Thermal synchrotron radiation from RRMHD simulations of the double tearing mode reconnection - Application to the Crab flares
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ABSTRACT
We study the magneto-hydrodynamic tearing instability occurring in a double current sheet configuration when a guide field is present. This is investigated by means of resistive relativistic magneto-hydrodynamic (RRMHD) simulations. Following the dynamics of the double tearing mode (DTM), we are able to compute synthetic synchrotron spectra in the explosive reconnection phase. The pulsar striped wind model represents a site where such current sheets are formed, including a guide field. The variability of the Crab nebula/pulsar system, seen as flares, can be therefore naturally explained by the DTM explosive phase in the striped wind. Our results indicate that the Crab GeV flare can be explained by the double tearing mode in the striped wind region if the magnetization parameter $\sigma$ is around $10^5$.
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1 INTRODUCTION
In a recent study (Baty et al. 2013, also referenced as Paper 1 below), a model has been proposed to address the origin of the strong flares observed in X-rays and gamma-rays from pulsars and magnetars environments (Palmer et al. 2005; Striani et al. 2011; Takamoto et al. 2014). These flares are short and powerful. Indeed, the observations of Crab pulsar nebula show flare duration between a few hours and up to several days with a rising/falling time scale of a few hours/days (Buchler et al. 2012; Striani et al. 2013). This duration appears to be too large to be associated with the rotation of the neutron star (period of 33 ms), and on the other hand too short for typical nebula dynamical time scale ($\approx 1$ year).

Relativistic magnetic reconnection is usually believed to be an efficient mechanism to explain these flares (Clausen-Brown & Lyutikov 2013), possibly in a way similar to solar flares where magnetic energy is suddenly released and converted into other forms of energy. Indeed, the highly magnetized wind in the nebula entails a magnetic energy reservoir that is large enough to explain the typical observed flare energy ($\approx 10^{34}$ J). However, achieving a fast enough time scale for releasing the magnetic energy is more tricky. For example, the standard view of tearing instabilities developing in a single current sheet leads to a magnetic reconnection (with growth of magnetic islands) on a relatively slow time scale that is also very dependent on the (unknown) resistive dissipation (Lyutikov & Uzdensky 2003; Komissarov et al. 2007). Recently, Uzdensky et al. (2011) and Cerutti et al. (2012) discussed that photons emitted by an extremely accelerated particle in an X-line may be able to explain the Crab flares, and Cerutti et al. (2014) calculated the evolution of a relativistic current sheet in the pulsar wind nebula using 3-dimensional PIC simulations. However, they did not succeed in reproducing the observed synchrotron spectra, in particular the 2011 April flare event.

In (Baty et al. 2013, Paper 1), an explosive mechanism is described, that is based on a magnetic reconnection process associated to the Double Tearing Mode (DTM). The favored site for the emission corresponds to a region situated in the striped wind close to the light cylinder radius ($r \approx 50r_L$). Indeed, the DTM is a Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) instability that is well known to develop in multiple current sheets, as expected from the magnetic structure of pulsars magnetosphere with the presence of a current sheet wobbling around the equatorial plane (Coroniti 1994). The explosive character of the mechanism is due to the sudden and fast development of a secondary instability that is structurally driven by the interaction of the initial magnetic islands situated on two successive current layers.
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It also manifests by a merging of the magnetic islands and is characterized by a bulk plasma flow reaching a magnitude close to the Alfvén speed.

More recently, the robustness of the mechanism has been shown by performing new Relativistic MHD simulations of the DTM of magnetically dominated plasmas, shifting to more realistic high magnetization parameter ($\sigma$) and magnetic Lundquist number ($S$) which is a measure of the dissipation) than previously explored in Paper 1 [Pétri et al. 2013] also referenced as Paper 2 below). The results indicate a weak dependence of the time scale of the explosive phase on the magnetic Lundquist number and the magnetization parameter, $S^{0.2-0.3}$ and $\sigma^{0.3}$ respectively.

In this paper, with the aim to improve our model, we extend the previous results obtained in the two-dimensional approximation, by adding the effect of a magnetic guide field component perpendicular to the main plane. Such structure is indeed expected from a pulsar current sheet structure when the rotation and magnetic axis do not coincide. Indeed, the magnetic field structure in the striped wind can be described by an analytical expression given by Pétri (2013). The main magnetic component is toroidal, along the azimuthal direction $B\phi$ whereas the poloidal part is directed along the radius $B_r$. Because of this particular magnetic configuration, we get $B\phi \propto r\sigma_L/\sigma$ and $B_r \propto (r\sigma_L/\sigma)^2$ both having the same magnitude at the light cylinder. Therefore the effect of a guide field in the pulsar striped wind should become negligible for distances much larger than $r\sigma_L$. We re-examine the scaling laws dependence with $\sigma$ and $S$, with a special emphasis on the effect of plasmoid-chain, that can develop in a transient way in the high $S$ regime. We also investigate the energetics of the reconnection process on the basis of our approximate magnetized fluid model. More precisely, the change of the temperature distribution during the explosive phase is determined in order to deduce an effective thermal particles spectrum, and the ensuing synchrotron emission. The results are then compared to the observations.

The paper is organized as follows. The numerical set-up is described in Section 2. The results of the DTM simulations are presented in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the computation of the synchrotron spectra deduced from the temperature profile during the explosive reconnection event. Before ending with the conclusions in Section 5, we discuss the implications of our work for the relevant parameters in the striped wind, (sheet thickness and other parameters) Section 6.

### 2 NUMERICAL SETUP

The evolution of the 2-dimensional (2D) double current sheets is modeled by using the relativistic resistive magnetohydrodynamic (RRMHD) approximation. The RRMHD equations are solved by using a numerical method developed by Takamoto & Inoue (2011) which solves the equations in a conservative form using a finite volume method. Magnetic field is updated with the constrained transport algorithm (Evans & Hawley 1988) which allows us to treat the divergence free magnetic field. In this paper, the numerical set up follows the Paper 1 [Baty et al. 2013], with an extra magnetic field component $B_G$ added to model the guide field.

The initial current sheets are described by assuming the static relativistic Harris current sheets. The initial magnetic field profile is

\[ B = B_0[1 + \tanh((y - y_0)/l) - \tanh((y + y_0)/l)]\mathbf{x} + B_G\mathbf{z}, \]

and the initial density profile is

\[ \rho = \rho_0 + \rho_0 \left[1/\cosh^2((y - y_0)/l) + 1/\cosh^2((y + y_0)/l)\right], \]

where $y_0 = 3l$ is the half separation of the current sheets, and $l$ is the half thickness of the sheets. The gas pressure in the sheets is determined by the pressure balance. Note also that, for the sake of simplification, the guide magnetic field component is assumed to be uniform. The initial temperature $T$ is assumed to be uniform and constant, $k_B T/m c^2 = 1$ where $k_B, m, c$ are the Boltzmann constant, particle mass, and light velocity, respectively. The corresponding sound velocity is $c_s \approx 0.516c$. The upstream background density $\rho_0$ is determined by the magnetization parameter $\sigma \equiv B^2/4\pi \rho hc^2$ where $h$ is the specific enthalpy. The relativistic ideal equation of state is assumed, in normalized units $h = 1 + \Gamma/(\Gamma - 1)p/pc^2$ where $\Gamma = 4/3$. The resistivity coefficient $\eta$ is assumed to be uniform and constant, and is related to the Lundquist number $S$ through $S = 4\pi c^2/\eta (4\pi c^2$ is necessary due to the Gauss unit). Note that in this paper, we use the light speed as the characteristic velocity to define our Lundquist number.

The simulation domain is bounded by a rectangular domain of dimensions $[0, L_x] \times [-L_y, L_y]$, with a fixed size value

| Name   | $\sigma$ | $S$     | $L_y$ | $N_0$ | $B_G/B_0$ | $\epsilon_{A,e}/c$ |
|--------|----------|---------|-------|-------|-----------|-------------------|
| SA1    | 0.2      | 200     | 10    | 1024  | 0         | 0.408             |
| SA2    | 0.4      |         |       |       |           | 0.535             |
| SA3    | 0.6      |         |       |       |           | 0.612             |
| SA4    | 1.2      |         |       |       |           | 0.739             |
| SA5    | 12       |         |       |       |           | 0.961             |
| SA6    | 120      |         |       |       |           | 0.996             |
| SB1    | 0.2      | 3200    | 10l   | 2048  | 0         | 0.408             |
| SB2    | 0.4      | 10l     | 2048  | 0.535 |
| SB3    | 0.6      | 20l     | 4096  | 0.612 |
| SB4    | 1.2      | 20l     | 4096  | 0.739 |
| SB5    | 12       | 20l     | 4096  | 0.961 |
| SB6    | 120      | 20l     | 4096  | 0.996 |
| RA1    | 0.4      | 400     | 10l   | 1024  | 0         | 0.535             |
| RA2    | 800      |         |       |       |           | 0.961             |
| RA3    | 1600     |         |       |       |           | 0.961             |
| RA4    | 3200     |         |       |       |           | 0.961             |
| RC1    | 12       | 400     | 10l   | 1024  | 0         | 0.961             |
| RC2    | 800      |         |       |       |           | 0.961             |
| RC3    | 1600     |         |       |       |           | 0.961             |
| RC4    | 3200     |         |       |       |           | 0.961             |
| GA1    | 12       | 1600    | 20l   | 2048  | 0.01      | 0.961             |
| GA2    | 1.2      |         |       |       |           | 0.961             |
| GA3    | 0.1      |         |       |       |           | 0.961             |
| GA4    | 0.5      |         |       |       |           | 0.961             |
| GA5    | 1        |         |       |       |           | 0.961             |
| GB1    | 0.2      | 1600    | 20l   | 2048  | 0.5       | 0.365             |
| GB2    | 1.2      |         |       |       |           | 0.661             |
| GB3    | 12       |         |       |       |           | 0.859             |
| GB4    | 120      |         |       |       |           | 0.891             |
| GC1    | 12       | 200     | 20l   | 2048  | 0.01      | 0.961             |
| GC2    | 800      |         |       |       |           | 0.961             |
$L_x = 20l$. As the boundary condition of $x$-direction is taken to be periodic, the $L_x$ value is precisely chosen in order to get a linearly unstable mode with a wavelength close to the fastest one according to MHD stability analysis (see Papers1-2 for more detail). The $L_y$ value is taken to be large enough to prevent the boundary effects on the sheets evolution, as free boundary conditions are used in this lateral $y$-direction. We divide this simulation domain into homogeneous numerical square meshes with size $L_y/N_y$.

A small divergence-free magnetic field perturbation is also added (at $t = 0$) as a vector potential $\delta A_x$ at the cell-edges in order to trigger the system evolution:

$$\delta A_x = -B_1 \frac{L_x}{2\pi} \cos \left[ \frac{2\pi}{L_x}(x - L_x/2) \right] \exp \left[ -\left( ||y| - y_0|| \right)^2 \right],$$

where $B_1 = 3 \times 10^{-4} B_0$ is the perturbation amplitude.

The parameters $\sigma, S, L_y, N_y, B_0$ used in the simulations are given in Table 1. The actual numerical value of the parameters, such as $\rho_0, \rho_b, B_0$, follow Paper I.

3 RESULTS

As previously reported in Paper 1, the evolution of the system exhibits four distinct stages: (1) an initial linear growth of two magnetic islands arranged asymmetrically in the two current layers and developing on a time scale $S^{1/2}$; (2) an ensuing saturation on the slow diffusion time scale $S$; (3) an explosive growth of the islands interacting with a triangular deformation and driving a fast magnetic reconnection event characterized by a merging between the two islands; (4) a relaxation towards a new stable state.

This overall behavior does not change in highly magnetized plasma regime with large Lundquist numbers, as reported in Paper 2 [Petri et al. 2015]. An important result for the robustness of our model is that, a relatively weak dependence of the time scale of the explosive phase on the magnetic Lundquist number ($S^{0.2-0.3}$) and on the magnetization parameter ($\sigma^{0.5}$) is obtained.

Interestingly, the explosive stage is however characterized by a transient growth of smaller magnetic islands identified as plasmoid-chain instability. The plasmoids appear when a critical local Lundquist number is reached, as it is the case for runs using $S = 3.2 \times 10^3$ (see Fig. 1 in Paper 2). Thus, in order to quantify the effect of the plasmoid-chain, we re-examine in somewhat more details the scaling law dependence of the explosive stage.

3.1 Scaling law dependence and plasmoid chain effect

Following the Papers 1-2, we use the maximum 4-velocity in $x$-direction, $u_x = \gamma V_x$, as a characteristic variable of the double tearing mode evolution.

1 Note that in this paper, we treated high-Lundquist number plasmas. In general, it is very difficult to find the numerical convergence in the presence of plasmoid-chain due to its turbulent nature. In this paper, we have checked the convergence of the maximum velocity and temperature at the explosive phase of DTM, which is given in Appendix A.

2 Note that the obtained scaling law on $\sigma$-parameter is a little different from our previous work which indicated $\sim \sigma^{0.3}$. This is mainly due to including $\sigma = 0.2$ which is actually included in a new scaling regime we found for the first time in this paper.
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\[\text{Figure 1. Maximum 4-velocity } \gamma V_x \text{ of double tearing mode with respect to the magnetization parameter } \sigma. \text{ Top: Low Lundquist number cases, } S = 200: \text{ runs SA1-6. Bottom: Large Lundquist number cases, } S = 3200: \text{ runs SB1-6.}\]
comes plasmoid-chain as reported in Paper 2, which includes the Petschek type structure (Baty 2012; Takamoto 2013).

Next, we examine the scaling law of $\gamma V_x$ on the Lundquist number. The numerical results are reported in Panels of Figure 2. The top and bottom panels are in the small and high $\sigma$ cases, respectively. In the small $\sigma$ case, the maximum velocity scales approximately as $S^{0.3}$ as reported in the Paper 1. In the large $\sigma$ case, the maximum 4-velocity scales as $S^{0.8 - 0.4}$ as in the small Lundquist number regime. However, the scaling becomes $\sim S^{0.8}$ and gradually saturates in the large Lundquist number regime. This is because the maximum velocity becomes very close to the Alfvén 4-velocity in the upstream region, $\sqrt{\sigma} \simeq 3.5$, when $\sigma = 12$.

### 3.2 Guide magnetic field effects

In order to investigate the effect of a magnetic guide field component, the direction of the total magnetic field is varied with a fixed magnitude, that is, $B = B_0 \cos \Phi x + B_0 \sin \Phi z \equiv B_0 x + B_G z$ where $B$ is the constant total magnetic field strength, and $\Phi$ is the angle between x-axis and the magnetic field direction. The corresponding runs are GA1-GA5 in Table 1.

Figure 3 is a snapshot of temperature profile of run GA4. The basic spatial structure is roughly similar to the case without guide field. However, the resulting temperature from the burst phase is much smaller than the case without guide field (see Figure 10). This is because the compression of the guide field reduces the increase of gas pressure. Note also that the sheet region does not have plasmoid-chain, differently from the case without guide field as reported in Paper II. This is due to the stabilization of tearing instability by guide field (Somov & Verneta 1993), which prohibits the secondary-tearing instability leading to the plasmoid-chain. Figure 4 is the temporal evolution of the maximum 4-velocity in x-direction. It shows that the initial single tearing instability is slowed down by guide field as is predicted by Somov & Verneta (1993) in non-relativistic work. It also shows the evolution of secondary phase is slowed down and the maximum velocity becomes slower as increasing the guide field component.

Figure 5 plots the guide field dependence of the maximum 4-velocity. In the figure, the maximum 4-velocity exhibits a decrease with increasing guide field as reported by Zenitani et al. (2003) and Zanotti & Dumbser (2011). This can be interpreted by a decrease of the reconnection magnetic field component $B_0$, which results in the decrease of the Alfvén velocity, $c_A = \sqrt{\sigma_x/(1+\sigma)}$, along the sheets.\footnote{Here, $\sigma_x \equiv B_0^2/4\pi \rho c^2\gamma^2$ is the magnetization parameter in terms of the reconnection magnetic field component.}

This is due to the decrease of the reconnection magnetic...
field strength as: $B_0 = B \cos \Phi$. Figure 6 shows the Rutherford time (see Papers 1-2), defined as the time at which the explosive phase is triggered. The results show that the Rutherford time increases linearly. Note that the slope is very steep, and the Rutherford time becomes even twice when $B_C = 0.5B_0$. Note that these properties are very similar to the behavior of the relativistic Petschek slow shocks with guide field (Lyubarsky 2005).

Figure 7 are the maximum 4-velocity dependence including guide field which is fixed as $B_G/B_0 = 0.5$. The top panel shows the magnetization parameter dependence. It shows the dependence is completely different from the no guide field case in Figure 1 and the guide field drastically reduce the maximum 4-velocity, although the maximum 4-velocity slightly increases with $\sigma$. This is because the guide field hinders the evolution of plasmoid-chain as indicated in Figure 4 and the sheets becomes usual relativistic Sweet-Parker sheet whose outflow saturates an upper limit before reaching the Alfvén velocity (Takahashi et al. 2011). The bottom panel shows the Lundquist number dependence. It shows the maximum 4-velocity increases with Lundquist number similarly to no-guide field case shown in Figure 2. However, the power-law index of the Lundquist number is around 0.6 which is an intermediate value indicated in the bottom panel of Figure 2 that is, between 0.4 and 0.8. These panels clearly show that the guide field basically weakens the energy conversion resulted from DTM, and the burst phase becomes less explosive even in highly magnetized plasma with high Lundquist number.

Figure 8 is the energy distribution to each component
in the reconnected region of runs GA2 and GA4. It shows most magnetic field energy is converted into the thermal energy in the both cases. However, the guide field energy occupy around 30% of the total energy in GA4. This indicates the guide field magnetic field pressure compensates the compression by DTM, and reduces the conversion into thermal energy. This is basically consistent with the two-fluid result by Zenitani et al. (2009). However, the thermal energy is still dominant in the case of GA4, and this reflects the fact that DTM is not related to slow shocks.

4 DTM THERMAL ENERGY SPECTRUM AND CRAB FLARES

In our previous works Baty et al. (2013; Péri et al. 2015), we did not discuss in detail the radiation properties associated to the double tearing mode evolution. In order to obtain more quantitative results about possible radiative signatures of the reconnection phase, in this section, we compute the thermal synchrotron spectrum expected to emanate from the relativistic and highly magnetized plasma during its explosive phase. The procedure is explained in the following lines, our aim being to compare our synthetic spectra with the variability of the Crab gamma-ray flares.

4.1 Temperature Profile

As already discussed in Paper 1,2, the double tearing mode shows an explosive reconnection phase during which temperature drastically increases in the reconnected region even in the case of moderate value of the magnetization parameter $\sigma$. In this paper, we explore ultra-relativistic Poynting dominated flows assuming a high-Lundquist number for the plasmas, and show the resulting explosive reconnection becomes more violent, that is, the flow speed becomes faster and the temperature becomes higher, as the magnetization $\sigma$-parameter and the Lundquist number are increased. Since the Crab pulsar wind is considered to be a high-$\sigma$ plasma with very large Lundquist number, we expect the double tearing mode to be sufficiently energetic to explain the flares in the Crab pulsar/nebula system.

Figure 9 shows the profile of temperature just before and after the explosive phase of double tearing mode in a highly magnetized plasma, $\sigma = 120$, with high Lundquist number, $S = 3200$. As is indicated in Figure 9, the high Lundquist number plasma gives very narrow reconnection sheets in which several plasmosids can be observed (see also our Paper 2). The plasmoid region becomes very dense and also very hot with temperature as high as $5 \times 10^6 \text{[m]}$ the radius of the light cylinder and $B_{\text{sync}} \approx 100 \text{[T]}$ the magnetic field strength at $r_L$ for the Crab pulsar. The synchrotron photon energy in the fluid comoving

\[ \epsilon_{\text{sync}} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{q \gamma}{L} \frac{B}{B_0} m c^2, \]

where $B$ is the magnetic field strength as measured in the frame where $\epsilon_{\text{sync}}$ is detected, $B_0 = m^2 c^3/\hbar e$ is the critical magnetic field, $m$ the electron mass, $\gamma$ its electric charge, $\sigma$ the typical Lorentz factor of the electrons and $\theta$ the reduced Planck constant. Assuming the synchrotron radiation is mainly emitted by the pair plasma which is in local thermal equilibrium due to the MHD approximation, the Lorentz factor in Equation (4) can be expressed for an ultra-relativistic plasma equation of state as: $\gamma \approx 3\sigma \frac{k_{\text{B}} T}{m c^2} \approx 3\Theta$. For later convenience, we introduced the normalized temperature $\Theta$. Note that the temperature $T$ depends on the location in the simulation box. In the explosive phase, a strong gradient are formed leading to drastic variation in the temperature profile from point to point as seen in Figure 9. In the pulsar striped wind region, the dominant background magnetic field component is toroidal and propagates as an entropy wave thus decreasing with radius $r$ according to, $B_0 = B_L r_L/r$, where $r_L \sim 1.5 \times 10^6 \text{[m]}$ is the radius of the light cylinder and $B_L \sim 100 \text{[T]}$ the magnetic field strength at $r_L$ for the Crab pulsar. The synchrotron photon energy in the fluid comoving

---

**Figure 9.** The temperature profiles $\log_{10}[k_B T/m c^2]$ during the double tearing burst phase of the run SB6. The top and bottom panel show just before and after the burst phase, respectively.
where $n$ is the number density of emitting particles in the laboratory frame. The top panel of Figure 10 shows the energy spectra with several value for the magnetization parameter $\sigma$ corresponding to the Runs SB1-6 at the burst phase when reaching maximum 4-velocity. Typical values for the Crab pulsar are: $r = 50r_L$ and $\Gamma_W = 300$. This panel clearly shows the energy in their body part increases with $\sigma$-parameter, approximately 100 times increase as $\sigma$-parameter increases by one order of magnitude. This can be understood from the bottom panel of Figure 10. The panel is the maximum temperature during the explosive phase in terms of the $\sigma$-parameter. It shows the maximum temperature increases linearly with the $\sigma$-parameter. Since the photon energy depends on the temperature as $T^2$, as indicated in Equation (7), the resulting energy spectrum can be estimated as $T^2 \propto \sigma^2$. The observed Crab flares have their mean energy around $10^2$ to $10^5$ [MeV] energy region (Buehl et al. 2012, Bühler & Blandford 2014), so that the double tearing mode can explain the Crab flares if the Crab pulsar wind has $\sigma \gtrsim 10^5$ which is in agreement with current estimates from theoretical expectations (Kirk et al. 2007) about a trans-Alfvénic flow. We also note that the energy spectrum in Figure 10 increases with $\sigma$-parameter. This is because, in high $\sigma$ cases, the number of plasmoids along the sheets increases as reported in (Takamoto 2013). In plasmoid region, the plasma is characterized by a high temperature and a high density, which increases the high energy photons and make the energy spectrum harder\footnote{Here, the emission region is assumed to start approximately at a fixed radius outside the light-cylinder following the prescription given by (Pétri & Kirk 2002). This is a necessary requirement for both fitting the synchrotron spectrum to the observed value (as the synchrotron emissivity decreases with distance) and adjusting the timescale of the Crab flares taking into account time dilation. Although this makes it difficult to discuss timescale of DTM, we use the DTM timescale as the observed flare timescale in Equation 9 since this is one of the characteristic timescales of DTM model in the striped wind. The validity of the above assumption will be investigated in a future work.}. Note that the plasma is far below the radiation reaction limit because in the wind frame the energy of the synchrotron photons is much less that the limit of 240 MeV. Therefore, the dynamics of the plasma presented in this paper is not significantly perturbed by the radiative losses. Our RRHD code does not include any such losses so far. We computed the spectra by a post-processing algorithm.

Finally, the synchrotron cooling time in the wind co-frame becomes

\[
\epsilon_{\text{sync}} \sim 1.73 \times 10^{-4}[eV] \left( \frac{3k_B T}{m c^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{B}{1[T]} \right) = 0.156 [eV] \theta^2 \Gamma_W^{-1} \left( \frac{r}{r_L} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{B}{B_0} \right) \left( \frac{B_L}{100[T]} \right),
\]

where $B_0 = B_0/\Gamma_W$ is the background magnetic field measured in the fluid comoving frame, or the simulation frame and $\Gamma_W$ is the Lorentz factor of the pulsar wind. Finally, the Lorentz transformation into the pulsar rest frame (observer frame) gives us

\[
\epsilon_{\text{sync},L} = \Gamma_W \epsilon_{\text{sync}} \left( 1 - \beta_W \cos \vartheta \right) = \delta_W \epsilon_{\text{sync}}
\]

where $\vartheta$ is the angle between the velocity of the reconnecting blob and the observer line of sight, $\beta_W$ is the velocity of the wind in the unit of light velocity, and $\delta_W$ is the "Doppler factor". If we assume that this blob is pointing towards Earth in the most favorable case, $\delta_W \simeq 21\Gamma_W$, the peak energy of the photons will be

\[
\epsilon_{\text{sync},L} \sim 0.311[eV] \theta^2 \left( \frac{r}{r_L} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{B}{B_0} \right) \left( \frac{B_L}{100[T]} \right)
\]

The energy spectrum can be obtained by integrating Equation (7) over the numerical spatial domain, $\int dV n_{\epsilon_{\text{sync}},L}$.

\[
\frac{dN_\epsilon}{d\epsilon} \propto \epsilon^{3/2}
\]

Figure 10. Top: The energy spectrum with various magnetization parameter $\sigma$. Bottom: maximum temperature with respect to $\sigma$.
moving frame can be written as:

\[
\tau_{\text{sync}} = \frac{3 mc}{4 \gamma \sigma_T U_B} \approx 2.3 \times 10^7 \text{[s]}
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{\Gamma W}{300} \right)^2 \Theta^{-1} \left( \frac{B}{0.05 B_0} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{r}{50 r_L} \right)^2 \left( \frac{B_L}{100 T_1} \right)^{-2},
\]

where \(\sigma_T\) is the Thomson scattering cross section and \(U_B\) is the magnetic field energy density in the fluid comoving frame. Note that this timescale is estimated in the merged sheet where the magnetic field release occurs. In our simulations, the dynamical time of the explosive phase in the simulation frame, \(\tau_{\text{dyn}}\), is about 50\(\ell/c\). Using \(l = 2\pi\alpha\Gamma W r_L\), it reduces to

\[
\tau_{\text{dyn}} = 25 \text{[s]} \left( \frac{\alpha}{0.05} \right) \left( \frac{\Gamma W}{300} \right) \left( \frac{P}{33\text{[ms]}} \right),
\]

where \(P = 2\pi r_L/c\) is the rotation period of the central neutron star.\(^7\)

Comparing these 2 timescales, we obtain

\[
\frac{\tau_{\text{sync}}}{\tau_{\text{dyn}}} = 9.4 \times 10^5 \left( \frac{\Gamma W}{300} \right) \Theta^{-1} \left( \frac{B}{0.05 B_0} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{r}{50 r_L} \right)^2 \times \left( \frac{\tilde{B}_L}{100 T_1} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{0.05} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{P}{33\text{[ms]}} \right)^{-1}.
\]

This indicates the maximum energy by DTM in the Crab pulsar wind would be obtained when \(\Theta \sim 10^6\). Note that we obtain a cutoff energy scale around 300 MeV by substituting this temperature into Equation 7 assuming \(B \sim 0.05 B_0\) and \(r = 50 r_L\), which roughly reproduces the observed cutoff energy, 350 MeV. Figure 10 also indicates this temperature can be obtained when \(\sigma \sim 10^5\).\(^8\)

5 APPLICABILITY TO CRAB PULSAR WIND

In this paper, we discuss the double tearing mode to explain the Crab GeV flares. For the simulation setup, we assume a separation of two consecutive current sheets to be around 3 times of their thickness. Although the sheet separation being fairly confidently constrained to be of the order of the light cylinder radius using the geometry of the striped wind model,\(^9\) there is still no accepted theoretical estimate giving the thickness of one sheet. However, from an observational point of view, the Fermi Large Area Telescope (Fermi/LAT) detected more than hundred gamma-ray pulsars showing small scale details in their light curves in the GeV band (Abdo et al. 2010). If we assume that the striped wind current sheets are responsible for the gamma ray pulses, the width of each pulse should reflect the thickness of the sheet itself. Indeed, for sufficiently relativistic outflows, the spiral structure combined to relativistic beaming effects gives rise to pulsed emission. If the current sheet has a half thickness of \(I\) then the half width of the corresponding pulse should be \(l/\pi\beta r_L\) where \(\beta \approx 1\). For the Crab pulsar, the pulse width is about 10% of the pulsar period thus the underlying current sheet thickness should be around 10% of the striped wind wavelength (Pétrí & Kirk 2003, Pétrí 2012). We consider this supports our MHD treatment of the double current sheets since the indicated sheet width is much larger than the kinematic scales, such as the electron’s Larmor radius.

Next, we discuss the conditions required to trigger the DTM in the Crab pulsar wind. The first step is the triggering of the simple tearing mode, which needs \(kl \lesssim 0.6\) so that \(l \lesssim \lambda/10\) where \(\lambda = 2\pi/k\) is the wavelength of the perturbation. The second step is that the DTM requires \(\kappa \rho_0 \lesssim 1\) such that \(2\pi\kappa \rho_0 \lesssim \lambda\). In our case \(\rho_0 = 3l\) thus \(\pi l \lesssim \lambda\) which is approximately comparable to the first condition. According to the pulse profile of the Crab, we have \(l \approx 2\pi r_L/10\) therefore simple tearing is triggered for wavelengths \(\lambda \gtrsim 2\pi r_L\) which will be easily satisfied since the sheet length in the striped wind is much longer than the sheet separation \(2\pi r_L\). The double tearing mode also demands the distance to a boundary from sheets should be larger than the sheet separation. Although the sheet separation in the striped wind is considered to be equal around the equator, in a high latitude region, it gradually becomes a combination of long separation \(\lambda_l\) and short separation \(\lambda_s\) which satisfies \(\lambda_l + \lambda_s = 2\pi r_L\). This means the double tearing mode responsible for the GeV flare should occur around high-latitude region in the wind as indicated in Figure 11. Since the inclination angle of Crab

\(^7\) \(\alpha\) is a ratio between the sheet width and the sheet separation (see also Figure 11).

\(^8\) Note that if we consider the Lorentz-time dilation this gives a flare timescale: \(\tau_{\text{dyn,lab}} \sim 2\) hours in the pulsar rest frame, which is within the observed flare timescale, 8 hours.

\(^9\) Although the initial tearing instability needs somewhat long time to evolve in high-Lundquist number plasma, recent particle-in-cell simulations (Cerutti et al. 2013, Philippov et al. 2013) show that the current sheets in pulsar winds suffer from strong perturbation around light cylinder radius, and we expect such a strong perturbation helps the initial tearing instability to grow before reaching \(r = 50 r_L\).
The striped wind structure represents a natural magnetic field configuration arising from the rotation of a magnetized neutron star surrounded by a relativistic pair plasma. It generates a current sheet wobbling around the equatorial plane. From simple analytical models, it is known that the magnetic field lines become mainly toroidal far from the neutron star with a poloidal component decreasing much faster than the toroidal component. Therefore, locally, the striped wind can be depicted as a current sheet with a guide field for which the strength depends on the distance from the light-cylinder.

Our new RRMHD simulations of the DTM including guide field effect confirm our previous works. DTM is a good candidate to explain the variability of the gamma-ray emission of the Crab flares. Indeed the explosive phase exhibits a magnetic reconnection event releasing magnetic energy into heat and therefore also into synchrotron radiation. The comparison between the synchrotron cooling time and the dynamical timescale shows that the plasma regime is far below the radiation reaction limit. The spectrum peaks at an energy $\varepsilon_p$ simply related to the magnetization parameter $\sigma$ by $\varepsilon_p \propto \sigma^2$. This allows us to constrain the magnetization of the Crab to lie around $\sigma \approx 10^2$. This is in addition to the previous constraints on the location of the origin of the flares estimated to be around $r \approx 50 r_L$, where $r_L = c/\Omega$ is the light-cylinder radius, $c$ the speed of light and $\Omega$ the rotation speed of the pulsar and on the maximum Lorentz factor of the striped wind, $\Gamma \lesssim 300$ (Pétri et al. 2015).
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APPENDIX A: CONVERGENCE OF THE CALCULATIONS

In this paper, we dealt with high-Lundquist number plasmas. In general, high resolution is necessary to simulate
high-Lundquist number plasma to reduce numerical dissipation. Figure A1 shows temporal evolutions of the maximum velocity of run RC2 with different resolutions. It shows the resolution approximately $N_x \gtrsim S/2$ is sufficient for reproducing the maximum 4-velocity. Note that our code does not include viscosity and thermal conductivity but only resistivity, so that it is in general impossible to obtain perfect numerical convergence.
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