The effect of uncertain material properties on free vibrations of thin periodic plates
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Abstract Thin periodic plates with uncertain properties in a periodicity cell are investigated. To describe dynamics of these plates the non-asymptotic tolerance modelling method, cf. Woźniak and Wierzbicki (Averaging techniques in thermomechanics of composite solids. Wydawnictwo Politechniki Częstochowskiej, Częstochowa, 15), Woźniak et al. (eds.) (Thermomechanics of microheterogeneous solids and structures. Tolerance averaging approach. Wydawnictwo Politechniki Łódzkiej, Łódź, 16), for those plates is applied. The governing equations of tolerance models based on this method take into account the effect of period lengths on the overall behaviour of the plate. Hence, the additional effects of the periodicity can be analysed, as higher order vibrations. Moreover, properties of the plate in the periodicity cell are determined uncertainly. To analyse an influence of random variables of the properties with a fixed probability distribution on vibrations of the plate the Monte Carlo analysis is applied.
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1 Introduction

Elements of constructions in the civil engineering are often periodic structures, e.g. elements of roofs, walls, elements of building foundations, having periodic distribution of reinforcements. Using these elements, constructions can be more optimally designed. However, materials used to made of these structures can have properties specified with some probability. These uncertain properties can play a role in some dynamic problems of such structures.

Hence, in this paper a special case of those structures is considered, i.e. thin plates, cf. Fig. 1, with periodically distributed stiffeners in both directions. Plates of this kind, called periodic plates, consist of many small identical elements, called periodicity cells. Properties of them are described by highly oscillating, periodic and often non-continuous functions. Additionally, these properties are determined with some probability in the periodicity cell. Because an analysis of engineering problems of plates with periodic properties is rather difficult using the plate theory equations, various averaged models are formulated. These models replace usually real periodic plates by certain homogeneous plates with constant homogenized properties. Between these models it can be mentioned those based on the method of asymptotic homogenization for periodic media, cf. Bensoussan et al. [1]. Models of this kind for periodic plates can be found in a series of papers, e.g. Caillerie [2], Kohn and Vogelius [3]. Some models of such plates based on the
microlocal parameters approach are also proposed, cf. Matysiak and Nagórko [4]. Similar averaged models are applied successfully to analyse other problems, e.g. temperature distributions in a periodically stratified layer by Matysiak and Perkowski [5]. Plates of this kind can be also analysed using other methods, e.g. similar to those for plates with orthotropy, cf. Ambartsumyan [6]. In many papers, various mechanical problems are considered, e.g. effect of damping on the random vibration of nonlinear periodic plates is analysed by Reinhall and Miles [7]. Wave propagation in periodic sandwich plates with honeycomb core is shown by Massimo and Panos [8]. Natural frequencies of thick plates with various structure are investigated by Batra et al. [9]. A two-dimensional analytical solution of a multilayered plate with a periodic structure along one in-plane direction is obtained by Wen-Ming He et al. [10], with using the two-scale asymptotic expansion method to develop a homogenized model. The finite element method is applied to static and dynamic analyses of laminated plates by Fantuzzi et al. [11]. An application of the spectral element method to consider vibration band gap properties of periodic plates is presented by Zhi-Jing Wu et al. [12]. Some buckling problems using analytical and numerical methods for sandwich beams with variable properties of the core are also considered, cf. Grygorowicz et al. [13].

Unfortunately, in governing equations of most averaged models the effect of period lengths (called also the effect of the microstructure size) on the overall dynamic plate behaviour is usually omitted. However, some of these effects, like higher order vibrations can be also considered using some special methods. For example, in paper of Zhou et al. [14] an application of the Bloch’s theorem and the centre finite difference method is used to a problem of free flexural vibration of periodic stiffened thin plates in order to obtain basic and higher frequencies as well.

This effect can be taken into account in the governing equations of non-asymptotic averaged models, based on the tolerance averaging method, presented and discussed for periodic composites and structures in the monograph by Woźniak and Wierzbicki [15] and in the book edited by Woźniak et al. [16]. The tolerance averaging procedure is applied to investigate non-stationary problems for various periodic structures in a series of papers, e.g. for periodic grounds by Dell’Isola et al. [17]; for periodic Kirchhoff’s type plates by Jędrysiaj [18–20]; for wavy plates by Michalak [21, 22]; for thin plates reinforced by a periodic system of stiffeners by Nagórko and Woźniak [23]; for Hencky–Bolle’s type plates by Baron [24]; for vibrations of thin periodic plates with the microstructure size of an order of the plate thickness by Mazur-Śniady et al. [25]; for honeycomb periodic lattice-type plates by Cielecka and Jędrysiaj [26]; for thin cylindrical periodic shells by Tomczyk [27, 28]; for medium thickness plates resting on a periodic Winkler’s foundation by Jędrysiaj and Paś [29]; for periodic three-layered plates by Marczak and Jędrysiaj [30]; for nonlinear vibrations of slender periodic beams by Domagalski and Jędrysiaj [31]. All these papers showed that the effect of the microstructure size plays a crucial role in dynamics of periodic structures. Moreover, some stability problems of periodic structures are analysed with this effect, e.g. dynamic stability for periodic plates by Jędrysiaj [32].
and for periodic shells by Tomczyk [28]. Static problems of periodic structures are also considered, e.g. periodic thin plates with moderately large deflections are analysed by Domagalski and Jędrysiaj [33, 34]. This modelling method is applied successfully to analysis some non-stationary and stationary problems of functionally graded structures, e.g. stability of functionally graded structure interacting with elastic heterogeneous subsoil is considered by Perliński et al. [35]; transversally graded thin plates are analysed by Jędrysiaj and Kaźmierczak [36]; Pazera and Jędrysiaj [37] show thermoelastic phenomena in transversally graded laminates; heat conduction phenomenon in micro-heterogeneous solids was investigated by Ostrowski and Michalak [38, 39].

On the other side, an influence of uncertain parameters or properties of various structures using different methods is investigated in many papers, e.g.: a sensitivity of probabilistic characteristics in an eigenvalue problem and buckling is considered by Śniady and Źukowski [40]. Probabilistic approaches are used to show some formulations of optimal structural design problems for beams with internal cracks by Banichuk et al. [41]. Vibrations of a beam with periodically varying geometry under moving load using a deterministic and stochastic approach are analysed by Mazur-Śniady and Śniady [42], where to a dynamics of the beam is used the tolerance averaging approach. An application of a perturbation method of geometrically nonlinear uncertain systems under static and dynamic deterministic loads is used by Impollonia and Muscolino [43]. By Li and Chen [44] it is proposed a probability density evolution method to analyse a dynamic response of structures with random parameters. A certain overview of non-probabilistic methods for non-deterministic numerical analysis, and a comparison to the classical probabilistic approaches is presented by Moens and Vandepitte [45]. By Śniady et al. [46] a probabilistic dynamic analysis of a structure with uncertain parameters under stochastic excitations is shown. Statistical dynamic responses of geometrically nonlinear shells with stochastic Young’s modulus are considered by Chang et al. [47], where the stochastic finite element method with the perturbation technique and the Newton–Raphson iteration procedure are applied. Axial vibrations of a finite micro-periodic rod with uncertain parameters under a moving random load are analysed by Mazur-Śniady et al. [48], where the perturbation method is used and the tolerance averaging approach is applied to pass from differential equations with periodic coefficients to differential equations with constant coefficients. Natural frequencies of a bridge beam are modelled by fuzzy numbers, random variables or fuzzy random variables by Gładysz and Śniady [49].

By Chiba [50] the Monte Carlo method is used to analytical solutions for the deterministic temperature and thermal stresses, obtained for an axisymmetrically heated functionally graded annular disc of variable thickness with spatially random heat transfer coefficients. The effects of dispersion in material properties on free vibration response of composite plates with geometric nonlinearity in von-Karman sense are investigated by Singh et al. [51]. A non-stationary stochastic excitation process is used to a flexural stiffness or eigenvalue frequency identification of a linear structure by Jarczewska et al. [52], where the dynamical problem is transformed into a static one by integrating the input and the output signals. The generalized stochastic perturbation technique is applied to thermo-piezoelectric analysis of solid continua by Kamiński and Corigliano [53], where the discretization is made of the stochastic perturbation-based finite element method. The effect of the prestress on the overall mechanical properties of the random elastic composite with residual stresses is considered by Dal Corso and Deseri [54]. First order perturbation technique is applied to find the solution of random eigenvalue problem by Shegokar and Lal [55], where large amplitude free vibrations of shear deformable functionally graded material beams with thermopiezoelectric loadings, and with random material properties are presented. An efficient uncertainty quantification scheme for frequency responses of laminated composite plates is examined by Dey et al. [56]. Secgin et al. [57] introduced a modal impedance technique for mid frequency vibration analyses and employed a Monte Carlo simulation for uncertainty analysis. The cantilever non-uniform gravity-loaded Euler–Bernoulli beams are numerically analysed to find the probabilistic nature of the stiffness distribution for the known probability distributions of the frequencies by Sarkar et al. [58].

The main aim of this note is to investigate a problem of the influence of uncertain parameters of a thin periodic plate on its vibrations. In order to make
this dynamic analysis a non-asymptotic averaged model, called the tolerance model, which describes
the effect of the microstructure size in non-stationary problems, is applied. Some probabilistic characteristics of free vibrations of the plate, which has a periodicity cell with uncertain material properties, are analysed using the Monte Carlo simulation method.
Hence, in this paper it is investigated the influence of random variables of the properties with a probability distribution on fundamental lower and additional higher vibrations of the plate.

2 Fundamental relations

Let \(0, x_2, x_3\) be the orthogonal Cartesian co-ordinate system in the physical space and \(t\) be the time coordinate. Let subscripts \(\alpha, \beta, \ldots, (i, j, \ldots)\) run over \(1, 2, 3\) (over \(1, 2, 3\)) and indices \(A, B, \ldots, (a, b, \ldots)\) run over \(1, \ldots, N\) \((1, \ldots, n)\). It is assumed that summation convention holds for all aforementioned indices. Let us introduce \(\mathbf{x} \equiv (x_1, x_2)\) and \(z \equiv x_3\). Let the region \(\Omega \equiv \{(x, z): -d(x)/2 < z < d(x)/2, x \in \Pi\}\) be occupied by the undeformed plate, with \(\Pi\) as the midplane with length dimensions \(L_1, L_2\) along the \(x_1\) and \(x_2\)-axes, respectively, and \(d(x)\) as the plate thickness.

Plates under consideration are assumed to have a periodic structure along the \(x_1\)- and \(x_2\)-axis directions with periods \(l_1, l_2\), respectively, in planes parallel to the plate midplane. By \(\Delta \equiv [-l_1/2, l_1/2] \times [-l_2/2, l_2/2]\) the periodicity basic cell on \(0, x_2, x_3\) plane is denoted. The cell size is specified by a parameter \(l \equiv [(l_1)^2 + (l_2)^2]^{1/2}\), which satisfies the condition \(\max(d) < l < \min(L_1, L_2)\), and \(l\) is called the microstructure parameter. Let \((\cdot), \alpha \equiv \partial / \partial x_\alpha\) denote the partial derivatives with respect to a space co-ordinate.

Properties of the plate, i.e. thickness \(d(x)\), elastic moduli \(a_{ijkl} = a_{ijkl}(x, z)\) and mass density \(\rho = \rho(x, z)\) can be periodic functions in \(x\). Moreover, the material plate properties are assumed to be even functions in \(z\). Denote by \(a_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, a_{\alpha\beta\gamma33}, a_{3333}\) the non-zero components of the elastic moduli tensor. Hence, we define \(c_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \equiv a_{\alpha\beta\gamma33}a_{\delta333}a_{3333}\). Let \(u_\alpha, e_\alpha\) and \(s_\alpha\) be displacements, strains and stresses, respectively; \(\tilde{u}_\alpha\) and \(\tilde{e}_\alpha\) — virtual displacements and virtual strains; \(p\) — loadings along the \(z\)-axis.

Now, the fundamental relations of the known thin plates theory are reminded.

- The kinematic assumptions of thin plates

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_2(x, z, t) &= -z \partial_z w(x, t), \\
  u_3(x, z, t) &= w(x, t),
\end{align*}
\]
where \(w(x, t)\) is the deflection of the midplane. Similarly, these are for virtual displacements:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \tilde{u}_2(x, z) &= -z \partial_z \tilde{w}(x), \\
  \tilde{u}_3(x, z) &= \tilde{w}(x).
\end{align*}
\]

- The strain–displacement relations

\[
e_{\alpha\beta} = u(x, \beta).
\]

- The stress–strain relations (it is assumed that the plane of elastic symmetry is parallel to the plane \(z = 0\))

\[
s_{\alpha\beta} = c_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} e_{\gamma\delta},
\]
with:

\[
\begin{align*}
  c_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= a_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - a_{\alpha\beta33}a_{33\gamma\delta}/a_{3333}, \\
  c_{\alpha333} &= a_{\alpha333} - a_{3333}a_{33\alpha33}/a_{3333}.
\end{align*}
\]

- The virtual work equation

\[
\int_{\Pi} \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} \rho \tilde{u}_\alpha u_\alpha dz da + \int_{\Pi} \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} s_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta} dz da = \int_{\Pi} \tilde{p}_3(x, \frac{d}{2}) da,
\]
which is satisfied for arbitrary virtual displacements \((2)\) neglected on the plate boundary; \(da = dx_1dx_2\); the virtual displacements are sufficiently regular, independent functions.

Properties averaged along the plate thickness are periodic functions in \(x\), i.e. stiffness’ tensor: \(d_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\), and inertia properties: \(\mu, j\), defined as:

\[
\begin{align*}
d_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(x) &= \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} c_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(x, z) z^2 dz, \\
\mu(x) &= \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} \rho(x, z) dz, \\
j(x) &= \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} \rho(x, z) z^2 dz.
\end{align*}
\]

Combining assumptions \((1)–(4)\) of the linear two-dimensional thin plate theory with Eq. \((6)\), applying the divergence theorem and the du Bois–Reymond lemma to Eq. \((6)\), after some manipulations the
governing equations of thin linear-elastic periodic plates can be written in the form:

- the constitutive equations:
  \[ m_{2\beta} = d_{2\beta} \delta \omega_{x,\beta}, \]
  \[ (8) \]
- the equilibrium equation:
  \[ m_{2\beta,xx} + \mu \ddot{\omega} - j \dot{\omega}_{xx} = p, \]
  \[ (9) \]

or after substituting Eqs. (8) into (9) as:

\[ (d_{2\beta} \delta \omega_{x,\beta})_{,\beta} + \mu \ddot{\omega} - j \dot{\omega}_{xx} = p. \]

\[ (10) \]

For periodic plates coefficients of Eqs. (8)–(9) are highly oscillating, periodic functions in \( x \), cf. (7), and can be also discontinuous. Finding solutions to these equations is rather very difficult.

Firstly, in this paper original equations are replaced by systems of equations with constant coefficients of approximated models, which describe (or not) the information about the microstructure of considered plates. Secondly, the effect of uncertain properties of the plate in the periodicity cell is analysed, using the Monte Carlo simulation method.

### 3 Tolerance modelling

#### 3.1 Introductory concepts

Some introductory concepts are used in the tolerance modelling. Following books [15, 16] some of them are reminded below.

Let cell at \( x \in \Pi \Delta \) be denoted by \( \Delta(x) = x + \Delta \), where \( \Pi \Delta = \{ x \in \Pi: \Delta(x) \subset \Pi \} \). The averaging operator is the basic concept of the modelling technique, which is defined by

\[ \langle \phi \rangle(x) = (l_1 l_2)^{-1} \int_{\Delta(x)} \phi(y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2, \quad x \in \Pi \Delta, \]

\[ (11) \]

for any integrable function \( \phi \). For periodic function \( \phi \) of \( x \) the averaged value calculated from (11) is constant.

Denote by \( \delta \) and \( X \) an arbitrary positive number and a linear normed space, respectively. Tolerance relation \( \approx \) for a certain positive constant \( \delta \), called the tolerance parameter, is defined by

\[ (\forall (x_1, x_2) \in X^2) \quad [x_1 \approx x_2 \Leftrightarrow ||x_1 - x_2||_X \leq \delta]. \]

\[ (12) \]

Let \( \partial^k \phi \) be the \( k \)th gradient of function \( \phi = \phi(x) \), \( x \in \Pi, \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, \alpha \), \( \alpha \geq 0 \), and \( \partial^0 \phi \equiv \phi \). Let \( \bar{\partial}^k = \bar{\partial}^k(x, y) \) be a function defined in \( \Pi \times \mathbb{R}^n \), and \( \bar{\partial} \equiv \bar{\partial}^0 \). Denote also \( \Pi \approx \Pi \cap \bigcup_{z \in \Delta(x)} \Delta(z) \), \( \bar{\Pi} \).

Function \( \phi \in H^2(\Pi) \) is the tolerance-periodic function, \( \phi \in TP^2_0(\Pi, \Delta) \), (with respect to cell \( \Delta \) and tolerance parameter \( \delta \)), if for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, \alpha \), it satisfies the following conditions

\[ (i) \quad (\forall x \in \Pi) \exists \bar{\partial}^k(x, \cdot) \in H^0(\Delta)||\bar{\partial}^k|_{\Delta(z)}(\cdot) - \bar{\partial}^k(x, \cdot)||_{H^0(\Delta)} \leq \delta, \]

\[ (ii) \quad \int_{\Delta(z)} \bar{\partial}^k(x, \cdot) dz \in C^0(\Pi); \]

\[ (13) \]

where \( \bar{\partial}^k(x, \cdot) \) is the periodic approximation of \( \partial^k \phi \) in \( \Delta(x) \), \( x \in \Pi, \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, \alpha \).

Function \( F \in H^2(\Pi) \) is the slowly-varying function, \( F \in SV^2_0(\Pi, \Delta) \), if

\[ (i) \quad F \in TP^2_0(\Pi, \Delta), \]

\[ (ii) \quad (\forall x \in \Pi) \bar{\partial}^k(x, \cdot)|_{\Delta(x)} = \bar{\partial}^k F(x), \ k = 0, \ldots, \alpha. \]

\[ (14) \]

Function \( \phi \in H^2(\Pi) \) is the highly oscillating function, \( \phi \in HO^2_0(\Pi, \Delta) \), if

\[ (i) \quad \phi \in TP^2_0(\Pi, \Delta), \]

\[ (ii) \quad (\forall x \in \Pi) \bar{\partial}^k(x, \cdot)|_{\Delta(x)} = \partial^k \phi(x), \ k = 0, \ldots, \alpha, \]

\[ (iii) \quad (\forall F \in SV^2_0(\Pi, \Delta) \exists \phi = \phi F \in TP^2_0(\Pi, \Delta) \bar{\partial}^k(x, \cdot)|_{\Delta(x)} = F(x) \partial^k \phi(x)|_{\Delta(x)}, \ k = 1, \ldots, \alpha. \]

\[ (15) \]

Let us introduce a highly oscillating function \( g(\cdot) \), \( g \in HO^2_0(\Pi, \Delta) \), defined on \( \Pi \), being continuous together with gradient \( \partial^1 g \) and with a piecewise continuous and bounded gradient \( \partial^2 g \). Function \( g(\cdot) \) is the fluctuation shape function of the 2nd kind, \( FS^2_0(\Pi, \Delta) \), if it depends on \( l \) as a parameter and holds the conditions:

\[ (i) \quad \partial^k g \in O(l^{\alpha-k}) \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \ldots, \alpha, \alpha = 2, \partial^0 g \equiv g, \]

\[ (ii) \quad g(x) \approx 0 \forall x \in \Pi \Delta, \]

\[ (16) \]

where \( l \) is the microstructure parameter. Condition (16 ii) can be replaced by \( \langle \mu g \rangle(x) \approx 0 \) for every
3.2 Tolerance fundamental assumptions

In the tolerance modelling there are used two fundamental modelling assumptions. These assumptions are formulated in the general form in the books [15, 16]. Below, they are presented in the form for thin periodic plates.

The first assumption is the macro-decomposition, in which it is assumed that the deflection can be decomposed as:

\[ w(\mathbf{x}, t) = W(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{g}^A(\mathbf{x})V^A(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad A = 1, \ldots, N, \]  

(17)

and functions \( W(\cdot, t), V^A(\cdot, t) \in SV_\Delta^2(\Pi, \Delta) \) are the basic unknowns, called the macrodeflection, the fluctuation amplitudes of the deflection, respectively. Functions \( \mathbf{g}^A(\cdot) \in FS_\Delta^0(\Pi, \Delta) \) are the known fluctuation shape functions, satisfying in this problem the condition \( \langle \mu \mathbf{g}^A \rangle = 0 \). The fluctuation shape functions can be obtained as solutions to eigenvalue problems formulated for the periodicity cell, cf. Je˛drysiak [20]. However, in the most cases they are assumed in an approximate form as, e.g. trigonometric functions, Je˛drysiak [19].

Similar assumption to (17) is also introduced for virtual deflections \( \bar{w}(\cdot) \):

\[ \bar{w}(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{W}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{g}^A(\mathbf{x})\bar{V}^A(\mathbf{x}), \quad A = 1, \ldots, N, \]  

(18)

with slowly-varying functions \( \bar{W}(\cdot), \bar{V}^A(\cdot) \in SV_\Delta^2(\Pi, \Delta) \).

The second assumption is the tolerance averaging approximation, which makes it possible to neglect terms \( O(\delta) \), as negligibly small, in the course of modelling, i.e. they can be omitted in the following formulas:

\[ (i) \quad \langle \phi \rangle = \langle \phi \rangle(\mathbf{x}) + O(\delta), \]
\[ (ii) \quad \langle \phi F \rangle = \langle \phi \rangle(\mathbf{x})F(\mathbf{x}) + O(\delta), \]
\[ (iii) \quad \langle \phi g_{\gamma \alpha} \rangle = \langle \phi g_{\gamma} \rangle(\mathbf{x})F(\mathbf{x}) + O(\delta), \]

\( \mathbf{x} \in \Pi; \quad \gamma = 1, 2; \quad \alpha = 1, 2; \quad 0 < \delta < \infty; \)
\( \phi \in TP_\delta^0(\Pi, \Delta); \quad F \in SV_\Delta^2(\Pi, \Delta); \quad g \in FS_\Delta^0(\Pi, \Delta). \)

(19)

3.3 The modelling procedure

In the modelling procedure there are applied the above concepts and fundamental assumptions. The procedure can be divided into four steps.

In the first step micro–macro decompositions (17) and (18) are substituted into the virtual work Eq. (6). Then, the averaging operation is used to average the resulting equation over a periodicity cell in the second step, cf. Je˛drysiak [19]. In the next step, after some manipulations, using formulas (19) the tolerance averaged virtual work equation is obtained. Introducing averaged constitutive relations:

\[ M_{x^\beta} = -\langle \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} s_{x^\beta} \bar{z} dz \rangle, \]
\[ M^A = -\langle \mathbf{g}^A_{x^\beta} \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} s_{x^\beta} \bar{z} dz \rangle, \]

(20)

the tolerance averaged virtual work equation can be written as:

\[ \int_\Pi \langle \mu \rangle \bar{W} \delta Wda + \int_\Pi \langle \mu \mathbf{g}^A \rangle \bar{V}^A \delta V^A da \]
\[ - \int_\Pi \langle j \rangle \bar{W}_{,\alpha} + \langle j \mathbf{g}^A_{,\alpha} \rangle \bar{V}^A \delta V^A da \]
\[ + \int_\Pi \langle j \mathbf{g}^A_{,\alpha} \rangle \bar{W}_{,\alpha} + \langle j \mathbf{g}^A_{,\alpha} \mathbf{g}^B_{,\beta} \rangle \bar{V}^A \delta V^A da \]
\[ + \int_\Pi M_{x^\beta,x^\gamma} \delta Wda + \int_\Pi M^A \delta V^A da = \int_\Pi p \delta Wda. \]

(21)

Applying the divergence theorem and the du Bois–Reymond lemma to Eq. (21) after some manipulations governing equations of the tolerance model are obtained.

4 Models equations

4.1 Tolerance model

Introducing denotations:

\[ D_{x^\beta,\gamma} \equiv \langle d_{x^\beta,\gamma} \rangle, \quad D^A_{x^\beta} \equiv \langle d_{x^\beta,\gamma} \mathbf{g}^A_{,\gamma} \rangle, \quad D^{AB}_{x^\beta,\gamma} \equiv \langle d_{x^\beta,\gamma} \mathbf{g}^A_{,\alpha} \mathbf{g}^B_{,\beta} \rangle, \]
\[ m \equiv \langle \mu \rangle, \quad n^{AB} \equiv l^{-4} \langle \mu \mathbf{g}^A \mathbf{g}^B \rangle, \]
\[ \bar{\nu} \equiv \langle j \rangle, \quad \bar{v}^A_{,\alpha} \equiv l^{-1} \langle j \mathbf{g}^A_{,\alpha} \rangle, \quad \bar{\nu}^{AB}_{,\alpha} \equiv l^{-2} \langle j \mathbf{g}^A_{,\alpha} \mathbf{g}^B_{,\beta} \rangle, \quad \bar{p}^A \equiv l^{-2} \langle \mathbf{p} \mathbf{g}^A \rangle, \]

(22)
applying the tolerance modelling procedure, the system of equations for the macrodeflection \( W \) and the fluctuation amplitudes of the deflection \( V^A \) is derived:

- the constitutive equations:
  \[
  M_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} W_{\gamma\delta} + D_{\alpha\beta}^A V^A, \\
  M^A = D_{\alpha\beta}^A W_{\gamma\delta} + D^{AB} V^B,
  \]
  (23)

- the equilibrium equations:
  \[
  M_{\alpha\beta,\alpha\beta} + m \ddot{W} - \partial \ddot{W}_{,xx} - l \partial_{x}^{A} V^A = P, \\
  M^A + l \partial_{x}^{A} \ddot{W}_{,x} + l^2 (P m^{AB} + \partial_{\alpha}^{AB} V^B) = l^2 P^A.
  \]
  (24)

Equations (23)–(24) together with micro–macro decomposition (17) define the **tolerance model of thin periodic plates**. These equations have constant coefficients and involve terms with the microstructure parameter \( l \). Hence, this model describes the effect of the microstructure size on the overall plate behaviour by these terms in the governing equations. For considered plates boundary conditions have to be formulated only for the macrodeflection \( W \). Moreover, the basic unknowns of Eqs. (23)–(24) have to satisfy the following conditions: \( W(\cdot,t), V^A(\cdot,t) \in SV^2(\Pi,\Delta) \), i.e. they are slowly-varying functions in \( x \).

4.2 Asymptotic model

Model equations of the asymptotic model can be obtained, from the formal point of view, using the asymptotic modelling procedure. Below, this is done by simply neglecting \( O(l^n) \) terms, \( n = 1,2,\ldots \), in Eqs. (23)–(24).

From Eqs. (23)–(24) of the **asymptotic model equations** take the form:

- the constitutive equations:
  \[
  M_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} W_{\gamma\delta} + D_{\alpha\beta}^A V^A, \\
  M^A = D_{\alpha\beta}^A W_{\gamma\delta} + D^{AB} V^B,
  \]
  (25)

- the equilibrium equations:
  \[
  M_{\alpha\beta,\alpha\beta} + m \ddot{W} - \partial \ddot{W}_{,xx} = P, \\
  M^A = 0,
  \]
  (26)

where all coefficients are constant.

It can be observed that Eqs. (25)–(26) with micro–macro decomposition (17) constitute the **asymptotic model of thin periodic plates**. This model describes these plates under consideration only on the macro level.

5 An example: vibrations of a plate band

5.1 Introduction

In an example there is considered a case of free vibrations of a periodic plate band, cf. Fig. 2, wherein material properties in the periodicity cell are specified uncertainly. However, all other plate parameters are deterministic. Moreover, formulas of free vibration frequencies are still derived as for a deterministic case.

Let a periodic plate band with span \( L = L_1 \) be considered, \( \{x = x_1\} \). The periodicity cell \( \Delta_t = [-l/2, l/2] \times \{0\} \) is now one-dimensional (cf. Fig. 3). It is assumed that the plate thickness \( d \) and the Poisson’s ratio \( \nu \) are constant. However, the mass density \( \rho \), the Young’s modulus \( E \) are described by the following periodically varying, piece-wise constant functions

\[
\rho(x), E(x) = \begin{cases} 
\rho^\prime, E^\prime & \text{if } x \in ((1-\gamma)l/2, (1+\gamma)l/2), \\
\rho^n, E^n & \text{if } x \in [0, (1-\gamma)l/2] \cup [(1+\gamma)l/2, l].
\end{cases}
\]

(27)
where $\gamma \in [0,1]$.

5.2 Free vibrations of a periodic plate band

In the example let us consider free vibrations of a periodic plate band. Moreover, in our analysis it is assumed only one fluctuation shape function, $g = g^A$, $(N = A = 1)$, in the form proper for the presented periodicity cell, Fig. 3. Hence, coefficients $\delta^A = 0$. Moreover, let us denote $V = V^A$. For free vibrations of the plate band under consideration and using the following denotations:

$$
B \equiv D_{1111}, \quad B^+ \equiv D^1_{11}, \quad B^* \equiv D^{11}, \quad \bar{\mu} \equiv \nu m^{11}, \quad \bar{\nu} = (j), \quad \bar{\vartheta} = \bar{\vartheta}_{1111},
$$

Eqs. (23)–(24) of the tolerance model take the form:

$$
(BW_{1111} + \bar{B}V_{1111}) + \bar{\mu} \bar{W} - \bar{\nu} \bar{W}_{11} = 0,
$$

where

$$
W_{1111} + \bar{B}V + \bar{\mu}^2 (\bar{\mu} + \bar{\nu}) \bar{V} = 0.
$$

Similarly, Eqs. (25)–(26) of the asymptotic model lead to one differential equation in the form:

$$
(B - \bar{B}) W_{1111} + \bar{\mu} \bar{W} - \bar{\nu} \bar{W}_{11} = 0.
$$

5.3 Free vibration frequencies of a periodic plate band

In the most cases of the modelling the approximate form of fluctuation shape functions $g^A$ can be applied. For the considered plate band one function is assumed as

$$
g(x) = l^2 [\cos(2\pi x/l) + c],
$$

where the constant $c$ derived from the condition $\langle \mu \rangle = 0$ is $c = (\mu)^{-1} \langle \mu \cos(2\pi x/l) \rangle; \ x \in [-l/2, l/2]$.

Solutions to Eq. (29) are assumed in the form satisfying boundary conditions for a simply supported plate band, i.e.:

$$
W(x,t) = A_W \sin(\alpha x) \cos(\omega t),
$$

where $\alpha = \pi/L$ is a wave number.

Substituting solutions (32) to Eqs. (29) we obtain two algebraic equations for $A_W, A_V$. Calculated coefficients (28) are described now by:

$$
\bar{B} = \frac{d^4}{12(1 - \nu^2)} [E''(1 - \gamma) + \gamma E'],
$$

$$
\bar{B} = \frac{(\pi \delta)^3}{3(1 - \nu^2)} \{ (E' - E''') [2\pi \gamma + \sin(2\pi \gamma)] + 2\pi E'' \},
$$

$$
B = \frac{\pi \delta^3}{3(1 - \nu^2)} (E' - E'') \sin(\pi \gamma),
$$

$$
\bar{\mu} = \frac{d}{4\pi} \{ (\rho' - \rho'') [2\pi \gamma + \sin(2\pi \gamma)] + 2\pi \rho'' \}
$$

$$
+ \frac{d}{\pi} \{ (\rho' - \rho'') \sin(2\pi \gamma - 2 \sin(2\pi \gamma)) \} + d \rho'' c^2,
$$

$$
\bar{\nu} = \frac{(\rho' - \rho'') [2\pi \gamma - \sin(2\pi \gamma)] + 2\pi \rho''}{12}.
$$

After some manipulations we arrive at formulas for frequencies:

$$
(\omega_-)^2 \equiv \frac{x^4 (\rho' + \rho'' + \rho) B + (\mu + x^2 \bar{\nu}) B}{2(\mu + x^2 \bar{\nu}) (\rho' + \rho'' + \rho)}
$$

$$
\times \sqrt{\frac{[8x^4 (\rho' + \rho'') B + (\mu + x^2 \bar{\nu}) B]^2 + 4(x^4 B)^2 (\rho' + \rho'') (\rho' + \rho'')}}{2(\mu + x^2 \bar{\nu}) (\rho' + \rho'') (\rho' + \rho'')},
$$

$$
(\omega_+)^2 \equiv \frac{x^4 (\rho' + \rho'' + \rho) B + (\mu + x^2 \bar{\nu}) B}{2(\mu + x^2 \bar{\nu}) (\rho' + \rho'' + \rho)}
$$

$$
\times \sqrt{\frac{[8x^4 (\rho' + \rho'') B + (\mu + x^2 \bar{\nu}) B]^2 + 4(x^4 B)^2 (\rho' + \rho'') (\rho' + \rho'')}{2(\mu + x^2 \bar{\nu}) (\rho' + \rho'') (\rho' + \rho'')}}.
$$

where $\omega_-, \omega_+$ are the lower, the higher free vibration frequencies in the framework of the tolerance model. The higher frequency $\omega_+$ is related to the microstructure of the plate band.

Now, substituting solution (32) to the governing Eq. (30) of the asymptotic model, after some manipulations we arrive at the formula for a frequency:

$$
\omega^2 \equiv \frac{4x^4 \bar{B}B - \tilde{B}^2}{(\bar{\mu} + \bar{\nu} x^2) \tilde{B}},
$$

where $\omega$ is the lower free vibration frequency from the asymptotic model.

5.4 Benchmark analysis

In order to prove the correctness of the obtained tolerance model formulas (34), a comparison of results by the finite element method (FEM) and the analytical solution (AS) for a deterministic structure is made. The geometry of the simply supported plate
There are assumed that the plate thickness $l$, the stiffeners is $L$ and the band is fixed at $x_d = 0.1$ [m]. It is assumed that the volume fraction of the stiffeners is $\gamma = 0.2$, and its material properties are $E' = 50$ [GPa], $\rho' = 5000$ [kg/m$^3$], $\nu = 0.3$. The whole model is discretized in ABAQUS into linear quadrilateral elements of type S4R. The obtained results, summarized in Table 1, reveal sufficiently high compatibility of the tolerance model with the FEM and the AS. The relative error does not cross 2% for the first three modes. Therefore, there are no obstacles in using proposed in this paper mathematical model in further numerical simulations.

### 6 Calculational results

Now, some calculational results for the plate band presented in Sect. 5 are shown below.

#### 6.1 Introduction

As an example it is considered a periodic plate band with span $L$, in which the periodicity cell $A_l \equiv [-l/2, l/2] \times \{0\}$ is one-dimensional (cf. Figs. 2, 3). There are assumed that the plate thickness $d$ and the Poisson’s ratio $\nu$ are constant, but the mass density $\rho$, the Young’s modulus $E$ are given by periodic functions, cf. (27). Moreover, it is assumed that both of these properties are specified uncertainly, with the following ratios $E''/E'$, $\rho''/\rho'$ being random variables determined with a normal distribution:

$$E''/E' \sim N(0.5, 0.5a), \quad \rho''/\rho' \sim N(0.5, 0.5a),$$

(36)

where parameter $a$ can take values: $a = 0.02; 0.1$. It should be marked that the correlation between these random variables is not taken into account. The problem of this correlation will be analysed in a forthcoming paper.

In order to obtain calculational results, free vibration frequencies $\omega_-$, $\omega_+$, $\omega$, which are represented by formulas (34), (35), can be transformed to dimensionless form, as dimensionless frequency parameters, given by:

$$\Omega^- = \frac{\Omega}{\lambda}, \quad \Omega^+ = \frac{\Omega}{\lambda},$$

(37)

where $\Omega, \Omega_-, \Omega_+$ are free vibration frequencies by the asymptotic and tolerance model; $\lambda$ is the microstructure parameter. $E'$ and $\rho'$ are greater values of Young’s modulus and mass density in the cell, respectively. $\nu$ is the Poisson’s ratio.

Numerical simulations are made using the Monte Carlo method, in which it is assumed that ratios (36) are calculated around of their expected value 0.5 in 10,000 points. Since formulas (37) are quite complex, we expect that the new random variables of frequencies might be not of normal distributions (non-vanishing skewness and kurtosis). They could be however close to such ones, what will be investigated.

The aim of the numerical example is to:

- generate expected values of frequencies for the above random variables;
- calculate variances of frequencies;
- calculate standard deviations of frequencies;
- calculate kurtoses of frequencies;
- calculate skewnesses of frequencies;
- calculate coefficients of variation of frequencies.

All calculations are made for the following values of dimensionless parameters of the plate: $\nu = 0.3$, $dl/l = 0.1$, $l/L = 0.1$.

### Table 1  Tolerance model natural frequencies and its relative errors for the first three modes (TM—the tolerance model; FEM—the finite element method; AS—the analytical solution)

| Mode | TM $\omega_-$ [1/s] | FEM $\omega$ [1/s] | AS $\omega$ [1/s] | error [%] |
|------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Uniform plate band for $E''/E' = \rho''/\rho' = 1$  | 1 9.444 9.444 0.000 9.445 0.003  | 2 37.773 37.770 0.008 37.779 0.015  | 3 84.971 84.955 0.019 85.002 0.037  |
| Heterogeneous plate band for $E''/E' = \rho''/\rho' = 0.8$ | 1 9.426 9.406 0.006  | 2 37.700 37.621 0.001  | 3 84.088 84.628 0.000  |
| Heterogeneous plate band for $E''/E' = \rho''/\rho' = 0.5$ | 1 9.426 9.089 1.644  | 2 36.948 36.358 1.624  | 3 83.115 81.820 1.583  |
6.2 Results

Results of numerical simulations in the framework of the Monte Carlo method for lower and higher free vibration frequencies are presented in Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11. Figures 4, 5 and 6 show curves of expected values of lower (Figs. 4, 5) and higher (Fig. 6) frequencies. These plots are made versus expected value of ratio $\frac{q_{00}}{q_0}$ (Figs. 4, 6a), or $\frac{E_{00}}{E_0}$ (Figs. 5). Moreover, coefficient of variation of frequency parameters is introduced and its plots versus parameter $c \in [0,1]$ are shown in Fig. 7 for both the lower and the higher frequency parameters. Figure 7a is prepared for uncertain ratio $\frac{\rho''}{\rho'}$ (and $E''/E' = 0.5$), but Fig. 7b—for uncertain ratio $E''/E'$ ($\frac{\rho''}{\rho'} = 0.5$). These results are calculated for various values of other parameter, e.g. for ratio $E''/E' = 0.5$—Figs. 4a, 6a, or $E''/E' = 0.5$, 0.8—Figs. 4b, 6b, and for $\frac{\rho''}{\rho'} = 0.5$—Figs. 5a, 7b, or $\frac{\rho''}{\rho'} = 0.5$, 0.8—Figs. 5b, 6b; for parameter $\gamma = 0.2$—Figs. 4b, 5b, or $\gamma = 0.0, 0.2, 0.5, 0.8$—Figs. 4a, 5a, 6.

In Figs. 8, 9, 10 and 11 there are shown curves of probabilistic functions of frequencies versus
parameter \( a \in [0.01; 0.1] \) assumed for the random variable \( E''/E' \) with its expected values \( E[E''/E'] = 0.25, 0.5 \) and 0.75. These diagrams are made for fixed values of ratio \( \rho''/\rho' = 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 \), and fixed values of parameter \( \gamma = 0.2, 0.5, 0.8 \). Figure 8 presents variances of analyzed frequencies—lower frequency by the tolerance model \( \text{var}[\Omega_-] \), lower frequency by the asymptotic model \( \text{var}[\Omega] \), higher frequency by the tolerance model \( \text{var}[\Omega_+] \). Standard deviations of these frequencies \( \sigma[\Omega_-], \sigma[\Omega], \sigma[\Omega_+] \) can be observed in Fig. 9. Kurtoses of them \( \text{kurt}[\Omega_-], \text{kurt}[\Omega], \text{kurt}[\Omega_+] \) are shown in Fig. 10, and Fig. 11 presents skewnesses \( \text{skew}[\Omega_-], \text{skew}[\Omega], \text{skew}[\Omega_+] \).

6.3 Discussion of results

Some comments and remarks can be formulated for obtained results, presented in Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11.

a) From Figs. 4, 5 and 6 it can be observed that plots of analyzed frequencies calculated from the tolerance and asymptotic models have identical values for random variables \( E''/E' \) or \( \rho''/\rho' \) (with their expected values equal 0.5 and parameter \( a = 0.02, 0.1 \) as for fixed ratios \( E''/E' \) or \( \rho''/\rho' \) for their values from intervals [0.177,0.806] or [0.432,0.561]).
b) For parameter $\gamma = 0.0$ obtained frequencies are related to certain homogeneous plate bands, cf. Figs. 4a, 5a, 6, i.e. the Young’s modulus of the plate is $E = E''$ and the mass density $\rho = \rho''$, e.g. in Fig. 6 curves a-1, b-1.

C) Coefficient of variation of both frequencies, lower and higher, from the tolerance model are rather smaller than assumed values of these parameters ($a = 0.02, 0.1$) for random variables $\rho''/\rho'$ or $E''/E'$ (cf. Fig. 7). It means that these ratios of normal distribution generate new random variables, the free vibration frequencies, having smaller coefficients of variation than $a$. Hence, knowing at the beginning coefficient $a$, we can perhaps predict with even higher probability the value of free vibration frequency. Only for small values of parameter $\gamma$ (cf. Fig. 3), $\gamma \leq 0.05$, coefficient of variation of frequencies for random variable $\rho''/\rho'$ is greater than this parameter assumed for this variable.

d) Values of variances of frequencies are small and close to zero:
- for lower frequency from the tolerance model they are smaller than $3.5 \times 10^{-6}$, Fig. 8a,
- for lower frequency from the asymptotic model they are smaller than 0.045, Fig. 8b,
- for higher frequency from the tolerance model they are smaller than 0.08, Fig. 8c.

Fig. 8 Plots of variances $\text{var}(\Omega)$ of frequency parameters versus $a \in [0.01;0.1]$ (for ratio of Young’s modulus $E''/E' = 0.25; 0.5; 0.75$; for parameter $\gamma = 0.2; 0.5; 0.8$; $d/l = 0.1$; $b/l = 0.1$): a lower frequency parameter $\Omega_-$; b frequency parameter $\Omega$; c higher frequency parameter $\Omega_+$. 
e) The greatest values of variances of all frequencies are for small values of parameter \( c \), \( c = 0.2 \), and expected values of ratio \( E_0/E \), \( E_0/E = 0.25 \), Fig. 8a–c. For other values of parameter \( \gamma \) and expected values of ratio \( E_0/E \) variances are smaller than:

- for lower frequency from the tolerance model they are smaller than 1.5 \times 10^{-6}, Fig. 8a,
- for lower frequency from the asymptotic model they are smaller than 0.025, Fig. 8b,
- for higher frequency from the tolerance model they are smaller than 0.04, Fig. 8c.

f) Values of kurtoses of frequencies are small and near zero:

- for lower frequency from the tolerance model they are smaller than 2.5, Fig. 10a,
- for lower frequency from the asymptotic model they are smaller than 1.5, Fig. 10b,
- for higher frequency from the tolerance model they are smaller than 0.16, Fig. 10c.

g) The greatest values of kurtoses of frequencies are:

- for lower frequency of the tolerance model—for values of parameter \( a \leq 0.74 \) and for small values of parameter \( \gamma, \gamma = 0.2 \), and expected values of ratio \( E_0/E \), \( E_0/E = 0.25 \), but for \( a > 0.74 \)—for \( \gamma = 0.2 \) and \( E_0/E = 0.5 \), Fig. 10a,
for lower frequency of the asymptotic model and higher of the tolerance model—for small values of parameter $c = 0.2$, and expected values of ratio $E_{00}/E_0$, $E_{00}/E_0 = 0.25$, Fig. 10b, c.

For other values of parameter $\gamma$ and expected values of ratio $E''/E'$ kurtoses are smaller than:

- for lower frequency from the tolerance model they are smaller than 0.8, Fig. 10a,
- for lower frequency from the asymptotic model they are smaller than 0.5, Fig. 10b,
- for higher frequency from the tolerance model they are smaller than 0.08, Fig. 10c.

All these results suggest so far that we deal here with non-Gaussian distribution of frequency since the kurtosis is not zero. However, the more similar materials we use as plate components, the closer to normal distribution of frequencies we get. Especially for the higher frequencies.

h) Absolute values of skewnesses of frequencies are small and close to zero:

- for lower frequency from the tolerance model they are smaller than 1.1, Fig. 11a,
- for lower frequency from the asymptotic model they are smaller than 0.7, Fig. 11b,
- for higher frequency from the tolerance model they are smaller than 0.2, Fig. 11c.

![Fig. 10 Plots of kurtoses kurt(Ω) of frequency parameters versus $a \in [0.01;0.1]$ (for ratio of Young’s modulus $E''/E' = 0.25;0.5;0.75$; for parameter $\gamma = 0.2;0.5;0.8$; $d/l = 0.1$; $ll/L = 0.1$): a lower frequency parameter $\Omega_-$; b frequency parameter $\Omega$; c higher frequency parameter $\Omega_+$](image-url)
The greatest absolute values of skewnesses of frequencies are:

- for lower frequency of the tolerance model—for values of parameter \( a \leq 0.82 \) and for small values of parameter \( \gamma \), \( \gamma = 0.2 \), and expected values of ratio \( E''/E' \), \( E''/E' = 0.25 \), but for \( a > 0.82 \)—for \( \gamma = 0.2 \) and \( E''/E' = 0.5 \), Fig. 11a,
- for lower frequency of the asymptotic model and higher of the tolerance model—for small values of parameter \( \gamma \), \( \gamma = 0.2 \), and expected values of ratio \( E''/E' \), \( E''/E' = 0.25 \), Fig. 10b, c.

For other values of parameter \( \gamma \) and expected values of ratio \( E''/E' \) absolute values of skewnesses are smaller than:

- for lower frequency from the tolerance model they are smaller than 0.6, Fig. 11a,
- for lower frequency from the asymptotic model they are smaller than 0.45, Fig. 11b,
- for higher frequency from the tolerance model they are smaller than 0.15, Fig. 11c.

As mentioned above to the kurtoses, the obtained numerical results show that the frequencies are new...
random variables, close to the one with normal distribution.

7 Final remarks

Using the tolerance averaging method, which was proposed for periodic structures by Woźniak and Wierzbicki [15] and summarized in the book edited by Woźniak et al. [16], the governing equations with constant coefficients of a non-asymptotic averaged tolerance model for thin periodic plates is derived. The tolerance model makes it possible to analyse the effect of the microstructure size on vibrations of these plates.

Summarizing, there can be formulated some general remarks:

1. The proposed tolerance model is governed by equations with terms dependent explicitly on parameter \( l \) (being the diameter of the periodicity cell). Thus, certain phenomena of dynamics, related to the internal periodic structure of the plate, can be investigated in the framework of this model, e.g. additional higher free vibration frequencies.

2. Neglecting terms with parameter \( l \) in the governing equations, we obtain an averaged model, called the asymptotic model, which makes it possible to analyse dynamical problems of such plates only on the macrolevel.

3. The benchmark analysis revealed sufficiently small differences of frequencies from tolerance model compared to the finite element method. It justified use of the proposed mathematical model to the forthcoming numeric experiments.

4. The tolerance model together with the known probabilistic methods, e.g. the Monte Carlo method, can be applied successfully to analyse the effect of random variables of the properties with a fixed probability distribution on vibrations.

Moreover, from the calculational example some special remarks can be formulated:

1. Based on the values of kurtoses and skewnesses (cf. Figs. 10, 11), which are relatively close to zero for sufficiently small parameter \( a \), the new random variables—of lower and higher frequencies obtained from the tolerance model—can be treated as variables of Gaussian distribution. This is direct consequence of assuming that Young’s modulus ratio \( E''/E' \) and mass densities ratio \( \rho''/\rho' \) are random variables of normal distribution, and of dependence character of frequencies from material properties.

2. It can be also observed that obtained coefficient of variation for these frequencies is smaller or equal to this parameter assumed for the random variables of properties \( E''/E', \rho''/\rho' \), for the most cases determined by parameter \( \gamma > 0.05 \). This could be used to the frequencies prognosis in considered structures. Another words, knowing statistics of input random variables (like mean value and coefficient of variation), we get frequencies as the new random variables and its expected values given with the same or even greater probability then the input ones.

Some other applications of the presented models to dynamic problems of thin periodic plates with uncertain properties will be presented in the forthcoming papers.
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