for the energy on circular orbits at 4PN are confirmed through [5, 8] and, most recently, by [9].

We employ the following notation: \( x = (x^i) \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3) \) denotes a point in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) endowed with a standard Euclidean metric and a scalar product (denoted by a dot). Letters \( a \) and \( b \) \( (a, b = 1, 2) \) are body labels, so \( \mathbf{x}_a \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) denotes the position of the \( a \)-th point mass. We also define \( \mathbf{r}_a \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a \), \( r_a \equiv |\mathbf{r}_a| \), \( \mathbf{n}_a \equiv \mathbf{r}_a/r_a \); and for \( a \neq b \), \( \mathbf{r}_{ab} \equiv \mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b \), \( r_{ab} \equiv |\mathbf{r}_{ab}| \), \( \mathbf{n}_{ab} \equiv \mathbf{r}_{ab}/r_{ab} \); \( \cdot \) stands here for the Euclidean length of a vector. The linear momentum vector of the \( a \)-th body is denoted by \( \mathbf{p}_a = (p_{a1}, p_{a2}, p_{a3}) \), and \( m_a \) denotes its mass parameter. We abbreviate \( \delta (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a) \) by \( \delta_a \). Extensive use has been made of the computer-algebra system MATHEMATICA.

II. THE ADM CANONICAL APPROACH IN \( d \) SPACE DIMENSIONS

Let \( D \equiv d + 1 \) denote the (analytically continued) space-time dimension. The ADM approach [10] uses a \( d+1 \) split of the coupled gravity-matter dynamics and works with the canonical pairs \( (x^\alpha, p_\alpha) \) and \( (g_{ij}, \pi^{ij}) \) \( (i,j,k,\ldots) \) denote spatial indices taking formally \( d \) values; \( a,b = 1,2 \) labels the particles). The dimensionally continued hamiltonian and momentum constraints read (in units where \( 16\pi G_D = c = 1 \) with \( G_D \) denoting the generalized Newtonian gravitational constant and \( c \) the...
speed of light)
\[
\sqrt{g} R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \left( g_{ik} g_{j\ell} \pi^{ij} \pi^{k\ell} - \frac{1}{d-1} (g_{ij} \pi^{ij})^2 \right) + \sum_a (m_a^2 + g_a^{ij} p_{ai} p_{aj}) \delta_a \tag{2.1a}
\]
\[
-2 D_j \pi^{ij} = \sum_a g_a^{ij} p_{aj} \delta_a. \tag{2.1b}
\]

Here \( R \) denotes the space curvature of the hypersurface \( t = \text{const} \), \( g \) is the determinant of the matrix \( (g_{ij}) \), \( \delta_a \) denotes \( d \)-dimensional Dirac delta distribution (with \( \int d^dx \delta_a = 1 \)), \( g_a^{ij} \) is the finite part of metric evaluated at the particle position (which can be perturbatively unambiguously defined), and \( D_j \) is the \( d \)-dimensional covariant derivative (acting on a tensor density of weight one).

Taking into account the appropriate coordinate conditions
\[
g_{ij} = \Psi \pi^{ij} \delta_{ij} + h^{TT}_{ij}, \quad \pi^{ii} = 0, \tag{2.2}
\]
where
\[
\Psi = 1 + \frac{1}{d-2} \frac{d}{4} - \frac{1}{d-1} \phi \tag{2.3}
\]
holds and where \( h^{TT}_{ij} \) is a symmetric transverse-traceless (TT) quantity, \( h^{tt}_{ii} = h^{TT}_{ij}, h^{TT}_{ij} = 0 \), and the field momentum \( \pi^{ij} \) is split into its longitudinal and TT parts, respectively \( \pi^{ij} = \dot{\pi}^{ij} + \pi^{ij}_{TT} \), with \( \dot{\pi}^{ij} = \partial_k \pi^j - \frac{2}{d-2} \delta^{ij} \partial_k \pi^k \), from the constraint equations, the reduced Hamiltonian results in the form,
\[
H_{\text{red}}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, h^{TT}_{ij}, \pi^{ij}_{TT}] = - \int d^dx \Delta \phi[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, h^{TT}_{ij}, \pi^{ij}_{TT}]. \tag{2.4}
\]

This Hamiltonian describes the evolution of the matter and independent gravitational field variables.

An autonomous conservative Hamiltonian can be obtained through the transition to a Routhian description,
\[
R[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, h^{TT}_{ij}, h^{ij}_{TT}] = H_{\text{red}} - \int d^dx \pi^{ij}_{TT} h^{ij}_{TT}. \tag{2.5}
\]
Then the matter Hamiltonian reads
\[
H(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) = R[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, h^{TT}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a), h^{ij}_{TT}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)], \tag{2.6}
\]
where higher-order time derivatives are eliminated through lower-order equations of motion [11], corresponding to a canonical transformation.

### III. DIMENSIONAL REGULARIZATION OF LOCAL DIVERGENCES

Dimensional regularization (DR) has shown its power in the previous 3PN calculations where unique results were achieved with using Dirac delta distributions as source functions [12, 14] (also see [13, 16]). Distributitional derivatives must still be applied but crucial for the success of the DR technic is the preservation of the Leibniz rule for differentiations and the related treatment of the finite part of products of singular functions. On the other side, at the 3PN Hamiltonian level, all occurring \( 1/(d-3) \)-poles together with the connected logarithmic terms do cancel each other [12]. As efficient DR has proven in controlling local divergences, it failed in the treatment of long-range divergences. Those infrared divergences have nothing to do with the used point-mass model but rely on the very definition of the near zone. Within our formalism, those divergences are still not fully under control and need further investigations. Only the logarithmic terms resulting in this context we were able to calculate uniquely.

To compute the 4PN Hamiltonian \( H_{4PN} \) we have splitted the Hamiltonian and Hamiltonian density \( h_{4PN} \) into two parts,
\[
H_{4PN}(d) = \int d^dx h_{4PN}(d) = H_{4PN}^{\text{loc}}(d) + H_{4PN}^{\text{inf}}(d), \tag{3.1a}
\]
\[
H_{4PN}^{\text{loc}}(d) = \int d^dx h_{4PN}^{\text{loc}}(d), \tag{3.1b}
\]
\[
H_{4PN}^{\text{inf}}(d) = \int d^dx h_{4PN}^{\text{inf}}(d), \tag{3.1c}
\]
where the integral over \( h_{4PN}^{\text{loc}} \) is convergent at spatial infinity albeit it is locally divergent, and the integral over \( h_{4PN}^{\text{inf}} \) is divergent at spatial infinity but \( h_{4PN}^{\text{inf}} \) is locally integrable. In the present paper we have computed the 3-dimensional limit of the first integral. To regularize local divergences we have employed the DR technic in a way described in detail in Ref. [12]. It means that in fact we have computed the difference
\[
\Delta H_{4PN} \equiv \lim_{d \to 3} H_{4PN}^{\text{loc}}(d) - H_{4PN}^{\text{RH loc}}(3), \tag{3.2}
\]
where \( H_{4PN}^{\text{RH loc}}(3) \) is the “local part” of the Hamiltonian obtained by means of the 3-dimensional Riesz-implemented Hadamard (RH) regularization defined in Refs. [17–19]. The way of computing this difference was devised in Secs. 3 and 4 of [12] and can be summarized as follows. Let us consider some global (i.e. performed over the whole \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) space) integral, which develops only local poles. Let us denote its integrand by \( i(x) \). Then the value of the integral, after performing the RH regularization in 3 dimensions, usually has the structure
\[
I_{\text{RH}}(3; \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} i(x)(\frac{r_1}{s_1})^{\varepsilon_1}(\frac{r_2}{s_2})^{\varepsilon_2} d^3x
\]
\[
= A + c_1(3) \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \ln \frac{r_1 s_2}{s_1} \right) + c_2(3) \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2} + \ln \frac{r_1 s_2}{s_2} \right) + O(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2). \tag{3.3}
\]
Here \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) are arbitrary 3-dimensional regularization scales. To find the DR correction to the integral...
$I_{RH}(3; \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$, related with the local poles at, say, $x = x_1$, it is enough to consider this part of the integrand $i(x)$ which develops logarithmic singularities, i.e. which locally behaves like $1/r_1^3$, and it is enough to consider the integral of this part over the ball $B(x_1, \ell_1)$ of radius $\ell_1$ surrounding the particle $x_1$. The RH regularized value of this integral reads

$$I_{RH}^R(3;\varepsilon)=\int_{B(x_1,\ell_1)} c_1(3;\mathbf{n}_1) r_1^{-3} \frac{(r_1)}{s_1} \varepsilon_1 d^3 r_1 = c_1(3) \int_{0}^{\ell_1} r_1^{-3} \frac{(r_1)}{s_1} r_1^2 dr_1, \quad (3.4)$$

where $c_1(3)$ is the angle-averaged value of the coefficient $c_1(3;\mathbf{n}_1)$. The expansion of the integral $I_{RH}^R(3;\varepsilon_1)$ around $\varepsilon_1 = 0$ equals

$$I_{RH}^R(3;\varepsilon_1) = \frac{c_1(3)}{\varepsilon_1} + c_1(3) \ln \frac{\ell_1}{s_1} + O(\varepsilon_1). \quad (3.5)$$

In the next step one computes the $d$-dimensional version of the integral $I_{RH}^R(3;\varepsilon_1)$. Let us call it $I_1(d)$. It has the structure

$$I_1(d) \equiv \int_{B(x_1,\ell_1)} c_1(3;\mathbf{n}_1) r_1^{-d-3} d^3 r_1 = \int_{0}^{\ell_1} r_1^{-d-3} r_1^d dr_1, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\ell_0$ is the scale which relates the Newtonian gravitational constant $G_N$ with the $D$-dimensional gravitational constant $G_D$,

$$G_D = G_N \ell_0^{d-3},$$

and the number $k$ indicates the momentum-order of the term [the term with $k$ is of the order of $O(p^{10-2k})$, where $k = 1, \ldots, 5$]. The radial integral in Eq. (3.6) is convergent if the real part of $d$ fulfills $\Re(d) < 3$. The expansion of the integral $I_1(d)$ around $\varepsilon \equiv d - 3 = 0$ reads

$$I_1(d) = -\frac{c_1(3)}{2\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2} c'_1(3) + c_1(3) \ln \frac{\ell_0}{\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon). \quad (3.7)$$

Let us observe that the coefficient $c'_1(3)$ usually depends on $\ln r_{12}$, so it has the structure

$$c'_1(3) = c'_{11}(3) + c'_{12}(3) \ln \frac{r_{12}}{\ell_0}. \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore the DR correction will also change the terms $\propto \ln r_{12}$.

The DR correction of the integral $I_{RH}(3;\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2)$ relies on replacing this integral by

$$I_{RH}(3;\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2) + \Delta I_1 + \Delta I_2, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\Delta I_\alpha = \lim_{d \to 3} I_\alpha(d) - \lim_{\varepsilon_1 \to 3} I_{RH}^R(3;\varepsilon_1), \quad \alpha = 1, 2. \quad (3.10)$$

The corrected value of $I_{RH}(3;\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2)$ thus reads

$$I_{RH}(3;\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2) + \Delta I_1 + \Delta I_2 = A - \frac{c_1(3) + c_2(3)}{2\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2} \left( c'_1(3) + c'_2(3) \right) + \left( c_1(3) + c_2(3) \right) \ln \frac{r_{12}}{\ell_0}$$

$$= A - \frac{c_1(3) + c_2(3)}{2\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2} \left( c'_{11}(3) + c'_{21}(3) \right)$$

$$+ \left( c_1(3) - \frac{1}{2} c'_{12}(3) + c_2(3) - \frac{1}{2} c'_{22}(3) \right) \ln \frac{r_{12}}{\ell_0}. \quad (3.11)$$

Note that all poles $\propto 1/\varepsilon_1, 1/\varepsilon_2$ and all terms depending on $\ln \ell_1, \ln \ell_2$ or $1/\ell_1, 1/\ell_2, s_1, s_2$ cancel each other. The result (3.11) is as if all computations were fully done in $d$ dimensions.

**IV. CENTER-OF-MASS HAMILTONIAN**

Making use of the procedure described in the previous section we have computed DR corrections to all logarithmically divergent terms contributing to $H_{4PN}^{loc}(3)$. After summing up all these corrections we have obtained terms proportional to $1/\varepsilon$ as well as to $\ln(r_{12}/\ell_0)$. We have shown that both kind of terms can be removed from the Hamiltonian by adding a total time derivative. This way we have obtained free of poles and logarithmic terms local part $H_{4PN}^{loc}(3)$ of the 3-dimensional 4PN Hamiltonian. We will show here the explicit form of this part in the center-of-mass reference frame. Let us note that the DR corrections are needed only for some terms of the order $4, 2, 0$ in momenta. All terms of the order $10, 8, 6$ were calculated in [6] by means of 3-dimensional RH regularization.

The center-of-mass frame is defined by the condition $p_1 + p_2 = 0$. It is convenient to use the following reduced variables: $r \equiv r_{12}/(GM)$ (with $r \equiv |r|$ and $n \equiv r/s$), $p \equiv p_1/\mu$, where $M \equiv m_1 + m_2$ is the total mass of the system and $\mu \equiv m_1 m_2/M$ is its reduced mass. We also introduce the reduced Hamiltonian $\hat{H} \equiv (H - Mc^2)/\mu$ which depends on masses only through the symmetric mass ratio $\nu \equiv \mu/M$ ($0 \leq \nu \leq 1/4$; $\nu = 0$ is the test-mass limit and $\nu = 1/4$ holds for equal masses).

We have checked that the noncomputed part of the Hamiltonian, $H_{4PN}^{inf}(3)$, in the center-of-mass frame and in the reduced form $\hat{H}_{4PN}^{inf}(3) \equiv H_{4PN}^{inf}(3)/\mu$, is proportional to $\nu$. It contains logarithmic terms which result from divergences of the instantaneous near-zone metric when going to large distances. They were computed in Ref. 2 (see also Refs. 3, 4). In the logarithmic terms $s$ is a regularization scale [$s \equiv s/(GM)$, where $s$ is a regularization scale with dimension of length].

The 4PN-accurate conservative Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(r, p)$ in the center-of-mass frame equals

$$\hat{H}(r, p) = \hat{H}_N(r, p) + \hat{H}_{4PN}(r, p) + \hat{H}_{4PN}(r, p)$$

$$+ \hat{H}_{3PN}(r, p) + \hat{H}_{4PN}(r, p). \quad (4.1)$$
The reduced Hamiltonians from $\hat{H}_N$ to $\hat{H}_{3\text{PN}}$ can be found in Eq. (3.6) of [19] (where one has to put $\omega_{\text{static}} = 0$ and $\omega_{\text{kinetic}} = 41/24$, see [12]). The 4PN reduced Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{4\text{PN}}$ was partially computed in Ref. [6]. For convenience of the reader we repeat here the main result of [6], i.e. the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{4\text{PN}}$ written in the following form (taken from Eq. (3.1) of [6]; here $(p^2) \equiv p \cdot p$ and $(np) \equiv n \cdot p$):

$$e^8 \hat{H}_{4\text{PN}}(r, p) = \left(\frac{7}{256} - \frac{63}{256} \nu + \frac{189}{256} (p^2) - \frac{105}{128} (p^2)^2 + \frac{63}{256} (p^2)^3\right) (p^2)^5$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{45}{128} (p^2)^4 - \frac{45}{16} (p^2)^4 \nu + \left(\frac{423}{64} (p^2)^4 - \frac{3}{32} (np)^2 (p^2)^2 - \frac{9}{64} (np)^4 (p^2)^2\right) \nu^2 \right\} (p^2)$$

$$+ \left\{ -\frac{1013}{256} (p^2)^4 + \frac{23}{64} (np)^2 (p^2)^3 + \frac{69}{128} (np)^4 (p^2)^2 - \frac{5}{64} (np)^6 (p^2) + \frac{35}{256} (np)^8 \right\} \nu^3$$

$$+ \left\{ -\frac{35}{128} (p^2)^4 - \frac{5}{32} (np)^2 (p^2)^3 - \frac{9}{64} (np)^4 (p^2)^2 - \frac{5}{32} (np)^6 (p^2) - \frac{35}{128} (np)^8 \right\} \nu^3 \right\} \frac{1}{r^2}$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{105}{32} (p^2)^2 + \left[ C_{41}(r, p) + \frac{237}{40} (p^2)^2 - \frac{1293}{40} (np)^2 (p^2) + \frac{97}{4} (np)^4 \right] \ln \frac{r}{s} \right\} \nu$$

$$+ C_{42}(r, p) \nu^2 + \left\{ -\frac{553}{128} (p^2)^2 - \frac{225}{64} (np)^2 (p^2) - \frac{381}{128} (np)^4 \right\} \nu^3 \right\} \frac{1}{r^3}$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{105}{32} (p^2)^2 + \left[ C_{21}(r, p) + \frac{233}{40} (p^2)^2 - \frac{29}{6} (np)^2 \right] \ln \frac{r}{s} \right\} \nu + C_{22}(r, p) \nu^2 \right\} \frac{1}{r^4}$$

$$+ \left\{ -\frac{1}{16} + \left[ c_{01} + \frac{21}{20} \ln \frac{r}{s} \right] \nu + c_{02} \nu^2 \right\} \frac{1}{r^5}. \quad (4.2)$$

The Hamiltonian (4.2) contains the terms $C_{4i}(r, p)$, $C_{2i}(r, p)$ ($i = 1, 2$) and the coefficients $c_{01}$ and $c_{02}$ which were not computed in Ref. [6]. In the present paper we have calculated, making use of dimensional regularization, the terms $C_{4i}(r, p)$, $C_{2i}(r, p)$ and the coefficient $c_{02}$. They read

$$C_{42}(r, p) = \left( -\frac{1189789}{28800} + \frac{18491}{16384} \pi^2 \right) (p^2)^2 + \left( -\frac{127}{3} - \frac{4035}{2048} \pi^2 \right) (np)^2 (p^2) + \left( \frac{57563}{1920} - \frac{38655}{16384} \pi^2 \right) (np)^4, \quad (4.3a)$$

$$C_{2i}(r, p) = \left( \frac{672811}{19200} - \frac{158177}{49152} \pi^2 \right) (p^2)^2 + \left( -\frac{21827}{3840} + \frac{110099}{49152} \pi^2 \right) (np)^2, \quad (4.3b)$$

$$c_{02} = \frac{1256}{45} + \frac{7403}{3072} \pi^2. \quad (4.3c)$$

The still noncomputed is the coefficient $c_{01}$ and the terms $C_{4i}(r, p)$ and $C_{2i}(r, p)$ of the structure

$$C_{41}(r, p) = c_{411} (p^2)^2 + c_{412} (np)^2 (p^2) + c_{413} (np)^4, \quad (4.4a)$$

$$C_{21}(r, p) = c_{211} (p^2)^2 + c_{212} (np)^2. \quad (4.4b)$$

In Ref. [6] the Poincaré algebra relations were used to uniquely determine the three quartic in momenta and proportional to $\nu^3$ coefficients. In the present paper we
have recomputed these coefficients directly (i.e. without employing the Poincaré algebra).

V. CIRCULAR ORBITS

Making use of the Hamiltonian (4.12) together with the new results of Eqs. (4.13), we have computed the energy of binary system moving along circular orbits as a function of angular frequency $\omega$ (details of such computation performed at the 3PN order can be found in Ref. [19] and was also briefly summarized in Ref. [6]). We have introduced the dimensionless PN parameter $x \equiv (GM\omega/c^3)^{2/3}$ and we have assumed (following [8]) that the regularization scale $s$ is determined by the period $P$ of the motion along circular orbits, $s = cP$. Making then use of the third Kepler’s law at the Newtonian level one shows that $s = 2\pi c^{-2}x^{-3/2}$. The 4PN-accurate binding energy of the system can be written in the form

$$E(x; \nu) = -\frac{\mu c^2 x}{2} \left(1 + e_{1PN}(\nu) x + e_{2PN}(\nu) x^2 + e_{3PN}(\nu) x^3 + \left(e_{4PN}(\nu) + \frac{448}{15} \nu \ln x\right) x^4 + \mathcal{O}(x^5)\right),$$

(5.1)

where the fractional corrections to the Newtonian energy at different PN orders read

$$e_{1PN}(\nu) = -\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{12} \nu,$$
$$e_{2PN}(\nu) = \frac{27}{8} + \frac{19}{8} \nu - \frac{1}{24} \nu^2,$$
$$e_{3PN}(\nu) = \frac{675}{64} + \left(\frac{34445}{576} - \frac{205}{96}\right) \nu - \frac{155}{96} \nu^2 - \frac{35}{5184} \nu^3,$$
$$e_{4PN}(\nu) = \frac{3969}{128} + 153.8803(1) \nu + \left(\frac{-498449}{3456} + \frac{3157}{576} \pi^2\right) \nu^2 + \frac{301}{1728} \nu^3 + \frac{77}{31104} \nu^4.$$

(5.2a, b, c, d)

In the above formula, the 4PN coefficient at $\nu^2$ was computed for the first time. The 4PN coefficient linear in $\nu$ was computed numerically in Ref. [5] [see also Eq. (3.1) in [8]]. The coefficients at $\nu^3$ and $\nu^4$ computed for the first time in [6] was recently confirmed by independent calculation made in [3].

An important feature of the two-point-mass dynamics along circular orbits is the existence of the last stable circular orbit (LSCO) [21]. In the test-mass limit $\nu = 0$, the LSCO occurs for $x_{LSCO} = 1/6$ what corresponds to the minimum of the function $E(x; \nu) = 0$. Therefore the most straightforward way of locating the LSCO for $\nu > 0$ relies on looking for minimum of the function $E(x; \nu)$. In Fig. 4(left panel) we have depicted the location $x_{LSCO}$ of the LSCO as function of the symmetric mass ratio $\nu$ for successive PN approximations from 1PN up to 4PN. For a given value of $\nu$, the location of the LSCO was obtained by numerically solving the equation $dE(x; \nu)/dx = 0$. Let us note that the 4PN prediction for the location of the LSCO in the test-mass limit is 0.179467, ~7.7% larger than the exact result. We have obtained the following locations of the LSCO in the equal-mass case ($\nu = 1/3$) for the approximations from 1PN up to 4PN: 0.648649 (1PN), 0.265832 (2PN), 0.254954 (3PN), 0.236597 (4PN).

We have also found the location of the LSCO by means of more refined method, namely the “$j$-method” introduced and used at the 3PN level in Ref. [21]. In this method the $x_{LSCO}$ is defined as the minimum of the function $j^2(x)$, where $j \equiv J/(GM_1 m_2)$ is the reduced angular momentum of the system ($J$ is the total angular momentum). Moreover, motivated by the form of the function $j^2(x)$ in the test-mass limit, $j^2(x) = 1/(x(1 - 3x))$, Padé approximants are used instead of direct Taylor expansions (all used approximants have a pole for some $x_{pole}(\nu)$ which is related with the test-mass “light-ring” orbit occurring for $x_h = 1/3$ in the sense that $x_{pole}(\nu) \to 1/3$ when $\nu \to 0$). The 4PN-accurate function $j^2(x)$ has the symbolic structure $K(x)K(x + K(x) + x^4)\ln x$. In the $j$-method the Taylor expansion at the 1PN level of the symbolic form $1 + x$ is replaced by Padé approximant of type (0,1), at the 2PN level $1 + x + x^2$ is replaced by (1,1) approximant, at the 3PN level $1 + x + x^2 + x^3$ is replaced by (2,1) approximant, and finally at the 4PN level $1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4$ is replaced by (3,1) Padé approximant [the explicit form of the (0,1), (1,1), and (2,1) approximants can be found in Eqs. (4.16) of [21]]. The results are illustrated in Fig. 4(right panel). At all PN levels the test-mass result is recovered exactly. We see that the curves corresponding to 3PN-accurate and 4PN-accurate calculations almost coincide. The locations of the LSCO in the equal-mass case $\nu = 1/3$ for the approximations from 1PN up to 4PN are as follows: 0.162162 (1PN), 0.185351 (2PN), 0.244276 (3PN), 0.247515 (4PN).
FIG. 1. The location $x_{LSCO}$ of the LSCO as a function of the symmetric mass ratio $\nu$ for successive PN approximations from 1PN up to 4PN. Solid curves correspond to 1PN, dashed curves to 2PN, dotted curves to 3PN, and dashed/dotted curves to 4PN. Left panel: $x_{LSCO}$ is computed as the minimum of the function $E(x; \nu)$. Right panel: $x_{LSCO}$ is obtained by means of the $j$-method.
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