Abstract. Non-equilibrium atmospheric pressure plasma (NEAPP) has attracted attention in cancer therapy. We explored the indirect effect of NEAPP through plasma-activated medium (PAM) on pancreatic cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. In this study, four pancreatic cancer cell lines were used and the antitumor effects of PAM treatment were evaluated using a cell proliferation assay. To explore functional mechanisms, morphological change and caspase-3/7 activation in cells were also assessed. Additionally, reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation in cells was examined, and the antitumor effects of PAM were completely inhibited with N-acetyl cysteine (NAC). In the mouse xenograft model, the calculated tumor volume on day 28 in the PAM treatment group was significantly smaller compared with the control group [28±22 vs. 89±38 (mm³ ± SD), p=0.0031]. These results show that PAM treatment of pancreatic cancer might be a promising therapeutic strategy.

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is known to be the fifth most frequent cause of cancer-related death in Europe and the United States (1,2). It is a lethal disease and the 5-year actual survival rate after potentially curative resection ranges between 8 and 19% (3-6). Multidisciplinary approach has been actively pursued to improve the outcome of this disease, but effective treatment strategy remains to be established.

Plasma is referred to as ‘the fourth state of matter’ that is subsequent to solid, liquid and gas, and resides in a high-energy state composed of negative electrons, positive ions, free radicals, excited molecules and energetic photons (7). Conventionally, plasma has been generated under high temperature and low pressure; however, owing to technical developments, non-equilibrium atmospheric pressure plasma (NEAPP), also known as cold plasma or non-thermal atmospheric pressure plasma, has actually entered into the realm of practical use (8).

In the present study, selective antitumor effects of the PAM exposure on cell viability of pancreatic cancer cells were
explored. Furthermore, the underlying mechanism whereby indirect plasma treatment could induce apoptosis was investigated. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to study the effectiveness of indirect plasma-activated medium exposure on pancreatic cancer cells.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture condition. Pancreatic cancer cell lines (PANC-1, Capan-2, BxPC-3 and MIA PaCa-2) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and maintained in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml of penicillin and 100 µg/ml of streptomycin (Life Technologies Corp., Grand Island, NY, USA). Normal human pancreatic duct epithelial cells (HPDE6/C7) were kindly provided by Dr Sarah Thayer (Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA). Cells were grown in keratinocyte serum-free medium (KSF) (Life Technologies Corp.) containing 30 µg/ml bovine pituitary extract (BPE) and 0.2 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF). All cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

Experimental system for production of PAM. The experimental system for production of PAM was described in a previous report (17). NEAPP with an ultra-high electron density (~2x10¹⁶ cm⁻³) was provided with an estimated O density of approximately 4x10¹⁵ cm⁻³ (19, 20). While argon gas was flowing, plasma in the discharge region was excited by applying 10 kV from a 60-Hz commercial power supply to two electrodes 8 mm apart (12). The flow rate of the argon gas was set at two standard liters/min (slm), and the separate distance between the plasma source and the medium (L) was fixed at L = 15 mm. Six ml of RPMI-1640 medium without 10% FBS was placed in a 60-mm dish and was treated with plasma at several exposure times (30 sec, 1 min, 2 min, 3 min and 5 min).

Cell proliferation assay. To evaluate the antitumor effects of PAM treatment, a WST-1 (Takara-Bio, Tokyo, Japan) cell proliferation assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 1x10³, 5x10³ and 1x10⁴ cells/well in 100 µl of culture medium. The next day, the culture medium was replaced with 200 µl of PAM by subcutaneous injection. In this animal study, PAM was prepared as follows: 4 ml of RPMI-1640 medium was placed in a 21-mm dish and treated with plasma for 10 min. The subcutaneous injection was performed thrice-weekly starting 24 h after cell injection. To evaluate antitumor effects, the tumor volume was calculated using the formula: π/6 x (largest diameter) x (smallest diameter)². At 29 days after cell injection, the mice were sacrificed and tumors were harvested and weighed. To assess pathological differences, hematoxylin–eosin (H&E) staining of sections from paraffin-embedded tumors was examined. Animal studies were performed in accordance with the guidelines issued by the Animal Experimental Committee of Nagoya University, Graduate School of Medicine.

Statistical analysis. All data are presented as means ± SD. Statistical analysis of the data was performed using a Student’s t-test. p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Effects of PAM on pancreatic cancer cells. Antitumor effects of PAM treatment on four pancreatic cancer cell lines, PANC-1, Capan-2, BxPC-3 and MIA PaCa-2, were examined using a cell proliferation assay. The results are shown stratified by the length of time culture medium was exposed to the NEAPP (Fig. 1A). When the culture medium was treated with
NEAPP for 1 min, 1x10^3 cells of each cell line were effectively killed. However, when 5x10^3 or 1x10^4 cells were treated similarly, the cell proliferation assay showed differential sensitivity depending on the cell line. That is, 1x10^4 Capan-2 cells were decreased by 47%, whereas cells from other cell lines (PANC-1, BxPC-3 and MIA PaCa-2) were decreased by 4, 9 and 5%, respectively. When the culture medium was treated with plasma for 3 or 5 min, 5x10^3 or 1x10^4 cells from every cell line were effectively killed by PAM.

**Effects of PAM on human pancreatic duct epithelial cells.** Next, to evaluate the effect of PAM on human pancreatic duct epithelial cells (HPDE6/C7), the cell proliferation assay was conducted (Fig. 1B). When the culture medium was treated with NEAPP for ≤1 min, there was a 15% increase in cell proliferation. When the culture medium was treated with NEAPP for 5 min, cell proliferation decreased by 65% in wells with 1x10^3 and 5x10^3 cells, whereas it decreased by 37% in wells with 1x10^4 cells. When the cell proliferation rate was compared between HPDE6/C7 and Capan-2 cells, it was significantly higher for HPDE6/C7 than for Capan-2 cells, indicating low sensitivity of non-cancer cells to PAM (Fig. 1C).

**Apoptosis induced by PAM treatment on pancreatic cancer cells.** Morphological changes and caspase-3/7 activation in pancreatic cancer cells by PAM treatment were explored to assess the induction of apoptosis. Vacuolization of cell membranes and aggregation of cell nuclei as well as transformation into small and round cells were observed after 4 h of PAM treatment. Ultimately, most of the treated cells were deformed and shrunk, and some cells were detached from the dish after 24 h, indicating typical morphological changes of apoptosis (Fig. 2). On the other hand, caspase-3/7 activation was detected in accordance with these morphological changes, as shown in Fig. 3. These results suggested that the antitumor effects of PAM could be attributed to cell apoptosis, rather than necrosis.

**A mechanism of apoptosis induced by PAM treatment in pancreatic cancer cells.** To better understand the underlying mechanism of apoptosis which occurred after PAM treatment, intracellular ROS generation in pancreatic cancer cell lines was investigated. After 3 h of PAM treatment, ROS uptake into every cell line was observed using fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 4). To assess the role of ROS generation in cancer cells, the effect of NAC, an intracellular ROS scavenger, over the antitumor activity of PAM were examined with the pancreatic cancer cell lines. The antitumor activity was completely inhibited in every cell line (Fig. 5), indicating that apoptosis caused by PAM treatment could be induced by intracellular ROS generation.

**Antitumor effect of PAM on Capan2 tumor xenografts in mice.** The antitumor effect of PAM treatment was investigated in a mouse xenograft model in which Capan2 cells were injected subcutaneously. Tumor formation was observed as early as day 10 post-cell injection in the control group, whereas it was not observed before day 14 in the PAM-treated group. The calculated tumor volume on day 28 in the PAM-treated group was significantly reduced compared with the control group [28±22 vs. 89±38 (mm^3 ± SD), p=0.0031]. The tumor weight on day 29 was significantly less in the PAM-treated group and
Figure 2. Morphological changes in pancreatic cancer cells after PAM treatment. Pancreatic cancer cells (PANC-1, Capan-2, BxPC-3 and MIA PaCa-2) were seeded at a density of 5x10^4 cells/well in a 12-well plate. After ~4 h of PAM treatment, vacuolization of cell membranes, small and round cells, and aggregation of cell nuclei were observed. Scale bars represent 25 µm.

Figure 3. Caspase-3/7 activation in pancreatic cancer cells after PAM treatment. After PAM treatment, caspase-3/7 activation in pancreatic cancer cells (PANC-1, Capan-2, BxPC-3 and MIA PaCa-2) was observed using fluorescence microscopy. Caspase-3/7-positive cells in accordance with morphologically changed cells were observed. Scale bars represent 50 µm.
amounted to 64% of the control group (p=0.0018). Histological analysis of tumors from each group showed that ~60% of tumor cells were degenerated in the PAM-treated group. No apparent adverse effects were observed in this animal study (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

Pancreatic cancer has the worst prognosis of all gastrointestinal malignancies, and the difficulty of diagnosing the disease in its early stage results in 70-80% of patients being deemed unresectable, either because the disease is locally advanced or accompanied with distant metastasis (21,22). Peritoneal dissemination is one of common pathway for metastasis in advanced pancreatic cancer. Although various effective anticancer drugs have been introduced into the clinical management of pancreatic cancer, the efficacy of these systemic chemotherapies remains elusive in the treatment of peritoneal metastasis. Recently, intraperitoneal administration of cytotoxic agents has been attempted in the treatment of peritoneal dissemination (23,24), but its clinical impact remains to be evaluated.

Some previous reports have demonstrated that plasma could exert anti-proliferative effects on various cancer cells by inducing apoptosis (10,25,26). Apoptosis is well known as programmed cell death that removes damaged cells; therefore, it serves as a crucial mechanism to defend tissues and organs from various types of stress and cell damage (27). Induction of apoptosis in cancer cells is beneficial compared with that of necrosis, because apoptosis does not cause inflammatory response that could influence adjacent normal cells as in the case of necrosis. In this study, PAM treatment was found to have anti-proliferative effects on pancreatic cancer cell lines through induction of apoptosis, as has been proved by typical morphological changes and caspase-3/7 activation.

Recent studies indicate that NEAPP can generate ROS, such as superoxide radicals (O$_2^-$), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), hydroxyl radicals (OH) and nitric oxide (NO), inducing apoptosis to the target cells (14,28,29). In the present study, we found that ROS uptake was observed in all cell lines treated with PAM while the anti-proliferative effect of PAM was completely inhibited with NAC. NAC has been widely used as an antioxidant and directly scavenges hydroxyl radicals (OH), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and hypochlorous acid (HClO) but not superoxide radicals (O$_2^-$) (30). Hence, the antitumor effects on pancreatic cancer cells might be caused by at least one of these ROS. More recently, Ninomiya et al demonstrated that NEAPP jets cause OH radical generation both in the liquid phase (extracellular culture medium) and within cancer cells, and consequently induce apoptotic cell death in breast cancer cell lines (31). Therefore, direct effect of NEAPP irradiation
Figure 5. Influence of NAC on antitumor effect of PAM. Pancreatic cancer cells (PANC-1, Capan-2, BxPC-3 and MIA PaCa-2) were seeded at a density of 1x10³, 5x10³ and 1x10⁴ cells/well in a 96-well plate. The following day, cell proliferation was evaluated using the WST-1 assay.
will also have to be explored in future through measurements of extracellular and intracellular ROS.

Some previous reports have demonstrated that there is a relationship between the level of ROS generation and the cell proliferation rate is complex (32,33). Quite paradoxically, lower levels of ROS have been shown by some researchers to enhance rather than inhibit mitosis and cell proliferation (34,35). In the present study, cell proliferation was actually increased by 15% in normal cells when exposed to plasma for a shorter period of time. The mechanism that induces the contradictory responses to the medium with short exposure to the NEAPP, cytotoxicity in pancreatic cancer cells and proliferation in normal cells, remains unclear.

The most problematic aspect of conventional cancer therapies, such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy, is unwanted adverse events caused by damage to the normal cells. However, previous reports looking at various plasma treatments documented their selective cytotoxicity to the tumor cells while leaving normal cells intact in ovarian cancer, glioblastoma and lung cancer (12,14,16). Our results also showed that HPDE6/C7 cells were more tolerant to the PAM treatment than pancreatic cancer cells, although even HPDE6/C7 cells were killed when exposed to the PAM generated by long exposure to the NEAPP. In this regard, Barrera et al showed that normal cells were more tolerant to exogenous ROS stress, owing to their antioxidant reserve compared with cancer cells (36). The selective cytotoxicity of PAM treatment on cancer cells might offer a promising alternative approach in addition to the conventional anticancer therapies.

On the basis of our in vitro study, we also performed an in vivo animal study. We started PAM treatment 24 h post-cell injection, and confirmed the effect of PAM on pancreatic cancer cells while they remain relatively small in number, mimicking micrometastasis. In a previous report, PAM inhibited the tumor growth of ovarian cancer cells in vivo (17); however, tumor growth was not completely inhibited by PAM. One reason for this result may have been that various ROS scavengers in the living organism neutralized some ROS generated by the plasma. In future experiments, we hope to use the PAM, both alone and in combination with cytotoxic agents or ROS scavengers, to treat peritoneal metastasis of in vivo model.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that PAM treatment, which induced apoptosis through intracellular ROS generation, had antitumor effects on pancreatic cancer cell lines. Furthermore, the cytotoxic effects were selective to cancer cells at optimal experimental conditions, showing potential of the PAM treatment as a novel mode of treatment for pancreatic cancer.

Figure 6. Antitumor effect of PAM on Capan2 xenografts in a mouse model. Macrosopic tumor formation in each group. (B) Tumor growth curves of xenografts of Capan2 subcutaneous injections in each group are shown. Each point on the line represents the mean tumor volume and the bars represent the SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01. (C) The bar graph shows the mean tumor weight in each group. **p<0.01. (D) H&E staining of tumor tissues in each group.
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