Olivary subthreshold oscillations and burst activity revisited

Paolo Bazzigaluppi1†, Jornt R. De Gruijl1†, Ruben S. van der Giessen1, Sara Khosrovani1, Chris I. De Zeeuw1,2 and Marcel T. G. de Jeu1*  

1 Department of Neuroscience, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands  
2 Netherlands Institute for Neuroscience, Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, Amsterdam, Netherlands  

INTRODUCTION  
The inferior olive (IO) forms one of the major gateways for information that travels to the cerebellar cortex. Olivary neurons process sensory and motor signals that are subsequently relayed to Purkinje cells. The intrinsic subthreshold membrane potential oscillations of the olivary neurons are thought to be important for gating this flow of information. In vitro studies have revealed that the phase of the subthreshold oscillation determines the size of the olivary burst and may gate the information flow or encode the temporal state of the olivary network. Here, we investigated whether the same phenomenon occurred in murine olivary cells in an intact olivocerebellar system using the in vivo whole-cell recording technique. Our in vivo findings revealed that the number of wavelets within the olivary burst did not encode the timing of the spike relative to the phase of the oscillation but was related to the amplitude of the oscillation. Manipulating the oscillation amplitude by applying Harmaline confirmed the inverse relationship between the amplitude of oscillation and the number of wavelets within the olivary burst. Furthermore, we demonstrated that electrotonic coupling between olivary neurons affect this modulation of the olivary burst size. Based on these results, we suggest that the olivary burst size might reflect the “expectancy” of a spike to occur rather than the spike timing, and that this process requires the presence of gap junction coupling.

Keywords: inferior olive, subthreshold oscillations, wavelets, climbing fiber, cerebellum, gap junctions

Abbreviations: IO, inferior olive; Cx36, connexin 36; C57Bl/6 mice, C57 black 6 mice; WT, wild type; KX, ketamine and xylazine; MMF, medetomidine, midazolam, and fentanyl; SSTO, sinusoidal subthreshold oscillation; LTO, low-threshold calcium (Ca2+) oscillation; ADP, afterdepolarization; SEM, standard error of the mean.
In the present study, we investigated whether this timing code was also generated in olivary cells present in an intact olivocerebellar system using the in vivo whole-cell recording technique. In vivo recordings were obtained under two different anaesthetic conditions to exclude drug-specific effects. Comparisons between spontaneous and somatosensory-evoked action potentials were made to elucidate origin-related differences. And we studied the consequences of genetically (connexin 36 knock-out mice: Cx36<sup>−/−</sup>) as well as pharmacologically manipulated (Harmaline) subthreshold oscillations on these olivary bursts.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**In vivo whole-cell recordings**

C57 black 6 mice (C57BL/6 mice) were anesthetized by an intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of ketamine and xylazine (KX: 65 and 10 mg/kg; n = 40), or a mixture of medetomidine, midazolam, and fentanyl (MMF: 0.5 mg/kg, 5 mg/kg, and 0.05 mg/kg; n = 6). All Cx36<sup>−/−</sup> mutants (n = 9) were anesthetized with KX. In vivo whole-cell recordings were performed as described by Khosrovani et al. (2007). In a subset of KX anesthetized animals (n = 4), peripheral stimulations were provided by electrical stimulation of the whisker pad to generate somatosensory evoked action potentials in the recorded olivary neuron. The stimulation protocol consisted of short bipolar stimulations (2 ms, 0.5 mA) that were randomly administered. In a second subset of KX anesthetized animals (n = 6), harmaline (50 mg/kg) was injected intraperitoneally after all baseline recordings were established. In each neuron, the following membrane properties were determined: input resistance, membrane capacitance (C<sub>m</sub>), resting membrane potential, and firing rate. The access resistance (R<sub>a</sub>), membrane resistance (R<sub>m</sub>), and C<sub>m</sub> were calculated using the following formulas: R<sub>a</sub> = V<sub>a</sub>/I<sub>i</sub>; R<sub>m</sub> = (V<sub>a</sub> − R<sub>a</sub>I<sub>ss</sub>) / I<sub>ss</sub> and C<sub>m</sub> = τ(1/R<sub>a</sub> + 1/R<sub>m</sub>). Tau (τ), instantaneous (I<sub>i</sub>), and steady state currents (I<sub>ss</sub>) were determined from current responses evoked by −10 mV steps. The resting membrane potential (V<sub>m</sub>) was determined from the readout of the baseline potential. All animal procedures were in accordance with the guidelines of the ethics committee of the Erasmus Medical Center.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Data analyses were performed for neurons with resting membrane potentials lower than −45 mV, typical olivary spike waveforms (i.e., expressing spike afterdepolarizations with at least one wavelet), and spike amplitudes >60 mV (number of neurons: n<sub>KX</sub> = 37, n<sub>MMF</sub> = 8, n<sub>Cx36<sup>−/−</sup></sub> = 10). Subthreshold oscillations in the spontaneous membrane potential were quantified by measuring the frequency and amplitude of the oscillations. In neurons that expressed sinusoidal subthreshold oscillations (SSTOs), the phase spiking preference was determined by fitting the SSTO prior to the spontaneous action potential to a sine wave function. The fitted curve was extrapolated following the action potential, and spike occurrence was determined within phase bins of 45° (Figure 1). In neurons that expressed low-threshold calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) oscillations (LTOs), the spike position was determined as either on top of the low-threshold Ca<sup>2+</sup> spike or between them (Figure 8A). The number of wavelets on a typical olivary spike afterdepolarization (ADP) was counted for each spike.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Statistical analysis of basic membrane properties was performed using Mann–Whitney U-test. The spiking preference in relation to the phase of the SSTO oscillation was examined using the Rayleigh test, and the shifts in the phase–frequency distributions under different conditions were compared using the Student’s t-test. Modulations of the number of wavelets were tested by comparing the number of wavelets in each phase bin with the overall average number of wavelets using the one-sample Student’s t-test. For bimodal analysis of the spiking preference in neurons expressing LTOs, the χ<sup>2</sup> test was used. Modulations of the number of wavelets in these LTO neurons were analyzed statistically using the Student’s t-test. Student’s t-tests were also employed to test the significance of the correlation coefficients. For statistical comparisons of the linear regression lines, we used the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) followed by a post hoc Tukey’s HSD analysis (Matlab, The Mathworks, Natick, MA). All of the numerical values presented in the text represent the mean ± SEM.

**RESULTS**

**The generation of olivary wavelets**

In vivo whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were obtained from neurons of the mouse IO. These recordings were collected under two different anaesthetic conditions induced by either KX or MMF to exclude anaesthesia-specific effects. Olivary neurons that were recorded under KX and MMF conditions revealed similar basic membrane properties (Table 1), and these properties were comparable to those obtained in previous ex vivo measurements (Linás and Yarom, 1981; Long et al., 2002; De Zeeuw et al., 2003; Leznik and Linas, 2005). However, the input resistance was slightly, but not significantly, higher under MMF conditions.

We have previously shown that in vivo olivary neurons can exhibit two different types of subthreshold oscillations: typical rhythmic 3–9 Hz SSTOs, or rhythmic 1–3 Hz LTOs (Khosrovani et al., 2007). Spontaneous action potentials in olivary cells that exhibited SSTOs depend on the peak phase of the oscillation under both anaesthetic conditions (both r = 0.71, both p < 0.05), but the phase-frequency distribution of spikes under MMF was slightly shifted compared to that under the KX condition (Figures 2A and 3A; KX: 98 ± 5°; MMF: 119 ± 7°; p < 0.05). To find out whether the phase of the subthreshold oscillations determines the number of wavelets in an olivary spike in vivo, we counted the number of wavelets that were superimposed on the spike ADP (Figure 1B; see arrows) and examined their dependency on the phase and amplitude of the subthreshold oscillation. On average, olivary spikes expressed 2.2 ± 0.1 wavelets (n = 155 spikes) under KX anaesthesia and 2.5 ± 0.2 wavelets (n = 73 spikes) under MMF anaesthesia. These values were not significantly different (p = 0.08) and were comparable to those measured ex vivo (Mathy et al., 2009). The timing of the spike in relation to the phase of the SSTO did not
determine the number of olivary wavelets in either of the two conditions (Figures 2B and 3B; all \( p > 0.05 \)). Inspection of the data of each neuron separately also did not reveal any correlation between the timing of the spike and the number of olivary wavelets. Thus, across the phase bins in which spikes occurred, there was no clear dependence of wavelet number on oscillation phase in our in vivo preparation. Alternatively, the amplitude of oscillation might be able to impose a modulatory effect on the number of wavelets. Therefore, we correlated the number of wavelets with the amplitude of the oscillation. This analysis was performed using data collected under both types of anaesthesia (Figures 2C and 3C). Significant correlations between the amplitude of the SSTO and the number of wavelets were detected for both anaesthetic conditions (Figures 2C and 3C, all phase-bins together, \( r_{KX} = -0.36 \), \( r_{MMF} = -0.58 \), both \( p < 0.01 \)). The overall correlations revealed a negative relationship between the amplitude of the oscillation and the number of wavelets. Furthermore, the phase subset plots of Figures 2C and 3C show that there is no number of wavelet preferences between the different phase-bins.

**SPONTANEOUS vs. SOMATOSENSORY-EVOKED ACTION POTENTIALS**

Up to now, all analyses were performed on spontaneous action potentials. Spike triggering inputs of olivary neurons can activate a subset of cellular responses that might alter the relationship between SSTO, spikes and wavelets. Therefore, we also investigated the relationship between SSTO and wavelets in somatosensory-evoked action potentials. Although strong stimuli were applied randomly to the mouse whisker pad, somatosensory-evoked action potentials in olivary cells that exhibited SSTOs were more easily generated at the peak of the oscillation, indicating also under this condition a clear spiking preference (Figure 4A, \( r = 0.57, p < 0.05, n = 78 \)). The phase-frequency distribution of somatosensory-evoked spikes was slightly shifted compared to the spontaneous spikes under the KX condition (Figures 2A and 4A; spontaneous: 98 ± 5°, evoked: 119 ± 8°; \( p < 0.05 \)). On average, the evoked olivary spikes expressed 1.4 ± 0.1 wavelets (\( n = 78 \) spikes), which is significantly smaller than the amount expressed on spontaneous spikes (2.2 ± 0.1 wavelets; \( p < 0.05 \)). However, it is important to note that our somatosensory-evoked action potential group contain more recordings of cells with bigger SSTO amplitudes (see below). The timing of the evoked spike in relation to the phase of the SSTO did not determine the number of olivary wavelets (Figure 4B; all \( p > 0.05 \)); indicating that the phase of the oscillation did not modulate the number of olivary wavelets on these spikes either. The amplitude of the oscillation and number of wavelets of these evoked spikes were significantly correlated to each other (Figure 4C, all phase-bins together, \( r_{SSS} = -0.49, p < 0.01 \)), indicating also an inverse relationship

### Table 1 | Membrane properties of in vivo olivary neurons under different anaesthetic and genetic conditions.

| Membrane properties | KX anaesthesia (\( n = 37 \)) | MMF anaesthesia (\( n = 8 \)) | Cx36\(^{-/-}\) mutants* (\( n = 10 \)) | \( p \) value |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| \( Rm \) (MΩ)       | 28.8 (22.3–38.2)              | 39.1 (31.7–41.8)              | 41.2 (39.8–49.7)                | \( p_{(KX–Cx36)} < 0.05 \) |
| \( Cm \) (pF)       | 207.5 (142.4–277.1)           | 203.5 (196.3–222.1)           | 130.9 (113.1–152.6)             | \( p_{(KX–Cx36)} < 0.05 \) |
| \( Vm \) (mV)       | −54.0 (−56.8 to −51.0)        | −55.0 (−48.0 to −56.0)        | −52.8 (−49.0 to −54.0)          | ns          |
| \( f \) (Hz)        | 0.44 (0.20–0.58)              | 0.24 (0.12–0.44)              | 0.47 (0.39–0.59)                | ns          |

Statistical analyses of basic membrane properties were performed using the Mann–Whitney U-test.

\*Cx36\(^{-/-}\) mutant mice were anaesthetized using the KX mixture.

\( Rm \), membrane resistance; \( Cm \), membrane capacitance; \( Vm \), membrane potential; \( f \), firing frequency. All numerical values indicate the median (25–75\textsuperscript{th} percentile).
FIGURE 2 | Burst size of olivary wavelets and SSTOs under KX anesthesia. (A) The occurrence of spontaneous spikes (spike probability) in relation to the phase of the SSTO obtained from olivary neurons recorded under KX anesthesia ($n = 155$). (B) Average number of wavelets on the ADP of spontaneous spikes in relation to the phase of the SSTO obtained from olivary neurons recorded under KX anesthesia ($n = 155$). (C) Relationship between number of wavelets and amplitude of SSTO were plotted for each phase-bin. The center graph shows the correlation between the amplitude of the SSTOs and the number of wavelets of all data (all phase-bins together) measured under KX anesthesia. Least squares linear regression lines and correlation coefficients were computed ($r_{KX} = -0.36$, $n = 155$, and $p < 0.01$ (t-test)).
FIGURE 3 | Burst size of olivary wavelets and SSTOs under MMF anesthesia. (A) The occurrence of spontaneous spikes (spike probability) in relation to the phase of the SSTO obtained from olivary neurons recorded under MMF anesthesia ($n = 73$). (B) Average number of wavelets on the ADP of spontaneous spikes in relation to the phase of the SSTO obtained from olivary neurons recorded under MMF anesthesia ($n = 73$). (C) Relationship between number of wavelets and amplitude of SSTO were plotted for each phase-bin. The center graph shows the correlation between the amplitude of the SSTOs and the number of wavelets of all data (all phase-bins together) measured under MMF anesthesia. Least squares linear regression lines and correlation coefficients were computed [$r_{MMF} = -0.58$, $n = 73$, and $p < 0.01$ (t-test)].
FIGURE 4 | Burst size of olivary wavelets and SSTOs of somatosensory-evoked spikes. (A) The occurrence of stimulus evoked spikes (spike probability) in relation to the phase of the SSTO obtained from olivary neurons recorded under KX anaesthesia (n = 78). (B) Average number of wavelets on the ADP of stimulus evoked spikes in relation to the phase of the SSTO obtained from olivary neurons recorded under KX anaesthesia (n = 78). (C) Relationship between number of wavelets and amplitude of SSTO were plotted for each phase-bin. The center graph shows the correlation between the amplitude of the SSTOs and the number of wavelets of all data (all phase-bins together) measured on somatosensory evoked spikes. Least squares linear regression lines and correlation coefficients were computed ($r_{SSS} = -0.49$, $n = 78$, and $p < 0.01$ ($t$-test)).
between the amplitude of the oscillation and the number of wavelets on somatosensory-evoked spikes. Overall, we conclude that under in vivo conditions, the phase of the SSTO does not regulate the number of wavelets (i.e., output) on olivary spikes, but that the number of wavelets depends on the amplitude of the SSTO. This phenomenon can be observed on both spontaneous as well as somatosensory-evoked action potentials, despite their different origin and the activation of different cellular responses.

**ELECTRICAL SYNAPSES**

Olivary neurons are interconnected via gap junctions formed by connexin 36 (Cx36). The lack of Cx36 leads to an absence of electrotonic coupling, to a more voltage-dependent SSTO, to an increased excitability at hyperpolarizing states and to an altered interaction between SSTO and the generation of an action potential (Long et al., 2002; De Zeeuw et al., 2003; Van Der Giessen et al., 2008). Therefore, Cx36−/− mutant mice provide a condition in which the relationship between the generation of action potentials and the phase of the oscillation is weakened, which might give the opportunity to unmask possible phase-related modulatory effects on olivary burst firing. In vivo whole-cell recordings from the SSTO neurons of Cx36−/− mutants anesthetized with KX revealed a reduction in the spiking preference in relation to the phase of the oscillation (Figure 5A, r = 0.56, p < 0.05). Also the phase-frequency distribution of spikes from olivary neurons in the Cx36−/− mutants was shifted compared to spikes recorded from olivary neurons in wild type (WT) mice under KX anaesthetic conditions (Figures 2A and 5A; WT: 98 ± 5°, Cx36−/−: 123 ± 8°; p < 0.05). On average, the olivary spikes of Cx36−/− mutants expressed 2.3 ± 0.1 wavelets (n = 83 spikes) under KX anaesthesia. This value was not significantly different compared to the value obtained from the olivary neurons of WT mice under either KX or MMF anaesthesia (p = 0.38 and p = 0.30, respectively). In the recordings from mutant mice, we did not detect a significant modulation of the number of wavelets in relation to the phase of the oscillation (Figure 5B, all p > 0.05). Thus, the phase of the SSTO did also not modulate the number of olivary waves in our in vivo Cx36−/− preparations exhibiting a weakened relationship between the oscillation phase and the spiking preference. However, different from all our previous results, the amplitude of the oscillation and number of olivary spike wavelets were not significantly correlated in Cx36−/− mutants (Figure 5G, r_{Cx36} = −0.20, p > 0.05). Therefore, we conclude that under in vivo conditions, gap junction coupling via Cx36 may be involved in the modulatory effect of the amplitude of oscillation.

In all four conditions (KX anesthesia, MMF anesthesia, somatosensory-evoked action potentials and Cx36−/−), the majority of the action potentials occur during the peak of the oscillation (~45–135°). In order to analyze the impact of the oscillation amplitude on the number of wavelets, we selected and pooled the action potentials of the 45–90° and 90–135° phase subsets for further analysis and cross-conditional comparisons. Consequently, we removed any putative confounding factors of other phase-subsets. Also under these new constraints, the amplitude of the oscillation and number of wavelets were significantly correlated to each other in three out of four conditions (Figure 6; r_{KX} = −0.27, r_{MMF} = −0.67, r_{SS} = −0.55, all p < 0.01; r_{Cx36} = −0.21, p > 0.05), confirming the amplitude dependency under all circumstances except in animals lacking electrical coupling. Cross-conditional comparisons of the regression lines revealed a significant difference in amplitude dependency between these groups (p < 0.01, ANCOVA). Further analyses revealed that the linear regression line made from the MMF data (the slope as well as the intercept) was significantly different from the linear regression lines of the other conditions (all p < 0.05, Tukey’s HSD test).

**HARMALINE**

So far, this correlation was obtained by combining the results from many cells. This was necessary because under our in vivo conditions, the variability of the oscillation amplitude within a single cell is limited. In order to investigate the causality of this relationship further, we manipulated the amplitude of the oscillations by injecting mice with harmaline (50 mg/kg) during the recordings and correlated the number of wavelets with the manipulated amplitude of the oscillation of a single cell. In all recorded cells (n = 6), harmaline increased the amplitude of the oscillation but did not affect the firing rate (Figure 7A). Single cell analysis of data collected from harmaline-injected WT animals (n = 3) revealed also significant correlations between the amplitude of the SSTO and the number of wavelets (Figure 7B, all three WT s p < 0.01), confirming the inverse relationship between the amplitude of the oscillation and the number of wavelets but now demonstrated within single cells. The three cells that were collected from Cx36−/− mutants did not show any significant correlations (Figure 7B, all three Cx36−/− p > 0.05), which also agrees with our results mentioned previously. Thus, manipulating the amplitude of the oscillation from small to large reduced the probability of expressing wavelets (i.e., a smaller burst in olivary axons/climbing fibers), and this causal relationship was not observed in olivary neurons lacking Cx36 gap junctions.

**LOW-THRESHOLD Ca2+ DEPOLARIZATIONS AFFECT THE GENERATION OF OLIVARY WAVELETS**

In addition to neurons that exhibit SSTO, the IO also contains neurons that express rhythmic 1–3 Hz LTOs. Because this type of oscillation was never observed in mice that were anesthetized with MMF, the following analyses were only conducted for olivary neurons recorded from mice that were anesthetized by KX. Spontaneous action potentials in olivary neurons that exhibited LTOs revealed a significant preference for spiking on top of the low-threshold Ca2+ depolarizations (Figures 8A,B, p < 0.05). Because the waveform of the LTO cannot be fitted correctly to a sine wave, it is impossible to determine the oscillation phase and subsequently the phase-spiking relationship. Therefore, waveform analysis was performed using a simpler bimodal approach. The number of wavelets was counted on the ADP of spontaneous olivary spikes and grouped for the spikes that were on top of and in between the low-threshold Ca2+ depolarizations. On average,
FIGURE 5 | Burst size of olivary wavelets and SSTOs in Cx36−/− mutants. (A) The occurrence of spontaneous spikes (spike probability) in relation to the phase of the SSTO obtained from olivary neurons recorded in Cx36−/− mutants (n = 83). (B) Average number of wavelets on the ADP of spontaneous spikes in relation to the phase of the SSTO obtained from olivary neurons recorded in Cx36−/− mutants (n = 83). (C) Relationship between number of wavelets and amplitude of SSTO were plotted for each phase-bin. The center graph shows the correlation between the amplitude of the SSTOs and the number of wavelets of all data (all phase-bins together) measured in Cx36−/− mutant mice. Least squares linear regression lines and correlation coefficients were computed [r_{CX36} = −0.20, n = 83, and p > 0.05 (t-test)].
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**FIGURE 6 | Burst size of olivary wavelets during the peak phase (45°-135°) of the SSTOs.** Relationships between number of wavelets and amplitude of SSTO were plotted for spikes that occur during the peak phase (45°-135°) of the SSTOs. Least squares linear regression lines and correlation coefficients were computed for all four conditions (KX anesthesia, MMF anesthesia, somatosensory-evoked action potentials and Cx36\(^{-/-}\)). Amplitude of the oscillation and number of olivary spike wavelets are significantly correlated under KX conditions \(A; r_{KX} = -0.27, n = 110 \ (71\%), p < 0.01 \ (t\text{-test})\) and under MMF conditions \(B; r_{MMF} = -0.67, n = 56 \ (77\%), p < 0.01 \ (t\text{-test})\). Amplitude of the oscillation and number of olivary spike wavelets are significantly correlated when measured on somatosensory evoked spikes \(C; r_{SSS} = -0.55, n = 44 \ (56\%), p < 0.01 \ (t\text{-test})\) and are not significantly correlated when measured in Cx36\(^{-/-}\) mutant mice \(D; r_{CX36} = -0.21, n = 53 \ (64\%), p > 0.05 \ (t\text{-test})\).

these olivary spikes also expressed 2.2 ± 0.1 wavelets \(n = 165\) spikes) under KX anaesthesia, but the number of wavelets was significantly higher in olivary spikes that were not elicited by a low-threshold Ca\(^{2+}\) depolarization (Figure 8C, \(p < 0.01\)).

Olivary neurons that were not interconnected to one another via Cx36 gap junctions showed a preference for spiking on top of the low-threshold Ca\(^{2+}\) depolarizations (Figure 8B, \(p < 0.05\)), similar to olivary neurons that expressed Cx36. However, the olivary neurons recorded from Cx36\(^{-/-}\) mutants did not modulate the number of wavelets in a manner dependent on the spike timing in relation to the LTO (Figure 8C, \(p = 0.9\)).

The bimodal analysis of the relationship between spike timing and the number of olivary wavelets was relatively crude. Therefore, we correlated the number of wavelets with the size of the low-threshold Ca\(^{2+}\) depolarization. A significant correlation between the size of the low-threshold Ca\(^{2+}\) depolarization and the number of wavelets was found (Figure 8D, \(p < 0.01\)). These correlations revealed a negative relationship between the size of the low-threshold Ca\(^{2+}\) depolarization and the number of wavelets. In the Cx36\(^{-/-}\) mutants, no correlation was detected (Figure 8E, both \(p > 0.05\)). However, the size and number of the low-threshold Ca\(^{2+}\) depolarizations were limited in this Cx36\(^{-/-}\) mutant study (Figure 8E).

Overall, the size of the olivary axonal burst can be regulated, but it depends on the amplitude of subthreshold oscillation or size of the low-threshold Ca\(^{2+}\) depolarization that is expressed by the olivary neuron. Furthermore, gap junction coupling via Cx36 may be involved in this process.

**DISCUSSION**

We demonstrated that the phase of the olivary SSTO did not regulate the number of wavelets in olivary bursts under *in vivo* conditions. Instead, we found that the number of wavelets in olivary bursts correlated with the amplitude of the olivary subthreshold oscillation. In addition, this property was not observed in olivary neurons that lacked Cx36 gap junctions. These findings change the current view regarding the nature of the information that is transmitted from the IO to Purkinje cells.

**NUMBER OF WAVELETS: MODULATION BY PHASE OR AMPLITUDE?**

Our electrophysiological recordings confirm that olivary neurons can generate wavelets under *in vivo* conditions, which was first reported by Crill (1970). The average number of olivary wavelets observed in the present study is similar to previously reported results obtained both *in vivo* and *ex vivo*...
FIGURE 7 | Harmaline induced alteration of the oscillation amplitude confirms the negative relationship between the amplitude of the SSTOs and the number of wavelets on the ADP of olivary spikes within a single cell. (A) Top panel: trace of a spontaneous sinusoidal subthreshold oscillating olivary neuron (in vivo) during the application of harmaline. Middle panel: three magnifications obtained from the trace above. Magnifications were taken from the marked time windows (*, **, and ***), and show the effect of harmaline on the subthreshold oscillation. Lower panel: enlargement of the olivary action potential shown in the panel above. The number of the olivary wavelets on top of the afterdepolarization declines, while the amplitude of the oscillation increases. (B) Correlation between the amplitude of the SSTOs and the number of wavelets on the ADP of olivary spikes measured in cells from wild type (left panel) and from Cx36<sup>−/−</sup> mutant mice (right panel). Least squares linear regression lines and correlation coefficients were computed from each cell. Wild types (left panel) cell 1: \( r = -0.59, \, n = 116, \, p < 0.01 \) (t-test); cell 2: \( r = -0.55, \, n = 143, \, p < 0.01 \) (t-test); cell 3: \( r = -0.63, \, n = 46, \, p < 0.01 \) (t-test). Cx36<sup>−/−</sup> mutants (right panel) cell 4: \( r = -0.04, \, n = 223, \, p > 0.05 \) (t-test); cell 5: \( r = -0.14, \, n = 74, \, p > 0.05 \) (t-test); cell 6: \( r = -0.23, \, n = 35, \, p > 0.05 \) (t-test).

(Crill, 1970; Mathy et al., 2009). We found that the timing of the spike in relation to the phase of these spontaneous subthreshold oscillations did not determine the number of olivary wavelets in our in vivo preparation. It is, though, important to note that in the trough of the oscillation phase bins are present in which no spikes occurred and therefore no wavelets were generated. This scenario is substantially different from a spike that generates no wavelets, because in the first case there is an absence of signal whereas in the latter case the number of wavelets is completely down modulated. This result is inconsistent with the ex vivo findings of Mathy et al. (2009). This opposite result might be due to differences in the experimental design. First, Mathy et al. (2009) injected an artificial fixed-amplitude sinusoidal current into the soma to compensate for the lack of spontaneous subthreshold oscillations in their ex vivo preparation. Such an induced subthreshold oscillation does not mimic the spontaneous subthreshold oscillation generated by a rhythmic ensemble of dendritic high-threshold and somatic low-threshold Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent potassium conductances and an H-conductance (Llinás and Yarom, 1986). Second, the IO is isolated in the slice preparation. Removal of the IO from the olivocerebellar loop changes the physiology of olivary cells (Chorev et al., 2007; Khosrovani et al., 2007; Bracha et al., 2009). The observed phase modulation in olivary cells could be due to one or both of these altered physiological conditions. The potential drawback of the in vivo preparation is the use and influence of anaesthetics. To address this issue, we used two different kinds of anaesthetics: KX and MMF. Neither condition revealed a phase modulation of the number of wavelets across the phase bins in which spikes occurred. Despite their different influences on a variety of membrane properties, the effects on the phase modulation of the number of wavelets were unambiguous. This result indicates that the type of anaesthetic used does not affect this process. Furthermore, similar results were obtained by analyzing somatosensory-evoked action potentials, suggesting no additional effects by cellular responses related to input processing.
In the present study, however, we provide direct evidence that the number of olivary wavelets is related to the oscillation amplitude. Interestingly, oscillations with small amplitudes showed larger numbers of olivary wavelets compared to oscillations with large amplitudes. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report that the amplitude of the subthreshold oscillation can modulate wavelet number in olivary cells. Both anaesthetics (KX and MMF) revealed an amplitude dependency of the number of wavelets, but the dependency on amplitude was also significantly stronger under MMF than under KX conditions, indicating a possible role for NMDA and/or GABA receptors in this process. The existence of this relationship was
confirmed by using Harmaline to manipulate the amplitude of the subthreshold oscillations. The drug is known to cause an 8–14 Hz tremor in mice (Wang and Fowler, 2001) and it is assumed to act by modulating the rhythm-generating ionic currents of IO cells (Llinás and Yarom, 1986; Choi et al., 2010; Park et al., 2010). In our experimental set-up Harmaline caused a prominent increase in the amplitude of the oscillations, without affecting the frequency of the oscillations and the firing frequency. The data collected in these experiments demonstrate the causality of the inverse relationship between oscillations amplitude and number of wavelets, and agrees with the results obtained during physiological oscillations. We also demonstrated that this inverse relationship was not present in olivary neurons that lacked Cx36 gap junction coupling to other olivary neurons. Although gap junction coupling is not necessary for the generation and maintenance of olivary oscillations, it can clearly affect the oscillatory properties of IO cells (Long et al., 2002; De Zeeuw et al., 2003; Leznik and Llinas, 2005). However, it is not yet clear how (mechanistically) the gap junctions are involved in the amplitude-dependent modulation of the wavelets.

**FUNCTIONAL RELEVANCE OF CLIMBING FIBER BURST MODULATION**

We have demonstrated that the amplitude, but not the phase, of olivary oscillations modulates the climbing fiber bursts. This mechanism allows olivary axons to convey information about the amplitude of the olivary subthreshold oscillation to Purkinje cells. In the present results, the olivary axonal bursts are smaller when the spike is evoked on a subthreshold oscillation with large amplitude than when it is evoked on a subthreshold oscillation with small amplitude. Studies of Gellman et al. (1985) and Andersson and Armstrong (1987) revealed that the IO can function as an “unexpected” event detector; complex spikes were induced in animals that received an “unexpected” perturbation during their movement. We hypothesize that from the movement command an expected sensory profile is generated. The IO compares this expected sensory profile with the achieved sensory profile that is generated during the movement. An unexpected perturbation induces a mismatch between these two profiles and consequently the IO cells generate a burst of action potentials. We suggest that this expected sensory profile is encoded in the amplitude of the subthreshold oscillations in that a high level of “expectation” is reflected in high amplitude of the oscillation. Or in other words, low-amplitude oscillations make the olivary neurons more likely to respond strongly to signals with a high teaching level. Subsequently, olivary bursts are relayed to Purkinje cells, where they can modify the synaptic transmission between parallel fibers and Purkinje cells (Mathy et al., 2009) and adjust motor performance. According to this theory, the inability of olivary neurons without Cx36 to modulate the axonal bursts should, in Cx36 mutant mice, result in impairment in discriminating between the occurrences of expected and unexpected events during movements. Both locomotion and eye-blink conditioning experiments in Cx36−/− mutant mice revealed that these mice were indeed not able to correctly convert the associated conditional tone into an expected sensory event (Van Der Giessen et al., 2008), which is in line with our hypothesis.

Thus, the amplitudes of olivary subthreshold oscillations might provide a mechanism to grade the expectancy of an event. This information is conveyed by olivary axons to Purkinje cells and is encoded by the size of the burst. Because amplitude modulation of the olivary oscillations in Cx36−/− neurons cannot regulate the burst size, we conclude that the Cx36 gap junction coupling of olive cells is necessary for this mechanism.
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