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Chemical Composition, Antifungal and Antibiofilm Activities of the Essential Oil of Mentha piperita L.
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Variations in quantity and quality of essential oil (EO) from the aerial parts of cultivated Mentha piperita were determined. The EO of air-dried sample was obtained by a hydrodistillation method and analyzed by a gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS). The antifungal activity of the EO was investigated by broth microdilution methods as recommended by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. A biofilm formation inhibition was measured by using an XTT reduction assay. Menthol (53.28%) was the major compound of the EO followed by Menthyl acetate (15.1%) and Menthofuran (11.18%). The EO exhibited strong antifungal activities against the examined fungi at concentrations ranging from 0.12 to 8.0 μL/mL. In addition, the EO inhibited the biofilm formation of Candida albicans and C. dubliniensis at concentrations up to 2 μL/mL. Considering the wide range of the antifungal activities of the examined EO, it might be potentially used in the management of fungal infections or in the extension of the shelf life of food products.

1. Introduction

Medicinal plants have been used for centuries in traditional medicine because of their therapeutic value. Mint species have been exploited by man for more than two thousand years. Peppermint itself has been used for more than 250 years [1]. Mentha piperita, (family Lamiaceae) is a species found in Iran and many parts of the world which has an economical value for its flavoring, odor, and therapeutic properties in foods and cosmetic industrial products. In addition, the leaves and flowers of M. piperita have medicinal properties [2, 3]. Essential oils are valuable natural products used as raw materials in many fields including perfumes, cosmetics, aromatherapy, phototherapy, spices, and nutrition. Peppermint (M. piperita) oil is one of the most popular and widely used essential oils, mostly because of its main components, Menthol, and menthone [4]. Previous studies have shown antiviral [5], antibacterial [6, 7], antifungal [6, 8–10], antibiofilm formation [11–13], radioprotective [14], antioedema [15], analgesic [16], and antioxidant activities [6] of the EO and methanolic extracts of herbal parts and callus cultures of M. piperita. In addition, M. piperita EO has been shown to cause inhibitory effects against radial fungal growth and aflatoxin production by Aspergillus species [17].

In the past two decades, the emergence of resistance to various antifungal drugs has accelerated dramatically. Azole-resistant Candida and Aspergillus species are the top pathogens responsible for nosocomial or food-borne infections [18, 19]. In addition, the formation of biofilms by Candida species have raised concerns due to their increased resistance to antifungal therapy and protects the microbial cells within biofilms from the host immune defenses [20–23].

An alternative approach to overcome antibiotic resistance might be using natural products and phytochemicals.
It has also been shown that some plant extracts efficiently inhibit the biofilm formation of *C. albicans* [24]. Moreover, EOs especially with known antibacterial effects have the potential to be used in food industry as preservatives and to increase the shelf life of products. Therefore, determining the antimicrobial properties of EOs might help to overcome microorganism resistance to antibiotics and prevent food spoilage. The chemical composition of aromatic plants depends largely on the individual genetic variability and different plant parts [25–27]. The presence and concentration of certain chemical constituents of EOs also fluctuate according to the season, climatic condition, and site of plant growth [25, 26].

The goal of this study was to investigate the chemical composition and *in vitro* antifungal and antibiofilm activities of essential oils of the leaves of *M. piperita* collected in the region of Fars from Iran.

2. Methods and Material

2.1. EO Preparation. At full flowering stage, the aerial parts of the *M. piperita* were hydrodistilled for 2.5 h, using an all-glass Clevenger-type apparatus, according to the method outlined by the British Pharmacopoeia. The sample oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and stored in sealed vials at 4°C before gas chromatography and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis.

2.2. EO Analysis by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry. The EO was analyzed by GC-MS. The analysis was carried out on a Thermoquest-Finnigan Trace GC-MS instrument equipped with a DB-5 fused silica column (60 m × 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 mm). The oven temperature was programmed to increase from 60°C to 250°C at a rate of 4°C/min and finally held for 10 min; transfer line temperature was 250°C. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.1 mL/min with a split ratio equal to 1/50. The quadrupole mass spectrometer was scanned over the 35–465 amu with an ionizing voltage of 70 eV and an ionization current of 150 mA.

GC-flame ionization detector (FID) analysis of the oil was conducted using a Thermoquest-Finnigan instrument equipped with a DB-5 fused silica column (60 m × 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 mm). Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas at the constant flow of 1.1 mL/min; the split ratio was the same as that for GC-MS. The oven temperature was raised from 60°C to 250°C at a rate of 4°C/min and held for 10 min. The injector and detector (FID) temperatures were kept at 250°C and 280°C, respectively. Semiquantitative data were obtained from FID area percentages without the use of correction factors.

2.3. Identification of EO Components. Retention indexes (RIs) were calculated by using retention times of *n*-alkanes (C6–C24) that were injected after the oil at the same temperature and conditions. The compounds were identified by comparing their RI with those reported in the literature, and their mass spectrum was compared with those reported in Wiley Library [28].

2.4. Determination of Antimicrobial Activities

2.4.1. Microorganisms. The antifungal activities of the EO against 25 standard strains of fungi, including *C. albicans* (ATCC 5982, 1912, 562, 1905, 1949, 10261, and 2730), *C. tropicalis* (ATCC 750), *C. krusei* (ATCC 6258), *C. glabrata* (ATCC 863, 2192, 2175, 6144, and 90030), *C. dubliniensis* (CBS 8501, ATCC 8500, 7987, and 7988), *C. parapsilosis* (ATCC 4344), *C. neoformance* (ATCC 9011), *Aspergillus flavus* (ATCC 64025), *A. fumigatus* (ATCC 14110, CBS 144.89), *A. clavatus* (CBS 514.65), and *A. oryzae* (CBS 818.72) were determined. In addition, the antifungal activities of the EO against 35 clinical isolates of yeasts identified by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) were also examined [29, 30]. The antifungal susceptibility of clinical isolates of the tested fungi against fluconazole was examined by microdilution and disk diffusion methods [31, 32].

2.4.2. Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration. Minimal inhibitory concentrations of the EO against standard and clinical species of the fungi were determined by the broth microdilution method as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), with some modifications [31, 32]. Briefly, the RPMI-1640 (with L-glutamine and phenol red, without bicarbonate) (Sigma, USA) was prepared and buffered at pH 7.0 with 0.165 mol 3-(N-morpholino)propane sulfonic acid (MOPS) (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany). Serial dilutions of the EO (0.06 to 16 μg/mL) were prepared in 96-well microtiter trays using RPMI-1640 media (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) buffered with MOPS (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Double dilutions of Fluconazole were also prepared for each of the tested fungi with the final concentration of 0.25 to 128 μg/mL. Stock inoculums were prepared by suspending three colonies of the examined yeast in 5 mL sterile 0.85% NaCl and adjusting the turbidity of the inoculums to 0.5 McFarland standard at 630 nm wavelength (this yields stock suspension of 1–5 × 10⁶ cells/mL). For moulds (*Aspergillus* spp.), conidia were recovered from the 7-day-old cultures grown on potato dextrose agar by a wetting loop with Tween 20. The collected conidia were transferred in sterile saline and their turbidity was adjusted to optical density of 0.09 to 0.11 that yields 0.4–5 × 10⁶ conidia/mL. Working suspension was prepared by making a 1/50 and 1/1000 dilution with RPMI of the stock suspension for moulds and yeasts, respectively. After the addition of 0.1 mL of the inoculums to the wells, the trays were incubated at 30°C for 24–48 h in a humid atmosphere. 200 μL of the uninoculated medium was included as a sterility control (blank). In addition, growth controls (medium with inoculums and 5% (v/v) without the EO or fluconazole) were also included. The growth in each well was compared with that of the growth control well. MICs were visually determined and defined as the lowest concentration of the EO that produced no visible growth in each well was compared with that of the growth control well.
growth. Each experiment was performed in triplicate. In addition, minimum fungicidal concentrations (MFCs) of all the examined agents were also determined by culturing 10 μL from the wells showing no visible growth onto SDA plates. MFCs were of the lowest concentration that showed either no growth or fewer than 4 colonies, which corresponded to a mortality of 98% of the fungi in the initial inoculums.

2.5. Inhibition of Biofilm Formation

2.5.1. Biofilm Formation and Growth. According to Behnam et al. [10], C. albicans and C. dubliniensis strains were maintained on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Difco) plates. After 48 hrs one loop of the colonies was transferred to 20 mL Sabouraud broth in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks and incubated overnight in an orbital shaker (100 rpm) at 30 °C under aerobic condition. Yeast cells were harvested and washed twice in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (0.8% [w/v], NaCl (Merck); 0.02% [w/v], KH2PO4 (Merck); 0.31% [w/v], Na2HPO4+12H2O (Merck); 0.02% [w/v], KCl (Panreac); pH 7.4). Then, they were resuspended in RPMI 1640 supplemented with L-glutamine (Gibco) and buffered with morpholinopropanesulfonic acid (MOPS) and the cell densities were adjusted to 1.0 × 106 cells/mL after counting with a hemocytometer. Serial dilution of the EOs (0.015–8 μL/mL) in RPMI 1640 was prepared in a presterilized, polystyrene, flat-bottom, 96-well microtiter plates (Nunc). After the addition of 0.1 mL of the yeast inoculums to the wells, the trays were incubated at 30 °C for 24–48 h in a humid atmosphere. 200 μL of the uninoculated medium was included as a negative control (blank). In addition, RPMI with yeasts but without the EOs served as positive controls.

2.5.2. Biofilm Inhibition Assay. A semiquantitative measure of biofilm formation was assayed using a 2, 3-bis(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfo-phenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carbox-anilide (XTT) reduction assay. XTT (Sigma Chemical Co.) was dissolved in RPMI 1640 was prepared in a presterilized, polystyrene, flat-bottom, 96-well microtiter plates (Nunc). After the addition of 0.1 mL of the yeast inoculums to the wells, the trays were incubated at 30 °C for 24–48 h in a humid atmosphere. 200 μL of the uninoculated medium was included as a negative control (blank). In addition, RPMI with yeasts but without the EOs served as positive controls.

2.5.3. Biofilm Inhibition Assay. A semiquantitative measure of biofilm formation was assayed using a 2, 3-bis(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfo-phenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carbox-anilide (XTT) reduction assay. XTT (Sigma Chemical Co.) was dissolved in RPMI 1640 was prepared in a presterilized, polystyrene, flat-bottom, 96-well microtiter plates (Nunc). After the addition of 0.1 mL of the yeast inoculums to the wells, the trays were incubated at 30 °C for 24–48 h in a humid atmosphere. 200 μL of the uninoculated medium was included as a negative control (blank). In addition, RPMI with yeasts but without the EOs served as positive controls.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Results. Approximately 17 compounds, representing 99.37% area of the oil, were identified. The qualitative and quantitative compositions of the EO of M. piperita are presented in Table 1. GC/MS analyses showed that the main constituent of the EO was Menthol (53.28%) followed by Menthol acetate (15.1%) and Menthofuran (11.18%). The antifungal activities of M. piperita EO against the tested yeasts are shown in Table 2. The EO inhibited the growth of all of the tested yeasts at concentrations of 0.12–4 μL/mL. Furthermore, the EO exhibited fungicidal activity (MFC) for all of the above-mentioned yeasts at concentrations ranging from 1 to 8 μL/mL. No significant differences in inhibitory concentrations were found between azole-resistant and -susceptible strains. In addition, the EO inhibited the growth and killed the standard strain of Cryptococcus neoformance at the concentration of 4 μL/mL. All of the Aspergillus standard strains were susceptible to M. piperita EO at concentrations of 0.5–4 μL/mL. (Table 3). As shown in Table 4, the EO completely inhibited the biofilm formation of C. albicans and C. dubliniensis at concentrations of 1 μL/mL and 2 μL/mL, respectively.

3.2. Discussion. The compositions of the EOs might be affected by the developmental stages of the plant. Although some authors reported alpha terpinen as the dominant component of M. piperita EO (19.7%), similar to the previous studies [4, 7, 33], we identified Menthol as one of the main constituents of the EOs. The higher concentration of Menthol in this study as compared to some of those of previous reports [4, 34] may reflect variations due to geographical location from which the plants were collected.

Table 1: Chemical components (%) of the essential oils distilled from Mentha piperita.

| Compound                  | % in oil |
|---------------------------|----------|
| α-Pinene                  | 0.32     |
| Sabinene                  | 0.26     |
| β-pinene                  | 0.58     |
| 1,8 Cineole               | 6.69     |
| cis-Sabinene hydrate      | 0.50     |
| Menthone                  | 2.45     |
| Menthofuran               | 11.18    |
| Neomenthol                | 2.79     |
| Menthol                   | 53.28    |
| Neomenthyl acetate        | 0.65     |
| Menthol acetate           | 15.10    |
| Isomenthol acetate        | 0.61     |
| β-Bourbonene              | 0.37     |
| (z)-Caryophyllene         | 2.06     |
| E-β-farnesene             | 0.30     |
| Germacrene D              | 2.01     |
| Bicyclogermacene          | 0.22     |
| Total                     | 99.37    |

aRetention indices on DB-5 column.
Table 2: Antifungal activity (MIC and MBC) of the essential oil of *Mentha piperita* against standard and clinical isolates of yeasts.

| Strain (number of isolate) | Mentha piperita essential oil MIC (μL/mL) | MFC (μL/mL) |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|
|                           | GMa (range)                             | GMa (range) |
| Standard isolate          |                                         |             |
| *C. albicans* (6)         | 1.5 (1-2)                               | 3.3 (2-4)   |
| *C. glabrata* (5)         | 1.2 (0.5-2)                             | 2.8 (2-4)   |
| *C. tropicalis* (1)       | 1.0                                     | 2.0         |
| *C. krusei* (1)           | 0.5                                     | 1.0         |
| *C. dubliniensis* (5)     | 2.4 (2-4)                               | 6.0 (4-8)   |
| *C. parapsilosis* (1)     | 4.0                                     | 4.0         |
| *C. neoformans* (1)       | 4.0                                     | 4.0         |
| Azole-sensitive clinical isolates |                                         |             |
| *C. albicans* (9)         | 2.0 (0.12-4)                            | 2.8 (2-4)   |
| *C. dubliniensis* (3)     | 0.6 (0.5-1)                             | 1.6 (1-2)   |
| *C. tropicalis* (2)       | 2.0                                     | 2.0         |
| *C. parapsilosis* (3)     | 1.1 (0.5-2)                             | 4.0         |
| *C. glabrata* (1)         | 0.12                                    | 4.0         |
| Azole-resistant clinical isolates |                                         |             |
| *C. albicans* (12)        | 1.6 (1-2)                               | 2.3 (1-4)   |
| *C. dubliniensis* (2)     | 0.5                                     | 2.5 (1-4)   |
| *C. tropicalis* (3)       | 3.0 (2-4)                               | 3.0 (2-4)   |

*aGeometric mean; MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration; MFC: minimum fungicidal concentration.*

Table 3: Antifungal activity (MIC and MFC) of the essential oil of *Mentha piperita* against standards *Aspergillus* species.

| Strain                      | Mentha piperita essential oil MIC (μL/mL) | MFC (μL/mL) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|
|                             | GMa (range)                             | GMa (range) |
| *A. flavus* (ATCC 64025)    | 4.0                                     | 8.0         |
| *A. fumigatus* (ATCC 14110) | 0.5                                     | 2.0         |
| *A. fumigatus* (CBS 144.89) | 2.0                                     | 8.0         |
| *A. oryzae* (CBS 818.72)    | 2.0                                     | 4.0         |
| *A. clavatus* (CBS 514.65)  | 0.5                                     | 1.0         |

In this study, the EOs exhibited fungistatic and fungicidal activities against both of the standard and clinical strains of *Candida* species at concentrations ranging from 0.5 μL/mL to 8 μL/mL, which can be best compared to the previous investigations [6, 8, 9, 33]. One of the encapsulated yeasts, *C. neoformans*, is a well-known primarily opportunistic pathogen which produces chronic and life-threatening meningitis. According to the findings of this study, the examined oils killed the standard strain of *C. neoformans* at concentrations of 4 μL/mL. Similar to the previous studies [10, 17] the EO of *M. piperita* exhibited a strong anti-*Aspergillus* activity with MIC values ranging from 0.5 to 4 μL/mL. MFCs of the EO against the tested fungi were almost similar or two times greater than those of their corresponding MICs.

Since the EOs exhibited similar antifungal effect against the tested azole-resistant and azole-susceptible strains, it could be assumed that the mechanism of the action of the EOs is different with those of the above-mentioned antifungal drug. One of the main characteristics of EOs is their hydrophobicity, which enables their incorporation into the cell membrane. The tested EO was rich in Menthol. It has been shown that this phenolic monoterpene has a hydroxyl group around the phenolic ring and exhibits its antimicrobial activity through the disruption of the cytoplasmic membrane [35, 36]. Biofilm formation by *Candida* species is a phenomenon which helps the survival, pathogenesis, and drug resistance. The most commonly *Candida* species associated with biofilm formation is *C. albicans*. In the present study, the formation of biofilm was inhibited completely at a concentration of up to 2 μL/mL in a dose-dependent manner, which was comparable to the study of Agarwal et al. [11].

4. Conclusion

As the industries tend to reduce the use of chemical preservatives in their products, EO of *M. piperita* with potential active antimicrobial properties might be considered as a natural source for the maintenance or extension of the shelf life of products. In addition, delectable taste of the EO at the concentrations needed for antimicrobial properties was a bonus to its antimicrobial effects. On the other hand, these EOs might also be considered for developing products for controlling fungal infections. As these tests have all been done *in vitro*, the next step may be further investigations in animal models to see if infection can be inhibited by the EO.
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