A sensing array of radically coupled genetic ‘biopixels’
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Although there has been considerable progress in the development of engineering principles for synthetic biology, a substantial challenge is the construction of robust circuits in a noisy cellular environment. Such an environment leads to considerable intercellular variability in circuit behaviour, which can hinder functionality at the colony level. Here we engineer the synchronization of thousands of oscillating colony ‘biopixels’ over centimetre-length scales through the use of synergistic intercellular coupling involving quorum sensing within a colony and gas–phase redox signalling between colonies. We use this platform to construct a liquid crystal display (LCD)–like macroscopic clock that can be used to sense arsenic via modulation of the oscillatory period. Given the repertoire of sensing capabilities of bacteria such as Escherichia coli, the ability to coordinate their behaviour over large length scales sets the stage for the construction of low cost genetic biosensors that are capable of detecting heavy metals and pathogens in the field.

Synthetic biology can be broadly broken down into the ‘top-down’ synthesis of genomes and the ‘bottom-up’ engineering of relatively small genetic circuits. In the field of genetic circuits, toggle switches and oscillators have progressed into triggers, counters and synchronized clocks. Sensors have arisen as a major focus in the context of biotechnology, while oscillators have provided insights into the basic-science functionality of cyclic regulatory processes. A common theme is the concurrent development of mathematical modelling that can be used for experimental design and characterization, as in physics and the engineering disciplines.

The synchronization of genetic clocks provides a particularly attractive avenue for synthetic biology applications. Oscillations permeate science and technology in a number of disciplines, with familiar examples including alternating current (AC) power in the context of biotechnology, while oscillators have provided insights into the basic-science functionality of cyclic regulatory processes. A common theme is the concurrent development of mathematical modelling that can be used for experimental design and characterization, as in physics and the engineering disciplines.

The synchronization of genetic clocks provides a particularly attractive avenue for synthetic biology applications. Oscillations permeate science and technology in a number of disciplines, with familiar examples including alternating current (AC) power, the global positioning system (GPS) and lasers. These technologies have demonstrated that operating in the frequency domain can offer considerable advantages over steady-state designs in terms of information gathering and transmission. In particular, oscillatory oscillators confer a number of advantages to traditional ones, as frequency is easily digitized and can be quickly updated with repeated measurements. For sensors that use optical reporters, measurements of frequency are less sensitive to experimental factors such as beam power and exposure time than intensity measurements, which must be normalized and calibrated.

Although the bottom-up approach to synthetic biology is increasingly benefiting from DNA synthesis technologies, the general design principles are still evolving. In this context, a substantial challenge is the construction of robust circuits in a cellular environment that is governed by noisy processes such as random bursts of transcription and translation. Such an environment leads to considerable intercellular variability in circuit behaviour, which can impede coherent functionality at the colony level. An ideal design strategy for reducing variability across a cellular population would involve both strong and long-range coupling that would instantaneously synchronize the response of millions of cells. Quorum sensing typically involves strong intercellular coupling over tens of micrometres, yet the relatively slow diffusion time of molecular communication through cellular media leads to signalling delays over millimetre scales. Faster communication mechanisms, such as those mediated in the gas phase, may increase the length scale for instantaneous communication, but are comparatively weak and short lived because the vapour species more readily disperse.

Synergistic synchronization

To develop a frequency-modulated biosensor, we designed a gene network capable of synchronizing genetic oscillations across multiple scales (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1). We constructed an LCD-like microfluidic array that allows many separate colonies of sensing bacteria to grow and communicate rapidly by gas exchange (Fig. 1b, c and Supplementary Fig. 9). As previous work has demonstrated that coupling through quorum sensing leads to coherent oscillations at the millimetre scale, this mode of cellular communication is too slow for the generation of macroscopic synchronized oscillations. However, the slower quorum sensing can be used to synchronize small local colonies, provided there is a second level of design that involves faster communication for coordination between the colonies. Therefore, rather than attempting to engineer a sensor from a single large-colony oscillator, we wired together thousands of small oscillating colonies, or ‘biopixels’, in a microfluidic array. Coupling between biopixels involves redox signalling by hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) and the native redox sensing machineries of E. coli. The two coupling mechanisms act synergistically in the sense that the stronger, yet short-range, quorum sensing is necessary to coherently synchronize the weaker, yet long-range, redox signalling. Using this method we demonstrate synchronization of approximately 2.5 million cells across a distance of 5 mm, over 1,000 times the length of an individual cell (Fig. 1c, d and Supplementary Movies 1 and 2). This degree of synchronization yields extremely consistent oscillations, with a temporal accuracy of about 2 min compared to 5–10 min for a single oscillator (Fig. 1d).

The global synchronization mechanism is comprised of two modes of communication that work on different scales. The quorum-sensing
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We investigated the effects of catalase and superoxide dismutase (SOD) to probe the nature of H2O2 communication. When a population of synchronized colonies was exposed to a step increase of 200 U ml−1 catalase, an enzyme that rapidly degrades extracellular H2O2, synchronization was broken and colonies continued to oscillate individually (Supplementary Fig. 3). As the cell membrane is impermeable to catalase, asynchronous colony oscillations confirm that communication between colonies depends on external H2O2 whereas oscillations within a colony do not. Conversely, when we enhanced the rate of superoxide conversion to H2O2 by expressing sodA from an additional lux promoter, colonies quickly fired in a spatial wave and failed to oscillate further despite no changes to growth rate or cell viability (Supplementary Fig. 4). Because H2O2 is produced internal to the cell, this confirms that H2O2 is capable of escaping the cell and activating lux-regulated genes in neighbouring colonies via diffusion. The apparent higher output of H2O2 by SOD as compared to NDH-2 is probably due to its very high catalytic efficiency. Lastly, we observed synchronization between arrays of traps even when they were fluidically isolated but held in close proximity (Supplementary Fig. 5). These devices share no common fluid sources or channels, making communication by dissolved molecules like AHL impossible. Taken together, these results confirm that gaseous H2O2 is the mode of communication between oscillating colonies.

On the basis of our understanding of the mechanism for global synchronization, we expected that we could simplify the circuitry by eliminating ndh and achieve the same effect with intermittent bursts of high-intensity blue light. In this design, the GFP molecule acts as a photosensitizer, releasing free radicals upon exposure that produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) including H2O2. At the peak of oscillation, considerable vapour-phase H2O2 is produced by exposing GFP-containing cells to fluorescent light. Conversely, at the trough of oscillation, cells contain almost no GFP, and therefore produce very little H2O2 upon fluorescing. Bursts of light thus generate bursts of H2O2 vapour whose concentration depends on the oscillating GFP level, just as periodic production of NDH-2 did previously. Indeed, this strategy was similarly able to synchronize our sensor array (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Movie 1).

To probe this mode of synchronization, we investigated the effects of thiourea and the antibiotics ampicillin and kanamycin. When a synchronized population of colonies was exposed to 35 mM thiourea, a
potent radical quencher, we observed sharply decaying synchronized oscillations whereas growth rate and cell viability were unaffected (Supplementary Fig. 7). This suggests that without radical species, oscillations cannot be produced. Next, we ran a series of experiments switching the antibiotic resistance genes on our plasmids. We noted that radical-producing antibiotics, particularly ampicillin, significantly reduced the degree of synchronization, showing that an excess of radical species also hinders communication (Supplementary Fig. 8). As our final constructs included a plasmid with kanamycin resistance, which was also found to produce some radicals, we used full (50 μg ml⁻¹) selection during the experimental run. Persistence of oscillations, sequencing, and subsequent growth in full selection following the run confirmed the presence of all three plasmids despite this low experimental selection. Catalase and SOD results were identical to those with NDH-2 synchronization (not shown). These results show that fluorescence-mediated synchronization involves the production of radical species after fluorescence exposure and communication via H₂O₂.

**Sensing array of biopixels**

With a platform for generating consistent and readily detectable oscillations, we sought to use the circuit to engineer an arsenic-sensing macroscopic biosensor. We rewired the network to include an extra copy of the positive-feedback element, the AHL synthase LuxI, under the control of a native arsenic-responsive promoter that is repressed by ArsR in the absence of arsenite (Fig. 2a, right). When arsenite is not present in the media, supplementary luxI is not transcribed and the circuit functions normally, generating baseline oscillations. However, the addition of trace amounts of arsenite relieves this repression and allows supplementary luxI to be transcribed, increasing the oscillatory amplitude and period. Tuning the level of LuxI by varying arsenite concentration results in clear changes to the oscillatory period (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Movie 2). To determine the range of detection, we swept arsenite concentrations from 0–1 μM and measured the oscillatory period (Fig. 2c, top). Using statistical methods (Supplementary Methods), we generated a sensor calibration curve (Fig. 2c, bottom) that depicts the maximum possible arsenite concentration present (α = 95%) for a given measured period. This curve is an illustration of how data generated by our array would be used to measure arsenite concentrations in an unknown sample using our device. Our system was able to reliably quantify arsenite levels as low as 0.2 μM, below the 0.5 μM World Health Organization-recommended level for developing nations.

As an alternative sensing strategy, we rewired the network to include a copy of the luxR gene controlled by an arsenic-responsive promoter while removing it from the rest of the circuit (Fig. 2a, left). Because the LuxR–AHL complex must be present to activate the lux promoter, cells produce no LuxR when the media is free of arsenite, generating no fluorescence or oscillations. The addition of arsenite stimulates the production of LuxR, restoring circuit function and producing clear, synchronized oscillations (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Movie 3). This ON/OFF detection system has a threshold of 0.25 μM, a detection limit that can be adjusted by changing the copy number, ribosome binding site (RBS) strength, or promoter strength of the sensing plasmid (Supplementary Methods).

The sensing array is also capable of producing complex behaviours arising from the dynamic interaction of cellular colonies. By making modifications to the size, number and arrangement of biopixels in the device, we are able to markedly alter the output waveforms. For
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**Figure 2** | Frequency-modulated genetic biosensor. 

a. Network diagrams depicting two constructed sensing modules. In thresholding (1), the luxR gene is removed from the oscillator network and supplemented by a new copy driven by an arsenic-responsive promoter. In period modulation (2), a supplementary luxI gene tagged for increased degradation is driven by the arsenic-responsive promoter, which affects the period of oscillation. 

b. A sample period modulation sensor output following a step increase of 0.8 μM arsenite. Oscillatory period increases from 69 min to 79 min. 

c. Oscillatory period increases from 69 min to 79 min.

d. Threshold output following a step increase of 0.25 μM arsenite. A marked shift from rest to oscillatory behaviour is observed within 20 min after the addition of arsenite.
example, when we constructed a device in which trap separation distance is increased (45 μm versus 25 μm), we observed local anti-phase synchronization between neighbouring colonies (Fig. 3d, top right). To explore this phenomenon on a larger scale, we constructed a device that contains an array of 416 traps constructed according to the specifications above. In these experiments, we observe initial global synchronization that gradually falls into local anti-phase synchronization across the array (Fig. 3d, middle, and Supplementary Movie 4). Phase alignment is maintained over at least 48 h, with patches of synchronization typically 3–6 colonies in size. Alternatively, by changing dimensions such that the array contains traps of two slightly different sizes, we observe a 1:2 resonance synchronization where larger traps pulse at double the frequency of smaller traps while maintaining synchronization (Fig. 3d, top left and Supplementary Movie 7). Lastly, when LuxR is limited, as in the thresholding scheme, we observe synchronized oscillations of alternating large and small peaks in both experiment and model (Supplementary Fig. 12). Our computational model (Box 1) captures these effects (Fig. 3d, bottom, and Supplementary Figs 11 and 12) and indicates that further array manipulation will yield new, richer dynamics that could not be produced directly by changing circuit structure.

Although our sensor array is capable of performing a variety of complex functions in the laboratory, adapting this technology to a real-world device will require the elimination of the expensive and bulky microscopy equipment. However, measuring genetic oscillations in the absence of any magnification or powerful illumination will require an even further increased signal. Using this mechanism of global synchronization, we were able to scale up to a 24 mm × 12 mm array that houses over 12,000 biopixels (Fig. 4a). Synchronization is maintained across the entire array, a distance over 5,000 times the length of an individual cell, using an inexpensive light-emitting diode (LED; Fig. 4b, c and Supplementary Movie 5). The signal strength generated by the large number of cells in the array (about 50 million) will allow us to adapt the device to function as a handheld sensor. In our conceptual design (Fig. 4d), the sensor will

**Figure 3** | Computational modelling of radical synchronization and biosensing. a, Time series of a population of biopixels producing varying amounts of H₂O₂ vapour. Synchronization occurs only for moderate levels whereas high levels lock ON and low levels oscillate asynchronously. b, A typical time series for our period modulation sensor undergoing a step increase of arsenite. Oscillations increase in both amplitude and period. c, A typical time series output for the thresholding sensor. Oscillations arise after the addition of arsenite. d, Experimental and computational output depicting complex dynamic behaviours between neighbouring traps. Top, 1:2 resonance and anti-phase synchronization observed when trap size (left, black/blue = 95 μm depth and red/magenta = 85 μm depth) and separation distance (right, same colours) are modified experimentally. Middle, scaled-up array experimental data for increased trap separation experiments demonstrating anti-phase synchronization. Bottom, computational model trajectories depicting 1:2 resonance and anti-phase synchronization when trap size (same colours as experimental data) and separation distance are changed.

**Figure 4** | Radical synchronization on a macroscopic scale. a, The scaled-up array is 24 mm × 12 mm and houses over 12,000 biopixels that contain approximately 50 million total cells when filled. b, Global synchronization is maintained across the array. Heat map of individual trajectories of all 12,224 oscillating biopixels. c, Image series depicting global synchronization and oscillation for the macroscopic array. Each image is produced by stitching 72 fields of view imaged at ×4 magnification. d, Schematic diagram illustrating our design for a handheld device using the sensing array. An LED (1) excites the array (2) and emitted light is collected by a photodetector (3), analysed by an onboard processor (4), and displayed graphically (5).
BOX I
Computational modelling

Our model of the frequency-modulated biosensor is based on a previously described model for the quorum-sensing synchronized oscillator\textsuperscript{15}. In addition to the reactions reflected in that model, we include the arsenite-induced production and degradation of Lux and/or LuxR. From the biochemical reactions, we derived a set of delay-differential equations to be used as our model. These delayed reactions mimic the complex cascade of processes (transcription, translation, maturation, etc.) leading to formation of functional proteins. As expected, our model predicts oscillations that change frequency when changes in arsenite occur (Figs 2c and 3b). The amplitude and period of the oscillations both depend on the concentrations of the toxin. We then modified the model to describe the LuxR-based detection system. Our model predicts a marked transition from rest to oscillations upon addition of arsenite, consistent with experimental observations (Fig. 3c).

The multi-scale nature of communication in our array allows us to treat colony and array-level dynamics separately; in the latter, arsenite affects the quorum-sensing machinery of a colony, producing changes to oscillatory period that propagate between biopixels in the array. To describe quantitatively the mechanisms driving synchronization at the array level, we treat each colony as a single oscillator that acts according to degrade-and-fire kinetics\textsuperscript{41}. We also include the production of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and its interaction with neighbouring colonies by two-dimensional diffusion. Using this model we identified three regimes that correlate well with experimental observations (Fig. 3a). When the effective production of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} is low, as with catalase, we observe unsynchronized oscillations owing to constant, mild repression of the lux promoter via ArcAB (Fig. 3a, left). In contrast, when H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} production is very high, neighbouring colonies rapidly fire in succession and remain on because of the permanent activation of the lux promoter, consistent with the SOD experiment (Fig. 3a, right).

Finally, at intermediate H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, we observe globally synchronized oscillations (Fig. 3a, middle). As colonies are moved further apart, synchronicity breaks owing to slowed migration of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} (Supplementary Fig. 10).

continuously read the oscillatory frequency using off-the-shelf electronic components costing less than 50 dollars.

There have been many examples of bacteria-based biosensors\textsuperscript{41–46}, usually involving an optical reporter driven by a toxin-responsive promoter. Because optical intensity readings are sensitive to imaging conditions like beam power and exposure time, measurements must typically be normalized and calibrated. Measuring the period of oscillation allows us to avoid these issues because peak-to-peak time does not depend on individual peak intensity. Also, oscillations produced at the colony level effectively decouple the signal from the growth state of individual cells, which can also affect fluorescence intensity. By using a dynamic readout that depends on communication between biopixels, we scan and tune potential output signals by changing device parameters rather than redesigning the underlying circuit. For example, we might design a new sensing scheme in which oscillations synchronize with the addition of some toxin and shift to anti-phase or resonant synchronization when critical toxin levels are present.

Scaling up synthetic biology

By nesting two modes of communication we are able to expand the scale over which individual cells are coordinated and increase the complexity of their interaction. Indeed, there are many familiar examples of hierarchical systems. Airline routes are often designed such that small airports are connected locally to larger hubs that are connected internationally. It would neither be feasible nor desirable to connect every airport together. Similarly, individual cells communicate locally by one method, generating impulses large enough to enable colonies to communicate globally by another. Nesting communication mechanisms in this way may allow us to better scale up synthetic circuits of different types, such as switches and logic gates, paving the way for the next generation of synthetic biology pursuits.

METHODS SUMMARY

Strains and plasmids. The plasmids were constructed using a PCR-based cloning strategy\textsuperscript{46} in which the origin of replication, antibiotic resistance, and circuit genes were assembled in different combinations. The ndh and sodA genes were amplified directly from the native E. coli genome by PCR. Various arsenite-responsive promoters were tested, including a recently reported synthetic version\textsuperscript{47}, but the final design uses the native E. coli version. Promoter output was tuned by changing the RBS sequence and quantified using flow cytometry. All circuit components except luxB were tagged by PCR with a carbonyl-terminal ssrA tag (AANDENYALAA)\textsuperscript{57} for fast degradation.

Microfluidics and microscopy. Image acquisition was performed on a Nikon Eclipse TI epifluorescent inverted microscope outfitted with fluorescence filter cubes optimized for GFP imaging and a phase-contrast-based autofocus algorithm. Images were acquired using an Andor Clara cooled CCD camera or Andor DU-897 EMCCD camera, both controlled by Nikon Elements software. Images were acquired every 2 min in phase contrast and fluorescence. The cells were imaged inside a microfluidic device with an upstream switch, with the ability to mix or switch between two different media sources. A custom application written in LabVIEW (National Instruments) controlled linear actuators, to which two reservoirs of arsenite-containing and pure medium were attached. Using this algorithm, arsenite concentration was dynamically varied to probe sensor output.

The microfluidic experiments were performed as previously described\textsuperscript{31}. Briefly, 50 μL of an overnight culture was diluted in 50 mL of LB medium (Difco) plus antibiotics the day of the experiment. When cells reached an optical density (OD\textsubscript{600nm}) of 0.1, cells were spun down and resuspended in 5 mL of fresh media and loaded into the device.
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