Clarifying the relationship between redox activity and electrochemical stability in solid electrolytes
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All-solid-state Li-ion batteries promise safer electrochemical energy storage with larger volumetric and gravimetric energy densities. A major concern is the limited electrochemical stability of solid electrolytes and related detrimental electrochemical reactions, especially because of our restricted understanding. Here we demonstrate for the argyrodite-, garnet- and NASICON-type solid electrolytes that the favourable decomposition pathway is indirect rather than direct, via (de)lithiated states of the solid electrolyte, into the thermodynamically stable decomposition products. The consequence is that the electrochemical stability window of the solid electrolyte is notably larger than predicted for direct decomposition, rationalizing the observed stability window. The observed argyrodite metastable (de)lithiated solid electrolyte phases contribute to the (ir)reversible cycling capacity of all-solid-state batteries, in addition to the contribution of the decomposition products, comprehensively explaining solid electrolyte redox activity. The fundamental nature of the proposed mechanism suggests this is a key aspect for solid electrolytes in general, guiding interface and material design for all-solid-state batteries.

Initially, for many solid electrolytes wide electrochemical stability windows were reported16-11, which appeared in practice to be much more limited4,12,13. Evaluation of the electrochemical stability, based on differences in formation energies, indeed lead to much narrower stability windows14,15; however, practical stability windows typically appeared wider4,12,13. As a thermodynamic evaluation does not take into account kinetic barriers for decomposition reactions, which should be expected to play a critical role15, the mechanisms of solid electrolyte decomposition are poorly understood, presenting one of the major challenges for ASSBs4,12,13,15. Another important aspect, directly related to this, is the potentially significant contribution of the typically Li-rich solid electrolytes through (de)lithiation reactions, either directly or indirectly16. In general, redox activity can be expected near the interface between the solid electrolyte and the electronically conductive components of the electrode (electrode active material and carbon additives17), but may also extend deep into the solid electrolyte through short-range electron conductivity of the electrolyte itself18. Understanding the redox activity of solid electrolytes, and its correlation with the electrochemical stability window, is thus of fundamental importance for the development of stable solid-solid interfaces in ASSBs.

Here, we demonstrate that the electrochemical stability window of the argyrodite Li1.5Al0.5Ge1.5(PO4)3 (LAGP) solid electrolyte is determined by the solid electrolyte redox activity, that is, lithiation, on reduction of phosphorus and delithiation on oxidation of sulfur, before decomposing into more stable products. As demonstrated by density functional theory (DFT) simulations, this kinetically favourable indirect decomposition pathway effectively widens the electrochemical stability window, compared to direct decomposition into stable products, in excellent agreement with accurate electrochemical measurements. The (de)lithiated argyrodite phases are directly observed with X-ray diffraction (XRD) and solid-state NMR, providing direct evidence of this indirect decomposition mechanism. As solid electrolytes are designed to provide fast ionic conduction, the indirect decomposition through (de)lithiation is proposed to be relevant for solid electrolytes in general, determining the practical electrochemical stability window. This is further supported by the agreement between the measured and the predicted indirect decomposition mechanism for Li1.5Al0.5Ge1.5(PO4)3 (LAGP) NASICON-type solid electrolytes. This mechanism establishes that not only the decomposition products but also the solid electrolyte structure itself contribute to the reversible capacity in ASSBs, making the present findings highly relevant for the working and development of ASSBs.
Electrochemical activity of the argyrodite LPSC

The electrochemical stability, especially for thiophosphate solid electrolytes, was shown to be significantly lower than initially expected\cite{16,17,18,24}, where the consequential decomposition reactions have had a large impact on the ASSB performance\cite{1,2,3,4,5,6}. To investigate the electrochemical stability and the role of electrochemical reactions in solid electrolytes, the argyrodite LPSC, introduced by Deiseroth et al.\cite{25}, is employed both as active material and solid electrolyte in ASSBs. To induce oxidation and reduction reactions of the solid electrolyte, carbon black and carbon nanofibres are mixed in with the LPSC. The mixture is referred to as the LPSC-C electrode (for details see Methods). To study the oxidation and reduction independently, while at the same time preventing the redox activity of the decomposition products from interfering with the decomposition itself, individual cells are prepared for the first oxidation and for the first reduction. An In[LPSC]LPSC-C battery is cycled galvanostatically starting with oxidation, and a Li-In[LPSC]LPSC-C battery starting with reduction, the resulting voltage curves of which are shown in Fig. 1a,b. Unless otherwise specified, all voltages are expressed versus the Li/Li$^+$ potential. The large partially reversible specific capacities demonstrate that LPSC can undergo severe oxidation and reduction reactions, and the low columbic efficiencies of 70% and 40% on first oxidation and reduction, respectively, suggest the formation of a significant number of decomposition products. The decreasing capacity of the initial cycles (Supplementary Fig. 1) indicates that these decomposition reactions increase the impedance. However, on extended cycling, the reversible capacity remains relatively constant, which could indicate that the decomposition products are able to deliver reversible electrochemical activity, as already suggested for LPSC by Auvergnot et al.\cite{26} and worked out in detail by Tan et al.\cite{27}. Since LPSC can undergo both oxidation and reduction reactions, it can be used to assemble a one-material battery, similar to what was reported for the Li$_{10}$GeP$_2$S$_{12}$ solid electrolyte, for which the combination of decomposition products at the cathode and anode provided the reversible redox$^{14}$. During the first charge, the activity appears to set in at around 1.25 V, which is a direct indication of the practical electrochemical stability window. To evaluate the practical electrochemical stability window more accurately, the differential capacity is determined from the first charge of the In[LPSC]LPSC-C battery and from the first discharge.

Fig. 1 | Voltage profiles and differential capacity curve of the LPSC-C electrode. a–c, Voltage profile of the first, second, fifth and tenth cycles of: In[LPSC]LPSC-C ASSB starting from charge and on galvanostatic oxidation, where the LPSC-C electrode shows a voltage plateau at 2.5 V, reaching a total charge capacity of 264 mAh g$^{-1}$ when charged to 3.63 V (a); Li-In[LPSC]LPSC-C ASSB starting from discharge and on galvanostatic reduction, where the LPSC-C electrode shows a voltage plateau at around 1.2 V, with a discharge capacity of 405 mAh g$^{-1}$ when discharged to 0.63 V (b); and LPSC-C[LPSC]LPSC-C, a one-material (LPSC) ASSB, shows an initial charge capacity of 270 mAh g$^{-1}$, which drops to 107 mAh g$^{-1}$ in the second cycle (c). d, The differential capacity dC/dV curve of the In[LPSC]LPSC-C (blue) and the Li-In[LPSC]LPSC-C battery (orange) showing the first oxidation and first reduction of LPSC. Electrochemical activity is observed below 1.25 V and above 2.50 V versus Li/Li$^+$, indicating an electrochemical stability window of 1.25 V. Note that these experiments should be expected to approach thermodynamic conditions, and hence the contribution of overpotentials is minimal. This is because the applied current density (see Methods) is distributed over the very large interface area between the conductive carbon additives and the solid electrolyte (≈1 m$^2$).
of the Li-In|LPSC|LPSC battery, shown in Fig. 1d. Indeed, a practical stability window of 1.25 V is obtained, which is much larger than that theoretically predicted (0.3 V)\textsuperscript{14,15} and much smaller than initially reported (7 V)\textsuperscript{10}. Additionally, the presence of more than one peak, both on reduction and oxidation, indicates subsequent redox activity. This raises the following question: what reactions take place and how do these determine the observed electrochemical stability window?

Aiming for a better understanding and prediction of practical electrochemical stability windows, and correlation with solid electrolyte redox activity, we evaluate the formation energies of all possible Li-vacancy configurations at different compositions of argyrodite Li\(_x\)PS\(_5\)Cl, within a (charge-neutral) single unit cell, similar to how the energetics of electrode materials are evaluated\textsuperscript{28}. This appears to be a realistic approach considering that the solid electrolyte is in contact with the conductive additives in a cathodic mixture, and therefore the solid electrolyte can function as an electrode material being oxidized and reduced.

The resulting formation energies of the argyrodite Li\(_x\)PS\(_5\)Cl as a function of Li composition are shown in Fig. 2a, where the convex hull connects the most stable configurations. On oxidation and reduction of LPSC, the most stable compositions are Li\(_4\)PS\(_5\)Cl and Li\(_{11}\)PS\(_5\)Cl, respectively. Green region: predicted window (thermodynamic), based on the stability of the decomposition products for oxidation (S, Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\), LiCl) and reduction (P, Li\(_2\)S and LiCl), respectively. Yellow region: stability window of LPSC based on the oxidation and reduction potentials of Li\(_4\)PS\(_5\)Cl and Li\(_{11}\)PS\(_5\)Cl, respectively. The black crosses indicate the voltages at which the argyrodite is expected to decompose, on oxidation to S, Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\), LiCl, and on reduction to P, Li\(_2\)S and LiCl, as previously reported\textsuperscript{14}. Reduction and oxidation are expected to occur at 1.08 V and 2.24 V versus Li/Li\(^+\), respectively. The legend compares the stability windows. Yellow region: stability window of LPSC based on the oxidation and reduction potentials of Li\(_4\)PS\(_5\)Cl and Li\(_{11}\)PS\(_5\)Cl, respectively. Green region: predicted window (thermodynamic), based on the stability of the decomposition products for oxidation (S, Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\), LiCl) and reduction (P, Li\(_2\)S and LiCl)\textsuperscript{14,15}.

Fig. 2 | Formation energies of Li-vacancy configurations of argyrodite Li\(_x\)PS\(_5\)Cl and comparison of experimental and calculated voltage profiles. a, Formation energies per formula unit for all Li configurations within one unit cell versus the composition \(x\) in Li\(_x\)PS\(_5\)Cl. The formation energy of the combination of Li\(_4\)PS\(_5\), Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\) and LiCl is shown below the convex hull at \(x = 6\). At \(x = 4\) and \(x = 11\), the formation energies of the decomposition products on oxidation (S, Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\), LiCl) and on reduction (P, Li\(_2\)S and LiCl), respectively, are shown, in line with the decomposition products previously reported\textsuperscript{14}. b, Calculated theoretical voltage profile, versus Li/Li\(^+\), of Li\(_x\)PS\(_5\)Cl in the compositional range of \(0 < x < 12\). The black crosses indicate the voltages at which the argyrodite is expected to decompose, on oxidation to S, Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\), LiCl, and on reduction to P, Li\(_2\)S and LiCl, as previously reported\textsuperscript{14}. c, First charge of the In\textbackslash LPSC\textbackslash LPSC\textbackslash C (blue) and first discharge of the Li\textbackslash In\textbackslash LPSC\textbackslash LPSC\textbackslash C (orange) battery including the differential capacity from Fig. 1d. Above 2.30 V versus Li/Li\(^+\), LPSC is oxidized, and below 1.25 V versus Li/Li\(^+\), LPSC is reduced. The legend compares the stability windows. Yellow region: stability window of LPSC based on the oxidation and reduction potentials of Li\(_4\)PS\(_5\)Cl and Li\(_{11}\)PS\(_5\)Cl, respectively. Green region: predicted window (thermodynamic), based on the stability of the decomposition products for oxidation (S, Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\), LiCl) and reduction (P, Li\(_2\)S and LiCl)\textsuperscript{14,15}.
Li$_{11}$PS$_5$Cl, respectively. On oxidation of argyrodite, sulfur is redox active ($S^2- \rightarrow S^0 + 2e^-$), whereas on reduction, phosphorous is redox active ($P^{3+} \rightarrow P^{2-} – 5e^- $). Also indicated in Fig. 2a are the energies of the thermodynamically more stable combinations of Li$_3$PS$_4$, Li$_2$S and LiCl species, and the most stable decomposition products of the oxidized and reduced argyrodite. Clearly, a delithiated (oxidized) argyrodite (Li$_{11}$PS$_5$Cl) is much less stable than the combination of Li$_3$PS$_4$, S and LiCl, and similarly a lithiated (reduced) argyrodite (Li$_{11}$PS$_5$Cl) is much less stable than the combination of Li$_2$S and LiCl as previously predicted,$^{14,15}$ which are therefore the expected decomposition products on oxidation.

The average voltages as a function of Li composition $x$ in Li$_3$PS$_4$Cl, calculated from the convex hull are shown in Fig. 2b. From the theoretical voltage curve it is expected that the argyrodite LPSC delithiates (oxidizes) at 2.24 V and lithiates (reduces) at 1.08 V. This indicates that if the decomposition reactions for oxidation and reduction are determined by the stability of the Li$_3$PS$_4$, Li$_2$S and LiCl species, respectively, an electrochemical stability window of 1.16 V is expected. Also indicated is the much narrower electrochemical stability window, approximately 0.3 V wide, based on direct decomposition into Li$_3$PS$_4$, S and LiCl (oxidation) and into Li$_{11}$PS$_5$Cl, Li$_2$S and LiCl (reduction), in line with previous DFT calculations.$^{14,15}$ Which stability window applies depends on the activation barriers to these decomposition routes. Based on the high Li-ion conductivity of the argyrodite, indicating low kinetic barriers for changes in the Li composition, we propose that the decomposition occurs indirectly, via the lithiated and delithiated compositions of argyrodite (Li$_3$PS$_4$Cl and Li$_{11}$PS$_5$Cl), rather than directly into the decomposition products. On argyrodite oxidation and reduction, first Li$_3$PS$_4$Cl and Li$_{11}$PS$_5$Cl would form, which are most likely unstable as evaluated below, providing a facile reaction pathway towards the formation of the more stable decomposition products as indicated by the solid black arrows in Fig. 2a.

The experimental voltage curves obtained on oxidation and reduction of the argyrodite, including their differential capacity, are shown for comparison in Fig. 2c. Remarkable agreement is found between the predicted electrochemical stability window of 1.16 V (Fig. 2b) and the experimentally observed window (Fig. 2c), supporting the present hypothesis that the argyrodite stability is determined by its redox activity on (de)lithiation. The formation of decomposition products can be expected to increase the impedance depending on their location in the electrodes, which is most likely...
the origin of the broadening of oxidation and reduction peaks in the differential capacity shown in Fig. 2c. The offset between the measured and predicted stability window is most likely a result of the lower voltages predicted by DFT calculations\textsuperscript{29}. Based on this, we propose that the first oxidation peak in the differential capacity, shown in Fig. 1d and Fig. 2c, is associated with the decomposition of LPSC at around 2.24 V via Li\textsubscript{4}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl into Li\textsubscript{3}PS\textsubscript{4}, S and LiCl, and the first reduction peak in the differential capacity is associated with the decomposition of LPSC at around 1.08 V via Li\textsubscript{11}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl into P, Li\textsubscript{2}S and LiCl.

On further oxidation, after the formation of Li\textsubscript{3}PS\textsubscript{4} via Li\textsubscript{4}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl, the thermodynamic evaluation predicts the formation of P\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{5} at 2.3 V (ref. \textsuperscript{14}). On further reduction, after formation of P via Li\textsubscript{11}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl, the thermodynamic evaluation predicts the formation of Li\textsubscript{3}P, resulting in several voltage plateaus starting from 1.3 V down to approximately 0.87 V. The latter represents 67% of the reduction capacity (LiP to Li\textsubscript{3}P) (see Supplementary Table 1, consistent with previous DFT predictions\textsuperscript{30}). This provides a complete prediction of the oxidation and reduction potential pathway, via the solid electrolyte to the redox activity of the decomposition products as illustrated in Supplementary Fig. 2. For reduction, this is consistent with the observed reduction activity measured at around 0.8 V in Fig. 2c, and with the known reduction potentials associated with the lithiation of phosphorus\textsuperscript{31}. However, on oxidation of the expected Li\textsubscript{x}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl decomposition product, a peak in the differential capacity is observed around 2.9 V, which is not in agreement with the predicted P\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{5} formation at 2.3 V. As discussed below, formation of P\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{7}\textsuperscript{4–} is observed, consistent with the P–S–P bridging polyhedral suggested by X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS)\textsuperscript{20}. Moreover, Li\textsubscript{3}PS\textsubscript{4} has been observed to oxidize at 2.9 V towards P\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{7}\textsuperscript{4–} (ref. \textsuperscript{32}), consistent with the observed oxidation activity shown in Figs. 1d and 2c. We anticipate that to predict the oxidation of Li\textsubscript{3}PS\textsubscript{4} to P\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{7}\textsuperscript{4–} at 2.9 V requires a comprehensive DFT redox activity analysis as done here for LSPC.

Additionally, DFT-based molecular dynamics (MD) simulations are performed on the delithiated (Li\textsubscript{4}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl) and lithiated (Li\textsubscript{11}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl) phases, showing that the Li\textsubscript{4}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl and Li\textsubscript{11}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl compositions are extremely unstable, having very low activation barriers towards decomposition (Extended Data Fig. 1). This supports the presently proposed indirect decomposition reaction, through the facile oxidation and reduction of the argyrodite, thus via the unstable Li\textsubscript{4}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl and Li\textsubscript{11}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl phases, towards the stable decomposition products.

To monitor the structural changes of the LPSC-C electrodes, XRD measurements were performed at different stages during the cycling (following the same cycling strategy as in Fig. 1a,b) of both the In|LPSC|LPSC-C and Li-In|LPSC|LPSC-C ASSBs, as shown in Fig. 3. During the oxidation (delithiation) of the LPSC-C electrode to 3.63 V, the LPSC peak positions shift (Fig. 3a), corresponding to a decrease in the average cubic lattice parameter from 9.87 Å to 9.76 Å. This can be attributed to the partial delithiation of the LPSC phase, consistent with the lattice volume changes predicted by DFT for the compositional range 6 ≥ x ≥ 4 for Li\textsubscript{x}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl (Supplementary Fig. 3). Interestingly, several argyrodite compositions between Li\textsubscript{x}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl and Li\textsubscript{4}PS\textsubscript{5}Cl are located slightly above the convex hull (only 7.5 meV
The second phase (orange line) remains at the position representing the delithiated argyrodite phases, the amount of which appears to grow on cycling, indicating an increasing amount of oxidized argyrodite phases are formed on cycling. The total amount of crystalline argyrodite decreases as indicated by the increasing background that appears over cycling, indicating the concomitant formation of amorphous sulfide and phosphorous sulfide decomposition products. During the first reduction (lithiation) of the LPSC-C electrode to 0.63 V, the XRD patterns (Fig. 3b) do not display an obvious peak shift, as would be expected for the lithiated phases of argyrodite (Supplementary Fig. 3). A growing peak at around 27° reflects the formation of the Li,S phase, consistent with the predicted decomposition reaction of lithiated (reduced) argyrodite (Li<sub>11</sub>PS<sub>5</sub>Cl → P + S + Li,S + LiCl). The amount of the Li,S phase that is formed increases dramatically as a function of cycle number, also indicating the continuous decomposition of the argyrodite for low potential cycling.

Complementary to the XRD measurements, solid-state <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>31</sup>P magic angle spinning (MAS) NMR measurements are performed to analyse the decomposition products formed on cycling. For pristine argyrodite, the <sup>31</sup>P resonance at 85 ppm, shown in Fig. 4a,d, can be assigned to the P environment in the PS<sub>4</sub> tetrahedral units. After the first oxidation (delithiation) to 3.63 V of the LPSC-C electrode, an additional shoulder is observed at 95 ppm (Fig. 4b), which can be assigned to the <sup>31</sup>P environment of P,S<sub>4</sub> species. This indicates the formation of S–S bonds between PS<sub>4</sub> tetrahedral units (P–S–P), which undergoes a disproportionation reaction leading to the formation of P,S<sub>4</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>, with P–S–P bridging polyhedra. On first oxidation, the <sup>6</sup>Li NMR spectrum (Extended Data Fig. 2b) shows the formation of an additional shoulder at around −1.1 ppm consistent with the formation of LiCl (ref. 1). This supports the decomposition products observed by XPS<sup>23,27</sup>, in line with the MD simulations that indicate the bond-angle changes to Li,S and LiCl species after oxidation, and P, Li,S, and LiCl species after reduction. These decompose on further oxidation and reduction to P,S<sub>4</sub> and S<sub>2</sub> at 2.9 V (ref. 27) and Li,P around 0.8 V (refs. <sup>34</sup> and 36), respectively, as observed by XPS<sup>20</sup> and the present XRD and NMR analysis. XRD and NMR also demonstrate the presence of metastable (de)lithiated argyrodite phases. This provides strong support for the proposed kinetically most favourable decomposition route, via the redox activity of the argyrodite solid electrolyte, thereby determining the electrochemical stability window.

**Fig. 5 | Schematic of the electrochemical activity of argyrodite LPSC on oxidation (delithiation) and reduction (lithiation).** The electrochemical stability window is determined by the oxidation and reduction potentials of Li<sub>11</sub>PS<sub>5</sub>Cl (S/S<sub>2</sub> redox, at 2.24 V) and Li<sub>6</sub>PS<sub>5</sub>Cl (through the P/P<sup>+</sup> redox, at 1.08 V), respectively, here shown schematically, where the activation barriers to form these compositions are expected to be very low based on the high Li-ion conductivity. The redox potentials of the argyrodite solid electrolyte determine the practical electrochemical stability window, as expressed by the first oxidation and reduction reactions observed in the cycling (Fig. 1a,b), and in the differential capacity (Fig. 1d), consistent with the predicted redox activity (Fig. 2). The unstable argyrodite phases rapidly decompose into the expected stable Li<sub>3</sub>PS<sub>4</sub>, S and LiCl species after oxidation, and P, Li,S, and LiCl species after reduction. These decompose on further oxidation and reduction to P,S<sub>4</sub> and S<sub>2</sub> at 2.9 V (ref. 27) and Li,P around 0.8 V (refs. <sup>34</sup> and 36), respectively, as observed by XPS<sup>20</sup> and the present XRD and NMR analysis. XRD and NMR also demonstrate the presence of metastable (de)lithiated argyrodite phases. This provides strong support for the proposed kinetically most favourable decomposition route, via the redox activity of the argyrodite solid electrolyte, thereby determining the electrochemical stability window.

On the first reduction (lithiation) to 0.63 V of the LPSC-C electrode, a new <sup>31</sup>P environment appears at −220 ppm (Fig. 4e), which can be assigned to Li,P (Fig. 4f). The <sup>6</sup>Li NMR spectrum (Extended Data Fig. 2f) shows the appearance of a Li chemical environment very similar to that of Li in the argyrodite. Although the <sup>6</sup>Li chemical shift of this environment is close to that of Li,P<sub>5</sub>, the associated phosphorus environment at 109 ppm is not observed in Fig. 4e. We suggest that this Li environment may represent disordered lithiated argyrodite phases, which are suggested to form as metastable phases, occurring just above the convex hull in Fig. 2a. Also, an additional peak appears at 2.3 ppm in the <sup>31</sup>P spectrum (Extended Data Fig. 2f), which can be assigned to the formation of Li,S, consistent with the XRD pattern in Fig. 3b. After a full cycle that is first reduction to 0.63 V followed by oxidation to 1.63 V, Li,P disappears (Supplementary Fig. 5), indicating that in this voltage range phosphorous is redox active, reversibly transforming Li,PS<sub>5</sub> to Li,P. The observed formation of Li,P and Li,S in the LPSC-C electrodes reduced to 0.63 V is consistent with XPS observations showing the formation of Li,P, Li,S and LiCl species at per atom for Li,PS<sub>5</sub>Cl as seen in Fig. 2a. Based on the convex hull in Fig. 2a, these metastable phases 6 ≥ x ≥ 4 should disproportionate into Li<sub>6</sub>PS<sub>5</sub>Cl (which would decompose immediately) and LPSC. However, in reality, the system will not be in thermodynamic equilibrium as some parts of the electrodes are, or can become, more isolated through poor ionic and/or electronic contact. This makes it reasonable to suggest that parts of the electrode can be captured in 6 ≥ x ≥ 4 metastable phases (which are kinetically more stable as compared to the Li<sub>6</sub>PS<sub>5</sub>Cl and Li<sub>11</sub>PS<sub>5</sub>Cl phases). Importantly, the presence of these phases in the composition range 6 ≥ x ≥ 4 provides experimental support for the proposed indirect decomposition mechanism via (de)lithiation of the solid electrolyte. After subsequent reduction, hence after one complete charge–discharge cycle, two different phases of argyrodite appear to be present, indicated by the dashed lines in Fig. 3a. The diffraction angle, 2θ, of the first phase (blue line) shifts back to the pristine argyrodite position, indicating that at least a partially reversible (de)lithiation of LPSC occurs.
the interface of LPSC with Li metal\(^2\). The formation of P, Li\(_2\)S and LiCl, through the decomposition of the intermediate Li\(_x\)PS\(_{3}\)Cl, is expected to occur at 1.08 V, and further reduction up to 0.63 V will result in the formation of Li\(_x\)P at around 0.8 V (ref. \(^{11}\)) as observed (Fig. 1d) and predicted (Fig. 2b).

The proposed indirect oxidative and reductive decomposition mechanism of the aragonite LPSC solid electrolyte, via the unstable Li\(_3\)PS\(_{4}\)Cl (S/S\(^{-}\) redox) and unstable Li\(_2\)PS\(_{4}\)Cl (P/P\(^{3+}\) redox), is schematically shown in Fig. 5. Both the redox activity of the solid electrolyte and of the decomposition products are responsible for the observed cycling capacity at the anodic and cathodic potentials. In ASBs this implies that both contributions of the solid electrolyte will add to the cycling capacity based on the active electrode materials and the specified potential ranges. Moreover, the poor ionic conductivity of the decomposition products, especially S, Li\(_2\)S and LiCl, as well as the change in volume can be expected to be responsible for the large increase in interfacial resistance on cycling\(^{12,39,40}\). In addition to the observed decomposition reactions, specific active materials can result in additional decomposition reactions, for instance Ni\(_3\)S\(_4\) on cycling LPSC in combination with a LiNi\(_{0.6}\)Co\(_{0.2}\)Mn\(_{0.2}\)O\(_2\) cathode (Supplementary Fig. 6).

To support the general nature of the indirect decomposition mechanism, the Li insertion/extraction potentials are also determined computationally and experimentally for two different families of solid electrolytes, that is, garnet LLZO and NASICON LAGP, as shown in Fig. 6. For LLZO, the predicted and measured oxidation are both located just above 3.5 V, which is significantly larger than the direct decomposition at 2.91 V towards the predicted stable decomposition products Li\(_2\)O\(_3\), La\(_2\)O\(_3\), and Li\(_3\)Zr\(_2\)O\(_7\) (ref. \(^{14}\)). For LAGP, the predicted and measured oxidation occur close to 2.31 V, which is lower than direct decomposition at 2.70 V based on the stability of the predicted decomposition products Ge, GeO\(_2\), Li\(_4\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\) and AlPO\(_4\) (ref. \(^{14}\)). These results support the hypothesis that the proposed indirect, kinetically favourable decomposition, via the (de)lithiation of the solid electrolyte, is a general mechanism, in practice widening the solid electrolyte stability window.

As solid electrolytes are designed for high ionic conductivity, the activation energies for oxidation and reduction reactions, associated with delithiation and lithiation respectively, can be expected to be small. The resulting metastable solid electrolyte compositions provide a kinetically facile reaction intermediate, providing an indirect pathway towards the more stable solid electrolyte.
decomposition products. As a consequence, the electrochemical stability window is determined by the solid electrolyte oxidation and reduction potentials (S and P redox for argyrodite and several other thio phosphate-based solid electrolytes, O and Zr redox for LLZO and O and P redox for LAGP), and not by the stability of the most stable solid electrolyte decomposition products. The consequence of this indirect thermodynamic pathway is that the electrochemical stability window is generally wider than that based on only the stability of the decomposition products. Based on this mechanism, the design of stable solid electrolytes and their interfaces should focus on maximizing the (de)lithiation redox potentials of the solid electrolytes. The demonstrated relation between the solid electrolyte electrochemical stability window and the redox reactions of the electrolyte are decisive for the performance of solid-state batteries and provide understanding that will contribute to the design of electrolyte-electrode interfaces in ASSBs.
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Methods

Synthesis. Argyrodite LPSC was synthesized as detailed previously 43. Appropriate amounts of Li$_2$ (99.9%, Alfa Aesar), P$_2$S$_5$ (99%, Sigma-Aldrich) and LiCl (99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich) were ball milled at 110 r.p.m. for 2 h under argon atmosphere. The mixture was then transferred to quartz tubes and annealed at 550°C for 15 h to get the pure phase of the argyrodite LPSC (Supplementary Fig. 7).

Solid-state battery assembly and electrochemical cycling. The electrode mixture was prepared by ball milling argyrodite with carbon (Super P, TIMCAL) and carbon fibres (Sigma-Aldrich) in a weight ratio of 0.70:0.15:0.15 for 6 h at 450 r.p.m. in a ZrO$_2$-coated stainless steel jar with eight ZrO$_2$ balls. The solid electrolyte and electrodes were then cold pressed under 5 tonne cm$^{-2}$ in a solid-state cell. In a cell, 10 mg of LPSC-C electrode was used and pressed against 180 mg ppm H$_2$O, Sigma-Aldrich) was added as an electrolyte for wetting both working and counter electrode surfaces. Galvanostatic oxidation was performed with a cut-off voltage of 4.5 V (versus Li/Li$^+$) and LAGP electrodes. The slurry was cast on Al foil with a thickness of 100 µm and LAGP was used as a binder (Solvay) in the weight ratio 4:0.5:0.1 for 90 min at 250 r.p.m. in a ZrO$_2$-coated stainless steel jar with eight ZrO$_2$ balls. A blank test was prepared using carbon black (Super P, TIMCAL) as active material and PVDF as a binder in the weight ratio 90:1:1 to result in the same black carbon loading as the LPSC and LAGP electrodes. The slurry was cast on Al foil with a thickness of 100 µm and dried at 60°C in a vacuum oven for 12 h. The loading of the LLZO, LAGP and carbon electrodes was 1.6, 1.0 and 0.6 mg cm$^{-2}$, respectively. The coin cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box, to avoid reactions with oxygen and moisture (<0.1 ppm O$_2$ and <2 ppm H$_2$O) using both a polymer (Celgard 2250) and a glass fibre (Whatman) separator, and lithium metal as a counter electrode (Sigma-Aldrich), which is washed with dimethyl carbonate to remove the oxide layer. 400 µl of 1.0 M LiPF$_6$ in 1:1 v/v ethylene carbonate and diethyl carbonate (Sigma-Aldrich) was added as an electrolyte for wetting both working and counter electrode surfaces. Galvanostatic oxidation was performed with a cut-off voltage of 4.5 V (versus Li/Li$^+$) for first oxidation (LLZO-C and LAGP electrodes) and 1.5 V (versus Li/Li$^+$) for first reduction (LAGP-C), with 12 h of rest, and a charge–discharge current of 7.0 mA. Comparison of the galvanostatic oxidation and reduction of the LLZO-C and LAGP-C electrodes and a blank electrode are provided in Supplementary Fig. 8a,b. With the solid electrolyte–carbon mixtures, very large interface areas were achieved (for the current particle sizes of -1 mm$^3$) making the effective current densities at least four orders of magnitude lower than the current densities based on the electrode diameter.

X-ray diffraction. To identify the crystalline phases of the prepared materials, powder XRD patterns were collected in the 20 range of 10–120° using Cu K$_\alpha$ X-ray (1.5406 Å at 45 kV and 40 mA) on a X’Pert Pro X-ray diffractometer (Panalytical). The samples were tested in an air-tight sample holder, filled with argon, to prevent exposure to oxygen and moisture.

Solid-state NMR. Solid-state NMR measurements were performed using a Bruker Ascend 500 MHz spectrometer equipped with two- and three-channel 4.0 mm and 3.2 mm MAS probes, respectively. The operating frequencies for P and Li were 202.47 and 73.60 MHz, respectively, and all measurements were performed within a spinning speed range of 8–23 kHz and π/2 pulse lengths of 4–5 µs were determined for Li and P. The chemical shifts of Li spectra were referenced with respect to a 0.1 M LiCl solution, and those of P spectra with respect to an 85% H$_3$PO$_4$ solution. Based on the spin lattice (T$_1$) relaxation time, recyle delays of 5–10,000 s were used, collecting between 128 and 11,264 scans for each sample.

Computational details. Argyrodite Li$_x$PS$_5$Cl crystallizes in the P4$_3$mon space group and at x = 6 has 50% of the 48-h crystallographic Li positions randomly occupied. The starting structure of argyrodite was obtained from the literature where a thorough investigation of the most stable configuration was performed, taking into account the halogen disorder 44. By calculating the energies of the non-equivalent Li configurations, the most stable Li$_x$PS$_5$Cl configurations were obtained, from which the voltage at which these phases were formed can be determined.

To determine the energy properties of the crystalline phases, DFT relaxations were performed with the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) 45. The Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange correlation functional of Perdew et al. was implemented 46, and core electrons were probed with the projected–augmented wave approach 47. Cut-off value of 280 eV and a 4 × 4 × 4 k-point mesh was used. For the argyrodite, the following reaction is considered:

$$\text{Li}_x\text{PS}_5\text{Cl} \rightarrow \text{Li}_x\text{PS}_5\text{Cl} + (6 - x)\text{Li}$$

where (6 - x)Li represents the composition of the most stable configurations on the convex hull. DFT-based MD simulations were performed using the same cut-off value as in DFT simulations. The ab initio MD simulations were executed in the NVT ensemble (conserving the number of atoms, volume and temperature), where the temperature scales every 1,000 time steps. The simulations use periodic boundary conditions with time steps of 2 fs, the total time of the MD simulations being 100 ps. The number of k-points was reduced from 4 × 4 × 4 used in the DFT simulations to 1 × 1 × 1 for the MD simulations. The lattice parameters and positions of all atoms were allowed to relax during relaxation.

The argyrodite structure was obtained from previous work 48. There the Cl–S disorder over the 4a and 4c sites was investigated, and the thermodynamically most favourable configuration was obtained. Note that the Cl–S disorder was kept constant in the presented convex hull and thus the oxidation and reduction voltages were not affected. For determination of configurations as a function of the Li concentration, 10,000 structures were created by placing the appropriate number of Li ions randomly at the 48-h positions. To quickly scan these possible low-energy structures, only the electrostatic energies in these structures were calculated, using the undamped shifted force method with a cut-off radius of 15 Å (ref. 49). For the 20 lowest energy configurations of the electrostatic calculations the structure was optimized and the energy was calculated using VASP. For Li$_x$PS$_5$Cl, 12 ≤ x ≤ 15, extra Li atoms were inserted on the 16e position, and the extended convex hull is presented in Supplementary Fig. 9.

All DFT calculations were performed on charge-neutral cells, thus taking into account the true oxidation and reduction of solid electrolytes, and thus behaving similar to an electrode material. The formation energies of the thermodynamic decomposition products were taken from the Materials Project database 49. The structure of LLZO was also obtained from the Materials Project database 49. For LLZO, a 1 × 1 × 1 k-point mesh was used with a cut-off value of 500 eV. The structure of LAGP was taken from the literature and was relaxed using a 3 × 3 × 1 k-point mesh with a cut-off value of 500 eV.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | Molecular dynamics simulations of Li4P5SCl, Li6P5SCl and Li11P5SCl. a, Radial distribution function (RDF) of the S-S bonds in (de)lithiated Li_xP_yS_5Cl for x = 4, 6, and 11 during a 400 K DFT-MD simulation. During delithiation an increase in S-S bonds is seen around 2.1 Å, indicating that the formation of S-S bonds originates from the oxidation of S in the argyrodite. On top of the peaks, bonds at corresponding radii are displayed. It is important to realize that the timescale at which these structural transformations can be evaluated is very limited and therefore sluggish transformations fall outside the scope of this evaluation. b, Radial distribution function (RDF) of the P-S bonds of (de)lithiated Li_xP_yS_5Cl for x = 4, 6 and 11 during a 400 K DFT-MD simulation. For the lithiated phase, Li_xP_yS_5Cl, a drop in intensity is observed at r = 2.1 Å, consistent with breaking P-S bonds in the PS_4 groups. This is expected because the P atoms can compensate for the change in valence as a consequence of the lithiation. The MD simulations indicate that the Li_xP_yS_5Cl and Li_xP_yS_5Cl compositions are extremely unstable, having very low activation barriers towards decomposition. Their instability suggests that these compositions will only occur locally in the material, rapidly initiating local decomposition, which will nevertheless require the associated oxidation or reduction potential predicted by the convex hull shown in Fig. 2b. c, Relaxed structures of Li_xP_yS_5Cl for x = 4, 6 and 11 after a 400 K DFT-MD simulation. The violet, orange, yellow and green spheres indicate lithium, phosphorous, sulfur, and chlorine respectively.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | $^6$Li MAS NMR spectra of the cathodic mixtures and anodic mixtures. $^6$Li MAS NMR spectra of the cathodic mixtures (a–d) and anodic mixtures (e–g) of Li$_6$P$_5$S$_5$Cl in the In|LPSC|LPSC-C and Li-In|LPSC|LPSC-C solid-state batteries respectively. After first charge of the In|LPSC|LPSC-C solid-state cell, formation of LiCl is observed (b). First discharge shows formation at a new resonance frequency corresponding to Li$_3$P$_4$S$_4$ (c, d). The solid state cell, which starts from lithiation process (f), results in formation of Li$_2$S, confirmed with the spectrum of the reference Li$_2$S (g).