Association of Dysphagia With Supratentorial Lesions in Patients With Middle Cerebral Artery Stroke
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Objective  To determine the supratentorial area associated with poststroke dysphagia, we assessed the diffusion tensor images (DTI) in subacute stroke patients with supratentorial lesions.

Methods  We included 31 patients with a first episode of infarction in the middle cerebral artery territory. Each subject underwent brain DTI as well as a videofluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS) and patients divided were into the dysphagia and non-dysphagia groups. Clinical dysphagia scale (CDS) scores were compared between the two groups. The corticospinal tract volume (TV), fractional anisotropy (FA) and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values were calculated for 11 regions of interest in the supratentorial area—primary motor cortex, primary somatosensory cortex, supplementary motor cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, orbitofrontal cortex, parieto-occipital cortex, insular cortex, posterior limb of the internal capsule, thalamus, and basal ganglia (putamen and caudate nucleus). DTI parameters were compared between the two groups.

Results  Among the 31 subjects, 17 were diagnosed with dysphagia by VFSS. Mean TVs were similar across the two groups. Significant inter-group differences were observed in two DTI values: the FA value in the contra-lesional primary motor cortex and the ADC value in the bilateral posterior limbs of the internal capsule (all p<0.05).

Conclusion  The FA value in the primary motor cortex on the contra-lesional side and the ADC value in the bilateral PLIC can be associated with dysphagia in middle cerebral artery stroke.
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INTRODUCTION

Swallowing is a complex physiological process involving voluntary and reflexive motor activity, sensorimotor integration, salivation, and visceral regulation [1]. Swallowing abnormalities are a common functional impairment after acute stroke, affecting up to 50% of the patients [2]. Post-stroke dysphagia may cause severe complications, such as aspiration pneumonia, malnutrition, dehydration, and fatal outcomes [3]. Despite the clinical importance of swallowing, neither an anatomical region nor the pathophysiology of dysphagia has been clearly identified. Thus, much effort has been made to elucidate the regions associated with swallowing function and to evaluate the factors affecting long-term outcomes of dysphagia. Although the medulla is known to have a role in dysphagia, there is insufficient information about the supratentorial region involved in swallowing. Gonzalez-Fernandez et al. [4] reported that the primary somatosensory, motor, and motor supplementary areas, orbitofrontal cortex, basal ganglia, and internal capsule are associated with dysphagia in acute supratentorial ischemic stroke patients.

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is a well-established magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) method that measures both the integrity and direction of tracts using anisotropic diffusion; i.e., diffusion of water molecules along the axonal tracts within high density white-matter nerve fibers [5]. It provides valuable information about the microstructural changes in the tissue by applying a motion probing gradient in some directions for in vivo monitoring of potentially-restricted water molecules [6].

The two most commonly used indices of water diffusion in the tissue are the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) and the directional dependence of diffusion (diffusion anisotropy), expressed as fractional anisotropy (FA) [7]. ADC measures the general diffusivity of the water in brain tissue; brighter regions indicate the areas where water molecules diffuse faster than in darker regions. ADC values increase as a result of accumulation of intracellular or extracellular water, as well as when cells proliferate [8]. FA describes the degree of functional anisotropy of the tissue, which represents diffusivity using the orientation of restricting barriers formed by glia and has been used to indicate white matter tracts [8,9]. FA decreases with disruption of fiber tracts, i.e., demyelization or loss of axonal integrity [9,10] and increases with axonal sprouting [11]. Therefore, ADC and FA may help to understand cellular and axonal remodeling in the post-stroke setting.

We speculated that there may be lesional differences in patients with dysphagia, since swallowing is a complex process involving not only the brainstem, but also the cerebral cortex. In this study, we investigated the DTI parameters, such as corticospinal tract volume (TV), FA, and ADC, in subacute stroke patients with supratentorial lesions. These data were used to determine the supratentorial regions associated with post-stroke dysphagia, and to verify the microstructural changes that cannot be found on conventional brain MRI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

A retrospective chart review was performed at Konkuk University Medical Center. From March 2008 to June 2012, a total of 155 stroke patients underwent a video-fluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS). Only those with middle cerebral artery (MCA) lesions were included in the current study. Exclusion criteria were (1) subarachnoid hemorrhage; (2) previous stroke or swallowing disorders; (3) concomitant lesions in the brainstem or cerebellum; (4) other diseases that lead to dysphagia, such as multiple sclerosis, oropharyngeal structural damage, dementia [12], or neurodegenerative disorders [13,14]; (5) decreased consciousness, poor cooperation for VFSS, or inability to maintain a sitting position for VFSS; (6) contraindication for MRI; or (7) refusal of DTI. The final sample included 31 MCA stroke patients who had undergone DTI within 6 weeks of a stroke. The Korean version of the Western Aphasia Battery [15] was used to detect aphasia, and the line bisection test [16] and Albert test [17] were used to detect hemispatial neglect. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Konkuk University Medical Center (No. KUH1180010).

Swallowing function test

VFSS, clinical dysphagia scale (CDS), and diet level were assessed on admission. VFSS was performed by an experienced rehabilitation physician, assisted by an occupational therapist and a radiologic technician in the fluoroscopic laboratory. Subjects were seated upright in a wheelchair, and the fluoroscopic X-ray tube was focused in a lateral plane and the placement was verified.
Each subject swallowed two 5 mL and then two 10 mL dysphagia diet boluses (mixture of Solotop-HD, barium sulfate powder for suspension). Dysphagia diet level was based on the study by Han et al. [18], which suggested that dysphagia diet should be divided into four classes based on the level of viscosity with reference values of 1,000, 100, and 10 cP by a viscometer and 1, 3, and 4 cm by the line spread test. In the present study, we used the line spread test for dysphagia diet for VFSS. Dysphagia diet levels were defined as follows. Level 1, <1 cm by the line spread test, 3 g of thickener (Toromi-up; Nisshin OilliO, Tokyo, Japan) added to 100 mL of water; level 2, 1–3 cm by the line spread test, 2 g of thickener (Toromi-up) added to 100 mL of water; level 3, 3–4 cm by the line spread test, 1 g of thickener (Toromi-up) added to 100 mL of water; level 4, >4 cm by the line spread test, 0.7 g of thickener (Toromi-up) added to 100 mL of water; level 5, water. Lip closure, bolus formation, mastication, and oral transit time were measured in the oral phase. Normal oral transit time was defined as less than 1 second. In the pharyngeal phase, laryngeal elevation, cricopharyngeal dysfunction, oronasal regurgitation, residues in the valleculum and pyriform sinus, pharyngeal transit time, and aspiration status were observed. Residues in the valleculum and pyriform sinus less than 10% were considered normal; normal pharyngeal transit time was defined as less than 1 second. Aspiration was defined when the bolus passed through the vocal folds. Furthermore, in the esophageal phase, the esophageal relaxation status was examined. The results of the initial examination were then reviewed, and dysphagia was confirmed if abnormal findings were apparent during any one of the oral, pharyngeal, or esophageal phases. Subjects were divided into two groups according to the VFSS findings (dysphagia group and non-dysphagia group).

The CDS (Appendix 1) was used to quantify the clinical severity of dysphagia. The CDS has been confirmed as a quantitative clinical tool that reflects the VFSS findings well, and it can be adapted to various patients with dysphagia irrespective of the causal disorders [19].

**DTI analysis**

Raw image data were transferred in the DICOM format. All DTI images were corrected for eddy current-induced image distortions using FSL software (The Analysis Group, FMRIB, Oxford, UK; http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). DTI analyses were performed by an experienced rehabilitation medicine physician using the DTI studio (https://www.mristudio.org). All parameters were measured twice, and results were averaged. To reconstruct the corticospinal tract (CST), the first ROI was drawn free-hand in the posterior limb of the internal capsule, through which motor fibers descend; the second ROI was drawn in the basis pontis of the lower pons, using the “AND” operation [20,21]. The CST volume was calculated by the number of voxels in the reconstructed CST.

FA and ADC values were measured for manually-identified and atlas-based 11 ROIs (Fig. 1). These ROIs were defined after a literature review to identify the areas that have been implicated in swallowing function. We chose to include the areas whose function is unclear or whose involvement in swallowing has been questioned, in addition to the areas that have already been implicated in swallowing function. Polygonal ROIs were drawn on the slice of the color map or FA map, according to the previously defined criteria.

To standardize and unify the boundaries of the ROIs, two raters devised the training sessions. The ROIs were drawn after obtaining consensus about the boundaries of ROIs using a few selected images. The first author (rater A) manually investigated the ROIs, and 10 cases were randomly selected to determine the inter-rater reliability of the measurements. An experienced neuroradiologist, with an extensive background in neuroanatomy, participated as the other rater (rater B) and conducted the same drawing process while also being blinded to the diagnosis, gender, age and severity of stroke.

Intraclass correlation coefficients were calculated for the primary somatosensory cortex (PSC), primary mo-
tor cortex (PMC), supplementary motor cortex (SMC), anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), parieto-occipital cortex (POC), insular cortex (IC), posterior limb of the internal capsule (PLIC), thalamus, and basal ganglia, from a subset of 10 cases. Although the sample size was small, there was good inter-rater reliability. For the ROIs, the intraclass correlation coefficients were 0.973 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.90–0.99) for the PSC, 0.96 (95% CI, 0.84–0.99) for the PMC, 0.65 (95% CI, 0.71–0.90) for the SMC, 0.90 (95% CI, 0.67–0.98) for the ACC, 0.58 (95% CI, 0.29–0.88) for the OFC, 0.93 (95% CI, 0.74–0.98) for the POC, 0.89 (95% CI, 0.62–0.97) for the IC, 0.93 (95% CI, 0.75–0.98) for the PLIC, 0.67 (95% CI, 0.12–0.91) for the thalamus, and 0.75 (95% CI, 0.28–0.93) for the basal ganglia. The measurements obtained by rater A were used as the final measured value.

DTI parameters were compared between the two groups and were assessed for correlation with clinical dysphagia parameters.

**Statistical analysis**

For comparison between the dysphagia and non-dysphagia groups, clinical factors, including age, duration of VFSS, duration of DTI, initial CDS score, and initial MI, were analyzed using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test. Sex, lesion side, aphasia, and neglect were analyzed by Pearson chi-square test. Mean FA and ADC values were compared between the two groups for each of the 11 ROIs. The critical value for statistical significance was set at p=0.05. Data analyses were performed using SPSS.
RESULTS

Demographic characteristics

A total of 31 patients with first episode MCA infarction were included (19 males, 12 females; mean age, 61.1±9.42 years). The dysphagia group included 5 women and 12 men, and the non-dysphagia group included 7 women and 7 men. Overall, the mean ages for the dysphagia and non-dysphagia groups were 62.88±9.53 years and 58.93±9.21 years, respectively.

Clinical characteristics

Among the 31 patients, 17 were diagnosed with dysphagia using VFSS. Right-sided lesions were observed in 13 patients (76%) of the dysphagia group and 6 patients (43%) of the non-dysphagia group. No group differences were observed with respect to the number of days from stroke onset to DTI, number of days from stroke onset to VFSS, Mini-Mental Status Examination, or frequency of aphasia or neglect (Table 1).

DTI parameters

The mean TVs on the ipsilesional side were 837.29±1,108.88 in the dysphagia group and 838.21±825.84 in the non-dysphagia group. Mean TVs on the contralesional side were 3,052.71±1,272.24 in the dysphagia group and 2,467.29±879.53 in the non-dysphagia group (Table 2). There were significant intergroup differences in the following four DTI values: FA values in the contra-lesional primary motor cortex (0.41±0.04 in the dysphagia group vs. 0.45±0.03 in the non-dysphagia group); ADC values in the bilateral posterior limbs of the internal capsule (ipsilesional side, 0.71±0.15 in the dysphagia group vs. 0.59±0.13 in the non-dysphagia group; contra-lesional side, 0.64±0.05 in the dysphagia group vs. 0.60±0.05 in the non-dysphagia group; all p<0.05) (Table 3).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the dysphagia and non-dysphagia groups

|                      | Dysphagia       | Non-dysphagia  | p-value |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|
| Sex (female:male)    | 5:12            | 7:7            | 0.288   |
| Age (yr)             | 62.88±9.53      | 58.93±9.21     | 0.253   |
| Time intervals (day) |                 |                |         |
| From stroke to DTI   | 44.47±21.71     | 37.29±17.23    | 0.257   |
| From stroke to VFSS  | 34.24±23.49     | 31.07±18.75    | 0.905   |
| Lesion side (right:left) | 13:4           | 6:8            | 0.075   |
| Aphasia              | 5 (29.41)       | 6 (42.86)      | 0.436   |
| Neglect              | 7 (41.18)       | 4 (28.57)      | 0.465   |
| MMSE                 | 18.82±8.92      | 17.64±10.10    | 0.735   |
| Motricity index      |                 |                |         |
| Affected limb        | 46.97±38.25     | 35.68±30.10    | 0.460   |
| Dysphagia scale      |                 |                |         |
| Clinical dysphagia scale | 34.29±19.08  | 6.36±14.27     | 0.028*  |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number (%). DTI, diffusion tensor imaging; VFSS, videofluoroscopic swallowing study; MMSE, Mini-Mental Status Examination. Statistics were analyzed by Mann-Whitney U test or Pearson chi-square test or Fisher exact test. *p<0.05.

Table 2. Comparison of volume of the corticospinal tract between the dysphagia and non-dysphagia groups

|                  | Dysphagia   | Non-dysphagia | p-value |
|------------------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| Lesion side      | 837.29±1,108.88 | 838.21±825.84 | 0.558   |
| Contra-lesional side | 3,052.71±1,272.24 | 2,467.29±879.53 | 0.156   |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. Statistics were analyzed by Mann-Whitney U test.
Table 3. Comparison of the diffusion tensor parameters in ROIs between the dysphagia and non-dysphagia groups

| ROI                        | Side         | Subject       | ADC   | p-value     | FA   | p-value     |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------|-------------|------|-------------|
| Primary somatosensory cortex | Lesion       | Dysphagia     | 0.64±0.21 | 0.592 | 0.35±0.09 | 0.310 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.64±0.17 |       | 0.36±0.12 |       |
|                           | Contra-lesion| Dysphagia     | 0.55±0.04 | 0.938 | 0.42±0.04 | 0.443 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.55±0.06 |       | 0.43±0.06 |       |
| Primary motor cortex      | Lesion       | Dysphagia     | 0.66±0.16 | 0.605 | 0.39±0.10 | 0.842 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.63±0.05 |       | 0.42±0.04 |       |
|                           | Contra-lesion| Dysphagia     | 0.61±0.06 | 0.369 | 0.41±0.04 | 0.014*|
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.61±0.04 |       | 0.45±0.03 |       |
| Supplementary motor cortex | Lesion       | Dysphagia     | 0.67±0.16 | 0.937 | 0.35±0.07 | 0.827 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.63±0.05 |       | 0.35±0.05 |       |
|                           | Contra-lesion| Dysphagia     | 0.61±0.08 | 0.472 | 0.39±0.09 | 0.796 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.63±0.07 |       | 0.38±0.05 |       |
| Anterior cingulate cortex | Lesion       | Dysphagia     | 0.78±0.29 | 0.984 | 0.26±0.07 | 0.824 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.70±0.08 |       | 0.27±0.05 |       |
|                           | Contra-lesion| Dysphagia     | 0.67±0.06 | 0.953 | 0.28±0.05 | 0.842 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.68±0.09 |       | 0.29±0.07 |       |
| Orbitofrontal cortex      | Lesion       | Dysphagia     | 0.74±0.44 | 0.796 | 0.30±0.06 | 0.539 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.65±0.13 |       | 0.32±0.05 |       |
|                           | Contra-lesion| Dysphagia     | 0.64±0.06 | 0.485 | 0.32±0.03 | 0.212 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.65±0.11 |       | 0.34±0.06 |       |
| Parieto-occipital cortex  | Lesion       | Dysphagia     | 0.66±0.17 | 0.210 | 0.28±0.08 | 0.256 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.69±0.15 |       | 0.25±0.09 |       |
|                           | Contra-lesion| Dysphagia     | 0.62±0.08 | 0.535 | 0.31±0.05 | 0.934 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.60±0.11 |       | 0.31±0.09 |       |
| Insular cortex            | Lesion       | Dysphagia     | 0.84±0.25 | 0.393 | 0.23±0.08 | 0.727 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.97±0.32 |       | 0.22±0.08 |       |
|                           | Contra-lesion| Dysphagia     | 0.73±0.08 | 0.899 | 0.27±0.03 | 0.649 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.73±0.09 |       | 0.26±0.04 |       |
| Posterior limb of the internal capsule | Lesion | Dysphagia | 0.71±0.15 | 0.009* | 0.49±0.12 | 0.368 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.59±0.13 |       | 0.53±0.15 |       |
|                           | Contra-lesion| Dysphagia     | 0.64±0.05 | 0.031* | 0.67±0.05 | 0.309 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.60±0.05 |       | 0.69±0.06 |       |
| Thalamus                  | Lesion       | Dysphagia     | 0.70±0.18 | 0.136 | 0.30±0.05 | 0.195 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.60±0.12 |       | 0.34±0.09 |       |
|                           | Contra-lesion| Dysphagia     | 0.70±0.09 | 0.101 | 0.33±0.03 | 0.102 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.64±0.10 |       | 0.36±0.09 |       |
| Basal ganglia (caudate nucleus) | Lesion | Dysphagia | 0.75±0.34 | 0.953 | 0.26±0.06 | 0.613 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.69±0.26 |       | 0.25±0.05 |       |
|                           | Contra-lesion| Dysphagia     | 0.67±0.17 | 0.766 | 0.28±0.07 | 0.910 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.65±0.12 |       | 0.28±0.06 |       |
| Basal ganglia (putamen)    | Lesion       | Dysphagia     | 1.09±0.44 | 0.126 | 0.23±0.08 | 0.347 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.82±0.27 |       | 0.26±0.07 |       |
|                           | Contra-lesion| Dysphagia     | 0.75±0.20 | 0.426 | 0.32±0.08 | 0.984 |
|                           |              | Non-dysphagia | 0.69±0.12 |       | 0.32±0.05 |       |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. 
ROI, region of interest; ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; FA, fractional anisotropy. 
Statistics were analyzed by Mann-Whitney U test. 
*p<0.05.
DISCUSSION

The present study aimed to identify the supratentorial regions related to dysphagia in MCA stroke patients, using DTI. As an extension of previous studies, we hypothesized that white matter changes after stroke are important determinants of dysphagia. In this study, dysphagia was associated with microstructural changes on either the lesional side or the contra-lesional side.

The estimated incidence of post-stroke dysphagia is 43%–71%, and many of these cases improved within 2 weeks after stroke [2,22]. However, dysphagia persists in about 10% of the patients, who often require a nasogastric tube or gastrostomy for appropriate nutritional support [3,22,23]. Therefore, many researchers are trying to identify specific brain lesions associated with swallowing and factors that influence the long-term prognosis of dysphagia. Several studies have investigated the correlations between clinical findings, anatomical abnormalities, and the occurrence of dysphagia [24], but analyses of several specific brain areas have failed to identify a significant association between stroke location and the occurrence of dysphagia.

Previous studies have concluded that swallowing appears to be mediated by a distributed neural network that involves both cerebral hemispheres with descending input to the medulla. The neurophysiological and neuroanatomical functions of the supra-medullary regions are important for the control of swallowing. Through functional brain MRI, Kern identified the location of the reflexive and voluntary swallowing center in bilateral primary motor and sensory regions, insular cortex, and prefrontal lobe [25]. Hamdy et al. [26] evaluated muscle activity related swallowing using transcranial magnetic stimulation and demonstrated that swallowing centers are located bilaterally in the cerebral hemispheres, but they show interhemispheric asymmetry, independent of handedness. Other investigations for dysphagia have suggested that swallowing centers are located within and antero-caudal to the face area of the primary motor cortex [27], frontal lobe, and insular cortex [22,28]. In a recent study of stroke lesions and dysphagia, the internal capsule was most closely related to dysphagia. Furthermore, damage to the primary somatosensory cortex, motor and motor supplementary cortex, orbitofrontal cortex, and basal ganglia has been associated with dysphagia [4]. Hence, we evaluated the above regions to investigate their association with dysphagia, and the current study showed significant differences in the FA value in the PMC on the contra-lesional side and the ADC value in the bilateral PLIC. This may be due to microstructural changes due to mirror lesions after stroke.

The role of the contralesional hemisphere in stroke recovery is controversial. Meguro et al. [29] suggested that structural changes may occur on the contralesional side because of atrophy of the corpus callosum. In addition, modifications of the electrical activity [30,31], cerebral blood flow [32,33], and metabolism [32] have been reported at the cortical level, mainly within areas that mirror the stroke lesion. These findings might indicate diaschisis [30-33]. Some studies have shown increased anisotropy not only on the ipsilesional side, but also in the contralesional thalamus and cerebral hemisphere [34]. Changes in DTI values in the ipsilesional thalamus support the assumption of a loss of microscopic thalamic components because retrograde degeneration may interrupt the thalamo-cortical fibers [35]. Our findings support the notion that DTI abnormalities in these regions reflect their role in sequential movements associated with swallowing.

Cognitive function has previously been shown to be related to dysphagia. Specifically, patients with hemineglect had more dysphagia symptoms than patients with aphasia [36]. However, the current study showed no group differences in the side of the lesion, the presence of aphasia or neglect, or cognitive function.

Our study elucidated the relationship between microstructural changes in ipsilesional and contra-lesional brain regions and dysphagia in stroke patients. However, this study has significant limitations, which stem mostly from its small sample size and retrospective design. The study sample reflects only subjects with lesions in the MCA territory. Furthermore, the initial stroke volume was not analyzed. However, no significant differences were found in the corticospinal tract volume or motor function of the upper and lower limbs. Thus, we inferred that there was no significant difference in the initial stroke damage in motor function-related supratentorial regions. ROIs were hand-drawn by a rehabilitation medicine physician who had more than 5 years of experience with this technique, and every DTI parameter was measured twice and results were averaged to minimize the possible intra-
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rater reliability. In the future, we will replicate this study with a larger sample size, more sophisticated analysis, and longer follow-up.

In this study, the FA value in the primary motor cortex on the contra-lesional side and the ADC value in the bilateral PLIC showed significant inter-group differences according to the presence of dysphagia. Our finding suggests that microstructural changes in the contra-lesional hemisphere could be associated with dysphagia.
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## Appendix 1. Clinical dysphagia scale

| Parameter                     | Coded value | Score |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Location                      | Non-stem lesion | 0     |
|                               | Stem lesion  | 5     |
| T-cannula                     | No          | 0     |
|                               | Yes         | 25    |
| Aspiration                    | No          | 0     |
|                               | Yes         | 10    |
| Lip sealing                   | Intact      | 0     |
|                               | Inadequate  | 2     |
|                               | None        | 4     |
| Chewing and mastication       | Intact      | 0     |
|                               | Inadequate  | 4     |
|                               | None        | 8     |
| Tongue protrusion             | Intact      | 0     |
|                               | Inadequate  | 4     |
|                               | None        | 8     |
| Laryngeal elevation           | Intact      | 0     |
|                               | Inadequate  | 5     |
|                               | None        | 10    |
| Reflex coughing               | No          | 0     |
|                               | Yes         | 30    |
| Total score                   | -           | 100   |