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Abstract

The grape is one of the oldest and most important horticultural crops. Grape and wine aroma has long been of cultural and scientific interest. The diverse compound classes comprising aroma result from multiple biosynthetic pathways. Only fairly recently have researchers begun to elucidate the genetic mechanisms behind the biosynthesis and metabolism of grape volatile compounds. This review summarizes current findings regarding the genetic bases of grape and wine aroma with an aim towards highlighting areas in need of further study. From the literature, we compiled a list of functionally characterized genes involved in berry aroma biosynthesis and present them with their corresponding annotation in the grape reference genome.

Introduction

With nearly 8 million hectares of vineyards worldwide and a global annual production of around 90 million tons, the grapevine is one of the most important horticultural crops (http://faostat.fao.org). The majority of cultivated grapes belong to Vitis vinifera subsp. vinifera (hereafter V. vinifera); however, cultivars and hybrids of other Vitis species, as well as the related genus Muscadinia, are also grown, particularly in regions where climate and/or disease pressure preclude the cultivation of V. vinifera.

Over its long history of domestication and usage for wine and consumption as fresh and dried fruit, V. vinifera has undergone selection for desirable traits such as hermaphroditism, sugar content, berry color, and berry size. Attempts to utilize wild non-vinifera species, whether as interspecific crosses with V. vinifera or as selected cultivars, to overcome environmental and biotic limitations on grape cultivation have been complicated by the presence of negatively perceived flavor, especially in wine production.

On the other hand, there is enormous genetic diversity in cultivated and wild species of grape and with it the potential to generate novel flavor combinations by utilizing that diversity.

The aroma, i.e., the olfactory component of flavor, of grapes and wine has been an area of intense interest for many decades. Much has been written regarding topics such as the volatile profiles of different cultivars, the sensory significance of individual compounds, environmental effects on the accumulation of aroma compounds, and the impacts of viticultural and enological treatments on fruit and wine aroma. Advances in analytical techniques together with sensory methodologies have dramatically increased our understanding of the composition and interactions within the complexity that is grape and wine aroma. While variations in aromatic potential between cultivars have long been documented, for many reasons the study of genetic explanations for these differences has lagged behind our knowledge of grape volatile constituents.

Only fairly recently have we been able to unravel the role of specific genes in the origins of grape and wine aroma. Molecular markers have been invaluable in helping researchers understand the inheritance of a number of viticulturally and enologically significant traits including those related to flavor and aroma. Expressed sequence tags (ESTs) have also been instrumental in the identification of genes involved in secondary metabolism. More recently, the publication of the Pinot noir and PN40024 genomes has greatly facilitated the identification and characterization of genes involved in many metabolic pathways. Ongoing technological advances in sequencing, omics, biotechnology, and phenotyping will further enable researchers to understand the genetic mechanisms behind grape and wine aromas.
The purpose of this review is to summarize the state of our knowledge regarding grapevine genetics in relation to the biosynthesis and modification of volatile compounds. The current review is not intended to be a complete listing of the volatile compounds found in wine but to inform the reader on what has so far been elucidated regarding their genetic basis and to highlight areas that need further study. Although the impact of environmental conditions on the biosynthesis and metabolism of volatile compounds can be considerable, genotype by environment interactions have not yet been thoroughly explored in grape. A number of excellent reviews have been written regarding the diversity of wine and grape aroma compounds, environmental impacts on their biosynthesis, and their chemistry, to which we refer the reader for more information in those areas. An emphasis has been made here on genes that have been functionally characterized in grapevine. Where little study has been conducted on grapevine, comparable systems in other plants have been provided.

**Grape aroma compounds**

The predominate compounds contributing to the aroma profile of grape berries fall into the following categories: mono- and sesquiterpenes, methoxypyrazines, furan derivatives, lipoxygenase pathway products, and phenylpropanoid pathway products. Two classes of compounds, norisoprenoids and volatile sulfur compounds, are important in wine but are generally found as nonvolatile precursors in berries and require further modifications to be perceived. During winemaking and subsequent aging processes, volatile compounds and their precursors can undergo enzyme-catalyzed modifications and spontaneous chemical transformations. Further chemical diversity is introduced by wine microorganisms and aging vessels.

This complexity, along with extremely low concentrations of some compounds, significant environmental and temporal effects on volatile concentration and composition, and conjugation of volatile compounds into nonvolatile precursors has made research into the aroma components of grape berries challenging. The difficulty is compounded in the characterization of genes encoding enzymes that produce aroma compounds or their precursors. In the subsequent sections of this review, we summarize the current knowledge regarding the major groups of grape aroma compounds and genes involved in their biosynthesis. The genes which have been functionally characterized and shown to produce aroma compounds or their precursors are listed in Table 1.

**Terpenoids**

Terpenoids represent an extremely diverse class of natural compounds with over 40,000 identified from organisms in every kingdom of life. In plants, multiple processes such as photosynthesis, membrane construction, and growth regulation utilize terpenoids. The volatile terpenoids are important constituents of plant aromas and play major roles in plant-animal interactions, general defense, and responses to abiotic stresses. In addition, they contribute key components to the fragrance of many flowers, fruit, and herbs. Terpenoids consist of multiple C₅ isoprene units and, despite their diversity, share isopentyl pyrophosphate (IPP) and dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP) as precursors. Biosynthesis of terpenoids occurs both in the cytoplasm via the mevalonic acid (MVA) pathway and in the plastid through the methyl-erythritol-phosphate (MEP) pathway. Several excellent reviews of both pathways and their elucidation have been written. Many of the genes involved in these pathways remain to be identified and functionally characterized in grape.

The terpenoids of major importance in grape and wine aroma are the monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and indirectly, carotenoids.

**Monoterpenes**

Twenty two different monoterpenes have been identified in grapes and wine; half are linalool derivatives. Monoterpenes are characteristic components of berries during early development but in most cultivars, levels decline below the detection threshold after véraison and are not major contributors to the aroma of ripe berries. Muscats represent a major exception as their characteristic floral aroma is due to high concentrations of monoterpenes with linalool, geraniol, nerol, α-terpineol, and hotrienol being the most important. Small amounts of other terpenes contribute to varietal differences. Interactions between combinations of monoterpenes and their metabolites result in a spectrum of flavor intensities among Muscat varieties.

Grape cultivars can be divided into three general groupings based on total free monoterpenes concentration, as well as by monoterpenes profile: neutral varieties with very low concentrations such as V. vinifera cv. Chardonnay, “aromatic” cultivars with 1–4 mg/L of monoterpenes e.g., V. vinifera cv. Riesling, and Muscat types like V. vinifera cv. Muscat blanc and cv. Muscat of Alexandria with as much as 6 mg/L of free monoterpenes. Profiling 15 monoterpenes is sufficient for discriminating between Riesling and five of its offspring. Overall, monoterpenes are mainly concentrated in the skin with differential distribution depending on the compound. Geraniol and nerol are much more abundant in the skin than in the flesh, while linalool is more generally distributed throughout the fruit. The genetic factors responsible for increasing the accumulation of monoterpenes have long been of interest to grape breeders.
| Compound class | Gene name | Function | GenBank accession number | Gene ID | References |
|---------------|-----------|----------|--------------------------|--------|------------|
| Monoterpenes  | VviDXS    | 1-Deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase | MA161553 | VT_05|00205g02| Battilana et al.42, Duchêne et al.43 |
|               | VviTS32.2 | Geraniol synthase | HM807599 | VT_12|0134g02|0140 | Martin et al.44, Matarese et al.44 |
|               | VviTS34  | (3S)-Linalool/(E)-Nerolidol synthase | HM807591 | VT_00|0385g02|0100 | Martin et al.44, Matarese et al.44 |
|               | VviTS35  | (3S)-Linalool/(E)-Nerolidol synthase | HM807592 | VT_00|0271g02|0060 | Martin et al.44, Zhu et al.45 |
|               | VviTS57  | (3S)-Linalool/(E)-Nerolidol synthase | HM807594 | VT_00|0373g02|0040 | Martin et al.44 |
|               | VviTS58  | (3S)-Linalool/(E)-Nerolidol synthase | HM807596 | VT_00|0373g02|0070 | Martin et al.44 |
|               | VviTS59  | (3S)-Linalool/(E)-Nerolidol synthase | HM807597 | VT_00|0373g02|0070 | Martin et al.44 |
|               | VviTS61  | (3S)-Linalool/(E)-Nerolidol synthase | HM807595 | VT_00|0842g02|0000 | Martin et al.44 |
|               | VviTS63  | (3S)-Linalool/(E)-Nerolidol synthase | HM807595 | VT_00|0842g02|0000 | Martin et al.44 |
| Sesquiterpenes | VviTPS24 | Selinene synthase polymorphic variant | XM_002282452 | VT_19|0014g02|0150 | Drew et al.46 |
|               | VviSTO3  | Sesquiterpene oxidase | XM_002282452 | VT_19|0014g02|0150 | Takase et al.47 |
| Norisoprenoids| VviCCD1.1 | Carotene cleavage dioxygenase | KF008001 | VT_19|0014g02|0150 | Mathieu et al.48 |
|               | VviCCD1.2 | Carotene cleavage dioxygenase | KF008002 | VT_19|0014g02|0150 | Mathieu et al.48 |
|               | VviCCD4a | Carotene cleavage dioxygenase | KF008001 | VT_19|0014g02|0150 | Mathieu et al.48 |
| Methoxypyrazines | VviOMT1 | S-Adenosyl-L-Met (SAM)-dependent O-methyltransferase | GQ357967 | VT_12|0059g02|0170 | Dunlevy et al.135, Hashizume et al.134 |
|               | VviOMT2 | S-Adenosyl-L-Met (SAM)-dependent O-methyltransferase | GQ357968 | VT_12|0059g02|0170 | Dunlevy et al.135 |
|               | VviOMT3 | S-Adenosyl-L-Met (SAM)-dependent O-methyltransferase | GQ357968 | VT_12|0059g02|0170 | Dunlevy et al.135 |
|               | VviOMT4 | S-Adenosyl-L-Met (SAM)-dependent O-methyltransferase | GQ357968 | VT_12|0059g02|0170 | Dunlevy et al.135 |
| Furans        | VviDFR  | Enone reductase | Not available | VT_01|0012g02|0740 | Sasaki et al.136 |
|               | VviCYP707T | Furaneol glucosyltransferase | XM_00226901.2 | VT_00|0323g02|0050 | Sasaki et al.136 |
| Volatile thiols | VviGS3 | Glutathione synthase | EF469244 | VT_12|0042g02|0100 | Kobayashi et al.157 |
| Lipoygenases  | VviLOX1 | Type II lipoygenase | XM_002284585 | VT_09|0042g02|0100 | Podolyan et al.152 |
|               | VviLOX2 | Type II lipoygenase | XM_002284585 | VT_09|0042g02|0100 | Podolyan et al.152 |
|               | VviHPL1 | 13-Hydroperoxidase | HM627632 | VT_12|0057g02|0100 | Zhu et al.156 |
|               | VviHPL2 | 9-Hydroperoxidase | HM627632 | VT_12|0057g02|0100 | Zhu et al.156 |
| Phenylpropanoid | AMAT | Anthraniloyl-CoA: methanol acyltransferase | AY705388 | VT_09|0018g02|0190 | Wang and Luca8 |

Note: All genes have been functionally characterized and shown to be expressed in berries during development unless noted.45

Notes: 46PN40024 alleles are listed for genes for which multiple alleles have been characterized.
47Best hit in PN40024 V1 annotation.
48Identified but not functionally characterized.
Using simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers, Doligez et al. identified a major quantitative trait locus (QTL) on linkage group (LG) 5 associated with linalool, nerol, and geraniol content within an 8.3 cM interval between markers VRZAG79 and VVCG64. Battilana et al. and Duchêne et al. confirmed the location of a QTL on LG 5 associated with total monoterpene levels in two Muscat × neutral mapping populations and two populations of selfed aromatic varieties (V. vinifera cv. Muscat Ottonel and cv. Gewürztraminer), respectively41. A V. vinifera 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase (VviDXS) gene was identified as the gene responsible for Muscat character due to its co-localization with the LG 5 QTL associated with monoterpene content42. Overall, the VviDXS-associated QTL was found to explain 17–93% of the variation in linalool, nerol, and geraniol concentrations40–42. This is consistent with the role of VviDXS, which as the first enzyme of the MEP pathway catalyzes the formation of the substrates used for monoterpene production.

The VviDXS sequence contains a total of 4790 bp in 10 exons and 9 introns and encodes a protein with 716 amino acids43. DXS is highly conserved in plants and bacteria due to its importance in the MEP pathway43,44. VviDXS expression in Muscat blanc increases at véraison and peaks at 12 weeks post flowering. Expression of the gene is significantly correlated with the accumulation of free and bound monoterpenes, and both VviDXS transcript levels and free monoterpene concentrations decrease late in fruit ripening while bound monoterpenes continue to increase46.

Analysis of the VviDXS sequence among 148 Muscat, Muscat-like aromatic, and neutral cultivars identified 95 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs), four of which were predicted to affect protein function45. A G/T SNP results in replacement of the lysine (K) at position 284 with asparagine (N). Ninety five percent of the Muscat cultivars examined by Emanuelli et al. possessed the K284N mutation with 68 of the 69 Muscat cultivars having the allele in a heterozygous state. The highly aromatic Gewürztraminer and its offspring V. vinifera cv. Siegerrebe share a C/T SNP resulting in replacement of arginine with cysteine in one allele of VviDXS (R306C). Two aromatic “musqué” clones of otherwise neutral varieties were also found to have non-neutral mutations within VviDXS: V. vinifera cv. Chasselas musqué has an A/G SNP causing a deletion of amino acid residues 285–289 while a musqué clone of Chardonnay has a T/C SNP replacing the serine at position 272 with proline. The four mutations are located in a region corresponding to the active site of DXS in Deinococcus radiodurans43. Of the identified mutant VviDXS alleles, the Muscat N284 allele has received the most attention from researchers.

To determine the effect of the N284 mutation on VviDXS function, Battilana et al. expressed both the N284 and K284 VviDXS variants in E. coli46. Recombinant VviDXS N284 exhibited twice the catalytic efficiency compared with VviDXS K284 with minimal changes in substrate affinity. Transformation of the model V. vinifera cv. Microvigne 04C023V00067 with the Muscat VviDXS N284 allele resulted in increase of monoterpene production in berries despite lower expression of the Muscat VviDXS N284 allele46,48. These findings suggesting that greater monoterpene production is mostly due to the higher catalytic efficiency of the N284 VviDXS variant.

Comparison of VviDXS haplotypes between Muscat and neutral varieties showed a reduced sequence diversity within the haplotypes carrying the N284 mutation, implying that the Muscat mutation arose once and has spread through selective pressure and breeding practices43. This is in agreement with studies showing that the Muscats are a distinct group descended from two progenitors: Muscat blanc and Muscat of Alexandria, itself the result of a cross between Muscat blanc and V. vinifera cv. Axina de tres biais49,52. Emanuelli et al. suggest a history of selection for Muscat flavor followed by crosses between Muscat and neutral cultivars to develop cultivars with other desired phenotypic characters43. The large number of direct descendants of Muscat blanc and Muscat of Alexandria supports this; the two cultivars are the direct parents of 58 and 255 cultivars, respectively, according to the Vitis International Variety Catalog (VIVC, www.vivc.de).

A number of cultivars unrelated to Muscats have been characterized for their Muscat flavor, e.g., Malvasias49, suggesting that other mutations within the MEP pathway may confer increased monoterpene biosynthesis. In addition to the major QTL containing VviDXS, numerous QTLs with smaller effects have been identified in marker-trait analyses of different mapping populations30–42,53. They may co-locate with downstream genes involved in regulation of monoterpene synthesis and metabolism.

Monoterpene synthesis and modifications

With 69 putative genes identified, the terpene synthase (TPS) gene family is greatly expanded in Vitis vinifera and represents all of the angiosperm terpene synthase subfamilies except for TPS-f14. Seventeen VviTPS belonging to the TPS-b and TPS-g subfamilies have been functionally characterized as monoterpene synthases. The majority are multi-product enzymes14. In this section, we describe the genes functionally characterized as involved in the synthesis, i.e., VviTPS, and/or modification of the major monoterpenes geraniol, α-terpineol, and linalool.

In aromatic cultivars, geraniol concentrations peak early in berry development followed by a decline until véraison, at which point the concentration increases dramatically54. VviTPSS2, a geraniol synthase characterized by Martin et al.14, was shown to correlate with geraniol
accumulation in ripening *V. vinifera* cv. Aleatico berries and to a smaller extent in Muscat blanc, indicating its importance in the biosynthesis of geraniol in ripe fruit. Experiments with deuterium-labeled geraniol in *V. vinifera* cv. Scheurebe berries revealed that geraniol can be converted to nerol by an unknown isomerase. In addition, geraniol can be enzymatically reduced to (S)-citronellol by an unidentified reductase (Fig. 1). (S)-citronellol then undergoes hydroxylation and cyclization to form cis and trans-rose oxide. Cis-rose oxide predominates in

![Fig. 1 Proposed biosynthetic pathways of a selection of monoterpenes and monoterpene-derived metabolites in grapevine. Gene names are included where functionally characterized. (Adapted from refs. 55,59,69)](image-url)
Gewürztraminer and Scheurebe wines and is a key component of the varietal character of Gewürztraminer. 

Although no enzymes responsible for the formation of rose oxides from (S)-citronellol have been identified, the process may occur in a similar manner as in rose geranium (Pelargonium) and roses (Rosa damascena). Wüst et al. proposed a mechanism of diol formation via a cytochrome oxidase with low specificity followed by acid-catalyzed cyclization of two of the four resulting diols as shown in Fig. 1. Enantiomeric ratios of cis-rose oxides in grape, rose geranium, and rose all indicate the action of as yet unidentified enzymes. It is interesting to note that during fermentation, yeast can also produce both (−) and (+) enantiomers of cis-rose oxide from citronellol in sufficient quantities to impact wine aroma. Therefore, the final impact of cis-rose oxide in wine depends not only on the amount synthesized by the grape but also on the level of citronellol present at harvest. The conversion rate of geraniol to citronellol increases in late berry development, indicating that the final stages of ripening are important in developing the characteristic aroma of Gewürztraminer and Scheurebe.

As one of the more abundant monoterpenes in grape, α-terpineol is an important component of the character of aromatic varieties. In addition to contributions from the rearrangement of other monoterpenes, α-terpineol is synthesized in the grape berry: a TPS-b subfamily α-terpineol synthase (VviTer) was one of the first monoterpene synthases identified and functionally characterized in grape. A candidate gene association study of 61 grape cultivars found two SNPs in coding regions of the VviTer gene correlated with higher α-terpineol concentrations. These mutations result in non-synonymous amino acid substitutions (I38S and T519I); however, additional studies are needed to establish the sensory impact of these point mutations.

Linalool is the predominant monoterpen in Muscats and plays a major role in their varietal aroma. Despite having similar gain-of-function mutations in the active site of VviDXS, Muscat berries have a higher linalool content compared with Gewürztraminer. While linalool is present in the berries of Gewürztraminer during the early developmental stages, concentrations decline rapidly as berries mature. In contrast, linalool concentrations in Riesling and Muscat blanc decrease initially followed by a very large increase after véraison. QTLs associated with linalool content have been identified in the same region of LG 10 between SSR markers VrZAG 64 and VVIH01. Duchêne et al. proposed a two-step model for Muscat aroma: one mutation in VviDXS conferring higher geranyl diphosphate (GPP) biosynthesis and another favorable allele near VrZAG64 shifting the monoterpene profile towards linalool. No genes have been shown to co-locate with the QTL, but a number of possible candidates are located on chromosome 10.

One of the linalool synthases annotated by Martin et al. falls on chromosome 10, but most were located on unmapped scaffolds. The VCost.v3 annotation of the 12x.v2 genome assembly places many of the previously characterized linalool synthases on chromosome 10. A (3S)-linalool synthase located on the chromosome, VviTPS56, has been shown to convert GPP to (3S)-linalool as a major product. However, gene expression of VviTPS56 in aromatic cultivars does not correlate with linalool accumulation during berry ripening. On the other hand, VviTPS54, another linalool synthase, is expressed much more highly during the later stages of ripening (76–116 days after flowering) in Muscat blanc and Aleatico. VviTPS4 is still unmapped but has also been shown to produce (3S)-linalool from GPP in vitro and may be responsible for linalool synthesis in ripening berries. Additional linalool synthases identified and characterized by Martin et al. are VviLAL1, (E)-nerolidol/(E,E)-geranyl linalool synthases (VviTPS57, 58, 61, and 63) have been proposed to be involved solely in nerolidol synthesis as they lack the plastid transit signal peptide characteristic of other monoterpene synthases.

Curiously, despite the abundance of annotated linalool synthases on chromosome 10, a genome-wide association study of 96 accessions composed of V. vinifera, V. amurensis, and V. labrusca cultivars and hybrids found QTLs associated with linalool content only on linkage groups 1, 5, 7, and 19.

The oxidation products of linalool comprise a large proportion of the monoterpenoid diversity in wines. Linalool is subject to a number of enzymatic reactions during fruit ripening that can generate monoterpenoids of higher oxidation states such as (E)-8-hydroxylnalool. (E)-8-hydroxylnalool can then be further oxidized to (E)-8-carboxylnalool (Fig. 1). Under wine aging conditions, (E)-8-carboxylnalool can undergo a slow acid-catalyzed cyclisation resulting in the production and accumulation of wine lactone, a bicyclic monoterpene lactone, over time. With its extremely low odor threshold (10 ng/L), wine lactone is an important contributor to the aroma of white wines, particularly those made from Gewürztraminer, despite its low abundance (100 μg/L). Wine lactone is also found in some red wines. Ilc et al. identified CYP76F14, a CYP76 enzyme highly expressed in ripe berries, that is capable of oxidizing linalool to (E)-8-carboxylnalool when expressed in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Nicotiana benthamiana. While two other CYP76s expressed in ripening berries can also metabolize linalool, only CYP76F14 catalyzes the entire oxidative cascade from linalool to (E)-8-carboxylnalool.

Marker-trait analysis of a Riesling x Gewürztraminer population showed that (E)-8-carboxylnalool concentration is associated with three major QTLs: one containing VviDXS, a QTL colocalizing with several terpene...
synthases that have since been assigned to chromosome 10, and finally one on chromosome 2 containing CYP76F14. These data provide support for the importance of CYP76F14 in (E)-8-carboxylinalool synthesis. Ille et al. also found no difference in the product profiles of CYP76F14 cloned from Muscat Ottonel and the non-aromatic V. vinifera cv. PN40024. This, along with the slow conversion rate of (E)-8-carboxylinalool to wine lactone suggests that increased monoterpenol production due to a VviDXS mutation is a prerequisite for wine lactone concentrations exceeding the sensory threshold. Presumably, some amount of linalool synthesis is required as well, although it is interesting to note that wine lactone occurs at suprathreshold levels in red wine cultivars that accumulate only modest amounts of linalool. The (E)-8-carboxylinalool precursor has been detected in berries only as a glucose conjugate but no grape UDP glucosyltransferases have been identified that catalyze production of the glucoside.

Glycosides represent the majority of the monoterpenol pool in grapes. They can undergo hydrolysis during winemaking and aging and contribute to the aroma over time. In grape, glycosides of aroma compounds occur as monosaccharides bound to a β-d-glucose moiety (glucosides) or as disaccharides consisting of glucose and rhamnose, apiose, or arabinose. In V. vinifera, anthocyanins occur only as monosaccharides, suggesting that the glycosylation of aroma compounds in that species occurs through a different pathway. Glycosyltransferases (GTs) are ubiquitous enzymes that play important roles in many metabolic processes. Although a large number of GTs have been identified in plants, only a small fraction has been functionally characterized. Grape GTs were among the first monoterpenol-specific GTs to be characterized.

Three GTs, VviGT7, VviGT14, and VviGT15 have been functionally characterized in V. vinifera and are expressed in conjunction with the accumulation of monoterpenol glycosides in ripening berries. All three enzymes share geraniol, nerol, and citronellol as major substrates and exhibit activity towards other aroma compounds known to occur as glycosides in berries such as lipoxynenase and phenylpropanoid pathway products. In addition, VviGT14 is able to glucosylate linalool. Li et al. found VviGT14 to be particularly highly expressed in Muscat blanc, a cultivar with high linalool concentrations. However, Bönisch et al. found that VviGT15 was more highly expressed in Muscat blanc instead. Expression of the three GTs has been shown to vary significantly between cultivars along with concentrations of free and bound monoterpenes, perhaps contributing to the differences in aromatic profile between cultivars, vintages, and locations. Four alleles of VviGT7, two functional and two inactive, are present in Muscat blanc. In contrast, VviGT14 exists as a single functional allele in Muscat blanc and Gewürztraminer. While these GTs are capable of glucosylating a wide variety of substrates, over 200 aroma compound glycosides have been isolated from grape and much remains to be learned about the genes involved in their formation.

**Sesquiterpenes**

Compared with monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes in grapes and wine have received less attention due to their lower volatility and higher detection thresholds. In their review on the extraction and identification of grape-derived sesquiterpenes, Petronilho et al. recorded a total of 91 sesquiterpenes isolated from must, wines, distillates, and other grape products. 57 of these were identified from berries. Grape sesquiterpenes comprise predominantly of hydrocarbons with some ketones, oxides, and alcohols. Like monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes are synthesized from DMAPP and IPP, which in turn result from two parallel pathways, the cytosolic MVA and the plastidial MEP pathways. Although monoterpenes are largely synthesized from the MEP pathway, and sesquiterpene biosynthesis is thought to occur via the cytosolic MVA pathway, there is evidence of movement of intermediates from the plastid to the cytosol in a number of plants.

The immediate precursor molecule for sesquiterpenes is farnesyl diphosphate, which is synthesized from one DMAPP molecule and two IPPs. In grape, both MVA-derived and MEP-derived DMAPP and IPP are incorporated into sesquiterpenes. In Microvines transformed with the Muscat VvidXS N284 allele, sesquiterpene biosynthesis is increased in flowers compared with the wild type, albeit to a smaller extent than monoterpenes. Since VvidXS is part of the MEP pathway and not the MVA pathway, this supports the possibility of some level of exchange between the two pathways. As of yet no genes encoding transporters involved in exchanging terpenoid intermediates have been identified.

Thirty putative sesquiterpene synthases have been identified from the PN40024 genome sequence. The VviTPS-a subfamily represents a highly expanded group of predominantly sesquiterpene synthases on chromosomes 18 and 19. Thirteen members of the subfamily have been functionally characterized by Martin et al., and the majority of these synthesize multiple products from the FPP substrate. However, the characterized sesquiterpene synthases have not been shown to produce the entire range of sesquiterpenes found in grape berries. Biosynthesis of sesquiterpenes in berries appears to be restricted to the skin, which is consistent with their absence in the mesocarp and high concentration in the epicuticular wax of the exocarp. Sesquiterpenes are also produced by grape anthers during bloom as pollinator attractants or herbivore deterrents. Martin et al.
functionally characterized a (+)-valencene synthase (VviVal) expressed in grapevine flowers that produces (+)-valencene and (-)-7-epi-α-selinene as major products. Like many other TPS, VviVal expression decreases after bloom but increases again during late berry development in Gewürztraminer berries. However, a survey of the sesquiterpene profiles of several varieties did not detect either product of VviVal in Gewürztraminer berries.

The most prominent sesquiterpene identified from wine so far is rotundone. An important component of the aroma of Piper nigrum, rotundone is extraordinarily potent with detection thresholds of 16 ng/L in red wine and 8 ng/L in water. Although notable as an impact compound in V. vinifera cv. Syrah wines where it can reach concentrations of 220 ng/L, rotundone has also been detected in wines made from a number of other varieties. Some other varieties contain relatively high levels of rotundone as well, such as V. vinifera cv. Durif, cv. Graciano, cv. Vespignana, and cv. Grüner Veltliner. Rotundone accumulation begins at véraison and continues through the ripening period.

The precursor of rotundone is α-guaiene, a 5,7 bicyclic sesquiterpene found in high concentrations in Syrah berry skins, as well as in other plants that produce rotundone (Fig. 2). Concentrations of α-guaiene peak at 12 weeks post-flowering, while rotundone levels reach their maximum 14 weeks after anthesis. Of the 13 sesquiterpene synthases functionally characterized by Martin et al., just one, VviTPS24, encodes an enzyme (VviPNSeInt) that produces α-guaiene and only as a minor product (3.5% of the total). VviTPS24 is significantly upregulated during véraison in Syrah berries. Drew et al. transformed N. benthamiana with VviTPS24 cDNA isolated from Syrah berries and were able to detect α-guaiene and δ-guaiene as major products (44% and 35%, respectively). Due to the divergent range of products, the enzyme encoded by the Syrah cDNA was named VviGuaS. The transcript sequence of the VviGuaS variant of VviTPS24 is 99.5% identical to that of the previously characterized VviPNSeInt variant. The amino acid sequences of the two gene products differ at six positions, two of which, corresponding to T414S and V530M substitutions in VviPNSeInt, are located in the active site.

Site-directed mutagenesis of VviGuaS cDNA altered the product profile towards that reported for VviPNSeInt, with the VviGuaS-T414S/V530M double mutant having the most similar profile to VviPNSeInt and very little α-guaiene production. The VviGuaS variant of VviTPS24 is absent from the PN40024 genome; however, the V. vinifera cv. Pinot Noir genome contains ambiguous base calls at four of the six positions that are polymorphic between the two variants of VviTPS24. This suggests that Pinot Noir is heterozygous for both VviGuaS and VviPNSeInt and that the VviGuaS allele was lost during breeding for homozygosity to produce PN40024.

The existence of an allele with a major effect on the volatile profile of a cultivar and its absence in the reference genome illustrates a limitation of the PN40024 genome particularly as it derives from a genotype for which there is limited phenotypic data. Recently, Smit et al. conducted a functional characterization of VviTPS-a genes expressed in the inflorescences of several V. vinifera cultivars and found cultivar-specific structural variations with major impacts on gene function and floral sesquiterpene profile. It is likely that structural variations are also important contributors to differences in berry and wine aroma profiles. More research is needed to confirm if Pinot Noir is indeed heterozygous for the VviGuaS allele and to determine if Syrah is homozygous for the same allele. Heterozygosity of the VviTPS24 gene in Pinot Noir, a variety with low levels of rotundone, implies the existence of other factors impacting the conversion of α-guaiene to rotundone.

Huang et al. demonstrated that α-guaiene undergoes aerial oxidation to rotundone. However, VviSTO2, a cytochrome p450 enzyme located on chromosome 19 and belonging to the CYP71BE family, has also been shown to catalyze a one-step oxidation of α-guaiene to rotundone. VviSTO2 is more highly expressed in berry skins than in
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**Fig. 2** Formation of α-guaiene from farnesyl diphosphate (FPP) by the VviGuaS variant of VviTPS24 and formation of rotundone through the enzymatic oxidation of α-guaiene by VviSTO2, a member of the CYP71BE family of cytochrome p450 enzymes. (Adapted from refs. 81,84)
the pulp and at much greater levels in Syrah than in V. vinifera cv. Merlot, a cultivar not known for accumulating rotundone. Expression is also concurrent with the accumulation of rotundone and peaks at 14 weeks after flowering. In vitro assays with VviSTO2 protein exhibited enzyme activity towards α-guaiene and (+)-valencene only. Since neither (+)-valencene nor its oxidation product β-nootkatol have yet been isolated from ripe Syrah berries and no other sesquiterpenes were utilized as substrates, it is likely that the primary role of VviSTO2 is in rotundone production. Low VviSTO2 expression may account for the lack of rotundone in varieties with the VviGuaS variant of VviTPS24.

Norisoprenoids

Norisoprenoids are a diverse group of widespread compounds derived from the oxidative breakdown of carotenoids. They are important components of the aroma of many plants and plant products including roses, tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), tea (Camellia sinensis), saffron (Crocus sativus), watermelon (Citrullus lanatus), and osmanthus (Osmanthus fragrans). Although C13 norisoprenoids are most abundant in plants, compounds with 9 to 11 carbons occur as well. A large number of norisoprenoids have been identified in grape berries and wine; however, only a few have been established to have sensory significance. As with monoterpenes, the majority are found in the berry as non-volatile glycosides. Multiple precursors, each with various glycoside conjugates, are possible for each norisoprenoid.

The most important norisoprenoids for wine aroma are β-ionone, β-damascenone, vitispirane, actinidol, 4-(2,3,6-trimethylphenyl)buta-1,3-diene (TPB), 1,1,6-trimethyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalene (TDN), and 2,2,6-trimethylcyclohexanone (TCH). In wine, TCH has been isolated only from Port and is the only C9 with significant sensory importance identified so far. Since little is known about its biosynthesis, it will not be discussed further. β-Ionone, a compound with a “woody” or “violet” aroma is widespread in plants and occurs around or above its detection threshold of 90 ng/L in the wines of many grape cultivars. β-damascenone is ubiquitous in wines and many fruit products, and, despite only rarely exceeding detection thresholds, may have important indirect effects on wine aroma. β-damascenone is also found in cultivars of V. rotundifolia and is particularly abundant (5 μg/kg) in V. labrusca cv. Concord. TDN is characteristic of Riesling wines, while TPB was identified more recently. High levels of both TDN and TPB can contribute negative effects to wine aroma. The sensory contributions of vitispirane and actinidol are less clear.

Norisoprenoid formation from carotenoids can be non-enzymatic or catalyzed by a number of oxidative enzymes. However, the abundance of C13 norisoprenoids in grapes, as well as the preservation of carotenoid asymmetric centers in norisoprenoid products suggests an enzymatic pathway. The general mechanism of formation begins with cleavage of the carotenoid by a dioxygenase followed by enzymatic transformation of cleavage products to non-volatile precursors and ultimately conversion of the precursors to volatile norisoprenoids through acid catalyzed reactions (Fig. 3). Only β-ionone does not require further modification to be volatile. Norisoprenoids and their precursors are subject to a large number of possible reactions that adds enormous complexity to the study of their biosynthesis. The carotenoid precursors of norisoprenoids are extremely important pigments with essential roles in many plant functions such as photosynthesis, flower and fruit color, and phytohormone biosynthesis. While carotenoids play a major role in the skin color of many ripe fruits, the carotenoid profile in grape berries is more similar to that of leaves than other fruit. During berry ripening, there is a simultaneous increase in norisoprenoid content and decrease in carotenoid content.

Like monoterpenes, carotenoids are synthesized in the plastids via the MEP pathway and share DXS as a rate-limiting enzyme in their biosynthesis. Nonetheless, there have been limited studies comparing the carotenoid or norisoprenoid levels between grape cultivars with N284 and K284 VviDXS. Although, overexpression of K284 VviDXS, as well as transformation with the Muscat N284 VviDXS, causes upregulation of some genes involved in carotenoid production during anthesis and véraison, the effect on carotenoid content is unclear. Conversely, the overexpression of E. coli DXS in tomato results in greater accumulation of carotenoids and chlorophylls.

The initial cleavage of carotenoid molecules to yield C13 norisoprenoid skeletons is carried out by 9,10(9’,10’)-carotenoid cleavage dioxygenases (CCDs, Fig. 3). Although, nine CCD members have been identified in Arabidopsis, only CCD1 and CCD4 have been shown to cleave carotenoids at the 9,10 and 9’,10’ double bonds to yield two C13 ketone end groups and one C14 aldehyde. CCD4s have been functionally characterized in Crocus sativus, Malus x domestica, and Chrysanthemum x morifolium and cleave β-carotene to give β-ionone. Mathieu et al. identified VviCCD1 from a Syrah EST database. When expressed in E. coli, the recombinant protein catalyzed the cleavage of zeaxanthin and lutein to form 3-hydroxy-β-ionone but did not generate any cleavage products with β-carotene. In addition, unlike plastid-localized CCD4s, CCD1s are found in the cytosol where their carotenoid substrates are much less abundant. Nevertheless, the strong correlation between CCD1 expression and volatile C13 norisoprenoid production in rose and petunia (Petunia x atkinsiana).
suggests that the gene plays a role in the production of norisoprenoids in those flowers\textsuperscript{106,107}.

Overall, 72 non-redundant genes putatively involved in carotenoid metabolism in \textit{V. vinifera} were identified by Young et al. and Leng et al.\textsuperscript{15,108}. Of these, seven were annotated as CCDs: \textit{VviCCD1.1}, \textit{VviCCD1.2}, \textit{VviCCD4a}, \textit{VviCCD4b}, \textit{VviCCD4c}, \textit{VviCCD7}, and \textit{VviCCD8}. In Pinot noir, \textit{VviCCD1} exists as a tandem duplication on chromosome 13, while there are three paralogues of \textit{VviCCD4} located on chromosomes 2 and 16 with highly conserved amino acid sequences\textsuperscript{15,16}. Functional characterization of \textit{VviCCD1}, \textit{VviCCD4a}, and \textit{VviCCD4b} isolated from \textit{V. vinifera} cv. Pinotage determined that they were all able to catalyze 9,10(9',10') cleavage from a variety of carotenoid substrates, with \textit{VviCCD1} being the sole CCD to produce β-ionone from β-carotene\textsuperscript{16}. The
expression patterns of VviCCD1, VviCCD4a, and VviCCD4b supports the possibility of their role in norisoprenoid biosynthesis.

VviCCD1 expression has been found to increase several fold at véraison in a number of grape cultivars, while VviCCD4a and VviCCD4b expression peaks during ripening. VviCCD1 and VviCCD4 transcript levels are negatively correlated with carotenoid content in Fujimorini, a tetraploid table grape with V. vinifera and V. labrusca parentage, and Sauvignon blanc. Similarly, norisoprenoid content peaked 1 week after induction of VviCCD1 expression in Muscat of Alexandria and Syrah. Norisoprenoid profiles of the two cultivars were dominated by modified (via an oxidase or reductase) products of carotenoid cleavage. A grape cell suspension culture was also shown to metabolize β-ionone into oxygenated, reduced, and glycosylated derivatives, but the enzymes responsible have not yet been identified.

On the other hand, the direct cleavage product of zeaxanthin and lutein in VviCCD1, 3-hydroxy-β-ionone, is a major component of the free norisoprenoids in Muscat of Alexandria.

Although the preceding in vitro experiments and expression patterns suggest a role for VviCCD1 in the cleavage of carotenoids, transformation experiments give a more complicated picture. Overexpression of VviCCD1 in V. vinifera cv. Sultana did not affect leaf carotenoid nor norisoprenoid concentrations, while in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), silencing of LeCCD1 resulted in a 50% decrease fruit β-ionone concentrations without reducing carotenoid levels. When incubated in vitro with various carotenoids and apocarotenoids, OsCCD1-overexpressing rice (Oryza sativa) endosperms metabolized apocarotenoids more effectively than carotenoids. Ilg et al. proposed that the apocarotenoid cleavage activity observed with OsCCD1 is due to a function of CCD1s as scavengers of non-enzymatic cleavage products of carotenoids resulting from high light stress.

Similarly, the role of VviCCD1 may be to catalyze the cleavage of apocarotenoids that have been exported into the cytoplasm after partial metabolism by other CCDs such as CCD4s, whose expression is upregulated during berry development.

Unlike β-ionone, β-damascenone is not the direct product of dioxygenase cleavage of a carotenoid. Concentrations in berries are generally much lower than in wine, indicating that further chemical transformations are necessary to generate free β-damascenone. The formation of β-damascenone is complex and has been reviewed in detail by Sefton et al. A major proposed precursor of β-damascenone is grasshopper ketone, a ketodiol resulting from dioxygenase cleavage of neoxanthin. The ketodiol is then enzymatically reduced to megastigma-6,7-dien-3,5,9-triol which may then undergo acid-catalyzed conversion to β-damascenone. The two compounds have been isolated from grape juice as glycoside conjugates, supporting their role as the precursors for β-damascenone formation. While no candidate enzymes have been proposed for the reduction of grasshopper ketone to form the triol, a rose CCD1 (RdCCD1) has been shown to produce grasshopper ketone from neoxanthin. It remains to be determined if any VviCCDs have the same activity.

In contrast to the fairly ubiquitous β-ionone and β-damascenone, only a few genotypes produce wines with aromatically significant quantities of TDN. TDN is a characteristic component of Riesling wines and reaches concentrations as high as 200 μg/L. Very little research on TDN content in cultivars besides Riesling has been carried out, but small amounts of TDN have been identified from juices and wines of other cultivars.

As TDN is produced from the slow hydrolysis of glycosylated precursors during wine fermentation and aging, this suggests that Riesling has higher concentrations of TDN precursors than other cultivars; however, the precursors are not completely absent in other grapes. Although a number of possible precursors have been isolated, neither the enzymes involved, nor the starting carotenoids have been identified.

Compared with the other major norisoprenoids, the formation of vitispiranes, actinidols, TPB, and their precursors is still poorly understood. TDN concentrations have been found to correlate positively with vitispirane, although it is possible that TDN can be reduced to the latter compound by yeast during fermentation. As with TDN, a number of norisoprenoid precursors are possible for TPB. Three, including the actinidols, occur as glycoconjugates and are hydrolyzed to form TPB during wine aging. It is interesting that while Riesling, a cultivar with moderate levels of monoterpenes, has an abundance of TDN and vitispirane, Muscat cultivars rich in monoterpenes do not. Riesling is also not significantly higher in β-damascenone or β-ionone. This suggests the existence of genetic mechanisms not found in other cultivars that increase the formation of TDN and vitispirane precursors.

**Methoxypyrazines**

3-alkyl-2-methoxypyrazines are a widespread class of potent odorants found in many kingdoms. They are often characterized by extremely low detection thresholds (2–16 ng/L in wine), as well as by aromas described as herbaceous, green, vegetal, and earthy. Methoxypyrazines have been detected in a number of Vitis species such as V. amurensis, V. cinerea, V. riparia, V. rupestris, and V. vinifera. Their high concentration in unripe fruit, toxic plants, and aposematic insects suggests a protective role for developing seeds. These compounds constitute an integral element of the aromas of some
French *V. vinifera* cultivars such as Cabernet Sauvignon and Carménère. Smaller quantities of methoxypyrazines have also been found in other cultivars: Grenache, Pinot noir, Riesling, and Syrah. Although they can be perceived negatively when present in excess in wine, methoxypyrazines are desirable in small quantities, particularly for white wines. The most abundant methoxypyrazine in grapes and wine is 3-isobutyl-2-methoxypyrazine (IBMP), a compound also responsible for the characteristic aroma of green bell pepper. Unlike IBMP, the next two most abundant methoxypyrazines, 3-isopropyl-2-methoxypyrazine (IPMP) and 3-sec-butyl-2-methoxypyrazine (SBMP), rarely occur above detection threshold in grape berry.

Methoxypyrazines reach their highest concentrations in the vegetative organs of the grapevine. In contrast to its low abundance in berries, IPMP reaches concentrations of 8000 ng/L in roots. On the other hand, IBMP is most abundant in mature basal leaves. In berries, methoxypyrazines show a distinct pattern of accumulation in early development until the onset of véraison followed by rapid decrease until harvest regardless of final concentration. For varieties with low methoxypyrazine levels in mature fruit, prévéraison concentrations are a fraction of those in high-methoxypyrazine varieties and decline to undetectable levels after véraison.

The mechanisms for this decline have not been resolved and may be due to a dilution effect from berry expansion or demethylation to a nonvolatile hydroxypyrazine.

A number of biosynthetic pathways have been postulated for the production of methoxypyrazines. A mechanism for bacterial synthesis starting with amidation of a branched chain amino acid followed by condensation with glyoxal was first proposed in 1970. However, the reaction is chemically unfavorable and glyoxal has not been isolated in plants. A second pathway involving the condensation of two amino acids to form the cyclic backbone has been proposed as well, but neither have been demonstrated in plants. Only the final O-methylation of the nonvolatile 3-alkyl-2-hydroxyprazynine precursors has been elucidated in grapevine. 3-isobutyl-2-hydroxyprazynine (IBHP) occurs in comparable concentrations in pre-véraison berries of low-methoxypyrazine and high-methoxypyrazine varieties, indicating that differences in accumulation are not due to a lack of precursor molecules.

Methylation of the precursors is catalyzed by O-methyltransferases (OMTs), which use *S*-adenosyl-L-methionine as the methyl donor. Four OMTs have been identified in grapevine (*VviOMT1*, *VviOMT2*, *VviOMT3*, and *VviOMT4*). The first grape OMT with activity towards hydroxypyrazines was isolated in 2001 from Cabernet Sauvignon berries. *VviOMT1* along with another OMT with a similar gene sequence (*VviOMT2*). Recombinant *VviOMT1* and *VviOMT2* products exhibited activity towards hydroxypyrazine substrates with *VviOMT1* having greater catalytic efficiency. However, hydroxypyrazines may not be their main substrate, as both enzymes had 100-fold greater activity towards caffeic acid and quercetin. To identify additional loci affecting methoxypyrazine accumulation, QTL analysis was performed on several mapping populations consisting of progeny between Cabernet Sauvignon, a high-IBMP cultivar, and a low-IBMP producing parent.

Analyzing IBMP production in an F1 population derived from Cabernet Sauvignon and a dwarf vine derived from *V. vinifera* cv. Pinot Meunier showed that all progeny produced IBMP at varying concentrations. This lead Dunlevy et al. to hypothesize that production of IBMP is a dominant trait for which Cabernet Sauvignon is homozygous. A 3:1 segregation ratio of IBMP production in a derived F2 population confirmed their hypothesis. In addition, a methoxypyrazine-associated QTL was located on chromosome 3 in the F2 population. This locus contained 261 putative genes from which *VviOMT3* and *VviOMT4* were identified. Guillaumie et al. conducted a separate marker-trait association on a population of Cabernet Sauvignon x *V. riparia* cv. Gloire de Montpellier. They identified five QTLs accounting for 41% of the variance in IBMP content, including the QTL encompassing *VviOMT3* and *VviOMT4* along with another QTL colocalizing with *VviOMT1* and *VviOMT2*.

Functional characterization of *VviOMT3* and *VviOMT4* by Dunlevy et al. found that Cabernet Sauvignon *VviOMT3* has a catalytic efficiency 150-fold to 7000-fold greater than *VviOMT1*, 2, and 4. Interestingly, *VviOMT3* encoded by an allele from the low-methoxypyrazine cultivar Pinot noir was found to be only slightly less efficient than Cabernet Sauvignon, which is in contrast to Guillaumie et al.’s findings. *VviOMT3* is relatively specific for hydroxypyrazines, and substrate specificity between alleles from different cultivars is not significantly different. Together with the similar catalytic efficiency of *VviOMT3* alleles from low-methoxypyrazine varieties, this suggests that varietal differences in methoxypyrazine levels is not due to altered enzyme function but instead due to variations in gene expression.

Several studies have shown that the expression of *VviOMT3* peaks early in berry development. A lack of differences in *VviOMT1* and *VviOMT2* transcript levels between high-methoxypyrazine and low-methoxypyrazine cultivars suggests that these enzymes are not responsible for differences in IBMP concentration, but may produce the small amount measured in low-methoxypyrazine cultivars. In contrast, *VviOMT3* is many
orders of magnitude more highly expressed in Carménère and Cabernet Sauvignon than in Pinot noir or Petit Verdot. The upregulation of VviOMT3 in high-methoxypyrazine genotypes and its lack of expression in other genotypes suggests that differences in transcription are responsible for variations in methoxypyrazine content.

To determine the mechanisms associated with VviOMT3 expression variation, Dunlevy et al. examined the non-coding regions of the gene in Cabernet Sauvignon and Pinot noir. Three alleles were identified: one for which Cabernet Sauvignon is homozygous, and two in Pinot noir. Although the exact mechanism suppressing VviOMT3 expression in Pinot noir is not yet known, the authors identified polymorphisms among the three alleles including a transposon in one Pinot noir allele. All Cabernet Sauvignon × Pinot Meunier F2 progeny that contain at least one Cabernet Sauvignon VviOMT3 allele accumulate methoxypyrazines. This indicates that a single Pinot allele is insufficient for repressing the Cabernet Sauvignon allele. Association mapping of 91 V. vinifera cultivars found that cultivars with the highest methoxypyrazine concentrations possess at least one copy of the Cabernet Sauvignon VviOMT3 allele. Interestingly, Cabernet Sauvignon, Carménère, and Merlot are all high-methoxypyrazine cultivars, suggesting a positive selection for this distinctive aroma trait likely inherited from their common parent Cabernet Franc.

**Furanones derivatives**

Furanones are pentose-derived and hexose-derived odorants, often with extremely low odor detection thresholds, that constitute important components of the aromas of some fruits. The most prominent of these is 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)-furanone or furaneol, a major component of the characteristic aroma of several fruits. The majority of furanone in M. rotundifolia berries exists as non-volatile furanone glucopyranoside. Fifty-nine percent of the furanone content and 72% of furanone glucopyranoside in berries of hybrid cultivar Muscat Bailey A are contained in the flesh. The most common furan derivatives in V. vinifera wine, e.g., furfural and sotolon, are introduced during fermentation and aging. However, furanone has also been identified from the wines of a number of V. vinifera cultivars. Concentrations are much lower than in M. rotundifolia and V. labrusca hybrids, but can still significantly exceed the detection threshold of 5–37 μg/L. Low concentrations of furanone may contribute to the fruitiness of V. vinifera wines.

The biosynthetic pathway for furanone has not been elucidated in grape, and only a few key enzymes have been functionally characterized in strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa) and tomato. In strawberry, D-fructose-1,6-diphosphate is first converted into 4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-methylene-3(2H)-furanone (HMFF) by a yet unknown enzyme. HMFF is then converted into furaneol by an enone oxidoreductase (FaEO). An enone oxidoreductase bearing 71% amino acid identity with FaEO was identified in tomato and shown to have similar activity towards HMFF when expressed in E. coli. This, along with an enone oxidoreductase identified in furaneol-rich pine-apple (Ananas comosus), suggests that the furanone biosynthetic pathway may occur in Vitis as well.

An enone reductase sharing 73% identity with FaEO was identified among the PN40024 predicted proteins but has not yet been shown to catalyze furanone from HMFF. Like strawberries, V. labrusca hybrids accumulate large amounts of furanone glucoside. Small amounts of the glucoside are found in V. vinifera berries as well and can act as precursors for furanone during wine fermentation and aging. A UDP-glucose:furanone glucosyltransferase (UG785K14) with 98% sequence identity between V. labrusca and V. vinifera was identified from Muscat Bailey A by Sasaki et al. Heterologous expression of UGT85K14 produced a protein that catalyzed the production of furanone glucoside from furanone and UDP-glucose (Fig. 4). Despite the major differences in furanone and furanone glucoside concentrations between V. labrusca and V. vinifera cultivars, UGT85K14 is expressed in berries from both species and expression is not significantly different between V. labrusca cv. Concord and Cabernet Sauvignon.

**Lipoxygenase pathway products**

The products of the lipoxygenase pathway, primarily C6 and C9 aldehydes and alcohols, are involved in plant wound responses and defense strategies against pests and diseases. They comprise a major percentage of total grape and wine volatiles, ranging from 40 to 97% in V. vinifera and V. amurensis cultivars and between 25 and 57% in V. labrusca cultivars and hybrids. Lipoxigenase products are often described as having an herbaceous, leafy “green” aroma and can be a negative contributor to wine aroma. However, they contribute a non-negligible supply of substrates necessary for the formation of desirable esters during fermentation. C6 aldehydes may also be involved in the production of volatile thiols, as described in the following section. Like many volatile compounds found in grapes, a large proportion of C6 compounds are glycosylated. Functionally characterized monoterpenol glucosyltransferases (GTs) were shown to exhibit in vitro catalytic activity towards C6 alcohols, but it is unknown if they have this role in vivo.
In many plants, the lipoxygenase pathway is activated upon tissue damage or pathogen infection; however, the presence of C₆ compounds in undamaged ripe berries suggests that the pathway is active to some extent.³⁴ The production of C₆ alcohols and aldehydes from free fatty acids is initiated by lipoxygenases (LOXs, Fig. 5a). LOXs are a ubiquitous family of fatty acid dioxygenases that target polyunsaturated fatty acids such as linoleic and α-linolenic acids. Plant LOXs can be classified into two groups by their target oxygenation site at either the 9th (9-LOXs) or 13th (13-LOXs) carbon of the fatty acid. 13-LOXs have recently been characterized in grape, 3Z,2E-enal isomerases responsible for converting (Z)-3-hexenal to (E)-2-hexenal have recently been characterized in cucumber (Cucumis sativus) and pepper (Capsicum annuum)³⁵,³⁶. While VviHPL1 is restricted to 13(S)-hydroperoxides, VviHPL2 is also capable of utilizing 9(S)-hydroperoxides as a substrate, producing C₉ aldehydes. VviHPL1 has a much higher catalytic activity towards 13(S)-HPOT compared with VviHPL2.³⁷ In several cultivars, both genes are expressed at a low level until véraison when they increase rapidly in expression followed by a peak near maturity. Expression of both VviHPL genes correlates with the accumulation of hexanal and the two hexenals until the 10th week post-flowering, after which their concentrations decrease while HPL expression continues to increase.¹³,³⁴,¹⁵⁵,¹⁵⁶ The predominance of (E)-2-hexenal over hexanal immediately after véraison in Cabernet Sauvignon led Kalua and Boss to suggest that the α-linolenic acid to 13(S)-HPOT to (E)-2-hexenal pathway is favored.³⁸ Decreases in C₆ aldehydes during fruit ripening may be due to conjugation with glutathione or reduction to alcohols by alcohol dehydrogenases (ADHs).³⁹,⁴⁰ Like in many other angiosperms, grape ADHs are encoded by a small multi-gene family. Six ADH isoforms, VviADH1-6, have been identified on chromosomes 4 and 18. Only VviADH1-3 have so far been shown to be expressed in berries. VviADH1 and VviADH3 increase in expression from fruit set until véraison upon which expression levels decline.⁴¹ This is consistent with the accumulation of aliphatic alcohols in berries of the interspecific hybrid Kyoho. In contrast, concentrations of hexanol in Cabernet Sauvignon berries increase dramatically at véraison and continue increasing through ripening.³⁴ This difference in alcohol accumulation may be due to
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**Diagram:**

Fig. 4 Putative biosynthetic pathway of 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)-furanone (furaneol) from D-fructose-1,6-diphosphate and subsequent glucosylation by UDP-glucosyltransferase UGT85K14. (Adapted from refs. 144,145)
to their utilization as substrates for ester production in Kyoho.

Unlike VviADH1 and VviADH3, VviADH2 shows very low expression prior to véraison followed by a rapid increase in expression and enzyme activity. At its peak, VviADH2 expression is considerably higher than the maxima of the other two ADH isoforms\textsuperscript{1,156,163,164}. In a number of varieties, VviADH2 is downregulated in late ripening; however, enzyme activity continues to increase, indicating that there is little turnover in the enzyme\textsuperscript{163}.

Fig. 5 Proposed biosynthesis of volatile lipoxygenase pathway products and polyfunctional thiol precursors. a Schematic representation of lipoxygenase pathway metabolism of free fatty acids in grape berries. Not all products are shown. Conversion of 1-hexanol to hexyl acetate by VviAAT is putative and has not been shown experimentally. b Hypothetical 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol precursor formation from E-2-hexenal. Only VviGST3 and VviGST4 have been functionally characterized (Adapted from\textsuperscript{21,152,155,180})
Although grapes are a non-climacteric fruit, the promoter of \textit{VviADH2} contains ethylene response elements, and ADH activity is increased in \textit{V. vinifera} cells grown in suspension in the presence of ethylene-generating compounds\textsuperscript{161}. Despite significantly altered ADH activity, under-expression and overexpression of \textit{VviADH2} in \textit{V. vinifera} cv. Portan caused minimal changes to the concentrations of volatile compounds in mature berries\textsuperscript{164}. This is in contrast to similar experiments in tomato where increased ADH activity resulted in higher concentrations of hexanol and (Z)-3-hexenol\textsuperscript{165}.

As the most highly expressed \textit{VviADH} in ripe berries, \textit{VviADH2} may also be important for the production of wines through carbonic maceration\textsuperscript{166}. ADH is necessary for the regeneration of NAD\textsuperscript{+} during alcoholic fermentation, and the upregulation of \textit{ADH} is a common response to anaerobic conditions in plants\textsuperscript{167,168}. Although research on gene expression during anaerobic metabolism of grapes is limited, anaerobic conditions were shown to induce the production of ADH protein in cultured cells but not whole berries\textsuperscript{169}. Carbonic maceration has been shown to increase the concentration of higher alcohols in grape berries\textsuperscript{170,171}. This may be due to the action of \textit{VviADH2} after the onset of anaerobic metabolism. More research is necessary to elucidate the role of \textit{VviADH2} in the development of volatile compounds associated with carbonic maceration.

Alcohols produced by ADH can contribute to grape and wine flavor on their own or as substrates for ester production by endogenous and yeast alcohol acyltransferases (AAT)\textsuperscript{150}. Despite their predominance in wine, most wine esters are the product of yeast metabolism\textsuperscript{172}. Endogenously produced esters are not an important component of ripe \textit{V. vinifera} berries. In contrast, at 33\% to 73\% of total volatile compounds, esters constitute a major proportion of the volatile profile of \textit{V. labrusca}, \textit{V. riparia} and \textit{M. rotundifolia} berries\textsuperscript{26,148,173}. The predominant esters in \textit{V. labrusca} and \textit{M. rotundifolia} cultivars and hybrids are ethyl acetate, ethyl butanoate, ethyl 2-butoxanoate, ethyl hexanoate, and ethyl 2-hexenoate\textsuperscript{1,26,148}.

Like many other aroma compounds, esters accumulate in berries beginning at véraison and rapidly increase in concentration until ripening. Ji et al. found that the rapid post-véraison increase in ester concentration coincided with dramatic upregulation of \textit{VviAAT} expression in Kyoho\textsuperscript{1}. Although ester concentrations in \textit{V. vinifera} berries are typically very low, \textit{VviAAT} expression and ester accumulation during berry development parallels that of Kyoho in some cultivars, albeit at lower amounts and with a predominance of C\textsubscript{6}-acetates\textsuperscript{156}. Concentrations of (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate and (Z)-3-hexenyl butanoate are comparable with those of monoterpenes during the early development of Cabernet Sauvignon berries.

However, ester concentrations decline to low levels after véraison. This suggests that \textit{VviAAT} is present only during early development\textsuperscript{34}.

\textit{VviAAT} has not yet been experimentally shown to have enzymatic activity\textsuperscript{8}. AATs belong to the BAHD superfamily of acyltransferases. They share the ability to act on multiple alcohols and acyl-coAs, producing a wide range of products\textsuperscript{164,174}. AATs in kiwi (\textit{Actinidia chinensis}) and apple can utilize a range of substrates deriving from the lipoxygenase pathway and amino acid metabolism with the products determined by enzyme specificity and relative substrate concentrations\textsuperscript{175,176}. The only studied grape \textit{AAT} encodes an anthraniloyl-coenzyme A:acyl-CoA acyltransferase (AMAT) and was isolated from \textit{V. labrusca} cv. Concord. This AMAT bears 81\% sequence similarity to \textit{VviAAT}\textsuperscript{168}. AMAT catalyzes the production of methyl anthranilate, a compound responsible for “labrusca character,” from anthraniloyl-CoA and methanol. The enzyme has been shown to utilize multiple different alcohols as a substrate and may also play a role in the biosynthesis of butanoate and hexanoate esters in \textit{V. labrusca} berries\textsuperscript{168}. However, a study on the inheritance of “labrusca character” found no correlation between methyl anthranilate and total ester concentrations\textsuperscript{177}. Methyl anthranilate is an important component of the varietal character of \textit{V. labrusca} cultivars; however, it is derived from the phenylpropanoid pathway and will be further discussed in the corresponding section.

**Volatile sulfur compounds**

Volatile sulfur compounds range from intensely malodorous to pleasantly fruity and are integral to the aromas of many plants such as passionfruit, black currant, garlic, and asparagus. They are also important volatile components of meat, mushrooms, and wine\textsuperscript{1,11,21,178}. Until the discovery of thiols that contribute positive aromas to wines, sulfur compounds were generally associated with wine defects\textsuperscript{178}. The most common volatile sulfur compounds in wine are thiols, hydrogen sulfide, dimethylmercaptans, and methylthioesters. Many of these are the products of microbial metabolism during fermentation; however, polyfunctional thiols and methylthioesters are crucial components of “varietal character” in some varieties and originate from precursors found in the berry. Sauvignon blanc is most well-known for aroma characters derived from thiols, but sulfur compounds are also important contributors to \textit{V. vinifera} cultivars such as Muscat blanc, Gewürztraminer, Riesling, Chenin blanc and Semillon\textsuperscript{11,179}. Polyfunctional thiols and their precursors have been previously reviewed in excellent detail\textsuperscript{20,178,180}. Aside from a brief overview on the most important thiols and their precursors, this section will focus on their biosynthesis and what little is known about the genes involved.
4-mercapto-4-methylpentan-2-one (4MMP), a compound with the aroma of box tree (Buxus), was the first sulfur compound identified as an important contributor to the aroma of Sauvignon blanc. Subsequently, Tominaga et al. identified 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol (3MH) and its acetate ester (3MHA), often described as “grapefruit” and “passionfruit”, respectively, from Sauvignon blanc wine. Although generally present at lower concentrations, 3MH and 3MHA were found to also contribute to perceived fruitiness in wines made from Cabernet Sauvignon and Merlot. 4-mercapto-4-methylpentan-2-ol (4MMPOH) contributes to the citrus elements of the aromas of Muscat blanc and Sauvignon blanc. These four compounds represent the major contributors to Sauvignon blanc character and have perception thresholds of 0.8–60 ng/L. Compounds such as 2-furanmethanethiol, 2-methyl-3-furanthiol, and 2-sulfanylethyl acetate that contribute smoky, roasted meat, or coffee aromas to wines have also been isolated, but the sources of these compounds remain unclear.

Unlike the majority of volatile compounds important for grape aroma, 3MH, 3MHA, 4MMP, and 4MMPOH do not exist in volatile form nor as glycosylated conjugates in the berry. Rather, the metabolic action of yeast is necessary to convert the precursors to the volatile compounds. 3MHA is formed during fermentation through the esterification of 3MH by yeast enzymes and will not be discussed further. The exact source of thiol precursors in wine remains disputed with several hypotheses involving cysteine and/or glutathione conjugates of grape origin. Alternatively or simultaneously, thiols may be generated through the addition of a sulfhydryl functional group from hydrogen sulfide to an aliphatic compound like (E)-2-hexenal. For the latter hypothesis, the (E)-2-hexenal starting compound originates from the lipoxygenase pathway and its biosynthesis has been discussed in that section of this review.

Glutathione and cysteine conjugates of 3MH and 4MMP have been observed from grape juice. Both glutathione-conjugated and cysteine-conjugated precursors can undergo β-lyase-catalyzed cleavage by yeast to release the free polyfunctional thiol. However, several studies have found limited correlation between juice concentrations of precursors and thiol levels in the resulting wine. The addition of precursors does have a significant impact on final thiol concentrations but only a small amount of precursor is actually converted to a volatile form. In addition, no correlation between the biosynthesis of 3MH and 4MMP has been found. It is possible that there are several sources of volatile thiols in Sauvignon blanc wines. While the exact pathway responsible for the formation of volatile thiols remains unresolved, what limited genetic evidence exists supports some contribution from glutathione and cysteine precursors. Certainly, the occurrence of volatile thiols including 3MH in ripe guava (Psidium guajava) and yellow passionfruit (Passiflora edulis f. flavicarpa) implies the existence of a biosynthetic pathway for these molecules in plants.

The proposed glutathione precursor of 3MH (3MHGlut) appears to result from conjugation of glutathione with (E)-2-hexenal through the action of a glutathione S-transferase (GST, Fig. 5b). GST expression can be induced by the presence of (E)-2-hexenal and other aldehydes. This links production of thiols to the lipoxygenase pathway. Increases in glutathione and cysteine precursors have been found to coincide with greater accumulation of glutathione and (E)-2-hexenal immediately before harvest. In plants, GSTs are a highly expanded gene family with diverse functions often related to detoxification and abiotic and biotic stress responses. GSH conjugation of C6 aldehydes may be a mechanism to minimize cellular damage caused by the electrophilic nature of the compounds.

Kobayashi et al. found that following exposure to UV-C radiation or downy mildew (Plasmopara viticola) infection, 3MH-glut accumulated in grape leaves and berries in correlation with the upregulation of VviGST1, VviGST3, and VviGST4. Recombinant VviGST3 and VviGST4 catalyzed the formation of 3MH-glut from glutathione and (E)-2-hexenal, indicating that the two genes may be responsible for thiol precursor production in grapes. However, more studies are necessary to determine if cultivar variations in VviGST sequences or expression are responsible for differences in thiol concentration. Interestingly, infection with Botrytis cinerea dramatically increases 4MMP and 3MH levels in Semillon. Botrytis infection has been shown to cause upregulation of the lipoxygenase (LOX) pathway genes VviLOXC and VviLOXO, as well as 50 GST genes, including VviGST3 and VviGST4 in Semillon berries. This supports the hypothesis that lipoxygenase pathway products are the precursors for glutathione conjugates of polyfunctional thiols.

The combined actions of two enzymes, a γ-glutamyltranspeptidase (GGT) and a carboxypeptidase, may convert glutathione conjugated thiols to their cysteine conjugates. Dubourdieu and Tominaga proposed that GGT catalyzes the removal of glutamate from 3MH-glut, resulting in the production of S-3-mercaptohexanol-L-cysteinylglycine (3MH-cysgly). This is followed by carboxypeptidase-catalyzed removal of the glycine to yield cysteine-conjugated 3MH (3MH-cys). Alternatively, a carboxypeptidase can react with 3MH-glut first, yielding a glutamycysteinylated conjugate (3MH-glucys). This can then react with GGT and produce 3MH-cys (Fig. 5b). 3MH-cysgly has been shown to occur in grape juice, and
the existence of 3MH-glucys was inferred by the production of 3MH-cys following the passage of Sauvignon blanc juice through a column containing immobilized GGT\textsuperscript{191,205}.

3MH-glucys and 3MH-cysgly have also been found in the juice of yellow passionfruit, a fruit with high concentrations of 3MH\textsuperscript{196}. Although no genes encoding a GGT or carboxypeptidase have been functionally characterized in grape, similar pathways in other organisms and the existence of the appropriate intermediates in grape suggest that they may be involved in the production of thiol precursors\textsuperscript{157,178,180,206}. GGT-mediated catabolism of glutathione conjugates is well-documented in mammals and has also been shown to occur in plants; however, glutathione catabolism in plants is complicated and incompletely elucidated. GGTs are encoded by multiple genes in Arabidopsis, barley (Hordeum vulgare), and maize (Zea mays)\textsuperscript{206}. In Arabidopsis, knockout mutants of GGT3 (At4g29210) are unable to metabolize GSH conjugates in the roots\textsuperscript{207}. Kobayashi et al. measured an increase in VviGGT expression in grape leaves following UV-C exposure but were unable to express a recombinant protein and test its catalytic activity\textsuperscript{157}. Blanco-Ulate et al. found that two putative carboxypeptidases and a GGT are upregulated during Botrytis infection\textsuperscript{204}. More research is necessary to determine which genes are responsible for thiol precursor biosynthesis and how their activity and expression differ between cultivars.

Even though very little is known about the genes involved in production of thiol precursors, many of the cultivars known for thiol content share parent-offspring or sibling relationships\textsuperscript{5,56,178,208}. The shared ancestry between many thiol-rich cultivars suggests that there may be common alleles responsible for increased production of thiol precursors. Alternatively, the potential for thiol formation may be present in a number of unrelated varieties and has gone unnoticed due to their low concentration.

**Phenylpropanoids**

The phenylpropanoid pathway is well known in grapes as the starting point for the biosynthesis of flavonoids, anthocyanins, stilbenes, hydroxycinnamates, and other important compounds\textsuperscript{209,210}. Less well studied is the biosynthesis of volatile phenylpropanoids despite their abundance in the glycosidically bound fraction of volatile compounds in grape juice\textsuperscript{11}. As with lipoxygenase pathway compounds, grape monoterpene glucosyltransferases have been shown to generate glucosides with phenylpropanoid compounds as substrates; however, it is not known how much they contribute to the pool of bound phenylpropanoids in the berry\textsuperscript{72}. As a group, phenylpropanoid-derived volatile compounds comprise up to 20% of volatile aglycones in enzymatically treated Chardonnay juice, albeit individual compounds generally do not exceed detection threshold. They may still contribute to the overall sensory properties of a wine since some, such as phenylethanol and eugenol, are also produced by microbes during fermentation and aging\textsuperscript{11,211}. Although the mechanisms for their formation in grapevine have not been well studied, the phenylpropanoid volatiles found in grape are not unique to the genus, and it is likely that the biosynthetic pathways are similar\textsuperscript{21}.

Eugenol is a characteristic volatile component of cloves and is also widespread in many plant species including grape\textsuperscript{11,212}. In basil (Ocimum basilicum), eugenol is synthesized from coniferyl acetate and NADPH\textsuperscript{28}. Although present in V. vinifera berries, eugenol is more abundant in non-vinifera species\textsuperscript{3}. While a eugenol synthase gene has not been isolated and characterized in grape, transcript levels of an enzyme identified from a M. rotundifolia proteome as eugenol synthase were found to increase during ripening\textsuperscript{213}. However, this was not accompanied by data regarding eugenol concentrations.

Of the phenylpropanoid-derived aroma compound in grapes, the biosynthesis of methyl anthranilate is best studied. Methyl anthranilate is an important component of the odor of V. labrusca cultivars and contributes to the “foxy” character of V. labrusca cultivars and hybrids despite its relatively low concentration compared with other esters\textsuperscript{3,8}. Although primarily associated with American Vitis species and their hybrids, methyl anthranilate has also been detected from Pinot noir wine along with ethyl esters of anthranilic and cinnamic acids\textsuperscript{214}. In V. labrusca, methyl anthranilate is synthesized from anthraniloyl-coA and methanol by an anthraniloylcoenzyme A: methanol acyltransferase (AMAT). AMAT expression begins at véraison and peaks 16 weeks after flowering in the berry mesocarp, paralleling the enzyme activity, as well as the accumulation of methyl anthranilate and its precursor anthranilic acid\textsuperscript{8}.

Anthranilic acid is produced by anthranilate synthase (AS) in the first step of tryptophan biosynthesis from chorismate\textsuperscript{215}. Tryptophan concentration increases during ripening along with the concentration of anthranilic acid; however, it is unknown whether the increase in anthranilic acid is due to higher AS activity or tryptophan metabolism\textsuperscript{8,216}. To produce methyl anthranilate, anthranilic acid is esterified with methanol supplied by methylesterase-mediated hydrolysis of pectins. Although other V. labrusca cultivars have similar AMAT expression and enzymatic activity to Concord, methyl anthranilate concentration appears to be limited by the rate of formation of the anthraniloyl-coA and methanol precursors\textsuperscript{8}. Concord had the highest methanol content and pectin-methylesterase activity among five V. labrusca cultivars and hybrids studied\textsuperscript{217}. The segregation ratio of methyl anthranilate concentrations in two families of crosses
between cultivars with high and low methyl anthranilate content suggests that three dominant complementary genes are responsible. Tryptophan metabolism is also important in the production of 2′-aminoacetophenone (2-AAP), another compound responsible for the “foxy” aroma of V. labrusca and M. rotundifolia.

The presence of methyl anthranilate, 2-AAP, and high total ester content in V. labrusca and M. rotundifolia and their relative absence in other grape species may be an adaptation for mammalian seed dispersal. Methyl anthranilate and 2-AAP act as bird repellents and olfactory attractants to mammals. 2-AAP has also been implicated as the cause of “untypical aging off-flavor” in wines made from V. vinifera. Described as having an odor of “wet wool”, “furniture polish”, or “mothballs”. While the publication of the PN40024 reference genome has not been reported from V. vinifera berries and the biosynthetic pathway in V. labrusca and M. rotundifolia has not been explored to date.

Conclusions
Although there are still many unanswered questions regarding the biosynthesis of aroma compounds, our understanding of the genes involved is steadily improving. A number of genes related to secondary metabolism have been functionally characterized in the years since Dunlevy et al.’s excellent 2009 review on aroma biosynthesis in grapes. Knowledge of the genes involved in the biosynthesis of a particular aroma compound can help breeders select for desirable genotypes and raise the possibility of modulating the expression of these genes to attain a desired volatile profile in the resulting wine.

While the publication of the PN40024 reference genome has been invaluable in the identification of grapevine genes, it is not without its limitations. Reliance on a single genome sequence of a genotype for which we have no volatile compound data can be misleading due to structural variants that result in altered gene expression and function in other genotypes. Drastic changes in aroma profile due to small mutations in key genes such as VviDX5 or the VviGuA5 variant of VviTPS24 that are absent in PN40024 exemplify the limitations of a single reference genome. Moreover, we cannot assume that a V. vinifera genome sequence is adequate for non-vinifera cultivars and hybrids. The recent publication of additional high-quality V. vinifera genomes will undoubtedly facilitate the discovery and characterization of genes and alleles responsible for varietal characteristics.

Unlike many domesticated annual crops, V. vinifera cultivars have retained much of the diversity of wild grapevines, and the majority of cultivars are likely only a few generations removed from the wild progenitor. Clonal propagation of selected grape cultivars has allowed for the spread of desirable genotypes; however, this has also limited the distribution of potentially valuable rare mutations such as the REN1 powdery mildew (Erysiphe necator) resistance allele found in a few V. vinifera cultivars. Over half of the accessions in the USDA germplasm collection are connected by first-degree relationships. Worldwide, viticulture is characterized by the increased plantings of a small number of elite cultivars, resulting in limited exploitation of the genetic diversity available. Not only does this underutilization of genetic resources result in vineyards susceptible to attack by insects and disease, genes for novel flavor compounds could exist in rare cultivars and wild species. An understanding of the genetic bases for aroma can facilitate the application of Vitis genetic diversity towards developing a sustainable and dynamic grape and wine industry.
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