ABSTRACT

A few decades ago, the government of Saudi Arabia introduced electronic medical records (EMRs) in some health care facilities. However, the progress in adopting these systems on a national level was slow. In 2008, the Saudi Ministry of Health started an initiative to expand and optimize the use of EMRs in governmental health care institutions. However, some obstacles facing this ambitious plan remain, including negative attitudes of some health care professionals toward EMR systems. Other barriers include poor computer literacy, lack of system customization to hospital needs, and poor support and training from information technology (IT) personnel. Identifying and addressing these barriers is essential for the optimal application of EMR systems in all health care facilities. In this review, the author focused on the benefits of widespread adoption of EMRs in Saudi Arabia, the perceptions of health care professionals, and the challenges and barriers toward improved implementation of this technology.
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Nowadays, the implementation of information technology in the health care system is a must. Hence, medical systems have undergone several changes, including the transition of paper-based medical records to electronic medical records (EMRs). The EMRs are legal records that consist of data concerning patients, such as information about medical history, management plans, and patient reviews. Further, this EMR data is important for epidemiological studies, clinical trials, drug safety surveillance, and disease registries.

The implementation of EMRs leads to several benefits for patients (elevated quality of health care systems/reduced errors, improved diagnosis, and treatments, faster healthcare decisions) and healthcare workers (increased information exchange among health care workers, decreases in expense and time, and enhancement of the safety culture among primary care providers). Despite general awareness of the importance of electronic health records and related EMRs in the health care industry and the highest increase in usage of electronic health records by health care workers, use of EMRs is still slow in Saudi Arabia, mostly in small and rural hospitals.
In the present review, the author is focused on the benefits of wide-spread adoption of electronic medical records in Saudi Arabia, the perceptions of Saudi health care professionals, and the challenges and barriers toward improved implementation of this technology (Figure 1). The included survey studies on the healthcare professional attitudes towards the EMR have been summarized in Table 1.

The author performed a computerized search of Medline (via PubMed) and Scopus databases up to September 2019, using the following keywords: Electronic medical records OR EMR OR Electronic health records or HER OR computer-based patient record AND Saudi Arabia OR “Kingdom of Saudi Arabia” OR “Mecca” OR “Riyadh” OR “Jeddah”. We included all published original studies that assessed the application and utility of EMRs in Saudi Arabia’s health system. From each study report, the author extracted data on the study subjects (being surveyed), used questionnaire, sample size, study setting, and findings. The findings of the retrieved studies to synthesize recommendations as to how to improve EMR utilization in Saudi Arabia was used.

**Development and adoption of EMR systems in Saudi Arabia.** In 1949, Saudi Arabia established the Ministry of Health (MOH) as the main source of health care in the country. Since then, approximately 60% of medical care services in Saudi Arabia have been provided by the MOH; the rest is provided by other governmental organizations and private medical services. Originally, all health records were paper-based, but a few decades ago, some hospitals began to adopt EMR. However, the system lacked widespread application and an integrated national network to link different hospitals (due to strict policies about data sharing between hospitals, lack of highly-trained personnel on the integration of different EMR systems, and lack of cooperation between the public and private health sectors).

In 2008, the government of Saudi Arabia declared the development of eHealth a priority, including moving from paper-based records to EMRs. The mission was to create a safe, quality health care system that prioritizes patient-centric care, enabled by recent health technologies. Therefore, starting that year (2008), the MOH devoted over 4 billion Saudi riyals (US$1.07 billion) to eHealth programs. This budget was allocated to overcome the technical, economic, regulatory, and behavioral barriers against the optimal
Table 1 - Summary of the survey studies on healthcare professionals attitudes towards Electronic Medical Records (EMR).

| Authors/year published | Subjects | Year | Questionnaire | Sample size | Study setting | Findings |
|------------------------|----------|------|---------------|-------------|---------------|----------|
| Shaker et al11, 2015    | Physicians | July to August 2009 | Structured questionnaire of 15 closed-ended questions | 317 | Six major hospitals in Makkah region | Majority of physicians shows positive response towards application of EMR systems in healthcare practice; however, they reported questions about its usage easiness and whether it may disrupt the work flow |
| El-Mahalli14, 2015      | Physicians | February 2012 | Self-administered paper-based questionnaire | 319 | Three governmental hospitals | Physicians face a lot of barriers to implement the EMR system like lack of access to records upon computer crashes or power fails, time consumed during data entry, lack of IT technical support, complex technology, and disturbing patient-doctor communication |
| Aldosari et al28, 2018  | Nurses | 30 April 2013 till 8 May 2013 | Self-administered questionnaire | 153 | Imam Abdulrahman Al Faisal Hospital, National Guards Health Affairs, Dammam, Saudi Arabia | The results showed a strong positive correlation between perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use and willing to use EMR to improve the quality of patient care |
| Almutairi & McCrindle27, 2018 | Nurses | NA | Online questionnaire with closed and open questions | 1428 | MOH’s hospitals in Riyadh and Jeddah’s City | There was a significant relation between age, gender, nationality and qualification with the knowledge or attitude towards EMR. Most respondents asked for better qualification in EMR and health information systems. Other barriers were linked to technical, financial and workload issues. |
| Alharthi et al30, 2014  | Physicians | 30 March and 25 May 2010 | Self-administered survey | 115 | A major Government hospital in the Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia | The study found that most of physicians (60%) are not satisfied with EMR system |
| Hasanin et al33, 2015   | Healthcare Professionals (physicians, nurses, pharmacists, receptionists, laboratory staff, and administration staff) | November 2011 and January 2012 | Researcher developed quantitative questionnaire (online and paper based) | 333 | Seven Saudi public hospitals in Jeddah, Makkah and Taif cities | The study showed some barriers that face health care professionals in the EMR system application such as poor computer and English skills |
| Mohamed & El-Naif32, 2005 | Physicians, nurses and patients | NA | Self- administered, pilot-tested, internally consistent questionnaire | 334 | The Military Hospital in Riyadh | They found physicians generally not in favor of adoption of EMR system, in addition, the medical records are not expected to be computerized in the near future |

MOH: Ministry of Health, NA: not applicable,
implementation of EMRs in the health care system. Alongside these efforts, the Saudi Association for Health Informatics was established to coordinate the efforts of this plan.11

Despite these efforts, studies continue to show that the implementation of EMRs in Saudi health care institutions remains low. A study by Shaker et al10 has found that among the 36 hospitals in Mecca, only 9 (25%) achieved comprehensive utilization of all EMR core modules (laboratory, radiology, and pharmacy). This was higher than the percentage recorded in the Eastern province of Saudi Arabia (15.8%) in 2011.11 In 2018, another study surveyed 15 hospitals in the Eastern province of Saudi Arabia and showed that 7 (46.6%) had a running EMR system. It also found a wide variation in EMR systems (in terms of operating systems, system features, and security measures), compared to the aforementioned study of Mecca hospitals.12

Some authors attributed this slow adoption to some barriers, including lack of experience about using EMR systems, poor computer and English literacy, and staff resistance.13 These barriers are discussed in further detail later in this review.

On the bright side, several major health care organizations in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia achieved major progress in EMR implementation, such as King Faisal Specialist Hospital, National Guard Health Affairs hospitals (which received the Middle East Excellence Award in electronic health records), and Armed Forces hospitals. Of note, these health facilities are not affiliated with the Saudi public hospital system.14

Advantages of EMR adoption in Saudi hospitals.

The inclusion of EMRs can speed the process of health care delivery at different points of care. For example, they may speed ordering lab tests, radiological investigations, and pharmacy requests. Moreover, they can help with chart review; through EMRs, the nurse/physician can obtain, review, and store lab/radiology results, scan documents, and review progress notes and correspondence.15 They can also create a history for the patient that enables instant identification of his or her comorbidities and past and current medications.16

Besides, EMRs can improve the clinical performance of practicing health care professionals by helping with clinical decision making using computerized clinical decision support (CCDS). Some of the benefits in this regard include better exposure to updated clinical guidelines, sending alerts upon receiving abnormal test results, and sending drug allergy and interaction alerts. Another useful purpose of implementation is documentation.17

An EMR may contain a patient-related medical problem list, a common medication list, patient-specific allergies, and patient discharge instructions. The ease of communication is another major advantage when health care professionals can communicate with patients through email, fax, and phone.18

However, published research has shown several shortcomings of EMRs in this regard. For example, an analysis by Holmgren et al19 in the USA reported that EMRs failed to prevent more than one-third of potentially serious medication errors. In a cluster randomized controlled trial in diabetic patients, CCDS did not improve the quality of primary care in comparison to usual care.20 Similarly, another study by Kostopoulou et al21 showed similar satisfaction rates between patients whose healthcare providers used CCDS-integrated EMRs and usual EMRs. In the same vein, a systematic review of studies that have assessed the value of CCDS in asthma management concluded that the current CCDS system generations do not provide significant outcome benefits for asthmatic patients.22

Based on all these findings, it has been noted that there is a wide gap between health information technology development and the improvements in the quality of delivered healthcare and its outcomes.23 Some guidelines were suggested to improve the accuracy of CCDS systems, including using control datasets to verify the accuracy and completeness of patient information, data cleanup strategies, and improving the medical process design.24

From a research point of view, turning paper-based data into EMRs confers a huge advantage. Large databases in the USA, such as the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER), National Inpatient Sample (NIS), and Medicare benefited from nationwide EMR systems with a sample size over millions of patients. This is not only important for clinical investigations, but also for evaluating health care policies and informing stakeholders about approaches to improve access to high-quality health care.25

Other advantages related to accessibility and management include management and records of patient referrals, allowing health care professionals, even when out of the hospital, to access patient health records, allowing patients to access parts of their health records and providing data backup and disaster recovery.26

Knowledge and perceptions of Saudi health care professionals toward EMR. Concerning the usage of EMR benefits, a study by El-Mahalli showed marked underuse of all the functions of EMR that were, most notably, in the data backup and disaster management domains. Similarly, such functions as reviewing progress notes, documenting patients' comorbidities, and using clinical guidelines, had low use frequencies.
The study highlighted barriers, including lack of access to records upon computer crashes or power failures, time consumed during data entry, lack of IT technical support, complex technology, and disturbing patient-doctor communication.\textsuperscript{13}

The perceptions of Saudi health care professionals toward EMR systems have been reported variably across different studies. Shaker et al\textsuperscript{10} surveyed 307 health care professionals in 6 major hospitals in the Mecca region in 2009. The authors reported generally positive attitudes toward wider adoption of EMR systems in health care practice; however, the participants reported concerns about its ease of use and whether it might disrupt the workflow. In a different study, by Aldosari et al,\textsuperscript{27} 153 nurses at the National Guards Health Affairs completed questionnaires about EMR. The results showed a strong positive correlation between perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use and willingness to use EMR to improve the quality of patient care. Almutairi et al\textsuperscript{28} showed similar results in a sample of 1428 nurses from major governmental hospitals in Riyadh and Jeddah City.

However, in a different study by Alharthi et al,\textsuperscript{29} only 40% of surveyed physicians in a major governmental hospital were satisfied with the EMR system. The best predictors of overall satisfaction were speed, integration with workflow, and accuracy and completeness of patient data. These points clearly show the value of involving clinicians in the EMR design process. A study by Hasanin et al\textsuperscript{30} examined the perceptions of Saudi health care professionals toward EMR use and revealed that a lack of computer and English literacy were the main barriers against positive attitudes toward EMR implementation. Mohamed and El-Naif\textsuperscript{31} conducted a survey study among a random sample of physicians and nurses from the military hospital in Riyadh. They found that physicians were generally not enthusiastic about changing to EMRs. Although they highlighted some advantages such as confidentiality, they cited computer illiteracy (only 1% had good prior computer experience), difficult file organization, and poor training of medical personnel as possible obstacles.

Combined, these studies showed variable attitudes toward adoption of EMR systems, revealed the predictors of EMR acceptance among health care personnel, and highlighted some barriers that we summarize here.

**Challenges and barriers.** A literature review summarized the barriers to the use of electronic health records (EHRs) by physicians and classified them into 8 main categories. These categories included technical, financial, psychological, social, legal, time, organizational, and change processes.\textsuperscript{32-36} In short, the main highlighted barriers across the eight categories were probable security breaches, loss of access to data upon computer crashes or power failures, the time needed to enter data and check their quality, the complexity of the technology (especially among personnel with poor English language and computer skills), the potential to disturb physician-patient communication, and the lack of system customization for all hospital needs. Other barriers were concerned about the lack of continuous support from IT staff in hospitals and poor training of health care personnel in the use of EMR.

**Recommendations.** Based on the aforementioned perceptions of health care personnel, expected challenges, and suggestions from prior studies, the author suggest the following recommendations. The training in EMR use should start from the beginning of a health care career; namely, new physicians should receive EMR training during their residency orientation, and this training must continue through subsequent stages of career progression.\textsuperscript{37} This can be achieved through regular conferences, workshops, and online continuing medical education (CME) certificates and cooperation between hospital management and EMR providers to ensure initial and follow-up training. In addition, vendors should provide 24-hour availability of technical support in contracting hospitals.\textsuperscript{38}

Another set of recommendations is related to improving the communication between different health care personnel as well as between physicians and their patients. Ensuring the possibility of short message service (SMS) or email communication is a must. This can be achieved by providing quality Internet connection to hospitals and other healthcare delivery facilities, improving patients’ awareness about the value of online communication with their health care provider, and increasing physicians’ computer literacy.\textsuperscript{39} Physicians should also be able to customize their preferred, easy-to-use EMR interface and should be able to select the optimal tools to achieve effective communications with their patients.\textsuperscript{40}

In terms of research and continuous monitoring, hospital management staff should perform periodic assessments of the usage of different EMR functions. Longitudinal studies should monitor health care personnel perceptions about EMR and its ease of use to inform stakeholders about the required needs to ensure full adoption of EMR systems.\textsuperscript{41} These surveys will be of use in a continuous cycle of quality improvement. The retrieved studies were mainly hospital-based; therefore, we could not assess the implementation of EMRs in primary healthcare settings. These settings should be the focus of future studies. In addition, comparative studies
should compare different EMR systems, not only in terms of accuracy and effectiveness but also regarding health care personnel perceptions about their feasibility and ease of use.

In conclusion, over the few past decades, significant efforts have been exerted to adopt EMR systems in health care facilities in Saudi Arabia. Despite some progress, the current review highlighted some negative perceptions and several barriers toward realizing this goal in full, including poor computer literacy, lack of support from IT staff, and lack of customization to the needs of every hospital system. These obstacles should be addressed on time to ensure optimal applications of EMR systems in Saudi hospitals.
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