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Online-Supplemental Material A: Description of Data

Board of Dentistry (BOD)

Each state’s Board of Dentistry (BOD) maintains a roster of dental care providers. Data were retrieved on a state-by-state basis. While rosters may vary in their columns/attributes, we focused on retrieving a standardized set of information from each state: the provider’s full name (first, last and if applicable, middle), complete address (street address, city, state, zip code), county, license type and license expiration date. Certain states’ BOD datasets had providers with incomplete names, addresses and/or counties. These anomalies were recorded in eTable 1.
Table 1. Analysis of each state’s Board of Dentistry Dataset (including Date of Acquisition and Dentist-Specific Characteristics)

| State | Date Acquired | First Name | Last Name | Street Address | City | State | Zip | County |
|-------|---------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------|-------|-----|--------|
| AL    | 11/20/2018    | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | I      |
| AK    | 10/8/2018     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| AZ    | 9/14/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| AR    | 7/26/2019     | C          | I         | I              | I    | I     | I   | I      |
| CA    | 7/23/2020     | I          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| CO    | 10/8/2018     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | I      |
| CT    | 4/3/2019      | C          | C         | I              | I    | C     | C   | I      |
| DC    | 7/20/2020     | C          | C         | I              | C    | I     | C   | M      |
| DE    | 9/5/2019      | C          | C         | M              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| FL    | 9/22/2018     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| GA    | 11/12/2019    | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| HI    | M             | M          | M         | M              | M    | M     | M   | M      |
| ID    | 9/3/2019      | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| IL    | 1/28/2020     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| IN    | 7/25/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| IA    | 7/23/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | I      |
| KS    | 9/30/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| KY    | 9/4/2019      | C          | I         | I              | I    | I     | I   | I      |
| LA    | 2/5/2020      | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| ME    | 10/8/2018     | C          | C         | M              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| MD    | 9/10/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| MA    | 10/8/2018     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| MI    | 11/18/2019    | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| MN    | 11/18/2019    | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| MS    | 10/11/2019    | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | I      |
| MO    | 7/21/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| MT    | 7/25/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| NE    | 7/25/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| NV    | 9/30/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| NH    | 9/25/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| NJ    | 10/8/2018     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| NM    | 8/19/2020     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| NY    | 11/15/2019    | C          | C         | I              | C    | C     | C   | I      |
| NC    | 9/24/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| ND    | 9/18/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| OH    | 4/4/2019      | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| OK    | 9/25/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | I      |
| OR    | 9/5/2019      | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| PA    | 7/29/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| RI    | 9/12/2018     | C          | C         | C              | I    | C     | C   | M      |
| SC    | 8/29/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| SD    | 9/4/2019      | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| TN    | 4/4/2019      | C          | C         | C              | C    | I     | I   | C      |
| TX    | 7/21/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | C      |
| UT    | 9/4/2019      | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| VT    | 10/8/2018     | C          | C         | I              | I    | I     | I   | M      |
| VA    | 7/26/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| WA    | M             | M          | M         | M              | M    | M     | M   | M      |
| WV    | 4/5/2019      | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | I      |
| WI    | 7/26/2019     | C          | I         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |
| WY    | 8/29/2019     | C          | C         | C              | C    | C     | C   | M      |

* Analysis of specific characteristics of the data provided by each state's Board of Dentistry. Entries may be denoted by "C" (Complete) meaning >90% of rows have data for a specific category, “I” (Incomplete) meaning >=10% of rows do not have data, or “M” (Missing) meaning there is no data.
When we had incomplete or missing data attributes, we modified the data matching algorithm to cross-reference names and other information from the other two datasets to fill in the incomplete information. For dentists with a missing county in the BOD database, we were able to obtain census tract and county data using Texas A&M Geoservices. For all dentists who had an incomplete address in the BOD database and were not found in the IKN database, their address in the NPPES data was assigned as their office location. However, if this address was out of state, or if the dentist was unable to match with a dentist in the NPPES data, then we performed manual search using Google and Facebook pages. If there was no in-state address found in any of the three databases or via manual search, then we discarded the rows if the number of dentists was insignificant in terms of the state’s dentist population. 0.66% and 2.2% of dentists in Tennessee and New York, respectively, were discarded using this method.

The Arkansas BOD dataset provided the dentists’ mailing address instead of their office address. For the dentists listed in the BOD data who were unable to match with a dentist in both the IKN and NPPES datasets, the mailing address was used as the dental office. The address in the NPPES data was used for 33% of the state’s dentist population, and the mailing address provided in the BOD data was used for 18% of the state’s dentists.

For 14.2% of the dentists in Wisconsin, the address listed in the BOD dataset consisted of just the city, state, and zip code of the dental office. The first of three approaches to extract address information for these dentists entailed sampling data from the existing complete addresses. Specifically, we listed all the complete addresses grouped by zip code and then assigned one of those addresses to a provider with an incomplete address, ensuring that the provider’s zip code stayed the same. This procedure ensured that the rurality/urbanicity classification assigned to each provider would remain unchanged, since each zip code mapped to a specific RUCA code ranging from 1 to 10. This procedure was able to cover 11.4% of the state’s dentist population. It could not cover those providers whose zip codes were not found in the list of existing addresses. The second method consisted of searching the dentist with the given zip code to try to find their complete address with the same zip code, using Google Maps and Facebook company pages. This technique worked for most of the remaining incomplete addresses (2.4% of the dentist population). The last method involved entering the city, state, and zip code into Google Maps and then picking an address that included the same information, which was used for the remaining dentists (0.4% of the dentist population).

Washington D.C.’s BOD dataset also contained incomplete addresses for several dentists (9.8% of the dentist population), which consisted of the city, state, and zip code. For the dentists listed in the BOD data which were unable to match with a dentist in both the IKN and NPPES datasets, the zip code provided in the BOD dataset was used to extract the corresponding census tract, and an address in the same census tract was assigned to the dentist.

**National Plan and Provider Enumeration System (NPPES)**

The NPPES database includes all dental care providers (active or inactive), without the specification of which providers are currently active. We acquired the data from Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) in 2020. CMS has developed the NPPES to assign a unique identifier for health care providers and health plans. The database is updated monthly; however not all providers update their information as soon as their information changes, such as their office location.

NPPES contains a registry of all dental healthcare providers with a National Provider Identifier (NPI), a 10-digit random number unique to each healthcare provider or organization. Each NPI is unique although there may be multiple NPIs with the same address; when this is the case, it means that multiple providers practice at the same address. The attributes in the data used in our analysis were:

- **Entity Type**: Entity 1 for individual (physicians, sole proprietors); Entity 2 for dental care practices.
- **Address**: Each NPI record has two specified addresses: a billing address and a practice address. Among the two, CMS verifies the second, but there is not control on whether the physician effectively works in the provided address.
- **Taxonomy Code**: A Code designating the provider type, classification, and specialization. Each provider can specify up to 15 different taxonomy codes. The taxonomy codes considered in this analysis were:

  - Dentist - 122300000X (General Dentist)
The taxonomies listed above were chosen because they represent the types of providers where children would receive preventive or restorative care. We further group these taxonomies as follows:

- **General** = 'General Practice', 'Dentist', 'Dental Public Health'
- **Pediatric** = 'Pediatric Dentistry'
- **Specialist** = 'Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics', 'Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery', 'Endodontics', 'Periodontics', 'Prosthodontics', 'Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology', 'Dentist Anesthesiologist', 'Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology'.

We included multiple types of dentists into the category of specialized dentists because of their overall low participation in public insurance programs; further analysis by specialization is possible using our data however we didn’t include such results to keep the description of the data simple.

**InsureKidsNow.gov (IKN)**

The IKN database consists of all dental care providers reporting that accept public insurance in their practice. These data include the name of the provider, or of his/her practice, the address of the provider and his/her specialty. There are several challenges with this data. The IKN data may have repeats (same name and same address), which were removed prior to using the data matching algorithm. However, multiple providers have the same name but with different addresses. This can arise from multiple reasons, the most common being that a given dentist practices at multiple addresses.

**Online-Supplemental Material B: Data Matching Algorithm**

**BOD Processing**

With each state, the matching procedure commenced by processing each state’s BOD data. In the processing step, the goal was to establish a set of dentists with the following criteria:

1. Have an active license;
2. Have an in-state address; and
3. Have a current practice.

When the column of license status was included in the data, we considered those who are active or on probation but dropped those whose licenses have been suspended or revoked. When no information of license status is provided, we then used license expiration date to drop off the inactive dentists.

**NPPES and IKN Processing and Matching**

After the processing of the BOD data, the IKN and NPPES databases were then processed and matched for each state. Unlike the BOD data, the IKN and NPPES data could largely be pre-processed on a national level. This preprocessing included tasks such as adding a specialty column to the NPPES data, including the re-grouping of the listed taxonomy, as provided in Supplemental Material A, and standardizing the names and addresses of the IKN data, so that duplicates could be dropped out. These pre-processed databases were read into python algorithm code for each state.

The IKN and NPPES databases were then matched using an inner join on the National Provider Index (NPI). Generally, because of blank or inaccurate NPI values in the IKN data, another layer of matching needed to be
completed using an inner join of first and last name pairs. Afterwards, the two databases joined separately on NPI’s and names were combined and further filtered to remove duplicate address and full name combinations. If the matching was performed correctly, the IKN match rate usually was around 96%.

**BOD to IKN-NPPES Matching**

The BOD data were matched using an inner join on first and last name pairs with the previously matched IKN-NPPES database. For dentists that did not match, Python FuzzyWuzzy function was used to pair more dentists between the databases. The matching score obtained using Python FuzzyWuzzy needed to be greater than 95 to qualify as a match. Finally, the matches obtained from both methods, FuzzyWuzzy and the inner join, were concatenated into one BOD-IKN-NPPES database.

The goal of the matching was to maximize the IKN match rate with both the NPPES and BOD databases. Therefore, dentists in the IKN data that were not matched into the BOD-IKN-NPPES database were cycled through another matching process with just the BOD first and last name pairs, again requiring a matching score of at least 95 to qualify as a match. Because this new BOD-IKN set of dentists had not matched with the NPPES database, the set required generating a specialty for each dentist, either general, pediatric, or specialized. The numbers and percentages of these BOD-IKN matched dentists are provided in eTable 2.
### eTable 2. BOD-IKN Matched Dentists Unable to Match with a Dentist in the NPPES Database

| State | Total number of dentists | Total number of BOD-IKN Matched dentists | Proportion of dentist population (%) |
|-------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| AL    | 2145                     | 152                                   | 7                                   |
| AK    | 592                      | 16                                    | 3                                   |
| AZ    | 4178                     | 103                                   | 2                                   |
| AR    | 1354                     | 28                                    | 2                                   |
| CA    | 35126                    | 236                                   | 1                                   |
| CO    | 4199                     | 101                                   | 2                                   |
| CT    | 2847                     | 82                                    | 3                                   |
| DE    | 418                      | 21                                    | 5                                   |
| DC    | 766                      | 70                                    | 9                                   |
| FL    | 11871                    | 184                                   | 2                                   |
| GA    | 5139                     | 191                                   | 4                                   |
| ID    | 1048                     | 15                                    | 1                                   |
| IL    | 9242                     | 176                                   | 2                                   |
| IN    | 3541                     | 68                                    | 2                                   |
| IA    | 1807                     | 122                                   | 7                                   |
| KS    | 1534                     | 13                                    | 1                                   |
| KY    | 2506                     | 23                                    | 1                                   |
| LA    | 2269                     | 93                                    | 4                                   |
| ME    | 728                      | 6                                     | 1                                   |
| MD    | 4308                     | 68                                    | 2                                   |
| MA    | 6019                     | 127                                   | 2                                   |
| MI    | 6942                     | 226                                   | 3                                   |
| MN    | 3083                     | 101                                   | 3                                   |
| MS    | 1299                     | 77                                    | 6                                   |
| MO    | 3176                     | 25                                    | 1                                   |
| MT    | 654                      | 13                                    | 2                                   |
| NE    | 1266                     | 13                                    | 1                                   |
| NV    | 1708                     | 28                                    | 2                                   |
| NH    | 1079                     | 24                                    | 2                                   |
| NJ    | 8026                     | 200                                   | 2                                   |
| NM    | 1070                     | 22                                    | 2                                   |
| NY    | 13199                    | 242                                   | 2                                   |
| NC    | 5454                     | 119                                   | 2                                   |
| ND    | 558                      | 13                                    | 2                                   |
| OH    | 6282                     | 67                                    | 1                                   |
| OK    | 2012                     | 56                                    | 3                                   |
| OR    | 2859                     | 44                                    | 2                                   |
| PA    | 7941                     | 289                                   | 4                                   |
| RI    | 579                      | 10                                    | 2                                   |
| SC    | 2685                     | 53                                    | 2                                   |
| SD    | 367                      | 19                                    | 5                                   |
| TN    | 3000                     | 44                                    | 1                                   |
| TX    | 15981                    | 151                                   | 1                                   |
| UT    | 2343                     | 49                                    | 2                                   |
| VT    | 417                       | 14                                    | 3                                   |
| VA    | 5708                     | 109                                   | 2                                   |
| WV    | 850                      | 56                                    | 7                                   |

*a The first column displays the total number of dentists in each state. The second column displays the total number of dentists in the IKN database that only matched with a dentist in the state’s BOD database, and the third column displays this number as a percentage of the state’s dentist population.*
Existing data in the IKN and/or BOD datasets were used to assign a specialty for these dentists, such as the name of their practice provided in the IKN data. For the providers with no specialty information in both the BOD and IKN databases, we further searched for their practice using a common search engine (e.g., Google). If their practice was not found using this method, then we sampled their specialty from a multinomial distribution with the probability values estimated using the derived IKN-BOD-NPPES matches. Finally, the BOD-IKN-NPPES matched database and the BOD-IKN matched database with the simulated specialty keys were merged into one final database.

**Stratification by Public Insurance Program Participation**

The data were stratified depending on the ‘Program Type’ of each dentist. The IKN data shows that dentists fall into one of the following categories:

1. Dentists accepting Medicaid only;
2. Dentists accepting CHIP only;
3. Dentists accepting Medicaid and CHIP; and
4. Dentists not accepting either insurance program.

A binary value was assigned to each dentist to signify which insurance programs they accepted.

**Caseload Distribution of Dentists Accepting Public Insurance**

Some of the dentists had more than one appearance in the IKN data generally because of a dentist having multiple offices. For these dentists, a key assumption was that such a dentist gives an equal amount of time to each of his/her offices. Each dentist was given a ‘head value’ of 1.0, which would be split equally based on the number of locations in which he/she practiced and whether the dentist accepted Medicaid, CHIP, or both programs at each location. If a provider accepted both Medicaid and CHIP at a single location, then this would be counted as two ‘appearances’ to account for the provider’s participation in both programs.

Based upon the head values of dentists with their corresponding specialty designation, each address was assigned a total ‘head count’ of dentists within each specialty. Thus, for each office location, we have information on whether the dentists are accepting public insurance programs as well as the total head count of dentists by provider type.

**Dentists Not Accepting Public Insurance**

Using each state’s list of dentists in the IKN data, dentists in the BOD data were extracted that did not match with a dentist in the IKN data. Next, this list of names was matched with the NPPES database using an inner join of first and last name pairs to derive the provider type for these dentists. The remaining dentists not matched into the BOD-NPPES database were assigned a specialty using existing data provided in the BOD dataset (e.g., license type). If no specialty information could be extracted from either dataset, we sampled their specialty from a multinomial distribution with the probability values estimated using the derived matches in the BOD-NPPES database.

**Location Information**

Using Texas A&M GeoServices, census tract and GPS coordinates were obtained for the addresses of the dentists not accepting public insurance. The addresses provided in the IKN database already had GPS coordinates and county information. The use of Texas A&M’s GeoServices allowed for further validation of the matching process to confirm that the addresses were accurate. The geocoded addresses were further used for deriving the rurality/urbanicity classification of the dental offices as well as in mapping.

**Online-Supplemental Material C: Additional Results**

The results in eTable 3 and eFigure 1 complement the results in the main manuscript.
eTable 3. Total Number of Dentists and Percentage of Dentists by Rurality/Urbanicity Classification (Urban, Suburban, Rural) and Taxonomy (General, Pediatric, Specialist) for Each State

| State | Total number of dentists | Urban (%)<sup>a</sup> | Suburban (%) | Rural (%) | General (%) | Pediatric (%) | Specialist (%) |
|-------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| AL    | 2145                     | 82                     | 11           | 7         | 80          | 5             | 16             |
| AK    | 592                      | 57                     | 18           | 25        | 90          | 3             | 7              |
| AZ    | 4178                     | 94                     | 4            | 2         | 84          | 4             | 13             |
| AR    | 1354                     | 70                     | 16           | 13        | 87          | 3             | 9              |
| CA    | 35126                    | 97                     | 2            | 1         | 85          | 3             | 12             |
| CO    | 4199                     | 89                     | 6            | 5         | 81          | 4             | 15             |
| CT    | 2847                     | 97                     | 2            | 0         | 79          | 4             | 17             |
| DE    | 418                      | 87                     | 10           | 3         | 80          | 3             | 17             |
| DC    | 766                      | 100                    | 0            | 0         | 81          | 4             | 15             |
| FL    | 11871                    | 98                     | 1            | 1         | 83          | 3             | 14             |
| GA    | 5139                     | 90                     | 7            | 3         | 83          | 4             | 13             |
| ID    | 1048                     | 91                     | 6            | 4         | 85          | 3             | 12             |
| IL    | 9242                     | 94                     | 3            | 3         | 89          | 2             | 9              |
| IN    | 3541                     | 84                     | 11           | 5         | 86          | 3             | 11             |
| IA    | 1807                     | 67                     | 12           | 20        | 84          | 3             | 13             |
| KS    | 1534                     | 73                     | 17           | 10        | 88          | 2             | 10             |
| KY    | 2506                     | 68                     | 20           | 12        | 83          | 3             | 14             |
| LA    | 2269                     | 91                     | 6            | 4         | 85          | 3             | 12             |
| ME    | 728                      | 60                     | 16           | 24        | 84          | 3             | 13             |
| MD    | 4308                     | 97                     | 2            | 1         | 82          | 3             | 15             |
| MA    | 6019                     | 98                     | 1            | 1         | 79          | 4             | 17             |
| MI    | 6942                     | 87                     | 7            | 6         | 88          | 1             | 10             |
| MN    | 3083                     | 79                     | 11           | 10        | 87          | 2             | 11             |
| MS    | 1299                     | 54                     | 30           | 15        | 86          | 4             | 10             |
| MO    | 3176                     | 83                     | 9            | 8         | 86          | 2             | 12             |
| MT    | 654                      | 40                     | 31           | 28        | 85          | 3             | 12             |
| NE    | 1266                     | 73                     | 15           | 13        | 86          | 3             | 11             |
| NV    | 1708                     | 93                     | 5            | 3         | 86          | 4             | 11             |
| NH    | 1079                     | 66                     | 24           | 10        | 79          | 2             | 18             |
| NJ    | 8026                     | 99                     | 1            | 0         | 78          | 4             | 18             |
| NM    | 1070                     | 77                     | 15           | 8         | 88          | 2             | 10             |
| NY    | 13199                    | 94                     | 3            | 2         | 80          | 4             | 16             |
| NC    | 5454                     | 84                     | 11           | 5         | 86          | 4             | 10             |
| ND    | 558                      | 58                     | 23           | 20        | 86          | 2             | 12             |
| OH    | 6282                     | 85                     | 11           | 4         | 83          | 3             | 14             |
| OK    | 2012                     | 70                     | 20           | 10        | 88          | 2             | 10             |
| OR    | 2859                     | 83                     | 12           | 5         | 85          | 4             | 11             |
| PA    | 7941                     | 92                     | 6            | 3         | 82          | 3             | 15             |
| RI    | 579                      | 100                    | 0            | 0         | 78          | 4             | 18             |
| SC    | 2685                     | 86                     | 11           | 3         | 85          | 3             | 13             |
| SD    | 367                      | 50                     | 28           | 21        | 83          | 3             | 14             |
| TN    | 3000                     | 81                     | 12           | 7         | 83          | 4             | 13             |
| TX    | 15981                    | 93                     | 5            | 3         | 84          | 4             | 12             |
| UT    | 2343                     | 88                     | 7            | 5         | 82          | 5             | 13             |
| VT    | 417                      | 37                     | 22           | 41        | 84          | 3             | 13             |
| VA    | 5708                     | 93                     | 3            | 4         | 83          | 3             | 14             |

<sup>a</sup> Percentages for each state (urban, suburban, rural; general, pediatric, specialist) may not add to 100 because of rounding.
eFigure 1: Dentists’ Participation in CHIP, Medicaid and Public Insurance (Medicaid or CHIP) for Each County

|    | WV  | 76 | 12 | 13 | 87 | 2 | 12 |
|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| WI | 3761| 77 | 9  | 14 | 86 | 3 | 11 |
| WY | 343 | 31 | 38 | 31 | 88 | 3 | 9  |

Percent of Dentists who Offer CHIP by County

Percent of Dentists who Offer Medicaid by County
Percent of Dentists who Offer Public Insurance by County

Public Insurance %
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eFigure 2. Dental Care Availability for Children in the United States: State Reports

State reports for all states excluding Alaska, Hawaii, and Washington, and also including Washington D.C. are attached in this section.
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

| Provider Type | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| General       | 79.58%  |           |            |
| Pediatric     | 4.66%   |           |            |
| Specialist    | 15.76%  |           |            |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 0.65%        | 19.77%    | 10.02%            | 69.56%             |
| Suburban            | 2            | 166       | 30                | 28                 |
| Rural               | 2            | 116       | 22                | 19                 |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 1358    | 90        | 312        |
| Suburban            | 200     | 8         | 19         |
| Rural               | 150     | 2         | 8          |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 10           | 1209      | 163               | 377                |
| Suburban            | 2            | 166       | 30                | 28                 |
| Rural               | 2            | 116       | 22                | 19                 |
Number of dentists

Number of dental hygienists

Number of dentists participating in Medicaid

Number of dentists participating in CHIP
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This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

| Provider Type | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban         | 83.75%  | 3.59%     | 12.66%     |
| Suburban      | 83.47%  | 7.73%     | 9.80%      |
| Rural         | 78.84%  | 6.7%      | 14.46%     |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 3308    | 143       | 515        |
| Suburban            | 135     | 7         | 15         |
| Rural               | 83      | 1         | 3          |

### Number of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 1138            | 2828               |
| Suburban            | 50              | 107                |
| Rural               | 34              | 52                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 943             | 2582               |
| Pediatric     | 113             | 38                 |
| Specialist    | 166             | 367                |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 87.30%
- **Pediatric**: 3.25%
- **Specialist**: 9.45%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 804     | 36        | 114        |
| Suburban            | 202     | 7         | 13         |
| Rural               | 176     | 0         | 2          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 49.41%
- **No Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 50.59%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 430             | 524                |
| Suburban            | 122             | 100                |
| Rural               | 116             | 61                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 558             | 624                |
| Pediatric     | 40              | 4                  |
| Specialist    | 72              | 57                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

| Provider Type | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Rurality-Urbanicity | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
| Name | 28778 | 724 | 303 |
| Percentages | 981 | 18 | 3 |
| Percentages | 4262 | 80 | 13 |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Name | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
| Number | 3652 | 42 | 10 |
| Number | 30368 | 781 | 309 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General | 3251 | 26560 |
| Pediatric | 111 | 891 |
| Specialist | 345 | 4011 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- General: 80.96%
- Pediatric: 3.71%
- Specialist: 15.33%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 2997    | 143       | 605        |
| Suburban            | 215     | 9         | 26         |
| Rural               | 188     | 4         | 13         |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- Medicaid Only: 36.02%
- CHIP Only: 28.85%
- Medicaid and CHIP: 9.95%
- No Medicaid or CHIP: 25.18%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 382           | 931       | 1063              | 1369               |
| Suburban            | 23            | 70        | 87                | 70                 |
| Rural               | 13            | 57        | 62                | 73                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 240           | 979       | 1015              | 1167                |
| Pediatric     | 20            | 15        | 96                | 25                  |
| Specialist    | 158           | 64        | 101               | 321                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

| Provider Type | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| General       | 2176    | 110       | 481        |
| Suburban      | 57      | 3         | 9          |
| Rural         | 10      | 0         | 1          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

| Participation | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban         | 1085            | 1682               |
| Suburban      | 37              | 32                 |
| Rural         | 3               | 8                  |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type    | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General          | 837             | 1406               |
| Pediatric        | 94              | 19                 |
| Specialist       | 194             | 297                |
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This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Dental Care Supply

**DELAWARE**

#### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 80.14%
- **Pediatric**: 3.35%
- **Specialist**: 16.51%

#### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 62.92%
- **No Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 37.08%

#### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 292     | 12        | 61         |
| Suburban            | 32      | 2         | 8          |
| Rural               | 11      | 0         | 0          |

#### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 234             | 132                |
| Suburban            | 22              | 19                 |
| Rural               | 7               | 4                  |

#### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 207             | 128                |
| Pediatric     | 11              | 3                  |
| Specialist    | 45              | 24                 |
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This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

| Provider Type | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban         | 618     | 31        | 116        |
| Suburban      | 0       | 0         | 0          |
| Rural         | 0       | 0         | 0          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

| Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban           | 191                | 575                |
| Suburban        | 0                  | 0                  |
| Rural           | 0                  | 0                  |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 161             | 457                |
| Pediatric     | 13              | 18                 |
| Specialist    | 16              | 100                |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 82.92%
- **Specialist**: 15.99%
- **Pediatric**: 1.88%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 9641    | 400       | 1601       |
| Suburban            | 135     | 4         | 17         |
| Rural               | 71      | 3         | 2          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid and CHIP**: 74.50%
- **Medicaid Only**: 15.99%
- **CHIP Only**: 6.84%
- **No Medicaid or CHIP**: 2.67%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 305           | 795       | 1854              | 8688                |
| Suburban            | 7             | 4         | 24                | 120                 |
| Rural               | 6             | 8         | 24                | 39                  |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type      | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General            | 258           | 616       | 1513              | 7476                |
| Pediatric          | 18            | 56        | 185               | 151                 |
| Specialist         | 42            | 142       | 204               | 1235                |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

**Dental Care Supply**

**GEORGIA**

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 83.25%
- **Pediatric**: 4.20%
- **Specialist**: 12.55%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 3808    | 207       | 601        |
| Suburban            | 307     | 7         | 38         |
| Rural               | 164     | 2         | 5          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 23.21%
- **No Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 76.79%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 1036            | 3580               |
| Suburban            | 90              | 262                |
| Rural               | 67              | 104                |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 935             | 3343               |
| Pediatric     | 138             | 78                 |
| Specialist    | 120             | 525                |
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This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 625     | 25        | 100        |
| Suburban            | 169     | 8         | 15         |
| Rural               | 97      | 3         | 8          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Urban               | 287             | 463               |
| Suburban            | 102             | 90                |
| Rural               | 45              | 63                |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 368             | 524                |
| Pediatric     | 29              | 7                  |
| Specialist    | 38              | 85                 |
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This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

**Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy**

- General: 89.01%
- Pediatric: 9.28%
- Specialist: 1.71%

**Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs**

- Medicaid and CHIP: 79.51%
- CHIP Only: 15.10%
- Medicaid Only: 0.00%
- No Medicaid or CHIP: 5.40%

**Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy**

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 7700    | 152       | 827        |
| Suburban            | 292     | 2         | 17         |
| Rural               | 232     | 4         | 14         |

**Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs**

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 486           | 0         | 1352              | 6856                |
| Suburban            | 9             | 0         | 31                | 267                 |
| Rural               | 4             | 0         | 11                | 226                 |

**Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs**

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 465           | 0         | 1273              | 6494                |
| Pediatric     | 4             | 0         | 32                | 122                 |
| Specialist    | 30            | 0         | 91                | 737                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 2529    | 96        | 347        |
| Suburban            | 353     | 5         | 27         |
| Rural               | 179     | 3         | 3          |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 1120            | 1852               |
| Suburban            | 197             | 187                |
| Rural               | 93              | 92                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 1218            | 1843               |
| Pediatric     | 63              | 41                 |
| Specialist    | 129             | 247                |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 83.70%
- **Specialist**: 13.53%
- **Pediatric**: 2.77%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 991     | 41        | 199        |
| Suburban            | 186     | 6         | 26         |
| Rural               | 341     | 4         | 18         |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid Only**: 51.09%
- **CHIP Only**: 45.47%
- **Medicaid and CHIP**: 2.70%
- **No Medicaid or CHIP**: 0.74%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 8             | 573       | 31                | 651                 |
| Suburban            | 0             | 121       | 7                 | 92                  |
| Rural               | 6             | 253       | 13                | 104                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 14            | 805       | 37                | 723                 |
| Pediatric     | 0             | 37        | 4                 | 13                  |
| Specialist    | 0             | 123       | 10                | 123                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- General: 87.71%
- Pediatric: 2.47%
- Specialist: 9.82%

Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 958     | 37        | 124        |
| Suburban            | 238     | 1         | 25         |
| Rural               | 154     | 0         | 2          |

Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- Medicaid Only: 72.79%
- CHIP Only: 10.61%
- Medicaid and CHIP: 16.21%
- No Medicaid or CHIP: 0.39%

Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 100           | 138       | 2                 | 878                 |
| Suburban            | 36            | 59        | 4                 | 164                 |
| Rural               | 28            | 52        | 0                 | 76                  |

Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 131           | 216       | 6                 | 994                 |
| Pediatric     | 10            | 14        | 0                 | 14                  |
| Specialist    | 22            | 19        | 0                 | 110                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 83.01%
- **Pediatric**: 3.43%
- **Specialist**: 13.56%

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid (+CHIP)**: 28.16%
- **No Medicaid (+CHIP)**: 71.84%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 1395    | 55        | 254        |
| Suburban            | 400     | 25        | 71         |
| Rural               | 285     | 6         | 15         |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid (+CHIP) | No Medicaid (+CHIP) |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 346              | 1357                |
| Suburban            | 179              | 318                 |
| Rural               | 181              | 125                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid (+CHIP) | No Medicaid (+CHIP) |
|---------------|------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 575              | 1506                |
| Pediatric     | 49               | 37                  |
| Specialist    | 82               | 258                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 1731    | 65        | 261        |
| Suburban            | 112     | 4         | 11         |
| Rural               | 81      | 1         | 3          |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 363           | 156       | 245               | 1292                |
| Suburban            | 38            | 17        | 12                | 59                  |
| Rural               | 39            | 5         | 14                | 27                  |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 386           | 155       | 217               | 1166                |
| Pediatric     | 15            | 6         | 33                | 16                  |
| Specialist    | 40            | 17        | 22                | 196                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- General: 84.34%
- Pediatric: 2.61%
- Specialist: 13.05%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 359     | 12        | 66         |
| Suburban            | 98      | 4         | 12         |
| Rural               | 158     | 3         | 17         |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- Medicaid(+CHIP): 33.65%
- No Medicaid(+CHIP): 66.35%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 128             | 309                |
| Suburban            | 44              | 70                 |
| Rural               | 73              | 104                |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 193             | 421                |
| Pediatric     | 11              | 8                  |
| Specialist    | 41              | 54                 |
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This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 81.89%
- **Pediatric**: 3.48%
- **Specialist**: 14.62%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 3434    | 147       | 619        |
| Suburban            | 65      | 3         | 11         |
| Rural               | 29      | 0         | 0          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 30.22%
- **No Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 69.78%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 1273            | 2927               |
| Suburban            | 15              | 64                 |
| Rural               | 14              | 15                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 1026            | 2502               |
| Pediatric     | 97              | 53                 |
| Specialist    | 179             | 451                |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 78.63%
- **Pediatric**: 17.49%
- **Specialist**: 3.88%

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 68.26%
- **No Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 31.74%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 4647    | 230       | 1034       |
| Suburban            | 59      | 2         | 11         |
| Rural               | 34      | 2         | 8          |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 1875            | 4037               |
| Suburban            | 29              | 43                 |
| Rural               | 9               | 35                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 1515            | 3225               |
| Pediatric     | 143             | 91                 |
| Specialist    | 255             | 799                |
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This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 88.36%
- **Pediatric**: 10.17%
- **Specialist**: 1.47%

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid Only**: 35.83%
- **CHIP Only**: 32.21%
- **Medicaid and CHIP**: 26.77%
- **No Medicaid or CHIP**: 5.19%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 5304    | 94        | 636        |
| Suburban            | 441     | 6         | 48         |
| Rural               | 389     | 2         | 21         |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 295           | 1607      | 1973              | 2168                |
| Suburban            | 34            | 123       | 137               | 189                 |
| Rural               | 31            | 128       | 127               | 129                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 284           | 1773      | 2060              | 2017                |
| Pediatric     | 6             | 10        | 63                | 23                  |
| Specialist    | 70            | 75        | 113               | 447                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Provider Type

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 1599            | 1082               |
| Pediatric     | 47              | 11                 |
| Specialist    | 199             | 151                |

### Rurality-Urbanicity & Participation in Public Insurance Programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban                | 1394            | 1047               |
| Suburban             | 240             | 95                 |
| Rural                | 212             | 102                |

### Percentage of Dentists by Provider Taxonomy

- General: 86.79%
- Pediatric: 1.88%
- Specialist: 11.33%

### Percentage of Dentists by Participation in Public Insurance Programs

- Medicaid(+CHIP): 59.73%
- No Medicaid(+CHIP): 40.27%
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 85.54%
- **Pediatric**: 4.23%
- **Specialist**: 10.23%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 581     | 38        | 88         |
| Suburban            | 341     | 16        | 36         |
| Rural               | 190     | 1         | 9          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid Only**: 13.23%
- **CHIP Only**: 1.15%
- **Medicaid and CHIP**: 34.23%
- **No Medicaid or CHIP**: 51.38%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 5             | 102       | 312              | 289                 |
| Suburban            | 7             | 54        | 212              | 119                 |
| Rural               | 3             | 16        | 144              | 37                  |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 13            | 162       | 552               | 385                 |
| Pediatric     | 0             | 2         | 47                | 6                   |
| Specialist    | 2             | 8         | 69                | 54                  |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 85.84%
- **Pediatric**: 2.11%
- **Specialist**: 12.06%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 2226    | 60        | 341        |
| Suburban            | 258     | 6         | 34         |
| Rural               | 243     | 1         | 8          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 19.48%
- **No Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 80.52%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 437             | 2190               |
| Suburban            | 81              | 217                |
| Rural               | 102             | 150                |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 519             | 2208               |
| Pediatric     | 27              | 40                 |
| Specialist    | 73              | 310                |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

**Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy**

- General: 85.05%
- Specialist: 12.11%
- Pediatric: 2.84%

**Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs**

- Medicaid(+CHIP): 57.70%
- No Medicaid(+CHIP): 42.30%

**Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy**

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 216     | 13        | 41         |
| Suburban            | 168     | 5         | 36         |
| Rural               | 185     | 1         | 4          |

**Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs**

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 154             | 116                |
| Suburban            | 117             | 92                 |
| Rural               | 115             | 75                 |

**Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs**

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 341             | 228                |
| Pediatric     | 13              | 6                  |
| Specialist    | 32              | 49                 |
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This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 85.84%
- **Pediatric**: 2.90%
- **Specialist**: 11.27%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 778     | 32        | 118        |
| Suburban            | 162     | 5         | 18         |
| Rural               | 157     | 0         | 7          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 26.53%
- **No Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 73.47%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 198             | 730                |
| Suburban            | 68              | 118                |
| Rural               | 73              | 91                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 304             | 793                |
| Pediatric     | 20              | 17                 |
| Specialist    | 15              | 129                |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy
- General: 85.78%
- Pediatric: 10.59%
- Specialist: 3.63%

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs
- Medicaid Only: 24.06%
- CHIP Only: 8.90%
- Medicaid and CHIP: 5.50%
- No Medicaid or CHIP: 61.53%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 1360    | 56        | 171        |
| Suburban            | 66      | 6         | 5          |
| Rural               | 39      | 0         | 4          |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 145           | 88        | 385               | 969                 |
| Suburban            | 5             | 5         | 17                | 50                  |
| Rural               | 2             | 1         | 8                 | 32                  |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 120           | 67        | 336               | 943                 |
| Pediatric     | 14            | 9         | 30                | 9                   |
| Specialist    | 18            | 18        | 45                | 99                  |
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This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 79.44%
- **Pediatric**: 2.41%
- **Specialist**: 18.15%

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **No Medicaid (+CHIP)**: 93.61%
- **Medicaid (+CHIP)**: 6.39%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 562     | 16        | 138        |
| Suburban            | 197     | 8         | 49         |
| Rural               | 99      | 2         | 9          |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid (+CHIP) | No Medicaid (+CHIP) |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 44               | 671                 |
| Suburban            | 13               | 241                 |
| Rural               | 12               | 98                  |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid (+CHIP) | No Medicaid (+CHIP) |
|---------------|------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 50               | 807                 |
| Pediatric     | 5                | 21                  |
| Specialist    | 14               | 182                 |
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This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

**Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy**

- **General**: 78.26%
- **Specialist**: 17.89%
- **Pediatric**: 3.85%

**Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs**

- **Medicaid and CHIP**: 73.80%
- **CHIP Only**: 21.10%
- **Medicaid Only**: 5.00%
- **No Medicaid or CHIP**: 0.10%

**Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy**

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|----------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban                | 6194    | 308       | 1423       |
| Suburban             | 88      | 1         | 12         |
| Rural                | 0       | 0         | 0          |

**Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs**

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban                | 396          | 9         | 1674              | 5848                |
| Suburban             | 5            | 0         | 20                | 75                  |
| Rural                | 0            | 0         | 0                 | 0                   |

**Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs**

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 297          | 7         | 1264              | 4714                |
| Pediatric     | 30           | 1         | 124               | 154                 |
| Specialist    | 74           | 0         | 306               | 1056                |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 87.85%
- **Pediatric**: 10.00%
- **Specialist**: 2.15%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 714     | 17        | 94         |
| Suburban            | 146     | 5         | 10         |
| Rural               | 80      | 1         | 2          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid Only**: 51.40%
- **CHIP Only**: 17.94%
- **Medicaid and CHIP**: 30.65%
- **No Medicaid or CHIP**: 0.00%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 247           | 0         | 136               | 443                 |
| Suburban            | 56            | 0         | 33                | 72                  |
| Rural               | 25            | 0         | 23                | 35                  |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 284           | 0         | 165               | 491                 |
| Pediatric     | 13            | 0         | 8                 | 2                   |
| Specialist    | 31            | 0         | 19                | 57                  |
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Dental Care Supply
NEW YORK

This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

| Provider Type | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| General       | 79.77%  | 4.04%     | 16.19%     |
| Pediatric     | 16.19%  | 79.77%    | 4.04%      |

Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 136           | 64        | 4293              | 8008                |
| Suburban            | 10            | 1         | 140               | 256                 |
| Rural               | 8             | 6         | 120               | 192                 |

Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 117           | 66        | 3565              | 6809                |
| Pediatric     | 7             | 5         | 351               | 172                 |
| Specialist    | 30            | 0         | 638               | 1475                |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 85.83%
- **Pediatric**: 3.81%
- **Specialist**: 10.36%

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid Only**: 76.66%
- **CHIP Only**: 16.32%
- **Medicaid and CHIP**: 7.00%
- **No Medicaid or CHIP**: 0.02%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 3930    | 161       | 484        |
| Suburban            | 540     | 33        | 52         |
| Rural               | 209     | 15        | 28         |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 704           | 1         | 271               | 3599                |
| Suburban            | 131           | 0         | 72                | 422                 |
| Rural               | 54            | 0         | 39                | 158                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 698           | 0         | 300               | 3683                |
| Pediatric     | 100           | 0         | 45                | 63                  |
| Specialist    | 92            | 1         | 37                | 435                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

**Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy**

- General: 86.02%
- Pediatric: 1.97%
- Specialist: 12.01%

**Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy**

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 264     | 7         | 50         |
| Suburban            | 108     | 3         | 16         |
| Rural               | 107     | 1         | 1          |

**Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs**

- Medicaid and CHIP: 45.16%
- Medicaid Only: 52.69%
- CHIP Only: 0.36%
- No Medicaid or CHIP: 1.79%

**Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs**

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 3             | 2         | 136               | 180                |
| Suburban            | 3             | 0         | 60                | 64                 |
| Rural               | 4             | 0         | 56                | 50                 |

**Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs**

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|
| General       | 9             | 2         | 212               | 257                |
| Pediatric     | 0             | 0         | 8                 | 3                  |
| Specialist    | 1             | 0         | 32                | 34                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 83.43%
- **Pediatric**: 2.96%
- **Specialist**: 13.61%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 4426    | 164       | 760        |
| Suburban            | 602     | 19        | 87         |
| Rural               | 214     | 2         | 8          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 31.27%
- **No Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 68.73%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Urban               | 1581            | 3769              |
| Suburban            | 285             | 423               |
| Rural               | 99              | 125               |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| General       | 1661            | 3585              |
| Pediatric     | 132             | 54                |
| Specialist    | 173             | 683               |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 88.07%
- **Pediatric**: 1.99%
- **Specialist**: 9.94%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 1203    | 33        | 172        |
| Suburban            | 366     | 7         | 26         |
| Rural               | 203     | 0         | 1          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 44.04%
- **No Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 55.96%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 535             | 873                |
| Suburban            | 218             | 181                |
| Rural               | 132             | 72                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 773             | 999                |
| Pediatric     | 24              | 16                 |
| Specialist    | 89              | 111                |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 2001    | 85        | 290        |
| Suburban            | 309     | 13        | 28         |
| Rural               | 123     | 3         | 7          |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 820             | 1556               |
| Suburban            | 128             | 222                |
| Rural               | 49              | 84                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 824             | 1609               |
| Pediatric     | 66              | 35                 |
| Specialist    | 107             | 218                |
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This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 82.04%
- **Pediatric**: 3.04%
- **Specialist**: 14.91%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|--------------------|--------|----------|-----------|
| Urban              | 5940   | 237      | 1131      |
| Suburban           | 410    | 3        | 42        |
| Rural              | 202    | 3        | 18        |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **CHP Only**: 46.19%
- **Medicaid Only**: 19.35%
- **Medicaid and CHIP**: 32.92%
- **No Medicaid or CHIP**: 1.54%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|--------------------|--------------|----------|------------------|---------------------|
| Urban              | 109          | 2362     | 1392             | 3445                |
| Suburban           | 10           | 187      | 85               | 173                 |
| Rural              | 4            | 81       | 68               | 70                  |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|--------------|----------|------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 107          | 2231     | 1177             | 3037                |
| Pediatric     | 8            | 52       | 120              | 63                  |
| Specialist    | 8            | 346      | 248              | 588                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 77.72%
- **Specialist**: 18.13%
- **Pediatric**: 4.15%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 449     | 24        | 105        |
| Suburban            | 0       | 0         | 0          |
| Rural               | 1       | 0         | 0          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid Only**: 34.72%
- **Medicaid and CHIP**: 1.04%
- **No Medicaid or CHIP**: 64.25%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 201           | 0         | 6                 | 371                 |
| Suburban            | 0             | 0         | 0                 | 0                   |
| Rural               | 0             | 0         | 0                 | 1                   |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 151           | 0         | 6                 | 293                 |
| Pediatric     | 22            | 0         | 0                 | 2                   |
| Specialist    | 28            | 0         | 0                 | 77                  |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

**Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy**

- General: 84.73%
- Pediatric: 2.72%
- Specialist: 12.55%

**Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs**

- Medicaid (+CHIP): 38.14%
- No Medicaid (+CHIP): 61.86%

**Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy**

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 1931    | 67        | 302        |
| Suburban            | 257     | 5         | 32         |
| Rural               | 86      | 1         | 3          |

**Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs**

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid (+CHIP) | No Medicaid (+CHIP) |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 801              | 1500                |
| Suburban            | 149              | 145                 |
| Rural               | 74               | 16                  |

**Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs**

| Provider Type      | Medicaid (+CHIP) | No Medicaid (+CHIP) |
|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| General            | 871              | 1404                |
| Pediatric          | 55               | 18                  |
| Specialist         | 98               | 239                 |
Number of dentists

Number of dental hygienists

Number of dentists participating in Medicaid(+CHIP)
Dental Care Supply
SOUTH DAKOTA

This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- General: 83.11%
- Pediatric: 3.27%
- Specialist: 13.62%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 142     | 8         | 34         |
| Suburban            | 87      | 2         | 14         |
| Rural               | 76      | 1         | 2          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- Medicaid(+CHIP): 41.69%
- No Medicaid(+CHIP): 58.31%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 64              | 121                |
| Suburban            | 51              | 53                 |
| Rural               | 39              | 40                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 119             | 186                |
| Pediatric     | 10              | 2                  |
| Specialist    | 24              | 26                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

**Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy**

- **General**: 82.97%
- **Pediatric**: 4.07%
- **Specialist**: 12.97%

**Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs**

- **Medicaid Only**: 73.89%
- **CHIP Only**: 13.90%
- **Medicaid and CHIP**: 11.37%
- **No Medicaid or CHIP**: 0.83%

**Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy**

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 2003    | 97        | 331        |
| Suburban            | 304     | 18        | 47         |
| Rural               | 182     | 7         | 12         |

**Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs**

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 290           | 265       | 17                | 1860                |
| Suburban            | 86            | 55        | 4                 | 224                 |
| Rural               | 42            | 22        | 4                 | 132                 |

**Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs**

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 279           | 252       | 16                | 1942                |
| Pediatric     | 48            | 39        | 3                 | 31                  |
| Specialist    | 90            | 50        | 6                 | 243                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 84.29%
- **Pediatric**: 3.74%
- **Specialist**: 11.97%

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid Only**: 29.93%
- **CHIP Only**: 7.16%
- **Medicaid and CHIP**: 3.55%
- **No Medicaid or CHIP**: 59.36%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 12406   | 564       | 1846       |
| Suburban            | 691     | 25        | 45         |
| Rural               | 376     | 9         | 23         |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 526           | 1076      | 4428              | 8786                |
| Suburban            | 29            | 39        | 260               | 432                 |
| Rural               | 13            | 30        | 96                | 270                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 497           | 897       | 4092              | 7987                |
| Pediatric     | 18            | 44        | 392               | 144                 |
| Specialist    | 53            | 204       | 300               | 1357                |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 1691    | 98        | 278        |
| Suburban            | 143     | 7         | 19         |
| Rural               | 98      | 1         | 8          |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 201           | 85        | 480               | 1302                |
| Suburban            | 26            | 4         | 47                | 91                  |
| Rural               | 11            | 4         | 50                | 43                  |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 203           | 83        | 410               | 1235                |
| Pediatric     | 9             | 0         | 86                | 11                  |
| Specialist    | 26            | 9         | 80                | 190                 |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 126     | 8         | 23         |
| Suburban            | 73      | 1         | 19         |
| Rural               | 154     | 4         | 12         |

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 68              | 88                 |
| Suburban            | 42              | 51                 |
| Rural               | 86              | 84                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type   | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General         | 157             | 196                |
| Pediatric       | 10              | 3                  |
| Specialist      | 30              | 24                 |
Number of dentists

Number of dental hygienists

Number of dentists participating in Medicaid(+CHIP)
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 82.73%
- **Pediatric**: 3.09%
- **Specialist**: 14.18%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|-------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban             | 4386    | 172       | 780        |
| Suburban          | 127     | 2         | 15         |
| Rural             | 224     | 3         | 16         |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 27.03%
- **No Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 72.97%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban             | 1401            | 3937               |
| Suburban          | 49              | 95                 |
| Rural             | 98              | 146                |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 1171            | 3566               |
| Pediatric     | 131             | 46                 |
| Specialist    | 246             | 566                |
Number of dentists

Number of dental hygienists

Number of dentists participating in Medicaid(+CHIP)
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- General: 86.69%
- Pediatric: 11.78%
- Specialist: 1.53%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 542     | 12        | 90         |
| Suburban            | 91      | 0         | 8          |
| Rural               | 103     | 1         | 3          |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- Medicaid Only: 36.35%
- CHIP Only: 4.92%
- Medicaid and CHIP: 1.53%
- No Medicaid or CHIP: 57.29%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Urban               | 34            | 10        | 349               | 251                 |
| Suburban            | 4             | 1         | 59                | 35                  |
| Rural               | 3             | 2         | 79                | 23                  |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid Only | CHIP Only | Medicaid and CHIP | No Medicaid or CHIP |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| General       | 40            | 12        | 426               | 258                 |
| Pediatric     | 0             | 0         | 11                | 2                   |
| Specialist    | 1             | 1         | 50                | 49                  |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- **General**: 86.39%
- **Pediatric**: 2.65%
- **Specialist**: 10.95%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban               | 2453    | 87        | 363        |
| Suburban            | 314     | 5         | 25         |
| Rural               | 490     | 8         | 24         |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- **Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 29.20%
- **No Medicaid(+CHIP)**: 70.80%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban               | 794             | 2109               |
| Suburban            | 104             | 240                |
| Rural               | 203             | 320                |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 914             | 2343               |
| Pediatric     | 70              | 30                 |
| Specialist    | 117             | 296                |
This state report summarizes data on the dental care supply, differentiated by type of insurance program, provider taxonomy, and rurality-urbanicity of practice address.

### Percentage of dentists by provider taxonomy

- General: 88.32%
- Pediatric: 2.56%
- Specialist: 9.12%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & provider taxonomy

| Rurality-Urbanicity | General | Pediatric | Specialist |
|----------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Urban                | 99      | 3         | 8          |
| Suburban             | 120     | 4         | 10         |
| Rural                | 91      | 2         | 14         |

### Percentage of dentists by participation in public insurance programs

- Medicaid(+CHIP): 64.10%
- No Medicaid(+CHIP): 35.90%

### Number of dentists by rurality-urbanicity & participation in public insurance programs

| Rurality-Urbanicity | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Urban                | 75              | 35                 |
| Suburban             | 86              | 48                 |
| Rural                | 64              | 43                 |

### Number of dentists by provider taxonomy & participation in public insurance programs

| Provider Type | Medicaid(+CHIP) | No Medicaid(+CHIP) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| General       | 204             | 106                |
| Pediatric     | 8               | 1                  |
| Specialist    | 13              | 19                 |
