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ABSTRACT

Aims: To assess the relationship of anterior tooth fractures with type of occlusion and craniofacial morphology.

Materials and methods: The study was conducted on 76 subjects of age group 9 to 13 years with at least one fractured permanent anterior tooth. Lateral cephalograms were taken and study models were prepared for each subject with prior consent of their parents. Then cephalometric tracings were done and overjet was recorded through study models.

Statistical analysis used: Standard error of mean (SEM) and unpaired t-test has been applied to test the significant difference between the seven parameters under consideration. Karl Pearson correlation test has also been used to correlate all the parameters used in this study with each other. All the tests were performed at 5 and 1% levels of significance.

Results: Frequency of tooth fracture increases with increasing overjet. At 5% level of significance, significant difference were observed between the standard values and observed values for overjet measurement, SNA angle, SNB angle, ANB angle, upper incisor to NA (angle), upper incisor to NA (linear) and interincisal angle for overall data and also for both male and female data separately.

Conclusion: Probability of permanent anterior tooth fracture increases with increasing overjet. A significant difference was observed between the standard value and the observed values of all parameters under consideration.
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INTRODUCTION

Anterior teeth have a great impact on an individual’s personality as they play a critical role in the speech, esthetics and masticatory functions of an individual. Identification and understanding of the risk factors is helpful in diagnosing and in preventing cases more prone to anterior tooth fracture. The aim of the foregoing study is to evaluate the relationship of anterior tooth fracture with type of occlusion and craniofacial morphology so that the results drawn from the study can be further applied in preventing anterior tooth fractures.
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RESULTS

The data were tabulated in the following tables.

- **Table 1**: Males are more prone to fracture than females.
- **Table 2**: The probability of tooth fracture increases with increasing age.
- **Table 3**: Commonest tooth to get fractured is right upper central incisor.
- **Table 4**: The frequency of individual tooth fracture increased with increasing overjet.

### Table 1: Sex distribution of the study sample

|       | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------|-----------|------------|
| Males | 46        | 60.52      |
| Females | 30       | 39.48      |
| Total | 76        | 100.00     |

### Table 2: Age distribution in study sample

| Groups | Age (years) | Frequency |
|--------|-------------|-----------|
| I      | 9-10        | 11        |
| II     | 10-11       | 12        |
| III    | 11-12       | 13        |
| IV     | 12-13       | 18        |
| V      | 13-14       | 22        |

### Table 3: Frequency of individual tooth fracture

| Tooth # | Frequency | Percentile |
|---------|-----------|------------|
| 11      | 56        | 52.336     |
| 12      | 4         | 3.738      |
| 21      | 42        | 39.25      |
| 22      | 11        | 10.28      |
| 31      | 1         | 0.93       |
| 32      | 1         | 0.93       |
| 41      | 1         | 0.93       |
| 42      | 1         | 0.93       |
|         |           | 100.0      |

### Table 4: Frequency of tooth fracture and overjet

| Overjet | Groups | No. of patients |
|---------|--------|-----------------|
| 0-2 mm  | I      | 1               |
| 2-4 mm  | II     | 8               |
| >4 mm   | III    | 67              |

7. Curved plaster spatula
8. Rubber bowl
9. Mouth mirror
10. Dental probe
11. Tweezer
12. Metallic scale
13. Divider
14. Protractor
15. 3H lead pencil
16. Lead acetate matte tracing paper.
Table 5: The Z-test was applied to test the significant difference between standard value and sample observation, a significant difference was observed for overjet measurement, SNA angle, SNB angle, ANB angle, upper incisor to NA (angle), upper incisor to NA (linear) and interincisal angle at 5% level of significance.

Tables 6A and B: At 5% level of significance, a significant difference was found for all the parameters under consideration viz overjet measurement, SNA angle, SNB angle, ANB angle, upper incisor to NA (angle), upper incisor to NA (linear) and interincisal angle for male and female data respectively.

Tables 7A and B: Using unpaired t-test, no significant difference was found at 5% level of significance, i.e. p > 0.05. However, 95% confidence limits are shown for all the parameters viz overjet measurement, SNA angle, SNB angle, ANB angle, upper incisor to NA (angle), upper incisor to NA (linear) and interincisal angle.

Table 8: Significant and strong positive correlation at 0.001 level of significance between SNA angle and overjet measurement and an inverse correlation was found between SNB angle and overjet measurement.

DISCUSSION

Permanent anterior tooth fracture is a frequently encountered oral health problem. It causes a negative impact on the esthetics, speech, masticatory functions as well as psychology of both the patient and the parents thereby affecting the overall personality and daily life of an individual. Being a preventive dentist along with the pediatric dentist, it is our responsibility as well as our duty to opt for preventive measures rather than the cure and protect the child from unnecessary psychological trauma and hampered oral functions.

The proper knowledge of etiology and predisposing factors is necessary for early recognition and suitable treatment of patients who are at risk of permanent anterior tooth fracture. The present study shows that maxillary incisors are most commonly fractured tooth and as generally people are right handed, so the frequency of fractured right maxillary incisors were more than any other permanent tooth which is in agreement with the studies done by Baldava and Anup, Johnson and Ravn. Zuhal et al have reported that the most affected age group was of 9 to 11 years old for sustaining permanent anterior tooth injuries and various

### Table 5: Mean, standard deviation and t-test of each parameter used in the study

| Parameters       | Mean ± SD    | Z_cal | Z_tab | p-values | Significance |
|------------------|--------------|-------|-------|----------|--------------|
| Overjet          | 5.5855 ± 2.2021 | 14.195 | 1.96  | < 0.05   | S            |
| SNA              | 79.7632 ± 2.2368 | -8.17  | 1.96  | < 0.05   | S            |
| SNB              | 76.0329 ± 4.0121 | -14.358 | 1.96  | < 0.05   | S            |
| ANB              | 3.7303 ± 2.0776 | 7.260  | 1.96  | < 0.05   | S            |
| 1 to NA (angle)  | 26.7237 ± 6.2814 | 6.556  | 1.96  | < 0.05   | S            |
| 1 to NA (linear) | 6.3947 ± 2.2065 | 9.461  | 1.96  | < 0.05   | S            |
| Interincisal angle | 119.118 ± 10.6395 | -8.916 | 1.96  | < 0.05   | S            |

S: Significant

### Table 6A: Mean, standard deviation and t-test of each parameter for male data

| Parameters       | Male | Male (mean ± SD) | t_cal | t_tab (74, 0.05) | p-value | Significance |
|------------------|------|------------------|-------|------------------|---------|--------------|
| Overjet          | 46   | 5.6848 ± 1.8588  | 9.676 | 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |
| SNA              | 46   | 79.5217 ± 4.2667 | -9.043| 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |
| SNB              | 46   | 76.9348 ± 1.8062 | -15.265| 1.96            | < 0.05  | S            |
| ANB              | 46   | 3.5870 ± 2.0064  | 5.364 | 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |
| Upper incisor to NA (angle) | 46 | 26.7174 ± 6.0870 | 5.256 | 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |
| Upper incisor to NA (linear) | 46 | 6.3152 ± 2.1842 | 7.189 | 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |
| Interincisal angle | 46 | 118.2826 ± 11.3503 | 7.002 | 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |

S: Significant

### Table 6B: Mean, standard deviation and t-test of each parameter for female data

| Parameters       | Female | Female (mean ± SD) | t_cal | t_tab (74, 0.05) | p-value | Significance |
|------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|---------|--------------|
| Overjet          | 30     | 5.4333 ± 1.4665   | 12.823| 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |
| SNA              | 30     | 80.1333 ± 3.0369  | -3.367| 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |
| SNB              | 30     | 76.1833 ± 3.1472  | -6.642| 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |
| ANB              | 30     | 3.9500 ± 2.1985   | 4.858 | 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |
| Upper incisor to NA (angle) | 30 | 26.7333 ± 6.6744 | 3.884 | 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |
| Upper incisor to NA (linear) | 30 | 6.5167 ± 2.2723 | 6.066 | 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |
| Interincisal angle | 30 | 120.4000 ± 9.4890 | -5.541| 1.96             | < 0.05  | S            |

S: Significant
Table 7A: Mean, standard deviation and t-test of each parameter in male and female data combined

| Sex  | N  | Mean   | Std. deviation | Std. error of mean |
|------|----|--------|----------------|-------------------|
| Overjet |    |        |                |                   |
| Female | 30 | 5.4333 | 1.4665         | 0.2677            |
| Male   | 46 | 5.6848 | 2.5828         | 0.3808            |
| SNA    |    |        |                |                   |
| Female | 30 | 80.1333| 3.0369         | 0.5545            |
| Male   | 46 | 79.5217| 1.8588         | 0.2741            |
| SNB    |    |        |                |                   |
| Female | 30 | 76.1833| 3.1472         | 0.5746            |
| Male   | 46 | 75.9348| 1.8062         | 0.2683            |
| ANB    |    |        |                |                   |
| Female | 30 | 3.9500 | 2.1985         | 0.4014            |
| Male   | 46 | 3.5870 | 2.0064         | 0.2958            |
| Angle  |    |        |                |                   |
| Female | 30 | 26.7333| 6.6744         | 1.2186            |
| Male   | 46 | 26.7174| 6.0870         | 0.8975            |
| Linear |    |        |                |                   |
| Female | 30 | 6.5167 | 2.2723         | 0.4149            |
| Male   | 46 | 6.3152 | 2.1842         | 0.3220            |
| Interincisal | |        |                |                   |
| Female | 30 | 120.4000| 9.4890      | 1.7324            |
| Male   | 46 | 118.2826| 11.3503    | 1.6735            |

Table 7B: Unpaired t-test for each parameter for male and female data combined

| t-test for equality of means | df | Significance (2-tailed) | Mean difference | Std. error difference | 95% confidence interval of the difference |
|-----------------------------|----|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|
| T                           |    |                        |                |                       | Lower                | Upper                |
| Overjet                     |    |                        |                |                       | –0.484    | 74         | 0.630 | 0.2514 | 0.5194 | –1.2865 | 0.7836 |
|                            |    |                        |                |                       | –0.540    | 72.859    | 0.591 | 0.2514 | 0.4655 | –1.1792 | 0.6763 |
| SNA                         |    |                        |                |                       | 1.090     | 74         | 0.279 | 0.6116 | 0.5610 | –0.5063 | 1.7295 |
|                            |    |                        |                |                       | 0.989     | 43.238    | 0.328 | 0.6116 | 0.6185 | –0.6355 | 1.6587 |
| SNB                         |    |                        |                |                       | 0.437     | 74         | 0.663 | 0.2486 | 0.5623 | –0.8839 | 1.3010 |
|                            |    |                        |                |                       | 0.392     | 41.561    | 0.697 | 0.2486 | 0.6333 | –1.0296 | 1.5270 |
| ANB                         |    |                        |                |                       | 0.742     | 74         | 0.460 | 0.3630 | 0.4986 | –0.6113 | 1.3374 |
|                            |    |                        |                |                       | 0.728     | 58.026    | 0.469 | 0.3630 | 0.4986 | –0.6351 | 1.3612 |
| Angle                       |    |                        |                |                       | 0.011     | 74         | 0.991 | 1.5940 | 1.4840 | –2.9410 | 2.9729 |
|                            |    |                        |                |                       | 0.011     | 57.997    | 0.992 | 1.594E–02| 1.5134 | –3.0135 | 3.0453 |
| Linear                      |    |                        |                |                       | 0.387     | 74         | 0.700 | 0.2014 | 0.5208 | –0.8362 | 1.2391 |
|                            |    |                        |                |                       | 0.384     | 60.355    | 0.703 | 0.2014 | 0.5252 | –0.8490 | 1.2519 |
| Interincisal                |    |                        |                |                       | 0.846     | 74         | 0.400 | 2.1174 | 2.5016 | –2.8671 | 7.1019 |
|                            |    |                        |                |                       | 0.879     | 69.419    | 0.382 | 2.1174 | 2.4087 | –2.6874 | 6.9222 |

Table 8: Karl Pearson correlation coefficient for the overall data

|                        | Overjet | SNA     | SNB     | ANB     | Angle   | Linear  | Interincisal |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|
| Pearson correlation    | 1.000   | 0.015   | –0.332  | 0.402   | 0.214   | 0.291   | –0.321       |
| Significance (2-tailed)| –       | 0.896   | 0.003   | 0.000   | 0.064   | 0.011   | 0.005        |
| N                      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76           |
| SNB                    | Pearson correlation | 0.015   | 1.000   | 0.626   | 0.427   | –0.107  | –0.198       |
|                        | Significance (2-tailed)| 0.896   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.356   | 0.087   | 0.353        |
|                        | N       | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76           |
| ANB                    | Pearson correlation | –0.332  | 0.626   | 1.000   | –0.438  | –0.017  | –0.123       |
|                        | Significance (2-tailed)| –       | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.884   | 0.290   | 0.452        |
|                        | N       | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76           |
| Angle                  | Pearson correlation | 0.402   | 0.427   | –0.438  | 1.000   | –0.104  | –0.086       |
|                        | Significance (2-tailed)| 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | –       | 0.372   | 0.463        |
|                        | N       | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76           |
| Linear                 | Pearson correlation | 0.214   | –0.107  | –0.017  | –0.104  | 1.000   | 0.636        |
|                        | Significance (2-tailed)| 0.064   | 0.356   | 0.884   | 0.372   | 0.000   | 0.000        |
|                        | N       | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76           |
| Interincisal           | Pearson correlation | –0.321  | 0.108   | 0.088   | 0.023   | –0.475  | –0.369       |
|                        | Significance (2-tailed)| 0.005   | 0.353   | 0.452   | 0.844   | 0.000   | 0.001        |
|                        | N       | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76      | 76           |
other studies showed up to 12 years as more prone age group. So, we took an age group of 9 to 13 years old for our study and found that frequency of tooth fracture increased with increasing age. The possible reason being that with increasing age the child becomes more inquisitive and wants to explore new activities and areas untouched where chances of sustaining injury are more.

Males are more prone to tooth fractures than females.\textsuperscript{8,9} It may be due to their aggressive and energetic nature. The present study shows that with increasing overjet, frequency of tooth fracture also increased in agreement with other studies by Grimm et al.\textsuperscript{10}

Hamdan et al\textsuperscript{11} says that children with overjet greater than 5 mm sustained significantly more injuries to incisor teeth than children with normal overjet. But, one such study done by Stokes, Loh\textsuperscript{11} found that the incisal overjet is not a positive correlate with traumatic dental injury in Singapore children.

Less number of studies has been done to establish relationship between permanent anterior tooth fracture and craniofacial morphology. In the present study, we have correlated anterior tooth fracture with following parameters viz occlusion, overjet, SNA angle, SNB angle, ANB angle, interincisal angle, upper incisor to NA (both linear and angular measurement).

In our study, we have also taken various skeletal and dental parameters to get a more accurate idea of the various craniofacial morphological factors predisposing a person to permanent anterior tooth fracture. So, our study helps in better assessment of the patients who are at risk of having permanent anterior tooth fracture. At the same time the conclusions drawn from the study are also of help in treating orthodontic patients as we are coming to know the relationship of various parameters with permanent anterior tooth fracture like SNA angle, SNB angle, interincisal angle, etc.

But at the same time, we should not forget that the growth is not complete at this age and the patients who seem to be having class II malocclusion might develop a normal class I occlusion. So, skeletal parameters are not very much predictive of permanent anterior tooth fracture. In addition, anterior tooth proclination is important in predicting the likelihood of getting a tooth fractured. So, it is the dental parameter, i.e. the increased overjet which is more responsible for anterior tooth fracture.

Through the knowledge of the correlation we can understand about the type of effect a parameter will have on the other craniofacial components which is in long run very helpful in treating the orthodontic patients at risk of permanent anterior tooth fracture. But more work is needed on the observations and results made from the present study before these results can be applied for clinical application and treatment of orthodontic patients.
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