In recent years, applications using electromagnetic wave technology have grown rapidly [1]. Radar is a modern detection tool that uses radio waves to detect enemy movements. The advantage of using radar as a detection system is that it actively monitors the target energy from the waves it emits [2]. In the military defense, radar is widely used to detect enemy targets. To anticipate this, stealth technology has been developed for aircraft and warships. The efficiency of stealth technology depends on how much EM (Electro Magnetic) energy is reflected back to the receiver by the target. This is called the RCS (Radar Cross Section). So that the target is not detected, the RCS value must be as small as possible [3].

To reduce the RCS value, four anti-radar designs were developed, namely shaping, Radar Absorbing Material (RAM), passive cancellation and active cancellation. The shaping method is used to reduce the radar signal that is reflected back to the receiver. Shaping is used by designing the shape or geometry of the anti-radar object at an angle, so that the cross-sectional area can catch is getting smaller. With an angled design, the received radar waves will be reflected randomly in another direction so that they are not recaptured by the receiver. While the second method is to use a radar wave absorbing material called RAM (Radar Absorbing Material). This method is based on 2 absorption principles consisting of magnetic and dielectric aspects. With these 2 aspects, it is hoped that the received radar waves can be completely absorbed by the anti-radar material. Then the third method, namely passive cancellation, is an anti-radar method by coating the dielectric material. This method is designed to modify the surface impedance so that the returning radar signal is scattered everywhere. The fourth method is active cancellation, which is a method used to detect incoming radar signals and then amplify the return signal. So the reflected signal has a proportional amplitude and a phase opposite to the incident signal. As a result, the reflected signal is scattered and crashes into other incoming signals [4].
Figure 1. Method of decreases RCS [5].

There are two types of magnets currently used, namely non-permanent (remanent) magnets that depend on electric fields to produce magnetic fields such as electromagnetic magnets, and permanent magnets that do not require external forces to form magnetic fields such as Neodymium magnets, and Samarium magnets. The choice of permanent magnets is preferred as a constituent material for RAS (Radar Absorber Structure), RAM (Radar Absorber Material), and RAC (Radar Absorber Coating) concerning the effectiveness and mobility of the permanent magnet itself which does not depend on external forces. Compared with conventional permanent magnets, permanent magnets containing rare earth metals have better magnetic properties, namely coercivity, remanence, and the maximum value of product energy.

Neodymium-Iron-Boron (NdFeB) permanent magnets have always attracted a lot of attention since their discovery in the 1980s because of their excellent performance [6]. So that the magnetic technology to produce high quality magnetic materials is largely determined by the material process technology as well. For this reason, it is necessary to research the manufacture of this magnet because NdFeB magnets have a very high tensile force between the poles.

The method used in this research is MA, which then combines the powder with epoxy-based paint to form a PMC and ends with a coating on the substrate to produce optimum RL. From the things that have been stated above, this study takes the theme "Synthesis Polymer Matrix Composite Epoxy-FeNdB-Mn for Radar Absorbing Material Application".

2. EXPERIMENTAL AND METHOD

2.1 Materials and Instruments

This study used materials consisting of FeNdB (as casted scrap), Mn powder, and epoxy resin (PT. Brataco) as a matrix.

The equipment used in this study consisted of the Mettler Toledo AL204 Analytical scale to weigh the materials according to the composition in Table 1, PBM PQ-N2 for powder mechanical alloying processes, Durometer Shore D to obtain PMC hardness values which refer to ASTM D 2240 standard, Elcometer 106 for measuring coating adhesion according to the ASTM D 4541 standard, Permagraph C for measuring magnetic characteristics, and AV3672A-S Vector Network Analyzer for measuring the reflection loss of the wave-absorbing layer.

Table 1. Composition of PMC.

| Resin Epoxy | Magnetic powder |
|-------------|----------------|
| 95 %wt      | 95 gr          | 5 %wt       | 5 gr |
| 90 %wt      | 45 gr          | 10 %wt      | 5 gr |
| 85 %wt      | 28.33 gr       | 15 %wt      | 5 gr |
| Σ            | 168.33 gr      | 15 gr       |

2.2 Method and Procedure

PMC synthesis is made as a wave-absorbing layer using epoxy resin as a matrix and Fe NdB powder that has been doped with manganese as a magnetic material, the variations in the ratio of composition of epoxy resin and magnetic powder are shown in Table 1. FeNdB milling and mixing with Mn doping were carried out using PBM at a speed of 1000 rpm for 60 minutes, the vials used in PBM were two with a composition of 1:10. The method used is dry milling with SS 304 ball mill material.
After the mixing process, two different processes were carried out to obtain the desired characterization data. The first process is making pellet samples. The powder was compaction with an emphasis of 25 MPa with the final dimensions: D: 11 mm, th: 5 mm, then the sintering process was carried out for 3 hours at a temperature of 1000°C for Permagraph, XRD, SEM-EDS characterization.

The second process is the manufacture of PMC for the coating process. The powder that has been mixed is then homogenized into epoxy resin with a stirring time of 15 minutes. After the homogenization process is complete, PMC is applied to the substrate surface (Al 2024) which has been surface preparation with 240 and 400 mesh sandpaper. The coating application process is carried out in a conventional single layer using brush number 3. The coated substrate is then characterized using VNA with a frequency range of 8-12 GHZ, hardness with Durometer Shore D, and Adhesive with Elcometer model 106.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Results data obtained from the research process get results in the form of graphic values and the results of tests conducted during the research process. The testing process carried out on test specimens is SEM-EDS, XRD, hardness, adhesive, permagraph, and VNA. Then result of SEM-EDS with 5000x and 10000x magnification can be seen in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. SEM of FeNdB+Mn (a) 5000x; (b) 10000x magnification.](image)

From the test results with a magnification of 10000x, measurements were made of the smallest and largest items, respectively, 0.9 µm and 2.75 µm. SEM observations also check for porosity in the sample. This is due to the enlargement of the grain during the sintering process at a temperature of 1000°C so that the grain size becomes bigger and the more cavities that occur in the porosity section [7].

Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) to determine the % weight and % atomic elements contained in the sample.

![Figure 3. EDS (a) FeNdB; (b) FeNdB+Mn.](image)

In Figure 3 (a), Nd elements have the greatest composition, namely 50.81% weight, Fe and B elements, namely 16.22% weight and 13.93% weight. While the Mn doping element that was initially added was only 2.5%, the EDS result became 6.69% weight, this could be caused by an error in the tool because it was seen
that the% error result for Mn element was 21.04%.

The presence of Al and Si in the sample is probably caused by sanding before the test so that the sandpaper material enters the porosity of the sample, it can be seen that the Al and Si levels are very small, namely 0.69% weight and 0.45% weight.

In the second EDS test, namely looking at the constraints on the selected item, Nd has the largest content in the sample, namely 56.88% weight, while Fe and B are 15.81% weight and 10.92% weight. The addition of the Mn element with the initial plan was 2.5%, increasing to 3.92% weight in the test results. This can be caused by errors in the tool so that the% error value of the element is quite large, namely 29.75%.

![Figure 4. XRD (a) FeNdB; (b) FeNdB+Mn.](image)

XRD analysis of the crystal structures of FeNdB and FeNdB with the addition of the element Mn aims to observe the phases formed in the test sample after sintering at a temperature of 1000ºC, the samples tested were in the form of pellets. The analysis is carried out using the highscore plus and origin applications.

In the sample without the addition of Mn, it was found that the compound formed was Nd₂Fe₁₄B [8]. The sample with the addition of Mn shows the presence of Manganese-alpha, and the compound formed is similar to the sample without the addition of Mn, which is dominated by the Nd₂Fe₁₄B compound.

Hardness testing was carried out using the Durometer Shore D test tool concerning the ASTM 2240 standard. The hardness value obtained by the sample with the ratio of epoxy resin and magnetic powder can be seen in Figure 5.

![Figure 5. Hardness Durometer Shore D.](image)

The hardness value increases with the addition of magnetic powder in the sample, the values obtained with the ratio of epoxy resin and powder 100: 0, 95: 5, 90:10, 85:15 are 21.5 HD, respectively; 23.1 HD; 25.5 HD; 29.1 HD.

The adhesive test was carried out using the Elcometer 106 pull-off adhesion tester which refers to the ASTM D4541 standard. The results of the adhesive test can be seen in Figure 6.
Figure 6. Adhesive of PMC.

From the test results that the increase in powder composition, the lower the adhesive value due to the presence of powder on the substrate surface, causing the epoxy resin not to adhere completely to the surface of the substrate.

The results of the adhesive test on samples with a composition of 100:0 epoxy resin and magnetic powder; 95:5; 90:10; 85:15 respectively, namely 1.2 MPa; 1.2 MPa; 1.2 MPa; 1 MPa

Figure 7. Comparison Hardness and Adhesive.

The permagraph test results are in the form of a hysterical curve which can be seen in Figure 7.

Figure 8. Hysteresis Loop of FeNdB.
Table 2. Magnet Characterization.

| Sample         | Br Remanence (kG) | HcJ Coercivity (kOe) | MS Saturation (kG) |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| FeNdB          | 0,83              | 0,072                | 0,57               |
| FeNdB + Mn     | 0,41              | 0,116                | 2,86               |

It can be seen in Figure 7 that the addition of Mn doping makes the hysterical curve remain in the soft magnetic condition. From Table 2 it is known that the addition of Mn increases the coercivity of 0.116 kOe, while the FeNdB sample without doping is 0.072 kOe, but with a coercivity value below 0.2 kOe, the magnet is soft magnet\(^{[9]}\). The nature of soft magnets is one of the requirements for a radar absorber material.

The test results showed that the remanence value of the FeNdB sample and the addition of Mn was 0.83 kG and 0.41 kG, respectively. Measurement errors can occur due to testing, for example, errors in filling in the initial data or cracks in the sample. Defects in the sample will affect coercivity, this has been explained by William D. Callister in his book who said that the coercivity value is sensitive to structural variables, defects in the material will cause an increase in the coercivity value. William D. Callister in his book also states that the saturation or magnetization value is influenced by the composition of the material\(^{[10]}\).

VNA testing is carried out in the X-band range or at a frequency of 8-12 GHz. X-band is a frequency that is widely applied for the use of military grade radar tracking and weather satellites. In the test results, a comparison is made between the four samples which can be seen in Figure 8.

\[
\Gamma = 10^{\frac{RL}{20}} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\% \text{ Absorption} = 100(1-\Gamma) \quad (2)
\]

Table 3. Result of VNA.

| Sample (Epoxy:powder) | Reflection Loss (dB) | Frequency (GHz) | Absorption coefficient, \(\Gamma\) | Absorption percentage (%) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 95 : 5 (doped Mn)     | -5,06                | 10,40           | 0,5584                           | 44,16                    |
| 90 : 10 (without doped Mn) | -7,95               | 10,36           | 0,4216                           | 57,84                    |
| 90 : 10 (doped Mn)    | -12,36               | 10,38           | 0,2409                           | 75,91                    |
| 85 : 15 (doped Mn)    | -22,40               | 10,40           | 0,0758                           | 92,42                    |
From the test results obtained the highest absorption value in the sample with a ratio of epoxy resin and magnetic powder 85: 15, with a percentage of absorption reaching 92.42%. This means that only 7.58% of the energy is reflected.

The reflection coefficient $\Gamma$ is the ratio of the reflected waves back to the incident waves. If the reflection coefficient has a value of 0, it can be concluded that the input impedance (I) is matched with the external impedance or that the entire wave is successfully absorbed by the material. The average coefficient is 0.3241.

The addition of Mn was proven to make the reflection loss value better with the sample value without doping -7.95 dB and after -12.36 dB doping. It can be seen in figure 10.

![Figure 10. VNA Comparison.](image)

From the results of these tests, it can be said that the study of polymer matrix composite synthesis with FeNdB and Mn doping has proven that there is an increase in reflection loss along with the increase in powder in the ratio of epoxy resin and powder.

4. CONCLUSION

From the test results with a magnification of 10000x, measurements were made of the smallest and largest items, respectively, 0.9 µm and 2.75 µm. In the sample without the addition of Mn, it was found that the compound formed was Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B$^8$. The sample with the addition of Mn shows the presence of Manganese-alpha, and the compound formed is similar to the sample without the addition of Mn, which is dominated by the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B compound. The hardness value increases with the addition of magnetic powder in the sample, the values obtained with the ratio of epoxy resin and powder 100: 0, 95: 5, 90:10, 85:15 are 21.5 HD, respectively; 23.1 HD; 25.5 HD; 29.1 HD. From the test results that the increase in powder composition, the results of the adhesive test on samples with a composition of 100: 0 epoxy resin and magnetic powder; 95: 5; 90:10; 85:15 respectively, namely 1.2 MPa; 1.2 MPa; 1.2 MPa; 1 Mpa. The addition of Mn increases the coercivity of 0.116 kOe, while the FeNdB sample without doping is 0.072 kOe, but with a coercivity value below 0.2 kOe, the magnet is soft magnet$^9$. The maximum Reflection Loss values obtained by the sample with the ratio of epoxy resin and magnetic powder 95: 5, 90:10, 85:15 are -5.06 dB, -12.36 dB, and -22.40 respectively. -10.36 GHz, 10.40 GHz, 10.38 GHz, and 10.40 GHz respectively. The addition of Mn was proven to make the reflection loss value better with the sample value without doping -7.95 dB and after -12.36 dB doping.
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