Combined Aerobic and Resistance Exercise in Walking Performance of Patients With Intermittent Claudication: Systematic Review
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Background: The short-term benefits of aerobic and resistance exercise in subjects affected by Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD) are scarcely examined in interaction. This study aimed to identify the effects of combined aerobic and resistance exercise programs on walking performance compared with isolated aerobic exercise or with the usual care in patients with intermittent claudication.

Methods: A systematic review was conducted following the PRISMA statement. A total of five electronic databases were searched (until October 2019) for randomized and non-randomized controlled trials. The focus comprised PAD patients with intermittent claudication who performed a combined aerobic and resistance exercise program that assessed the walking performance.

Results: Seven studies include combined aerobic and resistance exercise vs. isolated aerobic or vs. usual care. The studies represented a sample size of 337 participants. The follow-up ranged from 4 to 12 weeks, 2 to 5 times-per-week. The risk of bias in the trials was deemed moderate-to-high risk. After the interventions, the percent change in walking performance outcomes had a large variation. Combined exercise and isolated aerobic exercise improved the claudication onset distance from 11 to 396%, the absolute claudication distance from 81 to 197%, and the maximal walking distance around 23 and 10%, respectively.

Conclusions: Currently, there is insufficient evidence about the effects of combined aerobic and resistance exercise compared to isolated aerobic exercise or usual care on walking performance. However, despite the low quality of evidence, the combined aerobic and resistance exercise seems to be an effective strategy to
INTRODUCTION

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is an occlusive atherosclerotic disease that affects blood vessels, reducing the blood flow of the lower limbs (Vascular Disease Foundation, 2012). The most common symptom is intermittent claudication (IC) affecting millions of people around the world (Gardner et al., 2014). The discomfort associated with IC contributes to a further sedentary lifestyle, that decreases physical fitness, and aggravates cardiovascular risk factors (Winzer et al., 2018). Among patients with IC, a shorter distance and a lower speed walking were associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular and all-cause of mortality (Morris et al., 2014; McDermott et al., 2016), which confirms the importance of an adequate level of physical fitness in this population (Leeper et al., 2013). Indeed, as PAD severity increases, the walking performance progressively decreases (Silva Rde et al., 2015).

Thereby, the promotion of exercise training in patients with PAD and IC is an important non-pharmacological strategy to treat and prevent this disease, which provides favorable systemic effects that may reduce cardiovascular events and improve blood perfusion in PAD (McDermott et al., 2009). Supervised exercise programs have a higher efficacy in improving the physical fitness in particular the walking performance (i.e., claudication onset distance [COD], absolute claudication distance [ACD] or maximal walking distance [MWD]) and the symptomatology of this disease when compared to unsupervised exercise programs (McDermott et al., 2009; Mays and Regenstein, 2013; McDermott, 2013). However, a recent meta-analysis found that structured home-based exercise programs are effective at improving walking performance in patients with PAD (Golledge et al., 2019). According to guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of PAD by American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology (AHA/ACC) and European Society of Cardiology/European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESC/ESVS), patients should realize a supervised exercise walking program for a minimum of 30/45 min per session, performed at least 3 times per week for a minimum of 12 weeks (Gerhard-Herman et al., 2017; Aboyans et al., 2018). However, to reduce cardiovascular risk factors and avoid premature mortality, older adults should perform aerobic exercise and also do muscle-strengthening exercises (Pieryc et al., 2018; Kraus et al., 2019). A meta-analysis shows that resistance training clinically improved treadmill and flat ground walking performance in patients with PAD (Parmenter et al., 2019). However, in the available literature, the benefits of aerobic and resistance exercises in peripheral arterial disease are commonly studied alone. The combination of these two modes is more effective in the chronic modification of cardiovascular risk factors in healthy elderly men (Sousa et al., 2013), improving quality of life and lipid profiles in patients with coronary disease (Currie et al., 2015) and improving central arterial stiffness and cardiac function in patients with cardiovascular disease (Zhang et al., 2018).

Therefore, there is a clear lack of evidence about the effects of the combined exercise programs to prevent IC progression and PAD treatment. The purpose of this study was to compare the effects of combined aerobic and resistance exercise with another mode of exercise or with the usual care approach on walking performance outcomes.

METHODS

Eligibility Criteria

This review included randomized controlled trials and non-randomized controlled trials that met the following criteria: (i) contained only patients with PAD and IC; (ii) employed a combined aerobic and resistance exercise program for the treatment of IC compared to another mode of exercise program or to the usual care approach (a non-exercising control group was deemed not essential for these analyses); and (iii) contained measurements of walking performance, such as, COD, ACD, and MWD, assessed with a treadmill protocol or with the 6 min walking test (6 MWT).

The exclusion criteria for the trials were: (i) the treadmill-walking and usual care groups were prescribed medications or surgical interventions for treatment of symptoms of PAD, and the exercise/treatment group was not; (ii) only acute exercise studies (or single exercise sessions); (iii) review articles; (iv) systematic reviews; and (v) case reports.

Information Sources

Due to the lower overall sample size and the heterogeneity of protocols used, a systematic review was conducted without meta-analysis. Studies were identified by searching electronic databases and hand-searched reference lists of articles, to evaluate the effects of combined aerobic and resistance exercise programs and isolated aerobic exercise or usual care, on walking performance in patients with PAD and IC. Searches were limited to the English language, and no limits were applied to publication dates. This search was applied to Medline (Pubmed), Web of Science, B-On,
Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), and LILACS. The last search was run on 30 October 2019.

**Search Strategy**

The following keywords and Boolean operators to search all trials registers and databases were used: (((“peripheral arterial disease”) OR (“peripheral artery disease”) OR (“arterial occlusive disease”) OR (“arterial occlusive diseases”) OR (“arterial obstructive disease”) OR (“peripheral vascular disease”) OR (“peripheral angiopathy”) OR (“claudication”) OR (“claudicant”) OR (“claudicants”)) AND (“aerobic and resistance”) OR (“aerobic and strength”)) OR (“aerobic and strengthening”) OR (“circuit exercise”) OR (“circuit exercises”) OR (“circuit program∗”) OR (“circuit training”) OR (“circuit trainings”) OR (“circuit-based”) OR (“combined aerobics”) OR (“combined endurance”) OR (“combined endurances”) OR (“combined exercise”) OR (“combined exercises”) OR (“combined muscle resistance”) OR (“combined muscle strengths”) OR (“combined muscle strengthening”) OR (“combined program∗”) OR (“combined resistance”) OR (“combined resistances”) OR (“combined strengths”) OR (“combined strengthening”) OR (“combined strengths”) OR (“combined training”) OR (“combined walk”) OR (“combined walking”) OR (“combined weight bearing”) OR (“combined weight-lifting”) OR (“concurrent aerobics”)

**FIGURE 1** | Flow diagram of the literature search according to items in the PRISMA guidelines.
OR (“concurrent endurance”) OR (“concurrent endurances”) OR (“concurrent exercise”) OR (“concurrent exercises”) OR (“concurrent muscle resistance”) OR (“concurrent muscle strength”) OR (“concurrent muscle strengthening”) OR (“concurrent program”) OR (“concurrent resistance”) OR (“concurrent resistances”) OR (“concurrent strength”) OR (“concurrent strengthening”) OR (“concurrent training”) OR (“concurrent walk”) OR (“concurrent walking”) OR (“concurrent weight bearing”) OR (“concurrent weight-lifting”) OR (“walking and resistance”) OR (“walking and strength”)).

Study Selection
Eligibility assessment was performed independently in an unblinded standardized manner by two reviewers (IM and CA). Disagreements between reviewers were resolved by consensus. All the work of revision was oriented according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) protocol (Liberati et al., 2009; Moher et al., 2009). For the PRISMA checklist see Supplementary Material.

Data Collection Process
One review author extracted the data from the included studies, and the second author checked the extracted data. Disagreements were resolved by discussion between the two review authors; if no agreement could be reached, it was planned a third author would decide (NS). We contacted two authors for further information, but just one answered and provided numerical data.

Data Items
The extracted outcomes data will be analyzed through a narrative synthesis. Information was extracted from each included trial on: (i) characteristics of participants (including age, gender, and stage of disease); (ii) intervention (including type, intensity, duration and week frequency of exercise) of the combined exercise program vs. the isolated aerobic exercise or vs. the usual care approach (encouraged to follow the exercise recommendations at home); (iii) walking protocol (treadmill graded protocol, treadmill constant protocol, and 6 MWT); and (iv) outcome measures (COD, ACD, MWD, and ABI).

The outcome results were reported as mean ± SD and were considered significant when p < 0.05 from the study statistical analyses. Since the treadmill-walking test was performed at a constant speed, there is a direct relationship between time and walking distance. To compare the results of the Hiatt et al. (1994) study, the data of claudication onset time and absolute claudication time originally presented in minutes was converted to seconds. The data related to COD and ACD were calculated since a constant-load exercise protocol was performed. The calculated distance results were considered statistically significant if the original results were also significant. Regarding the study by Tebbutt et al. (2011), the results were originally presented as a median, but for comparison purposes with the other studies, the COD and ACD mean values were estimated, after approval by the author. In the studies of Jakubseviciene et al. (2014) and Kropielnicka et al. (2018), since the data were presented in chart forms, the authors were contacted to provide the exact numerical data, to be able to compare with the other studies, however, they did not answer.

Quality Assessment
The methodological quality of each selected study (n = 7) used was the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) rating scale. In the PEDro scale, points are only awarded when a quality criterion is clearly satisfied. Any disputes were resolved by consensus, or by a third author (NS) when necessary.

RESULTS
Study Selection
A total of 1,865 potentially relevant articles were identified through the database search, and a total of 108 studies were discarded because were duplicated. A total of 1,426 articles were removed after reading titles and 281 after reviewing the abstracts since they clearly did not meet the inclusion criteria. The full text of the remaining 50 references was examined in detail, and 43 studies did not meet the inclusion criteria. Seven studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in this systematic review (Figure 1). No unpublished relevant studies were obtained.

A summary of eligible studies is shown in Table 1. The studies were implemented in four continents: four from Europe (Mosti et al., 2011; Tebbutt et al., 2011; Jakubseviciene et al., 2014; Kropielnicka et al., 2018), one from America (Hiatt et al., 1994), one from Africa (Parr et al., 2009), and one from Oceania (Delaney et al., 2014). One trial was not randomized (Mosti et al., 2011), and one used a pseudo-randomization method (Kropielnicka et al., 2018).

Participants
The total number of 337 participants were involved in the seven studies, 99 were men, 34 were female, and 204 were not reported. All patients had IC and ABI at rest ≤0.90 (except Hiatt et al., 1994 that have an ABI < 0.94 and Jakubseviciene et al., 2014 that didn’t report). A total of 337 participants, 169 of whom were allocated to the combined exercise group, 132 to the isolated aerobic exercise group, and 36 to the usual care group; 43 among them were excluded from statistical analysis across all studies. The mean age among participants ranged from 57 to 82 years, and the mean body mass index, when reported, was 28.0 ± 4.3 kg/m^2.

In the present systematic review, the majority of patients with PAD reported cardiovascular risk factors and other comorbidities conditions, at least 18% were current smokers, 15% of the patients had hypertension, 12% had hyperlipidemia, 7% had diabetes, 3% had coronary heart disease, 2% had a history of cerebrovascular disease, and 8% had other diseases (Table 2). These values are probably higher since we only had access to 45% of the sample characterization.

The combined aerobic and resistance exercise group was compared with the isolated aerobic exercise group in 4 studies and with the usual care approach in three studies.

Intervention
All trials have used combined aerobic and resistance exercise, however, there are some differences between the combined
TABLE 1 | Summary of eligible studies.

| Study/Participants | Pathology | Primary outcome | Intervention vs. comparative | Duration/ Frequency | Protocol | Training description/progression | Main Results |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Hiatt et al. (1994) | PAD       | COD             | Treadmill + Resistance      | 12 weeks            | GRAD     | CB: 50’ treadmill walking with work-rest-cycle (claudication after 3–5 min of exercise) AND 30’ of 3 Exercises, 3 sets, 6 reps (cuff weight) | Baseline and after 12 weeks with a GRAD |
|                    |           | ACD             | Training vs. Treadmill      |                     |          | CB: 165 ± 69 and 272 ± 208 m; +65 %Change | CB: 165 ± 69 and 272 ± 208 m; +65 %Change |
|                    |           | IC              |                              |                     |          | AER: 176 ± 107 and 357 ± 229 m (p < 0.05); +103 %Change | AER: 176 ± 107 and 357 ± 229 m (p < 0.05); +103 %Change |
|                    | N = 20    |                 |                              |                     |          | After 12 weeks with a GRAD | After 12 weeks with a GRAD |
|                    | (20 males) |                 |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | CB = 10   |                 |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | (82 ± 17 years) |             |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | AER = 10  |                 |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | (70 ± 12 years) |            |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
| Delaney et al. (2014) | ABI < 0.94 | COD             | Resistance                  | 12 weeks            | MWD      | CB: 5 exercises, 3 sets, 8–12 reps hamstring curls, seated calf press, leg press, knee extension, and hip abduction/adduction with minimum level of resistance. ↑ 5% resistance when achieve 12 reps/set AND walk on treadmill until the onset CI. Rest until pain solved. | Baseline and after 12 weeks with a MWD |
|                    |           | IC              | Training vs. Treadmill      |                     |          | CB: 170 ± 90 and 188 ± 127 m; +11 %Change | CB: 170 ± 90 and 188 ± 127 m; +11 %Change |
|                    | N = 35    |                 |                              |                     |          | AER: 170 ± 82 and 221 ± 97 m (p < 0.03); +30 %Change | AER: 170 ± 82 and 221 ± 97 m (p < 0.03); +30 %Change |
|                    | (26 Males) |                 |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | CB = 17   |                 |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | (69 ± 10 years) |             |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | AER = 18  |                 |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | (73 ± 9 years) |            |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
| Jakubseviciene et al. (2014) | ABI < 0.94 | COD             | Treadmill + Resistance      | 12 weeks            | MWD      | CB: 60’ session; 10’ warm-up, 40’ of treadmill, ergometers, steppers, chair climbing, jogging + resistance devices (maximum heart rate between 60 and 85%) and 10’ cool-down. | Baseline and after 12 weeks with a MWD |
|                    | PAD       | MWD             | Training vs. Treadmill      |                     |          | AER: 45’ session; 5–10’ warm-up (dynamic breathing and stretching exercises), 25–35’ treadmill exercise, track walking, stair climbing, and 5–10’ cool-down (static and dynamic breathing and stretching exercises) | Baseline and after 12 weeks with a MWD |
|                    | Stage II–III |                 |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | CB = 72   |                 |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | AE = 73   |                 |                              |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
| Fontaine et al. (2014) | ABI < 0.94 | COD             | Treadmill, ergometers,      | 4 weeks             | MWD      | CB: (data not shown) | Baseline and after 4 weeks with a MWD |
|                    | PAD       | MWD             | steppers, chair             |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | Stage II–III |                 | climbing, jogging +        |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | CB = 72   |                 | resistance training vs.     |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | AE = 73   |                 | Track walking,             |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | (69 ± 10 years) |             | stair climbing,             |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|                    | (73 ± 9 years) |            | treadmill                   |                     |          |                                                                 |                                                                 |

(Continued)
### TABLE 1 | Continued

| Study/Participants | Pathology | Primary outcome | Intervention vs. comparative | Duration/ Frequency | Protocol | Training description/progression | Main Results |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Kropielnicka et al. (2018) | PAD Stage II Fontaine ABI < 0.90 IC | COD | Nordic walking + Resistance | 12 weeks 3× | GRAD | CB: 60’ session; 10’ warm-up with the poles, 45° of Nordic walking (work-rest-cycle) 5’ of stretching and breathing exercises AND 70–80% of the maximum plantar and dorsal flexors of the ankle joint, or in patients with high arterial occlusion, training for flexors and extensors of the knee joint in both limbs was introduced. One exercise, 10 reps, angular velocity: 60, 120, 180, 240, and 300, 240, 180, 120, and 60% (according to the pyramid rule), 100 movements per limb. One minute rested after each velocity. AER: 45’ session; Constant treadmill walking (3.2 km/h, 12% grade) work-rest-cycle | COD (data not shown) Baseline and after 12 weeks with a GRAD CB: (N.S.) CP: (p < 0.05) ACD (data not shown) Baseline and after 12 weeks with a GRAD CB: (p < 0.05); CP: (p < 0.05); MWD (data not shown) Baseline and after 12 weeks with a 6MWT CB: (p < 0.05); CP: (p < 0.05); CB vs. CP (N.S) |

### COMBINED AEROBIC AND RESISTANCE EXERCISE VS. USUAL CARE

| Parr et al. (2009) | PAD | COD | Treadmill + 1. Resistance | 6 weeks 3× | GRAD | CB: 45’ session; 10–20 Treadmill with Work-rest-cycle ↑ weekly by increasing the walking speed (by 0.3 km/h) or the gradient (by 1%) AND + 1 ×/week 5’ cycled and 15’ resistance training (upper and lower body) 6 exercises; 15 reps floor exercises + 1 ×/week Floor exercises (step, aerobic or core stabilization); + 1 ×/week 15’ spin class And 5’ of stretching at the end. AER: 45’ session; Constant treadmill walking (3.2 km/h, 12% grade) work-rest-cycle | COD Baseline and after 6 weeks with a GRAD CB: 125 ± 167 and 255 ± 201 m; +104 %Change UC: 175 ± 123 and 175 ± 136 m; 0 %Change Baseline and after 6 weeks with a 6MWT CB: 121 ± 108 and 152 ± 109 m; +26 %Change UC: 192 ± 147 and 116 ± 75 m; −40 %Change After 6 weeks, CB improve COD compared to UC (p < 0.05). ACD Baseline and after 6 weeks with a GRAD CB: 290 ± 210 and 485 ± 224 m; +67 %Change UC: 460 ± 200 and 430 ± 151 m; −7 %Change After 6 weeks, CB improve ACD compared to UC (p < 0.05). MWD Baseline and after 6 weeks with a 6MWT CB: 368 ± 124 and 421 ± 128 m; +14 %Change UC: 415 ± 144 and 408 ± 125 m; −2 %Change ABI: Data not shown (NS) |
| Mosti et al. (2011) | PAD Stage II Fontaine ABI ≤ 0.90 IC | ACD | Plantar flexion + Resistance | 8 weeks 3× | GRAD | CB: 60’ session; 4-min work intervals (one leg each time) initial workload: 80% of maximal workload and flexion frequency 55–65 rpm AND horizontal dynamic leg, 4 sets, 5 reps (initial work load at 85–90% 1RM) ± 15 kg when they are able to complete all sets and reps. UC: Usual care (received exercise guidelines in accordance to recommendations to PAD). | COD Baseline and after 8 weeks with a GRAD CB: 1,099 ± 463 and 1,203 ± 451 m (p < 0.01); −10 %Change UC: 936 ± 353 and 928 ± 347 m; −1%Change After 8 weeks, CB improve ACD compared to UC (p < 0.01) |
### Outcomes

All studies evaluate walking performance, and some used more than one protocol. A total of five studies used a treadmill-walking protocol (Hiatt et al., 1994; Parr et al., 2009; Mosti et al., 2011; Tebbutt et al., 2011; Kropielnicka et al., 2018) and four studies used the 6 MWT (Parr et al., 2009; Delaney et al., 2014; Jakubseviciene et al., 2014; Kropielnicka et al., 2018). In treadmill walking-protocol, two studies used a constant protocol (Hiatt et al., 1994; Tebbutt et al., 2011) and four used a graded protocol (Hiatt et al., 1994; Parr et al., 2009; Mosti et al., 2011; Kropielnicka et al., 2018).

The COD was defined as the distance on the moment in which claudication pain starts; ACD was defined as the distance that had been walked until patients were unable to continue due to the increased intensity of claudication pain, and; MWD was defined as the total walking distance reached at the end of the protocol (work-rest-cycle sum). For example, a patient walked 270 m without pain (COD) and had to stop 950 m after starting due to IC (ACD). After the pain released, he resumed his walk, and at the end of the 30-min session had walked a total of 1,600 m (MWD).

### Studies Quality Assessment

The following criteria were used to classify the methodological quality: a score from PEDro equal or greater than 7 ($n = 1$) indicates a high methodological quality; scores between 5 and 6 ($n = 2$) indicate a moderate methodological quality; and scores below 5 ($n = 4$) indicated low methodological quality. The highest PEDro rating was

---

**TABLE 1 | Continued**

| Study/Participants | Pathology | Intervention vs. comparison | Outcome comparative | Protocol | Duration/Frequency |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------|
| Tebbutt et al. (2011) | Fontaine stage II (IC < 0.90) | CKC: Combined aerobic and resistance exercise group; AER: Aerobic exercise group; UC: usual care group | COD: Claudication onset distance/time; ACD: absolute claudication distance/time; MWD: maximal walking distance | CONS (unsupervised) | 12 weeks |
| Parr et al. (2009) | Fontaine stage I (IC < 0.90) | CKC: Combined aerobic and resistance exercise group; AER: Aerobic exercise group; UC: usual care group | COD: Claudication onset distance/time; ACD: absolute claudication distance/time; MWD: maximal walking distance | CONS (supervised) | 12 weeks |
| Mosti et al. (2011) | Fontaine stage I (IC < 0.90) | CKC: Combined aerobic and resistance exercise group; AER: Aerobic exercise group; UC: usual care group | COD: Claudication onset distance/time; ACD: absolute claudication distance/time; MWD: maximal walking distance | CONS (supervised) | 12 weeks |
| Delaney et al. (2014) | Fontaine stage I (IC < 0.90) | CKC: Combined aerobic and resistance exercise group; AER: Aerobic exercise group; UC: usual care group | COD: Claudication onset distance/time; ACD: absolute claudication distance/time; MWD: maximal walking distance | CONS (supervised) | 12 weeks |
| Kropielnicka et al. (2018) | Fontaine stage I (IC < 0.90) | CKC: Combined aerobic and resistance exercise group; AER: Aerobic exercise group; UC: usual care group | COD: Claudication onset distance/time; ACD: absolute claudication distance/time; MWD: maximal walking distance | CONS (supervised) | 12 weeks |
TABLE 2 | Cohort characteristics.

|                  | Hiatt et al. (1994) | Parr et al. (2009) | Mosti et al. (2011) | Tebbutt et al. (2011) | Delaney et al. (2014) | Jakubseviciene et al. (2014) | Kropielnicka et al. (2018) | Total (N) | Total (%) |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| N                | 20                  | 16                 | 20                  | 42                    | 35                    | 145                        | 59                         | 337       | 100       |
| Males (%)        | 100%                | 63%                | 75%                 | 67%                   | 74%                   | NR                         | NR                         | 99        | 29        |
| Females (%)      | 0%                  | 37%                | 25%                 | 32%                   | 26%                   | NR                         | NR                         | 34        | 10        |
| Age* (years ± SD) | CB: 82 ± 17         | CB: 70 ± 12        | CB: 65 ± 5          | CB: 66                | CB: 69 ± 10           | NR                         | CB: 68 ± 9                 | 62        | 18        |
|                  | AER: 70 ± 12        | AER: 68 ± 10       | UC: 66 ± 5          | UC: 71                | AER: 74 ± 9           | NR                         | NR                         | 52        | 15        |
| Current smoker (%)| 95%                 | NR                 | 25%                 | 74%                   | 74%                   | NR                         | NR                         | 62        | 18        |
| Hipertension (%) | 70%                 | NR                 | 45%                 | NR                    | 83%                   | NR                         | NR                         | 52        | 15        |
| Hyperlipidemia (%)| 35%                 | NR                 | NR                  | NR                    | 92%                   | NR                         | NR                         | 39        | 12        |
| Diabetes (%)     | NR                  | NR                 | 20%                 | NR                    | NR                    | NR                         | 46%                        | NR        | 7         |
| Coronary heart disease (%) | 45% | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | 46% | NR | 7 |
| Cerebrovascular disease (%) | 30% | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | 9 |
| Others (%)       | 20%                 | NR                 | 40%                 | NR                    | 40%                   | NR                         | NR                         | 26        | 8         |

*Values are mean ± SD; CB, Combined aerobic and resistance exercise group; AER, aerobic exercise group; UC, Usual care group; NR, not reported.

TABLE 3 | Score of articles according to PEDro scale score.

|                  | Hiatt et al. (1994) | Parr et al. (2009) | Mosti et al. (2011) | Tebbutt et al. (2011) | Delaney et al. (2014) | Jakubseviciene et al. (2014) | Kropielnicka et al. (2018) | Total points |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Study eligibility criteria specified | 0                   | 0                  | 0                   | 1                     | 1                      | 1                          | 1                          | 1             |
| 2. Random allocation | 1                   | 1                  | 0                   | 1                     | 1                      | 1                          | 1                          | 1             |
| 3. Concealed allocation | 0                   | 0                  | 0                   | 0                     | 0                      | 0                          | 0                          | 0             |
| 4. A measure of similarity between groups at baseline | 1                   | 1                  | 1                   | 1                     | 1                      | 1                          | 1                          | 1             |
| 5. Subject blinding | 0                   | 0                  | 0                   | 0                     | 0                      | 0                          | 0                          | 0             |
| 6. Therapist blinding | 0                   | 0                  | 0                   | 0                     | 0                      | 0                          | 0                          | 0             |
| 7. Assessor blinding | 0                   | 0                  | 0                   | 0                     | 0                      | 0                          | 0                          | 0             |
| 8. Less than 15% dropouts | 1                   | 0                  | 1                   | 0                     | 1                      | 1                          | 1                          | 0             |
| 9. Intention to treat analysis | 0                   | 0                  | 0                   | 0                     | 1                      | 0                          | 0                          | 0             |
| 10. Between-group statistical comparative | 1                   | 1                  | 1                   | 1                     | 1                      | 1                          | 1                          | 1             |
| 11. Point measures and validity data | 1                   | 1                  | 1                   | 1                     | 1                      | 1                          | 1                          | 1             |
| Total points      | 5                   | 4                  | 4                   | 4                     | 4                      | 7                          | 5                          | 4             |

7, with an average of 5 among the included studies (Table 3).

Combined Exercise vs. Aerobic Exercise

Statistical significant improvements were found on COD in the combined exercise group after 4 weeks (Jakubseviciene et al., 2014) and in the isolated aerobic group after 12 weeks (Hiatt et al., 1994; Delaney et al., 2014; Kropielnicka et al., 2018). Between groups, there were no significant differences. The combined exercise group showed a trend to improve the COD between 11 and 396% (+18 and +528 m), while the isolated aerobic group showed a trend to improve between 30 and 422% (+51 and +789 m) (Table 4).

Statistical significant improvements were found in MWD in the combined group after 4 and 12 weeks (Jakubseviciene et al., 2014; Kropielnicka et al., 2018) and in the isolated aerobic group after 12 weeks (Delaney et al., 2014; Kropielnicka et al., 2018). There were no significant differences between groups. The combined exercise group showed a trend to improve the MWD around 23% (+81 m), while the isolated aerobic exercise group showed a trend to improve around 10% (+38 m) (Table 4).
Table 4 | Results of the combined aerobic and resistance exercise vs. isolated treadmill-walking or usual care approach in walking performance.

| Study                  | Duration | Protocol | Intervention                                                                 | Baseline (m) | Post-training (m) | M ≠ in group | %Change group |
|------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| **COD**                |          |          |                                                                                |               |                   |              |               |
| CB vs. AER             | 12 weeks | Grad     | CB: Treadmill + Resistance                                                     | 165 ± 69      | 272 ± 208         | 107          | 65            |
|                        |          |          | AER: Treadmill                                                                | 176 ± 107     | 357 ± 229         | 181          | 103           |
| Hiatt et al. (1994)    |          | Const    | CB: Treadmill + Resistance                                                     | 133 ± 59      | 661 ± 1,248       | 528          | 396           |
|                        |          |          | AER: Treadmill                                                                | 187 ± 107     | 976 ± 1,211       | 789          | 422           |
| Delaney et al. (2014)  | 12 weeks | 6 mwt    | CB: Treadmill + Resistance                                                     | 170 ± 90      | 188 ± 127         | 18           | 11            |
|                        |          |          | AER: Treadmill                                                                | 170 ± 82      | 221 ± 97          | 51           | 30            |
| Jakubseviene et al. (2014) | 4 weeks | 6 mwt    | CB: Treadmill, ergometers, steppers + Resistance                              | NR            | NR*               | –            | –             |
|                        |          |          | AER: Track walking, stair climbing, Treadmill                                 | NR            | NR*               | –            | –             |
| Kropielnicka et al. (2018) | 12 weeks | Grad     | CB: Nordic Walk + Resistance                                                  | NR            | NR                | –            | –             |
|                        |          |          | AER: Treadmill                                                                | NR            | NR*               | –            | –             |
| CB vs. UC              | 6 weeks  | Grad     | CB: Treadmill + Resistance                                                     | 125 ± 167     | 255 ± 201         | 130          | 104           |
| Parr et al. (2009)     |          |          | UC: Usual Care                                                                | 175 ± 123     | 175 ± 136         | 0            | 0             |
| Parr et al. (2009)     | 6 weeks  | 6 mwt    | CB: Treadmill + Resistance                                                     | 121 ± 108     | 152 ± 109         | 31           | 26            |
|                        |          |          | UC: Usual Care                                                                | 192 ± 147     | 116 ± 75          | –76          | –40           |
| Tebbutt et al. (2011)  | 12 weeks | Const    | CB: Walk + Plantar Flex Unsupervised                                          | 77 ± 55       | 115 ± 96          | 38           | 49            |
|                        |          |          | UC: Usual Care                                                                | 113 ± 107     | 140 ± 116         | 27           | 24            |
| **ACD**                |          |          |                                                                                |               |                   |              |               |
| CB vs. AER             | 12 weeks | Grad     | CB: Treadmill + Resistance                                                     | 389 ± 144     | 704 ± 352         | 315          | 81            |
| Hiatt et al. (1994)    |          | Const    | AER: Treadmill                                                                | 512 ± 304     | 784 ± 389         | 272          | 53            |
| Hiatt et al. (1994)    |          |          | CB: Treadmill + Resistance                                                     | 400 ± 288     | 1,189 ± 773       | 789          | 197           |
|                        |          |          | AER: Treadmill                                                                | 704 ± 581     | 1,627 ± 955       | 923          | 131           |
| Kropielnicka et al. (2018) | 12 weeks | Grad     | CB: Nordic Walk + Resistance                                                  | NR            | NR                | –            | –             |
|                        |          |          | AER: Treadmill                                                                | NR            | NR*               | –            | –             |
| CB vs. UC              | 6 weeks  | Grad     | CB: Treadmill + Resistance                                                     | 290 ± 210     | 485 ± 224         | 195          | 67            |
| Parr et al. (2009)     |          |          | UC: Usual Care                                                                | 460 ± 200     | 430 ± 151         | –30          | –7            |
| Mosti et al. (2011)    | 8 weeks  | Grad     | CB: Plantar Flex + Strength                                                   | 1,099 ± 483   | 1,203 ± 451       | 104          | 10            |
|                        |          |          | UC: Usual Care                                                                | 936 ± 353     | 928 ± 347         | –8           | –1            |
| Tebbutt et al. (2011)  | 12 weeks | Const    | CB: Walk + Plantar Flex Unsupervised                                          | 173 ± 103     | 201 ± 109         | 28           | 16            |
|                        |          |          | UC: Usual Care                                                                | 237 ± 174     | 277 ± 200         | 40           | 17            |
| **MWD**                |          |          |                                                                                |               |                   |              |               |
| CB vs. AER             | 12 weeks | 6 mwt    | CB: Treadmill + Resistance                                                     | 358 ± 68      | 439 ± 188         | 81           | 23            |
| Delaney et al. (2014)  |          |          | AER: Treadmill                                                                | 371 ± 94      | 409 ± 70**        | 38           | 10            |
| Jakubseviene et al. (2014) | 4 weeks | 6 mwt    | CB: Treadmill, ergometers, steppers + Resistance                              | NR            | NR*               | –            | –             |
|                        |          |          | AER: Track walking, stair climbing, Treadmill                                 | NR            | NR*               | –            | –             |
| Kropielnicka et al. (2018) | 12 weeks | 6 mwt    | CB: Nordic Walk + Resistance                                                  | NR            | NR*               | –            | –             |
| CB vs. UC              | 6 weeks  | 6 mwt    | CB: Treadmill + Resistance                                                     | 368 ± 124     | 421 ± 128         | 53           | 14            |
| Parr et al. (2009)     |          |          | UC: Usual Care                                                                | 415 ± 144     | 408 ± 125         | –7           | –2            |

CB, Combined aerobic and resistance exercise group; AER, Aerobic exercise group; UC, Usual care approach group; Grad, Graded protocol; Const, Constant protocol; 6 mwt, 6 min walking test; %Change, Magnitude of percent change (%Change = (post-training – baseline)/baseline*100).

*Different from pre-training within group, p < 0.05.
**Different from pre-training within group, p < 0.02.
***Different from pre-training within group, p ≤ 0.01.
1 Difference between groups, p < 0.05.
2 Difference between groups, p ≤ 0.01.
**Combined Exercise vs. Usual Care Approach**

Statistical significant improvements were not found in COD after 6 or 12 weeks of combined exercise and usual care approach. However, between groups, statistical differences were found in COD with improvements found only in the combined group (Parr et al., 2009). The combined exercise group showed a trend to improve the COD between 26 and 104% (+31 and +130 m), while the usual care deteriorated or improved between −40 and 24% (−76 and +27 m) (Table 4).

Statistical significant improvements were found on ACD only in the combined exercise group after 8 weeks (Mosti et al., 2011). Improvement in the combined group after 6 weeks (Parr et al., 2009) and 8 weeks (Mosti et al., 2011) compared to usual care were found in ACD, with statistical differences. The combined exercise group showed a trend to improve the ACD between 10 and 67% (+104 and +195 m), while usual care deteriorated or improved between −7 and 17% (−30 and +40 m) (Table 4).

There were no significant improvements in MWD, along with the intervention. However, the combined exercise group showed a slight trend to improve the MWD around 14% (+53 m) while the usual care group deteriorated −2 (−7 m) (Table 4).

These walking performance results were quite variable in all groups, and their magnitude change could be affected by the applied walking protocol test (Table 4).

Rest ABI was observed in combined exercise, isolated aerobic exercise, and usual care groups; however, no changes were found in this variable (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

The overall findings of this systematic review suggest that both combined aerobic and resistance and isolated aerobic programs improve claudication onset distance, absolute claudication distance, and maximal walking distance, with no significant differences found between these strategies. However, the combined aerobic and resistance exercise programs improved claudication onset distance and absolute claudication distance when compared to the usual care approach.

**Combined Exercise vs. Aerobic Exercise**

The positive effects of the exercise programs in IC patients are often related to improved endothelial function, skeletal muscle metabolism, blood viscosity, pain tolerance, and inflammatory responses (Stewart et al., 2002). These mechanisms are linked to exercise benefits in PAD and can counteract the disease progression. The increase in the majority of the walking performance outcomes suggest that the key to induce improvements is a well-structured exercise program, with isolated aerobic exercise or combined with resistance exercise, that complies with the specific PAD exercise doses. Accordingly, home-based exercise programs, if structured, involving adequate supervision and aimed to motivate the patients to increase physical activity levels or other behavioral interventions, can also improve walking performance outcomes (Golledge et al., 2019). The walking performance improvements are associated with significant enhancements in functional status, quality of life (Lauret et al., 2014; Novakovic et al., 2017), and also to considerable decreases in sedentary levels that may help in the management of cardiovascular risk factors (Morris et al., 2014; McDermott et al., 2016; Novakovic et al., 2017).

Although there are no significant differences in COD, ACD, and MWD between the exercise groups, it is consensual that PAD patients have lower limb muscle strength and less calf muscle mass related to the general population, which causes functional impairment, that isolated aerobic exercise by itself cannot overcome. Isolated resistance training can attenuate age-related changes in muscle function (Papa et al., 2017), and can be associated with improvements in balance (Gonzalez et al., 2014), muscle strength, walking performance (Ritti-Dias et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010), psychological well-being, and quality of life (Pedersen et al., 2017). However, among patients with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease, the combined training may provide more cardiovascular benefits, when compared to time-matched aerobic or resistance training alone (Schroeder et al., 2019). A single session of combined aerobic and resistance exercise improves blood flow and leg vascular resistance similar to a single aerobic session; however, combined exercise promotes better effects on oxidative stress responses (Lima et al., 2018), regarded as morbidity and mortality marks in several populations (Yucel et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Combined aerobic and resistance exercise may induce improvements in other outcomes that were not specifically studied in this systematic review. In three studies included in this systematic review, the walking performance outcomes improved (Mosti et al., 2011; Delaney et al., 2014; Kropielnicka et al., 2018), and also positive effects were found on lower limb muscle strength parameters, such as, peak torque, total work, and average power in the combined exercise when compared to isolated aerobic exercise or to usual care approach. Combined aerobic and resistance exercise, in addition to improving walking performance, also seems to increase lower limb muscle strength, which may lead to a better quality of life. One advantage of combined exercise is the fact that patients feel it as being less painful than isolated walking exercise (Ritti-Dias et al., 2010), which could help to improve exercise adherence.

In the combined groups of this systematic review, the majority of aerobic exercise sessions consisted of supervised treadmill-walking while the resistance exercise sessions, showed heterogeneity between studies, concerning the number of sets, number of repetitions and number of total exercises. The studied variables are, as well, different from each other, and in one study, the exercise characteristics were not described (Jakubseviciene et al., 2014). The exercise programs duration, ranged from 4 to 12 weeks and the study with the shortest duration (Jakubseviciene et al., 2014) achieve significant improvements in walking performance both in combined and isolated exercise groups, which can be explained because: (i) both groups performed the exercise program with a higher exercise weekly frequency (4/5 times a week), and (ii) both groups performed the exercise program immediately after a lower limb arterial surgery. The total duration of the exercise sessions (in min) and the partial aerobic exercise duration of the combined and isolated aerobic
exercise programs are relevant points to note. In fact, one study implemented a total combined session duration of 90 min and matched the same aerobic exercise duration (50 min) in both combined and isolated aerobic exercise (Hiatt et al., 1994). Also, another study promoted a slightly higher total duration (+15 min) in combined exercise (Jakubseviciene et al., 2014), and two studies implemented the same session duration (Delaney et al., 2014; Kropielnicka et al., 2018), promoting a lower training volume of each exercise mode. Thus, it may not meet the current PAD guidelines, nor achieve the minimum stimulus of the exercise duration to accomplish the same benefits of isolated aerobic or resistance exercise when combined (Gerhard-Herman et al., 2017; Aboyans et al., 2018).

Supervised exercise programs not always reveal significant improvements in COD. However, ACD has always improved, which reveals a better capacity to walk long distances. The pain during exercise does not disappear, in fact, it seems that the pain is better tolerated and/or patients perceive a lower pain level. Regarding ABI results, as expected, no changes were found in combined, isolated or usual care approach groups. Exercise does not directly eliminate systemic atherosclerosis but may increase the muscle oxygen delivery or oxygen utilization through microvascular alterations and improvement of endothelial dilatation in collateral blood vessels (Baker et al., 2017).

A wide range of different protocols are used and these present a variety of characteristics, such as, constant and graded load protocols with different speeds, grade, and stage duration, which can promote distinct results, hampering adequate comparison of studies and strategies. The treadmill-walking is the most used protocol to assess the walking performance in PAD patients. When PAD patients are tested in constant protocols, the values of COD and ACD are higher (Hiatt et al., 1994). The differences are also related to the heterogeneity of this population once the same load promotes different patterns of IC. A meta-regression analysis found the highest estimated reliability in COD assessed by constant treadmill protocols, but the graded treadmill protocol promoted the highest reliability in ACD assessments (Nicolai et al., 2009). The MWD can be adequately assessed by the 6MWT and this test better represents walking in daily life compared to treadmill-walking protocols (McDermott et al., 2014; Nordanstig et al., 2014).

Combined Exercise vs. Usual Care Approach
When combined aerobic and resistance exercise are compared to usual care approaches, there are positive and significant differences in COD and ACD outcomes, except in one study, where the combined exercise program was unsupervised. The unsupervised exercise program combined walking and resistance pedal, and the outcomes presented minor improvements (Tebbutt et al., 2011). This lack of effect can be related to the early interruption of the walking exercise due to intermittent claudication, and also to the inexistence of workload progression in home-based exercises. The usual care approach, have a trend to deteriorate walking performance, considering that PAD is a progressive atherosclerotic disorder that deteriorates over time. These findings are in agreement with several randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews that compared supervised walking exercise to unsupervised exercise and usual care programs (McDermott et al., 2009; Hamburg and Balady, 2011; Vascular Disease Foundation, 2012; Mays and Regensteiner, 2013; McDermott, 2013; Lane et al., 2017).

Two studies with a short program intervention (below 2-months) did not comply with the current PAD exercise guidelines (Gerhard-Herman et al., 2017; Aboyans et al., 2018) but found significant improvements in COD (Parr et al., 2009) and ACD (Parr et al., 2009; Mosti et al., 2011). The study conducted by Parr et al. (2009) promoted an exercise program that consisted to 20 min of treadmill-walking exercise combined with one of the following exercises on different days: (i) cycling for 5 min followed by a 15 min circuit training (15 repetitions of six different upper and lower body exercises) in plate load machines; (ii) floor exercises consisting of either bench-step, aerobics or core stabilization exercises; and (iii) 15 min spin (cycle) class. The study conducted by Mosti et al. (2011) used an interesting modify plantar flexion ergometer (4 sets of 4-min of alternated single leg work intervals) as aerobic exercise. The results revealed positive effects on ACD only after the combined exercise program, and it might indicate an important local exercise effect related to muscle mass quantity. In PAD patients, pulmonary oxygen kinetics were found to be slower in the lower extremities compared to upper extremities (Bauer et al., 2004) and circulatory adaptations were different when dynamic single-leg exercise is compared to two-leg exercise. This is related to the large redistribution of blood to the working muscles when a single-leg is used during exercise (Klausen et al., 1982). Another fact is that in Mosti et al. (2011) study, the authors combined aerobic exercise with 4 sets of 5 reps at 85–90% 1RM of dynamic leg press strength exercise, that represents a higher intensity and dose when compared to the other studies. Also, a meta-analysis found that higher intensity resistance exercise improves both flat ground and graded treadmill-walking performance in PAD patients (Parmenter et al., 2019).

The combined aerobic and resistance exercise compared to usual care promoted significant improvements in walking performance, but to date, when compared to treadmill walking, it seems to add no extra benefit to these variables. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review to compare the effects of combined aerobic and resistance exercise with isolated aerobic exercise or with usual care approach, providing important data regarding its impact on walking performance in PAD patients with IC.

Limitations
The key limitations of this systematic review were the reduced number of Randomized Controlled Trials (the trials included in this systematic review had a moderate-to-high risk of bias), one of them was not a RCT, and there are some missing details in the protocol description.
CONCLUSION

There is insufficient evidence to compare the effects on walking performance of combined aerobic and resistance exercise compared to isolated treadmill-walking or usual care approaches. However, despite the low quality of evidence, the combined aerobic and resistance exercise and isolated aerobic exercise (treadmill-walking) may lead to improve walking performance outcomes, such as COD, ACD, and MWD. Both reveal significant and positive results on walking performance; however, the combined exercise program may induce improvements in other important variables that were not the study target of this systematic review. The usual care approach has a trend to deteriorate walking performance. Thus, given the scarcity of data, high-quality RCTs that include an assessment of cardiovascular risk factors are urgently required to determine the effect of this exercise dose combination.

Recommendations for Future Research

This systematic review combined the results of 337 participants with seven studies, indicating a small sample size and a small number of articles included. Therefore, more randomized controlled trials are needed to make a meaningful comparison between combined aerobic and resistance exercise programs and, a new review should be conducted, including a meta-analysis, where possible. Additional outcomes to quantity functional lower limb strength, cardiovascular risk factors, and qualitative data should be implemented. The exercise programs applied should carefully describe the key components of training protocols, specifying the mode of exercise, intensity, week frequency, exercise duration, and the exercise load progression, since this was not always clear.

Practice Recommendations

Compared to usual care approach, short-term combined aerobic and resistance exercise programs and isolated aerobic exercise are essential for better improvements in walking performance (e.g., claudication onset distance and absolute claudication distance) in patients with intermittent claudication and peripheral arterial disease.
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