Flood hazard assessment and mapping in semi-arid piedmont areas: a case study in Beni Mellal, Morocco
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Abstract Flood hazard assessment and mapping is challenging in semi-arid ungauged basins because of the lack of data, the rapid runoff response, climatic variability, and the difficulties of modelling hydraulic processes, such as on piedmont alluvial fans. This study combines hydrological and hydraulic modelling with hydro-geomorphic knowledge gathered during post-flood campaigns in order to determine realistic flood hazard scenarios for a piedmont urban area in Morocco. Partial calibration of the hydrological and hydraulic models is performed using field-estimated peak discharge values and mapped flood extents for known flood events. The calibrated models are then applied to lower frequency–higher magnitude flood scenarios. Finally, a flood hazard map is designed using the Swiss hazard assessment and mapping procedures.

Keywords Semi-arid catchments · Hydro-geomorphic mapping · Post-flood investigations · Hydrological–hydraulic modelling · Flood hazard assessment · Flood hazard mapping

1 Introduction

Climatic scenarios suggest that Mediterranean climates are tending towards increased aridity and higher inter- and intra-annual rainfall variability, resulting in more frequent extreme events (Giorgi and Lionello 2008; IPCC 2012). Moreover, risks related to flooding have been increased in Mediterranean countries, such as Morocco, because of rapid urban and economic development in flood-prone areas, increasing people’s exposure to flood hazards (UNDP 2006). In Morocco, recent urbanization on alluvial fans at the margins of mountainous regions is particularly affected by flash floods resulting from topographically...
induced rainfall events (UNDP 2006; Saïdi et al. 2010). Actions are required both to avoid urban development in flood-prone areas and to adapt existing and planned development to future climate change. One way of doing this is through better assessment of flood hazard.

Hydrological and hydraulic models are essential when assessing flood hazard for planning-relevant scenarios. However, flood hazard assessment may be challenging in semi-arid piedmont areas requiring the use of methodologies and techniques uncommon to most hazard assessment studies (Vincent et al. 2004; House 2005). The main difficulty for modelling arises from the lack of rainfall and discharge data (Gaume et al. 2009), as many mountainous catchments are ungauged. Moreover, semi-arid catchments commonly have a very rapid hydrological response (Hooke 2006; Latron et al. 2009) reflected in the occurrence of flash-flood events that are difficult to monitor with conventional gauging stations (Borga et al. 2010). Finally, flood hazard scenarios that are relevant for land use management and planning relate to flood events with a relatively high return period (e.g. 20 to 100 years). Predicting such infrequent events in conditions of scarce data and high rainfall variability can result in high model uncertainty.

Despite the need for hydrological modelling, two particular challenges arise. First, there is a difficulty in extrapolating methods developed in temperate conditions to semi-arid environments (Pilgrim et al. 1988). Certain lithologies, notably those that are calcareous and that are characteristic of some Mediterranean catchments, are often associated with shallow soils (Yaalon 1997) that can limit the use of hydrological models conceived for deep soil conditions (e.g. Liu et al. 2011). Second, more specifically, in piedmont zones such as alluvial fans and aprons, flood hazard scenarios are required to represent complex, unconfined flows and highly uncertain flow paths (Pelletier et al. 2005).

Given these difficulties, assessment of flood hazard in ungauged catchments requires the use of alternative data sources such as remotely sensed data and field-collected hydro-geomorphic flood evidence. Moreover, monitoring and modelling of more frequent flood events may provide useful knowledge on catchment behaviour that can be transferred to higher return period floods. Thorough field knowledge can compensate the uncertainties related to data scarcity and thus permits more realistic flood prediction.

Satellite and radar-borne rainfall data are increasingly used in regions where gauging networks are insufficient (Hong et al. 2007; Nikolopoulos et al. 2010). Remotely sensed data may provide spatially distributed rainfall estimates for often inaccessible catchment areas (Nikolopoulos et al. 2010) that can prove more effective in capturing the spatial and temporal variability in rainfall than point gauges. Rainfall data obtained from airborne sources need to be implemented in a rainfall–runoff model in order to simulate a given flood and assess the hazard associated with it. At this stage, knowledge about catchment properties, and hence possible hydrological behaviour, and the choice of an appropriate rainfall–runoff relationship are essential in order to realistically model the flood event.

When no discharge data are available for model calibration, alternative flood hydrograph estimates are needed. Post-flood investigation campaigns for hydro-geomorphic data collection and flood timing investigation may provide valuable data that can be used for reconstructing flood hydrographs (Gaume and Borga 2008; Borga et al. 2010). Post-flood investigations can provide information about (a) maximum flood discharge, (b) flood timing, and (c) sediment transfer processes (Gaume and Borga 2008). Several studies conducted in areas with a lack of measured data have combined hydro-geomorphic mapping and hydraulic flood discharge estimates in order to assess flood hazard (e.g. Gaume et al. 2004; Chave and Ballais 2006; Fernandez-Lavado et al. 2007). High water marks as well as specific monitored cross sections provide the basis for a hydraulic estimation of the maximum flood discharge (Vincent et al. 2004).
Likewise, post-flood mapping of maximum inundation extent using high water marks can provide calibration means for hydraulic flood modelling when measured discharge or stage data are missing (Tayefi et al. 2007). Hydro-geomorphic mapping of past flood markers may provide information on system dynamics (erosional-depositional patterns, flow intensity) and thus enhance hydraulic modelling of complex flow patterns specific to alluvial fans (Vincent et al. 2004). Mapping infrastructure that contributes to flood hazard (e.g. undersized culverts that trigger flood overspills) may also better inform the modelling process.

This study’s aim is to assess and to map flood hazard in the semi-arid piedmont area of Beni Mellal, in Morocco, drained by four small Mediterranean streams. In this context, this research combines the use of the TRMM (Tropical Rain Measuring Mission) satellite rainfall estimates (Huffman et al. 2009), hydro-geomorphic mapping, and post-flood campaigns to model known flood events. The simulated flood hydrographs are used as an input for assessing flood hazard in terms of flood extent and magnitude within the adjacent urbanized piedmont area (Fig. 1). Field knowledge derived from hydro-geomorphic mapping campaigns is integrated into the hydrological and hydraulic models. The enhanced models are used to predict lower frequency–higher magnitude flood events necessary to the process of flood hazard assessment and mapping as a risk mitigation strategy. Finally, a flood hazard map is designed, following the Swiss guidelines for hazard assessment and mapping.

Fig. 1 This study’s approach to flood hazard assessment in Beni Mellal, Morocco
2 Case study: Beni Mellal, Morocco

Beni Mellal is the capital city of the Tadla-Azilal region in Morocco and is set on the northern Atlas piedmont, at the outlet of four small-scale semi-arid catchments (Fig. 2) that seasonally trigger short and intense flash floods. These catchments are, from E to W: Sabek (20.8 km²), Ain el Ghazi (15.8 km²), Handak (29.7 km²), and Kikou (54 km²).

The catchments are located predominantly on Early Jurassic limestones, except for the southern Tasmit ridge, which is formed of marls. The four catchments present typical Mediterranean oak forests and secondary matorral formations (degraded soils and vegetation) at different degrees of degradation. On the marls, badland surfaces have developed where the vegetation cover is depleted. On limestones, the soils are often shallow and skeletal, especially on slopes. A relatively well-developed karst regulates the catchments’ hydrology during the dry season, providing irrigation water at the piedmont base through several karstic springs (Bouchaou 1997; El Khalki and Hafid 2002). During the wet season, often marked by convective storms, the shallow soils and sparse vegetation most likely induce Hortonian flows as the infiltration capacity is rapidly exceeded, triggering overland flow (Pilgrim et al. 1988; Osterkampl and Friedman 2000). Due to the onset of rapid runoff, hydrographs are likely to be steep, specific of flash-flood events (Pilgrim et al. 1988). The streams that drain the catchments terminate on a series of large gently sloping fossil alluvial fans. At this point, floodwaters develop in sheet floods that threaten the urban perimeter of Beni Mellal.

Beni Mellal is an example of relatively recent and uncontrolled urban development in Morocco (El Khalki and Benyoucef 2005; El Khalki et al. 2005). The occurrence of a long drought period since 1970 has caused a major rural depopulation from the mountainous areas towards their economically attractive urban outskirts (El Khalki and Benyoucef 2005).
Meanwhile, the drought period also triggered important land use changes in the upper catchments such as increased deforestation, cropland abandonment, and deterioration of slope terraces, with possible consequences for catchment hydrological response. There was a marked change in precipitation characteristics since 1995, with much greater interannual variability than had been the case (Fig. 3). Significant urbanization coupled to unregulated and low-quality building in hazard-prone areas has increased the exposure of people and infrastructures to flood hazards, requiring risk mitigation actions to be undertaken (El Khalki et al. 2005; UNDP 2006). The local authorities have adopted a series of structural mitigation measures, including the construction of flood reduction dams at the catchment outlets and recalibration of the streambed within the urbanized area (ABHOER 2004; ADI 2004).

As part of a hazard assessment and mapping project (Werren 2013), the assessment of flood hazard was required. In the absence of precipitation and discharge data, a thorough understanding of the catchment hydrological behaviour was needed. Mapping of hydro-geomorphic elements and infrastructure involved in flood development was undertaken. During the study period, two flood events were considered and studied through post-flood investigations in Morocco in 2010. The 14 February 2010 flood event occurred during a relatively wet period related to winter low-pressure zones accentuated by High Atlas orographic forcing. The 11 October 2010 event occurred in an early autumn situation marked by convective activity.

3 Hydro-geomorphic mapping and post-flood campaigns

Thorough knowledge of the hydro-geomorphological system from geomorphic mapping campaigns may improve decision-making within the flood modelling process. Such campaigns may allow: (1) identification of the area prone to flood hazard; (2) development of important knowledge regarding catchment properties and flood dynamics; and (3) provide the means of model verification in ungauged catchments. Two field campaigns
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**Fig. 3** Annual precipitation in Beni Mellal (1982–2009). Mobile average period: 2 years. **Source:** ABHOER
were carried out during the spring and autumn 2010. The objective was to obtain a map of hydro-geomorphological information to help hazard assessment.

3.1 Hydro-geomorphic mapping

The mapping campaign focussed on hydro-geomorphic elements relevant for the development of floods (Reynard et al. 2013). Erosional and depositional forms (active and inherited) were mapped along the four streams and on the fan surface. For instance, according to the NRC method for flood hazard mapping on alluvial fans (NRC 1996), and several studies carried out on arid alluvial fans, active fan areas (containing recent deposits) are more likely to be flooded (House 2005; House et al. 2007). At the same time, palaeochannels on fans may guide floodwaters into inactive fan areas. Using such information may help inform the modelling process. The maximum flood extent for the two reference events that occurred in February and October 2010 was likewise delimited in the field. Flood extent data provided means for verifying the results of hydraulic modelling undertaken in the subsequent hazard assessment phase. Finally, infrastructure that interacted with the flooding process during these events was also mapped (undersized bridges and culverts, road embankments with an obstructing effect). These data were collected in order to support the hydraulic modelling process by identifying infrastructure-related overflow points.

3.2 Post-flood discharge estimates

Discharge estimates were undertaken mainly to support the hydrological modelling process. High water marks in reference cross sections, flow velocity estimates using splash marks on flow obstacles, and eyewitnesses’ testimonies on the flood timing were collected following both events. We surveyed cross sections located at the outlet of the four catchments, on channelized reaches situated upstream of the fan hydrological apex where flow turned into sheet flood.

Hydraulic estimation of the peak discharge was undertaken using field-collected elements. Field-based hydraulic calculations are subject to a series of simplifying assumptions, especially when estimating peak discharge for flash floods. In the absence of better data, an extremely simple discharge reconstruction was undertaken using the simplification of one-dimensional, steady flow. The peak discharge was approximated in the following way. The peak discharge ($Q_p$) is defined as:

$$Q_p = A_p V_p$$

where: $Q_p =$ discharge at the flood peak (m$^3$/s); $A_p =$ the cross section at the flood peak (m$^2$); and $V_p =$ the section-averaged flood peak velocity (m/s). By choosing relatively stable cross sections that contained all of the flood water, it was possible to estimate $A_p$ with reasonable reliability using the field mapping. Cross section stability is certainly subject to caution due to bed scour and back-fill effects that could occur during the flood event (Vincent et al. 2004). An estimate of flood peak velocity was also required. This applied the Manning relationship:

$$V_p = n^{-1} R_h^{2/3} S^{1/2}$$

where: $n =$ Manning roughness coefficient; $A =$ wetted cross section area (m$^2$); $R_h =$ hydraulic radius; $S =$ water surface slope. The hydraulic radius $R_h$ is given by the ratio of the wetted area $A$ (m$^2$) and the wetted perimeter $H < 0.5$ (m) in a cross section.
High water marks were used to estimate the wetted cross section and perimeter at the reference cross sections. The roughness coefficient and the relative water surface slope were estimated in the field. Information about flow velocity nearby surveyed sections was obtained from splash marks on flow obstacles such as bridge piers, according to a method described by Gaume and Borga (2008).

Flood timing as estimated from comments from eyewitnesses may enhance understanding of the studied flood and provide useful insight in shaping the flood hydrograph (Gaume et al. 2004; Fernandez-Lavado et al. 2007; Gaume and Borga 2008). Where possible, information on the flood timing was collected from local people.

4 Hydrological modelling

Knowledge of the hydrological behaviour within the studied catchments was built by simulating known flood events. The model so obtained was used to simulate flood scenarios for given reference events. In the absence of measured data, TRMM rainfall satellite estimates were used as an input for the hydrological modelling. After the storm design step, simple conceptual hydrological modelling was undertaken using the transfer function concept through the Snyder hydrograph unit model. The effective rainfall was computed using as production function three different infiltration models. These models were tested in order to optimally represent the catchment hydrological behaviour. Calibration of the model was partially undertaken using peak discharge values estimated in the field.

4.1 Rainfall data and spatial modelling

The TRMM 3B42 rainfall product has provided, since 1998, multi-satellite rainfall estimates with a spatial–temporal resolution of 0.25° and 3 h, in grids with quasi-global coverage (Hong et al. 2007; Huffman et al. 2009). This dataset is freely available on the NASA webpage at trmm.gsfc.nasa.gov. Several studies have demonstrated the utility of TRMM datasets in estimating rainfall for hydrological modelling of medium-sized and large catchments (e.g. Hong et al. 2007; Su et al. 2008; Nikolopoulos et al. 2013; Yong et al. 2012). Less testing has been undertaken in smaller catchments (less than 100 km²). Nikolopoulos et al. (2010) showed that the error propagation from satellite rainfall estimates to a hydrological model is dependent on the catchment scale: in small-scale applications, product resolution becomes a critical issue. Even though the spatial resolution is relatively coarse compared to the scale of the studied catchments, this open-source dataset provided the most precise available rainfall representation in terms of temporal resolution. Rainfall estimates for the two 2010 events were treated as point rain gauges located at the centre of the grid cells covering the area of the four catchments in this study (Fig. 4).

A meteorological model was then established using the US Corps of Engineers software HEC-HMS (http://www.hec.usace.army.mil/software/hec-hms/). The meteorological model undertakes rainfall data interpolation and temporal disaggregation. For given nodes on the catchments surface, average precipitation was calculated using the inverse-distance-squared scheme (USACE 2000). This method assumes that precipitation is evenly distributed over a given area (catchment or sub-basin) and a given time period. A time step of 20 min was chosen to discretize the 3-h rainfall estimates (Fig. 5).
4.2 Preparation of topographic data

Digital elevation models were interpolated for each catchment using contour lines from the 1:50,000 topographic map at a 10-m resolution. Streams were burned in during the process using the Topo to Raster tool in ArcMap. Catchment pre-processing for use in HEC-HMS was undertaken using the ArcHydro Tools and HEC-GeoHMS extensions in ESRI ArcMap. Land use data were digitized from a 2009 GeoEye image provided by Google Maps. As no soil map was available for the study catchments, soil characteristics were deduced from alternative datasets (lithology, slope, vegetation cover) using a functional factorial approach as defined by Jenny (1941). This approach states that pedogenetic factors can predict soil classes or specific soil characteristics on the basis of environmental correlation (McKenzie and Ryan 1999; McBratney et al. 2003). Soil characteristics were predicted using a decision tree containing three criteria: parent material ($P$), slope ($S$), and vegetation type ($O$) (Fig. 6). Soils were classified according to their expected hydrological characteristics using the National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) runoff potential groups (NRCS 2007). Catchment characteristics were considered globally in a lumped model in agreement with the relatively small basin area and the poor rainfall input data resolution.

4.3 Effective rainfall production and rainfall–runoff transfer functions

In the studied area, hydrological processes are most likely to involve an infiltration excess mechanism. Thus, infiltration is the main control of direct runoff generation. In a lumped,
event-based approach, three infiltration models available within the HEC-HMS modelling system were tested: the Green–Ampt physically based model (Green and Ampt 1911), the SCS Curve Number methodology, and the initial and constant infiltration model (USACE 2000), in order to select the model most appropriate to the catchments’ semi-arid

Fig. 5 Rainfall estimates for the events of 14 February and 11 October 2010. Data retrieved from the TRMM platform at trmm.gsfc.nasa.gov
conditions. The rainfall–runoff relationship was expressed using the Snyder unit hydrograph method, a parsimonious model that relates the rainfall duration to the catchment lag (Snyder 1938; USACE 2000).

4.4 Calibration using post-flood investigations

In ungauged catchments where classical calibration approaches are impossible, geomorphic evidence can provide the means for partial verification of the used hydrological model. Post-flood campaigns provided flood peak discharge estimates for the two events, even if these estimates remain uncertain given the methodology used and described above. These estimates were first compared with the modelled peak hydrographs (Fig. 7) in order to choose the best-fitting infiltration method for the given catchments. Then, the data were used to partially calibrate the model output in terms of peak discharge. Flood timing was also verified based on local people’s testimonies.

4.5 Extrapolation to hypothetical events

The model tested on known events was then applied to simulate lower frequency–higher intensity flood events. We used rectangular design storms with duration $= T_c$ (catchment time of concentration) derived from IFD (intensity–frequency–duration) curves calculated at the Beni Mellal meteorological station. We simulated floods for the 20-, 50-, and 100-year rainfall events. The model accounted for the role of existing or planned flood reduction dams (according to ADI 2004).

4.6 Results

The comparison of flood hydrographs resulting from the three production models and field estimations suggests that the initial and constant loss method could best predict flood hydrographs in terms of peak discharge (Fig. 7). The Green–Ampt model predicted lower runoff volumes and peak discharges. For all four catchments, the SCS-CN infiltration model significantly underestimated flood peak and volume. A possible explanation might be related to the event intensity and short duration, correlated to the SCS assumption that infiltration rate depends on rainfall rate (Smith et al. 1978; Hjelmfelt 1980). The TRMM rainfall estimates 3-h resolution, and this is probably not sufficiently fine for these short and intense storms, such that the infiltration rate estimated by the SCS–CN method was too high. Thus, the SCS–CN method was not further considered in this study.

Fig. 6 Decision tree for predicting soil characteristics. $P$ parent material, $S_l$ slope, $O$ organismus (here vegetation), $S$ resulting soil type
A one-factor-at-the-time (OFAT) sensitivity analysis (Morris 1991) was performed on the initial and constant loss and Green–Ampt method parameters. The analysis showed that the Green–Ampt model could produce better results in terms of the flood hydrograph peak and volume, provided that its most sensitive parameter, i.e. the saturated conductivity, was assigned very small values (10 % of the expected value for each soil type, Fig. 8). The Green–Ampt method models soil as an infinite, homogeneous column where the limit between wet and dry soil is marked by a sharp wetting front. With shallow soils, such as the ones in the studied catchments, the model may fail to predict realistic flood hydrographs, unless saturated conductivity is assigned small values. This finding is corroborated by a study by Liu et al. (2011) that assessed the Green–Ampt model’s applicability in shallow soil conditions and proposed lowering the saturated conductivity parameter values for better prediction.

Sensitivity analysis showed that the initial and constant loss method parameters were less sensitive (Fig. 8). The method was therefore considered as more appropriate for the studied catchments (Werren 2013). Sensitivity analysis was equally used to calibrate the model for the two known events (Fig. 9).

Despite the uncertainty related to rainfall data, model parameters and calibration methodology (Werren 2013), no uncertainty quantification was possible with such scarce calibration data. Nevertheless, peak discharge value ranges based on variation in field estimates rather than unique validation criteria were used (Fig. 10).

The obtained flood hydrographs display steep curves reflecting the flash-flood behaviour of the studied catchments (Fig. 9). The Ain el Ghazi hydrograph is flat-topped: we suggest that this situation arises as the Snyder unit hydrograph convolution might have been hindered by a very short time of concentration (50 min) and the 3-h rainfall structure of TRMM. Finer rainfall estimates would be necessary for this small-scale catchment. The
The final output of the hydrological modelling step consists of flood hydrographs for reference hypothetical events necessary to the hazard assessment procedure. The results suggest that the planned or existing flood reduction dams have little flood control effects for larger events and catchments. Moreover, by producing steeper flood hydrographs these structures seem to induce a flash-flood character to the simulated events (Fig. 11).

5 Hydraulic modelling

According to the approach outlined in Sect. 2 (Fig. 1), one known flood event (11 October 2011) was considered as providing a realistic hydraulic model for extrapolation to floods associated with 20-, 50-, and 100-year rainfall events.

Initially, the open-source, one-dimensional HEC-RAS steady flow model (USACE 2010) was tested as it responded to cost-effectiveness criteria related to this project (Werren 2013). However, it failed to represent flow processes specific to the alluvial fan morphology of the Beni Mellal study site (Werren 2013). 2D models, as compared to 1D models (Fig. 12), are thought to deliver better process representation of floods occurring on areas of complex topography such as alluvial plains and fans (Bates and De Roo 2000; Pelletier et al. 2005; Tayefi et al. 2007). Thus, the two-dimensional, unsteady flow TUFLOW model (Syme and Apelt 1990; Syme 2001) was used.

Fig. 8 OFAT sensitivity analysis for the Green–Ampt and initial and constant loss model parameters. Example of the Sabek catchment during the 11 October event. Flood hydrographs were obtained by multiplying the initial parameters by 0.5, 0.75, 1.25, 1.5, and 2. Ks was additionally multiplied by 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, and 0.1. From Werren (2013)
5.1 Model presentation

The 2D TUFLOW software is a hydrodynamic model that solves the full shallow water equations (SWE) (Balzano 1998; Bates and De Roo 2000) under the form:

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (Hu)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (Hv)}{\partial y} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -L_v + g \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + gu \frac{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}}{C^2H} - v \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = F_x
\]

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -L_u + g \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + gv \frac{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}}{C^2H} - v \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = F_y
\]

where: \(C\) = water surface level; \(\hat{x}\) = depth-averaged velocity in the \(x\) direction; \(\hat{y}\) = depth-averaged velocity in the \(y\) direction; \(h\) = depth of water relative to a datum; \(H = h + C\) = total depth; \(\mathcal{L}\) = Coriolis parameter; \(C\) = Chezy friction coefficient; and \(F\) = external forces (wind, pressure) (Syme and Apelt 1990).

Initially developed for tidal process modelling, this model has been shown to provide very good solutions for riverine flooding, mainly because of its stability and robustness.

Fig. 9  Calibrated hydrographs for the 11 October event. The flood reduction dam set at the Handak outlet was accounted for. Peak discharge estimates were collected downstream of the dam. From: Werren (2013)
**Fig. 10** Modelled versus field-estimated peak discharge for the two reference events at the selected cross sections.

Sbk1,2 = cross sections on Sabek; Ghz: = cross section on Ain el Ghazi; Hdk1,2 = cross sections on Handak; Kik1,2 = cross sections on Kikou. From: Werren (2013)

**Fig. 11** Flood hydrographs for 100-, 50-, and 20-year return period rainfall events using the initial and constant loss model. Dam effect on flood hydrograph was modelled using an elevation-storage function provided in HEC-HMS. Example for the Handak catchment
The model computes depth-averaged velocity, water depth, and flood extent as basic elements for flood hazard assessment. TUFLOW can be accessed within the Aquaveo™ SMS (Surface-water Modelling Solution) interface that provides a GIS environment for hydraulic models.

### 5.2 Topographic and land use data preparation

A digital elevation model (DEM) was derived from contour lines and elevation points of the Beni Mellal urban plan (scale = 1:2000, contour line equidistance = 1 m). As the elevation model could not provide a good representation of stream geometry, a manual channel cross section assignment was undertaken using field and remotely sensed information (aerial photographs, oblique photographs, field-collected cross section data). The obtained cross section information was then integrated into the DEM. Elevation data were imported into the Aquaveo™ SMS where all the TUFLOW input files were created. Land use information necessary for determining surface roughness was retrieved from a 2009 GeoEye image provided by Google Maps (Werren 2013). According to the geographical setting of the four streams that needed assessment, two simulation projects were generated. One project, codenamed BM, consisted of the urban reaches of the Sabek, Aïn el Ghazi, and Handak streams, as well as their junction with the Day stream. The second project, codenamed KIK, consisted of the Kikou stream.
5.3 Model setup

The choice of parameter values was expected to achieve a compromise between model results accuracy, computational effort, and model stability (Table 1).

An elevation grid of cell size 3 m was generated (Table 1). This resolution was thought to balance model accuracy and computational effort for the practical purpose of this study. The model time step $\Delta t$ needed to be linked to the spatial resolution $\Delta x$ in order to achieve model stability (French 1985; Syme 2001). According to practice, in TUFLOW the required relationship is $\Delta t \leq \Delta x/2$ (WBM Oceanics Australia 2007). TUFLOW uses a wetting and drying algorithm to manage the wetting front definition by providing criteria to declare one cell wet or dry (Balzano 1998; Yu and Lane 2006, WBM Oceanics Australia 2007). The wetting and drying parameters were selected as to achieve model stability; we considered therefore this parameter as an effective function (Lane 2005). Likewise, the Smagorinski coefficient for eddy viscosity (Smagorinsky 1963), used to describe flow turbulence when solving the shallow water equations, was set as an effective function.

Land use data were used as a base for assigning the Manning roughness coefficient $n$. Roughness coefficients were estimated according to Chow (1959) for several land use classes (Table 2). Buildings were assigned $n = 3$ in order to account for their flow-obstructing role. This approach is widely used in hydraulics modelling to represent structures when resolution of the elevation data cannot account for them properly (Yu and Lane 2006).

Boundary conditions were set at the two ends of the modelled domain. The upstream boundary condition consisted of flood hydrographs for the 11 October 2010 monitored flood event and, after model optimization, for the flood hazard assessment reference events as obtained during the previous stage. Downstream boundary condition consisted of a control water level as computed from channel slope.

### Table 1 Assignment of the model parameters for the two simulation projects (BM and KIK), and the objective to be attained

| Parameter            | BM  | KIK | Objective                                      |
|----------------------|-----|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Cell size (m)        | 3   | 3   | Output accuracy, computational effort          |
| Time step (s)        | 1.5 | 1.5 | Model stability, accuracy, computational effort |
| Wet/dry depth (m)    | 0.025 | 0.025 | Model stability, accuracy                      |
| Cell side wet/dry depth (m) | 0.05 | 0.05 | Model stability, accuracy                      |
| Eddy viscosity       | 0.4 | 0.2 | Model stability, accuracy                      |

### Table 2 Manning coefficient ($n$) according to Chow (1959) for 7 land use classes

| Land use class                      | $N$  |
|-------------------------------------|------|
| Concrete channel                    | 0.01 |
| “Clean” channel                     | 0.03 |
| “Rough channel”                     | 0.04 |
| Urban surface (streets)             | 0.02 |
| Grassy surface                      | 0.06 |
| Trees, olive plantation             | 0.08 |
| Buildings                            | 3.00 |
5.4 Calibration by field investigations

The maximum flood extent for the 11 October 2010 event was mapped in the field in order to calibrate the model. This approach could only provide partial calibration, as no stage data could be retrieved during the mapping process. The mapped flood extent allowed us to optimize the model by exploring the impact of model parameter values on output accuracy. Moreover, hydro-geomorphic mapping of the active processes provided verification criteria for the model’s ability to represent flow processes correctly on the complex alluvial fan surfaces.

5.5 Model sensitivity analysis and extrapolation

A basic OFAT analysis (Morris 1991) was undertaken in order to identify the model parameters impacting most upon model predictions and to inform the choice of eventual parameter values used. This was undertaken by multiplying each initial parameter value by 0.5 and 2. Accuracy was estimated with measures of agreement utilized by similar studies: kappa (Cohen 1960; Yu and Lane 2006), $F$ (Bates and de Roo 2000; Yu and Lane 2006), and overall accuracy (Yu and Lane 2006). Flow process representation was also judged on a visual basis, by comparing the model results with the hydro-geomorphic map. This analysis was used to adjust model parameter values. The calibrated model was applied to simulate floods related to rainfall events of 20-, 50-, and 100-year return-time period.

5.6 Results

The model sensitivity analysis focussed on four parameters: grid resolution, Manning roughness coefficient $n$, wetting and drying algorithm coefficients, and Smagorinsky coefficient for eddy viscosity (Tables 3, 4).

Sensitivity analysis did not identify parameters that were clearly impacting upon model performance. It is not necessary to increase spatial resolution for the two models: the initial 3-m resolution performed well in accuracy and process representation; lowering the resolution (6 m) would induce poorer channel representation, while higher resolution (2 m) induces the over interpretation of topographic lows (Fig. 13). Lowering roughness values induced lower accuracy and the overestimation of flood extents, while greater friction values did not affect accuracy. However, they resulted in water ponding on flat surfaces on

| Parameter        | Variation | $K$  | $K_1$ | $F$  | $O$  |
|------------------|-----------|------|-------|------|------|
| Resolution       | 3 m       | 32.45| 30.51 | 46.22| 66.45|
|                  | 6 m       | 30.62| 28.81 | 45.18| 65.54|
| Roughness        | $n/2^a$   | 21.87| 19.58 | 39.2 | 61.31|
|                  | $n^2$     | 31.42| 30.91 | 46.51| 65.85|
| Eddy viscosity   | 0.4       | 32.45| 30.51 | 46.22| 66.45|
|                  | 0.8       | 31.76| 29.51 | 45.57| 66.13|

BM project. $K$ kappa index, $K_1$ kappa index for wet cells, $F$ $F$ accuracy measure, $O$ overall accuracy measure. Values for all indexes range from 0 to 100

$a$ $n$ varies according to land use classes (see Table 2)
distal fan regions (Fig. 14). Therefore, the initial values offer a good compromise for the
two models. Third, eddy viscosity does not significantly influence accuracy or process
representation (Fig. 15). Nevertheless, this parameter represents an effective function for
the model, i.e. its values directly impact model stability. Finally, wetting and drying
parameter values seem to regulate flood extent and local water depth estimations (Fig. 16).
However, this is mainly when the wetting and drying parameter is doubled to largely
implausible values.

As we performed simulations with half and double the initial parameter values, we note
that this analysis is dependent on the chosen range of values for each parameter. Therefore,
not exploring larger parameter ranges might have an effect on the model performance.
Nevertheless, the initial parameter choice was justified by the need to achieve the neces-
sary model precision with reasonable computation costs. With the default parameter val-
ues, flood intensity maps, in terms of flood maximum water depth and velocity, were
produced for the reference events of 20-, 30-, and 100-year return periods (Fig. 17).

6 Flood hazard map of Beni Mellal

6.1 Hazard assessment procedure

The design of the flood hazard map is based on Swiss guidelines (Loat and Petrascheck
1997, ARE, OFEG, OFEFP 2005). Hazard assessment was performed by adapting the
Swiss hazard matrix that convolutes hazard magnitude and probability of occurrence
(Fig. 18). The Swiss hazard matrix contains information on hazard intensity and relates to
a series of land use prescriptions through the matrix colour code. Within the Swiss hazard
assessment guidelines, red zones are prohibited for further urban development, in particular
building of new houses, blue zones allow building under specific safety conditions, while
the yellow zones are hazard awareness-making areas (Loat and Petrascheck 1997; Lüthi
2004; Penelas et al. 2008). The Swiss guidelines provide homogeneous thresholds for
delimiting classes of magnitude and probability, as shown in Tables 5 and 6. In this study,
we applied different probability thresholds, according to the Moroccan practice of flood
risk mitigation (Table 6).

| Parameter         | Variation      | $K$    | $K_1$ | $F$    | $O$     |
|-------------------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|---------|
| Resolution        | 2 m            | 25.25  | 26.16 | 35.98  | 65.12   |
|                   | 3 m            | 22.9   | 38.98 | 40.11  | 59.8    |
|                   | 6 m            | 30.34  | 29.79 | 38.76  | 67.65   |
| Roughness         | $n/2$          | 15.41  | 22.37 | 34.70  | 57.24   |
|                   | $n^\ast 2$     | 25.17  | 35.02 | 39.56  | 62.52   |
| Eddy viscosity    | 0.2            | 22.9   | 38.98 | 40.11  | 59.8    |
|                   | 0.4            | 28.72  | 30.19 | 38.25  | 66.62   |
| W–D (depth, cell side in meters) | 0.0125, 0.025 | 30.04  | 31.22 | 38.88  | 67.34   |
|                   | 0.05, 0.1      | 25.17  | 35.02 | 39.56  | 62.52   |

Kikou project. $K$ kappa index, $K_1$ kappa index for wet cells, $F$ $F$ accuracy measure, and $O$ overall accuracy measure. Values for all indexes range from 0 to 100.
6.2 Results

A flood hazard magnitude map (example in Fig. 19) was produced, by combining flood magnitude maps of the three reference scenarios. The three maps were merged using the
Union tool in ESRI ArcMap. Highest intensity value was assigned for each map cell. Maps of the maximum flood extent for the reference scenarios were merged to determine a flood probability map (Fig. 19). Then, the intensity and probability maps were intersected, according to the hazard convolution matrix, resulting in a 9-class map which was finally classified into three hazard zones to obtain a flood hazard map (Fig. 20).

The hazard map is a decision-making tool useful for hazard-aware urban development in the studied area. It has an indicative character due to uncertainties related to input data scarcity and imprecisions, and to inherent uncertainties related to the modelling process.

7 Discussion

This study’s objective was to assess and map flood hazard concerning an urban area in conditions of scarce data, by combining hydro-geomorphic knowledge and hydrological–hydraulic modelling. In this context, hydrological and hydraulic modelling of the stream behaviour proved to be essential for the hazard assessment process.

We suggest that good knowledge of catchment soil and land cover conditions and better flood understanding through post-flood campaigns and flood deposit mapping and characterization is mandatory so as to compensate for the lack of data and therefore reducing model uncertainty. Hydro-geomorphic information is likely to enhance hazard assessment in several ways. First, hazard-prone areas are delimited, and thus hazard assessment
through modelling can focus on the areas of interest. Then, knowledge of erosion–deposi-
tion patterns, as well as preliminary assessment of process intensity, is useful during the
modelling stage, in order to compare the modelled process representation to field data.
Finally, mapped flood extent and field-estimated peak discharge provide calibration means
for the models. As a shortcoming, mapping and imprecision in estimates add uncertainty to
the final modelled result.

Through hydrological modelling of two known events, this study’s goal was to real-
istically depict flood behaviour in the four catchments that drain Beni Mellal. Their flash-
flood-prone character was well represented in the resulting hydrographs. The use of several
infiltration methods allowed choice of the most appropriate model for the studied catch-
ments. For instance, the SCS-CN method, although used in hydrological studies in Mor-
occo (e.g. Tramblay et al. 2012), significantly underestimated peak discharges even though
CN values were relatively high in the four catchments (74.5–85.1). Moreover, it was
demonstrated that the Green–Ampt model needs exhaustive calibration of its most sensi-
tive parameter, i.e. the saturated conductivity, in order to achieve good performance in
shallow soil conditions (Liu et al. 2011). Finally, the chosen method, i.e. the initial and
constant loss rate method, is widely used in semi-arid catchments in Australia (Mahbub
and Monzur 2009) and was found to perform better than the Green–Ampt method in semi-
arid conditions in Iran (Arekhi et al. 2011). Further studies in similar locations should
consider the use of this method in order to assess its applicability in a larger extent.

Peak discharge estimates obtained during post-flood measure campaigns provide means
for partial calibration of the model. However, peak discharge value ranges were used rather
than unique values, in order to account for uncertainties related to field measurements and
model performance.
Results obtained by the combination of hydrological and hydro-geomorphological methodologies better depict catchment hydrological behaviour than separate use of these techniques. Knowledge of the natural processes is essential at every modelling stage in order to legitimate the choices made within a model. However, this approach requires interdisciplinary skills and a better involvement of geomorphologists in hazard assessment procedures, especially in regions where scarce data hinder hydrological modelling.

The second important assessment stage, i.e. hydraulic flood modelling within the urban area of Beni Mellal, aimed at predicting flood hazard in terms of flood magnitude for three scenarios representing events of low, medium, and high recurrence probability. One known event was simulated using field-collected calibration data and hydro-geomorphic information of possible flood intensity. The model was then optimized for use in hypothetical flood scenarios by tuning its main parameters. We suggest that the choice of a 3-m grid is fairly precise and satisfactory for flood process representation in urban areas as was also demonstrated by Hunter et al. (2008). As demonstrated by this study, this resolution is also pertinent for the representation of flood processes on fan surfaces and we therefore recommend the use of minimum 3-m grid resolution in further similar studies. The roughness parameter choice is based on land use classes in order to depict the variation in surface friction. It has been shown in the literature (Lane 2005; Yu and Lane 2006) that the roughness coefficient is often used as an effective parameter for model calibration as its actual relationship to real surfaces is difficult to assess. Indeed, this empirical parameter stems from steady flow assumptions applied to unsteady flows (French 1985). The relative sensitivity of this parameter suggests that exploring a larger value range could enhance the calibration results, as it was demonstrated for instance by Yu and Lane (2006). Nonetheless, we consider that in a context of relatively high uncertainty related mainly to the available topographic data, using too large roughness coefficients in the calibration process risks over-parameterizing the model.

The final output of the hydraulic modelling stage, i.e. flood extent, maximum water depth, and maximum velocity maps for three reference scenarios, provided essential measurable data for hazard mapping that could not be obtained using solely geomorphic or descriptive methods. Nonetheless, field-collected flood extent and hydro-geomorphic data provided an essential means for validating the model and allowed us to better understand internal model uncertainties. We suggest that in areas similar to our study site, modelling methodologies should integrate geomorphic and eventually geological mapping to their protocols in order to achieve process understanding and finally to reduce uncertainties.

The Beni Mellal flood hazard map, obtained by intersecting cartographic representations of flood magnitude and probability of occurrence, is a pioneering document, being the first such map published in Morocco. A sound decision-making tool, the hazard map, can provide planners with a comprehensive set of information related to the spatial imprint and consequences of floods within the study site. One must notice, however, that the hazard map reflects uncertainties accumulated during the successive assessment stages. As such, this hazard map is more likely to play a decision-making role for subsequent planning rather than being used directly within specific building procedures.
Fig. 17 continued
Predicting flood hazards in semi-arid, ungauged regions is challenging. In this context, using alternative data sources such as remotely sensed data and building a knowledge base on catchment properties and related hydrodynamic behaviour are essential steps in order to provide more realistic hazard scenarios for planning purposes and ultimately enhance flood risk mitigation. This study endeavoured to produce such realistic scenarios by intensive use of field-monitored data as a means of validating model performance. Field data enhanced the modelling process and the final result by providing arguments for the use of models that better depict actual processes as compared to the techniques classically in use in the study area. A series of uncertainties were identified, and the models were optimized according to the gathered field knowledge. Finally, a flood hazard map was designed for the study site in Beni Mellal. A pioneering document, this map provides a sound basis for decision-making in the sense of a more hazard-aware urban development.

Uncertainty in hazard assessment requires special attention as hazard maps are meant to have important spatial consequences when integrated in urban planning. In this project, uncertainty quantification was impossible, however uncertainty sources were identified. Thus, uncertainties can be reduced by the use of better datasets (e.g. digital terrain models).

**Fig. 18** Swiss hazard matrix. 
*Source: ARE, OFEG, OFEFP (2005)*

**Table 5** Flood hazard intensity thresholds according to Swiss guidelines

| Magnitude threshold                  | Low   | Medium | High   |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|
| Static flood: water depth            | $H < 0.5$ m | $0.5 < H < 2$ m | $H > 2$ m |
| Dynamic flood: water depth * velocity| $Hv < 0.5$ m²/s | $0.5 < Hv < 2$ m²/s | $Hv > 2$ m²/s |

From ARE, OFEG, OFEFP (2005)

**Table 6** Flood hazard probability thresholds according to Swiss guidelines (ARE, OFEG, OFEFP 2005) and adaptation to this case study

| Probability threshold                  | Low (year) | Medium (year) | High (year) |
|----------------------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| Recurrence period (this study)         | 100        | 50            | 20          |
| Recurrence period (Swiss method)       | 300        | 100           | 30          |

**8 Conclusion**

Predicting flood hazards in semi-arid, ungauged regions is challenging. In this context, using alternative data sources such as remotely sensed data and building a knowledge base on catchment properties and related hydrodynamic behaviour are essential steps in order to provide more realistic hazard scenarios for planning purposes and ultimately enhance flood risk mitigation. This study endeavoured to produce such realistic scenarios by intensive use of field-monitored data as a means of validating model performance. Field data enhanced the modelling process and the final result by providing arguments for the use of models that better depict actual processes as compared to the techniques classically in use in the study area. A series of uncertainties were identified, and the models were optimized according to the gathered field knowledge. Finally, a flood hazard map was designed for the study site in Beni Mellal. A pioneering document, this map provides a sound basis for decision-making in the sense of a more hazard-aware urban development.

Uncertainty in hazard assessment requires special attention as hazard maps are meant to have important spatial consequences when integrated in urban planning. In this project, uncertainty quantification was impossible, however uncertainty sources were identified. Thus, uncertainties can be reduced by the use of better datasets (e.g. digital terrain models).
Fig. 19 Flood hazard probability (A) and magnitude (B) maps. Example of the Kikou stream. Buildings are designed in purple on the map.
Fig. 19 continued
Fig. 20  Flood hazard map. Example of the Kikou stream. Buildings are designed in purple on the map.
or by better access to data (as it was experienced in this project, data scarcity can be relative, i.e. data exist but are difficult to access). Moreover, field investigations such as those presented above need to be run on a systematic basis for longer periods of time in order to build flood databases for model calibration.

In order to make hazard maps widely available, homogeneous guidelines for map design should be implemented within the studied context. That is, adaptations to the local needs and realities are necessary (e.g. rethinking the threshold scale to adapt it to specific building regulations, adapting the colour code to local perceptions, negotiating the desired map precision in order to consider inherent uncertainties).
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