Identification of key genes and important histone modifications in hepatocellular carcinoma

Yu-Xian Liu a, Qian-Zhong Li a,b,*, Yan-Ni Cao a, Lu-Qiang Zhang a

a Laboratory of Theoretical Biophysics, School of Physical Science and Technology, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot 010021, China
b The State Key Laboratory of Reproductive Regulation and Breeding of Grassland Livestock, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot 010070, China

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Received 6 April 2020
Received in revised form 26 August 2020
Accepted 10 September 2020
Available online 20 September 2020

Keywords:
Histone modification signals
Gene expression
Oncogenes
Tumor suppressor genes
Biomarkers

A B S T R A C T

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the leading causes of cancer death in the world. It has been reported that HCC is closely related to the changes of histone modifications. However, finding histone modification patterns in key genes which related to HCC is still an important task. In our study, the patterns of 11 kinds of histone modifications in the promoter regions for the different types of genes were analyzed by hierarchical screening for hepatocyte (normal) cell line and HepG2 (tumor) cell line. The important histone modifications and their key modification regions in different types of genes were found. The results indicate that these important genes may play a pivotal role in the occurrence of HCC. By analyzing the differences of histone modifications and gene expression levels for these important genes between the two cell lines, we found that the signals of H3K4me3, H3K27ac, H3K9ac, and H3K4me2 in HCC are significantly stronger. The changed regions of important histone modifications in 17 key genes were also identified. For example, the H3K4me3 signals increased 150 times in regions (−1500, −500) bp and (0, 1000) bp of ARHGAP5 in tumor cell line than in normal cell line. Finally, a prognostic risk scoring model was constructed, and the effects of key genes on the prognosis of HCC were verified by the survival analysis. Our results may provide a more precise potential therapeutic targets for identifying key genes and histone modifications in HCC as new biomarkers.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common primary liver malignancy [1]. Liver cancer is the sixth most commonly diagnosed cancer and the fourth leading cause of cancer death worldwide in 2018, with about 841,000 new cases and 782,000 deaths annually [2]. For the reason that patients with advanced HCC are unsuitable for curative hepatectomy or hepatic transplantation, even if patients undergo surgical resection, the high recurrence rate is the main cause for the poor 5-years survival rate of HCC patients [3,4]. The development of HCC is a complex process, and more and more evidence has shown that epigenetic changes are an important reason for the occurrence of HCC [5,6]. Therefore, understanding the underlying mechanism of epigenetic changes is crucial for finding new effective treatments.

A number of studies about histone modifications of HCC have been reported. The histone modification patterns are dynamically regulated by enzymes that add and remove covalent modifications to histones [7]. Histone deacetylation is closely related to HCC, especially the role of histone deacetylase in the pathogenesis of HCC [8]. It has found that histone deacetylase 3 may be an impor-
tant factor regulating the proliferation and invasion of HepG2 cell line. HDAC6 suppresses tumors by mediating autophagic cell death in HCC [9]. EZH2 represses gene transcription through histone H3 trimethylated at lysine 27 (H3K27me3). It has also found that EZH2-mediated epigenetic silencing contributes to constitutive activation of Wnt/b-catenin signaling and consequential proliferation of HCC [10]. Moreover, EZH2 and SUV39H2 are closely related to the survival and tumor stage, respectively [11].

The microarray studies of tumor tissue have demonstrated that high H3K27me3 is associated with lower survival and poor prognosis of HCC [12–14]. Recent studies have identified histone H4 dimethylated at lysine 20 and histone H4 acetylated at lysine 16 as novel biomarkers of microvascular infiltration in HCC [15]. The high signals of H3K4me3 are related to poor prognosis in HCC patients [12]. The aberrant modification of histone 3 phosphorylation has also been found in HCC [16]. The H3K4me3 levels in Oct4, Yap1, and TCF7 promoters are also relevant to the self-renewal of liver the cancer stem cells [17–20]. These researches provide new directions for the discovery of new biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets in HCC.

The epigenetic modification patterns of HCC are still a mystery throughout the genome, so it is urgent and necessary to analyze the epigenetic modifications in HCC. Although there were many studies about enzymes and the changes of histone modifications, almost all of them were based on experimental levels. At present, there are relatively few studies on the specific patterns of histone modifications and the relationship between histone modifications and gene expression.

With the development of next-generation sequencing technologies, it has been feasible to analyze cancers from the whole genome level [13,21]. In this study, we used the publicly available data of HepG2 (tumor) cell line and hepatocyte (normal) cell line from ENCODE to identify key genes and the changes of histone modification signals in each bin for i-th gene [22]. The patterns and main features of histone modification signals in the promoter regions were calculated. The important histone modification signals of the key genes were found. We also identified regions where the important histone modification signals have been changed for the key genes and constructed a prognostic risk scoring model related to the expression of key genes, which well validated the impact of these genes on the prognosis of HCC. These results should be important for the development of HCC.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Gene expression data

The gene expression data (RNA-Seq, hg19) of HepG2 and hepatocyte cell lines were downloaded from ENCODE database (https://www.encodeproject.org/) [23] to plot the heat maps of histone modifications correlations. Since different histone modifications may have cooperation for gene expression, to study the relationship between histone modifications, we computed Spearman’s correlations between histone modification signals (\(\rho_{HM}^{MM}\)) according to Eq. (2). Finally, we got an 11 \(\times\) 11 correlation coefficients matrix and used the gplots package [25] to plot the heat maps of histone modifications correlations.

\[
\rho_{HM}^{MM} = 1 - \frac{6\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( R_{HM,kl} - R_{HM,jl} \right)^2}{m(m^2 - 1)}
\]

\[
HM_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \log_2(S_{ijk} + \Delta)}{n}
\]
Finally, the data of 364 patients with LIHC were used in this study. To further identify clinically significant prognostic genes, we evaluated the relationship between gene expression levels and overall survival. We performed a multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis for genes [27], the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated. The genes with \( P < 0.05 \) were selected, and a risk scoring model (RS) was constructed by Eq. (4) through weighting multivariable regression coefficients of each gene. Then the risk score was calculated for each sample. Finally, the patients were divided into high-risk group and low-risk group by using the median risk score of all samples as the threshold.

\[
RS = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \text{coef}_i \times e_i
\]

Where \( i \) is the \( i \)-th gene, \( m \) is the number of genes, \( RS \) is a prognostic risk score for the HCC patient, \( \text{coef}_i \) is the contribution of \( i \)-th gene to prognostic risk scores that were obtained from the regression coefficient of multivariate Cox analysis, \( e_i \) represents the expression level of \( i \)-th gene.

2.9. Survival analysis

The Kaplan-Meier method was used for survival analysis, the survival rate and median survival of each prognostic risk group were calculated. The survival rates of patients in different risk groups were compared, and the significance of the differences was evaluated using the log-rank test [28]. In order to verify the predictive ability of the risk scoring model, the performance of the RS was also evaluated by the time-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve [29].

3. Results

3.1. Histone modification signals of DHLEG

In order to analyze the differences between the highly and lowly expressed genes, we selected the differentially expressed genes as DHLEG [30]. The DHLEG includes the following six situations (Fig. 1A): A. There are 1,051 genes that are highly expressed in tumor cell line but not in normal cell line (TH). B. 2,816 genes are lowly expressed in tumor cell line but not in normal cell line (TL). C. 1,051 genes are highly expressed in normal cell line but not in tumor cell line (NH). D. 386 genes are lowly expressed in normal cell line but not in tumor cell line (NL). E. 81 genes are highly expressed in normal cell line and lowly expressed in tumor cell line (NH-TL). F. Only PEG3 is lowly expressed in normal cell line and highly expressed in tumor cell line (NL-TH).

By computing the average histone modification signal strength of each bin in the promoter regions for DHLEG, we subsequently got the distributions of each histone modification. It was found that most of the histone modifications are stronger in highly expressed genes than that in lowly expressed genes by comparing the histone modification signals between TH (NH) and TL (NL) (Fig. 1B and C), suggesting that most of the histone modifications play an activating role in the two kinds of cell lines. However, H3K27me3 signals are stronger in lowly expressed genes than that in highly expressed genes for the two kinds of cell lines. These results indicate that the H3K27me3 may play an inhibiting role in the two kinds of cell lines. Moreover, we also observed that the signals of H3K27ac and H3K4me3 are stronger in tumor cell line than that in normal cell line. Furthermore, the H3K4me3 signals are stronger in tumor cell line, which is consistent with the results of Fig. 1B and C.
To illustrate the cooperative effects of histone modifications for the highly and lowly expressed genes, we calculated Spearman’s correlations between histone modifications then plotted heat maps (Fig. 2). In highly expressed genes of tumor cell line, there are two histone modification clusters with strong positive correlation \((P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16})\), including \((\text{H3K27me3, H3K9ac, H3K4me3, and H3K27ac, } r_{kk}^{HM} > 0.87)\) and \((\text{H4K20me1 and H3K36me3, } r_{kk}^{HM} = 0.96)\), there is just one histone modification cluster between \((\text{H3K4me1 and (H3K27ac, H3K4me3, and H3K9ac)}\) with strong negative correlation \((r_{kk}^{HM} < -0.76, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16})\) (Fig. 2A). For the lowly expressed genes of tumor cell line, there is only one histone modification cluster \((\text{H3K4me3, H3K4me2, H3K4me1, H2AFZ, and H3K9ac)}\) that has strong positive correlation \((r_{kk}^{HM} > 0.76, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16})\) (Fig. 2B). Three histone modification clusters have strong positive correlation \((P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16})\) in highly expressed genes of normal cell line, including \((\text{H3K36me3, H3K79me2, and H4K20me1, } r_{kk}^{HM} = 0.86)\), \((\text{H2AFZ and H3K27ac, } r_{kk}^{HM} = 0.94)\) and \((\text{H3K4me2, H3K9ac and H3K4me3, } r_{kk}^{HM} = 0.86)\) (Fig. 2C). In lowly expressed genes of normal cell line, there is just one histone modification cluster \((\text{H3K79me2, H3K36me3, and H3K9me3)}\) that has strong positive correlation \((r_{kk}^{HM} > 0.84, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16})\). However, the Spearman’s correlations between \((\text{H3K4me2 and H3K36me3, H3K36me3, and H3K9me3)}\) have strong negative correlation \((r_{kk}^{HM} < -0.58, P < 1.4 \times 10^{-8})\) (Fig. 2D). Therefore, heat maps show that different types of genes are modified by different histone modifications clusters.

### 3.3. Analysis of the histone modification signals in oncogenes (ONCO) and tumor suppressor genes (TSG) of DHLEG for two kinds of cell lines

In order to further find key genes that are related to HCC in DHLEG, we selected the known cancer genes in DHLEG (Table 1). To illustrate the characteristics of histone modifications in these genes, we calculated the average histone modification signals for tumor and normal cell lines, respectively. For tumor cell line (Fig. 3A), it shows that the average histone modification signals in highly expressed ONCO are generally stronger than that in lowly expressed TSG, especially for \((\text{H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K27ac, and H3K4me2)}\). Whereas for normal cell line (Fig. 3B), it shows that the average histone modification signals in highly expressed TSG are generally stronger than that in lowly expressed ONCO, except for \((\text{H3K27me3)}\).

For TSG \((\text{CREB3L1)}\) (Fig. 3C), it shows that the histone modifications of \((\text{H3K9ac, H3K4me2, and H3K27ac)}\) activate the high expression of this gene in the normal cell line, \((\text{H3K27me3)}\) inhibits the expression of this gene in the tumor cell line. For the gene \((\text{ACKR3)}\), it is highly expressed in normal cell line and lowly expressed in tumor cell line (NH-TL), and the signals of \((\text{H3K9ac, H3K4me2, and H3K27ac)}\) are stronger in normal cell line than that in tumor cell line (Fig. 3D). It suggests that these histone modifications mainly activated the high expression of this gene in normal cell line. However, \((\text{H3K27me3)}\) has a higher level of modification in tumor cell line, it inhibits the expression of this gene in tumor cell line.

### 3.4. The analysis of the correlations between histone modifications and gene expression levels

In order to study the relationships between the locations of histone modifications and expression levels, we further calculated the Spearman’s correlations between histone modifications in 80 bins of promoter regions and the expression levels of TH-ONCO and NH-TSG, the results are shown in the heat maps. For the TH-ONCO in tumor cell line, there are the obvious negative correlations between gene expression levels and \((\text{H3K27me3)}\) in the regions \((200, 250)\) bp and \((850, 900)\) bp, while an obvious positive correlation between gene expression levels and \((\text{H3K27ac)}\) in the region \((1700, 1750)\) bp (Fig. 3E). For the NH-TSG in normal cell line, the heat map also shows that there are prominent correlations between gene expression levels and histone modifications, such as \((\text{H3K9ac in the region (1500, 1550) bp)}\), \((\text{H3K4me3 in the regions (1650, 1600) bp and (1800, 1850) bp)}\). In addition, it has the obvious correlations between gene expression levels and \((\text{H3K27ac)}\) in the regions \((1500, 1450)\), \((850, 1000)\), \((1050, 1100)\), \((1150, 1250)\), \((1300, 1450)\), and \((1900, 1950)\) bp (Fig. 3F). The detailed results are displayed in Table 2. The above results indicate that \((\text{H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K27ac, and H3K27me3)}\) are important histone modifications for gene expression.
3.5 Analysis of important histone modifications in key genes related to HCC

To further recognize the differences between histone modification signals in normal and tumor cell lines for the four types of differential genes, i.e. TH-ONCO, TL-TSG, NH-TSG, and NL-ONCO, we calculated the distribution and relative difference of histone modification signals in TH-ONCO for tumor cell line and normal cell line. The signals of H3K4me3 and H3K27ac are very stronger for oncogenes (ERBB3, CCND1, ARHGAP5, PLCG1, HLF, and MYD88) in

---

**Table 1**
Oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes in DHLEG.

| DHLEG | TYPE | NUMBER | GENE SYMBOL |
|-------|------|--------|-------------|
| TH ONCO | 16 | ARHGAP5, CCND1, CDH4, ERBB3, ETV4, HLF, MALT1, MAP2K1, MAPK1, MDM2, MET, MYC, MYD88, PLCG1, WWTR1, XPO1 |
| TL ONCO | 15 | AXIN1, AXIN2, CDKN1B, CDH2, CLTC, EIF3E, FAT1, FH, FUS, MSH2, MSH6, PTPRK, RMI2, RNF43, SMARC81, SEDD2, SDHA, TGFBR2 |
| NH ONCO | 14 | ACKR3, AKT1, CD79B, CHST11, CTNNNA2, ETV1, FEV, FOX1, GLI1, HOXD13, KCNJ5, MYB, NTRK3, RSP03, ZNF521 |
| NL ONCO | 2 | P2RY8, POLG2A1 |
| NH-TL ONCO | 1 | AKR3 |
| TSG | 0 | CREB3L1 |
| TL-TSG | 0 | – |
| NH-TL ONCO | 0 | – |
| TH | 0 | – |

Abbreviations: DHLEG: different highly and lowly expressed genes. ONCO: oncogenes. TSG: tumor suppressor genes. TH: the genes are highly expressed in tumor cell line but not in normal cell line. TL: the genes are lowly expressed in tumor cell line but not in normal cell line. NH: the genes are highly expressed in normal cell line but not in tumor cell line. NL: the genes are lowly expressed in normal cell line but not in tumor cell line. NH-TL: the genes are highly expressed in normal cell line and lowly expressed in tumor cell line. NL-TH: the genes are lowly expressed in normal cell line and highly expressed in tumor cell line.

---

**Fig. 2.** The Spearman correlation coefficient of histone modifications in two kinds of cell lines. (A) The heat map of highly expressed genes in tumor cell line. (B) The heat map of lowly expressed genes in tumor cell line. (C) The heat map of highly expressed genes in normal cell line. (D) The heat map of lowly expressed genes in normal cell line.
Fig. 3. The histone modification signals and gene expression levels in ONCO and TSG of DHLEG for two kinds of cell lines. (A) The histone modification signals of tumor cell line. (B) The histone modification signals of normal cell line. (C) The distribution of histone modification signals in CREB3L1 that is highly expressed in normal cell line and lowly expressed in tumor cell line. (D) The distribution of histone modification signals in ACKR3 that is highly expressed in normal cell line and lowly expressed in tumor cell line. (E) The heat map of Spearman’s correlations between histone modification signals in the 80 bins of the promoter regions and the gene expression levels for TH-ONCO. (F) The heat map of Spearman’s correlations between histone modification signals in the 80 bins of the promoter regions and the gene expression levels for NH-TSG.

Abbreviations: ONCO: oncogenes. TSG: tumor suppressor genes. DHLEG: different highly and lowly expressed genes. TH-ONCO: the highly expressed oncogenes in tumor cell line. NH-TSG: the highly expressed tumor suppressor genes in normal cell line.
Genes are involved in the development of HCC. The signals of H3K4me3 in some regions of oncogenes may be the main reason that leads to the development of HCC. The results indicate that the increase of key regions of some important histone modifications in genes that regulate gene expression in HCC. Afterward, we got the distributions of histone modifications by calculating the histone modification signals in the promoter regions for each gene. By comparing with normal cell line, we identified the histone modifications regulating gene expression in HCC. The obvious correlation between gene expression levels and histone modification signals.

3.6. Identifying the location of histone modifications in key genes related to HCC

Through our above analysis, we found 17 key genes (13 oncogenes are MYD88, MYC, ARHGAP5, PLCG1, MDM2, MET, ETV4, HLF, ERBB3, MAP2K1, MALTI, CCND1, and CHD4, 4 tumor suppressor genes are CREB3L1, ROBO2, BTG1, and ACKR3) related to important histone modifications regulating gene expression in HCC. Afterward, we got the distributions of histone modifications by calculating the histone modification signals in the promoter regions for each gene. By comparing with normal cell line, we identified the key regions of some important histone modifications in genes that are associated with HCC. The results indicate that the increase of the H3K4me3, H3K27ac, H3K9ac, etc. histone modification signals in oncogenes may be the main reason that leads to the development of HCC. The signals of H3K4me3 in some regions of oncogenes (MYD88, MYC, ARHGAP5, PLCG1, MDM2, MET, HLF, ERBB3, MAP2K1, and MALTI) are significantly stronger.

Abbreviations: HM: histone modification.

Table 2
The obvious correlation between gene expression levels and histone modification signals.

| GENES   | HM        | REGION                               | tvalue | P-value |
|---------|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| TH-ONCO | H3K27me3  | (−1300 bp, −1250 bp) (15-th)          | −0.536 | 0.032   |
|         |           | (−1150 bp, −1100 bp) (18-th)          | −0.518 | 0.040   |
|         |           | (−700 bp, −650 bp) (27-th)            | −0.581 | 0.018   |
|         |           | (−500 bp, −450 bp) (31-st)            | −0.532 | 0.027   |
|         |           | (200 bp, 250 bp) (45-th)              | −0.612 | 0.012   |
|         |           | (850 bp, 900 bp) (58-th)              | −0.630 | 0.009   |
|         |           | (1100 bp, 1150 bp) (63-rd)            | −0.498 | 0.050   |
| H3K27ac |           | (1700 bp, 1750 bp) (75-th)            | 0.519  | 0.039   |
|         |           | (1750 bp, 1800 bp) (76-th)            | 0.457  | 0.039   |
| NH-TSG  | H3K9ac    | (1500 bp, 1550 bp) (71-st)            | 0.669  | 0.012   |
|         | H3K4me3   | (−1650 bp, −1600 bp) (8-th)           | 0.687  | 0.009   |
|         |           | (1800 bp, 1850 bp) (77-th)            | 0.615  | 0.025   |
| H3K27ac |           | (−1500 bp, −1450 bp) (11-th)          | 0.575  | 0.040   |
|         |           | (850 bp, 900 bp) (58-th)              | 0.600  | 0.030   |
|         |           | (900 bp, 950 bp) (59-th)              | 0.598  | 0.031   |
|         |           | (900 bp, 1000 bp) (60-th)             | 0.574  | 0.040   |
|         |           | (1050 bp, 1100 bp) (62-nd)            | 0.580  | 0.040   |
|         |           | (1150 bp, 1200 bp) (64-th)            | 0.617  | 0.025   |
|         |           | (1200 bp, 1250 bp) (65-th)            | 0.721  | 0.005   |
|         |           | (1300 bp, 1350 bp) (67-th)            | 0.639  | 0.019   |
|         |           | (1350 bp, 1400 bp) (68-th)            | 0.727  | 0.005   |
|         |           | (1400 bp, 1450 bp) (69-th)            | 0.756  | 0.003   |
|         |           | (1900 bp, 1950 bp) (79-th)            | 0.712  | 0.006   |

(1) The H3K4me3 signals are markedly intensified in the regions (−2000, −1300) bp and (−1000, 1000) bp of MYD88, the region (−1000, 1500) bp of PLCG1, and the region (−1000, 2000) bp of ERBB3, etc. Particularly, in the regions (−1500, −500) bp and (0, 1000) bp of ARHGAP5, the H3K4me3 signals increase 150 times in tumor cell line than that in normal cell line. The H3K4me3 signals of these regions in the related genes may result in the HCC.

(2) There are also obvious increases for H3K27ac signals in the region (0, 2000) bp of ERBB3, regions (−1700, −1000) bp and (0, 500) bp of ETV4, regions (−1000, −300) bp, (0, 500) bp and (500, 1500) bp of MDM2, region (0, 2000) bp of HLF. Especially, the signals increase 100 times in the region (0, 1500) bp of ARHGAP5.

(3) H3K9ac signals in the region (0, 1500) bp of ARHGAP5, regions (−500, −200) bp and (0, 1500) bp of PLCG1, regions (300, 800) bp and (1000, 2000) bp of ERBB3 and region (0, 2000) bp of HLF also increase.

(4) H3K4me2 signals in the regions (−1500, −500) bp and (1000, 2000) bp of ARHGAP5 are also stronger. It can be seen that these histone modifications in these genes are at least 50 times greater in tumor cell line than that in normal cell line. This indicates that the increase of H3K4me2 in these genes may significantly affect the occurrence of HCC.

For TSG (Table 3B), we found that the signal strength of H3K9ac, H3K4me2, and H3K27ac are weaker in tumor cell line than normal cell line, such as H3K9ac in the region (0, 1500) bp of CREB3L1. In contrast, the signal strength of H3K27me3 increases in tumor cell line relative to normal cell line. It indicates that the increase of H3K27me3 and the decrease of H3K9ac, H3K4me2, and H3K27ac in tumor cell line inhibit the expression of TSG.

3.7. Functional enrichment analysis of ONCO (TSG) for DHLEG

To further analyze the action of these important genes in the development of HCC, we performed GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis using Metascape [31] (http://metascape.org/) (Fig. 5). The Pathways in cancer (hsa05200) is rich in many significant genes (CCND1, MAP2K1, MAPK1, MDM2, MET, MYC, PLCG1,
CDH1, etc.). These analyses also reveal that these key genes are related to transcriptional misregulation in cancer (hsa05202), the negative regulation of cell differentiation (GO: 0045596), mesenchyme development (GO: 0060485), the regulation of DNA binding transcription factor activity (GO: 0051090), cell surface receptor signaling pathway involved in cell–cell signaling (GO: 1905114), and the maintenance of DNA repeat elements (GO: 0043570) (Fig. 5A). GO enrichment cluster analysis shows that these genes are indeed related to cancer, such as the cluster in PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, Pathways in cancer, MAPK family signaling cascades, epithelial cell proliferation and differentiation, the positive regulation of cell death, etc. (Fig. 5B). We also found some links between these genes (Fig. 5C). All these enrichment results indicate that these important genes do have an impact on the occurrence of cancer.

3.8. Survival analysis of key genes

To further validate the prognostic value of the key genes we found for HCC, we performed survival analysis using the clinical data and corresponding expression data of 364 LIHC patients from the TCGA database (including the HCC-related genes CDK4 and AKT1 that have been found [32–35]). First, the effect of high and low expression of these genes on patient survival was verified. It was found that the survival rate in high expression group is lower than that in low expression group for ONCO (MYD88, ARHGAP5, PLCG1, ETV4, ERBB3, MAP2K1, CHD4, CDK4, AKT1) of DHLEG, and the survival rate in low expression group is lower than that in high expression group for TSG (ROBO2, BTG1) of DHLEG, but the difference is not significant (Supplementary appendix file: Fig. A4). It may be because the expression of a single gene is not sufficient to significantly change the survival of the patient.

Therefore, we further performed multiple Cox regression analyses (log-rank test, $P = 6 \times 10^{-5}$) on the expression of these genes (Fig. 6A), finally constructed a prognostic model related to the expression of six genes, as shown below:

\[
RS = (0.5904 \times e_{ARHGAP5} + (0.1713 \times e_{ETV4}) - (0.5468 \times e_{MAP2K1}) - (0.2910 \times e_{BTG1}) + (0.2505 \times e_{CHD4}) + (0.6266 \times e_{CDK4})
\]
Table 3
Genes and important distribution regions of histone modification signals that related to HCC. (A) is the oncogenes, (B) is the tumor suppressor genes.

| (A) GENE (ONCO) | H3K4me3 DISTRIBUTION | DHMR (bp) | Δ | H3K9ac DISTRIBUTION | DHMR (bp) | Δ | H3K27ac DISTRIBUTION | DHMR (bp) | Δ | H3K4me2 DISTRIBUTION | DHMR (bp) | Δ | H3K27me2 DISTRIBUTION | DHMR (bp) | Δ |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------|---|---------------------|-----------|---|---------------------|-----------|---|---------------------|-----------|---|---------------------|-----------|---|
| MYC88          | (−2000, −1300)     | (−1000, 1000) |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| MYC88          | (−1300, −700)      | (−500, 2000)  |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| ARHGAP5        | (−1500, −500)      | (0, 1000)   |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| PLCG1          | (−1000, 1500)      | (0, 1000)   |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| MDM2           | (−1000, −300)      | (0, 500)    |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| MET            | (−800, 800)        | (0, 1000)   |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| ETV4           | (−1700, −1200)     | (1000, 2000) |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| HLF            | (0, 2000)          | (0, 1000)   |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| ERBB3          | (−1000, 2000)      | (0, 1000)   |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| MAP2K1         | (0, 1000)          | (1000, 2000) |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| MALT1          | (−800, 1000)       | (0, 1000)   |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| CCND1          | (−2000, −1500)     | (0, 500)    |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| CHD4           | (−2000, −1500)     | (0, 500)    |   | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |

| (B) GENE (TSG) | H3K4me3 DISTRIBUTION | DHMR (bp) | Δ | H3K9ac DISTRIBUTION | DHMR (bp) | Δ | H3K27ac DISTRIBUTION | DHMR (bp) | Δ | H3K4me2 DISTRIBUTION | DHMR (bp) | Δ | H3K27me2 DISTRIBUTION | DHMR (bp) | Δ |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------|---|---------------------|-----------|---|---------------------|-----------|---|---------------------|-----------|---|---------------------|-----------|---|
| CREB3L1        | (0, 1500)           |           |   | (−1500, −300)      | (300, 2000) |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| ROBO2          | (−2000, −500)       | (700, 2000) |   | (−1500, 500)       | (0, 500)   |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| BTG1           | (−2000, −800)       | (0, 2000)  |   | (−2000, −1300)     | (0, 2000)  |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |
| ACKR3          | (−2000, −800)       | (0, 2000)  |   | (−2000, −1300)     | (0, 2000)  |   | (−2000, −1500)     | (−1000, 0) |   | (200, 1500)        |           |   |

In the distribution maps of histone modification signals, the blue represents the distribution of histone modification signals in tumor cell line and the red represents the distribution of histone modification signals in normal cell line. The Δ represents the change of histone modification signals in tumor cell line relative to normal cell line, the ↑ represents increasing of histone modification signals, the ↓ represents weakening of histone modification signals. Abbreviations: HM: histone modification. DHMR: differentially histone modification regions in tumor cell line.
Among them, ARHGAP5, ETV4, ACKR3, and CDK4 are protection factors, MAP2K1 and BTG1 are risk factors. The risk scores of all patients were calculated by using the risk scoring model. According to the median risk score of 1.3093, the patients were divided into high-risk and low-risk groups. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis shows that patients in the high-risk group has significantly lower
survival rates than patients in the low-risk group ($P = 0.0001$) (Fig. 6B). The ROC curve was used to evaluate the risk scoring model (AUC = 0.74), which proves that the risk scoring model has a good predictive ability for patient survival (Fig. 6C).

In addition, we performed the survival analysis of patients in different groups at high-risk and low-risk based on age, gender, tumor stage, T, N, and M stages, combined with clinical pathological factors. It was found that the high-risk and low-risk groups...
divided by the risk scoring model in different age groups (age<54, age≥54), male, different pathological stages (I/II, III/IV), different T stages (T1/T2, T3/T4), M0, NO stages, the survival rate of patients in the high-risk group is significantly lower than those in the low-risk group (Fig. 7). The results show that the predictive ability of our risk scoring model has nothing to do with other pathological factors, is a better independent predictor, and can well predict the survival rate of patients.

4. Discussion

In this study, we aimed at revealing the key genes that are related to important histone modifications as well as the vital regions of these histone modifications that resulted in HCC. We analyzed the histone modification patterns of DHLEG and found that the H3K4me3, H3K27ac, and H3K9ac are activating modifications, while H3K27me3 is an inhibitory modification. By analyzing the ONCO and TSG of DHLEG, we found that some key genes may be important for the occurrence of HCC, that including MYC, CCND1, CHD4, MAP2K1, MDM2, MET, AKT1, CDK4, ARHGA5P, ETV4, ERFB3, HLF, MALT1, MYD88, and PLCG1. Besides, in further researches of the distribution patterns of histone modifications within these ONCO and TSG, it was observed that H3K4me3, H3K27ac, H3K9ac, and H3K27me3 play important roles for oncogenes expression. Finally, the key genes were analyzed for survival, and a prognostic risk scoring model related to the expression of six genes was established, which can better predict the survival rate of patients.

In fact, some of the genes (MYC, CCND1, CHD4, MDM2, and MET) were found in our research that have been reported in previous studies. For example, MYC is a transcription factor, regulates many programs which are related to the occurrence of cancer [36–39]. MYC and CCND1 are also canonical Wnt targets, often occur overexpression and genomic amplification in HCC [40–45]. Studies have also reported CHD4 is a good target for the eradication of HCC [46]. MDM2 has been shown to function in HCC [47]. MET frequently undergoes copy number variation and has been identified as biomarkers in HCC [48–52]. These researches not only have proved that the key genes obtained in our study are associated with HCC, but also have demonstrated that our results are credible. In addition, we also found some important genes for HCC that hardly reported in previous studies, such as ARHGA5P, ETV4, ERFB3, MAP2K1, HLF, MALT1, MYD88, and PLCG1. Although there is no evidence that ERFB3 is carcinogenic, it has found that ERFB2 is associated with this gene, which has genomic amplification in breast cancer [53]. Moreover, the major histone co-modification patterns of the genes were also found in both cell lines. Some important histone modifications (H3K4me3, H3K27ac, H3K9ac, and H3K4me2) and their modification regions in each gene were identified. Our results will help study the pathogenesis of HCC and discover new biomarkers as well as using epigenetic modifications as novel target drugs.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we analyzed the changes of histone modifications in two kinds of cell lines and the effects of histone modifications on the levels of gene expression from the perspective of bioinformatics. The important histone modifications and 17 key genes were identified, the changes of regions for histone modifications in these key genes were located, the survival analysis was used to further verify the impact of key genes on prognosis. These results may help identify histone modifications as biomarkers and find more potential therapeutic targets for HCC.
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