Background: Urothelial carcinoma (UC) is the most common cancer affecting the urinary system, worldwide. Lack of accurate early detection tools entails delayed diagnosis, precluding more efficient and timely treatment. In a previous study, we found that miR-129-2 and miR-663a were differentially methylated in UC compared with other genitourinary tract malignancies. Here, we evaluated the diagnostic performance of those microRNAs in urine.

Methods: Promoter methylation levels of miR-129-2 and miR-663a were assessed, using real-time quantitative methylation-specific PCR, in UC tissue samples (using normal urothelium as control) and, subsequently, in urine samples from UC and other genitourinary tract malignancies. Diagnostic and prognostic performances were evaluated by receiver operator characteristics curve and survival analyses, respectively.

Results: Promoter methylation levels of both microRNAs were significantly higher in UC tissue samples compared with normal urothelium. In urine, the assay was able to distinguish UC from other genitourinary tract carcinomas with 87.7% sensitivity and 84% specificity, resulting in 85.85% overall accuracy.

Conclusions: This panel of miRNAs promoter methylation accurately detects UC in urine, comparing well with other promising epigenetic-based biomarkers. This may constitute the basis for a non-invasive assay to detect UC.
suspected cases of UTUC are investigated with computer tomographic urography or urinary cytology followed by ureteroscopy, but these methods have low sensitivity, especially for low-grade tumours, and are often associated with patient discomfort (Kaufman et al., 2009; Remzi et al., 2011; Roupétre et al., 2011). Follow-up of patients with UC is also based on periodic cystoscopy, an invasive, uncomfortable and expensive procedure, making UC one of the most common urological indications for surgery (Lokeshwar et al., 2005). Thus, early, accurate and non-invasive diagnostic tools are critical to improve patient outcome and increase the cost-effectiveness of follow-up procedures.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small (~22 nucleotides in length), non-coding RNA molecules involved in many important regulatory pathways including cell growth, proliferation, differentiation and cell death (Bartel, 2009; Sillaharooglu and Stenvang, 2010). In animals, they regulate the expression of complementary mRNA, thus inhibiting protein expression (Ambros, 2004). Recently, the role of deregulated miRNAs in oncogenesis has been emphasised and depending on its function and type of abnormal expression, they might act as oncogenes or tumour suppressor genes, in many types of cancer (Volinia et al., 2006). Expression of miRNAs might be epigenetically regulated, namely through methylation of CpG islands located at promoter regions, as well as histone post-translational modifications. Alterations in those mechanisms might deregulate miRNAs expression in cancer cells and might, thus, be used advantageously as specific cancer biomarkers early detection, diagnosis, prognosis prediction, prediction of response to treatment and monitoring (Sillaharooglu and Stenvang, 2010).

In search for epigenetic biomarkers in genitourinary cancer, we identified two miRNAs – miR-129-2 and miR-663a – that displayed significantly higher promoter methylation levels in a small series of BUC tissues. Thus, we aimed at validating that finding in larger series of UC, encompassing BUC and UTUC tissues, and test the feasibility of using miR-129-2 and miR-663a quantitative promoter methylation as a tool for accurate non-invasive detection of UC in voided urine, emphasising its specificity for UC among genitourinary malignancies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients and tumour sample collection.** One hundred and fourteen BUC tissue samples were obtained from a consecutive series of patients diagnosed and treated with transurethral resection or radical cystectomy, with no previous history of UTUC, between 2005 and 2014, and 55 UTUC samples were obtained from another consecutive series of patients diagnosed and treated with radical nephroureterectomy or ureterectomy, with no previous history of BUC, between 2000 and 2011. Both the groups of patients were followed-up at the Portuguese Oncology Institute of Porto, Portugal. For the BUC samples, a small tumour sample was immediately snap-frozen, stored at –80 °C and subsequently cut in cryostat for DNA extraction. Routine collection and processing of tissue sample allowed for pathological examination, classification, grading and staging (Eble et al., 2004; Edge et al., 2010). UTUC samples were obtained from routinely-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue used for pathological assessment (Eble et al., 2004; Edge et al., 2010). Controls for BUC consisted on an independent set of 19 normal bladder mucosa collected from BCa-free individuals (prostate cancer patients submitted to radical prostatectomy), and 31 paraffin-embedded normal upper tract urothelium (NUTU) set of samples obtained from renal cell carcinoma patients were used as UTUC controls. Relevant clinical data were collected from clinical charts and is depicted in Table 1.

**Urine sample collection and processing.** Voided urine (one sample per patient) was collected from 49 patients with BUC and UTUC, diagnosed and treated between 2006 and 2012 at the Portuguese Oncology Institute – Porto, Portugal. A set of 75 voided urine samples from patients with prostate cancer (n = 25), renal cancer (n = 25) and healthy donors (n = 25) were also collected and used as controls (Table 2). Informed consents were obtained from patients and controls and used in this study after approval from the ethics committee (Comissão de Ética para a Saúde) of the Portuguese Oncology Institute of Porto (CES-PO 019/08). All urine samples were processed by immediate centrifugation at 4000 r.p.m. for 10 min, the respective pellet was washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline and stored at –80 °C.

| Gender, n (%) | UC | Normal urothelium | P-value |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------|
| Male | 130 (77%) | 39 (23%) | 38 (76%) | 12 (24%) |
| Female | 20 (40%) | 22 (29%) | 0.001 |

**Table 1. Clinical and histopathological characteristics of patients with urothelial carcinoma and providers of normal urothelium**

**Abbreviations: NA—not applicable; UC—urothelial carcinoma.**

| Gender, n (%) | UC | Control set | P-value |
|----------------|-----------------|------------|--------|
| Male | 29 (60%) | 53 (71%) | 0.066 |
| Female | 20 (40%) | 22 (29%) | |

**Table 2. Clinical and histopathological characteristics of patients with urothelial carcinoma and of controls (healthy donors (n = 25), prostate cancer (n = 25) and renal cancer (n = 25) patients), which provided urine samples for this study**

**Abbreviations: NA—not applicable; UC—urothelial carcinoma.**

Nucleic acids isolation, bisulphite modification and qMSP analysis. DNA was extracted from frozen BUC tissue samples using AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc., Germantown, MD, USA). For UTUC NUTU tissue samples, a representative paraffin block was selected and the tumour area was delimited, allowing for macrodissection of tumour from 10 to 20 serial 7-μm thick sections, followed by digestion with proteinase K (20mg ml−1, 50μl). DNA from all samples was extracted using a standard phenol-chloroform protocol (Pearson and Stirling, 2003), and its concentration determined using ND-1000 NanoDrop (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). Bisulphite modification was performed using sodium bisulphite with EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s protocol. Quantitative methylation levels were
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**RESULTS**

**Methylation analysis in UC tissue and performance of methylation panel in urine.** The promoters of both miR-129-2 and miR-663a were found to be methylated in most UC tissue samples, and methylation levels were significantly higher compared with the control group ($P < 0.001$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively; Figure 1). Moreover, in tissue samples, the panel discriminated UC from normal mucosa with 94.7% sensitivity and 84.0% specificity (Table 3), corresponding to an AUC of 0.941 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.911–0.972, $P < 0.001$) in ROC curve analysis (Figure 2A).

The same panel was then tested in a set of 49 urine sediments from UC patients and in a control group of 75 urines from subjects.
Overall, for UC, the median follow-up time was 62 months. A poor progression, whereas 32 patients had perished, 23 due to UTUC. Patients were alive without disease, 6 were alive with disease 55 months (range: 1–186 months). At the last follow-up, 16 due to UC. Considering UTUC patients, the follow-up time was of 58 patients were alive with no evidence of cancer, 10 patients were alive with disease, 11 died from other causes and 32 had deceased 66 months (range: 1–323 months). At the last follow-up time point, patients, including the three above mentioned variables (Supplementary Table 1). As expected, a poor outcome was depicted for UC patients with higher pathological stage, grade and age in a multivariable model (Supplementary Table 1; $P = 0.03$, $P = 0.002$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively). However, considering the two patients’ subsets separately, only grade (for BUC) and age (for UTUC) were selected in the final model as independent predictors of outcome (Supplementary Table 1; $P = 0.009$ and $P = 0.017$). No prognostic value was depicted for miR-129-2 or miR-663a promoter methylation levels in UC or in BUC or UTUC, when analysed separately (Supplementary Table 1).

**Clinicopathological correlates and survival analyses.** Significantly higher miR-129-2 methylation levels were found in high-grade papillary UC compared with low-grade papillary UC ($P = 0.048$), whereas for miR-663a, high-grade papillary UC displayed significantly higher methylation levels than invasive UC ($P = 0.003$). In addition, miR-663a methylation levels differed significantly between non-muscle invasive and muscle invasive UC (stages pTa-1 vs pT2-4; $P = 0.016$), as well as between papillary and invasive UC ($P = 0.012$).

A significant association was found between promoter methylation levels and patients’ age at diagnosis for both miR-129-2 and miR-663a ($P = 0.023$; $P = 0.016$, respectively). After normalisation of the ROC curve for this variable, no significant difference in the panel’s performance was found between younger and older patients and an AUC of 94.3% was obtained. Furthermore, no association was disclosed between miRNAs promoter methylation and patients’ gender.

Of the 114 patients enrolled, 3 BUC and 1 UTUC patients were lost to follow-up. The median follow-up time of BUC patients was 66 months (range: 1–323 months). At the last follow-up time point, 58 patients were alive with no evidence of cancer, 10 patients were alive with disease, 11 died from other causes and 32 had deceased due to UC. Considering UTUC patients, the follow-up time was of 55 months (range: 1–186 months). At the last follow-up, 16 patients were alive without disease, 6 were alive with disease progression, whereas 32 patients had perished, 23 due to UTUC. Overall, for UC, the median follow-up time was 62 months. A poor outcome was depicted for UC patients with higher grade, pathological stage and age at diagnosis (Log rank test; $P < 0.001$, for all variables). Univariable and multivariable Cox regression analysis were performed separately for BUC, UTUC and UC patients, including the three above mentioned variables (Supplementary Table 1). As expected, a poor outcome was depicted for UC patients with higher pathological stage, grade and age in a multivariable model (Supplementary Table 1; $P = 0.03$, $P = 0.02$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively). However, considering the two patients’ subsets separately, only grade (for BUC) and age (for UTUC) were selected in the final model as independent predictors of outcome (Supplementary Table 1; $P = 0.009$ and $P = 0.017$). No prognostic value was depicted for miR-129-2 or miR-663a promoter methylation levels in UC or in BUC or UTUC, when analysed separately (Supplementary Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

Upper and lower UC are among the most common neoplasms worldwide and although several risk factors have been clearly identified (e.g., smoking habits, chemical exposure to aromatic amines like benzidine or β-naphthalene, Schistosoma infection (Babjuk et al., 2013; Torre et al., 2015)), early detection is critical for adequate therapeutic management towards reducing disease-specific mortality (Hall et al., 1998; Margulis et al., 2009). Moreover, it is important to discriminate UC from other genitourinary cancers, especially those originating in the prostate and kidney. Although several biomarkers have been previously reported, including miRNAs promoter methylation (Phé et al., 2009; van der Kwast and Bapat, 2009; Shimizu et al., 2013), they have been mostly focused on BUC, disregarding upper urothelial tract UC, and its performance might be perfected by the addition of more...
sensitive and specific biomarkers. Within a project aimed at characterising miRNAs deregulated through aberrant promoter methylation in genitourinary neoplasms, we identified miR-129-2 and miR-663a promoters as potential UC biomarkers (submitted). We, thus, tested the biomarker performance of quantitative miR-129-2 and miR-663a promoter methylation both in upper and lower urinary tract UC.

Because miR-129-2 and miR-663a promoter methylation was initially identified in BUC, we first assessed methylation levels in tissue samples of upper and lower urinary tract UC. Owing to biological and genomic similarity between the urothelium from upper and lower urinary tract (Zhang et al., 2010), we hypothesised that this panel would perform well in both the settings. Indeed, the methylation panel discriminated UC from normal urothelial mucosa with high sensitivity and specificity, which did not differ between upper and lower urinary tract UC. This result enabled us to proceed with urine testing, as the ultimate goal of the study would be the identification of a non-invasive test, intended for early detection and disease monitoring. In urine samples, sensitivity and specificity were lower than those found in tissues, but it should be recalled that the accuracy of the panel was tested not only against healthy volunteers, but also prostate and kidney cancer patients.

Recently, several studies attempted to identify novel epigenetic biomarkers for UC detection, some of them with an apparent superior performance to the panel reported herein. TWIST1 and NID2 promoter methylation were previously reported to detect BUC in urine samples with 94% sensitivity and 91% specificity (Renard et al., 2010). However, specificity was only tested against urinary infections or other benign conditions and its ability to discriminate UC from prostate and kidney cancer was not evaluated. BCL2, CDKN2A and NID2 promoter methylation have also been proposed as epigenetic biomarkers for bladder cancer (Scher et al., 2012). Although the number of genes is higher than that of our panel, sensitivity and specificity were lower (80.9% and 86.4%, respectively) and this was accomplished through nested PCR, which may compromise the speed and cost of the assay. Moreover, the number of samples from prostate and kidney cancer tested was lower than those included in our study. We have also previously reported a gene promoter methylation panel (GDF15, TMEFF2 and VIM) that accurately identified BUC in urine samples (Costa et al., 2010) which we, subsequently, demonstrated to have similar performance in upper urinary tract UC (Monteiro-Reis et al., 2014). Both studies, however, used specific TaqMan probes, contrarily to the present study, where a SYBR Green-based protocol was used, thus, also, improving cost-effectiveness.

Some previous studies have also focused on miRNAs promoter methylation as UC biomarkers. Whereas, Vogt et al. (2011) reported 57% sensitivity (n = 7) for miR-34a promoter methylation in bladder tissues and Shimizu et al. (2013) achieved 81% specificity and 89% sensitivity in urine sediments from BUC (n = 47) using a panel of several miRNAs. Our results compare well with those reported and provide some significant advantages, as only two miRNA promoters are tested and its specificity was evaluated against other genitourinary malignancies.

Although urinary cytology is frequently used as an initial diagnostic approach in UC suspects, its diagnostic yield is rather limited, especially for upper urinary tract UC (Rouprêt et al., 2013). Moreover, imaging techniques might have difficulty in discriminating upper urinary tract UC from renal cell carcinoma, a quite relevant differential diagnosis setting owing to marked differences in therapy and prognosis (Browne et al., 2005), thus emphasising the need for biomarkers that may accurately discriminate among those tumour types. In the present study, the sensitivity of urinary cytology was only ~35%, which was easily surpassed by the miRNA methylation panel, with the additional gain of discriminating UC from renal cell carcinoma.

Whereas no biological role has been previously ascribed to miR-129-2 and miR-663a promoter methylation in urothelial carcinogenesis, several studies in other tumour models have unveiled the pathological significance of those epigenetic aberrations. Transcriptional silencing of miR-129-2 due to promoter methylation was found in gastric (Pan et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2010), endometrial (Huang et al., 2009) and hepatocellular (Lu et al., 2013) carcinomas, as well as in acute myeloid leukaemia (Yan-Fang et al., 2013), and it has been implicated in overexpression of two oncogenic proteins, SOX4 (Huang et al, 2009; Shen et al., 2010) and Cd66 (Wu et al., 2010). On the other hand, miR-663a promoter methylation and downregulation was associated with JunD overexpression in small-cell lung carcinoma (Zhang et al., 2016) and HMGA2 overexpression in hepatocellular carcinoma (Huang et al., 2016), fostering cell proliferation. Owing to the prevalence of miR-129-2 and miR-663a promoter methylation in UC, across primary localisations, histological subtype, grade and stage, it is tempting to speculate whether it may also play a key role in urothelial carcinogenesis.

In summary, we demonstrated that aberrant miR-129-2 and miR-663a promoter methylation accurately discriminate UC from normal urothelial mucosa and allow for sensitive and specific identification of upper and lower urinary tract UC in urine samples, discriminating also from other common genitourinary tract carcinomas (kidney and prostate). Thus, this panel might be useful for complementing other epigenetic biomarkers for non-invasive detection and/or monitoring of UC patients.
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