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**ABSTRACT**

\( \kappa \) Andromedae is a B9IVn star at 52 pc for which a faint substellar companion separated by 55 ± 2 AU was recently announced. In this work, we present the first spectrum of the companion, \("k \And B\), using the Project 1640 high-contrast imaging platform. Comparison of our low-resolution \(YJH\)-band spectra to empirical brown dwarf spectra suggests an early-L spectral type. Fitting synthetic spectra from PHOENIX model atmospheres to our observed spectrum allows us to constrain the effective temperature to \( \sim 2000 \) K as well as place constraints on the companion surface gravity. Further, we use previously reported \( \log(g) \) and \( T_{\text{eff}} \) measurements of the host star to argue that the \( \kappa \And \) system has an isochronal age of 220 ± 100 Myr, older than the 30 Myr age reported previously. This interpretation of an older age is corroborated by the photometric properties of \( \kappa \And B \), which appear to be marginally inconsistent with other 10–100 Myr low-gravity L-dwarfs for the spectral type range we derive. In addition, we use Keck aperture masking interferometry combined with published radial velocity measurements to rule out the existence of any tight stellar companions to \( \kappa \And A \) that might be responsible for the system’s overluminosity. Further, we show that luminosity enhancements due to a nearly “pole-on” viewing angle coupled with extremely rapid rotation is unlikely, \( \kappa \And A \) is thus consistent with its slightly evolved luminosity class (IV), and we propose here that \( \kappa \And \), with a revised age of 220 ± 100 Myr, is an interloper to the 30 Myr Columba association with which it was previously associated. The photometric and spectroscopic evidence for \( \kappa \And B \) combined with our reassessment of the system age implies a substellar companion mass of 50^{+16}_{-13} \( M_{\text{Jup}} \), consistent with a brown dwarf rather than a planetary-mass companion.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Recent observations of young stars in the solar neighborhood, employing high-contrast imaging techniques (e.g., Abis & Mawet 2010;Oppenheimer & Hinkley 2009) have begun to determine the frequency and orbital distributions of substellar and planetary-mass companions to nearby stars (Methe & Hillenbrand 2009; Nielsen & Close 2010; Leconte et al. 2010; Vigan et al. 2012). Observing the youngest systems, in which substellar companions are still self-luminous during their initial contraction, reduces the still formidable challenge of overcoming the large brightness difference between the companion and the host star. Indeed, high-contrast observations in very young (\( \sim 2–10 \) Myr) star forming regions have uncovered a handful of wide-separation planetary-mass companions (e.g., Chauvin et al. 2004; Lafrenière et al. 2008; Ireland et al. 2011; Kraus et al. 2013), although debate continues regarding the exact nature of these objects.

Further, some high-contrast imaging surveys (e.g., Vigan et al. 2012; Oppenheimer et al. 2012; Rameau et al. 2013) have been targeting nearby field and moving group stars. Assigning
It is worth noting that our choice to use “κ And B” to refer to the companion should not be confused with the purported stellar companions “κ And B” and “κ And C” identified by Herschel (1831). We note that the Washington Double Star (WDS) catalog refers to the companion reported in Carson et al. (2013) as “κ And Ab,” since it is the fourth component of the κ And ABC system to be discovered. However, as we describe in the Appendix, it is exceedingly unlikely that the stellar components “κ And B” and “C” identified by Herschel (1831) are physical components of the κ And system. Nonetheless, they are listed as such in the WDS. We choose to use “κ And B” instead of “Ab” to remain consistent with Carson et al. (2013).

2. PROPERTIES OF THE SECONDARY: κ And B

In this section, we present new spectrophotometry of κ And B that is compared with empirical and synthetic spectra of substellar objects, as well as an analysis of the NIR colors of the object.

2.1. Spectroscopy from 0.9 to 1.8 μm

We imaged the κ And system on UT 2012 December 23 using “Project 1640” (Hinkley et al. 2011c; Oppenheimer et al. 2012) on the 200 in Hale Telescope at Palomar Observatory. Project 1640 is a coronagraph integrated with an integral field spectrograph (IFS; Hinkley et al. 2008) covering the YJH bands. This instrument ensemble is mounted on the Palomar “PALM-3000” adaptive optics (AO) system (Dekany et al. 1998; Roberts et al. 2012a), which in turn is mounted at the Cassegrain focus of the Hale Telescope. In addition, the system uses an internal wave front calibration interferometer (e.g., Wallace et al. 2004; Zhai et al. 2012) for reducing non-common path wave front errors internal to the instrument ensemble, thereby boosting performance at small angular separations.

Starting at an airmass of 1.02, 16 Project 1640 multi-spectral images were obtained, each with exposure time of 183 s. The star was placed behind the coronagraphic mask, the PALM-3000 AO control loops were locked, and additional corrective wave front sensor offsets were applied to the PALM-3000 AO system from the wave front calibration interferometer, thus minimizing the halo of correlated speckle noise. To alleviate the inherent uncertainty in the position of the occulted star in coronagraphic images (e.g., Digby et al. 2006), the position of the star was determined by using a set of fiducial reference spots created by a physical pupil plane grid in the Project 1640 coronagraph (Sivaramakrishnan & Oppenheimer 2006; Marois et al. 2006b).

The Project 1640 data reduction pipeline is described in Zimmerman et al. (2011). To convert the image data counts obtained by the spectrograph to physically meaningful quantities, the counts in a Project 1640 pupil plane image of the κ And system were measured with the star moved off the coronagraphic mask obtained shortly after the science observations. In this configuration, the entire field of view of the pupil plane is uniformly illuminated. Comparing the counts measured in each channel in the data cube with the actual flux value from an empirical spectrum for a B9 star from the Pickles Stellar Spectral flux library (Pickles 1998) provides a relation of data counts in the science camera to physical units of flux density.

Extracting a spectrum of an object such as κ And B is challenging due to the ~104 contrast ratio between it and the host star at only 1″. The single largest hindrance to extraction of high signal-to-noise spectra is the quasi-static speckle noise in the image focal plane (Racine et al. 1999; Marois et al. 2000; Hinkley et al. 2007). For objects with brightness greater than or comparable to the speckle noise halo (e.g., Hinkley et al. 2010, 2011b, 2013; Zimmerman et al. 2010; Pueyo et al. 2012b), evaluation of companion spectra can be performed with conventional aperture photometry. However, for objects with higher contrast such as κ And B or HR 8799 (Oppenheimer et al. 2013), an IFS can improve sensitivity through the suppression of this quasi-static speckle noise in the image (Crepp et al. 2011; Pueyo et al. 2012a).

To reduce the effects of quasi-static speckle noise in our multi-spectral images, we use speckle suppression techniques based on the principle component analysis algorithm outlined in Soummer et al. (2012). This method uses a basis of eigenimages.

| Parameter | Value | Units | Reference |
|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| \( T_{\text{eff}} \) | 2040 ± 60 | K | This work (Section 2.3) |
| Spectral Type | L1 ± 1 | | This work (Section 2.1) |
| Mass | 50^{+16}_{-13} M_{\text{Jup}} | | This work (Section 2.3) |
| \( \log(g) \) | 4.33^{+0.88}_{-0.79} | | This work (Section 2.3) |
| Age | 220 ± 100 Myr | | This work (Section 2.3) |

19 It is worth noting that our choice to use “κ And B” to refer to the companion should not be confused with the purported stellar companions “κ And B” and “κ And C” identified by Herschel (1831). We note that the Washington Double Star (WDS) catalog refers to the companion reported in Carson et al. (2013) as “κ And Ab,” since it is the fourth component of the κ And ABC system to be discovered. However, as we describe in the Appendix, it is exceedingly unlikely that the stellar components “κ And B” and “C” identified by Herschel (1831) are physical components of the κ And system. Nonetheless, they are listed as such in the WDS. We choose to use “κ And B” instead of “Ab” to remain consistent with Carson et al. (2013).
created by a Karhunen–Loève transform of point spread function (PSF) reference images to perform the PSF subtraction, and it is amenable to point source forward modeling (L. Pueyo et al., in preparation). Applications of this method have been demonstrated for the directly imaged planets in the HR 8799 system (Oppenheimer et al. 2013). Figure 1 shows three images from the Project 1640 IFS subsequent to our speckle suppression post-processing corresponding the $Y$, $J$-, and $H$-band central wavelengths.

As with any form of PSF subtraction (e.g., classical ADI, LOCI; Marois et al. 2006a; Lafrenière et al. 2007), the performance of the algorithm centers on robust co-alignment of the PSF reference images. To align our reference images, we perform an initial alignment based on the fiducial astrometric reference spots in the data and perform a subsequent sub-pixel cross-correlation using the image speckles. To verify that the extraction of spectra is robust and that flux is not significantly depleted from the $\kappa$ And B source, we execute a parameter space search as follows: we use 16 different geometries near the location of the companion (which includes varying the size of the search zone and the radial exclusion parameter necessary to mitigate cross talk between nearby spectral channels) and vary the number of eigenmodes in the PSF subtraction from 1 to 130. This parameter search results in $\sim 2000$ spectra for the $\kappa$ And B companion. From these $\sim 2000$ spectra, we discard any spectra in which (1) the astrometric position of the companion is not consistent between wavelength channels or (2) a sharp flux drop is detected with a small change in the number of modes. Eliminating reduced spectra in case (1) ensures that the extraction is not biased by residual speckle noise (should a speckle have some overlap with the companion then it will yield a wavelength-dependent astrometric bias). A sharp drop in flux over a small number of modes indicates that too many eigenmodes are being used, thus in case (2) we eliminate spectra corresponding to overly aggressive PSF subtractions. Finally, we further trim this subset by only keeping the spectra that exhibit a local signal-to-noise ratio $> 3$ for all wavelengths in the band of interest (either $Y + J$ or $H$). This procedure leads to $\sim 40$ high-quality spectra for the companion. The mean of these values comprises the spectral points plotted in Figures 2 and 3 (green individual points), and the error bars denote the standard deviation of these $\sim 40$ spectra plus the uncertainty associated with the pupil-plane spectral calibration added in quadrature.

2.2. Comparison with Empirical Brown Dwarf Spectra

Figure 2 shows the $YJH$-band spectrophotometry from Project 1640 for $\kappa$ And B. Overlaid with the spectra in Figure 2 are

Figure 3. Comparison of the Project 1640 spectra of $\kappa$ And B (green points) with several young and intermediate age L-dwarfs ranging from L1 to later than L5 (see the text for details). Also shown is an M0 object presented in Burgasser et al. (2008) as well as the very young 2M1207b (Patience et al. 2010). The best match empirical spectra to the Project 1640 data is the $\sim 50$–$150$ Myr L1 $\pm$ 1 object 2M0117-3403 (J. K. Faherty et al., in preparation), which has a $(J - H) = 0.97 \pm 0.05$ color consistent with the published value of $0.91 \pm 0.1$ from Bonnefoy et al. (2013b). Each spectrum has been offset arbitrarily for clarity, although no other offsets of any kind have been applied to either the empirical spectra or the P1640 data. The shaded regions indicate spectral regions where telluric water absorption is strong.
empirical spectra for field-age “standard” objects taken from Kirkpatrick et al. (2010), ranging from spectral type L0 to L8. The empirical spectra are normalized such that they match the observed P1640 spectrum to a grid of synthetic spectra from the PHOENIX models (Hauschildt et al. 1997; Barman et al. 2001; Allard et al. 2001). The model atmospheres cover $T_\text{eff} = 1400$–$4500$ K and log($g$) = 3.0–6.0 in intervals of 50 K and 0.1 dex at solar metallicity using the dusty version of dust treatment and are described in more detail by Rice et al. (2010) and Roberts et al. (2012b). The adopted best-fit parameters are the 50% quantile values of the 10$^6$ link posterior distribution functions from a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis that interpolates between calculated synthetic spectra, creating an effectively continuous grid of models.

We use three different spectral ranges: 0.9–1.32 $\mu$m ($YJH$ bands), 1.47–1.78 $\mu$m ($H$-band), and the full range 0.9–1.78 $\mu$m ($YJH$-bands) for the spectral comparison. We exclude the four points occupying the water band separating the $J$ and $H$ bands ($\sim1.4$ $\mu$m) as well as the final $H$-band point near 1.8 $\mu$m, but we include the two points in the water band between the $Y$ and $J$ bands since their uncertainties are comparable with points in the bandpasses. Figure 5 shows the three best-fit synthetic spectra and their input physical parameters for each spectral region plotted over the entire observed spectrum. The fit to the full set of data ($YJH$ bands) is the best overall and has similar parameters (2040$^{+58}_{-64}$ K, log($g$) = 4.33$^{+0.88}_{-0.70}$, $\pm68\%$ confidence intervals) as the $YJ$-band-only fit (2096$^{+103}_{-100}$ K, log($g$) = 4.65$^{+1.20}_{-0.89}$). As Figure 5 shows, the fit to only the $H$-band data significantly underpredicts several flux points in $YJ$ bands, producing a temperature of $\sim1550$ K, and we regard this as physically unreasonable. Figure 4 shows the posterior distributions for fits for each of the three cases along with the marginalized one-dimensional posterior distributions for each fitting parameter.

In Figure 6, we compare the best-fit $T_\text{eff}$ and log($g$) values to parameters predicted by DUSTY00 models from Chabrier et al. (2000) and Baraffe et al. (2002) for ages ranging from 1 Myr to 5 Gyr and masses ranging from 8 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ to 75 $M_{\text{Jup}}$. The uncertainties on the best-fit physical parameters for $\kappa$ And B represent the width of the distribution of the 10$^6$-link Markov chain values marginalized over the other parameter, as described in Roberts et al. (2012b; E. Rice et al. in preparation). Also shown are the locations in log($g$) versus $T_\text{eff}$ space of several young M and L-type objects taken from Bonnefoy et al. (2013a) and Bowler et al. (2013).

The locations of the $YJ$-band and $YJH$-band best-fit $T_\text{eff}$ values (2000–2100 K) and their uncertainties are consistent with an L1 $\pm$ 1 spectral type (e.g., Kirkpatrick 2005; Stephens et al. 2009). However, the constraints on the surface gravity for $\kappa$ And B still permit a wide range of ages, from very young to several hundred Myr. However, the range still includes our revised age of 220 $\pm$ 100 Myr. Indeed, low-resolution spectral fits to known young very low mass objects presented in E. Rice et al. (in preparation) also suggest surface gravities higher than would be expected for the $\sim10$–100 Myr ages, possibly indicating the inadequacy of the simplified dust treatment of the
Figure 4. Posterior distributions for the MCMC fits of synthetic spectra to the Project 1640 data shown in Figure 5. The figure uses the same color scheme as Figure 5. Namely, the blue distributions show the results to the fits of only the \( Y \) and \( J \) portions of the spectra; red corresponds to the \( H \) band, and purple is the full spectral range \( YJH \). The best-estimate for each parameter corresponds to the 50% quantile. One-dimensional representations of the marginalized temperature and surface gravity posterior distributions are shown at the top and right, respectively, with best-estimate and 68% confidence intervals marked by the dashed lines. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 5. Best-fit synthetic PHOENIX models (Hauschildt et al. 1997; Barman et al. 2001; Allard et al. 2001) to the Project 1640 spectra. The models have been fit using the methods of Roberts et al. (2012b) and E. Rice et al. (in preparation). The lower curve (blue) is the best-fit synthetic model to only the \( Y \)- and \( J \)-band Project 1640 points, while the middle curve (red) shows the best-fit synthetic model for \( \kappa \) And B to only the \( H \)-band spectral points (1.45–1.80 \( \mu m \)). The top curve (purple) reflects the best-fit values for all the \( YJH \) bands simultaneously. Figures 4 and 6 use the same color scheme. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

dusty PHOENIX model atmospheres in recreating the emergent spectra of young, very low-mass objects.

2.4. Near-infrared Luminosity and Colors

Combining luminosity with NIR color has emerged as a potentially powerful lever for deciphering age properties of brown dwarfs and giant planets. For example, normal field L-dwarfs typically have \((J−K_s)\) = 1.3–1.8, while the \( \gamma \) low-gravity sources are ~0.3–0.6 mag redder than the median for their respective spectral types (Figure 8). When one combines the absolute \( IHK \) magnitudes of the \( \gamma \) sources and compares them to equivalent spectral type targets, one finds they are not just redder but also up to 1.0 mag underluminous (Faherty et al. 2012, 2013; Liu et al. 2013) in the NIR. The same trend has been cited in directly imaged giant exoplanet studies (e.g., 2M1207b and HR 8799b; Chauvin et al. 2004; Marois et al. 2010). Figures 7 and 8 show the properties of \( \kappa \) And B compared to field brown dwarfs as well as five well-studied, young L-dwarfs: AB Pic B, CD-35 2722 B, 2M0355+1133, 2M0122−2439, and 2M1207 B (Chauvin et al. 2004, 2005; Wahhaj et al. 2011; Faherty et al. 2013; Bowler et al. 2013). Several of these young L-dwarfs are used for comparison in Figure 3 (Section 2.1).

As shown in Figure 7, \( \kappa \) And B has a comparable absolute magnitude to L0–L4 dwarfs, including the planetary-mass object AB Pic B (SpT L1; Bonnefoy et al. 2013a). However, AB Pic B is redder than the “main sequence” of L and T dwarfs as well as \( \kappa \) And B, and forms a sequence with comparably young sources 2M1207b and 2M0355. Indeed, Figure 8 shows that \( \kappa \) And B is consistent with the median \((J−K_s)\) colors of field L-dwarfs and is marginally inconsistent with the young \( \gamma \) low-gravity objects. The luminosity alone rules out ~mid to late spectral types, as the lower temperatures would make \( \kappa \) And B significantly overluminous. This suggests an earlier...
Figure 7. Near-infrared color–magnitude diagram for well-studied field and young L-type brown dwarfs as well as planetary-mass companions. Absolute magnitudes were derived from parallaxes reported in Faherty et al. (2012) and Dupuy & Liu (2012). The placement of κ And B is consistent with an L1 ± 1 spectral type. We also show comparably young L-dwarfs 2M0355, AB Pic b, CD-35 2722B, and 2M0122-2439B (see also Figures 3 and 8). (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

spectral type, consistent with the L1 ± 1 spectral type derived in Section 2.2.

3. PROPERTIES OF κ And A

In Section 2, we presented spectroscopic and photometric evidence that the companion to κ And is more consistent with an object that is older and higher mass than the young (30 Myr), and low mass (12–14 MJup) that has been claimed in the literature (Carson et al. 2013). In this section, we present further constraints on the age of the system through an analysis of fundamental properties of the host star.

3.1. Stellar Parameters

We list the key Stellar parameters for κ And A in Table 3. κ And A is a V = 4.138 ± 0.003 mag (Mermilliod 1997) B9IaVn (Cowley et al. 1969; Garrison & Gray 1994) star at a distance of 51.6 ± 0.5 pc (σ = 19.37 ± 0.19 mas; van Leeuwen 2007). The “n” in the spectral type signifies that it is a fast rotator, however, its v sin i (150 km s⁻¹; Abt et al. 2002) is not unusual for field B9 stars (Kraft 1967). At this distance, results from reddening surveys suggest that the star should be negligibly reddened (E(B−y) < 0.02 mag; Reis et al. 2011).

Several lines of evidence point to a lower surface gravity for κ And A compared to what would be expected of a ~30 Myr old star. Early indications of a luminosity class different than typical class V dwarfs. Furthermore, surface gravity estimates of log(g) = 4.17, 4.10, 3.97, 3.87, and 3.78 were estimated by Allende Prieto & Lambert (1999), Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005), Prugniel et al. (2007), Wu et al. (2011), and Bonnefoy et al. (2013b), respectively. Taken as a group, these values are more commensurate with luminosity class IV than typical class V dwarf stars.

References. (1) van Leeuwen 2007; (2) Gontcharov 2006; (3) Mermilliod 1997; (4) this paper, calculated using data in this table; (5) Fitzpatrick & Massa 2005; (6) Abt et al. 2002; (7) calculated using values from Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005) and updated using the new Hipparcos parallax from van Leeuwen (2007).

Table 3

| Parameter | Value | Units | Ref. |
|-----------|-------|-------|------|
| Parallax(σ) | 19.37 ± 0.19 | mas | 1 |
| Distance(1/σ) | 51.63 ± 0.51 | pc | 1 |
| μα* | 80.73 ± 0.14 | mas yr⁻¹ | 1 |
| μδ | 80.73 ± 0.14 | mas yr⁻¹ | 1 |
| v sin i | 127.8 ± 0.8 | km s⁻¹ | 2 |
| U | -11.5 ± 0.3 | km s⁻¹ | This work |
| V | -20.1 ± 0.5 | km s⁻¹ | This work |
| W | -5.9 ± 0.6 | km s⁻¹ | This work |
| mv | 4.138 ± 0.003 | mag | 3 |
| Mv | 0.574 ± 0.037 | mag | 4 |
| T eff | 11361 ± 66 | K | 5 |
| v sin i | 150 | km s⁻¹ | 6 |
| log(L/L⊙) | 1.895 ± 0.024 | dex | 7 |
| Radius | 2.29 ± 0.06 | R⊙ | 7 |
| Mass | 2.86 ± 0.1 | M⊙ | This work |
| Age | 220 ± 100 | Myr | This work |

of log(g) = 3.69—lower than the log(g) ≃ 4.2–4.5 value typical for luminosity class V stars. Furthermore, surface gravity estimates of log(g) = 4.17, 4.10, 3.97, 3.87, and 3.78 were estimated by Allende Prieto & Lambert (1999), Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005), Prugniel et al. (2007), Wu et al. (2011), and Bonnefoy et al. (2013b), respectively. Taken as a group, these values are more commensurate with luminosity class IV than typical class V dwarf stars.
As a demonstration of this, Figure 9 shows these values from the literature in a log(g) versus $T_{\text{eff}}$ diagram. This figure also shows a region of log(g) space (shaded band) spanned by a compendium of spectroscopic surface gravity measurements for subgiant standard stars from the PASTEL database (Soubiran et al. 2010). An assessment of the quality of the spectroscopic standards was made: those that showed the most consistency in the literature and/or had the best pedigrees were used. This band has a width of ±0.19 dex, as determined by the 1σ variation of the log(g) measurements from the subgiant standards. This scatter reflects a mix of differences among spectroscopic log(g) values published for a single subgiant standard star as well as standard-to-standard differences. Given the heterogeneity of the data, we do not attempt to disentangle which effects dominate, but the data seem to suggest that ±0.2 dex rms accuracy in log(g) is a reasonable lower limit on the predictive power of luminosity class IV to predict surface gravity. Fitting a fifth-order polynomial to the log(g) measurements (spanning spectral types B0 to K1) predicts a log(g) = 3.75 ± 0.19 for a B9IV star.

$\kappa$ And’s effective temperature ($T_{\text{eff}}$) has been estimated as 10594 K (Prugniel et al. 2007), 10733 ± 247 K (Wu et al. 2011), 10839 ± 200 K (Zorec & Royer 2012), 10965 K (Allende Prieto & Lambert 1999), 11246 K (Grosbol 1978), 11240 K (Malagnini et al. 1983; Morossi & Malagnini 1985), 11310 K (Napiwotzki et al. 1993), 11631 ± 66 K (Fitzpatrick & Massa 2005), and 11535 K (Westin 1985). Arguably the most comprehensive analysis is by Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005), who fit the Kurucz ATLAS9 model synthetic spectra to optical/infrared photometry and IUE ultraviolet spectra. Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005) derived extremely well-constrained stellar parameters of $T_{\text{eff}} = 11361 ± 66$ K, [Fe/H] = −0.36 ± 0.09 dex, radius 2.31 ± 0.09 $R_\odot$, and spectroscopic surface gravity log(g) = 4.10 ± 0.03 dex. Given their adopted distance based on the original Hipparcos catalog ($d = 52.0 ± 1.6$ pc), their parameters imply an angular diameter of 413 ± 16 μas (of which ±13 μas is due to the distance error, with presumably ±10 μas coming from the uncertainty in the bolometric flux). Using the revised Hipparcos parallax of $\pi = 19.37 ± 0.19$ mas ($d = 51.63 ± 0.51$ pc; 1% error), we update the luminosity estimate from Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005) to log($L/L_\odot$) = 1.895 ± 0.024 dex.

More accurate determinations of luminosity and $T_{\text{eff}}$ could easily be made if the inclination of $\kappa$ And were to be determined through interferometry (e.g., Monnier et al. 2012), enabling stellar parameters to be computed that are not biased by our unknown viewing angle of this rapid rotator. We discuss aspects of the star’s inclination in greater detail in Section 3.4.

### 3.2. Age

#### 3.2.1. Chemical Composition

The chemical composition of $\kappa$ And is worth briefly discussing before trying to constrain its age using modern stellar evolutionary tracks. Subsolar photospheric metallicities of [Fe/H] = −0.40 (Prugniel et al. 2007), −0.45 (Katz et al. 2011), −0.36 (Fitzpatrick & Massa 2005), and −0.32 ± 0.15 (Wu et al. 2011) have been reported for $\kappa$ And. However, nearby, young (<200 Myr) open clusters in the solar vicinity have a [Fe/H] of ~0.0 with a rms scatter of ~0.1 dex (e.g., Chen et al. 2003), as do the nearest star-forming regions (<10 Myr) and young stellar associations (Santos et al. 2008; Viana Almeida et al. 2009). If $\kappa$ And has kinematics consistent with a local origin, it is highly unlikely that the bulk composition of $\kappa$ And would vary significantly from solar.

For the Columba association, to which $\kappa$ And purportedly belongs, the only stars from published membership lists (Torres et al. 2008; Malo et al. 2013; Zuckerman et al. 2011; Zuckerman & Song 2012) with spectroscopic metallicity ([Fe/H]) estimates published in the PASTEL compendium of stellar atmospheric parameters (Soubiran et al. 2010) are HD 984 (0.09; Valenti...
& Fischer 2005), HD 31647 (−0.12; Hill 1995), HD 39206 (0.06; Lemke 1989), and HD 40216 (0.00; Tagliaferri et al. 1994). Hence, thus far, Columba members have spectroscopic metallicities consistent with being approximately solar (mean $\text{[Fe/H]} = 0.01 \pm 0.05$), and the spectroscopic $\text{[Fe/H]}$ estimates for $\kappa$ And would appear to make the star chemically peculiar if it is truly associated with Columba.

Even if the bulk composition of $\kappa$ And is as metal poor as the spectroscopic estimates listed above ($\text{[Fe/H]} = −0.32$ to $−0.45$) indicate, this would only conspire to make the star systematically older when comparing to evolutionary tracks. In what follows, we assume that $\kappa$ And has solar bulk composition, similar to other very young stars in the solar vicinity. However, we also evaluate the age while assuming a lower metallicity.

3.2.2. log(g) versus $T_{\text{eff}}$ Analysis

As originally presented at IAU Symposium 299 in Victoria, BC on 2013 June 3, Figure 9 shows the log(g) and $T_{\text{eff}}$ values previously listed in the literature plotted along with two sets of isochrones for log(g) and $T_{\text{eff}}$. The left plot shows the PARSEC isochrones of Bressan et al. (2012) for two cases: a metallicity of $\text{[M/H]} = −0.36$, the value for $\kappa$ And determined by Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005), and solar metallicity. The isochrone ages include the pre-main sequence evolution timescales. All of the published determinations of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log(g) for $\kappa$ And are consistent with an isochrone age $> 200$ Myr in the sub-solar metallicity case and an age $> 50$ Myr in the solar metallicity case.

The right panel of Figure 9 shows the isochrones taken from Ekström et al. (2012). These models are particularly applicable as they take the effects of stellar rotation into account. Indeed, Carson et al. (2013) use the work of Ekström et al. (2012) to derive a stellar mass. Figure 9 shows the isochrones for a rotation rate of $v_{\text{rot}}/v_{\text{crit}} = 0.4$ (see Section 3.2.3), as well as those for zero rotation. All of the published determinations of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log(g) are consistent with an isochrone age $> 100$ Myr for $\kappa$ And, and several values are consistent with the 200 Myr isochrone. Further, for both plots, these literature points are located in a region of the log(g) versus $T_{\text{eff}}$ diagram where the isochrones are unambiguously well separated.

Also shown in Figure 9 are several log(g) and $T_{\text{eff}}$ values taken for individual members of the Pleiades from the uvbyβ analysis of T. David et al. (2014, in preparation). Each of these points have had an individual $v \sin i$ rotation correction factor applied to them to account for the rotation and inclination effects discussed above. They show good agreement with the solar metallicity 100 Myr tracks (blue dotted curve), which are appropriate for Pleiades-age objects.

By combining the spectroscopically constrained parameters $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log(g) alone, and comparing the values to modern stellar evolutionary models, we infer that the age of $\kappa$ And is almost certainly in the range $\sim 50–400$ Myr. The well-constrained combination of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log(g) estimated by Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005) for $\kappa$ And A is consistent with age $\sim 300$ Myr for subsolar composition ($\text{[M/H]} = −0.36$) and age $\sim 180$ Myr for solar composition. Using the rotating and non-rotating tracks of Ekström et al. (2012), one finds the spectroscopic parameters of Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005) for $\kappa$ And A consistent with ages of $\sim 220$ Myr and $\sim 200$ Myr, respectively. We conclude that the combination of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log(g) for $\kappa$ And are consistent with an isochronal age of $\sim 200$ Myr, however, it may be as old as $\sim 300$ Myr if the star is indeed metal poor. As we show in the next section, these age estimates are commensurate with that inferred through comparison of the Hertzsprung–Russell (H-R) diagram position to evolutionary tracks.

3.2.3. Luminosity versus $T_{\text{eff}}$ Analysis

In Figure 10, we plot the H-R diagram position for $\kappa$ And—adopting the $T_{\text{eff}}$ from Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005)—with the revised luminosity from Section 3.1 along with evolutionary tracks and isochrones from Bertelli et al. (2009) assuming approximately protosolar composition ($Y = 0.27, Z = 0.017$). Sampling within the $T_{\text{eff}}$ and luminosity uncertainties using Gaussian deviates, we find that the H-R diagram position is consistent with an age of 140 ± 17 Myr and a mass of 2.89 ± 0.03 $M_\odot$. Adopting the Bertelli et al. (2009) tracks for a slightly lower (yet plausible) helium mass fraction ($Y = 0.26, Z = 0.017$), the H-R diagram point is consistent with an age 139 ± 17 Myr (2.90 ± 0.03 $M_\odot$). If we decrease the metal fraction by $\Delta Z = 0.001$ ($Y = 0.27, Z = 0.016$), this shifts the age slightly upwards to 152 ± 16 Myr (2.84 ± 0.03 $M_\odot$). Lowering the metal fraction to levels suggested for the proto-Sun informed by recent observations using three-dimensional solar atmosphere models (e.g., Asplund et al. 2009) ($Y = 0.27, Z = 0.014$), one would derive 177 ± 15 Myr (2.79 ± 0.03 $M_\odot$). We can also estimate an isochronal age that assumes that the measured photospheric metallicity is indicative of the star’s bulk composition ($\text{[Fe/H]} \sim −0.36$). We scale the star’s chemical composition by assuming a linear trend in $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 1.57$, which connects the Big Bang primordial abundances ($Y = 0.248, Z = 0.00$; Steigman 2010) with the solar photospheric ratio ($X/Z$) and protosolar $Y$ estimated by Asplund et al. (2009). Adopting the metallicity from Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005) ($\text{[Fe/H]} = −0.36$), we interpolate an approximate chemical composition of $Y = 0.26, Z = 0.006$. Using this subsolar
chemical composition, we infer that the H-R diagram position of κ And would be consistent with an age of 317 ± 10 Myr and a mass of 2.52 ± 0.03 $M_\odot$. Note that this chemical composition almost certainly represents a strong lower limit to the plausible helium and metal mass fractions, and hence defines an upper limit on the star’s age and a lower limit on its mass.

As a check, we evaluate the H-R diagram position of κ And A using other sets of tracks. Using the Girardi et al. (2000) evolutionary tracks for [Fe/H] = 0.0 ± 0.1 via the online isochrone interpolator PARAM 1.1, we find that κ And’s H-R diagram position corresponds to an age of 252 ± 33 Myr and a mass of 2.60 ± 0.06 $M_\odot$, with surface gravity log$(g) = 4.12 ± 0.02$. Assuming [Fe/H] = 0, the same tracks yield an age of 121 Myr, a mass of 2.85 $M_\odot$, and a log$(g) = 4.17$. Using the rotating evolutionary tracks from Georgy et al. (2013) for their assumed solar composition (Z = 0.014) and given that $v_{\text{rot}}/v_{\text{crit}} = 0.3$, κ And’s age is approximately 250 Myr for mass 2.75 $M_\odot$. Combining our estimate of the mass of κ And A ($\sim 2.8 M_\odot$) with our updated radius estimate in Table 2 (2.29 $R_\odot$) leads to an estimate of the star’s critical rotational velocity of ~480 km s$^{-1}$, hence for $v \sin i = 150$ km s$^{-1}$ (Abt et al. 2002), $v_{\text{eq}}/v_{\text{crit}} > 0.3$. Hence, the evolutionary tracks that include rotations that show slightly older (~10%) ages are probably to be favored.

If the star’s bulk composition is similar to that of solar (Z ≃ 0.015–0.017), the age is likely to be ~180 ± 70 Myr. If the star’s bulk composition reflects its photospheric abundances (Z ≃ 0.006), then the star may be on the order of ~250 ± 70 Myr. Hence, there is a systematic uncertainty in the age at the ~40% level due to the uncertainty in the bulk metal fraction of the star. Uncertainties due to the helium fraction, observational uncertainties, rotation, and other differences between the input physics of the different stellar evolutionary models, each contribute to the age uncertainty at the ~10% level. We conclude that the H-R diagram position for the star is consistent with an approximate age of 220 ± 100 Myr and a mass of 2.8$^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$ $M_\odot$.

The derived isochronal age range from the H-R diagram analysis is commensurate with that from the $T_{\text{eff}}$ versus log$(g)$ analysis in Section 3.2.2.

Our new estimate of 220 ± 100 Myr is ~7x older than the age estimate presented by Carson et al. (2013). Based on the combination of $T_{\text{eff}}$, log$(g)$, and luminosity, an age for κ And A younger than 120 Myr or older than 320 Myr seems extremely unlikely. If the bulk composition of κ And is truly as metal-poor as the photosphere ([Fe/H] ≃ −0.3), then not only is κ And ~10x older than the 30 Myr old Columba association, but its chemical composition contains less than half the metals of other Columba members.

With this revised age in hand, we use the DUSTY models of Chabrier et al. (2000) to estimate the mass of κ And B. Using the $L$-band photometry from Bonnefoy et al. (2013b) with our revised age of 220 ± 100 Myr, we find a revised mass of 50$^{+16}_{-13}$ $M_{\text{Jup}}$, where the uncertainty is driven almost entirely by our derived uncertainty in the age of κ And A.

### 3.3. Multiplicity

High-mass stars show a high degree of multiplicity (e.g., Duchêne & Kraus 2013 and references therein), and characterizing the multiplicity of the κ And system, and hence its contributions to the observed system luminosity, has significant implications for its age (Section 3.2). An equal-flux binary companion would significantly bias the inferred luminosity (Section 3.1), lowering the log$(L/L_\odot)$ value in Figure 10 by 0.3 dex, placing it near the 30 Myr age track. Hence, understanding the multiplicity of this system is crucial for a correct interpretation of Figure 10. Further, low-mass binary companions could be useful as additional age indicators. There have been numerous observations of κ And with various techniques, but they have not been synthesized into a single set of limits. We therefore present new observations with non-redundant aperture-mask interferometry, as well as interpret existing radial velocity and imaging data in the Appendix, and compile a comprehensive limit on the existence of binary companions at all semimajor axes (from 10$^{-2}$ AU to 10$^4$ AU).

#### 3.3.1. New Limits from Non-redundant Mask Interferometry

The technique of aperture masking interferometry (sometimes referred to as “sparse aperture masking” or “non-redundant masking”) is now well established as a means of achieving the full diffraction limit of an AO-equipped telescope (Lloyd et al. 2006; Kraus et al. 2008; Lacour et al. 2011; Hinkley et al. 2011a and references therein). We obtained new aperture masking observations of the κ And system on 2012 December 2 UT, using Keck II and the facility AO imager (NIRC2). To maximize resolution and sensitivity to short-period binary companions, we used the $J_{\text{cont}}$ filter and an 18-hole aperture mask. For calibration, we also observed the stars HIP 114456 and HIP 116631.

κ And was observed with two sets of 10 individual 10 s integrations, and we observed each calibrator for one such observation. The data analysis follows the same prescription as in Kraus et al. (2008), Kraus et al. (2011), and Hinkley et al. (2011a), so we refer the reader to these works. We summarize the detection limits as a function of projected separation in Table 4.

#### 3.3.2. Limits on Stellar Binary Companions

Utilizing the information on wide binary companions contained in the Appendix, as well as archival radial velocities also listed there, we have combined all of the above data in a unified Monte Carlo simulation that computes detection rates as a function of companion mass and semimajor axis. We computed 10$^5$ randomly generated orbits across a grid with bins of 0.1 $M_\odot$ in $M_{\text{secondary}}$ (spanning 0.1–2.8 $M_\odot$) and 0.1 dex in log$(a)$ (spanning 10$^{-2}$ to 10 AU); we did not test wider separations because the aperture masking and Subaru coronagraphic observations published in Carson et al. (2013) rule out all stellar companions, and the radial velocity data is not useful for substellar companions. For each randomly generated orbit in the Monte

---

21 http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cgi-bin/param_1.1

22 Instead of inputting luminosity directly, we entered the $V$ magnitude and parallax listed in Table 2 along with the Fitzpatrick & Massa (2005) $T_{\text{eff}}$ and metallicity.
angles can lead to scatter in diagrams such as Figure 9 and color–magnitude diagrams, thereby confusing the age analysis, such effects are less important for κ And, as we show below. Specifically, an extreme pole-on orientation is not possible for κ And due to its high observed rotational velocity. However, even very low-inclination models would not change the modeled age noticeably: these models cause the star to become not just more luminous but also hotter. Thus, on an H-R diagram, the effect of rotation and inclination is mostly to shift the star along, not across, an isochrone.

Nonetheless, the observed properties of κ And allow us to place some constraints on its inclination. With a projected rotational velocity of $v \sin i = 150$ km s$^{-1}$ (Abt et al. 2002) and taking the approximate mass and radius of κ And listed in Table 3, the formulae of Townsend et al. (2004) predict that the critical rotational velocity for κ And to be 394 km s$^{-1}$, which is indeed typical for B9 stars (Table 1 of Townsend et al. 2004). Since we do not know the ratio of the star’s equatorial to polar radii, we have adopted the radius inferred from the luminosity and effective temperature as the star’s polar radius in the formula presented in Townsend et al. (2004). A lower limit to the aspect ratio $r_{eq}/r_{polar}$ can be estimated via the Roche approximation formula from Townsend et al. (2004), where $v \sin i = 150$ km s$^{-1}$ places a lower limit on the equatorial velocity of the star. We estimate $r_{eq}/r_{polar} > 1.05$. The combination of $v \sin i$ and the predicted critical velocity lead to a constraint on the inclination of the star: $i > 22.4^\circ$. Hence the star cannot be within 22° of pole-on in orientation.

As Townsend et al. (2004) demonstrate, a fast-rotating B star can get a boost in absolute magnitude and/or reddening in optical color due to the effects of gravity darkening and viewing angles. Figure 3 of Townsend et al. (2004) is instructive for testing whether κ And could be interpreted as a young, extremely fast rotator seen at high inclination. In Figure 3 of Townsend et al. (2004), the authors take non-rotating B-type stars (conveniently including a fiducial B9 dwarf) and calculate the effects of gravity darkening and viewing angle on B–V color and absolute V magnitude for a range of rotation velocities (ranging from non-rotating to near critical $v_{eq}/v_{crit} = 0.95$) and at three different inclination angles ($0^\circ$, $45^\circ$, $90^\circ$). As discussed previously, the $v \sin i$ constraints are consistent with $v_{eq}/v_{crit} > 0.38$ and $i > 22^\circ$. So we can already rule out κ And being a near-face-on star rotating near $v_{crit}$. For Townsend et al.’s models, it is the face-on orientation ($i = 0^\circ$) that produces the greatest brightening in absolute magnitude, approximately 0.6 mag in $M_V$ for their most optimistic model ($i = 0^\circ$). The absolute magnitude of κ And is similar to that of late B-type Pleiades (∼120 Myr) and approximately 0.4 mag brighter than the ZAMS of Schmidt-Kaler (1982), which is a reasonable approximation for the sequence of ∼30 Myr late B-type stars. While the $i = 45^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ models of Townsend et al. (2004) are plausible for κ And, the $i = 0^\circ$ is not. Interpolating among the predicted differences in absolute magnitude and color for the fiducial B9 model of Townsend et al. (2004), it appears that it is extremely difficult to get a plausible model that can provide a ∼0.4 mag boost in absolute magnitude. For $i > 22^\circ$ (roughly halfway between the $i = 0^\circ$ and $45^\circ$ models), κ And would have to be rotating at or near critical velocity, i.e., $v_{eq}/v_{crit} \approx 0.95$. More modest inclinations of $i = 45^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ cannot provide sufficient brightening of the star’s real absolute magnitude to the observed value.

Measurement of a photometric rotation period for the star could provide a measure of the star’s rotation, as well as
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**Figure 11.** Percentage of stellar binary companions as a function of companion mass and semimajor axis that would have been detected by the radial velocity, aperture masking, and direct imaging observations that we summarize in Section 3.3 and the Appendix. The vertical color scale bar shows this percentage ranging from 0 to 100%. Nearly all stellar companions with $a \gtrsim 0.6$–0.7 AU are ruled out by the aperture masking observations, while radial velocities rule out the majority of short-period stellar companions with $M \gtrsim 0.5 M_\odot$. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Carlo, we tested the $\chi^2$ goodness of fit for the radial velocity time series while also verifying that the companion would not have been detected in any of the direct imaging epochs. We regarded a companion to be “ruled out” if the $\chi^2$ statistic is larger than the 95% confidence limit (i.e., the orbit would have produced a signal at >95% confidence). We present the resulting limits on stellar binary companions in Figure 11, which shows the percentage of stellar binary companions as a function of companion mass and semimajor axis that would have been detected by the radial velocity, aperture masking, and direct imaging observations. Nearly all stellar companions with $a \gtrsim 0.6$–0.7 AU are ruled out by the aperture masking observations, while radial velocities rule out the majority of short-period stellar companions with $M \gtrsim 0.5 M_\odot$.

We note that there exists a region in which nearly equal mass (2–3 $M_\odot$) binary companions are not completely ruled out. However, in their work reporting observations with the Palomar Testbed Interferometer (PTI), van Belle et al. (2008) did not find this system to be resolved. Further, given the resolution and field-of-view of PTI, this work should have reported a similar-brightness binary companion in their data. They claimed that even given the poor fit to a single point source, the noise in the visibilities would have been consistent with a companion showing 4 mag of K-band contrast or more, rather than 0 or 1 mag of contrast. Thus, we can appeal to their results to argue that nothing lies in the regime that is not formally ruled out by our analysis.

Our analysis suggests that the luminosity of the κ And system is not biased in any meaningful way by binarity of any kind and the calculated luminosity plotted in Figure 10 is due solely to a single host star: κ And A, reinforcing our isochronal 220 ± 100 Myr age estimate.

### 3.4. Constraints on Inclination

In this section, we investigate the likelihood that κ And A is a nearly pole-on fast rotator. Such a configuration could account for the position of κ And in the H-R diagram (Figure 10), while still possessing the previously reported age of 30 Myr. Though effects induced by rapid rotation and inclined viewing...
interferometric diameter measurements to test whether the star is consistent with an extreme aspect ratio. While included as a suspected variable star in the General Catalogue of Variable Stars catalog (Samus et al. 2007), the Hipparcos survey found the star to be remarkably photometrically quiet (classified “C” = constant), with scatter in Hp magnitudes of only 0.004 mag, and hence measuring a photometric period may be challenging.

3.5. Kinematics

Given the evidence presented that the κ And system is ≥220 Myr, it is worthwhile to revisit the original suggestion by Zuckerman et al. (2011) that this system may be a member of the 30 Myr Columbia association. Using the position, proper motion, and parallax from van Leeuwen (2007) and mean radial velocity from Gontcharov (2006), we estimate the velocity of κ And to be (U, V, W) = (−11.5 ± 0.3, −20.1 ± 0.5, −5.9 ± 0.6) and (X, Y, Z) = (−16.7, 46.5, −14.8). As noted in Carson et al. (2013), the space velocity and position of κ And yield >95% probability of Columbia membership according to the moving group prediction method (Malo et al. 2013). However, this 95% probability was only for an additional hypothesis in which a 0.75 mag shift was applied to the photometric sequence for the association to account for possible unresolved binarity, which is a case we rule out in Section 3.3. The probability for a non-binary case carries a lower probability, although this value was not tabulated in Malo et al. (2013). Additionally, further investigation reveals that κ And was included as a bona fide member of the collection of stars comprising the Columbia group kinematics in this work. This fact automatically increases its derived probability for membership in Columbia.

Figure 12 shows the UVWXYZ velocities and positions for the 21 bona fide Columbia members listed in Malo et al. (2013), with the κ And system highlighted. Figure 12 shows that the UVW velocities for κ And are consistent with the 20 other bona fide members of Columbia. However, it is a 2.7σ outlier in galactic Y position, which is the largest Y position of the entire Columbia ensemble. Even further, Zuckerman et al. (2011) do not use the galactic Y position as part of their criteria for moving group membership.

The strong agreement between the UVW velocity of κ And B and the Columbia association make a full kinematic “traceback” analysis challenging. Despite the fact that this object is a significant positional outlier in the Y-direction, any discussion of the star’s past position, velocity relative to the centroid of the Columbia association, and so forth is weakened due to the similarities in velocities of the star and the Columbia group discussed above. Further, the errors in velocities for κ And A and Columbia are sizeable (~0.5 km s⁻¹ and ~1 km s⁻¹, respectively). Nonetheless, adopting the centroid position and UVW velocity for Columbia listed in Malo et al. (2013) and the UVW for κ And A listed in Table 3, the star is currently 80 pc away from the centroid of Columbia, and its velocity differs by 1.5 km s⁻¹. Using an epicycle orbit approximation code, it appears that κ And was only slightly closer to the Columbia centroid in the past: 18 Myr ago it was 60 pc from Columbia, and 30 Myr ago it was 74 pc away.

However, the outlying Y position of κ And (46.5 pc) raises questions about the likelihood of its formation near the Columbia groups centroid (Y = −31.3). Notably, for κ And to have formed near Columbia’s centroid 30 Myr ago, it would have had to have inherited a peculiar V velocity of ΔV = (46.5 + 31.3pc)/(30 Myr) = 2.59 pc Myr⁻¹ ~ 2.6 km s⁻¹. Given Columbia’s current V velocity of V = −21.3, a “runaway” star would have velocity V + ΔV ≳ −18.7 km s⁻¹. However, this is still within ~1σ of what is observed for κ And.

4. SUMMARY

In this work, we have presented analysis of the spectra and photometry of the companion κ And B as well as a comprehensive analysis of the age, multiplicity, and moving group kinematics of the κ And AB system. We summarize our results as follows.

1. YJH-band low-resolution spectra obtained through high-contrast imaging with Project 1640 are consistent with an intermediate age (≤300 Myr) brown dwarf with L1 ± 1 spectral type, although similarities with field mid-L objects are present.

2. By fitting synthetic models to the Project 1640 spectrophotometry, we constrain the surface gravity and effective temperature of κ And B to log(g) = 4.33±0.88 and Teff = 2040±64 K, respectively.

3. Previously published log(g) and Teff values for κ And A are compared to theoretical isochrones, indicating ages of ~100–300 Myr. The H-R diagram position of κ And A is consistent with the same age for a range of assumed chemical compositions. Taken together, the stellar parameters are consistent with an isochronal age of 220 ± 100 Myr, where the age uncertainty is dominated by the star’s chemical composition.

4. We combine aperture masking interferometry, archival radial velocity data from the literature, and archival multi-epoch imaging of κ And A to rule out any faint stellar companions beyond ~0.6 AU (Figures 11 and 13) that could be causing the star to be overluminous for the originally
Figure 13. Left: a 2MASS ($M_K$, $J-K$) color–magnitude diagram for the 38 sources with $K < 14.3$ that are located within ρ = 20°−300° of κ And. The solid red line is the main sequence at the distance of κ And (Kraus & Hillenbrand 2007), while the blue dotted line shows the Δ$J - K < 0.3$ limit, which denotes possible consistency. Only seven sources (including “C,” but excluding “B”) have colors that are marginally consistent with physical association. Right: a proper-motion diagram for the 34 sources that have catalog proper motions. The blue points denote six of the seven sources with marginally consistent colors. None of these sources (including both “B” and “C”) are comoving with κ And. Visual inspection of the original POSS-I red plate (epoch 1952) and the 2MASS $K$ band image (epoch 1998) show that the remaining four sources have proper motions of < 20 mas yr$^{-1}$, and hence also are not comoving. Therefore, we conclude that the purported “B” and “C” components are not physically associated, and neither are any other sources with $M_{lim} > 15 M_{Jup}$ (if $\tau = 30$ Myr) and ρ = 1000–15,000 AU.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
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APPENDIX

LIMITS ON WIDE COMPANIONS TO κ And

Here we present limits on wide binary companions to the κ And system and a listing of archival radial velocities. These results are incorporated into our analysis presented in Section 3.3.

A.1. Literature Observations

The primary star κ And A has been observed by numerous radial velocity surveys over the past century; we list those radial velocity observations that we could recover in Table 5. Palmer et al. (1968) reported 11 radial velocity measurements for κ And that were taken between 1960 October 4 and 1961 November 4 (UT) and found a mean radial velocity of $v = -15$ km s$^{-1}$ with a standard deviation of $\sigma = 10.5$ km s$^{-1}$ and a standard deviation of the mean of $\delta \sigma \mu = 3$ km s$^{-1}$. Harper (1937) reported three radial velocity measurements that were taken between 1923 September 13 and 1926 December 14 (UT) and found a mean radial velocity of $v = -19$ km s$^{-1}$ with a standard deviation of $\sigma = 5$ km s$^{-1}$ and a standard deviation of the mean of $\delta \sigma \mu = 3$ km s$^{-1}$. Since there are only three epochs and we must be concerned with zero point shifts, we do not use these data. Wilson (1953) reported that κ And had a mean radial velocity of $v = -9.0$ km s$^{-1}$ for 10 observations, but the author did not report an uncertainty or the individual measurements, so we cannot use these measurements either. Finally, we also note...
that Huang & Gies (2008) reported that κ And is a relatively fast rotator ($\tau_{\text{rot}} = 169$ km s$^{-1}$), and so even if it is a low-amplitude double-lined spectroscopic binary, radial velocity measurements might not resolve the individual components. Our analysis therefore must consider the shift in spectral line centroids in placing constraints on the presence of a double-lined spectroscopic binary.

### A.2. Limits on Wide Comoving Companions with Archival Multi-epoch Imaging

Even before the discovery of κ And “b,” κ And was considered a binary (ADS 16916, WDS 23404+4420, HJ 1831). J.W. Herschel reported (Herschel 1831) possible companions to κ And at $\rho = 35''$ (“B”) in epoch 1828 and $\rho = 98''$ (“C”) in epoch 1836 (Smyth 1844; Mason et al. 2001). The nearest Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) counterparts for these stars are 2MASS J23402285+4419177 ($\rho = 48''$) and 2MASS J23401480+4420469 ($\rho = 113''$). If these (or any other) stars were indeed associated, then they would offer a valuable check on the age of the system. To test the association of these candidates and to search for other potential comoving companions, we have investigated the nature of all identifiable sources within <5' (<15000 AU) of κ And.

We queried the 2MASS Point Source Catalog (which has the highest image fidelity) to identify 38 candidate companions with $K < 14.3$ and $\rho < 5'$. The PSC clearly detected a source with $K = 13.9$ at $\rho = 27''$, and the background flux is similar down to $\rho = 20''$. We therefore estimate that any source brighter than the 2MASS detection limit ($K = 14.3$ at 10ar) would have been detected at $\rho > 20''$. We also compiled proper motions for most of these sources from UCAC4 (for nine sources; Zacharias et al. 2012) and from PPMXL (for 25; Roeser et al. 2010). Four candidate companions did not have proper motions in either catalog, but in all cases, visual inspection of the raw images showed that they moved by <1'' (<20 mas yr$^{-1}$) between the POSS-I epoch (1952) and the 2MASS epoch (1998).

In Figure 13 (left), we show a ($J$−$K$,$\Delta K$) color–magnitude diagram for the 38 sources with $K < 14.3$ identified by 2MASS. Figure 13 also shows the main sequence for stars located at the distance of κ And. Only 7 sources are located within $\Delta(J − K) < 0.3$ mag of the main sequence; the remaining 31 sources (including the “B” companion from 1831, as well as 3 of the 4 sources with visually estimated proper motions) appear to be unassociated background stars.
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