The oldest freshwater crabs: claws on dinosaur bones
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With approximately 1,500 extant species, freshwater crabs (Decapoda: Brachyura) are among the most diverse decapod crustaceans. Nevertheless, their fossil record is extremely limited: only Potamidae, Potamonautidae and Trichodactylidae are reported up to the Eocene of the Neotropics so far. This work documents unusually large decapod claws from the Upper Cretaceous (Campanian) continental deposits of Velaux and vicinity (southern France), in close association with large vertebrate remains. In addition to (1) the systematic assignment of these claws, the study addresses (2) the salinity trends in the deposit environment from its faunal assemblage and the elementary chemical patterns of fossils, and (3) the likely scenario for their auto/allochthony in the Velaux fluvial system. These claws belong to a new taxon, Dinocarcinus velauciensis n. gen. n. sp., referred to as Portunoidea sensu lato, a group of “true” crabs nowadays linked to marine systems. However, the faunal assemblage, the claw taphonomy and the carbonates Y/Ho signatures support their ancient freshwater/terrestrial ecology, making them the oldest reported continental brachyurans and extending the presence of crabs in freshwater environments by 40 Ma. Either as primary or as secondary freshwater crabs, the occurrence of these portunoids in Velaux is an evidence for the independent colonizations of continental environments by multiple brachyuran clades over time, as early as the Campanian.

With approximately 1,500 extant species, freshwater brachyuran crabs (Decapoda: Brachyura) are among the most diverse decapod crustaceans. Nevertheless, their fossil record is extremely limited. Representatives of three families were identified unequivocally as fossils, including Potamidae Ortmann, 1896, Potamonautidae Bott, 1970 and Trichodactylidae H. Milne Edwards, 1853. Articulated exoskeletons of fossil freshwater crabs are rare, although isolated cheliped fingers are much more frequent, but difficult to evaluate taxonomically. Up to now, Tanzanonautes tuerkayi Feldmann et al., (Potamonautidae) from the Oligocene of Tanzania (ca 30 Ma) is the oldest fossil record of a freshwater brachyuran in the Old World and there is no record of Potamidae older than early Miocene. The oldest record of freshwater crabs is from the middle Eocene of the Amazon Basin (ca 40 Ma) and belongs to the family Trichodactylidae, a group of crabs that likely colonized freshwater habitats independently from potamoids, as indicated by morphology and molecular phylogeny.

The present paper reports the remains of brachyuran crabs from fluvial Late Cretaceous (late Campanian; ca 72–74 Ma) localities of southern France (Velaux-La Bastide Neuve and vicinity), fossilized in association with vertebrate remains. Close associations of different and diverse fossil organisms may both (1) be the result of a long-distance transport of allochthonous remains or (2) testify of local biocoenoses for which members of quite restricted ecosystems have deposited altogether. These claws are of exceptional large size compared to most Late Cretaceous marine crab claws; and interestingly do not conform to the morphology of any extant freshwater crab family. The presence of presumably freshwater crabs in Campanian deposits is quite unexpected and represents the earliest record of the colonization of freshwater environments by brachyuran decapod crustaceans. It roughly
doubles the previously oldest evidence of 40 Ma, and would further support the independent invasion of freshwater environment by several distinct brachyuran lineages.21,22

The study aims at (1) proposing a systematic assignment for these claws, (2) characterizing the actual salinity trend of their depositional environment, based on the channel fauna assemblage and elementary chemical patterns of fossils and (3) identifying the relevant taphonomic scenario for the presence of crab claws within a fluvial system. As all these approaches support a freshwater or terrestrial signature for the paleoenvironment of these large-clawed brachyurans; we then discuss the implications for presumed multiple invasions of freshwater habitats by crustacean decapods over time.

Velaux-La Bastide Neuve Channel

Velaux-La Bastide Neuve is located in the western part of the Aix-en-Provence Basin, Southeastern France. K-Ar dating of the locality was attempted based on glauconites collected from sandstones23, but these minerals are clearly reworked from lower Aptian marine limestones and therefore useless for dating the site23. Magnetostratigraphic analysis of the deposits, however, correlates with the normal chron of chron 3223, corresponding to an age of 71.6 to 74 Ma24. Along with correlations with charophytes and dinosaur eggshell biozones, a late Campanian age for the locality may confidently be proposed23,25–27. The fossil site is mostly known for its vertebrates assemblage, recovered from three different sedimentological sequences and corresponding to newly described dinosaur (Titanosauria sauropod26,28; Rhabdodontidae ornithopod29) and pterosaur (Azhdarchidae pterosaur30) taxa, as well as eusuchian crocodylians32. Apart from the diapsids, vertebrates consist of disarticulated pleurodiran and cryptodiran turtles, disconnected remains of sauro-actinopterygians, and chondrichthyan teeth. Freshwater bivalves (Unionidae) and gastropods (Physidae, Melaniidae)30, macro-remains of angiosperm plants and chalcedony complete the whole fossiliferous assemblage together with the herein described crustacean remains.

The lithological section consists of 16.3 meters of alternating sandstones, siltstones – including palaeosols – and mudstones. Lacustrine limestones occur in the uppermost part of the section. The succession was deposited in a fluvo-lacustrine environmental setting. The sedimentology of the site together with the fossil assemblage indicates a likely freshwater setting for the deposits. The succession of conglomeratic sandstones, siltstones (including palaeosols), mudstones and lacustrine limestone on top of the stratigraphic section indicate sedimentation in, respectively, a low-energy fluvial channel, channel levees, alluvial plain and lake23. Given the proximity of Velaux to the palaeo-coast during the Late Cretaceous31,32, occasional marine incursions are not excluded, even though they were not recorded at Velaux-La Bastide Neuve nor at other fossiliferous localities of the same age in the region3,25.

Results

The studied material (Tab. 1) consists of seven (partial) claws and associated vertebrate remains collected from sequence 2 of the sedimentary succession of Velaux-La Bastide Neuve locality and one from the close locality of Rognac-Les Frégates (about four km from Velaux, corresponding to similar layers). Specimens are housed in the palaeontological collections of the Muséum de Aix-en-Provence (MHN AIX PI 1991.1, coll. Valentin).

Systematic palaeontology. The crabs. Preliminary remarks: Taxonomic assignment of isolated claws of brachyuran crabs at the species or genus level is difficult, if not impossible in many cases. If direct comparisons with extant taxa is straightforward and often helpful for identifying Pliocene and Pleistocene brachyurans33–36, the taxonomic evaluation of isolated fossil cheliped remains is of course further challenging. Erecting new taxa based on isolated brachyuran claws alone has not been attempted yet, although this method is regarded as valid in other decapod groups, including paguroid hermit crabs41–43, erymid lobsters44,45 or callianassid ghost shrimps46,47. If working with disarticulated claws alone has not been attempted yet, although this method is regarded as valid in other decapod groups, including paguroid hermit crabs41–43, erymid lobsters44,45 or callianassid ghost shrimps46,47. If working with distinct claw morphologies, the erection of new taxa can be done48. Alternatively, parataxonomy can be used49.

We assume that the morphology and also the size of the studied claws from the two localities Velaux-La Bastide Neuve and Rognac-Les Frégates are distinct enough to warrant the validity of the new form genus Dinocarcinus. Based on the general morphology of its claws, we include this form genus within Portunoidea sensu lato50. For portunoid crabs the presence of large proximal molariform tooth on the dactylus on one of the chelae, and serial (often bi- and tri-lobed) conical teeth on the dactylus and fixed finger of both chelae are typical50,51. It should, however, be mentioned that the presence of a massive molariform tooth is not unique for portunoids, but it can be found in a number of heterotreme superfamilies (sensu Ng et al.52). Our material shows some affinities to selected representatives of Xanthidae, although, in these crabs, finger usually is somewhat shorter than manus53 and generally exhibit a different pattern of serial teeth55. In this respect, the Dinocarcinus material is not preserved sufficiently enough to allow detailed comparison of the cheliped dentition of various portunoid or xanthoid crabs. Yet, its taxonomic features (as mentioned above) point out affinities with portunoids, although this attribution has to be considered as preliminary. In this sense, Dinocarcinus veluaciensis is kept in open nomenclature.

Portunoidea sensu lato (see above) Dinocarcinus n. gen. Van Bakel, Hyžný, Valentin & Robin Figs. 1, 2 and 3.

Etymology: Denoting the actual association with dinosaur (ornithopodan) remains.

Type species: Dinocarcinus veluaciensis n. gen. n. sp.

Diagnosis: Chelae large and massive. Fingers gaping, arched, with strong teeth, proximal tooth molariform. Fixed finger dorsal surface with single “pitted groove”, palm surface smooth, articulation with dactylus oblique, prominent.

Remarks: The morphology of the claws, namely heavily calcified fingers, strong molariform teeth and grooved fingers, are typical for several eubrachyuran crabs. There are only few representatives of podotreme clades which grew to large sizes, with particular exceptions of Dakotiancridae and Cenomanocarcinidae, which could have
claws comparable in size to *Dinocarcinus velauciensis* n. gen. n. sp. Figured claws of *Avitelmessus* Rathbun, 1923\(^5\), show the following\(^5\): the fingers' (distal) teeth are not molariform, fingers are less robust and less strongly calcified than the remains studied herein. The claws of *Avitelmessus* are more curved, the palm is longer than the fingers, both palm and fingers have crests and grooves; the fingers tips are hooked; distinguishing it easily from *Dinocarcinus* n. gen. The palaeocorystoid Cenomanocarcinidae could attain large sizes and had massive claws. The claws of *Cenomanocarcinus* Van Straelen, 1936\(^5\) are figured by Guinot et al.\(^5\) (Fig. 6) and are characterized by spinose claws, flattened in cross section, a slightly downturned fixed finger, spines along the upper margin of the claw and dactylus, and hooked tips. Compare also the very large claws of *Oncopareia heterodon* Bosquet, 1854\(^5\), now considered to be a palaeocorystoid (in Jagt et al.\(^6\): plate 5). As discussed above, the claw morphology of *Dinocarcinus velauciensis* n. gen. n. sp. does not match that of the Dakoticancroidea, Palaeocorystoidea, or any known Podotremata.

Within Eubrachyura, the robust, strongly calcified fingers, overall claw shape, and molariform teeth, match that of the Portunoidea. This large group of overall large-sized crabs have several Mesozoic occurrences, and some of them in large sizes. *Ophthalmoplax* Rathbun, 1935\(^6\), now considered a representative of Macropipidae\(^6\) has a great size range, from very large *Ophthalmoplax brasiliiana* Maury, 1930\(^6\), to rather small *O. minimus* Osso\(^6\). Their claws [compare\(^6\) (Figs. 3.2, 3.3, 4.2, 4.13, 4.14) with\(^6\) (Fig. 6.7)] are spinose, keeled, with major

---

**Figure 1.** *Dinocarcinus velauciensis* Van Bakel, Hyžný, Valentin & Robin n. gen., n. sp. Claws associated with vertebrate remains. (a,b) MMS/VBN.00.004, holotype: anterior (a) and posterior (b) views of the claw-bearing ornithopod (rhabdodontid) vertebra, (c,d) MMS/VBN.09.69c: outer view (c) and close-up (d) of the close sedimentary association of the claw with a turtle (solemydid) plastral plate, (e,f) MMS/VBN.12.A.006: outer view (c) and close-up (d) of the block association of the claw with a turtle (bothremydid) plastral plate, ornithopod (rhabdodontid tooth) and partial sauropod (titanosaurid) dorsal vertebra with its ossified tendon. Scale bars = 1 cm (a–d,f); = 3 cm (e). Photographs. L. Cazes.
claws showing a large bulbous proximal tooth index inferred to be used for shell breaking. These specialized claws can be easily distinguished from the more simple, unarmed claws of Dinocarcinus n. gen. Eogeryon Osso (Cenomanian of Spain) is assigned to the Portunoidea in its own family (Eogeryonidae Osso). Geryonidae Colosi, 1923 and Eogeryonidae are considered as early diverging families within Portunoidea. Eogeryon is characterized by large claw size relative to the carapace, equal ratio palm-fingers, with strongly calcified fingers with molariform teeth, and grooved fixed finger. Its claw morphology is typical of that of Portunoidea, and compared with that of Styracocarcinus meridionalis (Secrétan, 1961) from the Campanian of Morocco. Claws of Litoricola macrodactylus (Van Straelen, 1924) from the Paleocene of southern France and Northern Spain, are highly comparable with those of Dinocarcinus n. gen., however they show a bulbous proximal crushing tooth on the dactylus of the major claw. Also, the fingers in Dinocarcinus n. gen. are more gaping than those of Litoricola.

The claw morphology of Dinocarcinus n. gen. shows few diagnostic characters for superfAMILY level assignment (Portunoidea), namely heavily calcified claws, a grooved fixed finger, molariform teeth, palm, and fingers subequal in length, and blunt, non-hooked fingertips (Fig. 2). More accurate assignation is not possible at this point. An early diverging position within Portunoidea is possible considering morphology, geologic age, large size, and similar families occurring at that time.

Of note is yet another occurrence of the Late Cretaceous crab, namely Megaxantho zoque Vega et al., 2001 from the Maastrichtian of Mexico. Its sheer size and general morphology of chelae is reminiscent of Dinocarcinus; both taxa, however, differ from each other in a number of characters. Cheliped dentition of Megaxantho was directly compared to portunoids. Originally, Megaxantho was classified within Xanthidae; nevertheless, its attribution was later questioned and its assignment to Xanthoidea incertae sedis was finally suggested.

Dinocarcinus velauciensis Van Bakel, Hyžný, Valentin & Robin n. sp. Figures 1, 2 and 3.

**Type material:** Holotype: MMS/VBN.00.004; Paratypes 1–4: MMS/VBN.02.94, 09.132d, 12.A.003, 12.A.006 (see Table 1).

**Etymology:** From Velaux-La Bastide Neuve, Bouches-du-Rhône, the type locality.

**Diagnosis:** As for genus.

**Description:** Only claws known; claw very large (approximately 85 mm for holotype MMS.VBN.00.004), massive, outer surface flat. Palm subrectangular, slightly longer than high, slightly longer than fixed finger. Fixed and movable fingers inwards curved, clearly gaping. Lower propodus margin curved, weakly convex. Upper (cutting) margin of fixed finger straight. In total, 4 strong teeth on fixed finger. Proximal tooth massive (T1/t1), molariform in both fingers. Surface of molariform proximal tooth bulbous on fixed finger, flat on dactylus. Fixed finger dorsal surface with a single “pitted groove”. Articulation dactylus-propodus prominent, oblique. Both finger tips sharp, pointed. Dactylus upper and lower margin curved in dorsal view; dactylus cross-section flatter than propodus cross-section. Dactylus more strongly curved in dorsal view than fixed finger. Dactylus margin at approximately 100 degrees at upper (cutting) margin of fixed finger. Fingers strongly calcified, cuticle surface (as preserved) covered with microscopic dense, flattened granules.

---

**Figure 2.** Dinocarcinus velauciensis Van Bakel, Hyžný, Valentin & Robin n. gen., n. sp. (a,b) Illustrative features of holotype MMS/VBN.00.004 (complete chela). (d) = dactylus; ff = fixed finger; pr = propodus; pr/d = propodus/dactylus articulation; t1–4 = dactylus teeth; T1–4 = fixed finger teeth; ? = questionable limits. Scale bars = 1 cm. Drawing. B. van Bakel, photograph. L. Cazes.
Figure 3. *Dinocarcinus velauciensis* Van Bakel, Hyžný, Valentín & Robin n. gen., n. sp. Isolated claws found scattered in sediment. (a–d) From left to right: inner, outer and occlusal views of dactyls showing teeth arrangement. (a) MMS/VBN.02.94, (b) MMS/VBN.09.43, (c) MMS/VBN.09.132d (d) MMS/VBN.12.A.003. (e) MHN AIX PI 1999.1 (coll. X. Valentín) from the Campanian of Rognac, 4 km from Velaux. From up to down and left to right: inner, outer, marginal and occlusal two views showing teeth arrangement. Scale bars = 1 cm. Photographs. L. Cazes (a–d), Y. Dutour and E. Turini (e).

| Catalogue | Number | Item | Preservation | Type status |
|-----------|--------|------|--------------|-------------|
| MMS/VBN.  | 00.004 | left-hand claw, with dactylus | well preserved | Holotype    |
| MMS/VBN.  | 09.69e | right-hand fixed finger | moderately preserved | /           |
| MMS/VBN.  | 12.A.006 | right-hand fixed finger | moderately preserved | Paratype 4  |
| MMS/VBN.  | 02.94  | right-hand fixed finger | well preserved, very large | Paratype 1  |
| MMS/VBN.  | 09.43  | right-hand fixed finger | coarse, surface partly dissolved | /           |
| MMS/VBN.  | 09.132d | right-hand fixed finger | well preserved | Paratype 2  |
| MMS/VBN.  | 12.A.003 | right-hand dactylus | moderately preserved | Paratype 3  |
| MHN AIX   | PI 1999.1 | left-hand claw | well preserved | /           |

Table 1. Examined fossil material and preservation state.
The associated vertebrates. Four of the brachyuran claws were discovered in close association with vertebrate remains. The most complete and larger specimen (MMS/VBN.00.004) is fossilized onto a vertebra that closely resembles a posterior cervical vertebra of a rhabdodontids Iguanodontia (Fig. 1a,b). Rhabdodontids are represented at Velaux by the genus *Matheronodon* Godefroit et al. and in other Late Cretaceous localities from southern France, by *Rhabdodon* Matheron72. MMS/VBN.09.69e is found in close sedimentary association with the plastral plate of a terrestrial turtle (*Solemys* de Lapparent de Broin & Murelaga 73, Solemydidae) (Fig. 1c,d). MMS/VBN.12.A.006 is preserved in a 50 cm large block that also contains a turtle plastral plate (*Polysternon* Portis74, Bothremydidae), a rhabdodontid tooth and centrum, as well as hybodontid shark teeth (Fig. 1e,f). MMS/VBN.12.A.003, figured isolated (Fig. 3d), has been extracted from a comparable block, also containing a crocodylomorph skull, a rhabdodontid tooth, as well as a partial titanosaurid dorsal vertebra showing preserved ossified tendons.

Freshwater environment. Taxonomic/ecological diversity in the sequence 2 of the channel. The freshwater palaeoenvironment of the channel in sequence 2 is strongly supported not only by sedimentological evidence23, but also by the most recently collected taxonomic assemblage itself. The fossil remains (n = 308 specimens) consist of 42.5% of strict terrestrial/aerian *Avemetatarsalia* indicative of an absolute continental faunal assemblage (Fig. 4). Aquatic and semi-aquatic taxa consist of families and genera, whose previous depositional record is strongly anchored in freshwater environments. Among archosaurs, the hylaeochampisdae crocodylomorph *Allodaposuchus* Nopsca27,75) has so far been reported from fluvial inner/lacustrine-interpreted environments76–78 and once in a more coastal swampy area 79. These are associated to a small amount of *Globidonta*, which, as members of *Alligatoroidea*, would have secondarily lost salt glands and therefore have been also restricted to freshwater settings 80. Other highly abundant sauropsids in Velaux are chelonians, equivalently represented by *Bothremydidae* (*Polysternon*) and *Solemydidae* (*Solemys*, Fig. 4). If the former family is recognised as the most abundant and diverse European group of freshwater and coastal turtles in the uppermost Cretaceous81, *Polysternon* is only reported from estuarial to alluvial sediments and its sister-genus *Foxemys* Tong et al.82, is exclusively known from freshwater localities83,84. The case of *Solemydidae* is even more compelling because their dermal skeleton (skull osteoderms) is highly supportive of a strict terrestrial life habit 85 rather than any degree of amphibious lifestyle. The identified chondrichtiyan teeth correspond to a unique hybodontid genus: *Meristonoides* Case & Capetta86, which presence at Velaux has been briefly questioned (Cuny pers. comm. in87), although it is well accepted that hybodontid sharks are common in fluvial ecosystems in the Cretaceous88. The least abundant remains at Velaux belong to an aquatic sarcopterygian identified as *Axelrodichthys megadromos* Cavin et al.89 (Cavin pers. comm.). The only known occurrence of this mawsoniid coelacanth is from another French Campanian lacustrine deposit88, confirming the unequivocal freshwater nature of the fauna from the sequence 2, which includes the brachyuran claws in the Velaux-La Bastide Neuve channel (Fig. 4).

Y/Ho ratios. As for rare-earth-elements, the Y signature of limestones and carbonate concretions can be used as a recorder of ancient seawater signatures40–92. Y and Ho concentrations can be compared because these elements are chemically similar in charge and ionic radius, and suggested to evolve similarly over time in few terrigenous diagenetic environments89. Consequently, measured on fossil carbonates, Y and Ho concentrations can partly...
document their relative original intake into abiogenic/biogenic carbonates and therefore inform on marine/non marine pre-diagenetic environments of formation. The carbonates of MMS/VBN.09.69e-claw display a Y/Ho ratio of 33.06 (Y = 8.61 ppm; Ho = 0.26 ppm). The ratio in MMS/VBN.09.69e-sediment is a bit lower with 29.86 (Y = 11.3 ppm; Ho = 0.37 ppm). Consequently, neither the sandstones hosting the claws, nor the claw carbonate could have been deposited and/or formed in marine conditions. Apart from the marine realm, Y/Ho data characterizing formally typical estuarine or fluviatile environments are hitherto not commonly reported. Nozaki et al. evidenced from the study of Japanese fluvial systems that Y and Ho concentrations were constantly decreasing with the salinity, with Ho removed from seawater twice as fast as Y owing to differences in surface complexation behaviour. Unfortunately, Y and Ho absolute concentrations (which we could expect to interpret from the studied material) depend on biological and taphonomic factors of integration that simply cannot be estimated here. Consequently, the salinity of the studied channel cannot be assessed from the chemistry. In all cases, the observed Y/Ho ratio formally excludes a marine/coastal pattern and seems to distinguish strongly from it.

**Taphonomy of the assemblage.** The crab chelae studied herein were recovered from the fluvial channel sediments from sequence 2, which correspond to lenticular conglomeratic sandstone (Fig. 5a,b). This association of elements belonging to diverse – aquatic and terrestrial – vertebrate taxa probably results from the transport of decayed carcasses originating from diverse environmental settings in a river channel. The associated bones and tooth elements are found disarticulated. The preservation of some complete bony elements, like crocodylomorph skulls, argues for their relatively short timing of decay and transport, consistent with the most common reports of the group in fluvial/inner lacustrine type of environments. The Velaux taphonomy would indicate a local riverine system with a low-enough energy to allow the deposit of small millimetric elements like *Meristodonoides* teeth. An option could be that large elements (large appendicular bones, carapace portion and skulls) would have acted as obstacles for smaller ones in a more intermediate-energy flow configuration, resulting in a mixture of elements of different sizes and spatial origins. In both cases, as in any continental water system, the deposit must have occurred from upstream to downstream implying that all the remains in sequence 2 must have belonged either to original local fluvial living-individuals (sedimentary context) or to upstream/even more terrestrial ones (floodplain and levees). Consequently, the presence of strictly freshwater lineages (Globidonta crocodylomorphs), would restrict the salinity inside this part of the channel to a minimum, implying that crabs must have been living either in terrestrial or freshwater aquatic habitats. The spatial distribution of the claws within the conglomeratic sequence (sequence 2 on Fig. 5c,d) is heterogeneous: they are in most cases horizontally spaced by several dozens of centimeters. The absence of further connection of the brachyuran remains (e.g. with manus/carpus) or other body parts than dactyli and/or propodi is poorly informative on transport/exposure time experienced by claws given the admitted proclivity of chelae from decapod crustaceans to preserve the best after years (see for brachyurans). Additionally, the sand-/siltstones that yielded the claws do not provide high probabilities for carapaces nor full exoskeletons to be preserved in connection (as mudstones might have for instance).
Discussion

Decapod crustaceans in Cretaceous continental assemblages. Decapod crustaceans, such as shrimps and ghost shrimps, have been mentioned in assemblages of continental vertebrates, including dinosaurs. The oldest report involving brachyuran crabs is from Cretaceous continental marginal marine sediments (Bahariya Formation, Egypt)\(^\text{108}\). In this case, the authors even suggested a scavenging behaviour for these crabs based on their relative proximity to bony remains. Likewise, the Velux-La Bastide Neuve channel may have provided a favorable environment for the rise of invertebrate scavengers. However, neither the claws shape nor their important size could inform on a specific diet, nor on crabs interactions with reported members of this ecosystem, although some modern freshwater durophagous crabs have dentition developed in a similar fashion\(^\text{101,102}\). Interestingly, it is worth stressing that consumption behaviours of decapod crustaceans by megaherbivorous dinosaurs have been reported from the Campanian of two North American formations\(^\text{103}\). In that case, *in-situ* coprolites of ankylosaurs, brachylophosaurs and neornithischians revealed undetermined crustacean cuticles associated to fragments of likely rotten wood fragments. This led the authors to suggest these crustaceans were consumed when they were sheltering inside dead plant logs. The cuticles could not be identified as belonging to a specific order, but were mentioned as possibly corresponding to claws reported from surrounding continental middle Campanian formations\(^\text{104–106}\). However, the figured corresponding claws, referred as large freshwater crab ones, clearly actually correspond to anomuran – and no brachyuran – chelae\(^\text{105}\) (see p. 583, Fig. 26.28). However, smaller (up to 3 cm) brachyuran-like dactyli are mentioned in the Maastrichtian of Fox Amphoux (Var, France)\(^\text{106}\) and the Hateg Island (Transylvania, Romania)\(^\text{107}\). In the latter case, the authors question the allochthonous marine origin of the claws, moved in as preys, by other animals. The consistent autochthon of all other invertebrates in the channel (*Unio, Physa, Melania*), and the Y/Ho carbonates displayed by claws, limit our interpretation to the most parsimonious assertion: it is rather unlikely that claws were moved into the Veluxa fluvial system, as preys, from further marine environments.

Decapod crustaceans in freshwater habitats. Decapod crustaceans inhabit virtually all water-influenced habitats, including freshwater bodies, from streams and rivers to ponds and lakes, and even caves. In fact, representatives of a number of originally exclusively marine decapod clades have successfully invaded freshwater and/or terrestrial habitats. In this respect, the following listing of freshwater decapods is not meant to be exhaustive but rather illustrative of the independent colonization of freshwater habitats within this group of crustaceans. Among caridean shrimps, more than 650 species, making a full quarter of all described species, inhabit freshwater\(^\text{108}\), with representatives of *Mergia* Kemp\(^\text{106}\), being semi-terrestrial\(^\text{110}\). With approximately 650 species, virtually all caridean shrimps are freshwater animals\(^\text{111}\). Many axiideans and gebiideans are able to tolerate pretty low salinity conditions. The callianassid *Lepidophthalmus* Holmes\(^\text{112}\), is even able to tolerate freshwater environments\(^\text{113}\) and *Lepidophthalmus turneranus* (White, 1861\(^\text{114}\)) has been reported to migrate up rivers in West Africa\(^\text{115}\). Among anomurans, a rather speciose family *Aegidae* Dana, 1852\(^\text{116}\), is strictly freshwater\(^\text{111–119}\). The majority of freshwater decapod crustaceans, however, consists of Brachyura with one fifth (>1 280 species) of all\(^\text{120}\).

Primary (so called *true*) freshwater crabs are those that have adopted freshwater, semi-terrestrial or terrestrial modes of life, and are able to complete their life cycle independently of the marine environment\(^\text{1}. However, there are a number of brachyuran crabs able to live in freshwater habitats that include euryhaline species or secondary freshwater species from primarily marine brachyuran families\(^\text{1}. These do not have direct development in their life cycle, which is typical for true freshwater crabs. Today there are five primarily freshwater families of brachyurans with no marine species\(^\text{120}\), i.e. *Gecarcinucidae, Potamidae, Potamonautidae, Pseudothelphusidae*, and *Trichodactylidae*, whereas there are also numerous secondary freshwater, semi-terrestrial and terrestrial species among *Majoidae* (*Hymenosomatidae*), *Goneplacoidea* (*Goneplacidae*), *Grapsidae* (*Gecarcinidae, Sesarmaidae, Varunidae* and *Ocypodoidea* (*Ocypodidae*))\(^\text{1}. Many grapsoids invade or even wholly inhabit freshwater habitats. Some varunids, including representatives of *Eriocheir* De Haan, 1835\(^\text{121}\), and *Varuna* H. Milne Edwards, 1830\(^\text{122}\), not only enter estuaries, but are also found further up in rivers\(^\text{1}. Sesarmids (Sesarmoidea, *Lubuanium, Karstarma*) can be completely adapted to freshwater, the latter being semi-terrestrial\(^\text{120,123–125}\), whereas *Geosesarma* De Man, 1892\(^\text{126}\) is found in terrestrial habitats\(^\text{118,119}\).

All primary freshwater crabs are entirely free from the need to enter seawater. As for the fossils from Velux and surroundings newly described herein, it cannot be decided unequivocally whether representatives of *Dinocarcinus* n. gen. were able to complete their life cycle in the freshwater habitat or/and had direct development. The sheer size and number of claw fragments, however, may prove that crabs from the Late Cretaceous of Veluxa were not only an occasional element of the respective environment, but rather a natural part of the assemblage suggesting that they were fully adapted to freshwater environment. Apparently, the remains belonged to adult animals, so it is more likely they were primary freshwater crabs.

Freshwater decapods in the fossil record. Fossil freshwater decapods are exceedingly rare in comparison to their marine relatives. Fossil crayfish are represented only by a handful of occurrences reported so far (123,124,127–131 and references therein), the oldest possibly coming from the Triassic of Utah\(^\text{128}\). Fossils of freshwater caridean shrimps are similarly rare\(^\text{127,129,132–135}\), the oldest being reported from the Early Cretaceous of Spain\(^\text{128}\) and China\(^\text{135}\). Interestingly, the only fossil representative of nowadays strictly freshwater anomurans, the family *Aegidae*, comes from marine strata\(^\text{136}\). Fossil freshwater brachyurans are limited to a number of occurrences of isolated claws\(^\text{14,20–23}\) and several fossils exhibiting preserved carapace\(^\text{12–18,137}\). The oldest occurrences of undisputed primary freshwater crabs is from the middle Eocene (late Lutetian/early Bartonian) of the Amazon Basin, recently reported by Klaus et al.\(^\text{7}\) who described isolated claw elements of *Trichodactylidae*. A recent report of *Alontecarcinus buratoi* De Angeli & Caporiando, 2019\(^\text{137}\) from the middle Eocene (Bartonian) of Italy, being interpreted as the oldest representative of Potamidae, should be taken with caution because of its rather unique
carapace sculpture, unknown in potamids (Sebastian Klaus, pers. comm 2019). Moreover, *A. buratoi* is described from a setting suggesting a brackish environment, which contradicts the ecology of at least extant primary freshwater crabs (as discussed above). In this respect, *Dinocarcinus velauciensis* n. gen. n. sp. reported herein is the oldest occurrence of freshwater brachyuran crabs, exceeding previous reports by approximately 40 million years. For now, it is unclear whether *Dinocarcinus* belonged to primary or secondary freshwater crabs. It is, however, of note that recent advances in resolving the phylogeny of primary freshwater crabs suggest their early divergence in brachyuran evolution\(^{138}\). Both, potamoid and portunoid crabs, i.e. primary freshwater and marine crabs, are suggested to be roughly equally old.

### Multiple invasions into freshwater habitats.

From the discussion above it is clear that several lineages of decapod crustaceans independently invaded freshwater habitats, including dendrobranchiats\(^{39}\), carideans, axiideans, astacideans, anomurans and brachyurans\(^{11,24,118,140}\). The enigmatic *Tealliocaris* Peach, 1908\(^{141}\), considered by some authors as a decapod crustaceans\(^{42}\) (but see also\(^{43}\)), might represent yet another freshwater lineage. And among carideans, at least palaeomonoid, atyoid, and alpheoid shrimps independently invaded freshwater environments\(^{108}\). Moreover, presumed multiple invasions of freshwater habitats by some *Macrobrachium* Bate, 1868\(^{144}\) shrimps were also suggested\(^{45}\). The sparse fossil record of freshwater shrimps does not allow relevant time estimation of colonization of freshwater habitats; however, fully freshwater shrimps are known from the Early Cretaceous (Barremian) onward\(^{125,135}\). Crayfish represent a monophyletic group\(^{146}\) with the oldest fossil representa-tives known from the Late Triassic of Utah\(^{128}\). As for brachyuran freshwater crabs, there are two independent lineages. The Old World primary freshwater crabs are monophyletic\(^{11,24,118,140}\), whereas Neotropical Trichodactylidae have a separate phylogenetic origin\(^{118}\). Based on the morphology of its chelae, *Dinocarcinus velauciensis* n. gen. n. sp. cannot be referred to any of the extant primary or secondary freshwater families mentioned above suggesting that it may represent yet another independent "attempt" to colonize freshwater environment besides the two primary freshwater crab clades recognized today, i.e., Potamoidae and Trichodactyloidea\(^{24,120}\). Interestingly, the oldest fossil representatives of both clades come from the middle Eocene\(^{121,137}\), although the oldest putative potamid\(^{132}\) needs further investigation (see above). The geographic distribution of modern primary freshwater crabs speaks for independent invasions of the limnic habitat rather than for a Gondwanan vicariance\(^{11,149}\), contrasting with the diversification of crayfishes: the fossil record and modern distribution of the latter clade can be explained by the breakup of Pangaea and disassembly of Gondwana and Laurasia\(^{140}\). The present occurrence of *Dinocarcinus* further supports independent colonization of freshwater habitats by brachyurans. Based on molecular clock esti-mates, Daniels *et al.*\(^{148}\) suggested that the radiation of Afromontane freshwater crab taxa occurred during the Early Cretaceous, whereas the age of the African Potamonautidae clade was given with 75–73 Ma (Campanian). From the fossil record of the modern freshwater families alone, such timing cannot be apprehended; however, the discovery of fossil crabs from Velaux-La Bastide Neuve illustrates that brachyuran crabs attempted to colonize freshwater habitats in the Old World at least from the Campanian onwards.

One of the key processes driving freshwater crab diversification is likely allopatric speciation resulting from geographic isolation, often coupled with habitat heterogeneity and numerous ecological niches and microhabitats resulting from the complicated topography and hydrology of freshwater environments\(^1\). During the Campanian, *Dinocarcinus velauciensis* inhabited Europe, which was at its time an archipelago rather than a proper landmass\(^{57,64,73,136,150}\). Based on the material from Velaux and Rognac described herein, we suggest that the freshwater habitats of islands in the Tethyan epicontinental sea were colonized by marine portunoids during the Late Cretaceous. Nowadays, most secondary freshwater brachyurans have a marine larval development and would reach inland habitats more likely as adults. This might also have been the case for *Dinocarcinus velauciensis*.

### Conclusions

*Dinocarcinus velauciensis* n. gen. n. sp. from the late Campanian of Southern France, belongs to Portunoidae sensu lato, a group of “true crab” that are nowadays intimately linked to marine systems. The sedimentological context, faunal assemblage and taphonomy of these fossils, as well as the Y/Ho ratio of their carbonates indicate an ancient freshwater or terrestrial ecology. This makes them the oldest freshwater/terrestrial brachyurans ever reported, extending the existence of freshwater crabs by 40 Ma. In this Campanian ecosystem, “true” crabs were associated to terrestrial vertebrates, including non-avian dinosaurs. Although they were likely well adapted to this environment, it cannot be decided whether *Dinocarcinus* was able to complete its life cycle in the freshwater habitat or had direct development. Its occurrence in the Late Cretaceous of Velaux-La Bastide Neuve, is an evidence for the independent colonizations of freshwater environments by multiple Brachyura clades over time, beside that of modern primary freshwater crabs (Potamoidae, Trichodactyloidea). It also supports the molecular clock estimation of an Early Cretaceous start for the radiation of Afromontane freshwater crab taxa (just appearing in the Late Cretaceous), with the evidence of brachyuran crabs colonizing freshwater habitats as early as the Campanian.

### Material and Methods

The elementary composition of a brachyuran claw and of its surrounding matrix were investigated for their Y/Ho ratios. One gram of each was sampled on MMS.VBN.09.69e (claw/sediment). For the claw material, the basis of the propodus embedded in the matrix was mechanically sampled to preserve the connection between the claw and the turtle plate. Samples were microground and analysed for their composition in minor elements (in µg/g) normalized to PAAS, using ICMPS at the Service d’Analyses des Roches et des Minéraux of the CRPG, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France.

### Data availability

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and supplementary information.
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