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Targetable vulnerabilities in T- and NK-cell lymphomas identified through preclinical models

Samuel Y. Ng et al.

T- and NK-cell lymphomas (TCL) are a heterogeneous group of lymphoid malignancies with poor prognosis. In contrast to B-cell and myeloid malignancies, there are few preclinical models of TCLs, which has hampered the development of effective therapeutics. Here we establish and characterize preclinical models of TCL. We identify multiple vulnerabilities that are targetable with currently available agents (e.g., inhibitors of JAK2 or IKZF1) and demonstrate proof-of-principle for biomarker-driven therapies using patient-derived xenografts (PDXs). We show that MDM2 and MDMX are targetable vulnerabilities within TP53-wild-type TCLs. ALRN-6924, a stapled peptide that blocks interactions between p53 and both MDM2 and MDMX has potent in vitro activity and superior in vivo activity across 8 different PDX models compared to the standard-of-care agent romidepsin. ALRN-6924 induced a complete remission in a patient with TP53-wild-type angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma, demonstrating the potential for rapid translation of discoveries from subtype-specific preclinical models.
-cell lymphomas (TCLs) comprise approximately 10% of all non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHLs) in Western countries. Two-thirds of these lymphomas are peripheral TCLs (PTCLs) and the remainder are cutaneous TCLs (CTCLs). Many patients with CTCL have an indolent course that can span decades. In contrast, nearly all PTCLs are aggressive lymphomas and include both α and γδ T-cell lymphomas. Emblematic of our poor understanding of PTCL biology, the most common subtype of PTCL is PTCL-NOS (not otherwise specified).

Current approaches to treat PTCL are simply inadequate. The Comprehensive National Cancer Network guidelines list clinical trials as the top choice for all patients with PTCL (other than ALK + ALCL) in both the first-line and relapsed/refractory settings. Improved understanding of the pathobiology of TCLs could highlight therapeutic targets, but there is a severe lack of well-characterized cellular and in vivo models of these lymphomas. To address this, we here report a comprehensive characterization of a newly established panel of patient-derived xenografts (PDXs) from multiple subtypes of TCL and available TCL cell lines. We highlight multiple potentially targetable genetic alterations and functional vulnerabilities. In TP53-wild-type TCL, MDM2, and MDMX are prominent therapeutic targets. ALRN-6924 shows promising pre-clinical activity in TP53+ cell lines and PDX models and induced a complete remission in a patient with AITL. Thus, we demonstrate the potential of pre-clinical target validation and drug testing in models of rare cancers with direct clinical translation.

Results

Comprehensive characterization of TCL models. We collected a panel of previously established cell lines of T- and NK-cell lymphomas and validated their immunophenotype and STR-profiles (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Table 2). Furthermore, we defined the immunophenotypes of 17 TCL PDX models by flow cytometry and immunohistochemistry (IHC) and confirmed the expected histologic and phenotypic characteristics (Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Fig. 1). To mitigate genetic drift that may occur over serial passaging, we utilized PDXs in passage ≤2 and made these passages and affiliated data available through the Public Repository of Xenografts (www.PRoXe.org)4.

To characterize genomic alterations across TCL models, we performed whole exome and transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) of 20 TCL lines using the algorithm established for the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (https://portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle). We also sequenced 17 TCL PDX models (15 disseminated/orthotopic, 2 subcutaneous) and 13 additional cell lines using RNA-seq and targeted sequencing panels for recurrently mutated genes (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Tables 3, 4 and 5). Mutations identified in models derived from individual PTCL subtypes largely recapitulated those observed in patients (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Fig. 2). For example, ALK- ALCL frequently harbor JAK1 and/or STAT3 mutations2; these were also observed in TCL models (Fig. 1a), including 3 of 4 breast implant-associated ALCL models.

AITL is the second most-common subtype of PTCL but there were previously no cell lines or faithful in vivo models available. We generated four AITL PDXs, including models that harbor mutations in the most commonly mutated genes in this disease (TET2, DNMT3A, and/or RHOA) (Fig. 1a)6, 7. Similarly, no in vivo models of T-cell polyclonal leukemia (T-PLL) were previously available. We created two T-PLL PDXs, and confirmed ATM mutations and TCL1 rearrangement that characterize this disease (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Fig. 1f).

To identify copy number alterations, we applied the GISTIC (Genomic Identification of Significant Targets in Cancer) algorithm to whole exome sequencing data from 20 cell lines. We identified recurrent amplifications and deletions, including deletions of 9p21.3 that include CDKN2A and 17p13.1 that include TP53 (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Data 1). In fact, TP53 was deleted and/or mutated in 15 of 21 (71.4%) TCL lines, whereas TP53 alterations occur in <20% of patients with untreated PTCL or CTCL5-8. Of note, none of the 17 PDX models had TP53 mutations (p = 0.001 compared to cell lines, Fisher’s exact test).

We utilized RNA-Seq to identify structural variants in TCL cell lines and PDXs. Recent studies have reported fusions involving DUSP22 or TP63 as recurrent events of prognostic significance in ALCL lacking ALK rearrangements9. RNA-Seq identified a DUSP22 fusion in the FEPD cell line and fusions of TP63 in 4 lines (Fig. 1c). The latter included TBL1XR1-TP63 and FOXX2-TP63 fusions, which were confirmed by sequencing and fluorescence in situ hybridization (Supplementary Fig. 3a–c). In all TP63 fusions, the N-terminus of TP63 is deleted, creating a TP63 element similar to the ΔNTP63 (p40) variant (Supplementary Fig. 3a)10.

Multiple additional potentially targetable fusions were identified in cell lines or PDX models (Supplementary Fig. 3d, Supplementary Data 2, Supplementary Table 6), including NPM1-TYK2 in MyLa CTCL cells11, CD53-PDGFRB in DERL-2 HS-TCL cells, and VAV1-SF1 in an AITL PDX (Fig. 1c)12. We noted fusions that maintained the kinase domain of JAK2 in both MAC2A ALCI cells13 and the T-PLL PDX DFTL-28776 (Fig. 1c). Immunoblotting of MAC2A cells demonstrated constitutive phosphorylation of STAT3, STAT5, and P~C~M~A~1-K~A~2 (Fig. 1d). MAC2A cells lacked copy number alterations at JAK2 (Fig. 1e) and were highly sensitive to ruxolitinib, an FDA-approved JAK1/ JAK2 inhibitor (Fig. 1f). We engrafted mice with DFTL-28776 and treated for 7 days with ruxolitinib or vehicle (Fig. 1g). Spleen size and T-PLL involvement were markedly reduced in ruxolitinib-treated mice. Residual T-PLL cells had decreased STAT5 phosphorylation and were >70% apoptotic (Fig. 1h, i).

To characterize the transcriptomes of TCL cell lines and PDXs, we applied a sample–sample correlation matrix to cluster gene expression profiles across models. Both ALK+ ALCI and AITL formed distinct clusters, consistent with their unique cells-of-origin, while the remaining models formed a heterogeneous cluster (Supplementary Fig. 4a). As expected, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) identified enrichment of STAT3 target genes in ALCI models and enrichment of follicular helper T-cell (T_h) genes in AITL models (Supplementary Fig. 4b, c and Supplementary Data 3 and 4).

CRISPR-Cas9 screen identifies vulnerabilities in TCL. To begin defining additional vulnerabilities across TCL subtypes, we utilized a genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 loss-of-function screen. Of 21 lines, 8 (representing 4 subtypes) were adequately transducible with sufficient Cas9 activity for screening. We ranked T-cell lymphoma-specific dependencies by comparing to 383 additional lines screened using the same platform14 (Supplementary Data 5 and 6). Integration of gene expression, recurrence and statistical
significance (FDR q-value <0.05) resulted in a list of 30 genes as top vulnerabilities (Fig. 2a–d). IRF4, STAT3, and BCL6 were previously implicated as vulnerabilities in ALCL\textsuperscript{15} but the remaining genes are largely novel discoveries for TCL.

We validated IRF4 dependence across multiple cell lines with shRNA (Supplementary Fig. 5a). IRF4 is known to be regulated by the IKAROS Family Zinc Finger 1 (IKZF1) transcription factor, which was also a vulnerability across multiple lines (Fig. 2a–d). IRF4 was previously identified as a vulnerability in ALCL, where it is positively regulated by CD30\textsuperscript{16} and STAT3\textsuperscript{17}. Our data demonstrate that cell lines from both PTCL-NOS and CTCL subtypes are also dependent on IRF4. In B-cell malignancies, lenalidomide is known to induce IKZF1 and IKZF3 degradation by acting as a proteolysis-targeting chimera (PROTAC)\textsuperscript{18}. Indeed, lenalidomide treatment reduced proliferation in 4 of 7 tested cell lines (Supplementary Fig. 5b). A preliminary analysis demonstrated lower IRF4 protein levels in lines that were sensitive to lenalidomide (p = 0.0025, unpaired two-sided t-test;
MDM2 and MDMX are targetable vulnerabilities in TP53-wild-type TCL. We noted that both MDM2 and MDMX, which negatively regulate wild-type p53 function, scored as vulnerabilities in the CRISPR-Cas9 screen exclusively in the 4 TP53-wild-type TCL lines (Fig. 2a–e, Supplementary Fig. 6a). Notably, the SUP-M2 ALCCL line harbors an MDMX gene amplification with high protein expression (Fig. 3a, b) and had the highest dependency score for MDMX among the 391 cell lines screened (Fig. 2f). We validated functional dependencies on both MDM2 and MDMX using doxycycline-inducible shRNA (Fig. 2g).

To inhibit MDM2 and MDMX, we utilized the stapled peptidomimetic ALRN-6924, which is currently in phase I/II trials. ALRN-6924 was potently active against all 9 TP53-wild-type cell lines (IC50 100 nM–4 μM) and in MTA cells, which harbor a heterozygous S215G mutation that is believed to confer loss-of-function. In contrast, all 11 lines with homozygous, hemizygous or dominant negative (p.R273H) in SUDHL1) TP53 mutations had IC50 values >10 μM (Fig. 3c, d). In TP53-wild-type lines, ALRN-6924 induced a dose-dependent increase in levels of both p53 protein and the p53-target p21, which was associated with G0/G1 cell cycle arrest and induction of apoptosis (Supplementary Fig. 6c–e). ALRN-6924 had superior potency to the small molecule MDM2 inhibitor RG-7112 in a subset of lines, including 2 lines with MDMX amplification (Fig. 3a,d, Supplementary Fig. 6f–h).

Because of the broad activity of ALRN-6924, we assessed its efficacy in eight TP53-wild-type PDX models representing six different TCL subtypes. We established a maximum tolerated dose (MTD) for the standard-of-care agent romidepsin of 1 mg per kg given intraperitoneally on days 1, 4, and 7 (Supplementary Fig. 7a), which was the same dose previously used in lymphoma cell line xenografts. We compared romidepsin at this dose to ALRN-6924 dosed at 20 mg per kg given intravenously on days 1, 4, and 7. This dose of ALRN-6924 results in a similar area under the plasma concentration curve (AUC, 686–759 μg x h x mL⁻¹) in mice to the AUC in patients (831 μg x h x mL⁻¹) after a single dose of 3.1 mg per kg, which is the recommended phase II dose.

Mice were xenografted with tumor, allowed to develop significant disease burden and then randomized to treatment with vehicle, romidepsin or ALRN-6924 on days 1, 4 and 7. All mice were sacrificed on day 8 to assess disease burden across compartments. ALRN-6924 treatment induced p21 expression by IHC and apoptosis in treated tumors (Supplementary Fig. 6i, j). ALRN-6924 was broadly active across bone marrow, spleen and other involved compartments in all 8 models. ALRN-6924 was superior or equivalent to romidepsin across models, with the exception of bone marrow disease in 2 models (Fig. 3e).

In the phase 1/2a trial of ALRN-6924 (NCT02264613), the first patient treated for TCL experienced a complete remission (Fig. 3f). She was diagnosed withAITL and relapsed almost immediately after first-line CHOEP chemotherapy. A repeat biopsy confirmed AITL with wild-type TP53 and no copy number alterations at TP53, MDM2, or MDMX. She was started on ALRN-6924 given weekly for 3 weeks out of 4. She remains in a complete remission after two years of therapy.

Discussion

We established and comprehensively characterized preclinical models of TCL to facilitate both mechanistic discovery and biomarker-driven therapy. Immunophenotyping, DNA sequencing and RNA-based clustering all suggest that these models recapitulate important aspects of TCL biology. Importantly, the PDX and cell line models provide a pre-clinical toolbox for interrogating genetic, epigenetic, metabolic and other alterations of potential clinical significance.

Our CRISPR-Cas9 screen identified multiple new targets but was limited by the transducibility of available cell lines. Clearly, additional efforts are needed to extend genome-wide vulnerability screening to additional lines from other subtypes. In the 8 lines we screened, we rediscovered multiple known targets, most notably IRF4. It remains unclear whether clinical responses to lenalidomide, which occur in approximately 22% of patients with relapsed/refractory PTCL, involve the degradation of IKZF1, as shown in B-cell malignancies. Further studies are needed to clarify whether responses to lenalidomide among patients with PTCL result from tumor cell-autonomous responses and, if so, to identify the relevant targets that are degraded in the presence of lenalidomide.

We were surprised that PTPN2 scored as a vulnerability in the CRISPR-Cas9 screen in 7 of 8 cell lines. PTPN2 was previously described as a tumor suppressor in T-ALL and can enhance sensitivity to immune checkpoint blockade. It remains to be seen whether low IRF4 protein level could serve as a predictive biomarker for lenalidomide response in patients with TCL.

A large analysis of primary specimens will be needed to determine whether low IRF4 protein level could serve as a predictive biomarker for lenalidomide response in patients with TCL.

We noted that the phosphatase PTPN2 was the 2nd ranked vulnerability across lines. PTPN2 is an important regulator of T-cell tolerance and development and PTPN2 loss in tumor cells can enhance sensitivity to immune checkpoint blockade. Confirming the results of the CRISPR-Cas9 screen, we validated PTPN2 as a specific vulnerability in KI-JK cells but not in SMZ-1 cells, which were not sensitive to PTPN2 knockout in the screen and in an independent validation (Supplementary Fig. 5e, f).

All 4 ALK+ ALCCL lines tested in the CRISPR-Cas9 screen were sensitive to the ALK inhibitor crizotinib but the ALK- ALCCL line FEPD was not. We noted that ALK did not score as a vulnerability in any of the ALK+ ALCCL lines. This was expected as all 4 sgRNAs targeting ALK in the CRISPR-Cas9 screen were directed against the 5′ portion of the gene that is not included in the NPM-ALK fusion (Supplementary Fig. 5g, h).

Fig. 1 Genetic landscapes of TCL models identify targetable vulnerabilities. a Mutational characteristics of the most recurrently altered genes in patients, PDX models and cell lines of different subtypes of T- and NK-cell lymphomas. Highlighted in red is the frequency of recurrent mutations in different subtypes of T- and NK-cell lymphomas, based on published sequencing studies (Supplementary Table 3) with n indicating the number of cases included. b GISTIC summary plots of the significant copy number gains (left panel, red) and losses (right panel, blue) in 20 T-cell lymphoma cell lines. Y-axis: chromosomal position, X-axis: false-discovery rate (FDR) q values. c A subset of selected fusions in PDX models and cell lines, identified by RNA-seq. d Immunoblotting in ALCCL cell lines for the indicated targets. Wild-type JAK2 is approximately 130 kDa and PCM-JAK2 is approximately 260 kDa. e Copy number variants of JAK1 and JAK2 corresponding to d. f IC50 values for ruxolitinib corresponding to d. g Workflow of the ruxolitinib in vivo trial. h Splenocytes were harvested from mice treated with vehicle or ruxolitinib. Splen weight, inflammation of the spleens by hCD45/hCD21 cells and AnnexinV/7-AAD staining of vehicle vs ruxolitinib treated mice. Statistics: Unpaired two-sided t-test, * p < 0.05. i Immunoblotting of MAC2A cells treated in vitro with DMSO or 1 μM ruxolitinib for 24 h and DFTL-28776 treated in vivo with vehicle or ruxolitinib for 7 days. Bar graphs in f and i indicate mean values of at least two independent experiments with error bars indicating standard error of the mean.
frameshift mutations in one of 69 PTCLs, suggesting that PTPN2 could also function as a tumor suppressor in mature T cell malignancies. An alternate possibility is that hyperactivation of TCR or JAK/STAT signaling resulting from PTPN2 loss (or inhibition) is toxic to some or all mature T cells. This concept was previously demonstrated for multiple phosphatases that buffer B-cell receptor signaling in B-cell malignancies. Further studies are needed to determine the role of PTPN2 in PTCLs and whether loss of this phosphatase can induce a central tolerance checkpoint in lymphoma cells.

We validated JAK2 fusions and wild-type p53 as targets for small molecule inhibitors using in vivo PDX models. The presence of a JAK2 fusion in a T-PLL PDX was unexpected, but aberrant activation of this pathway is consistent with the activating JAK/STAT pathway mutations that have been described in T-PLL. The in vivo response that we observed suggests that identifying JAK2 fusions in this disease could offer significant clinical benefit, especially in a disease like T-PLL with no standard cytotoxic or targeted regimens for second-line therapy.

---

**Fig. 2** Genome-scale vulnerability screens reveal unique and common targets. a) T-cell lymphoma specific vulnerabilities, ranked by Z-score and recurrence. b) Corresponding false-discovery rate (FDR) q-values. c) Corresponding gene expression level; RPKM, reads per kilobase of transcript, per million mapped reads. d) Combined gene expression level and FDR. e) Dependency score of MDM2 in TP53-wild-type versus TP53-mutated cell lines. Comparison is by two-sided t-test with Welch correction. f) MDMX dependency score across 391 cancer cell lines with TCL lines highlighted in red. g) Knockdown of MDM2 and MDMX in TP53-wild-type cell lines KI-JK and SUP-M2 and TP53-mutated cell line SMZ-1 using GFP-expressing, doxycycline inducible shRNA. Data points indicate mean values with error bars indicating standard error of the mean.
We showed that ALRN-6924 has broad activity against multiple TCL lines and PDXs. It is extremely complicated to compare the activity of multiple drugs across multiple models, especially because the pharmacokinetics of each drug differ between mouse and human. Nonetheless, we used a maximum tolerated dose of romidepsin as a comparator to provide some relevance to current clinical treatment. The data across all 8 lines suggest that romidepsin has impressive activity in the bone marrow but ALRN-6924 was active across nearly all involved sites. Further studies are needed to understand whether these differences in compartment-specific activity are related to drug distribution or other micro-environmental determinants of efficacy.

Our study demonstrates that data from genome-wide vulnerability screens and in vivo PDX trials are highly complementary and can form the basis for clinical testing in rare cancers. We continue to generate models, including two additional AITL
Methods

Cell lines, antibodies, and small molecules. SR-719, KL-KR34, SUP-M235, SU-DHL-11, and MOLM-13 were obtained from DSMZ, Karpas 299, 384[1], MyLa[2], cells from Sigma-Aldrich, Hurt7[3], M71[4] from ATCC, and DL-40[5], MTA[6], and KYG7[7] from jcrb. TBLR8[8], TBLR3[9] and TBLR3[9] were kindly provided by Alan L. Epstein (Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA). FEpDH[10], MAC2A[11], OCI-Ly13[12] and OCI-Ly12[13] were kindly provided by Leandro Cerchietti (Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, USA) as approved by the Ontario Cancer Institute) and NKI[14] cells were kindly provided by Jerome Ritz (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, USA). H1[15], H5[16], and Se[17] were kindly provided by Anthony G. Letai (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, USA). HUT1[18] and HUT59[19] were kindly provided by Koushi Ohshima (Kurume University School of Medicine, Kurume, Japan). KO8[20] and ST1[21] were kindly provided by Yusaku Yamada (Nagasaki University School of Medicine, Nagasaki, Japan), Su9T0[22] was kindly provided by Naomichi Arima (Kagoshima University School of Medicine, Kagoshima, Japan), and SMZ-1[23] cells were kindly provided by Hiroshi Yoshida (Takara Medical Institute, Kyoto University). Cells were cultured according to the vendor’s recommendation. Cell lines were routinely tested for mycoplasma (ATCC® Universal Mycoplasma Detection Kit) and authenticity was validated by short tandem repeat (STR)-profiling.

Drugs. Ruxolitinib, RG-7112, RG-7388, and romiproside were purchased from Selleckchem. ALRN-6924 was provided by Aileron Therapeutics.

Proliferation, cell cycle, and apoptosis assays. Proliferation assays with ruxolitinib[24] (Selleckchem) and romiproside[25] (kindly provided by Hitoshi Ohno (Tenri Medical Institute, Tenri, Japan)). For cell cycle analysis, cells were stained with HOECHST33342 and PI[26] from SIGMA-Aldrich with 7-AAD[27]. Cell cycle analysis were performed with Hoechst33342 from SIGMA-Aldrich® (D47E7) (#4322), MDM2 (D1V2Z) (#86934), Phospho-Jak2 (Tyr1007/1008) (#3771), Stat3 (D1B2J) (#30835), phospho-Stat3 (Tyr705) (#9145), Stat1 (D2N18V) (#25656), phospho-Stat3 (Tyr694) (#47E71) (#4322), MDMD (D1V22) (#86934), a-Tubulin (#2144) and GAPDH (#5174) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technologies. Antibody to TP53[28] (sc-6247) and MDMX (G-10) (sc-74467) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Antibodies were used at 1:1000 dilution (Cell Signaling Technologies) or at 1:500 dilution (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

All the raw sequencing data was processed using CCLE variant calling pipeline. Mutation analysis for single nucleotide variants (SNVs) was performed using MuTect v1.1.6 in single sample mode with default parameters. Short indels were detected using Indelocator (http://archive.broadinstitute.org/cancer/cga/) and identified with high confidence by overlapping high quality variant calls, we required minimum coverage of 4 reads with minimum two reads supporting the alternate allele. Moreover, variants with low allelic fraction (AF < 0.1) and variants outside protein coding region were excluded. To remove germline-like variants, any variant with allelic frequency greater than 1E-5 in Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) project[29] were excluded with the exception of any cancer recurrent variant defined by minimum TCGA frequency of 3 or COSMIC frequency of 10.

For targeted exon capture and next-generation sequencing of all coding exons of 265 genes previously implicated in PTCL (Supplementary Table 4), genomic DNA was extracted from banked xenograft tumor cells harvested from P1 mice following immunomagnetic depletion of murine cells (EasySep Mouse/Human Chimera Isolation Kit, #19849, StemCell Technologies, Vancouver, BC, Canada). DNA underwent customized hybrid-capture target enrichment (SureSelect, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and Illumina sequencing. Genomic DNA from the spleens of a normal NSG mouse was sequenced in order to enhance species-specific filtering of human reads. Known germline polymorphisms from the Exome Sequencing Project and the dbSNP (build 142) databases were excluded. We applied the MutSigCV algorithm to identify genes that were altered more than often than expected by chance given the background mutation rate. We only considered variants predicted to be non-silent (i.e., missense, nonsense, translational start site, or splice site alterations, or in-frame or frame-shift insertions/deletions) and with variant allele frequencies of 5% or more. For each alteration meeting these criteria, sequencing reads were individually visualized to evaluate mapping quality as well as the phase and spatial distribution of alterations within reads. Mutations were then filtered by cross-referencing candidate variants to ClinVar, COSMIC, and a review of published literature.

To validate mutations, cell lines and PDX tumors were sequenced using the Rapid Lymphoma Panel, an amplicon-based resequencing platform leveraging a custom panel of oligonucleotide probes which target a bevy of genes (1420 positive and 322 negative strand probes for 411 gene targets, Supplementary Table 5) frequently implicated in lymphoma. Particular attention was paid to frameshifts, inframe indels, and non synonymous mutations. Paired-end sequencing of the ~300 bp
amplions is performed using an Illumina MiSeq, and Variant Call Format (VCF) files containing hits were generated using GRCGr/hg19 as the reference genome. Analysis of VCF files was performed using a combination of manual curation and as well as GEOMSTONE, a cloud-based tool developed for variant filtering and annotation.

Whole transcriptome sequencing. Analysis of RNAseq data was implemented as a Snakemake workflow. The complete workflow documenting all utilized parameters and tools as so to permit reproducibility is available at https://bitbucket.org/cfsc/vignette. Paired RNASeq samples were mapped to the human developed genome reference assembly (hg19) with STAR 2.4.2a. Transcript expressions were estimated with Cufflinks 2.2.1 without transcript assembly65. Gene expressions were calculated as sums of transcript FPKM values. Genes with transcripts shorter than 300 bp were ignored. All expression values were log2 transformed. For gene fusion detection, we use STAR Fusion (https://github.com/STAR-Fusion/STAR-Fusion),66 It is a method that accurately identifies fusion transcripts from RNA-Seq data and outputs all supporting data discovered during alignment. We used a cutoff of 5 reads (either spanning or crossing the fusion) to call the presence of a translocation.

For the Sample-Sample Correlation heatmap (Supplementary Figure 3) we used the expression matrix from Cufflinks.65 All snRNA and miRNA genes were first filtered out as were all genes that were only significantly expressed in 3 or fewer samples based on a FPKM threshold of five. A custom R script then calculates the Pearson correlation between all of the samples on a pairwise basis and generates the heatmap.

For GSEA, differential expression between experimental criteria was determined using raw counts and normalization procedures within the DESeq2 R package, using a negative binomial distribution. Genes were ordered by the Wald statistics and these ordered lists were used in GSEA (Broad Institute). The false discovery rate (FDR) (Benjamini and Hochberg) method was used to adjust for multiple comparisons.

To permit analysis of the aggregated cell line and PDX data, we first performed quantile normalization to adjust for library depth and platform-specific differences. Principal components analysis revealed that the primary source of post-normalization variation derived from batch effects. These batch effects within PDX and Cell Line were successfully removed using the ComBat approach from SVA 3.18.0068.

Archer fusion panel. An Anchored Multiplex PCR (AMP) assay was used for targeted fusion transcript detection using next generation sequencing (NGS). Briefly, total nucleic acid was isolated from cell pellets, blood, bone marrow aspirate or smears. The total nucleic acid was reverse transcribed with random hexamers, hg19 human genome reference using bwa-mem69. A laboratory-developed algorithm was used for fusion transcript detection and annotation. The integrity of the input nucleic acid and the technical performance of the assay were assessed with a negative binomial distribution. Genes with transcripts shorter than 300 bp were ignored. All expression values were log2 transformed. For gene fusion detection, we use STAR Fusion (https://github.com/STAR-Fusion/STAR-Fusion).66 It is a method that accurately identifies fusion transcripts from RNA-Seq data and outputs all supporting data discovered during alignment. We used a cutoff of 5 reads (either spanning or crossing the fusion) to call the presence of a translocation.

For the Sample-Sample Correlation heatmap (Supplementary Figure 3) we used the expression matrix from Cufflinks.65 All snRNA and miRNA genes were first filtered out as were all genes that were only significantly expressed in 3 or fewer samples based on a FPKM threshold of five. A custom R script then calculates the Pearson correlation between all of the samples on a pairwise basis and generates the heatmap.

For GSEA, differential expression between experimental criteria was determined using raw counts and normalization procedures within the DESeq2 R package, using a negative binomial distribution. Genes were ordered by the Wald statistics and these ordered lists were used in GSEA (Broad Institute). The false discovery rate (FDR) (Benjamini and Hochberg) method was used to adjust for multiple comparisons.

To permit analysis of the aggregated cell line and PDX data, we first performed quantile normalization to adjust for library depth and platform-specific differences. Principal components analysis revealed that the primary source of post-normalization variation derived from batch effects. These batch effects within PDX and Cell Line were successfully removed using the ComBat approach from SVA 3.18.0068.

CRISPR-Cas9-screen. Genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 loss-of-function screening was performed on 391 cancer cell lines including 8 T-cell lymphoma cell lines as described in “CRISPR-Cas9-screen”. Each sgRNA targeting PTPN2 (PTPN2 #1, PTPN2 #2) was cloned into the plK05-U6-EF1a-RFP657 and the Control sgRNA was cloned into plK05-U6-EF1a-GFP, which were gifts from Benjamin Ebert (Addgene plasmid # 57822 and # 57824). We introduced each sgRNA into the target cells with lentiviral transduction. Three days after infection, Cas9-target sgRNAs infected cells with PTPN2 #1 and Control sgRNA with GFP were mixed. Culture media was changed every 3–4 days and the fractions of RFP657- and GFP expressing cells were quantified on day 9 by flow cytometry (BD FACSCanto II).

The sequences used for sgRNAs were:
PTPN2 #1: CAGGACTCTATCATGAG
PTPN2 #2: CCGCGACTCTACAGGTACAG
Control:GCACTTACAGGACCTACCTCA

In vivo studies. Viably frozen patient-derived xenograft cells were thawed and washed in 1 × PBS before tail-vein injection at 0.7–1.0 × 106 cells per mouse. For subcutaneous models, tumor fragments were implanted in the right flank under isoflurane anesthesia. Tumor burden was monitored periodically based on engraftment kinetics of each model by flow cytometry of peripheral blood analysis, blood of target organ involvement from sentinel animals for non-circulating models, or tumor size by caliper measurement. Blood was processed with Red Blood Cell Lysis Buffer (Qiagen) before staining with antibodies against human CD45 (Pacific Blue V550, BD Biosciences, 560670) and human CD2 (APC-conjugated, BioLegend 300213) or human CD56 (APC-conjugated, BD Bioscience 555518) in 1 × PBS with 1 mM EDTA plus 1% fetal bovine serum. All antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:20. Flow cytometry data was analyzed with FlowJo.

Upon engraftment, mice were randomized to vehicle or treatment arms. Romidepsin was given at 90 mg/kg or 50 mg/kg in PBS, 0.1% Tween 20, orally by gavage twice per day; romidepsin at 1 mg per kg diluted in PBS, given intraperitoneally on days 1, 4, and 7; ALRN-6924 at 20 mg per kg diluted in 20 mM sodium phosphate, 240 mM trehalose and 0.03% (w per v) polysorbate 20, pH 7.5 given intravenously on days 1, 4, and 7. The vehicle for romidepsin and ALRN-6924 was PBS intraperitoneally and ALRN6924 vehicle intravenously on the same schedule as the drugs.

All drugs were dosed in 10 mL per kg volume based on body weight. Mice were humanely sacrificed 16 h after the final dose; blood and target organs were collected for complete blood count, immunohistochemistry, and flow cytometry to determine tumor burden using the same antibodies as above. All animal work was performed in Nod.Cg-Pkrkdnull/+IL2rgtm1Wfiz/SzJ (NSG) mice purchased from Jackson Laboratories and handled according to Dana-Farber Cancer Institute’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved protocol #13-034.

Contribution of human participants. For PDX generation, de-identified patient samples were obtained with informed consent and xenografted under Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved protocol #13-351. The patient reported in Fig. 3 participated in the phase 1/2a trial of ALRN-6924 (NCT02264613). Informed consent was obtained according to the study protocol and was approved by the University of Alabama-Birmingham Cancer Center Institutional Review Board.

Data availability. All primary data from RNAseq, exome sequencing, and CRISPR screening are available in Supplementary Data 7–10. Raw data files are available at http://xenograft.cancer.gov/ and have been deposited in NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE11408567. Mutation and RNASeq data for PDXs are also available at...
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