Decoding the principles underlying the frequency of association with nucleoli for RNA polymerase III–transcribed genes in budding yeast
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ABSTRACT The association of RNA polymerase III (Pol III)–transcribed genes with nucleoli seems to be an evolutionarily conserved property of the spatial organization of eukaryotic genomes. However, recent studies of global chromosome architecture in budding yeast have challenged this view. We used live-cell imaging to determine the intranuclear positions of 13 Pol III–transcribed genes. The frequency of association with nucleolus and nuclear periphery depends on linear genomic distance from the tethering elements—centromeres or telomeres. Releasing the hold of the tethering elements by inactivating centromere attachment to the spindle pole body or changing the position of ribosomal DNA arrays resulted in the association of Pol III–transcribed genes with nucleoli. Conversely, ectopic insertion of a Pol III–transcribed gene in the vicinity of a centromere prevented its association with nucleolus. Pol III–dependent transcription was independent of the intranuclear position of the gene, but the nucleolar recruitment of Pol III–transcribed genes required active transcription. We conclude that the association of Pol III–transcribed genes with the nucleolus, when permitted by global chromosome architecture, provides nucleolar and/or nuclear peripheral anchoring points contributing locally to intranuclear chromosome organization.

INTRODUCTION Eukaryotic chromatin is a complex three-dimensional (3D) entity. Its organization within the nucleus can influence genome stability and gene expression (Misteli, 2007). Global genome organization in budding yeast has been clearly determined. The nucleolus, which is organized into a crescent-shaped structure adjacent to the nuclear envelope (NE), contains almost exclusively the genes encoding ribosomal DNA (rDNA) from the right arm of chromosome XII (Yang et al., 1989; Léger-Silvestre et al., 1999). In cycling cells, diametrically opposite the nucleolus, the kinetochore complex at the centromeres (CENs) is tethered to the spindle pole body (SPB) via microtubules throughout the cell cycle (Yang et al., 1989; Bystricky et al., 2005; Duan et al., 2010; Zimmer and Fabre, 2011). Telomeres (TELs) are localized in clusters at the nuclear envelope (Klein et al., 1992; Gotta et al., 1996), such that the chromosome arms extend from the CEN toward the nucleolus and the nuclear periphery. Therefore, in cycling cells, chromosomes adopt a Rabl-like conformation (Jin et al., 2000). Computational models based on small numbers of biophysical constraints and reproducing most of these features have recently been developed (Tjong et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2012; Gursoy et al., 2014; Gong et al., 2015). By studying budding yeast chromosome XII by live-cell imaging, we confirmed that the nuclear positions of loci were globally well predicted by such models (Albert et al., 2013). Models introducing constraints due to nuclear biochemical activity have been reported to provide a better fit to experimental contact frequency maps (Gehlen et al., 2012; Tokuda et al., 2012). Recent imaging studies in different physiological conditions affecting the yeast transcriptome revealed a global shift of many positions on chromosome II to the periphery of the nucleus (Dultz et al., 2016). This peripheral recruitment of...
chromosome arms is consistent with the presence of transcription-dependent anchoring points along the length of the chromosome (Tjong et al., 2012). However, the tethering sites organizing chromosomes locally remain largely unidentified (Dultz et al., 2016).

Each of the three nuclear RNA polymerases transcribes a specific subset of genes. RNA polymerase (Pol) II transcribes all protein-coding genes and many noncoding (nc) RNA genes. Pol I synthesizes only one type of RNA—the precursor of large rRNAs. Pol III specializes in the synthesis of a few hundred ncRNAs, mostly involved in translation: the 5S rRNA, tRNAs, and abundant small ncRNAs. There is a well-documented correlation between the frequent association of a gene with a nuclear substructure and its transcriptional activity (Takizawa et al., 2008). Pol I transcription is the model system for this preferential localization of genes. Indeed, assembly of the nucleolus, the largest nuclear body, is initiated by rDNA transcription by Pol I (Trumtel et al., 2000; Misteli, 2001; Hernandez-Verdun et al., 2002). Previous studies suggested that nucleolar association of Pol III-transcribed genes has been conserved during evolution. Nucleolus-associated domains in metazoan genomes are significantly enriched in tRNA genes (tDNAs; Nemeth et al., 2010). In budding yeast, tDNAs scattered over the various chromosomes appear to be colocalized in a cluster close to or within the nucleolus on fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) microscopy (Thompson et al., 2003; Haeseler and Engelke, 2004). Recent studies of budding yeast also reported transcription-dependent recruitment of a tDNA to the nuclear pore complex (NPC) during mitosis (Chen and Gartenberg, 2014). Pol III-transcribed genes may behave as local tethering sites for the organization of chromosome arms.

In this study, we investigated the intranuclear position of individual Pol III–transcribed genes in three dimensions. We measured distances from genes of interest to both nuclear and nucleolar centers (Berger et al., 2008). FISH studies previously demonstrated a concentration of tRNA gene families (Leu(CAA); Lys(CCU), Gly(GCC), Gln(UUG), and Gln(UUC)) in or near the nucleolus (Thompson et al., 2003). We used fluorescent operator-repressor system (FROS) insertion to label individual Pol III–transcribed genes and determine their position within the nucleus in vivo (Berger et al., 2008). We found that some, but not all, Pol III–transcribed genes were frequently associated with the periphery of the nucleolus and/or away from the nuclear center. Proximity to the centromere or telomere prevented nucleolar recruitment, suggesting a hierarchical organization of locus positions. Centromere proximity constrained loci to be at the nuclear periphery close to SPB. Telomere proximity precluded central localization in the nucleus, resulting in loci close to SPB for short-arm chromosomes or away from SPB for long-arm chromosomes (Therizols et al., 2010). Centromere inactivation or the insertion of a centromere at an ectopic site at some distance from a tDNA resulted in the nucleolar association of the Pol III–transcribed gene; peripheral position was kept, but shifted away from SPB toward the nucleolus. The nucleolar association of tDNA was alleviated by nutrient starvation, which inhibits Pol III transcription. However, Pol III transcription was not limited to nucleolus-associated genes. We evaluated the contribution of the gene itself to the intranuclear positioning of its host locus and showed that Pol III–transcribed genes controlled the local organization of the chromosome arms via nucleolar and/or nuclear envelope tethering.

RESULTS
Identification of Pol III–transcribed genes generating unique, detectable transcripts
Pol III–transcribed genes can be classified into three groups, types I–III, on the basis of their internal promoter organization (Figure 1A). Most tRNAs are encoded by large multigene families scattered throughout the yeast genome, with a mean of four genes encoding the same tRNA and up to 16 genes for Gly(GCC). Even within multigene families, the isogenes encoding different tRNAs display very high levels of sequence identity, making it difficult to design gene-specific probes for estimating the abundance of a specific transcript. Furthermore, within a set of tRNA genes encoding the same anticodon, individual copies are not equivalent, and the deletion of individual genes may affect yeast fitness to very different extents (Bloom-Ackermann et al., 2014). We performed a comprehensive survey to identify base-pair polymorphisms in tRNA sequences in each of the 20 families. In total, 69 tRNA species are produced from the 273 tDNAs of the yeast nuclear genome (Figure 1B, circles). We identified 33 unique tRNA species (circles labeled with 1 in Figure 1B) produced from single genes. Six of these 33 unique tDNAs bore unique anticodons. However, if a unique anticodon-encoding gene is lost, other tRNAs can decode the codon through wobble base pairing; as a result, only four of these six genes were considered essential (Bloom-Ackermann et al., 2014).

We mapped 13 representative loci from the 278 different Pol III–transcribed genes in yeast by in vivo microscopy (gene names in blue in Figure 1B). We previously determined the intranuclear positions of 5 of these loci on chromosome XII: SNR6, the SS gene in rDNA, and three tDNAs (tPG(UGG), tA(UUG), and tL(UAA)); Albert et al., 2013). We explored the positions of Pol III–transcribed genes further by labeling SCR1 (the gene encoding the RNA component of the signal recognition particle [SRP]) and seven other tDNAs: three of the four essential tDNAs (TRT2, SUP61, and TRR4) and tG(CCC), represented by only two tDNAs—SUP3 and SUF5—which display low but significant levels of sequence polymorphism (see later discussion). We also labeled SUP4, the deletion of which causes a strong growth defect, despite its membership in the large tY(GUA) family, which contains eight isoforms (Bloom-Ackermann et al., 2014). The SUP53 tDNA, for which expression can be assessed indirectly from the suppressor activity of a nonsense mutation (unpublished data), was also included in our study.

Intranuclear position of Pol III–transcribed genes
We determined the positions of individual Pol III–transcribed genes in the nuclear space in vivo by FROS labeling (TetO/TetR–green fluorescent protein [GFP]). The linear position of each gene on the chromosomes is shown in Figures 2A and 3A. We previously showed that, for Pol III–transcribed genes, a single nucleosome dynamics upstream for SNR6 and downstream for tDNAs controlled differences in transcription (Arimbasseri and Bhargava, 2008; Mahapatra et al., 2011; Kumar and Bhargava, 2013). The positions of FROS insertions close (from 60 to 800 base pairs) to genes of interest were therefore selected with care to ensure that the FROS insertion point affected neither adjacent nucleosome occupancy nor RNA Pol III recruitment. Pol III occupancy in the vicinity of tDNAs was assessed by chromat immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and quantitative PCR (qPCR). Nucleosome position was determined by mononucleosome MNase protection assays, followed by qPCR. Pol III occupancy and nucleosome positioning were similar in the untagged and tagged cells (Supplemental Figure S1).

Gene position was determined by the NuLoc method (Berger et al., 2008; Therizols et al., 2010). Images were acquired from living, exponentially growing cells in culture by the confocal fluorescence imaging of large numbers of nuclei (>1000). Images were analyzed with dedicated software (see Materials and Methods). Briefly, for each cell with a spherical nucleus (including the G1, S, and early G2/M phases), nuclear and nucleolar volumes were determined on the basis...
of the fluorescent Nup49-GFP (nuclear pore complex) and Nop1-mCherry (nucleolar protein) signals, respectively. Locus position was determined relative to two references: the 3D positions of the centers of the nucleus and the nucleolus. Nucleus geometry was explored by measuring the angle ($\alpha$) between the locus–nuclear center axis and the nucleolus–nucleolar center axis. By construction, nucleolar center is at $\alpha = 180^\circ$. SPB is peripheral and opposed to the nucleolus ($\alpha = 0$). Peripheral location of a locus away from SPB will result in a larger $\alpha (-45–180^\circ)$. The distance between the locus and the nucleolar center was used, together with $\alpha$, to generate a color-coded statistical map of locus positions in which the percentage indicated within a contour represents the probability of finding the locus within that contour. On these maps, the average nuclear circumference is depicted as a yellow circle, and the median nucleolus (including 50% of all nucleoli) is displayed as a red isocontour (see Figures 2B and 3B).

FIGURE 1: Schematic representation of Pol III–transcribed genes. (A) Pol III–transcribed genes can be classified on the basis of internal promoter organization into types I–III. Positions of boxes A, IE, B, and C (gray oval) relative to the transcription start site (arrow) and the transcribed region (rectangle). (B) It is challenging to find individual tRNA genes (tDNAs) to label from which a unique gene product can be unambiguously identified. The 273 tDNAs generate 69 different tRNAs (circles) in budding yeast. Each family, defined on the basis of the amino acid targeted (rectangles) and the anticodon (bold), contains 1–16 genes (colored circles and numbers of identical genes). Double arrows link tRNAs within a family responsible for decoding the same anticodon but with different sequences. Unique genes for the decoding of a specific anticodon are shown in red and those with a known nucleolar distribution (Thompson et al., 2003) in green. The FROS used for labeling was inserted near the genes highlighted in blue.

The previously characterized intranuclear positions of the 5S rDNA and SNR6 gene loci (Albert et al., 2013) are shown in Figure 2B. As expected, 5S rDNA, which is interspersed with RNA polymerase I–transcribed rRNA gene repeats (35S rDNA) in the budding yeast genome, was restricted to the nucleolus (Figure 2B; Berger et al., 2008; Albert et al., 2013). The SNR6 locus, which is located 86 kb away from the rDNA, toward the centromere on the right arm of chromosome XII, appeared to be mostly localized at the nucleolar periphery. We also determined the intranuclear positions of two tDNA loci: SUP53 and SUP4. A transcriptionally active SUP53 gene has been reported to be associated with the nucleolus (Thompson et al., 2003). Using our FROS strain, we found that SUP53, which is located 23 kb from the centromere on the left arm of chromosome III, was excluded from nucleolar periphery, whereas SUP4, sited 107 kb from the centromere on the right arm of chromosome X, was detected in a large volume within the nuclear space, frequently interacting with the nucleolus (Figure 2B). Similarly, SUP3 and SUP5, both located >250 kb from the centromere and telomere, were frequently found to be associated with the nucleolar periphery. We found that SUP3 was also frequently located in the periphery of the nucleus. The 5S rRNA gene, SUP4, SUP53, SUP3, and SUP5 also belong to multigene families in which functionally equivalent transcripts can be produced from multiple genes, and so it was not possible to determine the proportion of transcriptionally active genes among the loci localized.

We next localized three essential tDNAs (TRT2, TRR4, and SUP61) with unique anticodons as transcriptionally active Pol III loci. We also determined the position of SCR1, an essential non-tRNA Pol III transcript (Figure 3, A and B). The genomic positions of the loci are shown in Figure 3A, and localization maps are displayed in Figure 3B. TRT2 is located close to the left telomere (47 kb) on chromosome XI and was found to have a perinuclear distribution reminiscent of subtelomeric sequences, rarely coming into contact with the nucleolus (Therizols et al., 2010). The TRR4 locus is located 350 kb from the rDNA and 261 kb from the right telomere, on the right arm of chromosome XII. TRR4 nuclear position appears both at the nucleolar and nuclear periphery. SCR1 is located on the right arm of chromosome V, 290 kb from CEN and 135 kb from the telomere. Like SUP3 and TRR4, SCR1 was preferentially associated with the nucleolus and nuclear periphery. SUP61 is located 113 kb from the centromere and it appeared to be excluded from the nucleolar periphery, in close proximity to the nuclear envelope. Both SUP4 and SUP61 are located ~100 kb from centromere, but the maps of these genes were markedly different. HMR, a heterochromatin domain attached to the nuclear envelope, is located 66 kb from the SUP61 locus.
SUP53, t(TUGU)G1, and t(TAGU)C were recently reported to be preferentially associated with the NPC during mitosis (Chen and Gartenberg, 2014). We explored the possible cell cycle–regulated positioning of another Pol III–transcribed gene, SCR1. In our aggregate population analysis, SCR1 was preferentially found in two positions: nucleolus and nuclear periphery (Figure 3B). We manually sorted nuclei by cell shape to analyze G1 (unbudded), S (small buds), and G2/M (choosing large buds with round nuclei, excluding anaphase) phases. Perinucleolar recruitment was observed mostly in G1 (Figure 3C, left). Marked recruitment to the nuclear periphery was observed in S phase and conserved in G2/M (Figure 3C, middle and right).

SUP53 locus close to the centromere. In the budding yeast genome, the 5S rDNA is inserted between copies of the Pol I–transcribed rDNA repeat (35S rDNA). This organization is unusual, in that 5S rDNA arrays are clustered into arrays separately from the 35S rDNA in other organisms. In fission yeast, the insertion of a 35S rDNA sequence not including the 5S rDNA at the mating-type region induced relocalization of the gene from the SPB to the nucleolar periphery (Jakociunas et al., 2013). The 5S rDNA (RDN5) gene is universally associated with nucleoli (Haeusler and Engelke, 2006). We therefore hypothesized that a single 5S rDNA at the SUP53 locus would drive strong nucleolar association. However, the insertion of

Proximity to centromeres prevents the association of Pol III–transcribed genes with nucleoli

Our mapping results suggest that the proximity of genes to tethering elements such as centromeres prevents them from associating with nucleoli. We investigated the interplay between Pol III–transcribed genes and centromeres, using a genetic system for the ectopic insertion of any gene at the SUP53 locus, which is close (23 kb) to the centromere (Supplemental Figure S2). Because the SPB occupies a position diametrically opposite to that of the nucleolus, we hypothesized that proximity to the centromere would result in the locus being tethered away from the nucleolus. We changed the genomic locations of four Pol III–transcribed genes from the three Pol III classes: the 5S rRNA gene (type 1), one tDNA (SUP3), and two essential type III genes (SNR6, SCR1; Figure 4A). All were strongly associated with the nucleolus when in their wild-type genomic positions (Figure 4B, top). No growth defect was detected in any of the strains carrying an ectopic gene at the SUP53 locus with deletion of the gene at its endogenous wild-type locus (unpublished data). We mapped each ectopic insertion and compared it to the wild-type position of the gene (Figure 4B, compare top to bottom). We observed no nucleolar recruitment for SUP3, SNR6, and SCR1 inserted at the

A comparative analysis of all the intranuclear maps of Pol III–transcribed genes in this study (Table 1) showed that the close proximity of tethering elements (<100 kb from CEN, TEL, or HMR) prevented the association of Pol III–transcribed genes (SUP53, TRT2, and SUP61) with the nucleolus. Conversely, the close proximity of SNR6 to rDNA on the right arm of chromosome XII was associated with an exclusively nucleolar location. For regions with no obvious constraints on their motion due to the Rabl-like chromosomal architecture, Pol III–transcribed genes displayed frequent, possibly cell cycle–regulated nucleolar interactions (SUP4 and SUF5) or nucleolar/nuclear periphery interactions (SRC1, TRR4, and SUF3).

FIGURE 2: Positions of Pol III–transcribed genes on the chromosomes and in the intranuclear space. (A) FROS insertions near RDN5, SNR6, SUP53, SUP4, SUF3, and SUF5 genes on the chromosome relative to CEN, rDNA, and left and right TELs. The distance of the FROS insertion (green triangle) relative to the two closest tethering elements (kilobases) is indicated. (B) Gene map of the FROS-labeled loci. Yellow circle and red ellipsoids correspond to nuclear envelope and nucleolus, respectively. N, number of nuclei used to generate the probability density map. The probability of finding the locus within the various regions of the nucleus is indicated by the percentage enclosed within the contour concerned.
FIGURE 3: Positions of four essential Pol III-transcribed genes on chromosomes and in the intranuclear space. (A) FROS insertions near TRT2, TRR4, SCR1, and SUP61 genes on the chromosome relative to CEN, rDNA, right and left TELs, and silent mating-type loci (HMR). The distance of the FROS insertion (green triangle) from the two closest tethering elements (kilobases) is indicated. (B) Gene map of the FROS-labeled loci. Yellow circle and red ellipsoids correspond to nuclear envelope and nucleolus, respectively. N, number of nuclei used to generate the probability density map. (C) Gene map of the FROS-labeled SCR1 gene during the cell cycle.

Pol III–transcribed SUP53 slides along the nuclear periphery toward the nucleolus when the chromosome III centromere is inactivated or displaced

The Pol III–transcribed, centromere-proximal SUP53 locus is not found near the nucleolus in wild-type cells. We disrupted CEN function to determine whether CEN proximity (23 kb) prevented nucleolar association. We used a strong inducible promoter (pGAL1-10) to disengage the kinetochore from the centromere (Hill and Bloom, 1987; Reid et al., 2008). On induction, GAL genes were recruited to the nuclear periphery, as previously reported (Casolari et al., 2004). We inserted the pGAL1-10 promoter at the chromosome III centromere (CEN3), close to SUP53 (Figure 5A). Expression under pGAL1-10 control caused a conditional knockdown of CEN3 kinetochore attachment, strongly decreasing cell viability upon induction (Figure 5B), due to chromosome segregation defects resulting from kinetochore disassembly. As control, we checked that the wild-type SUP53 locus position was unaffected by shifting the cells from repressed to induced conditions for up to 4 h (Figure 5C, left). We then induced CEN3–kinetochore dissociation using similar growth conditions and monitored locus positions (Figure 5C, middle). The location of SUP53 was significantly affected by CEN3–kinetochore dissociation, with this locus predominantly occupying a peripheral position. The nucleolar recruitment of SUP53 did not increase significantly even after 4 h of induction. CEN3 kinetochore inactivation significantly modified the angle $\alpha$ between the locus–nuclear center axis and the central axis (Figure 5C, right). This angle was unaffected in wild-type (WT) cells incubated with galactose for 4 h (Figure 5D, left) but gradually increased after kinetochore disassembly (Figure 5D, right). SUP53 thus remained at the nuclear periphery, appearing to deviate from the axis between the nuclear and nucleolar centers. No such effect was observed if a centromere other than CEN3 was disrupted (CEN9; Supplemental Figure S3). It was not possible to explore longer periods of CEN3 release due to cell morphology abnormalities. We overcame this problem by constructing a strain in which the endogenous CEN3 was deleted and an ectopic centromere (CEN6) was inserted 14.2 kb from TEL-R and 212 kb from SUP53 (Figure 5E, left). This strain displayed no growth defect (Supplemental Figure S3C). After permanent centromere release, SUP53 gene was recruited to the nuclear and nucleolus periphery (Figure 5E, right). These results confirm that proximity to the centromere constrains the location of SUP53.

An ectopic location of rDNA alters the nucleolar association of Pol III–transcribed genes

SNR6 had a strictly perinucleolar location (Figure 2B). We suggest that this is largely due to the proximity of rDNA and SNR6 (only 86 kb apart), anchoring the locus to the nucleolus. We tested this hypothesis by modifying a strain constructed by M. Nomura's laboratory, rDNA-CEN5, for gene position analysis. In this strain, all of the rDNA repeats of chromosome XII have been deleted...
and reinserted in the vicinity of the centromere on chromosome V (see Materials and Methods and Figure 6A; Oakes et al., 2006). As previously observed, the nucleolus was located diametrically opposite the SPB in the WT strain (Figure 6B; bottom). After ectopic rDNA insertion, the SPB was close to the nucleolus (Figure 6B, top; Oakes et al., 2006). The rDNA-CEN5 strain had impaired growth, and the nuclear radius was increased, making distance variation difficult to interpret. We explored the changes in nucleus geometry using the gene map and the α angle variation, which remains informative even if nucleus size is modified. In the rDNA-CEN5 strain, SUP53 was confined to the nucleolar periphery (Figure 6C, left). In the rDNA-CEN5 strain, SNR6 was not linked to rDNA and was located 215 kb from the centromere and 648 kb from the right telomere (Figure 6A). SNR6 was more widely dispersed in the nucleus in the mutant rDNA-CEN5 strain than in the WT strain (Figure 6C, right). Its geometric position in these two strains could be described by the α angle distribution. In the WT strain, the distribution of α angles was centered on 105°, reflecting a perinucleolar location (Figure 6D). The distribution of α angles was broader and centered on 75° in the rDNA-CEN5 strain, reflecting a displacement of the locus away from the nucleolus. Therefore, in the rDNA-CEN5 strain, SNR6 was not strictly perinucleolar but nevertheless remained frequently associated with the nucleolus, confirming that Pol III–transcribed genes located away from anchoring elements often interact with the nucleolar periphery.
Nucleolar association is not essential for the expression of Pol III–transcribed genes

We investigated the link between expression and the location of Pol III–transcribed genes in the nuclear space by comparing expression levels for SNR6, SCR1, and a tDNA, SUF3, in their wild-type (nucleolus-associated) and ectopic (close to the centromere, excluded from the nucleolar periphery) positions (Figure 7).

SNR6 and SCR1 are single-copy genes. We used Northern blotting to determine the levels of their transcripts relative to those of an abundant Pol II transcript (snr46). SNR6 and SCR1 transcript levels were not affected by a change in the position of the locus within the genome (compare WT and ectopic, Figure 7A and B). For SCR1, as a control, we evaluated transcript levels before and after FROS insertion. No change in transcript level was detected (Figure 7B, lane 2 vs. lane 3). Finally, we assessed the dependence of transcript levels for SUF3, SUF4, and SUF5 at the SUP53 locus (top and bottom, respectively).

As loading control, we designed a probe (o-Gly) for assessing overall RNA levels for 18 of the 21 tDNAs of the glycine family. We tried to generate a probe targeting either the SUF3 or SUF5 tRNA based on two polymorphisms found in SUF3 and SUF5 (Figure 7C, top). Gene-specific transcript levels were determined with total RNA from the WT, suf3ΔΔ, and suf5A strains. The SUF5 probe did not appear to be specific, whereas the SUF3 signal was ~70% lower in the suf3 deletion mutant than in wild type (no decrease in the suf5 deletion mutant) and was therefore considered to display good specificity (Figure 7C, left). The ectopic insertion of SUF3 away from the nucleolus had no major effect on transcript levels (Figure 7C, right). Thus Pol III–transcribed gene expression levels are not strictly dependent on nucleolar association.

Nontethered Pol III–transcribed genes drive association with the nucleolar periphery

Our results confirm that a Rabl-like chromosomal architecture constrains the spatial position of genes located close to centromeres and rDNA-anchoring elements. Furthermore, when not tethered by nearby structural elements, individual Pol III–transcribed genes are frequently associated with the nucleolar periphery.

We then investigated whether the association of the Pol III–transcribed locus SUP4 with the nucleolus was directly dependent on Pol III activity. To distinguish between passive recruitment to the nucleolus and transcription-based recruitment, we cultured FROS-labeled cells for 2 h in dilute rich medium with no carbon source. This treatment efficiently shuts off Pol III transcription in vivo (Roberts et al., 2003), as demonstrated by the release of Pol III from the 21 tDNAs of the glycine family. We tried to generate a probe targeting either the SNR6, SCR1, SUF3, or RDN5 tDNA for assessing overall RNA levels for 18 of the 21 tDNAs of the glycine family. We tried to generate a probe targeting either the SNR6, SCR1, SUF3, or RDN5 tDNA for assessing overall RNA levels for 18 of the 21 tDNAs of the glycine family. We tried to generate a probe targeting either the SNR6, SCR1, SUF3, or RDN5 tDNA for assessing overall RNA levels for 18 of the 21 tDNAs of the glycine family. We tried to generate a probe targeting either the SNR6, SCR1, SUF3, or RDN5 tDNA for assessing overall RNA levels for 18 of the 21 tDNAs of the glycine family. We tried to generate a probe targeting either the SNR6, SCR1, SUF3, or RDN5 tDNA for assessing overall RNA levels for 18 of the 21 tDNAs of the glycine family.

We compared locations of the SUP4 gene in expressed and nonexpressed conditions and of loci tethered by a centromere (SUP53) or close to rDNA (SNR6) as controls. The distance of the SUP4 locus from the nucleolar center was modified in starved cells (Figure 8C). We quantified the observed effect by plotting the cumulative frequency distribution of distances between the loci and the center of the nucleolus and comparing normal and starvation conditions (Figure 8D, solid and dashed lines, respectively). No significant difference was detected for the centromere-associated locus SUP53 (two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test [ks-test2],...
is driven by its transcription. Indeed, tRNA genes have been shown to dissociate from the nucleolus when transcription is abolished by promoter mutation (Thompson et al., 2003).

For confirmation that the lower frequency of SUP4 nucleolar association resulted directly from inhibition of the Pol III–mediated transcription of this gene rather than global reorganization due to glucose starvation, we deleted SUP4 and monitored the position of the sup4Δ locus in glucose-rich medium. SUP4 deletion resulted in a strong growth defect (unpublished data; Bloom-Ackermann et al., 2014). Normal growth was restored by inserting an ectopic copy of the gene at SUP53 locus (Figure 8E). SUP4 gene deletion resulted in a greater distance between the deleted SUP4 locus and the nucleolus (Figure 8F; ks-test2; \( p = 1.4 \times 10^{-12} \)). Thus, in the cell population, the frequency of SUP4 tDNA locus association with the nucleolar periphery depends on the presence of the gene. We then investigated the effects of deleting SUF3, SUF5, SCR1, TRR4, and TRT2, all located away from the tethering elements studied earlier (Supplemental Figure S4). All the deletions tested, except SUP3, induced a small but significant (ks-test2; \( p = 10^{-1} - 10^{-3} \)) shift of the locus away from the nucleolus. For SUF3 tDNA, the perinuclear anchoring upon deletion of the tRNA gene was weakened.

In conclusion, our localization study confirmed that Pol III–transcribed genes located away from tethering elements were recruited to the nucleolus or its periphery. The association of the tDNA SUP4 locus with the nucleolar periphery was specifically reduced by the inhibition of Pol III transcription or deletion of the gene. Nuclear recruitment was observed for most of the genes tested. We also observed perinuclear anchoring of Pol III–transcribed genes away from tethering elements (i.e., SUF3). With the spectrum of genes studied here, we showed that Pol III–transcribed genes were able to tether the chromosome arm locally to either nuclear or nucleolar periphery.

DISCUSSION

The major finding of this study is that hierarchical constraints in chromosome organization control the position of Pol III–transcribed genes in the nucleus. The Rabl-like conformation of yeast chromosomes imposes a rigid scaffold that strongly modulates the frequency of associations between Pol III–transcribed genes and the nuclear and/or nucleolar periphery. Pol III–transcribed genes close to tethering elements, such as centromeres, HMR, or telomeres, interact with the nucleolus at very low frequency in cell populations. Here we confirmed that a locus near CEN is close to the NE and constrained by SPB, and a locus near TEL is at the NE. We showed that Pol III–transcribed genes located >100 kb from these
tethering elements were frequently found close to the nucleolus and/or NE. Changing the position of genes relative to tethering elements (CEN and rDNA) allowed us to monitor the position of Pol III–transcribed genes free from constraints imposed by the Rabl-like configuration. Our results demonstrated that recruitment of a tDNA locus at the nucleolar periphery is driven by the Pol III–transcribed gene itself. Finally, for a subset of genes, we were also able to show that nucleolar association of the host locus depended on the presence of the Pol III–transcribed gene and was driven by its transcriptional status. For one case (SUF3), nucleolar association was not affected upon Pol III–transcribed gene deletion, but peripheral location was weakened.

Hierarchy of constraints driving chromosome organization in vivo

tRNA gene clustering at the nucleolus is believed to affect global chromosome folding in vivo, potentially competing with centromeric recruitment to the SPB (Haeusler and Engelke, 2006). We showed here, using ectopic insertions of essential Pol III–transcribed genes close to centromeres, that centromeric proximity prevented nucleolar recruitment of Pol III–transcribed genes. The genes studied included SCR1 and SNR6 genes, which can drive nucleolar recruitment. Permanent centromere release, manipulating CEN3 location within the chromosome, was sufficient for the nucleolar recruitment of Pol III–transcribed genes. We conclude that the recruitment of Pol III–transcribed genes to the nucleolus or nuclear periphery contributes to higher-order chromosome organization in vivo when permitted by the strongest constraints imposed by the Rabl-like conformation.

Pol III–transcribed genes preferentially localize at the nuclear and nucleolar periphery

tRNA-encoding genes are recruited to the nuclear periphery in G2/M (Chen and Gartenberg, 2014), consistent with changes in the location of Pol III–transcribed genes during the cell cycle. We used yeast strains and automated data analysis methods developed primarily for the mapping, with high accuracy, of gene positions relative to the nucleolus. However, we were also able to demonstrate the frequent localization of tL(UAA)L (Albert et al., 2013), SUF3, TRR4, and SCR1 at the nuclear periphery. We found that SCR1 was recruited to the nucleolar periphery mostly in G1. In the
S and G2/M phases, SCR1 was frequently located at the nuclear periphery. tDNA docking at the nuclear envelope, exclusively in G2/M, is associated with a peak of tRNA expression during mitosis and requires Los1, the major exportin of nascent tRNA (Chen and Gartenberg, 2014). SCR1 encodes the RNA component of the SRP particle; its location at the periphery of the nucleus during S phase may be explained by an expression pattern different from that of the tDNA.

The nucleolar and nuclear periphery regions are, therefore, preferential locations for Pol III–transcribed genes, although the locations of these genes may vary during the cell cycle.

Mechanism by which Pol III–transcribed genes associates with the nucleolus

Condensin-dependent clustering of Pol III–transcribed genes and microtubule-dependent nucleolar association of tDNAs from large families have been described (Thompson et al., 2003; Haeusler et al., 2008; Rodley et al., 2011; Chen and Gartenberg, 2014; Rutledge et al., 2015). These findings suggest that tRNA genes are involved in maintaining the spatial organization of the genome. Furthermore, chromosome conformation capture (3C) methods cluster tDNAs into two large groups: an rDNA-proximal cluster and a nonnucleolar, centromere-proximal cluster (Duan et al., 2010; Rutledge et al., 2015). However, some reported findings have recently been called into question. A different normalization procedure for 3C contact maps accounting for technical bias resulted in a lower estimated likelihood of Pol III–Pol III gene contacts (Cournac et al., 2012). This would make a direct role for tDNA clustering in global chromosome organization less likely (Rutledge et al., 2015).

By exploring individual loci by fluorescence microscopy rather than tDNA clusters by 3C-based methods, we were able to reproduce the frequent association with the nucleolar periphery of nontethered (>100 kb from TEL, CEN, and HMR) Pol III genes. The condensin complex is essential for the nucleolar clustering of Pol III–transcribed genes (Haeusler et al., 2008). However, condensin is associated with all Pol III–transcribed genes, even those tethered away from the nucleolus (D’Ambrosio et al., 2008), suggesting a role for other anchoring elements in nucleolar association. Nucleolar recruitment was abolished when Pol III transcription was inhibited. The transcripts of Pol III–transcribed genes have been reported to pass through the nucleolus during their maturation (Bertrand et al., 1998). The nascent tRNAs themselves, therefore, may participate in recruiting their genes to the nucleolus. A recent study on human cells showed that Alu RNAs accumulating in the nucleolus could target other loci to the nucleolus (Caudron-Herger et al., 2015). A similar mechanism in which RNA drives a DNA locus–nucleolar interaction may contribute to the association of Pol III–transcribed genes with the nucleolus in budding yeast.

Pol III–transcribed genes as a controller of local chromosome organization

Chromosome organization has been described quantitatively in yeast. Biophysical models of chromatin can be used to describe chromosomes or chromosomal rearrangements in cycling cells: the chromosomes adopt the Rabl-like configuration (Tjong et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2012). However, it has been suggested that other elements may tether chromosomes to the nuclear periphery (Dultz et al., 2016). Our
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains
The genotypes of the strains used are described in Supplemental Table S1. The oligonucleotides used for PCR are listed in Supplemental Table S2. We used p29802 (Berger et al., 2008) as a template for PCR amplification of the KAN-MX cassette. Strains for gene mapping were constructed as previously described (Albert et al., 2013).

The insertion point coordinates on the chromosome and oligonucleotides used to target integration are listed in Supplemental Table S1. yCNOD15-1c, BEN56-1a, yCNOD72-1a, and yJUK03-1a were constructed by transforming the TMS1-1a strain. Strains PRA5-1a, PRA6-4a, PRA4-8a, PRA3-5a, PRA1-5a, and PRA2-7a were constructed by transforming the TMS5-8d strain.

Strains with ectopic gene insertions
We chose the SUP53 locus for ectopic insertion because its proximity to centromere III may compete with nucleolar association, and a neighboring auxotrophic marker (LEU2) facilitates the desired genome modification without the need to insert an unrelated marker. Briefly, the strain construction strategy described in Supplemental Figure S2 involved construction of a receiver strain, yCNOD98-1a, in which SUP53 and the N-terminal part of the auxotrophic selection marker LEU2 were deleted (Supplemental Figure S2A) and a platform plasmid bearing the genomic DNA of the locus, in which SUP53 could be replaced by any other Pol III–transcribed gene, was introduced (Supplemental Figure S2B). The targeted gene was introduced via this platform construct. Finally, two successive modifications based on homologous recombination were used to drive the ectopic insertion of a Pol III–transcribed gene at the SUP53 locus. LEU2-positive clones were selected, and the native locus was invalidated in the process. The yJUK10-1a strain was generated by PCR with the 1207/1208 primers and S288c genomic DNA to restore the wild-type LEU2 gene in the TMS1-1a strain. yCNOD98-1a was built replacing the SUP53 and the N-terminal part of the auxotrophic selection marker LEU2 with chloramphenicol (pC-CNOD44, pEB5, or pBEL7) to generate ectopic insertions of SNR6, SUF3, and SCR1 (PRA14-1a), respectively. The extra copy at the wild-type locus was removed by inserting the KAN-MX cassette with primer pairs 1254/1255 (SNR6), 1286/1287 (SUFR), and 1298/1299 (SCR1) to generate strains BEL1-6a, PRA13-1a, and PRA15-2a, respectively. SUF3 and SUF5 were deleted in strain yJUK10-1a with primers

findings confirm that Pol III–transcribed genes anchor the chromosomes to the nucleolus and/or NE. We demonstrate here a direct role for the nucleolus in organizing chromatin in the nucleoplasm and contributing to chromosome organization in vivo through the anchoring of Pol III–transcribed genes to its periphery.
Fluorescence imaging was performed with an SUF53 metal-oxide semiconductor camera (Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash 4.0; Olympus inverted microscope equipped with a complementary charge-coupled device camera (DU 888; Andor). The system was microscopy within 20 min of mounting, using an Andor Bellfast, Northern Ireland) installed on an Olympus IX-81 (Olympus microscope image acquisition. Gene position. Confocal micro-scopy was performed within 20 min of mounting, using an Andor Revolution Nipkow-disk confocal system (Andor Technology, Bellfast, Northern Ireland) installed on an Olympus IX-81 (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), featuring a CSU22 confocal spinning-disk unit (Yokogawa, Tokyo, Japan) and an electron-multiplying charge-coupled device camera (DU 888; Andor). The system was controlled with the Revolution FAST mode of Andor Revolution IQ software. Images were acquired with an Olympus 100x objective (Plan APO, 1.4 numerical aperture [NA], oil immersion). The single laser lines used for excitation were from diode-pumped solid-state lasers exciting GFP fluorescence at 488 nm (50 mW; Coherent, Santa Clara, CA) and mCherry fluorescence at 561 nm (50 mW; CoboltJive; Cobolt AB, Solna, Sweden). A Semrock (Rochester, NY) bi-bandpass emission filter (Em01-R488/568-15) was used to collect green and red fluorescence. Pixel size was 65 nm. For 3D analysis, Z-stacks of 41 images with a 250-nm Z-step were used. An exposure time of 200 ms was applied. SPB imaging. Fluorescence imaging was performed with an Nikon inverted microscope equipped with a complementary metal-oxide semiconductor camera (Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash 4.0;
Hamamatsu, Hamamatsu City, Japan) and a SpectraX illumination system (Lumencore, Beaverton, OR). Images were acquired with an Olympus UPlan SApo 100x objective lens (NA 1.4) and a dual-band cyan fluorescent protein (CFP)-yellow fluorescent protein Semrock filter set (excitation, 416/501-25; DM440/S20-Di01-25x36; emission, 464/547-25) for CFP and a three-band Chroma (Bellows Falls, VT) filter set (69002 ET-DAP/FTC/Texas Red) in combination with an eternal filter wheel equipped with Semrock filters with emission 465/537/623 and 520-40 for mCherry and GFP, respectively.

**Image analysis to determine locus position.** Confocal images were processed and analyzed with a Matlab (The MathWorks, Natick, MA) script, NuclLoc, available from www.nuclloc.org (Berger et al., 2008). Cumulative distribution functions were generated with an existing function (Matlab). Boxplots of median ratios of distances to the center of the nucleolus or nucleus were generated in two steps by first calculating median distances for each of 100 nuclei and then plotting boxplots for the median values obtained.

**RNA analysis**

The sequences of the oligonucleotides used for RNA quantification are given in Supplemental Table S3. RNA was extracted and Northern blotting performed as previously described (Beltrame and Tollervey, 1992). Reverse transcription was performed with the Superscript II kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA species were resolved by electrophoresis on 8% polyacrylamide sequencing gels. Quantifications were performed by phosphorimaging (Typhoon; GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) with MultiGauge software (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

The YPH500 RPC128-myc strain was grown to mid exponential growth phase (OD_{600 nm} = 0.8) and cross-linked by incubation with 1% formaldehyde for 30 min. ChIP samples were prepared as previously described (Arimbasseri and Bhargava, 2008; Mahapatra et al., 2011; Kumar and Bhargava, 2013) with an anti-Myc antibody (05-724; Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). Real-time PCR was performed on the ChIP and control (input and no antibody) DNA to determine Pol III occupancy on SNR6 (primers 1 and 2), SUI4 (primers 3 and 4), and SUI53 (primers 5 and 6) genes. Pol III occupancy was normalized relative to that on TelVIR (primers 7 and 8) used as a negative control and is expressed as fold enrichment relative to the negative control.

**Mononucleosome MNase protection assay**

Untagged control (TM55-8a) and FROS insertion (for genes SUP3, SUP4, and SUP6) strains were grown to mid exponential growth phase (OD = 0.8) at 30°C. Cells were cross-linked by incubation with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min, and the reaction was quenched by adding 125 mM glycine. Cells were washed, and spheroplasts were generated with Zymolyase (AMS Biotechnology, Abingdon, UK). Spheroplasts were subjected to controlled MNase digestion, and the digested DNA was purified and subjected to electrophoresis in 1.25% agarose gels. Naked genomic DNA (deproteinized) was digested with MNase to obtain a fragment distribution ranging from 100 to 300 base pairs for use as a control. The band corresponding to mononucleosomal DNA was excised from the gel, and the DNA was purified. Equal amounts of mononucleosomal DNA and digested genomic DNA were used as a template for real-time PCR. Nucleosome occupancy was investigated with primers designed to amplify 110 ± 10-base pair fragments close to the tDNA gene. Nucleosome occupancy was normalized relative to a control subtelomeric region of TelVIR.
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