Queens of the inquiline social parasite *Acromyrmex insinuator* can join nest-founding queens of its host, the leaf-cutting ant *Acromyrmex echinatior*
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**Abstract**
Queens of the inquiline social parasite *Acromyrmex insinuator* are known to infiltrate mature colonies of *Acromyrmex echinatior* and to exploit the host’s perennial workforce by producing predominantly reproductive individuals while suppressing host reproduction. Here we report three cases of an *A. insinuator* queen having joined an incipient colony of *A. echinatior* that contained only the founding host-queen and her small symbiotic fungus garden. We conjectured that 1:1 host-inquiline co-founding—a phenomenon that has only rarely been reported in ants—may imply that the presence of an *A. insinuator* queen may incur benefits to the host by increasing survival of its incipient colonies. We observed that the parasite queens neither foraged nor defended the nest against intruders. However, the parasite queens interacted with the host and fungus in a way that could be consistent with grooming and/or with contributing eggs. These observations may help explain why *A. insinuator* queens have maintained metapleural glands, even though they are smaller than those of host queens, and why *A. insinuator* has lost the large foraging worker caste but not the small worker caste.
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*Acromyrmex insinuator* is a queen-tolerant inquiline that parasitizes its sister species *Acromyrmex echinatior*, a leaf-cutting ant native to Panama (Schultz et al. 1998; Sumner et al. 2004). It infiltrates mature *A. echinatior* colonies where, as in other inquilines, it produces predominantly reproductive offspring. However, the species is unusual as it has lost the large worker caste but still produces small workers (Sumner et al. 2003a, c, 2004; Buschninger 2009; Schrader et al. 2021). As a relatively recently evolved parasite, *A. insinuator* is thought to represent a somewhat transitional state: it still closely resembles its host species, although it is slightly miniaturised, and its minor workers may be necessary for suppression of host reproduction or early nursing of inquiline brood (Sumner et al. 2003a). Infection by *A. insinuator* is ultimately fatal for host colonies in the lab, although it may take years until parasite queens (often present in multiples) suppress host queen oviposition so large foraging workers are no longer replaced. This appears to give the parasites only a single season to produce a semelparous clutch of male and female reproductives which exhausts the colony’s symbiotic fungus garden and makes the colony collapse by the time the inquiline’s winged reproductives disperse (Bekkevold and Boomsma 2001). It is possible, however, that parasite queens may co-reproduce with the host queen for several years in large field colonies when host colonies thrive and come to maintain many fungus gardens (Bekkevold and Boomsma 2001).

During a recent field experiment that involved following newly-founded *Acromyrmex* nests in and around Gamboa, Panama (Howe et al. 2019), we discovered an additional mechanism by which inquilines and hosts associate. In three successive years (2015–2017), shortly after the *Acromyrmex* mating flight in April/May, we thoroughly surveyed a total of nine plots across six sites for newly-founded *Acromyrmex echinatior* and *A. octospinosus* nests.
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Four of the six sites lay within Gamboa, while the remaining two lay approximately 6 km south–east. At this stage of incipient colony founding, nests contain only a recently inseminated queen and a fungus garden of a diameter similar to her body length and, while brood is usually present, the first workers have yet to hatch; see Howe et al. (2019) for more detailed information. That study identified a total of 90 incipient A. echinatior nests and 73 A. octospinosus nests and in the third year, three of the 56 nests identified at one site, Lorenzo Bank, contained an additional queen of Acromyrmex insinuator—in each case with an A. echinatior host queen, the primary host of A. insinuator. This possibility of host-inquiline co-founding was suggested as a possibility by Schultz et al. (1998) but has, to our knowledge, only been occasionally recorded in Megalomymex species that exploit other attine ants (Boudinot et al. 2013) and in Tetramorium inquinulum (formerly Teleutomyrmex schneideri) whose miniature queens hitchhike on the back of host queens-to-be during dispersal flights (Hölldobler and Wilson 1990).

This triple observation made us consider the possible costs and benefits of this unexpected type of association. We conjectured that queen mortality in the nest founding stage is extremely high, so that founding host queens—which otherwise almost always appear to be lone haplometrotic founders in A. echinatior; cf. Nehring et al. (2018)—could potentially incur a competitive advantage from the presence of an extra cofounding queen in terms of defence against intruders or pathogens. If that were the case, it would imply that the interaction may be mutualistic rather than parasitic at the colony founding stage, and that early host-colony survivorship could more than compensate for the loss of reproductive success to the parasite towards the end of the colony cycle.

A. echinatior queens found colonies non-claustrally. Before the first workers emerge, they regularly leave the nest to forage for plant material to nourish their incipient fungal garden (Fernandez-Marin et al. 2004). This has several costs: the host queen is vulnerable to predation while foraging, she is potentially exposed to diseases, and her fungus is left unguarded during each foraging trip and at risk of being stolen by a neighbouring queen (Howe et al. 2019) or by fungivorous insects. The presence of an A. insinuator queen may thus provide tangible benefits to the host in the form of hygienic services if the parasite queen were to groom the host queen, or if she were to function as an additional guard or additional egg-layer to boost the size of the first worker brood. The question then becomes whether this early-life enhanced likelihood of eventually producing a mature colony large enough to reproduce (i.e. a fungus garden volume of at least 1 L) is substantial enough to compensate for later years of lost reproduction. In other words, would an average founding queen with an inquiline companion be better or worse off than an average haplometrotic queen founding a colony on her own?

From a parasite queen’s perspective, a recently-founded nest that is routinely unguarded while the host queen is foraging would likely be easier to infiltrate than a mature colony with an aggressively defensive workforce (Larsen et al. 2014). Infiltrating a young colony could thus increase her short-term likelihood of survival, although her odds of perishing with the incipient colony would be severe relative to a more stable mature host colony, and it would take more years on average to possibly realise any reproductive success. Thus, although the host and parasite will always be in conflict over mutually exclusive reproduction later in their joint colony’s life cycle, in these early stages, they may both stand to gain from ‘parasitism’ during colony founding and will have aligned interests in ensuring colony growth and survival until it reaches a sufficient size to reproduce. The question is, therefore, whether three cases of observed co-occurrence of founding queens represents a strategy of at least one and possibly both species, or whether they remain merely haphazard coincidences.

To test these speculations, each of the three incipient nests with a paired host and parasite queen was carefully excavated and housed in a 6 cm wide Petri-dish to contain the fungus garden opening via a small hole on the side into a 15 cm wide Petri-dish that functioned as foraging arena. Colonies were maintained at ambient temperature in Panama, and were provided daily with fresh leaves and moist cotton wool within the foraging arena. We observed the queens for a total of 10.5 h (~ 3.5 h at each nest), recording foraging trips and three-way interactions between each of the queens and the fungus garden. We also confronted the three nests with conspecific intruders, by introducing individual ants—either another founding A. echinatior queen from the same site, or a large worker from a mature colony (n = 3 in both cases)—into the foraging arena and, after 1 min acclimation, counting all behaviours from the queens directed towards the intruders for a maximum of 6 min, with trials cut short if any party appeared to be coming to any harm. In one trial, a smaller 10 cm foraging arena was used, but as this did not appear to affect the behaviour of either queen, the data were pooled with the other observations. All behaviours were recorded using the software BORIS (Friard and Gamba 2016), and analysed in R (R Core Team 2013). After having been kept in Panama for 14 days, colonies were transported to Copenhagen, Denmark and maintained at ca. 25 °C and 70% relative humidity. Unfortunately, all three nests perished within 2 weeks of relocation, so only the six preliminary trials in Panama were conducted and reported here.

There appeared to be clear differences between host and parasite queen behaviour, although the data were too few to draw robust conclusions. As expected from the fact that the inquiline queens have lost the ability to found colonies on
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their own, the host queens left the nest more often, spending more time outside of the nest (Fig. 1A), although no recorded excursions resulted in material being foraged. Only one parasite queen made a brief excursion out of the nest (Fig. 1A, B), and none of the three parasite queens were observed foraging or manipulating foraged material—although the differences in the number of excursions did not reach significance, most likely due to the paucity of data (paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test, \( V = 6, p = 0.25 \)). Host and parasite queens spent a similar proportion of time in contact with the fungus garden in two colonies (blue), but not in the third where there was almost no fungus left.

When inside the nest, host and parasite queens spent a similar amount of time in contact with the fungus garden (orange). Although results varied across colonies partly because of factors beyond our control (e.g. nest 2 showing almost no foraging at all), there was a clear tendency for host queens to do all of the foraging outside of the inner petri dish containing the garden (orange). When inside the nest, host and parasite queens spent a similar amount of time in contact with the fungus garden in two colonies (blue), but not in the third where there was almost no fungus left. The greater proportion of time spent outside of the nest is reflected in the greater number of excursions taken by the *A. echinatior* host queens in all nests, although none of the observed excursions resulted in material being collected. Host and parasite queens interacted with high frequency without obvious signs of aggression, but antennation and behaviours that appear consistent with grooming were regularly observed interactions between the paired queens (Fig. 1C), with both parasite and host antennating and apparently grooming each other. These interactions were often initiated by the parasite, potentially consistent with inquilines offering hygienic service to the hosts rather than vice versa. The aggression trials (Fig. 2) showed clear behavioural differences with the host-queen doing essentially all pursuit and fighting, and inquiline queens at most antennating and only

**Fig. 1** Behavioural observations on natural pairs of queens of *Acromyrmex echinatior* hosts and *Acromyrmex insinuator* inquiline parasites. a: Although results varied across colonies partly because of factors beyond our control (e.g. nest 2 showing almost no foraging at all), there was a clear tendency for host queens to do all of the foraging outside of the inner petri dish containing the garden (orange). When inside the nest, host and parasite queens spent a similar amount of time in contact with the fungus garden in two colonies (blue), but not in the third where there was almost no fungus left. The greater proportion of time spent outside of the nest is reflected in the greater proportion of time spent outside of the nest is reflected in the greater proportion of time spent in contact with the fungus garden. b: Number of excursions from nest. c: Interactions between host and parasite queens.

**Fig. 2** Hosts and inquiline parasites differ in aggressive defence against intruders. a: Host queens were more aggressive than parasite queens, with results pooled across intruder types—host queens would aggressively chase and bite intruders while parasite queens generally appeared to ignore them. Labels above each figure column refer to the three nests housed in separate Petri dishes. b: Stills were taken from videos of behavioural trials showing typical reactions of host and parasite queens to intruders, with host queens attacking intruders and parasite queens refraining from aggression even when the host queen was not in the nest. Stills have been sharpened, and the exposure increased using Adobe Photoshop.
very occasionally opening her mandibles. Although intruding queens appeared to be repelled more aggressively than intruding workers, the host queen appeared more aggressive than the parasite queen regardless of intruder identity, so we pooled these results to increase the limited statistical power. While the two queens showed no significant difference in non-aggressive antennation directed towards the intruder (paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test, \( V = 3, p = 1 \)), the \( A. \text{echinatior} \) host displayed significantly more aggressive posturing of the mandibles and biting than the \( A. \text{insinuator} \) parasite (paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test, \( V = 33, p = 0.037 \)). This seems inconsistent with a putative nest guarding role by \( A. \text{insinuator} \) queens pairing up with a host queen during colony founding.

Our results imply that there are two potential explanations for the occasional presence of parasitic \( A. \text{insinuator} \) queens in incipient \( A. \text{echinatior} \) nests. First, infiltrating a recently founded host nest may simply be maladaptive because young host colonies are too small to support parasite reproduction and none of these paired colonies would have survived beyond the founding stage. Perhaps these \( A. \text{insinuator} \) queens could not find a mature \( A. \text{echinatior} \) nest after their nuptial flight, so settling into an incipient nest was the only alternative. Over the 3 years in which we monitored founding colonies at this site, we have not observed a single mature \( Acromyrmex \) colony nearby, which would be consistent with this null hypothesis. This null hypothesis is also consistent with none of the colonies surviving long in the lab. However, the survival probability of a parasitised nest did not appear to differ significantly from unparasitised nests (exact binomial test, \( p = 1 \)): only 11 of 100 lone \( Acromyrmex \) queens collected that year were reared to mature lab colonies, with none surviving from that specific site. Under this scenario, the parasites might then simply have expressed the behaviours that are adaptive when parasitising mature colonies. That the parasite spent so much time in contact with and handling the fungus could therefore be an attempt to acquire the colony’s odour as insinuating queens need to do in a mature host colony (Sumner et al. 2003c), and inter-queen interactions could be interpreted as the parasite appeasing the host queen in an attempt to avoid aggression. Likewise, the lack of aggression towards intruders might reflect normal parasite queen behaviour because there are usually hundreds if not thousands of large workers in a mature host colony that will aggressively defend the colony. The hosts’ multiple excursions without collecting useful material could, in this context, be interpreted as attempts to find another unparasitised nest or vacated fungus garden: founding \( Acromyrmex \) queens regularly usurp the nests of neighbours (Howe et al. 2019). Our artificial set-up did not allow us to test this, however. Further, this scenario requires that the host queens are ‘aware’ that their nest is parasitised, and one might expect them to simply evict the smaller parasite queen rather than undergo a costly move.

Alternatively, the paired arrangements we observed could represent an alternative to the default parasite strategy of insinuating on a mature host colony. A recently founded nest is often unguarded because the host queen needs to forage. This implies that a founding host colony is easy to invade, while the host queen may be willing to tolerate the presence of the inquiline at this stage if it would help her to gain a competitive edge over lone founding queens in neighbouring nests. We note that this potential alternative strategy is unlikely to be a genetic difference with the previously described behaviour of \( A. \text{insinuator} \), which were collected in the same Gamboa area (Sumner et al. 2003a, b, 2004; Schultz et al. 1998). We found no support for the possibility that \( A. \text{insinuator} \) queens serve as nest guards during host foraging trips, but this does not necessarily imply that their presence does not act as a deterrent when the host queen is absent. Previous observations suggest that queens that have lost their incipient garden are more likely to steal from unoccupied incipient nests in the close vicinity (Howe et al. 2019), so the presence of an \( A. \text{insinuator} \) queen may deter stealing and thus confer a benefit without necessarily resorting to overt aggression. Likewise, we cannot rule out potential hygienic benefits because the \( A. \text{insinuator} \) queens interacted with both the host-queen and the fungus garden (Figs. 1, 2). As we did not assess the eggs present in the nest, we cannot refute a potential role as a second egg-layer that contributes to more rapidly establishing a larger worker cohort, or trophic-eggs contributions that increase host-queen productivity. If this adaptive hypothesis is correct, it could potentially explain two unusual characteristics of \( A. \text{insinuator} \) as an inquiline social parasite: (i) that \( A. \text{insinuator} \) queens still invest in metapleural glands (which are reduced in \( A. \text{insinuator} \) workers) (Sumner et al. 2003a), and (ii) that \( A. \text{insinuator} \) has not completely lost its worker castes, as older and evolutionarily more derived \( Acromyrmex \) inquilines have (Rabeling et al. 2015; Hölldobler and Wilson 1990; Gallardo 1929; Schrader et al. 2021). \( A. \text{insinuator} \) queens rarely produce any larger (foraging) workers, but they always produce small workers that are thought to be important in raising parasite brood and for ultimately suppressing fertility of the host queen (Sumner et al. 2003a). We were unable to test whether \( A. \text{insinuator} \) queens would have defended the fungus garden in case an intruder intent on stealing the incipient garden arrived while the founding host queen was away, although this scenario did occur once during the aggression trials and the resident \( A. \text{insinuator} \) queen did not defend the nest (Fig. 2B).

Although the inquiline queens in founding colonies did not show any behaviours that have not been observed when they parasitise mature colonies, we cannot yet rule out a potential benefit to hosting an \( A. \text{insinuator} \) inquiline...
early during colony development. As long as that ambiguity persists, the possibility for an adaptive explanation is of considerable interest, because no inquiline ant has yet been suggested to possibly have beneficial effects before it exploits the host. We note, however, that a case of a completely unrelated guest ant conferring both benefits and costs has been reported for the interaction between *Megalomyrmex symmetrical* guest ants and *Sericomyrmex amabilis* host ants in mature colonies (Adams et al. 2013), and that 1:1 co-founding has occasionally been inferred in other *Megalomyrmex* species interacting with fungus-growing ants, as in *Megalomyrmex adamsae* where the parasite queens have been observed infiltrating newly founded nests of *Mycetomoellerius* (formerly *Trachymyrmex*) zeteki that also consist of a lone queen and an incipient fungus garden (Boudinot et al. 2013; Adams et al. 2012). *Megalomyrmex symmetrical* guest ants impose substantial costs on *Sericomyrmex* host colonies in that they feed on host brood and the fungus garden and clip the wings of young gynes produced when the host colony has become mature (Adams et al. 2013). They provide benefits that more than compensate for this added burden, however, in the form of an effective defence against other fungus-raiding species (Adams et al. 2013).

In case future work would allow more pairs of founding *A. echinatior–insinuator* queens to be collected, we suggest that the following experiments could be done to potentially refute the adaptive hypothesis in a field plot setup reminiscent of the one used by Howe et al. (2019). First, an *A. echinatior* host queen in search of a replacement fungal symbiont should prefer to steal from unoccupied nests, rather than from nests containing a lone *A. insinuator* queen. Second, an *A. echinatior* host queen cohabiting with an *A. insinuator* queen should suffer from fewer infections, as should her fungus garden. Third, the first worker cohort to hatch in a colony with an additional *A. insinuator* queen should be larger than in non-parasitized control colonies, either through direct contribution of *A. insinuator* workers or by enhanced host queen productivity. If none of these predictions were to hold up, it would be most parsimonious to stick to the non-adaptive null-hypothesis formulated above. However, our observations add to the notion that the possible adaptive significance of life history traits in evolutionary young inquiline ants remains poorly understood, because it is a challenge to collect these rare ants and subject them to experiments (Boudinot et al. 2013; Schär and Nash 2014).
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