INTRODUCTION

Biological fluids are populated by a plethora of circulating biogenic nanoparticles that differ in size, density, and composition and share an important role in cell-to-cell communication. Over the past four decades, one specific subclass of biological nanoparticles named extracellular vesicles (EVs) has gained much attention in several scientific fields due to their chemico-physical and biological properties. EVs are heterogeneous in size and density and may be
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Abstract
Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are a subclass of biological nanoparticles secreted by most cell types. Once secreted, EVs can travel long distances to deliver their content to target cells thereby playing a key role in cell-to-cell communication and supporting both physiological and pathological processes. In recent years, the functional versatility of EVs has come to be more widely appreciated. Their heterogeneous structure encloses solubilized bioactive cargoes including proteins and nucleic acids. EVs mirror the secreting cell in composition therefore representing a novel source of diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers. Moreover, due to their unique structure, EVs constitute a promising class of biocompatible nanovehicles for drug delivery as well. Importantly, and of burgeoning interest, is the fact that EVs have the intrinsic ability to breach biological barriers including the complex blood–brain barrier (BBB), whose restrictive nature represents a significant therapeutic challenge. EVs have been shown to contribute to the progression of a variety of brain diseases including metastatic brain cancer, neurodegenerative diseases, and acute pathologies including infections and ischemia. In this review, the role of EVs in the maintenance and regulation of the BBB under normal physiological and pathologic conditions are discussed. Applications of EVs as therapeutic and diagnostic tools in the treatment of diseases that affect the central nervous system are presented as are limitations hindering their broad translation and potential solutions to resolve them.
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classified as exosomes, microvesicles (also named ectosomes, microparticles, or if tumor-derived, oncosomes\(^6\)) and apoptotic bodies based on the originating pathway.\(^1,2\) Exosomes originate from the multivesicular bodies and are released in the extracellular space upon the fusion of the endosomes with the plasma membrane. In contrast, microvesicles originate from the outward budding of the plasma membrane whereas apoptotic bodies are the product of cell death via apoptosis.\(^2\) Interestingly, EV heterogeneity could suggest specific biological properties unique to each EV-subclass. However, the currently applied EV size- (e.g., size-exclusion chromatography, tangential flow filtration) and density-based (e.g., ultracentrifugation, density gradient) isolation methods do not allow efficient separation of the different EV subclasses therefore hampering the study of their respective properties.\(^8\) The broad EV heterogeneity remains a complex topic that is currently the subject of intense investigation. For this reason, we will refer to the cell-derived nanoparticles discussed in the present review with the broad term of EVs.

Extracellular vesicles are released by both eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells, are delimited by cellular membrane and encase small coding and noncoding genetic materials and proteins.\(^6\) Along with classic routes of intercellular communication (e.g., secretion of autocrine/paracrine signaling factors), EVs represent an additional strategy for cells to convey messages to other cells in their surrounding environment and in distant organs.\(^3\) Once released from the originating cells, EVs may interact with the surrounding microenvironment, intravasate, travel short or long distances, extravasate at the target site and deliver their bioactive content to a specific cell modulating its phenotype.\(^10\) EVs have the intrinsic ability to breach biological barriers even the more complex ones such as the blood–brain barrier (BBB).\(^11,12\)

The term BBB refers to the entire set of small vessels and capillaries that form a dense network that vascularizes the brain and that simultaneously protects it from exogenous (bacteria, viral particles, others) and endogenous (glutamate, glycine, norepinephrine, epinephrine, peptide hormones, antibodies, others) blood-circulating neurotoxins.\(^1,13\) On a micron scale, the BBB consists of a homogeneous layer of brain microvascular endothelial cells (BECs) connected by unique lateral occluding junctions that prevent the paracellular transport or passive diffusion of molecules and particles through the barrier, making it highly selective.\(^1,13\) To complete the complex BBB superstructure, BECs are supported by pericytes on the parenchymal side. Pericytes are contractile immune-like cells embedded in a thin layer of extracellular matrix (ECM) called the basal lamina and the astrocytic glia end-feet that help tighten the barrier.\(^13–15\) Together, the BBB cells which are the BECs, pericytes and astrocytes, and the brain cells, namely neurons, microglia, and oligodendrocytes, form the neurovascular unit (NVU) which is essential for central nervous system (CNS) homeostasis.\(^15\)

Cell communication is fundamental between both sides of the BBB and it is, at least in part, mediated via EVs.\(^16\) It is now widely accepted that systemic and BBB-derived EVs initiate, promote and support physiological blood-to-brain transport as well as pathological chronic (e.g., neurodegenerative diseases, primary and secondary tumors)\(^17\) and acute (e.g., infections, ischemia)\(^18–21\) processes.

This review discusses the role of EVs in the maintenance and regulation of the BBB under normal physiological conditions as well as on the EV–BBB interactions that favor and support various brain pathologies. In addition, the recent principal applications of EVs as therapeutic and diagnostic tools in the treatment of pathologies that affect the CNS are presented as well as the limitations hindering their broad translation.

### 2 | PHYSIOLOGICAL REGULATION OF THE BBB

The BBB is unique as it is characterized by having a high metabolic rate, high electrical resistance and numerous tight and adherent intercellular junctions.\(^13,22\) Together, these features limit the paracellular transport of molecules while favoring a selective transcellular transport mediated by membrane proteins, receptors, and adsorptive transcytosis (Figure 1A).\(^23\) Efflux pumps actively remove exogenous molecules that may be harmful to BBB integrity and for the brain itself.\(^23\) BBB transport undergoes physiological variations throughout the life of individuals becoming more permeable with age. It has been shown that the BBB of healthy elderly mice (20–24 months old) becomes leakier to various tracers including endogenous proteins such as components of the plasma secretome.\(^24\) Compared to the BECs of young (3 months old) mice, BECs of 2-year-old mice showed a decreased expression of membrane proteins (e.g., transferrin receptors, lipoproteins receptors, clathrin and clathrin adaptors) that mediate receptor-mediated transcytosis in favor of an increased transcytosis of large proteins (e.g., albumin and antibodies) through nonspecific caveolar vesicles. This transport shift was accompanied by other signs of physiological ageing, namely decreased pericyte coverage, the presence of ectopic brain calcifications and an increased expression of alkaline phosphatase (ALPL) on BEC surfaces. Interestingly, the targeting of vascular ALPL by approved selective inhibitors rescued vascular expression of transferrin receptors and increased BEC receptor-mediated transcytosis, potentially representing a novel strategy to modulate the BBB transport in the elderly population.\(^24\)
Cells release biological nanoparticles such as lipoproteins, transferrin, and EVs\(^2\) that are fundamental for short and long distance cell-to-cell communication. Receptor-based and adsorptive transcytosis are the two currently known routes through which complex circulating nanoparticles are transported through the BBB to the brain. Given the critical role

---

**FIGURE 1** Examples of pathways and mechanisms of transport at the blood–brain barrier during (A) physiological conditions (e.g., passive diffusion, receptor-mediated transcytosis) and (B) pathological processes, such as primary and metastatic brain cancer, and neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s diseases (e.g., decreased expression of tight junction proteins, increased paracellular leakage, decreased expression of membrane protein for active transport of molecules, and increased cancer-extracellular vesicle [EV] transcytosis).
that EV trafficking across the BBB plays during such significant pathological processes such as primary and metastatic brain tumors and some neurodegenerative diseases, understanding the transcytosis of circulating EVs and their role in brain homeostasis remains an important research opportunity.

Taken together, it is clear that BBB structure and its role in brain homeostasis represent a double-edged sword for the treatment of brain conditions as it regulates a selective transport mechanism while also hindering the delivery of the majority of therapeutic molecules. Elucidating the mechanisms through which EVs interact with the BBB under physiological and pathological conditions may advance the development of new vehicles for targeted brain delivery as well as the discovery and validation of diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers of brain pathologies.

3 EVs AND THE BBB IN BRAIN PATHOLOGIES

3.1 Primary and metastatic brain tumors

Cancer-derived EVs have been unequivocally linked to the development and progression of primary and metastatic brain tumors providing further evidence in support of EV interactions with the BBB.

3.1.1 Primary brain tumors

Primary brain cancers, many of which are fatal, suffer from a lack of effective diagnostic or prognostic biomarkers, have limited treatment options and are often diagnosed at an advanced stage, leading to poor patient prognosis and survival. During their rapid growth in a spatially limited brain environment, brain tumors display ECM remodeling strategies and cytotoxic effects resulting in the loss or aberrant transformation of BBB cellular and extracellular components. As a result, the BBB in close proximity of a brain tumor, the blood–tumor barrier, is characterized by a compromised integrity and becomes permeable to large molecules and circulating leukocytes (Figure 1B). The blood–tumor barrier permits the transfer of blood-borne neurotoxins into the brain parenchyma, thereby compromising neuronal viability and the function of preexisting blood vessels while favoring the formation of new leaky, abnormal capillaries. Similarly, EVs from primary brain cancers are found circulating in the blood and other systemic biological fluids supporting the finding that EVs can also be secreted by intracranial tumors and trafficked across both sides of the BBB (Figure 2A).

Gliomas are the most common category of primary brain tumors in adults, accounting for ~29% of all the adult brain cancers. In children, the most common form of gliomas is astrocytoma which accounts for 52% of all pediatric gliomas. Gliomas originate from macroglial cells and are poorly responsive to current therapeutics. Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is the most common form of glioma in adults and is characterized by highly undifferentiated tumor cells, heterogeneity and defective angiogenesis. Patient-derived stem-like GBM cells cultured as neurospheres in neurobasal medium secrete large amounts of EVs (10^9 EVs/mL of conditioned media). These EVs carry selectively enriched genetic material, predominantly noncoding ribosomal RNA, along with microRNAs and low levels (below 10%) of coding messenger RNA able to impact the phenotype of BECs. Interestingly, GBM-secreted EVs (GBM-EVs) target BECs and induce the formation and sprouting of new vessels through a VEGF-A-dependent mechanism. Furthermore, VEGF-A-containing EVs isolated from GBM cells cultured under hypoxic conditions increase BBB permeability by decreasing the expression of tight junction proteins, namely claudin 5 and occludin, in BECs.

Other than stimulating BECs through VEGF-A-dependent pathways, EVs derived from primary human GBM cells (GBM8) cultured as spheroids in neurobasal medium were shown to transfer a subset of eight micro-RNAs (miRNAs) to BECs, which are responsible for modulating BECs gene transcription and protein expression toward a pro-angiogenic phenotype. The mechanistic role of EVs in other rare intracranial brain cancers, in particular pediatric brain cancers, has also been studied but to a lesser extent compared to adult brain tumors. Further studies are required to determine the interaction of tumor-derived and host EVs with the BBB within the context of pediatric brain tumors.

3.1.2 Metastatic brain tumors

Metastatic brain tumors, also known as secondary brain tumors, result from the dissemination of cancer cells from systemic primary tumors and their survival and proliferation in the brain microenvironment. Brain metastasis is the most common type of brain tumor in adults and occurs in a variety of cancer types, most commonly lung cancer, melanoma, and breast cancer. EVs have been shown to interact with the components of the BBB and contribute to different stages of brain metastasis. BECs have been identified as one of the recipients of tumor-derived EVs, specifically, EVs...
derived from the brain-seeking MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell line. Transfer of miR-105 and miR-181c from EVs to BECs led to a decrease in the expression of zona occludens 1, a tight junction molecule and the reorganization of actin filaments, both leading to an increase in the permeability of the BBB (Figure 1B). Furthermore, EVs derived from
brain-seeking breast cancer cells were shown to be enriched in the cell migration-inducing and hyaluronan-binding protein.\textsuperscript{42} When transferred to BECs, they diminished the vascular co-option phenotype of tumor cells in the brain and further induced an inflammatory phenotype in both BECs and microglial cells.\textsuperscript{42}

Importantly, tumor-derived EVs can find their way to the brain parenchyma by crossing the brain endothelial barrier. Our group was the first to show that breast cancer-derived EVs exhibit transcellular transport across the endothelial barrier and breach the BBB without compromising its integrity.\textsuperscript{29} We have further shown that, once on the abluminal side, breast cancer-derived EVs can be taken up by astrocytes and transfer miR-301a, which alters the matrix modulating function of the cells by decreasing the expression of tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase 2\textsuperscript{25} as part of pre-metastatic niche preparation. Breast cancer-derived EVs have also been shown to transfer miR-122 to astrocytes, which reduced glucose uptake of these cells and created a favorable metabolic environment in the brain for tumor growth.\textsuperscript{43} The reported EV interactions in brain metastasis are not limited to tumor-derived EVs. Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) loss, which has been known as a common characteristic of metastatic brain tumors, was recently shown to be a nongenetic feature and a result of environmental cues transferred via EVs.\textsuperscript{44} Astrocyte EVs were shown to transfer miR-19a to metastatic breast cancer cells in the brain, which led to PTEN downregulation and increased cell proliferation in these cells. PTEN loss could be reversed by blocking EV secretion in astrocytes.\textsuperscript{44}

Overall, these and other studies demonstrate that EVs can drive bidirectional cross talk between tumor cells and BBB cells and can promote metastasis formation in the brain. It should be noted that our understanding of the role of EVs in brain metastasis has been limited, to a large extent, to breast cancer brain metastasis. With several brain metastatic cell lines now available for other cancers such as lung cancer and melanoma,\textsuperscript{45} future studies are warranted to evaluate the effect of EVs across different cancer types.

### 3.2 Neurodegenerative diseases

Neurodegenerative diseases include a broad group of pathologies, all of which progressively affect neuronal viability in the CNS leading to important neurological and psychiatric symptoms that heavily impact the patient’s quality of life and survival.\textsuperscript{46–49} The most common neurodegenerative diseases are Alzheimer’s disease (AD), which represents the most common form of dementia in the elderly individual, Parkinson’s disease (PD) and motor neuron diseases, which are progressive disorders affecting movement.\textsuperscript{49}

The pathology of neurodegenerative diseases is based on the accumulation of misfolded aggregated proteins (e.g., α-synuclein in PD and amyloid-β, and tau protein in AD) that display cytotoxic effects and are transmitted to adjacent cells in a prion-like fashion. EVs, as key effectors in intercellular communication, appear to be involved in the cross talk between healthy and affected cells and in the advancement of these pathologies.\textsuperscript{47}

Chronic inflammation precedes the appearance of neurodegenerative clinical symptoms and promotes their progression. Astrocyte EVs promote AD progression directly by transferring neurotoxic amyloid-β to surrounding neurons\textsuperscript{50} or indirectly by targeting neurons and delivering pro-inflammatory molecules that stimulate amyloid-β production and damage the target cells.\textsuperscript{51,52} In both cases, EVs derived from activated astrocytes display neurotoxic effects on the surrounding brain cells favoring neuroinflammation and degeneration.

Similarly, astrocytes stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) secrete EVs enriched in miR-34a that, once taken up by cultured dopaminergic neurons, decrease their level of antiapoptotic proteins thereby increasing their sensitivity towards neurotoxins.\textsuperscript{53} In vivo, rats that received a single intracerebral injection of astrocyte EVs showed an accelerated loss of dopaminergic neurons, further supporting the role of EVs released by activated astrocytes in PD pathogenesis.\textsuperscript{53}

Recent findings suggest that other peripheral organs and tissues may contribute to the pathogenesis of the neurodegenerative disease, in particular PD.\textsuperscript{54} The abnormal accumulation of α-synuclein in the brain of patients affected by PD is supported by active transport of peripheral α-synuclein across the BBB to the brain.\textsuperscript{54} Erythrocytes contain a high concentration of α-synuclein that is, at least in part, secreted via EVs. EVs isolated from erythrocytes of PD patients and healthy controls were administered to murine models with LPS-induced systemic inflammation.\textsuperscript{54} Interestingly, after BBB permeability was increased by LPS, erythrocyte-derived EVs were transferred, likely through absorption-mediated transcytosis, across the BBB and colocalized with brain microglia. EVs derived from PD erythrocytes incubated with cultured microglia cells caused a significant increase (40%) in induced nitric oxide synthase expression supporting a pro-inflammatory brain microenvironment that may contribute to PD pathogenesis and progression.\textsuperscript{54}

Taken together, these and other studies support the involvement of EVs in the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases. However, the specific role of EVs in the context of neurodegenerative diseases, for instance, whether EVs are involved in the transmission or degradation pathway of the aberrant proteins, is a complex topic that, to date, is still not well understood.\textsuperscript{49}
3.3 | Neuroimmune diseases

A growing body of literature demonstrates that EVs released from a variety of immune and nonimmune cells can induce pro- and anti-inflammatory immune responses in their target cells. For instance, EVs can contribute to antigen presentation and modulation of T-cell activity through their surface MHC molecules or programmed death-ligand 1, respectively.\(^55-57\) The immunomodulatory function of EVs have also been described within the brain microenvironment, in relation to the components of the BBB and within the context of inflammatory, ischemic, and infectious brain diseases.\(^18-21\) Importantly, EVs can regulate immune modulation in brain stems by mediating EV-driven cross talk between the brain cells and peripheral immune and nonimmune cells. For instance, brain endothelial EVs containing inflammatory cargo were shown to have a strong correlation with the severity of acute ischemic stroke,\(^21\) with in vitro studies suggesting their role in the facilitation of monocyte extravasation across the BBB during inflammation.\(^58\) Monocyte EVs were also shown to change the expression profile of BECs toward an inflammatory phenotype.\(^20\) Microglia, the resident macrophages of the brain, also release EVs that alter the behavior of neurons and contribute to neuroinflammation\(^59,60;\) however, their role in the regulation of the BBB is not well understood. The choroid plexus-derived EVs released during systemic inflammation were also shown to be taken up by astrocytes and microglia and upregulate the expression of inflammatory genes in the brain.\(^19\)

Different pathogens have also been shown to exert their infectious effects on the brain through EVs. For instance, plasma EVs from mice with cerebral malaria were taken up by BECs when injected in healthy mice and were sufficient to induce cerebral malaria-like symptoms.\(^19\) Furthermore, platelet-derived EVs were shown to increase the adherence of malaria-infected erythrocytes to the BECs thereby facilitating BBB alterations during cerebral malaria.\(^19\) HIV infection also increased the transfer of amyloid-beta from BECs to astrocytes and pericytes through endothelial EVs, a process that was suggested to be involved in HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder.\(^61\) It is worth noting that, similar to host cell-derived EVs, microbial EVs derived from pathogenic and nonpathogenic bacteria have been recently introduced as major players in the modulation of immune responses.\(^62,63\) The similarities between the microbial EVs and their eukaryotic counterparts in the mechanisms of internalization into recipient cells prompts the hypothesis that microbial EVs could be taken up by BECs or breach the BBB and, therefore, contribute to immune regulation in the brain. Recent studies have demonstrated that EVs derived from \textit{Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans}, a pathogen of periodontal diseases, could cross the BBB and were taken up by infiltrating macrophages and resident microglia, leading to inflammatory cytokine production.\(^64,65\) This mechanism was suggested as a potential explanation for the association between periodontal diseases and AD.\(^66\) Future studies are needed to elucidate the role of microbial EVs derived from pathogens or nonpathogenic resident microbiota in the immune regulation of the BBB and the brain microenvironment.

4 | PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL INVESTIGATIONS OF EVs AS THERAPEUTIC AND DIAGNOSTIC STRATEGIES FOR BRAIN PATHOLOGIES

As a function of their promising therapeutic and diagnostic potential, EVs are under intense preclinical and clinical investigations in many diseases, including cancers and neurodegenerative, cardiovascular, and infectious diseases.\(^67-70\) To date, according to the Clinicaltrials.gov database (https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/), there are over 200 ongoing and completed clinical trials using EVs as either therapeutic agents or diagnostic biomarkers. Among these trials, approximately 15 of them are related to brain pathologies such as PD, AD, stroke, and brain cancers which are discussed below.

Extracellular vesicles derived from the CNS are trafficked across the BBB, released into the bloodstream or in other fluids and may serve as a good source of diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers.\(^48,71,72\) EV components have shown great potential as brain cancer biomarkers.\(^73\) EGFR mRNA, in particular the GBM-specific mutant splice variant EGFRvIII, was found to be enriched in EVs isolated from GBM patient serum samples.\(^76\) Similarly, miR-21 was consistently found to be enriched in EVs isolated from blood samples of patients affected by GBM\(^66,74\) as well as from the CSF of patients with leptomeningeal metastasis.\(^75\) Interestingly, miR-21 was also found to be enriched in EVs isolated from brains of murine models of traumatic brain injury where the brain trauma was mimicked with a craniotomy followed by a controlled damage of the dura.\(^76\) GBM-EVs have been shown to cross the intact BBB, be released into the bloodstream and carry a DNA signature specific to the originating malignant cells, in particular, isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 mutations.\(^77\) Notably, these GBM-EVs were isolated from plasma samples of xenotransplanted orthotopic murine models and from serum samples from patients that underwent surgery for GBM (n = 20) or brain metastatic cancer (n = 1).\(^77\) In another study, a nuclear magnetic resonance system was adapted for the detection and analysis of the protein signatures of EVs derived from blood samples of GBM patients, which were used to analyze primary tumor mutations and predict the outcome of GBM therapy in vivo.\(^78\) Notably, there are ongoing clinical trials investigating EVs as predictive biomarkers for
high-grade GBM patients (NCT03576612; estimated study completion February 2022) or breast cancer leptomeningeal metastases (NCT03974204; estimated study completion date October 2023).

Neurodegenerative conditions are frequently diagnosed after the appearance of clinical manifestations, such as dementia and impaired movements, which are signs of advanced-stage disease. Early symptoms of neurological changes are difficult to detect due to the lack of early diagnostic biomarkers. A systemic comparison of EV miRNAs isolated from blood samples and brain tissues of patients affected by AD have permitted the identification of potential biomarkers of AD and have also supported the hypothesis that EVs are trafficked across both sides of the BBB. Some candidate miRNAs have also been shown to be present in both blood and brain of patients affected by AD compared to healthy controls paving the way for the validation of novel blood-based AD biomarkers. Similarly, EVs isolated from the blood and CSF of patients affected by amyotrophic lateral sclerosis were enriched in a subset of up and downregulated miRNAs with diagnostic potential. These candidate miRNAs were identified by next-generation sequencing and validated by droplet digital polymerase chain reaction. Some of the identified miRNAs correlated with disability progression as well.

Extracellular vesicles isolated from blood and saliva of patients affected by PD versus healthy controls have also been shown to carry specific molecules such as, but not limited to, α-synuclein, that may represent potential prognostic markers for PD. Moreover, disease-specific molecules carried by EVs can serve as therapeutic targets for PD-targeted therapy. It has also been reported that EVs isolated from the urine and CSF of patients with PD exhibited elevated levels and autophosphorylation of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2), which is closely correlated with the LRRK2 gene mutation status in patients with PD. It has been known that the LRRK2 gene mutation can cause late-onset PD. These findings have led to three clinical trials (NCT01860118, NCT03775447, and NCT04603326) investigating the role of LRRK2 and other EV biomarkers for predicting disease susceptibility and progression as well as predicting the therapeutic efficacy of sunitinib, a potent LRRK2 kinase and antiangiogenesis inhibitor in PD patients.

Similarly, Tau, a microtubule-associated protein whose pathological aggregation can cause neurodegenerative disorders, has been shown to be highly enriched in ectosomes, plasma membrane-originated EVs, which are isolated from the interstitial fluid of a rat model of sporadic tauopathy. These findings revealed a new mechanism as to how intracellular Tau proteins are transferred into extracellular fluids, leading to pathological spread of Tau. A clinical trial (NCT03381482) is ongoing using ectosome-associated Tau protein as a novel predictive biomarker for AD. Ectosomes were isolated from the CSF of patients with AD and their extrosomal Tau levels were quantified by ELISA and Nanosight measurements.

Extracellular vesicles are also being investigated for their potential in the treatment of brain diseases. EVs can be isolated from cells with intrinsic therapeutic potential (e.g., stem cells and immune cells) or can be loaded with therapeutic agents. Both strategies have been under clinical investigations. EVs isolated from mesenchymal stem cells (MSC-EVs) maintain the anti-apoptotic, immunomodulatory, and regenerative functions of their parental cells. In preclinical investigations, MSC-EVs have shown protective effects in treating several pathologies, including AD. The therapeutic benefits of MSC-EVs as a promising regenerative medicine led to a number of clinical trials in brain diseases. MSC-EVs administered via a nasal drip route have been investigated for treating patients with AD in an ongoing Phase I/II clinical trial (NCT04388982). In addition, intravenously administered MSC-EVs have been investigated for treating patients with stroke (NCT03384433, ongoing trial). EVs have also been engineered to deliver various therapeutic payloads, including small molecules, peptides, proteins, and genetic therapeutics. EVs can be loaded via exogenous (e.g., co-incubation, sonication, or electroporation) or endogenous methods (e.g., EVs are released by engineered donor cells). Notably, engineered EVs have shown promise as therapeutic in preclinical studies. In September 2020, a Phase I clinical trial was launched to investigate the efficacy of modified EVs as an anticancer therapy. EVs were engineered via a fusion-protein approach to display pro-inflammatory interleukin-12 (IL-12) on their surface and injected intratumorally for the treatment of advanced solid tumors, including GBM. The initial results of this clinical trial showed that local injection of IL-12-loaded EVs was well tolerated in healthy volunteers without treatment-related adverse effects and systematic IL-12 exposure; the multidose efficacy evaluation for cancer patients is ongoing.

Extracellular vesicles are an emerging class of biological medicine providing promising therapeutic and diagnostic opportunities for many brain pathologies in urgent need of therapeutic intervention. To accelerate clinical translation of EV-based medicines, several critical challenges must be addressed including increasing the yield and the consistency of EVs isolated from patient’s body fluids, manufacturing EVs or EV mimetics in a scaled-up and GMP manner, increasing EV loading efficiency and enhancing EV targeting and BBB breaching/crossing abilities.
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