Optical nanoprobing via spin-orbit interaction of light
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We show, both theoretically and experimentally, that high-numerical-aperture (NA) optical microscopy is accompanied by strong spin-orbit interaction of light, which translates fine information about the specimen to the polarization degrees of freedom of light. An 80nm gold nano-particle scattering the light in the focus of a high-NA objective generates angular momentum conversion which is seen as a non-uniform polarization distribution at the exit pupil. We demonstrate remarkable sensitivity of the effect to the position of the nano-particle: Its subwavelength displacement produces the giant spin-Hall effect, i.e., macro-separation of spins in the outgoing light. This brings forth a far-field optical nanoprobing technique based on the spin-orbit interaction of light.
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In the past few years spin-orbit interaction (SOI) of light was intensively studied in connection with the spin-Hall effect in inhomogeneous media [1–3] and conversion of the angular momentum (AM) of light upon focusing and scattering [4–10]. These are a group of dynamical phenomena where the spin of light affects its orbital motion and vice versa. Effective far-field AM conversion arises naturally upon propagation of light through anisotropic media [11–13]. The SOI in isotropic inhomogeneous media is a more fine, subwavelength effect which exhibits intrinsic coupling between polarization and position of light. Therefore, the SOI phenomena are subtle in a paraxial field and become conspicuous only at subwavelength scales, namely, upon tight focusing of light [6–8, 14, 15] or scattering by small particles [9, 10]. Thus, the SOI carries fine information about light interaction with matter and is highly highly attractive for nano-optical applications – in particular, for for subwavelength probing and imaging. However, observation of the SOI in the focused or scattered fields requires additional interaction with test particles or near-field measurements [6–8, 14, 15]. The main obstacle in using direct far-field imaging of the SOI in non-paraxial light is that any collector lens produces its own SOI which distorts or even eliminates the initial effect [14]. Thus, the fundamental challenge of practical importance is to translate the strong subwavelength-scale SOI to the far-field.

In this Letter we show that a high-NA microscopy of nano-objects with polarized light inherently involves effective far-field imaging of the SOI. A generic optical microscope consists of three basic elements: (i) high-NA focusing lens for the incoming paraxial light, (ii) a scattering specimen placed in the sensitive focal field, and (iii) high-NA lens capturing the scattered radiation in the far-field, Fig. 1(a). Owing to the joint action of all of these elements, the strong SOI produced by interaction of the focused field with the nano-particle is translated to an inhomogeneous polarization distribution of the outgoing paraxial field. By analyzing the changes in the far-field polarization, it is possible to retrieve fine information about the scattering particle. Thus, by adding a polarization analyzer to the microscope, we obtain a sensitive angle-resolved far-field optical probe operating on the nano-scale via SOI of light. As an illustration, we demonstrate clear polarimetric detection of subwavelength displacements of the particle.

The experimental setup that implements the system in Fig. 1(a), is shown schematically in Fig. 1(b). The incident polarization state is generated by the modulation of a linearly polarized laser beam ($\lambda = 532$ nm) with a tandem of two Pockels cells. Next, the light is expanded to cover the entrance pupil of the high-NA objective (NA = 1.40 with the refractive index of the immersion oil $n_{oil} = 1.518$). The objective focuses the light, creating a non-paraxial 3D field that interacts with the specimen. We use a reflection configuration, where the same objective collects the scattered light, collimates it, and sends it to the spatially resolved polarization state analyzer.

FIG. 1: (Color online) Schematics of the far-field imaging of a nano-particle, which involves the SOI of polarized light: (a) The “lens-scatterer-lens” system with the electric fields transformations and coordinates used in the text; (b) The experimental setup in the reflection configuration, where the focusing objective is also used as a collector lens and a beam splitter separates incident and scattered light.
The latter consists of a division-of-amplitude polarimeter with four charge-coupled device (CCD) cameras that measure the Stokes parameters \( S = (S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3) \) of the polarization state across the exit pupil of the objective: \( S = \tilde{S}(x, y) \).

An 80nm diameter gold nano-sphere on a microscope cover glass was used as a specimen under oil immersion conditions (Fig. 1(b)). The position of the particle in the focal region was controlled using a three-axis piezoelectric positioning stage. The polarization distributions of the scattered light were measured for different input polarizations and at different positions of the nano-sphere, and then combined into the angle-resolved Mueller matrix for each location of the nano-sphere (details will be published elsewhere). This completely characterizes polarization properties of the system. The main limitation of our measurements is the presence of interference fringes from multiple reflections in the optical elements.

The experimental results presented in figures 2 and 3 clearly show that the output polarization exhibits non-uniform patterns. Namely, when the scatterer is located precisely at the focal point and illuminated by circularly-polarized light, the Stokes parameters \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) possess four-fold symmetric patterns (Fig. 2) typical for the spin-to-orbit AM conversion of light upon scattering \( \hat{R}_0 \hat{R}_3 \hat{R}_2 \hat{R}_1 \). At the same time, the \( S_3 \) component, that characterizes the local density of the spin AM (SAM), is rather uniform. Strikingly, the sub-diffraction-limit displacement of the particle by the distance \( \lambda/3 \) along the \( x \)-axis induces dramatic changes in the output polarization patterns, breaking their symmetry along the orthogonal \( y \)-axis and thus signaling the SOI of light in the system. Figure 3 shows drastic displacement-induced separation of the two spin states (positive and negative \( S_3 \)) in the linearly \( x \)-polarized light, i.e., the giant spin-Hall effect of light. Instead of a tiny shift of the light position caused by switching between different spin states, which is typical for usual spin-Hall effect \( \hat{R}_1 \hat{R}_3 \hat{R}_2 \hat{R}_0 \), here we observe macroscopic redistribution of spins caused by the subwavelength displacement of the scatterer. This effect can also be seen in the spin-dependent output intensity redistribution of the circularly-polarized light (Fig. 1(b)) \( \hat{R}_1 \hat{R}_3 \hat{R}_2 \hat{R}_0 \).

To describe the polarization transformations produced by the SOI in the system Fig. 1, we first employ the Debye–Wolff approach to analyze the effect of the high-NA lens \( \hat{R}_0 \hat{R}_3 \hat{R}_2 \hat{R}_1 \). It assumes that the transverse wave electric field is transported along each ray refracted by the lens without change of the polarization state in the ray-accompanying coordinate frame. This resembles adiabatic approximation underlying the spin-redirection geometric phase \( e^{\phi_0} \). Hence, the 3D evolution of the field along the partial ray consists of a series of rotations \( \hat{R}_3 \hat{R}_2 \hat{R}_1 \hat{R}_0 \): \( \hat{E}_1 (\theta_0, \phi_0) = \hat{T}_{\text{lens}} (\theta_0, \phi_0) \hat{E}_0 \),

\[
\hat{T}_{\text{lens}} = \sqrt{\cos \theta_0} \hat{R}_z (-\phi_0) \hat{R}_y (-\theta_0) \hat{R}_z (\phi_0) \quad (1)
\]

Here direction of the refracted ray is given by spherical angles \( (\theta_0, \phi_0) \), \( \hat{E}_0 (\hat{E}_1) \) is the electric fields before (after) the lens (Fig. 1(a)), \( \hat{R}_n (\gamma) \) is the operator of the rotation of the coordinate frame about the \( n \)-axis by the angle \( \gamma \), and \( \sqrt{\cos \theta_0} \) is the apodization factor \( \tilde{a}_s \).

Equation (1) describes the spin-to-orbit AM conversion of light upon focusing \( \hat{R}_0 \hat{R}_3 \hat{R}_2 \hat{R}_1 \), revealing its purely geometrical origin. The three successive rotations, \( \hat{R}_z (\phi_0) \), \( \hat{R}_y (-\theta_0) \), and \( \hat{R}_z (-\phi_0) \), are indicated, respectively: (i) the azimuthal rotation superimposing the \( xz \)-plane with the local meridional plane, (ii) refraction on the angle \( \theta_0 \) therein, and (iii) the reverse azimuthal rotation compensating the first one (Fig. 1(a)). Because of the non-commutativity of the rotations, the changes in the projection of the electric field onto the laboratory \( xz \)-plane are accompanied by azimuthal geometrical phases. Let us consider the spin eigenstate \( \lambda \sigma^+ \rangle \), or the right-hand circularly (R-) polarized light, carrying SAM \( \sigma^+ = 1 \) per photon (in units of \( h \)). The transformation (1) and projection onto the \( xy \)-plane (which squeezes polarization circle into ellipse) yield: \( |\sigma^+\rangle \rightarrow a_0 |\sigma^+\rangle - b_0 |\sigma^-\rangle e^{i\phi_0} \), \( a_0 = \cos^2(\theta_0/2) \), \( b_0 = \sin^2(\theta_0/2) \). This evidences partial conversion to the left-hand (L-) polarized state \( |\sigma^-\rangle \), which bears the SAM \( \sigma^- = -1 \) per photon, with the helical geometric phase \( e^{i\phi_0} \). The latter signifies generation of the orbital AM (OAM) \( l^+ = 2 \) per photon \( \lambda |\sigma^+\rangle \) and ensures conservation of the total AM per photon: \( \sigma^- + l^+ = \sigma^+ \).

Next, we model the scattering of light on the nanoparticle by a spherical wave generated by the electric dipole moment induced by the incident field \( \hat{E}_1 \). For a nano-particle located at \( r = r_s \), near the focal point
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(r_s \ll \sqrt{f/k}$, where $k$ is the wave number and $f$ is the focal distance), the scattered electric field is

$$E_s(\theta, \phi) \propto -F \int d^2 r_0 F_0 \hat{r} \times [\hat{r} \times E_1(\theta_0, \phi_0)] ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\hat{r} = r/r$ is the unit radial vector with spherical coordinates $(\theta, \phi)$ of the observation point (Fig. 1(a)) and we performed the integration over all incoming fields:

$$\int d^2 r_0 \equiv \int d\phi_0 \int d\theta_0 \sin \theta_0 ,$$

with the aperture angle $\alpha = \sin^{-1}(NA/n_{oil})$. The phase factors $F_0 = e^{i\Phi_0(\theta_0, \phi_0, r_s)}$ and $F = e^{i\Phi(\theta, \phi, r_s)}$ in Eq. (2) account for deviations of optical paths between the incoming point $r_0$, scatterer $r_s$, and observation point $r$: $\Phi_0 \simeq -kr_s r_0/f$ and $\Phi \simeq -kr_s r/f$. Because of the spherical symmetry, the transformation of the field upon scattering is quite similar to that upon focusing [12, 13]. Akin to Eq. (1), the transformation of the field upon scattering is quite similar to that upon focusing [12, 13]. Akin to Eq. (1), the vector operator in Eq. (2) also consists of 3D rotations: $-\hat{r} \times (\hat{r} \times) = \hat{r}_z (\phi), \hat{r}_y (\theta), \hat{r}_x (\phi)$ $(\hat{P}_r$ is the projector onto the $xy$-plane), and exhibits the spin-to-orbit AM conversion.

The whole system “lens-scatterer-lens”, Fig. 1(a), is described by the combined action of the focusing operator $T_{lens}$, the scattering transformation $T_s$, and the operator of the collector lens $T_{lens}^{-1}$. Since both input and output fields are paraxial, the resulting transformation is reduced to the effective $2 \times 2$ angle-resolved Jones matrix acting onto transverse $xy$-components of the field: $E_{\perp}(\theta, \phi, r_s) = T_{\perp}(\theta, \phi, r_s) E_{\perp 0}$. Here

$$T = F T_{lens}^{-1}(\theta, \phi) \int d^2 r_0 F_0 T_{lens}(\theta_0, \phi_0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

is the 3D transformation and the subscript $\perp$ denotes the projection onto the $xy$-subspace. The Jones matrix $T_{\perp}(\theta, \phi, r_s)$ encapsulates SOI and polarization properties of the system; it describes complex output polarization patterns sensitive to the position of the particle.

When the scatterer is located precisely at the focus, $r_s = 0$, the matrix [43] can be calculated analytically. In the spin basis of circular polarizations this yields:

$$\hat{T} = A \cos \theta \begin{pmatrix} a & -be^{-2i\phi} \\ -be^{2i\phi} & a \end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $a = \cos^2(\theta/2), b = \sin^2(\theta/2)$, and $A = \cos^2(\theta/2) \sin^2(\theta/2)$, and $A = (2\pi/15) \left[ 8 - (\cos \alpha)^3/2 (5 + 3 \cos \alpha) \right]$. Non-diagonal elements of the Jones matrix [41] signify effective spin-to-orbit AM conversion in the paraxial field, which can be represented in the generic case as follows:

$$|\sigma_0, l_0 \rangle \rightarrow a|\sigma_0, l_0 \rangle - b|\sigma_0 = \pm 2, l_0 = \pm 2 \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Here the two quantum numbers denote the AM eigenstates of paraxial light: the SAM $\sigma_0 = \pm 1$ corresponds to the R and L modes, whereas the OAM $l_0 = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ corresponds to the helical phases $e^{\pm i \phi}$ [23]. Figure 2 shows the distributions of the output Stokes parameters calculated from the Jones matrix [41] for the R input mode $|1, 0 \rangle$. They clearly reproduce the four-fold symmetric patterns observed in the experiment and caused by the $|\pm 1, 2 \rangle$ vortex component in the output field. The Jones matrix [41] is typical for the AM conversion on anisotropic paraxial-field optical elements [11, 12], such as q-plates. However, in our case the AM conversion is based on the interaction of the non-paraxial focused field inside the system with the nano-scatterer.

This fact leads to a remarkable sensitivity of the polarization properties of the system to the position of the nano-particle. If $r_s \neq 0$, the first-order correction to the Jones matrix [41] can be calculated analytically in the limit of small subwavelength displacements: $kr_s \ll 1$. This results in the Jones matrix

$$\hat{T}_{\perp} \simeq \hat{T}^{(0)} - \hat{T}^{(1)}$$

with

$$\hat{T}^{(1)} = \hat{T}^{(0)} \begin{pmatrix} B \cos \theta & \rho_s e^{-i\phi} \rho_r \rho_s e^{-i\phi} \\ \rho_s e^{i\phi} \rho_r \rho_s e^{i\phi} & \rho_r \end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\rho_s = k(x_s + iy_s), \rho_s = kz_s, B = \cos(\pi/21) \left[ 8 - (\cos \alpha)^3/2 (11 - 3 \cos 2\alpha) \right], \text{ and } C = \cos(\pi/35) \left[ 12 - (\cos \alpha)^3/2 (7 + 3 \cos 2\alpha) \right]$. Equation (6) unveils SOI between polarization of the outgoing light and position of the particle, $\rho_s$, which manifests itself as an additional AM conversion in the system. Namely, upon transverse displacement of the particle, some parts of the SAM states $|\sigma^\pm \rangle$ acquire vortices $e^{\pm i\phi}$ bearing OAM $\mp 1$ per photon. This is because a transverse shift of the centre of gravity of the scattered field components $|1, 0 \rangle$ and $|-1, 2 \rangle$ is accompanied by generation of a vortex component with the OAM differing by 1 from...
FIG. 4: (Color online) (a) Distributions of the SAM density \( \tilde{S}_3(y) \) at the particle displacements \( x_s = \pm \lambda/3 \) for the linearly x-polarized incident light, cf. Fig. 3. Dark, dashed, and light curves represent theoretical calculations from Eq. (4), approximate analytical calculations from Eqs. (4) and (6), and experimental measurements, respectively. (b) The spin Hall effect in the intensity distribution \( S_0(y) \) at the particle positions \( x_s = 0, \pm \lambda/3 \) for the R-polarized incident light. (c) Theoretically calculated from Eq. (3) (curves) and experimentally measured (symbols) integral measure of the separation of the SAM, \( \Sigma_y \), vs. position of the particle, \( x_s \), for the x- and L-polarized incident light.

the original field \( \tilde{A} \), resulting in \( |1, -1 \rangle \) and \( |-1, 1 \rangle \) components, respectively. We have also verified the vortex and AM behavior described by Eqs. (4) and (6) by ab initio numerical simulations of the system; the results will be published elsewhere.

Figures 3 and 4 show that displacement-induced SOI, Eq. (6), describes various manifestations of the giant spin Hall effect of light in the polarization and intensity distributions. The theoretical and experimental results are in good agreement, and analytical approximation (6) works well even at relatively high displacements \( kr_s \approx 2 \). The observed macroscopic spin Hall effect can be employed as quantitative measures of the position of the particle. For example, the separation of spins along the \( y \)-axis can be characterized by the integral quantity \( \Sigma_y = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\lambda/3}^{\lambda/3} \tilde{S}_3|_{x=0} dy \). Figure 4(c) shows theoretically calculated dependence \( \Sigma_y(x_s) \) vs. the results of the experimental measurements. By measuring this quantity, we are able to detect displacements of the particle up to \( \lambda/10 \) even in our rather noisy system. Improving the quality of the system, the accuracy can be increased significantly since a \( \lambda/2 \) displacement of the particle translates to a unit variation in \( \Sigma_y \) (Fig. 4(c)). This is a proof-of-principle demonstration of capability to detect subwavelength-scale properties of the particles by using SOI of light.

To summarize, we have shown that far-field imaging of nano-objects with polarized light is accompanied by strong SOI and AM conversion. These effects are produced by interaction of the tightly focused field with the specimen, as well as by the imaging system itself. Owing to their subwavelength geometric origin, the SOI phenomena are highly sensitive to the position and scattering properties of the nano-object. In particular, we have demonstrated the giant spin Hall effect produced by subwavelength displacements of a nano-particle. In general, far-field SOI polarimetry offers a new type of probing, whereby polarization degrees of freedom of light carry and reveal fine information about subwavelength objects.
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