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Abstract

In the context of constant changes in all spheres of social life, one of the approaches to ensuring effective management and strategic competitiveness is the formation of learning companies. The paper discusses a number of aspects of individual and organizational learning and proposes the authors’ vision of the main characteristics of the learning company management, which can be considered as requirements for the management of learning companies in the study of management systems. The research examined three approaches to identifying errors and increasing the effectiveness of learning highlighted in the scientific literature on management. The relevance of the study is due to the fact that it is more and more difficult for top managers of companies and their owners to ensure effective activities of companies in their short-term prospects, and also their long-term strategic competitiveness in the context of a constantly changing business environment, growing uncertainty of management results, qualitative changes in the characteristics of human capital, changes in society and other global trends characteristic of the 21st century. 10 main characteristics of management that promote learning were formulated on the basis of the analysis of the available open sources. They are also the basis of the requirements for the corporate culture of self-learning organizations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The quintessence of the entire management activity is the development, adoption and implementation of management decisions: managers must be able to make high-quality management decisions that are adequate to the problem under consideration and to ensure the effective implementation of the decisions made. These actions constitute the basic competence of managers [1]. The information management system is of essential importance in the system of procedures for managerial decisions; it largely determines both the quality of managerial decisions and the quality of their implementation [2]. When making decisions, not explicitly formulated and formalized rules, procedures and norms operating in the organization (i.e. not the tools and methodology of formal management) become important, first of all, but implied rules, taking into account the characteristics of the modern business environment (multifactorial nature, variability, uncertainty). They are formulated by managers and are individually based on the available and predictive characteristics of the environment for each managerial situation, and also based on intuition and common sense, experience and judgments. This situation requires not only a revision of the ratio between formal and informal management, but also introducing qualitatively new requirements for managerial potential and human capital, and makes individual and organizational learning in companies practically important. Creating a culture of learning and culture of learning organizations themselves is becoming a prerequisite for their survival and long-term strategic competitiveness.

II. METHODS

Daniel G. Kim, a co-founder of the MIT Center for Organizational Learning, simplifies the image of a learning process as a constantly rotating wheel. In the first half of the cycle, we acquire skills by testing our concepts and observing what happens by experience; then, reflecting our observations and forming concepts, we gain knowledge. Skills and knowledge form thinking stereotypes, which are often referred to as intellectual models. “...Intellectual models represent our individual vision of the world, including explicit and tacit, unspoken knowledge. Intellectual models create a context in which a person perceives and interprets specific situations.” [3]

Stereotypes of thinking, or intellectual models, are strictly individual, which determines the different reactions of people to the same situations. These circumstances complicate not only communication processes and they are a source of uncertainty in management, but they also create a number of problems in the learning process.
There are three approaches to identifying errors and increasing the effectiveness of learning in scientific and popular science literature.

The first approach focuses on smart models and assumes that latent smart models are the cause of failures in organizational and individual learning. The most famous proponent of this approach is Christopher Argyris, a professor at Harvard University.

The second approach considers cause-effect intellectual models, when the causes and effects in the events occurring are defined incorrectly. This approach is most closely associated with the work of Peter Senge and Jay W. Forrester.

A third approach with broader reach of aspects focuses on the culture of organizations and the conditions necessary for effective learning in work teams. This approach is most vividly cultivated in the works of Edgar Shane, a professor at the Sloan School of Management at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, who is considered the chief architect of learning culture.

Let's take a closer look at the third approach, which can be called as creating a learning culture. Edgar Shane defines organizational culture “as the accumulation of prior learning from past success” [4], thereby emphasizing the relationship between learning and culture. Culture is seen by him as “a matrix of assumptions... which are invented, discovered and developed by a particular group... in the process of learning to solve their problems... and which work well enough to be valid. Therefore, they... should be taught to new members of the organization as the correct way of perception, thinking and the correct attitude to these problems.” [5]

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Peter Senge and his coauthors in their book "Dance of Change: New Challenges for Self-Learning Organizations" actively promoted the idea that the survival and competitiveness of modern companies is not determined by tangible assets, but by the ability of human capital to change and reform (initiate, implement, perceive and use changes) existing concepts and control systems. [6]

Scientists from Kazan (Volga Region) Federal University, I.R. Gafurov, M.R. Safiullin, A.R. Safiullin emphasize that in the conditions of the “new economy” “… a qualitative change in the structure of demand, ... acceleration of technological changes, and expansion of the information space lead to the limitation of price factors for the growth of competitiveness and... strategic competitive advantage is formed at the level of business processes, the effective functioning of which depends on the quality of management”. [7]

To implement effective management in modern companies, flexible management systems are required, the quality of which is determined by managerial potential, characteristics of human capital, the ability of managers to change and learn [8], [9]. Itzhak Adizes believes that "the main task of managers today is change management; in the future the importance of this work will only increase.” [10] The nature and mechanism of the influence of changes in the key environmental factors for the organization on the management system of companies were considered by us in [11], and the main groups of factors of adaptation and transformation of management and its individual subsystems in [12].

A strong corporate culture, which, among other things, has a normative meaning as a way of "soft" regulation of the actions and behaviour of personnel in the general trend of humanization of management coordinated with the strategy of the company's functioning and development, becomes a powerful mechanism for increasing management efficiency. [13], [14], [15].

IV. SUMMARY

Based on the results of the analysis of available open sources, we will formulate the main characteristics of management that promotes learning. They are also the basis of the requirements for the corporate culture of self-learning organizations.

1. Striving to balance the interests of all participants in corporate relations: owners, managers, employees, consumers and suppliers, state and local authorities, and civil communities. The implementation of production plans, an emphasis on quantitative indicators, the priority of only the economic effect in making management decisions is gradually inferior to the systemic approach in assessing the effectiveness of the social effect of management decisions, taking into account socio-cultural factors.

2. Recognition and implementation of the concept that management, as an area of human relationships, can be effective in recognizing the dominant role of people. A technocratic approach based on systems and technologies without taking into account the characteristics of human capital does not correspond to the realities of the economy.

3. Democratic management style, partnership relations between managers and subordinates; moral and psychological climate providing confidence in the future in their team to every employee.

4. A systematic approach to solving problems recognizing the interconnection of all aspects of the organization's life. Refusal from the practice of permanently solving production and economic problems at the expense of social resources

5. Efficient continuous and open information flows along vertical (forward and backward) and horizontal links in the management system. Power and authority are not formed by the position and not the possession (concealment or distortion) of information, but the opinion of colleagues working side by side, based on the contribution of each to the common cause.

6. Emphasis on group work, building teams with their key competencies. Competition between individuals gives way to the ability to interact in groups.

7. Transfer of emphasis from administration and control to the creation of an environment conducive and initiating individual and group learning; resource provision of learning.
8. Transition from traditional management systems to fractal management with the minimum necessary framework rules.

9. Formation and development of "soft" management forms based on socio-cultural, moral and ethical tools, taking into account national and geographical factors.

10. Transition from long-term plans and forecasts to new forms of organizational structures that provide flexibility of management systems at the level necessary to establish and maintain an effective balance of stability and flexibility.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The main problem of the 21st century, which worries the top managers of companies and their owners, is caused by constant changes in the business environment, the growing uncertainty of the results of management activities, qualitative changes in the characteristics of human capital, changes in society and other global trends. It can be summarized as: “How to ensure effective operation of companies today and their long-term strategic competitiveness in the face of constant changes in all spheres of society?” [16]. Many experts suggest providing effective individual and organizational learning to solve the problem, which makes certain demands on the management of companies. At the same time, the general trend aimed at the humanization of management requires an increase in the role of "soft" forms (methods and technologies) based on social, moral, psychological, ethical tools, and organizational culture. [17]
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