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Abstract In this article, an estimation methodology based on the sequential Monte Carlo algorithm is proposed, that jointly estimate the states and parameters, the relationship between the prices of futures contracts and the spot prices of primary products is determined, the evolution of prices and the volatility of the historical data of the primary market (Gold and Soybean) are analyzed. Two stochastic models for an estimate the states and parameters are considered, the parameters and states describe physical measure (associated with the price) and risk-neutral measure (associated with the markets to futures), the price dynamics in the short-term through the reversion to the mean and volatility are determined, while that in the long term through markets to futures. Other characteristics such as seasonal patterns, price spikes, market-dependent volatilities, and non-seasonality can also be observed. In the methodology, a parameter learning algorithm is used, specifically, three algorithms are proposed, that is the sequential Monte Carlo estimation (SMC) for state space models with unknown parameters: the first method is considered a particle filter that is based on the sampling algorithm of sequential importance with resampling (SISR). The second implemented method is the Storvik algorithm [19], the states and parameters of the posterior distribution are estimated that have supported in low-dimensional spaces, a sufficient statistics from the sample of the filtered distribution is considered. The third method is (PLS) Carvalho’s Particle Learning and Smoothing algorithm [31]. The cash prices of the contracts with future delivery dates are analyzed. The results indicate postponement of payment, the future prices on different maturity dates with the spot price are highly correlated. Likewise, the contracts with a delivery date for the last periods of the year 2017, the spot price lower than the prices of the contracts with expiration date for 12 and 24 months is found, opposite occurs in the contracts with expiration date for 1 and 6 months.
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1. Introduction

The models of stochastic processes for the prices of commodities with another class of market assets are differenced. The commodity market with respect to stocks, interest rates, or currencies is differenced. The financial modeling is unique, the assets are physically real, those are produced, transported, stored and consumed. In the markets of financial security are differently treated. In the big industries and the governmental organisms, the interest in the physical liquidation of the contracts, and in the delivery is focused. For this reason, a substantial amount of merchandise within and between countries must be mobilized, that a delivery cost and delays are considered, so practically impossible to liquidate these contracts in real-time, so spot contracts do not exist.
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Commodity prices vary over time, which is volatile. If volatility change affects market variables, that implies the marginal value of storage is affected, then, a component of the total marginal cost of production is influenced, the opportunity cost of producing the commodity varies which involves to wait for more price information. The products dependent production costs, future prices, supply, and demand. The consumer goods are bought and stored, then later consumption which implies risks with storage and the deterioration of the merchandise. Additionally, the cash prices of the contracts are not available, only the future prices are known; consequently, those prices are needed. The pricing of financial derivatives and the valuation of investments with those prices are allowed. The methods to determine the spot prices by the price of futures contracts are most used. For that reason, the development of methods to determine the spot prices by the prices of futures contracts is studied.

In that direction, an extensive literature, among which the work of [11], a model to explain the relationship between spot prices, futures prices of commodities, and instantaneous convenience yield is developed. [15] three stochastic goods price models are compared, the Kalman filter algorithm to estimate the parameters is used and the methodology using data from two commodity trading products (copper and oil) is validated. [25] two views on commodity prices are developed, the storage theory of [32], and the division future prices. The division of future prices in an expected risk premium and a future forecast of the cash price is proposed (see [27], and [26]). [14] empirical data on the spot price of oil and the instantaneous yield of convenience are analyzed. [1] a methodology of recovering spot prices without using the Kalman filter is proposed, a nonlinear least squares maximum likelihood method to estimate the two-factor model of [21] is used. [24] a fractional stochastic volatility model is considered, that exhibit the volatility, a long-range dependent or a rough/anti persistent behavior is described.

[6] commodity prices using structural models are studied, a better understanding of commodity prices behave is provided, differences between commodities are explored, various models of prediction to identify advantages and disadvantages are presented, a better understanding of the often puzzling and extreme movements of commodity prices is analyzed.

[38] a model for the commodity spot price is proposed, the commodity spot price is decomposed, in long-term and short-term components, while the futures price is decomposed, in expected future spot price and risk premium. That model improves the forecasting accuracy of the spot price, information from the futures curve is utilized, a superior forecasting ability is provided, the random walk and a simple futures price are predicted.

[9] latent factors from a large cross-section of commodity prices are extracted, including fuel and non-fuel commodities, each commodity price series are decomposed, in a global (or common) component, that are block-specific components and a purely idiosyncratic shock. The fluctuations in commodity prices by a single global factor is summarised.

[37] the modeling and forecasting of primary commodity prices are provided, applications of the most recent methods of statistical time series analysis are shown, specifically, econometric methods concerned with structural breaks, unobserved components, chaotic discovery, long memory, heteroskedasticity, wavelet estimation, and fractional integration. Relevant tests are employed, include neural networks, correlation dimensions, Lyapunov exponents, fractional integration, and rescaled range. The price prediction by structural time series and cyclical trend models is used. In practical applications, more than twenty international commodity markets for price behavior are analyzed.

The spot prices of a commodity are uncertain, futures contracts to approximate the spot price are used ([15]). For that reason, the difficulties in the implementation of models, particularly, the factors (variable states) are not directly observed. One way to deal with the problem, the structure of the space-state models to describe futures prices can be used, a relationship between the vector of observed data (future prices for different maturities) and the vector of unobserved states (spot prices) is established. In financial literature, the Kalman filter to estimate the parameters of stochastic commodity models is commonly used ([15]; [10]). Likewise, [28] a Bayesian estimation method is proposed, the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulation to jointly estimate unknown parameters and states in financial models are used; furthermore, the stochastic behavior of prices and volatilities in the most important commodity markets is studied, that with other stock markets is compared. In addition, a wide variety of applications of economic models with MCMC methods are used (see [39]; [22]; [18]; [8]). Models of other researchers are developed, among them: [7], [3], [30], [12], [13], [5], [17], [4], and [36].

In the article, two classical stochastic models in the literature are considered, the Schwartz one-factor model and
the Heston model, the relationship between futures prices and spot commodity prices is proposed. An estimation methodology is developed, an estimation of states and parameters in commodity price models with structures nonlinear is proposed, that analytically in time real cannot be evaluated. An estimate in real-time by an algorithm sequential is proposed, that does not require storage of all the past data information. Interpreting various sources of uncertainty, including input, output and parameter uncertainty is realized. An approach dynamic, predict future price behavior, analyze changes in economic series structures, determines storage capacity for raw material products, are developed. The rest of the article is organized, as follows: In Section 2, the general problem is formulated; In Section 3, stochastic models of commodity prices are defined; In Section 4, a short review of particle filtering and three smoothing algorithms are proposed; In Section 5, the results are discussed; and in Section 6, a discussion and conclusions are established.

2. Formulation of the Problem

The financial market consists of negotiable instruments or assets, such as: stocks, bonds, currencies, commodities. Financial markets exist for the flow of money. The models to adjust the prices of primary products (agriculture, oil, copper) are different, those with respect to traditional financial models. Financial assets respect to stocks, interest rates or currencies are different, that is physically produced, transported, stored, and consumed, then, financial assets be differently treated. The state-space models in the literature are referenced, that a better performance is demonstrated, a relationship between the vector of observable time series (future prices for the delivery of the commodities) and the vector of the unobservable (called state vector) is established. Spot prices as a state variable can be viewed, which is not directly observed. Spot prices by one version discrete temporal of the stochastic process can be viewed, that a transition equation is called. For example, consider a general state-space model defined at discrete times,

\[ y_t | x_t \sim p(y_t | x_t), \quad \text{observation density} \]
\[ x_t | x_{t-1} \sim p(x_t | x_{t-1}), \quad \text{state evolution density} \]
\[ x_0 \sim p(x_0), \quad \text{initial state} \]

where \( x_t \) are unobserved states of the system, \( y_t \) are observations over time interval \( t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, T\} \), and \( p(x_t | x_{t-1}) \) and \( p(y_t | x_t) \) state evolution and observation densities are prespecified, that can be non-Gaussian and involve nonlinearity. The state model (1) is just conditional by the previous state, and the observation density (1) is independent of previous observations and that is just conditional by the state \( x_t \) at time \( t \). \( y_{1:t} = (y_1, \ldots, y_t) \), and \( x_{0:t} = (x_0, \ldots, x_t) \). The joint distribution of states and observations by the probability chain rule are obtained, where \( \theta \) known,

\[
p(x_{0:t}, y_{1:t}) = p(x_0) \prod_{k=1}^{t} p(x_k | x_{k-1}) p(y_k | x_k) \tag{1}
\]

The equation (1), that a close form has not defined, that is analytically intractable. Stochastic simulation algorithms to obtain a approximation are required. The marginal likelihood of the observations is obtained

\[
p(y_{1:t}) = \int \cdots \int p(x_0) \prod_{k=1}^{t} p(x_k | x_{k-1}) p(y_k | x_k) dx_0 \cdots dx_t \tag{2}
\]

In state-space inference problems, the main interest is sequential estimation of the filtering distribution \( p(x_t | y_{1:t}) \). Updating the filtering density by the standard filtering recursions can be obtained

\[
p(x_{t+1} | y_{1:t}) = \int p(x_t | y_{1:t}) p(x_{t+1} | x_t) dx_t \tag{3}
\]
and
\[ p(x_{t+1}|y_{1:t+1}) = \frac{p(y_{t+1}|x_{t+1})p(x_{t+1}|y_{1:t})}{p(y_{t+1}|y_{1:t})} \] (4)
where
\[ p(y_{t+1}|y_{1:t}) = \int p(y_{t+1}|x_t)p(x_t|y_{1:t}) \, dx_t \] (5)

Now, if \( \theta \) and \( x_{0:t} \) are unknown, the evaluation of the a posteriori joint probability density \( p(x_t, \theta|y_{1:t}) \) by Bayesian estimation techniques recursively can be obtained, the first prediction
\[ p(x_{t+1}, \theta|y_{1:t}) \propto \int p(x_{t+1}|x_t, \theta)p(x_t, \theta|y_{1:t}) \, dx_t \] (6)
and, then updating the prediction to obtain the observation \( y_t \)
\[ p(x_{t+1}, \theta|y_{1:t+1}) = \frac{p(y_{t+1}|x_{t+1}, \theta)p(x_{t+1}, \theta|y_{1:t})}{p(y_{t+1}|y_{1:t}, \theta)} \] (7)
where
\[ p(y_{t+1}|y_{1:t}, \theta) = \int p(y_{t+1}|x_t, \theta)p(x_t, \theta|y_{1:t}) \, dx_t \] (8)

The state-space models are appropriated, that if exists unobservable state variables, the system by a Markov process is generated. A sequential algorithm to estimate the parameters and unobservable state of the model can be used. In society, the uncertainty about volatility and the prediction of commodity prices has highly influenced, that in the agricultural, metal, energy industries, central banks, government entities, among others. In most commodity trading contracts, future prices are shown, that in cash is not available, how has to recover the true value of price, that problem is proposed. Spot prices for the pricing of derivatives and the valuation of investments are required. To deal with the problem, a model by a system of stochastic differential equations is defined.
\[ dx_t = F(t, \theta)dt + L(t, \theta)dB_t \]
\[ y_k = H_k x_t + v_k \] (9)

where

- \( x_t \) it is a vector of unknown states.
- \( \theta \) it is a vector of unknown parameters.
- \( F : [0, \infty] \times \phi \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) is a drift coefficient.
- \( L : [0, \infty] \times \phi \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times s} \) is a diffusion coefficient.
- \( \{B_t : t \geq 0\} \) is a vector of a Brownian movement.
- \( H_k \) is a matrix that transforms observations into the same space of observations.
- \( v_k \sim N(0, R_t) \) is an observation noise.

To guarantee the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of the stochastic differential equation (SDE), the fulfillment of coefficients with the global conditions and the linear growth of Lipschitz is assumed ([16]). The particular problem, the stochastic behavior of the models are compared

- The one-factor Schwartz model (1997).
- The Heston model (1993).

In the first model, the logarithm of the cash prices of the merchandise by a process of reversing the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck average (O-U) is followed. The second model, the evolution of spot prices and their variance through a system of stochastic differential equations is described; also, that has nonzero price-volatility correlation, and that is essential if, the model to the skewed and leptokurtic price densities of commodity futures are captured.
3. Commodity price models

In this section, the commodity price models for basic products are defined, additionally, concepts for the construction of models are required. The models of one-factor of Schwartz and Heston are defined. The transition density \( p(x_t|x_{t-1}, \theta) \) becomes intractable, then likelihood-based inference is a difficult problem, a discretized version to the estimation of the parameters and solutions states is written.

Geometric Brownian motion models are widely used, due to simplicity and flexibility. Under Geometric Brownian motion (GBM) the price has a lognormal distribution, or equivalently, the log-returns are normally distributed. The GBM for the price at time \( t \) of a futures contract with maturity \( T \) is denoted \( F_{t,T} \), that is the martingale process, under the risk-neutral probability measure \( Q \)

\[
dF_{t,T} = \sigma F_{t,T} dB_t
\]

where the volatility \( \sigma \) is constant and \( B_t \) is a Wiener process. Application of Ito’s lemma to the no-arbitrage relationship between spot and futures prices, the following representation for the spot price \( S_t \) is provided

\[
dS_t = (r - y)S_t dt + \sigma S_t dB_t
\]

where \( r \) is the carry cost (including financing, transportation, storage, insurance, etc.) and \( y \) is the convenience yield.

Also, [11] a relationship between spot and futures prices of a commodity and the convenience yield is established. The authors assume, the convenience yield is a function only of the current spot price, together with the assumption, the risk-free interest rate is a constant \( \rho \).

Let \( S_t \) denote the spot price of a single homogeneous commodity at time \( t \), that follow a stochastic process is assumed

\[
dS_t = \mu_t S_t dt + \sigma_t S_t dB_t \quad ; \quad t \geq 0
\]

where \( dB_t \) is the increment to a standard Brownian motion, \( \sigma_t \) is the instantaneous standard deviation of the spot price, (known) and, \( \mu_t \) is the trend in price, that may be stochastic.

The marginal convenience yield \( C(S_t,t) \) can be defined, that as a function of the current spot price. For tractability, that is assumed

\[
C(S_t,t) = kS_t \quad ; \quad k > 0
\]

simply a proportion of the current spot price, where \( k \) is a constant. The performance of the convenience curve can be considered, that is, as an interest rate paid in barrels of oil from borrowing a barrel of oil, then the prices of futures contracts to the delivery of oil can be built. The borrower of a barrel of oil provides storage in the form of inventories to the lender. The lender can be compensated, that for forgoing the benefits associates withholding the barrel of oil. Then, a balance between convenience performance at storage price and shortages of the commodity has existed. Commodity prices by models are determined, convenience returns arise of the interaction between commodity demand, supply, and producer storage decisions.

[11] the instantaneous change in the prices of futures contracts is expressed, as

\[
dF_s = F_s \left[ S(\mu - \rho) + C \right] dt + F_s \sigma dB_s
\]

Since [25] remark, that in equilibrium only individuals with high convenience yields will hold inventories. The marginal convenience yield, net of storage costs, is inversely proportional to the amount of the commodity held in inventory, in the same way, the marginal convenience yield is directly proportional to the current spot price.

On the other hand, spot price processes through mean-reversion and seasonality can be exhibited, that includes uncertainty about the basis, then [14] the following two-factor process with stochastic convenience yield is introduced

\[
dS_t = (r - y)S_t dt + \sigma_1 S_t dB_{1,t}
\]

\[
dy_t = [\kappa(\alpha - y) - \lambda] dt + \sigma_2 dB_{2,t}
\]

\[
dB_{1,t} dB_{2,t} = \rho dt
\]
where $\kappa$ is the rate of mean reversion for the convenience yield, $\alpha$ is the mean convenience yield, and $\lambda$ is the convenience yield risk premium.

The fair value relationship between spot and futures under those processes are given, by

$$F_{t,T} = S_t \exp \left( -y \frac{1 - \exp (\kappa(T - t))}{\kappa} + A_{t,T} \right)$$

(16)

where

$$A_{t,T} = \left( r - \alpha + \lambda \frac{\kappa}{2} - \frac{1}{\kappa} \right) (T - t) + \frac{1}{4} \sigma^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\exp (-2\kappa(T - t))}{\kappa^3} \right)$$

$$+ \left( (\alpha - \lambda \frac{\kappa}{2}) \frac{\kappa}{\sigma^2} - \frac{\kappa^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \frac{1 - \exp (-\kappa(T - t))}{\kappa^2}$$

(17)

Those models for pricing path-dependent options on spot prices are used, that processes display mean-reversion is linked (see [33], [15]). In particular, two stochastic models to analyze the behavior of commodity prices are studied. In particular, two stochastic models to analyze the behavior of commodity prices are studied, where a novel algorithm to estimate states and parameters is proposed.

### 3.1. The One-Factor Model of Schwartz (1997)

In this section, the one-factor model and derive the corresponding formula for pricing futures contracts are presented, the logarithm of the spot price of a commodity under a mean-reverting process of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type is assumed. To develop the one-factor model of [15], the spot price of commodity spot price under a stochastic process is assumed. The one-factor model of [15], the spot price of commodities follow a stochastic process is assumed:

$$dS_t = \kappa(\mu - \ln(S_t))S_t dt + \sigma S_t dB_t$$

(18)

To solve the equation analytically (18), introduce the rule of the chain for stochastic differentials is necessitated, the formula of Itô is called, which for $x = \ln(S)$, as follow is defined:

$$dx_t = \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial x}{\partial S} dS + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial S^2} (dS)^2$$

(19)

$$= \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial S} \mathcal{M} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial S^2} L^2 \right) dt + \frac{\partial x}{\partial S} L dB$$

(20)

where

$$\mathcal{M} = \kappa(\mu - \ln(S))S_t, \quad L = \sigma S_t, \quad \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial x}{\partial S} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial S^2} = -1 \frac{1}{S_t^2}$$

(21)

Applying the formula Itô, the logarithm of the prices by a stochastic process type Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (O-U) can be characterized:

$$dx_t = \kappa(\alpha - x_t) dt + \sigma dB_t$$

(22)

where

- $x_t$ is the net instant convenience performance.
- $\kappa > 0$ measures the degree of reversion to the average.
- $\alpha$ is the long-term equilibrium level.
- $\sigma$ characterizes the volatility of the process.
- $dB_t$ is a Brownian movement.
An O-U process under an equivalent measure of martingale is written:

\[ dx_t = \kappa (\alpha^* - x_t) dt + \sigma dB_t^* \]  

(23)

where

- \( \alpha^* = \alpha - \lambda \).
- \( \lambda \) is the market risk price.
- \( dB_t^* \) is a Brownian movement under the equivalent measure of martingale.

The solution of the equation (23) is given:

\[ x_t = x_0 e^{-\kappa t} + (1 - e^{-\kappa t}) \alpha^* + \sigma \int_0^t e^{\kappa(s-t)} dB_s^* \]  

(24)

The conditional distribution (process \( x \) in time \( T \)) under the equivalent measure of martingale as a normal is distributed, with mean and variance are specified:

- Mean:
  \[ \mathbb{E}(x_T|T) = x_0 e^{-\kappa T} + (1 - e^{-\kappa T}) \alpha^* \]  
  (25)

- Variance:
  \[ \mathbb{V}ar(x_T|T) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\kappa} (1 - e^{-2\kappa T}) \]  
  (26)

Note that \( x_T = x(T) = \ln(S(T)) \) is the spot price of commodities at time \( T \), a random variable log-normal under the equivalent measure of martingale is distributed, with the same parameters.

Considering a constant interest rate, the future price of commodities with expiration time \( T \) is the expected price of commodities in time \( T \), that an equilibrium measure is maintained, i.e., under an equivalent measure of martingale, consequently:

\[ F(S,T) = \mathbb{E}[S(T)] = e^{\mathbb{E}[\ln(S_T)|T] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{V}ar(\ln(S_T)|T)} \]

(27)

or equivalently:

\[ \ln[F(S,T)] = e^{-\kappa T} \ln(S_T) + (1 - e^{-\kappa T}) \alpha^* + \frac{\sigma^2}{4\kappa}(1 - e^{-2\kappa T}) \]  

(28)

The equation (27) is solution of the partial differential equation:

\[ \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S^2 F_{SS} + \kappa (\mu - \lambda - \ln S) SF_S - F_T = 0 \quad ; \quad F(S,0) = S \]  

(29)

Schwartz’s stochastic differential equation (a single factor) in the state-space model is expressed, that completing the partially observed information is allowed; i.e., the unobserved states, and unknown parameters are estimated.

The model is expressed:

\[ x_0 \sim N(0, \sigma_0^2 \Delta t) \quad , \quad t = 1, \ldots, N_T \]
\[ x_t = c_t + Q_t x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \quad ; \quad \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \Delta t) \]  

(30)

\[ y_t = d_t + z_t x_t + \nu_t \quad ; \quad \nu_t \sim N(0, H) \]

where

- \( z_t = e^{-\kappa T_t} \).
- \( c_t = \kappa \alpha \Delta t \).
- \( Q_t = 1 - \kappa \Delta t \).
\( c_t = \kappa \alpha \Delta t, \quad t = 1, \ldots, N_T. \)
\( z_t = e^{-\kappa T_t}, \)
\( x_0 \) is a known vector of initial states.
\( x_t \) is a vector of unknown states.
\( \epsilon_t \) is a vector of uncorrelated errors of the states, where \( \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t) = 0 \) and \( \text{Var}(\epsilon_t) = \sigma^2 \Delta t. \)
\( y_t = \ln[F(T_i)], \quad i = 1, \ldots, N \) is a vector of observed variables.
\( \nu_t \) is a vector of uncorrelated errors of observations, where \( \mathbb{E}(\nu_t) = 0 \) and \( \text{Var}(\nu_t) = H. \)
\( \theta = (\alpha, \kappa, \mu, \sigma, \lambda) \) are the unknown parameters.

Kalman filter algorithm for estimating states of the model is usually used, in this article, an alternative algorithm for estimating states and parameters of the model is proposed.

### 3.2. The Heston Model

In this section, the Heston model is introduced. That model by many economic, empirical, and mathematical reasons is chosen. Several important features of low-frequency price data by the model are reproduced: leverage effect, time-varying volatility, fat tails, provides quite reasonable dynamics for the volatility surface, explicit formula for the characteristic function of the asset log-price, consequently, the model calibration procedures are very efficient, and volatility as Brownian diffusion is followed.

The Heston model [35] is proposed, the mathematical model to describe the behavior of a bivariate stochastic process is used, a process between the stock price \( S_t \) and its variance \( \nu_t \) is described, that as a generalization of the Black-Scholes option valuation model is initially emerged, assuming the volatility is a stochastic process. The model by the following system of stochastic differential equations is governed:

\[
\begin{align*}
    dS_t &= \mu S_t dt + \sqrt{\nu_t} S_t dB_{1,t} \\
    d\nu_t &= k(\theta - \nu_t) dt + \sigma \sqrt{\nu_t} dB_{2,t} \\
    dB_{1,t}dB_{2,t} &= \rho dt
\end{align*}
\]

where, the system variables are defined:

- \( S_t \) : is the price of the asset.
- \( \nu_t \) : is the volatility of the asset.
- \( \mu \) : is the expected return on the asset.
- \( \theta \) : is the variation of long-term prices.
- \( k \) : is the speed at which volatility tends towards its long-term average.
- \( \sigma \) : is the volatility of \( \sqrt{\nu_t} \).
- \( \rho \) : is the correlation of Brownian movements.
- \( dt = t_k - t_{k-1} \) : is a small increase in time.
- \( dB_{1,t} \) : is a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion.
- \( dB_{2,t} \) : is a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion.

The discretize the process in the first equation in (31) by Euler discretization is used, the discretization to find the solution of the equation is required. In the following, the process of discretization of \( s_t, \ln(s_t) \) and \( \nu_t \) is shown.

The stochastic differential equation (SDE) in (31) in integral form can be expressed, as follows

\[
s_{t+dt} = s_t + \int_t^{t+dt} \mu s_u du + \int_t^{t+dt} \sqrt{\nu_u} s_u dB_{1,u}
\]

Using a discretization of Euler

\[
\int_t^{t+dt} \mu s_u du \approx \mu s_t dt
\]

and

\[
\int_t^{t+dt} \sqrt{\nu_u} s_u dB_{1,u} = \sqrt{\nu_t} s_t (B_{t+dt} - B_t) = \sqrt{\nu_t} s_t z_u \quad ; \quad z_u \sim N(0, 1)
\]
Therefore, the SDE of the stock price is discretized
\[
 s_{t+dt} = s_t + \mu s_t dt + \sqrt{v_t} s_t z_u 
\]  
(35)

Consider \( y_t = \ln(s_t) \), using Itô’s Lemma,
\[
dy_t = d\ln(s_t) = (\mu - 1/2 v_t) dt + \sqrt{v_t} dB_{1,t}
\]

Integrating
\[
\ln(s_{t+dt}) = \ln(s_t) + \int_0^t \left( \mu - 1/2 v_u \right) du + \int_0^t \sqrt{v_u} dB_{1,u}
\]

Then
\[
\ln(s_{t+dt}) = \ln(s_t) + \left( \mu - 1/2 v_t \right) dt + \sqrt{v_t} dt z_u
\]

Then, Euler’s discretization of \( \ln(s_t) \) is given
\[
\ln(s_{t+dt}) = \ln(s_t) + \left( \mu - 1/2 v_t \right) dt + \sqrt{v_t} dt z_u
\]

The discretization of the second equation in (31)
\[
v_{t+dt} = v_t + \int_t^{t+dt} k(\theta - v_u) du + \int_t^{t+dt} \sigma \sqrt{v_u} dB_{2,u}
\]  
(36)

Using a discretization of Euler
\[
\int_t^{t+dt} k(\theta - v_u) du \approx k(\theta - v_t) dt
\]  
(37)

and
\[
\int_t^{t+dt} \sigma \sqrt{v_u} dB_{2,u} \approx \sigma \sqrt{v_t} (B_{1,t+dt} - B_{1,t}) = \sigma \sqrt{v_t} z_s \quad ; \quad z_s \sim N(0, 1)
\]

where \( Corr(z_u, z_s) = \rho \). Finally, the equation of the volatility in the discrete version of Euler is given
\[
v_{t+dt} = v_t + k(\theta - v_t) dt + \sigma \sqrt{v_t} dt z_s
\]  
(38)

The Heston’s stochastic volatility model as state space models can be written, the discrete version (see [5]):
\[
v_{t+1} = v_t + \kappa(\theta - v_t) + \sigma \sqrt{v_t} z_s
\]  
(39)

\[
y_{t+1} = y_t + \left( \mu - 1/2 v_t \right) + \sqrt{v_t} z_u
\]  
(40)

where \( dt = 1 \) and \( y_{t+1} = \ln(s_{t+1}) \) are assumed.

To generate \( z_u \) and \( z_s \) with correlation \( \rho \), those normal random variables independent and identically distributed is generated, \( z_1 \sim N(0, 1) \) and \( z_2 \sim N(0, 1) \), such that \( z_u = z_1 \) and \( z_s = \rho z_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} z_2 \). Then, the equations (39) and (40) are rewritten:
\[
v_{t+1} = v_t + \kappa(\theta - v_t) + \sqrt{v_t} \sigma (\rho z_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} z_2)
\]
\[
y_{t+1} = y_t + \left( \mu - 1/2 v_t \right) + \sqrt{v_t} z_1
\]  
(41)

The parameters of model are: \( \Theta = (\kappa, \theta, \mu, \sigma, \rho) \).
4. Particle Filtering: Algorithm to Estimate States and Parameters

In this section, a sequential Monte Carlo method [34], [2], and recently [23] is proposed, a particle filter, that estimates the states and parameters for long memory stochastic volatility model is based. On smoothing the points of the simulated samples from the parameters and unobserved states of the model is based. The algorithm is consistent and asymptotically normal (23). The procedure in time \( t \) is initialized, that generates a combined sample:

\[
\{x^{(i)}_{t}, \theta^{(i)}_{t}\} ; \quad i = 1, \ldots, N
\]

with associated weights:

\[
\{\omega^{(i)}_{t}\} ; \quad i = 1, \ldots, N
\]

which represents an approximation to the posterior distribution of states and parameters:

\[
p_N(x_t, \theta_t | y_{1:t}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{\{x^{(i)}_{t}, \theta^{(i)}_{t}\}}(x_t, \theta_t)
\]

where \( \delta_{x_0}(x) \) denotes a mass point of the Dirac delta located at the point \( x_0 \).

4.1. Sequential Importance Sampling/Re-sampling Algorithm

Let is considered \( \theta \) is not fixed; that is, that is varied in time \( t \), for example:

\[
\theta_t = \theta_{t-1} + \epsilon_t ; \quad \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2_{\epsilon})
\]

To approximate \( p(\theta | y_t) \), the samples \( \theta^{(i)}_{t} \) and the weights \( \omega^{(i)}_{t} \) are generated, the algorithm [29] is used:

\[
p(\theta | y_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega^{(i)}_{t} N(m^{(i)}_{t}, h^2 V_t)
\]

where

\[
m^{(i)}_{t} = a \theta^{(i)}_{t} + (1 - a) \bar{\theta}_t
\]

\[
\bar{\theta}_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta^{(i)}_{t} ; \quad a = \sqrt{1 - h^2}
\]

and

\[
V_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\theta^{(i)}_{t} - \hat{\theta}_t)(\theta^{(i)}_{t} - \hat{\theta}_t)^T
\]
The evolve of states and observations by the equations (30) and (41) is described, in the cases under study in this research. The function of sampling and resampling algorithm of generic importance is summarized: the mass points of the states and parameters are readjusted and states \( x_t \), parameters \( \theta_t \), the associated weights \( \omega_t \) are updated. The joint posterior distribution \( p(x_t, \theta_t | y_{1:t}) \) is presented.

The SISR algorithm has been used to obtain a sample of high-dimensional complex distributions that is occurred in the filtering of non-linear models. The idea of the method is to represent the joint posterior distribution \( p \left( x_{i}^{(j)}, \theta_{i}^{(j)} | y_{1:t} \right) \) and update \( \theta \) in conjunction with the \( x_{0:t} \) states.

- **Step 1.** For \( t = 1 \):
  - For \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) is sampled:
    \[
    \tilde{x}_{1,1}^{(i)} \sim q_1(.) \quad ; \quad \theta_1^{(i)} \sim p_1(.)
    \] (50)
  - For \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), is re-sampled:
    \[
    \omega_1 \left( \tilde{x}_{1,1}^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)} \right) = \frac{p(\tilde{x}_{1,1}^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)} | y_1)}{q_1(\tilde{x}_{1,1}^{(i)}) p_1(\theta_1^{(i)})} \]
    \[
    = \frac{p(\tilde{x}_{1,1}^{(i)} \theta_1^{(i)}) p(y_1 | \tilde{x}_{1,1}^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)}) p(\theta_1^{(i)})}{q_1(\tilde{x}_{1,1}^{(i)}) p_1(\theta_1^{(i)})} \]
    \[
    = \frac{p(\tilde{x}_{1,1}^{(i)} \theta_1^{(i)}) p(y_1 | \tilde{x}_{1,1}^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)})}{q_1(\tilde{x}_{1,1}^{(i)})} \] (51)

  - The weights are normalized:
    \[
    W_1^{(i)} = \frac{\omega_1^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_1^{(i)}} \quad ; \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_1^{(i)} = 1
    \] (52)

  - Resample:
    \[
    x_{1,1}^{(i)} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_1^{(i)} \delta_{x_{1,1}^{(i)}}(dx_1)
    \] (53)

where \( \delta \) is the classic Dirac Delta function.

- **Step 2.** For \( t \geq 2 \):
  - For \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), is sampled:
    \[
    \tilde{x}_{t,t-1}^{(i)} = x_{t,t-1}^{(i)}
    \] (54)
    \[
    m_{t-1}^{(i)} = \alpha \theta_{t-1}^{(i)} + (1 - \alpha) \tilde{\theta}_{t-1}
    \] (55)
    \[
    \tilde{\theta}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{t-1}^{(i)} \theta_{t-1}^{(i)} \quad ; \quad \alpha = \sqrt{1 - h^2}
    \] (56)

    and
    \[
    V_{t-1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (W_{t-1}^{(i)} \theta_{t-1}^{(i)} - \tilde{\theta}_{t-1})(W_{t-1}^{(i)} \theta_{t-1}^{(i)} - \tilde{\theta}_{t-1})^T
    \] (57)

  - The \( \theta_t^{(i)} \) are generated:
    \[
    \theta_t^{(i)} \sim N(m_{t-1}^{(i)}, h^2 V_{t-1})
    \] (58)

  - The \( \tilde{x}_{t,t}^{(i)} \) are generated:
    \[
    \tilde{x}_{t,t}^{(i)} \sim q_t(\cdot | x_{t-1,t-1}^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)})
    \] (59)
– For \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), is sampled:

\[
\omega_t^{(i)} = \omega_t(x_t^{(i)}, \bar{x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}})
\]

\[= \frac{p(x_t^{(i)}, \bar{x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}} | y_{1:t})}{p(x_1^{(i)}, \bar{x_1^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)}} | y_{1:t})} q_t(x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}) q_t(\bar{x}_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}) p(x_1^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)}) p(\theta_1^{(i)})
\]

\[= \frac{p(y_t | x_t^{(i)}, \bar{x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}}) p(x_t^{(i)}, \bar{x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}}) p(x_1^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)}) p(\theta_1^{(i)})}{p(x_1^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)}) q_t(x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}) q_t(\bar{x}_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}) p(x_1^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)})}
\]

\[= \frac{p(y_t | x_t^{(i)}, \bar{x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}}) p(x_t^{(i)}, \bar{x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}}) p(x_1^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)}) p(\theta_1^{(i)})}{p(x_1^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)}) q_t(x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}) q_t(\bar{x}_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}) p(x_1^{(i)}, \theta_1^{(i)})}
\]

– The weights are normalized:

\[
W_t^{(i)} = \frac{\omega_t^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_t^{(i)}} \quad ; \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_t^{(i)} = 1
\]

– For \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), is re-sampled:

\[x_{1,t}^{(i)} \sim \pi_t^{N}(dx_{1:t})
\]

where

\[
\pi_t^{N}(dx_{1:t}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_t^{(i)} \delta_{\{x_{1,t-1:t-1}, \bar{x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}}\}}(dx_{1:t})
\]

**Step 3.** The algorithm outputs: The filtered distribution \( p(x_t | y_{1:t}) \) and the distribution \( p(\theta | y_t) \), that are approximated:

\[
\pi_t^{N}(dx_{1:t}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_t^{(i)} \delta_{\{x_{1,t-1:t-1}, \bar{x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}}\}}(dx_{1:t})
\]

or for

\[
\pi_t^{N}(dx_{1:t}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{\{x_{1,t-1:t-1}, \bar{x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}}\}}(dx_{1:t})
\]

and the estimator of \( \theta \) is \( \tilde{\theta}_t \):

\[
\tilde{\theta}_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_t^{(i)} \theta_t^{(i)}
\]

the approximation of the combined distribution is given by:

\[
\pi_t^{N, \theta}(dx_{1:t}, d\theta_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_t^{(i)} \delta_{\{x_{1,t-1:t-1}, \bar{x_t^{(i)}, \theta_t^{(i)}}\}}(dx_{1:t}, d\theta_t)
\]

**4.2. Storvik’s SIR Filter**

In some situations, the posterior distribution \( p(\theta | x_{0:t}, y_{1:t}) \) can be depended on a function of the data in terms of the sufficient statistics \( s_t = S(x_{0:t}, y_{1:t}) \), such that:

\[
p(\theta | x_{0:t}, y_{1:t}) = p(\theta | s_t)
\]

and the sufficient statistic \( s_t \) can be recursively updated by:

\[
s_t = f(s_{t-1}, x_t, y_t)
\]
The Storvik algorithm is defined by [19] that can be applied to generate the samples of the filtered distribution of the parameters and states. The following decomposition is used, see [20]:

\[ p(x_{0:t}, \theta|y_{1:t}) \propto p(x_{0:t-1}|y_{1:t-1}) p(\theta|s_t) p(x_{t|t-1}, \theta) p(y_t|x_t, \theta) \]  

(71)

Storvik’s algorithm generates samples from the distribution (71) the following steps are used:

1. Set \( s_0^{(i)} = s_0 \) and draw \( \theta^{(i)} \sim p(\theta|s_0^{(i)}) \) and \( x_0^{(i)} \sim p(x_0|\theta^{(i)}) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \).
2. For each time \( t = 1, \ldots, T \):
   
   (a) Propagate \( x_t^{(i)} \sim p(x_t|x_{t-1}^{(i)}, y_t^{(i)}) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \).
   
   (b) Compute weights \( w_t(i) \propto p(y_t|x_t^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \).
   
   (c) Update sufficient statistics \( s_t^{(i)} = S(x_t^{(i)}, s_{t-1}^{(i)}, y_t) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \).
   
   (d) Resample \( N \) times from \( \left\{ (x_t^{(i)}, s_t^{(i)}) \right\}_{i=1}^N \) with weights \( w_t(i) \), to obtain a sample from \( p(x_t, s_t|y_t) \).
   
   (e) Sample \( \theta^{(i)} \sim p(\theta|s_t^{(i)}) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \).

Steps 2(d)–(e) provide samples from the Bayesian filtering density:

\[ (x_t^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}) \sim p(x_t, \theta|y_{1:t}) \]

4.3. Particle Learning and Smoothing (PLS)

When a particle smoothing algorithm with unknown parameters is used, the states and parameters are estimated that is conditioned to the \( y_{1:t} \) data, that is generated samples \( \left\{ x_t^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)} \right\} \) of the joint posterior distribution \( p(x_t, \theta|y_{1:t}) \), where \( t \) is the total number of steps.

In Carvalho et al. [31] is showed, a filtering algorithm is run, the filtered particles can be resampled to obtain a sample from the smoothed distribution. A decomposition of the smoothed posterior distribution is considered:

\[ p(x_{0:T}, \theta| y_{1:T}) = p(x_T, \theta| y_{1:T}) \prod_{t=1}^{T-1} p(x_t|x_{t-1}, \theta, y_{1:t}) \]

where:

\[ p(x_{t|t-1}, \theta, y_{1:t}) \propto p(x_{t+1|t}, \theta) p(x_t|\theta, y_{1:t}) \]

The steps of algorithm are:

1. (Forward Filter) Run the particle learning algorithm to generate samples \( \left\{ (x_t^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}) \right\}_{i=1}^N \) from \( p(x_t, \theta|y_{1:t}) \) at each time \( t = 1, \ldots, T \).
2. (Backward Smoother). Select a pair \( (x_t^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}) \) from Step 1, and simulate backwards: For \( t = T - 1, \ldots, 1 \), resample the particles \( \left\{ (x_t^{(i)}) \right\}_{i=1}^N \) from Step 1 with weights proportional to 

\[ \omega_t^{(i)} = p(x_{t+1}^{(i)}|x_t^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}) \]


to generate \( x_t^{(i)} \) from the smoothing distribution.

Carvalho et al. [31] algorithm for state and parameter learning is:
1. Initialize the marginal likelihood \( \hat{f}(y^0 \mid \mathcal{M}) = 1 \).
2. Set \( s_0^{(i)} = s_0 \), and draw \( \theta^{(i)} \sim p(\theta \mid s_0^{(i)}) \) and \( x_0^{(i)} \sim p(x_0 \mid \theta^{(i)}) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \).
3. For each time \( t = 1, \ldots, T \) and \( i = 1, \ldots, N \):
   (a) Compute \( \pi_{x_t}^{(i)} = q(y_t \mid x_{t-1}^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}) \).
   (b) Generate \( z^i \sim Mult(N; \{\pi_{z_t}^{(i)}\}) \).
   (c) Generate \( \hat{x}_t^{(i)} \sim p(x_t \mid x_{t-1}^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}) \).
   (d) Update \( \hat{s}_t^{(i)} = s_t(x_t)^{z(i)} \).
   (e) Compute \( w_t^{(i)} = p(y_t \mid x_t^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}) \).
   (f) Generate \( \hat{k}^{(i)} \sim Mult(N; \{w_t^{(i)}\}) \).
   (g) Define \((x_t^{(i)}, s_t^{(i)}) = (\hat{x}_t^{(k)}, \hat{s}_t^{(k)})\).
   (h) Draw \( \theta^{(i)} \sim p(\theta \mid s_t^{(i)}) \).
   (i) Compute marginal likelihood \( \hat{f}(y^t \mid \mathcal{M}) = \hat{f}(y^{t-1} \mid \mathcal{M}) \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_t^{(i)} \right) \).

5. Results

To illustrate the methodology, the states (spot prices) and the parameters of the two stochastic models are estimated, i.e., the one-factor Schwartz model and the Heston model. The predictive capability of the parameter learning algorithm with the models is evaluated. A set of data from the agricultural sector and the mining industry are chosen, the temporary series of contracts with future delivery dates of the primary products soybeans and gold are used. The series consists of 1400 data from August of the year 1990 to September of the year 2017. Two series of data are considered: (1) The data of weekly observations of the prices of contracts with future delivery dates of gold from the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) is obtained, (2) The data of daily future prices of soybeans from Chicago (CBOT) is obtained, both with expiration dates in the next months, specifically, 1, 6, 12 and 24 months. For both data sets, a total of 4 contracts are considered. The spot price and contracts with a future delivery expiration date are available, from (1990). Three sequential Monte Carlo algorithms are implemented to estimate the states and parameters of the two considered models, the data series of related contracts to the primary products of Soybean and Gold are showed. The first SISR algorithm, that is based on the smoothing of sample points of the latent states and parameters, the procedure can be used to estimate volatility in long-term models dependent on the data. The second algorithm, the states and parameters of the posterior distribution with support in low-dimensional spaces are estimated, that is considered sufficient statistics from the sample. The third algorithm, a learning and smoothing mechanism from the simulated particles with a backward resampling step is used for the choice of weights.

The spot price estimate is interest for agents responsible for public policies, central banks, and business decisions in many sectors. The a priori values to the SISR algorithm in the one-factor model of Schwartz are presented:

\[ n = 4, n_T = [1/12, 6/12, 12/12, 24/12], \alpha = 10, \mu = 2.6059, \sigma = 1, \kappa = 10, \lambda = 10, \Delta t = 0.01. \]

The a priori values to initialize the SISR algorithm in the Heston model are presented:

\[ n = 4, n_T = [1/12, 6/12, 12/12, 24/12], \theta = 0.1, \mu = 2.6059, \sigma = 0.1, \kappa = 0.1, \rho = 0.09, \Delta t = 0.00003. \]

In the Table (1) the estimated posterior means of the parameters by the three algorithms are considered the model of a Schwartz factor is obtained for the adjustment of the Soya series, in addition, a graphic representation in Figure (1) is shown, the converge of the estimated parameters as a function of time is presented. In Figure (2), the unobserved states (spot price) are estimated by the three algorithms, in the green color, the estimate of the posterior mean by the Storvik algorithm are obtained, in the Cyan color, the estimates by the SIRS filter are obtained, in the black color, the estimated value by Carvalho’s learning and smoothing particle filter are obtained, and finally the blue color, the real observed price behavior is showed. In Figure (2), the posterior mean values of the estimated
latent states by a learning mechanism are obtained that is a correct way because that is the well concentrated values around of the true states.

In Table (2), the estimated parameters of the Heston model for the data series of Soybean are shown. In Figure (3), a graphic representation of the convergence of the estimated parameters with respect of time is presented. In Figure (4), the unobserved states are estimated by the three proposed algorithms: Storvik (green color), SIRS (Cyan color), Carvalho (black color), and the true states (blue color). In Figure (4) the behavior of the estimated states with respect to the true states are observed, that is the learning structure of the filters with the evolution in time that is followed the same pattern of the true states.

The Table (3), the estimates parameters of the one-factor Schwartz model for the Gold series is shown. In Figure (5), the joint representation of the estimated states by the algorithms are shown: Storvik (green color), SIRS (Cyan color), Carvalho (black color), and the true states (blue color), that can be noted the same similarity with the Soybean series, the estimated states are followed the same pattern as the true states.

In Table (4), the estimates parameters of the Heston model for the Gold series is reported. In Figure (6), the estimated posterior average values by the algorithms are shown: Storvik (green color), SIRS (Cyan color), Carvalho (black color), and the true states (blue color) for the Gold price data series, where that can be noted similarity that is the estimated states between observed states.

In Figures (7) and (8), the joint representation of the estimated posterior average Spot prices by the algorithms are shown: Storvik (solid black line), SIRS (dashed line with three points in black color), and Carvalho (dotted line

| Algorithms | SISR | Storvik | Carvalho |
|------------|------|---------|---------|
| Parameters | Mean SD | Mean SD | Mean SD |
| $\kappa$   | $2.3e^{-6}$ | $9.1e^{-8}$ | $1.73e^{-6}$ | $9.7e^{-8}$ | $1.3e^{-6}$ | $9.5e^{-8}$ |
| $\mu$      | $4.3e^{-7}$ | $1e^{-9}$ | $8.2e^{-8}$ | $1e^{-8}$ | $7.06e^{-8}$ | $9.3e^{-10}$ |
| $\sigma$   | $7.1e^{-6}$ | $9.5e^{-8}$ | $4.5e^{-6}$ | $9.5e^{-8}$ | $7.11e^{-6}$ | $9.04e^{-8}$ |
| $\hat{\theta}$ | $3.4e^{-8}$ | $9.6e^{-8}$ | $9.6e^{-8}$ | $1.4e^{-8}$ | $9.5e^{-8}$ |
| $\lambda$  | $9.9e^{-7}$ | $9.3e^{-8}$ | $-5.1e^{-8}$ | $8.6e^{-8}$ | $1.4e^{-8}$ | $9.6e^{-8}$ |

Table 1. Estimated parameters of the one-factor Schwartz model for the Soybean series.

| Algorithms | SISR | Storvik | Carvalho |
|------------|------|---------|---------|
| Parameters | Mean SD | Mean SD | Mean SD |
| $\kappa$   | $6.7e^{-6}$ | $1.03e^{-11}$ | $1.05e^{-6}$ | $9.66e^{-12}$ | $-4.8e^{-7}$ | $9.88e^{-12}$ |
| $\mu$      | $5.6e^{-8}$ | $1.02e^{-11}$ | $1.3e^{-11}$ | $1.03e^{-11}$ | $2.25e^{-7}$ | $1.05e^{-11}$ |
| $\sigma$   | $4.5e^{-7}$ | $1e^{-11}$ | $3.2e^{-8}$ | $9.6e^{-12}$ | $1.15e^{-7}$ | $9.5e^{-12}$ |
| $\theta$   | $1e^{-11}$ | $8.9e^{-12}$ | $1.15e^{-7}$ | $9.4e^{-12}$ | $7.8e^{-8}$ | $9.5e^{-12}$ |
| $\rho$     | $3.3e^{-8}$ | $7.9e^{-12}$ | $5.66e^{-8}$ | $7.8e^{-12}$ | $-9.04e^{-8}$ | $7.9e^{-12}$ |

Table 2. Estimated parameters for the Heston model for the Soybean series.

| Algorithms | SISR | Storvik | Carvalho |
|------------|------|---------|---------|
| Parameters | Mean SD | Mean SD | Mean SD |
| $\kappa$   | $1.2e^{-6}$ | $8.9e^{-10}$ | $3.2e^{-7}$ | $9.3e^{-9}$ | $8.08e^{-7}$ | $9.6e^{-9}$ |
| $\mu$      | $1.4e^{-7}$ | $9.01e^{-9}$ | $6.9e^{-8}$ | $9.01e^{-9}$ | $7.5e^{-6}$ | $9.3e^{-12}$ |
| $\sigma$   | $-1.03e^{-7}$ | $9.2e^{-8}$ | $4.9e^{-6}$ | $9.8e^{-8}$ | $-3.4e^{-6}$ | $9.7e^{-8}$ |
| $\hat{\theta}$ | $-1.6e^{-7}$ | $9.7e^{-8}$ | $-7.09e^{-7}$ | $9.4e^{-8}$ | $2.1e^{-6}$ | $9.8e^{-8}$ |
| $\lambda$  | $3.5e^{-6}$ | $9.5e^{-8}$ | $8.5e^{-6}$ | $9.4e^{-8}$ | $7.5e^{-6}$ | $9.3e^{-12}$ |

Table 3. Estimated parameters of the one-factor Schwartz model for the Gold series.
Figure 1. Estimation of $\mu$, $\lambda$, $\kappa$ and $\sigma$ for the one-factor Schwartz model for the Soybean series.

![Graphs showing time series data](image)

| Parameters | SISR | Mean | SD | Storvik | Mean | SD | Carvalho | Mean | SD |
|------------|------|------|----|---------|------|----|----------|------|----|
| $\kappa$   |      | 2.05e$^{-1}$ | 1e$^{-11}$ | 5.1e$^{-8}$ | 1.05e$^{-11}$ | 1.6e$^{-8}$ | 9.4e$^{-12}$ |
| $\mu$      |      | 3.8e$^{-8}$ | 9.3e$^{-12}$ | 4.1e$^{-8}$ | 9.5e$^{-12}$ | 3.6e$^{-7}$ | 9.1e$^{-12}$ |
| $\sigma$   |      | 1e$^{-1}$ | 9.6e$^{-12}$ | 1.05e$^{-7}$ | 9.7e$^{-12}$ | -1.5e$^{-8}$ | 9.6e$^{-12}$ |
| $\theta$   |      | 1.2e$^{-7}$ | 9.8e$^{-12}$ | 1.18e$^{-7}$ | 9.77e$^{-12}$ | 9.03e$^{-8}$ | 9.9e$^{-12}$ |
| $\rho$     |      | 4.8e$^{-8}$ | 8.03e$^{-12}$ | 3.3e$^{-7}$ | 8.21e$^{-12}$ | 1.7e$^{-7}$ | 8.44e$^{-12}$ |

Table 4. Estimated parameters by the Heston model for the Gold data series.
Figure 2. The real data and estimated states by the one-factor model of Schwartz for Soybeans.

Figure 3. Estimation of $\mu$, $\sigma$, $\theta$, $\kappa$ and $\rho$ for the Heston model for Soybean series.

with two points in black color), and contracts with delivery date October 2017 (red color), April 2018 (green color), October 2018 (Cyan color), October 2019 (Fuscia color), that is corresponded to the Schwartz and Heston models.
for the Gold data series. In Figures (9) and (10), two graphs are displayed, that is contained the representation of the estimated posterior average prices by the algorithms: Storvik (solid line in black color), SIRS (dotted line with three points in black color), and Carvalho (dotted line with two points in black color), and contracts with delivery date October 2017 (red color), April 2018 (green color), October 2018 (Cyan color), October 2019 (Fuscia color), that is corresponded to the adjustment by the Schwartz and Heston models for the data series Soybean.
5.1. Metrics
The performance of the models and the estimation quality of the proposed algorithm is evaluated. The square root of the mean square error (RMSE) as a goodness of fit measure is used.

\[ RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2} \]  

(72)
where

- \( N \): denotes the total number of observations.
- \( x_i \): denotes the theoretical spot prices.
- \( \hat{x}_i \): denotes the estimated spot prices.

In Table (5) the estimated errors by algorithm SISR for one-factor Schwartz model and Heston model are shown, the Soybean and Gold series are considered, the square root of the mean square error (RMSE) measures the quantity of error existing between two data series, in other words, that an estimated value with an observed value is compared. In this article, exist less variability between observed states and estimated states is shown, that validate the results of graphical representations, notably, the algorithm makes a good estimation.

Table 5. RSME estimated for the models considered.

| RSME SISR Storvik Carvalho |
|-----------------------------|
| One-factor Schwartz Model (Gold) | 0.0287 | 0.0090 | 0.0086 |
| Heston Model (Gold) | 0.009105 | 0.0026 | 0.0028 |
| One-factor Schwartz Model (Soyabeen) | 0.0241 | 0.0089 | 0.0079 |
| Heston Model (Soyabeen) | 0.00813 | 0.0017 | 0.0016 |

6. Discussion and Conclusions

In the article, a methodology to estimate the commodity prices from a parameter learning algorithm is proposed. Two stochastic models for estimate spot prices with information of futures contracts are used. The true prices of products in the primary market by the optimal estimation of the models are recovered. The proposed models provide a flexible dynamic, a relationship between observed prices (contracts with a future delivery date) and the unobserved prices (spot price) for data from the agricultural sector and miner is determined. The price volatility of future contracts with maturity dates in the short, medium, and long term by the models in real-time is observed. Seasonal patterns, price peaks, the reversion of the average, price-dependent volatilities, and long-term non-seasonality can be observed; that clear information about the true value of the products is observed. The methodology by real data from the primary sector is validated, the states and parameters simultaneously in real-time are estimated, two commodity price models are presented. A measure the estimation error of the algorithm is estimated, the root of the quadratic mean error is proposed, that result in small estimation errors is observed. Three particle filters to estimate the latent states and parameters are proposed. The proposed methodology is quite general that is applied to a wide class of state space models with non-linear structures and non-Gaussian distributions; in addition, that is allowed the smoothed estimation of states and parameters, the assumptions of
linearity and normality of the model are not required, as with the assumptions of the traditional methods (Kalman filter) is used. In this article, the following conditions are proposed, the parameters are not static, in other words, vary over time, and the estimators are obtained with the property of asymptotic normality and are consistent, that is theoretically guaranteed. Future problems with methodology can be solved, more complicated stochastic model structures with non-linear structures and many parameters could be considered. Also, improve the computational efficiency of the algorithm, and consider other variants of the particle filters.
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