Distinct kinesin motors drive two types of maize neocentromeres
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A maize chromosome variant called abnormal chromosome 10 (Ab10) converts knobs on chromosome arms into neocentromeres, causing their preferential segregation to egg cells in a process known as meiotic drive. We previously demonstrated that the gene Kinesin driver (Kindr) on Ab10 encodes a kinesin-14 required to mobilize neocentromeres made up of the major tandem repeat knob180. Here we describe a second kinesin-14 gene, TR-1 kinesin (Trkin), that is required to mobilize neocentromeres made up of the minor tandem repeat TR-1. Trkin lies in a 4-Mb region of Ab10 that is not syntenic with any other region of the maize genome and shows extraordinary sequence divergence from Kindr and other kinesins in plants. Despite its unusual structure, Trkin encodes a functional minus end-directed kinesin that specifically colocalizes with TR-1 in meiosis, forming long drawn out neocentromeres. TRKIN contains a nuclear localization signal and localizes to knobs earlier in prophase than KINDR. The fact that TR-1 repeats often co-occur with knob180 repeats suggests that the current role of the TRKIN/TR-1 system is to facilitate the meiotic drive of the KINDR/knob180 system.
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Meiotic drive describes any process whereby a selfish gene, complex of genes, or chromosomal feature reproducibly segregates to progeny at frequencies higher than Mendelian expectations [Fishman and McIntosh 2019]. Most are male meiotic drive systems that use genetic elements to alter sperm or pollen viability and do not alter the mechanics of meiosis. In contrast are several female meiotic drive systems that directly impact the segregation of chromosomes [Fishman and Saunders 2008; Chmátał et al. 2014, 2015; Aker et al. 2017; Iwata-Otsubo et al. 2017; Dawe et al. 2018; Wu et al. 2018]. Asymmetric segregation in mice is explained by differences in centromeric tandem repeat abundance that causes a preferential orientation of one chromosome toward the egg pole in meiosis I [Iwata-Otsubo et al. 2017; Wu et al. 2018]. In maize, meiotic drive is caused by a chromosome variant, Abnormal chromosome 10 (Ab10), that converts tandem repeat arrays called knobs into spindle attachments called neocentromeres [Rhoades 1942; Longley 1945]. According to Rhoades (1952) [Fig. 1A], recombination between centromeres and knobs creates the opportunity for neocentromeres to move preferentially to the basal daughter cell that will ultimately become the female gametophyte that gives rise to the egg cell. Knobs can be tens of megabases in length, are strikingly polymorphic between lines, and exist in an extreme form of tightly condensed heterochromatin [McClintock 1929; Albert et al. 2010; Dawe et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2020]. The ability of knobs to directly engage meiotic spindles as neocentromeres and undergo meiotic drive explains their prevalence in all maize lines [Buckler et al. 1999]. Recent results revealed that neocentromeres are activated by a kinesin-14 motor on Ab10 called Kinesin-driver (Kindr) [Dawe et al. 2018]. Kinesins are a superfamily of microtubule-based motor proteins that display a wide range of functions including vesicle and organelle movement and small molecule transport [Marx et al. 2009; Endow et al. 2010]. The kinesin-14s are the only class that moves cargoes toward the minus ends of microtubules that accumulate at spindle poles [Lawrence et al. 2004;
The closest homologs of KINDR are maize Variable kernel size1 (Vks1) (Huang et al. 2019) and Divergent spindle1 (Dv1) (Clark 1940; Higgins et al. 2016), both of which function in spindle organization. KINDR differs by binding specifically to knobs and powering them toward spindle poles at both meiosis I and II to mediate their preferential segregation (Dawe et al. 2018).

Knobs can be found at 34 distinct positions and are typically located in midway positions along the chromosome arms in gene-dense areas (Kato 1976; Buckler et al. 1999; Albert et al. 2010; Ghaffari et al. 2013). They are polymorphic in their presence/absence, size, and sequence composition, but most maize lines contain between three and 12 visible knobs (Kato 1976; Albert et al. 2010). At the molecular level knobs are composed of two types of tandem repeats: the more abundant 180-bp tandem repeat (knob180) (Peacock et al. 1981), and the less abundant 359-bp tandem repeat (TR-1) (Ananiev et al. 1998). The KINDR protein localizes specifically to knob180 repeats (Dawe et al. 2018). A subset of the known Ab10 types, including the reference form Ab10-I-MMR, also promote neocentromere activity at TR-1 repeat arrays (Figs. 1B, 2A). TR-1 neocentromeres remain active in kindr mutants, indicating that there are two separate genes that independently control neocentromere activity of knob180 and TR-1 neocentromeres (Hiatt et al. 2002). A factor that controls TR-1 neocentromere activity was previously mapped to a region of Ab10 that contains three TR-1 knobs (Fig. 1B; Hiatt et al. 2002).

Despite the prevalence of TR-1 repeats in Zea and its sister genus Tripsacum, their role in meiotic drive has remained an open question. One hypothesis, that TR-1 knobs are required for meiotic drive, is supported by data showing that a TR-1 knob on the chromosome variant K10L2 displays weak (51%–52%) meiotic drive and that a TR-1-rich knob on chromosome 6 is preferentially segregated when crossed in the presence of Ab10 (Kanizay 2018).

**Figure 1.** Ab10 types and the Rhoades model for meiotic drive (Rhoades 1952). (A) Schematic of known maize chromosome 10 haplotypes where green and red indicate knob180 and TR-1 knobs, respectively. R, W, O, L, and S denote relative positions of genetic markers, signifying known inversions that exist between N10 and Ab10. (B) The Rhoades model for neocentromere-mediated meiotic drive (Rhoades 1952). Centromeres are shown in yellow, knob180 are in green, and TR-1 are in red. Recombination must occur between centromeres and knobs to create heteromorphic dyads, where one chromatid contains a knob and the other does not. The extreme poleward orientation of knobs is maintained through meiotic interphase, and in meiosis II, knobs again move toward the upper and lower cells of the linear tetrad. Since the basal cell becomes the megaspore (progenitor of the egg), knobs are preferentially transmitted.

**Figure 2.** Trkin and its divergence from other kinesin-14s. (A) Comparison of Ab10-I-MMR and normal chromosome 10 from B73 (v5 assembly). Regions of shared, inverted synteny between N10 and Ab10 are highlighted in gray. The ∼130-kb Trkin gene is expanded above Ab10. The KINDR complex, highlighted in purple, contains nine KINDR genes in tandem. (B) Alignment of the Trkin gene and selected orthologs. The black boxes show exon boundaries. Predicted protein domains are also highlighted, including coiled-coil [cyan], kinesin-14 motor [yellow], and nuclear localization signal [red]. (C) Phylogeny of Trkin and related kinesin-14 motor domains. Bootstrap values are shown at nodes.
et al. 2013a). A second hypothesis is that TR-1 currently has no role in meiotic drive; this view is supported by the fact that TR-1 neocentromeres are not sufficient to cause meiotic drive of Ab10 when the KINDR/knob180 system is absent [Dawe et al. 2018]. A third view is that the TR-1 neocentromere system functions primarily as an antagonist of Ab10-meiotic drive. Support for the latter view comes from the fact that the TR-1-rich knob on K10L2 reduces the meiotic drive of Ab10 when paired in opposition [Kanizay et al. 2013a].

We recently completed a high quality genome assembly of Ab10 including the distal ~53 Mb region containing the meiotic drive haplotype [Fig. 2A; Liu et al. 2020]. The assembly gives molecular details to the many known features of Ab10 that were described using classical approaches, including its three TR-1-rich knobs [Hiatt et al. 2002], large knob composed primarily of knob180 repeats [Longley 1938; Peacock et al. 1981], two inversions containing genes shared with N10 [Mroczek et al. 2006], and the nine-gene Kindr complex that is required for knob180 neocentromere activity and meiotic drive [Dawe et al. 2018]. Here we demonstrate that the new genome assembly includes another divergent kinesin-14 gene that we call TR-1 kinesin (Trkin). TRKIN is a functional kinesin-14 protein that localizes specifically to TR-1 neocentromeres during meiosis. Analysis of neocentromeres in Ab10 types differing in the presence or absence of Trkin support the view that it is necessary for TR-1 neocentromere formation. Surprisingly, Trkin is not a homolog of Kindr and shows extreme sequence divergence suggestive of an ancient origin. We argue that in modern Ab10 types, the TRKIN/TR-1 system functions primarily to ensure the efficiency and fidelity of meiotic drive caused by the KINDR/knob180 system.

Results
Trkin encodes a divergent kinesin-14 protein

Whereas Kindr is encoded by nine genes distal to the large knob180-rich knob [Dawe et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2020], cytological analysis of Ab10 deletion derivatives indicated that the TR-1 neocentromere-activating factor is encoded near or among the small TR-1 knobs [Hiatt et al. 2002]. In line with expectations, the complete Ab10 assembly revealed an expressed kinesin-14 gene between two of the TR-1 knobs in an ~4-Mb region that is not shared with N10 [Fig. 2A]. We named the gene TR-1 Kinesin, or Trkin for short. Trkin is a large gene extending >130 kb [Fig. 2A]. It has 19 exons, 14 of which are organized in an alternating pattern of short introns followed by long introns. The large introns are composed primarily of transposable elements, including 27 kb of L1 LINE elements [Supplemental Fig. S1]. To confirm the accuracy of the Trkin annotation, we sequenced 23 cDNA clones. Of these, 22 agreed with the original annotation. The single exception was an alternative splicing event that affected the last exon and a small amount of terminal sequence.

The predicted TRKIN protein contains three known motifs: a short ~54-amino-acid coiled-coil domain, a kinesin-14 motor domain, and a nuclear localization sequence [NLS] [Fig. 2B]. Coiled-coil domains in kinesins are usually involved in protein dimerization, but the TRKIN coiled-coil region is unusually small. For comparison with other maize kinesin-14s, the coiled-coil region in KINDR is 128 amino acids, in DV1 it is 308 amino acids, and in VKS1 it is 303 amino acids. The 126 amino acids that comprise the N-terminal end of TRKIN are uncharacterized, but would normally be the location of a cargo-binding domain [Marx et al. 2009]. The NLS lies in a 68-amino-acid C-terminal extension beyond the motor domain. Neither a C-terminal extension nor an NLS are present in other characterized kinesin-14 homologs [Cross and McAinsh 2014]. The 1668-nt Trkin coding sequence does not produce significant DNA alignments outside of its conserved motor domain to annotated maize transcripts or complete maize genomes [except for pseudo-Trkin, see below].

To explore the relationship of Trkin to other kinesin-14s, we constructed a maximum likelihood phylogeny using cDNA sequences from the conserved motor domains. Kinesin-14s from angiosperms formed a cluster with two main lineages [Fig. 2C]. One of these contains the maize kinesin-14s Kindr and Vks1, which were estimated to have diverged from each other ~12 million yr ago [Dawe et al. 2018]. Another lineage contains Trkin and its closest maize homolog Dv1. It is readily apparent that Trkin is not related by recent ancestry to Kindr. A simple interpretation based on its position within the tree is that the Trkin motor diverged before a split between rice [Oryza sativa] and the panicoid grasses [represented here by maize and S. bicolor] [Fig 2C]. Trkin either evolved early in the grass lineage or has rapidly evolved in a short time to become a kinesin-14 that differs significantly from any other kinesins in the grass clade.

TRKIN is a functional kinesin

The unusually short coiled-coil domain and low amino acid identity between TRKIN and other kinesins raises the question of whether it is a functional kinesin. To determine whether key motifs within the kinesin motor domain are conserved, we compared protein alignments of TRKIN with KINDR, DV1, VKS1, two homologs from rice [OsKIN14H and OsKIN14N], a homolog from Arabidopsis [AtATK3], one from the moss Physcomitrella patens [PpPIN14N], and another from the green algae Chlamydomonas reinhardtii [CHLREDRAFT120667]. Despite the fact that the motor domain shows only 43.6% amino acid identity to its closest maize homolog DV1 [Higgins et al. 2016], the exon positions are conserved [Fig. 2B] and all residues of the ATP binding site are present [Supplemental Fig. S2; Gulick et al. 1998]. There is weak similarity to the neck region at the N-terminal end of kinesin-14 motor domains that influence minus end-directed motility [Supplemental Fig. S2; Yamagishi et al. 2016]. TRKIN also lacks 16 residues [relative to other plant kinesin-14s] that would comprise the loop-8 motif [Fig. 3A]. The loop-8 motif resides near the microtubule-binding interface [Kozielski et al. 1997; Woehlke et al. 1997] and
mutations affecting this region in the yeast kinesin-5, Cin8, have been shown to alter its microtubule-binding activity (Gerson-Gurwitz et al. 2011; Bell et al. 2017).

To test whether the dramatic sequence divergence of TRKIN affects its ability to function as a minus end-directed motor, we purified a 6xHis/GFP-tagged version of TRKIN and performed in vitro microtubule-gliding experiments using total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 3B). The results revealed that, like KINDR, TRKIN is a functional minus end-directed motor, moving microtubules along a microscope slide with brightly labeled plus ends leading (Fig. 3C). However, TRKIN generates considerably slower (38 nm/sec) (Supplemental Fig. S3) rates of gliding than KINDR (154 nm/sec), suggesting that the mechanism of TRKIN movement is different from KINDR. In vivo, TRKIN appears to be at least as effective as KINDR, as TR-1 neocentromeres are long and streaking, often stretching across entire half spindles (Fig. 4A; Hiatt et al. 2002).

TRKIN colocalizes specifically with TR-1 neocentromeres

Quantitative RT-PCR of cDNA from anther and ear tissue containing meiotic cells revealed Trkin expression (Supplemental Fig. S4). We also observed expression in leaf tissue, similar to what was observed for Kindr (Dawe et al. 2018). To determine the localization of TRKIN in meiosis, we generated antibodies against a peptide corresponding to 19 residues near the N-terminal end of TRKIN (Fig. 4C). We combined TRKIN immunofluorescence with knob fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) on male meiocytes carrying Ab10-I-MMR. Meiotic drive does not occur in male meiosis because all four products of meiosis survive; however, neocentromeres are evident in microsporocytes and are traditionally scored in these cells. The data revealed a clear and precise overlap between TRKIN staining and TR-1 neocentromeres and an absence of staining over knob180 neocentromeres (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Movie S1). As a control we also carried out immunofluorescence on another Ab10 type, Ab10-II-MMR, which lacks TR-1 neocentromeres and appears to lack the N-terminal region that the antibody was generated against (Fig. 4C). As predicted, there was no above-background TRKIN signal in Ab10-II-MMR meiocytes (Fig. 4B).

Chromosome 10 variants that express full-length Trkin show TR-1 neocentromeres

Ab10 chromosomes have been categorized into groups based on their cytological characteristics: Ab10-I, with three small TR-1-rich knobs and a large knob180-rich knob; Ab10-II, with one TR-1-rich knob and two knob180-rich knobs; Ab10-III, which is similar to Ab10-I but with a large mixed knob (with both types of repeats); and K10L2, a chromosome 10 variant that has a single large TR-1-rich knob (Fig. 1B). Each of the Ab10 types differ in their capacity to activate TR-1 neocentromeres (Kanizay et al. 2013b), suggesting that they also differ in Trkin expression.
among the diverse Ab10 types we observed three categories of Trkin expression (Fig. 4C). One group includes Ab10-I-MMR, Ab10-II-Tel, K10L2, and all Ab10-III accessions, which encode a full-length Trkin transcript. The second includes Ab10-I-Pue, Ab10-II-MMR, and Ab10-II-Sal, which have an apparent truncation of the first five coding exons. The third is Ab10-I-Jal, which lacks Trkin expression altogether. The Trkin expression data correlate with the presence or absence of TR-1 neocentromeres as reported in the prior literature. Both Ab10-I-MMR and K10L2 express a full length Trkin transcript and show TR-1 neocentromeres [Hiatt et al. 2002; Kanizay et al. 2013a] while Ab10-II-MMR does not express a full length Trkin transcript and does not show TR-1 neocentromeres [Mroczek et al. 2006].

We also scored neocentromeres in Ab10-II-Tel and Ab10-I-Pue by analyzing meiotic anaphase samples. The data reveal that while Ab10-II-Tel is structurally and phylogenetically different from Ab10-I-MMR and K10L2, all three express full length Trkin transcripts and display TR-1 neocentromeres [Fig. 4D]. Ab10-I-Pue is structurally and phylogenetically different from Ab10-II-MMR, yet neither express full length Trkin transcripts or show active TR-1 neocentromeres [Fig. 4D]. The correlation between Trkin expression and TR-1 neocentromere activity in five diverse lines suggests that Trkin is required for TR-1 neocentromere activity, although we cannot rule out other theoretical possibilities without a Trkin knock-out mutation in an isogenic background.

Read alignment revealed that Ab10-I-MMR has two pseudogenes homologous to Trkin. One, pseudo-Trkin1, is structurally similar to Trkin but has numerous SNPs and indels, including an early frameshift in the 2nd exon that would render the transcript noncoding. It is part of an ~1-Mb inverted duplication encompassing Trkin [Supplemental Fig. S6]. The other, pseudo-Trkin2, is located in a region of Ab10 that is shared with normal chromosome 10 [Figs. 1B, 2A], though nonrecombining due to two large inversions. The maize inbred B73 carries a similar pseudo-
Trkin in a position syntenic with Ab10 pseudo-Trkin2 (Supplemental Fig. S6). Transcription of pseudo-Trkin could explain the apparent heterozygosity and nonuniform coverage over Trkin mRNA in some accessions (Fig. 4C).

TRKIN localizes to knobs earlier in meiosis than KINDR

The availability of specific antibodies to TRKIN and KINDR made it possible to compare their localization patterns during each stage of male meiosis in Ab10-I-MMR plants. TRKIN localized to a few small puncta on chromosomes throughout meiosis I, meiotic interphase, and meiosis II (Fig. 5). It was first visible before nuclear envelope breakdown in the pachytene substage of prophase I, suggesting that the predicted NLS in TRKIN is functional. In contrast, KINDR staining was not visible on knobs until after nuclear envelope breakdown. Both TRKIN and KINDR stained brightly throughout metaphase I, anaphase I, and telophase I (Fig. 5), and were detectable in meiotic interphase where they localized inside the nucleus at the site of the latent spindle poles. Both proteins were undetectable after the completion of telophase II. These data are consistent with the hypothesis that both TRKIN and KINDR serve as molecular motors for neocentromere motility, and suggest that TRKIN may be active in the earliest stages of spindle morphogenesis.

TR-1 sequences are conserved in Zea and Tripsacum and often occur in mixed arrays

One way to interpret the function of Trkin is to measure its impact on the sequence and distribution of TR-1 repeats throughout the genome. Long repeat arrays are inherently unstable because of illegitimate recombination (Garrido-Ramos 2017), and in the absence of selection, should accumulate sequence variants and ultimately be lost (Charlesworth et al. 1994). Both TR-1 and knob180 are present throughout Zea and within its close relative Tripsacum dactyloides (Albert et al. 2010). To explore the variation among TR-1 sequences we produced TR-1 consensus sequences for individual plants from Zea mays, Zea luxurians, and Tripsacum dactyloides using short reads from previously published studies (Novák et al. 2010; Gent et al. 2017). The TR-1 consensus sequences were similar among species with the consensus in Z. mays being 95% identical to T. dactyloides (Fig. 6A). For comparison, the knob180 consensus sequence in Z. mays has 99% identity with T. dactyloides and the centromere repeat CentC consensus has 97% identity with T. dactyloides (Gent et al. 2017). Mapping short reads to the consensus sequences revealed that the majority of TR-1 sequences were more than 90% identical to the consensus in each case (Fig. 6B), consistent with a repeat involved in meiotic drive with selection on the primary sequence. Although TR-1 is abundant in T. dactyloides, we were unable to find Trkin in publically available Illumina reads from this species, although it may be present in other accessions that have not yet been sequenced (data not shown).

Ab10 and many other knobs appear to contain both TR-1 and knob180 at the level of FISH (Albert et al. 2010; Kanizay et al. 2013a). To get a more detailed picture of knob mixing, we examined knobs and knob fragments that were assembled in the recently completed genomes of B73 and 25 other inbred lines known as the NAM founders (https://nam-genomes.org/). The data revealed that most knobs contain a significant amount of both repeat types. Only considering arrays of at least 100 kb in length, mixed knobs were the most common type with a median of nine mixed arrays per line, compared with three containing knob180 alone and two with TR-1 alone (Fig. 6C). The number of mixed arrays is likely higher, because most large knob180 knobs were not completely assembled and may contain TR-1 repeats that were not detected. These data support the prior assertion that the TRKIN/TR-1 system may be most effective in mixed knobs (Kanizay et al. 2013a).

Discussion

The plant kinesin-14 superfamily normally functions in spindle and phragmoplast morphogenesis, with lesser roles in nuclear migration and plastid distribution (Gicking et al. 2018). In keeping with these known roles, two native maize kinesin-14s function to focus meiotic spindle poles (Dv1 and KINDR) and ensure accurate spindle formation in endosperm (Vks1) (Higgins et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2019). Kindr shares a recent ancestor with Vks1, yet its function is to bind knob180 repeats and facilitate their segregation as neocentromeres to egg cells (Dawe et al. 2018). Here we describe Trkin as a fourth member of this clade and show that its function is to mobilize TR-1 repeats. The likely existence of Trkin among the three TR-1-rich knobs on Ab10 was predicted by early mapping studies of the TR-1 neocentromere phenotype (Hiatt et al. 2002). That Trkin might be a kinesin was a natural expectation after discovering that Kindr is a kinesin-14 motor (Dawe et al. 2018). However, we were surprised to discover that Trkin is not related to Kindr by descent. The encoded protein shows a highly unusual structure that distinguishes it from all other known kinesin-14 proteins (Figs. 2B, 3A).

Like KINDR, TRKIN is a functional kinesin-14 motor that interacts with a unique class of knob repeats at meiosis. The binding of kinesin to chromatin is remarkably sequence-specific for both the KINDR/knob180 and TRKIN/TR-1 systems, yet we do not understand how this selectivity is achieved. KINDR and TRKIN may either bind directly to DNA or bind as a complex with other proteins that are sequence-specific. The interaction occurs primarily at metaphase and anaphase when neocentromeres are visibly active (Fig. 5). However, unlike KINDR, TRKIN has a nuclear localization signal and can be seen associating with knobs in late prophase I (Fig. 5). TR-1 neocentromeres are visible immediately upon nuclear envelope breakdown, whereas knob180 neocentromeres are slower to move (Hiatt et al. 2002). Another notable difference is that TR-1 neocentromeres appear to uncoil as they move from the metaphase plate to the
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spindle pole, while knob180 neocentromeres retain their compact structure (Hiatt et al. 2002). The difference in appearance may reflect the unique properties of TRKIN or the genomic constitution of TR-1 arrays, which have far more retrotransposon insertions than knob180 arrays (Liu et al. 2020).

It remains unclear whether the TRKIN/TR-1 neocentromere system can confer meiotic drive on its own. When Kindr is absent, the TRKIN/TR-1 system is not sufficient to cause meiotic drive. However, the K10L2 variant, which does not have Kindr or knob180 repeats, shows a low level of meiotic drive (∼51%–52%). The transmission of K10L2 was statistically different from 50% in three independent experiments where >10,000 kernels were counted (Kanizay et al. 2013a). The fact that K10L2 can compete with Ab10 when paired in opposition also supports the view that the TRKIN/TR-1 system is capable of supporting a low level of meiotic drive (Kanizay et al. 2013a). It is possible that the extreme elasticity of TR-1 neocentromeres reduces their efficiency as neocentromeres. If the elasticity is caused by transposon insertions that reduced the density of TR-1 repeats (Liu et al. 2020), it is reasonable to suggest that the TRKIN/TR-1 system was once a more powerful meiotic drive system but is now losing its effectiveness.

The current prevalence of mixed knobs suggests there is a benefit to having both work together. A likely scenario is that the early prophase movement and/or inherent elasticity of TR-1 neocentromeres enhances the accuracy of the primary Kindr/knob180 system, while the Kindr/knob180 system assures a high level of meiotic drive for the TR-1 system. One way that the TRKIN/TR-1 system may facilitate meiotic drive is by helping to resolve directional conflicts. Classic literature on dicentric chromosomes (McClintock 1939, 1941; Novitski 1952) suggests that linked centromeres on dicentric chromosomes are as likely to move in opposite directions as they are to move in the same direction on the bipolar spindle. Linked knobs and centromeres should behave similarly. This problem is particularly acute in meiosis I where neocentromeres are physically separated from centromeres by chiasmata, which are held in place by sister chromatid cohesion (Fig. 7). Sister chromatids do not separate until anaphase, at which point the centromeres are already in motion (Dawe 1998; Buonomo et al. 2000). Both chromatids may move to the same pole while their...
respective centromeres migrate in opposite directions, potentially causing chromosome lagging and breakage. However, since bridges and breakage are not regularly observed in Ab10 lines [Yu et al. 1997], the neocentromere traveling in the direction opposite to the centromere must switch directions. For the same reasons, directional conflicts are likely in meiosis II when both sister chromatids contain knobs. It is possible that TRKIN has a higher microtubule dissociation rate than KINDR and can change direction more effectively.

Some mouse strains demonstrate a process known as centromere drive where larger centromeres preferentially engage with the egg pole side of the spindle, which differs by having more tyrosinated tubulin [Akera et al. 2017, 2019]. This raises the question of whether there is any asymmetry during maize female meiosis. Indeed, there is visible asymmetry after both meiosis I and meiosis II, where the basal cells are larger (Evans and Grossniklaus 2009). There are also differences in callose deposition [a cell wall component] and the number of plasmodesmata [connections between cells] [Russell 1979]. An asymmetrically distributed molecule, most likely one related to the spindle, could in theory help direct KINDR and TRKIN toward the basal cell in meiosis I or II. However, as originally postulated, the Rhoades model for meiotic drive in maize (Rhoades 1952) requires neither spindle asymmetry nor other molecular asymmetry. According to this view, meiosis I simply sets the stage by pulling all knobs toward the polar periphery of telophase nuclei [Fig. 1A], where they appear [at least in male meiosis] to stay throughout interphase [Dawe and Cande 1996]. The peripheral location has been postulated to facilitate the movement of knobbed chromatids to the basal cell in meiosis II [Yu et al. 1997; Dawe and Hiatt 2004] as a natural outcome of Ran-regulated spindle self-organization [Zhang and Dawe 2011]. As the spindle forms around chromatin, basally oriented neocentromeres could apply tension to the linked kinetochores such that knobbed chromatids are more likely to develop a connection to the spindle facing the basal cell than their corresponding knobless sister chromatids [Fig. 7]. The fact that TRKIN contains a nuclear localization signal whereas KINDR does not suggests that it may be specially adapted to this key early movement event.

In summary, our results support the conclusion that KINDR functions as the primary driver [Dawe et al. 2018] while TRKIN serves to improve the efficiency of drive and/or limit the negative consequences of Ab10 on the organism. Our data showing that the majority of knobs contain both knob180 and TR-1 repeats argues against the proposal that TR-1 is under selection primarily as an antagonist of the KINDR/knob180 system, and supports the view that the two repeats cooperate to promote meiotic drive [Kanizay et al. 2013a]. Further progress in understanding the role of TRKIN will require making a trkin knockout on an otherwise intact Ab10 chromosome. A trkin knockout may reduce meiotic drive, but based on our results showing that multiple Ab10 chromosomes naturally lack Trkin, we believe the effects will be minimal. The more important role of Trkin may be to reduce the frequency of anaphase errors that cause chromosome loss and reduced fertility. Mathematical modeling suggests that high pollen and ovule viability when Ab10 is heterozygous is critical for the drive system to spread into new populations and maintain a stable equilibrium [Hall and Dawe 2018]. Careful analyses of meiotic anaphase in Ab10 lines with and without Trkin, as well measurements of pollen viability and seed set, will be needed to fully test this hypothesis.

Materials and methods

Genome references and annotation

The Trkin gene was annotated as a part of the Ab10 assembly project [Liu et al. 2020]. Sequences from genomic regions containing pseudo-Trkin arrays were extracted from the maize Ab10 assembly [Liu et al. 2020] and B73v5 assembly [https://www.maizegdb.org/genome/assembly/Zm-B73-REFERENCE-NAM-5.0]. Knob composition data were obtained from publicly available maize NAM genome assemblies [https://jbrowse.maizegdb.org/?data=all]. Trkin cDNA sequence is available on NCBI GenBank [accession no. MT459824].

mRNA sequencing and analysis

All mRNA samples were derived from whole anthers at the meiotic stage [2–3 mm] and Illumina sequenced with paired-end 75-
TRKIN may help resolve these conflicts by facilitating a directional switch. chromatids are likely to migrate toward one pole, creating a knob attached along their entire lengths by cohesin (Dawe 1998; Buonomo et al. 2000). Because they are physically attached, the knobs on sister chromosomes (Yu et al. 1997; Dawe and Hiatt 2004). The fact that TR-1 neocentromeres move early and fast may facilitate this event.

Fig. 1A). The meiotic spindle forms by a self-organization mechanism (Zhang and Dawe 2011). Microtubules are stabilized around chromatin where RanGTP (orange) concentration is highest, and the spindle grows out to form poles. We proposed that neocentromeres move with the forming spindle and rotate linked centromeres in the same direction before stable kinetochore-microtubule contacts are made (Yu et al. 1997; Dawe and Hiatt 2004). The fact that TR-1 neocentromeres move early and fast may facilitate this event.

For phylogenetic gene tree construction, kinesin-14 motor domain-coding sequences were identified by BLAST [NCBI] using the Trkin cDNA sequence as a query. The gene with the best match was chosen for comparison. Gene sequences and their predicted proteins were aligned in Geneious Prime 2019.2.3 (http://www.geneious.com) using the default MUSCLE alignment options.

For expression clustering analysis, reads were initially trimmed using Sickle v1.33 [https://github.com/najoshi/sickle] and mapped to the Ab10 reference using default parameters in the STAR v2.7.1 package [Dobin et al. 2013]. Gene expression in TPM was calculated using the package TPMCalculator v0.2 [Vera Alvarez et al. 2019]. TPM values were imported into RStudio for analysis, and only transcripts present in the Ab10 haplotype region (after coordinate 135 Mb) were retained for analysis. The heatmap.2 function in gplots (https://rdrr.io/cran/gplots) was used for hierarchical clustering and heat map visualization.

Analysis of Trkin homologs

Trkin homologs from other species were identified by BLAST [NCBI] using the Trkin cDNA sequence as a query. The gene with the best match was chosen for comparison. Gene sequences and their predicted proteins were aligned in Geneious Prime 2019.2.3 (http://www.geneious.com) using the default MUSCLE alignment options.

Alignment was uploaded into IQ-TREE [Minh et al. 2020] and a phylogeny was generated using maximum likelihood gene tree construction using the GTR + γ + i models [GTR + G + I + F4 options] to account for rate heterogeneity.

Analysis of pseudo-Trkin homologs

Positions of pseudo-Trkin exon orthologs in Ab10 and B73v5 were determined using NCBI Blast v2.2.26. Large genomic sequences were aligned in MiniMap2 [Li 2018] using the -ex asm5 option. Alignments with a quality score of ≥60 were retained for analysis. Genomic alignments were visualized along with pseudo-Trkin exon alignments using the geom_point function in ggplot2 (https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org).

TR-1 consensus sequences

Consensus sequences for TR-1 were derived from 150-nt single-end Illumina reads from micrococcal nuclease-digested chromatin from a previous study (Gent et al. 2017). SRA run accession numbers are as follows: Zea mays [B73], SRR5466391; Zea luxurians [PI 422162], SRR5466389; and Tripsacum dactyloides [PI 421612], SRR5466393. Consensus sequences were produced with RepeatExplorer [version 2018.11.09] (Novák et al. 2010) using parameters “--max_memory 500000000 -tax VIRIDIPLANTAE3.0 -opt ILLUMINA.” Sample-specific consensus sequences were aligned to each other with Geneious Prime 2019.2.3 (http://www.geneious.com). The percent identity of individual reads with consensus sequences was determined using blastall with parameters as follows: “p blastn -e 1e-5 -W 7 -G 2 -E 1 -r 1 -q 1.” Only reads producing alignments of at least 125 bp in length to consensus sequence dimers were included.

Analysis of knob composition in NAM lines

Tandem repeat array data for the 26 maize NAM founder genome assemblies were annotated as part of the NAM sequencing project (https://nam.genomes.org/). Here “arrays” are defined as large areas of assemblies composed primarily of short tandem
repeats may also be interspersed with other sequences. These data were filtered to only include arrays with >100 kb of tandem repeat sequences. Only arrays within the final chromosome assemblies (pseudomolecules) were considered. Knob fragments that were not assigned to a chromosome were not included in the analysis. Knobs were called “knob180” or “TR-1” if >95% of the knob repeats in the array were composed of one type of knob repeat. Knobs were called “mixed” if they contained both repeats and one type made up <95% of the total.

Plant materials

The immunolocalization of TRKIN in Figure 4A was performed on a heterozygous K10L2/Ab10-D(L) line that is homozygous for Trkin but lacks Kindr (Dawe et al. 2018). All other lines used for immunolocalization or FISH (Ab10-I-MMR, Ab10-II-MMR, Ab10-I-Pue, and Ab10-II-Tel) were described previously (Higgins et al. 2018) and heterozygous with N10.

TRKIN antibody preparation

Antibodies were prepared by Pacific Immunology. The peptide TRKIN-[6-24]: RGEEPKVVAHREDIGQFR-Cys was injected into rabbits and antibodies were affinity-purified using the same peptide.

Quantitative RT-PCR

cDNA samples from Ab10 and N10 (B73 inbred) ears, anthers, leaf tips, and leaf bases originally described in Dawe et al. (2018) were assayed for the presence of Trkin using the SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems 4367659) and assayed in a C1000 Touch thermal cycler with CFX96 real-time system (Bio-Rad). Primers were designed to capture the splice junction between exons 13–14: Trkin qPCR F1 (5′-GGAGGCCAA GGCCTAAAT-3′) and Trkin qPCR R1 (5′-TGAGACAGATG CATCTCTAAA-3′). Control primers were from the LUG gene (Manoli et al. 2012): LUGF (5′-TCCAGTGTACAGGGAA GTT-3′) and LUGR (5′-GTAGTTCTTTGAC CCCACGC-3′). PCR was performed using an initial denaturing step for 10 min at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of denaturation (15 sec at 95°C) and annealing/extension (1 min at 60°C). Expression was measured from three different plants per tissue and error bars represent biological variation.

Immunolocalization and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

Immunolocalization was performed as described in Dawe et al. (2018). Briefly, tassels were removed from plants and meiotic anthers were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PHEMS buffer and immobilized onto polylysine-coated coverslips by centrifugation at 100 g for 1 min. Coverslips were incubated in a permeabilization solution containing 10% goat serum diluted in PBS for 2 h. Antibodies were diluted in an antibody dilution buffer [3% BSA diluted in PBS] and incubated overnight at 37°C. The primary antibodies were mAb mouse antitubulin (Asai et al. 1982) diluted 1:200, and primary rabbit anti-TRKIN antibody and secondary Cy5 AffiniPure donkey antirabbit IgG H + L (Jackson 711-175-152). Coverslips were mounted on slides using ProLong Gold with DAPI [Thermo Fisher P36931] and imaged using structured illumination microscopy.

FISH for Figure 4D was performed as described in Dawe and Cande (1996). Briefly, coverslips containing fixed meiocytes were incubated with the following solutions for 10 min each: 1× SSC [4.38 g/liter sodium citrate/8.75 g/liter NaCl]/20% formamide, 2× SSC/30% formamide, and 2× SSC/50% formamide. Broken bits of coverslips were placed at four corners of a slide, and the coverslips with meiocytes were placed upside down over the broken pieces. Seventy-five microliters of a solution containing 2× SSC/50% formamide and 1 μg/mL fluorescently labeled oligos [FITC-labeled 180-bp repeat oligos, rhodamine-labeled TR-1 repeat oligos, Cy5-labeled CentC repeat oligos] (see Kanizay et al. 2013b) was pipetted under the coverslip and the edges were sealed with nail polish. The slides were heated for 5 min at 95°C and then incubated for 2 h at room temperature in the dark. The coverslips were removed and washed, and immunolocalization was performed as described above using the primary rabbit anti-TRKIN antibody and secondary Cy5 AffiniPure donkey antirabbit IgG H + L (Jackson 711-175-152). Coverslips were mounted on slides using ProLong Gold with DAPI [Thermo Fisher P36931] and imaged using structured illumination microscopy.

Structured illumination microscopy

Superresolution microscopy was used to analyze the spatial arrangement of TRKIN, TR-1, and knob180 in Figure 4A. Spatial structured illumination microscopy (3D-SIM) was applied using a plan-apochromat 63×/1.4 oil objective of an Ellyza P5.1 microscope system and the software ZENBlack (Carl Zeiss GmbH). Image stacks were captured separately for each fluorochrome using 642-, 561-, 488-, and 405-nm laser lines for excitation and appropriate emission filters. The 3D-SIM stacks with a step size of 110 nm were acquired consecutively for each fluorophore starting with the highest wavelength dye to minimize bleaching. Maximum intensity projections were calculated based on the image stacks using the ZENBlack software (Weishart et al. 2016). The same image stacks were also used to produce 3D movies by the Imaris 8.0 software (Bitplane).

TRKIN protein purification and microtubule-gliding experiments

An E. coli codon-optimized version of the Trkin CDS was synthesized by Genscript and cloned into the expression vector PET-17b [Novagen] modified to include N-terminal 6xHis and GFP tags for protein purification.
 affinity protein purification. Subsequent steps were performed as described in Dawe et al. [2018]. Briefly, expression was induced in BL21(DE3) Rosetta cells [Novagen 70954-3] with 0.1 mM IPTG for 12–14 h at 20°C. Cells were centrifuged and resuspended in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer [pH 7.2] containing 250 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM ATP, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 20 μM imidazole and a protease inhibitor cocktail, and then lysed by sonication. The lysate was centrifuged and soluble protein in the supernatant was purified by Talon resin (Clontech) and eluted in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer described above, but with 250 mM imidazole. Protein was flash-frozen and stored at −80°C.

In vitro gliding assays were performed as described in Dawe et al. [2018]. Briefly, polarity-labeled microtubules were first prepared as described in Hyman [1991]. Time-lapse microtubule gliding experiments were performed at room temperature using a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 objective-type TIRF microscope equipped with a 100× 1.46 NA oil immersion objective and a back-thinned electron multiplier CCD camera [Photometrics]. Flow chambers were made by attaching a coverslip to a glass slide by double-sided tape as described in [Popchok et al. 2017] and the chamber was perfused with an anti-His antibody diluted in BRB12 buffer and incubated for 2 min at room temperature. Unbound antibody was washed away and one chamber volume of 6xHis-TRKIN diluted in BRB50 supplemented with 20 μM taxol and 1.3 mg/mL casein was added to the chamber. Following 2 min of incubation, unbound protein was washed away using BRB50 supplemented with 20 μM taxol and 1.3 mg/mL casein. Polarity-labeled TMR [tetramethylrhodamine] microtubules diluted in BRB50 supplemented with 20 μM taxol and 1.3 mg/mL casein were then added to the chamber and unbound microtubules were removed with two chamber volumes of this buffer after a 2-min incubation. The flow chamber was then perfused with one chamber volume of BRB50 motility buffer containing 1 mM ATP, 25 μM taxol, 1.3 mg/mL casein, and an oxygen scavenger system. Time-lapse images were taken at one frame per 5 sec for 5 min. The measurements of 100 microtubules were collected from 14 different gliding experiments. Although the KINDR gliding assays published in Dawe et al. [2018] and the TRKIN assays reported here were not performed in parallel, they were carried out under similar conditions with the same microscopy system.
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