different from the control cohort. Metopic skulls demonstrated a significantly decreased anterior cranial area (average, 2466.12 mm²; \( P < 0.001 \)) and significantly increased anterior-posterior (AP) length (average, 4.00 mm; \( P = 0.003 \)) and craniocaudal length of the anterior cranium (average, 6.41 mm; \( P = 0.01 \)) compared with control skulls. There was a significant negative correlation between the anterior cranial area and both the vertical length and AP length. The frontal angle significantly correlated with the increases in vertical height and AP length, whereas the aEBF correlated with only the AP length. Other measurements did not significantly correlate with changes in anterior calvarium dimensions. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis identified a frontal angle of 101.3° as the diagnostic threshold between operated metopic synostosis and normal skulls. Sixteen metopic subjects with existing EEG data were evaluated. Six patients with frontal angles more acute than the diagnostic threshold exhibited significantly attenuated EEG signals compared with controls (\( P = 0.037 \)). Patients with frontal angles greater than the diagnostic threshold did not exhibit any significant change in their EEG compared with controls.

CONCLUSIONS: In the largest radiographic series of metopic synostosis patients to date, this study examined the validity of measurements for severity of metopic craniosynostosis. The frontal angle provides the strongest correlation with growth compensation in the most severe cases of trigonocephaly. Furthermore, a severity classification using the frontal angle correlates with preoperative EEG analysis. The bitemporal/biparietal ratio, metopic index, cranial volumes, cranial base structures, and orbital structures should be reconsidered as measures of metopic severity as they are either nonconcordant with the anterior-cranium compensatory changes or not significantly different from control.
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OBJECTIVE: Total parotidectomy with facial nerve sacrifice presents 2 challenging reconstructive problems: facial contour restoration and facial nerve rehabilitation. Strong evidences suggesting that vascularized nerve grafts are superior to non-vascularized ones motivated our team to develop a chimeric scapulodorsal flap combining usual harvestable local tissues with the vascularized latissimus dorsi motor nerve. We present our retrospective results, emphasizing on the quality of facial nerve reanimation and facial contour restoration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: From 2010 to 2018, 24 free chimeric scapulodorsal-vascularized latissimus dorsi nerve flaps have been performed in 13 females and 11 males (median age 48 years) undergoing at least a total parotidectomy with facial nerve sacrifice. The basic flap structure was composed of at least the vascularized LD nerve and a thoracodorsal artery perforator flap but could include, depending on the defect a second LD flap and/or a lateral segment of the scapula. One patient required a second simultaneous free flap. Mean follow-up is 5 years (range, 10–1 year). Assessment of facial nerve used the House-Brackman scale and the Yanagihara 40-point system. Quality of life used the FaCE scale. Evaluation was every 3 months.

RESULTS: Twenty out of the 24 patients had postoperative radiotherapy. No local tumor recurrence had to be reported. Facial contour restoration was found excellent in all patients but one (a combined maxillectomy-mandibulectomy). Overall facial nerve function has been scored 1 and 2 in 15 patients, 3 in 7 patients. Two patients are scored 4 and 5. The average reinnervation time is 9 months ranging from 3 to 15 months. Best facial subregion function is the orbitopalpebral region followed by the commissural one. Eyebrow-frontal subregion has the poorest recovery.

CONCLUSION: The scapulodorsal-vascularized latissimus dorsi nerve flap is a highly resourceful solution to reconstruct complex parotid defects including the facial nerve. Soft and hard tissues components enable near-normal facial contour restoration. The vascular nerve graft allows primary facial reanimation. Nerve recovery seems to be superior to what could be expected with a conventional nerve graft. Although, only a prospective randomized study could prove this affirmation true, sufficient data are available to make such a study questionable.

Intracranial Volume After Cranial Vault Remodeling: To What Degree Does Intracranial Composition Change After Surgery?