Polysaccharide Chain Length of Lipopolysaccharides From Salmonella Minnesota Is a Determinant of Aggregate Stability, Plasma Residence Time and Proinflammatory Propensity in vivo
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Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) originate from the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria and trigger an inflammatory response via the innate immune system. LPS consist of a lipid A moiety directly responsible for the stimulation of the proinflammatory cascade and a polysaccharide chain of variable length. LPS form aggregates of variable size and structure in aqueous media, and the aggregation/disaggregation propensity of LPS is known as a key determinant of their biological activity. The aim of the present study was to determine to which extent the length of the polysaccharide chain can affect the nature of LPS structures, their pharmacokinetics, and eventually their proinflammatory properties in vivo. LPS variants of Salmonella Minnesota with identical lipid A but with different polysaccharide moieties were used. The physical properties of LPS aggregates were analyzed by zetametry, dynamic light scattering, and microscopy. The stability of LPS aggregates was tested in the presence of plasma, whole blood, and cultured cell lines. LPS pharmacokinetics was performed in wild-type mice. The accumulation in plasma of rough LPS (R-LPS) with a short polysaccharidic chain was lower, and its hepatic uptake was faster as compared to smooth LPS (S-LPS) with a long polysaccharidic chain. The inflammatory response was weaker with R-LPS than with S-LPS. As compared to S-LPS, R-LPS formed larger aggregates, with a higher hydrophobicity index, a more negative zeta potential, and a higher critical aggregation concentration. The lower stability of R-LPS aggregates could be illustrated in vitro by a higher extent of association of LPS to plasma lipoproteins, faster binding to blood cells, and increased uptake by macrophages and
INTRODUCTION

Lipopolysaccharides (LPS, endotoxins) are amphipathic molecules that originate from the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria. They trigger the first step of the innate immune response by interacting with the CD14/TLR4/MD2 receptor complex at the surface of leukocytes (Beutler and Rietschel, 2003). The inflammatory response resulting from this initial stimulus consists of the production of proinflammatory cytokines. If uncontrolled, the response may lead to septic shock, which is characterized by hemodynamic dysfunctions, multiple organ failure, and death of the host organism (Van Amersfoort et al., 2003). Better understanding of the parameters that influence LPS toxicity, inactivation, and clearance is crucial to set up new strategies aimed at controlling the inflammatory burst in septic patients. In addition, it may help to predict the proinflammatory propensity of LPS preparations in experimental settings.

LPS are complex glycolipids containing an O-antigen polysaccharide, a core oligosaccharide, and an amphipathic lipid A moiety (Raetz and Whitfield, 2002). The O antigen consists of the variable repetition of an oligosaccharide unit. Due to its high variability in terms of length and the nature of repeats, the O antigen determines the antigenic specificities between and within bacterial strains (Raetz and Whitfield, 2002). Lipid A usually contains six or seven fatty acid residues linked to two phosphorylated glucosamine sugars. As an example, LPS from Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Minnesota was described to harbor, from the hydrophilic to the hydrophobic end: (1) an O-antigen consisting mostly of 1–2 (for 55%) or 20 repeating units (41%); (2) a core containing 5 hexose, 3 heptose, and 3 Kdo (2-keto-3-deoxyoctonate) residues; and (3) a lipid A moiety with a diphosphorylated diglucosamine backbone carrying 7 fatty acids (including four 3-hydroxymyristate residues) that are distributed according to a 5 + 2 asymmetric pattern (Peterson and McGroarty, 1985; Kanegasaki et al., 1986; Brandenburg et al., 1999). The lipid A moiety represents the active, proinflammatory moiety of LPS molecules as it binds directly to TLR4 and MD2 (Park et al., 2009). Consequently, variations in lipid A structure are known to alter the proinflammatory properties of LPS (Wilkinson, 1996) and have been the subject of intense research and attention, especially regarding fatty acid composition and phosphorylation (Qureshi et al., 1982; Brandenburg et al., 2000; Seydel et al., 2003; Tanimura et al., 2014).

Besides the lipid A structure, the length of the polysaccharide chain could partly explain the variability of the endotoxic activity of LPS (Vukajlovich and Morrison, 1983; Kitchens and Munford, 1998; Mueller et al., 2004, 2005; Hardy et al., 2012). Indeed, this hydrophilic moiety of LPS has an impact on LPS solubility in the aqueous phase (Wilkinson, 1996), and because they are amphipathic compounds, purified LPS are rarely found as monomers but rather in the form of aggregates in aqueous media (Brandenburg et al., 2003; Sasaki and White, 2008). Moreover, it should be stressed that most LPS in nature are embedded in the outer membrane of multiplying or dying bacteria or in LPS-rich blebs (Brandenburg et al., 2003). Consequently, the nature and structural properties of LPS aggregates might influence the accessibility of LPS molecules to CD14, MD2, and TLR4 receptors (Tobias et al., 1995; Gioannini et al., 2004; Mueller et al., 2005; Park et al., 2009) as well as their behavior in the circulation (Gautier et al., 2008, 2010).

Although the length of the polysaccharide chain might influence the occurrence, size, and structure of LPS aggregates (Aurell and Wistrom, 1998; D’Errico et al., 2010; Richter et al., 2011), the biological consequences are largely unknown. Because earlier studies compared LPS from different bacterial strains, which differed in both the lipid A and polysaccharide moieties, it was not possible to work out the real contribution of the hydrophilic chain to the endotoxic potential of the entire LPS molecule (Raetz and Whitfield, 2002; Brandenburg et al., 2003). Interestingly, Hardy and Colleagues reported that reconstituted aggregates containing LPS with a long polysaccharide chain tended to be better able than LPS with a short polysaccharide chain to activate TLR receptors (Raetz and Whitfield, 2002). However, this earlier work was restricted to in vitro studies of the ability of the different aggregates to activate signal transduction in a transgenic cell model expressing TLR4 and MD2 together with a reporter gene. To our knowledge, the influence of the length of the polysaccharide chain on the kinetics as well as on the proinflammatory potency of LPS has not yet been studied in vivo.

Earlier studies reported that LPS disaggregation, transport, and detoxification as mediated by circulating plasma factors can influence its bioavailability and inflammatory properties.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and materials
LPS purified from Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Minnesota S strain (smooth LPS) and Re595 mutant strain (rough LPS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO, USA). The molecular weight of our LPS molecules was checked by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Briefly, 0.8 μg of LPS was heated at 70°C for 10 min in a Tricine buffer containing SDS (Thermo Fisher, Illkirch, France) and submitted to electrophoresis on a 10–20% polyacrylamide gradient gel in Tricine SDS buffer (Novex, Thermo Fisher) prior to silver staining (Pierce Silver Staining Kit, Thermo Fisher). Apparent molecular weights of major bands, determined by comparison with molecular weight standards that were submitted to electrophoresis together with the samples, were 10.2 kDa for smooth LPS and 3.5 kDa for rough LPS. 

Animals and Ethics Statement
Wild-type, C57BL/6 J littermate mouse females were purchased from Charles River. The mice were fed a standard chow diet (A03 diet; SAFE, Augy, France) and had free access to food and water. All experiments were performed in accordance with institutional guidelines and approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Burgundy (Protocol number 2511).

Lipopolysaccharides Injection and Sampling
LPS preparations were injected intravenously via the tail vein (200 μl at 20 μmol/L; single dose) and blood was collected at the indicated times by retro-orbital puncture into
endotoxin-free, heparin-containing tubes. After 24 h, the animals were killed by cardiac puncture, and the livers were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Plasma was obtained from blood samples (10 min, 2,000 × g at 4°C). All samples were stored at −80°C until further analysis.

Cytokine Measurements
Interleukin (IL) 6, IL-10, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), macrophage chemotactic protein 1 (MCP-1), and interferon gamma (IFN-γ) in mouse plasma were assayed by cytometric bead array using commercially available kits (CBA mouse inflammation kit, BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Signal quantification was performed on a Guava EasyCyte Plus flow cytometer (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and analyzed with FCAP Array software (SoftFlow, Pecs, Hungary).

Lipopolysaccharides Quantitation
LPS in plasma and organs as well as in preparations of smooth and rough LPS was assayed by using the direct quantitation of 3-hydroxyynystyrinic acid, which is a specific component of the lipid A moiety of LPS, by LCMS² using the general procedure previously described (Pais de Barros et al., 2015). Quantitation was achieved by calculating the ratio between ion peaks corresponding to 3-hydroxyynystyrinic acid, and 3-hydroxytridecanoic acid used as an internal standard.

Atomic Force Microscopy
LPS droplets were deposited on freshly cleaved mica surfaces at room temperature. Samples were imaged with a Nanoscope V Multimodal 8 apparatus (Bruker AXS, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) with a force modulation setup. Piezoelectric scanners (15 μm range) were used in the contact force mode, with scan rates of 1 Hz in association with silicon nitride (Si3N4) cantilevers (Nanoprobes NPS, Bruker AXS, Santa Barbara, CA). The nominal imaging force during scanning was ~15.8 nN (k = 0.06–0.56 N/m). All reported images were made with 512 × 512 pixel definition.

Transmission Electron Microscopy
LPS solutions were stained with either 3% phosphotungstic acid or ammonium heptamolybdate, washed by centrifugal filtration, and applied onto a collodion-coated microscope cover grid. Dried samples were imaged with a Hitachi H7500 energy filtering transmission electron microscope (Hitachi, Bron, France) operating at 80 kV. Digital images were recorded with an Advantage CCD camera driven with AMT software (AMT Imaging, Danvers, MA, USA). All reported images were made with 1,024 × 1,024 pixel definition.

Size Distribution and Zeta Potential Measurements
Both parameters were determined by using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS device (Malvern, UK). LPS samples in saline were poured into folded capillaries for analysis at a constant temperature of 25°C. Particle size distribution was determined with the dynamic light scattering mode (DLS) over a 0.6–6,000 nm size range. Briefly, samples were illuminated by a 633-nm helium-neon laser, and the scattered light was measured at a backscatter angle of 173° using an avalanche photodiode. Zeta potential, i.e., electronic charge at the slipping plane surrounding the particles in aqueous solutions was measured with the electrophoretic light scattering mode (zetametry) by determining particle mobility in solutions when subjected to an electric field (70 mV). Raw DLS and zetametry data were analyzed and interpreted with Malvern software.

Steady-State Fluorescence of Pyrene Probes
The hydrophobicity of LPS aggregates was determined by using the pyrene fluorescence peak I to peak III ratio method. Briefly, an aprotic glycerol phosphate-buffered saline saturated with the pyrene probe (Sigma-Aldrich) was filtered and added to LPS solution in saline (final LPS concentration, 20 μmol/L), and fluorescence was measured at room temperature on an LS50B spectrofluorometer (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Pyrene emission fluorescence spectra were scanned from 350 to 400 nm with an excitation wavelength of 335 nm. The hydrophobic ratio was calculated by dividing the intensity of the first fluorescence peak (peak I—374 nm) by that of the third peak (peak III—384 nm). Hydrophobicity correlates inversely with the I–III ratio.

Lipopolysaccharides Fluorescent Labeling
Labeling of smooth and rough LPS with DOTA-Bodipy was performed essentially according to the technique described earlier (Duheron et al., 2014). LPS molecules were resuspended at a concentration of 0.5 mM in sodium bicarbonate buffer pH 8.77. One equivalent of DOTA-Bodipy-NCS that was prepared as previously described (Bernhard et al., 2010) was added prior to incubation for 1 h at 37°C. Labeled LPS was separated from free label by FPLC using a Superdex75 column (GE Healthcare), and fractions containing DOTA-Bodipy-LPS were concentrated in sterile PBS with Nanosep MWCO 3 kDa (Pall).

Lipopolysaccharides Incubation in Plasma
LPS labeled with DOTA-Bodipy (20 μM) were incubated in plasma from wild-type mice or in PBS in a final volume of 200 μl. Incubations were performed in the dark for 20 min at 37°C with mild agitation and were stopped by transferring reaction tubes on ice. Samples were subsequently submitted to size exclusion chromatography with a Superose 6 HR 10/30 column (GE Healthcare) at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min, and 300-μl fractions were collected. Bodipy fluorescence in individual fractions was measured on a Victor³ multilabel counter (Perkin Elmer) with excitation at 465 nm and emission at 535 nm. Fluorescence that was recovered in fraction (i.e., not retained at top filter of the column) corresponds to disaggregated LPS. Profile calibration with plasma (lipoproteins) was achieved by measuring cholesterol concentration in individual fractions with commercially available enzymatic kit (Cholesterol FS, DiaSys, Holzheim, Germany). Results were
expressed as percent of total fluorescence of initial bulk of LPS before size exclusion chromatography.

Lipopolysaccharides Incubation in Whole Blood
LPS (20 μM) were incubated in a fresh, heparinized pool of whole blood drawn from six wild-type mice. Incubations were performed in the dark for 0–1 h at 37°C with mild agitation and were stopped by transferring reaction tubes on ice at different time points (0, 15, 30, and 60 min). Plasma was separated by a 10-min centrifugation at 8,000 × g at 4°C. Blood pellets were washed three times with PBS and split in two aliquots that were constantly kept at 4°C. One aliquot was depleted from erythrocytes with a lysis buffer containing 100 μM EDTA, 150 mM ammonium chloride, and 10 mM potassium bicarbonate and subsequently washed three times with PBS and corresponded to blood leukocytes. Isolated plasmas, total blood pellets, and leukocyte pellets were then submitted to LPS quantitation with the LCMS method described above. The amounts of LPS associated to erythrocytes were calculated as the difference between LPS in total blood pellets and in corresponding leukocyte pellets. Results were expressed as percent of total bulk of LPS added to blood.

Lipopolysaccharides Cellular Uptake in vitro
Murine hepatocytes (HEPA1-6) or thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages were plated in six-well plates (5 × 10⁵ cells/well) and cultured respectively in DMEM and RPMI media, both supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin (100 μg/ml each) and 10% total fetal calf serum. Cultures were performed in a water-saturated, 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37°C. LPS labeled with DOTA-Bodipy (20 μM) were resuspended in culture media and added to the cells were then cultured for 1 or 24 h. Cells were subsequently detached, and Bodipy fluorescence associated to the cells was quantitated by flow cytometry (LSR II flow cytometer, BD Biosciences) with 488 nm excitation and 530 nm emission filters. LPS uptake was expressed in arbitrary units after correction with initial fluorescence of stock solutions of smooth LPS-Bodipy or rough LPS-Bodipy. Inflammatory response of macrophages was determined by measuring cytokine levels in medium after 24 h by cytometric bead array as described in section “Cytokine Measurements.” All conditions were performed in triplicates. Cell viability at 24 h as evaluated by measuring the ability of cells to adhere to plastic according to the general procedure previously described (Olsson et al., 1982) was similar with both types of LPS treatment, with smooth and rough LPS inducing 36.6 ± 6.2 and 30.4 ± 5.6% mortality after 24 h, respectively (NS, Mann-Whitney test).

Statistical Analyses
Results are expressed as mean ± S.E. The statistical significance of differences between two data means was determined with the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U-test or paired Wilcoxon test as appropriate by using the GraphPad Prism 6 software. p’s under 0.05 were considered significant and are indicated with an asterisk.

RESULTS
Proinflammatory Potential of Rough Lipopolysaccharides Is Weaker Than That of Smooth Lipopolysaccharides in Mice
Identical amounts of smooth (long chain) or rough (short chain) LPS (200 μl at 20 μmol/L) were injected into wild-type mice in order to monitor the kinetics of the inflammatory response in vivo over a 24-h period. As shown in Figure 2, both smooth and rough LPS were able to elicit substantial cytokine production with maximal levels observed between 1 and 6 h after injection (Figures 2A–E, left panels). However, for all of the cytokines studied, the kinetic curves obtained with rough LPS were constantly below those obtained with smooth LPS, resulting in significantly lower AUC values over the 24-h period (Figures 2A–E, right panels) (−73, −52, −44, −67, and −86% for IL-6 (A), IL-10 (B), TNF-α (C), MCP-1 (D), and IFN-γ (E), respectively; p < 0.05 in all cases).

Smooth and Rough Lipopolysaccharides Show Distinct Kinetics in Mouse Plasma and Liver
Wild-type mice were intravenously injected with identical amounts of either smooth or rough LPS (200 μl of 20 μmol/L solutions), and the time course of LPS clearance from plasma was assessed by measuring LPS concentration through direct quantitation of LPS mass concentration [3-hydroxy-myristate (3HM) assay] over 24 h. For smooth LPS, and as shown in Figure 3A, a single injection led to high levels of LPS at the 1-h time point. This was followed by a progressive decrease over the period studied. However, substantial amounts (37% of the levels that were detected at the first blood draw after injection) were still present in the circulation at 24 h (Figure 3A, closed diamonds). For rough LPS, and in contrast to smooth LPS, only trace amounts of LPS were measured over the 24-h period (Figure 3A, open squares), with a plasma AUC that was considerably lower for rough LPS than for smooth LPS (Figure 3B, p < 0.05).

In order to determine the tissue fate of both types of LPS, mice were injected with saline or equal amounts of either smooth or rough LPS. They were killed 3 or 24 h after injection, and LPS levels were measured in the liver, spleen, and lungs. As shown in Figure 4, the majority of LPS accumulated in the liver (Figure 4A); very low amounts of LPS were recovered in the spleen (Figure 4B) and in the lungs (Figure 4C). High amounts of rough LPS accumulated in the liver as early as 3 h after injection. In contrast, smooth LPS was found at low levels in this organ at the same time point, with values that were 87% lower than for rough LPS (p < 0.05; Figure 4A). Twenty-four hours after injection, rough LPS levels in the liver were higher than smooth LPS levels (~54%, p < 0.05; Figure 4A).

Smooth and Rough Lipopolysaccharides Form Aggregates of Different Shapes
Smooth and rough LPS were dissolved in saline at identical concentrations (20 μmol/L) and were first analyzed by atomic
force microscopy (Figure 5). Both LPS solutions contained aggregates. They were apparently homogeneous in each preparation but differed markedly from one LPS type to the other. Smooth LPS formed small, simple globular aggregates with an apparent diameter ranging from 20 to 30 nm (Figure 5A). Rough LPS formed larger aggregates (apparent diameter, 100–200 nm) with simple to multilobular spherical shapes (Figure 5B).

As shown in Figure 6, transmission electron microscopy observations of smooth and rough LPS solutions (concentration ranging from 15 to 22 μmol/L) after negative staining confirmed the different sizes and shapes of the aggregates formed by the two compounds. Consistent results were obtained with phosphotungstic acid (Figures 6A,C) and ammonium molybdate (Figures 6B,D) staining techniques. In addition, both staining techniques revealed that smooth LPS aggregates formed
homogeneous, dense micelle-like structures (Figures 6A, B), while rough LPS appeared to form diffuse unilamellar or multilamellar vesicles (Figures 6C, D).

**Smooth and Rough Lipopolysaccharides Form Aggregates With Different Physical Properties and Stability**

Smooth and rough LPS were solubilized in saline at a final concentration of 20 μmol/L (similar to the concentration used for atomic force microscopy) in order to get further insights into the physical properties of the aggregates formed with the two compounds. The parameters studied were hydrodynamic size, hydrophobicity, and zeta potential.

Hydrodynamic size was determined by dynamic light scattering (Figure 7A). In solution, both smooth and rough LPS produced single peaks with near-Gaussian distribution, indicating that each compound formed a mostly homogeneous aggregate population. In good agreement with microscopy analyses (Figures 5, 6), smooth LPS aggregates in solution were clearly smaller than rough LPS aggregates, with mean hydrodynamic peaks at 24.4 and 91.3 nm, respectively (Figure 7A).

Aggregate hydrophobicity was assessed by steady-state fluorescence using a nonpolar pyrene probe. As shown in Figure 7B, the ratio of peak I to peak III fluorescence intensities was significantly lower for rough LPS than for smooth LPS (0.963 ± 0.007 versus 1.070 ± 0.010; respectively; p < 0.05). This indicates that the microenvironment within the aggregates is more hydrophobic with rough LPS than with smooth LPS.

Zeta potential values, which represent the electric charges at the periphery of the aggregates in suspension, were measured by electrophoretic light scattering. As shown in Figure 7C, smooth and rough LPS-derived aggregates gave values of −14.2 ± 0.9 and −33.0 ± 2.1 mV, respectively (p < 0.05). This
indicates that repulsion forces of aggregates containing rough LPS are greater than those of aggregates containing smooth LPS.

Finally, critical aggregation concentrations (CAC) of the two LPS types were determined as an additional indicator of aggregability. The formation of aggregates with smooth and rough LPS was monitored by dynamic light scattering, using increasing concentrations in a saline solution. As shown in Figure 8, aggregates of smooth LPS (Figure 8A) appeared to be more stable than aggregates of rough LPS (Figure 8B), with CAC values of 1.3 and 2.7 μmol/L, respectively.

Smooth and Rough Lipopolysaccharides Show Different Abilities to Disaggregate in Plasma and to Associate to Lipoproteins, Blood Cells, and Liver Cells

In order to test the effect of plasma on LPS aggregate stability, smooth ad rough LPS aggregates were incubated in the presence of saline of plasma before separation of remaining aggregates and LPS that associated with plasma components by size exclusion chromatography. As shown in Figure 9A, in the absence of plasma, both smooth and rough LPS expectedly formed large-sized aggregates that were retained at the top of the chromatography column (aggregates, 97% for smooth LPS; 100% for rough LPS; Figure 9A, left). The presence of plasma induced the disaggregation of only 24% of smooth LPS, while it led to the disaggregation of the totality (97%) of the bulk of rough LPS (Figure 9A, right). Disaggregated LPS showed different distribution patterns in plasma. (Figure 9B) with smooth LPS associated to LDL (low-density lipoproteins), HDL (high-density lipoproteins), and plasma proteins in similar proportions, while rough LPS mainly bound to plasma HDL.

Next, smooth and rough LPS were incubated ex vivo in the presence of fresh, total blood from wild-type mice before quantification of LPS remaining in plasma (Figure 10A) or associated to erythrocytes or leukocytes (Figure 10B). While the totality of smooth LPS remained in plasma, with no association to blood cells over 1 h of incubation, 16.5% of rough LPS left the plasma compartment after 15 min and associated to blood cells, with a majority of rough LPS bound to leukocytes after 1 h of incubation. Finally, when incubated in the presence of murine hepatocyte cell line or peritoneal macrophages, rough LPS once again showed larger uptake by cultured cells at both early (1 h) and late (24 h) time points, with, respectively, 4.6 and 2.5 times increases in hepatocytes (Figure 11A) and 3.1 and 2.3 increases in macrophages (Figure 11B) compared with smooth LPS (p < 0.05 in all cases). Strikingly, the larger uptake of rough LPS by macrophages was not associated with increased inflammatory response when compared with macrophages treated with smooth LPS (Figure 11C).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, it is shown that smooth LPS has a significantly higher proinflammatory potency than rough LPS when used at identical molar concentrations in vivo. The marked differences in biological effects of rough and smooth LPS were most likely due to their distinct physicochemical and pharmacokinetic features. Indeed, whereas smooth LPS produced a substantial initial peak in mouse plasma followed by a slow decay over the 24-h period, rough LPS was barely detectable over the period studied. This suggests that rough LPS is rapidly cleared, as confirmed by its concomitant and rapid accumulation in the liver as early as 3 h after injection. This finding brings new support to a reverse lipopolysaccharide transport pathway (RLT) that today has been deciphered and imaged (Mathison and Ulevitch, 1979; Freudenberg et al., 1980, 1982; Harris et al., 1993; Cai et al., 2008; Gautier and Lagrost, 2011; Lu
and Munford, 2011; Duheron et al., 2014). This pathway requires four different steps: (1) dissociation of LPS aggregates, with the shedding of single LPS molecules, (2) transfer of single LPS molecules to circulating lipoproteins, (3) liver uptake lipoprotein-borne LPS, and (4) biliary secretion of LPS processed by the liver. This general scheme puts the liver as the primary organ for LPS uptake and disposal (Mathison and Ulevitch, 1979; Freudenberg et al., 1982). Although the precise modalities of plasma LPS transport in our in vivo model would require further investigations, our hypothesis is that after intravenous injection, circulating smooth LPS, which form more stable aggregates, undergo the first step of RLT in a very slow fashion, resulting in accumulation in plasma at the expense of liver uptake (step 3 of RLT). On the opposite, circulating rough LPS are quickly disaggregated (very short residence time in plasma) and taken up by the liver, leading to immediate hepatic accumulation. The more rapid clearance of rough LPS from the circulation by the liver, as reported in the present study, is a cogent explanation to the reduced inflammatory response it elicited as compared with smooth LPS.

It should be emphasized that in our case the LPS preparations were injected into mice as aggregates since the preparations were at a concentration of 20 μmol/L, clearly above the CAC value [present study and Aurell and Wistrom (1998)]. This indicates that the differences observed most likely relate to the structural features and/or pharmacokinetics of the aggregates. In support of this view, physicochemical analyses showed that aggregates of smooth and rough LPS displayed markedly different structural features as illustrated by distinct size. Indeed, and as observed by electron transmission microscopy and atomic force microscopy, aggregates of smooth LPS were 5–10 times smaller than aggregates of rough LPS. These observations were confirmed by DLS analysis of aggregates in saline solution, with a mean size of 24.4 and 91.3 nm for smooth and rough...
LPS, respectively. These values are consistent with those reported by others, with aggregates of smooth LPS in the 10–50 nm diameter range \cite{Bergstrand2006, Hardy2012} and aggregates of rough LPS in the 50–100 nm diameter range \cite{Santos2003, Sasaki2008, D’Errico2010}, depending on the technique used \cite{Brogden1988, Aurell1998}. Of note, our study compared the aggregate size of LPS molecules from the same bacterial species and original serotype with identical lipid A and inner core moieties but differing in the polysaccharide chain length only. Consequently, it brings new support to the polysaccharide chain length as a major determinant of the structure of LPS aggregates.

In this context, it must be stressed that circulating aggregates or bacterial blebs, rather than free LPS monomers, have been recognized as the active form of LPS in aqueous media \cite{Mueller2004, Mueller2005}. Since LPS molecules have been reported to interact with CD14, TLR4, and MD2 mainly as monomers \cite{Tobias1995, Park2009}, this implies that activation of the innate immune response triggered by initial binding to the receptor complex requires the release of LPS monomers from either circulating aggregates \cite{Gioannini2004} or aggregates bound to the immune cell surface \cite{Mueller2005}. This indicates that various structural features might actually modulate the stability, pharmacokinetic characteristics, and proinflammatory activity of LPS aggregates. In earlier studies, no clear picture could be provided, and the greater inflammatory potential was alternatively attributed to large \cite{Mueller2004, Mueller2005} or small \cite{Vukajlovich1983} aggregates. Others reported no relationship between aggregate size and inflammatory potential \cite{Kitchens1998, Hardy2012}. The present study sheds new light on the intrinsic nature of LPS aggregates, here with rough LPS forming multilobular/multilamellar structures and with smooth LPS forming simple, spheroidal aggregates (Figures 5, 6). These structural features most likely reflect differences in LPS behavior.

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Size distribution, zeta potential, and hydrophobicity index of structures formed by smooth and rough LPS. Size distribution of smooth LPS (closed diamonds) and rough LPS (open squares) in saline was determined by dynamic light scattering (A). Hydrophobicity index (B) and zeta potential (C) of smooth (black bars) and rough LPS (gray bars) was determined by spectrofluorimetry using a pyrene probe and by zetametry, respectively. See “Experimental Procedures” for additional experimental details. Bars are mean ± SE of quadruplicate measurements. *Significantly different from smooth LPS, \( p < 0.05 \). All panels are representative of three distinct experiments.}
\end{figure}
in an aqueous environment, given that the amphipathic nature of these molecules determines their ability to form aggregates in solutions (Sasaki and White, 2008). Because smooth LPS contain a large hydrophilic moiety, it was able in the present study to produce aggregates with a low hydrophobicity index and with high stability in the aqueous phase. Accordingly, we found a CAC value for smooth LPS that was half that for rough LPS (Figure 8). This view regarding CAC adds to earlier studies, which showed that LPS species with a long polysaccharide chain have a CMC/CAC value around 1 μmol/L, while LPS with a short polysaccharide chain have a CMC/CAC value closer to 5 μmol/L (Aurell and Wistrom, 1998; Santos et al., 2003; Bergstrand et al., 2006). The hypothesis that rough LPS forms less stable aggregates is further sustained in the present study by zeta potential data reflecting the repulsion forces (Lyklema, 1991; Domingues et al., 2012; Hardy et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2013).

Finally, we could directly demonstrate that rough LPS aggregates are less stable in ex vivo experiments mimicking the patho-physiological situation of endotoxemia, i.e., when incubated in the presence of either plasma or whole blood. We could show that less than 25% of smooth LPS could undergo disaggregation and associate to plasma components, while almost 100% of rough LPS could disaggregate in the same experimental setup after only 20 min of incubation in the presence of plasma only. It is worth to note that unlike

![Figure 8](image-url)
disaggregated smooth LPS, rough LPS monomers were mainly bound to plasma HDL which, due to their well-recognized role as LPS scavengers in the circulation (Munford et al., 1981; Cavaillon et al., 1990), could contribute to their quick clearance from the plasma. Accordingly, when incubated in the presence of whole blood, substantial amounts of rough LPS were transferred from the plasma compartment to circulating cells within 15 min, while the whole bulk of rough LPS almost exclusively remained in plasma over a 1-h incubation. However, transfer of rough LPS to the circulating cells could not exceed 16% of the total bulk of LPS, indicating that the maximal acceptor capacities of blood cells are quickly reached in our model of isolated blood. In the presence of hepatocytes or macrophages which were cultured in media supplemented with complete serum that contains lipoproteins, the magnitude of accumulation of rough LPS was once again much higher (2.3–4.6 times) than that of smooth LPS, but this time LPS cellular uptake did not saturate after 1 h and could rise until 24 h of incubation. This indicates that hepatocytes and macrophages/Kupffer cells, i.e., two cell types involved in LPS clearance in the liver.
are much more active in the uptake of plasma-derived LPS than circulating cells. In addition, the fact that the increased uptake of rough LPS does not translate into increased inflammatory response of treated macrophages suggests that the cellular components involved in additional accumulation of rough LPS differ from the receptors involved in the triggering of the inflammatory cascade. Whether this specific effect might relate to the expression of receptors such as SR-BI, LDLR, or CD36, which could be involved in lipoprotein-borne LPS monomers, will deserve further studies. In parallel, these latter results also indicate that, in a closed system, equimolar amounts of rough and smooth LPS with identical lipid A moiety display equivalent intrinsic inflammatory potency on the long term. Taken together, our ex vivo experiments suggest that polysaccharide chain length is a rate-limiting factor of the first step of the RLT pathway which involves (1) LPS disaggregation, (2) transfer of LPS monomers to lipoproteins, and (3) clearance of lipoprotein-borne LPS by the hepatobiliary tract. Interestingly, it was shown in the Salmonella genus that LPS with long polysaccharide chains, when compared with LPS from rough strains, can enhance the virulence of bacteria by slowing down macrophage-mediated antigen processing and presentation to T cells, probably through masking specific bacterial epitopes (Zirk et al., 1999). The relative contribution of this mechanism compared to the effect of polysaccharide chain on LPS aggregate stability and RLT described in the present work as well as the possible synergy of both phenomena to the deleterious effects of bacterial infection would deserve further attention.

Overall, the present study highlights that the length of the polysaccharide chain of LPS is a major determinant of the nature and stability of the aggregates it forms in aqueous and biological media. Polysaccharide chain length is shown to have a significant impact on the pharmacokinetics, clearance and thus the inflammatory properties of LPS when injected as purified preparations in vivo. Here, two possible explanations may account for the more rapid clearance of rough LPS aggregates from plasma. First, large aggregates of rough LPS allow the docking and internalization of a higher number of LPS molecules per particle than is the case for small aggregates (Mathison and Ulevitch, 1979; Kitchens et al., 1998; Kitchens and Munford, 1998). Second, large aggregates of rough LPS are less stable than small aggregates of smooth LPS in aqueous media and are thus more rapidly disaggregated and cleared from the circulation (Gautier et al., 2008, 2010). Regarding this latter point, our experiments clearly showed that aggregate...
stability and propensity of LPS to dissociate as monomers to interact with plasma lipoproteins in a first step and with cells in a second step are largely determined by the length of polysaccharide chain length. Because the injection of LPS preparations is commonly used to trigger inflammation in the research laboratory, polysaccharide chain length, zeta potential, and overall aggregability of LPS should be taken into account to predict the proinflammatory effect that can be expected in experimental settings. In addition, better knowledge and control of LPS aggregation and disaggregation might lead to new strategies to enhance the RLT pathway.
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