Kinetic model of electron transport in cylindrical nanowire with rough surface
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In this work, the problem of electron transport in cylindrical nanowires is considered. A model of nanowire is proposed with the irregularities/scatterers concentrated mainly in the vicinity of the surface. It is treated as a waveguide with some scattering indicatrix introduced to describe specular and nonspecular scattering. Employing the kinetic approach, Kolmogorov equation is used to calculate subsequently approximate nonequilibrium distribution function and derive explicit formula for the resistivity of the system.
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1. Introduction

One of the important feature of low dimensional systems is that they can exhibit properties which differ markedly from the corresponding bulk systems [1]. The problem of transport in these systems has commanded great attention over the past decades from both experimental and theoretical physicists [2–5]. Although numerous approaches to the electron transport modeling problem were developed, most of them rely on Kolmogorov equation [6] in the view of the fact that conductivity process is a nonequilibrium one.

The key component of kinetic approach is collision integral determination, which can be done in various ways. An expression for the integral can be obtained directly by calculating Bloch waves scattering amplitudes [7, 8] or by introducing some semiempirical scattering indicatrix. Fuchs proposed model for DC conductivity in thin metal films based on combination of specular and nonspecular electron scattering on film boundary [9]. This approach was adopted and enhanced in a number of works [10–12].

The main goal of this work is to study Kolmogorov equation for conductivity electron within rough surface cylindrical nanowire with certain type of scattering indicatrix implied. In contrast with multiple scattering expansion, we use an expansion with respect to a small parameter [1] characterizing a weak deviation from equilibrium that leads to conventional Fredholm integral equations of convolution type. Its investigation gives simple solutions obtained using Fourier transform.

2. Kinetic model

2.1. Scattering models

Let’s consider electron transport problem for cylindrical nanowire. As a source of electrons, we take the base of the cylinder, i.e. a round plate, that emits the electrons distributed by Fermi–Dirac function. Resistivity of such a system arises from the scattering of the electrons on phonons, other electrons or structural defects. For low-dimensional structures, the surface can be treated as defect and is believed to have biggest contribution to resistivity at low temperatures [3].

It is natural to introduce cylindrical coordinate system \((\rho, \phi, z)\) with respect to the symmetry of the system so that axis \(z\) is aligned with the center of cylinder. Also, one may introduce cylindrical coordinate system \((v_\rho, v_\phi, v_z)\) in velocity subspace of phase space. Assuming orts in velocity subspace are denoted as \((e_\rho, e_\phi, e_z)\), the confinement condition for scattered electron can be expressed as follows:

\[
\left(\vec{\nu}', e_\rho\right) \leq 0,
\]  

where \(\vec{\nu}'\) – velocity of electron after scattering on surface.

Generally, if the precise scattering cross section \(\Sigma(\vec{\nu}, \vec{\nu}', \vec{r})\) is known, it can be reduced to averaged cross section:

\[
\sigma(\vec{\nu}, \vec{\nu}', \rho) = \iint \Sigma(\vec{\nu}, \vec{\nu}', \vec{r}) \, dz \, d\phi.
\]
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FIG. 1. Electron scattering on rough boundary of cylindrical nanowire introduced (top). Velocities of electron before and after scattering in velocity subspace (bottom left). Illustration of confinement condition (bottom right).

However, the problem of finding exact form of $\Sigma$ is of immense complexity. That is why the usual approach is to directly introduce semiempirical averaged scattering cross section $\sigma$, such as specular reflection and elastic random angle scattering [12].

The differential cross section for elastic specular scattering on a boundary will have the following form:

$$\sigma = \delta(\rho - \rho_0)\delta(v'_z - v_z)\delta(v'_\rho + v_\rho)\Theta(-v'_\phi),$$

where $\rho_0$ – nanowire radius, $\delta$ – Dirac delta function, $\Theta$ – Heaviside step function. The latter multiplier in (4) is the confinement condition (1).

The main difference of rough boundary with smooth one is that the velocity angle of scattered particle follows some distribution. However, preserving the condition of elastic scattering conserves the velocity modulus. In order to take into account this conservation law, one may introduce the velocity modulus $v$ and velocity direction $\theta$ (assuming that $\theta = 0$ corresponds to direction along $z$-axis). Thus, for rough wall scattering, the differential cross section will have the following form:

$$\sigma = \delta(\rho - \rho_0)\delta(v' - v)G(\theta' + \theta)\Theta(-\theta')\Theta(\theta' + \pi),$$

where $G$ – some distribution function. The sum within brackets of $G$ implies that the maximum scattering probability corresponds to the case when the incident angle equals the scattering angle.

Or, in more general case, taking into account extent of the outer surface, one may transform (5) as follows:

$$\sigma = A(\rho)\delta(v' - v)G(\theta' + \theta)\Theta(-\theta')\Theta(\theta' + \pi),$$

where $A(\rho)$ – some radial distribution of scatterers (one may choose $F(\rho) = \Theta(\rho_0 - \rho)$ in case of infinitely thin surface layer), and $G$ – some functions, that describe scattering probability with respect to angles.

2.2. Kinetic equation

Let’s now consider the kinetic problem for electrons moving in a cylindrical nanowire with an applied electrostatic field. In the simplest case, for transport studies we assume that the external electrical field with intensity $E$ is applied along the $z$-axis and system is finite and restrained by electrode contacts from both sides.

The Kolmogorov equation for this case will have the following form:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + eE \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_z} + v_z \frac{\partial f}{\partial v'_z} + v_\rho \frac{\partial f}{\partial v'_\rho} + v_\phi \frac{\partial f}{\partial v'_\phi} = -f \int \sigma(r, \vec{v}, \vec{v}') d\vec{v}' + \int \sigma(r, \vec{v'}, \vec{v}) f(\vec{v'}) d\vec{v'},$$

(7)
where, \( f = f(t, \vec{r}, \vec{v}) \) – distribution function, \( \sigma = \sigma(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, \vec{v}') \) – scattering cross section, \( e \) – elementary electric charge.

Electrons on cathode follow the Fermi–Dirac distribution:

\[
n_F(v) = \left[ \exp \left( \frac{mv^2/2 - E_F}{k_BT} \right) + 1 \right]^{-1},
\]

where \( T \) – temperature, \( k_B \) – Boltzmann constant, \( E_F \) – Fermi energy, \( m \) – electron mass.

Next, it is safe to assume that equilibrium distribution function can be chosen as follows:

\[
f_e = n_F(v) F(\rho),
\]

where \( F(\rho) \) – some radial distribution of charge carriers (one may choose \( F(\rho) = \Theta(\rho_0 - \rho) \) in case of infinitely thin surface layer).

Henceforward, we will consider the simplest case of stationary \((\partial f/\partial t = 0)\) and homogeneous \((\partial f/\partial z = 0, \partial f/\partial \phi = 0)\) electron current. In this case, equation (7) turns into:

\[
eE \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_z} + v_r \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} = -f \int \sigma(\rho, \vec{v}, \vec{v}') d\vec{v} + \int \sigma(\rho, \vec{v}, \vec{v}') f(\vec{v} = \vec{v}') d\vec{v},
\]

where \( f = f(\rho, v_r, v_z) \) (phase space of the system is reduced to three dimensions).

Let’s assume that deviation \( f_d \) of nonequilibrium distribution function \( f \) from equilibrium one \( f_e \) is small, i.e. \( f = f_e + \varepsilon f_d \), where we introduced \( \varepsilon \) – small parameter \(|\varepsilon| \ll 1\).

It should be noted that substitution of \( \vec{v} \)-symmetric function \( f_e \) into right part of (10) gives zero. Considering this fact, and taking into account that \( f_d \) is \( \vec{v} \)-antisymmetric, putting for brevity \( \varepsilon = 1 \), one finally obtains:

\[
eE \frac{\partial f_e}{\partial v_z} + v_r \frac{\partial f_e}{\partial \rho} = -f_d \int \sigma(\rho, \vec{v}, \vec{v}') d\vec{v} + \int \sigma(\rho, \vec{v}, \vec{v}') f_d(\vec{v} = \vec{v}') d\vec{v}.
\]

2.3. Rough boundary case

Let’s now consider more general case of the rough boundary. This means a reflection angle is not equal to incident one, though scattering is elastic and velocity module is preserved. For this case, we substitute (6) into (11) and switch to integration over \( v' \) and \( \phi' \). In this case, the equation (11) will have the following form:

\[
eE \frac{\partial f_e}{\partial v_z} + v_r \frac{\partial f_e}{\partial \rho} = -f_d(\rho, v, \theta) A(\rho) v \int_{-\pi}^{0} G(\theta' + \theta) d\theta' + A(\rho) v \int_{-\pi}^{0} G(\theta' + \theta) f_d(\rho, v, \theta') d\theta'.
\]

Next, we introduce the integral operator:

\[
\hat{K} f(\rho, v, \theta) = \int_{-\pi}^{0} G(\theta' + \theta) f_d(\rho, v, \theta') d\theta',
\]

\[
g = -\frac{eE F(\rho) \frac{\partial n_F}{\partial v_z} + v_r n_F \frac{\partial F(\rho)}{\partial \rho}}{A(\rho) v},
\]

where we took into account probability normalization condition \( \int_{-\pi}^{0} G(\theta' + \theta) d\theta' = 1 \).

Thus, (12) turns into:

\[
f_d = g + \hat{K} f_d,
\]

which in fact is a Fredholm equation of the second kind. It is useful to introduce a new variable \( \alpha = -\theta' \) so that the kernel of integral equation \( G(\theta - \alpha) \) is defined on the square i.e. \( \theta, \alpha \in [0, \pi] \).

2.4. Fourier transform solution

In order to study equation (15), lets rewrite it in more general form:

\[
\varphi = g + \lambda \hat{K} \varphi,
\]

where \( \varphi \) – unknown function, \( \hat{K} \) – integral operator of convolution type, \( g \) – nonhomogeneous term, \( \lambda \) – parameter:

\[
\varphi(x) = \lambda \int K(x - s) \varphi(s) ds + g(x).
\]
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Here, integration is performed over an infinite region and function $K$ is defined by extending $G$ with zero outside $[0, \pi]$ intervals.

In general form, solution of (16) can be expressed as follows via the resolvent:

$$\varphi = (1 - \lambda K)^{-1} g.$$  \hfill (18)

In order to check for the existence of the solution (18) one may follow the Fredholm alternative and solve the corresponding homogeneous equation:

$$\varphi = \lambda \hat{K} \varphi.$$  \hfill (19)

Let us apply Fourier transform to each element of equation (19):

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \hat{\varphi}(\omega) e^{i\omega x} d\omega_1,$$  \hfill (20)

$$K(x - s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \hat{K}(\omega_2) e^{i\omega_2(x - s)} d\omega_2.$$  \hfill (21)

Thus:

$$\int \hat{\varphi}(\omega) e^{i\omega x} d\omega = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \int \int \hat{K}(\omega_2) \hat{\varphi}(\omega_1) e^{i(\omega_1 - \omega_2)x} \left( \int e^{i(\omega_2 - \omega_1)x} dx \right) d\omega_1 d\omega_2 ds.$$  \hfill (22)

Let’s multiply the left and right part of this equation by $e^{-i\omega_0 x}$ and integrate over $x$:

$$\sqrt{2\pi} \int \hat{\varphi}(\omega) \delta(\omega - \omega_0) d\omega = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \int \int \hat{K}(\omega_2) \hat{\varphi}(\omega_1) e^{i(\omega_1 - \omega_2)x} \left( \int e^{i(\omega_2 - \omega_1)x} dx \right) d\omega_1 d\omega_2 ds =$$

$$= \lambda \int \int \hat{K}(\omega_2) \hat{\varphi}(\omega_1) e^{i(\omega_1 - \omega_2)x} \delta(\omega_2 - \omega_0) d\omega_1 d\omega_2 ds.$$  \hfill (23)

Next, the remaining integrals can be trivially taken:

$$\hat{\varphi}(\omega_0) = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \hat{K}(\omega_0) \hat{\varphi}(\omega_1) e^{i(\omega_1 - \omega_0)x} d\omega_1 ds =$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{K}(\omega_0) \int \hat{\varphi}(\omega_1) \delta(\omega_1 - \omega_0) d\omega_1 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{K}(\omega_0) \hat{\varphi}(\omega_0).$$  \hfill (24)

Or, changing the variables:

$$\left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{K}(\omega) \right) \hat{\varphi}(\omega) = 0.$$  \hfill (25)

Apparently, equation (25) will have no nontrivial solutions apart from cases when $K \sim \delta(x - s)$. Applying the Fredholm alternative to this problem (by setting $\lambda = 1$ and defining $\hat{K}$ accordingly) proves that inhomogeneous equation (16) will have solution.

Using the same approach for the inhomogeneous equation gives us:

$$\hat{\varphi}(\omega) = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{K}(\omega) \hat{\varphi}(\omega) + \hat{g}(\omega).$$  \hfill (26)

This equation is algebraic and thus can be solved easily:

$$\hat{\varphi}(\omega) = \frac{\hat{g}(\omega)}{1 - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{K}(\omega)}. $$  \hfill (27)

Finally, solution of equation (16) can be found by applying inverse Fourier transform to both parts of (27):

$$\varphi(x) = \int \frac{\hat{g}(\omega)}{\sqrt{2\pi} - \lambda \hat{K}(\omega)} e^{ix\omega} d\omega.$$  \hfill (28)

Although expression (28) gives us formal solution for equation (15), its practical application is limited because as the problem of finding Fourier images $\hat{g}$ and $\hat{K}$ is not a trivial task.
2.5. Electron transport

The solution of (15) can also be obtained as follows:

$$f_d(\rho, v, \theta) = g(\rho, v, \theta) + \int_0^\pi R(\theta - \alpha) g(\rho, v, \alpha) \, d\alpha,$$

(29)

where $R$ – the resolvent of integral operator (14). It can be shown using Fourier transform [13] that equation (29) can be expressed as follows:

$$\tilde{f}_d(\omega) = [1 + \tilde{R}(\omega)]\tilde{g}(\omega),$$

(30)

The resolvent Fourier image can be found using equation (27):

$$\tilde{R}(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{K}(\omega)}{\sqrt{2\pi} - \tilde{K}(\omega)}.$$

(31)

Let us now choose the extended scattering amplitude function $G$ as follows:

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\gamma}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^2}x^2\right),$$

(32)

which in fact is normal distribution. Here $\gamma$ – parameter which corresponds to standard deviation. Then, one may plug Fourier image of (32) into equation (31), arriving at:

$$\tilde{R}(\omega) = \frac{2\pi}{\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2}{2\omega^2}\right) - 1.$$

(33)

Assuming the spread of scattering angles is small i.e. $\gamma \ll 1$, one may neglect the second term of denominator in the relation (33), that yields $\tilde{R}(\omega) \approx 0$. Thus, for the zero order approximation of nonequilibrium distribution function one may use the function $g$:

$$f_0^d = \frac{eEm}{k_B T} \frac{v_z^2 + v_r^2}{v} \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2k_B T}\frac{F(\rho)}{A(\rho)} - \frac{v_r}{v} \frac{1}{A(\rho)} \frac{\partial F(\rho)}{\partial \rho} nF\right).$$

(34)

Let’s now switch velocity coordinate system as ($v_z = v \cos(\theta), v_r = v \sin(\theta)$):

$$f_0^d = B(\rho, v) \cos(\theta) - C(\rho, v) \sin(\theta),$$

(35)

where:

$$B(\rho, v) = eE m \frac{v_z^2 + v_r^2}{k_B T} \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2k_B T}\frac{F(\rho)}{A(\rho)} - \frac{v_r}{v} \frac{1}{A(\rho)} \frac{\partial F(\rho)}{\partial \rho} nF\right),$$

(36)

$$C(\rho, v) = \frac{1}{A(\rho)} \frac{\partial F(\rho)}{\partial \rho} nF(v).$$

(37)

Generally, current density of the waveguide can be obtained as follows:

$$\vec{j}(\vec{r}) = e \int \vec{v} f_d(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) d\vec{v}.$$

(38)

For $z$-projection of homogeneous current density one obtains:

$$j_z(\rho) = e \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_d v_z d\rho d\theta = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_d v_z \cos(\theta) d\theta.$$

(39)

The total current through transverse surface can be calculated as:

$$J = \int_0^{\rho_0} j_z(\rho) d\rho.$$

(40)

Next, taking into account parity of functions, integral of the second term in (35) will give zero. The form of expression (35) allows to perform variable separation and if the exact $A(\rho)$ and $F(\rho)$ are known, radial dependence
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can be integrated out. Thus, one may obtain the temperature dependency of the system resistivity in zero order approximation:

\[
R = -\frac{1}{S_0} \left[ \int_0^\infty v \frac{\partial n_F(v)}{\partial v} dv \right]^{-1},
\]

(41)

where \(S_0\) – constant resulting from \(\theta\) and \(\rho\) integration:

\[
S_0 = e^2 \rho_0 \int_0^\infty \frac{F(\rho)}{A(\rho)} d\rho \int_0^\pi \cos^2(\theta) d\theta = \pi e^2 \rho_0 \int_0^\infty \frac{F(\rho)}{A(\rho)} d\rho.
\]

(42)

The integral in (41) nontrivially depends on temperature. It can be shown using the Sommerfeld expansion that:

\[
-\int_0^\infty v \frac{\partial n_F(v)}{\partial v} dv = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \int_0^\infty \frac{n_F(E)}{\sqrt{E}} dE \approx \frac{\sqrt{E_F}}{2\sqrt{2m}} + \frac{\pi^2 (k_B T)^2}{12 \sqrt{2m E_F^3}},
\]

(43)

where Fermi energy also depends on temperature: \(E_F(T) \approx E_F(0) \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2 (k_B T)^2}{12 E_F^2(0)}\right)\), where \(E_F(0)\) depens only on fundamental constants [14].

3. Results and discussion

It was shown that Kolmogorov equation for electrons in cylindrical nanowire with surface scattering introduced can be conceived of as Fredholm equation of the second kind. Existence of a solution was proved by applying Fredholm alternative in the Resolvent form, that is approved by transition to the Fourier transformed equation. Zero order approximation of solution was illustrated by the case of a gently sloping surface irregularities used to study resistivity temperature dependence of system under consideration in explicit form.
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