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1. Introduction

The theory of functional calculi forms a basis for the study of sectorial operators and semigroup generators. In particular, two functional calculi were used extensively in the research on operator semigroups and sectorial operators during the past 50 years. One of them, the Hille–Phillips (HP) functional calculus for semigroup generators, probably stemmed from the foundational monograph [37], and it became an indispensable part of semigroup theory. The systematic approach to the other one, the holomorphic functional calculus for sectoral operators, was initiated by McIntosh and his collaborators in the 1980s. Though the two calculi appeared to be very useful in applications, the operator norm estimates within them are often problematic. The estimates within the HP-calculus are direct but rather crude, and the task of getting bounds within the holomorphic functional calculus is a priori cumbersome because the calculus is not, in general, a bounded Banach algebra homomorphism.

To circumvent those problems, a number of additional tools and methods appeared in the literature. In particular, the advanced notions and techniques related to bounded $H^\infty$-calculus, $R$-boundedness, Fourier multipliers and transference were developed in depth, and one may consult [38], [41] and [35] for many of these function-theoretical developments. Moreover, various implications of positivity of functions and their derivatives (completely monotone, Bernstein, $N\mathcal{P}_+$-functions) were adjusted to the operator-theoretical setup. For clarification of the role of positivity, see [50], [31] and [6], for example.

Recently, in [7], a new functional calculus was constructed, the so-called $B$-calculus. First of all, the $B$-calculus offers a simple and efficient route to operator norm estimates for functions of semigroup generators, thus unifying a number of estimates in the literature.
and leading to new ones. No supplementary arguments are required and the estimates underline the strength of the calculi. Moreover, the $\mathcal{B}$-calculus possesses all attributes of classical functional calculi, see [7]. When combined properly they lead to new spectral mapping theorems and generalisations of fundamentals of semigroup theory; see [8]. To put our results into a proper context and to use some of the $\mathcal{B}$-calculus properties in the sequel, we briefly recall the setup for the $\mathcal{B}$-calculus; see [7] for more details.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the algebra of holomorphic functions on the right half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_0} := \int_0^\infty \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}} |f'(\alpha + i\beta)| \, d\alpha < \infty.$$  

These functions have been considered in some detail in [7] (see also [53]). In particular, every $f \in \mathcal{B}$ belongs to $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+) \cap C(\mathbb{C}_+)$, and $\mathcal{B}$ is a Banach algebra with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} := \|f\|_\infty + \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_0}. $$  

Moreover, the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ modulo constants is isomorphic to the holomorphic Besov space $B^+_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R})$; see [7, Proposition 6.2]. In the setting of power bounded operators on Hilbert spaces, the unit disc counterpart of $\mathcal{B}$ was employed for the study of functional calculi in [47].

Let $A$ be a densely defined closed operator on a Banach space $X$ such that $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_+$ and

$$\sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha \int_\mathbb{R} |\langle (\alpha + i\beta + A)^{-2} x, x^* \rangle| \, d\beta < \infty$$  

for all $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$. This class of operators includes two substantial subclasses, namely, the negative generators of bounded $C_0$-semigroups on Hilbert spaces $X$ and the negative generators of (sectorially) bounded holomorphic $C_0$-semigroups on Banach spaces $X$. On the other hand, every operator in the class is the negative generator of a bounded $C_0$-semigroup.

The study of functional calculus based on the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ was initiated in [57] for generators of bounded semigroups on Hilbert spaces and in [53] for generators of holomorphic semigroups. These works adapted and extended the approach from [47] to a more demanding and involved setting of unbounded operators. Most researchers were unaware of [57] until it became accessible a few years ago. Meanwhile, the line of research put forward in [57] and [53] was continued in [36] and [51] proceeding in two different directions (additional related references can be found in [7]). In [36], by means of a new transference technique, counterparts of the results from [53] were proved in the framework of bounded semigroups on Hilbert space and certain substantial subclasses of $\mathcal{B}$, and [51] offered a number of generalisations and improvements of estimates from [53]. Only [51] and [53] considered all functions in $\mathcal{B}$, applied to generators of bounded holomorphic semigroups in both papers. In [7], we introduced a bounded $\mathcal{B}$ functional calculus for all operators satisfying (1.3), and we extended the theory in [8].

For $f \in \mathcal{B}$, set

$$\langle f(A)x, x^* \rangle = f(\infty)\langle x, x^* \rangle - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty f'(\alpha + i\beta)\langle (\alpha - i\beta + A)^{-2} x, x^* \rangle \, d\beta \, d\alpha \quad (1.4)$$
for all $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$, where $f(\infty) = \lim_{\Re z \to \infty} f(z)$. Using (1.3) and the definition of $\mathcal{B}$ (and the closed graph theorem), it is easy to show that $f(A)$ is a bounded linear mapping from $X$ to $X^{**}$ and that the linear mapping

$$\tilde{\Phi}_A : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{L}(X,X^{**}), \quad f \mapsto f(A),$$

is bounded.

It was discovered in [7] that much more is true. If $A$ belongs to any of the classes of semigroup generators mentioned above, then the formula (1.4) defines a bounded algebra homomorphism

$$\Phi_A : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{L}(X), \quad \Phi_A(f) := f(A).$$

It is natural to call the homomorphism $\Phi_A$ the ($\mathcal{B}$-)calculus of $A$. It was proved in [7] that $\Phi_A$ possesses a number of useful properties. In particular, it admits the spectral inclusion (spectral mapping, in the case of bounded holomorphic semigroups) theorem and a convergence lemma of appropriate form. The utility of the $\mathcal{B}$-calculus depends on the facts that it (strictly) extends the HP-calculus and it is compatible with the holomorphic functional calculi for sectorial and half-plane type operators.

Moreover, the $\mathcal{B}$-calculus $\Phi_A$ is the only functional calculus that one can define for $A$ satisfying (1.3) and for functions in $\mathcal{B}$. Indeed, let $A$ be an operator on $X$ with dense domain, and assume that $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_+$. A (bounded) $\mathcal{B}$-calculus for $A$ is, by definition, a bounded algebra homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $\Phi((z+\cdot)^{-1}) = (z+A)^{-1}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. As shown in [8], if $A$ admits a $\mathcal{B}$-calculus, then the resolvent assumption (1.3) holds, and the calculus is $\Phi_A$.

Though the $\mathcal{B}$-calculus is optimal and unique for generators of Hilbert space semigroups, the situation is far from being so for generators of bounded holomorphic semigroups on Banach spaces (as this article will, in particular, show). Thus, using the $\mathcal{B}$-calculus ideology as a guiding principle, it is natural to try to extend it beyond the Besov algebra $\mathcal{B}$ keeping all of its useful properties such as availability of good norm estimates, spectral mapping theorems, convergence lemmas, compatibility with the other calculi, etc. Moreover, it is desirable to cover all sectorial operators regardless of their sectoriality angle.

In this article we will construct some functional calculi encompassing wider classes of functions (including some with singularities on $i\mathbb{R}$) and providing finer estimates for all negative generators of (sectorially) bounded holomorphic semigroups, and eventually for all sectorial operators. Functional calculi for generators of some classes of bounded holomorphic semigroups were constructed in [28], [27], [25], [26] and [40]. However, most of the results in those papers concern sectorial operators of angle zero, and the approaches there are based on fine estimates for the corresponding semigroups rather than fine analytic properties of resolvents.

Let $A$ be a densely defined sectorial operator of sectorial angle $\theta_A \in [0,\pi)$ on a Banach space $X$, and let $\text{Sect}(\theta)$ denote the class of all sectorial operators of angle $\theta$ for $\theta \in [0,\pi)$. It is well-known that $-A$ is the generator of a (sectorially) bounded holomorphic $C_0$-semigroup on $X$ if and only if $A$ is sectorial and $\theta_A < \pi/2$ (we may write $A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-)$ for this class). In this article we address the question of whether the $\mathcal{B}$-calculus for $A$ can
be extended to more functions. Because the resolvent of $A$ satisfies the estimate

$$M_\psi(A) := \sup_{z \in \Sigma_{\theta_A}} \|z(z + A)^{-1}\| < \infty,$$

for all $\psi \in (\theta_A, \pi)$, a direct way to define an appropriate function algebra would be to introduce a Banach space of functions $f$ that are holomorphic on sectors $\Sigma_\psi := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(z)| < \psi \}$ such that

$$\|f\|_\psi := \int_{\partial \Sigma_\psi} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z|} |dz| < \infty.$$  \hfill (1.5)

In order to apply this to all $A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-)$, $f$ should be holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}_+$ and the assumption (1.5) should hold for all $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$. In order to provide an estimate that is uniform in $\theta$ it is desirable to have $\sup_{\psi \in (0, \pi/2)} \|f\|_\psi < \infty$. To our knowledge, no spaces of this type have been studied systematically in the literature, although they appear naturally in [38, Appendix H2 and Chapter 10.2], [34, Section 6] and [33, Appendix C]. This class of functions is strictly included in each of the spaces $D_{s,s}^{s+}$ (see Proposition 4.15 and a discussion following it), which we now define.

To define a functional calculus for all $A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-)$, we let $D_{s,s}^{s+}$, be the linear space of all holomorphic functions $f$ on $\mathbb{C}_+$ such that

$$\|f\|_{D_{s,s}} := \int_0^{\infty} \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|f'(\alpha + i\beta)|}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(s+1)/2}} d\beta d\alpha < \infty.$$  \hfill (1.6)

If $f \in D_s$, then there exists a finite limit $f(\infty) := \lim_{|z| \to \infty, z \in \Sigma_\psi} f(z)$ for all $\psi \in (0,\pi/2)$.

For every $s > -1$ the space $D_s$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{D_s} := |f(\infty)| + \|f\|_{D_{s,0}}, \quad f \in D_s,$$

is a Banach space but not an algebra. However, the spaces $D_s$ increase with $s$, and we prove in Lemma 3.21 that

$$D_\infty := \bigcup_{s>-1} D_s$$

is an algebra.

Let $f \in D_\infty$, so $f \in D_s$ for some $s > -1$, and let $A$ be sectorial with $\theta_A < \pi/2$. Define

$$f_{D_s}(A) := f(\infty) - \frac{2^s}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'\alpha(\alpha + \alpha - i\beta)(A + \alpha - i\beta)^{-(s+1)} d\beta d\alpha.$$  \hfill (1.7)

Then $f_{D_s}(A) = f_{D_{s+}}(A)$ whenever $\sigma > s$. The following result sets out other properties of this functional calculus. The proof will be given in Section 7.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $A$ be a densely defined closed operator on a Banach space $X$ such that $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{C}_+$. The following are equivalent:

(i) $A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-)$.

(ii) There is an algebra homomorphism $\Psi_A : D_\infty \to L(X)$ such that

$$\Psi_A((z+\cdot)^{-1}) = (z+A)^{-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$
and \( \Psi_A \) is bounded in the sense that there exist constants \( C_s, s > -1 \), such that, for every \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \),

\[
\| \Psi_A(f) \| \leq C_s \| f \|_{\mathcal{D}_s}.
\]

(1.8)

When these properties hold, \( \Psi_A \) is unique, and it is defined by the formula (1.7)

\[
\Psi_A : \mathcal{D}_\infty \mapsto L(X), \quad \Psi_A(f) = f_D(A), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_s.
\]

The homomorphism \( \Psi_A \) will be called the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus for \( A \). It will be shown in Section 7 that the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus is compatible with the HP-calculus and the holomorphic calculus for sectorial operators, and a spectral mapping theorem is given in Theorem 9.3. Corollary 7.8 provides a version of this functional calculus based on the Banach algebra \( H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+) \cap \mathcal{D}_s \) for a fixed value of \( s \).

The \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus defined as above does not take into account the sectoriality angle of \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \). However, it can be used to construct a functional calculus that does not have this drawback. To achieve this aim we introduce the Hardy–Sobolev spaces \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \), on sectors \( \Sigma_\psi \). First, for any \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \), we define the Hardy space \( H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \) as the linear space of functions \( f \in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_\psi) \) such that

\[
\| f \|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)} := \sup_{|\psi'| < \psi} \int_0^\infty ( |f(te^{i\phi})| + |f(te^{-i\phi})| ) \, dt < \infty.
\]

(1.9)

Note that \( H^1(\Sigma_{\pi/2}) \) coincides with the classical Hardy space \( H^1(\mathbb{C}_+) \) in the right half-plane \( \mathbb{C}_+ \). It is well-known that \( (H^1(\Sigma_\psi), \| \cdot \|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)}) \) is a Banach space, and every \( f \in H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \) has a boundary function on \( \partial \Sigma_\psi \). The boundary function exists as the limit of \( f \) in both an \( L^1 \)-sense and a pointwise (almost everywhere, a.e.) sense. Moreover, the norm of \( f \) in \( H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \) is attained by the \( L^1 \)-norm of its boundary function. See Subsection 4.1 for a succinct approach to the Hardy spaces on sectors.

The space \( H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \) induces the corresponding Hardy–Sobolev space \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \) on \( \Sigma_\psi \) as

\[
\mathcal{H}_\psi := \{ f \in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_\psi) : f' \in H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \}.
\]

Any function \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \) has a finite limit \( f(\infty) := \lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) \); moreover, \( f \in H^\infty(\Sigma_\psi) \). Then \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \) becomes a Banach algebra in the norm

\[
\| f \|_{\mathcal{H}_\psi} := \| f \|_{H^\infty(\Sigma_\psi)} + \| f' \|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi.
\]

The relationship between these spaces and the spaces \( \mathcal{D}_s \) for all \( s > -1 \) is set out in Corollary 4.3 and Lemma 4.13; in particular, for each \( s > -1 \), \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi/2} \) is contained in \( \mathcal{D}_s \), and \( \mathcal{D}_s \) is embedded in \( \mathcal{H}_{\psi} \) for \( \psi < \pi/2 \).

To make use of the angle of sectoriality of \( A \), we can adjust the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus to sectors as follows. If \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \) where \( \psi \in (\theta_A, \pi) \), \( \gamma = \pi/(2\psi) \) and \( f_{1/\gamma}(z) := f(z^{1/\gamma}) \), then \( f'_{1/\gamma} \in H^1(\mathbb{C}_+) \) and \( f_{1/\gamma}(\infty) = f(\infty) \), and hence \( f_{1/\gamma} \in \mathcal{D}_0 \). This observation allows us to extend the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus to the class of all sectorial operators and makes the next definition (based on the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus) natural and plausible.
If $A$ is sectorial and $\psi \in (\theta_A, \pi)$, define
\[
f_{H}(A) := f(\infty) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'_{1/\gamma}(\alpha + i\beta)(A^\gamma + \alpha - i\beta)^{-1} d\beta d\alpha.
\] (1.10)

One can prove (see (8.2)) that
\[
\|f_{H}(A)\| \leq |f(\infty)| + \frac{M_{\pi/2}(A^\gamma)}{\pi} \|f_{1/\gamma}\|_{D_{0,0}} \leq |f(\infty)| + M_{\pi/2}(A^\gamma)\|f\|_{H}(\psi).
\] (1.11)

Then (1.10) and (1.11) hold for any $\gamma \in (1, \pi/(2\psi))$, and the definition of $f_{H}(A)$ does not depend on the choice of $\psi$.

Now we are able to formalise our extension of the $D$-calculus as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $A$ be a densely defined operator on a Banach space $X$ such that $\sigma(A) \subset \Sigma_{\theta}$, where $\theta \in [0, \pi)$. The following are equivalent:

(i) $A \in \text{Sect}(\theta)$.

(ii) For each $\psi \in (\theta, \pi)$, there is a bounded Banach algebra homomorphism $\Upsilon_A : H(\psi) \mapsto L(X)$ such that $\Upsilon_A((z + \cdot)^{-1}) = (z + A)^{-1}$, $z \in \Sigma_{\pi - \psi}$.

When these properties hold, the homomorphism $\Upsilon_A$ is unique for each value of $\psi$, and it is defined by the formula (1.10):
\[
\Upsilon_A : H(\psi) \mapsto L(X), \quad \Upsilon_A(f) = f_{H}(A), \quad f \in H(\psi).
\]

The homomorphism $\Upsilon_A$ will be called the $H$-calculus for $A$.

The $D$-calculus can be given a more succinct form, by replacing (1.10) with the somewhat more transparent formula (1.12) below, inspired by results in [12].

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $A \in \text{Sect}(\theta)$, $\theta < \psi < \pi$ and $\gamma = \pi/(2\psi)$. For $f \in H(\psi)$, let
\[
f_\psi(s) := \frac{f(e^{i\psi}t) + f(e^{-i\psi}t)}{2}, \quad t \geq 0.
\]

Then
\[
f_{H}(A) = f(\infty) - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} f'_\psi(t) \arccot(A^\gamma/t^\gamma) dt
\] (1.12)

where the integral converges in the uniform operator topology, and
\[
\|f_{H}(A)\| \leq |f(\infty)| + M_\psi(A)\|f'_\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq M_\psi(A)\|f\|_{H}(\psi).
\]

Thus, $\|\Upsilon_A\| \leq M_\psi(A)$.

See Subsection 8.2 for details. The $D$-calculus and the $H$-calculus possess natural properties of functional calculi such as spectral mapping theorems and convergence lemmas. These properties are studied in Section 9.

The strength of the constructed calculi is illustrated by several examples showing that they lead to sharper estimates than those offered by other calculi (see Section 12 for one example). Moreover, the theory developed in this article is successfully tested by deriving several significant estimates for functions of sectorial operators from the literature. In
particular, in Section 10, we provide a proof of permanence of the class of sectorial operators under subordination and we revisit a few basic results from semigroup theory.

In developing the $D$- and $H$-calculi we prove a number of results of independent interest in function theory. Apart from the theory of the spaces $D_s$ and $H_\psi$, their reproducing formulas and boundedness of the associated operators elaborated in this article, we emphasise the property (4.9) in Corollary 4.3 yielding isometric coincidence of spaces of Hardy type, Theorem 4.12 on Laplace representability of Hardy–Sobolev functions and Theorem 5.10 on the density of rational functions in Hardy–Sobolev spaces.

Added Note: During the preparation of this article, we became aware of a paper by Arnold and Le Merdy [5], who considered negative generators of bounded $C_0$-semigroups on Hilbert space. Inspired by ideas in [47] for the discrete case, they extended the $B$-calculus for those operators to a strictly larger Banach algebra $A$ in which $B$ is continuously embedded. Their extension is complementary to our extensions to the $D$ and $H$-calculi for negative generators of bounded holomorphic $C_0$-semigroups on Banach spaces. We are grateful to Loris Arnold for pointing out several defects in the original version of this article.

2. Preliminaries

Notation

Throughout the article, we will use the following notation:

- $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$,
- $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re} z > 0\}$,
- $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re} z \geq 0\}$,
- $\Sigma_\theta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \neq 0, |\arg z| < \theta\}$ for $\theta \in (0, \pi)$.

For $f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$, we say that $f$ has a sectorial limit at infinity if

$$\lim_{|z| \to \infty, z \in \Sigma_\psi} f(z)$$

exists for every $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$. Similarly, $f$ has a sectorial limit at 0 if

$$\lim_{|z| \to 0, z \in \Sigma_\psi} f(z)$$

exists for every $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$. We say that $f$ has a half-plane limit at infinity if

$$\lim_{\text{Re} z \to \infty} f(z)$$

exists in $\mathbb{C}$. We say that $f$ has a full limit at infinity or at zero if

$$\lim_{|z| \to \infty, z \in \mathbb{C}_+} f(z) \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{|z| \to 0, z \in \mathbb{C}_+} f(z)$$

exists in $\mathbb{C}$. The notation $f(\infty)$ and $f(0)$ may denote a sectorial limit, a half-plane limit or a full limit, according to context.

For $a \in \mathbb{C}_+$, we define functions on $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$e_a(z) = e^{-az}, \quad r_a(z) = (z + a)^{-1}, z \neq -a.$$
We use the following notation for spaces of functions or measures and transforms on $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}_+$:

\( \text{Hol}(\Omega) \) denotes the space of holomorphic functions on an open subset $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{C}$, \( H^\infty(\Omega) \) is the space of bounded holomorphic functions on $\Omega$, and \( \| f \|_{H^\infty(\Omega)} = \sup_{\Omega} |f(z)| \).

\( H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \) denotes the Banach algebra of all bounded Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}_+$ under convolution. We identify $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with a subalgebra of $M(\mathbb{R}_+)$ in the usual way. We write $L^1_\mu$ for the Laplace transform of $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R}_+)$. $L^1_\mu$ is the HP algebra, $L^1_\mu := \{ L^1_\mu : \mu \in M(\mathbb{R}_+) \}$, with the norm $\| L^1_\mu \|_{\text{HP}} := |\mu|(\mathbb{R}_+)$. 

$dS$ denotes area measure on $\mathbb{C}_+$.

For a Banach space $X$, $X^*$ denotes the dual space of $X$, and $L(X)$ denotes the space of all bounded linear operators on $X$. The domain, spectrum and resolvent set of an (unbounded) operator $A$ on $X$ are denoted by $D(A)$, $\sigma(A)$ and $\rho(A)$, respectively.

If $(X, \| \cdot \|_X)$ and $(Y, \| \cdot \|_Y)$ are normed spaces of holomorphic functions on domains $\Omega_X$ and $\Omega_Y$, we will use notation as follows:

- $Y \hookrightarrow X$ if $\Omega_Y = \Omega_X$, $Y$ is a subset of $X$ and the inclusion map is continuous;
- $Y \subset X$ if $\Omega_Y = \Omega_X$, $Y$ is a subset of $X$ and $Y$ inherits the norm from $X$;
- $Y \hookrightarrow X$ if $\Omega_Y \supset \Omega_X$, and the restriction map $f \mapsto f|_{\Omega_X}$ is a continuous map from $Y \to X$.

Boundaries of all of the sectors appearing in this article will be oriented from top to bottom.

**Elementary inequalities**

We will need the following elementary lemma, which gives lower bounds for $|z + \lambda|$ in terms of $|z|$ and $|\lambda|$, for $z, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

**Lemma 2.1.**

(i) Let $z = |z|e^{i\psi}$ and $\lambda = |\lambda|e^{i\varphi} \in \mathbb{C}$, where $|\psi - \varphi| \leq \pi$. Then

\[
|z + \lambda| \geq \cos \left( \frac{\psi - \varphi}{2} \right) (|z| + |\lambda|). \tag{2.1}
\]

(ii) Let $z \in \Sigma_\psi$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_\varphi$, where $\psi, \varphi > 0$ and $\varphi + \psi < \pi$. Then

\[
|z + \lambda| \geq \cos \left( \frac{\psi + \varphi}{2} \right) (|z| + |\lambda|). \tag{2.2}
\]

(iii) Let $z = |z|e^{i\psi}$ and $\lambda = |\lambda|e^{i\varphi} \in \mathbb{C}$, where $|\psi| < \pi/2$ and $|\varphi| \leq \pi/2$. Then

\[
|z + \lambda| \geq \cos |\varphi| \lambda | \tag{2.3}
\]

and

\[
|z + \lambda| \geq \cos \psi |z|. \tag{2.4}
\]
Proof. For (2.1), we may assume that \( \varphi \geq \psi \) and let \( \theta := (\pi - \varphi + \psi)/2 \in [0,\pi/2] \). By applying a rotation of \( \mathbb{C} \) we may further assume that \( \varphi = \pi - \theta \) and \( \psi = \theta \). Then
\[
|z + \lambda| \geq \text{Im } z + \text{Im } \lambda = \sin \theta(|z| + |\lambda|) = \cos \left( \frac{\varphi - \psi}{2} \right) (|z| + |\lambda|).
\]
The inequality (2.2) follows from (2.1), because \( \psi + \varphi \) is the maximum value of \( |\psi' - \varphi'| \) for \( \psi' \in [-\psi,\psi] \) and \( \varphi' \in [-\varphi,\varphi] \).

The inequality (2.4) is obtained by considering \( \text{Re}(z + \lambda) \). For the inequality (2.3), we assume without loss of generality that \( \sin \varphi \geq 0 \). Note that
\[
|\lambda + z|^2 - |\lambda|^2 \cos^2 \psi = (|z| + |\lambda| \cos(\varphi - \psi))^2 + |\lambda|^2 (\sin^2 \varphi - \cos^2(\varphi - \psi))
= |z|^2 + 2|z||\lambda| \cos(\varphi - \psi) + |\lambda|^2 \sin^2 \varphi.
\]
If \( \cos(\varphi - \psi) < 0 \), we have
\[
\sin \varphi - |\cos(\varphi - \psi)| = \sin \varphi (1 + \sin \psi) + \cos \varphi \cos \psi \geq 0.
\]
Then the expression on the right-hand side of the first line of (2.5) is clearly nonnegative. If \( \cos(\varphi - \psi) \geq 0 \), then the expression in the second line is clearly nonnegative. This completes the proof.

Beta function
The Beta function appears in many places in the article. It is defined for \( s,t > 0 \) by
\[
B(s,t) = B(t,s) := \int_0^1 \tau^{s-1}(1-\tau)^{t-1} d\tau = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{2s-1} \psi \sin^{2t-1} \psi d\psi.
\]
In particular, for \( s > -1 \) we will use the relations
\[
B \left( \frac{s+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^{s} \psi d\psi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(1+t^2)^{(s+2)/2}} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma((s + 1)/2)}{\Gamma(s/2 + 1)},
\]
see [48, items 2.5.3,(1) and 2.2.3,(5)]. We note also the following limit properties:
\[
\lim_{s \to -1} (s + 1) B \left( \frac{s+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) = 2, \quad \lim_{s \to \infty} \sqrt{s} B \left( \frac{s+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) = \sqrt{2\pi}.
\]

Proof conventions
We will make extensive use of the dominated convergence theorem, often for vector-valued functions. With a few exceptions, we will not give details of the relevant dominating functions, because they are usually easily identified.

We will also use the following elementary lemma on several occasions. See [21, p.21, Lemma 1] for a proof.

Lemma 2.2. Let \( (\Omega, \mu) \) be a \( \sigma \)-finite measure space and \( (f_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset L^p(\Omega, \mu) \), where \( p \in [1,\infty) \). If \( f_n \to f_0 \) a.e. and \( \|f_n\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mu)} \to \|f_0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mu)} \), then \( \|f_n - f_0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \mu)} \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

We will use Vitali’s theorem several times, usually for holomorphic vector-valued functions. We refer to the version given in [4, Theorem A.5].
Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach space of holomorphic functions on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ such that the point evaluations $\delta_z : f \mapsto f(z), z \in \Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$, are continuous on $\mathcal{X}$. Let $(\Omega, \mu)$ be either an interval in $\mathbb{R}$ with length measure or an open set in $\mathbb{C}$ with area measure and $F : \Omega \to \mathcal{X}$ be a continuous function such that $\int_{\Omega} \|F(t)\|_\mathcal{X} \, d\mu(t) < \infty$. Then the integral
\[ G := \int_{\Omega} F(t) \, d\mu(t) \]
exists as a Bochner integral in $\mathcal{X}$ and it can be approximated by Riemann sums. It follows that $G$ belongs to the closed linear span of $\{F(t) : t \in \Omega\}$ in $\mathcal{X}$.

Now assume that $F : \Omega \to \mathcal{X}$ is locally bounded, where $\Omega$ is an open set in $\mathbb{C}$, and that $\lambda \mapsto F(\lambda)(z)$ is holomorphic on $\Omega$ for all $z \in \Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$. We will use the fact that $F : \Omega \to \mathcal{X}$ is holomorphic in the vector-valued sense, without further comment. The result at this level of generality can be seen from [4, Corollary A.7], using the point evaluations as separating functionals. An alternative is to show that $F$ is continuous and then apply Morera’s theorem. If the definition of $F$ is by an integral formula, it may also be possible to apply a standard corollary of the dominated convergence theorem that leads to an integral formula for the derivative $F'$.

3. The Banach spaces $\mathcal{D}_s$ and their reproducing formulas

In this section we introduce some spaces of holomorphic functions to which we will extend the $\mathcal{B}$-calculus of operators in Section 7 onwards.

3.1. The spaces $\mathcal{V}_s$

Let $s > -1$, $z = \alpha + i\beta$, and let $\mathcal{V}_s$ be the Banach space of (equivalence classes of) measurable functions $g : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$ such that the norm
\[
\|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_s} := \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} \left( \frac{\text{Re} z)^s |g(z)|}{|z|^{s+1}} \right) dS(z)
\]
\[
= \int_0^\infty \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{|g(\alpha + i\beta)|}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(s+1)/2}} \, d\beta \, d\alpha
\]
\[
= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \varphi \int_0^\infty |g(\rho e^{i\varphi})| \, d\rho \, d\varphi
\]
is finite, where $S$ is the area measure on $\mathbb{C}_+$. Note that
\[
\mathcal{V}_s \subset \mathcal{V}_\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_s} \leq \|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_\sigma}, \quad g \in \mathcal{V}_s, \ s < \sigma, \quad (3.2)
\]
and
\[
\int_{\Sigma_\psi} \frac{|g(z)|}{|z|} \, dS(z) \leq \max \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{\cos^s \psi} \right\} \|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_s}, \quad g \in \mathcal{V}_s, \ \psi \in (0, \pi/2). \quad (3.3)
\]

The following property of functions from $\mathcal{V}_s$ is an essential element in the arguments that lead to the representations for functions in $\mathcal{V}_s$ in Proposition 3.7 and for $\mathcal{D}_s$ in Corollary 3.10, and eventually to the definition of a functional calculus for operators in (7.5).
Lemma 3.1. Let $g \in \mathcal{V}_s$ be holomorphic, where $s > -1$. For every $k \geq 1$ and every $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$,

$$\lim_{|z| \to \infty, z \in \Sigma_\psi} z^k g^{(k-1)}(z) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{V}_s$ be holomorphic, $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$, $\psi' = (\pi/2 + \psi)/2$ and $b_\psi = \sin((\pi/2 - \psi)/2) = \cos \psi'$. If $z \in \Sigma_\psi$, then

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - z| \leq b_\psi |z|\} \subset \{\lambda \in \Sigma_{\psi'} : |\lambda| \geq (1-b_\psi)|z|\}.$$

Let $r \in (0, b_\psi |z|)$. By Cauchy’s integral formula for derivatives,

$$g^{(k-1)}(z) = \frac{(k-1)!}{2\pi i} \int_{\{\lambda : |\lambda - z| = r\}} \frac{g(\lambda)}{(\lambda - z)^k} d\lambda.$$

Multiplying by $r^k$ and integrating with respect to $r$ over $(0, b_\psi |z|)$ gives

$$\frac{(b_\psi |z|)^{k+1}}{k+1} |g^{(k-1)}(z)| \leq \frac{(k-1)!}{2\pi} \int_{\{\lambda : |\lambda - z| \leq b_\psi |z|\}} |g(\lambda)| dS(\lambda),$$

and then

$$|z|^k |g^{(k-1)}(z)| \leq \frac{(k+1)(k-1)!}{2\pi b_\psi^{k+1}} \int_{\{\lambda \in \Sigma_{\psi'} : |\lambda| \geq (1-b_\psi)|z|\}} |g(\lambda)| \frac{dS(\lambda)}{|\lambda|}.$$

It now follows from (3.3) that $|z^k g^{(k-1)}(z)| \to 0$ as $|z| \to \infty, z \in \Sigma_\psi$. □

3.2. The spaces $D_s$ and the operators $Q_s$

We now define a linear operator $Q_s$ on $\mathcal{V}_s$, $s > -1$. It will play a role similar to the operator $Q$ on $\mathcal{W}$ considered in [8, Section 3], where $\mathcal{W}$ is the Banach space of all (equivalence classes of) measurable functions $g : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{W}} := \int_0^\infty \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}} |g(\alpha + i\beta)| d\alpha < \infty. \quad (3.5)$$

Indeed, the definition of $Q_1$ is formally the same as the definition of $Q$ in [8], but the domain $\mathcal{V}_1$ of $Q_1$ is larger than $\mathcal{W}$.

For $g \in \mathcal{V}_s$, let

$$(Q_s g)(z) := -\frac{2^s}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{g(\alpha + i\beta)}{(z + \alpha - i\beta)^{s+1}} d\beta d\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \{0\}. \quad (3.6)$$

By (2.3), the integral is absolutely convergent, and

$$|(Q_s g)(z)| \leq \frac{2^s \|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_s}}{\pi \cos^{s+1} \psi}, \quad z \in \Sigma_\psi, \psi \in (0, \pi/2). \quad (3.7)$$

The dominated convergence theorem implies that $Q_s g$ is continuous on $\mathbb{C}_+$, with sectorial limits at infinity and 0:

$$\lim_{|z| \to \infty, z \in \Sigma_\psi} (Q_s g)(z) = 0, \quad \psi \in (0, \pi/2), \quad (3.8)$$
and

\[ \lim_{|z| \to 0, z \in \Sigma_{\psi}} (Q_{s}g)(z) = (Q_{s}g)(0), \quad \psi \in (0, \pi/2). \]  

(3.9)

Thus, \( Q_{s}g \) is bounded and continuous on \( \Sigma_{\psi} \) for every \( \psi \in (0, \pi/2) \). Moreover, \( Q_{s}g \) is holomorphic on \( \mathbb{C}_{+} \) and

\[ (Q_{s}g)'(z) = (s + 1) \frac{2^s}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(\alpha + i\beta)}{(z + \alpha - i\beta)^{s+2}} d\beta d\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}. \]  

(3.10)

Using this, (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain that

\[ |(Q_{s}g)'(z)| \leq \frac{(s + 1)2^s}{\pi \cos^{s+2} \psi} |g|_{V_{s}}, \quad z \in \Sigma_{\psi}, \]  

(3.11)

and

\[ |z(Q_{s}g)'(z)| \leq \frac{(s + 1)2^s}{\pi \cos^{s+2} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{C}_{+}} \frac{|z||\Re \lambda|^{s}|g(\lambda)|}{|z + \lambda||\lambda|^{s+1}} dS(\lambda), \quad z \in \Sigma_{\psi}. \]  

Using the dominated convergence theorem again, we obtain

\[ \lim_{|z| \to 0, z \in \Sigma_{\psi}} z(Q_{s}g)'(z) = 0. \]  

(3.12)

We now give another formula for \( Q_{s} \). Let \( s = n + \delta > -1 \) where \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1, 0\} \) and \( \delta \in [0, 1) \), and let

\[ C_s := \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(t + 1)^{n+2}t^{\delta}} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - \tau)^{s}}{\tau^{\delta}} d\tau = B(1 - \delta, s + 1). \]  

(3.13)

Then

\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(\lambda + t)^{n+2}t^{\delta}} = \frac{C_s}{\lambda^{s+1}}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{+}. \]

Indeed, both sides of this equation are holomorphic functions of \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{+} \), and they coincide for \( \lambda \in (0, \infty) \), so they coincide for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{+} \), by the identity theorem for holomorphic functions. Putting \( \lambda = z + \alpha - i\beta \), we obtain

\[ (Q_{s}g)(z) = -\frac{2^s}{\pi C_s} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^s g(\alpha + i\beta) d\beta d\alpha}{(z + \alpha - i\beta + t)^{n+2}} \frac{dt}{t^{\delta}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}. \]  

(3.14)

For \( s > -1 \) let \( \mathcal{D}_{s} \) be the linear space of all holomorphic functions \( f \) on \( \mathbb{C}_{+} \) such that

\[ f' \in V_{s}, \]

equipped with the semi-norm

\[ \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s}, 0} := \|f'\|_{V_{s}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_{s}. \]

If \( \sigma > s > -1 \), then it is immediate from (3.2) that \( \mathcal{D}_{s} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\sigma} \). We will exhibit some functions in \( \mathcal{D}_{s} \) later in this section and in Subsection 3.3.

In the rest of this section we will obtain a reproducing formula (3.29) for functions from \( \mathcal{D}_{s} \) and we will describe some basic properties that will be relevant for the sequel. To this
aim, we first define and study the behaviour of operators $Q_s$ on the scale of $\mathcal{D}_s$-spaces. Recall that in [8, Proposition 3.1] we showed that $Q$ maps $W$ into $B$. However $Q_s$ does not map the whole of $V_s$ into $\mathcal{D}_s$. For $s > -1$, a function $g \in V_s$ for which $Q_s g \notin \mathcal{D}_s$ can be defined as follows:

$$g(\rho e^{i\varphi}) := \left(\cos^{s+1}\varphi (\rho - \sin \varphi) \log^2 (\rho - \sin \varphi)\right)^{-1},$$

$$1 < \rho < 2 - \sin \varphi, \pi/4 < \varphi < \pi/2,$$

and $g(z) = 0$ for all other points in $\mathbb{C}_+$. We do not give details in this article. Instead, we will show in Propositions 3.6 and 3.7 that $Q_s$ maps $V_s$ boundedly into $\mathcal{D}_\sigma$ for any $\sigma > s$, and it maps holomorphic functions in $V_s$ into $\mathcal{D}_s$. We need the following auxiliary lemma, which will be useful in a number of instances.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $h \in L^1[0,1] \cap L^\infty[1/2,1]$ be a positive function. Let $s > -1$, $\beta > 1/2$ and

$$G_{h,\beta,s}(\varphi) := \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^1 \frac{h(t) dt}{(t^2 + 2t \cos (\varphi + \psi) + 1)^\beta} d\psi, \quad \varphi \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2). \quad (3.15)$$

(a) If $2\beta - s - 2 < 0$, then

$$K_{h,\beta,s} := \sup_{|\varphi| < \pi/2} G_{h,\beta,s}(\varphi) < \infty. \quad (3.16)$$

(b) If $2\beta - s - 2 > 0$, then

$$\tilde{K}_{h,\beta,s} := \sup_{|\varphi| < \pi/2} \cos^{2\beta - s - 2}\varphi G_{h,\beta,s}(\varphi) < \infty. \quad (3.17)$$

**Proof.** Because $G_{h,\beta,s}(-\varphi) = G_{h,\beta,s}(\varphi)$, we may assume that $\varphi \in [0, \pi/2)$. Now

$$G_{h,\beta,s}(\varphi) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^1 \frac{h(t) dt}{(t^2 + 2t \cos (\varphi + \psi) + 1)^\beta} d\psi$$

$$+ \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^1 \frac{h(t) dt}{(t^2 + 2t \cos (\varphi + \psi) + 1)^\beta} d\psi$$

$$=: G_{h,\beta,s}^-(\varphi) + G_{h,\beta,s}^+(\varphi),$$

and we estimate these two integrals separately.

Because $\varphi \in [0, \pi/2)$, $\beta > 1/2$ and $s > -1$, we have

$$G_{h,\beta,s}^-(\varphi) \leq \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \psi d\psi \int_0^1 h(t) dt = \frac{\|h\|_{L^1[0,1]}}{2} B \left( \frac{s + 1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

For the second integral, note that if $\phi = \varphi + \psi \in [0, \pi)$,

$$t^2 + 2t \cos \phi + 1 \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}, & t \in [0, 1/2], \\ (1 - t)^2 + 1 + \cos \phi, & t \in [1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$
Hence,
\[
\int_0^1 \frac{h(t)}{(t^2 + 2t \cos \phi + 1)^\beta} \, dt \\
\leq 4^\beta \int_0^{1/2} h(t) \, dt + \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{h(t)}{((t - 1)^2 + (1 + \cos \phi))^{\beta}} \, dt \\
\leq 4^\beta \|h\|_{L^1[0,1/2]} + \|h\|_{L^\infty[1/2,1]} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{(\tau^2 + 2 \cos^2(\phi/2))^{\beta}} \\
\leq \frac{C_{h,\beta}}{\cos^{2\beta-1}(\phi/2)},
\]
for some constant $C_{h,\beta} > 0$. Replacing $\varphi$ by $\pi/2 - \varphi$ and $\psi$ by $\pi/2 - \psi$ and using
\[
\omega \geq \sin \omega \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \omega, \quad \omega \in (0, \pi/2),
\]
we infer that if $\varphi \in [0, \pi/2)$, then
\[
G_{h,\beta,s}(\pi/2 - \varphi) \leq C_{h,\beta} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin^s \psi}{\sin^{2\beta-1}((\varphi + \psi)/2)} \, d\psi \\
\leq C_{h,\beta} \pi^{2\beta-1} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\psi^s}{(\varphi + \psi)^{2\beta-1}} \, d\psi.
\]
In case (a), when $2\beta - s - 2 < 0$, we have
\[
\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\psi^s}{(\varphi + \psi)^{2\beta-1}} \, d\psi \leq \int_0^{\pi/2} \psi^{s-2\beta+1} \, d\psi = \frac{\pi^{s-2\beta+2}}{(s-2\beta+2)2^{s-2\beta+2}} < \infty.
\]
In case (b), when $2\beta - s - 2 > 0$, we obtain
\[
\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\psi^s}{(\varphi + \psi)^{2\beta-1}} \, d\psi \leq \varphi^{s+2-2\beta} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^s}{(t + 1)^{2\beta-1}} \, dt,
\]
and hence
\[
\cos^{2\beta-s-2}(\pi/2 - \varphi) G_{h,\beta,s}(\pi/2 - \varphi) \leq \varphi^{2\beta-s-2} G_{h,\beta,s}(\pi/2 - \varphi) \\
\leq C_{h,\beta} \pi^{2\beta-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^s}{(t + 1)^{2\beta-1}} \, dt < \infty,
\]
for some constant $C_{h,\beta} > 0$.

Let $D_{s,0}$ be the space of all functions $f \in D_s$ such that $f$ has a sectorial limit 0 at infinity. Then $(D_{s,0}, \|\cdot\|_{D_{s,0}})$ is a normed space, and we will see in Corollary 3.11 that it is a Banach space.

The following basic examples will play roles in several estimates later in the article. We start with the resolvent functions and their powers.

**Example 3.3.** Let $\lambda = |\lambda|e^{i\varphi} \in \mathbb{C}_+$, and $r_\lambda(z) = (z + \lambda)^{-1}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Let $\gamma > 0$, and consider
\[
r_\lambda^\gamma(z) := (z + \lambda)^{-\gamma}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]
Let $s > -1$. Then
\[
\| r_\lambda^s \|_{D_{s,0}} = \gamma \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \psi \int_0^\infty \frac{d\rho}{|\rho e^{i\psi} + |\lambda e^{i\varphi}|^{\gamma+1}} d\psi
\]
\[
= \frac{\gamma}{|\lambda|^{\gamma}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \psi \int_0^\infty \frac{d\rho}{|\rho + e^{i(\varphi-\psi)}|^{\gamma+1}} d\psi
\]
\[
= \frac{\gamma}{|\lambda|^{\gamma}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \psi \int_0^1 \frac{1 + t^{\gamma-1}}{(t^2 + 2t \cos(\varphi + \psi) + 1)(\gamma+1)/2} dt d\psi,
\]
where we have put $t = \rho$ for $\rho \leq 1$ and $t = \rho^{-1}$ for $\rho > 1$. Now we apply Lemma 3.2 with $h(t) = 1 + t^{\gamma-1}$, $\beta = (\gamma + 1)/2$, so $2\beta - s - 2 = \gamma - s - 1$. Thus, we obtain
\[
\| r_\lambda^s \|_{D_{s,0}} \leq \begin{cases} 
\frac{\gamma K_{h,\nu+1/2}}{|\lambda|^{\gamma}}, & s > \gamma - 1 > -1, \\
\frac{\gamma \tilde{K}_{h,\nu+1/2}}{|\lambda|^{\gamma} \cos^{\gamma-s-1} \varphi}, & \gamma - 1 > s > -1.
\end{cases}
\]
(3.19)

In particular, taking $\gamma = 1$ and a fixed $s > 0$,
\[
\| r_\lambda \|_{D_{s,0}} \leq \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \psi \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos \psi}{|\lambda + \rho e^{i\psi}|^{\gamma}} d\rho d\psi \leq \frac{C_s}{|\lambda|^{\gamma}}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]
(3.20)

This estimate will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Next we consider some functions that appear frequently in the studies of holomorphic $C_0$-semigroups.

**Example 3.4.** Let
\[
f_\nu(z) := z^\nu e^{-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad \nu \geq 0.
\]
We will show here that $f_\nu \in D_s$ if and only if $s > \nu$. Moreover, if $s > \nu$, then
\[
\| f_\nu \|_{D_{s,0}} \leq 2B \left( \frac{s - \nu}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma(\nu + 1).
\]
(3.21)

This estimate will be crucial for operator estimates in Section 10.

We have
\[
f_\nu'(z) = e^{-z} \left( \nu z^{\nu-1} - z^\nu \right)
\]
and
\[
\| f_\nu \|_{D_{s,0}} = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos \varphi \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho \cos \varphi} \rho^{\nu-1} |\rho e^{i\varphi} - \nu| d\rho d\varphi
\]
\[
= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^{s-\nu-1} \varphi \int_0^\infty e^{-r \nu^{-1}} |r e^{i\varphi} - \nu \cos \varphi| dr d\varphi.
\]

We use the estimates
\[
r |\sin \varphi| \leq |re^{i\varphi} - \nu \cos \varphi| \leq r + \nu.
\]
If \( s > \nu \), we obtain
\[
\|f_\nu\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s,0}} \leq 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{s-\nu-1} \varphi \, d\varphi \int_0^{\infty} e^{-r^{\nu-1}(r+\nu)} \, dr
\]
\[
= 2B\left( \frac{s-\nu}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma(\nu+1).
\]
If \( s \leq \nu \), then
\[
\|f_\nu\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s,0}} \geq 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{s-\nu-1} \varphi \sin \varphi \, d\varphi \int_0^{\infty} e^{-r^{\nu}} \, dr = \infty.
\]
This establishes the claims above.

Finally, we consider a function that will play an important role in our constructions of functional calculi in Section 8.

**Example 3.5.** The function arccot is defined by
\[
\arccot(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{z + i}{z - i} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\] (3.22)

Then \(|\text{Rearccot}(z)| \leq \pi/2\), arccot has sectorial limit 0 at infinity and its derivative is \((-z^2+1)^{-1}\). It is easy to see that arccot \( \in \mathcal{D}_s \) for all \( s > -1 \). For \( s = 0 \), we have
\[
\|\text{arccot}\|_{\mathcal{D}_{0,0}} = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{1+\rho^2 e^{2i\varphi}} \right| d\varphi \int_0^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\rho^4 + 2\rho^2 \cos \psi + 1} \right)^{1/2} d\rho
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} B(1/4, 1/2) < 3\pi.
\]
See [48, item 2.5.16, (38)] for the evaluation of the integral with respect to \( \psi \).

**Proposition 3.6.** Let \( \sigma > s > -1 \). The following hold:

(i) \( \mathcal{D}_{s,0} \) is continuously embedded in \( \mathcal{D}_{\sigma,0} \).

(ii) The restriction of \( Q_\sigma \) to \( \mathcal{V}_s \) is in \( L(\mathcal{V}_s, \mathcal{D}_{s,0}) \).

(iii) \( Q_s \in L(\mathcal{V}_s, \mathcal{D}_{\sigma,0}) \).

**Proof.** The first statement is immediate from the definitions of the spaces and (3.2).

For the second statement, let \( g \in \mathcal{V}_s \). From (3.1), (3.10) and the second case of (3.19) with \( \gamma = \sigma + 1 \) and \( h(t) = 1 + t^\sigma \), we have
\[
\frac{\pi}{2^\sigma (\sigma + 1)} \|Q_\sigma g\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s,0}} \leq \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \psi \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos \varphi \int_0^{\infty} \frac{|g(te^{i\psi})|}{|\rho e^{i\psi} + te^{-i\varphi}|^{\sigma+2}} \, d\rho \, d\varphi \, dt \, d\psi
\]
Proof. First we consider the case when the first case of (3.19) with $t = 0$ and $h(0) = 1 + t^s$ shows that
\[
\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^\sigma \varphi \int_0^\infty t^{\sigma+1} \left\|\mathcal{F}_{t^{\sigma+1}} \|_{\mathcal{D},n} \right\| g(t e^{i\varphi}) \right\| dt d\varphi \leq \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^\sigma \varphi \int_0^\infty \tilde{K}_{h,(\sigma+2)/2,s} \left|g(t e^{i\varphi})\right| dt d\varphi = \tilde{K}_{h,(\sigma+2)/2,s} \|g\|_{\mathcal{V},n}.
\]
This establishes the second statement.

For the third statement, the same estimation but with $s$ and $\sigma$ interchanged and using the first case of (3.19) with $\gamma = s + 1$ and $h(t) = 1 + t^s$ shows that
\[
\frac{\pi}{2^s(s+1)} \|Q_n g\|_{\mathcal{D},n} \leq \tilde{K}_{h,(s+2)/2,\sigma} \|g\|_{\mathcal{V},n}.
\]
This establishes the third statement. \qed

For insight on why $\|\mathcal{F}^{\sigma+1}\|_{\mathcal{D},n}$ appears in the proof above, we refer the reader to the proof of Theorem 5.1.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let $g \in \mathcal{V}_s$ be holomorphic, where $s > -1$. Then $Q_n g \in \mathcal{D}_s$ and $(Q_n g)' = g$.

**Proof.** First we consider the case when $g \in \mathcal{V}_n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then $Q_n g$ is holomorphic and (3.6) holds for $s = n$. It suffices to show that $(Q_n g)' = g$. Let
\[
I(\alpha) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|g(\alpha + i\beta)|}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(n+1)/2}} d\beta, \quad \alpha > 0,
\]
\[
J(\beta) := \int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha^n g(\alpha + i\beta)}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(n+1)/2}} d\alpha, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
From (3.1) and Fubini’s theorem, we see that $\int_0^\infty \alpha^n I(\alpha) d\alpha < \infty$. Hence, $I(\alpha) < \infty$ for almost all $\alpha > 0$ and it follows that there exists a sequence $(\alpha_j)_{j \geq 1}$ such that
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} \alpha_j = \infty, \quad \lim_{j \to \infty} I(\alpha_j) = 0.
\]
Similarly, $\int_{-\infty}^\infty J(\beta) d\beta < \infty$, and so there exist sequences $(\beta_k^\pm)_{k \geq 1}$ such that
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \beta_k^\pm = \pm \infty, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} J(\beta_k^\pm) = 0.
\]
Let $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ be fixed. Take $\alpha > 0$ with $I(\alpha) < \infty$, and let $j$ be sufficiently large that $\alpha_j > 2\alpha + \text{Re} z$ and $k$ be sufficiently large that $\beta_k^+ < \text{Im} z < \beta_k^-$. We may apply the Cauchy integral formula around the rectangle with vertices $\alpha + i\beta_k^\pm$ and $\alpha_j + i\beta_k^\pm$, and we obtain
\[
\frac{2\pi}{nl^n} g^{(n)}(2\alpha + z) = \int_{\beta_k^+}^{\beta_k^-} \frac{g(\alpha_j + i\beta)}{(\alpha_j + i\beta - z - 2\alpha)^{n+1}} d\beta - \int_{\beta_k^-}^{\beta_k^+} \frac{g(\alpha + i\beta)}{(\alpha + i\beta - z - 2\alpha)^{n+1}} d\beta
\]
\[
- i \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha_j} \frac{g(s + i\beta_k^-)}{(s + i\beta_k^- - z - 2\alpha)^{n+1}} |ds| + i \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha_j} \frac{g(s + i\beta_k^+)}{(s + i\beta_k^+ - z - 2\alpha)^{n+1}} |ds|.
\]
Letting $k \to \infty$, we obtain

$$(-1)^n \frac{2\pi}{n!} g^{(n)}(2\alpha + z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(\alpha + i\beta)}{(z + \alpha - i\beta)^{n+1}} d\beta - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(\alpha_j + i\beta)}{(z + 2\alpha - \alpha_j - i\beta)^{n+1}} d\beta.$$ 

Letting $j \to \infty$, we obtain

$$(-1)^n \frac{2\pi}{n!} g^{(n)}(2\alpha + z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(\alpha + i\beta)}{(z + \alpha - i\beta)^{n+1}} d\beta. \quad (3.24)$$

This holds for almost all $\alpha > 0$. Substituting this into (3.6) and then integrating by parts and using Lemma 3.1, we infer that

$$(Q_n g)(z) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{2^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^\infty \alpha^n g^{(n)}(2\alpha + z) d\alpha \quad \quad (3.25)$$

and using Lemma 3.1, we infer that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{g(\alpha + i\beta)}{(z + \alpha - i\beta + t)^{n+2}} d\beta = (-1)^n \frac{2\pi}{(n+1)!} g^{(n+1)}(2\alpha + z + t),$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}_+, t > 0$ and almost all $\alpha > 0$. We obtain from (3.14) and (3.13) that

$$C_s(Q_s g)(z) = -\frac{2s}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^s g(\alpha + i\beta) d\beta d\alpha dt}{(z + \alpha - i\beta + t)^{n+2} t^\delta}$$

$$= (-1)^n \frac{2^{s+1}}{(n+1)!} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha^s g^{(n+1)}(2\alpha + z + t) d\alpha dt}{t^\delta}$$

$$= (-1)^n \frac{n}{(n+1)!} \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty (\tau - t)^s g^{(n+1)}(\tau + z) d\tau \frac{dt}{t^\delta}$$

$$= C_s \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \int_0^\infty \tau^{n+1} g^{(n+1)}(\tau + z) d\tau.$$ 

As in (3.25), it follows that $(Q_s g)' = g$. \qed

**Corollary 3.8.** If $g \in \mathcal{V}_s$ is holomorphic, then $Q_\sigma g = Q_s g$ for all $\sigma \geq s$.

**Proof.** This is immediate from Proposition 3.7 and (3.8). \qed

**Remark 3.9.** The proof of the property $(Q_n g)' = g', n \geq 0$, in Proposition 3.7 uses just improper convergence of the integrals $\int_0^\infty \alpha^k g^{(k)}(\alpha + z) d\alpha$ for $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$. It is instructive to note that if $g \in \mathcal{V}_n$ is holomorphic and $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, then

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha^k |g^{(k)}(\alpha + z)| d\alpha < \infty \quad (3.26)$$
for all \( k \geq 0 \). Indeed, if \( g \in \mathcal{V}_n \), then (3.26) holds for \( k = n \) by (3.24) and the definition of norm in \( \mathcal{V}_n \). If \( n \geq 1 \), then using Lemma 3.1, we infer that \( g^{(n-1)}(\alpha + z) = -\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} g^{(n)}(s + z)ds \), and hence by Fubini’s theorem,

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^{n-1}|g^{(n-1)}(\alpha + z)|d\alpha \leq \frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^n |g^{(n)}(\alpha + z)|d\alpha < \infty.
\]

Repeating this argument, we conclude that (3.26) holds also for \( k \) such that \( 0 \leq k < n \). If \( k > n \), then (3.26) follows directly from (3.24) and the inclusion \( \mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{V}_k \).

The following representation of functions in \( \mathcal{D}_s \) has appeared in [2, Corollary 4.2] (see also [3, Lemma 3.13.2] for the case \( s = 1 \)).

**Corollary 3.10.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \), \( s > -1 \). Then the sectorial limits

\[
f(\infty) := \lim_{z \to \infty, z \in \Sigma_{\psi}} f(z),
\]

\[
f(0) := \lim_{z \to 0, z \in \Sigma_{\psi}} f(z)
\]

exist in \( \mathbb{C} \) for every \( \psi \in (0, \pi/2) \). Moreover, for all \( z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \{0\} \),

\[
f(z) = f(\infty) + (Q_{\psi}f')(z) = f(\infty) - \frac{2s}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(\alpha + i\beta)d\beta \, d\alpha.
\]

With \( f(0) \) defined as above, \( f \in C(\Sigma_{\psi}) \) for every \( \psi \in (0, \pi/2) \).

**Proof.** It follows from Proposition 3.7 that \( (Q_{\psi}f')' = f' \). The statements follow from (3.8) and (3.9).

**Corollary 3.11.** For every \( s > -1 \) the space \( \mathcal{D}_s \) equipped with the norm

\[
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} := |f(\infty)| + \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s,0}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_s,
\]

is a Banach space.

**Proof.** Let \( s > -1 \) be fixed and let \( (f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \) be a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathcal{D}_s \). Then (3.7) and Vitali’s theorem imply that \( (Q_{\psi}f'_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \) converges uniformly on each \( \Sigma_{\psi} \) to a limit \( g \) that is holomorphic on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \). Moreover, \( (f_k(\infty))_{k=1}^{\infty} \) converges to a limit \( \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \). It follows from Proposition 3.7 that \( (f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \) converges uniformly on \( \Sigma_{\psi} \) to \( f := \zeta \circ g \). Then \( (f'_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \) converges pointwise on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) to \( g' = f' \). Applying Fatou’s lemma to the sequences \( (\|f'_k - f'\|_{\mathcal{V}_s})_{n=k}^{\infty} \) for fixed \( k \), one sees that \( \|f'_k - f'\|_{\mathcal{V}_s} \to 0 \). So \( f' \in \mathcal{V}_s \) and \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \). By (3.8), \( f(\infty) = \zeta \) and so \( \|f_k - f\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} \to 0, \ k \to \infty \).

The argument used in the proof of Corollary 3.11 also provides the following corollary of Fatou type (see also Lemma 4.11).

**Corollary 3.12.** Let \( s > -1 \) and \( (f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{D}_s \) be such that \( \sup_{k \geq 1} \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} < \infty \) and \( f(z) = \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(z) \) exists for all \( z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \). Then \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \).
Now employing (3.29), the estimates (3.7), (3.11) and (3.12) and Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following estimates.

**Corollary 3.13.** Let \( \psi \in (0, \pi/2) \). For all \( f \in D_s, s > -1 \),

\[
|f(z)| \leq \max\left(1, \frac{2^s}{\pi \cos^{s+1}\psi}\right)\|f\|_{D_s}, \quad z \in \Sigma_{\psi},
\]

and

\[
|f'(z)| \leq \frac{(s+1)2^s}{\pi |z| \cos^{s+2}\psi}\|f'\|_{V_s}, \quad z \in \Sigma_{\psi}.
\]

Moreover, \( f \) is continuous on \( \Sigma_{\psi} \cup \{0\} \) and

\[
\lim_{|z| \to 0, z \in \Sigma_{\psi}} zf'(z) = 0.
\]

**Remark 3.14.** Corollary 3.13 implies that the point evaluation functionals \( \delta_z, z \in C_+ \), are continuous on \( D_s, s > -1 \). Using (3.19) and the principle set out in Section 2, we see that the function \( \lambda \mapsto r_{\lambda}^{\gamma} \) is holomorphic from \( C_+ \) to \( D_s \), for any \( s > -1, \gamma > 0 \).

### 3.3. More functions in \( D_s \) and their properties

In this section we give more examples of functions from \( D_s \) and note some additional elementary properties that will be relevant for the sequel.

**Proposition 3.15.** For \( s > 0 \), \( B \hookrightarrow D_s \).

**Proof.** For \( s > 0 \) and \( f \in B \) we have

\[
\|f\|_{D_s,0} = |f(\infty)| + \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|f'(\alpha + i\beta)|}{|\alpha + i\beta|^{s+1}} d\beta d\alpha
\]

\[
\leq \|f\|_{\infty} + 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}} |f'(\alpha + i\beta)| \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(t^2 + 1)(s+1)/2} d\alpha
\]

\[
\leq \max\{1, B(1/2, s/2)\} \|f\|_B.
\]

Thus, \( B \) is continuously included in \( D_s \). \( \square \)

**Remark 3.16.** The representation (3.29) in Corollary 3.10 extends the reproducing formula for \( B \) – that is, (3.29) for \( s = 1 - \) to a larger class of functions.

Recall that \( \mathcal{L}M \hookrightarrow B \); see [7, Section 2.4], Thus, in view of Proposition 3.15, we have

\[
\mathcal{L}M \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} B \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} D_s, \quad s > 0.
\]

We will show in Corollary 5.2 that \( B \) is dense in \( D_s \) for every \( s > 0 \), and hence \( D_s \) is dense in \( D_\sigma \) for all \( \sigma > s > 0 \). On the other hand, we will show in Corollary 5.2 that \( B \) is not dense in \( D_\sigma^\infty \) for \( s > 0 \).

For \( f \in \text{Hol}(C_+) \), let

\[
\tilde{f}(z) := f(1/z), \quad f_t(z) := f(tz), \quad t > 0, \quad z \in C_+.
\]
Lemma 3.17. Let \( s > -1 \) and \( t > 0 \). Then

(i) \( f \in D_s \) if and only if \( \tilde{f} \in D_s \) and
\[
\| f - f(\infty) \|_{D_s} = \| \tilde{f} - f(0) \|_{D_s}.
\]

(ii) If \( f \) is bounded away from 0 and \( f \in D_s \), then \( 1/f \in D_s \).

(iii) \( f \in D_s \) if and only if \( f_t \in D_s \), and \( \| f \|_{D_s} = \| f_t \|_{D_s} \).

Proof. Note that
\[
\| \tilde{f}' \|_{V_s} = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \varphi \int_0^\infty \frac{|f'(\rho^{-1}e^{-i\varphi})|}{\rho^2} d\rho d\varphi
= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \varphi \int_0^\infty |f'(re^{i\varphi})| dr d\varphi
= \| f' \|_{V_s}.
\]

Moreover, by Corollary 3.10, \( \tilde{f}(\infty) = f(0) \). This proves (i). The other statements are very easy.

Remarks 3.18. 1. Neither of the spaces \( B \) and \( D_0 \) is contained in the other. Indeed, the function \( e^{-z} \in \mathcal{LM} \subset B \) but \( e^{-z} \notin D_0 \) (see Example 3.4). On the other hand, there are bounded functions in \( D_0 \) that are not in \( B \); for example, the function \( \exp(\text{arccot } z) \in D_0 \) and is bounded but it is not in \( B \) (see Example 3.19).

More generally, for \( \nu \geq 0 \), let \( f_{\nu}(z) = z^\nu e^{-z}, z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \). Then \( f_{\nu} \in D_s \) if and only if \( s > \nu \) (see Example 3.4). Note that if \( \nu > 0 \), \( f_{\nu} \) is not bounded on any right half-plane. One can show that if \( f \in D_{s,0} \), then
\[
|f(z)| \leq \frac{2^s}{\pi} \| f \|_{D_{s,0}} \left( 1 + \frac{4\beta^2}{\alpha^2} \right)^{(s+1)/2}, \quad z = \alpha + i\beta \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]

The function \( \log(1+z)e^{-z} \) is in \( D_s, s > 0 \), but is also unbounded on every right half-plane.

2. Because \( e^{-z} \in D_s \) for \( s > 0 \), it follows from Lemma 3.17 that the functions \( e^{-t/z} \) are in \( D_s \) for all \( t > 0, s > 0 \). This shows that functions \( f \in D_s \) may not have full limits at infinity or at zero. However, the properties (3.27) and (3.28) in Corollary 3.10 establish values for \( f \) at infinity and at zero as sectorial limits.

3. The spaces \( D_s, s > -1 \), are invariant under shifts given by
\[
(T(\tau)f)(z) = f(z + \tau), \quad f \in D_s, \tau \in \mathbb{C}_+, z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]

Indeed, these operators form a bounded \( C_0 \)-semigroup on \( D_s \). See Section 11 for a proof. On the other hand, \( D_s \) are not invariant under the vertical shifts when \( \tau \in i\mathbb{R} \), as we see in the following example.

Example 3.19. As stated in Example 3.5, the function \( \text{arccot} \) is in \( D_s \) for all \( s > -1 \). Let
\[
g(z) = \exp(\text{arccot}(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]
Because $|\text{Re} \arccot(z)| \leq \pi/2$, $\|g\|_\infty = \exp(\pi/2) = g(\infty)$. For $s > -1$, we have

$$
\|g\|_{D_s} = |g(\infty)| + \|g \cdot (\arccot)'\|_{V_s} \leq \exp(\pi/2)(1 + \|\arccot\|_{D_s}).
$$

Thus, $g \in D_s$ for all $s > -1$.

However, the boundary function of $g$ is not continuous at $z = \pm i$. Indeed, for a fixed $\epsilon > 0$,

$$
\arccot(i + \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2i} \log \left(1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon}\right);
$$

hence,

$$
g(i + \epsilon) = \exp\left(-i \log(1 + 2/\epsilon)^{1/2}\right)
$$

does not have a limit as $\epsilon \to 0^+$. Note that $g(\epsilon + i)$ does not converge as $\epsilon \to 0^+$. This means that if $f(z) := g(z - i)$, then $f$ does not have a sectorial limit at 0 and therefore does not belong to $D_s$ for any $s > -1$. Thus, $D_s$ is not invariant under vertical shifts.

### 3.4. Bernstein functions and $D_s$

Recall that a holomorphic function $g : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}_+$ is a Bernstein function if it is of the form

$$
g(z) = a + bz + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-zs}) \, d\mu(s), \quad (3.30)
$$

where $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$ and $\mu$ is a positive Borel measure on $(0,\infty)$ such that $\int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{s}{1+s} \, d\mu(s) < \infty$. The following properties of Bernstein functions $g$ will be used (these properties differ slightly from those used in [6]):

1. (B1) $g$ maps $\Sigma_\psi$ into $\Sigma_\psi$ for each $\psi \in [0,\pi/2]$; see [50, Proposition 3.6] or [6, Proposition 2.1(1)].
2. (B2) $g$ is increasing on $(0,\infty)$.
3. (B3) For all $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$,

$$
g(\text{Re} z) \leq \text{Re} g(z) \leq |g(z)|, \quad |g'(z)| \leq g'(\text{Re} z).
$$

Here the first inequality follows from taking the real parts in (3.30), and the second inequality is shown in [7, Section 3.5, (B3)].

Further information on Bernstein functions can be found in [50].

**Lemma 3.20.** Let $g$ be a Bernstein function, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, and

$$
f(z;\lambda) := (\lambda + g(z))^{-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
$$

Then $f(\cdot;\lambda) \in D_s$ for $s > 2$ and

$$
\|f(\cdot;\lambda)\|_{D_{s,0}} \leq \frac{2^s}{(s-2)|\lambda|}.
$$
Proof. We have
\[ f'(z; \lambda) = - \frac{g'(z)}{(\lambda + g(z))^2}, \]
and then, for \( \psi \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2) \), using Lemma 2.1, (B2) and (B3),
\[
\int_0^\infty |f'(\rho e^{i\psi}; \lambda)| d\rho = \int_0^\infty \frac{|g'(\rho e^{i\psi})|}{|\lambda + g(\rho e^{i\psi})|^2} d\rho \\
\leq \frac{1}{\cos^2((|\psi| + \pi/2)/2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{g'(\rho \cos \psi)}{(|\lambda| + |g(\rho e^{i\psi})|)^2} d\rho \\
\leq \frac{1}{\cos^2((|\psi| + \pi/2)/2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{g'(\rho \cos \psi)}{|\lambda + g(\rho \cos \psi)|^2} d\rho \\
\leq \frac{1}{\cos^2((|\psi| + \pi/2)/2) \cos \psi} \int_0^\infty \frac{g'(\rho \cos \psi)}{|\lambda + t|^2} d\rho \\
= \frac{1}{\cos^2((|\psi| + \pi/2)/2) \cos \psi} \cdot \frac{1}{|\lambda|}.
\]
If \( s > 2 \), then
\[
|\lambda||f(\cdot; \lambda)||_{D_{s,0}} = |\lambda| \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \psi \int_0^\infty |f'(\rho e^{i\psi}; \lambda)| d\rho d\psi \\
\leq 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\cos^{s-1} \psi}{\cos^2((\psi + \pi/2)/2)} d\psi = 4 \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\cos^{s-1} \psi}{1 - \sin \psi} d\psi \\
\leq 16 \int_0^1 (1 - t^2)^{s-3} dt = \frac{8\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s-2)}{\Gamma(s-3/2)} \leq \frac{16s^{1/2}}{s-2},
\]
where the latter estimate follows from Wendel’s inequality for the Gamma function [56].

3.5. Algebras associated with \( D_s \)

The spaces \( D_s, s > -1 \), are not algebras, but there are some related algebras. Consider the Banach spaces \( D_\infty^s := D_s \cap H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+) \) equipped with the norm
\[
\|f\|_{D_\infty^s} := \|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_{V_s}.
\]
Thus, \( D_\infty^s \) is the space of bounded holomorphic functions on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) such that
\[
f(\infty) := \lim_{|z| \to \infty, z \in \Sigma_\psi} f(z)
\]
exists for every \( \psi \in (0, \pi/2) \), and
\[
\|f\|_{D_\infty^s} := \|f\|_\infty + \int_0^\infty a^s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|f'(\alpha + i\beta)|}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(s+1)/2} d\alpha d\beta < \infty.
\]
Then \( (D_\infty^s, \cdot \mid_{D_s}) \) is a Banach algebra and, in particular,
\[
\|fg\|_{D_\infty^s} \leq \|f\|_{D_\infty^s} \|g\|_{D_\infty^s}, \quad f, g \in D_\infty^s.
\] (3.31)

By Proposition 3.15, \( \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow D_\infty^s \) for \( s > 0 \), and the embeddings are continuous.
Example 3.19 shows that the function \( g(z) := \exp(\arccot z) \) is in \( \mathcal{D}_s^\infty \) for all \( s > -1 \), with \( \|g\|_{\mathcal{D}_s^\infty} = \|g\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} \), and consequently \( \mathcal{D}_s^\infty \) is not invariant under vertical shifts.

It follows from Lemma 3.17 that
\[
f \in \mathcal{D}_s^\infty \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \tilde{f}(z) := f(1/z) \in \mathcal{D}_s^\infty,
\]
and
\[
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_s^\infty} = \|\tilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{D}_s^\infty}.
\]
Moreover, the spectrum of \( f \) in \( \mathcal{D}_s^\infty \) is the closure of the range of \( f \). In particular, the spectral radius of \( f \) is \( \|f\|_\infty \).

Now we consider the linear space
\[
\mathcal{D}_\infty := \bigcup_{s > -1} \mathcal{D}_s.
\]
We will show that \( \mathcal{D}_\infty \) is an algebra, which opens the way to an operator functional calculus on \( \mathcal{D}_\infty \).

**Lemma 3.21.** For \( s, \sigma > -1 \), let \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \) and \( g \in \mathcal{D}_\sigma \). Then
\[
h := fg \in \mathcal{D}_{s+\sigma+1},
\]
and
\[
\|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s+\sigma+1}} \leq \left(2 + \frac{2s + 2\sigma}{\pi}\right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} \|g\|_{\mathcal{D}_\sigma}.
\]

Hence, \( \mathcal{D}_\infty \) is an algebra.

**Proof.** By Corollary 3.13, for \( \rho > 0 \) and \( |\varphi| < \pi/2 \), we have
\[
|f(\rho e^{i\varphi})| \leq \left(1 + \frac{2s}{\pi \cos^{s+1} \varphi}\right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_s},
\]
\[
|g(\rho e^{i\varphi})| \leq \left(1 + \frac{2\sigma}{\pi \cos^{\sigma+1} \varphi}\right) \|g\|_{\mathcal{D}_\sigma}.
\]
Hence,
\[
\|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s+\sigma+1,0}} = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^{s+\sigma+1} \varphi \int_0^\infty \left|f'(\rho e^{i\varphi})g(\rho e^{i\varphi}) + f(\rho e^{i\varphi})g'(\rho e^{i\varphi})\right| d\rho d\varphi
\]
\[
\leq \left(1 + \frac{2^s}{\pi}\right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s,0}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}} + \left(1 + \frac{2^\sigma}{\pi}\right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} \|g\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\sigma,0}}.
\]

This shows that \( h \in \mathcal{D}_q \), and (3.32) follows easily. \( \square \)

### 3.6. Derivatives of functions in \( \mathcal{D}_s \)

This section further clarifies the behaviour of the derivatives of functions from \( \mathcal{D}_s \), and Lemma 3.22 is of independent interest. Corollary 3.23 will be used in Subsection 10.3. For \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), the notation \( z^m f^{(n)} \) denotes the function mapping \( z \) to \( z^m f^{(n)}(z) \). Moreover, \( f_t \) is the function mapping \( z \) to \( f(tz) \).
Lemma 3.22. Let $f \in D_s$, $s > -1$. Then $zf' \in D_{s+1}$, and there exists $C'_s$ (independent of $f$) such that

$$\|zf'\|_{D_{s+1}} \leq C'_s \|f\|_{D_s} \quad (3.33)$$

and

$$\|f_t - f_\tau\|_{D_{s+1}} \leq \frac{C'_s \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_s}}{\min \{t, \tau\}} |t - \tau|, \quad t, \tau > 0. \quad (3.34)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\|zf'\|_{D_{s+1}} \leq \|f'\|_{\mathcal{V}_{s+1}} + \|zf''\|_{\mathcal{V}_{s+1}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} + \|zf''\|_{\mathcal{V}_{s+1}}.$$ 

So, for (3.33), it suffices to consider $\|zf''\|_{\mathcal{V}_{s+1}}$. The argument is similar to Example 3.3 and the proof of Proposition 3.6. By Corollary 3.10, for fixed $\sigma > s$,

$$f''(z) = -c_\sigma \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \varphi \int_0^\infty \rho^{\sigma+1} f'(\rho e^{i\varphi}) \left(\frac{z + \rho e^{-i\varphi}}{z + \rho e^{i\varphi}}\right)^{\sigma+3} d\rho d\varphi, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

where $c_\sigma = (\sigma + 1)(\sigma + 2)\frac{\pi}{2}$. Then estimates similar to those in Example 3.3 and Proposition 3.6 give

$$c_\sigma^{-1} \|zf''\|_{\mathcal{V}_{s+1}}$$

$$= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \psi \int_0^\infty t \left| f'(\rho e^{i\psi}) \right| dt d\psi$$

$$\leq \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \varphi \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \psi \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty t |f'(\rho e^{i\varphi})| dt d\rho d\psi$$

$$= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \varphi G_{h,\beta,s+1}(\varphi) \int_0^\infty |f'(\rho e^{i\varphi})| d\rho d\varphi,$$

where $h(t) = t + t^\beta$, $t \in (0,1)$, $\beta = (\sigma + 3)/2$ and $G_{h,\beta,s+1}(\varphi)$ is defined in (3.15), noting that $2\beta - (s+1) - 2 = \sigma - s$. Now the estimate (3.33) follows from Lemma 3.2(b).

For (3.34), let $g = f_t - f_\tau$. Without loss, assume that $0 < t < \tau$. Then

$$g'(z) = \tau f'(\tau z) - tf'(t z) = \int_t^\tau \left( f'(rz) + rz f''(rz) \right) dr = \int_t^\tau \frac{d}{dz} (zf'(rz)) dr.$$

Hence, by Fubini’s theorem,

$$\|g\|_{D_{s+1}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} \int_t^\tau \left| \frac{(\text{Re} z)^s}{|z|^{s+2}} \frac{d}{dz} (zf'(rz)) \right| dr dS(z)$$

$$= \int_t^\tau \|zf''\|_{D_{s+1}} dr \leq \frac{C'_s \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_s}}{t} (\tau - t),$$

because the $D_{s+1}$-norm is invariant under the change of variable $z \mapsto rz$ (Lemma 3.17(iii)).

The following corollary is easily proved by induction.
Corollary 3.23. If \( f \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( z^n f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \).

Remark 3.24. Lemma 3.22 is sharp in the sense that for any \( s > 0 \) and \( \sigma \in (-1, s+1) \), there exist functions \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \) for which \( zf' \notin \mathcal{D}_s \). For example, the function \( f_{\nu}(z) := z^\nu e^{-z} \) has these properties if \( \max\{0, \sigma - 1\} < \nu < s \). This follows directly from Example 3.4.

4. Hardy–Sobolev algebras on sectors

4.1. \( H^p \)-spaces on the right half-plane and their norms

In this section and in Subsection 4.2 we will study the Hardy spaces \( H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \) defined on sectors \( \Sigma_\psi, \psi \in (0, \pi) \). The properties of such spaces are similar to the properties of the classical Hardy space \( H^1(\mathbb{C}_+) \), though their theory seems to be more involved. The Hardy spaces \( H^p(\Sigma_\psi) \) have been studied, mostly for \( p > 1 \), but the results are scattered around various places in the literature, which is often obscure, and some proofs contain rather complicated, incomplete or vague arguments. We propose a streamlined (and probably new) approach avoiding the use of Carleson measures or log-convexity, and we obtain a new result (Corollary 4.3) on the way. The case \( p = 1 \) does not require any significant adjustments, as we illustrate here. Standard references for the theory of Hardy spaces on the right half-plane are [21] and [29].

We set out the situation when \( \psi = \pi/2 \) in this section and the case of general \( \psi \) in Subsection 4.2. Although we are mainly interested in \( H^1 \)-spaces, we present statements that are valid for \( H^p \)-spaces with \( p \in [1, \infty) \), because the arguments are the same for all such \( p \).

Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \). The classical Hardy space \( H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \) in the right half-plane \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) is defined as

\[
H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) = \left\{ g \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}_+) : \|g\|_p := \sup_{\alpha > 0} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(\alpha + i\beta)|^p \, d\beta \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.
\]

It is well-known that \( \| \cdot \|_p \) is a norm on \( H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \) and \( (H^p(\mathbb{C}_+), \| \cdot \|_p) \) is a Banach space. Moreover, for almost every \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) there exists a sectorial limit \( g(it) := \lim_{z \to it} g(z) \) in \( \mathbb{C} \). For every \( g \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \) one has \( \lim_{\alpha \to 0^+} g(\alpha + i\cdot) = g(i\cdot) \) in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}) \), and \( \|g\|_{H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)} := \|g\|_p = \|g(i\cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \).

One may also consider the normed space \( (H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2}), \| \cdot \|_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})}) \) as the space of all \( g \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}_+) \) such that

\[
\|g\|_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})} := \sup_{|\varphi| < \pi/2} \left( \int_0^{\infty} \left( |g(te^{i\varphi})|^p + |g(te^{-i\varphi})|^p \right) \, dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty
\]

and \( (H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2}), \| \cdot \|_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})}) \) as

\[
\left\{ g \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}_+) : \|g\|_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})} := \sup_{|\varphi| < \pi/2} \left( \int_0^{\infty} |g(te^{i\varphi})|^p \, dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.
\]

It is clear that \( H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2}) \) and \( H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2}) \) coincide as vector spaces, and

\[
\|g\|_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})} \leq \|g\|_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})} \leq 2^{1/p} \|g\|_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})}.
\]
Lemma 4.1. Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \). Then \( H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2}) \subset H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \) and

\[
\|g\|_p \leq \|g\|_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})}, \quad g \in H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2}).
\]  

(4.1)

Proof. Fix \( p \in [1, \infty) \). For fixed \( \gamma \in (1/2, 1) \) define

\[
g_\gamma(z) := (\gamma z^{\gamma - 1})^{1/p} g(z^\gamma) \in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\pi/(2\gamma)}).
\]

Note that

\[
\int_0^\infty |g_\gamma(te^{i\varphi})|^p dt = \int_0^\infty |g(te^{i\gamma\varphi})|^p dt,
\]

(4.2)

and

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\pi/2} \int_0^\infty |g_\gamma(te^{i\varphi})|^p dt d\varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|g\|^p_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})}.
\]

By Fubini’s theorem and Hölder’s inequality there exist sequences \((t_{1,n})_{n \geq 1}\) and \((t_{2,n})_{n \geq 1}\) such that \(0 < t_{1,n} < t_{2,n}, t_{1,n} \to 0, t_{2,n} \to \infty\) as \(n \to \infty\) and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |g_\gamma(t_{1,n}e^{i\varphi})| d\varphi = 0,
\]

(4.3)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |g_\gamma(t_{2,n}e^{i\varphi})| d\varphi = 0.
\]

(4.4)

Let \( \Omega_n := \{ z \in \mathbb{C}_+ : t_{1,n} < |z| < t_{2,n} \} \). By Cauchy’s formula,

\[
g_\gamma(z) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi i} \int_{\partial \Omega_n} \frac{g_\gamma(\lambda)}{(\lambda - z)(\lambda + z)} d\lambda, \quad z = \alpha + i\beta \in \Omega_n,
\]

(4.5)

for large \(n\). Passing to the limit in (4.5) as \(n \to \infty\) and using (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we infer that \(g_\gamma\) satisfies the Poisson formula

\[
g_\gamma(z) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g_\gamma(it)}{(t - \beta)^2 + \alpha^2} dt.
\]

(4.6)

Hence, by Young’s inequality and (4.2), for every \( \alpha > 0 \),

\[
\|g_\gamma(\alpha + i\cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|g\|_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})}.
\]

Letting \( \gamma \to 1 \), Fatou’s lemma implies (4.1).

Lemma 4.2. Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \). Then \( H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \subset H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2}) \) and

\[
\|g\|_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})} \leq \|g\|_p, \quad g \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+).
\]

(4.7)

Proof. First let \( p = 2 \) so that \( g \in H^2(\mathbb{C}_+) \). Then, by [22, Ch.VIII, p.508], there exists \( f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, e^{\pi|t|} dt) \) such that \( f \geq 0 \) on \( \mathbb{R} \), and for all \(|\varphi| \leq \pi/2\),

\[
\int_0^\infty |g(te^{i\varphi})|^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\varphi t} f(t) dt.
\]
Hence,
\[
\int_0^\infty (|g(te^{i\varphi})|^2 + |g(te^{-i\varphi})|^2) \, dt = 2 \int_\infty^{-\infty} \cosh(2\varphi t) f(t) \, dt \\
\leq 2 \int_\infty^{-\infty} \cosh(\pi t) f(t) \, dt = \int_0^\infty (|g(it)|^2 + |g(-it)|^2) \, dt,
\]
and (4.7) holds for \( p = 2 \).

Let \( p \in [1, \infty), p \neq 2 \), be fixed and \( g \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \). Then \( g(z) = B(z)\tilde{g}(z), \ z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \), where \( B \) is the Blaschke product associated with \( g \) and \( \tilde{g} \) has no zeros in \( \mathbb{C}_+ \). Then there is a well-defined holomorphic function \( g_p(z) = B(z)\tilde{g}(z) \), \( z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \), where \( B \) is the Blaschke product associated with \( g \) and \( \tilde{g} \) has no zeros in \( \mathbb{C}_+ \). Then there is a well-defined holomorphic function \( g_p(z) \) on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) and \( g_p \in H^2(\mathbb{C}_+) \). Using (4.7) for \( p = 2 \), for all \( |\varphi| < \pi/2 \) we have
\[
\int_0^\infty (|g(te^{i\varphi})|^p + |g(te^{-i\varphi})|^p) \, dt = \int_\infty^{\infty} (|g_p(te^{i\varphi})|^2 + |g_p(te^{-i\varphi})|^2) \, dt
\]
\[
\leq \int_\infty^{-\infty} |g_p(it)|^2 \, dt = \int_\infty^{-\infty} |g(it)|^p \, dt = ||g||_p^p,
\]
and (4.7) follows.

Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 imply the next statement.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \). Then \( H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2}) = H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \), and for every \( g \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \),
\[
||g||_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})} = ||g||_{H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)},
\]
and
\[
||g||_{H^p_*(\Sigma_{\pi/2})} \leq ||g||_{H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)} \leq 2^{1/p} ||g||_{H^p_*(\Sigma_{\pi/2})}.
\]
Note that the two-sided estimate (4.10) was proved in [52] and [42] in a more complicated way (see also [1], [19], [45], [54], [55]). The coincidence of norms in (4.9) seems not to have been noted before. It appears to be quite useful, as we will see in the proof of Corollary 4.5.

**Remark 4.4.** The two-sided estimate (4.10) is sharp (and cannot be improved). Indeed, let \( p \in [1, \infty) \) and let
\[
f_k(z) := \frac{1}{\pi^{1/p}(z + 1 + ik)^{2/p}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Then for all \( k \), we have \( ||f_k||_{H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)} = 1 \) and, by direct estimates,
\[
\left( ||f_k||_{H_*(\Sigma_{\pi/2})} \right)^p = \int_0^\infty |f_k(te^{-i\pi/2})|^p \, dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{(t - k)^2 + 1} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\arctan k}{\pi}.
\]
Thus,
\[ \|f_0\|_{H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)} = 2^{1/p} \|f_0\|_{H^\pi(\Sigma_{\pi/2})} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\|f_k\|_{H^\pi(\Sigma_{\pi/2})}}{\|f_k\|_{H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)}} = 1. \]

In fact, for all \( f \in H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2}) \), the norm \( \|f\|_{H^\pi(\Sigma_{\pi/2})} \) is attained at the boundary of \( \Sigma_{\pi/2} \).

**Corollary 4.5.** Let \( g \in H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2}) \), \( p \in [1, \infty) \). Then there exist \( g(\pm it) := \lim_{\varphi \to \pm \pi/2} g(te^{\pm i\varphi}) \) for a.e. \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), \( g(\pm i\cdot) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) \), and
\[
\lim_{\varphi \to \pm \pi/2} \int_0^\infty |g(te^{i\varphi}) - g(\pm it)|^p \, dt = 0. \tag{4.11}
\]

As a consequence, for every \( g \in H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2}) \),
\[
\|g\|_{H^p(\Sigma_{\pi/2})} = \|g(\cdot i)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{4.12}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \) be fixed. By Corollary 4.3, it suffices to prove (4.11) for \( g \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \).

If \( g \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \), then, as recalled above, for almost all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) there exists a sectorial limit \( g(it) := \lim_{z \to it} g(z) \), and \( g(\cdot i) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) \). Therefore, we also have \( \lim_{\varphi \to \pm \pi/2} g(te^{\pm i\varphi}) = g(\pm it) \) for almost all \( t \). Using this and Fatou’s lemma, we infer from (4.8) that
\[
\limsup_{\varphi \to \pi/2} \int_0^\infty (|g(te^{i\varphi})|^p + |g(te^{-i\varphi})|^p) \, dt \leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty |g(it)|^p \, dt
\]
\[
\leq \liminf_{\varphi \to \pi/2} \int_0^\infty (|g(te^{i\varphi})|^p + |g(te^{-i\varphi})|^p) \, dt,
\]
and hence
\[
\lim_{\varphi \to \pi/2} \int_0^\infty (|g(te^{i\varphi})|^p + |g(te^{-i\varphi})|^p) \, dt = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |g(it)|^p \, dt. \tag{4.13}
\]

Then, by (4.13) and Lemma 2.2, using once again the pointwise a.e. convergence of \( g(te^{\pm i\varphi}) \) to \( g(\pm it) \) as \( \varphi \to \pm \pi/2 \), we obtain (4.11). Because \( \|g\|_{H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)} = \|g(\cdot i)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \), we get (4.12) as well. \( \square \)

For (formally) more general versions of (4.9) and (4.10), see (4.15) and (4.17).

### 4.2. The spaces \( H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \)

Now using the results of Subsection 4.1 for \( \psi = \pi/2 \), we develop basic properties of \( H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \) for any \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \). Define the Hardy space \( H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \) on the sector \( \Sigma_\psi \) to be the space of all functions \( f \in \Hol(\Sigma_\psi) \) such that
\[
\|f\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)} := \sup_{|\varphi| < \psi} \int_0^\infty (|f(te^{i\varphi})| + |f(te^{-i\varphi})|) \, dt < \infty. \tag{4.14}
\]

We will also consider a nonsymmetric version of \( H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \), defined as
\[
H^1_*(\Sigma_\psi) := \left\{ f \in \Hol(\Sigma_\psi) : \|f\|_{H^1_*(\Sigma_\psi)} := \sup_{|\varphi| < \psi} \int_0^\infty |f(te^{i\varphi})| \, dt < \infty \right\}.
\]
Theorem 4.6. Let $\psi, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in (0, \pi)$.

(i) $f \in H^1(\Sigma_\psi)$ if and only if $f \in H^1_*(\Sigma_\psi)$, and then

$$2^{-1} \| f \|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)} \leq \| f \|_{H^1_*(\Sigma_\psi)} \leq \| f \|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)}.$$  \hfill (4.15)

(ii) For any $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in (0, \pi)$, the map

$$H^1(\Sigma_{\psi_1}) \rightarrow H^1(\Sigma_{\psi_2})
\quad f(z) \mapsto \frac{\psi_1}{\psi_2} z^{(\psi_1/\psi_2)-1} f(z^{\psi_1/\psi_2})$$

is an isometric isomorphism of $H^1(\Sigma_{\psi_1})$ onto $H^1(\Sigma_{\psi_2})$ and of $H^1_*(\Sigma_{\psi_1})$ onto $H^1_*(\Sigma_{\psi_2})$.

(iii) If $f \in H^1(\Sigma_\psi)$, then the limits $f(re^{\pm i\psi}) := \lim_{\varphi \to \pm \psi} f(re^{i\varphi})$ exist a.e. and in the $L^1$-sense with respect to $r$. Moreover,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\psi} \frac{f(\lambda)}{\lambda - z} d\lambda, \quad z \in \Sigma_\psi.$$  \hfill (4.16)

(iv) If $f \in H^1(\Sigma_\psi)$, then

$$\| f \|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)} = \int_0^\infty (|f(te^{i\varphi})| + |f(te^{-i\varphi})|) \, dt.$$  \hfill (4.17)

(v) $H^1(\Sigma_\psi)$ and $H^1_*(\Sigma_\psi)$ are Banach spaces.

Proof. The proof of (i) is clear, and (ii) is a direct verification. For $\psi = \pi/2$, the statements (iii) and (iv), excluding (4.16), were proved in Corollaries 4.3 and 4.5, and (v) is well-known. Then the general cases are reduced to the case when $\psi = \pi/2$, by means of (ii).

The Cauchy formula (4.16) is well-known for $\psi = \pi/2$ (see, for example, [21, Theorem 11.8]). For general $\psi$, we may argue similarly to the proof of Lemma 4.1, as follows.

Because $f \in H^1(\Sigma_\psi)$,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\psi}^{\psi} |f(te^{i\varphi})| \, d\varphi \, dt < \infty.$$  

Hence, there exist sequences $(t_{1,n})_{n \geq 1}$ and $(t_{2,n})_{n \geq 1}$ such that $0 < t_{1,n} < t_{2,n}$, $t_{1,n} \to 0$, $t_{2,n} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-\psi}^\psi t_{1,n} |f(t_{1,n}e^{i\varphi})| \, d\varphi = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-\psi}^\psi |f(t_{2,n}e^{i\varphi})| \, d\varphi = 0.$$  

By applying Cauchy’s theorem around the boundary of

$$\{ z \in \partial \Sigma_{\psi-n^{-1}} : t_{1,n} < |z| < t_{2,n} \}$$

for large $n$ and taking the limit, we obtain (4.16). \hfill \Box
Remark 4.7. In addition to (4.17), it is possible to prove that
\[ \|f\|_{H^1_\Sigma} = \max \left( \int_0^\infty |f(te^{-i\psi})| dt, \int_0^\infty |f(te^{i\psi})| dt \right). \]
This requires additional techniques, and it is not used in this article.

4.3. Functions with derivatives in \( H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \)
For \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \), let us introduce the space
\[ \mathcal{H}_\psi := \{ f \in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_\psi) : f' \in H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \}. \]
In view of Corollary 4.3,
\[ \mathcal{H}_{\pi/2} = H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) := \{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}_+) : f' \in H^1(\mathbb{C}_+) \}, \]
and we may sometimes use the notation \( H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) \) instead of \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi/2} \).

Such function spaces are often called Hardy–Sobolev spaces, and we will also use this terminology sporadically. Spaces more general than \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \) appear in \([20]\). Namely, for \( f \in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_\psi) \) it was required in \([20]\) that the boundary values of \( f \) exist and belong (after an appropriate ‘rescaling’) to a Besov space \( B^{s}_{\infty,1}, s > 0 \). One can develop a similar approach to those spaces, but we do not see much advantage in such generality within the present context.

Theorem 4.8. Let \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi, \psi \in (0, \pi) \).

(i) The function \( f \) extends to a continuous bounded function on \( \Sigma_\psi \).

(ii) The limit
\[ f(\infty) := \lim_{|z| \to \infty, z \in \Sigma_\psi} f(z) \]
exists.

(iii) One has
\[ \|f\|_{H^\infty(\Sigma_\psi)} \leq |f(\infty)| + \|f'\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)}. \]

In particular, the evaluation functionals \( \delta_z, z \in \Sigma_\psi \), are continuous on \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \).

Proof. Let \( \psi = \pi/2 \). Because \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi/2} = H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) \subset \mathcal{B} \) by (4.18) and \([7, \text{Proposition 2.4}]\), the statement (i) follows from \([7, \text{Proposition 2.2(iv)}] \), and (ii) follows from \([7, \text{Proposition 2.4}] \). In the general case, the map \( f(z) \mapsto f(z^{2\psi/\pi}) \) is an isomorphism of \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \) onto \( H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) \), by Theorem 4.6(ii), so (i) and (ii) hold for \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \). The statement (iii) is easily seen.

It follows from Theorem 4.8 that \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \) is an algebra for every \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \). We define a norm on \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \) by
\[ \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\psi} := \|f\|_{H^\infty(\Sigma_\psi)} + \|f'\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi. \]
This is easily seen to be an algebra norm. Theorem 4.8(iii) shows that
\[ \|f\|_{H^1} = |f(\infty)| + \|f'\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.21)
is an equivalent norm on \( H^1(\Sigma_\psi) \).

The following lemma is simple but crucial for our theory. The completeness of the norm is a standard fact, the scale-invariance is trivial and the final isomorphism follows from Theorem 4.6(ii).

**Lemma 4.9.** For every \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \), the space \( (H_\psi, \| \cdot \|_{H_\psi}) \) is a Banach algebra. For \( t > 0 \), the map \( f(z) \mapsto f(tz) \) is an isometric algebra isomorphism on \( H_\psi \). Moreover, for any \( \psi_1, \psi_2 \in (0, \pi) \), the map
\[ H_\psi_1 \to H_\psi_2 \]
\[ f(z) \mapsto f(z^{\psi_1/\psi_2}) \]
is an isometric algebra isomorphism.

We now give some examples of functions in \( H_\psi \) that will play important roles in subsequent sections of this article. The first example is of similar type to Example 3.3.

**Examples 4.10.** Let \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \) and \( \lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi-\varphi}, \varphi \in (\psi, \pi) \).

1. Let \( \gamma > 0 \), and consider the function \( r_\gamma^\lambda(z) = (\lambda + z)^{-\gamma}, z \in \Sigma_\psi \). Then \( r_\gamma^\lambda \in H_\psi \) and
\[ \|r_\gamma^\lambda\|_{H_\psi} = \int_{\partial \Sigma_\psi} \frac{\gamma |dz|}{|z + \lambda|^{\gamma+1}} \leq \frac{2}{\sin^{\gamma+1}((\varphi - \psi)/2) |\lambda|^{\gamma+1}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.22)
where we have used Lemma 2.1. Thus, \( r_\gamma^\lambda \in H_\psi \), and there exists \( C_{\varphi, \psi, \gamma} \) such that
\[ \|r_\gamma^\lambda\|_{H_\psi} \leq \frac{C_{\varphi, \psi, \gamma} \gamma}{|\lambda|^{\gamma}}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi-\varphi}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.23)

In particular, if \( \gamma = 1 \), then
\[ \|r_\lambda\|_{H_\psi} \leq \frac{2}{\sin^2((\varphi - \psi)/2) |\lambda|}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi-\varphi}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.24)

This property will be important for the proof of Lemma 5.7 and hence of Theorem 5.10, and eventually of Theorem 1.2 (see Theorem 8.2). A more general estimate will be given in Corollary 4.16.

2. Let \( \gamma \in (0, \pi/(2\psi)), \lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi/2 - \gamma}, \) and \( e_{\gamma, \lambda}(z) := e^{-\lambda z^\gamma}, z \in \Sigma_\psi \). Then \( e_{\gamma, \lambda} \in H_\psi \) and
\[ \|e_{\gamma, \lambda}\|_{H_\psi} = \|e_{1, \lambda}\|_{H_{\psi, \gamma}} \leq \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\gamma}} |\lambda| e^{-\Re \lambda z} |dz| \leq \left( \frac{1}{\cos(\varphi + \gamma \psi)} + \frac{1}{\cos(\varphi - \gamma \psi)} \right). \]

More examples can be found in Subsections 4.5 and 4.6. In particular, Lemma 4.13 shows that the restriction of any function in \( D_\infty \) to \( \Sigma_\psi, \psi \in (0, \pi/2) \), belongs to \( H_\psi \).

The following lemma is a result of Fatou type closely related to Corollary 3.12.

**Lemma 4.11.** Let \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \) and \( (f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset H_\psi \) be such that \( \sup_{k \geq 1} \|f_k\|_{H_\psi} < \infty \) and \( f(z) := \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(z) \) exists for all \( z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \). Then \( f \in H_\psi \).
Proof. By Theorem 4.8, the functions \( \{f_k : k \geq 1\} \) are uniformly bounded on \( \Sigma_\psi \). By Vitali’s theorem, \( f \) is holomorphic, and \( f'_k(z) \to f'(z) \) as \( k \to \infty \) for each \( z \in \Sigma_\psi \). By Fatou’s lemma, for \( |\varphi| < \psi \),
\[
\int_0^\infty |f'(te^{i\varphi})| \, dt \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_0^\infty |f'_k(te^{i\varphi})| \, dt \leq \sup_{k \geq 1} \|f_k\|_{H_\psi}.
\]
Thus, \( f \in H_\psi \).

\[ \Box \]

4.4. The spaces \( H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) \) and \( \mathcal{L}L^1 \)

In [7, Proposition 2.4], we showed that \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi/2} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B} \). We will now show a stronger result that \( H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}L^1 + \mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M} \), where \( (\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}, \| \cdot \|_{\text{HP}}) \) is the HP algebra as in Section 2. In particular, it shows that the Laplace transforms of singular measures on \((0, \infty)\) are not in \( H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) \), which may be of interest.

**Theorem 4.12.** If \( f \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) \), then there exists \( g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \) such that \( f = f(\infty) + \mathcal{L}g \). Moreover, there is an absolute constant \( C \) such that
\[
\|f\|_{\text{HP}} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+)}. \tag{4.25}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( f \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) \) and, for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), let
\[
f_n(z) := f(z) - f(z + n), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+,
g_n(t) := -\frac{2}{\pi} t(1 - e^{-nt}) \int_0^\infty \alpha e^{-\alpha t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(\alpha + i\beta)e^{i\beta t} \, d\beta \, d\alpha, \quad t > 0.
\]
Then \( f_n \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) \), and \( \|f_n\|_{H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+)} \leq 2\|f\|_{H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+)} \). Moreover,
\[
|g_n(t)| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} t(1 - e^{-nt}) \int_0^\infty \alpha e^{-\alpha t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(\alpha + i\beta)| \, d\beta \, d\alpha
\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \|f'\|_{H^1(\mathbb{C}_+)} t(1 - e^{-nt}) \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \, d\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi} \|f'\|_{H^1(\mathbb{C}_+)} \frac{(1 - e^{-nt})}{t}
\leq \frac{2n}{\pi} \|f'\|_{H^1(\mathbb{C}_+)}. \]

By the reproducing formula for \( \mathcal{B} \) (see Remark 3.16 or [7, Proposition 2.20]) and Fubini’s theorem,
\[
f_n(z) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(\alpha + i\beta) \left( \frac{1}{(z + \alpha - i\beta)^2} - \frac{1}{(z + n + \alpha - i\beta)^2} \right) \, d\beta \, d\alpha
\leq -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(\alpha + i\beta) \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-(z + \alpha - i\beta) t(1 - e^{-nt})} \, dt \right) \, d\beta \, d\alpha
\leq -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} g_n(t) \, dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]
It follows that \((\pi/2)f'_n\) is the Laplace transform of \( tg_n(t) \), and then \( tg_n(t) \) is the inverse Fourier transform of \((\pi/2)f'_n(i \cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})\). By Hardy’s inequality in the form
of [21, p.198],
\[ \int_0^\infty |g_n(t)| \, dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{|tg_n(t)|}{t} \, dt \leq \frac{\pi}{4} \| f'_n \|_{H^1(C_+)} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \| f' \|_{H^1(C_+)}. \] (4.26)
Moreover,
\[ f(z) = f(\infty) + \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+, \]
and then by [49, Theorem 1.9.2] we infer that \( f = \mathcal{L}(\mu) \) for some \( \mu \in M(\mathbb{R}_+) \), and (4.25) holds.
Now let
\[ u(z) := f'(z+1) = -\int_0^\infty e^{-zt} e^{-t} d\mu(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+. \]
Because \( f \in H^\infty(C_+) \) and \( f' \in H^1(C_+) \), we have that \( u \in H^1(C_+) \cap H^\infty(C_+) \subset H^2(C_+) \).
Hence, \( u = \mathcal{L}h \) for some \( h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \), so
\[ u(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} h(t) \, dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+. \]
From the uniqueness properties of Laplace transforms it follows that
\[ -e^{-t} \mu(dt) = h(t) \, dt. \]
Thus, \( \mu \) is absolutely continuous on \((0, \infty)\), with Radon–Nikodym derivative \( g \).
Because \( \mu \) is a bounded measure, \( g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \), and
\[ \mu(dt) = f(\infty) \delta_0 + g(t) \, dt. \]
Hence, \( f = f(\infty) + \mathcal{L}g \).
\[ \square \]

4.5. The spaces \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \) and \( \mathcal{D}_s \)
Because \( H^{1,1}(C_+) = \mathcal{H}_{\pi/2} \) (by Corollary 4.3), we have shown in Theorem 4.12 that
\[ \mathcal{H}_{\pi/2} \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{L}L^1 + \mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{L}M \overset{i}{\hookleftarrow} \mathcal{B} \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{D}_s \]
if \( s > 0 \). In the next lemma, we show that, for all \( s > -1 \), \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi/2} \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{D}_s \) and \( \mathcal{D}_s \overset{r}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{H}_\psi \) for every \( \psi \in (0, \pi/2) \). Moreover, it follows that \( \mathcal{D}^\infty \overset{r}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{H}_\psi \).

Lemma 4.13. \( \text{(i) If } f \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi/2}, \text{ then } f \in \mathcal{D}^\infty \text{ for every } s > -1, \text{ and} \)
\[ \| f \|_{\mathcal{D}_{s,0}} \leq B \left( \frac{s+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \| f' \|_{H^1(C_+)}, \] (4.27)
\[ \| f \|_{\mathcal{D}_s} \leq \max \left\{ 1, B \left( \frac{s+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\} \| f \|_{\mathcal{H}_{s/2}}. \]

(ii) If \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s, \) \( s > -1, \) then \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \) for every \( \psi \in (0, \pi/2) \), and
\[ \| f' \|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)} \leq \frac{2^{s+1}}{\pi \cos^{s+2}(\psi/2 + \pi/4)} \| f \|_{\mathcal{D}_{s,0}}. \] (4.28)
Thus, for all $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $s > -1$, there are natural continuous embeddings

$$H_{\pi/2} \overset{i}{\rightarrow} D_s \overset{r}{\rightarrow} H_{\psi}. $$

Note that the estimates (4.23) and (4.27) for functions $r_\gamma^\psi$ reproduce the estimate (3.19), with different constants.

**Proof.** Let $s > -1$ be fixed, and let $f \in H_{\pi/2}$. Using (4.15), we have

$$\|f\|_{D_{s,0}} = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \varphi \int_{0}^{\infty} |f'(te^{i\varphi})| dt d\varphi \leq \left( \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \varphi d\varphi \sup_{|\varphi| \leq \pi/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} |f'(te^{i\varphi})| dt \right) = B \left( \frac{s+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \|f'\|_{H^1(C_+)};$$

and (i) follows.

To prove (ii), note that if $f \in D_s$, then by Corollary 3.10,

$$f'(z) = \frac{(s+1)2^s}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f'(\alpha + i\beta)}{(z + \alpha - i\beta)^{s+2}} d\beta d\alpha, \quad z \in C_+.$$ 

Hence, using (2.1), for every $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$, we obtain

$$2^{-s} \int_{0}^{\infty} |f'(te^{i\psi})| dt \leq \frac{(s+1)2^s}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|f'(\alpha + i\beta)|}{(t + |\alpha + i\beta|)^{s+2}} d\beta d\alpha \right) dt \leq \frac{1}{\pi \cos^{s+2}(\psi/2 + \pi/4)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|f'(\alpha + i\beta)|}{|\alpha + i\beta|^{s+1}} d\beta d\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\pi \cos^{s+2}(\psi/2 + \pi/4)} \|f'\|_{V_{s,0}},$$

and (4.28) follows.

For a function $f \in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\psi})$, $\gamma > 0$ and $0 < \varphi \leq \min\{\pi, \psi/\gamma\}$, define $f_\gamma \in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varphi})$ by

$$f_\gamma(z) := f(z^\gamma), \quad z \in \Sigma_{\varphi}.$$

**Corollary 4.14.** Let $f \in D_s, s > -1,$ and let $\gamma \in (0,1)$. Then $f_\gamma \in D_\sigma^\infty \cap H_{\pi/2}$ for all $\sigma > -1$. Moreover, for each $s > -1$ and $\sigma > -1$, there exist constants $C_{s,\sigma,\gamma}$ and $\tilde{C}_{s,\gamma}$ such that

$$\|f_\gamma\|_{D_\sigma^\infty} \leq C_{s,\sigma,\gamma} \|f\|_{D_s} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_\gamma\|_{H_{\pi/2}} \leq \tilde{C}_{s,\gamma} \|f\|_{D_s}, \quad f \in D_s.$$
Proof. Using Lemma 4.13, (i) and (ii), and Lemma 4.9, we see firstly that \( f \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi \gamma /2} \), and then \( f_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi /2} \subset \mathcal{D}_{s}^{\mathcal{H}} \). Moreover, each of the embeddings from Lemma 4.13 is continuous, and the map \( f \mapsto f_{\gamma} \) is isometric from \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi \gamma /2} \) to \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi /2} \).

Now we relate the spaces \( \mathcal{H}_{\psi} \) and \( \mathcal{D}_{s} \) to another class of spaces used in the literature on functional calculi. For \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \), let

\[
\mathcal{E}_{\psi} := \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\psi}) : \| f \| := \sup_{\varphi \in (0, \psi)} \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\psi}} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z|} |dz| < \infty \right\}.
\]

It is easy to see that \( (\mathcal{E}_{\psi}, \| \cdot \|) \) is a Banach space and that

\[
\mathcal{E}_{\psi} = \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\psi}) : f(z)/z \in H^{1}(\Sigma_{\psi}) \right\}.
\]

**Proposition 4.15.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{E}_{\psi} \) and let \( g(z) := f(z)/z \). Then, for every \( \varphi \in (0, \psi) \),

\[
\| f' \|_{H^{1}(\Sigma_{\psi})} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{\pi - \psi - \varphi}{\sin(\psi + \varphi)} + \frac{\pi - \psi + \varphi}{\sin(\psi - \varphi)} \right) \| g \|_{H^{1}(\Sigma_{\psi})},
\]

where we set \( \frac{0}{\sin 0} := 1 \). Thus, \( \mathcal{E}_{\psi} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{H}_{\varphi}, \varphi \in (0, \psi) \), and \( \mathcal{E}_{\pi /2} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D}_{s}, s > 0 \).

**Proof.** By Cauchy’s theorem, for every \( z \in \Sigma_{\psi} \),

\[
f'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\psi}} \frac{\lambda g(\lambda) d\lambda}{(z - \lambda)^{2}}.
\]

Hence, for every \( \varphi \in (0, \psi) \), by Fubini’s theorem,

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \left( |f'(\rho e^{i\varphi})| + |f'(\rho e^{-i\varphi})| \right) d\rho \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\psi}} |g(\lambda)| J(\lambda, \varphi) |d\lambda|,
\]

where

\[
J(\lambda, \varphi) := \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|\lambda|}{\rho e^{i\varphi} - \lambda} + \frac{|\lambda|}{\rho e^{-i\varphi} - \lambda} \right) d\rho
\]

\[
= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{2} - 2\rho \cos(\psi + \varphi) + 1} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{2} - 2\rho \cos(\psi - \varphi) + 1}
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi - \psi - \varphi}{\sin(\psi + \varphi)} + \frac{\pi - \psi + \varphi}{\sin(\psi - \varphi)},
\]

in view of [48, item 2.2.9, (25)]. Hence, \( f \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi} \) and (4.30) follows. Thus, \( \mathcal{E}_{\psi} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{H}_{\varphi} \) for all \( \varphi \in (0, \psi) \). Recalling Lemma 4.13 and that \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi /2} = H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_{+}) \), we have proved the inclusion \( \mathcal{E}_{\pi /2} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D}_{s}, s > 0 \), as well.

Note that the inclusions in Proposition 4.15 are strict. Indeed, if \( f(z) = z(z + 1)^{-1} \), then one has \( f \in \mathcal{H}_{\psi} \) for every \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \) but \( f \notin \mathcal{E}_{\psi} \) for any \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \). Moreover, if

\[
f(z) = \frac{ze^{-z}}{(z + 1) \log^{2}(z + 2)},
\]

then \( z^{-1} f \in H^{1}(\mathbb{C}_{+}) \), and \( f \in \mathcal{D}_{0} \), but \( f \notin \mathcal{D}_{s} \) for any \( s \in (-1, 0) \).
The spaces $\mathcal{E}_\psi$ are studied in [38, Chapter 10]; see also [38, Appendix H2], [34, Section 6] and [33, Appendix C]. To ensure the algebra property and to relate the spaces to the $H^\infty$-calculus, the authors considered the algebras $H^\infty(\Sigma_\psi) \cap \mathcal{E}_\psi$. Lemma 4.15 shows that the spaces $\mathcal{E}_\psi$ are fully covered within the framework of the algebras $D_\infty$ and $H_\psi$. These algebras will be associated to the more powerful functional calculi constructed in Corollary 7.8 and Theorem 8.2.

4.6. Bernstein functions and $H_\psi$

To illustrate the relevance of the Hardy–Sobolev spaces, we show that the ‘resolvent’ of a Bernstein function belongs to an appropriate Hardy–Sobolev space. This observation will be used in Section 10 to provide a new proof of the permanence of subordination for holomorphic semigroups, one of the main results of [31]; see also [6] and [7].

Let $g$ be a Bernstein function, $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$, and $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi-\psi}$. Let

$$f(z, \lambda) := (\lambda + g(z))^{-1}, \quad z \in \Sigma_\psi.$$ 

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, it follows from Lemmas 3.20 and 4.13 that $f(\cdot, \lambda) \in H_\psi$ and $\|f(\cdot, \lambda)\|_{H_\psi} \leq C_\psi/|\lambda|$, where $C_\psi$ is independent of $g$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$. In order to obtain the correct angle, we will need to extend this to $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi-\varphi}$, where $\varphi \in (\psi, \pi)$.

**Corollary 4.16.** Let $g$ be a Bernstein function, $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$, $\varphi \in (\psi, \pi)$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi-\varphi}$. Then

$$\|f(\cdot, \lambda)\|_{H_\psi} \leq 2 \left( \frac{1}{\sin(\min(\varphi, \pi/2))} + \frac{2}{\cos \psi \sin^2((\varphi - \psi)/2)} \right) \frac{1}{|\lambda|}. \quad (4.31)$$

**Proof.** For fixed $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$, $\varphi \in (\psi, \pi)$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi-\varphi}$, observe that

$$\|f'(\cdot, \lambda)\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)} = \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{|g'(te^{i\psi})|}{|\lambda + g(te^{i\psi})|^2} + \frac{|g'(te^{-i\psi})|}{|\lambda + g(te^{-i\psi})|^2} \right) \, dt.$$ 

Using the property (B1) for Bernstein functions and (2.1), we have

$$|\lambda + g(te^{\pm i\psi})| \geq \sin((\varphi - \psi)/2)(|\lambda| + |g(te^{\pm i\psi})|), \quad t \geq 0.$$ 

Moreover, in view of (B3), for all $t \geq 0$,

$$|g(te^{\pm i\psi})| \geq \text{Re}g(te^{\pm i\psi}) \geq g(t \cos \psi) \quad \text{and} \quad |g'(e^{\pm i\psi}t)| \leq g'(t \cos \psi).$$ 

Using (B2), we have

$$\|f'(\cdot, \lambda)\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)} \leq \frac{2}{\sin^2((\varphi - \psi)/2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{g'(t \cos \psi)}{|\lambda + g(t \cos \psi)|^2} \, dt$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\cos \psi \sin^2((\varphi - \psi)/2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{(|\lambda| + s)^2}$$

$$= \frac{2}{\cos \psi \sin^2((\varphi - \psi)/2)} \frac{1}{|\lambda|}.$$
Thus, \( f(\cdot, \lambda) \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \) and \( f(\infty, \lambda) = (\lambda + g(\infty))^{-1} \). Because \( |\arg \lambda| < \pi - \varphi \) and \( g(\infty) \in [0, \infty] \),

\[
|f(\infty, \lambda)| \leq \frac{1}{\sin(\min(\varphi, \pi/2))|\lambda|}.
\] (4.32)

Now (4.31) follows from Theorem 4.8(ii).

\[\square\]

4.7. Representations for functions in \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \)

In this section we derive a reproducing formula for functions from \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \) and obtain certain alternative representations for its kernel.

**Proposition 4.17.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi, \psi \in (0, \pi) \). Let \( \gamma = \frac{2\psi}{\pi} \) and

\[
f_\gamma(z) := f(z^{\gamma}), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\] (4.33)

Then

\[
f(z) = f(\infty) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{f_\gamma'(\alpha + i\beta)}{z^{1/\gamma} + \alpha - i\beta} d\beta d\alpha, \quad z \in \Sigma_\psi \cup \{0\}.
\] (4.34)

**Proof.** Because \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \), Lemma 4.9 implies that \( f_\gamma' \in H^1(\mathbb{C}_+) \). Hence, by Lemma 4.13 and Theorem 4.8, we have \( f_\gamma \in \mathcal{D}_0 \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}) \). Then, in view of Corollary 3.10,

\[
f_\gamma(z) = f_\gamma(\infty) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{f_\gamma'(\alpha + i\beta)}{z^{1/\gamma} + \alpha - i\beta} d\beta d\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \{0\},
\]

and (4.34) follows. \[\square\]

**Corollary 4.18.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \), and \( \gamma \in (0,1) \). If \( f_\gamma \) is given by (4.33), then

\[
f(z) = f(\infty) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{f_\gamma'(\alpha + i\beta)}{z^{1/\gamma} + \alpha - i\beta} d\beta d\alpha, \quad z \in \Sigma_{\pi\gamma/2} \cup \{0\}.
\] (4.35)

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.13, \( f \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi\gamma/2} \), so (4.35) follows from (4.34). \[\square\]

The next reproducing formula for functions in \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \) resembles [12, Lemma 7.4], and it was, in fact, inspired by [12, Lemma 7.4]. In particular, this formula replaces the double (area) integral in (4.34) with a line integral, it involves boundary values of \( f' \) rather than scalings of \( f' \) (such as in (4.34)), and it offers a different kernel that might sometimes be easier to deal with. The arccot function has been defined in (3.22).

**Proposition 4.19.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi, \psi \in (0, \pi) \). Let \( \nu = \pi/(2\psi) \), and

\[
f_\nu(t) := f(e^{i\psi}t) + f(e^{-i\psi}t) \quad \frac{2}{t}, \quad t > 0.
\] (4.36)

Then

\[
f(z) = f(\infty) - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty f_\nu(t) \arccot(z^\nu/t^\nu) dt, \quad z \in \Sigma_\psi \cup \{0\}.
\] (4.37)
Proof. Because \( \arccot \lambda \in D_0 \) (see Example 3.5), (3.29) shows that

\[
\arccot(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda + u - iv)^{-1}}{(u + iv)^2 + 1} \, dv \, du, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]  

(4.38)

Let \( \gamma = 1/\nu \) and \( f_\gamma \) be given by (4.33). By Proposition 4.17, for \( z \in \Sigma_\psi \cup \{0\} \),

\[
f(z) - f(\infty) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f'_\gamma(\alpha + i\beta)}{z^\nu + \alpha - i\beta} \, d\beta \, d\alpha.
\]  

(4.39)

It follows from Lemma 4.9 that \( f'_\gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_{\pi/2}) = H^1(\mathbb{C}_+) \), so by Cauchy's formula for functions in \( H^1(\mathbb{C}_+) \) [21, Theorem 11.8], we have, for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \),

\[
f'_\gamma(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f'_\gamma(it)}{\lambda - it} \, dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f'_\gamma(it)}{\lambda + it} \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{tf'_\gamma(it)}{\lambda^2 + t^2} \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{i\gamma}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\pi(\gamma-1)/2} f'(e^{\pi \gamma/2} t^{\gamma}) t^{\gamma} - e^{-\pi(\gamma-1)/2} f'(e^{-\pi \gamma/2} t^{\gamma}) t^{\gamma} \lambda^2 + t^2 \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{\gamma}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( e^{i\psi} f'(e^{i\psi} t^{\gamma}) + e^{-i\psi} f'(e^{-i\psi} t^{\gamma}) \right) \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\lambda^2 + t^2} \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} f'_\psi(s) s^{\nu} \lambda^2 + s^{2\nu} \, ds.
\]

Therefore, by (4.39) and (4.38), we obtain

\[
f(z) - f(\infty)
\]

\[
= -\frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} f'_\psi(s) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{s^{\nu} \, d\beta \, d\alpha}{(z^\nu + \alpha - i\beta)((\alpha + i\beta)^2 + s^{2\nu})} \right) ds
\]

\[
= -\frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} f'_\psi(s) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\beta \, d\alpha}{((z/s)^\nu + \alpha - i\beta)((\alpha + i\beta)^2 + 1)} \right) ds
\]

\[
= -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} f'_\psi(s) \arccot(z^\nu / s^{\nu}) ds,
\]

so (4.37) holds for \( z \in \Sigma_\psi \cup \{0\} \). \qed

Proposition 4.19 motivates a more careful study of the kernel \( \arccot(z^\nu) \). The integral representation of this kernel will be crucial in deriving fine estimates for the \( \mathcal{H} \)-calculus for operators in Subsection 8.2.

Lemma 4.20. Let \( \psi \in (0,\pi) \) and \( \nu = \pi/(2\psi) \). Then

\[
\arccot(z^\nu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} V_\psi(z,t) \log \left| \frac{1 + t^\nu}{1 - t^\nu} \right| \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{4i} \int_{\Gamma_\psi} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z}, \quad z \in \Sigma_\psi,
\]  

(4.40)
where
\[ V_\psi(z,t) := -\frac{t}{2} \left( \frac{e^{-i\psi}}{z-te^{-i\psi}} + \frac{e^{i\psi}}{z-te^{i\psi}} \right), \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.41)
\[ \Gamma_\psi := \{ \lambda : |\lambda| = 1, \arg \lambda \in (\psi, 2\pi - \psi) \}. \]

**Proof.** We have
\[ \arccot(z^\nu) = \frac{1}{2i} \log \left| \frac{z^\nu + i}{z^\nu - i} \right| + \frac{1}{2} \arg \left( \frac{z^\nu + i}{z^\nu - i} \right), \quad z \in \Sigma_\psi. \]
Because \( \nu \psi = \pi/2 \), for every \( t > 0, t \neq 1 \),
\[ \lim_{z \in \Sigma_\psi, z \to te^{i\psi}} \arccot(z^\nu) = \frac{1}{2i} \log \left| \frac{1 + t^\nu}{1 - t^\nu} \right| + \frac{1}{2} \arg \left( \frac{t^\nu - 1}{t^\nu + 1} \right), \]
and
\[ \lim_{z \in \Sigma_\psi, z \to te^{-i\psi}} \arccot(z^\nu) = -\frac{1}{2i} \log \left| \frac{1 + t^\nu}{1 - t^\nu} \right| + \frac{1}{2} \arg \left( \frac{t^\nu - 1}{t^\nu + 1} \right). \]

Here,
\[ \arg \left( \frac{t^\nu + 1}{t^\nu - 1} \right) = \arg \left( \frac{t^\nu - 1}{t^\nu + 1} \right) = \pi \chi_{(0,1)}(t), \quad t > 0, t \neq 1, \]
where \( \chi_{[0,1]} \) is the characteristic function of \((0,1)\). So,
\[ \lim_{z \in \Sigma_\psi, z \to te^{\pm i\psi}} \arccot(z^\nu) = \mp \frac{i}{2} \log \left| \frac{1 + t^\nu}{1 - t^\nu} \right| + \frac{\pi}{2} \chi_{[0,1]}(t). \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.42)

Now fix \( z \in \Sigma_\psi \). Using \( \limsup_{|\lambda| \to \infty, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+} |\lambda \arccot \lambda| < \infty \), it follows from Cauchy’s theorem that
\[ \arccot(z^\nu) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\psi} \frac{\arccot(\lambda^\nu)}{\lambda - z} d\lambda, \quad \int_{\Lambda \in \partial \Sigma_\psi, |\lambda| \leq 1} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z} = \int_{\Gamma_\psi} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z}. \]
Thus, by (4.42),
\[ \arccot(z^\nu) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \log \left| \frac{1 + t^\nu}{1 - t^\nu} \right| \left( \frac{1}{t - e^{i\psi}z} + \frac{1}{t - e^{-i\psi}z} \right) dt + \frac{1}{4i} \int_{\Gamma_\psi} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty V_\psi(z,t) \log \left| \frac{1 + t^\nu}{1 - t^\nu} \right| \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{4i} \int_{\Gamma_\psi} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z}. \]  \hspace{1cm} \square

**Remark 4.21.** Letting \( z \to 0 \) in (4.40), we obtain
\[ \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \log \left| \frac{1 + t^\nu}{1 - t^\nu} \right| \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{\pi - \psi}{2}; \]
hence,
\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \log \left| \frac{1 + t^\nu}{1 - t^\nu} \right| \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{\psi}{2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.43)
5. Dense sets in $\mathcal{D}_s$ and $\mathcal{H}_\psi$

In this section we establish some results concerning density and approximations in our spaces.

5.1. Dense subsets of $\mathcal{D}_s$ and some applications

Let $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ be the linear span of $\{r_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ be the sum of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and the constant functions. Using Example 4.10(1) and Lemma 4.13, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{C}_+) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\pi/2} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_s, \quad s > -1. \quad (5.1)$$

**Theorem 5.1.** The space $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{C}_+) := \left\{ a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k (\lambda_k + z)^{-1} : n \in \mathbb{N}, a_k \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}_+ \right\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}_s$ for each $s > -1$.

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{C}_+)$ be the closure of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ in $\mathcal{D}_s$. First let $s \in (-1,0)$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}_s$. It follows from Example 3.3 and Remark 3.14 (or a direct estimate) that the function

$$(R_f)(\lambda) := -\frac{1}{\pi} f'(\lambda) r_\lambda$$

is continuous from $\mathbb{C}_+$ to $\mathcal{D}_s$, and it is Bochner integrable with respect to area measure $S$ on $\mathbb{C}_+$. Because point evaluations are continuous on $\mathcal{D}_s$ (Remark 3.14), it follows from Corollaries 3.8 and 3.10 that

$$Q_0 f' = \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} R_f(\lambda) dS(\lambda)$$

as the Bochner integral of a continuous function. Hence, $Q_0 f'$ belongs to the closure in $\mathcal{D}_s$ of the linear span of the range of the integrand, which is contained in $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{C}_+)$. Now $f = f(\infty) + Q_0 f'$, which is in the closure of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ in $\mathcal{D}_s$. If now $f \in \mathcal{D}_s, s \geq 0$, then by an argument similar to the above $f - f(\infty)$ belongs to the closed linear span of $\left\{ r_\lambda^{s+2} : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \right\}$ in $\mathcal{D}_s$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and $\sigma \in (-1,0)$, then $r_\lambda^{s+2} \in \mathcal{D}_\sigma$, so that $r_\lambda^{s+2} \in \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}_\sigma$. Since $\mathcal{D}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{D}_s$, we have $r_\lambda^{s+2} \in \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}_s$, and thus $f - f(\infty) \in \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}_s$. \qed

From Proposition 3.6, we have the continuous inclusion

$$\mathcal{D}_s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_\sigma \quad \text{if} \ \sigma > s > -1,$$

and from (5.1), Theorem 4.12 and Proposition 3.15, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{C}_+) \subset H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}L^1 + \mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{L}M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_s^\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_s \quad \text{if} \ s > 0.$$

Here $\mathcal{L}L^1 + \mathbb{C}$ is the sum of $\mathcal{L}L^1$ and the constant functions, and it is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}M$. The following density results hold.

**Corollary 5.2.** 1. If $\sigma > s > -1$, then $\mathcal{D}_s$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}_\sigma$.

2. For $s > -1$, the spaces $H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and $\mathcal{D}_s^\infty$ are dense in $\mathcal{D}_s$. 
3. For \( s > 0 \), the spaces \( \mathcal{L}L^1 + \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{L}M \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) are dense in \( \mathcal{D}_s \).

4. For \( s > 0 \), the spaces \( \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{C}_+), H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+), \mathcal{L}L^1 + \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{L}M \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) are not dense in \( \mathcal{D}_s^{\infty} \).

**Proof.** The first three statements are immediate from Theorem 5.1.

Because any function in \( \mathcal{B} \) extends continuously to \( i\mathbb{R} \), the same holds for the closure of \( \mathcal{B} \) in \( \mathcal{D}_s^{\infty} \) when \( s > 0 \). The function \( f(z) = e^{-1/z} \in \mathcal{D}_s^{\infty} \) for \( s > 0 \) (see Remarks 3.18 and Example 3.4 with \( \nu = 0 \)), but \( f \) is not continuous at \( z = 0 \). This establishes the final statement.

The function \( g(z) = \exp(\arccot z) \) considered in Example 3.19 provides another example of a function from \( \mathcal{D}_0^{\infty} \) that is discontinuous on \( i\mathbb{R} \) and so does not belong to the closure of \( \mathcal{B} \) in \( \mathcal{D}_s^{\infty} \) for \( s > 0 \).

In order to obtain operator norm estimates for functions \( f^{(n)} \) applied to semigroup generators (see Theorem 10.3), we will need a stronger version of Corollary 3.23 on differentiability of \( t \to f(t) \) in the \( \mathcal{D}_s \)-norm. We first prove a lemma, and we present the stronger statement in Corollary 5.5.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+, \tau > 0 \), \( t \in (\tau/2, 2\tau) \), and define

\[
g_{t,\tau,\lambda}(z) := \frac{r_{\lambda}(tz) - r_{\lambda}(\tau z)}{t - \tau} - zr'_{\lambda}(\tau z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]

Then, for every \( s > -1 \),

\[
\lim_{t \to \tau} \|g_{t,\tau,\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} = 0. \tag{5.2}
\]

**Proof.** We have

\[
g'_{t,\tau,\lambda}(z) = \left(-\frac{t}{(tz + \lambda)^2} + \frac{\tau}{(\tau z + \lambda)^2}\right) \frac{1}{t - \tau} + \frac{1}{(\tau z + \lambda)^2} - \frac{2\tau z}{(\tau z + \lambda)^3}
\]

\[
= \frac{\tau tz^2 - \lambda^2}{(tz + \lambda)^2 (\tau z + \lambda)^2} + \frac{\lambda - \tau z}{(\tau z + \lambda)^3} \to 0, \quad t \to \tau.
\]

Hence,

\[
|g'_{t,\tau,\lambda}(z)| \leq \frac{C_{\tau,\lambda}}{1 + |z|^2}, \quad t \in (\tau/2, 2\tau), z \in \mathbb{C}_+,
\]

for some \( C_{\tau,\lambda} \). Because

\[
\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \psi \int_0^\infty \frac{d\rho}{1 + \rho^s} d\psi < \infty
\]

for any \( s > -1 \), the dominated convergence theorem implies (5.2).

**Corollary 5.4.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \), \( s > -1 \). For \( \tau > 0 \), let \( zf'_{\tau} \) denote the function mapping \( z \) to \( zf'(\tau z) \). Then

\[
\lim_{t \to \tau} \left\| \frac{f_t - f_{\tau}}{t - \tau} - zf'_{\tau} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s+1}} = 0.
\]
Proof. Let \( \tau > 0 \) be fixed, and

\[
(R_{t, \tau} f)(z) := \frac{f(tz) - f(\tau z)}{t - \tau} - zf'_\tau, \quad f \in D_s, t > \tau/2.
\]

By Lemma 3.22, \( \{R_{t, \tau} : t > \tau/2 \} \) is a bounded subset of \( L(D_s, D_{s+1}) \). By Lemma 5.3,

\[
\lim_{t \to \tau} \|R_{t, \tau} r_\lambda\|_{D_{s+1}} = 0, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]

Because the linear span of the functions \( r_\lambda \) and the constants is dense in \( D_s \) (see Theorem 5.1), the assertion follows. \( \square \)

Corollary 5.5. Let \( f \in D_s, s > -1 \), and let

\[
G(t)(z) := f(tz), \quad F_n(t)(z) := z^n f^{(n)}(tz), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, t > 0, z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]

Then \( G \) and \( F_n \) map \( (0, \infty) \) into \( D_{s+n} \), \( G \) is \( n \)-times differentiable as a function from \( (0, \infty) \) to \( D_{s+n} \) and

\[
F_n = G^{(n)}.
\]

Proof. Firstly, \( f(tz) \in D_s \subset D_{s+n} \), so \( G \) maps \( (0, \infty) \) into \( D_{s+n} \).

The proof is by induction on \( n \). The case \( n = 1 \) is given by Corollary 5.4. Assume that \( G^{(n)} = F_n \) with values in \( D_{s+n} \), and let \( f_n(z) = z^n f^{(n)}(z) \). Then

\[
G^{(n)}(t)(z) = F_n(t)(z) = t^{-n} f_n(tz).
\]

By Corollary 5.4 applied to \( f_n \in D_{s+n} \), \( G^{(n)} \) is differentiable with respect to \( t \), when considered as a function with values in \( D_{s+n+1} \). Finally,

\[
G^{(n+1)}(t)(z) = \frac{d}{dt} (z^n f^{(n)}(tz)) = z^{n+1} f^{(n+1)}(tz) = F_{n+1}(t)(z). \]

\( \square \)

5.2. Approximations via change of variables

Here we consider approximations of \( f \) from \( D_s \) and \( H_\psi \) by the functions \( f_\gamma(z) = f(z^\gamma) \) as \( \gamma \to 1^- \).

Proposition 5.6. Let \( \gamma \in (0, 1) \). The following hold:

1. Let \( s > -1 \) and \( f \in D_s \). Then

\[
\|f_\gamma\|_{D_s} \leq \|f\|_{D_s}, \quad (5.3)
\]

and

\[
\lim_{\gamma \to 1^-} \|f_\gamma - f\|_{D_s} = 0. \quad (5.4)
\]

2. Let \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \) and \( g \in H_\psi \). Then

\[
\lim_{\gamma \to 1^-} \|g_\gamma - g\|_{H_\psi} = 0. \quad (5.5)
\]
Proof. 1. First let \( g \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) \). If \( 0 < \psi < \varphi \leq \pi/2 \), then it follows from Theorem 4.6(iv) that
\[
\int_0^\infty \left( |g'(te^{i\varphi})| + |g'(te^{-i\varphi})| \right) \, dt \geq \int_0^\infty \left( |g'(te^{i\psi})| + |g'(te^{-i\varphi})| \right) \, dt.
\]
(5.6)
Hence,
\[
\|g\|_{D_s} = |g(\infty)| + \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^s \varphi \int_0^\infty \left( |g'(te^{i\varphi})| + |g'(te^{-i\varphi})| \right) \, dt \, d\varphi \\
\geq |g(\infty)| + \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^s \varphi \int_0^\infty \left( |g'(te^{i\varphi})| + |g'(te^{-i\varphi})| \right) \, dt \, d\varphi \\
= \|g_\gamma\|_{D_s}.
\]
Because \( H_{\pi/2} \) is dense in \( D_s \) (see Corollary 5.2), it follows that the map \( g \mapsto g_\gamma \) extends to a contraction on \( D_s \), and this contraction maps \( f \) to \( f_\gamma \). Then (5.3) holds.

Now (5.4) follows from (5.5), (5.3) and the fact that \( H_{\pi/2} \) is continuously and densely embedded in \( D_s \) (see Proposition 4.13 and Corollary 5.2).

2. Because the norms \( \|\cdot\|_{H_\psi} \) and \( \|\cdot\|_{H_\psi} \) are equivalent and \( g_\gamma(\infty) = g(\infty) \), it suffices to show that
\[
\|g_\gamma' - g'\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)} = \int_{\partial\Sigma_\psi} |g_\gamma'(z) - g'(z)| \, |dz| \to 0.
\]
By Lemma 4.9, we have
\[
\int_{\partial\Sigma_\psi} \left| g_\gamma'(z) \right| \, |dz| = \int_{\partial\Sigma_\gamma} \left| g'(z) \right| \, |dz|.
\]
Applying Theorem 4.6(iii) to \( g' \),
\[
\lim_{\gamma \to 1} \int_{\partial\Sigma_\gamma} \left| g'(z) \right| \, |dz| = \int_{\partial\Sigma_\psi} \left| g'(z) \right| \, |dz|
\]
and
\[
\lim_{\gamma \to 1} g_\gamma'(z) = g'(z), \quad \text{for almost all } z \in \partial\Sigma_\psi.
\]
Now the statement (5.5) follows from Lemma 2.2.

5.3. Density of rational functions in \( H_\psi \)

In Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.2, we established that \( \tilde{R}(\mathbb{C}_+) \) and several larger spaces are dense in \( D_s \), for \( s > -1 \) or \( s > 0 \). In particular, we noted that \( H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_+) \) is dense in \( D_s \).

Let \( \psi \in (0,\pi) \) and
\[
H_{\psi,0} = \{ f \in H_\psi : f(\infty) = 0 \},
\]
with the norm
\[
\|f\|_{H_{\psi,0}} = \|f'\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)}.
\]
By (4.19), this norm is equivalent to \( \|\cdot\|_{H_\psi} \) on \( H_{\psi,0} \).
Let $\psi \in (0, \pi)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma_\psi)$ be the linear span of $\{r_\lambda : \lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi - \psi}\}$. Let $\mathcal{R}_H(\Sigma_\psi)$ be the closure of $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma_\psi)$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\psi,0}$. We will prove that $\mathcal{H}_{\psi,0} = \mathcal{R}_H(\Sigma_\psi)$. Thus, the rational functions that vanish at infinity and have simple poles outside $\Sigma_\psi$ are dense in $\mathcal{H}_\psi$ modulo constants. This fact may be known, but we did not find it in the literature. Our proof involves several lemmas given later and it may be of interest as a piece of function theory. The following lemma, relating to the function spaces $\mathcal{E}_\varphi$ from Definition (4.29), is the key step in our proof.

**Lemma 5.7.** Let $\psi \in (0, \pi)$, $\varphi \in (\psi, \pi)$ and let $f \in H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)$. If

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma_\varphi} \frac{|f(\lambda)|}{|\lambda|} |d\lambda| < \infty,$$

then

$$f \in \mathcal{R}_H(\Sigma_\psi). \tag{5.7}$$

**Proof.** From (4.22) for $\gamma = 1$, the function $F(\lambda) := r_\lambda$ maps $\Sigma_{\pi - \psi}$ into $\mathcal{H}_{\psi,0}$ and is locally bounded. Moreover, $F$ is holomorphic (see Theorem 4.8(iii) and Section 2) and its derivative is $-r_\lambda^2$.

The Cauchy integral formula (4.16) may be written as

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\varphi} f(\lambda) F(-\lambda)(z) d\lambda, \quad z \in \Sigma_\psi.$$

From (4.24), we obtain

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma_\varphi} \|f(\lambda)F(-\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi,0}} |d\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi \sin^2((\varphi - \psi)/2)} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\varphi} \frac{|f(\lambda)|}{|\lambda|} |d\lambda| < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\varphi} f(\lambda) F(-\lambda) d\lambda$$

as a Bochner integral in $\mathcal{H}_{\psi,0}$, with continuous integrand, so it may be approximated in the $\mathcal{H}_{\psi,0}$-norm by Riemann sums of the integrand, which lie in $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma_\psi)$. Hence, $f \in \mathcal{R}_H(\Sigma_\psi)$. \hfill $\square$

The next step in the proof is to construct a family of functions that serve as an approximate identity for $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi,0}$ when restricted to any sector smaller than $\Sigma_\varphi$.

**Lemma 5.8.** Let $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ and $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, and let

$$g_\epsilon(z) := \frac{2z^\epsilon}{1 + z^\epsilon} (1 + \epsilon z)^{-2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty,0]. \tag{5.8}$$

Then $g_\epsilon \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi,0} \cap H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)$ and

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} \|g_\epsilon'\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)} < \infty. \tag{5.9}$$
Moreover, 
\[ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} g_\epsilon(z) = 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0], \quad (5.10) \]
and, for \(0 < a < b < \infty\), there exists \(C_{\varphi,a,b}\) such that 
\[ |g_\epsilon'(z)| \leq C_{\varphi,a,b} \cdot \epsilon, \quad z \in \partial \Sigma_\varphi, \quad |z| \in (a,b). \quad (5.11) \]

**Proof.** It is clear that \(g_\epsilon \in H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)\) and \(g_\epsilon(\infty) = 0\). Moreover, 
\[ g_\epsilon'(z) = \frac{2\epsilon z^\epsilon}{z(1+z^\epsilon)^2} - \frac{4\epsilon z^\epsilon}{(1+z^\epsilon)(1+\epsilon z)^3}. \]
Applying Lemma 2.1, there is a constant \(C_\varphi\) such that, for \(z \in \partial \Sigma_\varphi\) and \(t = |z|\), 
\[ |g_\epsilon'(z)| \leq C_\varphi \epsilon t^\epsilon \left( \frac{2}{t(1+t^\epsilon)^2} + \frac{4}{(1+t^\epsilon)(1+\epsilon t)^3} \right). \quad (5.12) \]
Hence, 
\[
\|g_\epsilon'\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)} \leq 2\epsilon C_\varphi \int_0^\infty \frac{t^\epsilon dt}{(1+t^\epsilon)^2(1+\epsilon t)^2} + 4\epsilon C_\varphi \int_0^\infty \frac{t^\epsilon dt}{(1+t^\epsilon)(1+\epsilon t)^3} \\
\leq 2\epsilon C_\varphi \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{(1+t)^2} + 2\epsilon C_\varphi \int_0^1 t^{-1} dt + 4\epsilon C_\varphi \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{(1+\epsilon t)^3} \\
\leq 6C_\varphi.
\]
This yields (5.9). The property (5.10) is straightforward, and (5.11) follows from (5.12).

Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8 enable us to show that any function \(f \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi,0}\), when restricted to \(\Sigma_\psi, \psi \in (0,\varphi)\), can be approximated by rational functions (with simple poles) in \(\mathcal{H}_{\psi,0}\).

**Lemma 5.9.** Let \(\psi \in (0,\pi), \varphi \in (\psi,\pi)\), and let \(f \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi,0}\). Then
\[ f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(\Sigma_\psi)}. \quad (5.13) \]

**Proof.** Assume first that \(f \in H_{\varphi,0}\) and \(f(0) = 0\), and let \(g_\epsilon\) be defined by (5.8). Then \(fg_\epsilon \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi,0} \cap H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)\), and 
\[
\int_{\partial \Sigma_\varphi} \frac{|f(z)g_\epsilon(z)|}{|z|} |dz| < \infty.
\]
By Lemma 5.7, \(fg_\epsilon \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(\Sigma_\psi)}\).

Note that 
\[
\|f(1-g_\epsilon)\|_{H_{\varphi,0}} \leq \|f'(1-g_\epsilon)\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)} + \|fg_\epsilon'\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)}.
\]
We will prove that 
\[ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|f'(1-g_\epsilon)\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)} = 0 \quad (5.14) \]
and 
\[ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|fg_\epsilon'\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)} = 0. \quad (5.15) \]
By (4.19) and (5.9),
\[ \sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} \| g_\epsilon \|_{H^\infty(\Sigma_\varphi)} \leq \sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} \| g'_\epsilon \|_{H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)} < \infty, \]
so, using (5.10) and the dominated convergence theorem, we have
\[ \| f'(1 - g_\epsilon) \|_{H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)} = \int_{\partial \Sigma_\varphi} |f'(\lambda)(1 - g_\epsilon(\lambda))| |d\lambda| \to 0, \quad \epsilon \to 0. \]

For \(0 < a < b < \infty,\)
\[ \| fg'_\epsilon' \|_{H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)} = \int_{\partial \Sigma_\varphi} |f(\lambda)||g'_\epsilon(\lambda)||d\lambda| \]
\[ \leq \sup_{|z| > b, \ z \in \partial \Sigma_\varphi} |f(z)| \int_{|\lambda| > b, \ \lambda \in \partial \Sigma_\varphi} |g'_\epsilon(\lambda)||d\lambda| \]
\[ + \sup_{|z| < a, \ z \in \partial \Sigma_\varphi} |f(z)| \int_{|\lambda| < a, \ \lambda \in \partial \Sigma_\varphi} |g'_\epsilon(\lambda)||d\lambda| \]
\[ + \| f \|_{H^\infty(\Sigma_\varphi)} \int_{|\lambda| \in (a, b), \ \lambda \in \partial \Sigma_\varphi} |g'_\epsilon(\lambda)||d\lambda| \]
\[ \leq \left( \sup_{|z| > b, \ z \in \partial \Sigma_\varphi} |f(z)| + \sup_{|z| < a, \ z \in \partial \Sigma_\varphi} |f(z)| \right) \| g'_\epsilon \|_{H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)} \]
\[ + 2(b - a)\| f \|_{H^\infty(\Sigma_\varphi)} \sup_{|z| \in (a, b), \ z \in \partial \Sigma_\varphi} |g'_\epsilon(z)|. \]

Letting first \(\epsilon \to 0,\) using (5.9)–(5.11) along with Vitali’s theorem, and then letting \(a \to 0\) and \(b \to \infty,\) using \(f(0) = f(\infty) = 0,\) we obtain
\[ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \| fg'_\epsilon \|_{H^1(\Sigma_\varphi)} = 0. \]

We have now proved the assertions (5.14) and (5.15). Thus, we obtain (5.13) under the additional assumption that \(f(0) = 0.\)

Now let \(f \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi,0}\) be arbitrary. Then consider
\[ f_0(z) := f(z) - 2f(0) \left( \frac{1}{z + 1} - \frac{1}{z + 2} \right), \]
and note that
\[ f_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi,0} \cap H^1(\Sigma_\varphi), \quad f_0(0) = 0. \]

Then, by the above,
\[ f_0 \in \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{H}(\Sigma_\varphi), \]
and hence (5.13) holds. \(\square\)

We now approximate functions \(f \in \mathcal{H}_{\psi,0}\) by functions from \(\mathcal{H}_{\psi',0}, \psi' > \psi,\) using the change of variables from Proposition 5.6.
For \( f \in \mathcal{H}_{\psi,0} \) and \( f_\gamma(z) = f(z^\gamma) \) for \( \gamma \in (0,1) \), we now have
\[
f_\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_\gamma,0}, \quad \varphi_\gamma := \min\{\gamma^{-1}\psi, \pi\} > \psi.
\]
By (5.5),
\[
\lim_{\gamma \to 1^-} \|f - f_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\psi,0}} = 0.
\]
By Lemma 5.9, \( f_\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{H}(\Sigma_{\psi}) \). Thus, we obtain the following result that the rational functions with simple poles are dense in \( \mathcal{H}_{\psi,0} \).

**Theorem 5.10.** Let \( \psi \in (0,\pi) \). Then
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\psi,0} = \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{H}(\Sigma_{\psi}). \tag{5.16}
\]

### 6. Convergence Lemmas

In this section we formulate convergence lemmas for functions in \( \mathcal{D}_s \) and \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \), composed with fractional powers.

**Lemma 6.1.** Let \( s > -1 \) and \( (f_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{D}_s \) be such that
\[
\sup_{k \geq 1} \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} < \infty,
\]
and for every \( z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \) there exists
\[
f(z) := \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(z).
\]
Let \( g \in \mathcal{D}_s \) satisfy
\[
g(0) = g(\infty) = 0.
\]
For \( \gamma \in (0,1) \), let
\[
f_{k,\gamma}(z) = f_k(z^\gamma), \quad g_\gamma(z) = g(z^\gamma), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]
Then
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \|(f_{k,\gamma} - f_\gamma)g_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} = 0. \tag{6.2}
\]

**Proof.** By Corollary 3.12, \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \). So without loss of generality, we can assume that \( f = 0 \). By Corollary 4.14, \( g_\gamma \) and \( f_{k,\gamma} \) belong to the algebra \( \mathcal{D}_s^\infty \), so \( f_{k,\gamma}g_\gamma \in \mathcal{D}_s \). Moreover,
\[
B := \sup_{k \geq 1} (\|f_{k,\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} + \|f_{k,\gamma}\|_\infty) < \infty.
\]
Let \( 0 < r < R < \infty \) and \( \Omega_{r,R} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_+ : r \leq |z| \leq R\} \). By Vitali’s theorem,
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(|f_{k,\gamma}(z)| + |f_{k,\gamma}'(z)|\right) = 0,
\]
uniformly on \( \Omega_{r,R} \). Therefore, the integrals
\[
\int_{\Omega_{r,R}} \frac{(\text{Re } z)^s}{|z|^{s+1}} |f_{k,\gamma}'(z)g_\gamma(z)| \, dS(z), \quad \int_{\Omega_{r,R}} \frac{(\text{Re } z)^s}{|z|^{s+1}} |f_{k,\gamma}(z)g_\gamma'(z)| \, dS(z),
\]
are
tend to 0 as $k \to \infty$. Moreover,
\[
\int_{C_+ \setminus \Omega_{r,R}} (\text{Re} z)^s |f_{k,\gamma}(z)g_\gamma(z)| \, dS(z) \leq \sup_{z \in C_+ \setminus \Omega_{r,R}} |g_\gamma(z)||f_{k,\gamma}|_{\mathcal{D}_s},
\]
and
\[
\int_{C_+ \setminus \Omega_{r,R}} \frac{(\text{Re} z)^s}{|z|^{s+1}} |f_{k,\gamma}(z)g_\gamma'(z)| \, dS(z) \leq \|f_{k,\gamma}\|_{\infty} \int_{C_+ \setminus \Omega_{r,R}} \frac{(\text{Re} z)^s}{|z|^{s+1}} |g_\gamma'(z)| \, dS(z).
\]
Hence,
\[
\limsup_{k \to \infty} \|f_{k,\gamma}g_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} \leq B \left( \sup_{C_+ \setminus \Omega_{r,R}} |g_\gamma(z)| + \int_{C_+ \setminus \Omega_{r,R}} \frac{(\text{Re} z)^s}{|z|^{s+1}} |g_\gamma'(z)| \, dS(z) \right).
\]
Letting $r \to 0$ and $R \to \infty$, we obtain the assertion (6.2).

The following result is a convergence lemma for $\mathcal{H}_\psi$, analogous to Lemma 6.1.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let $\psi \in (0, \pi)$ and $(f_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{H}_\psi$ be such that
\[
\sup_{k \geq 1} \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{H}_\psi} < \infty,
\]
and for every $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ there exists
\[
f(z) := \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(z).
\]
Let $g \in \mathcal{H}_\psi$ satisfy
\[
g(0) = g(\infty) = 0.
\]
For $\gamma \in (0,1)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_{k,\gamma}$ and $g_\gamma$ be given by (6.1). Then
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \|(f_{k,\gamma} - f_\gamma)g_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}_\psi} = 0. \tag{6.3}
\]

**Proof.** The proof is similar to Lemma 6.2.

By Lemma 4.11, $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\psi$. Thus, we will assume that $f_0 = 0$. Let $\gamma \in (\psi/\pi,1)$. By Lemma 4.9, $g_\gamma$ and $f_{k,\gamma}$ belong to the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\psi/\gamma} \subset \mathcal{H}_\psi$, so $f_{k,\gamma}g_\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_\psi$. Moreover,
\[
B := \sup_{k \geq 1} \|f_{k,\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}_\psi} < \infty.
\]
Let $0 < r < R < \infty$ and $I_{r,R} = \{z \in \partial \Sigma_\psi : r \leq |z| \leq R\}$. By Vitali’s theorem,
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} (|f_{k,\gamma}(z)| + |f_{k,\gamma}'(z)|) = 0
\]
uniformly on $I_{r,R}$. Therefore, the integrals
\[
\int_{I_{r,R}} |f_{k,\gamma}(z)g_\gamma(z)| \, |dz|, \quad \int_{I_{r,R}} |f_{k,\gamma}(z)g_\gamma'(z)| \, |dz|,
\]
tend to 0 as $k \to \infty$. Moreover,
\[
\int_{\partial \Sigma_\psi \setminus I_{r,R}} |f_{k,\gamma}(z)g_\gamma(z)| \, |dz| \leq \sup_{z \in \partial \Sigma_\psi \setminus I_{r,R}} |g_\gamma(z)||f_{k,\gamma}|_{\mathcal{H}_\psi},
\]
and
and
\[ \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\psi} \setminus I_{r,R}} |f_{k,\gamma}(z)g'_{\gamma}(z)||dz| \leq \|f_{k,\gamma}\|_{H^\infty(\Sigma_{\psi})} \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\psi} \setminus I_{r,R}} |g'_{\gamma}(z)||dz|. \]

Hence,
\[ \limsup_{k \to \infty} \|f_{k,\gamma}g_{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}_\psi} \leq B \left( \sup_{\partial \Sigma_{\psi} \setminus I_{r,R}} |g_{\gamma}(z)| + \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\psi} \setminus I_{r,R}} |g'_{\gamma}(z)||dz| \right). \]

Letting \( r \to 0 \) and \( R \to \infty \), we obtain (6.3). \( \square \)

7. The \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus and its compatibility

Here we discuss functional calculus for sectorial operators \( A \) of angle less than \( \pi/2 \) and functions \( f \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \). Because \( f \) is bounded on a closed sector containing the spectrum of \( A \) (Corollary 3.10), \( f(A) \) may be considered via the extended holomorphic (sectorial) calculus. If \( A \) is injective, then \( f(A) \) can be defined that way as a closed operator, but we will show that \( f(A) \) is a bounded operator when \( f \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \). Our methods provide estimates for \( \|f(A)\| \), and we will adapt the results in Section 8 to take account of the angle of sectoriality, by using fractional powers of operators (cf. Corollary 4.14).

Recall that a densely defined operator \( A \) on a Banach space \( X \) is sectorial of angle \( \theta \in [0, \pi/2) \) if \( \sigma(A) \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}_\theta \) and, for each \( \varphi \in (\theta, \pi] \),
\[ M_\varphi(A) := \sup \left\{ \|z(A)^{-1}\| : z \in \Sigma_{\pi-\varphi} \right\} < \infty. \] (7.1)

The sectorial angle \( \theta_A \) of \( A \) is the minimal such \( \theta \). Note that \( M_\varphi(A) \) is a decreasing function of \( \varphi \).

Let \( \text{Sect}(\theta) \) stand for the class of all sectorial operators of angle \( \theta \) for \( \theta \in [0, \pi/2) \) on Banach spaces, and denote \( \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) := \bigcup_{\theta \in [0, \pi/2)} \text{Sect}(\theta) \). Then \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \) if and only if \(-A\) generates a (sectorially) bounded holomorphic \( C_0 \)-semigroup on \( X \) of angle \( (\pi/2)-\theta_A \), in the sense that the semigroup has a holomorphic extension to \( \Sigma_{(\pi/2)-\theta_A} \) that is bounded on each smaller subsector. Note that these semigroups are sometimes called sectorially bounded holomorphic semigroups in the literature. However, in this article, we will adopt the convention that bounded holomorphic semigroups are bounded on sectors. We will denote the semigroup as \((e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}\), and \(e^{-tA}\) then agrees with \(e_t(A)\) defined in the HP-calculus, where \(e_t(z) = e^{-tz}\). One may consult [35] for the general theory of sectorial operators and [4, Section 3.7] for the theory of holomorphic semigroups.

Let \( A \) be a closed, densely defined operator on a Banach space \( X \) such that
\[ \sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{C}_+ \quad \text{and} \quad M_A := M_{\pi/2}(A) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}_+} \|z(A)^{-1}\| < \infty. \] (7.2)

Then \( \|A(z(A)^{-1})\| \leq M_A + 1, z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \), and Neumann series (see [53, Lemma 1.1]) imply that \( \sigma(A) \subset \Sigma_\theta \cup \{0\} \) and
\[ \|z(A)^{-1}\| \leq 2M_A, \quad z \in \Sigma_{\pi-\theta}, \] (7.3)
where
\[ \theta := \arccos\left(\frac{1}{2M_A}\right) < \frac{\pi}{2}. \]
So \( A \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \subset \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \). Conversely, if \( A \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \) where \( \theta \in [0, \pi/2) \), then (7.2) holds. Thus, \(-A\) generates a bounded holomorphic semigroup if and only if (7.2) holds. The constant \( M_A \) is a basic quantity associated with \( A \), and we call it the sectoriality constant of \( A \). Note that \( M_tA = M_A \) for all \( t > 0 \).

A set \( S \) of sectorial operators on the same Banach space \( X \) is uniformly sectorial of angle \( \theta \) if \( S \subset \text{Sect}(\theta) \) and, for each \( \varphi \in (\theta, \pi) \), there exists \( C_\varphi \) such that \( M_\varphi(A) \leq C_\varphi \) for all \( A \in S \). Thus, \( S \) is uniformly sectorial of some angle \( \theta < \pi/2 \) if and only if each \( A \in S \) satisfies (7.2) and \( \sup_{A \in S} M_A < \infty \).

In the presentation of the \( D \)-calculus that follows, we assume that the reader is familiar with the holomorphic functional calculus for sectorial operators, as in [35], and in particular with the HP-calculus for negative generators of bounded \( C_0 \)-semigroups. We will make extensive use of fractional powers of sectorial operators in the form \((A+z)^{-\gamma}\) where \( \gamma > 0 \). If \( \gamma \) is not an integer, these operators are fractional powers that can be defined in many ways (see [44]), including using the holomorphic functional calculus (see [35, Chapter 3]). All of these approaches are consistent with each other. Because \( D_\infty = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n \), it is possible to define the \( D \)-calculus without using fractional powers, and this would simplify some proofs (for example, Lemma 7.1 becomes trivial, and the formulas (7.4) and (7.8) would not be needed). Thus, we could define the \( D \)-calculus without using fractional powers and, in particular, we could define the fractional powers \((z+A)^{-\gamma}\) for all \( \gamma > 0 \). This definition would be consistent with other definitions (see Theorem 7.4). Then we could define the \( D_s \)-calculus for all \( s > -1 \) in the way described below, using fractional powers in (7.5).

The following simple lemma for fractional powers is a version of the moment inequality applied to the sectorial operator \((A+z)^{-1}\).

**Lemma 7.1.** Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \) and \( \gamma > 0 \). Let \( \lceil \gamma \rceil \) be the ceiling function of \( \gamma \); that is, the smallest integer in \([\gamma, \infty)\). Then
\[
\|(A+z)^{-\gamma}\| \leq M_A^{\lceil \gamma \rceil} / |z|^\gamma, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]

**Proof.** When \( \gamma \in \mathbb{N} \), the estimate is trivial.

Let \( \gamma \in (0,1) \). By the compatibility of our calculus with the holomorphic functional calculus for fractional powers, we may use the following standard Stieltjes formula (see [4, (3.52)], for example):
\[
(A+z)^{-\gamma} = \frac{\sin(\pi \gamma)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{-\gamma}(A+z+t)^{-1} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+. \tag{7.4}
\]
Next, let \( z = \rho e^{i\varphi} \in \mathbb{C}_+ \). Then, using Cauchy’s theorem,
\[
(A+z)^{-\gamma} = \frac{\sin(\pi \gamma)}{\pi} \int_0^{e^{i\varphi} \infty} w^{-\gamma}(A+\rho e^{i\varphi}+w)^{-1} dw
= e^{i(1-\gamma)\varphi} \frac{\sin(\pi \gamma)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty s^{-\gamma}(A+\rho e^{i\varphi}+se^{i\varphi})^{-1} ds.
\]
So,
\[ \|(A+z)^{-\gamma}\| \leq M_A \frac{\sin(\pi \gamma)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty s^{-\gamma}(\rho+s)^{-1} \, ds = \frac{M_A}{\rho^\gamma}. \]

In other cases, \( \gamma = ([\gamma] - 1) + \delta \) where \( \delta \in (0,1) \), and the estimate follows from the two previous cases.

Now let \( s > -1 \) be fixed and let \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \). We define
\[
f_{\mathcal{D}_s}(A) := f(\infty) - \frac{2^s}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^\infty f'(\alpha+i\beta)(A+\alpha-i\beta)^{-(s+1)} \, d\beta \, d\alpha. \tag{7.5}
\]

Note that when \( s = 1 \) and \( f \in \mathcal{B} \), (7.5) coincides with the definition of \( f(A) \) as given by the \( \mathcal{B} \)-calculus in [7]; cf. (1.4).

This definition is valid, as the following simple proposition shows.

**Proposition 7.2.** Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-\theta) \) and \( s > -1 \).

1. The map \( f \mapsto f_{\mathcal{D}_s}(A) \) is bounded from \( \mathcal{D}_s \) to \( L(X) \).
2. For \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \),
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} f_{\mathcal{D}_s}(A+\epsilon) = f_{\mathcal{D}_s}(A), \tag{7.6}
\]
in the operator norm topology.

**Proof.** Lemma 7.1 and (7.5) imply that
\[
\|f_{\mathcal{D}_s}(A)\| \leq |f(\infty)| + \frac{2^s M_A^{[s+1]}}{\pi} \|f'\|_{\mathcal{V}_s}. \tag{7.7}
\]

Thus, the boundedness of the map \( f \mapsto f_{\mathcal{D}_s}(A) \) from \( \mathcal{D}_s \) to \( L(X) \) follows.

By a standard Laplace transform representation for negative fractional powers [4, eqn. (3.56)],
\[
(A+z)^{-(s+1)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s+1)} \int_0^\infty t^se^{-tz}e^{-tA} \, dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \tag{7.8}
\]
By the dominated convergence theorem, \( z \mapsto (A+z)^{-(s+1)} \) is continuous (even holomorphic) on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) in the operator norm topology.

The operators \( (A+\epsilon)_{\epsilon \geq 0} \) are uniformly sectorial of angle \( \theta \); more precisely, \( M_{A+\epsilon} \leq M_A \) [35, Proposition 2.1.1 f)]. By Lemma 7.1, this implies that
\[
\|(A+\epsilon+z)^{-(s+1)}\| \leq M_A^{[s+1]} |z|^{-(s+1)}, \quad \epsilon \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+. \tag{7.9}
\]
Now (7.6) follows from applying (7.5) to \( A+\epsilon \), letting \( \epsilon \to 0^+ \) and using the dominated convergence theorem.

**Remark 7.3.** The property (7.6) can be compared with Corollary 11.2 where a direct proof is given that the shifts form a bounded holomorphic \( \mathcal{C}_0 \)-semigroup on \( \mathcal{D}_s \). To deduce (7.6), one also needs that if \( f_\epsilon(z) = f(z+\epsilon) \), then \( (f_\epsilon)_{\mathcal{D}_s}(A) = f_{\mathcal{D}_s}(A+\epsilon) \). By Theorem 5.1, it suffices that this holds for \( f = r_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \); that is, to show that \( (r_\lambda)_{\mathcal{D}_s}(A) = (\lambda+A)^{-1} \).

We show this in Theorem 7.6, but the argument uses (7.6).
Let \( f_{HP}(A) \) stand for a function \( f \) of \( A \) defined by the HP functional calculus when \( f \) is in the HP algebra \( \mathcal{LM} \), and let \( f_{Hol}(A) \) denote a function \( f \) of \( A \) given by the holomorphic functional calculus when \( f \) is in the domain of that calculus. The following statement shows that both calculi are compatible with the \( D \)-calculus and, moreover, that the definitions of \( f_{D,s}(A) \) agree for the various values of \( s \) for which \( f \in D_s \).

**Theorem 7.4.** Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \), and let \( f \in D_s, s > -1 \).

(i) If \( A \) is injective, then

\[
f_{D,s}(A) = f_{Hol}(A). \tag{7.10}
\]

(ii) If \( \sigma \geq s \), then

\[
f_{D,s}(A) = f_{D,\sigma}(A). \tag{7.11}
\]

(iii) If \( f \in \mathcal{LM} \cap D_s \), then

\[
f_{D,s}(A) = f_{HP}(A). \tag{7.12}
\]

In particular, (7.12) holds if \( f \in \mathcal{LM} \) and \( s > 0 \).

**Proof.** We start by proving (7.10). Assume that \( A \) is injective, and \( A \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \), where \( \theta \in (0, \pi/2) \). Let \( \psi \in (\theta, \pi/2) \). Let \( f \in D_s, s > -1 \), and assume (without loss of generality) that \( f(\infty) = 0 \). By the definition of the holomorphic functional calculus,

\[
A(1 + A)^{-2} f_{Hol}(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\psi} \frac{\lambda f(\lambda)}{(\lambda + 1)^2} (\lambda - A)^{-1} d\lambda.
\]

Because

\[
f(\lambda) = -\frac{2^s}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{f'(\alpha + i\beta)}{(\lambda + \alpha - i\beta)^{s+1}} d\beta d\alpha, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+,
\]

Fubini's theorem and Cauchy’s theorem imply that

\[
A(1 + A)^{-2} f_{Hol}(A) = -\frac{2^s}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^\infty f'(\alpha + i\beta) \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\psi} \frac{\lambda(\lambda - A)^{-1}}{(\lambda + 1)^2(\lambda + \alpha - i\beta)^{s+1}} d\lambda \right) d\beta d\alpha
\]

\[
= -\frac{2^s}{\pi} A(1 + A)^{-2} \int_0^\infty \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^\infty f'(\alpha + i\beta) (A + \alpha - i\beta)^{-(s+1)} d\beta d\alpha
\]

\[
= A(1 + A)^{-2} f_{D,s}(A).
\]

Hence, (7.10) holds.

Now we no longer assume that \( A \) is injective. We infer by (7.10) that

\[
f_{D,s}(A + \epsilon) = f_{D,s}(A + \epsilon)
\]

for all \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( \sigma \geq s \). Letting \( \epsilon \to 0 \) and using Proposition 7.2, we obtain the assertion (7.11).
Finally, if $f \in \mathcal{LM} \cap \mathcal{D}_s$ for some $s > -1$, then $f \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{D}_s$, and using (7.11), we have

$$f_{\text{HP}}(A) = \Phi_A(f) = f_{\mathcal{D}_1}(A) = f_{\mathcal{D}_s}(A).$$

\[\square\]

**Remark 7.5.** If $f$ has zero polynomial limits at zero and at infinity in the sense of [35, p. 27], then the proof above does not require the regularisation factor $\lambda(\lambda + 1)^{-2}$. Hence, $f_{\mathcal{D}}(A) = f_{\text{Hol}}(A)$ regardless of the injectivity of $A$. One can show that $f_{\mathcal{D}}(A) = f_{\text{Hol}}(A)$ even when $f$ belongs to the extended Riesz–Dunford function class (for example, $f(z) = e^{-tz}, t > 0$), but we omit a discussion of this here (cf. the proof of Lemma 7.11).

Recall that $\mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_\sigma$ if $-1 < s \leq \sigma$, and the space

$$\mathcal{D}_\infty := \bigcup_{s > -1} \mathcal{D}_s$$

is an algebra, by Lemma 3.21. Thus, it is a plausible and natural domain for a functional calculus, which we now define.

**Theorem 7.6.** Let $A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-)$. The formula (7.5) defines an algebra homomorphism:

$$\Psi_A : \mathcal{D}_\infty \mapsto L(X), \quad \Psi_A(f) = f_{\mathcal{D}_s}(A), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_s, s > -1.$$  

Moreover,

(i) $\Psi_A(r_\lambda) = (\lambda + A)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+.$

(ii) $\Psi_A$ is bounded in the sense that for every $s > -1$ there exists $C_s(A)$ such that

$$\|\Psi_A(f)\| \leq |f(\infty)| + C_s(A)\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_s}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_s.$$  

Specifically, (7.7) holds.

Moreover, $\Psi_A$ is the unique algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{D}_\infty$ to $L(X)$ that satisfies (i) and (ii).

The homomorphism $\Psi_A$ will be called the $\mathcal{D}$-calculus.

**Proof.** It follows from (7.11) that $\Psi_A$ is well-defined by (7.5) and from (7.10) that $(r_\lambda)_{\mathcal{D}_s}(A + \epsilon) = (\lambda + \epsilon + A)^{-1}$ for $\epsilon > 0$. Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ and using (7.6) gives (i). Moreover, (7.13) is a direct consequence of Proposition 7.2.

We will now prove that $\Psi_A$ is a homomorphism. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_\infty$. Then $f \in \mathcal{D}_r$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}_t$ for some strictly positive $s$ and $t$; hence, $fg \in \mathcal{D}_{s+t+1}$ by Lemma 3.21. Because $\mathcal{LM}$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}_s$ for every $s > 0$ by Corollary 5.2, there exist $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ from $\mathcal{LM}$ such that

$$f_n \to f \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}_s \quad \text{and} \quad g_n \to g \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}_t, \quad n \to \infty,$$

and then, in view of Lemma 3.21, $f_ng_n \to fg$ in $\mathcal{D}_{s+t+1}$ as $n \to \infty$.

By the product rule for the HP-calculus and (7.12),

$$\Psi_A(f_ng_n) = (f_ng_n)_{\text{HP}}(A) = \Psi_A(f_n)\Psi_A(g_n), \quad n \geq 1.$$
Passing to the limit when \( n \to \infty \) and using (7.13), it follows that

\[
\Psi_A(fg) = \Psi_A(f)\Psi_A(g).
\]

Let \( \Psi : D_\infty \to L(X) \) be an algebra homomorphism satisfying (i) and (ii). Then \( \Psi \) and \( \Psi_A \) coincide on \( \{ r_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \} \). Because \( A \) is densely defined, \( \Psi(1) = 1 \) (see [8, Section 6, Observation (2)]), so \( \Psi \) and \( \Psi_A \) coincide on the span of these functions that are dense in \( D_s \) (Theorem 5.1). Because \( \Psi \) and \( \Psi_A \) are both bounded on \( D_s \), it follows that they coincide on each \( D_s \) and hence on \( D_\infty \).

**Remark 7.7.** If \( A \) is an operator for which a \( D \)-calculus exists with the properties (i) and (ii) given in Theorem 7.6, then \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \). This follows from (3.20) and the properties (i) and (ii). By combining this with Theorem 7.6, we obtain Theorem 1.1. Note also that, if (i) holds for some \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \), then it holds for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \), by the resolvent identity.

The Banach algebras \( D_\infty^s, s > -1 \), are subalgebras of \( D_\infty \), so we obtain the following corollary by restricting the \( D \)-calculus.

**Corollary 7.8.** Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \) and \( s > -1 \). Then there exists a bounded algebra homomorphism \( \Psi_A^s : D_\infty^s \to L(X) \) such that

(i) \( \Psi_A^s(r_\lambda) = (\lambda + A)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \).

(ii) \( \Psi_A^s \) is bounded in the \( D_s \)-norm; that is, there exists \( C_s(A) \) such that

\[
\| \Psi_A(f) \| \leq C_s(A) \| f \|_{D_s}, \quad f \in D_s.
\]

Moreover, \( \Psi_A^s \) is the unique algebra homomorphism from \( D_\infty^s \) to \( L(X) \) that satisfies (i) and (ii).

From now onwards, we will write \( f_D(A) \) instead of \( \Psi_A(f) \), for \( f \in D_\infty \). When \( f(z) = e^{-tz} \), we will continue to use the notation \( e^{-tA} \) for \( f(A) \), because the \( D \)-calculus agrees with the HP-calculus by Theorem 7.4. So \( (e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0} \) form the \( C_0 \)-semigroup generated by \(-A\), and it extends to a bounded holomorphic semigroup.

Let \( g : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}_+ \) be a holomorphic function and assume that \( r_\lambda \circ g \in D_\infty \) for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \). Because the functions \( (r_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+} \) satisfy the resolvent identity, the operators

\[
((r_\lambda \circ g)_D(A))_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+} \subset L(X)
\]

also satisfy the resolvent identity; that is, they form a pseudo-resolvent. In particular, their kernels and their ranges are independent of \( \lambda \), and they form the resolvent of an operator \( B \) if and only if the common kernel is \( \{0\} \) and the domain of \( B \) is the common range of the pseudo-resolvent (see [58, Section VIII.4]).

**Corollary 7.9.** Let \( A, B \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \), and let \( g : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}_+ \) be holomorphic. Assume that, for each \( s > -1 \), there exists \( \sigma > -1 \) such that
(a) For all \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \), \( f \circ g \in \mathcal{D}_\sigma \), and
(b) for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \), \( (r_\lambda \circ g)_D(A) = (\lambda + B)^{-1} \).

Then \( f \circ g)_D(A) = f_D(B) \) for all \( f \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \).

**Proof.** By assumption (a), Corollary 3.13 and the closed graph theorem, \( f \mapsto f \circ g \) is a bounded map from \( \mathcal{D}_s \) to \( \mathcal{D}_\sigma \). Moreover, the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus for \( A \) is a bounded map from \( \mathcal{D}_\sigma \) to \( L(X) \). Hence, the composition is a bounded map from \( \mathcal{D}_s \) to \( L(X) \), and by assumption (b) it sends \( r_\lambda \) to \( (\lambda + B)^{-1} \) for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \). Moreover, the maps collectively form an algebra homomorphism from \( \mathcal{D}_\infty \) to \( L(X) \). By the uniqueness in Theorem 7.6, this map is the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus for \( B \).

In the context of Corollary 7.9, the operator \( B \) is sometimes written as \( g(A) \), but the precise meaning depends on the specific situation.

There is also a version of Corollary 7.9 for fixed values of \( s \) and \( \sigma \), using the \( \mathcal{D}_s^{\infty} \)- and \( \mathcal{D}_\sigma^{\infty} \)-calculus.

**Examples 7.10.** Examples of functions \( g \) and operators \( B \) that satisfy the conditions of Corollary 7.9 include the following:

1. \( g(z) = z^{-1} \), if \( A \) is injective (with dense range); \( \sigma = s \), \( B = A^{-1} \). Then \( f \circ g \) is the function \( \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}_s \) as in Lemma 3.17. Note that \( f_D(A) \) is defined as a bounded operator on \( X \), even if \( A \) is not injective. If \( A_0 \) is the restriction of \( A \) to \( X_0 \), the closure of the range of \( A \), then \( f_D(A) \) acts as \( A_0^{-1} \) on \( X_0 \) and as the sectorial limit \( f(\infty) \) on the kernel of \( A \). If \( X \) is reflexive, this determines \( f_D(A) \) on \( X \).
2. \( g(z) = tz \), where \( t > 0 \); \( \sigma = s \), \( B = tA \). See Lemma 3.17.
3. \( g(z) = z + \eta \), where \( \eta \in \mathbb{C}_+ \); \( \sigma = s \), \( B = A + \eta \). See Remark 7.3.
4. \( g(z) = z^\gamma \), where \( \gamma \in (0,1) \); \( s > -1, \sigma > -1 \); \( B = A^\gamma \) (as defined in the holomorphic functional calculus). See Corollary 4.14 for assumption (a) in Corollary 7.9 and Corollary 7.12 for assumption (b). The result also holds for \( \gamma \in (1, \pi/(2\theta)) \).
5. Examples (2), (3) for \( \eta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) and (4) are Bernstein functions. By Lemma 3.20, \( r_\lambda \circ g \in \mathcal{D}_s \) for all Bernstein functions \( g \) and \( s > 2 \). We will show in the proof of Theorem 10.5 that \( (r_\lambda \circ g)_D(A) = (\lambda + g(A))^{-1} \), where \( g(A) \) is a sectorial operator.

In Example 7.10(4), we have introduced a fractional power \( A^\gamma \), where \( \gamma > 0 \). These operators are defined in various ways, including the extended holomorphic functional calculus. To justify the example, we need the following lemma about fractional powers, which is probably known at least in simpler form. For \( \gamma \in (0,1) \) and \( \nu \in \mathbb{N} \), it follows easily from a standard result [44, Proposition 5.1.4]. We give a proof that uses the holomorphic functional calculus for fractional powers as in [35, Section 3.1].

**Lemma 7.11.** Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \), \( \gamma \in (0,1) \) and \( \nu > 0 \). In the operator norm topology,

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to +0} ((A + \epsilon)^\gamma + z)^{-\nu} = (A^\gamma + z)^{-\nu}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \) be fixed, and let \( f(\lambda) = (\lambda^\gamma + z)^{-\nu} \), \( \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+ \).
Then \( f \in H^\infty(C_+) \) and, by considering the derivative of \( \mu \mapsto (\mu + z)^{-\nu} \), we see that there exists a constant \( C \) (depending on \( z \)) such that

\[
|f(\lambda) - f(0)| \leq C|\lambda|^{\gamma}, \quad |f(\lambda)| \leq C|\lambda|^{-\gamma}, \quad \lambda \in C_+.
\]

Thus, \( f \) has polynomial limits at 0 and \( \infty \), and so \( f \) belongs to the extended Riesz–Dunford class defined in [35, Lemma 2.2.3]. In other words,

\[
g_0(\lambda) := f(\lambda) - z^{-\nu}(1 + \lambda)^{-1}
\]

has polynomial decay at 0 and \( \infty \). Moreover, there exists a constant \( C' \) (independent of \( \epsilon \)) such that

\[
|f(\lambda + \epsilon) - f(\epsilon)| \leq C'|\lambda|^{\gamma}, \quad |f(\lambda + \epsilon)| \leq C'|\lambda|^{-\gamma}, \quad \lambda \in C_+, \epsilon \in (0, 1) \tag{7.14}
\]

Let

\[
g_\epsilon(\lambda) = f(\lambda + \epsilon) - f(\epsilon)(1 + \lambda)^{-1}.
\]

Using the definition of the primary functional calculus [35, Section 2.3.1], we have

\[
f(A + \epsilon) - f(A) = g_\epsilon(A) - g_0(A) + (f(\epsilon) - f(0))(I + A)^{-1},
\]

\[
g_\epsilon(A) - g_0(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_\psi} (g_\epsilon(\lambda) - g_0(\lambda))(\lambda - A)^{-1} d\lambda,
\]

where \( \psi \in (\theta, \pi/2) \). By the dominated convergence theorem,

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \| (g_\epsilon(A) - g_0(A) \| = 0.
\]

The pointwise convergence of \( g_\epsilon - g_0 \) to zero is clear, and the existence of an integrable majorant follows easily from (7.14).

\[\square\]

Corollary 7.12. Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \) and \( \gamma \in (0,1) \). Let \( f \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \) and \( f_\gamma(z) = f(z^\gamma) \). Then the following hold:

1. In operator norm,

\[\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} f_\mathcal{D}((A + \epsilon)^\gamma) = f_\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma).\]

2. \( (f_\gamma)_\mathcal{D}(A) = f_\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma).\)

\[\text{Proof.}\] The proof of (1) follows from Lemma 7.11 in essentially the same way as the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 7.2.

By Corollary 4.14, \( h \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \). By (7.10) and the composition theorem for the holomorphic functional calculus [35, Theorem 2.4.2], we have \( h_\mathcal{D}(A + \epsilon) = f_\mathcal{D}((A + \epsilon)^\gamma) \). Letting \( \epsilon \to 0^+ \) and using (7.6) and (1), we obtain (2).

\[\square\]

8. The calculus on Hardy–Sobolev algebras

Given the negative generator \( A \) of a bounded holomorphic \( C_0 \)-semigroup on a Banach space \( X \), the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus allows us to extend the \( \mathcal{B} \)-calculus to a much larger class of functions. A drawback of the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus is that it does not respect the sectoriality angle
leads to a new calculus for Hardy–Sobolev algebras on sectors. By Theorem 7.4(ii), and we set

\[ \pi \]

Recall from Lemmas 4.9 and 4.13(i) that if \( \theta \leq \pi \), then \( \theta \) is injective, and various other properties are easily deduced from the definition above and corresponding properties of the \( D \)-calculus. Throughout this section, we assume that \( \gamma \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \), where \( 0 < \theta < \gamma < \pi \), and we let \( \gamma := \pi/(2\psi) \) and, as before,

\[ M_{\psi}(A) := \sup_{z \in \Sigma_{\pi - \psi}} \|z(A + z)^{-1}\|. \]

Then \( A^\gamma \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2 -) \), and \( \theta_{A^\gamma} = \gamma \theta_A \in [\theta_A, \pi/2] \) [35, Proposition 3.1.2]. We are particularly interested in cases where \( \psi \) is close to \( \theta \), so that \( \mathcal{H}_\psi \) is as large as possible.

### 8.1. The operator \( f(A) \) for \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \)

Recall from Lemmas 4.9 and 4.13(i) that if \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \) and \( f_{1/\gamma}(z) = f(z^{1/\gamma}) \), then

\[ f_{1/\gamma} \in H^1(\mathbb{C}_+) \]

and, consequently, \( f_{1/\gamma} \in D_0 \). Together with Proposition 4.17 this motivates the following definition of the operator \( f_A(A) \) by means of the \( D \)-calculus applied to \( A^\gamma \):

\[ f_A(A) := f_{1/\gamma}(\infty) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_{1/\gamma}(\alpha + i\beta)(A^\gamma + \alpha - i\beta)^{-1} d\beta d\alpha. \]

The right-hand side of (8.1) converges in the uniform operator topology, and by (7.7) and (4.27),

\[ \|f_A(A)\| \leq |f(\infty)| + \frac{M_{A^\gamma}}{\pi} \|f_{1/\gamma}\|_{D_0,0} \leq |f(\infty)| + M_{A^\gamma} \|f'\|_{H^1(\psi)} \leq M_{A^\gamma} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}. \]

If \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \) and \( A \) is injective, then \( f_{\text{Hol}}(A) \) can also be defined using the holomorphic functional calculus and the composition rule within it [35, Theorem 2.4.2]:

\[ f_{\text{Hol}}(A) = f_{1/\gamma, \text{Hol}}(A^\gamma) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\omega}} \frac{\lambda f(\lambda^{1/\gamma})}{(\lambda + 1)^2} (\lambda - A^\gamma)^{-1} d\lambda, \]

for \( 0 \leq \theta < \omega < \psi \). The following proposition shows that our definition (8.1) of \( f_A(A) \) coincides with \( f_{\text{Hol}}(A) \) when \( A \) is injective, and various other properties are easily deduced from the definition above and corresponding properties of the \( D \)-calculus.

**Proposition 8.1.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \) and \( A \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \), where \( 0 \leq \theta < \psi < \pi \).

(i) \( f_A(A) \) does not depend on the choice of \( \psi \).
If \( \nu \in (0,1) \) and \( f_\nu(z) = f(z^\nu) \), then \( (f_\nu)_H(A) = f_H(A^\nu) \).

(ii) If \( f \in D_\infty \) and \( \theta < \pi/2 \), then \( f_H(A) = f_D(A) \).

(iii) If \( A \) is injective, then \( f_H(A) = f_{Hol}(A) \).

(v) In the operator norm topology, \( \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} f_H(A + \epsilon) = f_H(A) \).

Proof. Statements (i), (ii) and (iii) follow from Corollary 7.12(ii) and Lemma 4.9. Statement (iv) follows from (iii) and Theorem 7.4(i). Statement (v) follows from Proposition 7.2.

An alternative direct proof of (iv) can be given as follows. We may assume that \( f(\infty) = 0 \). Because \( f_1/\gamma \in D_0 \), Corollary 3.10 gives

\[
\begin{align*}
f_1/\gamma(z) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{f_1/\gamma'(\alpha + i\beta)}{z + \alpha - i\beta} \, d\beta \, d\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\end{align*}
\]

Using Fubini’s theorem and some basic properties of the holomorphic functional calculus, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
A^\gamma(1 + A^\gamma)^{-2} f_{Hol}(A) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_1/\gamma'(\alpha + i\beta) \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\omega} \frac{\lambda(\lambda - A^\gamma)^{-1}}{(\lambda + 1)^2(\lambda + \alpha - i\beta)} \, d\lambda \right) \, d\beta \, d\alpha \\
&= -A^\gamma(1 + A^\gamma)^{-2} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_1/\gamma'(\alpha + i\beta)(A^\gamma + \alpha - i\beta)^{-1} \, d\beta \, d\alpha \right) \\
&= A^\gamma(1 + A^\gamma)^{-2} f_H(A),
\end{align*}
\]

and (iv) follows.

Now we can formally define the \( H \)-calculus.

**Theorem 8.2.** Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \), where \( \theta \in [0,\pi/2) \). For any \( \psi \in (\theta,\pi) \) the formula (8.1) defines a bounded algebra homomorphism:

\[
\Upsilon_A : H_\psi \to L(X), \quad \Upsilon_A(f) = f_H(A).
\]

The homomorphism \( \Upsilon_A \) satisfies \( \Upsilon_A(r_\lambda) = (\lambda + A)^{-1} \) for all \( \lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi-\psi} \), and it is the unique homomorphism with these properties.

The homomorphism \( \Upsilon_A \) will be called the \( H \)-calculus for \( A \).

Proof. The boundedness of \( \Upsilon_A \) follows from either (8.13) or (8.2). The homomorphism property is implied by Corollary 7.8. Indeed, employing the functional calculus \( \Psi_A^0 \) on \( D_\infty^0 \) given by Corollary 7.8, one has

\[
\begin{align*}
\Upsilon_A(fg) &= \Psi_A^0((fg)_{1/\alpha}) = \Psi_A^0(f_{1/\alpha}g_{1/\alpha}) \\
&= \Psi_A^0(f_{1/\alpha})\Psi_A^0(g_{1/\alpha}) = \Upsilon_A(f)\Upsilon_A(g).
\end{align*}
\]

The uniqueness follows from Theorem 5.10.

**Remark 8.3.** If \( A \) is any operator for which there is an \( H_\psi \)-calculus as in Theorem 8.2, then \( A \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \) for some \( \theta \in [0,\psi) \). This follows from (4.24), and in combination
with Theorem 8.2 this yields the proof of Theorem 1.2. If \( \Upsilon_A(r_\lambda) = (\lambda + A)^{-1} \) for some \( \lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi - \psi} \), then this holds for all \( \lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi - \psi} \), by the resolvent identity.

### 8.2. The operator \( \arccot(A^\gamma) \) and the \( \arccot \) formula

In this section, we derive an alternative to the formula (8.1) for the \( \mathcal{H} \)-calculus, in the form of an operator counterpart of Proposition 4.19 for scalar functions. In addition to its intrinsic interest, it helps us to compare our approach with the approach developed by Boyadzhiev [12], as we do at the end of this section.

We introduce as an operator kernel the function

\[
g(z) := \arccot(z) = \frac{1}{2i} \log \left( \frac{z + i}{z - i} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+
\]

already considered in Example 3.5. Note that \( g \in \mathcal{D}_0 \), \( g(\infty) = 0 \), \( g'(z) = -\left( z^2 + 1 \right)^{-1} \), and (4.38) holds:

\[
\arccot(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(z + \alpha - i\beta)^{-1}}{(\alpha + i\beta)^2 + 1} \ d\beta \ d\alpha \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]

Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \), where \( \theta \in [0, \pi) \). Let \( \psi \in (\theta, \pi) \) and \( \gamma = \pi/(2\psi) \). By the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus in (7.5) and (7.7),

\[
\arccot(A^\gamma) := \arccot_{\mathcal{D}}(A^\gamma) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(A^\gamma + \alpha - i\beta)^{-1}}{(\alpha + i\beta)^2 + 1} \ d\beta \ d\alpha, \quad \text{(8.3)}
\]

where the integral converges in the operator norm, and

\[
\| \arccot(A^\gamma) \| \leq \frac{M_{A^\gamma}}{\pi} \| \arccot z \|_{\mathcal{D}_0} < 3M_{A^\gamma}, \quad M_{A^\gamma} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}_+} \| z(A^\gamma + z)^{-1} \|. \quad \text{(8.4)}
\]

We will provide an alternative estimate for the operator \( \arccot(A^\gamma/s^\gamma) \). Following (4.40), we may formally write

\[
\arccot_{\text{int}}(A^\gamma) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \log \left[ \frac{1 + t^\gamma}{1 - t^\gamma} \right] \left( (t - e^{i\psi}A)^{-1} + (t - e^{-i\psi}A)^{-1} \right) dt \quad \text{(8.5)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{4i} \int_{|\lambda|=1} \left( \lambda - A \right)^{-1} d\lambda.
\]

**Lemma 8.4.** Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \) and \( \gamma = \pi/(2\psi) \), where \( 0 \leq \theta < \psi < \pi \). The operator \( \arccot_{\text{int}}(A^\gamma) \) is well-defined and

\[
\| \arccot_{\text{int}}(A^\gamma) \| \leq M_{\psi}(A) \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad \text{(8.6)}
\]
Proof. Using (4.43), one notes that
\[
\| \arccot(A^\gamma) \| \leq \frac{M_\psi(A)}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \log \left| \frac{1 + t^\gamma}{1 - t^\gamma} \right| \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{M_\psi(A)}{4} \int_{|\lambda| = 1, \arg \lambda \in (\psi, 2\pi - \psi)} \frac{|d\lambda|}{|\lambda|} = M_\psi(A) \left( \frac{\psi}{2} + \frac{(\pi - \psi)}{2} \right) = M_\psi(A) \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]

The next lemma shows that the formula \( \arccot_{\text{int}}(A^\gamma) \) coincides with the definition of \( \arccot(A^\gamma) \) by the \( D \)-calculus. When \( A \) is injective, \( \arccot(A^\gamma) \) is defined in the holomorphic functional calculus by
\[
\arccot_{\text{Hol}}(A^\gamma) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} [A(1 + A)^{-1}]^{-1} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\omega} \frac{\lambda \arccot(\lambda^\gamma)}{\lambda + 1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} d\lambda,
\]
where \( 0 \leq \theta < \omega < \psi < \pi \).

Lemma 8.5. Under the assumptions above, \( \arccot_{\text{int}}(A^\gamma) = \arccot_{\text{D}}(A^\gamma) \). If \( A \) is injective, then \( \arccot_{\text{int}}(A^\gamma) = \arccot_{\text{Hol}}(A^\gamma) \).

Proof. Assume first that \( A \) is injective. Using (8.7), (4.40) and (8.3), we obtain
\[
A(1 + A)^{-1} \arccot_{\text{Hol}}(A^\gamma)
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\omega} z \arccot(z^\gamma)(z - A)^{-1} dz
= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \log \left| \frac{1 + t^\gamma}{1 - t^\gamma} \right| \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\omega} \frac{z}{z + 1} (z - A)^{-1} V_\psi(z, t) dz \right) \frac{dt}{t}
+ \frac{1}{4i} \int_{|\lambda| = 1, \arg \lambda \in (\psi, 2\pi - \psi)} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\omega} \frac{z(z - A)^{-1}}{(z + 1)(\lambda - z)} dz \right) d\lambda
= A(1 + A)^{-1} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \log \left| \frac{1 + t^\gamma}{1 - t^\gamma} \right| ((t - e^{i\psi} A)^{-1} + (t - e^{-i\psi} A)^{-1}) dt
+ A(1 + A)^{-1} \frac{1}{4i} \int_{|\lambda| = 1, \arg \lambda \in (\psi, 2\pi - \psi)} (\lambda - A)^{-1} d\lambda
= A(1 + A)^{-1} \arccot_{\text{int}}(A^\gamma).
\]
Thus, the second statement holds. Moreover, \( \arccot_{\text{Hol}}(A^\gamma) = \arccot_{\text{D}}(A^\gamma) \), by Theorem 7.4, and the first statement follows.

If \( A \) is not injective, we have \( \arccot_{\text{int}}((A + \epsilon)^\gamma) = \arccot_{\text{D}}((A + \epsilon)^\gamma) \) from the case above. When \( \epsilon \to 0^+ \), the left-hand side converges in operator norm to \( \arccot_{\text{int}}(A^\gamma) \) by applying the dominated convergence theorem in (8.5), and the right-hand side converges to \( \arccot_{\text{D}}(A^\gamma) \) by Proposition 7.2.

Theorem 8.6. Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \) and \( \gamma = \pi/(2\psi) \), where \( 0 \leq \theta < \psi < \pi \). If \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \) and \( f_\psi \) is given by (4.36), then
\[
f_\mathcal{H}(A) = f(\infty) - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty f'_\psi(t) \arccot(A^\gamma/t^\gamma) dt,
\]
where the integral converges in the uniform operator topology, and
\[
\|f_H(A)\| \leq |f(\infty)| + \frac{M_\psi(A)}{2} \|f'\|_{H^1(\Sigma_\psi)} \leq M_\psi(A) \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}.
\] (8.9)
Moreover, if \( f(\infty) = 0 \), then
\[
\|f_H(A)\| \leq \frac{M_\psi(A)}{2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}.
\] (8.10)

**Proof.** Let \( \varphi \in (\theta, \psi) \) be fixed. Let \( g(z) = \text{arccot}(z) \) and \( g_\gamma(z) = \text{arccot}(z^\gamma) \). Because \( g \in \mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_{\gamma \varphi} \), we have \( g_\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi} \). Let \( q_t(z) = \text{arccot}(z\gamma/t\gamma) \), \( t > 0 \). By scale-invariance (Lemma 4.9), \( \|q_t\|_{\mathcal{H}_\varphi} = \|g_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}} \). It follows from Lemma 2.2 that \( t \mapsto q_t \) is continuous from \((0, \infty)\) to \( \mathcal{H}_{\varphi} \). Hence, in view of Proposition 4.19, we have
\[
f = f(\infty) - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty f'_\psi(t) q_t \, dt,
\] (8.11)
where the integral is understood as a Bochner integral in \( \mathcal{H}_{\varphi} \). Combining Proposition 8.1, (ii) and (iii) with Lemmas 8.4 and 8.5, we infer that
\[
\Upsilon_A(q_t) = (g_t)H(A) = \text{arccot}(A^{\gamma}/t^{\gamma}), \quad t > 0.
\] (8.12)
Hence, by applying the bounded operator \( \Upsilon_A \) to both sides of (8.12), we obtain (8.8). The estimate (8.9) follows from (8.8) and Lemma 8.4. Given (8.9), the estimate (8.10) is direct. \( \Box \)

**Remarks 8.7.** 1. If \( M_\psi(A) = 1 \) in (8.9) – that is, if \(-A\) generates a holomorphic \( C_0 \)-semigroup that is contractive on \( \Sigma(\pi/2) - \psi \) – then the \( \mathcal{H} \)-calculus is contractive. This seems to be a new feature that has not been present in constructions of other calculi in the literature.

2. An alternative to the estimate (8.9) is
\[
\|f_H(A)\| \leq |f(\infty)| + 3M_A^\gamma \|f'_\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}.
\] (8.13)
This is obtained from (8.8), using the estimates (7.7) (with \( s = 0 \)) and (3.23) to obtain the estimate \( \|\text{arccot}(A^\gamma)\| \leq 3\pi M_A^\gamma \). The constant 3 is not optimal. It is possible to provide explicit bounds for \( M_A^\gamma \) in terms of \( M_A \). However, we refrain from doing so in this article, and we refer the interested reader to [6, Propositions 5.1 and 5.2].

Finally, in this section we discuss the relations between [12] and the present work. For \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \), as in [12], let
\[
k_\psi(t) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \log \left| \coth \left( \frac{\pi t}{4\psi} \right) \coth \left( \frac{\pi t}{4(\pi - \psi)} \right) \right|.
\]
Note that \( k_\psi \) is an even function on \( \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \), and \( \|k_\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = 1 \).

For any \( f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) \), let
\[
(f \circ k_\psi)(t) := \int_0^\infty f(s) k_\psi(\log(t/s)) \frac{ds}{s}, \quad t > 0,
\]
and for \( A \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \), \( \theta \in [0,\psi) \), define
\[
W_\psi(A,t) = -\frac{A}{2} \left( e^{-i\psi}(A - e^{-i\psi}t)^{-2} + e^{i\psi}(A - e^{i\psi}t)^{-2} \right).
\] (8.14)

It was proved in [12, Theorem 3.1] that if \( A \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \), \( A \) has dense range and
\[
\int_0^\infty |\langle W_\psi(A,t)x,x^* \rangle| \, dt < \infty, \quad x \in X, x^* \in X^*,
\] (8.15)
then \( A \) admits a bounded \( H^\infty(\Sigma_\psi) \)-calculus given by
\[
\langle f(A)x,x^* \rangle = \int_0^\infty \langle W_\psi(A,t)x,x^* \rangle (f_\psi \circ k_\psi)(t) \, dt, \quad x \in X, x^* \in X^*,
\] (8.16)
where the integral converges absolutely (in the weak sense). Conversely, if \( \psi \in (\theta,\pi) \) and \( \phi \in (\theta,\psi) \) are such that \( A \) has a bounded \( H^\infty(\Sigma_\phi) \)-calculus, then (8.15) holds. (Note that in this situation \( A \) has a bounded \( H^\infty(\Sigma_\psi) \)-calculus given by (8.16), by the uniqueness of the calculus.) The formula (8.16) is obtained in [12] by rather involved Fourier analysis, and some technical details are omitted in [12].

In [12, Proposition 5.1] it is observed that if \( f \in H^\infty(\Sigma_\psi) \) and is holomorphic in a larger sector, and \( f'_\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \), \( f'_\psi(\infty) = 0 \) (this assumption is not relevant), then one can integrate by parts and rewrite (8.16) as
\[
f(A) = \int_0^\infty V_\psi(A,t)(f_\psi \circ k_\psi)(t) \, \frac{dt}{t},
\] (8.17)
where
\[
V_\psi(A,t) = -\frac{t}{2} \left( e^{-i\psi}(A - te^{-i\psi})^{-1} + e^{i\psi}(A - te^{i\psi})^{-1} \right),
\]
and the integral converges absolutely. This formally leads to the estimate (8.9). Though our reproducing arccot formula (8.8) was inspired by (8.17), it is not easy to put formal considerations in [12] into the theory of functional calculi considered in this article. One can relate (8.17) to (8.8) and show that the formulas are essentially equivalent within the \( \mathcal{H} \)-calculus. This requires a number of technicalities, and we intend to communicate them elsewhere. Here we note only that \( f'_\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \) and \( f \in H^\infty(\Sigma_\psi) \) (for \( \psi = \pi/2 \)) do not imply that \( f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \) in general, as shown by an intricate example kindly communicated to us by A. Borichev.

9. Convergence Lemmas and Spectral Mapping Theorems

9.1. Convergence Lemmas

Given a negative semigroup generator \( A \), a convergence lemma for the holomorphic functional calculus is a useful result allowing one to deduce the convergence of \( (f_k(A))_{k=1}^\infty \) to \( f(A) \) from rather weak assumptions on convergence of \( (f_k)_{k=1}^\infty \) to \( f \); see [14, Lemma 2.1], [35, Proposition 5.1.4] and [9, Theorem 3.1], for example.

The following result is similar to a convergence lemma for the \( \mathcal{B} \)-calculus in [7, Theorem 4.13 and Corollary 4.14] (see also [8, Section 8.1]). However, the different convergence lemmas deal with different classes of functions. To adjust the convergence lemma from [7]
to the current setting, we apply the change of variables method used in previous sections and derive a variant of the convergence lemma for the $\mathcal{D}$-calculus.

In the following result, $f(A)$ refers to the $\mathcal{D}$-calculus.

**Theorem 9.1.** Let $A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-)$. Let $s > -1$ and let $(f_k)_{k \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{D}_s$ be such that
\[
\sup_{k \geq 1} \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{D}_s} < \infty, \tag{9.1}
\]
and there exists
\[
f(z) := \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]
Let $g \in \mathcal{D}_s$ satisfy
\[
g(0) = g(\infty) = 0.
\]
Then
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \|(f(A) - f_k(A))g(A)\| = 0. \tag{9.2}
\]
In particular, if $A$ has dense range, then
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \|f(A)x - f_k(A)x\| = 0, \tag{9.3}
\]
for all $x \in X$.

**Proof.** By assumption, there exists $\theta \in [0, \pi/2)$ such that $A \in \text{Sect}(\theta)$. By Corollary 3.12 we have $f \in \mathcal{D}_s$. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that $f \equiv 0$.

Let $\gamma \in (1, \pi/(2\theta))$. Then by Corollary 7.12, using the notation of (6.1),
\[
f_k(A) = f_{k,1/\gamma}(A^\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad g(A) = g_{1/\gamma}(A^\gamma).
\]
Because $g_{1/\gamma} \in \mathcal{D}_0^\infty$, $f_{k,1/\gamma} \in \mathcal{D}_0^\infty$ and $f_{k,1/\gamma}g_{1/\gamma} \in \mathcal{D}_0^\infty$ (see Corollary 4.14) and the $\mathcal{D}$-calculus is an algebra homomorphism, we have
\[
f_k(A)g(A) = f_{k,1/\gamma}(A^\gamma)g(A^\gamma) = (f_{k,1/\gamma}g_{1/\gamma})(A^\gamma).
\]
Now (9.2) follows from Lemma 6.1 and the continuity of the $\mathcal{D}$-calculus given by Proposition 7.2(1).

Let $g(z) = z(1+z)^{-2}$ and note that $g \in \mathcal{D}_s$ and $g$ vanishes at zero and at infinity. If $A$ has dense range, then the range of $g(A) = A(1 + A)^{-2}$ is dense as well (see [41, Proposition 9.4], for example). Because $\sup_{k \geq 1} \|f_k(A)\| < \infty$, (9.2) implies (9.3).

In the following result, $f(A)$ refers to the $\mathcal{H}$-calculus.

**Theorem 9.2.** Let $A \in \text{Sect}(\theta)$, and let $\psi \in (\theta, \pi)$. Let $(f_k)_{k \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{H}_\psi$ be such that
\[
\sup_{k \geq 1} \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{H}_\psi} < \infty,
\]
and there exists
\[
f(z) := \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]
Let \( g \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \) satisfy
\[
g(0) = g(\infty) = 0.
\]
Then
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \|(f(A) - f_k(A))g(A)\| = 0.
\]
In particular, if \( A \) has dense range, then
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \|f(A)x - f_k(A)x\| = 0,
\]
for all \( x \in X \).

**Proof.** The proof is very similar to Theorem 9.1. Corollary 3.12 is replaced by Lemma 4.11, the compatibility with fractional powers follows from the definitions and Proposition 8.1(i), Corollary 4.14 is replaced by Lemma 4.9, Lemma 6.1 is replaced by Lemma 6.2 and (7.6) is replaced by Corollary 7.12.

### 9.2. Spectral mapping theorems

Given a semigroup generator \(-A\), a spectral mapping theorem for a functional calculus \( \Xi_A \) signifies informally that \( \Xi_A \) is associated to \( A \) in a ‘natural’ way. However, in general, the spectral ‘mapping’ theorem states only the inclusion \( f(\sigma(A)) \subset \sigma(\Xi_A(f)) \). Equality may fail here even for functions such as \( e^{-tz} \) and for rather simple operators \( A \); see [23, Section IV.3], for example. Though one may expect only the spectral inclusion as above, the equality \( f(\sigma(A)) \cup \{f(\infty)\} = \sigma(\Xi_A(f)) \cup \{f(\infty)\} \) sometimes holds if \( A \) inherits some properties of bounded operators such as strong resolvent estimates. Note that the spectral mapping theorem may not hold even for bounded operators if the functional calculus possesses only weak continuity properties, as discussed in [10].

The following statement shows that the \( D \)-calculus possesses the standard spectral mapping properties. It is similar to [7, Theorem 4.17], with the addition of a statement about approximate eigenvalues. Recall that for \( f \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \), its values \( f(\infty) \) at infinity and \( f(0) \) at 0 are defined by (3.27) and (3.28). This convention is used below.

**Theorem 9.3.** Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \), \( f \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \).

1. If \( x \in D(A) \) and \( Ax = \lambda x \), then \( f_D(A)x = f(\lambda)x \).
2. If \( x^* \in D(A^*) \) and \( A^*x^* = \lambda x^* \), then \( f_D(A^*)x^* = f(\lambda)x^* \).
3. If \( (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \) are unit vectors in \( D(A) \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|Ax_n - \lambda x_n\| = 0 \), then
   \[
   \lim_{n \to \infty} \|f_D(A)x_n - f(\lambda)x_n\| = 0.
   \]
4. One has \( \sigma(f_D(A)) \cup \{f(\infty)\} = f(\sigma(A)) \cup \{f(\infty)\} \).

**Proof.** The statements (1) and (2) are direct corollaries of (7.5) and the reproducing formula for the \( D_s \)-spaces given in Corollary 3.10.

For (3), we use the \( F \)-product of the semigroup \((e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}\), as introduced in [18]. Let \( Y \) be the Banach space of all bounded sequences \( y := (y_n)_{n \geq 1} \) in \( X \) such that
\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \|e^{-tA}y_n - y_n\| = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n,
\]
where \((e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}\) is the bounded holomorphic
$C_0$-semigroup generated by $-A$. Let $Z$ be the closed subspace of $Y$ consisting of the sequences $y$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n\| = 0$, and let $\bar{Y} = Y/Z$ and $Q : Y \to \bar{Y}$ be the quotient map. Then $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ induces a bounded holomorphic $C_0$-semigroup $(e^{-t\bar{A}})_{t \geq 0}$ on $\bar{Y}$, whose negative generator $\bar{A}$ is given by

$$D(\bar{A}) = \{Q(y) : y_n \in D(A), (Ay_n) \in Y\}, \quad \bar{A}(Qy) = Q((Ay_n)).$$

Then $x := (x_n) \in Y$, $Qx \in D(\bar{A})$ and $\bar{A}Q(x) = \lambda Q(x)$. It follows from (1) that $f_D(\bar{A})Qx = \lambda Qx$. However, it is very easy to see that $f_D(\bar{A})Qx = Q((f_D(A)x_n))$ (see [18, Theorem 1.7(i)]), and this establishes (3).

To prove the spectral mapping theorem in (4), we follow the Banach algebra method used in [7] for similar purposes and inspired by [37, Section 16.5] and [16, Section 2.2]. We may assume without loss of generality that $\sigma(\infty) = 0$. Let $A$ be the bicommutant of ${\{(z + A)^{-1} : z \in \rho(A)\}}$ in $L(X)$, so $A$ is a commutative Banach algebra and the spectrum of $f_D(A)$ in $A$ coincides with the spectrum in $L(X)$. Observe that $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \{0\}$.

Let $\chi$ be any character of $A$. If $\chi((1 + A)^{-1}) = 0$, then $\chi((z + A)^{-1}) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, and hence $\chi(f(A)) = 0 = f(\infty)$. Otherwise, by the resolvent identity, $\chi((z + A)^{-1}) = (z + \lambda)^{-1}$ for some $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Let $s > -1$ be such that $f \in D_s$. Noting that the Stieltjes representation (7.4) converges in the uniform operator topology, we infer that $\chi((z + A)^{-(s+1)}) = (z + \lambda)^{-(s+1)}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Applying $\chi$ to (7.5) gives

$$\chi(f_D(A)) = -\frac{2^s}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(\alpha + \lambda)(\lambda + \alpha - i\beta)^{-(s+1)} d\beta d\alpha$$

and then, by the reproducing formula (3.29) for $D_s$-functions (valid on $\mathbb{C}_+ \cup \{0\}$), we obtain

$$\chi(f_D(A)) = f(\lambda) \in f(\sigma(A)).$$

Hence, $\sigma(f_D(A)) \cup \{0\} \subset f(\sigma(A)) \cup \{0\}$. To prove the opposite inclusion, note that if $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ is fixed, then there is a character $\chi$ such that $\chi((z + A)^{-1}) = (z + \lambda)^{-1}$, so the above argument can be reversed, yielding $\chi(f_D(A)) = f(\lambda)$, thus finishing the proof.

Next, using the same approach via Banach algebras, we prove the analogous spectral result for the $H$-calculus.

**Theorem 9.4.** Let $A \in \text{Sect}(\theta)$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi$ for some $\theta < \psi < \pi$, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

1. If $x \in D(A)$ and $Ax = \lambda x$, then $f_H(A)x = f(\lambda)x$.
2. If $x^* \in D(A^*)$ and $A^*x^* = \lambda x^*$, then $f_H(A)^*x^* = f(\lambda)x^*$.
3. If $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ are unit vectors in $D(A)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Ax_n - \lambda x_n\| = 0$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|f_H(A)x_n - f(\lambda)x_n\| = 0$.
4. One has $\sigma(f_H(A)) \cup \{f(\infty)\} = f(\sigma(A)) \cup \{f(\infty)\}$.

**Proof.** The proofs of (1) and (2) are straightforward consequences of the reproducing formula (4.34). Moreover, (3) is deduced from (1) in the same way as in Theorem 9.3.

The proof of (4) is similar to the corresponding proof in Theorem 9.3, based on the formula (8.1), which converges in the uniform operator topology. Let $\gamma = \pi/(2\psi)$. By the
spectral mapping theorem for the holomorphic functional calculus \[35, \text{Theorem} 2.7.8\] or \[44, \text{Theorem} 5.3.1\], one has

\[
\sigma(A^\gamma + t - i\beta)^{-1} = \{(\lambda^\gamma + t - i\beta)^{-1} : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\} \cup \{0\}, \quad t > 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{9.4}
\]

As in the proof of Theorem 9.3, let \( \mathcal{A} \) be the bicommutant of \( \{(z + A)^{-1} : -z \in \rho(A)\} \) in \( L(X) \). Then \( f_H(A) \in \mathcal{A} \) and the spectrum of \( f_H(A) \) in \( \mathcal{A} \) coincides with the spectrum in \( L(X) \). Let \( \chi \) be any character of \( \mathcal{A} \), and let \( f \in H_\psi \) be such that \( f(\infty) = 0 \). If \( \chi((1 + A^\gamma)^{-1}) = 0 \), then, as above, \( \chi((z + A^\gamma)^{-1}) = 0 \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \); hence, \( \chi(f_H(A)) = 0 = f(\infty) \). Otherwise, \( \chi((z + A^\gamma)^{-1}) = (\lambda^\gamma + z)^{-1} \) for some \( \lambda \in \sigma(A) \) and all \( z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \). Applying \( \chi \) to (8.1) and using the representation (4.34) for \( H_\psi \)-functions, one gets

\[
\chi(f_H(A)) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f'_{1/\gamma}(t + i\beta)(\lambda^\gamma + t - i\beta)^{-1} d\beta dt = f(\lambda).
\]

Hence, \( \sigma(f_H(A)) \cup \{0\} \subset f(\sigma(A)) \cup \{0\} \).

On the other hand, if \( \lambda \in \sigma(A) \), then by (9.4) there is a character \( \chi \) such that \( \chi((z + A^\gamma)^{-1}) = (z + \lambda^\gamma)^{-1} \). So using (4.34) again, we infer that \( f(\lambda) \in \sigma(f_H(A)) \).

Combining the two paragraphs above yields (4).

Our spectral mapping theorems differ from known spectral mapping theorems for the holomorphic functional calculus (see \[34\] or \[35, \text{Section} 2.7\]) in at least three respects. We do not assume that \( A \) is injective, we cover a wider class of functions including some with a mild singularity at zero (for example, \( e^{-1/z} \)) and our proofs are completely different.

10. Some applications to norm estimates

In this section we directly apply the \( D \) and \( H \)-calculi that we have constructed to obtain some operator norm estimates. In particular, we obtain uniform bounds on the powers of Cayley transforms and on the semigroup generated by the inverse of a semigroup generator. We then compare the results to known estimates in the literature. We also revisit the theory of holomorphic \( C_0 \)-semigroups and obtain several basic estimates along with some slight generalisations.

10.1. Norm estimates via the \( D \)-calculus

Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \) and \( V(A) \) be the Cayley transform \((A - I)(A + I)^{-1}\) of \( A \). We now review several important estimates from the literature in the framework of the constructed \( D \) and \( H \)-calculi.

Recall that \(-A\) is the generator of a bounded holomorphic semigroup \((e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}\). Let \( e_t(z) = e^{-tz}, \ t \geq 0, \ z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \). Then \( e_t \in \mathcal{LM} \subset D_\infty \) and

\[
e^{-tA} = (e_t)_{H_\psi}(A) = (e_t)_{D}(A).
\]

**Corollary 10.1.** Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \), so that (7.2) holds.

(i) One has

\[
\|V(A)^n\| \leq 1 + 32(1 + (\sqrt{2}\pi)^{-1})M_A^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
(ii) One has
\[ \| e^{-tA} \| \leq 2M_A^2, \quad t \geq 0. \]

(iii) For every \( \nu > 0 \), one has
\[ \| A^\nu e^{-tA} \| \leq 2^{\nu+2} t^{-\nu} \Gamma(\nu+1)M_A^{[\nu]+2}, \quad t > 0. \]

If, in addition, the inverse \( A^{-1} \) exists and is densely defined, then \( A^{-1} \) generates a bounded holomorphic \( C_0 \)-semigroup \((e^{-tA^{-1}})_{t \geq 0}\) satisfying
\[ \| e^{-tA^{-1}} \| \leq 1 + 2M_A^2, \quad t \geq 0, \]
and, for every \( \nu > 0 \),
\[ \| A^{-\nu} e^{-tA^{-1}} \| \leq 2^{\nu+2} t^{-\nu} \Gamma(\nu+1)M_A^{[\nu]+2}, \quad t > 0. \]

**Proof.** By Lemma 12.1 and Theorem 7.6, for every \( s > 0 \),
\[ \| V(A)^n \| \leq 1 + 2s^{s+4} \pi^{-1}(B(s/2,1/2) + 2^{-s/2})M_A^{[s]+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \]
Setting \( s = 1 \) we get assertion (i).

By Proposition 3.15 or by Example 3.4 and Lemma 3.17(iii), the function \( e^{-tz} \in \mathcal{D}_s \) for \( s > 0 \) and \( t > 0 \), and by (3.21) and Theorem 7.6,
\[ \| e^{-tA} \| \leq 2^s \pi^{-1} B(s/2,1/2)M_A^{[s]+1}, \quad t \geq 0. \]
So the estimate (ii) follows by setting \( s = 1 \) above.

If \( f_\nu(z) := z^\nu e^{-tz} \), \( \nu > 0 \), then \( f_\nu \in \mathcal{D}_s \) if and only if \( s > \nu \), and in that case \( \| f_\nu \|_{\mathcal{D}_s} = 2t^{-\nu}B((s-\nu)/2,1/2)\Gamma(\nu+1) \) (see Example 3.4). Because \( f_\nu \) has zero polynomial limits at zero and at infinity, \((f_\nu)_{\mathcal{D}}(A)\) coincides with \( A^\nu e^{-tA} \) as defined by the holomorphic functional calculus (see Remark 7.5). Using (3.21), it follows that, for every \( s > \nu \),
\[ \| A^\nu e^{-tA} \| \leq \frac{2^{s+1} t^{-\nu}}{\pi} B \left( \frac{s - \nu}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma(\nu+1)M_A^{[s]+1}. \]
Setting \( s = \nu + 1 \), the first assertion in (iii) follows. The other two estimates are consequences of Lemma 3.17(i) and the estimates for \( e^{-tA} \) and \( A^\nu e^{-tA} \) obtained above.

The results in Corollary 10.1 are not new, and it serves as an illustration of the utility of the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus. We have not aimed at finding the best possible estimates, but it seems that the \( \mathcal{H} \)-calculus provides bounds that are fairly precise whenever it is applicable. The power-boundedness of \( V(A) \) was shown in [15] and [46], using different methods. In [7, Corollary 5.9], a weaker result was shown using the \( \mathcal{B} \)-calculus (so all operators satisfying (1.3)). Corollary 10.1 shows how the \( \mathcal{D} \) and \( \mathcal{H} \)-calculi can give a sharper estimate than the \( \mathcal{B} \)-calculus in the case of sectorial operators. Part (ii) above is one of many estimates for the bound on a bounded holomorphic semigroup in terms of its sectorial bound, and it is clearly not sharp. A careful estimation in [7, Lemma 4.7] of the bound obtained via the \( \mathcal{B} \)-calculus gave a bound of order \( M_A \log M_A \) when \( M_A \) is large. See also [51, Theorem 5.2] where the result was established for the first time. Estimates of the form given in
part (iii) have been known for a long time but usually without showing the dependence on \( M_A \).

Next we consider estimates similar to Corollary 10.1(iii). In Lemma 10.2 and Theorem 10.3 \( f(A) \) refers to the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus.

**Lemma 10.2.** Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \). If \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \), \( s > -1 \), and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), then
\[
(z^n f^{(n)})(A) = C_{s,n} \int_0^\infty \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^\infty f'(\alpha+i\beta)A^n(A+\alpha-i\beta)^{-(s+n+1)} \, d\beta \, d\alpha,
\]
(10.1)

where
\[
C_{s,n} = (-1)^n \frac{2^s \Gamma(s+n+1)}{\pi \Gamma(s+1)}.
\]

**Proof.** By Corollary 5.5 and the boundedness of the \( \mathcal{D}_{s+n} \)-calculus, \( (z^n f^{(n)})(A) \) coincides with the derivative of order \( n \) of the function \( t \mapsto f(tA) \) evaluated at \( t = 1 \). The formula (7.5) for \( f(tA) \in \mathcal{D}_s \) can be differentiated repeatedly with respect to \( t \) by a standard method, and putting \( t = 1 \) then gives the formula (10.1).

**Theorem 10.3.** Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\pi/2-) \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( f \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \), and assume that \( f^{(k)} \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, n \). Then
\[
(z^n f^{(n)})(A) = A^n f^{(n)}(A).
\]
(10.2)

Moreover, if \( f \in \mathcal{D}_s \), \( s > -1 \), then
\[
\|t^n A^n f^{(n)}(tA)\| \leq \frac{2^s \Gamma(s+n+1)}{\pi \Gamma(s+1)}(M_A + 1)^n M_A^{(s+1)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_s}, \quad t > 0.
\]
(10.3)

In particular, for \( f(z) = e^{-z} \in \mathcal{D}_1 \),
\[
\|t^n A^n e^{-tA}\| \leq 2\pi^{-1}(n+1)! (M_A + 1)^n M_A^2, \quad t > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
(10.4)

**Proof.** We will prove, by induction on \( n \), that (10.2) holds for all functions \( f \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \) such that \( f^{(k)} \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, n \). First, assume that \( f, f' \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \). Then \( zf' \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \) by Corollary 3.23, and
\[
(1 + A)^{-1}(zf')(A) + (1 + A)^{-1}f'(A) = f'(A).
\]
This implies that \( (zf')(A) = Af'(A) \).

Now assume that, for some \( n \geq 1 \), \( (z^k g^{(k)})(A) = A^k g^{(k)}(A) \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, n \), for all functions \( g \) such that \( g^{(k)} \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \) for \( k = 0, \ldots, n \). Let \( f^{(k)} \in \mathcal{D}_\infty \) for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, n+1 \). Applying the base case \( n = 1 \) to the function \( z^n f^{(n)} \) (noting that this function and its first derivative are in \( \mathcal{D}_\infty \), by Corollary 3.23), we obtain
\[
(z(z^n f^{(n)}))(A) = A(z^n f^{(n)})(A).
\]
Then applying the inductive hypothesis with \( k = n \) to the function \( f \) and with \( k = n-1 \) and \( k = n \) to the function \( f' \), we obtain
\[
(z^{n+1} f^{(n+1)})(A) = A(z^n f^{(n)})(A)
\]
\[
= A^n f^{(n+1)}(A).
\]
This completes the proof of the inductive hypothesis for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and hence proves (10.2).

Because \( M_A = M_tA \) for all \( t > 0 \), it suffices to prove (10.3) for \( t = 1 \). From Lemmas 7.1 and 10.2, we obtain

\[
\| A^n f^{(n)}(A) \| \leq \frac{2s \Gamma(s + n + 1)}{\pi \Gamma(s + 1)} (M_A + 1)^n M_A^{[s]+1} \int_0^\infty \alpha^s \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{|f'(\alpha + i\beta)|}{|\alpha - i\beta|^{s+1}} d\beta d\alpha
\]

\[= \frac{2s \Gamma(s + n + 1)}{\pi \Gamma(s + 1)} (M_A + 1)^n M_A^{[s]+1} \| f \|_{D_s}. \]

\[
\text{Remark 10.4.} \text{ In Theorem 10.3, the assumption that } f \in D_\infty \text{ and } f^{(k)} \in D_\infty, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \text{ can be replaced by the assumption that } f \in D_\infty \text{ and } f^{(n)} \in D_\infty, \text{ by using a result of Lyubich [43]. See Corollary 11.3.}
\]

10.2. Norm estimates via the \( \mathcal{H} \)-calculus

Now we use the \( \mathcal{H} \)-calculus to provide a new proof that holomorphy of operator semigroups generated by \(-A\) is preserved for subordinate semigroups generated by \(-g(A)\) where \(g\) is a Bernstein function. This was proved for the first time in [31].

If \(-A\) is the generator of a bounded \( C_0 \)-semigroup \((e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}\) on a Banach space \(X\) and \(g\) is a Bernstein function given by (3.30), then the operator

\[
g_0(A)x := ax + bA x + \int_{(0, \infty)} (x - e^{-tA}x) \, d\mu(t), \quad x \in D(A),
\]

(10.5)

is closable, and \(g(A)\) can be defined as the closure of \(g_0(A)\). Thus, \(D(A)\) is a core for \(g(A)\), and one can prove that \(-g(A)\) generates a contraction \( C_0 \)-semigroup on \(X\). Several equivalent definitions of \(g(A)\) are possible, and we refer the reader to [50], [30] and [31].

If \(A\) is injective, then \(g(A)\) is well-defined within the (extended) holomorphic functional calculus and is given by (10.5) as above; see Proposition 8.1 and [31, Propositions 3.3 and 3.6].

The next statement shows that the so-called semigroup subordination preserves the holomorphy of \( C_0 \)-semigroups along with the holomorphy angles. It was one of the main results of [31], settling a question raised by Kishimoto and Robinson [39]. See also [6] and [7] for generalisations and other proofs.

**Theorem 10.5.** Let \( A \in \text{Sect}(\theta) \), where \( \theta \in [0, \pi/2) \), and let \(g\) be a Bernstein function as in (3.30). Then \(g(A)\) \(\in\text{Sect}(\theta)\). More precisely, for all \(\psi \in (\theta, \pi/2)\), \(\varphi \in (\psi, \pi)\) and \(\lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi - \varphi}\),

\[
\| \lambda(\lambda + g(A))^{-1} \| \leq 2M_\psi(A) \left( \frac{1}{\sin(\min(\varphi, \pi/2))} + \frac{2}{\cos \psi \sin^2(\pi/2 - \varphi)} \right).
\]

(10.6)

**Proof.** Let \( \psi \in (\theta, \pi/2)\), \( \varphi \in (\psi, \pi)\) and \( \lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi - \varphi}\). If

\[
f(z) = f(z; \lambda) := (\lambda + g(z))^{-1}, \quad z \in \Sigma_\psi,
\]
then by Corollary 4.16 we have $f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi$. We will show that $f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi$. We will show that $f \in \mathcal{H}(A) = (\lambda + g(A))^{-1}$. It then follows from (8.9) and (4.31) that (10.6) holds. Because the choice of $\psi \in (\theta, \pi/2)$ and $\varphi \in (\psi, \pi)$ is arbitrary, this shows that the operator $g(A)$ is sectorial of angle $\theta$.

If $A$ is injective, then $f(A)$ and $\lambda + g(A)$ are consistently defined in the holomorphic functional calculus, and therefore $f(A) = f(A) = (\lambda + g(A))^{-1}$ (see [35, Theorem 1.3.2f]).

When $A$ is not injective, we follow the approach proposed in the proof of [6, Theorem 4.8]. Because $A + \epsilon$ is invertible, we have $(\lambda + g(A + \epsilon))^{-1} f(A + \epsilon) = x$, $x \in D(A)$, and by (10.5),

$$x - (\lambda + g(A)) f(A + \epsilon) = \int_{(0, \infty)} (1 - e^{-\epsilon t}) e^{-tA} f(A + \epsilon) x d\mu(t).$$

It follows from (10.7) that

$$C_{\lambda} := \sup_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)} \|f(A + \epsilon)\| < \infty,$$

and hence

$$\|x - (\lambda + g(A)) f(A + \epsilon) x\| \leq \epsilon b C_{\lambda} \|x\| + C_{\lambda} K_A \int_{(0, \infty)} (1 - e^{-\epsilon t}) d\mu(t) \|x\| = 0, \quad \epsilon \to 0,$$

where $K_A := \sup_{t > 0} \|e^{-tA}\|$. Because $\lambda + g(A)$ is closed, it follows firstly that $(\lambda + g(A)) f(A) = x, \quad x \in D(A)$.

Because $D(A)$ is dense in $X$ and $f(A)$ is bounded, it follows secondly that $(\lambda + g(A)) f(A) = x, \quad x \in X$.

Because $\lambda + g(A)$ and $f(A)$ commute on $D(A)$,

$$f(A)(\lambda + g(A)) x = x, \quad x \in D(A).$$

Because $D(A)$ is a core for $g(A)$, it follows that this holds for all $x \in D(g(A))$. Thus, $f(A) = (\lambda + g(A))^{-1}$, as required.

Remarks 10.6. 1. A new feature of Theorem 10.5 is an explicit sectoriality constant for $g(A)$, given by the right-hand side of (10.6). This could be valuable when applying the
result to families of sectorial operators. Thus, (10.6) offers an improvement over similar estimates in [6], [7] and [31], where the sectoriality constants for $g(A)$ are rather implicit.

2. We take this opportunity to correct a parsing misprint in the proof [6, Theorem 4.9]. One should replace $f(A)$ with $f(A) + z$ in the third and fourth displays in [6, p.932] (see (10.8) and (10.9) for similar formulas).

Finally, as an illustration, we show how the holomorphy of $C_0$-semigroups generated by operators $-A^\gamma$ fits within the $\mathcal{H}$-calculus and how estimates of type similar to Corollary 10.1(ii) can be obtained from the representation of the $\mathcal{H}$-calculus and the function arccot, as in Theorem 8.6. The following result is similar to [12, Corollary 5.2] and a generalisation of the main result in [17] to noninteger $\gamma$. See also [15, Remark 2, p.83].

**Corollary 10.7.** Let $A \in \text{Sect}(\theta)$, $\theta \in (0,\pi)$ and $\gamma \in (0,\pi/(2\theta))$. Then $(e^{-tA^\gamma})_{t \geq 0}$ is a bounded holomorphic $C_0$-semigroup of angle $(\pi/2) - \gamma \theta$. More precisely, if $\psi \in (\theta,\pi/(2\gamma))$ and $\lambda = |\lambda|e^{i\varphi} \in \Sigma(\pi/(2\gamma) - \gamma \varphi)$, then

$$\|e^{-\lambda A^\gamma}\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\cos(\gamma \psi + \varphi)} + \frac{1}{\cos(\gamma \psi - \varphi)} \right) M_{\gamma \psi}(A). \quad (10.10)$$

**Proof.** Let $\psi \in (\theta,\pi)$. Because the $\mathcal{H}$-calculus $\Upsilon_A$ is a homomorphism and $\Upsilon_A(e^{-\lambda z^\gamma}) = e^{-\lambda A^\gamma}$ for every $\lambda \in \Sigma(\pi/2) - \gamma \varphi$, the family $(e^{-\lambda A^\gamma})_{\lambda \in \Sigma(\pi/(2\gamma) - \gamma \varphi)}$ is an operator semigroup.

By Theorem 4.8 and the argument in Section 2, the map $\lambda \mapsto e^{-\lambda z^\gamma}$ is holomorphic from $\Sigma(\pi/(2\gamma) - \gamma \varphi)$ to $\mathcal{H}_\psi$, so $\lambda \mapsto e^{-\lambda A^\gamma}$ is also holomorphic. The estimate (10.10) follows from Example 4.10(2) and (8.10), and it shows boundedness of the semigroup on each relevant sector. \qed

Note that, for $\gamma = 1$, Corollary 10.7 provides a sharper bound than Corollary 10.1(ii).

**11. Appendix: Shifts on $D_s$ and $\mathcal{H}_\psi$**

The shift semigroups on the space $\mathcal{B}$ had an important role in the study of the $\mathcal{B}$-calculus in [7] and [8]. Though the semigroups are not essential in this article, we think they will be important for further research, so we describe their properties on the spaces $D_s$ and $\mathcal{H}_\psi$. In this appendix we prove that the shifts $(T(\tau))_{\tau \in \mathbb{C}_+}$ given by

$$(T(\tau)f)(z) := f(z + \tau), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$  

form a holomorphic $C_0$-semigroup on $D_s$ for each $s > -1$. We also show that a similar statement holds for shifts on $\mathcal{H}_\psi$ for each $\psi \in (0,\pi)$.

We consider first the space $D_s$, and we begin by proving that the semigroup $(T(\tau))_{\tau \in \Sigma_\psi}$ of operators is uniformly bounded on $D_s$, for each $s > -1$ and $\psi \in (0,\pi/2)$.

**Theorem 11.1.** Let $s > -1$, $\psi \in (0,\pi/2)$ and $a = \tan \psi$. For all $\tau \in \Sigma_\psi$, we have

$$\|T(\tau)f\|_{D_s} \leq C_{a,s}\|f\|_{D_s}, \quad f \in D_s, \quad (11.1)$$

where

$$C_{a,s} := \frac{(s+1)^2 B((s+1)/2,1/2)}{\pi \cos \psi \cos^{s+2}(\psi/2 + \pi/4)} + 2^{s+1}.$$
Proof. Let $\tau \in \Sigma_\psi$ and $f \in D_s$. We have
\[
\|T(\tau)f\|_{D_s} \leq |f(\infty)| + \int_{C_{\pm}} \frac{(\text{Re}z)^s}{|z|^{s+1}} |f'(z)| dS(z) = |f(\infty)| + J(\tau),
\]
where $dS$ denotes area measure on $C_{\pm}$ and
\[
J(\tau) := \int_{\text{Re}z \geq \text{Re}\tau} \frac{(\text{Re}z - \text{Re}\tau)^s}{|z - \tau|^{s+1}} |f'(z)| dS(z).
\]
Let
\[
W(\tau) := \{z \in C : \text{Re}z \geq \text{Re}\tau, |z - \tau| \leq |\tau|\},
\]
\[
W_0(\tau) := W(\tau) - \tau = \{z \in C_{\pm} : |z| \leq |\tau|\}.
\]
If $\text{Re}z \geq \text{Re}\tau$ and $z \notin W(\tau)$, then $|z| \leq |z - \tau| + |\tau| \leq 2|z - \tau|$. Hence,
\[
J(\tau) \leq \int_{W(\tau)} \frac{(\text{Re}z - \text{Re}\tau)^s}{|z - \tau|^{s+1}} |f'(z)| dS(z)
\]
\[
+ 2^{s+1} \int_{\text{Re}z \geq \text{Re}\tau} \frac{(\text{Re}z)^s}{|z|^{s+1}} |f'(z)| dS(z)
\]
\[
\leq \max_{z \in W(\tau)} |f'(z)| \int_{W_0(\tau)} \frac{(\text{Re}z)^s}{|z|^{s+1}} dS(z) + 2^{s+1} \|f\|_{V_s}.
\]
Moreover,
\[
\int_{W_0(\tau)} \frac{(\text{Re}z)^s}{|z|^{s+1}} dS(z) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \varphi d\varphi = |\tau| B((s+1)/2,1/2).
\]
For $z \in W(\tau)$, we also have $\text{Re}z \geq \text{Re}\tau$ and
\[
|\text{Im}z| \leq |\text{Im}\tau| + |\tau| \leq \left(a + \sqrt{1+a^2}\right) \text{Re}\tau.
\]
Hence, if $\psi_a := \arctan\left(a + \sqrt{1+a^2}\right)$ and $z \in \Sigma_{\psi_a}$ and $|z| \geq \text{Re}\tau$, so by Corollary 3.13,
\[
\max_{z \in W(\tau)} |f'(z)| \leq \max_{z \in \Sigma_{\psi_a}, |z| \geq \text{Re}\tau} |f'(z)| \leq \frac{(s+1)2^s}{\pi \text{Re}\tau \cos^{s+2}\psi_a} \|f'\|_{V_s}.
\]
Inserting the estimates (11.3) and (11.4) into (11.2) and using $|\tau| \leq \text{Re}\tau / \cos \psi$, we obtain
\[
J(\tau) \leq \left(\frac{(s+1)2^s B((s+1)/2,2)}{\pi \cos \psi \cos^{s+2}\psi_a} + 2^{s+1}\right) \|f'\|_{V_s}.
\]
Noting that
\[
\arctan\left(a + \sqrt{1+a^2}\right) = \arctan\left(\frac{1 + \sin \psi}{\cos \psi}\right) = \frac{\psi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4},
\]
the estimate (11.1) follows. □
Corollary 11.2. For any $s > -1$, the family $T := (T(\tau))_{\tau \in \Sigma_\psi}$ is a bounded holomorphic $C_0$-semigroup on $D_s$ of angle $\pi/2$. The generator of the semigroup is $-A_{D_s}$, where

$$D(A_{D_s}) = \{ f \in D_s : f' \in D_s \}, \quad A_{D_s} f = -f'.$$

Proof. By Theorem 11.1, $T$ is bounded on $\Sigma_\psi$ for each $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$, and as noted in Remark 3.14, the function $\lambda \mapsto r_\lambda$ is a holomorphic function from $\mathbb{C}_+$ to $D_s$, so $\tau \mapsto T(\tau)r_\lambda$ is holomorphic. Because $\tilde{R}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ is dense in $D_s$ (Theorem 5.1), it follows that $T$ is strongly continuous on $\Sigma_\psi \cup \{0\}$ and, moreover, for $f \in D_s$, the map $\tau \mapsto T(\tau)f$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}_+$.

The following corollary justifies Remark 10.4 about the assumptions in Theorem 10.3.

Corollary 11.3. Let $f \in D_s$, $s > -1$, and assume that $f^{(n)} \in D_s$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f^{(k)} \in D_s$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$.

Proof. Consider the operator $Ag = -g'$ on $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and its part $A_{D_s}$ in the subspace $D_s$. The operators $A_{D_s} + m, m = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, are surjective on $D_s$, and $g = 0$ is the only solution in $D_s$ to $\prod_{m=1}^n (A+m)g = 0$. The statement follows from [43, Theorem 1].

Remark 11.4. The space $B$ is invariant under vertical shifts: $f(z) \mapsto f(z + i\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. However, the spaces $D_s$ and $D_s^\infty$ are not invariant under vertical shifts. See Example 3.19.

Now we will show that the family of shifts $T$ also forms a bounded holomorphic $C_0$-semigroup on $\mathcal{H}_\psi$ for every $\psi \in (0, \pi)$. If $\psi > \pi/2$, then $T(\tau)$ are defined for $\tau \in \Sigma_{\pi-\psi}$. For this aim, we will recall the Gabriel inequality for holomorphic functions.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded convex domain in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Omega}$ be a convex curve. Then there exists a universal constant $K > 0$ (not depending on $f, \Omega$ and $\Gamma$) such that, for all $f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$,

$$\int_{\Gamma} |f(z)||dz| \leq K \int_{\partial\Omega} |f(z)||dz|. \quad (11.5)$$

Clearly, $K \geq 1$. Moreover, it can be shown that if $\Gamma$ is closed, then $2 < K < 3.7$ (see [11, p.457], for example). The inequality was conjectured by J. Littlewood and first proved by Gabriel in [24, Theorem I]. It is thoroughly discussed in [11, Selected Seminars, 2, 4 and 5] and [32, Section 5], providing simpler proofs, more general versions and additional insights.

Theorem 11.5. Let $\psi \in (0, \pi)$ and $\psi_0 = \min\{\psi, \pi - \psi\}$. The family $T = (T(\tau))_{\tau \in \Sigma_{\psi_0}}$ is a bounded holomorphic $C_0$-semigroup of angle $\psi_0$ on each of the spaces $\mathcal{H}_\psi$. The generator $-A_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}$ of $T$ on $\mathcal{H}_\psi$ is given by

$$D(A_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}) = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}_\psi : f' \in \mathcal{H}_\psi \}, \quad A_{\mathcal{H}_\psi} f = -f'.$$

Proof. We will show first that the family of shifts $T$ is uniformly bounded on $\mathcal{H}_\psi$ for every $\psi \in (0, \pi)$. Then the result follows quickly by a density argument.
Let $g \in \mathcal{H}_\psi$ so that for $f = g'$ one has $f \in H^1(\Sigma_\psi)$. Assume first that $\psi \in (0, \pi/2]$, and let $\psi' \in (0, \psi)$ and $\alpha := \sin(\psi - \psi')$. By the mean value inequality, for any $r > 0$ and $\varphi \in (-\psi', \psi')$ we have

$$r|f(re^{i\varphi})| \leq \frac{1}{\pi \alpha^2 r} \int_{|z - re^{i\varphi}| \leq \alpha r} |f(z)| |dS(z)|$$

(11.6)

$$\leq \frac{2(1 + \alpha)}{\pi \alpha^2} \int_{-\psi'}^{\psi'} \int_{(1+\alpha)r}^{(1-\alpha)r} |f(\rho e^{i\varphi})| d\rho d\varphi$$

$$\to 0,$$

as $r \to 0$ or $r \to \infty$, by the dominated convergence theorem.

Now let $\tau \in \Sigma_\psi$ and $\varphi \in (0, \psi)$. Let

$$\Gamma_{\tau, \varphi} = \{\tau + te^{i\varphi} : t \geq 0\} \cup \{\tau + te^{-i\varphi} : t \geq 0\}.$$  

(11.7)

Let $\psi > \psi' > \max(|\arg \tau|, \varphi)$, and take $r \in (0,1)$ such that $0 < r < |\tau| < 1/r$. We now apply Gabriel’s inequality (11.5) with

$$\Omega_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re} z > r \cos \psi', |z| < 1/r, |\arg z| < \psi'\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\tau, \varphi, r} := \{z \in \Gamma_{\tau, \varphi} : |z| \leq 1/r\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1/r, |\arg(z - \tau)| \leq \varphi\}.$$

We obtain

$$K^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_{\tau, \varphi, r}} |f(z)| |dz| \leq \int_{\partial \Omega_r} |f(z)| |dz|$$

$$\leq \int_r^{1/r} |f(\rho e^{i\psi'})| d\rho + \int_r^{1/r} |f(\rho e^{-i\psi'})| d\rho + 2\pi r^{-1} \sup_{\varphi \in (-\psi', \psi')} |f(e^{i\varphi}/r)|$$

$$+ 2r \sin \psi' \sup_{\varphi \in (-\psi', \psi')} \left| f \left( \frac{r \cos \psi'}{\cos \varphi} e^{i\varphi} \right) \right|,$$

where $K > 0$ is given by (11.5).

By (11.6), the last two terms converge to zero as $r \to 0$. From Theorem 4.6(iv) it follows that

$$K^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_{\tau, \varphi}} |f(z)| |dz| \leq \|f\|_{H^1(\Sigma_{\psi'})} \leq \|f\|_{H^1(\Sigma_{\psi})}.$$  

Thus, because the choice of $\varphi \in (0, \psi)$ was arbitrary, we have

$$\|T(\tau) f\|_{H^1(\Sigma_{\psi'})} \leq K \|f\|_{H^1(\Sigma_{\psi})}.  \tag{11.8}$$

Now we consider the case when $\psi \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ and $\tau \in \Sigma_{\pi - \psi}$. For $\varphi \in (0, \psi)$ let the path $\Gamma_{\tau, \varphi}$ be given by (11.7) and $\Gamma_{\tau, \varphi}^\pm := \{\tau + te^{\pm i\varphi} : t \geq 0\}$. Define the half-planes $\mathbb{C}_\psi^+ := -ie^{i\psi} \Sigma_{\pi/2}$ and $\mathbb{C}_\psi^- := ie^{-i\psi} \Sigma_{\pi/2}$ so that $\Gamma_{\tau, \varphi}^\pm \subset \mathbb{C}_\psi^\pm$. Letting $\tilde{f}(z) := f(-ie^{i\psi} z), z \in \Sigma_{\pi/2}$, and applying (11.8) with $\psi = \pi/2, \tilde{f} \in H^1(\Sigma_{\pi/2})$ in place of $f$ and $\tilde{\tau} = ie^{-i\psi} \tau$ in place
of \( \tau \), we obtain that
\[
K \int_{e^{i \varphi} \mathbb{R}} |f(z)| |dz| = K \| \tilde{f} \|_{H^1(\Sigma_{\psi/2})} \geq \| T(\tilde{\tau}) \tilde{f} \|_{H^1(\Sigma_{\psi/2})} \geq \int_{\Gamma_{\tilde{\tau}, \varphi}} |f(z)| |dz|.
\]
Similarly,
\[
K \int_{e^{-i \varphi} \mathbb{R}} |f(z)| |dz| \geq \int_{\Gamma_{\tilde{\tau}, \varphi}} |f(z)| |dz|.
\]
Thus, taking into account Theorem 4.6(iv), we infer that
\[
2K \| f \|_{H^1(\Sigma_{\psi})} \geq K \left( \int_{e^{i \varphi} \mathbb{R}} |f(z)| |dz| + \int_{e^{-i \varphi} \mathbb{R}} |f(z)| |dz| \right) \geq \int_{\Gamma_{\tilde{\tau}, \varphi}} |f(z)| |dz|.
\]
Because, as above, the choice of \( \varphi \in (0, \psi) \) is arbitrary, we then have
\[
\| T(\tau) f \|_{H^1(\Sigma_{\psi})} \leq 2K \| f \|_{H^1(\Sigma_{\psi})}
\]
if \( \psi \in (\pi/2, \pi) \), and then if \( \psi \in (0, \pi) \) in view of (11.8).
Hence, for all \( \tau \in \Sigma_{\psi} \),
\[
\| T(\tau) g \|_{H^1} \leq \max(1, 2K) \| g \|_{H^1}, \quad \tau \to 0,
\]
(11.9)
A direct verification shows that \( \| T(\tau) r' - r' \|_{H^1} \to 0, \tau \to 0 \), for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\psi} \). It now follows from (11.9) and Theorem 5.10 that \( (T(\tau))_{\tau \in \Sigma_{\psi}} \) is a bounded \( \mathcal{C}_0 \)-semigroup on \( H^1_{\psi,0} \) and then on \( H^1_{\psi} \). The holomorphy of \( (T(\tau))_{\tau \in \Sigma_{\psi}} \) on \( H^1_{\psi} \) follows from Theorem 4.8(iii) and the method discussed in Section 2.

The claim about the generator \(-A_{H^1} \) can be justified along the lines of the proof of a similar fact for the space \( B \) in [7, Lemma 2.6].

**Remark 11.6.** Following a more conventional approach, one may try to prove Theorem 11.5 by reducing the estimates to the half-plane case and applying Carleson’s embedding theorem for \( H^1(\mathbb{C}_+) \). However, the technical details become rather cumbersome, so we prefer to use Gabriel’s inequality allowing for a more transparent argument.

**12. Appendix: The \( D \)-calculus vs the HP and the \( B \)-calculi**

It is natural to compare the strength of the \( D \)-calculus with some other functional calculi, such as the recently constructed \( B \)-calculus and the well-known HP-calculus. To show the advantages of our \( D \)-calculus with respect to the \( B \)-calculus and the HP-calculus, as an illustrative example, we consider the family of functions \( \{ f_n : n \geq 1 \} \) given by
\[
f_n(z) = \left( \frac{z-1}{z+1} \right)^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
This family is contained in \( \mathcal{LM} \) (see [8, Section 6]), and it arises naturally in the study of asymptotics for powers of Cayley transforms of semigroup generators. It is shown in [7, Lemma 3.7] and [8, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2] that
\[
\| f_n \|_B \asymp \log n \quad \text{and} \quad \| f_n \|_{H^1} \asymp n^{1/2}, \quad n \to \infty.
\]
C. Batty, A. Gomilko, and Y. Tomilov

We will show that the $D$-calculus provides sharper estimates for the corresponding operator functions. To this aim we need the next lemma.

**Lemma 12.1.** For $s > 0$,

$$\|f_n\|_{D_s} \leq 1 + 16\left(B(s/2,1/2) + 2^{-s/2}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$  

**Proof.** Let $s > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. We have

$$f_n(\infty) = 1, \quad f'_n(z) = 2n\frac{(z-1)^{n-1}}{(z+1)^{n+1}},$$

and then

$$\|f_n\|_{D_s} = 1 + 8n\int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^s \psi \int_1^{\infty} \frac{g_n(\rho, \psi)}{\rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \psi + 1} d\rho d\psi = 1 + 8nJ_n,$$

where

$$g_n(\rho, \psi) = \left(\frac{\rho^2 - 2\rho \cos \psi + 1}{\rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \psi + 1}\right)^{(n-1)/2}.$$

Let $J_n = J_{1,n} + J_{2,n} + J_{3,n}$, where

$$J_{1,n} = \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^s \psi \int_1^{2} \frac{g_n(\rho, \psi)}{\rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \psi + 1} d\rho d\psi,$$

$$J_{2,n} = \int_{\pi/4}^{\pi/2} \cos^s \psi \int_1^{2} \frac{g_n(\rho, \psi)}{\rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \psi + 1} d\rho d\psi,$$

$$J_{3,n} = \int_0^{\pi/4} \cos^s \psi \int_1^{2} \frac{g_n(\rho, \psi)}{\rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \psi + 1} d\rho d\psi.$$

We estimate each of the summands $J_{1,n}$, $J_{2,n}$ and $J_{3,n}$ separately. First,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} g_{n+2}(\rho, \psi) = 2(n+1)\frac{(\rho^2 - 1) \cos \psi}{(\rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \psi + 1)^2} g_n(\rho, \psi) \geq \frac{2(n+1)}{3} \frac{\cos \psi g_n(\rho, \psi)}{(\rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \psi + 1)} > 0, \quad \rho > 2.$$

Hence,

$$J_{1,n} \leq \frac{3}{2(n+1)} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{s-1} \psi \int_2^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} g_{n+2}(\rho, \psi) d\rho d\psi \leq \frac{3}{2(n+1)} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{s-1} \psi d\psi < \frac{B(s/2,1/2)}{n}.$$

Next, for all $\rho \in (1,2)$ and $\psi \in (\pi/4,\pi/2)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} g_{n+2}(\rho, \psi) = 2(n+1)\frac{\rho(\rho^2 + 1) \sin \psi}{(\rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \psi + 1)^2} g_n(\rho, \psi) \geq \frac{(n+1)}{2} \frac{g_n(\rho, \psi)}{(\rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \psi + 1)} > 0.$$
so

\[ J_{2,n} \leq \frac{2}{(n+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{1}^{2} \cos^{s} \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} g_{n+2}(\rho, \psi) \, d\psi \, d\rho \]

\[ \leq -\frac{2}{(n+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos^{s} \psi) \, d\psi = \frac{2}{2^{s/2}(n+1)}. \]

Finally, because

\[ \sup_{\rho \in (1,2), \psi \in (0,\pi/4)} g_{n}(\rho, \psi) = g_{n}(2,\pi/4) = \left( \frac{5 - 2\sqrt{2}}{5 + 2\sqrt{2}} \right)^{(n-1)/2} \leq \frac{2}{n}, \]

we have

\[ J_{3,n} \leq \frac{2}{n} \int_{0}^{\pi/4} \cos^{s} \psi \, d\psi < \frac{B(s/2,1/2)}{n}. \]

Summing up the above estimates above, the assertion of the lemma follows. \( \square \)

The following corollary showing sharpness of the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus is immediate.

**Corollary 12.2.** For any \( s > 0 \),

\[ \frac{\|f_{n}\|_{B}}{\|f_{n}\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s}}} \asymp \log n, \quad \frac{\|f_{n}\|_{\text{HP}}}{\|f_{n}\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s}}} \asymp n^{1/2}, \quad n \to \infty. \]

**Remark 12.3.** Curiously, for \( s = 0 \) the asymptotics of \( \|f_{n}\|_{\mathcal{D}_{0}} \) match those of \( \|f_{n}\|_{B} \), and one does not get any advantage using the \( \mathcal{D} \)-calculus in this case. Specifically, one can show that, for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[ 1 + 2\log(n+1) \leq \|f_{n}\|_{\mathcal{D}_{0}} \leq 8(4 + \log(n+1)). \quad (12.1) \]
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