Artificial biofilm thickness and salivary flow effects on fluoride efficacy – A model development study
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Abstract

This laboratory model development study investigated the interaction between artificial biofilm thickness and salivary flow rate on fluoride-mediated prevention of enamel caries lesion formation. This 5-day pH cycling study on sound bovine enamel specimens utilized a continuous flow model and followed a 4 (agarose biofilm thickness-no biofilm/1/2/3mm) × 2 (erminalizing solution flow rate-0.05/0.5ml/min) × 2 (fluoride-0/383ppm as sodium fluoride) factorial design. Vickers surface microhardness change was the outcome measure. Data were analyzed with three-way ANOVA. The three-way interaction gel thickness × flow rate × fluoride concentration was significant (p=0.0006). 383ppm fluoride caused less softening than 0ppm regardless of gel thickness or flow rate. 0.5ml/min flow rate caused less softening than 0.05ml/min for ‘no biofilm’ and 1mm biofilm thickness regardless of fluoride concentration, for 2 and 3mm with 0ppm F but not for 383ppm F. For 0.05ml/min, softening was reduced as gel thickness increased from ‘no biofilm’-1-2mm, but not from 2-3mm. For 0.5ml/min, ‘no biofilm’ caused more softening than 1, 2, and 3mm, but 1, 2, and 3mm were not different from each other for both 0 and 383ppm F. The present findings suggest that the efficacy of fluoride in preventing enamel demineralization is affected by both biofilm thickness and salivary flow rate, with both thicker biofilms and higher flow rate resulting in less demineralization.

Introduction

Modelling in vivo caries in vitro allows for greater control over experimental variables [1,2]. Although caries is a biofilm-dependent and -mediated disease, the majority of laboratory models employ solutions of organic acids as surrogates for cariogenic bacterial end products. This has the advantage of allowing better control of lesions’ severity and mineral distribution, both of which impact on the efficacy of interventions [3]. Fluoride has long been established as an effective, topical intervention to combat caries through enhancement of remineralization of early caries lesions and consequently also lowering their subsequent acid susceptibility due to fluoride incorporation [4]. However, for fluoride to exert its cariostatic properties, it needs to diffuse through the biofilm to reach the enamel surface with the thickness of the biofilm being a rate-limiting parameter [5]. While biofilms have been successfully incorporated into laboratory models by various investigators [6-8], studying the effect of thickness remains a challenge. Apart from certain devices used in situ that enable the growth of a biofilm to a confined thickness [9], under laboratory conditions, the biofilm is typically allowed to grow without controlling its thickness, although constant depth film fermenters can partially overcome this aspect [10]. A potential solution was offered initially by Lecomte and Dawes [11], later adapted by Blake-Haskins, et al. [12], who introduced the concept of ‘artificial biofilms’ by utilizing an agarose gel as a surrogate for dental biofilms. The importance of calcium for enhanced fluoride retention was demonstrated [12] which is in agreement with later in vivo studies on human biofilms [13] and on the role of calcium fluoride in caries prevention in general [14], thereby highlighting the potential value of this experimental approach.

Among the numerous risk factors involved in the manifestation and progression of caries [15], salivary flow, has thus far only been insufficiently modelled in vitro, apart from pioneer research in the 1960s [16]. Recent studies concerned with dental erosion/abrasion [17-19] however, showed promise to study salivary flow rate effects also on caries lesion development. Consequently, the aim was to adapt this model to study the effects of artificial biofilm thickness and artificial salivary flow rate on the ability of fluoride to prevent enamel caries lesion formation under continuous flow conditions.

Materials and methods

Study design

Sound bovine enamel specimens were allocated to one of 16 experimental groups based on their Vickers surface microhardness. The experimental groups followed a 4 (biofilm thickness-‘no biofilm’/1/2/3mm; prepared using 1% w/v agarose) × 2 (salivary flow rate; 0.05/0.5 ml/min) × 2 (fluoride concentration; 0/383 ppm as sodium fluoride) factorial design. Artificial caries lesions were induced in the specimens during a 5-d pH cycling period utilizing a continuous flow model and the extent of surface softening characterized using Vickers surface microhardness.

Specimen preparation

Enamel specimens (4x4x2 mm3) were cut from bovine incisors and embedded in acrylic resin (Varidur, Buehler, Illinois, USA).
resulting blocks were ground flat and polished with water-cooled abrasive disks (500-, 1,200-, 2,400- and 4,000-grit SiC papers; MD Fuga, Struers Inc., Ohio, USA) and polishing cloth with diamond suspension (1 μm; Struers Inc., Missouri, USA).

**Surface microhardness**

The average Vickers surface microhardness of each sound enamel specimen \( VHN_{\text{sound}} \) was determined from four indentations using a 200 g load, 15 s dwelling time and placed approx. 100 μm apart in the center of the specimen surface (2100 HT; Wilson Instruments, Massachusetts, USA). Specimens (n=8 per group) were assigned to the 16 treatment groups based on \( VHN_{\text{sound}} \). After pH cycling, another four indentations were placed 200 μm to the right of the baseline indentations, yielding \( VHN_{\text{post}} \). The change in VHN was calculated: \( \Delta VHN = VHN_{\text{post}} - VHN_{\text{sound}} \).

**Artificial biofilm**

Artificial biofilm was based on that by Blake-Haskins, et al. [11] an acrylic ring (outer diameter 10mm, inner diameter 7mm), varying in height (1, 2 or 3 mm), was glued onto the specimen surface using super glue. A 1% agarose (Sigma-Aldrich A9539, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) gel was prepared by heating deionized water and agarose under constant stirring. Once the agarose had dissolved (approx. 90°C), the solution was cooled down to approx. 55-60°C. Then, this solution was pipetted into each acrylic ring until the solution was level with the surface of the ring. The number of droplets was counted for each ring height to ensure comparable gel thicknesses between and within groups.

**Solutions**

A pH 5.0 demineralization solution was used: 50.0 mM acetic acid; 2.2 mM CaCl₂; 2.2 mM KH₂PO₄; 130.0 mM KCl (all Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA); 0.2% w/v Carbopol 907 (BF Goodrich Co., Ohio, USA). The remineralizing solution (pH 7.0) had the following composition: 1.5 mM CaCl₂; 0.9 mM KH₂PO₄; 130.0 mM KCl; 20.0 mM HEPES (all Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA). Treatment solutions were either deionized water or 383 ppm fluoride as NaF (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA), simulating an 1150 ppm fluoride dentifrice after 1:3 dilution during toothbrushing.

**Continuous flow model**

The daily pH cycling regimen is shown in Table 1. A continuous flow model [17-19] was adapted to induce the formation of caries rather than erosive lesions. A schematic drawing of the utilized continuous flow chamber is shown in Figure 1. In short, specimens were individually placed in custom made acrylic devices (four specimens/device), having their enamel surface or artificial biofilm facing a closed chamber of 41.3 μl capacity. Each chamber was connected to one inlet and one outlet fluid tube. The inlet tube was connected to a multichannel peristaltic pump (Masterflex LS, Cole-Palmer, Vernon Hills, Illinois USA) through a two-way tubing system, which included a second tube to allow the remineralizing solution to be injected in conjunction with a demineralization challenge and the two solutions being mixed prior to reaching the specimen. During the last 30 of each 60 min of demineralization, the remineralizing solution was mixed with the remineralizing solution ‘in situ’ at a ratio of 1:1 (v/v) and prior to being in contact with the specimens with the aim to simulate acid clearance from the oral cavity through the action of saliva. The demineralization challenge was then injected at a flow rate of only 0.1 ml/min to prevent erosion of the specimens. Pump speeds that simulate typical salivary flow rates were used for the remineralizing solution and set to either 0.05 (‘dry mouth’) or 0.5 ml/min (‘normal’). After the last cycle each day, specimens remained in their chamber with the pumps being switched off until the next test day. Specimens were exposed to treatments by means of the free-end tube. The tube connected to the pump was closed and 20 ml of each solution injected into the tube for 1 min each, twice daily. Then, the chambers were rinsed with 20 ml deionized water before pH cycling continued. The experiment was conducted at room temperature.

**Statistical analysis**

Three-way ANOVA was used to compare the effects of gel thickness, remineralizing solution flow rate, and fluoride concentration on \( \Delta VHN \). Pair-wise comparisons between treatment combinations were made using Fisher’s Protected Least Significant Differences to control the overall significance level at 5%. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, v. 20.0, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

Figure 2 shows the \( \Delta VHN \) for all treatment groups. The three-way interaction among gel thickness, remineralizing solution flow rate, and fluoride concentration was significant (\( P = 0.0006 \)).
Fluoride concentration effect: 0 ppm F caused more softening than 383 ppm F (P < 0.0001) and irrespective of gel thickness or remineralizing solution flow rate.

Remineralizing solution flow rate effect: Flow rate of 0.5 ml/min was more protective against demineralization than 0.05 ml/min for 0 mm artificial biofilm thickness (P = 0.0002) regardless of fluoride concentration, for 1 mm thickness, thickness (P < 0.0001) regardless of fluoride concentration (although the effect size was smaller due to flow rate effect for 383 ppm vs. 0 ppm F), for 2 mm thickness with 0 ppm F (P < 0.0001), and for 3 mm thickness with 0 ppm F (P < 0.0001). There was no significant effect of flow rate for 383 ppm F with thickness of 2 mm (P = 0.07) or 3 mm (P = 0.31).

Artificial biofilm thickness effect: For 0.05 ml/min flow rate, surface softening was reduced as gel thickness increased from 0 to 1 to 2 mm (P ≤ 0.001); however, the difference from 2 to 3 mm was not significant (P = 0.54). For 0.5 ml/min flow rate, the absence of artificial biofilm (0 mm) resulted in more demineralization than 1; 2 and 3 mm thicknesses (P < 0.0001), although 1; 2 and 3 mm thicknesses were not different from each other for 0 ppm F (P = 0.08 for 1 vs. 2 mm, P = 0.11 for 1 vs. 3 mm, P = 0.85 for 2 vs. 3 mm) or 383 ppm F (P = 0.27 for 1 vs. 2 mm, P = 0.47 for 1 vs. 3 mm, P = 0.70 for 2 vs. 3 mm).

Discussion

The effect of biofilm thickness on caries development has attracted some interest in the past, although results were equivocal. While some in situ studies highlighted greater dental demineralization with increased biofilm thickness [20,21], another did not for a glucan biofilm and even showed opposite effects for a non-glucan biofilm [22]. Using a mathematical model, the effect of biofilm thickness was shown to be modulated by sucrose concentration and duration [23]; i.e. an “optimum thickness” of biofilm exists for various sucrose exposures. Furthermore, biofilm varies in its biochemical composition and structurally at various sites in the oral cavity [24] - variables which have been shown to correlate with caries increment [25], adding another layer of complexity. The present findings would suggest that, for normal salivary flow, biofilm thickness is somewhat irrelevant, providing the biofilm is actually present. Under compromised salivary flow conditions, however, artificial biofilm thickness did affect the extent of lesion formation (Figure 2). In this context, it is worth pointing out fundamental differences between in vivo biofilms and the present model system. Firstly, in vivo biofilms, acid is produced through fermentation of carbohydrates; sucrose, for example, diffuses into the biofilm and bacteria in the biofilm produce organic acids. Depending on the ability of carbohydrates to diffuse into the biofilm, acids could be produced close to the saliva-biofilm, at the biofilm-tooth interfaces, or at intermediate biofilm depths. Hence, the highest demineralizing potential may not necessarily be found at the deepest part within a biofilm such as in occlusal caries, where demineralization is often initiated along the entrance of the fissures rather than at the deepest part [26]. In the present study, acid was not produced within the artificial biofilm but had to diffuse through it to reach the enamel surface. The effects of acid, calcium, phosphate, and fluoride were determined by their ability to diffuse through the artificial biofilm and be retained in it. Consequently, the sequence of treatments and their duration allows for virtually complete control of experimental conditions which should prove useful in future studies of mechanistic nature on, for example, concentration gradients of actives in biofilms. Secondly, biofilms vary in porosity as the availability of nutrients, and in particular sucrose, determines the structural backbone through the synthesis of extracellular polysaccharides (glucan) which in turn promote the accumulation of S. mutans, limit diffusion of substances into and out of the biofilm and thereby determine biofilm cariogenicity [27,28]. Gels prepared at higher agarose concentrations could potentially be utilized to mimic diffusion-limiting aspects of biofilms.

The findings for remineralizing solution flow rate were expected and mirrored clinical observations [29]. Compromised salivary flow has a negative impact on the clearance of carbohydrates, or in the present study, clearance of acid from the artificial biofilm through both raising the degree of saturation and rinsing away of acids. However, this also applies to the delivered fluoride, which under low flow conditions would be more substantive. Dry mouth subjects exhibit significantly better fluoride retention than those with normal salivary flow [30,31]. In the present model system, the effect size of fluoride (i.e. numerical difference to placebo) was more pronounced for the 0.05 ml/min flow. Although fluoride did not fully compensate for remineralizing solution flow deficiencies, fluoride was able to minimize the effect, and perhaps higher concentrations, such as those recommended for high-risk populations, would have been able to reduce lesion formation even further. Changes in the subjects’ saliva composition [32], diet and microflora [33] have been observed, all leading to an increase in caries risk beyond that already resulting from compromised salivary flow alone. These aspects would need to be taken into account in future studies, by modifying both acid challenges and remineralizing solution composition.

In conclusion, the present findings suggest that the efficacy of fluoride in preventing enamel demineralization is affected by both biofilm thickness and artificial saliva flow rate.
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