Regulation of Cyclooxygenase-2 Expression by Heat: A Novel Aspect of Heat Shock Factor 1 Function in Human Cells
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Abstract

The heat-shock response, a fundamental defense mechanism against proteotoxic stress, is regulated by a family of heat-shock transcription factors (HSF). In humans HSF1 is considered the central regulator of heat-induced transcriptional responses. The main targets for HSF1 are specific promoter elements (HSE) located upstream of heat-shock genes encoding cytoprotective heat-shock proteins (HSP) with chaperone function. In addition to its cytoprotective function, HSF1 was recently hypothesized to play a more complex role, regulating the expression of non-HSP genes; however, the non-canonical role of HSF1 is still poorly understood. Herein we report that heat-stress promotes the expression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), a key regulator of inflammation controlling prostaglandin and thromboxane synthesis, resulting in the production of high levels of prostaglandin-E₂ in human cells. We show that heat-induced COX-2 expression is regulated at the transcriptional level via HSF1-mediated signaling and identify, by in-vitro reporter gene activity assay and deletion-mutant constructs analysis, the COX-2 heat-responsive promoter region and a new distal cis-acting HSE located at position −2495 from the transcription start site. As shown by ChIP analysis, HSF1 is recruited to the COX-2 promoter rapidly after heat treatment; by using shRNA-mediated HSF1 suppression and HSE-deletion from the COX-2 promoter, we demonstrate that HSF1 plays a central role in the transcriptional control of COX-2 by heat. Finally, COX-2 transcription is also induced at febrile temperatures in endothelial cells, suggesting that HSF1-dependent COX-2 expression could contribute to increasing blood prostaglandin levels during fever. The results identify COX-2 as a human non-classical heat-responsive gene, unveiling a new aspect of HSF1 function.

Introduction

The heat shock response (HSR) is a finely regulated and highly conserved mechanism, which protects living cells against proteotoxic stress induced by different types of environmental and pathological conditions, initiating a regulatory cascade for recovery and adaptation [1]. This occurs by a nearly instantaneous induction of a set of genes, known as heat shock (HS) genes, leading to expression of cytoprotective heat shock proteins (HSP), which is proportional to the intensity and duration of stress [2,3]. Studies on the heat shock response have revealed insights on the stress-sensing cellular devices and on the role of heat shock proteins in repairing protein damage.

The HSR is regulated by a family of heat shock transcription factors (HSFs) that are expressed and maintained in an inactive state under non-stress conditions. Mammalian genomes encode three homologues of HSF (HSF1, HSF2 and HSF4) regulating HSP expression. Among these HSF1 is considered to be the paralog responsible for regulating the heat-induced transcriptional response [4]. HSF2 has also been reported to contribute to inducible expression of heat shock genes through interplay with HSF1 [5].

HSF1 is generally found in the cytoplasm as an inert monomer lacking transcriptional activity; both DNA-binding and transcriptional transactivation domains are repressed through intramolecular interactions and constitutive serine phosphorylation [6]. Upon exposure to heat shock and other types of stresses, which cause protein damage, HSF1 is derepressed in a stepwise process that involves oligomerization of HSF1 monomers to a trimeric state, localization to the nucleus, inducible phosphorylation and sumoylation, and binding of nuclear-localized trimers to DNA sequences known as heat shock elements (HSE). Functional HSE sequences are characterized by an array of inverted repeats of the pentameric motif nGAAn and are usually located in the proximal region of HSF1-responsive gene promoters.

Binding of HSF1 to HSE sequences is followed by a rapid shift in the transcriptional program resulting in the high rates of transcription of cytoprotective heat shock genes, which include molecular chaperones of the HSP70 and HSP90 families, HSP27 and other proteins of the network. High rates of transcription are
maintained as long as HSF1 trimers remain bound to the HSE; when either the stress signal is removed or damaged proteins are no longer generated, the HSR attenuates rapidly, with subsequent conversion of HSF1 back to the monomeric state [7]. Inducible acetylation has also been recently shown to negatively regulating DNA binding activity [8].

Although HSF1 was originally identified as the master regulator of HSP genes, recent studies have indicated an expanding role of this factor, providing evidence that, in some cases, HSF1 may also control the expression of genes with non-chaperone function, some of which participate in the regulation of inflammatory and immune responses [9,10,11]. Based on these observations, we investigated the effect of heat stress on the expression of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2), a key enzyme in the regulation of the inflammatory response, catalyzing the rate-limiting step in the synthesis of prostanoids and thromboxanes [12,13]. Herein we report that in human cells heat stress induces the expression of COX-2 at the transcriptional level, by a mechanism involving HSF1-binding to a distal cis-acting HSE regulatory sequence located in the promoter at position −2495 from the transcription start site.

Results

Heat stress induces the expression of the COX-2 gene in human cells

To investigate the effect of heat stress (HS) on COX-2 expression, primary blood monocytes from healthy donors, human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) and two human cancer cell lines, HT116 colorectal adenocarcinoma and lymphoblastoid Jurkat cells, known to express low levels of COX-2 mRNA and protein [14,15,16,17], were incubated at 43°C for 40 min and allowed to recover at 37°C for 90 min. At this time, total RNA was extracted and levels of COX-2, COX-1 and β-actin mRNA were analyzed by RT-PCR and real-time PCR. As shown in Fig. 1A and B, heat treatment resulted in an increase of COX-2 mRNA levels in all cells analyzed; however, HUVECs and HT116 cells were found to be more sensitive to COX-2 induction by heat as compared to human monocytes and Jurkat cells. Differently from COX-2, no changes in COX-1 levels were detected in the same samples (Fig. 1A). As expected HS also caused an increase in HSP70 mRNA levels in all cell types (data not shown). The elevated COX-2 mRNA levels found in heat-stressed endothelial cells, together with the recent observation that thermal stress may influence endothelin functions [18], prompted us to analyze the mechanism of COX-2 induction by heat in the endothelium.

Induction of COX-2 expression and PGE2 production by heat stress in endothelial cells

To gain further insight into the regulation of COX-2 induction in response to heat stress we initially performed kinetics studies on COX-2 mRNA and protein expression in endothelial cells. HUVECs were either kept at 37°C or subjected to HS at 43°C for 40 min. At the end of heat treatment, or at different times during the recovery period at 37°C, total RNA was analyzed for COX-2, COX-1, HSP70 and β-actin mRNA levels by RT-PCR and real-time PCR. In parallel samples whole-cell extracts were analyzed for levels of COX-2, HSP70 and β-actin proteins by Western blot. As shown in Fig. 2A and B, COX-2 mRNA levels started to accumulate at the end of heat treatment, reaching maximal levels at 1.5 hour during the recovery period, and went back to control levels after 6 hours. No change in COX-1 mRNA levels were observed at all times, while HSP70 mRNA started to accumulate at the end of heat treatment and went back to control levels after 6 hours. No change in COX-1 mRNA levels were observed at all times, while HSP70 mRNA started to accumulate at the end of heat treatment and went back to control levels after 6 hours.
after treatment. As shown in Fig. 2E, heat stress induced a time-dependent increase in PGE$_2$ production. As early as 2 hours during the recovery period the increase in PGE$_2$ production was comparable to that reached in HUVECs stimulated with IL-1β for 6 hours, despite the fact that higher levels of COX-2 were detected in IL-1β-treated cells. PGE$_2$ levels continued to rise until 4–6 hours after stress. Levels of the prostacyclin metabolite 6-keto PGF$_1$α were also found to be increased in the same samples (Rossi A., personal communication).

To determine whether heat-induced PGE$_2$ production was dependent on de-novo synthesis of heat-induced COX-2 expression or was the consequence of increased prostanoid secretion,
HUVECs were treated with the COX-2-selective inhibitor NS398 or DMSO vehicle either 1 hour before or during HS at 43°C for 40 min. After a 2 hour recovery period at 37°C, PGE₂ production was determined by ELISA. As shown in Fig. 2F, treatment with NS398 resulted in a complete block of PGE₂ production, demonstrating that heat-induced COX-2 is responsible for prostanoid synthesis during heat stress.

Both mRNA de novo transcription and increased mRNA stability have been reported to be involved in the induction of COX-2 [21,22,23]. To determine whether gene transcription was required for COX-2 induction by heat, HUVECs were pretreated with actinomycin D (5 μg/ml) for 45 min and then subjected to HS at 43°C for 40 min. At 1.5 hours during the recovery period at 37°C, total RNA was extracted and levels of COX-2 and β-actin mRNA were analyzed by real-time PCR. As shown in Fig. 2G, the increases in COX-2 mRNA level was abolished by actinomycin D treatment, indicating that COX-2 mRNA induction by heat involves de novo gene transcription.

Identification of the promoter elements responsible for COX-2 gene regulation by heat

The regulation of COX-2 gene (Ptds2) transcription is very complex, involving distinct signaling pathways and different controlling mechanisms depending on the specific stimulus and the cell type. Sequence analysis of the 5'-flanking region of the human COX-2 gene has identified several potential transcriptional co-acting regulatory elements, located in the proximal region of the COX-promoter within the first 550 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site (TSS), with the exception of a peroxisome proliferator response element (PPRE), that is located at -3721 to -3707 upstream of TSS [22]. To identify novel heat-regulated elements in the COX-2 promoter, we performed a computer-based sequence analysis of the 5'-flanking region. As shown in Fig. 3A, three regions highly conserved in human and mouse were identified between positions −3120 and −1396 upstream of the TSS.

To investigate the role of these regions in COX-2 regulation by heat, a series of 5'-deletion constructs including all three (FL-COX2-PGL3, FL), two (-2221-COX2-PGL3, Del1), one (-1787-COX2-PGL3, Del2) or none (-1396-COX2-PGL3, Del3) of the highly conserved regions were subcloned into the pGL3 reporter vector and used for functional analysis (Fig. 3B). HUVECs and HCT116 cells were transiently transfected with the different constructs; after 24 h, transfected cells were either subjected to heat stress at 43°C for 40 min or stimulated with the COX-2 inducer TPA (12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate) as positive control. Luciferase activity was determined after a 6 h recovery period at 37°C. As shown in Fig. 3C and D, heat stress induced reporter activity in both HUVEC or HCT116 cells transfected with the FL construct, while no significant transcriptional activity

Figure 3. Identification of the promoter region responsible for COX-2 gene regulation by heat. (A) Schematic representation of human-mouse conserved sequences in the upstream COX-2 gene promoter region identified by using ECR Evolutionary Conserved Regions (ECR) browser (http://ecrbrowser.dcode.org/). X-axis represents base position from transcription start site (+1), and y-axis indicates percentage identity in a window of 100 nucleotides centered on that position. Black areas represent conserved regions that meet ECR default criteria (ECR similarity ≥70%, ECR length ≥100 nucleotide). The arrows indicate the nucleotide coordinates of the full length and deletion constructs (Del1, Del2 and Del3) represented in B. (B) Schematic representation of the full-length (FL) and three deletion constructs containing different portions of the 5'-flanking sequences of the human COX-2 promoter region (Del1, Del2 and Del3). The negative numbers (-) noted in each construct indicate the distance from the transcription start site. (C,D) Full-length and deletion constructs subcloned into the pGL3-Basic Vector upstream from the luciferase gene were transfected in HUVEC (C) and HCT116 (D) cells. After 24 h cells were subjected to heat shock (43°C for 40 min) (filled bars), treated with 25 ng/ml TPA (dashed bars) or left untreated (empty bars). Whole-cell extracts were analyzed for luciferase activity after 6 h recovery period at 37°C. The data, expressed as fold induction of untreated control, represent the mean of quadruplicate samples from two independent experiments. Error bars indicate± S.D. *=P<0.05.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031304.g003
was detected in cells transfected with Del1, Del2 or Del3 constructs, suggesting that the region spanning between −3120 to −2221 may contain the element/elements responsible for heat-induced COX-2 transcriptional activity. Differently from heat stress, TPA was able to induce significant reporter activity in cells transfected with all four constructs. These results are consistent with the findings of others who identified the elements responsive to TPA in the first 550 bp upstream the TSS [22].

To identify the enhancer elements responsible for HS-induced COX-2 gene expression, the identified region spanning between −3120 to −2221 was analyzed by TFSEARCH version 1.3 (Yutaka Akiyama, TFSEARCH: Searching Transcription Factor Binding Sites, http://www.cbrc.jp/research/db/FSEARCH.html) [24]. Using a cut-off threshold score of 85%, TFSEARCH prediction analysis identified several transcription factors that may bind to this region. Among these factors, one putative HSF1-binding element located at position −2945 (HSE) and one putative GATA-binding element (GATA-RE) located at −2570 from the transcription start site were detected (Fig. 4A). Since HSEs represent the target for HSF1-mediated heat shock response regulation and GATA response elements were reported to be able to transactivate the HSP70 promoter [25], we focused our

Figure 4. Characterization of distal cis-acting HSE regulatory elements in the human COX-2 gene. (A) Nucleotide sequence of human COX-2 promoter region between −3120 and −2221, as indicated in Fig. 3A. Location of putative binding sites for HSF1 and GATA transcription factors in the COX-2 promoter identified by TFSEARCH are indicated. (B) Schematic representation of the wild type COX-2-PGL3 construct (WT) and the Δ-HSE-Δ-GATA, Δ-HSE and Δ-GATA PGL3 constructs. (C,D) WT, Δ-HSE-Δ-GATA, Δ-HSE and Δ-GATA deletion constructs (shown in B) were transfected in HUVEC (C) and HCT116 (D) cells. After 24 h cells were subjected to heat shock (43°C for 40 min) (+HS), or left untreated (-HS). Whole-cell extracts were analyzed for luciferase activity after 6 h recovery at 37°C. The data, expressed as fold induction of untreated control, represent the mean of quadruplicate samples from two independent experiments. Error bars indicate ± S.D. * = P<0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031304.g004
attention on these two elements by performing fine structure deletion analysis. A series of constructs deleted for the binding elements for HSFF (Δ-HSE), GATA1 (Δ-GATA), and for both HSFF and GATA1 (Δ-HSE-Δ-GATA) were therefore generated (Fig. 4B).

The Δ-HSE-Δ-GATA, Δ-HSE and Δ-GATA or the wild-type (WT) constructs were transiently transfected in HUVEC and HCT116 cells. At 24 h after transfection, cells were subjected to heat stress, and the promoter activity was determined as described above. As shown in Fig. 4C and D, the deletion of both HSFF and GATA response elements resulted in an almost complete block of heat-induced transcription. Deletion of the GATA element alone did not alter the ability of the COX-2 promoter to respond to heat; on the other hand, deletion of the HSE element prevented transcriptional activation, identifying the HSE sequence present in the COX-2 promoter as a critical element for heat-induced COX-2 transcription.

HSF1 binds directly to the COX-2 promoter in vitro and in vivo

The identification of a functional HSE element in the COX-2 promoter suggested that HSF1 might participate directly in the regulation of COX-2 expression.

Heat stress is known to activate HSF1 as early as few minutes. To establish the role of HSF1 in COX-2 up-regulation by heat, kinetics experiments were performed to determine HSFF DNA-binding activity to the COX-2 promoter in vitro and in vivo. HUVECs were subjected to a 40 min heat shock at 43°C and then allowed to recover at 37°C. At different times during heat treatment or the recovery period, whole-cell extracts were analyzed for HSFF DNA-binding activity by EMSA using a large DNA fragment of 100 bp (from −2579 to −2480) of the COX-2 promoter region comprising binding sites for the GATA and HSFF transcription factors (Fig. 5A). In parallel, the same samples were analyzed for HSFF DNA-binding activity by EMSA using a 30 bp oligonucleotide containing an ideal HSE from the HSP70 promoter [26].

A specific slower-migrating mobility complex, subsequently identified as HSF1 (see below), was found to appear as early as 20 min in the heat-shocked samples using the COX-2 promoter derived 100 bp sequence (Fig. 5B, upper panel). The slower-migrating mobility complex persisted up to 40 min of the recovery period, after which time it started to attenuate. This kinetics is consistent with the kinetics of HSFF phosphorylation/dephosphorylation, which is known to be strictly associated with HSFF DNA binding activity (Fig. 5B middle panel) [27]. A similar DNA-binding pattern was obtained when the ideal HSE probe was used (Fig. S1). Similarly to the DNA-binding analysis using the ideal HSE element, two faster migrating bands present in both heat-treated and control samples representing the non-specific (NS) and the constitutive HSE binding activity (CHBA) respectively [26], were detected also using the COX-2 promoter derived sequence.

To determine whether HSFF was involved in the formation of the slower-migrating mobility complex observed in Fig. 5B, a super-shift analysis was performed using specific polyclonal anti-HSFF antibodies. As shown in Fig. 5C, the complex was selectively super-shifted in the presence of anti-HSFF antibodies, indicating that HSFF is the primary component of the heat-induced DNA binding activity observed.

To investigate whether HSFF is recruited to the COX-2 gene promoter, HUVECs were subjected to a 40 min heat shock at 43°C and then allowed to recover at 37°C. At different times during heat treatment and the recovery period HSFF recruitment to the COX-2 and HSP70 promoters was analyzed by ChIP analysis. HSFF-coprecipitating DNA was analyzed by PCR (Fig. 5D) and real-time PCR (Fig. 5E) with promoter-specific primers amplifying the COX-2 and HSP70 promoters respectively, and the rate of amplification was verified using cross-linked, not immunoprecipitated chromatin. The specificity of chromatin immunoprecipitation was determined by using a control unrelated antibody. Consistently with the DNA-binding activity revealed by EMSA (Fig. 5B, upper panel), HSFF was recruited to the COX-2 promoter during heat treatment and for at least 90 min of the recovery period at 37°C. (Fig. 5D,E upper panels). A similar kinetics of HSFF recruitment was observed also for the HSP70 promoter (Fig. 5D,E lower panels).

An identical set of experiments was performed in HCT116 cells. As shown in Fig. S2, the results obtained were comparable to the ones described above for HUVECs. In addition, heat-induced COX-2 mRNA expression was greatly reduced in HCT116 cells in which HSFF expression was stably suppressed by RNA interference (Fig. S3), confirming an important role of this factor in heat-regulated COX-2 transcription.

COX-2 expression is induced at febrile temperatures in endothelial cells

Partial activation of HSFF1 has been described during exposure to febrile, sub-heat shock temperatures [29,30,31]. To investigate whether COX-2 expression may be induced at febrile temperatures in endothelial cells, HUVECs were either kept at 37°C or were incubated at 40 and 41°C for 8 hours. At different times after treatment total RNA was extracted and levels of COX-2, HSP70 and β-actin as control were analyzed by real-time PCR.

As shown in Fig. 6A, COX-2 expression was increased, reaching maximum levels at 8 hours of continuous exposure to physiological fever temperature. At this time a modest increase in COX-2 protein level and PGE2 production was also observed (Fig. S4); however, the effect of febrile temperatures on the kinetics of different COX-2 metabolites production needs to be further investigated. Interestingly, the kinetics of heat-induced COX-2 expression differed from HSP70 mRNA accumulation, that, as expected, reached a peak at 2–3 hours after exposure (Fig. 6B).

Discussion

Cyclooxygenases-1 and -2 (COX-1 and COX-2), also known as prostaglandin (PG) endoperoxide synthase, are heme-containing ER membrane-bound N-glycoproteins that catalyze the committed step in prostaglandin formation. COX-1 and −2 convert arachidonic acid, hydrolyzed from cell membrane phospholipids by a phospholipase A2 (PLA-2), to prostaglandin endoperoxide H2 (PGH2), the precursor of thromboxanes, prostacyclin and different prostaglandins [23,32]. COX-1 is constitutively expressed in almost all mammalian tissues under basal conditions, while COX-2 expression is highly restricted (except for kidneys, seminal vesicles and certain areas of the brain), but can be dramatically upregulated following the appropriate stimuli [22]. In addition, differences in regulation of the COX enzymes at the post-transcriptional and post-translational levels also contribute significantly to their distinct patterns of expression. COX-1 mRNA and COX-1 protein are very stable, whereas both COX-2 mRNA and COX-2 protein have short half-lives. The 3'-UTR of the human COX-2 gene contains 23 copies of the ‘ATTTA’ RNA instability element that participates in post-transcriptional regulation of COX-2 expression [33]. On the other hand, a unique 27 amino acid instability element located upstream of the C-terminus of COX-2 targets this isoform to the ER-associated degradation system and proteolysis by the cytosolic 26 S proteasome [19].
Figure 5. In vitro and in vivo binding of HSF1 to the COX-2 promoter. (A) Nucleotide sequence of the 100 bp (from −2579 to −2480) DNA fragment of the COX-2 promoter region including binding sites for the GATA and HSF1 transcription factors. The 100 bp fragment was amplified by PCR, ³²P-labeled and used as probe for gel-shift analysis shown in B and C. (B) HUVECs were subjected to heat shock at 43°C or left untreated (control). After 20 and 40 min at 43°C (HS) or at the indicated times during recovery at 37°C (recovery), whole-cell extracts were analyzed for HSF DNA-binding activity by EMSA in a 3% polyacrylamide gel using the probe described in (A). Position of HSF-DNA binding complex (HSF), constitutive HSE binding activity (CHBA) and non specific protein-DNA interactions (NS) are shown (upper panel). In parallel samples whole-cell extracts were analyzed for levels of HSF1 and β-actin proteins by Western blot (lower panels). Arrow indicates the position of the low-mobility phosphorylated HSF1 isoform. (C) Specificity of HSF1-DNA binding complexes. Whole-cell extracts from HUVECs subjected to heat shock at 43°C for 40 min were preincubated with different dilutions of anti-HSF1 polyclonal antibodies for 15 min before supershift assay. Position of HSF, CHBA and NS are indicated as in B. (D,E) HUVECs were subjected to heat shock at 43°C or left untreated (control). After 20 and 40 min at 43°C (HS) or at the indicated times during recovery at 37°C (recovery), recruitment of HSF1 to the COX-2 and HSP70 promoters was analyzed by ChIP assay. (D) ChIP-enriched DNAs using preimmune serum (IP NS IgG) or anti-HSF1 serum (IP anti-HSF1), as well as input DNAs (INPUT) were prepared, and DNA fragments of the COX-2 gene (−2629 to −2420) and HSP70 gene (−262 to −70) were amplified by PCR. (E) Quantification of ChIP assay shown in (D). Samples from at least three independent experiments were analyzed by real time PCR. Relative promoter occupancy is expressed as fold induction of control arbitrarily set to a value of 1. Error bars indicate ± S.D. * P<0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031304.g005
Therefore, COX-2 protein is typically present for only a few hours after its synthesis.

Depending on the cell type, COX-2 expression can be rapidly induced by a large number of stimuli, which include proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, IL-2 and TNFα, bacterial endotoxin (LPS), growth factors, oncogenes, and tumor promoters (TPA) [22]. The regulation of COX-2 gene transcription is very complex, involving distinct signaling pathways and different controlling mechanisms depending on the specific stimulus and the cell type. The promoter region of the COX-2 gene presents sequences of typical immediate early genes with potential transcriptional regulatory cis-elements mainly located in the 550 nucleotide region upstream of the transcriptional start site (TSS); these include two cyclic AMP response elements (CRE), a sterol response element (SRE), two nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) sites, an SP1 site, a CAAT enhancer binding protein C/EBP, two AP-1 sites, an E-box, and a TATA box [22]. A distal peroxisome proliferator response element (PPRE) has also been identified at position 23721 from the TSS [34]. The relative contribution of each cis-acting promoter element and its interaction with transcription factors activated by multiple signaling pathways depends on the cell type, the stimulus and the time following the stimulus [22].

We have now identified a new putative distal cis-acting heat shock element (HSE) located at position −2495 from the TSS, responsible for binding the heat-regulated factor HSF1.

We provide evidence that heat stress promotes COX-2 expression in human cells, and that this effect is mediated by HSF1. Following heat stress at 43°C for 40 min, COX-2 mRNA levels start to accumulate at the end of heat treatment, reaching maximal levels at 1.5 hours during the recovery period. Similarly to the effect described for other COX-2 inducers, heat-induced COX-2 expression is transient, and COX-2 levels return back to basal 6 hours after heat exposure. No change in COX-1 mRNA levels are observed at all times after HS. Parallel with COX-2 mRNA, COX-2 protein levels were also found to increase at the end of heat treatment, reaching maximal levels at 1.5–3 hours during the recovery period at 37°C. Differently from the heat shock protein HSP70, COX-2 protein returned to basal levels after 6 hours at physiological temperature, possibly due to proteolysis by the 26 S proteasome.

Both mRNA de novo transcription and increased mRNA stability have been reported to be involved in the induction of COX-2 [22,23]. We have shown that heat-induced COX-2 expression is regulated at the transcriptional level and have identified, by in vitro reporter gene activity assay and deletion mutant constructs analysis, the promoter region responsive to heat induction and the position of the HSE involved. As indicated above, the new putative cis-acting heat shock element identified was located in a distal region of the COX-2 promoter at position −22495 from the transcription start site. In vitro and in vivo binding of HSF1 to the HSE identified in the COX-2 promoter was demonstrated by gel shift analysis and chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis, respectively. Deletion of the HSE sequence resulted in abrogation of heat-induced COX-2 transcription, indicating that HSF1 plays a central role in the transcriptional control of this gene during heat-stress.

The presence of a distal HSE sequence located at −2495 from the TSS in the COX-2 promoter adds a new element in the complex regulation of COX-2 expression and also represents a novel finding in heat shock regulation of human genes. In fact the functional HSEs characterized so far in the promoters of classical and non-classical heat-shock human genes are usually restricted to the promoter region proximal (<1000 bp) to the
target genes of each heat shock factor. The expression of HSFs [5,40], may be important in determining the shock elements [5,40]. This, together with cell type-specific which exhibit differential specificity for different types of heat tion, in human cells three different HSF family members exist, COX-2 should be also considered. As indicated in the Introduc-
tions [39].

In the case of classical heat shock genes, however, because of the ubiquitous and conserved nature of the DNA elements [39]. In the case of classical heat shock genes, histone modification patterns, which in turn can affect HSF binding to target DNA elements [39]. In the case of classical heat shock genes, however, because of the ubiquitous and conserved nature of the HSR, functional HSE binding sites are likely to be evolutionarily constrained at the sequence level and actively maintained in the accessible state at the level of chromatin organization in all cell types [39].

The possibility of interplay of different HSFs in the regulation of COX-2 should be also considered. As indicated in the Introduction, in human cells three different HSF family members exist, which exhibit differential specificity for different types of heat shock elements [5,40]. This, together with cell type-specific expression of HSFs [5,40], may be important in determining the target genes of each heat shock factor.

COX-2 up-regulation resulted in an enhancement of COX-2 metabolites generation, as demonstrated by the production of PGE$_2$. It should be noted that, despite the moderate increase in COX-2 mRNA level (3–4 fold) as compared to a strong induction of classical heat shock genes like HSP70 (50–80 fold), a potent biological response is achieved. In fact, as early as 2 hours after heat stress, the increase in PGE$_2$ production was comparable to that reached in cells stimulated with the COX-2 inducer IL-1$\beta$, and PGE$_2$ levels continued to rise until 4–6 hours after stress. It should be noted that an increase in arachidonic acid metabolites production, associated to stimulation of a phospholipase A$_2$ (PLA$_2$) activity, following heat shock has been previously reported in mammalian cells [41]. In the case of endothelial cells, the fact that treatment with the selective COX-2 inhibitor NS398 resulted in a complete block of PGE$_2$ production, demonstrates that PGE$_2$ production is dependent on de-novo synthesis of heat-induced COX-2 and is not merely the consequence of increased prostanooid secretion. This observation, together with the previously described increase of phospholipase A$_2$ activity by heat, suggests the possibility that heat stress may coordinate the entire cascade of prostanooid synthesis by simultaneously inducing COX-2 protein expression and stimulating PLA$_2$ activity to increase COX-2 substrate bioavailability.

Increased COX-2 levels have been previously observed at elevated temperatures in vivo in murine models [42,43]. The possibility that also in human cells COX-2 expression may be induced in vivo at high temperatures, as in the case of burn injuries or hyperthermic treatment of cancer, should be considered. In the case of burns, that are known to cause the release of arachidonic acid metabolites [44], since thermal insults activate an inflammatory cascade which culminates in the infiltration of specialized cells into injury sites, it could be hypothesized that HSF1-induced COX-2 expression may participate in these events during the initial phase of inflammation. Elevated temperatures are also reached during hyperthermic treatment of tumors [45]. In particular, in the case of whole-body hyperthermia, a procedure used for metastatic cancer treatment, temperatures up to 42°C are reached and maintained for about 1 hour in the entire body [46]. In this case, vascular endothelium is subjected to heat stress conditions, which in vitro induce COX-2 expression and prostaglandins production. The possibility that COX-2 expression and production of high levels of arachidonic acid metabolites may be induced under these conditions should be taken into consideration, especially in view of treatment with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Interestingly, COX-2 expression was also induced at mild (40 and 41°C) temperatures in endothelial cells, reaching maximal levels after 8 hours of exposure, suggesting the possibility that COX-2 expression could be increased during fever. This phenomenon could contribute to the increase in blood prostaglandin level that has been documented previously in the late phase of fever [47]. The role of HSF1, as well as HSF2, which has been recently shown to be a primary factor required for maintaining protein homeostasis against febrile range thermal stress in murine cells [40], on COX-2 regulation at febrile temperatures needs to be further investigated.

Apart of the possible physiological implications, the identification of COX-2 as an HSF1-target gene opens new perspectives on the role of this factor. HSF1 was originally identified as the master regulator of the transcription of heat shock genes, leading to the rapid expression of cytoprotective heat shock proteins. In mammalian organisms during stress conditions different HSP act in concert constituting the cell “protein repair machinery” preventing protein denaturation and aggregation that are detrimental to cells, and promoting degradation of irreversibly denatured cytotoxic proteins [3,49,50]. Under normal conditions, HSP also function as chaperones that assist in protein folding [51]. Whereas it is well established that HSF1 regulates inducible HSP expression, recently it has become evident that the regulation of the mammalian HSR is a more complex phenomenon than previously thought, and in some cases HSF1 may also control the expression of genes with non-chaperone function, some of which participate in the regulation of the inflammatory and immune responses, including interleukins 1$\beta$ (IL-1$\beta$), 6 (IL6), tumor necrosis factor $\alpha$ (TNF$\alpha$) and different chemokines [11,52,53,54]. The fact that HSF1 also regulates the expression of an important mediator of inflammation as COX-2 reinforces these previous observations, and brings the attention to this less known and still poorly understood role of HSF1 during thermal stress. It could be hypothesized that if, on one side, HSF1 activates a fundamental and highly conserved defense response through the robust expression of classical heat shock genes with chaperone functions to protect individual cells, on the other it may also coordinate a different type of response to thermal injury, through a more finely tuned, cell-type specific induction of non-chaperone heat-responsive genes, among which COX-2.

The understanding of this different aspect of HSF1 function may indicate new strategies in the treatment of immune and inflammatory disorders.
Materials and Methods

Cell culture and treatments

Primary human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were purchased from Cambrex Bio Science (Walkersville, MD, USA) and grown in EGM-2 complete medium (Cambrex Bio Science) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ in non-coated 100 mm BD Falcon™ cell culture dishes (BD Biosciences Discovery Labware). Culture medium was changed at day 1 and every 2 days thereafter. Monolayer cell confluence was achieved after 4–6 days of culture. All experiments were performed using HUVEC passage 2–5. Human colorectal adenocarcinoma (HCT116) and lymphoblastoid (Jurkat) cells (American Type Culture Collection) were maintained in McCoy’s 5 A (HCT116) or RPMI 1640 (Jurkat) medium supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM glutamine, and antibiotics. Human peripheral blood monocytes, isolated and purified from buffy coat of healthy blood donors (kindly provided by Prof. Adorno, Haematology Division, University of Rome Tor Vergata) as described elsewhere [10], were grown for 24 h in RPMI 1640 medium, supplemented with 10% FCS and antibiotics as indicated above. For heating procedures, cells were subjected to heat shock at the indicated temperatures in a precision water bath-W14 (Grant Instruments). For long exposure to febrile temperatures HUVECs were incubated in automatic CO₂ incubators certified to have <0.2°C temperature variation and calibrated for each experiment using an electronic thermometer. Selective COX-2 inhibitor NS-398, tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate (TPA) and interleukin 1β (IL-1β) (Sigma) were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and diluted in the culture medium immediately before use. Control cells received the same amount of vehicle.

Cloning of COX-2 promoter, preparation of 5′ deletion constructs and mutants

To generate the COX-2-PGL3 vector indicated as full-length (FL) in this study, a pair of gene-specific primers (sense: 5′-CGGGCTAGCTGTGCTTTGCTGTTTATAGCCTCA-3′; antisense: 5′-GCTTAAAGCTGTGCTTTGCTGTTTATAGCCTCA-3′) was designed to amplify the COX-2 promoter region (spanning from −3120 upstream of the gene transcription start site to +110) from human genomic DNA (Promega) by using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (Finnzymes). The reaction product was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis, digested with HpaI and HindIII, and inserted upstream of the luciferase gene of the pGL3Basic vector (Promega) to generate the FL-COX2-PGL3 (FL) construct. The -2221-COX2-PGL3 (-2221, Del1), -1787-COX2-PGL3 (-1787, Del2) and -1396-COX2-PGL3 (-1396, Del3) serial deletion mutants were generated as described above. Oligos used were for: -2221, Del1 construct, sense: 5′-CGGGCTAGCTGTGCTTTGCTGTTTATAGCCTCA-3′; antisense: 5′-GCTTAAAGCTGTGCTTTGCTGTTTATAGCCTCA-3′. This PCR product was labeled at both ends using [γ32P]ATP plus T4 nucleotide kinase. Whole-cell or nuclear extracts were prepared as described [56]. Whole-cell (15 μg) and nuclear (5 μg) extracts were incubated with a 32P-labeled probe followed by analysis of DNA-binding activity by EMSA. Binding reactions were performed as described [57]. Complexes were analyzed by nondenaturing 3% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Quantitative evaluation of HSF-HSE complex formation was determined by Typhoon 8600 imager (Molecular Dynamics, Amersham Biosciences) with the use of ImageQuant (Amersham Biosciences).

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)

The 100 bp DNA probe (shown in Fig. 5A) was prepared by PCR amplification of the COX-2 promoter region containing the HSF1 binding site (from −2579 to −2480) using the following primers: sense: 5′-AAAACTCTGGTCGGCAATCTTCC-3′, antisense: 5′-TCTTTCAAGAAGTTTGGTGA-3′. This PCR product was labeled at both ends using [γ32P]ATP plus T4 nucleotide kinase. Whole-cell or nuclear extracts were prepared as described [56]. Whole-cell (15 μg) and nuclear (5 μg) extracts were incubated with a 32P-labeled probe followed by analysis of DNA-binding activity by EMSA. Binding reactions were performed as described [57]. Complexes were analyzed by nondenaturing 3% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Quantitative evaluation of HSF-HSE complex formation was determined by Typhoon 8600 imager (Molecular Dynamics, Amersham Biosciences) with the use of ImageQuant (Amersham Biosciences).

Western blot analysis

Equal amounts of protein (35 μg/sample) from whole-cell extracts were separated by SDS/PAGE, and blotted to nitrocellulose. After blocking with 5% skim milk solution, membranes were incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-HSF1, (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), antibodies, or monoclonal anti-COX-2 (SC-19999, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-HSP70 (Stressgen), and anti-β-actin (Sigma) antibodies followed by decoration with
peroxidase-labeled anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG respectively (Super Signal detection kit, Pierce).

RNA extraction, RT-PCR and real-time RT-PCR

Total RNA was prepared using Trizol (Invitrogen) as described in the manufacturer’s protocol. For RT-PCR analysis, extracted RNA (1 µg) was digested with 2 U of DNase I (Invitrogen) for 30 min at 37°C. Samples were reverse transcribed to cDNA with 200 U of Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (RT) (Gibco-BRL Life Technologies), using 5 µg of random primers (Invitrogen) for 1 h at 45°C in a total volume of 20 µL. RT was inactivated at 95°C for 5 min. For each sample, an aliquot of DNase I-digested RNA, without RT, was used as a negative control for PCR amplification. The sequences of COX-2, COX-1, HSP70 and β-actin primers were as follows: COX-2, sense: 5'-CACGACTTGCACGGATCAGT-3', antisense: 5'-CAGCAAGACCCGTAGATGCTCA-3'; COX-1, sense: 5'-AACATGGACACACACATCTC-3', antisense: 5'-TCCAGGGTAGAACACTGCAAAG-3'; HSP701A, sense: 5'-CTCAGAAGGGGGAGACCAAGG-3', antisense: 5'-TTGCCAGAGCTGTTGGTCAA-3'; β-actin, sense: 5'-GCAGTCAGAGGAGGAAGAAT-3', antisense: 5'-GCACCTCTTCCAGCAGTCC-3'. Amplification was performed using the following parameters: 94°C for 45 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s for 28 cycles for COX-2, COX-1 and HSP70, and 24 cycles for β-actin. PCR products were electrophoresed alongside DNA Molecular Weight Marker IX (Roche Applied Science) in 2% agarose gels and then stained with ethidium bromide. PCR amplification was performed in a thermal cycler GeneAmp 2400 (Applied Biosystems), using Hot-Start Taq polymerase (QIAGEN). Real-time RT-PCR analysis was performed with ABI PRISM 7000 (Applied Biosystem, USA), using RealMasterMix ROX (Eppendorf) to prepare the reaction mixes. Primers used for real-time PCR of human genes were identical to the primers described above for semi-quantitative RT-PCR. Relative quantities of selected mRNAs were normalized to the input DNA and DNA from untreated cells.

PGE2 measurements

PGE2 production in the supernatants of endothelial cells was determined by PGE2 ELA kit (Cayman Chemical Co.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The results are expressed as picograms per milliliter, calculated according to the PGE2 standard curve. All assays were performed in triplicate.

Confocal microscopy

HUVECs grown on coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100-PBS for 10 min. After blocking with 0.2% BSA, fixed cells were incubated with rabbit anti-COX-2 antibody (SC-1747 Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 1 hour, and incubated with cy3-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG for 30 min at room temperature. Coverslips were mounted in Vectashield medium (Vector Laboratories). Images were acquired on a Leica confocal microscope TCS 4D system, equipped with a 100X 1.3–0.6 oil immersion objective.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Student’s t test for unpaired data. Data are expressed as the mean ± S.D. of duplicate samples. P values of <0.05 were considered significant.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Kinetics of heat-induced binding of HUVEC HSF1 to an HSP70 HSE in vitro. HUVECs were subjected to heat shock at 43°C or left untreated (Control). After 20 and 40 min at 43°C (HS) or left untreated during recovery at 37°C (recov), whole-cell extracts were analyzed for HSF DNA-binding activity by EMSA in a 4% polyacrylamide gel using an HSP70 HSE ideal probe [26]. Position of HSF-DNA binding complex (HSF) and non-specific protein-DNA interactions (NS) are shown. (TIF)

Figure S2 Analysis of in vitro and in vivo binding of HSF1 to the COX-2 promoter in heat-stressed human colon carcinoma cells. (A) HCT116 cells were subjected to heat shock at 43°C or left untreated (control). After 40 min at 43°C (HS) or at the indicated times during recovery at 37°C (recov), nuclear extracts were analyzed for HSF DNA-binding activity by EMSA in a 4% polyacrylamide gel using the probe described in Fig. 5A. Position of HSF-DNA binding complex (HSF) and non-specific protein-DNA interactions (NS) are shown. (B) Specificity of HSF1-DNA binding complexes. Nuclear extracts from HCT116 cells subjected to heat shock at 43°C for 40 min were preincubated with different dilutions of anti-HSF1 polyclonal antibodies for 15 min before electromobility supershift assay. Position of HSF and NS are indicated as in A. (C, D) HCT116 cells were subjected to heat shock at 43°C or left untreated (C).
After 40 min at 43°C (HS) or at the indicated times during recovery at 37°C (recovery), recruitment of HSF1 to the COX-2 and HSP70 promoters was analyzed by ChIP assay. (C) ChIP-enriched DNAs using preimmune serum (IP NS IgG) or anti-HSF1 serum (IP anti-HSF1), as well as input DNAs (INPUT) were precipitated, and DNA fragments of the COX-2 gene (~2629 bp –2420) and HSP70 gene (~262 to ~70) were amplified by PCR. (D) Quantification of ChIP assay shown in (C). Samples from at least three independent experiments were analyzed by real time PCR. Relative promoter occupancy is expressed as fold induction of control arbitrarily set to a value of 1. Error bars indicate ± S.D. (TIF)

Figure S3 Stable suppression of HSF1 by RNA interference reduces heat-induced COX-2 mRNA expression in HCT116 cells. pHCT116-nero and pHCT116-HSF1 cells were subjected to heat shock at 43°C or left untreated (control). After 40 min at 43°C (HS) or at the indicated times during recovery at 37°C (recovery), total RNA was extracted and levels of COX-2 and HSP70 were analyzed by real-time PCR. Relative quantities of COX-2 and HSP70 RNAs were normalized to β-actin. All reactions were made in duplicates using samples derived from at least three biological repeats. Error bars indicate ± S.D. Fold induction was calculated by comparing the induction of the indicated genes in the treated samples to the relative control, which was arbitrarily set to 1. (B) In parallel samples whole-cell extracts were analyzed for levels of HSF1 and β-actin proteins by Western blot. Arrow indicates the position of the low-mobility phosphorylated HSF1 isoform. (TIF)

Figure S4 Effect of exposure to febrile temperatures on COX-2 protein levels and PGE2 production in endothelial cells. HUVECs were either kept at 37°C (control) or were incubated at 40 and 41°C as described in Material and Methods. At 8 hours after continuous exposure at the indicated temperature whole-cell extracts were analyzed for levels of COX-2 and β-actin proteins by Western blot (A), and PGE2 production in the culture supernatants was determined by ELISA (B). Data in B represent the mean ± S.D. of triplicate samples from a representative experiment of two with similar results, * = P<0.05. (TIF)
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