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Abstract: The articles reveal the removal effectiveness of 7 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), which were recognized in water from a highway drainage system by using the ultrasound treatment process. Results showed that after 45 min of sonication, the average removal of PAHs reached 59%. The reduction of analyzed substances was proportional to treated time and irradiation amplitude. Furthermore, as a consequence of ozone addition to the sonicated samples, the efficiency of the treatment was significantly higher.
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1. Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a group of organic compounds which consist of at least two benzene rings in angular, linear, or cluster arrangement. In most cases, they are colorless, white, or yellow solids. PAHs are characterized by high melting and boiling points, low vapor pressure, and low solubility in aqueous media. Moreover, it is generally accepted that some PAHs are carcinogenic and mutagenic [1,2]. Even though there are many described substances occurring in the environment, most regulations aim at only some of them. For instance, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) listed 16 PAHs according to their ecological impact and effects on human health [3]. PAHs are widely spread substances in the environment, resulting from natural and anthropogenic processes such as fires, petroleum spills, vehicles, and primarily by the incomplete combustion of the fuels. Many PAHs are also related to urban road surfaces due to vehicles and abrasion of asphalt. As a result, mentioned substances can migrate to air, water, and soil. They also have a tendency to deposit near to their source in the environment, due to low volatilization potential and high molecular weight [4].

In general, aromatics are considered as substances that are hard to remove biologically, and thus, other techniques need to be developed [5]. One which can be used in water treatment is acoustic cavitation technology, which is defined as the formation of acoustic bubbles due to ultrasound, and their subsequent collapse in given conditions. During the collapse of the bubbles, pollutants can be eliminated by the mechanical effects, oxidation, and occurrence of high pressure and temperature conditions [6,7]. This study aims to determine PAHs in the samples from one of the highway rainwater drainage systems, and evaluate the impact of ultrasound treatment on the removal of PAHs from the treated samples. Furthermore, this work examines the effect of ozone treatment assisted with ultrasonication on the above-mentioned substances’ elimination efficiency.
2. Materials and Methods

To determine the PAHs in the sample collected from the highway drainage system, and to evaluate their removal efficiency, a gas chromatograph (7890B, Perlan Technologies, Warsaw, Poland) has been used. To improve the quality of the analysis, the samples were prepared as described by Bohdziewicz et al. [8] using the solid phase extraction (SPE) method applying Supelclean™ ENVI™-18 cartridges, which were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. The collected sample was tested for basic parameters and indicators, including pH, conductivity, turbidity, and color. After a 1 mgL$^{-1}$ dosage previously identified in the water PAHs, the samples were treated by ultrasonic processor Sonics VCX 500 (Vibra Cell Sonics and Materials, USA), characterized by the frequency of 20 kHz and a 13 mm diameter probe. In the experiments, the irradiation amplitude (during 1 min sonication) and irradiation time (at 114 µm amplitude) effect of PAHs' removal efficiency was evaluated. The volumes of the treated sample were 50 and 100 cm$^3$, respectively. At the last stage of the study, 100 cm$^3$ of water, during 1 min ultrasound treatment at the 114 µm amplitude, was assisted by 1, 3, 5, and 10 mgL$^{-1}$ ozone addition. In this work, ozoner FM500 (WRC Multiozone, Gdansk, Poland) was used.

3. Results and Discussion

A chromatographic analysis revealed that, in the collected sample, 7 of the PAHs were present, namely naphthalene, acenaphthylene, fluorene, phenanthrene, fluoranthene, pyrene, and benzo[k]fluoranthene (Table 1). Notably, benzo[k]fluoranthene was classified by International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as a substance possibly carcinogenic for humans. The other measured parameters of collected water quality, which might indicate the presence of harmful substances, were conductivity (204.5 µm/cm), pH (7.80), turbidity (26.2 NTU), and color (242 mg Pt L$^{-1}$).

Table 1. The chemical characteristic of PAHs identified in the water [9].

| Name            | Molecular Formula | Molecular Mass (gmol$^{-1}$) | CAS No. |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Naphthalene     | C$_{10}$H$_8$     | 128.17                       | 91-20-3 |
| Acenaphthylene  | C$_{12}$H$_8$     | 152.19                       | 208-96-8|
| Fluorene        | C$_{14}$H$_8$     | 166.22                       | 86-73-7 |
| Phenanthrene    | C$_{14}$H$_{10}$  | 178.23                       | 85-01-8 |
| Fluoranthene    | C$_{16}$H$_{10}$  | 202.25                       | 206-44-0|
| Pyrene          | C$_8$H$_{10}$     | 202.25                       | 129-00-0|
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | C$_{20}$H$_{12}$ | 252.30                       | 207-08-9|

In the ultrasonic treatment of the samples, 23, 68, 91, and 114 µm of amplitude were used, and it was observed that increasing amplitude results in a higher degradation degree of PAHs, which could be explained by the occurrence of higher intensity, and at the same time, hydroxyl radicals generation. At the maximum amplitude, average removal efficiency reached 76%, thus, an amplitude of 114 µm was used in further experiments.

The effect of irradiation time on PAHs degradation rate was explored for 1, 5, 15, 30, and 45 min. As a result, the average reductions of PAHs were respectively 18, 32, 45, 54, and 59%, and the highest efficiency after 45 min was obtained in the case of phenanthrene and the lowest in case of pyrene, which could be caused by their internal structure properties.

At the last stage of the experiment, 1, 3, 5, and 10 mg L$^{-1}$ of ozone were used. The removal efficiency of PAHs was explored in ozonation as a single process, and ozonation assisted with ultrasonic treatment. It can be concluded that the maximum average degradation degree of all identified PAHs was obtained during combined O$_3$ treatment at maximum concentration and ultrasonication (removal of 96%). Ozonation used as a single process resulted in 73% maximum PAHs degradation at the dosage of 10 mg L$^{-1}$. Both ozonation used alone and with ultrasound treatment assistance was more efficient than 1 min ultrasonication as a single process (removal of 18%). However, in every case,
the ultrasonic assistance of the ozonation process led to a much higher decomposition rate of PAHs.

4. Conclusions

To sum up, PAHs can enter the environment as a result of many anthropogenic and natural processes. Due to their unfavorable health effects on humans, it is necessary to eliminate them from aqueous systems. In the sample collected from highway drainage, naphthalene, acenaphthylene, fluorene, phenanthrene, fluoranthene, pyrene, and benzo[k]fluoranthene were identified. Overall, preformed experiments indicate that ultrasound treatment had a high efficiency when it comes to PAHs’ removal, especially when it is combined with the ozonation process. The degradation degree of PAHs was proportional to the irradiation amplitude, time, and O$_3$ dosage. Maximum average reduction (96%) was obtained by the addition of 10 mg L$^{-1}$ O$_3$ combined with ultrasound treatment.
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