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Abstract: Au$_2^+$ is a simple but crucial model system for understanding the diverse catalytic activity of gold. While the Au$_2^+$ ground state (X$^2\Sigma_g^+$) is understood reasonably well from mass spectrometry and computations, no spectroscopic information is available for its first excited state (A$^2\Sigma_u^+$). Herein, we present the vibrationally resolved electronic spectrum of this state for cold Ar-tagged Au$_2^+$ cations. This exceptionally low-lying and well isolated A$^2\Sigma_u^+$ transition occurs in the near-infrared range. The observed band origin (5738 cm$^{-1}$, 1742.9 nm, 0.711 eV) and harmonic Au–Au and Au–Ar stretch frequencies (201 and 133 cm$^{-1}$) agree surprisingly well with those predicted by standard time-dependent density functional theory calculations. The linearly bonded Ar tag has little impact on either the geometric or electronic structure of Au$_2^+$, because the Au$_2^+$···Ar bond (~0.4 eV) is much weaker than the Au–Au bond (~2 eV). As a result of 6$s$–5$d$ excitation of an electron from the antibonding $\sigma_u^*$ orbital (HOMO-1) into the bonding $\sigma_g$ orbital (SOMO), the Au–Au bond contracts substantially (by 0.1 Å).

The often unusual chemical properties of small gold clusters arise from several factors, including strong spin–orbit coupling, contributions of d orbitals to chemical bonding, and large relativistic effects. The typical multi-reference character of their excited electronic states, which are relevant for catalytic processes, provides high challenge for quantum chemical calculations, which are required to understand electronic structure and chemical reactivity at the molecular level. High-resolution experimental spectra provide useful benchmarks for developing and testing such quantum chemical approaches. Recent progress in instrumentation has allowed our group to record for the first time vibrationally resolved electronic spectra of small and cold Au$_2^+$ cluster cations, such as Au$_4^+$ and Au$_5^+$, by means of photodissociation spectroscopy.

Concerning Au$_2^+$, we have so far characterized higher excited states in the 300–700 nm range, giving rise to two complex band systems near 440 and 325 nm, which both exhibit rather irregular vibronic structure due to strong coupling of multiple electronic states occurring in the same energy range. This congested vibronic structure could only be explained by sophisticated multi-reference calculations including spin–orbit coupling and relativistic corrections. Clearly, standard time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) calculations completely fail to reproduce the observed spectral pattern. Due to its high binding energy ($D_2 = 2.2 \pm 0.2$ eV), the lower electronic states of Au$_2^+$ cannot be probed by single-photon dissociation from the cold ground electronic state. The lowest excited states of Au$_2^+$ clusters show a strong even-odd alternation, and the open-shell n = odd clusters have predicted transitions in the near-infrared (NIR) range. In this respect, Au$_{10}^+$ exhibits a particularly low and broad transition centered at around 0.55 eV, which extends down into the vibrational domain of the ground electronic state. For Au$_4^+$, calculations predict an optically active and well isolated A$^2\Sigma_u^+$ state around 0.8 eV above the X$^2\Sigma_g^+$ ground state arising from 6$s$–5$d$ excitation of an electron from the antibonding $\sigma_u^*$ orbital (HOMO-1) into the bonding $\sigma_g$ orbital (SOMO), as shown in Figure 1. As no other (bright) states are nearby, coupling to other states is expected to be weak, at least near the potential minimum of the A state. As a result, the anticipated simpler vibronic spectrum may be reproduced reasonably well by standard TD-DFT calculations. The only nearby state is derived from a spin-orbit split $\Pi^+_2$ state that is optically dark (g–g transitions are parity forbidden). Another interesting feature of the A state is an avoided crossing along the Au–Au coordinate with the higher-lying G$^2\Sigma_u^+$ state of the same symmetry, leading to a potential maximum of the A state near 4 Å. To spectroscopically characterize the lowest-energy A state of Au$_2^+$, we add a weakly bonded inert argon atom as a tag. The Ar tag causes only a small perturbation of bare Au$_2^+$, because the weak van der Waals type Au$_2^+$···Ar bond (~0.5 eV) is substantially weaker than the chemical Au–Au bond (~2 eV). Thus, in addition to reducing the temperature of Au$_2^+$, the Ar tag drastically reduces the effective dissociation energy of the considered cation, thereby enabling single-photon dissociation from the vibronic ground state.

The NIR electronic spectrum of cold and mass-selected Au$_2^+$···Ar ions shown in Figure 2 is obtained in a QP-ReTOF (quadrupole–reflectron time-of-flight) tandem mass spectrometer coupled to a temperature-controlled pulsed laser desorption source and a broadly tunable optical parametric oscillator (OPO) laser with a bandwidth of ~5 cm$^{-1}$. In short, Au$_2^+$ Ar ions are produced by electron impact ionization of Au$_2^+$ in the QP-TOF mass spectrometer and are mass-selected into the QP-ReTOF mass spectrometer, where they are ionized by a pulsed laser. The resulting ions are then mass-selected and detected by the QP-ReTOF mass spectrometer. The NIR electronic spectrum is obtained by scanning the wavelength of the pulsed laser and detecting the ions that are transmitted through the spectrometer. The spectrum shows a series of sharp and well-defined peaks, each corresponding to a specific electronic transition. The peaks are well separated, indicating that the electronic transitions are not strongly coupled to each other. The spectrum also shows a significant change in intensity as the wavelength of the pulsed laser is scanned, indicating that the electronic transitions are well resolved. The overall shape of the spectrum is consistent with the expected electronic transitions of Au$_2^+$, and the peaks are well correlated with the theoretical predictions. This result suggests that the electronic transitions of Au$_2^+$ are well resolved and can be accurately measured using this method.
clusters are generated by laser vaporization of a gold rod and expanding the resulting plasma using He carrier gas seeded with Ar (0.1%, 10 bar) into vacuum through a conical nozzle cooled by liquid nitrogen. The avoided crossing between the G and A states of the excited states is indicated in red, whereas the A state would have its dissociation limit near 3.5 eV. Also shown is the σ_*(d) antibonding HOMO-1 from which the electron is excited into the bonding σ_2(s) SOMO upon A→X excitation.

Figure 1. Potential energy curves of the doublet electronic states of Au_2^+ calculated at the unrestricted CAM-B3LYP/def2-QZVPP level. Optically allowed excited states are indicated in red. The avoided crossing between the G and A states of the symmetry leads to a substantial change in the potential of both states. Without crossing, the G state would be dissociative, whereas the A state would have its dissociation limit near 3.5 eV. Also shown is the σ_*(d) antibonding HOMO-1 from which the electron is excited into the bonding σ_2(s) SOMO upon A→X excitation.

In stark contrast to the higher excited states of Au_2^+ in the visible range, the NIR spectrum of Au_2^+ Ar recorded between 5000 and 8000 cm⁻¹ (Figure 2) shows a regular vibronic pattern of a single excited state of a linear molecule. No other transition is observed within 5000–10 000 cm⁻¹. Isolated vibronic peaks have a width of 5 cm⁻¹, which corresponds to the laser bandwidth and provides a lower limit for the lifetime of τ = 1 ps for the A state. The band origin (0') of the A→X transition (correlating with A→X→X for bare Au_2^+) is observed at 5738 cm⁻¹ (1743 nm, 0.711 eV). A long progression of up to ten quanta in the ν_1 mode with a harmonic frequency of ω_1 = 201(1) cm⁻¹ (ν_1 = 199 cm⁻¹) is assigned to the Au–Au stretch vibration, based on comparison with the higher excited states of Au_2^+ and the frequency of neutral Au_2 (ν_1 = 190 cm⁻¹ in the A state). The long ν_1 progression peaking at n = 3 indicates a substantial change in the Au–Ar bond length upon electronic excitation. Each member of the ν_1 progression is assigned to a shorter progression (up to four quanta) in the ν_1 mode with ω_1 = 133(1) cm⁻¹ (ν_1 = 128 cm⁻¹), which is attributed to the intermolecular Au–Ar stretch vibration. The Franck-Condon (FC) intensities of the shorter ν_1 progression suggest that the intermolecular Au–Ar interaction is only moderately affected by electronic excitation. In combination with the band origin and the low-frequency members of the ν_1 progressions and combination bands, we resolve pronounced satellite peaks with a spacing of 12 cm⁻¹ and decreasing intensity (inset in Figure 2). These bands are assigned to sequence hot bands (2_n^±) in the low-frequency degenerate Au–Ar bending mode (ν_3), indicating that ν_3 increases by 12 cm⁻¹ upon A→X excitation. In contrast to ν_1, there are no obvious intense progressions in ν_3, consistent with a linear structure in both electronic states. Actually, the FC analysis described below suggests the observa-

Figure 2. NIR photodissociation spectrum of the A→X transition of Au_2^+ Ar recorded between 15000 and 8000 cm⁻¹. The two main progressions in the Au–Au and Au–Ar stretch modes (ν_1, ν_3) are indicated. The inset shows the 2^±_n progression. All peak positions and assignments are listed in Table S1.
tion of weak combination bands involving two quanta in $\nu_2$ (e.g., $2\nu_2^0$ and $2\nu_2^1$, resulting in $\nu_2 = 43(2)$ cm$^{-1}$. From the $\nu_2^0$ sequence hot bands, we then derive the frequency of $\nu_2$ in the $\tilde{X}$ state as $31(2)$ cm$^{-1}$. The transition at $5570$ cm$^{-1}$ occurs $169(3)$ cm$^{-1}$ below the band origin. It does not fit into the regular pattern of $\nu_2/2$ and thus is assigned to the hot band in $\nu_3$ ($3\tilde{A}$). Hence, the $\nu_3$ frequency increases from 169 to $199$ cm$^{-1}$ upon $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ excitation, indicating a much stronger and shorter Au–Au bond in the $\tilde{A}$ state.

The harmonic frequencies and (cross) anharmonicities are obtained by fitting all vibronic transitions to a standard Dunham expansion (Table S1 in the Supporting Information), yielding harmonic frequencies of $\omega_2 = 133.08(2)$ cm$^{-1}$, $\omega_3 = 200.97(2)$ cm$^{-1}$, $\omega_{23} = -1.33(1)$ cm$^{-1}$, $\omega_{23} = -0.56(1)$ cm$^{-1}$, and $\alpha_{23} = -1.33(1) cm^{-1}$ for the $\tilde{A}$ state. As expected, the softer Au–Ar stretch mode has a larger anharmonicity than the stiffer Au–Au stretch mode. The small number of observed quanta in $\nu_2$ does not allow for a Dunham fit. A list with all experimental and fitted frequencies, along with vibrational assignments, is available in Table S1. All observed transitions can be reproduced within $2.5$ cm$^{-1}$ with a standard deviation of $1.0$ cm$^{-1}$, which is below the bandwidth of the employed OPO laser ($5$ cm$^{-1}$).

The Birge-Sponer (BS) plot for the long progression in $\nu_3$ (Figure 3c) yields an effective dissociation energy of $D_0 = 2.2(2)$ eV for the Au–Au bond in the $\tilde{A}$ state, which would converge to the $G$ state asymptote without avoided crossing (Figure 1). However, this BS approach does not account for the avoided crossing of the $A$ excited state potential and thus provides only a safe upper limit for $D_0$. At first glance, this result is somewhat inconsistent with the reported experimental value of $D_0 = 2.2(2)$ eV for the $X$ state obtained from mass spectrometry,[6] because the excited $A$ state is certainly substantially more strongly bound than the ground state, as inferred from the increase in bond order and $\omega_2$ and the contraction of the Au–Au bond upon $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ excitation (Figure 1, Table 1). Hence, the BS analysis may suggest that the $D_0$ value determined by mass spectrometry for the $X$ state[6] is slightly higher than the true value, as also indicated by high-level CCSD(T) calculations ($D_0 = 1.98$ eV).[6] However, the BS analysis suffers from several approximations. While Ar tagging has only a minor impact on the Au–Ar potentials for the considered electronic X and A states (Table 1), the $\nu_3$ mode is not a pure Au–Au stretch local mode, because of coupling to the Au–Ar stretch, and thus corresponds only approximately to the force constant of the Au–Au bond. Finally, the BS model is based on a Morse potential (and we probe only the lowest energy part of this potential), while the true Au$^+ \rightarrow$Au interaction at long range
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**Figure 3.** a) Photodissociation cross section of Au$_2$Ar into Au$_2^+$ and Ar (red) compared to FC simulations (black). Assignments are given in Figure 2. b) Expanded view of the spectra in (a). c) BS plot for the $\nu_3$ progression in the $\tilde{A} \Sigma^+ \rightarrow \tilde{X} \Sigma^+$ state of Au$_2^+$Ar.

**Table 1.** Experimental properties of the ground and first excited state of Au$_2^+$ and Au$_2$Ar compared to computed values.

| State          | Experiment | CAM-B3LYP/cc-pVTZ[6] | CCSD(T)[6] | CASSCF + MRCI + SO[6] |
|----------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Au$_2$Ar$^+$   | $X^2\Sigma^+$ | $A^2\Sigma^+$ | $A^2\Sigma^+$ | $A^2\Sigma^+$ | $A^2\Sigma^+$ |
| $E_\nu$/eV     | 0.711(1)   | 0.79                  | 0.77       | 0.95                  |
| $E_{\nu}/eV$   | 0.79(3)    | 0.95                  | 0.94       | 1.1                   |
| $r_{\nu}(Au-Ar)/Å$ | $\Delta(R''') = 0.175^[a]$ | 2.5784 | 2.6927 |
| $r_{\nu}(Au-Au)/Å$ | $\Delta(R') = 0.09^[b]$ | 2.6226 | 2.4548 | 2.6345 | 2.4471 | 2.62 | 2.64 |
| $D_0(Au-AU)/eV$ | < 2.2(2)^[c] | 1.94^[d] | 2.10 | 1.33 | 1.98 | 1.84 |
| $\omega_{\nu}/cm^{-1}$ | 31(2)^[e] | 31 | 41 |
| $\omega_{\nu}/cm^{-1}$ | 169(2)^[f] | 201(1) | 167 | 203 |

[a] With ECP60MDF and GD3BJ. [b] Ref. [3a]. [c] Estimated from fitting computed to experimental relative intensities by variation of the difference in bond distances in FC simulations. [d] The potential of the $A$ state converges asymptotically to that of the $X$ state. The barrier between the minimum and local maximum of the $A$ state potential of Au$_2^+$ at about $R_2 = 3.8$ Å is $1.2$ eV (Figure 1).[6] [e] Ref. [6]. [f] Calculated as $E_\nu(AuAr^+) + E_\nu(Au-Ar^+) - E_\nu(Au_2^+ Ar)$. [g] $\omega_2$ in Au$_2^+$ corresponds to $\omega_2$ in Au$_2$Ar (Au–Au stretch). [h] Frequencies of fundamentals $\nu_2$.
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is dominated by charge-induced dipole interaction (depending on $R^\ddagger$).

To confirm the assignment of the NIR spectrum, the ground and excited states of $\text{Au}_n^+$ and $\text{Au}_n^+$ are characterized by dispersion-corrected (TD-)DFT calculations at the unrestricted CAM-B3LYP/cc-pVTZ\textsuperscript{10} level, including GD3BJ dispersion corrections\textsuperscript{10a} and the ECP60MDF effective core potential (ECP),\textsuperscript{11} as implemented in Gaussian16.\textsuperscript{12} Calculations using the def2-tzvpp basis yield essentially the same results (Table S2). Optimized geometries and experimentally obtained harmonic frequencies and corrections are used to fit the FC intensities of the spectrum in POGOPHER.\textsuperscript{13} To obtain the geometry differences in ground and excited state (Table 1), the atomic positions are shifted until the agreement with the experimental line intensities is optimal (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{13} The resulting differences in the geometry are given in Table 1. While the relative intensities of $v_1$ and $v_2$ can be reproduced well, the intensities of the $v_3$ combination bands ($1\Sigma^+_g\rightarrow 3\Sigma^+_u$) are overestimated in the simulation for low quanta of $v_3$ (Figure 3b).

The properties computed for $\text{Au}_n^+$ and $\text{Au}_n^+$ are summarized in Table 1, along with other available computational and experimental data. The $\Sigma^0$ ground state of $\text{Au}_n^+$ has an equilibrium bond length of $r_e = 2.6345$ Å and an $\text{Au}-\text{Au}$ stretch frequency of 140 cm$^{-1}$. Its dissociation energy ($D_0 = 1.94$ eV) is in excellent agreement with the CCSD(T) value of 1.98 eV but somewhat lower than the experimental value of $D_0 = 2.2 \pm 0.2$ eV obtained from mass spectrometry\textsuperscript{8} or 2.3 ± 0.2 eV obtained by comparing the ionization potentials of the neutral atom and dimer and the neutral dissociation energy.\textsuperscript{14} The corresponding $\Sigma^0$ ground state of $\text{Au}_n^+$ is linear ($C_{ssn}$) and no bent minimum is found on the potential. The intermolecular $\text{Au}--\text{Au}$ bond is characterized by $R_c = 2.578$ Å and $D_0 = 0.37$ eV, and the intermolecular harmonic stretch and bend frequencies are $\omega_1 = 117$ cm$^{-1}$ and $\omega_2 = 31$ cm$^{-1}$. The much stronger intramolecular $\text{Au}--\text{Au}$ bond is characterized by $r_e = 2.6226$ Å and $D_0 = 1.94$ eV, with a harmonic stretch frequency of $\omega_3 = 167$ cm$^{-1}$, in excellent agreement with the measured value of $v_1 \approx 169$ cm$^{-1}$ derived from the hot band in the NIR spectrum. Ar tagging has only a minor stabilizing effect on the $\text{Au}--\text{Au}$ bond ($\Delta r_e = -11.9$ mÅ), primarily arising from partial electron transfer (0.1 e) from Ar into the bonding SOMO of $\text{Au}_3^+$. Because of strong coupling between the $\text{Au}--\text{Au}$ and $\text{Au}--\text{Ar}$ local modes in the $\tilde{X}(\tilde{\Sigma}+u)$ state, it corresponds to $\omega_3$ in $\text{Au}_3^+$. The first excited state of $\text{Au}_n^+$ is the optically bright $A$ state ($\tilde{\Sigma}^+$) with a predicted adiabatic transition energy of $E_1 = 0.77$ eV and relatively low oscillator strength ($f = 0.009$). It arises from $6s\rightarrow5d$ excitation of an electron out of the antibonding $\alpha_x^*$ orbital (HOMO-1) into the bonding $\alpha_y$ orbital (SOMO). As a consequence of the increase in bond order, the calculated $\text{Au}--\text{Au}$ bond contracts substantially by $\Delta r_e = -187$ mÅ and the $\text{Au}--\text{Au}$ stretch frequency increases from 140 to 193 cm$^{-1}$. Because of the drastic change in geometry, there is a huge difference of 30% between adiabatic and vertical transition energy ($E_{\nu 1} = 0.94/0.77$ eV), indicating that reliable predictions for transition energies require optimization of the excited state. Most previous computations of $\text{Au}_n^+$ clusters rely merely on the calculation of vertical electronic excitations.\textsuperscript{8,10} It is our particular case, the predicted NIR transition shifts from 1320 to 1610 nm upon geometry optimization. Ar complexation increases the oscillator strength to $f = 0.0017$ and has only a minor stabilizing impact on the $\text{Au}--\text{Au}$ bond in the $\tilde{A}$ state ($\Delta r_e = -7.7$ mÅ, $\Delta \omega_2 = +12$ cm$^{-1}$). Overall, $\tilde{A}--\tilde{X}$ excitation of $\text{Au}_n^+$ leads to a substantial contraction of the strong $\text{Au}--\text{Au}$ bond ($\Delta r_e = -168$ mÅ) and a similar elongation of the soft $\text{Ar}--\text{Ar}$ bond ($\Delta r_e = 114$ mÅ). While the strengthening of the $\text{Au}--\text{Au}$ bond is well reflected by the increase in $\omega_3$ from 167 to 203 cm$^{-1}$, the weakened $\text{Au}--\text{Ar}$ bond also exhibits an increase in $\omega_3$ from 117 to 131 cm$^{-1}$. This at first glance inconsistent picture arises from the change in coupling between the $\text{Au}--\text{Ar}$ and $\text{Au}--\text{Au}$ local modes upon $\tilde{A}--\tilde{X}$ excitation. While both modes are strongly coupled in the $\tilde{X}$ state leading to an enhanced splitting between both normal modes, they become almost decoupled in the $\tilde{A}$ state. The frequency of the degenerate intermolecular bending mode $\omega_2$ increases from 31 to 43 cm$^{-1}$ (or 40%), indicating a much stiffer bending potential in the $\tilde{A}$ state, with higher angular anisotropy.

Overall, the (TD-)DFT calculations reproduce the experimental observation to high accuracy. The assigned band origin at 5738 cm$^{-1}$ (0.712(2) eV) agrees well with the predicted value (0.79 eV). Ar complexation changes $E_1$ and $E_2$ of $\text{Au}_n^+$ by only 0.02 and 0.01 eV, respectively, thus confirming that the Ar tag has essentially no effect on this electronic transition. The measured frequencies in the $\tilde{A}$ state ($\omega_{12} = 133/201$ cm$^{-1}$) agree with the computed ones (131/203 cm$^{-1}$) to within 2 cm$^{-1}$. The computed increase of 10 cm$^{-1}$ in $\omega_3$ upon $\tilde{A}--\tilde{X}$ excitation is close to the observed spacing of 12 cm$^{-1}$ in the $2\tilde{e}$ sequence hot band progression. Similarly, the $v_3$ frequency in the $\tilde{X}$ state (169 cm$^{-1}$) assigned from the hot band is consistent with the predicted value of $\omega_3 = 167$ cm$^{-1}$. This overall excellent quantitative match between experiment and computation with respect to electronic transition energy and all vibrational frequencies illustrates that the employed standard (TD-)DFT calculations are surprisingly well suited to reliably describe the chemical bonding and electronic structure of the $X$ and $A$ state of $\text{Au}_n^+$, and is rationalized by the, at most, small perturbation of these isolated electronic states (Figure 1). This scenario is not true anymore for the higher excited states, which are strongly coupled.\textsuperscript{14}

The relative intensities of the hot band transitions may be used to estimate the effective vibrational temperature of the cluster ions. Assuming thermal equilibrium (Boltzmann distribution) and similar FC factors for the hot band and fundamental of $v_1$ ($3\tilde{e}$ and $3\tilde{u}$), their observed intensity ratio of 1:10 reflects directly the population ratio of the $v_1$ and ground states. This ratio corresponds to a temperature of around 110 K, which agrees well with the nozzle temperature of $T = 120$ K. The ion temperature can also be estimated from the population of the $v_1$ levels in the $\tilde{X}$ state derived from the observed sequence hot bands spaced by 12 cm$^{-1}$, again assuming the same FC factors. For example, the intensity ratio of $3\tilde{e}^n3\tilde{u}^m$ with $n = 1–3$ is within 4.8:1.8:1.0 and 1.5:1.2:0.9, and varies between different scans with equal source conditions but also within single scans. Again, assuming a Boltzmann distribution, these ratios translate into $T = 50–200$ K, respectively. The FC simulations show a
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slightly different picture. To achieve good agreement in the hot band contributions, we need to consider a different temperature for ν2 compared to ν1 and ν3. The best fit is obtained with a ν2 temperature of around 250 K, while ν1 and ν3 have an effective temperature of around 200 K. This result is not surprising because low-frequency modes cool more efficiently than high-frequency vibrations.

In summary, we have characterized the previously elusive first electronically excited A state of the fundamental Au$_2^+$ diatomic cation by means of high-resolution photodissociation spectroscopy of the Ar-tagged ion. Significantly, the measured A→X spectrum provides the first spectroscopic information about the chemical bonding of Au$_2^+$ in both the ground and first excited state. The exceptionally low-lying excited A state occurring in the NIR range is dominated by a long vibrational progression in the Au–Ar stretch mode caused by a substantial bond contraction upon electronic excitation. This change in geometry is caused by one-electron excitation from an antibonding 0,0 σ* orbital into the bonding 0,0 σ orbital. The vibronically resolved spectrum allows for the determination of all three vibrational modes in the A state of Au$_2^+$ Ar and of two frequencies in the X state via hot band analysis. Analysis of anharmonicity provides a safe upper limit of the dissociation energy in the A state as 2.2(2) eV. The true dissociation energy should be substantially lower because the BS analysis does not account for the effects of the avoided crossing of the A state with a higher lying excited state. Significantly, the TD-DFT calculations describe the properties of the largely isolated X and A state to surprisingly high accuracy (as seen for example also by the negligible spin contamination of < 2 %), given that an excited state of such a heavy diatomic open-shell cation is considered and that the properties of higher excited states cannot be reproduced at such level of theory.[14] Comparison between Au$_2^+$ and Au$_2^+$ Ar reveals that rare gas tagging has essentially no impact on the geometric and electronic structure of the diatomic cation, while electronic excitation reduces the coupling between the Au–Au and Au–Ar stretch modes.

Recent computations predict that open-shell Au$_n^+$ clusters with even n (n ≤ 12) have low-energy electronic states in the NIR range. The most extreme case in this size range is Au$_{10}^+$, for which the onset of a rather broad and unresolved band is observed (also by Ar tagging), with a fitted maximum near 5000 cm$^{-1}$ (∼0.6 eV, ∼2000 nm) and a width of ∼4400 cm$^{-1}$ (∼0.55 eV). This band has been attributed to three overlapping LUMO–SOMO electronic transitions, and its large width has been rationalized by spectral congestion from unresolved vibronic excitation, vibronic coupling of the Jahn-Teller distorted tetrahedral structure, and/or lifetime broadening.[3] In contrast to the larger and more complex Au$_{10}^+$ cluster, the lowest-energy NIR excitation of Au$_{12}^+$ observed at 0.71 eV arises from a single and well-isolated SOMO→HOMO-1 transition resulting in a regular well-resolved electronic spectrum, with a long-lived excited state (r ≥ 1 ps). Significantly, the Au$_{12}^+$ Ar spectrum allows the determination of all vibrational frequencies, thereby providing very detailed information about the Au–Au and Au–Ar bonds as a function of electronic excitation.

Such highly resolved electronic spectra are still rare for transition metal clusters.[15,16]
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