RACK1 is indispensable for porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus replication and NF-κB activation in Marc-145 cells
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Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) causes porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS), which is currently insufficiently controlled. RACK1 (receptor of activated protein C kinase 1) was first identified as a receptor for protein kinase C, with increasing evidence showing that the functionally conserved RACK1 plays important roles in cancer development, NF-κB activation and various virus infections. However, the roles of RACK1 during PRRSV infection in Marc-145 cells have not been described yet. Here we demonstrated that infection of Marc-145 cells with the highly pathogenic PRRSV strain YN-1 from our lab led to activation of NF-κB and upregulation of RACK1 expression. The siRNA knockdown of RACK1 inhibited PRRSV replication in Marc-145 cells, abrogated NF-κB activation induced by PRRSV infection and reduced the viral titer. Furthermore, knockdown of RACK1 could inhibit an ongoing PRRSV infection. We found that RACK1 is highly conserved across different species based on the phylogenetic analysis of mRNA and deduced amino acid sequences. Taken together, RACK1 plays an indispensable role for PRRSV replication in Marc-145 cells and NF-κB activation. The results would advance our further understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying PRRSV infection in swine and indicate RACK1 as a promising potential therapeutic target.

Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) is characterized by respiratory disorders in piglets and reproductive failure in sows1. The disease is a major cause of economic loss for the swine industry worldwide2. The causative agent, porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV), is a member of the family Arteriviridae, genus Arterivirus3. The PRRSV genome is about 15.4 kb in length, which has 9 open reading frames encoding 7 structural proteins and 14 nonstructural proteins4. Among all of the encoded viral proteins, NSP9 is a putative RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and plays central roles in viral replication5. An earlier study, using the yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) system, showed that RACK1 (receptor of activated protein C kinase 1) might interact with the PRRSV protein NSP96.

RACK1 was first identified as a receptor for protein kinase C7 and subsequently shown to act as a scaffold protein that plays important roles in multiple signaling pathways, including Akt8, Hedgehog9, Wnt/β–catenin10 and NF-κB activation11, and consequently in cancer development12,13. The sequence and function of RACK1 is highly conserved across evolutionarily distant species (from human to fly). It plays vital roles at different phases of various virus infections14. For example, depletion of the influenza A virus matrix protein M1–binding protein RACK1 impaired virus release15. Evidence from yeast two hybrid data and pull-down experiments showed that the Nef protein of HIV can bind to the carboxyl terminal of RACK116. Tandem affinity purification and mass spectrometry revealed that RACK1 interacts with HBe, while overexpression of RACK1 counteracted the proapoptotic activity of HBe17. RACK1 is also an essential determinant for hepatitis C virus translation and infection18. RACK1 from the shrimp Penaeus monodon was identified as a specific cellular target protein for VP9, a
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nonstructural protein of white spot syndrome virus (WSSV)14. Walleye dermal sarcoma virus Orf B functions through RACK1 and protein kinase C15. Mumps virus V protein has the ability to interact strongly with RACK116. In addition, RACK1 plays an anti-apoptotic role during infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) infection via interaction with VDAC2 and VP5. It suggests that VP5 sequesters RACK1 and VDAC2 in the apoptosis-inducing process21. Furthermore, together with integrin beta, RACK1 is involved in the cell entry of Bombyx mori cypovirus22. Additionally, RACK1 was discovered as one of the 16 interacting cellular proteins by the yeast two-hybrid system during a screen for classic swine fever virus (CSFV) N55A interactive proteins in the cDNA library of the swine umbilical vein endothelial cell (SUVEC)23. RACK1 was also screened with CSFV E2 as bait protein by yeast two-hybrid from porcine alveolar macrophages (PAM cells) expression library, which was further confirmed by co-transformation, GST pull-down and laser confocal assays24.

However, there are no studies that define the role of RACK1 during PRRSV infection. Here we analyzed the sequence similarity of RACK1 (at both mRNA and amino acid levels) across diverse species, and then investigated how PRRSV infection in Marc-145 cells affects the expression level of RACK1. We further show that siRNA knockdown of RACK1 in Marc-145 cells downregulates PRRSV replication, abrogates NF-κB activation induced by PRRSV infection and reduces the viral titer.

Results
Phylogenetic analysis and multiple sequence alignment. RACK1 mRNA sequence from Marc-145 cells (Macaca mulatta, a member of Rhesus monkey) is 954 nucleotides long (Genbank accession number KT751174.1) and encodes a protein sequence with a length of 317 amino acids. RACK1 orthologous mRNA sequences and amino acid sequences from 26 species, including the one sequenced at our lab from Marc-145 cells, were downloaded from The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database. The phylogenetic analysis and multiple sequence alignment based on these data were performed using online tool Clustal Omega (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) with the default parameters. The result showed that the RACK1 mRNA sequence of Marc-145 cells has a strong evolutionary connection with human and chimpanzee (indicated by the red square in Fig. 1A). Furthermore, the RACK1 amino acid sequence is also highly conserved between primates and additional species such as pig (highlighted in the red square in Fig. 1B,C).

PRRSV infection upregulated cellular RACK1 expression. RACK1 expression is stimulus- and cell-type-specific. For instance, RACK1 is downregulated in EBV-infected monocytes25, while it is highly up-regulated through nerve growth factor (NGF) induced NF-κB activation26 or by TGF-β1 in mice27. Hence, in this study, we investigated the effect of PRRSV infection on the RACK1 expression. Marc-145 cells in 6-well plates were analysed at different time points post infection with 25 TCID50 of YN-1 strain or without virus challenge. RNA and proteins were extracted from the cells for virus copy determination, RACK1 mRNA and protein expression analysis. Copy number of PRRSV ORF7 mRNA significantly increased after 36 hours post infection (Fig. 2A) and viral N protein encoded by ORF7 was detected by western blot 48 hours post infection (Fig. 2C), indicating efficient virus replication in Marc-145 cells. During the whole experimental time period (from 1 hour to 60 hours), substantial increase of cellular RACK1 mRNA (Fig. 2B), unduced levels highlighted by the red line) and protein (Fig. 2C) was observed, compared with the non-infection control. Even at the very beginning of PRRSV infection (such as 1–12 hours post virus inoculation), striking upregulation of RACK1 expression was recorded (Fig. 2C). However, we also observed an early increase of RACK1 mRNA production following PRRSV infection (Fig. 2B, 1 hpi), while RACK1 protein levels remain constant (Fig. 2C) throughout the period of infection. We reason that the mRNA expression data can not always correlate with the protein expression data in an exact manner.

siRNA knockdown of RACK1 inhibited PRRSV replication and abrogated NF-κB activation induced by PRRSV infection. The transcription factor NF-κB plays a pivotal role in innate immunity in response to a variety of stimuli. When stimulated, the IκB proteins are phosphorylated by IκB kinases (IKKs) and degraded by proteasomes. Thus the IκB proteins allow the release and translocation of NF-κB into the nucleus to activate the transcription of genes involved in innate and adaptive immunity. The coordinated regulation of this pathway determines the proper host responses to extracellular signals28–30. Therefore, the authors investigated whether PRRSV infection in Marc-145 cells can activate NF-κB and whether RACK1 plays any role in the NF-κB activation.

First, efficient knockdown was achieved using two siRNAs against RACK1, as demonstrated by RT-qPCR over 72 hours (Fig. 3A) and by western blot (Fig. 3B), when normalized to the internal GAPDH control and compared with the non-targeting siRNA transfection. Then two days post siRNA transfection, the Marc-145 cells cultured in 6-well plates were challenged by PRRSV (YN-1 strain, 25 TCID50). Total protein was collected at various time points post infection for western blot analysis. Compared with the non-infection treatment (w/o PRRSV), PRRSV infection constantly upregulated the RACK1 expression over a 60 hours duration (Fig. 3B, non-transfection and siScramble treatments), continuously induced the phosphorylation of IκBα and p65 (Fig. 3D,F), which became more significant with longer infection time. Our western blot data showing increased IκBα phosphorylation after PRRSV infection in Marc-145 cells (Fig. 3D) is in line with previous report in PAMs challenged with PRRSV or in CRL2843 cells transfected with N protein expression vector31, where phosphorylation of IκBα was observed already 30 minutes post infection or 24 hours post transfection. We further found that knockdown of RACK1 (Fig. 3B) resulted in clear inhibition of PRRSV replication (Fig. 3C) in a dose dependent manner (Supplementary Figure 1) and led to the abrogation of phosphorylation of IκBα and p65 (Fig. 3D,F), while no influence on the protein level of total p65 (Fig. 3E) was observed, suggesting that RACK1 downregulation blocked the NF-κB activation induced by PRRSV infection. We also ruled out the possibility that the anti-virus effects might come from the enhanced interferon alpha level resulted from the siRNA treatment (Supplementary Figure 2).
In addition, immunofluorescent staining analysis was applied to further investigate the effect of RACK1 knockdown on PRRSV replication in Marc-145 cells. The cells were transfected with siRNAs, challenged with PRRSV 48 hours post transfection, fixed 24 hours or 48 hours post infection and immunofluorescently stained to visualize the nuclei (blue channel) and the expression level of RACK1 (red channel) and viral N protein (green channel). Reduction of RACK1 protein level occurred after siRNA knockdown with the two siRNA sequences

Figure 1. Phylogenetic analysis and multiple sequence alignment of RACK1 mRNA and amino acid sequences. (A) Phylogenetic analysis of RACK1 mRNA sequences from 26 species. (B) Phylogenetic analysis of RACK1 amino acid sequences from 26 species. (C) Multiple sequence alignment of RACK1 amino acid sequences from monkey, human and pig showed identical sequences. The sequence alignment and clustering was performed using the online tool Clustal Omega.
(siRACK1_1 and siRACK1_2), compared with Non-transfection and siScramble groups (Fig. 4), which was more noticeable at 48 hours post transfection (Fig. 4B). Viral N protein was already detected 24 hours post infection in Non-transfection and siScramble groups (Fig. 4A), and increased to higher levels 48 hours post infection (Fig. 4B). RACK1 knockdown considerably (although not completely) inhibited the PRRSV infection, as deduced from a substantial reduction of the viral N protein level.

Reduction of viral titer by knockdown of RACK1. Change of viral titer is one of the most direct and convincing parameters in anti-virus research. In order to further determine the level of inhibition, PRRSV YN-1 strain was diluted by 1:10 series and added into Marc-145 cells 48 hours post siRNA transfection in 96-well plates. Cytopathic effects (CPE) were monitored until 3 days post virus infection. Viral titers were measured by TCID_{50} assay. We found that compared with the siScramble control group, RACK1 knockdown could significantly protect Marc-145 cells from cytopathic effects (Fig. 5A) and reduced the viral titer by almost 40 fold (Fig. 5B), although non-targeting siRNA knockdown slightly affected the viral titer (3 fold, which is insignificant, with p value >0.05).

Knockdown of RACK1 inhibited an ongoing PRRSV infection. The above described data was obtained from the experiments performed by knocking down RACK1 and then infecting Marc-145 cells with PRRSV. To investigate whether knockdown of RACK1 can inhibit an ongoing PRRSV infection, we first infected Marc-145 cells with 25 TCID50/100ul of PRRSV. Twelve hours post PRRSV infection, the Marc-145 cells were trypsinized for siRNA knockdown. The mRNA and protein expression level of cellular RACK1 and viral ORF7

Figure 2. PRRSV infection in Marc-145 cells upregulated RACK1 expression on both mRNA and protein level. After challenge with PRRSV YN-1 strain (25 TCID_{50}) in Marc-145 cells, total RNA was isolated at different time points for RT-qPCR analysis and the total protein was extracted for western blot analysis. (A) Absolute copy number of viral ORF7 mRNA over 60 hours’ infection time. The p value between the two datasets (1, 1.5, 2, 4, 12, 24, 36 hpi and 48, 60 hpi) is 0.0068, indicating a significant difference. (B) Relative mRNA expression level of RACK1. The ΔΔCt method for relative quantification of gene expression was used to determine viral ORF7 RNA levels. (C) Western blot analysis of RACK1 and viral N protein. After SDS-PAGE and protein transferring, the membranes were cut based on the molecular weights of the target proteins and the markers, put together along the same film for exposure with same exposure time for each sub-figure. The histograms and blots shown here are representative data from three independent experiments. GAPDH was used as internal control in both RT-qPCR and western blot analysis.
Figure 3. Knockdown of RACK1 abrogated PRRSV replication and NF-κB activation in Marc-145 cells. RACK1 mRNA expression level was measured by RT-qPCR at different time points post siRNA knockdown (A). The data was normalized to scramble siRNA treatment and the mRNA expression of internal control GAPDH. Forty-eight hours post siRNA knockdown, Marc-145 cells were inoculated with PRRSV YN-1 strain (25 TCID₅₀). Total protein from various infection time points was analyzed by western blot for RACK1 (B), viral N protein (C), p-IκBα (D), p65 (E), p-p65 (F) and GAPDH (G). siRACK1_1 and siRACK1_2 are the two siRNA sequences (see Table 1) used in this study targeting different RACK1 mRNA sequences. After SDS-PAGE and protein transferring, the membranes were cut based on the molecular weights of the target proteins and the markers, put together along the same film for exposure with same exposure time for each sub-figure. The histograms and blots shown here are representative data from three independent experiments.

Table 1. Oligonucleotides used in this study. CGCCACC, as the conserved sequence of Kozak sequence, is tagged to the 5′ of the start codon of the forward primer sequence to amplify the full sequence of the RACK1 mRNA.
were analyzed at different time points after transfection (48, 72 and 84 hours post transfection). The data in Fig. 6 clearly showed that compared with the non-targeting siRNA control (siScramble), RACK1 siRNA knockdown significantly reduced the mRNA and protein expression level of cellular RACK1 and viral ORF7, suggesting that knockdown of RACK1 could inhibit an ongoing PRRSV infection.

**Figure 4.** Indirect immunofluorescence detection of reduced PRRSV replication in Marc-145 cells transfected with siRNAs targeting RACK1. Transfection was performed 48 hours prior to infection with PRRSV YN-1 strain (25 TCID$_{50}$). Cells were fixed 24 hours or 48 hours post infection. Anti-N monoclonal antibody (mAb) and Alexa Fluor488 conjugated secondary antibody were applied in indirect immunofluorescence staining to demonstrate the viral N protein level, with anti-RACK1 polyclonal antibody and Alexa Fluor546 conjugated secondary antibody to visualize the RACK1 protein level. Nuclei are shown in blue, RACK1 protein in red, and N protein in green. The images of the same treatment from the three channels were merged. These images are representative of three independent experiments.
Figure 5. The siRNA knockdown of RACK1 protected Marc-145 cells from cytopathic effects and reduced the viral titer 40-fold compared with the non-targeting knockdown. Marc-145 cells (10⁴ cells per well) were seeded into 96-well plates the day of transfection. Forty eight hours post transfection, ten-fold serial dilution of PRRSV YN-1 strain was prepared in medium. One hundred µl/well of each dilution was added into 6 wells in total. CPE was recorded using the inverted microscope over a period of 3 days post transfection. The 50% tissue culture infected dose (TCID₅₀) was determined by Reed–Muench method. Compared with the siScramble control group, transfection with siRACK1 significantly protected Marc-145 cells from cytopathic effects (A) and reduced the viral titer by nearly 40 times. These data is representative for three independent experiments.
Material and Methods

Virus and Marc-145 cells. A highly pathogenic PRRSV field strain YN-1 (GenBank accession number: KJ747052) was isolated from the lungs of an infected pig in Yunnan province (China) during a severe PRRSV outbreak in 2008, which belongs to the PRRSV genotype 2. Marc-145 cells were used in this study, as PRRSV can replicate in this cell culture system, which has been widely used in the PRRSV research. The Marc-145 cells and PRRSV field strain YN-1 were acquired and applied in our previous study32. Marc-145 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, GIBCO, pH 7.4), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen). The cultures were maintained in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator at 37 °C.

RACK1 mRNA sequence determination from Marc-145 cells. Primer pair for amplification of RACK1 mRNA from Marc-145 cells was designed according to the RACK1 mRNA sequences publically available from The National Center for Biotechnology Information (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). As we previously described32, total RNA was isolated from Marc-145 using the RNAiso Plus RNA isolation kit (Takara Dalian, China), and subjected to reverse transcription (EasyScript First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Super Mix, Cat. #AE301, TRANS) and PCR amplification (2× TransTaq High Fidelity (HIFI) PCR SuperMix II, Cat. #AS131, TRANS). The reverse transcription was performed in 10 µl reaction and incubated at 42 °C for 30 mins and then at 85 °C for 5 s, containing 5 µl of 2× ES, 0.5 µl of ES, 1 µl of primer RACK1_R_seq (1 pmol) and 3.5 µl of RNA template. The PCR reaction was carried out in 25 µl reaction, containing 12.5 µl of 2× TransHiFi SuperMix II, 0.5 µl of primer RACK1_F_seq (0.1 pmol), 0.5 µl of primer RACK1_R_seq (0.1 pmol), 1 µl of cDNA template (about 400 ng) and

Figure 6. Knockdown of RACK1 inhibited an ongoing PRRSV infection. Marc-145 cells were infected with PRRSV for 12 hours to allow the establishment of the viral infection, followed by siRNA treatments. The mRNA and protein expression level of cellular RACK1 and viral ORF7 were analyzed at different time points after transfection (48, 72 and 84 hours post transfection). (A) Cellular RACK1 mRNA expression level. (B) Absolute copy number of viral ORF7 mRNA. (C) Western blot of cellular RACK1 and viral N protein level. GAPDH was used as internal control in both RT-qPCR and western blot analysis. These data is representative for three independent experiments.
siRNA transfection and virus infection. Cell seeding, siRNAs transfection and virus infection were performed according to our previous study. In brief, Marc-145 cells were seeded into 96-well plates (10⁶ cells per well) or 6-well plates (3 × 10⁵ cells per well) during reverse transfection with 40 nM siRNA (against RACK1 or with random sequence) and Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermofisher Scientific, Cat. #L3000008) (1.5 µl/well for 96-well plate or 6 µl/well for 6-well plate). Forty-eight hours post transfection, the cells were inoculated with PRRSV YN-1 strain (25 TCID₅₀/well, equivalent to an approximate MOI of 0.02,) till further analysis. Each treatment was performed in triplicate. The siRNAs used in this study are listed in Table 1 and purchased from Sangon (Shanghai, China). Transfection with siScramble serves as neutral control for normalization.

Isolation of total RNA, Reverse transcription and qPCR analysis. As we previously described, total RNA was isolated from Marc-145 cells at different time points post transfection and PRRSV infection using the RNAiso Plus RNA isolation kit (Takara Dalian, China, Cat. #R908/9109), and subjected to reverse transcription (Takara PrimerScript RT reagents kit, Cat. #RR037A) and qPCR analysis (Clontech, SYBR Primer Ex Taq II kit, Cat. # RR820Q). The reverse transcription was performed in 10 µl reaction incubated at 37 °C for 15 mins and then at 85 °C for 5 s, containing 2 µl of 5 × Prime Script Buffer, 0.5 µl of Prime Script RT Enzyme Mix 1, 0.5 µl of Oligo dT primer, 0.5 µl of Random 6 mers, 3 µl of RNA (480 ng) and 3.5 µl of water. The qPCR reaction was carried out in 20 µl reaction, containing 10 µl of SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ II (2×), 0.4 µM forward primer, 0.4 µM reverse primer and 1.5 µl of cDNA template (480 ng). The primer pairs used in this study are listed in Table 1. Amplification and detection of samples were performed with the CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, USA). The ΔΔCt method (ABI PRISM 7700 Sequence Detection System. 1999. User Bulletin # 2.) for relative quantification of gene expression was applied to determine viral RNA (ORF7) and cellular RACK1 and IFNα mRNA levels using SYBR Green real-time PCR. GAPDH served as an internal control.

Western blot analysis. Total viral and cellular proteins were isolated from Marc-145 cells in 6-well plates at different time points as indicated with or without PRRSV infection, according to our previous report. The collected protein samples were resolved under reducing and denaturing conditions using sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and 8% Bis-Tris NuPage gels in conjunction with running buffer. Resolved proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes for western blot detection and blocked at room temperature for 1 h in PBST containing 5% (w/v) dehydrated milk and 0.05% Tween 20 with shaking. Viral N protein or cellular proteins were probed by overnight incubation at 4 °C with rocking, with the primary antibodies, which was diluted in filtered 5% milk–PBST at a ratio of 1:500 (anti-N protein monoclonal antibody, VMRD, Cat. #080728-004, mouse origin), 1:1000 (anti- GAPDH polyclonal antibody, Proteintech, Cat. #20536-1-AP, rabbit origin), 1:1000 (anti-p65 antibody, LsBio, Cat. #LS-C532792, rabbit origin), 1:1000 (anti-phospho-p65 Ser536 antibody, Cell Signaling Technology, Cat. #3033s, rabbit origin), 1:1000 (anti-RACK1 antibody, Cell Signaling Technology, Cat. #5432s, rabbit origin), or 1:1000 (anti-phospho-IκBα Ser32/36, Cell Signaling Technology, Cat. #9246, rabbit origin), followed by secondary goat anti-mouse conjugated horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Proteintech, Cat. #SA0001-1) or goat anti-rabbit-conjugated horseradish peroxidase (Proteintech, Cat. #SA0001-2) antibody diluted in filtered 5% milk–PBST at a ratio of 1:2,000 and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with rocking. Subsequently, western blots were treated with chemiluminescent ECL Plus substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL) and imaged using chemiluminescent film (Kodak, Rochester, New York).

Indirect immunofluorescence staining. According to our previous study, 24 or 48 hours post PRRSV infection, with or without siRNA transfection, the Marc-145 cells were washed with PBS (0.05 M, pH7.4) and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at room temperature for 10–15 minutes. Then the cells were washed with PBS for three times, permeabilized with PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 for 15 minutes and blocked with PBS containing 1% BSA for 2 hours at 4 °C. The nuclei staining with 5 µg/ml of Hoechst 33342 (Life Technology) was carried out for 20 minutes at room temperature. The cells were subsequently co-incubated with PRRSV antibody against N protein (encoded by ORF7) (VMRD, Cat. #080728-004, mouse origin, 5 µg/ml) and anti-RACK1 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat. #5432s, rabbit origin, 2.7 µg/ml) at 4 °C overnight, washed three times with PBS and then co-incubated with Alexa Fluor 648 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. #11010) at 5 µg/ml for each for 1 h at 37 °C. Staining with Hoechst 33342 (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. #B2261) at room temperature for 15 minutes was used to visualize the nuclei. After three times PBS wash, the cells were subjected to image analysis by fluorescence microscopy (Olympus).
However, in this study we demonstrated RACK1 as a positive regulator of NF-κB complex to TRAF2, which is a critical step for IKK phosphorylation and subsequent activation triggered by TNF.

Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) were treated with NF-κB inhibitor BAY11-7082. Hence we validated our hypothesis that RACK1 plays a role in NF-κB activation and how RACK1 plays its roles in NF-κB activation and how RACK1 plays its roles in NF-κB complex in a TNF-triggered manner in 293T cells. This interaction interferes with the recruitment of the IKK complex to TRAF2, which is a critical step for IKK phosphorylation and subsequent activation triggered by TNF.

In this study, we demonstrated RACK1 as a positive regulator of NF-κB signaling induced by PRRSV infection in Marc-145 cells (Fig. 3). The complete opposite functionality of RACK1 in NF-κB signaling probably stems from the differing stimuli and cell types. The elaborate mechanisms by which PRRSV regulates NF-κB activation and how RACK1 plays its roles in NF-κB and PRRSV replication require further studies.

There was a report showing that viral replication of PRRSV strain CH-1a was not obviously affected when bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) were treated with NF-κB inhibitor BAY11-7082. Hence we reasoned that inhibition of NF-κB signaling may not interfere with the replication of PRRSV strain YN-1 in Marc-145 cells, and that the inhibition of YN-1 replication (Fig. 4) and reduction of viral titer (Fig. 5) by RACK1 siRNA knockdown was not through deactivation of NF-κB. This also needs further investigation.

Fighting viral infections is hampered by the scarcity of viral targets and their variability. Viruses depend on cellular molecules, which are attractive alternative targets for drug development, provided that they are dispensable for normal cell function. Inhibition of RACK1 does not affect Drosophila or human cell viability and proliferation, and RACK1-silenced adult flies are viable, indicating that this protein is not essential for general translation. A similar phenotype was observed in this study, as shown in Figs 3, 4 and 5 in terms of cell number.

Collectively, in spite of the controversy about whether PRRSV infection activates or deactivates the NF-κB pathway, our results demonstrate that the highly pathogenic PRRSV strain YN-1 isolated by our lab did activate the NF-κB signal pathway and upregulate RACK1 expression over the investigation time period in Marc-145 cells. The authors suggested that RACK1 is very conservative across different species based on the phylogenetic analysis of mRNA and deduced amino acid sequences. For the first time we demonstrated that siRNA knockdown of RACK1 inhibited PRRSV replication in Marc-145 cells, abrogated the NF-κB activation induced by PRRSV infection and eventually reduced the viral titer. Furthermore, we demonstrated that knockdown of RACK1 could inhibit an ongoing PRRSV infection. The conclusion can be drawn that RACK1 is an indispensable cellular factor for PRRSV replication in Marc-145 cells and thus NF-κB activation, while dispensable for cell viability. This study may provide some insights into the molecular mechanisms of PRRSV infection in swine and RACK1 was uncovered as a promising potential therapeutic target for PRRSV intervention. The therapeutic potential of RACK1 could be realised by small molecules which can selectively target RACK1 or and its interacting proteins. A small
molecule screen is currently carried out in our lab to identify chemical compounds for inhibition of PRRSV replication by downregulating the RACK1 expression level.

**Availability of data and materials.** The datasets supporting the findings can be found in the tables and figures of the manuscript.
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