Halo phenomenon in finite many-fermion systems. Atom-positron complexes and large-scale study of atomic nuclei
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The analysis method proposed in Ref. [1] is applied to characterize halo properties in finite many-fermion systems. First, the versatility of the method is highlighted by applying it to light and medium-mass nuclei as well as to atom-positron and ion-positronium complexes. Second, the dependence of nuclear halo properties on the characteristics of the energy density functional used in self-consistent Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov calculations is studied. We focus in particular on the influence of (i) the scheme used to regularize/renormalize the ultra-violet divergence of the local pairing functional, (ii) the angular-momentum cutoff in the single-particle basis, as well as (iii) the isoscalar effective mass, (iv) saturation density and (v) tensor terms characterizing the particle-hole part of the energy functional. It is found that (a) the low-density behavior of the pairing functional and the regularization/renormalization scheme must be chosen coherently and with care to provide meaningful predictions, (b) the impact of pairing correlations on halo properties is significant and is the result of two competing effects, (c) the detailed characteristics of the pairing functional has however only little importance, (d) halo properties depend significantly on any ingredient of the energy density functional that influences the location of single-particle levels; i.e. the effective mass, the tensor terms and the saturation density of nuclear matter. The latter dependencies give insights to how experimental data on medium-mass drip-line nuclei can be used in the distant future to constrain some characteristics of the nuclear energy density functional. Last but not least, large scale predictions of halos among all spherical even-even nuclei are performed using specific sets of particle-hole and particle-particle energy functionals. It is shown that halos in the ground state of medium-mass nuclei will only be found at the very limit of neutron stability and for a limited number of elements.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The formation of halos is a quantum phenomenon caused by the possibility for non-classical systems to expand in the classically forbidden region. Indeed, weakly-bound systems can extend well beyond the classically-allowed region, as has been theoretically predicted or experimentally observed for molecules ($^3$He-$^3$He-$^3$K [7]), $^4$He$_2$, $^6$He$_2$, $^3$He$^4$He$_2$ [11...], atom-positron complexes ($e^+\text{Be}$, $Ps\text{Li}^+$, $Ps\text{He}^+...$) [12] and hypernuclei ($\Lambda\text{H}$) [13]. In nuclear physics, where the study of halos was initiated, efforts are still devoted to reach a better understanding of the structure and reaction properties of such exotic systems. For instance, the existence of halos in borromean systems or excited states of mirror nuclei still raises questions [14]. In light nuclei, it was found that a cluster picture is at play, and known halo systems are accurately described by two- or three-body models, where one or two nucleons evolve around a tightly-bound core. This leads to a classification in terms of one-nucleon halos ($^{11}$Be [20, 21, 22], $^{19}$C [23, 24], $^{17}$Ne [25, 26]...) and two-nucleon halos ($^6$He [17], $^{11}$Li [27, 28]...). Since the discovery of the anomalous cross-section of $^{11}$Li [27, 28], one of the compelling questions relates to the existence of a mass limit beyond which the formation of halos is inhibited. On the proton-rich side, it is believed that the Coulomb interaction prevents the formation of halos beyond $Z \approx 10$ [29]. However, this could be put into question as non-trivial effects may come into play [30]. From a theoretical standpoint, halos in medium to heavy mass nuclei can be studied through relativistic or non-relativistic Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov calculations [31, 32] performed in the context of energy density functional (EDF) methods. On the experimental side, the next generation of radioactive ion beam facilities (FAIR at GSI, RIBF at RIKEN, REX-ISOLDE at CERN, SPIRAL2 at GANIL...) might be able to assess the position of the neutron drip-line up to about $Z \approx 26$ [33]. Although this would be an astonishing
accomplishment, it will not allow the study of most of potential medium-mass halos. Still, the (distant) future confrontation of theoretical results with experimental data will provide crucial information that can be used to constrain theoretical models.

One difficulty resides in the absence of tools to characterize halo properties of finite many-fermion systems in a quantitative way. Light nuclei constitute an exception considering that the quantification of halo properties in terms of the dominance of a cluster configuration and of the probability of the weakly-bound clusters to extend beyond the classical turning point is well acknowledged [29, 34, 35, 36]. Existing definitions and tools applicable to systems constituted of tens of fermions are too qualitative, the associated observables are incomplete and have led to misinterpretations in nuclei [1].

To improve on such a situation, a new quantitative and model-independent analysis method was proposed in Ref. [1]. The method uses universal properties of the internal one-body density to extract, in a model-independent fashion, the part of the density that can be identified as a halo. Two criteria have been introduced to characterize halo systems in terms of (i) the average number of fermions participating in the halo and (ii) the influence of the latter on the system extension. The results deduced from EDF calculations of medium-mass nuclei have underlined the likely formation of a collective halo at the neutron drip-line of chromium isotopes. The neutron density of those nuclei displays a spatially decorrelated region built out of an admixture of $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 2$ overlap functions (orbitals). The significant contribution of orbitals with orbital angular-momentum $\ell = 2$ is at variance with the standard picture in light nuclei [37, 38].

Given such an analysis method, important questions can now be addressed. The versatility of the method must be tested which we do in the first part of our study by applying it to many-fermion systems of different scales computed with various many-body techniques : atom-positron/ion-positronium complexes on the one hand and light/heavy nuclei on the other. In the second part of the article, we focus on the influence of (i) the scheme used to regularize/renormalize the ultra-violet divergence of the local pairing functional, (ii) the angular-momentum cut-off in the single-particle basis, as well as (iii) the isoscalar effective mass, (iv) saturation density of nuclear matter and (v) tensor terms [39] characterizing the particle-hole part of the energy functional. More generally, the different ways pairing correlations impact halo nuclei are studied, e.g. the anti-halo effect [40, 41] or the potential decorrelation of $\ell = 0$ orbitals from the pairing field [42, 43, 44].

The present paper is organized as follows. The analysis method proposed in Ref. [1] is briefly recalled in Sec. II whereas its versatility is highlighted in Sec. III. In Sec. III, technical aspects of Skyrme-EDF calculations are provided and the dependence of halo predictions on some their ingredients is pointed out. Section IV is devoted to discussing the effect of pairing correlations on the formation of halos. Then, the sensibility of halo properties to the characteristics of the particle-hole part of the functional is studied. A large scale study of potential halos among all spherical medium-mass nuclei is proposed in Sec. V. In conclusions, we discuss how halo systems could help constraining the nuclear EDF and to which extent the present results can be related to data generated in the distant future by radioactive ion beam facilities.

II. CHARACTERIZATION OF HALO SYSTEMS

A. Analysis method

Anew quantitative analysis-method of halos in finite many-fermion systems was proposed in Ref. [1]. The starting point is a model-independent decomposition of the internal one-body density $\rho_{\text{tot}}(r)$ of spherical many-fermion systems in terms of spectroscopic amplitudes $\bar{\bar{\varphi}}(\vec{r})$ and their radial components $\bar{\bar{\varphi}}_{n,\ell,\nu,j}(r)$ [15, 16, 17],

$$\rho_{\text{tot}}(r) = \sum_{\nu} |\bar{\varphi}_\nu(r)|^2 = \sum_{n,\ell,\nu,j} \frac{2j\nu + 1}{4\pi} |\bar{\varphi}_{n,\ell,\nu,j}(r)|^2 .$$

(1)

The appearance of a halo in the $N$-body system, i.e. the part of the density that is spatially decorrelated from an a priori unknown core, was shown in Ref. [1] to be related to the existence of three typical energy scales in the excitation spectrum of the $(N-1)$-body system. From a practical viewpoint, and using the internal one-body density as the only input, the method allows the extraction of the radius $r_0$ beyond which the halo, if it exists, is located.

With the radius $r_0$ at hand, two quantitative halo factors are introduced. First, the average number of fermions participating in the halo can be extracted through

$$N_{\text{halo}} \equiv 4\pi \int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \rho(r) r^2 dr .$$

(2)

Second, the contribution of the halo region to the root mean square radius of the system is also extracted

$$\delta R_{\text{halo}} \equiv R_{R.m.s.,\text{tot}} - R_{R.m.s.,\text{inner}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \rho(r) r^4 dr} - \sqrt{\int_{0}^{r_0} \rho(r) r^4 dr} .$$

(3)

B. Versatility of the method

To illustrate the analysis method and its versatility, we now apply it to the results of many-body calculations performed for three different systems: light nuclei studied through coupled-channels calculations [16, 18], medium-mass nuclei described through single-reference energy
Table I: Values of \( N_{\text{halo}} \) and \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) for \(^{13}\text{C}\) (stable) and \(^{11}\text{Be}\) (one-neutron halo). The criteria are applied to results obtained through coupled-channels calculations \cite{16,18}.

|       | Core | Total |
|-------|------|-------|
|       | \( N_{\text{halo}} [\text{fm}] \) | \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} [\text{fm}] \) | \( R_{\text{r.m.s.}} [\text{fm}] \) |
| \(^{13}\text{C}\) | 0.000 | 0.66 \times 10^{-3} | 0.74 \times 10^{-3} | 2.487 |
| \(^{11}\text{Be}\) | 0.000 | 0.270 | 0.394 | 2.908 |

1. Light nuclei

To check the consistency of the method in a situation where core and halo densities are explicitly computed, the values of \( N_{\text{halo}} \) and \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) have been extracted from coupled-channels calculations of light nuclei. This will serve a basis for cases where the total density only is accessible.

Calculations are performed for a core+neutron system for which the internal dynamics of the core is taken into account \cite{16,18} and the total Hamiltonian reads as

\[
H_{\text{tot}} = H_{\text{core}} + T_{\text{rel}} + V_{\text{N-core}} .
\]

To provide adequate nuclear quadrupole couplings, a deformed Woods-Saxon potential in the core rest frame is considered

\[
V_{\text{WS}}(r, \theta) = \left[ 1 + e^{r/R_{\text{WS}}(\theta)} \right]^{-1},
\]

\[
R(\theta) = R_{\text{WS}} \left( 1 + \beta Y_{2}^{0}(\theta) \right) ,
\]

where \( \beta \) is the core quadrupole deformation. The total wave-function is expanded in a basis of eigenstates of the total angular momentum with eigenstates associated to the energies \( \epsilon_{i} \), from the neutron relative motion. The resulting coupled-equations for the loosely bound nucleon wave function \( \Psi_{i} \) read

\[
(T_{\text{rel}} + \epsilon_{i} - E) \Psi_{i} + \sum_{j} V_{ij} \Psi_{j} = 0 ,
\]

and are solved in a Sturmian basis.

Calculations have been performed for two nuclei: the well-established one-neutron halo \(^{11}\text{Be}\), whose total density and core+halo decomposition are plotted in Fig. 1 and the stable nucleus \(^{13}\text{C}\) as a control case. The results are summarized in Tab. 1 where the two criteria are evaluated for core and total densities. For \(^{11}\text{Be}\), \( r_{0} \) is found to be compatible with the condition to have one order of magnitude difference between core and halo densities at that radius \cite{1}. The tail-to-core ratio is slightly different from ten, partly because the core is represented by a gaussian profile with the wrong asymptotic. In any case, the ideal value of \( r_{0} \) still lies within the allowed theoretical error. This validates the method on a realistic system. The halo parameter \( N_{\text{halo}} \) shows that around 0.3 neutron reside in the decorrelated region in average. The reason why one only finds a fraction of a neutron within the halo region is because the wave function of the "halo nucleon" lies partly inside the volume of the core. The denomination of one-neutron halo is somewhat misleading from that point of view. The influence \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) of the halo on the nuclear extension is large, of about 0.4 fm out of a total root-mean-square (r.m.s.) radius of 2.90 fm. Note that such a value is very close to the value extracted experimentally when going from \(^{11}\text{Be}\) to \(^{10}\text{Be}\) \cite{53}. Last but not least, \( N_{\text{halo}} \) and \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) are found to be negligible for the two control cases considered, i.e. \(^{13}\text{C}\) and the core density of \(^{11}\text{Be}\). This illustrates the ability of the method to discriminate between halo and non-halo systems.

2. Medium-mass nuclei

In Ref. \cite{1}, the formation of neutron halos in Cr and Sn isotopes has been investigated through self-consistent EDF calculations performed using the SLy4 Skyrme functional complemented with a density-dependent mixed-type pairing functional (see Sec. III B 1 and Sec. III B 2). The value of \( r_{0} \) is determined using as an input the one-body density obtained from a symmetry-breaking HFB state, which is assumed to map out the nuclear internal density (see discussion in Ref. \cite{1}).

The (perturbative) excitation spectra of the last three bound odd Cr isotopes (\( Z = 24 \)) shown in Ref. \cite{1} display optimal energy scales as far as the formation of a halo is concerned. The values of \( N_{\text{halo}} \) and \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) found for the last four bound even Cr isotopes, \(^{80}\text{Cr}\) being the predicted neutron drip-line nucleus for the parametrization

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + e^{r/R_{\text{WS}}(\theta)} \right]^{-1} .
\]

\[
V_{\text{WS}}(r, \theta) = \left[ 1 + e^{r/R_{\text{WS}}(\theta)} \right]^{-1},
\]

\[
R(\theta) = R_{\text{WS}} \left( 1 + \beta Y_{2}^{0}(\theta) \right) ,
\]

Table I: Values of \( N_{\text{halo}} \) and \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) for \(^{13}\text{C}\) (stable) and \(^{11}\text{Be}\) (one-neutron halo). The criteria are applied to results obtained through coupled-channels calculations \cite{16,18}.

Figure 1: (Color Online) Core, halo and total neutron density of \(^{11}\text{Be}\) obtained from coupled-channels calculations \cite{16,18}. The value of \( r_{0} \) and the tolerance margin are indicted by vertical lines.
used, are listed in Tab.

Beyond the \( N = 50 \) neutron shell closure, the steep increase of both \( \bar{N}_{\text{halo}} \) and \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) is the signature of a halo formation. A decorrelated region containing up to ~ 0.5 neutron appears at the limit of stability. Such a number of neutrons participating in the halo is small relatively to the size of the system but comparable in absolute value to the number found in light halo nuclei, as recalled in Sec. [II B]

The contribution of the halo region to the nuclear extension reaches about 0.13 fm in \(^{80}\text{Cr} \). On the one hand, such a value is significant in comparison with the total neutron r.m.s. radius of those systems. On the other hand, it corresponds to about one-third of the value found for \(^{11}\text{Be} \). It is likely that the formation of halos is hindered as the mass increases because of the increased collectivity. We will come back to that in section [V]. Of course, one should not disregard the fact that single-particle EDF calculations miss certain long-range correlations which, once they are included, might slightly change the picture.

One interesting feature discussed in Ref. [3] is that the kink of the r.m.s. radius at \( N > 50 \), which had been interpreted as a halo signature in previous works, is partly due to a plain shell effect associated with the sudden drop of the two-neutron separation energy. As a matter of fact, the quantity \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) allows the disentanglement of shell and halo effects in the increase of the neutron r.m.s. radius across \( N = 50 \). For isotopes further away from their drip-line, e.g. across \( N = 82 \) in tin isotopes, the use of \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) demonstrates that the kink of the neutron r.m.s. radius is entirely produced by the shell effect, whereas its further increase beyond \( N = 82 \) is related to the growth of the neutron skin, not to the appearance of a halo [3]. Generally speaking the quantity \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) does not incorporate the contribution from the neutron skin and only characterizes the halo part of the density profile, e.g. spatially decorrelated neutrons.

| \( ^{74}\text{Cr} \) | \( \bar{N}_{\text{halo}} \) | \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) [fm] |
|---|---|---|
| \( ^{76}\text{Cr} \) | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| \( ^{78}\text{Cr} \) | 0.057 | 0.018 |
| \( ^{80}\text{Cr} \) | 0.194 | 0.055 |
| \( ^{82}\text{Cr} \) | 0.472 | 0.134 |

Table II: Values of \( \bar{N}_{\text{halo}} \) and \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) for the last bound even-even Cr isotopes, as predicted by the \( \{ \text{Sly4+REG-M} \} \) functional. Results slightly differ from the ones shown in Paper I because of the different codes used to perform the EDF calculations. The difference remains very small, of the order of the third significative digit.

A decomposition of the halo region in terms of canonical states shows that it contains equal contributions from neutron 3s1/2 and 2d5/2 orbitals. The possibility for \( \ell \geq 2 \) states to participate in the halo was not rejected from the outset. Eventually, the loosely bound 2d5/2 shell strongly contributes here because of its rather large occupation and intrinsic degeneracy. The latter observations point towards the formation of a collective halo in drip-line Cr isotopes, formed by an admixture of several overlap functions, and call for a softening of the restrictions spelled out in light nuclei [6].

The energy scales necessary to the halo formation are not seen in the spectra of drip-line tin isotopes and the weak kink observed in the neutron r.m.s. radius at \( N = 82 \) is nothing but a shell effect, as mentioned above. In contrast with previous studies based on the Helm model [54, 55, 56, 57, 58], no collective halo is identified in tin isotopes, as the decorrelated region is found to have almost no influence on the matter extension [1].

3. Atom-positron/ion-positronium complexes

In atomic physics, valence electrons of neutral atoms can be located at large distances from the core. Because of the very long range of the Coulomb interaction, the penetration of the wave-function into the classically forbidden part of the potential as the separation energy of the system becomes small cannot be interpreted as a halo formation [6]. However, a positron can be attached to a neutral atom by the polarization potential, which can be parameterized as

\[
V_{\text{pol},1}(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\alpha_D g^2(r)}{2r^4} \begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \alpha_D \frac{r}{2r^4} , \\
\end{array} \quad (8)
\]

\[
g^2(r) = 1 - e^{-\frac{r}{\rho}} , \quad (9)
\]

where \( \alpha_D \) is the core polarization constant and \( \beta \) a cut-off distance. In this case, the \( r^{-4} \) decay of the potential at large distances does not ensure that particles are able to tunnel through the potential barrier. It was found that several atom-positron complexes can exist [51, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65], and have been identified as having halo characteristics [62]. To quantify such an observation, values of \( \bar{N}_{\text{halo}} \) and \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) are evaluated for such systems.

The Hamiltonian of the atom-positron system with \( N_{\text{val}} \) valence electrons reads with normalized units \( m_e = e = 1 \) [51, 60]

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{val}}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 + V_{\text{dir}}(\vec{r_i}) + V_{\text{ex}}(\vec{r_i}) + V_{\text{pol},1}(\vec{r_i}) \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_{\text{val}}} \left( \frac{1}{r_{ij}} - V_{\text{pol},2}(\vec{r_i}, \vec{r_j}) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_0^2 - V_{\text{dir}}(\vec{r_0}) + V_{\text{pol},1}(\vec{r_0}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{val}}} \left( \frac{1}{|\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_0}| - V_{\text{pol},2}(\vec{r_i}, \vec{r_0}) \right) . \quad (10)
\]
where \( \vec{r}_0 \) is the positron position vector, \( \vec{r}_{ij} = \vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j \) is the relative position of two valence electrons, whereas the direct \( V_{\text{dir}} \) and exchange \( V_{\text{ex}} \) potentials between valence electrons and the core are computed exactly in the Hartree-Fock approximation. The two-body polarization potential is defined as

\[
V_{\text{pol,2}}(\vec{r}_i, \vec{r}_j) = \frac{\alpha_d}{r_i r_j^3} (\vec{r}_i \cdot \vec{r}_j) g(r_i) g(r_j).
\]  

Figure 2: (Color Online) Valence electron, positron, core and total density for the \( e^+ + \text{Be} \) complex evaluated with the fixed-core stochastic variational method. All length units are normalized to the Bohr radius \( a_0 \). The value of \( r_0 \) and the tolerance margin are indicated by vertical lines.

Figure 3: (Color Online) Same as in Fig. 2 for the \( e^+ + \text{Li} \) system.

When the system \( e^+ + A \) is bound, its asymptotic behavior can correspond to (i) a neutral core \( A \) plus a positron \( e^+ \), or (ii) a charged core \( A^+ \) and a neutral positronium complex \( \text{Ps} \), depending on the relative binding energies of those configurations. Calculations are performed with the fixed-core stochastic variational Method (FCSVM) \[48, 49, 50, 51, 52\], in a basis of explicitly correlated gaussians for the individual wave-functions. The basis is taken large enough to correctly reproduce the asymptotic behavior of the \( e^++A \) or \( \text{Ps}+A^+ \) systems. The results of such calculations for \( e^++\text{Be} \), corresponding to the \( e^+ \text{Be} \) complex, are presented in Fig. 2 where the separation at large distances between a weakly bound positron and a core composed of the electrons is visible. As a result, a positron extended tail appears. In Fig. 2 are also displayed the results for the \( e^++\text{Li} \) system, which corresponds to a \( \text{PsLi}^+ \) complex. Indeed, one observes that the density tail is composed of almost identical \( e^+ \) and \( e^- \) components.

The results of the analysis, performed for several atom-positron complexes, are presented in Tab. III. The separation energy \( \epsilon \) in the appropriate channel (\( e^+ + A \) or \( \text{Ps} + A^+ \)) is small compared to the ground state energy \( E_{\text{gs}} \) of the complex. The situation regarding the energy scales at play is very favorable as far as the formation of halos is concerned. It is also possible to evaluate the composition of the halo region in terms of the proportion of electrons \( P_{e^-} \) and of positrons \( P_{e^+} \).

The values of \( N_{\text{halo}} \) and \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) demonstrate the existence of halos in \( e^++\text{Be} \), \( e^+ + \text{Mg} \) and \( e^+ + \text{Cu} \) which strongly affect the system extensions. For example, the spatially decorrelated part of the density accounts for about half of the total r.m.s. radius in \( e^+ + \text{Be} \), although it contains only \( \sim 0.7 \) particle in average. In those cases, a positron halo is predicted as the halo region is almost exclusively built from the positron wave-function \( (P_{e^+} \gg P_{e^-}) \). For \( e^+ + \text{Li} \) and \( e^+ + \text{He} \), extremely large values for \( N_{\text{halo}} \) and \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \) are extracted, demonstrating that one is dealing in these cases with gigantic ion-positronium halos \( (P_{e^+} \approx P_{e^-}) \).

Considering the values of \( N_{\text{halo}} \) and \( \delta R_{\text{halo}} \), one realizes that atom-positron and ion-positronium complexes display more extreme halo structures than nuclei. This is of course due to the nature of the interactions at play in such systems.

C. Universality of the phenomenon

As demonstrated, the method developed in Ref. [1] can be applied successfully to finite many-fermion systems of very different scales. This relates to the fact that the method relies on a model-independent analysis of the internal one-body density \( \rho_{\text{in}}(\vec{r}) \). In all cases, a fraction of the constituents may extend far out from the core and influence strongly the size of the system.

It happens that halo systems display scaling properties which do not depend on their dimension and constituency. This can be characterized by the extension of the halo wave-function \( \langle \rho_{\text{in}}^2 \rangle \) as a function of the separation energy \( E \), and those quantities can be made dimensionless using as a scale the classical turning point \( R_0 \) for the interaction potential of interest and the reduced mass of the systems \( \mu \).\[3, 13, 34, 35\]. The generic
to be redefined. For medium-mass systems the halo r.m.s. scaling laws. However, the dimensionless quantities have
bidden region [6].

On the contrary, the extension of neutron-rich tin isotopes is not significant enough in regard with their separation energy to be characterized as halo systems. This is consistent with the findings of Paper I.

It would be of interest to place atom-positron complexes in Fig. 3. However, the many-body method used to compute their properties does not allow an easy extraction of the corresponding classical turning point. As a result, the corresponding results do not appear in Fig. 3.

Table III: Results of the halo analysis for various atom-positron systems evaluated with the fixed-core stochastic variational method. The columns provide: neutral atom symbol, asymptotic form, total number of electrons $N_{e-}$, halo factors $N_{halo}$ and $\delta R_{halo}$, total matter r.m.s. radius $R_{e.m.s.}$, relative proportions $P_{+}$ and $P_{-}$ of electrons and positrons in the halo region, ground state energy $E_{gs}$ of the $e^++A$ complex, and binding energy $\epsilon$ with respect to the corresponding dissociation threshold. All length units are normalized to the Bohr radius $a_0$, and energy values are in atomic units (1 at. unit = 27.21162 eV).

| Atom     | Asympt. | $N_{e-}$ | $N_{halo}$ | $R_{e.m.s.}$ [a$_0$] | $\delta R_{halo}$ [a$_0$] | $P_{+}$ [%] | $P_{-}$ [%] | $E_{gs}$ [at. units] | $\epsilon$ [at. units] | Ref. |
|----------|---------|----------|------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|-----|
| Be       | e$^+$+Be| 4        | 0.624      | 5.661            | 3.194           | 98.1        | 01.9       | −1.0151          | 0.0032        | [12]|
| Mg       | e$^+$+Mg| 12       | 0.699      | 2.298            | 0.826           | 80.3        | 19.7       | −0.8477          | 0.0156        | [52]|
| Cu       | e$^+$+Cu| 29       | 0.754      | 1.777            | 0.975           | 88.6        | 11.4       | −0.2891          | 0.0051        | [64]|
| He       | Ps+He$^+$| 2        | 1.982      | 15.472          | 14.568          | 50.3        | 49.7       | −2.2506          | 0.0006        | [66]|
| Li       | Ps+Li$^+$| 3        | 1.972      | 7.781            | 7.088           | 50.8        | 49.2       | −7.5324          | 0.0024        | [67]|

Figure 4: (Color Online) Universality of halos features. The dimensionless extension of the halo is plotted against the dimensionless separation energy for EDF calculations of medium-mass nuclei (filled symbols) and experimental results for few-body systems (open symbols). Generic scaling relationships obtained from a finite spherical well are given in solid lines, while the gray-shaded area corresponds to the halo area, as defined by the commonly-used criterion for few-body halo nuclei $<r^2_h>/R^2 > 2$.

III. TECHNICAL ASPECTS

The objectives of the remaining part of the present study are (i) to predict which spherical medium-mass nuclei might display halo features, (ii) to check the dependence of the results on several ingredients of the numerical implementation, (iii) to probe the sensitivity of the predictions to the characteristics of the many-body treatment and (iv) to study the specific impact of pairing correlations on halo nuclei.
In the present section, and because halos are extreme systems whose asymptotic must be properly accounted for, we study the dependence of our predictions on certain technical features of the many-body calculation. To do so, ingredients of single-reference EDF calculations are briefly recalled at first.

A. HFB equations

Spherical symmetry is assumed throughout the rest of the paper and spin/isospin indices are sometimes omitted for simplicity. Calculations are performed using a code that takes advantage of the so called “two-basis method” to solve the HFB equations [35]. Thanks to the spherical symmetry, the HFB equation are solved for each \((i,j)\) block separately in the basis \(\{\phi_{nlj}\}\) that diagonalizes the single-particle field \(h\)

\[
\sum_k \begin{pmatrix} (\tilde{h}_{ik}^j - \lambda) \delta_{ik} & \tilde{h}_{ik}^j \\ \tilde{h}_{ik}^j & (\tilde{h}_{ik}^j - \lambda) \delta_{ik} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{kn}^j \\ V_{kn}^j \end{pmatrix} = E_{nlj} \begin{pmatrix} U_{kn}^j \\ V_{kn}^j \end{pmatrix}
\]

(14)

where \(U_{kn}^j\) and \(V_{kn}^j\) are the expansion coefficients of the upper and lower parts of the HFB spinor \(\Psi_{nlj}\) on the basis \(\{\phi_{klj}\}\), whereas \(\tilde{h}\) denotes the pairing field. The two-basis method authorizes to perform calculations in very large boxes. The nucleus is put in a spherical box such that wave functions are computed up to a radial distance \(R_{\text{box}}\), with vanishing boundary conditions (Dirichlet) imposed. The value of \(R_{\text{box}}\) has to be chosen large enough as to ensure convergence of the calculations (see Sec. [III.D]). The differential equation to find the \(\{\phi_{nlj}\}\) is solved on a discrete mesh of step size \(h = 0.25\) fm using the Numerov algorithm [69, 70].

In Eq. (14) the upper bound of the sum over the index \(k\) is not specified. In an actual calculation, the sum is truncated by keeping states \(\{\phi_{nlj}\}\) up to a certain maximum energy \(E_{\text{max}}\). Its actual value ranges from several MeV up to hundreds of MeVs depending on the method used to tackle the ultra-violet divergence of the local pairing functional (see section [III.B.1]). In addition to the energy cut, a truncation \(j_{\text{cut}}\) on the number of partial waves kept in the basis \(\{\phi_{nlj}\}\) is implemented. In principle, all wave functions below \(E_{\text{max}}\) should be kept, but this makes the computation time rather long whereas the wave functions with very high angular momenta will not contribute to the nuclear density. Nonetheless, such a truncation must not be too drastic, in particular for loosely bound nuclei. Checks of convergence with respect to \(R_{\text{box}}, E_{\text{max}}\) and \(j_{\text{cut}}\) of observables of interest in halo nuclei are discussed in Sec. [III.D].

B. Energy density functional

1. Particle-hole channel

The Skyrme part of the EDF takes, in the case of spherical nuclei, the standard form [32]

\[
\mathcal{E}^{\text{ph}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \tau_0 + \sum_{T=0,1} C_T^p \rho_T^2 + C_T^\Delta \rho_T \Delta \rho_T + C_T^\tau \rho_T \tau_T + \chi C_T^{\rho} \tilde{J}_T^2 + C_T^{\Delta} \rho_T \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{J}_T,
\]

(15)

where \(\rho(\vec{r}), \tau(\vec{r})\) and \(\tilde{J}(\vec{r})\) denote the normal, kinetic and spin-orbit densities, respectively. The parameter \(\chi\) is equal to zero or one, depending on whether tensor terms are included or not in the functional, while the index \(T\) labels isoscalar \((T = 0)\) and isovector \((T = 1)\) densities. For protons, the particle-hole part of the EDF is complemented with a Coulomb term whose exchange part is treated within the Slater approximation [32].

To study the effect of specific features of the particle-hole functional on the formation of halos, a set of Skyrme functionals characterized by different properties is used in the present study: (i) SLy4 stands as a reference point, (ii) SIII displays a different density dependence which leads to a too high infinite matter incompressibility \(K_{\infty}\), (iii) T6 has an isoscalar effective nucleon mass \((m^*/m)_s = 1\), providing a denser single-particle spectrum, (iv) SKa has a low isoscalar effective mass and a different density dependence \((m^*/m)_s\) term with an exponent of 1/3 instead of 1/6, (v) the functional “m*1” has been specifically adjusted for the present work with the same procedure as for SLy4 but with the constraint \((m^*/m)_s = 1\), (vi) the parameterizations “\(\rho_{\text{sat}}^{1/2/3}\)" have also been adjusted specifically, with different nuclear matter saturation densities \(\rho_{\text{sat}}\), (vii) T21 to T26 incorporate tensor terms that differ by their neutron-neutron couplings [39]. The parameterizations “\(m^*1\)" and “\(\rho_{\text{sat}}^{1/2/3}\)" have been adjusted using the procedure of Ref. [39] which amounts to reproducing (i) the binding energies and charge radii of \(^{40,48}\text{Ca}, ^{56}\text{Ni}, ^{90}\text{Zr}, ^{132}\text{Sn}\) and \(^{208}\text{Pb}\), (ii) the binding energy of \(^{100}\text{Sn}\), and (iii) the equation of state of pure neutron matter \([71]\) and other standard properties of symmetric nuclear matter as well as the Thomas-Reiche-Kuhn enhancement factor of the isovector giant dipole resonance.

Infinite matter properties of all used parameterizations are summarized in Tab. [IV]. The isovector effective mass (related to the Thomas-Reiche-Kuhn enhancement factor \(\kappa_v\) is significantly different for these parameterizations but its effect on static properties of nuclei is rather small [72].

2. Particle-particle channel

The local pairing functional used
Table IV: Infinite matter properties of Skyrme functionals used in the present study: saturation density $\rho_{\text{sat}}$ [fm$^{-3}$], bulk compressibility $K_\infty$ [MeV], isoscalar effective mass $(m^*/m)_s$, Thomas-Reiche-Kuhn enhancement factor $\kappa_v$ and energy per particle at saturation $E/A$ [MeV].

|        | $\rho_{\text{sat}}$ | $K_\infty$ (MeV) | $(m^*/m)_s$ | $\kappa_v$ | $E/A$ [MeV] | Ref. |
|--------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|------|
| SLy4   | 0.160                | 229.9            | 0.70         | 0.25       | -15.97      | 73, 74 |
| SIII   | 0.145                | 355.4            | 0.76         | 0.53       | -15.85      | 75   |
| $m^*1$ | 0.162                | 230.0            | 1.00         | 0.25       | -16.07      | 76   |
| $\rho_{\text{sat}}^1$ | 0.145                | 230.0            | 0.70         | 0.25       | -15.69      | 76   |
| $\rho_{\text{sat}}^2$ | 0.160                | 230.0            | 1.00         | 0.25       | -16.07      | 76   |
| $\rho_{\text{sat}}^3$ | 0.175                | 230.0            | 0.70         | 0.25       | -16.22      | 76   |
| T6     | 0.161                | 235.6            | 1.00         | 0.00       | -15.93      | 77   |
| SKa    | 0.155                | 263.1            | 0.61         | 0.94       | -15.99      | 78   |
| T21-T26| 0.161                | 230.0            | 0.70         | 0.25       | -16.00      | 39   |

$E_{\text{NK}} = \frac{V_0}{4} \left[ 1 - \eta \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text{sat}}} \right)^\alpha \right] \sum_q \left| \tilde{\rho}^q(\vec{r}) \right|^2$, \hspace{1cm} (16)

derives from a Density-Dependent Delta Interaction (DDDI) with the same strength $V_0$ for neutron-neutron and proton-proton pairing. In addition, two parameters $\eta$ and $\alpha$ control the spatial dependence of the effective coupling constant. With $\rho_{\text{sat}}$ designating the saturation density of infinite nuclear matter, a zero value of $\eta$ corresponds to a pairing strength that is uniform over the nuclear volume (“volume pairing”) while $\eta = 1$ corresponds to pairing strength which is stronger in the vicinity of the nuclear surface (“surface pairing”). A value $\eta = 1/2$ corresponds to an intermediate situation (“mixed-type pairing”). The parameter $\alpha$ is usually set to one. Values $\alpha < 1$ correspond to stronger pairing correlations at low density. In the present work, we are interested in varying such empirical parameters over a large interval of values to quantify how much the characteristics of the pairing functional impact halo systems. Note finally that, all along this work, the strength $V_0$ is chosen so that the neutron spectral gap $\langle \Delta_n^\eta \rangle$ equals 1.250 MeV for $^{120}$Sn. Such a value of $V_0$ provides reasonable gaps in Ca, Sn and Pb regions.

To compensate for the ultra-violet divergence of the pairing density generated by the local pairing functional, a common procedure consists of regularizing all integrals at play through the use of a cutoff \cite{80}, e.g. on quasiparticle energies $E_i < E_{\text{cut}}$. Pairing functionals using such a regularization scheme are referred to as “REG” in the following. In particular REG-S, REG-M and REG-V denote regularized surface-, mixed- and volume-type pairing functionals, respectively. If the parameter $\eta$ differs from 0, 1/2 and 1 or if $\alpha$ differs from 1 the functional is generically noted as REG-X.

Using such a regularization method, the pairing strength is adjusted for each cutoff $E_{\text{cut}}$, the latter being eventually taken large enough for observables to be insensitive to its precise value. A widely used value is $E_{\text{cut}} \approx 60$ MeV \cite{79, 80}. As the density dependence of the pairing functional is made more surface-peaked, the pairing strength increases, for a fixed value of $E_{\text{cut}}$, as is exemplified in Fig. 5. This is a consequence of the fitting procedure that uses a single nucleus to adjust the overall strength. Indeed, if the pairing strength is peaked at the nuclear surface, individual gaps decrease, especially for well-bound orbitals residing in the nuclear interior. To compensate for this effect and maintain the same value of $\langle \Delta_n^\eta \rangle$ in one given nucleus, the overall pairing strength has to be increased.

Figure 5: (Color Online) Pairing strength $V_0$ as a function of $\eta$ and $\alpha$ for regularized REG-X and in-medium renormalized REN-X pairing functionals.

As an alternative to the sharp cut-off regularization, one can identify and throw away the diverging part of the pairing density through the use of an auxiliary quantity that diverges in the same way but from which the divergence can be subtracted analytically. We follow here the procedure introduced in Refs. \cite{82, 83, 84, 85, 86}. Using such a renormalization procedure, results become independent of $E_{\text{cut}}$ reaches about a few tens of MeVs \cite{85}. In the following, calculations of finite nuclei are performed using a conservative value of $E_{\text{cut}} = 200$ MeV (see Sec. III D 3). Pairing functionals combined with the renormalization scheme are referred to as “REN” in the following. In particular REN-S, REN-M and REN-V denote renormalized surface-, mixed- and volume-type functionals, respectively. If the parameter $\eta$ differs from 0, 1/2 and 1 or if $\alpha$ differs from 1 the functional is generically noted as REN-X.

C. Regularization versus renormalization scheme

It was observed in Ref. \cite{87} that combining the sharp cut-off regularization method with an extreme surface pairing functional ($\alpha < 1$) might lead to unrealistic matter and pairing densities. As our goal is to study the
influence of the pairing functional attributes on halo properties, it is of importance to characterize such a feature in more detail. As a matter of fact, it can be shown that extreme surface REG-X functionals lead to a spurious Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) Bose-Einstein-Condensation (BEC) phase transition of infinite matter. On the contrary such a nonphysical transition, associated with the use of a pairing functional that (wrongly) predicts a bound di-neutron system in the zero-density limit [88], does not occur with extreme surface REN-X functionals [89].

In the present section, we briefly explain that such a spurious feature is in one-to-one correspondence with producing unphysical matter and pairing densities through HFB calculations of finite nuclei. To do so, properties of the last bound Cr isotopes are calculated using different pairing functionals (i) \((\eta \leq 1, \alpha = 1)\), which covers from volume to standard surface pairing functionals, and (ii) \((\eta = 1, \alpha \leq 1)\), which correspond to extreme surface pairing functionals.

When the regularization scheme is used, one first observes that the position of the drip-line seems to change drastically for extreme surface pairing functionals. For instance, \(^{84}\text{Cr}\) is predicted to be bound against neutron emission \((\lambda^\eta < 0)\) [80] for \(\alpha = 0.5\). However, the situation is more subtle than it looks at first. As exemplified by Fig. 6 for \(^{80}\text{Cr}\), nuclei are in fact no longer bound as a gas of low-density superfluid neutron matter develops as \(\alpha\) decreases. The normal and pairing (neutron) densities grow at long distances and become uniform beyond a radius \(r \approx 9\ \text{fm}\) for \(\alpha \lesssim 0.5\) which is precisely the critical value for which infinite nuclear matter undergoes an unphysical BCS-BEC transition [89]. It becomes in fact energetically favorable for the nucleus to drip bound di-neutrons and create a superfluid gas.

Two remarks are at play at this point. First, it is important to use enough partial waves in the single-particle basis to describe the gas properly. Otherwise, one may not resolve it and conclude that the nucleus displays unusually extended normal and pairing densities [87]. Here, calculations are performed including all partial waves up to \(j^\alpha_{\text{cut}} = j^p_{\text{cut}} = J_{\text{max}} = 151/2\). This is of course an extreme situation and less partial waves, but not too few, have to be used to describe genuine halos as is explained in the next section. Second, the appearance of a uniform gas of bound di-neutrons is driven by the neutron-neutron pairing interaction and not by the proximity of the continuum. As a matter of fact, the fictitious nucleus whose densities are displayed on Fig. 6 and which is held by the box, is still bound against single-nucleon emission \((\lambda^\eta < 0)\). If we were to increase \(R_{\text{box}}\), the system would turn into a gas of di-neutrons with binding energy \(2\lambda^\eta\) [88].

Finally, one concludes that using a functional which wrongly predicts the existence of a bound di-nucleon state in the \(^1S_0\) channel in the vacuum translates into the creation of a spurious low-density di-neutron gas surrounding finite nuclei. Such an observation is of importance regarding the analysis of halo systems as it signals that the use of strongly surface-peaked pairing functionals, combined with the regularized scheme, might lead to unphysical predictions. The danger resides in particular in the use of pairing functionals which are not obviously un-physical, i.e. for which the di-neutron gas

---

**Figure 6:** (Color Online) Neutron normal \(\rho^n(r)\) and pairing \(\rho^p(r)\) densities plotted in logarithmic scale for \(^{80}\text{Cr}\) and several regularized REG-X pairing functionals. The calculations are done in a box of 40 fm and using all partial waves up to \(j^\alpha_{\text{cut}} = j^p_{\text{cut}} = J_{\text{max}} = 151/2\).

**Figure 7:** (Color Online) \(N_{\text{halo}}\) and \(\delta R_{\text{halo}}\) parameters for Cr isotopes and different regularized REG-X pairing functionals.
is not yet fully developed\(^1\). In such cases, the calculation will lead to wrongly predict the existence of gigantic halos, e.g. see in Fig. 7 the unreasonable values of \(N_{\text{halo}}\) and \(\delta R_{\text{halo}}\) predicted for the last bound Cr isotopes by REG-X functionals with \(\alpha < 1\). From that point of view, the renormalization scheme is safer as it prevents the problem from happening. Indeed, normal and pair neutron densities remain localized and evolve very little as \(\alpha < 1\) decreases \[^9\]. On the other hand, it is crucial to point out that no problem occurs with the regularization scheme either as long as the low-density part of the pairing functional is physically constrained, e.g. \((\eta, \alpha)\) are adjusted as to reproduce pairing gaps calculated in infinite nuclear matter through ab-initio calculations \[^{10, 11}\]. Eventually, the standard fitting strategy used here is the real source of the potential problem rather than the regularization method itself.

\[ \text{D. Convergence checks} \]

Several basis truncations are introduced under the form of (i) a box of finite radius \(R_{\text{box}}\), (ii) an angular-momentum cutoff \(j_{\text{cut}}^n\) for each isospin and (iii) a continuum energy cutoff \(E_{\text{max}}\), to accelerate the convergence of the calculations. Such truncations are physically motivated as (i) the nucleus is localized in space (ii) high-lying unoccupied states are not expected to contribute to ground state properties. However, the values of the truncation parameters have to be carefully chosen not to exclude meaningful physics. As a result, the convergence of observables of interest has to be checked, which we do now. All tests are performed for the chromium isotopes using the \{SLy4+REN-M\} functional.

\[ \text{1. Box radius} \]

The evolution of the halo factors, which are the most critical observables related to halo properties are represented in Figs. 8 for \(^{80}\text{Cr}\) as a function of \(R_{\text{box}}\), for angular-momentum truncations \(j_{\text{cut}}^n = 65/2\) and \(j_{\text{cut}}^p = 61/2\), and an energy cutoff \(E_{\text{max}} = 200\) MeV. The pairing strength is not refitted for each bin, since the overall effect is found to be negligible. For small box sizes, \(N_{\text{halo}}\) and \(\delta R_{\text{halo}}\) are not fully converged and increase with \(R_{\text{box}}\), \(\delta R_{\text{halo}}\) being the most sensitive quantity. A box radius \(R_{\text{box}} = 40\) fm, for which convergence is achieved for all observables of interest, is used in the following.

\[^1\] Most probably because of inappropriate numerical parameters.

\[ \text{2. Angular-momentum truncation} \]

The choice of \(j_{\text{cut}}^n\) and \(j_{\text{cut}}^p\) is critical because the partial-wave truncation impacts the way the continuum is represented in the calculations. Indeed, high-\(\ell\) orbitals can contribute to nuclear properties such as the pairing gaps. To quantify such an effect, we calculate, in the canonical basis, the probability distribution of particle \(P_{uv}(j)\) and pair \(P_{uv}(j)\) occupations as a function of the single-particle angular-momentum \(j\)

\[ P_{uv}(j) = \frac{(2j + 1) \sum_{n,j,\ell} n_{j,\ell} v_{n,j}^u v_{n,j}^v (2j + 1)}{2}, \]  

\[ P_{uv}(j) = \frac{(2j + 1) \sum_{n,j,\ell} n_{j,\ell} v_{n,j}^u v_{n,j}^v (2j + 1)}{2}, \]

The neutron distribution \(P_{uv}(j)\) is shown in Fig. 9 for the halo nucleus \(^{80}\text{Cr}\) and different angular momentum truncations \(j_{\text{cut}}^n = j_{\text{cut}}^p = J_{\text{max}}\). It is found that the \(v^n\) strength is mostly distributed over states with \(j < 9/2\). As a result, such an occupation distribution is converged, at least to first approximation, for \(j_{\text{cut}}^n = j_{\text{cut}}^p = J_{\text{max}} = 15/2\). The corresponding \(P_{uv}(j)\) distribution (Fig. 10) extends much further towards high \(j\) values. This could be expected as \(v^2\) is maximum for deeply bound canonical states whereas \(u^2\) is peaked around the Fermi level and decay slower as the canonical energy increases above \(\lambda^0\). Correspondingly, the local pair density \(\rho^2(r)\) extends further out in space than the normal density \(\rho^0(r)\). As one goes to drip-line (halo) nuclei in particular, \(\rho^2(r)\) extends very far out and requires many partial waves

\[ \text{Figure 8: (Color Online) Evolution of } N_{\text{halo}} \text{ and } \delta R_{\text{halo}} \text{ as a function of the box radius for } ^{80}\text{Cr}. \]
to be well represented. This was already highlighted in Ref. [92]. It is clear from Fig. 10 that a minimal cutoff of $J_{\text{max}} = 31/2$ is needed to achieve a reliable enough description of the pair distribution. One sees in particular that for $J_{\text{max}} = 15/2$ (i) the missing $u^n v^n$ strength at high $j$ is wrongly redistributed over $j = 1/2$ and $j = 5/2$, making those states more paired and thus more localized, whereas (ii) some of the $v^n^2$ strength of the $j = 1/2$ states is transferred to $j = 5/2$ states. Considering that $3s_{1/2}$ and $2d_{5/2}$ states are precisely those building up the halo in $^{80}$Cr, the latter two effects associated with using a two small angular momentum cutoff inhibits artificially the formation of the halo. The results are qualitatively identical for the regularization scheme: in both cases, rather high values of $J_{\text{max}}$ are needed to properly describe the continuum.

Indeed, this is what is observed in Fig. 11 where $N_{\text{halo}}$ and $\delta R_{\text{halo}}$ are given for $^{80}$Cr as a function of $J_{\text{max}}$. Both quantities reach a plateau around $J_{\text{max}} = 31/2$.

3. Energy cutoff

The value of the energy cutoff $E_{\text{max}}$ in the quasiparticle continuum is an important parameter of the calculation. For regularized pairing functionals, the values of $J_{\text{max}}$ and $E_{\text{max}}$ must be taken large enough that, including a renormalization of the coupling strength through the re-fitting of data, the observables of interest do not depend on their precise values. It was found that smaller basis truncations could be used for the REG case than for the REN case, as convergence is reached faster, as exemplified in Figs. 12 and 13. For the REG case, convergence for the ground state energy as well as for the neutron pairing gap is almost achieved for $J_{\text{cut}}^n = J_{\text{cut}}^p = J_{\text{max}} = 21/2$ and $E_{\text{cut}} = 200$ MeV.

For renormalized functionals, the situation is more subtle. First, $E_{\text{max}}$ must be large enough for the result to be independent of its value [83]. However, it must be re-
membered that the field theory renormalization scheme subtracts a diverging part on the basis that all partial waves below a certain energy cutoff have been included. Thus, for a given (high enough) $E_{\text{max}}$, the angular momentum truncation must be large enough to prevent the counter term from removing contributions of states that were not considered in the first place. This is illustrated in Figs. 14 and 15 which display the binding energy and neutron spectral gap of $^{80}$Cr as a function of the angular momentum cutoff $J_{\text{max}}$, for fixed values of $E_{\text{max}}$. Note that all $E_{\text{max}}$ values considered are large enough to obtain converged observables. However, one sees that increasing the energy cutoff necessitates a larger number of partial waves to reach the converged values for both the energy and the gap. Consequently, it can be counter-productive to use a safe but unnecessarily large energy cut $E_{\text{max}}$ as it results in the necessity to also increase $J_{\text{max}}$. On the other hand, the proper description of certain physical phenomena such as halos intrinsically requires a large number of partial waves as discussed in the previous section. In such a case, one first fixes $J_{\text{max}}$ and makes sure to use a coherent energy cutoff. In the present work, we use $E_{\text{max}} = 200$ MeV and $J_{\text{max}} = 65/2$. This corresponds to an conservative choice as $E_{\text{max}} = 70$ MeV and $J_{\text{max}} = 41/2$ would be sufficient.

IV. IMPACT OF PAIRING CORRELATIONS

A. Pairing anti-halo effect

In the presence of pairing correlations, the asymptotic of the one-body neutron density takes a different form from the one it has in the EDF treatment based on an auxiliary Slater determinant. Indeed, the decay constant reads as $\kappa_{q} = \sqrt{-2m\epsilon_{0}/\hbar^{2}}$, with $|\epsilon_{0}| = E_{0}^{q} - \lambda^{q}$, $E_{0}^{q} = \min_{\nu}|E_{\nu}^{q}|$ being the lowest quasiparticle excitation
energy. Considering a canonical state $\phi_0^q$ lying at the Fermi level near the drip-line ($E_0^q \approx \lambda^q \approx 0$), one finds that $E_0^q \approx \Delta_0^q > 0$. Therefore, in first approximation, paired densities decrease faster than unpaired ones and pairing correlations induce an anti-halo effect by localizing the one-body density.

To evaluate the quantitative impact of this effect, drip-line Cr isotopes have been calculated with and without explicit treatment of pairing correlations. In the latter case, a filling approximation is used for incomplete spherical shells. In both cases, the SLy4 Skyrme functional is used. When including pairing, a mixed-type pairing functional is used. When including pairing, a mixed-type REG-M functional is used.

For convenience, Right: canonical energies obtained including to Ref. [1] and are recalled in Fig. 17. The Fermi level ($\Phi$) is set to the last filled orbital for the EDF treatment based on auxiliary Slater determinant.

To specifically extract the pairing anti-halo effect, we now perform a toy model calculation of a fictitious $^{80}$Cr* nucleus. Filling the single-particle wave-functions obtained from the calculation of $^{82}$Cr without pairing correlations with the canonical occupations obtained from the calculation of $^{80}$Cr with pairing, we extract $N_{\text{halo},i}$ from each orbital. Such a procedure allows one to isolate, in semi-quantitative manner, the net change of $N_{\text{halo}}$ due to the difference in the asymptotic of paired orbitals keeping their occupation fixed. Doing so, it is found that the contribution of the $3s_{1/2}$ state to the halo is smaller

---

Figure 16: (Color Online) Neutron single-particle energies $e_i^q$ along the Cr isotopic chain. Left: eigenvalues of $h^n$ obtained when omitting pairing correlations in the calculation. The non-resonant continuum spectrum has been omitted while resonant states with positive $e_i^q$ are drawn as discrete states for convenience. Right: canonical energies obtained including pairing correlations through a mixed-type REG-M functional. The Skyrme SLy4 functional is used in both cases. The conventions used for single-particle states labeling are identical to Ref. [1] and are recalled in Fig. 17. The Fermi level (III) is set to the last filled orbital for the EDF treatment based on an auxiliary Slater determinant.

---

Figure 17: (Color Online) Conventions used in all the figures for the labeling of individual states and of the chemical potential.

---

Such results underline that pairing correlations affect halos in two opposite ways. Pairing (i) inhibits the formation of halos through the anti-halo effect (ii) enhance the formation of halos by scattering nucleons to less bound states with smaller decay constants. For example, the anti-halo effect dominates in $^{76}$Cr and $^{78}$Cr whereas the promotion of neutrons into the weakly bound $3s_{1/2}$ orbital makes the halo to be more pronounced in $^{80}$Cr when pairing is included.

When pairing is omitted, the number of nucleons in the $2d_{5/2}$ orbital increases linearly as one goes from $^{76}$Cr to $^{80}$Cr. At the same time, the $2d_{5/2}$ shell becomes more bound as a result of self-consistency effects. This explains the negative curvature of $\delta R_{\text{halo}}$ as one goes from $^{76}$Cr to $^{80}$Cr, while $N_{\text{halo}}$ is indeed almost linear. In $^{82}$Cr, two effects contribute to the very pronounced halo that is predicted in the absence of pairing correlations (i) the $3s_{1/2}$ gets fully occupied whereas (ii) the pairing anti-halo effect is inoperative. The results discussed above are further illustrated in Fig. 19 where the contributions of different single-particle states to the halo are shown.

---

2 The canonical basis is identical to the eigenbasis of $h$ is the zero-pairing limit.

---

3 Single-particle states extracted from the calculation of $^{80}$Cr without pairing cannot be used because the essential $3s_{1/2}$ orbital belongs to the continuum and has plane wave asymptotic in this case.
in $^{80}$Cr than in $^{80}$Cr* by about 26%. For the $2d_{3/2}$ orbital the suppression of $N_{\text{halo}}$ is about 15% (the value of $r_0$ is also different for $^{80}$Cr and $^{80}$Cr*, but this does not affect the results significantly). As a result, one sees that if the anti-halo effect were ineffective, the scattering of particles into higher-lying orbitals would bring $N_{\text{halo}}$ from 0.300 to 0.582. Instead, $N_{\text{halo}}$ is only increased to 0.473 in the full fledged calculation of $^{80}$Cr with pairing correlations, i.e. the anti-halo effect reduces the potential increase by 40%.

To conclude, there is no simple answer as to whether pairing correlations enhance or hinder the formation of halos. The net result depends on structure details of the particular nucleus of interest [95, 96].

B. Decorrelation from the pairing field

An additional effect might come into play as far as the role of pairing in the formation of halos is concerned. Very weakly bound $\ell = 0$ orbitals are expected to decouple from the pairing field as the neutron separation energy goes to zero [42, 43, 44]. As a result, such an orbital would not be subject to the anti-halo effect and may develop a very long tail.

The signature of this effect can be seen in the single-particle occupation profile. The canonical occupations of all neutron single-particle states in all drip-line Cr isotopes are gathered in Fig. 20 and plotted as a function of $(\epsilon_i^n - \lambda^n)$, where $\epsilon_i^n$ is the neutron canonical energy and $\lambda^n$ the neutron Fermi level. Those occupations are compared to the BCS formula

$$v_i^n = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon_i^n - \lambda^n}{\sqrt{(\epsilon_i^n - \lambda^n)^2 + \langle \Delta_n^2 \rangle}} \right), \quad (19)$$

calculated using the maximum/minimum neutron spectral gap $\langle \Delta_n^2 \rangle$ found among all drip-line Cr isotopes. The calculations have been performed using the \{SLY4+REG-M\} functional.

The $s$-wave occupation probability follows closely the BCS-type profile calculated using the minimal spectral gap. The high-$\ell$ orbitals follow well the BCS-type profile computed with the large spectral gap. This corroborates the trend discussed in Refs. [42, 43, 44] and underlines that $\ell = 0$ orbitals with $\epsilon_i^n \approx \lambda^n \approx 0$ are less paired than high-$\ell$ ones. This is also confirmed by looking at individual gaps given in Fig. 21. The $3s_{1/2}$ state displays a smaller gap than other orbitals as it approaches the Fermi level (from above), the latter reaching the continuum threshold.

On the other hand, the canonical gap of the $3s_{1/2}$ state remains significant as it crosses the Fermi level and the anti-halo effect is still in effect for that orbital. In fact, the critical decoupling of $s$ orbitals from the pairing field, discussed in Refs. [42, 43, 44] through schematic HFB calculations, becomes fully effective only when the Fermi level and the $s$ state energy are both of the order of a few keVs. Such extreme situations (i) are not reached in realistic systems [97] (ii) would require an accuracy on the predicted value of the separation energy which is far beyond present capacities of EDF calculations.
The renormalization scheme is used in the present section as it prevents any un-physical feature from appearing with extreme surface pairing functionals. The properties of the last bound Cr isotopes are evaluated for different pairing functionals: (i) $\alpha = 1$ and $\eta \in [0,1]$, and (ii) $\eta = 1$ and $\alpha \in [1,0.1]$. Overall, neutron canonical energies evolve very little with $(\eta, \alpha)$. The evolution of canonical pairing gaps $\Delta_n^i$ with $(\eta, \alpha)$ is presented in Fig. 23. For surface-enhanced pairing functionals, well-bound orbitals residing in the center of the nucleus become less paired. On the other hand, pairing gaps of states close to the Fermi level increase as the effective pairing strength becomes more im-

| $^{80}$Cr (pairing) |
|----------------------|
| $N_{\text{halo}}$     | 0.473 |
| $\epsilon_i^n$ [MeV] | $v_{1/2}^n$ | $N_{\text{halo},i}$ |
| $3s_{1/2}$           | $>0.0$ | $-0.173$ | 0.451 | 0.225 |
| $2d_{3/2}$           | $-0.665$ | 0.828 | 0.222 |
| $1g_{9/2}$           | $-4.056$ | 0.993 | 0.008 |
| $1f_{5/2}$           | $-8.673$ | 0.999 | 0.001 |
| $2p_{1/2}$           | $-8.920$ | 0.999 | 0.002 |
| $Other$              | $<-10.0$ | $\sim 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$ |

| $^{82}$Cr (no pairing) |
|------------------------|
| $N_{\text{halo}}$     | 1.007 |
| $\epsilon_i^n$ [MeV] | $v_{1/2}^n$ | $N_{\text{halo},i}$ |
| $3s_{1/2}$           | $-0.312$ | 1.000 | 0.675 |
| $2d_{3/2}$           | $-0.858$ | 1.000 | 0.314 |
| $1g_{9/2}$           | $-4.200$ | 1.000 | 0.010 |
| $1f_{5/2}$           | $-8.783$ | 1.000 | 0.001 |
| $2p_{1/2}$           | $-9.078$ | 1.000 | 0.002 |
| $Other$              | $<-10.0$ | $\sim 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$ |

| $^{80}$Cr$^+$ (pairing) |
|-------------------------|
| $N_{\text{halo}}$     | 0.582 |
| $\epsilon_i^n$ [MeV] | $v_{1/2}^n$ | $N_{\text{halo},i}$ |
| $3s_{1/2}$           | $>0.0$ | $-0.312$ | 0.451 | 0.305 |
| $2d_{3/2}$           | $-0.858$ | 0.828 | 0.260 |
| $1g_{9/2}$           | $-4.200$ | 0.993 | 0.010 |
| $1f_{5/2}$           | $-8.784$ | 0.999 | 0.002 |
| $2p_{1/2}$           | $-9.078$ | 0.999 | 0.002 |
| $Other$              | $<-10.0$ | $\sim 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$ |

Table V: $N_{\text{halo}}$, individual contributions to the halo $N_{\text{halo},i}$ (see Paper I for the definition of $N_{\text{halo},i}$), single-particle canonical energies $\epsilon_i^n$ and occupations $v_{1/2}^n$ for (i) $^{80}$Cr with pairing (ii) $^{82}$Cr without pairing, and (iii) $^{80}$Cr$^+$ with pairing but using the single particle spectrum calculated in the absence of pairing.

C. Importance of low densities

The values of $\eta$ and $\alpha$ entering the pairing functional strongly affect the spatial localization of the pairing field, and thus the gaps of weakly-bound orbitals lying at the nuclear surface.

In previous studies [87], it was found that the size of a neutron halo could change by one order of magnitude when the pairing functional evolves from a volume to a extreme-surface type. However (i) the evaluation of the halo size was performed through the Helm model, the limitations of which have been pointed out in Ref. [1], and (ii) the standard regularization scheme was used with extreme-surface pairing functionals which, as discussed in Sec. III C leads to unsafe predictions of halo properties. The renormalization scheme is used in the present

Figure 20: (Color Online) Neutron canonical occupations $v_{1/2}^n$ as a function of $(\epsilon_i^n - \lambda^n)$ for all drip-line Cr isotopes. The calculations are done with the {SLY4+REG-M} functional. The profiles computed from the BCS-type formulæ using the minimum (dashed line) and maximum (dashed-dotted line) spectral neutron gaps $(\Delta_n^i)$ among all those isotopes are shown for reference. Conventions for labeling individual states are given in Fig. 17.

Figure 21: (Color Online) Individual neutron canonical gaps $\Delta_n^i$ computed for drip-line Cr isotopes with the {SLY4+REG-M} functional and plotted as a function of $(\epsilon_i^n - \lambda^n)$. Conventions for individual states are given in Fig. 17.
important at the nuclear surface\(^{(4)}\). Considering the theoretical error bars, the values of \(N_{\text{halo}}\) and \(\delta R_{\text{halo}}\) presented in Figs. 22 and 23 can be considered to be almost independent of the density-dependent form factor of the pairing functional, although the anti-halo effect becomes more effective as \(\alpha\) decreases. \(N_{\text{halo}}\) and \(\delta R_{\text{halo}}\) are maximal for the standard surface pairing functional \(\eta = \alpha = 1\), for which the occupation of the \(3s_{1/2}\) state and its localization due to an increased coupling to the pairing field presents the most favorable compromise for the halo to develop. This reflects on the composition of the neutron halo in \(^{80}\text{Cr}\) displayed in Fig. 25. Finally, results obtained with the regularization scheme are also plotted in Figs. 22 and 23 for comparison. As long as \(\alpha = 1\), \(N_{\text{halo}}\) and \(\delta R_{\text{halo}}\) are almost identical for the regularization and renormalization schemes, which proves that results presented in Ref. [1] using the regularized scheme are valid and that both REG-X or REN-X functionals can be used safely with “standard” density dependencies.

V. LARGE-SCALE PREDICTIONS

We now turn to predictions of halo nuclei over the nuclear chart. In addition, the dependence of such predictions on some of the characteristics of the Skyrme functional used in the calculations are briefly investigated.

A. Results for different particle-hole functionals

To study the impact of the particle-hole functional characteristics on the formation of halos, \(N_{\text{halo}}\) and \(\delta R_{\text{halo}}\) have been computed for \(\text{Cr}\) isotopes using the set of functionals presented in Sec. III B 1 combined with a mixed-type REG-M pairing functional. The results obtained with SLy4 and presented in Ref. [1] act as a reference point.

The halo parameters \(N_{\text{halo}}\) and \(\delta R_{\text{halo}}\) as well as neutron canonical energies are displayed in Fig. 26 for \(^{80}\text{Cr}\).
We observe that

- Skyrme functionals with an isoscalar effective mass equal to one \((m' = 1\) and \(T6\)) predict denser single-particle spectra around the Fermi level. As a consequence, the \(2d_{5/2} - 1g_{9/2}\) energy gap corresponding to the core excitation energy scale \(E'\) is reduced. Additionally, the Fermi level is shifted down, which enhances the separation energy \(E\). Both effects contribute to hindering the halo formation.

- The modification of \(K_{\infty}\) affects collective properties, such as the breathing mode energy \([98]\). It also impacts the canonical spectrum. As a result, \(^{80}\)Cr is predicted to be unbound with the \{SIII+REG-M\} parametrization which has a particularly large incompressibility \(K_{\infty} = 355\) MeV.

- As the nuclear matter saturation density increases (from \(\rho_{\text{sat}}^1\) to \(\rho_{\text{sat}}^3\)), the nuclear interior becomes denser, as shown in Fig. 27. Through self-consistency, a denser nuclear interior generates a sharper surface, that ultimately makes weakly bound orbitals to be less coupled to the nuclear potential and thus even less bound. As a result, the density extends further out asymptotically. While the \(2d_{5/2} - 1g_{9/2}\) energy gap remains the same, the tail excitation energy \(E\) decreases with \(\rho_{\text{sat}}\) and the halo factors increase.

- Tensor couplings may also impact the formation of halos: as it was found for light systems \([99]\). For medium-mass nuclei, a series of recent studies have assessed the impact of tensor couplings on the evolution of spherical single-particle shells \([99, 100, 101, 102, 103]\). To study the impact on halos, newly available Skyrme T21-T26 functionals have been used \([30]\). This particular series of parameterizations differ through their like-particle tensor coupling and an increasing spin-orbit coupling as one goes from T21 to T26. The like-particle coupling constant is (i) negative for T21, which corresponds to a repulsion between particles of identical isospin, (ii) zero for the functional T22, which makes it similar to SLy4, and (iii) increasingly positive from T23 to T26. All TXX functionals display otherwise the same infinite matter properties, as seen in Tab. IV the variation of single-particle properties are solely due to the tensor and spin-orbit interactions. The results are shown in Fig. 26. As the like-particle tensor interaction becomes attractive, both \(3s_{1/2} - 2d_{5/2}\) and \(2d_{5/2} - 1g_{9/2}\) energy gaps involving neutron states of the same parity decrease. The Fermi level is pushed up at the same time. Even though the core excitation energy scale \(E'\) is slightly decreased, the overall effect is dominated by the decrease of the scales \(E\) and \(\Delta E\) which favors the formation of the halo \([1]\).

By no means SLy4 or any other existing Skyrme parametrization of the nuclear EDF is to be seen as universal. As exemplified above, it is found that the choice of the particle-hole Skyrme parametrization can affect significantly the neutron canonical spectrum close to the Fermi energy. As a result, the drip-line position and the halo energy scales are modified, hence the values of \(N_{\text{halo}}\) and \(\delta R_{\text{halo}}\). Scanning the set of parameterizations used in the present study, \(N_{\text{halo}}\) and \(\delta R_{\text{halo}}\) can change by as much as 100\%. Thus, solid experimental data on medium-mass halo nuclei will be useful in the far future to constrain some characteristics of the particle-hole part of the EDF. Note that this is at variance with the conclusions drawn in Sec. IV.C regarding the pairing part of the energy functional.

Eventually, (reasonable) variations in the characteristics of currently used EDFs translate into large uncertainties in the prediction of halo properties. For instance, no halo nucleus would be predicted at the drip-line of \(^{54}\)Cr isotope in this case. The same care is to be considered regarding the large-scale prediction of spherical halo candidates over the nuclear chart presented in the next section.

**B. Spherical medium and heavy mass nuclei**

Systematic predictions of halo properties from the \{SLy4+REG-V\} EDF are now presented. We restrict ourselves to even-even spherical nuclei, as predicted by the Gogny D1S interaction \([104]\). Among all even-even nuclei, we define the sub-set of “spherical” nuclei as those fulfilling the condition
where $Q_2$ is the axial mass quadrupole moment. The rather large interval allowed on $\beta_2$ is arbitrary and does not distinguish between soft and rigid ground states. Such a condition provides a list of about 500 nuclei, in agreement with similar predictions made using Skyrme functionals [105], although the drip-line positions slightly differ in the two models.

Results for $N_{\text{halo}}$ are shown in Fig. 28. We observe that (i) several isotopic chains are predicted to display neutrons halos, (ii) halos are predicted to exist only at the very limit of neutron stability, (iii) the maximum value of $N_{\text{halo}}$ is about $\sim 0.7$, (iv) very few heavy elements in the (Pt, Hg, Tl...) region are found to have a non-zero halo parameter $N_{\text{halo}}$, (v) on the large scale, the halo phenomenon is very rare and almost accidental, (vi) looking at the best cases between $Z = 20$ to $Z = 100$, the absolute and relative values of $N_{\text{halo}}$ decrease with nuclear mass.

Results for $\delta R_{\text{halo}}$ are presented in Fig. 29 and confirm the above analysis on $N_{\text{halo}}$. In particular, it is seen that the fraction of decorrelated nucleon has almost no influence on the nuclear extension of massive nuclei. Only two very localized regions where the predicted halo significantly affects the neutron r.m.s. radius are found, e.g. for (i) Cr, Fe and Ni nuclei, and (ii) Pd and Ru isotopes. The drip-line isotopes of these elements are predicted as the best halo candidates for the $\{\text{SLy4+REG-V}\}$ EDF.

An analysis of single-particle properties of the best halo candidates is found in Fig. 30. These nuclei have in common the presence of very weakly bound $s$ or $p$ states. Although states with larger angular momentum contribute to the nuclear halo in some cases [1], the presence of weakly bound $\ell = 0, 1$ orbitals remains mandatory for a significant halo to develop. That being said, no pure $s$-wave or $p$-wave halo has been found, which demonstrates the collectivity of the phenomenon in medium-mass systems.

The complementarity between the two criteria $N_{\text{halo}}$ and $\delta R_{\text{halo}}$ appears more clearly through the large scale analysis. The plot presented in Fig. 31 shows that the two observables are correlated within a given isotopic chains and the information carried by both quantities is somewhat redundant in this case. On a larger scale however, the correlation pattern changes as the proton number increases (between Cr and Pd isotopes for instance), i.e. $\delta R_{\text{halo}}$ increases much less with $N_{\text{halo}}$ with mass.

Finally, one can turn to the particular case of Zr and Ca isotopes which have been predicted to be "giant halo"
Figure 27: (Color Online) Neutron densities for $^{80}$Cr (top: linear scale, bottom: logarithmic scale) with the SLy4+REG-M functional (reference), and refits of the Skyrme parameters corresponding to (i) a different isoscalar effective mass $m^* = 0.70 \rightarrow 1.00$, and (ii) different nuclear saturation densities: $\rho_{sat}^{1/3} = 0.145/0.160/0.175$ fm$^{-3}$.

In the present study, the values of $N_{\text{halo}}$ and $\delta R_{\text{halo}}$ do not lead to such a conclusion. The first reason resides in the different single-particle spectra predicted by the functional used in the present study. Considering that relativistic models (TM1 [111], NLSH [112], NL3 [107],... ) or non-relativistic ones (SkI4 [113],... ) predict weakly bound $p$ states $^{96}$, $^{107}$, $^{108}$, $^{109}$, $^{110}$ at the neutron drip-line of those elements, it is likely that the application of our criteria on those results would lead to predicting the existence of halos. However, we put into question the very notion of "giant halo" that comes from summing up the occupations of weakly bound orbitals. Such a counting procedure is qualitatively incorrect as nucleons occupying weakly bound orbitals are mostly located within the nuclear volume. Such an unjustified counting is a reminiscence of the denomination of "1(2)-neutron" halo used for light systems. However, the latter relates to the fact that these light nuclei are well described by a "core+1(2)-neutrons" cluster model whereas practitioners are well aware that only a fraction of nucleon resides in average beyond the classical turning point. We prove in the present work that the fraction of neutron that participate in the halo does not scale with $A$ and remains in medium-mass nuclei of the same order as in light nuclei. The notion of spatial decorrelation is key to the meaningful definition of the halo region and the halo parameters $N_{\text{halo}}$ and $\delta R_{\text{halo}}$.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In Ref. [1], a model-independent analysis method was developed to characterize halos in finite many-fermion systems. Eventually, the method leads to quantifying potential halos in terms of (i) the average number of fermions participating to it, (ii) its impact on the system extension.

In the present paper, the versatility of the method is illustrated by applying it to several quantum mesoscopic systems, that is (i) few-body nuclei calculated through coupled-channels methods, (ii) atom-positron and ion-positronium complexes evaluated through the fixed-core stochastic variational method and (iii) medium-mass nuclei calculated using the energy density functional (EDF) method. The ability of the analysis method to quantitatively characterize halos of very different scales underlines the universal nature of the halo phenomenon, namely the quantum tunneling into the classically forbidden region.

In the second part of the paper, the need for a coherent treatment of the ultra-violet divergence and of the density dependence of the local pairing functional employed in EDF calculations is highlighted. Using a naive regularization scheme in combination with a strongly surface-enhanced pairing functional leads ultimately to the formation of a uniform gas of di-neutrons dripping out of nuclei. When the pairing functional is such that this spurious gas does not completely develop (most probably for numerical reasons), it can be mistakenly interpreted as a halo. We point out that using a renormalization scheme or constraining the pairing functional through ab-initio calculations of pairing gaps in infinite nuclear matter prevents such problems from happening.

In the third part of the present paper, the impact of pairing correlations on halo systems is further studied. First, it is shown that the pairing anti-halo effect might be counter-balanced by the pair scattering to less bound orbitals, possibly with small orbital angular momenta. The net effect of pairing on the formation of halos greatly depends on the detail of the single-particle spectrum of the nucleus under study. Second, low-$\ell$ orbitals are found to be less paired than high-$\ell$ ones, but not enough to really decorrelate from the pairing field, as it is the case for (non-realistic) extreme conditions [42, 43]. Third, the low-density-dependence of the pairing functional is shown to have almost no effect on the formation and characteristics of halos, as long as it is combined with...
Figure 28: (Color Online) $N_{\text{halo}}$ parameter predicted by the \{SLy4+REG-V\} EDF for about 500 spherical nuclei.

Figure 29: (Color Online) $\delta R_{\text{halo}}$ parameter predicted by the \{SLy4+REG-V\} EDF for about 500 spherical nuclei.
the renormalization scheme or constrained from ab-initio calculations, as mentioned above.

On the contrary, halo properties significantly depend on the characteristics of the particle-hole part of the nuclear EDF. Thus, medium-mass halo systems might be more useful to constrain the particle-hole part of the functional than its particle-particle counterpart. Unfortunately, such experimental data are not likely to become available any time soon, even with the next generation of radioactive beam facilities. Indeed, although the neutron density becomes accessible through several experimental techniques, extracting it in medium-mass drip-line nuclei is more than a challenge for the decade(s) to come. In addition, the neutron r.m.s. radius is not sufficient to study halos quantitatively, and other probes have to be envisioned. Of course, the precise determination of the neutron r.m.s. radius and associated neutron skin in non-halo systems is already crucial as it provides constraints on the physics of neutron stars and on the nuclear symmetry energy, for instance. As a result, one should focus at first on the study of neutron skins in non-halo systems to constrain the isovector nature of the nuclear EDF. The fine tuning provided by extreme exotic systems such as medium-mass halo nuclei will only come as a second step.

Still, it is of theoretical interest to understand the structure of halo nuclei and to assess their occurrence over the nuclear chart. With that in mind, we performed large-scale calculations over all (predicted) spherical even-even nuclei. It was concluded that (i) several isotopic chains may display neutron halos, (ii) halos can only exist at the very limit of neutron stability, (iii) very few heavy elements, in the (Pt, Hg, Tl...) region, are found as possible halo candidates, (iv) on the large scale, the halo phenomenon is very rare and requires the presence of a low-lying state with an orbital angular momentum \( \ell \leq 1 \) at the drip line, (v) medium-mass halos are more collective than in light nuclei, with non-negligible contributions from several low-lying states, including orbitals with \( \ell \geq 2 \), (vi) the impact of the halo on the nuclear extension decreases with the increasing mass.

Let us now turn to potential works to come. First, several extensions of the newly proposed method can be envisioned. For instance, the extraction of halo properties in deformed systems, which represent the majority of known or predicted nuclei, requires additional formal developments, starting from a decomposition of the nuclear density in multipolar moments:

\[
\rho(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\ell, m_\ell} \rho_\ell^{m_\ell}(r) Y_\ell^{m_\ell}(\hat{r}).
\]  

The analysis regarding the relative asymptotic positioning of spectroscopic amplitudes and the occurrence of crossing patterns should thus be adapted to multipolar moments. Effects such as the increased contamination of weakly-bound deformed orbitals by \( \ell = 0 \) components at the limit of stability, favoring the formation of halos in deformed systems, could be investigated. In addition to deformed systems, the method should be extended to odd-even and odd-odd nuclei. This requires to formulate the method for non-zero spin states. Also, the effects of an explicit treatment of long-range correlations, e.g. symmetry restorations and large-amplitude collective motion, on medium-mass halo nuclei should be studied in connection with...
the analysis method proposed in the present work. The explicit inclusion of such correlations would allow a more reliable extraction of $N_{\text{halo}}$ and $\delta R_{\text{halo}}$ in light systems through EDF calculations. For instance, the study of $^{22}\text{C}$, which is predicted to be a halo system by few-body calculations [127], could provide a bridge between few- and many-body techniques.

Finally, it would be of interest to conduct the same study as the one presented in the present work using the Gogny effective interaction to further probe the dependence of the results on the characteristics of the energy density functional; e.g. finite-range effects. Such a study is not feasible using traditional codes making use of a harmonic oscillator basis because the asymptotic of the one-body density is not described correctly enough to extract $N_{\text{halo}}$ and $\delta R_{\text{halo}}$ reliably. However, a newly developed code expanding the quasiparticle states on the eigenstates of a Woods-Saxon potential should allow one to do so [128].
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