The \( n \)-th section method: A modification of Bisection
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Abstract

Bisection method is the easiest method to find the root of a function. This method is based on the existence of a root on a specified interval. This interval is then halved or divided into two parts. The root is known to be lying in either one of these intervals. The iterative sequence is continued until a desired stopping criterion is reached. In this research, a new modification of bisection method namely fourth section and sixth section methods are introduced. These methods are tested for several selected functions by using Maple software. The results are then analyzed based on the number of iterations and the CPU times. Based on the results, it is shown that when the interval increases, the CPU times will also increase. However, the number of iterations is reduced significantly.
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INTRODUCTION

Numerical analysis is one of the areas of mathematics and computer science that create, analyses, and implements algorithms for solving numerically problems of continuous mathematics. There are many equations whose roots cannot be evaluated analytically by any methods. The approximate values of the roots of such equations can be found either by a graphical approach, or number of iterative methods or by a combination of both processes. In numerical methods of solving non-linear equations or root finding, the most popular methods are Bisection method, Newton’s method and Secant method. Several studies shown that many researchers are interested in the development and the application of Bisection method such as Muller method by Park and Hlitotumatu (1987) and bisection-exclusion method by Yakoubsohn (2005).

BISECTION METHOD

Bisection method is the easiest method using an interval which is divided into two or half. The root is known to be lying in either one of these intervals. The division of this interval into two continue until the root is found, up to certain degree of accuracy. Doron (2010) assume that this method has opposite signs at both edges of the intervals where \( f(a)/f(b) < 0 \). Then, it is known that \( f(x) \) has at least one root in the interval, \([a,b]\). The existing method proceeds and continue its iteration until it converges to a point within the tolerance range and finds the value of \( x \) such that \( f(x) = 0 \) or approximately 0 (Solanki, 2014). A better approximation is obtained if a point \((c,0)\) is found where the secant line \( L \) joining the points \((a,f(a))\) and \((b,f(b))\) crosses the \( x \)-axis (Mathews et. al, 2004). The main advantage of Bisection method is that the behaviour itself is always convergent since the method brackets the root much more quickly than the incremental search method does. Hence, Bisection method is easy to use, apply and has wide range of applications in other developments.

However, the division of the interval into two section leads to slow convergence of Bisection method. Hence, a new method is proposed named as \( n \)-section method. This methods are compared with the original Bisection method based on number iterations, CPU times and accuracy. The bisection algorithm is the most primitive but robust for finding a real root because it is always converges to the root (Burden et. al, 1993).

THE \( n \)-TH SECTION METHOD

The \( n \)-section method is a modification of classical Bisection method which is fourth and sixth section method. Bisection method which divides the interval into two section leads to slow convergence. This new scheme divided the interval into four and six section. The root is then identify either in the first, second, third, fourth, fifth or sixth interval. This will lead to faster convergence of the root and provide faster calculation of the roots. For an even number of \( n \), the algorithm will be similar to Bisection method. However, for an odd number of \( n \), the algorithm needs to be modified. Therefore, in this research only the even number of \( n \) is considered and compared with the Bisection method. A comparison between bisection method and \( n \)-th section method will be analysed based on its efficiency in terms of number of
iterations and CPU times. Fig. 1, 2 and 3 show the schematic representation of Bisection and \( n \)-th section line search method.

Fig. 1 The schematic representation of bisection method

Fig. 2 The schematic representation of fourth section method

Fig. 3 The schematic representation of sixth section method

**METHODOLOGY**

A computer code programming is constructed by using Maple 12 software based on the Bisection method. The Maple 12 software is chosen because the programming code is relatively simple and easy to apply. This method is initialized with the limits of function on the interval \([a, b]\) where the function is defined. The function \( f(x) \) is assumed to be continuous on the interval \([a, b]\) is chosen such that \( f(a)f(b) < 0 \). Thus, it is divided into two and more intervals. At each interval, the midpoint of the interval \( c = \frac{a+b}{2} \) is computed and \( f(c) \) is evaluated. Lastly, the process is repeated until a desired accuracy is achieved. Table 1 is the algorithm for Bisection method.

| No. | Step |
|-----|------|
| 1   | Identify two numbers \( a \) and \( b \) at which \( f \) has different signs. |
| 2   | Define midpoint, \( c = \frac{a+b}{2} \) and \( f(c) \). |
| 3   | Determine if root exists |
| i.  | \( f(a)f(c) < 0 \) then \( r \in (a, c) \) or |
| ii. | \( f(b)f(c) < 0 \) then \( r \in (c, b) \) |
| 4   | Choose the desire interval either (i) or (ii) |
| 5   | Repeated until desired iteration/ accuracy |

Table 2 is the calculation of \( n \)-th section method of fourth section method and sixth section method, respectively.

| No. | Step |
|-----|------|
| 1   | Identify two numbers \( a \) and \( b \) at which \( f \) has different signs. |
| 2   | Define midpoint, \( c = \frac{a+b}{4} \) where \( n = 4 \) and \( f(c) \). |
| 3   | Determine if |
| i.  | \( f(a)f(b) < 0 \) then \( r \in (a, b) \) |
| ii. | \( f(b)f(c) < 0 \) then \( r \in (b, c) \) |
| iii.| \( f(c)f(d) < 0 \) then \( r \in (c, d) \) |
| 4   | Choose the desire interval either (i) or (ii) or (iii) |
| 5   | Repeated until desired iteration/ accuracy |

| No. | Step |
|-----|------|
| 1   | Identify two numbers \( a \) and \( b \) at which \( f \) has different signs. |
| 2   | Define midpoint, \( c = \frac{a+b}{6} \) where \( n = 6 \) and \( f(c) \). |
| 3   | Determine if |
| i.  | \( f(a)f(b) < 0 \) then \( r \in (a, b) \) |
| ii. | \( f(b)f(c) < 0 \) then \( r \in (b, c) \) |
| iii.| \( f(c)f(d) < 0 \) then \( r \in (c, d) \) |
| iv. | \( f(d)f(e) < 0 \) then \( r \in (d, e) \) |
| v.  | \( f(e)f(f) < 0 \) then \( r \in (f, g) \) |
| 4   | Choose the desire interval either (i) or (ii) or (iii) or (iv) or (v) |
| 5   | Repeated until desired iteration/ accuracy |

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results are presented for several common functions such as cubic polynomial, logarithm, exponential and trigonometric. This function has been tested through Maple to check for the number of iterations and CPU times based on Bisection method, Fourth Section and Sixth Section. Numerical result based on the test run of both methods using the standard test functions is listed below.

Fig. 4 Graph function of \( f(x) = \sin(x) - 0.75 \)
Fig. 5 Graph function of \( f(x) = x + \ln(x) - 3 \)

Fig. 6 Graph function of \( f(x) = x^3 - x - 1 \)

Fig. 7 Graph function of \( f(x) = e^x - x - 1 \)

Table 4: Number of iterations for \( f(x) = \sin(x) - 0.75 \)

|          | 3 decimal | 4 decimal | 5 decimal |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Bisection| 5         | 9         | 12        |
| Fourth Section | 2     | 5         | 6         |
| Sixth Section   | 2     | 6         | 5         |

Table 5: Number of iterations for \( f(x) = x + \ln(x) - 3 \)

|          | 3 decimal | 4 decimal | 5 decimal |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Bisection| 10        | 12        | 17        |
| Fourth Section | 5     | 7         | 9         |
| Sixth Section   | 3     | 5         | 6         |

Table 6: Number of iterations for \( f(x) = x^3 - x - 1 \)

|          | 3 decimal | 4 decimal | 5 decimal |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Bisection| 10        | 13        | 17        |
| Fourth Section | 5     | 8         | 8         |
| Sixth Section   | 4     | 5         | 5         |

Table 7: Number of iterations for \( f(x) = e^x + x - 1 \)

|          | 3 decimal | 4 decimal | 5 decimal |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Bisection| 7         | 10        | 13        |
| Fourth Section | 5     | 7         | 7         |
| Sixth Section   | 4     | 5         | 7         |

Based on the table 4, 5, 6 and 7, it shows that the number of iteration is reduced when the number of interval increased. Therefore, the sixth and fourth section possess lower number of iteration compared to Bisection.

Table 8: CPU times for \( f(x) = \sin(x) - 0.75 \)

|          | CPU times |
|----------|-----------|
| Bisection| 0.34375   |
| Fourth Section | 0.640625 |
| Sixth Section   | 1.328125 |

Table 9: CPU times for \( f(x) = x + \ln(x) - 3 \)

|          | CPU times |
|----------|-----------|
| Bisection| 0.421875  |
| Fourth Section | 0.687500 |
| Sixth Section   | 1.328125 |

Table 10: CPU times for \( f(x) = x^3 - x - 1 \)

|          | CPU times |
|----------|-----------|
| Bisection| 0.468750  |
| Fourth Section | 0.640625 |
| Sixth Section   | 1.328125 |

Table 11: CPU times for \( f(x) = e^x + x - 1 \)

|          | CPU times |
|----------|-----------|
| Bisection| 0.328125  |
| Fourth Section | 0.625000 |
| Sixth Section   | 1.265625 |

However, in term of CPU time, the CPU time increased significantly when the interval increased. Therefore, sixth and fourth section methods possessed higher CPU time compared to Bisection. The significant increased of CPU time is due to the division of interval and determination of \( f(a)f(b) < 0 \) in each interval.
CONCLUSION

In this research, a new modification of Bisection has been proposed. This modification is based on even number of interval. The new method is named as the Fourth section and Sixth section method. If the number of iterations reduced, then the CPU times for Fourth section and Sixth section are increased. Based on this research, if a researcher is interested only with the method which possesses lower number of iteration without concerning the CPU time, it is suggested that the researcher use the Sixth section method as it converge faster than Bisection and Fourth section method. On the other hand, if the researcher is only interested on the CPU time without concerning the number of iterations, it is suggested to use the Bisection method as it possessed lower CPU time.
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