The Whitehead operation procedure: Is it a useful technique?
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Objective: Hemorrhoidal disease is a very common entity in the general population; however, the therapeutic approaches to hemorrhoids remain controversial. The choice of treatment method depends on the grade of the hemorrhoid as well as the experience of the surgeon. The Whitehead hemorrhoidectomy procedure is often applied for grade IV hemorrhoids.

Material and Methods: We studied 49 patients who underwent surgery between December 1982 and January 2013. The indications for the Whitehead procedure in all patients were grade IV hemorrhoidal disease. The data on these patients were evaluated retrospectively with respect to age, gender, preoperative diagnosis, and postoperative complications.

Results: Of the patients included in this study, 34 were male and 15 were female. The mean age of the patients was 41.93±12.42, and the age range was 24-70 years. Complications of the Whitehead procedure included bleeding (6.12%, three cases), stricture (2.04%, one case), urinary retention (16.33%, eight cases), and temporary anal incontinence (2.04%, one case). No patients developed Whitehead deformities, entropion, or infectious complications. All patients were discharged from hospital between the fifth and eighth days post-surgery (6.45±1.00 days). The follow-up period was 1-234 months (70.02±54.89).

Conclusion: The Whitehead procedure is successful in patients with prevalent peripheral prolapse and/or thrombosed hemorrhoids. With the right indications, and if the surgeon has adequate experience, the morbidity rate of the Whitehead procedure is similar to that of other treatment methods.
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INTRODUCTION

Hemorrhoidal disease has been a well-known entity in general surgery for many centuries, with bleeding, anal pain, and distress being the most common symptoms. The therapeutic approaches to hemorrhoids remain controversial; the choice of treatment method depends on the grade of the hemorrhoid as well as the experience of the surgeon.

Hemorrhoidectomies are the oldest and most common surgical techniques used for the treatment of hemorrhoids. In this report, 49 cases of patients with grade IV hemorrhoidal disease who were treated using the Whitehead procedure are presented.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Forty-nine patients who underwent the Whitehead procedure between December 1982 and January 2013 were evaluated retrospectively. Age, gender, preoperative diagnosis, postoperative complications, and length of hospital stay were evaluated. The indication for surgical treatment was grade IV hemorrhoidal disease in all the patients. Table 1 shows our algorithm for the treatment of hemorrhoidal disease.

Informed consent was not received due to the retrospective nature of the study. This research was conducted according to the principles of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki “Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects”.

Preoperative Preparation

All patients received mechanical colonic preparation. This began 24 h before the surgery. Additionally, a fiber diet was applied during this period. In patients who were admitted with acute hemorrhoidal attacks (thrombosed, irreducible, or inflamed hemorrhoids), medical treatment methods, including corticosteroids, laxative agents, and warm antiseptic sitting baths, were applied prior to surgery. Surgical treatment was also delayed until the acute symptoms and signs resolved.
**Surgical Procedure**

Under general or spinal anesthesia, anal dilatation was performed using two fingers with the patient in the gynecological position. An incision was then made in the skin-mucosal line extending from the 12 o’clock point to the 6 o’clock point. The anal mucosa was dissected, together with the hemorrhoidal packages, up to the dentate line (Figure 1). Following this, another incision was made from the skin to the superior part of the dentate line, and the skin was sutured to the rectal mucosa. Later, the left half of the anal mucosa was excised from just above the dentate line, and the mucosa was sutured to the skin. The same procedure was applied symmetrically to the remaining reciprocal part of the anal mucosa (Figure 2). After hemostasis, a rectal tube surrounded by Spongostan was inserted through the anal canal (Figure 3).

**Postoperative Follow-Up**

All patients received parenteral spasmolytic and analgesic therapy for three postoperative days; if necessary, oral therapy was continued after this time. The rectal tube was maintained in place for three days in 48 patients. Intestinal peristalsis returned to normal during this period; however, in one patient, the rectal tube was removed on the first day because of intolerance.

All patients were maintained on a liquid diet for the first and second postoperative days. A normal diet was resumed on the third postoperative day.

After removal of the rectal tube, the patients received a warm antiseptic sitting bath following defecation. The anastomotic suture line was evaluated by rectal digital examination on the tenth and the thirteenth postoperative days.

**RESULTS**

Of the 49 patients who were included in this study, 34 were male and 15 were female. The mean age of the patients was 41.93±12.42, and the age range was 24-70 years.

The indications for surgical treatment were grade IV hemorrhoidal disease in all patients, anal fissures in three of the patients, and an iatrogenic sigmoid colon laceration related to rectoscopy (at another clinic) in one patient. In the last patient, the colon was repaired concurrently with the Whitehead procedure.

Twenty-three patients (46.94%) with extensive acute thromboses and inflammation received medical treatment, including diet, laxatives, and local analgesics, as well as cortisone therapy.
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Since 1882, the Whitehead procedure has been widely applied for grade IV hemorrhoids; although stapled hemorrhoidectomy and PPH have commonly been used in recent decades, they have not been found to be as successful due to high morbidity and recurrence rates (2-4). Although the Whitehead hemorrhoidectomy is controversial, it is used for grade IV hemorrhoids; furthermore, despite the common occurrence of prolapsed and/or thrombosed hemorrhoids and partial resection, it has absolute indications (5, 6).

The circumferential excision of the anal mucosa and hemorrhoids was first reported by Walter Whitehead (7) in 1882; however, this procedure is less preferred due to technical difficulties and a high morbidity rate. Malpractice suits also have a strong effect on these results.

During the last quarter of the 20th century, the Whitehead procedure attracted attention. White et al. (8), Barrios et al. (9), Sagar and Wolff (10), Burchell (11), Bonello (12), and others (13-22) have reported large and successful studies with modifications of Whitehead’s technique.

Complications from the Whitehead procedure are stenosis (up to 8.8%), entropion or wet anus (Whitehead’s deformity), anal incontinence (2%-12%), severe pain (up to 50%), urinary retention (2%-50%), fecal impaction (0.3%), intraoperative blood loss or postoperative bleeding (0.03%-6%), fistula or abscess (1.1%), complications of wound healing (1%-2%), and infection (0.5%-5.5%) (5, 13, 14, 20-22).

Stricture arises from fibrotic tissue proliferating and obstructing the fecal passage during the wound healing process. Although it was more frequent in the past, its incidence has been reported as less than 10% in recent publications. Stricture is prevented by regular defecation; however, the frequency of the disease increases in patients avoiding defecation due to high sphincter pressure and excessive pain. Sphincter spasm is a factor that can increase ischemia at the anastomosis; older patients have a higher risk for developing stenosis after Whitehead surgery due to sphincter spasm (15). Anal dilation is usually adequate for prevention and treatment; additionally, posterior or lateral sphincterotomy can be beneficial (16-19).

In the early stages of stricture formation, anal dilation is usually a sufficient treatment; however, in delayed cases, anoplasty may be beneficial (23). In our study, a rectal tube was maintained in the anal canal for three days for the prevention of stenosis; except for a slight increase in pain, no side effects were observed. Conversely, one patient who did not tolerate the rectal tube developed stenosis following its removal.
In cases of entropion, medical treatment (moisturizing-corticosteroid creams) are useful. In cases of ectropion (wet anus), surgery is required. None of our patients developed the Whitehead deformity, entropion, or infectious complications.

Anal incontinence is caused by a decrease or loss of pressure in the anal sphincter. In most people with hemorrhoidal disease, the anal canal resting pressure is high. The internal sphincter accounts for 80% of the resting pressure in these cases. To decrease this pressure, a partial internal sphincterotomy or anal dilation is performed (24, 25). In our study, we observed that dilation performed under analgesia in grade I and grade II patients had a 95% success rate. In the patients who underwent the Whitehead procedure, anal dilation was performed routinely. We encountered short-term anal incontinence in only one patient.

Pain is associated with the incision in the anoderm and intervention in the sphincter (sphincterotomy or dilation). Spasmolytic analgesics, or even narcotic analgesics, may be necessary for treatment. These high levels of pain and weakness during bladder contractions are caused by disorders and innervation of the perianal region and may lead to urinary retention. We encountered urinary retention in eight patients; they were treated with urinary catheters for 24-48 h.

Fecal impaction can be seen in patients with inadequate preoperative bowel preparation. Another causative factor is avoidance of defecation by patients due to pain. Complete preoperative bowel preparation and administration of laxatives that increase bowel peristalsis are sufficient for prevention.

Intraoperative blood loss or postoperative bleeding can be caused by a lack of technical ability or by errors. In rare cases, rigorous application may require additional intervention. In this study, three patients (6.12%) developed bleeding that did not require invasive therapy or transfusions in the early postoperative period (first 24 h).

Fistula/abscess formation, infection, and wound disintegration result from inadequate preparations, chemoprevention, or techniques that are not method-specific.

The limitations of this study are the low number of patients and the lack of comparison with groups of patients who underwent other surgical procedures.

CONCLUSION

The Whitehead procedure provides successful results in patients with prevalent peripheral prolapse and/or thrombosed hemorrhoids. If the surgeon is experienced and the indications are correct, the morbidity rate is similar to that of other methods.
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