Influence of distance, area, and cultural context in active commuting: Continental and insular children
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Abstract

Commuting by walking or cycling is a way to increase physical activity levels. The objective of this article was to determine the modes of commuting to school and the distance and time of the way to school among children from Easter Island and from the mainland (Valparaíso), in Chile. A total of 666 children and adolescents aged 10 to 18 years old (208 from Easter Island and 458 from Valparaíso) participated and completed a valid questionnaire including data about age, gender, usual commuting mode to and from school, distance, and travel time. There are important differences in the mode of commuting between students of Valparaíso and Easter Island. Private transport is more commonly used in Valparaíso than in Easter Island (p < 0.001). Furthermore, it was observed that cycling and public transportation are not used as mode of commuting in Valparaíso and Easter Island respectively. Students from Easter Island, who travel more distance and during more time, are more active than students from Valparaíso (going 24.8% and 17.6%; from: 61% and 28.8% respectively). This situation is influenced by the geographic context of the island, the distances from home to school, and the type of commuting, which fosters the level of active commuting. On the other hand, the passive modes of commuting to school are higher in the mainland urban setting of Valparaíso. It is necessary to study the diverse contexts of the Easter Island population, but, for now, the rural setting of Easter Island seems to be associated with a greater level of active commuting to school.

Introduction

Active school transport can be defined as the type of commuting by which children or adolescents cover the distance between home and school using modes that do not involve motorized
vehicles, such as walking or cycling [1, 2]. On the other hand, passive commuting refers to the use of motorized vehicles as a mode of transport, such as by car, bus, metro, train, motorcycle, or others [3]. Where passive commuting is concerned, commuting by public transport (i.e. bus, metro or train) is more active than by private transport (i.e. car or motorcycle), because to reach the bus, metro or train stops, it commonly requires walking. Public transport can thus be considered a mixed mode of transport [4].

Active commuting to school provides an opportunity to increase levels of physical activity, and to improve the physical fitness and the health status of these students [5]. Children and adolescents that commute actively are able to increase the time dedicated to physical activity between 5–37 minutes/day [6]. More specifically, regarding its benefits, Andersen [7] observed that children aged 9.7 ± 0.5 years old that commuted actively presented lower levels of body fat and a lower likelihood of acquiring cardiac diseases. Recently, Ramírez-Vélez [8], showed that cycling to school regularly may be associated with better physical fitness and a lower incidence of metabolic syndrome than passive transport, especially in girls. Likewise, active commuting has been associated with a better cognitive performance in adolescents aged 13–18.5 years old [9] and a reduction in stress in students aged 10–14 years old during school hours [10].

In spite of these benefits, the frequency of active commuting to school has drastically decreased in the last thirty years in countries like the United States [11], United Kingdom [12], Canada [13], Australia [14], New Zealand [15], and Spain [16]. Previous data from South America point out that Mexican teenagers (10–14 years old) walked to school at a rate of 68%, and a 2% cycled to school [17], while Colombian children (10.5 ± 0.6 years old) and Brazilian children (10.5 ± 0.5 years old) showed active commuting rates (walking or by bike) of 71.5% and 40% respectively [18]. A lower rate of active commuting was found in Ecuador, where a 14.2% of children and a 20% of adolescents were active [19]. In Chile, among the rural adolescents (12–13 years old), around a 22.9% and a 28.5% of them commuted actively to and from school respectively [20], while only an 11.0% of children (10.6 years old) and a 24.8% of adolescents (13.9 years old) from urban areas walked to school [21]. The behavior of active commuting is very contextual-specific because of the diversity of cultural and geographic factors.

Previous studies have proven that the main barrier for commuting actively to school is the distance [22, 23]. In Europe, it has been observed that Belgian children aged 11–12 years old commute 1.5 km walking, while teenagers aged 17–18 years old commute walking up to 2 km [24, 25]. Commuting thresholds have also been established in British students. At the age of 10, the threshold distance to walk to school is 1.4 km, increasing up to 1.6 km in children aged 11 years and 3 km in adolescents aged 14 years [26]. In Spain, the threshold distance to walk to school was 0.88km for elementary school children (7–12 years old) and 1.35 km for high school adolescents (13–18 years old) [27]. It is an overall issue that the farther children live from school, the less likely it is for them to commute actively. However, the walkable distance from home to school seems to be another contextual-specific issue, regarding the urban planning and the cultural perception of a walkable distance. For example, the proportion of active commuting to school within a distance of 3 km is 15% in the United States [28], whereas in Finland it is 75% for the same distance [29].

Likewise, the ethnic origin of the participants may present differences in the modes of commuting, as well as in the level of physical activity of students [30]. Chile possesses ethnic and cultural differences. For instance, Easter Island (Rapa Nui) has particular characteristics because it is far away from the mainland (3700 km), the natural environment based on rural areas, and its cultural origins from Polynesia (Maori origin), with different customs and a folklore based on dances and ancestral competitions. Traditionally, both physical activity and healthy living have been naturally promoted [31]. Research in physical activity on the island is
scarce, however, scientific evidence has indicated a low incidence of obesity (24%) and more children with normal weight (56%) in relation to the current national average of childhood obesity and normal weight in mainland (25.3% and 41.8% respectively). Additionally, they practice more hours of physical education per week than their counterparts in the mainland, which is common among the Rapa Nui population [32]. These results suggest that currently there may be differences between children and adolescents on Easter Island and on the mainland regarding healthy lifestyles, such as the mode of commuting to school.

Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine and compare the modes of commuting to and from school among children from Easter Island (island territory) and Valparaíso (mainland territory) in Chile.

Materials and methods

Participants and design

A total of 666 Chilean students (children aged 9–11 years old and adolescents aged 12–18 years old) who agreed to participate in this study. From the total, 208 came from 2 different schools in the province of Easter Island (122 children and 86 adolescents, of a total universe of 667 schoolchildren), and 458 came from 3 different schools in Valparaíso (176 children and 282 adolescents, of a total universe of 1,500 schoolchildren). This was a cross-sectional study with a non-probability sample of volunteer students.

Instruments and procedure

The questionnaire used was the Chilean version of the previous valid Spanish questionnaire designed for measuring the modes and frequency of commuting to and from school [33] (http://profith.ugr.es/paco). Two questions were asked about the usual mode of commuting to and from school. Each question provided the following answers: walk, cycle, car, motorcycle, school bus, public bus, metro/train, or other (in this case, the mode was required). In addition, the modes were classified to build two variables: Active (walk, cycle) vs Passive (others mode, no walk or cycle); and Active (walk, cycle) vs Private transport (car, motorcycle, school bus) vs Public transport (public bus, metro/train).

Moreover, questions were asked regarding age, gender, distance, and time from home to school. Distances were measured in kilometers, and they were divided into 5 categories (0–0.5 km, 0.5–1 km, 1–2 km, 2–3 km, 3–5 km, and >5 km). Time was categorized into 0–15 minutes, 16–30 minutes, 31–60 minutes, and >60 minutes. A test-retest reliability analysis of questions about the mode of commuting to school, distance, and time in the Chilean version was done with Kappa statistic values (2 questionnaires were completed separated by 7 days). The mode of commuting to and from school shows a high reliability (Kappa > 0.85), whereas commuting distance shows a moderate reliability (Kappa > 0.69).

The participants had between 15 and 50 minutes to complete this self-reported questionnaire in classroom. They were accompanied and helped by the researchers and the Physical Education teacher. The questionnaires were completed by students in grades 5–8 in Elementary and Middle School (children aged 9–11 years old) and 9–11 in High School (adolescents aged 12–18 years old). The information collected from children and adolescents was provided voluntarily and with written consent and signed by the parents, who were informed about the types of the questions, as well as the objectives and the confidentiality of the results. Therefore, all participants gave informed consent for participating in the study, following the rules and approved by Ethics Committee of the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso (code: CCF02052017) and following ethical standards that were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki 2004.
Statistical analysis

A descriptive statistical analysis was performed by obtaining means and standard deviations for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables, according to sex and age group. Chi-square analyses were performed to determine the differences between the students of Valparaiso and Easter Island for the two mode of commuting variables created: Passive vs Active, and Passive vs Public transportation vs Private transportation.

To perform the statistical analyses, we used the software IBM SPSS Statistics version 21, establishing a level of trust of 95% and a statistical significance of p<0.05.

Results

Table 1 shows the descriptive data of the participants regarding the place of origin in Chile (i.e., Easter Island vs. Valparaiso). Concerning the distance from home to school, 70% of the students from Easter Island and 52% from Valparaiso commuted a distance between 0 to 3 km. In relation to the time spent commuting from home to school, 70% of the students from Easter Island and 39% from Valparaiso took less than 15 minutes to commute from home to school.

Table 2 specifies the mode of commuting of students from Easter Island and Valparaiso. On the way to school, commuting by car is prevalent in both territories, but it is significantly higher on Easter Island (p = 0.001). In Easter Island, public transportation such as public bus, school bus, metro/train is non-existent (0%). However, commuting by bicycle is ten times higher in Easter Island than in Valparaiso (10% and 0% respectively), since cycling for commuting is null in the mainland. From school to home, walking values of Easter Island double those of Valparaiso (51.2% and 24.8%).

Fig 1 shows the modes of commuting regarding the categories of Active, Private, and Public transport. There is a higher prevalence of active commuting to and from school in students

| Sociodemographic factors, % (n) | Overall n (%) (n = 666) | Valparaiso n (%) (n = 458) | Easter Island n (%) (n = 208) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Gender                          |                         |                          |                             |
| Male                            | 347 (52.1)              | 231 (50.4)               | 116 (55.8)                  |
| Female                          | 319 (47.9)              | 227 (49.6)               | 92 (44.2)                   |
| Age groups                      |                         |                          |                             |
| Children (9–11 y)               | 298 (44.7)              | 176 (38.4)               | 122 (58.4)                  |
| Adolescents (12–18 y)           | 369 (55.3)              | 282 (61.6)               | 87 (41.6)                   |
| Distance to school groups, % (n) |                         |                          |                             |
| <0.5 km                         | 88 (13.9)               | 63 (14.9)                | 25 (12.0)                   |
| 0.5–1 km                        | 94 (14.9)               | 60 (14.2)                | 34 (16.3)                   |
| 1–2 km                          | 101 (16.0)              | 58 (13.7)                | 43 (20.6)                   |
| 2–3 km                          | 81 (12.8)               | 37 (8.7)                 | 44 (21.1)                   |
| 3–5 km                          | 79 (12.5)               | 49 (11.6)                | 30 (14.4)                   |
| >5 km                           | 189 (29.9)              | 156 (36.9)               | 33 (15.8)                   |
| Commuting Time, % (n)           |                         |                          |                             |
| <15 min                         | 326 (48.6)              | 180 (39.3)               | 146 (69.9)                  |
| 15–30 min                       | 210 (31.3)              | 161 (35.2)               | 49 (23.4)                   |
| 30–60 min                       | 74 (11.0)               | 64 (14.0)                | 10 (4.8)                    |
| >60 min                         | 21 (3.1)                | 17 (3.7)                 | 4 (1.9)                     |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213159.t001
from Easter Island compared to students from Valparaíso (p<0.001). In relation to private commuting to and from school, the values are higher in students from Valparaíso compared to students from Easter Island (p<0.001). There was no use of public transport in Easter Island.

Comparing active children and adolescents in relation to the distance traveled (Fig 2), data show that when the distance commuted is <2 km there is a high percentage of who commute actively (76.1%). In contrast, the distance range of >2 km presents a greater value of children who commute passively (63.6%).

Discussion

In the current study, we observed that children and adolescents from Easter Island walked and cycled more, being more active than their counterparts of Valparaíso. In Easter Island, the students cover minor distance and spend less time for commuting than those of Valparaíso. However, cars are the main mode of commuting in both groups.

Prevalence of active commuting to school in rural and urban areas

A variety of studies exist that have focused on analyzing the levels of active commuting to school among children and adolescents on a worldwide level [17–29]. These studies show a greater level of active commuting in adolescents than in children, being higher on the way to home from school, which agree with the results obtained in the present study. Despite the fact that these similarities were observed among the students of Valparaíso and Easter Island, there are marked differences in the commuting modes, which make the students of Easter Island more active. In this respect, the population projections made by the National Institute of Statistics of Chile (INE) point out that the population in Valparaíso reached 295,927 residents in 2017 (density: 94.1 inhabitants/km²), who 0.3% are in rural areas and 99.7% in urban areas (16,396 km² of surface), whereas Easter Island only reached 7,750 (density: 47.3 inhabitants/km²) [34], who 15.7% belong to rural areas and 84.7% to urban areas (163.3 km² of surface). This fact allows an urban and rural context to be distinguished. A North American study suggests that children from rural areas are more likely to be active commuters than urban children [35]. On the other hand, when comparing children from urban and rural areas from Brazil, data shows that children from urban areas present higher levels of active commuting to school than children from rural areas [36].
Additionally, children who live in rural areas may have more opportunities for active play or active commuting and more limited access to technologies such as the Internet [36, 37], which is the case of Easter Island, where there is no cable television and only three national channels are broadcast. Likewise, students from urban areas have started to increase their sedentary behavior, which can be associated with the increase in time spent in front of screens [38]. This behavior can be defined as the total amount of time spent watching television, using computers or playing video games, a phenomenon that is very common among young people [39]. These behaviors, especially the time spent watching television, has also been associated with a higher risk in children of being overweight or obese [40, 41, 42].

An important result is that no participant used the bike to get to school in Valparaíso. In addition, one must consider the geographical context of the region of Valparaíso, that has
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important inconveniences such as long distances from home to school and a geography with differences between the hills and the coast. Moreover, the scarce availability of bicycle paths (only 2 km), which disfavours this mode of transport [21]. For other hand, the urban area of Easter Island that is flat and less cars circulate, which can be associated with the highest use of the bicycle (around 10%).

A study performed in California suggests that the independence that students gain as they grow up in rural areas, combined with the increase in the use of public transport to get to school provides, in turn, an opportunity to increase the level of physical activity of this population [43]. In urban students from Valparaiso, the use of public transportation when returning from school increased significantly (p < 0.05). Nevertheless, the fact that this type of commuting mode almost does not exist in Easter Island (only taxi) due to the small area of the island and the concentration of people in the urban area that does not exceed 7 km$^2$. This could influence the significant increase in active commuting (i.e. walking or cycling) in both going to school and going back from school, especially if the commuting distances are smaller, unlike Valparaiso with almost 50 km$^2$ of urban area, which strongly impacts the distances that must be traveled (Fig 3), decreasing the options of active commuting.

**Distance of active commuting to school**

The distance covered for commuting to school in Easter Island is lower (barely 15% of students cover more than 5 km) than in Valparaiso (33.3% of students commute more than 5 km). The vast distances between the home of each student and their school in the Chilean urban setting are the main limitation in promoting more active commuting to school behaviours.

In urban areas in India, 90% of students between the ages of 11 and 14 years old live less than 5 km from their school, and 36% live less than 1 km. Greater distances to school were strongly associated with the use of passive modes of transport. Children that lived close to their school were much more likely to walk (56%) or go by bicycle (6%) [44]. An Australian study affirms that the greater the distance between the home and school was, the lower the percentage of students that
Commuting actively every day was [45]. Furthermore, students that lived less than 0.75 km from school were more active than those who lived farther than 0.75 km. Another Spanish study in a rural setting defined a distance of 0.8 km as the walking cut-off point for children [46]. Furthermore, other researchers have established differences between the cut-off distance for children and adolescents, from 0.88 km to 1.35 km respectively [47]. All these results have indicated that long distances from home to school could be a major limitation in promoting the daily active commuting of the student population to and from school, which can also be observed in our results. Long distances to school imply a low likelihood of adopting active transport practices [43], and distances of approximately 2 km are associated with the best physical activity related to active commuting [47].

Given that the schools are far away from residential areas, the adoption of policies to reduce distances and promote active commuting would reduce the gap between European and Chilean students. Smaller distances to school are associated with the enrollment of students in the closest school. This makes it necessary for policies to incentivize enrollment in the nearest school, which could increase the level of active transport and contribute to tackling the impacts that come from physical inactivity among young people.
**Cultural context**

The part of the population on Easter Island of Maori origin is one of the few cultures that keeps its original culture intact and maintains the same customs and lifestyle. Other Maori communities, such as the one found in New Zealand has become urbanized enough to the point that almost no difference can be found between their active commuting behavior and that of the European New Zealand population. The significance is barely $p = 0.12$ with an Odds Ratio of hardly 1.55 between both ethnicities [48], including 2.3% less time in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity than the European New Zealand population [49]. In addition to this, a greater proportion of Maori lives in disadvantaged geographic areas, with lower family incomes and with a formal education that is inferior to that of European countries. This dynamic, in turn, decreases their level of physical activity and increases levels of childhood obesity [50]. This evidence contrasts with the commuting mode on Easter Island, and that is not related to the level of income, because the level of poverty on the island reaches 8%, while in Valparaiso is 15.4% [51]. Another study determined the differences in median income, being $NZ14,800 for Maori compared with $NZ19,800 for European New Zealanders. Despite disparities in income level, the relatively high physical activity level (69% perform $>150$ min/week), is likely to be related to other social factors [52], that have been specific to culture (horticultural, hunters and fished for food).

Children with parents who perceive their neighbourhood as more connected, and are located closer to school, engaged in higher levels of independent mobility in NZ European, Maori and Samoan population, unlike other contexts, where “traffic danger” was the most common reason for concern [53]. The cohesion of the inhabitants of Easter Island, allows for a better sense of security, due to the proximity of schools, the small urban area and low number of cars. These elements are key, allowing Easter Island to be considered as an example for other communities by the urban organization and adequate environment that favors the active commuting to school.

**Limitations and strengths**

This study has limitations such as the low number of total participants, the low heterogeneity of Chilean cities included in the sample, and the distance data that was self-reported. In addition, the statistical analysis performed do not allow to include variables as confounders; for future researching, more variables identifying the two samples should be included to perform more complex statistical regression models [54]. The main strength of the current study is the description of the modes of commuting in Chilean children and adolescents in one of the most important cities, especially on Easter Island. These findings contribute to the particular knowledge of this region of South America and Maori culture. In addition, the reliability of the questionnaire used in the study is also a strength, since it reduces the error in the answers of the students.

**Conclusions**

There are important differences in the mode of commuting between students from the urban areas of Valparaiso compared with students from Easter Island, who present higher values of active modes of commuting (i.e. walking and cycling). This situation is influenced by the cultural context of the island, the distances from home to school, and the type of commuting, which fosters the level of active commuting. On the other hand, the passive modes of commuting to school are higher in the mainland urban setting of Valparaiso. Consequently, the rural setting of Easter Island seems to promote a greater level of active commuting to school.
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