Comprehensive investigations of mixed convection of Fe–ethylene-glycol nanofluid inside an enclosure with different obstacles using lattice Boltzmann method
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In the present paper, nanofluid mixed convection is investigated in a square cavity with an adiabatic obstacle by using the Lattice Boltzmann method (LBM). This enclosure contains Fe–ethylene-glycol nanofluid and three constant temperature thermal sources at the left wall and bottom of the enclosure through a lateral wall. The fluid is incompressible, laminar, and Newtonian. The obtained results are presented in the constant Ra = 10⁴ and a Pr = 0.71 for different Ri = 0.1, 1, and 10. The effects of the slope of the enclosure, volume fraction of nanoparticles (ϕ), the location of adiabatic obstacles, and nanoparticle diameter in the fluid are investigated on the value of heat transfer. A change in the attack angle of the enclosure leads to changes in the movement distance for fluid between hot and cold sources and passing fluid through case E, which affects the flow pattern strongly. In each attack angle, on colliding with an obstacle, the fluid heat transfers between two sources, which leads to uniform heat transfer in the enclosure. By increasing the velocity of the lid, the Richardson number decreases leading to improvement of the convective heat transfer coefficient and Nusselt number enhancement. The results so obtained reveal that by augmenting ϕ value the effect of Richardson number reduction can augment Nusselt number and the amount of absorbed heat from the hot surface. Consequently, in each state where a better flow mixture and lower depreciation of fluid velocity components, due to the penetration of lid movement and buoyancy force, occurs higher heat transfer rate is accomplished. Furthermore, it is shown that when Ri = 0.1, the effect of cavity angle is more important but when Ri = 10, the effect of the position of obstacle is more visible.

List of symbols

Nomenclature

| Symbol | Definition |
|--------|------------|
| c_i (m/s) | The discrete velocity of Boltzmann grid |
| c_p (m/s) | Specific heat at constant pressure |
| c_s (m/s) | Sound speed |
| d_p (nm) | Nanoparticle diameter |
| F (J) | Buoyancy force |
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In the past recent years, novel numerical and experimental methods were developed, which lead to the fast growth of research in nanofluids (NFs)\(^1\)-\(^3\). The nanofluid (NF) is a solution of nanoparticles and a base fluid. Choi\(^1\) introduced a novel dimensional thermo-fluid in the NFs for the first time. Many researchers reported the enhancement of thermal conductivity of NFs with low \( \phi \) values. A numerical simulation was implemented by Munir et al.\(^4\) to model the fluid flow inside the lid-driven square and triangular cavities. To consider the streamline patterns, they used a derivation of macroscopic hydrodynamics equations from the continuous equation of the LBM. Their results illustrated that the streamlined patterns of flow are influenced by the cavity geometry and Reynolds numbers. An antagonist differentiated a heated lid-driven cavity under the condition that dynamics and buoyancy forces counterbalance were investigated by Ma et al.\(^5\). The cavity includes a circular cylinder located at different positions. They conducted the double distribution model to compute the thermal and hydrodynamic domains in the wide range of Reynolds and Rayleigh numbers by using LBM. They investigated the \( \text{Nu}_{avg} \) and the effect of various locations of obstacles on the flow and heat transfer characteristics. They represented the effect of obstacle location on the heat transfer behavior and dimensionless numbers inside the cavity. They concluded that for the upper surface sliding, the governing parameters are related to the driven power. Balootaki et al.\(^6\) applied the nano-scale LBM to investigate an inclined lid-driven cavity with the insulated sidewalls and the influence of gravity. They investigated the flow and heat transfer properties in the different Grashof numbers and the specific Richardson number. They indicated that the increment of Rayleigh number and buoyancy convection can be opposite to the forced convection. Also, the cavity angle is influenced by flow and heat transfer. They found out that the \( \text{Nu}_{avg} \) is maximum at Richardson number of 50 for the horizontal enclosure in comparison with the other Richardson numbers at the same angle and also, forced convection causes the maximum rate of heat transfer at the different angles of the cavity. Rahimi et al.\(^7\) numerically studied a square cavity contained the DWCNTs-water in the existence of a refrigerant rigid body using the LBM. They investigated the natural convection with total entropy generation. They determined the thermal conductivity and dynamic viscosity in the temperatures of 300 to 340 K for the different concentrations of nanoparticles. They revealed that the array of refrigerant bodies has a considerable effect on the isothermal and streamlines. Also, the \( \text{Nu}_{avg} \) has a minimum value when the array is in Single Horizontal form and has a maximum value as the array is in Double Vertical form.
form. Also, they found out that $\nu_{avg}$ varies with Rayleigh number and particle concentration in the base fluid. Kartimipour et al. conducted the mixed convection of Water/FMWCNT nanofluid with different concentrations of carbon nanotubes inside a 2-D microchannel. They investigated the effects of gravity on the hydrodynamic and heat transfer domains. The channel walls are under the constant heat flux at three different situations with different Richardson numbers and Gravities. They concluded that a higher concentration of carbon nanotubes can enhance heat transfer. Also, at lower Richardson numbers, higher values of $\nu_{avg}$can be attained. Toghanian et al. simulated two-phase thermal flows by utilizing the LBM and the spinodal decomposition phenomenon. They revealed that the thermal model of passive scalar and then the Shan–Chen model in the isothermal state. They investigated the droplet in the different Rayleigh numbers and diameters of the drop on the heated wall. Zarringhalam et al. studied the influence of nanoparticles concentration and Reynolds number on the pressure drop and heat transfer coefficient of CuO/Water nanofluid in the turbulent flow regime inside a horizontal set up of double-tube counter flow heat exchanger. They concluded that the augmentation of Reynolds number and $\phi$ leads to heat transfer enhancement. Bahmani et al. investigated turbulent flow and heat transfer of water/Al$_2$O$_3$ nanofluid in the parallel and counter flow double pipe heat exchangers. Their results indicated that the augmentation of Reynolds number and nanoparticles percentage leads to a higher heat transfer rate. They concluded that the increment of $\phi$ can enhance wall temperature and the outlet temperature of the fluid. Also, in the comparison between the counter and parallel flow, the minimum temperature of the solid wall is in the counter-flow heat exchanger. Laminar flow and heat transfer of water functional multi-walled carbon nanotube nanofluid inside a 2-D channel with backward-facing geometry are investigated by Alrashed et al. They studied the impacts of different Reynolds numbers and weight percentages. They revealed that the augmentation of the weight percentage of nanoparticles or Reynolds number leads to a reduction of the surface temperature and heat transfer enhancement. Also, by enhancing the turbulence, the vortex generation augmentation. Therefore, at the beginning of the channel, fluid momentum enhances in the vicinity of the upper wall and the value of the axial velocity component reduces, and also, the probability of vortex generation augments. Therefore, this condition causes a pressure drop at the channel inlet section. Xu et al. numerically investigated the parallel algorithm of D3Q19 multi-relaxation-time LBM with the simulation of the large eddy to simulate a 3-D flow within a sphere using graphic processing units. To better the scalability of the cluster, they utilized the boundary lattice to apply the complex boundary and 3-D domain decomposition method. Kefayati and Tang investigated fluid flow, heat, mass transfer, and entropy generation of natural heat transfer for non-Newtonian fluids in an inclined porous cavity with the Finite Difference Lattice Boltzmann Method (FDLBM) and studied the effect of fluid friction, heat, and mass transfer on different Ra and Da numbers. Arjun et al. studied heat convection of water/Al$_2$O$_3$ nanofluid inside a 2-D microtube in the laminar and turbulent flow regimes by applying the LBM. Slip and temperature jump boundary conditions with different Reynolds and Rayleigh numbers, the volume concentration of nanoparticles, power of the magnetic field, axial distance, and slip parameters are considered in their study. Their results indicated that the increasing Reynolds number and nanoparticles concentration, Nusselt number enhances, and by decreasing the amount of slip factor, the temperature jump reduces, and heat transfer augment. Also, utilizing nanofluid with lower Reynolds numbers has a notable effect compared to high Reynolds numbers for practicable usage. Kefayati simulated natural convection in an inclined cavity, filled with viscoelastic fluids by LBM, and studied fluid flow and heat transfer for different Ra numbers and different angles of the cavity for two cases of one, two, and four cylinders. Also, in Refs. comprehensive investigations were conducted by molecular dynamics simulation and ANN on the flow of common fluids and nanofluids. Hsiao investigated the mixed convection of a viscoelastic non-Newtonian nanofluid on a stagnation-point energy conversion problem and studied mixed convection buoyancy parameters. They found that a higher efficiency thermal energy extrusion system and can be promoted the system's economic efficiency can be obtained by using nanofluids. Also, Hsiao studied a combined electrical MHD heat transfer thermal extrusion system using Maxwell fluid with radiative and viscous dissipation effects. He showed that it will be produced greater heat transfer effects with larger values of viscoelastic number, Prandtl number, free convection parameters. Also, thermodynamic assessment and optimization of energy systems were investigated in Refs. Furthermore, comprehensive studies of the effect of nanostuctures on different case studies are done in some references.

In this work, mixed convection of Fe–ethylene-glycol nanofluid inside an enclosure with different obstacles is simulated by LBM. In the last papers which are done in this field of study, usually, theoretical equations are used to simulate the behavior of nanofluid. But, in this work, for the first time, experimental equations are used to simulate the behavior of nanofluid. The main reason to use these equations is that in theoretical equations usually the effects of temperature and diameter of nanoparticles are ignored. But, in the current job, their effect is considered based on experimental results.

**Geometry**

In this study, the investigated geometry is a 2-D indented enclosure. The upper wall is a moving boundary and three other walls are stationary. The left wall has a low temperature (cold) and the three other walls are adiabatic. However, there are two hot sources on the lower wall and one heat source on the right wall. Also, the center of the enclosure is adiabatic. The schematic of this geometry is indicated in Fig. 1. Also, 2-D steady-state flow is considered inside the square enclosure. The main application of this geometry is on a special micro heat exchanger with an adiabatic rode which is heated by three heat sources from sides.

**Problem statement**

Based on assumption and geometry, mass, momentum, and energy equations are written as follows:

$$\text{Problem statement}$$
where in Eq. (3), $F_y$ is total body force in the $y$-direction.

$$F_y = (\rho \beta)_{nf} g_y (T - T_m)$$

Figure 1. The schematic of geometry of this study.
A review of the LBM. In this study, the used LBM is similar to the applied model of the references of 2–4. The thermal model of LBM includes two distribution functions of f and g for the flow and temperature domains, respectively. In this presentation, the D2Q9 model is implemented which is indicated in Fig. 233. The following equations are utilized for flow and temperature domains, respectively34.

\[ f_i(x + c_i \Delta t, t + \Delta t) = f_i(x, t) + \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_u} \left[ f_i^{eq}(x, t) - f_i(x, t) \right] + \Delta t c_i F \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

\[ g_i(x + c_i \Delta t, t + \Delta t) = g_i(x, t) + \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_D} \left[ g_i(x, t) - g_i(x, t) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where \( \Delta t \), \( c_i \), \( F \), \( \tau_u \), and \( \tau_D \) are relaxation time of LBM, the interrupted velocity of the network in i-direction, external force in the direction of network velocity, resting times for flow, and temperature domain, respectively. Equilibrium distribution functions for temperature and flow domains are shown in the following34.

\[ f_i^{eq} = w_i \rho_{nf} \left[ 1 + \frac{c_i \cdot u}{c_s^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{c_i \cdot u}{c_s^2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{u^2}{c_s^2} \right) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

\[ g_i^{eq} = w_i T \left[ 1 + \frac{c_i \cdot u}{c_s^2} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where \( \rho_{nf} \) is the density of the nanofluid, \( T \) is temperature, \( u \) is a macroscopic vector, \( w_i \) is weight coefficient which is \( w_0 = 4/9 \), \( w_{1-4} = 1/9 \), and \( w_{5-9} = 1/36 \), \( c_s^2 = 1/3 \) for D2Q2 model and \( C_i \) is the sound speed which is equal to \( c/\sqrt{3}, c = \Delta x/\Delta t = \Delta y/\Delta t \) 34.

\[ c_i = \begin{cases} 0 & i = 0 \\ c \left( \cos \left( \frac{(i-1) \pi}{4} \right), \sin \left( \frac{(i-1) \pi}{4} \right) \right) & i = 1 - 4 \\ c \left( \cos \left( \frac{(i-5) \pi}{4} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right), \sin \left( \frac{(i-5) \pi}{4} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right) & i = 5 - 8 \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

To consider the effects of buoyancy force in the model, the force term in Eq. (5) is determined by using the following equation35.

\[ F = 3 w_i g_{g_y} \beta \Delta \Theta \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where \( g_{g_y} = g \cos \theta \) and \( \Theta \) is dimensionless temperature, which is determined as:

\[ \Theta = \frac{T - T_c}{T - T_H} \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)
Thermophysical properties of nanofluid. Following equations are used for determining thermophysical properties of nanofluid\textsuperscript{36,37}:

\[
\rho_{nf} = (1 - \varphi)\rho_f + \varphi\rho_s \tag{13}
\]

\[
\rho c_{pf} = (1 - \varphi)\rho c_f + \varphi\rho c_s \tag{14}
\]

\[
\beta_{nf} = (1 - \varphi)\beta_f + \varphi\beta_s \tag{15}
\]

\[
k_{nf} = k_f \left[ \frac{(k_p + 2k_f) - 2\varphi k_f - k_p}{(k_p + 2k_f) + \varphi(k_f - k_p)} \right] \tag{16}
\]

\[
\mu_{nf} = \frac{\mu_f}{1 - \varphi^{2.5}} \tag{17}
\]

Thermal conductivity for ethylene glycol-iron nanofluid can be calculated in the temperatures of 25 to 55 °C using the following empirical equation\textsuperscript{38}:

| dp | ϕ(%) | \( k_{nf} \) |
|----|------|---------|
| 35 | 1 | \( k_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{549672}{27450} + \frac{991800}{55100}} \) |
|    | 2 | \( k_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{2727}{27450} + \frac{3387}{55100}} \) |
|    | 3 | \( k_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{619875}{27450} + \frac{619875}{55100}} \) |
| 65 | 1 | \( k_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{452113}{275500} + \frac{6144032}{991800} + \frac{383411}{110200}} \) |
|    | 2 | \( k_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{13169}{275500} + \frac{13169}{991800} + \frac{12331}{110200}} \) |
|    | 3 | \( k_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{18941}{275500} + \frac{18941}{991800} + \frac{12331}{110200}} \) |
| 95 | 1 | \( k_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{281737}{275500} + \frac{281737}{991800} + \frac{17007}{22040}} \) |
|    | 2 | \( k_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{381113}{275500} + \frac{381113}{991800} + \frac{31997}{22040}} \) |
|    | 3 | \( k_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{63137}{275500} + \frac{63137}{991800} + \frac{27700}{22040}} \) |

\begin{equation}
(13)
\end{equation}

In most of the studies, Brinkman’s theory has been used for computing the dynamic viscosity of nanofluid. However, in this paper, the following empirical equations are used\textsuperscript{38}:

| dp | ϕ(%) | \( \mu_{nf} \) |
|----|------|---------|
| 35 | 1 | \( \mu_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{rac{1}{100} + \frac{549672}{27450} + \frac{991800}{55100}} \) |
|    | 2 | \( \mu_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{2727}{27450} + \frac{3387}{55100}} \) |
|    | 3 | \( \mu_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{619875}{27450} + \frac{619875}{55100}} \) |
| 65 | 1 | \( \mu_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{452113}{275500} + \frac{6144032}{991800} + \frac{383411}{110200}} \) |
|    | 2 | \( \mu_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{13169}{275500} + \frac{13169}{991800} + \frac{12331}{110200}} \) |
|    | 3 | \( \mu_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{18941}{275500} + \frac{18941}{991800} + \frac{12331}{110200}} \) |
| 95 | 1 | \( \mu_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{281737}{275500} + \frac{281737}{991800} + \frac{17007}{22040}} \) |
|    | 2 | \( \mu_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{381113}{275500} + \frac{381113}{991800} + \frac{31997}{22040}} \) |
|    | 3 | \( \mu_{nf} = \frac{T^2}{\frac{1}{100} + \frac{63137}{275500} + \frac{63137}{991800} + \frac{27700}{22040}} \) |

\begin{equation}
(14)
\end{equation}

The other nanofluid properties are calculated by utilizing the viscosity and thermal conductivity of nanofluid\textsuperscript{36}.

\[
V_{nf} = \frac{\mu_{nf}}{\rho_{nf}} \tag{18}
\]

\[
\alpha_{nf} = k_{nf} / (\rho c_{pf}) \tag{19}
\]

By using the above statements, relaxation time can be determined for flow and temperature domains\textsuperscript{36}.

\[
\tau_v = 3 V_{nf} (lbm) + 0.5 \tag{20}
\]

\[
\tau_D = 3 \alpha_{nf} (lbm) + 0.5 \tag{21}
\]
Finally, microscopic variables are calculated by using following equations.

\[ \rho_{nf} = \sum_i f_i \]  
\[ \rho u = \sum_i f_i \epsilon_i \]  
\[ \Theta = \sum_i g_i \]

Also, the thermophysical properties of Fe nanoparticles and ethylene-glycol fluid are presented in Table 1. Average Nusselt number (\(Nu_{avg}\)) is the most important dimensionless parameter to report heat transfer which can be obtained in the following form by integration from local Nusselt number on the right wall:\(^{30}\)

\[ Nu_y = -k_{nf} \frac{H}{k_f} \left. \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right|_{x=L} \]  
\[ Nu_{avg} = \frac{1}{H} \int Nu_y dy \]  

Finally, this criterion is applied to check if a steady-state solution is obtained.

\[ \text{Error} = Nu_{avg}^{nn+1} - Nu_{avg}^{nn} < 10^{-5} \]

which \(nn\) is the number of irritations.

**Boundary conditions.** On the stationary walls, a bounce-back boundary condition is applied. For eastern, western, and southern walls, the following equations are presented respectively:\(^{35}\)

\[ f_3 = f_1, f_6 = f_8, f_7 = f_5 \]  
\[ f_4 = f_2, f_5 = f_7, f_8 = f_6 \]  

For the northern wall, moving left to right, boundary condition equations are:

\[ \rho_N = \frac{1}{1 + \nu_N} \left[ f_0 + f_2 + f_3 + 2(f_2 + f_0 + f_5) \right] \]  
\[ f_7 = f_5 + 0.5(f_1 - f_5) - \frac{1}{6} \rho_N \nu_N - 0.5 \rho_N u_N \]  
\[ f_8 = f_6 + 0.5(f_3 - f_1) - \frac{1}{6} \rho_N \nu_N + 0.5 \rho_N u_N \]  
\[ f_4 = f_2 - \frac{2}{3} \rho_N \nu_N \]

For heat sources, the temperature is known (\(T_H = 1\)). Thus for the heat source on the right wall:

\[ g_3 = T_H(w(3) + w(1)) - g_1 \]  
\[ g_7 = T_H(w(7) + w(5)) - g_5 \]  
\[ g_6 = T_H(w(6) + w(8)) - g_8 \]  

| Properties | EG | Fe |
|------------|----|----|
| \(\rho \) (\(kg/m^3\)) | 1114 | 7900 |
| \(\nu \) (\(m^2/s\)) | 2415 | 444 |
| \(k \) (\(W/mK\)) | 0.252 | 80 |
| \(\mu \) (mPa s) | 16.2 |

Table 1. Thermophysical properties of the base fluid and NPs.
and for the south wall:

\[ g_2 = T_H(w(2) + w(4)) - g_4 \]  

\[ g_5 = T_H(w(5) + w(7)) - g_7 \]  

\[ g_6 = T_H(w(6) + w(8)) - g_8 \]  

The dimensionless numbers which are used in this work to define the characteristic of the flow are Ri, Ra and Pr which are defined as:

\[ Ra = \frac{g\beta}{v\alpha} \Delta TL^3 \]  

\[ pr = \frac{v}{\alpha} \]  

\[ Ri = \frac{Ra}{pr \cdot Re^2} \]  

As it can be observed in the above equations, when Ri > 1, the effect of natural convection is more important and when Ri < 1, it is negligible.

**Numerical procedure**

**Assumptions and boundary conditions.** Following assumptions are conducted for modeling a 2-D steady-state flow inside an inclined square enclosure:

- This enclosure includes a lid-driven wall moving left to right with a uniform velocity of \( u_0 \) on the top and the other walls are stationary.
- The left wall of the enclosure is at low temperature.
- The bottom and left walls have three heat sources and parts are adiabatic.
- The Fe nanoparticles and the base fluid (ethylene-glycol) are in thermal equilibrium.
- Thermophysical properties of Fe nanoparticles and ethylene-glycol as the base fluid are represented in Table 1.
- The density variation is computed by using the Boussinesq approximation.
- Studied fluid flow is assumed to be incompressible, laminar and Newtonian.
- An adiabatic obstacle is in the center of the enclosure which is stationary.

**Grid study.** Selecting an appropriate grid is a virtual issue to ensure the results are independent of the grid size. Table 2 demonstrates the accuracy-test utilizing LBM with five kinds of grids: 40 × 40, 60 × 60, 80 × 80, 100 × 100, and 120 × 120. The Nuavg for EG-Fe nanofluid is indicated in Table 2 in the enclosure with the different grids for \( Ri = 0.1, \phi = 2\% \), and \( \theta = 30 \). As seen, the difference of Nuavg is about 0.00224% between the two last grids. There is a good agreement in 100 × 100 and 120 × 120 grids. Therefore, a 100 × 100 grid is selected for this numerical model as the acceptable grid size.

**Results and discussion**

In this research, mixed convection heat transfer behavior of Fe/Ethylene-glycol nanofluid is numerically investigated in the various \( \varphi \) and diameters of nanoparticles inside a square inclined enclosure with the presence of adiabatic obstacles by using LBM. This simulation is carried out in the constant \( Ra = 10^4 \) and \( Pr = 0.71 \) for \( Ri = 0.1 \) to 10. The results indicate the effect of the slope of the enclosure, the changes of location of an adiabatic obstacle, volume fraction, and diameter of solid nanoparticles in fluid on the behavior of flow and heat transfer domains. The results of this research are compared and investigated for changes in the behavior of streamlines and isothermal contours and changes of local and Nuavg on the hot source.

**Validation.** To ensure the validity of obtained numerical results, first, the governing equations on the natural convection flow are solved in an enclosed enclosure filled by pure fluid. The obtained results are compared with the results of Davis et al.\(^{39}\). This comparison indicates good agreement which is illustrated in Table 3.

**The impact of Richardson number and enclosure angle on the behavior of the isothermal line.** In the contours of Fig. 3, the behavior of streamlines is represented for the location of square obstacle in the different attack angles and Richardson numbers at the case E. The changes of streamlines are related to the introduced parameters in the enclosure. The changes of attack angle of enclosure lead to variations of movement distance for fluid between hot and cold sources and passing fluid through case E. These changes influence flow pattern strongly. In each enclosure attack angle where heat transfer occurs by colliding fluid to the obstacle between two sources, a more uniform heat transfer distribution is created. Because the presence of obstacles results in better mixture and collision of flows. On the other hand, by decreasing Richardson number, the amount of penetration of the vertical component of fluid motion influences more depth of enclosure due to strong motion of lid. Therefore, heat transfer and thermal distribution become more uniform inside the enclosure. By enhancing Richardson number, thermal distribution has higher gradients in the enclosure. The presence
of solid nanoparticles in $\phi = 2\%$ creates better flow distribution compared to the pure water. The presence of solid nanoparticles leads to better thermal distribution and buoyancy force between different fluid layers causing a reduction of temperature gradients.

**Investigating the behavior of Nusselt number.** Investigating the impact of attack angle and Richardson number on the changes of Nusselt number. Figure 4 indicates $Nu_{avg}$ on the hot surface for different Richardson numbers and attack angles of square enclosure for case E. For both mentioned parameters, according to variations of graphs, reduction of Richardson number has a higher effect compared to changes of attack angle of the enclosure. Reduction of Richardson number leads to an augmentation of lid velocity which velocity increment causes improvement of convective heat transfer coefficient and Nusselt number enhancement. Also, by increasing attack angle, variations of Nusselt number are limited for case E. It seems that this confined behavior is due to similar flow mixing at each angle. In the graphs of Fig. 5, the behavior of the local Nusselt number is shown for the volume fraction of 2% solid nanoparticles. Similar to Fig. 4, changes of Richardson number and attack angle are limited and closed to this graph. Augmenting nanoparticles concentration leads to an augmentation of thermal conductivity of cooling fluid and enhancement of heat transfer mechanism in the micro-scale. Hence, increment of Nusselt number graphs presents heat transfer improvement in higher nanoparticles concentration. The presence of solid nanoparticles causes better temperature distribution between fluid layers leading to heat transfer augmentation. Overall, by investigating the behavior of this figure, it can be concluded that heat transfer enhances by incrementing Richardson number due to the domination of natural heat transfer on forced heat transfer. Nusselt number augments by 32% when the angle of the enclosure is 0° in Richardson number of 1 in comparison with Richardson number of 0.1. This value is 45% for $Ri = 10$. The effect of enclosure angle is not significant in $Ri = 0.1$ because the buoyancy forces are not considerable. Hence, the Nusselt number enhances only 0.2% in 90° in comparison with 0°. This value is 1.5% and 5% for $Ri = 1$ and 10, respectively. As shown in Fig. 5, when $Ri = 0.1$, since the forced heat transfer predominates over the natural heat transfer, the effect of gravity on the isothermal lines in the cavity is less. While in $Ri = 10$ this effect is quite visible.

**Investigating the effect of $\phi$.** The graphs of Fig. 6 indicate the value of $Nu_{avg}$ in different $\phi$ for attack angle of 30°. In these graphs, increasing Richardson number and $\phi$ are investigated on the behavior of $Nu_{avg}$ Value. The changes of these graphs reveal that the effect of decrease of Richardson number by increasing $\phi$ can augment the enhancement of $Nu_{avg}$ and the amount of absorbing heat from the surface. Hence, all graphs have an incremental trend by increasing $\phi$. As seen, $Nu_{avg}$ increments by augmenting $\phi$. In $\phi = 3\%$ compared to a pure fluid, $Nu_{avg}$ changes are 24%, 25% and 26% in $Ri = 0.1$, 1 and 10, respectively. These values are almost unchanged in all cases. Also, the reduction of $Nu_{avg}$ can be seen in $\phi = 1\%$.

**Investigating the effect of nanoparticles diameter on heat transfer.** Figure 7 demonstrates the behavior of $Nu_{avg}$ by changing diameter of NPs for $Ri = 0.1$, 1 and 10. Decreasing the diameter of NPs leads to uniform thermal and heat transfer distribution due to their enhanced surfaces (more NPs numbers per unit area). The presence of NPs with lower diameters leads to an augmentation of the power of cooling due to an increase in the coolant stability. By enhancing the diameter of NPs, there is a possibility of sedimentation and reduction of nanofluid stability. Also, the thermal conductivity of nanofluid reduces. Also, Nusselt number enhances by raising NPs diameter of 8.5%, 9% and 11% for $Ri = 0.1$, 1 and 10, respectively. In addition, the augmentation of Nusselt number and heat transfer are more sensible by decreasing the diameter of NPs at low Richardson number.

| Grid   | $Nu_{avg}$ |
|--------|------------|
| 40 × 40| 7.514      |
| 60 × 60| 8.281      |
| 80 × 80| 8.4117     |
| 100 × 100| 8.446   |
| 120 × 120| 8.448    |

Table 2. Grid study in this investigation.

| $Nu_{avg}$ | Present work | Deviss22 | Error (%) |
|------------|--------------|----------|-----------|
| $Ra = 10^4$| 2.251        | 2.242    | 0.401     |
| $Ra = 10^5$| 4.532        | 4.5028   | 0.648     |
| $Ra = 10^6$| 9.232        | 9.035    | 2.1       |

Table 3. Comparison of results obtained in the two methods.
The effect of the location of the adiabatic obstacle. In this section, the effect of changes of the obstacle location is investigated on heat transfer.

The graphs of $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ are compared in Table 4 for different locations of enclosure and Richardson numbers in $\phi = 0$ and $2\%$. As seen for each mentioned parameter, the changes of obstacle location lead to the values of $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ due to deviation of flow, different directions of flow motion, and different mixing of the coolant. On the other hand, reduction of Richardson number causes augmentation of flow motion components leading to a decrease of the slope of temperature line and better temperature distribution in the hot surface regions. Hence, the value

| $\theta$ = 0 | $\theta$ = 30 | $\theta$ = 60 | $\theta$ = 90 | $\text{Ri} = 0.1$ | $\text{Ri} = 1$ | $\text{Ri} = 10$ |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| ![Isothermal lines](image1) | ![Isothermal lines](image2) | ![Isothermal lines](image3) | ![Isothermal lines](image4) | ![Isothermal lines](image5) | ![Isothermal lines](image6) | ![Isothermal lines](image7) |

**Figure 3.** Isothermal lines in different Richardson numbers and enclosure angles at $\phi = 0\%$ and $2\%$. 
Figure 4. Nusselt number in different Richardson numbers and enclosure angles for the base fluid.

Figure 5. The value of Nusselt number in different Richardson numbers and enclosure angles in $\varphi = 2\%$.

Figure 6. Nusselt number changes with $\varphi = 2\%$ solid nanoparticles in enclosure angle of $\theta = 30$. 
of Nu_{avg} improves. The presence of solid nanoparticles in the cooling fluid leads to a decrease in temperature gradients and better thermal distribution due to better temperature distribution in the different regions of the enclosure. Overall, each state that can create better flow mixing and lower depreciation of velocity components of fluid due to penetration of lid motion and buoyancy force, causes higher heat transfer.

The behavior of isothermal lines with the different locations of obstacles. Figure 8 shows isothermal lines contours at ϕ = 0 and 2% NPs and different Richardson numbers. In these contours, all considered locations for insulated obstacles are compared and indicated in attack angle of 0°. Each mentioned factor leads to distinctive changes in creating isothermal lines. The highest changes and dependency on obstacle location are related to Ri = 0.1. The reason for this behavior is due to the high amount of flow mixing in this Richardson number. For Richardson number of 10 due to the flow regime is close to the natural flow, changes of the location of the insulated obstacle create similar behavior for different regions. The reason for this behavior is due to the limitation of lid velocity. On the other hand, an increase of ϕ leads to the augmentation of buoyancy force with better temperature distribution. The presence of solid nanoparticles causes better thermal dissipation and heat transfer distribution and leads to a reduction of temperature gradients. As shown in Fig. 5, when Ri = 0.1, since forced heat transfer predominates over natural heat transfer, while the barrier is close to the heat source,
Figure 8. Isothermal lines in different Richardson numbers and enclosure angles at $\varphi = 0\%$ and 2\% NPs.
the concentration of isothermal lines around the chamber is greater. Furthermore, heat penetrates more into the upper walls. This penetration can be due to the lower effect of gravity at $R = 0.1$.

**Conclusion**

In this numerical study, mixed convection of nanofluid is studied inside an inclined square enclosure wall filled with ethylene glycol nanofluid with an adiabatic obstacle by using LBM. There are three heat sources with constant temperature on the sidewall (left wall) and bottom. The results were investigated in the constant Rayleigh number of $10^4$, $Pr = 0.71$ and different $Ri = 0.1$, 1 and 10. The obtained results present the effects of the slope of the enclosure, the location of an adiabatic obstacle, $\varphi$ and nanoparticles diameter on the heat transfer. The results show that by decreasing Richardson number due to the high velocity of the lid, the penetration of the vertical component of flow has a great effect on enclosure depth and the heat transfer and thermal distribution become more uniform in the enclosure. By enhancing Richardson number, thermal distribution in the enclosure has higher gradients. The presence of NPs at $\varphi = 2\%$ creates better flow distribution compared to pure fluid. Increasing $\varphi$ leads to an augmentation of thermal conductivity of coolant and heat transfer mechanism in micro-scale. Hence, the growth of Nusselt number graphs shows heat transfer improvement at higher $\varphi$. The presence of solid nanoparticles leads to better temperature distribution between fluid layers and causes heat transfer augmentation. Also, the presence of nanoparticles with lower diameters leads to an increment of cooling power due to an increase in the stability of the cooling fluid. By increasing nanoparticles diameter, nanoparticles sedimentation
and decrease are probable in the stability of fluid. Also, the value of thermal conductivity of nanofluid reduces. The reduction of Richardson number leads to an augmentation of fluid motion components, causing a decrease of the slope of temperature line and better temperature distribution in the hot surface regions away the value of Nusselt number improves. The presence of NPs in the cooling fluid K, S caused a reduction of temperature gradients and better thermal distribution due to better temperature distribution in the different regions of the enclosure. On the other hand, the enhancement of \( \alpha \) leads to the augmentation of buoyancy force with better temperature distribution. The inclusion of NPs causes better heat transfer distribution and thermal dissipation and reduction of temperature gradients. Furthermore, it is shown that when Ri = 0.1, the effect of cavity angle is more important but when Ri = 10, the effect of position of obstacle is more visible.
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