Existence of nontrivial weak solutions for a quasilinear Choquard equation
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Abstract
We are concerned with the following quasilinear Choquard equation:

\[-\Delta_p u + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda (I_{\alpha} * F(u))f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) \, ds,\]

where \(1 < p < \infty, \Delta_p u = \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)\) is the \(p\)-Laplacian operator, the potential function \(V: \mathbb{R}^N \to (0, \infty)\) is continuous and \(F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\). Here, \(I_{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}\) is the Riesz potential of order \(\alpha \in (0, p)\). We study the existence of weak solutions for the problem above via the mountain pass theorem and the fountain theorem. Furthermore, we address the behavior of weak solutions to the problem near the origin under suitable assumptions for the nonlinear term \(f\).
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1 Introduction
We are concerned with the following quasilinear Choquard equation:

\[-\Delta_p u + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda (I_{\alpha} * F(u))f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (P)\]

where \(1 < p < N, \Delta_p u = \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)\) is the \(p\)-Laplacian operator, the potential function \(V: \mathbb{R}^N \to (0, \infty)\) is continuous and \(F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\) with \(F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) \, ds\). Here, \(I_{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}\) is the Riesz potential of order \(\alpha \in (0, p)\) on the Euclidean space \(\mathbb{R}^N\) of dimension \(N \geq 1\), defined for each \(x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}\) by

\[I_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \frac{1}{2^N |x|^{N-\alpha}},\]

where \(\Gamma(\cdot)\) stands for a standard Gamma function. The Choquard equation was also introduced by Choquard in 1976 in the modeling of a one-component plasma [1]. It seems to originate from the Frohlich and Pekar’s model of the polaron, which is a quasiparticle used in condensed matter physics to understand the interactions between electrons and atoms in a solid material [2, 3]. This equation is also known as the Schrödinger–Newton equation in models coupling the Schrödinger equation of quantum physics together with...
relativistic or nonrelativistic Newtonian gravity [4, 5]. Thus, they have become very significant in physics (see [6] for a review paper).

For this reason, many researchers have extensively studied the Choquard type equation in various ways; see [7–18] and the references therein.

Recently, the authors [19] dealt with the existence of positive solutions to the problem (P) on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^N$, based on the assumption that the nonlinearity $f$ satisfies the following Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz superlinear condition [20], which is commonly called the (AR)-condition:

$$0 < \zeta F(t) \leq \theta f(t)t \quad \text{for } t > 0 \text{ and some } \theta \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

where $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) \, ds$. It is well known that the (AR)-condition is quite natural and important not only to ensure that an Euler–Lagrangian functional has the mountain pass geometry, but also to guarantee that the Palais–Smale sequences of the functional are bounded. However, this condition is very restrictive and eliminates many nonlinearities. Thus, many researchers have tried to drop the (AR)-condition for elliptic equations involving the $p$-Laplacian; see e.g. [21–24].

The purpose of this paper is to study the existence of weak solutions for the problem (P) without the (AR)-condition as observing various assumptions for the nonlinear term $f$ compared to result in [19]. In particular, following Remark 1.8 in [21], there are many examples which do not fulfill the condition of $f$ given in [22, 23, 25]. On the other hand, in the case of the whole space $\mathbb{R}^N$, the main difficulty of this problem is the lack of compactness for the Sobolev theorem and we introduce the potential $V$ to the equation. To be precise, we prove the existence of weak solutions for the quasilinear Choquard equation (P) under the Cerami condition, as a weak version of the Palais–Smale condition. To do this, first, we use the uniform boundedness of the convolution part, $|I_\alpha * F| < \infty$ for our analysis (see Section 3.1 for a detailed description) and thus the property of $(S_\alpha)$ type operator with this uniform estimate gives a lot of help when we choose Cerami sequences. Second, we show the multiplicity of weak solutions to the quasilinear Choquard equation (P) via the fountain theorem to obtain the infinitely many weak solutions. Third, we establish the existence of a sequence of weak solutions for the problem (P) converging to zero to obtain the $L^\infty$-bound of weak solutions to the problem (P) based on an iteration method. To the best of our knowledge, there were no such existence results for our problem in this situation.

2 Preliminaries

Let $1 < p < N$ and $p^* := Np/(N – p)$ denote the Sobolev conjugate of $p$. Suppose that

(V) $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) := V_0 > 0$, $\text{meas}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) \leq M\} < +\infty$ for all $M \in \mathbb{R}$.

Define the linear subspace

$$X := \left\{ u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^p \, dx < \infty \right\}.$$

Then $X$ is a reflexive separable Banach space with the norm

$$\|u\|_X = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$
which is equivalent to the norm \( \| \cdot \|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \) given by

\[
\| u \|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p}.
\]

We recall the well-known embedding results in [21, Lemma 2.1]; see also [26].

**Lemma 2.1** The following statements hold:

(i) There is a continuous embedding \( W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \) for any \( s \in [p, p^*] \).

(ii) If \( V \) satisfies the assumption (V), then there is a compact embedding \( X \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \) for any \( s \in [p, p^*) \).

Throughout this paper, let \( X \) be the completion of \( C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}) \), and \( X^* \) be a dual space of \( X \). Furthermore, \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) denotes the pairing of \( X \) and its dual \( X^* \). All generic constants will be denoted by \( C \), which may vary from line to line.

**Definition 2.2** We say that \( u \in X \) is a weak solution of the problem (P) if

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^{p-2}uv \, dx = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u))f(u)v \, dx \tag{2.1}
\]

for all \( v \in X \).

Let us define the functional \( \Phi : X \to \mathbb{R} \) by

\[
\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^p \, dx.
\]

Under the assumption (V), it is obvious that the functional \( \Phi \) is well defined on \( X \), \( \Phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R}) \) and its Fréchet derivative is given by

\[
\langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^{p-2}uv \, dx.
\]

We suppose that the following assumptions hold:

(F1) \( F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \).

(F2) There exist a constant \( \sigma > 0 \) and \( 1 < p < q_1 \leq q_2 < \frac{\alpha p}{N-p} \) such that for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
|f(t)| \leq \sigma (|t|^{q_1-1} + |t|^{q_2-1}).
\]

(F3) There exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that

\[
F(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |t| < \delta.
\]

(F4) \( \lim_{|t| \to \infty} \frac{F(t)}{|t|^p} = \infty \).

(F5) There exist \( c_0 \geq 0 \), \( r_0 \geq 0 \), and \( \kappa > \frac{N}{p} \) such that

\[
|F(t)|^\kappa \leq c_0 |t|^p \tilde{g}(t)
\]

for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( |t| \geq r_0 \), where \( \tilde{g}(t) := \frac{1}{p}f(t)t - \frac{1}{2}F(t) \geq 0 \).
(F6) $f(-t) = -f(t)$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

To comment on the assumptions about the nonlinearity $f$, we would like to recall an important inequality due to [1].

Let $s, r > 1$ and $\alpha < p$ with $1/s + (N - \alpha)/N + 1/r = 2$. Let $g \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $h \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then there exists a sharp constant $C(s, N, \alpha, r)$, independent of $g$ and $h$, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{g(x)h(y)}{|x - y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dx \, dy \leq C(s, N, \alpha, r) \|g\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|h\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

In particular, $F(t) = |t|^{q_1}$ for some $q_1 > 0$. By the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(u(x))F(u(y))}{|x - y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dy \, dx$$

is well defined if $F \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $s > 1$ is defined by

$$\frac{2}{s} + \frac{N - \alpha}{N} = 2.$$

Since $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we must require that $sq_1 \in [p, p^*]$. For the subcritical case, we must assume

$$\frac{p}{2} \left( 2 - \frac{N - \alpha}{N} \right) < q_1 \leq q_2 < \frac{p^*}{2} \left( 2 - \frac{N - \alpha}{N} \right).$$

Next we define the functional $\Psi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Psi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u))F(u) \, dx.$$

Then it is easy to check that $\Psi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and its Fréchet derivative is

$$\langle \Psi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u))f(u)v \, dx$$

for any $u, v \in X$. Also we define the functional $\mathcal{I}_\lambda : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u) = \Phi(u) - \lambda \Psi(u).$$

Then it follows that the functional $\mathcal{I}_\lambda \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and its Fréchet derivative is

$$\langle \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^{p-2}uv \, dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u))f(u)v \, dx$$

for any $u, v \in X$.

According to similar arguments in [27, Theorem 4.1], the following lemma is easily checked, and thus we omit the proof. That is, the operator $\Phi'$ is of type $(S_\lambda)$; see [28].

**Lemma 2.3** Assume that the assumption (V) holds. Then the functional $\Phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex and weakly lower semicontinuous on $X$. Moreover, the operator $\Phi'$ is of type $(S_\lambda)$, i.e., if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ and $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \Phi'(u_n) - \Phi'(u), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$, then $u_n \to u$ in $X$ as $n \to \infty$. 
In our setting, we need the following lemma according to a similar argument in [29, Lemma 3.2]. We give a detailed proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 2.4** Assume that (V) and (F1)–(F2) hold. Then $\Psi$ and $\Psi'$ are weakly strongly continuous on $X$.

**Proof** See the Appendix. 

### 3 Existence of weak solutions

In this section, we shall give the proof of the existence of nontrivial weak solutions for the problem (P), by applying the mountain pass theorem and the fountain theorem.

With the aid of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we prove that the energy functional $I_\lambda$ satisfies the Cerami condition (($C_c$)-condition for short), i.e., for $c \in \mathbb{R}$, any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ such that

$$I_\lambda(u_n) \to c \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| I'_\lambda(u_n) \right\|_{X^*} (1 + \|u_n\|_X) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$

has a convergent subsequence. This plays a key role in obtaining the existence of a nontrivial weak solution for the given problem.

Before investigating a crucial lemma, we note that following [19], there exists $M > 0$, such that

$$\left| I_\alpha F(v) \right| \leq M \quad \text{for} \quad v \in X. \quad (3.1)$$

Indeed, by the assumption (F2),

$$|I_\alpha F(v)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(v)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dy \right| = \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{F(v)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dy \right| + \left| \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} \frac{F(v)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dy \right| \leq \sigma \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|v|^{q_1} + |v|^{q_2}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dy + \sigma \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} (|v|^{q_1} + |v|^{q_2}) \, dy$$

$$\leq \sigma \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|v|^{q_1} + |v|^{q_2}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dy + C,$$

where we use the fact that $p < q_1 \leq q_2 < p^*$. Choosing $t_1 \in (N/\alpha, Np/(N-p)q_1)$ and $t_2 \in (N/\alpha, Np/(N-p)q_2)$, it follows from Hölder’s inequality,

$$\int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|v|^{q_1}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dy \leq \left( \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} |v|^{q_1} \, dy \right)^{1/t_1} \left( \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dy \right)^{1/q_1} \leq C \left( \int_{|r| \leq 1} |r|^{N-1 - \frac{t_1(N-\alpha)}{t_1-1}} \, dr \right)^{1/t_1},$$

Similarly, we get

$$\int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|v|^{q_2}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dy \leq C \left( \int_{|r| \leq 1} |r|^{N-1 - \frac{t_2(N-\alpha)}{t_2-1}} \, dr \right)^{1/t_2}.$$
Since both $N - 1 - \frac{2|N-\alpha|}{2t_1} > -1$, for $i = 1, 2$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that
\[
\int_{|x-y| \leq 1} |v|^{q_1} + |v|^{q_2} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dy \leq C \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\]
Hence this inequality implies the uniform boundedness (3.1) for the convolution part.

3.1 Existence of weak solutions: approach to the mountain pass theorem

We give the following result to show that the energy functional $I_\lambda$ satisfies the geometric conditions of the mountain pass theorem based on the idea of Lemma 3.2 in [30].

**Lemma 3.1** Assume that (V) and (F1)–(F4) hold. Then the geometric conditions in the mountain pass theorem hold, i.e.,

1. $u = 0$ is a strict local minimum for $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u)$,
2. $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u)$ is unbounded from below on $X$.

**Proof** In view of the assumption (F3), $u = 0$ is a strict local minimum for $I_\lambda(u)$. Next we show that the condition (2) holds. By the assumption (F4), we can take $s_0$ such that $F(s_0) \neq 0$ and we have to find
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( I_\alpha \ast F(s_0 1_{B_1}) \right) F(s_0 1_{B_1}) dx = F(s_0)^2 \int_{B_1} \int_{B_1} I_\alpha(x-y) dy dx > 0,
\]
where $B_r$ denotes the open ball centered at the origin with radius $r$ and $1_A$ denotes the standard indicator function of a set $A$.

Due to the density theorem, there will be $v_0 \in X$ with
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( I_\alpha \ast F(v_0) \right) F(v_0) dx > 0.
\]
Now, for $t > 0$, we define a function $v_t : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ by $v_t(x) := v_0(t^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. This function verifies
\[
\mathcal{I}_\lambda(v_t) = \frac{t^{N-p}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_0|^p dx + \frac{t^N}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|v_0|^p dx - \frac{\lambda t^{N+\alpha}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( I_\alpha \ast F(v_0) \right) F(v_0) dx
\]
for sufficiently large $t$. Therefore, we assert that $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(v_t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Hence we conclude that the functional $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ is unbounded from below. This completes the proof. \qed

**Lemma 3.2** Assume that (V), (F1)–(F2), and (F4)–(F5) hold. Then the functional $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ satisfies the $(C)_c$-condition for any $\lambda > 0$.

**Proof** For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(C)_c$-sequence in $X$, that is,
\[
\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_n) \to c \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{I}_\lambda'(u_n)\|_{X^*} (1 + \|u_n\|_X) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]
This says that
\[
c = \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_n) + o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathcal{I}_\lambda'(u_n), u_n \rangle = o(1), \quad (3.2)
\]
where \( o(1) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). It follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 that \( I^*_x \) is of type \((S_+)\). Since \( I^*_x \) is of type \((S_+)\) and \( X \) is reflexive, it suffices to prove that the sequence \( \{u_n\} \) is bounded in \( X \). We argue by contradiction. Suppose that the sequence \( \{u_n\} \) is unbounded in \( X \). Then we may assume that \( \|u_n\|_X > 1 \) and \( \|u_n\|_X \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \). Define a sequence \( \{w_n\} \) by \( w_n = u_n/\|u_n\|_X \). It is clear that \( \{w_n\} \subset X \) and \( \|w_n\|_X = 1 \). Hence, up to a subsequence, still denoted by \( \{w_n\} \), we obtain \( w_n \rightharpoonup w \) in \( X \) as \( n \to \infty \) and note that

\[
w_n(x) \to w(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad \text{and} \quad w_n \to w \quad \text{in } L^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty
\]  

(3.3)

for \( p \leq s < p^* \). According to (3.1), we obtain

\[
c = I_x(u_n) + o(1)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |
abla u_n|^p \, dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_n|^p \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_{a} * F(u_n))F(u_n) \, dx + o(1)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_X^p - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_{a} * F(u_n))F(u_n) \, dx + o(1).
\]

(3.4)

Since \( \|u_n\|_X \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_{a} * F(u_n))F(u_n) \, dx = \frac{1}{p\lambda} \|u_n\|_X^p - \frac{c}{\lambda} + o(1) \to \infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\]

(3.5)

In addition, it follows from Eq. (3.2) that

\[
\frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_X^p = \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_{a} * F(u_n))F(u_n) \, dx + c - o(1)
\]

for sufficiently large \( n \). The assumption (F4) implies that there exists \( t_0 > 1 \) such that \( F(t) > |t|^p \) for all \( |t| > t_0 \). From the assumptions (F1) and (F2), there exists \( \tilde{C} > 0 \) such that \( |F(t)| \leq \tilde{C} \) for all \( t \in [-t_0, t_0] \). Therefore we can choose a real number \( C_0 \) such that \( F(t) \geq C_0 \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), and thus

\[
\frac{F(u_n) - C_0}{p \|u_n\|_X^p} \geq 0
\]

for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Therefore there exists a real number \( C_1 \) such that

\[
\frac{F(u_n) - C_0}{p \|u_n\|_X^p} \geq \frac{(I_{a} * F(u_n))F(u_n) - C_1}{p \|u_n\|_X^p} \geq 0.
\]

Set \( \Omega_1 = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : w(x) \neq 0 \} \). By the convergence (3.3), we know that

\[
|u_n(x)| = |w_n(x)| \|u_n\|_X \to \infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty
\]

for all \( x \in \Omega_1 \). So then it follows from the assumption (F4) and Hölder’s inequality that, for all \( x \in \Omega_1 \), we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(I_{a} * F(u_n))F(u_n)}{p \|u_n\|_X^p} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(I_{a} * F(u_n))F(u_n)}{p |w_n(x)|^p} = \infty.
\]

(3.6)
Hence we get $\text{meas}(\Omega_1) = 0$. Indeed, if $\text{meas}(\Omega_1) \neq 0$, according to (3.5)–(3.6) and Fatou’s lemma, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda} = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_n} \left( I_a * F(u_n) \right) F(u_n) \, dx
$$

$$
= \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_n} \frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_X^p \, dx
$$

$$
\geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_X^p \, dx - \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{C_1}{p} \|u_n\|_X^p \, dx
$$

$$
\geq \int_{\Omega_1} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_X^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega_1} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{C_1}{p} \|u_n\|_X^p \, dx
$$

$$
= \infty,
$$

from which we deduce a contradiction. Thus $w(x) = 0$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Using (3.2), we get

$$
c + 1 \geq \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{p} \left[ \mathcal{I}_\lambda'(u_n), u_n \right]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^p \, dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_n|^p \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( I_a * F(u_n) \right) F(u_n) \, dx
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^p \, dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_n|^p \, dx + \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( I_a * F(u_n) \right) f(u_n) u_n \, dx
$$

$$
= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( I_a * F(u_n) \right) \tilde{f}(u_n) \, dx \quad \text{for } n \text{ large enough.} \quad (3.7)
$$

Let us define $\Omega_n(a, b) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : a \leq |u_n(x)| < b \}$ for $a \geq 0$. The convergence (3.3) means that

$$
w_n \to 0 \quad \text{in } L^r \left( \mathbb{R}^N \right) \quad \text{and} \quad w_n(x) \to 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ as } n \to \infty \quad (3.8)
$$

for $p \leq r < p^*$. Hence by the relation (3.4) we get

$$
0 < \frac{2}{\lambda p} \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_n} \frac{|I_a * F(u_n)|}{\|u_n\|_X^p} \|F(u_n)\| \, dx. \quad (3.9)
$$

On the other hand, from the assumption (F2) and Eq. (3.3), it follows that

$$
\int_{\Omega_n(0, r)} \frac{|I_a * F(u_n)|}{\|u_n\|_X^p} \|F(u_n)\| \, dx
$$

$$
\leq \mathcal{M} \int_{\Omega_n(0, r)} \|F(u_n)\| \, dx
$$
Combining the estimates (3.10) with (3.11), we have
\[
\int_{\Omega_n(0,\infty)} |u_n(x)|^q_1 \frac{\|u_n(x)\|^p_\infty}{q_1 \|u_n\|^p_X} \, dx
\leq M \int_{\Omega_n(0,\infty)} \left( |u_n(x)|^{q_1-p} \frac{w_n(x)}{q_1} + |u_n(x)|^{q_2-p} \frac{w_n(x)}{q_2} \right) \, dx
\leq M \int_{\Omega_n(0,\infty)} \left( |u_n(x)|^{q_1-p} \frac{w_n(x)}{q_1} + |u_n(x)|^{q_2-p} \frac{w_n(x)}{q_2} \right) \, dx
\leq M \left( r_0^{q_1-p} + r_0^{q_2-p} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_n(x)|^p \, dx \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \tag{3.10}
\]
due to \( p < q_1 \leq q_2 \). Set \( \kappa' = \kappa/(\kappa - 1) \). Since \( \kappa > N/p \), we get \( p < \kappa' < p^* \). Hence, it follows from (F5), (3.7), and (3.8) that
\[
\int_{\Omega_n(0,\infty)} |I_a * F(u_n)| |F(u_n)| \left\| u_n \right\|^p_X \, dx
= \int_{\Omega_n(0,\infty)} \frac{|I_a * F(u_n)| |F(u_n)|}{|u_n(x)|^p} |w_n(x)|^p \, dx
\leq \left\{ \int_{\Omega_n(0,\infty)} \left( \frac{|I_a * F(u_n)| |F(u_n)|}{|u_n(x)|^p} \right)^\kappa \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_n(0,\infty)} |w_n(x)|^{\kappa' p} \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}}
\leq c_0^n \left\{ \int_{\Omega_n(0,\infty)} |I_a * F(u_n)| \mathcal{G}(u_n) \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_n(x)|^{\kappa' p} \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}}
\leq c_0^n \mathcal{M}^{-\frac{\kappa}{\kappa'}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_n(0,\infty)} |I_a * F(u_n)| \mathcal{G}(u_n) \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_n(x)|^{\kappa' p} \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}}
\leq c_0^n \mathcal{M}^{-\frac{\kappa}{\kappa'}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_n(x)|^{\kappa' p} \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{\kappa'}} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{3.11}
\]
Combining the estimates (3.10) with (3.11), we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|I_a * F(u_n)| |F(u_n)|}{\|u_n\|^p_X} \, dx
= \int_{\Omega_n(0,\infty)} \frac{|I_a * F(u_n)| |F(u_n)|}{\|u_n\|^p_X} \, dx + \int_{\Omega_n(0,\infty)} \frac{|I_a * F(u_n)| |F(u_n)|}{\|u_n\|^p_X} \, dx
\to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,
\]
which contradicts (3.9). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

Using Lemma 3.2, we prove the existence of a nontrivial weak solution for our problem under the assumptions.

**Theorem 3.3** Assume that (V) and (F1)–(F5) hold. Then the problem (P) has a nontrivial weak solution for all \( \lambda > 0 \).

**Proof** Note that \( \mathcal{I}_\lambda(0) = 0 \). In view of Lemma 3.1, the geometric conditions in the mountain pass theorem are fulfilled. And also, \( \mathcal{I}_\lambda \) satisfies the \((C)\)-condition for any \( \lambda > 0 \) by Lemma 3.2. Hence, the problem (P) has a nontrivial weak solution for all \( \lambda > 0 \). This completes the proof. \(\square\)
3.2 Existence of a sequence of weak solutions: approach to the fountain theorem

In this subsection, applying the fountain theorem in [31, Theorem 3.6] with the oddity on \( f \), we investigate infinitely many weak solutions for the problem (P). For this purpose, let \( W \) be a reflexive and separable Banach space. Then there are \( \{e_n\} \subseteq W \) and \( \{f_n^*\} \subseteq W^* \) such that

\[
W = \text{span}\{e_n : n = 1, 2, \ldots\}, \quad W^* = \text{span}\{f_n^* : n = 1, 2, \ldots\},
\]

and

\[
\langle f_n^*, e_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}
\]

Let us denote \( W_n = \text{span}\{e_n\}, Y_k = \bigoplus_{n=1}^k W_n, \) and \( Z_k = \bigoplus_{n=k}^\infty W_n \).

**Lemma 3.4** Let \( X \) be a real reflexive Banach space. Suppose that \( I \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R}) \) satisfies the \((C)_{\rho}\)-condition for any \( c > 0 \) and \( I \) is even. If for each sufficiently large \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), there exist \( \rho_k > \delta_k > 0 \) such that the following conditions hold:

1. \( b_k := \inf \{ I(u) : u \in Z_k, \|u\|_X = \delta_k \} \to \infty \) as \( k \to \infty \);
2. \( a_k := \max \{ I(u) : u \in Y_k, \|u\|_X = \rho_k \} \leq 0 \) for \( k \) large enough.

Then the functional \( I \) has an unbounded sequence of critical values, i.e., there exists a sequence \( \{u_n\} \subseteq X \) such that \( I'(u_n) = 0 \) and \( I(u_n) \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \).

**Theorem 3.5** Assume that (V), (F1)–(F2), and (F4)–(F6) hold. Then, for any \( \lambda > 0 \), the problem (P) possesses an unbounded sequence of nontrivial weak solutions \( \{u_n\} \) in \( X \) such that \( I_\lambda(u_n) \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \).

**Proof** It is obvious that \( I_\lambda \) is an even functional and satisfies the \((C)_{\rho}\)-condition. It suffices to show that there exist \( \rho_k > \delta_k > 0 \) such that:

1. \( b_k := \inf \{ I_\lambda(u) : u \in Z_k, \|u\|_X = \delta_k \} \to \infty \) as \( k \to \infty \);
2. \( a_k := \max \{ I_\lambda(u) : u \in Y_k, \|u\|_X = \rho_k \} \leq 0 \) for \( k \) large enough.

Denote

\[
\alpha_k := \sup_{u \in Z_k, \|u\|_X = 1} \left( \|u\|_{L^q_1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u\|_{L^q_2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \right).
\]

Then we have \( \alpha_k \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \). In fact, assume to the contrary that there exist \( \varepsilon_0 > 0 \), \( k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \), and a sequence \( \{u_k\} \) in \( Z_k \) such that

\[
\|u_k\|_X = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_k\|_{L^q_1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u_k\|_{L^q_2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \varepsilon_0
\]

for all \( k \geq k_0 \). By the boundedness of the sequence \( \{u_k\} \) in \( X \), we can find an element \( u \in X \) such that \( u_k \to u \) in \( X \) as \( k \to \infty \) and

\[
\langle f_k^*, u \rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle f_k^*, u_k \rangle = 0
\]
for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots \). Thus we deduce \( u = 0 \). However, we see that

\[
\varepsilon_0 \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \| \mathbf{u}_k \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \| \mathbf{u}_k \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \right) = \| \mathbf{u} \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \| \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0,
\]

which is a contradiction.

For any \( u \in Z_k \), we may suppose that \( \| u \|_X > 1 \). According to the assumption (F2), we obtain

\[
\mathcal{I}_\lambda (u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^p \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (Lu * F(u))F(u) \, dx
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{p} \| u \|_X^p - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |L u * F(u)||F(u)| \, dx
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{p} \| u \|_X^p - \frac{\lambda \sigma}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{|u|^{q_1}}{q_1} + \frac{|u|^{q_2}}{q_2} \right) \, dx
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{p} \| u \|_X^p - \frac{\lambda \sigma}{2q_1} \left( \| u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \| u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \right)^{q_1}
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{p} \| u \|_X^p - \frac{\lambda \sigma}{2q_1} \| u \|_X^{q_1},
\]

where \( q_i \) is either \( q_1 \) or \( q_2 \). If we take

\[
\delta_k = \left( \frac{\lambda \sigma q_i \alpha_k}{2q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q_i}},
\]

then \( \delta_k \to \infty \) as \( k \to \infty \) because \( p < q_i \) and \( \alpha_k \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \). Hence, if \( u \in Z_k \) and \( \| u \|_X = \delta_k \), then we conclude that

\[
\mathcal{I}_\lambda (u) \geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q_i} \right) \delta_k^p \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.
\]

This implies that the condition (1) holds.

The proof of the condition (2) proceeds analogously as in the proof of Theorem 1.3 of [25]. For the reader’s convenience, we give the proof. Assume that the condition (2) is not true. Then, for some \( k \) there exists a sequence \( \{ u_n \} \) in \( Y_k \) such that

\[
\| u_n \|_X \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}_\lambda (u_n) \geq 0.
\]

Set \( w_n = u_n / \| u_n \|_X \). Note that \( \| w_n \|_X = 1 \). Since \( \dim Y_k < \infty \), there exists \( w \in Y_k \setminus \{ 0 \} \) such that up to a subsequence,

\[
\| w_n - w \|_X \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_n(x) \to w(x)
\]

for almost all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \) as \( n \to \infty \). If \( w(x) \neq 0 \), then \( |u_n(x)| \to \infty \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \) as \( n \to \infty \). Hence we obtain by the assumption (F4) that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{F(u_n)}{\| u_n \|_X^p} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{F(u_n)}{|u_n|^p} \| w_n(x) \|^p = \infty
\]
for all \( x \in \Omega_2 := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : w(x) \neq 0 \} \). A similar argument to (3.6) proves that

\[
\int_{\Omega_2} \frac{(I_u * F(u_n))F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_X^p} \, dx \to \infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\]

Therefore, we conclude that

\[
I_\lambda(u_n) = \frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_X^p - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_u * F(u_n))F(u_n) \, dx
\]

\[
= \|u_n\|_X^p \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{(I_u * F(u_n))F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_X^p} \, dx \right) \to -\infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,
\]

which contradicts (3.12). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

### 3.3 Existence of a sequence of weak solutions converging to zero

Now, we deal with the existence of a sequence of weak solutions converging to zero for the problem (P). First of all, we need the following additional assumptions for \( f \):

- \( (F7) \quad pF(t) - f(t)t > 0 \) for \( t \neq 0 \).
- \( (F8) \quad \lim_{|t| \to 0} \frac{f(t)}{|t|^{p-2}} = +\infty \).

From the assumptions above, we show the existence of a sequence of solutions for the problem (P) converging to zero in the \( L^\infty \)-norm based on the iteration method in [32, Theorem 4.1]. Since the problem (P) contains the potential term \( V \), more sophisticated analysis has to be carefully carried out in comparison to the result in [32] (compare to [33] for the bounded domain).

**Proposition 3.6** Assume that (V) and (F1)–(F2) hold. If \( u \) is a weak solution of the problem (P), then \( u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

**Proof** The proof is given in the Appendix. \( \square \)

The following lemma is quoted from [34].

**Lemma 3.7** Let \( I \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R}) \) where \( X \) is a Banach space. Assume \( I \) satisfies the \((PS)\)-condition, is even and bounded from below, and \( I(0) = 0 \). If for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), there exist an \( n \)-dimensional subspace \( X_n \) and \( \rho_n > 0 \) such that

\[
\sup_{X_n \cap S_{\rho_n}} I < 0,
\]

where \( S_{\rho} := \{ u \in X : \|u\|_X = \rho \} \), then \( I \) has a sequence of critical values \( c_n < 0 \) satisfying \( c_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

The following lemmas are quoted from [28, 35].

**Lemma 3.8** Let \( I \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R}) \) where \( X \) is a Banach space. Assume that (F1)–(F2) and (F7) hold. Then

\[
I(u) = 0 = [I'(u), u] \quad \text{if and only if } \quad u = 0.
\]
Lemma 3.9 Assume that (F1)–(F2) and (F7)–(F8) hold. Then there exist $t_0 > 0$ and $\tilde{f} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\tilde{f}(t)$ is odd in $t$ and satisfy

$$\tilde{F}(t) := p\tilde{F}(t) - \tilde{f}(t)t \geq 0,$$

$$\tilde{F}(t) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad t \equiv 0 \quad \text{or} \quad |t| \geq 2t_0,$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{F}(t) = \tilde{f}(t)$.

**Proof** Let us define a cut-off function $\kappa \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\kappa(t) = 1$ for $|t| \leq t_0$, $\kappa(t) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 2t_0$, $|\kappa'(t)| \leq 2/t_0$, and $\kappa'(t)t \leq 0$. So, we define

$$\tilde{F}(t) = \kappa(t)F(t) + (1 - \kappa(t))\xi |t|^p \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{F}(t),$$

(3.13)

where $\xi > 0$ is a constant. It is straightforward that

$$p\tilde{F}(t) - \tilde{f}(t)t = \kappa(t)F(t) - \kappa'(t)tF(t) + \kappa'(t)t\xi |t|^p,$$

where $F(t) := pF(t) - f(t)t$. For $|t| \leq t_0$ and $|t| \geq 2t_0$ the conclusion follows. Due to (F8), we choose a sufficiently small $t_0 > 0$ such that $F(t) \geq \xi t^p$ for $t_0 \leq |t| \leq 2t_0$. By the assumption (F7) with the definition of $\kappa$, we get the conclusion. \qed

Now, for convenience of the reader, we prove the following result using Proposition 3.6 and Lemmas 3.7 and 3.9 (see e.g. [35, pp. 18–21]).

**Theorem 3.10** Assume that (V), (F1)–(F2), and (F4)–(F8) hold. Then there exists a positive constant $\lambda^*$ such that, for every $\lambda \in [0, \lambda^*)$, the problem (P) has a sequence of weak solutions $u_n$ such that $\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

**Proof** First of all, we claim that $I_\lambda$ is coercive on $X$. Let $u \in X$ and $\|u\|_X > 1$. For the given function $f$, we can modify and extend $\tilde{f} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying all properties listed in Lemma 3.9 with $\xi$ such that $\lambda p C \xi \leq 1$. And also by Lemma 3.9, it is easy to show that $I_\lambda \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and is even on $X$. Moreover, it follows from (F2) that, for $|u(x)| \leq 2t_0$ for a sufficiently small $t_0$, there exists a positive constant $M_1$ such that $|F(u)| \leq M_1 |u|^p$.

Set $\Omega_3 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| \leq t_0\}$, $\Omega_4 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : t_0 \leq |u(x)| \leq 2t_0\}$, and $\Omega_5 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : 2t_0 \leq |u(x)|\}$, where $t_0$ is given in Lemma 3.9. From (F8), (3.13), and the conditions of $\kappa$, we have

$$\tilde{I}_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^p \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_a \ast \tilde{f}(u))\tilde{F}(u) \, dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^p \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega_3} (I_a \ast \tilde{F}(u))\tilde{F}(u) \, dx$$

$$- \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega_4} (I_a \ast \tilde{F}(u)) \left[\kappa(u)F(u) + (1 - \kappa(u))\xi |u|^p\right] \, dx$$

$$- \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega_5} (I_a \ast \tilde{F}(u))\xi |u|^p \, dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_X^p - \frac{\lambda M}{2} \int_{\Omega_3} |F(u)| \, dx - \frac{\lambda M}{2} \int_{\Omega_4} |F(u)| \, dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_X^p - \frac{\lambda M}{2} \int_{\Omega_3} |F(u)| \, dx - \frac{\lambda M}{2} \int_{\Omega_4} |F(u)| \, dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_X^p - \frac{\lambda M}{2} \int_{\Omega_3} |F(u)| \, dx - \frac{\lambda M}{2} \int_{\Omega_4} |F(u)| \, dx$$
\[-\frac{\lambda M}{2} \int_{\Omega_4} |x|^p \, dx - \frac{\lambda M}{2} \int_{\Omega_5} |x|^p \, dx \]
\[\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{X}^{p} - \frac{\lambda M}{2} \int_{\Omega_3} |u|^p \, dx - \frac{\lambda M}{2} \int_{\Omega_4} |u|^p \, dx \]
\[\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{X}^{p} - \frac{\lambda M (M_1 + \xi)}{2V_0} \|u\|_{X}^{p}.

If we set
\[\lambda^* := \frac{2V_0}{pM(M_1 + \xi)},\]
then for every \(\lambda \in [0, \lambda^*)\) we have \(\tilde{I}_\lambda\) is coercive, that is, \(\tilde{I}_\lambda(u) \to \infty\) as \(\|u\|_{X} \to \infty\). By a standard argument, \(\tilde{I}_\lambda\) satisfies the (PS)_c-condition. In order to apply Lemma 3.7, we only need to find for any \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), a subspace \(X_n\) and \(\rho_n > 0\) such that \(\sup_{X_n \cap S_{\rho_n}} \tilde{I}_\lambda < 0\). For any \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) we find \(n\) independent smooth functions \(\phi_i\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, n\), and define \(X_n := \text{span}\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}\). Due to Lemma 3.9, when \(\|u\|_{X} < 1\) we have
\[
\tilde{I}_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u|^p \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_a * \tilde{F}(u)) \tilde{F}(u) \, dx 
\leq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{X}^{p} - \frac{\lambda C}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_a * F(u)) F(u) \, dx.
\]
(3.14)

It follows from the assumption (F8) that, for a sufficiently large \(M_2 > 0\), there exists \(\delta_0 > 0\) such that \(|t| < \delta_0\) implies \(F(t) \geq \frac{M_2}{p} |t|^p\) and
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_a * F(t)) F(t) \, dx \geq \frac{M_2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_a * F(t)) |t|^p \, dx.
\]

Combining this and the fact that all norms on \(X_n\) are equivalent, choosing a suitable constant \(C\) and sufficiently small \(\rho_n > 0\), we can obtain by (3.14) that
\[
\sup_{X_n \cap S_{\rho_n}} \tilde{I}_\lambda < 0.
\]

By Lemma 3.7, we get a sequence \(c_n < 0\) for \(\tilde{I}_\lambda\) satisfying \(c_n \to 0\) when \(n \to \infty\). Then, for any \(u_n \in X\) satisfying \(\tilde{I}_\lambda(u_n) = c_n\) and \(\tilde{I}_\lambda(u_n) = 0\), the sequence \(\{u_n\}\) is a (PS)_{\lambda^*}-sequence of \(\tilde{I}_\lambda(u)\) and \(\{u_n\}\) has a convergent subsequence. Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 imply that \(0\) is the only critical point with zero energy and the subsequence of \(\{u_n\}\) has to converge to \(0\). An indirect argument shows the sequence \(\{u_n\}\) has to converge to \(0\). On the other hand, we have \(u_n \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})\) due to Proposition 3.6. Since \(\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0\), by Lemma 3.9 again, we deduce \(\|u_n\|_{C(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq t_0\). Thus \(\{u_n\}\) are weak solutions of the problem (P). The proof is complete.

\section{Conclusion}

In this paper, we obtain the existence of nontrivial weak solutions for a quasilinear Choquard equation on the whole space \(\mathbb{R}^N\) without (AR)-condition based on the uniform boundedness of the convolution part in the Choquard term driven by the Riesz potential.
Moreover, the existence of infinitely many weak solutions is obtained via the fountain theorem. Lastly, we prove that our problem has a sequence of solutions converging to zero in the $L^\infty$-norm based on the iteration method.

Our arguments also allow one to prove Theorems 3.3 and 3.5 for the $p(x)$-Laplacian equation

$$-\Delta_{p(x)} u + V(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u = (I_\alpha * F(u)) f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $p : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous with $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < N$,

$$p_+ = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} p(x) \quad \text{and} \quad p_- = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} p(x),$$

the potential $V$ satisfying the assumption (V). Define the linear subspace

$$X = \left\{ u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u|^{p(x)}) \, dx < +\infty \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_X = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\lambda} + V(x) \left| \frac{u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} \right) \, dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

which is equivalent to the following norm:

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Under this circumstance, we introduce the functional $\mathcal{J}_\lambda : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) = \Phi(u) - \lambda \Psi(u).$$

Then it follows that the functional $\mathcal{J}_\lambda \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and its Fréchet derivative is

$$\langle \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} uv \, dx$$

$$- \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u)) f(u)v \, dx$$

for any $u, v \in X$. In order to show the boundedness of the Cerami sequence, we use the boundedness of the convolution part (3.1). For some properties of the variable exponent Sobolev space, we refer to [25]. And hence we omit the details proof.

Appendix

In this section, we give proofs of Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 3.6 for the reader’s convenience. In fact, these are well-known results in this area.

A.1 Proof of Lemma 2.4

Proof Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in $X$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $X$ and we know the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact for $p < s < p^*$. So we see that

$$u_n \to u \quad \text{in } L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad u_n \to u \quad \text{in } L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
Then we may suppose that $u_n \to u$ in $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. By the convergence principle, there exist a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}$ such that $u_{n_k}(x) \to u(x)$ as $k \to \infty$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a function $u_0 \in L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $|u_{n_k}(x)| \leq u_0(x)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

First we prove that $\Psi$ is weakly strongly continuous on $X$. Since $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, we see that $F(u_{n_k}) \to F(u)$ as $k \to \infty$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and so $(I_a * F(u_{n_k})) F(u_{n_k}) \to (I_a * F(u)) F(u)$ as $k \to \infty$. From (F2), it follows that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I_a * F(u_{n_k})) F(u_{n_k})| \, dx \leq M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\sigma}{q_1} |u_{n_k}(x)|^{q_1} + \frac{\sigma}{q_2} |u_{n_k}(x)|^{q_2} \, dx
$$

$$
\leq M \sigma (\|u_0\|_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{q_1} + \|u_0\|_{L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{q_2}).
$$

Therefore, the Lebesgue convergence theorem tells us that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_a * F(u_{n_k})) F(u_{n_k}) \, dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_a * F(u)) F(u) \, dx
$$

as $k \to \infty$, which implies $\Psi(u_{n_k}) \to \Psi(u)$ as $k \to \infty$. Thus $\Psi$ is weakly strongly continuous on $X$.

Next, we show that $\Psi'$ is weakly strongly continuous on $X$. Since $u_{n_k}(x) \to u(x)$ as $k \to \infty$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $f(u_{n_k}) \to f(u)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as $k \to \infty$. Hence,

$$
(I_a * F(u_{n_k})) f(u_{n_k}) \to (I_a * F(u)) f(u) \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.
$$

By (F2) and Hölder’s inequality, we obtain for any $\varphi \in X$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I_a * F(u_{n_k})) f(u_{n_k}) \varphi(x)| \, dx
$$

$$
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I_a * F(u_{n_k}))| \sigma (|u_{n_k}|^{q_1-1} + |u_{n_k}|^{q_2-1}) |\varphi(x)| \, dx
$$

$$
\leq M \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_{n_k}|^{q_1-1} + |u_{n_k}|^{q_2-1}) |\varphi(x)| \, dx
$$

$$
\leq M \sigma \|u_{n_k}\|_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{q_1-1} \|\varphi\|_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + M \sigma \|u_{n_k}\|_{L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{q_2-1} \|\varphi\|_{L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}
$$

$$
\leq M \sigma (\|u_0\|_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{q_1-1} \|\varphi\|_{X} + \|u_0\|_{L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{q_2-1} \|\varphi\|_{X}).
$$

Combining this with the Lebesgue convergence theorem, we have

$$
\|\Psi'(u_{n_k}) - \Psi'(u)\|_{X^*} = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{X} \leq 1} \|\Psi'(u_{n_k}) - \Psi'(u), \varphi\|
$$

$$
= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{X} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(I_a * F(u_{n_k})) f(u_{n_k}) \varphi(x) - (I_a * F(u)) f(u) \varphi(x)| \, dx
$$

$$
\to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.
$$

Therefore, we derive that $\Psi'(u_{n_k}) \to \Psi'(u)$ in $X^*$ as $k \to \infty$. This completes the proof. \qed
A.2 Proof of Proposition 3.6

Following [36], we give the proof of Proposition 3.6.

Proof Suppose that $u$ is nonnegative. For a positive constant $M$, define

$$v_M(x) = \min\{u(x), M\}$$

and choose $v = v_M^{k+1}$ ($k \geq 0$) as a test function in (2.1). Then obviously $v \in X \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and it follows from (2.1) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v_M^{k+1} \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^{p-2}u v_M^{k+1} \, dx$$

$$\geq (k+1)P \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_M|^{p} \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) v_M^{(k+1)p} \, dx$$

$$\geq \frac{k+1}{(k+1)^P} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_M^{k+1}|^{p} \, dx + \frac{1}{(k+1)^P} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) v_M^{(k+1)p} \, dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{C_1(k+1)^P} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_M|^{(k+1)p} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}}$$

(A.2)

for some constant $C_1 > 0$. By using the assumption (F2) and the Hölder inequality, the right-hand side of (A.1) can be formally estimated from above and we obtain

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\sigma * F(u)) |u|^{kp+1} \, dx$$

$$\leq M \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(u)||u|^{kp+1} \, dx$$

$$\leq M \lambda \sigma \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{(k+1)p} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{(k+1)q} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q'}} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{(k+1)s} \, dx \right)^{\frac{s}{s'}} \right)$$

$$\leq M \lambda \sigma \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{(k+1)p} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{(k+1)q} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q'}} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{(k+1)s} \, dx \right)^{\frac{s}{s'}} \right)$$

(A.3)

where $s_i = \frac{pp'}{p'-q_i-p}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Obviously $p < s_i \leq p^*$ and $s_i = p^*$ for $i = 1, 2$, and hence (A.3) yields

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\sigma * F(u)) |u|^{kp+1} \, dx \leq C_2 \lambda \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{q} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q'}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{s} \, dx \right)^{\frac{s}{s'}}$$

(A.4)

for some constant $C_2$, where $(q,s)$ is either $(q_1,s_1)$ or $(q_2,s_2)$. Now it follows from (A.1), (A.2), (A.4), and the Sobolev inequality that there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ (independent
of $M$ and $k > 0$) such that
\[
\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_M|^{(k+1)p^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \leq C_5 (k+1)^p \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{(k+1)s} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}},
\]
which implies
\[
\|v_M\|_{L^{(k+1)p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_3 (k+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{L^{(k+1)s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{A.5}
\]
Equation (A.5) is a starting point for a bootstrap argument which plays an important role in $L^\infty$-estimates. Since $u \in X$ and hence $u \in L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we can choose $k := k_1$ in (A.5) such that $(k_1+1)s = p^*$, i.e. $k_1 = \frac{p^*}{s} - 1$. Then we have
\[
\|v_M\|_{L^{(k_1+1)p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_3 \frac{1}{k_1+1} (k_1+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{L^{(k_1+1)s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{A.6}
\]
Due to $u(x) = \lim_{M\to\infty} v_M(x)$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the Fatou lemma and (A.6) imply
\[
\|u\|_{L^{(k_1+1)p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_3 \frac{1}{k_1+1} \|u\|_{L^{(k_1+1)s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{A.7}
\]
Thus, we can choose $k = k_2$ in (A.5) such that $(k_2+1)s = (k_1+1)p^*$ and repeating the same argument we get
\[
\|u\|_{L^{(k_2+1)p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_3 \frac{1}{k_2+1} \|u\|_{L^{(k_2+1)s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{A.8}
\]
By induction we obtain
\[
\|u\|_{L^{(k_n+1)p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_3 \frac{1}{k_n+1} \|u\|_{L^{(k_n+1)s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \tag{A.9}
\]
for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $k_n+1 = \left(\frac{p^*}{s}\right)^n$. It follows from (A.7) and (A.8) that
\[
\|u\|_{L^{(k_n+1)p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_3 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_j+1} \left( k_1 + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( k_2 + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdots \left( k_n + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{L^{(k_1+1)s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{A.9}
\]
Since $(k_n+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} > 1$ and $\lim_{k_n \to \infty}(k_n+1)^{\frac{1}{k_n+1}} = 1$, there exists $C_4 > 1$ (independent of $k_n$) such that
\[
\|u\|_{L^{(k_n+1)p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_4 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_j+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{L^{(k_n+1)s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{A.9}
\]
However, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_j+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{p^*}{s} \right)^j$ and $\frac{p^*}{s} < 1$. Hence it follows from (A.9) that there exists a constant $C_5 > 0$ such that
\[
\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_6 \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \tag{A.10}
\]
for $r_n = (k_n + 1)p^* \to \infty$ when $n \to \infty$. Let us assume that $\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} > C_5 \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. Then there exist $\eta > 0$ and a set $A$ of positive measure in $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that $u(x) \geq C_5 \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \eta$.
for $x \in A$. It follows that
\[
\liminf_{r_n \to \infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{\frac{r_n}{r_n'}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r_n'}} \geq \liminf_{r_n \to \infty} \left( \int_{A} |u(x)|^{\frac{r_n}{r_n'}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r_n'}} \\
\geq \liminf_{r_n \to \infty} \left( C_5 \|u\|_{L^{r_n'}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \eta \right) \left( \text{meas}(A) \right)^{\frac{1}{r_n'}} = C_5 \|u\|_{L^{r_n'}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \eta,
\]
which contradicts (A.10). Therefore
\[
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_5 \|u\|_{L^{r_n'}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_6
\]
for some constant $C_6 > 0$.

If $u$ changes sign, we set
\[
u^+(x) = \max\{u(x), 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu^-(x) = \min\{u(x), 0\}.
\]

Then it is clear that $\nu^+ \in X$ and $\nu^- \in X$. Proceeding by a similar argument to above, we obtain $\nu^+ \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Likewise, we get $\nu^- \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore $u = \nu^+ + \nu^-$ is in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This completes the proof.
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