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Abstract

The emergence of antibiotic resistance necessitates not only the identification of new compounds with antimicrobial properties, but also new strategies and combination therapies to circumvent this growing problem. Here, we report synergistic activity against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) of the β-lactam antibiotic oxacillin combined with 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C in vitro. Ongoing efforts to identify antibiotics from marine mollusk-associated bacteria resulted in the isolation of 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C from a *Streptomyces* sp. strain cultivated from a marine gastropod tissue homogenate. Despite the long history of 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C in the literature, the absolute configuration has never been previously reported. A comparison of measured and calculated ECD spectra resolved the configuration of the spiroketal carbon C6, and 2D ROESY NMR spectroscopy established the absolute configuration as 6s,6aS. The compound is selective against Gram-positive bacteria including MRSA and Enterococcus faecium with an MIC range of 0.125–0.5 μgm l⁻¹. Moreover, the compound synergizes with oxacillin against MRSA as observed in the antimicrobial microdilution and time-kill assays. Simultaneous treatment of the compound with oxacillin resulted in an approximately tenfold decrease in MIC with a combination index of <0.5, indicating synergistic anti-MRSA activity.

Introduction

Marine gastropod mollusks that are defended by thick shells harbor diverse culturable bacteria, many of which produce biologically active compounds [1–4]. Our continuing efforts to screen extracts from mollusk-associated bacteria against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) led us to the isolation of 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C (1) from *Streptomyces* sp. strain 1425S.R.1a.1. The bacterium was isolated from the body tissue homogenate of *Truncatella guerinii* (Fig. 1), a small gastropod that lives under plant debris near splash zones. Griseorhodins are aromatic polyketides known to be biologically active against Gram-positive bacteria [5–8]. In addition, some members of this structural family are known to be inhibitors of HIV reverse transcriptase and human telomeras [9]. The most recently isolated griseorhodins D, E, and F are intermediates and end products of post-PKS tailoring modification during biosynthesis [1].

Previously, *S. aureus* infections were primarily treated with β-lactam antibiotics, including oxacillin, a second-generation penicillin. Soon after the emergence of MRSA, these early generation β-lactam antibiotics were eliminated from the treatment options. To date, MRSA has become one of the most prevalent multi-drug resistant pathogens, and is responsible for most nosocomial and community-acquired infections worldwide [10, 11]. Currently, antibiotic treatments for MRSA infections are limited to glycopeptides (vancomycin and telavancin), oxazolidinones (linezolid and tedizolid), lipopeptides (daptomycin), and advanced-generation β-lactams, such as cephalosporins (ceftaroline).
Synergistic anti-methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) activity and absolute stereochemistry of S. guerinii. When MRSA (ATCC 43300™) was treated simultaneously with 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C and oxacillin, a more potent antimicrobial activity was observed compared with the single-drug treatments. This indicates possible synergistic activity, which may translate to a more rapid clearance of infection, shorter course of antibiotic therapy, and therefore, a reduction of dose-related toxicity [13].

Despite the long history of 1 in the literature, the absolute stereochemistry has never been reported. In addition, NMR chemical shifts were originally assigned without modern high-field NMR technology and advanced 2D experiments, and comparison with reported shift assignments for members of the structural family revealed inconsistently assigned signals. All carbon chemical shift signals were assigned, and the stereochemistry was determined to be 6S,6aS by using a combination of spectroscopic and computational methods.

Materials and methods

General experimental procedures

UV–Vis spectra were obtained by using a Shimadzu Prominence HPLC System (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) coupled with a PDA detector. High-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectra were gathered using a QSTAR® XL Hybrid LC/MS/MS System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) equipped with turbo ion spray source, delivering the sample at a rate of 40 µl min⁻¹. NMR data were collected using a Varian 500-MHz NMR spectrometer with 5 mm Varian Oneprobe (¹H 500 MHz, ¹³C 125 MHz). Residual signals from solvents were used for referencing. Semipreparative HPLC was performed using a Phenomenex C18 column (Luna 250 × 10 mm, 5 µm, 4.0 ml min⁻¹). ECD spectra were obtained on an Aviv Biomedical Model 410 CD Spectrometer.

Collection of animal material

Truncatella guerinii (specimen code PMS-1419Y) (Fig. 1) was collected in Tambuli East, Lapu-Lapu City, Cebu, Philippines in September 2009 and January 2011. Appropriate permits from the local government and the Bureau of Fish and Aquatic Resources (BFAR) were secured prior to sample collection. Two voucher specimens stored in 95% ethanol were prepared and deposited at the Marine Science Institute Museum and the Malacology Department of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University. Samples were immediately processed under sterile conditions in a temporary laboratory set-up at the collection site. A pool of five specimens was washed three times with sterile water before cracking the shells using a shell vise. Samples were dissected by Dr Alexander Fedosov of A.N. Severtzov Institute of Ecology and Evolution of the Russian Academy of Science. Individual tissues were extracted including the prostate gland, digestive tract, and the remainder of the body. Samples were placed in 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tubes and kept in an ice bath until microbial isolation.

Isolation and identification of bacteria

After dissection, each tissue sample was homogenized in sterile sea water (1 ml) using mortar and pestle. The resulting homogenate was serially diluted (tenfold) and plated on R2A (Reasoner’s 2A) agar (0.2% yeast extract, 1% malt extract, 0.2% glucose) supplemented with 2% NaCl, 10 µg ml⁻¹ nalidixic acid, 10 µg ml⁻¹ cycloheximide, and 25 µg ml⁻¹ nystatin. Plating for each dilution was done in duplicate inside a portable hood that was sterilized using UV and 70% ethanol. Primary or “mother” plates were incubated for 4 weeks at 30 ºC. Bacteria were isolated, purified, and preserved in 20% glycerol at −80 ºC. Bacteria with the code 1425S.R.1a.1 were isolated from the body of T. guerinii (specimen code PMS-1419Y). Genomic DNA of 1425S.R.1a.1 was extracted from a bacterial culture (7 ml) using the GenCatch™ Blood & Tissue Genomic Mini-Prep Kit (Epoch Life Science) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The 16S rRNA gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using 20–50 ng template DNA, 6 µl of 2X PCR Master Mix (Promega), and 0.5 µM of primers: 27F (5'–AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG–3') and 1492 R (5'–TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT–3’), for a total reaction volume of 20 µl. Thermal cycling consisted of an
The rDNA sequence was deposited in GenBank with accession 1425S.R.1a.1. It was 99% similar to bacterial 16S rRNA sequences. BLAST revealed that the tide sequence databases using BLAST to identify similar sequences against Ribosomal Database Project and GenBank nucleotide databases. The 16S rRNA sequence was assembled and annotated using Geneious 8.1 software (Biomatters, New Zealand, available at http://www.geneious.com). Subsequently, the contig was aligned against Ribosomal Database Project and GenBank nucleotide sequence databases using BLAST to identify similar bacterial 16S rRNA sequences. BLAST revealed that 1425S.R.1a.1 was 99% similar to Streptomyces sp. The 16S rRNA sequence was deposited in GenBank with accession code KY906645.

**Fermentation, extraction, and isolation**

Streptomyces sp. (1425S.R.1a.1) was inoculated from a seed culture (100 ml) into eighteen 2.8L Fernbach flasks, each containing 11 of R2A broth (0.2% yeast extract, 1% malt extract, 0.2% glucose, and supplemented with 2% NaCl), and cultured for 6 days at 30 °C with shaking at 150 rpm. The fermentation broth was centrifuged at 4000 rpm at 20 °C to separate the supernatant from the mycelia. The supernatant was extracted with HP20 diaion resin for 3 h. The diaion resin was recovered through filtration and washed with dH2O to remove salt before eluting the resin with 25, 50, 75, and 100% methanol (v/v). The methanol fraction was concentrated under reduced pressure and used as a reference.

**Computational methods for ECD prediction**

DFT calculations were performed at 298 K in methanol solution using the polarizable continuum model (PCM) in its integral equation formalism version (IEFPCM) incorporated into Gaussian 16 software. Models of all four diastereomers (6S,6aS;6R,6aR;6R,6aR;6S,6aR) were generated in Chem3D 15.0 (PerkinElmer). Each was energy minimized in Spartan (Wavefunction Inc.), and a conformer search was carried out at the molecular mechanics level of theory. Boltzmann distribution tables were generated for the top ten conformers of each diastereomer. The conformers that represented a combined > 99% of the population were geometry optimized at the b3lyp/6–31 g(d,p) level. TD-DFT calculations were performed at the b3lyp/6–31g(d,p) level with the number of states set to N = 40. Transitions were loaded into SpecDis 1.71 [14] for the generation of ECD spectra, and the spectrum from each conformer was weighted according to the Boltzmann distribution to produce a final averaged spectrum for each diastereomer.

**Computational methods for 13C NMR predictions**

The top configurations of 6S,6aS and 6R,6aR were loaded into Gaussian 16. QM-NMR predictions were performed using the GIAO Method at the b3lyp/6–31g(d,p') level. Trimethylsilane (TMS) was modeled, optimized, and run with the same methods and at the same level of theory to be used as a reference.

**Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)**

The protocol was based on the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute M7-A7 [15], with some modifications. Colonies of MRSA (ATCC® 43300™) were inoculated in 10 ml of cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth (CAMHB) with 2% NaCl and incubated for 6 h, 37 °C, with shaking at 150 rpm. The turbidity of the bacterial suspension was adjusted with sterile broth to absorbance values of 0.08–0.1 at 625 nm to achieve a suspension containing ~1 × 10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹. The adjusted inoculum was further diluted 100-fold and used for the assay. The inoculum was added into a 96-well flat-bottom microtiter plate containing 98 μl of CAMHB with 2% NaCl and 2 μl of each drug concentration. The final density of the bacteria per well was ~5 × 10⁵ CFU ml⁻¹. Afterward, the plate was sealed and incubated with shaking for 18–24 h at 37 °C. Finally, 20 μl of 0.02% resazurin was added into each well and the fluorescence signals were measured at 530 nm excitation and 590 nm emission using a microplate reader (Biotek Synergy™ HT, VT, USA). MRSA treated with oxacillin and vehicle
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solvent was used as positive and growth controls, respectively. The concentration of DMSO per well did not exceed 1%. The results of the assays were expressed as % inhibition relative to the negative control using the following equation:

\[
\% \text{ Inhibition} = \left(1 - \frac{\text{Absorbance treated} - \text{Absorbance media}}{\text{Absorbance vehicle} - \text{Absorbance media}}\right) \times 100
\]

The data points were plotted in GraphPad Prism version 5 and inputted in CompuSyn software [16] for the determination of MIC. The MIC is defined as the lowest concentration of the antimicrobial agent that inhibits 97–100% of growth.

**Evaluation of synergistic activity**

The synergistic activity of 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C with oxacillin was determined by performing the antimicrobial microdilution broth assay as described above. MRSA was treated with serial twofold concentrations of the compounds concurrently at constant 1X MIC ratio. The MIC of the individual drugs was also determined simultaneously as single-drug controls. To determine synergism or antagonism, the combination index (CI) at MIC97 was analyzed using CompuSyn [16] based on the equation below [17, 18].

\[
\text{CI} = \frac{\text{MIC}_a \text{ combination} + \text{MIC}_b \text{ combination}}{\text{MIC}_a \text{ individual} \times \text{MIC}_b \text{ individual}}
\]

**Time-kill assay**

Time-kill assays were done in 96-well microplates. MRSA (5 x 10^4 CFU/well) was treated singly and in combination with 1 (0.0625 and 0.125 µg ml⁻¹) and oxacillin (4 and 8 µg ml⁻¹), and then 10 µl were aliquoted in each well at 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h post treatment, and serially diluted by tenfold. Ten microliters of each dilution were spotted on antibiotic-supplemented R2A media and incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ for another 3 h. Finally, the formazan crystals formed from the reaction were solubilized with 100 µl of DMSO per well. After 5 min of shaking, the absorbance was read in a microplate reader (Biotek®, Synergy-HT, VT, USA) at 570 nm. The results of the assays were expressed as percent cell viability relative to the negative controls using the following equation:

\[
\% \text{ Cell viability} = \left(1 - \frac{\text{Absorbance treated} - \text{Absorbance media}}{\text{Absorbance vehicle} - \text{Absorbance media}}\right) \times 100
\]

**Results and discussion**

**Isolation, structure verification, and chemical shift reassignment**

A Streptomyces sp. bacterium was isolated from the body tissue homogenate of a marine mollusk, *T. guerinii* obtained from Cebu, Philippines. The bacterium was grown in 181 of R2A media supplemented with 2% NaCl, the cells were removed by centrifugation, and the culture medium was extracted with hydrophobic resin. The resin was washed, and then eluted with MeOH to produce a dark red crude extract that showed antibacterial activity against methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA, ATCC® 43300™). Assay-guided purification of active constituents provided a series of red pigments, most of which appeared to be known analogs of the griseorhodin family based on spectroscopic data.

The most hydrophobic compound, based on retention in C18 reversed-phase chromatography, was isolated as a red solid. High-resolution mass spectrometry showed a protonated molecule at *m/z* = 495.0889 (M + H)⁺, suggesting a molecular formula of C₃₂H₁₈O₁₁ with 17 degrees of unsaturation (Fig. S1), consistent with the formula of 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C (1). Furthermore, the 1D ^13^C NMR experiment and UV–Vis absorption spectra matched the reported values for 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C [21]. The ^1^H NMR spectrum, however, revealed slight changes in the chemical shifts of the two pairs of methylene protons on carbons 7 and 8 (Table S1). 2D NMR experiments, including gCOSY, gHSQCAD, and gHMBCAD, were used to verify the planar structure to be identical to previous reports (Fig. 2).
As previously stated, the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum was identical to reports in the literature. However, during the structure verification it became clear that there were significant misassignments of chemical shifts, particularly within the proton-deficient polycyclic regions. This is likely due to the original reports relying solely on 1D $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, without the aid of modern 2D experiments.

The original report lists the $^{13}$C and $^1$H shifts at C7 as 21.30 and 1.99/1.92 ppm, while C8 was $\delta$ 23.24 and 2.51/2.43 ppm. However, it is clear from the HSQC data that the more upfield proton set ($\delta$ 2.16/2.40) should be associated with the carbon signal at $\delta$ 23.6 ppm, while the methylene protons at $\delta$ 3.05 are on the carbon at $\delta$ 21.7 ppm (Fig. S4). An HMBC correlation from H9 ($\delta$ 6.86) to the carbon at $\delta$ 21.7 supports this being C8, while C7 is at $\delta$ 23.6. Furthermore, the different chemical shifts for the protons on C7 support this being adjacent to the C6a stereocenter, while the protons on C8 are seen as a single peak with an integration of 2. These results mirror those of the structurally related compound hyaluromycin, in which the proton chemical shifts at C7 are also more upfield in comparison with those at C8 [22].

In addition, six carbon nuclei (C4, C8a, C9a, C12, C17, and C17a) remained with no 2D NMR correlations to validate chemical shift assignment. Thus, QM-DFT calculations were run in Gaussian 16 to predict the $^{13}$C NMR chemical shifts for all nuclei in the compound. The results increased confidence for the placements of the 20 carbons assigned based on 2D NMR data. The remaining six carbons were all able to be assigned based on their relative shift values and confirmed with comparison with the calculated results (Table 1).

Taken together, these data verify that the isolated compound is the same planar structure as the originally reported 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C. Furthermore, a combination of experimental and computational methods corroborated the reassignment of chemical shift data to the planar structure of the molecule. However, there remains a discrepancy in the proton shifts for the two methylene groups between the original report of 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C and our report here. Because the original papers did not report any stereochemical analysis, it is possible that the discrepancy arises from a difference in configuration between the original isolation and the material reported herein.

### Absolute configuration of 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C

Two stereocenters are present in 1 at carbons 6 and 6a. Interestingly, previous reporting on the absolute configurations of griseorhodins A and C showed the configuration of carbon 6a to be $S$ in both compounds, while carbon 6 is identified as $S$ in griseorhodin A and $R$ in griseorhodin C [23, 24]. Despite being known for many years, no reports have been made on the absolute or relative configuration of 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C.

---

**Table 1** Carbon chemical shift reassignment in 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C

| Position | (1) Original [21] | Calculated |
|----------|-------------------|------------|
| $\delta_C$ | $\delta_C$ | $\delta_C$ |
| 1 | 180.5 | 179 | 184.2 |
| 2 | 160.7 | 160.1 | 161 |
| 2-OCH$_3$ | 57.5 | 56.94 | 57.6 |
| 3 | 110.6 | 110.1 | 111.4 |
| 4 | 186.1 | 184.5 | 185.8 |
| 4a | 106.9 | 106.3 | 109.6 |
| 5 | 157.5 | 158 | 154.8 |
| 5a | 124.5 | 130.2 | 126.8 |
| 6 | 74.5 | 74.1 | 80.5 |
| 6a | 113.6 | 152.1 | 118.5 |
| 7 | 23.6 | 21.3 | 28.3 |
| 8 | 21.7 | 23.2 | 27.5 |
| 8a | 132.9 | 124.2 | 134.3 |
| 9 | 115.4 | 114.8 | 114.5 |
| 9a | 130.7 | 124.2 | 131.4 |
| 10 | 104.2 | 103.7 | 107.1 |
| 11 | 152.7 | 148.7 | 155 |
| 12 | 166.1 | 165.6 | 166.6 |
| 13 | 104.7 | 113.3 | 106.5 |
| 14 | 149.1 | 147.9 | 150.2 |
| 14a | 138.2 | 130.2 | 137.8 |
| 16a | 147.1 | 137.8 | 146.8 |
| 17 | 154.1 | 154.1 | 153.9 |
| 17a | 114.5 | 113.9 | 117.3 |
| 18 | 19.1 | 18.5 | 22.6 |

Shifts that were reassigned based on 2D NMR experiments are shown in bold, while shifts that did not have 2D NMR correlations, reassigned purely by comparison with calculated values are in italics.

---

**Fig. 2** Key NMR correlations for the structural assignment of 1
Synergistic anti-MRSA activity of 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C and oxacillin

The MICs of 1 against ESKAPE pathogens and other Gram-positive bacteria were evaluated using the microdilution broth assay. Compound 1 is active in all Gram-positive bacteria tested (S. aureus, MRSA, Bacillus subtilis, Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus epidermidis), but not in Gram-negative bacteria (Table S2). ATCC® 43300™ is an oxacillin- and methicillin-resistant strain that contains staphylococcal chromosome cassette mec (SCCmec) type II encoding the mec methicillin-resistance operon. 1 has in vitro efficacy when administered as a single agent with an MIC of 0.08–0.12 µg ml⁻¹ (0.12–0.25 µM), which was much lower than oxacillin (1.59–6.24 µg ml⁻¹ or 3.96–15.6 µM) (Table 2). Moreover, 1 showed virtually no cytotoxicity in MDCK and AA8 (Chinese hamster ovarian cells), with IC₅₀ of 15.84 µg ml⁻¹ (32 µM) and >49.5 µg ml⁻¹ (100 µM), respectively (Fig. S7).

One strategy to decrease toxicity and slow down the development of drug resistance is through combination therapy [13]. To investigate for possible synergistic activity, ATCC® 43300™ MRSA strain was treated simultaneously with 1 and oxacillin at 1 × MIC ratio. Table 2 shows the reduction of the individual MICs after combination treatment, indicating an enhanced antimicrobial activity compared with the single-drug treatments. To assess the synergistic activity, we used the CI method developed by Chou and Talalay [18]. For antimicrobial combinations, CI ≤ 0.5, >0.5–4, >4 indicate synergism, additivity, and antagonism, respectively. The CI for the combination of 1 and oxacillin at MIC ratio is 0.12–0.24, which indicates synergistic effects. This is consistent with the time-kill assay, which shows ≥100-fold decrease in CFU/mL between the combination and its most active constituent after 24 h (Fig. 5).

Our results show the potential renewed utility of an existing β-lactam antibiotic (oxacillin) in treatment of MRSA when used in synergy with 1. Other existing β-lactam antibiotics that are already approved for human use are also currently being restored as treatment against MRSA. Three distinct generations of β-lactam antibiotics (meropenem, piperacillin, and tazobactam) were reported to be highly synergistic against 72 clinical strains of MRSA when used as a triple combination, with FICI (Fractional Inhibitory Concentration Index) of 0.11, while the double combinations of these drugs showed FICI of 0.22 (meropenem and piperacillin) and 0.44 (meropenem and tazobactam) against MRSA N315 (also with type II SCCmec) [25].
ND-421, an oxadiazole, was also found to work synergistically with oxacillin in vitro with FICI values of 0.31 and 0.37 against NRS70 and NRS123 MRSA strains, respectively, as well as in vivo in a mouse neutropenic thigh model [26]. The synergistic effect is proposed to be due to simultaneous perturbation of several components of cell wall synthesis in MRSA [25, 26]. In the oxadiazole-β-lactam combination, ND-421 inhibits PBP2a [27] while oxacillin inhibits PBP2 of MRSA [26]. The transpeptidase domain of PBP2a and transglycosylase domain of PBP2 are both required in cell wall biosynthesis of MRSA in the presence of β-lactam antibiotics [28].

In conclusion, the ongoing screening of mollusk-associated microbes led to the isolation of several known members of the griseorhodin structural class. One of these, 7,8-dideoxygriseorhodin C (I) and oxacillin, however, shows a correlation to H6. b 3D spatial orientation of H6, 6-OH, H7a, and H7b from Gaussian generated model for 6S,6aS stereoconfiguration. In this orientation, the 6-OH is positioned close to and approximately equidistant from the two methylene protons on carbon 7, while H6 is oriented further from both, especially H7b. c Absolute configuration of I with supporting NOE correlations.
However, carbon 6 was found to have the S configuration in griseorhodin A, and the R configuration in griseorhodin C. Finally, numerous inaccuracies in the literature regarding chemical shift assignments were rectified using 2D NMR experiments, as well as QM-DFT calculations.
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