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The genome sequence of tetraploid sweet basil, *Ocimum basilicum* L., provides tools for advanced genome editing and molecular breeding
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Abstract

Sweet basil, *Ocimum basilicum* L., is a well-known culinary herb grown worldwide, but its uses go beyond the kitchen to traditional medicine, cosmetics and gardening. To date, the lack of an available reference genome has limited the utilization of advanced molecular breeding methods. We present a draft version of the sweet basil genome of the cultivar ‘Perrie’, a fresh-cut Genovese-type basil. Genome sequencing showed basil to be a tetraploid organism with a genome size of 2.13 Gbp, assembled in 12,212 scaffolds, with > 90% of the assembly being composed of 107 scaffolds. About 76% of the genome is composed of repetitive elements, with the majority being long-terminal repeats. We constructed and annotated 62,067 protein-coding genes and determined their expression in different plant tissues. We analysed the currently known phenylpropanoid volatiles biosynthesis genes. We demonstrated the necessity of the reference genome for a comprehensive understanding of this important pathway in the context of tetraploidy and gene redundancy. A complete reference genome is essential to overcome this redundancy and to avoid off-targeting when designing a CRISPR: Cas9-based genome editing research. This work bears promise for developing fast and accurate breeding tools to provide better cultivars for farmers and improved products for consumers.
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1. Introduction

The herb *Ocimum basilicum* L. (Lamiaceae) is one of ~160 different species comprising the genus *Ocimum*. Known as basil, this herb is widely cultivated as an aromatic crop, but it is also grown in home gardens the world over. The diversity of *O. basilicum* genotypes—as manifested by a variety of unique aromas, leaf sizes and shapes, leaf and stem colors, inflorescence colors and structures, growth habits and seed morphologies—reflects the diverse uses of this herb. It is used in traditional medicine, as an ornamental plant, and as a source of essential oils, but primarily as a culinary herb, by virtue of the
distinctive aroma compounds that accumulate in specialized glandular trichomes. The most widely grown of the genotypes is sweet basil, the type of basil used in the famous Italian pesto sauce. Still, despite the importance of sweet basil as an agricultural crop, genome studies on this species are scarce.

To date, studies aimed to estimate the genome size of various genotypes of different Ocimum species on the basis of DNA content have used flow cytometry methods. Yet, these works have not produced consistent results. With the rough rule of thumb that 1 pg DNA = 0.978 Mbp, the calculated haplotype (1 C) genome size of 20 O. basilicum genotypes varies from 2.04 Gbp to 2.32 Gbp. For the cultivar ‘Perrie’, a fresh-cut Genovese-type basil, the genome size was estimated to be 1.56 Gbp. For holy basil, O. sanctum sanctum L. (synonym O. tenaxlum L.), the genome size was estimated to be 1.39 Gbp in one study and 350 Mbp in another. A start was made on addressing these differences in two studies that sequenced the genome of holy basil; in these studies, Rastogi et al. assembled 386 Mbp, and Upadhyay et al. assembled 374 Mbp. The scaffold N50 values in these two studies were 303 kbp and 27 kbp, with a total of 9,059 and 78,224 scaffolds, respectively. Similarly, there are discrepancies in the chromosome number (2n value) for basil, which varies markedly among different studies and different genotypes. For O. basilicum, for example, the chromosome numbers were found to be 48, 52, 50, 52, 56, 72 or 74. More recently, Carović-Stanko et al. reported 2n = 48 for 20 O. basilicum genotypes and 2n = 72 for 2 O. basilicum var. purpurascens Benth genotypes. For O. sanctum, 2n has been reported to be 16, 32, 36 and 72. A major advance was recently achieved with the publication of a draft genome for the home garden basil cultivar ‘Genovese’ by Bornowski et al. They assembled 2.07 Gbp in >17 thousand scaffolds (greater than 10 Kbp) with an N50 of ~1.6 Mbp.

A shortage in advanced genetic material, together with the lack of a well-established and contiguous reference genome, may explain why basil breeding programs lag behind those of other crops, such as wheat, rice or tomato. This lag also hinders the development of advanced genome editing tools for basil, since it is challenging to overcome off-targeting and redundancy without a reference genome. In the project reported here, we followed up on our previous effort to close the above described research and breeding gaps. To this end, the genome of the cultivar ‘Perrie’ was sequenced on Illumina platforms and assembled using NRGene’s DeNovoMagic™ assembler. The resulting haploid genome was found to be 2.13 Gbp in size and is currently composed of 12,212 scaffolds. The completeness of the assembly was validated using the Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) pipeline, with less than 2% of the gene-set being fragmented. To add another layer of usable information, we built—assisted by RNA-seq data—gene models for 62,067 protein-coding genes. In parallel, we conducted a homeologous-specific analysis of the biosynthesis pathway of basil aroma, which revealed a complex genomic path of both redundancy and speciation. We have thus established, and report here, a contiguous draft reference genome and annotated genes that will serve the research and agricultural communities for many years ahead.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material and high molecular weight genomic DNA extraction

For genome sequencing and construction, multiple clones of a single sweet basil plant of the cultivar ‘Perrie’ were grown in the dark to generate etiolated leaf tissue. For high molecular weight DNA extraction, 150 mg of the etiolated leaves were harvested, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and ground with mortar and pestle, according to Healey et al. with some modifications. The fine powder was mixed with 1.5 ml of extraction buffer (100 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1.5 M NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 2% w/v CTAB, 2% w/v PVP-40, and 0.3% v/v β-mercaptoethanol) and incubated at 60°C for 1 h with occasional shaking. The sample was centrifuged for 5 min at 5000 g at 4°C, and the pellet was discarded. To the supernatant was added an equal volume of isoamyl alcohol: chloroform (1:24 v/v), followed by gentle mixing for 5 min and centrifugation for 10 min at 5000 g at 4°C. The pellet was discarded, RNase was added to the supernatant at a final concentration of 20 μg/ml, and the sample was incubated for 15 min at 37°C with occasional shaking. Then, an equal volume of isoamyl alcohol: chloroform (1:24 v/v) was added, and the sample was gently mixed for 5 min, followed by 10 min of centrifugation at 5000 g at 4°C. The supernatant was transferred to a clean tube, a half volume of 5 M NaCl was added, and the sample was mixed gently. Then, 3 volumes of ice-cold 95% (v/v) ethanol were added, and the sample was gently mixed for 2 min, followed by incubation at ~20°C for 1 h. The sample was centrifuged for 10 min at 5000 g at 4°C, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was washed with 3 ml of ice-cold 70% (v/v) ethanol. Finally, the sample was centrifuged for 10 min at 5000 g at 4°C, the ethanol was discarded, and the tube was left open for the ethanol residues to evaporate. The precipitated DNA was resuspended in 75 μl of TE buffer at 37°C. DNA quality and quantity were evaluated with a NanoDrop™ spectrophotometer and a Qubit fluorometer. The size of the extracted DNA was estimated by overnight running on a 0.5% agarose gel.

2.2. Preparation and sequencing of genomic libraries

Five size-selected genomic DNA libraries ranging from 470 bp to 10 Kbp were constructed from the extracted basil gDNA. Two shotgun paired-end (PE) libraries were constructed using DNA template fragments of a selected size of ~470 bp, without PCR amplification (PCR-free). This size was chosen to yield overlapping sequences of the paired reads from the Hiseq2500 v2 Rapid run mode as 2 × 265 bp, thereby allowing ‘stitching’ of the read-pairs up to 520 bp long reads. A PCR-free genomic library of 700-bp DNA fragments was prepared using the TruSeq DNA Sample Preparation Kit version 2 according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA, https://www.illumina.com/). Three independent MP libraries with insert sizes of 2–5 Kbp, 5–7 Kbp and 8–10 Kbp were constructed with the Illumina Nextera MP Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina). The 700-bp shotgun libraries and all three MP libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq6000 instrument, using an S4 flow cell, as 2 × 150 bp reads. DNA fragments longer than 50 Kbp were used to construct a GemCode library using a Chromium instrument (10× Genomics, Pleasanton, CA https://www.10xgenomics.com/). This library was sequenced on a NovaSeq6000 instrument, using an S4 flow cell, as 2 × 150 bp reads. Construction and sequencing of PE, mate-pair (MP) and Chromium libraries were conducted at the Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

2.3. Genome assembly

The sweet basil genome was assembled with DeNovoMAGIC software (NRGene Ltd., Ness Ziona, Israel). This assembler is based on a De Bruijn graph, designed to optimize raw data usage to resolve the complexity arising from genome polyploidy, heterozygosity and
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Table 2. Repetitive elements in the basil genome

| Repeat type       | Counts   | Accumulative size (bp) | % of repeats | % of genome |
|-------------------|----------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| LTR/Copia         | 376,822  | 595,520,121            | 37           | 28          |
| Unknown           | 1,001,003| 422,700,179            | 26           | 20          |
| LTR/Gypsy         | 260,590  | 391,107,314            | 24           | 18          |
| Simple_repeat     | 391,284  | 53,286,505             | 3            | 2           |
| DNA/HAAT-Ac       | 103,500  | 29,188,640             | 2            | 1           |
| LTR/ERV1          | 27,690   | 22,745,607             | 1            | 1           |
| DNA/CMC-EnSpm     | 47,333   | 20,879,441             | 1            | <0.5        |
| DNA/MuLE-MuDR     | 22,466   | 18,242,016             | 1            | 1           |
| LINE/L1           | 17,940   | 13,290,589             | 1            | 1           |
| RC/Helitron       | 19,201   | 8,176,042              | 1            | <0.5        |
| Other non-LTR     | 152,209  | 33,025,638             | 2            | 2           |
| Other LTR         | 17,226   | 12,162,726             | 1            | 1           |
| Total LTR         | 682,328  | 1,021,535,768          | 63           | 48          |
| Total             | 2,437,264| 1,620,324,818          | 100          | 76          |

Table 3. BUSCO statistics summary

| BUSCO statistics summary | Complete BUSCO genes | Complete BUSCO genes—single copy | Complete BUSCO genes—duplicated | Fragmented BUSCO genes | Missing BUSCO genes | Total BUSCO genes searched |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Complete BUSCO genes    | 1339 (93.0%)         | 267 (18.5%)                      | 1072 (74.4%)                   | 21 (1.5%)              | 80 (5.6%)           | 1440                     |

The report of Navet and Tian indicated that the lack of a reference genome prevented them from evaluating off-targeting when designing gRNAs for CRISPR: Cas9 genome editing study. With the draft sweet basil genome in hand, we will be able to design guide RNAs that will knock out both homeologous genes, as well as to screen for genome-wide off-targets. The reference genome and gene models developed here will also enable us to evade exon–exon junctions when designing guide RNAs, especially if there are large introns in the target gene that harden the sequencing of the relevant genomic region.

3.3. Genome annotations and gene models construction

A total of 157.9 million RNA-seq read-pairs, accounting for about 32 Gbp, were generated (Supplementary Table S4). After the final MAKER run (see Materials and Methods), 74,150 constructed genes were evident. We then used Blast2GO and UNIPROT to account for the protein-coding genes. After proteins smaller than 50 amino acids had been filtered out, it was determined that the basil genome contains 62,067 protein-coding genes (Supplementary File S1). Of them, 10,665 genes have alternative models. These numbers are in a good agreement with the numbers of genes and high-confidence genes found by Bornowski et al. Here, we used ~10 times more RNA-seq data from all plant parts, which plausibly produced more complete and less fragmented gene models. Indeed, the analysis of the BUSCO gene-set in our genome was more complete, with less fragmented and missing genes. We also found higher percentages of duplicated genes in the set (74% vs. 56%), suggesting a better capture of homeologous genes. Finally, of the annotated genes, 55,484 proteins were assigned to at least one GO process, function or cellular component (Supplementary Fig. S1).

3.4. Phylogenetic analysis

We used the known systematics of sweet basil within the plant kingdom and within the Lamiaceae family to further validate the data. To this end, we used O. basilicum’s closest relative for which the genome has been sequenced, i.e., holy basil, O. sanctum, and two other members of the Lamiaceae family with available published genomes, i.e., the teak tree, Tectona grandis, and scarlet sage, S. splendens. We also included in the phylogenetic tree two species belonging to the order Limiales, namely, snapdragon, Antirrhinum majus, and the Asiatic witchweed, Striga asiatica. Another 12 eudicots from nine families and two monocots were included in the analysis to provide a broader phylogenetic view. The determination of phylogenetic relationships between gene sequences was performed with the OrthoFinder interface. Ocimum basilicum fell into the Lamiaceae family within the Lamiidae order (Fig. 1). The tree gives genomic certainty to the place of sweet basil within the plant kingdom and within the Lamiaceae. The tree confirmed that the closest species to O. basilicum is O. sanctum. To assess the similarity of the O. basilicum genes to those of O. sanctum, a sequence similarity analysis was performed using the BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) algorithm with an e-value cut-off of 10⁻³. The mean similarity between the proteins of sweet basil and those of holy basil was 72% amino acid identity, with a median of 80%. Another close species to sweet basil in the Ocimum genus is Ocimum americanum, whose genome is yet to be published. Various ploidy levels have been reported for that species, but successful crosses of O. basilicum and O. americanum for breeding purposes have been obtained.

Although there is no genome available, there are RNA-seq data for O. americanum in the NCBI database. We used STAR to align the raw reads to the sweet basil genome and found a 78% overall read mapping rate and a 59% concordant pair alignment rate. The above-described validations, taken together, indicate that we are publishing a robust genome and annotations that will serve the scientific community for many purposes, such as breeding programs with inter- and intra-specific crosses, quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping, development of molecular markers, gene expression experiments and phylogenetic analyses.

3.5. Gene expression analysis using RNA sequencing

Altogether, 87% of the read-pairs were uniquely mapped to the genome, and 9% were mapped to multiple loci (Table 4). The relatively
high rate of mapping to multiple loci is probably due to basil tetraploidy, with fragments of homeologous genes being highly similar to each other. The overall mapping rate of all RNA-seq reads was 96% (Table 4), indicating very good continuity of the assembly. This high mapping rate in comparison to the 83% of read-pairs mapped by Bornowski et al.15 to their genome is probably a result of the higher contiguity and homozygosity of our genome. Next, we evaluated gene expression levels in the different tissues and looked for differentially expressed genes. Overall, 1,029 to 8,832 genes were differentially expressed between at least two different tissues (p-adjust < 0.001, fold change > 4) (Table 5).

### Table 4. RNA-sequencing mapping statistics

| Tissue   | Input read-pairs | % of uniquely mapped reads | % of reads mapped to multiple loci | Overall mapping rate (%) |
|----------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Leaves   | 61,790,493       | 86                        | 10                                | 96                       |
| Flowers  | 59,970,402       | 87                        | 8                                 | 95                       |
| Stem     | 20,034,193       | 88                        | 8                                 | 96                       |
| Roots    | 16,200,077       | 89                        | 9                                 | 98                       |
| Total    | 157,995,165      | 87                        | 9                                 | 96                       |

*aNumbers indicate read-pairs of the PE libraries. The total number of reads was double.

*bSuccessful mapping was when both pair’s reads were mapped.

3.6. Phenylpropanoid volatiles biosynthesis pathway in tetraploid sweet basil

Eugenol, accumulated by Genovese-type basils such as ‘Perrie’, and methyl chavicol, accumulated by Thai-type basils such as ‘Cardinal’, determine the aroma, and hence the chemotype, of the cultivar. The biosynthesis pathway of these volatiles is partially known (Fig. 2), and four genes and four aroma genes have previously been characterized.50–53 Here, we analysed their prevalence in the two subgenomes and estimated the redundancy effect that could influence genome editing attempts. We used BLASTN to assign the known genes to their genomic location and to their Gene ID. The coding genes of the four enzymes, p-coumaroyl shikimate 3’-hydroxylase (CS3’H),50 eugenol synthase (EGS),51 coniferyl alcohol acetyltransferase (CAAT),52 and eugenol O-methyl-transferase (EOMT),53 were characterized for eugenol/methyl eugenol biosynthesis.
3.6.1. p-Coumaroyl shikimate 3'-hydroxylase

Gang et al.\textsuperscript{50} characterized two genes encoding CS3'H enzymes, namely, \textit{ObCS3'H1} and \textit{ObCS3'H2} (GenBank accession numbers AY082611 and AY082612, respectively), which are 98.2\% homologous. BLASTN analysis indicated two scaffolds, 33 and 243, harboring one CS3'H gene, each with three exons (Supplementary Fig. S2A). These two scaffolds are considered homologous since they share six BUSCO genes (Supplementary Table S3). Homology analysis of these genes, XLOC\_034008 (in scaffold 33) and XLOC\_024688 (in scaffold 234), with the previously characterized genes \textit{ObCS3'H1} and \textit{ObCS3'H2}, showed that the gene in scaffold 33 is \textit{ObCS3'H1} (99.5\% homology) and the gene in scaffold 234 is \textit{ObCS3'H2} (99.1\% homology) (Supplementary Fig. S2B). The minor differences are probably due to the different cultivars used for the genome construction and the original \textit{ObCS3'H} study.\textsuperscript{50} The encoded proteins were found to be highly identical, with only minor amino acid substitutions (Supplementary Fig. S3). The sequenced genome and the RNA-seq performed in this study enabled a gene-specific expression analysis showing that both genes were expressed in all sampled tissues, namely, leaves, flowers, stems and roots (Fig. 3A). To check for expression bias, we performed a paired Student’s \textit{t}-test across all eight samples and found a bias toward \textit{ObCS3'H2} (\(p < 0.01\)); nonetheless, it seems that both homeologous genes might contribute to the CS3'H enzymatic activity in the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway (Fig. 3A).

3.6.2. Eugenol synthase

Only one EGS encoding gene, \textit{ObEGS1} (GenBank accession number DQ372812), was characterized by Koeduka et al.\textsuperscript{51} However, as for \textit{ObCS3'H}, BLASTN analysis indicated two \textit{EGS} genes, one in...
whether both homeologous genes contribute to eugenol biosynthesis and whether this protein encodes an active enzyme. The question of whether both genes are expressed mainly in the leaves and the flowers (Fig. 3B). A paired Student’s t-test showed a bias in expression toward leaves and flowers, or of one biological repeat (stem and roots). ObEGS2 is used for qRT-PCR may not distinguish between the two transcripts. These findings demonstrate how the genome and annotations published here will help researchers to overcome similar difficulties in future studies.

### 3.6.3. Coniferyl alcohol acetyltransferase

We used our sequenced genome and expression data to explain the results of a recent RNAi-based functional characterization of sweet basil CAAT, which showed only an approximately 50% reduction both in gene expression and in eugenol accumulation. We thus investigated whether the knocked-down gene, ObCAAT1, might be redundant in the sweet basil genome, causing residual enzymatic activity. We found two genes homologous to ObCAAT1, XLOC_042295 located on scaffold 4172, which is 100% identical to ObCAAT1, and XLOC_040540 (termed ObCAAT3) located on scaffold 407, which is 97% identical to ObCAAT1 (Supplementary Fig. S6). These scaffolds are plausibly homeologous, since they share 12 BUSCO genes (Supplementary Table S3). The amino acid sequences of the two proteins suggest that they are functional, since they do not have a premature stop codon and since the critical DFGWG and HxxxD motifs are conserved in both proteins (Supplementary Fig. S7). Both genes were expressed in high levels in leaf and flower tissues (Fig. 3C). Although a paired t-test showed bias toward ObCAAT1 expression (z < 0.05), the expression of ObCAAT3 supported its role in coniferyl acetate biosynthesis. We speculate that the RNAi fragment designed by Dhar et al. was specific to ObCAAT1 but did not alter the expression of ObCAAT3, thereby causing only a partial reduction in eugenol biosynthesis. In contrast, the primers designed for qRT-PCR may not distinguish between the two transcripts.

![Figure 3. Expression of phenylpropanoid volatiles biosynthetic genes in sweet basil. The normalized gene expression of: (A) coniferyl alcohol 3'-hydroxylases (CS3H); (B) eugenol synthases (EGS); (C) coniferyl alcohol acetyltransferases (CAAT). Gene expression levels were determined based on RNA-seq data obtained [fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM)]. Values are means of three biological repeats ± SE (leaves and flowers), or of one biological repeat (stem and roots). ObCS3H/2 are the genes characterized by Gang et al.; ObEGS1 is the gene characterized by Koeduka et al.; and ObCAAT1 is the gene sequenced and silenced by Dhar et al.](image-url)

![Figure S4A. These two scaffolds share only three BUSCO genes (Supplementary Table S3), but since scaffold 3082 is very small (1 Mbp), those scaffolds were considered as homeologous. Multiple sequence alignment of these two genes, XLOC_042294 (in scaffold 162) and XLOC_034724 (in scaffold 3082), with the characterized gene ObEGS1, showed that the gene in scaffold 3082 is truncated, while the gene in scaffold 162 is homologous to ObEGS1 (Supplementary Fig. S4B). Amino acid alignment of the encoded proteins revealed that ObEGS1 and EGS_162 are 100% identical, but EGS_3082, termed ObEGS2, is truncated (Supplementary Fig. S5), as a result of a frameshift due to a 2-bp insertion (Supplementary Fig. S4B). We utilized the sequenced genome and the RNA-seq data to perform gene-specific expression analysis and found that both ObEGS1 and the truncated ObEGS2 are expressed mainly in the leaves and the flowers (Fig. 3B). A paired Student’s t-test across all eight samples showed a bias in expression toward ObEGS1 (z < 0.01). The fact that the truncated ObEGS2 was expressed raises the question of whether this protein encodes an active enzyme. The question of whether both homeologous genes contribute to eugenol biosynthesis thus remains open for further research and discussion.

### 3.6.4. Eugenol O-methyl-transferase

Two basil O-methyl transferase (OMT) genes have been characterized, EOMT (GenBank accession number AF435008) and chavicol O-methyl transferase (CVOMT; GenBank accession number AF435007). Both these genes encode proteins that are 90% identical. A single amino acid, serine 261 or phenylalanine 260, determines the enzyme’s role as EOMT or CVOMT, respectively. The genomic context of these two similar genes is unknown, and whether they are two alleles of the same gene, homologous genes or homeologous genes is yet to be discovered. Since ‘Perrie’ is a eugenol-type basil, we used ObEOMT as a query for BLASTN analysis against the genome. Four putative locations showed more than 90% homology with the ObEOMT gene, two on scaffold 9 and two on scaffold 407. These scaffolds are not considered homeologous, as they do not share any BUSCO gene. On scaffold 407, the putative genes are located 956 bp apart and in opposite directions (Fig. 4A). However, they are both truncated to a size of ~750 bp, while ObEOMT is 1,074 nucleotides in size. Moreover, there are several stop codons in both genes (Fig. 4A), indicating inactive proteins, if translated. On scaffold 9, the two genes (XLOC_068107 and XLOC_068808), composed of two exons each, are located in opposite directions (~8.1 Mbp apart) (Fig. 4A). They are 98% homologous, but only ~92% homologous to ObEOMT and ~96% to ObCVOMT. On the amino acid level, they are 97.5% identical, ~90% identical to ObEOMT and ~96.5% identical to ObCVOMT. Although more similar to ObCVOMT, the catalytic serine 261 residue of ObEOMT is conserved in both proteins (Fig. 4B). This serine residue, which determines the nature of the OMT enzyme to catalyze methyl eugenol but not methyl chavicol biosynthesis, is coherent with the eugenol chemotype of ‘Perrie’ cultivar. Given the truncated OMT genes on scaffold 407, we conclude that only a single subgenome contributes to methyl eugenol accumulation in sweet basil. Similar findings were obtained for EGS, but whether the active ObEOMT and ObEGS are positioned on the same subgenome is yet to be determined. Moreover, since the ‘Perrie’ genome does not harbor an OMT...
coding gene with catalytic phenylalanine to promote methyl chavicol production, it is reasonable to conclude that ObEOMT and ObCVOMT are two alleles of the same gene(s). In our dataset, extracted from the seventh and eighth leaf-pair positions, there was no expression of ObEOMT genes, a finding that is in keeping with our prior observation that the ‘Perrie’ cultivar accumulates methyl eugenol exclusively in the first and second leaf-pair positions.55

4. Concluding remarks

The draft genome of sweet basil published here illustrates the importance of a contiguous reference genome for this tetraploid plant. The high contiguity of the genome assembled here enabled us to take the initial steps toward a complete homeologous analysis. The dissection of the phenylpropanoid volatiles biosynthetic pathway into homeologous scaffolds provides essential information for future studies
focusing on pathway discoveries, genome editing and molecular breeding. Such an analysis has been shown to be essential for overcoming the polyploids inherent redundancy, which is an obstacle to achieve successful gene(s) knockout in transgenic and gene-edited plants.
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Supplementary data are available at DNARES online.
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