Expression of p16 in squamous cell carcinoma of the mobile tongue is independent of HPV infection despite presence of the HPV-receptor syndecan-1
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Background: Tongue squamous cell carcinoma (TSCC) is increasing in incidence, especially among young patients and preferably females. Infection with human papilloma virus (HPV) has been suggested as a cause of SCC in the head and neck, and the proportion of oropharyngeal cancers caused by HPV has steadily increased.

Methods: Samples from 109 patients with primary TSCC were analysed for the presence of HPV16 by in situ hybridisation and for expression of its surrogate marker p16 and the HPV receptor syndecan-1 by immunhistochemistry.

Results: No evidence of HPV16 DNA was observed in the tumours, although one-third showed p16 staining. There was no difference in the expression of the primary HPV receptor, syndecan-1, between TSCC and a group of tonsil SCC.

Conclusion: Whereas p16 is expressed in some TSCCs, HPV16 is undetectable, therefore, p16 cannot be used as a surrogate marker for high-risk HPV-infection in this tumour. Despite presence of the HPV-receptor syndecan-1 in TSCC, HPV prefers the tonsillar environment. Lack of p16 associates with worse prognosis primarily in patients aged ≤40 years with tongue SCC. The improved prognosis seen in p16-positive TSCC can be due to induction of a senescent phenotype or an inherent radiosensitivity due to the ability of p16 to inhibit homologous recombination repair.
preferably females (Patel et al, 2011). The reason for this increase is so far not known, even if it is clear that this age group, defined as being <40 years, has not been exposed to the known risk factors for this disease, smoking and alcohol, for the same duration and extent as many of the older patients.

Recently, infection with human papilloma virus (HPV) has been suggested as a cause of SCCHN, especially among young patients, and the proportion of oropharyngeal cancers caused by HPV has steadily increased in recent years (Murar et al, 2010; Chaturvedi et al, 2011). Thus an increase in HPV infection incidence could possibly relate also to the increased incidence of TSCC in young patients. In order to infect an epithelial cell, HPV first has to bind to and then enter the cell (Rautava and Syrjänen, 2012). In this binding process, a heparin sulphate proteoglycan, syndecan-1, has been pinpointed as the primary receptor for HPV in keratinocytes (Shafti-Keramat et al, 2003). Infection seems to be restricted to tumour only, as HPV-positive oropharyngeal tumours show complete lack of active HPV around the tumour and also lack field cancerisation (Rietbergen, et al, 2014). These observations emphasise that HPV-positive and -negative tumours are two distinct groups, at least in the oropharyngeal area (Pannone et al, 2011), where HPV-associated tumours often present at higher clinical stage with advanced nodal disease, despite being of smaller size. Despite this advanced clinical stage, the prognosis and overall disease-free survival for patients suffering from these tumours are superior to that of patients with non-HPV-associated tumours (Shah et al, 2009).

There are several ways of analysing HPV infection available (Schlecht et al, 2011), including PCR and in situ hybridisation for specific HPV types, most commonly the high-risk-type HPV16. It has also been proposed that expression of p16 correlates with HPV infection. The tumour-suppressor p16 (also called CdK2a) is a cdk (cyclin-dependent kinase) inhibitor, which inhibits binding of cdks 4 and 6 to cyclin D1. This in turn inhibits phosphorylation of Rb, which is needed for release of E2F to enable entry into the cell cycle (Witkiewicz et al, 2011). Apart from being a tumour suppressor, p16 is also a surrogate marker for high-risk HPV infection and has been found to be upregulated in HPV-positive oropharyngeal cancers. HPV infection could lead to accumulation of p16 protein via targeting of Rb (Witkiewicz et al, 2011), p16 is expressed in a wide variety of SCCs, other than those originating from cervix, and seems to be a reliable surrogate marker for high-risk HPV also in oropharynx. However, p16 is not a specific marker of HPV status in non-opharyngeal SCC (Doxtader and Katzenstein, 2012). In a small group of 25 young (<40 years) patients with TSCC, p16 positivity correlated with improved relapse-free survival (Harris et al, 2011). This finding is in accordance with another study of oral SCC where patients with cancer with lower p16 expression were more likely to develop a recurrence (Shah et al, 2009).

In this study, we clarified the clinical and prognostic importance of HPV 16 and p16 in a large group of SCC tumours in the mobile tongue. By comparing the expression of the HPV receptor syndecan-1 in a subgroup of these TSCC with a group of tonsillar cancers, we also wanted to clarify whether there is any difference in the expression of this receptor between these sites, which could explain the differences in incidence of HPV infection between them.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials.** Samples from 96 patients with primary TSCC and formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded biopsies available at Clinical Pathology, Umeå University Hospital, Sweden, and 13 patients available at the Second University of Naples, Multidisciplinary Department of Medical, Surgical and Dental Specialties, Naples, Italy were included in the project. Both patient groups had been treated during a period of 15 years. Of the 109 patients, 54 were men and 55 were women with a mean age of 63.5 years, ranging from 19 to 93 years. Patients were grouped into three groups based on age at diagnosis: ≤40, 41–65, and >65 years. For clinical data, see Table 1. The majority of patients (66%) had received preoperative radiotherapy followed by surgery and 31% were primarily treated with surgery.

The mean follow-up time was 45.5 months (ranging from 1 to 179 months). At the end of the study, survival was measured as: alive disease free, alive with disease, dead of disease, dead of other disease or dead with disease but not with oral cancer as first cause of death. Data on survival and cause of death were obtained from the clinical files or the Swedish Death Registry.

A group of 65 patients with tonsillar carcinoma (17 women and 48 men) were included in the analysis of syndecan-1 expression. The mean age within this group was 59.9 years (range 45–87). This group of tumours had been analysed previously for HPV presence and p16 expression (Loizou et al, 2015). The project was approved by the local Ethical Committee (dnr 03–201 and dnr 08-003M).

**Immunohistochemistry.** For detection of p16, the antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA) was diluted 1:200. Slides were pretreated in Tris-EDTA pH 8.0, and staining was performed in a Ventana staining machine (Ventana Medical Sytems Inc, Roche, Tuscon, AZ, USA) according to the supplier's recommendations. Eighty-nine of the tongue tumours and 65 cases of tonsillar cancer were also stained with an antibody detecting syndecan-1 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) diluted 1:100, after pretreatment in citrate buffer pH 6.0. Staining was performed in a Ventana staining machine.

**Scoring.** Samples were scored for proportion of tumour cells expressing p16 and syndecan-1 and for intensity of staining. Proportion of tumour cells expressing the proteins was divided into six stages, where 1 = 0–4%, 2 = 5–19%, 3 = 20–39%, 4 = 40–59%, 5 = 60–79% and 6 = 80–100%, and intensity in four stages, with 0 = negative, 1 = weak, 2 = intermediate and 3 = strong staining. By multiplying the percentage of tumour cells expressing the protein with intensity, a quick score (QS) ranging from 0 to 18 was

---

**Table 1. Patient data, including age at diagnosis, gender and TNM stage.**

| Age at diagnosis, years | Number | Male/female ratio | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | N0 | N+ | M0 | M1 |
|------------------------|--------|-------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| ≤40                    | 16     | 7/9               | 2  | 10 | 2  | 2  | 12 | 4  | 16 | 0  | 16 |
| 41–65                  | 38     | 34.9%             | 26/12| 22.1| 14 | 11 | 9  | 4  | 27 | 11 | 37 | 1  | 38 |
| >65                    | 55     | 50.4%             | 21/34| 1.16| 15 | 19 | 8  | 13 | 41 | 14 | 54 | 1  | 55 |

| Total                  | 109    |                   | 31 | 40 | 19 | 19 | 80 | 29 | 107 | 2  | 109 |

**Abbreviations:** M = distant metastasis, N = nodal metastasis, T = tumour size.
obtained (Detre et al, 1995). The p16-stained slides were evaluated independently by three of the authors NS, KS and KN. Results were then compared, and cases of disagreement were discussed in a joint session. The syndecan-stained slides were evaluated by KN only.

**HPV16 in situ hybridisation.** In situ hybridisation was used to investigate the presence of HPV16 DNA in 71 of the samples (all 36 p16-positive and 35 p16-negative tumours). HPV16 plasmid DNA was obtained from ATCC (LCG Standards, Middlesex, UK), amplified and purified using HiPure Plasmid Maxi-prep Kit (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK). Plasmid DNA was labelled by nick-translational (Invitrogen) for 90 min at 14°C in the presence of digoxigenin-16-deUTP (Roche, West Sussex, UK) and purified by repeated ethanol precipitation in the presence of 100× excess of salmon sperm and Cot-1 DNA (Invitrogen). Sections were dewaxed, endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked in H2O2 in methanol and tissue digested with varying concentrations of proteinase K (Sigma) in 50 mM Tris pH 7.5 and 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0. Probe (1 ng μl−1) in hybridisation buffer was applied, and sections were covered-slipped before rapid high temperature microwave-mediated denaturation, as previously described (Coates et al, 1987; Coates et al, 1991). After overnight hybridisation at 42°C, sections were washed twice in 2 × SCC at room temperature, twice in 0.1 × SCC at 45°C and once in 2 × SCC at room temperature, each for 5 min. For immunohistochemical detection, sections were incubated with mouse anti-digoxin (1/5000; Sigma) followed by biotinylated anti-mouse and avidin–biotin peroxidase complex (Elite ABC Kit, Vector Laboratories (Cambridgeshire, UK), used according to the manufacturer’s instructions) and detection with an intensified DAB/imidazole reaction. Nuclei were lightly stained with haematoxylin, dehydrated, cleared and mounted in resin for light microscopy. A positive control section (cervix) was performed with each batch of tumours analysed.

**Statistical analysis.** SPSS version 22 (IBM Corporation, New York, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. QSs were correlated to clinical data. For calculation of P-values, Chi²-test was used, and in survival analysis 2- and 5-year survival was used. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

#### Clinical data.

The majority of tumours were localised on the lateral border of the mobile tongue (67%), 20% on the ventral side and 2% on the dorsal side. In 11%, lesions were so widespread that it was not possible to state the prime localisation of the lesion on the mobile tongue. There was a statistically significant correlation between patients suffering from an extended lesion showing both lower survival rate and disease-free status (P = 0.009 for 2-year survival, 0.027 for 5-year survival and 0.022 for status). Patients in the young age group (< 40 years) showed lower survival and disease-free rate compared with the older age patients (> 65 years). Gender did not affect survival. A statistically significant correlation was seen between T and survival and stage and disease-free condition, with decreased survival with increased T (P = 0.000 for 2-year survival, 0.002 for 5-year survival and 0.001 for status) and higher stage (P = 0.000 for 2-year survival, 0.010 for 5-year survival and 0.003 for status). There was also a statistically significant correlation between node positive, N+, tumours and poor 2-year survival rate (P = 0.040; Table 2).

**Immunohistochemistry**

p16. Of the 109 tumour samples, 73 (67%) were negative for the presence of p16 independent of site of lesion. Weak expression (defined as a QS of 1–5) was seen in 19%, and a QS of 6–18 in 14% (Table 3 and Figure 1). Comparing p16 expression between age groups, 75% of tumours in patients aged ≤ 40 years were p16 negative, compared with 66% within the other two age groups (Table 3). At the 5-year follow-up, 4 of the 12 p16-negative young patients (33%) were alive, compared with 62% of the patients aged 41–65 years having passed 5-year follow-up.

Of the 29 node positive, N+, tumours, 52% were p16 negative. No correlation was seen between p16 and localisation of the lesion, age, gender, grading or relapse.

**Syndecan.** All 89 tongue SCCs analysed for syndecan expression were positive, with 82% having a QS of 6–18. There was no statistically significant correlation between expression of p16 and syndecan-1. Most patients with a QS of 6–18, 65%, were N0. Similar results were seen for patients with tonsillar carcinoma, with all tumours expressing the receptor, and the majority, 74%, having a QS of 6–18 (Table 4 and Figure 2).

**HPV16 in situ hybridisation.** In the 71 samples analysed, including all 36 p16-positive samples, no evidence of HPV16 DNA was observed in the tumour tissue. The technique used is able to detect low copy number viral DNA (approximately two copies of HPV DNA per cell; Coates et al, 1991; Herrington et al, 1991), and a positive control of human cervical epithelium with histological evidence of productive infection showed the presence of a hybridisation signal throughout the epithelium, including basal cells that contain only a few viral DNA copies per cell.

### DISCUSSION

Squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck remains a significant problem and is the eighth most common cause of cancer death worldwide. The aetiology of epithelial cancers of the head and neck is considered to be a multifactorial, sequential process. Several factors are involved in oral carcinogenesis, such as age, gender, ethnicity, lifestyle, genetic background, status of health and exposure to one or more oncogenic factors. The two major lifestyle risk factors in SCCHN are tobacco use and alcohol; however, 15–20% of patients do not have any known tobacco or alcohol exposure (Patel et al, 2011). The disease incidence has also been seen increasing among younger patients who often lack these traditional risk factors, and one unique subgroup of patients...
identified is young patients with TSCC. The tongue is the most common site for oral cancer development, and TSCC is more aggressive than other SCCs of the oral cavity, with properties of rapid local invasion and high regional relapse rate. TSCCs also show a more split invasive growth pattern and a more intense inflammatory response at the tumour interface compared with the whole group of SCCHN tumours (Lundqvist et al., 2012).

TSCCs may not genomically differ when comparing young and older patients (Pickering et al., 2014), and it has been hypothesised that the increasing incidence of SCCHN in young patients is related to infection with high-risk subtypes of the HPV. In normal oral mucosa, the incidence of HPV infection is very low (Migaldi et al., 2012), whereas a recent meta-analysis showed a strong association between HPV and oral potentially malignant lesions and oral carcinoma (Syrjanen et al., 2011). HPV-positive tumours are generally found in the oropharynx and have been associated with younger patients who are less likely to be smokers or drinkers and show improved response to therapy and overall survival (Pannone et al., 2011; Sand and Jalouli, 2014). The proportion of SCCHN that are potentially HPV related (cancers of the tongue base and the Waldeyer's ring) increased in the past 30 years, perhaps as a result of changing sexual behaviours, and nowadays about 18% of oropharyngeal cancers and >90% of tonsillar cancers worldwide are HPV associated (Pannone et al., 2011; Loizou et al., 2015).

We have previously not been able to detect HPV in TSCCs using PCR and Luminex, whereas 91% of tonsillar carcinomas were HPV positive using the same PCR method (Loizou et al., 2015). Based on the clinical impact of mapping HPV status in oropharyngeal SCC (Pannone et al., 2011), we were encouraged to go further in this analysis and used here in situ hybridisation for detection of HPV16. However, no virus could be detected in TSCCs using this highly sensitive method, capable of detecting very low viral copy numbers in both experimental situations and clinical samples (Coates et al., 1991; Herrington et al., 1991). These data therefore suggest that HPV16 is either not present or is present at extremely low levels in the majority of p16-positive TSCCs, including those arising in young patients. However, due to the variable fixation and processing of these clinical samples, which influences the sensitivity of in situ hybridisation, we cannot completely exclude the presence of HPV16, and it is also possible that other high-risk HPV types are present, although other studies consistently demonstrate that HPV16 is the most prevalent type found in the oral cavity (Chaturvedi et al., 2011; Schlecht et al., 2011).

Table 3. p16 status in relation to patient age, N status and 2- and 5-year survival, respectively

| p16 QS | Patients aged ≤40 years | Patients aged 41–65 years | Patients aged >65 years | N0 | N+ 2-year survival | N+ 5-year survival |
|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----|------------------|------------------|
| 0      | 12 (75%)                | 25 (66%)                 | 36 (66%)                | 58 (72%) | 15 (52%) | 44 (75%) | 28 (76%) | 73 (67%) |
| 1–5    | 2 (12.5%)               | 9 (24%)                  | 10 (18%)                | 15 (19%) | 6 (21%) | 9 (15%) | 5 (13%) | 21 (19%) |
| 6–18   | 2 (12.5%)               | 4 (10%)                  | 9 (16%)                 | 7 (9%) | 8 (27%) | 6 (10%) | 4 (11%) | 15 (14%) |
| Total  | 16                      | 38                       | 55                      | 80 | 29 | 59/105 | 37/89 | 109 |

Abbreviation: N = nodal metastasis; QS = quick score. Concerning 2-year survival, 4 patients had not been followed that long, and in the analysis of 5-year survival, 20 patients had too short follow-up.

Table 4. Syndecan status in relation to age of patients with tongue and tonsillar SCC, respectively

| Syndecan QS | Tongue SCC | Tonsil SCC |
|-------------|------------|------------|
| ≤40 years   | <40 years  | 14         | 14         |
| 41–65 years | 34         | 36         |
| >65 years   | 34         | 41         |

| Abbreviation: QS = quick score; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.

Figure 1. p16 expressing tongue SCC with a QS of 5, 10, 15 and 18 respectively.
not all studies confirm its prognostic significance in SCCHN (Gröbe et al, 2013). In our group of tongue tumours, 67% were p16 negative. Considering age, 75% of young patients were p16 negative compared with the other age groups where 66% of the tumours were p16 negative. Looking at follow-up for the p16-negative young patients, the majority (67%) was either still suffering from tumour or dead of or with disease. Even if differences are not statistically significant (the group of young patients is limited), results are in accordance with the previously shown worse outcome for p16-negative tumours (Shah et al, 2009) and also in line with the study of Harris et al (2011) showing the importance of p16 as a prognostic marker. Our results are further in concordance with the worse prognosis shown for young patients with TSCC (Lundqvist et al, 2012). The cases showing p16 expression in the absence of detectable HPV could in turn be explained by infection with other HPV types (Jordan et al, 2012), other unidentified infectious agents (Harris et al, 2011), or molecular alterations in the p16 pathway independent of infection with high-risk HPV, which may include transcriptional upregulation by oncogenic transcription factors such as Ets and Myc, alterations of Ras-MAPK pathways or loss of Rb (reviewed in Li et al, 2011; Romagosa et al, 2011; Witkiewicz et al, 2011). Indeed, high-level expression of p16 in the absence of HPV is well recognised outside of oropharyngeal and cervical cancer (Doxtader and Katzenstein, 2012; Hoffmann et al, 2012; Bussu et al, 2013). Thus, although unrelated to HPV, p16 expression in tongue SCC reflects an oncogenic process that is different from p16-negative cancers and provides an improved prognosis.

Another interesting finding was that expression of the primary receptor for HPV, syndecan-1, did not differ between these tongue SCC and a group of tonsillar SCC with a high percentage, 91%, of HPV infection (Loizou et al, 2015). This indicates that conditions for entering the tissue are fairly similar between tongue and tonsil, at least considering receptor availability, yet the virus seems to prefer the tonsillar environment. Recently, there has been a discussion on the impact of co-infection with various viruses, for example, HSV and EBV, where the latter preferably infects cells in a lymphocytic environment. Results are, however, not conclusive (Sand and Jalouli, 2014), still it is tempting to speculate that an explanation for the absence of HPV infection seen in tongue SCC could be lack of viral collaboration.

Taken together, we have shown that HPV16 is undetectable in tongue SCC. It can also be concluded that p16 cannot be used as a surrogate marker for HPV infection in tongue SCC, at least not when using the methods currently at hand. Looking at the prime receptor for HPV, syndecan-1, conditions for enabling entrance in the tissue are the same in the tongue as in the tonsil that gives room for speculation on the potential value of co-infection with other viruses or factors more common in the tonsillar area.

In concert with HPV-positive OSCC showing overall better outcome than HPV-negative oral cancers (Pannone et al, 2011), we suggest that lack of p16 expression in TSCC is an indicator of worse prognosis primarily in young patients suffering from this devastating disease. Although the mechanism(s) for improved prognosis in p16-positive TSCC is unclear, it may relate to either the induction of a senescent phenotype and thereby slow tumour growth (Ramagosa et al, 2011; Witkiewicz et al, 2011) or to an inherent radiosensitivity due to impaired DNA double-strand break repair capacity (Rieckmann et al, 2013), which in turn may relate to the ability of p16 to directly inhibit homologous recombination repair in HPV-positive SCCHN (Dok et al, 2014).
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