Ultra-thin and ultra-strong organic interphase in nanocomposites with supercrystalline particle arrangement: Mechanical behavior identification via multiscale numerical modeling
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

A key challenge in the development of inorganic-organic nanocomposites is the mechanical behavior identification of the organic phase. For supercrystalline materials, in which the organic phase ranges down to sub-nm areas, the identification of the organic materials' mechanical properties is however experimentally inaccessible. The supercrystalline nanocomposites investigated here are 3D superlattices of self-assembled iron oxide nanoparticles, surface-functionalized with crosslinked oleic acid ligands. They exhibit the highest reported values of Young's modulus, nanohardness and strength for inorganic-organic nanocomposites. A multiscale numerical modeling approach is developed to identify these properties using supercrystalline representative volume elements, in which the nanoparticles are arranged in a face-centered cubic superlattice and the organic phase is modeled as a thin layer interfacing each particle. A Drucker-Prager-type elastoplastic constitutive law with perfectly plastic yielding is identified as being able to describe the supercrystals' response in nanoindentation accurately. As the nanoparticles behave in a purely elastic manner with very high stiffness, the underlying constitutive law of the organic phase is also identified to be Drucker-Prager-type elastoplastic, with a Young's modulus of 13 GPa and a uniaxial tensile yield stress of 900 MPa, remarkably high values for an organic material, and matching well with experimental and DFT-based estimations. Furthermore, a sensitivity study indicates that small configurational changes within the supercrystalline lattice do not significantly alter the overall stiffness behavior. Multiscale numerical modeling is thus proven to be able to identify the nanomechanical properties of supercrystals, and can ultimately be used to tailor these materials' mechanical behavior starting from superlattice considerations.

1. Introduction

Structurally-ordered nanocomposites are emerging as key building blocks for the multiscale assembly of hierarchical materials systems with encoded functionality, amplified by new couplings between electrical, optical, transport, and mechanical properties [1]. In such a rising field of materials engineering, the processing and assembly of mechanically robust supercrystalline ceramic-organic nanocomposites become decisive. Several approaches have been optimized for the development of self-assembled nanocomposites, leading to a wide spectrum of available techniques and potential applications [2–6]. The mechanical properties of the resulting materials typically reach the values characteristic of hard polymers, and there is general agreement on the important role played by the supercrystallinity in enhancing the nanocomposites' strength, hardness and stiffness [7–10].

More recently, it has been shown that these kinds of supercrystalline nanocomposites are capable of reaching an impressive combination of mechanical properties, with hardness up to 5 GPa, Young’s modulus up to 70 GPa, and compressive strength above 1 GPa [11,12]. In such nanocomposites, the organic ligands are anchored to the ceramic nanoparticles, which are self-assembled in an FCC (face-centered cubic) superlattice. The crosslinking of the organic ligands is identified as
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responsible for such an enhancement of the nanocomposites’ mechanical behavior. Crosslinking is induced by a heat treatment at temperatures in the 250 – 350°C range and leads to the formation of covalent bonds among interdigitated organic ligands. The organic phase achieves therefore a unique configuration, being highly confined into a sub-nm layer interfacing the ceramic nanoparticles, anchored to the latter and crosslinked through the various chains. This implies a very high stiffness for a material (oleic acid) that would otherwise be a liquid at room temperature. While the physical properties of the free oleic acid are known, the ones of the corresponding coordinated and confined oleate are not. The assessment of the details of this ligand’s mechanical properties is extremely cumbersome – if not impossible – to achieve experimentally [13–15].

Numerical modeling is the ideal solution to overcome such experimental limitations. To access information on the role of the confined organic ligands towards the overall mechanical response of the supercrystalline nanocomposites, an efficient approach is found in continuum micromechanical modeling. Even though the organic phase (often named interphase in the literature [16,17]) exhibits a discrete molecular structure on the nanometer scale [18], continuum micromechanical modeling can provide robust predictions of the effective behavior of the nanocomposites, if a reliable multiscale modeling strategy is used to account for the intrinsically hierarchical material morphology [19–23]. In the recent years, indeed, continuum-based multiscale modeling approaches have been applied intensively, in combination with FEM (finite-element method), to explore the stiffness behavior [24–28] and failure mechanisms [29–31] of nanocomposites, with particular interest for the role played by their nanostructures, i.e. size and spatial distribution of inclusions, as well as interphase thickness.

For the supercrystalline nanocomposites of interest in this work, a nonlocal damage model based on a micropolar continuum theory has been proposed in a previous work [32]. This approach focused on the inelastic and damage behavior of the crosslinked organic interphase, and was validated through experimental results from bending tests of microbeams [11]. However, for such a sophisticated material model, a very large number of material parameters is required, which cannot be uniquely identified via numerical methods from the limited set of the experimental data that is currently attainable. A simpler modeling approach has thus been implemented here, capable on the one hand to unequivocally determine all the needed input material parameters from experimental results, and, on the other hand, to reliably derive both stiffness and strength of the confined and crosslinked organic interphase in supercrystalline nanocomposites. It turns out that the overall (macroscopically homogenized) mechanical behavior is accurately described by a linear elastic-perfectly plastic material model.

This model is capable to predict the experimentally measured nanoin dentation hardness, load-displacement curves, and the associated material deformations [12]. By means of properly-defined supercrystalline RVEs (representative volume elements), a multiscale FE (finite-element) modeling approach is developed to derive the mechanical properties of the organic interphase. Since the organic ligands present in the system are short aliphatic chains (oleic acid, C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>72</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), the ceramic nanoparticles in the supercrystalline RVEs are not considered as embedded in an organic matrix, but rather interfaced by the thin confined interphase and with hollow superlattice interstitial sites. An elastoplastic material behavior of the homogenized (continuum) supercrystalline nanocomposites translates into an analogous mechanical response at the local interphase level, while the stronger inorganic (iron oxide) phase behaves in a purely linear elastic fashion. Together with these insights on the behavior of the supercrystalline nanocomposites’ phases, remarkable outcomes also emerge on the stiffness and strength that the confined and crosslinked organic interphase can reach. This multiscale modeling approach is finally used to address another issue that typically affects supercrystalline materials, namely the very wide data scatter characteristic of micromechanical testing outcomes [8,11,12]. Such large scatter has been attributed to several causes, among which the presence of supercrystalline defects and the size distribution of the constituent nanoparticles are numerically addressed in this work.

2. Method: multiscale numerical modeling

2.1. RVE of the supercrystalline nanostructure

The material of interest in this work is a bulk supercrystalline nanocomposite with iron oxide nanoparticles (magnetite, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>), surface-functionalized with oleic acid, as constituent building blocks. The iron oxide nanoparticles are self-assembled in the FCC superlattice and the oleic acid molecules are crosslinked, leading to a significant enhancement of the nanocomposite’s mechanical properties. Details on material’s nanostructure and processing routine are given in SI 1. The nanostructure is here described via an RVE (representative volume element), a geometrical reconstruction of the organically-functionalized ceramic nanoparticles’ arrangement within the superlattice.

A transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of the superlattice is shown in Fig. 1, where the supercrystalline nanostructure and the interphase’s presence can be observed. The micrograph was taken along the (112) projection axis of the nanocomposite’s FCC superlattice, and (111) planes can be identified running diagonally through the image. Based on the supercrystalline nanostructure, the RVE is defined, as shown in Fig. 1, by three parameters: nearest neighbor distance NND = 18.4 nm, minimum inter-particle distance ID = 0.6 nm, and interphase thickness IT = 1.1 nm, as detailed in SI 2.

Note that, although bulk oleic acid is liquid at room temperature, its behavior is here significantly altered, due to the anchoring on the iron oxide surfaces, the sub-nm confinement and the crosslinking process triggered by the heat treatment. The oleic acid interphase is therefore considered as a solid phase with uniform thickness in the RVE filling the gap between nanoparticles. Additionally, crosslinked oleic acid molecules are directly anchored to the iron oxide nanoparticles and no solvent is left in the nanocomposites after the heat treatment [11], and thus, as verified in SI 3, the interstitial sites in the RVE are considered to be hollow.

After synthesis, the nanoparticles are quasi-spherical [11], thus, for the RVE, a reasonable option is modeling them as spheres (SPHER RVE, Fig. 2(a)). Note that symmetry conditions allow considering only 1/8 of each RVE when loading is applied parallel to the cube axes. A potential alternative is a cuboctahedral shape, based on nanoparticle synthesis considerations, and on DFT (density functional theory) studies [33]. RVEs with cuboctahedral nanoparticles (CUBO RVEs, Fig. 2(b)), are thus also considered, to evaluate which nanoparticle morphology leads to a better match with experimental data, and thus can be considered most representative of heat-treated supercrystals.

In the supercrystalline nanocomposites, the iron oxide (indexed IO) phase is the strong inorganic phase, and it is therefore modeled as linear elastic with parameters extracted from the literature: Young’s modulus E<sub>IO</sub> = 163 GPa and Poisson’s ratio ν<sub>IO</sub> = 0.3 [34]. The oleic acid phase is the nanocomposites’ soft phase, and it is assumed to undergo significant permanent deformations upon mechanical loading. An elastoplastic behavior is thus selected for the organic interphase. Note that the combination of a purely elastic and an elastoplastic phase in the supercrystalline nanocomposites implies that the overall homogenized composite is also behaving in an elastoplastic manner. In this work, the Drucker-Prager yield function is selected, since it is able to capture experimentally-detected aspects of the nanocomposites’ mechanical behavior. These are presence of inelastic deformations (leading to overall nonlinear behavior in the nanocomposites), different values of tensile and compressive strengths [11], and the pressure-sensitivity typical of organic matrices in composites [35–37]. Both interphase (oleic acid, indexed OA) and nanocomposites (supercrystalline, indexed SC) are thus described via four parameters each: Young’s modulus E, Poisson’s ratio (ν), and two plastic parameters for the Drucker-Prager
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fig. 1. From left to right: TEM micrograph of the nanocomposite’s superlattice taken along the (112) direction; model of supercrystalline nanostructure and 2D view with the definition of geometric parameters - NND (nearest neighbor distance), ID (minimum inter-particle distance), and IT (interface thickness); close-up representation of the nanoparticle’s surface with crosslinked ligands.

Fig. 2. (a) SPHER and (b) CUBO RVEs of the supercrystalline nanocomposites, and the corresponding simplified RVE defined according to symmetric conditions.

Fig. 3. Multiscale strategy to identify interphase properties in RVEs of the superlattice and the homogenized properties of the supercrystalline nanocomposite, using experimental results from nanoindentation tests as reference.
discussed in terms of the nanoindentation model’s details in SI 5.

3.2. Ultra-thin organic interphase behavior: RVE-based modeling

The homogenized material behavior described above is used to identify the interphase properties of the geometrically-confined and crosslinked oleic acid phase, using supercrystalline RVEs. Details about FE implementations of RVE-based modeling are given in SI 2. The crosslinked oleic acid phase, using supercrystalline RVEs. Details about

3.2. Ultra-thin organic interphase behavior: RVE-based modeling

The homogenized material behavior described above is used to identify the interphase properties of the geometrically-confined and crosslinked oleic acid phase, using supercrystalline RVEs. Details about FE implementations of RVE-based modeling are given in SI 2. The interphase Young’s modulus $E_{OA}$ and Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{OA}$ are the first to be identified. The optimal interphase Young’s modulus $E_{OA}$ is found by imposing that the RVE’s effective Young’s modulus $E_{RVE}$ equals the value of the homogenized nanocomposite, $E_{OA} = 50$ GPa. Fig. 5(a) shows the correlation among $E_{RVE}$ and $E_{OA}$ for SPHER and CUBO RVEs. Note that the SPHER RVE is isotropic, while the CUBO RVE has transversely isotropic behavior (with $Z$ as out-of-plane direction and $X$–$Y$ plane as plane of isotropy). Since neither the interphase’s nor the nanocomposite’s Poisson’s ratio is known, the other parameter taken into consideration is the interphase Poisson’s ratio, by considering $\nu_{OA} = 0.3$ and $\nu_{OA} = 0.495$ (compressible and quasi-incompressible case).

All of these possible RVE configurational combinations are presented in Fig. 5(a). Note that a broad range of potential values of interphase Young’s modulus is plotted, to show its relation to the effective ones of the nanocomposite. However, based on previous studies [11, 38], the most suitable interval for $E_{OA}$ is $10 - 15$ GPa. This is a rather high value for an organic material, but considering its short chains’ high confinement in a sub-nm space, the rigid anchoring to the iron oxide surfaces and the covalent crosslinking, such a range is plausible. By intersecting the nanocomposite’s Young’s modulus (50 GPa) with the curves relative to $E_{OA}$ and considering this range, two options are found: $E_{CUBO}^{RVE} = 14.5$ GPa and $E_{CUBO}^{SPHER} = 13$ GPa (both with quasi-incompressible interphase), as marked by black dots in Fig. 5(a). Because of the absence, or very minor incidence, of nanoparticle faceting for samples heat-treated at 325°C [39], the SPHER RVE is selected. Note that, in a previous work conducted with heat treatment at 350°C [11], faceting was instead observed via high-resolution TEM (HRTEM), and a CUBO RVE should thus be considered for this kind of material. Faceting, in turn, would likely lead to interlocking effects, contributing to the further material strengthening when higher heat treatment temperatures are applied [40].

Fig. 6(a) shows the stress-strain curves, under both uniaxial tension and compression conditions, of the homogenized material element and of the supercrystalline RVE. A good agreement is found, allowing to move forward to the derivation of the RVE components’ parameters, namely the Drucker-Prager parameters for the oleic acid. These are identified as $\sigma_{OA} = 932$ MPa and $\varphi_{OA} = 6^\circ$, and the corresponding uniaxial tensile and compressive yield stresses become $\sigma_{OA} = 900$ MPa and $\sigma_{OA} = 965$ MPa. The higher compressive strength with respect to the tensile one is also observed experimentally [11]. The stress-strain curves for the oleic acid interphase (OA) are plotted in Fig. 6(b).

Note that the values for the Young’s modulus and the strength of the oleic acid are exceptionally high for an organic material, but still
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![Fig. 5. Dependence of (a) effective Young’s modulus $E_{RVE}$ and (b) effective Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{RVE}$ of supercrystalline RVEs with respect to Young’s modulus $E_{OA}$ and Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{OA}$ of the interphase, for both the SPHER and CUBO RVEs. Both compressible ($\nu_{OA} = 0.3$) and quasi-incompressible ($\nu_{OA} = 0.495$) interphase behaviors are studied, here denoted as C and I, respectively. For the CUBO RVE, XY and Z refer to the in-plane and out-of-plane Young’s modulus, and their mean value is plotted with dashed curves.](image)
expected from an analysis of experimental micromechanical data and DFT calculations [11, 41], especially for such small stress-transferring areas through the interphase (see SI 6). Such high mechanical properties values can be further validated via a simple model based on molecular considerations. The strength $\sigma_{OA}$ of the crosslinked oleic acid is related to the breaking force $F_{OA}$ of one oleic acid molecule via the area crosslink density $\rho_{cl}$ as $\sigma_{OA} = F_{OA}/\rho_{cl}$ with $F_{OA} \approx 2$ nN [11]. Therefore, with a realistic crosslink density of $\rho_{cl} \approx 0.451$ nm$^{-2}$ [11], a yield stress of 900 MPa is achieved, which is coincident with the numerical outcomes given above. With the same approach, the Young’s modulus of the oleic acid can be estimated as $E_{OA} = \rho_{OA} \gamma_1$, where $\gamma_1 = 28.7$ nN is the spring constant for alkane molecules with less than 20 carbons (such as oleic acid is) [41]. This results in $E_{OA} \approx 12.9$ GPa, which again fits very well with the Young’s modulus derived with our multiscale continuum mechanics-based approach. The Drucker-Prager model is therefore confirmed to provide a very suitable constitutive description for the confined oleic acid. The powerful role of the organic phase’s crosslinking, confinement and anchoring to the nanoparticles in altering the material’s effective mechanical response is confirmed. It was also verified that the iron oxide nanoparticles undergo negligible plastic deformation, see SI 7.

3.3. Sensitivity to superlattice alterations

Highly-scattered mechanical behavior of supercrystalline nanocomposites has been reported and discussed in a variety of works [8, 11, 12]. The reason is however still unclear and difficult to experimentally address. A hypothesis is based on the intrinsic imperfections within the supercrystals’ FCC arrangement, due to the unavoidable size variations among the nanoparticles [42]. The numerical approach developed here is therefore also employed to assess whether such superlattice irregularities lie behind the results’ scattering.

Taking the SPHER-I RVE as reference (see Fig. 7(a)), two different kinds of superlattice imperfections are considered, namely translational shift (see Fig. 7(b)) and size variation (see Fig. 7(c) and (d)) of selected nanoparticles. This study is performed for the elastic part of the material’s constitutive behavior, using the interphase elastic parameters derived above ($E_{OA} = 13$ GPa and $\nu_{OA} = 0.495$).

As summarized in Table 1, the effect of variations in the nanoparticles’ size has a much stronger effect on the nanocomposite’s effective Young’s modulus, 13 – 17%, with respect to their positioning within the FCC lattice, around 1%. Details on the analysis of all the three cases are given in SI 8. However, such alternations have opposing sign depending on the size change itself (increase or decrease), and the overall effect of size variations is likely to be self-compensating on the macroscale. These kinds of superlattice alterations are therefore not sufficient to explain the large data scatter that has been experimentally observed, unless varying material batches with different average nanoparticle size are considered, or faceting of the nanoparticles is present (see considerations on CUBO RVES). Additional work is being conducted in this direction, both experimentally and numerically.

4. Conclusions

A multiscale modeling strategy has been proposed to assess the mechanical behavior of bulk supercrystalline nanocomposites. The simulated material is an iron oxide-oleic acid supercrystalline nanocomposite with crosslinked organic ligands, leading to a remarkable

Table 1

| RVE configurations         | Young’s modulus $E$ | Difference |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Unaltered (perfect supercrystal) | 49.8 GPa           | –          |
| Modified                    |                     |            |
| Shifted particles           | 49.3 GPa            | – 1.0%     |
| Increasing particle radius (+5%) | 58.5 GPa          | + 17.5%    |
| Decreasing particle radius (-5%) | 43.0 GPa          | – 13.7%    |

Fig. 6. Numerically obtained stress-strain curves for (a) the homogenized material element (HOMO) and the SPHER RVE (RVE), and (b) the corresponding interphase behavior (OA), under uniaxial tensile (UT) and compressive (UC) loading conditions.

Fig. 7. SPHER RVES for the following alterations of selected nanoparticles (marked in blue) on the Y- and Y+ surfaces: (a) unaltered; (b) shifting in the X+ direction; (c) increasing the size; (d) decreasing the size. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
combination of mechanical properties [11,12]. Experimental information on the constitutive behavior of the confined and crosslinked organic phase that interfaces the ceramic nanoparticles, and on the causes underly- ing the severe data scatter affecting mechanical tests, is, however, still unavailable, and it is here targeted numerically. The implemented numerical approach considers both the face-centered cubic geometry of the supercrystals, with its two material components, and its homoge- nized macroscopic continuum version. By modeling the ceramic phase as linear elastic and the organic phase as elastoplastic, an excellent agreement between experimental and numerical nonideation results is found. The Drucker-Prager yield function, selected to model the plastic response, is able to capture the materials mechanical behavior, while requiring a limited number of input parameters. By matching the macroscopic material properties with the supercristalline RVE ones, the details of the RVE geometry and organic interphase’s properties are extracted. Since the organic interphase is composed by short aliphatic chains, it is modeled as a thin layer interfacing the ceramic nanoparticles in supercrystalline RVEs with hollow interstitial sites. It emerges that the ceramic nanoparticles stay spherical even after the applied heat treatment at 325°C, and that the organic phase is quasi-incompressible, reaching a Young’s modulus of 13 GPa and a tensile strength of 900 MPa, thanks to its high confinement, anchoring to the nanoparticles and inter-chains crosslinking. These values show very accurate matching with combined experimental and DFT-based estimations. The nano- composites’ effective Poisson’s ratio results to be 0.34, meaning that the empty interstitial sites in the supercristalline lattice allow moderate compressibility. A parametric sensitivity study was also performed, to check on the effects of varying nanoparticles sizes and positions within the RVE, an issue that always affects supercrystalline materials. The varying nanoparticles positions are shown to play a very minor role, while changing their sizes leads to alterations of the material’s effective elastic response in the 13 – 17% range. This last effect is, however, self-compensating, depending on the sign of the nanoparticles’ size variations, and is thus not sufficient to justify the wide experimental data scatter. This suggests that larger-scale defects might be playing a role, and numerical and experimental studies are in progress to elucidate this last point.
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