PYK2 promotes HER2-positive breast cancer invasion
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Abstract

Background: Metformin, a biguanide, is one of the most commonly prescribed treatments for type 2 diabetes and has recently been recommended as a potential drug candidate for advanced cancer therapy. Although Metformin has antiproliferative and proapoptotic effects on breast cancer, the heterogeneous nature of this disease affects the response to metformin leading to the activation of pro-invasive signalling pathways that are mediated by the focal adhesion kinase PYK2 in pure HER2 phenotype breast cancer.

Methods: The effect of metformin on different breast cancer cell lines, representing the molecular heterogeneity of the disease was investigated using in vitro proliferation and apoptosis assays. The activation of PYK2 by metformin in pure HER2 phenotype (HER2+/ER−/PR-) cell lines was investigated by microarrays, quantitative real time PCR and immunoblotting. Cell migration and invasion PYK2-mediated and in response to metformin were determined by wound healing and invasion assays using HER2+/ER−/PR- PYK2 knockdown cell lines. Proteomic analyses were used to determine the role of PYK2 in HER2+/ER−/PR- proliferative, migratory and invasive cellular pathways and in response to metformin. The association between PYK2 expression and HER2+/ER−/PR- patients’ cancer-specific survival was investigated using bioinformatic analysis of PYK2 expression from patient gene expression profiles generated by the Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium (METABRIC) study. The effect of PYK2 and metformin on tumour initiation and invasion of HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer stem-like cells was performed using the in vitro stem cell proliferation and invasion assays.

Results: Our study showed for the first time that pure HER2 breast cancer cells are more resistant to metformin treatment when compared with the other breast cancer phenotypes. This drug resistance was associated with the activation of PTK2B/PYK2, a well-known mediator of signalling pathways involved in cell proliferation, migration and invasion. The role of PYK2 in promoting invasion of metformin resistant HER2 breast cancer cells was confirmed through investigating the effect of PYK2 knockdown and metformin on cell invasion and by proteomic analysis of associated cellular pathways. We also reveal a correlation between high level of expression of PYK2 and reduced survival in pure HER2 breast cancer patients. Moreover, we also report a role of PYK2 in tumour initiation and invasion-mediated by pure HER2 breast cancer stem-like cells. This was further confirmed by demonstrating a correlation between reduced survival in pure HER2 breast cancer patients and expression of PYK2 and the stem cell marker CD44.

(Continued on next page)
**Background**

Cancer cells are constantly developing cellular mechanisms that confer resistance to chemotherapeutic compounds, and this leads to cancer recurrence and decreased survival in cancer patients [1, 2]. This therapeutic limitation is also observed in advanced breast cancer, where cancer cells escape the cytotoxic effects of chemotherapies by developing multiple drug resistance [3]. Metformin, a drug that is used for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, has been proposed as an alternative therapy of advanced breast cancer [4–7]. The interest in metformin as therapeutic compound, is based on meta-analyses that indicated that patients with type 2 diabetes have a reduced incidence of pancreatic, colorectal and breast cancers. An improvement in survival was also reported in metformin-treated type 2 Diabetes patients with colorectal, lung and liver cancers [8–11]. Although, in vitro studies of metformin effect on breast cancer cell lines confirmed the anti-proliferative potential of this drug [6, 12, 13], it is not yet clear whether the molecular heterogeneity of this disease interferes with the response to metformin treatment [14, 15].

The protein tyrosine kinase PYK2, also known as PTK2B, is a non-receptor tyrosine kinase involved in the regulation of cell growth, proliferation, survival, migration and invasion [16–18]. As a member of FAK (Focal Adhesion Kinase) family of kinases, PYK2 functions as a linker between transmembrane glycoproteins and actin cytoskeleton [19]. In cancer, PYK2 plays an important role in tumourigenesis, invasion and metastasis and its high level of expression in patients’ tumours correlates with poor outcomes [20–27]. In breast cancer, PYK2 expression is increased in early and advanced ductal breast cancer and correlates with an increased expression of HER2 [28]. Although this involvement could be explained by the role of PYK2 in breast cancer migration and invasion [24], its role in breast cancer chemoresistance and potentially in associated breast cancer recurrence is unknown.

In this study, we demonstrate that breast cancer cells characterised by the HER2 phenotype (HER2+/ER−/PR−) are more resistant to metformin treatment. We demonstrate that unlike cells that are luminal A, luminal B, claudin low or basal-like, HER2+/ER−/PR− (HER2) cells exhibit increased cell proliferation and decreased apoptosis, in response to metformin. More importantly, we report that metformin treatment leads to an increase in PYK2 expression that is associated with cell invasion of HER2+/ER−/PR− cells. These results were confirmed by proteomic analysis which indicated that several pathways involved in cancer invasion were affected following PYK2 knockdown. Furthermore, analysis of PYK2 expression from HER2+/ER−/PR− breast cancer patients indicates a correlation between high expression levels of PYK2 and patients’ reduced survival. Finally, we show a role of PYK2 in cancer initiation and in regulating self-renewal and invasion of HER2+/ER−/PR− cancer stem-like cells and in response to metformin. Overall, this study suggests that future applications of metformin in breast cancer therapy should consider the molecular heterogeneity of this disease, and particularly the HER2 breast cancer phenotype, to prevent the development of a more aggressive form of breast cancer, associated with metformin-based therapy.

**Methods**

**Cell lines, growth conditions and metformin treatment**

The human breast cancer cell lines BT-474, MCF-7, MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 and SkBr-3 were purchased from ATCC (ATCC-HTB-20, ATCC-HTB-22, ATCC-HTB-26, ATCC-HTB-132 and ATCC-HTB-30). The breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-453 was purchased from Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (DSMZ) (ACC65). All cell lines were cultured in their dedicated media. The cell lines were used, for the experiments, at a very low passage and were regularly morphologically checked. BT-474 cell line was cultured in Hybri-Care media. Minimum Essential Medium Eagles (EMEM) from SLS (Lonza) was used to culture the MCF-7 cell line with addition of 0.01 mg/ml insulin solution (SIGMA). LEIBOVITZ (L-15) media complemented with 1% L-Glutamine (SLS (Lonza)) was used for both MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-453. While, McCoy's 5A was used for culturing the SkBr-3 cell line. 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) was added to all types of media as supplementary agent in accordance with ATCC recommendations. BT-474, MCF-7, and SKBR-3 were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ while MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 are incubated at 37 °C, in humidified atmosphere without CO₂.

Metformin (1, 1-Dimethylbiguanide hydrochloride
97%, D150959-5G, Sigma-Aldrich, UK) was dissolved in culture media at concentrations of 1 M and 10⁻² M was used as a stock to prepare different concentrations for treatment of cells (1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25) mM and (0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5) mM consecutively.

**Generation of PYK2 knockdown cell lines**

Lentiviral PTK2B shRNA plasmids were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (MISSION shRNA Plasmid DNA protein tyrosine kinase 2 beta (PTK2B/ PYK2) SHCLND-NM_004103, 3-763S1C1 & NM_004103, 3-4018S21C1). The lentiviral packaging mix was also purchased from Sigma (SHP001). Plasmids were transfected using Lipofectamine™ 3000 Reagent (L3000001, ThermoFisher Scientific) and following manufacturer recommendations. The lentiviral particles used to infect SkBr3 and MDA-MB-453 were produced corresponding to the manufacturer recommendations and as previously described [29].

**Cell proliferation assay**

Cell proliferation described in Fig. 1 was measured using The CyQUANT® NF assay (Molecular Probes™ C35007) and following manufacturer recommendations. Fluorescence intensity was measured using a fluorescence microplate reader TECAN ULTRA fluorescence spectrophotometer with excitation at ~485 nm and emission detection at ~530 nm (Infinite® 200 PRO). Cell proliferation described in Fig. 3 was performed using the xCELLigence system, and cell index was measured following manufacturer recommendations. The results were analysed using RTCA software (Real-time cell analysis software Xcelligence).

**Cell apoptosis assay**

Cells were plated in 6-well plates at a density of 1 x 10⁵ cells/well in 2 ml of media and incubated for 24 h. The media was removed, and the cells were treated with different concentrations of metformin (0-25 mM) and incubated for 24 h and 48 h, respectively. The cells were collected after 24 and 48 h of treatment, and the number of cells were counted by the Chemometec Nucleo Counter® NC-250 TM (Chemometec). The tubes were incubated in the dark for 15 min and 350 μL of Annexin V Binding Buffer and 10 μL of 1/20 of Propidium Iodide solution (PI) were added. The proportion of living cells, early apoptotic cells, and necrotic cells were determined using a flow cytometer (Beckmen Coulter Gallios Flow Cytometer).

**Immunoblotting**

Immunoblotting was performed as previously described [30]. In brief, metformin-treated and untreated breast cancer cells were collected, washed with 1X PBS, lysed in 1X solution containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 100 mM dithiothreitol, 2% (w/v) SDS, 0.1% (w/v) bromophenol blue and 10% (v/v) glycerol, and loaded on Tris/glycine SDS-polyacrylamide gels. The proteins on gels were transferred onto Amersham Hybond-P PVDF membranes (GE Healthcare, Life science, Chalfont, UK). Membranes were blocked with 10% (w/v) Marvel milk/tris-buffered saline (TBS) solution with 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20 (TBST), washed in TBST and incubated with primary antibodies (PYK2 Antibody (9H12L1), 1: 500 for IB, 700183, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific; anti-b-actin, 1:5000 for IB, A5441, Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) in (blocking solution) overnight at 4°C, and followed by washing and incubation with secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature, prior to visualisation using Rapid Step ECL reagent (Calbiochem, Billerica, MA, USA) and a CCD camera -Western blot imager (Syngene).

**Gene expression and microarrays analyses**

Microarrays analysis were performed from RNAs extracted from cells using STAT 60 and RNeasy Mini Kit (50) from QIAGEN and following the manufacturer’s instructions protocol. 200 ng of total RNA was labelled using Agilent low input QuickAmp one-color labelling kit. Quality of labelling checked using nanodrop 8000 and hybridised onto an Agilent Human GE 4x44K v2 microarrays at 65°C for 16 h. Slides were washed and scanned using a GenePix pro-4100A scanner, quality controls and raw data of the scanned images were generated using Agilent feature extraction software V11.0. Raw data was normalised using Partek Genomic Suit V 6.0 and used for ANN analysis. Microarrays gene expression data were used for pathways analysis using Panther Pathway. Gene expression of IRF-9, PTK2B, C2orf42 and DHFR2 were assessed by Real-time quantitative PCR using the following primers: FH1_IRF9: 5′-CTCAGAAA GTACCATCAAAGC-3′; RH1_IRF9: 5′- TCATTATG AGGGAGTCCCTG-3′; FH1_PYK2: 5′-AATGCACTT- GACAAGAAGTC-3′; RH1_PYK2: 5′-GCTTTAAGTTC TCCTGCACTC-3′; FH1_C2orf42: 5′-AGCTTTGGTG CGGAAAGATG-3′; RH1_C2orf42: 5′-GCATCTCTG GGGAATCTAAAG-3′; FH1_DHFR2: 5′-CGCTGTGTCC AAAAACATGG-3′; RH1_DHFR2: 5′-GAATTCAATTC CTGAGGCGGC-3′. The gene expression microarrays data was deposited in the online database ArrayExpress under the accession number: E-MTAB-7737.

**Mass spectrometry analysis**

Cell lysates (100 µg) were reduced/alkylated and digested as described previously. Next, samples were de-salted and concentrated using HyperSep C₁₈ spin
tips (10-200 μL size) (Thermo Scientific) using the manufacturer recommended protocol. Samples were dried and resuspended in 5% acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid for MS analysis in both SWATH and IDA (information dependent acquisition) modes.

**Mass spectrometry**

Each sample was analysed on a SCIEX TripleToF 6600 mass spectrometer coupled in line with an Eksigent ekspert nano LC 425 system running in microflow as described previously [31] with minor modifications. In
brief, 6 µg (3 µL) of sample was injected via trap/elute. The following linear gradients were used (5 µL/min): mobile phase B (acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid) over mobile phase A (0.1% formic acid) as follows: SWATH (57 min run) increasing from 3 to 30% over 38 min, 30 to 40% over 5 min, 40 to 80% over 2 min; IDA (87 min run) increasing from 3 to 30% over 68 min, 30 to 40% over 5 min, 40 to 80% over 2 min followed by wash and re-equilibration.

Library generation, spectral alignment and fold change analysis
IDA mass spectrometry files were searched using ProteinPilot software 5.0.1 (SCIEX) with the following search criteria: exclude biological modifications, thorough ID, searching the UniProt Swiss-Prot human database (March 2018 release). The resulting ion library file was aligned using endogenous peptides to the SWATH files and processed using the OneOmics cloud processing platform (SCIEX, Warrington UK) as described previously [32].

Sphere formation assay
Metformin-treated and untreated SKBR-3 cells were harvested and counted and then cultured in complete Mammocult™ medium (Stem Cell Technologies) in ultra-low attachment plates (Corning) at a density of 2 × 10⁴ viable cells/mL. The number of spheres that developed in each condition was counted after 10 days culture in a 5% CO₂, humidified incubator at 37 °C.

Flow cytometry
Analysis of CD44+/CD24−/low breast CSC surface markers expression
The spheres were collected by gentle centrifugation and dissociated using trypsin-EDTA. The resulting single cells were then washed in PBS prior to the addition of fluorochrome-conjugated monoclonal antibodies against human CD44 (APC; clone IM7) and CD24 (PE; clone ML5) (Biolegend) for 30 min at 4 °C in the dark. The LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable Violet Dead stain (Invitrogen) was used for dead cells exclusion. The labelled cells were then washed in PBS, re-suspended in Coulter Isoton™ diluent and analysed on a Gallios™ flow cytometer using Kaluza™ v1.3 acquisition and analysis software (Beckman Coulter).

AldeRed ALDH detection assay
The AldeRed™ Detection Assay (SCR150, Merck Millipore) was used in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 2 × 10⁵ cells were incubated with the AldeRed 588-A substrate for 40 min at 37 °C, baseline fluorescence was established using negative control samples incubated with the ALDH1 inhibitor, diethylaminobenzaldehyde (DEAB). Subsequently, cells were centrifuged, re-suspended in AldeRed assay buffer and analysed on a Gallios™ flow cytometer using Kaluza™ v1.3 acquisition and analysis software (Beckman Coulter).

Cell migration and invasion assays
A wound-healing assay (Scratch assay) was applied to different breast cancer PYK2 knockdown cell lines following this protocol. Briefly, the cells were counted to 1 × 10⁶ cells and seeded in 6 well plates with 2 mL of cell dedicated media supplemented with Puromycin (3 µg/mL) as non-treated cells and supplemented with Puromycin and Metformin (1 µL/mL) as treated cells. The following day the media was removed and instead serum-free media was added (to prevent cell proliferation). After 24 h, the media was removed, and the cells were washed with 1 mL of DPBS for each well. Scratches were performed vertically by using a 200 µL pipette tip. The cells were washed twice with 0.5 mL (DPBS) and fresh serum-free media was added to each well (with or without metformin). The measurements were carried out at time 0 and 48 h by utilising the LCM and Axiovision software for imaging the scratches. The invasion assay has been implemented according to Cultrex® BME Cell Invasion Assay 96 well kit (R&D Systems) manufacturer protocol. This assay has been performed using untreated and metformin-treated MDA-MB-453 and SkBr3 control and PYK2 knockdown cell lines following the manufacturer’s recommendations. For the stem cell invasion assay, untreated and treated spheres were dissociated to obtain single cells for the invasion assay. The cells in the bottom chamber were labelled by Calcein AM and the quantification of the number of cells was performed using the plate reader Infinite M200 Pro TECAN at 585 nm excitation and 520 nm emission.

Statistical analysis
The analysis was performed using patient gene expression profiles generated by the Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium (METABRIC) study [33] using Illumina HT-12 v3.0 Gene Expression BeadChip. For the here conducted study, patients were selected with a recorded overall survival (OS) (censored or complete) of ≤5 years. This resulted in a total number of 610 patients. Of these 610 patients, 84 were a pure HER2+ population. Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed on a median separation of the population by gene expression, resulting in equal patient numbers per group. Median survival time is shown in years for each group. Correlation analysis was performed using Pearson correlation. A p-value below 0.05 was considered significant. The probe ID used for the PTK2B (PYK2) analysis was ILMN_1732318.
Results
HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer cells are resistant to metformin treatment

To investigate the effect of metformin on the different subtypes of breast cancer, we investigated its effect on the proliferation and apoptosis of BT-474 (Luminal B), MCF-7(Luminal A), MDA-MB-231(Claudin low), MDA-MB-468 (Basal-like) and SkBr3 (HER2) cell lines. The cells were treated with increasing concentrations of metformin: 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 mM, and a proliferation assay was performed 24 h and 48 h following treatment (Fig. 1 a and b). All metformin-treated breast cancer cell lines showed a decreased proliferation 24 h and 48 h post-treatment, however, we observed that the proliferation of the HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer cell line was the least affected by metformin 48 h post-treatment (Fig. 1b). Apoptosis experiments that were performed and analysed by flow cytometry using the apoptosis marker annexin V, indicated that SkBr3 cells and although not biologically significant, had less apoptotic cells 24 h and 48 h following treatment, and when compared to MCF-7, MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cell lines (Fig. 1c and d). Further apoptosis analysis using another HER2 breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-453 resulted in similar results to the ones obtained with SkBr3 (Additional file 1: Figure S1A) These results demonstrate that the SkBr3 breast cancer cells, characterised by the HER2+/ER−/PR- phenotype (HER2), are more resistant to metformin than as they were less affected by the apoptotic effect of metformin and could maintain a higher proliferation ability compared to the other breast cancer cell lines.

Metformin promotes the expression of PYK2 in HER2+/ER−/PR- cells

The resistance of SkBr3 cells to metformin is likely to be driven by the expression of genes and associated cellular pathways. To investigate this, we performed microarrays analysis of mRNAs from 48 h metformin-treated (1 mM concentration) and untreated SkBr3 cells. Several genes that were upregulated and downregulated in response to metformin treatment were identified (Fig. 1e). The results of microarray analysis were confirmed by qRT-PCR through investigating the levels of gene expression of PYK2 (Protein Tyrosine Kinase 2 Beta) and IRF9 (Interferon regulatory factor 9) that were found upregulated, and C2ORF42 (Chromosome 2 Open Reading Frame 42) and DHFR2 (dihydrofolate reductase 2) that were found downregulated (Fig. 1f). PYK2 role in tumourigenesis and in breast cancer invasion is well known [24, 34], and thus, we selected this molecule for further studies to determine its role in HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer and in response to metformin treatment. To confirm the increased expression of PYK2 in HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer cells following metformin treatment, immunoblotting experiments were performed with PYK2 antibody and using whole cell extracts from SkBr3 and MDA-MB-453 breast cancer cell lines. The MDA-MB-453 is another HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer cell that was used for this study. The results confirmed the increase of PYK2 expression at the protein level and in response to metformin treatment (Fig. 1g and h). This increase was also observed for the triple positive breast cancer cell line BT-474 but was not observed for the other cell lines tested (Additional file 1: Figure S1 D and E).

PYK2 promotes migration and invasion of HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer cells and in response to metformin treatment

To investigate the potential role of PYK2 in migration and invasion of HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer cells and in response to metformin (1 mM), SkBr3 and MDA-MB-453 PYK2 knockdown cell lines were generated (Fig. 2a and b, Additional file 1: Figure S1B and C). Cell migration and invasion investigated using scratch and cell-well invasion assays, demonstrated significant decreases in these processes in metformin-untreated PYK2 knockdown cells, and when compared to the control (pLKO.1 empty vector) (Fig. 2 c and d, and Fig. 3 a and b). A decrease in cell migration and invasion was also observed in metformin-treated PYK2 knockdown cells, and when compared to the control (pLKO.1 empty vector) (Fig. 2 c and d, Fig. 3 a and b, and Additional file 2: Figure S2). These results indicate that metformin-induced migration and invasion in SkBr3 and MDA-MB-453 breast cancer cell lines may require PYK2. On the contrary, PYK2 knockdown increased cell proliferation of SkBr3 and MDA-MB-453 cells, suggesting an antiproliferative function of PYK2 in HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer cell lines (Fig. 3c and d, Additional file 2: Figure S2). Although metformin treatment did not affect the proliferation of SkBr3 PYK2 knockdown cells, a significant decrease was observed in MDA-MB-453 PYK2 knockdown cells. Collectively, these results demonstrate that PYK2 plays a dual role in tumourigenesis and cancer progression via promoting invasion and preventing proliferation of HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer cells (Fig. 3e).

High level of PYK2 expression correlates with decreased survival of HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer patients

Although a previous study has shown a correlation between high level of expression of PYK2 and breast cancer progression [34], the role of PYK2 in this process and specifically in HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer is still unclear. To examine this, the association of PYK2 expression with cancer-specific survival in a cohort of patients with HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer was...
investigated by bioinformatics analysis of *PYK2* expression using patient gene expression profiles generated by the Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium (METABRIC) study [33]. In this analysis, the capacity of high or low expression of *PYK2* to predict clinical outcome was assessed. A significant reduction in cancer-specific survival ($\chi^2 = 6.109, P = 0.0134$) over a 5-year term was associated with high expression of *PYK2*, and when compared to cancer-specific survival in patients with low expression of *PYK2* (Fig. 4a). This result was further confirmed using Cox regression analysis (Fig. 4b) Although analysis of the capacity of high or low expression of *PYK2* to predict cancer-specific survival in the total cohort of patients showed a non-statistically significant reduction associated with high expression of *PYK2* ($\chi^2 = 1.19, p = \text{ns}$) (Fig. 4c), the same analysis excluding HER2+/ER−/PR− breast cancer patients resulted in no difference in cancer-specific survival between low and high expression of *PYK2* ($\chi^2 = 1.107, p = \text{ns}$) (Fig. 4d).
Cellular pathways associated with PYK2 function in HER2+/ER−/PR− cells and in response to metformin treatment

To further investigate the role of PYK2 in proliferation and invasion of HER2+/ER−/PR− breast cancer cells, we performed mass spectrometry analyses of protein extracts from untreated control (pLKO.1 empty vector) and untreated and treated PYK2 knockdown SkBr3 cells (Fig. 4a and b). 3546 proteins were quantified by SWATH-MS and processed using OneOmics. The analyses identified several significantly differentially expressed proteins that were common to both untreated control (pLKO.1 empty vector) vs. untreated PYK2 knockdown and untreated control (pLKO.1) vs. treated PYK2 knockdown (Fig. 5a, b, c d and Additional file 5: Data S2). Downregulated proteins common to both groups were associated with cellular pathways such as
cell adhesion, migration, invasion, tumour suppression and apoptosis (Fig. 5c), whereas, the upregulated proteins were mostly associated with cell metabolism (Fig. 5d). Proteins that were specifically found in untreated control vs. PYK2 knockdown, and that were downregulated, are involved in cell adhesion, migration and invasion (Fig. 5e); while the upregulated ones are involved in metabolism, transcription and tRNA processing (Fig. 5f). Proteins that were specifically found in untreated control vs. treated PYK2 knockdown and that were downregulated, are mainly involved in translation (downregulated expression) (Fig. 5g), while the upregulated ones are involved in metabolism and protein processing (upregulated expression) (Fig. 5h). Several proteins that involved in cell adhesion, migration, invasion, tumour suppression and apoptosis are also found upregulated and downregulated in MDA-MB-453 untreated control (pLKO.1) vs. untreated or treated PYK2 knockdown (Additional file 3: Figure S3 and Additional file 6: Data S3). Although several pathways appear to be involved in PYK2 function in HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer cells, the above results confirm our observations of the role of PYK2 in cell migration and invasion.

PYK2 promotes cell invasion of HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer stem-like cells and in response to metformin treatment

Cancer stem-like cells are associated with chemoresistance and recurrence in patients following chemotherapy [35]. PYK2 has been shown to promote breast cancer stem cell enrichment in response to chemotherapy [36]. To investigate this possibility, sphere formation assays (self-renewal) were performed using untreated and treated control (pLKO.1 empty vector) and PYK2 knockdown SkBr3 cells, and sphere size and number were assessed. The sphere size of PYK2 knockdown cells was significantly larger than the control, however, their number was significantly lower compared to the control (Fig. 6a, b and c). These differences were more significant in response to metformin treatment. Breast cancer stem cells are characterised by the phenotype CD44<sup>High</sup>/CD24<sup>Low</sup>/− and ALDH<sup>High</sup>
and therefore, we investigated if our sphere forming cells possess this phenotype, and if this correlates with the results of sphere formation assays. Indeed, our spheres were found to be enriched with cells that had a CD44 High/CD24Low/− and ALDH High phenotype (Fig. 6d and e). Moreover, knockdown of PYK2 resulted in a decrease of the percentage of CD44 High/CD24Low/− and ALDH High cells, while metformin treatment promoted the enrichment of these cells (Fig. 6d and e). We also investigated the invasive capacity of untreated and metformin-treated control and PYK2 knockdown SkBr3 cells and found that PYK2 knockdown significantly reduced their invasive potential (Fig. 6f). The results above from initiation, self-renewal and invasion also indicate that the effect of metformin is PYK2-dependent. Finally, multivariate cox regression analysis demonstrates a significant reduction in cancer-specific survival over a 5-year term and that was associated with co-expression of PYK2 and the stem cell marker CD44 (Fig. 6g). This reduction was not affected by metformin treatment. Taken together, these results indicate that metformin promotes invasion mediated by HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer stem cells.

Discussion

Although significant progress has been made in developing novel chemical compounds for cancer therapy, drug resistance is becoming a serious therapeutic challenge that hinders the efficiency of chemotherapies [1, 2]. As a result, drug resistance is responsible for cases of relapse and recurrence that lead to decreased survival in treated cancer patients. Thus, a better understanding of the mechanisms of chemoresistance will significantly contribute to the design and selection of more efficient compounds. Metformin is a small molecule drug that is
Fig. 6 (See legend on next page.)

| Variable            | HR (95% CI) | p-value | β-value |
|---------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Multivariate Cox regression analysis |
| PYK2                | 11.822 (2.954 to 47.314) | 0.0000 2.470 |
| CD44                | 1.567 (1.006 to 2.442)   | 0.047 0.450 |
successfully used for the treatment of diabetes type 2 and that has been suggested as a new therapeutic drug for several types of cancer. The choice of this drug for cancer therapy is based on epidemiologic reports that indicated that cancer patients affected with diabetes type 2 and treated with metformin, have reduced cancer risk and improved clinical outcomes [38–41]. Metformin exerts its anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic functions by inhibiting mitochondrial complex I and by activating AMPK (5′ AMP-activated protein kinase). These actions lead to (i) the increase in endogenous levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative stress, resulting in cell death of cancer cells; (ii) activation of AMPK that inhibit mTOR pathway and activation of the tumour suppressor p53 [42–47].

Breast cancer is a heterogenous disease that can be classified into different molecular subtypes based on clinico-pathology assessment, testing for hormonal (ER/PR) receptors and amplification of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2). Treatment of breast cancer varies corresponding to the molecular subtype and involves endocrine therapies that target the oestrogen-receptor positive subtype, HER2 antibody-based targeting of HER2-positive subtype; and chemotherapy for the triple negative breast cancer [48–50]. Unfortunately, resistance to these therapies is also observed in aggressive breast cancer and needs for new chemotherapeutic compounds are required. In this regard, metformin has been suggested as potential drug for the treatment of breast cancer due to reduced cancer incidence in metformin-treated patients, and its anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic effects on cancer cells.

In this study, we investigated the effect of metformin on cancer cell lines reflecting different breast cancer subtypes. Although, metformin effects were significantly noticeable in all studied breast cancer cell lines, we found that HER2+/ER−/PR− cancer cells were the most resistant to metformin. Interestingly, this resistance was associated with the activation of PYK2, a well-known molecule involved in proliferation, survival, migration and invasion. PYK2 has been involved in mediating downstream signalling of Integrins, GrRH, CCKR, inflammation-mediated by chemokine and cytokine pathways, via ERK/MAP kinase, PI3K/STAT3, WNT/β-catenin signalling pathways [26]. PYK2 expression has also been shown to increase in early and advanced ductal breast cancer, and this correlated with increased expression of HER2 [28]. The increase of PYK2 expression following metformin treatment may be explained by metformin activation of AMPK signalling that trigger the ERK/MAP kinase pathway leading to PYK2 activation [51, 52]. These observations prompted us to investigate further its role in HER2+/ER−/PR− cancer cell proliferation and invasion, and in response to metformin. We found that although metformin prevented proliferation, it also induced a PYK2-mediated cell invasion of HER2+/ER−/PR− cancer cells, and this was further confirmed by proteomic analysis. For instance, several proteins that are commonly downregulated in untreated and treated PYK2 knockdown cells such as the Rho GDP-dissociation inhibitor 2 GDIR2 (also known as ARHGDIB) are involved in regulating cell adhesion, migration and invasion. GDIR2 negatively regulates actin reorganisation, that is mediated by Rho family members, by regulating the GDP/GTP exchange reaction of Rho proteins [53, 54]. Another example is the inositol-3-phosphate synthase INO1, that is found downregulated, plays a role in p53-mediated growth suppression associated with myo-inositol biosynthesis pathway [55, 56]. Commonly upregulated proteins in untreated and treated PYK2 knockdown cells are associated with the upregulation of several proteins involved in cellular metabolism that is
essential tumour growth and progression. Furthermore, we also demonstrate that a high expression of PYK2 is associated with a significant reduction in cancer-specific survival of HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer patients. PYK2 may also promote the emergence of HER2+/ER−/PR- cancer stem-like cells that may play a role in cancer chemoresistance, relapse and recurrence in patients who may receive metformin treatment in the future. This was further confirmed by demonstrating a correlation between reduced survival in pure HER2 breast cancer patients and expression of PYK2 and the stem cell marker CD44. Although clinical trials are ongoing, testing this possibility in the future using samples from metformin-treated breast cancer patients and when available, will certainly shed light on potential induction of cancer invasion by metformin in treated patients.

**Conclusions**

Our data indicates that metformin promotes HER2+/ER−/PR- breast cancer invasion through mechanisms involving PYK2, and that future treatments should consider potential complications resulting from metformin-based therapies.
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