Altered Resting-State Functional Connectivity of the Striatum in Parkinson's Disease after Levodopa Administration
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Abstract

Background
Despite improvement in motor symptoms, the effect of dopaminergic medications on cognition in patients with Parkinson’s disease (PD) is less clear. The purpose of this study was to reveal levodopa-induced acute changes in the functional connectivity of the striatum in patients with PD compared with matched untreated patients and healthy volunteers.

Methods
Twenty-two patients with PD underwent functional magnetic resonance imaging both ON and OFF dopamine-replacement therapy on two consecutive days. Twenty-eight normal aging volunteers also did them without taking in levodopa. Three caudate seeds and two putamen seeds were selected to calculate functional connectivity intensity.

Results
Motor symptoms measured by UPDRS were significantly worse in PD OFF than PD ON. Decreased functional connectivity in PD OFF compared to controls was detected in the following seed regions: dorsal caudate, ventral putamen and dorsal putamen. Increases in connectivity in PD ON compared to controls were found in the primary and supplementary motor areas and the associative prefrontal and parietal regions, while decreases in anterior cingulate, ventromedial prefrontal cortex, and parahippocampal gyrus. For the ventral striatal seeds, decreased connectivity in PD ON compared to PD OFF was found in the ventromedial prefrontal and orbitofrontal regions, dorsolateral prefrontal regions. For the dorsal
striatal seeds, increased connectivity in PD ON compared to PD OFF was observed in the primary and secondary motor areas.

Conclusion
Our results suggest that levodopa significantly changes the motor and cognitive networks of the cortico-striatal pathways. This knowledge will lead clinicians to survey a broader range of symptoms in determining optimal therapy.

Introduction
Parkinson’s disease (PD) is an aging-related progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by resting tremor, rigidity, bradykinesia and postural instability, and gait disturbances. The reported incidence of PD is 112.5 per 1,000 patient-years, and PD is one of the main causes of disability in the elderly [1]. Pathologically, degeneration of dopaminergic cells in the pars compacta of the substantia nigra results in dopamine depletion in the striatum, and then dysfunction of cortico–striatal–thalamic loops ensues [2]. The ventral tegmental area which dopaminergic innervation is on the ventral striatum, however, is relatively spared in PD patients. Dopamine replacement has become the primary therapy in PD patients to compensate for the loss of dopamine and excessive dopamine might occur in ventral striatum. The overdose of dopamine in ventral striatum may alter activity of the related brain regions, and may be associated with cognitive dysfunction [3–5]. The prevalence of dementia in patients with PD is close to 30%, and the incidence is 4 to 6 times greater than that of the general age-appropriate population [1]. Therefore, further research of the neurophysiological variations between before and after levodopa administration in patients with PD is needed.

The effect of dopaminergic medications on cognition in PD is less clear, despite it could improve motor symptoms of PD patients. Functional connectivity analysis of resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has recently provided new insights into networks in human brain. Di Martino et al. [6] has demonstrated different functions mediated by the ventral and dorsal striatum in healthy adults. In PD patients, dopamine depletion leads to a remapping of cerebral connectivity that reduces the spatial segregation between different cortico-striatal loops[7]. In another study, Yang et al. [8] reported cognitive decline may be associated with abnormal spontaneous brain activity occurring in the occipital regions and associative cortical areas in early PD patients. Another fMRI study [9] has demonstrated, dopamine replacement improves dorsal striatum-mediated motor symptoms but impairs ventral striatum function in PD patients, but this study used task related fMRI without examining acute changes between ON and OFF medication states. Krajcovicova et al. [10] reported no significant difference in the resting-state network integrity between cognitively normal PD patients on dopaminergic medications and healthy people. But another study comparing ON and OFF medication states in PD patients and age-matched controls showed that cognitive and motor circuitries were less separable in older adults than in healthy younger adults [11].

The aim of this study was to evaluate levodopa-induced acute changes in the resting state functional connectivity of the striatum in PD patients. Based on previous studies, we hypothesize that PD patients on the ON medication state would show increased functional connectivity on motor circuitries compared to PD patients on the OFF state; however, due to overdose of dopamine in ventral striatum, cognitive networks would be altered in PD patients after levodopa administration.
Materials and Methods

Participants

Between September 2012 and July 2014, twenty-seven patients with PD and thirty-nine age- and gender-matched healthy controls were recruited in this study. PD was diagnosed by an experienced neurologist according to the UK Parkinson's Disease Society Brain Bank Clinical Diagnostic Criteria. All patients were evaluated with the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) [12], Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE) [13], Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) [14], and the Hoehn and Yahr scale [15] in an OFF medication state. The inclusion criteria for PD patients were (1) MMSE score > 23; (2) Hoehn and Yahr stage in 1–2.5; (3) Hachinski Ischemic Score (HIS) [16] < 4; (4) no history of any psychiatric or neurological disease; and (5) MRI revealed only cerebral atrophy and little T2-weighted high signal in the deep white matter (maximum diameter < 1 cm). The exclusion criteria were (1) acute cerebrovascular disease history in the recent 3 months; (2) active epilepsy; (3) history of mental illness such as delirium, depression, or anxiety disorders; (4) diagnosed with atypical Parkinsonian disorders or secondary Parkinson syndrome; and (5) severe claustrophobia or contraindications to MRI (e.g., pacemaker, metallic foreign bodies). All healthy controls were assessed with the MMSE and Hoehn and Yahr scale. The inclusion criteria for the controls were (1) normal neurological examination; (2) no history of any psychiatric or neurological disease; (3) normal MRI examination except for cerebral atrophy and little T2-weighted high signal in the deep white matter (maximum diameter < 1 cm); (4) MMSE score ≥ 28; and (5) Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) [17] was 0. Four PD patients and four healthy controls were excluded because of large head motions (> 1.5 mm) in the z direction. One PD patient and six healthy controls were excluded due to severe MRI artifacts and frontal lobe deformation caused by the frontal sinus. One healthy control was excluded because the subject could not tolerate the whole fMRI scan.

The remaining 22 PD patients (61.58 ± 9.06 years; 9 M / 13 F) and 28 healthy controls (60.64 ± 4.58 years; 13 M / 15 F) were included in the analysis. All subjects were right-handed. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients and controls are shown in Table 1. Akinesia was the predominant symptom, which was dominant on the binary sides in 13 patients, on the right side in 5 patients and on the left side in 4 patients. All patients had an obvious delay in movement initiation and a mild tremor. The study protocol was approved by Guangdong General Hospital, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences research ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject prior to participation in this study.

Procedure

Each PD patient underwent two fMRI sessions over two consecutive days corresponding to the ON and OFF medication states respectively. The ON medication state was defined as 60–90 minutes after the patient received a dose of 400 mg levodopa, and the OFF state was withdrawal from medication for more than 12 hours (The patients took the levodopa before 7:00 pm the day before the testing day to avoid disturbing for clinical therapy). Each healthy control underwent the same procedure without any medication. In order to eliminate the bias due to patient fatigue and baseline drift of the MRI signal, the fMRI scans for the healthy controls were completed at the same time points corresponding to the ON and OFF medication states over two consecutive days as with the PD patients.

MRI Acquisition

The resting-state fMRI data were acquired using a 3.0 T MRI scanner (Signa Excite II HD GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI). During the resting-state fMRI scan, every subject was instructed...
to keep their eyes closed, not to think of anything in particular, and not to fall asleep. During scanning, the subject was positioned supine in the gantry of the scanner with foam padding to limit head movement, and ear plugs to reduce the impact of acoustic noise. The resting-state fMRI data were obtained by using a gradient-echo echo planer imaging (GRE-EPI) sequence with the following parameters: repetition time/echo time (TR/TE) = 2000/30 ms, field of view (FOV) = 240 mm × 240 mm, data matrix = 64 × 64, flip angle (FLA) = 80°, in-place resolution = 3.75 mm × 3.75 mm, slice thickness = 4 mm, inter-slice space = 1 mm, and 30 axial slices covering the whole brain. For high resolution of brain structural images, we adopted a T1-weighted fast spoiled gradient recalled echo inversion recovery (FSPGRIR) sequence (TR = 7.6 ms, TE = 3.4ms, FOV = 240 mm × 240 mm, matrix = 256 × 256, FLA = 13°, voxel size = 0.94 mm × 0.94 mm, slice thickness = 1 mm, inter-slice space = 0, and 146 sagittal slices).

Data preprocessing

The resting-state fMRI data were analyzed using DPARSF (Data Processing Assistant for Resting-state fMRI, http://www.restfmri.net), which is based on SPM8 (Statistical Parametric Mapping, Version 8, Welcome Department of Cognitive Neurology) implemented in Matlab. We performed preprocessing in following steps: (1) discarding the first 10 time points of fMRI data for each subject to eliminate the impact of magnetization equilibrium, (2) slice-time correction, (3) head motion correction by aligning to the first image of each session (head movements were limited to less than 1.5 mm in translation and 1.5° in rotation), (4) spatial normalization to a Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) standard space (EPI MNI-152 space), (5) smoothing with a Gaussian filter of 6 mm full width at half maximum (FWHM), (6) time series detrending and normalization to a zero mean and a unit variance, (7) temporary band-pass filtering (0.01 ~ 0.08 HZ) to remove low-frequency drifts and physiological high-frequency
noise, (8) data masking to exclude non-brain voxels, and (9) extracting the time course of activity from the striatal seed regions.

Because the distinct function of ventral and dorsal striatum and different degrees of dopamine depletion in ventral and dorsal striatum, we selected five regions in the striatal area as seed regions, with three regions in ventral striatum and two in dorsal striatum. The regions and their MNI x, y, z coordinates were as follows: inferior ventral caudate (VCi (±) 9 9−8), superior ventral caudate (VCs (±) 10 15 0), dorsal caudate (DC (±) 13 15 9), ventral putamen (VP (±) 20 12−3) and dorsal putamen (DP (±) 25 8 6) (Fig 1). According to the shape and size of the caudate and putamen, a four voxels square on the sagittal image was placed around these coordinates for each seed region, all the seed regions were selected in accordance with previous studies[6,11]

Functional connectivity and statistical analyses

The extracted time course of each seed region was used to correlate with all other voxels in the whole brain to form functional connectivity maps in each subject, which was carried out by REST (Resting-state fMRI Data Analysis Toolkit, http://www.restfmri.net). Fisher r-to-z transform was used to normalize the correlation coefficient \[ z = 0.5 \log \left( 1+r \right)/(1-r), r \] is the correlation coefficient]. We applied group-level random effects analysis to Z scores from all subjects in each group by using REST. First, One sample t test was used to determine statistical differences in functional connectivity with each seed of striatum in controls, PD OFF and PD ON group, with a threshold of \[ p < 0.001 \] (AlphaSim correction and an extent voxel threshold of 6). The correction thresholds were determined by Monte Carlo simulations with the program AlphaSim in AFNI. Then, comparisons of functional connectivity between ventral striatum versus dorsal striatum in either PD OFF or PD ON to the control group were done using student's two-sample t-test. At last, in order to invest how the levodopa influenced functional connectivity by comparing the differences of functional connectivity between the PD OFF and PD ON patients, we compared the difference between the PD OFF and PD ON states using the
paired t-test. In the calculations, we regressed out the effects of age, gender, education, and head motion. A threshold of $p < 0.05$ with AlphaSim correction and an extent voxel threshold of 85 were selected for determining the significant between-group difference in connectivity maps.

To further assess the specific functional network of the ventral and dorsal striatum, we also combined the three ventral striatum seed regions (inferior ventral caudate, superior ventral caudate, and ventral putamen) together and combined the two dorsal striatum seed regions (dorsal putamen and dorsal caudate) together (similar approach can be seen in the literature [11]), using REST Image Calculator. Comparison of the ventral and dorsal striatal connectivity maps were calculated in PD OFF, PD ON, and controls separately using a threshold of $p < 0.001$ (AlphaSim correction and an extent voxel threshold of 6).

## Results

### Clinical and motor evaluation

The modified Hoehn and Yahr score ranged between 1 and 2.5 (1.84 ± 0.67). Motor symptoms evaluated by UPDRS were remarkably worse in the PD OFF than PD ON medication state ($t = 2.789, p < 0.01$). This result demonstrated that levodopa obviously improved motor functioning of PD patients, and the improvement was most remarkable in the more affected side. The average disease duration of PD, as an indirect marker of the disease severity, was 3.76 ± 2.79 years (Table 1). No significant difference in gender, age and educational level were noted between PD patients and controls ($p > 0.05$).

### Functional connectivity with each seed of striatum in controls, PD OFF and PD ON group

We first displayed each seed of striatum functional connectivity maps for the control group, PD OFF, and PD ON groups to evaluate the anatomical appearance of the networks ($p < 0.001$, AlphaSim, $K \geq 6$ voxels). The results were in accordance with previous findings of distinct cognitive, motor, and reward cortico-striatal connectivity within striatal subregions [6,18]. In all three groups, inferior ventral striatum and superior striatum showed connectivity with ventral medial prefrontal areas and anterior cingulate cortex, while dorsal caudate showed connectivity with areas more dorsal and lateral in prefrontal areas. The two ROIs of putamen mainly showed connectivity with the primary and supplementary motor area. However, the specificity of the functional connectivity patterns of the two putamen seed regions was decreased in both PD OFF and PD ON groups, because the two ROIs of putamen also showed connectivity with prefrontal areas, cingulate cortex and supramarginal area except for sensorimotor areas (S1, S2 and S3 Tables and S1, S2 and S3 Figs).

### Comparison of functional connectivity for each seed of striatum between controls, PD OFF and PD ON group

Decreased functional connectivity in PD OFF versus controls was observed in the following regions: anterior cingulate gyrus, right superior temporal gyrus, middle temporal gyrus, pre-central gyrus, postcentral gyrus, superior parietal lobule, paracentral lobule, and supplementary motor area (AlphaSim, $p < 0.05$, $K \geq 85$ voxels). Connectivity between the dorsal caudate and the right cuneus gyrus, and connectivity between the dorsal putamen and the left fusiform gyrus were decreased in PD OFF compared to controls. Increased functional connectivity in PD OFF compared to controls was noted in these regions: left putamen, right lingual gyrus, right medial frontal gyrus, and bilateral cerebellum. The results also indicate that the
compensatory increased connectivity with the cerebellum and pons occurs in PD patients when cortex-striatum loop connectivity is decreased. For the inferior ventral caudate, there were no regions that showed greater connectivity in PD OFF than controls (S4 Table and Fig 2).

Increases in connectivity in PD ON compared to controls were found in the primary and supplementary motor areas and the associative prefrontal and parietal regions, while decreases in anterior cingulate, ventromedial prefrontal cortex, and parahippocampal gyrus (S5 Table and S4 Fig).

In general, increased cortico-striatal functional connectivity was mainly located in the frontal lobe, temporal lobe, precentral gyrus, paracentral lobule, SMA, angular gyrus and cerebellum in PD ON patients compared to PD OFF, while decreased functional connectivity was mainly located in the temporal lobule, limbic lobule, hippocampus gyrus, parahippocampal gyrus and cingulate gyrus and amygdala (AlphaSim, $p < 0.05$, $K \geq 85$ voxels) (Table 2 and Fig 3).

Comparison of functional connectivity between ventral striatum combined versus dorsal striatum combined within controls, PD OFF and PD ON group

In the control group, the regions showing greater correlation with the ventral striatum seeds than the dorsal striatum seeds included the bilateral inferior occipital gyrus, parahippocampal gyrus, and superior frontal gyrus, while the dorsal striatum seeds than the ventral striatum seeds included the cerebellum posterior lobe, midbrain, bilateral caudate, putamen, thalamus and precentral gyrus, inferior frontal gyrus, middle frontal gyrus, superior frontal gyrus, SMA, and medial frontal gyrus (pre-SMA) ($p < 0.001$, AlphaSim, $K \geq 6$ voxels) (Table 3 and Fig 4). The results are consist with previous findings that the ventral striatum is mainly involved in the cognitive network, while the dorsal striatum is mainly involved in the motor network.

In PD OFF patients, the regions show greater correlation with the ventral striatum seed than the dorsal striatum seed included the bilateral lingual gyrus and left superior parietal lobe, while the dorsal striatum seeds than the ventral striatum seeds included the bilateral putamen, middle frontal gyrus, SMA, and right paracentral lobule ($p < 0.001$, AlphaSim, $K \geq 6$ voxels) (Table 3 and Fig 4). In addition, the inferior ventral caudate showed connectivity with the rectus gyrus and medial frontal gyrus, and the ventral putamen showed connectivity with the superior temporal gyrus.

In PD ON patients, the dorsal striatum showed greater connectivity with the medial frontal gyrus, insula and right precentral gyrus, and left middle frontal gyrus. The dorsal caudate showed connectivity with the right angular gyrus, while the dorsal putamen showed connectivity with the right supra marginal gyrus ($p < 0.001$, AlphaSim, $K \geq 6$ voxels). The ventral striatum showed greater connectivity with the anterior cingulate, and left superior medial frontal gyrus (Table 3 and Fig 4). These results indicate that in both PD OFF and PD ON patients, functional connectivity patterns of the ventral striatum and dorsal striatum are different.

Comparison of functional connectivity maps of ventral striatum combined and dorsal striatum combined between PD OFF and PD ON patients

Connectivity maps for PD ON versus PD OFF were compared (AlphaSim, $p < 0.05$, $K \geq 85$ voxels). For the dorsal striatum seeds, increased connectivity in PD ON compared to PD OFF was found in the bilateral putamen. The connectivity between the dorsal caudate and the right supplementary motor area and superior frontal gyrus, and connectivity between the dorsal putamen and the left hippocampus and right parahippocampal gyrus was increased in PD ON
compared to PD OFF. Decreased connectivity in PD ON compared to PD OFF was found in the bilateral temporal lobe.
Table 2. Significant connectivity difference between PD OFF and PD ON.

| Region                        | Voxel | MNI coordinates | T-value |
|-------------------------------|-------|-----------------|---------|
|                               |       | X    | Y    | Z    |       |
| PD on>PD off                  |       |      |      |      |       |
| Superior ventral striatum (VSs) |      |      |      |      |       |
| Cerebelum_Crus2_L             | 30(87)| -9   | -78  | -33  | 3.8537|
| Precentral_L                  | 54(139)| -48  | 0    | 27   | 4.4659|
| Frontal_Mid_L                 | 93    | -27  | 36   | 45   | 4.7338|
| Frontal_Sup_L                 | 146   |      |      |      |       |
| Inferior ventral striatum (VIS) |      |      |      |      |       |
| Frontal_Mid_R                 | 79(101)| 27   | 36   | 39   | 4.5546|
| Frontal_Mid_L                 | 85    | -27  | 36   | 51   | 4.0236|
| Parietal_Inf_L                | 53(119)| -51  | -30  | 39   | 5.7434|
| Precentral_L                  | 111   | -15  | -15  | 75   | 4.0673|
| Paracentral_Lobule_L          | 58*   |      |      |      |       |
| Dorsal caudate (DC)           |       |      |      |      |       |
| Supp_Motor_Area_R             | 88    |      |      |      |       |
| Frontal_Sup_R                 | 72*   | 15   | 9    | 54   | 4.529 |
| Ventral putamen (VP)          |       |      |      |      |       |
| Pallidum_L                    | 35*   |      |      |      |       |
| Angular_L                     | 120   | -54  | -72  | 27   | 4.1401|
| Dorsal putamen (DP)           |       |      |      |      |       |
| Cerebelum_6_L                 | 51(173)| -18  | -87  | -45  | -4.3636|
| Precentral_R                  | 47(148)| 39   | 6    | 42   | 3.6596|
| Postcentral_R                 | 96    | 24   | -42  | 63   | 5.6279|
| Precuneus_L                   | 36(90)| -18  | -27  | 57   | 3.758 |
| Paracentral_Lobule_L          | 48(566)|      |      |      |       |
| PD on<PD off                  |       |      |      |      |       |
| Superior ventral striatum (VSs) |      |      |      |      |       |
| Temporal_Pole_Mid_R           | 44(117)| 45   | 15   | -30  | -3.766|
| Rectus_R                      | 40(247)| 9    | 12   | -27  | 4.0716|
| Lingual_R                     | 67(111)| 18   | -51  | -3   | 4.9856|
| Cuneus_R                      | 26(93)  | 12   | -87  | 24   | 3.7651|
| Limbic Lobe                   | 41(247)|      |      |      |       |
| Occipital_Mid_R               | 30(93)  |      |      |      |       |
| Inferior ventral striatum (VIS) |      |      |      |      |       |
| Amygdala_R                    | 26(285)| 27   | 0    | -18  | -4.8943|
| Parahippocampal Gyrus R       | 31(285)|      |      |      |       |
| Frontal_Sup_Orb_L             | 43(95)  | -18  | 39   | -21  | 3.7469|
| Temporal_Mid_R                | 109   | 48   | -54  | -3   | 4.2505|
| Dorsal caudate (DC)           |       |      |      |      |       |
| Frontal_Sup_Orb_L             | 24(121)| -18  | 39   | -24  | -3.3495|
| Temporal_Inf_L                | 112   | -54  | -45  | -18  | -5.3074|
| Temporal_Mid_L                | 36(151)|      |      |      |       |
| Frontal_Inf_Tri_L             | 80(104)| -51  | 24   | 27   | 5.0956|
| Frontal_Sup_Orb_L             | 24(121)| -18  | 39   | -24  | 3.3495|
| Ventral putamen (VP)          |       |      |      |      |       |
| Frontal_Sup_Orb_L             | 26(92)  | -12  | 48   | -24  | -4.1462|
| Calcarine_R                   | 86    | 18   | -81  | 3    | 4.1923|

(Continued)
For the ventral striatum seeds, increased connectivity in PD ON compared to PD OFF was observed in the left precentral gyrus and left frontalis medius gyrus. The functional connectivity between the cerebellum and superior ventral caudate, between the inferior parietal lobule and inferior ventral caudate, and between the left angular gyrus and ventral putamen were observed. Decreased connectivity in PD ON compared to PD OFF was found in the left superior frontal gyrus, right rectus, right lingual gyrus, inferior frontal gyrus, medial frontal gyrus, right occipital lobe, temporal lobe, limbic lobe, and calcarine area (Table 4 and Fig 5). These results indicate that levodopa normalized functional connectivity in PD, with specific effects on the functional networks associated with dorsal striatum seeds.

Discussion

Areas with decreased connectivity we found in PD OFF patients compared to controls, are the so-called limbic system and its associative regions. However, there have been few reports of the relationship with limbic system. Notably, the cognitive function in our PD patients was impaired, that is, dopamine depletion in our PD patients involved in not only the nigral-striatum dopamine pathway but also the limbic midbrain system, and the nigral-striatum took a more part effect of Parkinson's disease. It appears that cognitive and motor circuitries are less separable, which is consistent with the finding of Kwak et al. [11].

Our study showed that the connectivity with cerebellum and pons compensation increased after cortex-striatum loop connectivity decreased in patients with PD. However, this compensation will be increased after dopaminergic medication. That is, dopamine replacement can change the compensating mechanism, consequently affecting cognitive activities. Although levodopa is not an effective treatment for PD cognitive dysfunction, the change of connectivity of the ventral and cerebellum and pons can serve as an observable biomarker of the cognitive response to treatment. Ultimately, this knowledge may lead clinicians to consider a broader range of symptoms in adjusting medication dosages to strike a better balance. Recent studies also have revealed that the putamen may receive projections from the cerebellum via the thalamus. Hacker et al. [2] have suggested that striatal functional connectivity with the brainstem is graded (posterior putamen > anterior putamen > caudate), corresponding to well-

Table 2. (Continued)

| Region                  | Voxel | MNI coordinates   | T-value |
|-------------------------|-------|-------------------|---------|
|                         |       | X     | Y    | Z     |         |
| Lingual_R               | 118   |       |      |       |         |
| Thalamus_R              | 10*   | 24    | -30  | 3     | -4.1275 |
| Precuneus_R             | 51*   | 6     | -45  | 54    | -3.2013 |
| Frontal_Sup_Orb_L       | 26(92)| -12   | 48   | -24   | -4.1462 |

Note: The comparison of the brain regions showing significant difference between PD on medication and PD off medication (P < 0.05, AlphaSim, K > 85 voxels).

* indicates that the cluster don't reach the preset threshold value. T indicates the correlation intensity. The coordinates are given as stereotaxic coordinates referring to the atlas of MNI. L, left; R, right.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161935.t002
Fig 3. Regions showing significant functional connectivity with the striatal seeds in PD ON compared with PD OFF (two-sample t test, \( P < 0.05 \), AlphaSim, \( K \geq 85 \) voxels). The regions were overlaid on anatomical images from a representative subject of the MNI series. “Hot” colors indicate increased correlation strength in PD ON and “Cold” colors indicate decreased correlation strength in PD ON relative to PD OFF. VCI, inferior ventral striatum; VCs, superior ventral striatum; DC, dorsal caudate; VP, ventral putamen; DP, dorsal putamen.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161935.g003
Table 3. Direct comparisons between ventral striatum seeds combined and dorsal striatum seeds combined.

| Contrast       | Region                        | Voxel | MNI coordinates | T-value |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-------|-----------------|---------|
|               |                               |       | X    Y  Z       |         |
| Control       |                               |       |     |             |         |
| Ventral >dorsal | L Parahippocampal           | 4*    | -15  0  -24    | -4.8871 |
|               | L Inferior Occipital Gyrus   | 7     | -30  -81 -12   | -5.9254 |
|               | R Inferior Occipital Gyrus   | 8     | 36   -78 -12   | -4.6388 |
|               | R Superior Frontal Gyrus     | 29    | 15   57  39    | -5.8457 |
| Ventral <dorsal | R Cerebellum Posterior Lobe  | 6     | 48   -66 -42   | 4.1311  |
|               | L Cerebellum Posterior Lobe  | 20    | -30  -90 -36   | 5.2896  |
|               | Midbrain                     | 5*    | -3   -33 -3    | 5.0991  |
|               | R Inferior Frontal Gyrus     | 30    | 51   18  27    | 5.4477  |
|               | L Inferior Frontal Gyrus     | 11    | -45  12  9     | 5.2371  |
|               | L Precentral Gyrus           | 52    | -42  6   45    | 7.9044  |
|               | R Middle Frontal Gyrus       | 67    | 45   9   51    | 6.3679  |
|               | L Middle Frontal Gyrus       | 28    | -33  6   57    | 7.1318  |
|               | R SMA                        | 18    | 6    -6  54    | 4.6229  |
|               | L SMA                        | 12    | -6   6   51    | 5.2001  |
|               | R Superior Frontal Gyrus     | 6     | 30   0   63    | 4.1732  |
| PD OFF        |                               |       |     |             |         |
| Ventral >dorsal | L Lingual Gyrus             | 19    | -24  -63 -9    | -5.1078 |
|               | R Lingual Gyrus             | 23    | 15   -78 -3    | -5.8494 |
|               | L Middle Occipital Gyrus    | 31    | -21  -84 -12   | -5.9675 |
|               | L Superior Parietal Lobule  | 32    | -24  -60 -66   | -6.1041 |
| Ventral <dorsal | L Cerebellum Posterior Lobe  | 8     | -24  -93 -36   | 4.6977  |
|               | R Middle Frontal Gyrus      | 8     | 45   12  45    | 4.5054  |
|               | L Middle Frontal Gyrus      | 11    | -24  27  39    | 4.6239  |
|               | R SMA                       | 13    | 9    -3  66    | 4.6715  |
|               | L SMA                       | 52    | -3   0   66    | 8.6884  |
|               | L Medial Frontal Gyrus (pre-SMA) | 8 | 0   30  48    | 6.2636  |
|               | R Paracentral Lobule       | 23    | 3    -33 66    | 5.7572  |
| PD ON         |                               |       |     |             |         |
| Ventral >dorsal | R Superior Parietal Lobule  | 15    | 24   -66 60    | -7.6956 |
|               | L Superior Parietal Lobule  | 9     | -21  -57 63    | -5.8605 |
| Ventral <dorsal | Pons                      | 6     | 0    -33 -33   | 4.552  |
|               | Cerebellum Posterior Lobe   | 6     | -33  -90 -27   | 6.1556  |
|               | R Precentral Gyrus          | 16    | 57   3  33     | 4.9209  |
|               | R SMA                       | 6     | 6    -15 78    | 4.4288  |
|               | L SMA                       | 25    | 0    3   57    | 5.1347  |
|               | L Middle Frontal Gyrus      | 12    | -36  6   63    | 7.95    |
|               | R Superior Frontal Gyrus    | 22    | 27   -6 66     | 5.5019  |

Note: The comparison of the brain regions showing significant difference between ventral striatum seeds combined and dorsal striatum seeds combined in each group (P <0.001, AlphaSim, K >6 voxels).

* indicates that the cluster don’t reach the preset threshold value. The coordinates are given as stereotaxic coordinates referring to the atlas of MNI. L, left; R, right.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161935.t003

documented gradient of striatal dopaminergic function loss in PD. These disrupted putamen-cortical and putamen-cerebellar loops and brainstem may explain why PD patients gradually develop motor disorders such as tremor, rigidity, and difficulties with balance, sleep
disturbance, and dysautonomia, all of which suggest dysfunction of the pedunculopontine nucleus. Additionally, a study by Wu et al. [19] indicated that connectivity between the putamen and these areas was negatively correlated with UPDRS motor scores, suggesting that as the disorder progresses these interregional interactions become weaker. However, according to Braak [20] the cerebral distribution of Lewy body histopathology, even at late disease stages, does not correspond to the presently observed putamen-cortical functional connectivity alterations, and the sensorimotor and visual cortices are the last affected regions. Yet Wu et al. [21] found a decreased regional homogeneity in the putamen in PD patients compared to controls on resting-state fMRI, which is inconsistent with our results.

Parkinson’s disease provides an optimal model for dissociating functions of the ventral and dorsal striatum [9]. In this study, the novel finding is that the functional connectivity in the dorsal striatum, as well as in the ventral striatum, apparently differed between patients with PD and normal controls, and between PD ON and PD OFF medication patients as revealed by resting-state fMRI.

Dopamine depletion is less in the ventral striatum than dorsal striatum in patients with PD. Thus, it has been suggested that the function of the ventral striatum may be impaired by excessive dopamine supplementation. After administration of dopaminergic medication, cortex-striatum loop connectivity increased not only in the dorsal striatum seeds, but also in the ventral striatum seeds, especially in the caudate. This finding indicated the ventral striatum may have suffered a “dopamine overdose effect” and became overactive. In addition, we evaluated the anatomical plausibility of the networks through identifying striatal functional connectivity maps for the control group, and discovered that caudate is mainly involved in cognition

Fig 4. Regions showing greater connectivity with ventral striatal seeds (VCI, VCs, and VP combined) than with dorsal striatal seeds (DC and DP combined) in “Cold” and regions showing greater connectivity with dorsal striatal seeds than with ventral striatal seeds in “Hot” (P <0.001, AlphaSim, K ≥ 6 voxels).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161935.g004
Table 4. Significant connectivity difference between PD on medication and PD off medication.

| Region         | Voxel | MNI coordinates | T-value |
|----------------|-------|-----------------|---------|
|                |       | X   | Y   | Z   |       |
| **Dorsal striatum** |       |     |     |     |       |
| PD on > PD off |       |     |     |     |       |
| Putamen_L      | 174   | -24 | 12  | -9  | 7.8762 |
| Putamen_R      | 105   | 30  | 0   | -6  | 4.2201 |
| PD on < PD off |       |     |     |     |       |
| Temporal_Inf_L | 100   | -54 | -45 | -18 | -5.413 |
| Temporal_Mid_R | 26*   | 51  | -51 | 6   | -4.3812 |
| Precentral_L   | 116   | -24 | -21 | 60  | 3.7206 |
| Frontal_Mid_L  | 90    | -30 | 30  | 45  | 4.5343 |
| **Ventral striatum** |       |     |     |     |       |
| PD on > PD off |       |     |     |     |       |
| Precentral_L   | 116   | -24 | -21 | 60  | 3.7206 |
| Frontal_Mid_L  | 90    | -30 | 30  | 45  | 4.5343 |
| PD on < PD off |       |     |     |     |       |
| Frontal_Sup_Orb_L | 54*   | -21 | 45  | -6  | -4.6445 |
| Rectus_R       | 32*   | 12  | 21  | -12 | -4.2994 |
| Lingual_R      | 107   | 18  | -51 | -6  | -4.6583 |
| Occipital_Mid_R| 49*   | 33  | -81 | 9   | -3.3916 |
| Temporal_Mid_R | 91    | 48  | -45 | 9   | -4.7732 |

Note: The comparison of the brain regions showing significant difference between ventral striatum seeds combined and dorsal striatum seeds combined in PD on medication versus PD off medication (P < 0.05, AlphaSim, K > 85 voxels).

* indicates that the cluster don’t reach the preset threshold value. T indicates the correlation intensity. The coordinates are given as stereotaxic coordinates referring to the atlas of MNI. L, left; R, right.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161935.t004

Fig 5. Regions showing greater connectivity with ventral striatal seeds (VCI, VCs, and VP combined) and with dorsal striatal seeds (DC and DP combined) in PD ON than PD OFF in “Hot”; Regions showing greater connectivity with ventral striatal seeds and with dorsal striatal seeds in PD OFF than PD ON in “Cold” (P <0.05, AlphaSim, K >85 voxels).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161935.g005
activity. In other words, a dopamine overdose effect in the ventral striatum may impair cognitive function. This is also in line with previous resting-state fMRI study of PD which showed that a levodopa associated shift in neural oscillations may result in a change in cognitive performance in mild to moderate stage PD patients both on and off medications as compared to age-matched controls [11]. Another study suggested that the degree of treatment-mediated cognitive change is correlated with base line network activity in PD patients treated with levodopa [22].

Conclusions

Our results documenting a PD-associated change in cortico-striatal functional connectivity parallel previous results of increased activity in PD. These results revealed that levodopa significantly changes the cognitive and motor networks of the cortico-striatal pathways. With dopamine replacement therapy, the dorsal striatum becomes sufficient and its function is enhanced, whereas the ventral striatum is overdosed and its operations are impaired. This knowledge may cause clinicians to investigate a broader range of symptoms in deciding optimal therapy.
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