Strongly correlated one-dimensional magnetic behavior of NiTa$_2$O$_6$
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The magnetic properties of NiTa$_2$O$_6$ were investigated by magnetic susceptibility, specific heat, electron paramagnetic resonance, neutron powder diffraction, and pulse field magnetization measurements. Accompanying ab initio DFT calculations of the spin-exchange constants complemented and supported our experimental findings that NiTa$_2$O$_6$ must be described as a quasi-1D Heisenberg $S = 1$ spin chain system with a nearest-neighbor only antiferromagnetic spin-exchange interaction of 18.92(2) K. Interchain coupling is by about two orders of magnitude smaller. Electron paramagnetic resonance measurements on Mg$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$Ta$_2$O$_6$ ($x \approx 1\%$) polycrystalline samples enabled us to estimate the single-ion zero-field splitting of the $S = 1$ states which amounts to less than 4% of the nearest-neighbor spin-exchange interaction. At 0 T NiTa$_2$O$_6$ undergoes long-range antiferromagnetic ordering at 10.3(1) K evidenced by a $\lambda$-type anomaly in the specific heat capacity. On application of a magnetic field the specific heat anomaly is smeared out. We confirmed the magnetic structure by neutron powder diffraction measurements and at 2.00(1) K refined a magnetic moment of 1.93(5) $\mu_B$ per Ni$^{2+}$ ion. Additionally, we followed the magnetic order parameter as a function of temperature. Last, we found saturation of the magnetic moment at 55.5(5) T with a $g$ factor of 2.14(1), with an additional high field phase above 12.8(1) T. The onset of the new high field phase is not greatly affected by temperature, but rather smears out as one approaches the long-range ordering temperature.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The magnetic properties of one-dimensional (1D) spin-chain systems have attracted special attention because they may realize exotic ground states due to the interplay of charge, spin, and orbital degrees of freedom, giving rise to partly complex excitations, which are far from being fully understood [1–5]. In recent years we have identified and investigated the properties of new low-dimensional magnetic quantum antiferromagnets (AFM) which realize magnetic frustration along the chains due to a competition of nearest-neighbor (nn) and next-nearest-neighbor (nnn) spin-exchange interaction (SEI), the latter being mediated via two anions like, e.g., O$^{2-}$ or Cl$^-$ or Br$^-$ [6–13].

Low-dimensional Ni$^{2+}$ compounds lately have attracted special attention because they constitute $S = 1$ ($3d^8$ electronic configuration) systems. Ni$^{2+}$ linear chain compounds were found to be of particular interest because they can realize $S = 1$ Haldane systems with a gap in the excitation spectra [14–20].

NiTa$_2$O$_6$ is a chemically well-characterized material that crystallizes in the trirutile structure type, which derives from the well-known rutile type as a consequence of the chemical $\text{M}^2+ = \text{Ni}^{2+}$ substitution. NiTa$_2$O$_6$ is an octahedrally coordinated by oxygen atoms. The magnetic lattice consists of Ni$^{2+}$ ions occupying a body-centered tetragonal arrangement resulting in square-planar Ni layers stacked along the $c$ axis.

The magnetic properties of NiTa$_2$O$_6$ have been the subject of a number of studies, but a unanimous consensus, especially on the appropriate spin-exchange model, has not been reached until now [21–30]. Previous magnetization measurements have reported Curie-Weiss behavior with Curie-Weiss temperatures ranging from $-19.9$ K to $-50$ K, indicating a predominant AFM SEI [21,22,29]. Long-range AFM ordering was consistently reported to appear below 11 K [23,24,28,30]. The magnetic structure has been previously determined by powder neutron diffraction revealing a rather large magnetic unit cell [propagation vector $1/4, -1/4, 1/2$] with the magnetic moments being collinearly aligned parallel to [1 1 0] [25]. The ordered moment was refined to 1.6 $\mu_B$ and the difference to the expected moment of $g \times m_S \sim 2 \mu_B$ was attributed to incomplete ordering of the spins.

In a recent publication, Santos et al. described NiTa$_2$O$_6$ as a two-dimensional (2D) AFM system. Their analysis was based upon a fit of the high-temperature magnetic susceptibility considering data above the AFM short-range ordering maximum. Their model included SEIs along the edges of the squares and across the diagonal, given as $\mathcal{H} = -2J \sum_i S_i S_j$ and also included a single-ion anisotropy term $D$, where $D$ is defined as $\mathcal{H} = -D \sum_i S_i^2$ and is anisotropic $g$ factor. They found that both SEIs were roughly equal and AFM with a value of 3.4 K, $D$ was found to be 56.7 K and an average $g$ factor of 3.08 (where $g_{\parallel} = 3.51$ and $g_{\perp} = 2.03$) [30]. Such a large single-ion anisotropy implies that NiTa$_2$O$_6$ is close to an Ising-like system. However, the $g$ factors deviating so greatly from the free-electron $g$ factor, $g_e$, are unprecedented for Ni$^{2+}$ in an octahedral oxygen environment [31]. In addition, for
FIG. 1. (Color online) The crystal structure of NiTa$_2$O$_6$. Two unit cells are shown. The (cyan) large spheres represent Ni atoms, the (gray) medium spheres Ta atoms, and the small (red, green) spheres the O atoms. The Ni···O···O···Ni bonds are highlighted by (yellow, black) solid lines.

an Ising-like system, $g_{\perp}$ is expected to be close to zero. In contrast, our recent reanalysis of the magnetic susceptibility of NiTa$_2$O$_6$ using Padé approximation of quantum Monte Carlo calculations showed that a 1D $S = 1$ Heisenberg spin chain scenario is appropriate to describe the magnetism of NiTa$_2$O$_6$ [32]. In order to resolve this discrepancy we have carried out a complete reanalysis of the magnetic properties of NiTa$_2$O$_6$.

Our work is organized as follows. First, we describe the results of density functional (DFT) calculations performed in order to evaluate the spin-exchange constants appropriate for NiTa$_2$O$_6$. In a second part we report electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurements on Ni$^{2+}$ ions doped into the isostructural diamagnetic matrix in MgTa$_2$O$_6$ carried out so as to evaluate the single-ion properties, especially the zero-field splitting of the $S = 1$ manifold. We reanalyze the magnetic susceptibility and the temperature and magnetic field dependence of the heat capacity and propose a magnetic phase diagram. Finally, we redetermine the magnetic structure, which enables us to drive the ordered magnetic moment. Our analysis unequivocally proves that NiTa$_2$O$_6$ represents a $S = 1$ Heisenberg chain with AFM nn SEIs along the [110] direction.

II. DENSITY FUNCTIONAL CALCULATIONS OF THE SPIN EXCHANGE

In order to investigate the spin exchange of NiTa$_2$O$_6$, we consider the five spin-exchange paths defined in Fig. 2: $J_{a,b,c}$ are the SEIs along the respective axes from one corner to another, $J_d$ is the predominant interplane coupling, while $J_1$ is the predominant intraplane coupling. An intraplane SEI perpendicular to $J_1$ was neglected since previous Hückel-

FIG. 2. (Color online) The spin-exchange pathways used for the DFT calculations.

extended tight-binding calculations have indicated it to be small [33].

To determine the energies of the five SEIs, we examined the relative energies of the six ordered spin states depicted in Fig. 3 in terms of the Heisenberg spin

FIG. 3. Six ordered spin states constructed by using a (2a, 2b, 2c) supercell containing 16 FUs, where the solid and open circles represent up-spin and down-spin Ni$^{2+}$ sites, respectively. The five numbers in each set of parentheses, from left to right, are the coefficients $n_i, n_a, n_b, n_c$, and $n_d$ of Eq. (1), which determine the total SEI energy per FU, and the three numbers in each set of square brackets, from left to right, represent the relative energies (meV/FU) determined from the GGA $+ U$ calculations with $U_{\text{eff}} = 3, 4,$ and 5 eV, respectively.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(a) FM} & : \{-1, -1, -1, -1, -4\} \\
& : [7.36, 5.52, 4.18] \\
\text{(b) AF1} & : \{-2, -1, -1, +4\} \\
& : [6.91, 5.25, 3.99] \\
\text{(c) AF2} & : \{-1, -1, -1, +4\} \\
& : [6.91, 5.25, 3.99] \\
\text{(d) AF3} & : \{+1, -1, -1, -1, 0\} \\
& : [0, 0, 0] \\
\text{(e) AF4} & : \{-1, +1, +1, -1, 0\} \\
& : [0, 0, 0] \\
\text{(f) AF5} & : \{+1, +1, -1, -1, 0\} \\
& : [7.47, 5.66, 4.29]
\end{align*}
\]
ordered spin states, per formula unit (FU), can be expressed in

$$H = - \sum J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j,$$

where $J_{ij}$ is the exchange parameter for the coupling between spin sites $i$ and $j$. Then, by applying the energy expressions obtained for spin dimers with $N$ unpaired spins per spin site (see Fig. 3) [34,35], the total spin-exchange energies of the six ordered spin states, per formula unit (FU), can be expressed in the form

$$E_{FU} = (n_1 J_I + n_a J_a + n_b J_b + n_c J_c + n_d J_d)(N^2/4),$$

where $n_i$ ($i = 1, a, b, c, d$) refers to the coefficient of the spin exchange $J_i$. These coefficients for the six ordered states are summarized in Fig. 3. We determine the relative energies of the six ordered spin states of NiTa$_2$O$_6$ on the basis of DFT calculations using the Vienna ab initio simulation package, employing the projected augmented-wave method, the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for the exchange and correlation functional, with the plane-wave cutoff energy set to 400 eV, and a set of 30 $k$ points for the irreducible Brillouin zone [36–39]. To account for the strong electron correlation associated with the Ni $3d$ state, we performed GGA plus on-site repulsion (GGA + $U$) calculations with $U_{eff} = 3$, 4, and 5 eV for Ni [40]. The relative energies of the six ordered spin states obtained from our GGA + $U$ calculations are summarized in Fig. 3. Then, by mapping these relative energies onto the corresponding relative energies from the total spin-exchange energies, Eq. (2) [41–45], we obtain the values of the spin-exchange parameters as summarized in Table I.

$J_1$ is the dominant SEI (Table I) exceeding the other SEI by two orders of magnitude, which supports our experimental findings (see below) that NiTa$_2$O$_6$ constitutes a nn $S = 1$ spin chain with AFM nn SEI.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL

#### A. Sample preparation

Powder samples of NiTa$_2$O$_6$ and Mg$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$Ta$_2$O$_6$ ($x = 0.001$ and 0.01) were prepared, in accordance with Takano et al. by mixing NiO or MgO and Ta$_2$O$_5$ (Alfa Aesar, all materials Puratronic) in stoichiometric quantities and heating to 1300 °C for 48 h [22]. Multiple regrindings and repetition of the annealing process and x-ray powder diffraction was performed and additional starting materials were added, if needed, until phase purity was reached.

#### B. Magnetic susceptibility, magnetization, and specific heat

Magnetic susceptibility of a 145-mg powder sample of NiTa$_2$O$_6$ was measured with a SQUID magnetometer (MPMS XL, Quantum Design). Pulse field isothermal magnetization up to $\sim$60 T was measured at the Hochfeld-Magnetlabor Dresden, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Germany, on a 35.4-mg sample, using compensated coils [46]. In order to determine the scale factor for the pulse field results magnetization up to 14 T of the identical sample were also determined using the VSM option of a physical property measurement system (PPMS) (Quantum Design).

The specific heat was measured on a 76-mg pelleted sample using a PPMS at various fields between 0 and 14 T.

#### C. Electron paramagnetic resonance

EPR spectra were collected at $\sim$9.4 GHz with a Bruker ER 040XK X-band spectrometer in an ER73 electromagnet controlled by a B-H-015 field controller which was calibrated against the resonance of 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH).

### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Zero-field splitting

A dominant feature of the magnetism of Ni$^{2+}$ in a nearly octahedral environment is the zero-field splitting of the ground spin triplet state ($t^2$, $S = 1$). In order to determine the magnitude of the single-ion zero-field splitting of the Ni$^{2+}$ ions in NiTa$_2$O$_6$ we measured the EPR of highly diluted Ni$^{2+}$ entities in the diamagnetic matrix MgTa$_2$O$_6$. MgTa$_2$O$_6$ is isostructural with NiTa$_2$O$_6$ with lattice parameters $a = 4.7189(7)$ Å and $c = 9.2003(22)$ Å, only slightly different from those of NiTa$_2$O$_6$ [$a = 4.7219(11)$ Å and $c = 9.150(5)$ Å], and with nearly identical position parameters of the oxygen atoms within error bars [47,48].

Figure 4 displays an EPR spectrum of Mg$_{0.999}$Ni$_{0.001}$Ta$_2$O$_6$ collected at 200 K. It exhibits two intensive resonance lines at $g$ factors of 2.21 (resonance field 0.3068 T) and 2.00 (resonance...
field 0.3390 T and two less intensive satellites at 0.1002 T (B’) and 0.1303 T (A’). On the high field side a very weak resonance at 0.4752 T (A”) becomes visible on magnification. The latter two satellites have the same distance, \( A = 0.173 \) T, from the resonance at 2.21, while a satellite, B’ at high fields, symmetric to B’ at the low-field side, could not be detected. The satellites A’, A”, and B’ have similarly been seen in a sample of \( \mathrm{Mg}_{0.99}\mathrm{Ni}_{0.01}\mathrm{Ta}_2\mathrm{O}_6 \). The linewidth of the g = 2.21 line is considerably larger and the narrow g = 2.0 resonance line is hidden by the broad g = 2.21 line. Q-band measurements taken at \( \sim 34 \) GHz show similar spectra, however, shifted to higher fields corresponding to the larger microwave frequency.

The EPR spectra of \( \mathrm{Mg}_{1-x}\mathrm{Ni}_x\mathrm{Ta}_2\mathrm{O}_6 \), particularly shape and position of the satellites with respect to a central resonance line at \( g = 2.21 \), are reminiscent of the EPR spectra of randomly oriented triplet systems with zero-field splitting of the threefold degenerate state due to a crystal field of symmetry lower than axial.

The spin Hamiltonian, \( \mathcal{H} \) with the \( z \) axis chosen as the unique axis of the crystal field invoking an axial and a rhombic crystal field is given by

\[
\mathcal{H} = H_{2\alpha} + D[\vec{S}_z^2 - \frac{1}{3} S(S+1)] + E(S_z^2 + S_y^2),
\]

where \( D \) and \( E \) are the axial and rhombic crystal field parameters, respectively. They typically amount to a few Kelvin, with \( E \) smaller than \( D \) [31].

Wasserman et al. have calculated the EPR spectra of the triplet states of randomly oriented molecules and found three satellites symmetrically placed on the high- and low-field side with respect to the center resonance field. In the microwave absorption derivative the four outmost satellites have a positive amplitude while the two inner satellites have the shape of standard derivatives of EPR resonance lines with a positive and negative amplitude, indicating a peak in the direct EPR powder spectrum while the outer four satellites result from sharp edges in the EPR powder spectrum [49]. The four outmost satellites have a distance of \( |D| \) and \( D + 3E/2 \) with respect to the center, while the two inner satellites are by \( D - E/3 \) displaced from the center resonance field [50].

Applying this scenario to the EPR spectra of \( \mathrm{Ni}^{2+} \) in \( \mathrm{MgTa}_2\mathrm{O}_6 \) we can identify the two satellites (A’ and B’) at the low field side of the g = 2.21 resonance line with the two innermost satellites of a random \( S = 1 \) triplet. With this assignment and the relations given above we obtain for \( D \) and \( E \) at 200 K,

\[
D = \pm 0.5025(50) \text{ T}, \\
E = \mp 0.031(1) \text{ T}.
\]

\( D \) and \( E \) have opposite signs and differ in magnitude by a factor of \( \sim 16 \). With a \( g \) factor of \( g = 2.2 \) these values correspond to, \( D = \pm 0.539 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and \( E = \mp 0.014 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). The large \( D \) value shifts the outmost satellite at the low field side out of our accessible field range, thus making it possible to understand why only two satellites can be detected. A clear assignment of the signs requires measurements at low temperature \( (h\nu \approx k_B T) \).

The measured values of \( D, E \) and the \( g \) factor are in a range typically found for \( \mathrm{Ni}^{2+} \) in a slightly distorted octahedral environment and a lot more reasonable than what was concluded by Santos et al. [30,31].

Highly resolved temperature-dependent measurements of the low-field part of the spectrum reveal small linear changes of the resonance positions of the resonance lines A’ and B’ (see Fig. 5), which indicates a marginal temperature dependence of the crystal field parameters \( D (\approx 3\%) \) and \( E (\approx 1.5\%) \). This can be understood as due to thermal contraction of the lattice.

It is unexpected that only the low-field part of the spectrum can be detected, the high-field part being absent likewise in the \( X \)-band and \( Q \)-band spectra. The reason for this absence is not fully understood and cannot be attributed to straightforward transition-probability considerations [51].

The two rather strong resonance lines at \( g = 2.21 \) and \( g = 2 \) are not expected within the scope of the EPR of a randomly oriented triplet. At present, we therefore tentatively assign them to magnetic defects (\( g = 2 \)) and/or small traces of magnetic impurities, e.g., other transition metals.

**V. SHORT-RANGE CORRELATION AND LONG-RANGE ORDERING**

Within the temperature and field range that was measured, no field dependence of the magnetic susceptibility was observed. This indicates that the as-prepared sample is rather clean with few ferromagnetic impurities. The high-temperature (\( \geq 150 \) K) magnetic susceptibility of \( \mathrm{NiTa}_2\mathrm{O}_6 \) can be explained by a Curie-Weiss behavior with a \( g \) factor = 2.16(1), a \( \theta_{\text{CW}} = -32(1) \) K, and a temperature-independent background \( \chi_0 = +83(3) \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol} \) (taken from the low-temperature fits; see below); see Fig. 6. These values are in agreement with previous findings (see above) and within the expected range for \( \mathrm{Ni}^{2+} \).
The low-temperature magnetic susceptibility is dominated by a broad maximum, centered at \( \approx 24.5 \) K, which is indicative of low-dimensional AFM short-range ordering preceding the onset of long-range AFM ordering observed below \( \approx 11 \) K. Using the Padé approximation for a \( S = 1 \) Heisenberg chain with nn SEIs, put forth by us previously, we can fit the broad maximum and subsequent high-temperature (\( \gtrapprox 11.5 \) K) magnetic susceptibility [32]. We added an additional term, \( \chi_0 \) (a constant background term), to account for both the diamagnetic (negative) contribution of the closed shells of all atoms and a van Vleck (positive) contribution of the \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) atoms. Upon fitting the temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility (see Fig. 6), a value of 2.140(2) was found for the \( g \) factor, which is reasonable for \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) in an octahedral crystal field coordination [31]. The constant background term was fitted to \( \chi_0 = +83(3) \times 10^{-6} \) cm\(^3\)/mol, which is acceptable since the diamagnetic term using Selwoods increments [52] should be equal to \(-112 \times 10^{-6} \) cm\(^3\)/mol, implying a van Vleck contribution of \( \approx 200 \times 10^{-6} \) cm\(^3\)/mol, which is reasonable for such an ion [53]. The nn SEI, \( J_{nn} \), converged to \(-18.92(2) \) K, which is in agreement with the Curie-Weiss temperature found from the high-temperature fit, since

\[
\theta_{CW} = \frac{S(S+1)}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i J_i,
\]

where \( \theta_{CW} \) is the Curie-Weiss temperature and \( z \) is given by the sum over the number of neighbors each ion has with the spin-exchange \( J_i \). If one considers only the nn-only SEIs a Curie-Weiss temperature of \( \approx -25.3 \) K is expected, the deviation from this value and the measured values can be attributed to the additional interchain SEI one finds in a quasi-1D system. Our fit is demonstrated in a semilog plot in order to highlight any deviations between the data and the model; when compared to the fit of Santos et al., it is clear that we fully capture, very well, the maximum whereas their model was only applied at temperatures above. At lower temperature (\( \approx 11 \) K) there is a change of behavior in the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility, indicative of the onset of long-range magnetic ordering. This is in agreement with other results presented herein and other results already published (see above).

The specific heat shows clear evidence of long-range magnetic ordering at 10.3(1) K as evidenced by a \( \lambda \)-type anomaly. With the application of a magnetic field the long-range ordering anomaly starts to smear out, but no clear evidence is seen for a shift in the onset; see Fig. 7. We additionally measured the heat capacities of MgTa\(_2\)O\(_6\) and used it as a reference for the lattice contributions to the heat capacity. In order to adjust for differences in the phonon spectrum of MgTa\(_2\)O\(_6\) and NiTa\(_2\)O\(_6\), the temperature axis of the specific heat of MgTa\(_2\)O\(_6\) was uniformly compressed (0.92) such that the specific heats of both compounds matched at sufficiently high temperatures (\( \gtrapprox 75 \) K). Then by integrating the difference, i.e., the magnetic contribution \( C_P/T \) versus \( T \) we can follow the magnetic entropy versus temperature. As can be seen in Fig. 7 approximately 73% of the total entropy of NiTa\(_2\)O\(_6\) is contained in the specific heat in short-range correlation above the long-range ordering temperature. This is in agreement with results already published [24]. A slight redistribution of the entropy is visible for the 14-T data.

We expect that a \( S = 1 \) system should contain a total magnetic entropy of \( R \ln(2S+1) = 9.13 \) J/mole K, but we only found 8.05 J/mole K. The missing entropy can be attributed to the lattice contribution mismatch, making it difficult to trace...
small magnetic contributions to the heat capacity, especially at higher temperatures.

VI. NEUTRON DIFFRACTION

Powder neutron diffraction patterns were collected on the high-resolution, medium-intensity neutron diffractometer HRPT (PSI Switzerland) using neutrons with a wavelength of $\lambda = 1.8857 \, \text{Å}$, at various temperatures between $\approx 2$ and 20 K [54]. The powder diffraction pattern collected at 2 K is displayed in Fig. 8, the additional magnetic Bragg peaks were indexed on the basis of the magnetic propagation vector $\tau = [\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, 1/2]$, as found in the previous work [25]. The magnetic structure is shown in Fig. 9. At 2.00(1) K we refined a magnetic moment of 1.93(5) $\mu_B$ per Ni$^{2+}$ ion in good agreement with the expected value of 2 $\mu_B$ for a $S = 1$ system with a $g$ factor of 2.2. Upon increasing temperature the intensity of the magnetic Bragg peaks decreased until by 12.00(1) K they vanished (see Fig. 8). Close to the long-range ordering temperature the magnetic moment can be fitted to a power law with a critical exponent, $\beta$ according to

$$M(T) = M_0 (1 - T/T_C)^\beta .$$  

We refined values of $\beta = 0.22(1)$ and $T_C = 11.02(1)$ K. $T_C$ is consistent with our other results. $\beta$ is smaller than expected for a Heisenberg system, possibly due to the limited range of the reduced temperature.

The neutron diffraction supports the specific-heat and magnetic-susceptibility results that long-range ordering happens at 10.3(1) K; the magnetic structure also confirms the DFT results and implies that the chain propagates along the $[−1,−1,0]$ or $[1,1,0]$ directions alternating along the $z$ axis.

VII. HIGH MAGNETIC FIELD MAGNETIZATION

Pulse field isothermal magnetization up to $\sim 60 \, \text{T}$ was measured at the Hochfeld-Magnetlabor Dresden, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Germany, on a 35.4-mg sample, using a compensated coil setup [46]. The identical sample was measured up to 14 T using the VSM option of the PPMS in order to extract absolute values from the pulse field results.
FIG. 10. (Color online) (c) Magnetization in $\mu_B$ per Ni$^{2+}$ atom measured in pulse field at 4.3(1) K scaled to agree with the magnetization measured using a VSM [solid (red) line]. (Inset) The susceptibility measured in $\mu_B$ per Ni$^{2+}$ per T (taken from scaled pulse field measurements) versus magnetic field for various temperatures.

The results of the magnetization measurements on a polycrystalline sample, determined in the pulse field magnetometer at 4.3(1) K, can be seen in Fig. 10. Saturation of the magnetic moment is observed at field above 55.5 T with a saturation moment of 2.14(1) $\mu_B$ per Ni$^{2+}$ ion. The saturation moment, in $\mu_B$, is given by $gS$, where $g$ is the $g$ factor and $S$ is the spin of the ion. As such, we find, from pulse field magnetization measurements, a $g$ factor of 2.14(1), which is in perfect agreement with that obtained from the analysis of the temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility; see Fig. 6. This does not support the findings of Santos et al. [30]

At lower fields we see clear evidence for a phase transition at 12.80(5) T. With increasing temperature the transitions stay at the same field but start to become broader. At temperatures above the long-range ordering temperature the transition is no longer visible.

**Phase diagram**

Combining the specific heat and the pulse field magnetization results allows us to construct a temperature-field phase diagram of NiTa$_2$O$_6$ (see Fig. 11). In Fig. 11 the white area denotes the highly correlated short-range ordered phase, the red area is the long-range ordered phase wherein we know the magnetic structure from powder neutron diffraction (see above), and the blue area is the newly discovered high field phase where the magnetic structure is not yet known. The yellow area demonstrates the broadening of the high field transition at increasing temperature. These results give the appearance of a quadratic phase diagram, which is different from other low-dimensional spin chains where the application of a field tends to suppress the ordering temperature [55,56].

**VIII. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, we investigated the magnetic properties of NiTa$_2$O$_6$ by DFT calculations, by specific heat, magnetic susceptibility, EPR, neutron powder diffraction, heat capacity, and magnetization measurements. We demonstrated that NiTa$_2$O$_6$ constitutes a $S=1$ Heisenberg AFM spin chain, with a $nn$ SEI of 18.92(2) K and a $g$ factor of 2.140(2). This result does not support the scenario of a 2D Ising quantum AFM proposed by Santos et al. NiTa$_2$O$_6$ undergoes long-range AFM ordering at 10.3(1) K and application of a magnetic field does not shift the long-range ordering anomaly. Additionally, we followed the magnetic structure as a function of temperature and determined a magnetic moment of 1.93(5) $\mu_B$ per Ni$^{2+}$ ion at 2.00(1) K, with a critical exponent of $\beta = 0.22(1)$. The magnetic moment was found to saturate at magnetic fields larger than 55.5(5) T with a saturation moment of 2.14(1) $\mu_B$ per Ni$^{2+}$ ion at 4.3(1) K. Last, we presented a temperature-field phase diagram of NiTa$_2$O$_6$, wherein we mapped a new high field phase.
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