An ultrasensitive method for quantitating circulating tumor DNA with broad patient coverage
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Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) is a promising biomarker for noninvasive assessment of cancer burden, but existing ctDNA detection methods have insufficient sensitivity or patient coverage for broad clinical applicability. Here we introduce cancer personalized profiling by deep sequencing (CAPP-Seq), an economical and ultrasensitive method for quantifying ctDNA. We implemented CAPP-Seq for non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with a design covering multiple classes of somatic alterations that identified mutations in >95% of tumors. We detected ctDNA in 100% of patients with stage II–IV NSCLC and in 50% of patients with stage I, with 96% specificity for mutant allele fractions down to ~0.02%. Levels of ctDNA were highly correlated with tumor volume and distinguished between residual disease and treatment-related imaging changes, and measurement of ctDNA levels allowed for earlier response assessment than radiographic approaches. Finally, we evaluated biopsy-free tumor screening and genotyping with CAPP-Seq. We envision that CAPP-Seq could be routinely applied clinically to detect and monitor diverse malignancies, thus facilitating personalized cancer therapy.

Analysis of ctDNA has the potential to revolutionize detection and monitoring of tumors. Noninvasive access to cancer-derived DNA is particularly attractive for solid tumors, which cannot be repeatedly sampled without invasive procedures. In NSCLC, PCR-based assays have been used to detect recurrent point mutations in genes such as KRAS (encoding kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog) or EGFR (encoding epidermal growth factor receptor) in plasma DNA1–4, but the majority of patients lack mutations in these genes. Recently, approaches employing massively parallel sequencing have been used to detect ctDNA5–12. However, the methods reported to date have been limited by modest sensitivity13, applicability to only a minority of patients, the need for patient-specific optimization and/or cost. To overcome these limitations, we developed a breakpoint-mapping algorithm optimized for ultradeep coverage data (Supplementary Methods). Application of this algorithm to next-generation sequencing (NGS) data from two NSCLC cell lines known to harbor fusions with previously uncharacterized
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breakpoints\textsuperscript{22,23} readily identified the breakpoints at nucleotide resolution (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Collectively, the NSCLC selector design targets 521 exons and 13 introns from 139 recurrently mutated genes, in total covering ~125 kb (Fig. 1b). Within this small target (0.004\% of the human genome), the selector identifies a median of four single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and covers 96\% of patients with lung adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma. To validate the number of mutations covered per tumor, we examined the selector region in WES data from an independent cohort of 183 patients with lung adenocarcinoma\textsuperscript{20}. Results are compared to selectors randomly sampled from the exome (P < 1.0 × 10\textsuperscript{−4} for the difference between random selectors and the NSCLC selector; Z-test, Online Methods).

(d) Analytical modeling of CAPP-Seq, whole-exome sequencing and whole-genome sequencing for different detection limits of ctDNA in plasma. Calculations are based on the median number of mutations detected per NSCLC for CAPP-Seq (i.e., 4) and the reported number of mutations in NSCLC exomes and genomes\textsuperscript{21}. Additional details, including assumed sequencing throughput (i.e., bases) per lane, are described in Online Methods. The vertical dashed line represents the median fraction of ctDNA detected in plasma from patients in this study.

Methodological optimization and performance assessment

We performed deep sequencing with the NSCLC selector to achieve ~10,000× coverage (pseuduplication removal) based on considerations of sequencing depth, median number of reporters and ctDNA detection limit (Fig. 1d). We profiled a total of 90 samples, including two NSCLC patient-specific homozygous single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and decreased bias for libraries constructed from as little as 4 ng of DNA (Supplementary Fig. 3). Consequently, fluctuations in sequencing depth were minimal (Fig. 2b,c).

The detection limit and accuracy of CAPP-Seq are affected by (i) the input number and recovery rate of circulating DNA molecules, (ii) sample cross-contamination, (iii) potential allelic bias in the capture reagent and (iv) PCR or sequencing errors. We examined each of these elements in turn. First, by comparing the number of input DNA molecules per sample with estimates of library complexity (Supplementary Fig. 4a and Supplementary Methods), we calculated a circulating DNA molecule recovery rate of ≥49\% (Supplementary Table 2). This was in agreement with molecule recovery yields calculated following PCR (Supplementary Fig. 4b). Second, by analyzing patient-specific homozygous single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) across samples, we found cross-contamination of ~0.06\% in multiplexed plasma DNA (Supplementary Fig. 4c and Supplementary Methods), prompting us to exclude any tumor-derived SNV from further analysis if found as a germline SNP in another profiled patient. Next, we evaluated the allelic skew in heterozygous germline SNPs within patient PBL samples and observed minimal bias toward capture of reference alleles (Supplementary Fig. 4d). Finally, we analyzed the distribution of nonreference alleles across the selector for the 40 plasma DNA samples, excluding tumor-derived SNVs and germline SNPs. We found mean and median background rates of 0.006\% and 0.0003\%, respectively (Fig. 2d), both considerably lower than previously reported NGS-based methods for ctDNA analysis\textsuperscript{8,10}.

Nongermline plasma DNA could be present in the absence of cancer owing to contributions from preneoplastic cells from diverse
Mutations from a previously reported SNaPshot panel. Mutations found in a given patient's tumor were excluded. The mean frequency over all DNA samples collected from 13 patients with NSCLC and 5 healthy individuals. The interval. Effect of the number of SNVs considered on the estimates of fractional abundance using data from regions in the selector (CAPP-Seq). Notably, we detected one mutational hotspot (tumor suppressor TP53, R175H) at a median frequency of ~0.18% across all 40 plasma DNA samples, excluding defined inputs of NSCLC DNA at fractional abundances above ~0.01%. A major factor affecting ctDNA quantitation at detection limits when assessing the significance of ctDNA detection, we also normalized for allele-specific differences in background rate using Supplementary Methods. Perc., percentile. Analysis of biological background in focusing on 107 recurrent somatic mutations from a previously reported SNaPshot panel. Mutations found in a given patient's tumor were excluded. The mean frequency over all subjects was ~0.01%. A single outlier mutation (TP53 R175H) is indicated by an yellow diamond. Individual mutations from ranked by most to least recurrent, according to mean frequency across the 40 plasma DNA samples. The P value threshold of 0.01 (dotted line) corresponds to the 99th percentile of global selector background in d. Dilution series analysis of expected versus observed frequencies of mutant alleles using CAPP-Seq (n = 14 reporter alleles). Five concentrations of fragmented HCC78 DNA spiked into control circulating DNA are shown. Analysis of allelic background rate for 40 plasma DNA samples (ordered by genomic region). Variation in sequencing depth (y axis) across all genomic regions in the selector (b) (details of all plasma DNA samples sequenced are shown in Supplementary Table 2). Variation in sequencing depth (y axis) across plasma DNA samples from four patients. Orange envelope represents mean ± s.e.m. (c) Analysis of allelic background rate for 40 plasma DNA samples collected from 13 patients with NSCLC and 5 healthy individuals. The y axis denotes the fraction of all alleles and selector positions tested. Details are given in Supplementary Methods. Perc., percentile. Analysis of biological background in focusing on 107 recurrent somatic mutations from a previously reported SNaPshot panel. Mutations found in a given patient's tumor were excluded. The mean frequency over all subjects was ~0.01%. A single outlier mutation (TP53 R175H) is indicated by an yellow diamond. Individual mutations from ranked by most to least recurrent, according to mean frequency across the 40 plasma DNA samples. The P value threshold of 0.01 (dotted line) corresponds to the 99th percentile of global selector background in d. Dilution series analysis of expected versus observed frequencies of mutant alleles using CAPP-Seq (n = 14 reporter alleles). Five concentrations of fragmented HCC78 DNA spiked into control circulating DNA are shown. Analysis of the effect of the number of SNVs considered on the estimates of fractional abundance using data from g. Data are presented as means ± 95% confidence interval. (i) Analysis of the effect of the number of SNVs considered on the mean correlation coefficient between expected and observed cancer fractions (blue solid line) using data from panel g. 95% confidence intervals are shown for e.f. Statistical variation for g is shown as mean ± s.e.m.

Figure 2 Analytical performance. (a–c) Quality parameters from a representative CAPP-Seq analysis of plasma DNA, including length distribution of sequenced circulating DNA fragments (fragment counts are represented on the y axis) (a) and depth of sequencing coverage (y axis) across all genomic regions in the selector (b) (details of all plasma DNA samples sequenced are shown in Supplementary Table 2). (c) Variation in sequencing depth (y axis) across plasma DNA samples from four patients. Orange envelope represents mean ± s.e.m. (d) Analysis of allelic background rate for 40 plasma DNA samples collected from 13 patients with NSCLC and 5 healthy individuals. The y axis denotes the fraction of all alleles and selector positions tested. Details are given in Supplementary Methods. Perc., percentile. (e) Analysis of biological background in focusing on 107 recurrent somatic mutations from a previously reported SNaPshot panel. Mutations found in a given patient's tumor were excluded. The mean frequency over all subjects was ~0.01%. A single outlier mutation (TP53 R175H) is indicated by an yellow diamond. (f) Individual mutations from ranked by most to least recurrent, according to mean frequency across the 40 plasma DNA samples. The P value threshold of 0.01 (dotted line) corresponds to the 99th percentile of global selector background in d. Dilution series analysis of expected versus observed frequencies of mutant alleles using CAPP-Seq (n = 14 reporter alleles). Five concentrations of fragmented HCC78 DNA spiked into control circulating DNA are shown. (h) Analysis of the effect of the number of SNVs considered on the estimates of fractional abundance using data from g. Data are presented as means ± 95% confidence interval. (i) Analysis of the effect of the number of SNVs considered on the mean correlation coefficient between expected and observed cancer fractions (blue solid line) using data from panel g. 95% confidence intervals are shown for e.f. Statistical variation for g is shown as mean ± s.e.m.
insertions and deletions (indels) and copy number alterations (CNAs) correlated highly with expected concentrations (R² ≥ 0.97; Supplementary Fig. 5d).

Somatic mutation detection and tumor burden quantitation

We next applied CAPP-Seq to the discovery of somatic mutations in tumor samples collected from 17 patients with NSCLC (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 3), including formalin-fixed surgical resections, needle biopsy specimens and malignant pleural fluid. At a mean sequencing depth of ~5,000× (preduplicate removal) in tumor samples collected from 17 patients with NSCLC (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 3), we detected 100% of previously identified SNVs and fusions and discovered many additional somatic variants (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 3). Moreover, we characterized breakpoints at base-pair resolution and identified partner genes for each of eight known fusions involving ALK or ROS1 (Supplementary Fig. 2). Tumors containing fusions were exclusively from never-smokers and contained fewer CNAs than those lacking fusions, as expected (Supplementary Fig. 2). Excluding patients with fusions, we identified a median of six SNVs (threeclass) per patient (Table 1), in line with our selector design-stage predictions (Fig. 1b,c).

Next, we assessed the sensitivity and specificity of CAPP-Seq for disease monitoring and minimal residual disease detection using plasma samples from 5 healthy controls and 35 samples collected from 13 patients with NSCLC (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 4). We integrated information content across multiple instances and classes of somatic mutations into a ctDNA detection index. This index is analogous to a false-positive rate and is based on a decision tree in which fusion breakpoints take precedence because of their nonexistent background and in which P values from multiple reporter types are integrated (Online Methods). When we applied this approach in a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis, CAPP-Seq achieved an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.95, with maximal sensitivity and specificity of 85% and 96%, respectively, for all plasma DNA samples from untreated patients and healthy controls. Sensitivity among patients with stage I tumors was 50%, and among those with stage II–IV tumors, it was 100%, with a specificity for both groups of 96% (Fig. 3a,b). Moreover, when considering both pre- and post-treatment samples, CAPP-Seq exhibited robust performance, with AUC values of 0.89 for all stages and 0.91 for stages II–IV (P < 0.0001, Z-test, Online Methods; Supplementary Fig. 6). Furthermore, by adjusting the ctDNA detection index, we could increase specificity up to 98%.

Figure 3 Sensitivity and specificity analysis.

(a) ROC analysis of plasma DNA samples from pretreatment samples and healthy controls, divided into all stages (n = 13 patients) and stages II–IV (n = 9 patients). AUC values are significant at P < 0.0001 (Z-test, Online Methods). (b) Raw data related to a. (c) Concordance between tumor volume, measured by CT or PET-CT, and concentration (pg ml⁻¹⁻¹) of ctDNA from pretreatment samples (n = 9), measured by CAPP-Seq. Patients P6 and P9 were excluded owing to inability to accurately assess tumor volume and differences related to the capture of fusions, respectively (Supplementary Methods). Of note, linear regression was performed in non-log space; the log-log axes and dashed diagonal line are for display purposes only.
while still capturing two-thirds of all cancer-positive samples and three-fourths of stage II–IV cancer-positive samples (Supplementary Fig. 6). Thus, CAPP-Seq can achieve robust assessment of tumor burden and can be tuned to deliver a desired sensitivity and specificity.

**Monitoring of NSCLC tumor burden in plasma samples**

We next asked whether significantly detectable levels of ctDNA correlate with radiographically measured tumor volumes and clinical responses to therapy. Fractions of ctDNA detected in plasma by SNV and/or indel reporters ranged from ~0.02% to 3.2% (Table 1), with a median of ~0.1% in pretreatment samples. Absolute levels of ctDNA in pretreatment plasma significantly correlated with tumor volume as measured by computed tomography (CT) and positron emission tomography (PET) imaging ($R^2 = 0.89$, $P = 0.0002$; Fig. 3c).

To determine whether ctDNA concentrations reflect disease burden in longitudinal samples, we analyzed plasma DNA from three patients with advanced NSCLC undergoing distinct therapies (Fig. 4a–c). As in pretreatment samples, ctDNA levels highly correlated with tumor volumes during therapy ($R^2 = 0.95$ for patient 15 (P15); $R^2 = 0.85$ for P9). This behavior was observed whether the mutation types measured were a collection of SNVs and an indel (P15, Fig. 4a), multiple fusions (P9, Fig. 4b) or SNVs and a fusion (P6, Fig. 4c).
one patient (P9), we identified both a classic EML4-ALK fusion and two previously unreported fusions involving ROS1: FYN-ROS1 and ROS1-MKX (Supplementary Fig. 2). All fusions were confirmed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) amplification of genomic DNA and were independently recovered in plasma samples (Supplementary Table 4). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first observation of ROSI and ALK fusions in the same individual with NSCLC.

We designed the NSCLC CAPP-Seq selector to detect multiple SNVs per tumor. In one patient (P5), this design allowed us to identify a dominant clone with an activating EGFR mutation as well as an erlotinib-resistant subclone with a ‘gatekeeper’ EGFR T790M mutation. The ratios between clones were identical in a tumor biopsy and in simultaneously sampled plasma (Fig. 4d), demonstrating that our method has potential for detecting and quantifying clinically relevant subclones.

Patients with stage II or III NSCLC undergoing definitive radiotherapy often have surveillance CT or PET-CT scans that are difficult to interpret owing to radiation-induced inflammatory and fibrotic changes in the lung and surrounding tissues. For patient P13, who was treated with radiotherapy for stage IIB NSCLC, follow-up imaging showed a large mass that was interpreted to represent residual disease. However, ctDNA at the same time point was undetectable (Fig. 4e), and the patient remained disease free 22 months later, which supports the ctDNA result. Another patient (P14) was treated with chemoradiotherapy for stage IIIB NSCLC, and follow-up imaging revealed a near-complete response (Fig. 4f). However, the ctDNA concentration slightly increased following therapy, suggesting progression of occult microscopic disease. Indeed, clinical progression was detected 7 months later, and the patient ultimately succumbed to NSCLC. These data highlight the promise of ctDNA analysis for identifying patients with residual disease and ctDNA quantitation from stage I NSCLC tumors.

Finally, we explored whether CAPP-Seq analysis of ctDNA could demonstrate the potential utility of CAPP-Seq for measuring tumor burden in early- and advanced-stage NSCLC and for monitoring ctDNA during distinct types of therapy.

**Biopsy-free cancer screening and tumor genotyping**

Finally, we explored whether CAPP-Seq analysis of ctDNA could potentially be used for cancer screening and biopsy-free tumor genotyping. As proof of principle, we blinded ourselves to the mutations present in each patient’s tumor and applied a new statistical method to test for the presence of cancer DNA in each plasma sample in our cohort (Supplementary Fig. 7). By implementing our cancer screening method for high specificity, we correctly classified 100% of patient plasma samples with ctDNA above fractional abundances of 0.4% with a false-positive rate of 0% (Fig. 4i and Supplementary Methods). CAPP-Seq could therefore potentially improve upon the low positive predictive value of low-dose CT screening in patients at high risk of developing NSCLC.

Separately, when we specifically examined the ability of CAPP-Seq to noninvasively detect actionable mutations in EGFR and KRAS, we correctly identified 100% of mutations at allelic fractions greater than 0.1% with 99% specificity. CAPP-Seq may therefore have utility for biopsy-free tumor genotyping in patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC. However, methodological improvements will be required to detect and genotype stage I tumors without prior knowledge of tumor genotype.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we present CAPP-Seq as a new method for ctDNA quantitation. Its key features include high sensitivity and specificity, lack of a need for patient-specific optimization and coverage of nearly all patients with NSCLC. To our knowledge, CAPP-Seq is the first NGS-based method for ctDNA analysis that achieves both an ultralow detection limit and broad patient coverage at a reasonable cost. Our approach also reduces the potential impact of stochastic noise and biological variability (for example, mutations near the detection limit or subclonal tumor evolution) on tumor burden quantitation by integrating information content across multiple instances and classes of somatic mutations. These features facilitated the detection of minimal residual disease and ctDNA quantitation from stage I NSCLC tumors. Although we focused on NSCLC, our method could be applied to any malignancy for which recurrent mutation data are available.

In many patients, levels of ctDNA are considerably lower than the detection thresholds of previously described sequencing-based methods. For example, pretreatment ctDNA concentration is <0.5% in the majority of patients with lung and colorectal carcinomas. Following therapy, ctDNA concentrations typically drop, thus requiring even lower detection thresholds. Previously published ctDNA detection methods employing amplicon, whole-exome or whole-genome sequencing would not be sensitive enough to detect ctDNA in most patients with NSCLC, even at tenfold or greater sequencing costs (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. 8).

To further expand the potential clinical applications of ctDNA quantitation, additional gains in the detection threshold are desirable. Potential approaches include using barcoding strategies that suppress PCR errors resulting from library preparation and increasing the amount of plasma used for ctDNA analysis above the average of ~1.5 ml used in our study. A second limitation of CAPP-Seq is the potential for inefficient capture of fusions, which could lead to underestimates of tumor burden (for example, P9; Supplementary Methods). However, this bias can be analytically addressed when other reporter types are present (for example, P6; Supplementary Table 4). Finally, although we found that CAPP-Seq could quantitate CNAs, our current selector design did not prioritize these types of aberrations. We anticipate that adding coverage for certain CNAs will prove useful for monitoring various types of cancers.

In summary, targeted hybrid capture and high-throughput sequencing of plasma DNA allows for highly sensitive and noninvasive detection of ctDNA in the vast majority of patients with NSCLC at low cost. CAPP-Seq could therefore be routinely applied clinically and has the potential for accelerating the personalized detection, therapy and monitoring of cancer. We anticipate that CAPP-Seq will prove valuable in a variety of clinical settings, including the assessment of cancer DNA in alternative biological fluids and specimens with low cancer cell content.
METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

Accession codes. Raw sequencing data were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive with accession number SRP040228.

Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS

Patient selection. Between April 2010 and June 2012, patients undergoing treatment for newly diagnosed or recurrent NSCLC enrolled in a study approved by the Stanford University Institutional Review Board and provided informed consent. Enrolled patients had not received blood transfusions within 3 months of blood collection. Patient characteristics are listed in Supplementary Table 3. All treatments and radiographic examinations were performed as part of standard clinical care. Volumetric measurements of tumor burden were based on visible tumor on CT and calculated according to the ellipsoid formula: (length/2) × width2.

Sample collection and processing. Peripheral blood from patients was collected in EDTA Vacutainer tubes (Becton Dickinson). Blood samples were processed within 3 h of collection. Plasma was separated by centrifugation at 2,500g for 10 min, transferred to microcentrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 16,000g for 10 min to remove cell debris. The cell pellet from the initial spin was used for isolation of germline genomic DNA from PBLs with the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen). Matched tumor DNA was isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded specimens or from the cell pellet of pleural effusions. Genomic DNA was quantified by Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen).

Cell-free DNA purification and quantification. Circulating DNA was isolated from 1–5 mL plasma with the QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid Kit (Qiagen). The concentration of purified plasma DNA was determined by qPCR using an 81-bp amplicon on chromosome 1 (ref. 24) and a dilution series of intact male human genomic DNA (Promega) as a standard curve. Power SYBR Green was used for qPCR on a HT7900 Real Time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems), using standard PCR thermal cycling parameters.

Next-generation sequencing library construction. Indexed Illumina NGS libraries were prepared from plasma DNA and shorn tumor, germline and cell line genomic DNA. For patient plasma DNA, 7–32 ng DNA were used for library construction without additional fragmentation. For tumor, germline and cell line genomic DNA, 69–1,000 ng DNA was sheared before library construction with a Covaris S2 instrument using the recommended settings for 200-bp fragments. Details are provided in Supplementary Table 2.

The NGS libraries were constructed using the KAPA Library Preparation Kit (Kapa Biosystems) employing a DNA polymerase possessing strong 3′→5′ exonuclease (or proofreading) activity and displaying the lowest published error rate (i.e., highest fidelity) of all commercially available B-family DNA polymerases36,37. The manufacturer’s protocol was modified to incorporate with-bead enzymatic and cleanup steps using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman-Coulter)38. Ligation was performed for 16 h to incorporate with-bead enzymatic and cleanup steps using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman-Coulter)38. Ligation was performed for 16 h at 16 °C using 100-fold molar excess of indexed Illumina TruSeq adapters. Single-step size selection was performed by adding 40 µL (0.8×) of PEG buffer to enrich for ligated DNA fragments. The ligated fragments were then amplified using 500 nM Illumina backbone oligonucleotides and 4–9 PCR cycles, depending on input DNA mass. Library purity and concentration was assessed by spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 2000) and qPCR (KAPA Biosystems), respectively. Fragment length was determined on a 2100 Bioanalyzer using the DNA 1000 Kit (Agilent).

Library design for hybrid selection. Hybrid selection was performed with a custom SeqCap EZ Choice Library (Roche NimbleGen). This library was designed through the NimbleDesign portal (v1.2.R1) using genome build hg19 NCBI Build 37.1/GRCch37 and with Maximum Close Matches set to 1. Input genomic regions were selected according to the most frequently mutated genes and exons in NSCLC and were chosen to iteratively maximize the number of mutations per tumor while minimizing selector size. These regions were identified from the COSMIC database, TCGA and other published sources as described in the Supplementary Methods. Final selector coordinates are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Hybrid selection and next-generation sequencing. NimbleGen SeqCap EZ Choice was used according to the manufacturer’s protocol with modifications. Between 9 and 12 indexed Illumina libraries were included in a single capture hybridization. Following hybrid selection, the captured DNA fragments were amplified with 12 to 14 cycles of PCR using 1× KAPA HiFi Hot Start Ready Mix and 2 µM Illumina backbone oligonucleotides in four to six separate 50-µL reactions. The reactions were then pooled and processed with the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). Multiplexed libraries were sequenced using 100-bp paired-end reads on an Illumina HiSeq 2000. Raw sequencing data have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive under accession number SRP040228.

Mapping and quality control. Paired-end reads were mapped to the hg19 reference genome with BWA 0.6.2 (default parameters)39 and sorted and indexed with SAMTools40. Quality control (QC) was assessed using a custom Perl script to collect a variety of statistics, including mapping characteristics, read quality and selector on-target rate (i.e., number of unique reads that intersect the selector space divided by all aligned reads), generated respectively by SAMTools flagstat, FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) and BEDTools coverageBed41. Plots of fragment length distribution and sequence depth and coverage were automatically generated for visual QC assessment. To mitigate the impact of sequencing errors, analyses not involving fusions were restricted to properly paired reads, and only bases with Phred quality scores ≥30 (≥0.1% probability of a sequencing error) were further analyzed.

Detection thresholds. Two dilution series were performed to assess the linearity and accuracy of CAPP-Seq for quantitating ctDNA. In one experiment, shorn genomic DNA from a NSCLC cell line (HCC78) was spiked into circulating DNA from a healthy individual, and in a second experiment, shorn genomic DNA from one NSCLC cell line (NCI-H3122) was spiked into shorn genomic DNA from a second NSCLC line (HCC78). A total of 32 ng DNA was used for library construction. Following mapping and quality control, homozygous reporters were identified as alleles unique to each sample with at least 20× sequencing depth and an allelic fraction >80%. Fourteen such reporters were identified between HCC78 genomic DNA and plasma DNA (Fig. 2g,h), whereas 24 reporters were found between NCI-H3122 and HCC78 genomic DNA (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Bioinformatics pipeline. Details of bioinformatics methods are supplied in the Supplementary Methods. Briefly, for detection of SNVs and indels, we employed VarScan 2 (ref. 42) with strict postprocessing filters to improve variant call confidence, and for fusion identification and breakpoint characterization, we used an algorithm called FACTERA (Supplementary Methods). To quantify tumor burden in plasma DNA, allele frequencies of reporter SNVs and indels were assessed using the output of SAMtools mpileup46, and fusions, if detected, were enumerated with FACTERA.

Statistical analyses. The NSCLC selector was validated in silico using an independent cohort of lung adenocarcinomas20 (Fig. 1c). To assess statistical significance, we analyzed the same cohort using 10,000 random selectors sampled from the exome, each with an identical size distribution to the CAPP-Seq NSCLC selector. The performance of random selectors had a normal distribution, and P values were calculated accordingly. Of note, all identified somatic lesions were considered in this analysis.

Related to Figure 1d, the probability (P) of recovering at least two reads of a single mutant allele in plasma for a given depth and detection limit was modeled by a binomial distribution. Given P, the probability of detecting all identified tumor mutations in plasma (for example, median of 4 for CAPP-Seq) was modeled by a geometric distribution. Estimates are based on 250 million 100-bp paired-end reads per lane (for example, using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform). Moreover, an on-target rate of 60% was assumed for CAPP-Seq and WES.

To evaluate the impact of reporter number on tumor burden estimates, we performed Monte Carlo sampling (1,000×), varying the number of reporters available {1,2,…n} in two spiking experiments (Fig. 2g–i and Supplementary Fig. 4).
To assess the significance of tumor burden estimates in plasma DNA using SNVs, we compared patient-specific SNV frequencies to the null distribution of selector-wide background alleles. Indels were analyzed separately using mutation-specific background rates and Z-score statistics. Fusion breakpoints were considered significant when present with >0 read support due to their ultralow false detection rate.

For each patient, we calculated a ctDNA detection index (akin to a false-positive rate) based on P value integration from his or her array of reporters (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 4). Specifically, for cases where only a single reporter type was present in a patient’s tumor, the corresponding P value was used. If SNV and indel reporters were detected and if each independently had a P value <0.1, we combined their respective P values using Fisher’s method43. Otherwise, given the prioritization of SNVs in the selector design, the SNV P value was used. If a fusion breakpoint identified in a tumor sample (i.e., involving ROS1, ALK or RET) was recovered in plasma DNA from the same patient, it trumped all other mutation types, and its P value (~0) was used. If a fusion detected in the tumor was not found in corresponding plasma (potentially owing to hybridization inefficiency; Supplementary Methods), the P value for any remaining mutation type(s) was used. The ctDNA detection index was considered significant if the metric was ≤0.05 (approximate false-positive rate ≤5%), the threshold that maximized CAPP-Seq sensitivity and specificity in ROC analyses (determined by Euclidean distance to a perfect classifier; i.e., a true-positive rate equal to 1 and a false-positive rate equal to 0; Figs. 3 and 4, Table 1 and Supplementary Table 4).

We evaluated CAPP-Seq performance in a blinded fashion by masking all patient identifying information, including disease stage, circulating DNA time point, treatment, etc. We then applied our ctDNA detection index across the entire grid of deidentified plasma DNA samples (13 patient-specific sets of somatic reporters across 40 plasma samples, or 520 pairs). To calculate sensitivity and specificity, we ‘unblinded’ ourselves and grouped patient samples into cancer-positive (i.e., cancer was present in the patient’s body), cancer-negative (i.e., patient was cured) or cancer-unknown (i.e., insufficient data to determine true classification) categories (Fig. 3a,b and Supplementary Fig. 6). ROC analyses and significance estimates were performed using GraphPad Prism 6.

Additional details are presented in the Supplementary Methods.
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