Abstract

Oxidative stress generates harmful reactive oxygen species (ROS) that attack biomolecules including DNA. In living cells, there are several mechanisms for detoxifying ROS and repairing oxidatively-damaged DNA. In this study, transcriptomic analyses clarified that disruption of DNA repair genes mutS and mutL, or the anti-recombination gene mutS2, in Thermus thermophilus HB8, induces the biosynthesis pathway for vitamin B1, which can serve as an ROS scavenger. In addition, disruption of mutS, mutL, or mutS2 resulted in an increased rate of oxidative stress-induced mutagenesis. Co-immunoprecipitation and pull-down experiments revealed previously-unknown interactions of MutS2 with MutS and MutL, indicating that these proteins cooperatively participate in the repair of oxidatively damaged DNA. These results suggested that bacterial cells sense the accumulation of oxidative DNA damage or absence of DNA repair activity, and signal the information to the transcriptional regulation machinery for an ROS-detoxifying system.

Introduction

In living cells, extracellular oxidative stress and intracellular redox reactions of aerobic metabolism generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) that are harmful for biomolecules such as proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, and DNAs [1]. A radical attack on the bases in DNA produces oxidized bases such as thymine glycol, 2,6-diamino-4-hydroxy-5-formamidopyrimidine, 5-hydroxymethyluracil, 8-oxoguanine (8OG), and 5-formyluracil [2,3]. Through DNA replication or error-prone repair events, the modification of bases can result in the alteration of genetic information because an oxidized base can form stable hydrogen bonds with multiple partners. For example, 8OG and 5-formyluracil can pair not only with cytosine and adenine but also with adenine and guanine or cytosine, respectively [4,5,6]. Although such mutagenesis can be a driving force for evolution to survive a specific environment, cells, under normal conditions, need to avoid frequent alteration of their genome. Cells are equipped with both protection mechanisms against ROS and repair mechanisms for damaged DNA to prevent cell death or to suppress the rate of mutagenesis.

As protection mechanisms against ROS, several enzymatic and non-enzymatic ones are known. Catalases, superoxide dismutases, and peroxidases catalyze the reduction of superoxide or hydrogen peroxide [7]. Gltathione, vitamin E, vitamin C, vitamin B6, β-carotene, and bilirubin have been found to detoxify various kinds of ROS [8,9,10].

As a repair mechanism, it has been well established that base-excision repair system removes oxidatively damaged bases from DNA [3,4]. In this repair system, a specific DNA glycosylase (MutM and OGG1 in bacteria and humans, respectively) removes the 8OG residue from an 8OG:adenine pair as a mismatched base pair, and removes the adenine residue from an 8OG:cytosine pair [11]. An unrepaird 8OG:cytosine pair can be converted to an 8OG:adenine pair through DNA replication. Removal of 8OG from the 8OG:adenine pair is an error-prone process that fixes the GC-TA transversion mutagenesis. Then, another DNA glycosylase (MutY and MYH in bacteria and humans, respectively) excises the adenine residue from an 8OG:adenine pair to re-generate 8OG:cytosine pair, which is a substrate for MutM glycosylase [12]. Furthermore, it has also been suggested that DNA mismatch repair (MMR) system plays the same role as MutY in the removal of the adenine residue from an 8OG:adenine pair in a DNA replication-dependent manner [13,14,15,16]. MMR recognizes an 8OG:adenine pair as a mismatched base pair, and removes the adenine residue in the newly-synthesized strand.

Interestingly, it has been reported that MutS and MutL, the key enzymes in MMR, are limiters of the stationary phase-induced adaptive mutagenesis in Escherichia coli and Saccharomyces cerevisiae, respectively [17,18]. Since it has also been reported that the main driving force of the stationary phase-induced mutagenesis may be oxidative stress [19], it is expected that MutS and MutL can function in the repair of oxidative DNA damage during the stationary phase. Previously known MMR depends on replicative...
DNA polymerases such as DNA polymerase III or DNA polymerase δ. However, those replicative DNA polymerases are expected to be inactive in stationary phase cells, where replication events merely occur. In fact, in *Thermus thermophilus* HB8, the expression of β-subunit of DNA polymerase III gene is potently suppressed in stationary phase (GEO accession number: GSE19839). Therefore, it could be speculated that MutS and MutL are involved in the repair of oxidative DNA damages in a different manner from the known MMR system. The cooperation of MMR proteins with an error-prone DNA polymerase or base-excision repair system has been discussed [19,20].

We have been studying DNA repair enzymes from *Thermus thermophilus* HB8, which include MutS, MutL, and MutS2. Bacterial MutS and MutL play central roles in MMR [21,22,23,24] in a similar manner to well-characterized eukaryotic homologues [25,26]. MutS recognizes mismatched base pairs and MutL is thought to interact with a MutS-mismatch complex to initiate excision of the error-containing strand. Bacterial MutS2 is a paralogue of MutS and is not involved in MMR but in the suppression of homologous recombination [27,28,29] (Fig. 1A). However, it is suggested that *Helicobacter pylori* MutS2 participates not only in the suppression of homologous recombination but also in the repair of oxidative DNA damage [30]. We have reported that recombinant *T. thermophilus* MutS2 interacts with MutL, although the biological significance of this interaction remains unknown [31]. In addition, high similarity in dimerization domain between bacterial MutS and MutS2 raises the possibility that MutS may interact with MutS2 [31].

In this study, to assess the cooperative involvement of MutS, MutL, and MutS2 in DNA repair, interactions of MutS2 with MutS and MutL were examined by co-immuno precipitation assay. The results clearly showed in vivo interactions between them. We also performed transcriptomic analyses of *T. thermophilus* HB8 strain lacking mutS, mutL, or mutS2. As a result, it was confirmed that vitamin B1 (diamine) biosynthesis was induced in all three disruptant strains and that vitamin B1 can act as an antioxidant against ROS. A significant effect of disruption of mutS, mutL, or mutS2 on the rate of oxidative stress-induced mutagenesis was also demonstrated. These data suggest that mutS, mutL, and mutS2 cooperatively function in the repair of oxidative DNA damage (Fig. 1B) and that the loss of this function leads to the induction of biosynthesis of vitamin B1 as an ROS scavenger.

Methods

Culture conditions for *T. thermophilus* HB8

*T. thermophilus* HB8 [32,33] was grown at 70°C in TR medium: 0.4% tryptone (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI), 0.2% yeast extract (Oriental Yeast, Tokyo, Japan), and 0.1% NaCl (pH 7.5) (adjusted with NaOH). To make plates, 1.5% gellan gum (Wako, Osaka, Japan), 1.5 mM CaCl₂, and 1.5 mM MgCl₂ were added to TR medium (metals are necessary to solidify the gellan gum).

*T. thermophilus* HB8 strains

*T. thermophilus* HB8 strains lacking mutM (ΔmutM) and *thal*0675 (Δ*thal*0675) were constructed by substituting the target gene with the thermostable kanamycin-resistance gene, *HTK* [34], through homologous recombination as previously described [35,36]. The plasmids used for gene disruption were derivatives of the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega Co., Madison,WI), constructed by inserting *HTK* flanked by approximately 300-bp upstream and downstream sequences of each gene. The plasmids were transformed into *T. thermophilus* HB8 cells as described previously [35]. Gene disruptions were confirmed by PCR amplification using the isolated genomic DNAs as templates.

A *T. thermophilus* HB8 strain lacking mutS (ΔmutS), mutL (ΔmutL), or mutS2 (ΔmutS2) was generated as described previously [23,29]. Since mutL locus is in the upstream of mutS locus in the same operon, the disruption of mutL was performed by inserting the reversed *HTK* cassette.

Co-immunoprecipitation and Western blotting

*T. thermophilus* HB8 cells were grown in 50 ml of TR medium at 70°C for 15 h and then harvested by centrifugation. Cells were lysed in a buffer comprising 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 50 mM NaCl, and bovine pancreas DNase I (Takara, Shiga, Japan) was added to 0.5 mg/ml. After incubation at 37°C for 30 min, the lysate was subjected to immunoprecipitation. Immunoprecipitation was performed with Dynabeads-Protein A conjugates (Veritas, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Ten μg of polyclonal rabbit anti-MutS (Oriental Yeast Co., Tokyo, Japan), -MutL (MBL Co., Nagoya, Japan), or -MutS2 (Oriental Yeast Co., Tokyo, Japan) antibody (Oriental Yeast Co., Tokyo, Japan) was used for binding to 50 μl of Dynabeads-Protein A conjugate.

The immunoprecipitates were resolved on 12% acrylamide gels and electroblotted onto PVDF membrane (Millipore, Milford, MA). The membrane was incubated in a blocking solution comprising 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 500 mM NaCl, and 3% gelatin (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) for 30 min at room temperature. After washing with 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) containing 500 mM NaCl, and 0.05% Tween-20 (Bio-Rad Laboratories), the membrane was immersed into the same buffer containing rabbit anti-MutL, -MutS, or -MutS2 antibody and then incubated for 12 h at room temperature. After washing in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) containing 500 mM NaCl, and 0.05% Tween-20, the membrane was reacted with Protein A-horseradish peroxidase conjugate (Bio-Rad Laboratories) in a buffer comprising 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 500 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween-20, and 1% gelatin for 2 h at room temperature. The membrane was washed twice in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 500 mM NaCl and 0.05% Tween-20, and then reacted with 4-chloro-1-naphthol (Bio-Rad Laboratories) in HRP color development buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories) for 30 min at room temperature. The staining was stopped by washing in deionized water.

Preparation of His₆-tagged MutS2

The Nda-BglII region of the p17Blue/mutS2 plasmid [31] was ligated into the Ndel-BamHI site of the pET-15b vector (Novagen, Madison, WI) to yield the pET-15b/mutS2 plasmid for expression of His₆-tagged MutS2. *E. coli* Rosetta-gami(DE3) (Novagen) was transformed with pET-15b/mutS2 and cultured in 1.5 l of YT medium containing 50 μg/ml ampicillin at 37°C. When the density of cultures reached 4×10⁸ cells/ml, isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (Wako) was added to 1 mM. The cells were grown at 37°C for 4 h after induction and then harvested by centrifugation. The cells were lysed by sonication in buffer I (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 500 mM NaCl) and then heated to 70°C for 10 min. After centrifugation at 48,000 × g for 60 min, the supernatant was loaded onto 10 ml of Talon Resin (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) pre-equilibrated with buffer I. The resin was washed with 200 ml of buffer I containing 10 mM imidazole and then eluted with a 200 ml gradient of 10–500 mM imidazole in buffer I. The fraction containing His₆-tagged MutS2 was loaded onto a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 pg column (GE Healthcare Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) pre-equilibrated with a buffer comprising 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 200 mM NaCl, and then used.
eluted with the same buffer. The eluted His6-tagged MutS2 was concentrated to 20 mM using a Vivaspin concentrator (Vivascience, Hanover, Germany). Peptide mass fingerprinting [37] revealed that the purified protein was His6-tagged MutS2. The protein concentration was determined on the basis of the absorbance at 278 nm using the molar extinction coefficient of 21,945 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ calculated by the previously described procedure [38].

Pull-down assay

*Thermus thermophilus* HB8 cells were grown in 50 ml of TR medium at 70°C for 15 h and then harvested by centrifugation. Cells were lysed in 1 ml of buffer I, and then subjected to pull-down assay.

Two hundred µl of 20 mM His6-tagged MutS2 was loaded onto 200 µl of Talon resin pre-equilibrated with buffer I in a microtube. The resin was washed twice with 1 ml of buffer I. One ml of cell lysates were loaded onto the His6-tagged MutS2-bound Talon resin, followed by incubation in the presence or absence of 5 mM ATP or ADP and 5 mM MgCl₂ for 30 min at room temperature. The resin was washed four times with 1 ml of buffer II containing 100 mM imidazole. The eluted fractions were subjected to Western blotting analysis using anti-MutS or -MutL antibody.

DNA microarray

DNA microarray analyses were performed as described previously [39,40]. Wild-type, ΔmutS, ΔmutL, and ΔmutS2 *T. thermophilus* HB8 strains were cultured in TR broth at 70°C until the OD₆₀₀ reached ~0.5, and then cells were harvested from 50 ml of the culture. Total RNA was extracted from each strain, and then cDNAs were synthesized by SuperScript II (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and 6-base random primers (Invitrogen) in the presence of the RNase inhibitor SUPERase (Ambion, Austin, TX), fragmented by DNase I (GE Healthcare), and labeled with biotin by the GeneChip DNA labeling reagent (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). The labeled cDNA fragments were hybridized with a TTHB8401a520105F GeneChip (Affymetrix) that contained probe sets of 25-mer oligonucleotides for 2238 ORFs and 1096 intergenic regions. After washing and staining with streptavidin-phycocerythrin (Invitrogen) by GeneChip Fluidics Station 450XP (Affymetrix), the array was scanned by a GeneChip Scanner 3000 (Affymetrix).

**Figure 1. MutS family proteins.** (A) MutS family proteins can be classified into MutSI and MutSII subfamilies. MutSI includes bacterial MutS, and eukaryotic MutSα and MutSβ, which are involved in MMR [69,72]. MutSII includes eukaryotic MutSγ and bacterial MutS2, which are involved in promotion and suppression of homologous recombination, respectively. Bacterial MutS and MutS2 are homodimeric protein, while eukaryotic MutSα, MutSβ, and MutSγ are heterodimeric proteins comprised of MSH2/MSH6, MSH2/MSH3, and MSH4/MSH5, respectively. (B) Divergence in functions of bacterial MutS and MutS2. In this study, it was suggested that MutS and MutS2 cooperatively participate in repair of oxidative DNA damages. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019053.g001
The expression intensities of the 2238 ORFs for three lots of the independently cultured wild type, ΔmutS, ΔmutL, and ΔmutS2 strains were evaluated by GeneChip Operating Software version 1.2 (Affymetrix) as described previously [40] using the definition of platform GPL4902 (NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/)). The data set analyses were performed on Subio platform version 1.6 (Subio Inc., Tokyo, Japan). First, measurement values less than 1 were transformed to 1. Second, the data were transformed into logarithms and normalized through global normalization (normalized as to the median). Third, the data were normalized as to the mean of the wild-type data. The microarray data discussed in this study are MIAME compliant and have been deposited in the GEO and are accessible through GEO Series Accession No. GSE22567.

Reverse transcription (RT)-PCR
RT-PCR was performed as described previously [41] using a PrimeScript RT-PCR kit (Takara). The primers (BEX Co., Tokyo, Japan) used are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Examination of sensitivity to 

The wild-type, ΔmutS, ΔmutL, ΔmutS2, ΔmutM, and ΔmutS0675 T. thermophilus HB8 strains were grown in 3 ml of TR medium for 16 h. The precultured cells were suspended in 3 ml of TR medium and cultured to 1

The 1 ml of each diluted culture was spread on a drug-free plate. The plates were incubated at 70

Estimation of the mutation frequency under oxidative stress
The mutation frequency of T. thermophilus HB8 was estimated based on the frequency of streptomycin-resistant mutants measured by means of the modified Luria-Delbruck fluctuation test [42] as described previously [23]. Streptomycin is an antibiotic agent that binds to the 30S ribosomal subunit and interferes the initial selection and proof-reading steps of translation [43]. A single amino acid substitution in streptomycin-binding site of the ribosomal protein S12 or a point mutation in 16S rRNA can lead to the acquisition of streptomycin resistance [44]. The wild-type, ΔmutS, ΔmutL, and ΔmutS2 T. thermophilus HB8 strains were cultured in 3 ml of TR medium at 70°C for 24 h. The cultures were diluted 1:60 with 3 ml of TR medium and then shaken at 70°C for 6 h (~1×10^6 cells/ml). The cultures were mixed with 30 μl of 0 or 1 M H2O2 and then incubated at 70°C for 30 min. The 1 ml of each culture was spread on a plate containing 50 μg/ml streptomycin. The same cultures were diluted 1:10 with TR medium and 100 μl of each diluted culture was spread on a drug-free plate. The plates were incubated at 70°C for 16 h. The frequency of streptomycin-resistant mutants per 10^9 cells was calculated from the numbers of colonies formed on the streptomycin-containing and drug-free plates.

Results

MutS2 interacted with MutS and MutL
Our previous result exhibited in vitro interaction of T. thermophilus MutS2 with MutL [31]. In addition, comparison of amino acid sequences of T. thermophilus MutS and MutS2 showed that MutS2 contains a region corresponding to the dimerization domain of MutS [31], which implies the interaction between MutS2 and MutS. To address this issue, we examined the in vivo interaction of MutS2 with MutS or MutL. As shown in Fig. 2A, MutS and MutL were co-immunoprecipitated with MutS2 by using anti-MutS2 antibody. It was also confirmed that MutS2 was co-immunoprecipitated with MutS or MutL by using anti-MutS or -MutL antibody. The co-immunoprecipitation was not observed when ΔmutS, ΔmutL, and ΔmutS2 strains were used (Fig. 2A, lines 4, 8, 12, and 14). In addition, MutS2 was not co-immunoprecipitated with other unrelated DNA-binding proteins, T. thermophilus UvrA, the nucleotide-excision repair enzyme [45], or Alkyltransferase-like (ATL) protein, the O’-methylguanine repair enzyme [46] when anti-UvrA or -ATL protein antibody was used (Fig. 2A). These results indicate that MutS and MutL form complexes with MutS2 in the cell.

Pull-down assays involving recombinant His6-tagged MutS2 as a bait protein also support the interactions of MutS2 with MutS and MutL (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, MutS was not pulled down by MutS2 under the condition without a pre-incubation of MutS2 with an adenine nucleotide, and the pre-incubation of MutS2 with ADP greatly enhanced the interaction between MutS2 and MutS. In contrast, the interaction between MutS2 and MutL seemed to be only slightly reduced by the addition of adenine nucleotides to the assay system. The effect of adenine nucleotides on the interaction properties of MutS is quite reasonable because it is known that MutS family proteins including MutS2 change their conformations and functions in response to binding of adenine nucleotides [29,47,48,49].

Disruption of mutS, mutL, or mutS2 activated vitamin B1 biosynthesis
In order to well define the cellular functions of mutS, mutL, and mutS2, we analyzed transcription of the whole genome in T. thermophilus HB8 cells lacking mutS (ΔmutS), mutL (ΔmutL), and mutS2 (ΔmutS2) during the exponential growth phase by DNA micro-array. When compared with wild-type cells using the t-test (P<0.01), the expression levels of 8, 111, and 18 genes increased by more than 2-fold in ΔmutS, ΔmutL, and ΔmutS2 cells, respectively (Fig. 3A and Supplementary Tables S2, S3, S4). It should be mentioned that disruption of mutL by reversed HTH cassette did not perturb the expression of mutS that locates in the upstream of mutL in the same operon (Supplementary Table S5). Seven genes (tha0674, tha0675, tha0676, tha0677, tha0678, tha0679, and tha0680) were suggested to be up-regulated in all of the three disruptant strains (Fig. 3A). These genes are coded in the same operon (Fig. 3B). It has been clarified that insertion of HTH cassette does not influence the up-regulation of these genes (GEO accession No. GSE7166, 10369, and GSE19521). As shown in Fig. 3C and D, they are the genes for vitamin B1 (thiamine) biosynthesis except for tha0679. The amino acid sequence of TTHA0679 showed similarity to those of major facilitator superfamily transporters. It has been known that yeast vitamin B1 transporter THI7 belongs to this superfamily, implying that TTHA0679 is also a vitamin B1 transporter. The up-regulations of
these genes in the disruptant cells were also confirmed by RT-PCR (Fig. 3E). Thus, vitamin B1 biosynthesis genes were commonly up-regulated in \( \Delta \text{mutS} \), \( \Delta \text{mutL} \), and \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \) cells. It is also suggested that a putative vitamin B1-transporter gene \( \text{tthb071} \) was up-regulated in \( \Delta \text{mutS} \) and \( \Delta \text{mutL} \) cells (Supplementary Tables S2 and S3).

Previous studies suggested the ROS-scavenging ability of vitamin B1 [50,51,52,53] and the oxidative-stress-induced increase in the intracellular level of vitamin B1 level in \( \text{Arabidopsis thaliana} \) [54]. In order to account for the antioxidant effects of vitamin B1, Gibson and Blass proposed two chemical reaction pathways which involve opening of thiazole ring or formation of tricyclic thiamine [53]. Then, we examined whether or not vitamin B1 has this effect on the survival of \( T. \text{thermophilus} \) HB8 cells under \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)-induced stress. As shown in Fig. 4A and B, the addition of vitamin B1 to the medium resulted in reduced sensitivity to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). \( T. \text{thermophilus} \) HB8 has genes for ABC transporter subunits \( \text{ttha1807} \) and \( \text{ttha1808} \), whose amino acid sequences are highly similar to those of vitamin B1 transporter subunits. Therefore, it is expected that \( T. \text{thermophilus} \) HB8 can uptake exogenous vitamin B1 into cells. Alternatively, it is also possible that vitamin B1 detoxified \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in the medium prior entering the cells, since the time interval between vitamin B1 addition and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) addition was 5 min. We also examined the effect of \( \text{ttha0675} \)-knockout on the tolerance to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)-induced stress. Since \( \text{ttha0675} \)-disrupted cells \( \Delta \text{ttha0675} \) showed an obvious delay in their growth even in rich medium, we measured the survival ratio to evaluate the tolerance to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)-induced stress. As a result, we observed a significant decrease in the survival ratio of the \( \Delta \text{ttha0675} \) strain compared with the wild-type strain (Fig. 4C). This finding suggests that vitamin B1 can serve as an antioxidant against ROS also in \( T. \text{thermophilus} \) HB8.

\textbf{mutS}, \textbf{mutL}, and \textbf{mutS2}-lacking strains exhibited increased mutation frequencies under oxidative stress

The induction of vitamin B1 biosynthesis implies an increased level of oxidative stress and/or damage in the \( \Delta \text{mutS} \), \( \Delta \text{mutL} \), and \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \) strains. Thus, we compared the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)-sensitivities of the three disruptant strains with that of the wild-type strain. The disruptants showed no remarkable increase in the sensitivity to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (below 30 mM), although the \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \) strain exhibited a slightly increased sensitivity to 30 mM \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) to the same extent as the strain lacking \text{mutM} that encodes the base-excision repair glycosylase (Fig. 5A and B). On the other hand, the \( \Delta \text{mutS} \), \( \Delta \text{mutL} \), and \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \) strains, under oxidative stress caused by 10 mM \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), showed significantly higher mutation frequencies than the wild-type strain (Fig. 5C), suggesting the involvement of these genes in the repair of mutagenic oxidative DNA damage such as 8OG and 5-formyluracil. It should be mentioned that streptomycin-resistance-based measurement of mutation frequency performed here detects the frequency of the single-base substitutions including AT-CG transversion and AT-GC transition mutations [55] which can be generated by 8OG and 5-formyluracil, respectively [11,56,57]. Our DNA microarray experiments suggested that transcriptions of \( \text{tth1934} \) and \( \text{tthb071} \) were also up-regulated in \( \Delta \text{mutL} \) and \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \) cells, respectively (Supplementary Tables S3 and S4). These genes encode proteins whose amino acid sequences exhibit significant similarity to those of apurinic/apyrimidinic endonucleases. Apurinic/apyrimidinic endonucleases are generally required for base-excision repair system to process the abasic sites generated by DNA glycosylases [58]. Therefore, it can be expected that proteins encoded by \( \text{tth1934} \) and \( \text{tthb071} \) are also involved in the repair of oxidative DNA damage.

\textbf{Discussion}

DNA microarray experiments demonstrated that transcription of the vitamin B1 biosynthesis operon was commonly up-regulated in \( \Delta \text{mutS} \), \( \Delta \text{mutL} \), and \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \) cells (Fig. 3). It has been well established that, in many bacteria, the vitamin B1 biosynthesis operon is regulated by thiamin pyrophosphate-binding riboswitch at the translational level [59,60], and the riboswitch-coding sequence is called the \text{thi} element [61]. However, \( T. \text{thermophilus} \) has no \text{thi} element in the 5’-untranslated region of the vitamin B1 biosynthesis operon [61]. Therefore, it had been expected that the expression of the operon is regulated at the transcriptional level, and this notion was strongly supported by our transcriptomic analyses.
The activation of vitamin B₁ biosynthesis was also observed when *T. thermophilus* HB8 cells were cultured in the medium containing 10 mM H₂O₂ [62]. It has been reported that vitamin B₁ can serve as a direct ROS scavenger [51,52] in addition to its role as a co-factor for several reductases (the coenzyme form of vitamin B₁ is thiamine pyrophosphate). In good agreement with this, disruption of a vitamin B₁-biosynthesis gene (*ttha0675*) resulted in drastic increase in the H₂O₂-sensitivity (Fig. 4C) and the addition of vitamin B₁ to the medium enhanced the tolerance to H₂O₂ (Fig. 4A and B).

Although *T. thermophilus* HB8 has genes encoding peroxiredoxin (TTHA1300), Mn catalase (TTHA0122), and heme peroxidase (TTHA1714), which potentially detoxify H₂O₂, we did not observe the activation of these genes in ΔmutS, ΔmutL, and ΔmutS2 cells. Vitamin B₁ has been reported to show scavenging activity against hydroxyl radical that is derived from H₂O₂ through Fenton reaction in the cell and directly attacks DNA molecules [51]. For oxidatively damaged cells, it might be more effective to detoxify hydroxyl radicals than to detoxify H₂O₂.

It can be speculated that the increased production of thiamine is utilized as a coenzyme for various enzymes. However, our microarray analysis did not detect the activation of the gene for thiamine phosphate kinase (TTHA0424), therefore, we believe that the observed activation of thiamine biosynthesis genes does not result in the activation of thiamine pyrophosphate-requiring enzymes.

Since the stimulation of biosynthesis of an ROS-scavenging molecule was observed, it can be thought that there was a...
A significant increase in oxidative stress and/or damage in \( \Delta \text{mutS} \), \( \Delta \text{mutL} \), and \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \) cells. Considering the previously reported molecular functions of MutS, MutL, and MutS2, these proteins are expected to participate in the repair of oxidative DNA damage but not in detoxifying ROS. This hypothesis was supported by our finding that disruption of \( \Delta \text{mutS} \), \( \Delta \text{mutL} \), or \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \) did not cause a drastic decrease in the survival ratio under H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (below 30 mM)-induced stress but in a significant increase in the rate of 10 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\)-induced mutagenesis (Fig. 5A–C). In addition, no up-regulation was observed for the transcriptional regulator SdrP, whose expression level is greatly up-regulated in response to the oxidative stress [40] (Supplementary Tables S2, S3, S4), suggesting that there was elevation of DNA damages but no elevation of the oxidative stress in \( \Delta \text{mutS} \), \( \Delta \text{mutL} \), and \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \) cells. The oxidative DNA damage-dependent alteration of the genetic information, if accumulated, should affect not only the mutant frequency but also the survival of the cell. Therefore, it would be possible that higher concentrations of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) decrease the survival ratio of \( \Delta \text{mutS} \), \( \Delta \text{mutL} \), and \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \) cells.

Figure 4. Vitamin B\(_1\) positively affects the survival of \( T. \) thermophilus HB8 under oxidative stress. (A) The effect of 0 (upper panel) or 50 (lower panel) mM vitamin B\(_1\) on the tolerance of \( T. \) thermophilus HB8 wild-type strain to H\(_2\)O\(_2\). Cells were incubated with various concentrations of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and then spotted onto plates as described under Methods. The concentrations of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) are indicated at the top of the panel. (B) The vitamin B\(_1\) dose dependence of the H\(_2\)O\(_2\) sensitivity. \( T. \) thermophilus HB8 wild-type strain was incubated in broth containing 50 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and the indicated concentrations of vitamin B\(_1\). (C) Sensitivity of \( \Delta \text{thts0675} \) cells to H\(_2\)O\(_2\). Wild-type and \( \Delta \text{thts0675} \) strains were incubated in the broth containing 0, 10, 20, 30, 50, and 80 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\). After treatment with H\(_2\)O\(_2\) cells were spread onto plates and incubated at 70°C for 24 h. The survival ratios of the wild-type (triangles) and \( \Delta \text{thts0675} \) (circles) strains were estimated based on the numbers of colonies on the plates and plotted against H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentration. Bars indicate standard deviations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019053.g004

Figure 5. Effect of gene disruption on the tolerance to H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and the rate of H\(_2\)O\(_2\)-induced mutagenesis. (A) Sensitivity to H\(_2\)O\(_2\). The wild-type, \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \), \( \Delta \text{mutS} \), \( \Delta \text{mutL} \), and \( \Delta \text{mutM} \) strains of \( T. \) thermophilus HB8 were incubated in medium containing the indicated concentrations of H\(_2\)O\(_2\). After incubation with H\(_2\)O\(_2\) cells were spotted onto plates. (B) Effect of 10 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\) on growth curves of the wild-type (red), \( \Delta \text{mutS} \) (pink), \( \Delta \text{mutL} \) (purple), \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \) (blue), and \( \Delta \text{mutM} \) (orange) strains of \( T. \) thermophilus HB8. Precultured cells were inoculated to 5 ml of medium to an OD\(_{600}\) value of 0.10. After incubation at 70°C for 3 h, 50 ml of 0 (circles) or 1 M (triangles) H\(_2\)O\(_2\) was added. (C) Rate of H\(_2\)O\(_2\)-induced mutagenesis. The wild-type, \( \Delta \text{mutS} \), \( \Delta \text{mutL} \), and \( \Delta \text{mutS2} \) strains of \( T. \) thermophilus HB8 were incubated in medium containing 0 or 10 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\) for 30 min. After incubation, cells were spread onto plates containing 0 or 50 \( \mu\)g/ml streptomycin. Frequency of streptomycin-resistant mutants per \( 10^8 \) cells was calculated from the numbers of colonies formed on the streptomycin-containing and drug-free plates. Bars indicate standard deviations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019053.g005
The results of DNA microarray and phenotypic analyses implied the cooperative function of MutS, MutL, and MutS2 in repair of oxidative DNA damages, which is consistent with our finding of in vivo interactions of MutS2 with MutS or MutL (Fig. 2). Interaction between MutS2 and MutS is reasonable when we remember that MutS2 retains the region homologous to the dimerization domain of MutS [31]. Although there has been no report about the heterodimerization of bacterial MutS homologues, it is known that eukaryotic MutS homologues form heterodimers [63,64]. The observed interaction between MutS2 and MutS might indicate the existence of the heterodimer of bacterial MutS homologues. As to the MutS2-MutL interaction, there might be an analogy with the well-characterized interaction between MutS and MutL. Recently, it was reported that the connector domain of MutS comprises the interface with MutL [65]. Although MutS2 seems to retain the region corresponding to the connector domain of MutS [31], the poor sequence conservation in the region prevents us from identifying the MutL-interacting residues in MutS2. The repair machinery including these interactions should be investigated in future studies.

Disruption of mutS, mutL, or mutS2 had no remarkable effect on the survival of *T. thermophilus* HB8 but did on the rate of mutagenesis under H$_2$O$_2$-induced oxidative stress (Fig. 5). Therefore, it is expected that these genes are responsible for the repair of mutagenic DNA damage such as 8OG, 5-formyluracil, and 5-hydroxymethyluracil rather than fatal DNA damages such as thymine glycol which blocks DNA synthesis [66]. It should be mentioned that oxidative stress has an enhancing effect on the deamination of exocyclic amino groups of bases in DNA, which generates mutagenic bases such as uracil and hypoxanthine [3]. Uracil and hypoxanthine can be yielded through the deaminations of cytosine and adenine, which cause GC-AT and AT-GC transition mutations, respectively [67]. These mutagenic bases are also potential candidate for the substrates of MutS, MutL, and MutS2.

MutS2 homologues are usually present in MutS- and MutL-containing species [68,69]. The cooperation of MutS2 with MutS and MutL might be universal for these species. The exceptions are the several pathogens among e-Proteobacteria such as *H. pylori*, which has MutS2 but not MutS and MutL [27,28]. In these pathogens, DNA repair activities are expected to be significantly reduced. The increase in the mutation frequency caused by the loss of the DNA repair activity may be an advantage for these pathogens that need to adapt to a frequently changing environment. Interestingly, *H. pylori* MutS2 shows specific binding activity toward 8OG-containing DNA [30], while *T. thermophilus* MutS2 did not exhibit such specificity (data not shown). It may be possible that MutS2 homodimer and a MutS-MutS2 complex are responsible for the recognition of oxidatively damaged DNA in mutS-lacking and mutS-containing species, respectively. There is, of course, another possibility that a protein other than MutS homologues recognizes the damaged DNA and MutS-MutS2 supports it.

DNA microarray analyses revealed that the number of up-regulated genes in ΔmutL was more than tenfold greater than seen in either ΔmutS or ΔmutS2 cells (Supplementary Tables. S2, S3, S4). This finding may indicate that MutL has additional functions besides the repair of mismatched bases and oxidative damage. Remarkably, ΔmutL-specific up-regulation was observed for genes *tthb148-152* *tthb178*, *tthb187*, and *tthb190-194* (Supplementary Table S3), which are under the control of a transcriptional regulator, cAMP-dependent protein (CRP) [39]. These genes are characteristic of the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR), and so called CRISPR-associated (*cas*) genes. The *cas* genes have been implicated as components of a host defense system against invading foreign replicons [70]. Recently, it was verified that CRP up-regulates the *cas* genes upon phage infection in *T. thermophilus* HB8 [71]. Hence, we could speculate that cells lacking the *mutL* gene need to be ready for the attack by the foreign replicon. It should be noted that, in ΔmutL cells, the expression of the type II restriction enzyme *Ttb HB8* (*ttha1548*) was also induced (Supplementary Table S3), while that of DNA recombinase RecA was suppressed (Supplementary Table S5). Since MMR is also known to function in the protection of cells from invading foreign DNAs by preventing homologous recombination, inactivation of *mutL* may increase the opportunity to be attacked by the incoming DNA. However, DNA microarray analyses did not detect any increase in the expression of the *cas* genes or restriction enzymes in cells lacking another MMR gene, *mutS* (Supplementary Table S2).

![Figure 6. Inactivation of DNA repair genes leads to the induction of vitamin B$_{12}$ biosynthesis.](#)

Extracellular oxidative stress and intracellular redox metabolism generate ROS, which can attack DNA to yield oxidatively damaged DNA. (A) In the wild-type strain, oxidatively damaged DNA is repaired by DNA repair enzymes including MutS, MutL, and MutS2. (B) In the ΔmutS, ΔmutL, and ΔmutS2 strains, the genes for vitamin B$_{12}$ biosynthesis are activated to prevent the accumulation of oxidative damage in DNA via an unknown mechanism. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019053.g006
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These results imply that MutL is responsible for an additional role other than DNA repair. This study clarified that inactivation of DNA repair enzymes leads to the activation of a ROS-detoxifying mechanism (Fig. 6). In other words, our results suggest that a ROS-detoxifying system can be regulated corresponding to the intracellular level of oxidatively damaged DNA or the absence of DNA repair enzymes. Although a previous study revealed the intracellular ROS level-dependent transcriptional regulation of the ROS-detoxifying system [54], to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report that the loss of DNA repair functions or the accumulation of DNA damage can stimulate the expression of the ROS-detoxifying system. It remains to be investigated how cells sense the accumulation of oxidative DNA damage or absence of DNA repair enzymes, and signal the information to the regulation machinery of the vitamin B1-dependent ROS-detoxifying system. Since there was no candidate for the transcriptional regulator whose expression level was up- or down-regulated upon disruption of mutS, mutL, or mutS2 gene (data not shown), it can be speculated that a constitutively expressed transcriptional regulator is responsible for the regulation of the vitamin B1-dependent ROS-detoxifying system upon inactivation of DNA repair enzymes.
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