Regulation of Adipogenesis and Key Adipogenic Gene Expression by 1, 25-Dihydroxyvitamin D in 3T3-L1 Cells
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Abstract

The functions of 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1, 25-(OH)2D3) in regulating adipogenesis, adipocyte differentiation and key adipogenic gene expression were studied in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. Five concentrations (0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100nM) of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 were studied and lipid accumulation measured by Oil Red O staining and expression of adipogenic genes quantified using quantitative real-time PCR. Adipogenic responses to 1, 25-(OH)2D3 were determined on 6, and 12 h, and days 1-10 after induction of adipogenesis by a hormonal cocktail with or without 1, 25-(OH)2D3. In response to 1, 25-(OH)2D3 (1, 10, and 100 nM), lipid accumulation and the expression of PPARγ, C/EBPα, FABP4 and SCD-1 were inhibited through day 10, and vitamin D receptor expression was inhibited in the early time points. The greatest inhibitory effect was upon expression of FABP4. Expression of SREBP-1c was only affected on day 2. The lowest concentrations of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 tested did not affect adipocyte differentiation or adipogenic gene expression. The C/EBPα promoter activity response to 1, 25-(OH)2D3 was also tested, with no effect detected. These results indicate that 1, 25-(OH)2D3 inhibited adipogenesis via suppressing adipogenic-specific genes, and is invoked either during PPARγ activation or immediately up-stream thereof. Gene expression down-stream of PPARγ especially FABP4 was strongly inhibited, and we suggest that the role of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 in regulating adipogenesis will be informed by further studies of adipogenic-specific gene promoter activity.

Introduction

Growth of adipose tissue mass involves two distinct processes: hypertrophy (because of lipid synthesis and the subsequent increase in the size of adipocytes) and hyperplasia (because of proliferation, when preadipocyte and adipocyte numbers increase) [1]. Adipogenesis is the process of preadipocyte differentiation to form mature adipocytes, and during this process lipid accumulation occurs. The transcriptional control of adipocyte differentiation requires a
sequential series of gene expression events and activation of a number of key signaling pathways [2]. This cascade starts with the induction of CCAAT/enhancer—binding protein β and δ (C/EBPβ and C/EBPδ). These two proteins then induce the expression of nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator—activated receptor γ (PPARγ), which in turn induces C/EBPα expression [3]. Once expressed, C/EBPα activity positively feeds back on PPARγ activity. These two factors enhance each other’s expression and maintain the differentiated state [4]. Sterol-regulatory element binding protein 1c (SREBP-1c) is another notable key adipogenic gene [5]. SREBP-1c was independently discovered by two different research groups, and was named as ADD1 and SREBP-1c [6] [7]. This gene is induced during adipogenesis and is further regulated by insulin in cultured adipocytes [8,9]. In addition, SREBP-1c can modulate a variety of genes linked to fatty acid and triglyceride metabolism, and can also regulate adipogenesis [3] via induction of PPARγ gene expression through E box motifs present in the PPARγ promoter [10]. Increased expression of SREBP-1c leads to activation of PPARγ by inducing its expression and by increasing the production of an endogenous PPARγ ligand. All these transcriptional factors are necessary for the terminally differentiated phenotype.

Moreover, in humans, obesity is characterized by an increase in lipid accumulation and is the leading risk-factor for the development of Type 2 diabetes [11]. Understanding the biological process of adipogenesis is important for the development of novel targets for obesity therapy. Increasing evidence suggests there is a potential link between obesity and vitamin D insufficiency [12]. The bioactive metabolite of vitamin D is 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃, which acts as a steroid hormone and a high-affinity ligand for the vitamin D receptor (VDR). The 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ activated VDR can form a heterodimer with the retinoid X receptor (RXR), which can bind to vitamin D response elements in various genes [13]. This VDR-RXR heterodimer may be competitive, inhibiting [14] the expression of PPARγ, which is a key regulator of adipogenesis, and thus also inhibit adipocyte maturation [13]. Therefore, 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ and VDR may play important roles in regulating adipogenesis. The vitamin D receptor is expressed very early in adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells. The VDR expression levels reach a maximum during the first 6 h after induction of differentiation, then decline to background levels after 2 days [15]. This creates a short window of opportunity for 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ to influence the differentiation process in forming mature adipocytes. Previous work has indicated that 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ is an inhibitor of adipogenesis in the 3T3-L1 cells [16,17]. In 1998, work performed by Kelly and Gimble [18] has established that 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ inhibits adipocyte differentiation in murine bone marrow cells. However, the specific mechanisms of the inhibitory actions of 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ in adipogenesis have not been described.

In the present study, we have determined the inhibitory effect of different concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ in 3T3-L1 preadipocyte differentiation. We also studied the inhibitory activity of different concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ on expression levels of key adipogenic genes (C/EBPs and PPARγ). As an important transcriptional factor during adipocyte differentiation, C/EBPα was a focus of the present study. We sought to determine whether there is a relationship between the inhibitory effect of 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ and the promoter activity of C/EBPα. Our study provides an experimental basis to better understand the function of 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ in regulation of adipogenesis, and the interactions between 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ and key adipogenic genes.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Mouse embryonic fibroblast cells (3T3-L1) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM), fetal bovine serum (FBS)
Cell culture

Mouse 3T3-L1 preadipocytes were cultured at 37°C with 5% CO2 enriched air in DMEM with 10% FBS, 100 I.U. /ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (basal growth medium). Cells were seeded in 6-well plates and 24-well plates with glass cover slips in basal growth medium and cultured until confluent. On day 0 (two days post confluence), 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D was added to the differentiation medium at the following final concentrations: 100, 10, 1, 0.1, and 0.01 nM, and cultures were incubated for 48 h. Cells grown in basal growth medium without 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D served, as a negative control. Cells grown in medium containing basal growth medium with dexamethasone (1 μM), IBMX (500 μM) and insulin (1.7 mM) (standard hormonal differentiation medium, DM) served, as a positive control. For the DMI treatment, insulin, dexamethasone and IBMX were provided for the first 48 h followed by only insulin in basal growth medium throughout the remaining time points. Media were changed every 2 days for all treatments. Cells were harvested on 0, 6, and 12 h, and days 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 for RNA extraction, or protein extraction. Parallel cultures were stained with ORO and representative images of ORO stained cells on day 10 were quantified using MetaMorph Image analysis software (Nashville, TN).

Cells and transfection

For each cell culture well, 3.5×10^5 3T3-L1 cells were plated and allowed to reach 80% confluence. Cells were then co-transfected with 2 μg [pGL4.10 (luc2/-500 C/EBPα)] and 0.2 μg of internal transfection control vector [pGL4.74 (hRLuc/TK)], and transferred to growth medium. Cells were incubated 24 h, and allowed to reach 100% confluence. Two days post confluence cells (0 h) were treated with 100 nM of 1, 25(OH)2D3 plus differentiation medium, differentia- tion medium only, or growth medium only. Cells were harvested on 0, 12, 24, and 24 h, and assayed for firefly luciferase and renilla luciferase activities using the Dual Reporter Luciferase Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI) and a Wallac 1420 Multi Label Counter. Firefly luciferase activity units were normalized to units of renilla luciferase activity to correct for transfection efficiency.

Oil Red O (ORO) and Hematoxylin staining

Accumulation of lipids was observed using ORO staining [19]. Oil Red O in isopropanol stock solution (3.5 mg/ml) was prepared, stirred overnight and filtered. Cells grown on cover slips in 24-well plates were used for lipid staining. On the day of the time point, culture medium was removed and cells were gently rinsed once with phosphate buffer saline (PBS). Cells were fixed in 10% formaldehyde in PBS for 1 hr at RT. After fixation, cells were rinsed with PBS and then
60% isopropanol. Oil Red O solution (6:4 v/v of stock solution and water) was added and incubated for 10 min at RT. Finally, cells were washed with distilled water, three times. Hematoxylin counter staining was done according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were incubated with filtered hematoxylin for two minutes and rinsed twice with tap water. Differentiation solution (0.25% HCl in 70% alcohol) was added and cells were rinsed again with tap water. The glass cover slips were then removed from the wells and inverted on to a glass slide with mounting medium (Vecta Shield, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA).

**RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis**

Total RNA was extracted using Trizol according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA pellet was resuspended in nuclease-free water and stored at -80°C until further use. RNA was quantified using a Nanodrop ND-1000 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). The quality of RNA was verified on 1% agarose gels. Two μg of RNA from each treatment was DNase treated. Synthesis of cDNA was conducted using a high capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit and random hexamers as primers according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To ensure availability of cDNA sufficient to perform all real-time PCR reactions, cDNA synthesized from 2 μg of RNA was pooled for each sample. Pooled cDNA was diluted 1:10 using nuclease-free water for real-time PCR.

**Real-time PCR**

Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using Taqman MGB primer/probe sets with an ABI 7500 Fast Real Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Primers and probes for all genes were designed using Applied Biosystems Primer Express 3.0 software. Primers (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) and probes (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) were designed to have a Tm of 58–60°C and 69–70°C, respectively. Primer-probe sets that span exon-junctions (trans-intronic positions) were chosen for real-time PCR, to prevent binding to genomic DNA (Table 1). Eukaryotic translation elongation factor 2 (EEF2) was used as an endogenous control for gene expression. Probes were labeled with 6-FAM or VIC for all target genes or control (EEF2), respectively. Real-time PCR assays for each sample were conducted in duplicate wells with all genes including endogenous control on the same plate. Reactions contained Taqman Universal Fast PCR Master Mix, No AmpErase UNG (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) (1X), forward primer (0.5 μM), reverse primer (0.5 μM), Taqman probe (0.125 μM) and cDNA template made up to a final volume of 15 μl in nuclease-free water. Real-time PCR cycle conditions included a holding time of 90°C for 20 sec, followed by 40 cycles of 90°C for 3 sec and 60°C for 30 sec of melting and extension temperatures, respectively.

Data were analyzed using the relative C_T (ΔΔCt) method[20]. Average C_T values of endogenous control (EEF2) were subtracted from target gene average C_T values of each gene, to obtain ΔC_T values of each gene for each sample. For each gene, ΔC_T values in the control treatment at each time point were used to normalize ΔC_T values of corresponding time points of each treatment to obtain ΔΔC_T and mRNA fold expression values \[2^{(-\Delta\Delta C_T)}\].

**Western Blot**

Protein extraction from 3T3-L1 preadipocytes and adipocytes was performed using cell lysis buffer with addition of phosphatase and protease inhibitors (Cell Signaling Technologies, Danvers, MA). The supernatant was extracted by centrifugation and protein concentration was determined by BCA protein assay according to manufacturer’s protocol (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). Ten μg of whole cell lysate was resolved on SDS-PAGE (4–10% precise gels) and
then transferred to PVDF membrane. Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in 1X TBST for 1h at room temperature. Membranes were incubated with anti-PPARγ, anti-C/EBPα and anti-β-actin at 4°C overnight, then washed with 1X TBST and incubated with AlexaFluor 680 conjugated anti-rabbit IgG and IRDye 800 conjugated anti-mouse IgG for 1 h at room temperature. After thorough washing, blots were scanned and quantified using an Odyssey Dual Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor).

**Microscopy**

Images were obtained using a Nikon 80i phase-contrast microscope, using a 20X objective lens. Image quantification was performed using MetaMorph Image analysis software (Nashville, TN). Area fractions were collected for each image. Images were collected in six to eight replicates from each culture well. Average area fractions of each of the six to eight replicates were

---

**Table 1. Primer-probe sets for real-time PCR.**

| Accession No. / Gene name | Sequences |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| **NM_007907.1 / Eukaryotic translation elongation factor 2 (Eef2)** | FP: CTGCCTGTCAATGAGTCTTGTG  
RP: GCCGCCGCTGTTGAT  
Probe: CTTCCACCGCTGATCGT |
| **NM_011146.2 / Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma (PPARγ)** | FP: GCTTCCACTATGGAGTTCATGCT  
RP: AATCGGATGTTCTTCCGAAA  
Probe: TGAAGGATGCAAGGTTT |
| **NM_007678.3 / CCAAT/enhancer binding protein alpha (C/EBPα)** | FP: CGCAAGGCGGAGATAAACG  
RP: GTCACATCCAGACCTTCTGTG  
Probe: AACGCAACCGTGAGAC |
| **NM_009504.4 Vitamin D receptor (VDR)** | FP: GGCTTCCACTTCAACGCTATG  
RP: TGCTCCGCCTGAAAGAAC  
Probe: CTTTGATGCAATCCGGA |
| **NM_008883.3 / CCAAT/enhancer binding protein beta (C/EBPβ)** | FP: GCGCAGCAGGTTCG  
RP: GGCCTCAGCAGGTTCG  
Probe: ACTTGATGCAATCCGGA |
| **NM_007679.4 / CCAAT/enhancer binding protein delta (C/EBPδ)** | FP: CTGTGCCACGAGAACTCTTC  
RP: GCCCGCGCTTGGT  
Probe: AATGACGTGTACGAATGG |
| **NM_024406.1 / Fatty acid binding protein 4 (FABP4)** | FP: CCGCCAGACGAGGAAAGGT  
RP: AGGGCCCCGCCATCT  
Probe: AAGGACATCATAACCC |
| **NM_010052.3 / Preadipocyte factor-1 (Pref-1)** | FP: AATAGACGTTCGGGCTTGCA  
RP: GTGCCACGAGGAAAGGT  
Probe: CGACCTTCTCAACAGC |
| **NM_011480.3 / Sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1 (Srebf1)** | FP: GCGGTTGGCAGAGAGCTT  
RP: CTGTGCCTCATGTAGGAATACC  
Probe: CGGCCCTCTGGAG |
| **NM_009127.4 / Stearoyl-Coenzyme A desaturase 1 (Scd1)** | FP: CAACACCATGCGGGATCC  
RP: TGGGCCGCTGTTGATCT  
Probe: AATGACGTGTAAGAATGG |

Primers and probe sequences used in real-time PCR listed 5’ to 3’: Forward primer (FP), reverse primer (RP) and Taqman probes for the following genes were designed from the corresponding GenBank accession numbers.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126142.t001
used to calculate average area fraction of each treatment sample. Further, average area fraction values of each treatment were normalized to average area fraction values of corresponding controls.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis software (SAS) 9.3 was used to perform all data analysis. Data were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each time point. Tukey’s test was used to find the significant differences among the different means. Differences, when \( p < 0.05 \), were considered statistically significant. Gene expression data were analyzed by comparing log (base 2) transformed values of mRNA fold expression across treatments within each time-point. All data are reported as mean ± SE (n = 3).

**Results**

1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) inhibits lipid accumulation

Cultures of 3T3-L1 cells were incubated in standard hormonal differentiation medium, in the presence or absence of 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\). DMI medium served as a positive control treatment. Basal growth medium served as a negative control. Lipid accumulation was observed through ORO staining on days 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 (data not shown) and 10 (Fig 1A). Image quantification analysis shows that lipid accumulation at higher concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) (100, 10, 1 nM) treated cells was similar to that in negative control cells, and significantly lower than the positive control (Fig 1B). The lowest concentration of 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) treated cells showed higher lipid accumulation than negative control and other 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) treated cells, however, lipid accumulation was still significantly lower when compared to the positive control (Fig 1B). This suggests that 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) treatment inhibited lipid accumulation and adipogenesis in a dose dependent manner.

High concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) inhibit PPAR\(\gamma\) expression

To better understand the expression pattern of PPAR\(\gamma\) during the process of adipocyte differentiation, RNA extracts and protein extracts from 3T3-L1 cells treated with DM only were obtained for real-time PCR and Western blots tests. Gene expression levels of PPAR\(\gamma\) began to increase after day 2, and reached a maximum on day 8 (Fig 2A). Protein levels of PPAR\(\gamma\) were consistent with gene expression levels, increasing on day 2, and reaching the highest level on day 10 (Fig 2B). This suggests PPAR\(\gamma\) expression level increased concurrent with adipocyte differentiation, and consistent with increasing lipid accumulation.

Gene expression levels of PPAR\(\gamma\) in 3T3-L1 cells treated with high concentrations (100, 10, and 1 nM) of 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) were significantly inhibited compared to the positive control (Fig 3A–3E) at all time-points measured. In addition, for all time-points, 3T3-L1 cultures treated with low concentrations (0.1 and 0.01 nM) of 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) showed no significant differences in PPAR\(\gamma\) gene expression levels as compared to positive control cultures (Fig 3A–3E). On days 2, 4 and 10, the highest concentration (100 nM) of 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) had the greatest inhibitory effect on PPAR\(\gamma\) mRNA expression levels. This suggests that PPAR\(\gamma\) gene expression levels were inhibited by 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\), and 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) had greater efficacy in inhibiting PPAR\(\gamma\) gene expression at higher concentrations.

To confirm the real-time PCR results, Western blots also were performed on whole cell lysates following treatment with 100 or 1 nM of 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) at all the time points. Basal growth medium (GM) and differentiation medium (DM) served as negative and positive controls, respectively. PPAR\(\gamma\) protein levels were inhibited by 1, 25 - (OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) at 6 h (S1A Fig), but
Fig 1. Oil Red O staining in 3T3-L1 cells. Cells were treated with basal growth medium (GM) (A) or differentiation medium plus different concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)2D3, 100 nM (B), 10 nM (C), 1 nM (D), 0.1 nM (E) or 0.01 nM (F) or differentiation medium (DM) (G). Oil Red O staining was performed on days 2, 4,
thereafter the PPARγ protein levels were high in the 100 nM 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treated groups, but remained low in DM only groups from 12 h to day 4 (S1B–S1E Fig). On day 8, both the 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treated groups and DM only group had high PPARγ protein level (S1G Fig), and on day 10, PPARγ protein levels in both 100 and 1 nM of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatment groups decreased to levels similar to the GM only treatment. However, in the DM only group, PPARγ protein level still remained relatively high (S1H Fig). This suggests that 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments inhibit PPARγ protein levels only at the early time point, 6 h, and again at the late time point, day 10. At the other time points, since the whole cell lysates were used for Western blots measurement, and the 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treated group had higher PPARγ protein level than the DM only group, suggesting that the inhibitory efficacy of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 on adipogenesis may function at the level of blocking PPARγ protein trafficking to nucleus.

Gene expression of C/EBPα is inhibited by high concentrations of 1, 25-(OH)2D3

Both C/EBPα mRNA expression and protein level were measured in DM only treatments as a reference to help understand regulation of this gene in adipocyte differentiation (Fig 4). Gene expression of C/EBPα was increased from days 2 to 10, and reached the maximum at day 8 (Fig 4A), however, total cell protein levels of C/EBPα did not change significantly from 0 h to day 10 (Fig 4B), and appeared to remain at relatively high levels throughout the test period.

Similarly to PPARγ, no significant changes in C/EBPα gene expression levels were observed in the cells treated with low concentrations (0.01 and 0.1 nM) of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 as compared to the positive control cells at all time-points measured. (Fig 5). Cells treated with high concentrations (100, 10, and 1 nM) of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 showed significant inhibition of C/EBPα expression as compared to the positive control for days 2 and 4 (Fig 5A and 5B). Similarly to PPARγ expression, C/EBPα gene expression levels showed no significant difference between treatments groups on day 6 (Fig 5C). The inhibitory efficacy of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 was significant on days 8 and 10 in 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatment groups compared to DM only group (Fig 5D and 5E). This suggests that similarly to regulation of PPARγ expression, that 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments had significant inhibitory effects on C/EBPα gene transcription, and this efficacy lasted until day 10.

Total cell protein levels of C/EBPα were also determined (S2 Fig). In the early time points (6 and 12 h), C/EBPα protein levels were not changed in the 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatment groups and DM only group compared to negative control (S2A and S2B Fig). Furthermore, there were no significant differences in C/EBPα protein levels compared to DM only group to 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treated groups at all the time points, suggesting that total cellular C/EBPα protein levels were not influenced by 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatment.

In the early time points, Vitamin D receptor gene expression is inhibited by high concentrations of 1, 25-(OH)2D3

To better understand the expression pattern of vitamin D receptor, VDR mRNA expression levels were quantified from 0 h to day 10 in DM only treatments (Fig 6A). Gene expression of VDR increased from 6 h, reached a maximum at 12 h, and then decreased after 24 h. These results
Fig 2. (A) Real-time PCR quantification of PPARγ gene expression in DM treatment of 3T3-L1 cells on days 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 with EEF2 used as endogenous control (ΔCt). Data were normalized to PPARγ gene expression of the day 0 group (ΔΔCt). (B). Image showing Western blot analysis (Odyssey Dual Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor)) of PPARγ on 0, 6, and 12 h, days 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10. β-actin was used as an
show that VDR was induced in the early time points of adipocyte differentiation, suggesting it may play an important role in inhibition of adipocyte differentiation by 1, 25-(OH)2D3.

**Vitamin D receptor** gene expression was also determined in response to 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments at 6 and 12 h, and on days 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10. At 6 and 12 h (Fig 6B and 6C), VDR gene expression was only inhibited in cells treated with the highest concentration (100 nM) of 1, 25-(OH)2D3. Significant changes in VDR gene expression levels were observed in the cells treated with high concentrations (100, 10 and 1 nM) of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 as compared to the positive control cells on days 1, 2, and 4. (Fig 6D–6F). Cells treated with lower concentrations of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 showed no significant inhibition of VDR gene expression as compared to DM only group at all the time points except day 10 (Fig 6B–6I). On day 10, VDR expression was inhibited by 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments at all the concentrations (Fig 6I). This suggests that similarly to regulation of PPARγ and C/EBPα expression, 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments had significant inhibitory effects on VDR gene transcription, especially early (days 1 and 2) in adipocyte differentiation. This provides robust evidence that 1, 25-(OH)2D3 regulates VDR, and that the effect is consistent with a biological role for 1, 25-(OH)2D3 in adipocyte differentiation.

There is no effect of 1, 25-Dihydroxyvitamin D treatment on C/EBPβ gene expression levels

Gene expression levels of C/EBPβ in the positive control (Fig 7A) were increased after 6 h, and reached the highest expression level at 12 h, then decreased after day 2. Gene expression of C/EBPβ was determined at 6, 12, 24 h and days 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 by real-time PCR. Unlike PPARγ and C/EBPα, C/EBPβ gene expression level was not impacted by 1, 25-(OH)2D3 compared to DM only group at any time points tested, up to day 10 (Fig 7B–7H), suggesting that 1, 25-(OH)2D3 has no effect on C/EBPβ gene expression levels. However, at day 10, the high concentrations (100, 10, and 1 nM) of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 showed inhibitory effects on C/EBPβ gene expression (Fig 7I). On day 10, the expression level of C/EBPβ was very low (Fig 7A). Despite its low expression level, 1, 25-(OH)2D3 had a suppressive effect on C/EBPβ expression at this time point.

C/EBPδ gene expression was not changed in response to 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments

This member of the C/EBP family of transcription factors is induced in the early process of adipogenesis. Thus we quantified its gene expression levels at 6, 12, and 24 h, and continued to monitor its expression through days 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10. Similarly to C/EBPβ, C/EBPδ gene expression levels of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treated cells were generally not inhibited compared to the DM only treated group (Fig 8B–8I), even at the highest concentration of 1, 25-(OH)2D3. This suggests that the inhibitory efficacy of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 in adipogenesis does not impact the expression of C/EBPδ. Analysis of C/EBPδ gene expression in the positive control during adipocyte differentiation indicated that it is increased after 6 h, reaching the highest point at 12 h, and then decreases after 24 h (Fig 8A). Interestingly, the expression level of C/EBPδ was again increased after day 8, and reached a similar high expression level compared to 12 h on day 10 (Fig 8A). This suggests that the C/EBPδ gene may not only be induced and have a role in the early process of adipogenesis, but may also have a role in the latter stages of adipogenesis.
Fig 3. Real-time PCR quantification of PPARγ gene expression in 3T3-L1 cells on days 2 (A), 4 (B), 6 (C), 8 (D) and 10 (E). Cells were treated with DM in the presence or absence of 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 nM 1,25-(OH)₂D₃ and EEF2 was used as endogenous control (ΔCt). Data were normalized to PPARγ gene expression of the positive control (DM) at the corresponding time point (ΔΔCt). Data are means ± SE (n = 3). Different letters represent treatment effects that were significantly different (P < 0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126142.g003
Fig 4. (A) Real-time PCR quantification of C/EBPα gene expression in DM treatment of 3T3-L1 cells on days 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 with EEF2 used as endogenous control (ΔCt). Data were normalized to C/EBPα gene expression of the day 0 group (ΔΔCt). (B): Image showing Western blot analysis (Odyssey Dual Infrared Regulation of Adipogenesis by Vitamin D PLOS ONE | DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0126142 June 1, 2015 12 / 29
Gene expression of FABP4 is highly responsive to 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments

In the positive control treatments, the expression pattern of FABP4 was similar to that of PPARγ, increasing after day 2, and reaching the highest expression levels on day 8 but declining to similar levels to day 6 by day 10 (Fig 9F). Gene expression of FABP4 was strongly inhibited by high concentrations (100, 10, and 1 nM) of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments at all the time points (Fig 9). Moreover, unlike PPARγ and C/EBPα expression levels, FABP4 gene expression levels in response to 0.1 nM 1, 25-(OH)2D3 were also significantly inhibited compared to the positive control at all time-points (Fig 9). The lowest concentration of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 (0.01nM) treatments had no effect on FABP4 gene expression on days 2 and 4 compared to the DM only group (Fig 9B and 9C). However, on day 6, even the lowest concentration of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 showed an inhibitory effect on FABP4 gene expression (Fig 9D). These effects were attenuated on days 8 and 10 (Fig 9E and 9F).

Patterns of SREBP-1c expression resembled those of C/EBPβ and C/EBPδ expression, but was fleetingly inhibited on day 2

The expression pattern of SREBP-1c in adipocyte differentiation showed that it was induced to the maximum expression level on day 2, and then decreased quickly from days 4 to 10 (Fig 10A). Interestingly, on day 2, cells treated with 1, 25-(OH)2D3 (all concentrations tested, 100, 10, 1, 0.1 and 0.01 nM) showed significant inhibition of SREBP-1c gene expression as compared to the positive control (Fig 10B). However, this effect was rapidly attenuated. Similarly to C/EBPβ and C/EBPδ, SREBP-1c gene expression levels of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treated cells were generally not different from the positive control from days 4 to 10 (Fig 10C–10F). The inhibitory effect of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 on day 2 coincides with the time point of maximum SREBP-1c expression (Fig 10A), suggesting that 1, 25-(OH)2D3 only has an effect when SREBP-1c reached a high expression level. These results suggest that SREBP-1c may also play an important role in the 1, 25-(OH)2D3 modulation pathway, and may have interaction with 1, 25-(OH)2D3 during the early stages of adipogenesis.

The inhibitory effect of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 on SCD-1 gene expression levels was more gradual compared to PPARγ, C/EBPα or FABP4 expression

For the positive control, the expression pattern of SCD-1 in adipocyte differentiation process was similar to that of PPARγ and C/EBPα. Expression of SCD-1 was increased on day 2, and reached a maximum expression level on day 8, remaining relatively high on day 10 (Fig 11A). The inhibition of SCD-1 gene expression was induced by all concentrations of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 on day 2 (Fig 11B). However, its inhibitory effect at latter time points was more pronounced (Fig 11C–11F). Expression of SCD-1 was inhibited by high concentrations (100, 10 and 1 nM) of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 on day 4 (Fig 11C), showing a 70% inhibition effect at this time point. This continued to day 6 (Fig 11D), and reached a inhibition effect greater than 90% of the positive control, in all of the three high concentrations of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments. The efficacy of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 was stronger after day 6, and all the five concentrations of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 showed significant inhibition on days 8 and 10 (Fig 11E and 11F). This suggests SCD-1 is strongly
Fig 5. Real-time PCR quantification of C/EBPa gene expression in 3T3-L1 cells on days 2 (A), 4 (B), 6 (C), 8 (D) and 10 (E). Cells were treated with DM in the presence or absence of 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 nM 1, 25-(OH)2D3 and EEF2 was used as endogenous control (ΔCt). Data were normalized to C/EBPa gene expression of positive control (DM) at the corresponding time point (ΔΔCt). Data are means ± SE (n = 3). Different letters represent treatment effects that were significantly different (P < 0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126142.g005
Fig 6. Real-time PCR quantification of VDR gene expression in 3T3-L1 cells (A): in the positive control treatment (DM) at 0, 6, and 12 h, and days 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10. (B to I). Cells were treated with DM in the presence or absence of 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 nM 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 and EEF2 was used as endogenous control (ΔCt). Data were normalized to VDR gene expression of the positive control (DM) at the corresponding time point (ΔΔCt). (B) 6 h, (C) 12 h, (D) Day 1, (E) Day 2, (F) Day 4, (G) Day 6, (H) Day 8.
responsive to 1, 25 - (OH)2D3, and may play an important role in the pathway of 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 regulation of adipogenesis.

Gene expression of Pref-1 was altered in early time-points in response to high concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)2D3

In the positive control, the expression pattern of Pref-1 as expected was decreased by day 2, and remained so through until day 10 (Fig 12A). Expression levels of Pref-1 were not altered in any of the 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 treated cells on day 2 (Fig 12B), values being similar to the positive control. Cells treated with high concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 (100, 10, and 1 nM) showed a significant increase in Pref-1 gene expression levels from days 4 to 6 (Fig 12C and 12D), suggesting greater retention of the preadipocyte phenotype. By day 8, all effects from 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 treatments appeared to be attenuated, although the inhibitory effect was at least partially regenerated on day 10 (Fig 12E and 12F). This results suggest that Pref-1 expression responds to 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 in the latter stages of adipocyte differentiation, and may also plays a role in the pathways of 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 inhibited adipogenesis.

Relative luciferase activity of C/EBPα promoter activity was not affected by 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 treatment

This study was conducted to investigate C/EBPα promoter activity in response to transient exposure of cells for 0, 12, 24 and 48 h to 100 nM 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 plus differentiation medium, differentiation medium only, and growth medium only. The data obtained with 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 treatment indicated no change C/EBPα promoter activity at any time points, 12, 24 and 48 h, compared to differentiation medium, suggesting no effects of 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 on C/ EBPα promoter activity (Fig 13). The promoter activities of C/EBPα from cells treated with both differentiation medium and differentiation medium plus 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 were significantly higher than growth medium alone (Fig 13), suggesting that the C/EBPα promoter is stimulated within the first 48 h of adipocyte differentiation.

Discussion

Although the inhibitory effect of 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 in adipogenesis has been reported for more than a decade, the molecular mechanisms underlying this inhibition remains unclear. To explore this important question, we have performed a systematic investigation aimed at studying the molecular events during the adipocyte differentiation response to 1, 25 - (OH)2D3. The 3T3-L1 cell line is a major model used in developing understanding of adipocyte differentiation and key adipogenic gene expression. Our strategy was to take advantage of this well-defined adipogenic model and identify the molecular changes at each stage that resulted from 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 treatments. We report here that lipid accumulation and expression levels of adipogenic specific genes were inhibited in vitro by high concentrations (1, 10 and 100 nM) of 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 but not by lower concentrations (0.1 and 0.01 nM). As discussed in greater detail below, lipid accumulation was inhibited by the high concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)2D3, at levels comparable to the negative control, by day 10. The lower concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 have slight inhibitory effects on lipid accumulation compared to the positive control. Gene expression levels of PPARγ, C/EBPα, VDR, FABP4 and SCD-1 were inhibited by the high concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 throughout the experimental period to day 10. However, the lower
Fig 7. Real-time PCR quantification of C/EBPβ gene expression in 3T3-L1 cells (A): in the positive control treatment (DM) at 0, 6, and 12 h, and days 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10. (B to I). Cells were treated with DM.
concentrations of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 had no inhibitory effect. Gene expression levels of C/EBPβ and C/EBPδ were not affected by 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments, at any of the concentrations tested. We also studied the effects of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 on C/EBPα promoter activity. There appeared to be no inhibitory effect of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 on the activity of the C/EBPα promoter. The present study has also provided a detailed temporal analysis of key adipogenic gene expression across time points from days 0 to 10 during the adipocyte differentiation process. These data demonstrate at least three important observations: 1) high concentrations of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 have strongly inhibitory effects on adipogenesis, and this effects persist through day 10, 2) not all of the key adipogenic genes (e.g. C/EBPβ and C/EBPδ) interact with 1, 25-(OH)2D3, and 3) the pathway of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 mediated inhibition of adipogenesis does not appear to involve the C/EBPα promoter.

1, 25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 is an endocrine hormone that plays multiple physiological roles [21]. This secosteroid hormone is known to be critical for immune system function [22] and calcium and phosphate homeostasis [23,24]. 1, 25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 is also known to affect adipocyte differentiation and metabolism [25]. 1, 25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 is also the ligand of VDR, hence, VDR may play an important part in the inhibitory pathway of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 in adipogenesis. The VDR has previously been reported to play an important role in the vitamin D signaling pathway in health and disease [25]. Kong and Li [26] found that VDR protein expression was very low in mouse 3T3-L1 preadipocytes, however, VDR expression increased dramatically by 4 h following treatment with adipogenic differentiation medium, and returned to baseline levels by day 2. 1, 25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 treatment was able to stabilize VDR expression for at least another day. The mechanism of VDR stabilization by 1, 25-(OH)2D3 is currently not known. However, the observation of VDR expression in the early time points of adipogenesis may provide a short window for 1, 25-(OH)2D3 to inhibit adipogenesis [13]. The role of the VDR in pre-adipocyte differentiation in 3T3-L1 cells was also studied by Blumberg et al [15]. Their studies reported that the mRNA levels of VDR increased to a maximum by 6 h following initiation of adipocyte differentiation, and the protein levels of VDR reached a maximum by 12 h in the nucleus, and then declined to baseline level by day 2. These similar reports suggest that the inhibition of adipogenesis by 1, 25-(OH)2D3 binding VDR may occur in the early time points (before day 2) during adipogenesis, however, the specific mechanism still remains unknown. In our studies, VDR gene expression pattern in adipocyte differentiation was measured from 0 h to day 10. The expression of VDR was induced after adipocyte differentiation was initiated (6 h), and reached the maximum expression level at 12 h, then declined after 24 h, which is consistent with previous literature reports. In addition, VDR gene expression was inhibited by 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments at early time points. We report the novel finding that the interaction between 1, 25-(OH)2D3 and its receptor leads to inhibition of downstream expression of adipogenic-specific genes. This suggests that VDR plays an important role in the inhibitory pathway of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 regulating adipocyte differentiation.

The C/EBP family is a class of basic-leucine zipper transcription factors, and does not form homo- or heterodimers. Furthermore, their tissue distribution is not limited to adipose tissue [27]. The gene expression of several C/EBP family members is known to be regulated during adipogenesis, and they have been shown to be regulators of adipocyte differentiation. Both C/EBPβ and C/EBPδ mRNA and protein levels were reported to rise early and transiently in
Fig 8. Real-time PCR quantification of C/EBPδ gene expression in 3T3-L1 cells (A): in the positive control treatment (DM) at 0, 6, and 12 h, and days 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10. (B to I). Cells were treated with DM.
preadipocytes which have been induced to differentiate [28–30]. In the present study, real-time PCR results confirmed that during adipogenesis, C/EBPβ mRNA expression levels began to rise by 6 h, and reached a maximum by 12 h following induction, then declined to baseline level after 24 h (Fig 7A). The mRNA expression levels of C/EBPδ also increased by 6 h, and reached the highest level at 12 h, then decreased after 24 h (Fig 8A). These results are consistent with previous reports, and the timing of expression of these two genes was similar to VDR, suggesting that there may be an interaction between 1, 25-(OH)2D3 through VDR binding, inhibiting adipogenesis and C/EBPβ expression during early adipogenesis. Blumberg et al [15] reported that 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatment inhibited C/EBPβ mRNA expression level, however, C/EBPδ gene expression did not change in response to 1, 25-(OH)2D3. Their studies indicate that after binding with VDR, 1, 25-(OH)2D3 inhibits adipogenesis via inhibiting C/EBPβ gene expression but not C/EBPδ. In contrast, Kong and Li [26] reported that 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments did not influence the gene expression of either C/EBPβ or C/EBPδ [27]. In the present study, we quantified gene expression levels of both C/EBPβ and C/EBPδ in response to 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatment, and our results are similar to those reported by Kong and Li [26]. From 6 h to 24 h, and days 2 to 10, gene expression levels of C/EBPβ and C/EBPδ were not changed by 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments. These data demonstrate that even though the gene expression of these C/EBP family members is stimulated in early adipogenesis, corresponding to the maximum expression time of VDR, these two factors are not included in the pathway of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 inhibited adipogenesis.

The PPAR family is a group of transcriptional factors belonging to the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily. These transcriptional factors heterodimerize with another nuclear hormone receptor, retinoid X receptor (RXR), bind to the response elements of target gene promoters and function as active transcriptional factors [31]. When PPARs are heterodimerized with RXR, the complex is activated and transported to the nucleus to bind to specific sequences in promoter regions (termed as PPAR response elements, PPREs) of downstream target genes, activating their transcription [6,32]. There are three major isoforms: PPARα, PPARδ, and PPARγ [28]. The three isoforms have specific roles in lipid metabolism. Importantly, PPARγ plays an important role in triglyceride synthesis and adipocyte differentiation [32]. Activation of PPARγ expression occurs downstream of C/EBPβ and C/EBPδ transcription during the cascade of adipogenesis, and upstream of C/EBPα. In the present study, gene expression of PPARγ was highly inhibited by 1, 25-(OH)2D3, from day 2 until day 10 (Fig 3). Moreover, the cellular response of C/EBPα to 1, 25-(OH)2D3 was similar to that of PPARγ. The inhibition of 1, 25-(OH)2D3 was persistent until day 10 (Fig 5). These data indicate that the 1, 25-(OH)2D3 induced inhibition of adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells was associated with an inhibition of PPARγ and C/EBPα gene expression.

To confirm these results, the protein levels of both PPARγ and C/EBPα were measured using Western blot. Interestingly, the whole cell lysate from 1, 25-(OH)2D3 plus DM treated cells had the highest PPARγ protein level from 6 h to day 4, and the whole cell lysate from DM only treated cells had lower PPARγ levels than the 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treated cells, but comparable to growth medium treated cells. By day 6, the 1, 25-(OH)2D3 plus DM treated cells and DM only treated cells had similar levels of PPARγ protein, however, by day 10, DM only treated cells had the highest PPARγ protein level, and PPARγ protein level from 1, 25-(OH)2D3
Fig 9. Real-time PCR quantification of FABP4 gene expression in 3T3-L1 cells (A): in the positive control treatment (DM) on days 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 (B to F). Cells were treated with DM in the presence or absence of 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 nM 1,25-(OH)2D3 and EEF2 was used as endogenous control (ΔCt). Data were normalized to FABP4 gene expression of the positive control (DM) at the corresponding time point (ΔΔCt). (B) day 2, (C) day 4 (D) day 6, (E) day 8 and (F) day 10. Data are means ± SE (n = 3). Different letters represent treatment effects that were significantly different (P < 0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126142.g009
Fig 10. Real-time PCR quantification of SREBP-1c gene expression in 3T3-L1 cells (A): in the positive control treatment (DM) on days 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 (B to F). Cells were treated with DM in the presence or absence of 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 nM 1,25-(OH)2D3 and EEF2 was used as endogenous control (ΔCt). Data were normalized to SREBP-1c gene expression of the positive control (DM) at the corresponding time point (ΔΔCt). (B) day 2, (C) day 4 (D) day 6, (E) day 8 and (F) day 10. Data are means ± SE (n = 3). Different letters represent treatment effects that were significantly different (P < 0.05).
Fig 11. Real-time PCR quantification of SCD-1 gene expression in 3T3-L1 cells (A): in the positive control treatment (DM) on days 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 (B to F). Cells were treated with DM in the presence or absence of 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 nM 1, 25-\((\text{OH})_2\)D3 and EEF2 was used as endogenous control (\(\Delta\text{Ct}\)). Data were normalized to SCD-1 gene expression of the positive control (DM) at the corresponding time point (\(\Delta\Delta\text{Ct}\)). (B) day 2, (C) day 4, (D) day 6, (E) day 8, and (F) day 10. Data are means ± SE (n = 3). Different letters represent treatment effects that were significantly different (\(P < 0.05\)).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126142.g011
Fig 12. Real-time PCR quantification of Pref-1 gene expression in 3T3-L1 cells (A): in the positive control treatment (DM) on days 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 (B to F). Cells were treated with DM in the presence or absence of 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 nM 1, 25-(OH)2D3 and EEF2 was used as endogenous control (ΔCt). Data were normalized to Pref-1 gene expression of the positive control (DM) at the corresponding time point (ΔΔCt). (B) day 2, (C) day 4 (D) day 6, (E) day 8 and (F) day 10. Data are means ± SE (n = 3). Different letters represent treatment effects that were significantly different (P < 0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126142.g012
plus DM treated cells was decreased to the same level as growth medium only treated cells (S1 Fig). Protein levels of C/EBPα in the whole cell lysate were not changed in response to 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments on any of the time points in comparison to DM only treated cells (S2 Fig), suggesting that C/EBPα protein was not influenced by 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments. In previous studies by Blumberg et al[15], they reported the protein level of PPARγ and C/EBPα was inhibited by 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments. However, these authors used the nuclear extracts to measure the protein level of these two transcriptional factors. In the present study, we used the whole cell lysate to measure the protein levels. These observations together suggest that regulation of PPARγ effects are not directly mediated at transcriptional or translational levels. Rather, mediation occurs via regulation of PPARγ activation and transport to the nucleus. Thus, we hypothesize that 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatments block the trafficking of PPARγ from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. Thus, PPARγ protein is not transferred into nucleus preventing activation of downstream target genes in adipogenesis. In contrast, without 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treatment, the PPARγ protein in the DM only treated cells was readily transported into the nucleus, and functioned as transcriptional factor, inducing the downstream genes (e.g. C/EBPα, FABP4). Therefore, the protein level of PPARγ in DM only treated cells was lower compared to 1, 25-(OH)2D3 treated cells. The protein levels of PPARγ and C/EBPα in DM only treated cells were measured from 0, 6, and 12 h to days 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10. The protein levels of PPARγ were consistent with mRNA expression levels quantified by real-time PCR. Interestingly, unlike PPARγ,
the protein levels of C/EBPα were only slightly changed throughout the experimental time points. We hypothesize that this may be because the C/EBPα protein has longer half-life than PPARγ or is accumulated in the cytoplasm before adipogenesis is initiated. The activity of the C/EBPα promoter was also measured using the Dual Reporter Luciferase Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI). Relative luciferase activity data showed that the activity of C/EBPα promoter appeared to be unchanged in response to 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ treatments. These intriguing data demonstrate that 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ treatments inhibit adipogenesis via inhibiting PPARγ and C/EBPα gene expression, and that PPARγ may play a more important role in this pathway in comparison to C/EBPα. Further studies are needed to explore the mechanism of PPARγ interaction with 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ in its inhibition of adipogenesis.

In the present study, SREBP-1c gene expression was only inhibited by 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ treatments on day 2, coinciding with its maximum expression level in the positive control treatment. Both the high (100, 10, and 1 nM) and low (0.1 and 0.01 nM) concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ inhibited SREBP-1c gene expression on day 2 (Fig 10B). However, from days 4 to 10, the inhibitory effects were ameliorated, and the expression of SREBP-1c was not changed in response to 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ treatments, at any of the concentrations tested (Fig 10C–10F). These data indicate that the inhibition of SREBP-1c gene expression by 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ treatment was transient and corresponded with the d 2 time point, in which its expression rose, 10-fold in the positive control in comparison to the time-point at d 0. Thus, it is not clear whether SREBP-1c may be involved in the 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ signaling pathway that inhibits adipogenesis, showing a similar gene expression profile to C/EBPβ and also reflecting the profile observed for C/EBPα at time-points up to d 6. These three genes are upstream of PPARγ in the transcriptional activation of adipogenesis, hence, the inhibition of adipogenesis caused by 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ may be unrelated to mechanisms involving the transcriptional factors that are expressed in the early stages of adipogenesis.

In additional to PPARγ and C/EBPα, gene expression levels of FABP4 and SCD-1 were strongly inhibited by 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ treatments. Gene expression of FABP4 was strongly inhibited by the high concentrations (100, 10 and 1 nM) of 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ treatments from days 2 to 4 (Fig 9B and 9C). Moreover, from days 6 to 10, all the concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ had significant inhibitory effects on FABP4 gene expression (Fig 9D–9F). The inhibitory effects of 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ treatments on SCD-1 gene expression were gradual in comparison to effects on FABP4 expression. Inhibition by high concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ began by day 4 (Fig 10C), and remained until day 8 (Fig 11D and 11E). However, by day 10, gene expression of SCD-1 was inhibited by all concentrations of 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ tested (Fig 11F), and comparable to effects on FABP4. In previous reports, FABP4 has been shown to have a PPARγ response element (PPRE) in its promoter region and PPARγ regulates gene expression of FABP4[32]. In 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ treated cells, PPARγ expression was significantly inhibited, and this effect also appeared to cause a negative action on gene expression of FABP4. Similarly to FABP4, SCD-1 also plays an important role in adipogenesis. Its functions include incorporation of double bonds in fatty acids and synthesis of long chain fatty acids in adipocytes[32]. In the present study, SCD-1 expression was gradually increased from days 2 to 10 with DM treatment, and significantly inhibited by 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ treatments, suggesting that SCD-1 may play a role in the pathway of 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ inhibited adipogenesis. Mechanisms of FABP4 and SCD-1 gene expression in response to 1, 25 - (OH)₂D₃ still need to be explored further.

Preadipocyte factor 1 is a marker protein of preadipocytes and is not expressed in mature adipocytes[33]. During initiation of adipogenesis, the gene expression of Pref-1 decreases and the expression of key adipogenic genes increases[34]. We hypothesized that gene expression of Pref-1 would decrease in treatments with differentiation medium, and would remain at higher
levels in treatments with 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 when compared to in DM treated cells. In the present study, Pref-1 gene expression was significantly lower compared to that of day 4, DM only treated cells, and remained at low levels through day 10 (Fig 12A). In the cells treated with 1, 25 - (OH)2D3, Pref-1 gene expression was significantly higher than in DM only treated cells by day 6 (Fig 12B–12F), and declined to similar levels to the DM only group from days 8 to 10 (Fig 12E and 12F). These data support our hypothesis, and in the 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 treatments where PPARγ, C/EBPα, FABP4 and SCD-1 gene expression levels were inhibited, the expression of Pref-1 gene correspondingly remained significantly higher than in DM only treatments.

In conclusion, lipid accumulation and the expression of key adipogenic key genes, PPARγ, C/EBPα, FABP4, and SCD-1 were significantly inhibited by 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 treatments until day 10. Gene expression of SREBP-1c was transiently inhibited by 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 on day 2, and then rebounded back to levels similar to the low levels observed in DM treatment by days 4, 6, 8, and 10. In contrast, C/EBP β and C/EBP δ expression were not changed in response to 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 treatments. Our study has demonstrated that 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 represses adipogenesis via inhibition of the expression of PPARγ, but not C/EBP β or C/EBP δ, and hence, the adipogenic-specific genes (C/EBPα, FABP4, and SCD-1) downstream of PPARγ during the transcriptional cascade of adipogenesis, were also inhibited. In future, studies are needed to explore the mechanisms by which 1, 25 - (OH)2D3 interacts with PPARγ and regulates adipogenesis.
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S1 Fig. Representative images showing Western blot analysis (Odyssey Dual Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor)) of PPARγ on 6 h (A), 12 h (B), days 1 (C), 2 (D), 4 (E), 6 (F), 8 (G) and 10 (H). Cells were treated with differentiation medium in the presence or absence of 100 and 1 nM 1, 25 - (OH)2D3, and basal growth medium. β-actin was used as an internal protein loading control. Quantification of PPARγ normalized to β-actin. Comparisons are with blank within day. Data are means ± SE (n = 3).

S2 Fig. Representative images showing Western blot analysis (Odyssey Dual Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor)) of C/EBPα on 6 h (A), 12 h (B), days 1 (C), 2 (D), 4 (E), 6 (F), 8 (G) and 10 (H). Cells were treated with differentiation medium in the presence or absence of 100 and 1 nM 1, 25 - (OH)2D3, and basal growth medium. β-actin was used as an internal protein loading control. Quantification of PPARγ normalized to β-actin. Comparisons are with blank within day. Data are means ± SE (n = 3).
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