Evaluation of Methods for Mapping the Snow Cover Area at High Spatio-Temporal Resolution with VENμS
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Abstract: The VENμS mission launched in 2017 provides multispectral optical images of the land surface with a 2-day revisit time at 5 m resolution for over 100 selected sites. A few sites are subject to seasonal snow accumulation, which gives the opportunity to monitor the variations of the snow cover area at unprecedented spatial and temporal resolution. However, the 12 spectral bands of VENμS only cover the visible and near-infrared region of the spectra while existing snow detection algorithms typically make use of a shortwave infrared band to determine the presence of snow. Here, we evaluate two alternative snow detection algorithms. The first one is based on a normalized difference index between the near-infrared and the visible bands, and the second one is based on a machine learning approach using the Theia Sentinel-2 snow products as training data. Both approaches are tested using Sentinel-2 data (as surrogate of VENμS data) as well as actual VENμS in the Pyrenees and the High Atlas. The results confirm the possibility of retrieving snow cover without SWIR with a slight loss in performance. As expected, the results confirm that the machine learning method provides better results than the index-based approach (e.g., an RMSE equal to the learning method 1.35% and for the index-based method 10.80% in the High Atlas.). The improvement is more evident in the Pyrenees probably due to the presence of vegetation which complicates the spectral signature of the snow cover area in VENμS images.
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1. Introduction

The snow cover area, i.e., the areal extent of snow-covered ground [1], is a key variable in the study of mountain ecosystems [2]. In mountainous regions, the snow cover is characterized by a high spatial variability, which reflects the combined influence of the terrain, land cover and weather variability [3,4]. Therefore, high-resolution information (i.e., below 100 m) on the snow cover area is important to understand the dynamics of mountain ecosystems. For example, an accurate description of the snow cover area was critical to explain the spatial heterogeneity of both taxonomic and functional diversity of plant communities in an alpine grassland [5]. High-resolution snow cover area is also useful in hydrology to reduce biases in the spatial distribution of the snow water equivalent [6,7] and may become an important tool for economic activities like land management or tourism. For all the aforementioned applications, it is also important to characterize the snow cover evolution with a high...
temporal resolution especially during the melt season when significant reduction of the snow cover occurs at a daily rate.

VENµS is a micro-satellite in Sun-synchronous circular orbit with a superspectral camera which provides images with 12 narrow spectral bands in the visible and near infrared region (VNIR) [8,9]. VENµS was launched on 2 August 2017. The first phase of VENµS mission (after the commissioning phase) is devoted to the science mission objectives. It started in January 2018 and is planned to last 2.5 years. During this phase, VENµS camera acquires images from the nominal orbit at 720 km altitude for 110 selected sites. In this configuration, the sensor swath is 27.5 km, the ground spatial resolution is 5.3 m at nadir and the revisit time is 2 days. No other sensor currently in orbit combines this kind of revisit rate, spatial resolution and spectral bands [10]. Some VENµS sites are located in mountainous areas with a significant seasonal snow cover in winter: DESIP2 (USA), DESIP3 (USA), SUDOUEST (France and Spain), NARYN (Kirghizstan), KHUMBU (Nepal and China). Hence, these sites offer the opportunity to track subtle variations of the snow cover area at unprecedented spatial and temporal resolution. Orthorectified top-of-atmosphere reflectances products (level-1C) are delivered by the French Theia land data center with a pixel size of 5 m. Theia also provides surface reflectance products (level-2A) at 10 m resolution, which were generated using state-of-the-art atmospheric correction and cloud masking algorithm [11,12]. Currently, there is no snow product based on VENµS images, which indicates the presence or absence of snow, like the Theia snow collection for Sentinel-2 [13]. Therefore, our objective is to define a method to classify the snow cover from VENµS level-2A products (surface reflectance and cloud mask). However, the 12 spectral bands of VENµS only cover the VNIR spectral region while existing snow detection algorithms typically make use of a shortwave infrared (SWIR) band to determine the presence of snow in Landsat, MODIS, ASTER or Sentinel-2 images [13–16]. Indeed, this band permits to easily distinguish between snow-covered and snow-free areas, because snow has a high reflectance at visible wavelengths and very low reflectance in the SWIR [17,18]. This specific property of the snow cover led to the definition of the Normalized Difference Snow Index by Dozier [14]:

\[
\text{NDSI} = \frac{\rho_{\text{green}} - \rho_{\text{SWIR}}}{\rho_{\text{green}} + \rho_{\text{SWIR}}},
\]

where \(\rho_{\text{green}}\) (resp. \(\rho_{\text{SWIR}}\)) is the surface reflectance in the green channel (resp. SWIR at 1.6 μm).

A few previous studies focused on retrieving the snow cover area from VNIR optical remote sensing images (without SWIR band). This is typically the case of very-high resolution images acquired by satellites like WorldView, Pléiades or Formosat-2. Bühler et al. [19] used very high spatial resolution WorldView-2 images and normalized difference band ratios from the visible and near infrared bands to distinguish and map different snow surfaces near Davos in Switzerland. The results showed that an NIR band between 860 and 1040 nm enabled identification of snow cover and distinguishing of spatial variations in the snow surface type. Marchane et al. [20] derived the snow cover area from Formosat-2 images at 8 m resolution (with red, green and near-infrared bands) using a supervised classification. This method provided accurate results but the training samples were manually collected by the visual interpretation of the image. Another drawback of the supervised approach is that the classification model may not be transferable to other study areas.

Here we seek a robust and automatic method to retrieve the snow cover area in VENµS images, i.e., without the need for human intervention. We focus on pixel-based approaches as they are more scalable than object-oriented classification algorithms (rarely used to classify dense time series of high resolution image). First, we evaluate a standard thresholding approach based on a modified normalized difference snow index. Then, we propose a machine-learning method which combines the advantage of the supervised approach (accuracy) and unsupervised approach (genericity).

We evaluate the method using the Theia Sentinel-2 snow product derived with SWIR bands as a reference. It covers a similar spectral range as VENµS bands (band 7 and 8 of Sentinel-2 corresponds respectively to the bands 10 and 11 in VENµS, Table 1). The extended coverage of Sentinel-2 and the availability of Sentinel-2 snow products from Theia allows evaluating our method in various sites.
Table 1. Summary of VENμS and Sentinel-2 spectral bands.

| VENμS Number | Wavelength Center (nm) | Sentinel-2 Number | Wavelength Center (nm) |
|--------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1            | 423.9                  | -                 | -                      |
| 2            | 446.9                  | 1                 | 443.0                  |
| 3            | 491.9                  | 2                 | 490.0                  |
| 4            | 555.0                  | 3                 | 560.0                  |
| 5            | 619.7                  | -                 | -                      |
| 6            | 619.5                  | -                 | -                      |
| 7            | 662.2                  | 4                 | 665.0                  |
| 8            | 702.0                  | 5                 | 705                    |
| 9            | 741.1                  | 6                 | 740                    |
| 10           | 782.2                  | 7                 | 783                    |
| 11           | 861.1                  | 8a                | 865                    |
| 12           | 908.7                  | -                 | -                      |

Table 2. Date of acquisition of Sentinel-2 images for the two sites (T29RPQ and T31TCH) and (VENμS).

| Tile High Atlas (S2) | Tile Pyrenees (S2) | Tile Pyrenees (VENμS) |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Dates                | Date               | Date                  | Date                   |
| 2 January 2018       | T29RPQ             | 1 January 2018        | T31TCH                 | 31 January 2018 | SUDOUE-5 |
| 12 January 2018      | T29RPQ             | 31 January 2018       | T31TCH                 | 2 March 2018    | SUDOUE-5 |
| 17 January 2018      | T29RPQ             | 25 February 2018      | T31TCH                 | 21 April 2018   | SUDOUE-5 |
| 22 January 2018      | T29RPQ             | 2 March 2018          | T31TCH                 | 20 June 2018    | SUDOUE-5 |
| 27 January 2018      | T29RPQ             | 7 March 2018          | T31TCH                 | 6 January 2019  | SUDOUE-5 |
| 1 February 2018      | T29RPQ             | 1 April 2018          | T31TCH                 | 15 February 2019| SUDOUE-5 |
| 1 February 2018      | T29RPQ             | 21 April 2018         | T31TCH                 | ——               | ——        |
| 11 February 2018     | T29RPQ             | 11 May 2018           | T31TCH                 | ——               | ——        |
| 21 February 2018     | T29RPQ             | 20 June 2018          | T31TCH                 | ——               | ——        |
| 3 March 2018         | T29RPQ             | 17 November 2018      | T31TCH                 | ——               | ——        |
| 23 March 2018        | T29RPQ             | 7 December 2018       | T31TCH                 | ——               | ——        |
| 27 April 2018        | T29RPQ             | 17 December 2018      | T31TCH                 | ——               | ——        |
| ——                   | ——                 | 27 December 2018       | T31TCH                 | ——               | ——        |
| ——                   | ——                 | 1 January 2019         | T31TCH                 | ——               | ——        |
| ——                   | ——                 | 6 January 2019         | T31TCH                 | ——               | ——        |
| ——                   | ——                 | 11 January 2019        | T31TCH                 | ——               | ——        |
| ——                   | ——                 | 16 January 2019        | T31TCH                 | ——               | ——        |
| ——                   | ——                 | 15 February 2019       | T31TCH                 | ——               | ——        |

2. Data and Methods

2.1. Data

2.1.1. Sentinel-2 Level-2A Products

Sentinel-2 data were obtained from the Theia level-2A collection [13]. Level-2A (L2A) products provide surface reflectances and a cloud mask that were generated by MAJA [21]. The surface reflectance is adjusted to account for the effect of the terrain slope on the observed reflectance in the sun-sensor geometry [21], which is an important feature for snow detection in mountain regions. We used respectively 11 and 18 images for tiles T29RPQ and T31TCH. We only selected images with a very low cloud cover (Table 2). These data are freely accessible from Theia website [22]. Each level-2A product contains a 110 × 110 km² ortho-image in UTM/WGS84 projection.

2.1.2. Sentinel-2 Snow Products

Sentinel-2 snow products were obtained from the Theia “snow” collection [13]. These products provide the snow presence or absence at 20 m resolution from Sentinel-2 observations. Theia snow
products are routinely generated from Theia L2A products and freely available at Theia. In Theia, the snow detection is made based on the NDSI and a digital elevation model. The reader can refer to [13] for the details on the snow detection algorithm and its evaluation.

2.1.3. VENµS Level-2A Products

VENµS level-2A products were also obtained from Theia. Level-2 products provide atmospheric corrected surface reflectances and is supplied with a cloud mask and shadows. VENµS L2A products are also generated by MAJA using a multi-temporal approach [11].

VENµS snow masks were resampled to the same UTM grid as Sentinel-2 at 20 m resolution using the nearest neighbor method to allow the computation of the confusion matrix between both datasets [23]. The size of the tile is: 32.27 × 73.24 km².

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Unsupervised Classification

This method is based on a normalized difference index similar to the NDSI (Section 1), but using bands 10 (782 nm) and 11 (861 nm) in VENµS images, which correspond to bands 7 (783 nm) and 8a (865 nm) in Sentinel-2 images (Table 1). This index is based on the fact that the snow reflectance is lower at wavelength 861 nm than 782 nm [17]. This index is referred to as NDSI$_\mu$:

$$NDSI_\mu = \frac{\rho_{B10} - \rho_{B11}}{\rho_{B11} + \rho_{B10}}.$$ (2)

Non-cloud pixels with NDSI$_\mu$ > $n_0$ and with reflectance in the red and blue bands respectively higher than 0.12 and 0.17 are marked as snow. Red and blue bands thresholds were chosen after a preliminary study which explored different band combinations and thresholds (CNES internal report Theia-NT-413-0483-CNES). The $n_0$ threshold was optimized for each region T29RPQ and T31TCH by testing 40 linearly spaced values between $-0.20$ and 0.20. In both cases the highest Heidle skill score (HSS) was obtained with $n_0 = 0.01$, therefore this value was selected. However, we noted a low sensitivity to this value since the HSS (Section 2.3) varied only by ±0.01 and the resulting snow cover area by less than 2%.

2.2.2. Supervised Classification

We developed a process to automatically obtain the training samples from the Sentinel-2 Theia snow products to train a supervised classifier (Figure 1). Theia snow products were considered as a reference dataset (or “ground truth”) since these products were generated using Sentinel-2 images including an SWIR band, which allow an accurate detection of the snow cover (Section 2.1.2).

First, we randomly extracted 20 subsets of 20 by 20 pixels from the Theia snow products. Connected regions of pixels with the same value (snow or no-snow) were converted to polygons with attribute snow or no-snow (using GDAL polygonize tool with 4 pixels connectedness). These polygons were used to train a support vector machine (SVM) algorithm [24] in the Orfeo Toolbox [25]. The training samples contained reflectances in bands green, red and NIR (i.e., B03, B04 and B08a for Sentinel-2 and B04, B07, B11 for VENµS). B02 (blue) was not considered due to the larger uncertainty in these wavelengths caused by atmospheric effects. This method is referred to below as the supervised classification (SC) method. The SVM algorithm was chosen based on preliminary tests with other classification methods available in the OTB library (random forest and KNN). The SVM training model will be applied for all images.
2.3. Evaluation Strategy

In a first stage, the two classification methods described in Section 2.2 were evaluated using Sentinel-2 images as input, and the corresponding Theia snow products as validation data. Hence, Sentinel-2 data were used as a surrogate for VENμS data. This approach aims to augment the evaluation dataset given that few synchronous Sentinel-2 and VENμS were available. However, we used only Sentinel-2 spectral bands which are also available from VENμS (green, red and NIR, more details below). The main advantage of this approach is the exact spatial and temporal collocation of the result with the validation data (Theia is also derived from Sentinel-2). It also allowed an evaluation of the algorithm in Morocco where there is currently no VENμS acquisitions, but the Theia data are available. This evaluation in Morocco was motivated to evaluate the transposability of the results to semi-arid mountain regions like western Colorado, where there are two VENμS sites.

The evaluation was done at two contrasted sites (Figure 2). The first site is located in the High Atlas mountains in Morocco (Sentinel-2 tile T29RPQ). This tile contains many peaks above 3000 m asl including the highest peak of the High Atlas range, Djebel Toubkal (4167 m). In this site, the snow usually covers the areas above 2500 m asl throughout the winter season. At these elevations, the vegetation is very scarce due to the arid and cold climate [20]. The second site is located in the Pyrenees mountains (Sentinel-2 tile T31TCH), where the vegetation is more developed even in the snow dominated areas, i.e., roughly above 1600 m asl [26]. The histograms of the slopes and aspect from the SRTM digital elevation model are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

In a second stage, we evaluate the method using actual VENμS data in the Pyrenees. We selected VENμS images that were acquired on the same day or with a time lag of 1 day as a Sentinel-2 acquisition. There are six dates that are given in Table 2. This allowed us to compare the VENμS snow products to Sentinel-2 Theia snow products. This comparison was done in the intersection area between Sentinel-2 tile T31TCH and VENμS tile SUDOUE-5. This subset area is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 1. Workflow for the supervised classification. The red rectangles represent the random training samples.
Figure 2. The study areas: coverage of Sentinel-2 (S2) and VENμS tiles. The tile located to the south is T29RPQ (S2, High Atlas), the grey one in the north is T31TCH (S2, Pyrenees) and the green one is the VENμS tile (Pyrenees).

2.4. Evaluation Criteria

To evaluate the different products of classifications, we used Theia snow products as the truth. The evaluation was carried out by comparing the evolution of the snow cover area (SCA) at the tile scale with the mean error (ME) and root mean square error (RMSE). In addition, we performed a pixel-wise evaluation using the Heidle skill score, which is a statistical index derived from the confusion matrix (HSS) [27]:

\[
HSS = \frac{2(TP \times TN - FP \times FN)}{(TP + FP) \times (FP + TN) + (TP + FN)(FN + TN)}
\]

where \(TP, TN, FP, FN\) are the number of true positive, true negative, false positive and false negative pixels, respectively. The perfect simulation has an HSS equal to 1 while the worst has an HSS close to 0.

3. Results

3.1. Evaluation Using Sentinel-2 as Input Data

The results show that both methods (NDSI_μ and SC) perform well since they enable production of the temporal variation of the SCA in both study areas (Figures 3 and 4). The HSS values are higher than 0.8 for both methods and both sites, which indicates a good performance. The true positive rate (TP) is respectively equal to 0.92 and 0.78 for the SC method and NDSI_μ method for the T31TCH tile. For T29RPQ, TP is respectively equal to 0.90 and 0.65. However, in both sites, NDSI_μ method almost always underestimates SCA and yields lower HSS than the SC method. For tile T31TCH (Pyrenees), the RMSE is equal to 1.35% for the SC method and 10.80% for the NDSI_μ method, whereas for tile T29RPQ (High Atlas), the RMSE is equal to 0.91% and for the SC method and 4.49% for the NDSI_μ method. The discrepancy between both methods is highest in winter (February–March) (Figures 3 and 4). Indeed, the ME reaches a maximal value of 3.01% and 18.93% for the SC method and the NDSI_μ method respectively for tile T31TCH and 2.83% and 16.16% for tile T29RPQ.
Figure 3. Results for the Pyrenees Sentinel-2 tile: temporal evolution of the snow cover fraction (SCA%) and Heidle skill score (HSS) from the supervised classification (SC), NDSI$_\mu$ and the Theia snow product (used as a reference). The size of the tile is: 110 $\times$ 110 km$^2$.

Figure 4. Results for the High Atlas Sentinel-2 tile: temporal evolution of the snow cover fraction (SCA%) and HSS from the supervised classification (SC), NDSI$_\mu$ and the Theia snow product (used as a reference). The size of the tile is: 110 $\times$ 110 km$^2$.

3.2. Evaluation Using VEN$\mu$S as Input Data

Figure 5 shows that the NDSI$_\mu$ underestimates the SCA, especially during the winter months, similarly to the previous analysis with Sentinel-2 input data (Section 3.1). It also shows that the NDSI$_\mu$ method is less accurate according to the HSS except for two dates 21 April 2018 and 20 June 2018. However, on 21 April 2018, the difference is not significant (0.82 vs. 0.81) and on 20 June 2018 the snow cover area was equal to 7.67%.

The performance of both methods remains good (HSS between 0.62 and 0.96), however, in comparison with the previous evaluation with Sentinel-2 input data (Section 3.1), the scores are lower.
4. Discussion and Conclusions

The results show that the supervised classification makes it possible to derive high resolution snow cover maps from remote sensing images without a shortwave infrared band (the case of VENμS). They also show that the automated supervised classification (SC) gives generally better results than the classification based on an adapted version of the NDSI for VENμS (NDSI\(_μ\)). These results were obtained in two regions with different physiography (High Atlas and Pyrenees). The results were also obtained from level-2A products therefore the cloud mask was already available, which simplifies the snow detection. Although the conclusions were mostly drawn from Sentinel-2 data used as a surrogate for VENμS data, they were confirmed using actual VENμS level-2A data.

The results indicate a lower performance of the NDSI\(_μ\) during the winter months. We attribute this to the effect of shadows on the snow-covered slopes, which are less accurately captured in this index-based method based on two spectral thresholds. This can be illustrated in the case of the acquisition in the Pyrenees on 2 March 2018 (Figure 6). The NDSI\(_μ\) does not capture the full extent of the snow-covered area in the western portion of the image with many shaded slopes, while the Theia product and the supervised classification provides a similar snow mask.

In addition, the results suggested that the added-value of the SC method with respect to the NDSI\(_μ\) is more evident in the Pyrenees. We think that this is due to the more widespread forest coverage in the Pyrenees. Snow under the canopy can be captured by the Theia snow products if the tree cover density is not too high. As a result, the SVM classifier that is trained with Theia snow products may better detect snow in forest regions than the NDSI\(_μ\) method.

We also noted a lower performance of the SC method when using VENμS data as input to apply the classification model instead of Sentinel-2 data. This is expected since the model is trained using Theia snow product, which is itself derived from Sentinel-2 data.

The results may also be subject to the classification algorithm. Here we tested only the SVM method but we do not expect significant differences with other algorithms based on our preliminary tests. Another limitation is that we did not evaluate the SC and NDSI\(_μ\) in other VENμS sites. We limited our evaluation in areas where Theia snow products are currently available. In particular, the results may be different in the Khumbu site due to the presence of a glacier. The Theia snow detection algorithm was not optimized to distinguish snow, firn and ice. From a practical perspective, the SC method is limited by the availability of Theia snow products (hence only SUDOUEST currently). However, Theia snow products can be generated on-demand anywhere from Sentinel-2 observations using the free software MAJA [21] and LIS [13]. To overcome the limitation of our approach in areas with dense vegetation, shaded slopes or ice cover, we recommend adding specific classes in the supervised classification (e.g., shaded snow, vegetation, etc.). Furthermore, the conclusions drawn using Sentinel-2 data in the High Atlas site should be transposable to other semi-arid mountain sites.
For example, VENμS is acquiring images over two sites in the Colorado mountains (reference DESIP-2 and DESIP-3). The main limitation remains the spatial coverage of the VENμS mission, which only enables studying the snow cover area on specific sites (Section 1).

![Figure 6. Visual comparison of VENμS true color image, and the snow mask of the different products: derived from Theia (Theia SCA), by using NDSI, and the supervised classification (SC). The comparison was performed in a sub-region located in both VENμS and T31TCH tile.](image)

Finally, our approach using Sentinel-2 snow products to train a snow classification model could be applied to other high resolution sensors which do not have a SWIR band like the Planet constellation [28]. However, the main issue to transpose our approach to other sensors will be the discrimination of the cloud from the snow. Here, we could exclude the cloud pixels from the analysis since the clouds can be detected with the help of the native stereoscopic capability of VENμS sensor and the multi-temporal approach of the MAJA level 2A processor [11]
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