SORL1 mutation in a Greek family with Parkinson’s disease and dementia

Georgia Xiromerisiou1, Thomas Bourinaris2, Henry Houlden2, Patrick A. Lewis3, Konstantin Senkevich4,5,6, Monia Hammer7, Monica Federoff7, Alaa Khan2,8, Cleanthe Spanaki9, Georgios M. Hadjigeorgiou1,10, Savasti Bonstanjopoulou11, Liana Fidani12, Aleksey Ermolaev13,14, Ziv Gan-Or4,5,15, Andrew Singleton7, Jana Vandrovcova2 & John Hardy16,17

1Department of Neurology, University of Thessaly, Medical School, Larissa, Greece
2Department of Neuromuscular Diseases, Queen Square Institute of Neurology, UCL, London, UK
3Royal Veterinary College, Royal College Street, London, UK
4The Neuro (Montreal Neurological Institute-Hospital), McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
5Department of Neurology and neurosurgery, McGill University, Montréal, Quebec, Canada
6First Pavlov State Medical University of St. Petersburg, Saint-Petersburg, Russia
7Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
8Molecular Diagnostic Unit, Clinical Laboratory Department, King Abdullah Medical City, Mecca, Saudi Arabia
9Department of Neurology, University Hospital, Heraklion, Crete, Greece
10Department of Neurology, Medical School, University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus
11Department of Neurology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, G. Papanikolaou Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece
12Department of General Biology, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
13Center of Molecular Biotechnology, Russian State Agrarian University-Moscow Timiryazev Agricultural Academy, Moscow, Russia
14Bioinformatics Institute, Saint-Petersburg, Russia
15Department of Human Genetics, McGill University, Montréal, Quebec, Canada
16Reta Lila Weston Research Labs, Department of Neurodegenerative Diseases, Queen Square Institute of Neurology, UCL, London, UK
17UK Dementia Research Institute at UCL, Queen Square Institute of Neurology, UCL, London, UK

Abstract

Whole exome sequencing and linkage analysis were performed in a three generational pedigree of Greek origin with a broad phenotypic spectrum spanning from Parkinson’s disease and Parkinson’s disease dementia to dementia of mixed type (Alzheimer disease and vascular dementia). We identified a novel heterozygous c.G1135T (p.G379W) variant in SORL1 which segregated with the disease in the family. Mutation screening in sporadic Greek PD cases identified one additional individual with the mutation, sharing the same 12.8Mb haplotype. Our findings provide support for SORL1 mutations resulting in a broad range of additional phenotypes and warrants further studies in neurodegenerative diseases beyond AD.

Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) are the most common neurodegenerative disorders. Approximately 10% of patients with PD and 1% of patients with AD have a monogenic cause.1 To date, at least 23 loci and 19 disease-causing genes for parkinsonism have been identified.3 The genetic overlap of AD and PD has also been previously studied and potential common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with both conditions have been identified within MAPT and human leukocyte antigen gene regions.3

In this study, we performed whole exome sequencing (WES) and linkage analysis in a three generational family of Greek origin in which affected members presented initially with parkinsonism or dementia.

Methods

Samples

A large pedigree with seven affected individuals over three consecutive generations presenting with PD and/or dementia of mixed type, and originating from the village...
of Rapsani, Greece was included in the study. DNA and clinical data were available for eight individuals (Figure 1). Detailed phenotypic characterization is presented in Table 1. All cases had extensive genetic, metabolic, and imaging investigations carried out that excluded acquired and other inherited causes of PD or dementia. Possible pathogenic structural variants affecting SNCA, PRKN, PINK1, or DJ1 genes had been excluded with MLPA analysis. Intronic hexanucleotide repeat expansion in C9ORF72 was also excluded. All family members that have been tested carried the APOE E3/E3 genotype, thus reducing the possibility of other known genetic factors contributing to the risk of AD. Twenty sporadic Parkinson’s disease patients and 50 healthy controls from the same village and a larger cohort with 600 Greek patients and 600 controls were subsequently included in the study for mutation screening. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants according to the declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the relevant Institutional Review Boards of the University of Thessaly, Larissa, Greece and University College of London, UK (UCLH: 04/N034).

Genotyping
Genomic DNA was extracted from leukocytes using the AxyPrep Blood Genomic DNA Miniprep Kit (Axygen, USA) as recommended by the manufacturer. All samples from the family and local controls were genotyped according to the manufacturer’s instructions using NeuroChip (Illumina, USA) or GSAv2 array (Illumina, USA). After a standard quality control and variant pruning using PLINK 1.9 (http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/purcell/plink/) variants were further thinned using MapThin (https://www.staff.ncl.ac.uk/richard.howey/mapthin/) to 1cM resulting 2916 informative SNPs.

Linkage analysis
The linkage analysis was performed using Merlin. For parametric analysis, an autosomal disease model with penetrance of 0.0002 (wild type), 0.99 (heterozygous carrier), 1.0 (homozygous carrier), and allele frequency of 0.0002 was used. Haplotypes covering the linked region were generated using Merlin and plotted using HaploPainter.

Whole exome sequencing
Whole exome sequencing (WES) was performed in three affected members of the pedigree (Figure 1: R1F6, R1F9, R1F16_2). DNA library was generated using the TruSeq Exome Enrichment kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), then sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500. Read alignment, variant calling, and annotation were done as previously described. ApoE genotype was also assessed separately.

Whole genome sequencing
Given the limitations of exome sequencing, including capture efficiency among others, we decided to pursue whole genome sequencing (WGS) for a more comprehensive analysis. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) was performed in two members of the pedigree (R1F6 and R1F9). The analyses was divided into several separate parts according to the type of variant information obtained from sequencing, CNVs, SVs, and SNP-Indel (Supplementary Data S1).

Prioritization of identified variants
The pathogenicity of the variants identified were classified in accordance with the guidelines of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). Only novel or rare variants with a minor allele frequency of <0.01% in public database were included. Heterozygous coding and splicing variants that are predicted deleterious to protein function were prioritized. The Genomic Evolutionary Rate Profiling (GERP) score was based on 100 eutherian mammals alignment (Ensembl release 99).

Sanger sequencing
The variants identified by WES were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. The following primers were used for SORL1 variant: 5’-ATCTGCTAGTTCCTCCA-3’ (forward) and 3’-GGGCGGAAAGGCACCTTTACAC-3’ (reverse). Sequencing reactions were performed using the BigDye Terminator 1.1 system (ThermoFisher, Paisley, UK) followed by sequencing using an ABI DNA Analyser (ThermoFisher, Paisley, UK). Electropherograms were analyzed using the Sequencher software package (Gene Codes, MI, USA).

Replication of study in control population
The replication study was performed using Sanger sequencing with the same set of primers as above in a cohort of 600 sporadic PD patients and equal number of age- and gender-matched controls from Greece was performed in order to assess their frequency.

Gene burden analysis
The second release of the Accelerating Medicines Partnership – Parkinson Disease (AMP-PD) initiative (https://
FIGURE 1. SORL1 haplotypes. Symbols for affected individuals are labelled black, unknown gray, and unaffected are white. Disease haplotype is in blue with individuals RIF10, RIF1, and RIF6 defining the region.
amp-pd.org/) was used in order to study the burden of rare SORL1 variants. A total of 2,607 PD patients and 3,797 controls were included in the analysis, from the BioFIND study, Harvard Biomarkers Study (HBS), NINDS Parkinson’s disease Biomarkers Program (PDBP), Parkinson’s Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI), and the NINDS Study of Isradipine as a Disease Modifying Agent in Subjects With Early Parkinson Disease, Phase 3 (STEADY-PD3). Additional controls were added from the National Institute on Aging (NIA) International Lewy Body Dementia Genetics Consortium Genome Sequencing in Lewy body dementia case-control cohort (LBD).

Quality control procedures of WGS data were performed on individual and variants levels as described by

| TABLE 1. Clinical features of mutation carriers |
|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                                |
| Clinical diagnosis                             |
| RIF6 PD PD PD AD AD                            |
| RIF9 PD PD PD AD AD                            |
| RIF16_2 PD PD AD AD                            |
| RIF1 PD PD AD AD                               |
| RIF10 PD PD AD AD                              |
| Sex                                            |
| M F M F F                                      |
| Age at onset                                   |
| 50 45 55 65 70                                  |
| Age at examination                             |
| 65 67 70 70 83                                  |
| Symptoms at disease onset:                     |
| Bradykinesia yes yes yes no no                 |
| Asymmetric tremor yes yes yes no no             |
| Symptoms at examination:                       |
| Bradykinesia yes yes yes no no                 |
| Bilateral tremor yes yes yes no no              |
| Rigidity yes yes yes no no                     |
| Visual hallucinations no no no no              |
| Non motor symptoms                             |
| Sleep disorders yes no no no no                |
| Autonomic dysfunction yes yes yes no no        |
| constipation yes yes yes no no                 |
| Olfactory dysfunction yes yes yes no no        |
| Depression yes yes yes yes no                  |
| Response to levodopa:                          |
| Initial yes yes yes n/a n/a                   |
| Sustained yes yes yes n/a n/a                  |
| Motor complications (LID) yes yes yes n/a n/a |
| Sleep disturbances (RBD) yes yes yes n/a n/a  |
| Parkinson’s Disease Dementia (PDD) yes yes yes n/a n/a |
| Age at onset of PDD 60 60 65 n/a n/a           |
| Other features                                 |
| Ataxia no no no no no                          |
| Pyramidal signs no no no no no                 |
| Sensory disturbances no no no no               |
| UPDRS motor score 58 75 64 n/a n/a            |
| ADDENBROOKE’S COGNITIVE EXAMINATION – ACE-III 74 73 65 50 32 |
| Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB) 8 8 6 n/a n/a |
| Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers amyloid-β (Aβ42), total tau (T-tau), and phosphorylated tau (P-tau) n/a n/a normal Abnormal/AD profile |
| T-Tau (ng/L) 267 583 634                        |
| P-Tau (ng/L) 45 84 75                           |
| Aβ42 (ng/L) 932 472 546                         |
| Aβ40 (ng/L) 8753 12853 12456                    |
| Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio 0.106 0.036 0.043              |
| ApoE E3/E3 E3/E3 E3/E3 E3/E3 E3/E3            |
| Imaging findings                               |
| Brain MRI/CT findings                          |
| Global Brain atrophy no no yes yes yes yes     |
| Vascular leukoencephalopathy yes yes yes yes yes |
| DAT scan abnormal abnormal abnormal normal normal |
AMP-PD (https://amp-pd.org/whole-genome-data). In addition to filtration procedures performed by AMP-PD, we excluded non-European individuals and filtered by relatedness to remove any second- and first-degree relatives. Variants with a missingness rate of >5% and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium <1E-4 were excluded.

We extracted SORL1 genotyping data on hg38: chr11:121452313-121633763, and used ANNOVAR to annotate variants. To study the burden of rare variants (minor allele frequency < 0.01) we used the SKAT-O method implemented in Rvtest package.

Results

Here we describe five affected individuals from a large Greek family presenting either with PD or dementia (Figure 1). The average age at onset was 56.5 years (Table 1). Three of the patients (Figure 1: Rif6, Rif9, Rif16_2) presented with cardinal symptoms of PD including tremor, bradykinesia, and rigidity with good response to levodopa treatment. Parkinsonism was asymmetrical without any other atypical features. Dopamine agonists were initiated and levodopa treatment was added after a couple of years. Two of them developed severe dyskinesias after 5 years of levodopa treatment despite reasonable doses (Figure 1: Rif6, Rif9). Later symptoms included Parkinson’s disease dementia (PDD) such as impaired attention, memory and executive dysfunction presented in all three individuals with PD. Moreover, depression and apathy were also detected. The diagnosis of PDD was made based on the Movement Disorders Society (MDS)-proposed diagnostic criteria. Further laboratory testing and structural imaging with brain MRI scans excluded other possible causes of parkinsonism and AD CSF biomarker analysis was normal. (Figure 2).

Two additional members of the pedigree (Figure 1: Rif1, Rif10) presented initially with cognitive impairment without parkinsonism. Detailed clinical history revealed that early symptoms in these cases were memory impairment, concentration difficulties, disorientation, visuospatial deficits, and depression. Clinical examination and, assisted by imaging studies such as brain MRI SCAN, SPECT and DAT scan, as well as CSF biomarkers, including CSF Aβ and tau measures, classified these patients as Alzheimer’s disease. The patients fulfilled the National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer’s Association revised criteria. Structural neuroimaging with MRI scans showed mild to moderate hippocampal atrophy, mild cortical atrophy, and moderate vascular ischemic changes (Figure 2). Differential diagnosis between Lewy body disease (LBD) and Alzheimer’s disease might be difficult because of similarities of clinical symptoms in both neurodegenerative diseases; however, DAT scan was normal in all three patients and diagnostic criteria for LBD were not fulfilled.

Disease-causing variant identification

Screening for variants in genes associated with PD using the data generated with WES from all three individuals identified a total of 110 variants from 43 PD-associated genes. After searching the Human Molecular Genomic Database (HGMD), seven of these variants from four genes were considered PD-associated polymorphisms and none was considered disease causing or able to explain the disease in this population.

We subsequently screened for segregating rare coding variants in all protein coding genes. In total, 72 variants were identified with only one being present in the linked region described below (Supplementary Data S2). The heterozygous variant c.G1135T (p.G379W) in SORL1, a gene known to cause familial AD, segregated with the disease in the extended family. The variant was absent from major variant databases, including GnomAD, and our in-house 5000 controls. It was located inside a constrained element at a highly conserved nucleotide position (GERP = 4.15). The p.G379W change was predicted to be damaging by Mutation Taster with a confidence probability of 0.99 (SIFT = 0.00, Polyphen-2 = 1.00) and had a CADD PHRED score of 31. Glycine 379 is located within SORLA vacuolar protein sorting 10 (VPS10p) domain (Figure 3) and change to threonine is predicted to affect protein structure. No other rare variants in the SORL1 gene or in all the other genes contained in this peak on chromosome 11 segregated with disease. The WGS analysis confirmed this finding and failed to identify any other variants in the linked region.

Additionally, burden tests were performed for all rare variants, all functional variants (including nonsynonymous, splice-site, and loss of function variants), and separately on rare nonsynonymous variants. We did not find an association between rare SORL1 variants and PD in any of the burden analyses (Supplementary Data S3).

Linkage and Haplotype analysis

We performed a parametric linkage analysis assuming an autosomal dominant model using all available individuals. This analysis identified a single peak suggesting linkage on chromosome 11 (LOD score of 2.2). The 12.8Mb region (rs10750111 - rs12146531) contained 153 protein coding genes and included the SORL1 gene. Haplotype analysis confirmed co-segregation between the affected status and the SORL1 region in the family (Figure 1). Frequency estimation of c.G1135T (p.G379W) SORL1 variant in controls and sporadic PD.
Mutation screening of sporadic PD cases identified one additional case with the c.G1135T(p.G379W) SORL1 variant and haplotype analysis revealed a shared 5.2Mb haplotype surrounding the SORL1 region. This PD patient was of Greek origin, from the same village as the described family but kinship analysis excluded close relatedness. No other sporadic patients from the village or from the larger Greek cohort carried the variant. All controls were negative for the presence of the variant.

This patient had typical PD with an age of onset at 46. He initially developed asymmetrical bradykinesia and tremor on the right hand side and then bilaterally. Approximately after 10 years on levodopa treatment, he developed dyskinesias and he was then treated with levodopa/carbidopa intestinal gel with good response and

FIGURE 2. (A–C) MRI scans of members of the pedigree, showing no signs of atrophy (A: RIF9, B: RIF16), and generalized cerebral atrophy and periventricular leukoencephalopathy (C: RIF10). (D) Brain CT scan of individual RIF1 showing mild atrophy and periventricular leukoencephalopathy. (E–G) DaT scan images showing asymmetrical radiotracer uptake in individual RIF16 (E) and in individual RIF9 (F) and normal symmetrical uptake in individual RIF10 (G).

FIGURE 3. A crystal structure of SorLA Vps10p domain in ligand-free form, with the location of the G379 residue indicated by a black arrow and a selection of AD associated variants indicated in red (structural coordinates from PDB 3WSX, Ref. [13]).
without complications. Cognitive functions were normal and Mini Mental and Addenbrooke’s Cognitive examination scores were within the normal limits.

Discussion

The genetic association of SORL1 with AD is well established. SORL1 is responsible for encoding SORLA, a sorting receptor that is associated with retromer complex. SORLA is a large protein with an estimated size of 250 KDa. It contains a VPS10p domain, which is important for binding with cargo molecules, such as the amyloid precursor protein (APP) and other interactors. The VPS10p domain forms a ten bladed beta propeller which interacts with ABeta complex. The p.G379W mutation is located inside the sixth blade, adjacent to loop one which is crucial for binding affinity with ABeta.

While most families with SORL1 mutations present with a pure AD phenotype, it is noteworthy that the clinical spectrum in SORL1 families is broad and extends beyond straightforward AD features. Cucarro et al. described two families with AD and parkinsonian features or AD and DLB. Additionally, Maple-Grodem and colleagues report that variability in SORL1 is associated with dementia in cases with parkinsonism. Other variants in SORL1 have been found in pathologically confirmed DLB cases with rapid progression, clinically resembling Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease. These observations highlight the phenotypic pleiotropy where mutations in the same gene give rise to diverse phenotypes thus increasing the complexity of genotype–phenotype correlations.

Our study describes a SORL1 mutation in a family presenting with features of AD and PD. These findings support the presence of broader phenotypic variability in patients with SORL1, highlighting the need for mutation screening of SORL1 in patients with monogenic forms of neurodegenerative diseases to further establish a full phenotypic spectrum. As we did not find enrichment of rare variants in SORL1, the association of this gene with PD may be limited to this mutation, or it may act as a modifier gene.

Many familiar cases associated with PD genes so far were phenotypically heterogenous but within the spectrum of PD/PDD/DLB. However, in our family the clinical picture as well as all imaging and CSF biomarker studies are implicating PD/PDD/AD. All affected males have PD while females have AD, however, more studies are needed in order to determine whether SORL1 may affect phenotypic expression through a sex-specific mechanism. Further investigation of SORL1 in the context of PD is needed to elucidate the molecular mechanisms that underlie the possible association of the SORL1 gene with this disorder, and any potential overlap with other PD genes, such as VPS35—a key component of the retromer complex.
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