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Abstract: With purpose to assess the primary care for bronchial asthma (BA) patients the anonymous survey of 175 family doctors was performed. We established low percent (2,9%) of self-depended diagnosis of BA by family doctors. It showed their extremely poor role in the primary BA diagnosis. The most frequently diagnosis of BA made by pulmonologist or allergist (75,4%). The management of a patient with BA was performed by a family doctor in collaboration with allergist or pulmonologist in 53,2% of cases, 70% family doctors meet with BA patients for control of disease every 1-3 month. They prescribed correct basis therapy with inhaled corticosteroi (ICS) or combination of ICS with long-action beta agonist most of patients. Misinterpretation of asthma control are showed by most of family doctors. The vast majority of patients (98.8%) had at least one exacerbation of asthma per year. Only 25% of family doctors treated patients with BA exacerbation self-dependently. They used nebulization of high doses of bronchodilators and ICS for treatment of BA exacerbation in outpatient setting for 91,4% of cases. We found low level of prescriptions of systemic corticosteroids for treatment of BA exacerbations in outpatient setting and misunderstanding of systemic corticosteroid therapy. Family doctors need additional educational activities and monitoring adherence to national and international guidelines.
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1. Introduction

Effective management of patients with bronchial asthma (BA) is one of the most important problem of modern family medicine which is caused by the significant spread of the disease in Ukraine (0, 5%) and in the world (from 1% to 18%) [1, 2].

2. Theoretical Background

Over the last decade Ukraine has been reforming its medical industry. An institution of the family doctors has been created. Their responsibilities are providing outpatient medical care to all sections of the population, from newborns to the elderly. Primary diagnostics, prescription of the basis therapy, long time management of patients with chronic diseases including BA are performed by family doctors. Only in difficult cases specialists – pulmonologist and/or allergist are involved for patient’s management. Family doctor should use the international (GINA 2019) or national guidelines (Order of Ukrainian Ministry of Health № 868 from 09.10.2013 Bronchial asthma. Adapted evidence-based clinical guidelines) grounded on the modern evidence-based medicine for effective management of the BA patients [2, 3].

Adherence to the guidelines when managing BA patients allows in most cases to correctly diagnose the disease and to prescribe the most effective basic treatment. It contributes to the achievement of a controlled condition of the patient, providing him a high quality of life.

However, as the results of epidemiological studies show, a controlled condition is not achieved in most BA patients in routine medical practice. Depend on social economic development of country and the level of health care, controlled BA is observed from 8% till 77% of patients [4-12].

In Ukraine, information about how do family doctors care
for patients with BA in new working conditions and new requirements for them has not been studied. We set ourselves the goal of assessing the state of primary care provision of patients with BA, taking into account current national and international guidelines, by anonymous survey of family doctors.

3. Material and Methods

The anonymous survey was performed among 175 family doctors from Vinnytsia. The average length of medical practice of the doctors ranged from 1 to 46 years (averaging 19.6 ± 12.9 years). Family doctors with practice less than 5 years were 36 (20.6%), with a duration of practice from 5 to 25 years - 69 (39.4%) and those who worked for more than 25 years - 70 (40.0%).

The survey was conducted using the original questionnaire. It included 20 questions about primary diagnosis and outpatient management of a patient with BA, cooperation with a pulmonologist, allergist, hospital doctors, prescribing basic and rescue medication for therapy, disease control, frequency of occurrence and treatment of exacerbations, including the use of nebulization and systemic corticosteroids for their management on outpatient basis.

Statistical analysis was performed using a standard set of descriptive statistics methods. Differences in the patient management performed by physicians with different practical experience were assessed using cross-tables, chi-square. The criterion of significant was considered to be p<0.05. The software package SPSS 12.0 for Windows was used.

4. Results and Discussion

At the time of the survey, 1090 patients with asthma were observed by family doctors. On average, there were (6.2 ± 4.8) patients per doctor. The diagnosis of asthma in most cases was established by specialists - allergist or pulmonologist or in cooperation with the allergist/pulmonologist and family doctor (Table 1).

| Group of doctors according to duration of practice | Family doctor | Allergist/ pulmonologist | Family doctor and allergist / pulmonologist | During hospitalization |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| < 5 years (n=36) | 2 (5,6%) | 28 (77,8%) | 5 (13,9%) | 1 (2,8%) |
| 5-25 years (n=69) | 2 (2,9%) | 56 (81,2%) | 5 (7,2%) | 5 (7,1%) |
| >25 years (n=70) | 1 (1,4%) | 48 (68,6%) | 9 (12,9%) | 15 (21,4%) |
| Total (n=175) | 5 (2,9%) | 132 (75,4%) | 19 (10,9%) | 21 (12,0%) |
| P | 0.112 | 0.204 | | 0.101 |

Family doctors with duration of practice longer 25 years hospitalized every fifth patient for BA diagnosis. Doctors with smaller experience of work made BA diagnosis in most cases together with allergist/pulmonologist performing outpatient investigations. But lower percent (2,9%) of self-dependent diagnosis of BA by family doctors shows their extremely role in the primary BA diagnosis.

In most cases, the management of a patient with BA was performed by a family doctor in collaboration with allergist or pulmonologist, regardless of the experience. This is a positive phenomenon (Table 2). Less than third of family doctors noted they did not treat patients with BA, because they were managed by a pulmonologist or allergist. Only a small number of general practitioners (16.2%) monitored BA patients self-dependently.

| Group of doctors according to duration of practice | <5 years (n=36) | 5-25 years (n=69) | >25 years (n=70) | Total (n=175) | p |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Family doctor | 6 (16,7%) | 13 (19,1%) | 9 (13,0%) | 28 (16,2%) | 0,305 |
| Allergist/pulmonologist | 14 (38,9%) | 20 (29,4%) | 17 (24,6%) | 51 (29,5%) | 0,445 |
| Family doctor and allergist/pulmonologist | 15 (41,7%) | 34 (50,0%) | 43 (62,3%) | 92 (53,2%) | 0,212 |
| Frequency of family doctor meetings with bronchial asthma patients | | | | | |
| Once a month | 7 (19,4%) | 24 (34,8%) | 21 (30,0%) | 52 (29,7%) | 0,107 |
| Every three months | 19 (52,8%) | 22 (31,9%) | 29 (41,4%) | 70 (40,0%) | 0,201 |
| Every six months | 4 (11,1%) | 18 (26,1%) | 14 (20,0%) | 36 (20,6%) | 0,116 |
| Every year | 3 (8,3%) | 0 | 0 | 3 (1,7%) | 0,505 |
| Only in case of exacerbation | 2 (5,6%) | 9 (13,0%) | 5 (7,1%) | 16 (9,1%) | 0,339 |
| Without meeting | 1 (2,8%) | 2 (2,9%) | 1 (0,1%) | 4 (2,3%) | 0,552 |

Most family doctors (about 70%) contact with BA patients once every 1-3 months, which is enough of monitoring the condition and correct treatment. At the same time, doctors with more experience more frequently meet with patients. This may be due to the closer collaboration between the doctor and the patient, which has developed over prolonged contact.

The vast majority of general practitioners prescribed basic medicines according to current standards of treatment of BA patients. There are inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) and combination drugs that include ICS and long-acting beta2-agonists (LABA) (Table 3).
The most popular topical steroid prescribed by general practitioners was budesonide (45.1%). Among fixed combinations, most physicians, regardless of work experience, chose salmeterol/fluticasone (52.6%). Formoterol/budesonide has been prescribed by less than 10% of physicians, although it has been shown to be effective in patients with BA in numerical comparative studies and is recommended for baseline therapy.

The prescription of other drugs for basic treatment of asthma was noted by 30 (17.1%) respondents. They indicated formoterol (16 (9.2%) doctors), montelukast (12 (6.9%)), tiotropium (5 (2.8%)).

The use of formoterol as monotherapy can be dangerous because relieving the symptoms of BA the medicine does not have anti-inflammatory effect, which leads to disease progression and increase risk of cardiovascular events, as a side effect of formoterol. The combination of this medication with ICS avoids the risks and provides complete baseline therapy for asthma.

Montelukast has a powerful antileukotriene effect, so it is successfully used in the case of atopic variant of mild BA.

As recommended by GINA prescribing tiotropium for the treatment of BA is effective in the case of severe uncontrolled disease at the fourth and fifth step for adults with frequent exacerbations. The drug improves the lung function and increases the period without exacerbations [2].

According to the evaluation of the spectrum of rescue medications salbutamol occupied the leading position - 82 (46.9%) respondents appointed it. Whereas the use of the ipratropium/fenoterol was indicated by 28 (16.0%) doctors. Response about rescue medication was absent in the questionnaires of 79 (45.1%) doctors.

BA control is defined as a condition of patient without asthma attack, nocturnal and daily symptoms, rescue medication use not more than 2 times in a week, absence any limitation in the daily activity. The Asthma Control Test (ACT) and the frequency of relief medication (salbutamol, ipratropium/fenoterol) are used to determine the BA control [2, 3].

According to the opinion of doctors controlled asthma was determined in most patients - an average of 63.86%. Partially controlled BA was in an average of 23.51%. Non-controlled BA was observed in 10.37% of patients. These data may indicate a high level of BA control, which corresponds to information from developed countries in Europe, the USA, Japan, where well-controlled asthma is determined in 55-78% [4, 9-12].

Checking the number of salbutamol used by the patient in one month ranged from 0 to 6 and averaged 1.4 ± 0.7 inhalers per month or about 300 doses. In the case of controlled BA, the need for salbutamol does not occur more than twice a week. Doing simple arithmetic calculations, multiplying 4 doses of the drug for 52 weeks, we get 208 doses. That is, in the case of controlled BA, the patient uses no more than one inhaler per year.

Thus, family doctors do not correctly assess the state of control in BA.

According to the doctor’s opinion the main reasons for insufficient control of asthma are underestimation of the severity of BA by patients in 71.4% (125 responses), poor financial condition of the patient in 64.6% (113 doctors), low level of cooperation with the doctor in 54 (30.9%) cases. These reasons are related to the peculiarities of the patient's perception of the disease, his understanding of the disease course and the effectiveness of the cooperation with the doctor. Similar factors have been described by researchers from other countries [10, 13, 14].

Ongoing consultative support for BA patients by a family physician in collaboration with a pharmacist according to Gums TH et al (2014) and Ali A et al (2019) resulted in a 30% reduction in hospitalizations for asthma exacerbations, improvement of ACT score and patient quality of life, increase compliance with the use of ICS and reducing need for systemic corticosteroids [15, 16].

The occurrence of BA exacerbations is considered one of the indicators of uncontrolled disease. According to the survey, 98.8% of patients with asthma have an exacerbation within a year. The largest proportion of patients referred for exacerbations from one to three times per year (94.8%) and only 4% of BA patients experienced more than three exacerbations per year.

44 (25.1%) family physicians performed self-dependent treatment of BA patients with non-severe exacerbation. Patients were co-administered with a pulmonologist and family doctors in 37 (21.1%) cases. Treatment of patients with exacerbations of asthma by a pulmonologist alone was noted by 35 (20.0%) respondents. The occurrence of severe exacerbations of asthma requiring inpatient treatment was noted by 59 physicians (32%), and in 20 (11.4%) cases patients were immediately admitted to the hospital due to the severity of the condition without outpatient treatment. Non-effective therapy of BA exacerbation in outpatient settings by the pulmonologist and family doctor, which subsequently led to worsening of the patient’s condition and hospitalization, was noted by 29 (20.6%) doctors (Figure 1).
An analysis of BA exacerbation treatment in outpatient setting showed the vast majority of physicians, regardless of the length of their practice, used nebulization of inhaled bronchodilator and corticosteroids (Table 4). Patients were most often prescribed nebulization of salbutamol according to current guidelines for the care of patients with mild or moderate BA exacerbation in outpatient setting [2, 3]. At the same time, such treatment was significantly more often prescribed by doctors with up to 5 years of experience than more experienced (p<0.05), which indicates their better awareness and adherence to modern guidelines for management of BA exacerbations.

Prescribing nebulization of ipratropium / fenoterol for the treatment of exacerbation of asthma was noted by 52 (29.7%) physicians. Combined bronchodilator drug has a powerful effect on the airway conductivity, affecting the adrenergic and cholinergic mechanisms of bronchospasm, which is necessary in patients with long and severe BA and indicates the presence of a differential approach in the choice of treatment of exacerbation of BA.

Nebulization of high doses of ICS is not indicated in the GINA guidelines for the treatment of exacerbations of BA due to absence of enough current evidence of their efficacy in comparing with systemic corticosteroid [2]. GINA experts recommend the use of oral systemic corticosteroids equivalent to 50 mg of prednisone per day for 5-7 days. Some studies have shown that this method of administration of ICS may be an alternative to systemic hormones, causing a potent local anti-inflammatory effect [17-20]. In Ukraine, we have the positive effect of nebulization of ICS in BA exacerbation.

More than half of family doctors indicated that they prescribe nebulization of ICS for the treatment of mild or moderate exacerbation. Among these medications budesonide was preferred due to its potent and rapid anti-inflammatory action, good compatibility with bronchodilators and better accessibility in the city's pharmacy network.

Other medicines for nebulization during exacerbation of asthma were mucolytics (ambroxol, hypertonic sodium chloride solution) and antiseptic (decamethoxine).

Prescribing systemic corticosteroids for the treatment of BA exacerbation was noted by 54 (31.0%) doctor. However, only 20 (11.3%) general practitioners understood the essence of systemic corticosteroid therapy, indicating that they prescribed dexamethasone in 11 (6.3%) or prednisone in 9 (5.1%) cases. Nebulization was interpreted as systemic corticosteroids use by 24 (13.7%) doctors: prescribing budesonide - 11 (6.3%), fluticasone - 6 (3.4%), ICS - 7 (4.0%). It indicates the need conducting educational activities for family doctors with the control of acquired knowledge and skills.

For the treatment of mild or moderate BA exacerbations
78 (44.5%) physicians used other drugs. Montelukast was most frequently indicated in 32 (18.2%) questionnaires, theophylline for intravenous use in 14 (8.0%), mucolytics - in 11 (6.3%), antihistamines - in 10 (5.7%). Montelukast is a medication for basic therapy of BA, so it is inappropriate to treat exacerbations. The use of parenteral theophylline, which was widespread in the last century, is now far inferior to its bronchodilator effect by modern selective beta-agonists or cholinolitics and is dangerous because of the difficulty of controlling adverse reactions of the cardiovascular, central nervous, digestive and other organ systems. The prescription of mucolytics may be appropriate if the patient has a dysfunction of the drainage of bronchial tubes due to viscous sputum accumulation. Antihistamines are not recommended for the treatment of BA exacerbations, but their use may be necessary in patients with atopic asthma.

In some cases, family practitioners indicated the use of antibiotics (3 (1.7%) cases. It may be caused by the bacterial etiology of BA exacerbation. The use of fenspiride, ketotiphenum, non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs, sedative medications are inappropiate because they don’t have evidence of effectiveness in the treatment of exacerbation and basic therapy of BA.

5. Conclusion

The level of provision of medical care to BA patients by family doctors, in general, is satisfactory, corresponds to current national and international guidelines, both in the choice of basic therapy and in the treatment of exacerbations.

Some disadvantages of primary care were identified, including: low role of family physician in the primary diagnosis and self-management of BA patients; misinterpretation of asthma control by family doctors, low level of cooperation between doctor and patient, low level of prescriptions of systemic corticosteroids for treatment of BA exacerbations in outpatient setting and misunderstanding of systemic corticosteroid therapy.

To eliminate the identified disadvantages additional educational activities should be undertaken among family doctors. Monitoring control of adherence with medical prescriptions and management of BA patient to national and international guidelines should be developed.
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