Utilizing human intelligence in artificial intelligence for detecting glaucomatous fundus images using human-in-the-loop machine learning
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Purpose: For diagnosing glaucomatous damage, we have employed a novel convolutional neural network (CNN) from TrueColor confocal fundus images to conquer the black box dilemma in artificial intelligence (AI). This neural network with CNN architecture with human-in-the-loop (HITL) data annotation helps not only in diagnosing glaucoma but also in predicting and locating detailed signs in the glaucomatous fundus, such as splinter hemorrhages, glaucomatous optic atrophy, vertical glaucomatouscupping, peripapillary atrophy, and retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) defect. Methods: The training was done on a well-curated private dataset of 1,400 high-resolution confocal fundus images, out of which 1,120 images (80%) were used exclusively for training and 280 images (20%) were used exclusively for testing. A custom trained You Only Look Once version 5 (YOLOv5)-based object detection methodology was used to identify the underlying conditions precisely. Twenty-six predefined medical conditions were annotated by a team of humans (comprising two glaucoma specialists and two optometrists) by using the Microsoft Visual Object Tagging Tool (VoTT) tool. The 280 testing images were split into three groups (90, 100, and 90 images) for three test runs done once every 15 days. Results: Test results showed consistent improvements in the accuracy, from 94.44% to 98.89%, in predicting the glaucoma diagnosis along with the detailed signs of the glaucomatous fundus. Conclusion: Utilizing human intelligence in AI for detecting glaucomatous fundus images by using HITL machine learning has never been reported in the literature before. This AI model not only has good sensitivity and specificity in accurate glaucoma predictions but is also an explainable AI, thus overcoming the black box dilemma.
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Artificial intelligence (AI) in the field of glaucoma diagnosis is getting increasingly popular, with the usage of a basic convolutional neural network (CNN) for enhancing and upgrading patient care.[1–4] A well-trained CNN can identify various pathologies of the fundus.[5] However, the previously reported AI models with CNN were criticized by the ophthalmological community due to the black box dilemma. In the black box dilemma, the CNN-based systems analyzed the data based upon their own self-generated rules. The real rationale behind why and how the predictions were made in the first place was not clearly understood.[6] Any ophthalmologist would always expect the AI results to not only predict the diagnosis but also predict and locate detailed signs in the fundus images in a detailed manner, such as splinter hemorrhages, glaucomatous optic atrophy, vertical glaucomatous cupping, peripapillary atrophy, and retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) defect. For any successful AI algorithm, the base starts with data annotation.[6] If there is no annotated data in the first place, then there is no machine learning algorithm to detect the image. This is where the human interface comes into play in the process of data annotation. Annotating data, also known as labeling data, is the first and most important step in creating a successful AI model.[7] One such image annotation tool that can be utilized by all for comprehensive and customized data labeling is Microsoft Visual Object Tagging Tool (VoTT).[8] Customized annotation of optic nerve head and retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) images can prove useful in not only identifying glaucomatous discs but also in predicting various segmentations of the glaucomatous cup, disc, peripapillary atrophy, and RNFL defect from the background fundus.[9] This methodology of annotations, though time-consuming, can be utilized by all ophthalmologists to create their own human-in-the-loop (HITL) AI model.
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In this paper, we have employed a novel CNN approach in not only diagnosing glaucoma from TrueColor confocal fundus images but also in predicting and locating detailed signs in the glaucomatous fundus images. The idea was to overcome the black box dilemma by creating an explainable AI with HITL machine learning.

**Methods**

We used a well-curated private dataset consisting of 1,400 high-quality confocal fundus images in the interest of building an efficient AI model to aid the ophthalmologists in practice. The 1,400 images were split into 80% (1,120 images) and 20% (280 images) for training and testing, respectively. A team of humans (comprising two glaucoma specialists and two optometrists) annotated the 1,120 training images with predefined 26 medical conditions pertaining to glaucoma by using Microsoft VoTT [Fig. 1].

**Process of annotations**

From June 2021 to July 2021 on a daily basis, annotation of the existing glaucoma fundus image dataset was performed with a team of two glaucoma specialists and two optometrists. Only high-resolution TrueColor confocal images were utilized for annotations. We shortlisted the Sansten AI toolbox that supports the human-in-the-loop annotation and human validation process [Fig. 2]. The annotation team created a predefined list of 26 glaucomatous fundus signs that were relevant to identify the glaucomatous damages that existed in the fundus image.

Based on their clinical schedule, the ophthalmology AI team of Mahathma Eye Hospital Private Limited, Trichy conducted the annotation process with approximately 40–50 image annotations per day. The annotation time varies from image to image and also varies according to the shapes of annotations and the number of glaucomatous findings. It includes multiple bounding boxes, circular, and freestyle shapes. Few sample source images with multiple glaucomatous fundus signs and respective annotated images are shown in Fig. 1. After human annotations, the dataset was sent for training. The primary expectation during training was to employ the AI model with glaucomatous damage detection from the fundus images by using computer-aided object detection algorithms.[12]

This study involved human participants, where there were no direct interactions with humans, as their fundus images were only used for the study. Ethics approval for this study was obtained from an Independent Ethics Committee (Institutional Review Board), and the study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed written consent was obtained from all the study participants.

**Algorithm employed for training - You only look once version 5 (YOLOv5)**

The computer-aided object detection algorithm used here to precisely identify the underlying conditions is YOLOv5. YOLOv5 looks at the fundus as numerous segments rather than viewing the fundus image as a whole. This customized tool was able to identify and illustrate customized anchor boxes over multiple areas within the glaucomatous fundus images.

For performing the final detection part, we used the model head network algorithm (as shown in Table 1), which generates the final output vectors with objectness scores, class probabilities, and bounding boxes that apply the anchor boxes on glaucomatous fundus features [Fig. 3].
Statistics and Results of the Testing Images

The 1,400 images were split up into 80% and 20% for training and testing, respectively [Table 2]. The descriptive statistics of the 280 testing images [Table 3] in the form of frequencies and percentages were calculated. The collected MS-Excel coded data were analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Scientist; version 20, IBM USA), which is later calculated in the form of frequencies, and percentages. The 2D and 3D distributions of the annotation dataset are depicted in Fig. 4. The AI tool was evaluated with mean average precision (mAP), which was calculated by taking the average precision (AP) over all classes and/or over all intersection over union (IoU) thresholds. The IoU thresholds were calculated by dividing the area of overlap with the area of union.

The initial training [Fig. 5a] showed the mAP@0.5 to be 25% or below and mAP@0.95 to be 10% or below, and the final training [Fig. 5b] achieved a better accuracy of mAP@0.5 to be 60% or below and mAP@0.95 to be 27% or below, respectively. The 280 images used for testing were split into 90, 100, and 90 for three test runs done once every 15 days. These tests showed consistent increments in the accuracy from 94.44% to 98.89% in predicting the diagnosis, severity, and detailed findings [Table 3]. Test 1 predictions were performed following the first 15 days of annotations. Test
2 predictions were performed following the next 15 days of annotations. Test 3 predictions were performed following the next 15 days of annotations. From test 1 to test 3, there was an increment in the sensitivity from 90.24% to 100% and in the specificity from 97.96% to 98.14% (as shown in Tables 4, 5, and 6, respectively).

Discussion

Glaucoma, also called the silent thief of sight, is one of the leading causes of irreversible blindness in developing countries like India. It typically increases the intraocular pressure inside the eye and damages the optic nerve which results in blindness. In developing countries like India, diagnosis of glaucoma is still a challenge; this is where a good explainable AI model with reliable sensitivity and specificity will aid in rapid screening and detection.

Review of Literature - What was previously known or reported

Akkara et al.[13] explained several studies and their importance pertaining to AI and machine learning (ML) in glaucoma from color fundus photographs. Li et al.[1] stated that they achieved
high sensitivity (95.6%) and specificity (92%) in detecting referable glaucomatous optic neuropathy from fundus photographs by utilizing deep learning (DL) algorithms. They also mentioned confounding factors and their influence on the results, such as high myopia led to false negatives and physiological cupping led to false positives in their study. Al-Aswad et al. assessed Pegasus (Visulytix Ltd., London, UK), a DL technology to identify glaucomatous optic neuropathy from color fundus photographs, and showed that it outmatched 5 out of 6 ophthalmologists who participated in that study. Another AI that identifies glaucomatous fundus photographs was stated by Netra.AI (Leben Care Technologies Pte., Ltd.).

Several other studies also explained several techniques to identify glaucomatous optic neuropathy from optic disc fundus photographs. Cerentini et al. used GoogLeNet to develop an automatic classification method to detect glaucomatous changes in fundus images. Haleem et al. used a novel technique for automatic boundary detection of optic disc and cup to aid automatic glaucoma diagnosis from fundus photos. Thompson et al. used deep learning to measure the NRR loss from optic disc photos. Indian start-up, Kalpah Innovations (Vishakhapatnam, India) released the Retinal Image Analysis – Glaucoma (RIA-G) cloud-based software in 2016 to analyze fundus images to look for the likelihood of glaucoma.
The new facts reported in this study

The major limitation in all the above models was that they did not overcome the black box dilemma. Most of them were having good performance, but they were less explainable in terms of how the final glaucoma diagnosis was arrived at. We have created an explainable AI model with good interpretability, which helps to overcome the black box dilemma. It learns continuously with regular improvement in the accuracy of predictions, in not only identifying the condition but also predicting all detailed signs in the glaucomatous fundus with bounding boxes. In addition, this AI toolbox monitors the accuracy of predictions continuously and utilizes human feedback [Fig. 3] to calibrate the models, which help in identifying the conditions and eliminating the error rate over time.[8] Studies have noted that under the influence of the data quality, the model’s performance is affected.[15] Thus, TrueColor confocal fundus photographs were utilized for the research, which yields good-quality high-resolution images, thus improving the interpretability.

Human annotations - HITL

In this study, few annotations were repeatedly used, such as notching, cupping, laminar dot sign, and bayonet sign, along with RNFL defects. In the 2D graph, these annotations were seen as spikes [Fig. 4a], and in the 3D graph, these repeated annotations were seen as warmer colors [Fig. 4b&c]. The distribution of the annotation bounding boxes reveals that the smaller bounding boxes were used more frequently (depicted by the warmer colors). The main reason for that in this study is that we used multiple human annotations and many detailed small signs such as bayonet signs, baring of circumlinear vessels were annotated separately with small bounding boxes.

This novel VoTT annotation tool employs human glaucoma specialists and optometrists to do the complex labeling of a glaucomatous fundus, where every aspect of the glaucomatous disc and background fundus is labeled by them. Though time-consuming, there is a pairing of humans with the machine and not the supremacy of one over the other, thus catering to a team effort involving machines and humans. Customized human-led data annotation process of labeling datasets can pave the path for AI training and predictions, where the pairing of humans and machines using HITL machine learning can yield good results. Thus, there is definitely a big role for HITL machine learning, especially in medical science, where it is unwise to have a black box problem. This will not only speed up machine learning but also make it more accurate, reliable, and trustworthy.[16]

You only look once version 5 - Object detection methodology

We used a YOLOv5-based object detection methodology to precisely train the underlying glaucomatous fundus images. YOLOv5 algorithm works accurately by drawing a bounding box around the fundus signs by using the bounding box regressor.[17] YOLOv5-based object detection methodology helps to train and identify glaucomatous fundi in less than a second. In addition, with continuous training, there was
such as dataset was also split into three groups as 360, 400, and 360 practical scenario. Just like the testing dataset, the training independent datasets in all three instances to create a real-time subsequent tests, but we chose to run our tests with different and never integrated. Learning AI model. the glaucomatous predictions were in favor of a good machine loss and classification loss remained the same in both the tests, predicted decreased from 5.56% to 1.11%. Although objectness signs increased from 94.44% to 98.89%. Moreover, the number of images in which some of the detailed signs were wrongly predicted decreased from 5.56% to 1.11%. Although objectness loss and classification loss remained the same in both the tests, all the other parameters, such as precision, recall, mAP, and the glaucomatous predictions were in favor of a good machine learning AI model.

In this study, the test data and training data were different and never integrated.

The same dataset could have been utilized for the subsequent tests, but we chose to run our tests with different independent datasets in all three instances to create a real-time practical scenario. Just like the testing dataset, the training dataset was also split into three groups as 360, 400, and 360 images. In total, 360 images were trained before carrying out test 1. Thus, by the time the second test was ready to be carried out, the training data (i.e., annotated images) had increased from 360 to 760 images. Similarly, by the time the third test was ready to be carried out, the training data had increased to 1,120. A similar number of epochs was run in all the tests. Over the course of three tests, it can be seen that the wrong predictions were decreasing and the correct predictions, including the detailed findings (i.e., clinical glaucomatous fundus signs) were increasing.

Currently, we have provided this AI glaucoma detection tool free of cost along with the confocal fundus scanner; moreover, its incorporation into the fundus scanner is underway. Thus, the prerequisites for using this tool would only be the possession of a confocal fundus scanner and can be used by any ophthalmologist in both private and institutional practice. The advantage of this glaucoma AI tool is that it can be used as a screening tool even in the absence of a glaucoma specialist. Scaling this novel system across the world will be more beneficial for developing countries and Pacific Island countries such as Cook Islands, Micronesia, Nauru, Niue, and Tuvalu where there are no ophthalmologists present.

**Limitation and suggestions**

The quality of the fundus images obtained with lower-resolution fundus cameras might find it challenging to achieve similar results with this AI model. Furthermore, multimodal clinical images, such as optical coherence tomography, visual fields, and non-invasive angiography, along with fundus images, should be integrated to build a generalized and more reliable AI diagnostics system.

**Conclusion**

Utilizing human intelligence in AI for detecting glaucomatous fundus images using HITL machine learning has never been reported in the literature before. By employing HITL machine learning, we have created an explainable AI, which has overcome the black box dilemma. This study also shows that with constant human training the prediction accuracy can be increased via a feedback mechanism.
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