Effectiveness of Hand Self-Shiatsu to Promote Sleep in Young People with Chronic Pain: a Case Series Design
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Background: Sleep problems exist for up to 30% of young people, and increase in the case of those with chronic pain. Because exclusive pharmacological management of sleep problems for children with pain is contraindicated, the development of appropriate non-pharmacological sleep interventions is a significant, largely unmet, need.

Purpose: This study examined whether the application of a standardized hand self-shiatsu (HSS) intervention within a population of young people with chronic pain would be associated with improved objectively and subjectively measured sleep.

Setting: The Pain Management Clinic of the Stollery Children’s Hospital Hospital, a large tertiary care centre in Edmonton, Alberta and the University of Alberta.

Research Design: Sixteen young adults, aged 17 to 27, were recruited for a case series study. The intervention involved participants self-applying a standardized hand shiatsu protocol. Participants wore an actigraph for one week at baseline before learning the HSS technique, and then at four- and eight-week follow-up. At the same measurement points they completed validated self-report measures of their sleep quality and daytime fatigue. Each participant also completed a sleep log to supplement the actigraphy data and to collect their general impressions of the HSS experience. Data were analyzed with SPSS 23 software, using Freidman’s test for analysis of variance.

Results: The objective data did not support the hypothesis that this standardized HSS protocol improves objectively measured sleep. However, standardized self-report measures demonstrated statistically significant improvement in perceived sleep disturbance ($\chi^2 = 8.034$, $p = .02$), sleep-related impairment ($\chi^2 = 7.614$, $p = .02$), and daytime fatigue as measured by the PROMIS Fatigue SF 8-a ($\chi^2 = 12.035$, $p = .002$), and the Flinder’s Fatigue Scale ($\chi^2 = 11.93$, $p = .003$). Qualitative sleep log information indicated wide-spread endorsement of HSS for the management of sleep difficulties.

Conclusion: Contrary to objective findings, self-report data support the technique of HSS to improve sleep. Participants’ comments reflected an overall high level of acceptance and appreciation for the HSS technique. Results highlight the importance of expanding the theory and practice related to sleep measurement to better integrate the qualitative domain.
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INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of chronic pain (CP) in young Canadians has been measured to be at rates of 4% for abdominal pain, 8% for headache pain, and 14–24% for back pain. Chronic pain is resistant to intervention, and takes a negative toll on young people’s physical, emotional, and cognitive development such that social development and academic achievement are overwhelmingly challenged. Additionally, young peoples’ CP experiences can impact their...
families, including negatively influencing the emotional health and resilience of parents. More specifically, CP significantly influences sleep, one of the foundational elements for health and resiliency. The importance of addressing sleep problems, including insomnia, shortened sleep duration, and perceptions that sleep was non-restorative in pain management programs for pediatric and young adult populations has been well-demonstrated. Sleep problems, typically self-reported and enduring, are experienced by up to 30% of young people and this prevalence increases for those with CP.

Exclusive pharmacological management of sleep problems for young people with pain is contraindicated. Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) techniques are considered the ‘gold-standard’ for both the treatment of pediatric chronic pain and insomnia in young people. However, CBT may not be acceptable, nor accessible, to everyone for a variety of reasons including location, cost, presence of a support network, self-image, and past experience. Indeed, a review of CBT outcomes found an attrition rate of up to 25% The identification of acceptable and accessible non-pharmacological sleep interventions (NPSI) for youth with CP is thus a significant unmet need. Shiatsu, which is based on traditional Chinese medicine theory proposed to work by restoring and balancing body energy and reducing stress, is an example of a NPSI that can be incorporated into sleep management programs. Namikoshi shiatsu, on which the hand self-shiatsu (HSS) technique in this study is based, isolates points based on anatomy and physiology. Shiatsu involves applying pressure with the fingertip to specific points aligned with bodily functions and health and, from the perspective of Western medicine, is believed to trigger physiological benefits to blood circulation, muscle tension, and the production of serotonin. Three studies examining the effects of shiatsu have produced promising findings. However, those studies were primarily carried out with older adults, and third-parties provided the shiatsu intervention. Reliance on a third party to deliver an NPSI presents issues of access, frequency, cost, and practicality of use.

There is evidence in the pain literature supporting patient self-management models and these are favoured by most intervention services. Self-management approaches help patients regain feelings of mastery and control. Current brain functioning and attention research proposes that the level of sustained on-task concentration, such as that required to apply HSS, can reduce, and even prevent, the risk of an individual simultaneously attending to other thoughts. Actively self-administering shiatsu may thus preclude concentrating on intrusive thoughts and emotions, which trigger the production of sleep-inhibiting, pain-promoting, stress-related neurotransmitters and hormones such as cortisol. Cognition-based interventions to decrease insomniacs’ rumination have been effective, and the active concentration required to carry out HSS aligns with this approach.

Two previous studies examining HSS for improving sleep suggested that it may be beneficial for chronic pain patients with diagnosed musculoskeletal conditions, as well as for young athletes with sport-related concussion, who also self-reported sleep difficulties. These studies proposed that, because HSS involves concentrating on a motor activity as opposed to ruminating and worrying on personal thoughts and feelings, it is more appealing to persons who find the interpersonal reflection components of cognitive therapies unacceptable. Indeed, participants in both studies reported a high degree of acceptance and satisfaction with the intervention. Hand self-shiatsu aligns with the evidence-based principles of self-management and can be practised within the patient’s unique biopsychosocial and environmental context. It is unavoidably portable and accessible, and can be performed either sitting or lying down, in the dark before bed and when patients wake up during the night.

Given the need to increase self-management options, the endorsement of NPSI for young people living with chronic pain who also experience sleep deficiency, and the promising trends in both objective and self-report measures identified in past HSS pilot studies, this study’s goal was two-fold. First, to examine whether a standardized HSS protocol improved select sleep dimensions as quantified by a wrist-worn sleep monitor (actigraph) and to examine if the HSS protocol improved sleep quality and daytime fatigue as measured by self-report instruments. We also sought to explore participants’ attitudes about, and adherence to, HSS.

In the present study, we present initial results of a self-shiatsu sleep intervention for youth with CP. We report on the feasibility of a randomized controlled trial (RCT) of a hand self-shiatsu (HSS) intervention using a wrist-worn sleep monitor and a standardized HSS protocol. We also explore participants’ self-reported attitudes about the intervention, and adherence to HSS.

We also sought to explore participants’ attitudes about, and adherence to, HSS. In
an effort to detect potential confounding variables, participants’ beliefs about sleep, holistic health, and the scientific validity of complementary/alternative medicine were also measured.

METHODS

Study Design

A case series design (clinical series in which there is known exposure) with participants acting as their own controls was used. This method is well suited for hypothesis generation and preliminary testing of novel interventions where a strong evidence-base does not yet exist.\(^{(25)}\) The study protocol was approved by the University of Alberta Health Ethics Board, No. Pro00070452_REN2. Written consent was obtained from all study participants and from parents if under 18 years of age. All training occurred either in a university classroom or at a public meeting space of the participant’s choice (e.g., library or coffee shop).

Participants

Participants were recruited from the Chronic Pain Program at the Stollery Children’s Hospital through individual letters of invitation to persons attending the program, and through the University of Alberta student email platform, “Mailman”. Participants were accepted into the study if they were 16 to 28 years of age and self-reported difficulty with sleep. Exclusion criteria included: inability to communicate in English, an active arthritic condition involving the hand or with unhealed hand injuries, using sleep medication with prescription changed during the eight-week study.

Intervention

The same standardized HSS protocol as that used by Brown et al.\(^{(22)}\) and Qin et al.\(^{(18)}\) was implemented (available at https://cbotlabs.wixsite.com/handselfshiatsu). This involved participants self-applying pressure with the pads of the index finger or thumb for a count of three to specific points on the dorsal and volar surfaces of the opposite hand and fingers (four rows of three points between the long bones on the dorsum of the hand, three points on the centre of the palm, three points on the front, back, and sides of each thumb, and four points on the front, back, and sides of each finger). Participants applied HSS once they were in bed, with the lights out, and ready for sleep. The sequence was applied twice, taking approximately 10 to 15 minutes to complete. Each participant was trained to apply HSS in a one-to-one session following one week of baseline sleep actigraphy and self-report data collection. Printed HSS materials (available on the above website) were provided to participants to facilitate intervention fidelity. Participants were contacted by telephone in the week after HSS training to clarify questions about the technique.

Measurement

Data collection consisted of various tools to measure both objective and self-reported dimensions of sleep and day-time fatigue. Potential confounding factors were also measured.

1. Objective Outcomes

Real time sleep was assessed using the wrist-worn ActiSleep monitor manufactured by Actigraph [https://actigraphcorp.com/]. Data are uploaded and analyzed using proprietary software. Actigraphy is considered to be a reliable and valid assessment tool and is widely used in sleep research.\(^{(26)}\) Participants wore the device for seven days at baseline (BL) prior to intervention, and at four (FU1) and eight (FU2) weeks following HSS training. Six sleep dimensions were retained for analysis: sleep onset latency, waking after sleep onset, average time awake, average number of awakenings, sleep efficiency (ratio of time asleep to time spent in bed), and total sleep time. Lower scores indicate improvement in sleep onset latency, waking after sleep onset, while higher scores reflect improvement in sleep efficiency and total sleep time.

2. Sleep Log

Participants completed a sleep log each morning and before bed for seven days, at baseline (BL) (prior to intervention), and for one week at FU1 and FU2. Sleep logs are commonly used to record individuals’ sleep-related information, and a study with a similar young adult population demonstrated a sensitivity of 87.93%
and a specificity of 96.51% in relation to objective actigraphy data. In this study, the sleep log collected bed and wake up times for entry into the ActiSleep software for analysis of downloaded monitor data, and the number of awakenings at night. Participants also indicated whether they had practiced HSS before bed and during the night.

3. Self-report Measures

Sleep quality measurements (including sleep disturbance, sleep-related impairment, and daytime fatigue data) were taken at BL, and again at FU1 and FU2. Specifically, participants completed the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), a widely used, psychometrically strong, self-report measure that assesses sleep quality and disturbances over the previous month. Nineteen individual items generate seven component scores: subjective sleep quality, sleep latency and duration, habitual (usual) sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleep medications, and daytime dysfunction. The sum of the scores for the seven components yields a global score with a possible range of 0 to 21 points, with higher scores signifying poorer sleep. A global score of 5 or greater indicates poor sleep quality.

Three scales developed by the Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) program were utilized. These measures have been shown to possess strong psychometric properties. For each scale, raw mean scores are converted to standardized T-scores, with a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10. The measures selected for this study each consist of eight items, measured on a five-point scale, and ask participants to rate the past seven days. The Sleep Disturbance Short Form 8a assesses self-reported perceptions of sleep quality, sleep depth, and restoration associated with sleep, including difficulties with getting to sleep or staying asleep, and perceptions of the adequacy and satisfaction with sleep. The Sleep-Related Impairment—Short Form 8a focuses on perceptions of alertness, sleepiness, and tiredness during usual waking hours, and the perceived functional impairments during wakefulness associated with sleep problems and impaired alertness. The Fatigue—Short Form 8a was used to measure self-reported experience of fatigue (frequency, duration, and intensity) and the impact of fatigue on physical, mental, and social activities, on a five-point scale. For all three scales, higher scores indicate worse outcomes—that is to say, greater sleep disturbance, greater sleep-related impairment and greater fatigue. The 7-item Flinders Fatigue Scale, a valid and reliable instrument that measures daytime fatigue experienced over the previous two weeks, was also used. A clear description of the term “fatigue” is provided in the initial instructions to the scale, and six questions are presented in Likert format, with responses ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (extremely or entirely). The items address themes of how problematic fatigue is to the individual, the consequences of fatigue, its frequency and severity, and insomnia patients’ perception of fatigue’s association with sleep. Total fatigue is calculated as the sum of all individual items, with higher scores indicating greater fatigue.

4. Confounding Variables

In order to determine whether other factors that could change sleep behaviour (such as educational or self-directed information seeking) occurred simultaneously during the HSS protocol, participants completed the Sleep Beliefs Scale at BL and FU2. This scale contains 20 statements describing select behaviours and asks participants whether each has a positive, negative, or no effect on the quality and quantity of sleep in general. Examples of belief statements include ‘going to bed and waking up at the same hour’, and ‘getting up when it is difficult to fall asleep’. Participants also completed the Holistic Complementary and Alternative Medicine Questionnaire, an 11-item questionnaire that measures attitudes about holistic health and the scientific validity of complementary and alternative medicine. This measure, with demonstrated test-retest reliability and internal validity, contains six items about attitudes towards complementary and alternative medicine, and five items about holistic health beliefs.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS 23.0 software. Friedman’s Test was used to analyze the variance between BL, FU1, and FU2 measurements, with a Bonferroni-corrected alpha-level of 0.025 to account for two comparisons—a) between Baseline and follow-up 1, and b) between follow-up
1 and follow-up 2. Wilcoxon’s test was used to examine potential changes in the confounding variable scores with alpha-level of 0.05.

RESULTS

Eighteen participants agreed to participate in the study, of which 16 completed all phases of the study. One person dropped out without providing a reason and a second was excluded from the data analysis when it was learned that he was working night shifts at the time of the study. Seventy-eight percent (n=14) of participants were female. The mean age was 21.56, ranging from 17-27 years. With respect to pain duration, 65% (n=11) of participants reported experiencing chronic pain for between 0 to 5 years, and 35% (n=6) reported experiencing pain for 5 to 10 years. Type of pain reported was classified according to the ICD-11 and included back pain and fibromyalgia, headache and orofacial pain, visceral pain, and musculoskeletal pain. Forty-seven percent [n=8] of participants reported chronic primary pain, 24% (n=4) reported chronic headache and orofacial pain, 18% (n=3) reported musculoskeletal pain, and 12% [n=2] experienced visceral pain.

Actigraphy

As depicted in Table 1, Friedman’s test revealed no significant differences in any of the six actigraphy sleep dimensions across the three measurement time points.

Sleep Log

All sixteen participants reported using HSS before bed at FU1 and FU2, albeit not necessarily for each of the seven nights. Fifty per cent and 44% reported also using HSS if they woke during the night at FU1 and FU2, respectively. Table 2 depicts participants’ adherence to the HSS protocol in greater detail.

The self-report sleep logs revealed a potentially concerning lack of established sleep routine in many of the participants, with some demonstrating a variation of more than 2 hours between earliest and latest bedtime recorded. Eighty-eight per cent of participants reported an average variation greater than 2 hours in their bedtimes over the three measurement periods, with one participant exhibiting a mean range variation of 7.5 hours. The sleep logs also revealed high variation in the duration of sleep periods from night to night for a number of participants.

Self-reported Measures

Results of all self-reported measures were analyzed using Friedman’s test to identify changes at BL through FU1 and FU2. Results are displayed in Table 3. Scores obtained from the PSQI followed a normal distribution and ranged from 2...

| TABLE 1. Actigraphy Results |
|-----------------------------|
| Mean Ranks<sup>a</sup>       |
|                             | BL | FU1 | FU2 | χ²  | P   |
| SOL                        | 2.28 | 1.78 | 1.94 | 2.23 | .33 |
| WaSO                       | 2.00 | 1.81 | 2.19 | 1.13 | .57 |
| ATA                        | 1.69 | 2.16 | 2.16 | 2.42 | .30 |
| #A                         | 2.19 | 1.88 | 1.94 | .875 | .65 |
| SE                         | 1.88 | 2.19 | 1.94 | .875 | .65 |
| TST                        | 1.94 | 2.19 | 1.88 | .875 | .65 |

<sup>a</sup>Using Friedman’s Test; threshold for statistical significance was set at .025.
BL = baseline; FU1 = follow-up 1, FU2 = follow-up 2; SOL = Sleep onset latency; WaSO = average awakenings after sleep onset; ATA = average time awake; #A = number of awakenings; SE = sleep efficiency; TST = total sleep time.

| TABLE 2. Frequency of HSS Protocol Completions During Data Collection Periods |
|-----------------------------|
|                             | Follow Up 1: n (%) | Follow Up 2: n (%) |
| # of nights                |                  |
| 6 – 7 nights               | 9 (56%)          | 10 (63%)          |
| 4 – 5 nights               | 7 (44%)          | 3 (18.7%)         |
| 1 – 3 nights               | 0                | 3 (18.7%)         |
| Total                      | 16               | 16                |

HSS During the Night

|                             |                  |
| 6 – 7 nights               | 2 (13%)          | 2 (13%)          |
| 4 – 5 nights               | 1 (6%)           | 0                |
| 1 – 3 nights               | 5 (31%)          | 5 (31%)          |
| Total                      | 8                | 7                |
DISCUSSION

The primary objective of this work was to examine whether a standardized hand self-shiatsu intervention with a population of young adults experiencing poor sleep and who self-identified as living with chronic pain would result in improved objectively and subjectively measured sleep.

While there was no statistically significant change in objective measures of sleep, results from the self-report measures demonstrated statistically significant improvement in perceived sleep disturbance, sleep-related impairment, and daytime fatigue. This pattern of improvement in self-report sleep measures without measurable change in actigraph is consistent with the findings in two previous pilot studies of HSS. (18,22) This discrepancy in objective vs. self-reported measures reinforces the notion that sleep, given its complex bio-psycho-social nature, eludes unidimensional measurement. Indeed, several other sleep intervention studies have demonstrated a similar pattern of positive findings from self-report measures without corresponding actigraphy evidence. (34-36)

The relevance of applying both actigraphy and self-report measures to capture sleep-related outcomes is particularly important for this study’s population given the growing body of evidence demonstrating that persons with chronic pain, which can only be measured subjectively, are more sensitive to the effects of poor sleep. (36) Pain patients may have keener perceptions relative to their psychological and physiological states than what an actigraph can accurately measure. As such, self-reports may be required to capture a

### TABLE 3. Self-Report Measures

|                          | Mean Ranks<sup>a</sup> |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
|                          | BL    | FU1   | FU2   | χ²   | ρ    |
| PSQI                     | 10.68 | 9.18  | 8.18  | 6.746 | .034 |
| Sleep Disturbance        | 2.41  | 2.13  | 1.47  | 8.034 | .018 |
| Sleep-regulated impairment| 2.41  | 2.09  | 1.50  | 7.614 | .022 |
| Fatigue Short Form       | 2.56  | 2.03  | 1.41  | 12.035| .002 |
| Finders Fatigue          | 2.63  | 1.94  | 1.44  | 11.93 | .003 |

<sup>a</sup>Using Friedmann Test; (N =16; df = 2); threshold for statistical significance was set at .025.

PSQI = Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; BL = baseline; FU1 = follow-up 1, FU2 = follow-up 2.

### TABLE 4. Results for the Confounding Variables<sup>a</sup>

|                          | Sleep Beliefs Scale | CAM (HCAMHQ sub-scale) | HH (HCAMHQ sub-scale) | HCAMHQ Total Score |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
|                          | Z (rank score)     | Sig                    | Z (rank score)        | Sig                |
| Sleep Beliefs Scale      | 1.683              | .092                   | 1.027                 | .304               |
| CAM (HCAMHQ sub-scale)   | 1.065              | .287                   | .821                  | .412               |

<sup>a</sup>Using Wilcoxon test; threshold for statistical significance was set at .05.

HCAMQ = Holistic Complementary and Alternative Medicine Questionnaire; CAM = Complementary and Alternative Medicine; HH = holistic health.

### Potential Confounding Variables

There was no change in scores on the Sleep Beliefs Scale potentially indicating that participants did not learn ‘new’ information during the study that pertained to conditions impacting on sleep (see Table 4). The HCAMQ results also indicated no significant change in scores between BL and FU2. Its two subscales, ‘complementary and alternative medicine’ and ‘holistic health beliefs’, were analyzed separately. Again, there were no changes in these scores from BL to FU 2.
more nuanced picture of sleep. The need for a multiple-domain approach to sleep assessment is underscored by a 2018 review of sleep assessment tools which concluded that a synergy between subjective and objective measures is paramount to sleep detection.\(^{(37)}\)

With respect to the lack of established sleep routine, given that these are self-reports collected over short seven-day sleep periods, it is premature to draw any conclusions. However, other researchers have found a statistically significant relationship between day-to-day variability in sleep duration and poor subjective well-being including lower satisfaction with life and higher depressive symptoms, anxious symptoms, loss of interest, and anxious arousal scores.\(^{(38)}\) This is highly relevant given the prevalence of mental health issues in the young adult chronic pain patient population.\(^{(39)}\)

There were some additional narrative data collected in the sleep logs relative to possible confounding factors, such as pre-bedtime activity and sleep environment conditions. This will provide useful information for the development of future, more stringent, studies. For example, 75% of participants reported using electronic devices during the hour before bed. As there is compelling evidence that this activity delays sleep onset,\(^{(40)}\) it is reasonable to suspect that electronic use may have interfered with the effectiveness of HSS. As our goal was to examine a pragmatic intervention that would be acceptable to young people with pain, requiring young people with many complex social challenges to refrain from electronic use pre-bedtime was not integrated into the intervention protocol.

At the termination of the study, 10 participants responded to the query as to whether they would recommend HSS to others. Nine stated that they would do so, while one felt more time was needed for the trial. Respondents provided clear endorsement for HSS as depicted in the following quotes: "I found it helped me fall asleep faster and helped me have a more restful sleep"; "I found it had a great sedative effect and was relaxing"; "I find it calms me down and helps regulate my thoughts better"; and "even though the HSS did not quantitatively improve or worsen my sleep quality, I did feel some improvements by being more energized when waking up". Interestingly, one respondent endorsed the sleep log itself as a worthwhile endeavour for improving sleep:

“I found that even on days when I didn’t do the actual hand shiatsu, simply being mindful of the sleep log and filling it out to indicate I missed a day still allowed me to be more self-aware of other aspects of my daily routine that impacts sleep….. The sleep log was the one aspect of this experience that proved to be very helpful.”

In summary, these findings align with previous HSS pilot studies involving adult chronic pain patients\(^{(22)}\) and young athletes with sport related concussion\(^{(18)}\) that suggest that an additional or alternative mechanism of change, aligning with current research in attention and concentration, might be present. Further controlled studies involving electroencephalography to examine neural activity during HSS, strategies to improve HSS protocol adherence, and the management of potential confounding factors (such as electronic screen use and activity patterns), are needed. Future research should also compare HSS to other forms of self-administered body work (such as general hand self-massage and acupressure) and outcomes of studies involving HSS in combination with other forms of sleep intervention (such as cognitive behavioural therapy).

It is important to note that the Namikoshi shiatsu protocol for this study was developed by an experienced Western-trained shiatsu practitioner and, as such, may not reflect the related cultural elements of a practitioner trained in Japan where the founder of this approach, Tokujiro Namikoshi, developed his practice.\(^{(13)}\) There are several other study limitations; the sample size was small, there was no control group, adherence to the HSS technique between follow-up periods was not monitored, electronic screen time and other sleep-inhibiting activities pre-bedtime were not controlled for, and HSS technique fidelity was not monitored. Additionally, as the study was conducted during the academic year, it is possible that sleep schedules varied for a number of the participants in relation to the demands of school work. However, we did attempt to mitigate this by scheduling follow-up periods during regular class times, and avoiding holiday and exam periods where more sporadic sleep
patterns might be expected. Though adherence to the HSS protocol was good during the two follow-up measurement periods, we cannot ascertain the frequency of HSS use in-between these periods as those data were not collected. A final limitation was that the last follow-up at eight weeks may have been too early to identify whether the HSS practice had become a sustained habit.

These findings did not support the hypothesis that a standardized HSS protocol used by young people with chronic pain at bedtime and during night time awakenings would improve sleep efficiency as quantified by a wrist-worn sleep monitor (actigraph). However, sleep is a complex experience in which objective and subjective outcomes are important. Participants’ demonstration of significant self-reported improvement in sleep disturbance, sleep-related impairment, and daytime fatigue, coupled with their wide-spread endorsement of HSS for the management of sleep difficulties, are findings of note.

These results highlight that HSS holds potential for improved sleep outcomes and underscore the importance of expanding theory and practice related to sleep measurement to better integrate the qualitative domain and refine the manner in which sleep interventions are evaluated.
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