Gd-Complexes of New Arylpiperazinyl Conjugates of DTPA-Bis(amides): Synthesis, Characterization and Magnetic Relaxation Properties

Abdullah O. Ba-Salem 1, Nisar Ullah 1,*, M. Nasiruzzaman Shaikh 2,*, Mohamed Faiz 3 and Zaheer Ul-Haq 4

1 Chemistry Department, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran-31261, Saudi Arabia; E-Mail: abdullahbasalem@gmail.com
2 Center of Research Excellence in Nanotechnology, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran-31261, Saudi Arabia
3 Physics Department, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran-31261, Saudi Arabia; E-Mail: mmfaiz@kfupm.edu.sa
4 Dr. Panjwani Center for Molecular Medicine and Drug Research, International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan; E-Mail: zaheer_qasmi@hotmail.com

* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mails: nullah@kfupm.edu.sa (N.U.); mnshaikh@kfupm.edu.sa (M.N.S.); Tel: +966-13-860-7527 (N.U.), Fax: +966-13-860-4277 (N.U.).

Academic Editor: Derek J. McPhee

Received: 3 March 2015 / Accepted: 24 April 2015 / Published: 29 April 2015

Abstracts: Two new DTPA-bis(amide) based ligands conjugated with the arylpiperazinyl moiety were synthesized and subsequently transformed into their corresponding Gd(III) complexes 1 and 2 of the type [Gd(L)H2O]·nH2O. The relaxivity (R1) of these complexes was measured, which turned out to be comparable with that of Omniscan®, a commercially available MRI contrast agent. The cytotoxicity studies of these complexes indicated that they are non-toxic, which reveals their potential and physiological suitability as MRI contrast agents. All the synthesized ligands and complexes were characterized with the aid of analytical and spectroscopic methods, including elemental analysis, 1H-NMR, FT-IR, XPS and fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectrometry.
1. Introduction

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is at present one of the most powerful and efficient non-invasive imaging modalities available for clinical diagnosis. MRI is considered the safest diagnostic technique compared to competing radio-diagnostic methods due to the fact it does use harmful high-energy radiation [1–3]. With an enormous diagnostic potential, MRI can be used to assess anatomical changes and for monitoring of organ functions, for instance following functions of the human brain on a real time-scale by functional-MRI (fMRI). In cranial abnormalities or multiple sclerosis, MRI is considered the only reliable diagnostic method [4,5]. MRI contrast agents (CAs) usually involve low molecular weight Gd(III) chelates with an acyclic or macrocyclic ligand [6]. They are diagnostic magneto-pharmaceuticals used to enhance the image contrast by increasing the water proton relaxation rate in the body. The efficacy, known as relaxivity, of a CA is measured by its ability to transmit the paramagnetic properties into the bulk water proton and thereby shorten the longitudinal relaxation ($T_1$) time of water protons, which in turn provides impressive anatomical information [2]. Some representative advantages of employing the Gd(III) ion in most MRI CAs are due to its favorable combination of a large magnetic moment (spin-only $\mu_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{4} 7.94 \text{ BM}$, from seven half-filled f orbitals) and long electron spin relaxation time ($10^{-8}$ to $10^{-9} \text{ s}$, from symmetric S electronic state) [7].

In general, anionic Gd-complexes, for instance Gd(DTPA)$^{2-}$, suffer from limitations such as hyperosmolality under physiological conditions and limited utility in focal lesion detection, leading to adverse effects [8]. To overcome the inherent limitations of anionic Gd-complexes and to improve the tissue and/or organ-specificity, the preparation of neutral Gd-macrocyclic analogues for the development of efficient (“optimized”) CAs is highly desirable [9–12]. Earlier reports have suggested that incorporation of alkyl and aromatic groups in the side arm of diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA) rendered excellent relaxivity and water solubility [13–15]. In the light of the above, we have designed novel ligands from the reaction of DTPA-bis(anhydride) with suitably modified arylpiperazines and subsequently transformed them into their corresponding Gd(III)-complexes 1 and 2. Herein, we wish to disclose the synthesis, characterization, relaxivity measurements and cytotoxic studies of these new complexes.

2. Results and Discussion

The development of an optimum MRI contrast agent would necessitate consideration of the high relaxivity, non-cytotoxicity and high water solubility of the targeted complexes. As suggested by earlier reports, modification of the ligand, for instance by the introduction of polar groups on the alkyl substituents of the amide N-atoms of DTPA-bis(amide), can lead to the formation of water soluble Gd-complexes [16]. Therefore, we intended to synthesize Gd-complexes with different arylpiperazine ligands bearing different functionalities in the aryl moiety.
To this end, we first planned to synthesize ligand 7 (Scheme 1), possessing a nitrile group in the aryl moiety. Condensation of benzonitrile 3 [17] with piperazine 4 in DMF generated the coupled product 5 in excellent yield. Reduction of the nitro group of intermediate 5, using Pd-C or Ra-Ni as catalysts, proved problematic and led to the formation of complex mixtures of products, which were difficult to resolve by column chromatography purification. Hence, the reduction of nitro group via transfer hydrogenation with ammonium formate in methanol, using Pd-C as catalyst was employed to produce the corresponding intermediate 6 in high yield. Finally, reaction of aryl amine 6 with diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid dianhydride (DTPAA) [18] in DMF produced the desired ligand 7. With 7 available, we turned to the synthesis of Gd-complex formation. Unfortunately, the reaction of 7 either with GdCl₃ or Gd(OAc)₃ in pyridine was unsuccessful, unreacted 7 being recovered from these reactions. The decreased reactivity of 7 towards complexation could be attributed to both steric and electronic factors. The substitution position and electron withdrawing ability of nitrile group on the aryl moiety generated congestion and reduced the nucleophilicity of the amine moiety (Scheme 1).

Consequently, we decided to synthesize ligands 16 and 17, which bear methoxy and methoxymethyl substituents in the aryl moiety (Scheme 2). Thus, phenol 9 was reacted with the appropriate alkyl halide in DMF to produce known compounds 10 [19] and 11 [20], respectively. Treatment of intermediates 10 and 11 with piperazine 4 produced 12 and 13 in good yields (Scheme 2). Reduction of the nitro group of intermediates 12 and 13 with ammonium formate in methanol, using Pd-C as catalyst, generated the corresponding aryl amines 14 and 15 in high yields. Condensation of amines 14 and 15 with DTPAA produced the desired ligands 16 and 17, respectively. Finally, heating of ligands 16 and 17 with GdCl₃ in pyridine produced the desired complexes 1 and 2 in good yield (Scheme 2). The structures of complexes 1 and 2 were established by their infrared (IR) spectra, elemental analysis, fast atomic bombardment (FAB) mass spectrometry and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements. Complexes 1 and 2 are highly hygroscopic and were isolated as hydrated solids. The
appearance of the ν (OH) band from the water of crystallization at 3426 and 3480 cm⁻¹, supported this observation [13]. The disappearance of the carbonyl stretching bands of the free ligands at 1728 and 1733 cm⁻¹ in both complexes 1 and 2 indicated the participation of the carbonyl groups in coordination [21].

Scheme 2. Synthesis of complexes 1 and 2.

The chemical composition of compounds 1 and 2 was further confirmed by XPS (Figure 1). In compound 1, the C 1s region showed three peaks (eV) at 284.8 (C-C), 285.8 (C-N, C-O) and 287.7 (C=C, C=O) [4], whereas the Gd 4d region had four peaks, showing a multiplet structure, the N 1s region displayed one peak at 399.7 eV. Likewise, the O 1s region has peaks (eV) at 531.0 (C-O) and 532.7 (C=O) (Figure 1) [22]. The XPS measurement of compound 2 showed a similar peak pattern as 1 (Figure 1).
Figure 1. Cont.
After structural characterization of 1 and 2, we next moved on to measure their relaxivities. Whereas the commercially available MRI contrast agent Omniscan® is freely soluble in water and methanol; compounds 1 and 2 have moderate water solubility. Relaxivities were calculated as the inverse of the relaxation times per mM based on the earlier report [23]. The \( R_1 \) data (Table 1) revealed that 2 had a higher relaxivity than 1, but lower than Omniscan®. This relatively lower relaxivity of 1 and 2 compared Omniscan® could be attributed to their lower solubility in water.

**Table 1.** Relaxivity and cytotoxicity data of complexes 1 and 2.

| CA   | \( T_1 \) (ms) | \( R_1 \) (mM\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\)) | In Vitro Cytotoxicity (IC\(_{50}\) μg/mL) |
|------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1    | 415.72 ± 2.32  | 2.40                          | 1                                        | >50                                     |
| 2    | 360.93 ± 1.56  | 2.77                          | 2                                        | >50                                     |
| Omniscan® a | 3.2  | Cycloheximide | 0.13 ± 0.02                             |

*a Data obtained from reference [24].

In vitro cell toxicity of compounds 1 and 2 was studied on adherent 3T3 mouse embryonic fibroblastic cells by the MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay [25]. This assay is used as a quantitative colorimetric method to measure cytotoxicity as well as cell viability. The study revealed that compounds 1 and 2 were non-toxic (Table 1), which warrants their physiological suitability as potential contrast agents for MRI.

In conclusion, two new Gd(III) complexes 1 and 2 of the type \([\text{Gd(L)H}_2\text{O}]\cdot\text{nH}_2\text{O}\) have been synthesized. The relaxivity of these complexes was slightly lower compared to Omniscan®, a commercially available MRI contrast agent. The lower relaxivity of 1 and 2 was attributed to their lower solubility in water. The cytotoxicity studies of these complexes revealed that they are non-toxic which warrants their potential and physiological suitability as MRI contrast agents. The water solubility of these compounds could be increased by introducing polar functions in the aromatic ring, which in turn, may improve their relaxivity. Hence, these compounds may serve as a starting point to obtain optimized structures to produce more efficient MRI contrast agents.
3. Experimental Section

3.1. General Information

Melting points were determined on a Büchi apparatus (Büchi, Flawil, Switzerland) and were uncorrected. Elemental analysis was carried out on a Perkin Elmer Elemental Analyzer Series 11 Model 2400 (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 16F PC FTIR spectrophotometer. $^1$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR spectra were measured in CDCl$_3$ and DMSO-$d_6$ using TMS as internal standard on a LA 500 MHz spectrometer (JEOL, Peabody, MA, USA). Mass spectra were recorded on a 6890 N GC–MS system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Analytical TLC was carried out on silica gel 60 F$_{254}$ plates (catalog #-5554-7, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany); column chromatography was carried out on Merck silica gel (200–400 mesh, catalog # 61860805001730). All chemicals and reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Buchs, Switzerland) in reagent grade and were used without further purification.

3.2. Relaxivity Measurements

Longitudinal relaxation times measurements were performed in a 3T MRI machine (3T Imaging, Morton Grove, IL, USA) The magnetic resonance images were taken at 17 different TR values ranging from 20 to 1500 ms and the $T_1$ were obtained from non-linear least square fit measured at each $T_1$ values. $R_1$ were calculated as an inverse of relaxation times per mM.

3.3. In Vitro Cell Toxicity

Toxicity for compounds 1 and 2 was analyzed on adherent 3T3 mouse embryonic fibroblastic cells by MTT assay following Mesaik et al. [25] with some modification. In brief, cells were incubated at $6 \times 10^4 \text{mL}^{-1}$ concentration in 96 well flat bottom plate in 5% CO$_2$ and 37 °C for 24 h. After adherence of cells, compounds were added at various concentrations for further 48 h incubation. On day 3 the tetrazolium dye MTT was added. After 4 h of incubation media was removed and organic solvent DMSO was added to dissolve insoluble purple formazan. Absorbance was taken at 540 nm using a spectrophotometer and the % viability of the cells was calculated.

3.4. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) Measurements

The chemical composition of compounds 1 and 2 was studied by XPS. The experiment was performed in a Escalab 250Xi spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, West Palm Beach, FL, USA) equipped with a monochromated Al $K\alpha$ (1486.6 eV) X-ray source. A low-energy electron flood gun was used for surface charge compensation. The spectrometer energy was calibrated by fixing Cu 2p$_{3/2}$, Ag 3d$_{5/2}$ and Au 4f$_{7/2}$ peaks at binding energies of 932.6, 368.2 and 83.9 eV, respectively [22]. The electron energy analyzer was operated in constant pass energy of 30 eV and the electron take off angle was 90°. The instrumental energy resolution was 0.5 eV with X-ray spot size of 650-μm diameter. The base pressure in the analysis chamber was $5.0 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar. The spectra were referenced with C-C 1s peak at 284.8 eV. Avantage software was used for all data processing.
3.5. Chemistry

4-(4-Benzoylpiperazin-1-yl)-2-nitrobenzonitrile (5): To a solution of amine 4 (1.82 g, 9.56 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) at 0 °C was added K₂CO₃ (3.61 g, 26.13 mmol) followed by the addition of compound 3 (1.96 g, 8.67 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at 60 °C. After completion of the reaction (TLC analysis), the mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with ethyl acetate (75 mL). The solution was washed with H₂O (30 mL × 3), brine (20 mL × 2) and the organic layer was separated, dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated under vacuum to afford the title compound 5 as a light yellow amorphous solid (2.16 g, 74%), m.p. 196–197 °C. IR (KBr): 3063, 2999, 2929, 2233, 1670, 1622, 1596, 1578, 1574, 1457, 1430, 1389, 1341, 1294, 1249, 1155, 1094, 1072 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.47 (br. s, 4H, -CH₂NCH₂-), 3.79 (br. s, 4H, -CH₂NCH₂-), 6.99 (dd, 1H, J = 2.6, 9.4 Hz, Ar-H), 7.14 (d, 1H, J = 2.7 Hz, Ar-H), 7.40–7.48 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 8.19 (d, 1H, J = 9.5 Hz, Ar-H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 46.44, 46.84, 110.05, 115.66, 118.89, 126.93, 127.10, 127.79, 128.67, 130.33, 134.69, 137.79, 153.10, 170.61. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₆N₄O₃: C, 64.28; H, 4.79; and N, 16.66. Found: C, 64.22; H, 4.84; and N, 16.60.

2-Amino-4-(4-benzoylpiperazin-1-yl)benzonitrile (6): To a solution of compound 5 (2.93 g, 7.12 mmol) in anhydrous methanol (50 mL) was sequentially added Pd-C (10% wet basis, 0.25 g) and ammonium formate (2.25 g, 35.63 mmol) and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography of the dark purple oily material eluting with MeOH-CH₂Cl₂ (1:9) afforded the title compound 6 as a light brown thick oil (1.77 g, 81%). IR (KBr): 3455, 3348, 3060, 2916, 2821, 2213, 1669, 1631, 1577, 1505, 1437, 1388, 1313, 1284, 1241, 1158, 1095 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.86 (br. s, 4H, -CH₂NCH₂-), 3.49 (br. s, 2H, -CH₂N), 3.83 (br. s, 2H, -NH₂), 4.32 (br. s, 2H, -NH₂), 6.61 (d, 1H, J = 8.6 Hz, Ar-H), 6.79 (d, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz, Ar-H), 6.94 (dd, 1H, J = 2.4, 8.6 Hz, Ar-H), 7.34 (m, 5H, Ar-H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.81, 47.34, 50.62, 53.32, 95.69, 116.52, 117.54, 119.43, 125.63, 126.76, 128.28, 129.61, 131.19, 142.64, 144.71, 170.09. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₈N₄O: C, 70.57; H, 5.92; and N, 18.29. Found: C, 70.50; H, 5.97; and N, 18.22.

2,2'-(2,2'-(Carboxymethylazanediyl)bis(ethane-2,1-diyl)bis((2-(5-(4-benzoylpiperazin-1-yl)-2-cyanophenylamino)-2-oxoethyl)azanediyl))diacetic acid (7): To a solution of DTPAA (0.175 g, 0.49 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) was added compound 6 (0.30 g, 0.98 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 16 h. The mixture was cooled to ~40 °C, filtered through a pad of silica gel and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was added dropwise to cold acetone (50 mL) and the precipitated product was filtered by suction, dried under reduced pressure to afford compound 7 as an off-white powder (0.31 g, 65%), m.p. 158–160 °C. IR (KBr): 3467, 2917, 2509, 2362, 2228, 1690, 1627, 1517, 1437, 1389, 1284, 1241, 1160, 1048, 1011, 962, 829, 788, 708, 633, 559, 493, 424 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 2.90–3.20 (m, 10H), 3.43–3.72 (m, 10H), 7.22–7.26 (m, 12H, Ar-H), 7.45–7.49 (m, 14H, Ar-H), 10.03 (s, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 41.21, 47.34, 50.62, 53.32, 95.69, 116.52, 117.54, 119.43, 125.63, 126.76, 128.28, 129.61, 131.19, 142.64, 144.71, 170.09. Anal. Calcd for C₅₀H₅₅N₁₁O₁₀·H₂O: C, 60.78; H, 5.81; and N, 15.59.
Found: C, 60.72; H, 5.85; and N, 15.52. FAB-MS (m/z) calcd for C_{50}H_{55}N_{11}O_{10}, 969.4 ([MH]^+). Found: 969.3 ([MH]^+).

(4-(3-Methoxy-4-nitrophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)(phenyl)methanone (12): Following the same procedure adopted for the synthesis of 5, the reaction of amine 4 with compound 10 (1.49 g, 8.71 mmol) afforded the title compound 12 as as bright yellow amorphous solid (2.91 g, 98%), m.p. 185–187 °C. IR (KBr): 3021, 1627, 1575, 1488, 1461, 1436, 1383, 1336, 1314, 1245, 1156, 1102, 1080 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 3.40–3.50 (br. s, 4H, -CH$_2$NCH$_2$-), 3.94 (s, 3H, -OCH$_3$), 4.64 (br. s, 4H, -CH$_2$NCH$_2$-), 6.33 (d, 1H, $J$ = 2.4 Hz, Ar-H), 6.43 (dd, 1H, $J$ = 2.4, 9.1 Hz, Ar-H), 7.45 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 8.00 (d, 1H, $J$ = 9.1 Hz, Ar-H). $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 47.01, 56.23, 97.62, 105.75, 127.08, 128.61, 128.74, 130.16, 134.97, 155.23, 156.07, 170.55. Anal. Calcd for C$_{18}$H$_{19}$N$_3$O$_4$: C, 63.33; H, 5.61; and N, 12.31. Found: C, 63.26; H, 5.66; and N, 12.26.

(4-(3-(Methoxymethoxy)-4-nitrophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)(phenyl)methanone (13): Following the same procedure adopted for the synthesis of 5, the reaction of amine 4 with compound 11 (4.10 g, 21.39 mmol) afforded the title compound 13 as light yellow solid (7.34 g, 97 %), m.p. 189–190 °C. IR (KBr): 3032, 1675, 1620, 1575, 1490, 1461, 1436, 1383, 1336, 1314, 1249, 1150, 1102, 1078, 1035 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 3.31–3.33 (br. s, 4H, -CH$_2$NCH$_2$-), 3.45 (s, 3H, -OCH$_3$), 3.85 (br. s, 4H, -CH$_2$NCH$_2$-), 5.21 (s, 2H, -OCH$_2$O-), 6.42 (dd, 1H, $J$ = 2.4, 9.4 Hz, Ar-H), 6.56 (d, 1H, $J$ = 2.4 Hz, Ar-H), 7.36 (m, 5H, Ar-H). $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 46.99, 56.53, 67.02, 95.38, 101.37, 106.83, 126.91, 128.24, 128.42, 129.92, 134.87, 153.48, 154.77, 162.33, 170.28. Anal. Calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{21}$N$_3$O$_5$: C, 61.45; H, 5.70; and N, 11.31. Found: C, 61.41; H, 5.75; and N, 11.25.

(4-(4-Amino-3-methoxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl)(phenyl)methanone (14): Following the same procedure adopted for the synthesis of 6, the reduction of the nitro group of compound 12 (2.43 g, 7.12 mmol) afforded compound 14 as a dark brown thick oil (1.84 g, 83%). IR (KBr): 3447, 3346, 3058, 2917, 1673, 1629, 1518, 1438, 1386, 1323, 1282, 1245, 1197, 1170, 1093, 1034, 1015 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 2.92 (br. s, 2H, -CH$_2$N), 3.07 (br. s, 2H, -CH$_2$N), 3.53 (br. s, 2H, -CH$_2$N), 3.79 (s, 3H, -OCH$_3$), 3.89 (br. s, 2H, -CH$_2$N), 6.37 (dd, 1H, $J$ = 2.4, 8.2 Hz, Ar-H), 6.47 (d, 1H, $J$ = 2.4 Hz, Ar-H), 6.59 (d, 1H, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, Ar-H), 7.38 (m, 5H, Ar-H). $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 42.23, 47.80, 51.59, 51.81, 53.36, 55.35, 102.74, 109.98, 115.21, 126.96, 128.39, 129.64, 130.85, 135.56, 144.25, 147.85, 170.24. Anal. Calcd for C$_{18}$H$_{21}$N$_3$O$_2$: C, 69.43; H, 6.80; and N, 13.49. Found: C, 69.36; H, 6.87; and N, 13.42.

(4-(4-Amino-3-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)(phenyl)methanone (15): Following the same procedure adopted for the synthesis of 6, the reduction of the nitro group of compound 13 (1.53 g, 4.12 mmol) afforded compound 15 as a dark purple thick oil (1.13 g, 80%). IR (KBr): 3447, 3352, 3010, 2953, 1671, 1628, 1516, 1436, 1366, 1325, 1284, 1241, 1241, 1150, 1074 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 2.92 (br. s, 2H, -CH$_2$N), 3.08 (br. s, 2H, -CH$_2$N), 3.46–3.44 (m, 5H, -OCH$_3$, -CH$_2$N), 3.53 (br. s, 2H, -CH$_2$N), 3.89 (br. s, 2H, -CH$_2$N), 5.13 (s, 2H, -OCH$_2$O-), 6.44 (dd, 1H, $J$ = 2.4, 8.3 Hz, Ar-H), 6.61 (d, 1H, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, Ar-H), 6.72 (d, 1H, $J$ = 2.4 Hz, Ar-H), 7.38 (m, 5H, Ar-H). $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 42.18, 47.69, 51.40, 55.99, 95.25, 106.35, 111.64, 115.81, 126.95, 128.37, 129.63, 131.22, 135.53, 144.12, 145.52, 170.23. Anal. Calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{23}$N$_3$O$_3$: C, 66.84; H, 6.79; and N, 12.31. Found: C, 66.77; H, 6.86; and N, 12.24.
2,2′-(2,2′-(Carboxymethylazanediyl)bis(ethane-2,1-diyl))bis((2-(4-(4-benzoyl-piperazin-1-yl)-2-methoxyphenylamino)-2-oxoethyl)azanediyl))diacetic acid (16): Following the same procedure adopted for the synthesis of 7, condensation of compound 14 (0.89 g, 2.86 mmol) with DTPAA afforded compound 16 as a white powder (0.87 g, 62%), m.p. 151–153 °C. IR (KBr): 3453, 3021, 2924, 1728, 1629, 1531, 1441, 1389, 1285, 1201, 1156, 1089, 1031, 1013 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 2.71 (br. s, 4H), 2.96–3.30 (m, 6H), 3.34–3.73 (m, 24H), 3.75 (s, 6H, -OCH₃), 6.40 (dd, 2H, J = 2.4, 8.2 Hz, Ar-H), 6.60 (d, 2H, J = 2.4 Hz, Ar-H), 7.44 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 7.93 (d, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz, Ar-H), 9.34 (s, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 30.97, 49.39, 52.54, 55.17, 55.70, 56.01, 100.77, 107.72, 119.85, 121.68, 127.26, 128.82, 130.01, 135.91, 168.99, 169.59, 172.70. Anal. Calcd for C₅₀H₆₁N₉O₁₂·H₂O: C, 60.17; H, 6.36; and N, 12.63. Found: C, 60.11; H, 6.42; and N, 12.57. FAB-MS (m/z): calcd for C₅₀H₆₁N₉O₁₂, 979.44 ([MH⁺]). Found: 979.3 ([MH⁺]).

2,2′-(2,2′-(Carboxymethylazanediyl)bis(ethane-2,1-diyl))bis((2-(4-(4-benzoyl-piperazin-1-yl)-2-methoxyphenylamino)-2-oxoethyl)azanediyl))diacetic acid (17): Following the same procedure adopted for the synthesis of 7, condensation of compound 15 (0.26 g, 0.76 mmol) with DTPAA afforded compound 17 as a white powder (0.15 g, 38%), m.p. 165–167 °C. IR (KBr): 3452, 3021, 2929, 1536, 1443, 1389, 1285, 1201, 1153, 1089, 1031, 1015 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 2.74–2.82 (br. s, 4H), 2.95–3.13 (m, 6H), 3.29–3.70 (m, 24H), 3.73 (s, 6H, -OCH₂O-), 5.19 (s, 4H, -OCH₂O-), 6.54 (dd, 2H, J = 2.6, 8.6 Hz, Ar-H), 6.72 (d, 2H, J = 2.5 Hz, Ar-H), 7.44 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 7.92 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz, Ar-H), 9.50 (s, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 49.09, 52.36, 55.89, 94.54, 103.68, 109.01, 120.84, 126.99, 128.45, 129.59, 135.81, 147.10, 169.04. Anal. Calcd for C₅₂H₆₅N₉O₁₄·H₂O: C, 59.02; H, 6.38; and N, 11.91. Found: C, 58.96; H, 6.44; and N, 11.85. FAB-MS (m/z): calcd for C₅₂H₆₅N₉O₁₄, 1039.47 ([MH⁺]). Found: 1039.3 ([MH⁺]).

Synthesis of Complex (1): To a solution of compound 16 (0.30 g, 0.31 mmol) in pyridine (15 mL) was added GdCl₃·6H₂O (0.11 g, 0.30 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 8 h. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite while hot and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was added dropwise to cold acetone (25 mL) and the precipitated product was filtered, dried under reduced pressure to afford compound 1 as a white amorphous solid (0.25 g, 70%), m.p. > 300 °C. IR (KBr): 3426, 3036, 2973, 1641, 1438, 1324, 1251, 1202, 1153, 1092, 1007 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 2.74–2.82 (br. s, 4H), 2.95–3.13 (m, 6H), 3.29–3.70 (m, 24H), 3.73 (s, 6H, -OCH₃), 5.19 (s, 4H, -OCH₂O-), 6.54 (dd, 2H, J = 2.6, 8.6 Hz, Ar-H), 6.72 (d, 2H, J = 2.5 Hz, Ar-H), 7.44 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 7.92 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz, Ar-H), 9.50 (s, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 49.09, 52.36, 55.89, 94.54, 103.68, 109.01, 120.84, 126.99, 128.45, 129.59, 135.81, 147.10, 169.04. Anal. Calcd for C₅₀H₅₈GdN₉O₁₂·4H₂O: C, 59.02; H, 6.38; and N, 11.91. Found: C, 58.96; H, 6.44; and N, 11.85. FAB-MS (m/z): calcd for C₅₀H₅₈GdN₉O₁₂·4H₂O, 1039.47 ([MH⁺]). Found: 1039.3 ([MH⁺]).

Synthesis of Complex (2): Following the same procedure adopted for the synthesis of 1, condensation of ligand 17 (0.15 g, 0.14 mmol) with GdCl₃·6H₂O afforded complex 2 as a light purple amorphous solid (0.14 g, 82%), m.p. > 300 °C. IR (KBr): 3426, 3036, 2973, 1641, 1438, 1324, 1251, 1202, 1153, 1092, 1007 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₅₂H₆₅GdN₉O₁₄·4H₂O: C, 59.78; H, 5.51; and N, 10.45. Found: C, 49.71; H, 5.57; and N, 10.38. FAB-MS (m/z): calcd for C₅₂H₆₅GdN₉O₁₄·4H₂O, 1134.34 ([MH⁺]). Found: 1134.3 ([MH⁺]).

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, two new Gd(III) complexes 1 and 2 of the type [Gd(L)H₂O]·nH₂O have been synthesized. The relaxivity of these complexes were slightly lower compare to Omniscan®, a
commercially available MRI contrast agent. The lower relaxivity of 1 and 2 was attributed to their lower solubility in water. The cytotoxicity studies of these complexes revealed that they are non-toxic which warrant their potential and physiological suitability as MRI contrast agents. The water solubility of these complexes may be increased by introducing polar functions in the aromatic ring, which in turn, may improve their relaxivity. Hence, these complexes may serve as a starting point to get optimized compound to achieve more efficient MRI contrast agent.
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