A neoclerodane orthoester and other new neoclerodane diterpenoids from *Teucrium yemense* chemistry and effect on secretion of insulin
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*Teucrium yemense*, a medicinal plant commonly grown in Saudi Arabia and Yemen, is traditionally used to treat infections, kidney diseases, rheumatism, and diabetes. Extraction of the dried aerial parts of the plant with methanol, followed by further extraction with butanol and chromatography, gave twenty novel neoclerodanes. Their structures, relative configurations and some conformations were determined by MS and 1-D and 2-D NMR techniques. Most were fairly conventional but one contained an unusual stable orthoester, one had its (C-16)–(C-13)–(C-14)–(C-15) (tetrahydro)furan unit present as a succinic anhydride and one had a rearranged carbon skeleton resulting from ring-contraction to give a central octahydroindene bicyclic core, rather than the usual decahn. Mechanisms are proposed for the biosynthetic formation of the orthoester and for the ring-contraction. Four novel neoclerodanes increased the glucose-triggered release of insulin from isolated murine pancreatic islets by more than the standard drug tolbutamide, showing that they are potential leads for the development of new anti-diabetic drugs.

*Teucrium* is a genus of the *Lamiaceae* family. Plants in this large genus are perennial herbs, shrubs and subshrubs but present many different appearances1. They are widespread in the Middle East, Southeast Asia, Central and South America and countries surrounding the Mediterranean Sea2. Saudi Arabia hosts six species of *Teucrium* and is thought to be one of the original centres in which these plants developed3. Various *Teucrium* species have been used traditionally as diuretic, diaphoretic, antiseptic and antipyretic agents4. In Saudi Arabia, they have been used in folk medicine to treat diabetes but several other therapeutic activities have been reported in different countries4-7. Plants of this genus have been shown to contain diterpenoids, flavonoids, iridoids, tannins, saponins, alkaloids, sterols, coumarins and glycosides4-10. One species, *T. yemense* Deflers, is a medicinal plant grown in Saudi Arabia, where it is used traditionally to treat infections, kidney diseases, rheumatism and diabetes8,11,12. It is a perennial with a woody base with stems 4–20 cm long; the flowers are pink–pale purple. Moreover, extracts of a related species, *T. polium*, have recently been shown to have activity in animal models of diabetes13,14.

We reported previously the isolation and characterisation of six neoclerodanes from an ethyl acetate (EtOAc) extract of *T. yemense*, of which two stimulated the growth of *E. coli* but none had antimicrobial or anthelmintic activity15. Nine other neoclerodanes had been identified from this plant by Sattar et al. without evaluation of their biological activity9, whereas other neoclerodanes have been isolated from other *Teucrium* species16,17.
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Neoclerodanes have been also characterised from Scutellaria species\(^\text{18,19}\) and Linaria species\(^\text{20}\), while neoclerodanes from Salvia have been identified as inhibitors of HSP90 and as κ-opioid receptor agonists\(^\text{21–24}\). In the present work, we aimed to enlarge our search for bioactive compounds from this species and seek novel structures. We disclose the isolation and structures of twenty new neoclerodanes from the butanol (BuOH) extract of \(T. \ yemense\) and report that nine examples enhance the insulin-triggered release of insulin from isolated murine pancreatic islets, indicating potential anti-diabetic activity.

Materials and methods

General analytical and chromatographic procedures. See SI. All the methods are carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Plant material. \(T. \ yemense\) Deflers was collected in February 2014, from Akabat Al-Abna, Baljurashi, Saudi Arabia. The collected material was identified by taxonomist Dr. M. Yusuf, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University (KSU), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. A voucher specimen (# 15292) has been logged at the herbarium of the College of Pharmacy, KSU.

Extraction and isolation. The air-dried and coarsely ground powdered aerial parts of \(T. \ yemense\) (1.6 kg) were first defatted with hexane and then extracted with MeOH. Evaporation of the solvent from the latter gave a sticky dark mass (388.6 g). This was suspended in water and extracted with EtOAc, then BuOH. Evaporation of the solvent from the BuOH extract gave a residue (43.0 g). Column chromatography (silica gel, mesh size 230–400, hexane→EtOAc/hexane (3:1)) afforded 45 fractions. Fifteen fractions were subjected to radial centrifugal chromatography (Chromatotron) (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)/MeOH 19:1), followed by reverse-phase HPLC (C18 column) to give new compounds 1–20, along with some known compounds. The detailed isolation scheme is shown in the SI as Figure S208.

Fatimanol F (1). Colourless gum; IR 3536, 2970, 1761, 1642, 1218 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 457 [M + K]\(^+\), 441.1510 [M + Na]\(^+\), 419.1690 [M + H]\(^+\), 401 [M + H—H\(\_\)2O]\(^+\); HRESIMS \(m/z\) 463.1605 [M + formate]\(^−\), 453.1316 [M + \(^{35}\)Cl]\(^−\).

Fatimanone B (2). Colourless gum; IR 3478, 2937, 1796, 1712, 1325, 1238 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 421.1855 [M + Na]\(^+\).

Fatimanol G (3). White amorphous powder; IR 3513, 2401, 1716, 1533, 1202 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 409 [M + H]\(^+\), 379.1747 [M + H – C\(\_\)2 = O]\(^+\); HRESIMS \(m/z\) 407.1690 [M – H]\(^−\).

Fatimanol H (4). Colourless gum; IR 3616, 3014, 1715, 1701, 1575, 1202 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 361 [M + K]\(^+\), 383.1113 [M + Na]\(^+\), 361 [M + H]\(^+\).

Fatimanol I (5). Colourless gum; IR 3618, 2034, 1721, 1763, 1715, 1202 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS (+ ve) \(m/z\) 827.2509 [2 M + Na]\(^+\), 425.1197 [M + Na]\(^+\), 403.1378 [M + H]\(^+\).

Fatimanol J (6). Colourless gum; IR 3593, 3132, 1731, 1726, 1303, 1271 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 397.1248 [M + Na]\(^+\), 375.1429.

Fatimanol K (7). Colourless gum; IR 3433, 2971, 1769, 1737, 1221 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 425.1459 [M – H]\(^−\).

Fatimanol L (8). White amorphous powder; IR 3615, 3062, 1744, 1734, 1695 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 519.2212 [M + Na]\(^+\).

Fatimanol M (9). Colourless gum; IR 3546, 2965, 1764, 1752, 1708 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 535.2189 [M + Na]\(^+\), 513.2350 [M + H]\(^+\).

Fatimanol N (10). Colourless gum; IR 3631, 3114, 1795, 1790, 1689, 1262, 1132 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 427.1596 [M + H]\(^+\); HRESIMS (− ve) \(m/z\) 425.1452 [M – H]\(^−\).

Fatimanol O (11). Colourless gum; IR 3414, 3002, 1621, 1417, 1348 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI.

Fatimanol P (12). Colourless gum; IR 3584, 3414, 1716, 1419, 1351 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 443.1706 [M + Na]\(^+\), 421.1854 [M + H]\(^+\).

Fatimanol Q (13). Colourless gum; \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR, 13\(^\text{DEPT}\), COSY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 787.3875 [2 M + Na]\(^+\), 729.3844 [2 M + Na—C\(_2\)H\(_2\)O\(_2\)]\(^+\), 405.1880 [M + Na]\(^+\), 347.1850 [M + Na – C\(_2\)H\(_2\)O\(_2\)]\(^+\), 345.1316 [M + \(^{35}\)Cl]\(^−\), 453.1316 [M + \(^{35}\)Cl]\(^−\).
329.1744 \([M + Na—C_3H_5O_2—H_2O]^+\), 311.1639 \([M + Na—C_3H_5O_2—2\times H_2O]^+\); HRESIMS \(m/z\) 427.1970 \([M + formate]^+\), 417.1689 \([M + ^{35}Cl]^+\), 381.1920 \([M — H]^—\).

**Fatimanol R (14).** Colourless gum; \(^1H\) NMR, \(^1^3C\) NMR, 13DEPT, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 871.4083 \([2\times M + Na]^+\), 447.1985 \([M + Na]^+\), 425.2167 \([M + H]^+\); HRESIMS \(m/z\) 469.2075 \([M + formate]^+\), 459.1795 \([M + ^{35}Cl]^+\).

**Fatimanol S (15).** Colourless gum; \(^1H\) NMR, \(^1^3C\) NMR, 13DEPT, COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 779.3248 \([2\times M + Na]^+\), 401.1567 \([M + Na]^+\), 379.1748 \([M + H]^+\), 361.1642 \([M + H — H_2O]^+\), 343.1537 \([M + H — 2\times H_2O]^+\), 325.1341 \([M + H — 3\times H_2O]^+\); HRESIMS \(m/z\) 425.1656 \([M + formate]^+\), 377.1605 \([M — H]^—\).

**Fatimanol T (16).** Colourless gum; \(^1H\) NMR, \(^1^3C\) NMR, 13DEPT, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 779.3250 \([2\times M + Na]^+\), 401.1568 \([M + Na]^+\), 379.1748 \([M + H]^+\), 361.1643 \([M + H — H_2O]^+\), 325.1432 \([M + H — 3\times H_2O]^+\).

**Fatimanol U (17).** Colourless gum; \(^1H\) NMR, \(^1^3C\) NMR, 13DEPT, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS 983.3881 \([2\times M + Na]^+\), 503.1886 \([M + Na]^+\), 463.1961 \([M + H — H_2O]^+\), 445.1856 \([M + H — 2\times H_2O]^+\), 403.1750 \([M + H — H_2O — AcOH]^+\), 361.1644 \([M + H — 2\times AcOH]^+\); HRESIMS \(m/z\) 525.1974 \([M + formate]^+\), 515.1693 \([M + ^{35}Cl]^+\), 479.1923 \([M — H]^—\).

**Fatimanol V (18).** Colourless gum; \(^1H\) NMR, \(^1^3C\) NMR, 13DEPT, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, see SI; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 803.3255 \([2\times M + Na]^+\), 413.1568 \([M + Na]^+\).

**Fatimanol W (19).** Colourless wax; \(^1H\) NMR, \(^1^3C\) NMR, 13DEPT, HSQC, HMBC, see SI.

**Fatimanol X (20).** Colourless gum; \(^1H\) NMR, \(^1^3C\) NMR, 13DEPT, HSQC, HMBC, see SI.

**Results and discussion**

The dried aerial parts of the plant were defatted and extracted with methanol (MeOH). This solvent was evaporated and the residue was extracted with EtOAc, then extracted with BuOH. The BuOH extract was separated by column chromatography on silica gel. Radial chromatography and HPLC yielded twenty pure compounds (Fig. 1). Their structures were elucidated using 1D and 2D nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and high-resolution electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry (HRESIMS) data. Their absolute configurations cannot be confirmed from these data but are assumed on the basis of precedent for related compounds\(^{9,25,26}\).

**Compound 1.** HRESIMS showed pseudomolecular ions at \(m/z\) 457 \([M + K]^+\), \(m/z\) 441.1509 \([M + Na]^+\) (calc 441.1525) and \(m/z\) 419.1690 \([M + H]^+\) (calc 419.1706), for the formula \(C_{22}H_{26}O_8\). The ion at \(m/z\) 401 \([M + H — H_2O]^+\) indicated a hydroxy group. Negative pseudomolecular ions were seen at \(m/z\) 463.1605 (\([M + formate]^—\) (calc 463.1605) and \(m/z\) 453.1316 (\([M + ^{35}Cl]^—\) (calc 453.1316). The \(^1^3C\) NMR spectrum (Table S1, Supplementary Information (SI)) showed 22 discrete resonances: \(2\times CH_3, 6\times CH_2, 7\times CH, 7\times Cq\). The core structure was shown to be a decalin and related to the neoclerodane diterpenoids\(^{9,15,16,25}\). The infra-red (IR) spectrum showed an OH pseudo-lactone was identified through the chemical shift of H-12 (\(\delta\ 5.46\)) (cf. signals in fatimanol B, fatimanol D and fatimanol E\(^{11}\)). The \(spiro\)-lactone was identified through the chemical shift of H-12 (\(\delta\ 5.46\)) (cf. signals in fatimanol B (\(\delta\ 5.51\)) and fatimanol D (\(\delta\ 5.46\))\(^{11}\)). HMBC tied this proton signal to each furan \(^1^3C\) signal. COSY correlation linked H-12 to the lactone carbonyl (20-C, \(\delta\ 179.27\)) or the \(spiro\)-carbon (9-C, \(\delta\ 49.06\)), although examination of the MM2-minimised conformation indicated that the corresponding dihedral angles (H-12)–(C-12)–(O)–(C-9) and (H-12)–(C-12)–(C-11)–(C-9) are very close to 90°, the coupling constant \(J = 11.2\) Hz for a trans-diaxial coupling with H-1ax. A nuclear Overhauser effect correlation spectroscopy (NOESY) experiment ((CD\(_3\))\(_2\)SO solvent) showed a cross-peak between H-18\(_{endo}\) (\(\delta\ 3.69\) (\(\delta\ 3.87\) in CD\(_2\)OD)) and H-11 (\(\delta\ 2.49\)), thus C-18 (\(\delta\ 58.3\) in CD\(_2\)OD) is axial. H-3 was identified by its chemical shift (\(\delta\ 4.25\)) and by HMBC correlations to C-1 (\(\delta\ 29\)) and C-4 (\(\delta\ 87.1\)). C-3 (\(\delta\ 71.4\)) correlated by HSQC to both 1-H (\(\delta\ 1.29\) and \(\delta\ 1.60\)) and to both 2-H (\(\delta\ 1.60\) and \(\delta\ 2.20\)). H-3 had a 4.25 Hz and was thus axial, making 3-OH equatorial and confirming the conformation of ring A as chair. A strong NOESY correlation between 3-H and H-19\(_{endo}\) (\(\delta\ 3.91\)) showed that CH\(_2\)-19 was close in space to H-3 and also axial. With H-10 and CH\(_2\)-19 both axial, the decalin must be trans-fused. CH\(_3\)-17 resonated as expected at a doublet at \(\delta\ 1.08\) (\(H\)) and \(\delta\ 16.1\) (\(^{1^3}C\)), linked by HSQC. Strong 3-bond HMBC cross-peaks from...
H\textsubscript{1}-17 to C-9 (δ 49.1) and to C-7 (δ 35.2) and from C-17 to H-7\textsubscript{ax} (δ 2.27) confirmed this methyl. The \textsuperscript{1}H signal for H-7\textsubscript{ax} was a dd (\(2J_{(H-7ax)-(H-7eq)} = 14.1 \text{ Hz}, 2J_{(H-7ax)-(H-8)} = 12.9 \text{ Hz}\), showing that H-8 is axial and, therefore, CH\textsubscript{3}-17 is equatorial. The NOESY experiment ((CD\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}SO) showed a cross-peak between H\textsubscript{3}-20 and the H-11 resonance at δ 2.36 (δ 2.49 in CD\textsubscript{3}OD), which is only possible if CH\textsubscript{3}-17 is equatorial.

The orthoacetate unit was more challenging to identify. The CH\textsubscript{3} protons gave a singlet at δ 1.43, which is inappropriate for an acetate ester, with the \textsuperscript{13}C signal at δ 23.9. 2-Bond HMBC linked this CH\textsubscript{3} to the orthoester \textsuperscript{13}C signal at δ 107.7 / 107.8, which is inappropriate for an ester carbonyl. Thus this 2-carbon unit was not a conventional acetate ester, which was consistent with no loss of 60 Da (HOAc) in the MS. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum in (CD\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}SO showed only one OH resonance (HO-3, δ 5.23, with COSY and NOESY correlations with H-3). H-18\textsubscript{endo} (δ 3.87) and H-18\textsubscript{exo} both formed HMBC cross-peaks with the \textsuperscript{13}C signal(s) at δ 107.7 / 107.8. Thus one

Figure 1. Structures of new neoclerodanes isolated from Teucrium yemense (1–20), of the product 21 of pyrolysis of 19-acetylgnaphalin\textsuperscript{27}, and of previously isolated teulepicephin 22\textsuperscript{15}. The numbering of the carbon atoms is shown on 1.
of these signals must have been due to the orthoester carbon (four bonds from H-18-1) and the other due to acetol carbon C-5 (three bonds from H-18-1). This confirmed the ring-closure of the (C-6)--(C-5)--(C-4)--(C-18)--(O) tetrahydrofuran. C-4 (δ 87.1), C-18 (δ 58.3) and C-19 (δ 74.6) all carry oxygen as shown by their 13C chemical shifts. These data are consistent with the orthoacetate structure for 1 (fatimanol F, Fig. 1). Analogue 21, which contains the acetel and orthoacetate structures but differs from 1 in lacking HO-3, was reported to be a product of the pyrolysis of 19-acetylignaphalin.

**Compound 2.** HRESIMS showed a pseudomolecular ion at \( m/z \) 421.1855 [M + H]+ (calc 421.1862) for the formula \( C_{20}H_{19}O_5 \). Fragments were seen at \( m/z \) 379 [M + H − ketone]+ and \( m/z \) 361 [M + H − AcOH]+, showing an acetate ester. Twenty-two discrete \(^{13}\)C NMR signals were observed (2 × CH\(_2\), 6 × CH\(_3\), 8 × CH, 6 × C\(_q\) (ester and ketone)). IR confirmed these carbonyls, with bands at 1712 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1796 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. An OH absorbed at 3478 cm\(^{-1}\).

The NMR data (Table S1, SI) showed that 2 to be a neoclerodane. The aromatic furan was shown by \(^1\)H NMR signals at δ 6.61 (H-14), δ 7.43 (H-15) and δ 7.42 (H-16), with HSQC correlations to C-14 (δ 108.8), C-15 (δ 143.8) and C-16 (δ 139.6), respectively. The C-13 signal (δ 124.8) was identified by HMBC correlations with H-14, H-15, and H-16. C-13, C-14, and C-16 showed HMBC cross-peaks with a double doublet (dd) aliphatic proton signal at δ 5.25 (H-12). HSQC correlated this signal with H-12 in the lactone I is downfield at δ 5.46, whereas H-12 in the alcohol 3 resided upfield at δ 4.85. These comparisons suggest that the electron-density at H-12 in 2 is intermediate between that in the lactone I and the alcohol 3 and is consistent with the hemiacetal/lactol structure in 2. A strong 3-bond HMBC cross-peak linked C-12 with the hemiacetal H-20 singlet at δ 5.54. HSQC identified C-20 (δ 99.7). The lactol was completed by identification of both H-11 signals (δ 1.93 dd, δ 2.34 dd) by HMBC correlations with C-20, location of C-12 (δ 44.2) by HSQC correlation with H-12 and characterisation of the quaternary spiro carbon C-9 (δ 53.6) by HMBC correlations with H-12 and H-20. COSY linked both H-11 and H-12. In the lower part, the OH group was located at C-3 through the chemical shifts of H-3 (δ 6.11) and C-3 (δ 66.4). H-3 had been identified by HMBC correlation to C-5 (δ 63.6) and C-3 had been identified by HMBC correlation to H-1 (δ 2.22 and δ 2.64) and H-2 (δ 1.36 and δ 2.22). Observation of two geminally coupled doublets (H-18) at δ 2.81 and δ 3.14 (2 × CH\(_2\), 2 × CH) showed that these are on the same face of the decalin; thus the decalin diastereoisomer in slow equilibrium with the major diastereoisomer. These are likely to be epimers at the lactol hemiacetal C-20. This assignment was supported by the largest differences in chemical shift between the epimers being for H-3 (Δδ 0.1 ppm), H-14 (Δδ 0.05 ppm), and H-19 (Δδ 0.2), as these four protons are close in space to the epimeric C-20. We assign the structure 2 (Fig. 1) to fatimanol B.

**Compound 3.** Negative-ion HRESIMS showed ions at \( m/z \) 407.1690 [M − H]− (calc 407.1706) for the formula \( C_{21}H_{28}O_{11} \). Positive-ion HRESIMS revealed a pseudomolecular ion at \( m/z \) 409 [M + H]+ and a fragment at \( m/z \) 379.1747 [M + H − H\(_2\)C=O]− (calc 379.1757). Twenty-one discrete \(^{13}\)C NMR signals were observed: 2 × CH\(_2\), 6 × CH\(_3\), 7 × CH, 6 × C\(_q\), including a carbonyl (δ 172.5). IR showed bands for OH (3513 cm\(^{-1}\)) and one carbonyl (1716 cm\(^{-1}\)).

The NMR data (Table S1, SI) indicated that 3 was a neoclerodane. The upper part was an aromatic furan, with \(^1\)H NMR signals (Table S1, SI) at δ 6.42 (H-14), δ 7.39 (H-15) and δ 7.40 (H-16), and δ 7.40 (H-15). The furan \(^1\)H NMR signals were at δ 6.41 (H-14), δ 7.43 (H-15) and δ 7.42 (H-16), with HSQC correlations to C-14 (δ 108.4, C-15 (δ 138.6) and C-16 (δ 138.6), with appropriate HSQC and HMBC connectivities. The chemical shift of H-12 (δ 4.85) showed that it was not part of a lactone or lactol system, confirmed by the lack of a HMBC cross-peak to the signal for carbonyl C-20 (δ 172.5). It did show HMBC cross-peaks to C-13, C-14, and C-16. Further HMBC cross-peaks were seen from H-12 to C-11 (δ 35.8 or δ 40.0) and to C-9 (δ 53.6) by HMBC correlations with H-12 and H-20. COSY linked both H-11 and H-12. In the lower part, the OH group was located at C-3 through the chemical shifts of H-3 (δ 6.11) and C-3 (δ 66.4). H-3 had been identified by HMBC correlation to C-5 (δ 63.6) and C-3 had been identified by HMBC correlation to H-1 (δ 2.22 and δ 2.64) and H-2 (δ 1.36 and δ 2.22). Observation of two geminally coupled doublets (H-18) at δ 2.81 and δ 3.14 (2 × CH\(_2\), 2 × CH) showed that these are on the same face of the decalin; thus the decalin diastereoisomer in slow equilibrium with the major diastereoisomer. These are likely to be epimers at the lactol hemiacetal C-20. This assignment was supported by the largest differences in chemical shift between the epimers being for H-3 (Δδ 0.1 ppm), H-14 (Δδ 0.05 ppm), and H-19 (Δδ 0.2), as these four protons are close in space to the epimeric C-20. We assign the structure 2 (Fig. 1) to fatimanol B.
is trans-fused and that ring A is in the chair conformation. The conformation of ring B is less clear, owing to overlap of 1H NMR signals but MM2-minimisation suggested that it may be a flattened boat. Acetal carbon C-6 was characterised by its chemical shift (δ 110.0) and by HMBC cross-peaks to both H-19. Further HMBC correlations were seen to the doublets at δH 1.90 and δH 4.45, showing that they were due to H-12 and demonstrating the tetrahydrofuran ring. The acetal at C-6 was identified by observation of an OMe (δH 3.90, δC 48.9), linked by HMBC to C-6. These data characterise the structure of 3, fatimanol G (Fig. 1). This structure is identical to that of teulepicephin 22, with the exception of the acetal (hemiacetal in 14)15; the spectroscopic features are very similar, suggesting a similar conformation.

**Compound 4.** HRESIMS showed pseudomolecular ions at m/z 384.1113 [M + Na]+ (calc 383.1107) and m/z 384.1148, [M + Na]+ (calc for 13C18CH20NaO7, 384.1140), appropriate to the formula C20H26O10. 

The 1H NMR spectrum (Table S2, SI) contained two very similar sets of signals, in ca. 1:1 ratio, suggesting diastereoisomers which interconverted slowly on the 1H NMR timescale. This may indicate a cyclic hemiacetal (cf. 2). Detailed assignment of the signals was challenging, as many overlapped between the two stereoisomers. Taken together, the NMR data showed that 4 had a neoclerodane core. As for 3, the upper part was an aromatic furan, with 1H NMR signals at δ 6.49 (H-14), δ 7.55 (H-15) and δ 7.61 (H-16). The signals for H-15 and H-16 were distinguished by a NOE cross-peak from the former to H-14. The 13C NMR signals for this ring were at δ 124.7 (C-13), δ 107.9 (C-14), δ 139.6 (C-15) and δ 144.3 (C-16), as identified by HSQC and HMBC. The spiro-lactone was initially identified by the chemical shift of H-12 (δ 5.59), corresponding to a benzylic ester. This showed an HSQC cross-peak to C-12 (δ 72.3) and HMBC cross-peaks to C-13, C-14, C-16, and C-11 (δ 40.2). HSQC then identified δ 2.73 dd as being due to one H-11 and the two signals at δ 2.56 and δ 2.57 (both dd, each integrating for 0.5 H) as due to the other H-11. The 13C signal at δ 176.8 was shown to be the lactone carbonyl C-20 by HMBC to H-11 (δ 72.3), H-8 (δ 2.22), and H-10 (δ 2.85). H-3 (δ 4.41) and C-3 (δ 60.5) had the expected downfield chemical shifts arising from the OH. COSY then identified H-2 at δ 1.66 and δ 2.10, with HSQC showing C-2 (δ 21.6). Further COSY cross-peaks then showed the resonances for H-1 at δ 1.64 and δ 2.3. The lower fused butenolide became evident from HMBC cross-peaks from H-10 to the alkene C4 peaks for C-4 (δ 128.5) and C-5 (δ 163.0). These were distinguished by their chemical shifts and by observation of HMBC from C-4 to H-2 (δ 2.01). The carbonyl C-18 was at δ 170.1. The lactone was completed by C-6 (δ 102.4), which shows HMBC correlations with both H-7, H-8 and H-10. Interestingly, there is also a weak 4-bond HMBC cross-peak between H-C17 and C-6. The overlap of many of the 1H NMR signals for the two diastereoisomers precluded detailed assignments of the conformations of the decalins. We assign structure 4 (Fig. 1) to this compound, fatimanol H.

**Compound 5.** HRESIMS showed pseudomolecular ions at m/z 425.1197 [M + Na]+ (calc 425.1212) and m/z 403.1378 [M + Na]+ (calc 403.1393), for the formula C21H22O8. There was also a peak at m/z 827.2590 [2 M + Na]+ (calc 827.2527). The 13C NMR spectrum contained signals for 21 discrete carbons: 2 × CH3, 4 × CH2, 7 × CH, and 9 × Cq. The IR showed absorbances for OH (3618 cm−1) and three carbonyls (1763, 1715, 1701 cm−1). The NMR spectra (Table S2, SI) were very similar to those for 4, with the exception of additional methyl signals at δ 2.05, δ 2.10, and δ 2.15. This compound also showed a strong HMBC to the 13C signal for C-6 (δ 150.8), identifying the methoxy group as being part of an acetal at this position. These data show that 5 (fatimanol I, Fig. 1) to this compound, fatimanol H.

**Compound 6.** Compound 6 was also closely related to 4. Pseudomolecular ions were seen in HRESIMS at m/z 397.1248 [M + Na]+ (calc 397.1263) and m/z 375.1429 [M + H]+ (calc 375.1444), consistent with the formula C21H22O7. A 13C isotopomer peak was observed at m/z 398.1282 (calc 398.1297). The 13C NMR spectrum showed discrete signals for 2 × CH3, 4 × CH2, 7 × CH, and 7 × Cq. The 1H and 13C NMR spectra (Table S2, SI) were very similar to those for 4, with the addition of signals for OCH3 (δ 3.19, δ 50.6). These protons showed a strong HMBC to the 13C signal for C-6 (δ 150.8), identifying the methoxy group as being part of an acetal at this position. These data show that 6 (fatimanol J, Fig. 1) to this compound, fatimanol H.

**Compound 7.** HRESIMS contained a pseudomolecular ion at m/z 425.1459, corresponding to [M − H]− (calc 425.1526) for the formula C20H26O8. The 13C NMR spectrum showed discrete resonances for 20 carbons: 1 × CH3, 6 × CH2, 6 × CH, and 7 × Cq. The IR contained absorbances for two carbonyls (1769 cm−1, 1737 cm−1) and OH (3433 cm−1). The NMR data (Table S2, SI) suggested a neoclerodane core. The upper part contained a hydroxyfuranone ring, as in fatimanol A15. This cyclic acetal was represented by H-14 (δ 7.17), which showed an HSQC cross-peak to C-14 (δ 146.6); the downfield shifts of these signals were due to the enone system. From H-14, 2-bond HMBC cross-peaks were seen to C-13 (δ 108.1) and to C-15 (δ 69.9) and 3-bond cross-peaks were evident to the carbonyl C-16 (δ 172.2) and (weakly owing to the adverse dihedral angle) to C-12 (δ 64.0). H-14 did not show a COSY cross-peak to the hemiacetal proton H-15 (δ 5.88), owing to the small coupling constant consequent to a dihedral angle ca. 90°. HSQC identified H-12 as part of a complex multiplet at δ ca. 4.6. A 2-bond HMBC from H-12 then led to C-11 (δ 41.3), from which HSQC showed the two signals for H-11 (δ 1.6, δ 2.25). From the downfield H-11 signal, HMBC identified the signals for C-9 (δ 50.2), C-10 (δ 43.9) and the
lactone carbonyl C-20 (δ 174.6). This lactone was confirmed by HMBC cross-peaks from C-20 to H-19 (δ 4.58, δ 4.64) and the latter were linked on to C-4 (δ 8.56, C-5 (δ 4.97) and C-6 (δ 108.2). A 2-bond HMBC correlation from C-4 indicated H-3 (δ 3.83). The latter then gave an HMBC cross-peak to the methylene C-18 (δ 75.6) with H-18 (δ 3.97) (J = 10.4 Hz), δ 4.35 (J = 10.4 Hz)). The downfield H-19 also gave a 3-bond HMBC with C-10, completing the lower lactone ring. H-3 resonated as a dd (J = 11.6, 5.9 Hz), the larger of the two coupling constants indicating that this proton is axial. Completing the features of the lower part of the structure, H-18 (δ 3.45) showed a 3-bond HMBC with H-7 and the hemiacetal carbon C-6. We assign the structure (Fig. 1) to this compound, fatimanol K.

**Compound 8.** HRESIMS showed a pseudomolecular ion at m/z 519.2212 [M + Na]+ (calc 519.2206) for the formula C25H36O10. The 13C NMR spectrum showed 25 discrete resonances: 4 × CH3, 8 × CH2, 6 × CH, and 7 × Cq. Bands for OH (3615 cm−1) and three ester / lactone carbonyls (1744, 1734, 1695 cm−1) were seen in the IR. Complete interpretation of the NMR spectra (Table S3, SI) showed that 8 was a neoclerodane. The upper ring was a furan-2-one. The acetal proton H-15 resonated as a doublet at δ 5.84, with a small coupling constant (2 Hz) to H-14 (δ 7.02) confirmed by COSY. HSQC then identified C-15 (δ 104.6) and C-14 (δ 144.8). The latter was appropriate for the β-carbon of an enone. HMBC linked H-15 to C-13 (δ 138.9) and the lactone carbonyl C-16 (δ 173.3). H-14 and C-16 also showed a cross-peak in HMBC. The IR band at 1695 cm−1 was assigned to this α,β-unsaturated ester. The methoxy protons (δ 3.52) showed a HMBC cross-peak to C-15, confirming its location. HSQC identified the methoxy carbon signal at δ 57.3. HMBC from C-13 to both H-12 (δ 2.15, δ 2.28) and to both H-11 (δ 1.4, δ 2.25) confirmed the attachment of the methoxyfuranone ring at C-12. C-11 (δ 34.6) and C-12 (δ 19.3) were identified by HSQC. A further HMBC cross-peak from H-11 (δ 1.4) to the 13C signal at δ 66.5 (CH2) showed that the latter was due to C-20. HSQC confirmed the geminally coupled doublets (δ 3.97 and δ 4.03) (J = 12.0 Hz) as due to the two H-20. These were linked by HMBC to the carbonyl 13C signal at δ 172.6 and thence to the acetoxy protons at δ 2.06. Both H-20 signals had 3-bond HMBC correlations with C-10 (δ 47) and C-20 had HMBC correlation with the signal with H-8 (δ 1.7). H-10 (δ 1.7) and C-8 (δ 35.2 or 35.1) were then identified by HSQC. The C-Me group (H3C-17) resonated as an upfield (δH 0.97, δC 16.5). HMBC cross-peaks from H3C-20 linked to both H-7 (δ 1.7, δ 1.8), from which C-7 (δ 30.8) was identified by HSQC. A weak 4-bond HMBC cross-peak was observed between H-17 and C-6 (δ 74.3), as in 2 and 4. The chemical shift of this carbon and of H-6 (δ 3.78) suggested the presence of an oxygen. H-6 had 3-bond HMBC correlations with C-4 (δ 66.9) and with C-19 (δ 63.7). HSQC identified the geminally coupled H-19 protons (δ 4.43, δ 4.65). HMBC from these latter protons confirmed the lower acetoxy carbonyl (δ 172.7), linked on to the methyl proton signal at δ 2.06, co-incident with the other acetoxy signal. Weak 2-bond HMBC cross-peaks from C-4 identified the oxirane protons H-18 at δ 3.95. The 1H signals for H-2 were differentiated by a NOESY cross-peak from H-10 to H-2 ax (δ 2.10). Similarly, H2-19 were shown to be on the lower face by HMBC showing that H-17 and H-20 were cis on the lower face. Similarly, H2-19 were shown to be on the lower face by HMBC showing that all of these are on the upper face of the bicycle. NOESY also linked H-6 with one H-18 (δ 3.17), to H-10 (δ 2.22) and to H-12, confirming the latter as axial down on ring A and a cross-peak to H-1 (δ 2.1) also suggested that this was on the lower face. On the upper face, the downfield H-18 signal (δ 3.21) gave a cross-peak to H-6 (δ 3.78), which allowed differentiation of the two oxirane proton signals. The relative configuration at C-15 could not be determined. These data show the structure of 8 (Fig. 1), fatimanol I.

**Compound 9.** HRESIMS showed pseudomolecular ion peaks at m/z 535.2189 [M + Na]+ (calc 535.2155) and m/z 513.2350 [M + H]+ (calc 531.2336), corresponding to the formula C25H36O11. The 13C NMR spectrum, compiled with 25 discrete signals: 4 × CH3, 7 × CH2, 7 × CH, 7 × Cq. The IR showed OH (3615 cm−1) and three ester / lactone carbonyls (1744, 1734, 1695 cm−1) shown in the IR. Complete interpretation of the NMR spectra (Table S3, SI) showed considerable similarity to those for 8, except in the C-11 / C-12 region and the upper methoxyfuran ring. The structure of the trans-decalin and the lower appendages were identical to those of 8. HMBC from C-11 (δ 38.0) identified H-12 (δ 4.56); the downfield chemical shift of this peak indicated a hydroxy group. HSQC identified C-12 (δ 63.8). H-12 was also linked by COSY to both H-11 (δ 1.75, δ 1.80). A strong 3-bond HMBC from H-12 showed the signal at δ 144.8 to be due to C-14, with HSQC identifying H-14 (δ 7.13). Appropriate HMBC and HSQC correlations then identified C-13 (δ 144.2), C-15 (δ 104.2), H-15 (δ 5.88) and C-16 (δ 171.7). The methoxy group protons resonated as two singlets (δ 3.54, δ 3.55), each integrating for 1.5 H, suggesting that 9 was a mixture of epimers at C-15. The corresponding methoxy 13C signal was linked by HMBC to H-15. NOESY also linked together the upper part of the structure. Cross-peaks were seen linking H-15 with the methoxy group and with H-14. Furthermore, H-14 was linked by NOESY with H-12. Addressing the relative configurations of 9 (except for the mixture of epimers at C-15), strong NOESY cross-peaks were seen from H-8 (δ 1.94) to H-6 (δ 3.78), to H-10 (δ 2.22) and to H-12, showing that all of these are on the upper face of the bicycle. NOESY also linked H-6 with one H-18 (δ 3.17), confirming that the latter is on the upper face and differentiating the two H-18 signals. On the lower face, one H-19 (δ 4.42) formed a NOESY cross-peak with H-3 (δ 4.00) and the other H-19 (δ 4.71) was close in space with H-20 (δ 3.95). The H signals for H-2 were differentiated by a NOESY cross-peak from H-10 to H2ax (δ 2.10). The configuration at C-12 could not be determined. The structure is thus 9 (Fig. 1), fatimanol M.

**Compound 10.** HRESIMS showed a pseudomolecular ion at m/z 425.1452 [M – H]+ (calc 425.1448), corresponding to the formula C25H36O10. Smaller ions were seen at m/z 426.1485 [M – H]+ (calc 426.1481) and m/z 427.1520 [M – H]+ (calc 427.1515) for 13C and 12C isotopomers, respectively. In positive-ion mode, the HRESIMS contained the pseudomolecular ion at m/z 427.1596 [M + H]+ (calc 427.1604), in addition to an abundant ion at m/z 409 [M + H – H2O]+ showing an aliphatic alcohol. Twenty discrete 13C NMR peaks were evident:
Compound 11. Instability under MS conditions precluded obtaining useful mass spectra. The $^{13}$C NMR showed discrete peaks for 21 carbons: $2 \times \text{CH}_3$, $6 \times \text{CH}_2$, $8 \times \text{CH}$, $5 \times \text{Cq}$. The IR contained a band for OH (3414 cm$^{-1}$) but no carbonyls. The NMR data (Table S3, SI) showed a neoclerodane structure. The 3-substituted aromatic furan was shown by the downfield resonances of the ring-H. H-14 resonated at δ 6.41, while H-15 and H-16 were co-incident at δ 7.43. HMBC correlated H-14 with C-13 (δ 126.7), C-15 (δ 143.6) and C-16 (δ 139.3). Similarly, H-15 correlated with C-14 (δ 151.6) and with C-15. HMBC also linked C-13, C-14, and C-16 with H-12 (δ 5.10 m) and HSQC identified C-12 at δ 71.6. A COSY cross-peak from H-12 to the multiplet at δ 1.85 identified the latter as one H-11 and HSQC to C-11 (δ 40.5) and thence to the other H-11 (δ 2.24). C-20 was an acetal carbon, as shown by its chemical shift (δ 101.2), and HSQC identified H-20 as the singlet at δ 5.08. H-20 gave HMBC cross-peaks to C-8 (δ 35.2) and C-9 (δ 44.6) and to C-12, demonstrating the ether linkage. HMBC from C-8 to both H-11 completed this ring. The C-Me group (C-17, H-17) was readily identified as the origin of the most upfield NMR signals (δ 16.3, δ 1.02). From here, a 2-bond HMBC identified H-8 (δ 1.85), confirmed by a COSY cross-peak from H-17. Three-bond HMBC interactions also identified both H-7 (δ 1.65 t, δ 2.36 dd). These multiplicities indicated that the former was axial and the latter was equatorial. C-7 (δ 36.1) was located by HSQC. Acetal C-6 (δ 110.9) was confirmed by 3-bond HMBC with both H-19 (δ 3.94, δ 4.15, geminally coupled). Both H-19 also gave HMBC cross-peaks to C-20, confirming the ether bridge between C-19 (δ 58.5) and C-20. The closure of the lower tetrahydrofuran was demonstrated by HMBC from C-6 to both H-18 (δ 3.89, δ 4.42). Moving clockwise around the decalin, HMBC from C-18 (δ 75.1) identified H-18 (δ 3.89, δ 4.42), which confirms that the methoxy is on the lower face of the trans-decalin. The name fatimanol O is assigned to the novel compound 11 (Fig. 1).

Compound 12. HRESIMS gave pseudomolecular ions at $m/z$ 443.1706 [M + Na]$^+$ (calc 443.1682) and $m/z$ 421.1854 [M + H]$^+$ (calc 421.1862), corresponding to the formula C$_{22}$H$_{28}$O$_8$. The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum showed 22 discrete signals: $2 \times \text{CH}_3$, $6 \times \text{CH}_2$, $8 \times \text{CH}$, $6 \times \text{Cq}$. The NMR data (Table S4, SI) showed that 12 was a neoclerodane. In the upper furan, C-13 resonated at δ 126.7 and gave HMBC cross-peaks to H-14 (δ 6.64), H-15 (δ 7.53) and H-16 (δ 7.59). The corresponding $^{13}$C NMR resonated at δ 109.2 (C-14), δ 145.6 (C-15) and δ 141.4 (C-16). C-15 and C-16 were differentiated by HMBC between the latter and H-12 (δ 5.48). HSQC identified C-12 (δ 73.5). Three-bond HMBC from C-13 also identified both H-11 (δ 2.38, δ 2.51), from which C-11 was shown to be at δ 43.6. The downfield H-11 signal also showed HMBC to C-9 (δ 52.0), C-10 (δ 51.8) and carbonyl C-20 (δ 178.9). The methyl group gave the most upfield signals (C-17 δ 16.9, H-17 δ 0.99). Strong HMBC cross-peaks identified C-8 (δ 38.65) and C-7 (δ 33.5), whereas a weak cross-peak suggested the peak at δ 74.7 as C-6. H-8 resonated at δ 1.78 and H-7 at δ 1.56, δ 2.22. The chemical shifts of C-6 and of H-6 (δ 4.99) were consistent with an ester linkage. HMBC from H-6 to the carbonyl signal at δ 171.6 confirmed that this appendage was an acetoxy group (AcO-) (Me$_2$CO, δc 21.3 δh 2.04). H-6 also gave a strong HMBC to C-5 (δ 48.0) and to C-19 (δ 61.4). The diastereotopic H-19 protons gave doublet signals at δ 3.90 and δ 4.62. C-19 gave a strong 3-bond HMBC to H-10 (δ 1.89). The upfield H-19 gave a 2-bond HMBC with quaternary C-4 (δ 52.8). The relatively upfield chemical shift of C-4 was consistent with the oxirane and C-18 (a methylene) was also identified by its chemical shift at δ 42.5. HSQC then linked it to the doublets for H-18 (δ 2.72, δ 2.80). C-18 gave a moderate HMBC to H-3 (δ 4.28), from which COSY and HSQC identified the signals for C-3 (δ 68.7), C-2 (δ 34.1), H-2 (δ 1.45, δ 2.22), C-1 (δ 23.1) and H-1 (δ 1.78, δ 2.12). Completing ring A, the upfield H-1 gave a strong COSY with H-10. The overall structure of 12 is less rigid than 11 but it was still possible to assign its relative configurations by NOESY (Fig. 2). These data confirm the structure of 12, fatimanol P (Fig. 1).
Compound 13. HRESIMS showed a pseudomolecular ion at m/z 405.1880 [M + Na]+ (calc 405.1889), corresponding to the formula C_{20}H_{30}O_{7}. Other ions were observed at m/z 787.3875 [2 M + Na]+ (calc 787.3881). Fragment ions were observed at m/z 729.3844 [2 M + Na—C_{6}H_{12}O_{7}]+ (calc 729.3826), m/z 711 [2 M + Na—C_{6}H_{12}O_{7}—2 × H_{2}O]+, m/z 347.1850 [M + Na—C_{12}H_{22}O_{7}]+ (calc 347.1834), m/z 329.1744 [M + Na—C_{12}H_{22}O_{7}—H_{2}O]+ (calc 329.1729), and m/z 311.1639 [M + Na—C_{12}H_{22}O_{7}—2 × H_{2}O]+ (calc 311.1623), indicating the presence of at least two hydroxy groups. In the negative-ion HRESIMS, peaks were seen at m/z 427.1970 [M + formate]− (calc 427.1968), m/z 417.1689 [M + 35Cl]− (calc 417.1680), and m/z 381.1920 [M + H]− (calc 381.1913). The 13C NMR contained signals for 20 carbons: 1 × CH_{3}, 7 × CH_{2}, 8 × CH, and 4 × Cq, although two signals were co-incident at δ 143.5.

The NMR data (Table S4, SI) suggested that 13 had a structure broadly similar to those of the neoclerodanes but with important differences. The upper ring was a furan, with 3H NMR signals at δ 6.48 (H-14), δ 7.56 (H-15) and δ 7.50 (H-16). The signals for H-15 and H-16 were differentiated through COSY from H-15 to H-14 and by HMBC from H-16 to C-12 (δ 62.1). HSQC then linked these to the 13C peaks at δ 109.7 (C-14) and δ 143.5 (C-15, C-16). HMBC from H-14, H-15, and H-16 then identified the signal at δ 132.4 as being due to C-13. HMBC from C-13, C-14 and C-16 to the H signal at δ 4.68, along with HSQC from C-12, identified this multiplet signal as H12. This benzylic proton gave COSY cross-peaks to 12-OH (δ 5.02, d) and both 11-H (δ 1.60, δ 2.01, both dd). HSQC from the latter then gave 11-C (δ 41.7). Linkage of this upper side-chain to the core bicycle was demonstrated by a HMBC correlation from H-12 to C-9 (δ 40.4, Cq) and by HMBC from both H-11 to C-8 (δ 35.6) and to C-10 (δ 51.5). The 3-bond HMBC cross-peak between H-11 (δ 1.60) and C-8 was weak, as to the dihedral angle (H-11)—(C-11)—(C-9)—(C-8) is close to 90°. H-8 (δ 1.47) also gave a HMBC with C-11. The other side-chain at C-9 was a hydroxymethyl (HOCH_{2}-) unit, with C-20 (δ 53.3) giving weak HMBC with both H-11. The geminal protons H-20 (δ 3.21, δ 3.32) gave strong COSY interactions with each other and with HO-20 (δ 4.46). The methyl group was at C-8, as shown by COSY from H-8 to the doublet at δ 0.71 (H-17), from which HSQC identified C-17 (δ 16.3). Moving clockwise around the B-ring, a strong 2-bond HMBC from C-8 identified H_{ax}-7 at δ 1.21 (J = 11 Hz). HSQC revealed C-7 (δ 37.9) and thence H_{eq}-7 (δ 1.47). Both H-7 gave strong 2-bond HMBC correlations with C-6 (δ 69.4), from which HSQC showed H-6 at δ 3.36. The COSY cross-peak from H_{eq}-7 to H-6 was strong, whereas that from H_{ax}-7 to H-6 was much weaker, suggesting that the (H_{eq}-7)—(C-7)—(C-6)—(H-6) dihedral angle was ca. 90° and thus that H-6 was axial. COSY linked H-6 to HO-6 (δ 4.46). Three-bond HMBC from both H-7 located quaternary C-5 (δ 59.8). Ring B was completed by observation of a 2-bond HMBC from C-5 to H-10 (δ 2.07).
A 2-bond HMBC from H-10 identified C-1 (δ 24.6), from which HSQC showed that the H-1 signals were at δ 1.87 and δ 1.98. A strong 3-bond HMBC linked C-10 with H-2 signal (δ 1.87), whereas the cross-peak to the other H-2 (δ 1.27) was weaker. A 3-bond HMBC was also seen linking H-1 (δ 1.27) to C-5; the 3-bond path between these two nuclei cannot pass through C-1 and C-10, thus ring A must be five-membered. Quaternary C-3 (δ 57.7) was identified through a 2-bond HMBC with H-2 (δ 1.27) and a 3-bond correlation with H-10, completing the cyclopentane. Three-bond HMBC from both H-2 showed the methylene C-4 (δ 62.5) as being attached at C-3 and the H-4 protons were observed as dd at δ 3.27 and δ 3.66. These H-4 signals were linked by COSY to each other and to HO-4 (δ 4.46). A 2-bond HMBC between H-4 (δ 3.27) and C-3 confirmed the attachment of the HOCH2-, 2-bond HMBC from C-3 and a 3-bond cross-peak from H-2 (δ 1.27) revealed the other substituent at C-3 by identifying C-18 (δ 102.0). The hemiacetal was confirmed by COSY from H-18 (δ 4.76) to HO-18 (δ 6.26). HMBC from C-5, C-6 and C-10 to the doublet signals at δ 3.80 and δ 3.87 identified both H-19. C-19 (δ 67.3) was shown to be a CH2 by HSQC and 135DEPT. The chemical shifts of C-19 and both H-19 suggested the attachment of an oxygen but this was not an OH (no COSY cross-peak). However, HMBC linked C-19 with H-18 and C-18 with both H-19. The only structure consistent with these connectivities is the cyclic acetel shown. It was not possible to obtain a good NOESY spectrum, so the relative configuration shown in Fig. 1 is speculative, except where suggested by $J_{H1,H2}$ coupling constants. The NMR spectra contained a second (smaller) set of peaks, which we ascribe to the presence of a minor diastereoisomer in slow equilibrium, probably the epimer at C-18. Thus 13 (fattinanol Q) has the structure in Fig. 1.

**Compound 14.** HRESIMS showed a pseudomolecular ion m/z 447.1985 [M + Na]$^+$ (calc 447.1995), consistent with the formula C$_{23}$H$_{32}$O$_{8}$. Other ions were at m/z 871.4083 [2 M + Na]$^+$ (calc 871.4091) and m/z 425.2167 [M + H]$^+$ (calc 425.2175) in positive-ion mode and 469.2075 [M + formate]$^-$ (calc 469.2074), and 459.1795 [M + 35Cl]$^-$ (calc 459.1786) in negative-ion mode. The 13C NMR spectrum (in (CD$_3$)$_2$SO) contained individual signals for 22 carbon atoms: 2 × CH$_3$, 7 × CH$_2$, 8 × CH, 5 × Cq.

Analysis of the NMR data ((CD$_3$)$_2$SO, Table S4, SI) showed that 14 was a conventional neoclerodane. The upper ring was a furan, with 1H signals for H-14 (δ 6.42), H-15 (δ 7.56) and C-16 (δ 7.49) and 13C signals for C-13 (δ 132.8), C-14 (δ 109.6), C-15 (δ 143.6) and C-16 (δ 138.6) all duly linked by HSQC and HMBC. Strong 3-bond HMBC cross-peaks were evident from H-12 (δ 4.59) to C-14 and C-16. HMBC linked this proton to C-12 (δ 61.7), which also gave HMBC to H-14 and H-16. A 2-bond HMBC from H-12 identified C-11 (δ 39.7), from which both H-11 (δ 1.67, δ 1.86) were identified by HSQC. HO-12 (δ 4.90) was located through a 3-bond HMBC from C-11. A 3-bond HMBC from H-12 identified C-9 (δ 43.3), while a similar correlation from H-11 (δ 1.67) confirmed C-10 (δ 46.8) and thence H-10 (δ 1.96). From here, C-20 (δ 63.0) was located by HMBC to H-10; the H-20 protons were approximately co-incident at δ 3.28, with HMBC cross-peaks to C-9 (weak, 2-bond), C-10, and C-11. Two-bond HMBC linked C-10 to both H-1 (δ 1.75, δ 2.00), from which C-1 (δ 21.5) was identified by HSQC. The upfield H-1 signal gave a weak 2-bond HMBC with C-2 (δ 34.5), whereas the downfield signal correlated strongly with C-3 (δ 65.0). The signal at δ 1.17 was a dq (J = 4.11, Hz), indicating that this was due to H-2ax, while H-2eq resonated as a narrow multiplet at δ 1.91. Thus the coupling to H-3 (δ 3.80) shows that this proton is axial and HO-3 is equatorial. Furthermore, both H-3 and H-10 are axial, showing that ring A is in the chair conformation. A 2-bond HMBC from H-3 identified C-4 (δ 70.0) and a 3-bond correlation revealed C-18 (δ 43.0). H$_{2}$-18 resonated as doublets at δ 2.83 and δ 3.06; the chemical shifts suggested the spiro-oxirane ring. Strong HMBC from these protons were observed to C-4 and C-5 (δ 45.47). An AcOCH$_2$- group was present at C-5, as demonstrated by HMBC from both H-19 (δ 4.40, δ 4.57) to C-4 and C-5. These protons also correlated with the ester carbonyl MeCO$_2$ (δ 170.8), with the adjacent methyl group (MeCO$_2$) -19 resonating at δ 2.01/δ 21.5. Three-bond HMBC cross-peaks were also seen from both H-19 to C-6 (δ 73.3) and HSQC then located the H-6 signal at δ 3.62 (br, J = ca. 11 Hz). The H-6 signal was better resolved when the 1H NMR spectrum was obtained on a solution in CDCl$_3$, which showed it at δ 3.70 (dd, J = 10.0, 6.0 Hz). The larger axial-axial coupling shows that H-6 is axial on the trans-decalin. Both H-7 (δ 1.39, δ 1.52) were located both by HSQC with C-7 and by HMBC with C-6, whence C-7 (δ 34.7) was revealed by HSQC. H-7 also formed HMBC correlations with the methyl C-17 (δ 17.0), from which H-17 was identified at δ 0.82. Completing ring B, H-17 gave an HMBC cross-peak with C-9. These spectroscopic interpretations were aided, in part, by 1H, COSY and HSQC spectra of a solution in CDCl$_3$, which were better resolved, although paucity of sample precluded identification of the quaternary carbons (Table S4). The coupling constants confirmed of the trans-decalin structure and the relative configurations of most of the substituents, although the relative configuration at C-12 could not be established. We assign the structure shown in Fig. 1 to 14, fattinanol R.

**Compound 15.** HRESIMS contained a pseudomolecular ion at m/z 379.1748 [M + H]$^+$ (calc 379.1757), which showed the formula C$_{20}$H$_{32}$O$_{5}$. Other ions were observed at m/z 779.3248 [2 M + Na]$^+$ (calc 779.3255), m/z 401.1567 [M + Na]$^+$ (calc 401.1576), m/z 361.1642 [M + H—H$_2$O]$^+$ (calc 361.1651), 343.1537 [M + H—2 × H$_2$O]$^+$ (calc 343.1546), and m/z 325.1341 [M + H—3 × H$_2$O]$^+$ (calc 325.1440). The latter three ions indicated the presence of three hydroxy groups. Negative ions were present at m/z 423.1656 [M + formate]$^-$ (calc 423.1655), and m/z 377.1605 [M — H]$^-$ (calc 377.1600). The 13C NMR spectrum (in (CD$_3$)$_2$SO) contained individual signals for 20 carbon atoms: 1 × CH$_3$, 6 × CH$_2$, 8 × CH, 5 × Cq. The NMR data (Table S5, SI) for 15 indicated a conventional neoclerodane. The upper furan showed 1H signals for H-14 (δ 6.49), H-15 (δ 7.74) and H-16 (δ 5.78). HSQC linked these to C-14 (δ 109.1), C-15 (δ 145.0) and C-16 (δ 141.1), respectively. The C-13 13C NMR signal was identified at δ 125.6 by HMBC. Moving south, 3-bond HMBC cross-peaks from C-14 and C-16 identified H-12 as a triplet at δ 5.43. The HMBC from C-16 to H-12 and the NOESY interaction between H-16 and H-12 distinguished H-16 from H15. The chemical shift of H-12 suggested that it carried a lactone oxygen, confirmed by HMBC from H-12 to C-20 at δ 177.0. HSQC linked H-12 to C-12 (δ 71.4). A 2-bond HMBC linked H-12 to
C-11 (δ 41.2), with HSQC from this signal H$_2$-11 (δ 2.25, δ 2.45). Both H-11 gave HMBC correlations to the lactone carbonyl C-20, further confirming the ring. Both H-11 also gave HMBC cross-peaks to the quaternary carbon (δ 51.2), which was shown to be C-9 where the spiro-lactone joins the decalin. Working clockwise around the lower decalin, C-19 gave a strong HMBC to the methyl H-17 protons (δ 0.91), from which C-17 (δ 16.9) was identified. A 2-bond HMBC from H-17 located C-8 (δ 37.3) and a 3-bond correlation located the methylene C-7 (δ 34.9). HSQC then showed H-8 (δ 1.62) and both H-7 (1.48 (H-7$_{eq}$), 1.96 (H-7$_{ax}$)). C-7 showed a 2-bond HMBC with H-6 (δ 3.67); this chemical shift indicates an attached alcohol. C-6 (δ 72.5) was shown by HMBC with H-7$_{eq}$ and HSQC with H-6. The signal for 6-OH was broad but gave HMBC correlation with C-6. The signal at δ 46.4 was due to C-5. HMBC interactions then tied C-5 to the attached CH$_2$OH group (H-19 δ 3.69, δ 4.36; HO-19 δ 4.07) and HSQC identified C-19 (δ 59.3). Both H-19 gave strong 3-bond HMBC cross-peaks to quaternary C-4 (δ 68.8), which was shown to be a part of a spiro-oxirane. In the oxirane, H-18$_{eq}$ resonated as a doublet at δ 2.69 and H-18$_{ax}$ as a doublet at δ 2.89. These diastereotropic protons were distinguished by NOESY correlations from H-18$_{eq}$ to HO-3 (δ 4.66) and one H-2 (δ 1.30) and from H-18$_{ax}$ to H-19 (δ 3.69), H-6 and HO-6. Three-bond HMBC from both H-18 identified C-3 (δ 64.3), from which H-3 (δ 1.94) was shown by HSQC. HMBC from C-3 identified its HO-3 as a doublet at δ 4.66. The ring was completed by appropriate HMBC and HSQC cross-peaks identifying C-2 (δ 34.1), H-2 (δ 1.30, δ 2.05), C-1 (δ 22.0) and H-1 (δ 1.59, δ 1.96). Finally, there was a strong HMBC linking H-2 (δ 1.30) with C-10 (δ 51.2), closing the ring. H-10 resonated at δ 1.67.

The relative configurations were largely demonstrated by NOESY, with some consideration of $^1$H J values. Firstly, a strong NOESY interaction was seen between H-16 and H-17 and a weaker one between H-14 and H-17. This demonstrates that the furan and the methyl group are on the same face of the lactone and that the configuration at C-12 is S. The signal for H-7 (δ 1.48) is a broad doublet, thus this proton is equatorial up as the only large coupling constant for steroidal compounds would be J$_{17-18}$-diax (δ 1.946). Thus the methyl (C$_3$H$_7$)$_2$N was shown to be pseudoaxial as for the vast majority of neoclerodanes. H-7$_{eq}$ makes a strong NOESY interaction with H-19 (δ 4.36), consistent with C-19 being axial down. HO-6 is located on the lower face of the decalin, as H-6 experiences a strong NOESY correlation with H-7$_{eq}$ on the upper face. Running the spectrum in DMSO also allowed a NOESY interaction between HO-6 and H-19 (δ 4.36), confirming the orientation of HO-6. The configuration at C-4 of the spiro-oxirane was determined. H-1 (δ 1.59) is axial down, as it has three large coupling constants (trans-diaxial to H-10 and H-2 (δ 1.30) and geminal to H-1$_{eq}$ (δ 1.96)). Therefore, H-2 (δ 1.30) is axial up. H-18$_{eq}$ (δ 2.69) makes strong NOESY contacts with H-2$_{ax}$ and with H-4 (upper face), which shows that the CH$_3$ of the oxirane is on the upper face, as in 2, 8, and 12. Finally, H-10 is on the upper face, as it shows a trans-diaxial coupling to H-1$_{ax}$. Thus the bicycle is a trans-decalin. These spectroscopic assignments were aided partly by $^1$H, COSY, and HSQC spectra obtained of a solution in CDCl$_3$, which were better resolved, although shortage of sample precluded identification of the quaternary carbons (Table S5). Minor differences in chemical shift were seen for H-2$_{eq}$, H-3, H-14, H-15, H-18, and H-19, probably reflecting minor changes in hydrogen-bonding and consequent minor changes in conformation. The COSY spectrum confirmed the H--H connectivities within the molecule. We assign the structure shown in Fig. 1 to 15, fatimanol S.

**Compound 16.** The HRESIMS contained a pseudomolecular ion at m/z 379.1748 [M + H]$^+$ (calc 379.1757), consistent with the formula C$_{20}$H$_{26}$O$_7$. Ions were also seen at m/z 779.3250 [2M + Na]$^+$ (calc 779.3255), m/z 401.1568 [M + Na]$^+$ (calc 401.1576), 361.1643 [M + H -- H$_2$O]$^+$ (calc 361.1651), and m/z 325.1432 [2M + 3H$_2$O]$^+$ (calc 325.1440), indicating three OH groups. The $^1$C NMR spectrum (in (CD$_3$)$_2$SO) contained signals for 20 carbon atoms: 1 × CH$_3$, 6 × CH$_2$, 8 × CH, and 5 × C$_q$. Therefore, 16 is a closely structurally related isomer of 15. Analysis of the COSY, HSQC, HMBC and some NOESY connectivities showed an identical network to 15, strongly suggesting that they were stereoisomers. The NMR signals (in CD$_3$SO, Table S5) for the lower part of the structure were very similar: position-2 (δ$_{H}$ 1.20, δ$_{H}$ 2.00, δ$_{C}$ 34.1), position-3 (δ$_{H}$ 4.16, δ$_{C}$ 64.5), position-4 (δ$_{C}$ 68.8), position-5 (δ$_{C}$ 46.2) and position-6 (δ$_{C}$ 36.5, δ$_{C}$ 72.5). Slightly more significant differences in chemical shift were observed for the upper and right parts of the decalin, at position-1 (δ$_{H}$ 1.43, δ$_{C}$ 68.5, δ$_{C}$ 21.5), position-7 (δ$_{H}$ 1.42, δ$_{C}$ 1.74, δ$_{C}$ 35.6), position-8 (δ$_{C}$ 1.74, δ$_{C}$ 40.0), and position-10 (δ$_{C}$ 1.50, δ$_{C}$ 49.2). The signal for C-9 (δ 51.5) was identical in 15 and 16. In the upper spiro-lactone, the differences were again observed for position-11 (δ$_{C}$ 2.33, δ$_{C}$ 2.41, δ$_{C}$ 42.6) but less significant for position-12 (δ$_{C}$ 5.42, δ$_{C}$ 71.3) and the lactone carbonyl C-20 (δ 176.8). Small differences were also seen for the furan: position-13 (δ$_{C}$ 125.8), position-14 (δ$_{C}$ 65.1, δ$_{C}$ 109.3), position-15 (δ$_{C}$ 77.1, δ$_{C}$ 145.0) and position-16 (δ$_{C}$ 77.7, δ$_{C}$ 140.7). The larger differences were in the upper part of the decalin and in the lactone, which suggested that the stereochemical difference between 15 and 16 was at C-9 or at C-12. A detailed study of NOESY data in that area was undertaken. Firstly, the equatorial methyl H-17 gave a strong NOESY cross-peak to H-12, thus these were on the same face of the γ-lactone. Secondly, H-12 gave a strong NOESY correlation with one H-11 (δ 2.41) but only weakly with the other H-11 (δ 2.33). Since the furan protons H-14 and H-16 both formed strong NOESY cross-peaks with H-11 (δ 2.33), the furan and this upfield H-11 must be on the same face of the γ-lactone and this face must be opposite to that carrying H-12. These data are consistent with 16 having the opposite configuration at C-12 from 15. Other NOESY interactions, COSY cross-peaks and H-1′-H coupling constants in the decalin were consistent with trans-configuration. The spectroscopic assignments were aided, in part, by $^1$H, COSY, and HSQC spectra of a solution in CDCl$_3$, which were better resolved, although shortage of sample precluded identification of the quaternary carbons and NOESY data could not be obtained. We assign the structure shown in Fig. 1 to 16, fatimanol T.

**Compound 17.** The HRESIMS contained a pseudomolecular ion at m/z 503.1886 [M + Na]$^+$ (calc 503.1893), confirming the formula C$_{20}$H$_{26}$O$_{10}$. Other positive ions at m/z 983.3881 [2M + Na]$^+$ (calc 983.3889), m/z 481 [M + H]$^+$, m/z 463.1961 [M + H -- H$_2$O]$^+$ (calc 463.1968), m/z 445.1856 [M + H -- 2 × H$_2$O]$^+$ (calc 445.1862),
Compound 18. The HRESIMS contained ions at \( m/z \) 803.3255 [2 M + Na]\(^+\) (calc 803.3255) and \( m/z \) 413.1568 [M + Na]\(^+\) (calc 413.1576) confirming the formula \( \text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{26}\text{O}_{7} \). An ion was also seen at \( m/z \) 371 [M + H]\(^+\). The \(^{13}\)C NMR spectrum (in (CD\(_3\))\(_2\)SO) contained discrete signals for twenty-one carbon atoms: 3 × CH\(_3\), 4 × CH\(_2\), 8 × CH, 6 × CO. Combined analysis of the NMR data (Table S6, SI) allowed assignment of all the signals and confirmed that the overall structure was similar to a conventional neoclerodane. However, C-6 was quaternary but not a carbonyl (cf. 2). Two methoxy groups were also evident. The upper part of the structure was a furan linked to a γ-lactone. H-8 resonated at \( \delta 7.20 \) and \( \delta 7.28 \), respectively, with the carbon signals at \( \delta 109.1 \) (C-14) and \( \delta 144.9 \) (C-15), as demonstrated largely by 1H NMR coupling constants. The structure shown in Fig. 1 was assigned to \( \text{fatimanol U} \).
comparison with the chemical shifts\(^29\) for 4-ethyl-5\(^\text{H}\)-furan-2-one gave precedent. Moreover, the assignment was shown to be correct by HMBC from H-12 (δ 2.25) to C-13. HSQC then identified the other H-12 (δ 2.15) and C-12 (δ 21.9). HMBC from both H-12 led to C-11 (δ 34.38), confirmed by interactions of C-12 with both H-11 (δ 1.50, δ 1.98). C-9 resonated at δ 38.0 and was linked by HMBC to the two upfield methyl signals, δ 0.78 for H-17 and δ 0.65 for H-20. A 3-bond HMBC from H-12 led to C-10 (δ 46.3) and thence H-10 (δ 1.38). C-10 formed a HMBC cross-peak to H-8 (δ 1.24), from which C-8 (δ 4.1) was identified by HSQC. Confirmation was supplied by HMBC from C-8 to C-17 and C-20. A weak 4-bond HMBC from H-17 to C-6 (δ 72.7) identified the latter. H-6 resonated at δ 3.63. HMBC from C-6 to δ 1.43 led to identification of one H-7, from which the other H-7 (δ 1.50) and C-7 (δ 34.41) were correlated by HSQC. C-6 also gave an HMBC with H-10, closing the lower-right carbocycle, while a cross-peak from the upfield H-7 located C-5 (δ 45.2). C-5 was shown to carry C-19 by HMBC to both H-19 (δ 4.34, δ 4.49, geminally coupled doublets). Both H-19 linked on to the carbonyl at δ 170.8, demonstrating that C-19 carries an AcO- group. C-5 also gave HMBC cross-peaks to both H-18 (δ 2.84, δ 3.09). HSQC identified C-18 (δ 43.0) and 2-bond HMBC from both H-18 located the signal for C-4 at δ 69.6. A weaker HMBC interaction between C-4 and HO-3 (δ 7.76) linked on to C-3 (δ 64.5) and thence H-3 (δ 3.82). Finally, the remaining CH\(^3\)s were identified through further HMBC (H-2: δ 1.24, δ 1.55, C-2 δ 34.42; H-1: δ 1.50, δ 1.66, C-1 δ 20.0). The structure shown in Fig. 1 was assigned to 19, fatimanol W.

**Compound 20.** Instability under MS conditions precluded obtaining useful spectra. The \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR spectrum (in (CD\(_3\))\(_2\)SO) (Table S7, SI) contained twenty discrete \(^{13}\text{C}\) signals: 2 × CH\(^3\), 8 × CH\(^2\), 5 × CH, and 5 × C\(_q\). The combined NMR spectra showed it to be very similar to 19, with similar HMBC and HSQC connectivities. This compound lacked an acetate ester. Relative to 19, the signals for H-19 and C-19 have moved markedly upfield (δ\(_{\text{H}}\) 3.78, δ\(_{\text{H}}\) 3.98, δ\(_{\text{C}}\) 60.3). This was consistent with the C-19 substituent being HO-. This was confirmed by observation of the HO-19 signal as a dd at δ 4.12, with HMBC to C-5 and C-19. Changes in chemical shift were also seen for the oxirane H-18 (δ 2.70, δ 2.89) and C-18 (δ 40.5), and for C-5 (δ 46.9), reflecting changes in steric effects in that region and the absence of through-space effects from an ester carbonyl. Thus 20 (fatimanol X) was the desacetyl analogue of 19, as shown in Fig. 1.

The occurrence of orthoesters in plant natural products was reviewed by Liao et al. in 2008\(^{28}\). Orthoacetates have been reported in only a limited number of frameworks, principally the daphnane diterpenoids, phragmalin limonoids, bufadienolide and ergostanoid steroids. Several of these orthoacetates have potent biological activities, including systemic toxicity. In each case, the three oxygen atoms of the orthoacetate unit were situated appositely in space for formation of the orthoester and the rigidity of the framework of the diterpene contributed to the stability of this usually highly acid-labile functionality. We propose the mechanism shown in Fig. 3 for formation of the orthoacetate in 1. Proposed intermediate 23 is 19-acetylateulepicin, reported by Savona et al.\(^{16}\) to be a secondary metabolite in *T. buxifolium* and the formal oxidation product of 2 at the lactol. It is therefore feasible that 23 is the true biosynthetic precursor of 1 in *T. yemense*. The carbonyl oxygen of the acetate attacks...
the adjacent electrophilic ketone carbonyl from the lower face, generating intermediate 24. Here the alkoxide anion is held close to the CH₂ of the electrophilic oxirane and attacks it, opening the strained ring and forming a new bond. Finally, the new alkoxide in 30 is perfectly placed for attack as the electrophilic carbon of the acetate to form the orthoester 1. Bruno et al.39 observed a related cyclisation from pyrolysis of fruticolone (a constituent of *T. fruticans*) at 200 °C and a chemical acid-catalysed epoxide-orthoester rearrangement has been reported in a synthesis of petuniasterone D.

Neoclerodanes with the A-ring contracted to a cyclopentane, as in 13, have been reported previously as plant natural products but with more complex substitution patterns. Examples with a cyclobutene fused to the cyclopentane were reported in the 1980s.32,33 Ring-contracted neoclerodanes were later identified34–37 from *Pteronia, Conyza*, and *Microglossa* species. In these neoclerodanes, there is extensive transannular bridging. Fatimanol Q is the simplest ring-contracted neoclerodane identified to date. Bohmann’s group suggested that their ring-contracted compounds arose from rearrangements involving migration of C-2 to bond with C-4. Mechanisms proposed include protonation of a hydroxy group at C-4 to initiate the rearrangement,34 trapping the aldehyde formed from C-3 with a hydroxy group at C-1034,35 and formation of a C-1=C-10 double bond.34,36,37 In each case, the C-10 position is oxidised. However, in the present case, C-10 is not oxidised with either an oxygen function or an alkene. We propose the mechanism in Fig. 4 for the biosynthetic ring-contraction. In this mechanism, the rearrangement is triggered by protonation and ring-opening of the strained oxirane. The leaving group oxygen is on the lower face of the ring and thus almost anti-periplanar to the C-3–C-2 bond. The oxirane-opening and migration of C-2 are probably concerted, given this conformation. C-2 will approach C-4 from the opposite side to the leaving group, resulting in stereochemical inversion at C-4. This places C-18 on the upper face and the newly generated carbocation (C-3) on the lower face, where it can readily form a hemiacetal with HO-19. This hemiacetal closes the second 5-membered ring such that the two 5-membered rings are cis-fused, an energetically favoured arrangement.

Acid anhydrides are relatively uncommon in natural products, owing to their potential for electrophilic reactivity and hydrolysis. However, we have firmly identified 10 as having a succinic anhydride as the upper ring; this is the first such neoclerodane to be reported. Only one natural product containing a simple (non-fused) succinic anhydride, tubogenic anhydride A, has previously been isolated, from *Aspergillus tubingensis*.

Compounds 2,3,7–9,11,12,14–17,19,20 contain the conventional neoclerodane carbon framework with various differences in oxidation level, acetylation, bridging rings and ring-opening at the C-4/C-18 oxirane, whereas 4–6,18 lack C-19. The C-12–C-20 lactol in 2 has precedent in gnaphalin (isolated from *T. gnaphalodes*)39, although the latter lacks HO-3. The C-19–C-20 bridging lactone of 3,7,10 is present in teuplepin 15 and many other neoclerodanes. Compound 11 contains a related bridging acetal, giving a rigid polycyclic structure. This polycycle is also present in teucrin P (also from *T. gnaphalodes*)40, teupyrenone (from *T. pyrenaicum*)41, and teupolin III42, although the latter do not have the additional lower fused tetrahydrofuran to stiffen the structure further. The upper hydroxyfuranone hemiacetal in 7 is also present in the neoclerodane salvidivin (from *Salvia divinorum*)35, whereas the methoxyfuranone acetal moiety in 8,9 has precedent in the labdane 15-methoxyvelutine C (from *Marrubium thessalum*)11. Most neoclerodanes have the 12-S configuration, so the identification of 16 as a 12-R neoclerodane is noteworthy. Gács-Baitz et al.12 used NOE NMR spectroscopy to determine configuration at C-12 in neoclerodanes featuring the upper aromatic furan and the *spiro*-lactone but did not have an exact epimeric pair for their study: 15 and 16 are exact epimers which facilitated their stereochemical identification. The lower hydroxyfuranone hemiacetal of 4,5 and the corresponding methoxyfuranone acetal feature of 6 have scant precedent, in teucvisin C24 and cracrousin B25, respectively, while the dimethoxydihydrofuran diacetal of 18 is completely novel in the series. The ajugamarins and related neoclerodanes have the upper furanone unit of 19 and 20 but all known ajugamarins are oxygenated at C-1246.

Compounds 1–12 were evaluated for their ability to enhance the glucose-triggered secretion of insulin by freshly isolated murine pancreatic islets, using our previous assay.47 In negative-control islets, insulin secretion was 9.1 ± 0.3 ng islet⁻¹ h⁻¹, triggered by glucose (16.7 mM) (Fig. 5). This release was increased 2.2-fold by...
the standard drug tolbutamide (20.2 ± 1.3 ng islet−1 h−1). The tested compounds showed a range of activities. Compounds 1, 2, 12 showed little or no effect on the secretion of insulin. Compounds 3–6 and 11 increased the glucose-triggered release of insulin by approximately the same extent as the positive control tolbutamide. Encouragingly, 7–10 showed strong enhancement of insulin secretion, by 3–4 ×, although not as potently as the coumarins cluteolin D and clueolin J (from Clutia lanceolata)48.

Conclusions
We report the isolation and identification of twenty new neoclerodanes from the traditional medicinal plant T. yemense. Compound 1 contains an orthoacetate, which is previously unreported in naturally-occurring neo-clerodanes. As shown (Fig. 3), the acetate, oxirane and keto groups in proposed precursor 23 are appositely located to facilitate formation of the orthoester; precursor 23 is 19-acetylteulepicin, previously identified in T. buxifolium. The upper (tetrahydro)furan unit in 10 is a succinic anhydride, a reactive moiety not often found in plants but presumably stable in the arid climate in which T. yemense grows in nature. Compound 13 results from a relatively unusual ring-contracting skeletal rearrangement during biosynthesis. Interestingly, 7–10 were found to enhance the glucose-triggered release of insulin from isolated murine pancreatic islets to a greater extent than the standard anti-diabetic drug tolbutamide; these compounds represent new leads for the development of treatments for this widespread disease.
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