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Aim: To investigate the coefficient of repeatability (CR) for corneal parameters evaluated with Pentacam after laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) in myopic eyes.

Design and Setting: Prospective, non-interventional, non-comparative study in an institutional setup.

Materials and Methods: Forty eyes of 40 consecutive subjects who had undergone LASIK for myopia were assessed with the Scheimpflug system (Pentacam 70700: Oculus, Wetzlar Germany). The mean of five consecutive measurements of all the corneal parameters was recorded and CR was calculated as standard deviation of the difference from the mean of these repeat measurements divided by the mean response. The statistical significance of the CR was calculated for these parameters at 5% significance level.

Results: The best CR was observed for the periphery of the anterior corneal curvature (0.18%) and the least for the horizontal meridian of the posterior corneal curvature (1.29%). Despite being significantly different (P<0.001), both the measurements were highly repeatable in post-LASIK eyes. The central, apical and minimal corneal thickness had a CR of 1%, 0.78% and 0.77% respectively. These were equally repeatable (P>0.323). The CR of the mean radius of curvature of the anterior cornea (0.29%) was significantly better (P<0.001) than the posterior corneal curvature (0.57%).

Conclusion: The CR for the post-LASIK cornea with Pentacam was the best for the anterior corneal curvature. Significantly, Pentacam has a high degree of repeatability for the posterior corneal curvature, which has a potential for early detection of keratectasia in these eyes. Post-LASIK pachymetry with Pentacam also showed excellent repeatability.
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included in the analysis. LASIK was carried out on Allegretto Wave LASIK 200.

All measurements were taken between the hours of 9:00 AM and 5:00 PM. The subjects had been awake for at least one hour. The volunteers were explained the purpose of the study and informed consent was obtained. The study was performed in adherence to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was obtained for the study.

The Pentacam captures images of the anterior segment of the eye using blue light emitting diode (LED) and a rotating Scheimpflug camera. The patient was seated with his or her chin on the chinrest and forehead against the forehead strap and asked to fixate straight ahead on the fixation target (blue circular ring). The room lights were switched off for all examinations to get a reflex-free image. The operator focused and aligned real-time image of the patient’s eye on the computer monitor, with the machine marking the pupil edge, center and the corneal apex. Arrows displayed on the screen guided the operator to align the instrument in the horizontal, vertical and translatory axes. To reduce operator-dependent variables, the automatic release mode was used. The rotating camera captured up to 25 slit images of the anterior segment in less than two seconds. Only the scans with quality factor (QS) of >95% were chosen for analysis.

In this study, Pentacam Software V 2.73 r15 was used. The parameters analyzed were apical, central and thinnest pachymetry; horizontal, vertical, peripheral and mean radii of curvature from anterior as well as posterior corneal surface. Apical pachymetry was defined as the pachymetry at the point of highest elevation in the cornea while central pachymetry corresponded to the pupillary center. The peripheral radii of curvature was the mean radii of the zone between the 7 mm and 9 mm ring and mean radii of curvature was the mean central radii in the 3 mm zone. The ACD was calculated in the 3-D model from the back surface of the cornea to the anterior lens surface with undilated pupil and the anterior chamber volume (ACV) was calculated based on the integrated distances between the back surface of the cornea and the iris and lens in a 12 mm diameter around the corneal apex.

Our definitions of repeatability were based on the definitions adopted by the British Standards Institution.

Repeatability of the data on Pentacam was evaluated based on five successive scans obtained by the same operator in the right eye of each of the 40 patients. After every reading the Pentacam was moved backwards and realigned for the next scan to eliminate interdependence of the readings. For each patient, the coefficient of repeatability (CR) was defined as the standard deviation of the difference from the mean of these repeat measurements divided by the mean response. For repeatability, conditions were standardized by ensuring that independent test results were obtained with the same method, on the same subject, by the same operator and on the same set of equipment with the shortest possible time lapse between successive sets of readings.

Statistical analyses: Parametric tests were applied for analysis of difference as the distribution of data was not significantly different from normal (Q-Q plot for normal). Plots of the intra-observer differences against their means and the 95% limits of agreement (LoA) (mean difference ± 1.96 SD) were determined as suggested by Bland and Altman.10 Levene’s test was applied for testing the homogeneity of variances. The one-sample t-test, paired t test and one-way ANOVA were used for statistical significance. P values of less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel and SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

**Results**

The mean age of subjects enrolled was 24.3 ± 2.3 years (15 to 28 years) and included 12 males and 28 females. The mean ablation depth was 63.54 ± 30.2 microns (34 to 139 microns). The mean spherical equivalent (SEQ) after LASIK was -0.34 ± 0.14D and

| Table 1: Coefficient of repeatability and limits of agreement for anterior segment parameters on Pentacam in post-LASIK eyes |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Corneal parameter**                                      | **Mean (SD)** | **CR in post-LASIK eyes (%)** | **Mean difference +2SD** | **Mean difference -2SD** |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Horizontal anterior corneal curvature (mm)                  | 8.35 (0.08)  | 0.48                         | 0.089                     | -0.089                    |
| Vertical anterior corneal curvature (mm)                    | 8.2 (0.03)   | 0.46                         | 0.084                     | -0.084                    |
| Mean anterior corneal curvature (mm)                        | 8.30 (0.05)  | 0.29                         | 0.048                     | -0.049                    |
| Peripheral anterior corneal curvature (mm)                  | 8.10 (0.01)  | 0.18                         | 0.032                     | -0.033                    |
| Horizontal posterior corneal curvature (mm)                 | 6.51 (0.07)  | 1.29                         | 0.23                      | -0.232                    |
| Vertical posterior corneal curvature (mm)                   | 6.33 (0.05)  | 1.85                         | 0.43                      | -0.433                    |
| Mean posterior corneal curvature (mm)                       | 6.43 (0.06)  | 0.57                         | 0.08                      | -0.079                    |
| Peripheral posterior corneal curvature (mm)                 | 6.60 (0.02)  | 0.48                         | 0.09                      | -0.089                    |
| Pachymetry central (microns)                                | 473.30 (0.71) | 1                             | 15.88                     | -15.88                   |
| Pachymetry thinnest (microns)                               | 470.70 (2.12) | 0.77                         | 7.34                      | -7.34                    |
| Pachymetry apical (microns)                                 | 473.18 (2.07) | 0.78                         | 7.66                      | -7.66                    |
| Corneal volume (mm³)                                        | 58.35 (0.13) | 1.12                         | 2.42                      | -2.42                    |
| Anterior chamber volume (mm³)                               | 197.95 (5.24) | 2.1                          | 8.24                      | -8.24                    |
| Anterior chamber depth (mm)                                 | 3.25 (0.01)  | 0.98                         | 0.12                      | -0.12                    |

CR = Coefficient of repeatability, SD = Standard deviation
mean BCVA was 20/20. Mean values of all the parameters post LASIK are summarized in Table 1.

The central, apical and minimal corneal thickness had a CR of 1%, 0.78% and 0.77% respectively [Table 1]. These were equally repeatable (P=0.323). The 95% LoA plots as suggested by Bland and Altman indicated that 95% of the readings in the same session by the same observer were within ±2 SD. The LoA for the central, apical and thinnest pachymetry were ±15.88 micron, ±7.66 micron and ±7.34 micron, respectively [Fig. 1].

The CR was 0.48%, 0.46%, 0.29% and 0.18% for horizontal, vertical, mean and peripheral radii of curvature of the anterior corneal surface [Table 1]. The LoA were ±0.089 mm, ±0.084 mm, ±0.048 mm and ±0.032 mm respectively for horizontal, vertical, mean and peripheral radii of curvature of the anterior corneal surface [Fig. 2]. The CR on ANOVA was statistically different from CR of horizontal and vertical radii (P<0.001) while CR for mean radii of curvature and peripheral corneal curvature were not statistically different from each other (P=0.16). The CR for horizontal and vertical radii of corneal curvature was also statistically insignificant (P=0.97).

The CR was 1.29%, 1.85%, 0.57% and 0.48% for horizontal, vertical, mean and peripheral radii of curvature of the posterior cornea, respectively [Table 1]. The LoA were ±0.23 mm, ±0.43 mm, ±0.08 mm and ±0.09 mm, respectively for horizontal, vertical, mean and peripheral radii of curvature of the posterior cornea [Fig. 3]. The CR for vertical meridian on ANOVA was statistically different from mean (P=0.016) and peripheral corneal curvature (P=0.007) while CR for horizontal and mean radii of curvature and peripheral corneal curvature were not significantly different from each other (P=0.885). The CR for horizontal and vertical radii of corneal curvature was also statistically insignificant (P=0.49).

The CR was 2.1% and 0.98% for ACV and ACD respectively. The 95% LoA for ACV were ±8.24 mm³ and for ACD was ±0.12 mm, respectively [Fig. 4].

The CR for posterior corneal curvature was higher than that of anterior curvature, thereby indicating better repeatability for anterior corneal curvature and on paired t test was significantly different for horizontal (P<0.001), vertical (P=0.005), mean (P<0.001) and peripheral radii of curvature (P<0.001).

The most repeatable parameter with Pentacam was peripheral anterior corneal curvature (0.21%) and the least, the vertical posterior corneal curvature (1.42%), thereby indicating that the least variations were between the different readings for peripheral anterior corneal curvature taken in the same session by the same observer.

The CR for intra-observer repeatability in 49 normal subjects was not statistically different (P=0.25) from post-LASIK eyes for all parameters.

**Discussion**

The easy to use Pentacam is a rotating camera that captures and analyzes Scheimpflug images. It calculates the anterior corneal topography and pachymetry along with additional information on posterior corneal curvature and keratometric power. There is adequate comparative data in the literature but data are scarce regarding the repeatability of the measurements made by each instrument. Previous studies have reported the values to be consistent with existing standards like ultrasound pachymeter. Keeping in view the limitations of the various previous studies on three-dimensional (3-D) testing, the present study has been specifically designed to address the issue of repeatability of measurements made by Pentacam in post-LASIK eyes. The advantage of Pentacam over existing instruments is that it measures and derives all data and calculations from an internal 3-D mathematical software model using the measured height.
Figure 2: Bland Altman plot (limits of agreement) for repeatability of horizontal meridian of the anterior corneal curvature (A), vertical meridian of anterior corneal curvature (B), mean anterior corneal curvature (C) and peripheral anterior corneal curvature (D) on Pentacam

Figure 3: Bland Altman plot (limits of agreement) for repeatability of horizontal meridian of posterior corneal curvature (A), vertical meridian of posterior corneal curvature (B), mean corneal radii of posterior corneal curvature (C) and periphery of posterior corneal curvature (D) on Pentacam
Despite the overall success of LASIK, some issues still remain to be resolved. The biomechanical stability of the cornea is related to residual corneal thickness; therefore, postoperative pachymetry is very important, especially in candidates for enhancement surgery. Literature review reveals that there is considerable inter-instrument variation in post-LASIK pachymetry measurement.

In post-LASIK corneas Orbscan II underestimates the corneal thickness by 35 to 40 microns. This has been attributed to changes in the refractive index, magnification ratio of the posterior cornea, stromal haze, anterior contour of the cornea and inappropriate reconstruction algorithms in post-LASIK eyes. Non-contact specular microscopy has been used to measure pachymetry post-LASIK and reported to have no inter-observer difference. The authors, however, did not analyze the intra-observer repeatability of post-LASIK ultrasonic or Orbscan measurements.

Limits of agreement for the repeatability of central corneal thickness post LASIK was ±58.6 microns. Access to accurate, repeatable/reproducible, noninvasive pachymetry techniques would provide invaluable information, especially in the assessment of refractive surgery patients for stabilization of corneal parameters and also for early detection of post-LASIK keratectasia.

Our findings on Pentacam reveal excellent repeatability for central pachymetry with a variation of ±15.88 microns and even better for the thinnest pachymetry (of utmost significance in post-LASIK eyes) with an intra-observer variation of ±7.66 microns.

The changes in the anterior curvature may not directly be indicative of general corneal protrusion after refractive surgery. Mild degrees of post-LASIK keratectasia at an early stage may be better detected at the level of the posterior corneal surface, which is presumed to remain unchanged after uncomplicated LASIK. It is thus prudent to be able to accurately evaluate any change in posterior corneal curvature (for accurate evaluation, the measurements also need to be repeatable). Corneal ectasia after LASIK is reportedly uncommon with the prevalence estimated to be 0.66%.

In our analysis, Pentacam demonstrated the highest repeatability for the anterior corneal curvature and a very high degree of repeatability for the flat, steep and peripheral meridians of the posterior corneal curvatures. This shows that Pentacam can be used in serial follow-up of the posterior corneal curvature changes in post-LASIK eyes, which could help to identify and predict keratectasia following LASIK. The accuracy of these measurements, however, needs further evaluation and comparisons with the existing standards.

A change in ACD or ACV is not expected in post-LASIK eyes. The ACD/ACV measurements may be indirect predictors of forward protrusion of cornea. Although the accuracy of post-LASIK Orbscan measurements has not been reported, bulging or forward shifting of the posterior cornea after refractive surgery is believed to occur. Twa et al. showed that the difference in the comparison of posterior corneal surface curvature before and after LASIK was 0.60D measured by Orbscan System.

Recently, Nishimura et al. demonstrated that the posterior corneal curvature, peripheral corneal thickness, ACD and ACV were consistent post-LASIK showing that backward shifting of...
the peripheral posterior corneal surface due to corneal swelling after LASIK did not occur. Cairns et al.22 however had shown the forward shifting of the posterior cornea by 21.3 µm. This dissimilarity adds to concern over the accuracy of posterior corneal surface analysis in post-LASIK eyes using Orbscan. Whether this shift is a genuine physical ectasia or merely a potential artifact in the Orbscan measurements or in the assumption for analysis is uncertain.23 The “apparent (reported) depth” on Orbscan may thus be an underestimate.

Du et al. also reported that the pre and post-LASIK central ACD depth was lower as measured by Orbscan than by A-scan ultrasonography although this had no clinical significance.28 The measurement of ACD from Pentacam was found to be repeatable in normal subjects.29 The 95% LoA was within ±0.1 mm. The intra-observer CR for ACD in our analysis was 2.68% and LoA was ±0.37 mm in normal subjects while in post-LASIK eyes CR was 0.98% and LoA ±0.12 mm.

The excellent repeatability of the anterior and posterior corneal surfaces indicates that Pentacam provides reliable information as regards the curvature of anterior and posterior cornea, pachymetry and anterior chamber dimensions after LASIK, though the accuracy of these measurements have not been measured in the present study.

In conclusion, Pentacam is a non-contact, quick, repeatable, easy to use instrument which makes it a potentially versatile tool for studying the corneal thickness, anterior and posterior curvature in post-LASIK patients.
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