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Abstract

In this paper, the performance of UV/PS/TiO$_2$ and UV/PI/TiO$_2$ as hybrid AOPs for degradation of paraquat (PQ) herbicide in aqueous solution has been studied. The effect of several factors such as UV irradiation, initial oxidant concentration, nano-TiO$_2$ (TiO$_2$NPs) dosage, and pH on the degradation efficiency was investigated. Process optimization was performed by Central Composite Design (CCD) and response surface methodology (RSM) for 30 mgL$^{-1}$ of herbicide at 25 °C and 40 min. Based on the results, for UV/PS/TiO$_2$ process a degradation efficiency of 83% was obtained in the optimum condition of initial PS concentration of 400 mgL$^{-1}$, initial TiO$_2$NPs concentration of 150 mgL$^{-1}$, and pH=6.3. Also for UV/PI/TiO$_2$ process, 87% degradation efficiency was achieved in the optimum condition of initial PI concentration of 88 mgL$^{-1}$, initial TiO$_2$NPs dosage of 125.5 mgL$^{-1}$, and pH of 7.5. Mineralization efficiency of the PQ solution by using PS and PI were about 47.5% and 57%, respectively after 80 min. Kinetic studies showed that both process follow pseudo-first-order
kinetic model and their kinetic constants were 0.0299 min\(^{-1}\) for PS process and 0.0604 min\(^{-1}\) for PI process. Electrical energy consumption was estimated about 481.60 kWh/m\(^3\) for PS process and 238.41 kWh/m\(^3\) for PI process.
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**1. Introduction**

Paraquat is a nonselective contact herbicide to control or suppress a broad spectrum of emerged weeds. It is the most toxic herbicide, the third most widely used herbicide in the world (Bromilow, 2004). The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), classified paraquat dichloride as a restricted use pesticide due to high acute toxicity to animals and people from intentional or inadvertent exposure with the acute oral toxicity of 4,4-bipyridyl with an LD\(_{50}\) value of 40-200 mg/kg body weight. It has life-threatening effects on the gastrointestinal tract, kidney, liver, heart and other organs (Agency U.S.E.P, 1997; Watts, 2011).

In recent years, AOPs intensively studied as the most environmental friendly and promising techniques for the degradation of recalcitrant organic pollutants in water by powerful oxidants especially hydroxyl radicals or superoxide radicals Barceló et al. 2004, Özkara, et al., 2016; Chu and Rao, 2012, Moro et al. 2013; Ameta, 2018; Kumar et al., 2017; Goel and Seepana, 2016; Miklos, 2018).

Heterogeneous photocatalytic degradation in presence of nanostructure catalysts attained good efficiencies in degradation of organic compounds among the various AOPs (Sillanpää and Matilainen, 2010; Znad et al, 2018). Heterogeneous photo-catalysis involves the use of a suspension of semiconductor powder (usually transition metal oxides) as a catalyst for AOPs.
The process is photo-induced and requires irradiation for the activation of the catalyst (Hodges et al., 2018; Medynska, 2018; Moshe et al. 2009; Atalay and Ersöz, 2016). For example, metal-oxide nanoparticles of TiO$_2$ have been extensively utilized in photocatalytic oxidation processes, due to high photocatalytic activity and nontoxic properties (Wang, 2016; Jain and Vaya, 2017; Razali et al., 2013; Sillanp, 2018). In the its photocatalytic activity process photo electrons in the conduction band and highly oxidative holes in the valence band are produced where a reaction occurs with the adsorbed water (i.e. surface hydroxyl) to form the highly reactive hydroxyl radicals according eq. 1 (Binas, 2017).

\[ \text{TiO}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow e^- + h^+ \]  

Thus, heterogeneous photo-catalysis technique considers particularly due to its ability to completely oxidize many organic compounds without the formation of hazardous by products (Zhu and Wang, 2017; Aramyan and Moussavi, 2017, Gupta, 2012).

Inorganic oxidants such as ClO$_5^-$, BrO$_3^-$, H$_2$O$_2$, S$_2$O$_8^{2-}$, and IO$_4^-$ used for removal and mineralization of various organic pollutants from aqueous solutions due to the synergistic effect in generated different highly reactive radicals in Hybridizing photo-oxidation process of various inorganic oxidants and be having better results in comparison to the individual processes Eskandarloo et al., 2015; Wang and Hong, 1999; Elddine, 2015; Jafarinejad, 2017, Ali and Hassan, 2008. They can activate by ultrasonic waves, heating, UV irradiation and also it can chemically activate using Fe$^0$, Fe$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, etc Sharma et al., 2015; Sahoo, 2013.

Undergoing photolysis or thermolysis in aqueous solution, S$_2$O$_8^{2-}$ decomposes to generate the reactive radicals (eq. 2-4) (Cao, 2010; Chia et al, 2004).

\[ \text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} + \text{UV} (< 270 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow 2\text{SO}_4^- \]  
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\[ 2\text{SO}_4^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{HO}^- + \text{H}^+ \text{ (at all pHs)} \] (3)

\[ 2\text{SO}_4^- + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{HO}^- + \text{H}^+ \text{ (at all pHs)} \] (4)

Periodate as an inorganic oxidant can oxidize a wide range of organic compounds quickly due to generate highly reactive radicals and non-radical intermediates under photolysis in aqueous solution (eq. 5-12) (Lia, 2016; Cantavenera, 2007).

\[ \text{IO}_4^- + h\nu \rightarrow \text{IO}_3^- + \text{O}^- \] (5)

\[ \text{O}^- + \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{OH}^- \] (6)

\[ \text{OH}^- + \text{IO}_4^- \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{IO}_4^- \] (7)

\[ 2\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \] (8)

\[ 2\text{IO}_4^- \rightleftharpoons \text{I}_2\text{O}_8 \] (9)

\[ \text{I}_2\text{O}_8 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{IO}_3^- + \text{IO}_4^- + 2\text{H}^+ + \text{O}_2 \] (10)

\[ 2\text{IO}_3^- \rightleftharpoons \text{I}_2\text{O}_6 \] (11)

\[ \text{I}_2\text{O}_6 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{IO}_3^- + \text{IO}_4^- + 2\text{H}^+ \] (12)

The aim of this work is comparative study of the performance of UV/PS/TiO$_2$ and UV/PI/TiO$_2$ as hybrid AOPs on removal of paraquat herbicide from aqueous solution. The process was modeled and optimized by response surface methodology (RSM). Also, kinetic and electrical cost estimation has been assessed.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and instruments

Standard solution of Paraquat (PQ, 42%) with chemical name of 1,1-dimethyl-4,4-bipyridinium dichloride that its molecular structure is shown in supplementary Fig. 1, was prepared from Golsam chemicals Company. Anatase TiO$_2$ Nano-powder (Purity: >99%,...
APS: 10-25 nm, SSA: 200-240 m²/g, Color: white) was purchased from US Research company as photo-catalysis that TEM and XRD analyses results are shown in supplementary Fig. 2 and 3. Sodium periodate (PI) and potassium persulfate (PS) were used as oxidant. Hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide were used to adjust solution pH and in all of the experiments deionized water was utilized to prepare solutions. All the chemicals were Merck and Fluka products.

Concentration of the PQ was measured by an UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Double beam Rally UV-2601). Total organic carbon (TOC) analysis was carried out by a multi N/C 3100 (Germany) instrument.

2.2 Photochemical reactor

An UVC lamp (Philips, 150 W and λ_max = 254 nm) was used as light source and fixed into quartz tube and located in the center of the reactor. A cylindrical pyrex container with volume of 500 mL which was equipped with a cooling jacket to control the temperature was used as reactor vessel. The reactor content was stirred by magnetic stirrer. The photo-reactor schematic is shows in Fig. 4.
2.3. Procedure

In each run of the process, 400 mL of the PQ solution with desired initial concentration and pH value was transferred into the reactor. A certain amount of the TiO$_2$ Nano-powder and inorganic oxidant were added, and after well mixing, the UV lamp was switched on to initiate the process. Samples at regular time intervals were withdrawn and degradation studies were carried out by measuring the absorbance at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 258$ that corresponds to C=C bands in pyridinium ring with the help of a UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Fig. 5) (Sahoo, 2012). Also, mineralization study was carried out by measuring the TOC of the samples (supplementary Fig. 6).

The percentages of degradation and mineralization are calculated according to the following equations:
Degradation % = $\frac{C_0 - C_i}{C_0} \times 100\%$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

Where, $C_0$ and $C_i$ are the concentration of the PQ before and after treatment.

Mineralization % = $\frac{\text{TOC}_{\text{initial}} - \text{TOC}_t}{\text{TOC}_{\text{initial}}} \times 100\%$ \hspace{1cm} (14)

Where, $\text{TOC}_t$ is the TOC at time ‘t’ (Sahoo, 2012).

![UV spectrum of PQ = 3 ppm](image)

**Fig. 5: UV spectrum of [PQ] = 3 ppm**

**2.4. Design of the experiments**

The effect of various experimental parameters on the processes degradation efficiency and their optimum values were studied using Central Composite Design (CCD) as one of important tools of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) (Montgomery, 2009). RSM is one useful mathematical and statistical method for analyzing the relation between several independent variables (Beher et al., 2018).
CCD is used to optimize the values of significant variables and obtaining the best quantitative response. Also, it reduces the effect of uncontrolled variables by the experiments are randomly examined (Vahidian et al., 2016).

The total number of experiments (N) can be determined as follow (Martins, 2017): (Raissi and Farsani, 2009)

\[
N = 2^k + 2k + N_0
\]  

(15)

where \(k\), \(2^k\), \(2k\) and \(N_0\) are the number of factors, the terms of cubic points, axial points, and center points, respectively.

So, CCD is able to model and optimize related operational factors of the AOPs and can specify the possible interaction between them (Martins, 2017).

In this study, the three important factors, i.e. initial pH, TiO\(_2\) nanoparticle dosage, and inorganic oxidant concentration were optimized based on obtained degradation efficiency (DE) of the PQ as the response via the CCD method.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Experimental design

In order to design of the experiments, the effective operational parameters such as persulfate and periodate concentration, initial pH, and TiO\(_2\) dosage have been considered to optimize by DOE software. Next, by introducing the parameters and their levels to the DOE software, 20 tests were designed to be done for both processes. The tests were performed and their correlated DE was calculated and introduced to the software as a response. The range of variables companion with designed experiments for UV/PS/TiO\(_2\) and UV/PI/TiO\(_2\) processes have been shown in table 1 and 2 respectively.
Table 1: The range of variables and the designed experiments as well as corresponding responses for PS process (\([PQ]=30 \text{ mg L}^{-1}, T=25 \degree \text{C}\))

| Variables           | Range and level | \(-\alpha\) | \(-1\) | 0      | +1 | +\(\alpha\) |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|--------|----|---------|
| A: \(\text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-}\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | 64.77 150 275 400 485.22 | 64.77      | 150    | 275    | 400| 485.22  |
| B: pH              | 2.29 4 6.5 9 10.70 | 2.29       | 4      | 6.5    | 9  | 10.70   |
| C: \(\text{TiO}_2\) (mg L\(^{-1}\))     | 15.91 50 100 150 184.09 | 15.91      | 50     | 100    | 150| 184.09  |

Design Matrix

| Runs | The factors | DE (%) |
|------|-------------|--------|
|      | \(\text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-}\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | pH | \(\text{TiO}_2\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | (exp.) | (pred.) |
| 1    | 150.0 | 4.0 | 50.0 | 44.8 | 43.8 |
| 2    | 275.0 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 64.0 | 62.4 |
| 3    | 150.0 | 9.0 | 150.0 | 53.3 | 51.7 |
| 4    | 275.0 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 62.7 | 62.4 |
| 5    | 400.0 | 9.0 | 50.0 | 72.0 | 72.4 |
| 6    | 275.0 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 63.5 | 62.4 |
| 7    | 485.2 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 83.2 | 84.5 |
| 8    | 150.0 | 4.0 | 150.0 | 49.3 | 46.5 |
| 9    | 150.0 | 9.0 | 50.0 | 47.2 | 49.0 |
| 10   | 275.0 | 10.7 | 100.0 | 61.9 | 60.0 |
| 11   | 275.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 53.1 | 56.0 |
| 12   | 64.80 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 36.5 | 40.4 |
| 13   | 275.0 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 62.7 | 62.4 |
| 14   | 275.0 | 6.5 | 184.1 | 62.4 | 64.7 |
| 15   | 275.0 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 64.0 | 62.4 |
| 16   | 400.0 | 9.0 | 150.0 | 73.1 | 75.1 |
| 17   | 400.0 | 4.0 | 50.0 | 73.1 | 72.9 |
| 18   | 275.0 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 62.7 | 62.4 |
| 19   | 275.0 | 6.5 | 15.9 | 60.8 | 60.2 |
| 20   | 400.0 | 4.0 | 150.0 | 77.1 | 75.6 |
Table 2: The range of variables and the designed experiments as well as corresponding responses for PI process ([PQ]=30 mgL$^{-1}$, T=25 °C)

| Variables* | Range and level | Design Matrix |
|------------|----------------|---------------|
| A: IO$_4^-$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | $-\alpha$ | -1 | 0 | +1 | +$\alpha$ | DE (%) |
| 110.45 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 9.54 | 76.61 | 74.62 |
| B: pH | 4 | 6.5 | 9 | 10.70 | 69.90 | 70.07 |
| C: TiO$_2$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | 50 | 100 | 150 | 184.09 | 86.56 | 87.71 |

| Runs | The factors | DE (%) |
|------|-------------|--------|
| 1    | IO$_4^-$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | pH (mg L$^{-1}$) | (exp.) | (pred.) |
| 1    | 90.00 | 9.00 | 50.00 | 74.62 |
| 2    | 60.00 | 6.50 | 100.00 | 70.07 |
| 3    | 110.45 | 6.50 | 100.00 | 87.71 |
| 4    | 30.00 | 9.00 | 150.00 | 52.70 |
| 5    | 60.00 | 6.50 | 184.09 | 80.32 |
| 6    | 60.00 | 2.29 | 100.00 | 35.98 |
| 7    | 60.00 | 6.50 | 100.00 | 70.07 |
| 8    | 60.00 | 10.70 | 100.00 | 62.64 |
| 9    | 90.00 | 4.00 | 50.00 | 62.64 |
| 10   | 60.00 | 6.50 | 15.91 | 59.81 |
| 11   | 30.00 | 4.00 | 150.00 | 40.72 |
| 12   | 30.00 | 4.00 | 50.00 | 28.52 |
| 13   | 9.54 | 6.50 | 100.00 | 30.33 |
| 14   | 60.00 | 6.50 | 100.00 | 70.07 |
| 15   | 90.00 | 4.00 | 150.00 | 74.84 |
| 16   | 60.00 | 6.50 | 100.00 | 70.07 |
| 17   | 60.00 | 6.50 | 100.00 | 70.07 |
| 18   | 60.00 | 6.50 | 100.00 | 70.07 |
| 19   | 30.00 | 9.00 | 50.00 | 40.50 |
| 20   | 90.00 | 9.00 | 150.00 | 86.82 |
After regression analysis of the data, a second order polynomial equation was suggested by software to predict the response of the processes of UV/PS/TiO$_2$ and UV/PI/TiO$_2$.

The significance of the model and its terms was evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) such that p-values less than 0.05 and greater than 0.10 indicate the model terms are significant and not significant, respectively. The terms of TiO$_2$NPs, pH$^2$, PS, PS $\times$ pH in PS process, and the terms of PI$^2$, pH$^2$, TiO$_2$NPs in PI process, were significant. The ANOVA output for the reduced quadratic models (eq. 16 and 17) is demonstrated in table 3 and 4 for UV/PS/TiO$_2$ and UV/PI/TiO$_2$ processes, respectively. The Model F-value of 110.37 and the p-value $< 0.0001$ for UV/PS/TiO$_2$ process and F-value of 41.12 and the p-value $< 0.0001$ for UV/PI/TiO$_2$ process imply that the models are significant.

% DE = 9.01321 + 0.13468 PS + 4.99923 pH + 0.02698 TiO$_2$NPs – 0.00459 PS $\times$ pH – 0.25118 pH$^2$  

(16)

% DE = –64.83410 + 1.08949 PI + 20.05376 pH + 0.12196 TiO$_2$NPs – 0.00434 PI$^2$ – 1.35832 pH$^2$  

(17)

Table 3. Analysis of variance for the response surface reduced quadratic model for PS process

| Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-Value | p-value Prob > F |
|--------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|-----------------|
| Model  | 2443.11        | 5  | 488.62      | 110.37  | < 0.0001        |
| A- S$_2$O$_3$ | 2346.59  | 1  | 2346.59     | 530.06  | < 0.0001        |
| B-pH   | 19.06          | 1  | 19.06       | 4.30    | 0.0569          |
| C-TiO$_2$ | 24.86      | 1  | 24.86       | 5.61    | 0.0327          |
| AB     | 16.44          | 1  | 16.44       | 3.71    | 0.0746          |
| B$^2$  | 36.17          | 1  | 36.17       | 8.17    | 0.0126          |
| Residual | 61.98      | 14 | 4.43        |         |                 |
The "Pred R-Squared" of 0.93 and "Adj R-Squared" of 0.97 represent that the model predicts the response as well, and the "Adeq Precision" of 38.26 indicates an adequate signal to noise (a ratio greater than 4 is desirable). The R² of 0.97 implies that the model can predict the UV/PS/TiO₂ process performance. Also, in the case of UV/PI/TiO₂ process, the "Pred R-Squared" and "Adj R-Squared", and “Adeq Precision” were 0.78, 0.91, and 19.73 respectively. The R² of 0.94 implies that the model can predict the UV/PI/TiO₂ process performance. The adequacy of the models was graphically evaluated and approved by diagnostic plots (supplementary Fig. 7a-b).

3.2. Effect of operational parameters and process optimization

The effect of operating factors on the process was assessed by three dimensional surface graphs. Figs. 8 and 9 show variation of the the degradation efficiency as a function of the initial pH and dose of TiO₂NPs and oxidant dosage (PS and PI), while the PQ initial
concentration is 30 mgL\(^{-1}\) at all tests. Fig. 8 shows the PI process efficiency at neutral condition is more than the alkaline and acidic conditions and it decreases at acidic conditions intensitly, while activity of PS independent from pH variations approximately. Fig. 9 shows the degradation efficiency is increased by increasing the PI, PS and TiO\(_2\)NPs concentrations for both processes, in constant pH. This increasing is very intensive for PI due to production of more radicals (eqs. 5-12). So, it is a stronger and more active oxidizer.

Fig. 8: Response surface graphs of the variation of the DE versus: (a) the initial pH and the PS amount (mgL\(^{-1}\)), (b) initial pH and PI amount (mgL\(^{-1}\)) ([PQ] = 30 mgL\(^{-1}\) and \(T = 25 \, ^\circ\text{C}\))
The operation parameters were optimized numerically based on the proposed reduced models (eqs. 16 and 17) using related numerical facilities of the applied software. To this aim, goals of the three variables and the model response were set at “in the range” and “maximizing” respectively. Desirability ramps for the numerical optimization of the UV/PS/TiO$_2$ and UV/PI/TiO$_2$ processes have been shown in supplementary Fig. 10 and 11. For PS process in the optimum condition of [PS]= 400 mgL$^{-1}$, [TiO$_2$NPs]=150 mgL$^{-1}$ and [pH]$_{in}$=6.3 the predicted DE is about 77%. Also, DE for PI process is about 87% in the optimum condition of [PI]= 88 mgL$^{-1}$, [TiO$_2$NPs]=125 mgL$^{-1}$ and [pH]$_{in}$=7.5. To assess accuracy of the model prediction, under the supposed values of the parameters, the photocatalytic degradation efficiency empirically reached to 90% (i.e. 3% error) for PI process and 83% (i.e. 6% error) for PS process.

3.3. The process details and kinetic study

The degradation kinetic of the PQ was tested separately under their optimum conditions for both processes. The linear relationship between the investigated results for both the PS
and PI processes shows that they follow the first-order kinetics which the fitting is shown in Fig. 12. Plotting variation of the logarithmic concentration ratio versus the irradiation time forms a straight line with a slope equal to the $k_{app}$. Kinetic constant was 0.0299 min$^{-1}$ for PS process and 0.0604 min$^{-1}$ for PI process.

**Fig. 12:** linear fitting of first order kinetic model for the PQ degradation (PQ= 30 mg L$^{-1}$ and $T = 25^\circ$C) a) PS and b) PI process
3.4. Mineralization

Mineralization is a process of the complete oxidative degradation of organics and relevant intermediates to CO$_2$, H$_2$O and other mineral oxides (Saïen, 2017; Marien, 2016). For detection of reduction in the PQ concentration, the UV-Vis spectrophotometry analysis is used, and to investigate the mineralization, total organic carbon (TOC) test should be used. This test was performed on the treated PQ solution by the photocatalytic process under the found optimum conditions. The TOC results showed that the photocatalytic process of UV/PS/TiO$_2$ and UV/PI/TiO$_2$ are able to mineralize the PQ solution about 32 % and 55 % respectively after 40 min.

3.5. Electrical energy consumption

The electrical energy consumption (EEC) is one of the important criteria in the photochemical process. The figure-of-merit is the electrical energy per order, defined as the number of KWh of electrical energy required for reducing the concentration of a pollutant by 1 order of magnitude (i.e. 90% degradation), in 1 m$^3$ of contaminated water, and can be calculated as (Bolton, 2001):

$$\text{EEC} = \frac{1000Pt}{60 \log_{10}\left(\frac{[\text{PQ}]_0}{[\text{PQ}]_t}\right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where $P$ is the electrical power (kW) of the light source in the photochemical system, $V$ is the volume (L) of the treated solution, and $t$ is the time of irradiation (min). According to the first-order kinetic for the photocatalytic process, the constant ratio of log $([\text{PQ}]_0/\text{[PQ]})/t$ represents the rate constant, $k$ (in unit of min$^{-1}$), and therefore, eq.18 can be rewritten as:

$$\text{EEC} = \frac{38.4 \times P}{V \times k}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)
Hence, under the optimum conditions of the photocatalytic PS and PI processes and considering to the rate constant of 0.0299 min\(^{-1}\) for PS process and 0.0604 min\(^{-1}\) for PI process, 150 W light source and 0.4 liter of treated PQ solution, the EEC is calculated as 481.60 kWh/m\(^3\) for PS process and 238.41 kWh/m\(^3\) for PI process after 60 min.

4. Conclusion

In this study a photocatalytic process using TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles (TiO\(_2\)NPs), persulfate (PS) and periodate (PI) oxidizers was applied to degrade of the paraquat (PQ) molecules as a high toxic herbicide. The experiments were designed based on CCD method and also, the processes were modeled. Concisely, the following outstanding information can be briefly stated from this study:

- A reduced second order equation could model the photocatalytic degradation efficiency as a function of the initial pH, the PQ, PS, and PI concentration, and the TiO\(_2\)NPs dosage.
- The operating parameters were optimized based on the models as: the initial pH = 6.3, [PS] = 400 mg/L, and [TiO\(_2\)NPs] = 150 mg/L for PS process and the initial pH = 7.5, [PI] = 88 mg/L, and [TiO\(_2\)NPs] = 125 mg/L for PI process were obtained. Under the conditions, the models predicted efficiency about 77% for UV/PS/TiO\(_2\) and 87% for UV/PI/TiO\(_2\) which they were confirmed empirically with only 6 and 3% error.
- The photocatalytic PQ degradation for both processes was well fitted by a pseudo first order kinetic model with rate constant of 0.0299 min\(^{-1}\) for UV/PS/TiO\(_2\) process and 0.0604 min\(^{-1}\) for UV/PI/TiO\(_2\) process.
- Under the optimum conditions, the PQ molecules were mineralized about 47.5 and 57% after 80 min for UV/PS/TiO\(_2\) and UV/PI/TiO\(_2\) processes, respectively.
The electrical energy consumption for the photocatalytic process performance at the optimum condition after 60 min were calculated as 481.60 kWh/m³ for UV/PS/TiO₂ process and 238.41 kWh/m³ for UV/PI/TiO₂ process.

Based on the obtained results, periodate is a stronger, more active, and economical oxidizer than persulfate.
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