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Abstract

Let $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, let

$$E(u, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(y) - u(x))^2 \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx$$

be the Dirichlet form for a stable-like operator, let

$$\Gamma u(x) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(y) - u(x))^2 \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \right)^{1/2},$$

let $L$ be the associated infinitesimal generator, and suppose $A(x, y)$ is jointly measurable, symmetric, bounded, and bounded below by a positive constant. We prove that if $u$ is the weak solution to $Lu = h$, then $\Gamma u \in L^p$ for some $p > 2$. This is the analogue of an inequality of Meyers for solutions to divergence form elliptic equations. As an application, we prove strong stability results for stable-like operators. If $A$ is perturbed slightly, we give explicit bounds on how much the semigroup and fundamental solution are perturbed.
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1 Introduction

Nowadays many researchers who use mathematical models consider situations where discontinuities can occur. In analysis terms, this means they need to look at integro-differential operators as well as differential operators. Integro-differential operators are not nearly as well understood as their differential counterparts, and to study them it makes sense to first look at the extreme case, that of purely integral operators.

In this paper we focus on a reasonably large class of such integral operators, the stable-like operators. These are operators that bear the same relationship to the fractional Laplacian as divergence form operators do to the Laplacian.

To describe our results, let us first recall some facts about divergence form operators. These have the form

\[ \mathcal{L}_d f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij} \left( \cdot \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \left( \cdot \right) \right)(x). \]

These have been studied even when the \( a_{ij} \) are only bounded and measurable, and to make sense of the operator in this case, one looks at the corresponding Dirichlet form:

\[ \mathcal{E}_d(f,f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) \, dx. \]

One says that \( u \) is a weak solution of \( \mathcal{L}_d u = h \) if \( \mathcal{E}_d(u,v) = -(h,v) \) for all \( v \) in a suitably large class, where \( (h,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x)v(x) \, dx \).

An inequality of Meyers ([26]) says that if the \( a_{ij} \) are uniformly elliptic and \( u \) is a weak solution to \( \mathcal{L}_d u = h \), then not only is \( \nabla u \) locally in \( L^2 \) but it is locally in \( L^p \) for some \( p > 2 \).

The Meyers inequality has many applications. One is to the stability of solutions to \( \mathcal{L}_d u = h \). Suppose one perturbs the coefficients \( a_{ij} \) slightly. How does this affect the associated semigroup? What about the fundamental solution associated with the operator \( \mathcal{L}_d \)? These are natural questions since the coefficients \( a_{ij} \) might themselves be only estimated or approximated. In [18] these issues were resolved, with an explicit bound on how large the difference
between the semigroups and solutions associated with two operators \( L_d \) and \( \tilde{L}_d \) can be in terms of the difference of the coefficients \( a_{ij} \) and \( \tilde{a}_{ij} \).

Our purpose in this paper is to examine the analogues of these results for stable-like processes. The operator we consider is

\[
L_f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(y) - f(x)) \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy,
\]

where \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \) and \( A(x, y) \) is bounded, symmetric, jointly measurable, and bounded below. As in the case for divergence form operators, it is useful to look at the associated Dirichlet form

\[
\mathcal{E}(f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(y) - f(x))^2 \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx.
\]

The bulk of this paper is devoted to proving a Meyers inequality for weak solutions to \( Lu = h \) when \( h \) is in \( L^2 \). Define

\[
\Gamma u(x) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(u(y) - u(x))^2}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]  

Our main result is that there exists \( p > 2 \) such that the \( L^p \) norm of \( \Gamma u \) is bounded in terms of the \( L^2 \) norms of \( u \) and \( h \); see Theorem 4.4.

Once one has the Meyers inequality for \( \mathcal{E} \), strong stability results can be proved along the lines of [18]. Suppose \( \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \) is defined in terms of \( \tilde{A}(x, y) \) analogously to (1.1). We obtain explicit bounds on the \( L^p \) norm of \( P_t f - \tilde{P}_t f \) and on the \( L^\infty \) norm of \( p(t, x, y) - \tilde{p}(t, x, y) \) in terms of

\[
G(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} |A(x, y) - \tilde{A}(x, y)|,
\]

where \( P_t \) and \( \tilde{p}(t, \cdot, \cdot) \) are the semigroup and fundamental solution associated with \( L \) and \( \tilde{P}_t \) and \( \tilde{p}(t, \cdot, \cdot) \) are defined similarly. See Theorems 5.1, 5.3, and 5.4.

Our proof of the Meyers inequality begins by first proving a Caccioppoli inequality. However there are considerable differences between the stable-like case and the divergence form case. For example, as one would expect, our Caccioppoli inequality is not a local one; the integral of \( |\Gamma u|^2 \) on a ball depends on values of \( u \) far outside the ball. This makes proving the Meyers inequality considerably more difficult and requires the introduction of
some new ideas, such as localization, use of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, and use of the Sobolev-Besov embedding theorem.

For other papers on stable-like operators and on closely related operators, see [2] – [11], [13] – [17], [21], [22], [25], and [28].
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There is an error in the published version of this paper. The scaling argument appealed to in the line following (4.11) does not work. This was pointed out to us by T. Mengesha. What is needed is to restrict attention to $R$ less than $4\sqrt{d}$. This version of the paper corrects the error.

2 Preliminaries

We use the letter $c$ with or without subscripts to denote a finite positive constant whose exact value is unimportant and which can vary from place to place. We use $B(x, r)$ for the open ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with center $x$ and radius $r$. When the center is clear from the context, we will also write $B_r$. The Lebesgue measure of $B(x, r)$ will be denoted $|B(x, r)|$. We write $(u, v)$ for $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x)v(x) \, dx$.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and suppose the dimension $d$ is greater than $\alpha$. We let $A(x, y)$ be a jointly measurable symmetric function on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and suppose there exists $\Lambda > 0$ such that

$$
\Lambda^{-1} \leq A(x, y) \leq \Lambda, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

We define the Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{F}$ by

$$
\mathcal{E}(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(y) - u(x))(v(y) - v(x)) \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx,
$$

$$
\mathcal{F} = \{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \mathcal{E}(u, u) < \infty \}.
$$

Observe that $\mathcal{F} = W^{\alpha/2, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the fractional Sobolev space of order $\alpha/2$, defined by

$$
W^{\alpha/2, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx < \infty \right\}.
$$
See [1] for more details. It is well known that \((\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})\) is a regular Dirichlet form on \(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\). The strong Markov symmetric process \(X\) associated with \((\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})\) is called a stable-like process. Let \(\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}\) be the semigroup corresponding to \((\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})\).

For \(u \in \mathcal{F}\) define
\[
\Gamma u(x) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(u(y) - u(x))^2}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\] (2.2)

Since \(\int |\Gamma u(x)|^2 \, dx = \mathcal{E}(u, u) < \infty\), then \(\Gamma u \in L^2\), and in particular \(\Gamma u(x)\) exists for almost every \(x\).

Let \(\mathcal{L}\) be the infinitesimal generator corresponding to \(\mathcal{E}\) (see [23]). There are a number of known results that follow from the spectral theorem. We collect these in the following lemma for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 2.1.** (1) For \(t > 0, \ f \in L^2(D)\), we have
\[
\mathcal{E}(P_t f, P_t f) \leq c t^{-1} \|f\|^2.
\] (2.3)

(2) If \(g \in L^2\), then \(P_t g\) is in \(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})\), the domain of \(\mathcal{L}\).

(3) If \(f, g \in \mathcal{F}\), then
\[
\frac{d}{dt}(P_t f, g) = -\mathcal{E}(P_t f, g).
\]

(4) If \(f \in \mathcal{F}\), then
\[
\mathcal{E}(P_t f, P_t f) \leq \mathcal{E}(f, f).
\]

The proof of this lemma is given in Section 6.

### 3 Caccioppoli inequality

In this section, we will derive a Caccioppoli inequality for the weak solution of the equation
\[
\mathcal{L}u(x) = h(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{3.1}
\]
where \(h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\). A function \(u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)\) is called a weak solution of (3.1) if
\[
\mathcal{E}(u, v) = -(h, v) \quad \text{for all} \ v \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{3.2}
\]
where \((h, v) = \int h(x)v(x) \, dx\).
Theorem 3.1. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose $u(x)$ satisfies (3.2). There exists a constant $c_1$ depending only on $\Lambda, \alpha,$ and $d$ such that

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(y) - u(x))^2 \frac{A(x,y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(y) \psi(y) \, dy + \int_{B_{R}} |h(y)u(y)| \, dy,$$

where

$$\psi(x) = R^{-\alpha} \wedge \frac{R^d}{|x - x_0|^{d+\alpha}}.$$

Proof. We define a cutoff function $\varphi(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,1]$ such that $\varphi = 1$ on $B_{R/2}$, $\varphi = 0$ on $B_{R}^c$, and

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq c \frac{|x - y|}{R}.$$

For example, we can take

$$\varphi(x) = 1 - \left( \frac{\text{dist} (x, B(x_0, R/2))}{R/2} \wedge 1 \right).$$

In what follows the constants may depend on $R$.

Let $v(x) = \varphi^2(x)u(x)$. Since $|v| \leq |u|$ and $u \in L^2$, then $v \in L^2$. Since

$$v(y) - v(x) = (u(y) - u(x))\varphi^2(y) + u(x)(\varphi^2(y) - \varphi^2(x)),$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(v(y) - v(x))^2}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(u(y) - u(x))^2 \varphi^4(y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx$$

$$+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u^2(x)(\varphi^2(y) - \varphi^2(x))^2}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx.$$

The first term on the right hand side is finite because $\varphi \leq 1$ and $u \in \mathcal{F}$. The second term is bounded by

$$c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u^2(x)(1 \wedge |y - x|^2/R^2)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(x) \, dx.$$
which is finite since \( u \in L^2 \). Therefore \( v \in \mathcal{F} \).

We write

\[
-(h, v) = \mathcal{E}(u, v)
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(y) - u(x))(\varphi^2(y)u(y) - \varphi^2(x)u(x)) \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \, dx
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(y) - u(x))^2 \varphi^2(x) \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \, dx
\]

\[
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [(u(y) - u(x))(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))(\varphi(y) + \varphi(x))u(y)]
\]

\[
\times \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \, dx
\]

\[
= I_1 - I_2.
\]

Then

\[
I_1 = I_2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y)\varphi^2(y)u(y) \, dy
\]

\[
\leq I_2 + \int_{B_R} |h(y)u(y)| \, dy.
\]

(3.4)

Using the inequality \( ab \leq \frac{1}{8}a^2 + 2b^2 \), symmetry, and the fact that \( 0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1 \), we have

\[
I_2 \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(y) - u(x))^2 (\varphi(y) + \varphi(x))^2 \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \, dx
\]

\[
+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))^2 u^2(y) \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \, dx
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(y) - u(x))^2 \varphi^2(x) \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \, dx
\]

\[
+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))^2 u^2(y) \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \, dx
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} I_1 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))^2 u^2(y) \frac{A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \, dx.
\]
Therefore
\[
\frac{1}{2} I_1 \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))^2 u^2(y) \frac{A(x,y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy dx + \int_{B_R} |h(y)u(y)| dy. \tag{3.5}
\]
Next, using $|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)| \leq c(1 \wedge |x - y|/R)$, some calculus shows that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))^2 \frac{A(x,y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dx \leq c R^{-\alpha}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{3.6}
\]
If $y \notin B_{2R}$, then
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))^2 \frac{A(x,y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dx \leq c \int_{B_R} \frac{dx}{|y - x_0|^{d+\alpha}} = c \frac{R^d}{|y - x_0|^{d+\alpha}}.
\]
Hence the first term on the right hand side of (3.5) is bounded by
\[
c \int u(y)^2 \psi(y) dy. \tag{3.7}
\]
Combining (3.5) and (3.7) with the fact that
\[
I_1 \geq \int_{B_{R/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(y) - u(x))^2 \frac{A(x,y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy dx
\]
completes the proof.

For another approach to the Caccioppoli inequality for non-local operators, see [24].

4 Meyers inequality

Let $h \in L^2$. We consider the weak solution $u(x)$ of (3.2):
\[
\mathcal{E}(u, v) = -(h, v)
\]
for all $v \in W^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We will show that $\Gamma u$ is in $L^p$ for some $p > 2$. We suppose throughout this section that $d > \alpha$. This will always be the case if $d \geq 2$.

Let $u_R = \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} u(y) \, dy$. We will show that $\Gamma u$ is in $L^p$ for some $p > 2$. We suppose throughout this section that $d > \alpha$. This will always be the case if $d \geq 2$.

Using Theorem 3.1 with $u$ replaced by $u - u_R$, we have

$$\|\Gamma u\|^2_{L^2(B_R/2)} \leq c \int_{B_r} (u(x) - u_R)^2 \psi(x) \, dx + \int_{B_R} |h(x)(u(x) - u_R)| \, dx.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose $u \in W^{\frac{\alpha}{2},q}(B_R)$, $1 < q \leq 2$. Suppose $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $R > 0$. Let $p = \frac{2dq}{2d - q\alpha}$. Then $u \in L^p(B_R)$ and there exists a constant $c_1$ depending only on $d, \alpha,$ and $q$ such that

$$\|u - u_R\|_{L^p(B_R)} \leq c_1 \left[ \int_{B_1} \int_{B_1} \frac{(u(y) - u(x))^q}{|x - y|^{d + \frac{q\alpha}{2}} \, dy \, dx} \right]^{rac{1}{q}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

**Proof.** We first do the case $R = 1$. By the Sobolev-Besov embedding theorem (see Theorem 7.57 in [1] or Section 2.3.3 in [19]), we know

$$\|u - u_R\|_{L^p(B_1)} \leq c \|u - u_R\|_{W^{\frac{\alpha}{2},q}(B_1)} = c_1 \left[ \int_{B_1} \int_{B_1} \frac{(u(y) - u(x))^q}{|x - y|^{d + \frac{q\alpha}{2}} \, dy \, dx} \right]^{rac{1}{q}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

On the other hand, the fractional Poincaré inequality for $u \in W^{\frac{\alpha}{2},q}(B_1)$ (see equation (4.2) in [27]) tells us

$$\|u - u_R\|_{L^q(B_1)} \leq c \left[ \int_{B_1} \int_{B_1} \frac{(u(y) - u(x))^q}{|x - y|^{d + \frac{q\alpha}{2}}} \, dy \, dx \right]^{rac{1}{q}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

Combining (4.3) and (4.4) proves the lemma in the case $R = 1$.

The case for general $R$ follows by a scaling argument, that is, by a change of variables. The $dy \, dx$ expression in the right hand side of (4.2) contributes
a factor $R^{2d}$ and the denominator contributes a factor $R^{-(d+\alpha q/2)}$, so the right hand side of (4.2) is equal to
\[ c(R^{d-\alpha q/2})^{1/q} \left[ \int_{B_R} \int_{B_R} \frac{(v(y) - v(x))^q}{|x-y|^{d+\frac{\alpha q}{2}}} \, dy \, dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \]
where $v(z) = u(Rz)$. Similarly the left hand side of (4.2) is equal to
\[ R^{d/p} \| v - v_1 \|_{L^p(B_1)}. \]
Inequality (4.2) then follows by the preceding paragraph and our choice of $p$. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 4.2.** There exists $q_1 \in (1, 2)$ and a constant $c_1$ depending on $d, \alpha$, and $q_1$ such that if $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $R > 0$, then
\[ \| u - u_R \|_{L^2(B_R)} \leq c R^{(\alpha - \alpha_1)/2} \| \Gamma u \|_{L^{q_1}(B_R)}, \] \hfill (4.5)
where $\alpha_1 = (2 - q_1)d/q_1$.

**Proof.** Again we may suppose $R = 1$ and obtain the general case by a scaling argument as in the last paragraph of the proof of Lemma 4.1. Take $\alpha_1 < \alpha$ and let $q_1 = 2d/(d + \alpha_1)$. Note that $q_1 \in (1, 2)$. By Lemma 4.1
\[ \| u - u_R \|_{L^2(B_R)} \leq c \left[ \int_{B_R} \int_{B_R} \frac{(u(y) - u(x))^{q_1}}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha_1 q_1/2}} \, dy \, dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q_1}}. \] \hfill (4.6)
Fix $x$ for the moment. Using Hölder’s inequality with respect to the measure
Integrating over $x$, taking the $q_1$th root, and combining with (4.6) yields (4.5).

**Proposition 4.3.** There exists $p \in (2, 4d/(2d - \alpha))$ and a constant $c_1$ depending on $\Lambda, d, \alpha,$ and $p$ such that if $u$ satisfies (3.2), then
\[
\|\Gamma u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c_1 \left( \mathcal{E}(u, u)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|u\|_{L^{2p/(4-p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right).
\]

**Proof.** Set $x_0 = 0$ for now. From (4.1) we know that
\[
\|\Gamma u\|^2_{L^2(B_{R/2})} \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(x) - u_R)^2 \psi_R(x) \, dx + \int_{B_R} |h(x)(u(x) - u_R)| \, dx
\leq cR^{-\alpha} \int_{B_R} (u(x) - u_R)^2 \, dx + c \int_{B_R} u(x)^2 \psi_R(x) \, dx
+ c \int_{B_R} u_R^2 \psi_R(x) \, dx + \int_{B_R} |h(x)(u(x) - u_R)| \, dx
= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4; \tag{4.7}
\]
recall
\[
\psi_R(x) = R^{-\alpha} \wedge \frac{R^d}{|x - x_0|^{d+\alpha}}.
\]
We proceed to bound $J_1, J_2, J_3,$ and $J_4$. Using Proposition 4.2, we have

$$J_1 \leq cR^{-\alpha_1} \left( \int_{B_R} \Gamma u(x)^{q_1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{q_1}}$$

(4.8)

for $q_1 \in (1, 2)$.

Let $M$ be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator:

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(z)| \, dz.$$ 

If $y \in B_R$ and $k \geq 0$, then

$$\frac{1}{|B_{2^k R}|} \int_{B_{2^k R}} u(x)^2 \, dx \leq \frac{1}{|B_{2^k R}|} \int_{B(y,(2^k+1)R)} u(x)^2 \, dx \leq cM(u^2)(y).$$

Similarly, if $x \in B_R$,

$$|u_R| \leq \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} |u(z)| \, dz \leq cMu(x).$$

For $J_2$, we then have

$$c \int_{B_R} u(x)^2 \psi_R(x) \, dx = c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\{B_{2(n+1)R} - B_{2nR} \}} u(x)^2 \frac{R^d}{|x|^{d+\alpha}} \, dx$$

$$\leq c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n+1)^d}{|B_{2(n+1)R}|} \int_{B_{2^{n+1}R}} u(x)^2 \, dx \frac{R^d}{(2^n R)^{d+\alpha}}$$

$$\leq c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(u^2)(y) 2^{nd} \frac{R^{2d}}{2^{nd+2n\alpha} R^{d+\alpha}}$$

$$= cM(u^2)(y) R^{d-\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n\alpha}}$$

$$= cM(u^2)(y) R^{d-\alpha},$$

as long as $y \in B_R$. 
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For $J_3$ we have

$$c \int_{B_R} u_R^2 \psi(x) dx = cu_R^2 \int_{B_R} \frac{R^d}{|x|^{d+\alpha}} dx = cR^{d-\alpha}u_R^2 \leq cR^{d-\alpha}M(u^2)(y)$$

if $y \in B_R$.

Since $|B(x,s)|^{-1} \int_{B(x,s)} u(y) \, dy$ converges to $u(x)$ as $s \to 0$ for almost every $x$ and is bounded by $Mu(x)$, we have $|u(x)| \leq Mu(x)$ a.e. Thus, with $x \in B_R$,

$$J_4 = c \int_{B_R} |h(x)(u(x) - u_R)| \, dx \leq c \int_{B_R} |h(x)u(x)| \, dx + c \int_{B_R} |h(x)Mu(x)| \, dx \leq c \int_{B_R} |h(x)|Mu(x) \, dx.$$

Combining our bounds for $J_1, J_2, J_3,$ and $J_4$, if $y \in B_R$,

$$\|\Gamma u\|_{L^2(B_{R/2})}^2 \leq cR^{-\alpha_1}\|\Gamma u\|_{L^{q_1}(B_R)}^2 + cR^{d-\alpha}M(u^2)(y)$$

$$+ c \int_{B_R} |h(x)|Mu(x) \, dx.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

Integrating both sides of (4.9) over $y \in B_R$ and dividing by $|B_R|$, we conclude that

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} \Gamma u(x)^2 \, dx \leq cR^{-\alpha_1} \left( \int_{B_R} \Gamma u(x)^{q_1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{q_1}}$$

$$+ cR^{d-\alpha} \int_{B_R} M(u^2)(x) \, dx + c \int_{B_R} |h(x)|Mu(x) \, dx.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

Let

$$g(x) = \Gamma u(x)^{q_1}$$

and

$$f(x) = \left( M(u^2)(x) + |h(x)|Mu(x) \right)^{\frac{q_1}{2}}.$$
Set \( R_0 = 4\sqrt{d} \) and suppose from now on that \( R < R_0 \). Recall that we assume \( d \geq \alpha \) (see the second paragraph of Section 2). Noting that \( R^{d-\alpha} \) is then bounded by \((4\sqrt{d})^{d-\alpha}\) and recalling that \( \alpha_1 = (2 - q_1)d/q_1 \), we can rewrite (4.10) as

\[
\frac{1}{|B(x_0, R)|} \int_{B(x_0, R/2)} g^{\frac{2}{q_1}}(x) \, dx
\]

\[
\leq c \left( \frac{1}{|B(x_0, R)|} \int_{B(x_0, R)} g(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{q_1}} + c \frac{1}{|B(x_0, R)|} \int_{B(x_0, R)} f^{\frac{2}{q_1}}(x) \, dx
\]

if \( R < R_0 \). By a translation argument, (4.11) holds for all \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \).

We now apply the reverse Hölder inequality (see Theorem 4.1 in [12]). Thus there exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( c_1 > 0 \) such that if \( R < R_0 \), then \( g(x) \in L^1(B(x_0, R/2)) \) for all \( t \in [\frac{2}{q_1}, \frac{2}{q_1} + \varepsilon) \) and

\[
\left( \frac{1}{|B(x_0, R/2)|} \int_{B(x_0, R/2)} g^{\alpha_1}(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}} \leq c \left( \frac{1}{|B(x_0, R)|} \int_{B(x_0, R)} g^{\frac{2}{q_1}}(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha_1}}
\]

\[
+ c \left( \frac{1}{|B(x_0, R)|} \int_{B(x_0, R)} f^{\alpha_1}(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}}.
\]

This leads to

\[
\left( \frac{1}{|B(x_0, R/2)|} \int_{B(x_0, R/2)} \Gamma u(x)^{q_1 t} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq c \left( \frac{1}{|B(x_0, R)|} \int_{B(x_0, R)} \Gamma u(x)^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{t}}
\]

\[
+ c \left( \frac{1}{|B(x_0, R)|} \int_{B(x_0, R)} (M(u^2))^{q_1 t/2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}}
\]

\[
+ c \left( \frac{1}{|B(x_0, R)|} \int (|h(Mu)|^{q_1 t/2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}}.
\]

Choose \( t \in (2/q_1, 2/q_1 + \varepsilon) \) so that \( q_1 t < 4d/(d - \alpha) \) and set \( p = q_1 t \).

Now set \( R = 2\sqrt{d} \) for the remainder of the proof. Taking \( q_1^{th} \) roots and using the inequality \((a + b)^{1/q_1} \leq a^{1/q_1} + b^{1/q_1}\),

\[
\|\Gamma u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, R/2))} \leq c \|\Gamma u\|_{L^2(B(x_0, R))} + c \|M(u^2)\|_{L^{p/2}(B(x_0, R))}^{1/2}
\]

\[
+ c \|h(Mu)\|_{L^{p/2}(B(x_0, R))}^{1/2}.
\]
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For \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), let \( C_k = B(k, \sqrt{d}) \) and \( D_k = B(k, 2\sqrt{d}) \). Note that \( \mathbb{R}^d \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} C_k \) and that there exists an integer \( N \) depending only on the dimension \( d \) such that no point of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is in more than \( N \) of the \( D_k \). This can be expressed as \( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \chi_{D_k} \leq N \).

Using \( \sum a_k^{p/2} \leq \left( \sum a_k \right)^{p/2} \) when each \( a_k \geq 0 \) and \( p/2 \geq 1 \), we write

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\Gamma u(x)|^p \, dx \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{C_k} |\Gamma u(x)|^p \, dx \\
\leq c \sum_{k} \left( \int_{D_k} |\Gamma u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{p/2} + c \sum_{k} \int_{D_k} (M(u^2)(x))^{p/2} \, dx \\
+ c \sum_{k} \int_{D_k} (|h(x)| M u(x))^{p/2} \, dx \\
\leq c \left( \sum_{k} \int_{D_k} |\Gamma u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{p/2} + c \sum_{k} \int_{D_k} (M(u^2)(x))^{p/2} \, dx \\
+ c \sum_{k} \int_{D_k} (|h(x)| M u(x))^{p/2} \, dx \\
= c \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\Gamma u(x)|^2 \sum_{k} \chi_{D_k}(x) \, dx \right)^{p/2} \\
+ c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (M(u^2)(x))^{p/2} \sum_{k} \chi_{D_k}(x) \, dx \\
+ c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|h(x)| M u(x))^{p/2} \sum_{k} \chi_{D_k}(x) \, dx.
\]

We thus obtain

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\Gamma u|^p \leq c \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\Gamma u|^2 \, dx \right)^{p/2} + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (M(u^2))^{p/2} \, dx \\
+ c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|h| M u)^{p/2} \, dx.
\] (4.12)

Letting \( r = 4/p \) and \( s = 4/(4 - p) \), Hölder’s inequality and the inequality
\( ab \leq \frac{1}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2}b^2 \) shows
\[
\int (|h|M u)^{p/2} \leq \left( \int |h|^{pr/2} \right)^{1/r} \left( \int (M u)^{ps/2} \right)^{1/s}
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int |h|^2 \right)^{p/2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int (M u)^{2p/(4-p)} \right)^{(4-p)/2}.
\]
(4.13)

Since \( M \) is a bounded operator on \( L^{p'} \) for each \( p' > 1 \) and we know that \( 2p/(4-p) > 1 \), the second term on the last line of (4.13) is bounded by
\[
c \left( \int |u|^{2p/(4-p)} \right)^{(4-p)/2}.
\]

Similarly, since \( p > 2 \), the second term on the right hand side of the first line of (4.12) is bounded by
\[
c \int (|u|^2)^{p/2} = c \int |u|^p.
\]

Therefore
\[
\int |\Gamma u|^p \leq c \left( \int |\Gamma u|^2 \right)^{p/2} + c \int |u|^p + c \left( \int |h|^2 \right)^{p/2}
\]
\[+ c \left( \int |u|^{2p/(4-p)} \right)^{(4-p)/2}.
\]

Taking \( p \text{th} \) roots and using \( (a + b)^{1/p} \leq a^{1/p} + b^{1/p} \), we obtain
\[
\|\Gamma u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c \|\Gamma u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + c \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} + c \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}
\]
\[+ c \|u\|_{L^{2p/(4-p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
\]

This completes the proof of the proposition. \( \square \)

We now bound the \( L^p \) and \( L^{2p/(4-p)} \) norms of \( u \).

**Theorem 4.4.** (1) Suppose \( d > \alpha \) and (3.2) holds. There exists \( p > 2 \) and a constant \( c_1 \) depending on \( \Lambda, p, d, \) and \( \alpha \) such that
\[
\|\Gamma u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c_1 \left( \mathcal{E}(u, u)^{1/2} + \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right).
\]

(2) If in addition \( u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}) \), there exists a constant \( c_2 \) such that
\[
\|\Gamma u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c_2 \left( \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right).
\]
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Proof. Let $p_1 = 2d/(d - \alpha)$. Let $C_k$ be defined as in the previous proof.

By Lemma 4.1 with $q = 2$

$$\int_{C_k} |u - u_{C_k}|^{p_1} \leq c \left( \int_{C_k} |\Gamma u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{p_1/2}.$$ 

Here $u_{C_k} = (1/|C_k|) \int_{C_k} u$. Then

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{C_k} |u - u_{C_k}|^{p_1} \leq c \sum_{k} \left( \int_{C_k} |\Gamma u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{p_1/2} \leq c \left( \sum_{k} \int_{C_k} |\Gamma u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{p_1/2} \leq c \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\Gamma u(x)|^2 \sum_{k} \chi_{C_k}(x) \, dx \right)^{p_1/2} \leq c \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\Gamma u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{p_1/2}.$$

Also,

$$\int_{C_k} |u_{C_k}|^{p_1} = c |u_{C_k}|^{p_1} \leq c \left( \int_{C_k} |u|^2 \right)^{p_1/2}$$

by Jensen’s inequality. Similarly to the above,

$$\sum_{k} \int_{C_k} |u_{C_k}|^{p_1} \leq c \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 \right)^{p_1/2}.$$

Hence

$$\int |u|^{p_1} \leq \sum_{k} \int_{C_k} |u|^{p_1} \leq c \sum_{k} \int_{C_k} |u - u_{C_k}|^{p_1} + \sum_{k} \int_{C_k} |u_{C_k}|^{p_1} \leq c \left( \int |\Gamma u|^2 \right)^{p_1/2} + c \left( \int u^2 \right)^{p_1/2}.$$

Taking $p_1^{th}$ roots, we have

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c \|\Gamma u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + c \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

If $2 \leq r \leq p_1$, there exists $\theta \in [0, 1]$ depending only on $r$ and $p_1$ such that $\|u\|_{L^r} \leq \|u\|_{L^2}^{\theta} \|u\|_{L^{p_1}}^{1-\theta}$; see, e.g., Proposition 6.10 of [20]. Combining with the inequality $a^\theta b^{1-\theta} \leq a + b$ yields

$$\|u\|_{L^r} \leq \|u\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^{p_1}}.$$
We thus obtain
\[ \|u\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c\|\Gamma u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + c\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \]

Applying this with \( r \) first equal to \( p \) and then with \( r \) equal to \( 2p/(4 - p) \) and using Proposition 4.3, we obtain (1).

Suppose now that \( u \in D(\mathcal{L}) \) and that \( h = \mathcal{L}u \). Let \( \{E_\lambda\} \) be the spectral resolution of the operator \(-\mathcal{L}\). Then for \( u \in L^2 \),
\[ u = \int_0^\infty dE_\lambda u, \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \int_0^\infty d(E_\lambda u, E_\lambda u). \]

If \( u \in D(\mathcal{L}) \) and \( h = \mathcal{L}u \), then
\[ h = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE_\lambda u, \quad \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 d(E_\lambda u, E_\lambda u). \]

It then follows that
\[ \|\Gamma u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \mathcal{E}(u, u) \]
\[ = \int_0^\infty \lambda d(E_\lambda u, E_\lambda u) \]
\[ = \int_0^1 \lambda d(E_\lambda u, E_\lambda u) + \int_1^\infty \lambda d(E_\lambda u, E_\lambda u) \]
\[ \leq \int_0^1 d(E_\lambda u, E_\lambda u) + \int_1^\infty \lambda^2 d(E_\lambda u, E_\lambda u) \]
\[ \leq \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2. \]

This and (1) prove (2).

\[ \square \]

5 Strong stability

Let
\[ G(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\tilde{A}(x, y) - A(x, y)|. \]
Theorem 5.1. Suppose $d > \alpha$. There exist $q \geq 2d/\alpha$ and a constant $c_1$ depending on $\Lambda, d, \alpha$, and $q$ such that if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then
\[
\|P_t f - \tilde{P}_t f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq c_1 (t^{-\frac{d}{2}} + t^\frac{d}{2})\|G\|_{L^q} \|f\|_{L^2}^2.
\] (5.1)

Proof. For $t > 0$, let $u = P_t f - \tilde{P}_t f$. By Lemma 2.1(1), we know that $P_t f$ and $\tilde{P}_t f$ are both in $\mathcal{F} = W^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so $u \in W^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We write
\[
\|P_t f - \tilde{P}_t f\|_{L^2}^2 = (P_t f - \tilde{P}_t f, u)
\]
\[
= \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds}(P_s \tilde{P}_{t-s} f, u) \, ds.
\]

This, Lemma 2.1(3), and routine calculations show that
\[
\|P_t f - \tilde{P}_t f\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_0^t \left( - \mathcal{E}(\tilde{P}_{t-s} f, P_s u) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{P}_{t-s} f, P_s u) \right) \, ds.
\] (5.2)

Using (5.2), Lemma 2.1(1) and Hölder’s inequality, we obtain
\[
\|P_t f - \tilde{P}_t f\|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
= \int_0^t \left( - \mathcal{E}(\tilde{P}_{t-s} f, P_s u) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{P}_{t-s} f, P_s u) \right) \, ds
\]
\[
= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \tilde{P}_{t-s} f(y) - \tilde{P}_{t-s} f(x) \right) \left( P_s u(y) - P_s u(x) \right)
\]
\[
\times \frac{A(x, y) - A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx \, ds
\]
\[
\leq c \int_0^t \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \tilde{P}_{t-s} f(y) - \tilde{P}_{t-s} f(x) \right)^2 \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
\times \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( P_s u(y) - P_s u(x) \right)^2 \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) - A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \, ds
\]
\[
\leq c \int_0^t \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \tilde{P}_{t-s} f(y) - \tilde{P}_{t-s} f(x) \right)^2 \frac{\tilde{A}(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
\times \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( P_s u(y) - P_s u(x) \right)^2 \frac{\tilde{A}(x, y) - A(x, y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \, ds
\]
\begin{align*}
&\leq c \int_0^t \left[ \tilde{E}(\tilde{P}_{t-s}f, \tilde{P}_{t-s}f) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(P_s u(y) - P_s u(x))^2}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \, G^2(x) \, dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \, ds \\
&\leq c \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(P_s u(y) - P_s u(x))^2}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2p'}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^{2q'}(x) \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2q'}} \, ds \\
&= c \|f\|_{L^2} \|G\|_{L^{2q'}} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\Gamma(P_s u)(x)\|_{L^{2q'}} \, ds,
\end{align*}

where $p'$ and $q'$ are conjugate exponents.

We choose $p'$ so that $2p'$ is equal to the $p$ in Theorem 4.4(2). By that theorem,

\begin{equation}
\|\Gamma(P_s u)\|_{L^{2p'}} \leq c \|P_s u\|_{L^2} + c \|\mathcal{L}(P_s u)\|_{L^2}.
\end{equation}

Since $P_s, P_t,$ and $\tilde{P}_t$ are contractions,

\begin{equation}
\|P_s u\|_{L^2} \leq \|u\|_{L^2} = \|P_t f - \tilde{P}_t f\|_{L^2} \leq 2 \|f\|_{L^2}.
\end{equation}

To estimate $\mathcal{L}(P_s u)$, we note $P_{s/2} u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$ by Lemma 2.1(2) and then use Lemma 2.1(4). Then

\begin{equation}
\|\mathcal{L}(P_s u)\|_{L^2} = \|(-\mathcal{L})^{1/2} P_{s/2} (-\mathcal{L})^{1/2} (P_{s/2} u)\|_{L^2}
\end{equation}

\begin{align*}
&\leq c s^{-1/2} \|(-\mathcal{L})^{1/2} (P_{s/2} u)\|_{L^2} \\
&= c s^{-1/2} \mathcal{E}(P_{s/2} u, P_{s/2} u)^{1/2} \\
&\leq c s^{-1/2} \mathcal{E}(u, u)^{1/2} \\
&\leq c s^{-1/2} \left[ \mathcal{E}(P_t f, P_t f)^{1/2} + \mathcal{E}(\tilde{P}_t f, \tilde{P}_t f)^{1/2} \right] \\
&\leq c (st)^{-1/2} \|f\|_{L^2},
\end{align*}

where Lemma 2.1(1) is used in the first and last inequalities. Combining (5.4), (5.5), (5.6), and (5.7) yields our result. □
Remark 5.2. A scaling argument allows one to improve (5.1) to
\[ \|P_t f - \tilde{P}_t f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq c_1 \, t^{-d/2q} \|G\|_{L^{2q}} \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \]  
(5.8)

We give a sketch and leave the details to the reader.

If \( X_t \) is the strong Markov process whose semigroup is \( P_t \), let \( Y_t = aX_{a^{-t}} \).
Routine calculations shows that the semigroup \( Q_t \) for \( Y \) is related to that of \( X \) by the equation
\[ P_t f(x) = Q_{a^t} g(ax), \]
where \( g(z) = f(z/a) \), and that the Dirichlet form of \( Y \) is given by
\[ \mathcal{E}_Y(f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(f(y) - f(x))^2}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} B(x, y) \, dy \, dx, \]
where \( B(x, y) = A(x/a, y/a) \) and \( A \) is the function in (2.1).

Suppose we define \( \tilde{Q}_t \) and \( \tilde{B} \) in terms of \( \tilde{P}_t \) similarly and let
\[ H(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} |B(x, y) - \tilde{B}(x, y)|. \]
Fix \( t \) and set \( a = t^{-1/a} \) so that \( a^\alpha = t^{-1} \). A straightforward calculation and an application of Theorem 5.1 yield
\[ \|P_t f - \tilde{P}_t f\|_{L^2}^2 = a^{-d} \|Q_1 g - \tilde{Q}_1 g\|_{L^2}^2 \leq c a^{-d} \|H\|_{L^{2d}} \|g\|_{L^2}^2, \]
where \( g(z) = f(z/a) \). Further calculations show that
\[ \|H\|_{L^{2d}} = a^{d/2q} \|G\|_{L^{2q}} \]
and
\[ \|g\|_{L^2}^2 = a^{d} \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \]
Combining gives (5.8).

Let \( p(t, x, y) \) and \( \tilde{p}(t, x, y) \) be the heat kernels corresponding to \( P_t \) and \( \tilde{P}_t \).
By Theorem 4.14 in [15], we know there exist \( \gamma > 0 \) and a constant \( c_1 \) such that
\[ |p(t, x, y) - p(t, z, v)| \leq c_1 \, t^{-d/\alpha} (|x - z| + |y - v|)^\gamma \]  
(5.9)
for all $x, y, z, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By Theorem 1.1 in [15], there exist constants $c_2$ and $c_3$ such that
\[
c_2 \min \left\{ t^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}}, \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} \right\} \leq p(t, x, y) \leq c_3 \min \left\{ t^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}}, \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} \right\}
\]
for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We have the following two theorems. Once we have Theorem 5.1, (5.9), and (5.10), the proofs are so similar to the corresponding theorems in [18] that we refer the reader to that paper for the proofs.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let $t > 0$. There exist $q > 1$ and a constant $c_1$ depending on $t, \Lambda, \gamma, d, \alpha$, and $q$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$
\[
|p(t, x, y) - \tilde{p}(t, x, y)| \leq c_1 \|G\|_{2q}^{\frac{\gamma}{2(d+\gamma)}}.
\]

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $t > 0$. There exist $q > 1$ and a constant $c_2$ depending on $t, \Lambda, \gamma, d, \alpha$, and $q$ such that for any $p \in [1, \infty]$, we have
\[
\|P_t f - \tilde{P}_t f\|_{L^p} \leq c_2 \|G\|_{2q}^{\frac{\gamma}{2(d+\gamma)(d+\alpha)}} \|f\|_{L^p}.
\]

As in Remark 5.2, one could use scaling to obtain an explicit bound on how the constants depend on $t$. We leave this to the interested reader.

## 6 Proof of Lemma 2.1

In this section we give a proof of the lemma stated in Section 2.

Let $\{E_\lambda\}, \lambda \geq 0$, be the spectral representation of $-L$. For $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we have
\[
\mathcal{E}(f, f) = \int_0^\infty \lambda d(E_\lambda f, E_\lambda f);
\]
see [23].
Proof of Lemma 2.1. (1) This follows from

\[ \mathcal{E}(P_t f, P_t f) = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-2\lambda t} d(E_\lambda f, E_\lambda f) \leq ct^{-1} \int_0^\infty d(E_\lambda f, E_\lambda f) = ct^{-1} \| f \|_2^2, \]

since \( \lambda e^{-2\lambda t} \leq ct^{-1} \) for all \( \lambda \geq 0 \).

(2) By the spectral representation of \( -L \), we have

\[ \frac{P_h(P_t g) - P_t g}{h} = \frac{P_{t+h} g - P_t g}{h} = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda(t+h)} - e^{-\lambda t}}{h} dE_\lambda g. \]

Let \( H = - \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda t} dE_\lambda g \). Note \( \| H \|_{L^2} \) is finite because \( \lambda^2 e^{-2\lambda t} \) is bounded. Then

\[ \left\| \frac{P_h(P_t g) - P_t g}{h} - H \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{e^{-\lambda(t+h)} - e^{-\lambda t}}{h} \right]^2 d(E_\lambda g, E_\lambda g), \]

which tends to 0 as \( h \to 0 \) by dominated convergence. Therefore \( P_t g \in \mathcal{D}(L) \) and \( \mathcal{L}(P_t g) = H. \)

(3) For any \( g \in \mathcal{F} \), we have

\[ (P_t f, g) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} d(E_\lambda f, g), \]

and so

\[ \frac{d}{dt} (P_t f, g) = - \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda t} d(E_\lambda f, g). \]

On the other hand,

\[ \mathcal{E}(P_t f, g) = \int_0^\infty \lambda d(E_\lambda P_t f, g) = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda t} d(E_\lambda f, g), \]

which proves the assertion.

(4) We prove this by writing

\[ \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-2\lambda t} d(E_\lambda f, E_\lambda f) \leq \int_0^\infty \lambda d(E_\lambda f, E_\lambda f), \]

which translates to (2.3). \qed
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