COLOURINGS OF THE CARTESIAN PRODUCT OF GRAPHS AND MULTIPLICATIVE SIDON SETS
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Abstract. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of connected bipartite graphs, each with at least three vertices. A proper vertex colouring of a graph $G$ with no bichromatic subgraph in $\mathcal{F}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-free. The $\mathcal{F}$-free chromatic number $\chi(G, \mathcal{F})$ of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of colours in an $\mathcal{F}$-free colouring of $G$. For appropriate choices of $\mathcal{F}$, several well-known types of colourings fit into this framework, including acyclic colourings, star colourings, and distance-2 colourings. This paper studies $\mathcal{F}$-free colourings of the cartesian product of graphs.

Let $H$ be the cartesian product of the graphs $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d$. Our main result establishes an upper bound on the $\mathcal{F}$-free chromatic number of $H$ in terms of the maximum $\mathcal{F}$-free chromatic number of the $G_i$ and the following number-theoretic concept. A set $S$ of natural numbers is $k$-multiplicative Sidon if $ax = by$ implies $a = b$ and $x = y$ whenever $x, y \in S$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq k$. Suppose that $\chi(G_i, \mathcal{F}) \leq k$ and $S$ is a $k$-multiplicative Sidon set of cardinality $d$. We prove that $\chi(H, \mathcal{F}) \leq 1 + 2k \cdot \max S$. We then prove that the maximum density of a $k$-multiplicative Sidon set is $\Theta(1 / \log k)$. It follows that $\chi(H, \mathcal{F}) \leq O(dk \log k)$. We illustrate the method with numerous examples, some of which generalise or improve upon existing results in the literature.

1. Introduction

Sabidussi [50] proved that the chromatic number of the cartesian product of a set of graphs equals the maximum chromatic number of a graph in the set. No such result is known for more restrictive colourings (such as acyclic, star, and distance-2 colourings). This paper investigates such colourings of cartesian products under a general model of restriction, in which arbitrary bichromatic subgraphs are excluded. Our study leads to a number-theoretic problem regarding multiplicative Sidon sets that is of independent interest. This problem is then solved using a combination of number-theoretic and graph-theoretic approaches.
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Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. (All graphs considered are undirected, simple, and finite.) A colouring of $G$ is a function $c : V(G) \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $c(v) \neq c(w)$ for every edge $vw \in E(G)$. A colouring $c$ with $|[c(v) : v \in V(G)]| \leq k$ is a $k$-colouring. The chromatic number of $G$, denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the minimum integer $k$ for which there is a $k$-colouring of $G$.

Let $F$ be a family of connected bipartite graphs, each with at least three vertices, called a forbidden family. A colouring $c$ of a graph $G$ is $F$-free if it contains no bichromatic subgraph in $F$; that is, $|[c(v) : v \in V(H)]| \geq 3$ for every subgraph $H$ of $G$ that is isomorphic to a graph in $F$. The $F$-free chromatic number of $G$, denoted by $\chi(G,F)$, is the minimum integer $k$ for which there is an $F$-free $k$-colouring of $G$. When $F = \{H\}$ is a singleton, we write $H$-free instead of $F$-free, and refer to the $H$-free chromatic number $\chi(G,H)$. The framework was introduced by Albertson et al. \cite{7}; an even more general model of restrictive graph colourings is considered by Nešetřil and Ossona de Mendez \cite{44}.

$F$-free colourings correspond to many well-studied types of colourings. Let $P_n$ and $C_n$ respectively be the path and cycle on $n$ vertices. Let $C := \{C_n : n \text{ even}\}$. Then $C$-free colourings are the acyclic colourings \cite{5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 23, 27, 30, 33, 37, 38, 41, 60, 61}. Here each bichromatic subgraph is a forest. By a further restriction we obtain the $P_4$-free colourings, which are called star colourings, since each bichromatic subgraph is a collection of disjoint stars \cite{2, 3, 12, 13, 26, 43, 60}. A colouring is $P_3$-free if and only if every pair of vertices at distance at most two receive distinct colours (called a distance-2 colouring). That is, $\chi(G,P_3) = \chi(G^2)$. Here $G^k$ is the $k$-th power of $G$, the graph with vertex set $V(G)$, where two vertices are adjacent in $G^k$ whenever they are at distance at most $k$ in $G$. Often motivated by applications in frequency assignment, colourings of graph powers has recently attracted much attention \cite{1, 2, 3, 4, 14, 24, 39, 42, 57}. By definition, 

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi(G,C) \leq \chi(G,P_4) \leq \chi(G,P_3).$$

Let $G_1$ and $G_2$ be graphs. The cartesian product of $G_1$ and $G_2$, denoted by $G_1 \square G_2$, is the graph with vertex set 

$$V(G_1 \square G_2) := V(G_1) \times V(G_2) := \{(a,v) : a \in V(G_1), v \in V(G_2)\},$$

where $(a,v)(b,w)$ is an edge of $G_1 \square G_2$ if and only if $ab \in E(G_1)$ and $v = w$, or $a = b$ and $vw \in E(G_2)$. Assuming isomorphic graphs are equal, the cartesian product is associative, and $G_1 \square G_2 \square \cdots \square G_d$ is well-defined. Sabidussi \cite{50} proved that 

$$\chi(G_1 \square G_2 \square \cdots \square G_d) = \max\{\chi(G_i) : 1 \leq i \leq d\}.$$ 

This paper studies $F$-free colourings of cartesian products. The following upper bound on the $F$-free chromatic number of a cartesian product is our main result. Here and throughout the paper, $\gamma = 0.5772\ldots$ is Euler’s constant, and logarithms are base $e = 2.718\ldots$ unless stated otherwise.
**Theorem 1.** Let $F$ be a forbidden family. Let $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d$ be graphs, each with $F$-free chromatic number $\chi(G_i, F) \leq k + 1$. Then

$$\chi(G_1 \square G_2 \square \cdots \square G_d, F) \leq 2k(dk - k + 1) + 1.$$  

Moreover, for all $\epsilon > 0$ and for large $d > d(k, \epsilon)$,

$$\chi(G_1 \square G_2 \square \cdots \square G_d, F) \leq 1 + \frac{2e^\gamma}{1 - \epsilon} dk \log k.$$  

We actually prove a stronger result than Theorem 1 that is expressed in terms of 'chromatic span'. This concept is introduced in Section 2. The key lemma of the paper, which relates $F$-free colourings of a cartesian product to so-called $k$-multiplicative Sidon sets, is proved in Section 3. In Section 4 we study $k$-multiplicative Sidon sets in their own right. The obtained bounds establish our main colouring results. The remaining sections contain numerous examples of the method, some of which generalise or improve upon existing results in the literature. In particular, we consider distance-2 colourings in Section 5, acyclic colourings in Section 6 and star colourings in Section 7. Finally, in Section 8 we briefly discuss a generalisation of our method for $L(p, 1)$-labellings.

## 2. Chromatic Span

Let $c$ be a colouring of a graph $G$. The span of $c$ is $\max \{|c(v) - c(w)| : vw \in E(G)\}$. (The number of colours is irrelevant.) The chromatic span of $G$, denoted by $\Lambda(G)$, is the minimum integer $k$ for which there is a colouring of $G$ with span $k$. Note that $\Lambda(G) \leq k$ if and only if there is a homomorphism from $G$ into $P_n^k$ for some $n$.

Let $[a, b] := [a, a + 1, \ldots, b]$ and $[b] := [1, b]$ for all integers $a \leq b$. We can assume that the range of a $k$-colouring is $[k]$. Thus $\Lambda(G) \leq \chi(G) - 1$ for every graph $G$. Conversely, given a colouring $c$ of $G$ with span $k$, let $c'(v) := c(v) \mod (k + 1)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G)$. Then $c'$ is a $(k + 1)$-colouring of $G$. Thus

$$\Lambda(G) = \chi(G) - 1.$$  

This might suggest that chromatic span is pointless. Let the $F$-free chromatic span of a graph $G$, denoted by $\Lambda(G, F)$, be the minimum integer $k$ for which there is an $F$-free colouring of $G$ with span $k$.

**Lemma 1.** Let $F$ be a forbidden family. For every graph $G$,

$$\Lambda(G, F) + 1 \leq \chi(G, F) \leq 2 \cdot \Lambda(G, F) + 1.$$  

**Proof.** Obviously $\Lambda(G, F) \leq \chi(G, F) - 1$. To prove that $\chi(G, F) \leq 2 \cdot \Lambda(G, F) + 1$, let $c$ be an $F$-free colouring of $G$ with span $k := \Lambda(G, F)$. For every vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $c'(v) := c(v) \mod (2k + 1)$. Clearly $c'$ is a $(2k + 1)$-colouring of $G$. For all $i \in [0, 2k]$, let $V_i := \{v \in V(G) : c'(v) = i\}$, and for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $V_{i,j} := \{v \in V_i : c(v) = j(2k + 1) + i\}$. Thus the $V_i$'s are the colour classes of $c'$ and the $V_{i,j}$'s are the colour classes of $c$. For $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ with $S \cap T = \emptyset$, let $G[S, T]$ be the subgraph of $G$ with vertex set $S \cup T$ and edge set $\{vw \in E(G) : v \in S, w \in T\}$. Consider two edges
vw, xy ∈ E(G) with v, x ∈ V_{i_1,j_1}, w ∈ V_{i_2,j_2}, and y ∈ V_{i_2,j_3}. Since |c(v) − c(w)| ≤ k and |c(x) − c(y)| ≤ k, we have j_2 = j_3. It follows that each bichromatic subgraph of c' is the union of disjoint bichromatic subgraphs of c. In particular, G[V_{i_1,j}, V_{i_2,j}] = \cup \{G[V_{i_1,j}, V_{i_2,j}] : j ∈ \mathbb{Z}\} or G[V_{i_1,j}, V_{i_2}] = \cup \{G[V_{i_1,j}, V_{i_2,j+1}] : j ∈ \mathbb{Z}\}. Since each subgraph G[V_{i_1,j}, V_{i_2,j}] (or G[V_{i_1,j}, V_{i_2,j+1}] in the second case) is \mathcal{F}\text{-free}, c' is \mathcal{F}\text{-free and}

\chi(G, \mathcal{F}) \leq 2 \cdot \Lambda(G, \mathcal{F}) + 1. \tag{\□}

Lemma \[\] cannot be improved in general, since it is easily seen that \Lambda(P_n^k, P_3) = k but \chi(P_n^k, P_3) = 2k + 1. Thus chromatic span is of interest when considering \mathcal{F}\text{-free colourings. We prove the following result, which with Lemma \[\] implies Theorem \[\].

**Theorem 2.** Let \mathcal{F} be a forbidden family. Let G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d be graphs, each with \mathcal{F}\text{-free chromatic span} \Lambda(G_i, \mathcal{F}) ≤ k (which is implied if \chi(G_i, \mathcal{F}) ≤ k + 1). Then

\Lambda(G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d, \mathcal{F}) ≤ k(kd − k + 1), \ \text{and}

\chi(G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d, \mathcal{F}) ≤ 2k(kd − k + 1) + 1.

Moreover, for all \epsilon > 0 and for large d > d(k, \epsilon),

\Lambda(G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d, \mathcal{F}) ≤ \frac{e^\gamma}{1 − \epsilon} dk \log k, \ \text{and}

\chi(G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d, \mathcal{F}) ≤ 1 + \frac{2e^\gamma}{1 − \epsilon} dk \log k .

## 3. The Key Lemma

Our results depend upon the following number-theoretic concept (where \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \ldots\} and \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).

**Definition 1.** Let k ∈ \mathbb{N}. A set A ⊆ \mathbb{N} is k-multiplicative Sidon\(^1\) if for all x, y ∈ A and for all a, b ∈ [k], we have ax = by implies a = b and x = y. For brevity we write k-multiplicative rather than k-multiplicative Sidon.

Consider a cartesian product \tilde{G} := G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d to have vertex set

V(\tilde{G}) = \{\tilde{v} : \tilde{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d), v_i ∈ V(G_i), i ∈ [d]\},

where \tilde{v}\tilde{w} ∈ E(\tilde{G}) if and only if v_iw_i ∈ E(G_i) for some i, and v_j = w_j for all j ≠ i; we say that the edge \tilde{v}\tilde{w} is in dimension i.

**Lemma 2.** Let \mathcal{F} be a forbidden family. Let G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d be graphs, each with \mathcal{F}\text{-free chromatic span} \Lambda(G_i, \mathcal{F}) ≤ k (which is implied if \chi(G_i, \mathcal{F}) ≤ k + 1). Let S := \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_d\} be a k-multiplicative set. Then

\Lambda(G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d, \mathcal{F}) ≤ k \cdot \max S .

\(^1\)Erdős \[19, 24, 22\] defined a set A ⊆ \mathbb{N} to be multiplicative Sidon if ab = cd implies \{a, b\} = \{c, d\} for all a, b, c, d ∈ A; see \[48, 49, 51\]. Additive Sidon sets have been more widely studied; see the classical papers \[22, 53, 54\] and the recent survey by O’Bryant \[46\].
**Proof.** Let \( \tilde{G} := G_1 \square G_2 \square \cdots \square G_d \). For each \( i \in [d] \), let \( c_i \) be a \( \mathcal{F} \)-free colouring of \( G_i \) with span \( k \). For each vertex \( \tilde{v} \in V(\tilde{G}) \), let

\[
c(\tilde{v}) := \sum_{i \in [d]} s_i \cdot c_i(v_i).
\]

For every edge \( \tilde{v}\tilde{w} \in E(\tilde{G}) \) in dimension \( i \),

\[
(1) \quad c(\tilde{w}) - c(\tilde{v}) = \left( \sum_{j \in [d]} s_j \cdot c_j(w_j) \right) - \left( \sum_{j \in [d]} s_j \cdot c_j(v_j) \right) = s_i(c_i(w_i) - c_i(v_i)).
\]

Since \( 1 \leq |c_i(w_i) - c_i(v_i)| \leq k \) and \( s_i \geq 1 \), \( c \) is a colouring of \( \tilde{G} \) with span \( k \cdot \max S \).

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that \( c \) is not \( \mathcal{F} \)-free. That is, there is a bichromatic subgraph \( H \) of \( \tilde{G} \) that is isomorphic to some graph in \( \mathcal{F} \). First suppose that all the edges of \( H \) have the same dimension \( i \). By Equation (1), and since \( H \) is connected, the edges \( \{v_iw_i : \tilde{v}\tilde{w} \in E(H)\} \) induce a bichromatic subgraph of \( G_i \) that is isomorphic to a graph in \( \mathcal{F} \), which is a contradiction. Thus not all the edges of \( H \) are in the same dimension. Since \( H \) is connected and has at least three vertices, \( H \) has two edges \( \tilde{v}\tilde{x} \) and \( \tilde{w}\tilde{x} \) with a common endpoint that are in distinct dimensions. Say \( \tilde{v}\tilde{x} \) is in dimension \( i \) and \( \tilde{w}\tilde{x} \) is in dimension \( j \neq i \). Since \( H \) is bichromatic, \( c(\tilde{v}) - c(\tilde{x}) = c(\tilde{w}) - c(\tilde{x}) \). By Equation (1),

\[
s_i(c_i(v_i) - c_i(x_i)) = s_j(c_j(w_j) - c_j(x_j)).
\]

Since \( c_i \) has span \( k \), we have \( 1 \leq |c_i(v_i) - c_i(x_i)| \leq k \) and \( 1 \leq |c_j(w_j) - c_j(x_j)| \leq k \), which implies that \( S \) is not \( k \)-multiplicative. This contradiction proves that \( c \) is an \( \mathcal{F} \)-free colouring of \( \tilde{G} \).

\( \square \)

### 4. \( k \)-Multiplicative Sidon Sets

Motivated by Lemma 2, in this section we study \( k \)-multiplicative sets. We measure the ‘size’ of a \( k \)-multiplicative set by its density. The density of \( A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) is

\[
\delta(A) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|A \cap [n]|}{n}
\]

if the limit exists (otherwise the density is undefined). We say \( A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) is \( p \)-periodic if \( x \in A \) if and only if \( x + p \in A \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{N} \). Observe that if \( A \) is \( p \)-periodic then

\[
(2) \quad \delta(A) = \frac{|A \cap [p]|}{p}.
\]

The following theorem is our main result regarding \( k \)-multiplicative sets.

**Theorem 3.** For all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), the maximum density of a \( k \)-multiplicative set is

\[
\Theta \left( \frac{1}{\log k} \right).
\]

We start with a naive construction of a \( k \)-multiplicative set.
**Lemma 3.** For all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), the set \( R_k := \{ x \in \mathbb{N} : x \equiv 1 \pmod{k} \} \) is \( k \)-multiplicative and has density \( \delta(R_k) = 1/k \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( ax = by \) for some \( x, y \in R_k \) and \( a, b \in [k] \). Then \( x = pk + 1 \) and \( y = qk + 1 \) for some \( p, q \in \mathbb{N} \). Thus \( (ap - bq)k = b - a \). Since \( |b - a| \leq k - 1 \), we have \( a = b \) and \( ap = bq \). Thus \( p = q \) and \( x = y \). That is, \( R_k \) is \( k \)-periodic. Since \( R_k \) is \( k \)-periodic, \( \delta(R_k) = |R_k \cap [k]|/k = 1/k \) by Equation (2). \( \Box \)

The lower and upper bounds in Theorem 3 are proved in Theorems 4 and 5 respectively. Fix \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( \mathbb{P}_k := \{ p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_\ell \} \) be the set of primes in \( [k] \). Let

\[
\Pi_k := \prod_{i \in [\ell]} p_i.
\]

Every \( x \in \mathbb{N} \) can be uniquely represented as

\[
x = \beta_*(x) \prod_{i \in [\ell]} p_i^{\beta_i(x)},
\]

where \( \beta_i(x) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( \beta_*(x) \) is not divisible by \( p_i \) for all \( i \in [\ell] \). That is, \( \gcd(\beta_*(x), \Pi_k) = 1 \). Let \( \beta(x) \) be the vector \( (\beta_1(x), \beta_2(x), \ldots, \beta_\ell(x)) \). For all \( x, y \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
(3) \quad \beta(x \cdot y) = \beta(x) + \beta(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_*(x \cdot y) = \beta_*(x) \cdot \beta_*(y).
\]

**Lemma 4.** For all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), if \( ax = by \) for some \( a, b \in [k] \) and \( x, y \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( \beta_*(x) = \beta_*(y) \).

**Proof.** By Equation (3), we have \( \beta_*(a) \cdot \beta_*(x) = \beta_*(b) \cdot \beta_*(y) \). Since \( a, b \leq k \), we have \( \beta_*(a) = \beta_*(b) = 1 \). Thus \( \beta_*(x) = \beta_*(y) \). \( \Box \)

**Theorem 4.** For all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), the set \( S_k := \{ s \in \mathbb{N} : \gcd(s, \Pi_k) = 1 \} \) is \( k \)-multiplicative and has density

\[
\delta(S_k) = \prod_{i \in [\ell]} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right) \sim \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log k}.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that \( ax = by \) for some \( a, b \in [k] \) and \( x, y \in S_k \). Thus \( \beta_*(x) = \beta_*(y) \) by Lemma 4. Since \( \gcd(x, \Pi_k) = \gcd(y, \Pi_k) = 1 \), we have \( \beta_i(x) = \beta_i(y) = 0 \) for all \( i \in [\ell] \).

Hence \( x = y \), which implies that \( a = b \), and \( S_k \) is \( k \)-multiplicative.

It remains to compute the density of \( S_k \). Let \( \varphi \) be Euler’s totient function, \( \varphi(x) := |\{ y \in [x] : \gcd(x, y) = 1 \}| \). If \( q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r \) are the prime factors of \( x \) (with repetition), then

\[
\varphi(x) = x \prod_{i \in [r]} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q_i} \right).
\]

Observe that \( S_k \) is \( \Pi_k \)-periodic. By Equation (2),

\[
\delta(S_k) = \frac{|S_k \cap [\Pi_k]|}{\Pi_k} = \frac{\varphi(\Pi_k)}{\Pi_k} = \prod_{i \in [\ell]} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right).
\]

By Mertens’ Theorem (see [31]), \( \delta(S_k) \sim e^{-\gamma}/\log k \); see Table 1. \( \Box \)
The following corollary is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 4.

**Corollary 1.** For all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \epsilon > 0 \), and sufficiently large \( n > n(k, \epsilon) \),

\[
\frac{(1 - \epsilon)n}{e^\gamma \log k} \leq |S_k \cap [n]| \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon)n}{e^\gamma \log k} .
\]

**Table 1.** The first 15 elements of the set \( S_k \) for each \( k \leq 30 \).

| \( k \) | \( S_k \) | density |
|---|---|---|
| 2 | \( \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, \ldots \} \) | 1/2 |
| 3, 4 | \( \{1, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 25, 29, 31, 35, 37, 41, 43, \ldots \} \) | 1/3 |
| 5, 6 | \( \{1, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 49, 53, \ldots \} \) | 4/15 |
| 7, \ldots, 10 | \( \{1, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, \ldots \} \) | 8/35 |
| 11, 12 | \( \{1, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, 67, \ldots \} \) | 16/77 |
| 13, \ldots, 16 | \( \{1, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, 67, 71, \ldots \} \) | 192/1001 |
| 17, 18 | \( \{1, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, 67, 71, 73, \ldots \} \) | 3072/17017 |
| 19, \ldots, 22 | \( \{1, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, 67, 71, 73, 79, \ldots \} \) | 55296/323323 |
| 23, \ldots, 28 | \( \{1, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, 67, 71, 73, 79, 83, \ldots \} \) | 110592/676039 |
| 29, 30 | \( \{1, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, 67, 71, 73, 79, 83, 89, \ldots \} \) | 442368/2800733 |

We can now prove Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Lemma 3 implies that \( R := \{ik+1 : i \in [0, d-1]\} \) is \( k \)-multiplicative. Since \( |R| = d \) and \( \max R = dk - k + 1 \), by using \( R \) as a \( k \)-multiplicative set in Lemma 2 we have \( \Lambda(G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d, \mathcal{F}) \leq k(dk - k + 1) \). This proves the first part of the theorem.

Let \( n \) be the minimum integer such that \( |S_k \cap [n]| \geq d \). By Corollary 1 for \( d > d(k, \epsilon) \),

\[
\max\{S_k \cap [n]\} \leq n \leq \frac{e^\gamma}{1 - \epsilon} \cdot d \log k .
\]

Using \( S_k \cap [n] \) as a \( k \)-multiplicative set in Lemma 2, we have

\[
\Lambda(G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d, \mathcal{F}) \leq \frac{e^\gamma}{1 - \epsilon} \cdot dk \log k .
\]

The final claim in Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 1. \( \square \)

4.1. **Proof of Optimality.** We now prove that the lower bound in Theorem 4 is asymptotically optimal, which in turn completes the proof of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 5.** For all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \epsilon > 0 \), and sufficiently large \( n > n(k, \epsilon) \), every \( k \)-multiplicative set \( A \subseteq [n] \) satisfies

\[
|A| \leq \frac{(2 + \epsilon)n}{e^\gamma \log k} + \frac{2n}{\sqrt{k}} = (2 + o(1))|S_k \cap [n]| = \frac{(2 + o(1))n}{e^\gamma \log k} .
\]
To prove Theorem 5 we model $k$-multiplicative sets using graphs. Let $G_{n,k}$ be the graph with vertex set $V(G_{n,k}) := [n]$, where $xy \in E(G_{n,k})$ whenever $ax = by$ for some $a, b \in [k]$. Observe that a set $A \subseteq [n]$ is $k$-multiplicative if and only if $A$ is an independent set of $G_{n,k}$. For each $s \in S_k \cap [n]$, let $G_{n,k,s}$ be the subgraph of $G_{n,k}$ induced by $X_{n,k,s} := \{x \in [n] : \beta_s(x) = s\}$.

**Lemma 5.** *The connected components of $G_{n,k}$ are $\{G_{n,k,s} : s \in S_k \cap [n]\}$.*

**Proof.** If $xy \in E(G_{n,k})$, then $\beta_s(x) = \beta_s(y)$ by Lemma 4, which implies that $x, y \in X_{n,k,s}$ for some $s \in S_k \cap [n]$. Thus distinct sets $X_{n,k,s}$ and $X_{n,k,t}$ are not joined by an edge of $G_{n,k}$. It remains to prove that each subgraph $G_{n,k,s}$ is connected. For each pair of vertices $x, y \in X_{n,k,s}$, let

$$f(x, y) := \sum_{i \in [t]} |\beta_i(x) - \beta_i(y)|.$$

We claim that $x$ and $y$ are connected by a path of $f(x, y)$ edges in $G_{n,k,s}$. The proof is by induction on $f(x, y)$. If $f(x, y) = 0$ then $x = y$ (since $\beta_s(x) = \beta_s(y) = s$) and we are done. Say $f(x, y) > 0$. Without loss of generality, $\beta_i(x) < \beta_i(y)$ for some $i$. Let $z := p_i x$. Then $z \in X_{n,k,s}$ and $xz$ is an edge of $G_{n,k,s}$. Moreover, $\beta_i(z) = \beta_i(x) + 1$, which implies that $f(z, y) = f(x, y) - 1$. By induction, there is a path of $f(z, y)$ edges from $z$ to $y$. Thus there is a path of $f(z, y) + 1 = f(x, y)$ edges from $x$ to $y$. □

**Lemma 6.** *Let $G_{n,k,s}$ be a connected component of $G_{n,k}$ with $r$ vertices. Then the $\min\{k, r\}$ smallest elements of $X_{n,k,s}$ are $\{s, 2s, 3s, \ldots, \min\{k, r\} \cdot s\}$, and they form a clique of $G_{n,k,s}$.***

**Proof.** Every element of $X_{n,k,s}$ is a multiple of $s$ and is at least $s$. Now $is \in X_{n,k,s}$ for each $i \in [\min\{k, r\}]$. Thus the $\min\{k, r\}$ smallest elements of $X_{n,k,s}$ are $\{s, 2s, 3s, \ldots, \min\{k, r\} \cdot s\}$, which clearly form a clique of $G_{n,k,s}$. □

For all $x \in [n]$, let $N_k(x)$ be the closed neighbourhood of $x$ in $G_{n,k}$. That is, $y \in N_k(x)$ if and only if $y \in [n]$ and $ay = bx$ for some $a, b \in [k]$.

**Lemma 7.** *Let $G_{n,k,s}$ be a connected component of $G_{n,k}$ with at least $k$ vertices. Then $|N_k(x)| \geq \lceil \sqrt{k} \rceil$ for every $x \in X_{n,k,s}$.***

**Proof.** By Lemma 6 the $k$ smallest elements of $X_{n,k,s}$ are $\{s, 2s, 3s, \ldots, ks\}$, and they form a clique of $G_{n,k,s}$. In particular, $ks \leq n$.

Case (a): $x \leq \sqrt{ks}$: For each $a \in ([\sqrt{k}])$, we have $ax \leq ks \leq n$. Thus $ax \in N_k(x)$ and $|N_k(x)| \geq \lceil \sqrt{k} \rceil$.

Case (b): $x > \sqrt{ks}$: First suppose that there is a prime $p$ that divides $x$ and $\sqrt{k} \leq p \leq k$. Then $\frac{ax}{p} \in [x]$ for each $a \in [p]$. Thus $\frac{ax}{p} \in N_k(x)$ and $|N_k(x)| \geq p \geq \sqrt{k}$. Now suppose that there is no prime divisor $p$ of $x$ with $\sqrt{k} \leq p \leq k$. Let $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_t$ be the prime factors of $x$ with duplication. Since $x > \sqrt{k}$, for some $\ell \in [t]$, the integer
Lemma 7. Thus \( \frac{ax}{q} \in N_k(x) \) and \( |N_k(x)| \geq q \geq \sqrt{k} \). \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 5. Let \( k' := \lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor \) and \( k'' := \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2} \rfloor \). Note that \( k'' \geq 1 \) and \( k'' > \sqrt{k}/2 \). We proceed by studying the size of \( A \) within each connected component of the graph \( G_n,k' \). That is, we consider \( A \) as the union of the disjoint sets \( \{ A \cap X_{n,k',s} : s \in S_{k'} \cap [n] \} \).

First consider \( s \in S_{k'} \cap [n] \) for which \( |X_{n,k',s}| \leq k' \). By Lemma 6, \( X_{n,k',s} \) is a clique of \( G_n,k' \). Since \( A \) is \( k \)-multiplicative, \( A \) is \( k' \)-multiplicative, and \( A \) is an independent set of \( G_n,k' \). Thus \( |A \cap X_{n,k',s}| \leq 1 \). The set \( S_{k'} \cap [n] \) has exactly one element in \( X_{n,k',s} \). Thus \( | \cup \{ A \cap X_{n,k',s} : s \in S_{k'} \cap [n], |X_{n,k',s}| \leq k' \} | \leq |S_{k'} \cap [n]| \).

By Corollary 1 and Equations (4) and (5) imply that \( |A \cap X_{n,k',s}| \leq k' \).

Now consider \( s \in S_{k'} \cap [n] \) for which \( |X_{n,k',s}| > k' \). We claim that \( N_{k'}(x) \cap N_{k'}(y) = \emptyset \) for distinct \( x, y \in A \). Suppose that \( z \in N_{k'}(x) \cap N_{k'}(y) \) for some \( x, y \in A \). Then \( a_1x = b_1z \) and \( a_2y = b_2z \) for some \( a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in [k'] \). Thus \( z = a_1x/b_1 = a_2y/b_2 \) and \( (a_1b_2)x = (a_2b_1)y \). Since \( a_1b_2, a_2b_1 \in [k] \) and \( A \) is \( k \)-multiplicative, \( x = y \). This proves the claim. Now \( N_{k'}(x) \subseteq X_{n,k',s} \) for each \( x \in X_{n,k',s} \) by Lemma 5 and \( |N_{k'}(x)| \geq k'' \) by Lemma 7. Thus \( |A \cap X_{n,k',s}| \cdot k'' \leq |X_{n,k',s}| \), and

\[
|A| \leq \frac{(2 + \epsilon)n}{e^\gamma \log k} + \frac{2n}{\sqrt{k}} \leq \frac{(2 + o(1))n}{e^\gamma \log k} = (2 + o(1))|S_k \cap [n]| .
\]

Corollary 1 and Equations (4) and (5) imply that

\[
|A| \leq \frac{(2 + \epsilon)n}{e^\gamma \log k} + \frac{2n}{\sqrt{k}} \leq \frac{(2 + o(1))n}{e^\gamma \log k} = (2 + o(1))|S_k \cap [n]| .
\]

4.2. An Improved Construction. While \( S_k \cap [n] \) is a \( k \)-multiplicative set whose cardinality is within a constant factor of optimal, larger \( k \)-multiplicative sets in \( [n] \) can be constructed. Recall that \( \mathbb{P}_k = \{ p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_\ell \} \) is the set of primes in \( [k] \). Let \( \alpha_i := \lfloor \log p_i \rfloor + 1 \) for each \( p_i \in \mathbb{P}_k \). Define

\[
T_k := \{ x \in \mathbb{N} : \beta_i(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha_i}, \ i \in [\ell] \} .
\]

Lemma 8. For each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), the set \( T_k \) is \( k \)-multiplicative.

Proof. Suppose that \( ax = by \) for some \( a, b \in [k] \) and \( x, y \in T_k \). By Equation (3),

\[
\beta_i(a) + \beta_i(x) = \beta_i(b) + \beta_i(y)
\]

for all \( i \in [\ell] \). Now \( \beta_i(x) \equiv \beta_i(y) \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha_i} \) since \( x, y \in T_k \). Thus \( \beta_i(a) \equiv \beta_i(b) \pmod{\alpha_i} \).

Now \( p_i^{\beta_i(a)} \leq a \leq k \). Thus \( \beta_i(a) \leq \lfloor \log p_i \rfloor = \alpha_i - 1 \). Similarly \( \beta_i(b) \leq \alpha_i - 1 \). Hence \( \beta_i(a) = \beta_i(b) \) for all \( i \in [\ell] \). Thus \( a = b \) and \( x = y \). Therefore \( T_k \) is \( k \)-multiplicative. \( \square \)
We now set out to determine the density of $T_k$. Observe that $S_k = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : \beta_i(x) = 0, i \in [t]\} \subseteq T_k$. Thus (if it exists) the density of $T_k$ is at least that of $S_k$.

Consider $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$. If $\delta(A)$ and $\delta(B)$ exist, then $\delta(A \cup B) = \delta(A) + \delta(B)$. The following lemma extends this idea to an infinite union, where

$$\overline{\delta}(A) := \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|A \cap [n]|}{n}.$$

**Lemma 9.** Let $A_1, A_2, \ldots \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$. Suppose that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta(A_i)$ exists and $\overline{\delta}(A_i) \leq c \cdot \delta(A_i)$ for some constant $c \geq 1$. Let $A := \bigcup_i A_i$. Then $\delta(A) = \sum_i \delta(A_i)$.

**Proof.** Let $\delta := \sum_i \delta(A_i)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary positive number. Let $r_\epsilon$ be the least integer such that

$$\sum_{i > r_\epsilon} \delta(A_i) < \frac{\epsilon}{c}.$$

Let $n_\epsilon$ be the minimum integer such that for all $n > n_\epsilon$ and for all $i \in [r_\epsilon]$,

$$\left| \frac{|A_i \cap [n]|}{n} - \delta(A_i) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{r_\epsilon}.$$

Let $n > n_\epsilon$, $X := A \cap [n]$, $X_i := A \cap A_i$ and $X^* := \bigcup \{X_i : i > r_\epsilon\}$. We have $|X_i| < c \cdot \delta(A_i)n$. Thus

$$|X^*| < cn \sum_{i > r_\epsilon} \delta(A_i) < \epsilon n.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{|X|}{n} - \delta \right| = \left| \left( \sum_{i \in [r_\epsilon]} \frac{|X_i|}{n} - \delta(A_i) \right) + \frac{|X^*|}{n} - \sum_{i > r_\epsilon} \delta(A_i) \right|$$

$$< \sum_{i \in [r_\epsilon]} \left| \frac{|X_i|}{n} - \delta(A_i) \right| + \frac{|X^*|}{n} + \sum_{i > r_\epsilon} \delta(A_i)$$

$$< r_\epsilon \frac{\epsilon}{r_\epsilon} n + \frac{\epsilon n}{c} < \epsilon \left( 2 + \frac{1}{c} \right) < 3 \epsilon.$$

This proves that $\delta(A) = \delta$. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 6.** The set $T_k$ is $k$-multiplicative with density

$$\delta(T_k) = \delta(S_k) \prod_{i \in [t]} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1}\right) = \prod_{i \in [t]} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1}\right).$$

**Proof.** For all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, let $t \cdot A := \{ta : a \in A\}$. If $\delta(A)$ exists then

$$\delta(t \cdot A) = \frac{\delta(A)}{t}. \tag{6}$$

Now, for all $v \in \mathbb{N}_0^t$, let

$$S_k^v := \left( \prod_{i \in [t]} p_i^{v_i \alpha_i} \right) \cdot S_k.$$
Note that $S_k^v \cap S_k^w = \emptyset$ for distinct $v, w \in \mathbb{N}_0^\ell$. For all $v \in \mathbb{N}_0^\ell$ we have $\frac{\delta(S_k^v)}{\sigma(S_k^v)} = \frac{\delta(S_k^v)}{\sigma(S_k^v)}.$

Now $T_k = \bigcup \{ S_k^v : v \in \mathbb{N}_0^\ell \}$. By Lemma 9,

$$\delta(T_k) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0^\ell} \delta(S_k^v).$$

By Equation (6) with $A = S_k$ and $t = \prod p_i^{v_i \alpha_i}$,

$$\delta(T_k) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0^\ell} \delta(S_k) / \prod_{i \in [\ell]} p_i^{v_i \alpha_i}.$$

Thus

$$\delta(T_k) = \delta(S_k) \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0^\ell} \prod_{i \in [\ell]} p_i^{-v_i \alpha_i} = \delta(S_k) \prod_{i \in [\ell]} \frac{p_i^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1} = \delta(S_k) \prod_{i \in [\ell]} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1} \right).$$

The result follows by substituting the expression for $\delta(S_k)$ from Theorem 4; see Table. 2.

Table 2. The first 15 elements of the set $T_k$ for each $k \leq 15.$

| $k$ | $T_k$ | density |
|-----|-------|---------|
| 2   | \{1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, \ldots \} | 2/3 |
| 3   | \{1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20, 23, 25, 28, 29, \ldots \} | 1/2 |
| 4   | \{1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, 23, 25, 29, 31, 35, 37, \ldots \} | 3/7 |
| 5, 6| \{1, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 25, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, \ldots \} | 5/14 |
| 7   | \{1, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 25, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, \ldots \} | 5/16 |
| 8   | \{1, 9, 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, 23, 25, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, \ldots \} | 7/24 |
| 9, 10| \{1, 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, \ldots \} | 7/26 |
| 11, 12| \{1, 13, 16, 17, 19, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 49, \ldots \} | 77/312 |
| 13, 14, 15| \{1, 16, 17, 19, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 49, 53, \ldots \} | 11/48 |

We now show that $\delta(T_k)$ approaches $\delta(S_k)$ for large $k$.

**Proposition 1.** For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\delta(S_k) < \delta(T_k) = c_k \cdot \delta(S_k),$$

for some constant $c_k \to 1$ for large $k$.

**Proof.** By the Prime Number Theorem, $\ell \leq O(k/\log k)$. Thus

$$c_k = \prod_i \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1}\right) \leq \prod_i \left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1}\right) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1}\right)^{O(k/\log k)} \leq \exp(O(1/\log k)) \to 1.$$

□
The case \( k = 2 \) was previously studied by Tamura \cite{56} and Allouche et al. \cite{8}. Observe that \( T_2 = \{2^{2j}(2j+1) : i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \} \). Theorem \cite{8} with \( k = 2 \) was proved by Allouche et al. \cite{8}, who also proved that \( T_2 \) has the maximum density out of all 2-multiplicative sets. Interesting relationships with the Thue-Morse sequence were also discovered.

**Proposition 2** (\cite{8}). The set \( T_2 \) is 2-multiplicative and has density \( 2/3 \). For all \( d \in \mathbb{N} \), the \( d \)-th smallest element of \( T_2 \) is at most \( 3d/2 + O(\log d) \).

**Theorem 7.** Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a forbidden family. Let \( G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d \) be graphs, each with \( \Lambda(G_i, \mathcal{F}) \leq 2 \) or \( \chi(G_i, \mathcal{F}) \leq 3 \). Let \( t \) be the \( d \)-th smallest element of the 2-multiplicative set \( T_2 \) from Proposition 2.

\[
\Lambda(G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d, \mathcal{F}) \leq 2t \leq 3d + O(\log d), \quad \text{and} \quad 
\chi(G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d, \mathcal{F}) \leq 4t + 1 \leq 6d + O(\log d).
\]

**Proof.** By Lemma \cite{1} \( \chi(G_i, \mathcal{F}) \leq 3 \) implies \( \Lambda(G_i, \mathcal{F}) \leq 2 \). The result follows by applying Lemma \cite{2} with the \( d \) smallest elements of the 2-multiplicative set \( T_2 \) from Proposition 2. \( \square \)

### 5. \( P_3 \)-free Colourings

Recall that a colouring is \( P_3 \)-free if vertices at distance at most two receive distinct colours. Let \( \Delta(G) \) be the maximum degree of the graph \( G \). Since a vertex and its neighbours receive distinct colours in a \( P_3 \)-free colouring,

\[
\chi(G, P_3) \geq \Delta(G) + 1.
\]

Let \( Q_d := K_2 \Box K_2 \Box \cdots \Box K_2 \) be the \( d \)-dimensional hypercube. \( P_3 \)-free colourings of \( Q_d \) (and more generally, colourings of powers of \( Q_d \)) have been extensively studied \cite{36, 45, 47, 55, 58, 63}. Wan \cite{58} proved that

\[
d + 1 \leq \chi(Q_d, P_3) \leq 2^{\lceil \log_2(d+1) \rceil} \leq 2d.
\]

While our methods are not powerful enough to obtain the above upper bound, for grid graphs we have the following result, which was first proved by Fertin et al. \cite{24}.

**Example 1** (\cite{24}). Every \( d \)-dimensional grid graph \( G := P_{n_1} \Box P_{n_2} \Box \cdots \Box P_{n_d} \) satisfies \( \chi(G, P_3) \leq 2d + 1 \), with equality if every \( n_i \geq 3 \).

**Proof.** The lower bound follows from Equation (7) since \( \Delta(G) = 2d \) if every \( n_i \geq 3 \). Colour the \( i \)-th vertex in \( P_n \) by \( i \). We obtain a \( P_3 \)-free colouring of \( P_n \) with span 1. Thus \( \Lambda(P_n, P_3) = 1 \), and the upper bound follows from Theorem 2 with \( k = 1 \). \( \square \)

Example \cite{1} highlights the utility of chromatic span. A weaker bound on \( \chi(G, P_3) \) is obtained if the \( P_3 \)-free chromatic number, \( \chi(P_n, P_3) = 3 \), is used rather than the the \( P_3 \)-free chromatic span, \( \Lambda(P_n, P_3) = 1 \).
Example 2. Let $G$ be the $d$-dimensional graph $G := P_{n_1}^2 \square P_{n_2}^2 \cdots \square P_{n_d}^2$. Let $t$ be the $d$-th smallest element of $T_2$. Then
\[ \chi(G, P_3) \leq 4t + 1 \leq 6d + O(\log d) , \]
and if each $n_i \geq 5$ then $\chi(G, P_3) \geq 4d + 1$.

Proof. Equation (7) implies the lower bound since $\Delta(G) = 4d$ if each $n_i \geq 5$. Obviously $\Lambda(P_{n_i}^2, P_3) \leq 2$. Thus the upper bound follows from Theorem 7; see Table 3. □

| $d$  | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  | 15  | . . . |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| $\chi(G, P_3)$ | 5   | 13  | 17  | 21  | 29  | 37  | 49  | 53  | 61  | 65  | 69  | 77  | 81  | 85  | . . . |

Example 3. Let $G$ be the graph $P_{n_1}^k \square P_{n_2}^k \cdots \square P_{n_d}^k$. If there exists $n_i, n_j \geq k$ then $\chi(G, P_3) \geq k^2$, and if every $n_i \geq 2k + 1$ then $\chi(G, P_3) \geq 2dk + 1$. As an upper bound,
\[ \chi(G, P_3) \leq 2k(kd - k + 1) + 1 . \]
Moreover, for all $\epsilon > 0$ and for large $d > d(k, \epsilon)$,
\[ \chi(G, P_3) \leq 1 + \frac{2e^\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} dk \log k . \]

Proof. If $n_i, n_j \geq k$ then $G^2$ contains a $k^2$-vertex clique, and $\chi(G, P_3) = \chi(G^2) \geq k^2$. The second lower bound follows from Equation (7) since $\Delta(G) = 2dk$ if every $n_i \geq 2k + 1$. Obviously $\Lambda(P_{n_i}^k, P_3) \leq k$. Thus the upper bounds follow from Theorem 2. □

Example 4. The $d$-dimensional toroidal grid $G := C_{n_1} \square C_{n_2} \cdots \square C_{n_d}$ satisfies
\[ 2d + 1 \leq \chi(G, P_3) \leq 4t + 1 \leq 6d + O(\log d) , \]
where $t$ is the $d$-th smallest element of $T_2$.

Proof. The lower bound follows from Equation (7) since $G$ is $2d$-regular. Say $C_n = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$. By considering the vertex ordering
\[ (v_1, v_n; v_2, v_{n-1}; \ldots; v_i, v_{n-i+1}; \ldots; v_{n/2}, v_{n/2}) , \]
of $C_n$, we see that $C_n \subset P_{n}^2$. Thus the upper bound follows from Example 2. □

Fertin et al. [26] studied $P_4$-free colourings of toroidal grids, and proved that the minimum number of colours is at most $2d^2 + d + 1$, and at most $2d + 1$ in the case that $2d + 1$ divides each $n_i$. Thus Example 2 gives a linear upper bound on the $P_3$-free chromatic number of toroidal grids, where even for the weaker notion of $P_4$-free colourings, only a quadratic upper bound was previously known.
Example 5. Let $G$ be the graph $C_{n_1}^k \square C_{n_2}^k \square \cdots \square C_{n_d}^k$. If $n_i, n_j \geq k$ for some $i \neq j$, then $\chi(G, P_3) \geq k^2$, and if every $n_i \geq 2k + 1$ then $\chi(G, P_3) \geq 2dk + 1$. As an upper bound,

$$\chi(G, P_3) \leq 4k(2kd - 2k + 1) + 1.$$ 

Moreover, for all $\epsilon > 0$ and for large $d > d(k, \epsilon)$,

$$\chi(G, P_3) \leq 1 + \frac{4e^\gamma}{1 - \epsilon} d \cdot k \log(2k).$$

Proof. The lower bounds are the same as in Example 3. As proved in Example 4, $C_n \subset P_n^2$. Thus $C_{n}^k \subset P_n^{2k}$, and the upper bound follows from Example 3. \hfill \Box

6. Acyclic Colourings

Recall that a colouring with no bichromatic cycle is acyclic. The following elementary lower bound is well known, where half the average degree of a graph $G$ is denoted by

$$\overline{d}(G) := \frac{|E(G)|}{|V(G)|}.$$ 

Lemma 10. Every graph $G$ (with at least one edge) has acyclic chromatic number $\chi(G, C) > \overline{d}(G) + 1$.

Proof. Say $G$ has an acyclic $k$-colouring. Let $n_i$ be the number of vertices in the $i$-th colour class. Let $m_{i,j}$ be the number of edges between the $i$-th and $j$-th colour classes. Thus $m_{i,j} \leq n_i + n_j - 1$. Hence

$$|E(G)| = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} m_{i,j} \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} n_i + n_j - 1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} (k-1)n_i - \binom{k}{2} = (k-1)|V(G)| - \binom{k}{2}.$$

Now $k \geq 2$ since $G$ has at least one edge. Thus $k \geq (|E(G)| + 1)/|V(G)| + 1 > \overline{d}(G) + 1$. \hfill \Box

It is easily seen that a cartesian product satisfies

$$\overline{d}(G_1 \square G_2 \square \cdots \square G_d) = \sum_{i \in [d]} \overline{d}(G_i).$$

The following theorem, which was proved for paths by Fertin et al. \cite{24}, gives a special case when a $(k+1)$-colouring can be obtained from a colouring with span $k$, rather than the $(2k + 1)$-colouring guaranteed by Lemma 11.

Proposition 3. For all trees $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d$, the acyclic chromatic number

$$\chi(T_1 \square T_2 \square \cdots \square T_d, C) \leq d + 1,$$

with equality if every $|V(T_i)| \geq d$. 

Proof. Let \( \tilde{G} := T_1 \Box T_2 \Box \cdots \Box T_d \). First we prove the lower bound. By Lemma 10 and Equation \( (5) \), and since \( |V(T_i)| \geq d \),
\[
\chi(\tilde{G}, C) > \bar{d}(\tilde{G}) + 1 = 1 + \sum_{i \in [d]} \frac{|V(T_i)| - 1}{|V(T_i)|} = d + 1 - \sum_{i \in [d]} \frac{1}{|V(T_i)|} \geq d .
\]
Hence \( \chi(\tilde{G}, C) \geq d + 1 \).

Now we prove the upper bound. Root each tree \( T_i \) at some vertex \( r_i \). For each vertex \( v \in V(T_i) \), let \( c_i(v) \) be the distance between \( r_i \) and \( v \) in \( T_i \). Then \( c_i \) is a colouring of \( T_i \) with span one. For each vertex \( \tilde{v} \in V(\tilde{G}) \), let
\[
c(\tilde{v}) := \sum_{i \in [d]} i \cdot c_i(v_i) .
\]
For each edge \( \tilde{v} \tilde{w} \in E(\tilde{G}) \) in dimension \( i \),
\[
(9) \quad c(\tilde{w}) - c(\tilde{v}) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} j \cdot c_j(w_j) \right) - \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} j \cdot c_j(v_j) \right) = i(c_i(w_i) - c_i(v_i)) = \pm i .
\]
Thus \( c \) is a colouring of \( \tilde{G} \) with span \( d \). Let \( c'(\tilde{v}) := c(\tilde{v}) \mod (d + 1) \). Obviously \( c' \) is a \( (d + 1) \)-colouring of \( \tilde{G} \). We claim that \( c' \) is acyclic.

Consider each edge of \( T_i \) to be oriented away from the root \( r_i \). Orient each edge \( \tilde{v} \tilde{w} \in E(\tilde{G}) \) in dimension \( i \) according to the orientation of \( v_i w_i \). That is, orient \( \tilde{v} \) to \( \tilde{w} \) so that \( c_i(w_i) - c_i(v_i) = 1 \). Clearly the orientation of \( \tilde{G} \) is acyclic.

Suppose that on the contrary there is a vertex \( \tilde{v} \in V(\tilde{G}) \) that has two incoming edges \( \tilde{u} \tilde{v} \) and \( \tilde{w} \tilde{v} \) for which \( c'(\tilde{u}) = c'(\tilde{w}) \). Thus \( c(\tilde{u}) \equiv c(\tilde{w}) \mod (d + 1) \) and
\[
c(\tilde{u}) - c(\tilde{v}) \equiv c(\tilde{w}) - c(\tilde{v}) \mod (d + 1) .
\]
Let \( i \) and \( j \) be the dimensions of \( \tilde{u} \tilde{v} \) and \( \tilde{w} \tilde{v} \), respectively. By Equation \( (9) \),
\[
i(c_i(u_i) - c_i(v_i)) \equiv j(c_j(w_j) - c_j(v_j)) \mod (d + 1) .
\]
By the orientation of edges, \( c_i(u_i) - c_i(v_i) = 1 \) and \( c_j(w_j) - c_j(v_j) = 1 \). Thus \( i \equiv j \mod (d + 1) \), which implies that \( i = j \). Hence \( \tilde{u} = \tilde{w} \) since \( v_i \) has only one incoming edge in \( T_i \) (from its parent). Thus every vertex of \( \tilde{G} \) has at most one incoming edge in each bichromatic subgraph \( H \) (with respect to the colouring \( c' \)). Hence \( H \) has an acyclic orientation with at most one incoming edge at each vertex. Therefore \( H \) is a forest, and \( c' \) is the desired acyclic colouring of \( \tilde{G} \). \( \square \)

7. \( P_4 \)-free Colourings

Recall that a colouring with no bichromatic \( P_4 \) is a star colouring.

Example 6. For trees \( T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d \), the star chromatic number
\[
\chi(T_1 \Box T_2 \Box \cdots \Box T_d, P_4) \leq 2d + 1 .
\]
Proof. Root each tree $T_i$ at some vertex $r_i$. For each vertex $v \in V(T_i)$, let $c_i(v)$ be the distance between $r_i$ and $v$ in $T_i$. (This is the same colouring used in Proposition 3.) Obviously $c_i$ is a $P_4$-free colouring of $T_i$ with span one. The result follows from Theorem 2 with $k = 1$. Also note that the same lower bound from Proposition 3 applies for the star chromatic number. □

Example 7. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a minor-closed graph family that is not the class of all graphs. Then there is a constant $c = c(\mathcal{G})$ such that for all graphs $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\chi(G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d, P_4) \leq cd.$$ 

Proof. Nešetřil and Ossona de Mendez [43] proved that there is a constant $c_1$ (bounded by a small quadratic function of the maximum chromatic number of a graph in $\mathcal{G}$) such that every graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ has star-chromatic number $\chi(G, P_4) \leq c_1$. By Theorem 1, there is constant $c_2$ (bounded by a small quadratic function of $c_1$) such that

$$\chi(G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d, P_4) \leq c_2d.$$ □

8. $L(p, 1)$-Labellings

For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, an $L(p, 1)$-labelling of a graph $G$ is a $P_3$-free colouring of $G$ with the additional property that the colours given to adjacent vertices differ by at least $p$. Such colourings arise in frequency assignment problems; see [28, 29, 62] for example. Let $\Lambda_{p, 1}(G)$ be the minimum, taken over all $L(p, 1)$-labellings $c$ of $G$, of

$$\max_{vw \in E(G)} |c(v) - c(w)|.$$ 

Let $\lambda_{p, 1}(G)$ be the minimum, taken over all $L(p, 1)$-labellings $c$ of $G$, of

$$\max_{v \in V(G)} c(v) - \min_{v \in V(G)} c(v).$$ 

For example, $\Lambda_{1, 1}(G) = \Lambda(G, P_3)$ and $\lambda_{1, 1}(G) = \chi(G, P_3) - 1$. See [25, 34, 35, 40, 52] for results on $L(p, 1)$-labellings of certain cartesian product graphs.

It is easily seen that Lemmas 1 and 2 generalise for $L(p, 1)$-labellings as follows.

Lemma 11. For every graph $G$,

$$\Lambda_{p, 1}(G) \leq \lambda_{p, 1}(G) \leq 2 \cdot \Lambda_{p, 1}(G) + 1.$$ 

Lemma 12. Let $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d$ be graphs, each with $\Lambda_{p, 1}(G_i) \leq k$ (which is implied if $\lambda_{p, 1}(G_i) \leq k$). Let $S := \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_d\}$ be a $k$-multiplicative set. Then

$$\Lambda_{p, 1}(G_1 \Box G_2 \Box \cdots \Box G_d) \leq k \cdot \max S.$$ 

Lemmas 11 and 12 imply that Theorem 2 generalises as follows.
Theorem 8. Let $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d$ be graphs, each with $\Lambda_{p,1}(G_i) \leq k$ (which is implied if $\lambda_{p,1}(G_i) \leq k$). Then

$$\Lambda_{p,1}(G_1 \square G_2 \square \cdots \square G_d) \leq k(kd - k + 1), \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_{p,1}(G_1 \square G_2 \square \cdots \square G_d) \leq 2k(kd - k + 1) + 1.$$  

Moreover, for all $\epsilon > 0$ and for large $d > d(k, \epsilon)$,

$$\Lambda_{p,1}(G_1 \square G_2 \square \cdots \square G_d) \leq \frac{e^\gamma}{1 - \epsilon} dk \log k, \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_{p,1}(G_1 \square G_2 \square \cdots \square G_d) \leq 1 + \frac{2 e^\gamma}{1 - \epsilon} dk \log k.$$

Note: In related recent work, Jamison et al. [33] independently proved Proposition 3, and Jamison and Matthews [32] studied acyclic colourings of cartesian products of cliques (Hamming graphs).
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