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Abstract

A C₆₀-PEI-rGO hybrid was prepared by incorporating the fullerene (C₆₀) on the surface of PEI-modified reduced graphene oxide (rGO) and then used to modify the epoxy (EP) resin. Subsequently, the structure of GO and C₆₀-PEI-rGO hybrid were well characterized, showing that the C₆₀ was homogenously anchored on the surface of PEI-rGO. The flame retardancy, mechanical properties, and thermal stability of as-prepared C₆₀-PEI-rGO/EP nanocomposites were systematically investigated. Results show that the C₆₀-PEI-rGO hybrid exhibits high flame retarding efficiency for EP. Specifically, the time to ignition of epoxy increases from 68 to 89 s with the addition of 1.0 wt% C₆₀-PEI-rGO, which are unusual in polymer nanocomposites. In the meantime, the peaks of the heat release rate and total heat release of the modified epoxy reduce by 40.0% and 15.6%, respectively. The synergistic flame retardant mechanism of C₆₀-PEI-rGO to EP is attributed to its unique structure combining both the high efficiency in capturing free radicals by C₆₀, the barrier effect of layered rGO and increase of crosslinking density of epoxy. It is shown that the thermal stability and mechanical properties of epoxy are simultaneously improved with the addition of C₆₀-PEI-rGO. This work may pioneer a new and efficient method to fabricate fire retardant thermosetting resins with simultaneously other improved properties.
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Background

Polymeric materials have been widely applied in many fields such as construction, electrics and electronics, and coating, due to their lightweight, processing capability, and versatile properties [1–3]. However, most of polymers are flammable which often causes the safety concern [4]. Therefore, improving the flame retardancy of polymeric materials has been tremendously demanded.

Comparing with traditional flame retardants, the nanofillers not only exhibit the higher flame retarding efficiency for polymeric materials but also keep the other original properties, even endow polymeric materials with some special functionalities at the low additions, which have attracted much attentions [5, 6]. Thereinto, the flame retarding effects of nanofillers mainly embody with the remarkable decrease of typical parameter such as peak heat release rate (PHRR), total heat release (THR), and total smoke release (TSR), or an increase of limiting oxygen index (LOI).

However, it is found that the flame retarding efficiency of nanofillers on the thermoplastics and thermosetting resins are different. With regard to thermoplastic resins, the nanofillers can show a significant improvement on flame retardancy. For example, Gilman et al. incorporated the surfactant modified montmorillonite into polypropylene graft maleic anhydride (PPgMA) by melt blending, and the resultant PPgMA-MMT (4 wt%) nanocomposite had a 75% lower PHRR and 49% lower THR than the pure PPgMA, respectively only at the addition of 4 wt%, indicating the significant improvement on flame retardancy [7]. Also,
the similar remarkable improvements also were observed in poly(methylmethacrylate) (PMMA)/carbon nanotube and polypropylene (PP)/graphene nanocomposites [8–11]. These nanofillers also had been applied to modify thermosetting resins for improving their flame retardancy [12, 13].

However, it is noted that the flame retarding efficiencies of these nanofillers in thermosetting resins are not pronounced as theirs in thermoplastic resins. In our previous work, graphene oxide (GO) was incorporated to epoxy resin (EP), and the resultant nanocomposites only exhibited a decrease of 16% on the PHRR at the 1 wt% content of GO compare to that of EP [14]. Guo et al. showed the similar phenomenon in graphene/EP, which had a decrease of 23% on the PHRR of epoxy at the 1 wt% content of graphene compare to that of EP. The reasons behind this phenomenon can be explained by the effect of nanofillers on the crosslinking structure as well as the roles of nanofillers on combustion of polymer. On the one hand, due to the comparatively high crosslinking densities of thermosetting resins, the addition of nanofillers is difficult to significantly change the crosslinking density which plays a determinate role in improving the flame retardancy [15, 16]. On the other hand, the mechanism of nanofillers on flame retardancy of polymer is singular, which mainly depend on their barrier effect [17, 18] and then hard to exert high modified efficiency. Obviously, comparing with these attractive progresses in thermoplastics, the modified efficiencies of nanofillers in thermosetting resins needed to be further enhanced. Many efforts have been dedicated in modifying nanofillers with other flame retardants [12, 19]. For example, Hu and his coworkers modified graphene with octa-aminophenyl polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxanes (OapPOSS) to obtain the OapPOSS-rGO, which exhibits remarkable flame retardant effect on epoxy resin [20]. However, some important index such as time to ignition (t_{ig}) and time to peak of heat release rate are rarely reported, and the synergistic mechanism can be further studied.

Recently, fullerene (C_{60}) had been incorporated into polymer for improving the flame retardancy of polymer due to its high reactivity towards free radicals which can act as a radical trapping reagent to delay the thermooxidative degradation of polymer [21–24]. However, C_{60} nanoparticles tend to agglomeration in polymer due to its large specific surface area and strong Van de Waals, which leads to the low flame retardant efficiency. Because of the same chemical composition, C_{60} was synergy with other carbon nanofillers, it not only improves the dispersion of carbon nanofillers but also combines the radical absorption of C_{60} and the flame retardant effect of other carbon nanofillers such as the barrier effect of graphene, which further enhances the flame retardant efficiency of C_{60} [25–27]. Comparing with one dimension carbon nanomaterials such as carbon nanotube, graphene shows higher barrier efficiency due to its layered nanostructure and provides a more active platform to synergy with C_{60} [28]. Therefore, it would be interesting if these flame retarding mechanisms of C_{60} and graphene can be synergistically applied in polymer. Fang and his coworkers combined GO and C_{60} to obtain nanohybrid, and it largely improved the flame retardancy and thermal stability of HDPE [29]. However, to date, all these C_{60}-related nanomaterials were incorporated into thermoplastic resins, while no researcher investigates their flame retardant effect and mechanism in thermosetting resins.

Herein, we designed a graphene-related hybrid (C_{60}-PEI-rGO) consisting of graphene and fullerene (C_{60}) through a three-step reaction, and incorporated into epoxy resin. The loose lamellar and amino-rich structure of C_{60}-PEI-rGO may not only achieve the ideal dispersion of graphene and C_{60} in epoxy (EP) which will fully exert the radical absorption of C_{60}, barrier effect of graphene, and increase the crosslinking densities of the resultant nanocomposites, but also may improve other typical properties of the resultant nanocomposites. It is believed that this work may pioneer a new and efficient method to fabricate fire retardant thermosetting resins with simultaneously other improved properties.

**Methods**

**Materials**

Graphite (3000 mesh) was supplied by Aladdin Industrial Co. Ltd. (China). Sulfuric acid (H_{2}SO_{4}, 98%), sodium nitrate (NaNO_{3}), potassium permanganate (KMnO_{4}), hydrogen peroxide (H_{2}O_{2}, 30% aq.), ethanol, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), tolune, and acetone were commercial product with analytical grades and used without further purification. Distilled water was produced in our lab. C_{60} (purity > 99%) was bought from Henan Puyang Co. Ltd. Branched polyethlyamine (PEI, 50% aq.) was purchased from Sigma-Adrich with Mn of of 70,000. Diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A (DGEBA) was purchased from Shanghai Resin Factory Co. Ltd. (China). The curing agent diethyltoluenediamine (DETDA) was obtained from the Chongshun Chemical Co. Ltd. (China).

**Preparation of C_{60}-PEI-rGO**

Graphite oxide (GO) was prepared using a modified Hummer’s method from graphite powders as shown in the Additional file 1 [30, 31]. PEI-modified reduced graphene oxide (PEI-rGO) was prepared by the reaction between PEI and graphene oxide as shown in the Additional file 1. After that, PEI-rGO (150 mg) was dispersed in DMSO (300 mL) by ultrasonication for 30 min. The PEI-rGO/DMSO solution and 300 mg of
C$_{60}$ were added into the DMSO-toluene (350 mL, 4:3, $v/v$) solution by ultrasonication; then, the resultant mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 24 h after ultrasonication for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, the product was washed with toluene and ethanol sequentially at least three times followed by drying at 60 °C under vacuum for 12 h, designated as C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO. The preparation process of C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO is shown in Scheme 1.

**Preparation of EP Resin and Nanocomposites**

Appropriate amounts of DGEBA and DETDA with a weight ratio of 1:0.234 were blended at 100 °C for 15 min with vigorous stirring to obtain a light yellow liquid, which was EP prepolymer. And then, the mixture was thoroughly degassed in a vacuum oven at 110 °C for 30 min, followed by pouring it into a pre-heated (100 °C) “U”-type mold. Subsequently, the mold was put into an oven for curing and postcuring following the protocol of 120 °C/1 h + 180 °C/2.5 h and 190 °C/2 h, respectively; the resultant resin is a cured EP resin. Appropriate amounts of PEI-rGO, C$_{60}$, and C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO were respectively added into the mixture of EP prepolymer and ethanol by sonication for 30 min to form a black suspension, and then, the mixture was degassed to remove excess solvent at 60 °C in a vacuum oven. After that, the mixture was cast into a mold for curing and postcuring via the procedures of 120 °C/1 h + 180 °C/2.5 h and 190 °C/2 h, respectively. Finally, the resultant nanocomposites were demolded and coded as PEI-rGO1.0/EP, C$_{60}$1.0/EP C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO$n$/EP, respectively, where 1.0 and $n$ represent the weigh percent of used nanomaterial in the resultant nanocomposites ($n = 0.4, 0.6, 0.8$, and 1.0).

**Apparatus and Experimental Method**

The morphology and microstructure of as-prepared nanomaterials and nanocomposites were characterized by an Atomic Force Microscope (AFM) (Veeco Instruments, Nanoscope Multimode IIIa, USA), a Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) (JEOL JEM-2010, Japan), a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) (HITACHI, SU8010/EDX, Japan), a Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (AVATAR360N, USA). Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) of nanofillers were performed on a TA Instruments STA449C (USA) in the range from 25 to 800 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 °C/min, and epoxy and its composites were performed in the range from 25 to 800 °C under an air atmosphere with a heating rate of 10, 20, 30, and 40 °C/min. Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) was performed using TA DMA Q800 apparatus from TA Instruments (USA) from 25 to 250 °C with a heating rate of 3 °C/min at 1 Hz. The tensile properties were performed according to ASTM D638 with a constant speed of 5 mm/min using a load cell of 1 kN. LOI values were measured on a Stanton Redcraft Flame Meter (China) according to ASTM D2863/77. Flammability of the resins was characterized using a cone calorimeter performed in an
FTT device (UK) according to ISO 5660 with an incident flux of 35 kW/m² using a cone shape heater.

Results and Discussion

Characterization of GO, PEI-GO, and C₆₀-PEI-rGO

In general, GO is difficult to disperse well in commonly used organic solvents [32]. However, in the preparation of PEI-rGO and C₆₀-PEI-rGO, it is noteworthy that as-prepared PEI-rGO and C₆₀-PEI-rGO can readily disperse in ethanol and formed the stable colloidal suspension, which can be attributed to the high compatibility between PEI and ethanol. The result provides the favorable condition for the exfoliation and dispersion of GO in the preparation of graphene-based nanocomposites. Moreover, there is a color transformation from yellow GO in water to black PEI-rGO and C₆₀-PEI-rGO in ethanol, reflecting that the reduction of GO occurs.

Figure 1 shows the FTIR spectrum of GO, PEI-rGO, C₆₀-PEI-rGO, and C₆₀. After complexation with PEI, the intensity of H-bond peak at 3431 cm⁻¹ obviously decreases due to the partial reduction of GO by the PEI molecules, and the characteristic band at 1719 cm⁻¹ completely disappears, along with obvious weakening of two peaks at 1385 (O–H) and 1058 (C–O) cm⁻¹. The strong band at 1623 cm⁻¹ in GO is pertinent to the skeletal vibration of un-oxidized graphitic domains, which is replaced by a strong band at 1640 cm⁻¹ that is related to the formation of amide bonds [33, 34]. In addition, a new band at 1463 cm⁻¹ (C–N stretching vibration) appears in PEI-GO due to the coverage of PEI to GO. For C₆₀-PEI-rGO, four characteristic absorption peaks of C₆₀ at 1426, 1180, 574, and 525 cm⁻¹ and a new peak at 2973 cm⁻¹ (C₆₀–H) reflect the reaction between C₆₀ and PEI-rGO, since un-reacted C₆₀ were completely removed by washing the hybrid with toluene several times until the color of washed solution from aubergine to transparent under sonication [26].

Figure 2 shows the XPS spectra of the GO, PEI-rGO, and C₆₀-PEI-rGO. It can be seen that two sharp peaks at 286.7 and 532.6 eV are corresponding to C and O elements in GO and its hybrids, respectively. The new sharp peaks at 399.7 eV (PEI-rGO) and 400.1 eV (C₆₀-PEI-rGO) which correspond to N1s indicate the
formation of amide bonds after functionalization. The rough chemical composition of GO and its hybrids are also showed in Fig 2a. For PEI-rGO, the content of O decrease from 28.72 to 14.41 at.% in GO, which is attributed to the introduction of PEI. In case of \( \text{C}_{60}\)-PEI-rGO, content of O and N decrease to 7.74 at.% and 5.71 at.%, respectively, while the content of C reaches 86.55 at.%, which is an obvious evidence for \( \text{C}_{60}\) is introduced to PEI-rGO. It could roughly calculate that the weight ratio of \( \text{C}_{60}\) in \( \text{C}_{60}\)-PEI-rGO is ca. 45.4 wt% according to “Mixture Rule” (at.% has been change to wt% in calculation). In N1s spectrum of the PEI-rGO (Fig. 2b) and \( \text{C}_{60}\)-PEI-rGO (Fig. 2c), the N1s spectrum of PEI-rGO is fitted to three peaks at ca. 399.1 eV (accounting for 41.4%), 400 eV (accounting for 35%), and 400.7 eV (accounting for 23.6%), assigning to the primary amines, secondary amines, and tertiary amines, respectively. For \( \text{C}_{60}\)-PEI-rGO, the N content in tertiary amines and in secondary amines increase to 26.6% and 43.8%, respectively, while the N content in primary amines significantly decreases to 29.6%. Based on the increase of the N content in secondary amines and the decrease of the N content in primary amines, it shows that \( \text{C}_{60}\) mainly reacts with primary amines to produce secondary amines, and a small amount of \( \text{C}_{60}\) react with secondary amines to produce tertiary amines, which can be attributed to the steric effect of \( \text{C}_{60}\) and the chemical activity of amines.

The morphologies of GO, PEI-rGO, and \( \text{C}_{60}\)-PEI-rGO were investigated by AFM and TEM. As shown in Fig. 3, the size of GO covers 0.2–1 μm and the thickness is ca. 0.9 nm, which indicates GO had been exfoliated and likely to be in form of single layer nanosheets. After the coverage of PEI, the thickness of the PEI-rGO nanosheet increases to ca. 1.5 nm with uniform surface height distribution. PEI molecules are absorbed on both sides of a GO sheet, that is, an average thickness ca. 0.3 nm. As shown in Fig. 4, PEI-rGO nanosheet exhibits a typically smooth layered structure, while it is interesting to find that the \( \text{C}_{60}\)-PEI-rGO has a loose lamellar structure and ca. 20 nm \( \text{C}_{60}\) aggregations are uniformly distributed on the surface of PEI-rGO. It can be expected that this loose lamellar structure not only prevents the re-stacking of hybrid nanosheets during the drying process and leads to the uniform dispersion of hybrid nanosheets in polymer, but also improves the physical interaction between \( \text{C}_{60}\)-PEI-rGO and EP matrix.

The TGA curves of GO and its hybrids are shown in Fig. 5. The curve of GO shows ca. 4 wt% of weight loss below 150 °C due to the desorption of the absorbed water, and ca. 42.9 wt% of weight loss from 200 to 600 °C which can be attributed to decomposition of oxygen-containing groups. In the case of PEI-rGO, it can be seen that the weight loss ca. 15.6 wt% occurs from 100 to 190 °C which due to the decomposition of absorbed
water, and the weight loss stage in 270 to 470 °C (ca. 26.7 wt%) which primarily originates from the decomposition of PEI and more stable oxygen-containing groups. For C₆₀, it shows high thermal stability in nitrogen with a mass residue of 99.3% at 600 °C. With regard to C₆₀-PEI-rGO, the degradation rate obviously decreases, and the mass residue at 600 °C increases to 79.4%, which shows the highest thermal stability among GO and hybrids. By comparing the mass residue of PEI-rGO, C₆₀, and C₆₀-PEI-rGO, the weight ratio of C₆₀ in C₆₀-PEI-rGO could be calculated, ca. 55.2 wt%; this result has 10 wt% difference with the XPS result (45.4 wt%), but still can consider the weight ratio of C₆₀ in C₆₀-PEI-rGO is ca. 50 wt%.

Based on the above results, C₆₀-PEI-rGO exhibits high compatibility with ethanol, leading to better dispersion in EP matrix than C₆₀ or GO alone in EP is successfully prepared by chemically bonding PEI and C₆₀, and it will finally affect the performance of the resultant nanocomposites.

The Structure of C₆₀-PEI-rGO/EP Nanocomposites
In general, the structure determines the performance of a material. Therefore, it is necessary to clarify the structure of the nanocomposite which involves the macrostructure such as the dispersion of nanofillers and microstructure such as the interfacial interactions and cross-linking density of matrix.

Functionalizing GO with the group is a wildly used approach to increase the interfacial interactions between...
the GO or graphene and polymer [35]. Herein, the amine groups in PEI molecules are designed to be introduced on the surface of GO, providing a guarantee for outstanding flame retardancy and integrated properties. Neat EP, PEI-rGO1.0/EP, and C_{60}-PEI-rGO0.6/EP can be observed by SEM images of their fractured surfaces, as shown in Fig. 6. It can be seen that both PEI-rGO and C_{60}-PEI-rGO are well dispersed in the EP matrix without any significant aggregation, and show high roughness, indicating the good dispersion of PEI-rGO and C_{60}-PEI-rGO and strong interfacial interactions with EP.

The modulus of the rubber plateau, a parameter for polymer networks, is general indication of the degree of interaction between the polymeric system and the fillers [36]. As Fig. 7 shows, the C_{60}-PEI-rGO/EP and PEI-rGO1.0/EP nanocomposite all exhibit higher modulus of the rubber plateau compare to that of neat EP, indicating the strong interfacial interaction between EP and hybrids. It is noted that the modulus of the rubber plateau of C_{60}-PEI-rGO1.0/EP nanocomposite is higher than that of PEI-rGO1.0/EP. It can be explained that the amine groups of PEI bond with EP during cure process and act as the coupling points, which increase the cross-linking densities of nanocomposites, and besides that, for C_{60}-PEI-rGO, it not only possesses the amine groups on the surfaces of GO but also has the rough surfaces which have stronger physical interaction with
EP as discussed above. Generally, the addition of filler usually causes the loose stacking of the polymer chains and finally leads to weak interfacial interactions between fillers and polymer [37]. However, in this work, the amine groups in PEI-rGO and C_{60}-PEI-rGO tend to shorten the distance among cross-linking points which result in the increase of cross-linking density of PEI-rGO1.0/EP and C_{60}-PEI-rGO/EP. Moreover, the rough surfaces of C_{60}-PEI-rGO can enhance the interfacial interactions between C_{60}-PEI-rGO and EP by the physical interactions.

**Flame Retardancy and Mechanism of Cured C_{60}-PEI-rGO/EP Nanocomposites**

Cone calorimetry and LOI are two effective methods to evaluate the flame retardancy of polymeric materials. Figure 8 shows the curves from cone calorimeter for cured EP and its nanocomposites, and the typical parameters and LOI values are summarized in Table 1. It can be seen that the incorporation of C_{60}-PEI-rGO to EP resin can significantly slow down the combustion process. Specifically, the t_{ign} and times to PHRR of C_{60}-PEI-rGO/EP significantly increase. Especially, 21-s increment of t_{ign} and 28-s increment of times to PHRR occur in C_{60}-PEI-rGO1.0/EP nanocomposite compare to those of neat EP, respectively. Meanwhile, C_{60}-PEI-rGO/EP nanocomposites exhibit the remarkable lower PHRR and the slight lower THR compare to those of neat EP. Thereinto, C_{60}-PEI-rGO1.0/EP displays 40.0% and 15.6% reduction in the PHRR and THR, respectively, comparing to those of neat EP. In addition, the LOI value of epoxy resin increases with the addition of C_{60}-PEI-rGO, specifically, the cured C_{60}-PEI-rGO0.8/EP nanocomposite has the maximum LOI value, 30.1%, which is about 1.18 times that of neat EP resin. Moreover, the LOI value of PEI-rGO 1.0/EP and C_{60}1.0/EP are 27.5 and 26.3, respectively, which are lower than those of C_{60}-PEI-rGO1.0/EP. Obviously, the C_{60}-PEI-rGO exhibits high flame retarding efficiency for EP.

In addition, it is noticeable that C_{60}-PEI-rGO1.0/EP has a better flame retardancy than those of PEI-rGO1.0/EP and C_{60}1.0/EP, further demonstrating that a remarkable synergetic effect between the functionalized GO and C_{60} on the enhanced flame retardancy can be exerted through coherent functionalizing of C_{60} on the surface of GO by PEI. As described above, the incorporation of C_{60}-PEI-rGO into EP resin increases the crosslinking density, which is an important factor that leads to the improved flame retardancy of C_{60}-PEI-rGO/EP nanocomposites. UL-94 vertical burning results of samples are given in Additional file 1: Table S1. The flame propagation speed is slightly decreased with the addition of C_{60}-PEI-rGO. However, no samples can achieve a V-1 or V-0.

In order to further confirm the effect of C_{60}-PEI-rGO on the flame retardancy, the thermal-oxidation stability of cured C_{60}-PEI-rGO/EP and EP resins were evaluated because the flame retardancy of a polymer is directly related to whether the thermal-oxidative degradation step proceeds easily or not. In detail, thermal degradation kinetics of original and modified EP resins were calculated and compared by Kissinger’s method [38]. The thermo-gravimetric kinetics of a material can be calculated by Eq. 1:

$$\ln(\beta/T^2) = (-E_a/R)(1/T) - \ln[ARn(1-\alpha)n^{-1}E_a]$$

where $\beta$ is the heating rate at the maximum degradation rate (K/min), $T$ is the temperature at the maximum degradation rate (K), $E_a$ is the activation energy (J/mol), $R$ is the molar gas constant (= 8.314 J/mol K), $A$ is the pre-exponential factor (1/s), $n$ is the decomposition order, and $\alpha$ is the fraction of decomposition.

Four kinds of heating rates (10, 20, 30, and 40 K/min) were introduced to study the thermal degradation kinetics. Figure 9 shows TG and DTG curves of cured EP and its nanocomposites. Here, stage 1 and stage 2 are related to the decomposition of the macromolecular chains, and the oxidation of char residue, respectively. The relevant data from TG analyses of cured EP and cured EP nanocomposites at different heating rates in an air atmosphere are shown in Table 2. The activation energy ($E_a$) can be obtained from the slope ($-E_a/R$) of $\ln(\beta/T^2)$ vs. $1/T$ plot (Fig. 10), and the calculated data are summarized in Table 3. The addition of C_{60}-PEI-rGO to EP resin significantly changes the value of $E_a$ at 1st degradation stage to varying degrees, and the increments increase when continuing to increase the loading of C_{60}-PEI-rGO. However, the value of $E_a$ is while slight varies at 2nd stage.

The result indicates that the initial thermal degradation that relate to the decomposition of the macromolecular chains becomes difficult with the addition of
C₆₀-PEI-rGO. It can be explained that C₆₀ exhibits high efficiency on capturing radicals which were produced by the decomposition of the macromolecular chains, and it needs higher energy to keep decomposition which leads to the delay of decomposition. Meanwhile, no remarkable improvements on the value of Eₐ at 1st degradation stage by adding C₆₀ alone are observed, which is due to the low specific interfacial area caused by poor dispersion. Obviously, the increased activation energy indicates that the combustion of epoxy resin is delayed and suppressed with the incorporation of C₆₀-PEI-rGO. However, as the digital images and SEM images for the char shown in Additional file 1: Figure S2 and S3, respectively, the weight and microstructure of char are not obviously changed by incorporating C₆₀-PEI-rGO, which is consistent with the results of cone calorimetry, indicating that the formation of char is not influenced by incorporating C₆₀-PEI-rGO.

Based on the above discussion, a flame retardant mechanism is proposed as shown in Fig. 11. On the one hand, as discussed on the structure of EP and its nanocomposites, the amine groups in C₆₀-PEI-rGO tend to shorten the distance among cross-linking points and increase the cross-linking density of the resultant nanocomposites which plays a positive role in improving the flame retardancy of EP. On the other hand, the synergy effect of C₆₀ and graphene also plays the positive role in improving the flame retardancy of EP. Firstly, C₆₀ aggregations with the size of 20 nm anchored evenly on the surface of PEI-rGO and the resultant large specific surface area can take them full use on trapping radicals and increases the activation energy of thermo-oxidative decomposition of EP chains. This effect delays the thermo-oxidative decomposition of the resultant nanocomposites, which reflects in some key index such as the time to ignition. Secondly, C₆₀-PEI-rGO which possesses a layered structure acts as a physical barrier that hinders the transfer of volatile gases and heat. Finally, the combustion of nanocomposite is eased up and then the flame retardancy of C₆₀-PEI-rGO/EP nanocomposites can be significantly improved.

**Table 1** Selected cone calorimeter data for pure EP and its nanocomposites

| Samples                | t<sub>ign</sub> (s) | PHRR (kW/m<sup>2</sup>) | PHRR reduction (%) | THR (MJ/m<sup>2</sup>) | Time to PHRR (s) | LOI (%) |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| EP                     | 68                  | 1479                    | –                  | 101.9                    | 149             | 25.5    |
| PEI-rGO1.0/EP          | 65                  | 1268                    | 14.27              | 97.8                     | 147             | 27.5    |
| C₆₀/EP                 | 72                  | 1341                    | 9.33               | 100.5                    | 143             | 26.3    |
| C₆₀-PEI-rGO0.4/EP      | 76                  | 1270                    | 14.13              | 95.1                     | 141             | 28.6    |
| C₆₀-PEI-rGO0.6/EP      | 82                  | 1085                    | 26.63              | 91.3                     | 163             | 29.2    |
| C₆₀-PEI-rGO0.8/EP      | 84                  | 937                     | 36.65              | 90.2                     | 163             | 30.1    |
| C₆₀-PEI-rGO1.0/EP      | 89                  | 887                     | 40.03              | 85.8                     | 177             | 29.8    |

**Fig. 9** TG and DTG curves of cured EP resin, PEI-rGO1.0/EP nanocomposite, and C₆₀-PEI-rGO1.0/EP nanocomposite in an air atmosphere with different heating rates
The Other Typical Properties of C₆₀-PEI-rGO/EP Nanocomposites

Nowadays, there is a trend towards developing novel flame retardancy materials with simultaneously improved comprehensive properties rather than only attractive flame retardancy [4]. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate other typical properties of C₆₀-PEI-rGO/EP nanocomposites.

Figure 12 shows the tensile strength (Tₛ) and Young’s modulus (Yₑ) of cured EP resin and resultant nanocomposites. It is attractive to find out that all C₆₀-PEI-rGO/EP nanocomposites have higher values of tensile strength, and Young’s modulus than those of EP resin, demonstrating that C₆₀-PEI-rGO/EP nanocomposites have the significantly improved tensile properties. Young’s modulus of C₆₀-PEI-rGO1.0/EP reaches 2810 MPa, which is 1.35 times of EP (2081 MPa). Generally, the rough fractured surface is considered as a reflection on strong interfacial interaction between the polymer and graphene, which will lead to a high Young’s modulus [37, 39]. As shown in Fig. 6, it can be seen that PEI-rGO1.0/EP, C₆₀-PEI-rGO0.6/EP and C₆₀-PEI-rGO0.8/EP nanocomposites exhibit rougher fractured surfaces comparing with the neat EP. These results indicate that the stress can be effectively transferred between graphene layers and EP matrix through the interface phase, and the graphene layers fully exert their high stiff in nature and divert the course of crack propagation when exerting the load on the nanocomposites. The stronger force is needed to offset the absorbed energy by graphene layers and thus leads to the higher Young’s modulus of nanocomposites. However, the biggest incremental improvement of tensile strength occurs in C₆₀-PEI-rGO0.8/EP, and the value up to 77.4 MPa, which is 1.22 times of cured EP. This can be explained that the high viscosity of C₆₀-PEI-rGO1.0/EP leads to the difficulty on completely eliminating the organic solution which plays a negative role on the tensile strength of the resultant nanocomposite.

| Sample                  | β = 10 K/min | β = 20 K/min | β = 30 K/min | β = 40 K/min | β = 50 K/min |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                         | Tₘₐₓ₁ (°C)   | Tₘₐₓ₂ (°C)   | Tₘₐₓ₁ (°C)   | Tₘₐₓ₂ (°C)   | Tₘₐₓ₁ (°C)   |
| EP                      | 390          | 587          | 400          | 605          | 405          |
| PEI-rGO1.0/EP           | 390          | 587          | 400          | 605          | 405          |
| C₆₀-PEI-rGO0.4/EP       | 364          | 550          | 384          | 584          | 394          |
| C₆₀-PEI-rGO0.6/EP       | 380          | 560          | 390          | 588          | 398          |
| C₆₀-PEI-rGO0.8/EP       | 386          | 565          | 392          | 587          | 398          |
| C₆₀-PEI-rGO1.0/EP       | 392          | 567          | 400          | 606          | 407          |
Figures 7 and 13 show DMA curves of cured EP and its nanocomposites, the storage modulus ($E_s$) of cured EP significantly increase with the loading of C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO, especially at lower temperature. The highest value of $E_s$ (3125 MPa) occurs in C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO1.0/EP, which is increased by 53.7% compared to that of neat EP (2039 MPa) at 30 °C. As the same trend as the $E_s$, the $T_g$ value of the C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO/EP nanocomposite shifts towards higher temperature and the $T_g$ value of C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO1.0/EP is up to 191.7 °C which is an increment of 11.3 °C compared to that of neat EP. Meanwhile, PEI-rGO1.0/EP has slightly increased $T_g$ and significantly increased $E_s$ compared to neat EP, which accords with the results in other reports about functional graphene polymeric nanocomposites [39]. These result indicate that the functionalization of GO exhibits a positive effect on the properties of resultant nanocomposites. It is noted that the improvement of C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO1.0/EP is more effective than that of PEI-rGO1.0/EP, which is attributed to physical interaction between C$_{60}$ aggregations and EP matrix.

TG/DTG profiles for cured EP and its nanocomposites are shown in Fig. 14. The temperature ($T_{onset}$) at 5 wt% of the weight loss of the sample and the temperature

| Sample               | Region | Activation energy $E_a$ (KJ/mol) | Correlation coefficient $r$ |
|----------------------|--------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| EP                   | Stage 1| 138.26                          | 0.9964                      |
|                      | Stage 2| 98.81                           | 0.9998                      |
| PEI-rGO1.0/EP        | Stage 1| 149.26                          | 0.9978                      |
|                      | Stage 2| 93.12                           | 0.9989                      |
| C$_{60}$1.0/EP       | Stage 1| 162.33                          | 0.9992                      |
|                      | Stage 2| 111.59                          | 0.9996                      |
| C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO0.4/EP| Stage 1| 155.80                          | 0.9958                      |
|                      | Stage 2| 101.93                          | 0.9980                      |
| C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO0.6/EP| Stage 1| 192.72                          | 0.9994                      |
|                      | Stage 2| 108.13                          | 0.9942                      |
| C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO0.8/EP| Stage 1| 216.66                          | 0.9893                      |
|                      | Stage 2| 100.40                          | 0.9988                      |
| C$_{60}$-PEI-rGO1.0/EP| Stage 1| 224.31                          | 0.9829                      |
|                      | Stage 2| 105.5                           | 0.9991                      |
(\(T_{\text{max}}\)) at maximum weight loss rate of samples are given. It can be seen that the thermal degradation process of neat EP has three stages, which mainly correspond to the vaporization of small molecules, the decomposition of the macromolecular chains, and the oxidation of char residue, respectively [20]. In case of PEI-rGO1.0/EP, the \(T_{\text{onset}}\) (287 °C) is lower than that of neat EP (299 °C), while \(T_{\text{onset}}\) is not significantly changed, which could be due to the thermally unstable of PEI-rGO. For C601.0/EP and C60-PEI-rGO/EP nanocomposites, \(T_{\text{onset}}\) and \(T_{\text{max}}\) are shifting to high temperature. Specially, C60-PEI-rGO1.0/EP exhibits the best thermal stability, the 28 °C increment of \(T_{\text{onset}}\) and 16 °C increment of \(T_{\text{max}}\) compared to that neat EP are observed. While for C601.0/EP, the \(T_{\text{onset}}\) increases by 16 °C and has no significant change on \(T_{\text{onset}}\) which could be due to the highly effective free radical-trapping effect of C60. However, the \(T_{\text{onset}}\) and \(T_{\text{onset}}\) of C601.0/EP are lower than those of C60-PEI-rGO/EP at equal content of nanofillers, which shows that C60-PEI-rGO is more effective than C60 or PEI-rGO alone in enhancing the thermal oxidation stability of EP. As described above, on the one hand, the layered structure of modified GO nanosheet increases the crosslinking densities of the resultant nanocomposites. Besides that, it creates a “Tortuous path” to form a gas barrier in degradation and provides a platform on which C60 could anchor evenly by chemical bond; the distribution of C60 in EP has improved. On the other hand, C60 acts as a radical trapping reagent during the process of degradation that delays the thermo-oxidative degradation of EP.

Layered nano-materials, such as graphene, clay, and layered double hydroxides, have been considered as potential multi-functional flame retardants. Comparing these nanomaterials, (i) the C60-PEI-rGO developed herein exhibits highly modified efficiency on flame retardancy of EP by combining multi-effects such as increase of crosslinking density, barrier effect of layered structure, and radical absorption of C60; and (ii) it endows modified resin with outstanding thermal stability and mechanical properties. Therefore, this work provides a new template to fabricate high flame retardant thermosetting resin with improved comprehensive properties.

**Conclusions**

C60 was chemically anchored on the surface of PEI modified GO, and the resultant hybrid (C60-PEI-rGO) was successfully prepared. C60 aggregations with the size of ca. 20 nm are uniformly distributed on the surface of PEI-rGO, and C60-PEI-rGO exhibits a loose lamellar and amino-rich structure. The C60-PEI-rGO shows high flame retarding efficiency for EP. Specially, C60-PEI-rGO1.0/EP shows 40.0 and 15.6% reduction in the PHRR and THR compared to neat EP, respectively. More importantly, \(t_{\text{ign}}\) and times to PHRR of C60-PEI-rGO1.0/EP nanocomposite procrastinate for 21 s and 28 s compared to that of neat EP, respectively. This C60-PEI-rGO hybrid increases the crosslinking densities of the resultant
nanocomposites and acts as a physical barrier that hinder the transfer of volatile gases and heat due to the layered structure; meanwhile, C_{60} aggregations are uniformly dispersed in EP resin by anchoring on the surface of PEI-rGO, acting as a radical trapping reagent which delays the thermo-oxidative degradation of the resultant nanocomposites. Moreover, it is noted that the C_{60}-PEI-rGO not only is a high effective flame retardant but also is a potential nanofiller for fabricating high-performance thermosetting resins.
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