The Effect of Occupational Noise Exposure on Serum Cortisol Concentration of Night-shift Industrial Workers: A Field Study
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ABSTRACT

Background: In both developed and developing countries, noise is regarded as the most common occupational hazard in various industries. The present study aimed to examine the effect of sound pressure level (SPL) on serum cortisol concentration in three different times during the night shift.

Methods: This case–control study was conducted among 75 workers of an industrial and mining firm in 2017. The participants were assigned to one of the three groups (one control and two case groups), with an equal number of workers (25 participants) in each group. Following the ISO 9612 standard, dosimetry was adopted to evaluate equivalent SPL using a TES-1345 dosimeter. The influence of SPL on serum cortisol concentration was measured during the night shift. The serum cortisol concentration was measured using a radioimmunoassay (RIA) test in the laboratory. Repeated measure analysis of variance and linear mixed models were used with \( \alpha = 0.05 \).

Results: The results indicated a downward trend in the serum cortisol concentration of the three groups during the night shift. Both SPL and exposure time significantly affected cortisol concentration \( (p < 0.0001, p < 0.0001) \). Conversely, age and body mass index had no significant influence on cortisol concentration \( (p = 0.360, p = 0.62) \).

Conclusion: Based on the obtained results, increasing SPL will lead to enhancement of serum cortisol concentration. Given that cortisol concentration varies while workers are exposed to different SPLs, this hormone can be used as a biomarker to study the effect of noise-induced stress.

© 2018 Occupational Safety and Health Research Institute, Published by Elsevier Korea LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Noise refers to every unwanted sound generated by natural phenomena (e.g., wind, volcanic eruption, oceans, etc.) or human-based sources (e.g., automobiles, machines, explosions, etc.) [1]. It is regarded as the most typical harmful industrial factor in both developed and developing countries [2].

Research shows that every day in Europe, about 450 million individuals are exposed to noise levels of at least 55 dBA, 113 million people experience a minimum noise level of 64 dBA, and 9.7 million persons are exposed to noise levels of 75 dBA or more [3]. Exposure to high levels of occupational noise is still a big challenge in all corners of the world. Considering the USA, for instance, more than 30 million workers are exposed to hazardous noise [4]. Similarly, in Germany, 4–5 million people (which constitute 12–15% of the workforce) experience hazardous noise levels, as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) [5]. As an occupational health hazard, exposure to excessive noise may lead to a wide array of social and physiological (e.g., anatomical, nonauditory, and auditory) problems [6–8]. Shifts in hearing threshold and speech perception deterioration, which generally entail noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL), are common consequences of contact with...
excessive noise. In addition to such auditory impacts, noise exposure can also have nonauditory consequences, which cause damage to the autonomic nervous system and eventually lead to heightened skin temperature and pulse rate, high blood pressure, constriction of blood vessels, abnormal hormone secretion, and muscle tenseness \[9,10\].

As the final product of the hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis in humans, cortisol is the main glucocorticoid produced in the adrenal cortex \[11\]. It is a steroid hormone and is regarded as the major indicator of physiological alterations stemming from stressful stimuli \[12,13\]. HPA activation and cortisol release are natural responses to physiological stress in humans \[14\]. Some studies have used salivary and/or serum cortisol to gauge stress hormone \[15,16\].

The collected data were fed into SPSS 18 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive methods (mean, standard deviation, and frequency) were then used to summarize the data, followed by

2. Material and methods

2.1. Industry selection

The study was conducted in a mining and industrial firm located in southeastern Iran. Because it was feasible to form control and experimental groups among workers in this firm, the researchers decided to carry out the study there.

2.2. Participants

In total, 75 firm workers took part in the study (which was conducted in 2017). Before data collection, the objectives of the study were explained to the participants, and written consent was obtained from them. They provided demographic information on the experiment day. Before conducting the study, workers’ health condition was screened by examining their medical history, and individuals who did not have any record of physical problems were selected as the target group.

2.3. Sampling procedure

This case–control study included a control group and two case groups. Thus, sampling was performed in a way that an equal number of participants were assigned to each group. Based on previous studies, at least 25 people should exist in each group to achieve a power level of 80% and avoid Type I error (Alpha = 0.05). Therefore, 75 people were selected for the three groups.

2.4. Study design

In this study, the participants were divided into three groups of 25 (one control and two case groups). To study the effect of SPLs on serum cortisol concentration, participants in the control group were selected from individuals who had office jobs. On the other hand, participants of the two case groups (which were exposed to noise) were selected among workers in two factories of the firm. The metabolism of participants in the three groups was defined in accordance with ISO 8996 \[23\]. The studied participants followed a 3−3−3−3 work shift pattern (3 mornings, 3 evenings, 3 nights, and 3 days off). To study the impact of work shift on serum cortisol concentration, cortisol level was measured during the night shift.

Hence, the effect of SPL on serum cortisol concentration was assessed for the night shift. In particular, serum cortisol concentration was gauged in three different occasions during the night shift: at the beginning of the shift (11−11:30 PM), 3 hours into the shift (2−2:30 AM), and 6 hours into the shift (5−5:30 AM). Furthermore, in an attempt to study the role of intervening environmental factors, heat and lighting were assessed in the work environment in these three measurement occasions.

2.5. Measurement

2.5.1. Equivalent SPL

In line with the ISO 9612 standard, dosimetry was adopted to assess equivalent SPL using a TES-1345 (Sunlight Electronic Technology Co. Ltd., China) dosimeter. Before using it, the machine was calibrated by a CEL-110/2 calibrator (CASELLA, USA) \[24\].

2.5.2. Heat

As a reliable index of environmental heat, wet-bulb globe temperature (WBGT) was measured using a machine, manufactured by Casella (a UK-based company). The machine was calibrated to gauge dry temperature, natural wet temperature, glowing temperature, and relative humidity. The ISO 7243 standard was followed to calculate the WBGT index, whereas the ISO 8996 standard was adopted to estimate the metabolism rate.

Atmospheric conditions in the work environment may differ in various work shifts. Thus, WBGT should be measured in various occasions during a work shift, followed by calculating its time-weighted average by the use of the following formula \[23,25\]:

\[
\text{WBGT}_{\text{IWA}} = \frac{(\text{WBGT}_1 \times T_1) + (\text{WBGT}_2 \times T_2) + \ldots + (\text{WBGT}_n \times T_n)}{T_1 + T_2 + \ldots + T_n}
\]

\[1\]

2.5.3. Light

The intensity of general lighting was assessed following the procedure proposed by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA). A calibrated luxmeter (Lutron Lx, model 102, Taiwan) was used to measure lighting in the work environments in three occasions during the night shift \[26\].

2.5.4. Serum cortisol

To assess the concentration of serum cortisol, 5 mL of the blood sample was obtained from the workers in the three data collection occasions during the night shift. While taking blood samples, all the participants were sitting. The blood samples were transferred into numbered tubes that contained anticoagulant ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). The tubes were immediately taken to an authentic medical diagnostic laboratory under controlled condition (ice box). In the laboratory, serum cortisol concentration was measured using a radioimmunoassay (Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, USA) \[27\].

2.6. Statistical analysis

The collected data were fed into SPSS 18 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive methods (mean, standard deviation, and frequency) were then used to summarize the data, followed by
using the Shapiro–Wilk test to examine normality of data distribution. Because the data were assessed in different occasions, repeated measure analysis of variance (ANOVA) and linear mixed models were carried out as inferential statistics. All the pre-assumptions of these two procedures were tested, and the significance level was set at $p = 0.05$.

2.7. Ethical considerations

The ethical principles proposed by Kerman University of Medical Sciences (ID: IR.KMU.REC.1396.2298) were strictly followed in this study. More specifically, written informed consent was obtained from the participants before data collection. They were further ensured that the provided data would remain confidential and would be used only for research purposes. The participants could also withdraw from the study at any point without being punished.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic features

Table 1 displays the mean age, body mass index, and work experience of the three studied groups.

3.2. Equivalent SPL

The results of dosimetry indicated that the workers in the control group were exposed to an equivalent SPL of 67 $\pm$ 3 dBA, whereas the participants in the case groups were in contact with equivalent SPLs of 80 $\pm$ 4 and 92 $\pm$ 4 dBA.

3.3. WBGT_TWA in the three groups

Measuring WBGT_TWA in the three groups demonstrated values of 21.1°C for participants in the control group and 24.6°C and 25.5°C for participants in the two case groups. Therefore, in the light of ISO 7243, the members of none of the groups were exposed to heat stress.

3.4. Lighting

The results of lighting measurement revealed that the average lighting intensity in the control and case groups were 350 lux, 300 lux, and 370 lux, in that order. Thus, the lighting intensity was rather similar in the three groups.

3.5. Average serum cortisol concentration

Fig. 1 illustrates the average serum cortisol concentration of the three groups measured in three different occasions (at the beginning, in the middle, and at the end of the work shift). It is observed that in all the groups, the average value of serum cortisol concentration was higher at the beginning of the night shift (11–11:30 PM) than at the end of this shift (5–5:30 AM). Thus, a declining trend is observed during the shift.

3.6. The effect of SPL on cortisol concentration

Repeated measure ANOVA was used to compare the cortisol concentration across the three data collection occasions, with the results being illustrated in Table 2. Accordingly, in the first data collection time (11–11:30 PM), significant differences were observed in the cortisol concentrations of participants of the three exposure groups ($p < 0.05$). Considering the second data collection time (2–2:30 AM), however, no statistically measurable discrepancy was detected between the participants in the control group and those in the case group exposed to 80 dBA SPL ($p = 0.10$). In this time, significant differences were recorded in the cortisol concentrations of participants who were exposed to an SPL of 92 dBA, on the one hand, and those who were exposed to an SPL of 80 dBA and those in the control group, on the other hand ($p < 0.05$). Furthermore, in the third data collection time (5–5:30 AM), no considerable difference was observed between the control group and the case group exposed to 80 dBA SPL in terms of their cortisol concentrations ($p = 0.06$). Conversely, significant discrepancies were registered between the case group exposed to 92 dBA SPL and the other two groups ($p < 0.0001$).

3.7. The effect of various data collection times on cortisol concentrations

Repeated measure ANOVA was carried out to examine within-group differences with respect to cortisol concentrations in the three data collection times.

### Table 2

| Time intervals | Group SPL1 exposed to noise level 67 dBA | Group SPL2 exposed to noise level 80 dBA | Group SPL3 exposed to noise level 92 dBA | Mean difference | Std. error | p |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---|
| 11–11:30 PM    | SPL1 – 67 dBA                           | SPL2 – 80 dBA                           | SPL3 – 92 dBA                           | –2.60          | 0.91      | 0.01|
|                | SPL1 – 67 dBA                           | SPL3 – 92 dBA                           | SPL2 – 80 dBA                           | –2.60          | 0.91      | <0.0001|
| 2–2:30 AM      | SPL1 – 67 dBA                           | SPL2 – 80 dBA                           | SPL3 – 92 dBA                           | –1.80          | 0.83      | 0.10|
|                | SPL1 – 67 dBA                           | SPL3 – 92 dBA                           | SPL2 – 80 dBA                           | –2.60          | 0.83      | <0.0001|
| 5–5:30 AM      | SPL1 – 67 dBA                           | SPL2 – 80 dBA                           | SPL3 – 92 dBA                           | –1.32          | 0.54      | 0.06|
|                | SPL1 – 67 dBA                           | SPL3 – 92 dBA                           | SPL2 – 80 dBA                           | –2.60          | 0.83      | <0.0001|
|                | SPL2 – 80 dBA                           | SPL3 – 92 dBA                           | SPL1 – 67 dBA                           | –1.80          | 0.83      | <0.0001|

SPL, sound pressure level.
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tween the two variables [28]. The results of the present study secretion, demonstrating a significant cortisol concentration among workers in a mining and industrial 4. Discussion well as the three data collection times (Table 3). Considering the control group, no significant difference was observed in the participants’ cortisol concentrations between the second (2–2:30 AM) and third (5–5:30 AM) data collection occasions (p = 0.18). However, measurable differences were detected between the first and second times as well as the first and third ones (p < 0.05). In both the case groups (SPL2 and SPL3), significant differences were observed within all data collection times (p < 0.05). It is noteworthy that cortisol concentrations dwindled in all the three groups in the course of time.

4. Discussion

This study aimed at investigating the effect of SPL on serum cortisol concentration among workers in a mining and industrial firm during various exposure times.

Haratian et al examined the role of age and gender in cortisol secretion, demonstrating a significant negative relationship between the two variables [28]. The results of the present study showed no significant difference between the three groups in terms of their age and body mass index (p > 0.05). In addition, age and body mass index had no significant effect on cortisol concentration (F = 0.84, p = 0.360, F = 0.23, p = 0.62).

The overwhelming majority of previous studies have used the measurement of adrenaline catecholamines, noradrenaline, and cortisol to examine noise-induced stress [29,30]. In the same vein, the present study aimed to investigate the effect of noise on serum cortisol concentration. Although cortisol secretion follows the circadian rhythm, it may also be influenced by environmental factors [31]. In addition, the effect of two environmental factors (i.e., heat and lightning) on cortisol concentration was examined in the three data collection times during the night shift. The results indicated similar patterns among the three groups with regard to heat stress and lighting intensity.

On the other hand, the results of comparing cortisol concentrations during the shift revealed a considerable reduction (from the beginning to the end of the shift) in all the three groups. Moreover, rise in SPL leads to increase of cortisol concentration, meaning that cortisol concentration was significantly higher in the 92-dBA SPL case group than in the other two groups exposed to 80-dBA SPL and 67-dBA SPL (the control group) (Fig. 1).

Based on the developed statistical model, SPL and exposure time had a significant impact on cortisol concentration (p < 0.0001, p < 0.0001). Therefore, rises in both SPL and exposure time lead to greater cortisol concentrations.

Examination of the impact of SPL on cortisol concentration, Tafalla et al discovered no significant increase in cortisol concentration as a result of extending the exposure time. Thus, their findings are in conflict with our results [30].

Ising et al have demonstrated that exposure to maximal noise pressure levels above 92 dBA may stimulate the sympathetic nervous system and enhance adrenaline and noradrenaline release. Noise levels above 120 dBA raise cortisol in humans and animals [32]. Furthermore, exposure to low frequency noise with Lmax <50 dBA for a long time during nights leads to chronic increase of excretion of free cortisol in the first half of the night [33]. These findings are in alignment with our results.

Zumanian et al, examining the impact of excessive noise on cortisol concentration, concluded that high SPLs significantly affect cortisol concentration, a finding that further confirms the results obtained in the present study [34].

Melamed and Bruhs investigated the effect of chronic exposure to industrial noise on urinary cortisol concentration among 35 industrial workers who were exposed to SPLs above 85 dBA and did not use any ear protector. They measured urinary cortisol concentration in three different occasions during the day shift (6:30 AM, 10:30 AM, and 1:30 PM). The results revealed that the concentration of serum cortisol was higher at the end of the shift than at the beginning of the work shift [21]. In this study, serum cortisol concentration was measured in the case and control groups in three different times (11–11:30 PM, 2–2:30 AM, and 5–5:30 AM) during the night shift. The results showed a constant decline in cortisol concentration during the night shift.

Brandenberger et al demonstrated that participants in the case groups who were exposed to 85–105 dBA SPLs did not significantly differ from their counterparts in the control group in terms of their cortisol concentration [35]. Hence, their findings are in line with the results of the present study. Because blood sampling is an aggressive method of data collection, some of the workers refrained from participating in the study, an issue which is a limitation of this research.

A limitation of the study was the problems that the researchers encountered to convince the stakeholders in the industry to participate in the study. Particularly, some of the workers were reluctant to donate blood samples in various times during their shift.

5. Conclusion

During the night shift, SPL and exposure time have significant impacts on cortisol concentration. More specifically, rise in SPL leads to significant increase in cortisol concentration, whereas extension of exposure time reduces it. Given that cortisol concentration varies while workers are exposed to different SPLs, this hormone can be used as a biomarker to study the effect of noise-induced stress.
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