The Influence that the Blend Process has on the Hardening Behavior of a PU/Epoxy Resin System
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Abstract

To address the future needs of anisotropic conductive film (ACF) applications, a system that enables rapid hardening and prolongs the shelf life of ACFs was developed. In this study, various processing methods and conditions were employed to fabricate microcapsules. Imidazole was used as a hardener for epoxy resin, which was then identified and analyzed using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. The latent hardening behavior of microcapsules was examined using differential scanning calorimetry. Thermomechanical analysis and a peeling test were employed to analyze the thermal properties and adhesion. When coated with microcapsules, the hardener reacts to epoxy resin for a longer time. Latent hardeners with differing reaction rates can be prepared by selecting varying shell materials.
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Introduction

Anisotropic conductive films (ACFs) are composed of resin, conductive particles, and release films. Employed for their thinning ability, simple manufacturing procedure, environmentally-friendly lead-free production process, absence of α-particles, and favorable properties in all aspects, achieving reformation. The broad definition of IPN is the combination of two or more polymer networks [1]. The optimal blend is obtained by interpenetration of two or more polymer networks. Scholars have reformed epoxy resin using the copolymer method by introducing the soft segment into epoxy resin or directly reforming epoxy resin. By introducing the liquid crystal structure, epoxy resin can possess liquid crystal behavior that increases its mechanical strength and thermal stability. Among the various reformation methods, the blending method is assumed to be the most convenient. Soft rubber or polymers with specific functionalities can be directly blended with epoxy resin to achieve reformation.

Because epoxy resin possesses a high glass transition temperature (Tg) and lacks toughness, epoxy products tend to have disadvantages, such as brittleness, a tendency to peel, and poor impact resistance. The introduction of small-molecule liquid crystals is expected to reinforce the mechanical strength of the product, which has been investigated in numerous studies. For example, a number of scholars have used nanoscale fillers to reinforce the mechanical strength and toughness of epoxy resin. Scholars have reformed epoxy resin using the copolymer method by introducing the soft segment into epoxy resin or directly reforming epoxy resin. By introducing the liquid crystal structure, epoxy resin can possess liquid crystal behavior that increases its mechanical strength and thermal stability. Among the various reformation methods, the blending method is assumed to be the most convenient. Soft rubber or polymers with specific functionalities can be directly blended with epoxy resin to achieve reformation.

Using the network, straight-chain PU polymers, and reformed epoxy resin, an interpenetrating polymer network (IPN) is established to achieve a "structure lock-in" by the interpenetrating covalent bonds, thereby preventing phase separation from occurring during the polymer blending process. A number of studies have adopted this blending method and obtained effective results. By contrast, few studies have verified whether interpenetrating covalent bonds are generated in IPNs to reduce phase separations. Nevertheless, the IPN preparation method addresses the issue of phase separation while maintaining favorable properties in all aspects, achieving reformation.

The broad definition of IPN is the combination of two or more polymer networks [1]. The optimal blend is obtained by interpenetration and entanglement between polymer chains. Currently, this type of...
special polymer blending method is the only effective measure that can be employed to blend thermosetting polymers.

Because the IPN comprises two interconnected network structures that affect the size, shape, and composition of phase domains in the IPN [1], their morphological compositions influence the physical and mechanical behaviors of polymers in the IPN. In multicomponent polymer systems, a uniform mixture and homogeneous blends can be attained using methods such as polymer blending, grafts [2], and copolymers [3,4]. Similarly, in the IPN, interpreting covalent bonds and entanglement polymer chains are used to achieve the ultimate goal of molecular mixing of the multicomponent polymer system [5].

The encapsulation technique has been developed for over 40 years. The fabricated capsule consists of core and shell materials. Because the core, shell, and encapsulation methods differ, the shape, size, and structure of the capsule also differ significantly. Generally, capsules with less than a 1 μm diameter are called nano-microcapsules, and those with a diameter ranging between 1 μm and 1 mm are called microcapsules. Because, the capsule size cannot be strictly limited during the manufacturing process, capsules with a diameter of several millimeters can also be classified as microcapsules. Therefore, microcapsules refer to capsule particles with a diameter ranging from several micrometers to several millimeters. The technique for microcapsule fabrication is known as microencapsulation [6].

Microencapsulation refers to a process where liquids, solids, and gases are surrounded using natural or synthesized polymer materials. The surrounding shell materials provide a physical barrier between the core and the external environment, thereby engendering new properties of the core. Microencapsulation can be applied to various fields, such as food products, textiles, cosmetics, papermaking, medicine, biotechnology, agriculture, and husbandry. Regarding its application to food products, microencapsulation has changed what medicine, biotechnology, agriculture, and husbandry. Regarding its application to food products, microencapsulation has changed what

The microencapsulation technique attracts interest because of the following characteristics [7]:

1. The shell can protect matter that is sensitive to light, heat, humidity, acid, or alkali or prone to oxidization in air or dissolve in water.
2. Changing the shape or hydrophilic and lipophilic properties of matter can enhance its usability.
3. The shell protects against odors, colors, and toxicity. (4) The reaction components are insulated to control the release function by contacting the components for required reactions.

The encapsulation method comprises three types: (1) physical chemical methods, (2) chemical methods, and (3) mechanical methods. Because the adopted encapsulation method and coating material differs, the size, shape, and strength of the prepared microcapsules also vary [8-10].

The thermal behavior and isothermal curing of parent phenolic-urea oligomers and their corresponding blend with commercial epoxy resin was carried out in order to optimize the temperature for fabrication of glass fiber laminates.

**Experiment**

**Materials**

- L128E type epoxy resin (E) by the Core Precision Material Co., Ltd.
- PN-23 type imidazole hardener (H) by Taiso Commerce Inc.
- 50501 type polyurethane (U) by Nanya Resin Company.

**Steps**

This study employed the following two feeding methods: P+H+E and E+H+U. The ratio of E to U was 7:3. The amount of H added was dependent on the quantity of E. Separate steps with various added amounts and preprocessing times are shown below.

1. In the proportion experiment of U+20 phr H+E, 14.4 g of U and 6.72 g of 20 phr H were weighed and mixed through revolution and rotation for 4 min. After being uniformly mixed, the mixture was combined with 11.2 g of E and again mixed through revolution and rotation for 2 min to obtain the first sample group.

2. Based on the different feeding sequence of E+20 phr H+U, 11.2 g of E and 6.72 g of 20 phr H were weighed and mixed through revolution and rotation for 4 min. Subsequently, 14.4 g of U was added to the mixture, which was mixed through revolution and rotation for 2 min. The product formed the second sample group.

3. Regarding the preprocessing experiments of U+20 phr H+E, 14.4 g of U and 6.72 g of 20 phr H were weighed and mixed through revolution and rotation for 4 min. The uniformly distributed mixture was quartered, with each part amounting to 5.28 g. The four parts were preprocessed in an oven at 50°C for 0, 5, 10, and 20 min. Subsequently, each part was mixed with 11.2 g of E and mixed through revolution and rotation for 2 min.

4. Regarding the U+H+E experiment with varying proportions of H, 20, 30, and 40 phr H and U were mixed and preprocessed according to the process used for Step 3. The mixture was first preprocessed at 50°C for 10 min, combined with 11.2 g of E, and then mixed through revolution and rotation for 2 min.

**Instruments**

Digilab FTS-1000 was used to perform Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). PU and epoxy resin were separately and uniformly mixed with the hardener. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was diluted to 5% or less and dripped onto the KBr pellet. THF was removed by heating the pellet sample in an oven at 30°C for 1 h. The same samples were separately heated at 30°C, 50°C, 70°C, 90°C, 110°C, 130°C, 150°C, 170°C, 190°C, and 210°C for 20 min and then analyzed using FTIR. In the atmospheric environment, the scans were repeated 10 times at a resolution of 8 and ranging between 450 cm⁻¹ and 4000 cm⁻¹.

Perkin Elmer DSC 4000 was used to conduct differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).

Condition 1: 5 to 10 mg samples were placed on the aluminum plate and heated from 30°C to 200°C at a heating rate of 2°C per min. The onset temperature and exothermic maximum temperature were recorded.

Condition 2: 5 to 10 mg samples were placed on the aluminum plate at a fixed temperature. The enthalpy of endothermic and exothermic reactions was observed and recorded.

A peeling strength test was performed based on the CNS 5812 T-peel test. The cut aluminum plate size, with a length and width of approximately 300 mm and 25 mm, is shown in Figure 1. The samples were coated onto the aluminum plate and cured at 160°C for 30 min.
TA Instrument, Inc. TMA Q400 was used to conduct thermomechanical analysis (TMA). The specimen shape was a square, with a side length of approximately 10 mm and a thickness of less than 5 mm. Using the “expansion” mode in the atmospheric environment, the thermal expansion curve was measured under a temperature increase from 30°C to 200°C at a rate of 5°C per min, with a 1-Hz frequency and 0.05 N force.

Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows the FTIR spectra of L128E, PU, and PN-23. L128E indicates the characteristic absorption peaks of the epoxy group at 914 cm⁻¹; CH, CH₂, and CH₃ at 2800 to 3200 cm⁻¹; and O-H at 3500 cm⁻¹. PU indicates the characteristic absorption peaks of C=O at 1664 cm⁻¹; the isocyanate group (-N=C=O) at 2123 cm⁻¹; and N-H and O-H at 3200 to 3500 cm⁻¹. PN-23 indicates the characteristic absorption peaks of imidazole C-N at 1290 cm⁻¹ and N-H at 3387 cm⁻¹.

Analysis and determination of the epoxy resin and imidazole reaction structure

Figure 3 shows the FTIR spectrum of L128E/PN-23 blended at varying temperatures. The invariable absorption peak of this reaction is 573 cm⁻¹. Using this absorption peak as the standard overlap peak, when the temperature increases gradually, the characteristic absorption peaks of the epoxy group at 914 cm⁻¹ and C-O at 1084 cm⁻¹ are reacted, disappearing gradually. According to the dissipating characteristic absorption peaks at these two positions, the imidazole hardener and epoxy resin can be considered to undergo gradual ring-opening polymerization. In addition, the heterocycle resonance of imidazole is destroyed during polymerization, resulting in a stronger absorption peak of C=C at 1650 cm⁻¹. Therefore, the imidazole hardener and epoxy resin can be verified to polymerize gradually, as shown in Figures 4 and 5.

Figure 6 shows the FTIR spectrum of PU/PN-23/L128E blended at varying temperatures. During the blending process, PU and PN-23 are first mixed through revolution and rotation, combined with L128E, and then subjected to further mixing. As shown in Figure 6, the invariable absorption peak of this reaction occurs at 571 cm⁻¹. Using this absorption peak as the standard overlap peak, when the temperature increases gradually, the characteristic absorption peaks of the epoxy group at 914 cm⁻¹ and C-O at 1079 cm⁻¹ react and dissipate gradually. According to the dissipating characteristic absorption peaks at these two positions, the imidazole hardener and epoxy resin can be inferred to undergo gradual ring-opening polymerization. The PU C=O characteristic absorption peak occurs at 1667 cm⁻¹. The decreasing epoxy group verifies that the addition of PU does not influence the reaction between imidazole and the epoxy group.

The softening point, also known as the deflection temperature,
represents the temperature where a polymer material softens with reduced mechanical performance during heating. Samples are commonly heated under loads when tested. When the sample deformation value reaches a specific limit, the corresponding temperature is measured. Figure 7 shows a TMA graph of PU, where the size change of PU increases gradually with the temperature. The softening point occurred at 105.2°C.

Analysis and determination of the influence that differing processing procedures have on reaction rates

Figure 8 shows the DSC graph of L128E, PU, and PN-23 blended using varying processing procedures. As shown in Figure 8, the onset temperature is 84.8°C, the delta H is -260.7 J/g, and the maximum exothermic peak is 97.5°C in the E/H system. The onset temperature is 80.4°C, the delta H is -307.7 J/g, and the maximum exothermic peak is 105.4°C in the E/H/U system. The onset temperature is 80.9°C, the delta H is -313.3 J/g, and the maximum exothermic peak is 107.2°C in the U/H system. These results show that adding PU to the system reduces the onset temperature and increases the delta H area and maximum exothermic peak. This is possibly because the polymerization temperature of PU is lower than that of the epoxy resin/imidazole system, thereby reducing the onset temperature. The increase of the delta H area results from the addition of PU polymerization. The peculiar phenomenon of an increasing maximum exothermic peak may be caused by the faster polymerization reaction of PU compared to that of the epoxy resin/imidazole system. Because PU embeds a portion of imidazole during polymerization to fabricate microcapsules, when the temperature is increased to the onset point of the epoxy resin/imidazole system, the imidazole embedded in PU does not react with epoxy resin until the PU reaches its softening point. Thus, the maximum exothermic temperature increases.

Figures 9-11 shows DSC thermostatic graphs of differing processing procedures at various temperatures. According to the maximum exothermic peak temperature in Figure 8, several temperature points
were identified as fixed temperatures. Figure 9 is a DSC thermostatic graph of L128E/PN-23 blended at various temperatures, where each curve possesses one reaction exothermic peak. A higher fixed temperature results in a shorter reaction time and vice versa. Figure 10 is the DSC thermostatic graph of L128E/PN-23/PU blended at various temperatures, where each curve possesses only one reaction exothermic peak, similar to that of Figure 9.

Figure 11 is the DSC thermostatic graph of PU/PN-23/L128E blended at various temperatures, where two reaction exothermic peaks occur on the curve with higher temperatures. The reaction time also increases compared to that shown in Figures 9 and 10. Thus, this study infers that the reaction between imidazole and epoxy resin is delayed because of the imidazole embedded in PU. When the temperature is fixed at 105°C, a shoulder appears before the maximum exothermic temperature. When the temperature is fixed at 110°C, the original shoulder of 105°C becomes the maximum exothermic temperature, whereas the maximum exothermic temperature of 105°C declines.

Based on this situation, we infer that although PU forms a protective layer by embedding imidazole, PU continuously releases the hardener during polymerization, thereby generating the shoulder. Using the maximum exothermic peak points of Figures 9-11 as the gel time, Figure 12 shows the relationship between the logarithmic gel time ($t$) and the absolute temperature scale ($K/1000$).

Figure 12 displays the influence that varying processing procedures have on reaction rates. The results shown in Figure 12 indicate that higher temperatures and shorter reaction times exist between the hardener and epoxy resin under differing processing procedures and conditions. As shown in Figure 12, the reaction rates of the E/H system and the E/H/U system are extremely similar, whereas the U/H/E system provides a reaction rate that differs significantly from that of the other two systems. This is because the E/H/U system blends epoxy resin and imidazole before adding PU. Thus, the amount of imidazole embedded in PU is limited, reducing the likelihood of fabricating microcapsules.
By contrast, the U/H/E system blends PU and imidazole before adding epoxy resin; thus, additional imidazole is embedded in PU, resulting in a higher likelihood of fabricating microcapsules compared to the E/H/U system. Therefore, the reaction rate of the U/H/E system differs. Moreover, the slope of the reaction rate of the same hardener should be almost identical. However, the reaction rate slope of the U/H/E system does not correspond with that of the E/H/U systems and M3 [11]. Two possible reasons for this phenomenon may exist. (1) The PU polymerization involvement influences the reaction rate between epoxy resin and imidazole. However, according to the FTIR spectra of Figures 3 and 6, PU does not participate in the reaction of epoxy resin with imidazole; thus, this reason can be eliminated. (2) Microcapsules of PU-coated imidazole react with epoxy resin after blending. Incomplete coating of the microcapsule results in imidazole release at lower temperatures, thereby reducing the reaction rate. Thus, subsequent experiments have preprocessed (prehardened) PU to improve the coating and release mechanisms, and examined the influence that increasing hardeners has on the physical property of the hardener [12].

Analysis and determination of the influence that differing processing methods have on reaction rates

Figure 13 is a DSC graph of preprocessed PU/20 phr PN-23 blended at 50°C for differing durations before being mixed with L128E, where the onset temperature of PU without preprocessing and that with preprocessing at 50°C for 5 min differ significantly. The onset temperature increases from 72.5°C (without preprocessing) to 78.1°C (50°C for 5 min), 80.6°C (50°C for 10 min), and 76.8°C (50°C for 20 min), and the maximum exothermic peak increases from 107.2°C (without preprocessing) to approximately 112.2°C. These results indicate that PU prehardening influences the release status. In addition, the optimal prehardening condition is 50°C for 10 min.

Figure 14 is a peeling strength graph of preprocessed PU/20 phr PN-23 blended at 50°C for differing duration before being mixed and cured with L128E, where the E+H system possesses an extremely weak peeling strength on the aluminum plate. This may result from the reaction of epoxy resin with imidazole reducing the adhesion of epoxy resin to solids. During the reaction of epoxy resin with imidazole, imidazole induces the ring opening of the epoxy group, fabricating the R-O-R ester group, rather than the –OH group, which is the most crucial group providing adhesion in epoxy resin. Thus, adhesion decreases, as shown in Figure 5. The addition of the PU system prevents imidazole from reacting with epoxy resin before the softening point of PU is reached because of the PU coating. This is employed because imidazole reacts with epoxy resin extremely rapidly at high temperatures, resulting in lower polymerization levels and greater –OH group retention.

Regarding the hardener content changes, the peeling strength of 20 and 30 phr H is stronger than that of pure PU. When the hardener content is increased to 40 phr, the peeling strength declines. This phenomenon may be caused by the following two reasons: (1) Chemical reaction: excessive imidazole is involved in the reaction, resulting in lower –OH content, thereby reducing adhesion. (2) Physical aggregation: because imidazole aggregation induces an uneven coating, certain parts cannot be effectively bridged with epoxy resin after hardening, thereby reducing the peeling strength.

Conclusion

The DSC analysis results indicate that differing processing
procedures influence the latent hardening behavior and hardener embedding and release behaviors. This shows that pre-processing under varying conditions influences the latent hardening behavior and hardener embedding and release behaviors.

The optimal condition for preparing microcapsules through pre-polymerization is 50°C for 10 min. The peeling test results showed that the peeling strength of systems combined with PU was significantly higher than that of system without the addition of PU.
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