Introduction

Proteasomal proteolysis enables nuclear processes of gene expression and regulation of the cell cycle. The proteasome machinery’s involvement in protein degradation is spatially regulated through self-compartmentalization at the molecular level (Voges et al., 1999) and segregation to distinct subcellular loci (Pines and Lindon, 2005). Consistent with their functional interactions, nuclear components of the ubiquitin–proteasome system (UPS) are predominately localized in euchromatic regions as well as in the periphery or within subnuclear compartments, such as splicing factor–containing speckles and promyelocytic leukemia (PML) nuclear bodies (NBs; Wojcik and DeMartino, 2003; von Mikecz, 2006). PML NBs may serve as proteolysis centers because they accumulate malfolded forms of mutated virus nucleoprotein (Anton et al., 1999), recruit proteasomal regulator subunit 11S and PML under conditions of proteasome inhibition (Lallemand-Breitenbach et al., 2001), and host proteasomal proteolysis of ectopic substrate DQ-ovalbumin (Rockel et al., 2005).

Although 20–30% of newly synthesized proteins undergo rapid degradation as defective ribosomal products, quality control by the UPS is also important for protection of cells against aggregation of damaged mature proteins caused by harsh environmental and disease conditions. Formation of nuclear inclusions (NIs) that contain the general transcription factor TATA binding protein, the transcriptional coactivator CREB binding protein (CBP), ubiquitin (Ub), and proteasomes is associated with expansion of polyglutamine (polyQ) repeats in inherited neurodegenerative disorders, e.g., Huntington’s disease and spinocerebellar ataxia (Ross, 2002). Research on mechanisms of protein aggregation and their role in disease pathology focuses on formation of insoluble fibrillar deposits called amyloids (Ross et al., 2003), large amorphous protein assemblies, and proteolysis.

Global impairment of the UPS in polyQ diseases is implied by studies that show inefficient degradation of polyQ proteins and inhibition of proteasomal activity by irreversible sequestration of substrates within proteasomes (Bence et al., 2001; Holmberg et al., 2004; Venkatraman et al., 2004; Bennett et al., 2005). Subcellular topology is put forward as an important factor in protein aggregation by other studies (Janer et al., 2006; Qin et al., 2006). Expression of the PML isoform IV induces the formation of distinct NBs that recruit mutant ataxin-7 and host its degradation by proteasomes (Janer et al., 2006). A subpopulation of endogenous PML NBs seems to locally increase the capacity to degrade polyQ proteins. The open question concerning the biological function of protein aggregation is whether
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Protein aggregates and nuclear inclusions (NIs) containing components of the ubiquitin–proteasome system (UPS), expanded polyglutamine (polyQ) proteins, and transcriptional coactivators characterize cellular responses to stress and are hallmarks of neurodegenerative diseases. The biological function of polyQ-containing aggregates is unknown. To analyze proteasomal activity within such aggregates, we present a nanoparticle (NP)-based method that enables controlled induction of sodium dodecyl sulfate–resistant inclusions of endogenous nuclear proteins while normal regulatory mechanisms remain in place. Consistent with the idea that the UPS maintains quality control, inhibition of proteasomal proteolysis promotes extra large protein aggregates (1.4–2 μm), whereas formation of NP-induced NIs is found to be inversely correlated to proteasome activation. We show that global proteasomal proteolysis increases in NP-treated nuclei and, on the local level, a subpopulation of NIs overlaps with focal domains of proteasome-dependent protein degradation. These results suggest that inclusions in the nucleus constitute active proteolysis modules that may serve to concentrate and decompose damaged, malfolded, or misplaced proteins.
NIs embody permanent storage sites of damaged and misplaced proteins or active proteolytic centers.

We show in this paper that nanoparticles (NPs) induce insoluble SDS-resistant NIs. These NIs copy physiologically relevant processes because in contrast to other cell-based protein aggregation models, they contain aberrant assemblies of endogenous nuclear proteins with an intact UPS in place. Three lines of evidence suggest that NIs constitute sites of proteasomal protein degradation. First, global proteasomal activity is increased in nuclear fractions of silica-NP-treated cells. Second, formation of silica-NP–induced NIs can be reduced by activation of proteasomes and increased by inhibition of proteasome-dependent proteolysis. Third, a significant subset (30%) of silica-NP–induced NIs overlaps with proteasomal degradation of a model substrate.

Results and discussion

To obtain standardized experimental conditions for aggregation of endogenous proteins in the nucleus, we treated cells with nanosized silicium dioxide particles (silica-NPs or nanosilica). Silica-NPs seed inclusions of Ub (Fig. 1 A, top) or topoisomerase I (topo I; Fig. 1 A, bottom) in the nucleoplasm. Ub-NIs of up to 2 μm are detectable in untreated control cells and grow in number and size after the addition of silica-NPs (2–5 μm; Fig. 1, A [closeups] and B). In contrast, nuclear clustering of topo I is rare (5%; Fig. 1 B) in untreated controls where topo I typically localizes at the nucleolar rim and diffusely in the nucleoplasm (Fig. 1 A, bottom, 0 h). After nanosilica incubation, bright irregularly shaped topo I–NIs appear, which grow over time (Fig. 1 A, bottom, closeup) and occur in 81% of nuclei after 6 h (Fig. 1 B). Hence, analysis of topo I–NIs represents a sensitive readout for intranuclear protein aggregation that exceeds the conventionally used detection of aggregated Ub in sensitivity and specificity. Because topo I is critically involved in DNA replication, recombination, and transcription, topo I–NIs may represent deposits of the protein at sites of stalled nuclear processes resulting from harsh environmental conditions.

It has been suggested that formation of NIs is promoted by the nuclear environment (Perez et al. 1998). Accordingly, we show that although silica-NPs penetrate the cytoplasm and the nucleus, protein aggregates exclusively form in the nucleoplasm (Figs. S1 and S2 A, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200708131/DC1; Chen and von Mikecz, 2005). Increase of silica-NP concentration does not result in alteration of the NI number nor in larger size, which further underlines the specificity of the experiments (Fig. S2 B and not depicted). Because soluble silicium dioxide is cytotoxic (Nash et al., 1966), cell viability was assessed in untreated versus silica-NP–treated cells. Cell survival ranged insignificantly between 96 (untreated) and 92% (Fig. 1 C, 48-h nanosilica), suggesting that silica-NPs do not dissolve in cell culture and that Ub- and topo I–NIs
NIs feature a specific composition of aggregated proteins \((\text{Rajan et al., 2001}).\) To determine which proteins segregate into silica-NP–induced NIs, double-labeling immunofluorescence (IF) was performed, which showed that Ub (Fig. 2A, green) and topo I (Fig. 2A, red) partially colocalize in nuclear clusters (Fig. 2A, are not caused by cytotoxic effects or cell death. Generation of NIs by nanosilica clearly differs from that by proteasome inhibitor MG132, which induces severe alterations of cell morphology and reduction of cell survival (Ding et al., 2002; unpublished data).

NIs feature a specific composition of aggregated proteins (Rajan et al., 2001). To determine which proteins segregate into silica-NP–induced NIs, double-labeling immunofluorescence (IF) was performed, which showed that Ub (Fig. 2A, green) and topo I (Fig. 2A, red) partially colocalize in nuclear clusters (Fig. 2A,
nuclear matrix increases 1.8-fold in silica-NP–treated cells (Fig. 2, E [lane 9] and F). In summary, the localization, composition, and biochemical characterization of Ub- and topo I–NIs defines them as genuine SDS-resistant protein inclusions in the nucleoplasm.

To characterize the role of the UPS in the assembly of Ub- and topo I–NIs, proteolytic activity was modulated with the proteasome inhibitor lactacystin (Fig. 3, A and B). Treatment with silica-NPs induces Ub-NIs in 25% and topo I–NIs in 80% of nuclei. Addition of trehalose after 4 h of silica-NP treatment reduces formation of Ub-NIs to 15% and topo I–NIs to 35%. Coincubation with lactacystin mitigates the effect of trehalose. Under conditions of proteasome inhibition, 20% of nuclei contain Ub-NIs and 60% contain topo I–NIs, suggesting that proteasomal activity is involved in formation of nuclear protein aggregates. Cotreatment with nanosilica and lactacystin induces extra large topo I–NIs (Fig. 3 C), whereas their number per nucleus remains unchanged.

We next investigated the consequences of proteasomal activation. Active centers of 20S proteasomes are secluded from the exterior cellular space within a hollow cavity that is closed by a gating structure (Voges et al., 1999). Destabilization of the gate by low concentrations of SDS promotes the entry of substrates and their degradation to peptides (Dahlmann et al., 1993). In cells that are coincubated with silica-NPs and 0.01% SDS, the nuclear matrix increases 1.8-fold in silica-NP–treated cells (Fig. 2, E [lane 9] and F). In summary, the localization, composition, and biochemical characterization of Ub- and topo I–NIs defines them as genuine SDS-resistant protein inclusions in the nucleoplasm.

To characterize the role of the UPS in the assembly of Ub- and topo I–NIs, proteolytic activity was modulated with the proteasome inhibitor lactacystin (Fig. 3, A and B). Treatment with silica-NPs induces Ub-NIs in 25% and topo I–NIs in 80% of nuclei. Addition of trehalose after 4 h of silica-NP treatment reduces formation of Ub-NIs to 15% and topo I–NIs to 35%. Coincubation with lactacystin mitigates the effect of trehalose. Under conditions of proteasome inhibition, 20% of nuclei contain Ub-NIs and 60% contain topo I–NIs, suggesting that proteasomal activity is involved in formation of nuclear protein aggregates. Cotreatment with nanosilica and lactacystin induces extra large topo I–NIs (Fig. 3 C), whereas their number per nucleus remains unchanged.
topo I localizes at the nucleolar rim, throughout the nucleoplasm, and in a few small clusters (Fig. 3 C, right, micrograph). Size and number of silica-NP–induced topo I–NIs is reduced, confirming that proteasomal activity correlates with protein aggregation.

To strengthen this idea, global proteasomal activity was measured in vitro by incubation of the fluorogenic precursor substrate succinyl (Suc)-LLVY-aminomethylcoumarin (AMC) with cytoplasmic or nuclear protein fractions. Although proteasomal activity is similar in the cytoplasm of untreated and silica-NP–treated cells (Fig. 4 A and C), accumulation of cleaved substrate increases in nanosilica-treated nuclei (Fig. 4 B). The induction of fluorescent Suc-LLVY-AMC is slight but not significant after 4 h (not depicted; Chen and von Mikecz, 2005) and significant after 24 (Fig. 4 B) and 48 h (Fig. 4 D) of treatment with nanosilica, suggesting a sustainable induction of global proteasomal activity in nuclei over time that correlates with NI formation. These results contradict the view that the UPS is generally impaired under conditions of protein aggregation (Bence et al., 2001; Bennett et al., 2005) but confirm observations that in cells that develop protein aggregates because of knockdown of the endosomal transport factor ESCRT-III, proteasome activity is increased (Filimonenko et al., 2007).

The rate of proteolysis is markedly affected by poly-Ub chain length. Thus, the mere presence of Ub in silica-induced NIs does not characterize them as proteolytic centers. To analyze proteasomal activity at the local level, we developed a microinjection-based method that pinpoints degradation of the ectopic fluorogenic substrate DQ-ovalbumin (DQ-OVA; Rockel et al., 2005). Confocal IF of cells that were microinjected into the nucleus with DQ-OVA (Fig. 5 A, green) and colabeled with antibodies against topo I (Fig. 5 A, red) shows no overlap in untreated cells (Fig. 5 A, top, inset) but shows partial colocalization of topo I–NIs with DQ-OVA proteolysis after silica-NP treatment (Fig. 5 A, bottom, inset). The quantitation reveals that 30% of topo I–NIs overlap with DQ-OVA foci, suggesting that a significant subpopulation of silica-NP–induced NIs are proteolytically active and, thus, represent proteolysis centers. Given the transient nature of DQ-OVA foci (Rockel et al., 2005), it is tempting to speculate that the subset of proteolytically active NIs might increase when viewed over time. Another, yet not excluding, possibility is that this subpopulation has distinct characteristics.

To investigate their distinctive features, the location (Fig. 5 B) and composition (Fig. 5 C) of proteolytically active and inactive NIs was compared. A nuclear positioning analysis reveals that proteolytically active NIs are juxtaposed (79%) or randomly positioned (21%) to nucleoli, and juxtaposed (2%) or randomly positioned (98%) to the nuclear envelope (Fig. 5 B, closed bars). Similar results are observed for NIs without proteolytically active activity (Fig. 5 B, open bars), ruling out that proteolysis in protein aggregates depends on their localization. Next, the protein composition was defined. PML and fibrillarin participate in NBs and silica-NP–induced NIs (Chen and von Mikecz, 2005). Triple labeling experiments show that 80% of proteolytically active topo I–NIs contain PML, whereas none comprise fibrillarin located to NBs, probably Cajal NBs (Fig. 5 C). PML (Fig. 5 C, blue) forms a spherical wrap around topo I (Fig. 5 C, red) and DQ-OVA (Fig. 5 C, green), thus colocalizing with proteolytically active NIs in subdomains of the NB (Fig. 5 C, bottom, inset, white). By co-detection of PML, NIs, and proteolysis at the same nuclear locations, we confirm PML NBs as proteolytic centers and offer an explanation for the prevention of accumulation of polyQ proteins by NBs that contain the PML isoform IV and UPS components (Janer et al., 2006). Consequently, the role of PML IV in the formation of proteolytically active NIs should be investigated. Consistent with the concept that all proteins with RING finger domains may act as E3 Ub ligases (Freemont, 2000), it is possible that PML, or isoforms thereof, mediates ubiquitination and proteasomal proteolysis of proteins concentrated in NIs.
The results presented here suggest that the UPS is triggered as a cellular defense mechanism in response to the formation of aberrant protein aggregates in the nucleus. Like other nuclear processes, this proteolytic response is spatially organized, namely in proteolytically active NIs that may represent key structures in the clearance of protein depositions. However, proteolysis within NIs may also contribute to toxicity by degradation of essential nuclear proteins and impairment of functions such as transcription (Ross and Pickart, 2004). Proteolytically active NIs potentially act as sink holes for components of the transcription machinery, thereby blocking expression of gene subsets that ultimately affect cellular fate. Consistent with this idea, we showed previously that nanosilica induce an irreversible arrest of cell proliferation with distinctive features of cellular senescence (Chen and von Mikecz, 2005). Further analyses are required to comprehend protein degradation in NIs. Are certain substrates degraded preferentially (i.e., substrate specificity) and does proteolysis of distinct proteins impair nuclear functions? It is essential to learn more about the biological function and proteasomal regulation of wild-type polyQ proteins versus the polyQ tract mutants. Particular polyQ proteins may be incompletely degraded, block the proteasomes involved (Holmberg et al., 2004; Venkatraman et al., 2004), and transform the respective NIs into areas of permanent segregation. An alternative nonexclusive possibility is that protein aggregates compete for proteasome-dependent proteolysis, thus leaving certain aggregates doomed for permanent persistence. A picture is emerging where polyQ toxicity does not depend on a structural transition occurring above a specific threshold but, rather, that polyQ tracts are inherently toxic sequences whose deleterious effects grow along a length-dependent toxicity gradient (Klein et al., 2007). In this case, balanced proteolysis in the nucleus would be constantly required to regulate formation of small soluble oligomers that are seeded by proteins with wild-type polyQ tracts and to avoid large amorphous assemblies or highly ordered fibrillar amyloids. In perspective, it will be important to define physiological versus pathological thresholds of UPS activity and substrate specificity in the context of their nuclear location.
Materials and methods

Particles
Unlabeled plain silica particles sized 50 or 70 nm (silica-NPs or nanosilica) and FITC-labeled 70-nm or 1-μm silica particles were purchased from G. Kisker and Postnova Analytics. Silica particles were added directly to the culture medium at concentrations of 25 or 50 μg/ml where indicated.

Chemicals
DNase was purchased from Roche. Suc-LVY-AMC and lactacystin were obtained from Affinity BioReagents and Gibogene, respectively. All other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

Cell culture and treatments
HEp-2 cells (American Tissue Culture Collection) were routinely cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, nonessential amino acids, 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

Cell viability assay
Cells were seeded simultaneously in culture flasks with the same density and left untreated or treated with silica-NPs. At the indicated times, cells were trypsinized and counted by hemocytometer (n = 100–200). Cell viability was assessed by Trypan blue exclusion.

Proteasome activity assays
Analysis of proteasomal activity of nuclear and cytoplasmic protein fractions and of subnuclear localization of proteasome-dependent proteolysis has been previously described (Chen and von Miekcz, 2005; Rockel et al. 2005).

IF and microscopy
Subconfluent cells on glass coverslips were either fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 min and permeabilized with 1% Triton X-100 for 3 min at room temperature or fixed with methanol for 5 min followed by permeabilization in acetone for 2 min at −20°C. Incubations with primary antibodies against various proteins were performed as previously described (Chen and von Miekcz, 2005). Samples were observed with a confocal laser microscope (Fluoview IX70; Olympus) using a 60×/1.25 UPlanFl objective. Channels were scanned sequentially [488 nm for FITC, 568 nm for rhodamine, and 647 nm for Cy5]. Controls established the specificity of fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies for their respective immunoglobulins and that signals in green, red, and far red channels were derived from the respective fluorochrome. No cross talk was observed in multiple staining experiments.

Congo red staining
Cells on coverslips were untreated or treated with silica-NPs for 4 and 24 h, fixed with 4% formaldehyde, and permeabilized with 1% Triton X-100 for 3 min at room temperature. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated in PBS with 10 ng/ml BSA for 20 min and then stained with 0.2% Congo red solution for 10–20 min. Cells were washed with PBS and covered with mounting medium. Images were acquired at 488-nm excitation and 568-nm emission.

Quantification of fluorescence and luminescence intensities
Quantitative analysis of fluorescence was performed with the Metamorph image analysis software package (MDS Analytical Technologies). For measurement of fluorescence intensities within nuclear domains [e.g., nuclear envelope, nucleolus, and nucleoplasm], regions of interest were positioned manually based on corresponding differential interference contrast images. Images were background corrected by reference regions outside the cells but within the field of view, which corresponded to identical-sized regions of interest within the nucleus. In double-labeling experiments, signals were defined as colocalizing in the range of hue (31–54), intensity (0–255), and saturation (106–251); HIS color model; Metamorph software. For each experiment, the fluorescence intensities of 100–200 nuclei were determined. Luminescence intensities of Western blot and filter assays were quantified with the AlphaEaseFC software [Alpha Innotech]. Figures were assembled in Photoshop (Adobe).

Spatial positioning
1-μm labeled silica particles were coincubated with cells and used as size standards. The nuclear position of topo I-containing protein aggregates was defined as juxtaposed to the nucleolus (<1 μm distance) or random to nucleoli (>1 μm distance) and juxtaposed to the nuclear envelope (<1 μm distance) or random to the nuclear envelope (>1 μm distance). The nucleoli and nuclear envelope were located based on differential interference contrast.

Statistical analysis
Analysis of variance was used to analyze the statistical significance between control and nanosilica particle–treated groups. P-values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 contains confocal microscopy showing that silica-NPs translocate to the cytoplasm and nucleoplasm. Fig. S2 contains confocal microscopy showing that protein aggregation is independent of the concentration of silica-NPs. Table S1 shows a comprehensive summary of protein composition and biochemical properties of polyQ versus silica-NP–induced NIs. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200708131/DC1.
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