**Haemaphysalis japonica, Haemaphysalis jezoensis and “Haemaphysalis douglasi” (Acari: Ixodidae): Which tick is distributed in Hokkaido?**
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**Abstract:** As a general view, the ixodid tick *Haemaphysalis japonica* is distributed in Japan (Kyushu, Honshu and Hokkaido islands) and in a continental area including Russia Primorsky Krai, eastern China and the Korean Peninsula. The continental population is treated as a subspecies, *Haemaphysalis japonica douglasi*. The Hokkaido population was once named *Haemaphysalis jezoensis*, but synonymized with *H. japonica*. An irregular taxonomic revision, however, elevated *H. japonica douglasi* to specific rank for the continental and Hokkaido populations. The resultant “*Haemaphysalis douglasi*” sensu Kitaoka is obviously invalid because of the lack of taxonomic literatures about its specific status. Even in the present time, the invalid name has yet been used for tick identification in Hokkaido. In this study, nucleotide sequences of mitochondrial DNA (large subunit ribosomal RNA gene) and nuclear DNA (internal transcribed spacer 2) were compared between adult samples of *H. japonica* from Honshu and those of “*H. douglasi*” from Hokkaido. The target sequences of the Hokkaido samples were completely identical with those of the Honshu samples, indicating that the elevation of *H. japonica douglasi* to specific rank or the resurrection of *H. jezoensis* is an inadequate treatment. The result clearly shows that *H. japonica* is distributed in Hokkaido.
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**Introduction**

Animal scientific names of new species and new combinations can be used only after publications based on rules of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. An irregular elevation of subspecies to specific rank and a continuous use of the resultant invalid name cause a lot of confusion on taxonomy. We report here a problematic issue on the taxonomy of ixodid ticks in Hokkaido, Japan.

As a general view, *Haemaphysalis japonica* Warburton, 1908 (the Japanese name: Yamato Chimadani) is distributed in Japan (Kyushu, Honshu and Hokkaido islands) and in a continental area including Russia Primorsky Krai, eastern China and the Korean Peninsula (Yamaguti et al., 1971; Kolonin, 1978; Teng and Jiang, 1991). Large and medium-sized mammals serve as hosts for the adult ticks (Pomerantzev, 1950). Historically, Warburton (1908) briefly described this species based on male specimens kept at the British Museum. The additional information was given by a monograph of Nuttall and Warburton (1915). Types of this species were collected from the Japanese serow Nemorhaedus crispus [sic] at Hondo (probably a city of Kyushu island), Japan, by the Duke of Bedford’s collector. The monograph also included the description of *Haemaphysalis japonica* var. *douglasi*. This variety was made using male specimens from roe deer in northern China, based on the smaller body size and slightly changed morphology of scutum and palps (Nuttall and Warburton, 1915). Pomerantzev (1950) treated this variety as a subspecies (i.e. *Haemaphysalis japonica douglasi*). The subspecies is distributed in the above-mentioned continental area, whereas the nominotypical subspecies in Japan.

Another related species, *Haemaphysalis jezoensis* Ogura and Takada, 1927, was found from cattle and horses in Hokkaido. However, the original description included no comments on *H. japonica* (Ogura and Takada, 1927). Long afterwards *H. jezoensis* indigenous to Hokkaido was synonymized with *H. japonica* (Yamaguti et al., 1971), but there is a little possibility that *H. jezoensis* is a cryptic species. To differentiate immature stages of *Haemaphysalis* ticks in Japan, Kitaoka (1985) showed keys to the species, together with an irregular taxonomic revision. He mentioned that *H. japonica* in Hokkaido was identical to the continental subspecies in Russia. Based on morphological differences from *H. japonica* in Honshu, *H. japonica douglasi* was elevated to specific rank even though the lack of taxonomic descriptions. Consequently, the revision limits the distributional range of *H. japonica* to Honshu and Kyushu islands. At the present time, however, the new combination “*Haemaphysalis douglasi*” sensu Kitaoka, 1985 is obviously invalid because there are still no literatures to define its specific status based on...
morphological, ecological and genetic considerations. Types of “H. douglasi” also remain to be specified. The most recent list of valid species names of ixodid ticks in the world ignores “H. douglasi” (Guglielmone et al., 2010).

A picture book of ticks and mites in Japan (Takada, 1990) introduced the name of “H. douglasi” (the Japanese name: Dagurasu Chimadani) into ordinary researchers. After that, scientific papers using the invalid name have been gradually increased (Takada et al., 1998; Fujita et al., 2000; Yoshimoto et al., 2009; Yokoyama et al., 2012; Tagawa et al., 2013), but a few researchers used names of H. japonica and H. jezoensis for the same organism (Ozawa and Kadosaki, 1996; Ito and Takahashi, 2001). Such a confused and strange situation is still continuing, particularly in Hokkaido. In this study, mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and nuclear DNA (nDNA) were compared between H. japonica and “H. douglasi” to clarify their specific status.

**Materials and Methods**

One female tick and one male tick of H. japonica from Honshu (collected in Matsumoto, Nagano prefecture) and three female ticks of “H. douglasi” from Hokkaido (collected in three places of Funano, Kitami, and Takinoue) were used for molecular comparison. One of the authors (M.N.) judged that the Hokkaido samples were morphologically indistinguishable from H. japonica, based on the diagnosis of Yamaguti et al. (1971). Ticks of Haemaphysalis flava Neumann, 1897 from Ome, Tokyo, Haemaphysalis megaspinosa Saito, 1969 from Samani, Hokkaido and Haemaphysalis longicornis Neumann, 1901 from Ome, Tokyo were added as controls. One female tick from each control species was used for analysis. All of the ticks were collected by flagging vegetation during spring seasons of 2012 and 2013. Fragments of mtDNA (large subunit ribosomal RNA gene, rrnL) and nDNA (internal transcribed spacer 2, ITS2) were amplified from genomic DNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using published primers (Tian et al., 2011; Barker, 1998). The genomic DNA was extracted from ticks using QIAGEN DNAeasy blood and tissue kit, and the PCR was run using TaKaRa ExTaq DNA polymerase. Both methods were carried out as recommended by the manufacturers. Nucleotide sequences of PCR amplicons were directly read using BigDye terminator cycle sequencing kit and Applied Biosystems 3500 genetic analyzer. PCR primers were also used for sequencing primers. Primer walking was done in ITS2 sequences. Nucleotide alignments were made by MAFFT (Katoh et al., 2013). Pairwise divergence values and neighbor-joining trees were calculated by MEGA5 (Tamura et al., 2011) using K2 parameter with a gamma setting of 0.5.

**Results and Discussion**

Lengths of nucleotide sequences determined in this study were 404–409 bp of mitochondrial rrnL and 1488–1846 bp of nuclear ITS2. These were deposited in DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank databases under the accession numbers AB861936-43. The rrnL sequences of three individual “H. douglasi” from Hokkaido were completely identical with those of two individual H. japonica from Nagano, showing that the Hokkaido individuals should be identified as H. japonica. The complete identity was also confirmed even in highly variable ITS2 region. Concordant results of the mtDNA and nDNA markers suggest that regional genetic variety of H. japonica is non-existent or very small in Japan. The number of ticks examined in this study is very small, but the complete sequence agreement between samples from the two distantly located areas is considered sufficient to confirm “H. douglasi” as H. japonica. Moreover, the

| H. japonica versus | rrnL | ITS2 |
|-------------------|------|------|
| “H. douglasi”      | 0.000| 0.000|
| H. megaspinosa     | 0.002| 0.018|
| H. flava           | 0.067| 0.021|
| H. longicornis     | 0.181| 0.299|

Fig. 1. Neighbor-joining trees of Haemaphysalis ticks used in this study. Values on the tree nodes are bootstrap proportions (%) in 500 replicates. Scale bars (divergence of 0.02) are shown. (a) Phylogram from mitochondrial rrnL. (b) Phylogram from nuclear ITS2.
genetic identity between *H. japonica* and "*H. douglasi" has been independently confirmed by other researchers (Takada and Kawabata, personal communication). Genetic divergence values between *H. japonica* and other species are shown in Table 1. Unrooted trees illustrate the relationship of these species (Fig. 1). Among limited number of taxa, *H. japonica* was most related to *H. megaspinosa*, and secondarily to *H. flava*. These close genetic similarities indicate a possibility that an evolutionarily young group exists in species of the Far Eastern *Haemaphysalis*. In particular, the present data raise a hypothesis that *H. megaspinosa* may be conspecific to *H. japonica*, although *H. megaspinosa* has distinctive morphological features (Saito, 1969).

In conclusion, the elevation of *H. japonica douglasi* to specific rank or the resurrection of *H. jezoensis* is an inadequate taxonomic treatment. The present result clearly demonstrates that *H. japonica* is distributed in Hokkaido, as already shown by Yamaguti et al. (1971). Morphological differences observed in the Hokkaido population of "*H. douglasi*" (Kitaoka 1985; Takada 1990) seem to be intraspecific variations of *H. japonica*. The Japanese name "Dagurasu Chimadani" for "*H. douglasi*" also should be eliminated. Further studies are necessary to compare genetic profiles between Hokkaido and Russian populations of *H. japonica*.
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