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Abstract: The casing of deformable warheads warps under the action of deforming charges. The deformation profiles may be concave-, convex-, or D-shaped, but they are all symmetrical. The D-shape is considered the optimal deformation profile. The width of the deformed surface affects the number of fragments in the target area. In order to evaluate the deformable surface width of the cylindrical casing, a criterion $\alpha$ was established and its optimum range was determined as 20 to 30%. Based on our previous theoretical analysis, a MATLAB program that can rapidly evaluate the projectile deformation surface was compiled, which was verified using LS-DYNA and experiments. The laws influencing the deforming charge width on the deformed surface of the filled cylindrical casing were also studied using the MATLAB rapid evaluation program. As the deforming charge width increased, the deformation profile of the casing gradually transferred from “inner-concave” to the “outer-convex”. In addition, a formula that can better reflect the relationship between the deforming charge width $\varphi$ and the criterion value $\alpha$ was fitted and verified. The conclusions obtained in this paper provide rapid guidance for the structural design of deformable warheads.
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1. Introduction

The dynamic responses of cylindrical casing structures under lateral impact loading often affect the national defense industry. Taking the deformable warhead as an example, the casing cross-section of a deformable warhead changes from circular to non-circular under the explosive loading of lateral deforming charge. In 1971, Kempton [1], who worked in the Navy Air Weapons Research Center, first proposed the deformable warhead prototype. Konig and Mostert [2] designed and improved the structure of the deformable warhead on the basis of previous studies, and some conventional knowledge was obtained. Lloyd [3] (pp. 49–59) reported the mechanism of deformable warheads and the obtained conclusions were enlightening. In the 1990s, Fairlie et al. [4] conducted a series of numerical simulations on the deformation mechanism of deformable warheads and the scattering process of fragments using AUTODYN-3D. Compared with the conventional fragment warhead, the deformable warhead can increase the fragment density gain and fragment velocity gain on the target, thereby increasing the damage probability of the target.

In order to facilitate the theoretical study, the deformable warhead deformation process under the action of deforming charge can be simplified into filled cylindrical casings under lateral loading. The type of lateral loading and the difference in the filling medium directly affect the dynamic response of the cylindrical casing. Tennyson [5] analyzed the buckling mechanism of the cylindrical casing...
structure in the fields of aerospace, missiles, and other weapons systems through experiments and simulations. Lindberg et al. [6] studied the transition relationships between the asymmetric load and symmetric load for the thick- and thin-walled casing structure. Gefken et al. [7] performed some buckling tests on the short cylindrical casing clamped at both ends under cosine impact loading, and the conclusion was verified by Kirkpatrick [8] using DYNA-3D. Yakupov [9,10] studied the deformation of free cylindrical casings under the action of plane and spherical waves, and the typical plastic hinge theory was applied to analyze the deformation process. Based on the theory of plastic hinges, Wierzbicki et al. [11] established the theoretical analysis model of finite length, infinite length, and stiffened cylindrical shell under the action of lateral impact loading. Hoo Fatt et al. [12] discussed the deformation and failure of free cylindrical casing under local impact loading and different load distribution types. Hu et al. [13] studied the influences of elastic modulus, casing radius, and thickness on the transient response of cylindrical casing. Yao et al. [14] proposed a new impact factor to describe underwater explosive loading. Li and Rong [15] studied the dynamic response of cylindrical casing structure subjected to underwater explosion. Hung et al. [16] investigated the dynamic response of three kinds of cylindrical casing structures subjected to underwater explosion under different stand-off distances.

In addition, many scholars have reported the response of cylindrical casing with different types of filling medium. Ma et al. [17] studied the lateral impact of spherical projectiles on the metal cylindrical casing filled with water or sand. Neilson et al. [18] analyzed the relationships between the critical penetration speed and internal pressure, based on impact tests on filled steel tubes. Gefken et al. [7] studied the dynamic response of free cylindrical shells under lateral impulsive loading, and the effect of internal pressure on the deformation of cylindrical shells was obtained. Li et al. [19] established the theoretical analysis model of metal cylindrical casing filled with liquid under lateral plane impact loading. Jama et al. [20] conducted experimental and analytical studies on the deformation of square hollow steel sections subjected to lateral blast loading. Li et al. [21] investigated two kinds of the cylindrical shell models with the same geometry characteristics: unfilled and filled with sand. Based on the plane strain hypothesis and plastic hinge theory, Ding [22–25] and Li [26] studied the deformation mechanism of filled cylindrical casings under lateral explosive loading. The dispersion rule of fragments and energy output were also studied.

For deformable warheads, the deformation profile of the casing mainly involves the deformation width and deformation shape. The deformation width and shape affect the number of effective fragments and the fragment density in the target area, respectively. In this paper, we only study the deformable surface width of the projectile. Therefore, the deformation of the cylindrical casing filled medium under lateral explosive loading is simplified. By drawing on the analysis methods used previously, we aimed to establish a criterion to evaluate the deformable surface width, and compile a MATLAB program to achieve rapid evaluation of deformable surfaces. Moreover, we wanted to establish a formula that can better reflect the relationship between the deforming charge width and criterion value, to provide rapid guidance for engineering applications.

2. Criterion $\alpha$ for Measuring the Deformable Surface Width

2.1. Establishment of Criterion $\alpha$

After the lateral explosive loading of a deforming charge, the casing of a deformable warhead is crushed under the action of the detonation products and detonation waves, and the projectile deformed surface is shown in Figure 1. According to the literature [3] (pp. 192–209), when the casing surface is similar to a D-shape, the fragments have the highest damage efficiency on the target. The above assumption enabled the theoretical analysis of deformable warheads, and the D-shaped profile is defined as the ideal casing profile of a deformable warhead. In combat requirements, ensuring the number of fragments is necessary, while also ensuring that the fragment velocity is as high as possible. Therefore, studying the optimum surface width of the D-shaped structure is essential.
Figure 1. Schematic diagram and action process of the deformable warhead. (A) Initial projectile structure; (B) Deforming charge detonation; (C) D-shaped projectile surface; (D) Fragments directional dispersion.

The profile of a deformable warhead becomes non-circular under the action of a deforming charge, and the profile of a deformed casing is shown in Figure 2a. As mentioned above, the D-shaped profile is defined as the ideal profile of a deformable warhead. To simplify further analysis below, the deformed profile of the projectile is D-shaped, as shown in Figure 2b.

![Diagram](image_url)

**Figure 2.** Schematic diagram of the casing element after deformation and the D-shaped profile. (a) Casing element and (b) D-shaped profile.

In order to facilitate the following geometric analysis, the following definitions are required: the initial radius of cylindrical casing is $O_1D = R_0$, the initial center is $O_1$, the casing radius after deformation is $O_2A = R_1$, the corresponding center is $O_2$, the chord length of the plane part is $AE = 2h$, the center angle of the chord length is $\angle AO_2E = 2\delta$, and the central angle corresponding to the initial deforming charge is $\angle BO_1D = 2\theta$, as shown in Figure 2b. In addition, the $y$-axis is parallel to the loading direction, and the $x$-axis is perpendicular to the loading direction. Criterion $\alpha$ is defined as the proportion of the deformed portion to the entire cylindrical casing. Thus, the expression of criterion $\alpha$ is as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{AE}{AE + AOE} \quad (1)$$
In the analysis of geometric relations, it is assumed that the length of the entire cylindrical casing is not stretched in the circumferential direction. The circumference of the initial cylindrical casing is expressed as:

\[ C_{(O_1)} = 2\pi R_0 \]  

The circumference of the deformed cylindrical casing is expressed as:

\[ C_{(O_2)} = 2h + (2\pi - 2\arcsin(h/R_1)) \cdot R_1 \quad \text{where} \quad h = \sin \delta \cdot R_1 \]  

Based on the prerequisites above, the following relationships exist:

\[ 2\pi R_0 = C_{(O_2)} = C_{(O_1)} = 2h + (2\pi - 2\arcsin(h/R_1)) \cdot R_1 \]  
\[ \pi R_0 = \sin \delta \cdot R_1 + (\pi - \delta) \cdot R_1 = (\sin \delta + \pi - \delta) \cdot R_1 \]  
\[ R_1 = R_0 \cdot \frac{\pi}{(\sin \delta + \pi - \delta)} = \frac{h}{\sin \delta} \]  

Thus, the expression of criterion \( \alpha \) can be rewritten as:

\[ \alpha = \frac{AE}{AE + AOE} = \frac{AE}{C_{(O_1)}} = \frac{h}{\pi R_0} = \frac{\sin \delta}{(\sin \delta + \pi - \delta)} \]  

According to the above formulas, the parameter \( R_0 \) is known, and if any of the parameters \( \delta \) or \( R_1 \) is known, another parameter can be calculated. Then, \( \alpha \) can be calculated from the obtained parameter. Taking the radius of cylindrical casing \( R_0 = 50.5 \text{ mm} \) as an example, combined with the above geometric analysis, the proportions of the straight segment and arc segment under five groups of different conditions (D-30°, D-60°, D-90°, D-120°, and D-150°) are shown in Table 1 and Figure 3.

#### Table 1. The specific parameters of each part corresponding to the five groups of conditions.

| D-Shaped | Radius of Initial Casing \( O_1D \) | Length of Straight Segment \( AE \) | Length of Arc Segment \( AOE \) | Proportion of Straight Segment \( \beta \) |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| D-30°    | 5.05 cm                             | 2.61 cm                             | 29.09 cm                      | 8.2%                             |
| D-60°    | 5.05 cm                             | 5.05 cm                             | 26.44 cm                      | 16.1%                            |
| D-90°    | 5.05 cm                             | 7.14 cm                             | 23.79 cm                      | 22.8%                            |
| D-120°   | 5.05 cm                             | 8.75 cm                             | 21.15 cm                      | 29.2%                            |
| D-150°   | 5.05 cm                             | 9.76 cm                             | 18.51 cm                      | 34.5%                            |

**Figure 3.** The proportion of straight segment and arc segment under different center angles.
2.2. Optimum Range of Criterion $\alpha$

To study the dispersion rule of fragments, we analyzed four groups of different D-shaped warhead structures (center angles $2\delta$ of the D-shaped structures were 60°, 90°, 120°, and 150°) under center point initiation. In the following, D-60°, D-90°, D-120°, and D-150° are used to represent the four structures. Taking D-90° and D-120° as examples, the cross-sectional views of the D-shaped structures are shown in Figure 4. The above four kinds of conditions were simulated by using the finite element software LS-DYNA, and the energy density distribution, and the total energy distribution of fragments in the target direction were obtained, as shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 4](image_url)  
Figure 4. Cross-sectional view of (a) D-90° and (b) D-120° structures.

![Figure 5](image_url)  
Figure 5. Relationships between the energy distribution and azimuth angle. (a) Total energy of fragments varies with azimuth angle; (b) Energy density of fragments varies with azimuth angle.

Since the entire structure is symmetrical, we only needed to study half of it. In the azimuth angle of 0–30° on the target plate, the total energy of the fragments per 2° was counted, as shown in Figure 5a. Then, the energy density was obtained by dividing the total energy of the fragments by the interval width 2°, as shown in Figure 5b. In order to more intuitively compare the energy gain of fragments, the circumferential uniform cylindrical structure was also simulated, and the results were used as the base data. As can be seen from Figure 5a, the curvature of the curves represents the energy-focusing effect of the corresponding structure, and the total energy of the fragments corresponding to the four D-shaped structures is significantly higher than that of the circumferential uniform cylindrical structure. In the four structures, the energy gain of fragments corresponding to the D-60° structure was relatively low. Although the planar portion of the D-150° structure was relatively wide, the total energy and energy focusing ability of fragments could not reach the optimum at a target
distance of 3.5 m. However, the total energy and energy focusing ability of the D-90° and D-120° fragment structures were close to each other, and they were the highest among the four structures. In addition, we found that the azimuth ranges of the D-90° and D-120° structures on the target containing 90% semi-prefabricated fragments were approximately −10.8°~10.8° and −11.9°~11.9°, respectively. Compared with the circumferential uniform cylindrical structure, the total energy gain of the D-90° fragments was 241.7% in the same azimuth range, and that of the D-120° was 232.6%.

As seen in Figure 5b, the energy density of the fragments of the D-60° structure was relatively insignificant because the D-60° structure was relatively close to the circumferential uniform cylindrical structure. For the other three D-shaped structures, the structures with a smaller planar width had a higher energy density near the azimuth angle of 0°; the energy density of the fragments was basically flat and then rapidly decreased near the azimuth angle of 6°. The energy density of the fragments had a platform segment in the 20–30° azimuth range, and the platform with a wider plane had a higher platform height.

In summary, for the D-shaped structure, if the center angle is between 90 and 120°, the fragment energy density and the total energy gain of the fragments were more obvious on the target direction. According to the above definition of $\alpha$, the values of $\alpha$ corresponding to D-90° and D-120° were 22.8 and 29.2%, respectively. In other words, if the value of $\alpha$ falls 22.8–29.2%, the deformable warhead structure can extoll more damage. Considering the simulation error, the range is extended to 20–30%, which is set as the default range in the following section.

3. Self-Compiled MATLAB Rapid Evaluation Program

In engineering applications and considering experiment costs, finite element software, such as LS-DYNA, is usually used for simulation evaluation first, and then the experimental scheme is targeted for improvement. However, the LS-DYNA finite element software often suffers a variety of restrictions in the numerical simulation, such as the size and number of grids, the size of the overall structure, and so on. These problems seriously affect the calculation efficiency, and sometimes they cannot be solved. Therefore, we wanted to compile a rapid evaluation program based on MATLAB to rapidly evaluate the projectile deformation surface, and the source-code is open for everyone.

The deformation mechanism of a cylindrical casing filled with medium under lateral explosive loading has been explored in the literature [22]. The authors assumed that the cylindrical casing is an ideal rigid plastic casing infinite in length, ignoring the axial dispersion of detonation product when the deforming charge explodes. The cylindrical casing was divided into $N$ pieces of an end-to-end rigid bar along the circumferential direction, and the rigid bars were connected by the plastic hinges. Then, the motion equations of the casing element were obtained according to the plane strain assumption and plastic hinge theory. Based on the theoretical analysis in the literature [22], the rapid evaluation program based on MATLAB can be compiled by setting the initial conditions to rapidly evaluate the projectile deformation surface. In addition, the initial conditions that need to be set up include: diameter, thickness, density, Young’s modulus and yield limit of the casing, Young’s modulus and compression degree of the internal filling medium, and the loading speed and loading width of the external load. All above parameters can be directly provided or measured, except for the loading speed of external load. Therefore, we only needed to determine the loading speed of external load.

3.1. Parameter Initialization of the MATLAB Rapid Evaluation Program

The loading speed of the external load can be calculated using the bidirectional throwing principle, and the specific solution process is as follows. Take a segment of the unit length loading area, as shown in Figure 6. It is assumed that the material density of deforming charge is $\rho_0$, the thickness is $t_0$, the width is $L$, and the explosion heat is $Q_v$; the material density of Plane 1 is $\rho_1$, the thickness is $t_1$, the width is $L$, and the scattering velocity is $v_1$ under the loading of deforming charge; the material density of Plane 2 is $\rho_2$, the thickness is $t_2$, the width is $L$, and the scattering velocity is $v_2$ under the loading of deforming charge. Thus, the following relations can be obtained.
Equation (9) can be rewritten as:

\[ E = E_g + E_p \]  
\[ E_p = \frac{1}{2}m_1v_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_2v_2^2 \]  

According to the literature \[27\], there is the following relationship: \( E_g \approx \frac{m_0(v_1^2 + v_2^2)}{12} \). Thus, Equation (9) can be rewritten as:

\[ m_0Q_v = \frac{1}{12}m_0(v_1^2 + v_2^2) + \frac{1}{2}m_1v_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_2v_2^2 \]  

According to the law of conservation of momentum, the following relation can be obtained:

\[ \left( \rho_1t_1 + \frac{1}{2}\rho_0t_0 \right)v_1 = \left( \rho_2t_2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho_0t_0 \right)v_2 \]  

Substituting Equation (11) into Equation (10), we obtain:

\[ v_1 = \frac{12\rho_0t_0\left(\rho_2t_2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho_0t_0\right)^2Q_v}{(6\rho_1t_1 + \rho_0t_0)\left(\rho_2t_2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho_0t_0\right)^2 + (6\rho_2t_2 + \rho_0t_0)\left(\rho_1t_1 + \frac{1}{2}\rho_0t_0\right)^2} \]  
\[ v_2 = \frac{12\rho_0t_0\left(\rho_1t_1 + \frac{1}{2}\rho_0t_0\right)^2Q_v}{(6\rho_1t_1 + \rho_0t_0)\left(\rho_2t_2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho_0t_0\right)^2 + (6\rho_2t_2 + \rho_0t_0)\left(\rho_1t_1 + \frac{1}{2}\rho_0t_0\right)^2} \]  

Therefore, if the known parameters are substituted into Equations (12) and (13), \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) can be obtained, respectively.

### 3.2. Verification of the Rapid Evaluation Program Based on LS-DYNA

In order to verify the rationality of the rapid evaluation program, the consistency between the results obtained by MATLAB and LS-DYNA was compared and analyzed. The finite element model is
shown in Figure 7. In order to show the internal structure, the air field is not shown here. In addition, all the initial parameters used in the rapid evaluation program and simulation are as follows.

![Figure 7. Schematic diagram of the structure of simulation.](image)

It is assumed that the materials of the inner and outer casings are all steel, that is, the material density is $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 7.8 \text{ g/cm}^3$, the Young’s modulus is $E = 2.06 \times 10^{11} \text{ Pa}$, and the yield limit is $\sigma_y = 245 \text{ MPa}$. The thickness and diameter of the inner casing are $t_1 = 4 \text{ mm}$ and $d_1 = 101 \text{ mm}$ and the thickness of outer casing is $t_2 = 2 \text{ mm}$. The material density of the deforming charge is $\rho_0 = 1.67 \text{ g/cm}^3$, the thickness of deforming charge is $t_0 = 5 \text{ mm}$, the width of the deforming charge is $\phi = 90^\circ$, and the explosion heat of the deforming charge is $Q_v = 4520 \text{ kJ/kg}$. The material of the internal filling medium is soil, and its density is $\rho_m = 1.80 \text{ g/cm}^3$. Substituting the above parameters into Equations (5) and (6), the specific values of $c_1$ and $v_2$ can be obtained.

At this point, the basic parameters needed for the rapid evaluation program are all available. Thus, the profiles of the deformed surface of the inner casing under several typical moments obtained from MATLAB and LS-DYNA are shown in Figures 8 and 9, respectively. Through the qualitative comparison of Figures 8 and 9, we found that the deformation surfaces of the inner cylindrical casing are in good agreement at different times in MATLAB and LS-DYNA, which indicates that the MATLAB rapid evaluation program based on the previous theoretical analysis is reasonable. Obviously, the MATLAB rapid evaluation program improves upon the computation efficiency. Next, this paper expects to obtain a large amount of calculations using the MATLAB rapid evaluation program, to obtain the influence law of the loading width of deforming charge on the deformed surface, and then provide the method and basis for the optimization design of the filling medium cylindrical structure.

![Figure 8. Deformed surface of the inner casing under several typical moments obtained from MATLAB: (a) $t = 50 \mu s$; (b) $t = 100 \mu s$; (c) $t = 150 \mu s$.](image)
Figure 9. Deformed surface of the inner casing under several typical moments obtained from LS-DYNA: (a) $t = 50 \, \mu s$; (b) $t = 100 \, \mu s$; (c) $t = 150 \, \mu s$.

3.3. Verification of the Rapid Evaluation Program Based on the Experiments

In order to further verify the rationality of the MATLAB rapid evaluation program, we designed two groups of experiments ($\phi = 60^\circ, \phi = 90^\circ$). The entire experimental device consisted of six parts: inner and outer casings, upper and lower end-plates, filling medium, and deforming charge, as shown in Figure 10. The experiment preparation process mainly included the filling of the inner medium, the adhesion of deforming charge, the installation of detonating cord and electric detonator, and the assembly of the outer casing, as shown in Figure 11.

Figure 10. Various parts of the experimental device: (a) upper end-plate; (b) lower end-plate; (c) filling medium; (d) inner casing; (e) outer casing.

Figure 11. Experiment preparation process: (a) filling of inner medium; (b) adhesion of deforming charge; (c) installation of detonating cord; (d) assembly of outer casing; (e) installation of electric detonator.
The experimental devices after explosion were recovered, as shown in Figure 12. The data points of the deformed casing contour were extracted using image analysis software GetData, and data processing software Origin was used to compare and analyze the deformed casing surface obtained by the experiment and MATLAB, as shown in Figure 13.

![Figure 12. Experiment results for different deforming charge widths: (a) \( \varphi = 60^\circ \); (b) \( \varphi = 90^\circ \).](image1)

![Figure 13. Comparison of deformed casing profile based on the experiment and MATLAB results. (a) \( \varphi = 60^\circ \); (b) \( \varphi = 90^\circ \).](image2)

Figure 13 shows that the experiment results coincide well with those obtained by MATLAB, which further illustrates that the MATLAB rapid evaluation program is reasonable. The deforming charge width is also shown to have an effect on the deformation of the filled cylindrical casing. Therefore, we used the MATLAB rapid evaluation program to study the effect of the deforming charge width on the filled cylindrical casing in the remainder of our analysis.

### 4. Analysis and Discussion of the Results

#### 4.1. Effect of Deforming Charge Width on Filled Cylindrical Casing

For the deformable warheads, the ultimate deformation width of the casing affects the number of effective fragments in the target area, and then affects the damage effectiveness. Based on the above research, the deforming charge width was found to affect the lateral explosive loading range on the casing, and the difference in the range of the load distribution inevitably leads to the difference in the deformed surface of the filled cylindrical casing. If the deforming width is too large, the load...
generated will be distributed in a wider area, and more casing elements are driven by the lateral explosion. However, the casing elements are fewer. Therefore, the selection of deforming charge width must be appropriate to ensure that the filled cylindrical casing forms a more ideal deformation profile.

In the self-complied MATLAB rapid evaluation program, the deforming charge width is changed by changing the initial load width. In order to study the influence of the deforming charge width on the deformed surface of the filled cylindrical casing, keeping the deforming charge thickness unchanged is necessary, and the thickness of the deforming charge is reflected by the initial loading speed. For this reason, the calculation conditions in this paper include four working conditions with deforming charge widths of 30°, 60°, 90°, and 120° when the initial loading speed is \( v_1 \). Based on the MATLAB rapid evaluation program, the spatial distributions of the casing elements of the filled cylindrical casing, under different loading widths at several typical moments, were quickly obtained, as shown in Figure 14. In addition, the final deformation surface of the casing corresponding to the four groups of working conditions was arranged as shown in Figure 15.

By analyzing the deformed surface of the casing corresponding to different deforming charge width at different times, as shown in Figures 14 and 15, the following conclusions can be drawn:

(1) If the deforming charge width is too small, the resulting load will be distributed in a relatively narrow region. At this moment, fewer and relatively concentrated casing elements are driven by the lateral explosion, so that the difference in relative azimuth between the casing elements and the circle center is smaller. When velocity decomposition is performed, the velocity in the horizontal direction is larger, and the velocity of the casing elements at the loading region center is slightly larger than those at both ends. As a result, the deformed surface of the casing eventually results in an approximate “inner-concave” type.

(2) If the deforming charge width is too large, the resulting load will be distributed in a relatively wider region, and more casing elements are driven by the lateral explosion. The wide loading region creates a large difference in the relative azimuths of the casing elements and the circle center. The difference in the azimuth angles will cause a greater difference in the velocity decomposition in the horizontal and vertical direction. The closer the casing element to the outside, the greater the velocity of its decomposition in the vertical direction, and the lower in the horizontal direction. Therefore, the final deformation surface is similar to the “outer-convex” type.

(3) As the deforming charge width increases, the deformation surface of the casing gradually transfers from the inner-concave to outer-convex shape. Therefore, we inferred that a suitable width of the deforming charge must exist to make the corresponding deformation surface of the casing D-shaped.

![Figure 14. Cont.](image-url)
4.2. Relationship between Deforming Charge Width $\varphi$ and Criterion $\alpha$

In practical engineering applications, the size of the missile casing is limited. With reference to the conventional warhead caliber, the range $R_0$ is assumed to be 30 to 150 mm. In addition, according to Equation (7), the relationship between $h$ and $R_0$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{h}{\pi R_0} \Rightarrow h = \pi \alpha R_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $h$ is half of the length of the platform section after deformation ($\overline{AC}$), and $R_0$ is the radius of the initial casing ($\overline{OD}$), as shown in Figure 2b. Thus, in combination with the range of $\alpha$, the relationship curve between $h$ and $R_0$ can be obtained as shown in Figure 16.

Based on the above analysis, as long as the initial size of the casing is known, the plane width of the casing after deformation corresponding to different values of $\alpha$ can be quickly obtained. However, achieving the optimum deformation profile width is ultimately based on the adjustment of the deforming charge width. Therefore, the main research goal of this section was to quickly determine the optimum deforming charge width under the condition of known initial size of the cylindrical casing.
where different initial casing sizes can be obtained as shown in Table 2.

As seen from the analysis in Section 2.2., the premise is that the length of the entire cylindrical casing in the circumferential direction during the deformation process does not elongate. Thus, the following relationship can be obtained:

\[ 2\pi R_0 = 2\sin\delta \cdot R_1 + 2(\pi - \delta) \cdot R_1 = 2(\sin\delta + \pi - \delta) \cdot R_1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

\[ \overline{OC} = R_1 - R_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

\[ \overline{OC} = \overline{OC} \overline{C} = R_1(1 + \cos\delta) - R_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

\[ \varphi = 2\theta = 2\arccos(\overline{OC} / \overline{OD}) = 2\arccos((R_1(1 + \cos\delta) - R_0) / R_0) \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where \( \varphi \) represents the deforming charge width and the physical meaning of the other parameters are the same as the previously provided definitions.

According to the range of \( \alpha \) and \( R_0 \), combined with Equations (15) to (18), and using MATLAB for the iterative solution, the optimum deforming charge width corresponding to different \( \alpha \) values under different initial casing sizes can be obtained as shown in Table 2.

| Initial Radius of Casing \( R_0 \) (mm) | \( \alpha = 20\% \) | \( \alpha = 22\% \) | \( \alpha = 24\% \) | \( \alpha = 26\% \) | \( \alpha = 28\% \) | \( \alpha = 30\% \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 30 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
| 40 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
| 50 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
| 60 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
| 70 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
| 80 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
| 90 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
| 100 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
| 110 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
| 120 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
| 130 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
| 140 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
| 150 | 46° | 53° | 60° | 69° | 77° | 85° |
Through the analysis provided in Table 2, the deforming charge width is not related to the initial casing radius and only to the value of $\alpha$. In other words, if the value of $\alpha$ is determined, the deforming charge width can be determined rapidly. In order to obtain the relationship between the deforming charge width and the criterion value $\alpha$, the data in Table 2 were fitted, as shown in Figure 17. As seen from Figure 17, a linear distribution was found between the deforming charge width $\varphi$ and the value of $\alpha$, and they have the following relationship:

$$\alpha = 0.00253\varphi + 0.08541$$ \hspace{1cm} (19)

Note that the physical parameter $\varphi$ only represents the value of the angle.

![Figure 17. Relationship between the criterion $\alpha$ and the deforming charge width $\varphi$.](image)

In order to verify the accuracy of Equation (19), four groups of different deforming charge widths ($\varphi = 30^\circ, 60^\circ, 90^\circ, 120^\circ$) were designed for comparison with the LS-DYNA simulation results. The simulation results under different deforming charge widths are shown in Figure 18, and the comparison results are shown in Table 3. The results show that Equation (19) can better reflect the relationship between the deforming charge width $\varphi$ and the value of $\alpha$, and can provide rapid evaluation of the deformation casing width.

![Figure 18. Cont.](image)
The deformable surface of projectile is a key factor to be considered when designing deformable warheads. The final deformation of the casing affects the degree of damage to the target. In order to evaluate the deformable surface width of the cylindrical casing, the criterion $\alpha$ was established and its optimum range was determined to be 20 to 30%. According to our previous theoretical analysis, a rapid evaluation program based on MATLAB was compiled, which was able to rapidly evaluate the projectile deformation surface. The self-compiled MATLAB program was verified using LS-DYNA and experiments; the results showed that our program is scientific and reasonable. Based on the MATLAB rapid evaluation program, the influence of the deforming charge width on the deformed surface of the filled cylindrical casing was determined. The difference in the deforming charge width caused the load distribution to be different, which in turn led to a large difference in the velocity decomposition in the horizontal and vertical directions. As the deforming charge width increased, the deformation surface of the casing gradually transferred from the inner-concave to the outer-convex shape. Therefore, we inferred that a suitable deforming charge width must exist that can form the corresponding deformation surface into a D-shape.

In addition, a formula that better reflects the relationship between the deforming charge width $\varphi$ and the criterion value $\alpha$ was fitted. In order to verify the accuracy of the fitting formula, four groups of different deforming charge widths were designed for comparison with the LS-DYNA simulation results; the results showed that the fitting formula coincides well with the simulation results and can provide rapid guidance for the structural design of deformable warheads.

**Table 3. Comparison results between simulation and calculation.**

| Width of Deforming Charge $\varphi$ | $\alpha$ Simulation Result (LS-DYNA) | $\alpha$ Calculation Result from Equation (19) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| $90^\circ$                        | 0.312                               | 0.313                                      |
| $60^\circ$                        | 0.241                               | 0.237                                      |
| $30^\circ$                        | 0.178                               | 0.161                                      |
| $120^\circ$                       | 0.384                               | 0.389                                      |

5. Conclusions

The deformable surface of projectile is a key factor to be considered when designing deformable warheads. The final deformation of the casing affects the degree of damage to the target. In order to evaluate the deformable surface width of the cylindrical casing, the criterion $\alpha$ was established and its optimum range was determined to be 20 to 30%. According to our previous theoretical analysis, a rapid evaluation program based on MATLAB was compiled, which was able to rapidly evaluate the projectile deformation surface. The self-compiled MATLAB program was verified using LS-DYNA and experiments; the results showed that our program is scientific and reasonable. Based on the MATLAB rapid evaluation program, the influence of the deforming charge width on the deformed surface of the filled cylindrical casing was determined. The difference in the deforming charge width caused the load distribution to be different, which in turn led to a large difference in the velocity decomposition in the horizontal and vertical directions. As the deforming charge width increased, the deformation surface of the casing gradually transferred from the inner-concave to the outer-convex shape. Therefore, we inferred that a suitable deforming charge width must exist that can form the corresponding deformation surface into a D-shape.

In addition, a formula that better reflects the relationship between the deforming charge width $\varphi$ and the criterion value $\alpha$ was fitted. In order to verify the accuracy of the fitting formula, four groups of different deforming charge widths were designed for comparison with the LS-DYNA simulation results; the results showed that the fitting formula coincides well with the simulation results and can provide rapid guidance for the structural design of deformable warheads.
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