It was intended to reveal the time dependent power generation under different loads for two different solar panels under the conditions of Bursa province in between August 19 and 25, 2014. The testing sets include solar panels, inverter, multimeter, accumulator, regulator, pyranometer, pyrheliometer, temperature sensor, and datalogger. The efficiency of monocrystalline and polycrystalline solar panels was calculated depending on the climatic data's measurements. As the result of the study, the average performances of monocrystalline and polycrystalline panels are 42.06 and 39.80 Wh, respectively. It was seen that 87.14 W instantaneous power could be obtained from monocrystalline solar panel and that 80.17 W instantaneous power could be obtained from polycrystalline solar panel under maximum total radiation (1001.13 W/m²). Within this frame, it was determined that monocrystalline solar panel is able to operate more efficiently under the conditions of Bursa compared to polycrystalline solar panel. When the multivariate correlations coefficients were examined statistically, a significant relationship in positive direction was detected between total and direct radiation and ambient temperature on energy generation from monocrystalline and polycrystalline panel.

1. Introduction

Today, one of the most significant requirements of developed and developing countries is energy. Even if there are various methods of energy production and consumption, all the countries require cheap, large, and clean energy sources [1]. As the fossil fuels will be consumed after a specific period and as their production is very expensive, it becomes obligatory to determine alternative energy sources and to benefit from these sources with high efficiency. Moreover, the use of energy sources which cannot be renewed at a large extent had significantly increased the environmental problems. Thus, tendency towards renewable energy sources with low environmental effects is advantageous in all aspects [2]. Renewable energy sources are defined as energy flows which are replaced at the same speed as they are used. All renewable energy sources on Earth arise from the effect of solar radiation which can be directly or indirectly converted to energy by using different technologies.

Even if it is the most expensive renewable energy technology, “photovoltaic” technology is the easiest energy technology in respect of design and installation. But its main superiority arises from the fact that it is an environment friendly technology with low maintenance cost [3]. Besides these, photovoltaic systems are also modular. In other words, they can be assembled anywhere required. In case of increase of requirement, new photovoltaic models can be easily added to the system in a short while. This is not in subject for other energy production systems. In particular the photovoltaic systems that are installed close to end users decrease the requirement for transmission and distribution devices and increase the reliability of local electric service.

Crystalline silicon offers an improved efficiency when compared to amorphous silicon while still using only a small amount of material [4]. Silicon has several advantages such as abundance on Earth, low contamination rate, high durability, and the wide experience of the microelectronics industry. The most widely used silicon cells in manufacturing are monocrystalline and polycrystalline, although many other technologies have been developed [5]. In the first commercial solar panels, monocrystalline structured silicon had been
used which was being enlarged by the crystal pulling method. In this technique, which is still the commonly used method in photovoltaic industry, first pure silicon is obtained through various chemical and thermal reactions of silicon oxide in arc furnaces. And then, a monocrystalline structured silicon piece is dipped in the silicon melt. When the core is removed from the melt, the cooling silicon melt becomes piled all around the core. This silicon is divided into slices after getting chunk. This happens in two phases. First the pile is cut in the form of rectangle blocks. And then these blocks are separated to slices and processed in the form of panel. The high amount of material loss during production is the disadvantage of these cells. They are produced with a thickness of about 0.5 mm. Their color is dark blue, and their approximate weight is less than 10 gr. Polycrystalline silicon production technologies are easier. The commonly used method in production is the casting method. In polycrystalline silicon, the starting material is prepared as in the monocrystalline silicon. The required purity degree is also similar. The melted silicon with a semiconductor quality is casted into the moulds and left for cooling. And then the obtained blocks are cut in square form. The cost of solar panels obtained from materials produced by this technology is low compared to their efficiency [6].

Solar panels are nonlinear energy sources, and the operation points of the system also change along with the change in weather conditions [7]. Thus, the current/voltage values, power outputs, and efficiency of photovoltaic devices depend on climatic parameters [8, 9]. Within the scope of this study, it was intended to determine time dependent power performances and power values that can be generated under different loads of monocrystalline and polycrystalline solar panels having a rated power of 100 W by determining total and direct radiation and ambient temperature values for Bursa, Turkey.

2. Materials and Methods

In this study, the power performance of monocrystalline and polycrystalline panels experiments was performed under outdoor meteorological conditions of field laboratory of Department of Biosystems Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Bursa, Turkey (40°15′N, 28°53′E). A schematic view and photographs of this apparatus are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The experiments were conducted from August 19 to August 25, 2014, from 07:00 to 20:00 h. The apparatus was placed far from the shade of trees or buildings during the whole duration of the experiment.

In the testing system, there are monocrystalline (80 × 98 cm) and polycrystalline (67 × 100 cm) solar panels with a power of 100 W. Switch number 1 activates the monocrystalline solar panel (YLE100, Turkwatt, Turkey), and switch number 2 activates the polycrystalline solar panel (TW45P, Turkwatt, Turkey). Due to the design of the system, it is not possible to activate both panels at the same time. There are 10 load levels on the system showing resistance feature. Each generates a resistance of 10 W. The generated load (resistance) amount is able to be adjusted by an 11-graded switch. Thus, the system is able to be fed with a load in between 10 W and 100 W. The 11th grade of the switches was designed as short circuit. Within the scope of the study, electrical measurement system consisting of voltmeter (UT60E, UNI-T, China) and amperemeter (UT60E, UNI-T, China) was used in the determination of the current, voltage, and power values generated by the solar panels.

The measured climatic data used in this study were recorded by the datalogger (Cr1000, Campell Scientific, USA). The dataset consists of 1 min averaged measurements of total radiation (CM11 pyranometer, Kipp&Zonen, Netherlands), direct radiation (CHP1 pyrheliometer, Kipp&Zonen, Netherlands), and ambient temperature (41342, Young, USA). All instruments as shown in Figure 3 were used with their original calibration factors.

The latitude of the solar panels and their inclination angle with the surface affects the generated energy amounts. In order to obtain electric energy from the solar panel systems in the most efficient manner, the panels should be positioned to be perpendicular to sunlight and to face south [10, 11].
In respect of angular relations in between solar radiation and Earth, the most significant angle is the declination angle. It is also defined as the angle in between the solar radiation and equatorial plane at 12:00 o'clock. It arises due to the angle of 23°45 in between the axis of the world and its orbital plane. If the world sun direction is at north of the equatorial plane, the declination angle is deemed as positive. The approximate value of declination angle (δ) can be calculated by the Cooper equation \[12\]. In the equation, \(n\) is the number of days of the year:

\[
\delta = 23.34 \cdot \sin \left( \frac{360 \cdot n + 284}{365} \right). \tag{1}
\]

Using tracking systems is the best way to collect maximum daily energy. This mechanical device follows the direction of the sun all day. The trackers are expensive, need energy for operation, and are not always applicable. Therefore, it is often practicable to orient the solar panel at an optimum tilt angle, \(\beta\) \[13\], where \(\Phi\) is the latitude:

\[
\beta = \Phi - \delta. \tag{2}
\]

And efficiency is the expression of how much the solar panel is able to convert the solar radiation to useful energy. In the study, considering the solar radiation data measured by pyranometer, the radiation values reaching the solar panel are determined. These values are addressed as radiation reaching the unit surface area at unit time, and they are presented as power. Considering the power values generated by solar panels and measured by the data measurement systems, the efficiency of solar panels may be determined by the equation. In here \(\eta\) symbolizes the efficiency (%), \(P\) symbolizes the average power output (W), \(H\) symbolizes the total solar radiation (W/m²), and PV symbolizes the surface area (m²) \[14\]:

\[
\eta = \frac{P}{PV \cdot H} \times 100. \tag{3}
\]

And, statistically, a multivariate correlations analysis was performed to evaluate any significant differences on the energy production between total radiation, direct radiation, and ambient temperature. All calculations were carried out using the software JMP (version 7.0, NC, USA).

### 3. Results and Discussion

Within the scope of this study, time dependent power performances and power values of monocrystalline and polycrystalline solar panels under different loads were intended by determining total and direct radiation and temperature values for Bursa, Turkey. The optimal tilt angle of solar panels used within this scope was adjusted to be 27°, and they were positioned to face south. Similar analyses were made to choose optimal tilt angle for the solar panel in order to collect the maximum solar radiation in Madinah, Saudi Arabia. It was found that the loss in the amount of collected energy when using the yearly average fixed angle is around 8% compared with the monthly optimum tilt angle \[13\].

Figures 4(a)–4(h) show the daily and average climatic results obtained from the study of the hourly values in the area of Bursa, Turkey. Based on the average results in Figure 4(h) one can conclude that the average ratio of total radiation during the experimental week is around 495.34 W/m²; that the average ratio of direct radiation during the experimental week is around 541.61 W/m²; that the ambient temperature during the experimental week is around 27.75°C. At 13:00, it reached the highest average total radiance by 886.09 W/m² and highest average direct normal irradiance by 764.34 W/m².

In the tests performed in between 07:00 and 20:00, the highest total radiation had been realized by 1001.13 W/m² on 19.08.2014 at 13:00, and direct radiation had been 825.6 W/m² at that time, and instantaneous power generation of 87.14 W had been realized by the monocrystalline panel and of 80.17 W had been realized by the polycrystalline panel. Within this frame, it was determined that monocrystalline solar panel generates 6.93 W more instantaneous power than the polycrystalline solar panel (Figure 5(a)).

And the highest power values that the monocrystalline and polycrystalline solar panels are able to generate under different loads are as follows. During the testing period of monocrystalline solar panel, 13.56 W power was obtained under 10 W load, 26.97 W power was obtained under 20 W load, 39.56 W power was obtained under 30 W load, 53.39 W power was obtained under 40 W load, 62.17 W power was obtained under 50 W load, 74.6 W power was obtained under 60 W load, 85.7 W power was obtained under 70 W load, 87.14 W power was obtained under 80 W load, 79.14 W power was obtained under 90 W load, and 76.7 W power was obtained under 100 W load, and 70.9 W power was obtained as highest under short circuit. During the testing period of polycrystalline solar panel, 13.39 W power was obtained under 10 W load, 27.93 W power was obtained under 20 W load, 38.55 W power was obtained under 30 W load, 47.93 W power was obtained under 40 W load, 60.31 W power was obtained under 50 W load, 75.38 W power was obtained under 60 W load, 79.55 W power was obtained under 70 W load, 80.17 W power was obtained under 80 W load, 72.02 W power was obtained under 90 W load, and 57.4 W power was...
Figure 4: Daily and average results of climatic conditions.
Figure 5: Hourly power output performance.
Table 1: Multivariate correlations coefficients.

|                      | Direct radiation | Ambient temperature | Monocrystalline solar panel | Polycrystalline solar panel |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Total radiation      | 0.881**          | 0.525**              | 0.987**                     | 0.9832**                   |
| Direct radiation     | 0.4986**         |                      | 0.8442**                    | 0.8439**                   |
| Ambient temperature  |                  | 0.5940**             | 0.5881**                    |                            |
| Monocrystalline solar panel |          |                      |                            | 0.9938**                   |

** shows the high level of importance statistically.

The average conversion efficiencies of monocrystalline and polycrystalline arrays are 6.65% and 5.38%, respectively. It can be seen that highest monocrystalline module efficiency in day 7 (6.82%) and lowest in day 1 (6.39%) show a significantly higher efficiency compared to polycrystalline, which is 1.21% and 1.52%, respectively. A similar panel efficiency was found to be 11.02% and 4.32% for the Solarex photovoltaic monocrystalline and polycrystalline panels, respectively [15]. Power performances of solar panels in Singapore are presented by Jiang and Wong, who found a maximum efficiency of 8.12% for the monocrystalline panel and 7.45% for polycrystalline panel [16]. Also, average efficiencies of the monocrystalline and polycrystalline photovoltaic panels in Brazil were found to be 9.40% and 6.57%, respectively [5]. However, some works show the polycrystalline panels with a better performance, such as Ghazali and Abdul Rahman who show that photovoltaic panel is higher power output compared to monocrystalline panel in 4-day period with efficiency of 7.97% (day 1), 3.49% (day 2), 2.41% (day 3), and 7.52% (day 4) [14]. Considering the previous studies, more researches must be done in order to determine which specific conditions cause each kind of panel to perform better. From this experiment, it can be concluded that monocrystalline solar panels are the most suitable type of photovoltaic module to be used under Bursa, Turkey, climate condition.

The correlation coefficients relevant to the examined features are given in Table 1. According to the obtained findings, very high significant relation in positive direction was found in between total radiation and energy generation from monocrystalline and polycrystalline panel. High significant relation in positive direction was found in between direct radiation and energy generation from monocrystalline and polycrystalline panel. Also, there was a statistically midsignificant relation in positive direction between ambient temperature and energy generation from monocrystalline and polycrystalline panel.

4. Conclusion

Developing a clean and renewable energy helps energy independence in Turkey. Solar energy with the types of polycrystalline and monocrystalline panels is most commonly used with different characteristic and efficiency. In this study, it has been shown that the efficiency of photovoltaic panels is influenced by climate conditions, type of used solar cells, and so forth. The daily average photovoltaic panel efficiency was 6.65% and 5.38% for the monocrystalline and polycrystalline, respectively. More researches must be done in order to determine which specific conditions cause each kind
of panel to perform better. This paper would be useful for the photovoltaic panel manufactures, researchers, and generating members to decide for Bursa, Turkey.
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