Abstract
The statistical machine translation (SMT) system heavily depends on the sentence aligned parallel corpus and the target language model. This paper points out some of the core issues on switching a language script and its repercussion in the phrase based statistical machine translation system development. The present task reports on the outcome of English-Manipuri language pair phrase based SMT task on two aspects – a) Manipuri using Bengali script, b) Manipuri using transliterated Meetei Mayek script. Two independent views on Bengali script based SMT and transliterated Meetei Mayek based SMT systems of the training data and language models are presented and compared. The impact of various language models is commendable in such scenario. The BLEU and NIST score shows that Bengali script based phrase based SMT (PBSMT) outperforms over the Meetei Mayek based English to Manipuri SMT system. However, subjective evaluation shows slight variation against the automatic scores.

1 Introduction
The present finding is due to some issue of sociolinguistics phenomenon called digraphia - a case of Manipuri language (a resource constrained Indian languages spoken mainly in the state of Manipur) using two different scripts namely Bengali script\(^1\) and Meetei Mayek\(^2\). Meetei Mayek (MM) is the original script which was used until the 18th century to represent Manipuri text. Its earliest use is dated between the 11th and 12th centuries CE\(^3\). Manipuri language is recognized by the Indian Union and has been included in the list of 8th scheduled languages by the 71\(^{st}\) amendment of the constitution in 1992. In the recent times, the Bengali script is getting replaced by Meetei Mayek at schools, government departments and other administrative activities. It may be noted that Manipuri is the only Tibeto-Burman language which has its own script. Digraphia has implications in language technology as well despite the issues of language planning, language policy and language ideology. There are several examples of languages written in one script that was replaced later by another script. Some of the examples are Romanian which originally used Cyrillic then changed to Latin; Turkish and Swahili began with the Arabic then Latin, and many languages of former Soviet Central Asia, which abandoned the Cyrillic script after the dissolution of the USSR. The present study is a typical case where the natural language processing of an Indian language is affected in case of switching script.

Manipuri is a monosyllabic, morphologically rich and highly agglutinative in nature. Tone is very prominent. So, a special treatment of these tonal words is absolutely necessary. Manipuri language has 6 vowels and their tone counterparts and 6 diphthongs and their tone counterparts. Thus, a

---

\(^1\) http://unicode.org/charts/PDF/U0980.pdf

\(^2\) http://unicode.org/charts/PDF/UABC0.pdf

\(^3\) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Meitei_language
Manipuri learner should know its tone system and the corresponding word meaning.

Natural language processing tasks for Manipuri language is at the initial phase. We use a small parallel corpus and a sizable monolingual corpus collected from Manipuri news to develop English-Manipuri statistical machine translation system. The Manipuri news texts are in Bengali script. So, we carry out transliteration from Bengali script to Meetei Mayek as discussed in section 3. Typically, transliteration is carried out between two different languages—one as a source and the other as a target. But, in our case, in order to kick start the MT system development, Bengali script (in which most of the digital Manipuri text are available) to Meetei Mayek transliteration is carried out using different models. The performance of the rule based transliteration is improved by integrating the conjunct and syllable handling module in the present rule based task along with transliteration unit (TU). However, due to the tonal characteristic of this language, there is loss of accents for the tonal words when getting translated from Bengali script. In other words, there is essence of intonation in Manipuri text; the differentiation between Bengali characters such as /i/ (i) and /a/ (ee) or /u/ (oo) cannot be made using Meetei Mayek. This increases the lexical ambiguity on the transliterated Manipuri words in Meetei Mayek script.

2 Related Work

Several SMT systems between English and morphologically rich languages are reported. (Toutanova et al., 2007) reported the improvement of an SMT by applying word form prediction models from a stem using extensive morphological and syntactic information from source and target languages. Contributions using factored phrase based model and a probabilistic tree transfer model at deep syntactic layer are made by (Bojar and Hajič, 2008) of English-to-Czech SMT system. (Yeniterzi and Oflazer, 2010) reported syntax-to-morphology mapping in factored phrase-based Statistical Machine Translation (Koehn and Hoang, 2007) from English to Turkish relying on syntactic analysis on the source side (English) and then encodes a wide variety of local and non-local syntactic structures as complex structural tags which appear as additional factors in the training data. On the target side (Turkish), they only perform morphological analysis and disambiguation but treat the complete complex morphological tag as a factor, instead of separating morphemes. (Bojar et al., 2012) pointed out several pitfalls when designing factored model translation setup. All the above systems have been developed using one script for each language at the source as well as target.

Manipuri is a relatively free word order where the grammatical role of content words is largely determined by their case markers and not just by their positions in the sentence. Machine Translation systems of Manipuri and English is reported by (Singh and Bandyopadhyay, 2010b) on development of English-Manipuri SMT system using morpho-syntactic and semantic information where the target case markers are generated based on the suffixes and semantic relations of the source sentence. The above mentioned system is developed using Bengali script based Manipuri text. SMT systems between English and morphologically rich highly agglutinative language suffer badly if the adequate training and language resource is not available. Not only this, it is important to note that the linguistic representation of the text has implications on several NLP aspects not only in machine translation systems. This is our first attempt to build and compare English-Manipuri language pair SMT systems using two different scripts of Manipuri.

3 Transliterated Parallel Corpora

The English-Manipuri parallel corpora and Manipuri monolingual corpus collected from the news website www.thesangaiexpress.com are based on Bengali script. The Bengali script has 52 consonants and 12 vowels. The modern-day Meetei Mayek script is made up of a core repertoire of 27 letters, alongside letters and symbols for final consonants, dependent vowel signs, punctuation, and digits. Meetei Mayek is a Brahmic script with consonants bearing the inherent vowel and vowel modifiers modifying it. However, unlike most other Brahmi-derived scripts, Meetei Mayek employs explicit final consonants which contain no final vowels. The use of the killer (which refers to its function of killing the inherent vowel of a consonant letter) is optional in spelling; for example, while ꯗ may be read dara or dra, ꯗ must be read dra. Syllable initial combinations for vowels can
occur in modern usage to represent diphthongs. The Meetei Mayek has 27 letters (Iyek Ipee), 8 dependent vowel signs (Cheitap Iyek), 8 final consonants (Lonsum Iyek), 10 digits (Cheising Iyek) and 3 punctuation (Cheikhei, Lum Iyek and Apun Iyek).

| Bengali Script | Meetei Mayek |
|---------------|-------------|
| অ, ঈ, এ, ঐ | ৩ (Sam) |
| ৷, ৩ | ৫ (Na) |
| ২, ঝ | ৭ (Til) |
| ৪, ং | ৮ (Thou) |
| ৫, ৯ | ১ (Yang) |
| ৬, ৪ | ৪ (Dil) |
| ৭, ৬ | ৬ (Un) |
| ৮, ৮ | ৮ (Ee) |
| ৯, ৯, ৯ | ৭ (Rai) |
| ফি, ফিতি | ৫ (Inap) |
| ০, ০ | (Unap) |

There is no possibility of direct one-to-one mapping for the 27 Meetei Mayek letter (Iyek Ipee) to Bengali script as given by table 1, over and above some of Bengali scripts which does not have a corresponding direct mapping to Meetei Mayek such as ( ঃ, ৃ, ৄ, । etc.) which has resulted in the loss of target representation. The syllable based Bengali script to Meetei Mayek transliteration system outperforms the other known transliteration systems in news domain between the two scripts in terms of precision and recall (Singh, 2012). The overall conjunct representation is many-to-many characters in nature for the bilingual transliteration task of Bengali script and Meetei Mayek. Some of the example words using the conjuncts are given as:

.press-na ➔ প্রেস-না (pre)

.district-ki ➔ দিস্ট্রিক্ট-কি (stri)

.secretariate-ta ➔ সেক্রেটারিয়েট (cre)

.petrol ➔ পেট্রোল (tro)

And the Bengali script conjuncts and its constituents along with the Meetei Mayek notation for the above examples are as given below:

A sample transliterated parallel corpus between English and Manipuri is given in the table 2. These transliterations are based on the syllable based model.

| English | On the part of the election department, IFCD have been intimidated for taking up necessary measures. |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Manipuri in Bengali Script | আগুন বিনোদন করে মায়েকিডিজে আইএফসিডিএ আইনের উচ্চ করা হয়েছে সামাজিক মোকাবেলা। |
| Manipuri in Meetei Mayek | സ്വല്പ സുവര്ണം ധ്രുവചിന്ദം മായേക്കിഡേജെ ആയിറ്റെയിർലബൺ എല്ലാവു പുതുത് നായ തലവ് കാണിക ചരിത്രം പകരങ്കായി മാത്രം ആവിരിക്കാം. |
| Gloss | election departmentk maykeidagee IFCDda darkar leiba thabak paykhatomaba khanghankhre. |
| English | In case of rising the water level of Nambul river, the gate is shut down and the engines are operated to pump out the water. |
| Manipuri in Bengali Script | করিগুম্বা নম্বা তুরেলি ইউসিং ইয়াম পাকগুলিবাগান গেট আই ইনী ইনগুমা ওয়াই সহযোগিতা নামকরণ হয়। |
| Manipuri in Meetei Mayek | করিগুম্বা নং বারিকিবাগান গেট আই ইনী ইনগুমা ওয়াই সহযোগিতা নামকরণ হয়। |
| Gloss | karigumba nambul turelgi eesing eemay waangkhatlaklabadi gate asi thinglaga eesing asi enginena oyna chingthoklaga laakpani hariy. |
| English | The department has a gate at Samushang meant for draining out the floodwater of Lamphelpat. |
| Manipuri in Bengali Script | সামুসাংদা দ্বিতীয় ইউনিয়নের ইনী ইনে সা বিল্কা গামদি লিঙ্গ হয়। |
| Manipuri in Meetei Mayek | সামুসাংদা দ্বিতীয় ইউনিয়নের ইনী ইনে সা বিল্কা গামদি লিঙ্গ হয়। |
| Gloss | samusangda department asigee gate ama lamphelpati easing chingthoklaga thammee. |

Table 2. Transliterated texts of English – Manipuri Parallel Corpora and the corresponding Gloss
4 Building SMT for English-Manipuri

The important resources of building SMT are the training and language modeling data. We use a small amount of parallel corpora for training and a sizable amount of monolingual Manipuri and English news corpora. So, we have two aspects of developing English-Manipuri language pair SMT systems by using the two different scripts for Manipuri. The moot question is which script will perform better. At the moment, we are developing only the baseline systems. So, the downstream tools are not taken into account which would have affected by way of the performance of the script specific tools other than the transliteration system performance used in the task. In the SMT development process, apart from transliteration accuracy error, the change in script to represent Manipuri text has made the task of NLP related activities a difference in the way how it was carried out with Bengali script towards improving the factored based modes in future as well. Lexical ambiguity is very common in this language mostly due to tonal characteristics. This has resulted towards the requirement of a word sense disambiguation module more than before. This is because of a set of difference in the representation using Meetei Mayek. As part of this ongoing experiment, we augment the training data with 4600 manually prepared variants of verbs and nouns phrases for improving the overall accuracy and help solving a bit of data sparsity problem of the SMT system along with an additional lexicon of 10000 entries between English and Manipuri to handle bits of data sparsity and sense disambiguation during the training process. The English-Manipuri parallel corpus developed by (Singh and Bandyopadhyay, 2010a) is used in the experiment. Moses toolkit (Koehn, 2007) is used for training with GIZA++ and decoding. Minimum error rate training (Och, 2003) for tuning are carried out using the development data for two scripts. Table 3 gives the corpus statistics of the English-Manipuri SMT system development.

4.1 Lexical Ambiguity

Manipuri is, by large, a tonal language. The lexical ambiguity is very prominent even with Bengali script based text representation. The degree of ambiguity worsens due to the convergence as shown by the figure 1 and many to one mapping shown in the table 1. So, the Bengali script to Meetei Mayek transliteration has resulted to the lost of several words meaning at the transliterated output. Many aspects of translation can be best explained at a morphological, syntactic or semantic level. This implies that the phrase table and target language model are very much affected by using Meetei Mayek based text and hence the output of the SMT system. Thus, lexical ambiguity is one major reason on why the transliterated Meetei Mayek script based PBSMT suffers comparatively. Three examples of lexical ambiguity are given below:

(a)  
\[\text{মি} (mi) \rightarrow \text{spider} \rightarrow \text{ꯃ (mi)} \text{meaning either spider or man}\]

(b)  
\[\text{মি (mee)} \rightarrow \text{man} \rightarrow \text{ꯃ (mi)} \text{meaning either spider or man}\]

(c)  
\[\text{শু (sooba)} \rightarrow \text{to work} \rightarrow \text{ꯃ (suba)} \text{meaning either to work or to hit}\]

\[\text{শু (suba)} \rightarrow \text{to hit} \rightarrow \text{ꯃ (suba)} \text{meaning either to work or to hit}\]

\[\text{শু (sooba)} \rightarrow \text{to work} \rightarrow \text{ Guinness (sinba)}\]

\[\text{শু (sinba)} \rightarrow \text{arrangement} \rightarrow \text{ Guinness (sinba)}\]

\[\text{শু (sheenba)} \rightarrow \text{sour taste} \rightarrow \text{ Guinness (sinba)}\]

Figure 1. An example of convergence of TU (ꯁ-su, ꯁ-soo etc.) from Bengali Script to Meitei Mayek

---

4 http://www.statmt.org/moses/
5 http://www.fjoch.com/GIZA++.html
The lexical ambiguity that arises are twofold, i) one after transliteration into Meetei Mayek as given by examples (a) and (b), ii) one before the transliteration as given by the example (c) for which the ambiguity is doubled after the transliteration. Thus, the scripts are functioning as a representation language for lexical ambiguity like the semantic phrase sense disambiguation model for SMT (Carpuat and Wu, 2007).

4.2 Language Modeling

The impact of the different language models is clearly seen in our experiment mostly by way of lexical variation and convergence characteristics as shown in Figure 1. Four different language models are developed: a) language model (LM1) on Bengali script based Manipuri text, b) language model (LM2) on transliterated Manipuri Meetei Mayek text, there is change in the language model parameter such as perplexity which affects the overall translation decoding process, c) language model (LM3) based on language model (LM1) with transliteration to Meitei Mayek on Manipuri text from Bengali Script texts, and d) language model (LM4) based on language model (LM2) with transliteration to Bengali script on Manipuri text from Meetei Mayek text. SRILM (Stolcke, 2002) is used to build trigram model with modified Kneser-Ney smoothing (Stanley and Joshua, 1998) and interpolated with lower order estimates which works best for Manipuri language using 2.3 million words of 180,000 Manipuri news sentences. There are variations in the language model parameters while switching the scripts.

The log probability and perplexity of the sentence (considering the first sentence from Table 2) using Bengali script, “ইশ্রুম দিয়াছেন এই মায়েকলী আইয়লীগিতা পর্কার লেখা থকক পাশাপাশি থাকুন।” are given as:

logprob= -22.873 ppl= 193.774 ppl1= 347.888

while the parameters for the same sentence using Meetei Mayek, i.e.,

“അശ്രുമ നല്ലഞ്ചാകുന്ന കാഴ്ചന്റെ രസകർഷണം നിറയ്ക്കേ ഒരു പാടെല്ലെ രാധാരാണ് പ്രകാശി തിരിച്ച പാടിലേ കാഴ്ചവിചാരണം” are given as:

logprob= -26.7555 ppl= 473.752 ppl1= 939.364

It is also observed that some of the n-grams entries on one language model are not available in the other language model. For example,

-2.708879 മാത്‌ കാണാം -0.3211589

is a bigram found in Bengali script based language model but not found in the Meetei Mayek based language model. Similarly,

-6.077539 മാത്‌ കാണാം -0.06379553

and,

-1.972813 മാത്‌ കാണാം -0.09325081

-6.077539 മാത്‌ കാണാം -0.06379553

4.3 Evaluation

The systems are developed using the following corpus statistics.

|           | # of Sentences | # of Words |
|-----------|----------------|------------|
| Training  | 10000          | 231254     |
| Development| 5000           | 121201     |
| Testing   | 500            | 12204      |

Table 3. Corpus Statistics

The evaluations of SMT systems are done using automatic scoring and subjective evaluation.

4.4 Automatic Scoring

We carry out the comparisons of automatic evaluation metrics scores for the SMT systems. The various models developed are evaluated using BLEU (Papineni et al, 2002) and NIST (Doddington, 2002) automatic scoring techniques. A high NIST score means a better translation by measuring the precision of n-gram.
The scores of adequacy and fluency on 100 test sentences based on the length are given at Table 8 and Table 9 based on the adequacy and fluency scales give by Table 6 and Table 7.

| Sentence length | Fluency | Adequacy |
|-----------------|---------|----------|
| <=15 words      | 2.39    | 2.42     |
| >15 words       | 2.01    | 2.14     |

Table 8. Scores of Adequacy and Fluency of English to Manipuri SMT system

| Sentence length | Fluency | Adequacy |
|-----------------|---------|----------|
| <=15 words      | 2.61    | 2.65     |
| >15 words       | 2.10    | 1.94     |

Table 9. Scores of Adequacy and Fluency of Manipuri to English SMT system

5   Sample Translation Outputs

The following tables show the various translation outputs of English-Manipuri as well as Manipuri-English PBSMT systems using Bengali script and Meetei Mayek scripts.

| English | On the part of the election department, IFCD have been intimidated for taking up necessary measures. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Manipuri Reference Translation (Bengali Script) | ইলেকশন ডিপার্টমেন্টকের মায়েক আইএফসিডে দাক্তলীয় জীবন আরোপীরা তৈরি করা ছিল, যাকে যাতে আরোপ করা হয়েছিল। |
| Gloss | election departmenti maykei-dagee IFCDda darkar leiba tha-bak paykhatnaba khanghankhre . |
| Baseline Translation output (Bengali Script) | ইলেকশন ডিপার্টমেন্টকের মায়েক আইএফসিডে দাক্তলীয় জীবন আরোপীরা তৈরি করা ছিল, যাকে যাতে আরোপ করা হয়েছিল। |
| Table 10. English to Manipuri SMT system output using Bengali Script |
On the part of the election department, IFCD have been intimidated for taking up necessary measures.

Manipuri reference (Meetei Mayek):

On the part of the election department, IFCD have been intimidated for taking up necessary measures.

Reference English translation:

On the part of the election department, IFCD have been intimidated for taking up necessary measures.

Baseline translation output:

the election department notified IFCD to take up necessary steps


d| English | Manipuri reference (Meetei Mayek) | Gloss | Baseline Translation output |
|--------|----------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|
| On the part of the election department, IFCD have been intimidated for taking up necessary measures. | On the part of the election department, IFCD have been intimidated for taking up necessary measures. | election departmentki maykeidagee IFCDda darkar leiba thabak paykhatnaba khanghankhre. | the election department notified IFCD to take up necessary steps |

Table 11: English to Manipuri SMT system output using Meetei Mayek

| Input Manipuri sentence | Manipuri sentence | Gloss | Reference English translation | Baseline Translation output |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| On the part of the election department, IFCD have been intimidated for taking up necessary measures. | On the part of the election department, IFCD have been intimidated for taking up necessary measures. | election departmentki maykeidagee IFCDda darkar leiba thabak paykhatnaba khanghankhre. | the election department notified IFCD to take up necessary steps |

Table 12: Manipuri to English translation output using Bengali script:

| Input Manipuri sentence | Manipuri sentence | Gloss | Reference English translation | Baseline Translation output |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| On the part of the election department, IFCD have been intimidated for taking up necessary measures. | On the part of the election department, IFCD have been intimidated for taking up necessary measures. | election departmentki maykeidagee IFCDda darkar leiba thabak paykhatnaba khanghankhre. | the election department notified IFCD to take up necessary steps |

Table 13: Manipuri to English translation output using Meetei Mayek:

The English to Manipuri SMT system output using Bengali Script suffers fluency and adequacy scores as given by table 8 compared to English to Manipuri SMT system output using Meetei Mayek script. In the case of Manipuri to English SMT system, the Meetei Mayek based SMT system outperforms the Bengali script based SMT in terms of both fluency and adequacy as given by table 9 as well as automatic scores as given by table 5.

6 Conclusion and Discussion

The detailed study of grapheme-to-phoneme indicates missing tone for several words using present Meetei Mayek script. The training process based on the Bengali script training data is found to have higher vocabulary coverage all across since the representation is done with a finer glyph as compared to Meetei Mayek so is the higher automatic scores in case of English-to-Manipuri PBSMT system. But, considering the subjective evaluation scores, the Meetei Mayek based SMT systems outperforms the Bengali script based English-to-Manipuri SMT systems as against the automatic scores. In the case of Manipuri-to-English PBSMT systems, both the automatic score and subjective evaluation scores using Meetei Mayek outperforms the Bengali script based systems. Statistical significant test is performed to judge if a change in score that comes from a change in the system with script switching reflects a change in overall translation quality. The systems show statistically significant result as measured by bootstrap resampling method (Koehn, 2004) on BLEU score.

In future, the experiments can be repeated with special treatment of individual morphemes in bits and pieces on a decent size of parallel corpora. More notably, SMT decoders may have the feature of handling two or more scripts of the same language in the future SMT systems for languages like Manipuri.
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