LONG TIME, LARGE SCALE LIMIT OF THE WIGNER TRANSFORM FOR A SYSTEM OF LINEAR OSCILLATORS IN ONE DIMENSION
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ABSTRACT. We consider the long time, large scale behavior of the Wigner transform \( W_\varepsilon(t, x, k) \) of the wave function corresponding to a discrete wave equation on a 1-d integer lattice, with a weak multiplicative noise. This model has been introduced in [2] to describe a system of interacting linear oscillators with a weak noise that conserves locally the kinetic energy and the momentum. The kinetic limit for the Wigner transform has been shown in [4]. In the present paper we prove that in the unpinned case there exists \( \gamma_0 > 0 \) such that for any \( \gamma \in (0, \gamma_0] \) the weak limit of \( W_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon^{3/2}, x/\varepsilon^{\gamma}, k) \), as \( \varepsilon \ll 1 \), satisfies a one dimensional fractional heat equation \( \partial_t W(t, x) = -\hat{c}(-\partial_x^2)^{3/4} W(t, x) \) with \( \hat{c} > 0 \). In the pinned case an analogous result can be claimed for \( W_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon^{2\gamma}, x/\varepsilon^{\gamma}, k) \) but the limit satisfies then the usual heat equation.

1. Introduction

In the present paper we are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the Wigner transform of the wave function corresponding to a discrete wave equation on a one dimensional integer lattice with a weak multiplicative noise, see (2.1) below. This kind of an equation arises naturally while considering a stochastically perturbed chain of oscillators with harmonic interactions, see [2] and also [18]. It has been argued in [2] that, due to the presence of the noise conserving both the energy and the momentum (in fact the latter property is crucial), in the low dimensions \( (d = 1, \text{or } 2) \) conductivity of this explicitly solvable model diverges as \( N^{1/2} \) in dimension \( d = 1 \) and \( \log N \), when \( d = 2 \), where \( N \) is the length of the chain. This complies with numerical results concerning some anharmonic chains with no noise, see e.g. [17] and [16]. We refer an interested reader to the review papers [7, 16] and the references therein for more background information on the subject of heat transport in anharmonic crystals.

It has been shown in [4] that in the weakly coupled case, i.e. when the coupling parameter \( \varepsilon \) is small, the asymptotics of the Wigner function \( W_\varepsilon(t, x, k) \) (defined below by (2.8), with \( \gamma = 0 \)), that describes the resolution of the energy in spatial and momentum coordinates \( (x, k) \) at time \( t \sim \varepsilon^{-1} \), is given by a linear Boltzmann equation, see (2.9) below. Furthermore, since in the dimension \( d = 1 \) the scattering rate of a phonon is of order \( k^2 \) for small wavenumber \( k \), in the unpinned case (then the dispersion relation satisfies \( \omega'(k) \approx \text{sign} k \), for \( |k| \ll 1 \)) the long time, large space asymptotics of the solution of the transport
equation can be described by a fractional (in space) heat equation
\[ \partial_t W(t, x) = -\hat{c}(-\partial_x^2)^{3/4} W(t, x) \]
for some \( \hat{c} > 0 \). The initial condition \( W(0, x) \) is the limit of the average of the initial Wigner transform over the wavenumbers, see \([13]\) and also \([3, 19]\). Note that the above equation is invariant under time-space scalings \( t \sim t'/\epsilon^{3\gamma/2}, \ x \sim x'/\epsilon^{\gamma} \) for an arbitrary \( \gamma > 0 \). This suggests that the fractional heat equation is the limit of the Wigner transform in the above time space scaling. In our first main result, see part 1) of Theorem 2.1 below, we prove that it is indeed the case when \( \gamma \in (0, \gamma_0] \) for some \( \gamma_0 > 0 \).

On the other hand, in the pinned case, i.e. when the dispersion relation satisfies \( \omega'(k) \approx 0 \), as \( |k| \ll 1 \), one can show that the solution of the Boltzmann equation approximates the regular heat equation
\[ \partial_t W(t, x) = \hat{c}\partial_x^2 W(t, x). \quad (1.1) \]
In part 2) of Theorem 2.1 below we assert that if the Wigner transform is considered under the scaling \( (t, x) \sim (t'/\epsilon^{2\gamma}, x'/\epsilon^{\gamma}) \), for \( \gamma \in (0, \gamma_0] \) and some \( \gamma_0 > 0 \), then it converges to \( \hat{W}(t, x) \), as \( \epsilon \ll 1 \). The coefficient \( \hat{c} \) in the heat equation (1.1) differs from the thermal conductivity coefficient calculated explicitly in the pinned case with the help of the Green-Kubo formula in \([1]\), see Theorem 1. In fact, its computation, see formulas (6.12) - (6.13) below, requires solving a Poisson equation (6.14) and does not lead to an explicit formula. Finally, we mention also the results concerning the diffusive limits for the Wigner transform of a solution of the wave equation on a lattice with a random local velocity in the weak coupling regime (see \([18]\)), for the geometric optics regime for the wave equation in continuum (see \([15]\)) and in the case of Schrödinger equation in the radiative transport regime (see \([12]\)).

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is made of two principal ingredients: the estimates of the convergence rate for the Wigner transform towards the solution of the kinetic equation, see Theorem 3.2 below in the unpinned case (resp. Theorem 3.5 for the pinned case), and the respective rate of convergence estimates for the solutions of the scaled kinetic equation, see Theorem 3.3 (resp. Theorem 3.6). To prove the latter we show two probabilistic results: Theorems 5.5 and 5.8 that are of interest on their own. They provide estimates of the rate of convergence of the characteristic functions corresponding to a scaled additive functional of a stationary Markov chain towards the characteristic function of an appropriate stable limit and the respective result in the continuous time case.
2. Description of the model and preliminaries

2.1. Discrete wave equation with a noise. We consider a discrete wave equation with the multiplicative noise on a one dimensional integer lattice, see [2],

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dq_x}{dt} &= \partial_{p_x} \mathcal{H}(p, q) \\
\frac{dp_x}{dt} &= -\partial_{q_x} \mathcal{H}(p, q) + \dot{\xi}_x(t).
\end{aligned}
\] (2.1)

Here \((p, q) = \{(p_x, q_x), x \in \mathbb{Z}\}\), where the component labelled by \(x\) corresponds to the one dimensional momentum \(p_x\) and position \(q_x\). The Hamiltonian corresponds to an infinite chain of harmonic oscillators and is given by

\[
\mathcal{H}(p, q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} p_y^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y, y' \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(y - y')q_yq_{y'}.
\]

The interaction potential \(\{\alpha_x, x \in \mathbb{Z}\}\) will be further specified later on. The noises \(\{\xi_x(t), x \in \mathbb{Z}\}\) are defined by the following stochastic differentials

\[
d\xi_x(t) = \sqrt{\epsilon} \sum_{k=-1,0,1} (Y_{x+k}p_x) \circ dw_{x+k}(t), \]

understood in the Stratonovich sense. Here

\[
Y_x := (p_x - p_{x+1})\partial_{p_{x-1}} + (p_{x+1} - p_{x-1})\partial_{p_x} + (p_{x-1} - p_x)\partial_{p_{x+1}}
\]

and \(\{w_x(t), t \geq 0\}, x \in \mathbb{Z}\) are i.i.d. standard, one dimensional Brownian motions over a certain probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\). Note that the vector field \(Y_x\) is tangent to the surfaces

\[
p_{x-1}^2 + p_x^2 + p_{x+1}^2 \equiv \text{const}
\] (2.3)

and

\[
p_{x-1} + p_x + p_{x+1} \equiv \text{const}
\] (2.4)

therefore the system (2.1) conserves the total energy and momentum. System (2.1) can be rewritten formally in the Itô form:

\[
dq_y(t) = p_y(t)dt
\] (2.5)

\[
dp_y(t) = \left[-(\alpha \ast q(t))_y - \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\beta \ast p(t))_y\right] dt,
\]

\[
+ \sqrt{\epsilon} \sum_{k=-1,0,1} (Y_{y+k}p_y(t))dw_{y+k}(t), \quad y \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]
Here $\beta_y := \Delta \beta_y^{(0)}$, with

$$\beta_y^{(0)} = \begin{cases} 
-4, & y = 0 \\
-1, & y = \pm 1 \\
0, & \text{if otherwise.}
\end{cases}$$

The lattice Laplacian for a given $g : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined as $\Delta g_y := g_{y+1} + g_{y-1} - 2g_y$.

### 2.2. Formulation of the main results.

To describe the distribution of the energy of the chain over the position and momentum coordinates it is convenient to consider the Wigner transform of the wave function corresponding to the chain. Adjusting the time variable to the macroscopic scale it is defined as

$$
\psi^{(\epsilon)}(t) := \tilde{\varpi} \ast q \left( t \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) + i p \left( t \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right).
$$

Here $\tilde{\varpi}$ is the inverse Fourier transform, see (2.17), of the dispersion relation function given by $\omega(k) = \sqrt{\hat{\alpha}(k)}$, with $\hat{\alpha}(k)$ the direct Fourier transform of the potential, defined on $\mathbb{T}$ - the one dimensional torus, see (2.16). Suppose that the initial condition in (2.1) is random, independent of the realizations of the noise and such that for some $\gamma > 0$

$$
\limsup_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \epsilon^{1+\gamma} \langle |\psi_y^{(\epsilon)}(0)|^2 \rangle_\epsilon < +\infty.
$$

Here $\langle \cdot \rangle_\epsilon$ denotes the average with respect to the probability measure $\mu_\epsilon$ corresponding to the randomness in the initial data. In fact, since the total energy of the system $\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} |\psi_y^{(\epsilon)}(t)|^2$ is conserved in time, see Section 2 of [4], an analogue of condition (2.7) holds for any $t > 0$.

The (averaged) Wigner transform of the wave function, see [4], is a distribution defined as follows

$$
\langle W_{\epsilon, \gamma}(t), \tilde{J} \rangle := \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \sum_{x, x' \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_\mathbb{T} e^{i2\pi(x'-x)k} \tilde{J}^* \left( \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} (x + x'), k \right) \times \mathbb{E}_\epsilon \left[ \left( \psi_{x'}^{(\epsilon)}(t) \right)^* \psi_x^{(\epsilon)}(t) \right]
$$

for any $\tilde{J}$ belonging to $\mathcal{S}$ - the Schwartz class of functions on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$, see Section 2.4. Here $\mathbb{E}_\epsilon$ is the average with respect to the product measure $\mu_\epsilon \otimes \mathbb{P}$. It has been shown in [4], see Theorem 5, that, under appropriate assumptions on the potential $\alpha(\cdot)$, see conditions a1) and a2) below, the respective Wigner transforms $W_{\epsilon, 0}(t)$ converge in a distribution sense,
as \( \epsilon \to 0^+ \), to the solution of the linear kinetic equation
\[
\partial_t U(t, x, k) + \frac{\omega'(k)}{2\pi} \partial_x U(t, x, k) = \mathcal{L}U(t, x, k),
\]
where \( \mathcal{L} \) is the scattering operator defined in (2.35). Our principal result concerning this model deals with the limit of the Wigner transform in the longer time scales, i.e. when \( \gamma > 0 \). It is a direct consequence of Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 formulated below that contain also the information on the convergence rates. Before its statement let us recall the notion of a solution of a fractional heat equation. Assume that \( W_0 \) is a function from the Schwartz class on \( \mathbb{R} \). The solution of the Cauchy problem for the fractional heat equation
\[
\partial_t W(t, x) = -\frac{\hat{c}}{(2\pi)^b} (-\partial_x^2)^{b/2} W(t, x)
\]
with \( b, \hat{c} > 0 \) and \( W(0, x) = W_0(x) \) is given by
\[
W(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i2\pi xp - \hat{c}|p|^b t} \hat{W}_0(p) dp,
\]
where
\[
\hat{W}_0(p) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i2\pi xp} W_0(x) dx
\]
is the Fourier transform of \( W_0(x) \).

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that potential \( \{\alpha_y, y \in \mathbb{Z}\} \) satisfy assumptions a1) – a2) formulated in Section 2.3. Then, the following are true.

1) Assume that \( \hat{\alpha}(0) = 0 \) (no pinning case), \( \gamma \in (0, 2a/3) \) for some \( a \in (0, 1] \) and
\[
\mathcal{K}_{a, \gamma} := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \epsilon^{1+\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \langle |\hat{\psi}_0^{(\epsilon)}(k)|^2 \rangle \epsilon \frac{dk}{|k|^{2a}} < +\infty,
\]
where \( \hat{\psi}_0^{(\epsilon)}(k) \) is the Fourier transform of the initial condition \( \psi_0^{(\epsilon)}(0) \). Suppose also that for some \( W_0 \) with the norm (2.24) finite we have
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \langle W_\epsilon, \gamma, (0) \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} W_0(x, k, \tilde{J}^*(x, k) dxdk,
\]
for all \( \tilde{J} \in \mathcal{S} \). Then, for any \( t > 0 \) we have
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \left\langle W_{\epsilon, \gamma} \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon^{\gamma/2}} \right), \tilde{J} \right\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} W(t, x, \tilde{J}^*(x, k) dxdk,
\]
where $W(t, x)$ satisfies (2.10) with $b = 3/2$ and the initial condition given by

$$W(0, x) := \int_T W_0(x, k) dk.$$  

(2.14)

The coefficient $\hat{c}$ is given by (3.5).

2) Suppose that $\hat{\alpha}(0) > 0$ (pinned case), $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$ and conditions (2.11) and (2.12) for $W_0$ with the norm (2.24) finite are satisfied. Then, for any $\tilde{J} \in S$ and $t > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \left\langle W_{\epsilon, \gamma} \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon^{2\gamma}} \right), \tilde{J} \right\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} W(t, x) \tilde{J}^*(x, k) dx dk,,$$

(2.15)

where $W(t, x)$ is the solution of the ordinary heat equation, i.e. (2.10) with $b = 2$, with the initial condition given by (2.14) and coefficient $\hat{c}$ as in (6.12).

2.3. Fourier transform of the wave function. The one dimensional torus $T$ is understood here as the interval $[-1/2, 1/2]$ with its endpoints identified. Let $e_r(k) := \exp\{-i2\pi r k\}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is a standard orthonormal base in $L^2_c(T)$ - the space of complex valued, square integrable functions. The Fourier transform of a given square integrable sequence of complex numbers $\{g_y, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is defined as

$$\hat{g}(k) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} g_y e_y(k), \quad k \in T$$

(2.16)

and the inverse transform is given by

$$\hat{f}_y = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^*_y(k) f(k) dk, \quad y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(2.17)

for any $f$ belonging to $L^2_c(T)$.

A straightforward calculation shows that $\hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)}(t, k)$ - the Fourier transform of the (complex valued) wave function given by (2.0) - satisfies the following Itô stochastic differential equation, cf. formula (7.0.7) of [6],

$$d\hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)}(t) = A_\epsilon[\hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)}(t)]dt + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} Q[\hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)}(t)](e_r) dw_r(t),$$

(2.18)

$$\hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)}(0) = \hat{\psi}_0,$$

where $\hat{\psi}_0$ is the Fourier transform of $\psi^{(\epsilon)}(0)$. Here, a (nonlinear) mapping $A : L^2_c(T) \to L^2_c(T)$ is given by

$$A_\epsilon[f](k) := -\frac{i}{\epsilon} \omega(k) f(k) - \frac{\hat{\beta}(k)}{4} \sum_{\sigma = \pm 1} \sigma f_\sigma(k), \quad \forall f \in L^2_c(T),$$

(2.19)
where
\[ f_+(k) := f(k) \quad \text{and} \quad f_-(k) := f^*(-k), \]
\[ \hat{\beta}(k) = 8 \sin^2(\pi k) \left[ 1 + 2 \cos^2(\pi k) \right]. \] (2.20)

For any \( g \in L^2_T \) a linear mapping \( Q[g] : L^2_T \rightarrow L^2_T \) is given by
\[ Q[g](f)(k) := \sum_{\sigma = \pm 1} \sigma \int_T r(k, k') g_{\sigma}(k - k') f(k') dk', \quad \forall f \in L^2_T, \]
where
\[ r(k, k') := \sin(2\pi k) + \sin[2\pi(k - k')] + \sin[2\pi(k' - 2k)] \\
= 4 \sin(\pi k) \sin[\pi(k - k')] \sin[(2k - k')\pi], \quad k, k' \in T. \]

Finally, \( \{w_r(t), t \geq 0\}, r \in \mathbb{Z} \) are i.i.d. one dimensional, standard Brownian motions, on a probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\), that are non-anticipative w.r.t. the given filtration \( \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0 \).

We assume, as in [4], that
a1) \( \{\alpha_y, y \in \mathbb{Z}\} \) is real valued and there exists \( C > 0 \) such that \( |\alpha_y| \leq Ce^{-|y|/C} \) for all \( y \in \mathbb{Z} \),
a2) \( \hat{\alpha}(k) \) is also real valued, \( \hat{\alpha}(k) > 0 \) for \( k \neq 0 \) and in case \( \hat{\alpha}(0) = 0 \) we have \( \hat{\alpha}'(0) > 0 \).

The above assumptions imply that both \( y \mapsto \alpha_y \) and \( k \mapsto \hat{\alpha}(k) \) are real valued, even functions. In addition \( \hat{\alpha} \in C^\infty(T) \) and if \( \hat{\alpha}(0) = 0 \) then \( \hat{\alpha}(k) = k^2\phi(k^2) \) for some strictly positive \( \phi \in C^\infty(T) \). This in particular implies that, in the latter case, the dispersion relation \( \omega(k) = \sqrt{\hat{\alpha}(k)} \) belongs to \( C^\infty(T \setminus \{0\}) \).

It can be easily checked that under the hypotheses made about the potential \( \alpha_0 \), the mapping given by (2.19) is Lipschitz from \( L^2_T \) to itself and \( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \|Q[g](e_r)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|g\|_{L^2}^2 \) for some \( C > 0 \) and all \( g \in L^2_T \) so \( Q[g] \) is Hilbert-Schmidt. Using Theorem 7.4, p. 186, of [9] one can show that for any \( L^2_T \)-valued, \( \mathcal{F}_0 \)-measurable, initial data \( \hat{\psi}_0^{(\epsilon)} \) there exists a unique solution to (2.18) understood as \( L^2_T \) - valued, continuous trajectory, adapted process \( \{\hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)}(t), t \geq 0\} \) a.s. satisfying (2.18). In addition, see Section 2 of [4], for every initial data \( \hat{\psi}_0^{(\epsilon)} \in L^2_T \) we have
\[ \|\hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)}(t)\|_{L^2} = \text{const}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \mathbb{P} \text{ a.s.} \] (2.21)

2.4. Wigner transform.
Some function spaces. Denote by $S$ the set of functions $J : \mathbb{R} \times T \to \mathbb{C}$ that are of $C^\infty$ class and such that for any integers $l, m, n$ we have $\sup_{p,k} (1 + p^2)^{n/2} |\partial_l^p \partial_m^k J(p, k)| < +\infty$. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we introduce the norm

$$\|J\|_{A'_a} := \int \mathbb{R} (1 + p^2)^{a/2} \sup_k |J(p, k)| dp,$$

for a given $J \in S$. By $A'_a$ we denote the completion of $S$ in the norm $\| \cdot \|_{A'_a}$. Note that $A'_a$ is dual to $A_a$ defined as the completion of $S$ in the norm

$$\|J\|_{A_a} := \sup_p (1 + p^2)^{-a/2} \int_T |J(p, k)| dk.$$  

We use a shorthand notation $A := A_0$ and $A' := A'_0$. With some abuse of notation by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ we denote the scalar product in $L^2_{\mathbb{C}}(T)$ and the extension of

$$\langle J_1, J_2 \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} J_1(p, k) J_2^*(p, k) dp dk$$

from $S \times S$ to $A \times A'$.

We shall also use the space $B_{a,b}$ obtained by completion of $S$ in the norm

$$\|J\|_{B_{a,b}} := \sup_p (1 + p^2)^{b/2} \int_T |J(p, k)| \frac{1}{|k|^{2a}} dk.$$  

When $b = 0$ we shall write $B_a$ instead of $B_{a,0}$.

Random and average Wigner transform. For a given $\epsilon > 0$ let $\hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)}(t)$ be a solution of (2.18) with a random initial condition $\hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)}(0)$ distributed according to a probability measure $\mu_\epsilon$ on $L^2_{\mathbb{C}}(T)$. Define

$$\hat{W}_\epsilon(t, p, k) := \left\langle \left( \hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)} \right)^* \left( t, k - \frac{p}{2} \right) \hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)} \left( t, k + \frac{p}{2} \right) \right\rangle_{\epsilon}$$

and

$$\hat{Y}_\epsilon(t, p, k) := \left\langle \hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)} \left( t, -k + \frac{p}{2} \right) \hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)} \left( t, k + \frac{p}{2} \right) \right\rangle_{\epsilon},$$

where, as we recall, $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\epsilon}$ is the average with respect to the initial condition. Using (2.7) and (2.21) we conclude that both $\hat{W}_\epsilon(t)$ and $\hat{Y}_\epsilon(t)$ belong to $L^1(\mathbb{P}; A)$ - the space of $A$-valued random elements possessing the absolute moment, for any $t \geq 0$. We also introduce the average objects $\hat{W}_\epsilon(t, p, k)$ and $\hat{Y}_\epsilon(t, p, k)$ using formulas analogous to (2.25) and (2.26) with $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\epsilon}$ replaced by $E_\epsilon$ corresponding to the average over both the initial data and realization of Brownian motion.
The (averaged) Wigner transform $W_{\epsilon, \gamma}(t)$ is defined as

$$\langle W_{\epsilon, \gamma}(t), \tilde{J} \rangle := \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} \overline{W}_\epsilon(t, \epsilon^\gamma p, k)J^*(p, k)dpdk \quad (2.27)$$

where $J \in \mathcal{A}'$ and

$$\tilde{J}(x, k) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \{ i2\pi px \} J(p, k)dp.$$

The anti-transform $Y_{\epsilon, \gamma}(t)$ is defined by an analogous formula, with $\overline{W}_\epsilon$ replaced by $\overline{Y}_\epsilon$.

2.5. Evolution of the Wigner transform. Using Itō formula for the solution of (2.18), see Theorem 4.17 of [9], we conclude that

$$d \hat{W}_\epsilon(t, p, k) = \left\{ \left( A_\epsilon \hat{\psi}(\epsilon) \right)^* \left( t, k - \frac{ep}{2} \right) \hat{\psi}(\epsilon) \left( t, k + \frac{ep}{2} \right) \right\}_\epsilon dt$$

$$+ d\mathcal{M}_t^{(\epsilon)}(p, k),$$

where the $\{ \mathcal{M}_t^{(\epsilon)}, t \geq 0 \}$ is an $\{ \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0 \}$-adapted local martingale, given by

$$\mathcal{M}_t^{(\epsilon)}(p, k) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^t \left( Q[\hat{\psi}(\epsilon)](e_j)^* \left( k, s - \frac{ep}{2} \right) Q[\hat{\psi}(\epsilon)](e_j) \left( k, s + \frac{ep}{2} \right) \right)_\epsilon \omega_j(s) \, dw_j(s).$$

In order to guarantee that the stochastic integrals defined above are martingales, not merely local ones, we need to make an additional assumption that $\mu_\epsilon$ has the 4-th absolute moment. Taking the expectation of both sides of (2.28) with respect to the realizations of the Brownian motion we conclude that $\overline{W}_\epsilon(t, p, k) = \mathbb{E} \hat{W}_\epsilon(t, p, k)$ satisfies

$$\partial_t \overline{W}_\epsilon(t, p, k) = - \left[ i\partial_\epsilon \omega(p, k) \frac{1}{2} \overline{\beta}_\epsilon(p, k) \right] \overline{W}_\epsilon(t, p, k) + \mathcal{R}_\epsilon^{(0)}(t, p, k)$$

$$- 4 \int T \rho_\epsilon(k, k - k', p) \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\psi}(\epsilon))^* \left( t, k' - \frac{ep}{2} \right) \hat{\psi}(\epsilon) \left( t, k' + \frac{ep}{2} \right) \right] dk',$$ 
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where

\[
\hat{p}^{(\epsilon)}(t, k) := \frac{1}{2i} \left[ \hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)}(t, k) - \left( \hat{\psi}^{(\epsilon)} \right)^*(t, -k) \right],
\]

\[
\rho_{\epsilon}(k, k', p) := r \left( k - \frac{\epsilon p}{2}, k' \right) r \left( k + \frac{\epsilon p}{2}, k' \right),
\]

\[
\delta_{\epsilon}(\omega, p, k) := \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[ \omega \left( k + \frac{\epsilon p}{2} \right) - \omega \left( k - \frac{\epsilon p}{2} \right) \right],
\]

\[
\bar{\beta}_{\epsilon}(k, p) := \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{\beta} \left( k + \frac{\epsilon p}{2} \right) + \hat{\beta} \left( k - \frac{\epsilon p}{2} \right) \right],
\]

(2.31)

\[
\mathcal{R}^{(0)}_{\epsilon}(t, p, k) := \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \hat{\beta} \left( k + \frac{\epsilon p}{2} \right) \mathcal{Y}_{\epsilon}(t, p, k) + \hat{\beta} \left( k + \frac{\epsilon p}{2} \right) \mathcal{Y}_{\epsilon}(t, -p, k) \right\},
\]

with \( \mathcal{Y}_{\epsilon}(t, p, k) = E \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\epsilon}(t, p, k). \)

Formula (2.30) remains valid also when only the second absolute moment exists. This can be easily argued by an approximation of the initial condition by random elements that are deterministically bounded.

Since the momentum, that is the inverse Fourier transform of \( \hat{p}^{(\epsilon)}(t, k) \), is real valued, the expression under the expectation appearing on the right hand side of (2.30) is an even function of \( k' \), thus the last term appearing on the right hand side of the equation can be replaced by

\[
-4 \int_{T} R_{\epsilon}(p, k, k') E_{\epsilon} \left[ \left( \hat{p}^{(\epsilon)} \right)^* \left( t, k' - \frac{\epsilon p'}{2} \right) \hat{p}^{(\epsilon)} \left( t, k' + \frac{\epsilon p'}{2} \right) \right] dk',
\]

where

\[
R_{\epsilon}(p, k, k') := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=\pm 1} \rho_{\epsilon}(k + ik', p).
\]

Note that

\[
R(k, k') := R_0(p, k, k') = \frac{1}{2} \left[ r^2(k, k - k') + r^2(k, k + k') \right] = 8 \sin^2(\pi k) \sin^2(\pi k') \left\{ \sin^2 [\pi (k + k')] + \sin^2 [\pi (k - k')] \right\}.
\]

The following relation holds

\[
4 \int_{T} R(k, k') dk' = \hat{\beta}(k), \quad \forall k \in T.
\]

We conclude therefore that \( \mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(t, p, k) \) satisfies the following

\[
\left\langle \partial_t \mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(t), J \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(t), (i\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{L}) J \right\rangle + \langle \mathcal{R}_{\epsilon}(t), J \rangle, \quad \forall J \in \mathcal{S}. \]

(2.34)

where \( \mathcal{B} f(p, k) := p \omega(p)f(p, k) \), for any \( f \in \mathcal{S} \). We let \( \omega'(0) := 0 \) in case \( \omega \) is not differentiable at 0. In addition,

\[
\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}^{(0)},
\]

(2.35)
where for each \( \epsilon \in [0, 1] \) operator \( \mathcal{L}^{(\epsilon)} \) acts on \( \mathcal{S} \) according to the formula

\[
\mathcal{L}^{(\epsilon)} f(p, k) := 2 \int_{\mathcal{T}} R_\epsilon(p, k, k') f(p, k') dk' - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_\epsilon(k) f(p, k)
\]

\[
= 2 \int_{\mathcal{T}} R_\epsilon(p, k, k') [f(p, k') - f(p, k)] dk', \quad f \in \mathcal{S},
\]

and extends to a bounded operator on either \( \mathcal{A} \), or \( \mathcal{A}' \). Finally,

\[
R_\epsilon(t, p, k) := R_\epsilon^{(1)}(t, p, k) + R_\epsilon^{(2)}(t, p, k),
\]

with

\[
R_\epsilon^{(1)}(t, p, k) := \left[ i (\rho \omega'(k) - \delta \omega(p, k)) + (\mathcal{L}^{(\epsilon)} - \mathcal{L}) \right] \mathcal{W}_\epsilon(t, p, k),
\]

\[
R_\epsilon^{(2)}(t, p, k) := \left[ \mathcal{R}_\epsilon^{(2)}(t, -p, k) \right]^*,
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{R}_\epsilon^{(2)}(t, p, k) := \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B} \left( k - \frac{\epsilon p}{2} \right) \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon(t, p, k) - \int_{\mathcal{T}} R_\epsilon(p, k, k') \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon(t, p, k') dk'.
\]

Similar calculations show that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon(t, p, k) = -2 i \epsilon \mathcal{W}_\epsilon(p, k) \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon(t, p, k) + \mathcal{U}_\epsilon(t, p, k),
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{U}_\epsilon(t, p, k) := \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_\epsilon(p, k) \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon(t, p, k) - \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 = \pm 1} \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \int_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{\rho}_\epsilon(p, k, k')
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \psi_{\sigma_1}^{(\epsilon)} \left( t, k - k' - \frac{\epsilon p}{2} \right) \left( \psi_{\sigma_2}^{(\epsilon)} \right)^* \left( t, k + k' + \frac{\epsilon p}{2} \right) \right\} dk'
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{\rho}_\epsilon(p, k, k') := \frac{1}{2} \left[ \omega \left( k + \frac{\epsilon p}{2} \right) + \omega \left( k - \frac{\epsilon p}{2} \right) \right],
\]

\[
\mathcal{\rho}_\epsilon(p, k, k') := r \left( k - \frac{\epsilon p}{2}, k' \right) r \left( k + \frac{\epsilon p}{2}, -k' \right).
\]

2.6. Probabilistic interpretation of the kinetic linear equation.

Denote by \( \mathcal{U}(t, p, k) \) the Fourier transform of the solution of \( (2.9) \) in the \( x \) variable. Let \( K_\epsilon(k) \) be a \( \mathcal{T} \)-valued, Markov jump process, defined over \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}) \), starting at \( k \), with the generator \( \mathcal{L} \). Suppose also that \( \mathcal{U}_0 \in \mathcal{A} \). Then

\[
\partial_t \mathcal{U}(t) - iB \mathcal{U}(t) = \mathcal{L} \mathcal{U}(t), \quad \mathcal{U}(0) = \mathcal{U}_0
\]

is understood as a continuous \( \mathcal{A} \)-valued function \( \mathcal{U}(t) \) such that

\[
\langle \mathcal{U}(t), J \rangle - \langle \mathcal{U}_0, J \rangle = \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{U}(s), (iB + \mathcal{L})J \rangle ds
\]
for all $J \in \mathcal{A}'$. It is well known that this solution admits the following probabilistic representation

$$U(t, p, k) := \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left\{ -ip \int_0^t \omega'(K_s(k)) \, ds \right\} U_0(p, K_t(k)) \right]. \quad (2.42)$$

Here $\mathbb{E}$ is the expectation over $\mathbb{P}$. For given $J \in \mathcal{A}'$ we let

$$J(t, p, k) := \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left\{ ip \int_0^t \omega'(K_s(k)) \, ds \right\} J(p, K_t(k)) \right]. \quad (2.43)$$

Therefore $J(t) \in \mathcal{A}'$. Using the reversibility of the Lebesgue measure under the dynamics of $K_t$, we conclude that the law of $\{K_{t-s}, s \in [0, t]\}$ and that of $\{K_s, s \in [0, t]\}$ coincide. Hence,

$$\langle U(t), J \rangle = \langle U_0, J(t) \rangle. \quad (2.44)$$

Likewise, using the definition of $R_\epsilon(t)$ (see (2.37)), from (2.34) and the Duhamel formula we get

$$\langle W_\epsilon(t), J \rangle = \langle W_\epsilon(0), J(t) \rangle + \int_0^t \langle R_\epsilon(s), J(t-s) \rangle ds, \quad \forall J \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (2.45)$$

3. CONVERGENCE OF THE WIGNER TRANSFORM

For a given $a \in \mathbb{R}$ define the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^{-a}} := \left( \int_T |f(k)|^2 \, dk \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Recall also that $\mu_\epsilon$ is the distribution of the initial data for equation (2.18). We assume that:

A.a) for a given $a > 0$

$$\mathcal{K}_{a, \gamma} := \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \epsilon^{1+\gamma} \int \|f\|^2_{H^{-a}} \mu_\epsilon(df) < +\infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $\mathcal{K}_{\gamma} := \mathcal{K}_{0, \gamma}$.

3.1. No pinning. Since

$$\left\langle W_{\epsilon, \gamma} \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon^{2\gamma/2}} \right), \tilde{J} \right\rangle = \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} W_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon^{2\gamma/2}}, p\epsilon^\gamma, k \right) J^*(p, k) dp dk,$$

part 1) of Theorem 2.1 is a consequence of the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that $t_0 > 0$, $\hat{\alpha}(0) = 0$ and $a \in (0, 1]$ is such that (3.1) holds. Then, for any $\gamma \in (0, 2a/3)$,

$$0 < \gamma' < \gamma \min \left[ \frac{3}{13}, \frac{1}{a+1} \right] \quad (3.2)$$
and $b > 1$ one can find $C > 0$ such that

$$
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \left[ \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{e^{3\gamma/2}}, p\epsilon^\gamma, k \right) - \mathcal{W}_0(p)e^{-\epsilon |p|^{3/2}t} \right] J^*(p, k) dpdk \right|
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \left[ \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon \left( 0, p\epsilon^\gamma, k \right) - W_0(p, k) \right] e^{-\epsilon |p|^{3/2}t} J^*(p) dpdk
+ C t (\mathcal{K}_{\gamma,a} + \|W_0\|_{B_a}(\|J\|_{\mathcal{A}_1'} + \|J\|_{B_{a,b}})\epsilon^\gamma + C \mathcal{K}_\gamma \epsilon \|J\|_{\mathcal{A}_1'} \left( \frac{t}{e^{3\gamma/2}} + 1 \right) + C e^{2a} \|J\|_{\mathcal{A}_{2a+1}'} \left( \mathcal{K}_\gamma \frac{t}{e^{3\gamma/2}} + \mathcal{K}_{a,\gamma} \right) \right)
\quad \text{(3.3)}
$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, $\mu$, $t \geq t_0$, $J \in \mathcal{A}_1' \cap B_{a,b}$ and $W_0 \in B_a$. Here

$$
\mathcal{W}_0(p) = \int_T W_0(p, k) dk \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}(p) = \int_T J(p, k) dk
\quad \text{(3.4)}
$$

and

$$
\dot{\epsilon} := \left( \frac{\pi^2 \alpha''(0)}{2} \right)^{3/4} \quad \text{(3.5)}
$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon(t, p, k)$ the solution of (2.41) with the initial condition $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon(0, p, k) = W_0(p\epsilon^{-\gamma}, k)$. The left hand side of (3.3) can be estimated by

$$
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \left[ \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{e^{3\gamma/2}}, p\epsilon^\gamma, k \right) - \mathcal{U}_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{e^{3\gamma/2}}, p\epsilon^\gamma, k \right) \right] J^*(p, k) dpdk \right|
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \left[ \mathcal{U}_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{e^{3\gamma/2}}, p\epsilon^\gamma, k \right) - \mathcal{W}_0(p)e^{-\epsilon |p|^{3/2}t} \right] J^*(p, k) dpdk
\quad \text{and}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \left[ \mathcal{U}_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{e^{3\gamma/2}}, p\epsilon^\gamma, k \right) - \mathcal{W}_0(p) \right] \mathcal{W}_0(p)e^{-\epsilon |p|^{3/2}t} J^*(p, k) dpdk \right|
\quad \text{(3.6)}
$$

Denote the terms appearing above by $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ respectively. The proof is made of two principal ingredients: the estimates of the convergence rates of the averaged Wigner transform of the wave function given by (2.18) to the solution of the linear equation (2.41) (that correspond to the estimates of $\mathcal{J}_1$) and further estimates for the long time-large scale asymptotics of these solutions (these will allow us to estimate $\mathcal{J}_2$). To deal with the first issue we formulate the following.

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that $\{\hat{\psi}^{(c)}(t), t \geq 0\}$ is the solution of (2.18) with coefficients satisfying a1)-a2) with $\hat{\alpha}(0) = 0$ and $A_a$) for some $a \in (0, 1]$. Assume also that $\hat{U}(t)$ and $J(t)$ are given by (2.42) and (2.43) respectively. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$
\left\| \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon(t) - \mathcal{U}(t), J \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon(0) - \mathcal{U}_0, J(t) \right\|
+C \epsilon \mathcal{K}_\gamma (t + 1) \|J\|_{\mathcal{A}_1'} + C \epsilon e^{2a} (t \mathcal{K}_\gamma + \mathcal{K}_{a,\gamma}) \|J\|_{\mathcal{A}_{2a+1}'}
\quad \text{(3.7)}
$$
for all \( J \in \mathcal{S}, \epsilon \in (0, 1] \) and \( t \geq 0 \).

We postpone the proof of this theorem until Section 4.1, proceeding instead with estimates of \( J_1 \). Using (3.7) we can write that

\[
J_1 \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} dp dk (\delta W)_\epsilon (p, k) \right| \tag{3.8}
\]

\[
\times E \left[ J^* (p, K_{t/\epsilon^{3\gamma/2}} (k)) \exp \left\{ -i p \epsilon^{\gamma} \int_0^{t/\epsilon^{3\gamma/2}} \omega' (K_s (k)) ds \right\} \right] + C K_{\gamma} \epsilon \| J \|_{\mathcal{A}'_1} \left( \epsilon^{3\gamma/2} + 1 \right) + C \epsilon^{2a} \| J \|_{\mathcal{A}'_2} \left( \epsilon^{3\gamma/2} + 1 \right),
\]

where \( J_\epsilon (p, k) := \epsilon^{-\gamma} J (p \epsilon^{-\gamma}, k) \) and

\[
(\delta W)_\epsilon (p, k) := \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} (p \epsilon^{-\gamma}, k) - (p, k).
\]

Since \( \| J \|_{\mathcal{A}'} \leq \| J \|_{\mathcal{A}'} \) for every \( \epsilon \in (0, 1] \) and \( b \geq 0 \), we obtain the last two terms on the right hand side of (3.8) account for the last two terms on the right hand side of (3.3).

Denote the first term on the right hand side of (3.8) by \( I_1 \). We can write that \( I_1 \leq I_1 + I_2 \), where

\[
I_1 = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} (\delta W)_\epsilon (p, k) e^{-\frac{|p|}{2} \epsilon^{3\gamma/2} t} \bar{J}^* (p) dp dk \right|,
\]

\[
I_2 = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} (\delta W)_\epsilon (p, k) \left\{ e^{-\frac{|p|}{2} \epsilon^{3\gamma/2} t} \bar{J}^* (p)
\right. \right.

\[
- E \left. \left. \left[ J^* (p, K_{t/\epsilon^{3\gamma/2}} (k)) \exp \left\{ -i p \epsilon^{\gamma} \int_0^{t/\epsilon^{3\gamma/2}} \omega' (K_s (k)) ds \right\} \right] \right\} dp dk \right|.
\]

Here for any function \( f : \mathbb{R} \times T \to \mathbb{C} \) we denote

\[
\bar{f} (p) := \int_T f (p, k) dk.
\]

Term \( I_1 \) accounts for the first term on the right hand side of (3.3). Using the reversibility of the Lebesgue measure under the dynamics of \( K_t \) (see (2.44)), we conclude that

\[
I_2 = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} J^* (p, k) \left\{ e^{-\frac{|p|}{2} \epsilon^{3\gamma/2} t} (\delta W)_\epsilon (p)
\right. \right.

\[
- E \left. \left. \left[ (\delta W)_\epsilon (p, K_{t/\epsilon^{3\gamma/2}} (k)) \exp \left\{ -i p \epsilon^{\gamma} \int_0^{t/\epsilon^{3\gamma/2}} \omega' (K_s (k)) ds \right\} \right] \right\} dp dk \right|.
\]
To estimate $I_2$ (and then further to estimate $J_2$) we need a bound on the convergence rate of the scaled functionals of the form \((2.42)\). Let
\[
\overline{W}(t,p) := \overline{W}_0(p) \exp\{-\hat{c}|p|^{3/2}t\}. \tag{3.9}
\]

**Theorem 3.3.** For any $t_0 > 0$, $a \in (0, 1]$, $b > 1$ and $\gamma'$ as in (3.2) there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \overline{W}(t,p) - \mathbb{E} \left[ W_0(p, K_{t/\epsilon^{3\gamma/2}}(k)) \exp\left\{ -ip\epsilon^\gamma \int_0^{t/\epsilon^{3\gamma/2}} \omega'(K_s(k)) ds \right\} \right] \right| \times J^*(p,k) dpdk \leq C t \Vert W_0 \Vert_{\mathcal{B}_a}(\Vert J \Vert_{\mathcal{A}_a} + \Vert J \Vert_{\mathcal{B}_{a,b}}) \epsilon', \tag{3.10}
\]
for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, $t \geq t_0$, $W_0 \in \mathcal{B}_a$ and $J \in \mathcal{A}_a' \cap \mathcal{B}_{a,b}$.

The proof of this result shall be presented in Section 6.1. Using the above theorem we can estimate
\[
I_2 \leq C t \Vert W_0 \Vert_{\mathcal{B}_a}(\Vert J \Vert_{\mathcal{A}_a} + \Vert J \Vert_{\mathcal{B}_{a,b}}) \epsilon'.
\]
Invoking again Proposition 3.3 this time to estimate $J_2$, we obtain that
\[
J_2 \leq C t \Vert W_0 \Vert_{\mathcal{B}_a}(\Vert J \Vert_{\mathcal{A}_a} + \Vert J \Vert_{\mathcal{B}_{a,b}}) \epsilon'.
\]
The above estimates account for the second term on the right hand side of (3.3), thus concluding the proof of the estimate in (3.3). \(\square\)

### 3.2. Pinned case

Part 2) of Theorem 2.1 is a direct consequence of the following result.

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume that $\hat{\alpha}(0) > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$. Then, for any $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$, $a \in (0, 1]$ and
\[
0 < \gamma' < \frac{a\gamma}{a + 1} \tag{3.11}
\]

one can find $C > 0$ such that
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \left[ \frac{e^{1+\gamma}}{2} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon^{2\gamma}}, pe^{\gamma}, k \right) - \overline{W}_0(p)e^{-\hat{c}t} \right] J^*(p,k) dpdk \right|
\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \left[ \frac{e^{1+\gamma}}{2} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon (0, pe^{\gamma}, k) - W_0(p,k) \right] e^{-\hat{c}t} J^*(p,k) dpdk \right|
\]
\[
+ CK_\epsilon e^{1-2\gamma} t \Vert J \Vert_{\mathcal{A}_a'} + Ct(K_{\gamma,a} + \Vert W_0 \Vert_{\mathcal{B}_a})(\Vert J \Vert_{\mathcal{A}_a'} + \Vert J \Vert_{\mathcal{B}_{a,b}}) \epsilon'. \tag{3.12}
\]
for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, $t \geq t_0$, $J \in \mathcal{A}_a' \cap \mathcal{B}_{a,b}$ and $W_0 \in \mathcal{B}_2$. Here $\overline{W}_0(p)$, $J(p)$ and $\hat{c}$ are respectively given by (3.4) and (6.12) below.
Proof. We proceed in the same fashion as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 so we only outline the main points of the argument. First, we estimate the left hand side of (3.12) by an expression corresponding to (3.6). The first term is estimated by an analogue of Theorem 3.2 that in this case can be formulated as follows.

**Theorem 3.5.** Assume that conditions a1)-a2) and $A_0$ hold. In addition, we let $\hat{\alpha}(0) > 0$. Then, the average Wigner transform $\mathcal{W}_\epsilon(t)$ satisfies the following: there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \left\langle \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon(t) - \mathcal{U}(t), J \right\rangle \right| \leq \left| \left\langle \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon(0) - \mathcal{U}_0, J(t) \right\rangle \right| + C\epsilon \|J\|_{A_1'} \gamma t$$

(3.13)

for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $t \geq 0$.

The proof of this result is presented in Section 4.2. In the next step we can estimate the rate of convergence of the functional appearing in the formula for the probabilistic solution of the linear Boltzmann equation towards the solution of the heat equation given in the following theorem. Let

$$\mathcal{W}(t, p) := \mathcal{W}_0(p) \exp\{-\hat{c}p^2t\}. \quad (3.14)$$

**Theorem 3.6.** For any $t_0 > 0$, $a \in (0, 1)$, $b > 1$ and $\gamma'$ as in (3.11) there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{R \times T} \left\{ \mathcal{W}(t, p) - \mathbb{E} \left[ W_0(p, K_{t/\epsilon^2\gamma}(k)) \exp \left\{ -ip\epsilon^{1/\gamma} \int_0^{t/\epsilon^2\gamma} \omega'(K_s(k))ds \right\} \right] \right\} \times J^*(p, k)dpdk \right| \leq Ct\||W_0||_{B_a} (\|J\|_{A'_a} + \|J\|_{B_{a,b}})\epsilon'^t,$$

(3.15)

for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, $t \geq t_0$, $W \in B_a$ and $J \in A'_a \cap B_{a,b}$.

The proof of the above theorem is contained in Section 6.2. The remaining part of the argument follows the argument of Section 3.1.

4. Proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.5

4.1. Proof of Theorem 3.2. From (2.45) and (2.44) we conclude that

$$\left| \left\langle \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon(t), J \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathcal{U}(t), J \right\rangle \right| \leq \left| \left\langle \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon(0) - \mathcal{U}_0, J(t) \right\rangle \right| + \left| \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(s), J(t-s) \rangle ds \right|,$$

with $\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(t)$ given by (2.37). Estimates of the last term on the right hand side above shall be done separately for each term appearing on the right hand side of (2.37).
4.1.1. Terms corresponding to $R^{(1)}$. Denote

$$E(\epsilon)(t,k) := \epsilon^{1+\gamma} W_\epsilon(t,0,k)$$

and

$$G(\epsilon)(t,k) := \epsilon^{1+\gamma} Y_\epsilon(t,0,k).$$

**Lemma 4.1.** For a given $a \in (0, 1]$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_T E(\epsilon)(t,k)\, dk \leq Ct K_\gamma + K_{\epsilon a \gamma},$$

(4.1)

for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1], \ t \geq 0$.

**Proof.** Denote the expression on the left hand side of (4.1) by $E_a(t)$. From (2.34) and (2.37) we conclude that

$$\left| \frac{dE_a(t)}{dt} \right| \leq \int_T \frac{dk}{|k|^{2a}} \left[ |L(E(\epsilon)(t))(k)| + |L(Re G(\epsilon)(t))(k)| \right]$$

(4.2)

Since $|k|^{-2a} R(k, k')$ is bounded when $a \in (0, 1]$ we can bound the right hand side of (4.2), with the help of (2.21), by $C K_\gamma$ and (4.1) follows. □

Let $\Delta \omega_\epsilon(p,k) := \rho \omega'(k) - \delta_\epsilon \omega(p,k)$. For a given $q \in \mathbb{R}$ define by $\text{mod}(q, 1/2)$ the unique $r \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ such that $q = \ell + r$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Divide the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times T$ into two domains: $\mathcal{C}$ - described below - and its complement $\mathcal{C}^c$. The first domain consists of those $(p,k)$ for which either $|\text{mod}(k \pm \epsilon p/2, 1/2)| \geq 1/4$, or both points $\text{mod}(k \pm \epsilon p/2, 1/2)$ belong to an interval $[-1/2, 0]$, or they belong to $[0, 1/2]$. We can write then

$$\frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \langle \Delta \omega_\epsilon W_\epsilon(s), J(t-s) \rangle = I_1 + I_2,$$

where the terms on the right hand side correspond to the integration over the aforementioned domains. One can easily verify that there exists $C > 0$ such that $|\Delta \omega_\epsilon(p,k)| \leq C|p|$ for $(p,k) \in \mathcal{C}$. We can estimate therefore

$$|I_1| \leq C\epsilon \|J\|_{\mathcal{A}_1} K_\gamma.$$

(4.3)

On the other hand, when $(p,k) \in \mathcal{C}^c$, with no loss of generality assume that $1/2 > k + \epsilon p/2 > 0 > k - \epsilon p/2 > -1/2$ (the other cases can be handled analogously) $p$ is positive and $k \in (-\epsilon p/2, \epsilon p/2)$. Since $|\Delta \omega_\epsilon(p,k)| \leq C|p|$, for a given $a \in (0, 1]$ we can write

$$|\Delta \omega_\epsilon(p,k)| \prod_{\sigma=\pm 1} \left| \sin \left( \pi \left( k + \frac{\sigma \epsilon p}{2} \right) \right) \right|^a \leq C \epsilon^{-2a}|p|^{2a+1}$$
for some constant $C > 0$. Using the above estimate we obtain

$$
|I_2| \leq C\epsilon^{2a} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times T} |p|^{2a+1} \mathbb{E}|J(p, K(t-s, k))|
$$

(4.4)

$$
\times \frac{\epsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \left\langle \prod_{\sigma=\pm 1} \sin \left[ \pi \left( k + \frac{\sigma \epsilon p}{2} \right) \right]^{-a} \left| \psi^{(e)}(s, k + \frac{\sigma \epsilon p}{2}) \right| \right \rangle \epsilon dpdk.
$$

By virtue of (4.1) we get that

$$
|I_2| \leq C\epsilon^{2a} (sK_{\gamma} + K_{a,\gamma}) \|J\|_{A^{2a+1}}.
$$

(4.5)

Taking the Taylor expansion of $R_\epsilon(p, k, k')$, up to terms of order $\epsilon$, it is also straightforward to conclude that

$$
\left| \epsilon^{1+\gamma} \int_0^t \langle \mathbb{L}(\epsilon^{(e)} - \mathbb{L}) \mathbb{W}_\epsilon(s), J(t-s) \rangle ds \right| \leq \epsilon K_{\gamma} \|J\|_{A'}.
$$

(4.6)

Summarizing, (4.3), (4.5) and (4.6) together imply

$$
\left| \epsilon^{1+\gamma} \int_0^t \langle \mathbb{L}(\epsilon^{(e)} - \mathbb{L}) \mathbb{W}_\epsilon(s), J(t-s) \rangle ds \right| \leq \epsilon K_{\gamma} \|J\|_{A'} t + C\epsilon^{2a} t (sK_{\gamma} + K_{a,\gamma}) \|J\|_{A^{2a+1}}
$$

(4.7)

4.1.2. Terms corresponding to $R_\epsilon^{(2)}$. Straightforward computations, taking the Taylor expansions of $\hat{\beta}(k - \epsilon p/2)$ and $R_\epsilon(p, k, k')$ up to $\epsilon$, show that

$$
\left| \epsilon^{1+\gamma} \int_0^t \langle R_\epsilon^{(2)}(s), J(t-s) \rangle ds - \epsilon^{1+\gamma} \int_0^t \langle \mathbb{L}(\epsilon^{(e)} - \mathbb{L}) \mathbb{W}_\epsilon(s), J(t-s) \rangle ds \right| \leq C\epsilon \|J\|_{A'} K_{\gamma} t.
$$

(4.8)

From (2.39) we conclude the following estimate.

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose that $\phi_\epsilon : \mathbb{R} \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that

$$
\Gamma_s := \sup_{\epsilon, p, k} |\phi_\epsilon(p, k)| < +\infty.
$$

(4.9)

Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$
\left| \epsilon^{1+\gamma} \int_0^t \langle \mathbb{W}_\epsilon(s) \phi_\epsilon J(t-s) \rangle ds \right| \leq C\epsilon K_{\gamma} (\|J\|_{A'} t + \|J\|_{A'})
$$

(4.10)

for all $J \in \mathcal{S}$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, $t > 0$.

**Proof.** The left hand side of (4.10) can be rewritten as

$$
\left| \epsilon^{1+\gamma} \int_0^t \langle \mathbb{W}_\epsilon(s) \phi_\epsilon, J(t-s) \rangle ds \right| \leq C\epsilon K_{\gamma} (\|J\|_{A'} t + \|J\|_{A'}).
$$

(4.11)
where $\Gamma_\varepsilon(p, k) := \phi_\varepsilon(p, k)\tilde{\omega}_\varepsilon^{-1}(p, k)$. Using (2.39) we can estimate this expression by

$$
\varepsilon \left| \frac{\varepsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \int_0^t \left\langle \partial_s \bar{\nabla}_\varepsilon(s) \Gamma_\varepsilon, J(t - s) \right\rangle ds \right| + \varepsilon \left| \frac{\varepsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \int_0^t \left\langle \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(s) \Gamma_\varepsilon, J(t - s) \right\rangle ds \right|.
$$

(4.11)

Thanks to (2.21) and (4.8) the second term is bounded by $\varepsilon \Gamma_* \|J\|_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{K}_\gamma t$. On the other hand, integration by parts allows us to estimate the first one by

$$
\varepsilon \left| \frac{\varepsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \int_0^t \left\langle \bar{\nabla}_\varepsilon(s) \Gamma_\varepsilon, (iB + \mathcal{L}) J(t - s) \right\rangle ds \right| + \varepsilon \left| \frac{\varepsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \int_0^t \left\langle \bar{\nabla}_\varepsilon(s) \Gamma_\varepsilon, J(t - s) \right\rangle ds \right| \leq C \mathcal{K}_\gamma \varepsilon(t \|J\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \|J\|_{\mathcal{A}'},)
$$

by virtue of (2.21) and (4.8).

Since

$$
\sup_{\varepsilon, k, k', p} \frac{R_\varepsilon(p, k, k')}{{\bar{\omega}}_\varepsilon(p, k)} < +\infty
$$

(4.13)

from the above lemma we conclude that

$$
\left| \frac{\varepsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \int_0^t \left\langle \mathcal{L}(\Re \bar{\nabla}_\varepsilon(s)), J(t - s) \right\rangle ds \right| \leq C \mathcal{K}_\gamma \varepsilon(t \|J\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \|J\|_{\mathcal{A}')},)
$$

(4.14)

This ends the proof of (3.7).

4.2. Proof of Theorem 3.5. We maintain the notation from the argument made in the previous section. Estimate (4.4) can be improved since in this case there exists $C > 0$ such that $|\Delta \omega_\varepsilon(p, k)| \leq C \varepsilon |p|$ for all $(p, k), \varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. Thus, we can write

$$
|I_2| \leq C \mathcal{K}_\gamma \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} |p| |E| \langle J(p, K(t - s, k)) \rangle dpdk \leq C \mathcal{K}_\gamma \varepsilon \|J\|_{\mathcal{A}_1'}
$$

(4.15)

for all $t \geq 0$. With this improvement in mind we conclude that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$
\left| \frac{\varepsilon^{1+\gamma}}{2} \int_0^t \left\langle \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon^{(1)}(s), J(t - s) \right\rangle ds \right| \leq C \varepsilon \|J\|_{\mathcal{A}_1'} \mathcal{K}_\gamma t
$$

(4.16)

for all $t \geq 0$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. Repeating the argument from the proof of Theorem 3.2 for the term corresponding to $\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon^{(2)}$ we conclude (3.13).
5. Convergence rate for a characteristic function of an additive functional of a Markov process

5.1. Markov chains. Suppose that \( \{\xi_n, n \geq 0\} \) is a Markov chain taking values in a Polish metric space \((E,d)\). Assume that \( \pi \) - the law of \( \xi_0 \) - is an invariant and ergodic probability measure for the chain. The transition operator satisfies:

**Condition 5.1. (spectral gap condition):**

\[
a := \sup \left\{ \| Pf \|_{L^2(\pi)} : \| f \|_{L^2(\pi)} = 1, f \perp 1 \right\} < 1.
\]

Since \( P \) is also a contraction in \( L^1(\pi) \) and \( L^\infty(\pi) \) we conclude, via Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, that for any \( \beta \in [1, +\infty) \):

\[
\| Pf \|_{L^\beta(\pi)} \leq a^\kappa(\beta) \| f \|_{L^\beta(\pi)},
\]

for all \( f \in L^\beta_0(\pi) - \) the subspace of \( L^\beta_0(\pi) \) consisting of functions satisfying \( \int f d\pi = 0 \), with \( \kappa(\beta) := 1 - |2/\beta - 1| > 0 \). Thus, \( Q_N := \sum_{n=0}^N P^n \)
satisfies

\[
\| Q_N f \|_{L^\beta(\pi)} \leq (1 - a^\kappa(\beta))^{-1} \| f \|_{L^\beta(\pi)}, \quad \forall f \in L^\beta_0(\pi).
\]

Furthermore, we assume the following regularity property of transition of probabilities:

**Condition 5.2. (existence of bounded probability densities w.r.t. \( \pi \))**

transition probability is of the form \( P(w,dv) = p(w,v)\pi(dv) \), where the kernel \( p(\cdot,\cdot) \) belongs to \( L^\infty(\pi \otimes \pi) \).

5.2. Convergence of additive functionals. Suppose that \( \Psi : E \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfies the tail estimate

\[
\pi(\{|\Psi| > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^\alpha}
\]

for some \( C > 0 \) and all \( \lambda \geq 1 \) and

\[
\int \Psi d\pi = 0.
\]

We wish to describe the behavior of tail probabilities \( \Pr \left[ \left| Z^{(N)}_t \right| \geq N^\kappa \right] \)
when \( \kappa > 0 \) for the scaled partial sum process

\[
Z^{(N)}_t := \frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]} \Psi(\xi_n), \quad t \geq 0.
\]

To that end we represent \( Z^{(N)}_t \) as a sum of an \( L^\beta \) integrable martingale for \( \beta \in [1, \alpha) \) and a boundary term vanishing with \( N \). Let \( \chi \) be
the unique solution, belonging to $L_0^\beta(\pi)$ for $\beta \in [1, \alpha)$, of the Poisson equation

$$\chi - P\chi = \Psi,$$

(5.6)

In fact using Condition 5.2 we conclude that $P\chi \in L^\infty(\pi)$. Therefore the tails of $\chi$ and $\Psi$ under $\pi$ are identical. We introduce an $L^\beta$ integrable martingale letting:

$$M_0 := 0, M_N := \sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_n,$$

(5.7)

and the respective partial sum process $M_t^{(N)} := \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]} \Psi(\xi_n) = M_{[Nt]} + \chi(\xi_0) - \chi(\xi_{[Nt]})$. (5.10)

From (5.10) and Chebyshev’s inequality we can estimate the left hand side of (5.9) by

$$P \left[ \left| M_{[Nt]} \right| \geq \frac{N^{1/\alpha + \kappa}}{3} \right] + P \left[ \left| \chi(\xi_0) \right| \geq \frac{N^{1/\alpha + \kappa}}{3} \right] + P \left[ \left| \chi(\xi_{[Nt]}) \right| \geq \frac{N^{1/\alpha + \kappa}}{3} \right] \leq P \left[ \left| M_{[Nt]} \right| \geq \frac{N^{1/\alpha + \kappa}}{3} \right] + \frac{C}{N^{\beta(1/\alpha + \kappa)}}$$

for some $C > 0$. On the other hand

$$P \left[ \left| M_{[Nt]} \right| \geq \frac{N^{1/\alpha + \kappa}}{3} \right] \leq \frac{1}{N^{\beta(1/\alpha + \kappa)}} \mathbb{E} \left| M_{[Nt]} \right|^\beta \leq \frac{Ct}{N^{\beta(1/\alpha + \kappa) - 1}}.$$

The last inequality follows from (5.8). Choosing $\beta$ sufficiently close to $\alpha$ we conclude the assertion of the lemma.

Suppose furthermore that an observable $\Psi : E \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that
Condition 5.4. \( \int \Psi d\pi = 0 \) and there exist \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \), \( \alpha_1 \in (0, 2 - \alpha) \) and nonnegative constants \( c_+^\ast, c_-^\ast, C^\ast > 0 \) such that \( c_+^\ast + c_-^\ast > 0 \) and
\[
\left| \pi(\Psi > \lambda) - \frac{c_+^\ast}{\lambda^\alpha} \right| + \left| \pi(\Psi < -\lambda) - \frac{c_-^\ast}{\lambda^\alpha} \right| \leq \frac{C^\ast}{\lambda^{\alpha + \alpha_1}} \tag{5.11}
\]
for all \( \lambda \geq 1 \).

Let \( \{ Z_t, t \geq 0 \} \) be an \( \alpha \)-stable process with the Levy exponent
\[
\psi(p) := \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + i\lambda p - e^{i\lambda p}) \frac{c_\ast(\lambda)}{\lambda^{1+\alpha}} d\lambda, \tag{5.12}
\]
where
\[
c_\ast(\lambda) := \begin{cases} 
c_-^\ast, & \text{when } \lambda < 0, \\
+ c_+^\ast, & \text{when } \lambda > 0.
\end{cases} \tag{5.13}
\]

In what follows we shall prove the following.

Theorem 5.5. Under the assumptions made above, for any \( \delta \in (0, \alpha/(\alpha + 1)) \) there exist \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
\left| E e^{ipZ_t^{(N)}} - e^{-t\psi(p)} \right| \leq C(1 + |p|)^5(t + 1) \left( \frac{1}{N^{\alpha_1/\alpha}} + \frac{1}{N^{\delta}} \right) \tag{5.14}
\]
for all \( p \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0, N \geq 1 \).

Proof. In the first step we replace an additive functional of the chain by a martingale partial sum process. Using \((5.10)\) we conclude that there exists \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
\left| E e^{ipZ_t^{(N)}} - e^{-t\psi(p)} \right| \leq C(1 + |p|)^5(t + 1) \left( \frac{1}{N^{\alpha_1/\alpha}} + \frac{1}{N^{\delta}} \right) \tag{5.15}
\]
for all \( p \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0, N \geq 1 \). The remaining part of the argument is therefore devoted to the proof of \((5.15)\). Denote \( Z_{N,n} := N^{-1/\alpha} Z_n \) with \( Z_n \) defined in \((5.7)\) and \( \chi \) the solution of Poisson equation \((5.6)\) with the right hand side equal to \( \Psi \). Introduce also
\[
h_p(x) := e^{ipx} - 1 - ipx, \tag{5.16}
\]
and \( \psi^{(N)}(p) := -N\bar{h}_p^{(N)} \). Observe that \( \text{Re} \bar{h}_p^{(N)} \leq 0 \).
Lemma 5.6. There exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
|\psi(N)(p) - \psi(p)| \leq \frac{C}{N^{\alpha_1/\alpha}}|p|(1 + |p|). \tag{5.17}
\]

In addition, for any bounded set \( \Delta \subset \mathbb{R} \) and \( \beta \in [1, \alpha) \) there exists \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
N \int_{\Delta} \sup_{\lambda \in \Delta} \left| h_p \left( \frac{\Psi(v) + \lambda}{N^{1/\alpha}} \right) \right|^\beta \pi(dv) \leq C|p|^\beta(1 + |p|), \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}, \ N \geq 1. \tag{5.18}
\]

Proof. Denote \( \tilde{\Psi}(w, v) := \Psi(v) + P\chi(v) - P\chi(w) \). With this notation the expression on the left hand side of (5.17) can be rewritten as
\[
\left| N \int_{E \times E} h_p \left( \frac{\tilde{\Psi}(w, v)}{N^{1/\alpha}} \right) p(w, v) \pi(dw) \pi(dv) - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_p(\lambda) \frac{c_*(\lambda)}{\lambda^{\alpha+1}} d\lambda \right|
\leq \left| N \int_{E \times E} \left[ h_p \left( \frac{\tilde{\Psi}(w, v)}{N^{1/\alpha}} \right) - h_p \left( \frac{\Psi(v)}{N^{1/\alpha}} \right) \right] p(w, v) \pi(dw) \pi(dv) \right|
+ \left| N \int_{E} h_p(\lambda) \left[ F_N(d\lambda) - \frac{\alpha c_*(\lambda)}{N^{1/\alpha+1}} d\lambda \right] \right|. \tag{5.19}
\]

Here \( F_N(\lambda) := \pi(\Psi \leq N^{1/\alpha}\lambda) \). The first term on the right hand side can be estimated by
\[
CN^{1-1/\alpha} \int_{E} \sup_{\lambda \in \Delta} \left| h_p' \left( \frac{\Psi(v) + \lambda}{N^{1/\alpha}} \right) \right| \pi(dv),
\]
where \( \Delta \) is a bounded interval containing all possible values of \( P\chi(v) - P\chi(w) \). This expression can be further estimated by
\[
Cp^2 N^{1-2/\alpha} \int_{E} (|\Psi(v)| + 1) \pi(dv).
\]

As for the second term on the right hand side of (5.19), using integration by parts, we conclude that it can be bounded by
\[
N \sum_{\sigma = \pm} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \left| h_p'(\lambda) \right| \pi(\sigma \Psi > N^{1/\alpha}\lambda) - \frac{c_*(\lambda)}{N^{1/\alpha}} d\lambda \tag{5.20}
\leq C^*|p|N^{-\alpha_1/\alpha} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{|e^{ip\lambda} - 1|}{\lambda^{\alpha+\alpha_1}} d\lambda \leq C|p|(1 + |p|)N^{-\alpha_1/\alpha}.
\]

The first estimate follows from (5.11), while the last one follows upon the change of variables \( \lambda' := \lambda p \) and the fact that \( 1 < \alpha + \alpha_1 < 2 \).
Concerning (5.18) expression appearing there can be estimated by
\[
N \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_p(\lambda')|^\beta F_N(d\lambda') + \beta N^{1-1/\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup_{\lambda \in \Delta} |h_p(\lambda' + \lambda N^{-1/\alpha})|^{\beta-1} \times \left| h'_p(\lambda' + \lambda N^{-1/\alpha}) \right| F_N(d\lambda').
\]
(5.21)
The first term is estimated by
\[
\beta N \int_{0}^{+\infty} |h_p(\lambda')|^{\beta-1} \left| h'_p(\lambda') \right| \pi(|\Psi| \geq N^{1/\alpha} \lambda') d\lambda' \leq C |p|^\beta
\]
for some \( C > 0 \) and all \( p \in \mathbb{R}, N \geq 1 \). Since \( \Delta \) is bounded the second term on the other hand is smaller than
\[
CN^{1-1/\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup_{\lambda \in \Delta} \left| h_p(\lambda + \lambda N^{-1/\alpha}) \right|^{\beta-1} \left| h'_p(\lambda + \lambda N^{-1/\alpha}) \right| F_N(d\lambda')
\]
\[
\leq CN^{1-1/\alpha} |p|^{\beta+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\lambda| + \frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha}})^{\beta} F_N(d\lambda')
\]
\[
\leq CN^{1-\alpha/(\alpha + 1)} |p|^{\beta+1}
\]
(5.22)
Hence, (5.18) follows. \( \square \)

In fact, in light of the above lemma to prove the theorem it suffices only to show that for any \( \delta \in (0, \alpha/(\alpha + 1)) \) one choose \( C > 0 \) so that
\[
\left| E[e^{ipM_{j,N}}] - e^{-t\psi(N)(p)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{N^{\delta}} (1 + |p|)^5 (t + 1)
\]
(5.23)
for all \( p \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0, N \geq 1 \). To shorten the notation we let \( M_{j,N} := M_j / N^{1/\alpha} \). Since \( \{M_n, n \geq 0\} \) is adapted and \( E[Z_{N,n+1} | F_n] = 0 \), we can write
\[
E[e^{ipM_{j+1,N}}] = E[e^{ipM_{j,N}} E[e^{ipZ_{N,j+1}} | F_j]]
\]
\[
= E[e^{ipM_{j,N}} \left\{ 1 + E[h_p(Z_{N,j+1}) | F_j] \right\}].
\]
To derive a recursive formula for
\[
W_j := \exp\{\psi(N)(p)j/N\} E \exp\{ipM_{j,N}\},
\]
write
\[
W_{j+1} - W_j = \exp\{\psi(N)(p)(j + 1)/N\} E\left\{ e^{ipM_{j,N}} [h_p(Z_{N,j+1}) - \bar{h}_p^{(N)}] \right\}
\]
\[
+ \exp\{\psi(N)(p)(j + 1)/N\} \left( 1 + \bar{h}_p^{(N)} - e^{ip(N)} \right) E e^{ipM_{j,N}}.
\]
Since $M_0 = 0$, adding up from $j = 0$ up to $[Nt] - 1$ and then dividing both sides of obtained equality by $\exp\{\psi^{(N)}(p)[Nt]/N\}$ we obtain that

$$E[\exp\{ipM^{(N)}_t\}] - \exp\{-\psi^{(N)}(p)[Nt]/N\} = \sum_{j=0}^{[Nt]-1} E\left\{e_{N,j}[h_p(Z_{N,j+1}) - \tilde{h}_p^{(N)}]\right\} + \sum_{j=0}^{[Nt]-1} \left(1 + \tilde{h}_p^{(N)} - e^{-\tilde{h}_p^{(N)}}\right)E e_{N,j},$$

where

$$e_{N,j} := \exp\{\psi^{(N)}(p)(j + 1 - [Nt])/N\} e^{ipM_{j,N}}.$$  

We denote the terms appearing on the right hand side of (5.24) by $I$ and $II$ and examine each of them separately. As far as $II$ is concerned, we bound its absolute value by

$$|II| \leq Nt \left|\tilde{h}_p^{(N)} - e^{-\tilde{h}_p^{(N)}}\right|$$

and since $\text{Re} \tilde{h}_p^{(N)} \leq 0$ we obtain the following

$$|II| \leq Nt \left|\tilde{h}_p^{(N)}\right|^2 \leq \frac{Ct|p|^2(1 + |p|)^2}{N}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Fix $K \geq 1$, to be adjusted later on, and divide the set $\Lambda_N = \{0, \ldots, [Nt] - 1\}$ in $\ell = [[Nt]/K] + 1$ contiguous subintervals, $\ell$ of size $K$ and the last one of size $K' \leq K$, i.e.

$$\Lambda_N = \bigcup_{m=1}^\ell \mathcal{I}_m, \quad \mathcal{I}_m \cap \mathcal{I}_n = \emptyset \quad \text{for } m \neq n,$$

$$\mathcal{I}_m = \{j_m, \ldots, j_m + K - 1\}, \quad m = 1, \ldots, \ell - 1$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_\ell = \{j_m, \ldots, j_m + K'\}$$

with $K' \leq K$. Here $[a]$ stands for the integer part of $a \in \mathbb{R}$. To simplify the notation we shall assume that $K' = K$. This assumption does not influence the asymptotics.

We need to estimate the absolute value of

$$I = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}_k} E\left\{e_{N,j}[h_p(Z_{N,j+1}) - \tilde{h}_p^{(N)}]\right\} = I_1 + I_2,$$
where

\[ I_1 := \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j \in I_k} E \left\{ [e_{N,j} - e_{N,j_k}] E \left[ h_p(Z_{N,j+1}) - \bar{h}_p^{(N)} \mid \mathcal{F}_j \right] \right\}, \]

\[ I_2 := \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} E \left\{ e_{N,j_k} \sum_{j \in I_k} [h_p(Z_{N,j+1}) - \bar{h}_p^{(N)}] \right\}, \]

The conditional expectation in the formula for \( I_1 \) equals

\[ \int p(\xi_j, v) \left[ h_p \left( \frac{\Psi(v) + P\chi(v) - P\chi(\xi_j)}{N^{1/\alpha}} \right) - \bar{h}_p^{(N)} \right] \pi(dv). \]

The supremum of its absolute value can be estimated by

\[ 2 \|p(\cdot, \cdot)\|_\infty \int \sup_{\lambda \in \Delta} \left| h_p \left( \frac{\Psi(v) + \lambda}{N^{1/\alpha}} \right) \right| \pi(dv) \leq \frac{C \|p\|(1 + |p|)}{N}, \]

for some constant \( C > 0 \). Here \( \Delta \) is a bounded set containing 0 and all possible values of \( P\chi(w) - P\chi(z) \) for \( z, w \in E \). The last inequality follows from Lemma 5.6. On the other hand, since the real part of \( \log e_{N,j} \) is non-positive we have

\[ E[|e_{N,j} - e_{N,j_k}|] \leq |p| \left( KE[\bar{h}_p^{(N)}] + E \left[ \sup_{l \in I_k} |M_{l,N} - M_{j_k,N}| \right] \right), \quad \forall j \in I_k. \]

According to (5.18), the first term in parentheses can be estimated by \( C \|p\|(1 + |p|)K/N \). Choose \( \beta \in (1, \alpha) \). From (5.8) and Doob’s inequality we can estimate the second term by \( CK^{1/\beta}/N^{1/\alpha} \). Summarizing we have shown that

\[ |I_1| \leq C(t + 1) (1 + |p|)^{5} \left( \frac{K^{1/\beta}}{N^{1/\alpha}} + \frac{K}{N} \right). \quad (5.28) \]

On the other hand,

\[ I_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} E \left\{ e_{N,j_k} \sum_{j \in I_k} E \left[ h_p(Z_{N,j+1}) - \bar{h}_p^{(N)} \mid \mathcal{F}_{j_k} \right] \right\} \]

\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} E \left\{ e_{N,j_k} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} P^j g_N(\xi_{j_k}) \right] \right\}, \]

where

\[ g_N(w) := \int h_p \left( \frac{\chi(v) - P\chi(w)}{N^{1/\alpha}} \right) p(w, v) \pi(dv) - \bar{h}_p^{(N)}. \]
Fix $\beta \in (1, \alpha)$. Since $\int g_N d\pi = 0$ and $\ell = \lceil [Nt]/K \rceil + 1$, from (5.2) we conclude that
\[
|I_2| \leq (1 - a^{\kappa(\beta)})^{-1} \left( \frac{Nt}{K} + 1 \right) \|g_N\|_{L^\infty(\pi)} .
\]

Hence, we have shown that
\[
|I| \leq C(t + 1) (1 + |p|)^{5} \left( \frac{K^{1/\beta}}{N^{1/\alpha}} + \frac{K}{N} + \frac{N^{1-1/\beta}}{K} \right) .
\]

Choose $K = N^\delta$ with $\delta \in (0, 1)$. It is easy to see that
\[
1 - \delta > \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\delta}{\beta}, \quad \forall \beta \in (1, \alpha)
\]
thus the middle term on the right hand side is smaller than the first one. The optimal rate is obtained therefore when
\[
\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} = \delta + \frac{1}{\beta} - 1,
\]
or equivalently
\[
\delta = \frac{1/\alpha + 2}{1/\beta + 1} - 1.
\]
From the above we get, that the optimal rate of convergence is obtained when $\beta$ is as close to $\alpha$ as possible, and for each $\delta_1 < \alpha/(\alpha + 1)$ we can choose then $C > 0$ so that
\[
|I| \leq \frac{C(t + 1)}{N^{\delta_1}} (1 + |p|)^{5} .
\]
Taking into account the above and (5.26) we conclude (5.23). \qed

5.3. Convergence rates in the central limit theorem regime.
We maintain assumptions made about the chain in the previous section. This time however we assume instead of Condition (5.4) the following.

**Condition 5.7.** $\int \Psi d\pi = 0$ and $\Psi \in L^2(\pi)$.

We define the martingale approximation, using (5.7) from the previous section. Decomposition (5.10) remains in force. Instead of (5.8) we have then an inequality
\[
\left( \mathbb{E} |M_N|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq CN^{1/2}, \quad \forall N \geq 1,
\]
where $C > 0$ is independent of $N \geq 1$. Let
\[
\sigma^2 := \mathbb{E}M_1^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(p) := \sigma^2 p^2/2.
\]
Define the partial sum process $Z_t^{(N)}$ by (5.5) with $\alpha$ replaced by 2. Our main result concerning the convergence of characteristic functions reads as follows.

**Theorem 5.8.** There exist $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}e^{ipZ_t^{(N)}} - e^{-tp^2} \right| \leq C(1 + |p|)^{\frac{t}{N^{1/3}}}$$

(5.30)

for all $p \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \geq 0, \ N \geq 1$.

**Proof.** Denote $Z_{N,n} := N^{-1/2}Z_n$ with $Z_n$ defined in (5.7) and $M_{j,N} := M_j/N^{1/2}$. Using this notation as well as the notation from the previous section we can write that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}e^{ipZ_t^{(N)}} - \mathbb{E}e^{ipM_t^{(N)}} \right| \leq \frac{C|p|}{N^{1/2}}, \ \forall t \geq 0, \ N \geq 1, \ p \in \mathbb{R}.$$  

It suffices therefore to prove

$$\left| \mathbb{E}e^{ipM_t^{(N)}} - e^{-tp^2} \right| \leq C(1 + |p|)^{\frac{t}{N^{1/3}}}$$

(5.31)

Let $h_p(x)$ be given by (5.10). We denote $	ilde{h}_p^{(N)} := -(\sigma p)^2/(2N)$ and $W_j := \exp\{(\sigma p)^2j/(2N)\}E\{e^{ipM_{j,N}}\}$.

Analogously to what has been done in the previous section we obtain

$$W_{j+1} - W_j = \exp\{(\sigma p)^2((j + 1)/(2N))\}E\left\{e^{ipM_{j,N}}[h_p(Z_{N,j+1}) - \tilde{h}_p^{(N)}]\right\}$$

$$+ \exp\{(\sigma p)^2((j + 1)/(2N))\}(1 + \tilde{h}_p^{(N)} - e^{\tilde{h}_p^{(N)}})Ee^{ipM_{j,N}}.$$  

Adding up from $j = 0$ up to $[Nt] - 1$ and then dividing both sides of obtained equality by $\exp\{(\sigma p)^2[Nt]/(2N)\}$ we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}\{e^{ipM_{t}^{(N)}}\} - \exp\{-(\sigma p)^2[Nt]/(2N)\}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{[Nt]} \mathbb{E}\left\{e_{N,j}[h_p(Z_{N,j+1}) - \tilde{h}_p^{(N)}]\right\} + \sum_{j=0}^{[Nt]} \left(1 + \tilde{h}_p^{(N)} - e^{\tilde{h}_p^{(N)}}\right)\mathbb{E}e_{N,j},$$

where

$$e_{N,j} := \exp\{(\sigma p)^2(j + 1 - [Nt])/(2N)\}e^{ipM_{j}/N^{1/2}}.$$  

Denote the absolute value of the terms appearing on the right hand side by $I$ and $II$ respectively. We can easily estimate

$$II \leq C\frac{tp^4}{N}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and all $t \geq 0, \ N \geq 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$.

To estimate $I$ we invoke the block argument from the previous section. Fix $K \geq 1$ and divide the set $\Lambda_N = \{0, \ldots, N - 1\}$ in $\ell =$
[[Nt]/K] + 1 contiguous subintervals, ℓ of size K and the last one of size K' ≤ K. In fact for simplicity sake we just assume that all intervals have the same size and maintain the notation introduced in the previous section. Estimate (5.27) remains in force with the obvious adjustments needed for α = 2. Repeating the argument leading to (5.28) with (5.29) used in place of (5.8) we conclude that

\[ |I_1| \leq Cp^2(t + 1) \left( \frac{pK^{1/2}}{N^{1/2}} + \frac{p^2K}{N} \right). \] (5.33)

On the other hand,

\[ |I_2| \leq (1 - a)^{-1} \left( \frac{Nt}{K} + 1 \right) \|g_N\|_{L^2(\pi)} \leq C(1 - a)^{-1} \frac{(t + 1)p^2}{K}. \]

Choosing \( K = N^{1/3} \) in the above estimates we conclude (5.31).

5.4. Convergence rates for additive functionals of jump processes. Assume that \{τₙ, n ≥ 0\} are i.i.d. exponentially distributed random variables with \( E\tau_0 = 1 \) that are independent of the Markov chain \{ξₙ, n ≥ 0\} considered in the previous section. Suppose furthermore that \( V,θ \) are Borel measurable functions on \( E \) such that:

**Condition 5.9.** For some \( t^* > 0 \)

\[ \theta(w) ≥ t^*, \quad \forall w ∈ E, \] (5.34)

there exist \( C^* > 0 \) and \( α_2 > 1 \) such that

\[ \pi(\theta > λ) ≤ \frac{C^*}{λ^α_2}, \quad \forall λ ≥ 1. \] (5.35)

We shall also assume that either \( Ψ(w) := V(w)θ(w) \) satisfies Condition [5.4] or it satisfies Condition [5.7].

Let \( t_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \theta(ξ_k)τ_k \) and let \( \{X_t, t ≥ 0\} \) be a jump process given by \( X_t := ξ_{n_t} \) where \( n_t := n \) for \( t_n ≤ t < t_{n+1} \). The process conditioned on \( ξ_0 = w \) shall be denoted by \( X_t(w) \). The corresponding chain shall be called a skeleton, while \( θ^{-1}(w) \) is the jump rate at \( w \). Note that measure \( \tilde{π}(dw) := \theta^{-1}(w)π(dw) \) is invariant under the process. Here \( \tilde{θ} := Eθ(ξ_0) \).

We also define processes

\[ Y_t^{(N)} := N^{-1/β} \int_0^{Nt} V(X_s)ds, \] (5.36)

with \( β = α \) when this condition holds and \( β = 2 \), in case Condition [5.7] is in place.
Observe that the integral above can be written as a random length sum formed over a Markov chain. More precisely, when \((5.11)\) holds

\[
Y_t^{(N)} = \frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^{n_{Nt-1}} \tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{\xi}_k) + \tilde{R}_t^{(N)},
\]

where

\[
\tilde{R}_t^{(N)} := \frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha}} V(\xi_{nt})(t - t_{nt}),
\]

\(\{\tilde{\xi}_n, n \geq 0\}\) is an \(E \times (0, +\infty)\)-valued Markov chain given by \(\tilde{\xi}_n := (\xi_n, n_\tau)\) and \(\tilde{\Psi}(w, \tau) := \Psi(w)\tau\). Its invariant measure \(\tilde{\pi}\) is given by \(\tilde{\pi}(dw, d\tau) := e^{-\tau}\pi(dw)\) and the transition probability kernel equals \(\tilde{P}(w, \tau, dv, d\tau') := p(w, v)e^{-\tau'}\pi(dv)\). It is elementary to verify that this chain and the observable \(\tilde{\Psi}\) satisfy Conditions 5.1-5.4 with the constants appearing in Condition 5.4 given by \(\tilde{c}_\pm^* := \Gamma(\alpha + 1)c_\pm^*\), where \(\Gamma(\cdot)\) is the Euler gamma function. In case Condition 5.7 holds we can still write \((5.37)\) and \((5.38)\) with \(\alpha = 2\).

Consider the stable process \(\{Z_t, t \geq 0\}\) whose Levy exponent is given by \((5.12)\) with \(c^*_e(\lambda)\) replaced by

\[
\tilde{c}_e(\lambda) := \begin{cases} 
\tilde{\theta}^{-\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha + 1)c_e^-, & \text{when } \lambda < 0, \\
\tilde{\theta}^{-\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha + 1)c_e^+, & \text{when } \lambda > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \(\{B_t, t \geq 0\}\) be a zero mean Brownian motion whose variance equals \(\tilde{c}^2 := 2\tilde{\theta}^{-2}\sigma^2\).

The aim of this section is to prove the following.

**Theorem 5.10.** In addition to the assumptions made in Section 5.1 suppose that Condition 5.9 holds. Then, for any

\[
0 < \delta < \delta_* := \min[\alpha/(\alpha + 1), (\alpha_2 - 1)/(\alpha_2 + 1)]
\]

there exists \(C > 0\) such that

\[
\left| \mathbb{E}\exp\left\{ipY_t^{(N)}\right\} - \mathbb{E}\exp\{ipZ_t\} \right| \leq C(|p| + 1)^5(t + 1)\left(\frac{1}{N^{\alpha_1/\alpha}} + \frac{1}{N^\delta}\right),
\]

for all \(p \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0, N \geq 1\).

If, on the other hand the assumptions made in Section 5.3 and Condition 5.4 hold then for any

\[
0 < \delta < \delta_* := (\alpha_2 - 1)/(1 + 2\alpha_2)
\]

there exists \(C > 0\) such that

\[
\left| \mathbb{E}\exp\left\{ipY_t^{(N)}\right\} - \mathbb{E}\exp\{ipB_t\} \right| \leq C(|p| + 1)^4(t + 1)\left(\frac{1}{N^{1/3}} + \frac{1}{N^\delta}\right).
\]
for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq 0$, $N \geq 1$.

The proof of this result is carried out below. It relies on Theorem 5.5. The principal difficulty is that definition of $Y_t^{(N)}$ involves a random sum instead of a deterministic one as in the previous section. This shall be resolved by replacing $n_{Nt}$ appearing in the upper limit of the sum in (5.37) by the deterministic limit $\bar{N}t$, where $\bar{N} := N/\bar{\theta}$, that can be done with a large probability, according to the lemma formulated below.

5.4.1. *From a random to deterministic sum.*

**Lemma 5.11.** Suppose that $\kappa > 0$. Then, for any $\delta \in (0, \alpha_2 \kappa)$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$
P \left[ \left| n_{Nt} - \bar{N}t \right| \geq N^{\kappa+1/\alpha_2} \right] \leq \frac{C(t+1)}{N^{\delta}}, \quad \forall N \geq 1, \ t \geq 0. \quad (5.45)$$

**Proof.** Denote

$$
\begin{align*}
A_N^+ &:= \left[ n_{\lfloor Nt \rfloor} - \bar{N}t \right] \geq N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa}, \\
A_N^- &:= \left[ n_{\lfloor Nt \rfloor} - \bar{N}t \right] \leq -N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa}, \quad A_N := A_N^+ \cup A_N^-.
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\kappa' \in (0, \kappa)$ be arbitrary and

$$
C_N := \left[ \sum_{n=\lfloor Nt \rfloor}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor + N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa} - 1} \tau_n \geq N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa'} \right].
$$

We adopt the convention of summing up to the largest integer smaller than, or equal to the upper limit of summation. Note that on $A_N^+$ we have

$$
\lfloor Nt \rfloor - t_{\lfloor Nt \rfloor} \geq t_{n_{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}} \geq t^* \sum_{n=\lfloor Nt \rfloor}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor + N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa} - 1} \tau_n.
$$

Furthermore

$$
r_N := \frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha_2}} \left[ \frac{\lfloor Nt \rfloor - \bar{N}t}{\bar{\theta}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha_2}} \left( \frac{1}{\bar{\theta}} + 1 \right).
$$

Hence,

$$
P \left[ A_N^+ \cap C_N \right] \leq P \left[ \left| \lfloor Nt \rfloor - t_{\lfloor Nt \rfloor} \right| \geq t^* N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa'} \right]
\leq P \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \bar{N}t \rfloor} \left| \bar{\theta}(\xi_n) \tau_n - \bar{\theta} \right| \geq t^* N^{\kappa'} - \bar{\theta} r_N \right].
$$
To estimate the probability appearing on the utmost right hand side we apply Lemma 5.3 for the Markov chain \(\{\tilde{\xi}_n, n \geq 0\}\) and \(\Psi(w, \tau) := \theta(w)\tau - \bar{\theta}\). We conclude therefore that for any \(\delta \in (0, \alpha_2\kappa')\) there exists \(C > 0\) such that

\[
P \left[ A^+_N \cap C_N \right] \leq \frac{C(t + 1)}{N^\delta}, \quad \forall \ t \geq 0, \ N \geq 1. \tag{5.47}
\]

Since \(E\tau_0 = 1\) and \(\kappa' \in (0, \kappa)\) for any \(x \in (0, 1)\) we can find \(C > 0\) such that

\[
P \left[ C^c_N \right] \leq C \left( \frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa}} \sum_{n=0}^{N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa} - 1} \tau_n < \frac{1}{N^{\kappa - \kappa'}} \right) \tag{5.48}
\]

where \(I(x) := -(1 - x - \ln x)\). The last inequality follows from the large deviations estimate of Cramer, see e.g. Theorem 2.2.3 of [10]. Using this and (5.47) we get

\[
P \left[ A^+_N \right] \leq P \left[ A^+_N \cap C_N \right] + P \left[ C^c_N \right] \leq \frac{C}{N^\delta}.
\]

Probability \(P[A^+_N]\) can be estimated in similar way. Instead of \(C_N\) we consider the event

\[
\tilde{C}_N := \left[ \sum_{n=[\tilde{N}t] - N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa} + 1}^{[\tilde{N}t]} \tau_n \geq N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa'} \right]
\]

and carry out similar estimates to the ones done before. \(\square\)

5.4.2. Proof of (5.42). Choose any \(\kappa > 0\). We can write

\[
\left| E \exp \left\{ ipY^{(N)}_t \right\} - E \exp \left\{ ipZ_t \right\} \right| \leq \left| E \left[ \exp \left\{ ipY^{(N)}_t \right\} - \exp \left\{ ipZ^{(N)}_t \right\}, A_N \right] \right| + \left| E \left[ \exp \left\{ ipY^{(N)}_t \right\} - \exp \left\{ ipZ^{(N)}_t \right\}, A^c_N \right] \right| + \left| E \exp \left\{ ipZ^{(N)}_t \right\} - E \exp \left\{ ipZ_t \right\} \right|,
\]

with \(A_N\) defined in (5.46). The last term on the right hand side can be estimated by the expression appearing on the right hand side of (5.42), by virtue of Theorem 5.5.
The first term on the right hand side can be estimated by $C(t+1)N^{-\delta}$ for some $\delta \in (0, \alpha_2 \kappa)$ and $C > 0$. The second term is less than, or equal to

$$|p|(E I_N + E J_N),$$

where

$$I_N := N^{-1/\alpha} \max_{m \in [\tilde{N}t - N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa}, [\tilde{N}t + N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa}] \cap \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=m}^{[\tilde{N}t]} \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\xi}_k) \right|, \quad (5.50)$$

and

$$J_N := N^{-1/\alpha} \max_{m \in [\tilde{N}t - N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa}, [\tilde{N}t + N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa}] \cap \mathbb{N}} \left| \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\xi}_m) \right|. \quad (5.51)$$

**Lemma 5.12.** Suppose that $\kappa \in (0, 1 - 1/\alpha_2)$. Then, for any $\delta \in (0, \alpha^{-1}(1 - \kappa - \alpha_2^{-1}))$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$E I_N \leq \frac{C}{N^\delta} \quad (5.52)$$

and

$$E J_N \leq \frac{C}{N^\delta}, \quad \forall N \geq 1. \quad (5.53)$$

**Proof.** First we prove (5.53). Since $\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\xi}_0)$ is $L^\beta$ integrable we can write for any $\beta \in (1, \alpha)$

$$E J_N \leq \frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha}} \left\{ E \max_{m \in [\tilde{N}t - N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa}, [\tilde{N}t + N^{1/\alpha_2 + \kappa}] \cap \mathbb{N}} |\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\xi}_m)|^{\beta} \right\}^{1/\beta} \quad (5.54)$$

Choosing $\beta$ sufficiently close to $\alpha$ we conclude (5.53).

Now we prove (5.52). Again we can use martingale decomposition

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\xi}_k) = \tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\xi}_0) - \tilde{P}\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\xi}_{m-1}) + M_m,$$

where

$$M_m := \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left[ \tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\xi}_n) - \tilde{P}\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\xi}_{n-1}) \right],$$

and $\tilde{\chi}(\cdot)$ is unique, zero mean, solution of $\tilde{\chi} - \tilde{P}\tilde{\chi} = \tilde{\psi}$, with $\tilde{P}$ the transition operator for the chain $\{\tilde{\xi}_n, n \geq 0\}$. Using stationarity we
can bound
\[
EI_N \leq \frac{2}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{E} \max_{m \in [0,2N^{1/\alpha_2+\kappa}]} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \Psi(\xi_k) \right| \leq \frac{2}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{E} \max_{m \in [0,2N^{1/\alpha_2+\kappa}]} |M_m|
\]
\[
+ \frac{2}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{E} |\tilde{\chi}(\xi_0)| + \frac{2}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{E} \max_{m \in [0,2N^{1/\alpha_2+\kappa}]} |\tilde{\chi}(\xi_m)|.
\]

Denote the terms on the right hand side by \(I_N^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, 3\) respectively. One can easily estimate \(I_N^{(2)} \leq CN^{-1/\alpha}\). Also, to bound \(I_N^{(3)}\) we can repeat estimates made in \(5.54\), as \(\tilde{\chi}\) is also \(L^\beta\) integrable and obtain
\[
I_N^{(3)} \leq CN^{(1/\alpha_2+\kappa)/\beta-1/\alpha}
\]
for some \(C > 0\). Finally, to deal with \(I_N^{(1)}\) observe that by Doob’s inequality for \(\beta \in (1, \alpha)\)
\[
\frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha}} \mathbb{E} \max_{m \in [0,2N^{1/\alpha_2+\kappa}]} |M_m| \leq \frac{C}{N^{1/\alpha}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left| M_{2N^{1/\alpha_2+\kappa}} \right|^\beta \right\}^{1/\beta}.
\]

We use again \((5.8)\) and conclude that
\[
\left\{ \mathbb{E} \left| M_{2N^{1/\alpha_2+\kappa}} \right|^\beta \right\}^{1/\beta} \leq CN^{(1/\alpha_2+\kappa)/\beta}.
\]

Summarizing from the above estimates we get
\[
I_N^{(1)} \leq CN^{-\delta},
\]
where \(\delta\) is as in the statement of the lemma.

Gathering the above results we have shown that for any \(\kappa \in (0, 1 - \alpha_2^{-1})\) and \(\delta_1 \in (0, \alpha_2 \kappa), \delta_2 \in (0, \alpha^{-1}(1 - \kappa - \alpha_2^{-1}))\) we have
\[
\left| \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ ipY_t^{(N)} \right\} - \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ ipZ_t^{(N)} \right\} \right| \leq C \left( \frac{t + 1}{N^{\delta_1}} + \frac{|p|}{N^{\delta_2}} \right).
\]

Choosing \(\kappa\) sufficiently close to \((1 - \alpha_2^{-1})/(\alpha \alpha_2 + 1)\) we obtain that for any \(\delta \in (0, (\alpha_2 - 1)(\alpha \alpha_2 + 1) - 1)\) we can find a constant \(C > 0\) so that
\[
\left| \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ ipY_t^{(N)} \right\} - \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ ipZ_t^{(N)} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{C}{N^{\delta}} (t + 1)(|p| + 1).
\]

Thus, we conclude the proof of \((5.52)\).

5.4.3. Proof of \((5.43)\). In this case we can still write inequality \((5.43)\). With the help of Lemma \(6.11\) for any \(\kappa > 0\) and \(\delta \in (0, \alpha_2 \kappa)\) we can find \(C > 0\) such that
\[
\left| \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ ipY_t^{(N)} \right\} - \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ ipZ_t^{(N)} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{C(t + 1)\kappa}{N^{\delta}} + |p|(EI_N + EJ_N),
\]

\[(5.56)\]
where $I_N, J_N$ are defined by (5.50) and (5.51) respectively, with $\alpha = 2$.

repeating the estimates made in the previous section we obtain that

$$E I_N + E J_N \leq C N^{1/2(\kappa_1 + \alpha_1^{-1})}$$

for some $C > 0$. Using the above estimates and (5.30) we conclude (5.44).

6. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 3.3 AND 3.6

6.1. Proof of Theorem 3.3

Let $N := \epsilon^{-32/3}$ and $J \in \mathcal{A}$ be a real valued function. Define

$$W_N(t, p, k) := E \left[ W(p, K_N(t)(k)) \exp \left\{ -i p N^{-2/3} \int_0^t \omega'(K_s(k)) ds, \right\} \right].$$

where $\{K_t(k), t \geq 0\}$ is the Markov jump process starting at $k$, introduced in Section 2.6. It can be easily verified that the Lebesgue measure on the torus is invariant and reversible for the process and we denote the respective stationary process by $\{K_t, t \geq 0\}$. Its generator $L$ is a symmetric operator on $L^2(T)$ given by

$$L f(k) := \int_T R(k, k') [f(k') - f(k)] dk'$$

$$= -R(k) f(k) + \frac{3}{4} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}} \langle e_\epsilon, f \rangle e_{-\epsilon}(k), \quad \forall f \in L^2(T).$$

Here

$$e_1(k) := \frac{8}{3} \sin^4(\pi k), \quad e_{-1}(k) := 2 \sin^2(2\pi k).$$

We also let

$$v(k) := e_{-1}(k) + e_1(k).$$

Note that

$$\int_T e_1(k) dk = \int_T e_{-1}(k) dk = 1$$

and

$$R(k) = \frac{3}{4} v(k) = 2 \sin^2(\pi k) \left[ 1 + 2 \cos^2(\pi k) \right].$$

The process $K_t(k)$ is a jump Markov process of the type considered in Section 2.6. The mean jump time and the transition probability operator of the skeleton Markov chain $\{\xi_n, n \geq 0\}$ are given by $\theta(k) = $
$R^{-1}(k)$ and

$$P f(k) := \theta(k) \int_T R(k, k') f(k') dk'$$

$$= \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} \langle \epsilon \cdot f, \frac{\epsilon \cdot \omega(k)}{\tau(k)} \rangle, \quad f \in C(T),$$

respectively. Probability measure $\pi(dk) = (1/2)\tau(k)dk$ is reversible under the dynamics of the chain. It is clear that Condition 5.2 holds. It has been shown in Section 3 of [13] that Condition 5.1 is satisfied.

Let $\{Q_t, t \geq 0\}$ be the semigroup corresponding to the generator $L$. It can easily be argued that $Q_t$ is a contraction on $L^p(T)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty)$. We shall need the following estimate.

**Theorem 6.1.** For a given $a \in (0, 1]$ there exists $C > 0$

$$\|Q_t f\|_{L^1(T)} \leq \frac{C}{(1 + t)^a} \|f\|_{B_a}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.2)

for all $f \in B_a$ such that $\int_T f dk = 0$.

The proof of this result shall be presented in Section 6.3. We proceed first with its application in the proof of Theorem 3.3. The additive functional appearing in (6.1) shall be denoted by

$$Y_t^{(N)}(k) := \frac{1}{N^{2/3}} \int_0^{Nt} \omega'(K_s(k)) ds,$$

or by $Y_t^{(N)}$ in case it corresponds to the stationary process $K_t$. It is of the type considered in Section 5.4 with $\alpha = 3/2$ and

$$\Psi(k) := \omega'(k) \theta(k).$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.3)

Since the dispersion relation satisfies

$$\omega(k) = |k| \left[ \frac{\alpha''(0)}{2} + O(k^2) \right]^{1/2} \quad \text{for} \quad k \ll 1,$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.4)

we have

$$\omega'(k) = \text{sgn} k \left[ \frac{\alpha''(0)}{2} + O(k^2) \right]^{1/2} \quad \text{for} \quad k \ll 1$$

and

$$\left| \pi(\Psi > \lambda) - \frac{c^+_*}{\lambda^{3/2}} \right| \leq \frac{C^*}{\lambda^2}, \quad \forall \lambda \geq 1,$$

with

$$c^+_* := 2^{-1/4} 3^{-5/2} \pi^{1/2}[\alpha''(0)]^{3/4}$$
and some \( C^* > 0 \). Condition \[5.4\] is therefore satisfied with \( \alpha = 3/2 \) and arbitrary \( \alpha_1 < 1/2 \). Since \( \Psi(k) \) is odd we have \( c^-_* = c^+_* \). On the other hand, jump mean time \( \theta(k) \) satisfies \[5.3\] with \( \alpha_2 = 3/2 \).

We can apply to \( Y_t^{(N)} \) the conclusion of part 1) of Theorem \[5.10\] In this case \( \hat{c}_*(\lambda) \equiv \hat{c} \), where

\[
\hat{c} = \frac{3}{2^{1/2}} \tilde{\theta}^{-3/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) c^+_* \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sin^2 x}{x^{3/2}} dx.
\]

Since the integral on the right hand side equals \( 4\sqrt{\pi}/3 \) we obtain \[3.5\].

Let \( Z_t \) be the corresponding symmetric \( 3/2 \)-stable process. From \[3.9\] we obtain \( \overline{W}(t, p) = \overline{W}(p) e^{-ipZ_t} \). Therefore, for any \( J(\cdot) \in S \)

\[
|\langle W_N(t), J \rangle - \langle \overline{W}(t), J \rangle| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} J^*(p, k) \mathbb{E}\left[ W(p, K_N(t)) e^{-ipY_t^{(N)}(k)} - \overline{W}(p) e^{-ipZ_t} \right] dpdk \right|.
\]

Let \( 1 > \beta > 1/3 \). The left hand side of \[6.5\] is estimated by \( E_1 + E_2 + E_3 \), where

\[
E_1 := \left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} J^*(p, k) \mathbb{E}\left[ W(p, K_N(t)) e^{-ipY_t^{(N)}(k)} - e^{-ipY_{t(1-N-\beta)}^{(N)}(k)} \right] dpdk \right|
\]

\[
E_2 := \left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} J^*(p, k) \mathbb{E}[\hat{W}_p(K_N(t))] e^{-ipY_{t(1-N-\beta)}^{(N)}(k)} dpdk \right|
\]

\[
E_3 := \left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} J^*(p, k) \overline{W}(p) \mathbb{E}\left[ e^{-ipY_{t(1-N-\beta)}^{(N)}(k)} - e^{-ipZ_t} \right] dpdk \right|
\]

and \( \hat{W}_p(k) := W(p, k) - \overline{W}(p) \). The first term can be estimated as follows

\[
E_1 \leq ||\omega'||_{\mathcal{L}t N^{1/3-\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} |p| |J(p, k)| \mathbb{E}|W(p, K_N(t))| dpdk \\
\leq C t N^{1/3-\beta} ||J||_{\mathcal{A}_t} ||W||_{\mathcal{A}}.
\]

To estimate the second term note that by the Markov property we can write

\[
\mathbb{E}\left[ \hat{W}_p(K_N(t)) e^{-ipY_{t(1-N-\beta)}^{(N)}(k)} \right] \tag{6.7}
\]

\[
\mathbb{E}\left[ Q_{N1-\beta} \hat{W}_p(K_N(1-N-\beta))(k) e^{-ipY_{t(1-N-\beta)}^{(N)}(k)} \right].
\]

Term \( E_2 \) can be therefore estimated by

\[
||J||_{\mathcal{A}} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}} ||Q_{N1-\beta} \hat{W}_p||_{L^1(\pi)}.
\]
Invoking Theorem 6.1 we obtain

\[ \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}} \| Q_{N^{1-\beta}} \tilde{W}_p \|_{L^1(T)} \leq \frac{C}{N^{\alpha(1-\beta)}} \| W \|_{B_{a}}, \quad \forall \ t \geq 1, \ N \geq 1. \]

As a result we conclude immediately that

\[ E_2 \leq \frac{C}{N^{\alpha(1-\beta)}} \| W \|_{B_{a}} \| J \|_{A'}. \quad (6.8) \]

To deal with \( E_3 \) note that by reversibility of the process \( K_t \) it equals

\[ E_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(p) \mathbb{E} \left[ J^* (p, K_t(1-N^{-\beta})) e^{-ipY^{(N)}_{t(1-N^{-\beta})}} - J^*(p) e^{-ipZ_t} \right] dp \]

where \( \tilde{J}(p) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} J(p, k) dk \). We obtain that

\[ E_3 \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(p) \mathbb{E} \left\{ J^* (p, K_t(1-N^{-\beta})) \left[ e^{-ipY^{(N)}_{t(1-N^{-\beta})}} - e^{-ipY^{(N)}_{t(1-2N^{-\beta})}} \right] \right\} dp \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{J}^*(p) W(p) \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{-ipY^{(N)}_{t(1-2N^{-\beta})}} - e^{-ipZ_t} \right] dp \right| \]

\[ = E_{31} + E_{32} + E_{33}. \]

From this point on handle this term similarly to what has been done before and obtain that

\[ E_{31} \leq \frac{Ct}{N^{\beta-1/3}} \| J \|_{A'} \| W \|_{A} \tag{6.9} \]

and

\[ E_{32} \leq \frac{C}{N^{(1-\beta)a}} \| W \|_{A'} \| J \|_{B_{a,b'}} \tag{6.10} \]

provided \( b > 1 \).

Term \( E_{33} \) can be handled with the help of Theorem 5.10 with \( \alpha = \alpha_2 = 3/2 \) and an arbitrary \( \alpha_1 < 1/2 \). Therefore, for any \( \delta < 2/13 \) we can find a constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[ E_{33} \leq \frac{C}{N^{\delta}(t + 1)} \| W \|_{A'} \| J \|_{A'}. \tag{6.11} \]

We have reached therefore the conclusion of Theorem 3.3 with the exponent \( \gamma' \) as indicated in (3.2).
6.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.6.** The respective additive functional in this case equals

\[ Y_{t}^{(N)}(k) := \frac{1}{N^{1/2}} \int_{0}^{Nt} \omega'(K_s(k)) ds, \]

where \( N := \epsilon^{-2\gamma} \). The observable \( \Psi \) is given by (6.3). From (6.4) we get

\[ \omega'(k) = \frac{\hat{\alpha}''(0)k}{2} \left( \frac{\hat{\alpha}(0) + \frac{\hat{\alpha}''(0)}{2} k^2 (1 + O(k^2))}{1 + O(k^2)^{1/2}} \right) \]

for \( k \ll 1 \).

and the asymptotics of the tails of \( \Psi(k) \) is given by

\[ \pi(|\Psi| > \lambda) \leq \frac{C^*}{\lambda^3} \]

for some \( C^* > 0 \) and all \( \lambda \geq 1 \). The observable belongs therefore to \( L^2(\pi) \) and, since it is odd, its mean is 0. Conditions 5.7 and 5.9 are therefore fulfilled. The latter with \( \alpha_2 = 3 \). We also have

\[ \hat{c} := 9\sigma^2, \quad (6.12) \]

where

\[ \sigma^2 = \int_T \left[ \chi^2(k) - (P\chi)^2(k) \right] \pi(dk) \quad (6.13) \]

and \( \chi \) is the unique zero mean solution of equation

\[ \chi - P\chi = \Psi. \quad (6.14) \]

The result is then the consequence of the argument made in Section 6.1 and Theorems 5.10 and 6.1.

6.3. **Proof of Theorem 6.1.** Denoting \( f_t := Q_tf \) we can write, using Duhamel’s formula

\[ f_t = S_{t}f + \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i \in \{-1,1\}} \int_{0}^{t} S_{t-s}e_{-i}(k) \langle e_{i}, f_s \rangle ds, \quad (6.15) \]

where

\[ S_{t}f(k) := e^{-R(k)t} f(k). \]

Let \( H := [\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re} \lambda > 0] \),

\[ \hat{f}(\lambda) := (\lambda - \mathcal{L})^{-1} f = \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda s} f_s ds, \quad \lambda \in H, \]

\[ \hat{f}_0(\lambda) := \frac{f}{\mathfrak{r} + \lambda} \quad (6.16) \]
and $\hat{f}_\nu(\lambda) := \langle \hat{f}(\lambda), e_\nu \rangle, \nu = \pm 1$. Formula (6.16) extends to the resolvent set of the generator $L$ in $L^2(T)$, that contains in particular $C \setminus [-M, 0]$, with $M := (4/3)\|R\|_\infty + 1$.

From (6.15) we obtain that

$$
\hat{f}(\lambda) = \frac{4}{3} \hat{f}_0 \left( \frac{4\lambda}{3} \right) + \sum_{\nu \in \{-1, 1\}} \hat{f}_\nu(\lambda) \frac{e^{-\nu r}}{r + 4\lambda/3}.
$$

(6.17)

Vector $\hat{v}^T(\lambda) := [\hat{v}_{-1}(\lambda), \hat{v}_1(\lambda)]$ satisfies therefore

$$
\hat{v}(\lambda) = \mathfrak{A}^{-1} \left( -\frac{4\lambda}{3} \right) \hat{g} \left( -\frac{4\lambda}{3} \right),
$$

(6.18)

where

$$
\mathfrak{A}(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} a(\lambda) & a_{-1}(\lambda) \\ a_1(\lambda) & a(\lambda) \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
a(\lambda) := 1 - \int_T \frac{e_{-1}(k)e_1(k)}{\lambda + r(k)} dk,
$$

$$
a_{\nu}(\lambda) := -\int_T \frac{e_{\nu}^2(k)dk}{\lambda + r(k)},
$$

$$
\hat{v}_\nu^T(\lambda) := [\hat{v}_{-1}(\lambda), \hat{v}_1(\lambda)]
$$

and

$$
\hat{g}_\nu(\lambda) = \frac{4}{3} \int_T \frac{f(k)e_\nu(k)}{\lambda + r(k)} dk, \quad \nu = \pm 1, \lambda \in C \setminus [-M, 0].
$$

Let $\Delta(\lambda) := \det \mathfrak{A}(\lambda)$ and

$$
b_\nu(\lambda) := -\int_T \frac{e_\nu(k)dk}{\lambda + r(k)}.
$$

Observe that

$$
a(0) = -a_{-1}(0) = -a_1(0)
$$

(6.19)

and

$$
\Delta(\lambda) = \lambda [\lambda b_{-1}(\lambda)b_1(\lambda) + b_{-1}(\lambda)a_1(\lambda) + a_{-1}(\lambda)b_1(\lambda)].
$$

(6.20)

From (6.19) we get that $\Delta(0) = 0$. In addition, from (6.20) we can see that $D(\lambda) := \Delta(\lambda)\lambda^{-1}$ is analytic in $C \setminus [-M, 0]$. In addition,

$$
\lim_{\lambda \to 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{H}} D(\lambda) = b_{-1}(0)a_1(0) + a_{-1}(0)b_1(0) > 0.
$$

Hence, there exist \( \rho > 0 \) and \( c_* > 0 \) such that

$$
|\Delta(\lambda)| \geq c_*|\lambda|, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{H}, |\lambda| \leq \rho.
$$

(6.21)
It can be straightforwardly argued that \( \varrho \) can be further adjusted in such a way that (6.21) holds on the boundary \( C \) of the rectangle \((-M, 0) \times (-\varrho, \varrho)\).

From (6.18) we obtain that

\[
\hat{f}_\iota(\lambda) = \Delta^{-1} \left( \frac{4\lambda}{3} \right) n_\iota \left( \frac{4\lambda}{3} \right), \quad \iota = \pm 1,
\]

(6.22)

where

\[
n_{-1}(\lambda) := a(\lambda)\hat{g}_{-1}(\lambda) - a_{-1}(\lambda)\hat{g}_1(\lambda)
\]

and

\[
n_1(\lambda) := -a_1(\lambda)\hat{g}_{-1}(\lambda) + a(\lambda)\hat{g}_1(\lambda).
\]

Using the fact that \( \int f dk = 0 \), after a straightforward calculation, we obtain that

\[
n_\iota(\lambda) = \lambda \left[ a_\iota(\lambda)\hat{g}_0(\lambda) - b_\iota(\lambda)\hat{g}_1(\lambda) \right], \quad \iota = \pm 1
\]

(6.23)

where

\[
\hat{g}_0(\lambda) := \int \hat{f}_0(\lambda, k) dk.
\]

Using the well known formula, see e.g. Chapter VII.3.6 of [11],

\[
Q_t f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_K e^{\lambda t} (\lambda - \mathcal{L})^{-1} f d\lambda,
\]

where \( K \) is a contour enclosing the \( L^2 \) spectrum of \( \mathcal{L} \), that as we recall is contained in \([-M, 0]\). It is easy to see that

\[
|\hat{f}_\iota(\lambda)| \leq C||f||_{B_a}|\lambda|^{|a|-1}, \quad \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}, \; |\lambda| \leq \varrho, \; \iota = 0, \pm 1
\]

(6.24)

for an appropriate \( \varrho > 0 \). This is clear for \( \iota = 0 \). Form this and (6.21), (6.23) we conclude that (6.24) holds also for \( \iota = \pm 1 \). Therefore, we can use as \( K \) the boundary \( C \) of the rectangle, mentioned after (6.21), oriented counter-clockwise. From (6.17) we get

\[
f_t = S_t f + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\iota \in \{-1, 1\}} e_{-\iota} \int_C \frac{e^{\lambda t} n_\iota (4\lambda/3) d\lambda}{(x + 4\lambda/3) \Delta (4\lambda/3)},
\]

(6.25)

Note that

\[
||S_t f||_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} = \int \frac{e^{-R(k)t} |f(k)| dk}{\lambda^a},
\]

where \( C := \sup_{x \geq 0} x^a e^{-x} \). Consider the term \( I(t) \) corresponding to the integral on the right hand side of (6.25). We can write \( I(t) = \sum_{i=1}^4 I_i(t) \), where \( I_i(t) \) correspond to the sides of the rectangle \([-M] \times (-\varrho, \varrho), \; [-M, 0] \times \{-\varrho\}, \; [-M, 0] \times \{\varrho\}, \; \{0\} \times (-\varrho, \varrho)\) appropriately.
oriented. The estimations of $I_i(t), i = 1, 2, 3$ are quite straightforward and lead to the bounds

$$\|I_i(t)\|_{L^1(\tau)} \leq \frac{C}{t + 1}\|f\|_{L^1(\tau)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (6.26)$$

To deal with $I_4(t)$ observe that, thanks to (6.23), it equals to $I_{41}(t) + I_{42}(t)$, where

$$I_{4,j}(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} e_{-\epsilon} \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} e^{i\nu t}(g_{i,j} D^{-1}) \left( \frac{4i\nu}{3} \right) d\nu, \quad j = 1, 2$$

and

$$g_{i,1}(\nu) = a_i(\nu) \frac{\hat{g}_0(\nu)}{\tau + i\nu}, \quad g_{i,2}(\nu) = -b_i(\nu) \frac{\hat{g}_0(\nu)}{\tau + i\nu}.$$

The asymptotics of $I_{4,1}$ for $t \gg 1$ is, up to a term of order $\|f\|_{L^1(\tau)/t}$, the same as

$$\tilde{I}_{4,1}(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} e_{-\epsilon} \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} e^{i\nu t}(F_{g_{i,1}}) \left( \frac{4i\nu}{3} \right) d\nu$$

for some $C^\infty$ function $F(\nu)$ supported in $(-\rho, \rho)$ and equal to $D^{-1}(\nu)$ in $(-\rho/2, \rho/2)$. Denoting

$$h(\lambda) := \frac{\hat{g}_0(\lambda)}{\tau + \lambda}$$

we can write

$$\|\tilde{I}_{4,1}(t)\|_{L^1(\tau)} \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} \int_{\tau} e_{-\epsilon} dk \times \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\nu t} \left[ (F_{g_{i,1}}) \left( \frac{4i\nu}{3} \left( \nu + \frac{\pi}{t} \right) \right) - (F_{g_{i,1}}) \left( \frac{4i\nu}{3} \right) \right] d\nu \right| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} \int_{\tau} e_{-\epsilon} dk \int_{-2\theta}^{2\theta} \left| h \left( 4i(\nu + \frac{\pi}{t})/3 \right) - h \left( 4i(\nu + \frac{\pi}{t})/3 \right) \right| d\nu$$

for sufficiently large $t$ (the support of $F$ is contained in $(-\theta, \theta)$). The first term on the utmost right hand side can be estimated by

$$\frac{C}{t} \int_{-2\theta}^{2\theta} |\hat{g}_0(4i(\nu + \pi/t)/3)| d\nu \leq \frac{C}{t} \int_{-2\theta}^{2\theta} \int_{\tau} \left| \frac{f|d\nu dk}{\tau^{|\nu + \pi/t|^{1-a}}} \right| d\nu d\tau \leq \frac{C}{t} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_a}.$$
As for the second term, it can be estimated by
\[
\frac{C}{t} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}} \int_0^{2\rho} \int_{-2\rho} e^{-\frac{\epsilon \nu}{2}} |\hat{g}_0(4i(\nu + \pi/t)/3)| dk d\nu
\]
\[\tag{6.27}\]
\[+ C \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}} \int_0^{2\rho} e^{-\frac{\epsilon \nu}{2}} \int_{-2\rho} \left| \frac{f d\nu}{|\nu + \pi/t|^{1-a}/2} \right| \frac{d\nu}{|\nu + \pi/t|^{1-a/2}} \int_0^{2\rho} \frac{|f| dk}{|\nu + \pi/t|^{1-a/2}} \leq C \|f\|_{B^a}, \tag{6.28}\]

for some $b \in (0, 1/2)$. Since for any $a, b > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that
\[
\int_{-2\rho}^{2\rho} \frac{d\nu}{|\nu|^{1-b} |\nu + x|^{1-a}} \leq \frac{C}{x^{1-a-b}}, \quad \forall x > 0 \tag{6.29}\]

expression in (6.28) can be estimated by $C \|f\|_{B^a}$, $t^{a+b}$. Finally the second term in (6.27) can be estimated by
\[
\frac{C}{t} \int_0^{2\rho} \int_{-2\rho} \frac{d\nu}{|\nu|^{1-b} |\nu + \pi/t|^{1-a/2}} \int_0^{2\rho} \frac{|f| dk}{|\nu + \pi/t|^{1-a/2}} \leq C \|f\|_{B^a},
\]
by virtue of (6.29). Summarizing, we have shown that
\[
\|I_{4,1}(t)\|_{L^1(T)} \leq \frac{C}{(t+1)^a} \|f\|_{B^a}
\]
for some $C > 0$ and all $t > 0$. The estimates for $\|I_{4,2}(t)\|_{L^1(T)}$ are quite analogous.
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