Bacterial communities within *Phengaris (Maculinea) alcon* caterpillars are shifted following transition from solitary living to social parasitism of *Myrmica* ant colonies
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Abstract
Bacterial symbionts are known to facilitate a wide range of physiological processes and ecological interactions for their hosts. In spite of this, caterpillars with highly diverse life histories appear to lack resident microbiota. Gut physiology, endogenous digestive enzymes, and limited social interactions may contribute to this pattern, but the consequences of shifts in social activity and diet on caterpillar microbiota are largely unknown. *Phengaris alcon* caterpillars undergo particularly dramatic social and dietary shifts when they parasitize *Myrmica* ant colonies, rapidly transitioning from solitary herbivory to ant tending (i.e., receiving protein-rich regurgitations through trophallaxis). This unique life history provides a model for studying interactions between social living, diet, and caterpillar microbiota. Here, we characterized and compared bacterial communities within *P. alcon* caterpillars before and after their association with ants, using 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing and quantitative PCR. After being adopted by ants, bacterial communities within *P. alcon* caterpillars shifted substantially, with a significant increase in alpha diversity and greater consistency in...
1 INTRODUCTION

Microbial symbionts can mediate diverse physiological processes in animals, particularly through adaptations that extend or enhance their trophic capacities. These symbioses can also lead to metabolic, developmental, and immunological adaptations in host animals, which facilitate their colonization of new environments and ultimately their evolution (McFall-Ngai et al., 2013; Moran, 2002, 2007). Many insects also benefit from microbial symbioses, and their vast diversity in form and function may have arisen in part through associations with beneficial microorganisms, particularly bacteria (Engel & Moran, 2013). Recently, gut bacteria have been shown to enhance digestive capabilities (Brune, 2014; Kwong & Moran, 2016; Russell et al., 2009), protect against pathogens and predators (Koch & Schmid-Hempel, 2011; Kwong, Mancenido, & Moran, 2017), and provide signals for inter- and intraspecific communication (Davis, Crippen, Hofstetter, & Tomberlin, 2013) and mating (Sharon et al., 2010) in insects.

Lepidopterans are a highly diverse order of insects, and their larvae (caterpillars) display diverse feeding habits ranging from general herbivory to obligate carnivory. Despite this dietary diversity, it appears that most lepidopterans typically host transient communities of bacteria derived from their food and surrounding environment (Berman, Laviad-Shitrit, Lalzar, Halpern, & Inbar, 2018; Hammer, Mcmillan, & Fierer, 2014; Hernandez-Flores, Llenderal-Cazares, Guzman-Franco, & Aranda-Ocampo, 2015; Mason & Raffa, 2014; Phalnikar, Kunte, & Agashe, 2018; Robinson, Schloss, Ramos, Raffa, & Handelsman, 2010; Staudacher et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2012). Recently, Whitaker, Salzman, Sanders, Kaltenpoth, and Pierce (2016) found no clear link between trophic regime and gut bacterial composition, despite sampling a wide range of feeding strategies across 31 species of Lycanid caterpillars. Hammer, Janzen, Hallwachs, Jaffe, and Fierer (2017) similarly found low densities of microbes in the guts of caterpillars spanning 124 species and 15 families.

Transient bacteria, which are excreted shortly after they are ingested with food, may dominate bacterial communities within caterpillars due to both physiological and ecological limitations. Highly alkaline conditions in the gut, coupled with relatively short and simple gut structures and a continuously replaced gut lining may limit or prevent the colonization of resident bacteria in caterpillars (Hammer et al., 2017). Development through several larval instars and metamorphosis may also dramatically reshape caterpillar digestive systems and any bacterial communities within them (Chen et al., 2016; Hammer et al., 2014). Moreover, many Lepidopterans engage in few social interactions outside of mating. This largely asocial development may also contribute to the apparent lack of beneficial resident bacteria within caterpillars, though until now, this has not been tested.

While social interactions may be uncommon for most caterpillars, many Lycaenid caterpillars engage in highly specialized interactions with eusocial ants. It is estimated that 75% of the approximately 6,000 Lycaenid species display some degree of myrmecophily (i.e., association with ants; reviewed in Pierce, 1995 and Pierce et al., 2002). These are usually facultative mutualistic interactions, in which ants protect caterpillars from predators and parasitoids in exchange for nutritive secretions. However, obligate parasitic associations also occur in a small subset (<5%) of myrmecophilous Lycaenid species (Pierce et al., 2002), including in the genus Phengaris (formerly Maculinea). Parasitic Phengaris caterpillars enter host ant colonies and feed either through ant regurgitations (trophallaxis), or by directly preying upon ant larvae.

Our focal species is the Alcon blue (Phengaris alcon), a widely studied parasitic Lycaenid species with a “cuckoo” feeding strategy. P. alcon caterpillars of the xeric ecotype (Koubinová et al., 2017) spend instars I–III (10–15 days) feeding on Gentiana cruciata buds. During the fourth instar, they fall off their host plant and are adopted by Myrmica worker ants, typically Myrmica schencki (Witek et al., 2008), though host ants can vary across the species distribution (Tartally, Nash, Lengyel, & Varga, 2008). Caterpillars utilize a combination of chemical (Akino, Knapp, Thomas, & Elmes, 1999; Nash, Als, Maile, Jones, & Boomsma, 2008) and acoustic (Barbero, Thomas, Bonelli, Balletto, & Schonrogge, 2009; Sala, Casacci, Balletto, Bonelli, & Barbero, 2014) signals to communicate with ants and avoid aggression, living in the colony for 1–2 years before pupating and emerging from the nest as an adult.
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While living inside ant colonies, *P. alcon* caterpillars are dependent on regurgitations from host ant workers for nutrition. These regurgitations are rich in protein; *M. schencki* regularly consume other ants, as well as honeydew, nectar, and pollen (Czechowski, 2008). Regurgitations can be tailored to suit the nutritional needs of ant larvae (Dussutour & Simpson, 2009), and worker ants can play a role in the digestive processes of larvae directly, or by transferring beneficial gut symbionts with their regurgitations (Brown & Wernegreen, 2016). Consequently, when *P. alcon* rapidly shift from plant feeding to protein-rich ant regurgitations, they may be able to enhance their survival and integration within ant colonies by exploiting bacterial transfers from their ant hosts.

Here, we leverage the asocial-to-social transition of *Phengaris alcon* caterpillars and the associated shift in diet to test whether obligate myrmecophily reshapes their bacterial communities. To address this question, we surveyed populations of wild *P. alcon* caterpillars, both while they were feeding on *G. cruciata* buds and after they had entered *M. schencki* colonies, using high-throughput 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. We also sequenced the bacterial communities within worker ants and ant larvae, and the surrounding environments of caterpillars (i.e., *G. cruciata* buds, and soil from inside ant nest chambers), to better understand the origins of any microbes present within caterpillars. Additionally, we used quantitative PCR to determine the total quantities of bacteria within *P. alcon* caterpillars and to test whether the number of bacteria within caterpillars shifted following their transition to living inside ant colonies. Together, these allowed us to fully assess the significance of bacterial symbioses as part of *P. alcon* caterpillars’ complex life history.

## METHODS

### Sample collection

Samples were collected across the Alps (Switzerland and Northern Italy) and Pyrenees (Spain) mountain ranges between 2015 and 2016. We collected III instar *Phengaris alcon* caterpillars by dissecting *G. cruciata* buds and IV instar caterpillars by excavating *M. schencki* nests. All caterpillars were starved for 3–4 hr until they evacuated their gut contents, and were then individually preserved in RNAlater™ (Thermo Fischer Scientific) tubes. *M. schencki* workers and larvae were collected from all ant colonies hosting *P. alcon* caterpillars, and were starved, preserved, and stored under the same conditions as caterpillars. Environmental samples (whole *G. cruciata* buds that caterpillars were eating, and 250 mg of fresh soil from ant nest chambers containing caterpillars) were collected in tandem with the above samples and frozen at −80°C until extraction.

### 16S rRNA amplicon processing

DNA extraction, library preparation, and preprocessing steps are detailed in Supporting Information Appendix S1. To summarize, we (a) extracted bacterial DNA from surface-sterilized whole individuals, (b) amplified the V3/V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene in each sample, and (c) produced MiSeq-compatible libraries for 300 bp paired-end sequencing. Following these initial steps, we trimmed reads to 400 bp and performed open-reference OTU picking in QIIME v.1.9.1 (Caporaso et al., 2010), using UCLUST (Edgar, 2010) to cluster OTUs at 97% identity. We filtered out probable chimeric sequences usingUCHIME (Edgar, Haas, Clemente, Quince, & Knight, 2011) and the GOLD reference database (Reddy et al., 2015).

We assigned taxonomies using UCLUST and two reference databases: Greengenes v13_8 (DeSantis et al., 2006; McDonald, Price et al., 2012a) and SILVA NR Small Subunit v128 (Quast et al., 2013). We then used QIIME to filter out low abundance OTUs (i.e., with fewer than two reads) and over-represented sequences (*Gentiana* chloroplast DNA and *Wolbachia*), produce biom (McDonald, Clemente et al., 2012b) tables for both the Greengenes- and SILVA-annotated datasets, and create a phylogenetic tree using FastTree (Price, Dehal, & Arkin, 2009).

### 16S rRNA amplicon diversity analyses

QIIME outputs (biom tables, phylogenetic trees, and map files) were imported into R (R Core Team, 2017) for analysis using the phyloseq v.1.22.3 package (McMurdie & Holmes, 2013). First, we visualized bacterial community compositions among all groups of samples using bar plots. Then, we compared alpha (Shannon) diversities of *P. alcon* caterpillars on plants and inside ant colonies using a nonparametric two-sample t-test, with 1,000 Monte Carlo permutations. Next, we investigated whether the trophic shift and social association experienced by caterpillars in ant colonies led to more consistent bacterial communities, using assessments of beta dissimilarity. For these analyses, we rarefied the raw Greengenes-annotated biom tables to even sampling depth (1,000 reads per sample), calculated Bray–Curtis and unweighted UniFrac distance matrices and visualized the results with nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) and principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) ordinations, respectively.

### Determining the origins of bacterial communities within *P. alcon* caterpillars

Our final set of analyses using the 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing data investigated the relative contributions of social interactions and the environment on bacterial community composition and stability within *P. alcon* caterpillars. For these analyses, we CSS-normalized (Paulson, Stine, Bravo, & Pop, 2013) the raw Greengenes-annotated biom table using QIIME and used hclust2 (Segata, 2017) to visualize differences in abundances among the 40 most abundant OTUs (in terms of total read counts), clustering samples and features using Bray–Curtis dissimilarity. Then, we extracted the representative (i.e., most abundant) sequences for these 40 OTUs and performed BLAST searches of the NCBI nucleotide collection and 16S rRNA gene sequence databases to further improve the resolution of taxonomic
identifications where possible. Then, we identified OTUs present in worker ants and caterpillars but not soil (i.e., OTUs that may have been exchanged between insects rather than environmentally derived) using the shared_phylotypes function in QIIME.

To determine which OTUs had the highest probability of being differentially abundant between all groups of caterpillars and ants, we performed a G-test on the CSS-normalized dataset using QIIME. To test for an effect of geography on the observed abundances, we repeated the G-test using sample sites to group caterpillar and ant samples. We also used a Wilcoxon rank sum test to test for an effect of geography across caterpillars from Switzerland and Italy. All of the above-mentioned tests included Bonferroni correction for multiple testing.

Finally, we searched for differentially abundant bacteria with possible digestive roles within *P. alcon* caterpillars using PICRUSt (Langille et al., 2013). For these analyses, we generated a closed-reference OTU table from the Greengenes-annotated, CSS-normalized dataset, and predicted metagenomic functions of OTUs in the form of KEGG Orthologs (Kanehisa & Goto, 2000). Then, we tested for the presence of differentially abundant features between caterpillars on plants and caterpillars in ant colonies using LEfSe (Segata et al., 2011).

### 2.5 Quantitative PCR analyses

We assessed whether the total quantities of bacteria within *P. alcon* caterpillars shifted following their association with ants using quantitative PCR. Using universal 16S rRNA primers, we determined the absolute and relative quantities of total bacteria within individual caterpillar and ant samples. Additionally, we determined the quantities of Wolbachia and Spiroplasma species present within caterpillars and ants using custom primers, based on the sequences present in our 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing dataset. All primers, PCR conditions and additional details on absolute and relative quantification methods are detailed in Supporting Information Appendix S1.

### 3 RESULTS

Among our three sampling locations (Supporting Information Appendix S2: Figure S1), we successfully sampled *P. alcon* caterpillars before and after their trophic shift at two sites (Switzerland and Italy). We were unsuccessful in locating caterpillars within ant colonies in Spain, but still sampled and sequenced caterpillars feeding on plants (*n* = 4) there. We sampled similar numbers of caterpillars on plants in Switzerland and Italy (*n* = 4 and *n* = 5, respectively). We found caterpillars within one ant colony in Switzerland (*n* = 4), and within two ant colonies at the same site in Italy (*n* = 2 and *n* = 3). Total numbers of samples for each group are detailed in Supporting Information Appendix S3, Table S1.

We identified 27,630 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in the Greengenes-annotated 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing dataset, and 28,504 OTUs in the SILVA-annotated dataset. Excluding the
TABLE 1  OTUs present in caterpillars throughout both life stages (i.e., both before and after their trophic shift and association with ants)

| Phengaris alcon on bud & P. alcon in ant colony (shared OTUs found in CH only) | P. alcon on bud & P. alcon in ant colony (shared OTUs found in CH and IT) | P. alcon on bud & P. alcon in ant colony (shared OTUs found IT only) |
|---|---|---|
| 1025949_Mesorhizobium | 963779_Agrobacterium | 620684_Mesorhizobium |
| 1040713_Corynebacterium | 1093466_Agrobacterium | 593555_Gluconobacter |
| 1062748_Mycobacterium | 829523_Phylobacteriaceae | 1012112_Solirubrobacteraceae |
| 928766_Chitinophagaceae | 816470_Bacillus | 622212_Spiroplasma |
| 4394913_Sediminibacterium | 161287_Spiroplasma | 109263_Pseudomonas |
| 168031_Erwinia | 698961_Spiroplasma | 836096_Pseudomonas |
| 280799_Tepidimonas | 759061_Enterobacteriaceae | 287032_Pseudomonas |
| 590099_Sphingomonas | 783638_Enterobacteriaceae | 279231_Pseudomonas |
| 1091060_Sphingomonas_yabuuchiae | 778478_Enterobacteriaceae | 61192_Oxalobacteraceae |
| 569952_Roseateles_depolymerans | 646549_Pseudomonas | 382348_Achromobacter |
| 544356_Polaromonas | 967275_Stenotrophomonas | 572643_Sphingobacteriae |
| 136015_Delftia | 331752_Ralstonia | 1052559_Sphingomonadaceae |
| 136485_Methylobacterium_adhaesivum | 1108960_Sphingomonas | 1091060_Sphingomonas |
| | 1104546_Rhizobiaceae | 336364_Rhizobiaceae |
| | 68621_Delftia | 210485_Comamonadaceae |
| | 637901_Delftia | 323364_Delftia |
| | | 525648_Rhizobiales |

Note. The left- and rightmost columns contain the shared OTUs unique to Switzerland and Italy (respectively), while the center column contains the shared OTUs found in both countries. These OTUs represent the approximately 10% of bacterial taxa that persisted in P. alcon caterpillars following their trophic shift. Based on the Greengenes taxonomic identifications given above, most appear to be transient, environmentally derived bacteria.

Environmental samples (G. cruciata buds and soil), there were 2,293 and 2,102 OTUs in the Greengenes and SILVA datasets, respectively. Initial exploratory analyses revealed that the Greengenes taxonomic identifications were generally of higher resolution than those produced using SILVA, with more genus-level identifications and fewer unidentified OTUs. Thus, all results presented below will be based on Greengenes taxonomic identifications. However, we note that the SILVA-annotated dataset produced similar results overall (Supporting Information Appendix S2: Figure S2).

3.1   The P. alcon trophic shift coincides with a shift in bacterial communities

Bar plot summaries of the 40 most abundant OTUs, which together represent 62.9% of all reads our final dataset, are shown in Figure 1. Bacterial communities within P. alcon caterpillars feeding on G. cruciata buds were dominated by Enterobacteriaceae (28%), Pseudomonadaceae (23%), and Comamonadaceae (18%). After caterpillars transitioned to living inside ant colonies, Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonadaceae decreased in abundance to 1.5% and 1.1%, respectively, while bacteria in the order Actinomycetales (17%), particularly family Nocardiaceae (12%), increased in abundance. M. schencki workers were dominated by Spiroplasma (74%) and Oxalobacteraceae (20%), while M. schencki larvae hosted primarily Spiroplasma (66%) and Enterobacteriaceae (32%).

The transition from Gentiana buds to ant colonies led to a large shift in overall community composition within caterpillars. In Switzerland, only 29 of 266 OTUs (10.9%) were shared between P. alcon caterpillars on plants and in ant colonies. Similarly, 33 out of 381 OTUs (8.7%) were shared between both stages of caterpillar development in Italy. Only 16 OTUs were shared among all caterpillars in Switzerland and Italy; taxonomic identifications for all of these shared OTUs can be found in Table 1. Higher proportions of OTUs were shared among individuals at the same site and life stage, but unique OTUs within individual caterpillars were more frequent within caterpillars in ant colonies. In Switzerland, 21% of OTUs (44/205) were shared among all P. alcon caterpillars on plants and 14% of OTUs (30/207) OTUs were shared among all caterpillars in ant colonies. In Italy, 40% of OTUs (63/159) were shared among caterpillars on plants and 23% of OTUs (99/432) were shared among caterpillars in ant colonies.

3.2   Phengaris alcon caterpillars in ant colonies host more diverse and consistent bacterial communities

We observed a significant increase in the alpha diversity of bacterial communities within P. alcon caterpillars living in ant colonies (Nonparametric two-sample t test; p < 0.001). Caterpillars in ant colonies had the highest alpha (Shannon index) diversities, while caterpillars on plants appeared to be the most variable group (Supporting Information Appendix S2: Figure S3). In addition to producing more diverse bacterial communities within P. alcon caterpillars, the transition to living inside ant colonies also appeared to produce more consistent communities of bacteria in terms of beta diversity. In both Bray-Curtis/PCoA ordinations, caterpillars on plants covered a wider area on the plots (i.e., were more dissimilar to one another) than caterpillars in ant colonies (Figure 2). This pattern was most pronounced when phylogenetic distances between OTUs were considered using UniFrac distances, though only 26.7% of the variance was
explained by the first two axes of the PCoA. *M. schencki* workers and larvae also appeared to maintain distinct communities of bacteria, though ant samples from Switzerland did not cluster consistently.

### 3.3 | Phengaris alcon caterpillars share many OTUs with their surrounding environments

While *P. alcon* caterpillars inside ant colonies appear to host more diverse and similar communities than caterpillars on plants, environmentally derived and putatively transient bacteria likely contributed to the above patterns; Swiss and Italian *P. alcon* caterpillars in ant colonies shared 79% and 87% of their total microbial diversity with ant nest soil, respectively. This result is also apparent when clustering groups based on the 40 most abundant OTUs in terms of total read counts (Figure 3). After manually confirming taxonomies of the most abundant bacteria using BLAST, we found that most of the highly abundant bacteria in our dataset are common on plants, or in soil and water (though we also note that bacteria with similar taxonomic identities can be adapted to different environments). When comparing bacterial abundances among all ants and caterpillars with a G-Test, four OTUs (two *Spiroplasma*, a *Raoultella* species, and *Rahnella woolbedingensis*) were significantly differentially abundant (Supporting Information Appendix S3: Table S2) between groups. In contrast, no OTUs were significantly differentially abundant based on geographic location, in either the G-test or the Wilcoxon rank sum test.

When considering OTUs shared among *P. alcon* caterpillars in ant colonies, *M. schencki* worker ants, and ant nest soil, almost all of the bacteria that were present within both ants and caterpillars (approx. 13% of all OTUs across these two groups) were also present in soil. In Switzerland, only five OTUs were found in caterpillars and ant workers, but not soil (*Bacillus* sp., *Delftia* sp., *Nocardioidaceae*, *Sphingomonas* sp., and *Spiroplasma* sp. 1). In Italy, eight OTUs shared between ant workers and caterpillars were not found in soil (*Achromobacter* sp., *Actinomycetales*, *Candidatus hamiltonella*, two species of *Delftia*, *Isosphaeraeaceae*, *Perluckibaca* sp., and *Spiroplasma* sp. 2).

When comparing *P. alcon* caterpillars on plants to caterpillars in ant colonies, LEfSe analysis identified 52 significantly enriched KEGG orthologs among bacteria within caterpillars on plants and 48 significantly enriched KEGG orthologs among bacteria within caterpillars in ant colonies. However, few differentially enriched orthologs of caterpillars in ant colonies were parts of metabolic pathways (e.g., ko00071/Fatty acid degradation); the vast majority appeared to be unrelated to insect digestion (e.g., metabolism of several monoterpenoids, caprolactam, and naphthalene). Additionally, the most differentially enriched orthologs within caterpillars on plants appeared to be derived from free-living, possibly pathogenic bacteria commonly found on plants (e.g., ko00071/Bacterial chemotaxis, ko03070/Bacterial secretion system, and dko00550/Peptidoglycan biosynthesis).

### 3.4 | Phengaris alcon caterpillars host relatively small total quantities of bacteria

Consistent with Hammer et al. (2017), we also observed relatively low total quantities of bacterial DNA in all our caterpillar samples (Figure 4). We found an estimated $10^4$ bacteria per milligram of whole-body tissue (Supporting Information Appendix S2: Figure S4), compared to $10^{-10^4}$ bacteria per milligram of gut tissue in larger caterpillar species (Hammer et al., 2017), placing *P. alcon* near...
FIGURE 3  Heatmap of the 40 most abundant OTUs, with Bray–Curtis clustering of sample types (X-axis; groups collapsed by averaging OTU abundances) and OTUs (Y-axis). Environmental and/or pathogenic bacteria appear to account for most of the differentiation between *Phengaris alcon* caterpillars on plants and caterpillars in ant colonies. However, *Spiroplasma* species also appear to be useful in distinguishing between groups. Note: OTUs with >97% identity were denoted with subscripts (i.e., 1a/1b), while those with <97% identity were separately numbered.
We observed a compositional shift (Figure 1), increase in diversity (Supporting Information Appendix S2: Figure S3), and homogenization (Figure 2) of bacterial communities within caterpillars following their transition to living inside M. schencki colonies. However, M. schencki workers and larvae shared relatively few bacteria with caterpillars living in their nests, and many of the most abundant bacteria within P. alcon were species common in soil and water (Figure 3). Taken together, these results imply that most bacteria within caterpillars are derived from their food and surrounding environment. These findings are consistent with other recent characterizations of Lepidopteran microbiota (Hammer et al., 2017; Phalnikar et al., 2018; Staudacher et al., 2016; Whitaker et al., 2016).

Quantitative PCR analyses were also generally consistent with the patterns observed in the 16S amplicon sequencing dataset. Notably, we did not detect significant differences in total quantities of bacteria when comparing between P. alcon caterpillars on plants with caterpillars in ant colonies (Figure 4). Our estimates of total bacterial abundances within caterpillars were near the upper bound reported in Hammer et al. (2017) (see Supporting Information Appendix S2: Figure S4). However, our P. alcon caterpillars weighed 50–100 times less than most caterpillars studied in Hammer et al. (2017); when accounting for this size difference, the total quantities of bacteria present within P. alcon caterpillars are still lower than in other similarly sized insects (see figure S3 in Hammer et al., 2017).

While Phalnikar et al. (2018) recently reported that bacterial communities within caterpillars (including two Lycaenidae) generally did not change during development, and that dietary transitions had weak effects on bacterial communities, our focused sampling (fully replicated across Switzerland and Italy) found a more substantial shift. Few "core" bacteria appeared to persist over P. alcon caterpillar development; 8%–10% of OTUs persisted across both stages of development and both sampling sites (see Figure 1 and Table 1). However, none of the caterpillars in Phalnikar et al. (2018) underwent a trophic shift and change in environment as sudden and drastic as that experienced by P. alcon caterpillars. Furthermore, two Lycaenid species (Leptotes plinius and Spoligis epius) in Phalnikar et al. (2018) were not obligate myrmecophiles (Common & Waterhouse, 1972; Venkatesha, 2005). Given this result, we set out to disentangle the influence of diet, surroundings, and ant association on the diversity, structure, and origins of bacteria within P. alcon caterpillars.

In our initial comparisons of alpha diversities, we observed greater variability in bacterial community richness within P. alcon caterpillars on plants (Supporting Information Appendix S2: Figure S3). Some individuals were overwhelmingly dominated by one or a few bacteria not known to aid in digestion of plant material, suggesting that caterpillars do not crucially rely on metabolic associations with bacteria during most of their early development. This is not surprising, given that P. alcon caterpillars acquire 99% of their total biomass while living inside ant colonies (Thomas, Elmes, Wardlaw, & Woyciechowski, 1989). While some Lycaenidae are known to eat their eggshells, P. alcon caterpillars hatch basally, eating through the underside of the leaf their egg was laid on; they also do not eat...
their eggshells, which have an unusually thick protective chorion (Thomas, Munguira, Martin, & Elmes, 1991). This reduces the possibility for maternal transmission of bacteria to caterpillars, and thus it is likely that most bacteria within caterpillars on plants were derived from the G. cruciata buds they were eating.

While some P. alcon caterpillars on plants hosted diverse bacterial communities, many were dominated by Pseudomonadaceae, which include both plant-growth promoting and pathogenic species (Preston, 2004) and Enterobacteriaceae, which include many common, harmless symbionts, but also pathogenic species. Enterobacteriaceae appear to be a common bacterial symbiont in Lycaenid larvae (Phalnikar et al., 2018; Whitaker et al., 2016).

In general, it would appear that the dominant groups of bacteria within P. alcon caterpillars in ant colonies are also derived from their surrounding environment, rather than through transfers from ants. Following the transition to living inside ant colonies, Pseudomonadaceae and Enterobacteriaceae decreased in abundance, while several families within the order Actinomycetales, particularly Nocardiaceae, increased in abundance (Figure 1). These bacteria are commonly found in soil and water (Goodfellow, 2014). The most abundant families in worker ants, Spiroplasmataceae and Oxalobacteraceae, were not similar to abundant within caterpillars. Caterpillars in ant colonies also hosted a greater diversity of bacteria than their host ants (see Figure 1 and Supporting Information Appendix S2: Figure S3). This implies a bacterial contribution from a source other than host ant regurgitations, such as soil. However, the lower diversity and quantities of bacteria within M. schenckii may also be a consequence of a more effective filtering of environmental bacteria, through immune defenses (Cremer, Armitage, & Schmid-Hempel, 2007) or colonization resistance (Spees, Lopez, Kingsbury, Winter, & Bäumler, 2013).

Our measures of beta dissimilarity revealed that P. alcon caterpillars on plants could be highly dissimilar to one another, even within the same site (Figure 2). In contrast, caterpillars in ant colonies clustered more closely together and also clustered according to sampling location. Our qPCR data corroborated this finding, with caterpillars in ant colonies hosting more consistent (though not significantly greater) quantities of bacteria than caterpillars on plants (Figure 4). Taken together, these results suggest a homogenization of bacterial communities occurs within caterpillars following their transition to living inside ant colonies. Homogenous bacterial communities are a hallmark of highly social species (Shropshire & Bordenstein, 2016), and P. alcon caterpillars’ associations with ants seem to have led to consistent communities across a wide geographic range (i.e., across the Alps). However, environmentally derived bacteria likely remain the main driver of this pattern for P. alcon caterpillars (see Figure 3). This pattern may also be driven in part by relatively stable ant nest environments (Schär, Larsen, Meyleing, & Nash, 2015) compared to plants, which can host diverse bacterial communities influenced by both biotic and abiotic factors (Bulgarelli, Schlaeppi, Spaepen, Themaat, & Schulze-Lefert, 2013; Lindow & Brandl, 2003).

Given that P. alcon caterpillars in ant colonies shared 79%-87% of their OTUs with nest chamber soil, the observed shift in microbial communities following their transition from plants to ant colonies was certainly influenced by corresponding shifts in environmental bacteria. Some of these bacteria found in the environment could still have been acquired via trophallaxis, but we were unable to control for this when sampling wild populations of caterpillars. However, even with our more conservative analyses, further examination of the taxonomic identities of putatively transferred OTUs revealed that most were likely transient bacteria.

Some of the most consistently present bacteria in both caterpillars and ants are Spiroplasma and Wolbachia, two well-known insect endosymbionts. Pathogenic strains of both Spiroplasma and Wolbachia are known to cause cytoplasmic incompatibility, feminization, and male killing. Wolbachia are very common parasites of lepidopterans (Salunkhe, Narkhede, & Shouche, 2014), and some Spiroplasma may play similar parasitic roles in lepidopterans (Jiggins, Hurst, Jiggins, v. d. Schulenburg, & Majerus, 2000). However, potentially mutualistic symbiotic effects have also been uncovered for both Spiroplasma (Jaenike, Unckless, Cockburn, Boelio, & Perlman, 2010; Xie, Vilchez, & Mateos, 2010) and Wolbachia (Blan, Xu, Lu, Xie, & Xi, 2010; Hedges, Brownlie, Oneill, & Johnson, 2008; Hosokawa, Koga, Kikuchi, Meng, & Fukatsu, 2010) in other insect groups. However, no such mutualisms between caterpillars and Wolbachia are currently known, so we considered Wolbachia to be an intracellular parasite only. Both Wolbachia and Spiroplasma can co-occur within a host and have possible interactive effects on host immunity (Goto, Anbutsu, & Fukatsu, 2006; Shokal et al., 2016), though in our dataset, we observe a negative correlation between their abundances (Supporting Information Appendix S2: Figure S5). One explanation for this pattern is that Spiroplasma and Wolbachia may be respectively adapted to their ant and caterpillar hosts, and thus appear at lower abundances during cross-infections.

Spiroplasma are known to be enriched among predatory ant species, including many Myrmica species (Anderson et al., 2012; Funaro et al., 2010). Recent research has also detected possible mutualistic Spiroplasma associations with Myrmica, which may aid in nutrient uptake and immunity (Ballinger, Moore, & Perlman, 2018). Transfers of these Spiroplasma from ants to caterpillars may therefore also aid in their digestion of regurgitated materials. Here, we detected two Spiroplasma with >97% identity (i.e., different strains/species), with some geographic variation in their abundances across Switzerland and Italy (see Figure 3). This may suggest local, long-term mutualistic strains within host ants. However, our quantitative PCR results confirm that Spiroplasma are not highly abundant, and in some cases not present at all within caterpillars. Thus, transferred Spiroplasma are likely not essential to caterpillar digestion or survival. Furthermore, caterpillars on plants also contained small quantities of Spiroplasma, so several strains of Spiroplasma from both the environment and host ants may be present within caterpillars.

In addition to Spiroplasma, OTUs in the order Actinomycetales (e.g., Nocardioidaceae) were shared among ants and caterpillars in both Switzerland and Italy, but were not present in soil samples. Actinomycetales are known for their associations with leaf-cutter ants, growing on specialized structures and protecting their hosts
against parasites and pathogens (Barke et al., 2010; Currie, Poulsen, Mendenhall, Boomsma, & Billen, 2006; Haeder, Wirth, Herz, & Spitterl, 2009; Mattoso, Moreira, & Samuels, 2012). Actinomycetes with antifungal properties have also been identified in Myrmica ruginosa (Kost et al., 2007), and are a core component of the microbiota in other ants that do not farm fungi, such as Pseudomymex species (Rubin, Kautz, Wray, & Moreau, 2018). However, these bacteria are not currently known to enhance digestion in ants or caterpillars. Here, we found that that Actinomycetales are more abundant within caterpillars than ants (Figure 1); in fact, Actinomycetales account for <1% of all reads within ant workers and larvae. This may be due to our decision to surface sterilize both ants and caterpillars, which would eliminate bacteria colonizing the niche that Actinomycetales are most commonly associated with. However, surface-sterilization also revealed that Actinomycetales colonize caterpillar gut (and other noncuticular) tissues more effectively than in ants. While it is possible that Actinomycetales may protect caterpillars and ants against pathogens in the ant nest environment, this difference in localization and abundance reduces the likelihood that they play identical roles in both caterpillars and ants.

5 | CONCLUSION

Microbes are increasingly being recognized as having a strong influence on the evolution of sociality (Archie & Theis, 2011; Archie & Tung, 2015; Lombardo, 2008). However, it remains difficult to disentangle the influences of shared diets, shared environments, and social interactions on microbial communities without controlled, long-term studies (e.g., Tung et al., 2015). We observed a homogenization of bacterial communities within P. alcon caterpillars following their social association with ants, and could identify possible transfers of a few species, notably Spiroplasma and Nocardiaceae, between ants and caterpillars. However, as observed in other caterpillars, the majority of bacteria characterized were not present in host ants, but were rather abundant in caterpillars’ food and surroundings (i.e., G. cruciata buds and ant nest chamber soil).

Ultimately, it appears that bacterial symbionts are not essential to Phengaris alcon caterpillars as part of their suite of adaptations for interacting with and parasitizing host ant colonies. However, antibiotic treatment experiments are needed to confirm whether adoption and survival rates within host ant colonies are influenced by bacterial communities. Endogenous genes and pathways within P. alcon caterpillars are likely essential for their interactions with ants. As Whitaker et al. (2016) recently suggested, some of the genes facilitating interactions between caterpillars and ants may also have been horizontally transferred from previous bacterial associations, but the genomes of P. alcon or their host ants have not yet been characterized. Given the data currently available, we favor a scenario in which the complex life history of P. alcon caterpillars can persist without any sustained symbiosis with microbes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank three anonymous reviewers and the editors for their helpful comments on this manuscript. We also thank Nils Arrigo for helpful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript, Keith Harshmann and Johann Weber (Lausanne Genomic Technologies Facility) for their advice on our custom library design, Simon Vogel and Joan Carles Hinojosa for their dedicated assistance in the field, and Sämi Schär for identifying our Myrmica vouchers. MAS was supported by a UNIL Master’s Grant, NA was supported by Swiss National Science Foundation grant PP00P3_172899, RV was supported by project CGL2016-76322-P (AEI/FEDER, UE), and MRLW was supported by a US National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Research Fellowship in Biology (1309425). Funders had no role in study design or preparation of the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MAS, CP, MRLW, RV, NA designed research; MAS, CP, LPC, RV performed research; CP, LK contributed new reagents or analytical tools; MAS, LK analyzed data; MAS, LPC, MRLW, LV, RV, NA wrote the paper.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

Raw 16S amplicon sequences, metadata, preprocessed BIOM tables, and qPCR data are available at http://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.60008mj.

ORCID

Mark A. Szenteczki https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3049-8327

REFERENCES

Akino, T., Knapp, J. J., Thomas, J. A., & Elmes, G. W. (1999). Chemical mimicry and host specificity in the butterfly Maculinea rebeli, a social parasite of Myrmica ant colonies. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 266(1427), 1419–1426. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1999.0796

Anderson, K. E., Russell, J. A., Moreau, C. S., Kautz, S., Sullam, K. E., Hu, Y. I., ... Wheeler, D. E. (2012). Highly similar microbial communities are shared among related and trophically similar ant species. Molecular Ecology, 21(9), 2282–2296. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05464.x

Archie, E. A., & Theis, K. R. (2011). Animal behaviour meets microbial ecology. Animal Behaviour, 82, 425–436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.05.029

Archie, E. A., & Tung, J. (2015). Social behavior and the microbiome. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 6, 28–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2015.07.008
Ballinger, M. J., Moore, L. D., & Perlman, S. J. (2018). Evolution and diversity of inherited Spiroplasma symbionts in Myrmica ants. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 84(4), e02299–17.

Barbero, F., Thomas, J. A., Bonelli, S., Balletto, E., & Schonrogge, K. (2009). Queen ants make distinctive sounds that are mimicked by a butterfly social parasite. *Science*, 323(5915), 782–785. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1163583

Barke, J., Seipke, R. F., Grüssow, S., Heavens, D., Drou, N., Bibb, M. J., … Hutchings, M. I. (2017). A mixed community of actinomycetes produce multiple antibiotics for the fungus farming ant *Acromyrmex octospinosus*. *BMC Biology*, 8, 109. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-8-109

Berman, T. S., Laviad-Shitrit, S., Lalzar, M., Halpern, M., & Inbar, M. (2018). Cascading effects on bacterial communities: Cattle grazing causes a shift in the microbiome of a herbivorous caterpillar. *The ISME Journal*, 12(8), 1952–1963. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0102-4

Bian, G., Xu, Y., Lu, P., Xie, Y., & Xi, Z. (2010). The Endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia* induces resistance to dendue virus in *Aedes aegypti*. *PloS Path.*, 6, e1000833. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000833

Brown, B. P., & Wernegreen, J. J. (2016). Deep divergence and rapid evolutionary rates in gut-associated Acetobacteraceae of ants. *MBMC Microbiology*, 16(1), 140.

Brune, A. (2014). Symbiotic digestion of lignocellulose in termite guts. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 12(3), 168–180. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmmicro3182

Bulgarelli, D., Schlaeppi, K., Spaepen, S., Theimaat, E. V. L. V., & Schulze-Brune, A. (2014). Symbiotic digestion of lignocellulose in termite *Chen*, B., Teh, B.-S., Sun, C., Hu, S., Lu, X., Boland, W., & Shao, Y. (2016). Cremer, S., Armitage, S. A., & Schmid-Hempel, P. (2007). Social immunity. *Current Biology*, 17(16), R693–R702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2007.06.008

Currie, C. R., Poulsen, M., Mendenhall, J., Boomsma, J. J., & Billen, J. (2006). Coevolved crypts and exocrine glands support mutualistic bacteria in fungus-growing ants. *Science*, 311, 81–83. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1119744

Czechowsky, W. (2008). Around-nest ‘cemeteries’ of *Myrmica schencki* em. (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): Their origin and a possible signifi-
cance. *Polish Journal of Ecology*, 56, 359–363.

Davis, T. S., Crippen, T. L., Hofstetter, R. W., & Tomberlin, J. K. (2013). Microbial volatile emissions as insect semiochemicals. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 39(7), 840–859. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-013-0306-z

De Santis, T. z., Hugenholtz, P., Larsen, N., Rojas, M., Brodie, E. I., Keller, K., … Andersen, G. I. (2006). Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72(7), 5069–5072. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03006-05

Dussartour, A., & Simpson, S. J. (2009). Communal nutrition in ants. *Current Biology*, 19(9), 740–744. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.03.015

Edgar, R. C. (2010). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics*, 26(19), 2460–2461. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461

Edgar, R. C., Haas, B. J., Clemente, J. C., Quince, C., & Knight, R. (2011). UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics*, 27(16), 2194–2200. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381

Elmes, G. W., Wardlaw, J. C., & Thomas, J. A. (1991). Larvae of *Maculinea rebeli*, a large-blue butterfly and their *Myrmica* host ants: Patterns of caterpillar growth and survival. *Journal of Zoology*, 224, 79–92.

Engel, P., & Moran, N. A. (2013). The gut microbiota of insects – Diversity in structure and function. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 37(5), 699–735. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12025

Funaro, C. F., Kronauer, D. J. C., Moreau, C. S., Goldman-Huertas, B., Pierce, N. E., & Russell, J. A. (2010). Army ants harbor a host-specific clade of Entomoplasmatales bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 77(1), 346–350. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01896-10

Gallup, J. M. (2011). qPCR inhibition and amplification of difficult templates. In S. Kennedy & N. Oswald (Eds.), PCR troubleshooting and optimization: The essential guide (pp. 23–65). Norfolk, UK: Caister Academic Press.

Goodfellow, M. (2014). The family Nocardiaceae. In E. Rosenberg, E. F. DeLong, S. Lory, E. Stackebrandt, & F. Thompson (Eds.), The prokaryotes (pp. 595–650). Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.

Goto, S., Anbutsu, H., & Fukatsu, T. (2006). Asymmetric interactions between Wolbachia and Spiroplasma endosymbionts coexisting in the same insect host. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72(7), 4805–4810. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00416-06

Haeder, S., Wirth, R., Herz, H., & Spitteler, D. (2009). Candidin-producing Streptomyces support leaf-cutting ants to protect their fungus garden against the pathogenic fungus Escovopsis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106, 4742–4746. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0812082106

Hammer, T. J., Janzen, D. H., Hallwachs, W., Jaffe, S. L., & Fierer, N. (2017). Caterpillars lack a resident gut microbiome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(36), 9641–9646. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1707186114

Hammer, T. J., Mcmillan, W. O., & Fierer, N. (2014). Metamorphosis of a butterfly-associated bacterial community. PLoS ONE, 9(1), e86995. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086995

Hedges, L. M., Brownlie, J. C., Oneill, S. L., & Johnson, K. N. (2008). *Wolbachia* and virus protection in insects. *Science*, 322(5920), 702. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1162418

Hernández-Flores, L., Llanderal-Cázares, C., Guzmán-Franco, A. W., & Aranda-Ocampo, S. (2015). Bacteria present in larvae (Lepidoptera: Cossidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 52(2), 769–774. https://doi.org/10.1179/0022258615Y.0000000014

Hosokawa, T., Koga, R., Kikuchi, Y., Meng, X.-Y., & Fukatsu, T. (2010). Wolbachia as a bacteriocyte-associated nutritional mutualist. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(2), 769–774. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.091476107

Jaenike, J., Unckless, R., Cockburn, S. N., Boelio, L. M., & Perlman, S. J. (2010). Adaptation via symbiosis: Recent spread of a *Drosophila* defensive symbiont. *Science*, 329(5989), 212–215. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1188235

Jiggins, F. M., Hurst, G. D. D., Jiggins, C. D., v. d. Schulenburg, J. H., & Majerus, M. E. (2000). The butterfly *Danaus chrysippus* is infected by a male-killing Spiroplasma bacterium. *Parasitology*, 120(5), 439–446. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182099005867
immunological benefit of myrmecophily using Galleria mellonella as a model. Royal Society Open Science, 2, 150474.

Segata, N. (2017). hclust2. Retrieved from https://bitbucket.org/nsegata/hclust2

Segata, N., Izard, J., Waldron, L., Gevers, D., Miropolsky, L., Garrett, W. S., & Huttenhower, C. (2011). Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biology, 12(6), R60. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-6-r60

Sharon, G., Segal, D., Ringo, J. m., Hefetz, A., Zilber-Rosenberg, I., & Rosenberg, E. (2010). Commensal bacteria play a role in mating preference of Drosophila melanogaster. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(46), 20051–20056. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1009906107

Shokal, U., Yadav, S., Atri, J., Accetta, J., Kenney, E., Banks, K., ... Eleftherianos, I. (2016). Colonization resistance: Battle of the bugs or Ménage à Trois with the host? PLoS Path, 9, e1003730.

Staudacher, H., Kaltenpoth, M., Breeuwer, J. A. J., Menken, S. B. J., Heckel, D. G., & Groot, A. T. (2016). Variability of bacterial communities in the moth Heliothis virescens indicates transient association with the host. PLoS ONE, 11(5), e0154514. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154514

Tartally, A., Nash, D. R., Lengyl, S., & Varga, Z. (2008). Patterns of host ant use by sympatric populations of Maculinea alcon and M. 'rebeli' in the Carpathian Basin. Insectes Sociaux, 55(4), 370–381. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00040-008-1015-4

Thomas, J. A., Elmes, G. W., Wardlaw, J. C., & Woyciechowski, M. (1989). Host specificity among Maculinea butterflies in Myrmica ant nests. Oecologia, 79, 452–457. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00378660

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Szenteczki MA, Pitteloud C, Casacci LP, et al. Bacterial communities within Phengaris (Maculinea) alcon caterpillars are shifted following transition from solitary living to social parasitism of Myrmica ant colonies. Ecol Evol. 2019;9:4452–4464. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5010