Performance and kinetic modeling of modified attached-growth anoxic-oxic-anoxic reactor for onsite sanitation system treating septic tank effluent
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Abstract
This paper investigated a combined process of a modified attached-growth anoxic-oxic-anoxic reactor (AG-AOAR) as a sustainable and effective post-treatment system for septic tank effluent was developed. The AG-AOAR was operated by varying hydraulic retention times (HRTs) from 24 to 72 h. The results showed that the AG-AOAR achieved highest removal efficiencies of 84, 66 and 91% of chemical oxygen demand (COD), total nitrogen (TN) and ammonium nitrogen (NH₄-N), respectively, under the HRT of 72 h, resulting its effluent meeting the international and national discharge quality standards for non-sewered sanitation system. The Stover-Kincannon model was applicable to describe the kinetic constants of COD, TN, and NH₄-N removal in the AG-AOAR (R² > 0.85). Accordingly, the maximum utilization rates (μ_max) were determined to be 41.1, 0.15 and 0.50 g/(L-d) for COD, TN and NH₄-N removals, respectively, while the saturation constants (K_B) were 57.7, 0.12 and 0.51 g/(L-d), respectively. These constant values could be applied for the design of the AG-AOAR to produce treated effluent meeting desired standards.
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1. Introduction

In rural and urbanizing areas of developing countries, the conventional onsite sanitation systems especially, septic tanks are widely used to treat grey and toilet wastewater [1]. Nevertheless, performance of these septic tanks could fail at some points, resulting in high effluent concentrations of organic and nutrient matters. Hence, discharging the septic tank effluent without further treatment to the environment could lead to environmental and human health problems [2]. Furthermore, the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals aim to provide clean water and sanitation (Goal 6) for more than 2.4 billion people currently being without proper sanitation [3]. Recently, there are numerous reports on upgrading performance of the onsite systems which normally focus on organics and solid removals, but high of total nitrogen (TN) were still found in the effluent [4]. Because the TN needs to be managed appropriately, the biological nutrient removal processes such as nitrification/denitrification have been applied for enhancing TN removal. To provide more surface area for bacterial growth, higher biomass density and treatment performance, the attached-growth rather than the suspended-growth has been used as a post-treatment system [5]. Furthermore, zeolite having a high surface area for bacterial growth and capable of adsorptive capacity, has been suggested to be used for wastewater treatment [6]. Nitrifying and denitrifying bacteria can grow on the zeolite surface; hence, the zeolite can increase TN removal via nitrification/denitrification processes.

On the basis of the above considerations, the modified attached-growth anoxic-oxic-anoxic reactor (AG-AOAR) was developed and applied as an effective on-site post-treatment unit by arranging different types of attached-growth media, namely, ball ring®, transparent plastic sheets and zeolite beads for the growth of bacteria for enhancing organic and TN removals. Biological processes can be designed based on the parameters such as organic, nutrient loading rates and hydraulic retention times (HRTs), in which the process modeling
should be applied to describe and predict the performance and optimal operating conditions of the modified AG-AOAR. To evaluate a suitable reactor design, the determination of kinetic coefficients should be performed [7]. The Stover-Kincannon model has been widely applied to describe the organics and nitrogen removal in reactor particularly in attached-growth systems [8-10]. However, there are few studies on the determination of biodegradation kinetic values for attached-growth arrangement processes or AG-AOAR system treating septic tank effluent. Therefore, onsite post-treatment system technologies based on biological processes, such as the modified AG-AOAR is considered as a sustainable and effective solution for pollution control.

This study aimed to evaluate the treatment performance of the modified AG-AOAR as a sustainable and cost-effective post-treatment system in treating septic tank effluent, which was also expected to effectively remove high chemical oxygen demand (COD) and TN concentrations and to determine the kinetic values to be used for an appropriate design of the modified AG-AOAR.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Set-up

The lab-scale experiment of the modified AG-AOAR was carried out at the Asian Institute of Technology (AIT) campus, Pathumthani, Thailand. The modified AG-AOAR was fabricated from transparent acrylic plastic to have dimension of 150 cm (width), 400 cm (depth), and 600 cm (length), resulting its working volume of 27 L. The modified AG-AOAR consisted of three sections in series, namely anoxic 1 (A₁) (working volume of 3 L), oxic (O₁) (working volume of 18 L) and anoxic 2 (A₂) (working volume of 6 L) as shown in Fig. 1(a). Furthermore, five acrylic baffles were equipped inside responsible to create up-and-down flow patterns of wastewater which could result in better contact between bacteria and
wastewater accordingly to the up-flow mode as well as to allow gravity flow between the sections designed (Fig. 1(a)). To allow attached microbial growth, three different types of attached-growth media (Fig. 1(b)) namely, ball rings® (industrial media made of polyethylene (35 × 35 mm of length × height), plastic strips (a low-cost media made of plastic recycled bottle having diameter (Ø) of 120 mm), and zeolite beads (Ø = 1.80 mm) were placed inside of the A₁, O₁ and A₂ sections, respectively. The specific areas and porosities of the ball rings®, the plastic strips and the zeolite beads were 200, 210 and 43 m²/m³, and 93, 92 and 47%, respectively.

![Attached-growth media](image)

(a) Experimental setup of the modified AG-AOAR and (b) media types.

**Fig. 1.** (a) Experimental setup of the modified AG-AOAR and (b) media types.
2.2. Source of Wastewater and Its Characteristics

The septic tank effluent collected weekly from the septic tanks in households located in Pathumthani, Thailand, was used as influent wastewater for feeding to the modified AG-AOAR system. The influent wastewater contained COD, TN, ammonium nitrogen (NH$_4$-N), and total suspended solids (TSS) concentrations of 535 ± 369, 184 ± 3, 162 ± 8 mg/L and 1,052 ± 838 mg/L, respectively, corresponding to the characteristics of high strength domestic wastewater [11]. Accordingly, the COD:TN ratio of the influent wastewater was 3:1, which was in the suitable range of 3-4 for the biological nitrogen removal processes (i.e. nitrification/denitrification processes) [12].

2.3. Operating Conditions

In this study, activated sludge collected from the wastewater treatment plant of the AIT campus, was used as bacterial inoculum in the modified AG-AOAR. The activated sludge was put into the O$_1$ section, carried out under the temperature of 27.2 ± 0.9°C and pH in the ranges of 6-7 for 30 d, adequate for a tangible bacterial growth on the media. Meanwhile, aeration was supplied to maintain dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration above 2 mg/L, ensuring aerobic conditions eligible for biological organic oxidation and nitrification reactions [13]. The influent wastewater was continuously fed to the A$_1$ section of the modified AG-AOAR by a peristatic pump, operated by varying HRTs of 24 to 72 h, corresponding to organic loading rate (OLR), nitrogen loading rate (NLR) and ammonium loading rate (NH$_4$LR) were 0.69 to 0.25 gCOD/(L-d), 0.19 to 0.05 gN/(L-d) and 0.16 to 0.05 gNH$_4$-N/(L-d), respectively, as revealed in Table 1. The internal recirculation from A$_2$ to A$_1$ with 100% of influent flowrate were operated to enhance TN removal by denitrification processes [14, 15].
Table 1. Operational Conditions Throughout Experiments

| Parameter                        | Experimental operating conditions |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| OLRs, gCOD/(L-d)                 | 0.69                              |
| NLRs, gN/(L-d)                   | 0.19                              |
| NH₄LRs, gNH₄-N/(L-d)             | 0.16                              |
| HRTs (h)                         | 24                                |
| Duration (d)                     | 12                                |

| Parameter                        | 0.34                              |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| OLRs, gCOD/(L-d)                 | 0.34                              |
| NLRs, gN/(L-d)                   | 0.08                              |
| NH₄LRs, gNH₄-N/(L-d)             | 0.08                              |
| HRTs (h)                         | 48                                |
| Duration (d)                     | 22                                |

| Parameter                        | 0.25                              |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| OLRs, gCOD/(L-d)                 | 0.25                              |
| NLRs, gN/(L-d)                   | 0.05                              |
| NH₄LRs, gNH₄-N/(L-d)             | 0.05                              |
| HRTs (h)                         | 72                                |
| Duration (d)                     | 38                                |

2.4. Analytical Methods

The influent and effluent samples of the modified AG-AOAR were collected three times a week during the experimental operating period of 72 d for laboratory analysis. The parameters including COD, TKN, NH₄-N and TSS concentrations were analyzed according to the Standard Methods [16], while nitrate (NO₃-N) and nitrite (NO₂-N) concentrations were analyzed by a portable analyzer (HACH DR-2700, Germany) according to the manufacturer procedures. The pH, DO and temperature values were also continuously monitored using a multiparameter portable meter (HATCH-HQ40d, USA).

2.5. Kinetic Model

In this study, the Stover-Kincannon model was used for determining the kinetic constants of COD, TN, and NH₄-N removal in the modified AG-AOAR. This kinetic model was originated for determining kinetic constants of a rotating biological contactor [8]. Later, the model has been applied to attached-growth system to describe the kinetics of other types of biofilm reactor [9, 17]. This kinetic model was based on substrate removal rates against a function of the substrate loading rate in the in the modified AG-AOAR as described by Eq. (1) and (2),

\[
\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}}(Qs_0/V)}{K_B + (Qs_0/V)} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{Q}{V} (s_0 - s) \tag{2}
\]
The Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) can be combined as Eq. (3)

$$\frac{V}{Q(S_o-S_e)} = \frac{K_B}{\mu_{max}} \frac{V}{Q_S_o} + \frac{1}{\mu_{max}}$$

(3)

where, $S_o$ and $S_e$ are the influent and the effluent substrate concentrations (g/L), $\mu_{max}$ is the maximum utilization rate (g/(L-d)), $K_B$ is saturation constant (g/(L-d)), $V$ is reactor volume (L), $Q$ is flowrate (L/d) and $ds/dt$ is substrate removal rate (g/(L-d)). The plotting of $V/(Q(S_o-S_e))$, invest of the loading removal rate versus $V/(QS_o)$, invest of the total loading rate can be described a straight line. The intercept and slop of the line result in $1/\mu_{max}$ and $K_B/\mu_{max}$, respectively. The substrate occurred for effective reactor volume can be re-written following.

$$Q_{S_o} = Q_{S_e} + V \left( \frac{ds}{dt} \right)$$

(4)

Hence, the substitution of Eq (3) to (4) was expressed as

$$Q_{S_o} = Q_{S_e} + \frac{\mu_{max} (Q_{S_o}/V)}{K_B + (Q_{S_o}/V)} \times V$$

(5)

Furthermore, the reactor effluent and volume can be determined by Eq (6) and (7)

$$S_e = S_o - \frac{\mu_{max} S_o}{K_B + (Q_{S_o}/V)}$$

(6)

$$V = \frac{Q_{S_o}}{(\mu_{max} S_o/(S_e - S_o)) - K_B}$$

(7)

2.6. Statistical Analysis

The significant differences among the data measured were tested with the analysis of variance (ANOVA) in SPSS software (V.16.0).

3. Results and Discussions

During the start-up period of this study, it was found that the steady-state conditions with respect to relatively stable removal rates of COD, NH$_4$-N and TN were achieved within 30 d.
The pH DO and temperature at different sections in the modified AG-AOAR were monitored. DO concentrations in the A₁, O₁ and A₂ sections were found to be 0.4 ± 0.2, 4.6 ± 0.4 and 0.6 ± 0.2 mg/L, respectively. The average pH and temperature values were recorded to be 7.1 ± 0.3, 7.2 ± 0.4 and 7.4 ± 0.4 and 27.6 ± 0.9, 26.3 ± 0.9 and 27.7 ± 0.9 in the A₁, O₁ and A₂ sections, respectively. The DO concentrations of more than 2 mg/L in the O₂ section were suitable for the nitrification processes to convert NH₄-N to NO₂-N and NO₃-N by the nitrifiers which grow well in this condition [11, 18, 19]. Moreover, the DO concentrations less than 1.0 mg/L found in the A₁ and A₂ sections resulted in anoxic conditions which enabled for the occurrence of denitrification processes [20].

3.1. Removal Efficiencies of the Modified AG-AOAR

After the modified AG-AOAR reached the steady-state conditions, the evaluation of substrate removal under different loading rates along various HRTs was undertaken. As presented in Table 2, TSS, COD, TN, and NH₄-N were selected as the critical parameters for evaluating the modified AG-AOAR performance.

Because of the septic tank effluent feeding was highly polluted, the initial influent TSS as concentrations were 1,994.2 ± 796, 1,234.6 ± 78 and 392.7 ± 300 mg/L at HRTs of 24, 48 and 72 h, respectively. The TSS removal efficiencies under the various HRTs were not significantly difference (p < 0.05); hence, the average TSS efficiency of the modified AG-AOAR was obtained to be 95.6 ± 2%, resulting an average effluent TSS concentration of 12.2 mg/L which could meet the effluent standard of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 30500: Non-Sewered Sanitation system [21] and domestic wastewater discharge standards of Thailand [22] (Table 2). Due to of the high removal efficiencies of the modified AG-AOAR, the TSS removal mechanisms were hypothesized to be mainly due to filtration throughout the zeolite beads and sedimentation.
Furthermore, the highest COD removal efficiency of 83.8 ± 4% was found in the AG-AOAR at the OLR of 0.35 gCOD/(L-d) (P < 0.05) and HRT of 72 h; accordingly, the effluent COD concentration was 109.9 ± 28.9 mg/L, meeting the effluent COD standards of the type B of the ISO:30500 (< 150 mg/L) and wastewater discharge standards of Thailand (< 120 mg/L) as revealed in Table 2. Nevertheless, due to high levels of the OLR, the COD removal efficiencies of the AG-AOAR operating under the HRTs of 48 and 24 h were found to be 79 ± 2.5% and 78.2 ± 11%, respectively.

The TN removal efficiencies were found to increase from 50 ± 17% to 66.1 ± 11% (R² = 0.97) with increasing HRT from 24 to 72 h, respectively (Table 3). Accordingly, the effluent TN concentrations were decreased from 91.8 ± 26.3 to 66.1 ± 10.9 mg/L when the NLRs were decreased from 0.18 to 0.06 gN/(L-d). Similarly, the NH₄-N removal efficiencies were found to be 79.3 ± 59%, 83.2 ± 3% and 91.4 ± 3% (P < 0.05) (R² = 0.96) at the NH₄LR of 0.16, 0.08 and 0.05 gNH₄-N/L, respectively (Table 2). Accordingly, the average effluent NOₓ-N concentrations were found to be about 22 mg/L, indicating that the nitrification reactions performed well in the modified AG-AOAR. Moreover, as shown in Fig. 3, the TN and NH₄-N concentrations in the influent wastewater were mainly removed in the A₁ and O₁ sections. This could be due to recirculation of the wastewater from the A₂ to A₁ sections which could supply enough NOₓ-N concentrations and COD concentration for enhancing denitrification processes in the A₁ section [20]. Simultaneously, the 21.4% and 10% of TN and NH₄-N removal in the A₂ section, was hypothesized to be due to NH₄-N absorption of the zeolite beads placed in this section (Fig. 2) [6]. Moreover, due to the attached-growth bacteria such as denitrifiers forming on the zeolite beads, some TN concentrations could be removed via the denitrification processes in the A₂ section as well [23].
\textbf{Table 2. Summary of Treatment Performance of the Modified AG-AOAR under Different HRT Conditions}\n
| Parameters  | 24 h Influent (mg/L) | Effluent (mg/L) | RE (%) | 48 h Influent (mg/L) | Effluent (mg/L) | RE (%) | 72 h Influent (mg/L) | Effluent (mg/L) | RE (%) |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------|--------|----------------------|----------------|--------|----------------------|----------------|--------|
| TSS         | 1,994.2 ± 726.4      | 26.3 ± 5.3     | 98.5 ± 0.6 | 768 ± 391.4         | 21.5 ± 21.2   | 97 ± 2  | 392.7 ± 274         | 12.2 ± 4.6     | 95.6 ± 2 |
| COD         | 697.4 ± 120.5        | 144.4 ± 18.5   | 78.2 ± 10.9 | 718.1 ± 22.7        | 137.8 ± 31.5  | 79.03 ± 2.5 | 671.0 ± 77.4        | 109.9 ± 28.9   | 83.8 ± 4.3 |
| TN          | 188.8 ± 25.9         | 91.8 ± 26.3    | 50 ± 17.1  | 163.4 ± 28.4        | 68.3 ± 9.7    | 60.7 ± 5.1 | 156.7 ± 10.1        | 53.2 ± 16.1    | 66.1 ± 10.9 |
| NH$_4$-N    | 139.9 ± 19.3         | 28.6 ± 7.2     | 79.3 ± 5.9  | 126.4 ± 14.4        | 21.1 ± 3.2    | 83.2 ± 2.9 | 118.5 ± 9.6         | 10.2 ± 3.3     | 91.4 ± 3  |
| TKN         | 184.9 ± 26.5         | 33.5 ± 8.5     | 81.7 ± 4.9  | 159.3 ± 27.2        | 30.4 ± 3.5    | 80.7 ± 2.5 | 151.8 ± 8.4         | 15.3 ± 3.3     | 89.9 ± 2.3 |
| Organic-N   | 45.0 ± 14.9          | 7.1 ± 5.3      | 82.1 ± 15.6 | 32.9 ± 14.1         | 9.3 ± 3.7     | 65.9 ± 24.1 | 33.4 ± 9.4          | 5.1 ± 3.7      | 82.8 ± 11.8 |
| NO$_2$-N    | 1.5 ± 0.9            | 22.2 ± 9.2     | -         | 1.6 ± 0.8           | 10.5 ± 9.3    | -       | 2.2 ± 1.1           | 13.9 ± 7.1     | -       |
| NO$_3$-N    | 2.4 ± 0.8            | 36.1 ± 17.1    | -         | 2.6 ± 1.1           | 26.2 ± 8.9    | -       | 3.2 ± 2.4           | 23.9 ± 10.2    | -       |

*(n = 33 = number of samples), RE = Removal
\textsuperscript{a}ISO/DIS30500: Non-Sewered Sanitation Systems for category A and B [21].
\textsuperscript{b}National Domestic Effluent Standard, Thailand [22].
Fig. 2. (a) TN removal and (b) NH₄-N removal under different HRTs

3.2. Determination of Kinetic Coefficients

The Stover-Kincannon model were employed to determine the kinetic coefficients of COD, NH₄-N and TN removal in the modified AG-AOAR.

3.2.1. Kinetic of COD removal
As shown in Fig. 3, the values of $K_B$ and $\mu_{\text{max}}$ for COD removal were obtained as 57.65, 41.17 g/(L-d), respectively. The correlation coefficient of $R^2$ was equal to 0.97 which illustrates a good agreement between the prediction and the experiment data of the modified AG-AOAR. Rate expression for these 2 kinetic coefficients can be used to determine the effluent COD concentration and, required volume of the modified AG-AOAR according to the Eq. (6) and (7), respectively.

![Figure 3](image.png)

**Fig. 3.** Stover-Kincannon model plot for COD removal in the modified AG-AOAR.

Table 3 comparison of the kinetic coefficients of various types of the attached-growth reactor. The $\mu_{\text{max}}$ and $K_B$ for COD removal of this study were relatively higher than other studies such as up-flow anoxic-aerobic sludge reactor [13], up-flow aerobic-anoxic sludge bed reactor [24], integrated anaerobic-aerobic reactor [25], up-flow aerobic anoxic sludge fixed film [7], moving bed biofilm reactors [5, 26-28], biofilm processes [10, 29], modified septic tank system [29], anaerobic-anoxic-aerobic moving bed bioreactors [20], and integrated rotating biological contactor-activated sludge system [31]. However, the values of $\mu_{\text{max}}$ and $K_B$ in this study were lower than those of the down-flow hanging sponge bioreactor by Nga [32], probably which used lower strength wastewater in their studies.
3.2.2. Kinetic of NH$_4$-N removal

Fig. 4 indicates the linear relationship between VQ/(S$_o$-S$_e$), and V/(QSo) ($R^2 = 99$). From this result, the $\mu_{\text{max}}$ and $K_B$ values for NH$_4$-N removal were calculated to be 0.50 and 0.51 g/(L-d), respectively. Rate expression for these 2 kinetic coefficients can be used to determine the effluent NH$_4$-N concentration and the required volume of the modified AG-AOAR according to the Eq. (6) and (7), respectively. The $\mu_{\text{max}}$ and $K_B$ values of the modified AG-AOAR were comparable those of the previous study as illustrated in Table 3. Probably due to the operated HRTs were not sufficient for nitrification processes, the obtained kinetic coefficients for NH$_4$-N removal of this study were relatively lower than those of other previous studies. Hence, it should be recommended that prolonging HRTs in the modified AG-AOAR is required for improving the NH$_4$-N removal performance.

![Fig. 4. Stover-Kincannon model plot for NH$_4$-N removal in the modified AG-AOAR.](image)

3.2.3. Kinetic of TN removal

The regression of the kinetic model for TN removal is revealed in Fig. 5 ($R^2 = 0.85$). Accordingly, the $\mu_{\text{max}}$ and $K_B$ values were obtained as 0.15 and 0.12 g/(L-d), respectively. The results of $\mu_{\text{max}}$ and $K_B$ for TN removal in this study were higher than those of the
previous study of [10]. Additionally, the Stover-Kincannon model were also previously applied for evaluating kinetic coefficients for TN removal in the up-flow anoxic-aerobic sludge reactor, the bio-diatomite biofilm reactor, the up-flow aerobic-anoxic sludge bed reactor, the up-flow anaerobic sludge bed-anammox reactor, the anaerobic-aerobic reactor and combined anaerobic aerobic systems [13, 17, 24, 33, 34].

In conclusion, the Stover-Kincannon model with the high R² values of this study can be applicable to describe kinetic values for COD, NH₄-N TN removal in the modified AG-AOAR and for the determine the required reactor volume.

Fig. 5. Stover-Kincannon model plot for TN removal in the modified AG-AOAR.
### Table 3. Kinetics of Stover-Kincannon Model Comparison with Other Related Studies

| Wastewater               | Reactors                                                | Substrates | $\mu_{\text{max}}$ (g/(L-d)) | $K_B$ (g/(L-d)) | $R^2$ | References |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------|------------|
| Septic tank effluent     | Attached-growth anoxic-oxic-anoxic reactor (Modified AG-AOAR) | COD        | 41.2                          | 57.7           | 0.97  | This study |
|                          |                                                         | TN         | 0.15                          | 0.12           | 0.85  |            |
|                          |                                                         | NH$_4$-N   | 0.50                          | 0.51           | 0.99  |            |
| Synthetic wastewater     | Up-flow anoxic-aerobic sludge reactor                   | COD        | 24.75                         | 25.97          | 0.99  | [13]       |
|                          |                                                         | TN         | 0.334                         | 0.314          | 0.95  |            |
| Palm oil mill effluent   | Integrated anaerobic-aerobic reactor                    | COD        | 23.1                          | 14.7           | 0.67  | [25]       |
| Synthetic wastewater     | Up-flow aerobic anoxic sludge fixed film reactor        | COD        | 38.5                          | 37.9           | 0.99  | [7]        |
| Textile wastewater       | Moving bed biofilm reactor                             | COD        | 3.74                          | 3.91           | 0.97  | [26]       |
| Domestic wastewater      | Modified septic tank reactor                           | COD        | 0.73                          | 0.93           | 0.98  | [29]       |
| Septic tank effluent     | Biofilm reactor                                         | COD        | 0.41                          | 0.58           | 0.95  | [10]       |
|                          |                                                         | TN         | 0.03                          | 0.04           | 0.85  |            |
| Septic tank effluent     | Biofilm reactor                                         | COD        | 0.17                          | 0.19           | 0.93  | [28]       |
| Municipal Wastewater     | Moving bed biofilm reactor                             | COD        | 10.1                          | 11.2           | 0.95  | [5]        |
|                          |                                                         | TN         | 5.34                          | 4.25           | 0.96  |            |
| Synthetic wastewater     | Aerobic (anaerobic-anoxic-aerobic moving bed bioreactor) | COD        | 10.5                          | 13.4           | 0.94  | [30]       |
| Synthetic wastewater     | Anoxic (anaerobic-anoxic-aerobic moving bed bioreactor) | COD        | 15.1                          | 27.2           | 0.98  |            |
| Hospital wastewater      | Moving bed biofilm reactor                             | COD        | 10.0                          | 9.9            | 0.99  | [27]       |
| Synthetic phenol wastewater | Anoxic (anaerobic-anoxic-aerobic batch fed moving bed reactor) | COD        | 5.00                          | 5.95           | 0.97  | [20]       |
|                          | Aerobic (anaerobic-anoxic-aerobic batch fed moving bed reactor) | COD        | 1.00                          | 2.13           | 0.99  |            |
|                          |                                                         | NH$_4$-N   | 3.33                          | 4.33           | 0.96  |            |
| Polluted raw water       | Bio-diatomite biofilm reactor                          | TN         | 0.33                          | 0.41           | 0.94  | [17]       |
| Domestic wastewater      | Anaerobic-aerobic reactor                               | TN         | 1.93                          | 6.92           | 0.97  | [34]       |
| Type of wastewater | Reactor Type and Description | COD (g/L) | TN (g/L) | NH$_4$-N (g/L) | Reference |
|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------------|-----------|
| Industrial wastewater | Up-flow aerobic-anoxic sludge bed reactor | 8.47 | 8.47 | 2.96 | [24] |
| Domestic wastewater | A down-flow hanging sponge bioreactor | 56.81 | 2.81 | 2.96 | [32] |
| Synthetic wastewater | Activated sludge reactor | 0.89 | 1.01 | - | [33] |
| Synthetic wastewater | Up-flow anaerobic sludge bed-anammox reactor | 3.33 | 3.33 | - | [33] |
| Synthetic wastewater | Integrated rotating biological contactor-activated sludge reactor | 15.2 | 10.98 | - | [31] |
| Domestic wastewater | Combined anaerobic-aerobic reactor | 0.16 | 0.16 | - | [34] |

4. Conclusions

Based on the experimental results obtained for this study, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- The modified AG-AOAR could be effectively used as an effective on-site post-treatment system for removing COD, NH$_4$-N and TN concentrations in septic tank effluent.
- The maximum COD, NH$_4$-N and TN removal efficiencies were obtained as 83.8, 91.4 and 66.1% at the OLR, NLR and NH$_4$NLR and HRT of 0.25 gCOD/(L-d), 0.05 gN/(L-d), 0.05 gNH$_4$-N/(L-d) and 72 h, respectively.
- The Stover-Kincannon model showed the best fit to determine the kinetic values for COD and NH$_4$-N removal in the modified AG-AOAR. The obtained kinetic values could be used for the design of the full-scale reactor.
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