Single Cell Analysis of RNA-mediated Histone H3.3 Recruitment to a Cytomegalovirus Promoter-regulated Transcription Site
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Background: Replication-independent (RI) histone H3.3 chromatin assembly is a highly conserved but incompletely understood genome regulatory mechanism.

Results: When RI H3.3 chromatin assembly is blocked, H3.3 accumulates at its incorporation site with sense and antisense RNA. RI H3.3 recruitment is RNA-mediated and chaperone-independent.

Conclusion: Understanding the temporal and spatial organization of RI H3.3 chromatin assembly is crucial for understanding genome regulation.

Unlike the core histones, which are incorporated into nucleosomes concomitant with DNA replication, histone H3.3 is synthesized throughout the cell cycle and utilized for replication-independent (RI) chromatin assembly. The RI incorporation of H3.3 into nucleosomes is highly conserved and occurs at both euchromatin and heterochromatin. However, neither the mechanism of H3.3 recruitment nor its essential function is well understood. Several different chaperones regulate H3.3 assembly at distinct sites. The H3.3 chaperone, Daxx, and the chromatin-remodeling factor, ATRX, are required for H3.3 incorporation and heterochromatic silencing at telomeres, pericentromeres, and the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter. By evaluating H3.3 dynamics at a CMV promoter-regulated transcription site in a genetic background in which RI chromatin assembly is blocked, we have been able to decipher the regulatory events upstream of RI nucleosomal deposition. We find that at the activated transcription site, H3.3 accumulates with sense and antisense RNA, suggesting that it is recruited through an RNA-mediated mechanism. Sense and antisense transcription also increases after H3.3 knockdown, suggesting that the RNA signal is amplified when chromatin assembly is blocked and attenuated by nucleosomal deposition. Additionally, we find that H3.3 is still recruited after Daxx knockdown, supporting a chaperone-independent recruitment mechanism.

Sequences in the H3.3 N-terminal tail and αN helix mediate both its recruitment to RNA at the activated transcription site and its interaction with double-stranded RNA in vitro. Interestingly, the H3.3 gain-of-function pediatric glioblastoma mutations, G34R and K27M, differentially affect H3.3 affinity in these assays, suggesting that disruption of an RNA-mediated regulatory event could drive malignant transformation.

Nucleosomes, which are composed of ~150 base pairs of DNA wrapped around an octamer of the four core histone proteins (H2A, H2B, H3, and H4), are both the basic packaging unit of eukaryotic genomes and the interface through which cells regulate DNA-based processes, including transcription, replication, and repair (1). The bulk of the histone proteins are synthesized during S phase, when they are utilized for replication-dependent chromatin assembly. However, in addition to these canonical histones, all eukaryotes have variants of H2A and H3, which are synthesized throughout the cell cycle. CENP-A is the H3 variant deposited into centromeres and is required for proper kinetochore assembly (2). Histone H3.3, which differs from the replication-dependent variants, H3.1 and H3.2, by 5 and 4 amino acids, respectively, is incorporated into chromatin through replication-independent (RI) mechanisms. Mammalian H3.3 is identical to the deduced ancestral form of H3 from Schizosaccharomyces pombe which have only one form of H3, which
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The H3.3 chaperone, HIRA, directs its incorporation into specific single copy genes (11), and, together with UBN1, ASF1, and CABIN1, HIRA incorporates H3.3 into the genomes of senescing cells (13, 14). HIRA and the SNF2-like chromatin-remodeling factor, ATRX (α-thalassemia mental retardation X-linked), are required for H3.3 incorporation into pericentric heterochromatin, embryonic stem cell telomeres, and a transcriptionally repressed CMV promoter-regulated transgene array (9–12). The H3.3 chaperone, HIRA, directs its incorporation into specific single copy genes (11), and, together with UBN1, ASF1, and CABIN1, HIRA incorporates H3.3 into the genomes of senescing cells (13, 14). HIRA and the SNF2-like chromatin-remodeling factor, chromodomain helicase DNA-binding protein 1 (CHD1), are both required for H3.3 incorporation into the Drosophila male pronucleus (15–17). Additionally, CHD2 regulates H3.3 assembly at MyoD and myogenic regulatory sequences and is also required for differentiation (18).

The diversity of RI H3.3 chromatin assembly factors and the incorporation of H3.3 into both active and silent loci indicate that H3.3 regulates a wide array of genomic events, but they do not explain how H3.3 is recruited to these sites or what functionally unites them. A function for H3.3 in epigenetic transcriptional events could, however, provide the missing links because transcription regulates both euchromatin and heterochromatin. At single copy genes, H3.3 incorporation correlates with mRNA expression and RNA polymerase II density (19–22). Additionally, the enrichment of H3.3 at both transcription start and termination sites suggests that deposition could be regulated by events that occur at the boundaries of transcription units (11, 14, 18, 21–26).

Transcription is also linked to RI H3.3 chromatin assembly at heterochromatin. Loss of ATRX and Daxx is a hallmark of cells positive for the alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT) pathway, a telomerase-independent telomere maintenance mechanism, and in both ALT-positive and ATRX-knock-out cells, expression of telomeric repeat-containing RNA (TERRA) is increased (11, 27–29). Disruption of Daxx, ATRX, and H3.3 also deleteriously affects transcription and heterochromatin regulation at pericentric heterochromatin (10, 30). The identification of H3.3 gain-of-function driver mutations in pediatric glioblastoma (31, 32) further suggests that the ATRX/DAXX/H3.3 axis has an essential function in chromatin organization.

We recently reported that the Daxx and ATRX pathway is required for both transcriptional repression and H3.3 incorporation into a chromatinized array of a CMV promoter-regulated reporter transgene (i.e. the site of transcription) (9). When this pathway is disrupted, transcription is rapidly induced from the array, and the incorporation of H3.3 into nucleosomes is blocked. Interestingly, H3.3 is still recruited to the activated transcription site, but it does not co-localize with DNA-binding factors. Instead, we report here that it co-localizes with sense and antisense RNA, which suggests that it is recruited to its incorporation sites through an RNA-mediated mechanism. Sequences in the N-terminal tail and the αN helix mediate both its recruitment to the activated site and an in vitro interaction with double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), indicating that this is the minimal region required for recruitment. In these assays, the H3.3 pediatric glioblastoma driver mutation, G34R, increases its affinity, and the K27M mutation reduces it, suggesting that disease etiology may be due, in part, to the disruption of an RNA regulatory event.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Plasmids**

Cherry/CFP-tTA-ER, ER-tTA, YFP-MS2, H3.3-YFP, and pLUC-H3.3–3XFLAG (9, 33, 34), H3.3 ΔN-tail-YFP(36–135) (35) (gift of S. Henikoff and K. Ahmad), and the GST-ADAR1 double-stranded RNA binding domain (ADAR1 dsRBD) construct (36) (gift of K. Nishikura) were described previously. H3.1-YFP and H3.2-YFP were made using overlapping PCR to produce the 7-amino acid linker in the H3.3-YFP construct (9). All of the other H3.3 histone deletion constructs were subjected to PCR and cloned into YFP-N1 (BglII/HindIII). H3.3 N-tail-αN was cloned into pGEX 4T-3 (Sall/NotI). Point mutations were introduced using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies). YFP-Daxx-C3 was made by cloning the cDNA (gift of Roger Everett) into YFP-C3 (Xhol/SaclI). H3.3-CFP was made by cloning H3.3 into CFP-N1 (BglII/HindIII). The plasmid template for *in vitro* RNA transcription, pBlueScriptII-Rbβglobin, was constructed by inserting the rabbit β-globin intron 2 slicing cassette into pBluescript II (BamHI/Xhol) (primers, 5′-CGCGGATCCGGT-GAGTTTGGGGACCCTTGATTG-3′ and 5′-CCGTC-TGAGTTCCCTTTATTAGCCAGAAGTCAGATGC-3′).

**Cell Culture, Transfections, and Imaging**

The U2OS cell lines, 2-6-3 and 2-6-3/YFP-MS2/rtTA were described previously (9, 33, 34). Activation was induced in 2-6-3/rtTA/YFP-MS2 cells by 1 μg/ml doxycycline. Activation using Cherry/CFP-tTA-ER and ER-tTA was induced with 1 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen. Transfections were performed as described previously (37). Imaging and analysis were done as described previously (34, 37).

**Computational Image Analysis of Time Course Imaging**

Live cell imaging and computational analyses were described previously (34). In each H3.3-YFP time lapse series, the transcription site was manually selected as the region where Cherry-tTA-ER could first be clearly detected or where H3.3-YFP accumulated before activation. *Error bars* represent SD across 13 sets of normalized data. These data sets, collected over the course of ~4.5 h, included longer asymptote regions than in previous analyses (34). Therefore, the data fit a logistical model at earlier time points but needed an additional linear term to account for continuous accumulation at later time points. The following equation and terms were used to calculate intensity,

\[
\text{Intensity} = \frac{(A1 - A2)}{(1 + (x/x_0)^p)} + A2 + Alin \times x
\]

*(Eq. 1)*
where $A_1$ represents initial intensity, $A_2$ is final intensity, $x_0$ is the center (time at which the logistical term has reached halfway between $A_1$ and $A_2$), $p$ is power, and $Alin$ is a linear term to adjust for continued accumulation.

The timing of both the initial accumulation and the end of rapid accumulation for both H3.3-YFP and Cherry-tTA-ER were determined from the logistic fit. The accumulation start point was defined as the time at which the logistical term of the intensity passed 5% of the difference between the initial and final values, whereas the end of rapid accumulation was defined as the time at which the logistical term reached 95% of the final value. 45 cells were imaged in a total of 36 movies from six coverslips over 6 days of imaging. Cells that went out of focus during imaging, developed a saturated signal at the transcription site, bleached, or showed any kind of aberrant physiological features were not analyzed. The analysis was done on 13 cells (~29% of total collected).

**Strand-specific High Throughput Sequencing**

Cells grown to ~80% confluence were scraped from dishes in hypotonic TMS buffer (20 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 250 mM sucrose, 0.1 mM PMSF, and RNasin), incubated on ice for 25 min, and then spun at 3,600 rpm (10 min) to collect nuclei. RNA was extracted from purified nuclei using TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA samples were run on the Agilent Bioanalyzer RNA Pico-chip to assess ribosomal RNA contamination, which was estimated to be 4.7%. Sequencing libraries were prepared from 35 ng of RNA using Epicenter’s ScriptSeq™ version 2 RNA-Seq library preparation kit. Libraries were reassessed by the Bioanalyzer high sensitivity DNA assay and sequenced using the Solexa GAIIx platform in a 36-base pair sequencing run. Unique sequencing reads were aligned against the transgene reference sequence using the Bowtie version 2.0 algorithm, allowing multiple matches without mismatches. The number of exact 36-bp subsequent copies throughout the transgene sequence was used for normalization to represent the expected transcription level of repeated regions.

**RNA Fluorescence in Situ Hybridization (RNA FISH)**

The RNA FISH protocol was adapted from Edith Heard (Curie Institute). Coverslips were mounted on slides as described previously (34). RNAFISH probes were synthesized and labeled with CY3 as described previously (38).

The following strand-specific probes were used: rabbit β-globin intron 2 sense RNA, GCCAGGTGATGACCCAGGGAATTGAGATCTTTTCTTCAACATAGATATTTCC; rabbit β-globin intron 2 antisense RNA, GGAATATTTTGAGCCAGGGCAAGTCAGGATTTGCTACACCTGGCTATCTCAGTGGTATA; bacterial plasmid sequence antisense RNA, TATACACCAGTTGATATATACCCAATGCGCATGTAAAGAAACATTTTGAGGC.

**RT-PCR and Quantitative PCR Analysis**

For each strand-specific reaction, 1 μg of TRIzol (Invitrogen)-isolated RNA was treated with DNase I (Promega, Madison, WI), heated to 65 °C (15 min), cooled on ice (1 h), heated again at 65 °C (15 min), cooled on ice (5 min), and purified with the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Strand-specific RT reactions were done using OmniScript (Qiagen) followed by quantitative PCR with SYBR Green (Sigma) using the 7500 Fast Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Invitrogen). For analysis of H3.3 mRNA levels, RT was done with random hexamers. Quantitative PCR data were analyzed using the 2-ΔΔct method according to AB guidance using GAPDH for normalisation.

The following primer pairs were used: 5’-GGTTGAG- CTCGCTATCAG-3’ and 5’-TTTGTAGCCAGGGCA-TTG-3’ (rabbit β-globin exon 3); 5’-ATGGAATCCCATCAACATCTC-3’ and 5’-CGCCCACCTGGATTTG-3’ (hGAPDH); 5’-AAATCGAGCGGTGGTAAAGCA-3’ and 5’-CTTGGACGCGGTGTTCTGTA-3’ (hH3F3A); 5’-TGTGTCGCATCCACGGTAA-3’ and 5’-CGAGGCAACTGGATGGTCT-TTG-3’ (hH3F3B).

**Knockdown Analyses**

Daxx knockdown was performed using siDAXX SiGENOME Smart Pool and siLuc as the control (Dharmacon). HIRA was knocked down using siHIRA 2 and 4 (Dharmacon) as described previously (39). Transfections were done using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) for 72 h. Daxx and HIRA protein levels were analyzed by immunofluorescence staining, as described previously (34), using the following antibodies: Daxx D7810 (Sigma) (1:4000) and HIRA (39) (gift of Peter Adams). Images were taken using the exact same software settings to compare Daxx and HIRA levels in cells. H3.3 knockdown was done using the following shRNA constructs (Sigma): shH3F3A (TRCN0000139066), shH3F3B (TRCN0000062389), and the control pLKO.1. Lentiviruses were prepared in 293-T cells as described previously (40). For knockdown, 1 × 10⁵ 2-6-3/rtTA/ YFP-MS2 cells were plated in a 10-cm dish and infected the next day with the viruses. 24 h after infection, cells were split into 10-cm dishes (1:3). At 48 h postinfection, puromycin was added (0.5 μg/ml), and at 72 h, transcription was induced for 3 h by the addition of doxycycline (1 μg/ml). Cells were lysed in TRIzol (Invitrogen) for preparation of RNA or SDS lysis buffer for Western blotting.

**Immunoblotting**

Immunoblot analysis was done with the following antibodies: GFP (1:1000; Roche Applied Science), FLAG (1:10,000; M2, Sigma), histone H3.3 (1:1000; 09-838; EMD Millipore), and γ-tubulin (1:1000; Sigma).

**In Vitro dsRNA Binding Assay**

GST Fusion Protein Expression—pGEX constructs were transformed into Rosetta cells (Novagen) and grown at 37 °C to 19884 JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY VOLUME 288 • NUMBER 27 • JULY 5, 2013
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A 0.5–0.6. Cultures were induced with 0.1 mM of isopropyl 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside for 4 h at 18 °C. Bacteria were pelleted and stored at −80 °C. For lysis, pellets were thawed on ice and resuspended in lysis buffer (25 mM Tris, 0.5 M KCl, 10% glycerol, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF, pH 7.2) plus 1 × Celllytic™ B cell lysis reagent (Sigma-Aldrich). After shearing bacterial DNA with needles, lysates were cleared by centrifugation (30,000 for 30 min), flash-frozen, and stored at −80 °C.

In Vitro Transcription—For transcription of sense (S) and antisense (AS) RNA, pBlueScriptII-Rβ-globin digested with BamHI (S RNA) and XhoI (AS RNA) was gel-purified with a gel extraction kit (Invitrogen), treated with Proteinase K, and phenol/chloroform (Ambion)-extracted. RNA was transcribed using the MAXscript *in vitro* transcription T7/T3 kit (Ambion), treated with TURBO DNase (Ambion), stopped with RQ1 DNase stop solution (Promega), and purified with TRIzol reagent (Ambion) according to the company’s protocol but at half of the suggested reaction volume. Protein levels were defined as the intensity difference of the GST-H3.3 N tail sample. RNA binding levels were calculated by dividing RNA levels by protein levels and normalization to the GST-H3.3 N tail-αN sample. Products were purified with the RNAesy minikit (Qiagen) and analyzed by qRT-PCR.

Quantitative RT-PCR Analysis

RNA extracted from the RNA-binding experiment was used as template for RT reaction using Superscript II (Invitrogen) according to the company’s protocol but at half of the suggested reaction volume. Quantitative PCR was done with SYBR Green 10 (Sigma) using the AB 7500 system. Relative RNA levels were determined by calculating 2(-ΔCt) with respect to the C value of the GST-H3.3 N tail-αN sample. RNA binding levels were calculated by dividing RNA levels by protein levels and normalization to the GST-H3.3 N tail-αN sample. Proteins from GST-bound beads were run on an SDS-polyacrylamide gel, which was stained and imaged with ImageReader LAS3000 (FujiFilm) to allow protein band intensity to be measured (Multi Gauge software) and used to normalize the *in vitro* dsRNA binding data. Protein levels were defined as the intensity difference between the protein band and the gel background.

RESULTS

Fluorescent Fusion Proteins Allow the Visualization of Specific Gene Elements at a Transcription Site—To investigate how gene regulatory events are coordinated at a molecularly defined transcription site, we utilize an inducible CMV promoter-regulated transgene, which allows DNA, RNA, and protein to be visualized in single living cells (Fig. 1A) (33). When stably integrated into a cell’s genome, the transgene forms a chromatinized multicopy array that can be identified by expressing fluorescently tagged proteins, which bind to sequence elements in the transgene (9, 33). The transgene-binding proteins can be fused to different colored proteins (CFP/YFP/Cherry) and expressed in different combinations with tagged regulatory factors to evaluate the temporal and spatial organization of transcription, chromatin structure, and regulatory factor recruitment.

The array site can be visualized by expressing the lac repressor protein, which binds to the lac operator repeats (Fig. 1A). Transcription is activated using a modified form of the tetracycline transcriptional activator (tTA). Fusion to the estrogen receptor (ER) hormone-binding domain (tTA-ER) retains it in the cytoplasm until the addition of 4-hydroxytamoxifen induces its entry into the nucleus, where it binds to TRE repeats, activates transcription, and permits the visualization of the transcription site (34). Activation can also be induced by the reverse tetracycline transcriptional activator (rTA) in the presence of doxycycline. The RNA transcript encodes CFP fused to a peroxisomal targeting signal (SKL), 24 repeats of the MS2 bacteriophage translational operator, and the rabbit β-globin intron 2 splicing unit. It can be visualized through the interaction of the MS2 coat protein with the MS2 translational operator repeats. The appearance of the CFP-SKL protein in the peroxisomes in the cytoplasm confirms that the mRNA has been properly processed and exported.

Initially, we introduced a stable multicopy array of this transgene (Fig. 1A) into U2OS cells (cell line 2-6-3) (33), which are ATRX null (27, 42). The Daxx and ATRX pathway is required for the transcriptional repression of the CMV promoter (9).
Therefore, in U2OS cells, the transgene array can be rapidly activated (33, 34). Additionally, in the absence of ATRX, H3.3 is not specifically incorporated into the nucleosomes of the array chromatin (9). H3.3 is still, however, strongly recruited to the activated array, but it does not co-localize with any of the proteins that bind to the transgene DNA, which suggests that H3.3 accumulates at a step upstream of nucleosome deposition and that this system can be used to identify its mechanism of recruitment.

Single-cell Live Cell Imaging Suggests that H3.3 Is Recruited to Its Incorporation Sites through an RNA-mediated Mechanism—

To begin to decipher the mechanism through which H3.3 is recruited to the array during activation, we imaged the activator, Cherry-tTA-ER, and H3.3-YFP in single U2OS 2-6-3 cells (Fig. 1B and supplemental Movie 1). The data were then modeled (solid line) using a function composed of logistical and linear terms to account for the influence of binding site cooperativity and saturation as well as the possibility that the num-
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To profile the distribution of S and AS RNA along the transgene, we used strand-specific HTS (Fig. 1D). The qRT-PCR analysis indicated that, in total RNA, the S transcript is ~40 times more enriched compared with the AS RNA (Fig. 1C). To obtain a portrait of the RNA distribution most representative of the transcripts physically associated with the array, we sequenced RNA isolated from nuclei. Both S and AS RNA transcripts were detected from all regions of the transgene, including the rabbit genomic DNA 3’ to the transcription unit and the bacterial plasmid sequence, indicating widespread transcriptional activity. Although the mechanism of AS transcription is unknown, it is interesting to note that S and AS RNA as well as H3.3 are all enriched in the regions 3’ to single copy genes (11), making it possible that they are functionally connected.

**TABLE 1**

Summary of logistical and linear parameter values and the error in the kinetic analysis of Cherry-tTA-ER and H3.3-YFP recruitment to transcription site during activation

| Parameter | Cherry-tTA-ER | H3.3-YFP |
|-----------|---------------|----------|
| A1        | 1.51 ± 1.47   | 0.80 ± 0.61 |
| A2        | 60.4 ± 2.9    | 23.7 ± 6.4  |
| \(k_{o}\)  | 2.15 ± 0.16   | 99.85 ± 5.18 |
| \(p\)     | 32.4 ± 1.1    | 4.1 ± 1.0   |
| \(Alin\)  | 0.08 ± 0.01   | 0.28 ± 0.02 |

---

**FIGURE 1.** Sense and antisense RNA is transcribed from the CMV promoter-regulated transgene in the ATRX-null U2OS cell line. A. Diagram of the inducible transgene drawn to scale. Expression of the Cherry-lac repressor allows the transgene array to be visualized. Transcription is induced from the minimal CMV promoter by the activators Cherry-tTA-ER and ER-tTA in the presence of 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) and rtTA in the presence of doxycycline (Dox). The transcribed RNA encodes CFP fused to a peroxisomal targeting signal (SKL). The RNA is visualized by YFP-M52, which binds to the stem loops in the transcript. The 3’-end of the transcription unit is composed of the intron 2 splicing unit from the rabbit \(-\)globin gene. The recruitment of YFP-tagged factors to the array can be monitored by co-expression with an array-binding protein. B. Quantification of Cherry-tTA-ER (red) and H3.3-YFP (green) recruitment to the transgene array during activation in single cells. 4-Hydroxytamoxifen was added immediately after the first time point (− 0 min). Images were collected every 5 min for 4.5 h. Measured intensities were normalized to the high and low plateau values and fitted to a model (dashed lines) and linear (dotted lines) parameters. The initial accumulation (downward pointing arrow) is the point when the logistic component of the curve deviates 5% from the initial base line. The end of rapid accumulation (upward pointing arrow) is the point when the logistic component reaches 95% of the final base line. The graph is the average of 13 independent cells. Error bars, S.D. Supplemental Movie 1 shows a representative time series. Table 1 summarizes the logistical and linear parameter values used in the equation to calculate intensity. C, strand-specific qRT-PCR analysis of total RNA collected from U2OS 2-6-3/YFP-M52/rtTA cells 0 and 3 h after activation with doxycycline. The upper bar depicts the structure of the transgene. Relative levels for sense (blue) and antisense (orange) transgene expression tags are shown below it. The black and green bar plot indicates the number of unique aligned sequencing reads across the transgene normalized by the number of repeated copies in the transgene segments. The heat map is color-coded for black to indicate the unique sequence regions; the green intensity is proportional to the repeat copy number in the transgene. The line plot at the bottom represents unnormalized data. Red rectangles below the transgene show the location of the strand-specific RNA FISH probes used in Fig. 2A.
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RNA polymerase II transcription typically terminates 600 to a few thousand nucleotides downstream of poly(A) sites (48). In rabbit β-globin, transcription proceeds for 600 nucleotides past the poly(A) site and decreases over the next 500 nucleotides (49). Interestingly, S RNA levels diminish as the distance from the poly(A) site increases, and AS RNA levels remain fairly constant (Fig. 2C), suggesting the possibility of different transcriptional mechanisms.

Taken together, these results indicate that S and AS RNA accumulate at the activated transgene array in the ATRX-null U2OS cells and, therefore, that it could serve as a recruitment platform for H3.3. To test this idea, we labeled activated cells expressing H3.3-YFP with the Exon S and AS probes. H3.3 co-localizes significantly with both S and AS RNA at the site (Fig. 2B, i–p), which supports the hypothesis that H3.3 is recruited to its incorporation sites through an RNA-mediated mechanism.

FIGURE 2. H3.3 is recruited to sense and antisense RNA at the activated transgene array in U2OS cells. (A) diagram of the 3′-end of the transcription unit (Fig. 1A) showing the locations of the strand-specific RNA FISH probes. (B) localization of the exon sense (a–d) and exon antisense (e–h) RNA FISH probes at the activated array in relation to YFP-MS2. Shown is localization of the exon sense (i–l) and exon antisense (m–p) RNA FISH probes at activated arrays in relation to H3.3-YFP. Yellow lines in enlarged merge insets show the path through which the red and green intensities were measured in the intensity profiles (d, h, l, and p). Asterisks mark the start of the measured lines. Scale bar, 5 μm. Scale bar in enlarged inset, 1 μm. (C) quantitative image analysis of S and AS RNA levels using the probes shown in A at arrays activated for 3 h. Error bars, S.E. p values, calculated using unpaired t test, are presented in the graphs. n values are as follows: intron, S, n = 19; AS, n = 26; exon, S, n = 21; AS, n = 27; genomic, S, n = 18; AS = 19; plasmid, S, n = 17; AS, n = 15.

H3.1, H3.2, and H3.3 All Contain the Signal Required for RNA-mediated Recruitment to the RI Chromatin Assembly Site—To determine whether H3.3 is specifically recruited to the S and AS RNA at the activated transgene array, we evaluated the recruitment of the replication-dependent variants, H3.1 and H3.2, which differ from H3.3 by 5 and 4 amino acids, respectively (Fig. 3A). Most of these differences are located in helix α2 and regulate chaperone-specific interactions, including the association between H3.3 and Daxx (12). Interestingly, H3.1 and H3.2 are also strongly recruited to the activated array in the ATRX-null U2OS cells in a pattern and percentage indistinguishable from H3.3 (Fig. 3, B–D). Like H3.3, neither H3.1 nor H3.2 co-localizes with the activator, Cherry-tTA-ER, consistent with recruitment in the absence of chromatin assembly (9) (Fig. 3C, d and h; note the lack of complete overlap between the red and green peaks in the graph of the intensity signals).
result indicates that the region that mediates H3.3 recruitment to the activated array is conserved in all three variants.

The finding that histones and chaperones interact with high affinity in biochemical assays suggested that chaperones transport histones to their incorporation sites (6–8). Because we are able to visualize a Daxx and ATRX-regulated transcription site in single cells during transcriptional activation when chromatin assembly is blocked, we wanted to determine whether chaperones were required for H3.3 recruitment to it. The recruitment of H3.1, H3.2, and H3.3 to the array in the ATRX-null U2OS cell line indicates that ATRX is not required (Fig. 3, C and D) (9, 33).

The accumulation of H3.1 and H3.2 (Fig. 3, C and D), which do not interact with Daxx (12), suggests that Daxx is also not required. The recruitment of H3.3 after Daxx knockdown further supports this conclusion (Fig. 4A). Previously, we showed that HIRA is not enriched at this CMV promoter-regulated array (9), consistent with reports that Daxx and HIRA regulate mutually exclusive sites (11, 50). Here, we show that H3.3 is still recruited after HIRA knockdown, further indicating that HIRA is not required for H3.3 accumulation (Fig. 4B). The lack of co-localization between H3.3-YFP and CFP-Daxx indicates that they occupy functionally distinct regions at the activated array (Fig. 4C). Taken together, these results indicate that H3.3 is recruited to this transcription site through a chaperone-independent mechanism.

**N-terminal Tail Sequences and the αN Helix Mediate H3.3 Recruitment to the Activated Transgene Array**—To identify the region of H3.3 that mediates its recruitment to the activated transgene array, we evaluated the localization of a series of deletion constructs (Fig. 5). The recruitment of H3.3 αN-tail indicates that the first 35 amino acids are not required (Fig. 5, A and C, a–d). The recruitment of H3.3 N-term, H3.3 N-tail, and H3.3 αN (Fig. 5, A and C, e–p) identified amino acids 36–63 as the minimal region required for H3.3 recruitment. The fact that this region is 100% conserved between H3.1, H3.2, and H3.3 explains why they all similarly accumulate (Fig. 3). The finding that the H3.3 1–2–3 and H3.3 C-term constructs, which include the Daxx-interacting region in helix 2 (12), did not accumulate (Fig. 5, A and C, q–x) further supports a chaperone-independent recruitment mechanism.

**Gain-of-function H3.3 Pediatric Glioblastoma Driver Mutations, G34R and K27M, Differentially Affect H3.3 Recruitment to the Activated Transgene Array**—Within the nucleosome, the H3 histones interact with dsDNA through the solvent-exposed positively charged amino acids in the N-terminal tail and αN helix (51, 52). Fig. 6B shows the relationship of the Lys and Arg residues in this region (amino acids 37–56) with dsDNA in the nucleosomal structure. Because we speculate that H3.3 is recruited to its incorporation sites through an RNA-mediated mechanism and the region required for recruitment has nucleic acid residues, the relationship of the Lys and Arg residues with dsDNA may provide insights into the mechanism of H3.3 recruitment.
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Acid binding properties, this suggested that it might also interact with RNA. To further analyze the H3.3 recruitment mechanism, we converted the Lys and Arg residues in the H3.3 N-tail/H9251N-YFP construct to alanine (Fig. 6A; red letters with asterisks indicate the residues mutated in the four-point mutant (4PTM) construct; Fig. 6D) and used quantitative image analysis to evaluate their effects on accumulation. All of the Lys/Arg to Ala conversions, except R53A, reduced H3.3 N-tail/H9251N-YFP accumulation, indicating that these residues mediate interactions with nucleic acids and/or proteins that are important for recruitment (Fig. 6C).

By using the H3.3 N-tail-αN construct for this analysis, we were also able to evaluate the effects of the H3.3 pediatric glioblastoma driver mutations, K27M and G34R, on recruitment (Fig. 6, A and D) (31, 32). Strikingly, they significantly affected accumulation despite not being within the minimal 36–63 amino acid region; G34R increased accumulation, and K27M decreased it (Fig. 6C). Because their effects on H3.3 N-tail-αN recruitment were significant, we also evaluated their effects on the accumulation of full-length H3.3, which revealed a similar trend (Fig. 6, E and F). Due to the need to reduce the laser power by 80% to acquire unsaturated images of the full-length constructs, it is not possible to compare them to the deletion constructs on the same graph (Fig. 6, C and E). However, the higher intensity signals of the full-length constructs compared with H3.3 N-tail-αN suggest that the C-terminal amino acids increase the affinity of H3.3 for the site. Taken together, the finding that the H3.3 pediatric glioblastoma mutations differentially affect H3.3 recruitment to the activated array (Fig. 6, C and E) suggests that they may interfere with mechanistic events upstream of nucleosomal deposition.

Gain-of-function H3.3 Pediatric Glioblastoma Mutations, G34R and K27M, Differentially Affect H3.3 Binding to Double-stranded RNA in Vitro—The co-localization of H3.3 with S and AS RNA at the activated array (Fig. 2B) and the reduction in H3.3 N-tail-αN accumulation when the Lys and Arg residues

FIGURE 4. H3.3 recruitment to the activated array in U2OS cells is chaperone-independent. A, H3.3-YFP recruitment to the CFP-tTA-ER-activated array in Daxx (a–d) and control (e–g) siRNA-treated cells. Daxx levels were assessed by imaging immunofluorescently labeled cells using the exact same settings. B, H3.3-YFP recruitment to the CFP-tTA-ER-activated array in control (a–d) and (e–g) HIRA siRNA-treated cells. HIRA levels were assessed by imaging immunofluorescently labeled cells using the exact same settings. C, localization of YFP-Daxx (a), Cherry-tTA-ER (b), and H3.3-CFP/CFP-SKL (c) in activated cells. Yellow lines in enlarged merge insets show the path through which the red, green, and blue intensities were measured in the intensity profiles (A and B, d and h; C, e). Asterisks mark the start of the measured lines. Scale bar, 5 μm. Scale bar in enlarged inset, 1 μm.
were converted to Ala (Fig. 6C) suggested that this region might interact directly with dsRNA. To test this, we established an in vitro binding assay using GST-tagged bacterially expressed proteins (Fig. 7, A and C) and in vitro transcribed dsRNA from the ~700-nucleotide rabbit β intron 2 splicing unit at the 3′-end of the inducible transgene (Fig. 1A). Indeed, in this assay, H3.3 N-tail-αN interacts with dsRNA, supporting the hypothesis that such an interaction could mediate H3.3 recruitment to RI incorporation sites. To confirm the specificity of this interaction, we treated the RNA bound to the wild-type H3.3 N-tail-αN and ADAR1 double-stranded RNA binding domain (ADAR1 dsRBD) construct with RNase III, which degrades dsRNA. RNase III treatment significantly reduced the signals, indicating that, in this assay, H3.3 N-tail-αN and ADAR1 dsRBD interact specifically with dsRNA (Fig. 7D).

Although the affinity of H3.3 N-tail-αN for dsRNA was ~3.5 times lower compared with ADAR1 dsRBD, the interaction is specific because binding to GST was negligible (Fig. 7B). Compared with the H3.3 N-tail-αN four-point mutant construct (4PTM) (Fig. 7A; red asterisks mark the mutated sites) the single Lys/Arg to Ala conversions did not significantly reduce RNA binding, perhaps because of the compensatory effects of the other positively charged residues in this region (Fig. 7B). Consistent with the single-cell recruitment assay (Fig. 6, C and E),
G34R increased dsRNA binding and K27M reduced it, which further supports the hypothesis that they affect an RNA regulatory event(s) in the RI chromatin assembly pathway.

**H3.3 Regulates Sense and Antisense Transcription**—Our analyses suggest that H3.3 is recruited to its incorporation sites through a chaperone-independent RNA-mediated mechanism.

To determine whether H3.3 regulates transcriptional events at the transgene array, we evaluated the effects of overexpression and knockdown on S and AS RNA levels (Fig. 8). Expression of the FLAG-tagged H3.3 wild-type and K27M and G34R mutant constructs induced an ~2-fold increase in S RNA levels but did not appreciably affect AS transcription compared with the active control (Fig. 8A). The mechanism through which H3.3 increases S expression is currently not known, but this result suggests a mechanistic interaction with the transcriptional machinery (Fig. 8A). Although in the single-cell imaging assay, the G34R and K27M mutations significantly affected H3.3 accumulation (Fig. 6E), it is likely that their impact on transcription, as detected by qRT-PCR, is not significant because all of the constructs are highly expressed, and measurements are the averages from cell populations.

To evaluate the effects of depleting H3.3 on transcription, we measured S and AS RNA levels after knocking down the endogenous genes, *hH3F3A* and *hH3F3B*, using shRNAs (Fig. 8B). Depletion of both the mRNA and protein was confirmed using qRT-PCR and immunoblotting, respectively (Fig. 8C). Remarkably, H3.3 knockdown induced an ~5-fold increase in both S and AS RNA levels in transcriptionally activated cells (Fig. 8B).
Additionally, an ~6-fold increase in AS RNA was detected in inactive cells, suggesting that H3.3 represses AS transcription at silent chromatin. The increase in S and AS RNA after H3.3 knockdown suggests that H3.3 inhibits transcription at Daxx and ATRX-regulated RI incorporation sites. It also suggests that the RNA recruitment signal is attenuated by nucleosomal deposition and amplified when the Daxx and ATRX pathway is inhibited.

DISCUSSION

Although RI H3.3 chromatin assembly is highly conserved in eukaryotes and H3.3 incorporation patterns indicate that it regulates a wide range of sites, its essential function in genome regulation is still not well understood. Disruption of RI H3.3 chromatin assembly negatively impacts nuclear reprogramming (53, 54), gametogenesis (55–57), and fertilization (30, 58), indicating a critical mode of action in epigenetic regulation. Here, we provide evidence that H3.3 is recruited to a RI incorporation site (an array of a CMV promoter-regulated transgene) through an RNA-mediated chaperone-independent mechanism. When RI H3.3 chromatin assembly is blocked, H3.3 accumulates with S and AS RNA at the activated site (Fig. 9A). S and AS RNA transcripts also increase after H3.3 knockdown, suggesting that H3.3 regulates their expression and that nucleosomal deposition attenuates the RNA recruitment signal. Taken together, these results indicate that RI H3.3 chromatin assembly is intimately connected with transcriptional events, which could provide important new insight into RNA-mediated epigenetic regulation.

This analysis was done using an experimental system that permits the single-cell visualization of a chromatinized CMV promoter-regulated transgene array. The Daxx and ATRX pathway is required for transcriptional repression and H3.3 nucleosomal deposition at this site (9). The integration of this transgene into the ATRX-null U2OS cell line provides the opportunity to analyze RI H3.3 chromatin assembly in a genetic background in which this pathway is blocked. In U2OS cells, transcription is rapidly induced from the array; within minutes, the coding strand is transcribed and subsequently processed, exported, and translated (33, 34). In contrast to the transcriptional activator (Cherry-tTA-ER), H3.3 does not begin to accumulate until ~1 h postinduction, which suggests that addi-
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A. Analysis of Sense and Antisense RNA levels in cells overexpressing H3.3 constructs

B. Analysis of Sense and Antisense RNA levels after H3.3 knockdown

C. Analysis of H3.3 mRNA and protein levels after knockdown

FIGURE 8. H3.3 regulates transcription at the CMV promoter-regulated transgene array. A, strand-specific qRT-PCR analysis of total RNA collected from U2OS 2-6-3/YFP-MS2/rtTA cells expressing full-length FLAG-tagged H3.3 constructs 0 and 3 h after activation with doxycycline (Dox) using a primer pair in rabbit β-globin exon 3. Results are the average of at least three independent experiments. S.D. values, in the form of error bars, and p values, calculated using unpaired t test, are presented in the graphs. Western blot analysis of FLAG-tagged H3.3 constructs using the FLAG antibody and γ-tubulin as a loading control.

B, strand-specific qRT-PCR analysis of total RNA collected from U2OS 2-6-3/YFP-MS2/rtTA, expressing control pLKO.1 and H3.3 shRNA constructs, 0 and 3 h after activation with doxycycline. C, qRT-PCR analysis of hH3F3A and hH3F3B mRNA levels in U2OS 2-6-3/YFP-MS2/rtTA cells after knockdown with gene-specific shRNAs. Shown is Western blot analysis of H3.3 levels in control and knockdown cells using an H3.3-specific antibody and γ-tubulin as a loading control.

Histone H3.3 with both S and AS RNA suggests that its recruitment may be regulated by AS transcription. It is likely that we can clearly detect these RNA products at the transcription site because their synthesis is amplified in the ATRX-null background. Therefore, this system provides a unique opportu-
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Our experiments also suggest that RI H3.3 chromatin assembly can be divided into recruitment and nucleosomal deposition steps and that chromatin assembly factors are only required for the latter (Fig. 9B). Residues in the H3.3 N-terminal tail and αN helix (amino acids 36–63) comprise the minimal region required for recruitment. Because it is 100% conserved in H3.1, H3.2, and H3.3, they accumulate similarly when chromatin assembly is blocked. However, only H3.3 is specifically deposited into nucleosomes by Daxx and ATRX (Fig. 9B). Although Daxx and ATRX do not utilize H3.1 and H3.2, our demonstration that they can be recruited to RI chromatin assembly sites suggests that they could have a regulatory effect on them. It is possible that their effects are limited to S phase when the canonical histones are expressed. It is also possible that the chromatin assembly machinery can efficiently exclude H3.1 and H3.2 if low levels of these variants are available throughout the cell cycle. However, as discussed below, because H3.1 and H3.2 can be recruited to RI assembly sites, mutations in them that interfere with events upstream of nucleosomal deposition could deleteriously affect cellular function.

We also provide several lines of evidence that H3.3 recruitment is chaperone-independent. In addition to the finding that H3.1 and H3.2, which do not interact with Daxx (12), accumulate at the activated transgene array, we also show that H3.3 is recruited after Daxx knockdown in the ATRX-null U2OS cell line, indicating that neither Daxx nor ATRX is required. Analysis of H3.3 deletion constructs also indicates that helix α2, which includes the Daxx interaction domain, is not sufficient for transcription site targeting. Finally, H3.3 accumulates at the activated array in cells expressing ICP0, which removes both Daxx and ATRX from the site, further indicating that they are not required for its recruitment (9).

It is not understood how heterochromatin and euchromatin are functionally united by H3.3 deposition. However, because both types of chromatin are regulated by transcription (59–62), an RNA-mediated H3.3 recruitment step could provide the mechanistic link. The colocalization of H3.3 with S and AS RNA at the transcription site when chromatin assembly is blocked suggests that dsRNA could be its recruitment signal. In support of this hypothesis, we show that the region of H3.3 that mediates recruitment also interacts with dsRNA in vitro. Its lower affinity for dsRNA compared with the canonical ADAR1 dsRNA-binding domain could be mechanistically important if H3.3 interacts transiently with dsRNA en route to nucleosomal deposition. Interestingly, histone/RNA interactions and a role for RNA in chromatin assembly have been reported previously (63, 64). Mutations in the αN helix of S. cerevisiae H3 also disrupt sporulation but not mitotic growth, indicating that this region plays a critical role in epigenetic regulation (65, 66), which could include RNA-mediated H3 recruitment.

Our recent report showing that Daxx and ATRX are enriched at the CMV promoter of the inducible transgene suggests that promoter sequences recruit RI H3.3 chromatin assembly factors (9). This model is also consistent with the finding that H3.3 chaperones regulate mutually exclusive sites (11, 50). Furthermore, RI chromatin assembly machineries seem to have regulatory effects on transcription (Fig. 9B). For example, telomeres, pericentromeres, and the CMV promoter, which utilize Daxx and ATRX, are transcriptionally silent (9–12). HIRA-regulated sites, which include single copy housekeeping genes (11), the genomes of senescent cells (13, 14), and male pronuclei (15–17), are both active and silent. It is possible that their transcriptional states are determined by the chromatin remodeling factors with which HIRA functions. Thus, our data suggest a model in which a universal RNA signal recruits H3.3 to sites of RI incorporation, and sequence-specifically targeted chromatin assembly factors function downstream to regulate transcriptional activity and epigenetic inheritance.

Surprisingly, the gain-of-function brain cancer mutations significantly affect the interaction of H3.3 with both the activated array and dsRNA, which suggests that the disease etiology could be due in part to the misregulation of RNA regulatory events upstream of nucleosomal deposition. In support of this model is the finding that the G34R mutation, which increases
the affinity of H3.3 for dsRNA, is only found in tumors that also harbor a mutation in DAXX or ATRX (31). The fact that G34R only induces malignancy when RI chromatin assembly is blocked suggests that it misregulates a step(s) upstream of nucleosomal deposition. Support for the K27M mutation also interfering with events upstream of nucleosomal deposition comes from the finding that it is also found in the replication-dependent variant, H3.1 (HIST3H1B) (32), which we show can be recruited to the CMV promoter-regulated array but which is not utilized for RI nucleosomal deposition. H3 variants containing the K27M mutation have recently been shown to reduce overall levels of H3 Lys-27 trimethylation (67, 68). The K27M mutation inhibits the activity of the H3 Lys-27 methyltransferase, EZH2, through a direct interaction (67). Our results suggest the possibility that this dominant gain-of-function interaction occurs at RI chromatin assembly sites prior to nucleosomal deposition.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation analyses indicate that H3.3 is enriched at the 5′- and 3′-ends of genes and that its incorporation levels correlate with transcriptional activity (11, 14, 18, 21–26). Interestingly, genome-wide RNA sequencing has identified AS RNAs corresponding to the 5′-21–26). Interestingly, genome-wide RNA sequencing has identified AS RNAs corresponding to the 5′- and 3′-ends of genes (69–72). Because they could be a source of dsRNA, it is possible that their expression is linked to H3.3 recruitment. Further evidence for a connection between RNA and H3.3 deposition comes from studies in S. cerevisiae showing that H3 Lys-36 methylation suppresses both histone exchange and S and AS transcription from cryptic promoters (73–75). It is also possible that the misregulation of telomeric and pericentromeric transcription seen after ATRX and Daxx knockout and knockdown (10, 11, 30) reflects the deregulation of an H3.3 RNA recruitment signal. Double-stranded RNA is a good candidate to be a universal H3.3 recruitment signal because it is structural and can be formed at any site. However, the differential affinity of the K27M and G34R mutants for it suggests that there may also be sequence specificity to this interaction. Because both mRNA and heterochromatin RNA transcripts are polyadenylated (76–78), H3.3 binding could be related to sequences that regulate this untemplated RNA synthesis event.

At this time, we do not know the mechanism regulating AS transcription at the CMV promoter-regulated array or whether synthesis is DNA- or RNA-templated. Canonical RNA-dependent RNA polymerases are components of plant, nematode, and S. pombe RNA interference (RNAi) pathways (79), but they are thought to have been lost in the ancestor of animals (80). RNA exosome sequencing suggests, however, that RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity is also present in mammals because AS transcripts, which cross splice junctions and contain non-encoded 5′ stretches of polyuridine, have been detected (71, 81). TERT, the protein subunit of telomerase, is reported to function as an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (82). However, TERT is not expressed in the ALT-positive U2OS cell line (83, 84), suggesting that it is not responsible for the AS transcription at the CMV promoter-regulated array.

Our discovery of a link between RNA and Daxx- and ATRX-mediated RI H3.3 deposition may also contribute to our understanding of RNA-mediated gene silencing in mammals. In invertebrates and plants, RNAi plays a significant role in heterochromatin regulation and is the primary defense against invasive foreign nucleic acids (85–87). In contrast, RNAi is thought to have regressed in mammals with the emergence of the interferon response and adaptive immunity, making it possible that its role in heterochromatin regulation also regressed (88). Interestingly, Daxx is metazoan-specific (50) and a component of PML nuclear bodies (PML-NBs). Many viruses degrade and/or disorganize PML-NBs, including Daxx and PML, in their efforts to escape intrinsic immunity (89). In support of a connection between PML-NBs, RI H3.3 chromatin assembly, and genome defense, we found that PML is also enriched at the CMV promoter of the inducible transgene (9). Others have also reported the colocalization of Daxx and H3.3 in PML-NBs (10, 90). Therefore, the finding that RI H3.3 chromatin assembly is connected to RNA regulatory events could provide important new insight into RNA-mediated gene silencing and genome defense in mammals.
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