ABSTRACT

Objectives To examine general practitioners’ (GP) management of cholecystolithiasis and to evaluate persisting abdominal complaints in the years after the diagnosis.

Design Retrospective analysis of registry data and a subset of individual medical records.

Setting Seventeen primary care practices affiliated with the Radboudumc Practice Based Research Network in the Netherlands.

Participants 633 patients with cholecystolithiasis diagnosed between 2012 and 2016.

Primary and secondary outcome measures The primary outcome of this study was the healthcare utilisation of patients with cholecystolithiasis diagnosed by the GP in terms of referrals to secondary care, laboratory diagnoses, prescribed medication and the prevalence of concomitant abdominal-related diagnoses in a time interval of 3 years before and 3 years after diagnosis of cholecystolithiasis. For secondary outcomes, electronic medical records were studied from seven practices to assess emergency department visits, operation rates and repeat visits for persistent abdominal symptoms. We compared the non-referred group with the referred group.

Results In 57% of patients, concomitant abdominal-related diagnoses were recorded besides the diagnosis cholecystolithiasis. In-depth analyses of 294 patients showed a referral rate of 79.3% (n=233); 62.9% (n=185) underwent cholecystectomy. After referral, 55.4% (129/233) returned to the GP for persistent abdominal symptoms. Patients returning after referral were more often treated for another abdominal-related diagnosis before cholecystolithiasis was recorded (51.9% vs 28.8%, p<0.001).

Conclusions The majority of patients in general practice with gallstones are referred and undergo cholecystectomy. Patients with concomitant abdominal-related diagnoses are likely to return to their physician. GPs should inform patients about these outcomes to improve the shared decision-making process before gallbladder surgery.

INTRODUCTION

Cholecystolithiasis constitutes a significant and growing health problem in an increasingly obese population. Annually, Dutch hospital registrations record over 30 000 patients diagnosed with cholecystolithiasis, of whom 75% undergo surgery. In the USA, over 300 000 cholecystectomies are performed per year.1 There is clear consensus that cholecystectomy is indicated for patients who develop biliary complications such as cholecystitis and biliary pancreatitis. The indication is less clear for patients who present with cholecystolithiasis and abdominal pain.2-4

A recent clinical trial (SECURE-trial) addressed the lack of consensus on the indication for surgery for uncomplicated cholecystolithiasis. This trial randomised 1067 patients to usual care or a restrictive policy in which surgery was only performed after strict diagnostic criteria were met.5 After 1-year follow-up, 40% of the patients suffered from persistent abdominal pain regardless of the study arm. A possible explanation is that many patients with cholecystolithiasis and abdominal pain have features of functional gastrointestinal disorders, and this category in particular had a higher risk of persistent postoperative pain.6 The mediocre outcome of cholecystectomy supports the need for better patient selection for surgery and to better inform patients in order to manage expectations.

The information on the outcome of treatment for cholecystolithiasis patients is to a large extent derived from studies with a
secondary care perspective. Little is known on the diagnostic care pathway of patients with cholecystolithiasis within primary care. For this study, we collected clinical data of patients diagnosed with cholecystolithiasis in primary care and assessed their healthcare utilisation, presence of other abdominal-related diagnoses, and outcome of referral to secondary care. The purpose was to evaluate the outcomes of treatment for referred and non-referred patients, and second to determine differences in patients with and without cholecystectomy.

METHODS
Setting
We analysed registry data from 17 general practices affiliated with the Radboud University Medical Centre Practice Based Research Network in Nijmegen, the Netherlands. Subsequently, for in-depth analysis, we studied data from the medical records in a subset of seven affiliated practices. These practices were elected for feasibility purposes. Registry data extraction was performed by the Radboudumc Technology Centre Health Data (department for Primary and Community care), which provides support for extraction and secure storage of routine data. Informed consent from individual patients for a retrospective study with anonymised patient data is not mandatory under Dutch Law. We used the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology cohort checklist when writing our report.

Study population and patient selection
Patients were eligible for inclusion if aged 18 years and older and diagnosed with cholecystolithiasis between January 2012 and December 2016. Diagnosis was defined by the International Classification of Primary Care (ICPC), which allows categorisation of data in an episode of care structure. Patients were only eligible for inclusion if they were registered with their general practitioner (GP) at least 3 years prior and 3 years after the diagnosis cholecystolithiasis. This selection resulted in a complete time interval of 6 years to assess the diagnostic pathway, referral pattern and follow-up.

Variables and outcomes
For the primary outcome, we studied healthcare utilisation in the registry data. Healthcare utilisation was defined as laboratory diagnostics and prescribed medication for 6 years, 3 years leading up to the diagnosis of cholecystolithiasis plus 3 years of follow-up. Additionally, we assessed the number of recorded abdominal-related diagnoses (eg, abdominal pain, stomach-ache, acid-related disease, constipation, irritable bowel syndrome) within the same time frame, according to the registered ICPC codes (for details on collected registry data see online supplemental table S1).

For the secondary outcomes, the following data was extracted from the electronic medical records (EMRs) of individual patients: age, sex, body mass index (BMI), abdominal symptoms, presence of biliary colic, cholecystolithiasis on abdominal imaging (ultrasound, CT or MR cholangiopancreatography), department-specific referral, time interval between diagnosis and referral, acute biliary presentations in the emergency department (ED) and cholecystectomy. In referred patients, the number of repeat visits due to persistent abdominal symptoms and newly recorded abdominal pain-related diagnoses were assessed (for details on collected individual patient data see online supplemental table S2). Complaints recorded within 6 weeks of surgery were considered to be surgery related and were not scored.

Definitions for primary care treatment, referral and patients returning after referral
Initial treatment was defined as treatment by the GP (eg, wait-and-see policy with lifestyle advice or prescription of medication), or referral to secondary care with corresponding treatment. Referred patients were defined as patients who visited the hospital outpatient clinic of the department of surgery, gastroenterology or presented to the ED which was documented in the patient medical file. Patients treated in ED were regarded as patients with an acute biliary presentation (due to severe biliary colic, cholecystitis or biliary pancreatitis). Patients who were referred to another medical specialist or were only treated by the GP were considered as non-referred patients. Patients who returned to their GP for abdominal-related complaints after referral were considered as returning patients.

Comparison of patients with different treatment trajectories
Different patient groups were compared with assess characteristics or symptoms associated with referral and cholecystectomy. Patients with and without referral and patients with and without cholecystectomy were compared. Second, differences in treatment outcomes were determined between these groups regarding the number of patients returning for persistent abdominal symptoms.

Patient and public involvement
No patient involved.

Statistical analyses
Descriptive statistics were used to summarise baseline characteristics. Continuous variables were presented as mean with SD in case of normally distributed data and median with IQR for skewed data. Categorical variables were compared using the χ^2 test, and continuous data were compared using unpaired t-tests for normally distributed data or Mann-Whitney U tests for skewed data. To determine whether the individual patients were comparable in terms of age, gender and BMI to the registry cohort, these variables were tested for a significant difference. A p<0.05 indicated statistical significance. All statistical analyses were performed in IBM SPSS Statistics Software, V.25.
RESULTS

Patients

After exclusion of 6 patients under 18 years of age, a total of 633 patients from 17 practices were included in the registry analysis. For the in-depth analysis, 7 general practices from the network also provided data from medical files of 294 patients.

Registry data: the typical patient with cholecystolithiasis treated in primary care

Analysis of the registry data resulted in an infographic illustrating the typical patient with cholecystolithiasis treated in primary care (figure 1). The mean age at the time of the diagnosis of cholecystolithiasis was 54.7 years. Male:female ratio was 1:1.6 and the mean BMI was 29.8 kg/m². Liver function tests were performed in 511 out of 633 patients (80.7%). Medication was prescribed in 95% of patients. Most commonly prescribed medication were NSAIDs (83.5%), medication for gastric disease (79.5%) and other analgesics (61.3%).

During 6 years, concomitant abdominal-related diagnoses were recorded in 56.9% of patients. Most frequent recorded ICPC-codes were abdominal pain (30.5%), stomach ache (13.7%), constipation (10.6%) and acid-related disease (10.0%).

Patients with cholecystolithiasis: characteristics, referral patterns, operation rates and patients returning after referral

The medical files of 294 patients with cholecystolithiasis showed similar characteristics to the registry cohort in terms of age, sex and BMI (53.7 vs 54.7 years, p=0.36; 63.6% vs 63% female, p=0.67; and 29.5 kg/m² vs 29.8 kg/m², p=0.91, respectively). GPs documented the presence of a biliary colic in 57.5% of patients (169/294). Cholecystolithiasis was confirmed by imaging in 227 patients (77.5%). Two hundred and thirty-three patients (79.3%) were referred and 62.9% (n=185) underwent cholecystectomy. Patients were primarily referred to the surgery, gastroenterology or ED, in 42.5%, 10.3% and 47.2%, respectively. The median time interval between diagnosis and referral was 9 days (IQR 43). Figure 2 illustrates the differences in referral rate, referral location and the median time interval in weeks between the diagnosis and referral per primary care facility. No significant differences were observed in terms of referral rate and time interval to referral between the facilities.

After initial treatment, GPs recorded persistent abdominal symptoms in 52.4% of patients (n=154). Pain (38.4%) was the most commonly recorded diagnosis, followed by diarrhea (6.8%).

Comparison of patients with and without referral

Patients with referral did not differ from patients without referral in terms of age, sex, BMI, frequency of blood tests, and prescribed medication (table 1). GPs were more likely to refer patients to the hospital if a biliary colic was recorded (61.8% vs 41.0%, p=0.005). Moreover, during 6 years, referred patients more often visited their GP for abdominal symptoms (median 9 (IQR 8) vs 6 (IQR 6), p<0.001).
During a follow-up after the diagnosis cholecystolithiasis, persistent symptoms were more often recorded in referred patients compared with patients without a referral (55.4% vs 41%, p=0.045). Referred patients more often received an additional abdominal-related diagnosis after the diagnosis of cholecystolithiasis compared with non-referred patients (48.9% vs 34.4%, p<0.001). During a follow-up, 24% (56/233) of previously referred patients were referred to secondary care for a second time due to persistent abdominal symptoms.

Acute biliary presentations
One hundred and ten patients (47.2%) were referred to the ED with an acute biliary presentation. No significant difference was observed between patients presented to ED versus patients referred to other departments in terms of age, sex and BMI. After presentation in ED, with or without cholecystectomy, these patients recorded similar persistent symptoms and frequency of repeat GP visits compared with patients without a presentation in ED.

Comparison of patients with and without cholecystectomy
Cholecystectomised patients were younger (53.1 vs 57.7 years, p=0.045), their initial referral was more often to the department of surgery (49.2% vs 16.7%, p<0.001) and less to the department of gastroenterology (7.6% vs 20.8%, p<0.001) or the ED (43.2% vs 62.5%, p<0.001). Less patients who underwent cholecystectomy reported pain during a follow-up (36.2% vs 60.4%, p=0.002) (table 1).

Outcome after referral
After referral, 55.4% of patients consulted their GP for persistent abdominal symptoms. Patients who return to their GP for persistent abdominal symptoms after referral were more often diagnosed with concomitant abdominal-related diagnoses prior to the diagnosis of cholecystolithiasis (51.9% vs 28.8%, p<0.001). Returning patients also visited their GP more often before the diagnosis of cholecystolithiasis (3 (IQR 3) vs 2 (IQR 2), p<0.001) (table 2). A biliary colic was less often reported in returning patients (55.8% vs 69.2%, p=0.04).

**DISCUSSION**

**Summary**
During 6 years of follow-up, liver function tests were performed in 81% of patients and medication was prescribed in 95% of patients. More than half of the patients referred for the treatment of cholecystolithiasis return to their GP for persistent abdominal symptoms irrespective of cholecystectomy. Patients with previous abdominal-related diagnoses are more likely to return after referral compared with patients without other initial diagnoses. Pre-existing abdominal-related symptoms are a major cause of persistent symptoms after cholecystectomy, and GPs should be aware about these suboptimal outcomes of referral to secondary care.

We found that liver function tests were performed in 81% of patients, while international guidelines do not advocate the use of laboratory tests in patients with uncomplicated cholecystolithiasis because they do not contribute to the diagnosis. We also found that medication was prescribed in 95% of patients and NSAIDs were the most commonly prescribed drug. This is good practice, as a typical biliary colic should respond to simple analgesics.

Persistent abdominal symptoms after cholecystectomy are a clinical dilemma and are accompanied by a significant burden for patient and healthcare system. Causes for persisting pain relate to surgery, residual gallstones or undiagnosed alternative functional gastrointestinal disorders. The outcome of this study in a primary care setting is in line with existing surgical literature reporting persistent postoperative pain in 40% of patients. Analysis of the SECURE-trial, a recent randomised controlled trial designed to compare the conventional indication for cholecystectomy with a more restrictive and criteria-based strategy in patients with abdominal pain and ultrasound-proven gallstones, showed that the Rome III criteria (severe steady pain, lasting 30 min, located in the epigastrium and/or right upper quadrant) have limited validity in the selection of patients for cholecystectomy as these criteria are poorly associated with a postoperative pain-free state. Selection of patients with uncomplicated cholecystolithiasis for referral for surgery is just as challenging. Previous studies have shown that a proportion of patients with uncomplicated cholecystolithiasis who are initially treated conservatively, may never require surgery. There is an ongoing clinical trial in the UK that aims to randomise 430 patients to evaluate conservative medical management and cholecystectomy in terms of quality of life and cost-effectiveness. The background for this trial are two Norwegian studies which suggest that conservative management may be a safe alternative to surgery in selected patients. About 55% of the 201 patients randomised to conservative management in these trials
Table 1  Characteristics and outcomes of patients with and without referral and with and without cholecystectomy

| Characteristic                                      | All patients (N=294) | Referral (N=233) | No referral (N=61) | Cholecystectomy (N=185) | No cholecystectomy (N=48) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Age, mean (SD)                                       | 53.7 (14.2)          | 54.1 (14.0)      | 52.4 (15.1)       | 53.1 (14.2)             | 57.7 (12.9)*               |
| Sex, female (%)                                      | 187 (63.6)           | 147 (63.1)       | 40 (65.6)         | 122 (65.9)              | 25 (52.1)                  |
| BMI in kg/m², mean (SD)†                             | 29.5 (5.7)           | 29.7 (5.7)       | 28.5 (5.8)        | 30.0 (6.5)              | 28.9 (4.7)                 |
| Concomitant abdominal-related diagnosis, n (%)       | 182 (61.9)           | 148 (63.5)       | 34 (55.7)         | 117 (63.2)              | 31 (63.5)                  |
| Before diagnosis cholecystolithiasis                 | 116 (39.5)           | 93 (39.9)        | 23 (37.7)         | 74 (40.0)               | 19 (39.6)                  |
| After diagnosis cholecystolithiasis                  | 135 (45.9)           | 114 (48.9)       | 21 (34.4)§        | 89 (48.1)               | 25 (52.1)                  |
| No of consultations related to cholecystolithiasis or abdominal-related diagnoses, median (IQR) | 8 (9)                | 9 (8)            | 6 (6)‡            | 9 (8)                   | 11.5 (11.75)               |
| Before diagnosis of cholecystolithiasis              | 3 (3)                | 3 (3)            | 2 (2)‡            | 3 (3)                   | 3 (4)                      |
| After diagnosis cholecystolithiasis§                 | 6 (6)                | 6 (6)            | 4 (5)‡            | 6 (6)                   | 6.5 (9)                    |
| Biliary colic, n (%)                                 | 169 (57.5)           | 144 (61.8)       | 25 (41.0)‡        | 119 (64.3)              | 25 (52.1)                  |
| Referral to secondary care, n (%)                   | 233 (79.3)           | 233 (100)        | N/A               | 185 (100)               | 48 (100)                   |
| Dept. of surgery                                     | 99 (42.5)            | N/A              | 91 (49.2)         | 8 (16.7)*               |
| Dept. of gastroenterology                           | 24 (10.3)            | N/A              | 14 (7.6)          | 10 (20.8)*              |
| Emergency department                                 | 110 (47.2)           | N/A              | 80 (43.2)         | 30 (62.5)*              |
| Time interval between diagnosis and referral in days, median (IQR) | 9 (43)               | 9 (43)           | N/A               | 9 (42)                  | 8 (72.25)                  |
| GP consultation for persistent abdominal symptoms after initial treatment, n (%) | 154 (52.4)           | 129 (55.4)       | 25 (41.0)‡        | 97 (52.4)               | 32 (66.7)                  |
| Type of symptoms                                     |                      |                  |                   |                         |                           |
| Pain                                                 | 113 (38.4)           | 96 (41.2)        | 17 (27.9)         | 67 (36.2)               | 29 (60.4)*                 |
| Heartburn                                            | 12 (4.1)             | 6 (2.6)          | 6 (9.8)‡          | 6 (3.2)                 | 0 (0)                      |
| Diarrhoea                                            | 20 (6.8)             | 19 (8.2)         | 1 (1.6)           | 16 (8.6)                | 3 (6.3)                    |
| Other                                                | 9 (3.1)              | 8 (3.4)          | 1 (1.6)           | 8 (4.3)                 | 0 (0)                      |
| New referral to secondary care for persistent symptoms in 3 years after diagnosis of cholecystolithiasis, n (%) | 56 (19.0)            | 56 (24.0)        | N/A               | 41 (22.2)               | 15 (31.3)                  |
| Dept. of surgery                                     | 12 (4.1)             | 12 (5.2)         | N/A               | 9 (4.9)                 | 3 (6.3)                    |
| Dept. of gastroenterology                            | 30 (10.2)            | 30 (12.9)        | N/A               | 22 (11.9)               | 8 (16.7)                   |

Continued
Open access did not require surgery over a 14-year period. Another prospective cohort study by Berger et al in primary care patients with suspected cholecystolithiasis showed that neither biliary pain nor any other gastrointestinal complaint was related to cholecystolithiasis consistently. The authors concluded that before the diagnosis symptomatic cholecystolithiasis is made, other common gastrointestinal pathology (eg, reflux esophagitis and irritable bowel syndrome, should be excluded. In our study, 40% of patients consulted their GP with symptoms resulting in another abdominal-related diagnosis before the diagnosis cholecystolithiasis was set. Interestingly, this specific group of patients with a previous abdominal related diagnosis returned more often with persistent symptoms after referral. To aid clinicians to better select patients for cholecystectomy, we recently reported on an online decision tool. The variables included in this tool illustrate that patient characteristics, pain scores, surgical history and signs of functional gastrointestinal disorders are more the relevant factors to predict clinically relevant pain reduction after surgery.

Cholecystectomy is indicated for complicated gallstone disease (eg, cholecystitis, biliary pancreatitis), while consensus among surgeons and gastroenterologists for the selection for cholecystectomy in uncomplicated gallstone disease is absent. Underlying gastrointestinal disorders such as dyspepsia, heartburn, regurgitation or constipation hamper the outcome of cholecystectomy. Therefore, we advise GPs to address these specific disorders and rule them out as a potential cause of abdominal pain. Although we are aware that functional disorders as obstipation, dyspepsia, or heartburn are sometimes difficult to differentiate from biliary pain, the results of this study may be of help in the process of informing the patient and shared decision making about referral and surgery. This advice is endorsed by the results of this study and the results of a recent study in secondary care in which the role of abdominal-related disorders was evaluated in patients with cholecystolithiasis. We showed indecisive outcomes after surgery in 400 patients with abdominal pain and gallstones, especially if irritable bowel syndrome or dyspepsia were present. Noticeably, our study found that over half of patients with cholecystolithiasis also received concomitant abdominal-related diagnoses, while this percentage in the general population is around 40%. A wait-and-see policy with an

| Department               | Total (N=294) | Referral (N=233) | No referral (N=61) | Referral Cholecystectomy (N=185) | No cholecystectomy (N=48) |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Dept. of internal medicine | 7 (2.4)       | 7 (3.0)          | N/A                | 5 (2.7)                          | 2 (4.2)                  |
| Emergency department     | 7 (2.4)       | 7 (3.0)          | N/A                | 5 (2.7)                          | 2 (4.2)                  |

* A significant difference (p<0.05) was found between patients who did or did not receive a cholecystectomy.
† A significant difference (p<0.05) was found between referred and not referred patients.
‡ Complaints recorded within 6 weeks of surgery were considered to be surgery related and were not scored.

BMI, body mass index; GP, general practitioner; NA, not available.
interval of a few weeks is preferred, as this policy generally discriminates more adequately between biliary and non-biliary pain relative to additional diagnostic tests or an invasive gastrointestinal endoscopy.

The strength of the present multipractice study is the 3-year time interval before and after the diagnosis cholecystolithiasis. This interval optimised the assessment of the diagnostic pathway, medication prescription and referral pattern in this patient group. The analysis of EMRs of 294 patients provided real-life information on healthcare utilisation and type of received treatment in secondary care facilities. We acknowledge this study comes with some limitations. First, data were collected retrospectively, which may lead to information bias. Second, registry data laboratory diagnostics and prescribed medication may not only relate to the diagnosis cholecystolithiasis and detailed hospital data were not available. Finally, even though the EMRs ensure complete information, differentiation between different diagnoses may not always be accurate. GPs may record the diagnosis cholecystolithiasis without the presence of typical complaints. The analysis of the subset showed this may have applied to the registry data, as a biliary colic was only reported in 57% of patients. This illustrates the complexity of diagnosing patients with abdominal-related symptoms in primary care.

Implications for practice

This study found that patients with cholecystolithiasis are often referred to a hospital while half of these patients return with persistent abdominal symptoms. The high prevalence of abdominal-related diagnoses before the diagnosis of cholecystolithiasis in patients with repeat visits stresses the fact that GPs should be precarious when referring patients with non-typical complaints. Shared decision-making before referral is necessary, especially in patients with another initial abdominal-related diagnosis. It is pivotal to manage patients’ expectations on the outcomes of cholecystectomy early in the referral chain, making a clear distinction between the beneficial effect on biliary colic and questionable effect on non-biliary abdominal pain. This study adds to the growing awareness that there is no consensus within our medical community on the indication for cholecystectomy in patients with uncomplicated cholecystolithiasis and abdominal pain. GPs, gastroenterologists and surgeons are cordially invited to discuss the present findings and to collaborate on optimising criteria for diagnostics, referral and treatment of this large group of patients.
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