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Abstract:
This study examines perceptions of university library usage in considering factors that influence achievement of students and academic performance. A thorough review of relevant literature examined approaches to determining user satisfaction of students and factors that influence library usage. Data was gotten from students in three different tertiary institutions in Ekiti State, Nigeria. The result shows that the use of library has positive effect on the academic performance of students.
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1. Introduction

A library is a collection of sources of information and similar resources, made accessible to a defined community for reference or borrowing. It provides physical and digital access to material, and maybe a physical building or room, or a virtual space, or both. A library’s collection can include books, periodicals, newspaper, manuscripts, films, maps, print, documents microform, CDs, cassettes, videotapes, DVDs, Blu-ray Discs, e-books, audio books, databases, and other formats. Libraries range in size. From a shelf of books to several million items. A library is organised for use and maintained by public body, an
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One of the fundamental laws of the library is that the resources—books and non-book—must be well consulted. The librarian has to acquire and provide access to the information stocked in the library. The user is very important in the practice of librarianship; this is because library process revolves around the users. The user is very critical to the services of a library, hence the user of a library must be constantly asked to assess the services and resources provided, as this will help the library to improve upon its services. No matter how large the stock of a library is, if the services and its resources are not fully utilized, such a library will end up a white elephant. It is worthy to note however that any attempt on the part of the library to ignore the satisfaction of its users will be done at the library’s peril (Budd (1998), Jackson (2003)).

The primary purpose of any library is to support the students with the provision of adequate and current information and material in order to support the academic curriculum. With the growth in library collections, and technological advancement in information handling and retrieving techniques, it is necessary to guide students and other library users on the accessibility and retrieval of those information resources. In order to ensure that students have the intellectual abilities and skills to retrieve information as well as construct a framework for learning, the university library makes provision for library instruction, which is also referred to as user education, instructional program, teaching the use of library and Information sources among others (Alan (2002); Benbasat (1984)).

A library’s fundamental purpose has always been to support the process of research and education by helping users find information and ascertain its value. In any academic institution, it is expected that the library should provide opportunities for librarians to serve users in different ways. For example, by providing more in-depth consultation to research questions or hosting new types of tool that enable users to guide themselves in specialized disciplines. The demonstrable value of academic libraries and their staff must increasingly reside in the guidance they provide as well as the technical infrastructures they develop and maintain. Furthermore, the library performs the role of enhancing the creation of new academic communities on campus. One of the most vital advantages of an academic library is space (Toda et al. (2007); Tella et al. (2009); Victor (2011); Michael et al. (2014)). It is often observed that the library inhabits the most desirable real estate on any college or university campus. Geographically and symbolically, it occupies the centre of a community established to support the advancement and perpetuation of knowledge. The positioning of the library conveys a sense of intellectual common ground, a setting in which knowledge from a range of disciplines comes together in a single place (Lonsdale (2003); Ekundayo (2013)).
2. Methods and Materials

A categorical variable is one that has two or more categories, but there is no intrinsic ordering to the categories. The questionnaire for this study is in two sections. The first part consisted of 5 questions that relate to the demographic characteristics of the student’s information which include the sex, age group, programmes, faculty, marital status and level while the section B consists of 26 questions. Most of the variables used for this study are categorical in nature.

Descriptive survey research was adopted for this study. The researchers used questionnaire for data collection. The population of this study consists of students from three (3) tertiary institutions and they are Ekiti State University, Federal Polytechnic Ado-Ekiti, and Federal University of Oye-Ekiti. Hundred (100) questionnaires were distributed to each school, making a sum of 300 questionnaires. Out of the three hundred (300) questionnaires that were randomly distributed for equal representation of each school across, two hundred and ninety-four (294) were returned in usable form representing 98% responses; i.e. hundred (100) from Ekiti State University, ninety-eight (98) Federal Polytechnic Ado-Ekiti and ninety-six (96) from the Federal University of Oye Ekiti.

3. Data Analysis and Interpretation

The table below shows the demographic characteristics of the studied populations and discussion follows.

| School                        | Demographic Characteristics | Response | F  | Percent | Total |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----|---------|-------|
| Ekiti State University        | Sex                         | Male     | 45 | 15.3%   | 294   |
|                               |                             | Female   | 55 | 18.7%   |       |
| Fed. Polytechnic Ado-Ekiti    |                             | Male     | 62 | 21.1%   |       |
|                               |                             | Female   | 36 | 12.2%   |       |
| Fed. University of Oye Ekiti  |                             | Male     | 37 | 12.6%   |       |
|                               |                             | Female   | 59 | 20.1%   |       |
| Ekiti State University        | Age                         | 0-20 years| 15 | 5.1%    | 294   |
|                               |                             | 21-30 years| 83 | 28.2%   |       |
|                               |                             | 31 & above| 2  | 0.7%    |       |
| Federal Polytechnic Ado-Ekiti |                             | 0-20 years| 38 | 12.9%   | 294   |
|                               |                             | 21-30 years| 56 | 19.1%   |       |
|                               |                             | 31 & above| 4  | 1.4%    |       |
| Federal University of Oye Ekiti|                             | 0-20 years| 47 | 16.0%   | 294   |
|                               |                             | 21-30 years| 48 | 16.3%   |       |
|                               |                             | 31 & above| 1  | 0.3%    |       |
| Ekiti State University        | Level                       | 100      | 5  | 1.7%    | 294   |
|                               |                             | 200      | 22 | 7.5%    |       |
|                               |                             | 300      | 22 | 7.5%    |       |
|                               |                             | 400 & above| 51 | 17.3%   |       |
Table 2: Frequency Of Library Use by Students

| How often do you make use of the library? | EKSU | FEDPOLY | FUOYE | Total |
|------------------------------------------|------|---------|-------|-------|
| Daily                                    | 5 (5%) | 14 (14.3%) | 8 (8.3%) | 27 (27.6%) |
| Frequently                               | 8 (8%) | 24 (24.5%) | 17 (17.7%) | 49 (50.2%) |
| Rarely                                   | 87 (87%) | 59 (60.2%) | 73 (76%) | 219 (23.2%) |

The result in Table 2 reveals that; in Ekiti State University, 5% of the students do visit the library daily, 8% visit the library frequently and 87% rarely visit the library. This suggests that most of the students in Ekiti State University rarely visit the library. In Federal Polytechnic of Ado-Ekiti, 14.3% of the students do visit the library daily, 24.5% visit the library frequently and 60.2% rarely visit the library. This suggests that most of the students in Federal Polytechnic of Ado-Ekiti rarely visit the library. In Federal University Oye-Ekiti, 8.3% of the students do visit the library daily, 17.7% visit the library frequently and 76% rarely visit the library. This suggests that most of the students in Federal University Oye-Ekiti rarely visit the library.

Table 3: Result of Chi-Square Test on the use of library

|                          | Value  | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) |
|--------------------------|--------|----|-----------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square       | 18.674*| 6  | .005                  |
| Likelihood Ratio         | 19.231 | 6  | .004                  |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 1.190 | 1  | .275                  |
| N of Valid Cases         | 294    |    |                       |

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.81.

**H₀**: Use of the library is independent of the schools.

**H₁**: Use of the library is dependent on the schools.
It can be seen that the test statistic value for Pearson Chi-Square is 18.674, with 6 degree of freedom, and as the p value is smaller than 0.05, we can conclude that there is a significantly difference of how often student’s makes use of the library in each school.

Table 4: Symmetric measures

|                  | Value | Asymp. Std. Error \(^a\) | Approx. T \(^b\) | Approx. Sig. |
|------------------|-------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Nominal by Nominal | Contingency Coefficient | .246 | .005 |
| Interval by Interval | Pearson’s R | -.064 | .058 | -.1091 | .276\(^c\) |
| N of Valid Cases | | | | 294 |

From the table above, the Pearson’s R having the approximately significance 0.276 which is greater than the p value 0.05, we can conclude that there is no statistically significant and it is negatively correlated with the value -0.064.

Table 5: Table showing comparison of the use of library and their cumulative grade point average (CGPA)

| Do you make use of the library | EKSU | FEDPOLY | FUOYE | Total |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|-------|-------|
|                                 | Yes  | No      | Yes  | No      | Yes  | No  |
| CGPA 0.0 - 2.49                 | 8    | 12      | 9    | 1       | 7    | 1   |
|                                 | (8%) | (12%)   | (9.2%) | (1%) | (7.3%) | (1%) |
| 2.50 - 3.49                    | 28   | 22      | 31   | 15      | 24   | 9   |
|                                 | (28%) | (22%) | (31.6%) | (15.3%) | (25%) | (9.4%) |
| 3.50 & above                    | 16   | 14      | 30   | 12      | 38   | 17  |
|                                 | (16%) | (14%) | (30.6%) | (12.2%) | (39.6%) | (17.7%) |

Table 5 shows that 16 respondents (24.5%) make use of the library while 14 respondents (14%) did not make use of the library and have their CGPA between the range 0-2.49. 83 respondents (84.6%) make use of the library and while 46 respondents (46.7%) did not make use of the library and have their CGPA between the range 2.50-3.49. 84 respondents (85.2%) made use of the library and while 43 respondents (43.9%) did not make use of the library and had their CGPA between the range 3.50 and above.

Table 6: Chi-Square Tests of the use of Library and their CPGA

|                  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) |
|------------------|-------|----|-----------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 13.642\(^a\) | 6  | .034 |
| Likelihood Ratio  | 14.222 | 6  | .027 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 4.835 | 1  | .028 |
| N of Valid Cases  | 294   |    |                       |

H\(_0\): There is no association between academic performance and the use of library.
H\(_1\): There is an association between academic performance and the use of library.
It can be seen that the test statistic value for Pearson Chi-Square is 13.642, with 6 degree of freedom, and as the p value (0.034) is smaller than 0.05, we can conclude that there is a relationship between the use of library and the academic performance of students.

Table 7: Symmetric Measures

|                | Value | Asymp. Std. Error | Approx. T | Approx. Sig. |
|----------------|-------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Nominal by Nominal | Contingency Coefficient | .211 | | .034 |
| Interval by Interval | Pearson’s R | -.128 | .063 | -2.213 | .028 c |
| N of Valid Cases | 294 | | | |

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.
b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.
c. Based on normal approximation.

From the table above, the Pearson’s R having the approximately significance 0.028 which is less than the p value 0.05, we can conclude that there is a statistically significant association between use of library and good performance.

5. Discussion

This research shows clearly that from the three tertiary Institutions libraries sampled, 27 respondents (27.6%) of the 294 sample population confirmed that they make use of the library daily. On the other hand, 49 respondents (50.2%) claimed that they make use of the library frequently, and 219 respondents agreed that they rarely make use of the library. Also using Chi-square and Pearson correlation, it is clear that there is statistical relationship in the use of library and the academic performance of students.

Also, that 16 respondents (24.5%) made use of the library while 14 respondents (14%) did not make use of the library and had their CGPA between the range 0-2.49. 83 respondents (84.6%) made use of the library while 46 respondents (46.7%) did not make use of the library and had their CGPA between the range 2.50-3.49. 84 respondents (85.2%) made use of the library while 43 respondents (43.9%) did not make use of the library and had their CGPAs between the range 3.50 and above.

Likewise, 209 respondents (71.1%) confirmed that their school is residential and 85 respondents (28.9%) disagreed that their school is residential. Also from the test carried out using chi-square, it is clear that there is statistical difference in the availability of school residence.

The study equally revealed that 244 respondents (83%) claimed that their libraries are conducive for reading while 50 respondents 17% confirmed that their libraries are not conducive for reading.
6. Conclusion

Considering the findings of this study, it can be concluded that the use of library has positive effect on the academic performance of students on their CGPAs in the three schools (Ekiti State University, Federal Polytechnic Ado-Ekiti, Federal University of Oye-Ekiti). The result shows that the school libraries are conducive for reading. The result also shows that Federal University is more residential and have the highest number of students with their CGPAs to be 3.50 and above. Since, a large percentage of students who have their CGPAs as 3.50 or above made use of the library, therefore, it can be safely concluded that there is a positive relationship between use of library and good grades.
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