Unusual Upper Emsian Tabulata and Rugosa from the Floresta Formation of Columbia

Yves Plusquellec

The study of a small fauna of columbian corals in the Floresta Formation, upper Emsian, permits the recognition of the new species Granulidictyum (G. alechinskyi) associated with an Hicetes gallery (first record of the Granulidictyum/Hicetes system), the presence of Paleofavosites sp. which constitutes a new record of a microlamellar favositid in the Devonian and a new species of the recently erected genus of the discoid coral Devonodiscus. In addition, the presence of Amazonodictyum amazonicum is recorded for the first time in Columbia. • Key words: Tabulata, Granulidictyum, Amazonodictyum, Paleofavosites, Rugosa, Devonodiscus, Devonian, Columbia, paleobiology.

Morzadec et al. (2015) provided a detailed study of the trilobites and inarticulate brachiopods from the Devonian Floresta Formation, eastern Cordillera of Columbia. The aim of the present work is to give some new data about the corals and to draw attention to the presence in South America of representatives of 1) an unusual Hicetes-bearing specimen of Procteria (Granulidictyum) described as G. alechinskyi sp. nov. and 2) Devonodiscus, a discoid coral genus erected by Pedder (2019) with Devonodiscus latisubex Pedder, 2019 as type species.

Stratigraphy

The main geographic and stratigraphic data about the Devonian of Columbia are given by Morzadec et al. (2015) and it seems unnecessary to repeat this information. The specimens described and illustrated in this paper were collected by Racheboeuf in 2004 and 2006 in the Floresta Massif (about 125 km NE of Bogota) in the Quebrada Monticelo section, lower part of the Floresta Formation (beds 5, 8 and 12, cf. Morzadec et al. 2015, fig. 2a).

Following Morzadec in Morzadec et al. (2015), the lower part of the Floresta Formation – and especially the beds 8 to 11 in which the trilobites have been collected – are likely to be late Emsian in age (serotinus Zone). Among the species and genera identified by Morzadec in these levels, some are known to occur in the serotinus Zone: Anchiopsis armata from the Schoharie Grit in New York state and Synphoria stemmata from the Bois Blanc Formation of Michigan. In addition, Morzadec (2015, pp. 332, 333) stated “Belenopyge contusa, as well as the genus Mannopyge, both occur in the Formosa Member of the Amherstburg Formation of Ontario, which is assigned to the late Emsian serotinus zone (Ludvigsen, 1987)”. However, these are not exactly the words of Ludvigsen (1987, p. 677) which indicated – following Uyeno et al. (1982) – that the Formosa member can be assigned to the “Polygnatus serotinus Zone and possibly to the overlying P. costatus patulus Zone” i.e. uppermost Emsian. In addition, Johnson & Klapper (1992, fig. 1) would have considered the Formosa Member to be patulus or partitus Zone.

As a result, if the lower part of the Floresta Formation is undoubtedly late Emsian in age, it could be a little younger than stated by Morzadec and may reach the serotinus/patulus boundary. However, we have to bear in mind that the trilobites allowing the stratigraphic attribution are located in beds 8 to 11 and that bed 5 (one of the coral-bearing level) lies a little more than 3m below.

Systematic palaeontology

As far as the author knows, the first mention of Devonian corals from the Floresta fauna was given by Caster (1939) who wrote: “the calicinal imprint of a single tiny tetracoral has been found in our material. This seems probably to be Cyathophyllum bolivianum Kozlowski.” Morales (1965)
recognized, described and/or illustrated in the Floresta area Favosites sp. aff. F. hamiltoniensis Hall, Heliophyllum sp. and Pleurodictyum americanum Roemer. Forero Suárez (1991) listed in the same localities Favosites hamiltoniensiae, Chaetetes sp. (now referred to Porifera) and Heliophyllum sp.

Among the corals collected by Racheboeuf in the Floresta Formation, species of Devonodiscus and Procteria (Granulidictyum) are new to the Columbian Devonian whereas the so-called Pleurodictyum americanum and Heliophyllum were not found and “Favosites” attested by a single specimen.

The specimens are stored at the Laboratoire de Paléontologie, UFR Sciences & Techniques, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest, France under numbers LPB 16 714–718 and LPB 16 722.

Subclass Tabulata Milne-Edwards & Haime, 1850
Order Favositida Wedekind, 1937
Subfamily Granulidictyinae Weyer, 1970
Family Micheliniidae Waagen & Wentzel, 1886
Genus Procteria Davis, 1887
Type species. – Procteria michelinoidea Davis, 1887.
Subgenus Granulidictyum Schindewolf, 1959
Type species. – Pleurodictyum granuliferum Schlüter, 1889.

Procteria (Granulidictyum) alechinskyi sp. nov.
Figures 1A–E, 2
Holotype. – LPB 16 714.

Type horizon and locality. – Lower part of the Floresta Formation, upper Emsian; Quebrada Monticelo section, N-W of Tobasia, Columbia.

Material. – Five specimens, of which two with their complete proximal side and one with its complete distal side including Hicetes gallery. LPB 16 714, bed 5 or 8; LPB16 715 and LPB 16 716, bed 5; LPB 16 717 and LPB16718, bed 8. Quebrada Monticelo section, Floresta Formation (upper Emsian).

Etymology. – Species dedicated to Pierre Alechinsky, artist of the COBRA movement whose acronym can evoke the snake-like path of Hicetes always present in this material. See also its etching “S Aspiré” printed in 1988.

Diagnosis. – Large species of Granulidictyum with numerous eucorallites, about 46 for a corallum diameter of 28 mm, and numerous interstitial corallites. Calicinal bases of eucorallites with a diameter, measured along the corallum radius, of ca. 6.7 mm and one at 90° of ca. 3.5 mm. Well-marked axial/radial structure occupying the calicinal base and appearing concave in natural mould, the initial area of which fits with that of the corallite. Scattered rather strong spines of unequal size on the calicinal bases. Hicetes present.

Description. – The corallum is rather well preserved (natural moulds), moderately large and clearly discoid.

Proximal side (lower surface): In natural mould, the proximal side is slightly convex, roughly circular and its surface covered by the prints of small granules regularly spread. The size and morphology of granules are either the same on the whole surface (Fig. 1E, C) or show a trend to be elongated toward the periphery of corallum (Fig. 1A). In addition, the diameter of granules is rather variable: small in LPB 16 714 (holotype, Fig. 1A), medium in LPB 16 715 (Fig.1D) and larger in LPB 16 717 (Fig.1C).

The pores in the basal plate seem to be very scarce. Only one has been clearly recorded in specimen LPB 16 715 between the infilling of a peripheral corallite and the proximal side to which it remains attached (Fig. 1D).

The proximal side is devoid of concentric growth lines but in some cases, on the margin of the corallum, wavy irregularities indicate the location of the corallite wall at the basal plate level (Fig. 1A lower left and 1C).

In specimen LPB 16 714 (holotype, Fig. 1A) the foreign body to which the larva was attached remains unusually free, clearly visible – here it is the valve of a devonoconchid – whereas in LPB 16 715 (Fig. 1D) the foreign body is buried within the skeleton and appears as a “ghost” on the proximal side indicating that the skeleton was fully covered by the living tissue.

Distal side (upper surface): In natural mould, the upper side is concave at the level of the calicinal bases and the central area is occupied by the mould of the gallery of Hicetes. Two categories of corallites can be distinguished 1) the eucorallites which calice bases reach the basal plate; in natural mould, when the specimen is complete they are the only one exposed and 2) the interstitial corallites situated between the former, their apex does not reach the basal plate, thus they can be seen only on incomplete or broken specimens.

Eucorallites: The outline of the corallites at the calicinal base level – although sometimes truncated by the gallery of Hicetes – is rhombic to irregularly polygonal in the central area of the corallum (adult corallites) becoming trapezoidal to triangular at the periphery (respectively subadult to juvenile corallites, see Plusquellec (2007, fig. 2c) for explanation of these categories).
In natural mould the central part of the eucorallite’s bases appears clearly concave; however a flat area, bearing septal ridges, develops at the peripheral margin of subadult and juvenile corallites (Fig. 1B). In fact, this calicinal base morphology corresponds, in calcareous specimens, to a convex axial/radial structure the outline of which, at the calicinal base level is more or less rhombic to lanceolate, i.e. broad and roughly triangular in the half internal (or initial) area of the calicinal base, narrow in its peripheral part (Figs 1B, 2A). More precisely, in the initial area of the calicinal base, the outline of the axial structure fits with that of the corallite. This morphology is very similar to that of some *Pleurodictyum problematicum* Goldfuss, 1829 (see Fuchs & Plusquellec 1982, fig. 6d–f and pl. 2, fig. 1) and the Columbian specimens belong in a way to a *Pleurodictyum*-like species.

The axial structure bears prints of some scattered, rather strong spines. They are not arranged along a radial median line (Fig. 2B–D) except in some cases at the very peripheral margin of the corallites. The axial structure bears prints of some scattered, rather strong spines. They are not arranged along a radial median line (Fig. 2B–D) except in some cases at the very peripheral margin of the corallites.

Adjacent corallites are connected by mural pores appearing as cylindrical girders in mould. They belong to the wall pore category alias P2 (Plusquellec 1976, Powell & Scrutton 1978).

Empty space corresponding to the wall is narrow at the calicinal base level as well as at the calicinal aperture. The impression of more or less spiny septal ridges (narrow furrows in cast) alternate with moulds of broader interseptal furrows. They mainly belong to the major septal ridges, some short minor ones are only present at the peripheral margin of subadult corallites (Fig. 2B, C). It is interesting to point out that, on both sides of the major ridge highlighting the plane of bilateral symmetry of the corallite (the cardinal ridge?), appears a major ridge and that is similar to the septal pattern known in the Rugosa.

Imprints of tabulae or tabellae are not recorded. *Interstitial corallites*: They are numerous (but difficult to see!). The filling of their calice is conical and the apex reaches approximately half or a third of the depth of the eucorallites (Fig. 2D, top, on both sides of the axial structure). Interstitial corallites are connected to the eucorallites by numerous P3 mural pores, the mould of the single basal pore (P0), opening at the apex, is often well...
exposed appearing as a rather long narrow “string”. Septal ridges and small prints of spines are present.

**Hicetes:** The “worm” Hicetes is known within each colony although sometimes broken, thus the central S-shaped part of the gallery is missing. Two kinds of “S” are recorded: one right S (LPB 16 717, Fig. 1E) and three left S (LPB 16 714, Fig. 1B; LPB16 715; LPB16 718).

The presence of Hicetes in association with Procteria in unusual. It was described and illustrated for the first time in Procteria (Pachyprocteria) vermilera Plusquellec et al., 2011 from the lower Eifelian of the Gaspé Sandstones Group of Québec (Plusquellec et al. 2011).

Biometric data: The results of the biometric study are given in Tab. 1 and the measurements (in mm) are taken as indicated in Plusquellec et al. (2011). Owing to the small number of specimens and their incompleteness, the biometric data are only indicative.

**Discussion. – Generic and specific assignment:** The morphology of the lower side of the basal plate is diagnostic of the genus Procteria Davis, 1887 whereas the size of the corallum (large), its rounded outline (not star-like), its thin wall, the lack of tabulae or tabellae and for a lesser part the presence of interstitial corallites allow assignment of our material to the sub-genus Granulidictyum Schindewolf, 1959.

Only a few species are assigned to Granulidictyum, such as Procteria (Granulidictyum) granuliferum (Schulter, 1889); P. (Granulidictyum) sp. nov. (= Pleurodictyum problematicum var. richteri Weissermel, 1941a); P. (Granulidictyum) cornu Stumm, 1950; P. (Granulidictyum) elisabetae (May, 2006); P. (?Granulidictyum) gavaensis Plusquellec, 2007; P. (Granulidictyum) granuliferum morphotype “Bolast” Plusquellec, 2007 and P. (Granulidictyum) simplex Plusquellec, 2007 nomen nudum.

**Brief review of these known species or forms:** Granulidictyum simplex (upper Emsian of the Western Armorican Massif) and ?G. gavaensis (upper Lochkovian to lower Pragian of the Catalan Coastal Ranges) are small forms with few eucorallites, calicinal bases devoid of axial structure, rather numerous and large basal plate pores, interstitial corallites lacking. The two species mainly differ by the size of the protocorallite.

Granulidictyum elisabetae (Emsian to Eifelian of the Aragonian Pyrenees) is a medium sized species (corallum diameter 13–14 mm) with calicinal bases bearing a well-marked and rather narrow radial structure (“longitudinal axial ridge” of Plusquellec & Fernández Martinez 2007, legend of fig. 1a), large and closely spaced numerous mural pores as well as basal plate pores (May 2006, fig. 1c, d and Plusquellec & Fernández Martinez 2007, discussion p. 85, fig. 1a). The presence or absence of interstitial corallites is not documented because the specimens are complete and not broken. In addition, May (2006) stated that “In the center of both coralla are foreign bodies that [he] cannot identify unequivocally. They are possibly tubes of Hicetes Clarke, 1908.” In the specimen illustrated in fig. 1c (May 2006) this is – in my opinion – an erroneous interpretation of the outline of the central corallite, whereas in his specimen of fig. 1d the central area is too badly preserved to provide useful data about Hicetes.

The case of Granulidictyum sp. nov. (alias P. problematicum var. richteri), ambiguously described by Weissermel (1941a) from the early upper Emsian of

---

**Table 1. Procteria (Granulidictyum) alechinskyi sp. nov., biometric data.**

| Specimen                      | LPB 16 714 | LPB 16 715 | LPB 16 716 | LPB 16 717 | LPB 16 718 |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Corallum diameter             | 29 × 28    | 16.5 × 15.0 (?) | ca. 3      | 3–4        | ca. 4      |
| Height of corallum            |            |            | ca. 3      |            | ca. 4      |
| Number of granules on proximal side | 975/cm²   | 710/cm²   |            |            |            |
| Number of corallites at basal plate level | 43 exposed, |          |            |            |            |
| Calicinal base diameter for adult and subadult corallites not truncated by Hicetes; radial length and width | 6.6 × 3.3 (8 measur.) | 6.8 × 3.3 (3 measur.) |            |            |            |
| Thickness of the wall          | 0.4–0.5    |            |            |            |            |
| Mural pore diameter            | 0.25–0.45  | 0.20–0.35  | 0.17–0.28  |            |            |
| Hicetes gallery diameter       | 2.8–3.9    | 2.2–2.5    | 1.9–2.4    | 2.9–3.0    |            |
Thuringia, Steinach Formation, Member B, has been discussed by Plusquellec et al. (2013, pp. 200, 202). From unpublished data it can be stated that this species is characterized by a medium sized corallum (ca. 15–17 mm), a number of corallites not exceeding (?)21, the lack of interstitial corallites, moderately numerous basal plate pores and an axial structure of the calicinal base generally narrow and sinuous either appearing in natural mould as a single row of prints of spines (Weisermel 1941a, pl. 5, fig. 15) or as a deep depression similar to that of Procteria (G.) elisabetae although generally narrower.

Procteria (G.) cornu from the Lower Givetian of Eastern North America and P. (G.) granuliferum from the upper Eifelian of the Eifel are medium to large sized species with numerous eucorallites. The morphology of the calicinal bases of G. cornu is not known, or poorly known, owing to the kind of preservation (calcitic skeleton instead of natural mould which is the best preservation to see the calicinal bases morphology). According to Stumm (1950, diagnosis of his new species) bases of corallites are flat; the vertical section given by Stumm (1950, pl. 5, fig. 12) could confirm this statement and shows that
the presence of a potential strong axial structure can be excluded. Later, Stumm (1967) indicated that the holotype has been cleaned but does not give more data about the calicinal bases morphology.

In *P. (G.) granuliferum*, the section illustrated by Schlüter (1889, pl.4, fig. 7) – and later studied by the author of the present paper – as well as a section taken in a specimen from Belgium (Plusquellec 2007, fig. 6a) does not show obvious axial structure. Unfortunately the species of Schlüter has never been studied on the basis of specimens from the type area and preserved in natural mould; thus this very “common species” is in fact not well known!

In addition, in the two species, the calicinal morphology on the upper surface of the corallum is roughly similar except for the presence of sharp spines at the junction of corallites said to be diagnostic of *P. (G.) cornu* and its lower number of interstitial corallites.

In the specimens from the Armorican Massif, preserved in natural mould and assigned to *P. (G.) granuliferum* (see a typical example in Plusquellec 2007, pl. 3, fig. 8), the calicinal bases are flat and the axial structure consists of a single row of pits left by septal spines or less usually in the mould of a very narrow ridge. These discrete radial structures are, of course, hardly visible in vertical section (cf. above, comment on the section in the type material of Schlüter) and thus the assignment of the Armorican specimens to *granuliferum* is questionable and the assignment to (G.) e.g. *granuliferum* would probably be better.

The so called *P. (G.) granuliferum* morphotype “Bolast” (Plusquellec 2007, pl. 3, fig. 7) from the uppermost Emsian of the western Armorican Massif, Bolast Formation, is very likely a new species, the diagnosis of which could be as follow: corallum moderately concavo-convex, medium to large sized, usually about 23 mm in diameter with 40–42 regularly polygonal (especially the protocorallite) to rhombic eucorallites; interstitial corallites numerous. Axial structure of the eucorallites appearing in natural cast as a deep, wide and rounded depression occupying $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ of the calicinal base in the central area of the colony, becoming more and more elongated, even rather narrow, toward the periphery, and bearing moulds of few well marked scattered spines. The calicinal surface of the corallum is less convex than in *G. granuliferum* (type material) and *G. e.g. granuliferum* from the Armorican Massif.

Among these species, it is with the so called *P. (G.) granuliferum* morphotype “Bolast” that the Columbian material share most characters: size of corallum, number of eucorallites, presence of numerous interstitial corallites, small diameter of basal plate pores and overall calicinal bases with a well-developed axial structure. However, the Columbian representatives can easily be distinguished from the Armorican ones by some features of their axial structure which is wider in its initial and median area in the central part of the corallum as well as in its margins, which shows a more or less lanceolate outline at the calicinal base level, whose morphology remains the same from center to margin of the colony and which bears generally more numerous and stronger spines.

The presence of *Hicetes* is by no means diagnostic.

Thus the specimens from the Floresta Formation are clearly distinct from all previously described *Granulidictyum* and belong to a new species mainly characterized by the *Pleurodictyum*-like morphology of their calicinal bases.

The new species herein described is only known in the Quebrada Monticelo section but is close to undescribed specimens (LPB 16 719-721) from the lower Member of the Herrera Formation, lower Emsian of Chillón, Almadén Syncline, Central Iberian Zone (Spain). This material assigned to *P. (Granulidictyum)* sp. e.g. *alechinskyi* and illustrated here for comparison (Fig. 1F, G) shows that the *Pleurodictyum*-like morphology of the calicinal bases in the sub-genus is not a rarity. This feature is known in two areas of the north and western margin of the Gondwana, respectively in Spain and Columbia, as well as in two stratigraphical levels, lower Emsian (Spain) and upper Emsian (Columbia). Note that the Spanish colonies are devoid of *Hicetes*.

**Conclusion about Granulidictyum:** Three groups of forms can be distinguished within the sub-genus *Granulidictyum*: 1) small forms with few eucorallites, flat calicinal base without axial structure, numerous and large basal plate pores, interstitial corallites lacking: *G. gavaensis, G. simplex*; 2) medium to large sized forms, eucorallites rather numerous to numerous, calicinal bases flat without axial structure or generally bearing a more or less strong, narrow axial/radial structure, interstitial corallites present, basal plate pores common and small: *G. granuliferum* and e.g. *granuliferum*; 2) medium to large sized forms, eucorallites rather numerous to numerous, wide and well-marked convex *Pleurodictyum*-like axial structure occupying the calicinal bases, interstitial corallites present, frequency of basal plate pores variable and small: *G. granuliferum* morphotype “Bolast”, *G. alechinskyi* and *G. sp. e.g. alechinskyi*.

The known stratigraphic distribution of *Granulidictyum* which is common from lower Emsian to upper Givetian, is not modified by its presence in the late Emsian of Columbia but it brings new data about the occurrence of the *Procteria/Hicetes* system formerly known only in *P. (Pachyprocteria) vernifera* Plusquellec, Desbiens & Gourvennec, 2011, in the lower Eifelian of the Gaspé Sandstones in Québec (Canada).
Genus *Amazonodictyum* Plusquellec, 2007

Type species. – *Pleurodictyum amazonicum* Katzer, 1903.

*Amazonodictyum amazonicum* (Katzer, 1903)

1903 *Pleurodictyum amazonicum* Katzer; Katzer, p. 268, pl. 9, fig. 1.
1965 *Pleurodictyum americancum* Roemer 1876. – Morales, pp. 76, 77, pl. 1, fig. 1.
1913 *Pleurodictyum amazonicum* Katzer. – Clarke, p. 79 (not described).
1923 *Pleurodictyum amazonicum* Katzer. – Kozlowski, pp. 97, 98, pl. 10, figs 1, 2.
1925 *Pleurodictyum amazonicum* Katzer. – Swartz, p. 39 (not described).
2007 *Amazonodictyum amazonicum*. – Plusquellec, fig. 40.

Remarks. – This species was not collected by Racheboeuf but one of the specimens from Floresta, illustrated by Morales (1965, pl. 1, fig. 1), and assigned to *Pleurodictyum americanicum* Roemer, 1876, seems to be similar to the specimens of *Amazonodictyum amazonicum* common in Bolivia, in the Belen Formation, likely upper Emsian (or lowermost Eifelian?); see a convincing Bolivian specimen from Chiarumani illustrated by Plusquellec (2007, pl. 1, fig. 5).

The others specimens studied by Morales are either doubtful (1965, pl. 1, fig. 2) or clearly different (pl. 1, fig. 3).

Family Favositidae Dana, 1846
Subfamily Paleofavositinae Sokolov, 1950

Genus *Paleofavosites* Twenhofel, 1914

Type species. – *Favosites aspera* [sic!] d’Orbigny, 1850.

*Paleofavosites* sp.

Figure 3

1965 *Favosites* sp. aff. *F. hamiltoniae* Hall 1876. – Morales, p. 77, pl. 1, figs 4, 5.

Material. – One specimen, LPB 16 722, from Quebrada Monticelo section, Floresta Formation (late Emsian). The material consists of 6 offcuts, 4 thin sections, 2 ultra-thin sections and 4 acetate peels.

Description. – The specimen is a part of a massive corallum, partly silicified, probably with a so-called epitheca (inferred from thin section, Fig. 3A), with polygonal corallites in cross section, 6–7, even 8 sided in adult stage. Mean corallite diameter of this category is 2.95 mm and ranges from 2.5–3.4 mm. Corallite walls are thin, straight or somewhat sinusous (Fig. 3B), usually 0.08–0.20 mm thick.

Contrary to what Morales stated, pores are present. Pores of the wall pore variety (*sensu* Powell & Scrutton 1978, = P2 *sensu* Plusquellec 1976) are identified in transverse sections; they are located in the middle part of the wall but more frequently in lateral position suggesting two rows; some may have a raised rim (Fig. 3E). Angle pores (P1) are recorded but are clearly less numerous than P2 (Fig. 3E, F). Mural pores may be sealed by a pore plate generally shifted on one side of the wall, indicating that it was produced by one of the two adjacent polyps as shown by Plusquellec et al. (2012, fig. 2).

Septal spines are variably developed from corallite to corallite; when present they are generally slender and long projecting in some case almost to axis. Scarce squamulae are identified on transverse sections, some of them appearing bifid (Fig. 3C, D).

The tabulae are thin and numerous, horizontal, flat, slightly to moderately, even strongly concave or slightly convex. Tabular spacing varies from 0.4–1.5 mm, mean 0.83 mm.

Microstructure: Study of the microstructure of the wall by the use of polished ultra-thin sections (the so-called “LFP” in French) allows observations that cannot be provided by sections of 30 µm thick.

Despite rather poor preservation, the longitudinal LFP sections show – on both sides of a median lamina which roughly consists of granules and/or plaquettes – a layer of stereoplasm made of microlamellae (Fig. 3G). They are clearly curved, rather thick and cupulate as usual for this kind of biocrystal. Dimensions of the microlamellae: length about 30–40 µm, thickness 8–10 µm.

Discussion. – Generic and specific assignment: Lafuste & Tourneur (1988) have shown the microlamellar microstructure of the wall of the Silurian favositids: *Favosites* (*Fotlandicus* Lamarck, 1816 – type species of the genus *Favosites*) and *Paleofavosites/Mesofavosites*. The authors also underlined the fibrous nature of the wall of Devonian representatives and indicate that these “Favositid” will be assigned to another genus. Later, Plusquellec & Tourneur (1998) pointed out the persistence of microlamellar favositids in the Lower Devonian of Algeria and Turkey.

Owing to the presence of microlamellae, and of both wall pores and angle pores our material can be assigned to *Paleofavosites*.

Only a very few Devonian microlamellar favositids are known, and thus a reliable specific assignment remains illusive. Moreover the variability of the Columbian *Paleofavosites* is unknown.

Let us indicate nevertheless that the corallite diameter of our specimen is close to that of *Paleofavosites*
saourensis (Le Maître, 1952), uppermost Emsian, but the morphology and size of the corallum is quite different and the spines are clearly longer. Moreover the median lamina does not show the elongated fiber-like units described in the Algerian species.

As for the “Favosites” bohemicus mosellanus Weissermel, 1941b (lowermost Eifelian) or “Favosites” bohemicus grandis Le Maître, 1952 (uppermost Emsian) they are characterized by large to very large corallites.

Lastly, the comparison with Favosites hamiltoniae Hall, 1876 cannot be supported because its microstructure is unknown and this species is said (original description) to be “without septal ridges or spines on the specimens examined”.

The presence of microlamellar favositids in the Lower Devonian of Columbia indicates: 1) that the persistence of this microstructure in the Devonian favositids is probably not so unusual as alleged; and 2) that the identification of the favositid genera definitely needs the knowledge of the wall microstructure.

Subclass Rugosa Milne-Edwards & Haime, 1850
Order Stauriida Verill, 1865
Family Devonodiscidae Pedder, 2019

Remarks. – According to Pedder (2019) the main characteristics of this new family of solitary Rugosa – with Devonodiscus as type-species – are: 1) corallum discoid (at least in early stages); 2) corallum epithecate; 3) horizontal elements (dissepiments) absent from some species; 4) minor septa may or may not be contratingent; 5) septal microstructure trabeculate (likely monacanthine); and 6) adjacent septa contiguous for much of their length in discoid part of corallum (= septothecal wall). The presence of some lamellar tissue remains questionable.

This diagnosis fits rather well with that of the Palaeocyclusidae as defined by Hill (1981), except for the presence of trochoid forms in this latter family, and fits too with that of the genus Palaeocyclus, particularly with regard to the points 5 and 6. In addition, it can be noted that some Canadian Devonodiscus were previously assigned to Palaeocyclus … (see synonymy of D. multiradiatus in Pedder 2019).

Unpublished data about the microstructure of some Palaeocyclusidae (Plusquellec, work in progress based on the use of ultra-thin sections), such as Palaeocyclus porpita (Linnaeus, 1767) from the Llandovery of Gotland and Rhabdocyclus porpitoides (Lang & Smith, 1927) from the late Wenlock of Dudley support Pedder’s assignment of Devonodiscus to a new family.

Hill (1936) stated that the microstructure of each septum of P. porpita consists of contiguous monacanthine trabeculae, whereas in R. porpitoides it consists of rhabdacanths “bound into a plate by lamellar sclerenchyme” (Hill 1936, p. 198).

With regard to R. porpitoides we agree with Hill’s observations. The septa are clearly rhabdacanthine and the interseptal area between major and minor made of lamellar sclerenchyme.

About P. porpita we believe Hill misinterpreted the data because sections of usual thickness are very confused and that the septa are not monacanthine. Transverse ultra-thin sections (the so-called “LFP”) show that the septa consist of bundle of rods (Ø ca. 30 µm) bound into a plate by abundant and more or less distinct tiny lamellae, that is to say rhabdacanths. It should also be noted that Hill (1996, p. 195) writes “frequently there is a suggestion that the trabecula includes a number of rod-like aggregates of fibers”. Quid erat demonstrandum, it seems in fact that Hill has seen the rhabdacanths! In addition, the well-developed interseptal sclerenchyme (not recorded by Hill) shows excessively small lamellae (about 14–17 µm × 2–3 µm). Thus the septal microstructure of P. porpita and R. porpitoides is similar, i.e. rhabdacanthine.

In addition, it can be noted that McLean & Cooper (2013), dealing with Rhabdocyclus stated that “the septal microstructure is regarded to be of greater significance” and it appears here that ‘septa with rhabdacanthine microstructure’ must be added in the diagnosis of the family Palaeocyclusidae.

In P. porpita and R. porpitoides the interseptal sclerenchyme is rather well developed and is more or less in microstructural continuity with the septa. As a result, the wall is not a sepotheca but belongs to another category consisting of a lamellar palisade interrupted by the septa or in which the septa are wedged. This wall structure recalls the “muraille lamellaire” of Semenoff-Tian-Chansky (1987, fig. 421a).

On the other hand, thanks to A. Pedder who gave to the author specimens of Devonodiscus latisubex while his paper was in press, it has been possible to prepare “LFP” sections of the species. These “LFP” provide some new data about the microstructure of the septa and dissepiments (a joint paper with Alan Pedder is in progress) and show: 1) The material has undergone weak diagenesis and is in fact moderately to rather well preserved; nevertheless, owing to the small size of the biocrystals, the 30 µm thin sections are somewhat blurred. 2) The trabeculae forming the septa are clearly fibrous, not holacanthine or monacanthine but water-jet with a moderate angle between the most external fibers of the fibrous fascicles [distinction between waterjet trabeculae and other trabecular microstructure are well illustrated by Cuif & Gautret, 1993]. 3) The trabeculae are surrounded by a more or less thick tissue apparently made of small elements recalling the microlamellae. The “structureless calcite presumed to be recrystallized lamellar tissue” described
by Pedder (2019, p. 148) could be the same structure. 4) In fact, the microstructural units forming the septa are composite, made of a core of water-jet trabeculae coated by a microlamellar sclerenchyme. 5) The dissepiments show well preserved and very characteristic microlamellae (size and morphology).

Hence, the microstructure of the Devonodiscidae is distinct from that of the Palaeocyclidae by its type of trabeculae (water-jet vs rhabdacanths) and by the wall structure (septothecal vs “lamellar”). The usual presence of a long and narrow cardinal fossula emphasizes the difference between the two families. However, these two families share an unusual feature i.e. the presence of lamellar/microlamellar tissue surrounding on one hand the rods of the rhabdacanths and in the other hand the water-jet trabeculae.

Figure 3. *Paleofavosites* sp. lower part of the Floresta Formation, Quebrada Monticelo section, late Emsian. Specimen LPB 16 722; A – longitudinal thin section Bb 716, note the section of the so-called epitheca (lower left) and the well-developed septal spines (upper right); B – transverse thin section BR 2478 showing important change in the thickness of the wall; C, D – close up of two corallites in transverse section showing the presence of squamulae (sq) and spines (sp); respectively thin section Bb 715 and BR 2479; E, F – corallites in transverse section showing the two categories of mural pores, angle pores (P1) and wall pore (P2); respectively thin section BR 2478 and acetate peel “B”; G – microstructure in longitudinal section showing the median lamina (lm) and the microlamellar stereoplasm (st), “LFP” BR 2122.
Genus Devonodiscus Pedder, 2019

Type species. – Devonodiscus latissubex Pedder, 2019.

Devonodiscus pedderi sp. nov.

Figures 4, 5

Holotype. – LPB 16 712.

Type horizon and locality. – Lower part of the Floresta Formation, upper Emsian. Quebrada Monticelo section, N-W of Tobasia, Colombia.

Material. – Two specimens preserved in natural moulds of which one only known by its proximal side, LPB 16712–713. Quebrada Monticelo section, Floresta Formation, bed 5, (upper Emsian).

Etymology. – Species dedicated to our colleague Alan Pedder, the “father” of the genus Devonodiscus.

Description. – Latex moulds of this discoid solitary coral have been made. These are the basis of the following description.

Proximal side (lower surface): This side is slightly elliptical in plan view, flat, except at its margin which shows a trend to be geniculate (Fig. 4A), and is covered with well-defined eccentric growth rings: the so-called epitheca (Fig. 5E). The best preserved specimen bears a small eccentrically placed cone of attachment, strongly obliquely directed and shifted toward the counter area (Figs 4C; 5E, F). This cone corresponds to an unusually developed (or preserved?) first stage of growth in discoid corals very similar to that illustrated in the Silurian Palaeocyclidae Rhabdocyclus porpitoides by Lang & Smith (1927, fig. 16).

Distal side (upper surface), preliminary remarks: In a recent paper Wang et al. (2015) propose abandoning the use of the term counter lateral septum, thus reducing the number of protosepta from six to four. I disagree with this interpretation because:

1) The structure of the K-KL sector is somewhat distinctive, the pair of minor septa being not always (see Wang et al. 2015, fig. 3i), but often longer (see Microcyclus discus Meek & Worthen, 1868 and M. thedfordensis Bassler, 1937, in Stauffer 1952, respectively pl. 1, fig. 3 and pl. 2, figs 10, 19) or even absent in cystiphyllids (Birenheide 1974).

2) In some cases only six septa (C, K, A, KL) are well developed (see Hexalasma illustrated by Weyer 2014, fig. 12).

3) In Combophyllum osismorum Milne-Edwards & Haime, 1850, a transverse section (Plusquellec & Semenoff-Tian-Chansky 1972, fig. 9) clearly shows an “early stage” with six septa and a pattern of splitting of the counter lateral and alar protosepta absolutely similar and thus, it seems obvious that these septa are homologous. The cardinal and counter septum define the plane of bilateral symmetry whereas the counter lateral and the alar produce symmetrically the first metaseptum in each sector.

4) If the counter lateral septa are not accepted as protosepta, then the counter and the alar are not homologous, the metasepta being produced on both sides of the former and on one side of the latter.

5) How the minor two septa on both sides of the counter septum have arisen is not known (Weyer personal communication 2017) contrary to suggestions of Wang et al. (2015, p. 392) and thus their argument in favor of four protosepta cannot be taken into account.

6) As it has been established that the Palaeozoic and post-Palaeozoic corals are monophyletic (Cuif 1977) it is interesting to point out the persistence of early stage of growth with a bilateral symmetry and six protosepta and to underline the similarities between sections in Combophyllum osismorum (Plusquellec & Semenoff-Tian-Chansky 1972, pl. 4, fig. 2b) and Ceratocyathus cf. zanclaeus (Cuif 1968, pl. 4, fig. 4).

The everted calice shows a shallow depressed center, a well-marked bilateral symmetry and an obvious pinnate pattern of the septa emphasized by the development of the fossulae.

The cardinal septum, as well as the alar septa, clearly reach the axis of the corallum whereas only the right counter-lateral protoseptum shows this feature. The cardinal septum, long and narrow, is lower in height to flanking major septa especially for the peripheral half of its length. The alar septa and the counter-lateral are similar in height and thickness to the metasepta whereas the counter septum appears distinctly thinner and, although
partly preserved, its morphology is closer to that of the cardinal septum than of the alar ones (Fig. 5A–C).

The cardinal fossula is well-marked, closed, very narrow and parallel sided; the alar fossulae are very narrow and their location mainly highlighted by the pinnate arrangement of the metasepta (Fig. 5A).

The major metasepta extend near to the center of the corallum, are rather thick especially for the outer half of their length, smooth, except in their very peripheral part where a discrete crenulation can be seen (Fig. 5D).

The outer ends of the minor septa (= catasepta sensu Wang et al. 2015) in the counter-lateral sector have a more or less serrate margin (Fig. 5D), whereas their inner parts consist of a single row of spines (Fig. 5A, C) probably formed by the end of the monacanthine trabeculae described by Pedder (2019) in Devonodiscus latisubex and D. multiradiatus. In addition, it can be noted 1) that the peripheral part of the minor septa in the counter-lateral sector is distinctly thicker than in the alar one, 2) that the pair of minor septa on both sides of the counter septum.
Devonodiscus is known on both sides of the equator, in the northern and western area of the Old World Realm and in the western part of the Eastern Americas realm.

Conclusions

Detailed conclusions are provided at the end of each palaeontological section, however we can stress that the most interesting forms were not previously described by Morales (1965). The studied material allows description of 1) a new species of Granulidictyum and a new category of this subgenus characterized by Pleurodictyum-like calicinal bases, 2) a microlamellar favositid assigned to Paleofavosites sp., and 3) a new species of Devonodiscus. The genus Devonodiscus seems to be rather uncommon in South America whereas it is abundant in northwestern Canada. Devonodiscus is distributed in three distinct areas and in three levels of the lower and middle Devonian. Lastly, Pleurodictyum americanum sensu Morales, 1965 is reassigned to Amazonodictyum amazonicum and thus, the genus Pleurodictyum is, as far as I know, not recorded in Columbia.

Acknowledgements

The specimens were obtained for study by courtesy of P. Racheboeuf; P. Morzadec as well as P. Racheboeuf provided information about the material and the stratigraphy of the Floresta Formation and R. Gourvennec helped with the photographs and Photoshop © matters. Thanks to A. Pedder for making available unpublished data on his new genus Devonodiscus and for giving me nice specimens of D. latisubex, and to M.V. Pardo Alonso for stratigraphic information about the Chillón locality. Special thanks to A.J. Wright for the linguistic review of this paper as well as for relevant scientific comments and to the reviewers Ross McLean and Alan Pedder for valuable suggestions and other items that helped to improve the manuscript.

References

Bassler, R.S. 1937. The Paleozoic rugose coral family Paleocyclidae. Journal of Paleontology 11, 189–201.
Bespovzvanikh, N.I., Dubatolov, V.N., Kravtsov, A.G., Latypov, Yu.Ya. & Spassky, N.Ya. 1975. Devonian Rugosa of Taimyr-Kolymian Province. Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Sibirskoe Otdelenie, Trudy Instituta Geologii i Geofiziki 228, 1–172. [in Russian]
Brenneke, R. 1974. Zur Herkunft der devonischen cystiformen Rugosa. Senckenbergiana Lethaea, 54, 453–473.
Cастер, К.Е. 1939. A Devonian fauna from Columbia. Bulletins of American Paleontology 24(83), 1–218.
Clarke, J.M. 1913. Fossieis Devonianos do Paraná. Monografias do Servicio Geologico e Mineralógico do Brasil, 1, 1–353. DOI 10.5962/bhl.title.146614
Yves Plusquellec • Emsian Tabulata and Rugosa from the Floresta Fm. of Columbia
PEDDER, A.E.H. 2019. Systematics, biostratigraphy and significance of discoid and partly discoid corals from the Devonian of northwestern Canada, Ural Mountains Russia and southeastern Australia. *Bulletin of Geosciences* 94(2), 137–168. DOI 10.3140/bull.geosci.1734

PLUSQUELLEC, Y. 1976. Les polypliers – Tabulata, 183–225. In Lardeux, H. (coord.) *Les Schistes et calcaires de Saint-Cénéré. Mémoires de la Société géologique et minéralogique de Bretagne* 19.

PLUSQUELLEC, Y. 2007. Histoire naturelle des pleurodicytiformes (Cnidaria, Tabulata, Dévonien) du Massif armoricain et des régions maghrébo-européennes principalement. *Mémoires de la Société géologique et minéralogique de Bretagne* 32, 1–137.

PLUSQUELLEC, Y. & FERNÁNDEZ-MARTÍNEZ, E. 2007. Comments on the paper by A. May “Micheliniiidae and Cleistoporidae (Anthozoa, Tabulata) from the Devonian of Spain”. *Bulletin of Geosciences* 82(1), 85–89. DOI 10.3140/bull.geosci.2007.01.85

PLUSQUELLEC, Y. & SEMENOFF-TIAN-CHANTSY, P. 1972. Révision de *Combophyllum osismorume* M.E. et H., 1850 (Tétracorallaire dévonien). *Bulletin du Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle* 100, 411–460.

PLUSQUELLEC, Y. & TOUERNE, F. 1998. Persistance de Favoritides microlamellaires (Cnidaria, Tabulata) dans le Dévonien. *Comptes rendus de l’Académie des Sciences, Paris* 326, 283–289. DOI 10.1016/S1251-8050(97)86819-X

PLUSQUELLEC, Y., DESBIENS, S. & GOURVENNEC, R. 2011. *Procteria* (Pachyprocteria) vermifera n. sp., an unusual *Hicetes*-bearing species of tabulate coral from the lower Eifelian of the Gaspé Sandstones Group, Rimouski County (Québec, Canada). *Canadian Journal of earth Sciences* 48, 1530–1542. DOI 10.1139/e11-058

PLUSQUELLEC, Y., TOUERNE, F. & FERNÁNDEZ-MARTÍNEZ, E. 2012. Tabulate corals (branching forms), 281–294. In RACHEBOUF ET AL. *New data on the Silurian–Devonian palaeontology and biostratigraphy of Bolivia. Bulletin of Geosciences* 87(2). DOI 10.3140/bull.geosci.1248

PLUSQUELLEC, Y., TOUERNE, F. & WEYER, D. 2013. Revision of *Pleurodictyum lonsdalii* Rh. Richter, 1855, a representative of the genus *Petridictyum* Schindewolf, 1959 (Anthozoa,Tabulata, lower Devonian, Thuringia. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen* 267/2, 193–214. DOI 10.1127/0077-7749/2013/0304

POWELL, J.H. & SCRUTTON, C.T. 1978. Variation in the Silurian tabulate coral *Paleofavosites asper*, and the status of *Mesofavosites*. *Palaeontology* 21(2), 307–319.

ROEMER, F. 1876. *Leithaea palaeozoica* oder Beschreibung und abbildung der für die einzelnen Abteilungen der paläozoischen Formation bezeichnendsten Versteinerungen. Atlas. 68 pls Schweizerbarts’che Verlag, Stuttgart.

SCHINDEWOLF, O. 1959. Würmer und Korallen als synöken. Zur Kenntnis der Systeme Aspidosiphon/Heteroparsumma und Hicetes/Pleurodictyum. *Abhandlungen der mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Klasse* (1958) 6, 261–327.

SCHLÜTER, C. 1889. Anthozoöen des rheinischen Mittel Devon. *Abhandlungen zur geologischen Specialkarte von Preusen und den Thuringischen Staaten* 8(4), 1–207.

SEMENOFF-TIAN-CHANTSY, P. 1987. Sous-classe des tetracorallaires, 765–781. In DOUMENC, D. (ed.) *Traité de Zoologie, tome III, Cnidaires Anthozoaires, fascicule 3*. Masson, Paris.

SOKOLOV, B.S. 1950. Sistematika i istorija razvitija paleozojskich korallov Anthozoa Tabulata. *Voprosy Paleontologii* 1, 134–210. [in Russian]

STAUFFER, C.R. 1952. The coral Microcyclus and some of its Devonian species. *Geological Survey of Canada Bulletin* 24, 1–33. DOI 10.4095/101525

STUMM, E.C. 1950. Corals of the Devonian Traverse Group of Michigan. Part III, Antholites, Pleurodictyum, and Procteria. *Contributions from the Museum of Paleontology, University of Michigan* 8(8), 205–220.

STUMM, E.C. 1967. Tabulate corals of the Silica Shale (Middle Devonian) of northwestern Ohio and southern Michigan. *Contributions from the Museum of Paleontology, University of Michigan* 21(4), 86–104.

SWARTZ, F.M. 1925. The Devonian Fauna of Bolivia. *John Hopkins University Studies* 6, 29–68.

TWENHOEFEL, W.H. 1914. The Anticosti Island Faunas. *Museum Bulletin, Geological Series, Canada Geological Survey* 19(3), 1–39. DOI 10.5962/bhl.title.63998

UYENO, T.T., TELFORD, P.G. & SANFORD, B.V. 1982. Devonian conodonts and stratigraphy of southern Ontario. *Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin* 332, 1–55. DOI 10.4095/119432

VERILL, A.E. 1865. Classification of polyps (Extract condensed from a synopsis of the polypi of the North Pacific Exploring Expedition, under captains Ringgold and Rogers, U.S.N. *Essex Institute Proceedings* 4, 145–149.

WAAGEN, W.H. & WENTZEL, J. 1886. *Salt Range fossils*, vol. 1, *Productus Limestone fossils*; 6, *Coelenterata*. *Palaeontologica Indica* 13, 835–924.

WANG, G., PERCIVAL, I.G. & LI, R. 2015. Remarks on the pattern of septal insertion in rugose corals. *Alcheringa* 39, 388–393. DOI 10.1080/03115518.2015.1008777

WEDEKIND, R. 1937. Einführung in die Grundlagen der historischen Geologie. II. Band. Mikrobiostratigraphie, Die Korallen- und Foraminiferenzeit. viii + 136 pp. Ferdinand Enke, Stuttgart.

WEISSERWEL, W. 1941a. Korallen aus dem Unterdevon des östlichen und westlichen Schiefergebirges Thüringens. Die Korallen des Thüringischen Devons. Teil 2. *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Geologischen Gesellschaft* 93, 163–212.

WEISSERWEL, W. 1941b. Favoritiden aus schief krigen Mittel- und Unter-Devon im Rheinischen Schiefergebirge. *Senckenbergiana* 23(1/3), 177–182.

WEYER, D. 1970. *Granulidictyum* Schindewolf, 1959 (Anthozoa, Tabulata) im Unterdevon des Thüringer Schiefergebirges. *Geologie* 19(9), 1115–1121.

WEYER, D. 2014. *Thurispina* nov. gen. (Anthozoa, Rugosa) from the Upper Famennian of Thuringia (Germany). *Freiberger Forschungshefte C* 548, 109–151.