Assessment of Friedman Classification by Measuring Actual Tonsil Size During Surgery
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Background and Objectives: Adenotonsillar enlargement is a common cause of pediatric illnesses, including obstructive respiratory disease and recurrent airway infection. The current tonsil grading systems evaluate tonsil size, but the correlation with actual tonsillar size in a clinical setting has not been established.

Materials and Method: Between May and July of 2018, we recruited 31 children who underwent adenotonsillectomy with no major craniofacial abnormalities. The actual size of the palatine tonsils, the long (L1) and short (S1) axes of the tonsil beyond the anterior pillar, and the real axes (L2 and S2) after tonsil extraction from the fossa were measured during surgery. Adenoid size was determined by measuring the adenoid-nasopharynx (AN) ratio through lateral view x-ray of the neck.

Results: Though S1 was related to the Friedman scale (p<0.001), measured real axes were not (L2: p=0.058, S2: p=0.056). Also, adenoid size and AN ratio did not relate statistically to the Friedman scale (p=0.565). One of the measured real tonsil size parameters (S2) was related to AN ratio (p=0.048).

Conclusion: For pediatric patients undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidecetomy, the Friedman grading scale based on physical examination may not reflect the actual size of the tonsils. Therefore, for children with obstructive sleep disorder or recurrent tonsillitis, intraoperative measurement of tonsil size can be helpful.
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examinations are not routinely conducted before surgery because of concern about excessive radiation exposure and cost-effectiveness.

Therefore, most clinicians depend on symptoms and physical examinations. The Friedman grading scale is the most commonly used method for classifying pediatric patients with tonsillar enlargement. This method classifies the tonsil grade by measuring the tonsil tissue beyond the anterior pillar. Therefore, the grading system may indirectly reflect actual tonsil size, and we often find that the subjective tonsil size before surgery does not match the actual palatine tonsil size measured after tonsillectomy.

In this study, we measured actual tonsil size during surgery (not the shrunken specimen after tonsillectomy) and compared it to the Friedman grading scale and adenoid size.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The Institutional Review Board of Seoul St. Mary’s Hospital (KC18TESI0723) approved this study, which was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients gave informed consent before they were enrolled. From May to July of 2018, pediatric patients who underwent tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy at our hospital were enrolled in this study. A total of 31 children (62 tonsils) underwent tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy; none had any major craniofacial abnormalities. The patients ranged in age from 2 to 12 years (mean: 5.55 ± 2.06). There were 20 males and 11 females enrolled in this study. Each patient was subjected to tonsil grading using the Friedman grading scale after applying a Davis mouth gag during general anesthesia. Then, the long axis (L1) and short axis (S1) of the tonsil beyond the anterior pillar were measured while the Davis mouth gag was inserted (Fig. 1A). To obtain the actual, measured tonsil size, during medial traction of the tonsil, we measured the long axis (L2) and short axis (S2) from outside of the tonsil margin in the fossa (Fig. 1B). Adenoid size was determined by measuring the adenoid-nasopharynx (AN) ratio at the narrowest point through lateral view neck x-ray (Fig. 2).

All data are expressed as means ± standard deviations. Student’s t-test was used to compare the values of measured tonsils. Differences among groups were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Kruskal-Wallis tests. In cases of statistical significance, the ranked parameters were compared by a one-way ANOVA. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered to reflect statistical significance. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

**RESULTS**

According to the Friedman grading scale, a total of 31 patients (62 tonsils) were divided into three groups. None of the patients showed grade 0 or 1; only grade 2, 3, or 4 were present. The measured tonsil size is presented in Table 1.
The difference in the long and short axes, between the tonsil size beyond the anterior pillar and actual tonsil size, was statistically significant (long axis: \( p < 0.001 \); short axis: \( p < 0.001 \)). The short axis size showed that the embedded tonsillar portion was comparable to the tonsil size beyond the anterior pillar (\( S1 \) vs. \( S2 - S1 \): 0.90 \( \pm \) 0.36 vs. 1.04 \( \pm \) 0.42; \( p = 0.061 \)). Among the three grades, \( S1 \) increased as the grade level increased (\( p < 0.001 \); Table 2). However, the actual measured tonsil sizes (\( L2 \) and \( S2 \)) did not follow the Friedman grading scale (\( L2 \): \( p = 0.058 \), \( S2 \): \( p = 0.056 \)). Also, the AN ratio did not relate to the Friedman grading scale (\( p = 0.565 \)).

We also checked the correlation between the AN ratio and measured tonsil size. One of the measured real tonsil size parameters (\( S2 \)) was related to the AN ratio (\( p = 0.048 \); Table 3).

### Table 1. Measured tonsil size

| Embedded tonsil size | p-value |
|----------------------|---------|
| Measured tonsil size | Embeded tonsil size | p-value |
| L1 1.99 \( \pm \) 0.50 | L2 2.31 \( \pm \) 0.48 | L2-L1 0.32 \( \pm \) 0.35 | \(< 0.001^* \) |
| S1 0.90 \( \pm \) 0.36 | S2 1.94 \( \pm \) 0.48 | S2-S1 1.04 \( \pm \) 0.42 | \(< 0.001^* \) |

\(^*\): \( p < 0.05 \) for the test. \( L1 \): long axis of the tonsil beyond the anterior pillar, \( S1 \): short axis of the tonsil beyond the anterior pillar, \( L2 \): long axis of the tonsil after tonsil traction from the fossa, \( S2 \): short axis of the tonsil after tonsil traction from the fossa

### DISCUSSION

Enlarged tonsils and adenoids in children can cause airflow limitations such as obstructive sleep apnea and various clinical conditions.\(^5\) A child’s suitability for adenotonsilotomy as a treatment for obstructive sleep apnea may be affected by physical exam results such as tonsil size.\(^1^6-9\)

There are three currently used grading scales, including the Friedman, Brodsky, and Three-grading scale.\(^4^0^9\) All of these grading scales observe the relative position of the tonsil to the anterior pillar. Among them, the Friedman grading scale is the most commonly used method of estimating tonsil size.

Based on the results, as the Friedman grading scale increased, tonsillar short axis size beyond the anterior pillar increased. However, the Friedman grading scale did not reflect the overall actual tonsil size in this study. There have
In this respect, hypertrophy of the palatine tonsils and adenoids might follow a similar course of natural history. Sometimes intracapsular tonsillectomy or subtotal tonsillectomy has been conducted to reduce postoperative pain. In many cases, the effect of remaining tonsillar tissue (i.e., embedded tonsil) was not evaluated and short-term follow-up was conducted. Residual tonsillar tissue could regrow or serve as a reservoir for bacterial biofilms, failing to resolve adenotonsillar issues. Therefore, additional long-term studies should be carried out with a focus on the embedded tonsil.

To minimize the impact of bias, a single surgeon performed the same surgical procedure for all patients. Thus, the surgical technique and operator variables that may influence the outcomes were controlled. However, a relatively small number of participants were enrolled in this study. In addition, the retrospective nature of the study renders the findings weaker than those afforded by randomized controlled studies. Further, it is necessary to develop a new grading system that can measure the palatine tonsils.

**CONCLUSION**

For pediatric patients undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy, Friedman grading scale based on physical examination may not reflect the actual size of the tonsils. Therefore, for children with obstructive sleep disorders or recurrent tonsillitis, intraoperative measurement of tonsil size can be helpful.
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**Table 2. Relationship between the friedman scale and measured tonsil size**
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