Validity and usefulness of the student-athletes’ motivation toward sport and academics questionnaire: a Bayesian multilevel approach
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ABSTRACT

Background. Reliable assessment and understanding of student-athletes’ motivation for dual careers are crucial to support their career development and transitions. The purpose of this research was to examine the validity and usefulness of the student-athletes’ motivation toward sport and academics questionnaire (SAMSAQ-PT) in the Brazilian higher education context. Four studies were performed.

Methods. In study one, conceptually and semantic translation of the questionnaire and Bayesian exploratory factor analysis were conducted. In study two, a Bayesian confirmatory factor analysis with an independent sample was performed. In study three, Bayesian multilevel modeling was applied to examine the construct validity of the questionnaire in a cross-sectional sample. In study four, the SAMSAQ-PT sensitiveness was examined in a longitudinal sample, and the results were interpreted based on multilevel regression and poststratification.

Results. Altogether the results provided evidence validity and usefulness of the SAMSAQ-PT in Brazilian student-athletes. The Brazilian student-athletes’ motivation scores were sensitive to the influence of sex, sport level, and type of university on career and sport motivation. SAMSAQ-PT estimate scores across an academic year showed a trend of stability in the scores, adjusting for sex, sport level, type of university, and student-athlete status.

Conclusion. The SAMSAQ-PT proved to be a robust and valuable questionnaire, which could be used in Portuguese-speaking countries. The findings of the cross-sectional and longitudinal surveys urge to consider individual and contextual characteristics when investigating motivation of dual-career of athletes, also concerning the sex-related opportunities in university sports. Furthermore, there is a need for a call for action to promote and nurture the student-athletes motivation to remain engaged in both sports and educational commitments.

Subjects Kinesiology, Psychiatry and Psychology
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INTRODUCTION

At the university level, student-athletes present several social, cultural, and individual challenges to pursue their sport and education paths (i.e., dual-career), especially at the start of the college degree (Aquilina, 2013; Condello et al., 2019; Gaston-Gayles & Baker, 2015; Ryba, Ronkainen & Selänne, 2015; Simons, Van Rheenen & Covington, 1999). The attention in dual-career, defined as “a career with major focus on sport and study or work” (Stambulova & Wylleman, 2015), has increased in the past years (Stambulova & Wylleman, 2019). Considering the different sports and educational contexts and the various dual-career approaches in place in the European Member States, the European dual-career recommendations urge strategies to foster the student-athletes motivation to pursue their academic and sports achievements (European Commission, 2012). Indeed, motivation is determinant to keep people involved in what they do (Ferdinand & Czernochowski, 2018; Ryan, Bradshaw & Deci, 2019). Thus, understanding student-athletes motivation for dual-career is crucial to support their career development and transitions (Stambulova, Ryba & Henriksen, 2020).

In general, dual-career pathways depend on student-athletes’ motivation, identity, health, lifestyle, and wellbeing (Aunola et al., 2018; Breslin et al., 2019; Cartigny et al., 2019; Harrison et al., 2020; Lupo et al., 2017; Martin, 2005; Ryba et al., 2016; Ryba et al., 2017; Sorkkila et al., 2018).

In studying the dual-career motivation of student-athletes from different cultures, the robustness of the psychometric instrument is crucial for cross-cultural comparisons and applied sport psychology (Joshanloo et al., 2014; Sullivan, Murphy & Blacker, 2020; Wu, Lai & Chan, 2014). Since the development of the Student-Athletes’ Motivation toward Sports and Academics Questionnaire (SAMSAQ) in the United States (Gaston-Gayles, 2005) and its validation tested in European (Guidotti & Capranica, 2013; Lupo et al., 2012; Lupo et al., 2015) and Asian (Park, Hong & Lee, 2015) contexts, the effects of different cultures and dual-career support policies have been hypothesized (Ferdinand & Czernochowski, 2018; Fortes et al., 2010; Gaston-Gayles & Baker, 2015; Guidotti & Capranica, 2013; Guidotti, Cortis & Capranica, 2015; Lupo et al., 2012; Lupo et al., 2015; Park, Hong & Lee, 2015).

However, a lack of knowledge for Latin America’s countries is still present.

Brazil is the largest country in Latin America. In having a federal structure, Brazil presents contrasting demographic characteristics and cultural backgrounds (Hofstede et al., 2010). While the Brazilian regulation of sports at the federal level, including university sports, was established in 1941 (Brasil, 1941), rules and criteria for the allocation of public resources to the sports sector were established in 1998, assigning responsibility to sports organizations concerning the educational system and vice versa (Brasil, 1998). In particular, the Brazilian University Sports Confederation is responsible for organizing and developing university sports, whereas the Ministry of Education has the primary responsibility of the sports policies allowing athletes to combine their dual-career. Brazilian public higher education institutions enroll around two million students per year (Instituto Nacional De Estudos e Pesquisas Educacionais Anísio Teixeira, 2018). As for private higher education institutions, around six million students enroll each year (Instituto Nacional De Estudos e Pesquisas Educacionais Anísio Teixeira, 2018). Public universities often provide sports infrastructure.
for students and private universities offer financial support for athletes. However, federal regulation of dual-career policy for student-athletes in Brazil is still not warranted (Carvalho & Hass, 2015). In the absence of a clear dual-career policy in the Brazilian sports system, some sports areas tend to be privileged, and others may be left unattended, leaving gaps in public service coverage. Especially at the state and local levels, programs and actions appear to vary according to different political approaches (Houlihan, 2005). Therefore, differences among Brazilian states and the country’s federalism structure could provide a different level of dual-career support and influence student-athletes’ motivation (Guidotti, Cortis & Capranica, 2015).

There have been problems to replicate psychological results, also referred to as the crisis of confidence (Open Science Collaboration, 2015). With few exceptions (Schweizer & Furley, 2016), sports psychology has overlooked this debate. One of the general debate consequences has been the increased awareness of the limitations and inappropriateness of testing null-hypotheses, establishing statistical significance and p-value use (Amrhein & Greenland, 2018; McShane et al., 2019). Indeed, psychology research deals with complex interactions, noisy measurements, often expected between-individuals heterogeneity, and non-representative and imbalanced samples. To account for different sources of inferential uncertainty, Bayesian methods allow combining the information known before seeing the data (i.e., the prior uncertainty concerning a parameter or hypothesis expressed as a probability distribution) with what is learned from the observed data (i.e., the likelihood of the data conditioned on the parameter or hypothesis) to update knowledge expressed as the posterior distribution (Kennedy & Gelman, 2020; Lee & Wagenmakers, 2013).

Furthermore, the analysis and interpretation in sports psychology research often deal with traditional single-level approaches, albeit with the limitations noted in several scientific areas (Gelman & Shalizi, 2013). A multilevel modeling framework provides a flexible alternative that intuitively considers the data structure and the different sources of variation, providing trustable estimations and predictions for a target population (Gelman & Hill, 2007). The framework has been noted as valuable to advancing cross-culture studies in psychology (Van Hoorn, 2015). Another main advantage of multilevel modeling lies in the natural fit of repeated measures (Singer & Willett, 2003). Considering the lack of longitudinal studies on student-athlete motivation (Stambulova & Wylleman, 2019), multilevel modeling is highly recommended to improve our understanding of Brazilian student-athletes’ motivation toward dual-career.

The present research made use of a Bayesian approach and conducted four studies to examine the validity of the Portuguese version of the harmonized Italian Student-athletes’ Motivation toward Sports and Academics Questionnaire (SAMSAQ-IT/A; Guidotti & Capranica, 2013) and to assess its usefulness in discriminating the influence of academic and sport contexts as sources of variation in the scores in cross-sectional and longitudinal research approaches. In study one, we aimed to translate and explore the psychometric structure of the Portuguese version of the SAMSAQ-IT/A (SAMSAQ-PT) using Bayesian exploratory factor analysis. In study two, we tested the factor structure of the questionnaire that emerged from study one by applying a Bayesian confirmatory factor analysis with an independent sample. Based on the evidence of construct validity, in study three, we
aimed to examine the construct validity of the questionnaire in a cross-sectional sample considering sex, sport level, the student-athlete status, and the type of university attended applying Bayesian multilevel regression. Lastly, in study four, we aimed to explore the student-athletes’ motivation scores’ sensitiveness. Hence, we considered a longitudinal measure design across an academic year to analyze changes in motivation scores adjusted for sex, sport level, student-athlete status, and type of university.

RESEARCH DESIGN

The research ethics committee of the Federal University of Santa Catarina approved the present research (no. 2.949.805) and voluntary student-athlete provided written consent to participate in the study. The inclusion criteria for recruiting participants encompassed: (1) to be enrolled in a higher education degree; and (2) to compete in organized sports of the Brazilian University Sports Confederation. Data were collected during the Santa Catarina University Games in July 2018 and 2019 (i.e., state Games) and the Brazilian University Games in November 2018 and October 2019 (i.e., national Games). The state games had about 800 athletes, while the national games had about 2,000 athletes participating. Studies one, two, and three comprised participants only from cross-sectional observations. Study four comprised participants from repeated observations across an academic year (measured in 2018 and 2019). Supplementary materials including data and code are available at https://osf.io/cpwdv/.

STUDY ONE

This study aimed to translate and explore the psychometric structure of the SAMSAQ-PT using Bayesian exploratory factor analysis. Independent forward and backward Portuguese translations of the SAMSAQ-IT/A (Guidotti & Capranica, 2013) and cognitive interviews were performed to ensure a conceptually and semantic trustable instrument applied in the Brazilian context (Herdman, Fox-Rushby & Badia, 1997; Su & Parham, 2002). Then, the SAMSAQ-PT was administered to a subsample of 74 Brazilian university student-athletes who individually completed the 30-item SAMSAQ-PT, indicating their level of agreement with the statements on a 6-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree). Interviews aiming to ascertain the reasons behind responses were performed to verify the instructions, items, and response options. Therefore, the SAMSAQ-PT was considered suitable to be administered to Brazilian student-athletes.

Methods

Participants

The total sample comprises 862 student-athletes from all five regions of Brazil; however, about 72.6% were from the same region (south). The total sample was split into two independent samples generated through random numbers. In study one, we used the first 50% of the dataset. The sample comprised 248 female and 183 male student-athletes ($M_{age} = 21.7, SD = 3.4$ yrs) enrolled in public ($n = 200$) and private ($n = 231$) Brazilian universities and competing at international ($n = 33$), national, ($n = 131$), state ($n = 74$)
and university \((n = 193)\) levels. Descriptive analysis is presented in Table S1 (available at https://osf.io/cpwdv/).

**Data analysis**

A Bayesian exploratory factor analysis (BEFA) was conducted, with an initial four latent factors maximum \((K_{\text{max}})\) constraint \((\text{Guidotti \\& Capranica, 2013})\). Then, different factor-structures testing different models were allowed if the original model factor-structure was not confirmed. A total of 60,000 iterations with a burn-in period of 5,000 iterations were run. Default identification restriction \((N_{\text{id}} = 1)\) was used, which lies on the minimum number of manifest variables dedicated to each factor Metropolis–Hastings’ acceptance rate was used to retain items’ posterior probabilities of being different from zero. Considering it is an exploratory analysis, a minimum posterior mean of 3 \((\text{cut-off point})\) was set as an acceptable value to retain an item \((\text{Peeters, 2012})\). Although there is no established recommendation of the minimum acceptable value to retain an item in BEFA, we set it as 3 for convenience (representing a closer interpretation of the frequentist factor loading “0.3”). Thus, items with factor values lower than three were excluded. The BEFA estimates were obtained using the “BayesFM” package \((\text{Conti et al., 2014})\), available as a package in the R statistical language \((\text{R Core Team, 2018})\).

**Results**

The SAMSAQ-PT presented a three-factor structure \((\text{Table 1})\). Due to low factor loadings \((< 3)\), items 11 (2.74), 18 (1.92), 25 (2.60), and 30 (1.92) were excluded. In particular, seven items loaded the factor named “Sport Motivation” \((\text{SM})\), sixteen items loaded the factor named “Academic Motivation” \((\text{AM})\), and three items loaded the factor named “Career Motivation” \((\text{CM})\).

**Discussion**

Considering the translation and psychometric structure of the SAMSAQ-PT, we observed a three-factor structure. This structure is in line with the original American and the versions validated for Emirati, European, and Korean student-athletes \((\text{Gaston-Gayles, 2005; Fortes et al., 2010; Lupo et al., 2015; Park, Hong \\& Lee, 2015})\). In particular, SM items express the desire for sports performance and for continuing sports career \((\text{Keshtidar \\& Behzadnia, 2017})\), which is influenced by cultural and educational context for pursuing a sports performance \((\text{Fernandes, Moreira \\& Gonçalves, 2019; Lupo et al., 2015})\); the AM items mirror the academic commitment of student-athletes, measuring the Brazilian student-athletes’ engagement in an educational/ vocational path concerning a sport or a dual-career path \((\text{Cartigny et al., 2019})\), probably considering the academic degree relevant for future jobs and professional careers \((\text{Fortes et al., 2010})\); and the CM items present a desire for developing a professional sports career \((\text{Gaston-Gayles, 2005})\). Thus, it could be speculated that the Brazilian student-athletes may perceive sport and academic commitments separately.
| Item                                                                 | Factors |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 1. I am confident that I can achieve a high-grade point average this year (3.0 or above) | 5.02    |
| 2. Achieving a high level of performance in my sport is an important goal for me this year | 4.61    |
| 3. It is important to me to learn what is taught in my courses      | 5.51    |
| 4. I am willing to put in the time to earn excellent grades in my courses | 5.19    |
| 5. Within an academic environment, I find it more challenging to face difficult tasks | 4.42    |
| 6. For me, studies are important to achieve knowledge and skills     | 5.52    |
| 7. I will be able to use what is taught in my courses in different aspects of my life outside of school | 5.38    |
| 8. I chose to play my sport, because it is something I am interested in as a career | 3.78    |
| 9. For me, it is important to train seriously to improve my performance | 4.77    |
| 10. I chose (or will choose) my major, because it is something I am interested in as a career | 4.93    |
| 11. Earning a high-grade point average (27/30 or above) is not an important goal for me this year | 2.70    |
| 12. It is important to me to learn the skills and strategies taught by my coaches | 5.24    |
| 13. It is important for me to do better than other athletes in my sport | 3.99    |
| 14. The time I spend engaged in my sport is enjoyable to me          | 4.23    |
| 15. It is worth the effort to be an exceptional athlete in my sport  | 4.61    |
| 16. The achievement of a degree is important to enrich my knowledge  | 5.63    |
| 17. In sport, I find stimulating those situations requiring high performances and being difficult to perform | 4.87    |
| 18. During the years, I compete in my sport, completing a college degree is not a goal for me | 2.09    |
| 19. I am confident that I can be a star performer on my team this year | 4.12    |
| 20. My goal is to make it to the professional level or the Olympics in my sport | 3.49    |
| 21. Situations that allow me to test my capacities stimulate me      | 4.94    |

*(continued on next page)*
Table 1 (continued)

| Item                                                                 | Factors |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 22. I am confident that I can make it to an elite level in my sport (Professional/Olympics) | SM 3.77 |
| 23. I am confident that I can earn a college degree                    | AM 5.70 |
| 24. I will be able to use the skills I learn in my sport in other areas of my life outside of sports | CM 5.40 |
| 25. Achieving high performances in my sport is not an important goal for me this year |         |
| 26. For me, it is important to achieve high performances and not to make mistakes |         |
| 27. I am willing to put in the time to be outstanding in my sport       |         |
| 28. The content of most of my courses is interesting to me              |         |
| 29. It is important for me to obtain a degree, because it will help me to find a job |         |
| 30. It is not worth the effort to earn excellent grades in my courses   |         |

Notes.
SM, Sport Motivation; AM, Academic Motivation; CM, Career Motivation.
*Items excluded.

STUDY TWO

The purpose of study two was to test the 26-item of the 3-factor structure questionnaire emerging from study one by applying a Bayesian confirmatory factor analysis with an independent sample. Specifically, it was intended to provide evidence to allow an informed generalization of the questionnaire factor structure.

Methods

Participants

The participants considered in this study were the other half of the total cross-sectional sample. In particular, 242 female and 99 male student-athletes (M age = 21.6, SD = 3.5 yrs) enrolled in public (n = 201) and private (n = 230) Brazilian universities and competing at international (n = 26), national, (n = 139), state (n = 86) and university (n = 180) levels.

Data analysis

A Bayesian Confirmatory Factor Analysis (BCFA) was applied to examine the model factorial structure. Two chains for 10,000 iterations with 2,000 used as warm-up, using probabilistic programming language Stan (Carpenter et al., 2017). The model estimations were regularized using normal prior (0, 10) for the manifest variable (intercept) and normal prior (0, 1) for the latent variable were set. A posterior latent variable closer to 0.5 (Merkle & Rosseel, 2018) was set as a satisfactory value to retain an item based on the literature. Moreover, Bayesian root mean square error of approximation (BRMSEA), Bayesian Gamma Hat (BGammaHat), Adjusted Bayesian Gamma Hat (adjBgammaHat), and Bayesian McDonald’s centrality index (BMc) were also applied to confirm the model fit (Montenegro-Montenegro, 2020). BRMSEA value close to 0.5 and BGammaHat, adj
BGammaHat and BMc values close to one indicate a better fit. The BCFA was conducted using the “blavaan” package (Merkle & Rosseel, 2018) in the R software (R Core Team, 2018).

Results

In the BCFA, items 1 (0.28), 10 (0.45), 12 (0.47), 14 (0.25) and 21 (0.38) presented posterior values lower than 0.5 and were excluded. Additionally, this first model did not present good evidence of model fit (BRMSEA = 0.08; BGammaHat = 0.87; adjBgammahat = 0.84; BMc = 0.43). The new model (21 items) was further tested and four items [items 5 (0.30), 17 (0.35), 24 (0.33), and 29 (0.39)] with low factor load values were excluded. In this model, fit indexes were superior, but not well adequate (BRMSEA = 0.07; BGammaHat = 0.93; adjBgammahat = 0.89; BMc = 0.67). Thus, the resulting 17-item model presented factor loadings close or above 0.5 for all items (Table S2, available at https://osf.io/cpwdv/). Only item 13 presented factor loading (0.49) bellow 0.5. Thus, the item was retained. Additionally, fit indexes showed satisfactory adequacy (BRMSEA = 0.06; BGammaHat = 0.96; adjBgammahat = 0.93; BMc = 0.84).

Discussion

In this study, we tested the factor structure of SAMSAQ-PT, which emerged from study one by applying BCFA. Our observations confirmed the three-factor structure but indicated a better structure composed of 17 items for SM (7 items), AM (7 items), and CM (3 items). The confirmatory factor analysis of the SAMSAQ-PT substantiated the three-factor structure (Fortes et al., 2010; Gaston-Gayles, 2005; Guidotti et al., 2013; Lupo et al., 2015; Park, Hong & Lee, 2015), even though to avoid misinterpretations, the Bayesian inference based on strong similarities determined the substantial reduction of the item’s distribution. Different factor structures can be expected due to dual-career policies and social-cultural contexts, as well as to the sport and educational levels of student-athletes (Fortes et al., 2010; Gaston-Gayles, 2005; Guidotti et al., 2013; Lupo et al., 2015; Lupo et al., 2017; Park, Hong & Lee, 2015; Stambulova & Alfermann, 2009). Given the Brazilian miscegenation and the potential influence of European and other cultures on Brazilian student-athletes, both similarities and differences with other cultures were expected.

Regarding the similarities, the AM dimension presented stable comparing to other SAMSAQ validations (Gaston-Gayles, 2005; Guidotti et al., 2013; Lupo et al., 2015; Lupo et al., 2017; Park, Hong & Lee, 2015), although in the present version we had a higher reduction of items. The results may indicate that the academic motivation of higher education student-athletes is a drive based on similar purposes, such as learning (item 3), get good grades (item 4), and the course content (item 28). There were divergences, such as item 16 (the achievement of a degree is important to enrich my knowledge) had loaded in a different dimension than the academic motivation (Lupo et al., 2017). However, this item has a clear academic motivation.

Our results were consistent with the other validations in the SM dimension, but there is less agreement about the items from this dimension across the validation studies (Lupo et al., 2015; Lupo et al., 2017; Gaston-Gayles, 2005; Park, Hong & Lee, 2015). The feeling of being better than other athletes (item 13) is an example of how it can vary between
the validations. It represents a sport and career motivation (Lupo et al., 2017), sport motivation (federation structure; Lupo et al., 2015; Gaston-Gayles, 2005), or even academic motivation (Park, Hong & Lee, 2015). In summary, there was a shift of items in SM and CM dimensions in the factor structure of the SAMSAQ-PT. The items with explicit statements focused on professional or athletic careers loaded in the CM. The results suggest that Brazilian student-athletes interpret their motivation for a high level of performance or athletic career as a priority.

**STUDY THREE**

In this study, we aimed to examine the construct validity of the questionnaire in a cross-sectional sample considering sex, sport level, student-athlete status, and the type of university. In particular, the student-athlete status was considered as any document from the higher education institution that guarantees support for student-athletes (e.g., flexible exam schedule when representing their university or their country in competitions).

**Participants**

The whole sample of 862 student-athletes (females: 56.8%; males: 43.4%) enrolled in public 
\(n = 401\) and private \(n = 461\) Brazilian universities and competing at international \(n = 59\), national \(n = 270\), state \(n = 160\) and university \(n = 373\) levels participated in this study.

**Data analysis**

Multilevel regression models were used to estimate SAMSAQ-PT and its dimensions among Brazilian student-athletes when grouped by sex (e.g., female and male), sports level (e.g., international, national, state and university), type of university (e.g., public and private) and student-athletes’ status (e.g., yes and no). The multilevel models estimate the individual scores relying on the available information of individuals characteristics and using additional “random” predictors such as group or context characteristics (for individual \(i\), with indexes, \(s\), \(a\), \(l\), and \(u\) for, sex, student-athlete status, sport level, and type of university, respectively). In Bayesian terms, these “random” or “group-level” effects are related to each other by their grouping structure, and the individuals’ responses are partially pooled towards the group mean (Gelman & Hill, 2007), as follows:

\[
y_i = \beta^0 + \alpha^\text{sex}_{[s]} + \alpha^\text{student-athlete status}_{[a]} + \alpha^\text{sport level}_{[l]} + \alpha^\text{type of university}_{[u]} + \epsilon_i
\]

\[
\alpha^\text{sex}_{[s]} \sim N(0, \sigma^2\text{sex}_{[s]}), \text{ for } s = 1, 2.
\]

\[
\alpha^\text{student-athlete status}_{[a]} \sim N(0, \sigma^2\text{student-athlete status}_{[a]}), \text{ for } a = 1, 2.
\]

\[
\alpha^\text{sport level}_{[l]} \sim N(0, \sigma^2\text{sport level}_{[l]}), \text{ for } l = 1, 2, 3, 4.
\]

\[
\alpha^\text{type of university}_{[u]} \sim N(0, \sigma^2\text{type of university}_{[u]}), \text{ for } u = 1, 2.
\]

\[
\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2\text{y}_{[i]})
\]

Weakly informative prior distributions, normal prior \((0, 10)\) for population-level effect (intercept), and normal priors \((0,1)\) for group-level effects (i.e., the standard deviations of varying intercepts) to regularize the multilevel model estimations were used. Two chains
for 4,000 iterations with a warm-up length of 1,000 iterations to ensure convergence of the Markov chains were run. To check the models and estimations, trace plots to examine the convergence of Markov chains and posterior predictive checks to validate the models were used (Gelman et al., 2013). The Bayesian multilevel models were fitted with the “brms” package (Bürkner, 2017), available as a package in the R statistical language (RCoreTeam, 2018). The brms package implements Bayesian multilevel models using the probabilistic language Stan (Carpenter et al., 2017). For computational and interpretation convenience, the outcomes were standardized (z-scores). This methodology is also described in previous studies (Quinaud et al., 2020; Quinaud et al., 2020b).

Results
Figures 1–3 present the standardized values of the SAMSAQ-PT’s dimensions related to the respondents’ sex, type of universities, sport levels, and student-athletes’ status. Male student-athletes presented higher effect scores for SM and CM dimensions. On the other hand, female student-athletes presented higher scores for AM, albeit with a small magnitude. Student-athletes from private universities had substantially higher scores than student-athletes from public universities for SM, AM, and CM dimensions, but at best, the magnitude was small for AM. Considering sport level variation, student-athletes who competed at higher level of performance showed higher scores than those of lower competitive levels for SM and CM dimensions. Lastly, there was no substantial variation in the motivation scores by student-athlete status. Table S3 (available at https://osf.io/cpwdv/) presents the SAMSAQ-PT estimates and uncertainty (90% confidence intervals).

Discussion
We tested the SAMSAQ-PT construct validity by exploring the variation in the motivation scores considering student-athletes’ individual, sport, and academic characteristics. There was substantial variation in the SAMSAQ-PT dimension scores by sex, university type, and sport level. The observed substantial gender-related variation in SM and CM could be due to lower dual career support for Brazilian female athletes and limited economic opportunities for top-level women’s sport (Harrison et al., 2020). Coherently, during the academic path, the highest effect scores for AM emerged for female student-athletes, who might emphasize more their academic career concerning their sports career (Tekavc, Wylleman & Cecić-Erpić, 2015). These findings urge implementing sex-related dual careers at the sport and public education level, as envisaged by the European-funded Collaborative Partnership “Dual Career for Women Athletes” (DONA). Consistent with previous studies (Guidotti et al., 2013; Lupo et al., 2017), the sport level resulted as a predictor of CM and SM scores. It seems reasonable to assume that student-athletes competing at a higher level might be more motivated to pursue their sports career compared to their pairs competing at lower levels, whereas it is plausible to assume that AM is linked to the academic motivation of the athlete (Gaston-Gayles, 2005; Guidotti et al., 2013; Lupo et al., 2015; Lupo et al., 2017).

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the student-athletes’ motivation related to university type (e.g., public and private). Indeed, the social context of universities shapes the opinions of student-athletes (Druckman et al., 2014). The present findings highlight that Brazilian student-athletes’ sports and education commitments vary between
Figure 1  Posterior values for Sport Motivation dimension by sex, type of universities, competitive levels and student-athletes’ status (67% and 90% credible intervals).

public and private universities. Concerning their peers from public universities, in the present models, student-athletes from private universities have a high probability of scoring higher values for CM and SM, probably due to dual-career financial and logistic support (Aquilina, 2013; Aquilina & Henry, 2010). Enrolling 75% of the total undergraduate students (Instituto Nacional de Estudos e Pesquisas Educacionais Anísio Teixeira, 2018), private Brazilian universities might employ marketing strategies incorporating student-athletes to increase the media attention leveraging the universities’ profile (Harrison et al., 2010; Teixeira, 2010). Unlike private universities, Brazilian public universities do not require tuition fees and offer academic undergraduate and graduate degrees (e.g., master’s and Ph.D.), opportunities for research, and internships, which might contribute to future professional advantages (Ceci’Erpić, Wylleman & Zupančič, 2004). Thus, compared to their counterparts enrolled in private universities, student-athletes attending public universities could show lower SM when prioritizing their academic careers to prepare for future job opportunities (Amara et al., 2004; McKenna & Dunstan-Lewis, 2004). Additionally, the
Brazilian university sports facilitating sub-elite athletes’ participation in public institutions are still in a developmental phase (Starepravo et al., 2010).

At the international level, there is a call for awareness of the role and responsibilities of dual-career actors (Condello et al., 2019), and the implementation of support policies for student-athletes is a priority of the European Union (Aquilina & Henry, 2010; European Commission, 2012; European Parliament, 2015; European Parliament, 2017). Indeed, student-athletes should be informed about their rights, and the implementation of dual-career counseling has been strongly recommended to help them manage their academic and sports commitment (Hansen & Sackett, 1993; Martin, 2005; López de Subijana, Barriopedro & Conde, 2015). During the academic path, a recognized student-athlete status could allow the monitoring of the academic and sport progresses to individualize necessary dual-career support. Although this status has been considered crucial to influence substantially the athlete’s motivation to achieve an academic degree, especially for those determined to pursue a sports career, the present models do not support this hypothesis. Thus, it is likely

**Figure 2** Posterior values for Academic Motivation dimension by sex, type of universities, competitive levels and student-athletes’ status (67% and 90% credible intervals). DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11863/fig-2
needed to take a step back and review the conceptions of support based on interactions at and across environmental levels (Knight, Hardwood & Sellars, 2018).

**STUDY FOUR**

To explore the SAMSAQ-PT scores’ sensitiveness, we considered repeated measures across an academic year was considered for the analysis of changes in motivation scores adjusted for sex, sport level, student-athlete status, and type of university. Given attrition expected in longitudinal observations, a Bayesian multilevel regression modeling and poststratification were used to predict the changes applied to all observations in the cross-sectional data. Bayesian multilevel regression and poststratification allow for improved estimations of small and sparse group data (in the present study, the longitudinal observations) and consequently predicts a target population (in the present study, the cross-sectional observations) (Gelman & Little, 1997; Park, Gelman & Bafumi, 2004; Kennedy & Gelman, 2020).
Methods

Participants
This study included 99 female and 35 male student-athletes enrolled in public (n = 68) and private (n = 66) Brazilian universities competing at international (n = 12), national (n = 39), state (n = 25), and university (n = 58) levels. Data were collected with a one-year interval (2018 and 2019) during the state and national University championships.

Data analysis
Due to the presence of non-representative and imbalanced data, with hierarchical sources of variation or cross-classified nesting, the first step of the analytical approach was to fit multilevel models to the repeated measures data, allowing for the possibility of varying intercepts (i.e., baseline values) and slope (changes in individuals outcomes across the period of observation) by sex, student-athlete status, sport level, and type of university (for individual i, with indexes, s, a, l, and u for, sex, student-athlete status, sport level, and type of university, respectively). Considering the homogeneity of slopes by group, we fitted varying intercepts models with measurement time as a population-level effect. The multilevel model specification was as follows:

\[ y_i = \beta_0 s[i]^{sex} + \alpha_{\text{student-athlete status}} a[i] + \alpha_{\text{sport level}} l[i] + \alpha_{\text{type of university}} u[i] + \epsilon_i \]

\[ \alpha_{\text{sex}} s[i] \sim N(0, \sigma_{\text{sex}}^2) \text{, for } s = 1, 2. \]
\[ \alpha_{\text{student-athlete status}} a[i] \sim N(0, \sigma_{\text{student-athlete status}}^2) \text{, for } a = 1, 2. \]
\[ \alpha_{\text{sport level}} l[i] \sim N(0, \sigma_{\text{sport level}}^2) \text{, for } l = 1, 2, 3, 4. \]
\[ \alpha_{\text{type of university}} u[i] \sim N(0, \sigma_{\text{type of university}}^2) \text{, for } u = 1, 2. \]
\[ \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_y^2) \]

Then, the multilevel model estimates to predict the student-athletes’ outcomes for groups defined in a poststratification dataset (i.e., measurement time, sex, student-athlete status, sport level, and type of university) were used. The poststratification table considers the cross-sectional data sample in this research as a target population. The poststratification table has an observation corresponding to each group defined for all combinations of the variables included in the model. In this study, the poststratification table included two repeated measurement levels, two sex levels, two student-athlete status levels, four sport levels, and two type of university levels, encompassing 64 rows (2 × 2 × 2 × 4 × 2), including the sample size, in each group. After predicting the outcome variable for each group, estimates for measurement time with the subgroup sample sizes were aggregated. Hence, the method allowed full use of all available data to interpret the changes in student-athletes’ motivation scores, adjusted for individual and contextual characteristics. The model’s estimates were regularized by using normal prior (0,10) for population-level effect (intercept) and normal priors (0,1) for group-level effects. Four chains for 4,000 iterations with 1,000 burn-in iterations were run. The models were obtained using the “brms” package (Bürkner, 2017).
Results
Predicted changes for SM, AM, and CM, after an academic year and adjusted for sex, type of university, sport level, and student-athletes’ status are summarized in Fig. 4. The influence of the individual and context characteristics was similar at the baseline and after an academic year. The post-stratified predictions for the total sample showed a trend of stability for all student-athlete motivation scores after an academic year, although there was a slight decrease in SM.

Discussion
We explored the student-athletes’ motivation scores’ sensitiveness across an academic year adjusted for sex, sport level, student-athlete status, and type of university. The results showed that student-athletes’ motivation was stable across an academic year. In addition to limited variations observed across an academic year, uncertainty estimates were narrow after adjusting for sex, type of university, sport level, and student-athletes’ status. These findings suggest that SAMSAQ-PT may be sensitive to track individuals changes over time. Although sports burnout was beyond the aim of the present study, decreased SM
over time could be considered an indicator in interpreting dual career paths (Sorkkila et al., 2018), monitoring university dual-career social contexts, or highlighting the needs of the dual-career implementation. The present predictive models indicate that the student-athletes’ motivation could be influenced by the university context and how it cares about the requirements necessary to combine sport and academic commitments, especially when the academic requirements increase towards graduation. Based on the present longitudinal observations, the university sports contexts appear not to motivate student-athletes in their dual-career. Future studies focusing on support dual-career policies could contribute to a sound interpretation of how institutions and managers deal with dual-career needs and services.

While in some countries, a well-systematized relationship between education and high-performance sport seems to determine the high motivation for an athletic career (Fortes et al., 2010; Simons, Van Rheenen & Covington, 1999), in European countries with distinct academic and sports systems, athletes are confronted with the choice of one of the two paths (European Commission, 2016). In Brazil, sports and educational systems are also distinct. In line with the development of university sports in Brazil, the awareness of the importance of supporting student-athletes dual-career increases (Guidotti, Cortis & Capranica, 2015; Stambulova & Wylleman, 2019). While the present results showed that student-athletes’ motivation does not vary substantially across an academic year, further longitudinal studies are needed to verify whether student-athletes are motivated towards a dual-career until their graduation. Indeed, cooperation between the academic and sports sectors needs to be implemented for providing a supportive entourage for student-athletes.

**GENERAL DISCUSSION**

Considering that contexts influence the motivation towards dual-career of athletes and its specificities in the development of guidelines to support student-athletes, and contribute to the discussion on student-athletes’ motivation (European Commission, 2012). The four experimental studies encompassing BEFA, BCFA, and Bayesian multilevel regression models in cross-sectional and longitudinal samples validated the psychometric structure and assessed the sensitiveness of the SAMSAQ-PT instrument in a Brazilian context. Furthermore, the present study presents methodological and practical implications. Indeed, cross-cultural variation and confidence in the measured outcomes need to be established when adapting a psychometric scale to a specific context.

Given the debate concerning the limitations and inappropriateness of null-hypothesis testing, “statistical significance” and using p-values (Amrhein & Greenland, 2018; McShane et al., 2019), the Bayesian statistics was deemed appropriate to provide a natural approach to account for different sources of inferential uncertainty (Kennedy & Gelman, 2020). Furthermore, in the present study, the analytical approach to validate a questionnaire using a multilevel modeling framework was considered appropriate to deal with common limitations of sports psychology research, such as noisy measurements, between-individuals heterogeneity, complex interactions between outcomes, and non-representative and imbalanced samples. The present data showed that the questionnaire scales were sensitive
to the individual and contextual characteristics of the target population. Overall, the SAMSAQ-PT was a valid questionnaire for the Brazilian context and may be extended to Portuguese-speaking countries. Lastly, the study contributes to the propositions of career construction theories within university-student athletes (Rudolph et al., 2019). Finally, data, codes and supplementary tables from the present research are available at (https://osf.io/cpwdv/).

Regarding the practical implications, the present findings provide a view of the Brazilian educational system and how it might be related to the student-athletes’ motivation towards a dual career. In particular, relevant higher education stakeholders should cooperate in implementing regulations and policies fostering the development of dual-career for supporting student-athletes in combining sports and academic commitments. Considering that no variation was observed across an academic year, sports counseling or dual-career developmental programs should focus on first-year students. Likely highly motivated student-athletes since their first year of university will be engaged in a dual-career during higher education and beyond. Local and national sports policies should also consider the need to decrease sex-related differences by providing more opportunities for women in sports and increase media attention for public universities and women in sports.

Despite the advantages of a Bayesian multilevel modeling approach and a large sample of student-athletes, the present study presents some limitations. Only student-athletes competing in the University Sports Games were considered even though university students could compete in other Brazilian championships. Thus, further studies are needed to provide insights into the sport and academic development. Another limitation pertains to the lack of information on individual and contextual characteristics such as chronological age, academic course and year, or type of sport (team or individual) that prevented further in-depth analyses. Future research is envisaged to investigate the student-athlete background and transition from high school to higher education and their motivation to choose public or private universities related to their dual-career.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The present study assessed the validity of the Portuguese version of the SAMSAQ-IT/A for the Brazilian context and potentially can add to advance the understanding of the student-athletes’ motivation for a dual-career in other Portuguese-speaking countries. The use of the Bayesian estimations for psychometric analysis, multilevel regression and poststratification added to the analysis of the validity of psychometric scales in the study of student-athletes, which might suggest the revision of scales used in other countries. Based on the findings, it is possible to assume that individual and contextual characteristics need to be considered when investigating dual-career motivation. Based on the Brazilian context, the academic (public and private universities) and the sport (sport level) contexts may substantially impact student-athletes’ motivation. Although the student-athletes’ motivation overtime did not present substantial variation, the motivation decreased with time. Thus, the present results highlight the need to monitor the athlete’s motivation towards sports and academic achievements until graduation to develop optimal dual-career paths. The final translated and validated version of the SAMSAQ-PT is presented in Appendix S1.
Funding
Ricardo T. Quinaud was supported by a grant from the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - CAPES (finance code 001). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures
The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:
The Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - CAPES (finance code 001).

Competing Interests
The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions
• Ricardo T. Quinaud conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
• Carlos E. Gonçalves and Laura Capranica conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
• Kauana Possamai and Cristiano Zarbato Morais performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
• Humberto M. Carvalho conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

Ethics
The following information was supplied relating to ethical approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):
The Federal University of Santa Catarina granted Ethical approval to carry out the study (CAAE: 96479318.8.0000.0121).

Data Availability
The following information was supplied regarding data availability:
The data and code are available at OSF: Quinaud, R, Gonçalves, CE, Possamai, K, Morais,CZ, Capranica, L and Carvalho, HM. 2021. “Validity and Usefulness of the Student-Athletes’ Motivation toward Sport and Academics Questionnaire: A Bayesian Multilevel Approach.” OSF. Data and Code. https://osf.io/cpwdv/.

Supplemental Information
Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11863#supplemental-information.
REFERENCES

Amara M, Aquilina D, Henry I, PMP Consultants. 2004. *Education of young sportspersons*. Brussels: European Commission Directorate-General Education and Culture.

Amrhein V, Greenland S. 2018. Remove, rather than redefine, statistical significance. *Nature Human Behaviour* 2(1):4 DOI 10.1038/s41562-017-0224-0.

Aquilina D. 2013. A study of the relationship between elite athletes’ educational development and sporting performance. *The International Journal of the History of Sport* 30(4):374–392 DOI 10.1080/09523367.2013.765723.

Aquilina D, Henry I. 2010. Elite athletes and university education in Europe: a review of policy and practice in higher education in the European Union Member States. *International Journal of Sport Policy* 2(1):25–47 DOI 10.1080/19406941003634024.

Aunola K, Selänne A, Selänne H, Ryba TV. 2018. The role of adolescent athletes’ task value patterns in their educational and athletic career aspirations. *Learning and Individual Differences* 63:34–43 DOI 10.1016/j.lindif.2018.03.004.

Brasil. 1941. Decreto-lei no 3.199, de 14 de abril de 1941. Estabelece as bases de organização dos desportos em todo o país, Diário. Available at https://legis.senado.leg.br/norma/528286.

Brasil. 1998. Lei no 9.615 De 24 de Março de 1998. Institui Normas Gerais Sobre Desporto E Dá Outras Providências. Available at https://www2.camara.leg.br/login/fed/lei/1998/lei-9615-24-marco-1998-351240-publicacaooiginal-1-pl.html.

Breslin G, Shannon S, Haughey T, Sarju N, Neill D, Leavey G, Lawlor M. 2019. Athlete and nonathlete intentions to self-manage mental health: applying the integrated behavior change model to the state of mind program. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology* 33(1):83–97 DOI 10.1080/10413200.2019.1629547.

Bürkner P. 2017. brms: an R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *Journal of Statistical Software* 80(1):1–28 DOI 10.18637/jss.v080.i01.

Carpenter B, Gelman A, Goodrich B, Hoffman MD, Daniel L, Betancourt M, Brubaker M, Guo J, Li P, Riddell A. 2017. Stan: a probabilistic programming language. *Journal of Statistical Software* 76(1):1–32 DOI 10.18637/jss.v076.i01.

Cartigny E, Fletcher D, Coupland C, Taylor G. 2019. Mind the gap: a grounded theory of dual career pathways in sport. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology* 33(3):280–301 DOI 10.1080/10413200.2019.1654559.

Carvalho RAT, Hass CM. 2015. Conflito na legislação brasileira referente à escolarização de seus jovens atletas. *Revista De Estudios E Investigación En Psicología Y Educación Extra Volume*(12):11–15 DOI 10.17979/reipe.2015.0.12.421.

Cecić Erpič S, Wylleman P, Zupančič M. 2004. The effect of athletic and non-athletic factors on the sports career termination process. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 5(1):45–59 DOI 10.1016/S1469-0292(02)00046-8.

Condello G, Capranica I, Doupona M, Varga K, Burk V. 2019. Dual-career through the elite university student-athletes’ lenses: the international FISU-EAS survey. *PLOS ONE* 14(10):e0223278 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0223278.
Conti G, Frühwirth-Schnatter S, Heckman JJ, Piatek R. 2014. Bayesian exploratory factor analysis. *Journal of Econometrics* 183(1):31–57 DOI 10.1016/j.jeconom.2014.06.008.

Druckman JN, Gilli M, Klar S, Robison J. 2014. The role of social context in shaping student-athlete opinions. *PLOS ONE* 9(12):1–14 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0115159.

European Commission. 2012. *Guidelines on dual careers of athletes: recommended policy actions in support of dual careers in high-performance sport*. Available at https://ec.europa.eu/assets/eac/sport/library/documents/dual-career-guidelines-final_en.pdf.

European Commission. 2016. *Study on the minimum quality requirements for dual career services*. Available at https://op.europa.eu/it/publication-detail/-/publication/e06e5845-0527-11e6-b713-01aa75ed71a1.

European Parliament. 2015. *EU sport policy. An overview*. Available at: http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2015/565908/EPRS_IDA(2015)565908_EN.pdf.

European Parliament. 2017. European parliament resolution of 2 2017 on an integrated approach to sport policy: good governance, accessibility and integrity. Available at http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?type=TA&reference=P8-TA-2017-0012&language=EN&ring=A8-2016-0381.

Ferdinand N, Czernochowski D. 2018. Motivational influences on performance monitoring and cognitive control across the adult lifespan. *Frontiers in Psychology* 26(9):1018 DOI 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01018.

Fernandes A, Moreira L, Gonçalves C. 2019. Student-athletes identity and motivation towards dual career: the context matters. *Kinesiologia Slovenica* 25(2):15–29.

Fortes PC, Rodrigues G, Tchantchane A. 2010. Investigation of academic and athletic motivation on academic performance among university students. *International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance* 1(4):367–372.

Gaston-Gayles J. 2005. The factor structure and reliability of the student athletes’ motivation toward sports and academics questionnaire (SAMSAQ). *Journal of College Student Development* 46(3):317–327 DOI 10.1353/csd.2005.0025.

Gaston-Gayles J, Baker A. 2015. Opportunities and challenges for first-year student-athletes transitioning from high school to college. *New Dir Stud Leadersh* 2015(147):43–51 DOI 10.1002/yd.20142.

Gelman A, Carlin JB, Stern HS, Dunson DB, Vehtari A, Rubin DB. 2013. *Bayesian data analysis*. Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall/CRC Press.

Gelman A, Hill J. 2007. *Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Gelman A, Little TC. 1997. Poststratification into many categories using hierarchical logistic regression. *Survey Methodology* 23(2):127–135.

Gelman A, Shalizi CR. 2013. Philosophy and the practice of Bayesian statistics. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology* 66(1):8–38 DOI 10.1111/j.2044-8317.2011.02037.

Guidotti F, Capranica L. 2013. Le motivazioni verso sport, istruzione e carriera sportiva degli studenti-atleti italiani. In: Pioletti AM, Porro N, eds. *Lo sport degli europei: cittadinanza, attività, motivazioni*. Milan: Franco Angeli.
Guidotti F, Cortis C, Capranica L. 2015. Dual career of European student-athletes: a systematic literature review. *Kinesiologia Slovenica* 21(3):5–20.

Guidotti F, Minganti C, Cortis C, Tessitore A, Piacentini MF, Capranica L. 2013. Validation of the Italian version of the student athletes’ motivation toward sport and academics questionnaire. *Sport Sciences for Health* 9(2):51–58 DOI 10.1007/s11332-013-0145.

Hansen J-IC, Sackett SA. 1993. Agreement between college major and vocational interests for female athlete and non-athlete college students. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 43(3):298–309 DOI 10.1006/jvbe.1993.1049.

Harrison GE, Vickers E, Fletcher D, Taylor G. 2020. Elite female soccer players’ dual career plans and the demands they encounter. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology* 1–22 DOI 10.1080/10413200.2020.1716871.

Harrison K, Rasmussen J, Connolly C, Janson N, Bukstein S, Parks C. 2010. Diggin’ deeper into the culture of revenue sports. *Journal for the Study of Sports and Athletes in Education* 4(3):325–332 DOI 10.1179/ssa.2010.4.3.325.

Herdman M, Fox-Rushby J, Badia X. 1997. ‘Equivalence’ and the translation and adaptation of health-related quality of life questionnaires. *Quality of Life Research* 6(3):237–247 DOI 10.1023/a:1026410721664.

Hofstede G, Garibaldi de Hilal AV, Malvezi S, Tanure B, Vinken H. 2010. Comparing regional cultures within a country: lessons from Brazil. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology* 41(3):336–352 DOI 10.1177/0022022109359696.

Houlihan B. 2005. Public sector sport policy developing a framework for analysis. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport* 40(2):163–185 DOI 10.1177/1012690205057193.

Instituto Nacional De Estudos e Pesquisas Educacionais Anísio Teixeira. 2018. *Sinópsesestatística da educação superior* 2017. Available at [http://inep.gov.br/sinopses-estatisticas-da-educacao-superior](http://inep.gov.br/sinopses-estatisticas-da-educacao-superior).

Joshanloo M, Lepshokova ZK, Panyusheva T, Natalia A, Poon W-C, Yeung VWL, Sundaram S, Achoui M, Asano R, Igarashi T, Tsukamoto S, Rizwan M, Khilji IA, Ferreira MC, Pang JS, Ho LS, Han G, Bae J, Jiang D-Y. 2014. Cross-cultural validation of fear of happiness scale across 14 national groups. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology* 45(2):246–264 DOI 10.1177/0022022113505357.

Kennedy L, Gelman A. 2020. Know your population and know your model: using model-based regression and poststratification to generalize findings beyond the observed sample. ArXiv preprint. arXiv:1906.11323v2[Stat.AP].

Keshtidar M, Behzadnia B. 2017. Prediction of intention to continue sport in athlete students: a self-determination theory approach. *PLOS ONE* 12(2)e0171673 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0171673.

Knight C, Hardwood CG, Sellars PA. 2018. Supporting adolescent athletes’ dual careers: the role of an athlete’s social support network. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise* 38:137–147 DOI 10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.06.007.
López de Subijana C, Barriopedro M, Conde E. 2015. Supporting dual career in Spain: elite athletes’ barriers to study. Psychology of Sport and Exercise 21:57–64 DOI 10.1016/j.psychsport.2015.04.012.

Lupo C, Guidotti F, Goncalves C, Moreira L, Doupona Topic M, Bellardini H, Tonkonogi M, Colin A, Capranica L. 2015. Motivation towards dual career of European student-athletes. European Journal of Sport Science 15(2):151–160 DOI 10.1080/17461391.2014.940557.

Lupo C, Mosso CO, Guidotti F, Cugliari G, Pizzigalli I, Rainoldi A. 2017. Motivation toward dual career of Italian student-athletes enrolled in different university paths. Sport Sciences for Health 13(3):485–494 DOI 10.1007/s11332-016-0327-4.

Lupo C, Tessitore A, Capranica L, Rauter S, Doupona-Topic M. 2012. Motivation for a dual-career: Italian and Slovenian student-athletes. Kinesiologia Slovenica 18(3):47–56.

Martin SB. 2005. High school and college athletes’ attitudes toward sport psychology consulting. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology 17(2):127–139 DOI 10.1080/10413200590932434.

McKenna J, Dunstan-Lewis N. 2004. An action research approach to supporting elite student-athletes in higher education. European Physical Education Review 10(2):179–198 DOI 10.1177/1356336X04044070.

McShane BB, Gal D, Gelman A, Robert C, Tackett JL. 2019. Abandon statistical significance. The American Statistician 73(sup1):235–245 DOI 10.1080/00031305.2018.1527253.

Lee MD, Wagenmakers EJ. 2013. Bayesian cognitive modeling: a practical course. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Merkle EC, Rosseel Y. 2018. blavaan: Bayesian structural equation models via parameter expansion. Journal of Statistical Software 85(4):1–30 DOI 10.18637/jss.v085.i04.

Montenegro-Montenegro E. 2012. Bayesian exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis: Perspectives on constrained-model selection. Utrecht: Utrecht University.

Open Science Collaboration. 2020. Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. Science 349(6251):aac4716 DOI 10.1126/science.aac4716.

Park A, Gelman DK, Bafumi J. 2004. Bayesian multilevel estimation with poststratification: state-level estimates from national polls. Political Analysis 12(4):375–385 DOI 10.1093/pan/mph024.

Park S, Hong S, Lee M. 2015. Validation of the student athletes’ motivation towards sports and academics questionnaire to Korean student-athletes. Journal of Exercise Rehabilitation 11(4):220–227 DOI 10.12965/jer.150202.

Peeters CFW. 2012. Bayesian exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis: Perspectives on constrained-model selection. Utrecht: Utrecht University.

Quinaud RT, Fernandes A, Goncalves CE, Carvalho HM. 2020a. Student-athletes’ motivation and identity: variation among Brazilian and Portuguese University student athletes. Psychological Reports 123(5):1703–1723 DOI 10.1177/0033294119892885.
Quinaud RT, Gonçalves CE, Capranica L, Carvalho HM. 2020b. Factors influencing student athletes’ identity: a multilevel regression and post-stratification approach. *Perceptual and Motor Skills* 127(2):432–447 DOI 10.1177/0031512519899751.

**R Core Team.** 2018. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at https://www.r-project.org.

Ryan R, Bradshaw E, Deci E. 2019. A history of human motivation theories. In: Sternberg R, Pickren W, eds. *The Cambridge handbook of the intellectual history of psychology*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Ryba TV, Aunola K, Kalaja S, Selänne H, Ronkainen NJ, Nurmi J-E. 2016. A new perspective on adolescent athletes’ transition into upper secondary school: A longitudinal mixed methods study protocol. *Cogent Psychology* 3(1):1142412 DOI 10.1080/23311908.2016.1142412.

Ryba TV, Stambulova N, Selänne H, Aunola K, Nurmi J-E. 2017. “Sport has always been first for meĂĲ but âĂĲall my free time is spent doing homework” : Dual career styles in late adolescence. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 33:131–140 DOI 10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.08.011.

Ryba TV, Ronkainen NJ, Selänne H. 2015. Elite athletic career as a context for life design. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 88:47–55 DOI 10.1016/j.jvb.2015.02.002.

Schweizer G, Furley P. 2016. Reproducible research in sport and exercise psychology: the role of sample sizes. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 23:114–122 DOI 10.1016/j.psychsport.2015.11.005.

Simons H, Van Rheenen D, Covington M. 1999. Academic motivation and the student athlete. *Journal of College Student Development* 40:151–162.

Singer JD, Willett JB. 2003. *Applied longitudinal data analysis: modeling change and event occurrence*. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.

Sorkkila M, Ryba T, Selanne H, Aunola K. 2018. Development of school and sport burnout in adolescent student-athletes: a longitudinal mixed-methods study. *Journal of Research on Adolescence* 30(S1):115–133 DOI 10.1111/jora.12453.

Stambulova NB, Alfermann D. 2009. Putting culture into context: cultural and cross cultural perspectives in career development and transition research and practice. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology* 7(3):292–308 DOI 10.1080/1612197X.2009.9671911.

Stambulova NB, Ryba TV, Henriksen K. 2020. Career development and transitions of athletes: the International Society of Sport Psychology Position Stand Revisited. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology* Epub ahead of print Mar 20 2020 DOI 10.1080/1612197X.2020.1737836.

Stambulova N, Wylleman P. 2015. Dual career development and transitions. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 21:1–3 DOI 10.1016/j.psychsport.2015.05.003.

Stambulova N, Wylleman P. 2019. Psychology of athletes’ dual careers: a state-of-the-art critical review of the European discourse. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 42:74–88 DOI 10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.11.013.
Starepravo FA, Reis LJA, Mezzadri FM, Marchi Jr W. 2010. Esporte universitário brasileiro: uma leitura a partir de suas relações com o Estado. Revista Brasileira De Ciências Do Esporte 31(3):131–148 DOI 10.1590/S0101-32892010000300009.

Su CT, Parham LD. 2002. Generating a valid questionnaire translation for cross-cultural use. American Journal of Occupational Therapy 56(5):581–585 DOI 10.5014/ajot.56.5.581.

Sullivan P, Murphy J, Blacker M. 2020. The psychometric properties of the multi-component mental health literacy measure with a sample of student athletes and student athletic therapists. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology 33(3):343–356 DOI 10.1080/10413200.2019.1702119.

Teixeira M. 2010. Influência dos eventos esportivos no posicionamento competitivo de uma universidade particular: estudo de caso UNISANTA. Mestrado: Universidade Católica de Santos.

Tekavc J, Wylleman P, Cecić-Erpič S. 2015. Perceptions of dual career development among elite level swimmers and basketball players. Psychology of Sport and Exercise 21:27–41 DOI 10.1016/j.psychsport.2015.03.002.

Van Hoorn A. 2015. Individualist–collectivist culture and trust radius: a multilevel approach. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology 46(2):269–276 DOI 10.1177/0022022114551053.

Wu AMS, Lai MHC, Chan IT. 2014. Coaching behaviors, satisfaction of needs, and intrinsic motivation among chinese university athletes. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology 26(3):334–348 DOI 10.1080/10413200.2014.888107.