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Various constraints concerning control fields can be imposed in the realistic implementations of quantum control systems. One of the most important is the restriction on the frequency spectrum of acceptable control parameters. It is important to consider the limitations of experimental equipment when trying to find appropriate control parameters. Therefore, in this paper we present a general method of obtaining a piecewise-constant controls, which are robust with respect to spectral constraints. We consider here a Heisenberg spin chain, however the method can be applied to a system with more general interactions. To model experimental restrictions we apply an ideal low-pass filter to numerically obtained control pulses. The usage of the proposed method has negligible impact on the control quality as opposed to the standard approach, which does not take into account spectral limitations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the fundamental issues of the quantum information science is the ability to manipulate the dynamics of a given complex quantum system. Since the beginning of quantum mechanics, controlling a quantum system has been an implicit goal of quantum physics, chemistry and implementations of quantum information processing.

If a given quantum system is controllable, i.e. it is possible to drive it into a previously fixed state, it is desirable to develop a control strategy to accomplish the required control task. In the case of finite dimensional quantum systems the criteria for controllability can be expressed in terms of Lie-algebraic concepts [1–3]. These concepts provide a mathematical tool, in the case of closed quantum systems, i.e. systems without external influences.

It is an important question whether the system is controllable when the control is performed only on a subsystem. This kind of approach is called a local-controllability and can be considered only in the case when the subsystems of a given system interact. As examples may serve coupled spin chains or spin networks [2, 4–6]. Local-control has a practical importance in proposed quantum computer architectures, as its implementation is simpler and the effect of decoherence is reduced by decreased number of control actuators [7, 8].

A widely used method for manipulating a quantum system is a coherent control strategy, where the manipulation of the quantum states is achieved by applying semi-classical potentials in a fashion that preserves quantum coherence. In the case when a system is controllable it is a point of interest what actions must be performed to control a system most efficiently, bearing in mind limitations imposed by practical restrictions. Various constraints concerning control fields can be imposed in the realistic implementations of quantum control systems. One of the most important is the restriction on the frequency spectrum of acceptable control parameters. Such restrictions come in to play, for example, in an experimental set up which utilizes an external magnetic field [9]. In the case of such systems, due to various limitations, the application of piecewise-constant controls is not accurate. The real realization of controls is somehow smoothed by some filter induced by an experimental limitations. Thus, it is reasonable to seek control parameters in the domain imposed by the experimental restrictions.

In article [10] there has been discussed how the low-pass filtering, i.e. eliminating high-frequency components in a Fourier spectra, on a numerically obtained optimal control pulses affects a quality of performed control. This approach makes a contact with experimental realizations, since it implements the limitations or real quantum control systems.

In this paper we present a general method of obtaining a piecewise-constant controls, which is robust with respect to low-pass filtering. The above means, that elimination of high-frequencies in a Fourier spectra reduces the fidelity only by a small amount. We utilize this approach to obtain numerically control pulses on a Heisenberg spin chain [10–12], however it can be applied to a quantum system with more general interactions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section [1] we provide a general description of a quantum mechanical control system. In Section [II] we provide the description of the simulation setup used to test our model. Section [IV] contains...
results obtained from numerical simulations and their discussion. In Section V we provide a summary of the presented work and give some concluding remarks.

II. OUR MODEL

To demonstrate a method of obtaining piecewise-constant controls, which are robust with respect to low-pass filtering we will consider an isotropic Heisenberg spin-1/2 chain of a finite length $N$. The control will be performed on the first spin only. The total Hamiltonian of the aforementioned quantum control system is given by

$$H(t) = H_0 + H_c(t),$$

where

$$H_0 = J \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + S_i^z S_{i+1}^z,$$

is a drift part given by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian. The control is performed only on a first spin and is Zeeman-like, i.e.

$$H_c(t) = h_x(t) S_1^x + h_y(t) S_1^y.$$

In the above $S_i^k$ denotes $k^{th}$ Pauli matrix acting on the spin $i$. Time dependent control parameters $h_x(t)$ and $h_y(t)$ are chosen to be piecewise constant. Furthermore, as opposed to [10], we do not restrict the control fields to be alternating with $x$ and $y$, i.e. they can be applied simultaneously (see e.g. [13] for similar approach). For notational convenience, we set $\hbar = 1$ and after this rescaling frequencies and control-field amplitudes can be expressed in units of the coupling strength $J$, and on the other hand all times can be expressed in units of $1/J$ [10].

The system described above is operator controllable, as it was shown in [5] and follows from a controllability condition using a graph infection property introduced in the same article. The controllability of the described system can be also deduced from a more general condition utilizing the notion of hypergraphs [6].

Since the interest here is focused on operator control, a quality of a control will be measured with the use of gate fidelity,

$$F = \frac{1}{2N} |\text{Tr}(U_T^\dagger U(h))|,$$

where $U_T$ is the target quantum operation and $U(h)$ is an operation achieved by control parameters $h$.

To obtain piecewise-constant controls, which are robust with respect to low-pass filtering we will minimize the power in the high frequency part of a controls Fourier spectrum. We will do so by minimizing the following functional

$$G = (1 - \mu)P - \mu F,$$

where $F$ is the gate fidelity described above, $\mu$ is a weight assigned to fidelity and $P$ is a contribution of high frequencies in the total power of the control parameters. The above can be stated as, if $y$ is a vector of Fourier coefficients for a control parameters $h$ of length $n$, i.e.

$$y = Qh, \quad \text{where} \quad Q = n^{-1/2} \{ e^{2\pi i kl/n} \}_{k,l=0}^{n-1},$$

then $P$ is given by

$$P = \frac{\sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}}^{n} |y_i|^2}{|y|^2},$$

for some $\Delta$ related to the cutoff frequency of low-pass filter.

III. SIMULATION SETUP

To demonstrate the beneficialness of our approach, we study three- and four-qubit spin chains. The control field is applied to the first qubit only. Our target gates are:

$$\text{NOT}_N = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \sigma_x,$$
the negation of the last qubit of the chain, and

$$SWAP_N = 1^\otimes N-2 \otimes SWAP,$$

swapping the states between the last two qubits. For each of these cases we find two sets of control parameters. One with frequency constraints and one without. Next, we calculate an appropriate filter and using these filtered values of control parameters, we calculate the fidelity of the quantum operation. In each case 120 independent sets if control parameters were found.

We set the duration of the control pulse to $\Delta t = 0.2$ and the total number of pulses in each direction to $n = 128$ for the three-qubit chain and $n = 512$ in the four-qubit case. The weight of fidelity in equation (5) is set to $\mu = 1$ in the unconstrained case and to $\mu = 0.05$ in the constrained case. Although the weight of the fidelity is small, the optimization still yields high fidelity values while maintaining low contribution of high frequencies in the power spectrum. We set the cutoff frequency in equation (7) to $\Delta = \frac{a}{4}$.

The applied filter is a frequency filter with the cutoff frequency equal to the frequency discriminated by the functional (5). We consider this filter to be an ideal low-pass filter which leaves only the frequencies only in the interval $[-\omega_0, \omega_0]$:

$$f(\omega) = \Theta(\omega + \omega_0) - \Theta(\omega - \omega_0).$$

We obtain the following expressions for the filtered control parameters [11]:

$$h_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{k,i} [a_{i+1}(t) - a_i(t)]$$

(10)

where $k \in \{x, y\}$ and

$$a_n(t) = Si(\omega_0(nT - t))$$

(11)

$$Si(x) = \int_{x}^{0} (\sin t/t) dt$$

(12)

We conduct our simulations using the BFGS gradient method. The calculation of the gradient of the fidelity function can be found in the work by Machnes et al. [14].

To calculate the gradient of $P$ one should note, an elementary fact concerning differentiation of vector valued functions. For a real vector $h$, we define $y = Ah$ for some fixed matrix $A$. Straightforward calculations gives us

$$\frac{\partial |y_k|^2}{\partial h_l} = 2\Re(A_{kl}y_k).$$

(13)

In the case when matrix is a quantum Fourier transform gate $A = Q$ defined in Eqn. (6), we obtain that

$$\frac{\partial |y_k|^2}{\partial h_l} = 2\Re(Q_{kl}y_k) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \Re(e^{-2\pi i kl/n} y_k).$$

(14)

This calculation is used to find the gradient of the contribution of high frequencies in the total power given by Eqn. (7).

**IV. RESULTS**

Figure 1a shows a plot of the control parameters for the target gate $U_T = NOT_3$ before and after applying the frequency filter. These parameters were found using with the value of the weight $\mu = 1$, resulting in no penalty for high frequency terms. Clearly, the signal after filtering differs from the original values. This is reflected in the values of the fidelity of the operation. Before filtering the fidelity is $F > 1 - 10^{-12}$, however after filtering the value drops to $F = 0.85$.

Next, in Figure 1b we show the plot of the control parameters for the target gate $U_T = NOT_3$, before and after applying the frequency filter. Only this time, the controls were found using the value of the weight $\mu = 0.05$ resulting in a penalty for high frequency terms. A short glance reveals that the filtered parameters are almost the same as the original ones. This is reflected by the fidelity of the operation. Before filtering the fidelity is $F > 1 - 10^{-9}$ and after filtering it drops only to $F > 1 - 10^{-2}$, which is still a satisfactory value. Hence, these sets of control parameters are well-suited for use in computations.

Figures 2a and 2b show analogical results for the target gate $U_T = SWAP_3$. The qualitative results in this case are the same as for the $NOT_3$ target gate discussed earlier. Fidelity values before and after filtering are the same order
as for the $NOT_3$ gate. Again, we reach a conclusion that these sets of control parameters are well-suited for use in computations.

Results obtained for the four-qubit chain (not shown here) qualitatively resemble the results for the three-qubit chain. In this case a penalty for high frequency terms of the control parameters, also leads to higher fidelities after filtering.

Figure 3 depicts the histograms of fidelities of filtered control parameters for the $NOT_3$ gate. The top plot shows the histograms for control parameters found without spectral constraints and the bottom one shows what happens when one takes spectral constraints into consideration. Clearly, a typical set of control parameters has a higher fidelity of operation when one considers spectral constraints in the optimization phase. Strictly speaking, around 80% of the control parameters sets have a fidelity greater than 0.96, whereas in the unconstrained case there are no
control parameters sets that have such high fidelities. These facts lead to a conclusion that optimization with spectral constraints will lead to high fidelity of experimental realizations of the $U_T = \text{NOT}_3$.

Analogical results for the $U_T = \text{SWAP}_3$ are shown in Figure 4. The top plot shows the histograms for control parameters found without spectral constraints and the bottom one shows what happens when one takes spectral constraints into consideration. In this case, around 75% of all constrained control parameter sets have a fidelity higher than 0.96 after filtering. Also, there are no unconstrained control parameter sets with fidelities in this range. Hence, spectral constraints imposed during the optimization step have lead to control parameters far less sensitive to experimental equipment limitations.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We investigated the impact of spectral constraints imposed on the control parameters of a quantum operation on the fidelity of the quantum operation which they implement. In order to compare our approach with the unconstrained case, we apply an ideal low-pass to the control parameters.
We have shown that imposing spectral constraints on the control parameters leads to higher average fidelity of the quantum operation after appropriate filtering, than in the unconstrained case. These results are independent of the type of quantum operation and the number of qubits in the system under consideration.

Furthermore, the requirement for smooth control parameters does not result in the increase of time necessary to conduct a quantum operation. Comparing with other research in the field [11], our times are on the same order.

Further work on this subject might take into account more subtle parameters of the experimental setup than the frequency cutoff of signal sources. For instance, one could wish to find control parameters that are far from transient characteristics of the experimental setup. This may lead to an enhancement of fidelities of operations achieved experimentally by eliminating unwanted signal roughness.
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