A chemical synthesis of LNA-2,6-diaminopurine riboside, and the influence of 2′-O-methyl-2,6-diaminopurine and LNA-2,6-diaminopurine ribosides on the thermodynamic properties of 2′-O-methyl RNA/RNA heteroduplexes
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ABSTRACT

Modified nucleotides are useful tools to study the structures, biological functions and chemical and thermodynamic stabilities of nucleic acids. Derivatives of 2,6-diaminopurine riboside (D) are one type of modified nucleotide. The presence of an additional amino group at position 2 relative to adenine results in formation of a third hydrogen bond when interacting with uridine. New method for chemical synthesis of protected 3′-O-phosphoramide of LNA-2,6-diaminopurine riboside is described. The derivatives of 2′-O-methyl-2,6-diaminopurine and LNA-2,6-diaminopurine ribosides were used to prepare complete 2′-O-methyl RNA and LNA-2′-O-methyl RNA chimeric oligonucleotides to pair with RNA oligonucleotides. Thermodynamic stabilities of these duplexes demonstrated that replacement of a single internal 2′-O-methyladenosine with 2′-O-methyl-2,6-diaminopurine riboside (D₃₇) increases the thermodynamic stability (ΔΔG₃₇) on average by 0.9 and 2.3 kcal/mol, respectively. Moreover, the results fit a nearest neighbor model for predicting duplex stability at 37°C. D-A and D-G but not D-C mismatches formed by D₃₇ or D₂ generally destabilize 2′-O-methyl RNA/RNA and LNA-2′-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes relative to the same type of mismatches formed by 2′-O-methyladenosine and LNA-adenosine, respectively. The enhanced thermodynamic stability of fully complementary duplexes and decreased thermodynamic stability of some mismatched duplexes are useful for many RNA studies, including those involving microarrays.

INTRODUCTION

Modified nucleotides are useful tools to study the structures, biological functions and chemical and thermodynamic stabilities of nucleic acids (1–7). Recently, microarray methods were introduced to study the structure of nucleic acids (8–11). In native RNA, a majority of nucleotides form canonical pairs and single-stranded regions are typically short, roughly 5–7 nucleotides long. Detection of these single-stranded regions by RNA binding to probes on microarrays requires that the hybrid formed be thermodynamically sufficiently stable to capture the RNA. The thermodynamic stability of nucleic acid duplexes is strongly dependent on sequence, however. For example, duplexes of RNA heptamers composed of only A-U or G-C base pairs can differ in stability (ΔΔG₃₇) by up to 15 kcal/mol, which is over 10 orders of magnitude in K₉ (12). This complicates interpretation of microarray data. Incorporation of modified nucleotides in microarray probes can increase the thermodynamic stability of hybrid duplexes and make the thermodynamic stability relatively independent of sequence. Consequently the single-stranded character of potential binding sites in target RNA becomes the dominant factor determining binding, thus simplifying interpretation to deduce target RNA secondary structure.

There are many ways to adjust the stabilities of nucleic acid duplexes (1, 13–22). Initial microarray experiments to...
deduce RNA secondary structure (11) used 2'-O-methyl RNA probes because 2'-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes are more thermodynamically stable than DNA/RNA duplexes (14,17,23) and 2'-O-methyl RNA probes are also chemically stable. The thermodynamic stability of 2'-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes can be enhanced by incorporation of LNA nucleotides (16), much as LNA stabilizes DNA/DNA (15,18,19) and DNA/RNA (15,18) hybrids. Here, we show that 2,6-diaminopurine substitution for A in 2'-O-methyl RNA or LNA nucleotides can further increase thermodynamic stabilities of hybrids with RNA and thereby reduce the sequence dependence of hybrid formation.

The 2,6-diaminopurine riboside (D) is an analog of adenosine containing an additional amino group at position 2 of the purine ring. The 2-amino group allows formation of a third hydrogen bond with uridine in the complementary strand. Previous studies have shown that 2,6-diaminopurine can increase the thermodynamic stability of RNA and DNA duplexes (20–22). The data presented here demonstrate that substitution of D for A increases thermodynamic stability (ΔΔG') of fully complementary 2'-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes by 0.4–1.2 and 1.0–2.7 kcal/mol at 37°C, respectively, for each 2'-O-methyl-2,6-diaminopurine riboside (D^M) or LNA-2,6-diaminopurine riboside (D^L) present in the duplex. The results for fully complementary 2'-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes fit a nearest neighbor model for predicting stability and the effects of D and LNA substitutions are additive. Measurements of duplexes with mismatches indicate that internal D-A, D-C and D-G pairs are very destabilizing relative to D-U, thus providing specificity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General methods

Mass spectra of nucleosides and oligonucleotides were obtained on an LC MS Hewlett Packard series 1100 MSD with API-ES detector or an MALDI-TOF MS, model Autoflex (Bruker). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) purification of the oligonucleotides was carried out on Merck 60 F254 TLC plates with the mixture 1-propanol/aqueous ammonia/water = 55:35:10 (v/v/v). TLC analysis of reaction progress was performed on the same type of silica gel plates with various mixtures of dichloromethane and methanol (98:2 v/v, 95:5 v/v, 9:1 v/v and 8:2 v/v).

Chemical synthesis of phosphoramidite of 2'-O-methyl-2,6-diaminopurine riboside

The synthesis of protected 2'-O-methyl-2,6-diaminopurine riboside derivative was performed according to general procedures of the synthesis of 2'-O-methyl nucleosides with some modifications (24). 2,6-Diaminopurine riboside was treated with 1,3-dichlorotetraisopropylsiloxane (25) and then 5',3'-O-(tetraisopropylsiloxane-1,3-diy1)-2,6-diaminopurine riboside was methylated with iodomethane in the presence of sodium hydride (24). The 2'-O-methylated derivative was treated with isobutyl chloride followed by triethylammonium fluoride (25,26). Treatment of the last derivative with dimethoxytrityl chloride followed by 2-cyanoethyl-N,N,N',N'-tetraisopropylphosphorodiamidite gave 5'-O-dimethoxytrityl-2'-O-methyl-N2,N6-diisobuteryl-2,6-diaminopurine riboside-3'-O-phosphoramide in overall yield ca. 35%.

Synthesis and purification of oligonucleotides

Oligonucleotides were synthesized on an Applied Biosystems DNA/RNA synthesizer, using β-cyanoethyl phosphoramidite chemistry (27). Commercially available A, C, G and U phosphoramidites with 2'-O-tertbutyldimethylsilyl or 2'-O-methyl groups were used for synthesis of RNA and 2'-O-methyl RNA, respectively (Glen Research, Azco, Proligo). The 3'-O-phosphoramidites of LNA nucleotides were synthesized according to published procedures (15,28,29) with some minor modifications. The details of deprotection and purification of oligoribonucleotides were described previously (12).

UV melting

Oligonucleotides were melted in buffer containing 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM Na2EDTA, pH 7.0. The relatively low sodium chloride concentration kept melting temperatures in the reasonable range even when there were multiple substitutions and also allowed comparison with previous experiments (14,16). Oligonucleotide single-strand concentrations were calculated from absorbance above 80°C and single-strand extinction coefficients were approximated by a nearest-neighbor model with D approximated as A (30,31). Absorbance vs temperature melting curves were measured at 260 nm with a heating rate of 1°C/min from 0 to 90°C on a Beckman DU 640 spectrophotometer with a thermostopper. Melting curves were analyzed and thermodynamic parameters were calculated from a two-state model with the program MeltWin 3.5 (32). For most sequences, the ΔH° derived from Tm vs ln (C/T/4) plots is within 15% of that derived from averaging the fits to individual melting curves, as expected if the two-state model is reasonable.

Parameter fitting

Thermodynamic parameters for predicting stabilities of 2'-O-methyl RNA/RNA with the Individual Nearest Neighbor Hydrogen Bonding (INN-HB) model (12) were obtained by multiple linear regression with the program Analyse-it v.1.71 (Analyse-It Software, Ltd., Leeds, England, www.analyse-it.com) which expands Microsoft Excel. Analyse-It was also used to obtain enhanced stability parameters for LNA-2'-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes when the LNAs are separated by at least one 2'-O-methyl nucleotide. Results from Tm vs ln (C/T/4) plots were used as the data for the calculations.
RESULTS
Chemical synthesis of protected LNA-2,6-diaminopurine riboside derivative

The derivative of LNA-2,6-diaminopurine was synthesized with an approach similar to that described for synthesis of natural LNA nucleosides (15,28,29) (Figure 1). The derivative of pentafruranose (1) (33) was condensed with trimethylsilylated 2,6-diaminopurine in 1,2-dichloroethane in the presence of trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate as catalyst (34). Treatment of derivative (2) with lithium hydroxide resulted in the 5′-O-methanesulfonyl derivative (3), which was converted with lithium benzoate into the 5′-O-benzoyl derivative (4). The application of lithium benzoate instead of sodium benzoate very significantly improved solubility of the benzoate salt in N,N-dimethylformamide. Treatment of 5′-O-benzoyl derivative (4) with aqueous ammonia resulted in formation of (5). Removal of the 3′-O-benzyl with ammonium formate in the presence of Pd/C (35) resulted in formation of LNA-2,6-diaminopurine riboside (6). Derivative (6) was treated with acetyl chloride to produce (7), which was converted into LNA-N2,N6-diacetyl-2,6-diaminopurine riboside (8), using classical Khorana’s procedure (36), and later into the 5′-O-dimethoxytrityl derivative (9). The overall yield of synthesis up to this step was 18%. In reaction of LNA-5′-O-dimethoxytrityl-N2,N6-diacetyl-2,6-diaminopurine riboside (9) with 2-cyanoethyl-N,N,N′,N′-tetraisopropylphosphorodiamidite was converted into LNA-5′-O-dimethoxytrityl-N2,N6-diacetyl-2,6-diaminopurine riboside-3′-O-phosphoramidite (10) in 93% yield. It was possible to use acetyl instead of isobutyl to protect the 2,6-amino groups of LNA-2,6-diaminopurine riboside because LNA-5′-O-dimethoxytrityl-N2,N6-diacetyl-2,6-diaminopurine riboside-3′-O-phosphoramidite (10) is soluble in acetonitrile. This is in contrast to 5′-O-dimethoxytrityl-2′-O-methyl-N2,N6-diacetyl-2,6-diaminopurine riboside-3′-O-phosphoramidite. The details concerning chemical synthesis of derivatives (2–10) are described in Supplementary Data.

The thermodynamic stability of 2′-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes containing 2′-O-methyl-2,6-diaminopurine riboside or LNA-2,6-diaminopurine riboside

The adenosines in duplexes of the form 5′A-MC-MW-MAMXMCMAM-MN/M (3UGZUYGU) were replaced singly or completely by 2′-O-methyl D (DM) or LNA D (DL), and the thermodynamics for duplex formation were measured (Table 1). Here WZ and XY are Watson–Crick base pairs. The results can be compared with previous measurements (14,16) for the unsubstituted duplexes and for the A M substituted by LNA A (Table 1, see also Supplementary Data for complete thermodynamic data). When only a 5′ or 3′ terminal A is substituted by D with the same type of sugar, the average enhancement in stability at 37°C is 0.37 kcal/mol. If the middle A is substituted by D with the same type of sugar, then the average enhancement is 0.94 kcal/mol. Comparisons of ΔΔG°37 values for the A-M to D-M replacements in Table 1 to the sum of corresponding A-M to D-M and A-M to A-M replacements, which in Table 1 are listed immediately below in square brackets, indicate that the effects of replacing A with D and 2′-O-methyl with LNA are essentially additive.

The results with DL-MC-MUM-AMC-M-DM-MAM, DL-MC-MUM-AMC-M-DM-MAM, DL-MC-MUM-AMC-M-DM-MAM, and DL-MC-MUM-AMC-M-DM-MAM suggest that the effects of multiple substitutions are also additive. For these sequences, the enhancement in heteroduplex stability relative to AM-MC-MUM-AMC-M-DM-MAM differs from the sum of enhancements due to the individual replacements by only 0.48, 0.29, 0.27, and 0.07 kcal/mol, respectively.

The results in Table 1 can be combined with previous results (14,16) to obtain nearest neighbor parameters for 2′-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes (Table 2). The nearest neighbor parameters with D are preliminary due to the small number of occurrences

Figure 1. Synthesis of LNA-2,6-diaminopurine phosphoramidite. Reagents and conditions: (i) 2,6-diaminopurine, HMDS, TMSOTf, dichloroethane; (ii) LiOH, H2O, THF, H2O; (iii) BzOLi, DMF; (iv) conc. NH4OH, Py; (v) Pd/C, HCOONH4, MeOH; (vi) AcCl, Py; (vii) KOH, Py, H2O, EtOH; (viii) DMTrCl, Py; (ix) 4,5-DCI, NC(CH2)2OP[N(iPr)2]2, CH3CN.
for them. The thermodynamic parameters for 5’A^MCMUCMC^MA3’/3’r(UGAUCG) were re-measured and the values in Table I and Supplementary Data were used for deriving the nearest neighbor parameters. The parameters for nearest neighbors without D are similar to those reported previously (14). The thermodynamic stability of 2’-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes containing mismatches formed by D^M and D^L nucleotides

Some single mismatches in RNA/RNA duplexes are particularly stable thermodynamically due to hydrogen...
Table 2. Thermodynamic parameters for INN-HB nearest neighbor model applied to 2'-O-methyl RNA/RNA heteroduplexes in 0.1 M NaCl, pH 7.0

| Parameters | \( \Delta G^\circ_{37} \) (kcal/mol) | \( \Delta H^\circ_{37} \) (kcal/mol) | \( \Delta S^\circ \) (eu) | Number of occurrences |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| m(5'-AA)/m(3'-UU) | -0.60 ± 0.11 | -9.16 ± 2.24 | -27.6 ± 7.2 | 18 |
| m(5'-AU)/m(3'-UA) | -0.83 ± 0.12 | -6.39 ± 2.44 | -17.9 ± 7.8 | 17 |
| m(5'-UU)/m(3'-AA) | -0.94 ± 0.10 | -5.67 ± 2.09 | -15.3 ± 6.7 | 19 |
| m(5'-AD)/m(3'-UU) | -0.97 ± 0.30 | -7.45 ± 6.07 | -20.9 ± 19.4 | 27 |
| m(5'-UG)/m(3'-UA) | -1.13 ± 0.14 | -5.26 ± 2.83 | -13.3 ± 9.0 | 27 |
| m(5'-UA)/m(3'-AU) | -1.28 ± 0.27 | -11.25 ± 5.46 | -32.1 ± 17.4 | 4 |
| m(5'-UG)/m(3'-AU) | -1.55 ± 0.31 | -3.05 ± 6.19 | -4.8 ± 19.8 | 5 |
| m(5'-AC)/m(3'-UG) | -1.59 ± 0.15 | -6.14 ± 3.02 | -14.7 ± 9.7 | 85 |
| m(5'-DU)/m(3'-UA) | -1.72 ± 0.41 | -10.73 ± 8.22 | -29.1 ± 26.3 | 1 |
| m(5'-AC)/m(3'-UC) | -1.75 ± 0.14 | -12.46 ± 2.79 | -34.5 ± 8.9 | 17 |
| m(5'-DC)/m(3'-UG) | -1.84 ± 0.23 | -8.95 ± 4.98 | -22.9 ± 15.9 | 7 |
| m(5'-GC)/m(3'-GU) | -1.87 ± 0.14 | -4.82 ± 2.90 | -9.5 ± 9.3 | 74 |
| m(5'-UC)/m(3'-AG) | -1.88 ± 0.15 | -9.61 ± 3.04 | -24.9 ± 9.7 | 21 |
| m(5'-UG)/m(3'-AC) | -1.94 ± 0.15 | -12.68 ± 3.06 | -34.6 ± 9.8 | 19 |
| m(5'-CD)/m(3'-GU) | -1.99 ± 0.25 | -4.03 ± 5.03 | -6.6 ± 16.1 | 4 |
| m(5'-GA)/m(3'-CU) | -2.08 ± 0.16 | -5.37 ± 3.31 | -10.6 ± 10.6 | 15 |
| m(5'-GU)/m(3'-CA) | -2.16 ± 0.14 | -9.69 ± 2.92 | -24.3 ± 9.3 | 41 |
| m(5'-CC)/m(3'-UC) | -2.18 ± 0.15 | -7.15 ± 2.94 | -16.0 ± 9.4 | 16 |
| m(5'-CG)/m(3'-GC) | -2.29 ± 0.42 | -17.84 ± 8.27 | -50.1 ± 26.4 | 1 |
| m(5'-CG)/m(3'-CG) | -2.35 ± 0.15 | -9.79 ± 2.97 | -24.0 ± 9.5 | 25 |
| m(5'-CD)/m(3'-CU) | -2.42 ± 0.32 | -7.98 ± 6.52 | -17.9 ± 20.8 | 2 |
| m(5'-CC)/m(3'-GG) | -2.81 ± 0.99 | -9.80 ± 1.82 | -22.5 ± 5.8 | 45 |
| m(5'-CG)/m(3'-CC) | -2.85 ± 0.16 | -10.29 ± 3.21 | -24.0 ± 10.3 | 19 |
| m(5'-GC)/m(3'-CG) | -2.98 ± 0.17 | -10.01 ± 3.40 | -22.7 ± 10.9 | 24 |
| Initiation | 3.31 ± 0.51 | -12.57 ± 10.36 | -51.2 ± 33.1 | 84 |
| Per Terminal AU | 0.27 ± 0.07 | 3.01 ± 1.49 | 8.8 ± 4.8 | 120 |

*Digits beyond experimental error are provided to allow better predictions of melting temperature. See (12) for INN-HB model.
*Calculated from \( \Delta S^\circ = (\Delta H^\circ - \Delta G^\circ) / 310.15. \)

Discussion

There are many reasons to modify the thermodynamic stabilities of nucleic acid duplexes. The application of microarrays of short oligonucleotides to probe RNA secondary structure (11) is one case where it is particularly useful to have sequences that base pair strongly and isoenergetically to RNA targets. Strong pairing permits the use of short oligonucleotides so that self-folding of probe is largely avoided. Moreover, short oligonucleotides provide enhanced specificity of binding (10). Isoenergetic binding further simplifies interpretation of data because...
| LNA-2OMe RNA (5′–3′) | RNA (5′–3′) | −ΔG° 37 (kcal/mol) | Tm°C | ΔΔG° 37 (kcal/mol) |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|
| A. Effects of D M-A, D L-A, A M-A, and A L-A mismatches at internal positions. | | | | |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGAGU | 3.31 ± 1.60 | 18.4 | 4.78 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGAGU | 5.09 ± 0.45 | 27.2 | 4.36 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGAAAGU | (4.64 ± 1.18) | (9.8) | (1.18) |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGAAAGU | 4.25 ± 0.30 | 16.7 | 2.67 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGAAAGU | (3.95 ± 2.75) | (11.6) | (3.07) |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGAAAGU | 2.99 ± 0.39 | 19.1 | 5.21 |
| B. Effects of DM-C, DL-C, AM-C, and AL-C mismatches at internal positions. | | | | |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGCACG | (3.92 ± 0.27) | (20.2) | (3.21) |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGCACG | (6.52 ± 0.02) | (36.9) | (1.57) |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGCACG | 5.15 ± 0.30 | 27.7 | 3.20 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGCACG | 6.46 ± 0.11 | 36.5 | 2.99 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGCACG | (3.38 ± 0.66) | (14.8) | (3.64) |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGCACG | 4.67 ± 0.15 | 27.0 | 2.25 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGCACG | 3.08 ± 0.14 | 15.9 | 3.94 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGCACG | 5.17 ± 0.03 | 29.6 | 3.03 |
| C. Effects of DM-G, DL-G, AM-G, and AL-G mismatches at internal and terminal positions. | | | | |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGAGU | 3.95 ± 0.45 | 21.6 | 4.14 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGAGU | 5.21 ± 0.14 | 27.8 | 4.24 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGAGAGU | 3.13 ± 0.54 | 12.1 | 2.69 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGAGAGU | 3.38 ± 0.03 | 18.2 | 3.54 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGAGAGU | 2.81 ± 1.50 | 16.9 | 4.21 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGAGAGU | 3.10 ± 0.29 | 19.7 | 5.10 |
| G MCM AM G MM C MM AM GM | CUGUGUC | 5.02 ± 0.05 | 28.9 | 3.28 |
| G MCM AM G MM C MM AM GM | CUGUGUC | (5.61 ± 0.06) | (31.7) | (3.90) |
| G MCM AM G MM C MM AM GM | CUGUGUC | 5.51 ± 0.15 | 31.5 | 3.83 |
| G MCM AM G MM C MM AM GM | CUGUGUC | 5.78 ± 0.30 | 33.2 | 5.14 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGUGGU | (1.32 ± 0.25) | (12.0) | (5.37) |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGUGGU | 4.46 ± 0.55 | 15.1 | 2.99 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGUGGU | (3.19 ± 0.36) | (17.0) | (4.71) |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGUGGU | 4.82 ± 0.02 | 25.0 | 3.91 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGUGGU | 4.28 ± 0.52 | 21.2 | 2.09 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGUGGU | 3.03 ± 0.78 | 17.2 | 4.04 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGUGGU | (4.80 ± 0.59) | (21.0) | (2.56) |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGUGGU | 3.54 ± 0.50 | 19.3 | 4.80 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGUGGU | 3.22 ± 0.53 | 11.8 | 2.01 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGUGGU | 3.01 ± 0.63 | 16.1 | 3.22 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGUGGU | 3.36 ± 0.33 | 16.0 | 3.29 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGUGGU | (2.03 ± 0.78) | (13.5) | (5.74) |
| D. Effects of DM-G, DL-G, AM-G, and AL-G mismatches in the presence of DM-U and DL-U base pairs. | | | | |
| p MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGAGG | 6.76 ± 0.02 | 38.6 | 0.37 |
| p MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGAGG | 6.87 ± 0.03 | 39.4 | 0.78 |
| p MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGAGG | (7.14 ± 0.02) | (41.3) | (0.58) |
| p MCM AM DM C MM AM | UGGAGG | 7.17 ± 0.11 | 41.0 | 0.98 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | GGGGAG | 6.81 ± 0.02 | 39.1 | 0.32 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | GGGGAG | 6.85 ± 0.17 | 39.2 | 0.67 |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | GGGGAG | (7.95 ± 0.01) | (46.5) | (0.48) |
| A MCM AM DM C MM AM | GGGGAG | 8.01 ± 0.09 | 47.3 | 0.56 |

*Table 3. Effects of mismatches on thermodynamic parameters of helix formation. Free energies are average ΔG° (kcal/mol) calculated from Tm°C/4 plots.*

*References:*
Solutions are 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM sodium cacodylate and 0.5 mM Na2EDTA, pH 7. Values are form Tm°C vs log (C T/4) plots. Values in parentheses are from non-two state melts.

Calculated for 10⁻⁸ M oligonucleotide strand concentration.

Difference compared with duplex formation when bold A or D is paired with U.

Binding will be predominantly dependent on target structure rather than probe sequence. The synthesis of oligonucleotides with 2,6-diaminopurine described here provides an opportunity to improve recognition of U in RNA targets by enhancing both binding and specificity. Moreover, the thermodynamic results provide approximations that allow design of isoenergetic probes. The design is straightforward because the effects of non-adjacent modifications are usually additive. Short modified oligonucleotides could also be applied as antisense oligonucleotides (ASO) (3,39,40). They could also be useful to modulate binding and biological activity related to single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) (41,42) and microRNAs (43–47).
Synthesis of LNA-2,6-diaminopurine riboside was reported by Rosenbohm et al. (20) and Koshkin et al. (21). Both used 2-amino-6-chloropurine as precursor of 2,6-diaminopurine. Rosenbohm used a saturated solution of ammonia in methanol to convert derivative of 2-amino-6-chloropurine riboside into 2,6-diaminopurine riboside and then into 2-amino-6-chloropurine riboside derivative simultaneously with protection of 3’-O-benzyl. An advantage of Rosenbohm and Koshkin approaches is universal character of 2-amino-6-chloropurine riboside derivative which beside 2,6-diaminopurine riboside can be transformed into LNA-guanosine and LNA-2-aminopurine riboside. A disadvantage is the much higher price of 2-amino-6-chloropurine than 2,6-diaminopurine. Moreover, both authors propose to use benzoyl as amino protecting group and in consequence using 40% aqueous solution of methyamine at 60–65°C for 2–4 h for protection of oligonucleotides containing 2,6-diaminopurine riboside. The method described herein is based on standard and much cheaper substrate as well as many well established procedures and is therefore a simple and efficient method for synthesizing LNA-2,6-diaminopurine riboside. Moreover, the chemical synthesis and protection of many oligonucleotides carrying LNA-2,6-diaminopurine riboside demonstrate that acetyl is very suitable for protection of amino groups in 2,6-diaminopurine residue.

Facile synthesis and incorporation of 2’-O-methyl-2,6-diaminopurine riboside and LNA-2,6-diaminopurine riboside into oligonucleotides allowed measurements of the thermodynamics for formation of 2’-O-methyl RNA/RNA and LNA-2’-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes containing D\textsuperscript{M} and D\textsuperscript{L}. The results show that incorporation of 2,6-diaminopurine into oligonucleotides allows modulation of duplex stability over a wide range.

Replacement of adenosine by D\textsuperscript{M} and D\textsuperscript{L} always enhances the thermodynamic stability of fully complementary 2’-O-methyl RNA/RNA and LNA-2’-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes. The largest stabilization is observed at internal positions where enhancements range from 0.7 to 1.2 kcal/mol with an average of 0.9 kcal/mol and 1.7–2.7 kcal/mol with an average of 2.3 kcal/mol, respectively, for D\textsuperscript{M} and D\textsuperscript{L} substituting for A\textsuperscript{M}. The D\textsuperscript{M} stabilization is in the range expected for addition of a hydrogen bond in RNA (48). The D\textsuperscript{L} stabilization is the sum of the effects of an extra hydrogen bond and of the LNA. The enhancement (∆∆G\textsubscript{chimera/RNA}) for D\textsuperscript{M} and D\textsuperscript{L} relative to A\textsuperscript{M} and A\textsuperscript{L} is less at 5’- and 3’-terminal positions where it averages 0.4 kcal/mol. This difference in stabilization at terminal and internal positions is likely due to the competition between stacking and hydrogen bonding at terminal base pairs (48) and to the particular sequences studied. Other sequences may show larger effects for 2,6-diaminopurine substitutions at terminal positions.

The stabilities of fully complementary 2’-O-methyl RNA/RNA and LNA-2’-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes at 37°C can be predicted reasonably well with simple models. The nearest neighbor parameters in Table 2 allow prediction of stabilities for 2’-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes using the INN-HB model (12) and the additional enhancement, ∆∆G\textsubscript{chimera/RNA}, due to an LNA sugar can be predicted from:

\[
\Delta \Delta G_{37}^{0}\text{(chimera/RNA)} = -0.53n_{5iL} - 1.28n_{iAL/UL} - 1.34n_{iDL} - 1.58n_{GL/CL} - 1.23n_{3iAL/CL/GL/DL} - 0.14n_{3iUL}
\]

Here \(n_{5iL}\) is the number of 5’ terminal LNAs, \(n_{iAL/UL}\), \(n_{iDL}\) and \(n_{GL/CL}\) are the number of internal LNAs in A-U, D-U and G-C pairs, respectively, \(n_{3iAL}\) and \(n_{3iAL/CL/GL/DL}\) are the number of 3’ terminal LNAs that are U or not U, respectively. The equation is similar to that suggested previously (14,16), but has been updated to include the new results in Table 1. The predicted values are listed in square brackets in Table 1.

Mismatches formed by D\textsuperscript{M} and D\textsuperscript{L} destabilize duplexes (Tables 3 and 4). At the central position of duplexes with seven pairs that melt in a two-state manner, the destabilization (∆∆G\textsubscript{chimera/RNA}) ranges between 2.3 and 5.2 kcal/mol at 37°C when D was only present as a mismatch. This corresponds to \(K_d\)’s less favorable by 42 to 4600-fold at 37°C.

With the possible exception of the 5’CAA/3’GGU context, mismatches of D\textsuperscript{M} and D\textsuperscript{L} with G and A destabilize 2’-O-methyl RNA/RNA and LNA-2’-O-methyl RNA/RNA duplexes more than similar mismatches formed by A\textsuperscript{M} and A\textsuperscript{L}, respectively (Table 4). The trend of destabilization is reversed for D-C mismatches. The D-C mismatches might be stabilized by a hydrogen bond between the 2-amino group of D and the O2 of C.

| Table 4. Summary of destabilization (ΔΔG’) at 37°C due to internal mismatches* |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \(5’\text{G}^\text{M}/5’\text{U}^\text{M}\) | \(5’\text{U}^\text{M}/5’\text{A}^\text{M}\) | \(5’\text{A}^\text{M}/5’\text{G}^\text{M}\) |
| 2.01 | 3.29 | 4.21 |
| \(3’\text{A}^\text{G} U\) | \(3’\text{A}^\text{M}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}\) | \(3’\text{A}^\text{M}/3’\text{A}^\text{M}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}\) |
| 5.74 | 3.10 | 4.54 |
| \(5’\text{G}^\text{M}/5’\text{U}^\text{M}\) | \(5’\text{U}^\text{M}/5’\text{A}^\text{M}\) | \(5’\text{A}^\text{M}/5’\text{G}^\text{M}\) |
| 2.67 | 5.21 | 4.36 |
| \(3’\text{G}^\text{M}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}\) | \(3’\text{A}^\text{M}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}\) | \(3’\text{A}^\text{G}/3’\text{A}^\text{M}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}\) |
| 4.04 | 5.34 | 3.21 |
| \(3’\text{G}^\text{M}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}\) | \(3’\text{A}^\text{M}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}\) | \(3’\text{A}^\text{G}/3’\text{A}^\text{M}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}\) |
| 4.80 | 3.94 | 3.20 |
| \(5’\text{G}^\text{M}/5’\text{U}^\text{M}\) | \(5’\text{U}^\text{M}/5’\text{A}^\text{M}\) | \(5’\text{A}^\text{M}/5’\text{G}^\text{M}\) |
| 2.25 | 2.45 | 1.57 |
| \(3’\text{G}^\text{M}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}\) | \(3’\text{A}^\text{M}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}\) | \(3’\text{A}^\text{G}/3’\text{A}^\text{M}/3’\text{A}^\text{G}\) |
| 2.99 | 3.91 |

*Top value is for A\textsuperscript{M} or D\textsuperscript{M} and bottom value is for A\textsuperscript{L} or D\textsuperscript{L}; values in parentheses are from non-two-state melts.
Interestingly, the effect of a central D-G mismatch is enhanced when both terminal base pairs are D-U in the context 5’DCMUM[DCDCM]3’rUGAGGGGU (Table 3). Here, the destabilization is 4.03 and 4.59 kcal/mol when each D is 2-O-methyl or LNA, respectively, compared with 1.57 and 2.99 kcal/mol when the terminal nucleotides of the probe are 2-O-methyl A. For mismatches at terminal positions, the destabilization ranges from 0.6 to 1.0 kcal/mol at 37°C. Mismatches with an LNA nucleotide are usually more destabilizing than those with a 2-O-methyl nucleotide (Table 4).

The enhanced, variable and predictable duplex stability available from 2,6-diaminopurine substitutions with either 2-O-methyl or LNA sugars makes them valuable for designing isoenergetic duplexes. The large destabilizations from internal mismatches mean that oligonucleotides with 2,6-diaminopurine will be highly specific for their complementary sequence. Thus they should facilitate many applications of oligonucleotides, including microarray methods for probing RNA structure (11) and design of nanostructures (49–51).
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Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Polish State Committee for Scientific Research (KBN) Grant No 2 PO4A 03729 to R.K. and NIH grant 1R03 TW1068 to R.K. and D.H.T. A.P. is a recipient of a fellowship from the President of the Polish Academy of Sciences. The authors thank Walter Moss for writing the program to calculate thermodynamic stability of modified RNA duplexes. Funding to pay the Open Access publication charges for this article was provided by KBN.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Freier,S.M. and Altman,K.-H. (1997) The ups and downs of nucleic acid duplex stability: structure-stability studies on chemically-modified DNA:RNA duplexes. Nucleic Acids Res., 25, 4429-4443.
2. Kurreck,J. (2003) Antisense technologies. Improvement through novel chemical modifications. Eur. J. Biochem., 270, 1628–1644.
3. Testa,S.M., Disney,M.D., Turner,D.H. and Kierzek,R. (1999) Thermodynamics of RNA-RNA duplexes with 2- or 4-thiouridines: Implications for antisense design and targeting a group I intron. Biochemistry, 38, 16655–16662.
4. Tolstrup,N., Nielsen,P.S., Kolberg,J.G., Frankel,A.M., Vissing,H. and Kauppinen,S. (2003) OligoDesign: optimal design of LNA (locked nucleic acid) oligonucleotide capture probes for gene expression profiling. Nucleic Acids Res., 31, 3758–3762.
5. Darfeuille,F., Hansen,J.B., Orum,H., Primo,C.D. and Toulme,J.J. (2004) LNA/DNA chimeric oligomers mimics RNA aptamers targeted to the TAR RNA element of HIV-1. Nucleic Acids Res., 32, 3101–3107.
6. Braasch,D.A. and Corey,D.R. (2001) Locked nucleic acid (LNA): fine tuning the recognition of DNA and RNA. Chem. Biol., 8, 1–7.
7. Kvaerno,L. and Wengel,J. (2001) Antisense molecules and furanose conformations - is it really that simple? Chem. Commun., 1419–1424.
8. Ooms,M., Verhoeof,K., Southern,E.M., Huthoff,H. and Berkhout,B. (2004) Probing alternative foldings of the HIV-1 leader RNA by antisense oligonucleotide scanning arrays. Nucleic Acids Res., 32, 819–827.
9. Sohail,M., Akhtar,S. and Southern,E.M. (1999) The folding of large RNAs studied by hybridization to arrays of complementary oligonucleotides. Nucleic Acids Res., 27, 646–655.
10. Vester,B. and Wengel,J. (2004) LNA (Locked Nucleic Acids): Synthesis of the adenine, cytosine, guanine, 5-methylcytosine, thymine and uracil bicyclonucleoside monomers, oligomerisation, and unprecedented nucleic acid recognition. Tetrahedron, 54, 3607–3630.
11. Kierzek,E., Ciesielska,A., Pasternak,K., Mathews,D.H. and Kierzek,R. (2005) The influence of locked nucleic acid residues on the thermal stability of 2-O-methyl RNA:RNA heteroduplexes. Nucleic Acids Res., 33, 5082–5093.
12. Inoue,H., Hayase,Y., Imura,A., Iwai,S., Miura,K. and Ohtsuka,E. (1987) Synthesis and hybridization studies on two complementary nona(2-O-methyl)ribonucleotides. Nucleic Acids Res., 15, 6131–6148.
13. Vester,B. and Wengel,J. (2004) LNA (Locked nucleic acid): High-affinity targeting of complementary RNA and DNA. Biochemistry, 43, 13233–13241.
14. McTigue,P.M., Peterson,R.J. and Kahn,J.D. (2004) Sequence-dependent thermodynamic parameters for locked nucleic acid (LNA)-DNA duplex formation. Biochemistry, 43, 5388–5405.
15. Rosenbohm,C., Pedersen,D.S., Frieden,M., Jensen,F.R., Arent,S., Meldgaard,M., Olsen,C.E. and Wengel,J. (1998) LNA (Locked Nucleic Acids): Synthesis of the adenine, cytosine, guanine, 5-methylcytosine, thymine and uracil bicyclonucleoside monomers, oligomerisation, and unprecedented nucleic acid recognition. Tetrahedron, 54, 3607–3630.
16. Kierzek,E., Ciesielska,A., Pasternak,K., Mathews,D.H. and Kierzek,R. (2005) The influence of locked nucleic acid residues on the thermodynamic properties of 2-O-methyl RNA:RNA heteroduplexes. Nucleic Acids Res., 33, 5082–5093.
17. Inoue,H., Hayase,Y., Imura,A., Iwai,S., Miura,K. and Ohtsuka,E. (1987) Synthesis and hybridization studies on two complementary nona(2-O-methyl)ribonucleotides. Nucleic Acids Res., 15, 6131–6148.
18. Vester,B. and Wengel,J. (2004) LNA (Locked nucleic acid): High-affinity targeting of complementary RNA and DNA. Biochemistry, 43, 13233–13241.
19. McTigue,P.M., Peterson,R.J. and Kahn,J.D. (2004) Sequence-dependent thermodynamic parameters for locked nucleic acid (LNA)-DNA duplex formation. Biochemistry, 43, 5388–5405.
20. Rosenbohm,C., Pedersen,D.S., Frieden,M., Jensen,F.R., Arent,S., Larsen,S. and Koch,T. (2004) LNA guanine and 2,6-diaminopurine. Synthesis, characterization and hybridization properties of LNA 2,6-diaminopurine containing oligonucleotides. Bioorg. Med. Chem., 12, 2385–2396.
21. Koshkin,A.A. (2004) Syntheses and base-pairing properties of locked nucleic acid nucleotides containing hypoxanthine, 2,6-diaminopurine, and 2-amino purine nucleobases. J. Org. Chem., 69, 3711–3718.
22. Gaffney,B.L., Marky,L.A. and Jones,R.A. (1984) The influence of the purine 2-amino group on DNA conformation and stability - II. Synthesis and physical characterization of d[CGT(2-NH 2)ACG], d[CGU(2-NH 2)ACG], and d[CGT(2-NH 2)AT(2-NH2)ACG]. Tetrahedron, 40, 3–13.
23. Sugimoto,N., Nakano,S., Katoh,M., Matsumura,A., Nakamura,H., Ohmichi,T., Yoneyama,M. and Sasaki,M. (1995) Thermodynamic parameters to predict stability of RNA:DNA hybrid duplexes. Biochemistry, 34, 11211–11216.
24. Shohda,K., Okamoto,I., Wada,T., Seio,K. and Sekine,M. (2000) Synthesis and properties of 2-O-methyl-2-thiouridine and oligoribonucleotides containing 2-O-methyl-2-thiouridine. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 10, 1795–1798.
25. Markiewicz,W.T. (1979) Tetrapropyolphospholane-1,3-diy1, a group for simultaneous protection of 3′- and 5′-hydroxy functions of nucleosides. J. Chem. Res. (S), 24–25.
26. Markiewicz,W.T., Biala,E. and Kierzek,R. (1984) Application of the tetra--propyolphospholane-1,3-diy1 group in the chemical synthesis of oligoribonucleotides. Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci., 32, 433–451.
27. McBride, L.J. and Caruthers, M.H. (1983) An investigation of several deoxyribonucleoside phosphoramidites useful for synthesizing deoxyoligonucleotides. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 24, 245–248.

28. Koshkin, A.A., Fensholdt, J., Pfundheller, H.M. and Lomholt, C. (2001) A simplified and efficient route to 2′-O, 4′-C-methylene-linked bicyclic ribonucleosides (locked nucleic acid). *J. Org. Chem.*, 66, 8504–8512.

29. Pedersen, D.S., Rosenbohm, C. and Koch, T. (2002) Preparation of LNA phosphoramidites. *Synthesis*, 802–808.

30. Borer, P.N. (1975) In Fasman, G.D. (ed.), *CRC Handbook of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology: Nucleic Acids.*, 3rd edn. CRC Press, Cleveland, OH, pp. 589–595.

31. Richards, E.G. (1975) In Fasman, G.D. (ed.), *CRC Handbook of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology: Nucleic Acids*, 3rd edn. CRC Press, Cleveland, OH, pp. 596–603.

32. McDowell, J.A. and Turner, D.H. (1996) Investigation of the structural basis for thermodynamic stabilities of tandem GU mismatches: Solution structure of (rGAGGGUCUC)2 by two-dimensional NMR and simulated annealing. *Biochemistry*, 35, 14077–14089.

33. Pfundheller, H.M. and Lombolt, C. (2002) In Harkins, E.W. (ed.), *Current Protocols in Nucleic Acid Chemistry*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY, USA, Vol. 1, pp. 4.12.11–14.12.16.

34. Vorbrüggen, H. and Krolikiewicz, K. (1975) New catalysts for the synthesis of nucleosides. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 14, 421–422.

35. Bieg, T. and Szeja, W. (1985) Removal of O-benzyl protective groups by catalytic transfer hydrogenation. *Synthesis*, 76, 317–318.

36. Schaller, H., Weimann, G., Lerch, B. and Khorana, H.G. (1963) Studies on polynucleotides. XXIV. The stepwise synthesis of specific deoxyribopolynucleotides (4). Protected derivatives of deoxyribonucleosides and new syntheses of deoxyribonucleoside-3′ phosphates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 85, 3821–3827.

37. Kierzek, R., Burkard, M.E. and Turner, D.H. (1999) Thermodynamics of single mismatches in RNA duplexes. *Biochemistry*, 38, 14214–14223.

38. Freier, S.M., Petersheim, M., Hickey, D.R. and Turner, D.H. (1984) Thermodynamic studies of RNA stability. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.*, 1, 1229–1242.

39. Lim, T.W., Yuan, J., Liu, Z., Qiu, D.X., Sall, A. and Yang, D.C. (2006) Nucleic-acid-based antiviral agents against positive single-stranded RNA viruses. *Curr. Opin. Mol. Ther.*, 8, 104–107.

40. Warfield, K.L., Panchal, R.G., Aman, M.J. and Bavari, S. (2006) Antisense treatments for biothreat agents. *Curr. Opin. Mol. Ther.*, 8, 93–103.

41. Anthony, R.M., Schuitema, A.R.J., Chan, A.B., Boender, P.J., Klatser, P.R. and Oskam, L. (2003) Effect of secondary structure on single nucleotide polymorphism detection with a porous microarray matrix; Implications for probe selection. *Biotechniques*, 34, 1082–1089.

42. Hong, B.J., Sunkara, V. and Park, J.W. (2005) DNA microarrays on nanoscale-controlled surface. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 33, e106.

43. Lagos-Quintana, M., Rauhut, R., Lendeckel, W. and Tuschl, T. (2001) Identification of novel genes coding for small expressed RNAs. *Science*, 294, 853–858.

44. Hannon, G.J. (2002) RNA interference. *Nature*, 418, 244–251.

45. Lau, N.C., Lim, L.P., Weinstein, E.G. and Bartel, D.P. (2001) An abundant class of tiny RNAs with probable regulatory roles in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science*, 294, 858–862.

46. Calin, G.A., Ferracin, M., Cimmino, A., Di Leva, G., Shimizu, M., Wojcik, S.E., Iorio, M.V., Visone, R., Sever, N.I. et al. (2005) A microRNA signature associated with prognosis and progression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 353, 1793–1801.

47. Lee, R.C. and Ambros, V. (2001) An extensive class of small RNAs in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science*, 294, 862–864.

48. Turner, D.H., Sugimoto, N., Kierzek, R. and Dreiker, S.D. (1987) Free energy increments for hydrogen bonds in nucleic acid base pairs. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 109, 3783–3785.

49. Sherman, W.B. and Seeman, N.C. (2006) Design of minimally strained nucleic acid nanotubes. *Biophys. J.*, 90, 4546–4557.

50. Nasalean, L., Baudrey, S., Leontis, N.B. and Jaeger, L. (2006) Controlling RNA self-assembly to form filaments. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 34, 1381–1392.

51. Lu, Q., Moore, J.M., Huang, G., Mount, A.S., Rao, A.M., Larcom, L.L. and Ke, P.C. (2004) RNA polymer translocation with single-walled carbon nanotubes. *Nano Lett.*, 4, 2473–2477.