Antibiotic resistance, which emerges in pathogenic microorganisms, is an important public health threat in the world, and occurs as a result of the widespread and often misuse of antibiotics. In many cases, due to the resistant bacteria infections may require prolonged hospital stays, this provides additional follow-up visits to healthcare providers and the use of possible treatments can be more costly and potentially more toxic (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention-CDC, available online at https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/index.html, accessed June 7, 2021). On the other hand, broad-spectrum antibiotics can have devastating effects on the microbiota of individuals. Therefore, antibiotic treatment may cause damage to the microbiota, compromise immunity, and provoke infections and metabolic disorders. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), approximately 700,000 people die every year due to antimicrobial-resistant bacteria. It is predicted that this number will reach 10 million by 2050 if no action is taken, and will overshadow even the number of cancer-related deaths (available online at https://www.who.int/activities/estimating-the-burden-of-foodborne-diseases, accessed June 7, 2021). Another dangerous situation is the fact that animals also carry a large number of zoonotic and commensal bacteria, including antibiotic-resistant bacteria in their gut, and these bacteria can be transmitted to humans through foods (CDC, available online at https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/index.html, accessed June 7, 2021).

Enterococci and staphylococci have an important place among foodborne pathogens. Enterococci are ubiquitous microorganisms and have a predominant habitat in the gastrointestinal tract of humans and animals (35). Due to their resistance to high temperatures and adverse environmental conditions, they can colonize in many media and are considered as an indicator of food hygiene criteria in foods (13). Enterococci can be found widely in foods of animal origin, especially dairy and meat products (6, 10). In the genus staphylococci, Staphylococcus aureus is the most common bacteria among foodborne pathogens (24). It has the ability to grow in foods with tolerance to different environmental conditions, to have various virulence factors, to develop multiple resistance to antibiotics and disinfectants, and to form biofilms on surfaces/tools and equipment (14, 25). Moreover, S. aureus can produce a significant amount of heat-resistant enterotoxins when it reaches levels of 10^6 cfu/g or higher in foods and is responsible for staphylococcal food intoxications (26). Therefore, preventing S. aureus from
reaching 6 log cfu/g in foods is of great importance in terms of food safety.

According to CDC, 2 out of 5 pathogens caused by nosocomial infections in the US are vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE) and Methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA). It has been reported that 54,500 VRE and 323,700 MRSA infections occur annually in hospitalized patients in the USA, and these infections cause approximately 5,400 and 10,600 deaths, respectively (CDC, available online at https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/biggest-threats.html#van, accessed June 7, 2021). Moreover, vanA and vanB resistance genes are known to be the most common resistance genes implicated in VRE infections (16). The presence of VRE and MRSA infections in hospitalized patients indicates that these pathogens are taken through foods unless the infected persons were previously hospitalized and used antibiotics. These pathogens can contaminate foods through environmental sources such as sewage treatment systems, livestock feces, raw milk and meat. Milk contaminated by environmental factors due to inadequate technical and hygienic conditions in dairy farms is an important source for both VRE and MRSA, as well as a source for antibiotic resistance (12).

Probiotics are live microorganisms which are considered as non-pathogenic flora and provide human health benefits. Probiotic bacteria reduce the colonization of pathogens in the intestine and thus reduce the susceptibility to infection (23). The health effects of probiotics include balancing colon microbiota, protecting intestinal microbiota, antimicrobial effect against foodborne pathogens, preventing gastrointestinal system disorders, reducing serum cholesterol, and enhancing the nutritional value of products (15, 30, 33). Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are the most commonly used probiotics and are especially used in fermented milk products. It was stated that LAB-containing fermented milk showed various health benefits and protective effects against diseases (22, 34). In addition, LAB has antimicrobial properties against many intestinal and foodborne pathogens by showing the ability to inhibit the adhesion, toxin production and/or invasion of those microorganisms (29). The reason for their ability to inhibit pathogenic microorganisms can be attributed to the organic acids causing a decrease in pH and/or the hydrogen peroxide formed during their growth. On the other hand, LAB has been reported to compete with pathogens for adhesion sites or nutrients (3). LAB can also produce antibacterial peptides called bacteriocins, thus they can provide effective properties against pathogens. One of the most commonly used LAB bacteria is Lactobacillus casei and it has been shown in previous studies that this probiotic has preventive effects against antibiotic-related and Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea (20).

The aim of this study was to determine the reducing effect of the L. casei on vanB resistant Enterococcus faecium FC21 and vanA resistant Enterococcus faecalis EC32 isolates, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 43300 and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus-MRSA ATCC 25923 in fermented milk during 72 h.

**Material and methods**

**Bacterial strains.** Commercial Lactobacillus casei strain (Lactoferm L Series, LC) were obtained from Biochem (Rome, Italy). vanB resistant E. faecium FC21 isolate, vanA resistant E. faecalis EC32 isolate and S. aureus ATCC 43300 were obtained from the Veterinary Faculty of Ankara University. MRSA ATCC 25923 was obtained from the Veterinary Faculty of Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University. Enterococci and staphylococci strains were enriched in Brain Heart Infusion Broth (BHI; Oxoid CM1135) and Lactobacillus casei was activated in sterile reconstituted skimmed milk (10% w/w) by two sequential incubations at 37°C for 24 h. Skim milk powder (Bakkalbasioglu Süt Urunleri San. ve Tic. A.S., Nigde, Turkey) was reconstituted at 37°C for 24 h. Skim milk powder (Bakkalbasioglu Süt Urunleri San. ve Tic. A.S., Nigde, Turkey) was reconstituted at 121°C for 2 min.

Enterococci and staphylococci strains were diluted with Buffered Peptone Water (BPW, Oxoid CM0509) to achieve an inoculum containing 10⁶ and 10⁹ cfu/ml. When 1 ml of each strain containing 10⁶ and 10⁹ cfu/ml was added to the 250 ml of sterile reconstituted milk, the final product contained 10⁶ and 10⁹ cfu/ml bacteria, respectively. Experimental contamination of 250 ml of milk samples was carried out into sixteen groups in duplicate as specified in Table 1.

**Microbial analysis.** After the contamination of sterile milk, 10 ml of milk samples were taken at 0, 4, 8, 24, 48 and 72 h to determine the bacterial counts during fermentation. Serial dilutions were prepared in 9 ml of Ringer solution (Merck 115525) and microbial analyses were carried

| Group | Pathogen – concentration (cfu/ml) | L. casei/concentration (cfu/ml) |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| A     | E. faecium – 10⁶                 | –                              |
| B     | E. faecalis – 10⁶                | 10⁴                            |
| C     | S. aureus ATCC 43300 – 10⁶       | –                              |
| D     | MRSA ATCC 25923 – 10⁶            | –                              |

**Explanation:** MRSA – Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus
out using the classic culture method on selective media in duplicate. De Man Ragosa Sharpe Agar (MRS, Merck 110660) was used for the enumeration of *L. casei* and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h. Enterococci and staphylococci were pour-plated on Slanantz Bartley Agar (SB, Oxoid CM0377) and Baird Parker Agar Base (BP, Oxoid CM0275), respectively. Aerobic incubation conditions were applied for both media at 37°C for 24 to 48 h. The colonies were counted after the incubation period and the results were stated as log cfu/ml.

**Generation time.** Generation time was calculated according to Millette et al. (19) using the formula below and the results were expressed as min.  

\[ k = \left( \frac{\log N_f - \log N_i}{0.301 \times t} \right) \]

where \( g \) is the generation time (h), \( k \) is the division rate (h\(^{-1}\)), \( t \) is 8 (the 8th h of fermentation). \( \log N_f \) and \( \log N_i \) are the microbial counts (cfu/ml) after 8 h and 4 h of incubation respectively.

**Titratable acidity.** Milk samples (10 g) were titrated with 0.1 N NaOH in the existence of phenolphthalein and lactic acid (%) content of the samples were calculated using the volume and the normality of titrant used. Titratable acidity analyses were carried out in 0, 4, 8, 24, 48 and 72 h of incubation in duplicate.

**Statistical analysis.** The data obtained for the microbial counts (pathogens and *L. casei*) and lactic acid contents were evaluated using repeated measurement analysis of variance technique in a factorial design. For pathogen counts and lactic acid contents, the time factor had six levels (0, 4, 8, 24, 48 and 72 h), the pathogen factor had four levels (A, B, C, D), the pathogen concentration factor had two levels (10⁴, 10⁶ cfu/ml) and the probiotic factor had two levels (*L. casei*-free, containing *L. casei*). Pathogen counts were also analyzed using repeated measurement analysis of variance technique in a factorial design with the same factors except for the probiotic factor. In experiments, repeated measurements were performed at the levels of the time factor. The generation times were evaluated with the factorial analysis of variance in randomized plot design. In this experiment, unlike other variables, only pathogen, pathogen concentration and probiotic factors were present. The data obtained for the generation time, lactic acid content, *L. casei* and pathogen counts were evaluated using IBM SPSS Statistics 22 package program and Duncan multiple comparison test was used to determine the differentiate groups.

**Results and discussion**

According to the findings, it was determined that the pathogen counts were lower in all of the *L. casei* added samples compared to the samples without *L. casei* addition (\( p < 0.05 \)) (Fig. 1). As expected, a higher inhibition rate was achieved in all of the samples to which 10⁴ cfu/ml pathogen was added compared to those to which 10⁶ cfu/ml was added. While it was determined that the effect of *L. casei* on pathogen development was seen from the 8th hour of fermentation in the samples with 10⁴ cfu/ml pathogens, this effect could only be seen after the 24th hour in the samples with 10⁶ cfu/ml pathogens. Moreover, it was determined that the generation time was prolonged for all pathogens in *L. casei* samples and this increase was higher in samples containing 10⁴ cfu/ml pathogens (Tab. 2). While the generation time increased between 56.51 and 204.80 min with the addition of *L. casei* in the samples with 10⁶ cfu/ml pathogens, it was determined that this increase was between 60.16 and 351.30 min in the samples with 10⁴ cfu/ml pathogens.

The ability of lactic acid bacteria to suppress the growth of pathogens is due to their antagonistic effect (8). These bacteria have the ability to prevent the
colonization of other microorganisms using the nutrients necessary for their growth in the environment. Lactic acid bacteria can also significantly inhibit the growth of pathogens by producing some important metabolites (31).

Lactic and acetic acid, the main metabolites produced by lactic acid bacteria, penetrate the microbial cell and interfere with basic cell functions, lowering the intracellular pH as well as the ambient pH, thereby slowing the metabolic activity of pathogens (21). As it is seen in Fig. 2, while there was no difference between the titration acidity values of the samples at the beginning of fermentation (p > 0.05), as the fermentation period progressed, the amount of lactic acid increased with the increase in the number of microorganisms capable of producing lactic acid (p < 0.05).

Another reason for the suppression of pathogen growth with the addition of L. casei is that benzoic acid, which is known to be found especially in fermented milk as a result of the metabolic activities of some lactic acid bacteria such as L. casei, has an inhibitory effect against pathogens (37). L. casei also has the ability to produce pyrogulutamic acid, which is also found in fruits and vegetables, and this metabolite has been reported to have a greater antimicrobial effect on pathogens than lactic acid (36). Moreover, the carbon dioxide produced by L. casei affects the growth rate and competitiveness of bacteria by reducing the redox potential (Eh), which significantly affects pH, temperature and water activity parameters. It has been reported that this situation suppresses the growth of bacteria such as enterococci and staphylococci (17, 21). It has also been stated that diacetyl and acetaldehyde, which are known as end products of pyruvate and carbohydrate metabolism, respectively, have inhibitory effects on pathogenic microorganisms (18, 21).

Another important antimicrobial effect of lactobacilli is their role in blocking glycolysis. It has been reported that glucose transfer, hexokinase activity and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase activity are inhibited due to the oxidation of sulfhydryl groups (5).

Explanations: Different uppercase letters denote statistical differences between the samples with and without L. casei addition (p < 0.05). Different lowercase letters denote statistical differences between the samples with different concentrations (10^6 and 10^4 cfu/ml) of pathogens (p < 0.05). Different italic uppercase letters denote statistical differences between the samples with different (A, B, C, D) pathogens (p < 0.05). A – vanB resistant Enterococcus faecium FC21 isolate; B – vanA resistant Enterococcus faecalis EC32 isolate; C – Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 43300; D – methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus-MRSA ATCC 25923; + – L. casei added samples, – – L. casei-free samples
As it is seen in Fig. 1, when the pathogen counts at the beginning of fermentation and 72 nd h were compared it was determined that the pathogen counts of L. casei containing C and D samples were lower than at the 0 th hour. Although lower pathogen counts were detected in samples A and B than those without L. casei addition, the number of pathogens at the end of fermentation was higher than at the beginning. It was determined that this situation was not affected by the amount of added pathogen (p > 0.05). Therefore, considering the pathogens examined in the study it is possible to say that the addition of L. casei was more effective on S. aureus ATCC 43300 and MRSA ATCC 25923 pathogens.

One of the most important reasons for this situation can be indicated as the fact that S. aureus is more sensitive to bacteriocins and hydrogen peroxide that L. casei is capable of producing (27, 32). Bacteriocins are polypeptides that are ribosomally synthesized by bacteria and have a bactericidal or bacteriostatic effect on competitive bacteria. These generally cause cell death by inhibiting cell wall biosynthesis or by damaging the membrane through forming pores (9). Schillinger et al. (27) reported that bacteriocins produced by L. casei are effective on staphylococci. Hydrogen peroxide, on the other hand, has a strong oxidizing effect on bacterial cells and cell proteins and sulfhydryl groups in membrane lipids (7). It was stated that S. aureus is highly sensitive to hydrogen peroxide and can be effectively inhibited in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (38). Furthermore, Gaca and Lemos (11) reported that enterococci has the ability to develop resistance to hydrogen peroxide.

Another important reason why staphylococci can be inhibited at a higher rate than enterococci is thought to be related to acidity. It was stated that the growth of S. aureus slows down at high acidity (1), while enterococci can survive in a wide pH range and are highly resistant to acidic environments (11). Additionally, L. casei, due to its ability to produce carbon dioxide (17), contributes to the gradual decrease of oxygen and the formation of an anaerobic environment (21). Therefore, it is thought that this situation is also effective in suppressing the growth of S. aureus, which is known to be aerobic, more than E. faecium and E. faecalis growing in anaerobic conditions.

As it is seen in Table 3, the amount of L. casei in the samples showed an increasing trend during the fermentation period but decreased at the end of the fermentation. This change in the amount of L. casei is thought to be related to the increase in the amount of lactic acid accumulated in the environment as the fermentation period progresses. According to Capela et al. (4), lactic acid bacteria are affected by changing environmental conditions and get stressed, thus probiotic viability may decrease. As a matter of fact, Shah (28) reported that the growth of L. casei was suppressed with the effect of developing acidity in acidic fermented milk products such as yogurt.

In conclusion, L. casei showed an inhibitory effect on all pathogens (vanB resistant E. faecium, vanA resistant E. faecalis, S. aureus ATCC 43300, methicillin-resistant S. aureus-MRSA ATCC 25923) and prolonged the generation time in the fermented milk samples. L. casei was more efficient on S. aureus ATCC 43300 and MRSA ATCC 25923 compared to the enterococci strains. Thus the results showed that the growth of these bacteria, which is one of the most common foodborne pathogens in the world, can be suppressed under in vitro conditions by the presence of L. casei.
of *L. casei*, and more importantly, it can prevent the spread of antibiotic resistance. In addition, it is thought that the results obtained from this study may guide future in vivo studies on the use of *L. casei*-fermented milk in the prevention of antibiotic resistance.
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