Contralateral S1 function is involved in electroacupuncture treatment-mediated recovery after focal unilateral M1 infarction

Abstract

Acupuncture at acupoints Baihui (GV20) and Dazhui (GV14) has been shown to promote functional recovery after stroke. However, the contribution of the contralateral primary sensory cortex (S1) to recovery remains unclear. In this study, unilateral local ischemic infarction of the primary motor cortex (M1) was induced by photothrombosis in a mouse model. Electroacupuncture (EA) was subsequently performed at acupoints GV20 and GV14 and neuronal activity and functional connectivity of contralateral S1 and M1 were detected using in vivo and in vitro electrophysiological recording techniques. Our results showed that blood perfusion and neuronal interaction between contralateral M1 and S1 is impaired after unilateral M1 infarction. Intrinsic neuronal excitability and activity were also disturbed, which was rescued by EA. Furthermore, the effectiveness of EA treatment was inhibited after virus-mediated neuronal ablation of the contralateral S1. We conclude that neuronal activity of the contralateral S1 is important for EA-mediated recovery after focal M1 infarction. Our study provides insight into how the S1–M1 circuit might be involved in the mechanism of EA treatment of unilateral cerebral infarction. The animal experiments were approved by the Committee for Care and Use of Research Animals of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine (approval No. 20200407009) April 7, 2020.
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Introduction

Motor deficit is a common consequence of stroke that seriously affects patient quality of life (Hatem et al., 2016). Therefore, developing effective rehabilitative interventions to promote functional recovery after stroke has attracted considerable attention from researchers (Murphy and Corbett, 2009; Cramer, 2018). Acupuncture treatment for stroke has been practiced in China for over 2000 years and has been recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) as an alternative and complementary strategy (Chavez et al., 2017). Acupuncture at acupoints Baihui (GV20) and Dazhui (GV14) has been shown to reduce excitotoxicity, enhance neurogenesis, and improve function after stroke in the clinical neurorehabilitation setting (Wu et al., 2010). Although preclinical studies have shown potential mechanisms underlying acupuncture treatment in patients undergoing stroke rehabilitation, they have not been fully elucidated (Wang et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2016). Baihui (GV20) and Dazhui (GV14) are acupoints commonly used for stroke treatment that are both located on the “Du meridian,” which interacts with the brain (Wang et al., 2014; Chang et al., 2018). A large number of animal experiments have shown that acupuncture at GV20 and GV14 can reduce excitotoxicity, enhance neurogenesis, and...
promote the release of neurotrophic factors in the brain (e.g., brain-derived neurotrophic factor, nuclear factor kappa B, tropomyosin-related kinase B), which reduces cerebral infarct volume and improves sensorimotor function (Cheng et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Chang et al., 2018; Park et al., 2019). However, whether the neuroprotective effects of electroacupuncture (EA) treatment in focal ischemic stroke result from effects on neuronal activity or network connectivity beyond the molecular level remains unknown.

A considerable body of literature has demonstrated that neuroplasticity processes occur in the brain after stroke (Murphy and Corbett, 2009; Johansson, 2011). Not only do brain regions near the infarction reorganize, but remote areas as well (Dancause and Nudo, 2011). During functional recovery, decreased activation of the ipsilateral infarcted brain region can induce activation of the contralateral cortex (Cramer and Crafton, 2006; Cramer, 2008). Clinical trials using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) have shown that the ipsilateral cortex contralateral to the infarction plays an important role in recovery of function (Calautti and Baron, 2003; Bueltfisch, 2015). In particular, unilateral infarction of the somatosensory or motor cortex leads to structural and functional changes in the corresponding cortex of the intact contralateral hemisphere (Reinecke et al., 2003; Gonzalez et al., 2004). Takatsuru et al. (2009) reported that the contralateral somatosensory cortex can compensate for the loss of ipsilateral somatosensory cortex by enhancing neuronal activity and increasing the expression of stable spines, thereby establishing new sensory processing. However, the role of the contralateral primary sensory cortex (S1) in EA-mediated recovery after primary motor cortex (M1) infarction remains elusive.

Previous studies have revealed the importance of S1 in motor function (Pavilides et al., 1993; Vidoni et al., 2010; Mathis et al., 2017) and have shown that M1 receives strong input from S1 (Petrof et al., 2015; Kinnschitzke et al., 2016). Accumulating evidence indicates that somatosensory function impairment may be a major contributor to motor dysfunction in several disorders, including stroke, dystonia, and ataxia (Hiraba et al., 2000). Sensory processing and movement production are closely connected from a functional perspective (Borich et al., 2015; Bolognini et al., 2016). In somatosensory stroke patients, the sensation induced by EA is highly related to the motor cortex and could be a predictor for stroke recovery (Bai et al., 2013). In addition, EA stimulation can enhance somatosensory evoked potentials in animal models of stroke (Si et al., 1998). Furthermore, EA treatment can increase functional connectivity between the ipsilateral motor cortex and sensory cortex (Li et al., 2021). In contrast to these studies, which focused on the infarcted hemisphere after focal ischemia, our study aimed to uncover the function of contralateral S1. Based on the known structural and functional interactions between S1 and M1 as reported above, and previous studies which have suggested an important role of the contralateral cortex in cerebral infarction (Murase et al., 2004; Takatsuru et al., 2009), we hypothesized that contralateral neuronal activity in S1 plays a key role in functional recovery after M1 infarction.

This study used in vivo and in vitro electrophysiological recording to examine neuronal activity in both S1 and M1 contralateral to the infarction and explore how the interaction between the two brain regions is involved in the effects of EA at GV20 and GV14 for the treatment of photothrombosis-induced focal stroke.

Materials and Methods

Animals
C57BL/6J mice were purchased from the Animal Laboratory Center of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine (license No. SCXK (Yue) 2018-0034). One hundred and fifty male mice weighing 25–35 g and aged 7–12 weeks were used for this study. The mice were housed in cages (5 mice/cage) with an ambient temperature of 25 ± 2°C, a 12-hour light-dark cycle, and ad libitum access to water and food. Animal care and experimental manipulation were conducted in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Committee for Care and Use of Research Animals of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine (approval No. 20200407009) April 7, 2020. This study is reported in accordance with the Animal Research: Reporting of In Vivo Experiments guidelines 2.0.

Mice were allocated randomly to the following three groups with 50 mice in each group using the random number table method: stroke (photochemical stroke model), stroke + EA (photochemical stroke plus EA treatment), and sham.

Photochemical modeling
A focal ischemic stroke was induced at M1FL using the photothrombosis technique as previously described (Takatsuru et al., 2009; Cui et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020). Briefly, 100 male mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of 1.25% avertin solution (80 mg/kg; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Approximately 10 minutes before surgery, 1.5% Rose Bengal solution (10 μL/g, Sigma-Aldrich), which can induce infarction after laser irradiation, was injected intraperitoneally. The targeted brain region was located in the right M1FL (forelimb region of M1) (coordinates: anterior-posterior (AP), +0.74 mm; medial-lateral (M/L), +1.5 mm) from the superficial (Paxinos and Franklin, 2013). Irradiation wave length and power were 530 nm and 15mW, respectively, as described previously (Cui et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020). After 10 minutes of irradiation, the infarcted area was examined using a laser speckle imaging system (PeriCam PSI; Perimed, Stockholm, Sweden).

LASER SPECKLE BLOOD FLOW IMAGING
To evaluate successful establishment of the ischemic model (greater than 30% decrease in blood perfusion in the infarcted site compared to the healthy site), blood perfusion was measured in all experimental groups using the laser speckle blood flow imaging system within 24 hours of each manipulation. Briefly, the mice were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane (Sigma-Aldrich) and fixed on a stereotaxic apparatus (RWD Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, China). After exposing the skull, the target brain area was imaged using the laser for a 5-minute recording. Total brain blood flow was recorded in a 60 mm² target area. The target areas were recorded in the ipsilateral M1FL (forelimb region of M1), ipsilateral S1FL (forelimb region of S1), contralateral M1FL (forelimb region of M1), and contralateral S1FL (forelimb region of S1) were approximately 0.78 mm².

EA treatment
Thirty-eight mice were treated with EA within 2 hours of stroke induction and for analysis. The mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and then placed in the prone position. Following routine sterilization of the scalp and neck, a disposable aseptic acupuncture needle (φ 0.18 × 10 mm) was inserted at GV20 (located at the midpoint of the line connecting the tip of the mouse ear) with needle angle 15° to a depth of 2 to 3 mm and GV14 (located at the depression under the spinous process of the seventh cervical vertebra) with needle angle 45° to a depth of 2 to 3 mm (Figure 1) (Wang et al., 2014; Chang et al., 2018). EA stimulus was delivered for 15 minutes using the HANS EA apparatus (HANS-200A/100B, HANS, China) with the following parameters: sparse wave, 2 Hz; dense wave, 10 Hz; and current, 1 mA. The mice showed visible muscle contraction near the acupoint during stimulus (Xing et al., 2018).
Electrophysiological recording in vivo using multi-channel recording technique

The day after modeling, mice were maintained with 1% isoflurane and fixed on the stereotaxic apparatus. The skull was exposed after sterilization. Electrodes were then inserted into the contralateral M1 (primary motor cortex in the right hemisphere) (A/P: +0.74 mm, M/L: −1.5 mm, dorsal-ventral (D/V): 0.8−1.10 mm, Layer 5/6) and contralateral S1 (somatosensory cortex in the right hemisphere) (A/P: +0.02 mm, M/L: −2.04 mm, D/V: 0.8−1.10 mm, Layer 5/6) (Paxinos and Franklin, 2013; Sachidhanandam et al., 2013; Mathis et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2019; Vecchia et al., 2020). Eight matrix electrodes were used to record spontaneous discharges of S1FL and M1FL using a multichannel recording system (Plexon Inc., Dallas, TX, USA). Spikes and local field potential (LFP) were recorded for 5 minutes in each group. For coherence testing, two separate electrodes were simultaneously inserted into the two brain regions to record neuronal activity. An offline sorter (Plexon Inc.) was used to filter the signal and processed signals were analyzed using NeuroExplorer (Nex Technologies, Lexington, MA, USA). Units were categorized as neuronal cluster with similar firing properties.

The activity of interneurons (inhibitory neurons) and pyramidal cells (excitatory neurons) detected during electrophysiological recording in vivo was distinguished based on firing rate and waveform characteristics as follows (Csicsvari et al., 1999; Bartho et al., 2004): (1) Mean of auto correlogram: pyramidal cells show an advantage at short peak-peak spacing (3–10 ms) and exponentially decay after exhibiting a characteristic 3–5 ms peak spacing, while interneurons show a longer spike latency and slower decay. (2) Spike asymmetry: in pyramidal cells, the peak value before the valley point is larger than the peak value after the valley point, while in interneurons, the peak value after the valley point is larger (Csicsvari et al., 1998). (3) Peak-to-peak ratio: interneurons have a large peak value after the valley point, while pyramidal cells usually have a flatter waveform with smaller peaks and smaller peak-to-peak value (Viskontas et al., 2007).

Slice electrophysiological recording in vitro

The day after modeling, mice (5 per group) were anesthetized with avertin solution and decapitated. Slice preparation and electrophysiological recording were performed as described previously (Yao et al., 2018b). Briefly, the brains were quickly removed and the infarcted hemisphere (right side) was discarded. The contralateral hemisphere (left side) containing the S1 and M1 was placed in ice-cold cutting solution (aCSF; Sigma-Aldrich) containing 110 mM choline chloride, 7 mM MgSO4, 2.5 mM KCl, 1.25 mM NaH2PO4, 25 mM NaHCO3, 25 mM D-glucose, 11.6 mM sodium ascorbate, 3.1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 0.5 mM CaCl2, and gassed with 95% O2 and 5% CO2. Coronal sections (slice thickness, 400 μm) were cut on a VT1200S vibratome (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) in cutting solution at 4°C. Slices were allowed to recover for 30 minutes at 32°C and then transferred to a self-made holding chamber at room temperature for solution containing 127 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1.25 mM NaH2PO4, 25 mM NaHCO3, 25 mM D-glucose, 2 mM CaCl2, and 1 mM MgSO4. Recording started 1 hour after recovery and lasted for 4 hours. Individual slices were observed under an upright microscope (Eclipse FN1; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) with a ×40 water-immersion differential interference contrast objective and screened on a digital complementary metal oxide semiconductor camera (C11440-42U; Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan). On average, 3 to 4 slices per mouse were constantly perfused at room temperature (23–26°C) with oxygenated incubation solution (4−5 mL/min). Recordings were made from M1 and S1 neurons located in both Layer 2/3 and Layer 5/6.

For action potential recording, recording pipettes with 4−8 MΩ were filled with electrode internal solution containing 128 mM K-Glucurate, 10 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 4 mM NaATP, and 0.4 mM NaGTP. To explore synaptic transmission, spontaneous excitatory post synaptic currents (sEPSCs) were recorded at −60 mV using a voltage clamp. The internal solution contained 125 mM CsMeSO4, 5 mM NaCl, 1.1 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 0.3 mM NaGTP, 4 mM Mg-ATP, and 5 mM QX-314. Pyramidal neurons characterized by their apical dendrite and triangular somata were selected for recording. Data were acquired using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier and a Digidata 1550B acquisition system (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA). The sampling rate was set at 10 kHz and signals were filtered at 2 kHz. If series resistance was over 30MΩ, the data were excluded.

To detect intrinsic excitability, action potentials were induced by 500 ms current steps (20 pA increments from −100 pA to 500 pA). Resting membrane potential was measured using a current clamp without a current injection. Input resistance was analyzed using a test pulse with 5 mV injection. Action potential threshold was measured as the membrane potential that caused single action potential firing. Spike number was counted at 300 pA current injection. For analysis of sEPSCs, amplitude and frequency were calculated using the Mini Analysis Program software (Synaptosoft Inc., Decatur, GA, USA).

Cerebral stereotactic injection

The Alexa Fluor retrograde cholera toxin B (CTB488) tracer was used to trace the structural connection between bilateral S1 and injection site M1 (neurons in M1 projecting from S1) in mice (Figure 2D). CTB488 (1 μg/μL; BrainVTA, Wuhan, Hubei Province, China) was injected into left M1. Five days after the injection, the mice were anesthetized with avertin solution and decapitated. After brain slicing (see the immunofluorescence part), the number of CTB488-positive neurons projecting from bilateral S1 to M1 were counted using ImageJ software version 1.53c (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

To further test the function of contralateral S1 in EA-mediated recovery after M1 infarction, we ablated the neurons using viral infection. For neuronal ablation, rAAV-hsyn-taCasp3-TEVp-p2A-EGFP-WRPEs virus (300 nl with a titer of 2.72 ×1012 vg/mL; BrainVTA) was injected into the left S1 (Yao et al., 2018a), and rAAV-hsyn-taCasp3-p2A-EGFP-WRPEs virus (300 nl with a titer of 2.60 ×1012 vg/mL; BrainVTA) was used for control. Three weeks after virus injection, in vivo recording and blood perfusion testing was performed.

Immunofluorescence

To confirm the structural connection between S1 and M1 (Figure 2D) and the efficacy of virus-induced neuronal ablation, 13 mice injected with CTB488 tracer and virus were euthanized with pentobarbital sodium and then perfused with saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (Mubotech, Shanghai, China). The brains were fixed overnight in 4% PFA and dehydrated with 15% and 30% sucrose. Brains were
sectioned (slice thickness, 40 μm) using a sliding freezing microtome (Leica 819; Leica Biosystems, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA). Sections were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and then incubated in blocking solution (10% BSA, Macklin, China; 0.3% Triton X-100, BioSharp, China), and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody to NeuN (Anti-NeuN antibody, Mouse Monoclonal Antibody, Millipore, USA, Cat# MAB377; dilution: 1:500). The next day, sections were washed with PBS and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature with secondary antibody (goat anti-mouse, IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594, Abcam, UK, Cat# ab150116; dilution: 1:500). Finally, sections were washed in PBS, incubated with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma-Aldrich; diluted 1:5000, stock solution 1 mg/mL) for staining of nuclei.

To observe neuronal staining in the CTB tracing experiments and confirm the efficacy of S1 ablation, the sections from mice with CTB tracer or virus injection after immunofluorescence staining were further imaged. Confocal fluorescence images detecting neuronal staining were acquired on a confocal microscope using ×20 and ×40 air objectives (Nikon). Image analysis was performed using either ImageJ software version 1.53c for counting analysis or NIS-Viewer version 4.5 (Nikon). Cell density was calculated from a total of 10 sections and every 2 sections were included for each mouse (Table 1). Cells were counted in a blinded manner.

### Table 1 | Animal assignment in each experiment

| Determination                  | Group   | Number of mice | Time point       |
|--------------------------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|
| Figure 2 Blood perfusion       | Sham    | 5              | Within 24 h     |
|                                | Stroke  | 5              |                 |
|                                | Stroke + EA | 5          |                 |
| Coherence test                 | Sham    | 5              | Within 24 h     |
|                                | Stroke  | 5              |                 |
|                                | Stroke + EA | 5          |                 |
| CTB experiment                 | Wild-type | 3            | 5 d after virus injection |
| Figure 3 Slice recording in M1 | Sham    | 5              | Within 24 h     |
|                                | Stroke  | 5              |                 |
|                                | Stroke + EA | 5          |                 |
| Figure 4 Slice recording in S1 | Sham    | 5              | Within 24 h     |
|                                | Stroke  | 5              |                 |
|                                | Stroke + EA | 5          |                 |
| Figure 5 In vivo recording     | Sham    | 5              | Within 24 h     |
|                                | Stroke  | 5              |                 |
|                                | Stroke + EA | 5          |                 |
| Figure 6 taCasps3 virus        | Wild-type control | 5          | 21 d after virus injection |
| experiments                    |         |                |                 |
| In vivo recording after virus injection | Wild-type-ablation | 5 |                 |
|                                | Sham    | 5              | Within 24 h     |
|                                | Stroke  | 5              |                 |
|                                | Stroke + EA | 5          |                 |
| Blood perfusion after control  | Sham    | 5 (entire brain), 5 | Within 24 h     |
| virus (taCasps3-EGFP) injection| Stroke  | (contralateral M1 and S1) |                 |
|                                | Stroke + EA | 8 (entire brain), 5 | (contralateral M1 and S1) |
| Blood perfusion after ablation  | Sham    | 5 (entire brain), 5 | Within 24 h     |
| virus (taCasps3-TEVp) injection| Stroke  | (contralateral M1 and S1) |                 |
|                                | Stroke + EA | 6 (entire brain), 5 | (contralateral M1 and S1) |

EA: Electroacupuncture; EGFP: enhanced green fluorescent protein.

### Statistical analysis
No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size; however, our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications (Cui et al., 2018). Electrophysiological recordings and their analysis were blinded to genotype and treatment, whereas in vivo microscopy and analysis were not. Twelve mice died after stroke induction, therefore, the remaining 38 stroke mice received EA treatment and were used for further analysis. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance with post hoc Bonferroni correction or the unpaired t-test. Data are presented as means with standard error of the mean. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software version 7.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). P < 0.05 was considered significant.

### Results
The interaction between contralateral M1 and S1 is impaired after unilateral focal ischemia in M1 and acute EA rescues this impairment

The decrease in perfusion of the entire brain, M1, and S1 following stroke induction was significantly rescued by EA at acupoints GV14 and GV20 (P < 0.01) (Figure 2A-C and Additional Figures 1 and 2). To confirm the structural connection between bilateral S1 and M1 after M1 infarction, CTB488 tracer was injected into left M1. CTB-488-positive neurons were obviously observed in S1 (Figure 2D). Interestingly, we also found CTB488-positive neurons in contralateral S1. The number of CTB488-positive neurons between the ipsilateral and contralateral S1 did not differ (P > 0.05) (Additional Figure 3). This demonstrated monosynaptic projection from S1 to M1. To further explore the functional connection between the contralateral M1 and S1, we evaluated coherence between S1 and M1 by recording neuronal activity in these two regions simultaneously in vivo. Compared with the sham group, the coherence between S1 and M1 was greatly disturbed in the stroke group (P < 0.01). EA at GV14 and GV20 acupoints inhibited this attenuation (P < 0.05) (Figure 2E).

The effect of EA on intrinsic excitability of neurons located in Layer 2/3 and Layer 5/6 in contralateral M1 and S1 determined by slice electrophysiological recording

To further explore the role of neuronal activity in the effect mechanism of EA treatment, we evaluated neuronal intrinsic excitability using in vitro slice electrophysiological recording. The excitability parameters analyzed included resting membrane potential, input resistance, action potential threshold, and the number of spikes induced by 300 pA current injection. Since excitatory neurons account for approximately 80% of neurons in the brain, we targeted excitatory neurons for recording in both Layer 2/3 and Layer 5/6 of M1. Compared to the sham group, the measured parameters did not differ in the stroke or stroke + EA groups (Figure 3). Neurons located in Layer2/3 and Layer5/6 of S1 were further recorded in parallel. The resting membrane potential, input resistance, and action potential threshold showed no change after stroke or EA treatment in either Layer 2/3 or Layer 5/6. (Figure 4). However, the number of spikes induced by 300 pA current injection in neurons located in Layer 5/6 was significantly higher in the stroke group than the sham group (P < 0.01). This enhanced excitability was absent in the stroke + EA group (P < 0.05) compared to the stroke group, suggesting the enhanced intrinsic excitability in Layer 5/6 of contralateral S1 can be recovered by EA treatment (Figure 4B4). After further studying synaptic transmission in contralateral S1, neither amplitude nor frequency of sEPSCs in S1 was changed in Layer 2/3 or Layer 5/6 (Additional Figure 4).
EA treatment increases stroke-induced impaired neuronal activity in Layer 5/6 in both the contralateral M1 and S1 in vivo.

The above results showed that activity in Layer 2/3 showed no change in vitro (Figures 3A and 4A). Considering these results, and that spontaneous neuronal activity in Layer 2/3 is sparse in acute electrophysiological recording manipulation (Crochet et al., 2011; Barth and Poulet, 2012), we focused our in vivo recordings on firing rate and waveform of interneurons and pyramidal neurons in Layer 5/6. Excitatory neuronal activity in the contralateral M1 and S1 of Layer 5/6 was significantly lower in the stroke group than the sham group (P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively). In the stroke + EA group, neuronal activity was significantly higher in both contralateral M1 and S1 of Layer 5/6 compared with the stroke group (P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively; Figure 5). Inhibitory neuronal activity in S1 and M1 showed impairment in the stroke group compared to the sham group (P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively). This impairment was recovered to some extent in the stroke + EA group (Additional Figure 5).
Figure 6D

Ablation of neuronal activity in both M1 and S1 was decreased (**P < 0.01) but significantly higher in the stroke + EA group than the stroke group (**P < 0.01; Figure 6C). Local M1 and S1 perfusion showed similar changes among the groups (Figure 6D and E). However, after ablation, although the blood perfusion was significantly lower in the stroke group (P < 0.01 or P < 0.05), blood perfusion recovery mediated by EA at GV14 and GV20 disappeared in the entire brain, contralateral M1, and S1 (Figure 6C–E).

Disturbing neuronal function in contralateral S1 blocks the efficacy of EA

The neurons in S1 were significantly ablated in the taCasp3-TEVp group compared with the taCasp3-EGFP group (P < 0.05; Figure 6A). After S1 ablation, impairment of neuronal activity (including excitatory and inhibitory neurons) in M1 caused by stroke induction was absent and EA treatment showed no efficacy (Figure 6B and Additional Figure 6). This suggests that S1 function is crucial for modulating neuronal activity in M1.

In the control group (rAAV-hsyn-TaCasp3-p2A-EGFP-WRPEs virus injection), blood perfusion of the entire brain was significantly lower in the stroke group than the sham group (P < 0.01) but significantly higher in the stroke + EA group than the stroke group (P < 0.01; Figure 6C). Local M1 and S1 perfusion showed similar changes among the groups (Figure 6D and E). However, after ablation, although the blood perfusion was significantly lower in the stroke group (P < 0.01 or P < 0.05), blood perfusion recovery mediated by EA at GV14 and GV20 disappeared in the entire brain, contralateral M1, and S1 (Figure 6C–E).

Discussion

In this study, EA at GV20 and GV14 rescued stroke-induced impairment of blood perfusion and neuronal activity in the contralateral M1 and S1. Moreover, coherence between the contralateral S1 and M1 was disturbed after stroke, which was improved after EA. We further demonstrated that in vivo neuronal activity in both M1 and S1 was decreased after stroke. Although intrinsic excitability of neurons in the contralateral M1 showed no change after stroke or EA treatment, the excitability in Layer 5/6 of S1 showed enhancement after stroke, which could be rescued by EA. Virus-mediated ablation of neurons in the contralateral S1 inhibited the therapeutic effect of EA. Our results indicate that neuronal activity of the contralateral S1 is important for EA-mediated recovery after focal M1 infarction. EA treatment, which is safe, low-cost, and easily accessible, can modulate contralateral hemispheric compensation of impaired brain function. Furthermore, our study provides insight into how neural circuits can be influenced by EA in central nervous system disorders.

Sensory processing, including temporal coding ipsilateral to the infarction site, has been reported to be disturbed in cerebral infarction (Fukui et al., 2020). Our study provides evidence of unilateral M1 infarction causing dysfunction of the contralateral S1. Numerous reports have shown that contralateral brain regions experience structural and functional plasticity after stroke (Cramer and Crafton, 2006; Cramer, 2008). Our results indicate that coherence between contralateral M1 and S1 is impaired. Sensory deficit caused by M1 infarction has been shown in both rodent and primate studies (Johansson and Cole, 1992; Nudo et al., 2000; Friel et al., 2005). Therefore, after unilateral photothrombotic M1
infarction, reorganization and neural plasticity can occur in the ipsilateral S1 (Fukui et al., 2020). For the interhemispheric interaction, it is plausible that neuronal change in the ipsilateral S1 likely affects neuronal activity in the contralateral S1 (Murase et al., 2004). Another recent study indicated that coherence of the motor and the sensory cortex after stroke is impaired in the ipsilateral side relative to the contralateral hemisphere (Mohammadzadeh et al., 2020). Our study further suggests that coherence in the contralateral hemisphere is dysfunctional. Future studies of EA-mediated changes in interactions and functional connectivity between the contralateral S1 and ipsilateral S1 after stroke are warranted.

Neuronal excitability differed between in vivo and in vitro electrophysiological recordings in our study. We suggest several possible explanations. First, neuronal excitability is determined by two factors, synaptic input and intrinsic excitability (vanVreeswijk and Sompolinsky, 1996). Our in vivo data demonstrated decreased neuronal activity, which may be mostly attributable to impaired synaptic input; however, in vitro, this input has been disconnected. Second, increased intrinsic excitability may have compensated for decreased neuronal activity. Our in vivo results are in line with a previous report that showed in vivo impairment of neuronal activity in the contralateral M1 (Cui et al., 2020). Moreover, our in vitro finding of increased intrinsic excitability is consistent with previous in vitro results (Kubis, 2016; Zhu et al., 2017; Afzal et al., 2019). A previous study showed that the GABAergic circuit marker was lost during stroke recovery (Bavelier et al., 2010). However, Paz et al. (2010) reported that intrinsic excitability was decreased in the reticular thalamus nucleus after focal somatosensory cortical injuries in 3-week old rats at the end of the first week. This discrepancy might be attributed to the different time points of detection after stroke, the different brain regions explored, or differences in age of the mice studied. One limitation of our study is that the changes were detected only in the acute stroke stage. The effect of long-term EA treatment on neuronal activity and neural circuits during stroke recovery still needs to be examined.

Previous structural and physiological studies have suggested that sensory information is relayed by the thalamus, which projects input to Layer 4 up to Layer 2/3 and down to Layer 5 in S1 (Douglas and Martin, 2004). It is thought that S1 has reciprocal connections with M1. The M1–S1 circuit neurons in S1 are located in Layer 2/3 and Layer 5a and connect with neurons in Layer 2/3 and Layer 5a of M1 (Edwards et al., 2019). Although our in vitro data demonstrated that the excitability of neurons in Layer 2/3 in both the contralateral S1 and M1 showed no change after stroke, neuronal activity in Layer 5/6 of the contralateral S1 was impaired after the focal M1 infarction; ablating the contralateral S1 activity abolished the EA-mediated recovery in blood perfusion. Tennant et al. (2017) reported that chronic optogenetic activation input from the thalamus to S1 could promote recovery after stroke. Thalamic input to the contralateral S1 should be further explored in future studies.

In conclusion, this study explored the acute effect of EA at GV20 and GV14 on neuronal activity and interaction between S1 and M1. Our results provide insight into the mechanism underlying EA regulation of neuronal circuitry after stroke. Future studies should further explore the underlying mechanism of alterations in intrinsic excitability and how the interaction between cortical and subcortical brain regions is disrupted. In addition, further study of how inhibitory neurons in the contralateral hemisphere contributes to stroke pathogenesis and recovery is also warranted.
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Additional Figure 1: Laser speckle blood flow imaging showed blood perfusion in the entire brain was decreased after stroke, while rescued by EA.

Additional Figure 2: The time course of perfusion blood in the entire brain in mice.

Additional Figure 3: Cell density of CTB488-positive neurons in the bilateral hemispheric S1 labeled by CTB tracer.

Additional Figure 4: The neuronal synaptic transmission in Layer 2/3 and Layer 5/6 located contralateral S1 is not altered after stroke or EA treatment.

Additional Figure 5: The activity of inhibitory neurons in Layer 5/6 located contralateral M1 and S1 is decreased after stroke, while this impairment is not thoroughly rescued by EA treatment.

Additional Figure 6: The inhibitory neuronal activity in vivo in Layer 5/6 located contralateral M1 is not changed after stroke induction or EA treatment after S1 ablation.
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Additional Figures

Additional Figure 1 Laser speckle blood flow imaging showed blood perfusion in the entire brain was decreased after stroke, while rescued by EA.

Sample images showing the blood perfusion in the sham (left), stroke (middle), and stroke + EA groups (right). It shows the low level of blood perfusion in the ipsilateral M1 after unilateral ischemia (blue indicates low blood perfusion). The blood perfusion recovery after EA treatment was represented. EA: Electroacupuncture; M1: primary motor cortex; S1: primary somatosensory cortex.
Additional Figure 2 The time course of perfusion blood in the entire brain in mice.

The blood perfusion of entire brain after stroke was rescued by electroacupuncture (EA) treatment. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. Number of mice = 5 in the sham, stroke, stroke + EA groups, respectively.
Additional Figure 3 Cell density of CTB488-positive neurons in the bilateral hemispheric S1 labeled by CTB tracer. Both S1 in the ipsilateral (black) and contralateral (red) to M1 CTB injection showed similar cell density of CTB488-positive neurons. There is no significance of CTB488-positive neurons between ipsilateral S1 and contralateral S1. The unpaired t-test was used for statistical analysis. Number of mice = 3. Number of slice = 10. Contra: Contralateral; CTB488: Alexa Fluor retrograde cholera toxin B; EA: Electroacupuncture; Ipsi: Ipsilateral; M1: primary motor cortex; S1: primary somatosensory cortex.
Additional Figure 4 The neuronal synaptic transmission in Layer 2/3 and Layer 5/6 located contralateral S1 is not altered after stroke or EA treatment.

The synaptic transmission in Layer 2/3 or Layer 5/6 located contralateral S1 is not altered in the stroke group or stroke+EA group, compared with the sham group. The frequency (A) or amplitude (B) of spontaneous excitatory post synaptic currents in Layer 2/3 or Layer 5/6 remained no change. Layer 2/3: Number of neurons =16, 12, 8 in the sham, stroke, and stroke + EA groups, respectively. Layer 5/6: Number of neurons = 13, 9, and 9 in the sham, stroke, and stroke + EA groups, respectively. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. EA: Electroacupuncture; L2/3: Layer 2/3; L5/6: Layer 5/6; M1: primary motor cortex; S1: primary somatosensory cortex.
Additional Figure 5 The activity of inhibitory neurons in Layer 5/6 located contralateral M1 and S1 is decreased after stroke, while this impairment is not thoroughly rescued by EA treatment.

The inhibitory neuronal activity in Layer 5/6 located contralateral M1 and S1 is significantly impaired in Stroke group, and EA showed a tendency to enhance this impairment with no significance (A&B). Number of units in A = 25, 35, and 31 in the sham, stroke, and stroke + EA groups, respectively. Number of units in B =84, 60, and 103 in the sham, stroke, and stroke + EA groups, respectively. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05 (one-way analysis of variance with post hoc Bonferroni correction). EA: Electroacupuncture; Int: inhibitory neurons; L5/6: Layer 5/6; M1: primary motor cortex; S1: primary somatosensory cortex.
Additional Figure 6 The inhibitory neuronal activity \textit{in vivo} in Layer 5/6 located contralateral M1 is not changed after stroke induction or EA treatment after S1 ablation.

The inhibitory neuronal activity in Layer 5/6 located contralateral M1 is no longer impaired in the stroke group after S1 ablation by taCasps virus infection, and EA showed no effect. Number of units = 33, 36, 57 in the sham, stroke, and stroke + EA groups, respectively. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. EA: Electroacupuncture; Int: inhibitory neurons; L5/6: Layer 5/6; M1: primary motor cortex; S1: primary somatosensory cortex.