Anatomic Repair vs Closed Reduction of the Syndesmosis
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Abstract

Background: The goal of the study was to compare radiographic and functional outcomes between conventional closed syndesmotic reduction and screw fixation with open reduction, direct repair of the anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament (AiTFL) and screw fixation. We hypothesized that open reduction with restoration of the AiTFL would provide an improved reduction with better radiographic and functional outcomes.

Methods: Fifty consecutive patients with OTA 44-C ankle fractures were enrolled. Treatment was nonrandomized and based on surgeon preference. Patients were treated with either open reduction, suture-anchor AiTFL repair, and screw fixation (ART group), or conventional closed reduction of the syndesmosis followed by screw fixation (CR group). The primary outcome measure was anteroposterior (AP) displacement of the fibula on CT scan at 3 months postoperatively. Secondary outcome measures included the Maryland Foot Score, the American Orthopaedic Foot & Ankle Society (AOFAS) Ankle Hindfoot Score, and the Foot and Ankle Outcome Score (FAOS).

Results: Mean AP difference between injured and noninjured ankles was decreased in the ART group compared with the CR group (0.7 ± 0.3 mm vs 1.5 ± 0.3 mm, \(P = .008\)). No differences were observed between groups in overall scores for secondary outcome measures. The ART group displayed a significant difference in Maryland Foot Shoe subscore at 12 months (ART = 9.5 vs CR = 8.3, \(P = .03\)) and FAOS Quality of Life subscore at 12 months (64.1 compared to 38.3, \(P = .04\)).

Conclusion: Open anatomic syndesmotic repair resulted in improved radiographic outcomes compared with closed reduction. Cosmesis was worse at 6 weeks compared to the CR group; however, quality of life and shoewear were improved in the ART group at 1 year postoperatively.

Level of Evidence: Level II, prospective comparative study.
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Introduction

The ankle syndesmosis is a complex joint between the distal tibia and fibula. It is stabilized by the anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament (AiTFL), the posterior inferior tibiofibular ligament (PiTFL), the inferior transverse ligament (ITL), and the interosseous membrane (IOM). Injury to these structures is common in OTA 44-C ankle fractures in which the fibular fracture is above the level of the ankle joint. Functional recovery following syndesmotic disruption is dependent on the quality of reduction of the syndesmosis. The adverse effects of syndesmosis malreduction of as little as 2 mm on clinical outcomes is becoming increasingly recognized. The most common approach to repairing the tibiofibular syndesmosis involves closed reduction, and screw fixation. This method relies on radiographic confirmation of reduction. The sensitivity and specificity of radiographic examination of the syndesmosis has been reported.
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Table 1. Study Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria.

Inclusion criteria
- The subject is 18 years old or greater with a preoperative diagnosis of a Weber C (OTA 44-C) ankle fracture or isolated syndesmotic injury.
- The subject demonstrates lateral subluxation of the talus on radiographic or stress views.
- The lateral malleolus fracture if present begins at least 1.0 cm proximal to the syndesmosis.
- The subject has no history of previous severe ankle injury.
- The subject does not have an ipsilateral lower extremity injury that would impede results.
- The subject has no neuromuscular or neurosensory deficiency that would limit the ability to assess the operative procedure.
- The subject had operative repair by either closed (CR) or open fixation (ART).

Exclusion criteria
- The subject has a pathologic fracture.
- The subject has an open ankle fracture.
- The subject demonstrates lateral subluxation of the talus on radiographic or stress views.
- The subject has a lateral malleolus fracture that begins less than 1.0 cm proximal to the syndesmosis.
- The subject has no history of previous severe ankle injury.
- The subject has no neuromuscular or neurosensory deficiency that would limit the ability to assess the operative procedure.
- The subject has no history of previous severe ankle injury.
- The subject has no neuromuscular or neurosensory deficiency that would limit the ability to assess the operative procedure.

Abbreviations: ART, anatomic repair technique; CR, closed reduction.

to be 31% and 83%, respectively. This explains the reported malreduction rates of 25% to 52% with this technique. Direct visualization has been shown to improve the quality of radiographic reduction, but no clinical correlation has been shown.

This prospective study aimed to evaluate anatomic reduction, fracture healing, and return to function in patients who underwent operative repair of an unstable fracture of the ankle. Here, we compare the outcomes of patients who received treatment of an unstable syndesmotic injury by open reduction, anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament (AiTFL) suture-anchor repair, and syndesmotic screws (ART) to those who received a closed reduction with syndesmotic screw placement (CR). We hypothesized that improved outcomes would be obtained when the anatomic alignment of the ankle was restored, and the function of the syndesmosis maintained. Open reduction, with AiTFL suture anchor repair offers a novel strategy for management of syndesmotic injuries. We anticipate improved radiographic and functional outcome scores in patients undergoing open reduction of the syndesmosis with AiTFL repair, and screw fixation when compared with those receiving closed reduction and screw fixation of the syndesmosis.

Methods

Consecutive adult patients with OTA 44-C ankle fractures presenting for treatment at a level 1 trauma center from June 2013 to June 2014 were screened for inclusion in the study. The study protocol was approved by the Western University Health Sciences Research Ethics Board. A total of 50 patients were enrolled. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in Table 1. Twenty-one patients underwent the anatomic repair technique (ART group) and 29 underwent standard closed reduction and screw fixation (CR group).

Operative technique was dependent upon the attending orthopedic surgeon. Two surgeons performed the ligament repair technique, whereas 4 surgeons performed standard closed reduction and screw fixation on the 50 consecutive patients. Four of the 6 surgeons, including the 2 performing the ligament repair technique, were trauma fellowship trained. Functional outcomes were assessed using the validated Maryland Foot Score, the American Orthopaedic Foot & Ankle Society (AOFAS) Hindfoot Score, and the Foot and Ankle Outcome Score (FAOS) at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months postoperatively. Patient age, gender, time to surgery, mechanism of injury, and fracture pattern are shown in Table 2.

Operative Technique

Proximal fibular fractures (OTA 44-C3) did not undergo fixation. OTA 44-C fractures involving the distal third diaphyseal fibula (OTA 44-C1, OTA 44-C2) underwent standard plate and screw fixation. An external rotation stress examination verifying syndesmotic injury was then performed with the ankle in maximal dorsiflexion. Widening of the tibiofibular clear space (TCS) and medial clear space (MCS) of \( \geq 2 \) mm was confirmatory. Only those fractures demonstrating increased TCS and MCS \( \geq 2 \) mm underwent syndesmotic fixation with either an open anatomic repair or closed reduction.

The ART involved direct visualization and reduction of the syndesmosis. The syndesmosis was distracted to allow interposed tissue and/or debris to be removed. Reduction was performed and verified by direct visualization alone with the ankle in maximal dorsiflexion. The reduction was maintained with manual compression until such time that the AiTFL repair was complete. AiTFL repair was performed using a suture anchor placed at the fibular footprint of the ligament, and was followed by placement of 2 syndesmotic screws (Figure 1). The closed reduction technique (CR) included reduction of the syndesmosis with reduction forceps positioned 1 cm above the joint line, with the medial tine placed in the anterior 50% of the tibia, and the ankle in maximal dorsiflexion. Fluoroscopic assessment of reduction was performed using medial clear space and tibiofibular overlap as indicators of appropriate reduction. This was
followed by placement of 2 syndesmotic screws. All syndesmotic screws (ART and CR) were placed engaging 3 cortices. There were no differences in postoperative care between the 2 groups of patients.

Radiographic Evaluation

Standard postoperative radiographic evaluation was performed on all patients including anteroposterior (AP), lateral, and mortise views intraoperatively and then at 6 weeks and 3, 6, and 12 months post fracture. Radiographic images obtained at follow-up were used only to evaluate maintenance of the intraoperatively obtained reduction. Bilateral computed tomography (CT) scans were done 3 months postoperatively to assess the syndesmosis. A total of 41 patients underwent CT scans, with 38 having had bilateral CT imaging. Syndesmosis translation or diastasis was measured as previously described.5 Briefly, an axial cut 1 cm proximal to the ankle joint was used to determine the distance between the anterior and posterior facets of the tibial incisura and the fibula along a line perpendicular to the joint (AP difference) (Figure 2). Two investigators independently assessed AP difference with an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) of 0.84. A discrepancy between the injured and uninjured sides of more than 1 mm was considered significant. The AP difference of both groups was compared using a 2-sided \( t \) test (\( \alpha = 0.05 \)).

Clinical Evaluation

Functional outcomes were assessed through administration of the Maryland Foot Score, AOFAS Hindfoot Score, and the Foot and Ankle Outcome Score (FAOS) at 6 weeks and at 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively. Results were averaged for each time point and a 2-way analysis of variance with post hoc testing (Bonferroni) performed to determine differences in the 2 groups (\( \alpha = 0.05 \)). Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 24 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY).

Results

A total of 50 patients were enrolled in the investigation. Thirty-eight underwent bilateral ankle CT scanning at 3 months postoperatively (16 ART, 22 CR). Forty patients (17 ART, 23 CR) completed 12-month follow-up (Maryland Foot Score). The proportion of female patients was 28.6% in the ART group and 30% in the CR group (\( P = .85 \)). One patient in the ART group was excluded, as their operative treatment did not follow protocol. No patient underwent routine syndesmotic screw removal. All 40 patients assessed at 12-month follow-up had plain radiographs that demonstrated lucency around syndesmotic screws indicative of loosening. Nine patients (2 ART, 7 CR) had isolated inferior syndesmotic screw breakage at final follow-up. An incomplete ossification of the syndesmosis was observed in 1 patient in the CR group. This patient was asymptomatic at final follow-up.

Radiographic Evaluation

An experienced orthopedic trauma surgeon reviewed intraoperative and postoperative x-rays. No patient had evidence of mal-reduction (>4 mm diastasis of syndesmosis) on plain films. On review of bilateral ankle CT scans, the mean difference in translation (AP difference) between injured and uninjured ankles was 0.7 ± 0.3 mm in the ART group (mean ± SD), compared with 1.5 ± 0.3 mm in the CR group (\( P = .008 \)) (Figure 3). Overall, 23.8% of the ART group and 55.2% of the CR group had ≥ 1 mm side-to-side difference. In addition, 4.8% of the ART group, and 17.2% of CR group had ≥ 2 mm incongruity.

Clinical Evaluation

All clinical assessments were administered at 6 weeks and 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively. The distribution of data collected is as follows: 30 patients have 6-week data, 42 patients have 3-month data, 35 patients have 6-month data, and 40 patients have 12-month data.

Maryland Foot Score. The Cosmesis subscore was significantly greater at 6 weeks postoperatively in the CR group (10.0 ± 0.0) compared with the ART group (8.6 ± 1.7, \( P = .05 \)). A difference between the ART (9.5 ± 0.5) and CR (8.3 ± 0.9) group was observed for the Shoe subscore at 12 months (\( P = .03 \)). No differences in subscores were
Figure 1. Anatomic repair technique (ART). (A) Intraoperative stress fluoroscopy demonstrating decreased tibiofibular overlap, and increased medial clear space. (B) Clinical photograph depicting the incision for open reduction of the syndesmosis, location of the anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament (AITFL), and planned sites of syndesmotic screw fixation. (C) Intraoperative photograph showing suture anchor AITFL repair. (D) Final intraoperative stress fluoroscopy following the ART demonstrates a congruent ankle mortise with a reestablished tibiofibular overlap, and appropriate medial clear space.
noted between groups at any other time point. No differences between groups were observed for the Maryland Foot Score (Figure 4).

**AOFAS Hindfoot Score.** No differences between ART and CR groups were detected with the AOFAS Hindfoot Score (Figure 5). No subscore category demonstrated differences between the groups.

**Foot and Ankle Outcome Score.** The Foot and Ankle Outcome Score (FAOS) revealed no difference in Total Symptoms Score between the ART and CR groups. The Quality of Life (QoL) subscore revealed a statistically significant 25.8-point difference between the ART (64.1) and CR groups (38.2) at 12-month follow-up ($P = .04$). The Pain subscore, for which a lower score is indicative of increased pain, showed a trend toward improved scores for ART compared to CR at 12-month follow-up ($P = .07$). The Pain subscore for the ART and CR groups at 6 weeks was $70.4 \pm 28.1$ vs $63.9 \pm 21.8$; at 3 months was $67.9 \pm 20.3$ vs $57.9 \pm 14.8$; at 6 months was $73.3 \pm 24.4$ vs $58.0 \pm 27.7$; at 12 months was $52.8 \pm 27.6$ vs $43.3 \pm 17.0$. There were no differences between groups with respect to any other FAOS subscores (Figure 6).

**Discussion**

This study endeavored to examine the radiographic and clinical benefit of open reduction of the syndesmosis with suture-anchor AiTFL repair, and screw fixation (ART) over conventional closed reduction, and screw fixation (CR) of the syndesmosis. An improved radiographic outcome was observed with the ART technique ($0.7 \pm 0.3$ mm), as the CR group ($1.5 \pm 0.3$ mm) demonstrated a 2-fold increased mean AP difference at 3 months as evaluated by CT. In addition, >17% of the CR group was noted to have ≥2 mm incongruity compared with <5% in the ART group. Clinically, the ART group demonstrated improved shoewear (Maryland Foot Score, Shoe subscore) and improved quality of life (FAOS, Quality of Life subscore) at 12 months. These represent encouraging results with respect to the superiority of open reduction of the syndesmosis with suture-anchor AiTFL repair, and screw fixation (ART) over conventional closed reduction with percutaneous screw fixation (CR).

The syndesmosis is a complex joint between the distal tibia and fibula. The syndesmosis must accommodate forces of 500 N during walking, 1250 N during running, and approximately 7.5 Nm of torque resistance. It must allow for 1 to 2 mm of mortise widening, 3 to 5 degrees of external rotation, and 2 to 3 mm of proximal and distal migration during gait. It is estimated that 6445 syndesmotic injuries occur annually in the United States, with an incidence of 2.09 per 100 000 person-years. Although not as common as other injuries about the ankle, ankle fractures with syndesmotic injury requiring fixation have been reported to result in the development of end-stage ankle arthrosis in 11% of cases. Malreduction has been shown to be the only modifiable risk factor for the development of end-stage arthrosis of the ankle in fractures that require syndesmotic fixation. The precise degree of malreduction that is clinically significant so as to produce end-stage ankle arthrosis is unknown. It is speculated to be in excess of 2 mm utilizing the AP difference technique employed in this study.
reduction, and malreduction rates of 25%-52% have been reported in the literature with this technique.22 Previous trials have used plain radiographs for assessment of syndesmotic reduction, but this can underestimate further the rate of malreduction compared to CT imaging techniques.1,4-6,8,13,15,17 For this reason, CT scans at 3 months following operative intervention were used for assessment of reduction in the present study. Overall, malreduction rates in this study with closed reduction were decreased compared to that previously published. Surgeons’ ability to judge reductions on the lateral view has improved over time. Nonetheless, the results observed here favor open reduction as 17.2% of patients who underwent conventional closed reduction with percutaneous screw fixation exhibited ≥2 mm of malreduction, compared with just 4.8% in the ART group.

Direct visualization has been shown to improve the quality of radiographic reduction.12 In this investigation, open reduction combined with suture-anchor AitFIL repair and syndesmotic screws provided both improved radiographic and clinical outcomes. The relative contributions of the open reduction and suture-anchor AitFIL repair are unknown. It stands to reason that direct visualization of the syndesmosis, stabilized by AitFIL repair prior to screw placement, would provide improved radiographic outcomes. Open anatomic reduction that consists of manual reduction and repair of the AitFIL prior to inserting syndesmotic screws may help to avoid rotational and translational malreduction of the syndesmosis. This assumption is supported by studies that show a high malreduction rate with closed reduction of the syndesmosis and a persistent (albeit low) malreduction with open reduction when the ligament is not repaired.11,17 The improved clinical outcomes in the ART group are likely a product of both improved reduction and AitFIL repair. Repair of the ligament may lead to improved healing of the AitFIL and restoration of ankle dynamics. Biomechanical investigation has demonstrated the importance of the AitFIL in syndesmotic stability, with a significant (24%) reduction in resistance to external rotation force with isolated sectioning of the AitFIL.3 Arthroscopic techniques exist for assistance in syndesmotic reduction and can be combined with use of a flexible
stabilization construct. There exists a lack of clarity with respect to outcomes afforded by flexible vs static constructs, and there is the notion of excessive translation in the sagittal plane.\textsuperscript{7,20} Improved radiographic outcomes as observed in the ART group in the current investigation have corresponded to improved clinical outcomes in other studies.\textsuperscript{9,12,17,22,25} Clinical outcomes are considered to be a product of the quality of reduction. In our study, the improvement in reduction quality with an open technique, and AiTFL repair was associated with improved shoewear (Maryland Foot Score, Shoe subscore) and improved quality of life (FAOS, Quality of Life subscore) at 12 months when compared to conventional closed reduction with screw fixation. We speculate that this may be due to the increased rate (55.2\%) of slight malreduction (between 1 and 2 mm) in the CR group, compared to just 23.8\% in the

**Figure 5.** AOFAS Hindfoot Score. No differences between groups (ART vs CR) were observed for AOFAS total score, or any subscore. AOFAS, American Orthopaedic Foot & Ankle Society; ART, anatomic repair technique; CR, closed reduction.
ART group. It is generally agreed that more than 2 mm of malreduction is clinically significant. The results of this study would suggest that even as little as 1 mm of malreduction of the syndesmosis compared to the uninjured side can have a significant effect on clinical outcomes. The authors’ enthusiasm for drawing this conclusion is tempered by the current investigation’s relatively short-term outcomes.

Limitations of this study include those inherent to the lack of randomization of treatment, and a relatively small sample size with loss of patients to follow-up. The use of CT provides increased sensitivity for detection of malreduction when compared to plain radiography. However, the inability to obtain weight-bearing CT imaging represents a limitation as syndesmoses may reduce under physiologic loads.

In conclusion, open reduction of the syndesmosis with suture-anchor ATFL repair and screw fixation in OTA 44-C ankle fractures produced improved short-term radiographic and clinical outcomes when compared to conventional closed reduction and screw fixation, in the present investigation. The inability to elucidate relative contributions of open reduction and ATFL repair in the improved radiographic and clinical outcomes represents an opportunity for further research. Future investigation of open reduction and
AiTFL repair vs closed reduction of syndesmotic injuries will be facilitated by the use of weight-bearing computed tomography, and benefit from longer follow-up.
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