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Chromosome instability and benefit from adjuvant anthracyclines in breast cancer
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BACKGROUND: Duplication of the centromeric region of chromosome 17 (Ch17CEP) is associated with sensitivity to anthracyclines. An explanation may be chromosome instability (CIN); a frequent event in solid tumours associated with poor outcome. The predictive value of CIN seems to be drug dependent and CIN has been associated with both sensitivity and resistance to chemotherapy.

METHODS: In this study, we used fluorescent in situ hybridisation for chromosomes 1, 7, 11, 17 and 18 to identify patients with high tumour CIN% in 322 patients recruited into the BR9601 clinical trial.

RESULTS: High tumour CIN% was correlated to Ch17CEP (P = 3.68e–7) and is associated with a reduced RFS (P = 0.0011) and OS (P = 0.04). Patients with high CIN had a decreased risk of death on E-CMF compared with CMF.

CONCLUSION: CIN is of prognostic significance and may be of predictive value in determining anthracycline response, although further testing is required.
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Chromosome instability (CIN) is a frequent characteristic of solid tumours and is characterised by gain or loss of whole or partial chromosomes. Patients whose tumours have CIN have a poor prognosis across many cancer types including breast and colon cancer (Carter et al, 2006; Walther et al, 2008; Smid et al, 2010). Chromosome instability is more prevalent in ER-negative disease, basal and triple-negative breast tumours (Smid et al, 2010).

We recently demonstrated that duplication of the centromeric region of chromosome 17 (Ch17CEP duplication), one of several chromosomes utilised to assess CIN (Takami et al, 2001; Miyoshi et al, 2002), is a predictive marker of sensitivity to anthracycline-containing chemotherapy in a meta-analysis of NEAT, BR9601 and MA.5 clinical trials (Bartlett et al, 2009, 2010). Initial analysis of the NEAT, BR9601 and MA.5 studies assessed the predictive role of HER2 amplification on outcome in patients treated with anthracycline-containing polychemotherapy compared with CMF gave conflicting results (Pritchard et al, 2006; Bartlett et al, 2008, 2010; Munro et al, 2010). Ch17CEP duplication is the only predictive marker of anthracycline response providing consistent results across all trials (Bartlett et al, 2009). Duplication of Ch17CEP, however, does not immediately identify a functional link to a specific gene pathway. The chromosome enumeration probe (CEP) binds a non-coding pericentromeric α-satellite repeat on chromosome 17 that can both enumerate chromosome copy number and identify amplification of this region within the chromosome (without chromosome duplication) (Marchio et al, 2009).

In this study, we assessed CEPs for chromosomes 1, 7, 11 and 18 by fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH) to confirm whether Ch17CEP duplication is a surrogate marker of CIN in the context of anthracycline response. This, together with data previously collected for chromosome 17, was used to assess whether CIN is a potential predictive marker of anthracycline response in the BR9601 clinical trial.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

The BR9601 study recruited 374 pre- and post-menopausal women with completely excised, histologically confirmed breast cancer who had a clear indication for adjuvant chemotherapy. Patients were randomised between the standard arm of eight cycles of CMF (i.v. cyclophosphamide 750 mg m–2, methotrexate 50 mg m–2 and 5-fluorouracil 600 mg m–2 given every 21 days and E-CMF (four cycles of epirubicin 100 mg m–2 every 21 days followed by four cycles of the same CMF regimen) (Poole et al, 2006); their characteristics are shown in Table 1. The protocol was approved by central and local ethics committees, and each patient provided written informed consent before randomisation. The primary outcomes of the BR9601 study were DFS and OS, and results were published in a joint analysis with the NEAT trial (Poole et al, 2006).

For the current analysis, following approval by central ethics committee, tissue blocks were retrieved from 322 cases (85.8%). Triplicate tissue microarrays (TMAs) were constructed following review by a pathologist (JST) according to current guidelines (Leyland-Jones et al, 2008).
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Table 1
Patient characteristics from the BR9601 trial

| BR9601 | TMA |
|--------|-----|
| Number | 374 | 322 |
| Age (years) | 50.6 (22.7–76.0) | 50.6 (26.2–76.0) |
| E-CMF | 183 (48.9%) | 158 (49.1%) |
| CMF | 191 (51.1%) | 164 (50.9%) |
| Size |<2.0 cm | 123 (32.9%) | 105 (32.7%) |
| | 2.0–5.0 cm | 226 (60.4%) | 197 (61.1%) |
| | >5.0 cm | 25 (6.7%) | 20 (6.2%) |
| Nudes | 0 | 48 (12.8%) | 44 (13.6%) |
| | 1–3 | 214 (57.3%) | 180 (56.0%) |
| | >4 | 112 (29.9%) | 98 (30.4%) |
| Grade | 1 | 22 (5.9%) | 22 (6.9%) |
| | 2 | 126 (33.7%) | 109 (30.4%) |
| | 3 | 210 (56.1%) | 189 (58.6%) |
| Unknown | 16 (4.2%) | 2 (0.6%) |
| NPI | 5.30 (4.50–5.61) | 5.30 (4.50–5.60) |
| ER status | Positive | 202 (62.9%) | 176 (62.6%) |
| | Negative | 119 (37.1%) | 105 (37.4%) |
| Unknown | 53 | 40 |

Abbreviations: CMF = cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil; E-CMF = epirubicin CMF; ER = oestrogen receptor; NPI = Nottingham Prognostic Index; TMA = tissue microarray.

Table 2
Average and median CIN for individual chromosomes

| Chromosome | Average chromosomal CIN (%) | Median CIN (interquartile range) (%) |
|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CIN1       | 43.6                        | 45.0 (36.0–50.0)                    |
| CIN7       | 43.6                        | 45.0 (37.5–50.0)                    |
| CIN1 I     | 42.3                        | 43.8 (37.5–47.5)                    |
| CIN1 T     | 45.8                        | 46.7 (40.0–52.1)                    |
| CIN1 B     | 42.0                        | 44.4 (35.7–48.4)                    |

Abbreviation: CIN = chromosome instability.

RESULTS

Correlation of CIN and clinicopathological parameters

Analysis of chromosomes 1, 7, 11, 17 and 18 was successful in 72.9%, 72.9%, 82.6%, 93.8% and 82.6% of cases, respectively. Fluorescent in situ hybridisation analysis of chromosome 17 was performed for an earlier study; therefore, the percentage of successfully analysed cases was lower for chromosomes 1, 7, 11 and 18 owing to a loss of cores on the TMAs. The percentage CIN for individual chromosomes (Table 2) was used to calculate the mean tumour CIN% for 86.3% (278) of tumour samples with the tumour CIN% ranging from 26.8% to 64.0% (median interquartile range: 40.0–47.3%). Tumours with over 47.3% CIN (above the upper quartile) were defined as having high tumour CIN% with all other tumours being classed as having low tumour CIN%. High tumour CIN% was correlated (Pearson’s $r^2$) with high pathological grade ($P = 0.008$), HER2 amplification ($P = 2.89$e-5), and Ch17CEP duplication ($P = 3.68$e-7) but was not associated with size, nodal status, ER or proliferation (measured by Ki67; Supplementary Table S1).

Tumour CIN% as a marker for RFS and OS

The prognostic significance of tumour CIN% in this study was first tested on the entire patient cohort, irrespective of allocated adjuvant chemotherapy. High tumour CIN% was associated with a markedly reduced RFS (Figure 1A; $P = 0.0011$) and OS (Figure 1B; $P = 0.04$). In univariate analysis, patients with a high tumour CIN% had a 1.58-fold (95% CI: 1.02–2.46) increased risk of dying from breast cancer and a 2.13-fold (95% CI: 1.34–4.56) increased risk of relapse when compared with those with a low tumour CIN%. In multivariate analysis, high tumour CIN% was not an independent predictor for RFS (HR: 1.28, 95% CI: 0.74–2.26) but for OS there was a strong trend that did not reach statistical significance (HR: 1.76, 95% CI: 0.99–3.13, $P = 0.054$).

Tumour CIN% as a biological marker for anthracycline therapy

In univariate analysis, patients with high tumour CIN% had a decreased risk of death (HR: 0.39, 95% CI: 0.17–0.92) and a trend...
towards a decreased risk of relapse (HR: 0.46, 95% CI: 0.20–1.05) when treated with E-CMF compared with CMF alone (Figure 2A and B). No apparent benefit of E-CMF vs CMF was noted in patients with low tumour CIN% for either RFS or OS. In a multivariate analysis with adjustment for size, nodal status, ER, pathological grade, HER2, Ch17CEP duplication, Ki67, Ch17CEP*TREAT (treatment by marker interaction for Ch17CEP and treatment), tumour CIN%, TREAT (treatment) and tumour CIN%*TREAT showed only nodal status (for RFS and OS), and ER and high tumour CIN% (for OS but not RFS) to be statistically significant. There was a trend for tumour CIN%*TREAT for OS (HR: 0.31, 95% CI: 0.09–1.07, \( P = 0.063 \)) however, no significant association with RFS (HR: 0.55, 95% CI: 0.17–1.74, \( P = 0.305 \)) was apparent (Table 3).

**Figure 1**  Relapse-free and overall survival for high tumour CIN- (dashed lines) vs low tumour CIN- (solid lines) treated cases. (A) Relapse-free survival. (B) Overall survival.

**Figure 2** Overall survival for E-CMF- (solid lines) vs CMF- (dashed lines) treated cases. (A) Low tumour CIN% cases (see text). (B) High tumour CIN% cases (see text).

**Individual chromosome analysis**

Exploratory analyses were conducted using the copy number data for the individual chromosomes. Low Ch1CEP was associated with a reduced OS (HR: 1.66, 95% CI: 1.02–2.70, \( P = 0.04 \)) but not RFS (HR: 1.29, 95% CI: 0.82–2.01). No association with RFS and OS was noted for Ch7CEP, Ch11CEP, Ch17CEP or Ch18CEP (\( P > 0.05 \)).

Subsequent exploratory analyses assessed the effect of individual CEP duplication on RFS and OS between patients treated with E-CMF and those treated with CMF alone (Supplementary Table S2 and Supplementary Figure 1A and B). In a multivariate analysis and adjustment for size, nodal status, ER, pathological grade, HER2, Ch17CEP, Ki67, Ch17CEP*TREAT, Ch7CEP, TREAT and Ch7CEP*TREAT, the hazard ratio for the Ch7CEP treatment marker effect is 0.27 (95% CI: 0.08–0.96, \( P = 0.042 \)) for OS and 0.23 (95% CI: 0.07–0.75, \( P = 0.015 \)) for RFS (Supplementary Table S3).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we investigated the role of tumour CIN% in breast carcinomas recruited into the BR9601 trial. We have shown high tumour CIN% to be correlated to Ch17CEP duplication suggesting that Ch17CEP could be acting as a surrogate marker for CIN, therefore giving a more mechanistic/functional explanation to previous results (Bartlett et al, 2009). Furthermore, we have demonstrated high tumour CIN% to be a potential prognostic marker for OS and RFS in this cohort of breast cancer patients. This supports previous studies that have shown CIN to be associated with a poor prognosis in a number of solid tumours including breast cancer (Carter et al, 2006). Carter et al (2006) characterised CIN using a CIN70 gene signature containing genes known to be involved in processes such as chromosome segregation,
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In patients with low Ch7CEP, there is a 62.6% reduction in the risk of death when treated with anthracyclines compared with CMF. The association between low levels of Ch7CEP and response to anthracyclines is interesting; however, this study is underpowered and this would require testing in a large cohort.

Our findings demonstrate that Ch7CEP is associated with CIN. High tumour CIN% is of prognostic value and may be able to predict response to anthracycline-based therapy, although testing in a larger cohort would be required to confirm this.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on British Journal of Cancer website (http://www.nature.com/bjc)

Table 3 Multivariate analysis of tumour CIN% and treatment interaction

|          | OS       | P-value | RFS      | P-value |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| TREAT    | 0.653 (0.294–1.448) | 0.294 | 0.644 (0.322–1.285) | 0.212 |
| Sze (>20mm) | 0.849 (0.465–1.549) | 0.593 | 1.074 (0.618–1.869) | 0.799 |
| Nodal status (positive vs negative) | 5.890 (1.755–19.757) | 0.004 | 6.554 (2.082–23.225) | 0.002 |
| ER       | 0.461 (0.246–0.864) | 0.016 | 0.653 (0.372–1.147) | 0.138 |
| Pathological grade (grade1/2 vs 3) | 1.956 (0.920–4.160) | 0.081 | 1.478 (0.786–2.780) | 0.226 |
| HER2 amplification | 1.510 (0.838–2.722) | 0.170 | 1.334 (0.773–2.301) | 0.301 |
| Ch7CEP   | 0.811 (0.351–1.855) | 0.620 | 1.249 (0.609–2.562) | 0.543 |
| High K67 (>13%) | 0.860 (0.458–1.615) | 0.638 | 1.053 (0.597–1.856) | 0.859 |
| High tumour CIN% | 2.684 (1.334–4.151) | 0.007 | 1.615 (0.792–3.292) | 0.188 |
| Ch7CEP*TREAT | 1.618 (0.514–5.093) | 0.410 | 1.021 (0.361–2.883) | 0.969 |
| Tumour CIN%*TREAT | 0.308 (0.089–1.067) | 0.063 | 0.545 (0.171–1.736) | 0.305 |

Abbreviation: CIN% = percentage chromosome instability; ER = oestrogen receptor; OS = overall survival; RFS = relapse-free survival; TREAT = treatment.
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Our findings demonstrate that Ch7CEP is associated with CIN. High tumour CIN% is of prognostic value and may be able to predict response to anthracycline-based therapy, although testing in a larger cohort would be required to confirm this.
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