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ABSTRACT

In this paper, the Legendre spectral-collocation method was applied to obtain approximate solutions for some types of fractional optimal control problems (FOCPs). The fractional derivative was described in the Caputo sense. Two different approaches were presented, in the first approach, necessary optimality conditions in terms of the associated Hamiltonian were approximated. In the second approach, the state equation was discretized first using the trapezoidal rule for the numerical integration followed by the Rayleigh–Ritz method to evaluate both the state and control variables. Illustrative examples were included to demonstrate the validity and applicability of the proposed techniques.
fractional, can be more adequately modeled by fractional order models than integer order models [5].

In the recent years, the dynamic behaviors of fractional-order differential systems have received increasing attention. FOCP refers to the minimization of an objective functional subject to dynamic constraints, on state and control variables, which have fractional order models. Some numerical methods for solving some types of FOCPs were recorded [6–10] and the references cited therein.

This paper is a continuation of the authors work in this area of research [9,10]. The main aim of this work was to use the advantage of the Legendre spectral-collocation method to study FOCPs. Two efficient numerical methods for solving some types of FOCPs are presented where fractional derivatives are introduced in the Caputo sense. These numerical methods depend upon the spectral method where the Legendre polynomials are used to approximate the unknown functions. Legendre polynomials are well known family of orthogonal polynomials on the interval [−1, 1] that have many applications [11]. They are widely used because of their good properties in the approximation of functions.

The structure of this paper was arranged in the following way: In Section ‘Preliminaries and notations’, preliminaries, notations and properties of the shifted Legendre polynomials were introduced. In Section ‘Necessary optimality conditions’, necessary optimality conditions of the FOCP model were given. In Section ‘Numerical approximation’, the basic formulation of the proposed approximate formulas of the fractional derivatives was obtained. In Section ‘Error estimates’, error estimates for the approximated fractional derivatives were given. In Section ‘Numerical results’, illustrative examples were included to demonstrate the validity and applicability of the proposed technique. Finally, in Section ‘Conclusions’, this paper ends with a brief conclusion and some remarks.

Preliminaries and notations

Fractional derivatives and integrals

Definition 1. Let \( x: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a function, \( x > 0 \) a real number, and \( n = [x] \) denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to \( x \). The left (left RLFI) and right (right RLFI) Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals are defined, respectively, by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}^{\alpha} x(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_{t}^{b} (t - \tau)^{n-\alpha-1} x(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{(left CFD)},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}^{\alpha} x(t) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} (\tau - t)^{n-\alpha-1} x(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{(right CFD)}. 
\end{align*}
\]

The left (left CFD) and right (right CFD) Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives are defined, respectively, by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}^{\alpha} x(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_{t}^{b} (t - \tau)^{n-\alpha-1} x(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{(left CFD)},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}^{\alpha} x(t) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} (\tau - t)^{n-\alpha-1} x(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{(right CFD)}. 
\end{align*}
\]

In the following some basic properties are presented:

1. The relation between right RLFD and right CFD [12];

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}^{\alpha} x(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_{t}^{b} (t - \tau)^{n-\alpha-1} x(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{(left CFD)},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}^{\alpha} x(t) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} (\tau - t)^{n-\alpha-1} x(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{(right CFD)}.
\end{align*}
\]

The shifted Legendre polynomials

The well known Legendre polynomials are defined on the interval [−1, 1] and can be determined with the aid of the following recurrence formula [15]:

\[
L_{n+1}(z) = \frac{2n + 1}{n + 1} z L_{n}(z) - \frac{n}{n + 1} L_{n-1}(z), \quad L_{0}(z) = 1, L_{1}(z) = z, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

The analytic form of the Legendre polynomials \( L_{n}(z) \) of degree \( n \) is given by

\[
L_{n}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{[n/2]} (-1)^{m} \frac{(2n - 2m)!}{2^{m} m!(n - m)!(n - 2m)!} z^{n-2m},
\]

where \( [n] \) denotes the biggest integer less than or equal to \( n \). Moreover, we have [16]:

\[
|L_{n}(x)| \leq \frac{n(n + 1)}{2}, \quad \forall x \in [-1, 1], n \geq 0.
\]

and

\[
(2n + 1)L_{n}(x) = L'_{n+1}(x) - L'_{n-1}(x), n \geq 1.
\]

In order to use these polynomials on the interval [0, L] we use the so-called shifted Legendre polynomials by introducing the change of variable \( z = \frac{2x}{L} - 1 \). The shifted Legendre polynomials are defined as follows:

\[
P_{n}(t) = L_{n}\left(\frac{2t}{L} - 1\right) \quad \text{where} \quad P_{0}(t) = 1, \quad P_{1}(t) = \frac{2t}{L} - 1.
\]

The analytic form of the shifted Legendre polynomials \( P_{n}(i) \) of degree \( n \) is given by:

\[
P_{n}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{m+n} \frac{(n + m)!}{L^{m}(n - m)!(m)!} z^{n-2m}.
\]
Note that from Eq. (9), we can see that $P_n(0) = (-1)^n$, $P_n(L) = 1$.

The function $y(t)$ which belongs to the space of square integrable in $[0, L]$, may be expressed in terms of shifted Legendre polynomials as

$$y(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n P_n(t),$$

where the coefficients $c_n$ are given by:

$$c_n = \frac{2m + 1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} y(t)p_n(t) \, dt, \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots$$

(10)

Necessary optimality conditions

Let $x \in (0, 1)$ and let $L, f : [a, +\infty] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two differentiable functions.

Consider the following FOCP [8]:

$$\text{minimize } J(x, u, T) = \int_{0}^{T} L(t, x(t), u(t)) \, dt,$$

(11)

subject to the dynamic system:

$$M_1 \dot{x}(t) + M_2 \dot{x}_f x(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)),$$

(12)

where the boundary conditions are as follows:

$$x(a) = x_a,$$

(13)

where $M_1$, $M_2 \neq 0$, $T$, $x_a$ are fixed real numbers.

Theorem 1. [8] If $(x, u, T)$ is a minimizer of (11)–(13), then there exists an adjoint state $\lambda$ for which the triple $(x, u, \lambda)$ satisfies the optimality conditions

$$M_1 \dot{\lambda}(t) + M_2 \dot{\lambda}_f x(t) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(t, x(t), u(t), \lambda(t)),$$

(14)

$$M_1 \dot{\lambda}(t) - M_2 \dot{\lambda}_f x(t) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(t, x(t), u(t), \lambda(t)),$$

(15)

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(t, x(t), u(t), \lambda(t)) = 0,$$

(16)

for all $t \in [a, T]$.

and the transversality condition:

$$[M_1 \lambda(t) + M_2 \lambda_f](1) = 0,$$

(17)

where the Hamiltonian $H$ is defined by

$$H(t, x, u, \lambda) = L(t, x, u) + \lambda f(t, x, u).$$

If $x(T)$ is fixed, there is no transversality condition.

Remark 1. Under some additional assumptions on the objective functional $L$ and the right-hand side $f$, e.g., convexity of $L$ and linearity of $f$ in $x$ and $u$, the optimality conditions (14)–(16) are also sufficient.

Numerical approximation

In this section, numerical approximations for the left CFD and the right RLFD using Legendre polynomials are presented.

Let $f(t)$ be a function defined on the interval $[0, L]$, and $N$ be a positive integer. Denote by

$$f_N(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n P_n(t),$$

(18)

where $f_N(t)$ is an approximation of $f(t)$. If $f_N(t)$ is the interpolation of $f(t)$ on the Legendre-Gauss-Lobatto points $\{t_n\}_{m=0}^{N}$, then $a_n$ can be determined by

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\gamma_m} \sum_{k=0}^{N} f(t_k) P_m(t_k) \omega_k,$$

(19)

where $\gamma_m = \frac{m+1}{n+1}$ for $0 \leq m \leq N - 1$, $\gamma_N = \frac{N}{N}$, and $\{\omega_k\}_{k=0}^{N}$ are the corresponding quadrature weights [17,18].

In the following, approximation of the fractional derivative $\mathcal{D}_f^s f(t)$ is given.

Theorem 2. [9] let $f(t)$ be approximated by shifted Legendre polynomials as (18) and (19) and also $x > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_f^s f_N(t) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i d_i x^{s-i},$$

(20)

where $d_i$ is given by:

$$d_i = \frac{(-1)^{i+k}(i+k)!}{L^k(i-k)!k!(k+1-z)}.$$

(21)

Approximation of right RLFD

Let $f(s)$ be a sufficiently smooth function in $[0, b]$, $0 < s < b$ and $\psi(s, f)$ be defined as follows:

$$\psi(s, f) = \int_{s}^{b} (t-s)^{-s} f(t) \, dt,$$

(22)

from (2) and (3), we have:

$$\mathcal{D}_f^s f(s) = \frac{f(b)}{L(1-z)} (b-s)^{-s} - \frac{\psi(s, f)}{L(1-z)}.$$ (23)

let $f(x)$ be approximated by shifted Legendre polynomials as (18) and (19).

Then we claim:

$$\psi(s, f) \approx \psi(s, f_N) = \int_{s}^{b} f_N(t) (t-s)^{-s} \, dt.$$ (24)

Lemma 3. Let $f_N(t)$ be a polynomial of degree $N$ given by (18). Then there exists a polynomial $F_{N-1}(t)$ of degree $N - 1$ such that

$$\int_{s}^{b} [f_N(t) - f'_N(s)] (t-s)^{-s} \, dt = [F_{N-1}(x) - F_{N-1}(s)] (x - s)^{1-s}.$$ (25)

Proof. Let $f_N(t) - f'_N(s)$ be expanded in Taylor series at $t = s$ as follows:

$$f_N(t) - f'_N(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} A_k(s)(t-s)^k,$$

where $A_k(s) = \frac{f^{(k+1)}(s)}{k!}$. 

On the fractional optimal control problems 395
Then,
\[
\int_{s}^{x} [f'_x(t) - f'_s(s)] (t-s)^{-1} \, dt = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} A_k(s) \int_{s}^{x} (t-s)^{-2} \, dt.
\]

Then,
\[
\int_{s}^{x} [f'_x(t) - f'_s(s)] (t-s)^{-1} \, dt = \left[ (t-s)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} A_k(s)(t-s)^{k} \right]_{s}^{x}.
\]

We have (24) if we choose
\[
F_{N-1}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} A_k(s)(x-s)^{k} \,
\]
with an arbitrary constant \(A_0(s)\). □

From (24) we have:
\[
\psi(x;f_s) = \int_{s}^{x} f'_s(t)(t-s)^{-1} \, dt = \left[ \frac{f'_s(s)}{1-x} + F_{N-1}(b) - F_{N-1}(s) \right] (b-s)^1, \tag{25}
\]

and \(D'_s f(s)\) can be approximated as follows,
\[
D'_s f(s) \approx \frac{f(b)}{I(1-x)} (b-s)^{-1} - \frac{\psi(x;f_s)}{I(1-x)}. \tag{26}
\]

Now, we express \(F_{N-1}(t)\) in (25) by a sum of the Legendre polynomials and show the recurrence relation satisfied by the Legendre coefficients. Differentiating both sides of (24) with respect to \(x\) yields
\[
\{f'_s(x) - f'_s(s)\}(x-s)^{-1} = F_{N-1}(x)(x-s)^{1} + \{F_{N-1}(x) - F_{N-1}(s)\}(1-x)(x-s)^{-1}.
\]

Then,
\[
f'_s(x) - f'_s(s) = F_{N-1}(x)(x-s) + \{F_{N-1}(x) - F_{N-1}(s)\}(1-x). \tag{27}
\]

To evaluate \(F_{N-1}(s)\) in (25) we expand \(F_{N-1}(x)\) in terms of the shifted Legendre polynomials
\[
F_{N-1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{N-5}{2}} b_k P_k(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq b, \tag{28}
\]

Integrating both sides of (28) gives
\[
F_{N-1}(x) - F_{N-1}(s) = \frac{b}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \left( \frac{b}{2k-1} - \frac{b}{2k+1} \right) \left[ P_k(x) - P_k(s) \right], \tag{29}
\]

where \(b_{N-1} = b_N = 0\). On the other hand, we have
\[
(x-s)F_{N-1}(x) = \frac{b}{2} f'_s(x) \left\{ \left( \frac{2x}{b} - 1 \right) - \left( \frac{2s}{b} - 1 \right) \right\}.
\]

Then, by using the relation \(\left( \frac{2s}{b} - 1 \right) P_k(x) = \frac{(k+1)b_{k+1}(x+s) P_k(x)}{2k+1}\) and Eq. (28), we have:
\[
(x-s)F_{N-1}(x) = \frac{b}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \left( \frac{kb_{k-1}}{2k-1} + \frac{(k+1)b_{k+1}}{2k+1} - \left( \frac{2s}{b} - 1 \right) b_k \right) P_k(x), \tag{30}
\]

where \(b_{-1} = b_1\). Let
\[
f'_s(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} c_k P_k(x). \tag{31}
\]

By inserting \(F_{N-1}(x) - F_{N-1}(s)\) and \((x-s)F'_{N-1}(x)\) given by (29) and (30), respectively, into (27), and from (31), we have:
\[
k - \frac{x + 1}{2k - 1} b_{k-1} - \left( \frac{2s}{b} - 1 \right) b_k - k \frac{x + 1}{2k + 3} b_{k+1} = \frac{2}{b} c_k, 1 \leq k. \tag{32}
\]

The Legendre coefficients \(c_k\) of \(F_s(x)\) given by (31) can be evaluated by integrating (31) and comparing it with (18) and (19)
\[
c_k = (2k - 1) \left( \frac{c_{k+1} + \frac{2}{b} a_k}{2k + 3} \right), k = N, N - 1, \ldots, 1, \tag{33}
\]

with starting values \(c_N = c_{N+1} = 0\), where \(a_k\) are the Legendre coefficients of \(f_s(x)\).

Error estimates

In the following, we give an upper bound for the coefficients \(a_m\) of Legendre expansion of a function \(f\) on \([0,1]\).

**Lemma 4.** If \(f, f', \ldots, f^{(k)}\) are absolutely continuous on \([0,1]\) and if \(|f^{(k+1)}(t)| \leq W_k < \infty, \forall t \in [0,1]\) for some \(k \geq 1\), then for every \(m \geq k\),
\[
|a_m| \leq \frac{\pi W_k}{2(2m-1)(2m-3) \ldots (2m-2k+1)}. \tag{34}
\]

**Proof.** We have:
\[
a_m = (2m+1) \int_{0}^{1} f(x) P_m(x) \, dx.
\]

Using the substitution \(x = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \theta)\), we have:
\[
a_m = \frac{(2m+1)}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} f \left( \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \theta) \right) \sin \theta \, d\theta.
\]

Integrating by parts, using Eq. (8),
\[
a_m = \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{\pi} f \left( \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \theta) \right) \left( L_{m-1}(\cos \theta) - L_{m+1}(\cos \theta) \right) \times \sin \theta \, d\theta.
\]

Again, integrating by parts,
\[
a_m = \frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{\pi} f'' \left( \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \theta) \right) \left( L_{m-2}(\cos \theta) - L_{m+2}(\cos \theta) \right) \sin \theta \, d\theta.
\]

For \(k = 1\), to keep the formula simple, we do not keep track of these different denominators but weaken the inequality slightly by replacing them with \(2m-1\),
\[
|a_m| \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left| f'' \left( \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \theta) \right) \right| \left[ L_{m-2}(\cos \theta) - L_{m+2}(\cos \theta) \right] \sin \theta \, d\theta \leq \frac{\pi W_k}{2(2m-1)}.
\]

since \(|L_m| \leq 1, \forall m\) and \(|\sin \theta| \leq 1\).

Further integrations by parts. The result is Eq. (34). □
Lemma 5. Suppose that \( f \) satisfies hypotheses of Lemma 4. Let \( f_N \) be the truncated Legendre expansion of \( f \). Then for \( k > 3 \), \( \forall x \in [0,1] \) and \( N \geq k \),

\[
|f'(x) - f_N'(x)| \leq \frac{\pi W_k}{2^{k+1}(N^2 - 3N + 2)(k - 3)(N - 3)(N - 4) \ldots (N - k + 1)^2}.
\]  

(35)

Proof. We have:

\[
|f'(x) - f_N'(x)| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j P_j(x) - \sum_{j=1}^N a_j P_j(x) \right| \leq \sum_{j=N+1}^\infty |a_j| |P_j(x)| \leq \sum_{j=N+1}^\infty \frac{j(j+1)}{2},
\]

since \( |P_j(x)| \leq \frac{j(j+1)}{2} \) Eq. (7). Then, from Lemma 4,

\[
|f'(x) - f_N'(x)| \leq \frac{\pi W_k}{2^{k+1}(N^2 - 3N + 2)(k - 3)(N - 3)(N - 4) \ldots (N - k + 1)}.
\]

(36)

It is well known that the truncated Chebyshev expansion is very close to the best polynomial approximation [21]. Therefore, from [22] (we reformulate the Chebyshev error bound on \([0,1]\)),

\[
|f(x) - f_N(x)| \leq \frac{\pi W_k}{2^{k+1}(N^2 - 3N + 2)(k - 3)(N - 3)(N - 4) \ldots (N - k + 1)}.
\]

Hence,

\[
|f'(x) - f_N'(x)| \leq \frac{\pi W_k}{2^{k+1}(N^2 - 3N + 2)(k - 3)(N - 3)(N - 4) \ldots (N - k + 1)}.
\]

(37)

Numerical results

In this section, we develop two algorithms (Algorithms 1 and 2) for the numerical solution of FOCPs and apply them to two illustrative examples. For the first Algorithm, we follow the approach “optimize first, then discretize” and derive the necessary optimality conditions in terms of the associated Hamiltonian. The necessary optimality conditions give rise to fractional boundary value problems. We solve the fractional boundary value problems by the spectral method. The second Algorithm relies on the strategy “discretize first, then optimize”. The Rayleigh–Ritz method provides the optimality conditions in the discrete regime.

Example 1. We consider the following FOCP from [8,10]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\min J(x,u) &= \int_0^1 (tu(t) - (x + 2)x(t))^2 \, dt, \\
\text{subject to the dynamical system} \quad &\dot{x}(t) + \frac{2}{(3 + 2)} \Delta^\alpha \dot{x}(t) = u(t) + \bar{r}, \\
\text{and the boundary conditions} \quad &x(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \frac{2}{(3 + 2)}. 
\end{align*}
\]

(38)

The exact solution is given by

\[
(\ddot{x}(t), \dot{u}(t)) = \left( \frac{2t^{\alpha-2}}{(3 + 2)^2}, \frac{2t^{\alpha-1}}{(3 + 2)} \right).
\]  

(39)
Algorithm 1. The first algorithm for the solution of (36)-(38) follows the “optimize first, then discretize” approach. It is based on the necessary optimality conditions from Theorem 1 and implements the following steps:

Step 1: Compute the Hamiltonian
\[ H = (tu(t) - (x + 2)x(t))^2 + \hat{\lambda}(u(t) + \hat{r}). \] (40)

Step 2: Derive the necessary optimality conditions from Theorem 1:
\[ \hat{\lambda}(t) + \partial D^0 \hat{\lambda}(t) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = 2(x + 2)(tu(t) - (x + 2)x(t)), \] (41)
\[ \dot{x}(t) + \partial D^0 \partial t x(t) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{x}} = u(t) + \hat{r}, \] (42)
\[ 0 = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = 2(tu(t) - (x + 2)x(t)) + \hat{\lambda}. \] (43)

Use (43) in (41) and (42) to obtain
\[ -\hat{\lambda}(t) + \partial D^0 \hat{\lambda}(t) = \frac{(x + 2)}{t} \hat{\lambda}(t), \] (44)
\[ \dot{x}(t) + \partial D^0 \partial t x(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{(x + 2)}{t} \hat{\lambda}(t) + \dot{x}(t) + \hat{r}. \] (45)

Step 3: By using Legendre expansion, get an approximate solution of the coupled system (44) and (45) under the boundary conditions (38):

Step 3a: In order to solve (44) by the Legendre expansion method, use (18) and (19) to approximate \( \hat{\lambda} \). A collocation scheme is defined by substituting (18), (19), (20) and (26) into (44) and evaluating the results at the shifted Legendre–Gauss–Lobatto nodes \( \{t_i\}_{1}^{N} \). This gives:
\[ -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_j \alpha_k \lambda(t) + \frac{\hat{\lambda}(1)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j} \left(1 - t_i\right)^{-1/2} \psi(t_i; \lambda) \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j} \]
\[ = \frac{x + 2}{t_i} \hat{\lambda}(t), \] (46)

\( s = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1 \), where \( \alpha_j \) is defined in (21). The system (46) represents \( N - 1 \) algebraic equations which can be solved for the unknown coefficients \( \hat{\lambda}(t_1), \hat{\lambda}(t_2), \ldots, \hat{\lambda}(t_{N-1}) \). Consequently, it remains to compute the two unknowns \( \hat{\lambda}(t_0), \hat{\lambda}(t_N) \). This can be done by using any two points \( t_0, t_N \in [0, 1] \) which differ from the Legendre–Gauss–Lobatto nodes and satisfy (44). We end up with two equations in two unknowns:
\[-\dot{\lambda}(t_0) + D_t^\alpha \dot{\lambda}(t_0) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \dot{\lambda}(t_0),\]
\[-\dot{\lambda}(t_b) + D_t^\alpha \dot{\lambda}(t_b) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \dot{\lambda}(t_b).\]

**Step 3:** In order to solve (45) by the Legendre expansion method, we use (18) and (19) to approximate the state \(x\).

A collocation scheme is defined by substituting (18)–(20) and then computed \(\dot{\lambda}\) into (45) and evaluating the results at the shifted Legendre–Gauss–Lobatto nodes \{(t_k)_{k=1}^{N-1}\}. This results in \(N-1\) system of algebraic equations which can be solved for the unknown coefficients \(x(t_0), x(t_1), \ldots, x(t_{N-1})\).

By using the boundary conditions, we have \(x(t_0) = 0\) and \(x(t_N) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\). Figs. 1a, 1b, 1c and 1d display the exact and approximate state \(x\) and the exact and approximate control \(u\) for \(\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\) and \(N = 2, 3\). Table 1 contains the maximum errors in the state \(x\) and in the control \(u\) for \(N = 2, N = 3\) and \(N = 5\).

**Algorithm 2.** The second algorithm follows the “discretize first, then optimize” approach and proceeds according to the following steps:

**Step 1:** Substitute (37) into (36) to obtain

\[
\min J = \int_0^1 \left( r \left[ \dot{x}(t) + \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} D_t^\alpha x(t) - r^2 \right] - (\alpha + 2) x(t) \right) dt. \tag{47}
\]

**Step 2:** Approximate \(x\) using the Legendre expansion (18) and (19) and approximate the Caputo fractional derivative \(D_t^\alpha x\) and \(\dot{x}\) using (20) on the Legendre–Gauss–Lobatto nodes. Then, (47) takes the form

\[
\min J = \int_0^1 \left( t \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{i} a_i d_k^\alpha t^{k-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=|i|-|x|} a_i d_k^\alpha t^{k-x} - r^2 \right] - (\alpha + 2) \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n P_n(t) \right)^2 dt. \tag{48}
\]

where \(d_k^\alpha\) is defined as in (21).

**Step 3:** Define

\[
\Omega(t) = \left( t \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{i} a_i d_k^\alpha t^{k-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=|i|-|x|} a_i d_k^\alpha t^{k-x} - r^2 \right] - (\alpha + 2) \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n P_n(t) \right)^2 \tag{49}
\]

Using the composite trapezoidal integration technique, \(J = \frac{1}{2N} \left( \Omega(t_0) + \Omega(t_N) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \Omega(t_k) \right)\).

**Step 4:** The extremal values of functionals of the general form (6.1), according to Rayleigh–Ritz method give

\[
\frac{\partial J}{\partial x(t_1)} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial J}{\partial x(t_2)} = 0, \quad \ldots, \quad \frac{\partial J}{\partial x(t_{N-1})} = 0,
\]

so, after using the boundary conditions, we obtain a system of algebraic equations.

**Step 5:** Solve the algebraic system by using the Newton–Raphson method to obtain \(x(t_1), x(t_2), \ldots, x(t_{N-1})\) and using the boundary conditions to get \(x(t_0), x(t_N)\), then the function \(x(t)\) which extremizes FOCPs has the following form:

\[
x(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(K+1)} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} x(t) P_m(t) dt \right) P_m(t), \tag{49}
\]

\[
u(t) = \dot{x}(t) + \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} D_t^\alpha x(t) - r^2. \tag{50}
\]

Figs. 1e, 1f, 1g and 1h display the exact and approximate state \(x\) and the exact and approximate control \(u\) for \(\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\) and \(N = 2, 3\). Table 2 contains the maximum errors in the state \(x\) and in the control \(u\) for \(N = 2, N = 3\) and \(N = 5\). A comparison of Tables 1 and 2 reveals that both algorithms yield comparable numerical results which are more accurate than those obtained by the algorithm used in [8].

**Example 2.** We consider the following linear-quadratic optimal control problem [10]:

\[
\min J(x, u) = \int_0^1 (u(t) - x(t))^2 dt, \tag{51}
\]

subject to the dynamical system

\[
\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} D_t^\alpha x(t) = u(t) - x(t) + \frac{6e^{t^2}}{T(x + 3)} + r^2, \tag{52}
\]

and the boundary conditions

\[
x(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \frac{6}{T(x + 4)}. \tag{53}
\]
The exact solution is given by
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} x(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t D_x x(t) &= -x(t) + u(t), \\
\text{and the boundary conditions} \\
&x(0) = 1, \quad x(1) = \cosh \left( \sqrt{2} \right) + \beta \sinh \left( \sqrt{2} \right), \\
&\text{where} \\
&\beta = -\frac{\cosh \left( \sqrt{2} \right) + \sqrt{2} \sinh \left( \sqrt{2} \right)}{\sqrt{2} \cosh \left( \sqrt{2} \right) + \sinh \left( \sqrt{2} \right)} \approx -0.98
\end{align*}
\]  

For this problem we have the exact solution in the case of \( a = 1 \) as follows \([24]\):
\[
\begin{align*}
x(t) &= \cosh \left( \sqrt{2} t \right) + \beta \sinh \left( \sqrt{2} t \right), \\
u(t) &= (1 + \sqrt{2} \beta) \cosh \left( \sqrt{2} t \right) + (\sqrt{2} + \beta) \sinh \left( \sqrt{2} t \right).
\end{align*}
\]

The exact solution is given by
\[
(\bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)) = \left( \frac{6t^{x+3}}{I(x+4) \cdot T(x+4)} \right).
\]  

We note that for Example 2 the optimality conditions stated in Theorem 1 are also sufficient (cf. Remark 1).

**Table 3** Maximum errors in the state \( x \) and in the control \( u \) for different values of \( N \).

| \( N \) | Alg. 1 | Alg. 2 |
|---|---|---|
| \( N = 3 \) | Max. error in \( x \) \( 8.8025E - 3 \) | \( 5.1966E - 3 \) |
| \( N = 4 \) | Max. error in \( u \) \( 8.8025E - 3 \) | \( 4.3260E - 2 \) |
| \( N = 5 \) | Max. error in \( x \) \( 1.0903E - 4 \) | \( 4.5321E - 5 \) |
| \( N = 6 \) | Max. error in \( u \) \( 1.0903E - 4 \) | \( 6.3134E - 4 \) |

**Example 3.** Consider the following time invariant problem:
\[
\min J(x, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (x^2(t) + u^2(t)) dt,
\]  
subject to the dynamical system
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} x(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t D_x x(t) &= -x(t) + u(t), \\
\text{and the boundary conditions} \\
&x(0) = 1, \quad x(1) = \cosh \left( \sqrt{2} \right) + \beta \sinh \left( \sqrt{2} \right), \\
&\text{where} \\
&\beta = -\frac{\cosh \left( \sqrt{2} \right) + \sqrt{2} \sinh \left( \sqrt{2} \right)}{\sqrt{2} \cosh \left( \sqrt{2} \right) + \sinh \left( \sqrt{2} \right)} \approx -0.98
\end{align*}
\]  

For this problem we have the exact solution in the case of \( a = 1 \) as follows \([24]\):
\[
\begin{align*}
x(t) &= \cosh \left( \sqrt{2} t \right) + \beta \sinh \left( \sqrt{2} t \right), \\
u(t) &= (1 + \sqrt{2} \beta) \cosh \left( \sqrt{2} t \right) + (\sqrt{2} + \beta) \sinh \left( \sqrt{2} t \right).
\end{align*}
\]
Figs. 2a and 2b display Algorithm 1 approximate solutions of \( x(t) \) and \( u(t) \) for \( N = 3 \) and \( z = 0.8, 0.9, 0.99, \) and exact solution for \( z = 1. \)

Figs. 2c and 2d display Algorithm 1 approximate solutions of \( x(t) \) and \( u(t) \) for \( N = 3, 5 \) and \( z = 0.9, \) and exact solution for \( z = 1. \)

Figs. 2e and 2f display Algorithm 2 approximate solutions of \( x(t) \) and \( u(t) \) for \( N = 3 \) and \( a = 0.8, 0.9, 0.99, \) and exact solution for \( a = 1. \)

Figs. 2g and 2h display Algorithm 2 approximate solutions of \( x(t) \) and \( u(t) \) for \( N = 3, 5 \) and \( a = 0.9 \) and exact solution for \( a = 1. \)

Figs. 2b, 2d, 2f and 2h illustrate that the approximate control converges better to the exact solution in Algorithm 1 than Algorithm 2.

Table 4 contains a comparison between approximate \( J \) in Algorithms 1 and 2 for “\( N = 3 \) with different values of \( z \)” and “\( N = 5 \) with \( z = 0.9 \)” where the exact is “\( J = 0.192909 \) for \( z = 1 \)”.

Example 4. Consider the following time variant problem:

\[
\min J(x, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (x^2(t) + u^2(t))dt,
\]  

subject to the dynamical system,

\[
\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t D_{\alpha}^x x(t) = tx(t) + u(t),
\]

and the initial condition,

\[ x(0) = 1. \]

Algorithm 1 has a modification to step 3a and step 3b where we have \( x(0) = 1 \) and \( \lambda(1) = 0 \) and we use any two point
which differ from LGL nodes and satisfy the necessary equation like (44) or (44) to determine $x(1)$ and $\dot{z}(0)$. Also in Algorithm 2, there is a modification to step 5 where we solve the non-linear algebraic system of equations to obtain $x(t_1), x(t_2), \ldots, x(t_N)$ and use the initial condition to get $x(t_0)$. Figs. 3a and 3b display Algorithm 1 approximate solutions of $x(t)$ and $u(t)$ for $N = 3$ and $\alpha = 0.8, 0.9, 0.99$.

Figs. 3c and 3d display Algorithm 2 approximate solutions of $x(t)$ and $u(t)$ for $N = 3$ and $\alpha = 0.8, 0.9, 0.99$.

| $N=3$ | $J$, Alg. 1 | $J$, Alg. 2 |
|-------|-------------|-------------|
| $\alpha=0.8$ | 0.193035 | 0.185312 |
| $\alpha=0.9$ | 0.193929 | 0.196629 |
| $\alpha=0.99$ | 0.195687 | 0.212169 |

| $N=5$ | $J$, Alg. 1 | $J$, Alg. 2 |
|-------|-------------|-------------|
| $\alpha=0.8$ | 0.187676 | 0.19636 |

**Table 5** Approximate $J$ for Algorithms 1 and 2.

| $N=3$ | $J$, Alg. 1 | $J$, Alg. 2 |
|-------|-------------|-------------|
| $\alpha=0.8$ | 0.488123 | 0.481819 |
| $\alpha=0.9$ | 0.487306 | 0.487719 |
| $\alpha=0.99$ | 0.484141 | 0.497106 |

**Conclusions**

In this work, Legendre spectral-collocation method is used to study some types of fractional optimal control problems. Two
efficient algorithms for the numerical solution of a wide class of fractional optimal control problems are presented. In the first algorithm we derive the necessary optimality conditions in terms of the associated Hamiltonian. The necessary optimality conditions give rise to fractional boundary value problems that have left Caputo and right Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives. We drive an approximation of right Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives and solve these fractional boundary value problems using the spectral method. In the second algorithm, the state equation is adjointed to the objective functional which discretized and then the composite trapezoidal integration technique and the Rayleigh–Ritz method are used to evaluate both the state and control variables. In both algorithms, the solution is approximated by N-term truncated Legendre series. Numerical results show that the two algorithms converge as the number of terms increase. For the first example, it is noted that Algorithm 2 is more accurate than Algorithm 1 but in the second one Algorithm 1 is better in finding the control variable. Also Examples 3 and 4 show that Algorithm 1 is preferable than Algorithm 2. In general, the two algorithms are efficient and give the optimum solution.
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