Metabolic fingerprinting of Angelica sinensis during growth using UPLC-TOFMS and chemometrics data analysis
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Abstract

Background: The radix of Angelica sinensis is widely used as a medicinal herbal and metabolomics research of this plant during growth is necessary.

Results: Principal component analysis of the UPLC-QTOFMS data showed that these 27 samples could be separated into 4 different groups. The chemical markers accounting for these separations were identified from the PCA loadings plot. These markers were further verified by accurate mass tandem mass and retention times of available reference standards. The study has shown that accumulation of secondary metabolites of Angelica sinensis is closely related to the growth periods.

Conclusions: The UPLC-QTOFMS based metabolomics approach has great potential for analysis of the alterations of secondary metabolites of Angelica sinensis during growth.
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Background

The root of Angelica sinensis (Oliv.) Diels (Umbelliferae), known as Danggui in China, is one of the most important traditional Chinese medicines (TCMs) [1]. It is known as tonic, hemopoetic, spasmytic, analgesic and anti-inflammatory activities. It is used to treat menstrual disorders, amenorrhea, dysmenorrhoea, anaemia, constipation, rheumatic arthralgia, traumatic injuries, carbuncles, boils and sores [2]. So far, over 70 compounds have been separated and identified from Danggui, including those from essential oils (mainly including monomeric phthalides), phthalide dimers, coumarins, organic acids and their esters, polysaccharides, polyacetylenes, vitamins, amino acids, and others [3].

Herb is a very complicated system, comprising a complex mixture of different phytochemicals which usually contribute to the therapeutic effect of herbal medicines. Therefore, it is necessary to reflect and control the quality of herbal medicines by a highly effective and comprehensive analysis.

Metabolomics research has emerged as a valuable technology for the comprehensive profiling and comparison of metabolites which are the end products of cellular regulatory processes, and their levels can be regarded as the ultimate response of biological systems to genetic or environmental changes [4]. Metabolomics research comprehensively analyses TCMs or herbal remedies so as to assess their quality, especially when it couples with chemometrics data analysis approach [5-10].

Principal component analysis (PCA) is the most widely used unsupervised chemometrics method in metabolomics studies. Plants that are far apart in the photograph will be very distinct, while plants closer to each other will be more similar [11]. PCA is not only used for classification, such as identifying geographic origin or taxonomic discrimination [12,13], but also used as an approach to analyze the alterations of secondary metabolites of herb medicine during growth so as to get the best harvest time [14,15].

Those above applications of metabolomics research are based on the utilization of large-scale analyzed data of metabolites. Over the past decade, many methods for the high-throughput plant metabolomics analysis have...
been established and mass spectrometry-based platforms have been most widely used in this field [16]. Among the various MS-based platforms, liquid chromatography coupled with MS (LC-MS) is considered to be particularly important in plants research, since it covers many semi-polar compounds, such as key secondary metabolite groups, which can best be separated and detected by LC-MS approaches [17].

Time-of-flight mass spectrometry (TOFMS) is widely used in metabolomics studies to obtain more accurate and precise MS data [18,19]. TOFMS provides good sensitivity and resolution to profile intact precursor ions which are generated from metabolites through ESI and represents the most appropriate MS instrument to apply LC separations for this objective [16,20].

In this paper, we describe a high-throughput and reliable ultra-performance liquid chromatography/time-of-flight mass spectrometry (UPLC-TOFMS) based analytical method coupled with PCA to profile samples of *Angelica sinensis* during growth. Accurate mass, tandem mass, MassFragment software and UV spectrum were used to identify these significant compounds. The study has shown that accumulation of secondary metabolites of *Angelica sinensis* is closely related to the growth periods.

**Results and Discussion**

**UPLC-PDA-MS chromatographic fingerprints of Angelica sinensis**

UPLC-MS analyses of sample No. 14 in both ESI+ and ESI− modes were carried out (Figures 1, and 2). Based on the results, the ESI+ mode was finally chosen for the whole analyses since it was more informative than the negative one. The chromatograms of UPLC-TOFMS (ESI+) and UPLC-UV (under 280 nm) for all 27 samples were shown in Figures 3, and 4, respectively.

**Identification of components in Angelica sinensis**

In order to identify components in *Angelica sinensis*, qualitative analysis of compounds in *Angelica sinensis* were carried out on an UPLC coupled to ESI quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (UPLC-ESI-QTOFMS) in ESI+ and ESI− modes. And UV data is also used to provide evidence for identification. The MS and UV data were shown in Table 1.

The potential calculated masses and elemental compositions associated with the measured mass of the compounds were generated and studied with MassLynx. Among them, six compounds were identified to be ferulic acid(1), Z-ligustilide(7), butylphthalide(10), Z-butylidenephthalide(11), linoleic acid(12), levistolide A(13), respectively, by comparing the accurate mass and retention time with those of standards.

The remaining seven compounds were provisionally identified to be E-6,7-dihydroxydihydroligustilide(2), senkyunolide H(3), and senkyunolide I(4) [21,22], coniferyl ferulate(5) [21,23], senkyunolide A(6) [21,23], E-ligustilide(8), and E-butylidenephthalide(9) [23], respectively, by comparing their MS (accurate and tandem mass) and UV data with reported values. UV spectrum data and retention time were compared with that in literatures. When the reference compound was not available, MassFragment software increased the confidence and facilitation in the MS fragment analysis of the proposed structure.

By examining compounds 2, 3 and 4, it was found that their MS all exhibited the protonated molecular ion at m/z 207. Abundant product ions of these three compounds were shown in Table 1. Their MS data and UV spectrum were compared with those in literatures and were consistent with E-6,7-dihydroxydihydroligustilide, senkyunolide H and senkyunolide I [21,22]. Therefore, compounds 2, 3 and 4 were tentatively identified as E-6,
7-dihydroxydi-hydroligustilide, senkyunolide H and senkyunolide I.

The MS of compound 5 showed a protonated molecular ion [M + H]+ at m/z 357.1298. The [M + H]+ ion of compound 5 gave abundant product ions at m/z 191.1159 (loss of C8H6O4) and m/z 162.0766 (loss of C10H11O4). These characteristic fragment ions were highly compatible with the structure of coniferyl ferulate. According to its MS and UV spectra and published data [21,23], the compound 5 could be tentatively assigned as coniferyl ferulate.

Compound 6 showed a protonated molecular ion [M + H]+ at m/z 193.1213 and gave abundant product ions at m/z 160.0836 (loss of H2O-CH3) and 147.1206 (loss of H2O-CO). Moreover, its UV spectra were equivalent to the literature value for sendanenolide A [21-23]. Compounds 8 and 9 were tentatively assigned as E-ligustilide and E-butylidenephthalide by comparing with the MS and UV data of Z-ligustilide and Z-butylidenephthalide (reference standards) and published data [23].
The structures of identified compounds and their related MS, UV data were shown in Figure 5, and Table 1, respectively.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
For analysis of 27 samples in different growth periods, an unsupervised pattern recognition method, PCA, was performed. PCA was used to visualize the relationship among samples. The clear separation of these 27 samples was observed in the PCA scores plot where each coordinate represents one sample (Figure 6). The PCA scores plot in Figure 6 could be readily divided into four relative groups: I (Sample No.1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16), II (Sample No. 5, 6, 17, 18 and 19), III (Sample No. 7, 8, 9, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26 and 27), IV (Sample No. 10, 11, 23) indicating that the content and distribution of compounds were highly varied in these 27 samples.

Samples in group I (Sample No.1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16) were collected in first year of growth period and at the end of August to the end of October in second year. Root of *Angelica sinensis* grows and accumulates metabolites very fast during this period. A large number of assimilation products are transported from leaves to root during these months [24]. Group II contains samples which collected in traditional collecting time (Sample No. 17, 18 and 19). It is indicated that sample 5 and 6 which collected in the March and April were have similar content and distribution of compounds with samples which collected in traditional collecting time. Samples in group III were collected from May and June which is the period that aerial parts grow, and other samples in group III (Sample No.24, 25, 26 and 27) were collected after bolting and flowering, which indicated that samples in the period of aerial parts grow fast have similar chemical compounds. Before functional leaf works, root of *Angelica sinensis* works as a nutrient reserve. It supplies organic matter for taking root and sprouting. Organic matter which produced by leaves is depleted during taking root and sprouting before it transported to root [25,26].

Tentative marker assignment
It is possible to determine variable importance by analyzing the correlation between variables in the PC1 and PC2 dimension, a list of interest was therefore obtained from the PCA loadings plot (Figure 7). Marker ions m/z 146.0595 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 2.59 min), 188.0704 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 2.59 min), 207.1004 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 5.28 min), 191.1068 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 11.51 min), 191.1066 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 12.52 min), 520.3382 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 15.25 min), 478.2914 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 15.49 min), 520.3402 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 15.56 min), 521.3434 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 15.56 min), 191.1062 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 15.64 min), 496.3378 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 16.23 min), 316.2821 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 16.27 min), 381.2053 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 16.38 min), 191.1069 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 16.53 min), 191.1065 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 16.65 min), 192.1106 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 16.76 min), 381.2056 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 16.76 min) and 382.2109 ([M + H]⁺, Rt 16.76 min) are far from the centre of the loadings plot suggesting that these components were highly varied in these 27 samples during whole growth period.
Table 1 Precursor and product ions and UV spectrum data of compounds in *Anglica sinensis*

| Compound number | Identification                        | R<sub>t</sub> (min) | Theoretical accurate mass (m/z) | QTOFMS (m/z) (ESI<sup>+</sup>/ESI<sup>−</sup>) | Mass accuracy (ppm) | MS/MS fragment ion (m/z) UV λ<sub>max</sub> (nm) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 1<sup>a</sup>   | Ferulic acid                           | 4.341               | 195.0657                        | 195.0674                                      | 8.7                | 135.0446[M + H-CH₃COOH]<sup>+</sup> 239, 322 |
| 2<sup>b</sup>   | E-6,7-Dihydroxydihydroligustilide      | 5.29                | 207.1021                        | 207.1021                                      | 0                  | 207.0946[M + H-H₂O]<sup>+</sup> 278 |
| 3<sup>b</sup>   | Senkyunolide H(I)                      | 5.593               | 207.1021                        | 207.1000                                      | −2.1               | 189.0849[M + H-2H₂O]<sup>+</sup> 277 |
| 4<sup>b</sup>   | Senkyunolide I(H)                      | 5.855               | 207.1021                        | 207.1030                                      | 4.3                | 189.0849[M + H-2H₂O]<sup>+</sup> 277 |
| 5<sup>b</sup>   | Coniferyl ferulate                     | 9.397               | 357.1338                        | 357.1298                                      | −4.0               | 160.0836[M + H-C₈H₆O₄]<sup>+</sup> 280 |
| 6<sup>b</sup>   | Senkyunolide A                         | 10.091              | 193.1229                        | 193.1213                                      | −8.3               | 147.1206[M + H-C₁₀H₁₁O₄]<sup>+</sup> 257 |
| 7<sup>a</sup>   | Butylphthalide                         | 10.49               | 191.1072                        | 191.1093                                      | 11.0               | 117.0733[M + H-COOH-CH₂CH₃]<sup>+</sup> 280 |
| 8<sup>b</sup>   | E-Ligustilide                          | 11.539              | 191.1072                        | 191.1068                                      | −2.1               | 173.0979[M + H-C₈H₆O₄]<sup>+</sup> 280 |
| 9<sup>b</sup>   | E-Butylidenephthalide                  | 11.989              | 189.0916                        | 189.0907                                      | −4.8               | 143.0854[M + H-H₂O-CO]<sup>+</sup> 261, 310 |
| 10<sup>a</sup>  | Z-Ligustilide                          | 12.569              | 191.1072                        | 191.1085                                      | 6.8                | 135.0446[M + H-CH₃COOH]<sup>+</sup> 239, 322 |
| 11<sup>a</sup>  | Z-Butylidenephthalide                  | 12.887              | 189.0916 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>    | 189.0908 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>                 | −4.2               | 143.0826[M + H-2H₂O]<sup>+</sup> 260, 311 |
| 12<sup>a</sup>  | Levistolide A                          | 16.776              | 381.2066                        | 381.2075                                      | 2.4                | 345.1783[M + H-2H₂O]<sup>+</sup> 288 |
| 13<sup>a</sup>  | Linoleic acid                          | 19.501              | 279.2314                        | 279.2314                                      | −3.6               | 149.0979[M + H-C₈H₂₀O]<sup>+</sup> 288 |

a. and b. refer to the different approaches of identification and significant variable marker ions were bold.
a. Reference standard. b. Q-TOFMS tentatively identified.
Quantitative analysis of compounds in *Angelica sinensis*

Quantification was performed using linear calibration plots of peak areas and concentration. The proposed UPLC-MS/MS method was subsequently applied to determine four chemical markers including Z-ligustilide (7), butylphthalide (10), Z-butylidenephthalide (11), linoleic acid (12) in *Angelica sinensis*.

The results (Figure 8) showed there were remarkable differences in their contents during growth. These four chemical markers’ concentrations were relatively higher in September and October. The result was in accordance with traditional harvesting period.

---

**Experimental**

**Materials and reagents**

Acetonitrile (HPLC grade) was purchased from TEDIA Company Inc. (Fairfield, USA); formic acid was obtained from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany); Ultra-pure water was purified by an EPED super purification system (Nanjing, China). The distilled water was used for the extraction and preparation of samples. Ferulic acid, (Z)-ligustilide and levistolide A were purchased from Chengdu must bio-technology Co., Ltd. Butylphthalide, (Z)-butylidenephthalide were purchased from Sichuan Xianxin Biotech Co., Ltd. Linoleic acid was obtained...
from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), roots of *Angelica sinensis* at different stages of growth were harvested from Ma Zichuan Village, Min County, Gansu Province, China. Table 2 shows the detail information of these 27 samples. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade.

**Sample preparation**
For each sample, an accurately weighed 0.5 g of the dried powder was introduced into a 50 mL calibrated flask and 20 mL of 70% methanol were added. The weight of this flask (with sample and methanol) was recorded. The calibrated flask was covered and soaked

---

**Figure 6** PCA score plot of *Angelica sinensis* from different growth period.

**Figure 7** Loadings plot of *Angelica sinensis* from different growth period.
for one hour at room temperature. Then the powder was extracted in an ultrasonic cleaner for 45 min. And 70% methanol was used to make up the change of weight. All extracted samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min and were passed through a 0.22 μm syringe filter before they were injected for UPLC/TOFMS analysis. Sample No.14 was chosen to inject 5 times for precision and accuracy analysis. The similarity of precision was higher than 0.98.

**Standard preparation**

All 6 reference standards were dissolved by methanol to final concentrations of 0.27 mg·mL⁻¹ for ferulic acid; 0.24 mg·mL⁻¹ for levistolide A; 0.22 mg·mL⁻¹ for (Z)-ligustilide; 0.20 mg·mL⁻¹ for butylphthalide; 0.20 mg·mL⁻¹ for (Z)-butyliderphthalide; 0.26 mg·mL⁻¹ for linoleic acid.

**Liquid chromatography**

The UPLC-ESI-MS system was performed on a Waters Acquity UPLC system (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA), equipped with a binary solvent delivery system, a conditioned autosampler at 4°C and a photo-diode array detector (PDA) system. Chromatographic separation was carried out on an ACQUITY UPLC™ BEH C₁₈ column (100 mm × 2.1 mm I.D., 1.7 μm particle size) (Waters, Milford, USA). The column was maintained at 30°C. The mobile phase was gradient elution mixed with solvents A (0.1% aqueous formic acid, V/V) and B (acetonitrile): 0 min 97% A, 8 min 57% A, 12 min 57% A, 16 min 30% A, 17 min 30% A, 20 min 3% A, 22 min 97% A, with the flow rate of 0.4 mL/min.

**MS**

The MS analysis was performed on a Waters ACQUITY™ Synapt Q-TOF mass spectrometer connected to the Waters Acquity UPLC system via an electrospray ionization interface (ESI). ESI mass spectra were acquired in both positive (ESI⁺) and negative (ESI⁻) electrospray ionization modes by scanning over the m/z range 100–1000. The optimized conditions were as follows: (1) ESI⁺ mode, capillary voltage 3 kV; sample cone voltage 30 V; extraction cone 2.0 V; source temperature 120°C; desolvation temperature 350°C; cone gas flow 50 L/h; desolvation

**Table 2 Sample information of Angelica sinensis in different growth periods**

| Sample No. | Collecting time | Cluster in PCA score plot | Sample No. | Collecting time | Cluster in PCA score plot |
|------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| 1          | August 30th     | 1st year                   | 15         | September 25th  | 2nd year                   |
| 2          | September 13th  | 1st year                   | 16         | October 5th     | 2nd year                   |
| 3          | October 1st     | 1st year                   | 17         | October 14th    | 2nd year                   |
| 4          | October 8th     | 1st year                   | 18         | October 24th    | 2nd year                   |
| 5          | March 29th      | 2nd year                   | 19         | November 7th    | 2nd year                   |
| 6          | April 4th       | 2nd year                   | 20         | April 30th      | 3rd year                   |
| 7          | May 29th        | 2nd year                   | 21         | May 29th        | 3rd year                   |
| 8          | June 15th       | 2nd year                   | 22         | June 26th       | 3rd year                   |
| 9          | June 28th       | 2nd year                   | 23         | July 26th       | 3rd year                   |
| 10         | July 12th       | 2nd year                   | 24         | August 30th     | 3rd year                   |
| 11         | July 26th       | 2nd year                   | 25         | September 13th  | 3rd year                   |
| 12         | August 15th     | 2nd year                   | 26         | September 30th  | 3rd year                   |
| 13         | August 27th     | 2nd year                   | 27         | October 29th    | 3rd year                   |
| 14         | September 14th  | 2nd year                   |            |                 |                            |
gas flow 600 L/h, and (2) ESI⁻ mode, similar conditions as ESI⁺ mode.

Mass spectrometry detection for quantification was performed using a Xevo Triple Quadrupole MS (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) equipped with an electrospray ionization source (ESI). The ESI-MS spectra were acquired in positive ion multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. The detailed ion transition data for MRM mode was shown in Table 3.

Accurate mass measurement
Data were centroided during acquisition using independent reference lock-mass ions via the Lockspray™ interface to ensure mass accuracy and reproducibility. The [M – Glucose]⁻ and [M-Rutinosine]⁻ ions of Rutin at m/z 300.0270 and m/z 303.0505 were used as the lock mass in negative and positive electrospray ionization mode, respectively. Rutin was used as the reference compound at a concentration of 0.001 μg/μL and the infusion flow rate of 0.26 mL/min to ensure mass accuracy and reproducibility. During metabolite profiling experiments, centroided data were acquired for each sample from 100 to 1000 Da with a 0.20 s scan time and a 0.02 s inter scan delay over a 23 min analysis time. This produced an average of 13 scans across a peak of average width 0.06 min obtained using UPLC.

Chemometric data analysis
The UPLC-QTOF/MS data of 27 samples were analyzed to identify potential discriminate variables. The peak finding, peak alignment, and peak filtering of ESI⁻ raw data were carried out with MarkerLynx applications manager version 4.1 (Waters). The parameters used were Rt range 0–23 min, mass range 100–1000 Da, mass tolerance 0.05 Da, internal standard detection parameters were deselected for peak retention time alignment, isotopic peaks were excluded for analysis, and noise elimination level was set at 6.00. The UPLC-PDA-MS chromatographic fingerprints of 27 Angelica sinensis samples were generated by Matlab 6.5 software.

Conclusions
In this study UPLC/TOFMS had been demonstrated to be a powerful tool for metabolite profiling of Angelica sinensis during growth and it is applicable for analysis and evaluation of complex herbal medicines. The proposed analytical method coupled with chemometrics data analysis technique is used as a powerful tool to differentiate phytochemical compositions among samples from Angelica sinensis at different stages of growth for quality control. Results from this study indicated that accumulation of secondary metabolites in Angelica sinensis is closely related to the growth periods. To study the alterations of secondary metabolites of Angelica sinensis during growth can provide evidence for choosing the suitable harvest time.
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