Clump lifetimes and the initial mass function
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ABSTRACT
Recent studies of dense clumps/cores in a number of regions of low-mass star formation have shown that the mass distribution of these clumps closely resembles the initial mass function (IMF) of field stars. One possible interpretation of these observations is that we are witnessing the fragmentation of the clouds into the IMF, and the observed clumps are bound pre-stellar cores. In this paper, we highlight a potential difficulty in this interpretation, namely that clumps of varying mass are likely to have systematically varying lifetimes. This ‘time-scale’ problem can effectively destroy the similarity between the clump and stellar mass functions, such that a stellar-like clump mass function results in a much steeper stellar IMF. We also discuss some ways in which this problem may be avoided.
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1 INTRODUCTION

One of the major goals in studying star formation is to understand what determines the observed distribution of stellar masses, the initial mass function (IMF). There have been a number of ideas to explain the origins of the IMF including fragmentation, accretion, magnetic fields and stellar feedback (for a list of theories, see references within Shu, Adams & Lizano 1987; Mac Low & Klessen 2004; Bonnell, Larson & Zinnecker 2007). One of the most intriguing developments has been the recent finding that the mass distribution of pre-stellar cores, those that have not yet, but appear to be on the verge of forming stars, is similar to the stellar IMF (Motte, André & Neri 1998; Testi & Sargent 1998; Johnstone et al. 2000, 2001; Johnstone, Matthews & Mitchell 2006; Nutter & Ward-Thompson 2006). This has led to the suggestion that fragmentation during the pre-stellar regime leads directly to the stellar IMF. In such a cloud fragmentation picture, the IMF is essentially primordial, since the clump/core masses are presumed to provide the main gas reservoir for each forming system. Furthermore, models of turbulent fragmentation may be able to explain this distribution of clump masses lending additional weight to this scenario (Fleck 1982; Hunter & Fleck 1982; Elmegreen 1993; Padoan 1995; Padoan, Nordlund & Jones 1997; Myers 2000; Klessen 2001; Padoan & Nordlund 2002).

In this paper, we highlight a problem in interpreting the observed clump mass distribution as a population of bound, pre-stellar cores. We show that such an interpretation would suggest a final stellar IMF which is significantly steeper than the observed mass function. In Section 2, we briefly discuss the observational studies that have examined the clump mass distributions in the nearby star-forming regions. Section 3 contains our description of the time-scale problem that one encounters when assuming that these clumps are to be the progenitors of individual stars or systems, and we extend this discussion to include significant fragmentation in Section 4. In Section 5, we discuss ways in which the time-scale problem can be avoided and we summarize the paper in Section 6. In this paper, we will use the term ‘clump’ to refer to any density enhancement and ‘core’ or ‘pre-stellar’ core to refer to those clumps which are going to form stars. We also assume in this paper that the clumps have a density contrast with respect to the ambient cloud such that they are gravitationally decoupled from their surroundings.

2 CLUMP/CORE OBSERVATIONS IN LOW-MASS STAR-FORMING REGIONS

The first large study of clump masses was published by Motte et al. (1998) for a population of submillimetre cores in ρ Oph. They discovered a total of 58 starless clumps, ranging in mass from 0.05 to ∼3 M⊙. The clump mass function (CMF) was shown to be similar to that of the stellar IMF, with power-law fit \( dn/dm \propto m^{-\alpha} \) following \( \alpha = 2.5 \) above ∼0.5 M⊙ and \( \alpha = 1.5 \) below. Testi & Sargent (1998) confirmed that clumps in Serpens also have a similar mass distribution, with their clumps following \( \alpha = 2.1 \) between ∼0.6 and ∼20 M⊙ (although some potential caveats with this method have been discussed by Ossenkopf, Klessen & Heitsch 2001).

Further studies by Johnstone and colleagues of clump properties have been conducted with Submillimetre Common-User Bolometer Array (SCUBA) on the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT), focusing on ρ Oph (Johnstone et al. 2000), the Orion B north region (Johnstone et al. 2001) and the Orion B south region (Johnstone et al. 2006). The 55 clumps from the ρ Oph study were found to cover a slightly larger range than the Motte et al. (1998) study, going from...
0.02 to 6.3 M⊙. The mass spectrum was, however, very similar, with \( \alpha = 1.5 \) below 0.6 M⊙ and \( \alpha = 2.5 \) above, despite differences in both the observational methods and the clump finding techniques used. While observations of clumps in Orion B north region yield similar results to those in Serpens and \( \rho \) Oph, there does appear to be a distinct difference in the clump properties in Orion B south region. The 57 identified cores in the Johnstone et al. (2006) study span a mass range from \( \sim 0.4 \) to \( \sim 28 \) M⊙ but have a turnover from \( \alpha = 2.5 \) to \( \alpha \sim 1.5 \) at somewhere between 3 and 10 M⊙, clearly a much higher turnover mass than that found in the other regions. Nutter & Ward-Thompson (2007) have taken this further, using SCUBA archive data on Orion, and found that this higher turnover is true for the region in general. A similar result was found in the Pipe nebula (Alves, Lombardi & Lada 2007; Lada et al. 2007) using extinction mapping. One can find more complete discussions of the properties of clumps, or ‘cores’, in the reviews of Di Francesco et al. (2007) and Ward-Thompson et al. (2007).

An exciting interpretation of these observations is that we are witnessing the direct formation of the IMF via fragmentation of the parent cloud. This suggests that there could be a mapping between the observed clumps and the final IMF, with the clumps providing the primary reservoir of material for each star or systems that form within. Clearly, this is an enticing picture, since it implies that one may be able to directly study the origin of the IMF simply by examining the observable features of the gas. Also, the fact that clumps extend smoothly down past the hydrogen burning limit may then suggest that brown dwarfs form as part of the same process as a general star formation. (Padoan & Nordlund 2004). Greaves, Holland & Pound (2003) have even discovered a potential pre-planetary cloud, which may suggest that this cloud fragmentation process can extend down into the planet mass regime.

However, the evidence that these clumps are the direct origin of the stellar IMF is by no means conclusive. In particular, Johnstone and collaborators (Johnstone et al. 2000, 2001, 2006) demonstrate that the clumps in their studies are more consistent with stable Bonnor–Ebert spheres, and are thus unlikely to be in a state of active star formation. This appears to be the case for all the regions they have studied, including \( \rho \) Oph, in which Motte et al. (1998) claim that the majority of clumps are bound. Until recently, most of the studies have little, or no, linewidth information and are thus unable to accurately determine the internal thermal and kinetic energies of the clumps. Some work has been done for \( \rho \) Oph (Belloche, André & Motte 2001; André, Belloche & Peretto, in preparation) and NGC 2068 in the Orion B cloud (André, private communication), which shows that the velocities are low in these regions, suggesting that the contribution to support from internal rotation, and/or turbulence, may be small and so the clumps are bound. These detailed molecular line surveys will be able to address the concerns that we raise in this paper.

3 THE TIME-SCALE FOR FRAGMENTATION

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate that mapping the observed CMF on to the stellar IMF is not straightforward. The problem lies in the fact that clumps of different mass will most likely evolve on different time-scales. If one denotes the evolution time of a clump by \( t_{\text{evol}} \), then the final IMF, \( f_{\text{IMF}}(m) \), is related to the CMF, \( f_{\text{CMF}}(m) \), by

\[
f_{\text{IMF}}(m) \approx \frac{f_{\text{CMF}}(m) \tau_{\text{CMF}}}{t_{\text{evol}}(m)},
\]

where \( m \) denotes the mass of the objects in each case and \( \tau_{\text{CMF}} \) is the time-scale over which the CMF exists [and we suggest below that this is typically longer than \( t_{\text{evol}}(m) \) for most \( m \)]. If the evolution time-scale for the clump is dependent on its mass, then any power-law form that is taken for the CMF will differ from the form of the IMF.

In this section, we will illustrate this problem using some simple Jeans mass and time-scale arguments. For the time-scale, in the following discussion, we will use the free-fall time, \( t_{\text{ff}} \), since we are discussing the idea that these clumps are the bound progenitors of young, protostellar systems.

If each clump is to collapse to form a star, or small \( N \) system, then it must have at least one Jeans mass by definition (Jeans 1902). In its simplest form, the Jeans mass can be thought of as the critical mass at which the (negative) gravitational energy exceeds the internal energy. For a uniform density sphere, this corresponds to a critical mass,

\[
m_j = \left( \frac{4\pi\rho}{3} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{5kT}{2G\mu} \right)^{3/2}.
\]

where \( \rho \) is the density, \( T \) is the temperature, \( \mu \) is the mean molecular weight, and \( k \) and \( G \) are the Boltzmann and gravitational constants, respectively. For simplicity, we will first assume that each clump has one Jeans mass, although we will relax this later on. Assuming the temperature in the region is roughly constant, the clump mass then needs to vary with the clump density in the same way as the Jeans mass,

\[
m \propto \rho^{-1/2},
\]

such that low-mass clumps need to have a higher density than their high-mass companions. Note that a single density is sufficient to describe the clump, since gravity only responds to the volume-averaged density (e.g. the critical Bonnor–Ebert mass is only a factor of \( \sim 2 \) smaller than the Jeans mass, despite the density profile in the Bonnor–Ebert sphere). In the absence of any other form of support, and assuming no further external compression, the core will collapse on its free-fall time, \( t_{\text{ff}} \), which is again dependent on the volume-averaged density,

\[
t_{\text{ff}} \propto \rho^{-1/2}.
\]

Ward-Thompson et al. (2007) have also shown that there exists a systemic trend in clump lifetime with density, and at the densities we consider here; this is of the order of the free-fall time. If the clumps are to be marginally bound, then their collapse time-scale should be a function of their mass,

\[
t_{\text{collapse}} \propto m_{\text{clump}}.
\]

Even in cases where magnetic fields and ambipolar diffusion are significant, once the clump becomes bound (supercritical), it collapses on a time-scale which is proportional to the free-fall time (Tassis & Mouschovias 2004). So, if one assumes that all the clumps are involved in creating stars, higher-mass clumps should take longer to form their protostars than lower-mass clumps. Given the two orders of magnitude in mass that is present in the IMF, one then requires a population of clumps which collapse with a similar range in time-scales. The CMF can then be converted to a distribution of collapse time-scales, which we plot in Fig. 1. In making this figure, we have used the Jeans mass, given by equation (2) and the free-fall time, given by

\[
t_{\text{ff}} = \left( \frac{3\pi}{32G\rho} \right)^{1/2}.
\]
where \( G \) is the standard gravitational constant. In calculating the density from the Jeans density using a gas temperature of 10 K and a mean molecular weight of \( \mu = 2.46 \).

There are two immediate questions. First, assuming that these clumps are the progenitors of future stellar systems, and noting that they should have different evolutionary time-scales, why do we see all the clumps at the same time? Secondly, why do we also see this same distribution in each of the nearby star-forming regions?

The fact that (roughly) the same clump mass distribution is seen in so many regions of active star formation suggests that such a clump population is constant in time, since all the regions have different ages. Otherwise, we would have to assume that we have caught these regions at a very special time in their evolution and that special time is right now. This is made more unlikely by the fact that both the dynamical time-scale (or crossing time) and the free-fall time of the low-mass clumps are remarkably short (as little as 10^4 yr) in comparison to the age estimates of local star-forming regions. Therefore, 'now' would have to be within 10^8 yr of each region's special evolutionary phase. It thus seems reasonable to assume that the observed form of the CMF is not a brief phase in a star-forming region's evolution. It should also be noted here that the CMF is also expected to be time-independent in an environment which is dominated by driven turbulence (e.g. see Ballesteros-Paredes et al. 2006; Padoan et al. 2007).

The mapping between the clumps and stars/systems can only make sense if what we observe as the clump mass distribution is a uniquely occurring population of pre-stellar cores, and we show here why this is the case. If the clump population is constantly collapsing to form stars on local free-fall times, and if the clumps are constantly being replenished, then one would have a mass function of stars that has many more low-mass objects than what is observed for the IMF. This is because low-mass clumps have shorter lifetimes than their higher-mass siblings, and they are being constantly replenished such that the pre-collapse clump population remains constant in time.

We can demonstrate this by evolving a simple mass function for the time that the highest-mass clump takes to collapse, and making the following assumptions.

(i) The clump mass distribution is constant in time (always replenished);
(ii) The clumps each have roughly 1 Jeans mass;
(iii) The clumps collapse on the corresponding free-fall time-scale.

For illustration, we will assume a clump IMF of

\[
dN(m) \propto m^{-1.35} dm \quad 0.08 < m/M_\odot < 0.5,
\]

\[
dN(m) \propto m^{-2.35} dm \quad m/M_\odot > 0.5,
\]

which is similar to those quoted in Section 2 which have been observed in active regions of nearby star formation. Note here that our high-mass power-law is roughly Salpeter (Salpeter 1955), but neither the exact form of the mass function nor the lowest-mass bin is important to the following discussion.

In Fig. 2, we plot the evolution of two CMFs. The left-hand side plot shows how a clump population, that is similar to the stellar IMF, evolves to form a population of protostars, based on the three assumptions stated above. Since the highest-mass clump in the mass distribution has 10 M_\odot, we evolve the clump mass spectra until this clump has collapsed, that is, for a time of roughly 2 Myr. In expression (5), we see that there exists a linear relationship between the time-scale for collapse and the mass of the clump. This means that ten 1-M_\odot stars can form in the same period as one 10-M_\odot star. Such a linear time-scale—mass relationship then results in an increase in the power of the final mass function, such that a clump mass distribution described by expression (7) results in a much steeper IMF like,

\[
dN(m) \propto m^{-2.35} dm \quad 0.08 < m/M_\odot < 0.5,
\]

\[
dN(m) \propto m^{-3.35} dm \quad m/M_\odot > 0.5.
\]

This is clearly much steeper than the stellar IMF and not consistent with the clump IMF that we start with.

Using this result, it is then possible to work backwards, and determine what CMF one should observe if such a process is to generate the IMF. This is done by solving 1 from the power in the mass function, giving

\[
dN(m) \propto m^{-0.35} dm \quad 0.08 < m/M_\odot < 0.5,
\]

\[
dN(m) \propto m^{-1.35} dm \quad m/M_\odot > 0.5.
\]

This is shown graphically in the right-hand side plot in Fig. 2. It is clear for the figure that the required CMF is much shallower than those quoted in Section 2, for the simple picture we have outlined. In fact, the required CMF is much more similar to that commonly quoted for the internal structure of molecular clouds in general, which is observed to follow a ~1.5 power (Loren 1989; Stutzki & Guesten 1990; Blitz 1991; Williams, de Geus & Blitz 1994).

### 4 CLUMPS WITH MULTIPLE JEANS MASSES

In the previous section, we showed how a distribution of clump masses would evolve into a stellar population, assuming that the clump distribution was constant in time and that each clump had one thermal Jeans mass. In such conditions, each clump would form roughly one star, resulting in a strong mapping between the CMF and the IMF (as has been suggested by Shu, Li & Allen 2004). This is an extreme picture. Current observations show that the multiplicity of young stellar objects is higher than in the field-star population.
and that these protostellar systems exist on scales smaller than, or similar to, the average clump size in the region (Duchêne et al. 2004; Correia et al. 2006). Indeed, there is now mounting evidence that the observed clump mass distribution should be the origin of small $N$ systems rather than single stars (e.g. see Andrè, Motte & Neri 2000; Goodwin, Whitworth & Ward-Thompson 2004a,b). For the clumps to fragment, it is likely that they will then need to have several Jeans masses in their initial configuration (Tohline 1980, 2002; Larson 1985; Shu et al. 1987; Bastien et al. 1991; Burkert & Bodenheimer 1993; Bonnell 1999; Tsuribe & Inutsuka 1999; Sterzik, Durisen & Zinnecker 2003; Goodwin et al. 2004a,b). One therefore needs to examine the evolution of a clump mass distribution in which the members have a range of Jeans masses.

In Fig. 3, we plot the time-scale distribution for a clump distribution which covers the same mass range as given in expression (7). Assuming that each clump has one Jeans mass, we get the analytic solution discussed above. This is shown in Fig. 3 as the solid (black) line. Note that this has exactly the same form as that plotted in Fig. 1. We also plot three other distributions in Fig. 3, in which we assume each clump can have between 1 and $N_J$ Jeans masses, with $N_J = 3, 5$ and 10. For each clump, $N_J$ is chosen randomly from a uniform distribution in the permitted range. A clump with mass $m_{\text{clump}}$ will then have an associated Jeans mass of $m_J = m_{\text{clump}}/N_J$. If the temperature remains constant, the density of the clump is then given by the Jeans mass relation (2), which in turn translates into a free-fall time, given by equation (6). The distribution of these new time-scales is plotted in Fig. 3.

The important feature to note from Fig. 3 is that the distribution of collapse time-scales becomes wider as the clumps increase their potential to fragment. This means that clumps which are capable of forming small $N$ systems, such as binaries or triples, are also susceptible to the time-scale problem that we discuss in Section 3.

5 AVOIDING THE TIME-SCALE PROBLEM

The most obvious way the clump population could avoid the time-scale problem is for all the clumps to have roughly the same density, since then their free-fall time-scales would be centred around a regional value.

This is the route Padoan & Nordlund (2002) follow. They describe how an IMF-like distribution of Jeans unstable clumps can be formed naturally via the supersonic turbulence in molecular clouds. They predict that the shock jump-conditions in a molecular gas give rise to a clump population which is characterized by a roughly uniform density (Note that $\rho_{\text{jump}} \propto m_J^{1/6}$ in their model). The higher-mass clumps are, most likely, Jeans unstable, since the Jeans mass is lower for these clumps. However, as one moves to progressively lower-mass clumps, this is not the case. One must then look to what fraction of the total clumps have a density higher than that predicted from the jump-conditions, and Padoan & Nordlund (2002) make use of the density PDF to estimate this bound fraction of clumps. As a result, the high-mass clumps in the Salpeter section are characterized by
single density, and so the largest have many Jeans masses, while the lower-mass clumps follow the same density scaling law as we describe above. The lower-mass clumps (to the left-hand side of the turnover point) are therefore susceptible to the time-scale problem that we outline in this paper. We therefore expect that the Padoan & Nordlund (2002) CMF would result in more low-mass stars than is seen in the stellar IMF.

While a single common clump density does get around the time-scale problem, it is unlikely that the CMF will be the dominant factor which controls the IMF under these conditions. This is due to the process of competitive accretion (Larson 1978; Zinnecker 1982; Bonnell et al. 1997, 2001a,b; Klessen 2001; Bonnell, Larson & Zinnecker 2007; although see also the debate between Krumholz, McKee & Klein 2005; Bonnell & Bate 2006), which will result in the clumps competing for the available mass, including the mass which currently ‘belongs’ to other clumps. A single density also means a single Jeans mass (assuming a roughly constant temperature), and so clump masses progressively larger than this Jeans mass become increasingly more unstable to fragmentation (Burkert & Bodenheimer 1993; Tsuribe & Inutsuka 1999; Delgado-Donate, Clarke & Bate 2004; Goodwin et al. 2004a,b). This results in small $N$ systems, in which competitive accretion will also be an important process.

Thus, it seems that while the time-scale problem can be avoided if the clumps are characterized by a single density, competitive accretion would most likely dominate the final IMF. For a CMF to be the progenitor of the IMF, the majority of the objects must be bound, have a common density and be able to resist both high levels of subfragmentation and competitive accretion. Note that recent results show that even weak magnetic fields may be able to inhibit fragmentation to some extent (Hosking & Whitworth 2004; Ziegler 2005; Fromang, Hennebelle & Teyssier 2006), so the fragmentation issue might still be avoided.

One could perhaps argue that the discussion in Section 3 above is too simplistic, since we ignored any non-thermal forms of support, such as rotation, turbulence and magnetic fields, all of which could potentially alter the time-scale for the collapse of a clump. However, from numerical studies it is typically found that rotational or turbulent support does not substantially alter the collapse time-scale (e.g. see Tsuribe & Inutsuka 1999; Banerjee, Pudritz & Anderson 2006). The reason is simply that such forms of support are typically non-isotropic, allowing collapse to occur along favourable directions, with a time-scale given by (roughly) the free-fall time. A similar argument holds for magnetic support (Heitsch, Mac Low & Klessen 2001).

In a magnetically dominated gas, it is theoretically possible to remove the mass dependency of the clump collapse time-scale, provided both the local mass-to-flux ratio and ionization fractions are favourably balanced (Tassis & Mouschovias 2004). However, at present, there is no compelling reason why such a delicate balance should exist. One can construct a similar situation by varying the temperature in the clumps. In such a case, the Jeans mass variation from clump to clump would be controlled primarily by the temperature, with the density being constant ($\rho \propto m_J^{-1/2} \rho_{\text{clump}}^{3/2}$). However, this would still require a temperature range from around 2 to 45 K for clumps in the range 0.1 to 10 $M_{\odot}$, respectively. Linewidth observations suggest that such a wide range of temperatures are not to be expected and indeed clump mass estimates from submm observations tend to assume a single temperature for a star-forming ‘core’ in the range 10–20 K.

The ‘hierarchical’ fragmentation picture (Hoyle 1953) would also avoid the time-scale problem, and indeed Larson (1973) suggested that such a process may be able to form a mass function of fragments similar to the IMF. One could perhaps argue that the regions in which the clump masses are observed to be larger (Johnstone et al. 2006; Lada et al. 2007; Nutter & Ward-Thompson 2007) are just in an earlier stage of the hierarchical collapse process. However, as Larson (1973) pointed out, one needs some way of preventing the fragments from merging and in fact no simulation to date has shown signs of hierarchical fragmentation, as it is described in the models (Larson 2007). The main problem is that it is not possible for density fluctuations to grow faster than the ambient collapse, unless these fluctuations have Jeans mass to start with (Tohline 1980), which results in initial conditions that have many Jeans masses. These are exactly the conditions required for the competitive accretion process (Bonnell & Bate 2006).

The time-scale problem is avoided entirely, if the clump mass population plays no part in shaping the IMF, and the similarities between the two mass function is just a coincidence. Recent numerical simulations have suggested that this might be the case. In both studies of driven (Klessen, Heitsch & Mac Low 2000; Klessen 2001) and freely decaying turbulence (Clark & Bonnell 2005), the vast majority of clumps are unbound, with only the more massive of those in the distribution gaining the necessary conditions to form stars. Not only do the lower-mass clumps have kinetic energies in excess of their gravitational potential energy, but they very seldom possess a Jeans mass (i.e., their thermal energy is larger than that from self-gravity, e.g. see Klessen et al. 2005). The highest-mass objects, forming at the stagnation points of convergent turbulent flows, eventually become completely bound, gain multiple Jeans masses and fragment into small $N$ groups. The IMF in such simulations is largely controlled by the competitive accretion process. The fact that there exists an ever present population of clumps which resembles the IMF is never a problem, since almost all the low-mass objects are transient (Klessen 2001; Tilley & Pudritz 2004; Clark & Bonnell 2005, 2006; Vázquez-Semadeni et al. 2006).

Note that the time-scale problem that we highlight here applies to all theories which directly try to produce an IMF-style distribution of fragments, with exception of the ‘hierarchical’ model (Hoyle 1953; Larson 1973), although as we note above, this contains its own problems. This is also true (in varying degrees) of the ‘turbulent fragmentation’ theories (Fleck 1982; Hunter & Fleck 1982; Elmegreen 1993; Padoan 1995; Padoan et al. 1997; Myers 2000; Padoan & Nordlund 2002), and Elmegreen (1993) raised a similar point to the one we make here in his study.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a potential problem with interpreting the observed CMF as the direct origin of the stellar (or system) IMF. If each clump is assumed to be a star-forming core, then it must have at least one Jeans mass. If the clumps have a comparable number of Jeans masses, then the low-mass clumps must have much higher densities than the high-mass clumps. This in turn translates into a range of free-fall times which are proportional to clump mass. Thus, clumps of different mass are evolving on different time-scales. If one then assumes the CMF is constant in time, as is suggested by its presence in most of the nearby star-forming regions, then the resulting stellar mass function is significantly steeper than the observed IMF (an increase of +1 in the power-law fit).

The alternative is that all clumps have the same density, and thus evolve on the same time-scale. However, this also means that the more massive clumps are perhaps increasingly susceptible to fragmentation and may produce systems of lower-mass stars (although see the recent magnetic studies which yield reduced levels of
fragmentation: Hosking & Whitworth 2004; Ziegler 2005; Fromang et al. 2006). Attaining higher masses would then necessitate subsequent accretion. Under such conditions, we point out that competitive accretion should become an important ingredient in shaping the final IMF (e.g. see Bonnell & Bate 2006).

We therefore conclude that the mass function of clumps that directly turns into individual stars and higher-order systems, needs to be either considerably shallower than is inferred for nearby star-forming regions, or needs to avoid subfragmentation and competitive accretion.
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