Combination therapy with liraglutide and insulin in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes: A 36-week, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group trial
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ABSTRACT
Aims/Introduction: To assess efficacy and safety of liraglutide in combination with insulin compared with insulin monotherapy in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes.

Materials and methods: This was a 36-week, multicenter, double-blind, parallel-group trial, where patients on stable insulin therapy (basal/premixed/basal–bolus) were randomized 1:1 to additional liraglutide 0.9 mg/day (n = 127) or placebo (n = 130). The insulin dose was fixed for 16 weeks, and titrated based on self-measured plasma glucose thereafter. The primary end-point was change in glycosylated hemoglobin after 16 weeks.

Results: Superiority of liraglutide plus insulin versus insulin monotherapy was confirmed based on estimated mean difference in glycosylated hemoglobin after 16 weeks of -1.30% (-14 mmol/mol; 95% confidence interval -1.47 to -1.13 [-16, -12]; P < 0.0001). Statistical significance was maintained to week 36. More patients on liraglutide achieved a glycosylated hemoglobin target of <7.0% (<53 mmol/mol) at week 16 (estimated odds ratio 50.57; 95% confidence interval 16.59 to 154.16; P < 0.0001). Improvements in seven-point self-measured plasma glucose and fasting plasma glucose were significantly greater with liraglutide than the placebo at week 16. Insulin dose after 36 weeks was lower with liraglutide than the placebo (estimated treatment ratio: 0.82 [95% confidence interval 0.76 – 0.90; P < 0.0001]). Occurrence of adverse events was similar in the two groups (85.8 and 81.5%, respectively); most were mild in severity. There were no significant differences in the number of hypoglycemic episodes during the 36 weeks.

Conclusions: Adding liraglutide to insulin results in superior glycemic control compared with insulin alone in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes, and is generally well tolerated.

INTRODUCTION
Type 2 diabetes is a progressive metabolic disorder, in which combination therapy often becomes necessary, involving multiple oral antidiabetic drugs, glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs) and eventually insulin1,2. Insulin initiation normally begins with a basal dose; although intensified as required, this is often associated with hypoglycemia and weight gain1. Addition of a GLP-1RA to basal insulin provides an intensification option that minimizes these risks. Recent studies suggest that GLP-1RAs exert greater glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c)-lowering effects in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes3. Possible factors include lower body mass index (BMI)3 and impaired postprandial insulin4. Differences in body composition between Caucasian and Asian patients explain most differences in insulin sensitivity and β-cell responses between these populations5, although further elucidation is required.

The GLP-1RA liraglutide is an analog of human GLP-1 with 97% sequence homology to the endogenous protein6. Endoge-
nous GLP-1 is an incretin hormone that stimulates glucose-dependent insulin secretion and suppresses glucagon secretion, but has a short half-life (1.5 min), limiting therapeutic potential.\textsuperscript{7,8} Liraglutide has a half-life of 13 h, with a pharmacokinetic profile suitable for once-daily dosing.\textsuperscript{9} The efficacy and safety of liraglutide were evaluated in a clinical development program including seven large, randomized, phase 3 trials in adults with type 2 diabetes.\textsuperscript{10\textendash}16.

In Japan, liraglutide was approved by the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare in 2010. The first phase 3 trial carried out in Japan investigated liraglutide 0.9 mg/day as monotherapy, showing superior control of HbA\textsubscript{1c} after 24 weeks versus glibenclamide 2.5 mg, with benefits maintained for 1 year (treatment difference at 1 year [%; mmol/mol] −0.49; −5 [95% confidence interval (CI) −0.71 to −0.27])\textsuperscript{17}. Liraglutide had additional benefits regarding weight loss and hypoglycemia.\textsuperscript{18} The second study, comparing liraglutide (0.6 or 0.9 mg/day) versus placebo, as an add-on to sulfonylurea\textsuperscript{19}, showed greater HbA\textsubscript{1c} reduction with liraglutide, maintained for 1 year. Treatment differences versus placebo at 1 year (%; mmol/mol) were −0.96; −10 (95% CI −1.25 to −0.67; −14 to −7) and −1.33; −15 (95% CI −1.62 to −1.04; −18 to −11), with liraglutide 0.6 and 0.9 mg, respectively.\textsuperscript{18,19} The safety and efficacy of liraglutide in Japanese patients have been further investigated in clinical practice. Evidence suggests that liraglutide’s glucose-lowering effect depends on the remaining pancreatic β-cell function,\textsuperscript{20} implying the necessity of insulin plus liraglutide combination in patients with long duration of type 2 diabetes and limited β-cell function.\textsuperscript{20}

Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data suggest co-administration of liraglutide and basal insulin analog insulin detemir produces additive glucose-lowering effects in patients with type 2 diabetes without affecting pharmacokinetic profiles of either drug.\textsuperscript{21} Data from phase 3 studies have shown the efficacy of combination therapy with liraglutide + insulin \textsuperscript{22\textendash}24. One trial showed the superiority of adding insulin detemir to liraglutide over liraglutide alone (all with metformin) in reducing HbA\textsubscript{1c} (treatment difference at 1 year [%; mmol/mol] −0.51; −6 [95% CI −0.70 to −0.31; −8 to −3])\textsuperscript{22,23}. Another trial showed that the addition of liraglutide to insulin degludec and metformin decreased HbA\textsubscript{1c} by 0.74% (8 mmol/mol) over 26 weeks, a significantly greater change than with the addition of bolus insulin aspart (treatment difference [%; mmol/mol] −0.32; −4 [95% CI −0.53 to −0.12; −6 to −1])\textsuperscript{24}. In another trial, the addition of liraglutide versus placebo in patients uncontrolled on basal insulin ± metformin resulted in superior HbA\textsubscript{1c} reductions (treatment difference [%; mmol/mol] −1.19; −13 [95% CI −1.39 to −0.99; −15 to −11])\textsuperscript{25}. In these studies, the combination also had positive effects on bodyweight and/or hypoglycemia, and was associated with reduced insulin dose requirements.\textsuperscript{26\textendash}28

Until now, no trial has examined the efficacy/safety of liraglutide combined with pretrial insulin therapy in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. This trial was designed to confirm the superiority of adding liraglutide (0.9 mg/day) to fixed-dose insulin versus continuing on fixed-dose insulin monotherapy, with respect to glycemic control, after 16 weeks in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Trial Design and Interventions**

The present 36-week, randomized, multicenter, double-blind, parallel-group trial investigated the efficacy and safety of liraglutide combined with insulin versus insulin monotherapy in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. It was carried out at 23 sites in Japan from April 2012 to March 2013.

Patients were randomized 1:1 to liraglutide or placebo, using an Interactive Voice-/Web-Responsive Service. Trial treatment was given according to approved dosage/administration of liraglutide in Japan. Patients subcutaneously self-injected once-daily at approximately the same time each day. The starting liraglutide dose was 0.3 mg/day, then 0.6 mg/day after 1 week and 0.9 mg/day after a further week. The dose was maintained until study completion.

Patients continued pretrial insulin therapy. Until week 16, insulin dosage could not be changed, except when unacceptable hypoglycemia or adverse events (AEs) occurred. During the subsequent 20 weeks, insulin titration was allowed based on self-monitored plasma glucose (SMPG) values and a predefined algorithm: SMPG values were converted from self-monitored blood glucose. At randomization, patients were stratified according to pretrial insulin type.

**Participants**

The participants were male or female, aged ≥20 years, with type 2 diabetes for ≥6 months, HbA\textsubscript{1c} 7.5–11.0% (59–97 mmol/l; inclusive) and BMI <45.0 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}. All patients received stable insulin therapy in addition to diet and exercise for ≥12 weeks before screening. ‘Insulin therapy’ was defined as basal insulin, premixed insulin or basal–bolus regimen. The insulin dose was required to be stable (daily fluctuation ±20%) for ≥12 weeks before screening and current dose ≥10 (I)U/day. Exclusion criteria are listed in Doc S1.

Informed consent was obtained before trial-related activities. The protocol was reviewed by the Japanese authority according to local regulations, and reviewed and approved by institutional review boards at each site. The trial is registered with clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01572740) and Japanese Clinical Trials Registry (JapicCTI-121802), and carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and International Conference on Harmonisation Good Clinical Practice.

**End-Points and Assessments**

The primary end-point was HbA\textsubscript{1c} change from baseline after 16 weeks. Secondary efficacy end-points (assessed at 16 and 36 weeks unless stated) included: change from baseline in HbA\textsubscript{1c} (36 weeks); fasting plasma glucose (FPG); patients achieving HbA\textsubscript{1c} <7.0% (<53 mmol/mol) and ≤6.5% (<48 mmol/mol); patients achieving HbA\textsubscript{1c} <7.0 and ≤6.5%
without weight gain; patients achieving HbA1c <7.0 and ≤6.5% with no severe/minor hypoglycemia during the last 4 weeks; change from baseline in insulin dose (36 weeks) and body-weight; and seven-point SMPG profiles.

Secondary safety end-points assessed over 36 weeks included the number of AEs and hypoglycemic episodes, and change from baseline in blood pressure and pulse. The nature and severity of AEs were recorded. Treatment-emergent AEs were defined as those with onset on/after first day of treatment exposure and no later than 7 days after the last day of treatment.

Hypoglycemia was classified by American Diabetes Association definitions (severe; documented symptomatic; asymptomatic; probable symptomatic; relative) and the additional ‘minor’ category (defined as an episode with symptoms consistent with hypoglycemia, confirmed by plasma glucose <56 mg/dL [3.1 mmol/L] or full blood glucose <50 mg/dL [2.8 mmol/L], and handled by the patients themselves; or any asymptomatic plasma glucose value <56 mg/dL [3.1 mmol/L] or full blood glucose value <50 mg/dL [2.8 mmol/L]). The pool of severe/minor episodes was referred to as ‘confirmed’ hypoglycemic episodes.

### Statistical Analysis

Sample size was determined to show the superiority of liraglutide combined with fixed-dose insulin therapy versus fixed-dose insulin monotherapy, regarding change from baseline in HbA1c to 16 weeks, using a significance level of 5% and a two-sided test. A mean difference in HbA1c change of 0.4% with liraglutide + insulin versus insulin monotherapy (standard deviation 1.0%) was assumed. Using this assumption, sample size was set to 114/arm to achieve 85% power. Assuming a 10% dropout rate, the randomization target was 254 participants.

Full analysis set included randomized patients receiving at least one dose of the trial product. Statistical evaluation of the full analysis set followed the intention-to-treat principle, and participants contributed ‘as randomized.’ Safety analysis set included participants receiving at least one dose, and participants contributed ‘as treated.’ All randomized participants were included in the full analysis set and safety analysis set. A last observation carried forward approach was used for participants with at least one valid post-baseline measurement.

Statistical tests used a significance level of 5% and two-sided tests; estimates are reported with 95% CI. Baseline demographics and observed end-of-treatment values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

The primary end-point was analyzed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with treatment and pretrial insulin therapy as fixed effects and baseline HbA1c as the covariate. Superiority of liraglutide combined with fixed-dose insulin versus fixed-dose insulin monotherapy at week 16 was confirmed if the P-value for comparison was <5% and the estimated difference favored liraglutide.

Secondary efficacy end-points (except for participants achieving HbA1c targets) were analyzed using a model similar to that used for the primary end-point, where baseline HbA1c value was replaced by the corresponding baseline values. Owing to the skewed distribution of insulin dose, a post-hoc analysis was carried out; the log-transformed actual daily dose after 36 weeks was analyzed using ANCOVA with treatment and pretrial insulin at screening as fixed effects, and the log-transformed baseline dose as the covariate. Participants achieving target HbA1c, and participants achieving the target with no severe/minor hypoglycemia during the last 4 weeks after 16/36 weeks’ treatment were analyzed by logistic regression with treatment and pretrial insulin therapy as fixed factors, and baseline HbA1c as the covariate; the results include 95% CI for odds ratio (OR; liraglutide over placebo). For analysis of participants achieving target HbA1c without increased bodyweight, the baseline weight was included as the covariate.

Treatment-emergent AE data are shown as number/percent-age of participants with at least one event, and rate/100 patient-years of exposure (PYE). Treatment-emergent hypoglycemia was analyzed using negative binomial regression with a log-link function and logarithm of the time in which an episode was considered treatment-emergent as offset. The model included treatment and type of pretrial insulin as fixed factors.

### RESULTS

#### Demographics

In total, 296 participants were screened, 257 randomized and exposed to trial products, and 246 (95.7%) completed the trial (Figure 1). Two participants (one/group) withdrew as a result of AEs.

The proportions randomized to each treatment were similar regarding the type of pretrial insulin (approximately 2:2:1 basal/premix/basal-bolus, respectively). Baseline parameters were well balanced between groups (Table 1). The population was 56.0% men, mean age 60.5 years, mean diabetes duration 14.5 years, mean HbA1c 8.8% (73 mmol/mol), mean FPG 156 mg/dL (8.7 mmol/L) and mean BMI 25.6 kg/m². All participants were Japanese.

#### Efficacy

After 16 weeks of treatment with liraglutide or placebo added to insulin therapy, the observed mean (standard deviation) HbA1c levels (%; mmol/mol) were 7.1; 54 (0.9; 9.8) and 8.4; 68 (0.9; 9.8), respectively (last observation carried forward). The observed mean change from baseline in HbA1c (%; mmol/mol) was −1.73; −19 with liraglutide and −0.43; −5 with placebo. The mean estimated treatment difference (ETD) between groups (liraglutide minus placebo) was −1.30; −14 (95% CI −1.47 to −1.13; −16 to −12; P < 0.0001; Figure 2). Statistical significance of this difference was maintained to week 36 (mean ETD −0.81; −9; 95% CI −0.99 to −0.63; −11 to −7; P < 0.0001).

The estimated proportion of participants achieving HbA1c <7.0% (<53 mmol/mol) at week 16 was 52.83% with liraglutide and 2.17% with the placebo (estimated OR 50.57, 95% CI 16.59–154.16; P < 0.0001). At week 36, the estimated propor-
tions of participants achieving HbA1c <7.0% (<53 mmol/mol) were 58.04 and 6.04%, respectively (estimated OR 21.51, 95% CI 9.43–49.11; P < 0.0001). The proportion of participants achieving HbA1c ≤6.5% (<48 mmol/mol) was also significantly higher with liraglutide than the placebo at 16 and 36 weeks (P < 0.0001 for both; Table S1).

After 16 weeks, the estimated proportions of participants achieving HbA1c <7.0% (<53 mmol/mol) without weight gain in the liraglutide and placebo groups were 33.22 and 1.99%, respectively (estimated OR 24.51, 95% CI 8.08, 74.29; P < 0.0001); after 36 weeks, the proportions were 27.47 and 3.40%, respectively (estimated OR 10.75; 95% CI 4.20–27.53; P < 0.0001). The proportions achieving HbA1c ≤6.5% (<48 mmol/mol) without weight gain were also higher with liraglutide than the placebo (P = 0.0002 at week 16, and P = 0.0008 at 36 weeks; Table S1). The proportion of participants achieving HbA1c <7.0% (<53 mmol/mol) with no severe/minor hypoglycemia during the last 4 weeks was assessed after 16 and 36 weeks: the estimated proportions after 16 weeks with liraglutide and placebo

![Figure 1](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/jdi)
were 47.91 and 1.71%, respectively (estimated OR 52.80, 95% CI 15.37–181.38; \( P < 0.0001 \)); after 36 weeks, the proportions were 52.35 and 5.69%, respectively (estimated OR 18.22; 95% CI 8.10–41.02; \( P < 0.0001 \)). The proportion achieving HbA1c \( \leq 6.5\% \) (\( \leq 48 \) mmol/mol) without severe/minor hypoglycemia during the previous 4 weeks after 16/36 weeks was also higher with liraglutide than placebo (\( P < 0.0001 \); Table S1).

At week 16, significantly greater FPG reduction was observed with liraglutide (estimated change from baseline [mg/dL; mmol/L] –23.6; –1.3) versus placebo (–8.7; –0.5); mean ETD [mg/dL; mmol/L] was –14.9; –0.8 (95% CI –23.4 to –6.4; –1.3, –0.4; \( P = 0.0006 \)). By week 36, there was no significant between-group difference in FPG (estimated mean change [mg/dL; mmol/L] –27.9; –1.5 and –23.3; –1.3 with liraglutide and the placebo, respectively; ETD [mg/dL; mmol/L] –4.6; –0.3 [95% CI –12.5 to 3.3; –0.7, 0.2; \( P = 0.2511 \)]).

Figure S1 shows the mean seven-point SMPG profiles for liraglutide and the placebo at baseline, week 16 and 36. At week 16, significantly greater reductions in mean plasma glucose were observed with liraglutide than placebo (estimated mean change [mg/dL; mmol/L] –43.4; –2.4 and –9.6; –0.5, respectively; mean ETD [mg/dL; mmol/L] –33.8; –1.9 [95% CI –42.7 to –24.9; –2.4, –1.4; \( P < 0.0001 \)]. Statistical significance was maintained at week 36 (estimated mean change [mg/dL; mmol/L] –33.4; –1.0 and –29.9; –0.9, respectively; mean ETD [mg/dL; mmol/L] –27.7; –0.7 [95% CI –36.4 to –19.1; –1.6, –0.1; \( P = 0.0008 \)].

**Figure 2** | Effect of treatment on glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels. (a) Mean HbA1c by treatment week (full analysis set). Last observation carried forward imputed data. Error bars: ±standard error (mean). (b) Mean change from baseline in HbA1c at weeks 16 and 36. Analyzed using an analysis of covariance method. CI, confidence interval.
mmol/L) –47.7; –2.6 and –24.6; –1.4, respectively; mean ETD [mg/dL; mmol/L] –23.1; –1.3 [95% CI –31.2 to –14.9; –1.7, –0.8; P < 0.0001]). Estimated changes in mean prandial plasma glucose increment from baseline (mg/dL; mmol/L) were –24.1; –1.3 with liraglutide at week 16 and 36, and –10.9; –0.6 and –17.0; –0.9 with the placebo at weeks 16 and 36, respectively. ETD (mg/dL; mmol/L) in mean prandial plasma glucose increment from baseline to week 16 (liraglutide minus placebo) was –13.2; –0.7 (95% CI –21.7 to –4.8; –1.2, –0.3; P = 0.0023). By week 36, this difference was no longer significant (ETD [mg/dL; mmol/L] –7.1; –0.4 [95% CI –17.4 to 3.3; –1.0, 0.2; P = 0.1787]).

Mean bodyweight was stable in both groups: at weeks 16 and 36, the mean bodyweight change was –0.42 kg and +0.17 kg with liraglutide, and –0.28 kg and +0.52 kg with the placebo, respectively (estimated, relative to baseline). There was no significant difference in bodyweight change between groups at week 16 (ETD –0.14 kg [95% CI –0.54 to 0.25; P = 0.4806]) or week 36 (ETD –0.35 kg [95% CI –0.91 to 0.20; P = 0.2074]).

The observed mean actual daily insulin doses at baseline and week 36 were 30 and 36 U with liraglutide, and 29 and 40 U with the placebo (Figure S2). The observed mean changes in actual daily insulin dose at week 36 were 6.0 and 11.1 U with liraglutide, and 29 and 40 U with the placebo; small increases in median amylase and lipase values at baseline and 36 weeks were within normal limits (Table S2). Median amylase and lipase values at baseline and week 36 were < 80 and < 55 U/L, respectively.

| Treatment-emergent adverse events occurring with a frequency ≥5% | Liraglutide + insulin (n = 127) | Liraglutide placebo + insulin (n = 130) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                                                               | n (%)                           | No. events | Event rate per 100 PYE | n (%)                           | No. events | Event rate per 100 PYE |
| All AEs                                                       | 109 (85.8)                      | 379        | 449                    | 106 (81.5)                      | 302        | 350                    |
| Serious AEs                                                   | 6 (4.7)                         | 7          | 8                      | 4 (3.1)                         | 4          | 5                      |
| Severity                                                     |                                  |            |                        |                                  |            |                        |
| Severe                                                       | 4 (3.1)                         | 4          | 5                      | 1 (0.8)                         | 1          | 1                      |
| Moderate                                                     | 5 (3.9)                         | 7          | 8                      | 10 (7.7)                        | 12         | 14                     |
| Mild                                                         | 109 (85.8)                      | 368        | 436                    | 104 (80.0)                      | 289        | 335                    |
| Nasopharyngitis                                               | 55 (43.3)                       | 74         | 88                     | 40 (30.8)                       | 60         | 70                     |
| Gastroenteritis                                               | 4 (3.1)                         | 7          | 8                      | 7 (5.4)                         | 7          | 8                      |
| GI disorders                                                 |                                  |            |                        |                                  |            |                        |
| Nausea                                                       | 14 (11.0)                       | 16         | 19                     | 7 (5.4)                         | 9          | 10                     |
| Diarrhea                                                     | 15 (11.8)                       | 17         | 20                     | 4 (3.1)                         | 4          | 5                      |
| Constipation                                                 | 15 (11.8)                       | 16         | 19                     | 2 (1.5)                         | 2          | 2                      |
| Dyspepsia                                                    | 7 (5.5)                         | 9          | 11                     | 0 (0)                           | 0          | 0                      |
| Diabetic retinopathy                                         | 9 (7.1)                         | 9          | 11                     | 13 (10.0)                       | 16         | 19                     |
| Headache                                                    | 8 (6.3)                         | 10         | 12                     | 6 (4.6)                         | 6          | 7                      |
| Hypertension                                                 | 8 (6.3)                         | 8          | 9                      | 6 (4.6)                         | 6          | 7                      |
| Back pain                                                    | 6 (4.7)                         | 6          | 7                      | 7 (5.4)                         | 7          | 8                      |

AE, adverse event; GI, gastrointestinal; PYE, patient years of exposure.
The present trial met its primary end-point, showing the superiority of liraglutide plus insulin therapy over insulin alone regarding HbA1c reduction at week 16. The difference in HbA1c levels was sustained up to 36 weeks. This supports data from a recent international phase 3 trial, showing that the addition of liraglutide to patients inadequately controlled on basal insulin ± metformin decreased HbA1c by 1.3% (14 mmol/mol) after 26 weeks. It also aligns with another international phase 3 study, showing that the addition of liraglutide to insulin degludec and metformin decreased HbA1c by 0.74% (8 mmol/mol) over 26 weeks. In both studies, liraglutide was added to basal insulin, whereas the present study included patients on basal, premixed or basal–bolus regimens. HbA1c reductions in the present study were achieved with a lower liraglutide dose (0.9 mg/day) than the international studies (1.8 mg/day).

When treated with liraglutide as an add-on to existing insulin therapy in the present study, 58% of patients achieved HbA1c <7.0% (<53 mmol/mol) after 36 weeks, similar to findings from international studies. FPG and SMPG profiles at week 16 also suggest that combination therapy with liraglutide + insulin would be an effective option for treatment intensification.

A meta-analysis of studies examining GLP-1RAs (exenatide, liraglutide, lixisenatide) use as add-on to insulin suggested that combination therapy offers additional HbA1c lowering versus insulin alone.

In the present study, the insulin dose was fixed during the first 16 weeks, but could subsequently be adjusted. During the adjustment period, combination liraglutide + insulin was associated with significant reductions in insulin dose relative to insulin therapy alone. This effect was observed in previous studies.

Insulin initiation is often associated with dose-dependent weight gain and increased hypoglycemia risk. Many oral agents that might be combined with insulin, including sulfonylureas and thiazolidinediones, are associated with weight gain and hypoglycemia, whereas dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors and α-glucosidase inhibitors are weight-neutral, but have less pronounced glucose-lowering effects than other agents. By contrast, liraglutide 1.2 and 1.8 mg/day consistently showed beneficial effects on bodyweight in international phase 3 trials, with significant weight loss of up to 2.8 kg across the Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes (LEAD) 1-6 trials, whereas treatment with active comparators led to weight gains of 1.0–2.1 kg. Hence, weight loss associated with liraglutide could offset weight gain typically seen with insulin. In previous Japanese trials, liraglutide doses of 0.6 and 0.9 mg/day were associated with weight loss up to 0.9 kg. In the present study, the addition of liraglutide 0.9 mg/day to insulin provided superior glycemic control to insulin alone without weight gain or increased hypoglycemia incidence. No major changes in bodyweight were observed in either group, possibly because BMI at baseline (25.6 kg/m²) was not far above the normal range (18.5–24.9 kg/m²).

Adding liraglutide (0.9 mg/day) to insulin was generally well tolerated, and the safety profile was consistent with previous findings from international trials, including those carried out in Japan. Overall, the proportion of participants reporting AEs was similar between groups, although the rate of AEs was higher with liraglutide than the placebo. This difference was mainly driven by GI AEs, which are commonly reported during treatment with GLP-1RAs and occur predominantly during the first 4 weeks. All GI AEs with liraglutide were mild in severity, except for constipation (moderate). Stepwise dose escalation with liraglutide might help mitigate GI events.

The present study had certain limitations. The study lasted 36 weeks, therefore the durability of results beyond that time is unknown. There might be selection bias in patients volunteering for a study, particularly when involving injectables. Finally, data cannot be extrapolated to younger patients (18–19 years-of-age) who were excluded from the trial.

Overall, adding liraglutide to existing insulin therapy results in superior glycemic control compared with insulin alone in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes, and is generally well tolerated.
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