Biomechanical evaluation of a customized 3D-printed polyetheretherketone condylar prosthesis
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Abstract. The present study aimed to evaluate the biomechanical behavior of a custom 3D-printed polyetheretherketone (PEEK) condylar prosthesis using finite element analysis and mechanical testing. The Mimics software was used to create a 3D model of the mandible, which was then imported into Geomagic Studio software to perform osteotomy of the lesion area. A customized PEEK condyle prosthesis was then designed and the finite element model of the PEEK condyle prosthesis, mandible and fixation screw was established. The maximum stress of the prosthesis and screws, as well as stress and strain of the cortical and cancellous bones in the intercuspal position, incisal clench, left unilateral molar clench and right unilateral molar clench was analyzed. The biomechanical properties of the prosthesis were studied using two models with different lesion ranges. To simulate the actual clinical situation, a special fixture was designed. The compression performance was tested at 1 mm/min for the condyle prosthesis, prepared by fused deposition modeling (FDM). The results of a finite element analysis suggested that the maximum stress of the condyle was 10.733 MPa and the maximum stress of the screw was 9.7075 MPa; both were far less than the yield strength of the material. The maximum stress of the prosthesis and screws, as well as stress and strain of the cortical and cancellous bones in the intercuspal position, incisal clench, left unilateral molar clench and right unilateral molar clench was analyzed. The biomechanical properties of the prosthesis were studied using two models with different lesion ranges. To simulate the actual clinical situation, a special fixture was designed. The compression performance was tested at 1 mm/min for the condyle prosthesis, prepared by fused deposition modeling (FDM). The results of a finite element analysis suggested that the maximum stress of the condyle was 10.733 MPa and the maximum stress of the screw was 9.7075 MPa; both were far less than the yield strength of the material. The maximum force that the two designed prostheses were able to withstand was 3,814.7±442.6 N (Model A) and 4,245.7±348.3 N (Model B). Overall, the customized PEEK condyle prostheses prepared by FDM exhibited a uniform stress distribution and good mechanical properties, providing a theoretical basis for PEEK as a reconstruction material for repairing the temporomandibular joint.

Introduction

The temporomandibular joint (TMJ) is a bilaterally linked joint in the jaw that functions as a single entity when speaking, chewing, swallowing and yawning. More than 25% of the world’s population is affected by temporomandibular joint disorders (TMDs) (1), leading to mandibular dysfunction and pain. TMJ replacement is recommended for 2-5% of TMDs linked to ankylosis, tumors and degenerative diseases (1). The goal of TMJ replacement is to reconstruct the anatomy and function of the mandible, enable the patient to resume a sustainable diet, prevent further morbidity, reduce pain and improve quality of life. In arthroplasty, transplantation with either autologous or allogeneic bone has substantial disadvantages when compared to artificial joint transplantation. These include limited supplies, limited dimensions and the risk of infection (bacterial or viral) (2,3). Therefore, using an artificial TMJ for joint reconstruction is one of the most effective methods for clinical replacement.

Joint substitute implant materials require unique properties, including excellent biological properties, such as compatibility and safety, strong mechanical properties and fatigue resistance, a Young's modulus similar to that of human bone, biological inertia and good bio-surface modification capability. Orthopedic implant materials are typically composed of metals, inorganic non-metals (or bioceramics), polymers, complexes and biological derivatives. The most common orthopedic or dental materials are metals, such as titanium and precious metals that have excellent environmental resistance and good physical and biological properties (4). However, metallic materials may lead to various problems after implantation, including the release of toxic metal ions, interference with imaging diagnoses, bone resorption, osteolysis, allergic reactions and implant shedding (5,6). In dentistry, the clinical use of precious metals, such as titanium, has resolved certain issues related to the use of metallic materials; however, the inherently high elastic modulus of metallic materials frequently leads to stress shielding due to mismatch with the human bone, resulting in bone absorption (7). At present, there are two types of mature TMJ prostheses worldwide, provided by the companies TMJ Concepts and Biomet Microfixation, whose condylar prostheses are predominantly made of metal. Therefore, there is still a requirement to improve the clinical
performance of the prosthesis and reduce the incidence of post-operative complications by using more suitable biomat-
erials and subject-specific designs.

Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) is widely used in medical fields, such as cranioplasty (8-10) and spinal fusion (11,12) for its light weight, excellent biocompatibility, biomechanical properties and stability. Specifically, its modulus of elasticity is similar to that of cortical bone, which improves load sharing between the implant and the surrounding tissue (13). However, reports on the use of PEEK in TMJ reconstruction are limited (14), primarily those evaluating the effect of carbon fiber reinforced-PEEK material in the reconstruction of TMJ defects in rabbits, and there is no relevant research on the biomechanical properties of PEEK materials, to the best of our knowledge. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the biomechanical behavior of custom 3D-printed PEEK condylar prostheses. For this purpose, a finite element analysis (FEA) was established to explore the effect of the clenching tasks on the prosthesis and surrounding bones. The designed prostheses were prepared using fusion deposition modeling (FDM) technology and their compression properties were tested using uniaxial compression experiments.

Materials and methods

Modeling the mandible and custom condylar prosthesis. In the present study, the skull of the patient was scanned CT (slice thickness, 0.625 mm; slice interval, 0.5 mm) for 26 dental implants at the Radiology Department of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University (Xi'an, China). The patient presented with a normal occlusion and without TMJ disease. The patient provided informed consent. The data were stored and then imported into the Mimics medical imaging processing software (version 20.0; Materialise, Inc.) for 3D reconstruction. In this modeling process, the mandible included the cortical bone, cancellous bone and complete dentition.

Due to the different extents of surgical osteotomy in real clinical applications, two models were created. One model (Model A) had a higher osteotomy position and retained the coronoid process, whereas the other model (Model B) had a lower osteotomy position and did not retain the coronoid process (Fig. 1). To design the corresponding prostheses and create the two models, the 3D reconstruction model of the mandible was imported into Geomagic Studio (version 2012; 3D Systems, Inc.). The osteotomy of the mandibular lesion was simulated according to the surgical requirements and partial bone grinding (circular blunt) was performed on the buccal side of the surgical stump to reduce the stress concentration on the corresponding part of the prostheses. The corresponding data of the contralateral mandible were symmetrically mapped to the defect area according to the natural symmetry of the mandibular side. Part of the condylar prostheses data in the defect area was obtained and the overall design of the prostheses was achieved (Fig. 1). The TMJ condylar prostheses were designed on the left side of the mandible and fixed with five screws (Fig. 1). Each screw was 8 cm in length, 2 mm in diameter and did not have any screw teeth.

FEA of the PEEK condylar prostheses. The FEM was used to investigate the biomechanical properties of the condylar prosthesis and mandible. In the present study, FEA was performed using the ANSYS Workbench finite element package (version 14.5; ANSYS, Inc.). The parameters of the materials used in the FEA are presented in Table I (15,16). To reduce the influence of manufacturing methods and process parameters, the material properties of the PEEK condylar prostheses were defined based on the results of tensile, compression and flexure tests, which were conducted using an electrohydraulic servo mechanical testing machine (SAN3 CMT4304; MTS Corp.) according to ISO 527-1:2012, ISO 604 and ISO 178, respectively. All materials were assumed to be homogeneous, linearly elastic and isotropic.

Mesh Model A contained 1,157,007 nodes (778,460 elements) and mesh Model B contained 1,115,658 nodes (751,727 elements). The contact interface between the prosthesis and mandible was considered bonded. The loading conditions pertained to six principal muscles (Fig. 2). A total of four static clenching tasks were simulated in this study: The intercuspal position (ICP), incisal clench (INC), left unilateral molar clench (LMOL) and right unilateral molar clench (RMOL). The models were constrained in all directions at the top condyle in all clenching tasks. In addition, the models were constrained in the incisor regions (INC constraint), the canine and premolar regions (ICP constraint), the right molars (RMOL constraint) and the left molars (LMOL constraint). The magnitude and directions of the six muscular forces were obtained from a previous study (17). The maximum stress of the prostheses and screws and the stress and strain of the cortical bone and cancellous bones during ICP, INC, LMOL and RMOL were recorded and analyzed.

Preparation and mechanical testing of the condylar prostheses. The condylar prostheses were prepared using a self-developed 3D printer (Fig. 3A) with a nozzle diameter of 0.4 mm, a printing speed of 40 mm/sec, a wall thickness of 0.8 mm (printing line width of 0.4 mm), a layer thickness of 0.2 mm, a nozzle temperature of 420°C, an infill percentage of 100% and printing trajectories of tiled scan, buccal up and lingual down (Fig. 3B).

To evaluate the compression performance of the printed samples, a compression test was conducted for the 3D-printed condylar prostheses using a universal testing machine. The fixture was designed and fixed with titanium nails to simulate an actual clinical prosthesis (Fig. 3C). The fixed end of the fixture was clamped and the speed was tested at 1 mm/min (Fig. 3F). A total of five physical models were used for the compression tests (Fig. 3D). Finally, the results of the FEA and experimental tests were compared to comprehensively assess the mechanical properties of the condylar prostheses.

Results

General. In the present study, the following parameters were evaluated: The von Mises stress of the condylar prosthesis and five bone screws along with the von Mises stress and von Mises strain of the cortical bone and cancellous bone in four grasping tasks. Figs. 4 and 5 provide the simulation results of the INC task for model A. Tables II and III present the evaluation parameters for all four occlusion tasks for the two models.
Table I. Mechanical parameters of the materials used in the modeling.

| Parameter               | Cortical bone | Cancellous bone | Titanium alloy | PEEK  |
|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|
| Elastic modulus/GPa     | 13            | 1.6             | 110            | 2.8   |
| Poisson's ratio         | 0.3           | 0.3             | 0.3            | 0.3   |

PEEK, polyetheretherketone.

Figure 1. Assembly models of the polyetheretherketone condyle prostheses. (A) Model A and (B) Model B.

Figure 2. Muscular forces and constraints applied in finite element simulation.
Evaluation of von Mises stress of the TMJ condylar prosthesis and five bone screws. Mechanical analysis indicated that the stress values of prosthesis Model A were <11 MPa in all four clenching tasks. The von Mises stress on the five bone screws ranged from 3.07 MPa (INC, screw #1) to 9.71 MPa (ICP, screw #5) for the same tasks. Screw #5 was under the greatest von Mises stress during all tasks, as shown in Table II. For Model B, stress values of prosthesis were <9 MPa in all four occlusion tasks. The von Mises stress on the five bone screws ranged from 0.27 MPa (LMOL, screw #4) to 2.77 MPa (RMOL, screw #2) and screw #2 was under the greatest stress during all tasks, as shown in Table III.

Evaluation of the von Mises stress and strain of the cortical and cancellous bones. The mechanical analysis of the entire mandible cortical bone in Model A suggested that the maximum and minimum von Mises stress occurred during the ICP (10.826 MPa and 7,772.4 μ, respectively) and LMOL (3.7015 MPa and 2,920.5 μ, respectively) tests, as shown in Table II. Conversely, for the entire mandible cortical bone in Model B, the maximum and minimum von Mises stress occurred during the LMOL (43.038 MPa) and INC (13.93 MPa) tests, as did the maximum and minimum von Mises strain (4,066.1 and 1,240.4 μ, respectively). In the cancellous bone, the maximum and minimum von Mises stress and strain occurred during the RMOL (3.4237 MPa and 2,277.5 μ, respectively) and LMOL (2.4105 MPa and 1,507.8 μ, respectively) tests, as shown in Table III.

Experimental results of the prosthesis. The weights of the condyle prostheses printed by FDM were 3.671 g (Model A) and 4.382 g (Model B). The compression test results indicated that the maximum forces that the prostheses were able to withstand were 3,814.7±442.6 N (Model A) and 4,245.7±348.3 N (Model B). The compressive force-displacement curves are presented in Fig. 6.
Discussion

The present study introduced a novel TMJ prosthesis that differs from the existing prostheses from Biomet and TMJ Concepts. The custom condylar prostheses were designed using a reverse engineering approach and were prepared by 3D printing using PEEK as the printed material. PEEK is a high-strength semi-crystalline thermoplastic that was first introduced to the medical field in the early 1990s (18). PEEK has excellent biocompatibility and its yield strength and Young's modulus are closer to cortical bone than metal prostheses (13), which facilitates the design of implants that reduce stress shielding. Furthermore, high radiographic penetration may effectively avoid artifacts during post-operative examination (13). These advantages make PEEK a better candidate for loadbearing orthopedic devices, particularly in the spine.

However, reports on the use of PEEK in TMJ reconstruction are limited. In the present study, two PEEK condylar prosthesis models were designed to reflect the different degrees of surgical osteotomy. The FEM was used to analyze the biomechanical behavior of the condylar prostheses, which was validated through mechanical experiments.

To ensure the stability of the TMJ condylar prostheses, the stress of each component of the prosthesis should be less than the yield strength of the material. In the present study, consistent with the study of Kashi et al (19) from 2010, the stress in the condylar prostheses was predominantly concentrated in the anterior and posterior areas of the condylar neck. The maximum stress in this region was 10.733 MPa and 8.5229 in Models A and B, respectively, which is far less than the yield strength of PEEK. Concerning the five bone screws used to fix the PEEK condylar prostheses, the maximum von Mises stress of the entire cancellous bone is 0.8756 MPa and 3.5874 MPa in Models A and B, respectively, which is far less than the yield strength of PEEK.

Figure 4. The von Mises stress and strain of bone and prosthesis in the INC task of model A. (A) INC Constraints; (B) von Mises strain and (C) von Mises stress of the entire cortical bone; (D) von Mises strain and (E) von Mises stress of the entire cancellous bone; (F) von Mises stress of the polyetheretherketone condylar prosthesis. INC, incisal clench.
stresses in models A and B were 9.7075 MPa (screw #5) and 2.7697 MPa (screw #2), far less than the yield strength of titanium alloy. The peak stresses of the personalized PEEK condyle prosthesis designed in this study and its retention screws are far less than the yield strength of their respective materials. Therefore, theoretically, the prostheses and screws would not fracture. Moreover, the stress values of these screws are significantly lower than the stress generated by a screw fixing metal condyle prosthesis (17,20), it showed that the PEEK condyle prostheses reduced the risk of the retention screw fracture compared to the metal prostheses. In addition, consistent with the study of Kashi et al (19) from 2010, the peak von Mises stresses were observed in the upper and posterior regions of the mandible, demonstrating the importance of the number and location of screws when designing PEEK condyle prostheses.

The results of von Mises stress and strain generated by the four clenching tasks in the entire mandible have the following implications. First, the relationship between the von Mises strain and variations in bone mass were divided into the following ranges: disuse (bone loss): <10 µm/m (21), <50 µm/m (22) or <200 µm/m (23); normal load: 100-2,000 µm/m; mild overload (bone gain): 2,000-4,000 µm/m (24); pathologic overload (irreversible bone damage): >4,000 µm/m (24); and bone fractures: 15,700-20,000 µm/m (24). With the exclusion of the right condyle neck (near the fixed and restrained position) and the lingual edge of the osteotomy surface (sharp edges after osteotomy), the maximum strain on cortical bone and cancellous bone was usually between 100 and 4,000 µm/m. Furthermore, regarding the relationship between the von Mises stress and bone mass changes, a study by Sugiura et al (25) from 2000 indicated that the critical threshold for bone resorp-

Figure 5. The von Mises stress of screws in the INC task of model A. (A) All five screws; (B) screw #1; (C) screw #2; (D) screw #3; (E) screw #4; and (F) screw #5. INC, incisal clench.
tion should be approximately ~50 MPa. In the simulations of the present study, the von Mises stress values in the bone were all <50 MPa. In the present simulation of the four occlusal tasks, the stress and strain of the mandible after adding a PEEK condyle prosthesis were typically within the normal load range. Theoretically, no disuse and overload absorption of the mandible was expected. In addition, temporalis and part of the masseter muscles were resected in Model B; therefore, the maximum stress of the condyle and the stress and strain of the mandible were smaller than those in Model A.

Personalized 3D-printed PEEK condylar prostheses are able to meet individual requirements more effectively due to the simple preparation method, one-time molding, convenient processing and high material utilization. Furthermore, the intraoperative adaptability is expected to surpass that of already available TMJ prostheses. Furthermore, the experimental validation of the biomechanical properties of the developed prostheses suggested that the prostheses are able to withstand a maximum force of 3,814.7±442.6 N (Model A) and 4,245.7±348.3 N (Model B), which is much larger than the combined maximum muscle force in the Z-axis direction in the ICP. Therefore, the 3D-printed PEEK condyle prosthesis developed in the present study is able to meet the clinical requirements of TMJ reconstruction in terms of its mechanical properties. This experiment revealed the following: FDM printing is accomplished by the accumulation of materials layer by layer and the molecular chains between the layers are less crossed and entangled, resulting in a small interlayer force. When designing the printing direction of the prosthesis, it is necessary to consider the entire force environment and characteristics of the prosthesis, so that frequent and larger forces act in the vertical plane direction rather than the interlayer direction.

As with any theoretical simulation study, there are certain limitations. First, a comparative study has yet to be performed between the custom PEEK prostheses and a standard prosthesis. In a future study, the stress and strain distribution between the PEEK condylar prosthesis and the Biomet

| Component/evaluation parameter | INC  | ICP  | LMOL | RMOL |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Condylar prosthesis          |      |      |      |      |
| von Mises stress (MPa)        | 8.3723 | 10.733 | 4.8598 | 10.567 |
| von Mises strain (µ)          | 3.2637 | 4.2615 | 1.8893 | 4.0272 |
| Cortical bone                 |      |      |      |      |
| von Mises stress (MPa)        | 20.669 | 42.688 | 34.23  | 31.315 |
| von Mises strain (µ)          | 1.7815 | 4.8972 | 3.3881 | 2.9138 |
| Cancellous bone               |      |      |      |      |
| von Mises stress (MPa)        | 5.5876 | 10.826 | 3.7015 | 6.792 |
| von Mises strain (µ)          | 4.8257 | 7.7724 | 2.9205 | 5.8574 |
| All screws                    |      |      |      |      |
| von Mises stress (MPa)        | 5.8394 | 9.7075 | 5.3554 | 9.4276 |
| von Mises strain (µ)          | 53.115 | 88.269 | 54.225 | 85.726 |
| Screw #1                      |      |      |      |      |
| von Mises stress (MPa)        | 3.0718 | 7.7425 | 4.7278 | 7.6845 |
| von Mises strain (µ)          | 27.937 | 87.112 | 54.225 | 78.165 |
| Screw #2                      |      |      |      |      |
| von Mises stress (MPa)        | 5.8394 | 9.5725 | 5.3554 | 9.4276 |
| von Mises strain (µ)          | 53.115 | 87.043 | 48.812 | 85.726 |
| Screw #3                      |      |      |      |      |
| von Mises stress (MPa)        | 4.5557 | 8.445  | 4.5214 | 7.6839 |
| von Mises strain (µ)          | 41.441 | 76.817 | 41.128 | 69.894 |
| Screw #4                      |      |      |      |      |
| von Mises stress (MPa)        | 3.8866 | 7.7507 | 4.2435 | 6.7267 |
| von Mises strain (µ)          | 35.34  | 70.501 | 38.600 | 61.188 |
| Screw #5                      |      |      |      |      |
| von Mises stress (MPa)        | 5.2822 | 9.7075 | 5.2122 | 9.2533 |
| von Mises strain (µ)          | 48.042 | 88.269 | 47.394 | 84.139 |

The numbering of the screws is according to Fig. 5. INC, incisal clench; ICP, intercuspal position; L/RMOL, left/right unilateral molar clench.
The stock prosthesis will be compared to further demonstrate the biomechanical advantages of the proposed device. In addition, a compression experiment will be conducted to evaluate the biomechanics of the prosthesis. In subsequent studies, fatigue...
and wear tests will be performed on the personalized PEEK condyle prosthesis using mechanical testing equipment and validation in animals.
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