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emergent property of systems composed by heterogeneous agents. Theorems relevant to the present analysis are summarized and their application in four structural models for long-term memory are shown. The main property of the models is given the functional relation between their parameters and the long memory of the time series under examination. This would allow an immediate calibration of the model avoiding time-expensive numerical calibration procedures, the estimation of their bias, and numerical instabilities. The described approaches can be useful for further expansions and applications in economical and financial models.

1 Introduction

The presence of long-term memory is a remarkable feature of time series, which are eventually generated by stochastic processes, when the autocorrelation function decays hyperbolically as the time lag increases. The property is relevant because of its reaction to shocks: systems in which the dependence on past events is sufficiently strong are going to need more time to recover from either good or bad shocks than systems with a fast decay of the correlation. Long memory models were introduced in the physical sciences since at least 1950, when some researches in applied statistics stated the presence of long memory within hydrologic and climatologic data. The earliest studies on this field are due to [43, 44, 53, 54, 55] among the others. Quantitative studies on financial markets have shown the persistence properties of the financial time series. The long-term memory has been evidenced through the analysis of many different time series: speculative returns [14, 32], foreign exchange rate returns [4, 45, 61] and their power transformation [31], and also in stock price time series [3, 61, 65]. For what concerns the persistence of the prices, this property has been tackled in the context of the agricultural futures by [67], while [24, 51] focus on the evidence of long memory in certain stock prices and analyze also the gold market returns. [36] show no consistent pattern of persistence in S&P 500 index futures prices.

The microeconomic explanation of these data is far from being obvious. We are most interested in agent based models for financial time series, and on the composition of possible actions in the market that lead to persistence in the correlation of prices. Specifically, we aim at reviewing some remarkable theoretical results for assessing the presence of long-term memory. The considered approaches differ from the most part
of literature, where the presence of long-term memory is measured through numerical estimates [10, 11, 49, 52, 62, 68].

Beyond the mere regression on the autocorrelation function, other methods have been developed for the numerical estimate of the long term memory, aiming at shortening the confidence intervals and improving the reliability of results: in this respect, it is worth mentioning the Hurst exponent $H$, the Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (DFA), the spectrum (within some boundaries). Literature reports also studies on the systematic bias in the over- or under-estimate of specific procedures [7, 8, 9].

In assessing the long-term memory property, a key role is played by the presence of heterogeneity in the agent-based model. In this respect, for what concerns the specific contest of finance, the interaction among agents leads to an imitative behavior, that can affect the structure of the asset price dynamics. Several authors focus their research on describing the presence of an imitative behavior in financial markets (see, for instance, [6, 13, 16, 26]).

The traditional viewpoint on the agent-based models in economics and finance relies on the existence of representative rational agents. Two different behaviors of agents follow from the property of rationality: firstly, a rational agent analyzes the choices of the other actors and tends to maximize utility and profit or minimize the risk. Secondly, rationality consists in having rational expectations, i.e. the forecast on the future realizations of the variables are assumed to be identical to the mathematical expectations of the previous values conditioned on the available information set. Thus, rationality assumption implies agents’ knowledge of the market’s dynamics and equilibrium, and ability to solve the related equilibrium equations.

[63] argues that it seems to be unrealistic assuming the complete knowledge about the economic environment, because it is too restrictive. Moreover, if the equilibrium model’s equations are nonlinear or involve a large number of parameters, it can be hard to find a solution.

An heterogeneous agent systems is more realistic, since it allows the description of agents’ heterogeneous behaviors evidenced in the financial markets [50] for a summary of some stylized facts supporting the agents’ heterogeneity assumption). Moreover, heterogeneity implies that the perfect knowledge of agent beliefs is unrealistic, and then bounded rationality takes place [40].

Brock and Hommes propose an important contribution on this field [17, 18]. The authors introduce the learning strategies theory to discuss agents’ heterogeneity in
economic and financial models. More precisely, they assume that different types of agents have different beliefs about future variables’s realizations and the forecast rules are commonly observable by all the agents.

In [18] the authors consider an asset in a financial market populated by two typical investor types: fundamentalists and chartists. An agent is fundamentalist if he/she believes that the price of the aforementioned asset is determined by its fundamental value. In contrast, chartists perform a technical analysis of the market and do not take into account the fundamentals.

More recently, important contributions on this field can be found in [1, 25, 27, 35]. For an excellent survey of heterogeneous agents models see [41].

Aggregation and spreading of opinions give an insight of social interactions. Models that allow for a opinion formation are mostly based on random interaction among agents, and they were refined considering constraints to the social contact, as an example modeled through scale free networks. It has already been shown that the relevant number of social contact in financial markets is very low, being between 3 and 4 [5, 60, 66], opening the way to lattice-based models.

It is also worth citing also the interpretation of heterogeneity as diversity, in the context of complex systems. The analysis of the diversity have become a remarkable aspect of the decision theory for what concerning the selection of multiple elements belonging to different families of candidates [70].

The possibility to provide theoretical results on the long-term memory of time series generated from heterogeneous agent-based models overcomes at once the problem of the reliability of numerical methods, the time-consuming computational time, the need to run the algorithms many times, so to confirm the results and derive the ones related to the mean and variance of the estimated variable, the reliability of random variables generators, and the problem of managing many variables, that often cause numerical instabilities. Therefore, we focus on structural models for long-term memory.

The literature on this specific subject is not wide. Some references are [15, 19, 64, 69]. The keypoint of the quoted references is to assume distributional hypothesis on parameters of models in order to detect the presence of long-term memory in time series.

It is worth citing [29], from which the present report differs: indeed, [29] is targeting to provide a model while we propose here a review on some theoretical probabilistic methods.
Specifically, the theme of the detection of long-term memory is surely of interest for economic/financial models, but yet there is a lack of theoretical estimates, directly from the parameters of the model. This is the rational that has lead us to select theorems and results aiming at theoretically proving the presence (or absence) of long-term memory in models. In turn, this approach leads to conditions on model parameters, that define the zones in which the presence of long memory is ensured, and adds knowledge on the outcome of models, and on the composition of agents. The present report aims at giving a critical review on the used approaches, and shows the application of the main theorems on four different agent based models. The models differ each from the other for the microeconomic approach, and the modeling of the heterogeneity, even if they all refer to [35] in making forecasts on the basis of mixed chartist/fundamentalist strategy. [20] bases on the model of [49], and generalizes it to the study of the long-term memory of exchange rates; in [21] the maximum of expected utility is studied, and the heterogeneity among the agents also includes mutual influence and the case of dependence among their decisions; [22] also includes the analysis of returns, through a result of [33]; [23] proposes a condition of fairness among excess of demand and excess of supply. The presence of spot traders is analyzed alongside the chartists and fundamentalist forecasts. In general, the analysis contained in the models listed above extend some existing results [71, 72, 73] about long-memory property arising due to the aggregation of micro units, by enlarging the class of probability densities of agents’ parameters.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2, 3, 4 and 5 collect the discussion of the theoretical results presented in [20], [21], [22] and [23], respectively. Section 6 concludes. Section 7 is an Appendix which collects the formalization of the mathematical concepts used throughout the paper.

## 2 First setting

The aim of this section is to reproduce the main results contained in [20], which refers to long-memory for exchange rates.

### 2.1 The model

Consider a market populated by $N$ agents.

In order to make a forecast $\Delta P_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t}$ of the exchange rate increment $\Delta P_{i,t+1}$ con-
ditioned to the information available at time $t$, $I_{i,t}$, each agent $i$ relies on a technical analysis forecast $\Delta P_{i,t+1}^c | I_{i,t}$ and on fundamentalist forecast $\Delta P_{i,t+1}^f | I_{i,t}$, conditioned to her/his information at time $t$. Let us indicate by $k_i$ the individual proportion between the two points of view of the agent $i$. Thus

$$(\Delta P_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t}) = k_i(\Delta P_{i,t+1}^f | I_{i,t}) + (1 - k_i)(\Delta P_{i,t+1}^c | I_{i,t}),$$

The exchange rate of the market is given by the average of the exchange rates associated to the agents, i.e.

$$P_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{i,t}. \quad (1)$$

The chartist approach assumes that the investor can get information by observing the time series of the market data. In this model we consider chartist forecast composed by two terms: for the sake of simplicity, a forecast due to the increment of market exchange rates made by using the simplest linear model

$$h_{t-1}(P_t - P_{t-1})$$

where $h_t$ is a deterministic function of time, plus an additive term,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_i(P_{t-1} - P_{i,t-1})$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in D[0,1]$, $\forall i$, that takes into account a self correction of the agent obtained by the observation of the difference between the previous market price and the previous agent forecast. Thus we have that the chartist forecast is given by

$$\Delta P_{i,t+1}^c | I_{i,t} = h_{t-1}(P_t - P_{t-1}) + \tilde{\alpha}_i(P_{t-1} - P_{i,t-1}). \quad (2)$$

So we have a linear relation between the exchange rate predicted at time $t + 1$ and the variation of $P_t$, independent from the agent, and we have an additional stochastic shock associated to the comparison between the market situation at time $t - 1$ and the forecast made by the agent at the same date.

In the fundamentalist analysis the value of the market fundamentals is known, and so the investor has a complete information on the estimate of the exchange rate (he understands if the exchange rate is over or under estimated). We thus have the following relation:

$$\Delta P_{i,t+1}^f | I_{i,t} = \nu(\tilde{P}_{i,t} - P_t), \quad (3)$$
where $\tilde{P}_{i,t}$ is a series of fundamentals observed with a stochastic error from the agent $i$ at time $t$, i.e.

$$\tilde{P}_{i,t} = \bar{P}_{i,t} + \alpha_{i,t}$$

with $\alpha_{i,t} = \beta_{i,t}P_t$ and $\beta_{i,t} \in D[0,1]$. The fundamental variables $\bar{P}_{i,t}$ can be described by the following random walk:

$$\bar{P}_{i,t} = \bar{P}_{i,t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2_{\epsilon})$$

Thus

$$\Delta P_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t} = \nu \bar{P}_{i,t} + \nu(\beta_{i,t} - 1)P_t,$$  \hfill (4)

We suppose furthermore that each agent may invest in foreign risky value with stochastic interest rate $\rho_t \sim N(\rho, \sigma^2_t)$ and in riskless bonds with a constant interest rate $r$. We assume that $\rho > r$, to meet empirical evidence.

Let us define with $d_{i,t}$ the demand of the foreign value associated to agent $i$ at the date $t$. Thus the wealth invested in foreign riskly value is given by $P_{t+1}d_{i,t}$ and, taking into account the stochastic interest rate $\rho_{t+1}$, we have that the wealth grows as $(1 + \rho_{t+1})P_{t+1}d_{i,t}$. The remaining part of the wealth, $(W_{i,t} - P_{i,t}d_{i,t})$ is invested in riskless bonds and thus gives $(W_{i,t} - P_{i,t}d_{i,t})(1 + r)$. The wealth of the agent $i$ at time $t + 1$ is given by $W_{i,t+1}$, and it can be written by

$$W_{i,t+1} = (1 + \rho_{t+1})P_{i,t+1}d_{i,t} + (W_{i,t} - P_{i,t}d_{i,t})(1 + r).$$

The expression of $W_{i,t+1}$ can be rewritten as

$$W_{i,t+1} = (1 + \rho_{t+1})\Delta P_{i,t+1}d_{i,t} + W_{i,t}(1 + r) - (r - \rho_{t+1})P_{i,t}d_{i,t}.$$  \hfill (5)

The utility function associated to the agent $i$, and conditioned to his information at time $t$, is defined by:

$$U(W_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t}) = \mathbb{E}(W_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t}) - \mu \mathbb{V}(W_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t}),$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{V}$ are the usual mean and variance operators and are given by:

$$\mathbb{E}(W_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t}) = (1 + \rho)\Delta P_{i,t+1}d_{i,t} + W_{i,t}(1 + r) - (r - \rho)P_{i,t}d_{i,t}$$

and

$$\mathbb{V}(W_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t}) = \mathbb{V}[(1 + \rho_{t+1})P_{i,t+1}](d_{i,t})^2.$$
Each agent $i$ can change her/his demand $d_{i,t}$ in order to maximize the expected utility, conditioned to her/his information at the date $t$.

For each agent $i$ the first order condition is

$$(1 + \rho)\Delta P_{i,t+1} - (r - \rho)P_{i,t} - 2\mu V[(1 + \rho_{t+1})P_{i,t+1}]d_{i,t} = 0,$$

and thus we obtain

$$d_{i,t} = b_{i,t}P_{i,t} + g_{i,t}\Delta P_{i,t+1},$$

with

$$b_{i,t} = \frac{\rho - r}{2\mu V(P_{i,t+1}(1 + \rho_{t+1}))},$$

$$g_{i,t} = \frac{\rho + 1}{2\mu V(P_{i,t+1}(1 + \rho_{t+1}))}.$$

Let $X_{i,t}$ be the supply of foreign value for the agent $i$. When the market is in equilibrium, the interest rate, that is used by the investor for the transactions, is such that

$$X_{i,t} = b_{i,t}P_{i,t} + g_{i,t}\Delta P_{i,t+1}.$$

thus $-X_{i,t}/b_{i,t} = -P_{i,t} - (g_{i,t}/b_{i,t})\Delta P_{i,t+1}$. Continuing to follow the Kirman and Teyssiere approach we assume that

$$\bar{P}_{i,t} = \frac{X_{i,t}}{b_{i,t}}.$$

Setting $c = -(b_{i,t}/g_{i,t}) = \frac{1 + \rho}{r - \rho}$ we have that

$$\Delta P_{i,t+1} = -c\bar{P}_{i,t} + cP_{i,t}.$$

By these relations we obtain:

$$P_{i,t} = \left(\nu k_i/c - 1\right)\bar{P}_{i,t} + \frac{1}{c}[(\beta_{i,t} - 1)\nu k_i + (1 - k_i)h_{t-1}]P_t +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{c}[(1 - k_i)(\alpha_i - h_{t-1})]P_{t-1} - \frac{1}{c}(1 - k_i)\bar{\alpha}_i P_{i,t-1}$$

### 2.2 The long memory property of the exchange rates

By the definition of $P_t$ given by (1), we have the following result:

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose that the following conditions hold:

1. $\beta_{i,t} = -\frac{h_{t-1}}{\nu k_i} + \frac{k_i - 1}{\nu} + 1$;
2. $\bar{\alpha}_i = (1 - k_i)^\delta$

3. $k_i \sim b(p, p, 1, 1)$,

4. $p \in (-1, 1)$.

Then, for $N \to +\infty$, we have that $P_t$ has long memory with Hurst exponent given by $H = \frac{p+1}{2}$.

Proof. Let $L$ be the difference operator such that $LP_{i,t} = P_{i,t-1}$.

Define $\hat{\beta}_i = - \frac{1}{c}(1 - k_i)\bar{\alpha}_i$, $\hat{\alpha}_t = \frac{1}{c}(1 - k_i)(\bar{\alpha}_i - h_{t-1})$.

By hypothesis 3. and Proposition 7.16 it follows that $(1 - k_i) \sim b(p, p, 1, 1)$. Therefore, by applying Proposition 7.16, it follows that $(1 - k_i)(-\frac{1}{c}) \sim b(p, p, -\frac{1}{c}, 1)$. For particular choices of $\bar{\alpha}_i$ we have that $\hat{\beta}_i$ obeys still to a beta distribution. As an example, this happens if $\bar{\alpha}_i = (1 - k_i)^\delta$. In this case $\hat{\beta} \sim b(p, p, -\frac{1}{c}, \delta)$.

By hypothesis 1., then, (6) becomes:

$$P_{i,t} = \frac{(1/c)\nu k_i + 1}{1 - \hat{\beta}_L} P_{i,t} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_t \hat{\epsilon}_{t-1}}{1 - \hat{\beta}_L} P_{t-1}. \quad (7)$$

By definition of $P_t$ and $\bar{P}_{i,t}$, we can write

$$P_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{N} \frac{(1/c)\nu k_i + 1}{1 - \hat{\beta}_L} \bar{P}_{i,t} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_t \hat{\epsilon}_{t-1}}{1 - \hat{\beta}_L} P_{t-1}. \quad (8)$$

In the limit for $N \to \infty$ and by the definition of $\bar{P}$ we have

$$P_t = \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{(1/c)\nu k_i + 1}{1 - \hat{\beta}_L} \bar{P}_{i,t} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_t \hat{\epsilon}_{t-1}}{1 - \hat{\beta}_L} P_{t-1} \right] =$$

$$P_t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{t-k} \hat{\epsilon}_{t-k} \int_0^1 \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{(1 - \hat{\beta}L)} dF(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$$

Suppose, as a further hypothesis, that there exist a random variable $\alpha^* \sim D(0, 1)$ with mean $\mu$ such that $\hat{\alpha} = (1 - \hat{\beta})\alpha^*$, and $\alpha^*$ is independent from $\hat{\beta}$. Thus

$$P_t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{t-k} \hat{\epsilon}_{t-k} \int_0^1 \alpha^*(1 - \hat{\beta})\hat{\beta}^{k-1} dF(\alpha^*, \hat{\beta}) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{t-k} \hat{\epsilon}_{t-k} \int_0^1 \alpha^* dF(\alpha^*) \int_0^1 (1 - \hat{\beta})\hat{\beta}^{k-1} dF(\hat{\beta}) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k P_{t-k} \hat{\epsilon}_{t-k}. \quad (9)$$
Thus

\[ a_k = c_1 \frac{B(p + k - 1, p - 1)}{B(p, p)} \sim c_2 k^{-1-p} \] (10)

This is a characteristic of a long memory process [37]. Thus we have a long memory model I(d) with \( d = p \) and thus Hurst exponent \( H = p + \frac{1}{2} \) [31, 32, 37, 38, 42, 46, 51].

3 Second setting

In [21], we provide a mathematically tractable financial market model that can give an insight on the market microstructure that captures some characteristics of financial time series.

3.1 Market price dynamics

We consider \( N \) investors trading in the market, and we assume that \( \omega_{i,t} \) is the size of the order placed on the market by agent \( i \) at time \( t \). This choice allows to model individual traders as well as funds managers, that select the trading strategy on behalf of their customers. In the present analysis we consider investors getting information from two different sources: observation of the macroeconomic fundamentals and adjustment of the forecast performed at the previous time. Other markets characteristics, like as the presence of a market maker, are not considered here, and they will be studied elsewhere. Let us define with \( P_{i,t} \) the forecast of the market price performed by the investor \( i \) at time \( t \). Each of them relies on a proportion of fundamentalist \( P_{i,t}^f \) and of a chartist \( P_{i,t}^c \) forecast. We can write

\[ P_{i,t} = (1 - \beta_i)P_{i,t}^f + \beta_i P_{i,t}^c, \] (11)

where \( \beta_i \) are sampled by a random variable \( \tilde{\beta} \) with compact support equals to \([0, 1]\), i.e. \( \beta_i \sim \beta \in D[0, 1] \), for each \( i = 1, \ldots, N \).

Parameter \( \beta_i \) in equation (11) regulates the proportion of fundamentalist/chartist in each agent forecast. The most \( \beta_i \) is to 0, the most is the confidence in the return to fundamentals. The most \( \beta_i \) is to 1, the most the next price is estimated to be the actual price. The shape of the distribution used for sampling the \( \beta_i \) gives relevant information on the overall behavior of agents.

In the fundamentalist analysis the value of the market fundamentals is known, and
so the investor has a complete information on the risky asset (he understand over or under estimation of price). Given the market price \( P_t \) we have the following fundamentalist forecast relation:

\[
P_{i,t}^f = \nu (\tilde{P}_{i,t} - P_{t-1}),
\]

where \( \nu \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \tilde{P}_{i,t} \) is a series of fundamentals observed with a stochastic error from the agent \( i \) at time \( t \), i.e.

\[
\tilde{P}_{i,t} = \bar{P}_{i,t} + \alpha_{i,t},
\]

with \( \alpha_{i,t} = \zeta_{i} P_t \) and \( \zeta_i \) are sampled by a real random variable \( \zeta \) with finite expected value \( \bar{\zeta} \) and independent on \( \tilde{\beta} \). The fundamental variables \( \bar{P}_{i,t} \) can be described by the following random walk:

\[
\bar{P}_{i,t} = \bar{P}_{i,t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2).
\]

Thus

\[
P_{i,t}^f = \nu \bar{P}_{i,t-1} + \nu (\zeta_{i} - 1) P_{t-1}.
\]

The chartist forecast at time \( t \) is limited to an adjustment of the forecast made by the investor at the previous time. The adjustment factor related to the \( i \)-th agent is a random variable \( \gamma_i \). We assume that \( \gamma_i \) are i.i.d, with support in the interval \((1 - \delta, 1 + \delta)\), with \( \delta \in [0, 1] \). Moreover, we suppose that

\[
\mathbb{E}[\gamma_i] = \bar{\gamma}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N,
\]

and \( \gamma_i \) are independent on \( \zeta_i \) and \( \beta_i \). Then we can write

\[
P_{i,t}^c = \gamma_i P_{i,t-1}.
\]

We assume, that the aggregate size of the order placed by the agents at a fixed time \( t \) depends uniquely on \( t \). We denote it as \( \bar{\omega}_t \), and we have

\[
\bar{\omega}_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i,t}.
\]

We assume that such aggregate size is uniformly bounded. Therefore, there exists a couple of thresholds \( \underline{\omega} \) and \( \overline{\omega} \) such that, for each \( t > 0 \), \( \underline{\omega} < \bar{\omega}_t < \overline{\omega} \).

Market price is given by the weighted mean of trading prices associated to the agents.
The weights are given by the size of the order. We do not consider here the bid-ask spread, and mechanisms related to the limit order book, leaving them to future studies. Summing up the components, we can write

$$P_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i,t} P_{i,t}. \quad (15)$$

Then, by (11), (60) and (15)

$$P_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i,t} \left[ \nu(1 - \beta_i) P_{i,t-1} + \nu(1 - \beta_i)(\zeta_i - 1) P_{t-1} + \gamma_i \beta_i P_{i,t-1} \right]. \quad (16)$$

### 3.2 Memory property: the case of independence

The scope of this section is to describe the memory property of the financial time series $P_t$, in the case of absence of relations between the strategy $\beta_i$, adopted by the agent $i$, and the weight $\omega_{i,t}$ of the agent $i$ at time $t$.

The following result holds.

**Theorem 3.1.** Given $i = 1, \ldots, N$, let $\beta_i$ be a sampling drawn from a random variable $\beta$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\beta}^k] \sim O(c)k^{-1-p} + o(k^{-1-p}) \text{ as } k \to +\infty. \quad (17)$$

Moreover, given $i = 1, \ldots, N$, let $\zeta_i$ be a sampling drawn from a random variable $\zeta$. Let us assume that $\beta$ and $\zeta$ are mutually independent.

Furthermore, suppose that there exists $q > 0$ such that

$$(\mathbb{E}[\gamma_i])^{k-1} = \gamma^{k-1} \sim k^{-q}, \quad \text{as } k \to +\infty.$$  

Then, for $N \to +\infty$ and $q + p \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, we have that $P_t$ has long memory with Hurst exponent given by $H = p + q + \frac{1}{2}$.

**Proof.** Let $L$ be the time-difference operator such that $LP_{i,t} = P_{i,t-1}$.

By definition of $P_{i,t}$, we have

$$(1 - \gamma_i \beta_i L)P_{i,t} = \nu(1 - \beta_i) \hat{P}_{i,t-1} + \nu(1 - \beta_i)(\zeta_i - 1) P_{t-1}, \quad (18)$$

and then

$$P_{i,t} = \frac{\nu(1 - \beta_i)}{1 - \gamma_i \beta_i L} \hat{P}_{i,t-1} + \frac{\nu(1 - \beta_i)(\zeta_i - 1)}{1 - \gamma_i \beta_i L} P_{t-1}. \quad (19)$$
By the definition of $P_t$ and (19), we have

$$P_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i,t} \left[ \frac{\nu(1 - \beta_i)}{1 - \gamma_i \beta_i L} P_{i,t-1} + \frac{\nu(1 - \beta_i)(\zeta_i - 1)}{1 - \gamma_i \beta_i L} P_{i,t-1} \right].$$

(20)

Setting the limit as $N \to \infty$ and by the definition of $\bar{P}$, a series expansion gives

$$P_t = \nu \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_t P_{t-k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta - 1)(1 - \tilde{\beta}) \tilde{\beta}^{k-1} \zeta^{k-1}dF(\zeta, \tilde{\beta}).$$

(21)

Since, by hypothesis, $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\zeta$ are mutually independent, with distributions $F_1$ and $F_2$ respectively, we have

$$P_t = \nu \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_t P_{t-k} \gamma^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta - 1)(1 - \tilde{\beta}) \tilde{\beta}^{k-1} dF_1(\zeta) dF_2(\tilde{\beta}) =$$

$$= \nu \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_t P_{t-k} \gamma^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta - 1)dF_1(\zeta) \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \tilde{\beta}) \tilde{\beta}^{k-1}dF_2(\tilde{\beta}) =$$

$$= \nu(\tilde{\zeta} - 1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_t P_{t-k} \gamma^{k-1}(M_{k-1} - M_k),$$

where $M_k$ is the $k$-th moment of a random variable satisfying the condition (17). Since

$$\tilde{\omega} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{t-k}(M_{k-1} - M_k) < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_t P_{t-k}(M_{k-1} - M_k) < \tilde{\omega} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{t-k}(M_{k-1} - M_k)$$

and

$$M_{k-1} - M_k \sim k^{-p-1},$$

(22)

then, by the hypothesis on the $\gamma_i$'s, we desume

$$\gamma^{k-1}(M_{k-1} - M_k) \sim k^{-q-p-1}.$$  

(23)

Therefore we have a long memory model $I(d)$ with $d = p + q + 1$ and thus Hurst exponent $H = p + q + \frac{1}{2}$ ([31], [32], [37], [38], [51]). □

Remark 3.2. We can use the Beta distribution $B(p,q)$ for defining the random variable $\tilde{\beta}$. In fact, if $X$ is a random variable such that $X \sim B(p,q)$, with $p,q > 0$, then $X$ satisfies the relation stated in (17).

Remark 3.3. In the particular case $\gamma_i = 1$, for each $i = 1, \ldots, N$, the long-term memory is allowed uniquely for persistence processes. In this case it results $q = 0$ and, since $p > 0$ by definition, Theorem 3.1 assures that $H \in (\frac{1}{2},1]$. 
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Remark 3.4. Structural changes drive a change of the Hurst’s parameter of the time series, and thus the degree of memory of the process. In fact, if the chartist calibrating parameter $\gamma_i$, or the proportionality factor between chartist and fundamentalist, $\beta_i$, vary structurally, then the distribution parameters $p$ and $q$ of the related random variables change as well. Therefore $H$ varies, since it depends on $q$ and $p$. Furthermore, a drastic change can destroy the stationarity property of the time series. In fact, in order to obtain such stationarity property for $P_t$, we need that $p + q \in [-1/2, 1/2]$, and modifications of $q$ and/or $p$ must not exceed the range.

Remark 3.5. The parameters $q$ and $p$ could be calibrated in order to obtain a persistent, antipersistent or uncorrelated time series.

3.3 Memory property: introducing the dependence structure

This section aims to describe the long-run equilibrium properties of financial time series, in the case in which the weights of the investors can drive the forecasts’ strategies. The approach we propose allows to consider the presence of imitative behaviors among the agents. The phenomena of the herding investors is a regularity of financial markets. Since the empirical evidence of crises of the markets, the interests of a wide part of the economists have been focused on the analysis of the financial systems fragility. A part of the literature emphasized the relations between financial crises and weak fundamentals of the economy ([2], [12] and [28]). A possible explanation of the reasons for the fact, that asset prices does not reflect the fundamentals, can be found in the spreading of information among investors, and in the consequent decision to follow a common behavior.

We model the dependence structure allowing the size of the order to change the proportion between fundamentalist and chartist forecasts.

Then, for each weight $\omega_{i,t}$, we consider a function

$$f_{\omega_{i,t}} : D[0,1] \to D[0,1] \text{ such that } f_{\omega_{i,t}}(\beta) = \tilde{\beta}, \quad \forall i, t.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(24)}

Analogously to the previous section, we formalize a result on the long-run equilibrium properties of the time series $P_t$ in this setting.

**Theorem 3.6.** Given $i = 1, \ldots, N$, let $\beta_i$ be a sampling drawn from a random variable $\tilde{\beta} \in D[0,1]$. 
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Fixed $\omega_{i,t}$, let $f_{\omega_{i,t}}$ be a random variable transformation defined as in (24) such that
\[
\mathbb{E}[\{f_{\omega_{i,t}}(\beta)\}^k] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\beta}^k] \sim O(c)k^{-1-\tilde{p}} + o(k^{-1-\tilde{p}}) \text{ as } k \to +\infty.
\]
(25)
Moreover, given $i = 1, \ldots, N$, let $\zeta_i$ be a sampling drawn from a random variable $\zeta$, where $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\zeta$ are mutually independent.
Furthermore, suppose that there exists $q > 0$ such that
\[
(E[\gamma_i])^{k-1} = \tilde{\gamma}^{k-1} \sim k^{-q}, \quad \text{as } k \to +\infty.
\]
Then, for $N \to +\infty$ and $q + \tilde{p} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, we have that $P_t$ has long memory with Hurst exponent given by $H = \tilde{p} + q + \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the one given for Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.7. Remark 3.2 guarantees, that the $f_{\omega_{i,t}}$ can transform $X \sim B(p,q)$ in $f_{\omega_{i,t}}(X) \sim B(\tilde{p},\tilde{q})$. Therefore, the changing of the strategy used by the investors, driven by the weights $\omega$’s, can be attained by calibrating the parameters of a Beta distribution.
We use the $B(p,q)$ distribution because of its statistical properties and of the several different shapes that it can assume depending on its parameters values. In the particular case $p = 1$, $q = 1$ it is the uniform distribution. If $\beta_i$ are sampled in accord to a uniform distribution then there is no prevailing preference on the strategy, and so between either chartist or fundamentalist approach. If $\beta_i$ are sampled in accord to a random variable $\tilde{\beta}$, $\tilde{\beta} \sim B(p,p), p > 1$ then this means that agents opinion agree on mixture parameter values close to the mean of $\beta$. If the distribution is $U$-shaped, this means that there are two most agreeable strategies.

The main result of this paper is the theoretical proof of the degree of long memory of market price due to traders that have a specific weight in the formation of market price. Since $H = 1/2$ is taken into account in the theoretical model, the long-run equilibrium properties of uncorrelated processes represents a particular case.

4 Third setting

In [22], we focus on the long memory of prices and returns of an asset traded in a financial market.
4.1 The model

The basic features of the market model, that we are going to set up, are the existence of two groups of agents, with heterogeneity inside each group.

Let us consider a market with \( N \) agents that can make an investment either in a risk free or in a risky asset. Furthermore, the risky asset has a stochastic interest rate \( \rho_t \sim N(\rho, \sigma_t^2) \) and the risk free bond has a constant interest rate \( r \). We suppose that \( \rho > r \) for the model to be consistent.

Let \( P_{i,t} \) be the estimate of the price of the risky asset done by the agent \( i \) at time \( t \). The change of the price at time \( t+1 \) forecasted by the \( i \)-th agent, conditioned to her/his information at time \( t \), \( I_t \), is given by \( \Delta P_{i,t+1 | I_{i,t}} \).

Let us assume that the market is not efficient, i.e. we can write the following relationship:

\[
\mathbb{E}(P_{t+1 | I_t}) = \Delta P_{t+1 | I_t} + P_t. \tag{26}
\]

In this model, we suppose that the behavior of the investors is due to an analysis of the market data (by a typical chartist approach) and to the exploration of the behavior of market’s fundamentals (by a fundamentalist approach). Moreover, the forecasts are influenced by an error term, common to all the agents:

\[
(\Delta P_{i,t+1 | I_{i,t}}) = (\Delta P^c_{i,t+1 | I_{i,t}}) + (\Delta P^f_{i,t+1 | I_{i,t}}) + u_t, \tag{27}
\]

where \((\Delta P^c_{i,t+1 | I_{i,t}})\) is the contribute of the chartist approach, \((\Delta P^f_{i,t+1 | I_{i,t}})\) is associated to the fundamentalist point of view and \(u_t\) is a stochastic term representing an error in forecasts.

As a first step we assume that all the agents have the same weight in the market and that the price \( P_t \) of the asset in the market at time \( t \) is given by the mean of the asset price of each agent at the same time. So we can write

\[
P_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{i,t}. \tag{28}
\]

The chartists catch information from the time series of market prices. The forecast of the change of prices performed by the agent \( i \) is assumed to be given by the following linear combination:

\[
\Delta P^c_{i,t+1 | I_{i,t}} = \alpha_i^{(1)}(P_{i,t} - P_{i,t-1}) + \alpha_i^{(2)}(P_t - P_{t-1}), \tag{29}
\]

with \( \alpha_i^{(1)}, \alpha_i^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall i \). Formula (29) captures the idea of a stochastic relationship providing the estimate changes of prices by relying on a linear combination of the two
previous price’s forecasts, each of them adjusted to the actual market prices got at the relative time.

The fundamentalist approach takes into account the analysis made by the investors on the fundamental values of the market.

The fundamental variables \( P_{i,t} \) can be described by the following random walk:

\[
P_{i,t} = P_{i,t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2).
\]  

(30)

The fundamental prices observed by the agent \( i \) at time \( t \), \( \tilde{P}_{i,t} \), are assumed to be biased by a stochastic error:

\[
\tilde{P}_{i,t} = P_{i,t} + \alpha_{i,t}
\]

with \( \alpha_{i,t} = \beta_i P_t \), where \( \beta_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, N \), are parameters drawn by sampling from the cartesian product \( (1 - \xi, 1 + \xi)^N \), \( \xi > 0 \), equipped with the relative product probability measure. The definition of \( \alpha_{i,t} \) takes into account the fact that the error in estimating depends on the adjustment performed by each agent of the market price. More precisely, the observation of the fundamental prices is affected by the subjective opinion of the agents on the influence on the fundamental of the market price. If \( \beta_i > 1 \), then agent \( i \) guesses that market price is responsible of an overestimate of the fundamental prices. Otherwise, the converse consideration applies.

Moreover, the forecasts of the fundamentalist agents is based on the fundamental prices and his/her forecast on market prices at the previous data. So we can write

\[
\Delta P^f_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t} = \nu (\tilde{P}_{i,t} - P_t),
\]

(31)

with \( \nu \in \mathbb{R} \). Thus

\[
\Delta P^f_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t} = \nu \tilde{P}_{i,t} + \nu (\beta_i - 1) P_t.
\]

(32)

Let us define \( d_{i,t} \) to be the demand of the risky asset of the agent \( i \) at the date \( t \). Thus the wealth invested in the risky asset is given by \( P_{t+1} d_{i,t} \) and, taking into account the stochastic interest rate \( \rho_{t+1} \), we have that the wealth grows as \( (1 + \rho_{t+1}) P_{t+1} d_{i,t} \). The remaining part of the wealth, \( (W_{i,t} - P_t d_{i,t}) \) is invested in risk free bonds and thus gives \( (W_{i,t} - P_t d_{i,t})(1 + r) \) [20].

The wealth of the agent \( i \) at time \( t + 1 \) is given by \( W_{i,t+1} \), and it can be written as

\[
W_{i,t+1} = (1 + \rho_{t+1}) P_{i,t+1} d_{i,t} + (W_{i,t} - P_t d_{i,t})(1 + r).
\]

The expression of \( W_{i,t+1} \) can be rewritten as

\[
W_{i,t+1} = (1 + \rho_{t+1}) \Delta P_{i,t+1} d_{i,t} + W_{i,t}(1 + r) - (r - \rho_{t+1}) P_t d_{i,t}
\]

(33)
Each agent $i$ at time $t$ optimizes the mean-variance utility function

$$U(W_{i,t+1}) = \mathbb{E}(W_{i,t+1}) - \mu \mathbb{V}(W_{i,t+1}).$$

Thus:

$$\mathbb{E}(W_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t}) = (1 + \rho)(\Delta P_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t})d_{i,t} + W_{i,t}(1 + r) - (r - \rho)P_{i,t}d_{i,t}$$

and

$$\mathbb{V}(W_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t}) = \mathbb{V}[(1 + \rho_{t+1})(P_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t})](d_{i,t})^2.$$

Each agent $i$ maximizes her/his expected utility with respect to his demand $d_{i,t}$, conditioned to her/his information at the date $t$. For each agent $i$ the first order condition is

$$(1 + \rho)(\Delta P_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t}) - (r - \rho)P_{i,t} - 2\mu \mathbb{V}((1 + \rho_{t+1})(P_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t})|d_{i,t}) = 0,$$

By the first order conditions we obtain

$$d_{i,t} = b_{i,t}P_{i,t} + g_{i,t}(\Delta P_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t})$$

with

$$b_{i,t} = \frac{\rho - r}{2\mu \mathbb{V}((P_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t})(1 + \rho_{t+1}))}; \quad g_{i,t} = \frac{\rho + 1}{2\mu \mathbb{V}((P_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t})(1 + \rho_{t+1}))}.$$

Let $X_{i,t}$ be the supply function at time $t$ for the agent $i$. Then

$$X_{i,t} = b_{i,t}P_{i,t} + g_{i,t}(\Delta P_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t}). \quad (34)$$

Let us denote

$$\gamma_{i,t} = \frac{X_{i,t}}{b_{i,t}}, \quad c = \frac{1 + \rho}{r - \rho} = \frac{g_{i,t}}{b_{i,t}}, \quad \lambda_i := \frac{-\alpha (2)}{1 + \alpha (1)}.$$ \quad (35)

By (27), (29), (32) and (34) we get:

$$P_{i,t} = \frac{1}{1 + c} \cdot \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} \left( \gamma_{i,t} - c \nu \bar{P}_{i,t} \right) - \frac{c}{1 + c} \cdot \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} u_t - $$

$$- \frac{c}{1 + c} \cdot \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} \left[ \nu (\beta_i - 1) - \alpha_i \right] P_t - \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} P_{t-1}, \quad (36)$$

where $L$ is the backward time operator.

Condition (28) and equation (36) allow to write the market price as

$$P_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + c} \cdot \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} \left( \gamma_{i,t} - c \nu \bar{P}_{i,t} \right) - \frac{c}{1 + c} \cdot \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} u_t - $$

$$- \frac{c}{1 + c} \cdot \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} \left[ \nu (\beta_i - 1) - \alpha_i \right] P_t - \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} P_{t-1} \right\}. \quad (37)$$
4.2 Long-term memory of prices

This section shows the long-term memory property of market price time series. Equation (37) evidences the contribution of each agent to the market price formation. Each agent is fully characterized by her/his parameters, and it is not allowed to change them. Parameters are independent with respect to the time and they are not random variables, but they are fixed at the start up of the model in the overall framework of independent drawings.

The heterogeneity of the agents is obtained by sampling $\alpha_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ from the cartesian product $\mathbb{R}^N$ with the relative product probability measure. No hypotheses are assumed on such a probability up to this point.

In order to proceed and to examine the long-term memory property of the aggregate time series, the following assumption is needed:

**Assumption (A)**

$$\alpha_i = \nu(\beta_i - 1) < -\frac{1}{c}. \quad (38)$$

This Assumption thus introduces a correlation in the way in which actual prices $P_t$ play a role in the fundamentalists’ and chartists’ forecasts, and meets the chartists’ viewpoint that market prices reflect the fundamental values. Moreover, a relationship between the parameters of the model describing the preferences and the strategies of the investors, $\alpha_i$ and $\nu$, and the interest rates of the risky asset and risk free bond (combined in the parameter $c$) is evidenced.

By a pure mathematical point of view, since $\rho > r$ (and, consequently, $c < -1$), the variation range of $\alpha_i$ is, in formula (38), respected.

We assume that Assumption (A) holds hereafter.

By (37) and (38), market’s price can be disaggregated and written as

$$P_t = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + c} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} \gamma_{i,t} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + c} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} u_{i,t} -$$

$$- \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{c \nu}{1 + c} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} P_{i,t} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} P_{t-1} =: A_1^t + A_2^t + A_3^t + A_4^t, \quad (39)$$

and $\lambda_i \in (0, 1)$, for each $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

Equation (39) fixes the role of the parameters of the model in the composition of the price.

The components of $P_t$ have precise meaning.

$A_1^t$ is the idiosyncratic component of the market, and it gives the impact of the supply
over market’s prices, filtered through agents’ forecasts parameters. 

$A^2_t$ describes the common component of the market. In fact, $A^2_t$ represents the portion of the forecast driven by an external process independent by the single investor. 

$A^3_t$ is a term typically linked to the perception of the fundamentals’ value by the agents.

$A^4_t$, finally, takes in account that the behavior of the investors at time $t$ in strongly influenced by the situation of the market’s price observed at time $t−1$. The analysis of the previous results is subjectively calibrated, and this fact explains the presence in this term of a coefficient dependent on $i$.

The theoretical analysis of the long-term memory of the time series $P_t$ is carried on through two steps:

- long memory is detected for each component of $P_t$;
- the terms are aggregated.

Before stating the main result on the disaggregated long memory property of the components of $P_t$, we need to briefly analyze $A^3_t$. 

By the definition of $\bar{P}$ given in (30), we can rewrite $A^3_t$ as

$$A^3_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} -c \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 + c 1 - \lambda_i L} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \epsilon_{t-j} + \bar{P}_{i,0} \right], \quad (40)$$

where $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2_\epsilon)$ and $\{\bar{P}_{i,0}\}_{i=1,...,N}$ is a set of normal random variable i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2_{\bar{P}}$, for each $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

The stability of the gaussian distribution implies that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \epsilon_{t-j} + \bar{P}_{i,0} =: \Gamma_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2_{\Gamma}). \quad (41)$$

In particular, $\Gamma_t$ is a stationary stochastic process.

By (40) and (41), we can write

$$A^3_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} -c \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 + c 1 - \lambda_i L} \Gamma_t, \quad (42)$$

The long memory property is formalized in the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let us assume that there exists $a, b \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $\lambda_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda_i$ are sampled by a $B(a,b)$ distribution.

Fixed $i = 1, \ldots, N$, let $\gamma_{i,t}$ be a stationary stochastic process such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\gamma_{i,t}] = 0, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, \ t \in \mathbb{N}; \quad (43)$$
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\[ \mathbb{E}[\gamma_{i,u}\gamma_{j,v}] = \delta_{i,j}\delta_{u,v}\sigma^2_\gamma, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, \ u, v \in \mathbb{N}. \] (44)

Moreover, let us assume that \( u_t \) is a stationary stochastic process, with
\[ \mathbb{E}[u_t] = 0; \]
\[ \mathbb{E}[u_s u_t] = \delta_{s,t} \sigma^2_u. \] (45)

Fix \( r = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). Then, as \( N \to +\infty \), the long-term memory property for \( A^r_t \), with Hurst’s exponent \( H_r \), in the following cases:

- \( b > 1 \) implies \( H_r = 1/2 \);
- \( b \in (0, 1) \) and the following equation holds:
  \[ \sum_{h=-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}[A^r_t A^r_{t-h}] = 0, \] (46)
  imply \( H_r = (1 - b)/2 \). In this case it results \( H_r < 1/2 \), and the process is mean reverting.

**Proof.** We prove the result for \( A^1_t \).
First of all, we need to show that
\[ \mathbb{E}\left[A^1_t A^1_{t} - h\right] \sim h^{-1-b}, \quad \text{as } N \to +\infty. \] (47)

Let us examine \( A^1_t A^1_{t-h} \):
\[ A^1_t A^1_{t-h} = \frac{1}{N^2(1+c)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} \gamma_{i,t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1 - \lambda_j}{1 - \lambda_j L} \gamma_{j,t-h} = \]
\[ = \frac{1}{N^2(1+c)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (1 - \lambda_i) \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_i L)^l \right] \gamma_{i,t} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{N} (1 - \lambda_j) \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_j L)^m \right] \gamma_{j,t-h}. \]
The terms of the series are positive, and so it is possible to exchange the order of the sums:
\[ A^1_t A^1_{t-h} = \frac{1}{(1+c)^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (1 - \lambda_i) \lambda_i^l (1 - \lambda_j) \lambda_j^m \gamma_{i,t-m} \gamma_{j,t-h-l}. \] (48)

In the limit as \( N \to +\infty \) and setting \( x := \lambda_i, \ y := \lambda_j \), (48) becomes:
\[ A^1_t A^1_{t-h} = \frac{1}{(1+c)^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - x)x^l (1 - y)y^m \gamma_{x,t-m} \gamma_{y,t-h-l} dF(x, y), \] (49)

\( ^1 \delta_{i,j} \) is the usual Kronecker symbol, e.g. \( \delta_{i,j} = 1 \) for \( i = j \); \( \delta_{i,j} = 0 \) for \( i \neq j \).
where $F$ is the joint distribution over $x$ and $y$.

Taking the mean w.r.t. the time and by using the hypothesis (44), we get

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[A^1_t A^1_{t-h}\right] = \frac{1}{(1+c)^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1-x)x^m(1-y)y^l \delta_{x,y} \delta_{m,l+h} \sigma^2 \delta_{x,y} \delta_{m,l+h} dF(x,y) = (50)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{(1+c)^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 (1-x)^{1+b} x^{2l+a-1} dx. \quad (51)
$$

By using the distributional hypothesis on $\lambda_i$, for each $i$, we get

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[A^1_t A^1_{t-h}\right] = \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{(1+c)^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(h+a+2l)\Gamma(b+2)}{\Gamma(h+a+b+2l+2)} \sim
$$

$$
\sim \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{(1+c)^2} h^{-1-b}. \quad (52)
$$

Now, the rate of decay of the autocorrelation function related to $A^1$ is given by (52).

By using the results in [59] on such rate of decay and the Hurst’s exponent of the time series, we obtain the thesis.

4.2.1 Aggregation of the components

In this part of the work we want just summarize the results obtained for the disaggregate components of the market’s forecasts done by the investors.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose that $\lambda_i$ are sampled by a $B(a,b)$ distribution, for each $i$, with $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, for $N \to +\infty$, we have that $P_t$ has long memory with Hurst’s exponent $H$ given by

$$
H = \max \left\{ H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 \right\}, \quad (53)
$$

**Proof.** It is well-known that, if $X$ is a fractionally integrated process or order $d \in [-1/2, 1/2]$, then $X$ exhibits the long-term memory property, with Hurst’s exponent $H = d + 1/2$. Therefore, using Proposition 7.11 and Theorem 4.1, we obtain the thesis.

**Remark 4.3.** Theorem 4.2 provides the long-term memory measure of $P_t$. The range of the Hurst’s exponent includes as particular case $H = 1/2$, that correspond to brownian motion. Thus the model can describe periods in which the efficient market hypothesis is fulfilled as well as periods that exhibit antipersistent behavior. Moreover,
the long-term memory property can not be due to the occurrence of shocks in the market. This finding is in agreement with the impulsive nature of market shocks, not able to drive long-run equilibria in the aggregates.

4.3 Long-term memory of returns

This section aims at mapping the long memory exponent of price time series generated by the model into long memory of log-returns. In order to achieve this goal, the effect of log-transformation of a long-memory process has been analyzed. [33] provides theoretical results on the long memory degree of nonlinear transformation of $I(d)$ processes only if the transformation can be written a finite sum of Hermite polynomials. Therefore they cannot be used for examining log-returns, which the logarithms is involved in.

The same authors provide further results through numerical analysis. Let $X_t$ be $I(d)$, $Y_t = g(X_t)$ with $g(\cdot)$ a transcendental transformation. Numerical estimates of the degree of long memory of $Y_t$, $d'$, suggest the following behaviour:

1. $-\frac{1}{2} < d < 0$ antipersistence is destroyed by non-odd transformations, hence $d' = 0$;

2. $d = 0$ uncorrelated processes remain uncorrelated under any transformation: $d' = 0$;

3. $0 < d < \frac{1}{2}$ stationary long memory processes. The size of the long memory of stationary long memory processes ($0 < d < \frac{1}{2}$) diminishes under any transformation ($d' \leq d$). The higher is the Hermite rank of the transforming function, the bigger is the decrease, even if none of the functions examined can be written as a finite sum of Hermite polynomials. If the transforming function has Hermite rank $J$ and it can be written as a finite sum of Hermite polynomials, then $d' = max\{0, (d - 0.5)J + 0.5\}$. Therefore, if $J = 1$, then $d' = d$;

4. $d \geq \frac{1}{2}$ nonstationary processes. The size of the long memory diminishes under any transformation. $d' \leq d$

Remark 4.4. From the usual results on differencing, we remark that if $\log(P_t)$ is $I(d)$ then the log-returns time series $r_t = \log(P_t) - \log(P_{t-1})$ is $d' = d - 1$.

We can state the following
Theorem 4.5. If the price history is $I(d)$, then returns are $I(d')$, where

1. if $-\frac{1}{2} < d \leq 0$, then $d' = -1$
2. if $0 < d < \frac{1}{2}$, then $d' = d - 1$
3. $(d > \frac{1}{2})$ the degree of long memory diminishes, but no analytical expressions are available.

Theorem 4.5 leads to two simple important consequences.

Corollary 4.6. Uncorrelated returns ($d' = 0$) are obtained if $d = 1$.

Corollary 4.7. Long memory in returns ($d' > 0$) is obtained if $d > 1$.

5 Fourth setting

In [23] we model the evolution of an economic system through the agents populating the system itself. In this regard, it is worth to focus attention to the important role played by the diversity between units.

5.1 The model

The basic features of the market model we are going to set up, are the existence of two groups of agents, with heterogeneity inside each group.

Let us consider a market with $N$ agents who can invest either in a risk-free or in a risky asset. The risk free bond has a constant interest rate $r \in (0, 1)$.

Let $P_t$ the price of the risky asset and $P_{i,t}$ the estimate of it carried out by the agent $i$ at time $t$. The change of the price at time $t+1$ forecast by the $i$-th agent, conditioned to her/his information at time $t$, $I_{i,t}$, is given by $\Delta P_{i,t+1}|I_{i,t}$.

We assume that the market is not efficient, i.e. we can write the following relationship:

$$ E(P_{t+1}|I_t) = \Delta P_{t+1}|I_t + P_t $$

(54)

where $I_t$ is the information available up to time $t$.

The behavior of the investors is due to analysis of the market data (using a typical chartist approach) and to the exploration of the behavior of market fundamentals.
(using a fundamentalist approach). Moreover, the forecasts are influenced by an error term, common to all the agents:

\[(\Delta P_{i,t+1}^c | I_{i,t}) = (\Delta P_{i,t+1}^c | I_{i,t}) + (\Delta P_{i,t+1}^f | I_{i,t}) + u_t, \tag{55}\]

where \((\Delta P_{i,t+1}^c | I_{i,t})\) is the contribution of the chartist approach, \((\Delta P_{i,t+1}^f | I_{i,t})\) is associated to the fundamentalist point of view and \(u_t\) is a stochastic term representing an error in forecasts, i.e. \(u_t\) is i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance \(\sigma_u^2\).

As a first step, we assume that all the agents have the same weight in the market and that the price \(P_t\) of the asset in the market at time \(t\) is given by the mean of the asset price of each agent at the same time. So we can write

\[P_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{i,t}. \tag{56}\]

Equation (56) is a type of market clearing price condition.

We now describe the price formation mechanism of the agents.

The chartists glean information from the time series of market prices. The \(i\)-th agent’s price change forecast is assumed to be given by the following linear combination:

\[\Delta P_{i,t+1}^c | I_{i,t} = \alpha_{i}^{(1)} (P_{i,t} - P_{i,t-1}) + \alpha_{i}^{(2)} P_t, \tag{57}\]

with \(\alpha_{i}^{(1)}, \alpha_{i}^{(2)} \in [0, +\infty), \forall i = 1, \ldots, N\). Formula (57) encapsulates the idea of a stochastic relationship providing the estimated change in prices by relying on a linear combination of the two previous price forecasts, adjusted to the actual market price obtained at the relative time.

The fundamentalist approach takes the analysis made by the investors about market fundamental value into account.

The fundamental variables \(\bar{P}_{i,t}\) can be described by the following random walk:

\[\bar{P}_{i,t} = \bar{P}_{i,t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2). \tag{58}\]

The fundamental prices observed by the agent \(i\) at time \(t\), \(\bar{P}_{i,t}\), are assumed to be biased by a stochastic error:

\[\bar{P}_{i,t} = P_{i,t} + \bar{\alpha}_{i,t},\]

with \(\bar{\alpha}_{i,t} = \beta_i P_t\), where the \(n\)-ple \((\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N)\) is drawn by sampling from the cartesian product \((1 - \xi, 1 + \xi)^N, \xi > 0\), equipped with the relative product probability measure.

The definition of \(\bar{\alpha}_{i,t}\) takes into account the fact that the error in estimating depends
on the adjustment performed by each agent of the market price. More precisely, the 
observation of the fundamental prices is affected by the subjective opinion of the 
agents about the influence of the market price on the fundamental. If \( \beta_i > 1 \), then 
agent \( i \) guesses that the market price is responsible for an overestimate of fundamental 
prices. Otherwise, the converse situation applies. 
Moreover, the forecasts of the fundamentalist agents are based on fundamental prices 
and their forecasts about market prices at the previous data. So we can write

\[
\Delta P_{i,t+1}^f | I_{i,t} = \nu(\tilde{P}_{i,t} - P_t),
\]

with \( \nu \in \mathbb{R} \). Thus

\[
\Delta P_{i,t+1}^f | I_{i,t} = \nu \bar{P}_{i,t} + \nu(\beta_i - 1)P_t.
\]

**Remark 5.1.** By comparing (57) and (60), it must be \( \alpha_i^{(2)} = \nu(\beta_i - 1) \). We state 
this condition for the remaining part of the paper.

Let us define \( d_{i,t} \) to be the demand of the risky asset of the agent \( i \) at the date \( t \). 
The estimated wealth of the agent \( i \) at time \( t + 1 \) is given by \( W_{i,t+1} \), and it is given 
by:

\[
W_{i,t+1} = \left(1 + \frac{P_{i,t+1} - P_{i,t}}{P_{i,t}}\right) P_{i,t}d_{i,t} + (W_{i,t} - P_{i,t}d_{i,t})(1 + r).
\]

By (61), the expression of \( W_{i,t+1} \) can be rewritten as:

\[
W_{i,t+1} = \Delta P_{i,t+1}d_{i,t} + W_{i,t}(1 + r) - rP_{i,t}d_{i,t}.
\]

Each agent \( i \) at time \( t \) optimizes the mean-variance utility function

\[
U(W_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t}) = \mathbb{E}(W_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t}) - \mu \mathbb{V}(W_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t}),
\]

where \( \mathbb{V} \) is the usual variance operator, and thus:

\[
\mathbb{E}(W_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t}) = (\Delta P_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t})d_{i,t} + W_{i,t}(1 + r) - rP_{i,t}d_{i,t}
\]

and

\[
\mathbb{V}(W_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t}) = \mathbb{V}(P_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t})(d_{i,t})^2.
\]

Each agent \( i \) maximizes her/his expected utility with respect to her/his demand \( d_{i,t} \), 
conditioned to her/his information at the date \( t \). For each agent \( i \) the first order 
condition is

\[
(\Delta P_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t}) - rP_{i,t} - 2\mu \mathbb{V}([P_{i,t+1} | I_{i,t}])d_{i,t} = 0,
\]
By the first order conditions we obtain

\[ d_{i,t} = b_{i,t}P_{i,t} + g_{i,t}(\Delta P_{i,t+1} I_{i,t}) \]

with

\[ b_{i,t} = \frac{-r}{2\mu \mathbb{E}((P_{i,t+1} I_{i,t}))}; \quad g_{i,t} = \frac{1}{2\mu \mathbb{E}((P_{i,t+1} I_{i,t}))}. \]

Let \( X_{i,t} \) be the supply function at time \( t \) for the agent \( i \). We have the following equilibrium relation:

\[ X_{i,t} = b_{i,t}P_{i,t} + g_{i,t}(\Delta P_{i,t+1} I_{i,t}). \]  

(63)

Let us denote

\[ \gamma_{i,t} = \frac{X_{i,t}}{b_{i,t}}, \quad c = \frac{-r}{b_{i,t}}, \quad \lambda_{i} := \frac{-c\alpha_{i}^{(2)}}{1 + c\alpha_{i}^{(1)}}. \]

(64)

By (55), (57), (60) and (63) we get:

\[ P_{i,t} = \frac{1}{1 + c} \cdot \frac{1 - \lambda_{i}}{1 - \lambda_{i}L} \left\{ \gamma_{i,t} - c\nu \bar{P}_{i,t} - u_{t} \right\}, \]

(65)

where \( L \) is the backward time operator, i.e. \( LP_{i,t} = P_{i,t-1} \).

Condition (56) and equation (65) allow us to write the market price as

\[ P_{t} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + c} \cdot \frac{1 - \lambda_{i}}{1 - \lambda_{i}L} \left\{ \gamma_{i,t} - c\nu \bar{P}_{i,t} - u_{t} \right\} \right]. \]

(66)

The parameter \( \lambda_{i} \) is particularly relevant in describing the heterogeneity of the agents. Indeed, it provides information on the technical analysis of the market performed by the \( i \)-th agent. As we will see below, the \( \lambda \)'s play a central role in determining the persistence properties of the price.

### 5.2 Diversity and long-term memory

This section shows the long-term memory property of market price time series. In particular, we focus on the theoretical conditions on the parameters distribution and on the stochastic processes that are needed for long memory.

In order to proceed, the following technical assumption is needed:

**Assumption 5.2.** \( \alpha_{i}^{(2)} < r. \)

The relation between the indices \( i \) and \( t \) in defining the process \( \gamma_{i,t} \) is outlined in the following Assumption.
Assumption 5.3. There exist $N$ random variables $w_1, \ldots, w_N$ and a stochastic process $z_t$, independent on $u_t$, such that:

- $\mathbb{E}[w_j] = \bar{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}$, for each $j = 1, \ldots, N$;
- $w_i$ is independent on $\lambda_i$, for each $i = 1, \ldots, N$;
- $z_t$ are i.i.d., with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2$;
- for each $i = 1, \ldots, N$ and $t \geq 0$, it results $\gamma_{i,t} = z_t \cdot w_i$.

Assumption 5.3 states that in our model the excess of demand compensates, on average, the excess of supply. The diversity in our model mirrors in the distributional hypotheses on the agent-based random variables $\lambda$'s. As already stressed above, the parameter $\lambda_i$ provides a description of the forecast rule used by the $i$-th agent when wearing a chartist hat. In this respect, homogeneity means that $\lambda_i$ are identically distributed, while heterogeneity holds otherwise.

In determining the distributional hypothesis on the $\lambda$'s, we basically take into account two types of investors: impulsive traders and long run traders. The former type of agents performs an analysis of the market, following a chartist approach, only in rare situation. The latter type of agents deals with a technical analysis of the market continuously in time.

We initially analyze homogeneity among agents, and then move to heterogeneity. The first result concerns the case of a very general two-parameter distribution, able to describe several types of agents as the value of the parameters varies.

Theorem 5.4. Let us assume that there exists $a, b \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $\lambda_i$ are sampled by a $B(a, b)$ distribution, for each $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

Then, as $N \to +\infty$, the long-term memory property for $P_t$ holds, with Hurst's exponent $H_B \leq 1/2$.

Proof. To prove the result, we need to rewrite the process $P_t$ as the sum of three components:

$$P_t = \Gamma^1_t + \Gamma^2_t + \Gamma^3_t,$$  \hspace{1cm} (67)
where

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_1^t &= \lim_{N \to +\infty} \frac{1}{N(1+c)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} \gamma_{i,t}; \\
\Gamma_2^t &= -\frac{c\nu}{N(1+c)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} \tilde{P}_{i,t}; \\
\Gamma_3^t &= -\frac{1}{N(1+c)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} u_t.
\end{align*}
\]  

By definition of the model, the processes \( \Gamma \)'s are independent. Hence, we can analyze separately the long-term memory property of the \( \Gamma \)'s.

Denote as \( \lambda \) and \( w \) the random identically distributed random variables \( \lambda_i \) and \( w_j \). Furthermore, denote as \( F \) the joint cumulative distribution function of \( (\lambda, w) \) and \( F_\lambda \) be the marginal distribution of \( \lambda \).

In the limit for \( N \to \infty \) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_1^t &= -\frac{1}{1 + c} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 (1 - \lambda) \lambda^k z_{t-k} dF_\lambda(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{1 + c} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 (1 - \lambda) \lambda^k z_{t-k} dF_\lambda(\lambda) = \\
&= -\frac{1}{1 + c} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 (1 - \lambda) \lambda^k z_{t-k} dF_\lambda(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{1 + c} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 (1 - \lambda) \lambda^k dF_\lambda(\lambda) \hat{z}_{t-k} =: \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_{t-k},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
a_k \sim \int_0^1 (1 - \lambda) \lambda^{k-1} dF_\lambda(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[\lambda^k] - \mathbb{E}[\lambda^{k+1}].
\]

Since \( \lambda \sim B(a,b) \), we have:

\[
a_k \sim k^{-b-2}.
\]  

Therefore, \( \Gamma_1^t \) faces the same asymptotic behavior of an \( I(d) \) process, with \( d = -b - 1 \).

Since \( b > 0 \), we have that \( \Gamma_1^t \) can be represented as an integrated process of order \( d < -1 \). Hence, \( \Gamma_1^t \) does not have the long-term memory property.

For what regards the process \( \Gamma_3^t \), fixed \( h > 0 \), we have

\[
\mathbb{E}\left[ \Gamma_3^t \Gamma_3^{t-h} \right] = \mathbb{E}\left[ \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{c^2}{1 + c} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} u_t \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{c^2}{1 + c} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1 - \lambda_j}{1 - \lambda_j L} u_{t-h} \right] = \\
= \mathbb{E}\left[ \frac{c^2}{(1 + c)^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 (1 - \lambda) \lambda^m u_{t-m} dF(\lambda) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 (1 - \mu) \mu^i u_{t-h-i} dF(\mu) \right] =
\]
\[
\beta(a, b) \cdot \frac{c^2 \sigma_u^2}{(1 + c)^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 (1 - \lambda)^{1+b} \lambda^{2l+h+a-1} d\lambda =
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \cdot \frac{\sigma_u^2}{(1 + c)^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(h + a + 2l) \Gamma(b + 2)}{\Gamma(h + a + b + 2l + 2)} \sim \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \cdot \frac{\sigma_u^2}{(1 + c)^2} h^{-1-b}. \quad (71)
\]

Then, [59] assures that: as \( N \to +\infty \), the long-term memory property for \( \Gamma^3_t \) holds, with Hurst’s exponent \( H_3 \) as follows:

- \( b > 1 \) implies \( H_3 = 1/2 \):

- \( b \in (0, 1) \) and the following equation holds:

  \[
  \sum_{h=-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}[\Gamma^3_t \Gamma^3_{t-h}] = 0, \quad (72)
  \]

  imply \( H_3 = (1 - b)/2 \). In this case it results \( H_3 < 1/2 \), and the process \( \Gamma^3_t \) is mean reverting.

Since

\[
P_{i,t} = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \epsilon_{t-j} + \bar{P}_{i,0},
\]

then \( P_{i,t} \) is a stationary process, and the arguments carried out for \( \Gamma^3_t \) can be replicated to state that the long memory property holds for \( \Gamma^2_t \) as \( N \to +\infty \). The Hurst exponent is \( H_2 \).

By [37], we have that

\[
H_B = \max\{H_2, H_3\}. \quad (73)
\]

As the parameters of the Beta distribution vary, several types of continuous-time traders may be described. Furthermore, the proof of Theorem 5.4 evidences that the distributional hypothesis on \( \lambda \) may be relaxed. The following Corollary states immediately:

**Corollary 5.5.** Assume that:

\[
\mathbb{E}[\lambda^k] \sim O(c)k^{-1-b} + o(k^{-1-b}) \text{ as } k \to +\infty. \quad (74)
\]

Then, as \( N \to +\infty \), the long-term memory property for \( P_t \) holds, with Hurst’s exponent \( H_B \leq 1/2 \).
We now move from homogeneity to agents gathered in several groups. Each group has its own impact on the market and exhibits organized heterogeneity among its components. By a mathematical perspective, this assumption is equivalent to the study of the aggregate of a mixture of absolute continuous distributions for the parameters $\lambda$’s. More precisely, we introduce a group of investors that concentrate their attention in a small set of events, i.e. the behavior of these agents is given by not assuming a position for the most part of the market traffic, and take part heavily in some particular and rare situations. We formalize this kind of behavior by using Dirac measures $\delta_x(y)$ as follows:

$$\delta_x(y) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{for } x = y, \\
0, & \text{for } x \neq y.
\end{cases}$$

**Theorem 5.6.** Consider $b_1, \ldots, b_k \in (0, +\infty)$ and $A_1(N), \ldots, A_k(N) \subseteq \{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $\lambda_i$ are sampled by $B(a, b_j)$ distribution, for each $i \in A_j(N)$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$. Moreover, consider $d_{k+1}, \ldots, d_n \in (0, 1)$ and $A_{k+1}(N), \ldots, A_n(N) \subseteq \{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $\lambda_i \sim \delta_{d_i}$, for each $i \in A_j(N)$, $j = k + 1, \ldots, n$. Assume that there exists $p_j \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} \frac{\text{card}A_j(\mathcal{N})}{N} = p_j, \quad \forall j = 1, \ldots, n.$$ 

Furthermore, assume that $\lambda_i$ are sampled by independent random variables. Then, as $N \to +\infty$, $P_t$ has the long-term memory, with Hurst’s exponent $H_D \leq 1/2$.

**Proof.** The process $P_t$ can be disaggregated as follows:

$$P_t = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Phi_t^j + \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \Psi_t^j,$$  

(75)

where

$$\begin{align*}
\Phi_t^j &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in A_j(N)} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + c} \cdot \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} \left\{ \gamma_{i,t} - c \nu \bar{P}_{i,t} - u_t \right\} \right], \quad j = 1, \ldots, k; \\
\Psi_t^j &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in A_j(N)} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + c} \cdot \frac{1 - \lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i L} \left\{ \gamma_{i,t} - c \nu \bar{P}_{i,t} - u_t \right\} \right], \quad j = k + 1, \ldots, n.
\end{align*}$$  

(76)

In order to proceed, we need to study the behavior of the $k$-th moments of the Dirac distribution, with $k \in \mathbb{N}$.  
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A direct computation gives:

\[ \mathbb{E}[(\delta_x)^k] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \xi^k \delta_x(\xi) d\xi = x^k. \]

Therefore, the terms related to the processes \( \Psi \)'s do not contribute to the long memory of the process \( P_t \).

By Theorem 5.4, we have that the process \( \Phi^j_t \) has an Hurst exponent \( H_j \leq 1/2 \). Since the \( \lambda \)'s are independent and by [37], we obtain that

\[ H_D = \max\{H_1, \ldots, H_k\} \leq 1/2, \]  

and this completes the proof. \( \square \)

6 Conclusions

We have shown the usage of the aggregation technique proposed in [37] for the theoretical proof of the long-term memory of the aggregate in financial markets populated by heterogeneous agents. The agents are supposed to drive actively the price formation of an asset, and heterogeneity mirrors in the way to make forecasts (chartist and fundamentalist) and in the way to technically analyze the market (distribution of the parameters). We extend some results present in the literature about the arise of the long memory property due to the aggregation of independent micro units. We provide a number of results: on the long-term memory of the aggregate, on the relevance of differences of contributions of agents to the long-term memory, and on their heterogeneity. In this regard, it is worth focusing on the role played by the diversity between units. The analysis of the diversity has become a remarkable aspect of the decision theory for what concerning the selection of multiple elements belonging to different families of candidates. In some other contexts, diversity rules the connection among heterogeneous agents to share information and collaborate or compete. In this respect, the diversity may also be an indicator of the performance of the strategies in a dynamic optimization framework.

7 Appendix: Mathematical definitions

This sections summarizes the main definition and theorems that we used for the proofs in our models.
7.1 Long-term memory

The memory is defined “long-term” or, simply, “long” if the decay of the correlation is slow. In details:

**Definition 7.1.** A stationary process \( \{X_t\} \) is called stationary process with long memory if its autocorrelation function \( \rho(k) \) has asymptotically the following hyperbolic rate of decay:

\[
\rho(k) \sim L(k)k^{2d-1}, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty
\]

where \( d \in (-1/2, 1/2) \) and \( L(k) \) is a slowly varying function, i.e. \( L(\lambda k)/L(k) \to 1 \) as \( k \to \infty, \forall \lambda > 0 \).

The parameter \( d \) summarizes the degree of long range dependence of the series. If \(-0.5 < d < 0\) the series is mean reverting; if \( d = 0 \) there is no correlation between the data and \( \{X_t\} \) is a short memory process. If \( 0 < d < 0.5 \), the correlation function decays slowly with the lag \( k \) and the time series has a long range correlation, or long memory property [20].

The term slow, referred to the decay of the autocorrelation function, must be intended as compared to the autocorrelation function of a short memory process, that decays to zero at an exponential rate.

The definition is extended to the time series \( \{x_t\} \) generated by \( \{X_t\} \).

The parameter \( d \) is related to the Hurst’s exponent \( H \), and this provides methods for its estimate.

**Definition 7.2.** Given a time series \( \{x_t\} \) Hurst’s exponent \( H \) describes the degree of dependence among the increments of the analyzed process. It can be defined as follows:

\[
E(x_{t+\tau} - x_t)^2 \sim c\tau^{2H}
\]

Several methods are available for its estimate [49, 51] and \( H = d + \frac{1}{2} \).

Spectral analysis can provide an estimate for \( H \). The spectral density of a covariance stationary time series \( \{X_t\} \) is given by

\[
f(\lambda) = \gamma(0) + 2 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \gamma(h) \cos(\lambda h)
\]

where \( \gamma(h) = \text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t-h}) \) is the autocovariance function.

The spectrum of stationary processes with long range memory can be approximated...
in the neighborhood of the zero frequency as

\[ S(f) \propto f^{-\alpha}, \quad 1 < \alpha < 3, \quad f \to 0^+ \]

The following relation holds: \( H = \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} \) [56, 57].

### 7.2 Fractionally integrated processes

**Definition 7.3.** [Integrated process of order \( d \)]

Suppose that \( \{x_t\} \) is a zero-mean time series generated from a zero-mean, variance \( \sigma^2 \) white noise series \( \{\epsilon_t\} \) by use of the linear filter \( a(L) \), where \( L \) is the backward operator, so that \( x_t = a(L)\epsilon_t, \quad L^k\epsilon_t = \epsilon_{t-k}, \) and that \( a(L) \) may be written \( a(L) = (1 - L)^{-d}a'(L) \), where \( a'(z) \) has no poles or roots at \( z = 0 \). Then \( \{x_t\} \) will be said to be integrated of order \( d \) and denoted \( \{x_t\} \sim I(d) \).

To avoid a cumbersome notation, we will refer briefly to \( x_t \sim I(d) \) as for an integrated process \( \{x_t\} \) of order \( d \).

Note that \( d \) need not be an integer [37]; \( d \) is also called the fractional degree of integration of the process.

[37] gives the following remark.

**Remark 7.4.** If \( x_t \) is an integrated process of order \( d \) and \( x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \epsilon_{t-j} \) then \( b_j = \frac{\Gamma(j+d)}{\Gamma(j+1)} \), \( j \geq 1 \).

Therefore, this leads to the equivalent definition:

**Definition 7.5.** (Integrated process of order \( d \)) A time series \( \{X_t\} \) is called fractionally integrated with differencing parameter \( d \) \( (x_t \sim I(d)) \), if

\[
x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \epsilon_{t-j}, \text{ with } b_j = \frac{\Gamma(j+d)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(d)}
\]

and \( \epsilon_t \sim i.i.d.(0, \sigma^2) \)

The following result has been proved in [38].

**Theorem 7.6.** If \( x_t = (1 - L)^{-d} \epsilon_t \), then \( \text{cov}(x_t, x_{t-k}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \sin(\pi d) \frac{\Gamma(k+d)}{\Gamma(k+1-d)} (1 - 2d) \), provided \( d < \frac{1}{2} \). The variance of \( x_t \) increases as \( d \) increases and is infinite for \( d \geq \frac{1}{2} \).

By Theorem 7.6 and [37] we have:
Corollary 7.7. $\rho_k = \text{corr}(x_t, x_{t-k}) = \frac{\Gamma(1-d)}{\Gamma(d+1)} \frac{\Gamma(k+d)}{\Gamma(k+1-d)}$, for $d < \frac{1}{2}$ and $d \neq 0$.

Remark 7.8. Of course $\rho_k = 0$ if $k > 0$ and $d = 0$, which is the white noise case.

Remark 7.9. Using the fact, derived from Sterling’s theorem, that $\frac{\Gamma(j+a)}{\Gamma(j+b)}$ is well approximated by $j^{a-b}$, it follows that $\rho_j \simeq A_1 j^{2d-1}$, $b_j \simeq A_2 j^{d-1}$, where $A_1$ and $A_2$ are appropriate constraints. Hence, if $d > 0$, then the series $x_t$ possesses the long-memory property.

The algebra of integrated series is quite simple. By continuing to follow [37]:

Proposition 7.10. If $X_t$ is an integrated process of order $d_X$, and an integrating filter is applied to it, to form $Y_t = (1-L)^{-d} X_t$, then $Y_t$ is an integrated process of order $d_Y = d_X + d'$.

The following result is proven in due to [37] as well:

Proposition 7.11. If $X_t$ and $Y_t$ are independent integrated processes of order, respectively, $d_X$ and $d_Y$, then the sum $Z_t := X_t + Y_t$ is an integrated process of order $d_Z$, where

$$d_Z = \max \{d_X, d_Y\}.$$

7.3 Beta distribution and its properties

Definition 7.12. If $Z$ is an ordinary beta-distributed random variable with support $[0,1]$, the probability density function of $Z$ is

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} z^{a-1} (1 - z)^{b-1}, \quad 0 \leq z \leq 1,$$

where $a$ and $b$ are positive parameters and

$$\beta(a,b) = \int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1 - z)^{b-1} dz.$$

We refer to this distribution as $B(a,b)$.

Proposition 7.13. If $X \sim B(a,b)$, then the random variable $Y = 1 - X$ is a beta random variable with law $B(b,a)$.

Let us now consider $C > 0$, $h \in \mathbb{R}$ and a new random variable $X$ which is related to $Z$ through the power transformation

$$Z = \left(\frac{X}{C}\right)^h \quad \text{or} \quad X = CZ^\frac{1}{h} \quad (80)$$

By the transformation in (80) we can define a generalization of the beta distribution.
Definition 7.14. The random variable $x$ defined by (80) has a beta generalized distribution $b(a, b, C, h)$ if its probability density function is defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{|h|}{\beta(a, b)C} \left(\frac{x}{C}\right)^{ah-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{x}{C}\right)^{h}\right]^{b-1}$$

(81)

where $0 \leq x \leq C$.

The moment $M_n$ of order $n$ for $X$ is given by

$$M_n = C^n \frac{\beta(a + \frac{n}{h}, b)}{\beta(a, b)} = C^n \frac{\Gamma(a + b)\Gamma(a + \frac{n}{h})}{\Gamma(a + b + \frac{n}{h}) \Gamma(a)}.$$  

(82)

Remark 7.15. A standard beta random variable is also a generalized beta random variable with parameters $h = C = 1$. Thus the properties of the beta standard random variable can be extended to the beta generalized random variable.

The beta generalized distribution is close with respect to the class of power transformations.

Proposition 7.16. Let $X \sim b(a, b, C, h)$ and consider

$$Y = rX^s,$$

(83)

where $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $Y \sim b(a, b, rC^s, \frac{h}{s})$.

Remark 7.17. Given $X \sim b(a, b, C, h)$, Proposition 7.16 implies that,

$$\lambda X \sim b(a, b, \lambda C, h)$$

and

$$X^n \sim b(a, b, C^n, \frac{h}{\eta}).$$

References

[1] Alfarano, S., Lux, T., Wagner F.: Time variation of higher moments in a financial market with heterogeneous agents: An analytical approach. Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control, 32, 101-136 (2008).

[2] Allen, F., Gale, D.: Optimal Financial Crises. Journal of Finance, 53, 1245-1284 (1998).
[3] Ausloos, M., Ivanova, K.: Low $q$-moment multifractal analysis of gold price, Dow Jones Industrial Average and BGL-USD exchange rate. European Physical Journal, 8, 665-669 (1999).

[4] Ausloos, M., Ivanova, K.: Introducing False EUR and False EUR exchange rates. Physica A 286, 353-366 (2000).

[5] Ausloos, M., Ivanova, K., Vandervalle, N.: Crashes: symptoms, diagnoses and remedies. In: Takayasu, H. (ed) Empirical sciences of financial fluctuations. The advent of econophysics. Springer Verlag, Berlin (2002).

[6] Avery C., Zemsky P.: Multidimensional Uncertainty and Herd Behavior in Financial Markets. American Economic Review 88(4), 724-748 (1988).

[7] Battacharya, R.N., Waymire, E.C.: Stochastic processes with applications. SIAM, 61 (2009).

[8] Bianchi, S.: Autocorrelazione delle serie finanziarie e non robustezza del range standardizzato. In: Atti della Giornata di Studio ”Aspetti scientifici e didattici della teoria del rischio”. Universit degli Studi del Molise, Campobasso, 18/06/1997, CAMPOBASSO: Uniservice, 1, 31-44 (1997).

[9] Bianchi, S.: A New Distribution-Based Test of Self-Similarity. Fractals, 3, 331-346 (2004).

[10] Bianchi, S., Pantanella, A., Pianese, A.: Modeling stock prices by multifractional Brownian motion: an improved estimation of the pointwise regularity. Quantitative Finance, 13(8), 1317-1330 (2013).

[11] Bianchi, S., Pianese, A.: Multifractional properties of stock indices decomposed by filtering their pointwise Hölder regularity. International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance, 11(6), 567-595 (2008).

[12] Burnside, C., Eichenbaum, M., Rebelo, S.: On the Fundamentals of Self-Fulfilling Speculative Attacks. University of Rochester, Center for Economic Research WP468 (2001).

[13] Bischi, G.I., Gallegati, M., Gardini, L., Leombruni, R., Palestrini, A.: Herd Behavior and Nonfundamental Asset Price Fluctuations in Financial Markets. Macroeconomic Dynamics 10, 502-528 (2006).
[14] Bollerslev, T., Mikkelsen, H.O.: Modelling and pricing long memory in stock market volatility. Journal of Econometrics, 73, 151-184 (1996).

[15] Box-Steffenmaier, J.M., Smith, R.M.: The Dynamics of Aggregate Partisanship. American Political Science Review 90, 567-580 (1996).

[16] Brianzoni, S., Cerqueti, R., Michetti, E.: A dynamics stochastic model of asset pricing with heterogeneous beliefs. Computational Economics, 35(2), 165-188 (2010).

[17] Brock, W.A., Hommes, C.H.: Rational route to randomness. Econometrica, 65, 1059-1095 (1997).

[18] Brock, W.A., Hommes, C.H.: Heterogeneous beliefs and routes to chaos in a simple asset pricing model. Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control, 22, 1235-1274 (1998).

[19] Byers, D., Davidson, J., Peel, D.: Modelling Political Popularity: An Analysis of Long Range Dependence in Opinion Poll Series. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A, 471-490 (1997).

[20] Cerqueti, R., Rotundo, G.: Microeconomic modeling of financial time series with long term memory. Communicated to the conference C.I.F.E.r (2003 IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering), sponsored by IEEE Neural Network Society and organised by the technical committee of Financial Engineering, held in Hong Kong, March 20th-23th 2003, Proceedings 191-198, IEEE catalog number: 03TH8653, ISBN 0-7803-7654-4.

[21] Cerqueti, R., Rotundo, G.: Dynamics of Financial Time Series in an Inhomogeneous Aggregation Framework. In: C. Perna and M Sibillo (eds.), Mathematical and Statistical Methods in Insurance and Finance, Springer (2007) 67-74 ISBN: 978-88-470-0703-1.

[22] Cerqueti, R., Rotundo, G.: Memory Property in Heterogeneously Populated Markets. In: Springer Series Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing (R.R. Kacprzyk, J. Ed.), Preferences and Decisions Greco, S., Marques Pereira, R.A., Squillante, M., Yager, R.R., Kacprzyk, J. (Eds.), Vol. 257, Preferences and Decisions, 53-67 (2010). ISBN/ISSN: 978-3-642-15975-6.
[23] Cerqueti, R., Rotundo, G.: The Role of Diversity in Persistence Aggregation. International Journal of Intelligent Systems, 27, 176-187 (2012).

[24] Cheung, Y.W., Lai, K.S.: A fractional cointegration analysis of purchasing power parity. Journal of Business and Economic Statistics, 11, 103-112 (1993).

[25] Chiarella, C., Dieci, R., Gardini, L.: Asset price and wealth dynamics in a financial market with heterogeneous agents. Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control, 30, 1755-1786 (2006).

[26] Chiarella, C., Gallegati, M., Leombruni, R., Palestrini, A.: Asset Price Dynamics among Heterogeneous Interacting Agents. Computational Economics, 22(2), 213-223 (2002).

[27] Chiarella, C., He, X.: Heterogeneous beliefs, risk and learning in a simple asset pricing model. Computational Economics, 19, 95-132 (2002).

[28] Corsetti, G., Pesenti, P., Roubini, N.: What Caused the Asian Currency and Financial Crisis? Part I: A Macroeconomic Overview. Mimeo (1999).

[29] Diebolt, C., Guiraud, V.: A Note On Long Memory Time Series, Quality & Quantity 39, 827-836 (2005).

[30] Ding, Z., Engle, R.F., Granger, C.W.J.: A long memory property of stock market returns and a new model. Journal of Empirical Finance, 1, 83-106 (1993).

[31] Ding, Z., Granger, C.W.J.: Modelling volatility persistence of speculative returns: a new approach. Journal of Econometrics, 73, 185-215 (1996).

[32] Ding, Z., Granger, C.W.J.: Varieties of long memory models. Journal of Econometrics, 73, 61-77 (1996).

[33] Dittman, I., Granger, C.W.J.: Properties of nonlinear transformations of fractionally integrated processes. Journal of Econometrics, 110, 113-133 (2002).

[34] Foellmer, H.: Random economies with many interacting agents. Journal of Mathematical Economics, 1, 51-62 (1974).
[35] Foellmer, H., Horst, U., Kirman, A.: Equilibria in financial markets with heterogeneous agents: a probabilistic perspective. Journal of Mathematical Economics, 41, 123-155 (2005).

[36] Fung, H.K., Lai, S., Lai, M.: Fractal Structure in Currency Futures Price Dynamics. The Journal of Futures Markets, 14, 169-181 (1994).

[37] Granger, C.W.J.: Long memory relationships and the aggregation of dynamic models. Journal of Econometrics 14, 227-228 (1980).

[38] Granger, C.W.J.: Joyeux, R.: An introduction to long-memory time series and fractional differencing. Journal of Time Series Analysis 1, 15-39 (1980).

[39] Gifford, C.M., Agah, A.: Sharing in Teams of Heterogeneous, Collaborative Learning Agents. International Journal of Intelligent Systems, 24, 173-200 (2009).

[40] Hommes, C.H.: Financial Markets as nonlinear adaptive evolutionary systems. Quantitative Finance, 1, 149-167 (2001).

[41] Hommes, C.H.: Heterogeneous agent models in economics and finance. In L. Tesfatsion and K.L. Judd, eds., Handbook of Computational Economics, vol. 2, North Holland: Elsevier, 1109-1186 (2006).

[42] Hosking, J.R.M.: Fractional differencing. Biometrika 68 1, 165-176 (1981).

[43] Hurst, H.: Long Term Storage Capacity of Reservoirs. Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers, 116, 770-799 (1951).

[44] Hurst, H.E.: A suggested statistical model of some time series which occur in nature. Nature 180, 494 (1957).

[45] Ivanova, K., Ausloos, M.: Low-order variability diagrams for short-range correlation evidence in financial data: BGL-USD exchange rate, Dow Jones industrial average, gold ounce price, Physica A 265, 279-291 (1999).

[46] Jonas, A.: Long memory self similar time series models, Harward University Manuscript (1981).
[47] Kirman, A.: Epidemics of opinion and speculative bubbles in financial markets. In: Taylor, M. (ed) Money and Financial Markets. Macmillan, London (1991).

[48] Kirman, A.: Ants, rationality and recruitment, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 108, 137-156 (1993).

[49] Kirman, A.P., Teyssiére, G.: Microeconomic models for long-memory in the volatility of financial time series. Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics and Econometrics, 5, 281-302 (2002).

[50] Kirman, A.P.: Heterogeneity in economics. Journal of Economic Interaction and Coordination, 1, 89-117 (2006).

[51] Lo, A.W.: Long memory in stock market prices, Econometrica, 59, 1279-1313 (1991).

[52] Lux, T., Ausloos, M.: Market Fluctuations I: Scaling, Multi-scaling and their Possible Origins. In: The Science of Disaster: Scaling Laws Governing Weather, Body, Stock-Market Dynamics, A.Bunde, J. Kropp, and H.-J.Schellnhuber, Eds. (Springer Verlag, Berlin) 377-413 (2002).

[53] Mandelbrot, B.B.: Possible Refinements of the Lognormal Hypothesis Concerning the Distribution of Energy Dissipation in Intermittent Turbulence. In: Rosenblatt M and Van Atta C. (Eds.) Statistical Models and Turbulence, New York, Springer-Verlag (1972).

[54] Mandelbrot, B.B., Wallis, J.: Noah, Joseph and operational hydrology. Water Resources Research, 4, 909-918 (1968).

[55] McLeod, A.I., Hipel, A.W.: Preservation of the rescaled adjusted range, 1: a reassessment of the Hurst phenomenon. Water Resources Research, 14, 491-508 (1978).

[56] Menna, M., Rotundo, G., Tirozzi, B.: Distinguishing between chaotic and stochastic systems in financial time series. International Journal of Modern Physics C, 13(1), 31-39 (2002).

[57] Osborn, A.R., Provenzale, A.: Finite correlation dimension for stochastic systems with power-law spectra. Physica D, 35, 357-381 (1989).
[58] Peng, C.K, Buldyrev, S.V., Havlin, S., Simons, M., Stanley, H.E., Goldberger, A.L.: Mosaic organization of DNA nucleotides. Phys Rev E 49, 1685-1689 (1994).

[59] Rangarajan, G., Ding, M.: Integrated approach to the assessment of long range correlation in time series data. Phys. Rev. E, 61(5), 4991-5001 (2000).

[60] Reka, A., Barabasi, A.L.: Statistical mechanics of complex networks. Reviews of Modern Physics, 74, 47-97 (2002).

[61] Reboredo, J.C., Rivera-Castro, M.A., Miranda, J.G.V., Garca-Rubio, R.: How fast do stock prices adjust to market efficiency? Evidence from a detrended fluctuation analysis. Physica A 392, 1631-1637 (2013).

[62] Rotundo, G., Blasi, M., Petroni, F.: Testing long memory in synthetic time series. Working paper Dipartimento di Studi Aziendali, Tecnologici e Quantitativi, Université della Tuscia 1, 1-14 (2007).

[63] Simon, H.: Models of man. New York: John Wiley & Sons (1957).

[64] Tscherning, R.: Long Memory in Foreign Exchange Rates Revisited. Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions & Money, 5, 53-78 (1995).

[65] Vandewalle, N., Ausloos, M.: Spareness and Roughness of Foreign Exchange Rates. International Journal of Modern Physics C, 9, 711-720 (1998).

[66] Vandervalle, N., D’Hulst, R., Ausloos, M.: Phase segregation in binary sand-piles on fractal bases. Phys. Rev. E, 59, 631-635 (1999).

[67] Wei, A., Leuthold, R.M.: Agricultural Futures Prices and Long Memory Processes. OFOR Working Paper No. 00.04. Available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=229795 or doi:10.2139/ssrn.229795 (2000).

[68] Weron, R.: Estimating long-range dependence: finite sample properties and confidence intervals. Physica A 312, 285-299 (2002).

[69] Willinger, W., Paxson, V., Taqqu, M.S.: Self Similarity and Heavy Tails: Structural Modeling of Network Traffic. In: A Practical Guide To Heavy Tails: Statistical Techniques and Applications, Boston: Birkhäuser, (1998).
[70] Yager, R.R.: Including a Diversity Criterion in Decision Making. International Journal of Intelligent Systems, 25, 958-969 (2010).

[71] Zaffaroni, P.: Contemporaneous aggregation of linear dynamic models in large economies. Journal of Econometrics, 120, 75-102 (2004).

[72] Zaffaroni, P.: Memory and aggregation for models of changing volatility. Journal of Econometrics, 136, 237-249 (2007a).

[73] Zaffaroni, P.: Contemporaneous aggregation of GARCH processes. Journal of Time Series Analysis, 28, 521-544 (2007b).