Interactive Effects of 1-MCP and Temperature on ‘Elberta’ Peach Quality
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Abstract. ‘Elberta’ peaches (Prunus persica L.) harvested 6 days apart were treated with 0.5 mL·L⁻¹ 1-MCP for 4 hours at 20 °C then stored at 0, 5, 10 or 20 °C. Fruit were ripened at 20 °C for 3 days after 1, 3, and 6 weeks of storage at 0, 5, and 10 °C. Treatment with 1-MCP delayed the onset of climacteric ethylene production and reduced respiration in fruit held at 20 °C. 1-MCP-treated fruit were firmer than untreated controls after storage at 0 or 5 °C. 1-MCP-treated fruit also had higher titratable acidity (TA) after 1 week of storage at 0 or 5 °C, but TA was lower compared to controls after 3 or 6 weeks of storage. Fruit stored at 5 °C had more severe internal browning, lower extractable juice and TA than fruit stored at either 0 or 10 °C, however, 1-MCP treated fruit had more severe internal browning than untreated fruit after 3 and 6 weeks of storage at 5 °C. Fruit from harvest 1 treated with 1-MCP and stored at 0 °C for 6 weeks failed to soften after removal from storage. Chemical name used: 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP).

Peach is a climacteric fruit that exhibits a dramatic increase in ethylene production associated with changes in texture and flavor during ripening. Although refrigeration can be used to extend peach storage life, many cultivars are chilling sensitive. Symptoms of chilling injury (CI) include flesh browning, lack of juiciness (mealinness, wooliness, leatheriness), failure to ripen, lack of characteristic aroma and translucency of the flesh (Mitchell and Kader, 1989). Many factors affect the development of chilling injury including cultivar, harvest maturity and storage temperature (Eksteen, 1984; Von Mollendorff, 1987). Cultivars that ripen later in the season are generally more susceptible to CI, and susceptibility to CI decreases as fruit maturity advances (Von Mollendorff, 1987). Peaches stored at 3 to 5 °C are most likely to develop CI (Anderson, 1979; Von Mollendorff and De Villiers, 1992).

The role of ethylene in development of peach CI symptoms is unclear. Ethylene exposure before storage delays CI symptoms (Von Mollendorff, 1987). However, storage in a high CO₂ and low O₂ controlled atmosphere (CA) that may reduce ethylene action also delays CI symptoms (Eris et al., 1994; Nonhos and Mitchell, 1991). Reduction of ethylene biosynthesis via the use of aminoethoxyvinylglycine or inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis via the use of aminoethoxyvinylglycine or inhibition of ethylene action by high CO₂ results in reduced softening (Byers, 1997; Kader et al., 1982; Nanos and Mitchell, 1991; Wankier et al., 1970). 1-MCP also inhibits ethylene action (Sisler and Blankenship, 1996; Sisler and Serek, 1997) and slows softening of various climacteric fruit (Abdi et al., 1998; Goldberg et al., 1998; Fan and Mattheis, 1999) including peach (Mathooko et al., 2001).

The objectives of this study were to investigate the effects of 1-MCP treatment and storage temperature on maintenance of peach quality, and to examine the role of ethylene action in development of peach CI.

Materials and Methods

‘Elberta’ peach fruit were harvested from a commercial orchard near Wenatchee, Wash. in 1998. Fruit were harvested 105 and 111 days after full bloom (DAFB) to obtain fruit at two stages of maturation. Fruit quality was analyzed at harvest and after storage using 20 individual fruit replicates for each harvest × treatment × storage temperature × storage duration combination. Ground color (L* a* b*) was measured on the shade side of the fruit with a colorimeter (Minolta CR-200; Minolta Corp., Osaka, Japan) fitted with CIE illuminant C and an 8-mm measuring aperture. Hue was calculated from a* and b* values (McGuire, 1992). Fruit firmness was determined on opposite pared cheeks of 20 peaches using a Universal TA-XT2 texture analyzer (Texture Technologies Corp., Scarsdale, N.Y.) with a 0.79-cm-diameter cylindrical tip. Juice prepared using a Cham pion juicer (Plastaket Manufacturing Co., Lodi, Calif.) was used to determine titratable acidity (TA), soluble solids content (SSC) and mealiness index (extractable juice). TA was measured by titrating 10 mL juice with 0.1 N KOH to pH 8.2 and expressed as percentage of malic acid. SSC was measured using an Atago N1 refractometer (Atago, Tokyo). The amount of extractable juice was determined by centrifuging 1 g of the homogenate for 15 min at 16,000 g. The supernatant was decanted and then weighed. The amount (g) of the supernatant was used as an index of mealiness.

1-MCP Treatment. Generation of 1-MCP was by addition of EthyIbloc (Floralife, Walterboro, S.C.) powder to a 1 N KOH solution. Fruit were treated on the day of harvest with 0.5 µL·L⁻¹ 1-MCP for 4 h at 20 °C. A sealed 230-L steel chamber. The concentration of 1-MCP in the chamber was measured using a gas chromatograph (GC, HP 5880; Agilent Technologies, Avondale, Pa.) equipped with a glass column (45-cm length, 0.32-cm diameter) packed with Porapak Q, 80–100 mesh (Alltech Associates, Deerfield, Ill.). A 0.5-mL sample of headspace was removed from the chamber and injected into the GC prior to unshearing the chamber at the end of the 4-h treatment period. Temperatures for the GC injector, oven, and flame ionization detector (FID) were 100, 130, and 200 °C, respectively. Flow rates for N₂ carrier, H₂ and air were 25, 30 and 300 mL·min⁻¹, respectively. A 1-butylene standard (Scott, Plumsteadville, Pa.) was used to generate a response factor and 1-MCP quantification was based on this value.

Ethylene production and respiration rate. Fruit (4–4 fruit replicates, 800–900 g, per treatment) were enclosed in 12-L plexiglass chambers purged with compressed air. CO₂ and C₂H₄ were measured every 1 or 2 d. Temperature of the chamber room was maintained at 20 °C. For CO₂ analysis, gas samples (1 mL) withdrawn from each chamber outlet were injected into a HP 5890 GC (Agilent Technologies, Avondale, Pa.) equipped with a methanizer (John T. Booker, Austin, Texas) and a 60-cm stainless steel column (2-mm ID) packed with Porapak Q (80/100 mesh). Oven, injector, and FID temperatures were 30, 50, and 200 °C, respectively. Gas flows for N₂ carrier gas, H₂ and air were 65, 30, and 300 mL·min⁻¹, respectively. Ethylene was analyzed in 0.5-mL gas samples injected into a HP 5880A GC, with a 30-cm glass column (3.2 mm ID) packed with Porapak Q (80/100 mesh). Oven, injector, and FID temperatures were 50, 50, and 200 °C. Gas flows for N₂ carrier gas, H₂ and air were 30, 30, and 300 mL·min⁻¹, respectively. CO₂ and H₂O were measured every 1 or 2 d.

Fruit shelf life and post-storage quality. After 1-MCP treatment, fruit were stored at 20 °C for 10 d or at 0, 5, or 10 °C for 6 weeks plus an additional 3 d at 20 °C before quality was determined. There were 20 fruits for each treatment × storage temperature × storage duration combination. Decay developed after 6 weeks of storage at 10 °C and limited the number of fruit usable for quality analyses. Internal browning was quantified subjectively on the mesocarp surface immediately after cutting the fruit in half along the suture line. Browning was rated as: 1 = none; 2 = slight; 3 = moderate; and 4 = severe.

Experimental design and statistical analysis. The experiment was conducted using a factorial design with a completely randomized arrangement of treatments. The variables were 1-MCP treatment (0 or 1-MCP) and storage temperature (0, 5, 10, and 20 °C). Fruit quality was evaluated in two stages of maturation. Fruit quality was determined. There were 20 fruits per storage duration combination. Decay development was determined by counting the number of decayed and bruised fruits. Decay was scored subjectively on a 0–4 scale: 0 = none; 1 = slight; 2 = moderate; 3 = severe. Experimental design and statistical analysis. The experiment was conducted using
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Effects of 1-MCP on peach shelf life. Treatment of harvest 1 fruit with 1-MCP resulted in higher SSC and less peel color change during the 10 day post-treatment period at 20 °C, but no treatment differences in firmness or TA were observed (Table 1). Harvest 2 fruit treated with 1-MCP had higher firmness and TA, but lower SSC, compared to untreated fruit 10 d after treatment when stored at 20 °C (Table 1). There was no treatment effect on peel color.

Effects of 1-MCP on peach fruit quality after storage. With the exception of harvest 1 fruit stored at 0 °C, most of the fruit softening occurring during storage and ripening took place in the first week after treatment (Table 2). Untreated fruit stored at 0 °C from harvest 1 did not soften between weeks 3 and 6 while 1-MCP-treated stored at both 0 and 5 °C did not soften during the entire 6-week storage period. Fruit treated with 1-MCP then stored at 0 or 5 °C were firmer compared to untreated fruit regardless of storage duration. Firmness of the 1-MCP treated fruit from harvest 1 was 3 times that of controls after 3 weeks of storage. Fruit treated with 1-MCP and stored at 5 °C had lower TA compared to controls after both 3 and 6 weeks of storage. Fruit SSC did not change consistently during cold storage at any temperature and was not consistently affected by 1-MCP treatment or storage duration (data not shown).

Hue values of control fruit from harvest 1 did not change during storage while hue of 1-MCP-treated fruit decreased linearly regardless of storage temperature, resulting in lower values for harvest 2 fruit compared to harvest 1 fruit. The one exception was fruit stored at 10 °C which did not change during storage and ripening took place in the first week after treatment (Table 2). Untreated fruit stored at 0 °C from harvest 1 did not soften between weeks 3 and 6 while 1-MCP-treated stored at both 0 and 5 °C did not soften during the entire 6-week storage period. Fruit treated with 1-MCP then stored at 0 or 5 °C were firmer compared to untreated fruit regardless of storage duration. Firmness of the 1-MCP treated fruit from harvest 1 was 3 times that of controls after 3 weeks of storage. Fruit treated with 1-MCP and stored at 5 °C had lower TA compared to controls after both 3 and 6 weeks of storage. Fruit SSC did not change consistently during cold storage at any temperature and was not consistently affected by 1-MCP treatment or storage duration (data not shown).

Hue values of control fruit from harvest 1 did not change during storage while hue of 1-MCP-treated fruit decreased linearly regardless of storage temperature, resulting in lower values for harvest 2 fruit compared to harvest 1 fruit. The one exception was fruit stored at 10 °C which did not change during storage.

Table 1. Quality of control (C) and 1-MCP-treated ‘Elberta’ peach fruit after 10 d storage at 20 °C. Fruit were treated with 0.5 mL·L⁻¹ 1-MCP on the day of harvest, (harvest 1, 105 DAFB; harvest 2, 111 DAFB) then stored for 10 d at 20 °C. n = 20.

| Treatment (N) (%) | Firmness (N) | SSC (%) | TA (g·100 g⁻¹) | Hue |
|------------------|--------------|---------|----------------|-----|
| Harvest 1        |              |         |                |     |
| CK               | 3.6 a        | 9.3 b   | 0.346 a        | 76.5 b |
| MCP              | 4.0 a        | 9.8 a   | 0.374 b        | 81.1 a |
| Harvest 2        |              |         |                |     |
| CK               | 3.4 b        | 10.0 a  | 0.361 b        | 78.4 a |
| MCP              | 4.4 a        | 9.4 b   | 0.412 a        | 78.9 a |

Means with same letter are not significantly different (LSD, P ≤ 0.05). Comparison within same maturity.
lower hue values of 1-MCP-treated fruit after 3 or 6 weeks of storage (Table 4). There were no changes in hue values of harvest 2 fruit during storage.

The weight of extractable juice was affected by storage temperature (Table 5). Fruit stored at 5 °C usually had the lowest amount of extractable juice, but treatment with 1-MCP did not consistently reduce or increase the amount of extractable juice. The amount of extractable juice from harvest 2 fruit decreased during storage at 0 and 5 °C. Although there were differences in extractable juice volume based on storage temperature, none of the fruit were particularly mealy.

The majority of internal browning that developed in fruit from both harvests occurred between 3 and 6 weeks of storage (Table 6) although browning was present in 1-MCP-treated fruit stored at 5 °C for 3 weeks plus 3 d at 20 °C (data not shown).

Fruit stored at 5 °C generally had the most internal browning. Fruit treated with 1-MCP and stored at 5 °C had the most internal browning. These fruit also developed external browning between 3 and 6 weeks in storage (data not shown).

### Discussion

Climacteric ethylene production is accompanied by softening in ripening peach fruit. Peach ripening occurs in two phases with a slight rise in ethylene production and slow softening followed by a high rate of ethylene production and rapid softening (Tonutti et al., 1996). Our results indicate 1-MCP treatment may have stimulated ethylene production in the first phase of ripening while inhibiting production during the second phase (Fig. 1). However, 1-MCP treatment inhibited fruit respiration in both phases, suggesting that there is a differential response of ethylene and respiration to 1-MCP in ‘Elberta’ peach fruit. ‘Hakuho’ peach fruit treated at a lower (20 nL·L−1) 1-MCP concentration did not exhibit an initial increase in ethylene production (Mathooko et al., 2001) indicating there may be some differences in how various peach cultivars respond to 1-MCP. Our results also indicate 1-MCP treatment altered ‘Elberta’ fruit ripening during storage. 1-MCP-treated fruit usually had higher firmness compared to controls, a result similar to that of ‘Hakuho’ fruit (Mathooko et al., 2001). Fruit treated with 1-MCP also had higher TA than controls after 10 d at 20 °C and after 1-week storage at 0, 5, or 10 °C plus 3 d at 20 °C. The inhibition of ripening by 1-MCP has been observed on many other climacteric fruits (Abdi et al., 1998; Fan et al., 1999; Golding et al., 1998).

Although low temperature storage of peach fruit can extend storage life, development of CI can also occur. Peach CI symptoms include failure to ripen, development of internal and external browning, and mealiness. In the present experiments, peaches stored at 0 °C for 1 week and then held for 3 d at 20 °C ripened normally as indicated by tissue softening, but after 6 weeks of storage plus 3 d at 20 °C, fruit failed to soften. The failure to soften was pronounced in fruit from the first harvest, and was consistent with previous reports that the failure to soften after prolonged cold storage is maturity-dependent (Kostiyachinda and Young, 1976; Robertson et al., 1992).

The peach fruit used in these studies developed different CI symptoms at different storage temperatures. Peaches stored at 0 °C failed to soften and developed internal and external browning during 6 weeks of storage. Storage at 5 °C resulted in the most severe development of internal and external browning and development of mealiness. These results confirm earlier observations that fruit stored at 5 °C developed more internal browning than when stored at 0 °C (Anderson, 1979; Nanos and Mitchell, 1991; Von Mollendorff and De Villiers, 1992).

Lower TA preceded the appearance of internal and external browning. For example, lower TA was observed after 1 week of storage at 5 °C while internal and external browning were observed after 6 weeks of storage. A simple method for indexing or predicting the severity of CI could possibly be based on respiration rate and TA (Picha, 1987; Raison, 1980), however, due to the climacteric nature of peach fruit, TA may be a more reliable index for predicting CI symptoms.

The amount of extractable juice, used as an index of mealiness (Lill and Van Der Mespel, 1988), was lowest in fruit stored at 5 °C in our study. Treatment with 1-MCP had no effect on the amount of extractable juice. Mealiness has been associated with impaired degradation of pectin, accumulation of insoluble pectin of high molecular weight (Ben-Arie and Lavee, 1971; Dawson et al., 1992), and abnormal changes in cell wall structure (Brovelli et al., 1998; Luza et al., 1992). Fruit stored at

---

**Table 2. Firmness (N) of control (C) and 1-MCP-treated ‘Elberta’ peach fruit after 1, 3, and 6 weeks storage at 0, 5, or 10 °C plus 3 d at 20 °C, n = 20.**

| Storage duration (weeks) | Temperature (°C) | C | 1-MCP | LSD | C | 1-MCP | LSD | C | 1-MCP |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---|-------|-----|---|-------|-----|---|-------|
| Harvest 1                |                 |   |       |     |   |       |     |   |       |
| 1                        | 0               | 5.5 | 7.1   | 1.6 | 5.6 | 17.4  | 8.5 | 18.1| 20.0  |
|                          | 5               | 5.3 | 6.3   | 1.0 | 4.0 | 7.5   | 5.4 | 9.5 | 1.6   |
|                          | 10              | 4.1 | 4.8   | 0.7 | 3.2 | 2.8   | 2.5 | 3.0 | 0.5   |
| 1-MCP*                   | Temperature     | L  | *     |     | *  |       |     | *  |       |
| 0                        | 0.8             | 2.0 | 1.6   | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.9   |     | *  |       |
| 5                        | 3.3             | 5.4 | 3.8   | 5.0 | 5.2 | 6.2   | 0.9 | *  |       |
| 10                       | 3.5             | 4.5 | 4.1   | 5.9 | 4.4 | 5.6   | 0.7 | *  |       |
| 1-MCP*                   | Temperature     | L  | *     |     | *  |       |     | *  |       |
| 0                        | 0.6             | 0.7 | 0.8   | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0   |     | *  |       |
| 5                        | 3.3             | 0.39 | 0.365 | 0.345 | 0.279 | 0.275 | 0.226 | 0.026 | * |
| 10                       | 3.66            | 0.360 | 0.422 | 0.363 | 0.287 | 0.296 | 0.026 | * |
| 1-MCP*                   | Temperature     | L  | *     |     | *  |       |     | *  |       |
| 0                        | 0.043           | 0.022 | 0.036 | 0.028 | 0.037 | 0.029 |     | *  |       |
| 5                        | 0.338           | 0.402 | 0.356 | 0.421 | 0.306 | 0.354 | 0.022 | * |
| 10                       | 0.324           | 0.367 | 0.367 | 0.318 | 0.180 | 0.135 | 0.017 | * |
| 1-MCP*                   | Temperature     | L  | *     |     | *  |       |     | *  |       |
| 0                        | 0.021           | 0.021 | 0.023 | 0.026 | 0.023 | 0.026 |     | *  |       |

---

**Table 3. Titratable acidity (g·100 g−1) of control (C) and 1-MCP-treated ‘Elberta’ peach fruit after 1, 3, and 6 weeks storage at 0, 5, or 10 °C plus 3 d at 20 °C, n = 20.**

| Storage duration (weeks) | Temperature (°C) | C | 1-MCP | LSD | C | 1-MCP | LSD | C | 1-MCP |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---|-------|-----|---|-------|-----|---|-------|
| Harvest 1                |                 |   |       |     |   |       |     |   |       |
| 1                        | 0               | 0.425 | 0.409 | 0.422 | 0.377 | 0.380 | 0.327 | 0.021 | * |
|                          | 5               | 0.339 | 0.365 | 0.345 | 0.279 | 0.275 | 0.226 | 0.026 | * |
|                          | 10              | 0.366 | 0.360 | 0.422 | 0.363 | 0.287 | 0.296 | 0.026 | * |
| 1-MCP*                   | Temperature     | L  | *     |     | *  |       |     | *  |       |
| 0                        | 0.043           | 0.022 | 0.036 | 0.028 | 0.037 | 0.029 |     | *  |       |
| 5                        | 0.338           | 0.402 | 0.356 | 0.421 | 0.306 | 0.354 | 0.022 | * |
| 10                       | 0.324           | 0.367 | 0.367 | 0.318 | 0.180 | 0.135 | 0.017 | * |
| 1-MCP*                   | Temperature     | L  | *     |     | *  |       |     | *  |       |
| 0                        | 0.021           | 0.021 | 0.023 | 0.026 | 0.023 | 0.026 |     | *  |       |

---

1Least significant difference (LSD), P ≤ 0.05.
2Significant linear regression, P ≤ 0.05% (*).
3Least significant LSD, P ≤ 0.05% (*).
4Significant LSD, P ≤ 0.05% (*).
fruit, and the inhibition of ethylene action indicate ethylene plays a role in development (Porat et al., 1999). Together, these results severity of oranges, a nonclimacteric fruit 1987), and 1-MCP increased chilling injury storage temperature. Fruit firmness values in- control fruit regardless of storage duration or pared to controls. Fruit treated with 1-MCP at 5°C had severe internal browning com- 

Table 4. Hue value of control (C) and 1-MCP-treated ‘Elberta’ peach fruit after 1, 3, and 6 weeks storage at 0, 5, or 10°C plus 3 d at 20°C. n = 20.

| Storage duration (weeks) | Temperature (°C) | C | 1-MCP | LSD | C | 1-MCP |
|--------------------------|------------------|----|-------|-----|----|-------|
| Harvest 1                |                  |    |       |     |    |       |
| 1                        | 0                | 86.5| 84.9  | 0.7 | 86.5| 83.0  |
| 5                        | 84.4| 85.9  | 0.3  | 83.4| 82.3| 1.5   |
| 10                       | 83.9| 85.3  | 0.8  | 82.1| 82.0| 1.5   |
| LSD                      |                  | 2.2| 2.4   | 1.8 | 2.2| 2.0   |
| L                        |                  | *  | *     | *   | * | *     |
| Harvest 2                |                  |    |       |     |    |       |
| 0                        | 78.9| 83.0  | 0.7  | 79.8| 80.4| 1.6   |
| 5                        | 79.9| 80.3  | 0.8  | 81.0| 81.4| 1.3   |
| 10                       | 80.8| 79.9  | 0.8  | 78.4| 77.6| 1.3   |
| LSD                      |                  | 2.2| 2.2   | 2.0 | 2.1| 2.1   |
| L                        |                  | *  | *     | *   | * | *     |

5°C have more severe mealininess than when stored at 0°C (Anderson, 1979; Von Mollendorff, 1987). Although 1-MCP did not impact the amount of extractable juice, fruit treated with 1-MCP had increased CI incidence. For example, 1-MCP-treated fruit stored at 5°C had severe internal browning compared to controls. Fruit treated with 1-MCP were always firmer compared with untreated control fruit regardless of storage duration or storage temperature. Fruit firmness values indicated the fruit’s failure to ripen. It has been shown that ethylene exposure before storage reduces CI of peaches (Von Mollendorff, 1987), and 1-MCP increased chilling injury severity of oranges, a nonclimacteric fruit (Porat et al., 1999). Together, these results indicate ethylene plays a role in development of CI in both climacteric and non-climacteric fruit, and the inhibition of ethylene action increases fruit susceptibility to low temperature injury.

In summary, 1-MCP appears to have limited effects on slowing ripening of peach fruit. Storage temperature and maturity at harvest had more profound effect on many aspects of storage quality of peach than 1-MCP. Although 1-MCP inhibited peach ripening when fruit were stored at 20°C, more CI developed in 1-MCP-treated fruit stored at low temperatures.

Table 5. The amount (g) of extractable juice from control (C) and 1-MCP-treated ‘Elberta’ peach fruit after 1, 3, and 6 weeks storage at 0, 5, or 10°C plus 3 d at 20°C. One gram juice was centrifuged at 16,000 g for 15 min, then the clear supernatant was decanted and weighed. n = 20.

| Storage duration (weeks) | Temperature (°C) | C | 1-MCP | LSD | C | 1-MCP |
|--------------------------|------------------|----|-------|-----|----|-------|
| Harvest 1                |                  |    |       |     |    |       |
| 1                        | 0                | 0.83| 0.85  | 0.8 | 0.79| 0.79  |
| 5                        | 0.80| 0.78  | 0.8  | 0.73| 0.73| 0.73  |
| 10                       | 0.83| 0.82  | 0.85| 0.86| 0.87| 0.90  |
| LSD                      |                  | 0.02| 0.02 | 0.02| 0.02| 0.04  |
| L                        |                  | *  | *     | *  | * | 0.03  |
| Harvest 2                |                  |    |       |     |    |       |
| 0                        | 0.88| 0.87  | 0.76| 0.82| 0.71| 0.73  |
| 5                        | 0.84| 0.83  | 0.76| 0.75| 0.69| 0.70  |
| 10                       | 0.84| 0.89  | 0.85| 0.86| 0.84| 0.86  |
| LSD                      |                  | 0.02| 0.03 | 0.04| 0.04| 0.02  |
| L                        |                  | *  | *     | *  | * | *     |

5°C have more severe mealininess than when stored at 0°C (Anderson, 1979; Von Mollendorff, 1987). Although 1-MCP did not impact the amount of extractable juice, fruit treated with 1-MCP had increased CI incidence. For example, 1-MCP-treated fruit stored at 5°C had severe internal browning compared to controls. Fruit treated with 1-MCP were always firmer compared with untreated control fruit regardless of storage duration or storage temperature. Fruit firmness values indicated the fruit’s failure to ripen. It has been shown that ethylene exposure before storage reduces CI of peaches (Von Mollendorff, 1987), and 1-MCP increased chilling injury severity of oranges, a nonclimacteric fruit (Porat et al., 1999). Together, these results indicate ethylene plays a role in development of CI in both climacteric and non-climacteric fruit, and the inhibition of ethylene action increases fruit susceptibility to low temperature injury.

In summary, 1-MCP appears to have limited effects on slowing ripening of peach fruit. Storage temperature and maturity at harvest had more profound effect on many aspects of storage quality of peach than 1-MCP. Although 1-MCP inhibited peach ripening when fruit were stored at 20°C, more CI developed in 1-MCP-treated fruit stored at low temperatures.
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