Geomorphometric descriptions of archipelagic aprons off the southern flanks of French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices, Northwest Hawaiian Ridge
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ABSTRACT

This study describes the geomorphometries of archipelagic aprons on the southern flanks of the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices on the central Northwest Hawaiian Ridge that are hotspot volcanoes that have been dormant for 10–11 m.y. The archipelagic aprons are related to erosional headwall scarps and gullies on landslide surfaces but also include downslope gravitational features that include slides, debris avalanches, bedform fields, and outrunners. Some outrunners are located 85 km out onto the deep seafloor in water depths of 4900 m. The bedforms are interpreted to be the result of slow downslope sediment creep rather than products of turbidity currents. The archipelagic aprons appear to differ in origin from those off the Hawaiian Islands. The landslides off the Hawaiian Islands occurred because of oversteepening and loading during the constructive phase of the islands whereas the landslides off the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices may have resulted from vertical tectonics due to the uplift and relaxation of a peripheral bulge or isolated earthquakes long after the edifices passed beyond the hotspot. The lack of pelagic drape in water depths above the 4600 m depth of the local carbonate compensation depth suggests that the archipelagic apron off the French Frigate Shoals edifice is much younger, perhaps Quaternary in age. The presence of a chute-like feature on the mid-flank of the French Frigate Shoals edifice appears to be the result of rejuvenated volcanism that occurred long after the initial volcanism ceased to build the edifice.

INTRODUCTION

Archipelagic aprons are submarine landslide complexes composed of erosion and deposition of gravity flows that involve both sediment and blocks of the insular flanks. Aprons have been recognized as common bathymetric features on the lower flanks of oceanic islands, seamounts, guyots, and ridges for more than half a century. Archipelagic aprons have been extensively investigated with seismic reflection and single-beam bathymetric profiling systems, especially in, but not restricted to, the Pacific Ocean (e.g., Hess, 1946; Kuenen, 1950; Dietz and Menard, 1953; Dietz et al., 1954; Hamilton, 1956; Menard, 1956, 1964; Shepard, 1963; Jones, 1967; Watts, 1978; Moore et al., 1989; Wolfe et al., 1994; Schmincke et al., 1995; Geisslinger et al., 1996; Funck et al., 1996; Gladstran, 1998; Allen et al., 2007, Watt et al., 2012a, to cite a few studies). Interestingly, there are few documented historical submarine landslides composed entirely of submarine deposits (Watt et al., 2014). Since the advent of multichannel seismic reflection profiling and ocean drilling in the 1970s, studies stressed the significance of submarine erosion and gravity-driven downslope processes at the base of islands, seamounts, guyots, and ridges. Studies in the 1980s of landslides at seamounts, guyots, and islands used either long-range sidescan sonar (e.g., Moore et al., 1989; Masson et al., 1992) or utilized early versions of multibeam echosounders (MBES) but created only sidescan backscatter images (i.e., Moore et al., 1989; Holcomb and Searle, 1991), bathymetric contour maps (e.g., Hollister et al., 1978; Vogt and Smoot, 1984; Smoot, 1985), or mesh grids (e.g., Taylor et al., 1975, 1980; Smoot, 1982, 1983a, 1983b, 1985). Recently, studies have utilized modern multibeam data (e.g., Deplus et al., 2001; Masson et al., 2002; Mitchell et al., 2002; Bohannon and Gardner, 2004; Silver et al., 2009; Casalbore et al., 2010; Montanaro and Beget, 2011; Watt et al., 2012b, 2014; Saint-Angel et al., 2013; Ramalho et al., 2015; Watson et al., 2017; Clare et al., 2018; Counts et al., 2018; Pope et al., 2018; Quarterly et al., 2018; Santos et al., 2019; Casalbore et al., 2020) to provide complete, high-resolution digital terrain models of the geomorphology of various archipelagic aprons and submarine landslides. Although archipelagic aprons have never been described from this volcanically dormant area of the Northwest Hawaiian Ridge (Fig. 1), this study shows that this area is the site of numerous large archipelagic aprons.

During early stages of the formation of volcanic edifices, dike injection of pyroclastics and lavas rapidly loads and over-steepens the edifice flanks and creates instabilities that can lead to failures (McGuire, 1996, 2006; Mitchell, 2003). Once submerged, submarine weathering can begin to break down the volcanic flows that form the summit and upper flanks of these edifices. As weathering progresses, the volcanic rocks become weakened and gravity and seismic activity can exert enough force to eventually cause mechanical failure that breaks down the lava flows into smaller rocks and grain sizes (e.g., Jones, 1967; Keating and McGuire, 2000). Failure leads to slumps, landslides, turbidity flows, etc., that are composed of volcaniclastic debris that creates gravity-driven downslope processes that themselves further erode the flanks of islands, seamounts, and guyots and deposit material at the base of the edifices.

A depression of the underlying lithosphere and mantle is typically created beneath a large volcanic island (Fig. 2) as the active volcano grows in volume until the increased weight depresses the elastic lithosphere in the immediate
The depressed lithosphere creates a moat that surrounds the island, seamount, or guyot that then becomes a depocenter for the downslope transported volcanoclastic material. The accumulations of the products of gravity-driven downslope processes at the base of individual islands, seamounts, and guyots were initially called “alluvial aprons” by Dietz and Menard (1953) and Dietz et al. (1954) and were formally defined as “archipelagic aprons” by Menard (1956). Menard (1956) also used the more general term “apron” where the archipelagic aprons of more than one seamount coalesced similar to a subaerial bajada (Fig. 3). Since the early investigations in the 1950s, many studies of archipelagic aprons have been published, and the explanation for the basic composition and construction of archipelagic aprons has remained little changed over the years. Although Menard focused on the large regions of smooth morphology that surround groups of existing or drowned former islands, he incorrectly deduced that the majority of the aprons are composed of lava flows. Key discoveries since Menard’s studies conclude that (1) seamounts repeatedly build and collapse so that a greater volume of mass-wasted volcanoclastic material can accumulate as compared to the volume of a single complete seamount (e.g., Hunt and Jarvis, 2020); (2) the process of slope failure begins early in the history of a seamount, such that landslide debris is also a component of the internal structure of the seamount itself (e.g., Keating and McGuire, 2004); and (3) archipelagic aprons form around guyots and seamounts that have never been near or above sea level (e.g., Schmincke et al., 1995; Fig. 2). Large-scale, multiple collapses of island volcanoes are common features at several oceanic volcanic chains (e.g., Hawaiian Islands, Moore et al., 1989; Marquesas Islands, Wolfe et al., 1994) that deliver volumes of poorly sorted volcanoclastic debris with a large range of sizes to the base of the island edifices and beyond.

Wolfe et al. (1994) carried out an extensive investigation of an archipelagic apron in the Marquesas Islands in the Pacific Ocean. Their study shows that the depression of the lithosphere is not always expressed in the bathymetry because the surrounding moat can be filled by a large volume of downslope-transported sediment. Eventually an archipelagic apron develops to the stage that the lower flanks of an edifice are buried, and the distal end of the archipelagic apron is interfingered with the basin sediments (Fig. 2). Wolfe et al. (1994, p. 13,592) comment that, “Catastrophic mass wasting occurred at several islands following construction…resulting in the disappearance of up to half of the subaerial structure…” Wolfe et al. (1994) comment that the mass-wasting material in their studies forms most of the archipelagic apron. In a similar study, Funck et al. (1996) found that the archipelagic apron of the Canary Islands in the Atlantic Ocean extends 44–74 km seaward of the edifice (Fig. 3). However, archipelagic aprons can extend out into the basin for hundreds of kilometers (Schmincke et al., 1995). The Gran Canaria archipelagic apron was drilled on Ocean Drilling Project Leg 157, and that drilling recovered interbedded volcanoclastic turbidites and extensive large-scale submarine slumps (Goldstrand, 1998). Gran Canaria is one of a series of closely spaced oceanic islands whose archipelagic aprons have coalesced into a submarine bajada (Fig. 3; note that the submarine bajada stands well above the depth of the older oceanic crust).

One of the distinguishing characteristics of archipelagic aprons is that they contain a chaotic assemblage of displaced blocks, debris avalanches, slumps, debris flow deposits, coarse- to fine-grained turbidites, and erosional channels and bedforms (e.g., Saint-Ange et al., 2013; Manville et al., 2009; Watt et al., 2014; Watt, 2015; Quartau et al., 2018). This assemblage produces a rough, blocky, textured seafloor, although turbidites produce a smooth seafloor, all of which can be easily mapped with modern multibeam echosounders. The rough-smooth boundary is clearly located as the change in MBES acoustic backscatter, where chaotic assemblages with rough and blocky surfaces generate acoustically high-backscatter that grades into a low-backscatter, fine-grained, smooth surface of pelagic sediments of the basin (Fig. 4). The massive submarine landslides off the Hawaiian Islands described by Lipman et al. (1988) and Moore et al. (1989) are an extreme class of archipelagic aprons (Fig. 5).

---

**Figure 1.** Map shows Global Multi-Resolution Topography bathymetry of the Hawaiian Ridge (from Ryan et al., 2009). Inset globe shows location of Hawaiian Ridge.

---

**Figure 2.** Internal architecture of a volcanic island is shown. Modified from Schmincke et al., (1995).
The landslides of the Hawaiian Islands were generated by the collapse of the volcanic islands while they were subaerial and under construction. The major flank collapses around the principal Hawaiian Islands generated enormous outrunner blocks that are found up to 140 km beyond the islands with vast areas of chaotic, hilly terrane that extend another 40 km beyond the outrunner blocks. The sizes of the displaced blocks found at the base of smaller islands, seamounts, and guyots are generally much smaller and have shorter runout distances (e.g., Moore and Clague, 2002) than the massive Hawaiian Islands examples. However, there have yet to be any studies as to whether individual Hawaiian Islands landslides are the result of a single extreme-magnitude event or the products of longer-term accumulations of multiple smaller events, as Masson et al. (2002) have demonstrated in the western Canary Islands.

The present study uses complete, latest-generation multibeam coverage (Fig. 6) and more than 5000 line km of co-collected sub-bottom profiler data to quantitatively describe the surface expressions of the various components that make up the archipelagic aprons on the south side of the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices.

**DATA SOURCES AND METHODS**

The data used in this study were acquired on three cruises dedicated to MBES mapping of Necker Ridge, a volcanic ridge that strikes to the SW almost perpendicular to the Northwest Hawaiian Ridge at the Necker Island edifice (Gardner et al., 2013). The first cruise used the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Ship *Okeanos Explorer* (EX0909L1) equipped with a 30 kHz Kongsberg Maritime EM302 MBES (Malik et al., 2009) and the other two cruises (KM1121 and KM 1718) were on the RV *Kilo Moana* equipped with a 12-kHz Kongsberg Maritime EM122 MBES (Gardner and Calder, 2011; Armstrong and Calder, 2017). The senior author processed all of the data post-cruise for this study using QPS/Fledermaus and QPS/Qimera to process and analyze the bathymetry. QPS/FMGT was used to process the acoustic backscatter. The MBES...
bathymetry and acoustic backscatter were gridded at 50 m/pixel. Background bathymetry from GeoMapApp/GMRT v. 3.6.10 (Ryan et al., 2009) was gridded at 225 m/pixel. In addition to the collection of MBES data, both RV *Kilo Moana* cruises collected continuous sub-bottom profiler (SBP) data with a Knudsen 3260 system with a manufacturer-specified vertical resolution of 1 m in water depths deeper than 1000 m. All of the data used in this paper are publicly available at the NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (https://maps.ngdc.noaa.gov/viewers/bathymetry/).

**TERMGNALOGY**

The term “archipelagic apron” recently has been renamed “volcaniclastic apron,” but the original term is used here because of its historic usage in the large volume of marine geology literature on the subject. French Frigate Shoals is an atoll that developed on top of a large submerged, relatively flat volcanic ridge with several submerged banks less than 60 m below sea level on the ridge summit. Necker Island is a small remnant of an island on a submerged shield volcano with a surface that is almost completely eroded to less than 50 m water depth. Archipelagic aprons are found at the southern bases of the two edifices. A rich and varied taxonomy for classifying landslides exists (e.g., see discussions of Hungr et al., 2001; Masson et al., 2002). The present work is based solely on MBES bathymetry and backscatter data and SBP profiles, and assumptions are made about the composition of the sediment based on acoustic backscatter. Assumptions of sediment facies were made because there are few sediment samples in the study area. Also, to apply most landslide classifications, the type of rock or sediment has to be known and assumptions have to be made as to whether the failures were sudden and the transport rapid or the failures were prolonged and the transport slow. For example, Hungr et al. (2001) define a rock avalanche as a failure of a rock slab that disintegrates and rapidly moves as an unchannelized granular flow. They apply the term debris avalanche to a mixture of sediment and large clasts, but they do not define the lower limit of clast size. As for the material of a failure, Watt et al. (2012a, 2012b) use a terminology that describes a landslide as a generic term for a gravity-driven failure of rock or sediment without any implications for the processes that may characterize the movement. They use volcaniclastic for any sediment derived from a flank collapse of a volcanic edifice, and they use seafloor sediment for any pre-existing sediment that became incorporated in the final landslide deposit. A headwall is a large, arcuate-shaped embayment into the upper flank and platform of a volcanic edifice. A slide is defined here as a large, relatively coherent, intact feature with steep sides that resides immediately below a headwall scarp and rests on the scarp surface.

The distinctions between types of submarine landslides are difficult to make in the present study because of the lack of sample data, so the term debris avalanche is used for high-backscatter zones below gullies (e.g., Laberg and Vorren, 2000; Posamentier and Kolla, 2003; Gee et al., 2005) at their upper end followed by a mottled high- and medium-backscatter texture with numerous large blocks scattered throughout the deposit and ending in featureless, low-backscatter sediment. Undoubtedly, this assignment can include debris flows and even turbidites (see Watt et al., 2014). Gullies are clearly delineated in the acoustic backscatter images as MBES high-backscatter lineations (typically at least 20 dB higher backscatter than the intervening areas) that are braided and eventually converge down the fall line of a slope. Gullies are often subtle in the MBES bathymetry that suggests gully relief of only a meter or two. Bedforms were identified as a field of linear to arcuate crests and troughs that are generally concave downslope and perpendicular to the downslope gradient. Outrun field is used for areas of medium backscatter that contain scattered and isolated large blocks with high backscatter. The area beyond the mottled medium backscatter texture is considered deep sea sediments because these areas have very low relief, very low gradients, and no resolved blocks.

**THE AREA**

French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island exist along the summit of the middle of the long-dormant Northwest Hawaiian Ridge (Fig. 1). The French Frigate Shoals atoll (also called Kānemilo‘a‘i) sits on a relatively flat, oval-shaped surface at water depths less than 40 m deep. The oval-shaped surface rises above a crescent-shaped
platform at water depths less than 800 m deep. The atoll is a remnant of a volcano that has been eroded and now stands only 37 m above sea level (Rooney et al., 2008). Necker Island (also called Mokumanamana) rises from a platform in water depths of less than 40 m that is a remnant of a much larger volcano with a peak that now stands 84 m above sea level (Rooney et al., 2008). Dalrymple et al. (1974) and Clague and Dalrymple (1989) provide the only reported K-Ar dates from Necker Island and French Frigate Shoals. Their data give weighted mean ages for French Frigate Shoals (two samples) of 11.7 Ma and Necker Island (two samples) of 10.3–10.0 Ma. These dates indicate that the erosion and eventual downslope transport of debris began sometime after these dates as evidenced by the surface expression of the debris (see below).

Necker Ridge is a submarine ridge composed of stacked volcanic lava flows, rather than volcaniclastic or pyroclastic deposits, that strikes almost 90° toward the Necker Island edifice (Gardner et al., 2013). The ridge spans the area between the southern flank of the Necker Island edifice on the northeast to the Mid-Pacific Mountains on the southwest (Figs. 1 and 6). The summit of the ridge plunges to the northeast as it approaches the Necker Island edifice and is buried by an archipelagic apron. Rocks from Necker Ridge have been dated at 82.5 Ma (Saito and Ozima, 1977) and ca. 71 Ma (Clague and Dalrymple, 1975).

THE SOUTHERN FLANKS OF FRENCH FRIGATE SHOALS AND NECKER ISLAND EDIFICES

The French Frigate Shoals edifice is connected to the Necker Island edifice by a 45-km-wide, 3400-m-deep saddle that stands ~900 m above the flanking deep seafloor (Fig. 7). Two separate archipelagic aprons occur on the lower flanks of the French Frigate Shoals edifice, and there is a larger archipelagic apron on the southwest-facing flank and a much smaller one on the southeast-facing flank (Fig. 7). Sediment derived from the southwestern flank of the Necker Island edifice was directed both northerly and southerly of the barrier of Necker Ridge (Fig. 7). The flanks of both edifices have slopes of 10° to 35° in water depths that increase from 500 m to 4000 m. The following sections discuss observations that show that the southern margins of the two edifices are dominated by: (1) head scarps on the uppermost flanks and summit edges of edifices, (2) gullies below the head scarps that represent the upper unit of the archipelagic apron, followed by (3) fields of bedforms on the lower flanks of the archipelagic aprons and (4) debris avalanches that form the seaward limit of the archipelagic aprons. Within the debris avalanches, (5) fields of isolated outrunner blocks are scattered on the deep seafloor.

Southern Archipelagic Aprons of the French Frigate Shoals Edifice

The French Frigate Shoals edifice is composed of a relatively flat and featureless 900 km² platform that varies in water depths less than 40 m deep and is located at the southern edge of a 200-km-long middle section of the Northwest Hawaiian Ridge. This platform, and four smaller platforms at similar water depths, rise from a somewhat crescent-shaped and smooth ridge at 400–800 m water depth (Fig. 7). The southern edge of the deeper platform and the southern flank of the French Frigate Shoals platform have crescent-shaped headwall scarps eroded into their upper edges. The scarps range from 2 km to 12 km wide with scarp-face relief from 250 m to 1400 m high. The areas immediately below the headwall scarps have gradients of 10° to steeper than 25°. Where mapped by MBES, a
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significant portion of the upper flanks directly below the headwall scarps have numerous linear downslope-trending, high-backscatter gullies (Figs. 8 and 9) that occur in water depths that range from less than 1300 m to 4150 m. The gullies have backscatter values of −22 dB to −18 dB, whereas the intervening areas have

Figure 7. (A) Multibeam echosounder (MBES) bathymetry of southern archipelagic aprons of French Frigate Shoals (FFS) and Necker Island (NI) edifices with the extent outlines in black dashed lines. White dashed lines outline the extent of the archipelagic aprons; see text for discussion of chute. Selected isobaths in meters. (B) Same area as A, but of MBES acoustic backscatter. White arrows show transport directions. Color scale applies only to MBES backscatter. GMRT—Global Multi-Resolution Topography.

Figure 8. (A) Close-up view of multibeam echosounder (MBES) bathymetry of archipelagic apron off French Frigate Shoals edifice (FFS) with MBES extent outlined in Figure 7A. Yellow arrowheads point to outrunners profiled in Figure 11. See Figure 7A for bathymetry color scale. (B) Same view as in (A) with interpretations of components of the archipelagic aprons. Profile A–B is the sub-bottom profile in Figure 12 that shows the 3.5 kHz signatures of the various facies of the archipelagic apron. Bathymetric profile C–D is shown in Figure 15. (C) Same area as (A) of MBES acoustic backscatter of French Frigate Shoals archipelagic apron. (D) Interpretation of components of the archipelagic aprons outlined on the acoustic backscatter image.
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values of $-38$ dB to $-35$ dB. Some of the gullies have as much as 20 m of relief below the adjacent inter-gully areas (Fig. 9). The gullies vary in widths from 0.3 km to 1.6 km and extend downslope for 26 km. Many of the gullies cross one another, and there is a tendency for the gullies to converge downslope. The southern flank of the edifice below the gullies descends to the deep seafloor with gradients that decrease from $\sim 10^\circ$ in the upper kilometer to less than $1^\circ$ at the deep seafloor.

Slides occur within the area of gullies and are scattered from the upper and mid flank to the base of the edifice and as far out as 80 km onto the deep seafloor (Fig. 8). Slides were arbitrarily differentiated from outrunners (see below) as large, high-backscatter ($-38$ dB to $-21.5$ dB) features with a high degree of surface relief. Although the basal dimensions of slides are easy to determine from the MBES bathymetry, the volume of each slide is almost impossible to
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accurately determine because of their complex vertical relief. Nevertheless, an estimate of slide volume (Vs) was attempted with measurements of the maximum and minimum basal lengths (Lmax and Lmin, respectively) and one-third of the measured maximum height (H) and by applying the formula for a rectangular pyramid, where:

\[ Vs = \frac{L_{\text{max}} \times L_{\text{min}} \times H}{3} \quad (1) \]

The slides range in estimated volume from 0.1 km\(^3\) to 6.1 km\(^3\) yet show no correlation of slide volume to seafloor gradient or distance from the base of the edifice (Figs. 10A–10B).

Outrunners occur as both single and aggregated, high-backscatter (−20 dB to −16 dB), isolated large blocks scattered on the otherwise low-backscatter (−39 dB to −38 dB) seafloor (Fig. 8B). Outrunners are found close to the base of the edifice as well as 85 km out onto the deep seafloor, where gradients are less than 1°. Outrunners are somewhat elongated in shape (Fig. 10C) and vary in height from 2 m to 95 m with distance from the edifice flank, and many have sediment banked on the upslope side and shallow moats with 3–15 m on the downslope sides (Fig. 11). There is no correlation of the presence of a sediment bank or moat with distance from the edifice flank. Estimates of outrunner volume (Vb) used the formula for a rectangular pyramid (Equation 1) as well as the formula for a right circular cone (Equation 2), where:

\[ V_{\text{b}} = \frac{\pi R^2 H}{3} \quad (2) \]

with the radius (R) is half of the average of the measured basal long and short lengths and (H) is the tallest height of the outrunner. The results of outrunner volume calculated from Equation 1 and Equation 2 (Fig. 10F) shows that there is little difference from either equation in the estimated outrunner volumes. Outrunners with estimated volumes larger than \( \sim 1.5 \) km\(^3\) are found between 10 km and 50 km from the base of the edifice, whereas smaller outrunners (<0.5 km\(^3\) in volume) are found scattered from <5 km to 85 km from the base.
Debris avalanche deposits are interpreted as areas generally deeper than 4000 m water depth that have backscatter values of $-34.5$ dB to $-33$ dB as compared to values of $-39$ dB to $-38$ dB of the deep seafloor (Fig. 8). They have a distinctive hummocky, strong surface reflection and show little or no 3.5 kHz penetration on SBP records (Fig. 12). Three debris avalanches were mapped in the French Frigate Shoals edifice archipelagic apron. The largest debris avalanche covers an area of 4250 km$^2$ and extends at least 100 km beyond the flank of the edifice. The other two debris avalanches are much smaller; they cover areas of 370 km$^2$ and 140 km$^2$. A plot of width versus length of the debris avalanches shows that the largest one is elongate to radial in surface aspect (Fig. 13), an aspect that correlates with a relatively cohesive runout flow with a major fine-grained component of the facies (Masson et al., 2002; Masson, 2006; Watt et al., 2014). The 370 km$^2$ debris avalanche has an aspect between elongate to radial, whereas the smallest deposit is radial. Watt et al. (2014) suggest that these two aspect ratios indicate cohesive to cohesionless flows, respectively. The higher backscatter values and SBP acoustic response suggest that all of the debris avalanches are composed of a different sediment facies or have a small-scale rougher surface, or both, than the lower backscatter deep seafloor. However, this interpretation is speculative due to the lack of samples from the region.

The MBES bathymetry shows large areas of bedforms along the base of the edifice and out onto the deep seafloor that appear to be ponded against Necker Ridge on the south (Fig. 8A). Bedforms were identified from the MBES bathymetry, and a slope map was generated from the MBES bathymetry. The slope map (Fig. 14) shows a large, 6700 km$^2$ field of sinuous crests and troughs that represents the undulated surface of bedforms. Bedforms occur in water depths of 3500–4500 m with downslope-concave arcuate crests with few if any bifurcations. Crest heights decrease down slope (Fig. 15) from 1 m to 7 m, and all occur on gradients of less than 1.6°. The bedforms vary from asymmetrical to symmetrical with no apparent variation with water depth (Fig. 15). Another field of bedforms occurs off the southeast-facing margin of the French Frigate Shoals edifice that extends below a headwall scarp and slide block (Fig. 8A). This bedform field is 27 km long and 6 km wide with an upper region on a 4.5° slope that decreases to 2° near the toe (Fig. 15, profile A–B). The bedforms occur in water depths of 3200 m to 4200 m, and it is not possible to determine from the SBP profiles if either the large or small field overlaps another because of the lack of SBP penetration.

An unusual feature occurs on the SSE upper flank of the French Frigate Shoals edifice. A gently curved, 26-km-long, chute-like feature (labeled “chute” in Figs. 8A and 16) occurs ∼1000 m below the edifice platform. The chute slopes down from 1800 m to 3800 m water depth at 1.5° to 2° gradients before it plunges 850 m.
The base of the eastern wall throughout the rest of their path to the deep seafloor. A profile along the center of the chute shows three abrupt descents (Fig. 17), the largest of which descends 1000 m to the deep seafloor. The chute is positioned between areas of debris flows and outrunner blocks and has directed sediment on top of a large field of creep (Fig. 8A).

A 180-m-deep plunge pool occurs on the deep seafloor at the base of the chute (Figs. 16 and 17). The southern margin of the plunge pool is surrounded by a semicircular, 80–100-m-high rim. The backscatter of the plunge pool is $-34$ dB to $-32$ dB as compared to the $-27$ dB to $-22$ dB backscatter of the rim. Six kilometers beyond the rim, a $\sim 2$ km halo of high backscatter that varies from $-31$ dB to $-23$ dB merges to the south with more typical $-40$ dB backscatter of the deep seafloor.

**Southern Archipelagic Apron of the Necker Island Edifice**

Necker Island is a small island on a much larger 1600 km$^2$ bank at $\sim 30$ m water depth that rises from a $\sim 3300$ km$^2$ platform in water depths of 300–700 m. The upper flank of the edifice has gradients of $10^\circ$ to $20^\circ$, and the lower flank has smoothly decreasing gradients from $10^\circ$ to $3^\circ$. The upper edge of the southwestern-facing flank of the edifice has four headwall scarps (Fig. 18). The largest headwall scarp is 22 km wide and located at the western upper edge of the edifice upslope from the northern termination of Necker Ridge. The landslide from this headwall scarp fed most of the debris to the French Frigate Shoals edifice side of Necker Ridge, although some debris was transported to the Necker Island Edifice side of Necker Ridge, although some debris was transported to the Necker Island Edifice side of Necker Ridge, although some debris was transported to the Necker Island Edifice side of Necker Ridge, although some debris was transported to the Necker Island Edifice side of Necker Ridge, although some debris was transported to the Necker Island Edifice side of Necker Ridge, although some debris was transported to the Necker Island Edifice side of Necker Ridge, although some debris was transported to the Necker Island Edifice side of Necker Ridge. Two additional headwall scarps occur on the southeastern upper edge of the edifice; one is 16 km wide and the other is 7.5 km wide. Another headwall scarp occurs that has incised the edge of a terrace on the mid-slope of the edifice. A 3- to 10-km-wide terrace spans 44 km on the mid-flank with water depths that vary from $\sim 2800$ m on the WNW to $\sim 3500$ m on the ESE side. Similar to what is seen on the base of the headwall scarps of the French Frigate Shoals edifice, the base of the headwall scarps of Necker Island edifice have high backscatter gullies, and some extend for more than 40 km below the headwall scarp. Some gullies show a dendritic pattern whereas others cross one another and eventually converge to only a few before they drop below the resolution of the MBES. Backscatter values of the gullies are $-25$ dB to $-21$ dB as compared to values of $-39$ to $-37$ dB for the inter-channel areas (Figs. 8B and 16).

**Figure 11.** Down-gradient bathymetric profiles across outrunners, located by yellow arrowheads in Figure 8 (A), show banked sediment on up-gradient side (yellow arrowheads) and scour (red arrowheads) on the down-gradient side.

**Figure 12.** Sub-bottom profile L287–288 shows the seismic signatures across the French Frigate Shoals archipelagic apron. Location of profile in Figure 8B.
Gardner et al.

Five slides occur downslope of the headwall scarps that range in area from 20 km$^2$ to 175 km$^2$ and have thicknesses of 50 m to 400 m. Four of the five slides have estimated volumes that range from less than 0.5 km$^3$ to 3.5 km$^3$, whereas the fifth slide has an estimated volume of 23.3 km$^3$ (Fig. 10A). Estimated volumes were calculated using Equations 1 and 2. All of the slides have rough surfaces and MBES backscatter values of $-30$ dB to $-21.5$ dB as compared to the $-38.5$ dB to $-33.5$ dB backscatter immediately downslope and the $-37$ dB to $-36$ dB backscatter of the deep seafloor. The four smaller slides all moved less than 10 km down the flank of the edifice, whereas the large slide traveled 7 km downslope. Similar to the slides on the French Frigate Shoals edifice archipelagic aprons, the estimated volumes of the Necker Island edifice slides show no correlation with seafloor gradient or distance from the base of the edifice (Figs. 10A–10B).
A large field of bedforms covers an area of at least 7680 km$^2$; that blankets the lower slopes and proximal deep seafloor southeast of Necker Ridge (Fig. 18). The bedforms extend for more than 60 km on slopes less than 2°. The crests of the bedforms have amplitudes of several meters to 20 m with wavelengths of less than 1–2 km. Shallow areas of bedforms are buried by gullies and debris avalanches that occur on the upper slopes and proximal deep seafloor. Another large field of bedforms covers at least 1320 km$^2$, was mostly generated off the Necker Island edifice and was deposited on the NW side of Necker Ridge (Figs. 18A–18B), and it extends more than 100 km onto the deep seafloor.

The bedforms and gullies are buried in places by five debris avalanches labeled a through e in Fig. 18B. The debris avalanches range in area from 80 km$^2$ to 650 km$^2$, and extend on the upper flank of Necker Island and out on the deep seafloor. The largest debris avalanche (a) covers an area of 650 km$^2$ and extends at least 42 km out onto the deep seafloor. Debris avalanche (a) has a very elongate aspect. The next smaller debris avalanche (b) covers an area of 580 km$^2$ with an elongate aspect and extends 30 km downslope. The three smaller debris avalanche covers areas of 130 km$^2$ (c), 100 km$^2$ (d), and 80 km$^2$ (e). All are elongate in aspect and extend less than 15 km downslope. According to Watt et al. (2014), the more radial surface aspect is the product of a cohesionless flow with large clasts as a dominant component whereas the more elongate surface aspect is the result of a flow with a more cohesive sediment.

The surfaces of the two largest debris avalanches have mottled backscatter textures (Figs. 18C–18D) with background values of $-36$ dB and isolated areas with values of $-21$ dB as compared to values of $-25.5$ dB to $-19.5$ dB in the gully sections on either side of the debris avalanches. The low backscatter values suggest the debris avalanches are blanketed with more recent sediments. The heads of the two largest debris avalanches (a and b in Fig. 18B) occur on gradients of $≈2°$ that abruptly flatten out to less than 0.2° as they extend 25–30 km out onto the deep seafloor. The largest debris avalanche (a in Figs. 10B and 19) extends 31.5 km out to the deep seafloor with a consistent width of 13.4 km throughout its length. The feature stands 350–400 m high and covers an area of 600 km$^2$. The next largest debris avalanche (b in Fig. 18B) is 22.5 km long, 9 km wide, stands 85 m high, and covers an area of 480 km$^2$.

Three fields of outrunners and five solitary outrunners occur downslope, where the gradient is less than 1° (Fig. 18A). Three solitary outrunners occur on the lateral edges of debris avalanche b in water depths deeper than 4650 m and are the farthest from the base of the edifice. The eastern field has 11 single or amalgamated outrunners and the middle field has 4 outrunners, all of which reside between the 4500 m and 4600 m isobath. Two isolated outrunners occur in 4700 m water depth on a 0.02° slope 15 km seaward from a subtle change in slope. All of the outrunners have equidimensional plan shapes (Fig. 10C) and range in estimated volume mostly less than 0.02 km$^3$, but the three solitary outrunners are much larger with estimated volumes of 0.4 km$^3$ and 0.7 km$^3$.

**DISCUSSION**

The presence of archipelagic aprons on the lower flanks of the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices is not surprising because archipelagic aprons are common features on the flanks of islands, seamounts, and guyots. However, the presence of these archipelagic aprons brings up several obvious questions. (1) Were the bedforms that occur on the archipelagic aprons caused by slow downslope creep, or were they produced by energetic turbidity currents? (2) When did the landslide events on the archipelagic aprons occur? (3) What were the forcing mechanisms that caused them? (4) What formed the large
chute on the south-facing flank of the French Frigate Shoals edifice?

**Are the Bedforms the Result of Turbidity Currents or Sediment Creep?**

Throughout the descriptions and discussions above, bedforms are described and interpreted to be evidence of slow-moving sediment creep. However, many recent studies of archipelagic aprons have described bedforms as the result of energetic turbidity currents (e.g., Wynn and Stow, 2002; Pope et al., 2018; Quartau et al., 2018; Santos et al., 2019; Casalbore et al., 2020). Individual French Frigate Shoals edifice and Necker Island edifice bedform crest heights, crest wavelengths, and water depths were measured, and plots are shown in Figures 15 and 20. Wynn and Stow (2002), Pope et al. (2018), Santos et al. (2019), and Casalbore et al. (2020), and references therein discuss criteria to distinguish between bedforms caused by turbidity currents and sediment creep. Table 1 contrasts the differences in morphology and setting discussed in these studies to distinguish bedforms formed by energetic turbidity currents from those formed by slow, gravity-induced sediment deformation that is generally described as creep. The bedforms described from the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices more resemble features that were created by slow downslope creep due to (1) their proximal area on slopes of ∼2°, (2) their relatively modest runout distances, (3) the lack of confinement at the margins, (4) the lack of channels, and (5) the lack of a fluvial source that is often cited in the above references as evidence of a source for turbidity currents. The orientation of the bedform crests perpendicular to the downslope gradient precludes geostrophic currents as the process that formed the bedforms. Consequently, the bedforms are interpreted to be the result of slow downslope creep under the influence of gravity from the relatively steep upper gradients.

**When Did the Landslides Occur?**

The archipelagic aprons off the southern flanks of the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices are complex and mostly depositional features. MBES bathymetry and acoustic backscatter show that some of these facies bury other facies; some are confined to the upper flanks of the edifices whereas others extend onto the deep seafloor beyond the archipelagic aprons. For example, a creep field on the southeast-facing flank of the French Frigate Shoals edifice is the main facies of the archipelagic apron (Fig. 8) and is partially covered by slides, gullies, debris avalanches, and outrunners. This suggests the creep field may be older than the overlying facies, because there is no evidence that any of the overlying deposits have been further displaced within this creep field. Another example is the large field of creep off the southern flank of the Necker Island edifice (Fig. 18). There, the large creep field has a few scattered slides, gullies, and a field of outrunners that rest on it. However, in these examples, the various facies all part of the same landslide event or do they represent multiple events? Watt et al. (2014) argue that most submarine landslides are multiple events rather than a single event. The SBP records collected for this study rarely show penetration beneath the highly reflective surface layer (e.g., Figs. 12 and 21). Unfortunately, the only publicly available descriptions of cores are from water depths deeper than 4600 m (Fig. 6). However, one observation is especially interesting. As mentioned earlier, sediment ponding on the uphill sides and scour on the downhill sides of many outrunners suggests that some of the debris avalanches that surround outrunners may not have resulted from a single event but either represent a series of reoccurring events that occurred during a prolonged landslide event or a series of events that post-date the initial landslide.

**Figure 16.** (A) Perspective view of multibeam echosounder bathymetry. Yellow profile A–B is the axial profile shown in Figure 17. (B) Acoustic backscatter of chute on the southern flank of French Frigate Shoals (FFS) edifice. White arrows point to outrunners. A plunge pool at the base of the chute-shaped feature is outlined in black.

**Figure 17.** Axial profile of multibeam echosounder bathymetry down the center of the chute feature on flank of the French Frigate Shoals edifice is shown. Location of profile A–B is shown in Figure 16.
The absence of any sediment cores from the archipelagic aprons makes estimates of the ages of the landslides problematic. However, the available SBP data can suggest a relative age for some of the most recent components of the archipelagic aprons. At an average speed of ∼50 km/m.y. (Garcia et al., 2006), the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices took ∼22 m.y. to drift to their current locations 1100 km from the Hawaiian hotspot. Presently, approximately the southern half of the Northwest Hawaiian Ridge between the French Frigate Shoals edifice and the island of Hawai’i has a subaerial component, whereas the northern half is submerged. Using these observations as a rough submergence history, the French Frigate Shoals and Necker volcanoes probably subsided beneath sea level ∼6 m.y. ago. Once submerged a few hundred meters, which took perhaps another million years, a pelagic drape should have begun to accumulate. SBP profiles, with a resolution of 1 m in water depths deeper than 1000 m of the archipelagic apron due south of the Necker Island edifice, show no indication of any pelagic drape. Only one SBP profile (Fig. 20B) shows any pelagic drape that blankets the apron. Figure 18. (A) Map view of multibeam echosounder bathymetry of Necker Island edifice (NI) archipelagic apron. Isobaths are in meters. Dashed black lines are track lines of sub-bottom profiler line L246 (see Fig. 21). White arrows in (C) point to outrunners. (B) Same area as (A) with interpretations. White arrows point to outrunners. Locations of profiles W–X and Y–Z shown line in (B). Italic letters a–d point to debris avalanches (see text for discussion). Parts (C) and (D) are multibeam echosounder acoustic backscatter of the same area as in (A) and (B). White arrows in (C) point to outrunners and (D) are color-coded outlines of the components of the archipelagic apron. See Figure 7 for bathymetry and backscatter color scales.
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kets the seafloor. That SBP profile crosses the large area of creep sourced from the Necker Island edifice and was deposited immediately north of Necker Ridge. The pelagic drape thins from ∼50 m thick at 3900 m water depth to undetectable at 4450 m water depth. The carbonate compensation depth (CCD) in this area is ∼4600 m (Peterson, 1966; Berger, 1967); thus, seafloor above this depth is within the lysocline and should have accumulated pelagic drape. None of the SBP profiles show any pelagic drape at depths below the CCD depth. Pelagic carbonate sedimentation rates above the CCD in this region range from 5.8 m/m.y. from 1.7 Ma to 1 Ma and 1–0.7 m/m.y. from 1 Ma to 0.7 Ma (Moore et al., 1994). At these sedimentation rates, together with the above assumptions, a 50-m-thick section of pelagic drape would take ca. 9 Ma to accumulate. These observations suggest that the creep field off the southwest flank of the Necker Island edifice and immediately north of Necker Ridge is not recent in age and was probably last active in the late Tertiary. The debris avalanches and outrunners that rest on the creep field may be either contemporary or are at least certainly younger than the creep field. None of the SBP profiles across the archipelagic apron south of the Necker Island edifice (e.g., Fig. 21) and above the CCD show any pelagic drape, and thus the last Necker Island edifice landslides must be relatively recent, perhaps less than 1 Ma old, using the sedimentation rates above, a maximum pelagic sediment thickness of 1 m, and the resolution of the sub-bottom profiler at these water depths.

Potential Forcing Mechanism: Episodic or Single Events?

Keating and McGuire (2000, 2004) provide comprehensive reviews of the factors that contributed to failure of submarine volcanoes while the subaerial volcanoes were active as well as long after they became dormant and submerged. The factors they identify include: (1) variations in the degree of cementation of reefs when the edifices were close to sea level, (2) dikes intruded early in the volcanic history of the edifice, (3) seismicity both during and after volcanism, and (4) composition of the igneous rocks; and all involve gravity as the primary driving force.

The partial collapse of individual Hawaiian shield volcanoes after they became dormant is well documented (e.g., see Moore et al., 1989;
Figure 19. (A) Perspective view of multibeam echosounder bathymetry looking NNE of southern flank of Necker Island edifice (NI). (B) Acoustic backscatter image of (A). Debris avalanche A is outlined by dashed yellow line. Black and white dashed line is Profile A–B, which trends up the debris avalanche and onto the edifice flank, and black and white dashed line C–D is the profile that trends across the debris avalanche. The black arrowhead on the profiles points to the area where the profiles cross. Selected isobaths are in meters. Dashed white line is the outline of the eastern and central outrunner fields. See Figure 7 for bathymetry color scale. FFS—French Frigate Shoals.
Keating and McGuire, 2000, 2004). Mass wasting generated debris avalanches and large outrunners (called slide blocks by Moore et al., 1989) after the cessation of the constructive phase of volcanism (Keating and McGuire, 2000, 2004). The prominent headwall scarps on both the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices are direct evidence of the collapse of the upper flanks and rims of the edifices. The large outrunners are undoubtedly blocks of the volcanic edifices that were eroded off the upper flanks and transported to the deep seafloor. French Frigate Shoals experienced a 5.2 Mw earthquake in 1988 with an epicenter less than 100 km from the study area (https://www.globalcmt.org). Consequently, seismicity long after the two edifices migrated away from the hotspot is a prime candidate for the initiation of failures on the flanks and rims of the two edifices. The presently active hotspot beneath Hawaii and Loihi is ∼1100 km from French Frigate Shoals and Necker Islands. French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island are dated at 12.5–10.5 Ma, the time when the two edifices were over the Hawaiian hotspot (O’Connor, et al., 2013). Consequently, if the outrunners and distal parts of the debris avalanches occurred a few million years ago, they should be at least partially buried by at least 5 m of sediment (using abyssal clay accumulation rates of 1 m/m.y.). However, the outrunners and distal parts of the debris avalanches below the CCD do not appear to be even partially buried by abyssal clays. In addition, the headwall scarps and gullies are sharply defined in the MBES bathymetry and

| Table 1. Characteristics of Bedforms Formed by Turbidity Currents vs. Creep |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Turbidity currents**                                       | **Creep**                                             |
| Crest wavelengths up to 10 km | Crest wavelengths up to 10 km²  |
| Crest heights up to 10–100 m | Crest wavelengths up to 100 m²  |
| Symmetrical to asymmetrical crests | Crest heights up to 5–200 m  |
| Broad crests and narrow trough | Symmetrical, flat-topped crests |
| Random scatter of bedform dimensions | Arcuate crests |
| Downslope decrease in crest dimensions | Random scatter in bedform dimensions |
| No significant thickness | Absence of significant sediment input |
| Usually on slopes 0.1° to 0.7° | Most common on 2° to 5° slopes |
| High sedimentation rates | Oriented perpendicular to flow direction |
| Oriented normal to maximum gradient | Oriented normal to maximum gradient |
| Confined and unconfined margins | No crest bifurcation |
| Related to an upslope headscarp | Unconfined open slope |
| Consistent asymmetry | Lack of channels |

Notes: ¹Lee and Chough (2001), ²Wynn and Stow (2002), ³Pope et al. (2018), ⁴Santos et al. (2019), ⁵Casalbore et al. (2020).

Figure 20. Map view of MBES bathymetry of large field of bedforms shed off the southeastern flank of Necker Island edifice (NI) and southern flank of French Frigate Shoals (FFS) and deposited on the NW side of Necker Ridge. Selected isobaths in meters. Dashed black line outlines field of bedforms. Solid white line is location of profile A-B. See Figure 7A for bathymetry color scale. (B) SBP line L254-255 that shows bedforms and pelagic drape (orange) that blankets the bedforms (see text for discussion). (C), (D) and (E) are plots of bedform crest heights and crest wavelengths parameters. Black lines in (E) are constant ratios of crest height to crest wavelength.
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backscatter, which suggests the failures are relatively recent and must have occurred sometime long after volcanism ceased on the two edifices. Another possibility is that the landslides could have been a consequence of subsidence as the edifices migrated away from the mantle plume, followed by uplift, as the area was transported over the Hawaiian flexural moat. Renewed subsidence should have then occurred as the edifices subsided on the back side of the fore-arc bulge created by the flexural moat. All of the vertical tectonics could have occurred as the Pacific Plate migrated to the northwest away from the active island-building volcanism (Claude and Dalrymple, 1989; Wessel, 1993; Thordarson and Garcia, 2018). The fore-arc bulge is 200–300 km away from the active Hawai‘i Island and surrounds it, so that tectonism at the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices might have uplifted and subsided the edifices sometime between ca. 3 m.y. ago and ca. 2 m.y. ago using the faster rate of 100 km/m.y. as the rate of Pacific Plate motion (O’Connor et al., 2013) as the edifices traversed the present Hawaiian flexural moat. The Hawaiian Deep at the location of the two edifices has not yet been completed filled by landslide deposits; it is ~100 m deeper than the seafloor to the southwest.

Downslope-trending gullies immediately below the headwall scarps, shown best in acoustic backscatter images (Fig. 9), appear similar to linear striations identified by Gee et al. (2005) in similar settings. Bathymetric profiles across the gullies have 2–5 m of downcutting on an otherwise low-backscatter surface. Gullies represent sediment transport that appears to have continued after the initial failures because the gullies were not buried by pelagic sedimentation.

Bedforms interpreted as creep (see above) are by far the facies that cover the largest areas of the archipelagic aprons. Creep occurs all along the southern bases of the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices (Figs. 8, 14, and 18). Creep can be generated by gradual gravitational sliding and slumping of unconsolidated sediment down a slope of lower gradients than would normally generate slides and slumps (e.g., Lee and Chough, 2001; Wynn and Stow, 2002), although many bedforms on archipelagic aprons are attributed to energetic turbidity currents (e.g., Wynn and Stow, 2002; Pope et al., 2018; Pope et al., 2018; Quartau et al., 2018; Casalbore et al., 2020). Creep is found on slopes of less than 1° all along the archipelagic aprons of the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices. The creep area off the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices that is confined to the northwest side of Necker Ridge is unusual compared to the other fields of creep in the immediate area. This area has the only bedforms with surfaces draped by acoustically transparent sediment (discussed above). The acoustically transparent nature of the drape, as well as the fact that it is a drape and not only infilling of the troughs, suggests that the drape is more than likely pelagic and not formed from volcaniclastic sediment. Additionally, the water depth where the sediment drape thins to unresolved on SBP profiles occurs at ~4450 m, which is close to the CCD in this region (Peter son, 1966; Berger, 1967). The drape is evidence that this particular creep field may be old. The other fields of creep do not have an overlying transparent drape.

The plan-view aspect ratios of the two debris avalanches provide some suggestions about their composition (Masson et al., 2002; Masson, 2006; Watt et al., 2014). Figures 8, 10C, and 18 show that the debris avalanches off both the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices have elongate aspects that suggest they were all cohesive flows and relatively mobile. The debris avalanche off the French Frigate Shoals edifice at the base of the chute (Fig. 8A) that is mostly covered by the large creep field is more radial in aspect, which suggests a low cohesive flow and less mobility.

The French Frigate Shoals Chute

One of the interesting geomorphic features mapped in this study is not part of an archipelagic apron but deserves at least some speculation about its origin. This feature is the chute structure that extends 26 km beyond the southern flank of the French Frigate Shoals edifice (Figs. 7, 8, and 16). The chute occurs ~600 m down the upper flank of the edifice and strikes perpendicular to the edifice flank. The feature has many characteristics of a chute; it is rimmed by high walls on each side, and it has a relatively flat floor on a gentle gradient that abruptly ends with an 800 m 20° descent to the adjacent deep seafloor. The chute clearly has a volcanic origin. Could the chute have formed due to a major event of rejuvenated volcanism on the flank of the French Frigate Shoals edifice? This type of volcanism has been observed along several hotspot volcanoes hundreds of kilometers beyond the active hotspot (see discussion by Garcia et al., 2010). Rejuvenated volcanism on the Hawaiian Ridge typically has occurred between 0.25 m.y. and 2.5 m.y. after cessation of growth at the main shield volcanoes (Bianco et al., 2005). If rejuvenated volcanism did occur at the French Frigate Shoals edifice, then could the volcanism have produced enough seismicity to trigger the landslides observed on the two edifices?

CONCLUSIONS

This study describes the surface geomorphologies of archipelagic aprons off the southern flanks of the French Frigate Shoals and Necker Island edifices in the central Northwest Hawaiian Ridge. The data sets used in this study include multibeam bathymetry and acoustic backscatter and sub-bottom profiles. The lack of seismic reflection profiles and sediment coring restricts interpretations to the surface geomorphologies of the area.

The archipelagic aprons are composed of a sequence of landslide features that include (1) headwall scarps on the upper flanks of the two edifices, (2) downslope-directed gullies on the upper slide surfaces, (3) intact slides on the upper and mid flanks, (4) debris avalanches that spread out downslope from the mid and lower flanks, (5) fields of sediment creep that blanket large areas on the lower flanks out onto the deep seafloor, and (6) outrunner blocks that are scattered on the lower flanks and deep seafloor.
that have traveled as much as 85 km from the base of the edifice.

The major submarine landslides and archipelagic aprons off the French Frigate Shools and Necker Island edifices differ in occurrence from the landslides and archipelagic aprons off the principal Hawaiian Islands. The landslides off the Hawaiian Islands occurred by oversteepening and loading during the late stages of volcanism of the islands. The initiation of the landslides on the south flanks of the French Frigate Shools and Necker Island edifices may have resulted from vertical tectonics due to the uplift and relaxation of a peripheral bulge or isolated earthquakes long after the edifices passed well beyond the Hawaiian hotspot. The lack of pelagic drape in water depths above the CCD shown on available SBP profiles suggests that the latest landslides on the archipelagic apron off the French Frigate Shools edifice are younger, perhaps Quaternary in age, than the latest landslides off the Necker Island edifice.

An unusual chute-like feature occurs on the southeastern side of the French Frigate Shools edifice that suggests rejuvenated volcanism may have occurred thousands to millions of years after volcanism ceased once the edifice migrated away from the Hawaiian hotspot. Seismic activity from this volcanism is another potential process that could have generated the landslides off the two edifices.
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