Living the Sweet Life: How *Liquorilactobacillus hordei* TMW 1.1822 Changes Its Behavior in the Presence of Sucrose in Comparison to Glucose
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**Abstract:** *Liquorilactobacillus* (*L.*) *hordei* (formerly *Lactobacillus hordei*) is one of the dominating lactic acid bacteria within the water kefir consortium, being highly adapted to survive in this environment, while producing high molecular weight dextrans from sucrose. In this work, we extensively studied the physiological response of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 to sucrose compared to glucose, applying label-free, quantitative proteomics of cell lysates and exoproteomes. This revealed the differential expression of 53 proteins within cellular proteomes, mostly associated with carbohydrate uptake and metabolism. Supported by growth experiments, this suggests that *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 favors fructose over other sugars. The dextranase was expressed irrespectively of the present carbon source, while it was significantly more released in the presence of sucrose (log2 FC = 3.09), being among the most abundant proteins within exoproteomes of sucrose-treated cells. Still, *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 expressed other sucrose active enzymes, predictively competing with the dextranase reaction. While osmolysis appeared to be unlikely, sucrose led to increased release of a multitude of cytoplasmic proteins, suggesting that biofilm formation in *L. hordei* is not only composed of a polysaccharide matrix but is also of proteinaceous nature. Therefore, our study highlights the intrinsic adaptation of water kefir-born *L. hordei* to sucrose-rich habitats and provides fundamental knowledge for its use as a starter culture in plant-based food fermentations with in situ dextran formation.
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1. Introduction

Especially in the food sector, the new health conscious nature of the western population nowadays demands innovative approaches in the production of foods with sophisticated nutritional and techno-functional properties [1,2]. While the demand for animal products is decreasing, plant-based alternatives are attracting simultaneously more attention [3]. Thus, especially in the manufacturing of fermented foods, this requires the application of starter cultures that are adapted to plant material fermentation [4]. However, fermented fruit and vegetable products already have a long history and are only waiting to be explored for the occurrence of microorganisms that may be exploited in innovative food manufacturing processes.

As such, water kefir is a traditional fermented beverage that is made from dried fruits, lemon slices and sucrose, and its microbiota is therefore perfectly adapted to metabolize plant-derived
nutrients [5,6]. The beverage itself is believed to exhibit antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, anti-allergic, anti-hyperglycemic and further beneficial health effects, while the microbiota is considered as historically safe for consumption [7–11]. The kefir granules, which harbor a complex consortium of mainly yeasts, lactic acid bacteria (LAB), acetic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria, thus host an interesting reservoir for microorganisms, which can be applied in innovative plant-based food and beverage fermentations [12]. While some water kefir-borne LAB were already shown to exhibit probiotic potential [13,14], the production of exopolysaccharides with different techno-functional properties harbors additional application potential [15,16]. During water kefir fermentation, the main exopolysaccharide produced by LABs is dextran [17]. Dextran are mainly α-1,6-linked glucans that are specifically formed from sucrose by extracellular enzymes, namely dextransucrases [18,19]. These polysaccharides exhibit different techno-functional properties depending on the basic dextran type, concentration and macromolecular structure that are inter alia influenced by the type of dextransucrase, substrate concentration, pH, temperature, salt concentration and other factors [16,20–23]. During water kefir fermentation, the inhabiting LABs naturally produce different types of dextrans. While gel-forming dextrans build up the water-insoluble network of the kefir granules, others are responsible for the turbid characteristics of the supernatant [16,17,24–26]. Dextran not only show texturizing, emulsifying and cloud-forming characteristics but are additionally studied for their potential as prebiotics [27–31]. Moreover, the addition of sucrose as a carbon source for food fermentation processes would enable in situ dextran synthesis and thereby the manufacture of “clean label” products with improved properties [16,32,33].

*Liquorilactobacillus (L.) hordei* (formerly *Lactobacillus hordei* [34]) was first isolated from malted barley, indicating the species’ adaptation to live in plant habitats [35]. Until now, it was, however, more frequently isolated from water kefir, where it was found to occur in high abundance [12]. To survive in this environment, efficient sucrose metabolism is essential, as sucrose is the main source of energy in water kefir, which is otherwise poor in nutrients. A comparative genomic analysis of water kefir isolate *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 and the type strain counterpart, isolated from malted barley, highlighted the adaptation of the water kefir isolate to sucrose-rich habitats, exhibiting additional enzymes and transporters for the efficient uptake and metabolism of sucrose and fructose [36]. This genomic difference also applied for the dextransucrase, encoded by the water kefir isolates *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 and TMW 1.1907, respectively, which ensures efficient extracellular sucrose degradation upon simultaneous formation of a water-soluble dextran [24,36]. This characteristic of the water kefir isolates recently gained interest in the fermentation of fruit juice substrates [16]. However, it was shown that *L. hordei* accumulates its dextransucrase intracellularly and solely releases it in the presence of sucrose [21,24]. This indicates that sucrose is not only a growth substrate but may also induce changes in the exoproteome of this microorganism. As *L. hordei* is a starter culture candidate for more plant-based food fermentations besides water kefir, exploration of its physiological response to this carbohydrate is essential. In this study, we therefore studied the behavior of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 in the presence of sucrose, applying a label-free proteomic approach, physiological tests and measurements of consumed and produced metabolites, comparing growth in glucose versus sucrose.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Strains, Media and Growth Conditions

Cryo-conserved *L. hordei* strains isolated from water kefir (TMW 1.1817, TMW 1.1821, TMW 1.1822, TMW 1.1907, TMW 1.2375, TMW 1.2376, TMW 1.2377) and the type strain isolated from malted barley (DSMZ 19,519 = TMW 1.2353) were recovered by static incubation in 15 mL liquid modified MRS medium [37] (10 g/L soy peptone, 10 g/L meat extract, 5 g/L yeast extract, 25 g/L glucose, 1 g/L Tween80, 2 g/L dipotassium phosphate, 5 g/L sodium acetate, 2 g/L di-ammonium citrate, 0.2 g/L magnesium sulfate, 0.05 g/L manganese sulfate, pH adjusted to 6.2) in closed vessels at 30 °C. Depending on the performed experiment, incubation times varied and glucose was replaced by other...
carbohydrates. To determine viable cell counts (cfu/mL), 100 µL of appropriate dilutions in Ringer’s solution (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) were spread on MRS agar plates (1.5%) with sterile glass beads (2.7 mm, Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) and incubated at 30 °C for 48 h.

2.2. Proteomic Analysis

2.2.1. Experimental Setup

To investigate the proteomic response to sucrose intracellularly and extracellularly, 5 × 15 mL precultures (five biological replicates) of \textit{L. hordei} TMW 1.1822 were prepared in MRS medium as described above (Section 2.1) and used to inoculate 5 × 50 mL cultures in MRS (25 g/L glucose), with a final OD\textsubscript{600nm} of 0.1. The cultures were grown to mid-exponential growth phase (~OD\textsubscript{600nm} 2.0, determined in preliminary experiments), which had given good results in previous experiments [33,38]. In total, 15 mL of each biological replicate was distributed to two 15 mL reaction vessels, pelletized (3000 × g, 4 °C, 5 min) and washed once with fresh MRS medium. After a second centrifugation step, cell pellets were resuspended in 15 mL of medium supplemented with either glucose or sucrose (25 g/L each). After incubation for 2 h at 30 °C, a 100 µL sample was taken for determination of viable cell counts, and cultures were subsequently centrifuged (3000 × g, 4 °C, 5 min). Supernatants were collected and frozen at −20 °C for subsequent experiments and exoproteome analysis, while cells were washed twice with 10 mL Ringer’s solution (4 °C) and following centrifugation. Finally, cell pellets were immediately frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C for subsequent proteomic analysis.

2.2.2. Peptide Preparation, Separation and Mass Spectrometry

Cellular lysate samples: Sample preparation and measurements of cellular lysate samples were done as described by Prechtl et al. [33]. Therefore, cell pellets were resuspended in 900 µL lysis buffer (8 M urea, 5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) disodium salt, 100 mM NH\textsubscript{4}HCO\textsubscript{3}, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) in water, pH 8.0) supplemented with 10× solution SIGMAFAST™ protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Subsequently, cells were disrupted mechanically using 400 mg glass beads (G8772, 424–600 µm, Sigma, Germany) for 10 min at 4 °C. Total protein concentrations of the lysates were determined using the Coomassie (Bradford, UK) Protein Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In total, 100 µg of protein extract of each sample was used for in-solution digestion. Proteins were reduced (10 mM DTT, 30 °C, 300 rpm, 30 min) and carbamidomethylated (55 mM chloroacetamine, 30 min, RT, in the dark) and subsequently diluted 6× with freshly prepared NH\textsubscript{4}HCO\textsubscript{3} solution (0.05 M). Afterwards, 1 µg of trypsin (trypsin to protein ration 1:100) was added and samples were incubated for 4 h at 30 °C and 300 rpm. After a second addition of trypsin (same amount), samples were incubated overnight at 30 °C and 300 rpm. Protein digestion was stopped using 1% (v/v) formic acid. Digested protein samples were desalted using C18 solid phase extraction with Sep-Pak columns (Waters, Milford, MA, USA, WAT054960), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Finally, purified peptide samples were dried in a SpeedVac and re-dissolved in an aqueous solution of acetonitrile (2%) and formic acid (0.1%) at a final concentration of 1 µg/µL.

Exoproteome samples: Exoproteomes were prepared as described by Heinze et al. [39]. Briefly, 30 µL of each supernatant sample and un-inoculated MRS medium (negative control) were mixed with lithium dodecyl sulfate (LDS) sample buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), reduced with 25 mM DTT, heated for 10 min at 95 °C and alkylated with 55 mM chloroacetamide. Samples were applied on a 4–12% NuPAGE gel (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and run for around 1 cm to concentrate all proteins. In-gel digestion was performed according to standard procedures described by Shevchenko et al. [40]. The obtained peptides were dried and re-dissolved as stated above.
Nano-flow LC-MS/MS measurements were performed on a Fusion Lumos Trybrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with an Ultimate 3000 RSLCnano system. For each analysis, 0.1 µg of cellular peptides or 0.5 µg of exoproteome peptides was delivered to a trap column (ReproSil-pur C18-AQ, 5 µm, Dr. Maisch, 20 mm × 75 µm, self-packed) at a flow rate of 5 µL/min in 100% solvent A (0.1% formic acid in HPLC grade water). After 10 min of loading, peptides were transferred to an analytical column (ReproSil Gold C18-AQ, 3 µm, Dr. Maisch GmbH, Ammerbuch-Entringen, Germany, 450 mm × 75 µm, self-packed) and separated using a 50 min gradient from 4% to 32% of solvent B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile and 5% (v/v) DMSO) at a 300 nL/min flow rate. Both nanoLC solvents contained 5% (v/v).

The Fusion Lumos Trybrid mass spectrometer was operated in data-dependent acquisition and positive ionization mode. MS1 spectra (360–1300 m/z) were recorded at a resolution of 60,000 using an automatic gain control (AGC) target value of 4 × 10^5 and maximum injection time (maxIT) of 50 ms. After peptide fragmentation using higher energy collision induced dissociation (HCD), MS2 spectra (200–2000 m/z) of up to 20 precursor peptides were acquired at a resolution of 15,000, with an automatic gain control (AGC) target value of 5 × 10^4 and maximum injection time (maxIT) of 22 ms. The precursor isolation window width was set to 1.3 m/z and normalized collision energy to 30%. Dynamic exclusion was enabled with 20 s exclusion time (mass tolerance +/− 10 ppm). Peptide precursors that were singly charged, unassigned or with charge states >6+ were excluded for fragmentation.

2.2.3. Data Availability

All LC-MS/MS data files and MaxQuant output files have been deposited in the ProteomeXchange Consortium (http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD020664.

2.2.4. Protein Identification and Quantification

Peptides and proteins were identified and quantified using the MaxQuant software (v. 1.6.3.4) [41] with its built-in search engine, Andromeda [42]. MS2 spectra were searched against all protein sequences predicted for the genome of L. hordei TMW 1.1822 (GenBank CP018176–CP018179) supplemented with common contaminants (built-in option in MaxQuant). Trypsin/P was specified as proteolytic enzyme. Precursor tolerance was set to 4.5 ppm and fragment ion tolerance to 20 ppm. Results were adjusted to 1% false discovery rate (FDR) on peptide spectrum match (PSM) level and protein level, employing a target-decoy approach using reversed protein sequences. The minimal peptide length was defined as 7 amino acids; the “match-between-run” function was disabled. Carbamidomethylated cysteine was set as fixed modification and oxidation of methionine and N-terminal protein acetylation as variable modifications. To compare relative protein abundances between samples, label-free quantification (LFQ) was performed. Additionally, also intensity-based absolute quantification (iBAQ) was enabled, which provides an estimate of the absolute protein abundance and thereby a proportional quantification unit for the abundance of different proteins within one sample.

MaxQuant output files were further processed and statistically analyzed using Perseus software (v. 1.6.14.0) [43]. Only proteins that were identified in four out of five biological replicates in at least one group (glucose or sucrose) were considered. Missing values were imputed from a normal distribution (width: 0.2; down shift: 1.8). The obtained log_{2}-transformed LFQ intensities were used for a Student’s T-test analysis with a permutation-based FDR of 0.01 and S0 of 0.1. Absolute protein abundances at a certain condition were estimated using the averaged log_{10}-transformed iBAQ intensities that were ranked in descending order for each group.

Additionally, MS intensities of lysates and exoproteomes were compared in order to prioritize extracellular proteins that ended up in the medium due to some form of active biological process rather than cell death or cell lysis. Therefore, z-scores of log_{10}-transformed iBAQ intensities were calculated in Perseus software using the average and standard deviation over all iBAQ intensities within each group (matrix access: column). The obtained z-scores were statistically analyzed by Student’s T-test.
analysis, with a permutation-based FDR of 0.01 and S0 of 0.1. Thereby, normalized iBAQ intensities of exoproteomes and lysates of each condition (glucose or sucrose) were compared with each other. Proteins with a difference between z-score (exoproteome) minus z-score (cellular proteome) higher or equal than 2.0 were considered as being released with high confidence.

2.2.5. GO-Enrichment Analysis

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed on the basis of the statistically analyzed proteomic datasets obtained by the analysis of LFQ intensities (see Section 2.2.4), using the topGO package (v. 2.40.0) running in R Studio (v. 4.0.2) [44]. Genes were filtered according to their significance as obtained during statistical analysis in Perseus and their up- or down-regulation in glucose and sucrose-treated cells (see Section 2.2.4). Statistical significance of enriched GO terms was indicated by a Fisher’s exact p-value ≤ 0.05 (classicFisher).

2.3. Analysis of Culture Supernatants of Glucose and Sucrose-Treated Cells

2.3.1. Quantification of Sugar Consumption and Acid Formation

Consumption of glucose and sucrose as well as formation of organic acids was measured in the exoproteome samples obtained for further proteomic analysis (see Section 2.2.1). Therefore, concentrations were determined using a HPLC system (Dionex Ultimate 3000, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) coupled to a refractive index (RI) detector (Refractomax ERC, Germany). For organic acid quantification, 1 mL of sample was mixed with 50 μL perchloric acid (70% (v/v)), mixed thoroughly and incubated overnight at 4 °C. Afterwards, samples were centrifuged at 13,000×g for 30 min at 4 °C. Prior to application on the HPLC system, all samples were filtered (0.2 μm nylon filters, Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany) to remove any aggregates. Subsequently, 20 μL of each filtered sample was injected into the HPLC system. Sugars were measured using a Rezex RPM Pb²⁺ column (Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany) at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min (85 °C), using filtered (0.2 μm) deionized water as eluent. Organic acids were measured with a Rezex ROA H⁺ column (Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany) at a flow rate of 0.7 mL/min (85 °C), with 2.5 mM H₂SO₄ (prepared with filtered deionized water) as eluent. Identification and quantification of sugars and organic acids was performed according to external standards using the Chromeleon software (v. 6.8; ThermoFisher Scientific (Dionex), Waltham, MA, USA).

2.3.2. SDS-PAGE, Staining and Zymogram

Exoproteome samples that were used for further proteomic analysis (see Section 2.2.1) were analyzed by vertical SDS-PAGE, carried out in a Mini-PROTEAN Tetra Cell Electrophoresis System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). A separation gel (10% (w/v)) with a stacking gel (4% (w/v)) were used. Prior to loading on the gel, protein samples were diluted in 2× Laemmli buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and denatured at 90 °C for 10 min. Separation was initially started at 100 V for 10 min and continued at 150 V for 60 min using a Power Pack 3000 unit (Bio-Rad laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Visualization of proteins was performed by silver staining, as described by Blum et al. [45]. To detect possible cell wall degrading enzymes, a zymogram analysis was performed, as described by Lepeuple et al. [46], with some changes. In brief, the separation gel was supplemented with 25% (v/v) of bacterial substrate (cells of L. hordei TMW 1.1822 or Micrococcus (M.) luteus TMW 2.96) prior to protein loading. Instead of 2× Laemmli buffer, a 2× native PAGE sample buffer (60 g/L Tris Base, 40 g/L sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 20% (v/v) glycerol (87%), traces of bromophenol blue) was used to prepare protein samples. The bacterial substrates were prepared as follows. L. hordei TMW 1.1822 was precultured as stated above (see Section 2.1) and subsequently used to inoculate 50 mL of liquid MRS medium to an OD₆₀₀nm of 0.1. Cells were grown to mid-exponential growth phase, as stated above (see Section 2.2.1), and harvested by centrifugation (3000×g, 4 °C, 5 min). Afterwards, the obtained cell pellet was washed once with 5 mL of Tris buffer
(20 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, 4 °C). After centrifugation, cells were resuspended in 4 mL Tris buffer (1.5 M Tris, pH 8.8). Prior to incorporation into the gel, the bacterial substrate was incubated at 95 °C for 10 min. Harvesting of M. luteus TMW 2.96 cells was done as for L. hordei TMW 1.1822, but cultures were directly inoculated from cryo cultures and subsequently grown overnight at 37 °C and 200 rpm in LB medium (5 g/L yeast extract, 10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L NaCl).

Following SDS-PAGE, the gel was washed twice in deionized water at room temperature on a shaker for 30 min. Afterwards, it was transferred to renaturing buffer (20 mM Tris, 50 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCl₂, 0.5% Triton X-100, pH 7.4) and incubated for 30 min. Subsequently, the gel was transferred into fresh renaturing buffer and incubated overnight at 30 °C. To improve the visibility of lytic zones, the gel was incubated in staining solution (1 g/L methylene blue, 0.1 g/L KOH) for 2 h at room temperature. Lytic zones appeared as clear bands against blue background.

2.4. Determination of Growth Parameters in Different Sugars

Cells of L. hordei TMW 1.1822 were pre-cultivated as described in Section 2.1. In 96-well plates (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany), 250 µL of liquid MRS medium containing either glucose, sucrose, fructose or a mixture of glucose and fructose (12.5 g/L each) was inoculated to OD₆₀₀nm 0.1 and overlaid with 50 µL paraffin oil to prevent the cultures from desiccating. Cell growth was monitored by OD₆₀₀ measurement every 30 min for 30 h at 30 °C in a SPECTROstar Nano Platerader (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany). Plates were shaken at 400 rpm for 30 s prior to each measurement. Maximum growth rates (µmax) and time spans of lag phases were determined using the grofit package for RStudio (v. 3.3.3), as described in Kahm et al. [47]. The same MRS media were used to continuously monitor acidification during cell growth in the iCinac system (AMS, Frépillon, France) at 30 °C for 30 h.

2.5. Screening for Dextranucrases in Other L. hordei Strains by PCR

All L. hordei strains were incubated as stated above and harvested after 24 h. Therefore, 4 mL of the liquid cultures was pelleted by centrifugation (4000× g, 5 min, 4 °C) and washed once with TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Subsequently, DNA was isolated using the E.Z.N.A™ Bacterial DNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek, Doraville, GA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, but with a prolonged incubation time of 2 h for cell lysis. DNA samples were stored at −20 °C until further investigation.

To screen for dextranucrases in L. hordei strains, the prepared DNA samples were applied for PCR analysis using the Taq DNA CORE Kit 10 (MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA, USA). Therefore, 2.5 µL Taq 10× buffer with MgCl₂, 0.5 µL dNTPs (10 mM each), 0.25 µL Taq-polymerase (5 U/µL), 18.75 µL dest. H₂O, 1 µL forward and 1 µL reverse primer (50 mM each) and 1 µL of a DNA sample were applied. Two different sets of primers were used (see Table S1). The reaction was carried out on a Mastercycler® Gradient (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). Denaturation was performed for 1 min at 95 °C, followed by an annealing step for 45 s (for temperature see Table 1) and an elongation step for 1 min at 72 °C. After 28 cycles, the final elongation was done at 72 °C for 10 min. The obtained PCR products were mixed with 6× loading dye (ThermoFisher, Rockford, IL, USA) and subsequently applied for agarose (1% (w/v)) gel electrophoresis in TAE buffer (40 mM Tris, 20 mM acetic acid, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.2) for 90 min at 100 V in a PeQlab electrophoresis chamber (PeQlab Biotechnologie GmbH, Erlangen, Germany). PCR bands were subsequently stained with dimidiumbromide solution (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany).
Table 1. Differentially expressed proteins within cellular proteomes in the presence of sucrose compared to glucose. Positive log$_2$ FC values indicate up-regulated proteins in the presence of sucrose, while negative log$_2$ FC values indicate down-regulation in sucrose.

| # | Log$_2$ FC | $\text{−Log}_{10}$ (p-Value) | Function | SEED Category | FIG Identifier | Gene Loci |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0.32 | 4.75 | Adenylosuccinate synthase | Nucleosides and Nucleotides | fig468911.3.peg.15 | BSQ49_00075 |
| 2 | 0.30 | 4.46 | Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 subunit alpha | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.54 | BSQ49_00265 |
| 3 | 0.36 | 4.57 | Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 subunit beta | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.55 | BSQ49_00270 |
| 4 | 1.64 | 2.33 | Transcriptional regulator DeoR | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.65 | BSQ49_00320 |
| 5 | 1.22 | 3.87 | 1-phosphofructokinase | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.66 | BSQ49_00325 |
| 6 | 1.17 | 9.08 | PTS system, fructose-specific IIC component | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.67 | BSQ49_00330 |
| 7 | −0.35 | 3.79 | Crc/Fnr family transcriptional regulator | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.84 | BSQ49_00410 |
| 8 | −0.41 | 4.27 | Succinate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.97 | BSQ49_00475 |
| 9 | 0.95 | 7.13 | Glycerolkinase | Fatty Acids, Lipids and Isoprenoids | fig468911.3.peg.124 | BSQ49_00610 |
| 10 | 1.16 | 5.96 | PTS system, unknown specificity IIB component | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.125 | BSQ49_00615 |
| 11 | 1.21 | 7.61 | 6-phospho-beta-glucosidase | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.126 | BSQ49_00615 |
| 12 | 0.52 | 6.01 | Sucrose-6-phosphate hydrolase | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.158 | BSQ49_00770 |
| 13 | 1.04 | 8.10 | PTS system, sucrose-specific EIIBC components | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.159 | BSQ49_00775 |
| 14 | 0.35 | 3.81 | 6-phospho-beta-glucosidase | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.251; BSQ49_01215; BSQ49_06130 |
| 15 | 0.38 | 3.94 | PTS system, unknown specificity IIA component | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.252 | BSQ49_01220 |
| 16 | −0.43 | 2.87 | Glucohydrolase (putative alpha-glucosidase activity) | DNA Metabolism | fig468911.3.peg.395 | BSQ49_01980 |
| 17 | −0.87 | 2.42 | Glucohydrolase (putative alpha-glucosidase activity) | DNA Metabolism | fig468911.3.peg.395 | BSQ49_01980 |
| 18 | −0.54 | 2.54 | Malonate decarboxylase subunit beta (biotin-independent) | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.814 | BSQ49_04135 |
| 19 | −0.38 | 3.70 | 6-phospho-beta-glucosidase | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.820 | BSQ49_04165 |
| 20 | −0.37 | 4.28 | Beta-phospho-glucomutase | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.916 | BSQ49_04665 |
| 21 | −0.46 | 6.96 | 6-phosphofructokinase | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.1279 | BSQ49_06450 |
| 22 | −0.59 | 3.01 | TIGR00268 family protein | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.1648 | BSQ49_08370 |
| 23 | −0.45 | 3.23 | Histidinol-phosphatase | Amino Acids and Derivatives | fig468911.3.peg.1665 | BSQ49_08455 |
| 24 | −0.39 | 3.74 | Alpha/beta hydrolase | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.1666 | BSQ49_08460 |
| 25 | −0.75 | 8.03 | Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.1667 | BSQ49_08465 |
| 26 | −0.32 | 4.61 | Phosphoenolpyruvate-phosphotransferase | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.1706 | BSQ49_08745 |
| 27 | −0.39 | 5.24 | 6-phospho-beta-glucosidase | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.1810; BSQ49_09735; BSQ49_09265 |
| 28 | −0.41 | 4.17 | PTS system, beta-glucoside specific IIABC components | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.1911 | BSQ49_09740 |
| 29 | −0.49 | 2.41 | Transcriptional antiterminator BglB | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.1912 | BSQ49_09745 |
| 30 | −0.40 | 3.87 | Transcriptional regulator (LacI family) | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.1949 | BSQ49_09920 |
| 31 | −1.12 | 3.98 | MFS transporter, sucrose-specific | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.1950 | BSQ49_09925 |
| 32 | −0.46 | 6.18 | Glucohydrolase (putative alpha-glucosidase activity) | Carbohydrates | fig468911.3.peg.1951 | BSQ49_09930 |
| #  | Log$_2$ FC | −Log$_{10}$ (p-Value) | Function                                      | SEED Category         | FIG Identifier          | Gene Loci         |
|----|------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 34 | 1.90       | 3.15                   | Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase                    | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.1958 | BSQ49_09960     |
| 35 | 1.11       | 4.08                   | hypothetical protein                          | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.1959 | BSQ49_09965     |
| 36 | −0.39      | 3.37                   | Dihydroneopterin aldolase                     | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.1969 | BSQ49_10015     |
| 37 | 3.56       | 9.55                   | PTS system, fructose-specific IIA component   | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.1998 | BSQ49_10160     |
| 38 | 3.24       | 12.55                  | PTS system, fructose-specific IIB component   | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.1999 | BSQ49_10165     |
| 39 | 3.85       | 4.93                   | PTS system, fructose-specific IID component   | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.2000 | BSQ49_10170     |
| 40 | 3.43       | 9.13                   | PTS system, fructose-specific IIC/D component | Amino Acids and Derivatives | fig|468911.3.peg.2001 | BSQ49_10175     |
| 41 | 0.35       | 3.41                   | Butanediol dehydrogenase                      | Amino Acids and Derivatives | fig|468911.3.peg.2017 | BSQ49_10255     |
| 42 | 1.42       | 9.64                   | ABC-transporter substrate-binding protein, glycerol-3-phosphate specific | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.2080 | BSQ49_10570     |
| 43 | 1.12       | 7.83                   | ABC-transporter ATP-binding protein           | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.2084 | BSQ49_10590     |
| 44 | −0.74      | 5.81                   | PTS system, fructose-specific IID component   | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.2194 | BSQ49_11155     |
| 45 | −0.70      | 3.13                   | PTS system, fructose-specific IIC component   | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.2195 | BSQ49_11160     |
| 46 | −0.76      | 6.01                   | PTS system, fructose-specific EIIB components | Cell Wall and Capsule | fig|468911.3.peg.2196 | BSQ49_11165     |
| 47 | −0.79      | 4.83                   | PTS system, fructose-specific EIAB components | Cell Wall and Capsule | fig|468911.3.peg.2197 | BSQ49_11170     |
| 48 | −0.32      | 4.34                   | Transcription antiterminator BglG             | Cell Wall and Capsule | fig|468911.3.peg.2198 | BSQ49_11175     |
| 49 | 1.60       | 10.60                  | Mannitol-1-phosphate 3-dehydrogenase          | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.2224 | BSQ49_11290     |
| 50 | 1.64       | 7.24                   | PTS system, fructose/mannitol specific IIA component | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.2225 | BSQ49_11295     |
| 51 | 1.37       | 4.16                   | transcriptional regulator                     | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.2226 | BSQ49_11300     |
| 52 | 2.73       | 12.28                  | PTS system, fructose/mannitol specific IICBA components | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.2227 | BSQ49_11305     |
| 53 | 0.51       | 5.61                   | GH25 muramidase (putative)                    | Carbohydrates         | fig|468911.3.peg.2227 | BSQ49_11795     |
3. Results

3.1. Proteomic Analysis of Cell Lysates and Exoproteomes

3.1.1. Differential Proteomics of Cell Lysates

In our previous studies, L. hordei TMW 1.1822 was shown to exhibit 2461 proteins predicted from its genome [36]. In the current study, 1361 proteins were quantified during proteomic analysis (see Figure 1A), which matched the filtering criteria.

![Figure 1. Comparison of the putative functional proteome (in silico) with protein sub-groups obtained by MS intensity statistical analysis of cellular proteomes. (A) Total protein counts of in silico predicted proteins, proteins quantified by proteomics (detected in four out of five replicates of at least one group), differentially expressed proteins and up- and down-regulated proteins in sucrose. (B) Corresponding SEED category distributions. The SEED subsystem proteome coverage was around 44%. (*) Derived from Di Xu et al. [36].](image)

The differential expression analysis revealed that 53 of these proteins were significantly differentially expressed in the presence of sucrose compared to glucose (Figure 1A, Table 1). As shown in Figure 1B, solely 30 of the 53 differentially expressed proteins were categorized into subsystems by the SEED-based annotation service RAST [48], of which ~80% belonged to the carbohydrate metabolism category. This included several gene clusters related to the uptake and metabolism of sucrose, fructose, glucose, mannitol, glycerol and beta-glucosides.

Regarding sucrose metabolism, a sucrose-specific phosphotransferase system (PTS\textsubscript{scr}) \textit{(scrA, Table 1 #14)} was up-regulated (log\textsubscript{2} fold change (FC) = 1.04) together with sucrose-6-phosphate hydrolase (\textit{scrB}, E.C. 3.2.1.26, Table 1 #13) (log\textsubscript{2} FC = 0.52) in sucrose-treated cells. By contrast, a sucrose-specific transporter of the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) was significantly down-regulated in the presence of sucrose, showing the highest negative log\textsubscript{2} FC (−1.12) among all differentially expressed proteins. According to MS intensity ranking (Figure 2), the PTS\textsubscript{scr} system was of high abundance in sucrose and glucose, respectively, while the MFS transporter appeared to be of low abundance in both conditions.
Regarding fructose and mannitol metabolism, a PTS\textsuperscript{fru} system (fru\textit{CBA}, Table 1 #50 + 52) for the uptake and simultaneous 1-phosphorylation of fructose and mannitol was up-regulated (log\textsubscript{2} FC = 1.64–2.73) in sucrose. Additionally, the mannitol-1-phosphate 5-dehydrogenase (\textit{mldD}, E.C. 1.1.1.17, Table 1 #49) (log\textsubscript{2} FC = 1.60), belonging to the same gene cluster, and 1-phosphofructokinase (fru\textit{K}, E.C. 2.7.1.56, Table 1 #5) (log\textsubscript{2} FC = 1.22) were significantly up-regulated in the presence of sucrose. Moreover, two PTS\textsuperscript{man} systems (Table 1 \textit{manA-D1} #37–40 and \textit{manA-D2} #44–47) for the uptake and simultaneous 6-phosphorylation of fructose and other monosaccharides like mannose were significantly differentially expressed. One of these PTS systems (\textit{manA-D1}) showed the highest positive log\textsubscript{2} FC ( = 3.24–3.85) among all differentially expressed proteins in sucrose. While exhibiting MS intensities in the mid-range of the proteome in the presence of glucose, this PTS\textsuperscript{man1} system became one of the most abundant proteins, when cells were incubated in sucrose (Figure 2). Although being one of the most abundant proteins in glucose and sucrose, respectively, the other PTS\textsuperscript{man2} system (\textit{manA-D2}) was significantly

**Figure 2.** MS intensity ranking of identified proteins in cellular proteomes of cultures treated with glucose (A) and sucrose (B). Red color = significantly down-regulated in sucrose, green color = significantly up-regulated in sucrose (see Table 1). \textit{amyA} = glucohydrolase; \textit{manA-D} = PTS\textsuperscript{man}; \textit{pgi} = glucose-6-phosphate isomerase; \textit{pfkA} = 6-phosphofructokinase; \textit{mldD} = mannitol-1-phosphate 5-dehydrogenase; \textit{fruK} = 1-phosphofructokinase; \textit{fruA + fruCBA} = PTS\textsuperscript{fru}; \textit{scra} = PTS\textsuperscript{suc}; \textit{pdhE1} = pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 subunits; \textit{Cpr} = catabolite repression protein; \textit{scrB} = sucrose-6-phosphate hydrolase; \textit{bdh} = butanediol dehydrogenase; \textit{MFS} = sucrose-specific MFS-transporter; \textit{glpA} = glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; \textit{glpK} = glycerolkinase; \textit{fbp} = fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase.

Apart from this, the expression of the gene encoding the \textit{L. hordei} dextransucrase (BSQ49_11535, E.C. 2.4.1.5) was not significantly influenced by the present carbon source. Additionally, the analysis of the respective MS intensities revealed that this enzyme was present in relatively high amounts within the cellular proteomes of both conditions (Figure 2).
down-regulated in sucrose (log$_2$ FC = −0.70 to −0.79). Simultaneously, 6-phosphofructokinase (pfkA, E.C. 2.7.1.11, Table 1 #22) was significantly down-regulated (log$_2$ FC = −0.46). Interestingly, the enzyme fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (fbp, E.C. 3.1.3.11, Table 1 #34), catalyzing the adverse reaction, was significantly up-regulated (log$_2$ FC = 1.90) in the presence of sucrose.

Regarding the initial glucose metabolism, glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (pgi, E.C. 5.3.1.9, Table 1 #26) was significantly down-regulated (log$_2$ FC = −0.75), while showing high MS intensities in both conditions (Figure 2).

Regarding the final steps of carbohydrate metabolism, the E1 subunit of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (pdhE1 E.C. 1.2.4.1, Table 1 #2 + 3) (log$_2$ FC = 0.30–0.36) and butanediol dehydrogenase (bdh, E.C. 1.1.1.4, Table 1 #41) (log$_2$ FC = 0.35) appeared to be significantly up-regulated in sucrose.

Four proteins involved in the transport and metabolism of glycerol, namely an ABC transporter specific for glycerol-3-phosphate (Table 1 #42 + 43) (log$_2$ FC = 1.12–1.42), glycerolkinase (glpK, E.C. 2.7.1.30, Table 1 #9) (log$_2$ FC = 0.95) and glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (glpA, E.C. 1.1.5.3, Table 1 #10) (log$_2$ FC = 0.75) were significantly up-regulated in sucrose.

Apart from carbohydrate metabolism, a putative GH25 muramidase (Table 1 #53), likely involved in cell envelope remodeling, cell division or lysis, was found to be significantly up-regulated in sucrose (log$_2$ FC = 0.51).

All MS intensities are listed in a supplementary Excel file (Table S2).

### 3.1.2. Differential Proteomics of Exoproteomes

Solely few proteins (maximum of eight) were found in un-inoculated medium samples (negative control) that could be assigned to the putative functional proteome of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822, which may result from carry-over during preparative SDS-PAGE. While 1361 proteins could be quantified in the proteomes of cell lysates, solely 271 proteins were specifically detected in culture supernatants assigned as exoproteomes (Figure 3A).

**Figure 3.** Analysis of the exoproteomes of glucose and sucrose-treated cells. (A) Comparison of the putative functional proteome (in silico) using the predicted subcellular localization of proteins, detected in the exoproteomes using proteomics; (B) Comparison of the SEED category distributions of the putative functional proteome (in silico), the quantified exoproteomes detected by proteomics (detected in four out of five replicates of at least one group), the differentially released proteins and proteins that were significantly more or less released in the presence of sucrose. The SEED subsystem proteome coverage was around 44%. (*) Derived from Di Xu et al. [36].
In total, 194 of these proteins were significantly differentially released in the presence of sucrose compared to glucose. Moreover, 162 of these proteins were subjected to increased release in sucrose, around five times more than proteins affected by decreased release (32) in sucrose. The majority of proteins that were increasingly released belonged to the protein metabolism SEED category (~42%), followed by the carbohydrate metabolism category (~14%) (Figure 3B). Around 80% of the proteins increasingly released in the presence of sucrose were assigned to the category of intracellular proteins (Figure 3A). As such, 27 ribosomal proteins, two lactate dehydrogenases (ldh, E.C. 1.1.1.27), two proteins of a F0F1-ATPase gene cluster (atpA, atpD, E.C. 3.6.3.14), four elongation factors (G, Ts, Tu, IF-3) and the housekeeping proteins DnaK and GroL appeared to be significantly more released in the presence of sucrose (Table S2). This led to significant GO enrichment of proteins involved in translation (GO: 0006412, \(p = 0.0375\)) among proteins that were increasingly released in the presence of sucrose (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Significantly (Fisher’s exact \(p\)-value < 0.05) enriched gene ontologies (GO) (GO = biological processes) among differentially released proteins within exoproteomes of glucose and sucrose-treated cells. ↑ = increased release in sucrose, ↓ = decreased release in sucrose.

| Regulation | GO ID     | GO Term                          | Terms Annotated | Significant Terms | \(p\)-Value   |
|------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|
| ↑          | GO:0006412 | translation                       | 67              | 52                | 0.0375        |
| ↓          | GO:0001539 | cilium or flagellum-dependent cell motility | 7               | 6                 | 8.90 \(\times\) 10\(^{-7}\) |
| ↓          | GO:0030436 | asexual sporulation               | 5               | 5                 | 2.20 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) |
| ↓          | GO:0007059 | chromosome segregation            | 2               | 2                 | 0.0067        |
| ↓          | GO:0030261 | chromosome condensation           | 2               | 2                 | 0.0067        |

Moreover, a putative beta-fructosidase (sacC, BSQ49_09800) was found to be significantly (\(\log_2 FC = 4.75\)) more released in the presence of sucrose. The protein was previously thought to be incomplete in the genome and thus not functional in *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 [24]. Using the ExPASy online translation tool (https://web.expasy.org/translate), translation of the corresponding genomic region and subsequent analysis of conserved domains by the NCBI conserved domain viewer (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi) revealed the presence of a cell wall anchor and domains equal to beta-fructosidases that may also cleave fructans. However, MS intensity analysis showed that this enzyme was of low abundance compared to the other extracellular sucrose active enzyme, dextranucrease (BSQ49_11535, E.C. 2.4.1.5), which was also significantly more released in the presence of sucrose (\(\log_2 FC = 3.0\)).

The majority (~71%) of proteins that were decreasingly released in the presence of sucrose belonged to the cell wall and capsule SEED category, including two flagellum associated murein hydrolases (\(\beta\)gl, BSQ49_00575 and BSQ49_10650) and two putative peptidoglycan endopeptidases containing an NlpC/P60 domain (BSQ49_02730 and BSQ49_11125) (Figure 3B). However, solely seven out of 32 significantly less released proteins were annotated by the SEED-based annotation server, RAST. To complete this analysis, GO analysis revealed the enrichment of flagellar proteins (GO: 0001539, \(p = 8.9 \times 10^{-7}\)) among decreasingly released proteins in the presence of sucrose (Table 2).

All MS intensities are listed in a supplementary Excel file (Table S2).

### 3.1.3. Comparison of Proteomic States of Cell Lysates and Exoproteomes

As the majority of proteins identified in the exoproteome of both conditions (glucose and sucrose) belonged to cytoplasmic proteins, we examined the quantitative correlation of the exoproteomes and the cellular proteomes in order to identify proteins that are significantly more concentrated in the exoproteome and therefore most likely proteins that were actively secreted. Indeed, it could be shown that at least some of the proteins found in the extracellular milieu in glucose or sucrose-treated cells exhibited comparable MS intensities relative to other proteins within the respective exoproteome or lysate (Figure 4). However, the abundance of most of the proteins within the exoproteomes (glucose
~63%; sucrose ~66%) was significantly different from the abundance within lysates at the applied statistical parameters (FDR ≤ 0.01; S0 = 0.1).

**Figure 4.** Comparison of abundances of proteins identified in cellular and extracellular proteomes in cultures incubated in glucose (A) and sucrose (B). All log_{10} transformed iBAQ intensities were normalized by z-scoring. Light gray = proteins with similar relative abundance in cellular and extracellular proteomes; dark gray, red or green color = proteins of significantly different relative abundance (FDR ≤ 0.01, S0 = 0.1); red and green color = proteins subjected for directed release with high confidence (z-score difference exoproteome – cellular proteome ≥ 2.0); red color = proteins increasingly released in the presence of sucrose; green color = proteins increasingly released in the presence of sucrose (Table S2). hP = hypothetical protein; ffbB, ffbC, ffbG, ffbK, ffbD, ffbK = flagellar proteins; oppA = peptide ABC transporter substrate-binding protein; OufA = acetyltransferase; EsaA = type VII secretion protein; sacC = putative beta-fructosidase.

Due to the high amounts of these proteins, solely proteins that were subjected for directed release with high confidence (z-score difference exoproteome minus lysate ≥ 2.0) will be discussed further. In sucrose-treated cells, 19 proteins could be assigned to this “high confidence” category, while in glucose-treated cells, 22 proteins were found. Although being less released in the presence of sucrose, the majority of these proteins were flagellum-related in both conditions, respectively (Figure 4). This also applied for the proteins exhibiting an NlpC/P60 domain. However, solely in sucrose-treated cells, the dextranucrase, as well as the putative beta-fructosidase (sacC), were identified among these high confidence proteins subjected to directed release (Figure 4B).

3.2. SDS-PAGE and Zymogram Analysis of the Exoproteomes of Cells Treated with Glucose or Sucrose

As the proteomic experiment revealed that the majority of proteins found in the supernatants of the cultures were annotated as cytoplasmic proteins, especially after incubation in sucrose-supplemented medium, the exoproteomic samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE and subsequent silver staining. The protein bands appeared well separated, while protein band patterns were highly similar for exoproteomes of glucose and sucrose-treated cells (Figure 5A).

Proteomic analyses of the exoproteomes revealed the presence and regulation of a GH25 muramidase and proteins exhibiting an NlpC/P60 domain that may possibly exhibit lytic activity [49]. To prove the presence of cell wall hydrolases in the supernatants of *L. hordet* TMW 1.1822 in glucose and sucrose, a zymogram analysis was performed. The stained gels containing dead cells of *M. luteus* TMW 2.96 displayed one hydrolytic band at around 110 kDa (Figure 5B) when cells were treated with glucose, indicating the presence of a cell wall active enzyme. The same result was obtained for gels
containing dead *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 cells (data not shown). However, it was not possible to assign a protein to the released exoproteomic proteins of this size.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** SDS-PAGE analysis of culture supernatants of cells incubated in either glucose or sucrose with subsequent silver staining (A) and zymogram analysis for the detection of lytic enzymes on gels containing dead cells of *M. luteus* TMW 2.96 (B).

### 3.3. Sugar Consumption and Acid Formation of Cells Grown in Either Glucose or Sucrose

The proteomic experiment gave insights into the basic response of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 to sucrose. However, it could not show whether these proteomic changes were phenotypically visible in the sugar metabolism. Therefore, the supernatants (= exoproteome samples) were subjected to sugar and acid quantification by HPLC analysis. Within 2 h of incubation, glucose-treated cells consumed around 27% glucose (Figure 6). In sucrose-treated cells, around 77% of sucrose was consumed within 2 h of incubation. However, as the dextranase can extracellularly split sucrose into glucose/dextran and fructose [50], 3.0 ± 0.18 mmol/L glucose and 34.1 ± 0.78 mmol/L fructose were detectable in supernatants of sucrose-supplemented cultures (Figure 6A).

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Sugar consumption (A) and acid formation (B) as quantified by HPLC analysis of culture supernatants from cells incubated in either glucose or sucrose. **p < 0.01.**
As a result of metabolic activity, glucose-treated cells produced 34.5 ± 2.3 mmol/L lactate and 3.3 ± 2.0 mmol/L acetate, while sucrose-treated cells produced 29.8 ± 1.3 mmol/L lactate and 0.3 ± 2.6 mmol/L acetate (Figure 6B). Therefore, sucrose-treated cells produced significantly (p < 0.01) less lactate than glucose-treated cells. Neither mannitol nor ethanol could be detected in culture supernatants.

3.4. Growth Characteristics of L. hordei in Different Sugars

In order to investigate the role of sucrose in the growth of L. hordei TMW 1.1822, general growth parameters and acidification of the cultivation media were obtained not only in glucose or sucrose but also in media supplemented with fructose or a combination of glucose and fructose. As sucrose is extracellularly degraded to fructose and glucose/dextran, all four carbohydrates were taken into account. While the lag phase of cells grown in glucose was significantly (p < 0.05) longer than in fructose or a mixture of glucose and fructose, sucrose did not significantly shorten the lag phase of L. hordei TMW 1.1822 (Figure 7A).

Similarly, maximum growth rates (\( \mu_{\text{max}} \)) of cultures grown in either glucose or sucrose were not significantly different. By contrast, maximum growth rates were significantly (p < 0.05) higher in cultures with fructose or a mixture of glucose and fructose compared to growth in sucrose (Figure 7B). The final pH levels of all four conditions were comparable (glucose 3.81 ± 0.01; fructose 3.72 ± 0.03; glucose + fructose 3.83 ± 0.02; sucrose 3.83 ± 0.00).

Furthermore, viable cells of the proteomic samples were counted in order to ensure un-biased analysis. Average viable cell counts of both groups (glucose: 8.3 ± 0.5 \( \times 10^9 \) CFU/mL; sucrose: 8.5 ± 0.8 \( \times 10^9 \)) were demonstrated to be statistically not different (p < 0.05).

3.5. Screening for Dextransucrases in Other L. hordei Isolates

It was previously shown that also another water kefir isolate of L. hordei, namely strain TMW 1.1907, encodes for the same type of dextranucrase as strain TMW 1.1822 [16]. To investigate whether the dextranucrase is a common feature of L. hordei isolates, several different strains of our in-house culture collection, all isolated from water kefir, were screened for the dextranucrase gene by PCR. Additionally, the type strain L. hordei DSM 19519 was tested for a dextranucrase gene using degenerated primers (DSc_2900 primerset) to cover a broader spectrum of different dextranucrases. However, no PCR product was formed from the type strain’s DNA, confirming the absence of a dextranucrase gene, which was previously predicted via genome sequence analysis [36]. By contrast, all water kefir isolates tested positive for a dextranucrase gene in PCR analysis (Figure S1).
4. Discussion

4.1. Expression and Release of the *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 Dextranucrase

While in *Weissella* and *Leuconostoc* species, the expression of dextranucrases was reported to be most often specifically stimulated by its substrate sucrose, many other LABs express their dextranucrases independently of this sugar [33,51–55]. In the present study, this could also be observed for *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 dextranucrase, suggesting sucrose-independent expression. Furthermore, it was demonstrated by mass spectrometry that the dextranucrase is among the most abundant proteins in cells grown on glucose as well as sucrose-supplemented media. Dextranucrase is almost as abundant as common housekeeping proteins, like RNA polymerase sigma factor RpoD (Figure 2). Additionally, investigations of the exoproteomes of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 could demonstrate that the release of this enzyme is induced by sucrose. This was already indicated by our previous study, which suggested that intracellular dextranucrase accumulation occurs independently of the present carbon source and experiences boosted release solely in the presence of sucrose [24]. The significantly higher relative abundance of the dextranucrase in sucrose-supplemented culture supernatants compared to the related cell lysates furthermore supported the assumption of directed release in the presence of sucrose despite the absence of a known type of signal peptide (Figure 4) [24].

Several studies could also demonstrate that it is possible to obtain the *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 dextranucrase in a buffered cell suspension, as the enzyme is freely released into the extracellular milieu due to the absence of an LPxTG membrane anchor [21,24]. The analysis of the exoproteomic MS intensities revealed that the dextranucrase was among the 20 most abundant proteins in sucrose-supplemented cultures. Therefore, it is likely responsible for most of the extracellular sucrose turnover of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822, leading to dextran formation upon simultaneous fructose release, which is subsequently metabolized. The resulting biofilm formation may protect the microorganism against desiccation along with surface adhesion, helping *L. hordei* to gradually colonize habitats rich in sucrose, e.g., plants or fermented foods such as water kefir, once the sugar is detected. Therefore, efficient extracellular sucrose degradation upon simultaneous exopolysaccharide formation appears to be a decisive trait to subsist in such environments, as was also shown for other members of the genus *Liquorilactobacillus*, such as *L. sucicola* and *L. mali* (formerly *Lactobacillus sucicola* and *Lactobacillus mali*) [34,56,57]. This is further supported by the results from PCR analysis of several different water kefir-borne *L. hordei* strains compared to the type strain counterpart. It could be shown that all water kefir isolates encoded for a dextranucrase gene. By contrast, the type strain counterpart, isolated from malted barley, which offers a different spectrum of carbohydrates, was negative for such a gene. The *L. hordei* dextranucrase was previously shown to produce a dextran with colloidal cloud-forming properties [16,21], which has also good gel-forming properties at higher concentrations [58]. This natural trait of water kefir-borne *L. hordei* strains may thus be exploited in plant-based food and beverage fermentations, yielding clean label products with advanced sensorial and techno-functional properties.

4.2. Carbohydrate Metabolism in Glucose and Sucrose-Treated Cells of *L. hordei*

The switch from glucose to sucrose as sole carbon source led to the up-regulation of two different fructose-specific PTS systems. While one of these PTS systems belonged to the Man family (PTS<sub>man1</sub>), directing the uptake of fructose and simultaneous 6-phosphorylation, the other belonged to the Fru family (PTS<sub>fru</sub>), guiding the uptake of fructose and mannitol upon simultaneous 1-phosphorylation. This indicates enhanced uptake of fructose, which was previously released during the extracellular dextranucrase reaction (see Section 4.1, Figure 6). Correspondingly, 1-phosphofructokinase was up-regulated in sucrose, enabling the efficient processing of 1-phosphorylated fructose to fructose-1,6-bisphosphate, which is an early intermediate of glycolysis. However, another Man family PTS system (PTS<sub>man2</sub>) was significantly less present in sucrose. As the Man family PTS systems are not only specific for the uptake of fructose but also for mannose, glucose or N-acetylglucosamine,
it is most likely that the PTS\textsuperscript{man2} is involved in the uptake of substances that are related to glucose metabolism [59]. Furthermore, the down-regulation of 6-phosphofructokinase, which may directly feed 6-phosphorylated fructose into the glycolytic pathway, indicates that the fructose-1,6-bisphosphate pool is not primarily filled from this branch of the initial carbohydrate metabolism in sucrose-treated cells. The simultaneous down-regulation of glucose-6-phosphate-isomerase further supports this hypothesis. Furthermore, the enzyme fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase was significantly up-regulated in sucrose, which is a central enzyme of gluconeogenesis. Therefore, it seems like \textit{L. hordei} TMW 1.1822 fills its fructose-6-phosphate pools, which may inter alia be used in the pentose-phosphate pathway to generate reductive power and pentoses for nucleotide synthesis. This is further supported by the result that glycerolkinase and glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase were additionally up-regulated in sucrose-treated cells, yielding dihydroyxacetone phosphate, which can either react during glycolysis or to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate. Together with fructose-6-phosphate, the latter may then be used by transketolase to yield xylulose-5-phosphate and erythrose-4-phosphate, which link carbohydrate metabolism to the synthesis of other compounds, respectively, e.g., nucleotides, vitamins and certain amino acids. The possible metabolic pathways during initial carbohydrate degradation are summarized in Figure 8.
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**Figure 8.** Metabolic pathways as suggested from genomic features and proteomic analysis of cell lysates of cultures incubated in either glucose or sucrose. Green color indicates up-regulation in sucrose, while red color indicates down-regulation in sucrose. PPP = pentose phosphate pathway. Part of this figure is based on Di Xu et al. [36].

Altogether, the results relating to the effects of sucrose on the initial carbohydrate metabolism suggest that fructose is rather metabolized than glucose in sucrose-treated cells, although glucose may also be released by hydrolysis from the extracellular dextranurucrase reaction. This is further supported by the results from growth experiments in different sugars, where the lag phase of \textit{L. hordei} TMW 1.1822 was the shortest in fructose, although the lag phase of other sugar
combinations that included fructose was not significantly different. By contrast, the lag phase of cells grown in glucose was significantly longer. Furthermore, maximum growth rates were highest in fructose, while all sugar combinations that included glucose had (significantly) lower maximum growth rates, which was possibly due to catabolite repression of other sugar-converting enzymes in the presence of glucose. Additionally, the final pH after 30 h of incubation was the lowest in fructose, indicating stronger acidification and therefore enhanced metabolic activity in this sugar. Taken together, these results hint at the fructophilic nature of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822, once more emphasizing the adaptation of this microorganism to the fruit-based fermentation of water kefir.

Although these experiments clearly showed that other sugars than glucose had an enhancing effect on growth and metabolism of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822, this difference was not observable from cell counts in glucose and sucrose-treated cells after 2 h of incubation. However, the microorganism was grown in glucose until mid-exponential growth phase and *L. hordei* may have possibly needed some time to adapt its metabolism from glucose to sucrose. This assumption also applies for the consumption of sugars, as well as the production of acids, in the same samples. Within 2 h of incubation, 27% of glucose was consumed, while already 77% of sucrose was split (Figure 6). As *L. hordei* intracellularly accumulates its dextransucrase and releases it immediately once its substrate is detected (see Section 4.1), the microorganism is optimally prepared for rapid extracellular sucrose degradation. However, the products formed during this reaction were not consumed within 2 h of incubation. Under the assumption that sucrose is exclusively converted by the extracellular dextransucrase reaction, solely 32% of the released fructose would have been metabolized at this point in time. Moreover, significantly more lactate was formed in glucose-treated cells within 2 h of incubation, which may hint at a different metabolic route of internalized substrate in sucrose-treated cells.

Additionally, a sucrose-specific PTS system (PTS<sup>scr</sup>) was up-regulated in sucrose-treated cells, which shows that not all of the supplied sucrose is used by the extracellular dextransucrase. Within the same gene cluster, the up-regulated sucrose-6-phosphate hydrolase ensures metabolism of internalized and phosphorylated sucrose, cleaving it into glucose-6-phosphate and fructose. By contrast, a sucrose-specific MFS transporter was significantly down-regulated in sucrose, suggesting that *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 adjusts its sucrose uptake, which may also be dependent on the concentration of this substrate (Figure 8). This may help the microorganism to avoid intracellular dextran formation by not yet released dextransucrase, which may lead to cell lysis. Within the same gene cluster, a glucohydrolase with putative α-glucosidase activity was significantly down-regulated, which may cleave un-phosphorylated intracellular sucrose into glucose and fructose. However, sucrose phosphorolysis is energetically favored over sucrose hydrolysis, as the latter reaction happens at the expense of ATP. α-glucosidases represent a group of enzymes with a large range of substrate specificities. Oligo-1,6-glucosidases also belong to this group, which are capable of dextran degradation [60]. As both differentially expressed glucohydrolases with putative α-glucosidase activity were not identifiable in exoproteomes of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 (see Table S2), extracellular dextran hydrolysis is unlikely. However, both enzymes may be involved in the intracellular metabolism of short-chain isomaltooligosaccharides, which are produced during the early steps of dextran formation [50]. Nonetheless, both proteins were significantly down-regulated in sucrose, which is contrary to similar enzymes in other lactic acid bacteria [33,60]. This may hint at a divergent role of this enzyme in the carbohydrate metabolism of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822, which remains to be elucidated.

This also applies for a putative extracellular beta-fructosidase that was not significantly differentially expressed in cell lysates but was certainly more released in the presence of sucrose. Beta-fructosidases are capable of hydrolyzing sucrose and also other substrates like fructans [61]. Regarding the application of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 in plant-based food fermentations aiming at the in-situ production of dextran, this enzyme may appear as a competing reaction to dextran production. However, the dextransucrase was shown to be of distinctly higher abundance than the beta-fructosidase, implying that this enzyme plays solely a minor role in extracellular sucrose degradation. Furthermore, this particular beta-fructosidase exhibits a C-terminal LPxTG motif, indicating its covalent attachment
to the cell surface of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822. However, harsh cell separation techniques, such as centrifugation, may have led the enzyme to be lost from the cell wall. Moreover, this enzyme might also act as a fructan hydrolase, which would exhibit additional advantages for *L. hordei* to survive in the water kefir environment, as some of the other inhabiting microorganisms were shown to produce levan from sucrose [17]. Smaller fructooligosaccharides may then be efficiently imported by the sucrose-specific PTS system (PTS<sub>scr</sub>) as was reported for *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* [62] (formerly *Lactobacillus plantarum* [34]).

Additionally, a gene cluster involved in the uptake and metabolism of mannitol was significantly up-regulated in sucrose (Table 1). As there was certainly no extracellular mannitol detectable in un-fermented MRS media, the PTS system (PTS<sub>fru</sub>) was in this experiment more likely utilized for fructose uptake. By contrast, the mannitol-1-phosphate 5-dehydrogenase may not solely contribute to mannitol degradation, but it may also use fructose-6-phosphate to form mannitol-1-phosphate upon NAD<sup>+</sup> regeneration (Figure 8). However, no mannitol was detectable in culture supernatants of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822, which would have been of additional nutritional value for products fermented with this microorganism, as mannitol can be applied as a low-calorie sweetener with health-promoting effects [63]. Nonetheless, the sugar alcohol is a well-known compatible solute, protecting the organism against a number of stress situations, such as high osmotic pressure. The intracellular accumulation of mannitol was, therefore, reported to maintain cell turgor at low water activity [64,65]. However, due to the lack of mannitol-1-phosphatase, *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 is not capable of producing un-phosphorylated mannitol. Whether the intracellular accumulation of mannitol-1-phosphate has the same protecting effect in environmental stress situations remains to be elucidated. This is in good agreement with other homofermentative lactic acid bacteria, among which extracellularly detectable mannitol formation is rather uncommon [63]. Nonetheless, intracellular mannitol-1-phosphate was shown to be produced in *Lactococcus lactis* but was remetabolized upon carbohydrate depletion [66]. Furthermore, the mannitol operon was reported to be sensitive to catabolite repression. When rapidly degradable carbohydrates such as glucose are internalized by their PTS system, the mannitol operon is no longer stimulated [67,68]. Therefore, the results of the differential proteomic analysis of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 may rather reflect glucose-induced down-regulation than sucrose-induced up-regulation of the expression of this gene cluster.

This may also apply for the differentially expressed beta-glucoside PTS systems and phospho-beta-glucosidases (Table 1). From amino acid sequence analysis, it was not possible to derive the substrate specificity of these proteins. However, beta-glucosides are often hydrolysis products of plant material, and efficient uptake and metabolic mechanism of these substrates would not be surprising in plant-adapted *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 [36].

Regarding the final steps of carbohydrate fermentation in *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822, two proteins belonging to the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex were significantly up-regulated in sucrose. As sucrose-treated cells produced significantly less lactate within 2 h of incubation than glucose-treated cells, enhanced degradation of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA by pyruvate dehydrogenase likely took place. Additionally, less acetate was produced in sucrose-treated cells. This suggests that the fermentation of sucrose does not require additional ATP generation upon acetate formation. However, acetyl-CoA is an important intermediate linking the central carbohydrate metabolism with fatty acid metabolism and the TCA cycle (incomplete in *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 [36]), indicating that the impact of a switch from glucose to sucrose is not limited to the initial and central carbohydrate metabolism.

Moreover, the reduced lactate and acetate formation after sucrose treatment may additionally be explained by enhanced 2,3-butanediol formation, as butanediol dehydrogenase was significantly up-regulated in sucrose-treated cells. Together with α-acetolactate decarboxylase (EC 4.1.1.5, BSQ_10250), encoded within the same gene cluster, butanediol dehydrogenase degrades pyruvate upon NAD<sup>+</sup> recycling. This proteomic change was also observable in a previous study as a result of co-cultivation with water kefir-borne *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* TMW 3.221 and is thus not exclusively induced by sucrose treatment [69]. Therefore, the buttery and fruity aroma of 2,3-butanediol in water kefir may
vice versa not solely come from co-cultivation of *L. hordei* with yeasts but also from the presence of sucrose.

Other proteins not directly involved in central carbohydrate metabolism were additionally found to be differentially expressed in the presence of sucrose compared to glucose (Table 1).

This included the up-regulation of adenylosuccinate synthase (E.C. 6.3.4.4), linking the carbohydrate metabolism with the de novo synthesis of nucleotides, and may therefore hint at enhanced DNA synthesis or transcription due to sucrose treatment.

By contrast, succinate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase (E.C. 1.2.1.24) and malonate decarboxylase (E.C. 4.1.1.88), exhibiting potential activity on succinate as well as histidinol phosphatase (E.C. 3.1.3.15), were down-regulated in sucrose-treated cells. These proteins may link the central carbohydrate with amino acid metabolism. However, this remains to be elucidated in more detail for *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822.

4.3. Exoproteomic Features of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 in the Presence of Sucrose

The proteomic analysis of culture supernatants of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 revealed that the majority of the significantly differentially released proteins were predicted to be located in the cytoplasm. Sucrose is known to be osmotically active on lactic acid bacteria [70] and may have thus led to cell lysis of at least a fraction of the culture. Moreover, as sucrose can enter cells of *L. hordei* by MFS transporters, leaving the sugar un-phosphorylated, intracellular dextran formation may cause cell lysis. However, as cell counts for glucose and sucrose-treated cells were similar after plating on agar, sucrose appeared to have no significant (osmo-)lytic effect on *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822. Solely a small fraction (~20%) of the quantified cellular proteome was also specifically quantified in the exoproteomes of glucose and sucrose-treated cells, which is an additional argument against significant amounts of cell lysis. This clearly indicates that the majority of cells of *L. hordei* stayed intact during incubation in sucrose, being in good agreement with other lactic acid bacteria, where sucrose osmotic stress is rapidly eradicated by equilibration of intra- and extracellular sucrose concentrations [71].

The comparative analysis of MS intensities supported this suggestion, as it revealed that, in both conditions, around two thirds of the proteins were of significantly different abundances in cell lysates and exoproteomes (Figure 4).

Among these proteins, the dextransucrase and the putative beta-fructosidase (*sacC*) were of distinctly different abundances in exoproteomes compared to cell lysates when cells were treated with sucrose (Figure 4) (see Sections 4.1 and 4.2). Still, in glucose and sucrose-treated cells, proteins exhibiting an NlpC/P60 domain were actively released into the extracellular milieu, while being significantly less present in sucrose-treated cells than in glucose-treated cells. This domain is frequently found in bacterial peptidoglycan hydrolases [72]. The role of these proteins will be further discussed in Section 4.4.

Interestingly, after glucose as well as sucrose-treatment, flagellar proteins were detected in distinctly higher abundance in exoproteomes than in cellular proteomes (Figure 4), while being significantly less present in sucrose-treated cells than in glucose-treated cells. Although the species *L. hordei* was originally believed to be non-motile, it was recently shown that *L. hordei* and other *Liquorilactobacilli*, such as *L. nagelii* and *L. mali*, exhibit a complete motility operon [35,73]. This operon is also present in *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 (BSQ40_10755–BSQ_11055). The expression of the majority of these genes may enable motility in *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822, which appeared to be regulated by the present carbon source. The decreased release of these proteins in the presence of sucrose may thus hint at reduced motility under biofilm formation conditions, as was reported for *Bacillus subtilis* [74]. Moreover, flagellar proteins of other lactic acid bacteria were reported to exhibit an immunomodulatory effect [75], which was observed to be a beneficial health effect of water kefir consumption [76].

From SEED-based analysis as well as GO enrichment analysis, it could be shown that the majority of proteins that were increasingly released in the presence of sucrose were related to protein metabolism and translation (Figure 3, Table 2). However, these proteins were not found to be among the proteins that were actively released with high confidence (z-score difference exoproteome vs.
cell lysate $\geq 2.0$) (Figure 4), although many of them were still of distinctly different abundance in exoproteomes than in cell lysates. Even though it was postulated above that cell lysis did not happen to a significant extent, when sucrose was present, this increased release of intracellular proteins may still have been an effect of high abundances of these proteins within the cellular proteomes and may thus point at a leakage of sucrose-treated cells. However, it was frequently reported that intracellular proteins, such as elongation factors, molecular chaperones (e.g., GroL, DnaK), ribosomal proteins, glycolytic enzymes (e.g., glucose-6-phosphate isomerase, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, phosphoglycerate mutase, enolase) and pyruvate degrading enzymes (e.g., lactate dehydrogenase), among others, can overtake other functions when released into the extracellular milieu, mostly acting as adhesion factors [77–81]. Glycosyltransferases, involved in exopolysaccharide synthesis from sucrose, were also shown to mediate cell aggregation and are thus responsible for the formation of floating biofilms in *Limosilactobacillus reuteri* (formerly *Lactobacillus reuteri*) [34,82]. After sucrose treatment, the exoproteome of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 indeed showed an increase in the multitude of such proteins, indicating that sucrose-induced biofilm formation in *L. hordei*, which was thought to be mainly composed of the extracellular polysaccharide dextran, is additionally mediated by released cytoplasmic proteins.

### 4.4. Expression and Release of Cell Wall Active Enzymes in the Presence of Sucrose Compared to Glucose

The differential proteomic analysis of cell lysates revealed up-regulated expression of a putative GH25 muramidase in sucrose-treated cells compared to glucose-treated cells. This was also observed for the analysis of exoproteomes, where it appeared to be increasingly released in the presence of sucrose. Proteins containing a GH25 muramidase domain were shown to cleave the $\beta$-(1→4) glycosidic bond between N-acetylglucosamine and N-acetylmuramic acid of bacterial peptidoglycans in *Lentilactobacillus buchneri* (formerly *Lactobacillus buchneri* [34]) [83]. However, no lytic activity could be observed from supernatants of *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 when treated with sucrose, indicating that this enzyme has no or little hydrolytic activity against the cell wall of *L. hordei* and *M. luteus* (Figure 5). By contrast, supernatants of glucose-treated cells led to formation of a lytic zone of around 110 kDa during zymogram analysis, indicating the presence of a lytic enzyme with intra- and inter-species specificity. In the exoproteomes of glucose-treated cells, several proteins annotated as flagellum-associated murein hydrolases (*flgJ*) and proteins exhibiting an NlpC/P60 domain were found in significantly higher amounts than in sucrose-treated cells.

*FlgJ* is a cell wall active enzyme which is necessary for cell envelope remodeling during flagellar rod assembly [84], which is in good agreement with the increased release of a multitude of flagellar proteins in glucose-treated cells (see Section 4.3). However, to our knowledge, *flgJ* has not been studied in lactic acid bacteria so far, leaving its lytic role in *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 speculative. By contrast, NlpC/P60 domain-containing proteins were characterized as $\gamma$-D-Glu-diaminoacid endopeptidases, involved in cell division and autolysis of lactic acid bacteria [85–87]. However, in *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822, the theoretical molecular weight of both NlpC/P60 domain-containing proteins was 41 and 44 kDa, respectively, not resembling the lytic band of 110 kDa. This may hint at the presence of an additional lytic enzyme in glucose-treated cells which may have not been quantified during proteomic analysis. Furthermore, SDS-PAGE was performed under non-denaturing conditions, which is why the lytic band may also have been caused by a multimeric enzyme.

The release of such lytic enzymes may help *L. hordei* to compete for nutrients during fermentation of water kefir, which is poor in nutrients other than sucrose. Nonetheless, these enzymes may exhibit a narrow specificity range against bacterial cell walls and may thus solely lyse certain groups of other water kefir microorganisms. However, *L. hordei* TMW 1.1822 appeared to be less competitive with other Gram-positive bacteria, including *L. hordei* itself, exhibiting no visible lytic activity after incubation in sucrose, as indicated by zymogram analysis.
5. Conclusions

In the present study, we extensively studied the changes in the behavior of water kefir-borne L. hordei TMW 1.1822 in response to sucrose by the analysis of cellular and extracellular proteomes as well as physiological tests. This showed that, in L. hordei TMW 1.1822, incubation in sucrose comes together with the release of its dextranucrase, which enables efficient extracellular sucrose conversion upon simultaneous fructose release and polysaccharide formation. As fructose could be demonstrated to enhance maximum growth rates and reduce the lag phase, L. hordei was hypothesized to be of fructophilic nature and thus optimally adapted to fruit-based fermentations. This was supported by enhanced uptake of fructose in the presence of sucrose, as indicated by the proteomic up-regulation of several fructose-specific PTS systems and degradative intracellular enzymes.

In competition with the extracellular dextranucrase reaction, an up-regulated PTS system enables sucrose uptake, while a putative extracellular beta-fructosidase may additionally split sucrose into glucose and fructose.

The exoproteome of L. hordei TMW 1.1822 was furthermore shown to undergo a multitude of significant changes due to the presence of sucrose. A significant (osmo-)lytic effect induced by the sucrose-supplemented medium appeared unlikely due to stable cell counts and comparative MS intensity analyses of exoproteomes and cellular proteomes. This led to the conclusion that adhesion during biofilm formation is not solely mediated by exopolysaccharides produced by the L. hordei dextranucrase but also by a proteinaceous component of the biofilm. Additionally, in conditions other than in the presence of sucrose, L. hordei TMW 1.1822 may exhibit motility due to expression and release of flagellar proteins.

Moreover, the release of an inter- and intra-species-specific lytic protein was observed in the supernatants of glucose-treated cells, which was abolished after sucrose treatment, indicating that L. hordei is less competitive for nutrients with its relatives and other microbes as well when incubated in sucrose.

Due to its dextran-producing abilities, L. hordei strains isolated from water kefir recently gained interest in relation to the fermentation of plant-based food materials. Therefore, the current study gives important insights into metabolic pathways that may occur in addition to or in competition with dextran formation. These insights lay the basis for deeper investigations, not only on the exploitability of L. hordei strains in food fermentations but also for further ecological studies regarding the water kefir microbiota.
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