Postmenopausal Breast Cancer Risk in Relation to Antibodies Specific to Benzo[a]Pyrene, Estradiol and Progesterone
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Abstract

Background: Antibodies might protect against low doses of environmental carcinogens by decreasing systemic uptake, activation of metabolic pathways, and redistribution of carcinogens within the organism. The features of antibody formation in relation to environmental carcinogens and sex steroids under natural conditions should be determined to identify breast cancer risk, then to develop cancer immune prevention strategies.

Objectives: The purpose of this study was to investigate antibodies specifications to benzo(a)pyrene, estradiol and progesterone in postmenopausal women with invasive breast cancer.

Patients and Methods: A semi-quantitative non-competitive immunoassay of IgG antibodies to benzo(a)pyrene (IgG-Bp), estradiol (IgG-Es), and progesterone (IgG-Pg) has conducted. The assay has performed on 322 serum samples from patients with breast cancer and 179 serum samples from healthy postmenopausal women by using low-molecular-weight Bp, Es, and Pg conjugated with bovine serum albumin. ROC analysis has also conducted to determine the odds ratio (OR).

Results: Combination of the high levels of IgG-Bp and IgG-Es without IgG-Pg was more frequent in breast cancer patients than that in healthy women, and the OR has increased to 3.8. Combination of the high levels of IgG-Pg with high levels of both IgG-Bp and IgG-Es were significantly more frequent in breast cancer patients (36.9%) than that in healthy women (5.6%), and the OR increased to 11.7. These differences have peculiarly expressed in breast cancer patients with hormone status ER+/PR- (OR = 26.7). The minimum OR (0.4) has obtained at low levels of the three antibodies.

Conclusions: Immunoassay of antibodies against environmental carcinogens and sex steroid hormones could use to detect breast cancer risk. Induction of antibodies against Bp for cancer immunoprevention could lead to antibody formation against steroid hormones, thereby increasing breast cancer risk.
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1. Background

Breast cancer risk has increased among the women continuously. The potential factors for primary chemoprevention of breast cancer have included the following: selective estrogen receptor modulators (tamoxifen, raloxifene, arzoxifene, and lazofoxifene), aromatase inhibitors (exemestane and anastrozole), isoﬂavones, retinoids, retinoids, and deltanoids, polyamine synthesis inhibitors, and tyrosine kinase inhibitors (1, 2). All these agents have inﬂuenced the intracellular pathways of breast cancer development.

As tumors of mammary gland could induce by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (3-5) and phytoestrogens (6, 7), scholars have developed immunoprophylactic strategies with carcinogen-specific antibodies (Abs) (8-11). They have demonstrated that Abs might protect against low doses of environmental carcinogens by decreasing systemic uptake, activation of metabolic pathways, and redistribution of carcinogens within the organism. Studies on animal models with estrogen-sensitive tumors have shown that active immunization against estrogens could alter the concentration of the hormone in blood serum (12, 13), reduced tumor growth and increased survival time (14).

The features of antibody formation related to environmental carcinogens and sex steroids under natural conditions should determine to develop cancer immunoprevention strategies. Previous studies have revealed the presence of Abs against polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and
their DNA adducts in human blood serum (15-21). Abs to carcinogens and sex steroids in breast cancer patients (BCP) have only described in a few studies (22-24).

2. Objectives

In the present study, Abs specific to benzo(a)pyrene (Bp), estradiol (Es), and progesterone (Pg) have evaluated to detect breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women.

3. Patients and Methods

3.1. Patients

A total of 501 serum samples have obtained from postmenopausal women, of which 322 women have primarily diagnosed with invasive breast cancer in the regional clinical oncology hospital (Kemerovo, Russia). Each case diagnosis has confirmed morphologically and radiographically in the oncology hospital. Data related to tumor size, histological type, grade, and stage have collected from surgical pathology reports. Hormone receptor status (positive or negative) has determined immunohistochemically (25) with anti-human ER antibody (clone 1D5) and anti-human PR antibody (clone PR-2C5), obtained from Dako Corp. (Carpinteria, USA). The following groups have identified based on the hormone receptor status: positive (ER+PR+), negative (ER-PR-), and mixed (ER+PR- or ER-PR+). Healthy women (n = 189) without breast pathology have included for comparing. The median ages of participants were 61 (ranging from 42 to 85) for BCP and 58 (ranging from 39 to 80) for healthy women. The study protocol has conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 declaration of Helsinki and has approved by ethics committee of institute of human ecology SB RAS (protocol No. 12/1). All women have provided informed consents.

3.2. Immunoassay of Abs to Bp, Es, and Pg

Abs to Bp, Es, and Pg have tested through solid-phase indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays with minor modifications (20). Microtiter wells have coated with 2 µg/mL Bp (Es or Pg) (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) conjugated with bovine serum albumin BSA (Amresco, USA) in 100 µL phosphate-buffered saline PBS (Amresco, USA) at room temperature overnight. The hapten-BSA conjugates have synthesized according to a previously reported method (21, 26). Coated wells have blocked for 30 minutes with incubate buffer (PBS with 0.05% Tween 20 and 0.5% BSA). The serum samples have diluted at 1/100 incubate buffer, and have incubated 100 µL/well for 1 hour at 37°C. Bound Abs have detected with goat anti-human IgG antibody labeled with horseradish peroxidase (1/10000 dilution, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). After each assay steps wells have washed 250 µL/well of PBS with 0.05% Tween 20 (Amresco, USA). The amounts of bound Abs have determined through enzymatic reaction with the chromogenic substrate TMB (Bector Corp., USA). The reaction has then terminated by addition of 2 N HCl and absorbance has measured at 450 nm in duplicate.

The levels of Abs to Bp, Es, and Pg have expressed in arbitrary units and calculated based on the following Formula:

\[
\text{IgG} - X = \frac{\text{OD}_X - \text{BSA} - \text{OD}_{\text{BSA}}}{\text{OD}_{\text{BSA}}}
\]

Where X is Bp, Es, or Pg; \(\text{OD}_X\) is the absorbance of the binding to hapten-BSA conjugate and hapten-BSA and \(\text{OD}_{\text{BSA}}\) is the absorbance of the binding to BSA.

3.3. Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses have conducted using STATISTICA (StatSoft Inc, USA, version 6.0). Parametric normally distributed data has statistically analyzed using Shapiro-Wilk’s W-test, and non-parametric data have analyzed by Mann-Whitney U-test and \(\chi^2\) with Yates’ correction. Statistical significance has based on two-sided P values, \(P < 0.05\) have considered statistically significant. The prognostic value of markers has assessed through ROC analysis (27), and odds ratio (OR) has determined with 95% confidence interval (95% CI).

4. Results

The significant border levels of IgG-Bp, IgG-Es, and IgG-Pg between healthy women and BCP have determined through ROC analysis. High Abs levels (> 4) were more frequent in BCP than that in healthy donors, and the difference was statistically significant (Table 1, positions 1 and 2). The ORs for the BPC group were 3.5, 4.1, and 2.6 in relation to high Abs levels against Bp, Es, and Pg, respectively. The frequency of IgG-Pg (> 4) in the BCP PR+ subgroup (39%) was lower than that in the BCP PR- subgroup (50%), but the difference was not statistically significant. High levels of IgG-Pg have rarely found in the BCP ER+/PR+ subgroup (38%) in comparison with those in the BCP ER+/PR- subgroup (59%), and the difference was statistically significant (\(p < 0.05\)). No differences have found between the other subgroups in terms of the frequency of the high levels of the three Abs among the sex hormone receptors. The highest ORs have found in ER+/PR+ patients (5.5, 5.2, and 4.8).

Considering the possibility of different individual combinations of high and low Abs levels to Bp, Es, and Pg (Table 2), we have separated all the women into eight
groups. The absence or low Abs levels to the three hapten (combination 1) have rarely found in the BCP group in comparison with that in healthy donors (27.9% vs. 49.7%). The OR in this case was 0.4. By contrast, high Abs levels to the three hapten (combination 8) have more frequently found in BCP than that in healthy women (36.9% vs. 5.6%). In this case, the OR has increased to 11.7. This value was higher than the OR calculated through separate Abs analysis of each hapten (Table 1).

High levels of IgG-Bp or Ig-Es only without Abs to other hapten (combinations 2 and 3) presented similar frequency between BCP and healthy women. Abs formation to Bp only or to Es only has not increased BC risk. High levels of IgG-Pg only in the absence of IgG-Bp and IgG-Es (combination 4) have rarely found in BCP in comparison with that, among healthy women (1.6% vs. 5.6%), but the difference was not statistically significant. Combination 5 (high levels of both IgG-Bp and IgG-Es without IgG-Pg) has more frequently found in BCP than that in healthy women (11.5% vs. 5.6%), and the difference was statistically significant and the OR increased to 3.8. By contrast, combinations 6 and 7 (high levels of IgG-Pg with IgG-Bp or with Ig-Es) have rarely found in BCP compared with that in healthy women and the OR approached 0.7.

The frequency of high levels of IgG-Bp and IgG-Es without IgG-Pg (combination 6) was lower than that of IgG-Bp and IgG-Es without IgG-Pg (combination 5) in the BCP group (2.5% vs. 11.8%, P = 0.009). The increased ORs (3.8) at high levels of IgG-Bp and IgG-Es (combination 5) were statistically significant in all BCP subgroups allowing for sex hormone receptors without any differences between them. There were no any differences between healthy women and BCP as well as between subgroups of BCP when high levels of IgG-Pg have combined with IgG-Bp and with IgG-Es (combinations 6 and 7).

The quantity and frequency of Abs combinations in BCP suitable for sex hormone receptor combinations (ER/PR) have shown in Table 3. The results have determined that the minimum OR (0.2) at low levels of Abs to the three hapten (combination 1) and maximum OR (26.7) at high levels of Abs to the three hapten (combination 8) have found in BCP ER+/PR-.

The statistically significant high ORs (2.4) at high levels of IgG-Bp with IgG-Es (combination 5) have found in all three subgroups of BCP. The statistically significant low OR (0.02) at high levels of IgG-Bp with IgG-Pg (combination 6) have found in BCP ER+/PR+ only.

### Table 1. Cases Quantity (n) and Frequency (%) With High Levels of Antibodies to Benzo[α]Pyrene (IgG-Bp), Estradiol (IgG-Es) and Progesterone

| Group                  | IgG-Bp > 4 | IgG-Es > 4 | IgG-Pg > 4 |
|------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                        | No. (%)    | χ²         | P          | OR (95% CI) | No. (%)    | χ²         | P          | OR (95% CI) | No. (%)    | χ²         | P          | OR (95% CI) |
| Healthy women          | 579        | 49 (27)    | 0.0001     | 52 (28)     | 41 (22)    | 0.0001     | 49.7       | 0.0001     | 43 (23-70) | 13 (4)     | 0.0001     | 19.4       | 0.0001     |
| BCP                    | 322        | 104 (37)   | 0.0001     | 89 (32)     | 49 (19)    | 0.0001     | 4.0 (2.3-7.1) | 13 (8)     | 0.0001     | 29 (18-50) | 7 (5)      | 0.0001     | 5.6 (2.8-10.7) |
| BCP ER+/PR−            | 214        | 50 (24)    | 0.0001     | 117 (55)    | 50 (23)    | 0.0001     | 4.2 (2.8-7.6) | 13 (6)     | 0.0001     | 29 (18-50) | 7 (5)      | 0.0001     | 5.6 (2.8-10.7) |
| BCP ER−/PR+            | 88         | 8 (9)      | 0.0001     | 12 (14)     | 8 (9)      | 0.0001     | 3.6 (2.6-5.2) | 12 (14)    | 0.0001     | 27 (32)    | 8 (9)      | 0.0001     | 3.6 (2.6-5.2) |
| BCP PR+                | 198        | 107 (54)   | 0.0001     | 123 (62)    | 41 (21)    | 0.0001     | 4.3 (2.8-5.5) | 77 (80)    | 0.0001     | 21 (31-34) | 6 (9)      | 0.0001     | 3.1 (2.1-4.8) |
| BCP PR−/PR−            | 78         | 44 (56)    | 0.0001     | 55 (68)     | 30 (39)    | 0.0001     | 2.2 (1.5-2.9) | 37 (41)    | 0.0001     | 1.6 (1.1-2.4) | 9 (12)   | 0.0001     | 1.6 (1.1-2.4) |
| BCP ER+/PR−            | 45         | 20 (44)    | 0.0001     | 25 (55)     | 10 (22)    | 0.0001     | 1.8 (1.5-2.4) | 15 (33)    | 0.0001     | 2.5 (1.5-4.2) | 5 (11)   | 0.0001     | 2.5 (1.5-4.2) |
| BCP PR−/PR−            | 30 (97)    | 23 (77)    | 0.0001     | 30 (100)    | 22 (73)    | 0.0001     | 3.8 (2.8-5.7) | 27 (90)    | 0.0001     | 26.5 (15-46) | 7 (23)   | 0.0001     | 26.5 (15-46) |

**Abbreviations:** BCP, breast cancer patients; Bp, Benzo[α]pyrene; CI, 95% confidence interval; ER, Estrogen receptor; Es, Estradiol; IgG, immunoglobulins; OR, odds ratio; Pg, Progesterone; PR, Progesterone receptor.

Note: χ² P OR (95% CI) No. (%)
to 11.7. These differences have peculiarly expressed in BCP ER+/PR- (OR = 26.7).

Previous studies have shown a negative association or absence of strong association between sex-hormone binding globulin and BC risk (28). In a large study that have analyzed data from nine prospective trials involving women who develop or not develop BC, the risk of BC statistically significantly have increased with increasing concentrations of all sex hormones examined, whereas sex-hormone binding globulin has associated with decreased BC risk. In a case-controlled study of the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition, sex-hormone binding globulin levels in postmenopausal women who have developed BC have confirmed to be significantly lower than those in the control (29). Future studies should further investigate why Abs to Es and Pg, as the sex-hormone binding immunoglobulins, with Abs to Bp have shown a strong positive association with BC risk. Interrelations of Abs to environmental carcinogens with steroid hormones and steroid hormone receptors should also be investigated.

Although some scholars have speculated that mucosal and serum Abs reduce carcinogenesis by preventing high environmental carcinogens with steroid hormones and steroid hormone receptors existance when mice have treated with Bp (30).
Ig-Bp with Ig-Es and Ig-Pg corresponding to high BC risk. In this case, induction of systemic immune reactions against environmental carcinogens could stimulate Abs formation against steroid hormones and breast carcinogenesis. However, the molecular and cellular effects of Abs specific to environmental carcinogens and endogenous steroids on the mammary gland, have remained unknown.
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