A Duo of Potassium-Responsive Histidine Kinases Govern the Multicellular Destiny of Bacillus subtilis
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ABSTRACT Multicellular biofilm formation and surface motility are bacterial behaviors considered mutually exclusive. However, the basic decision to move over or stay attached to a surface is poorly understood. Here, we discover that in Bacillus subtilis, the key root biofilm-controlling transcription factor Spo0A~P (phosphorylated Spo0A) governs the flagellum-independent mechanism of social sliding motility. A Spo0A-deficient strain was totally unable to slide and colonize plant roots, evidencing the important role that sliding might play in natural settings. Microarray experiments plus subsequent genetic characterization showed that the machineries of sliding and biofilm formation share the same main components (i.e., surfactin, the hydrophobin BslA, exopolysaccharide, and de novo-formed fatty acids). Sliding proficiency was transduced by the Spo0A-phosphorelay histidine kinases KinB and KinC. We discovered that potassium, a previously known inhibitor of KinC-dependent biofilm formation, is the specific sliding-activating signal through a thus-far-unnoticed cytosolic domain of KinB, which resembles the selectivity filter sequence of potassium channels. The differential expression of the Spo0A~P reporter abrB gene and the different levels of the constitutively active form of Spo0A, Sad67, in Δspo0A cells grown in optimized media that simultaneously stimulate motile and sessile behaviors uncover the spatiotemporal response of KinB and KinC to potassium and the gradual increase in Spo0A~P that orchestrates the sequential activation of sliding, followed by sessile biofilm formation and finally sporulation in the same population. Overall, these results provide insights into how multicellular behaviors formerly believed to be antagonistic are coordinately activated in benefit of the bacterium and its interaction with the host.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

How do bacteria move from one location to another in natural niches? Most bacteria are able to swim in aquatic environments powered by rotating flagella, whereas a range of different mechanisms have evolved that facilitate surface spreading (1–4). While swimming is considered to be an individual behavior, cells are able to migrate together and cooperatively during surface translocation (1, 3, 4). Surface movement can depend on the presence of flagella (i.e., swarming), the extension and retraction of type IV pili (i.e., twitching motility), the involvement of focal adhesion complexes (i.e., gliding), or “passive” surface translocation (i.e., sliding). Although the mechanisms of swarming, twitching, and gliding motilities have been extensively studied in most bacteria with appendages, the information about the mechanism of sliding, its regulation, and its importance is sparse. Since its original definition, more than 4 decades ago, the concept of sliding as a passive surface translocation driven by expansive forces in the growing colony has not varied much (2, 3). However, sliding motility represents a heavily exploited mechanism that different pathogens of global importance (i.e., Bacillus anthracis, Salmonella enterica, Staphylococcus aureus, Legionella pneumophila, and mycobacteria) use for spreading (3, 5, 6).

Bacillus subtilis is a Gram-positive endospore-forming bacterium that has been extensively studied due to its diverse differentiation processes (7–11). Different B. subtilis strains swarm on...
semisolid agar plates (4, 12) or form architecturally complex bio-
microfilms with vein-like structures and apical tips (fruiting bodies) that
project the formed spores into the air (7, 13–15). In addition, wild
and undomesticated
B. subtilis
isolates (7, 16) have beneficial
growth-promoting effects on plants and animals (17, 18) as well as
probiotic effects in humans (19–21). If biofilm formation and an
active surface motility are antagonistic but important attributes of
a bacterium, how then is the collective decision to move over or
stay attached to a surface taken and controlled? In this work, we
use the model organism
B. subtilis
to investigate the genetic mech-
ism and regulatory network of sliding for surface colonization
and their relationship with another prominent cooperative sur-
face behavior, i.e., biofilm formation.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The master regulator of sporulation and multicellular biofilm
formation, Spo0A, controls sliding motility in
B. subtilis.

If bacteria use sliding in a cooperative manner to move across surfaces
without the necessity for flagella or any other appendages, how
does it take place and what are the regulatory networks that induce
and control it? To solve this puzzle, we used two wild (undomes-
ticated)
B. subtilis
strains of different genetic lineages, the
Marburg-related strain NCIB3610, able to swarm and slide (7, 12,
22), and the human-probiotic natto-related strain RG4365 (16,
21), which only slides (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). It
is known that the global transcription factor SinR is essential for
the swimming and swarming motilities in
B. subtilis
(23). While,
as expected, the inactivation of
sinR
in the NCIB3610 strain yields
a completely defective swarming phenotype (24), the inactivation
of
sinR
in the RG4365
B. subtilis
natto strain yields an almost
unaffected sliding-proficient phenotype (Fig. 1A, B, and G). If
SinR is not required to slide, is there any other transcription factor
that contributes to the regulatory network of sliding? In
B. subtilis,
other multicellular and developmental programs (biofilm forma-
tion, fruiting body formation, and sporulation) are governed by
the master transcription factor Spo0A of the phosphorelay signal-
ing pathway (7, 25). Taking into account the dispensability of
SinR activity for sliding proficiency, we wondered if this was
also the case for Spo0A in sliding. Although swarming of an
NCIB3610-isogenic
spo0A-deletion strain was not affected (see
below), a
spo0A-deletion RG4365-derived strain was completely
unable to slide on the agar surface (Fig. 1C and G). This result
suggested that the master regulator of sporulation and biofilm
formation, the protein Spo0A, would also be a key regulator of
social sliding. The inactivation of
spoIIAC
(sigF), the distal gene of
the tricistronic
spoIIA
operon, coding for the first compartment-
specific sporulation sigma transcription factor (σF), did not affect
the sliding phenotype of wild-type RG4365 cells (Fig. 1D and G)
and suggested the independency of sliding from the sporulation
program in
B. subtilis.
It is known that the absence of Spo0A ac-
tivity results in an increase of the activities of the transcription
factors SinR and AbrB (26) that could be responsible for the ab-
ence of sliding ability in the
spo0A
natto strain. If this were the
case, the regulatory proteins SinR and/or AbrB could be an inhib-
itor of sliding motility. As shown in Fig. 1E to G, the inactivation of \textit{abrB} but not \textit{sinR} was able to restore (although only partially) the sliding ability of Spo0A-deficient cells and suggested that AbrB was negatively controlling the expression of at least one gene whose product was necessary for sliding proficiency (see below).

To confirm the essential role of Spo0A for the proficiency of social sliding in \textit{B. subtilis}, we constructed an RG4365-derived strain that harbored, in addition to a deletion of the wild-type copy of \textit{spo0A}, an isopropyl-\(\beta\)-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible form of \textit{spo0A} (Sad67) that is active in the absence of phosphorylation (phosphorelay independent) (27–29). As shown in Fig. 1H and I, the supplementation with IPTG restored the sliding ability of the \textit{spo0A}-deletion but Sad67-carrying strain and confirmed the essential role of Spo0A for social sliding proficiency in \textit{B. subtilis}.

How widespread is sliding motility and how conserved is the role of Spo0A in different \textit{B. subtilis} isolates? Although flagellum production is essential for swarming motility in the Marburg-related strain NCIB3610 (12), it has been reported that NCIB3610-derived \textit{hag} strains (unable to make flagella) are able to slide on solid surfaces after longer periods of incubation (24 h or more) (30). Therefore, we wanted to know if the essential role of Spo0A for sliding proficiency in the \textit{B. subtilis} \textit{natto} strain is also manifest in Marburg-derived cells. To this end, we analyzed the surface translocation ability of different NCIB3610-derived strains under two experimental conditions: incubation on soft Luria-Bertani (LB) medium as shown in previous work and the conditions previously used by other groups, i.e., soft minimal salts glycerol glutamate (MSgg) agar medium, to investigate the sliding...
ability of Marburg cells (31). As shown in Fig. 2, the inactivation of spo0A in NCIB3610 cells did not affect their motility behavior (compare Fig. 2A and B). As expected, the interruption of flagellin synthesis in the NCIB3610 hag-derived strain RG4384 (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) blocked the surface translocation in both soft media as monitored at a developmental time of 20 h (Fig. 2C). However, after longer incubation, the NCIB3610 hag cells moved on the agar surface by a sliding mechanism as previously reported (Fig. 2D) (31). Remarkably, the inactivation of spo0A in the Marburg-derived hag strain (Δhag Δspo0A double mutant strain RG4385 [see Table S1]) completely abolished the ability of these flagellum-less and Spo0A-deficient NCIB3610-derived cells to translocate on the agar surface and confirmed the key role of Spo0A as the master regulator of social sliding motility in B. subtilis (Fig. 2E and F).

What might be the importance of bacterial sliding in nature? B. subtilis is a beneficial bacterium that improves plant and animal growth (17, 18) as well as possessing advantageous probiotic properties in humans (19, 21). One desired attribute of a host-colonizing bacterium is the ability to spread over and colonize a particular niche (i.e., the rhizosphere) and establish a long-lasting community (i.e., a biofilm) associated with the host (19, 32). Notably, as shown in Fig. 2G, Spo0A plays a key role in the ability of the plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium B. subtilis (33, 34) to activate social sliding and colonize the root rhizosphere.

**Microarray analysis of B. subtilis cells under sliding conditions.** Our initial analysis uncovered the novel roles of the transcription factors AbrB and Spo0A as a repressor and an activator of sliding, respectively. What other genes are important for sliding proficiency in B. subtilis and what is the role of AbrB and Spo0A in their expression? To answer these questions, we performed microarray experiments under different environmental conditions and in various genetic backgrounds. On the one hand, we compared the global gene expression of the spo0A mutant RG4370 with that of the wild-type natto strain RG4365 on LB plates with a 0.7% agar concentration, where, as was shown, the wild-type and the spo0A mutant strains were proficient and impaired in sliding, respectively (see Table S2A in the supplemental material). In a second type of experiment, we examined wild-type cells under sliding-restrictive conditions using LB plates with a 1.5% agar concentration and compared their transcriptome with the pattern of gene expression under sliding-permissive conditions on 0.7% agar plates (see Table S2B). These microarray analyses showed that 310 and 295 genes were significantly (P value, <10^-4) up- or downregulated in the spo0A mutant strain compared to the wild-type strain, respectively, while 72 and 100 genes were found to be up- and downregulated, respectively, at an increased agar concentration (see Table S2).

Interestingly, most of the genes belonging to the σ^0^ regulon, which is related to flagellum motility and chemotaxis, were activated in the spo0A mutant strain under sliding-permissive conditions. However, the B. subtilis natto strain lacks flagella under this and all tested genetic backgrounds (see Fig. S1E to G in the supplemental material and data not shown). It has been suggested that Marburg-related wild-type cells (i.e., NCIB3610) lack flagellum production for translocation on solid surfaces depending on extracellular surfactin and potassium ion (22). More recently, it was shown that synthesis of the exopolysaccharide (EPS) of the extracellular matrix is genetically coupled to the inhibition of flagellum-mediated motility (23), and as we show below, EPS expression is increased under sliding-permissive conditions.

While mutation in spo0A resulted in differential expression of various genes under sliding-permissive conditions on LB medium, we did not find any sporation-related gene in the wild-type strain to be differentially expressed under this experimental condition (sliding turned on [see Table S2A in the supplemental material]). One simple explanation for this observation is that under the condition used (i.e., rich LB medium and sliding-permissive conditions), sporation is not activated in the wild-type strain and, therefore, mutation in spo0A has no effect on these genes in the wild-type strain during sliding. In contrast, we observed elevated expression of sporation σ^0^ dependent genes in wild-type cells grown under non-sliding-permissive conditions (higher agar concentration [see Table S2B in the supplemental material]). This induction of sporation genes in samples from 1.5% agar plates is probably due to the fact that under this sliding-restrictive condition, B. subtilis cannot spread, nutrients around cells become limited, and sporation is started similarly to the conditions during formation of complex colony biofilms (11, 35, 36).

Which other genes are expressed during active sliding? We found that in wild-type cells under sliding-permissive conditions (see Table S2B in the supplemental material) and in comparison to the spo0A mutant strain (see Table S2A), genes related to biofilm matrix production (sipW, tasA, and eps in the case of the spo0A mutant), biofilm surface layer (bslA), fatty acid synthesis (fab), and surfactin synthesis (srfAC in the case of the spo0A mutant) were upregulated.

**Sliding but not swarming depends on the bslA and eps genes.** The microarray experiments presented above showed that genes related to biofilm formation and biofilm surface layer are upregulated under the conditions when sliding is feasible and suggest that this gene repertoire could include novel and necessary components of the sliding machinery in B. subtilis (Fig. 3A). Therefore, mutations in bslA, epsG, or tasA genes were introduced into the wild-type B. subtilis natto strain RG4365. Mutations in bslA or epsG abolished sliding of the B. subtilis natto strain (Fig. 3B). On the other hand, mutation of the tasA gene did not alter the sliding properties of the B. subtilis natto strain. Are EPS production and BslA synthesis required for sliding proficiency? We examined the effect of the mutations of bslA and epsG in the B. subtilis NCIB3610 wild-type strain (proficient in swarming and sliding) and its hag derivative (proficient only in sliding). While swelling of bslA and epsG mutants in the NCIB3610 background was not altered (Fig. 3C), sliding properties of hag bslA and hag epsG double mutant strains were decreased similarly to the bslA and epsG single mutants of the B. subtilis natto strain (Fig. 3D). These experiments show that both the BslA protein and the EPS, which are essential components of the biofilm matrix in B. subtilis, are indispensable for sliding. The microarray analysis showed that abrB was downregulated under sliding-permissive conditions (see Table S2A in the supplemental material), which is in agreement with our experimental results that showed the partial restoration of sliding proficiency of the spo0A mutant strain when abrB was also deleted (Fig. 1E). Accordingly, AbrB is a repressor of bslA (37, 38), a gene that here was shown as required for full sliding proficiency (Fig. 3B).

In line with the experiments on the B. subtilis natto strain, swarming and sliding were not decreased after a mutation was...
introduced into the tasA gene of NCIB3610 or into hag strains, respectively (Fig. 3C and D), suggesting that the other essential component of the biofilm matrix, TasA, has no role in the ability of B. subtilis to slide. It is interesting that based on the microarray analysis, several genes encoding antimicrobial metabolites (i.e., bacilysin, bacillibactin, and plipastatin) were increased under sliding-permissive conditions (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). In this scenario, the known antimicrobial activity of TasA (39) might suggest a role of this protein in protection of sliding cells against predators instead of a crucial role of this protein in motility.

Understanding the role of the cellular components of the sliding machinery in B. subtilis. The array experiments showed that the operon related to surfactin production (srf) was induced under sliding-permissive conditions (see Table S2 in the supplemental material), a result that is in agreement with the essential role of this surfactant in sliding proficiency (see Fig. S2A). Surfactin is a secreted lipopeptide molecule that in addition to its function in cell-cell communication, as a paracrine signal during multicellularity (10, 40), has been proposed to allow the spreading of multicellular colonies through the production of surfactant waves that decrease the surface tension of the surrounding space (41). In addition, the EPS overproduced during active sliding is also a component of the extracellular matrix that has been identified as a major force driving biofilm growth, due to the osmotic stress generated by its secretion in the extracellular space (42). Therefore, it is feasible that during sliding the secreted surfactin and EPS, by producing waves of surfactant and gradients of osmotic pressure in the intercellular space of the motile community, respectively, constitute two major forces driving the cooperative sliding of the cells sitting on the surface.

In addition, the overexpression of the KinA inhibitor SivA (dif-
ferramental expression of sivA is indicated in Table S2A in the supplemental material) (Fig. 3A) (43) would ensure that sporulation is not triggered during active sliding and suggests that KinA is not the histidine kinase involved in the activation of Spo0A for sliding proficiency (see below).

What would be the role of BslA, the other major molecule overproduced during active sliding? It has been shown that BslA is a hydrophobin-like protein secreted to the extracellular space, where it forms surface layers at both the agar-cell and air-cell interfaces around the biofilm (44, 45). Because of its physicochemical properties, BslA behaves as an elastic and highly hydrophobic layer coating the biofilm (44–46). Here, we also confirm that BslA is a major contributor to the water repellence of sliding cells (see Fig. S2B and C in the supplemental material). Moreover, the deficiency in BslA synthesis allows the aqueous solution to pass through the cells immediately (see Fig. S2C). These results suggest that this hydrophobin-like protein could play a role during active sliding as a protector of sliding cells against surface wetting, as was proposed previously for biofilms (45, 47). In this scenario, we hypothesize that the sliding-deficient phenotype of eps and bsla cells could be circumvented when the two types of cells are present together under sliding-permissive conditions. Supporting this hypothesis, a previous study on B. subtilis biofilm formation also suggests that these components can be shared among strains producing one but not the other component (48). As shown in Fig. 3E, mixing epsG and bsla derivatives of the B. subtilis natto strain restores the sliding ability. Interestingly, during the time that our work was under review, van Gestel et al. showed that sliding of B. subtilis 3610 depends on the division of labor between matrix (EPS) and surfactin producer subpopulations (49). Analyzing a specific set of mutants, they could also show the complete deficiency in sliding of srfA and eps mutants. In contrast to our observation of the dispensability of TasA activity for sliding in B. subtilis natto and Hag-deficient NCIB3610 cells poured on LB soft medium, sliding of wild-type 3610 on MSggN agar medium was only partly impaired in colony expansion (49). This partially different observation of the TasA requirement for sliding may be due to the different media and growth conditions used for the experiments.

While the genes involved in fatty acid (FA) synthesis (i.e., fabF, fabHBA, fabG, etc.) were overexpressed in wild-type cells under sliding-permissive conditions, those genes involved in FA degradation (i.e., fade, fabD, fabA, etc.) were downregulated at the same time (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). Is an active lipid synthesis, and therefore active membrane formation and remodeling, necessary to slide? In order to confirm the in silico results and test the formulated hypothesis, we proceeded to specifically block de novo FA synthesis in B. subtilis cells grown under sliding-permissive conditions. To this end, we treated B. subtilis cells with the antibiotic cerulenin, which is a specific inhibitor of the FabF condensing enzyme (14), at sub-MICs (below 2 µg·mL⁻¹), which do not affect the vegetative growth of the NCIB3610 and RG4365 strains (50) (see Fig. S3A and B). Our results show that sub-MICs of cerulenin produce a dose-dependent impediment of sliding motility as well as swimming in B. subtilis (Fig. 4A; also see Fig. S3C and D). These results confirm the microarray data and suggest that an active de novo FA synthesis constitutes an overlooked requirement for surface (sliding and swimming) motility.

Surprisingly, the supplementation with exogenous FAs (nC16:0 and nC18:1, palmitic and oleic acids, respectively) of LB soft agar plates containing cerulenin (2 µg·mL⁻¹) did not bypass the inhibition of surface motility in B. subtilis but allowed the resumption of the planktonic growth of a similar cerulenin-treated culture incubated under shaking conditions (see Fig. 4A in the supplemental material). Why did the addition of nC16:0 and nC18:1 FAs not suppress the negative effect of cerulenin on sliding but allow the resumption of planktonic growth? To answer this question, we analyzed the FA profile in samples of wild-type cells grown under sliding-permissive conditions and liquid shaking culture (see Fig. S4B). B. subtilis, unlike Escherichia coli, synthesizes linear and branched (iso- and anteiso-) saturated fatty acids at 37°C to maintain an adequate membrane fluidity. We found that under active sliding there is a predominance of the synthesis of saturated FAs with lower melting points (anteiso-C15:0 and anteiso-C17:0) and a decrease in the synthesis of the FAs with higher melting points (linear and nC15:0, nC16:0, and nC16:1). Overall, during active sliding, the percentage of linear FAs drops from 25.0 to 4.0% while the content of anteiso-FAs rises from 26.0 to 54.0%. Simultaneously, the global content of iso-FAs remains around 50.0%, independently of the growth conditions (see Fig. S4B). The notable increase in the synthesis of low-melting-point anteiso-FAs and the simultaneous decrease in the synthesis of linear FAs would allow the synthesis of membrane lipids with lower melting points and therefore the biogenesis of membranes with higher fluidity. We hypothesize that
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the synthesis of cellular membranes with a higher fluidity might facilitate the group translocation of *B. subtilis* cells on solid surfaces in the absence of the propelling force of the flagella (see Fig. S1B). In this scenario, the increase in membrane fluidity that might be required to slide could not be reached with the supply of linear FAs to cerulenin-treated cultures under sliding-permissive conditions. To test this idea, we supplemented *B. subtilis* cells incubated under sliding-permissive conditions in the presence of cerulenin with branched FAs. As predicted by the hypothesis, the sliding proficiency was fully restored when branched FAs were added as a supplement to the cells with an interrupted de novo FA synthesis (Fig. 4B).

The phosphorelay signaling system coordinates multiple multicellular behaviors in *B. subtilis*. Until now, two multicellular behaviors of *B. subtilis* have been known to be under the control of the phosphorelay signaling system: fruiting body formation (including spore formation) and biofilm development (7, 11, 51). As demonstrated in this work, sliding motility is a type of cooperative behavior under the novel control of Spo0A phosphorylated by inorganic phosphate (Spo0A~Pi) and therefore of the phosphorelay.

Which phosphorelay histidine kinase governs sliding motility? To solve this question, we constructed RG4365-isogenic phosphorelay-kin mutant strains to analyze their sliding behavior. As shown in Fig. 5A, the wild-type and the *kinA, kinD, and kinE* single mutant strains show similar and proficient patterns of sliding motility. On the other hand, mainly the *kinB* and, to a much lesser extent, the *kinC* single mutant strains display a partial impairment in sliding. To confirm that *kinB* and *kinC* are sensor kinases involved in the control of sliding, we constructed a *kinB kinC* double mutant strain and compared its sliding phenotype with those of the *spo0A* mutant and the other phosphorelay-defective control strain, the *spo0F* single mutant, which are completely impaired in surface translocation. As shown in Fig. 5B, the *kinB kinC* double mutant strain displayed a complete impairment in sliding proficiency that was equivalent in magnitude to the sliding deficiency of the *spo0A* and *spo0F* mutant strains. In Fig. 5C, the sliding kinetics of the different phosphorelay mutant strains confirm that *kinB* and *kinC* are the two sensor kinases that govern sliding motility in *B. subtilis* and that *kinB* activity is more significant than *kinC* activity for the proficiency in that behavior. As expected, the transcomplementation (into the nonessential amyE locus) of the *kinB* and *kinC* mutant strains with a wild-type copy of *kinB* and *kinC*, respectively, restored full sliding proficiency (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). Interestingly, the sliding-controlling *kinC* kinase (this work) has been proposed (along with *kinD*) to govern the onset of biofilm formation (10, 33–35, 52). Further, *kinA* and *kinB* have been suggested to alter biofilm development on certain media and at reduced oxygen levels (53). We confirm (data not shown) that in the RG4365 natto strain, as well as in the NCIB3610 strain (33, 34), both sensor kinases, *kinC* and *kinD*, govern the onset of biofilm development and extracellular matrix production in response to plant-derived polysaccharides that constitute one of the signals able to induce both kinases (33).

In toto, *B. subtilis* employs duos of phosphorelay histidine ki-
nases to control different multicellular behaviors. The histidine kinase duos KinA/KinB, KinC/KinD, and KinB/KinC govern the onset of sporulation and fruiting body formation (7, 8, 28, 54, 55), biofilm development under atmospheric oxygen pressure (10, 33–35, 51, 52), and social sliding (this work), respectively (Fig. 5D).

The sliding signal. What is the nature of the signal, acting on KinB and/or KinC, which is responsible for triggering sliding motility in *B. subtilis*? To answer this fundamental question, we had two premises. First, the sliding-inducing signal, responsible for the autophosphorylation of KinB and/or KinC, should not be strong enough to trigger KinB–P<sub>i</sub>–KinC–P<sub>i</sub>-dependent activation (phosphorylation) of Spo0A to the high levels of the regulator (Spo0A–P<sub>i</sub>) needed for spore formation, because we did not observe induction of sporulation genes under conditions of active sliding (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). Second, the same signal that activates the autophosphorylation of KinB and/or KinC sensor kinases to make Spo0A–P<sub>i</sub> and induce sliding motility would prevent initial KinB–P<sub>i</sub>-dependent and/or KinC–P<sub>i</sub>-dependent biofilm formation.

A recent report suggested that KinB is controlled by the respiratory apparatus via its second transmembrane segment (53). It is proposed that under conditions of reduced electron transport, KinB becomes active (formation of KinB–P<sub>i</sub>) via a redox switch involving its second transmembrane segment with one or more cytochromes to induce biofilm formation and sporulation (53). We envision that under active sliding, in rich soft medium, the physiological conditions of the sliding cells would be different from the conditions of sessile cells forming a biofilm. Under conditions of biofilm formation, a crowded population of cells exists encased in the biofilm matrix with nutrients that become rapidly exhausted (11). Furthermore, if a reduced electron transport triggers KinB–P<sub>i</sub>-dependent biofilm formation and sporulation, surface translocation (sliding) would not be activated at the same time since the two are antagonist responses (53). Therefore, we consider it unlikely that the status of the respiratory apparatus, sensed by the second transmembrane domain of KinB, could be the physiological condition triggering sliding.

Interestingly, it has been proved that intracellular potassium represents a negative signal for KinC (10, 52). Potassium is a major intracellular ion that impairs KinC activation through interaction with the cytoplasmic PAS–PAC sensor domain of the kinase (10). The intracellular potassium concentration decreases as *B. subtilis* cells reach the late logarithmic phase when newly synthesized surfactin, through its membrane pore formation activity, and the putative potassium channel YugO secrete the ion to the outside of the cell (10, 52). In this model, the surfactin/YugO-mediated intracellular drop in potassium concentration activates KinC. Curiously, in contrast to the round colonies formed on LB and LBY (LB medium supplemented with 4.0% yeast extract; see also reference 14) agar plates by the wild-type RG4365 strain and its isogenic *kinC* derivative, the *kinB* mutant strain forms colonies and biofilms with a tendril–shaped morphology that are very similar to the morphology of wild-type *B. subtilis* colonies grown on CM (casein digest–mannitol medium) plates, a solid medium with potassium deficiency (22) (Fig. 6A and B). Basically, low (micromolar) and high (millimolar) levels of potassium ions favor tendril-like and rounded colony formation, respectively (22). Due to the similar colony phenotypes of the *kinB* mutant and the wild-type strain grown on solid medium with low levels of potassium, we were motivated to investigate if potassium is involved in the regulation of KinB. Remarkably, as shown in Fig. 6C, we discovered a dose-dependent positive effect of potassium ions on sliding motility of the wild-type and *kinC* strains, which are proficient in *kinB* expression. This sliding stimulation was observed at potassium levels between 50 mM and 100 mM (data not shown) (with an optimal sliding-stimulatory concentration of 75 mM) that are comparable to the potassium concentrations that inhibited KinC from triggering biofilm formation (10, 52). In contrast, there was no effect of potassium supplementation on the sliding proficiency of the *kinB* mutant (Fig. 6C). These results strongly suggest that potassium represents a positive signal for KinB activation. A closer examination of the colony and biofilm phenotypes of the *kinB* mutant strain (Fig. 6A and B) seems to indicate that KinB might inhibit KinC from stimulating biofilm formation, and we are currently investigating this phenomenon.

It is known that the sensor histidine kinase KinB is, in addition to KinA, the main sporulation kinase of *B. subtilis* (54). Although a *kinB* mutant strain is proficient in sporulation (Spo<sup>+</sup> phenotype), a double *kinA* *kinB* mutant strain is almost unable to sporulate (Spo0 phenotype) (54). Therefore, we were interested to investigate if the positive effect of potassium on KinB-dependent sliding proficiency was also valid for spore formation. As shown in Fig. 6D, potassium did not stimulate sporulation in either of the two *KinB*-proficient strains, i.e., wild-type (*KinA<sup>+</sup> *KinB<sup>+</sup>*), and *kinA* mutant (*KinA<sup>−</sup> *KinB<sup>+</sup>*), that were analyzed. Consequently, potassium constitutes a specific signal for sliding motility that precisely fulfills the two hypothesized premises to be ineffective in triggering sporulation (first premise, Fig. 6D) but, at the same time, strong enough to trigger sliding motility (second premise, Fig. 6C) (10, 52). In addition, the dual role of potassium ions (present at high intracellular levels at early times of growth) as activators and inhibitors of KinB and KinC activities, respectively, points to KinB as the phosphorelay kinase responsible for the start of the cooperative sliding movement (see below).

Does potassium represent a direct or an indirect signal to activate KinB? Searching for conserved domains and sequence motifs present in KinB that might be involved in the potassium response, we discovered a disregarded sequence (SLKTNGTG) residing on the ATP-binding region of KinB that is absent in the sequences of the other four phosphorelay sensor kinases (56) (Fig. 7A). This sequence possesses a significant homology to the highly conserved K<sup>+</sup>-selectivity (selectivity) sequence of the pore loop domain (P-domain) of potassium channels (T/S-x-x-T-x-G-x-G consensus sequence) (57, 58). Despite the many protein motifs and domains present in different types of potassium channels (58), the *KinB* K<sup>+</sup>-selectivity-like sequence (here called K* for simplicity) is the only common element related to K<sup>+</sup> channels. While active KinB is a dimer (59), typical potassium channels are tetramers made up of predominantly identical subunits clustered to form the ion permeation pathway across the membrane (60–62). In addition to the absence of the pore motifs that surround the selectivity filter (Fig. 7B), KinB also lacks the different domains that have been described in different potassium channels (58, 60, 61). Furthermore, the K* resides in the cytosolic region of the kinase, while in all known (eukaryotic and prokaryotic) potassium channels the K<sup>+</sup>-filter resides in transmembrane domains. While these topological features exclude KinB as a potassium channel, they open the possibility that the kinase might sense the intracellular concentration of the ion throughout its cytosolic K*. Therefore, we tested if the K* plays a role or not in sliding motility. To this end,
we constructed two types of \textit{kinB} mutant strains harboring specific mutations in the K* (see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material). In one case, three out of the four conserved amino acids of the consensus K* were replaced by alanines (the fourth conserved amino acid, G, of the consensus sequence was not altered as it overlaps with a predicted ATPase motif of the kinase [Fig. 7A]) to give rise to the mutant KinB\textsubscript{K*\textasciitilde A} (see Fig. S6). In the second constructed \textit{kinB} mutant strain, 7 out of the 8 amino acids of the K* were deleted (mutant strain KinB\textsubscript{K*/H9004} [see Fig. S6]). One important consideration for both mutant strains before analysis of their roles in sliding is that they must be functional (i.e., promote spore formation). In this sense, the sliding-promoting activity of KinB should be separable from its biofilm/sporulation-promoting activities, a scenario that would explain why KinB-dependent sliding proficiency and KinB-dependent biofilm/spore formation are not simultaneously activated (see below).

Remarkably, while the complementation of a \textit{kinA kinB} double mutant strain (originally Spo0 and deficient in sliding) with a wild-type copy of \textit{kinB} restored sporulation and sliding efficiencies, both types of alterations in \textit{KinB} (\textit{KinB\textsubscript{K*\textasciitilde A}} and \textit{KinB\textsubscript{K*/H9004}}) were able to complement full sporulation proficiency but did not restore the KinB-driven sliding proficiency in that genetic (\textit{kinA kinB}) background (Fig. 7B). Simultaneously, both constructed KinB mutants failed to restore sliding proficiency of \textit{kinB} (Fig. 7C) and \textit{kinB kinC} (Fig. 7D) mutant strains and were also insensitive to the stimulation of sliding after potassium supplementation (Fig. 7E). These results confirm that the K*/H11001-selectivity-like sequence present in KinB (K*) plays an essential role in potassium sensing, leading to sliding proficiency that is located on a different part of the KinB protein than the electron transport-sensing transmembrane segment 2 responsible for triggering biofilm and spore formation (54).

\textbf{Potassium constitutes the signal for the fine-tuned interconnection of social sliding and biofilm development.} Potassium represents the most abundant ion in the cytoplasm (~200 mM in \textit{E. coli} versus 7 mM content in LB medium) (58). Unlike most other intracellular cations, the high intracellular concentrations of potassium do not interfere significantly with vegetative growth. However, apart from the stimulatory effect of potassium on KinB (this work), it is known that potassium is a strong inhibitor of KinC activity (10, 52), but enigmatically, the activity of both histidine kinases (KinB and KinC) is required for full sliding proficiency (Fig. 5A and B). The simplest explanation for this apparent paradox is that KinB and KinC should work at different times of sliding development accompanying the drop in the intracellular concentration of potassium that happens during the transition from the log phase to the early stationary phase. We favor a scenario (i.e., in LB soft agar plates) in which, at the onset of sliding
and at the edge of an active sliding colony (where the youngest cells would be present), the intracellular potassium concentration would be high because cells have plenty of nutrients and are in log phase. During this time, KinB (which is the first phosphorelay kinase to be expressed and therefore is present early on in the cell) should be active (due to the potassium stimulus) in driving the synthesis of the sliding machinery while KinC activity would remain low because of its reduced expression at early times of growth and because of the presence of high levels of intracellular potassium (10, 52) (Fig. 8A, left image). As soon as sliding cells approach the late log phase on LB soft agar plates (probably at the inner, older portion of the sliding disc), surfactin and the potassium exporter YugO are expressed on the cellular membrane (10, 52). Therefore, the intracellular potassium concentration decreases, and KinB activity is downregulated and replaced by active KinC to maintain the appropriate levels of Spo0A~Pi required for the continued expression of genes needed for full sliding proficiency (i.e., bslA, srf, eps, and fab) and biofilm formation (see below) at the inner part of the sliding disc (Fig. 8A, right image). Supporting the view of this spatiotemporal regulation of the kinases, when wild-type (KinA- and KinB-positive) B. subtilis cells are loaded on an optimized soft agar medium that in addition to sliding motility also allows biofilm formation (i.e., soft LBY agar) (14), it is possible to observe the formation of structures typical of a biofilm in the inner part of the sliding disc, while the outer borders remain flat (Fig. 8B, left image). This result strongly suggests that (under sliding-permissive conditions) KinC and KinB are active at the interior and at the edge of the sliding disc, respectively (Fig. 8A, right image). In agreement with this result, when kinC (KinB-positive and KinC-deficient) cells were incubated under similar conditions, the sliding disc, as expected, was smaller than the sliding disc formed by wild-type cells, and more importantly, no structure that resembles a biofilm was formed (Fig. 8B, middle image). Concordantly, a kinB strain (KinC-positive and KinB-deficient) formed typical biofilm wrinkle-like structures at the inside and outside regions of the slowly sliding cells (Fig. 8B, bottom right images). Overall, the former results (Fig. 6 to 8) suggest that potassium ions and KinB~Pi act earlier than KinC~Pi to activate the onset of sliding and confirm, once the potassium concentrations start to be different in distinct regions of the sliding disc, the spatiotemporal regulation of KinB and KinC (Fig. 8A). In addition, the KinC~Pi-dependent biofilm formation
FIG 8 Spatiotemporal regulation of the sliding-inducing kinases. (A) At the onset of sliding, as soon as cells were poured on LBY-0.7% agar plates, KinB was the first-acting kinase while KinC remained inactive. This differential activity of KinB and KinC is due to the intracellular potassium input that activates and inhibits each kinase, respectively (left panel). As progression of sliding continues, there is a drop in the intracellular potassium concentration in the cells at the inner part of the sliding disc. Under this physiological condition, KinB and KinC become inactive and active inside the sliding disc, respectively, while KinB (Continued)
observed at the interior (older) part of the sliding disc after incubation in a medium that allows biofilm and sliding (LBY soft agar plates) (left and middle images in Fig. 8B) suggests that the potassium-mediated activation of KinB to make Spo0A–P, and start sliding motility (Fig. 6C and 7) is not only insufficient to induce KinB–P-dependent spore formation (Fig. 6D) but also insufficient to trigger the onset of KinB–P-dependent biofilm formation (middle image in Fig. 8B).

How can sliding and the sessile lifestyle of biofilm formation, which are social behaviors believed to be antagonistic to each other, be positively controlled by the same regulatory pathway (Spo0A–P), and why are the biofilm formation and sporulation pathways not activated upon increased KinB autophosphorylation during promotion of sliding motility? Fujita and Losick reported that a gradual increase in the levels and activity of Spo0A results in the expression of different sets of genes in *B. subtilis* (28). For instance, high levels of Spo0A–P, stimulate sporulation (fruiting body formation) and low levels of Spo0A–P stimulate biofilm formation (64). Therefore, we hypothesize that sliding and biofilm formation developments require, as suggested by Chai et al. (64) for the cases of sporulation and biofilm formation, different levels of Spo0A–P, to become active. Until now, it was clearly demonstrated that the sliding-permissive conditions used in this work (i.e., soft LB agar) and the potassium input that activates KinB (which at the same time inhibits KinC activity) (10) are adequate to support sliding (Fig. 1 and 6C) but insufficient to trigger sporulation (Fig. 6D) and biofilm formation (Fig. 8B, middle image, KinB+KinC–strain). Therefore, sliding motility in *B. subtilis* seems to be activated before biofilm formation and sporulation. But how is sliding and not the other developmental pathways triggered when KinB become active? In other words, which behavior needs lower levels of Spo0A–P, to be triggered? We hypothesize two alternative progressions. In one scenario (Fig. 8C, model I), the surface-committed cells first slide and later on form the biofilm structures at the center. In the other situation (Fig. 8C, model II), the surface-committed cells first produce a sessile biofilm and cells at the edge of the biofilm engage in sliding later on. In both scenarios, the social behavior that is triggered first (sliding or biofilm formation) is the one that requires the smaller amount of Spo0A–P, (28, 64). One approach to monitor the in vivo levels of Spo0A–P, is to measure the expression of *abrB*, the most sensitive reporter of the Spo0A–P, levels present in the cell (27, 28). Spo0A–P, is a strong repressor of *abrB*, and very low levels of Spo0A–P, (insufficient to trigger biofilm and sporulation) are sufficient to downregulate *abrB* (27, 28). Therefore, to obtain more insight into the levels of Spo0A–P, present during sliding and biofilm, we measured the levels of β-galactosidase (β-Gal) activity driven by the expression of an *abrB-lacZ* transcriptional fusion under conditions that favor sporulation (growth on sporulation medium [SM]-1.5% agar plates), sliding (growth on LB-0.7% agar plates), biofilm (growth on LBY-1.5% agar plates), or none of the abovementioned behaviors (growth on LB-1.5% agar plates).

At different times, cells were removed from the petri dishes and the *abrB*-driven β-galactosidase activity was measured (Fig. 8D). As expected, the *abrB* expression was the lowest and highest (indicating the highest and smallest amounts of Spo0A–P, respectively) when the cells were grown on SM- and LB-1.5% agar plates, respectively (Fig. 8D). Interestingly, the levels of *abrep* expression under conditions of active sliding (growth on LB-0.7% agar plates) were significantly higher than the *AbrB* levels observed under conditions of active biofilm formation (growth on LBY-1.5% agar plates). These results (Fig. 8D) suggest that the levels of Spo0A–P, required to trigger sliding motility are lower than the levels of Spo0A–P, needed to trigger biofilm formation, and therefore, the former behavior (sliding motility) would be triggered before biofilm formation when *B. subtilis* is attached and committed to a sessile differentiation (model I in Fig. 8C).

To confirm this interpretation, the ∆spo0A *sad67* strain (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) (27–29), where the synthesized active Spo0A (Sad67) level depends on the supplemental IPTG, was cultivated on LB-0.7% agar plates supplemented with different amounts of IPTG. In this experiment, we hypothesized that the behavior (biofilm formation, sliding, or fruiting body formation-sporulation) expressed at the lowest IPTG concentration would reflect the multicellular *B. subtilis* response that requires the smallest amount of active Spo0A (Sad67) to be produced. As expected, in the absence of IPTG addition (Fig. 8E), *sad67* is not expressed and *B. subtilis* is unable to display (because Spo0A activity is completely absent in ∆spo0A cells) any of its different multicellular behaviors. As soon as the LBY-0.7% agar medium is supplemented with a small amount of IPTG (0.01 μM), *B. subtilis* cells start to slide (Fig. 8F). When the IPTG concentration is increased to 10 μM (and therefore more active Spo0A is produced in the surface-committed cells), *B. subtilis* triggers complex colony biofilm formation (Fig. 8G). At the largest amount of supplemental IPTG (1,000 μM), the growth of *B. subtilis* is restricted (because high levels of active Spo0A inhibit vegetative division) (27–29) and *B. subtilis* directly induces the formation of fruiting bodies filled with spores (Fig. 8H). Overall, these results strongly suggest that the increase in the levels of active

---

**Figure Legend Continued**

remains active at the newest part (border) of the sliding community. (B) Wild-type *B. subtilis* RG4365 and its isogenic derivatives mutated in *kinC* or *kinB* were loaded on soft agar plates of LBY medium and incubated at 20 h at 37°C. Under these conditions of simultaneous stimulation of sliding and biofilm formation proficiencies, the formations of a structured biofilm in the inner part of the wild-type sliding disc is observed. In contrast, in the sliding disc of the *kinC* strain no biofilm structure is formed, suggesting that the biofilm observed in the inner part of the sliding disc of wild-type cells is a product of the KinC activity. In both cases (wild-type and *kinC* strains), the borders of the sliding disc are flat and unstructured. In the case of the *kinB* cells (right panel), KinC activity drives the formation of typical wrinkled structures, representative of a mature biofilm, inside and at the borders of the colony. (C) Two models for the temporal progression of multicellularity in *B. subtilis* as described in the text. (D) β-Galactosidase production from *E. coli* lacZ* in B. subtilis* cells grown on petri dishes filled with media that favor the expression of different social behaviors under the control of Spo0A–P; sliding motility (LB-0.7% agar; green line), biofilm formation (LBY-1.5% agar; red line), sporulation (SM-1.5% agar; black line), or none (LB-1.5% agar; blue line) (see text for details). Cells were taken from the petri dishes at the times indicated in the figure and assayed as described in the supplemental material. The results are shown representative of three independent experiments made in duplicate. M.U., Miller units. (E to H) Five microliters of an overnight culture of the natto strain RG4382 (∆spo0A::Ery/P*agr::spo0A–sadb67Cat) was inoculated on the middle of solidified LBY-0.7% agar medium prepared with different concentrations of the IPTG fusion as shown in the figure. After 20 h of incubation at 37°C, photographs were taken and the sporulation frequency (after elution of the cells from the petri dishes) was determined as described in Materials and Methods. The results are representative of seven independent experiments performed in triplicate.
Spo0A (Spo0A–P) (28, 64) allows the expression of the different behaviors of *B. subtilis* following a temporal sequence of social sliding motility, multicellular biofilm formation, and finally fruiting body formation (sporulation) (see Fig. S7).

Conclusions. In toto, we demonstrated how the model organism *B. subtilis* can cope with the basic, although fundamental, decision that it must take when it is attached and committed to a surface: to move or remain in place. We present a novel mechanistic model, containing a Spo0A command that explains the coordinated expression of the different behaviors of *B. subtilis*. In this model, the spatiotemporal regulation of KinB and KinC by sliding motility, multicellular biofilm formation, and finally fruitation (sporulation) (see Fig. S7) in the supplemental material).

Recent publications demonstrate the necessity of biofilm formation for the efficient colonization of the root surface and biocontrol properties of *B. subtilis* (33, 34). The common signal (potassium) and the common regulatory network under Spo0A control (the phoshorelay) (25) for sliding (this work), biofilm formation (this work and references 10 and 32 to 34), and sporulation–fruiting body formation (54) and our *in vitro* experiments (Fig. 2G) suggest that sliding might also contribute to the ability of the plant growth-promoting and biocontrol bacterium *B. subtilis* to reach the root surface and efficiently colonize the rhizosphere. Once again, *B. subtilis* offers an example of simplicity in how distinct prokaryotic social behaviors previously believed to be antagonistic and independent from each other, i.e., surface motility, biofilm formation, and sporulation, might work together to benefit the bacterium and the host.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and growth media. The three wild-type *B. subtilis* strains used in this study were the domesticated, laboratory reference strain JH642 and the two undomesticated and wild *B. subtilis* strains NCIB3610 and natto strain RG4365. These strains and their isogenic derivatives (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) and Schaeffer's sporulation medium (SM) as indicated previously (27). For experiments in biofilm formation, the biofilm-enhancer medium LBY was used (14). Cloning of *kinB* and *kinC* genes for complementation and site-directed mutagenesis is described below and in Table S3 in the supplemental material.

Spreading (swarming and sliding) experiments. For surface motility (swarming and sliding), LB plates fortified with 0.7% agar and dried for 1 h were inoculated with 1 μl of 8 × 10⁷ cells · ml⁻¹ grown to mid-log phase at 37°C in LB broth. The inoculated petri dishes were then incubated at 37°C for 40 h. Each data point shown in the figures represents an average from 6 independent experiments. Data from one representative experiment are shown. Flagellum staining was performed as described previously (14).

DNA transformation and complementation experiments. Transformation of *B. subtilis*, to obtain isogenic derivatives of the parental strains, was carried out as previously described (27, 50). When appropriate, antibiotics were included at the following final concentrations: 1 μg · ml⁻¹ erythromycin (Ery), 5 μg · ml⁻¹ kanamycin (Kan), 5 μg · ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol (Cat), 75 μg · ml⁻¹ spectinomycin (Spc), and 2.5 μg · ml⁻¹ pheleomycin (Pheo). Surfactant and cerulein were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. For those plates supplemented with IPTG in Fig. 11, one or two grams of IPTG was carefully added on top of the soft LB agar after solidification in order to allow IPTG diffusion and gradient formation.

β-Gal assays. The β-galactosidase (β-Gal) activity from liquid cultures was assayed as previously described (14, 27). In the case of the assays of β-Gal activity driven from colonies, cells were resuspended at a final concentration of 3 × 10⁹ CFU · ml⁻¹ before measurement of the β-galactosidase activity (14, 27).

Transcriptome analysis. Cultures of the *B. subtilis* natto strain (RG4365) and its spo0A derivative (RG4370) were inoculated onto the middle of LB plates containing 0.7% or 1.5% agar. The bacterial biomass was removed from the plates with a spatula after 24 h of growth, and samples were stored at −80°C. At least three independent biological replicates were included. The pellets were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. RNA extraction was performed with the Macaloid/Roche protocol (37, 65) with two additional steps of phenol-chloroform washing. RNA concentration and purity were assessed using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA samples were reverse transcribed into cDNA using the SuperScript III reverse transcriptase kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and labeled with Cy3 or Cy5 monoreactive dye (GE Healthcare Amersham, The Netherlands). Labeled and purified cDNA samples (NucleoSpin extract II; Biokè, Leiden, The Netherlands) were hybridized in Ambion Slidehyb #1 buffer (Ambion Europe Ltd.) at 48°C for 16 h. The arrays were constructed as described elsewhere (66). Briefly, specific oligonucleotides for all 4,107 open reading frames of *B. subtilis* 168 were spotted in duplicate onto aldehyde-coated slides (Cell Associates) and further handled using standard protocols for aldehyde slides. Slide spotting, slide treatment after spotting, and slide quality control determination were done as described previously (67). After hybridization, slides were washed for 5 min in 2× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate) with 0.5% SDS, washed 2 times for 5 min each in 1× SSC with 0.25% SDS, washed 5 min in 1× SSC-0.1% SDS, dried by centrifugation (2 min, 2,000 rpm), and scanned in a GenePix 4200AL microarray scanner (Axon Instruments, CA, USA). Fluorescent signals were quantified using ArrayPro 4.5 (Media Cybernetics Inc., Silver Spring, MD) and further processed and normalized with MicroArray (68). CyberT (69) was used to perform statistical analysis. Genes with a Bayes *P* value of ≤1.0 × 10⁻⁴ were considered significantly affected.

Cloning of *kinB* and *kinC* genes and site-directed mutagenesis. The coding regions of *kinB* and *kinC* were amplified with oTB56–oTB57 and oTB61–oTB62 oligonucleotide pairs, respectively (oligonucleotide sequences are indicated in Table S3 in the supplemental material). The PCR products were digested with BamHI and EcoRI enzymes and cloned into the corresponding sites of pT186. pT186 is an amyE integration vector with a kanamycin resistance gene. pT186 was created by amplifying the kanamycin resistance gene from pDG782 (70) with primers oDG1 and oDG2, restricting it with Stul and BamHI, and ligating it to the corresponding sites of PCR-amplified vector that was obtained with oX1 and oX2 on pX (71) plasmid as the template (see Table S3). Site-directed mutants of the *kinB* gene were obtained using an overlapping fragment PCR method (72), using polymerase X enzyme (Roboklon GmbH, Berlin, Germany). The 5' region of *kinB* was amplified with oTB56–oTB58 oligonucleotides, while the 3' region, containing mutations for 3 amino acid exchanges (S103A, T386E, and G388A) or a 7-amino-acid deletion (S103 to T389), was obtained with oTB59–oTB57 or oTB60–oTB57, respectively (see Table S3). The PCR fragments were used in a second round of PCR as a template accompanied with oTB56–oTB57 oligonucleotides, and fusion fragments were cloned in the same way as the wild-type *kinB* gene. The plasmid constructs obtained were sequenced before transformation into *B. subtilis* integrating into the amyE locus.

Fatty acid analysis. Approximately 20 mg of the sample was weighed in a screw-cap 4-ml glass vessel. For the transesterification, a methanol-hydrochloric acid solution was freshly prepared by adding 1 ml of acetyl chloride to 20 ml of methanol (73). Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT; 3 μg · ml⁻¹) was added to prevent autoxidation of polysaturated fatty acids. Afterward, 1.5 ml of this solution was added to the sample. The solution was overlaid with nitrogen, and the vessel was tightly closed. After vortexing, the vessel was heated at 90°C for 1 h. Once cooled to room temperature, 1 ml of water and 1.5 ml of hexane were added for extraction of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs). The tubes were vortexed and centri-
were completely dried and resuspended in 1 ml of ethanol (74). For the extracted as previously described (74). Then, the PLs and GLs were hydrolyzed milliliters of a 37°C until the end of the vegetative phase (~6 h of growth); only saturated fatty acids, mainly branched fatty acids, are made at this temperature (74). Total membrane lipids (phospholipids [PLs] and glycolipids [GLs]) were extracted as previously described (74). Then, the PLs and GLs were hydrolyzed with cold diazomethane to obtain free fatty acids (FAs), which were completely dried and resuspended in 1 ml of ethanol (74). For the complementation experiments on curelulin-treated B. subtilis cells, the free FAs were used at a 1:100 dilution rate.

Preparation of branched fatty acid extract from B. subtilis. One hundred milliliters of a B. subtilis RG3465 culture was grown in LB broth at 37°C until the end of the vegetative phase (~6 h of growth); only saturated fatty acids, mainly branched fatty acids, are made at this temperature (74). Total membrane lipids (phospholipids [PLs] and glycolipids [GLs]) were extracted as previously described (74). Then, the PLs and GLs were hydrolyzed with cold diazomethane to obtain free fatty acids (FAs), which were completely dried and resuspended in 1 ml of ethanol (74). For the complementation experiments on curelulin-treated B. subtilis cells, the free FAs were used at a 1:100 dilution rate.

Light microscopy and photography. The developed swarm and slide plates were visualized with a Stemi 2000 (Zeiss) stereomicroscope using a KE1500LCD (Zeiss) illumination system. A Power-Shot A80 (Canon) system was used to capture the photographs for swarm and slide images.

Plant root colonization experiments. Two sanitized wheat seeds were deposited on top of previously solidified 1/10-diluted LB in 0.7% agar plates and incubated in moisture chambers at 25°C with exposure to periods of 12 h of illumination. After 3 days of incubation, when the wheat seeds started to germinate, 3.0 μl of stationary-phase cultures of wild-type and spo0A mutant cells was inoculated at the points indicated by the white dashed circles in Fig. 2. After 24 h of incubation and during the subsequent days, top-to-bottom pictures were taken to show the sliding and plant root colonization abilities of wild-type and spo0A B. subtilis cells.

Microarray data accession number. Microarray data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database under accession no. GSE43840.
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