EspR-dependent ESAT-6 Protein Secretion of Mycobacterium tuberculosis Requires the Presence of Virulence Regulator PhoP*5
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Attenuation of Mycobacterium bovis BCG strain is related to the loss of the RD1-encoded ESX-1 secretion system. The ESX-1 system secretes virulence factor ESAT-6 that plays a critical role in modulation of the host immune system, which is essential for establishment of a productive infection. Previous studies suggest that among the reasons for attenuation of Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Ra is a mutation in the phoP gene that interferes with the ESX-1 secretion system and inhibits secretion of ESAT-6. Here, we identify a totally different and distinct regulatory mechanism involving PhoP and transcription regulator EspR on transcriptional control of the espACD operon, which is required for ESX-1-dependent ESAT-6 secretion. Although both of these regulators are capable of influencing espACD expression, we show that activation of espACD requires direct recruitment of both PhoP and EspR at the espACD promoter. The most fundamental insights are derived from the inhibition of EspR binding at the espACD regulatory region of the phoP mutant strain because of PhoP-EspR protein-protein interactions. Based on these results, a model is proposed suggesting how PhoP and EspR protein-protein interactions contribute to activation of espACD expression and, in turn, control ESAT-6 secretion, an essential pathogenic determinant of M. tuberculosis. Together, these results have significant implications on the mechanism of virulence regulation of M. tuberculosis.

Mycobacterium tuberculosis uses the ESX-1 secretion system to transport virulence factors in host cells (1–5). ESX-1 secretion system is encoded by the genes of the espACD operon, which is highly conserved in members of the M. tuberculosis complex and in other pathogenic mycobacteria (6–9). The best known ESX-1 substrates, the secreted proteins EsxA (ESAT-6) and EsxB (CFP10), have been implicated in the majority of the ESX-1-dependent modulations of host cell defense (10–19) and therefore are considered to be essential for virulence (12–14). Consistently, deletion of the espACD operon abrogates ESX-1-dependent secretion and strongly attenuates M. tuberculosis (15, 20). Notably, the genes encoding espACD, located within the M. tuberculosis RD1 locus, are absent in the attenuated Mycobacterium bovis BCG and the potential vaccine strain Mycobacterium microti (15, 17). However, a chromosomally unlinked non-RD1 locus (Rv3616c-Rv3615c-Rv3614c, also designated as espA, espC, and espD) is essential for ESX-1 function (13, 21). In fact, EspA and EspC proteins themselves are substrates of the ESX-1 secretion system, thus constituting mutually dependent secretion of substrates, a notable feature of this secretion system. More recently, EspA of the tubercle bacilli has been implicated as a critical mediator of bacterial cell wall integrity and ESX-1-dependent virulence regulation (22).

ESX-1 represents the first and the most characterized member of the ESX secretion family. Although intracellular concentrations of ESAT-6 are similar in both virulent M. tuberculosis H37Rv and the attenuated strain of M. tuberculosis H37Ra, the H37Ra remains defective for ESAT-6 secretion as are the phoP mutants of M. tuberculosis H37Rv (13). It was shown that a single nucleotide polymorphism within the PhoP C-terminal domain of M. tuberculosis H37Ra accounts for its defect in ESAT-6 secretion (23). Remarkably, the introduction of H37Rv PhoP into H37Ra restored ESAT-6 secretion, establishing a clear link between PhoP and secretion of ESAT-6/CFP-10 (24). Additionally, espB of the extended RD1 locus, espR (25), and the espACD operon (Rv3616c-Rv3615c-Rv3614c) of the non-RD1 locus, which is essential for ESAT-6 secretion (21), were shown to be down-regulated by M. tuberculosis PhoP (24, 26, 27). Given the relationship that exists between EspA expression with ESAT-6 secretion (13) on the one hand and between the expression of espACD and the response regulator PhoP (24, 26, 27) on the other hand, we sought to investigate the role of PhoP in ESX-1-dependent ESAT-6 secretion.

At the level of regulation, precise control of the espACD operon is rather complex and multifactorial. Consistent with this, six different DNA binding regulators, namely cyclic AMP receptor protein (CRP)6 (28), response regulator PhoP of the...
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PhoP-dependent espACD Activation Regulates ESX-1-dependent ESAT-6 Secretion—We wished to investigate which of the ESX-1 system-associated genes are regulated by PhoP. To this effect, we compared the expression of espA, espB, and espR in WT, ΔphoP, and ΔphoP complemented strain by RT-PCR using PAGE-purified gene-specific primers (supplemental Table S2). Although espB expression was comparable (1 ± 0.05-fold) in WT and ΔphoP, the transcripts of espR, and espA were detectable at 2.3 ± 0.2- and 6 ± 0.4-fold lower levels in the mutant compared with the WT strain (Fig. 1A). Consistent with the RT-PCR results, EspA expression was >10-fold down-regulated in the mutant strain compared with WT M. tuberculosis (Fig. 1B). However, the EspR protein level displayed almost comparable expression in the WT and the ΔphoP mutant. Note that espC and espD genes of the espACD operon (43) showed 5.6 ± 0.8- and 5.8 ± 0.4-fold lower expression levels, respectively, in the ΔphoP mutant relative to WT M. tuberculosis (supplemental Fig. S1).

Next, we wanted to examine the effect of expression of espACD, espB, or espR in ΔphoP to assess their influence on ESAT-6 secretion. Thus, mutant strains ectopically expressing the indicated genes (Table 1) were grown as described under “Experimental Procedures,” and culture filtrates as well as cell lysates were collected. As expected, ΔphoP failed to show ESAT-6 secretion. However, ΔphoP complemented with phoP could fully restore antigen secretion (Fig. 1C). Importantly, ΔphoP expressing espR and espB failed to show ESAT-6 secretion. In sharp contrast, ΔphoP expressing espACD locus restored ESAT-6 secretion at the level of WT M. tuberculosis H37Rv. To verify levels of espACD expression in mutant strains, we performed RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 1D). Our results confirm that complementation with phoP could restore espACD expression (25.5 ± 2, 26 ± 5, and 25 ± 8-fold activation of espA, espC, and espD expression, respectively, compared with ΔphoP carrying the empty vector p19Kpro). However, this was not the case when the mutant was complemented with espB (1.1 ± 0.1-, 0.9 ± 0.2-, and 0.8 ± 0.2-fold change of expression of espA, espC, and espD, respectively) or espR (3.3 ± 1.5-, 0.9 ± 0.2-, and 0.8 ± 0.2-fold change of expression of espA, espC, and espD, respectively). From these results we surmise the following: (i) PhoP contributes to ESX-1-dependent ESAT-6 secretion by activating espACD expression, and (ii) espACD overexpression in ΔphoPM. tuberculosis is sufficient to restore ESAT-6 secretion. Together, these data are in good agreement with previous findings reporting regulation of the espACD locus by PhoP (23, 27). However, these findings are in sharp contrast with recently reported results showing failure of the espACD-TB allele lacking RD8 (44) (a 5895-bp region covering a large part of the espACD promoter upstream of ~464 relative to the start of the ORF; Fig. 3D) to complement ESAT-6 secretion in a ΔphoPR mutant of M. tuberculosis as opposed to the M. bovis espACD locus (36). These results suggested that the RD8 deletion, independent of phoPR, allows ESAT-6 secretion, and compensatory mutations in M. bovis help bypass the regulatory loop controlling espACD expression in M. tuberculosis. What remains to be understood is how the M. tuberculosis H37Rv PhoP regulates espACD and, in turn, critically contributes to ESAT-6 secretion.

Ectopic Expression of espACD Bypasses PhoP Functioning in Phagosome Maturation of M. tuberculosis H37Rv—Studies have shown the following: (i) ESX-1-dependent secretion of functional ESAT-6/CFP-10 contributes to inhibition of phagosome maturation (20, 45), and (ii) the attenuated M. tuberculo-
These studies were extended to examine the effect of infection of dendritic cells (DCs) with *M. tuberculosis* H37Ra and recombinant H37Ra complemented with a copy of the *phoP* gene (Rv0757), which restores ESAT-6 secretion and elicits specific T-cell responses (23). Consistent with earlier results, infection of DCs with *M. tuberculosis* H37Ra matured into phagolysosomes; however, infection of DCs with H37Ra:*phoP* inhibited phagosome maturation (46). To set up our experimental model, we infected murine macrophages with WT *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv and indicated mutant strains. As expected, WT *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv inhibited phagosome maturation (Fig. 2), whereas phagosomes with ΔphoP *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv
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FIGURE 2. PhoP-dependent espACD activation is required for inhibition of phagosome maturation by M. tuberculosis H37Rv. A, macrophages were infected with WT or indicated mutant strains of M. tuberculosis H37Rv for 3 h. The cells were stained with 300 nM LysoTracker for 3 h and then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. M. tuberculosis H37Rv strains were labeled with fluorescent phenolic auramine. The confocal images represent the merge of two fluorescence signals (LysoTracker, red; M. tuberculosis, green) and are shown at right. Scale bar, 10 μm. B, percent bacterial co-localization for indicated M. tuberculosis H37Rv strains. The results show the average values with standard error of mean (S.E.) of at least three independent experiments (*, p < 0.05; ***, p < 0.001).

Recruitment of PhoP and EspR at the espACD Promoter—We next examined whether PhoP is recruited at the espACD promoter. To this end, the full-length promoter region (espAup) was divided into the following four parts, espAup1–up4 (Fig. 3A), and ChiP-qPCR was attempted using anti-PhoP antibody. Our results show that in contrast to espAup1 and espAup3, espAup2 and espAup4 showed 7.2 ± 0.7- and 3.3 ± 0.7-fold enrichments, respectively, in the anti-PhoP IP compared with the mock sample lacking an antibody (Fig. 3B). Thus, PhoP is recruited at specific sites within the espACD promoter. It should be noted that partly consistent with these results, a recent report suggests PhoP binding to a region that maps within espAup4 spanning +60 to −245 relative to the translation start site of the ORF (37). However, under the conditions we examined, espAup2 reproducibly showed the highest level of PCR enrichment in ChiP experiments (Fig. 3). As EspR was shown to bind to espACD and activate its expression (29, 38, 40), we also examined EspR recruitment to espAup. In agreement with previously published results (38), espAup2 showed PCR enrichment of 12 ± 3.0-fold in anti-EspR IP relative to the mock sample lacking an antibody (Fig. 3C). Together, these results suggest that within the espACD promoter EspR is specifically recruited proximal to the PhoP-binding site. Based on preliminary bioinformatics analysis and our knowledge on recently reported PhoP-binding consensus sequence, we propose the region spanning −787 to −770 as the likely target site of PhoP within espAup2. It should be noted that He and Wang (37) have recently identified a PhoP-binding site upstream of espACD, and the nucleotide sequence spans from −214 to −197 relative to the start of the ORF. Fig. 3D summarizes the above results showing the espACD regulatory region with relevant protein-binding sites, including the newly shown PhoP-binding site within espAup2 (as shown above) in conjunction with previously known PhoP- and EspR-binding sites. Notably, EspR has previously been shown to bind to the regulatory region of espACD at −468, −798, and −983, which are located within espAup3, espAup2, and espAup1, respectively (Fig. 3A). However, results reported in this study suggest that EspR seems to be almost comparably recruited to espAup1, espAup3, and espAup4 (Fig. 3B). Although both studies involved ChiP experiments to assess EspR binding, the fact that an anti-EspR antibody was used in our assays versus anti-FLAG antibody used by Rosenberg et al. (38) and important differences in the conditions of ChiP assays most likely account for this discrepancy. To examine whether PhoP recruitment to the upstream regulatory region of espACD has any regulatory effect on the expression of adjacent Rv3617, we compared expression levels of Rv3617 between WT M. tuberculosis H37Rv and ΔphoP M. tuberculosis H37Rv by RT-PCR (supplemental Fig. S2). The results show that the phoP locus does not appear to regulate Rv3617 expression in M. tuberculosis H37Rv.

Probing PhoP Binding to the espACD Promoter—To examine the PhoP-binding site more closely, a number of espAup2-derived promoter fragments (espAup2a–2e) were amplified (Fig. 4A). EMSA experiments using the end-labeled fragments show that PhoP binds to espAup2a spanning −957 to −700 (relative
to the start site of the ORF) and remains ineffective to form a stable complex to other parts of espAup2 (Fig. 4B). Additional EMSA experiments assessed the specificity of PhoP binding to espAup2a using a large excess of specific and nonspecific competitors in the binding mix (Fig. 4C). The results clearly show that low affinity PhoP binding to espAup2a is sequence-specific. Importantly, these results are consistent with the proposed PhoP-binding site spanning −787 to −770 (see Fig. 3D). To examine the role of this motif, mutations were introduced into both of the repeat units (DRu1 and DRu2) of espAup as shown in Fig. 4. For reporter assays as described in Fig. 4D, although the construct carrying WT promoter (espAup) span from −957 to −1357, −580 to −957, −245 to −580, and −60 to −245, respectively, relative to the start site of the ORF. To examine PhoP (8) and EspR (C) recruitment within the espACD promoter, ChIP-qPCR was carried out as described under the “Experimental Procedures.” Each data point represents mean of duplicate qPCR measurements using at least three independent M. tuberculosis cultures. Asterisk indicates a statistically significant difference in the ChIP enrichment level compared between espAup and espAup2 under the conditions examined (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01). Note that the difference in the signal enrichment between PhoP and EspR antibodies may be attributable to affinity differences between proteins and/or respective antibodies. D, location of relevant protein-binding sites within espACD upstream regulatory region. Note that the EspR-binding sites, indicated by asterisks, are known to be centered around −468, −798, and −983 (relative to the start site of the ORF) (38), and the only reported PhoP-binding site shown by a filled triangle spans from −215 to −197 (37). However, the PhoP-binding site revealed in this study, shown by an empty triangle, spans from −781 to −770 based on sequence similarity with the consensus PhoP-binding motif (37). Importantly, the region upstream of −464, as shown in figure, constitutes part of R8, including both the PhoP- and EspR-binding sites relevant to espACD activation.

Having shown that both PhoP and EspR bind to espAup2a, we wished to investigate whether both the regulators can together bind to espAup2a. Notably, at relatively lower protein concentrations both PhoP (lanes 2 and 3, Fig. 4D) and EspR (lanes 4 and 5, Fig. 4D) showed inefficient binding to end-labeled espAup2a. In contrast, the presence of both PhoP and EspR under identical conditions showed a striking stimulation of ∼20-fold of DNA binding (based on limits of detection in these assays) concomitant with the formation of a single retarded complex (Fig. 4D, lanes 6 and 7). However, a similar experiment using purified PhoP and CRP (also known to have regulatory influence on the espACD expression (28)) together under identical conditions failed to generate a complex stable to electrophoresis (Fig. 4D, lanes 10 and 11). Note that CRP, with its DNA-binding site 1049-bp upstream of the start site of espACD ORF (see espAup1; Fig. 3A) (28) in the vicinity of espAup2a (−957 to −700; see Fig. 4A) was chosen as a control protein. This is because other DNA binding regulators of espACD expression, for example MprA and WhiB6, display binding downstream of espAup2 (31, 32), and until now, the Lsr2-binding site within the espACD promoter remains unknown. Functionality of recombinant CRP was demon-
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strated by high affinity DNA binding to the espAup1 promoter fragment (supplemental Fig. S5). Upon elution of protein components from the single retarded complex (Fig. 4D, lanes 6 and 7) and subsequent immunoblotting analysis (Fig. 4, E and F), we confirmed identity of the slower moving band as the PhoP-EspR-DNA ternary complex. Having shown that PhoP binds to espAup2a, we have in vitro binding efficiency of PhoP to espAup2a and espAup4 (supplemental Fig. S6), the other target site of PhoP within espACD upstream regulatory region (see Fig. 3D) (37). Our results indicate that compared with espAup2, PhoP on its own appears to bind to espAup4 with ~2–3-fold higher affinity. Although the result appears contradictory to what we observed in ChIP experiments (Fig. 3D), we hypothesize that PhoP binding to these two sites presumably account for two different regulatory mechanisms most likely involving different affinities. Note that PhoP-binding site within espAup4 is part of the espACD-TB allele that was unable to restore ESAT-6 secretion in ΔphoPR M. tuberculosis H37Rv (36).

EspR Recruitment to espACD Promoter Requires the Presence of PhoP—We next compared EspR recruitment in WT and ΔphoP by ChIP-qPCR (Fig. 5A) using anti-EspR antibody as described above. Remarkably, in the case of the ΔphoP mutant, we observed significantly reduced PCR enrichment of espAup2.
FIGURE 5. Recruitment of EspR at the espACD promoter requires the presence of PhoP. A, ChIP-qPCR was carried out to assess EspR recruitment to the espACD promoter of WT, ΔphoP, and the complemented mutant. Fold PCR enrichment due to EspR binding was determined against PCR signal from the mock sample generated by an IP experiment without adding antibody. Note that anti-EspR ChIP data of Fig. 3C was included here to enable a direct comparison of results from WT and ΔphoP M. tuberculosis. Each data for ChIP experiments represent the mean of duplicate qPCR measurements using at least three independent M. tuberculosis cultures. Asterisk indicates a statistically significant difference in the ChIP enrichment at espAup2 compared between WT M. tuberculosis and the phoP mutant (*, p < 0.05). Inset shows comparable EspR expression in WT, ΔphoP, and complemented ΔphoP as determined by immunoblotting of crude cell lysates (~20 μg of total protein) using anti-EspR antibody; RpoB was used as a loading control. B, to examine specificity of in vivo EspR recruitment, ChIP-qPCR experiments utilized fadD26 promoter as a positive control using appropriate primer pair (supplemental Table S2). Specificity of enrichment was also verified with 16S rRNA gene-specific primer (supplemental Table S2) using identical anti-EspR IP samples from the WT and the mutant M. tuberculosis strains. C, to examine PhoP-EspR interaction in vivo, crude cell lysates of WT M. tuberculosis H37Rv were immunoprecipitated with anti-PhoP antibody, and IP samples were visualized by immunoblotting with anti-EspR antibody; lane 1, input sample; lane 2, control with mock IP (without adding antibody), lane 3, anti-PhoP IP of crude lysate, and lane 4, recombinant EspR as a positive control. D, to further examine PhoP-EspR interaction in vitro, crude cell lysates of E. coli BL21(DE3) expressing both PhoP and EspR via pRSF-Duet-1 vector as described under “Experimental Procedures” was immunoprecipitated with anti-PhoP antibody, and IP samples were visualized by Western blot analysis using anti-EspR antibody. The lane composition of the SDS-PAGE remains identical to that of C. E, M-PFC experiment to study interaction of PhoP and EspR involved co-expression of indicated fusion constructs in M. smegmatis, used as a surrogate host. Growth of transformants on 7H10/kanamycin/hygromycin hygromycin in presence of TRIM confirms in vivo protein-protein association between PhoP and EspR. Co-expression of empty vectors and poh/PhoP/PhoR pair were included as negative and positive control, respectively. All of these strains grew well in absence of TRIM. IB, immunoblot.

relative to WT M. tuberculosis (compare 12 ± 3-fold versus 2.4 ± 0.6-fold). However, fadD26, which is directly regulated by EspR (42) but not by PhoP (41), showed comparable EspR recruitment in the WT and the mutant (Fig. 5B), suggesting that specific EspR recruitment to the espACD promoter is dependent on the presence of PhoP. Notably, we observed comparable EspR expression in WT, ΔphoP, and complemented ΔphoP as determined by immunoblotting of crude cell lysates (~20 μg of total protein) with anti-EspR antibody (inset to Fig. 5A). It should be noted that the specificity of recruitment as determined by ChIP experiments in anti-EspR IP was also verified by using 16srRNA gene-specific primers (Fig. 5B). Having found that recruitment of EspR required the presence of PhoP, we sought to investigate whether PhoP interacts with EspR. To this effect, M. tuberculosis cell extract was immunoprecipitated by anti-PhoP antibody (as described under “Experimental Procedures”), and IP samples were probed with anti-EspR antibody (Fig. 5C). Although the mock sample lacking an antibody could not detect EspR (Fig. 5C, lane 2), the anti-PhoP IP clearly detected the presence of EspR (lane 3), suggesting in vivo interaction between PhoP and EspR. To investigate whether the target DNA site contributes to PhoP-EspR protein-protein interactions in co-immunoprecipitation experiments, we expressed both PhoP and EspR in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) via pRSF-Duet-1 vector as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Next, the crude cell lysate was immunoprecipitated with anti-PhoP antibody as described above, and IP samples were visualized by Western blot analysis using anti-EspR antibody (Fig. 3D). Our results suggest that M. tuberculosis PhoP and EspR when co-expressed in E. coli are also capable of interacting with each other. We further studied PhoP-EspR interaction using the mycobacterial protein fragment complementation (M-PFC) assay as described previously (Fig. 5D) (48). Interestingly, in the presence of 15 μg/ml TRIM, M. smegmatis co-expressing M. tuberculosis PhoP and EspR grew just as well compared with cells co-expressing PhoP and PhoR (used as positive control). In contrast, cells containing empty vectors showed no growth on 7H10/TRIM plates, although all of the M. smegmatis strains grew well in the absence of TRIM. From these results, we conclude specific protein-protein interaction(s) between M. tuberculosis PhoP and EspR in M. smegmatis.
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Discussion

The presence of the RD1-encoded ESX-1 locus in both pathogenic and nonpathogenic species indicates that it may not be solely involved in virulence of M. tuberculosis. In fact, ESX-1 was shown to regulate DNA conjugation of the nonpathogenic M. smegmatis (49, 50). In contrast, the espACD operon is absent in M. smegmatis (43) and is perhaps indicative of its direct role in virulence mechanisms. In agreement with these results independent of its role as a secreted protein, EspA functions as a critical mediator of ESX-1-dependent virulence regulation (22).

It is noteworthy that EspR, the major regulator of the M. tuberculosis ESX-1 protein secretion system, has been shown to be a nucleoid-associated protein with the ability to bind 165–loci of the bacterial genome (42). We show here that the regulatory region of espACD constitutes a major target by which the virulence regulator PhoP critically modulates ESAT-6 secretion (Fig. 1). Remarkably, our results suggest that simultaneous recruitment of PhoP and EspR at the espACD regulatory region, controlled by PhoP-EspR protein-protein interactions, contributes to espACD activation, which in turn regulates ESAT-6 secretion. These results account for an explanation of how EspR-dependent activation of espACD (29) is linked to positive regulation of the same operon by PhoP. In agreement with these results, PhoP is shown to regulate phagosome maturation via espACD activation-dependent ESAT-6 secretion (Fig. 2).

Together, these results showing a complex interplay of two virulence regulators to control ESX-1-dependent ESAT-6 secretion have significant implications on the mechanism of virulence regulation of M. tuberculosis.

It should be noted that espR expression has been suggested to be under the direct control of PhoP (27, 39). Strikingly, our results reveal that PhoP is recruited in the vicinity of EspR-binding site (Figs. 3 and 4 and supplemental Fig. S2B), and there is synergistic DNA binding activity of the two regulators at the espACD regulatory region (Fig. 4). However, it remains unclear whether PhoP serves to stabilize EspR. Considering the proximal site(s) of action and synergistic DNA binding activity, it was of interest to understand whether these regulators are functionally connected. These considerations take on more significance in the light of previous results showing that espAup2a comprises the EspR-binding B-site (centered at −798), which binds cooperatively with the C-site (centered at −983) and generates DNA looping to assist transcription initiation (Fig. 6) (38, 40). These results are suggestive of a critical role of PhoP in binding and transcriptional control of espACD operon in association with EspR. In agreement with this notion, remarkably EspR was unable to be recruited at the espACD promoter in the phoP mutant of M. tuberculosis (Fig. 5). We further extended the study to show that PhoP-EspR protein-protein interaction (Fig. 5) accounts for concomitant binding of both the regulators at the espACD regulatory region. Although the proposed model shows an equimolar PhoP and EspR in the ternary complex, we do not have direct evidence to suggest a 1:1 binding ratio of PhoP and EspR in the model. However, the mechanism of DNA binding by both PhoP and EspR, as independent regulators, has been investigated in great detail, and in both cases a dimer of PhoP or EspR has been suggested to bind DNA (37, 40, 51).

Thus, the differential requirement to form a ternary complex (Fig. 4D) or apparent differences in the amounts of proteins in the complex (Fig. 4, E and F) may simply be attributable to a difference in concentration of “active fractions” of proteins in the respective preparations.

Although recent reviews implicate PhoP and EspR in controlling the espACD genes in the context of regulation of ESAT-6 secretion (33, 34), the mechanism of espACD regulation remains unknown. Also, what remains puzzling is why an extra copy of the espACD-TB allele could not restore ESAT-6 secretion of ΔphoPR M. tuberculosis (36). As noted above, our results show that (i) identification of PhoP binding to espAup2 (−787 to −770 within RD8; Fig. 3D) proximal to previously determined EspR-binding site (Fig. 3), (ii) PhoP-EspR-espACD ternary complex formation (Fig. 4), and (iii) in vivo recruitment of PhoP and EspR controlled by protein-protein interactions (Fig. 5) clearly demonstrate the importance of RD8 in PhoP-
EspR-espACD regulatory loop, a major player in phoP-dependent ESAT-6 secretion. Together, these results account for an explanation of why \textit{M. tuberculosis} espACD-allele lacking RD8 could not restore ESAT-6 secretion of ΔphoPR \textit{M. tuberculosis}.

Given the fact that EspR binds to at least 165 loci on the \textit{M. tuberculosis} genome as a global nucleoid-associated protein (42), the finding that PhoP remains a prerequisite to ensure specific recruitment of EspR within the espACD promoter offers a new mechanistic insight into the regulation of the ESX-1 secretion system. Such a situation might allow PhoP to function efficiently, by ensuring that EspR only binds to the espACD promoter already bound to PhoP. It is possible that recruitment of PhoP changes DNA conformation (as it binds), which subsequently facilitates recruitment of EspR, a protein capable of participating in long range interactions (38, 40). Thus, a DNA-dependent complex interplay of PhoP and EspR likely contributes to the precise regulation of the espACD operon, which perhaps is appropriate for an operon that plays such a critical role in the virulence mechanisms of \textit{M. tuberculosis} (43). Clearly, as speculated in the schematic model (Fig. 6), PhoP-EspR protein-protein interaction appears to contribute additional stability to the higher order structure to provide access to RNA polymerase for transcription initiation.

In \textit{M. tuberculosis}, most likely the ESX-1 secretion system is under precise regulation because the secreted proteins, although essential for a successful infection, are strongly antigenic, and their deregulated secretion is likely to alert the immune system to the infection. Thus, a rather complicated regulation of the ESX-1 system during infection may be achieved through integration of multiple regulators. However, given the importance of the upstream control region of espACD operon, such a regulatory mechanism is unlikely to be applicable to other members of the \textit{M. tuberculosis} complex. This is because the regulatory region of espACD for the closely related \textit{M. bovis} includes 456 bp only (43), and for other members of the \textit{M. tuberculosis} complex, the espACD promoter is even shorter arguing for a simpler transcription regulation without involving multiple regulators. Nevertheless, results reported in this work showing recruitment of a nucleoid-associated protein (as a functional partner) by a key regulator represents an additional layer of complexity that has perhaps evolved to further tune niche-specific gene expression. It is possible that to achieve precisely coordinated regulation of a specific gene’s expression, and this may be a more common mechanism exploited by prokaryotic organisms than has been previously recognized.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions—\textit{E. coli} DH5α and BL21(DE3)** served as the host for routine cloning and overexpression of recombinant forms of proteins, respectively. \textit{M. smegmatis} mc²155, an electro-phyloenrichious strain (52), and all of the \textit{M. tuberculosis} strains used (Table 1) were grown in liquid 7H9 broth (Difco) supplemented with 0.2% glycerol, 0.05% Tween 80, and 10% albumin/dextrose/catalase or on 7H10-agar medium (Difco) containing 0.5% glycerol and 10% oleic acid/albumin/dextrose/catalase enrichment supplemented with 20 μg/ml kanamycin, 50 μg/ml hygromycin, or 20 μg/ml streptomycin, as appropriate. For secretion analysis, \textit{M. tuberculosis} strains were grown in Sauton medium. ΔphoP \textit{M. tuberculosis} H37Rv and the complemented mutant strains were kind gifts from Dr. Issar Smith and have been described elsewhere (27). Briefly, ΔphoP of \textit{M. tuberculosis} was generated by disrupting the \textit{phoP} gene via insertion of kanamycin-resistant cassette from pUC-K4 into a unique EcoRV site and confirmed by Southern blot analysis. Plasmids were electroporated into wild type (WT) or mutant strains by standard protocol (53). pSM607 containing a 3.6-kb DNA fragment of \textit{M. tuberculosis} phoPR, including the 200-bp \textit{phoP} promoter region, a hygromycin-resistant cassette, the \textit{attP} site, and the gene encoding phage L5 integrase, was transformed into the \textit{phoP} mutant to complement \textit{phoP} expression.

**Cloning, Bacteria Preparation, and Culture Filtrate Analysis**—Plasmid DNA isolation, restriction digestion, and electrophoresis for agarose gels were carried out by standard protocol as described previously (54, 55). To express \textit{phoP}, \textit{espB}, \textit{espR}, and \textit{espACD} in ΔphoP \textit{M. tuberculosis}, appropriate ORFs were cloned in p19KPro (56) using primer pairs FPphoP19K/RPphoP19K, FPespB19K/RPespB19K, FPespR19K/RPespR19K, and PespACD19K/RPespACD19K, respectively (supplemental Table S1). Plasmids were transformed in ΔphoP \textit{M. tuberculosis} by electroporation, and 100 ml of transformed cells were grown to mid log phase (\textit{A}_{600} = 0.6–0.8). Bacterial cells were centrifuged, washed twice in PBS, and resuspended in 500 ml of Sauton medium. Cells were grown further at 37 °C for 4–5 days, and cultures were harvested to obtain culture filtrates and cell pellets. For culture filtrate analyses, the cell pellet was removed, and culture filtrates were successively filtered through 0.45- and 0.22-micron filters to remove any residual cells and concentrated by ammonium sulfate precipitation. For cell lysates, cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (PBS containing a protease inhibitor mixture, Roche Applied Science) and lysed in the presence of silica beads (100 μm Lysing matrix B, MP Biomedicals) in a FastPrep at a speed setting of 5.5 for four times for 25 s. The supernatant was collected by centrifugation and filtered through a low-binding Millex 0.22-μm membrane filter (Millipore). In all cases an aliquot of cell lysate and culture filtrate was used to determine protein concentration using BCA protein estimation kit (Pierce).

Transcriptional fusions to \textit{lacZ} were obtained by cloning PCR-amplified fragments of the \textit{M. tuberculosis} espA regulatory region (espAup) into the Scal site of pSM128 (a promoter-less integrative \textit{lacZ} reporter vector (57) with a streptomycin resistance gene) using a primer pair FPespAup1/RPespAup4 (supplemental Table S1). The espAup comprised 1357-bp upstream of the coding region and included the first 60 coding bases of the \textit{espA} gene. To examine the importance of the \textit{phoP}-binding direct-repeat motif within the \textit{espA} promoter, sequence variants that were altered in both the repeat units were generated using a two-stage overlap extension method by interchanging all the As with Cs, all the Gs with Ts, and finally turning around the downstream repeat unit (see supplemental Table S1 for mutagenic primer pair FPespAupMut1/RPespAupMut). In all cases, \textit{lacZ} transcriptional fusion constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.
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**Reporter Assays in M. smegmatis**—Electro-competent M. smegmatis transformed with pME1mL1-phoP expressed M. tuberculosis PhoP from the P_rv3849_3850tetO promoter under the control of the TetR repressor as described previously (54). Cultures of M. smegmatis strains harboring indicated lacZ fusions and the PhoP expression construct (or no expression plasmid as control) were grown in the absence or in presence of 50 ng/ml anhydrotetracycline, used as an inducer of PhoP expression. Promoter activation with induction of PhoP expression was assessed by measuring β-galactosidase activity (in Miller units) of crude cell lysates as detailed earlier (54). TetR-controlled PhoP expression in crude lysates of M. smegmatis (~5 μg of protein) was verified by Western blot analysis using anti-PhoP antibody (Alpha Omega Sciences, India).

**Expression and Purification of Recombinant Proteins**—Recombinant PhoP from M. tuberculosis H37Rv and M. tuberculosis H37Ra, referred to as PhoP and H37RaPhoP, respectively, were expressed in E. coli and purified as described previously (58). To express M. tuberculosis EspR, the coding region of the espR gene (Rv3849) extending from Met-1 to Lys-141 was PCR-amplified from M. tuberculosis H37Rv genomic DNA. The primer pair espRstart/espRstop (supplemental Table S1) introduced an Ndel site at the start codon and a BamHI site 3’ of the stop codon. The PCR-derived fragment was gel-purified, restricted with Ndel and BamHI, and ligated to the Ndel/BamHI backbone fragment of pET15b (Novagen) to generate full-length EspR protein with an N-terminal His6 tag (pET-EspR). To express recombinant M. tuberculosis CRP from E. coli, the coding region of the gene (Rv3676) comprising 224 amino acid residues was PCR-amplified from M. tuberculosis H37Rv genomic DNA using the crpStart/crpStop (supplemental Table S1) primer pair. The PCR-derived fragment was cloned between Ndel and HindIII sites of PET28c (Novagen) to generate full-length CRP protein with a C-terminal His6 tag (pET-crp). The construct was verified by DNA sequence analysis.

To purify His6-tagged EspR, cell lysates overexpressing EspR was loaded onto a nickel-nitrioltriacetic acid (Qiagen)-agarose for affinity purification. Proteins were eluted using a gradient of imidazole in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 15 mM imidazole. The peptide content of the column fractions was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Brilliant Blue was used to stain protein bands. Recombinant EspR was recovered predominantly in the 250 mM imidazole eluate. Eluted protein was dialyzed overnight in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol and stored at −80 °C. Recombinant M. tuberculosis CRP was purified following an identical protocol as that of PhoP. Protein contents were determined by BCA protein estimation reagent with bovine serum albumin as a standard and compared with values obtained from Quant-iT protein assay kits and Qubit fluorometer (Invitrogen). The approximate yield of purified proteins was ~8–10 mg pf protein/liter of culture. In all cases, protein concentrations were given in equivalents of protein monomers.

**EMSA**—Purified recombinant proteins PhoP and EspR were used to assay protein binding to various espACD promoter fragments, generated by PCR from espAup, resolved on agarose gels, and recovered by gel extraction. The fragments were end-labeled with [γ-32P]ATP (1000 Ci nmol⁻¹) using T4 polynucleotide kinase and purified from free label by Sephadex G-50 spin columns (GE Healthcare). Indicated concentrations of purified proteins were incubated with appropriate end-labeled probes in a total volume of 10 or 20 μl of binding mix, and DNA-protein complexes were resolved by electrophoresis on a 6% (w/v) non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel as described previously (55). To examine specificity of binding, unlabeled specific and nonspecific competitor DNA at indicated molar excess was incubated with purified proteins for 10 min at 20 °C, followed by addition of the labeled probe and incubation for a further 10 min at 20 °C. In all cases, results were developed and digitalized with a Fuji phosphorimager (GE Healthcare).

**RNA Isolation and Real Time PCR Quantifications**—RNA was extracted from early log-phase cultures of M. tuberculosis as described previously (55). A mid-log culture of indicated strains grown in 7H9 medium was diluted 100-fold into the same medium and grown to an A660 of ~0.3. cDNA synthesis and PCRs were carried out using a one-step RT-PCR kit (SuperScript III platinum-SYBR Green; Invitrogen) with appropriate primer pairs (200 nM) as described previously (55). Expression of espR, espP, and espACD was quantified after normalization of RNA levels to the expression of M. tuberculosis gapdh mRNA, which served as the endogenous control; gapdh gene-specific primers have been described previously (55). Average fold changes and standard deviations were calculated using the ΔΔCt method (59). Control reactions with platinum TagDNA polymerase (Invitrogen) confirmed the absence of genomic DNA in RNA preparations.

**Immunoblotting**—For Western blot analyses, the indicated amounts of total protein from culture filtrates or cell lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVD membranes (Millipore). To identify specific proteins, the membranes were probed with primary antibody as appropriate and visualized by using a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. Culture filtrates and cell lysates were collected from indicated M. tuberculosis cultures as described above. αGroEL2 was used as a lysis control of culture filtrates to confirm that the secretion differences described here were not due to bacterial cytolysis. Anti-PhoP antibody was elicited in rabbit and affinity-purified using full-length purified recombinant PhoP (Abexeome Biosciences). EspA-reactive serum was a kind gift from Dr. Sarah Fortune. Anti-ESAT-6 was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; antibodies against EspR and RpoB were obtained from Abcam; and anti-His antibody was from GE Healthcare.

**ChIP Assays**—ChIP experiments in actively growing cultures of WT and mutant M. tuberculosis strains involved immunoprecipitation (IP) using anti-PhoP (Abexeome Biosciences) or anti-EspR antibody (Abcam) and were carried out essentially as described previously (60). Briefly, DNA–protein complexes in growing cells were cross-linked for 20 min by 1% formaldehyde. Cross-linking was quenched by the addition of 0.3 M glycine. Typically, 20-ml cultures were collected by centrifugation, washed at least twice with 10 ml of IP (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 5% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100) buffer to remove excess formaldehyde. Cells were resuspended in 0.5 ml of IP buffer and disrupted by bead-beating using 100 μM of zirconia...
glass beads. Cell lysates were then diluted with 0.2 ml of IP buffer and cellular DNA was sonicated (five times for 20 s with a cooling interval of 30 s between each pulse) to an average size of ~500 bp. After removing the cell debris, typically 0.2 ml of clear supernatant was used as total lysate for each IP experiment. In vivo recruitment of PhoP and EspR at the espAup was examined by ChiP-qPCR to detect promoter regions of interest. qPCR was performed using PAGE-purified primer pairs (Sigma) (supplemental Table S2) that spanned the espA promoter region(s) of interest. PCR was performed by using appropriate dilutions of IP DNA in a reaction buffer containing SYBR Green mix, specific primers (0.4 μM), and 1 unit of Platinum TaqDNA polymerase (Invitrogen). Finally, region-specific recruitment was assessed by enrichment of PCR signal from the anti-PhoP or anti-EspR IP, and the results were normalized against PCR signal from mock sample (no antibody sample as a negative control) to determine fold enrichment of the PCR signal. Typically, 40 cycles of amplification were carried out using a real time PCR detection system (Eppendorf) with serially diluted DNA samples (mock, IP-treated, and total input). The specificity of PCR enrichment was verified by performing ChiP-qPCR of anti-EspR IP samples from the WT and mutant M. tuberculosis strains using 16S rRNA gene-specific primers as described previously (55). In all cases, ChiP experiments were repeated three times, and melting curve analysis confirmed amplification of a single product.

Macrophage Infection and Confocal Immunofluorescence Microscopy—0.25 × 10^6 RAW264.7 murine macrophages were seeded onto #1 thickness 18-mm diameter glass coverslips in a 12-well plate. At 40% confluency (0.5 million cells) macrophages were independently infected with WT M. tuberculosis H37Rv and indicated mutant strains at a multiplicity of infection of 1:5 for 3 h at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. After infection, extracellular bacteria were removed by washing three times with pre-warmed phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The cells were stained with 300 nM LysoTracker Red DND99 (Invitrogen) and incubated in the CO₂ incubator for 3 h. The cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min. For visualization of M. tuberculosis strains, a fluorescent staining kit for mycobacteria (Sigma) was used. Briefly, the cells were stained with phenolic auramine solution (which selectively binds to mycolic acid) for 30 s followed by washing with PBS. The excess of auramine stain was removed by acid alcohol solution. The cells were washed three times with PBS, and the coverslips were mounted in Slow Fade-Anti-Fade (Invitrogen) and analyzed using a laser scanning confocal microscope (Nikon, A1R) equipped with argon (488 nm excitation line; 510 nm emission detection) and LD (561 nm excitation line; 594 nm emission detection) laser lines. Digital images were acquired and processed with image-processing software NIS elements (Nikon). The laser and the detector settings were optimized using macrophage cells infected with the WT bacteria, and the same standard set of intensity thresholds was applied to all images. The percentage of M. tuberculosis co-localized with LysoTracker was determined by analyzing more than 100 bacteria per sample from at least five random fields. The experiments were repeated at least five times.

Co-immunoprecipitation—For this purpose, WT M. tuberculosis H37Rv cell lysates containing ~1–1.5 mg of total protein were incubated with 50 μg of anti-PhoP antibody at 4 °C overnight. Next, ~20 μl of protein A/G-agarose beads (Thermo Scientific) were added to the samples, and incubation was further continued for 2 h at 4 °C. To study in vitro interactions between PhoP and EspR in E. coli, the espR ORF was cloned in-frame with the N-terminal His tag of the first multiple cloning site of pRSF-Duet-1 vector (Table 1) between BamHI and HindIII sites. The phoP encoding ORF was cloned with the C-terminal S-tag of the second multiple cloning site of the same vector between Ndel and XhoI sites. The resultant construct was transformed in E. coli BL21(DE3), and protein expression was induced by addition of 0.4 mm isopropyl-β-D-galactopyranoside at 18 °C with cells growing overnight. Next, cell extracts containing ~600 μg of total protein were immunoprecipitated using ~50 μg of anti-PhoP antibody as described above. Finally, the beads were collected by centrifugation and washed with 1× Tris-NaCl 3–5 times. In both cases, the protein samples were eluted by incubating the beads at 100 °C for 5 min in the presence of 50 μl of 2× SDS-PAGE buffer. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Western blotting using anti-EspR antibody.

M-PFC Assays—This assay relies on the principle that two interacting mycobacterial proteins independently fused to the domains of murine dihydrofolate reductase if co-expressed in mycobacteria reconstitute functionally active murine dihydrofolate reductase enzyme, conferring bacterial resistance to trimethoprim (48). M. tuberculosis phoP and phoR genes were cloned in integrative vector pUAB400 (Kan') and episomal vector pUB300 (Hyg'), respectively (Table 1), and expressed in M. smegmatis as described previously (55); espR was cloned in episomal plasmid pUB300 (Hyg') between BamHI/HindIII sites using primer pair mEspRFP/mEspRRP. The construct was verified by DNA sequencing.
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