Decisive Role of Spin-States and Spin-Coupling in Dictating Selective O\textsubscript{2} Adsorption in Chromium(II) Metal-Organic Framework
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Abstract: Coordinatively unsaturated Chromium (II)-based Cr\textsubscript{3}([(Cr\textsubscript{4}Cl\textsubscript{3})(BTT)\textsubscript{8}]\textsubscript{2} (Cr-BTT; BTT\textsuperscript{3-}=1,3,5-benzenetristetrazolate) metal-organic framework (MOF) has been shown to exhibit exceptional selectivity towards adsorption of O\textsubscript{2} over N\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2}. Using periodic Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations, we attempt to decipher the origin of this puzzling selectivity. By computing and analyzing the magnetic exchange coupling, binding energies, the partial density of states (pDOS), and adsorption isotherms for the pristine and gas bound MOFs [[Cr\textsubscript{4}(X)\textsubscript{4}Cl\textsubscript{3}(BTT)\textsubscript{8}]\textsuperscript{3-} (X=O\textsubscript{2}, N\textsubscript{2}, and H\textsubscript{2}), we unequivocally establish the role of spin-states and spin-coupling in controlling the gas selectivity. The computed geometries and gas adsorption isotherms are consistent with the earlier experiments. The O\textsubscript{2} binding to the MOF follows an electron-transfer mechanism resulting in a Cr(III) superoxo species (O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-}) with a very strong antiferromagnetic coupling between the two centers, while N\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} found to weakly interact with the metal centre and hence only slightly perturb the associated coupling constants. Although the gas bound and unbound MOFs have S =0 ground state (GS), the nature of spin configurations and the associated magnetic exchanges are dramatically different. The binding energy and the number of oxygen molecules that can favorably bind to the Cr center were found to vary with respect to the spin-state with a significant energy margin (47.6 kJ/mol). This study offers a hitherto unknown strategy of utilizing spin-state/spin-couplings to control gas adsorption selectivity in MOFs.
Introduction

Metal Organic Frameworks (MOFs), owing to their fascinating properties such as structural and functional diversity, tunable pore size, and enormous surface area, have tremendous applications in gas storage\(^1\), molecular separation\(^2\), sensors\(^3\), and catalysis.\(^4\) Though zeolites, covalent organic frameworks, and complex hydrides are available for gas separation applications\(^5\), MOF based separative systems have attracted wide attention due to their high selectivity as a result of their extensive chemical diversity and porous nature in comparison to other classical adsorbate systems.\(^6\) The microporous nature of the MOFs enables systematic immobilization of the functional materials and are envisaged as a practical promise for gas purification and separation applications at an industrial scale. Whilst several MOFs based on first-row transition metals are known for switchable magnetic characteristics under the influence of guest molecule\(^7\), temperature\(^8\), and pressure,\(^9\) there are only a few reports on high selectivity towards oxygen. The selective adsorption of oxygen over other gases that generate high purity oxygen (\(> 99\%\)) has applications in healthcare, oxy-fuel combustion\(^10\), ozone generation, semiconductors and other industries. This is a challenging task, as the separation of O\(_2\) from the N\(_2\) mixture is a hassle due to the similarity in their physical properties\(^11\), such as kinetic diameter, polarizability, boiling point, etc. The current industry standard is cryogenic separation, which demands high pressure, temperature and large infrastructure that escalate production costs. Thus, an alternative separation technique based on porous material is in high demand. Long et al. recently reported \(\text{Cr}_3[(\text{Cr}_4\text{Cl})_3(\text{BTT})_8]_2(\text{BTT}^3^-=1,3,5\text{-benzenetristetrazolate})\)\(^12\) MOF, which exhibits very high selectivity for oxygen over hydrogen and nitrogen. Despite the structural similarity of this MOF to other metal ion MOFs reported, this shows high selectivity towards O\(_2\) compared to N\(_2\) and is currently one among the best MOFs for O\(_2\) selective separations.\(^12\) While multiple factors can influence gas adsorption in MOFs, the origin of very high selectivity for O\(_2\) is perplexing because structurally similar metal ion MOFs are unselective. Though the role of spin state and spin coupling in selective gas binding is not well studied in MOFs, there are reports on the magnetic separation of small molecules.\(^13\) The spin states-based selectivity of gas in MOF\(^14\) needs to be addressed in detail.
**Computational details**

All calculations were carried out using periodic Density Functional Methods\textsuperscript{15} implemented in the CP2k 2.4.0 suite. The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE)\textsuperscript{16} gradient-corrected, generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was used to describe the exchange–correlation functional, and DZVP-MOLOPT-GTH (valence double zeta (\(\zeta\)) plus polarization, molecularly optimized, Goedecker-Teter-Hutter) basis set for atoms (H, C, O, N, Cl) and DZVP-MOLOPT-SR-GTH for Chromium atom by incorporating the short-range forces with gaussian augmented plane wave (GAPW) approach with a kinetic energy cutoff of 400 Ry. The Grimme's D2 correction (DFT-D2) was used to account for the dispersion interactions. We have also performed calculations using D3 dispersion correction, which yields very similar geometries, binding energies, and \(J\) values (see Table S1). The boundary conditions \(a=b=c\), which is set as 18.6695 Å.\textsuperscript{17} Our earlier studies on \([\text{Mn}_{19}]\) cluster reveal that the combination of PBE with plane-wave basis sets yields \(J\) values which are similar to the estimate obtained from B3LYP employing Gaussian type basis set. The PBE functional with the plane-wave basis set is shown to offer less delocalization, perhaps due to confinement, and this improves the numerical estimate of \(J\) compared to hybrid B3LYP functional. This benchmark established by Ruiz et al. and also by us earlier offers confidence in the methodology chosen.\textsuperscript{17-18} Adsorption isotherms were simulated through the Grand Canonical Monte Carlo technique as implemented in the RASPA software.\textsuperscript{19} The Lennard–Jones (LJ) parameters for the considered framework atoms were taken from Universal Force Field (UFF),\textsuperscript{20} and Lorentz–Berthelot mixing rules are used to calculate the cross interactions. Both \(\text{N}_2\) and \(\text{O}_2\) molecules were modeled using the TraPPE force field.\textsuperscript{21} We used 50,000 equilibration cycles and 50,000 production cycles for each simulation and considered insertion, deletion, translation, and rotation moves. Since the chemisorption of \(\text{O}_2\) cannot be modeled using the GCMC technique, we considered initial framework structures with the different number of \(\text{O}_2\) molecules chemisorbed, and the corresponding weight of \(\text{O}_2\) is also considered for plotting the \(\text{O}_2\) loading in addition to the loading obtained from the GCMC simulations.
Results and Discussion

In this work, we have undertaken detailed periodic DFT calculations\textsuperscript{16} to decipher the origin of the selectivity observed starting from the X-ray structure reported\textsuperscript{12} (Figure 1(a)). This methodology has proven to yield very good geometries for open-shell MOFs and has shown to yield a good numerical estimate of exchange coupling constants \( J \).\textsuperscript{22} We have optimized the geometry of \([\text{Cr}_3\text{Cl}_3\text{BTT}]_{3}\)\textsuperscript{-} species and then consider oxygen adsorption in each of the Cr site leading to \([\text{Cr}_4(\text{O}_2\text{Cl})_3\text{BTT}]_{8}\)\textsuperscript{3} species where end-on Cr(III)-O\textsuperscript{2-} superoxo species is formed. The calculations on the O\textsubscript{2} bound MOF ([(Cr\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{3})\textsuperscript{3}(BTT)\textsubscript{8}]\textsuperscript{3}) yields the Cr-O, O-O distances and Cr-O-O angles as 1.815 Å, 1.283 Å and 125.7°, respectively and this is in excellent agreement with neutron diffraction data of 1.84(±2) Å, 1.26(±2) Å and 129° (±2)\textsuperscript{°} reported. A shorter Cr-O distance found here in the end-on Cr(III)-O\textsuperscript{2-} superoxo MOF structure suggests less steric crowding compare to the end-on Cr(III)-O\textsuperscript{2-} superoxo biomimic model reported where the computed Cr-O distances are distinctly longer (1.965 Å).\textsuperscript{23} The topological structure of \([\text{Cr}_3\text{Cl}_3\text{BTT}]_{3}\)\textsuperscript{-} MOF has three J\textsubscript{s}\textsuperscript{24} (see Figure 1(a)) with \( J_1 \) describing the interaction between two Cr(II) centers mediated via a BTT/\( \mu_4 \)-Cl bridges and \( J_2 \) via the \( \mu_4 \)-Cl bridge and \( J_3 \) between two \{Cr\textsubscript{4}\} tetrmeric units via the BTT ligands. For the \([(\text{Cr}_4\text{O}_2\text{Cl})_3\text{BTT}]_{8}\)\textsuperscript{3} species, there is also an additional exchange between Cr(III) and the O\textsuperscript{2-} superoxo species \( J_4 \). Periodic DFT calculations for \([(\text{Cr}_4\text{Cl})_3\text{BTT}]_{3} \) ([(Cr\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{3})\textsuperscript{3}(BTT)\textsubscript{8}]\textsuperscript{3}) yield \( J_1 = -25.8 \) (±23.8) cm\textsuperscript{-1}, \( J_2 = -41.8 \) (+3.4) cm\textsuperscript{-1} and \( J_3 = 0.3 \) (+0.5) cm\textsuperscript{-1} \( (J_4 = -1366 \text{ cm}^{-1}) \) with computed error in \( J_5 \) being negligible (Sees Table S2). Computed O-O stretching frequencies on a \([(\text{Cr}_4\text{Cl})_3\text{BTT}]_{8}\)\textsuperscript{3} model is consistent with experiments (1206 cm\textsuperscript{-1} vs. 1193 cm\textsuperscript{-1}).\textsuperscript{12} For the unbound MOF, the antiferromagnetic \( J_2 \) is the strongest, followed by \( J_1 \) and weakly ferromagnetic \( J_3 \); and this trend is in line with the available magneto-structural correlations.\textsuperscript{24-25} The pseudo-Jahn-Teller elongation along the Cr-Cl bond results in dominant \( d_{z}^{2}|p_{z}|d_{z}^{2} \) overlap leading to a strong antiferromagnetic coupling. The \( J_3 \) interaction is mediated via longer tetrazolate bridges, and hence it is relatively weak.
Figure 1. (a) Geometry and representation of $J_1$-$4$, bond parameters of GS (in black) and HS in $\left[\left(\text{Cr}_4\left(\text{O}_2\right)_4\text{Cl}\right)_3\right]^{3-}$ MOF. (Cr-green, Cl-purple, N-blue, C-grey, H-white) (b) GS spin density plot of $\left[\left(\text{Cr}_4\left(\text{O}_2\right)_4\text{Cl}\right)_3\right]^{3-}$ MOF. (c) Estimated spin-state ladder based on DFT $J$s for O$_2$ bound (black) and unbound MOF. (d) The d-orbital DOS plot for $\left[\left(\text{Cr}_4\left(\text{O}_2\right)_4\text{Cl}\right)_3\right]^{3-}$ MOF.
This set of $J$ values yield $S = 0$ ground state (GS) for $\{\text{Cr}_4\}$ unit with another $S = 0$ and $S = 1$ excited states at 63.6 and 83.6 cm$^{-1}$ higher, respectively, suggesting competing for AF interactions (see Figure 1(c)). Calculations yield two-spin-up-two-spin-down Cr$^{III}$ center as the lowest energy spin configuration (Figure 1(b)) among all the spin configurations computed. For O$_2$ bound MOF, all $J$s except $J_2$ and $J_3$ are estimated to be antiferromagnetic, with $J_4$ being the strongest, and this is also consistent with experiments.$^{23, 26}$ The Cr(III) with unpaired electrons in $d_{xy}$, $d_{xz}$, and $d_{yz}$ orbitals do not offer a direct overlap with the linear Cr-Cl-Cr bridge resulting in weak ferromagnetic $J_2$ exchange. Strong antiferromagnetic $J_1$ and $J_4$ enforces $S = 0$ GS with alternate spin-up and down in the $\{\text{Cr}_4\}$ unit with the O$_2^*$ spin antiferromagnetically coupled to each Cr center (see Figure S1 in ESI), and this configuration is different from unbound MOF $S = 0$ state.

The unpaired electrons are found to be in the O-O ($p^*$) orbital with the distal oxygen found to have significant radical character (0.6), with the total unpaired electrons of ~0.93 detected on the oxygen molecule revealing a strong superoxo character. The nonlinear Cr-O-O angle of 126° facilitates strong overlap with the $\sigma^*(d^2_z)$ and the axial $\pi$-donor Cl orbital destabilize the $d_{xy/yz}$ orbitals leading to, stronger overlap and hence strong binding as shown in the qualitative MO diagram derived (see Figure 2).

**Figure 2.** The qualitative Molecular Orbital Diagram showing the interaction of O$_2$ with the Chromium center.
The oxygen-binding was analyzed by the pDOS plot (Figure 1d), and near the Fermi level, the oxygen p-orbitals are found to mix strongly with the d-based orbitals of Cr ions. Particularly the $d_{x^2-y^2}$, and $d_{z^2}$ orbitals are found close to the Fermi level, and if we compare the pDOS with unbound MOF (see Figure 5a), reduction in the Cr d-orbital density is visible, suggesting oxidation of Cr ion as expected. Additionally, both the $d_{z^2}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals of Cr ions are found to split near the Fermi level suggesting mixing of these orbitals with oxygen leading to bonding/anti-bonding combinations as described in the qualitative MO diagram (see Figure 2). The binding energy (BE) computed by comparing the GS energy of $[(\text{Cr}_3\text{Cl}_3\text{BTT})_8]^3-$ and $[(\text{Cr}_4\text{O}_2\text{Cl}_3\text{BTT})_8]^3-$ in Cr(III)-O$_2$* mode of binding is estimated to be -136.7 kJ/mol (per Chromium site).

**Figure 3.** The energy level diagram depicts different spin states' comparative energies before and after oxygen binding (in kJ/mol).
Figure 4. (a) Computed adsorption isotherm for successive O\textsubscript{2} binding (b) Comparative adsorption isotherm for O\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2} in GS and HS state (c) Computed BE for successive addition of oxygen in GS (black line, S=0) and HS state (blue line). (d) The rigid scan of N-N bond distance in N\textsubscript{2} bound MOF. Here the blue circled region indicates the formation of radical at the N2 moitety and corresponding spin density.
This large exothermicity computed reveals the favorable formation of end-on Cr(III)-O$_2^*$ superoxo species. The BE reported by us for a well characterized Cr(III)-O$_2^*$ superoxo species [Cr(14TMC)(OO)(Cl)]$^+$ is -77 kJ/mol, which is significantly smaller compared to MOFs. In both cases, the -Cl ligand is found to be in the trans position, unveiling the importance of π-donor ligands in the stability of such transient species. To understand the role of spin-state in gas-binding, we computed the BE for various spin-ladders estimated from the exchange interactions.

If a higher excited S =0 state is considered, the BE is found to differ by a few kJ/mol (see Figure 3). For the high-spin (HS) state, the BE is relatively unfavorable (-87.9 kJ/mol per site with respect to the GS), and this is 47.6 kJ/mol (per site) higher compared to the GS, suggesting strong dependency of binding energy with respect to the spin states. The O$_2$ adsorption isotherm experimentally reported reveals that it is extraordinarily steep, reaching 7.01 wt% at 0.2 bar and saturates at 7.56 wt% at 1 bar, which is smaller than 11.3 wt% expected if all Cr ions are bound to O$_2$. This approximately translates to O$_2$ binding in eight Cr centers among the 12 ions present in our adapted unit cell. In order to check the difference in BE with respect to the number of oxygen molecules to see if a cooperative binding is present, we estimated BE by varying the number of O$_2$ molecules from 2 (leaving ten chromium sites vacant) to 12. As we go from 2 to 8 (~6.3 kJ per site), BE becomes larger and larger, with the largest per site BE noted for eight oxygen molecules (-139.4 kJ/mol). The addition of further oxygen molecules tends to decrease the BE (2.5 kJ/mol per site), suggesting that 10 or 12 oxygen (full saturation point) binding is not very favorable, and this is consistent with experiments. The addition of oxygen beyond eight units tends to increase the steric crowding at the tetrameric binding sites and also demands oxidation of all the Cr$^{II}$ to Cr$^{III}$ at the tetrameric unit cell. Both of which has a larger energy penalty, diminishing the binding energy.

For the HS state, different behavior is noted, with the most favorable BE found for six O$_2$ molecules with a much steeper energy penalty for additional oxygen bindings (12.8 kJ/mol per site). Again, spin-state was found to dictate not only the BE preferences but also the number of oxygen molecules that can bind to the MOF in a favorable manner (see Figure 4c). This suggests that switching of the spin-state/exchange coupling is therefore expected to influence the selectivity considerably. To further understand the binding of oxygen, we have simulated the adsorption isotherms at 298 K and 0-4 bar using the RASPA suite (see Figure 4(a, b) and computational details). To account for Cr-O covalent bond formation and BE difference among various spin-
states, we have performed further adsorption isotherm calculations using the starting geometry of \([\text{Cr}_4\text{Cl}_3(\text{O}_2)_n(\text{BTT})_8]^{3-}\) \((n=2, 4, 6, 8, 10 \text{ and } 12, \text{ see Figure } 4(a), \text{ see ESI for the corresponding equation used})\). The GS electronic configuration isotherm is very similar to the experimental curve.\(^\text{12}\) Moreover, from the simulated curve, it is clear that the HS state has a lesser tendency to bind oxygen compared to the antiferromagnetic \(S = 0\) GS, unveiling a strong spin-state dependent \(\text{O}_2\) loading feature. The reason for unfavorable gas binding in the HS state \((S = 8\) for a tetrameric \(\text{Cr(III)}\)-\(\text{O}_2^*\)-unit\) is partially due to the strong antiferromagnetic exchange between \(\text{Cr(III)}\) and \(\text{O}_2^*\) unit \((J_4 \text{ value is } \sim 16.3 \text{ kJ/mol})\) and also due to unfavorable structural deformation in the HS state where the \(\text{Cr(III)}\)-O as well as O-O bonds are much longer compared to the low-spin GS configuration (see Figure 1(a)).

![Graphs showing d orbital DOS](image)

**Figure 5.** The d orbital DOS for (a) unbound MOF (b) the \(\text{N}_2\) bound MOF (c) \(\text{H}_2\) bound MOF, where Fermi energy level is marked with pink dotted lines.
Further calculations were performed for N\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2} adsorption, which yields BE of -35 kJ/mol and -16 kJ/mol, respectively. Both the values are significantly smaller than that found for O\textsubscript{2}, rationalizing the extraordinary selectivity for O\textsubscript{2} observed experimentally for this MOF. The binding of N\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} results in an S = 0 GS similar to that of unbound MOF. The J values for MOF-N\textsubscript{2} (MOF-H\textsubscript{2}) are estimated to be $J_1 = -23.4$ (-27.3) cm\textsuperscript{-1}, $J_2 = -34.1$ (-46.6) cm\textsuperscript{-1}, $J_3 = -0.6$ (0.63) cm\textsuperscript{-1}, suggesting dominant antiferromagnetic coupling leading to a diamagnetic GS at lower temperatures. The N\textsubscript{2} is found to bind on end-on fashion with an estimated Cr-N distance of 2.19 Å while the H\textsubscript{2} binds in a side-on fashion with an average Cr-H distance of 2.53 Å with the Cr-H-H angle ~ 81° suggesting a weak overlap with the metal ion and this is also reaffirmed in the pDOS plot (see Figure 5) where a very little overlap of Cr d-orbitals with the N\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} p-orbitals is noted. If we compare the d-based orbitals of Cr ion in the presence and absence of N\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} binding, it is clear that the $d_{z^2}$ orbital is split, suggesting a weak Cr(II)…N\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} interaction with this orbital. To assess the energetic cost associated with the formation of {Cr(III)-N\textsubscript{2}•} similar in line with oxygen binding, a coordinate scan analysis was performed by varying the N…N bond from 0.94 Å to 1.54 Å. This reveals a partial formation of Cr(III)-N\textsubscript{2}• species at N-N~1.34 Å, with an energy penalty of ~150 kJ/mol (per site), revealing the reason for very high selectivity towards O\textsubscript{2} (see Figure 4d). Unlike O\textsubscript{2}, the isotherm obtained for N\textsubscript{2} shows a similar binding trend for HS and S=0 GS, suggesting that spin-state dependency in N\textsubscript{2} is absent (Figure 4(b)). A similar trend is also visible for the H\textsubscript{2} binding, unveiling the reason for the very high selectivity towards O\textsubscript{2} for this MOF.

**Conclusions**

To this end, using periodic DFT calculations, we have shown that spin-state/exchange interaction plays a pivotal role in dictating gas adsorption selectivity in Cr MOF. Among the O\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} gases studied, the electron transfer mechanism adopted by O\textsubscript{2} leads to its strong spin-state dependent binding while the other two gases do not exhibit such drastic variations. As the O\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} selective adsorption/desorption process has high commercial value, this study offers a hitherto unknown strategy of utilizing spin-state/spin-couplings switching to control gas adsorption selectivity in MOFs.
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