The Role of Insect Symbiotic Bacteria in Metabolizing Phytochemicals and Agrochemicals
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Simple Summary: To counter plant chemical defenses and exposure to agrochemicals, herbivorous insects have developed several adaptive strategies to guard against the ingested detrimental substances, including enhancing detoxifying enzyme activities, avoidance behavior, amino acid mutation of target sites, and lower penetration through a thicker cuticle. Insect microbiota play important roles in many aspects of insect biology and physiology. To better understand the role of insect symbiotic bacteria in metabolizing these detrimental substances, we summarize the research progress on the function of insect bacteria in metabolizing phytochemicals and agrochemicals, and describe their future potential application in pest management and protection of beneficial insects.

Abstract: The diversity and high adaptability of insects are heavily associated with their symbiotic microbes, which include bacteria, fungi, viruses, protozoa, and archaea. These microbes play important roles in many aspects of the biology and physiology of insects, such as helping the host insects with food digestion, nutrition absorption, strengthening immunity and confronting plant defenses. To maintain normal development and population reproduction, herbivorous insects have developed strategies to detoxify the substances to which they may be exposed in the living habitat, such as the detoxifying enzymes carboxylesterase, glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs), and cytochrome P450 monoxygenases (CYP450s). Additionally, insect symbiotic bacteria can act as an important factor to modulate the adaptability of insects to the exposed detrimental substances. This review summarizes the current research progress on the role of insect symbiotic bacteria in metabolizing phytochemicals and agrochemicals (insecticides and herbicides). Given the importance of insect microbiota, more functional symbiotic bacteria that modulate the adaptability of insects to the detrimental substances to which they are exposed should be identified, and the underlying mechanisms should also be further studied, facilitating the development of microbial-resource-based pest control approaches or protective methods for beneficial insects.
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1. Introduction

Insects, which are the most abundant and widely distributed species in the animal kingdom, can survive and reproduce under various conditions [1,2]. The diversity and adaptability of insects are closely related to their symbiotic microbes, including bacteria, fungi, viruses, protozoa and archaea [3]. In insects, these microbes inhabit the exoskeleton, gut, blood cavity, salivary gland, and other organs, as well as individual cells, accounting for 1–10% of insect biomass and playing critical roles in many aspects of the biology and physiology of insects [4–7].

During the interaction between microbial symbionts and insects, the insects provide the habitat and nutrition for microbes, and in return, these symbionts help the host insects with food digestion, nutrition absorption, defense responses to pathogens, and xenobiotic
metabolism, while also promoting insect development and reproduction [8,9]. For example, the fungal-yeast-like symbiotes in planthoppers and aphids are vital for the synthesis of essential amino acids and for maintaining the vitamin supply in the insect host [10–12]. The polyhedrovirus from parasitoid wasps can disorder the immune system of host insects to ensure the survival of wasp offspring, and three partiti-like viruses identified from the African armyworm (*Spodoptera exempta*) can enhance the resistance of *S. exempta* to nucleopolyhedrovirus [13,14]. For wood-feeding lower termites, they rely on symbiotic flagellates to decompose the lignocelluloses in their plant diet, and methanogenic archaea to produce methane [15,16]. In terms of symbiotic bacteria, these comprise the most abundant microorganism species in insects and are mainly distributes in the gut, including Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Clostridia, Actinomycetes, and others, and contribute to the development, behavior, communication, and adaptation of host insects [3,17–22]. In addition, the composition of bacterial communities in insects can be influenced by food resources, environmental factors, pathogenic microbials, or the detrimental substances to which they are exposed [23–25].

Early studies on the symbiotic microbes of insects have mainly relied on traditional isolation and culture methods, but a major limitation of these methods is that many microbes are uncultured, and their functional roles cannot be studied in vivo. In recent years, the rapid development of high-throughput metagenomic sequencing technology and methods for rearing germ-free insects has promoted research on functional characterization of the microorganisms in insects, especially the symbiotic bacteria [26]. For some insect species linked to agriculture (such as pests, pollinators, and parasitic enemies), their development, learning behavior, and resistance evolution are highly relevant to gut bacteria [4,24,27]. For insect vectors transmitting human diseases (such as mosquitoes), some symbiotic bacteria, influencing the vector transmitting efficiency or reproduction of mosquitoes, can be targets for potential public disease control [28,29]. In past decades, extensive studies have been conducted on insect bacterial community diversity and interactions of bacteria with host insects (Figure 1). This review focuses on the research progress of insect symbiotic bacteria in metabolizing phytochemicals and agrochemicals (insecticides and herbicides), which are two main kinds of substances insects encounter in their life histories. Finally, the microbe-based pest control approaches, pest resistance management strategies, and protective methods of natural enemy insects that may apply in the future should be examined.
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**Figure 1.** An overview of symbiont-mediated detoxification in insects.

### 2. Insect Bacteria Confer Resistance to Phytochemicals

In nature, more than half of insects are herbivores, which damage different kinds of crops and even cause economical losses [30,31]. To defend themselves from attack by insect herbivory, plants have evolved various defensive mechanisms, including production of phytochemicals such as alkaloids, terpenoids, phenols, and some other secondary substances that show detrimental effects on the growth and survival of insects or attract the natural enemies of herbivores [32]. To cope, herbivorous insects have developed several strategies to detoxify the ingested phytochemicals, including the concerned biochemical counteradaptations [33].
In addition to biochemical responses, insect symbiotic bacteria play key roles in countering plant defenses [7,34]. Before feeding, the oral secretions of some insect herbivores contain a few effector molecules that suppress the antiherbivore defenses, and some bacteria (belonging to the genera *Stenotrophomonas*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Enterobacter*), identified from oral secretions of *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*, are also responsible for plant defense suppression [35–37]. After ingestion, the consumed plant tissue enters the digestive tract of the insects, and the gut bacterial community is able to help hosts with food digestion, nutrition absorption, and countering the toxic or harmful phytochemicals from the plant diet [9,34]. For generalist insects, to some extent, their polyphagous habits rely on several symbiotic bacteria to adapt to phytochemicals from different host plants [38]. For example, when fed with *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the gut bacteria of *Trichoplusia ni* were dominated by *Shinella*, *Terribacillus* and *Propionibacterium*, which are known to have the ability to degrade the plant allelochemical glucosinolate; when feeding on *Solanum lycopersicum*, the relative abundances of *Agrobacterium* and *Rhizobium* able to degrade alkaloids were significantly increased [39]. However, specialist insects may need specific bacteria to degrade the toxic compounds in their host plants, such as *Enterococcus* sp. from *Hyles euphorbiae* and *Brithys crini*, which have the ability to tolerate alkaloid and latex [40].

Terpenes are a class of toxic phytochemicals that are highly present in coniferous plants. To overcome these toxic compounds, the pests that colonize these plants metabolize the toxic compounds with the aid of symbiotic bacteria. For example, the gut bacteria *Serratia*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Rahnella* from *Dendroctonus ponderosae* have a strong ability to degrade monoterpene and diterpene acids because these genera contain the majority of the genes that participate in terpene degradation [41,42]. For another mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus valens*, its gallery lengths and body weight were significantly suppressed when fed on a diet containing α-pinene at 6 and 12 mg/mL, and three bacterial strains (*Serratia* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., and *Rahnella aquatilis*) degraded 20–50% of α-pinene [43]. However, the role of these bacteria in degrading terpene has not been verified in the two pine beetles in vivo. A further study on the gut microbiota of the pine weevil (*Hyllobius abietis*) found that the weevil can degrade substantial amounts of diterpene in its plant diet, and this degradability was significantly reduced after eliminating gut microbes with antibiotics and then restored again after supplying a normal gut microbial community. When inoculating the gut bacterial community with dehydroabietic acid for five days, the amount of bacteria significantly reduced, and the metagenomic analysis results showed that beetles fed on Norway spruce contained 10 degradation genes (*dit*), which were almost eliminated after treating with antibiotics [44]. In another weevil (*Curculio chinensis*), the gut bacteria from the genus *Acinetobacter* degraded tea saponin and used it as source of carbon and nitrogen [45]. Moreover, some insects, such as *Rhodnius prolixus*, counteract the toxic effects of azadirachtin (a triterpenoid compound of terpenes) by promoting the gene of equivalent NF-κB transcription factor (*RpDorsal*) and antimicrobial peptide (*defC AMP*), as well as the abundance of the gut bacterium *Serratia marcescens* [46].

Alkaloids, a kind of plant phytochemical, are neurotoxic to a wide range of insects, and most of them have been used as botanical agrochemicals for pest control [47]. Although alkaloids exhibit toxicity to most insects, a few species still show high tolerance to these substances, such as *Hypothenemus hampei*, which can consume coffee beans rich in the alkaloid caffeine. Later researchers found that the tolerance of *H. hampei* to caffeine is underpinned by its gut microbiota. After eliminating the gut microorganisms with antibiotics, the fitness of *H. hampei*, fed on a caffeine-treated diet, declined and showed no decrease in caffeine concentration in their frass. Through a culture-dependent approach, a gut bacterium, *Pseudomonas fulva*, was isolated, which processed a gene coding one subunit of a caffeine demethylase, and the reinstatement of *P. fulva* in germ-free *H. hampei* recovered its capacity to degrade caffeine [48]. As another important phytochemical, phenols inhibit herbivorous insects by inducing reactive oxygen production. When feeding on unripe olives, the olive fly *Bactrocera oleae* requires the gut bacterium *Erwinia dacicola* to overcome the toxic phenolic glycoside in unripe olives [49]. Metagenomic analysis revealed that
the bacterium *Novosphingobium* sp. in *D. valens* possesses putative genes involved in the degradation of naringenin, and the survival rate of *D. valens* on a naringenin-treated diet significantly increased when supplied with *Novosphingobium* sp. [50]. In addition, the gut bacteria *Acinetobacter* sp. in *Lymantria dispar* also use condensed tannins as a carbon source [51]. In the cabbage stem flea beetle *Psylliodes chrysocephala*, when its gut bacteria were removed with antibiotics, the beetles accumulated 11.3-fold higher levels of unmetabolized isothiocyanates compared to control beetles, and the isothiocyanate degradation ability was restored when reintroducing the bacteria *Pantoea* sp. Pc8 in antibiotic-fed beetles [52]. For the phytochemical oxalate, the gut bacterium *Ishikawaella capsulata* in stinkbug *Megacopta punctatissima* encodes genes for oxalate decarboxylase, suggesting the possible role of the bacterium in oxalate detoxification [53]. In human, calcium oxalate is formed if the food-derived oxalate cannot be metabolized, which can result in kidney stone disease, so the identification of insect bacteria able to degrade oxalate may act as a novel treatment for kidney stone patients [54] (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Symbiont-mediated detoxification of phytochemicals.

| Plant Allelochemical | Functional Bacteria and Host | Description | Reference |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| **Terpenoid** | *Serratia marcescens,* *Pseudomonas mandelii,* and *Rahnella aquatilis* from *Dendroctonus ponderosae* | *S. marcescens* reduced 49–79% of 3-carene and (−)-β-pinene, and *P. mandelii* decreased concentrations of all monoterpenes by 15–24%, while *R. aquatilis* decreased (−)-α-pinene (38%) and (+)-α-pinene (46%) by 40% and 45% (by GC-MS), respectively | [41] |
| **Monoterpene** | *Pseudomonas,* *Rahnella,* *Serratia,* and *Burkholderia* in *D. ponderosae* | Genera contained most genes involved in terpene degradation (by metagenomics) | [42] |
| **Diterpene** | *Serratia* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., and *Rahnella aquatilis* in *Dendroctonus valens* | Degraded 20–50% of α-pinene (by GC-MS) | [43] |
| **Saponin** | gut microbiota of *Hylobius abietis* | Gut bacterial community of *H. abietis* reduced most diterpenes, and metagenomic analysis results showed gut community contained 10 degradation genes (*dit*) (by metagenome sequencing and GC-MS) | [44] |
| **Azadirachtin** | *Acinetobacter* sp. in *Curculio chinensis* | *Acinetobacter* sp. in *C. chinensis* enriched after treating with saponin, and when incubating bacteria with saponin for 72 h, saponin content significantly decreased from 4.054 to 1.867 mg/mL (by 16S rRNA metagenome sequencing and HPLC) | [45] |
| **Azadirachtin** | *Serratia marcescens* in *Rhodnius prolixus* | *S. marcescens* load in *R. prolixus* increased when fed diet containing azadirachtin at 1 µg/mL (by qRT-PCR) | [46] |
### Table 1. Cont.

| Plant Allelochemical | Functional Bacteria and Host | Description | Reference |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| **Alkaloid**          | Caffeine                     | *Pseudomonas fulva* in *Hypothenemus hampei* | *P. fulva* processed gene coding one subunit of caffeine demethylase, and reinstatement of *P. fulva* in germ-free *H. hampei* degraded all caffeine consumed (by 16S rRNA gene sequencing and GC-MS) | [48] |
| **Aconitine, nicotine** | entire gut bacteria of *Dendrolimus superans* and *Lymantria dispar* | Abundance of genus *Pseudomonas* in *D. superans* larvae increased, but *Serratia* and *Enterobacter* decreased, and *L. dispar* larvae fed on aconitine-treated diet and nicotine-treated diet shared dominant bacteria *Enterococcus* (by 16S rRNA gene sequencing) | [55] |
| **Phenol**            | Phenolic glycoside           | *Erwinia dacicola* in *Bactrocera olea* | Larvae developed in unripe olive harbored more *E. dacicola* (by 16S rRNA gene sequencing) | [49] |
| **Phenolic naringenin** | *Novosphingobium* sp. in *D. valens* | *Novosphingobium* sp. possesses putative genes involved in degradation of naringenin, and *D. valens* supplied with *Novosphingobium* sp. acquired protection against naringenin (by metagenomic analysis) | [50] |
| **Tannins**           | *Acinetobacter* sp. in *Lymantria dispar* | Condensed tannins improved growth of *Acinetobacter* sp. by 15% (by measuring the optical density) | [51] |
| **Glucosinolate**     | *Pantoea* sp. Pc8 in *Psylliodes chrysoscepha* | Laboratory-reared and field-collected *P. chrysoscepha* all contained three core genera *Pantoea*, *Acinetobacter* and *Pseudomonas*, and reintroduction of *Pantoea* sp. Pc8 in antibiotic-fed beetles restored isothiocyanate degradation ability in vivo (by 16S rRNA gene sequencing and LC-MS) | [52] |
| **Oxalate**           | *Ishikawaella capsulata* in *Megacopta punctatissima* | Encodes genes of oxalate decarboxylase (by whole-genome shotgun sequencing) | [53] |

Apart from detoxification roles, some insect bacteria can convert phytochemicals into pheromone compounds and thus influence the chemical communication of host insects [56]. For instance, the gut bacteria *Pantoea agglomerans*, *Klebsiella pneumonia*, and *Enterobacter cloacae* of *Schistocerca gregaria* can use the plant-derived vanillic acid to produce guaiacol and phenol, which are two main components of the locust cohesion pheromone [20]. In the mine beetle *Chrysolina herbacea*, its gut bacteria has the ability to metabolize terpenoids into pheromone compounds [57]. In addition to phytochemicals, the *Bacillus* species isolated from the male rectum of *Bactrocera dorsalis* can directly produce sex pheromone components (2,3,5-trimethylpyrazine and 2,3,5,6-tetramethylpyrazine) by using glucose and threonine as the substrates. After treating male flies with antibiotics, the levels of the two components were significantly reduced [58]. These findings suggest that some insect bacteria may be an ideal choice for microbe-based pest control because their products can disorder the normal aggregation or mating behavior of pests.
3. Association between Gut Bacteria and Insects’ Adaptation to Agrochemicals

To promote crop yield and quality, many agrochemicals are applied on fields to control the dominant economic pests, but frequent application of these chemicals has also resulted in severe health and environmental issues, as well as the resistance evolution of pests to these widely used chemicals, and nontarget toxicity to natural enemies or pollinators [59–63]. To find alternatives with novel modes of action against pests, genetically modified (GM) crops that express insecticidal proteins derived from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) have been developed and commercially planted since 1996, but resistant populations of target pests were also recorded after several years [64–66].

Amino acid mutation of target sites and upregulation of detoxification enzymes or transporters mainly confer the resistance evolution of insects to these agrochemicals [67–69], but recently, insect-associated bacteria have also been reported to directly or indirectly participate in the adaptability of insects to agrochemicals (Table 2).

3.1. Symbionts Directly Degrade Agrochemicals

When exposed to agrochemicals, the survival, development, behavior, as well as the composition and abundance of gut bacteria in target insects are affected [70]. However, under long-term high selection pressure of agrochemicals, the target insects also evolve resistance to the exposed agrochemicals, and, in some cases, the diversity and abundance of gut microbiota between resistant insect populations and susceptible insect populations are significantly different [71–73]. Compared with susceptible insect strains, the uniquely enriched gut bacteria in resistant insects should receive more attention, because these bacteria may participate in conferring insect resistance to some agrochemicals [74]. In Aedes albopictus, an important urban pest that can transmit viruses such as dengue, Zika, and chikungunya, the 16S rRNA sequencing results of intestinal bacteria between deltamethrin-resistant and -sensitive strains showed that the bacteria Serratia oryzae and Acinetobacter junii had higher abundance in resistance strains, and these strains may help Ae. albopictus develop resistance to deltamethrin, but their roles have not been verified in vitro or in vivo [72]. In deltamethrin-resistant Spodoptera frugiperda, the isolated bacterium Arthrobacter nicotinovorans grew better in the selective media and cleared 54.9% of deltamethrin [75]. Similarly, the gut symbionts Burkholderia from Riptortus pedestris and Cletus punctiger metabolize fenitrothion (an organophosphorus agrochemical) into nontoxic substances and use them as the available carbon source, thus promoting the development of host insects and conferring their resistance to fenitrothion. These bacteria are also present in the soil, and when treating field soil with fenitrothion for one month, the bacterial community increased to $10^7$ to $10^8$ CFU/g, of which >80% showed fenitrothion-degrading activities, suggesting that the insects may acquire fenitrothion-degrading bacteria from the soil [76,77]. Furthermore, in Blatta orientalis, the degradation rates of bacteria Pseudomonas aeruginosa G1, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia G2, and Acinetobacter lwoffii G5 to α-endosulfan were all >80%, which may facilitate insecticide resistance evolution and make cockroaches difficult to control [78]. In Anopheles gambiae, the gut bacteria Sphingobacterium, Lysinibacillus, Streptococcus, and Rubrobacter are highly associated with its resistance to permethrin [79]. Apart from insecticides, the insect gut bacterium Acetobacter tropicalis, isolated from Drosophila melanogaster, is also responsible for atrazine detoxification (one herbicide), and the restoration of A. tropicalis in germ-free flies reduces atrazine toxicity. Genome sequencing results showed that this bacterium contains candidate genes atzA, atzB, and atzC, which are involved in atrazine metabolism [80]. Furthermore, the gut bacteria Serratia marcescens and Pseudomonas protegens in Nasonia vitripennis also confer atrazine resistance. When exposed to atrazine for several generations, the bacterial densities of S. marcescens and P. protegens in N. vitripennis significantly increased. The degradation rates of these strains to atrazine were 20% and 10%, respectively, and whole-genome sequencing results also indicated the possession of the atrazine metabolism genes [24].

During the interaction of insect gut microbes with agrochemicals, some detoxification enzymes, encoded by the genes of symbionts, also play important roles in the metabolism
of agrochemicals. The results of comparative genomics analysis showed that the gut symbiont *Citrobacter* sp. of *Bactrocera dorsalis* encodes genes of phosphatase hydrolase, and the gene expression levels are higher when exposed to trichlorphon. When antibiotic-treated flies were supplied with *Citrobacter* sp., the hosts obtained insecticide resistance to trichlorphon [81]. The bacterial esterase and carboxylesterase facilitated the degradation of indoxacarb in *Plutella xylostella* [82]. The above findings suggest that the degradation effects of insect gut bacteria directly mediate insect resistance to agrochemicals.

### 3.2. Indirect Regulation of Insect Resistance by Gut Bacteria

In addition to direct degradation, insect microbes can regulate insect resistance to agrochemicals by activating the detoxification the enzyme or immune system in hosts [83,84]. For instance, after treatment with polymyxin B, the survival rate of *Bombyx mori* exposed to chlorpyrifos was significantly lower, and 16S rRNA gene sequencing results showed that the abundances of the genera *Stenotrophomonas* and *Enterococcus* were decreased. When supplying germ-free silkworms with *S. maltophilia*, the host resistance to chlorpyrifos was enhanced. However, this bacterium cannot directly degrade chlorpyrifos in the gut, but by promoting the activity levels of acetylcholinesterase in hosts [85]. In *Culex pipiens*, the abundance of the intestinal bacterium *Aeromonas hydrophila* in deltamethrin-resistant populations was found to be much higher. After eliminating the gut bacteria of the resistant strains with antibiotics, its resistance level was reduced by 66%, while the enzyme activity of cytochrome P450 monoxygenases (CYP450s) in the hosts was reduced by 58%. Supplying *A. hydrophila* restored the resistance and enzyme activity of CYP450s, indicating that *A. hydrophila* increases the resistance of hosts to deltamethrin by enhancing the activity of CYP450s [86]. In addition, the *Enterococcus* sp. isolated from the guts of *Plutella xylostella* enhance insecticide resistance to chlorpyrifos by regulating the expression of an antimicrobial peptide named gloverin [87]. After exposure to imidacloprid, the abundance of *Wolbachia* in *Nilaparvata lugens* increased, and removing this bacterium reduced the enzyme activity of CYP450s, while the transcript level of NlCYP4CE1 also significantly decreased. This result suggested that *Wolbachia* enhances the resistance of hosts to imidacloprid by promoting the expression of NlCYP4CE1 [88]. For pollinators such as the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*), the gut microbiota promotes the expression of some immune-related genes (hymenoptaecin, defensin1) and detoxification-related genes (CYP450s, GST, and catalase), and thus increase honeybee tolerance to thiacloprid, tau-fluvalinate, or flumethrin [89,90].

Table 2. Symbiont-mediated insect resistance to agrochemicals.

| Bacteria and Insect Host | Target Agrochemical | Description | Reference |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|
| *Serratia oryzae* and *Acinetobacter junii* in *Aedes albopictus* | Deltamethrin | S. oryzae and A. junii had higher abundance in deltamethrin-resistant strain (by 16S rRNA sequencing) | [72] |
| *Arthrobacter nicotinovorans* in *Spodoptera frugiperda* | | Cleared 54.9% of deltamethrin (by LC-MS) | [75] |
| *Burkholderia* strains in *Riptortus pedestris* and *Cletus punctiger* | Fenitrothion | Bacteria metabolized fenitrothion into nontoxic substance, and insects infected with fenitrothion-degrading *Burkholderia* strains had higher survival rate and larger body size (by HPLC) | [76,77] |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* G1, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* G2, and *Acinetobacter lwoffii* G5 in *Blatta orientalis* | \(\alpha\)-endosulfan | Degradation rates of *P. aeruginosa* G1, *S. maltophilia* G2, and *A. lwoffii* G5 to \(\alpha\)-endosulfan were 88.5%, 85.5%, and 80.2%, respectively (by HPLC) | [78] |
| *Sphingobacterium*, and *Lysinibacillus* *Streptococcus* and *Rubrobacter* in *Anopheles gambiae* | Pyrethroid | *Sphingobacterium*, *Lysinibacillus*, *Streptococcus*, and *Rubrobacter* significantly more abundant in resistant mosquitoes (by 16S rRNA gene sequencing) | [79] |
Table 2. Cont.

| Bacteria and Insect Host | Target Agrochemical | Description | Reference |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Acetobacter tropicalis in Drosophila melanogaster | Atrazine | Atrazine exposure reduced relative abundance of *Acetobacter*, and restoration of *A. tropicalis* in germ-free flies reduced atrazine toxicity bacterium contained genes involved in atrazine metabolism (by 16S rRNA gene sequencing) | [80] |
| Serratia marcescens and Pseudomonas protegens in Nasonia vitripennis | Chlorpyrifos | Bacterial densities of *S. marcescens* and *P. protegens* in atrazine-fed *N. vitripennis* significantly increased, and degradation rates to atrazine were 20% and 10%, respectively; both contained genes involved in atrazine metabolism (by 16S rRNA gene sequencing, HPLC, whole-genome sequencing) | [85] |
| Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in Bombyx mori | Chlorpyrifos | Enhanced host resistance to chlorpyrifos by increasing activities of acetylcholinesterase | [86] |
| Aeromonas hydrophila in Culex pipiens | Deltamethrin | Increased the resistance of hosts to deltamethrin by enhancing activities of CYP450s (measurement of activity levels of enzyme) | [87] |
| Enterococcus sp. in Plutella xylostella | Chlorpyrifos | Enhanced insecticide resistance to chlorpyrifos by regulating expression of antimicrobial peptide named gloverin (by using a UV spectrophotometer at 293 nm absorbance and qRT-PCR) | [88] |
| Wolbachia in Nilaparvata lugens | Imidacloprid | Enhanced resistance of hosts to imidacloprid by promoting expression of NlCYP4CE1 (by 16S rRNA gene sequencing, qRT-PCR, measurement of activity levels of enzyme) | [88] |
| gut bacteria in Apis mellifera | Thiacyclopid, tau-fluvalinate and flumethrin | E=Enhanced insecticide resistance of hosts by promoting expression of immune-related genes and detoxification-related genes (by 16S rRNA gene sequencing, qRT-PCR, HPLC) | [89,90] |

4. Degradation of Other Detrimental Substances by Insect Bacteria

As the main secondary metabolites produced by mycotoxigenic fungi, mycotoxins have been found in nearly all agricultural goods, and they can cause severe human health problems and economic losses during livestock production [91]. To prevent the contamination of agricultural commodities by mycotoxins, many strategies have been recommended; there has recently been increasing interest in detoxification methods involving functional microbes isolated from natural samples [92–94]. Under natural conditions, some herbivorous insects co-occur with mycotoxigenic fungi [95]. Accordingly, they must be able to tolerate exposure to these mycotoxins to ensure that they normally develop and reproduce. Thus, they may be useful sources of functional microbes capable of detoxifying mycotoxins. To date, most of the reported mycotoxin-degrading microorganisms were isolated from noninsect systems (such as soil, water, or contaminated crops), with only one study demonstrating that *Symbiotaphrina kochii*, which is a symbiont in the tobacco beetle *Lasioderma serricorne*, can detoxify mycotoxins, including deoxynivalenol, ochratoxin A, and sterigmatocystin [96]. Future studies should identify and isolate additional functional microbes in insects that are highly tolerant to mycotoxins [97].

The overuse and abuse of antibiotics in livestock production and the treatment of human disease have resulted in severe problems associated with antibiotic resistance and antibiotic residues [98]. The gut microbes of *Musca domestica* and *Hermetia illucens* can efficiently degrade oxytetracycline (>54.5%), implying that insect gut microorganisms may
be useful for eliminating antibiotic residues [99–101]. Some insect bacteria can produce antimicrobial compounds that contribute to protection from pathogens. For example, the gut bacterium *Enterococcus mundtii* in *Spodoptera littoralis* can secrete an antimicrobial (mundticin KS) against the invading bacteria, and the purified mundticin can cure larvae infected with *E. faecalis* [21]. Furthermore, cockroaches also carry bacteria that can produce metabolites or proteins with potential industrial applications, such as the antibiotic-producing *Streptomyces* strain, *Bacillus* strain, *Enterococcus* strain, and *Pseudomonas* species, all of which may be suitable for development as pharmaceuticals or plant protection products and provide opportunities for biotechnological application [102].

5. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

Insect microbiota are critical for metabolizing diverse detrimental substances. Future research on beneficial insects, including pollinators and natural enemies of pests, should consider the utility of microorganisms as biocontrol agents that can provide protection from the effects of toxic substances. Regarding pests, the role of their microbial partners should be monitored when developing new strategies for controlling pests or decreasing the vector competence of pests (e.g., the death of male insects and parthenogenesis caused by *Wolbachia* and *Rickettsia* species), but this may require genetic modifications. Furthermore, identifying microbes in insects able to detoxify harmful compounds may have important implications for bioremediation or for limiting the toxicity of xenobiotics.

**Author Contributions:** X.G. conceived the ideas of this review. X.G., M.Z. and X.L. contributed to the writing and revising of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This review manuscript was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 31801735).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** All authors declare no conflict interest.

**References**

1. Engel, M.S. Insect evolution. *Curr. Biol.* 2015, 25, 868–872. [CrossRef]
2. Zou, Y.; Feng, J.C.; Xue, D.Y.; Sang, W.G.; Axmacher, J. Insect diversity: Addressing an important but strongly neglected research topic in China. *J. Resour. Ecol.* 2011, 4, 380–384. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Engel, P.; Moran, N.A. The gut microbiota of insects—Diversity in structure and function. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2013, 37, 699–735. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Qiao, H.L.; Keesey, L.W.; Hansson, B.S.; Knaden, M. Gut microbiota affects development and olfactory behavior in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 2019, 222, 1242. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Lizé, A.; Mckay, R.; Lewis, Z. Kin recognition in *Drosophila*: The importance of ecology and gut microbiota. *ISME J.* 2014, 8, 469–477. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Farine, J.P.; Habbachi, W.; Cortot, J.; Roche, S.; Ferveur, J.F. Maternally-transmitted microbiota affects odor emission and preference in *Drosophila larva*. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 6062. [CrossRef]
7. Douglas, A.E. Multiorganismal insects: Diversity and function of resident microorganisms. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 2015, 60, 17–34. [CrossRef]
8. Wang, H.; Xian, X.Q.; Gu, Y.J.; Castane, C.; Arno, J.; Wu, S.; Wan, F.H.; Liu, W.X.; Zhang, G.F.; Zhang, Y.B. Similar bacterial communities among different populations of a newly emerging invasive species, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick). *Insects* 2022, 13, 252. [CrossRef]
9. Yang, H.; Huang, Y.P. Insect microbiome: As guardians of insect health and adaptation. *Acta Microbiol. Sinica.* 2018, 58, 961–962. [CrossRef]
10. Dong, S.Z.; Pang, K.; Bai, X.; Yu, X.P.; Hao, P.Y. Identification of two species of yeast-like symbiotes in the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. *Curr. Microbiol.* 2011, 62, 1133–1138. [CrossRef]
11. Vogel, K.J.; Moran, N.A. Functional and evolutionary analysis of the genome of an obligate fungal symbiont. *Genome Biol Evol.* 2013, 5, 891–904. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Pang, K.; Dong, S.Z.; Hao, P.Y.; Chen, T.T.; Wang, X.L.; Yu, X.P.; Lin, H.F. Fungicides reduce the abundance of yeast-like symbionts and survival of white-backed planthopper *Sogatella furcifera* (Homoptera: Delphacidae). *Insects* 2020, 11, 209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Strand, M.R.; Burke, G.R. Polydnavirus-wasp associations: Evolution, genome organization, and function. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 2013, 3, 587–594. [CrossRef]

14. Xu, P.J.; Yang, L.Y.; Yang, X.M.; Li, T.; Graham, R.I.; Wu, K.M.; Wilson, K. Novel partiti-like viruses are conditional mutualistic symbionts in their normal lepidopteran host, African armymoth, but parasitic in a novel host, Fall armymoth. *PLoS Pathog.* 2020, 16, e1008467. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Ohkuma, M. Symbioses of flagellates and prokaryotes in the gut of lower termites. *Trends Microbiol.* 2008, 16, 345–352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Shi, Y.; Huang, Z.; Han, S.; Fan, S.; Yang, H. Phylogenetic diversity of Archaea in the intestinal tract of termites from different lineages. *J. Basic Microbiol.* 2015, 55, 1021–1028. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Engel, P.; Martinson, V.G.; Moran, N.A. Functional diversity within the simple gut microbiota of the honey bee. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 11002–11007. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

18. Engel, P.; Moran, N.A. Functional and evolutionary insights into the simple yet specific gut microbiota of the honey bee from metagenomic analysis. *Gut Microbes*. 2013, 4, 60–65. [CrossRef]

19. Dantur, K.I.; Enrique, R.; Welin, B.; Castagnaro, A.P. Isolation of cellulolytic bacteria from the intestine of *Diaatra saccharalis* larvae and evaluation of their capacity to degrade sugarcane biomass. *AMB Express*. 2015, 5, 15. [CrossRef]

20. Dillon, R.; Charnley, K. Mutualism between the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* and its gut microbiota. *Res. Microbiol.* 2002, 153, 503–509. [CrossRef]

21. Shao, Y.Q.; Chen, B.S.; Sun, C.; Ishida, K.; Hertweck, C.; Boland, W. Symbiont-derived antimicrobials contribute to the control of the lepidopteran gut microbiota. *Cell. Chem. Biol.* 2017, 24, 66–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Zheng, H.; Powell, J.E.; Steele, M.I.; Dietrich, C.; Moran, N.A. Honeybee gut microbiota promotes host weight gain via bacterial metabolism and hormonal signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2017, 114, 4775–4780. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Gong, Q.; Cao, L.J.; Sun, L.N.; Chen, J.C.; Gong, Y.J.; Pu, D.Q.; Huang, Q.; Hoffmann, A.A.; Wei, S.J. Similar gut bacterial microbiota in two fruit-feeding moth pests collected from different host species and locations. *Insects* 2020, 11, 840. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Wang, G.H.; Berdy, B.M.; Velasquez, O.; Jovanovic, N.; Alkhali, S.; Minbiole, K.P.; Brucker, R.M. Changes in microbiome following multigenerational host resistance to poppyistle-pasture exposure. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2020, 27, 213–224. [CrossRef]

25. Shapira, M. Gut microbiota and host evolution: Scaling up symbiosis. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 2016, 31, 539–549. [CrossRef]

26. Cao, L.; Ning, K. Metagenomics of the insect gut: The frontier of microbial big data. *Acta Microbiol. Sinica*. 2018, 58, 964–984. [CrossRef]

27. Zhang, Z.J.; Mu, X.H.; Cao, Q.N.; Shi, Y.; Hu, X.S.; Zheng, H. Honeybee gut *Lactobacillus* modulates host learning and memory behaviors via regulating tryptophan metabolism. *Nat. Commun.* 2022, 13, 2037. [CrossRef]

28. Bai, L.; Wang, L.L.; Vega-Rodriguez, J.; Wang, G.D.; Wang, S.B. A gut symbiotic bacterium *Serratia marcescens* renders mosquito resistance to *Plasmodium* infection through activation of mosquito immune responses. *Front. Microbiol*. 2019, 10, 1580. [CrossRef]

29. Kaur, R.; Shropshire, J.D.; Cross, K.L.; Leigh, B.; Mansuesto, A.J.; Stewart, V.; Bordenstein, S.R.; Bordenstein, S.R. Living in the endosymbiotic world of Wolbachia: A centennial review. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2021, 29, 879–893. [CrossRef]

30. Oliveira, C.M.; Auad, A.M.; Mendes, S.M.; Frizzas, M.R. Crop losses and the economic impact of insect pests on Brazilian agriculture. *Crop. Prot.* 2014, 56, 50–54. [CrossRef]

31. Kessler, A.; Baldwin, I.T. Plant responses to insect herbivory: The emerging molecular analysis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 2002, 53, 299–328. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Alyokhin, A.; Chen, Y.H. Adaptation to toxic hosts as a factor in the evolution of insecticide resistance. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 2017, 21, 33–38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Hammer, T.J.; Bowers, M.D. Gut microbes may facilitate insect herbivory of chemically defended plants. *Oecologia* 2015, 179, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Consales, F.; Schweizer, F.; Erb, M.; Gouhier-Darimont, C.; Bodenhausen, N.; Bruesow, F.; Sobhy, I.; Reymond, P. Insect oral secretions suppress wound-induced responses in *Arabidopsis*. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2012, 63, 727–737. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Atamian, H.S.; Chaudhary, R.; Cin, V.D.; Bao, E.; Girke, T.; Kaloshian, I. In planta expression or delivery of potato aphid *Macrosiphim euphorbiae* effectors Me10 and Me23 enhances aphid fecundity. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 2013, 26, 67–74. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Chung, S.H.; Rosa, C.; Scully, E.D.; Peiffer, M.; Tooker, J.F.; Hoover, K.; Luthe, D.S.; Felton, G.W. Herbivore exploits orally secreted bacteria to suppress plant defenses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, 15728–15733. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Santos-Garcia, D.; Mestre-Rincon, N.; Zchori-Fein, E.; Morin, S. Inside out: Microbiota dynamics during host-plant adaptation of plant-feeding insects. *PLoS Pathog.* 2020, 16, e1009349. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Vilanova, C.; Baixeras, J.; Latorre, A.; Porcar, M. The generalist inside the specialist: Gut bacterial communities of two insect species feeding on toxic plants are dominated by *Enterococcus* sp. *Front. Microbiol.* 2016, 7, 1005. [CrossRef]
41. Booke, K.C.; Keeover-Ring, K.; Mapes, A.C.; Adams, A.S.; Bohlmann, J.; Raffa, K.F. Bacteria associated with a tree-killing insect reduce concentrations of plant defense compounds. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 2013, 39, 1003–1006. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Adams, A.S.; Aylward, F.O.; Adams, S.M.; Erbilgin, N.; Aukema, B.H.; Currie, C.R.; Suen, G.; Raffa, K.F. Mountain pine beetles colonizing historical and naïve host trees are associated with a bacterial community highly enriched in genes contributing to terpene metabolism. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* 2013, 79, 3468–3475. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Xu, L.T.; Lu, M.; Sun, J.H. Invasive bark beetle-associated microbes degrade a host defensive monoterpene. *Insect Sci.* 2016, 23, 183–190. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Berasategui, A.; Salem, H.; Paetz, C.; Santoro, M.; Gershenson, J.; Kaltenpoth, M.; Schmidt, A. Gut microbiota of the pine weevil degrades cofur diterpenes and increases insect fitness. *Mol. Ecol.* 2017, 26, 4099–4110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Zhang, S.K.; Shu, J.P.; Xue, H.J.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, Y.B.; Liu, Y.N.; Fang, L.X.; Wang, Y.D.; Wang, H.J. The gut microbiota in camellia weevils are influenced by plant secondary metabolites and contribute to saponin degradation. *Msystems* 2020, 5, e00692. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

46. Vieira, C.S.; Figueiredo, M.B.; Moraes, C.S.; Pereira, S.B.; Dyson, P.; Mello, C.B.; Castro, D.P.; Azambuja, P. Azadirachtin interferes with basal immunity and microbial homeostasis in the *Rhodnius prolixus* armysworm resistance to Bt corn associated with reduced Cry1Fa toxin binding and midgut alkaline phosphatase expression. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6, 7618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

47. Nuringtyas, T.R.; Verpoorte, R.; Klinkhamer, P.G.L.; van Oers, M.M.; Leiss, K.A. Toxicity of pyrrolizidine alkaloids to *C. scribonia* Msphere 2020, 5, e00498. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Ceja-Navarro, J.A.; Vega, F.E.; Karaoz, U.; Hao, Z.; Jenkins, S.; Lim, H.C.; Infante, F.; Northen, T.R.; Brodie, E.L. Gut metabolomics on the human breast cancer cell line MCF-7. *Toxicol. Lett.* 2016, 263, 109–116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

49. Ben-Yosef, M.; Pasternak, Z.; Jurkevitch, E.; Yuval, B. Symbiotic bacteria enable olive fly larvae to overcome host defences. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 2015, 2, 150170. [CrossRef]

50. Cheng, C.H.; Wickham, J.D.; Chen, L.; Xu, D.D.; Lu, M.; Sun, J.H. Bacterial microbiota protect an invasive bark beetle from a pine defensive compound. *Microbiome* 2018, 6, 132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

51. Mason, C.J.; Lowe-Power, T.M.; Rubert-Nason, K.F.; Lindroth, R.L.; Raffa, K.F. Interactions between bacteria and aspen defense chemicals at the phyllosphere-herbivore interface. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 2016, 42, 193–201. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

52. Shukla, S.P.; Beran, F. Gut microbiota degrades toxic isothiocyanates in a flea beetle pest. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2017, 17, 40. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Nikoh, N.; Hosokawa, T.; Oshima, K.; Hattori, M.; Fukatsu, T. Reductive evolution of bacterial genome in insect gut environment. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 2011, 3, 702–714. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Al, K.F.; Daisley, B.A.; Chanyi, R.M.; Bjazevic, J.; Razvi, H.; Reid, G.; Burton, J.P. Oxalate-degrading *Bacillus subtilis* mitigates urolithiasis in a *C. scribonia* Msphere 2020, 5, e00498. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Zeng, J.Y.; Wu, D.D.; Shi, Z.B.; Yang, J.; Zhang, G.C.; Zhang, J. Influence of dietary aconitine and nicotine on the gut microbiota of two lepidopteran herbivores. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* 2020, 104, e21676. [CrossRef]

56. Engl, T.; Kaltenpoth, M. Influence of microbial symbionts on insect pheromones. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2018, 35, 386–397. [CrossRef]

57. Pizzolante, G.; Cordero, C.; Tredici, S.M.; Vergara, D.; Pontieri, P.; Giudice, L.D.; Capuzzo, A.; Rubiolo, P.; Kanchiswamy, C.N.; Zebelo, S.A.; et al. Cultivable gut bacteria provide a pathway for adaptation of *Chrysolina herbacea* to *Mentha aquatica* volatiles. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2017, 17, 10. [CrossRef]

58. Ren, L.; Ma, Y.G.; Xie, M.X.; Lu, Y.Y.; Cheng, D.F. Rectal bacteria produce sex pheromones in the male oriental fruit fly. *Curr. Biol.* 2021, 31, 2220–2226. [CrossRef]

59. Xu, L.; Wang, J.H.; Mei, Y.; Li, D.Z. Research progress on the molecular mechanisms of insecticides resistance mediated by detoxification enzymes and transporters. *Chin. J. Pestic. Sci.* 2020, 22, 1–10.

60. Mallinger, R.E.; Werts, P.; Gratton, C. Pesticide use within a pollinator-dependent crop has negative effects on the abundance and species richness of sweat bees, *Lasioglossum spp.*, and on bumble bee colony growth. *J. Insect Conserv.* 2015, 19, 999–1010. [CrossRef]

61. Obregon, D.; Guerrero, O.R.; Stashenko, E.; Poveda, K. Natural habitat partially mitigates negative pesticide effects on tropical pollinator communities. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* 2021, 28, e01668. [CrossRef]

62. Mills, N.J.; Beers, E.H.; Shearer, P.W.; Unruh, T.R.; Amarasekare, K.G. Comparative analysis of plant secondary metabolites on the human breast cancer cell line MCF-7. *Toxicol. Lett.* 2010, 196, 180. [CrossRef]

63. Pestana, D.; Teixeira, D.; Faria, A.; Domingues, V.; Monteiro, R.; Calhau, C. Effects of the environmental pesticide DDT and its metabolites on the human breast cancer cell line MCF-7. *Toxicol. Lett.* 2013, 2220–2226. [CrossRef]

64. Edgerton, M.D.; Fridgen, J.; Anderson, J.R., Jr.; Ahlgrim, J.; Criswell, M.; Dhungana, P.; Gocken, T.; Li, Z.; Mariappan, S.; Pilcher, C.D.; et al. Transgenic insect resistance traits increase corn yield and yield stability. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2012, 30, 493–496. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Wu, Y.D. Detection and mechanisms of resistance evolved in insects to Cry toxins from *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Adv. Insect Physiol.* 2014, 47, 297–342.

66. Jakka, S.R.K.; Gong, L.; Hasler, J.; Banerjee, R.; Sheets, J.J.; Narva, K.; Blanco, C.A.; Jurat-Fuentes, J.L. Field-evolved mode 1 fall armyworm resistance to Bt corn associated with reduced Cry1Fa toxin binding and midgut alkaline phosphatase expression. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* 2015, 82, 02871-15.
67. Guo, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, X.G.; Li, Z.Y.; Liu, S.Z.; Pei, L.; Gao, X. Functional analysis of a point mutation in the ryanodine receptor of *Plutella xylostella* (L.) associated with resistance to chlorantraniliprole. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2014, 70, 1083–1089. [CrossRef]

68. Wang, X.L.; Cao, X.W.; Jiang, D.; Yang, Y.H.; Wu, Y.D. CRISPR/Cas9 mediated ryanodine receptor CYP6BG1 knockin confers unequal resistance to diamides in *Plutella xylostella*. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 2020, 125, 105433. [CrossRef]

69. Li, X.X.; Li, R.; Zhu, B.; Gao, X.W.; Liang, P. Overexpression of cytochrome P450 CYP6BG1 may contribute to chlorantraniliprole resistance in *Plutella xylostella* (L.). *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2018, 74, 1386–1393. [CrossRef]

70. Hou, J.Y.; Yu, J.Z.; Qin, Z.H.; Liu, X.J.; Zhao, X.P.; Hu, X.Q.; Yu, R.X.; Wang, Q.; Yang, J.Y.; Shi, Y.; et al. Guadipyr, a new insecticide, induces microbiota dysbiosis and immune disorders in the midgut of silkworms (*Bombyx mori*). *Environ. Pollut.* 2021, 286, 117531. [CrossRef]

71. Wang, Y.T.; Shen, R.X.; Xing, D.; Zhao, C.P.; Gao, H.T.; Zhang, N.; Zhang, H.D.; Chen, Y.; Zhao, T.Y.; et al. Metagenome sequencing reveals the midgut microbiota makeup of *Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus* and its possible relationship with insecticide resistance. *Front. Microbiol.* 2021, 12, 625593. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

72. Wang, H.Y.; Zhang, C.X.; Cheng, P.; Wang, Y.; Liu, H.M.; Wang, H.F.; Wang, H.W.; Gong, M.Q. Differences in the intestinal microbiota between insecticide-resistant and -sensitive *Aedes albopictus* based on full-length 16S rRNA sequencing. *MicrobiologyOpen* 2021, 10, 1177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Arevalo-Cortés, A.; Mejía-Jaramillo, A.M.; Granada, Y.; Coatsworth, H.; Lowenberger, C.; Triana-Chavez, O. The midgut microbiota of Colombian *Aedes aegypti* populations with different levels of resistance to the insecticide lambda-cyhalothrin. *Insects* 2020, 11, 584. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

74. Gressel, J. Microbiome facilitated pest resistance: Potential problems and uses. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2018, 74, S11–S51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. de Almeida, L.G.; de Moraes, L.A.B.; Trigo, J.R.; Omoto, C.; Consoli, F.L. The gut microbiota of insecticide-resistant insects houses *Anopheles gambiae* showing intense permethrin resistance harbour distinct microbiota. *Insect Sci.* 2019, 33, 286–297. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Kikuchi, Y.; Hayatsu, M.; Hosokawa, T.; Nagayama, A.; Tago, K.; Fukatsu, T. Symbiont-mediated insecticide resistance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 8618–8622. [CrossRef]

77. Ishigami, K.; Jang, S.; Itoh, H.; Kikuchi, Y. Insecticide resistance governed by gut symbiosis in a rice pest, *Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus*. *Front. Microbiol.* 2021, 12, 775372. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

78. Ozdal, M.; Ozdal, O.G.; Algur, O.F. Isolation and characterization of alpha-endosulfan degrading bacteria from the microflora of cockroaches. *Pol. J. Microbiol.* 2016, 65, 63–68. [CrossRef]

79. Omoke, D.; Kipsum, M.; Otieno, S.; Esalimba, E.; Sheth, M.; Lenhart, A.; Njeru, E.M.; Ochomo, E.; Dada, N. Western Kenyan *Anopheles gambiae* showing intense permethrin resistance harbour distinct microbiota. *Malar. J.* 2021, 20, 77. [CrossRef]

80. Brown, J.B.; Langley, S.A.; Snijders, A.M.; Wan, K.H.; Morris, S.N.S.; Booth, B.W.; Fisher, W.W.; Hammonds, A.S.; Park, S.; Weizsman, R.; et al. An integrated host-microbiome response to atrazine exposure mediates toxicity in *Drosophila*. *Commun. Biol.* 2021, 4, 1324. [CrossRef]

81. Ramya, S.L.; Venkatesan, T.; Murthy, K.S.; Jalaru, S.K.; Verghese, A. Detection of carboxylesterase and esterase activity in culturable bacteria in response to imidacloprid stress. *Insect Sci.* 2021, 9, 00025. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

82. Xing, Y.F.; Liu, Z.H.; Zhang, R.M.; Zhou, D.; Sun, Y.; Li, M.; Shen, B. Effect of the midgut symbiotic *Aeromonas hydrophila* on the deltamethrin resistance of *Culex pipiens pallens*. *J. Pathog. Biol.* 2021, 16, 661–666. [CrossRef]

83. Xia, X.F.; Sun, B.T.; Gurr, G.M.; Vasseur, L.; Xue, M.Q.; You, M.S. Gut microbiota mediate insecticide resistance in the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.). *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 00025. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

84. Cai, T.W.; Zhang, Y.H.; Liu, Y.; Deng, X.Q.; He, H.; Li, J.H.; Wang, H. *Wolbachia* enhances expression of NICYP*4CE1* in *Nilaparvata lugens* in response to imidacloprid stress. *Insect Sci.* 2021, 28, 355–362. [CrossRef]

85. Wu, Y.Q.; Zheng, Y.F.; Chen, Y.N.; Wang, S.; Chen, Y.P.; Hu, F.L.; Zheng, H.Q. Honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) gut microbiota promotes host endogenous detoxification capability via regulation of P450 gene expression in the digestive tract. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 2020, 13, 1201–1212. [CrossRef]

86. Yu, L.T.; Yang, H.Y.; Cheng, F.P.; Wu, Z.H.; Huang, Q.; He, X.J.; Yan, W.Y.; Zhang, L.Z.; Wu, X.B. Honey bee *Apis mellifera* larvae gut microbial and immune, detoxication responses towards flumethrin stress. *Environ. Pollut.* 2021, 290, 118107. [CrossRef]

87. Zeng, S.B.; Zhang, N.; Xie, S.; Zhang, X.C.; He, J.T.; Muhammad, A.; Sun, C.; Lu, X.M.; Shao, Y.Q. Gut bacteria of the silkworm *Bombyx mori* facilitate host resistance against the toxic effects of organophosphate insecticides. *Environ. Int.* 2020, 143, 105886. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

88. Xing, Y.F.; Liu, Z.H.; Zhang, R.M.; Zhou, D.; Sun, Y.; Ma, L.; Shen, B. Effect of the midgut symbiotic *Aeromonas hydrophila* on the deltamethrin resistance of *Culex pipiens pallens*. *J. Pathog. Biol.* 2021, 16, 661–666. [CrossRef]

89. Xia, X.F.; Sun, B.T.; Gurr, G.M.; Vasseur, L.; Xue, M.Q.; You, M.S. Gut microbiota mediate insecticide resistance in the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.). *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 00025. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

90. Cai, T.W.; Zhang, Y.H.; Liu, Y.; Deng, X.Q.; He, H.; Li, J.H.; Wang, H. *Wolbachia* enhances expression of NICYP*4CE1* in *Nilaparvata lugens* in response to imidacloprid stress. *Insect Sci.* 2021, 28, 355–362. [CrossRef]

91. Yu, Y.Q.; Zheng, Y.F.; Chen, Y.N.; Wang, S.; Chen, Y.P.; Hu, F.L.; Zheng, H.Q. Honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) gut microbiota promotes host endogenous detoxification capability via regulation of P450 gene expression in the digestive tract. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 2020, 13, 1201–1212. [CrossRef]

92. Yu, L.T.; Yang, H.Y.; Cheng, F.P.; Wu, Z.H.; Huang, Q.; He, X.J.; Yan, W.Y.; Zhang, L.Z.; Wu, X.B. Honey bee *Apis mellifera* larvae gut microbial and immune, detoxication responses towards flumethrin stress. *Environ. Pollut.* 2021, 290, 118107. [CrossRef]

93. Zeng, S.B.; Zhang, N.; Xie, S.; Zhang, X.C.; He, J.T.; Muhammad, A.; Sun, C.; Lu, X.M.; Shao, Y.Q. Gut bacteria of the silkworm *Bombyx mori* facilitate host resistance against the toxic effects of organophosphate insecticides. *Environ. Int.* 2020, 143, 105886. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
93. Zhu, Y.; Hassan, Y.I.; Watts, C.; Zhou, T. Innovative technologies for the mitigation of mycotoxins in animal feed and ingredients—A review of recent patents. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *216*, 19–29. [CrossRef]

94. He, J.W.; Hassan, Y.I.; Perilla, N.; Li, X.Z.; Boland, G.J.; Zhou, T. Bacterial epimerization as a route for deoxynivalenol detoxification: The influence of growth and environmental conditions. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 572. [CrossRef]

95. Liu, Y.; Li, R.R.; He, K.L.; Bai, S.X.; Zhang, T.T.; Cong, B.; Wang, Z.Y. Effects of *Conogethes punctiferalis* (Lepidoptera: Grambidiae) infestation on the occurrence of *Fusarium* ear rot and the yield loss of spring corn. *Acta Entomol. Sinica.* **2017**, *60*, 576–581.

96. Shen, S.K.; Dowd, P.F. Detoxification spectrum of the cigarette beetle symbiont *Symbiotaphrina kochii* in culture. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **1991**, *60*, 51–59. [CrossRef]

97. Bosch, G.; Fels-Klerx, H.J.; Rijk, T.C.; Oonincx, D.G. Aflatoxin B$_1$ tolerance and accumulation in black soldier fly larvae (*Hermetia illucens*) and yellow mealworms (*Tenebrio molitor*). *Toxins* **2017**, *9*, 185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

98. Huang, Y.Y.; Cong, Y.L. Global health ethical reflection on antibiotics abuse. *Chin. Med. Ethics* **2017**, *30*, 412–416.

99. Liu, C.C.; Yao, H.Y.; Chapman, S.J.; Su, J.Q.; Wang, C.W. Changes in gut bacterial communities and the incidence of antibiotic resistance genes during degradation of antibiotics by black soldier fly larvae. *Environ. Int.* **2020**, *142*, 105834. [CrossRef]

100. Liu, C.C.; Yao, H.Y.; Wang, C.W. Black soldier fly larvae can effectively degrade oxytetracycline bacterial residue by means of the gut bacterial community. *Front. Microbiol.* **2021**, *12*, 663972. [CrossRef]

101. Jiang, C.L.; Li, H.Y.; Wang, X.; Teng, C.Y.; Feng, S.Y.; Lou, L.P.; Zhang, Z.J. Effects of fly maggot gut microbiota on the degradation of residual antibiotics in pig manure and its resistance genes. *Acta Microbiol. Sin.* **2018**, *58*, 1103–1115.

102. Guzman, J.; Vilcinskas, A. Bacteria associated with cockroaches: Health risk or biotechnological opportunity? *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *104*, 10369–10387. [CrossRef] [PubMed]