The Effect of the Relationship among Leg Volume, Leg Mass and Flexibility on Success in University Student Elite Gymnasts
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Abstract

This study aims to analyze the effect of the relationship among leg volume, leg mass and flexibility on success in university student elite gymnasts. It was conducted on Halic University, Marmara University, Çukurova University and University of Kırşehir Ahi Evran gymnastics teams (male and female) which took part in Turkish Intercollegiate Gymnastics Championship and, later, voluntarily participated in this study. While years of age, height and weight of male gymnasts participating in the study were 21.20±1.57, 174.00±4.57 and 67.60±6.46 kg, respectively, the same values were 21.00±2.65 years of age, 165.31±4.60 cm and 54.62±4.63 kg for female gymnasts, respectively. Spearman correlation analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 package program for Windows, the level of significance was taken as 0.05. The analysis results indicate a highly positive significant correlation ($r=.761, p<0.001$) between leg volume and leg mass in male gymnasts, a highly positive significant correlation ($r=.674, p<0.01$) between leg volume and leg mass in female gymnasts, a highly positive significant correlation ($r=.795, p<0.001$) between leg volume and leg mass in male and female gymnasts, a low positive significant correlation ($r=.361, p<0.05$) between leg volume and success in male and female gymnasts, and a moderate positive significant correlation ($r=.463, p<0.05$) between leg mass and success in male and female gymnasts. As a result, gymnastics as a sport requires a combination of speed, strength, endurance, agility, and flexibility. Speed, strength, agility and flexibility are important parameters for training and performance. In addition, an optimal amount of leg volume (13000 ml, 14000 ml) and leg mass (13-14 kg) contribute to success in elite gymnasts.
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1. Introduction

A sport branch where biomechanical properties play a significant role, gymnastics require a proportional and well-built body and muscle structure as well as a highly developed neuromuscular coordination in order to perform movements peculiar to this branch (Bagci, 2003). Gymnastics is a multifaceted sport with different performance requirements. It combines speed, strength, endurance, agility, and flexibility. Speed, strength, agility and flexibility are important parameters for training and performance (Daly, Bass, & Finch, 2001). Flexibility is influenced by various factors such as hereditary differences in joint structures, connective tissue elasticity, muscle viscosity, reciprocal muscle coordination, and gender and body type. All individuals have different flexibility due to different muscle and ligament lengths. Because the number of connective tissues is higher in males, females are more flexible compared to males (Nalcakan, 2001).

The popularity of gymnastics grows day by day as it becomes more widespread at Turkish universities. Although some studies define physical suitability, leg volume, leg mass, physical and somatotype properties in different sport branches, no studies were found on the relationship between leg volume and leg mass in gymnasts. In this respect, this analyzes the effect of the relationship among leg volume, leg mass and flexibility on success in elite gymnasts.

2. Material and Methods

This study was carried out on Halic University, Marmara University, Çukurova University and University of Kırşehir Ahi Evran gymnastics teams [male (n=20) and female (n=16)] which took part in Koç Fest Turkish Intercollegiate
Gymnastics Championship and, later, voluntarily participated in this study. While years of age, height and weight of male gymnasts participating in the study were 21.20±1.57, 174.00±4.57 and 67.60±6.46 kg, respectively, the same values were 21.00±2.65 years of age, 165.31±4.60 cm and 54.62±4.63 kg for female gymnasts, respectively.

2.1 Calculation of Leg Volume and Mass

Femur, calf and feet were measured in order to calculate leg volume. The distance between tibial point and inguinal fold was calculated to find the femur volume. After this distance was measured for each 10 percentile of total length, as defined by frustum sign model method, volumes for each 10 percentile (Formula 1) and volumes of all other parts were summed to calculate total femur volume (Formula 2). In order to find calf volume, the distance between tibial point and medial malleolus point was first calculated. After this distance was measured for each 10 percentile of total length, as defined by frustum sign model method, volumes for each 10 percentile (Formula 1) and volumes of all other parts were summed to calculate total calf volume (Formula 3). Foot volume was measured using medial malleolus (Ozkan, & İsler, 2010; Sukul, Den Hoed, Johannes, Van Dolder, & Benda, 1993).

2.1.1 Calculation of Foot Volume

While the elliptic surface of cross section area (Si) in each part is calculated using Formula 4, volumes of other consecutive parts between the lines were calculated using Frustum model. When calculating foot volume, hi, i+1 is the distance between consecutive foot parts (Formula 5), and h value, which is L3/2, is the height between line 1 and foot sole, and it varies depending on the foot. On the other hand, h value between third and fourth parts is L1/2, which varies depending on the foot. The volume of fifth part is calculated using elliptic parabolic Formula 6, while total foot volume is calculated by summing volumes of all parts (Formula 6) (Ozkan, & İsler, 2010; Mayrovitz, Sims, Litwio, & Pfister, 2005).
Figure 2. Calculation of Foot Volume

\[ S_i = \pi W_i D_i / 4 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

\[ V_i = (h_i,_{i+1}/3)[S_i + S_{i+1} + (S_i S_{i+1})^{1/2}] \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ V_5 = \pi L_2 W_5 D_5 / 8 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

**Si** = Cross section area

**Wi** = Maximum width

**Di** = Maximum depth

**Vi** = Volume

**hi** = Height

**V5** = Total foot volume

The foot volume was defined by drawing lines between foot sole and medial malleolus point, volumes of different foot parts were calculated as mentioned above, and, finally, volumes of all parts were summed to calculate total foot volume (Formula 7).

\[ V_a = V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4 + V_5 \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

2.1.2 Calculation of Leg Mass

Femur, calf and feet were measured in order to calculate leg mass. The distance between tibial point and inguinal fold was calculated for the femur. The distance between tibial point and medial malleolus point was calculated for the calf. Finally, the foot was first measured using medial malleolus, and later Havanan model method (Ozkan, & Isler, 2010; Kwon, 1998). “Leg Volume and Mass Calculation Program” developed by Marangoz and Ozbalci (2017) was used for calculation.
\[ m = 0.074VA + 0.138UÇ - 4.641 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

\[ m = \text{Femur mass} \]

\[ VA = \text{Body weight} \]

\[ UÇ = \text{The widest femur circumference} \]

\[ m = 0.135BÇ - 1.318 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

\[ m = \text{Calf mass} \]

\[ BÇ = \text{The widest calf circumference} \]

\[ m = 0.003VA + 0.048ABÇ + 0.027AU - 0.869 \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

\[ m = \text{Foot mass} \]

\[ VA = \text{Body weight} \]

\[ ABÇ = \text{Ankle circumference} \]

\[ AU = \text{Foot length} \]

2.2 Calculation of Flexibility

A test table at a dimension of 35 cm length, 45 cm width and 32 cm height was used for Sit and Reach Test in order to measure their muscle flexibility (Raven, Gettman, Pollock, & Cooper, 1976). Prior to the flexibility measurement, the gymnasts were given 15 minutes for a warm-up and stretching session. Lower extremity and lumbal extensor flexibility of the gymnasts were assessed based on sit-and-reach test. The gymnasts were positioned in a long sitting position with their ankles at a 90 degree angle and their naked soles touching the sit-and-reach table. They were asked to reach forward as far as possible with their hands before their body and without bending their knees and to wait for 2 seconds at this point. The point to which their bodies reached on the ruler on the test table was recorded in centimeters. The measurer stood by the gymnast to prevent them from bending their knees. Finally, the measurement was repeated twice, and the highest value was recorded (Tamer, 2000).

2.3 Statistical Analyses

In this study, descriptive statistics were obtained and Spearman correlation analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 package program for Windows. The level of significance was taken as 0.05.

3. Findings

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of the Study

| Variables      | Male          | Female        |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|
|                | N  | x±sd     | N  | x±sd    |
| Years of age   | 20 | 21.20±1.57 | 16 | 21.00±2.65 |
| Height         | 20 | 174.00±4.57| 16 | 165.31±4.60 |
| Weight         | 20 | 67.60±6.46 | 16 | 54.62±4.63 |
| Leg Volume     | 20 | 12982.01±2931.93 | 16 | 11614.38±1182.74 |
| Leg mass       | 20 | 13.65±1.96 | 16 | 11.89±1.079 |
| Flexibility    | 20 | 38.35±5.69 | 16 | 38.03±7.00 |
### Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of the Gymnasts in Highest Ranking Teams (First 3 places)

| Variables     | Haliç University (Male and Female Team) | Marmara University (Male and Female Team) |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| N             | x±sd                                    | N                                         |
| Years of age  | 20.28±.75                               | 20.88±1.96                               |
| Height        | 171.42±7.78                             | 171.44±7.66                              |
| Weight        | 63.00±11.87                             | 65.00±7.81                               |
| Leg volume    | 13040.78±1280.04                        | 13256.89±3698.47                        |
| Leg mass      | 13.41±1.47                              | 14.04±3698.47                           |
| Flexibility   | 37.35±3.49                              | 20.88±2.32                               |

### Table 3. Descriptive Statistics of the Gymnasts in Lower Ranking Teams (First 3 places)

| Variables     | Çukurova University (Male and Female Team) | Kırşehir Ahi Evran University (Male and Female Team) |
|---------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| N             | x±sd                                    | N                                                |
| Years of age  | 20.55±1.50                              | 22.27±2.79                                       |
| Height        | 169.55±4.74                             | 168.72±5.65                                      |
| Weight        | 59.77±9.56                              | 60.18±6.16                                       |
| Leg volume    | 12196.36±1994.05                        | 11373.23±1686.36                                |
| Leg mass      | 12.18±1.63                              | 12.13±1.25                                      |
| Flexibility   | 39.94±8.86                              | 37.45±5.46                                      |

### Table 4. The Effect of the Relationship Among Leg Volume, Leg Mass and Flexibility on Success for Both Genders in Elite Gymnasts

|           | Males | Leg Volume | Leg Mass | Flexibility |
|-----------|-------|------------|----------|-------------|
| Leg Mass  | r     | .761***    |          |             |
| p         |       | .000       |          |             |
| Flexibility | r    | .002       | -.183    |             |
| p         |       | .995       | .439     |             |
| Degree    | r     | .270       | .375     | -.263       |
| p         |       | .249       | .104     | .263        |

**Females**

|           | r     | .674**    |          |             |
| Leg Mass  | p     | .004      |          |             |
| Flexibility | r    | -.435     | -.330    |             |
| p         |       | .093      | .212     |             |
| Degree    | r     | .424      | .453     | .029        |
| p         |       | .102      | .078     | .914        |

**Males and Females**

|           | r     | .795***   |          |             |
| Leg Mass  | p     | .000      |          |             |
| Flexibility | r    | -.131     | -.171    |             |
| p         |       | .446      | .318     |             |
| Degree    | r     | .361      | .463**   | -.092       |
| p         |       | .031      | .004     | .595        |

*p<0.05  **p<0.01  ***p<0.001
The effect of the relationship among leg volume, leg mass and flexibility for both genders in elite gymnasts were compared as shown in Table 4. The analysis demonstrates:

- a highly positive significant correlation ($r=0.761$, $p<0.001$) between leg volume and leg mass in male gymnasts,
- a highly positive significant correlation ($r=0.674$, $p<0.01$) between leg volume and leg mass in female gymnasts,
- a highly positive significant correlation ($r=0.795$, $p<0.001$) between leg volume and leg mass in male and female gymnasts,
- a low positive significant correlation ($r=0.361$, $p<0.05$) between leg volume and success in male and female gymnasts,
- a moderate positive significant correlation ($r=0.463$, $p<0.05$) between leg mass and success in male and female gymnasts.

4. Discussion

When parameters of highest and lower ranking teams in Koç Fest Turkish Intercollegiate Gymnastics Championship such as age of years, height, weight, leg volume, leg mass and flexibility are analyzed, it was observed in teams ranking in the first three places that Haliç University gymnastics team had an average weight of 63.00±11.87 kg, an average leg volume of 13040.78±1280.04 ml, an average leg mass of 13.41±1.47 kg, and an average flexibility of 37.35±3.49 cm. On the other hand, Marmara University gymnastics team had an average weight of 168.72±5.65 kg, an average leg volume of 13256.89±3698.47 ml, an average leg mass of 14.04±1.25 kg, and an average flexibility of 20.88±2.32 cm.

When it comes to lower ranking teams, Çukurova University gymnastics team had an average weight of 59.77±9.56 kg, an average leg volume of 12196.36±1994.05 ml, an average leg mass of 12.18±1.63 kg, and an average flexibility of 39.94±8.86 cm, while University of Kırşehir Ahi Evran gymnastics team had an average weight of 60.18±6.16 kg, an average leg volume of 11373.23±1686.36 ml, an average leg mass of 12.13±1.25 kg, and an average flexibility of 37.45±5.46 cm.

In terms of above-mentioned parameters, a low positive significant correlation ($r=0.361$, $p<0.05$) was found between leg volume and success and a moderate positive significant correlation ($r=0.463$, $p<0.05$) between leg mass and success. This demonstrates that the gymnasts in higher ranking teams have a higher amount of leg volume and mass. Even though the flexibility of gymnasts in lower ranking teams was higher, no significant correlation was found between success and flexibility. Gymnastics is an anaerobic sport branch in which flexibility bears importance. Because the long duration of stretching exercises and a high amount of repeats negatively influence performance during warm-up prior to a competition, it may lead to lower strength for gymnasts (Coknaz, Yıldırım, & Özengin, 2008).

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, gymnastics brings speed, strength, endurance, agility and flexibility together. Speed, strength, agility and flexibility are important parameters for training and performance. It can be argued that an optimal amount of leg volume (13000 ml, 14000 ml) and mass (13kg, 14 kg) in elite gymnasts influence performance positively. Additionally, no significant correlation was found between success and flexibility even though the flexibility of gymnasts in lower ranking teams was higher. Because the long duration of stretching exercises and a high amount of repeats negatively influence performance during warm-up prior to a competition and lead to a lower strength for gymnasts, they are recommended to perform stretching exercises for a shorter duration such as 15-20 seconds as this may increase their chance of winning and reduce the risk of injury.
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