Abstract

The structural properties of Thallium (III) oxide (Tl₂O₃) have been studied both experimentally and theoretically under compression at room temperature. X-ray powder diffraction measurements up to 37.7 GPa have been complemented with ab initio total-energy calculations. The equation of state of Tl₂O₃ has been determined and compared to related compounds. It has been found experimentally that Tl₂O₃ remains in its initial cubic bixbyite-type structure up to 22.0 GPa. At this pressure, the onset of amorphization is observed, being the sample fully amorphous at 25.2 GPa. The sample retains the amorphous state after pressure release. To understand the pressure-induced amorphization process, we have studied theoretically the possible high-pressure phases of Tl₂O₃. Although a phase transition is predicted at 5.8 GPa to the orthorhombic...
Rh₂O₃-II-type structure and at 24.2 GPa to the orthorhombic \( \alpha \)-Gd₂S₃-type structure, neither of these phases were observed experimentally, probably due to the hindrance of the pressure-driven phase transitions at room temperature. The theoretical study of the elastic behavior of the cubic bixbyite-type structure at high-pressure shows that amorphization above 22 GPa at room temperature might be caused by the mechanical instability of the cubic bixbyite-type structure which is theoretically predicted above 23.5 GPa.
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I. **Introduction**

Thallium (III) oxide (Tl₂O₃) is a sesquioxide which occurs naturally as a rare mineral named avicennite [1]. Tl₂O₃ crystallizes at ambient conditions in the body-centered cubic bixbyite-type structure with space group (S.G.) Ia-3, No. 206, Z=16 [2, 3, 4]. Bixbyite-type Tl₂O₃ is isomorphic to the cubic structure of In₂O₃ and several rare-earth sesquioxides. Apart from the bixbyite structure, the corundum-type structure has been reported to be synthesized at high pressures and high temperatures [5].

Tl₂O₃ can be applied in many technological areas [6]. In particular, it has been used as an electrode in high-efficiency solar cells due to its very low resistivity [7,8]. It has also been studied for optical communication applications because of its strong reflectance in the near infrared region (1300-1500 nm) [9]; however, its most promising application is in thallium oxide-based high-temperature superconductors [10].
Despite its interesting technological applications, Tl$_2$O$_3$ is one of the less studied sesquioxides probably because of the poisonous nature of thallium. In particular, contact with moisture and acids may form poisonous soluble thallium compounds, like thallium acetate, whose contact with skin should be avoided [11]. Consequently, many properties of Tl$_2$O$_3$ are unknown. In particular, it was long thought that this compound behaves as a metallic conductor [12-14]; however, it has been recently shown that it is a degenerate n-type semiconductor [15]. This result is in good agreement with transport measurements which suggest that n-type conductivity comes from oxygen deficiency in the crystalline lattice [13, 16-18]. It is also in good agreement with optical measurements providing a band gap between 1.40 and 2.75 eV [9, 12, 16].

Very little is known about the structural and mechanical properties of Tl$_2$O$_3$. The bulk moduli of both bixbyite-type and corundum-type structures are unknown. In this context, studies of Tl$_2$O$_3$ under compression could help in understanding its physical properties. In this work, we report an experimental and theoretical study of bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ at room temperature and high-pressure (HP) by means of angle dispersive X-ray diffraction (ADXRD) measurements and \textit{ab initio} calculations. Technical aspects of the experiments and calculations are described in Sections II and III, respectively. Results are presented and discussed in Section IV and conclusions summarized in Section V.

II. Experimental Details

Commercial Tl$_2$O$_3$ powder with 99.99% purity (Sigma-Aldrich) was crushed in a mortar with a pestle to obtain a micron-sized powder. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements performed at 1 atm and room temperature with a Rigaku Ultima IV diffractometer (Cu \textit{K$_\alpha$ radiation) confirmed the bixbyite-type structure of Tl$_2$O$_3$.}
HP-ADXRD experiments at room temperature up to 37.7 GPa were carried out at beamline I15 of the Diamond Light Source using a monochromatic X-ray beam ($\lambda = 0.4246$ Å) and a membrane-type diamond-anvil cell (DAC). $\text{Tl}_2\text{O}_3$ powder was loaded in a 150-μm diameter hole of an inconel gasket in a DAC with diamond-culet sizes of 350 μm. A 16:3:1 methanol-ethanol-water mixture was used as pressure-transmitting medium. A strip of gold was placed inside the gasket and used as the pressure sensor. Pressure was determined using the gold equation of state (EOS): $B_0 = 167.5$ GPa, and $B_0' = 5.79$, whose parameters are obtained with a third-order Birch-Murnaghan equation [19]. The X-ray beam was focused down to 30 x 30 μm$^2$ using Kickpatrick-Baez mirrors. A pinhole placed before the sample position was used as a clean-up aperture for filtering out the tail of the X-ray beam. The images were collected using a MAR345 image plate located at 350 mm from the sample. The diffraction patterns were integrated as a function of $2\theta$ using FIT2D in order to give conventional, one-dimensional diffraction profiles [20]. The indexing and refinement of the powder diffraction patterns was performed using the Unitcell [21], POWDERCELL [22] and GSAS [23, 24] program packages.

III. Theoretical calculations

We have performed $ab$ initio total-energy calculations within the density functional theory (DFT) [25] using the plane-wave method and the pseudopotential theory with the Vienna $ab$ initio simulation package (VASP) [26]. We have used the projector-augmented wave scheme (PAW) [27] implemented in this package to take into account the full nodal character of the all-electron charge density in the core region. Basis set, including plane waves up to an energy cutoff of 520 eV were used in order to achieve highly converged results and accurate description of the electronic properties.
The exchange-correlation energy was described with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the PBEsol prescription [28]. A dense special k-points sampling for the Brillouin zone (BZ) integration was performed in order to obtain very well-converged energies and forces. At each selected volume, the structures were fully relaxed to their equilibrium configuration through the calculation of the forces on atoms and the stress tensor. This allows obtaining the relaxed structures at the theoretical pressures defined by the calculated stress. In the relaxed equilibrium configurations, the forces on the atoms are less than 0.006 eV/Å, and deviations of the stress tensor from a diagonal hydrostatic form are less than 1 kbar (0.1 GPa). The application of DFT-based total-energy calculations to the study of semiconductor properties under HP has been reviewed in Ref. 29, showing that the phase stability, electronic, and dynamical properties of compounds under pressure are well described by DFT.

*Ab initio* calculations allow the study of the mechanical properties of materials. The elastic constants describe the mechanical properties of a material in the region of small deformations where the stress-strain relations are still linear. The elastic constants can be obtained by computing the macroscopic stress for a small strain with the use of the stress theorem [30]. Alternatively, the macroscopic stress can be also calculated using density functional perturbation theory (DFPT) [31]. In the present work, we perform the evaluation of the elastic constants of Tl$_2$O$_3$, with the use of the DFT as implemented in the VASP package [32]. The ground state and fully relaxed structures were strained in different directions according to their symmetry [32]. The total-energy variations were evaluated according to a Taylor expansion for the total energy with respect to the applied strain [33]. Due to this fact, it is important to check that the strain used in the calculations guarantees the harmonic behavior. This procedure allows us to
obtain the $C_{ij}$ elastic constants in the Voigt notation. The number of independent elastic constants is reduced by crystalline symmetry [34].

IV. Results and Discussion

A. X-ray diffraction and structural properties

The crystalline structure of cubic bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ (see Fig. 1) has two different types of six-fold-coordinated thallium atoms. Thallium located at the 8$b$ Wyckoff site has slightly distorted octahedral coordination whilst thallium located at 24$d$ Wyckoff site has distorted trigonal prismatic coordination. Finally, oxygen atoms occupy 48$e$ Wyckoff sites. From XRD measurements carried out at 1 atm and room temperature outside the DAC we have made a Rietveld refinement of the lattice parameter and relative atomic positions of the bixbyite-type structure. The refinement $R$-values are $R_p = 7.7\%$, and $R_{wp} = 10.2\%$. These results (summarized in Table I) are in quite a good agreement with those of Refs. 2, 3, and 4, and with our calculations, all of them included in Table I for comparison.

Figure 2 shows ADXRD patterns of Tl$_2$O$_3$ up to 37.7 GPa. Diffractograms up to 22 GPa can be indexed with the cubic bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ structure. The main difference between diffraction patterns up to 22 GPa is the shift of Bragg peaks to higher angles with pressure as the result of a unit-cell volume decrease. A typical peak broadening of XRD peaks [35] is detectable above 11 GPa. In this respect, before continuing the discussion of the results, we would like to comment on possible non-hydrostatic effects in our experiments. We have checked that non-hydrostatic conditions above 11 GPa do not induce a tetragonal or rhombohedral distortion of the cubic structure. As an example, the Rietveld refinement of the powder XRD pattern measured
at 18.2 GPa is included in Fig. 2. The refined parameters were: the scale factor, phase fractions, lattice parameters, profile coefficients, \( x \) fractional atomic coordinate of the Tl(2) atom, the overall displacement factor, and the background. The high quality of the Rietveld refinement shows that Tl\(_2\)O\(_3\) remains in the cubic phase at 18.2 GPa; i.e., just before the onset of the amorphization process, as will be explained below. We note that the broadening of XRD peaks above 11 GPa could be due to the loss of quasi-hydrostatic conditions of the pressure-transmitting medium [36-38] or to local distortions caused by the appearance of defects which could be precursors of the pressure-induced amorphization (PIA) that will be commented later on.

From the refinement of the diffraction patterns up to 22 GPa, we obtained the pressure dependence of the Tl\(_2\)O\(_3\) lattice parameter. In the Rietveld refinement, the oxygen atomic coordinates were supposed not to vary with pressure due to its small X-ray scattering cross section in comparison to that of thallium atom. Rietveld refinements carried out on HP-ADXRD data for Tl\(_2\)O\(_3\) show that the \( x \) fractional atomic coordinate of the Tl(2) atom up to 22 GPa was similar to that at 1 atm within experimental uncertainty. This result agrees with the weak pressure dependence of this atomic parameter obtained from our theoretical calculations (according to simulations, the \( x \) fractional atomic coordinate of Tl(2) in Tl\(_2\)O\(_3\) varies from 0.9667 at 0 GPa to 0.9664 at 22 GPa). The pressure evolution of the unit-cell volume of Tl\(_2\)O\(_3\) is plotted in Fig. 3. We have fitted these data with second-order Birch-Murnaghan (BM2) and third-order Birch-Murnaghan (BM3) EOSs [39]. Weights derived from the experimental uncertainties in both pressure and volume were assigned to each data point in both fits. The fits were carried out with the EoSFit software (v.5.2) [40]. All the experimental and theoretical values at zero pressure for the volume, \( V_0 \), bulk modulus, \( B_0 \), and its first-pressure derivative, \( B_0' \), are summarized in Table II. Our experimental values are in
relatively good agreement with our calculated values. For the case of our experimental data, the obtained value for the weighted chi-squared, $\chi^2_w$, in the BM2 and BM3 EOS fits is 6.2 and 6.7, respectively. We note that, the refinement of the $B_0'$ parameter in the BM3 EOS fit does not improve the fit of the data because the $\chi^2_w$ increases to a value of 6.7 and the standard deviation of $B_0$ increases with respect to that obtained with the BM2 EOS, thus indicating that an expansion of the EOS to third order is not required to fit the data. These results show that the second-order equation of state is an adequate representation of the volume-pressure data of Tl$_2$O$_3$. Furthermore, the EOS parameters for isostructural In$_2$O$_3$ are also included in Table II for comparison [41-43]. It can be highlighted that the experimental value for $B_0$ in Tl$_2$O$_3$ ($B_0 = 156(3)$ GPa) is approximately 15% smaller than that obtained for In$_2$O$_3$ ($B_0 = 184(10)$ GPa) [43]. In this comparison, we considered the EOS parameters obtained with a BM2 EOS with $B_0'$ fixed to 4 because the $B_0$ and $B_0'$ parameters are strongly correlated [44]. The lower value of $B_0$ for cubic Tl$_2$O$_3$ when compared to that of In$_2$O$_3$ is consistent with the decrease of the bulk modulus of bixbyite-type sesquioxides when the ionic radius of the $A$ cation increases in the series $A = \text{In, Tl}$. We note that bixbyite-type sesquioxides like In$_2$O$_3$ and Tl$_2$O$_3$ are much less compressible than sesquioxides of late group-15 elements in the Periodic Table like cubic $\alpha$-Sb$_2$O$_3$ (S.G.: $Fd-3m$, No. 227, $Z=16$) [45] and monoclinic $\alpha$-Bi$_2$O$_3$ (S.G.: $P2_1/c$, No. 14, $Z=4$) [46].

Figure 2 shows a drastic decrease of the intensity of the Bragg reflections of cubic Tl$_2$O$_3$ between 18.2 and 22 GPa. In addition, at 25.2 GPa all the sharp crystalline peaks of cubic Tl$_2$O$_3$ disappear and two broad peaks appear at 8.75º and 11.53º (noted with asterisk marks). These two peaks remain up to 37.7 GPa, the maximum pressure achieved in our experiment, and exhibit a small shift to higher angles between 25.2 and
37.7 GPa. These results can be interpreted as an amorphization of $\text{Tl}_2\text{O}_3$ above 22.0 GPa which is already completed at 25.2 GPa, and will be discussed in the next section.

### B. Amorphization

It is commonly accepted that PIA in crystalline solids may occur if the crystalline structure becomes mechanical or dynamically unstable at a certain pressure; i.e., if mechanical stability criteria are violated or if the phonon dispersion curves contain imaginary frequencies for phonon modes at a given pressure [47]. PIA due to these instabilities usually occurs when the crystalline solid cannot undergo a phase transition to a HP crystalline phase at a smaller pressure than that of amorphization. The hindrance of the pressure-driven phase transition between two crystalline phases is usually due to the presence of kinetic barriers between the low- and high-pressure structures. This barrier cannot be overcome if the temperature is not high enough and consequently the transition is frustrated at low temperatures. Therefore, it is worth to investigate which could be the frustrated HP phase of $\text{Tl}_2\text{O}_3$ and at which pressure the phase transition is predicted to occur.

In order to look for candidates of HP phases of $\text{Tl}_2\text{O}_3$ we have performed total-energy calculations for $\text{Tl}_2\text{O}_3$ with the structures observed experimentally in $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ at different pressures and temperatures [48-52]. They include bixbyite-type ($I_a$-3), corundum-type (S.G.: $R$-3$c$, No. 167, $Z$=6), orthorhombic $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$-II-type (S.G.: $Pbcn$, No. 60, $Z$=4), and orthorhombic $\alpha$-$\text{Gd}_2\text{S}_3$-type (S.G.: $Pnma$, No. 62, $Z$=4) structures. We have also considered in our calculations the orthorhombic $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$-III-type structure (S.G. $Pbca$, No. 61, $Z$=8), which is a high-temperature and low-pressure form of $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$. 


and two of the structures commonly found in rare-earth sesquioxides (RES) under different pressure and temperature conditions [54-58], like the monoclinic B-RES (S.G.: C2/m, No. 12, Z=6) and trigonal A-RES (S.G. P-3m1, No. 164, Z=1) structures. Finally, to complete the study, we have also considered as candidates for HP phases of Tl₂O₃ structures observed in transition-metal sesquioxides at different pressures and temperatures. These structures are the Sb₂S₃-type (S.G.: Pnma, No. 62, Z=4) found in Ti₂O₃ [59, 60], the distorted orthorhombic perovskite or GdFeO₃-type (S.G.: Pnma, No. 62, Z=4) found in Fe₂O₃ (hematite) [61] and the orthorhombic post-perovskite or CaIrO₃-type (S.G.: Cmcm, No. 63, Z=4) found in Mn₂O₃ [62].

The enthalpy difference vs. pressure diagram for the different Tl₂O₃ polymorphs, taking as reference the enthalpy of the bixbyite-type phase, is plotted in Fig. 4. Our calculations predict a phase transition from the bixbyite-type phase (Ia-3) to the Rh₂O₃-II-type phase (Pbcn) at 5.8 GPa, and from the Rh₂O₃-II-type phase to the α-Gd₂S₃-type phase (Pnma) at 24.2 GPa. This sequence of pressure-induced phase transitions for Tl₂O₃ is the same as for In₂O₃ [48, 49]. The main difference is the phase transition pressures predicted theoretically in both compounds: 5.8 GPa (7-11 GPa) and 24.2 GPa (36-40 GPa) for Tl₂O₃ (In₂O₃) [48, 49]. The fact that our HP-ADXRD measurements in Tl₂O₃ up to 37.7 GPa do not show evidence of the phase transitions predicted at 5.8 GPa and 24.2 GPa, but an amorphization whose onset is around 22 GPa, suggests that kinetic barriers might be present in the phase transition to the HP phases at room temperature in Tl₂O₃. Note that the phase transition from the bixbyite-type to the Rh₂O₃-II-type structure was observed in In₂O₃ at room temperature above 30 GPa [43] and the transition to the α–Gd₂S₃-type structure in In₂O₃ was not observed at room temperature between 1 atm and 51 GPa [49]. In fact, an amorphous halo was observed in In₂O₃ at 51 GPa and room temperature; thus suggesting a PIA in In₂O₃ at room temperature above
this pressure. On the other hand, the phase transition in In$_2$O$_3$ from the Rh$_2$O$_3$-II-type structure to the $\alpha$-Gd$_2$S$_3$-type structure was observed at HP and high temperature [49]. Furthermore, the phase transition pressures in In$_2$O$_3$ were observed experimentally close to those theoretically predicted only in HP and high temperature experiments [48, 49]. Those works already showed that large kinetic barriers are present in In$_2$O$_3$ at room temperature between the bixbyite-type, Rh$_2$O$_3$-II-type and $\alpha$-Gd$_2$S$_3$-type structures; therefore, similar barriers are expected to occur for the same structures in Tl$_2$O$_3$. In particular, the hypothesis of the kinetic frustration of the pressure-induced phase transition from the bixbyite-type to the Rh$_2$O$_3$-II-type structure in Tl$_2$O$_3$ will be explored in detail in future simultaneous HP and high temperature experiments on Tl$_2$O$_3$, as it was already done for In$_2$O$_3$ [48-52]. We note that our ab initio calculations do not include kinetic energy barriers and therefore the theoretically predicted HP phases for bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ could be found experimentally in future HP and high temperature experiments where the kinetic energy barriers can be overcome. Finally, we want to stress that Tl$_2$O$_3$ remains amorphous after decompression from 37.7 GPa to 1 atm, i.e. PIA in Tl$_2$O$_3$ at room temperature is irreversible.

C. Elastic properties

In order to further understand the amorphization process in Tl$_2$O$_3$ we have studied the mechanical stability of the cubic bixbyite-type (Ia-3) structure of Tl$_2$O$_3$ at HP. This structure belongs to the cubic Laue group CII with point group m-3 which has three independent second order elastic constants: $C_{11}$, $C_{12}$, and $C_{44}$. Table III summarizes the values of the three $C_{ij}$ in Tl$_2$O$_3$ at zero pressure as obtained from our ab initio calculations. The calculated elastic constants of bixbyite-type In$_2$O$_3$ taken from Ref. [63] are also included in Table III for comparison. The values of the three elastic
constants of Tl$_2$O$_3$ are smaller than those of In$_2$O$_3$. This result supports the smaller zero pressure bulk modulus of Tl$_2$O$_3$ when compared to that of In$_2$O$_3$ as previously commented.

A lattice is mechanically stable at zero pressure only if the Born stability criteria are fulfilled [64]. In the case of cubic systems these criteria are:

$$C_{11} + 2C_{12} > 0, C_{11} - C_{12} > 0, C_{44} > 0$$

(1)

In our particular case, all the above criteria are satisfied for bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ at zero pressure; therefore, cubic Tl$_2$O$_3$ is mechanically stable at 1 atm ($10^4$ GPa), as it was expected. When a non-zero uniform stress is applied to the crystal, the above criteria to describe the stability limits of the crystal at finite strain are not adequate and the Born stability criteria must be modified. In this case, the elastic stiffness, or stress-strain, coefficients are defined as

$$B_{ijkl} = C_{ijkl} + 1/2 \left[ \delta_{ik} \sigma_{jl} + \delta_{jk} \sigma_{il} + \delta_{il} \sigma_{jk} + \delta_{jl} \sigma_{ik} - 2 \delta_{kl} \sigma_{ij} \right]$$

(2)

where the $C_{ijkl}$ are the elastic constants evaluated at the current stressed state, $\sigma_{ij}$ correspond to the external stresses, and $\delta_{kl}$ is the Kronecker delta [65-67]. In the special case of hydrostatic pressure applied to a cubic crystal, $\sigma_{11} = \sigma_{22} = \sigma_{33} = -P$, and the elastic stiffness coefficients are: $B_{11} = C_{11} - P$, $B_{12} = C_{12} + P$, and $B_{44} = C_{44} - P$, where $P$ is the hydrostatic pressure. Note that the $B_{ij}$ and $C_{ij}$ coefficients are equal at 0 GPa. When the $B_{ij}$ elastic stiffness coefficients are used, all the relations of the theory of elasticity can be applied including Born’s stability conditions which are identical in both loaded and unloaded states [66-69].
The bulk ($B$), and shear ($G$) moduli of cubic Tl$_2$O$_3$ can be obtained in the Voigt [70], Reuss [71] and Hill [72] approximations, labeled with subscripts $V$, $R$, and $H$, respectively, using the formulae [73]:

$$B_V = B_R = \frac{B_{11} + 2B_{12}}{3}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$B_H = \frac{B_V + B_R}{2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$G_V = \frac{B_{11} - B_{12} + 3B_{44}}{5}$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$G_R = \frac{5(B_{11} - B_{12})B_{44}}{4B_{44} + 3(B_{11} - B_{12})}$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$G_H = \frac{G_V + G_R}{2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

In the Voigt (Reuss) approximation, uniform strain (stress) is assumed throughout the polycrystal [70, 71]. On the other hand, Hill has shown that the Voigt and Reuss averages are limits and suggested that the actual effective $B$ and $G$ elastic moduli can be approximated by the arithmetic mean of the two bounds [72]. The Young ($E$) modulus and the Poisson’s ratio ($\nu$) are given by [74, 75]:

$$E_X = \frac{9B_XG_X}{G_X + 3B_X}$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$\nu_X = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3B_X - 2G_X}{3B_X + G_X} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

where the subscript $X$ refers to the symbols $V$, $R$, and $H$. We summarize in Table III all the values obtained for $B$, $G$, $E$, and $\nu$ in bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ at zero pressure in the Voigt, Reuss, and Hill approximations. Note that our calculated value for the bulk modulus in the Hill approximation ($B_H = 125.1$ GPa) is in very good agreement with the value of $B_0 = 125.0(4)$ GPa obtained from our PBEsol structural calculations via a BM3
EOS fit. This result gives us confidence about the correctness of our elastic constants calculations.

Table III also includes the values of the ratio between the bulk and shear modulus, \( B/G \), and the Zener anisotropy factor, \( A \). The \( B/G \) ratio has been proposed by Pugh to predict brittle or ductile behavior of materials [76]. According to the Pugh criterion, a \( B/G \) value above 1.75 indicates a tendency for ductility; otherwise, the material behaves in a brittle manner. In our particular case, we found a value of \( B/G = 3.56 \) in the Hill approximation indicating that the material should be ductile at 1 atm. The Zener anisotropy factor \( A \) for our cubic cell is defined as \( A=2B_{44}/(B_{11}-B_{12}) \). If \( A \) is equal to one, no anisotropy exists. On the other hand, the more this parameter differs from one, the more elastically anisotropic is the crystalline structure. In cubic Tl\(_2\)O\(_3\), the \( A \) value (0.84) is slightly different from 1 and evidence a small elastic anisotropy of our cubic cell at 1 atm.

Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the pressure dependence of the three calculated \( C_{ij} \) elastic constants and the three \( B_{ij} \) elastic stiffness coefficients of bixbyite-type Tl\(_2\)O\(_3\), respectively. It can be seen that \( B_{11} \) and \( B_{12} \) increase monotonically as pressure increases, while \( B_{44} \) decreases monotonically as pressure increases and at 23.5 GPa crosses the 0 GPa horizontal line. This fact is related with the mechanical instability of bixbyite-type Tl\(_2\)O\(_3\) and will be discussed in the next paragraphs.

The knowledge of the behavior of the three elastic stiffness coefficients with pressure allows us to study the mechanical stability of bixbyite-type Tl\(_2\)O\(_3\) as pressure increases. The new conditions for elastic stability at a given pressure \( P \), known as the generalized stability criteria, are obtained by replacing in Eq. (1) the \( C_{ij} \) elastic constants by the \( B_{ij} \) elastic stiffness coefficients, and are given by [77]:

\[
M_1 = B_{11} + 2B_{12} > 0
\]
These generalized stability criteria are plotted in Fig. 6. It is found that Eq. (12), related to a pure shear instability, is violated at 23.5 GPa while Eq. (11), called the Born instability [77], is violated at 26.0 GPa. Therefore, our theoretical study of the mechanical stability of Tl$_2$O$_3$ at HP suggests that the bixbyite-type phase becomes mechanically unstable beyond 23.5 GPa. This pressure is slightly above but very close to the pressure at which the onset of the amorphization process takes place experimentally. Consequently, this result suggests that shear instability could be involved in the amorphization process of Tl$_2$O$_3$ at room temperature. Note that our calculations are performed for a perfect material, whereas our powder samples are very defective and contain a high concentration of O vacancies that make Tl$_2$O$_3$ a degenerate n-type semiconductor. Therefore, we expect that the amorphization in our sample takes place at a lower pressure than that theoretically predicted since defects are known to induce amorphization and decrease the pressure at which the amorphization process begins in a number of materials [78, 79].

We have performed the study of the dynamical stability in Tl$_2$O$_3$ in order to complement the study of the mechanical stability of Tl$_2$O$_3$ and verify that PIA in Tl$_2$O$_3$ is caused by the mechanical instability of the cubic phase. To check the dynamical stability of the cubic phase, we have carried out ab initio calculations of the phonon dispersion relations in bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$. We have found that the cubic phase is dynamically stable up to 32 GPa and that phonons with imaginary frequencies appear above this pressure. This result thus indicates that bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ becomes
dynamically unstable above 32 GPa [80]. Since this pressure is higher than the pressure at which bixbyite-type Tl₂O₃ becomes mechanically unstable, we conclude that PIA of Tl₂O₃ observed at room temperature at 22 GPa might be caused by the mechanical instability of the cubic lattice at pressures above 22 GPa.

Finally, for completeness we have plotted the pressure dependence of the elastic moduli (\(B_H\), \(G_H\) and \(E_H\)), \(\nu_H\) Poisson’s ratio, \(B_H/G_H\) ratio, and \(A\) Zener anisotropy factor in Fig. 7. It is found that \(B_H\) increases with pressure and reaches the value of 216.0 GPa at 23 GPa. On the other hand, \(G_H\) and \(E_H\) decrease with pressure approaching a value of 0 GPa near 23.5 GPa, pressure at which the mechanical instability is predicted to occur.

We note that the fact that the shear modulus decreases with pressure is compatible with the fact that the equation that first is violated (Eq. 12) is the one related with the pure shear instability because of the decreasing of \(B_{44}\) with pressure. The Poisson’s ratio, \(\nu_H\), increases with pressure and reaches a value of 0.49 at 23 GPa. The \(B_H/G_H\) ratio increases with pressure, grows exponentially above 19 GPa, and reaches a value of 94.4 at 23 GPa. The increase of the \(B_H/G_H\) ratio with pressure indicates that the ductility of Tl₂O₃ is enhanced under compression. In the case of the Zener anisotropy factor, \(A\), it is found that it increases with pressure reaching a maximum value of \(A = 0.96\) at about 11 GPa and afterward decreases quickly above 20 GPa indicating a strong increase of the elastic anisotropy above that pressure.

V. Concluding Remarks

We have studied both experimentally and theoretically the structural properties of Tl₂O₃ under compression at room temperature. The equation of state of Tl₂O₃ has been determined and its bulk modulus has been found to be smaller than that of isostructural In₂O₃. Tl₂O₃ starts to amorphize above 22 GPa and retains the amorphous
structure at 1 atm when decreasing pressure from 37.7 GPa. The theoretically predicted transitions to the Rh$_2$O$_3$-II-type structure, near 6 GPa, and to the $\alpha$-Gd$_2$S$_3$-type structure, near 24 GPa, are not observed experimentally, probably, due to the kinetic hindrance of the phase transitions at room temperature.

To understand the pressure-induced amorphization process of Tl$_2$O$_3$, we have studied theoretically both the mechanical and dynamical stability of the cubic phase at high pressures. In this respect, the mechanical properties of bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ at high pressures have been commented. Our calculations show that amorphization might be caused by the mechanical instability of the bixbyite-type structure predicted above 23.5 GPa since the cubic phase is dynamically stable up to 32 GPa.
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**Table I:** Structural parameters of bixyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ at 1 atm.

|                  | X-ray diffraction$^a$ | Ab initio PBEsol$^b$ | Neutron diffraction$^c$ | X-ray diffraction$^d$ | Neutron diffraction$^e$ |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| $a$ (Å)          | 10.5390(4)            | 10.6074              | 10.543                   | 10.5344(3)             | 10.5363                  |
| Tl(1) site: 8$b$ | $x = 0.25$            | $x = 0.25$           | $x = 0.25$               | $x = 0.25$             | $x = 0.25$               |
|                  | $y = 0.25$            | $y = 0.25$           | $y = 0.25$               | $y = 0.25$             | $y = 0.25$               |
|                  | $z = 0.25$            | $z = 0.25$           | $z = 0.25$               | $z = 0.25$             | $z = 0.25$               |
| Tl(2) site: 24$d$| $x = 0.969(1)$        | $x = 0.9667$         | $x = 0.971(4)$           | $x = 0.96815(22)$      | $x = 0.9657(8)$          |
|                  | $y = 0$               | $y = 0$              | $y = 0$                  | $y = 0$                | $y = 0$                  |
|                  | $z = 0.25$            | $z = 0.25$           | $z = 0.25$               | $z = 0.25$             | $z = 0.25$               |
| O site: 48$e$    | $x = 0.388(5)$        | $x = 0.3829$         | $x = 0.397(5)$           | $x = 0.3824(17)$       | $x = 0.3897(10)$         |
|                  | $y = 0.394(3)$        | $y = 0.3885$         | $y = 0.377(6)$           | $y = 0.3905(15)$       | $y = 0.3982(11)$         |
|                  | $z = 0.148(3)$        | $z = 0.1540$         | $z = 0.157(5)$           | $z = 0.1542(18)$       | $z = 0.1431(12)$         |

$^a$ Our XRD measurements.

$^b$ Our calculations.

$^c$ Ref 2.

$^d$ Ref 3.

$^e$ Ref 4.
Table II: Experimental (Exp.) and theoretical (Th.) EOS parameters for cubic bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ at zero pressure. Last column indicates the EOS type used (BM2 = Birch-Murnaghan of 2$^{\text{nd}}$ order, BM3 = Birch-Murnaghan of 3$^{\text{rd}}$ order). Results for isostructural In$_2$O$_3$ are included for comparison.

| Compound      | $V_0$ (Å$^3$) | $B_0$ (GPa) | $B_0'$ | Reference | EOS type |
|---------------|---------------|-------------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Tl$_2$O$_3$ (Exp.) | 1170.6(1)     | 147(13)     | 5(2)   | This work | BM3      |
| Tl$_2$O$_3$ (Exp.) | 1170.6(1)     | 156(3)      | 4 (fixed) | This work | BM2      |
| Tl$_2$O$_3$ (Th.) | 1193.2(1)     | 125.0(4)    | 4.97(4) | This work | BM3      |
| Tl$_2$O$_3$ (Th.) | 1191.5(5)     | 134.2(7)    | 4 (fixed) | This work | BM2      |
| In$_2$O$_3$ (Exp.) | 1038(2)       | 194(3)      | 4.75 (fixed) [41] | BM3 |
| In$_2$O$_3$ (Exp.) | 1035.4(2)     | 178.9(9)    | 5.15 [42] | BM3 |
| In$_2$O$_3$ (Exp.) | 1028(2)       | 184(10)     | 4 (fixed) [43] | BM2 |
Table III. Calculated $C_{ij}$ elastic constants and elastic moduli $B$, $G$, $E$ (in GPa) and the Poisson’s ratio, $\nu$, for Tl$_2$O$_3$ at zero pressure. Elastic moduli and Possion’s ratio are given in the Voigt, Reuss and Hill approximations, labeled respectively with subscripts $V$, $R$, and $H$. The $B/G$ ratio and the Zener anisotropy factor, $A$, are also given. Calculated data at zero pressure taken from Ref. 63 for In$_2$O$_3$ are also added for comparison.

|        | Tl$_2$O$_3^a$ | In$_2$O$_3^b$ |
|--------|---------------|---------------|
| $C_{11}$ | 177.0         | 234.3         |
| $C_{12}$ | 99.2          | 107.2         |
| $C_{44}$ | 32.8          | 62.7          |
| $B_V = B_R = B_{II}$ | 125.1         | 149.6         |
| $G_V$, $G_R$, $G_{II}$ | 35.3, 35.0, 35.1 | 63.0$^c$ |
| $E_V$, $E_R$, $E_{II}$ | 96.7, 96.1, 96.4 | 165.8$^c$ |
| $\nu_V$, $\nu_R$, $\nu_{II}$ | 0.37, 0.37, 0.37 | 0.32$^c$ |
| $B_V/G_V$, $B_R/G_R$, $B_{II}/G_{II}$ | 3.55, 3.57, 3.56 | 2.37$^c$ |
| $A$     | 0.84          | 0.99          |

$^a$ Our calculations with GGA-PBEsol prescription.

$^b$ Calculated with the GGA approximation.

$^c$ Results calculated in the Hill approximation from reported elastic constants.
Figure captions

**Figure 1. (Color online)** Schematic representation of the crystalline structure of cubic bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$. The unit-cell and atomic bonds are shown. Oxygen corresponds to small (red) atoms while Tl(1) located at 8$b$ and Tl(2) located at 24$d$ correspond to light blue and dark blue atoms, respectively.

**Figure 2.** Room temperature XRD patterns of Tl$_2$O$_3$ at selected pressures. The background has not been subtracted. The diffractogram measured at 18.2 GPa is shown as empty circles. The calculated and difference XRD patterns at 18.2 GPa obtained from a Rietveld refinement are plotted with solid lines. The residuals at 18.2 GPa are $R_p = 2.3\%$ and $R_{wp} = 3.0\%$. Bragg reflections from Tl$_2$O$_3$ and gold are indicated with vertical ticks at 2.1 and 18.2 GPa. Gold reflections are marked with plus (+) symbols. The XRD pattern at 1 atm after releasing pressure is shown at the top.

**Figure 3: (Color online)** Evolution of the unit-cell volume with pressure. Symbols refer to experimental data. Error bars are smaller than symbol size. Red dashed line and blue dotted line represent the fit of experimental data with a BM2 and BM3 EOS; respectively. Theoretical results are plotted with solid line.

**Figure 4. (Color online)** Theoretical calculation of enthalpy difference vs. pressure for Tl$_2$O$_3$ polymorphs. Enthalpy of bixbyite-type phase is taken as the reference. Enthalpy is written per two formula units for all structures for the sake of comparison.

**Figure 5. (Color online)** Pressure dependence of the theoretical (a) $C_{ij}$ elastic constants and (b) $B_{ij}$ elastic stiffness coefficients of bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$. Solid lines connecting the calculated data points are shown as a guide to the eyes.

**Figure 6. (Color online)** $M_1 = M_1/10$, $M_2$, and $M_3$ stability criteria for bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ as a function of pressure. The pressure for the onset of the amorphization process, $P_{am}$, in our experiments is indicated.
Figure 7. Pressure dependence of (a) $B_H$, (b) $G_H$, (c) $E_H$, (d) $v_H$, (e) $B_H/G_H$, and (f) $A$. Solid lines connecting the calculated data points are shown as a guide to the eyes. Results are shown in the Hill approximation.
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[Graph showing stability criteria for different pressures, with markers indicating critical pressures of 23.5 GPa and 26.0 GPa. The graph plots $M_1^*$ and $M_2$ stability criteria against pressure (GPa).]
Figure 7
High-pressure structural and elastic properties of Tl$_2$O$_3$
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Supplementary Material

First principles lattice-dynamics calculations based on DFT were performed with the PHONON code both at the zone centre (Γ point) and along high symmetry directions of the Brillouin zone (BZ) [1]. Highly converged results on forces are required for the calculation of the dynamical matrix using the harmonic approximation with the direct force constant approach [1, 2]. The construction of the dynamical matrix at the Γ point of the BZ involves separate calculations of the forces in which a fixed small displacement from the equilibrium configuration of the atoms within the relaxed cell is considered. The number of such independent displacements for the different structures is reduced due to the crystal symmetry. Diagonalization of the dynamical matrix provides the frequencies of the normal modes. Moreover, these calculations allow identifying the symmetry and eigenvectors of the phonon modes in each structure at the Γ point. In order to obtain the phonon dispersion curves we performed supercell calculations of size 2x2x2 (this means 640 atoms in the supercell) in order to obtain a good description of the phonon branches. Figure S1 shows the phonon dispersion curves of bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ at 0 and 34 GPa. It can be observed that at 34 GPa there are phonons with imaginary frequencies around the H point and between the Γ and P points of the BZ.

[1] K. Parlinsky, Computer Code PHONON. http://wolf.ifj.edu.pl/phonon.
Figure S1. (Color online) Phonon dispersion curves in bixbyite-type Tl$_2$O$_3$ at 0 GPa (a) and 34 GPa (b).