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Abstract

Text alignment is one of the main processes for obtaining parallel corpora. When aligning two versions of a book, results are often affected by unpaired sections – sections which only exist in one of the versions of the book. We developed Text::Perfide::BookSync, a Perl module which performs books synchronization (structural alignment based on section delimitation), provided they have been previously annotated by Text::Perfide::BookCleaner. We discuss the need for such a tool and several implementation decisions. The main functions are described, and examples of input and output are presented. Text::Perfide::PartialAlign is an extension of the partialAlign.py tool bundled with hunalign which proposes an alternative methods for splitting bitexts.
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1. Introduction

A common problem which one deals with when aligning literary works is the existence of unmatched sections: entire sections which exist in one version of the book and do not have a match in another version. Missing sections is a more common problem with books than with other types of documents, because books are more likely to include sections which are version-dependent (prefaces to a given edition, translator notes, author’s biographies and so on). This may however still happen with other kinds of documents – for example, because one of the documents was somehow truncated, or it was only possible to obtain a partial version of it.

The existence of unpaired sections decreases aligners accuracy, which are usually very sensitive to deletions and insertions, and are not capable of dealing with such large differences in the texts. The product of such alignments is often too bad to be included in a parallel corpus. Manually correcting the alignment is not a feasible solution when one is dealing with large amounts of documents, and simply removing by hand the badly aligned parts also presents the same problem.

A tool capable of establish a mapping between the sections of two books and detecting the unpaired sections would allow to identify the problem and act accordingly.

1.1. Project goals

The work presented in this paper has been developed within Project Per-Fide, a project which aims to build a large parallel corpora (Araújo et al., 2010). This process involves gathering, preparing, aligning and making available for query thousands of documents of different types (books, news, legislation, technical manuals, …) in several languages.

Text::Perfide::BookSync (Santos, 2011) is a Perl module developed to address problems caused by unpaired sections in book alignment. This module implements functions to detect missing sections in books and align them at section-level – we denominated this structural alignment process as book synchronization. This module includes a script, syncbooks, which implements the complete workflow.

2. Book synchronization

2.1. Delimiting sections

Before being ready for synchronization, the sections of each book must be determined: how many there are, where each one starts and ends, and their type. Text::Perfide::BookCleaner (Santos, 2011; Santos and Almeida, 2011) is a Perl module which cleans and normalizes plain text books: removes page structure, normalizes paragraph and sentence notation, and finds and annotates section delimiters. syncbooks expects books to be previously annotated by Text::Perfide::BookCleaner, and relies on the section annotations to perform book synchronization.

2.2. Extracting section information

Book synchronization is a process which takes as input two versions of a given document and builds a mapping between the sections of both versions. After finding and annotating the section delimiters, the next step is to compile a list containing the relevant information about the existing sections in each version of the document. For each section, the following elements are stored:

- section type (if any)
- section number (if any)
- first and last character offset
- total number of words
- first words within the section

The section alignment is then performed based on this data structure.
Example 1: Excerpt of original text annotated by Text::Perfide::BookCleaner (top) and respective structure with section information (bottom).

2.3. Alignment method

The section alignment is performed as follows: each section mark in each book is transformed into a token containing that section’s number and type (for example, a mark inserted by bookcleaner as _sec+R: cap=1_ will originate the token cap=1). The tokens from each book are printed to a file, and the Unix diff command is used to compare them.

The diff utility (Hunt et al., 1976) uses the Hunt-McIlroy algorithm to solve the longest common subsequence problem (Hirschberg, 1975), being capable of comparing two files and discovering the lines that were added or removed between them. By comparing the files which contain the section tokens, we can detect which sections can only be found in one of the original documents. Example 2 illustrates a diff file generated from comparing two section files.

Occasionally, two versions of a book have different types for the same sections. For example, one version may be divided in tomes, and the other may call it volumes; one version may have chapters while the other has typeless sections (e.g. sections which are represented only by roman numbers).

In these cases, we have made it possible to perform the section-level alignment based solely on the section numbers, regardless of their type. This way, having different types for matching sections does not prevent two books from being effectively synchronized.

Example 2: Excerpt of two book’s section list (top and middle) and the resulting diff file (bottom).

2.4. Ghost sections and chunks

By analyzing the output we can assess which sections are only found in one of the versions. However, the fact that a given section was not detected by bookcleaner does not always necessarily mean that the section is actually missing – it just means that its beginning could not be found. This happens either because the section is in fact not there or because bookcleaner was not capable of identifying it. Ghost sections give origin to a problem: what should be done with them?

- They cannot be synchronized because, for all practical purposes, they are invisible.
- They cannot be removed along with their matching section either, for the very same reason.
- Removing just the matching section (besides being pointless) would leave us with an even bigger problem: unpaired ghost sections.

In order to solve this problem, we came up with the concept of chunks. A chunk is a data structure which includes a pair of matching sections, and all the following unpaired sections from both documents until the next pair of matching sections, which is the beginning of another chunk. The interest of chunks relies on how the number of chunks and which sections belong to which chunk are determined.

Each chunk starts with a pair of matching sections, and includes every following unpaired sections in both versions. Once the next pair of matching sections is reached, a new chunk is created, and the same procedure is followed. A formal definition of this method is presented in Algorithm 1, and an example of the chunks generated from a diff file can be found in Example 3.

This means that every matched pair of sections will be at the beginning of a chunk, and every unpaired section will be in
Input: pairs_list: list of matching sections, secsL1: list of sections from textL1, secsL2: list of sections from textL2
Output: chunks: list of chunks

```python
L = \frac{wc_{left}}{wc_{right}} \quad (1)
```

where \(wc_{left}\) and \(wc_{right}\) represent, respectively, the total number of words in the left and right sections of the chunk. If \(L\) is between 0.9 and 1.1, the color green is used; if \(L\) is between 0.5 and 0.9 or 1.1 and 1.5, yellow; otherwise, red.

Hovering with the mouse over a given square opens a pop-up containing the first words of each sections. This allows the user to confirm if those two sections have been correctly aligned or not.

In Figure 1, it is possible to observe that Chapter 2 was not found in the version on the horizontal, which originated a chunk starting in Chapter 1 and ending before Chapter 3. Also, an incorrect identification of the end of the book was put in chunk 2 together with Chapter 3.

3. Output objects

After all the chunks have been calculated, as well as their size (in number of words), three different output objects can be built: a synchronization matrix, a pair of annotated files, or a pair of sets of split files.

3.1. Synchronization matrix

The synchronization matrix consists of an HTML file, built using the Perl module HTML::Auto (Carvalho, 2011). This matrix contains a visual representation of the synchronization, providing the user with an intuitive global vision on the synchronization results.

An example of a synchronization matrix is presented on Figure 1. The lines of the matrix correspond to the sections of one of the files, and the columns to the sections of the other. The numbers in the matrix indicate the chunk those sections belong to. The colors – green, yellow and red – represent how likely it is that the sections in a given chunk really match. This is calculated using the formula

\[
L = \frac{wc_{left}}{wc_{right}} \quad (1)
\]

where \(wc_{left}\) and \(wc_{right}\) represent, respectively, the total number of words in the left and right sections of the chunk. If \(L\) is between 0.9 and 1.1, the color green is used; if \(L\) is between 0.5 and 0.9 or 1.1 and 1.5, yellow; otherwise, red.

3.2. Annotated files

Another possible output object consists in a pair of files which are copies of the original input files annotated with synchronization marks. For example, for a given pair of files fileLeft.txt and fileRight.txt, a pair of marked files fileLeft.txt.sync and fileRight.txt.sync will be created.

The marks are placed in the beginning of each chunk, and they follow the form \(<sync id="i">\), where \(i\) is the number of the chunk. Later on, when the books are being aligned, these marks can be used as anchor points.

Frequently, the unpaired sections are found in the beginning of the document: introductions, prefaces, indexes and
other introductory segments. As such, an option was added which allows to skip the first \( n \) chunks, resulting in output files which only start at chunk \( n+1 \).

### 3.3. Split files

Some aligners are not capable of handling large files. This is the case, for example, of WinAlign, from SDL Trados (Trados, 2000). Hunalign (Varga et al., 2005a) also has size limits, which it overcomes by splitting the files in smaller portions. However, the files have to be split in similar ways (i.e. making sure that each pair of smaller files contains the same sections).

As such, syncbooks is capable of splitting the original files in smaller files, each containing one chunk. This way, the original files `fileLeft.txt` and `fileRight.txt` are split into several `fileLeft.c\(_i\)` and `fileRight.c\(_i\)`, where \( i \) is the number of the chunk contained in the file.

### 4. Evaluation

The main goal of Text::Perfide::BookSync is to improve the results of the alignment of literary works. As such, its evaluation can be performed by comparing the results of the alignment of a set of books with and without using Text::Perfide::BookSync.

A set of 40 pairs of books was created (20 books in Spanish and their translation to Portuguese), comprising books from South American authors such as Isabel Allende and Luis Sepúlveda. Three copies of this set were made: pairs in S1 was aligned normally; pairs in S2 were aligned after being cleaned with Text::Perfide::BookCleaner and pairs in S3 were aligned after being cleaned and synchronized with Text::Perfide::BookSync. Given that synchronization requires previously processing the books with Text::Perfide::BookCleaner, S2 was included only to allow to distinguish between the improvements caused by the cleaning and the synchronization steps.

The results of the alignments were finally compared. A representation of the evaluation process can be found in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Text::Perfide::BookSync evaluation process.

Table 1 details the total number of books aligned in each set, the number of those alignments which were considered normal and bad by the aligner\(^1\), the number of alignments which produced no results (which generally happens when something in the text caused the aligner to quit unexpectedly), and the percentage of bad alignments in the total number of alignments.

\(^1\)The aligner used in Project Per-Fide,cwb-align (IMS Corpus Workbench, 1994-2002), marks as bad any alignment with a high rate of non-1:1 alignments.

---

Figure 1: Synchronization matrix produced as a result of synchronizing the previous examples.
The results obtained show that the synchronization process improved significantly the accuracy of the alignments: from 23% of bad alignments obtained in S1 to 7.5% obtained in S3. It is also possible to conclude that, in this particular case, just cleaning the texts had little effect on the alignments, having only reduced the number of bad alignments from 9 in S1 to 8 in S2.

5. Partial alignment

As previously mentioned, hunalign, in order to be able to align large files, uses an auxiliary Python script, partialAlign.py (Varga et al., 2005b), which splits large bitexts in pairs of smaller files, that can then be passed to hunalign.

partialAlign.py starts be detecting unique words – the words that occur exactly twice in the bitext, once in each version of the text. Then a dynamic programming algorithm is used to find the longest possible chain of such correspondences, without intersections. A formal definition of this algorithm can be found in Algorithm 2.

### Algorithm 2: partialAlign.py

**Input:** textL1: text in language L1, textL2: text in language L2

**Output:** small_docs: smaller files containing parts of the input pair.

```plaintext
bow = bag_of_words(textL1, textL2)
for all the word ∈ bow do
    if occurs(word, textL1) = 1 ∧ occurs(word, textL2) = 1 then
        unique_words.add(word)
end
chain = extract_longest_chain(unique_words)
smaller_documents =
split(textL1, textL2, unique_words)
```

Partial alignment

pf-partialalign can receive a list of UCTS, which are used in addition to the similar-words method, meaning that even translated terms may be used to split the bitext. The algorithm used by partialAlign.py, previously described in Algorithm 2, was improved, and the UCTS are used to identify unique pairs of words instead of unique words – a formal definition can be found in Algorithm 3. Given a pair of words w1 and w2, a bitext BT written in two languages L1 and L2, and an UCTS U, w1 and w2 form a unique pair if:

- w1 belongs to U_{L1} and w2 belongs to U_{L2}
- The sum of occurrences of w1 in U_{L1} and its equivalent term in T_{L1} is equal to one.
- The sum of occurrences of w2 in U_{L2} and its equivalent term in T_{L2} is equal to one.

5.2. Text segmentation

The original partialAlign assumes that the input documents have one sentence per line, and as a result it performs segmentation at sentence level. This means that sentences are respected when the files are split. pf-partialalign assumes the same behavior by default, but it also allows to define a different segmentation pattern – if the segmentation pattern is, for example, _sec, it will be able to split books (preprocessed with bookcleaner) into sections. This may be used as an alternative to syncbooks – while the latter synchronizes sections based on their type and numbering, the former synchronizes based on the words that sections contain.
Input: text_{L1}: text in language L1, text_{L2}: text in language L2
Output: small_docs: smaller files containing parts of the input pair.

bow = bag_of_words(text_{L1}, text_{L2})

forall the word \in bow do
  ucts = list_ucts.search(word)
  if \exists w1 \in ucts_{L1} : occurs(w1, text_{L1}) = 1 then
    if \exists w2 \in ucts_{L2} : occurs(w2, text_{L2}) = 1 then
      unique_pairs.add(w1, w2)
    end
  end
end

chain = extract_longest_chain(unique_pairs)
small_docs = split(text_{L1}, text_{L2}, unique_pairs)

Algorithm 3: Text::Perfide::PartialAlign

6. Conclusions and future work

The evaluation tests point out that Text::Perfide::BookSync is able to improve substantially the results of book alignment.

This tool, initially developed as an integrated component of a larger corpora preparation system for Project Per-Fide, has proved to be useful in other contexts, such as ebook creation.

Output objects such as the synchronization matrix allow to quickly and intuitively inspect how compatible two books are, and where are the main problems located.

Text::Perfide::PartialAlign is still being developed and improved, but early tests have demonstrated that the methods for finding UCTSs in bitexts can be used in several text-alignment-related tasks.

Both modules already available under a free software license on CPAN2.
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