Improvement of Deep Learning-based Human Detection using Dynamic Thresholding for Intelligent Surveillance System
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Abstract—Human detection plays an important role in many applications of the intelligent surveillance system (ISS), such as person re-identification, human tracking, people counting, etc. On the other hand, the use of deep learning in human detection has provided excellent accuracy. Unfortunately, the deep-learning method is sometimes unable to detect objects that are too far from the camera. It is because the threshold selection for confidence value is statically determined at the decision stage. This paper proposes a new strategy for using dynamic thresholding based on geometry in the images. The proposed method is evaluated using the dataset we created. The experiment found that the use of dynamic thresholding provides an increase in F-measure of 0.11 while reducing false positives by 0.18. This shows that the proposed strategy effectively detects human objects, which is applied to the ISS.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Currently, the use of cameras as surveillance media is growing very fast. Usually, cameras are widely used for security purposes in public areas such as schools, offices, stations, airports, highways, and even private homes. Supported by artificial intelligence (AI) development, surveillance with cameras is no longer carried out manually by officers who have many drawbacks such as fatigue, limited staff, etc. Instead, camera-based surveillance allows it to be carried out automatically by utilizing AI-based modules, known as the Intelligent Surveillance System (ISS) [1].

Human detection plays an important role in many applications of ISS, such as person re-identification, human tracking, people counting, etc. If the human detection accuracy is good, these applications will also produce a good performance and vice versa. Therefore, a reliable human detection module is needed. One method that produces good accuracy is based on deep learning, namely the YOLO Network. However, selecting the confidence value threshold produced by deep learning in the decision stage is very challenging. Using a large threshold will cause human objects far from the camera not to be detected correctly. Conversely, if we use a small threshold, it will result in a lot of false positives. In this study, we propose a new strategy using dynamic thresholding based on the location of potential objects that have been detected. Thus, it is expected to be able to detect small objects while reducing false positives.

In many cases, human detection is used at an early stage and significantly affects the accuracy of ISS applications [1-5]. If the human detection accuracy is good, these applications will also produce a good performance and vice versa. Therefore, a reliable human detection module is needed. One method that produces good accuracy is based on deep learning, namely the YOLO Network. However, selecting the confidence value threshold produced by deep learning in the decision stage is very challenging. Using a large threshold will cause human objects far from the camera not to be detected correctly. Conversely, if we use a small threshold, it will result in a lot of false positives. In this study, we propose a new strategy using dynamic thresholding based on the location of potential objects that have been detected. Thus, it is expected to be able to detect small objects while reducing false positives.

Overall, this paper provides the following major contributions: (1) Utilize deep learning for the human detection method. (2) Propose a new strategy to use dynamic thresholding in the decision stage of human detection. (3) Provide a more detailed investigation regarding the effect of threshold selection.

*Corresponding Author.

www.ijacsa.thesai.org
II. THE PROPOSED STRATEGY

A. Data Collection

In this research, we use the open images dataset v4\textsuperscript{1} for training purposes. This dataset contains more than 9 million images with unified annotations for image classification, object detection, and visual relationship detection [14]. We only used person images with object detection annotations. The example of the open images dataset is shown in Fig. 1. We used two CCTV videos from FMIPA Universitas Gadjah Mada, one CCTV video from traffic, and two random CCTV videos for testing purposes. The examples of a testing video are shown in Fig. 2. Table 1 shows the characteristics of video data for evaluation with the various scenario.

B. Data Labeling and Preprocessing

For testing purposes, the ground truth labeling process starts automatically and then manually verifies using labeling software LabelImg\textsuperscript{2}. The annotation process is carried out by following procedure:

1) The automatic labeling process is repeated until the best results are obtained subjectively assessed by the annotator.
2) The image and label, which is the output of the previous process, will be loaded on the LabelImg application.
3) The validation process is conducted manually by the annotator.
4) If there are wrong or missing bounding boxes, the annotator can add them.

Every second of the video will be divided into twelve images then the coordinates of the bounding box of each image will be saved in a CSV file. The example of a saved bounding box is shown in Fig. 3.

C. Detection Strategy using the Dynamic Thresholding

As shown in Fig. 4, the proposed method starts with extracting human candidate regions using a deep learning model and is then followed by the validation stage using thresholding. The basic method we use in detecting humans is by using YOLO. YOLO network will generate candidate regions of objects with certain confidence values. If the confidence value exceeds the threshold, we will classify this object as human and vice versa. However, selecting the threshold of confidence is very challenging. Using a large threshold will cause human objects far from the camera not to be detected properly. Conversely, if we use a small threshold, it will result in a lot of false positives. To solve this problem, we propose using dynamic thresholding for verifying the human region based on the object's position in the vertical direction, as shown in Fig. 5. The closer the object's position to the top, the smaller the object's threshold score will be and vice versa. This strategy is applied with the assumption that objects close to the top are far from the camera. Objects far from the camera will have faint details, so we use a small threshold so that the object can still be detected. However, if this small threshold is applied to objects close to the camera, it can be false positive.

---

\textsuperscript{1} https://opensource.google/projects/open-images-dataset.
\textsuperscript{2} https://github.com/tzutalin/labellmg.
We determine the threshold with the following strategy. First, we scale the object's vertical position by dividing the object's vertical center point by the image height (H). This value will be used to obtain a threshold on a scale of 0.5 to 1 using the following equation:

\[ y' = \frac{y}{H} \]  
\[ t = y' \times (t_{\text{max}} - t_{\text{min}}) + t_{\text{min}} \]  

### III. EXPERIMENT AND RESULTS

This section presents the evaluation protocol used in the experiment and the result of the proposed method with a comparison to the YOLO method. In addition, a discussion of the effectiveness of the proposed method is also presented.

#### A. Evaluation Protocol

We will compare performance and processing time between the basic YOLO method and the YOLO + dynamic threshold in the testing stage. Thus, it can be seen whether the dynamic threshold process will significantly affect the accuracy and processing time. We use hardware with the following specifications: Processor AMD Ryzen 9 3900x, VGA Nvidia RTX 2080 Ti, RAM 64 Gb with Ubuntu 20.04 operating system.

There are seven videos from different CCTV as test objects. The evaluation process will calculate the IoU value between the detected bounding box and ground truth for each CCTV video frame. Intersection over Union (IoU) is the value based on the statistical similarity and diversity of the sample set whose purpose is to evaluate the area of overlap between the two bounding boxes, namely the predicted bounding box and the ground truth bounding box [15]. IoU can be found using the following equation:

\[ \text{IoU} = \frac{A_{\text{inter}}}{{A_{\text{union}}}} \]  

We use 0.5 as a threshold for the IoU value. That means any detected object with an IoU value greater than the threshold will be considered true positives (TP). In contrast, if the IoU value is less than the threshold, it will be considered as false positive (FP), and any undetected object will be considered as false negative (FN). To evaluate the method's performance, we use precision, recall, and F-measure [16]. These metrics are used to evaluate the prediction of each frame. To evaluate the model, the precision, recall, and F-measure of all the frames are calculated.

\[ \text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \]  
\[ \text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \]  
\[ \text{F-Measure} = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \]

#### B. Comparison Results

Based on the testing results using seven different datasets, the following results were obtained in Table 2. It can be seen that in all datasets, the proposed strategy achieves 0.89, 0.95, and 0.91 in precision, recall, and F-measure, respectively, for detecting the human object on the video. These results are better than the YOLO method, which only archives 0.71, 0.94, and 0.80 in precision, recall, and f-measure, respectively. Furthermore, applying our strategy could increase the F-measure of human detection by around 0.11. Thus, it proved that the proposed approach is effective for increasing accuracy and reducing the false positive.

#### C. Discussion

In general, for each data test, it can be seen that the recall value tends to be higher than the precision value for both YOLO and the proposed method. This indicates that both methods are weak against false positives or often detect other objects as human objects. Some examples of test results for each dataset are shown in the image below. The blue box shows the results of YOLO detection, while the red boxes show the results of YOLO detection and the proposed method.
TABLE II. COMPARISON RESULTS THE PROPOSED METHOD AND YOLO

| No | Dataset  | Method                | Precision | Recall | F-Measure | Method | Precision | Recall | F-Measure |
|----|----------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| 1  | MIPA 1   | YOLO [13]             | 0.67      | 0.92   | 0.78      | Proposed Method | 0.83 | 0.91 | 0.87 |
| 2  | MIPA 2   | YOLO [13]             | 0.73      | 0.97   | 0.83      | Proposed Method | 0.95 | 0.99 | 0.97 |
| 3  | MIPA 3   | YOLO [13]             | 0.84      | 1.00   | 0.91      | Proposed Method | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 |
| 4  | Office   | YOLO [13]             | 0.56      | 0.92   | 0.70      | Proposed Method | 0.81 | 0.88 | 0.84 |
| 5  | Traffic  | YOLO [13]             | 0.47      | 0.87   | 0.62      | Proposed Method | 0.81 | 0.86 | 0.84 |
| 6  | Kitchen  | YOLO [13]             | 0.98      | 1.00   | 0.98      | Proposed Method | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| 7  | School   | YOLO [13]             | 0.72      | 0.92   | 0.81      | Proposed Method | 0.87 | 0.93 | 0.90 |
|    | AVERAGE  |                       | 0.71      | 0.94   | 0.80      |        | 0.89 | 0.95 | 0.91 |

In video 1, the proposed method almost always succeeds in detecting human objects without errors. Still, there are false positives in the YOLO method by detecting the announcement box as a human object, as shown in Fig. 6(a). According to the YOLO network, the announcement box has a confidence value of 0.3, as it is close to the top area. The proposed dynamic thresholding process has eliminated the announcement box because it has a confidence value that does not meet the threshold. Same as in the first video, in video 2, the YOLO method is still wrong in detecting the announcement box as a human object, as shown in Fig. 6(b). However, it can be seen if the human object in front of the announcement box can be detected correctly by both methods.

In video 3, although the camera angle is the same as videos 1 and 2, there is a false positive for another object, namely the trash box, when detected using the YOLO method, as shown in Fig. 6(c). While in the proposed method, there are no errors. In video 4, there are false negatives if detected using the proposed method, as shown in Fig. 6(d). False negatives occur when there is an occlusion of a human object. Occlusion makes the object’s confidence value low due to the lack of features that can be extracted due to some features covered by other objects. There were many false positives when testing on video 5 using the YOLO method by detecting road cones as humans, as shown in Fig. 6(e)-(g). At the same time, the proposed method is still able to detect well every human object.

Both YOLO and the proposed method can detect human objects well because the distance of the camera to the object is still quite ideal so that the details of the object are quite clear and there is no occlusion on the object. Therefore, it can be concluded that the proposed method has better performance than the YOLO method, as shown in Table 2. In the example of the detection results, it can also be seen that there are errors in the YOLO method in detecting objects. Overall, both YOLO and the proposed method can almost always detect human objects but still often detect other objects as human objects. This is indicated by the recall value, which is higher than the precision value.

Nevertheless, the proposed method fails to detect objects that are very far from the camera because the details of the object are not clear, so that there are not many features that can be extracted. It makes the object’s confidence value below the threshold. To solve this problem, we may improve the selection of dynamic threshold by considering the distance between the candidate object and the vanishing point. In this case, we should integrate the vanishing point detection [17] [18]. Another solution is by utilizing the super-resolution method for very far objects [19]. However, this solution may require a long processing time.
IV. CONCLUSION

The use of deep learning in human detection provides fairly good accuracy. However, this result is still influenced by selecting the threshold for the confidence value in the decision stage. The use of a static threshold is still not optimal in detecting objects that are far from the camera. This paper has succeeded in proposing the use of a dynamic threshold which is proven to provide a fairly good increase in f-measure, which is around 11% compared to the use of YOLO without a dynamic threshold. It should be noted that the use of dynamic thresholding can be used not only in YOLO but also in other deep-learning architectures. Even so, the dynamic threshold is still possible to be improved by considering the vanishing point in the image or super-resolution image [20].
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