Baltica and the Cadomian orogen in the Ediacaran–Cambrian: a perspective from SE Poland
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Abstract
In the supercontinent of Rodinia, Baltica occurred next to Amazonia, then the two drifted away when Rodinia broke up. By the end of the Neoproterozoic, Baltica became an independent continent. At that time, Timanide orogen developed at its modern northeastern margin. In most paleogeographical reconstructions, the opposite (SW, Tornquist) edge faced the Tornquist Ocean and remained just a passive margin till the arrival of the Gondwana-born East Avalonia in the late Ordovician. However, preliminary isotopic studies of detrital zircons from the Tornquist passive margin succession hinted that rock components of Gondwana derivation reached Baltica already in the early Cambrian. In this paper, we examine 18 drill-cores of Ediacaran-Cambrian and Ordovician siliciclastic rocks from the tectonostratigraphic units along the SW–NE transect from Upper Silesia (USB) via Malopolska (MB) and the Holy Cross Mts (HCM) to the East European Platform (EEP), SE Poland, in terms of the provenance data gained from the LA-ICP-MS and SHRIMP analyses of 32 zircon samples. Rocks from all the units revealed abundant Cadomian 0.7–0.55 Ga detrital zircons (15–50% of the total analyzed grains) and other grains that yielded peaks at 0.9–1.2, 1.4–1.6, 1.8–2.2, 2.7–3.0 Ga assignable to Baltica rather than Amazonia. Such age spectra in the USB, HCM and EEP prove the proximity of peripheral (peri-Gondwanan) fragments of the Cadomian orogen to Baltica. These fragments formed the Teissyere-Tornquist Terrane Assemblage (TTA) that obliquely docked and overrode the thinned southwestern edge of Baltica which earlier accumulated Neoproterozoic rift and passive margin deposits. Our data show that in the late Ediacaran-early Cambrian, parts of the Cadomian orogenic belt became accreted to Baltica.
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Introduction
In most paleogeographical reconstructions for the Neoproterozoic, Baltica is usually positioned next to Laurentia and Amazonia within the supercontinent of Rodinia (Hoffman 1991; Torsvik et al. 1996; Torsvik and Rehnström 2003; Dalziel 1997; Li et al. 2008; Johansson 2009; Kheraskova et al. 2010). When Rodinia effectively broke up in the late Neoproterozoic, Baltica became separated from Laurentia by the Iapetus Ocean and from Amazonia by its southern branch sometimes termed the Tornquist Ocean (Cocks and Fortey 1982) and further east by the Ran Ocean that occurred between Baltica and West Africa (Cocks and Torsvik 2005).

By the late Ediacaran–early Cambrian, Baltica is supposed to become an independent continent surrounded by then widening oceans. In the Late Ediacaran, however, a peripheral orogen developed at the modern eastern margin of Baltica by the accretion of the Timanide terranes, which brought about the reversal of subduction polarity and telescoping of island arcs along with other accreted units (Gee and Pease 2004; Gee et al. 2006). The long orogenic edifice that developed at the Baltica’s Timanian margin continued northwardly under the Barents Sea to the Kola Peninsula and Norway. Southward, a vast passive margin fringed...
Baltica as basement of the Scythian Platform between the Azov Sea and the Caspian Sea, with signs of Neoproterozoic deformations, which was overthrust but by the early Paleozoic folded sheet (Bush 2013; Seghedi et al. 2005; Saintot et al. 2006). The breakup of Rodinia and acquiring by Baltica the status of an independent continent was not a straightforward process and still remains unclear.

A history of the modern southwestern margin of Baltica (= East European Platform, EEP) which once was to adhere to Amazonia also seems complex. In the NW section of this margin, the 1.3–0.9 Ga Sveconorwegian orogen represents fragments of the Grenvillian orogenic suture which, in most reconstructions, tied up the continental pieces into the supercontinent of Rodinia. In Europe, the Svecofennian units have been used as evidence of the Proterozoic Baltica–Amazonia connection by correlating them with the 1.3–0.9 Ga Sunsás–Aguapei orogenic belt in Brasilia and Bolivia (Hoffman 1991; Dalziel 1997; Cordani et al. 2009). More recent works show that also suitable for such correlations are the terranes like Oaxaquia/Colombia or Putumayo which contained records of 1.3–0.9 Ga back-arc rifting and arc-continent collision, and eventually led to what has been named the Sveco Norwegian–Putumayo orogen (Keppe and Dostal 2007; Ibanez-Mejia et al. 2011; Cawood and Pisarevsky 2006).

A connection between Amazonia and Baltica was further strengthened by correlating other equivalent belts in the two continents: Rondonian with Telemarkian, Rio Negro Juruena with Gothian and Ventuari Tapajos with Svecofennian, respectively. Their detailed relationships were summarized and illustrated by Johansson (2009). In his SAMBA model (South America + Baltica), both continents were persistently close to each other for at least 1 billion years during the Proterozoic before they became effectively separated at the end of the Neoproterozoic. In this account, the reconstruction by Johansson (2009) is utilized, being consistent with Dalziel (1997) in locating Amazonia and Baltica next to each other along the present-day SW edge of the latter. However, their paleopositions based on paleomagnetic data are still uncertain as for instance Baltica in some Ediacaran reconstructions either appear in high polar latitudes (Torsvik and Rehnström 2001; Meert 2014) or in low tropical ones (Popov et al. 2002; Elming et al. 2007; Levashova et al. 2013).

A history of separation of the two continents is not clear either. In Europe, large parts of the former contact zone are hidden and inaccessible but coincides with a major feature known as the Trans-European Suture Zone (TESZ) which constitutes the modern SW border of the EEP/Baltica (Berthelsen 1993, 1998). The TESZ margin of Baltica (EEP) is concealed and obscured by thick cover of Phanerozoic rocks which occur in several tectonostratigraphic units mainly arranged parallel to the margin and likely controlled by it (Fig. 1).

In Poland, rocks of these units are exposed at the surface only in the Holy Cross Mts., yet also recognized subsurface in numerous boreholes drilled for hydrocarbons in the Upper Silesia Block, Małopolska Block and the slope of the EEP (Bula and Habryn 2011; Bula et al. 1997). In general, all these units were eventually merged with Baltica due to the closure of the Tornquist (Thor) Ocean. Various models of the closure have been proposed so that the factual scenario still remains uncertain.

An opening of the Tornquist Ocean, hence separation of Baltica from Amazonia, is estimated to have occurred 650–550 m.y. ago (Bogdanova et al. 2009; Cawood and Pisarevsky 2006). At the same time, the Timanian orogen was developing on the opposite side of Baltica. Considering the Baltica’s and Amazonia’s geology and linkage in Rodinia, the disjunction of the two continental entities must have happened after the Svecofennian–Ventuari Tapajos belt and the Gothian–Rio Negro Juruena belt were formed and then extensively intruded by 1.65–1.35 Ga granitoids that have an overall A-granite systematics (Cordani and Teixeira 2007; Cordani et al. 2009; Johansson 2009). It is unclear whether the Sveconorwegian–Putumayo orogen welded Amazonia and Baltica only at the Sveconorwegian sector of the Baltica’s TESZ margin or its equivalent being named the Oaxaquia orogen that stretched all along this margin as another fragment of the supercontinental Grenvillian suture. In the former option, the first rifting in SAMBA must have occurred in post-Grenvillian epoch. The latter option would require that Baltica and Amazonia were separated in pre-Grenvillian times but later converged and the suture became sealed during the Putumayo and Oaxaquia orogenic events at 1.1–0.9 Ga. Then the repeated rifting and reopening of the Tornquist Ocean was accomplished in the Cryogenian–Ediacaran terrane. In this case, some relics of the Grenvillian-type suture might be expected to have been preserved in the Polish sector of the Baltica’s TESZ margin.

In Poland, very few provenance studies have been performed so far on detrital zircons retrieved from Ediacaran and Cambrian rocks in that area (Fig. 1). They revealed rather rare presence of grains dated at 1.2–0.9 Ga but far more frequent were grains that yielded U–Pb ages between 0.7 and 0.55 Ga (Belka et al. 2000; Valverde-Vaquero et al. 2000; Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009). Actually, the same ‘non-Baltic’ age cluster was reported for the zircons of uncertain provenance retrieved from lower Cambrian rocks in Estonia (Pöldvere et al. 2014). The latter findings bring into light the location(s) of late Neoproterozoic source(s) that delivered clastic material to basins developed on Baltica, not only in the proximity to its TESZ margin. In Scandinavia, Ediacaran zircons have been found in lower Paleozoic phyllites of the Caledonian belt and their presence explained by a long distance across continent sedimentary transport of
detritus that was shed by the Timanian orogen (Slama and Pedersen 2015).

Early Paleozoic faunal evidence from the Holy Cross Mts leaves little doubt that this region was close to Baltica in the Cambrian (Cocks 2002) and the same was very likely true about Upper Silesia/Brunovistulia (Nawrocki et al. 2004a, b). The latter notion is in accord with the abundance of 0.7–0.55 Ga zircons in folded Neoproterozoic rocks of Upper Silesia which became unconformably covered by lower Cambrian sandstones (Bula et al. 1997; Bula and Habsyn 2011; Zelaźniewicz et al. 2009). The unconformity is equivalent to the classic Cadomian unconformity identified further west in Europe between basement of the Gondwana descent and Paleozoic cover (d’Lemos et al. 1990; Linnemann et al. 2007).

Fig. 1 Tectonic sketch of southern and eastern Poland, beyond the Variscan orogen area, without Upper Paleozoic through Cenozoic deposits. Numbered squares indicate studied boreholes. Inset shows the location of Poland against the East European (EEP, grid), West European (WEP) platforms and Variscan Orogen (VAR), with position of Brunovistulia (BV) and Małopolska (MAL). CRWFZ Chmielnik-Ryszkowa Wola Fault Zone, HCF Holy Cross Fault, HCM Holy Cross Mountains, KFZ Kock Fault Zone, KLFZ Kraków-Lubliniec Fault zone. Location of boreholes: 1—Krz 4; 2—Mie 1; 3—Rad 1; 4—Par 10; 5—Bia 3; 6—Ter 5; 7—Dyle 1; 8—Naro 2; 9—Lub 4; 10—Wor 7; 11—Bisz 3; 12—Rudk 8; 13—Herm 1; 14—Nos 5; 15—Raj 1; 16—Borz 4; 17—Wyso 3; 18—Jach k2; Z1—Zal; Za—Zarki 143
All findings mentioned above rise questions about the distance between Baltica and the Avalonian–Cadomian orogenic belt and about relationships of the latter with this continent near the Precambrian/Cambrian boundary. In many reconstructions, Baltica is shown still as part of Rodinia at 680–630 Ma, then rifted and drifted off at 600–560 Ma (Scotese and McKerrow 1990; McKerrow et al. 1992; Li et al. 2008; Meredith et al. 2017). However, the presence of detrital zircons dated at 0.7–0.55 Ga casts some doubts on such reconstructions, thus the proposed scenarios of the then separation needs further refining.

Although a Neoproterozoic orogen was revealed on the Baltica’s edge opposite to its TESZ margin (Gee and Pease 2004; Gee et al. 2006), the Timanides cannot be linked with the Cadomides. However, situation similar to the Timanian one may have also occurred on other sides of Baltica and the zircons point to the possibility that Baltica may have been fringed by Neoproterozoic accretions on two or perhaps even three sides. This would bring considerable changes to plate tectonic reconstructions for the Ediacaran–Cambrian, shortly before and after the final breakup of Rodinia. In this paper, we focus mainly on the U–Pb zircon provenance data collected in Poland along a SW-NE transect from Upper Silesia via Małopolska and the HCM belt to the EEP slope as they embrace the only exposed fragments of the TESZ (Fig. 1). The relationships between these units will be more closely examined in terms of their mutual paleotectonic positions and the basal Paleozoic unconformity that oversteps there a variety of metamorphosed Neoproterozoic complexes. The study is preceded by briefing elements of the Ediacaran–Cambrian geology of the transected areas.

Geological framework

In southern Poland, Neoproterozoic rocks belonging to both Cadomian hinterland and foreland occur subsurface in the Upper Silesia Block (Fig. 1), whereas in the adjacent Małopolska Block only Cadomian foreland has been observed (Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009). Upper Silesia and Małopolska are disparate by the Kraków-Lubliniec Fault Zone (KLFZ, Fig. 1) which is a major tectonic contact in central Europe (Bula et al. 1997; Żaba 1999). It defines part of the southwestern border of the Trans-European Suture Zone (Berthelsen 1993; Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009, 2016). Further NE, Małopolska with its very low-grade metamorphosed late Precambrian basement (summaries in Pozarzyński and Kotoński 1979; Znosko 1986a, b, 1999) covered by an unfolded Ordovician–Silurian platform succession has a tectonic contact along the Chmielnik-Ryszkowa Wola Fault with the Holy Cross Mts (Fig. 1). In contrast to Małopolska, the Holy Cross Mts (HCM) are built of multiply folded yet unmetamorphosed Cambrian–Carboniferous succession(s) subdivided into twofold belts, namely the Kielce and Łysogóry belts (Czarnocki 1957; Kowalczewski et al. 2006). Paleozoic rocks of the latter merge, across the Kock Fault Zone (Fig. 1), with Paleozoic rocks deposited on the SW slope of the East European Craton/Platform (EEP), which in paleogeographical terms represented a thinned passive margin of Baltica. Actually, the HCM is a proxy of Paleozoic rocks that flank Baltica but are inaccessible unless subsurface (Fig. 1).

All the above mentioned tectonostratigraphic units are separated from one another by the NW-trending faults, parallel to the EEP margin, that for many decades have been known to coincide with the Teisseyre–Tornquist Line/Zone (Znosko 1977, 1979). Later works on the EEP margin have shown that the line/zone is part of the Trans-European Suture Zone (Berthelsen 1993, 1998; Pharaoh 1999; Pharaoh et al. 2006; Nawrocki and Poprawa 2006). The TESZ has been interpreted as a thinned Baltica’s/EEP margin (Bayer et al. 2002; Malinowski et al. 2005; Żelaźniewicz et al. 2016; Mazur et al. 2015, 2018) onto which the East Avalonia terrane of northern Germany and northwestern Poland (Pomerania) was emplaced in the early Paleozoic period (Poprawa 2006a).

Upper Silesia (Brunovistulia)

In general, Upper Silesia is interpreted as part of the composite terrane of Brunovistulia of ultimate Gondwana descent (Dudek 1980; Finger et al. 2000a, b; Nawrocki et al. 2004a, b; Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009; Mazur et al. 2010). In eastern Czechia and southern Poland, Brunovistulia is known from the Brno Block, Upper Silesia Block, Jeseniký Mts. and eastern Fore-Sudetic Block (Bula et al. 1997; Kalvoda et al. 2003, 2008; Bula and Żaba 2005; Oberg-Dziedzic et al. 2003). The subdivision roughly corresponds to two plate tectonic entities named the Thaya and Slavkov terranes, respectively (Finger et al. 2000a, b, 2003; Bula and Żaba 2005). More recently, in the Upper Silesia Block, besides the Slavkov terrane which comprises the 620–550 Ma crystalline rocks of the Cadomian hinterland affinity (Dudek and Melkova 1975; Finger et al. 2000a, b), the existence of another terrane (termed Rzeszotary terrane) has been suspected in the Cadomian foreland position, being characterized by the 2.7–2.0 Ga basement (Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009). The Cadomian edifice, with ~580–555 Ma posttectonic granitoids in the hinterland and folded Ediacaran flysch in the foreland, was covered by epi-Cadomian lower Cambrian siliciclastic platform succession of varying thickness (up to 1600 m), deposited in a transgressive–regressive systems tract (Kowalczewski 1990; Bula 2000; Paczewska 2005, 2014). In the foreland domain, it commenced with polymictic conglomerates at the base, followed by regressive sub-Holmia deposits with trilobite, brachiopod and trace fossils (Orłowski 1975;
In the Małopolska Block, folded siliciclastic succession of Ediacaran age is unconformably overlain by unfolded flat-lying Ordovician–Silurian platform cover (summary in Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009; Bula and Habryn 2011). The latter can be taken as a record of the Cadomian unconformity analogous to that observed in the Upper Silesia Block. In the Ediacaran succession of the Małopolska Block, the central belt of very low-grade metamorphosed, twice folded and cleaved rocks have been identified and interpreted as a tectonically engaged distal flysch of the Cadomian foreland (the Lower San Horst-Leżajsk Massif of Bula and Habryn 2011). This interpretation was supported by the reconnaissance U–Pb analyses of detrital zircons. They yielded a cluster of 0.65–0.55 Ga ages (borehole Z 143; Fig. 1) for zircons retrieved from anchimetamorphosed rocks adjacent to the KLFZ, and zircons dated at 0.56 Ga, 1.9 Ga and 2.7 Ga from acritarch proven unmetamorphosed Ediacaran (borehole Zala 1; Fig. 1) further away of the KLFZ (Żelaźniewicz et al. 2004, 2009).

The WNW- trending, ca. 50 km wide antiformal belt of very low to low-grade metamorphic rocks (<300 °C: Żelaźniewicz et al. 2004, 2009) is flanked on both sides by unmetamorphosed strata (Fig. 1) with mudstones/siltstones that contain Ediacaran acritarchs which are however too cosmopolitan to constrain paleogeographical affinity of the host rocks (Jachowicz et al. 2002). Folding, metamorphism and elevation of the belt must have occurred in latest Ediacaran–earliest Cambrian times and subsequent Cambrian erosion removed the overlying rocks. The event was concurrent with the tectonic unrest, folding and unroofing in the HCM (Holy Cross and Sandomierz phases mentioned above).

Geochemical reconnaissance study showed that Neoproterozoic mudstones of Małopolska were derived from the recycled rocks of an active continental margin or continental magmatic arc, and hence have had the provenance similar to that inferred for the Upper Silesia Ediacaran mudstones (Żelaźniewicz et al. 2004; 2009). Accordingly, deposition in an orogenic foreland basin is a corollary for Małopolska too.

**The Holy Cross Mts. fold belt**

The Holy Cross Mts. (HCM) fold belt is divided by the Holy Cross Fault into the Kielce Fold Belt on the south and the Łysogóry Fold Belt to the north, more proximal to the EEP (Fig. 1). Actually, the HCM is an erosional window that emerges from beneath Mesozoic-Tertiary cover which conceals all contacts with the neighboring units. Under such a cover, nevertheless, both belts continue southeastward parallel to the EEP (Fig. 1) as confirmed by numerous boreholes in the area (Bula and Habryn 2008, 2011). The Kielce fold belt continues subsurface as the Krzeszów–Lubaczów Zone into the Kokhanivka Zone in Ukraine. Likewise the Łysogóry fold belt continues
further SE to the Rava Ruska Zone in Ukraine (Bula and Habryn 2008, 2011). This belt also includes folded Paleo-
zoic series elevated (subsurface) in the Radom-Kraśnik
Horst/High (RKH). Therefore, Bula and Habryn (2011)
introduced the term Lysogory-Radom Block to embrace
the two. In the HCM, the two belts exposed at the surface
are built of unmetamorphosed Cambrian-Carboniferous
successions that differ in some significant sedimentologi-
cal, stratigraphic and tectonic details which determine the
HCM evolution. They have been described with somewhat
different interpretations in a plethora of papers. Principal
characteristics of the HCM evolution were characterized
by Czarnocki (1919, 1957), Szulczewski (1977, 1995),
Znosko (1983), Mizerski (1995, 1998), Kowalczewski
et al. (2006). In this paper, we focus mainly on the HCM
Cambrian rocks as the information carriers that may have
contained records of events around the Precambrian-Phan-
erozoic boundary.

The Małopolska Ediacaran rocks tectonically abut against
the lower Cambrian rocks of the Kielce fold belt across the
long-lived polygenetic Chmielnik-Ryszkowa Wola Fault
Zone (Fig. 1). Unfortunately, the contact has been nowhere
exposed nor encountered in boreholes (Bula and Habryn
2008).

Cambrian rocks that now occupy nearly half of the
exposed HCM area represent in general 2.5–3.5 km thick
siliciclastic shelf deposits (Orlowski 1988; Jaworowski and
Sikorska 2006; Kowalczewski et al. 2006; Żylinska and Szc-
zepeński 2009). Coarse–fine grained sandstone-mudstone
deposits were accumulated in a subsiding basin with strongly
diverse bathymetry due active synsedimentary faulting that
resulted in submarine horst and grabens which controlled
deposition and facies distribution. This led to considerably
abrupt lateral changes in sedimentary facies and some stratigraphic gaps in mid-late Cambrian through Arenigian times.
In the Kielce belt of the HCM, the Cambrian strata became
gently folded (Holy Cross and Sandomierz phases) in the
latest Cambrian-earliest Ordovician and overlain with an
angular unconformity by mid-upper Tremadocian deposits.

The deposition of the succession was preceded and then
accumulated in several system tracts that eventually evolved
into wave dominated open seacoast, with regressive phase
in the middle Cambrian and erosion in the late Cambrian.
The deposition of the succession was preceded and then
also accompanied by volcanic activity that brought about
the Volhyn basalts traps at around 625–550 Ma (Białowolska
et al. 2002; Nawrocki et al. 2004a, b; Elming et al. 2007;
Paszkowski et al. 2019). The course of sedimentation recog-
nized in the Lublin-Podlasie basin adjacent to the Mazurzy-
Belarus Antecline (Fig. 1) was correlatable with the history
of continental-marine deposition in the Baltic basin (Peri-
Baltic Syncline) to the north of the antecline (Jaworowski
2000). Despite differences, rough compatibility of the sys-
tems tracts in both basins suggests that both local tectonic
and eustatic factors controlled sedimentary processes at the
TESZ margin of Baltica at the discussed times.

The Ediacaran-Cambrian succession on the Baltic slope
is commonly assigned to rifting and breakup of Rodinia at
that time (Poprawa et al. 1999). Poprawa and Paczeńska
2002; Poprawa 2006b). Preliminary U–Pb studies of detrital zir-
corns retrieved from Ediacaran and Cambrian rocks on the
Baltica’s Lublin slope beside the expectable ‘Baltican’ ages
also revealed the presence of 0.75–0.55 Ga age clusters
(Valverde-Vaquero et al. 2000; Żelaźniewicz et al. 2004,

East European Craton (ECC)/East European Platform slope

Subcrops of Paleozoic rocks in the Radom-Kraśnik High,
considered as the NE part of the HCM (Lysogory) fold
belt, represent a transition to Paleozoic rocks that occur
on the sloping margin of the EEP (Baltica’s TESZ mar-
gin). In Poland, the sloping margin is traceable subsurface
between Słupsk and Zamość (Fig. 1). Actually it stretches
from the Baltic Sea via Ukraine to the Black Sea. A rela-
tively wellknown part of the Słupsk-Zamość Slope is its
Lublin-Podlasie sector. The cratonic basement was discon-
formably overlain there by Neoproterozoic—lower Paleo-
zoic succession with records of several unconformities that
evidenced mainly local tectonic and only temporarily more
significant eustatic controls on the deposition in the Edi-
acaran–Cambrian. Older Neoproterozoic continental and
shallow-marine clastics, which occurred at the base of the
succession, were unconformably covered by a 100–700 m
thick upper Ediacaran-lower-mid-Cambrian succession. Its
thickness steadily increased southwestward down the EEP/
Baltica slope (Paczeńska and Poprawa 2005; Paczeńska
2010). This succession was composed of riverine-estuarine deposits accumulated in several system tracts that eventually evolved
into wave dominated open seacoast, with regressive phase
in the middle Cambrian and erosion in the late Cambrian.
The deposition of the succession was preceded and then
also accompanied by volcanic activity that brought about
the Volhyn basalts traps at around 625–550 Ma (Białowolska
et al. 2002; Nawrocki et al. 2004a, b; Elming et al. 2007;
Paszkowski et al. 2019). The course of sedimentation recog-
nized in the Lublin-Podlasie basin adjacent to the Mazurzy-
Belarus Antecline (Fig. 1) was correlatable with the history
of continental-marine deposition in the Baltic basin (Peri-
Baltic Syncline) to the north of the antecline (Jaworowski
2000). Despite differences, rough compatibility of the sys-
tems tracts in both basins suggests that both local tectonic
and eustatic factors controlled sedimentary processes at the
TESZ margin of Baltica at the discussed times.

The Ediacaran-Cambrian succession on the Baltic slope
is commonly assigned to rifting and breakup of Rodinia at
that time (Poprawa et al. 1999). Poprawa and Paczeńska
2002; Poprawa 2006b). Preliminary U–Pb studies of detrital zir-
corns retrieved from Ediacaran and Cambrian rocks on the
Baltica’s Lublin slope beside the expectable ‘Baltican’ ages
also revealed the presence of 0.75–0.55 Ga age clusters
(Valverde-Vaquero et al. 2000; Żelaźniewicz et al. 2004,
These results concur with the same age group which was determined in the zircons populations from the lower Cambrian clastics of the Baltic Basin in Estonia and suggest a possible link between Baltica and Avalonia/Cadomia in the late Neoproterozoic (Pöldvere et al. 2014).

Zircon geochronology

All zircon samples come from drill cores (Fig. 1; ESM 1), some of them with an only limited number of grains available. In every case, the biostratigraphic age of a given host rock has been known. A Thermo Scientific Element 2 sector field ICP-MS coupled to a 193 nm ArF excimer laser (Teledyne CETAC Analyte Excite laser) at the Institute of Geology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic, was used for U–Pb dating of zircon (Slama et al. 2008). The randomly picked zircons were imaged in CL and in each sample ca. 100 zircon grains were selected and analyzed. The LA-ICPMS U–Pb dating followed the technique described in detail in Koltonik et al. (2019). A few small reconnaissance samples (~ 25–30 zircon grains) were analyzed with SHRIMP II at Research School of Earth Sciences RSES ANU, Canberra, following analytical procedures described in Compston et al. (1984) and Williams and Claeson (1987). Zircon standard FC1 (zircon from the Duluth Gabbro) was used to correct Pb/U ratios for instrumental fractionation. The U–Pb ages with discordance ≤ 10% were presented on a stacked histogram plot and all results on a fractionation. The U–Pb ages with discordance ≤ 10% were presented on a stacked histogram plot and all results on a fractionation. The U–Pb ages with discordance ≤ 10% were presented on a stacked histogram plot and all results on a fractionation.

Results

Upper Silesia Block (USB)

Four samples of zircons come from rocks that overlie the Cadomian unconformity and are biostratigraphically assigned to the lower Cambrian (Fig. 1; ESM 1, 4). In these samples, Ediacaran and Cryogenian zircons (0.54–0.7 Ga) occur with strong Ediacaran peaks at 0.6–0.62 Ga (Fig. 2a–d’). They constitute 60–95% of the total analyzed grains in samples from Subholmia rocks (Raj 1, and Borz 4) and 40–48% of the total in Holmia Cambrian rocks (Wyso 3, Jach 2). In sample Wyso 3, a few ages of 0.48–0.44 Ga are to be discarded. Such zircons, apparently younger than the host sandstone, appear very bright in CL and have very low radiogenic Pb content presumably due to fluid infiltrations and consequent Pb loss. In Borz 4, fluid activity led to extremely high U and Th content, which resulted in few apparent Permian and even Cretaceous ages yielded by fractured metamict zircon grains.

In every sample, the zircons > 1 Ga have ages spread between 1.1 Ga and 3.4 Ga, being overwhelmed by a prominent cluster of 2.8–3.2 Ga with minor peaks at 2.0 Ga and 2.5 Ga (Fig. 2a–d’). They differ however in the frequency of Mesoproterozoic ages. Samples from Holmia rocks (Wyso 3, Jach 2) contain zircons dated between 1.1 Ga and 1.6 Ga whereas such ages are entirely lacking in samples from Subholmia rocks (Raj 1, Borz 4).

Closer examination of CL images of the grains in sample Raj 1 shows that many of them (30–45% of the total) prove to be igneous, oscillatory zoned zircons of 0.54–0.59 Ga age, which retained subhedral to euhedral shape and up to 1:4 aspect ratio (ESM 4). These zircons likely underwent only short surficial transport, thus very may have come from the late to post-orogenic Cadomian (IAG/CAG) granitoids common in Brunnovistulia, or, alternatively, from volcanic products associated with this magmatism. In four USB samples, 30% of the entire dated zircon population are older than 1 Ga, rounded to oval grains that experienced long transport and were mainly derived from 1.6–3.2 Ga source(s) (ESM 4). Part of such old grains have younger outgrowths which point to the reworking of Meso/Neoarchean crust during the 0.7–0.6 Ga event.

Malopolska Block

In contrast to the USB, Cambrian rocks are virtually absent from the adjacent Malopolska Block (Fig. 1). Neoproterozoic basement is covered here by the patchily preserved Lower Paleozoic platform with flat-lying Tremadocian sandstones at the base. The Tremadocian sandstones from two boreholes (Herm 1, Nosio 2) revealed Ediacaran zircons dated at 0.5–0.7 Ga, which is up to 50% of the total analyzed grains (Figs. 1, 2a–f; ESM 1, 3, 5). In sample Herm1, two zircon ages younger than the host rock were discarded. The two zircons were likely affected by fluid infiltrations (high U and Th contents, dark in CL). In Herm1, two peaks at 0.56 Ga and 0.62 Ga are in evidence (Fig. 2e–e’) for zircons that are weakly zoned or unzoned at all. In Nosio 2, the zircon grains < 0.7 Ga are densely yet simply oscillatory zoned, have ~ 1:2 shape ratios and more or less subhedral outlines, which indicates their igneous origin. Zircons of such origin might come from dissected arc granitoids. They apparently underwent shorter transport than the well mechanically rounded older grains of 1.2, 1.5, 1.8 and 2.8 Ga age. In sample Herm 1, the 2.0 Ga and 2.6 Ga grains tend to be relatively large reaching 200–300 μm (ESM 5). In both Tremadocian reconnaissance samples, the older group zircons have ages spread between 0.9 Ga and 3.0 Ga, with small peaks at 1.0 Ga, 1.2 Ga, 1.75 Ga, 2.1 Ga in Herm 1 and 1.2 Ga, 1.5 Ga, and 2.8 Ga in Nosio 5 (Fig. 2f–f’). Zircons of
Fig. 2 U–Pb ages of detrital zircons from: a–d'—lower Cambrian platform sandstones in the Upper Silesia Block (Bru- novistulia) and e–f'—Tremadocian platform sandstones in the Malopolska Block
such ages also occur in Subholmia rocks in the Upper Silesia Block. They altogether may suggest exclusively either Baltic or Amazonian sources, or the two sources combined, thus the reconnaissance observations need further testing and confirmation on larger zircons samples.

**Holy Cross Mountains Fold Belt and its subsurface continuation**

In the HCM, Cambrian rocks are common yet Precambrian are nowhere exposed and not reached in boreholes, thus the material evidence for an unconformity at the base of Paleozoic succession has not been observed. In the concealed SE continuation of the Kielce Fold Belt of the HCM, lower Cambrian sandstones (sample Rudk 8) provided Ediacaran detrital zircons (20% of the total) dated at 0.53–0.55 Ga, 0.6 Ga and 0.7 Ga (Fig. 3a–a'; ESM 1, 3, 6). These are quantitatively overwhelmed by the 1.3–2.3 Ga zircons with peaks at 1.4 Ga, 1.8 Ga and 2.0 Ga, which reminds the situation observed in the Malopolska Tremadocian.

In the Łysogóry Fold Belt of the HCM, lower Cambrian strata are unknown. Middle and upper Cambrian rocks were drilled in the SE subsurface prolongation of the belt and sampled in four wells (Fig. 1). Among zircons < 1.0 Ga, despite small variations in individual age spectra, generally dominate grains of the 0.55–0.65 Ga group (25–30% of the total analyzed grains), with minority dated at 0.7–0.9 Ga (Fig. 3b–f'; ESM 1–3, 6, 7).

In samples from mid-Cambrian rocks (Wor 7, Lub 4, Bisz 3), the most distinct peaks are at ~0.6–0.65 Ga (Fig. 3b–d', ESM 1–3, 6, 7). Sample Wor 7, the 0.5–0.7 Ga zircons often appear to be least rounded and have subhedral or even euhedral outlines. Interestingly, this is an observation similar to that made in Terreneuvian sample Raj 1 from the Upper Silesia Block, which may be explained by either volcanoclastic/tuffitic admixture to siliciclastic succession or by specific conditions of sedimentary transport. However, in samples Lub 4 and Bisz 3, no such regularity exists, all grains look rounded, albeit variously, irrespective of their age. Zircons > 1 Ga but < 3.5 Ga are unevenly represented. In Wor 7 and Lub1, there is a nearly continuous spread of ages between 1.05 Ga and 2.25 Ga with peaks at 1.35, Ga, 1.75 Ga, 2.1 Ga (Wor 7) and at 1.15 Ga, ~1.5 Ga, 1.75 Ga, 2.05 Ga (Lub 4), whereas the reconnaissance sample Bisz 3 reveals only single grains dated at ~1.3–1.5 Ga. 1.85–1.95 Ga and 3 Ga.

An upper Cambrian sample Naro 2 (Fig. 3e–e'; ESM 1, 3, 7) contains Ediacaran zircons with age peaks at 0.55 Ga and 0.7 Ga (30% of the total). The grains are 100–200 µm large, all rounded and structurally different. In Dyle 1, the zircons are 30–80 µm long, very well rounded with diversified internal structure, yet only 3% of the total are younger than 0.7 Ga (Fig. 3f–f'; ESM 3, 7). The zircons display either oscillatory or broad sectorial zoning, thick outgrowths that truncate the cores are also in evidence.

**Slope of the EEC**

Ediacaran through middle Cambrian rocks deposited as aluvial to shallow shelf succession on the SW slope of the EEC/Baltica were sampled (Fig. 1). In Ediacaran sandstones/heterolithic mudstones in SE Poland (sample Bia 3) no zircons younger than 1.4 Ga was found (Fig. 4a–a'; ESM 1–3, 8). Almost all zircons yielded ages between 1.45 Ga and 1.75 Ga (95% of the total analyzed grains) and only one was significantly older ~3 Ga. Pronounced culmination occurred at 1.55 Ga.

However, in nearby borehole (Ter 5), lower Cambrian sandstone embraced zircons of which most yielded concordant ages of 0.53–0.65 Ga, ~1.1–1.2 Ga, ~1.55–1.6 Ga, 1.9–2.05 Ga and 2.6 Ga (Fig. 4b–b' ESM 1, 3, 8). Three grains with apparent “Ordovician” ages were discarded as too young, which was likely caused by fluid infiltrations (high U and Th contents, dark blurred in CL). In the same borehole, middle Cambrian sandstone (Ter 5.2) revealed zircons with age spectrum ranging from 0.55 Ga to 3 Ga (Fig. 4c–c'; ESM 2, 3, 8). Significant is the presence of Ediacaran zircons dated between 0.54 Ga and 0.65 Ga (25% of the total) and abundant zircons >1.0 Ga, dated between 1.0 Ga and 2.7 Ga, with peaks at 1.25 Ga, 1.~55 Ga and 2.1 Ga.

**EEC/EEP**

Three other zircon samples come from Cambrian rocks but from various depths in a single borehole (Rad 1), which is located on the Łuków High/Horst and thus allows an access to subcrops of the crystalline cratonic basement (Fig. 1). The lower Cambrian sandstone (depth of 1573 m, sample Rad 1.1) revealed Calymmian-Statherian zircon age spectrum (Fig. 5a–a'; ESM 1–3, 9) similar to that found in sample Bia 3. In contrast, a lower Cambrian sandstone sampled at 140 m higher in the profile (depth of 1435 m, Rad 1.2) contains a discrete zircon age cluster of 0.52–0.62 Ga (25% of the total) with strong peak at 0.56 Ga (Fig. 5b–b'). Older zircons from sample Rad 1.2 are spread between 0.9 Ga and 1.5 Ga (15% of the total) with an Ectasian peak at 1.3 Ga (Fig. 5b–b'). Another two peaks occur at 2.0 Ga and 2.7 Ga. In middle Cambrian sandstone, 400 m meters higher in the Rad 1 log profile (depth of 1040 m, Rad 1.3), the cluster of 0.55–0.65 Ga (25% of the total) also occurs with peak at 0.55 Ga, whereas older data points group at 1.25 Ga, 1.5 Ga (minor), 2.0–2.1 Ga and 2.55–2.75 Ga (Fig. 5c–c'; ESM 3, 9).

The Ediacaran–Cambrian platform succession deposited on the EEC in the Podlasie Synclise was sampled in two...
Fig. 3  U–Pb ages of detrital zircons from Cambrian sandstones in the Kielce and Łysogóry fold belts of the Holy Cross Mountains and their subsurface continuation to the SE.
boreholes (Mie 1, Krz 4) south of the Mazurian-Belorussian High (Fig. 1). In some contrast to Bial 3 and Rad 2.1, in borehole Mie 1, Ediacaran fanglomerate (sample Mie 1.4) contains mainly ~1.7–1.9 Ga zircon grains (60% of the total) that dominate over the ~1.2 Ga grains (15% of the total) and ~1.5 Ga grains (15% of the total) (Fig. 5d–d’, ESM 1, 3, 10). Few zircon grains from this sample yielded 206 Pb/238U ages < 0.75 Ga for oscillatory zoned outgrowths on the 1.8 Ga cores. However, these ages were discordant (20–35%), probably due to Pb loss, thus rejected as geologically meaningless.

Ediacaran sandstone-mudstone heteroliths (sample Mie 1.3) higher in the profile (depth of 1582 m) accumulated almost exclusively ~1.8–1.9 Ga zircons (98% of the total analyzed grains) (Fig. 5e–e’; ESM 1, 2, 10). However, slightly higher in the log (depth of 1540 m), in lower Cambrian heteroliths (sample Mie 1.2), ~1.45–1.5 Ga zircons predominate (85% of the total) over few ~1.0 Ga zircons (5% of the total) and 1.75–1.9 Ga grains (15% of the total) (Fig. 5f; ESM 1, 2, 10).

In middle Cambrian sandstone (sample Mie 1.1) sampled at a depth of 1196 m, most abundant become the zircons <1.0 Ga. They form a pronounced cluster of 0.54–0.7 Ga ages (40% of the total analyzed grains) with a peak at 0.56 Ga (Fig. 5g–g’; ESM 2, 10). Older zircons (>1.0 Ga) are in this sample spread between 1.0 Ga and 2.9 Ga. A discrete cluster of 1.0–1.3 Ga (20% of the total), with two peaks at 1.05 Ga and 1.2 Ga, dominates over
Fig. 5 U–Pb ages of detrital zircons from Ediacaran-Cambrian sandstones from the EEP
1.4–1.7 Ga ages (16% of the total) with peak at 1.55 Ga, 1.8–2.1 Ga ages (16% of the total) and single dates of 2.5–2.9 Ga.

In borehole Krz 4 nearby (Fig. 1), middle Cambrian sector (samples Krz 4.2, Krz 4.1) is characterized practically by the same pattern as in Mie 1.3 (Fig. 5h–i'; ESM 1, 2, 11). Abundant zircons of the 0.55–0.7 Ga cluster (40% and 35% in the samples, respectively) with an Ediacaran age peak of 0.56 Ga are accompanied by older 1.0–3.0 Ga zircons. This age group reveals few smaller peaks at: 1.2 Ga (10%, 12%), 1.4–1.6 Ga (8%, 21%), 1.8–1.9 Ga (8%, 15%) and single grains dated between 2.55 Ga and 2.9 Ga.

The Łuków Horst gives insight into the EEP basement (Fig. 1). In Rad 1 borehole, a gneissified pinkish biotite granite underlying the sampled (Rad 1.1–Rad 1.3) strata yielded the U–Pb zircon upper intercept age of 1875 ± 8 Ma, which dated its Svecofennian protolith (Fig. 6; ESM 1, 3, 12). In nearby Par 10 borehole, at the base of the Ediacaran–Cambrian strata, a thin layer of conglomerate occurs with some poorly rounded pebbles of a pinkish microcline granite. The granite is identical with that observed in veins cutting an
amphibolitic-granitoidic bedrock, a monzodiorite sample of which gave alike zircon age of 1833 ± 25 (Krzemińska et al. 2011). In contrast, the zircons collectively retrieved from 8 granitic pebbles yielded the U–Pb upper intercept age of 1497 ± 5 Ma (Fig. 6; ESM 1, 2, 12). This result is in line with the AMCG magmatism widespread in the Fennoscandian domain of the EEC.

**Interpretation of provenance and discussion**

**Upper Silesia/Brunovistulia**

The new results show that lower Cambrian succession that unconformably covered Precambrian basement in the Upper Silesia Block was supplied with detritus that came from the eroded Neoproterozoic crystalline rocks of the composite Brunovistulia terrane that was generally exposed to the south and assigned to the Cadomian orogen (Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009). Having considered the published sedimentological and paleontological data (Bula 2000; Bula and Żaba 2005 and literature therein; Jachowicz-Zdanowska 2014; Pacześna 2005, 2014) and integrated them with our new provenance data, a following scenario can be inferred.

By the Ediacaran/Cambrian transition and in the early Cambrian, the Cadomian internides (660–545 Ma) were uplifted and cut by late/post-orogenic faults. They became unevenly exposed at the surface and yielded to intense denudation. An onset of erosion was recorded by up to 30–35 m layer of basal polymictic conglomerates (the Potrójna fm. on the USB) and followed in the Terreneuvian (Subholmia) by coarsening upward silicilastic platform mudstones-sandstones distinguished as the Borzęta fm. (Bula 2000). It is a > 600 m thick unit deposited in the vicinity the present-day NE/E boundary of the USB, in a fast subsiding part of an early Cambrian continental basin, the part called herein the Borzęta graben (half-graben?). The graben was presumably controlled by the nearby fault precursors of the KLFZ and the Rzeszotary Horst (Fig. 1). The latter is composed of the 2.7–2.0 Ga (meta)igneous mafic and felsic rocks, likely a fragment of a Neoarchean tonalite-trondhjemite-granodiorite (TTG) suite, which became built in the composite terrane of Brunovistulia (Żelaźniewicz and Fanning 2020). The horst was almost wholly a submarine high. The basin got shallower westwards and eventually a land emerged in the Brno area. In this area, however, another Terreneuvian (Subholmia) fast subsiding depression was formed, called herein the Menin graben, which accumulated > 1500 m of sediments (Bula and Żaba 2005). The two mentioned grabens (Borzęta and Menin) were oriented NW–SE (modern coordinates) and most likely represented the transversal, fault-controlled embayments or “straits” in an early Cambrian shallow basin with the WSW–ENE oriented deposition axis. Biostratigraphic evidence revealed a succession of Terreneuvian (Holmia) and middle Cambrian deposits (Goczałkowice and Sosnowiec formations: Bula 2000) which were younging toward the depocenter. Summing up, the early Cambrian local paleogeography of the USB was that with a northerly located basin that was flanked on the south by a land or high (exposed Brunovistulian basement) being subjected to erosion. The basin was filled up with sediments cyclically accumulated in different facies by braided rivers, alluvial...
fans and fan deltas followed as parts of shoreface as well as proximal and distal offshore systems (Buła and Żaba 2005; Paczeńska 2014). However it cannot be excluded that the southerly land actually formed a broad ridge in the early Cambrian sea. Interestingly, its eastern/northeastern shore likely belonged to the Baltican realm as acrittarchs retrieved from lower Cambrian mudstones represent the same groups as those found in rocks from the EEC, in Lithuania and Estonia (Jachowicz-Zdanowska 2014). Similar inference has been made based on early Cambrian trilobites, though these, at the species level, are reported only from Silesia and the HCM Kielce belt (endemites?) yet at the genus level are characteristic of Baltica (Scania and Estonia) (Orłowski 1975, 1985; Nawrocki et al. 2004a, b).

Our data show that in the Terreneuvian (Subholmia) Borzęta fm. (Raj 1, Borz 4), 60–95% of the analyzed detrital zircon population and in the upper Terreneuvian (Holmia) 40–48% of the analyzed population represent the 0.68–0.54 Ga age cluster. The detritus must have come from the elevated Brunovistulian basement ridge, of which the SW part was composed of Cadomian 0.67–0.58 Ga (meta) granodiorites separated by Tonian metabasites (0.73 Ga) of the Central Basic Belt (Finger et al. 2000b; Friedl et al. 2000; Hanžl et al. 2019), whereas the central and NE part was built of 0.61–0.58 Ga orthogneisses and paragneisses that were intruded by late/post tectonic granites at ~0.58–0.54 Ma (Dudek and Melková 1975; Dudek 1980; Finger et al. 1989, 1999, 2000a, b; Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009). In the latter, the zircons have 0.64–0.61 Ga old cores, which suggests reworking/melting of older Neoproterozoic crust. All these rocks (0.73–0.54 Ga) are interpreted as a hinterland of the Cadomian orogen developed from a magmatic arc (Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009). Part of zircon grains of 0.59–0.54 Ga age from the Subholmia strata probably underwent only short surface transport and likely came from the late to post-orogenic Cadomian granitoids and, possibly, from the earliest Cambrian volcanism. In samples from Holmia rocks such distinction cannot be made. Well rounded to oval zircon grains, often complex zoned unambiguously testify long history and long transport. Part of such older zircons have younger outgrowths which indicate reworking of Meso/Neoarchean crust during superposed events (0.7–0.56 Ga and earlier ones). In Brunovistulia, the Cadomian hinterland is built of variously isotopically evolved crust (Finger et al. 2000a, b; Hegner and Kröner 2000; Hanžl et al. 2019), which is also disclosed by sandstones of the Upper Silesia Cambrian platform that come from the recycled, lithologically diversified orogen (Żelaźniewicz et al. 2004, 2009).

The detrital zircon grains from the lower Cambrian platform sandstones altogether indicate sources that contained a wide spectrum 0.58–0.72 Ga, 1.0–1.2 Ga, 1.4–1.5 Ga, 1.9–2.1 Ga and 2.8–2.9 Ga rocks. In the 0.73–0.54 Ga Brunovistulian crystalline basement, older lithological elements are unknown except for 2.6 Ga and 2.0 Ga gneisses and granites of the Rzeszotary Horst (Żelaźniewicz and Fanning 2020). Nevertheless, the inherited Paleo- and Mesoproterozoic zircons suggest that the ~0.7–0.54 Ga magmatic arc generally developed on older continental crust which characterized by 1.5–1.0 Ga components and Nd model ages of 1.3–1.0 Ga (Hegner and Kröner 2000). The presence of the 1.0–1.2 Ga and 1.4–1.5 Ga zircons clearly discards any direct connections of Brunovistulia with the West Africa craton in Gondwana (Cordani and Teixeira 2007; Cordani et al. 2009; Johansson 2009). The former group points to the Grenvillian-type orogenic source (Grenvillian/Sveconorwegian-Putumayo-Oaxaquia), whereas the provenance of the latter group is less obvious. Both Baltican and South American (Amazonian) domains could provide clasts of rocks which were formed or metamorphosed at that time. Based on paleomagnetic data, Nawrocki et al. (2004a, b) proposed that since Grenvillian times Brunovistulia was close to the Baltica’s southern (presently) margin, then became incorporated into the Cadomian belt and positioned in low latitudes in the early Cambrian. The scenario and observations need further testing and confirmation.

**Małopolska**

In the Małopolska Block, the oldest Phanerozoic strata are represented by flat-lying Tremadocian sandstones (Noso 5, Herm 1) unconformably overlying the Ediacaran basement. From the presence of 0.56–0.7 Ga concordant zircons, an obvious link with the Cadomian orogen is inferred. All grains are rounded yet the zircons <0.7 Ga apparently underwent shorter transport than more rounded older grains. The latter represent the 1.2 Ga, 1.5 Ga, 1.8 Ga and 2.6–2.8 Ga clusters, thus similar to Brunovistulia which must have been rather close to Malopolska at least since the Ediacaran. At that time, both units encompassed fragments of the folded and gently metamorphosed orogenic foreland (flysch) deposits wholly assignable to the Cadomian orogen. While the Upper Silesia Block embraces its foreland and mainly hinterland of the orogen, in Malopolska only orogenic foreland is in evidence, and presumably the two were originally somewhat differently positioned before they came into the recently observed contact across the KLFZ. Nevertheless, the Ediacaran Malopolska flysch must have been fed from a hinterland located generally to the SW/S away of the identifiable present-day Malopolska area but generally supplied by the same regions as Brunovistulia. The same situation continues in the Ordovician when the epi-Cadomian platform started to build-up there.
The Holy Cross Mountains Belt

The Kielce Fold Belt of the HCM

In contrast to Małopolska, lower-middle Cambrian (Terenneuvian + Series 2) strata compose a large part of the Kielce Fold Belt, yet the Precambrian basement has been inaccessible in both outcrops and boreholes drilled so far. No unconformity between the Neoproterozoic and Phanerozoic, equivalent to the Cadomian unconformity recognizable in Upper Silesia and Małopolska, can be observed in the HCM area. A local Cambrian biostratigraphic studies, repeatedly revised (review in Szczepnik and Żylińska 2016), revealed that the oldest identifiable Paleozoic rocks (exposed in the Kotuszyński inlier) represent the mid-Terreneuvian–mid-stage 2 interval. The Chmielnik-Ryszkowa Wola Fault Zone (Fig. 1) is an important tectonic boundary that separates the Małopolska Block with its unfolded Paleozoic platform cover from the Kielce Fold Belt in which lower Paleozoic succession was intensely folded in the late Cambrian and refolded in late Silurian, thus comprised a pre-Ordovician unconformity. The latter cannot be taken however as an equivalent of the Cadomian unconformity.

Densely located boreholes in the vicinity of the the Chmielnik-Ryszkowa Wola Fault Zone revealed at the pre-Miocene paleosurface that the uppermost Ediacaran flysch has been in contact with ~1–2 km thick lower Cambrian shallow fluvial/marine deposits across the fault zone. Despite later rejuvenations of the zone, such relationships suggest that the early faulting was coeval with sedimentation.

Of several previous age determinations a few were performed on the minerals retrieved from Cambrian rocks of the Kielce belt (Belka et al. 2000, 2002; Valverde-Vaquero et al. 2000). U–Pb TIMS analyses of single zircon grains (13–15% discordant) for lower Cambrian sandstone (Ociesęki) yielded following ages: 0.54, 1.2–1.4, 1.56, 2.0–2.1 and 3.0 Ga. The youngest ages coincide with the K–Ar mica cooling ages of 0.52, 0.9 and 1.5 Ga for lower Cambrian rocks in the Kielce belt were also reported by Nawrocki et al. (2007). Our reconnaissance data come from lower Cambrian sandstone (sample Rudk 8) drilled in the subsurface continuation of the Kielce Fold Belt to the SE (Fig. 1). The results are similar to those obtained by other authors in the exposed part of the belt (Belka et al. 2000; Valverde-Vaquero et al. 2000). The common presence of the 0.55–0.7 Ga zircons shows that this age cluster is distinct, though subordinate to the 1.3–3.0 Ga zircons. In the early Cambrian, the Kielce basin evidently received clasts from Ediacaran/Neoproterozoic source(s), though no rock of that age has been disclosed in the nearby present-day subcrop/outcrop pattern. The only potential outcrop candidate might be the Volyn continental trap basalts formed at ~0.58–0.55 Ga, with flood basalts accompanied by tuffs and tuffs of basaltic to felsic composition (Bakun-Czubarow et al. 2002; Shumlyansky et al. 2016; Paszkowski et al. 2019). Having considered their age, only a minor youngest fraction of the Ediacaran zircons may have been potentially connected with that volcanism while most of them clearly required another, more efficient source. Moreover, only felsic and intermediate pyroclastic products, which were insignificant, might have carried zircons and embodied them in uppermost Ediacaran strata. Such deposits, although scarcely reported from the EEC slope and eastern Małopolska (Compston et al. 1995), may have been considered potential, yet not too effective, source for detrital zircons of the latest Ediacaran age entrapped in Cambrian or Ordovician deposits of those units. The more so, the Volyn pyroclastics were spread over almost whole Belarus and adjacent Russia, thus east of the trap area and much limited to the west of it (Paszkowski et al. 2019). For these reasons, the detrital zircons of Ediacaran (0.7–0.55 Ga) ages in the EEC, HCM, but also in Małopolska and Upper Silesia/Bruunovistulia could be in a very minor degree, if any, assigned with the Volyn flood volcanism. In Upper Silesia, evidence for Ediacaran volcanism is, at least so far, unknown.

Taking into account the 0.7–0.53 Ga ages of zircons and 0.61–0.53 Ga mica cooling ages, the provenance of detrital material in the HCM Kielce belt must have been connected with the eroded Cadomian orogenic belt which most likely was located, or at least fragments of it, generally to the south (southwest or southeast).

The Łysogóry Fold Belt of the HCM

In the Łysogóry Fold Belt, a Paleozoic succession apparently started in the late Cambrian, mainly as sandstones with siltstone intercalations. Local biostratigraphy of the Cambrian is less resolved than in the Kielce belt (Nawrocki et al. 2007). Cambrian rocks are exposed in a narrow strip all along the multiple active Holy Cross Fault that subdivide the HCM in the Kielce and Łysogóry fold belts. In the latter, previous single zircon U–Pb analyses yielded ages of 0.6, 1.8–2.1 and > 2.5 Ga (Belka et al. 2000) and a range of mica cooling ages from 0.57 Ga and 0.63 Ga via 0.8–0.9 Ga to 1.3 Ga and 1.7 Ga (Nawrocki et al. 2007). In the subsurface continuation of the Łysogóry belt to the SE (Fig. 1), also middle Cambrian rocks were revealed, yet neither lower Cambrian nor Precambrian rocks were encountered in boreholes. In three middle Cambrian samples analyzed in this study, 25–55% of the analyzed zircon grains represent the 0.5–0.7 Ga age clusters with peaks at 0.65–0.7 Ga (Fig. 3b–d’). In upper Cambrian sample Naro 2, 45% of the total analyses likewise belong to the 0.55–0.7 Ga cluster, two other peaks occur at 1.75 Ga and 1.95 Ga (Fig. 3e–e’). However, in other upper Cambrian sample (Dyle 1), the group of 1.65–1.8 Ga ages dominates.
embraced part of the TESZ passive margin of Baltica. However, the approaching Cadomian orogen which then effectively basin. This may be explained by the diminishing distance to apparently more abundant than to the early Cambrian Kielce zircons to the Łysogóry basin in the mid-late Cambrian was mentary transport. The delivery of the Ediacaran/Cadomian essentially clastic rocks or by specific conditions of sedi- mentary transport. The delivery of the Ediacaran/Cadomian zircons to the Łysogóry basin in the mid-late Cambrian was apparently more abundant than to the early Cambrian Kielce basin. This may be explained by the diminishing distance to the approaching Cadomian orogen which then effectively embraced part of the TESZ passive margin of Baltica. How- ever, the abundance of 1.0–2.1 Ga zircon ages (70–80%) with similar peak patterns suggests that the source region(s) for siliciclastic deposits in the HCM basins must have been built predominantly of Mesoproterozoic-Paleoproterozoic rocks with some Mesoarchean ~3.0 Ga component.

At the pre-Miocene paleosurface in SE Poland, unmet-amorphosed, acritarch-bearing Ediacaran rocks of the Małopolska Block (Jachowicz-Zdanowska 2011a, b) are in fault contact with lower Cambrian rocks of the HCM Kielce belt (Fig. 1), the latter being downthrown by 1–2 km on the CRW Fault Zone in its immediate neighborhood as indicated by logs of closely located boreholes (CGBD, https://baza. pgi.gov.pl). Effects of tectonic subsidence of the steadily shallow Kielce basin increased toward its depocenter. A total thickness of Cambrian (Cm1–Cm2) shelf deposits, estimated in a range of 2.5–5 km (Kowalczewski et al. 2006), sug- gests subsidence and intense basement tectonics which since that times controlled sedimentation in both the Kielce and adjacent Łysogóry basin. The control was likely exerted by extensional detachment listric faulting that occurred along the passive Baltica margin (Jaworowski and Sikorska 2006) which thus became significantly thinned southwestward. Such mechanism eventually allowed location and promoted evolution of the Trans-European Suture Zone (Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009, 2016). Detailed sedimentological analyses revealed bidirectional clast delivery in the two basins, both from the EEP and from the oppositely located sources (Jaworowski and Sikorska 2006). Abrupt facies changes pointed to highly irregular seabed morphology caused by synsedimentary basin faulting and might be enhanced by growth faults that on minor scale can be observed in out- crops of Cambrian rocks (Jaworowski and Sikorska 2006; unpublished observations by AZ). The precursors of the block boundaries like the Chmielnik-Ryszkowa Wola Fault Zone, Holy Cross Fault Zone, or Kazimierz-Ursynów Fault Zone and others (Fig. 1) probably contributed to the tectonic unrest, which was conspicuously reflected in lateral facies differentiation and temporary emergences/depositional gaps. Post-Devonian rejuvenation of such faults delineated uppermost crustal blocks. These are relatively well trace- able with potential field data (Dziewińska and Petecki 2004; Mikołajczak et al. 2018), for instance the Radom-Kraśnik High/Horst/Block (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, the Paleozoic suc- cession of this block is actually a part of the Łysogóry Fold belt (Jaworowski and Sikorska 2006; Bula and Habryn 2011; Krzywiec et al. 2017), which merges/continues in Paleozoic strata with similar lithologic characteristics that accumulated on the SW dipping passive margin of the East European Craton (Fig. 1), where lower Paleozoic strata (para)conformably overlay Ediacaran and older platform (EEP) deposits. Summing up, the HCM Kielce and Łysogóry successions started to develop during the Cambrian in a rather narrow, initially relatively fast subsiding, fault-controlled shallow shelf basin that was located between the Małopolska Block and the EEP over the thinned Baltica margin. Earlier this area was interpreted as a proximal terrane derived from Baltica (Dadlez et al. 2005).

During late Carboniferous basin inversion, the HCM Fold Belt was deformed by folding yet with no thrusting. The Łysogóry Paleozoic succession was tectonically shortened for not more than ~ 20% (Lamarche et al. 2003; Krzywiec et al. 2017) and the Kielce succession possibly even by ~ 30% (Jurewicz and Stepień 2012). Earlier shortening during late Cambrian and late Silurian deformations could not be greater, though it can be hardly estimated as tectonic events at those times have been mainly inferred from the presence of non-deposition/stratigraphic gaps and low-angle angular unconformities. The original width of the HCM basin could not double the present HCM outcrop/subcrop area. Accordingly, various lines of reasoning strengthen the view that Małopolska has been close to Baltica since the early Cambrian, though presumably not exactly in the present-day location, from which the then position of Małopolska was distant but by several tens rather than hundreds of kilom- eters (Jaworowski and Sikorska 2006; Dadlez et al. 2005). The intervening, shallow fluvial-marine HCM basin received clasts both from the continent and from the southerly occur- ring orogenic source (Jaworowski and Sikorska 2006).

EEP slope/EEC

On the SW margin of the East European Craton, the crys- talline Precambrian basement was nonconformably covered with Neoproterozoic-Paleozoic platform succession (Fig. 1). In E/SE Poland, this succession commenced with Ediacaran alluvial-shallow marine deposits which continued in the Cambrian with a minor within-Terraneuvian disconform- ity (Pacześna 2010). Upper Ediacaran estuarine-tidal flat deposits sampled in borehole Bia 3 yielded almost exclusively 1.5 Ga zircons (Fig. 1). The clastic rocks must have come from erosion of massifs composed of A-type granites dated at 1.6–1.4 Ga that are widespread in Fennoscandian
Baltica/EEC (Bogdanova et al. 2001, 2008; Krzemińska et al. 2009; Wiszniewska et al. 2007). In Neoproterozoic times, variously sized granite plutons must have occurred as elevated massifs that culminated in the platform plain landscape. Such a scenario is confirmed by the presence of a ~ 30 m thick Ediacaran coarse clastic bed that overlay disconformably the crystalline bedrock in Par 10. Poorly rounded pebbles of 1.5 Ga granite in a basal conglomerate interlayer underwent only short surface transport and were undoubtedly derived from the eroded bedrock. Our data show that the cratonic basement elevated at the Łuków
Fig. 7 A scheme of tectonic and age structure of Baltica after Bogdanova et al. (2008), simplified and modified. a Baltica in the Neoproterozoic, passive margins on the NE/E and SW sides. Numbers indicate the ages of main tectonothermal events (bold) and original ages of the reworked major complexes. Arrows show directions of delivery of clastic material to a passive margin basin on the SW side of Baltica supplied from eroded continental sources ranging in age from the Archean to Neoproterozoic. Red lines corresponds to fault zones shown on Fig. 1. CR Central Russian Belt, OM Osnitsk-Mikashevichi Belt, W Warsaw. b Amazonia and Baltica have become separated since ~0.65 Ga. A passive margin on the SW side of Baltica (future TESZ site) accumulated deposits of Baltic provenance. Amazonia drifted off yet retained the same tectonic belts which once had been in continuity while in Rodinia (Dalziel 1997; Johansen 2009; SAMBA). An oceanic subduction occurred around 0.67–0.6 Ga under the Amazonia margin that once adhered to Baltica, which led to the construction of a magmatic arc edifice at that margin and was consequently followed by back-arc extension and crustal thinning. c The thinning was accompanied by strike-slips caused by Gondwana/Amazonia rotations eventually spilled off some Andean-type fragments (~0.58–0.55 Ga) that jointly constituted the TTA (Teisseyre–Tornquist Terrane Assemblage). The TTA overrode the TESZ passive margin of rotating Baltica at the Latest Ediacaran–Earliest Cambrian. Since that time, the overstep platform successions on both TTA and Baltica started to receive detrital material of Ediacaran (and older) age from the southerly located orogenic source(s) considered part of the Cadomian belt. Half-head arrows-strike-slips regime

Horst (Fig. 1) is built of ~1.8 Ga various metagneous rocks and crosscut by ~1.5 Ga granites.

Some light is also shed by zircons retrieved from two boreholes (Mie 1, Krz 4) located in the Podlasie Syncline between the Mazury-Belarus Antecline and the Łuków High (Fig. 1). In the Ediacaran fanglomerate (sample Mie 1.4), the dominant 1.8–1.9 Ga and 1.5–1.7 Ga zircons (Fig. 5d–d’) must have come from the eroded anteclise/topographic high exposing the Svecofennian basement (Bogdanova et al. 2001, 2008; Krzemińska et al. 2009) built of rocks of those ages. A catchment area presumably did not embrace more distant, northerly located Archean (Karelian) regions of Fennoscandia (Fig. 7a). Although the observations speak strongly in favor of the local EEC sources, they do not exclude the inferred earlier connection of Baltica and Amazonia within Rodinia, specifically by the Svecofennian-Venentuari Tapajos belt and the Gothian-Rio Negro Juruena belt which have similar age characteristics and have been extensively intruded by the 1.65–1.35 Ga AMCG rocks with an overall A-granitoid systematics and rapakivi characteristics (Cordani et al. 2009; Wiszniewska et al. 2007) (Fig. 7a–c). Mesoproterozoic zircons occur in the Cadomian Brunovistalian terrane (Friedl et al. 2000; Lindner et al. 2019) to which Upper Silesia belongs being at the SW tip of the studied transect in southern Poland.

A provenance of the ~1.2 Ga zircons in the Podlasie Basin (Synecline) is also a challenge as no rock of that age is known from this part of the EEC. The nearest source for Mesoproterozoic detritus is the Svecofennian orogen, some 500–1500 km to the NNW (Fig. 7a). It became part of the EEC at 1.3–0.9 Ga by accreting to Baltica several crustal fragments that developed at 1.73–1.55 Ga (Eastern Segment and Transscandinavian Igneous Belt), 1.65–1.55 Ga (Gothenian) and at 1.52–1.48 Ga (Telemarkian) which all eventually underwent strong tectonometamorphic overprint till 0.9 Ga (Roffeis and Corfu 2014). Between 0.7 and 0.6 Ga southwestern Scandinavia was probably affected by general uplift and peneplanation (Ofteidahl 1980). The Scandinavian areas were then eroded and the entire region must have been drained mainly to the south, away of the mountains (orogen) toward the southerly sea, i.e. the TESZ passive margin basin (Fig. 7). The detrital material was transported by fluvial media and shallow marine longshore currents, roughly parallel to the existing craton margin. Similar drainage pattern was maintained through the Ediacaran (sample Mie 1.3) and continued in the Early Cambrian (sample Mie 1.2). Such a picture is consistent with the Paczeńska’s (2010) reconstruction of a fluvial system open southerly to the sea via estuary with tidal influence. These data strongly suggest that the break-up of Rodinia along the fault system of the future TESZ and resultant thinning of the Baltic margin must have occurred in late Cryogenian–early Ediacaran times, at ~0.65–0.55 Ga as earlier proposed (Bogdanova et al. 2009; Cawood and Pisarevsky 2006).

Our provenance data show that a significant change in the catchment pattern and drainage systems of source areas that alimented the Cambrian basin took place before the middle Cambrian. This is exemplified by sample Mie 1.1 which still have 35% of the 1.6–1.0 Ga zircons but almost 50% of the 0.67–0.54 Ga zircons that for the first time appear in detrital inventory of the then EEC slope basin. Practically the same age pattern was revealed by two zircon samples (Krz 4.2, Krz 4.1) from middle Cambrian rocks which were collected at two different depth levels in Krz 4 borehole, being located more inland, closer to the Mazury-Belarus High (Fig. 1). The zircon age spectra still support an effective supply from the Sveconorwegian, Svecofennian and Karelian/Kola (or Ukrainian Shield) sources (1.0–1.2, 1.4–1.6, 1.8–1.9, 2.7–3.0 Ga) in the craton. However they prove most of all a massive delivery of detritus derived from the eroded crystalline areas of Ediacaran age which were generally located to the south of the craton. These areas may have only belonged to the newly constructed Cadomian orogen at the peripheries of Gondwana (peri-Gondwana) (Fig. 7b, c). These peripheries must have been then close enough to or just in contact with Baltica so that the offshore currents could transport the elastic material parallel to the continental margin of the craton. Actually, in the HCM marine basin, a shallow sea-way between Baltica and peri-Gondwana, the sediments were deposited being supplied from the two eroded land masses which had oppositely directed drainage systems toward the basin (Fig. 7). A close relationship between Baltica and some peri-Gondwana
fragments since Ediacaran-Cambrian times was earlier already inferred from various lines of reasoning, though explained differently, by Winchester et al. (2002, 2006), Żelaźniewicz et al. (1998,2009) or (Nawrocki 2003). These authors emphasized the role of the Teisseyre–Tornquist Terrane Assemblage (TTA) (Nawrocki and Poprawa 2006; Nawrocki et al. 2007; Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009), which in Neoproterozoic times comprised a group of small continental terranes including Brunovistulia, Malopolska, Moesia and Dobrogea that were accreted to Baltica in latest Ediacaran times. In other interpretation, these are considered Caledonian terranes (Oczlon et al. 2007). In Moesia, the 0.56–0.54 Ga K–Ar cooling ages of basement rocks below the disconformably overlain Cambrian point to the waning stages of the Caledonian orogeny (Seghedii et al. 2005). In Dobrogea, Ediacaran K–Ar cooling ages also characterize sub-Ordovician basement rocks that contain zircons derived from Archean and Proterozoic sources (Seghedii et al. 2005), which fits the zircon age spectra revealed in Poland (Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009). U–Pb detrital zircon age patterns attest a peri-Amazonian provenance of Dobrogea and Cambrian junction of the terranes (Balintoni et al. 2011). The Teisseyre–Tornquist Terrane Assemblage eventually overrode the TESZ passive margin of Baltica (Fig. 7c). It was the very margin that was earlier thinned when Rodinia broke-up and Amazonia became detached from Baltica. During the break-up, Baltica consequently lost contact with West Africa (Johansson 2009), thus the southern (called Scythian) edge of Baltica was also a thinned EEP crust and passive margin that presumably existed there in the latest Precambrian–early Paleozoic, though its remnants are only known from subsurface data (Saintot et al. 2006).

Although we accept the view that Ediacaran zircons in lower Paleozoic Scandinavian phyllites may have come from the Timanides (Slama and Pedersen 2015), especially that their chain continued under the Barents Sea via the Kola Peninsula to Norway, such provenance for most of detritus deposited in the Cambrian basin(s) at the Baltic’s TESZ margin from southern Sweden/Bornholm to Romania/Black Sea seems hardly probable. Obviously the entire continent was not drained exclusively to the SW in a single huge catchment area and evidence is missing for a cross-continent efficient fluvial system in favour of broad yet localized troughs such as the West Norway, Moscow–Mezen or Dniestr basins that also persisted in the early Paleozoic (Nikhishin et al. 1996). Autochthonous sediments on the Scandinavian margin are documented by the well-known widespread middle Cambrian-earliest Ordovician bitumen-rich Alum Shale Formation, which strikingly contrasts with thick siliciclastic deposits on the TESZ margin (Thickpenny 1984).

Further support to the interpretation proposed herein comes from the Łuków Horst which is a cratonic basement elevation (Fig. 1). A platform cover upon the horst commences with Ediacaran strata (~120 m thick in borehole Parcz 10) in which basal alluvia pass upwards into estuary deposits followed by characteristic Cambrian sandstone-mudstone-claystone heteroliths that document deposition in a shallow shoreface/offshore basin (Paczłowieńczka 2010). Characteristically, in borehole Rad 1, deeper horizons of the lower Cambrian (sample Rad 1.1) are still dominated by the ~1.5 Ga zircons, the 1.7–1.85 Ga and ~1.1 Ga are minor components and Ediacaran ones are virtually absent (Fig. 4a–a’). However, a bit higher in the lower Cambrian (sample Rad 1.2), a drastic change is evidenced by the abundant appearance of the 0.55–0.7 Ga zircons being accompanied by only minor ~1.5 Ga component, yet frequent 2.0–2.1 Ga and 2.5–2.7 Ga ones (Fig. 4b–b’). The Ediacaran cluster is still persistent in the middle Cambrian (Rad 1.3) with older group of 1.1–2.1 Ga (Fig. c–c’). The three samples allow to narrow the constraints on the effective arrival of the TTA peri-Gondwana to Baltica to the early Cambrian, hence still before the middle Cambrian.

From the early Cambrian, the influence of the Cadomian orogen and its TTA offspring extensively continued over the HCM and EEC slope (Figs. 1, 3, 4) areas. Our data confirm the persistent supply of detritus from Mesoproterozoic source(s) in Baltica and Ediacaran sources in peri-Gondwana, thus prove the proximity to the Cadomian orogen for which the TESZ Baltica margin started to play a role of a foreland. Baltica and peri-Gondwana fragments must have been then already united. In view of conflicting paleomagnetic data, a paleogeographic position of that unifications is uncertain and beyond the scope of this paper.

In the case of the Łuków Horst, variations in supply rate of clastic material from sources of different ages might be due to several reasons of which one was block tectonics and oblique warping of the EEC margin. The resultant structures such as the Mazury–Belarus Anteclise, Podlasie Syncline or Łuków Horst itself (part of a larger latitudinal feature) controlled both local uplifts and subsidence, hence vertical and lateral changes of sedimentary facies (Fig. 1). However the main set of the faults that controlled the thinning and associated subsidence of the craton passive margin was oriented NW–SE. Descendants of those faults did define the boundaries of tectonic block and fold units discerned in the TESZ margin of Baltica, of which the best accessible fragment is that outcropped/subcropped in SE Poland (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, because of insufficient exposition anyway, neither the onset of activity on those faults can be precisely defined nor the early stages of the tectonic evolution of the individual units are fully understood. Such a situation generated a plethora of different interpretations, the discussion of which lies beyond the scope of this paper.

As details of the breakup of Rodinia and Precambrian evolution of the future TESZ boundary of Baltica are still unclear, we assume the scenario according to which it was...
the Sveconorwegian–Putumayo orogen that welded Amazonia and Baltica during Grenvillian events yet only at the Sveconorwegian sector of this boundary. Nevertheless, the counterpart Oaxaquia orogen intervened in-between the two continents as a continuation of the Grenvillian suture. Therefore we suppose that SAMBA, with its orogenic Paleoproterozoic orogenic belts which linked Amazonia and Baltica, as reconstructed by Johansson (2009), broke up still in pre-Grenvillian times. The two parts later converged, collided and eventually became sealed to form the Putumayo and Oaxaquia orogens at 1.1–0.9 Ga. The orogens thus reworked the Paleoproterozoic crust with Mesoproterozoic magmatic additions (AMCG with A-type rapakivi granites).

Then rifting and opening of the Teisseyre–Tornquist Ocean was accomplished in the Cryogenian–Ediacaran. Baltica was left with a wide thinned passive margin that collected Neoproterozoic deposits delivered from the continent. On the other side of the ocean that could not be too far, considering a typical speed of continents in motion. In Amazonia (Gondwana), the very part of its margin that once was united with Baltica became soon active and turned in a continental magmatic arc of Andean type, at which the Cadomian orogenic belt developed. This belt was split into smaller fragments due to back arc extension and rifting, accompanied by strike-slips due to rotation of the continent, which eventually resulted in breaking, restructuring and drifting the detached continental pieces off the mainland (peri-Gondwana). Some of them formed TTA which represented fragments of a hinterland of Amazonia (Gondwana), the very part of its margin that once was united with Baltica became soon active and turned in a continental magmatic arc of Andean type, at which the Cadomian orogenic belt developed. This belt was split into smaller fragments due to back arc extension and rifting, accompanied by strike-slips due to rotation of the continent, which eventually resulted in breaking, restructuring and drifting the detached continental pieces off the mainland (peri-Gondwana). Some of them formed TTA which represented fragments of a hinterland of Amazonia. (Żelaźniewicz et al. 2009). An attempt to reconstruct final stages of this evolution was presented above.

Conclusions

1. When the supercontinent of Rodinia eventually broke up in late Cryogenian-early Ediacaran times, Baltica and Amazonia were separated from each other. Baltica was left with a normally faulted thinned passive margin that accumulated Neoproterozoic deposits whose remnants are traceable, though mainly subsurface, from Poland to Romania.

2. The Teisseyre–Tornquist Terrane Assemblage which resulted from Ediacaran splitting of the Cadomian belt, that earlier developed at the Amazonian margin of Gondwana, is composed of fragments being most proximal to Baltica.

3. The presence of Ediacaran detrital zircons in lower Cambrian platform clastic rocks in the USB, in Cambrian shallow shelf deposits in subsiding basin of the HCM (Kielce, Łysogóry) and EEC thinned margin proves the proximity of peripheral (peri-Gondwanan) fragments of the Cadomian orogen to Baltica. By the Early Cambrian, the TTA, as exemplified by Małopolska that carried the Ediacaran/Cadomian foreland edifice in its uppermost crust levels, obliquely docked and overrode the thinned margin of Baltica which accumulated Neoproterozoic rift and passive margin deposits after the breakup of Rodinia.

4. The overriding was effectively accomplished in the early Cambrian as shown by logs of boreholes located on the EEC margin in which deeper horizons of the lower Cambrian platform were dominated still by the Baltican 1.5 Ga and 1.8–2.0 Ga zircons with minor 1.1 Ga components, whereas in higher horizons of the lower Cambrian a drastic change occurred due to the abundant appearance of the 0.55–0.7 Ga Ediacaran zircons attributed to the arrival of the Cadomian orogen related terranes (TTA).

5. The orogenic wedge that overrode by the late Ediacaran–early Cambrian the thinned passive Baltica margin remarkably intensified the subsidence of the margin. At the Polish-Ukrainian sector, 2–3 km thick Cambrian shelf deposits accumulated in the narrow and shallow yet persistently subsiding HCM basin, in which sedimentary facies were strongly diversified due to active control of the basement faulting.

6. The HCM basin as well as the entire shoreface basin on the southwestern Baltica passive margin received clastic materials that were transported by longshore currents from Mesoproterozoic–Tonian sources subjected to erosion in Baltica and from the Cryogenian–Ediacaran sources which represented fragments of a hinterland of the Cadomian orogen that once developed at the Amazonian margin of Gondwana.
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