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ABSTRACT: Daily, a big extent of colored, partially treated textile effluents drained into the sanitation systems causing serious environmental concerns. Therefore, the decolorization treatment process of wastewater is crucial to improve effluent quality. In the present study, 3 different sorbent materials, nano zerovalent iron (nZVI), activated carbon (AC), and green-synthesized nano zerovalent iron (GT-nZVI), have been prepared for raw textile wastewater decolorization. The prepared nanomaterials were characterized via X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectroscopy, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis, and UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy. In addition, the effect of different operating parameters such as pH, contact time, and stirring rate on the color removal efficiency was extensively studied to identify the optimum removal conditions. The reaction temperature, adsorbent dose, and initial color concentration were fixed during the experiments at room temperature, 0.7 g/L, and 360 and 50 mg/L Pt/Co color unit, respectively. Moreover, adsorption and reaction kinetics were analyzed using different isotherms and models. For simulating the adsorption process, artificial neural network (ANN) data were compatible with the result of regression analysis derived from response surface methodology (RSM) optimization. Our results showed the higher ability of nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI in textile wastewater color removal. At pH 5, contact time 50 minutes, and stirring rate 150 rpm, nZVI showed good color removal efficiency of about 71% and 99% for initial color concentrations of 350 and 50 mg/L Pt/Co color unit, respectively. While slightly higher color removal ability of about 72% and 100% was achieved by using AC at pH 8, contact time 70 minutes, and stirring rate 250 rpm. Finally, the largest ability of color removal about 85% and 100% was recorded for GT-nZVI at pH 7, contact time 40 minutes, and stirring rate 150 rpm. This work shows the enhanced color removal ability of GT-nZVI as a potential textile wastewater decolorization material, opening the way for many industrial and environmental applications.
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Introduction and Backgrounds

Water is the secret of life for every living thing. It was accounted that about 97.5% of the whole accessible water on the earth is salty and unfeasible for being used. However, only 1% of the remaining 2.5% freshwaters are considered usable for human consumption.1,2 The United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) has stated that some African and European countries will be prone to water scarcity troubles by 2025. Moreover, 66% of the world populace could be insecure from the water crisis.3,4 Water pollution is the foremost cause of water squander as it wastes the chance for water to be reused, particularly these waters that come out of industrial processes.1,5 The textile industry is at the forefront of industries consuming and polluting water.5,6 It devours around 200 m3 of clean water to manufacture only 1 ton of fabric. Furthermore, the drainage of the resulting fabric wastewaters is highly posing a serious environmental impact as it characterized by incredible levels of chemical oxygen demand (COD), biological oxygen demand (BOD), pH along with robust color, and other organic and inorganic constituents.7,8 Dyes in water are often toxic and has a key impact on causing genotoxicity and carcinogenicity to the aquatic organisms. Moreover, it has devastating effects on humans and public health, and it can amplify the incidence of cancer, hemorrhage, fetus cerebral abnormalities, and dermatitis.9,10 In this way, dyes elimination from effluents is substantial before discharging to the environment.

Lately, it became a must for industrial factories to comply with the strict environmental laws, which deter poor discharge of industrial effluents.11 Therefore, numerous factories were considered tertiary treatment to enhance the quality of their effluent, for instance, ozonation,12 ultrafiltration,13 nano-filtration,14 and reverse osmosis.15 Despite all of these treatment techniques may be effective regarding the removal of dyes, they impact negatively on operational costs as periodic maintenance...
is highly obligatory. On the other side, photodegradation is widely considered for the removal of different types of dyes.\textsuperscript{16,17} Nevertheless, it possess drawbacks such as fast electron hole recombination, limited visible light response ability, low specific surface area for reaction, and difficult to be implemented in the treatment plants.\textsuperscript{18}

Adsorption is one of the highest potential techniques for textile effluent quality enhancement as a result of its simple operation, major elimination of dyes, and contaminants over low cost. Using adsorbent has great adsorption capability and needs little processing.\textsuperscript{19-22} Diverse natural materials such as timber sawdust,\textsuperscript{23} pine cone,\textsuperscript{24} chitosan,\textsuperscript{25} and natural clay\textsuperscript{8} have a fair adsorption efficiency of dyes from aqueous solutions, but they are incompetent in dealing with such hard complex real textile wastewaters. For raw textile wastewaters, activated carbon (AC) is one of the extensively used adsorbents rather than other materials for COD and color reduction, thanks to its great surface area and marvelous adsorption capability.\textsuperscript{26-28}

Recent studies have reported nano zerovalent iron (nZVI) as a highly efficient, less toxic, and cost-effective adsorbent for color removal.\textsuperscript{29-32} Heavy metals elimination,\textsuperscript{33,34} and organic impurities degradation.\textsuperscript{35} The reason for this is attributed to the nZVI abundant superior surface area and its great porous structure.\textsuperscript{36} On the other side, the application of using nZVI based on green synthesis preparation (GT-nZVI) has been proposed via today’s research as a promising eco-friendly economic adsorbent material with massive contaminants removal capability.\textsuperscript{37,38} In general, the adsorption process is affected by adsorbent dose, pH, stirring rate, and contact time for real textile wastewater treatment.\textsuperscript{19,28} The relationship between experimental factors and the response of interest (removal efficiency) can be evaluated using response surface methodology (RSM).\textsuperscript{39} Vargas et al\textsuperscript{40} applied RSM to investigate the adsorption performance of 3 dyes such as Acid Yellow 6 (AY-6), Acid Yellow 23 (AY-23), and Acid Red 18 (AR-18) onto AC produced from flamboyant pods (Delonix regia). The RSM well revealed the parameters that effect the ternary adsorption of each dye: pH for AY-23, adsorption time for AR-18, and initial concentration for AY-6 and AR-18.\textsuperscript{40} In another study, Ahmed S. Mahmoud et al (2019) studied the reduction of organic matter from municipal wastewater using GT-nZVI. The RSM based on linear regression enter method successfully predicted the correlation between the removal efficiency and different operating conditions.\textsuperscript{41}

The impacts of the experimental factors on the adsorption process performance can also be exhibited by artificial neural networks (ANN). Artificial neural networks have indicated an incredible guarantee in driving important connections between off-base data by interfacing input data with one another and with the output data.\textsuperscript{42,43} Daneshvar et al\textsuperscript{43} have developed an ANN model to predict the decolorization efficiency of the C.I. Basic Yellow 28 using the electrocoagulation process based on experimental data obtained from batch studies. The input parameters such as solution pH and conductivity, current density, initial concentration of dye, time of electrolysis, distance between the electrodes, and retention time were studied to predict the dye removal. They found that simulations based on the developed ANN model can estimate the behavior of the decolorization process under different conditions.\textsuperscript{43}

This work attempts to examine the removal of real color from textile wastewater using 3 different sorbent materials nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI. Batch studies were investigated to determine the effects of pH, adsorbent material dosage, contact time, concentration, and stirring rate for the best adsorption rates of real color. The mechanism of real color adsorption and maximum uptake have been thoroughly discussed by different adsorption isotherm models. Different kinetic models were performed to accurately specify the rate and order of reaction for the 3 studied sorbent materials. Response surface methodology based on linear regression enter method is conducted to reveal the color removal equation rather than optimum conditions. Artificial neural network (ANN) using multilayer perceptron (MLP) statistics algorithms was conducted to detect the relation between experimental factors and real color removal. This study was carried out based on the effluent wastewaters resulting from one of the textile factories in El-Sadat City, Menoufia-Egypt. This factory adopts the chemical treatment method represented in coagulation-flocculation and sedimentation process to treat about 200 m\textsuperscript{3} of raw textile wastewater daily. The wastewater received by this plant is usually produced from the dyeing and finishing processes of cotton fabrics, which is exceedingly polluted. Unluckily, the treated effluent quality was not as efficient as required by the Egyptian Standards to be discharged to the sewage networks or non-fresh waterways as mentioned in Table 2.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and reagents

The following chemicals were used in the current study: ferric chloride (FeCl\textsubscript{3}·6H\textsubscript{2}O, 98.5% pure; Arabic Lab.), sodium boron hydride (NaBH\textsubscript{4}, 99% pure; Win Lab.), ethyl alcohol (C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}O, 95% pure; World Co.), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 99% pure; Oxford Co.), sulfuric acid (H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, 95%-97%; Honeywell Co.), soft black tea, and activated charcoal (Powder, pH 6-9; Sigma-Aldrich Co.).

Preparations of nZVI

About 1.0812 g of ferric chloride (FeCl\textsubscript{3}·6H\textsubscript{2}O) was absolutely dissolved in 60 mL 4/1 (v/v) ethanol/deionized water mixture. The reducing agent used was prepared by dissolving exactly 0.7564 g of NaBH\textsubscript{4} at 200 mL of deionized water. The reducing NaBH\textsubscript{4} solution was poured in a burette and slowly dropped into the FeCl\textsubscript{3} solution.
with a rate of 1 drop/s. The black precipitate was immediately formed after the initial drops of NaBH₄ solution as explained in equation (1). The chemical reduction between NaBH₄ and FeCl₃ was used to form black nZVI. Following that, the resulting mixture was agitated for further 10 minutes after adding the excess amount of NaBH₄ to complete FeCl₃ reduction. Then, the normal filtration technique was operated to separate and wash the precipitated iron nanoparticles from the liquid solution using Whatman filter paper (No. 42, 100 circles, diameter 150 mm, and 2.5 µm pore size). Finally, the chemically prepared nZVI was dried at 80°C for 3 hours. For storage, the prepared nZVI was saved against oxidation by adding a layer of acetone:

\[
2\text{FeCl}_3 + 6\text{NaBH}_4 + 18\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe} + 2\text{H}_2 + 6\text{B(OH)}_3 + 6\text{NaCl}
\]  

(1)

**Preparation of GT-nZVI.** Synthesis of green synthesized nano zero iron was done by using drop-by-drop method using black tea. About 25 g of soft Kenyan black tea per liter of deionized water was boiled for 2 hours at 200°C, then cooled, and the solution was filtered by filter paper number 1. About 100 mL of extra pure ethanol/acetone solution (1:1) was added to the infiltrated dry tea, mixed for about 15 minutes at normal room temperature, and then filtrated again to extract the tea solution. About 2.3212 g of FeCl₃·6H₂O was dissolved in deionized water. The extracted prepared tea solution was emptied in a burette and dropped into FeCl₃, separated, washed, dried, and stored as detailed in section “Chemicals and Reagents.”

**Effect of operating parameters**

The effect of operating parameters was conducted by using Table 1.

**Batch adsorption studies**

The color adsorption onto different sorbents (nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI) was studied by batch technique at diverse operating parameters, for instance, pH: 1-12, dose: 0.05-1.0 g, stirring rate: 50-400 rpm, and contact time: 10-120 minutes. A known weight of adsorbent of 0.7 g was equilibrated with 1000 mL of an aqueous color solution of known concentrations (50-350 mg/L Pt/Co) in 1000 mL of Erlenmeyer flasks and then shaken at a known period of time at ambient temperature. After equilibration, the suspension of the adsorbent was detached using a rapid sand filter, and the remained concentrations were measured using spectrophotometer method according to *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater* (23rd edition). The percentage of removal efficiency was calculated using equation (2). The amount of sorbed color was calculated using equation (3):

\[
\text{Sorption (\%) = } \left( \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \right) \times 100
\]

(2)

where \(C_0\) is the initial concentration (mg/L Pt/Co) and \(C_e\) is the equilibrium concentration in solution (Pt/Co):

\[
Q_e (\text{mg/mg}) = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{m}
\]

(3)

where \(Q_e\) is the equilibrium adsorption capacity (mg/mg), \(V\) is the volume of aqueous solution (L), and \(m\) is the dry weight of the adsorbent (mg).

**Samples collection**

Textile wastewater samples were taken before and after receiving coagulation-flocculation and sedimentation treatment process as shown in Figure 1 (Steps 1-11). The samples were collected every single hour over an entire day (grab sampling) to characterize the effluent diversity from local textile mill located at Second Industrial Extend Zone – El-Sadat City, Menoufia-Egypt (30°21′42.9″N, 30°32′55.4″E). Then, the collected samples were reserved in unreacted plastic containers at 4°C overnight to avoid compound degradation and then transported to the laboratory for characterization.

**Characterization of nZVI and GT-nZVI**

A prepared nanoparticle was characterized using X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) by adding nanopowder sample in XRD machine holder, and then, the X-ray patterns were recorded at a radiation equal to 1.5418 Å (Cu-Kα), with voltage and current values of 40 mA and 40 kV, respectively. While the diffraction angle (2θ) extended from 0° to 80° at a step size of 0.0167°, scanning electron microscope (SEM) with energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis was performed to obtain the morphology and composition of the nanoparticles. Finally, the UV-Vis scanning spectrum from 190:1000 nm was performed to ensure the absence of impurities and hydroxides during the preparation process.

**Isotherm studies**

Different nonlinear isotherm models were conducted to describe the decolourization process into different sorbent materials including the most common 9 nonlinear equations of Freundlich, Langmuir, Redlich-Peterson, Hill, Sips, Khan, Toth, Koble-Corrigan, and Jovanovic as shown in Supplementary Table 1 (Table S1).

**Kinetic studies**

To determine the exact time to reach an equilibrium state, Pt/Co color solutions were placed in contact with sorbent
The amount of color removed at time \( t \), \( Q_t \) (mg/mg), was considered using equation (4):

\[
Q_t = \frac{(C_i - C_t)V}{W}
\]

where \( C_i \) is the initial concentration (mg/L), \( C_t \) is the initial concentration at time \( t \) (mg/L), \( V \) is the volume of the solution (L), and \( W \) is the sorbent dosages.

The kinetic process is investigated using pseudo first-order and second-order, Avrami, Elovich, and Intraparticle as shown in Supplementary Table S2 (Table S2).52-56

**Table 1.** The effect of pH, sorbent dose, contact time, stirring rate, and initial color concentration for textile wastewater treatment at temperature 25°C ± 3°C.

| ADSORBENT DOSE (G) | CONTACT TIME (MINUTES) | PH | STIRRING RATE (RPM) | CONCENTRATION (MG/L PT/CO) |
|---------------------|------------------------|----|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| NZVI, AC, AND GT-NZVI | NZVI AC GT-NZVI NZVI AC GT-NZVI NZVI AC GT-NZVI NZVI AC GT-NZVI | NZVI AC GT-NZVI NZVI AC GT-NZVI NZVI AC GT-NZVI NZVI AC GT-NZVI | NZVI AC GT-NZVI NZVI AC GT-NZVI NZVI AC GT-NZVI NZVI AC GT-NZVI |
| Effect of pH 0.7 | 50 70 40 1:12 | | | 350 |
| Effect of adsorbent dose 0.05:1 | 50 70 40 5 8 7 150 250 150 350 |
| Effect of contact time 0.7 | 10:120 5 8 7 150 250 150 350 |
| Effect of stirring rate 0.7 | 50 70 40 5 8 7 50:400 350 |
| Effect of concentration 0.7 | 50 70 40 5 8 7 150 250 150 50:350 |

Abbreviations: AC, activated carbon; GT-nZVI, green synthesized nano zerovalent iron; nZVI, nano zerovalent iron.

**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of existing and proposed treatment units with color inlet and outlet concentrations.

The kinetic process is investigated using pseudo first-order and second-order, Avrami, Elovich, and Intraparticle as shown in Supplementary Table S2 (Table S2).52-56

**Statistical analysis**

**Response surface methodology.** The RSM results were carried out using linear regression enter method to predict removal equation, which is important to use all and not restricted on optimum conditions by using equation (5):
\[ Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_4 + \beta_5 x_5 + \beta_6 x_6 \]  

where \( Y \) is the predicted removal percentages for color removal (%), \( x_1 \) is the pH (1-12), \( x_2 \) is the dose (0.05-1.0 g), \( x_3 \) is time (10-120 minutes), \( x_4 \) is the stirring rate (50-400 rpm), \( x_5 \) is the initial color concentration (50-350 mg/L Pt/Co), \( \beta_0 \) is the model intercept, and \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \) and \( \beta_5 \) are the linear coefficients of \( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \) and \( x_5 \), respectively.

**Neural network structure.** An artificial neural network (ANN) using MLP was established to predict the importance of each operating parameter and build the neural architecture to help understand the color removal and training artificial results to ensure the tested results. Artificial neural networks of input, hidden, and output layers were used to build artificial architecture. The data from the 5 independent coverable (pH, dose, time, stirring rate, and concentration) are shifted to the input layer. All the obtainable data are generally distributed into standard values for training 70%, validation, and testing 30% procedures and plotted by the system. The network type is multilayer perceptron backpropagation and it is one of the best commonly used neural network architectures.

**Results and Discussions**

**Characterization of nZVI and GT-nZVI**

Figure 2A displays the SEM characterization image of the prepared powder nZVI before treatment. The nZVI formed regular as well as irregular surface structure with an average size of 40 nm. Many pores were detected, which permits improved mass transfer and diffusion of color into the inner iron nanoparticles. Figure 2B shows EDX analysis of selected nZVI with particle size of 36 nm indicating that the main product in the prepared sample is iron.

Figure 2C shows the SEM characterization image of the prepared GT-nZVI before decolourization process. The formed GT-nZVI with the regular and irregular surface structure showed an average size of 80 nm. Figure 2D shows EDX analysis of selected GT-nZVI with particle size 83.7 nm indicating that the formation of nano Iron is covered by carbon and oxygen layer formed from the green extract. The outer carbon surface acts as AC to adsorb a huge amount of color compounds.

Figure 3A shows the XRD for the powder nZVI in the zero-valent state. The position of the peaks fitted well to the body-centered structure of Fe mineral (JCPDS card No. 87-0722) with diffraction angles 44.713° and 64.9° imputed to (110) and (200) planes, respectively. Also, this figure indicated that there is not any formation of oxides and hydroxides formed during the preparation process. Figure 3B shows the UV-Vis scanning spectrum of nZVI sample in extra pure ethanol at a wavelength between 190 and 1000 nm with a rate of 50 nm/min. This scanning spectrum indicates the formation of the adsorption peaks at 192 and 195 nm indicating the formation of nZVI with an average size between 10 and 100 nm (the main beak was observed at high energy levels of scanning spectrum). Also, the absence of other peaks during the spectrum shows that the formed nZVI in a pure form and the...
washing process prevents oxidation and formation of other by-products during the preparation-storage process.

Figure 3C of the XRD result shows 2 main peaks at \(2\theta = 44.59^\circ\) and 64.99° indicating the formation of pure nano iron powder and showing agreement with the other previous studies. All characterized results agree with previous preparations of a commercial product of nZVI. Figure 3D indicates no creation of oxides and hydroxides during the preparation-storage process.

**Effect of operating parameters**

**Effect of pH.** The effect of pH was considered at diverse pH values at acidic, neutral, and alkaline media ranged from 1 to 12 as displayed in Figure 4A. The obtained results suggested that nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI are effective for color elimination from textile effluents where the removal efficiency were 61%, 63%, 67%, 70%, 71%, 69%, 67%, 61%, 59%, 56%, 51%, and 44% after using nZVI; 46%, 55%, 58%, 61%, 64%, 67%, 70%, 72%, 70%, 69%, 64%, and 62% after using AC, and 71%, 75%, 78%, 81%, 83%, 84%, 85%, 84%, 83%, 81%, 78%, and 74% after using GT-nZVI using diverse pH values (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12), respectively. The obtained results presented that the effective pH for greatest removal efficiency was occurred at 5, 8, and 7 after using nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI, respectively. The existing textile color comes from both cationic and anionic coloring salts, which can be adsorbed by chemical adsorption process depending on the surface charge (negative or positive). Also, the other disperse dyes can be adsorbed using physical adsorption process. When the surface charge remains neutral, it can reach the point of zero charge (PZC), as well as it is the most suitable condition for the physical adsorption process. The PZC of the prepared nZVI is usually within pH 6-8 exactly at pH 7.7, above PZC result the surface will be charged with negative ions which making a repulsion force between negative ions and electrostatic attraction between positive ions.\(^{60-62}\) The PZC values determined for AC indicate their acidic nature, which means the charge of AC surface is neutral in slightly alkaline media.\(^{63}\) Also, powder accumulation may affect the removal efficiency results because it can affect particle mobility and reactivity causing a decrease in the sorbent materials surface area.\(^{64,65}\) Similarly, the optimum removal for methylene blue was achieved at pH 7.2 by adsorption on different types of commercial AC.\(^{66}\)

**Effect of adsorbent dose.** The effect of adsorbent materials dose was studied using different doses ranged from 0.05 to 1 g/L as shown in Figure 4C. The achieved results show that the removal efficiency increased by dose increase where the removal efficiency was 23%, 34%, 41%, 47%, 54%, 59%, 62%, 66%, 71%, 74%, 77%, and 78% after using nZVI; 40%, 45%, 49%, 53%, 57%, 59%, 63%, 66%, 72%, 75%, 78%, and 81% after using AC; and 46%, 54%, 72%, 75%, 77%, 80%, 82%, 83%, 85%, 87%, 90%, and 93% after using GT-nZVI using different doses (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, and 1 g/L), respectively. The minimum effective dose for best removal efficiency was 0.7 g/L. The removal efficiency was improved with dose, thanks to getting higher vacant site for adsorption and free electrons for degradation process.\(^{50,67,68}\)

**Effect of contact time.** The influence of contact time was considered at different times from 10 to 120 minutes as
shown in Figure 4C. The removal efficiency was 66%, 67%, 69%, 70%, 71%, 71%, 71%, 71%, 71%, 72%, and 72% after using nZVI; 60%, 62%, 63%, 66%, 68%, 70%, 72%, 72%, 73%, 74%, 75%, and 75% after using AC; and 77%, 81%, 83%, 85%, 85%, 86%, 86%, 87%, 87%, 87%, and 87% after using GT-nZVI at different time (10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 110, and 120 minutes), respectively. The minimum effective time was 50, 70, and 40 minutes after using nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI, respectively. The methylene blue elimination through AC has been decreased with the enlargement of contact time after 90 minutes, which might also be as a result of the desorption process.66 Alqadami et al.68 revealed that a 24.3 mg/g adsorption capacity for malachite green dye was achieved using trisodium citrate nanocomposite (Fe$_3$O$_4$-TSC) within 40 minutes of contact time at an adsorbent dose of 50 mg/25 mL and a solution pH 7. The initial fast adsorption rate was attributed to the accessibility of large number of binding sites at the exterior surface of the Fe$_3$O$_4$-TSC adsorbent. The slow adsorption rates at the end ascribed to the saturation of the binding sites and reaching equilibrium.

Effect of stirring rate. The effect of stirring rate was considered at different stirring rates ranged from 50 to 400 rpm as shown in Figure 4D. The removal efficiency is 68%, 69%, 71%, 71%, 71%, 71%, 71%, 71%, 71%, 71%, 71%, and 71% after using nZVI, 60, 64, 69, 70, 72, 72, 73%, and 73% after using AC, 82, 83, 85, 85, 85, 85, 85, and 85% after using GT-nZVI at diverse stirring rate (50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350, and 400 rpm), respectively. The minimum effective stirring rate was 150, 250, and 150 rpm after using nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI, respectively. Similarly, the optimum removal efficiency for methylene blue was achieved at 200 rpm for commercial AC.69

Effect of initial color concentration. The effect of initial concentration was considered at different dilutions ranged from 50 to 350 mg/L Pt/Co as shown in Figure 4E. The removal efficiency is 99%, 97%, 94%, 90%, 85%, and 71% after using nZVI, 100%, 98%, 95%, 93%, 88%, 80%, and 72% after using AC, and 100%, 100%, 98%, 95%, 92%, 89%, and 85% after using GT-nZVI at diverse concentrations (50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, and 350 mg/L Pt/Co), respectively. It was detected that the adsorbed rate of dye is better at great concentration initially and then
gradually attains equilibrium. In most cases, it is seen that the initial dye concentration and color removal are contrariwise associated with each other as active sites are saturated if there is high initial concentration. Corda and Kini\(^69\) have done methylene blue removal of 99% and 82.2% with concentration of 50 and 250, respectively, by using sawdust carbon.

**Effect of sorbent materials on other wastewater contaminants removal.** The effect of nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI on other textile wastewater was observed for pH, COD, BOD, total dissolved salts (TDS), total suspended solids (TSS), and total nitrogen (TN). Table 2 shows the chemical characterization of the raw and partially treated samples. All analyzed samples were in conflict with the Egyptian Standards for discharging on to sewer systems or non-fresh water bodies. In this study, the average polluted samples were selected to characterize the utmost critical scenario.

**Adsorption studies**

Table 3 and Figure 5 describe nonlinear relations between different adsorption isotherm models. However, nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI were represented by A, B, and C symbols. The adsorption isotherms were studied by applying nonlinear equations of Redlich-Peterson, Hill, Sips, Khan, Toth, Koble-Corrigan, Jovanovic, Freundlich, and Langmuir models. The achieved results pointed that the color adsorption onto nZVI meets Hill adsorption isotherm model with the lowest summation of errors 0.162. Hill model describes the binding of diverse categories into homogeneous substrates and supposes that one of the binding places founding into macromolecule can also have an effect on the binding sites in the identical molecule. Consequently, the adsorption process is a supportive phenomenon between adsorbent and adsorbate.\(^70,71\) From the Hill adsorption isotherm, the maximum uptake is 720 mg color/g nZVI as shown in Table 3.

The color adsorption onto AC follows Hill, Sips, and Koble-Corrigan isotherm models with the identical lowermost summation of errors 0.3749. Hill model defines the binding of different types into homogeneous substrates and Sips model describes heterogeneous adsorption isotherm process, which combines Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models. This model tends to approximate Freundlich model at low concentration and to solve the Freundlich limitation at high concentration through applying Langmuir adsorption model in the prediction of monolayer adsorption showing the maximum uptake is 1299 mg (color)/g (AC) and Koble-Corrigan model describes homogeneous and heterogeneous adsorption mechanisms.

Finally, the adsorption of color onto GT-nZVI obeys both Koble-Corrigan and Freundlich isotherm models with the same lowest summation of errors 1.9414. Koble-Corrigan model combines Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherm models along with the adsorption isotherm for pure color removal. So, the reaction mechanism can be described by Freundlich model.\(^72\) Freundlich model describes heterogeneous adsorption surface and reversible adsorption process, and the multilayer adsorption process can occur in the surface of sorbent materials (GT-nZVI). Also, the adsorption process is influenced by binding energy between adsorbed molecules and sorbent, as well as the adsorption energy declined regularly until vanishes with complete adsorption process.\(^73\) Table 4 describes the relationship between experimental and calculated Qe after solving nonlinear isotherm equation with constants in Table 3. The values in boldface represent the most suitable isotherm model that can describe the color adsorption onto nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI.

**Kinetic studies**

Figure 6 and Table 5 describe the different kinetic model relations. The kinetic analysis was carried by practicing nonlinear
Table 3. Nonlinear adsorption isotherm models results.

|               | REDLICH-PETERSON (1) | HILL (2) | SIPS (3) | KHAN (4) | TOTH (5) |
|---------------|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|               | A        | B        | C        | A        | B        | C        | A        | B        | C        | A        | B        | C        |
| Constants     | Kr       | 18.0     | 37.0     | 34.77    | QH       | 0.72     | 0.75     | 1216     | Qs       | 1.299    | 0.75     | 3.5      | Qk       | 0.103    | 0.15     | 0.166    | Kt       | 0.58     | 0.571    | 0.66     |
|               | Br       | 43.2     | 89.9     | 67.14    | nH       | 0.60     | 0.50     | 0.233    | Ks       | 1.395    | 8.67     | 0.0      | Bk       | 365.4    | 365      | 365      | a_t      | 0.02     | 0.014    | 0.01     |
|               | G        | 2.05     | 0.22     | 53.46    | KD       | 0.26     | 0.33     | 1583     | Bs       | 0.503    | 0.50     | 0.2      | Ak       | 0.656    | 0.74     | 0.678    | t        | 0.71     | 0.698    | 0.77     |
| Errors        | Chi      | A        | 0.02     | 0.06     | 0.999    | 0.000    | 0.0028   | 0.177    | 0.001    | 0.0027   | 0.0992   | 0.0014   | 0.0189   | 0.8290   | 0.020    | 0.0807   | 0.9901   |
|               | ERRSSQ   | A        | 0.00     | 0.00     | 0.028    | 0.000    | 0.0005   | 0.013    | 0.000    | 0.0005   | 0.0135   | 0.0002   | 0.0012   | 0.0260   | 0.001    | 0.0029   | 0.0275   |
|               | HYBRD    | A        | 0.01     | 0.02     | 0.221    | 0.000    | 0.0028   | 0.081    | 0.001    | 0.0028   | 0.0663   | 0.0014   | 0.0127   | 0.2149   | 0.012    | 0.0331   | 0.2214   |
|               | MPSD     | A        | 0.16     | 0.37     | 2.021    | 0.004    | 0.0210   | 0.545    | 0.007    | 0.0211   | 0.3711   | 0.0142   | 0.1583   | 1.9875   | 0.170    | 0.4290   | 2.0209   |
|               | ARE      | A        | 0.56     | 0.86     | 2.340    | 0.135    | 0.2972   | 1.426    | 0.199    | 0.2973   | 1.1585   | 0.2113   | 0.5761   | 2.1864   | 0.564    | 0.9399   | 2.3397   |
|               | EABS     | A        | 0.06     | 0.09     | 0.307    | 0.020    | 0.0505   | 0.256    | 0.034    | 0.0505   | 0.2571   | 0.0288   | 0.0699   | 0.2650   | 0.067    | 0.1034   | 0.3071   |
| Sum           | A        | 0.82     | 1.43     | 5.916    | 0.162    | 0.3749   | 2.500    | 0.243    | 0.3749   | 1.9658   | 0.2573   | 0.8373   | 5.5088   | 0.835    | 1.5889   | 5.9068   |

|               | KOBLE-CORRIGAN (6) | JOVANOVIC (7) | FREUNDLICH (8) | LANGMUIR (9) |
|---------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
|               | A        | B        | C        | A        | B        | C        | A        | B        | C        |
| Constants     | A       | 2.829    | 2.264    | 0.650    | Q_m      | 0.338    | 0.341    | 0.415    | K_t      | 0.922    | 0.827    | 0.650    | Q_0       | 0.417    | 0.398    | 0.518    |
|               | B       | 3.990    | 2.989    | 0.000    | K_f      | 40.35    | 55.387   | 63.254   | n        | 2.417    | 2.900    | 5.292    | B         | 42.7     | 69.436   | 67.145   |
|               | D       | 0.616    | 0.507    | 0.189    | 0.616    | 0.507    | 0.189    | 0.616    | 0.507    | 0.189    | 0.616    | 0.507    | 0.189    | 0.616    | 0.507    | 0.189    |
| Errors        | Chi error| A        | 0.000    | 0.0028   | 0.0912   | 0.0442   | 0.1627   | 0.8457   | 0.002    | 0.0039   | 0.0912   | 0.0201   | 0.0807   | 0.9973   |
|               | ERRSSQ  | A        | 0.000    | 0.005    | 0.0129   | 0.0024   | 0.0049   | 0.0293   | 0.000    | 0.0009   | 0.0129   | 0.0011   | 0.0029   | 0.0275   |
|               | HYBRD   | A        | 0.000    | 0.0028   | 0.0632   | 0.0237   | 0.0518   | 0.2292   | 0.003    | 0.0039   | 0.0632   | 0.0125   | 0.0331   | 0.2214   |
|               | MPSD    | A        | 0.005    | 0.0210   | 0.3538   | 0.2999   | 0.6349   | 2.0584   | 0.028    | 0.0223   | 0.3538   | 0.1701   | 0.4290   | 2.0209   |
|               | ARE     | A        | 0.143    | 0.2972   | 1.1667   | 0.8566   | 1.2438   | 2.4598   | 0.343    | 0.3567   | 1.1667   | 0.5646   | 0.9399   | 2.3397   |
|               | EABS    | A        | 0.021    | 0.0505   | 0.2536   | 0.1108   | 0.1499   | 0.3375   | 0.053    | 0.0707   | 0.2536   | 0.0673   | 0.1034   | 0.3071   |
| Error sum     | A        | 0.171    | 0.3749   | 1.9414   | 1.3375   | 2.2481   | 5.9599   | 0.431    | 0.4585   | 1.9414   | 0.8357   | 1.5889   | 5.9140   |
Figure 5. Isotherm studies for color removal after using nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI. (A) Isotherm studies for color removal from textile wastewater by using nZVI. (B) Isotherm studies for color removal from textile wastewater by using AC. (C) Isotherm studies for color removal from textile wastewater by using GT-nZVI. AC indicates activated carbon; GT-nZVI, green synthesized nano zerovalent iron; nZVI, nano zerovalent iron.

Table 4. Experimental and calculated $Q_e$ for color removal using nZVI, AC and GT-nZVI.

| AFTER USING NZVI | CALC. $Q_e$ (1) | CALC. $Q_e$ (2) | CALC. $Q_e$ (3) | CALC. $Q_e$ (4) | CALC. $Q_e$ (5) | CALC. $Q_e$ (6) | CALC. $Q_e$ (7) | CALC. $Q_e$ (8) | CALC. $Q_e$ (9) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0.068            | 0.041          | 0.065          | 0.071          | 0.062          | 0.040          | 0.064          | 0.032          | 0.077          | 0.040          |
| 0.129            | 0.126          | 0.135          | 0.136          | 0.138          | 0.125          | 0.134          | 0.112          | 0.137          | 0.125          |
| 0.186            | 0.190          | 0.185          | 0.182          | 0.186          | 0.190          | 0.185          | 0.184          | 0.181          | 0.190          |
| 0.237            | 0.248          | 0.235          | 0.231          | 0.234          | 0.247          | 0.235          | 0.252          | 0.228          | 0.247          |
| 0.282            | 0.289          | 0.279          | 0.275          | 0.276          | 0.288          | 0.279          | 0.297          | 0.273          | 0.288          |
| 0.317            | 0.321          | 0.321          | 0.320          | 0.321          | 0.321          | 0.321          | 0.323          | 0.321          | 0.321          |
| 0.353            | 0.339          | 0.352          | 0.355          | 0.354          | 0.340          | 0.352          | 0.332          | 0.360          | 0.340          |

| AFTER USING AC   | EXP. $Q_e$ (1) | CALC. $Q_e$ (2) | CALC. $Q_e$ (3) | CALC. $Q_e$ (4) | CALC. $Q_e$ (5) | CALC. $Q_e$ (6) | CALC. $Q_e$ (7) | CALC. $Q_e$ (8) | CALC. $Q_e$ (9) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0.070            | 0.028          | 0.062          | 0.062          | 0.043          | 0.026          | 0.062          | 0.018          | 0.076          | 0.026          |
| 0.134            | 0.120          | 0.138          | 0.138          | 0.138          | 0.117          | 0.138          | 0.096          | 0.142          | 0.117          |
| 0.191            | 0.212          | 0.206          | 0.206          | 0.210          | 0.213          | 0.206          | 0.204          | 0.201          | 0.213          |
| 0.249            | 0.255          | 0.242          | 0.242          | 0.245          | 0.256          | 0.242          | 0.260          | 0.235          | 0.256          |
| 0.296            | 0.296          | 0.285          | 0.285          | 0.285          | 0.298          | 0.285          | 0.309          | 0.278          | 0.298          |
| 0.330            | 0.330          | 0.330          | 0.330          | 0.328          | 0.330          | 0.330          | 0.334          | 0.329          | 0.330          |
| 0.359            | 0.349          | 0.364          | 0.364          | 0.363          | 0.348          | 0.364          | 0.340          | 0.372          | 0.348          |

| AFTER USING GT-nZVI | EXP. $Q_e$ (1) | CALC. $Q_e$ (2) | CALC. $Q_e$ (3) | CALC. $Q_e$ (4) | CALC. $Q_e$ (5) | CALC. $Q_e$ (6) | CALC. $Q_e$ (7) | CALC. $Q_e$ (8) | CALC. $Q_e$ (9) |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0.071               | 0.000          | 0.053          | 0.071          | 0.001          | 0.000          | 0.074          | 0.000          | 0.074          | 0.000          |
| 0.143               | 0.000          | 0.053          | 0.071          | 0.001          | 0.000          | 0.074          | 0.000          | 0.074          | 0.000          |

(Continued)
The obtained results indicated that nZVI accepts the Elovich kinetic model with the lowest summation of errors equals to 0.086 as shown in Table 5. Recently, the Elovich model has been used to describe contaminants that are transferring from the aqueous solution to the solid phase as explained in Table S2. In the case of AC, the kinetic model that can describe kinetic mechanisms is the intraparticle model with the lowest summation of errors equal to 0.124, which means that the adsorbate can transport from the aqueous solution phase to the solid phase of AC as sorbent through an intraparticle diffusion process. Also, this model takes into consideration the mass transfer resistance inside the adsorbent particles and neglects film diffusion.

In the case of GT-nZVI, the kinetic model which can describe kinetic mechanisms is pseudo-second-order model with the lowest summation of errors equal to 0.074, which means that the reaction is more likely to be chemisorption. Table 6 describes the relation between experimental and calculated $Q_t$ after solving nonlinear kinetic equations with the existing constants in Table 5. The values in boldface represent the most suitable kinetic model that can describe the adsorption kinetic mechanisms if color adsorption onto nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI at the optimum times.

### Statistical analysis

**Response surface methodology.** The effect of pH, adsorbent dose, contact time, stirring rate, and initial concentration in the textile effluent color removal efficiency is listed in Table 7. In the case of the effect of nZVI and GT-nZVI, positive linear effect of the independent effects “pH,” “dose,” and “concentration” were observed to be significant at $P < .05$. However, insignificant effect ($P > .05$) was determined for “contact time” and “stirring rate” indicating high reactivity and good dispersed effect of nZVI toward color removal.

In the case of the effect of AC, positive linear effect of the independent effects such as “pH,” “dose,” “contact time,” “stirring rate,” and “concentration” was observed to be significant at $P < .05$ indicating that the color removal depends on all effect together.

### Table 4. (Continued)

| AFTER USING GT-NZVI | EXP. $Q_e$ | CALC. $Q_e$ | CALC. $Q_e$ | CALC. $Q_e$ | CALC. $Q_e$ | CALC. $Q_e$ | CALC. $Q_e$ | CALC. $Q_e$ | CALC. $Q_e$ |
|---------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| (1)                 | 0.204     | 0.173       | 0.246       | 0.258       | 0.186       | 0.173       | 0.258       | 0.157       | 0.258       | 0.173       |
| (2)                 | 0.260     | 0.283       | 0.302       | 0.304       | 0.276       | 0.283       | 0.304       | 0.282       | 0.304       | 0.283       |
| (3)                 | 0.318     | 0.336       | 0.333       | 0.328       | 0.327       | 0.336       | 0.330       | 0.342       | 0.330       | 0.336       |
| (4)                 | 0.373     | 0.375       | 0.361       | 0.350       | 0.372       | 0.375       | 0.352       | 0.380       | 0.352       | 0.375       |
| (5)                 | 0.424     | 0.404       | 0.388       | 0.370       | 0.416       | 0.404       | 0.373       | 0.400       | 0.373       | 0.404       |

**Abbreviations:** AC, activated carbon; GT-nZVI, green synthesized nano zerovalent iron; nZVI, nano zerovalent iron.
| Model | PFO (MODEL 1) | PSO (MODEL 2) | ELOVICH (MODEL 3) | AVRAMI (MODEL 4) | INTRAPARTICLE (MODEL 5) |
|-------|--------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|
|       | A  B  C     | A  B  C      | A  B  C            | A  B  C          | A  B  C                |
| Constants | Q<sub>e</sub> | Q<sub>e</sub> | α  2.43E08        | Q<sub>a</sub> | 5.97 5.97 5.98         |
|         | 0.35 0.35 0.43 | 0.36 0.37 0.44 |                  | 5.98 5.98 5.98 | 0.06 0.05 0.011        |
|         | 0.06 0.01 0.01 | 0.06 0.05 0.01 |                  | 0.01 0.01 0.01 | 0.00 0.00 0.00          |
| K1     | 0.26 0.17 0.22 | 2.59 0.84 1.56 | β  78.92 80.27   | Kav  5.98 5.98 | 0.06 0.05 0.38          |
|        | 5.97 5.97 5.98 |                      | 64.8              | 0.38 0.26 0.38 |
|        | 0.06 0.05 0.01 |                      |                   | 0.38 0.26 0.38 |
| Nav    | 5.98 5.98 5.98 |                      |                   | 0.06 0.05 0.05 |

**ERRORS**

|       | A  B  C     | A  B  C      | A  B  C            | A  B  C          | A  B  C                |
|-------|--------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Chi   | 0.001 0.014 0.002 | 0.000 0.005 0.000 | 0.000 0.010 0.001 | 0.000 0.001 0.000 | 0.000 0.000 0.001     |
| ERRSQ | 0.000 0.004 0.001 | 0.000 0.002 0.000 | 0.000 0.003 0.000 | 0.000 0.000 0.000 | 0.000 0.000 0.000     |
| HYBRD | 0.001 0.014 0.002 | 0.000 0.005 0.000 | 0.000 0.010 0.001 | 0.000 0.001 0.000 | 0.000 0.000 0.001     |
| MPSD  | 0.004 0.041 0.004 | 0.001 0.016 0.000 | 0.000 0.030 0.001 | 0.000 0.003 0.001 | 0.001 0.001 0.002     |
| ARE   | 0.176 0.629 0.178 | 0.074 0.391 0.052 | 0.063 0.544 0.083 | 0.066 0.133 0.080 | 0.108 0.091 0.127     |
| EABS  | 0.061 0.215 0.075 | 0.026 0.132 0.022 | 0.022 0.185 0.034 | 0.023 0.044 0.033 | 0.038 0.031 0.053     |
| Sum   | 0.244 0.917 0.262 | 0.102 0.551 0.074 | 0.086 0.782 0.120 | 0.090 0.181 0.114 | 0.148 0.124 0.184     |

Abbreviations: PFO, pseudo first order; PSO, pseudo second order.
Table 6. Experimental and calculated $Q_t$ for color removal using nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI.

| TIME | AFTER USING NZVI | AFTER USING AC | AFTER USING AC | AFTER USING GT-NZVI |
|------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
|      | $Q_t$ M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 | $Q_t$ M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 | $Q_t$ M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 | $Q_t$ M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 |
| 10   | 0.329 0.326 0.325 0.329 0.333 0.300 0.286 0.282 0.324 0.290 0.296 | 0.386 0.382 0.383 0.398 0.392 0.397 | 0.405 0.409 0.409 0.409 0.405 0.405 |
| 20   | 0.334 0.350 0.341 0.338 0.338 0.310 0.338 0.320 0.332 0.312 0.311 | 0.404 0.422 0.409 0.409 0.409 0.405 | 0.417 0.426 0.418 0.415 0.412 0.411 |
| 30   | 0.344 0.352 0.347 0.343 0.342 0.317 0.348 0.336 0.338 0.325 0.322 | 0.424 0.427 0.423 0.419 0.418 0.416 | 0.417 0.426 0.418 0.416 0.416 0.416 |
| 40   | 0.349 0.352 0.350 0.347 0.345 0.329 0.350 0.344 0.341 0.335 0.331 | 0.424 0.427 0.423 0.419 0.418 0.416 | 0.424 0.427 0.423 0.420 0.420 0.420 |
| 50   | 0.353 0.352 0.352 0.350 0.348 0.339 0.350 0.349 0.344 0.343 0.339 | 0.424 0.427 0.426 0.423 0.422 0.420 | 0.424 0.427 0.426 0.423 0.422 0.420 |
| 60   | 0.354 0.352 0.353 0.352 0.351 0.349 0.350 0.353 0.346 0.350 0.347 | 0.429 0.427 0.428 0.426 0.426 0.424 | 0.429 0.427 0.426 0.424 0.424 0.424 |
| 70   | 0.356 0.352 0.354 0.354 0.354 0.353 0.359 0.350 0.355 0.348 0.354 | 0.429 0.427 0.430 0.428 0.429 0.428 | 0.429 0.427 0.430 0.428 0.429 0.428 |
| 80   | 0.356 0.352 0.355 0.356 0.355 0.361 0.350 0.357 0.357 0.360 0.360 | 0.431 0.427 0.431 0.430 0.432 0.431 | 0.431 0.427 0.431 0.430 0.432 0.431 |
| 90   | 0.356 0.352 0.355 0.357 0.357 0.367 0.350 0.359 0.359 0.360 0.360 | 0.433 0.427 0.432 0.432 0.434 0.435 | 0.433 0.427 0.432 0.432 0.434 0.435 |
| 100  | 0.356 0.352 0.356 0.355 0.359 0.371 0.350 0.360 0.353 0.369 0.372 | 0.434 0.427 0.432 0.433 0.436 0.438 | 0.434 0.427 0.433 0.435 0.438 0.438 |
| 110  | 0.357 0.352 0.356 0.360 0.360 0.374 0.350 0.361 0.354 0.372 0.377 | 0.434 0.427 0.433 0.435 0.438 0.440 | 0.434 0.427 0.433 0.435 0.438 0.440 |
| 120  | 0.360 0.352 0.356 0.361 0.363 0.376 0.350 0.362 0.355 0.376 0.382 | 0.437 0.427 0.433 0.436 0.440 0.443 | 0.437 0.427 0.433 0.436 0.440 0.443 |

Abbreviations: AC, activated carbon; GT-nZVI, green synthesized nano zerovalent iron; nZVI, nano zerovalent iron.
### Table 7. $t$ statistics and $P$ values for coefficients of the pure linear regression model.

| VARIABLE | TERM | ESTIMATE | STANDARD ERROR | $t$ RATIO | PROB > | EFFECT* | MODEL (ENTER/REMOVE) |
|----------|------|----------|----------------|-----------|---------|---------|----------------------|
|          |      |          |                |           |         |         | STANDARD ERROR | $R^2$ | ADJUSTED $R^2$ | $F$     |
|          |      |          |                |           |         |         | SIG       |
| Color removal using nZVI | | | | | | | |
| Constant | $\beta_0$ | 80.991 | 4.971 | 16.292 | 0.000 | Significant | 4.431 | 0.950 | 0.903 | 83.858 | <0.001 |
| pH       | $\beta_1$ | $-2.040$ | 0.349 | $-5.850$ | 0.000 | Significant |               |         |         |         |
| Ads. dose | $\beta_2$ | 48.721 | 3.511 | 13.875 | 0.000 | Significant |               |         |         |         |
| Contact time | $\beta_3$ | 0.057 | 0.035 | 1.622 | 0.112 | Insignificant |               |         |         |         |
| Stirring rate | $\beta_4$ | 0.014 | 0.012 | 1.206 | 0.234 | Insignificant |               |         |         |         |
| Concentration | $\beta_5$ | $-0.119$ | 0.010 | $-12.053$ | 0.000 | Significant |               |         |         |         |
| Color removal using AC | | | | | | | |
| Constant | $\beta_0$ | 51.943 | 6.216 | 8.356 | 0.000 | Significant | 4.041 | 0.951 | 0.904 | 84.800 | <0.001 |
| pH       | $\beta_1$ | 1.833 | 0.317 | 5.776 | 0.000 | Significant |               |         |         |         |
| Ads. dose | $\beta_2$ | 38.366 | 3.188 | 12.036 | 0.000 | Significant |               |         |         |         |
| Contact time | $\beta_3$ | 0.140 | 0.034 | 4.169 | 0.000 | Significant |               |         |         |         |
| Stirring rate | $\beta_4$ | 0.034 | 0.012 | 2.741 | 0.009 | Significant |               |         |         |         |
| Concentration | $\beta_5$ | $-0.121$ | 0.009 | $-13.505$ | 0.000 | Significant |               |         |         |         |
| Color removal using GT-ZVI | | | | | | | |
| Constant | $\beta_0$ | 64.874 | 5.316 | 12.204 | 0.000 | Significant | 4.012 | 0.918 | 0.842 | 42.69 | <0.001 |
| pH       | $\beta_1$ | 1.847 | 0.459 | 4.028 | 0.000 | Significant |               |         |         |         |
| Ads. dose | $\beta_2$ | 35.942 | 3.243 | 11.082 | 0.000 | Significant |               |         |         |         |
| Contact time | $\beta_3$ | 0.051 | 0.029 | 1.733 | 0.091 | Insignificant |               |         |         |         |
| Stirring rate | $\beta_4$ | 0.005 | 0.011 | 0.438 | 0.664 | Insignificant |               |         |         |         |
| Concentration | $\beta_5$ | $-0.061$ | 0.009 | $-6.612$ | 0.000 | Significant |               |         |         |         |

**Abbreviations:** AC, activated carbon; GT-nZVI, green synthesized nano zerovalent iron; nZVI, nano zerovalent iron.
The coefficient of determination between measured data and simulated results ($R^2$), adjusted $R^2$, $F$ factor, standard error, and $P$ value of each contaminant model were placed in Table 7. The high $R^2$ value (more than 90% after using nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI) suggested the reliability of the model. Equation (5) showed all regression models (significant and insignificant):

\[(Y \% \text{ after using nZVI}) = 80.991 - 2.040 \times x_1 + 48.721 \times x_2 + 0.057 \times x_3 + 0.014 \times x_4 - 0.119 \times x_5\]

\[(Y \% \text{ after using AC}) = 51.943 + 1.833 \times x_1 + 38.366 \times x_2 + 0.140 \times x_3 + 0.034 \times x_4 - 0.121 \times x_5\]

\[(Y \% \text{ after using GT-nZVI}) = 64.874 + 1.847 \times x_1 + 32.942 \times x_2 + 0.051 \times x_1 + 0.005 \times x_4 - 0.061 \times x_5\]

where $Y$ is the predicted response of color removal efficiency (%), $x_1$ is the contact time (10-120 minutes), $x_2$ is the adsorbent dose (0.05-1.0 g), $x_3$ is the pH (1-12), $x_4$ is the stirring rate (50-400 rpm), color concentration is 50-350 mg/L Pt/Co, $\beta_0$ is the model intercept, and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$, and $\beta_5$ are the linear coefficients of $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$, and $x_5$, respectively.

Artificial neural network. Backpropagation statistical algorithms model was used to build the neural networks architectures. The neural network model adapted operating coverable (pH, dose, time, stirring rate, and concentration) by connecting weight and bias through a continuous progression to build the artificial neural network architectures for color removal (target) as shown in Figure 7.44,74

Each color contaminant removal was calculated using training and testing techniques without any excluded as explained in Table 8.

Table 8 shows the obtained ANNs of testing and training results indicating that the SSE for all parameter was acceptable showing agreement with RSM results and chemical explanation.

Figure 8A to C shows the relation between the residual results and predictive results indicating that there is no significant difference between them (−7.5%, +5%) after using nZVI, (−15%, +5%) after using AC, and (−15%, +10%) after using GT-nZVI.

Table 11 and Figure 9 show the importance and normalized importance results for each coverable effects on color removal using nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI. The normalized importance agreed with previous discussions of effect of operating parameter and RSM statistic algorithm.

Conclusions

This study investigates the impact of using nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI for color adsorption from partially treated fabric wastewater. The maximum environmental conditions for color elimination were pH 5, contact time 50 minutes, and stirring rate 150 rpm for nZVI; pH 8, contact time 70 minutes, and stirring rate 250 rpm for AC; and pH 7, contact time 40 minutes, and stirring rate 150 rpm for GT-nZVI,
Table 9. Network information.

|                      | NZVI | AC | GT-NZVI |
|----------------------|------|----|---------|
| **Input layer**      | Covariates   | 5 (pH, dose, time, stirring rate, and concentration) | |
| Number of units      | 5    |    |         |
| Rescaling method for covariates | Normalized |    |         |
| **Hidden layer(s)**  | Number of hidden layers | 1 |         |
| Number of units in hidden layer | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Activation function  | Hyperbolic tangent |    |         |
| **Output layer**     | Dependent variables | Removal | |
| Number of units      | 1    |    |         |
| Rescaling method for scale dependents | Standardized |    |         |
| Activation function  | Identity |    |         |
| Error function       | Sum of squares |    |         |

Abbreviations: AC, activated carbon; GT-nZVI, green synthesized nano zerovalent iron; nZVI, nano zerovalent iron.

Table 10. Model summaries.

|                      | NZVI | AC | GT-NZVI |
|----------------------|------|----|---------|
| **Training**         |      |    |         |
| Sum of squares error | 0.726| 0.128| 1.636   |
| Relative error       | 0.054| 0.007| 0.093   |
| Stopping rule used   | One consecutive step(s) with no decrease in error | |
| **Testing**          |      |    |         |
| Sum of squares error | 0.175| 0.641| 0.587   |
| Relative error       | 0.023| 0.111| 0.248   |

Abbreviations: AC, activated carbon; GT-nZVI, green synthesized nano zerovalent iron; nZVI, nano zerovalent iron.

Figure 8. Relation between the residual results and predictive results for color removal after using nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI. (A) Relation between predicted model and residual model after using nZVI. (B) Relation between predicted model and residual model after using AC. (C) Relation between predicted model and residual model after using GT-nZVI. AC indicates activated carbon; GT-nZVI, green synthesized nano zerovalent iron; nZVI, nano zerovalent iron.
respectively. At constant dose of 0.7 g/L and room temperature concerning the obtained optimum environmental parameters for each sorbent material, the best color removal efficiency for 350 and 50 mg/L Pt/Co color unit was achieved to be 71% and 99%, respectively, after using nZVI; 72% and 100%, respectively, after using AC; and about 85% and 100%, respectively, after using GT-nZVI. The isotherm study pointed that nZVI color adsorption meets Hill adsorption isotherm model. AC color adsorption meets Hill, Sips, and Koble-Corrigan isotherm models. As well as, GT-nZVI color adsorption meets both Koble-Corrigan and Freundlich isotherm models. The kinetic study pointed that nZVI adsorption follows the Elovich kinetic model, AC adsorption the intraparticle model, and GT-nZVI meets pseudo-second-order model. The ANN and RSM models were able to predict and simulate the adsorption process of color. In conclusion, this study suggests the use of GT-nZVI rather than AC or nZVI due to its amazing removal efficiency and simple eco-friendly preparation steps.

**Table 11. Independent variable importance.**

| Importance | NZVI | AC | GT-NZVI |
|------------|------|----|---------|
| pH         | 0.227| 0.147| 0.203  |
| Adsorbent dose | 0.363| 0.342| 0.431  |
| Contact time | 0.083| 0.119| 0.087  |
| Stirring rate | 0.010| 0.085| 0.047  |
| Concentration | 0.318| 0.306| 0.232  |

| Normalized importance | NZVI | AC | GT-NZVI |
|------------------------|------|----|---------|
| pH                     | 62.5%| 43.1%| 47.1%   |
| Adsorbent dose         | 100.0%| 100.0%| 100.0%  |
| Contact time           | 22.8%| 34.9%| 20.2%   |
| Stirring rate          | 2.8% | 24.8%| 10.9%   |
| Concentration          | 87.6%| 89.5%| 54.0%   |

Abbreviations: AC, activated carbon; GT-nZVI, green synthesized nano zerovalent iron; nZVI, nano zerovalent iron.

**Figure 9.** Importance and normalized importance results for color removal after using nZVI, AC, and GT-nZVI. (A) Importance and normalized importance for color removal from textile wastewater using nZVI. (B) Importance and normalized importance for color removal from textile wastewater using AC. (C) Importance and normalized importance for color removal from textile wastewater using GT-nZVI. AC indicates activated carbon; GT-nZVI, green synthesized nano zerovalent iron; nZVI, nano zerovalent iron.

**Author Contributions**

AK contributed to sample collection, system build-up, effect of operating parameters, and ANN modeling by applying nonlinear MLP statistical algorithms writing and reviewing. KZ contributed to error functions analyzing and response surface methodologies regression analysis writing and reviewing. ASM contributed to preparation of nZVI, GT-nZVI preparation, characterization, application of real textile sample, kinetic
and isotherm studies, writing, and reviewing. RSF contributed to kinetic and isotherm studies, writing, and reviewing.

ORCID iDs
Ahmed Karam https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2075-63X
Ahmed S Mahmoud https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3092-8056

Supplemental material
Supplemental material for this article is available online.

REFERENCES
1. Ranade VY, Bhardari VM. Industrial Wastewater Treatment, Recycling and Reuse. Oxford, UK: Butterworth-Heinemann; 2014.
2. Shiklomanov IA. The world’s water resources. In: Proceedings of the International Symposium to Commemorate 25 Years of the IHP. Paris, France: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. Vol. 25. 1991:93-105.
3. United Nations Environment Program (UNEP). Global Environment Outlook 4 (GEO-4): Environment for Life. Geneva: UNEP; 2007.
4. Water UN. Coping with water scarcity: challenge of the twenty-first century. Prepared for World Water Day; 2006. http://www.fao.org/3/a-aq444e.pdf.
5. Nemerow NL. Industrial Water Pollution: Origins, Characteristics, and Treatment. Malabar, FL: Robert E. Krieger; 1987.
6. Paul SA, Chavan SK, Khambe SD. Studies on characterization of textile industrial wastewater in Solapur city. Int J Chem Sci. 2012;10:635-642.
7. Ghaly AE, Ananthashankar R, Alhattab M, Ramakrishnan VV. Production, characterization and treatment of textile effluents: a critical review. J Chem Eng Process Technol. 2014;5:1-19.
8. Aksar ST, Uysal R. Stabilized clay with high adsorption capacity for effective removal of C.I. Acid Red 88 from aqueous solutions: batch and dynamic flow mode studies. Chem Eng J. 2010;162:591-598.
9. Puvaneswari N, Muthukrishnan J, Gunasekaran P. Toxicity assessment and microbial degradation of azo dyes. Indian J Exp Biol. 2006;44:618-626.
10. Malik A, Grohmann E, Akhtar R. Environmental Deterioration and Human Health. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer; 2014.
11. Elawad A, Karam A, Zaher K. Using an algal photo-bioreactor as a polishing step for secondary treated wastewater. Pol J Environ Stud. 2017;26:1493-1500.
12. Sevimli MF, Sarikaya HZ. Ozone treatment of textile effluents and dyes: effect of applied ozone dose, pH and dye concentration. J Chem Technol Biotechnol. 2002;77:842-850.
13. Alventosa-de Lara E, Barredo-Damas S, Alcain-Miranda MI, Ibura-Clar MI. Ultratitration technology with a ceramic membrane for reactive dye removal: optimization of membrane performance. J Hazard Mater. 2012;209:492-500.
14. Tavangar T, Jalali K, Shahmirzadi MAA, Karimi M. Toward real textile waste -8056.
15. Malik A, Grohmann E, Akhtar R. Environmental Deterioration and Human Health. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer; 2014.
16. Elawad A, Karam A, Zaher K. Using an algal photo-bioreactor as a polishing step for secondary treated wastewater. Pol J Environ Stud. 2017;26:1493-1500.
17. Kumar A, Naushad M, Rana A, et al. ZnSe-WO3 nano-hetero-assembly stacked hydrogel. Desalin Water Treat. 2019;66:1435-1449.
18. Li S, Wang W, Liang F, Zhang W-X. Heavy metal removal using nanoscale zero-valent iron (nZVI): theory and application. J Hazard Mater. 2017;322:163-171.
19. Shahwan T, Sirriah SA, Nairat M, et al. Green synthesis of iron nanoparticles and their application as a Fenton-like catalyst for the degradation of aqueous cat-ionic and anionic dyes. Chem Eng J. 2011;172:258-266.
20. Karimifar S, Mohaddasf MRA. Application of response surface methodology in physicochemical removal of dyes from wastewater: a critical review. Sci Total Environ. 2018;640:772-797.
21. Vargas AMM, Martini AC, Almeida VC. Ternary adsorption of acid dyes onto activated carbon from flamboyant pods (Delonix regia): analysis by derivative spec-trophotometry and response surface methodology. Chem Eng J. 2012;195:173-179.
22. Mahmoud AS, Farag RS, Elshafey MM. Reduction of organic matter from municipal wastewater at low cost using green synthesis nano iron extracted from black tea: artificial intelligence with regression analysis [published online ahead of print September 10, 2019]. Egypt J Petroleum. doi:10.1016/j.ejpe.2019.09.001.
23. Karam A, Mostafa MK, Elawad A, Zaher K, Mahmoud AS, Peters RW. Small-pilot plant for tertiary treatment of domestic wastewater using algal photo-bioreactor, with artificial intelligence. Paper presented at: AIChE Annual Meeting. Orlando, FL, November 10-15, 2019.
24. Mahmoud AS, Farag RS, Elshafey MM. Reduction of organic matter from municipal wastewater at low cost using green synthesis nano iron extracted from black tea: artificial intelligence with regression analysis [published online ahead of print September 10, 2019]. Egypt J Petroleum. doi:10.1016/j.ejpe.2019.09.001.
25. Farag RS, Elshafey MM, Mahmoud AS, Mostafa MK, Peters RW. Green synthesis of nano iron carbide: preparation, characterization and application for aqueous phosphate removal. Paper presented at: Environmental Division 2018—Core Programming Area at the 2018 AIChE Annual Meeting. Pittsburgh, PA, October 28-November 2. 2018:10-21.
26. Rice EW, Baird RB, Eaton AD, Clesceri LS, Greenberg AE, Rice EW. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. Washington, DC: AHPA, AWWA, WPCF; 2012.
47. Xi Y, Mallavarapu M, Naidu R. Reduction and adsorption of Pb 2+ in aqueous solution by nano-zero-valent iron—a SEM, TEM, and XPS study. *Mater Res. Bull.* 2010;45:1361-1367.

48. El-Shafei M, Mahmoud A, Mostafa M, Peters R. Effects of entrapped nZVI in alginate polymer on BTEX removal. Paper presented at: AIChE Annual Meeting. San Francisco, CA. 2010:13-18.

49. Mahmoud AS, Ismail A, Mostafa MK, Mahmoud M, Ali-W, Shawky AM. Iso- therm and kinetic studies for heptachlor removal from aqueous solution using Fe/Cu nanoparticles, artificial intelligence, and regression analysis. *Sep Sci Technol.* 2019;55:684-696.

50. Saryel-Deen RA, Mahmoud AS, Mahmoud M, Mostafa MK, Peters RW. Adsorption and kinetic studies of using entrapped sewage sludge ash in the removal of chemical oxygen demand from domestic wastewater, with artificial intelligence approach. Paper presented at: 2017 Annual AIChE Meeting. Minneapolis, MN, October 29-November 3. 2017.

51. Farag RS, Elshafai MM, Mahmoud AS. Adsorption and kinetic studies using nano zero-valent iron (nZVI) in the removal of chemical oxygen demand from aqueous solution with response surface methodology and artificial neural network approach. *J Environ Biotech Res.* 2018;7:12-22.

52. Fola AT, Idowu AA, Adetutu A. Removal of Cu2+ from aqueous solution by adsorption onto quail eggshell: kinetic and isothermal studies. *J Environ Biotech Res.* 2016;5:1-9.

53. Ho Y-S, Mckay G. Kinetic models for the sorption of dye from aqueous solution by wood. *Proc. Saf Environ.* 1998;76:183-191.

54. Avrami M. Kinetics of phase change. II transformation-time relations for random distribution of nuclei. *J Chem Phys.* 1940;8:212-224.

55. Zeldowitsch J. Über den mechanismus der katalytischen oxydation von CO an MnO2. *Acta Physicochim URS.* 1934;1:364-449.

56. Ho Y-S, Mckay G. Pseudo-second order model for sorption processes. *Chem Ind Eng.* 1910;40:4-7.

57. Mahmoud AS, Saryel-Deen RA, Mahmoud M, Abdalla MA, Khan HR, Alothman ZA. Adsorptive removal of toxic dye using Fe3O4-TSC nanocomposite: equilibrium, kinetic, and thermodynamic studies. *J Chem Eng Data.* 2016;61:3806-3813.

58. Meda L, Marra G, Gallerati L, Severini FDE, Luca L. Nano-aluminum as energetic material for rocket propellants. *Mater Sci Eng C.* 2007;27:1393-1396.

59. Farahmandjou M, Soffae F. Synthesis and characterization of α-Fe2O3 nanoparticles by simple co-precipitation method. *Phys Chem Res.* 2015;3:191-196.

60. Kosmulski M. pH-dependent surface charging and points of zero charge II. Update. *J Colloid Interface Sci.* 2004;275:214-224.

61. Bezbarua AN, Krajangan S, Chisholm BJ, Khan E, Bermudez JJJE. Entrapment of iron nanoparticles in calcium alginate beads for groundwater remediation applications. *J Hazard Mater.* 2009;166:1339-1343.

62. Naushad M, Ahamad T, Al-Mawari BM, Alqadami AA, Alshehri SM. Nickel ferrite bearing nitrogen-doped mesoporous carbon as efficient adsorbent for the removal of highly toxic metal ion from aqueous medium. *Chem Eng J.* 2017;330:1351-1360.

63. Giraldo L, Moreno-Piraján J. Ph2+ adsorption from aqueous solutions on activated carbons obtained from lignocellulosic residues. *Braz J Chem Eng.* 2008;25:143-151.

64. Kröger S, Law RJ. Sensing the sea. *Trends Biotechnol.* 2005;23:250-256.

65. Watts M. Novel Biocatalytical Approaches for the Remediation of Contaminated Land (PhD thesis). The University of Manchester; 2014.

66. Kannan N, Sundaram MM. Kinetics and mechanism of removal of methylene blue by adsorption on various carbons—a comparative study. *Dyes Pigments.* 2001;51:25-40.

67. Gupta VK. Application of low-cost adsorbents for dye removal—a review. *J Environ Manage.* 2009;90:2313-2342.

68. Alqadami AA, Naushad M, Abdalla MA, Khan HR, Alothman ZA. Adsorption and kinetic studies of using entrapped sewage sludge ash in the removal of chemical oxygen demand from domestic wastewater, with artificial intelligence approach. Paper presented at: 2017 Annual AIChE Meeting. Minneapolis, MN, October 29-November 3. 2017.

69. Corda NC, Kini MSA. Review on adsorption of cationic dyes using activated carbons obtained from lignocellulosic residues. *J Chem Eng Data.* 2016;61:3806-3813.

70. Ringot D, Lerzy B, Chaplain K, Bonhoure J-P, Auclair E, Larondelle Y. In vitro biosorption of ochratoxin A on the yeast industry by-products: comparison of isotherm models. *Bioresour Technol.* 2007;98:1812-1821.

71. Hill AV. The possible effects of the aggregation of the molecules of haemoglobin on its dissociation curves. *J Physiol.* 1952;44:383-387.

72. Kohle RA, Corriigan TE. Adsorption isotherms for pure hydrocarbons. *Ind Eng Chem.* 1952;44:383-387.

73. Redlitch O, Peterson DL. A useful adsorption isotherm. *J Phys Chem.* 1959;63:1024-1024.

74. Mahmoud AS, Farag RS, Elshafai MM, Mohamed LA, Ragheb SM. Nano zero-valent aluminum (nZVAI) preparation, characterization, and application for the removal of soluble organic matter with artificial intelligence, isotherm study, and kinetic analysis. *Air Soil Water Res.* 2019;12:6178-6221.