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Early Second-Trimester Serum MicroRNAs as Potential Biomarker for Nondiabetic Macrosomia
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Background. Macrosomia has become a worldwide problem with the rapid economic growth in the past few years. However, the detailed mechanism of how the macrosomia happened remains unknown. Growing evidence indicates that miRNAs are involved in maintaining metabolic homeostasis. We hypothesized that serum miRNAs are potential biomarkers for macrosomia.

Methods. We performed miRNAs profiling using TLDA chips in the discovery phase in two pooled samples from 30 cases and 30 controls, respectively. Individual qRT-PCR was conducted for the discovery phase samples. To confirm the results, we detected the miRNAs which were differentially expressed in the microarray assays and individual qRT-PCR in external validation phase with another 30 cases and 30 controls.

Results. In the discovery stage, miR-194 and miR-376a expression level were significantly different between macrosomia group and controls (P = 0.048 for miR-194 and P = 0.018 for miR-376a, resp.). Further evaluation of the two miRNAs on a total of 120 serum samples showed that the miR-376a remains significantly lower in macrosomia (P = 0.032). Receiver operating characteristic curve analyses showed that the area under curve for miR-376a was 67.8% (sensitivity = 96.7% and specificity = 40.0%).

Conclusions. Serum miR-376a may serve as a potential noninvasive biomarker in detecting macrosomia.

1. Introduction

Fetal macrosomia now is usually defined as having a fetal weight of above the 90th percentile, a birth weight (BW) of above 4000 g or 4500 g, or a birth weight of over +2 standard deviation of the mean birth weight by gestational age [1, 2]. With rapid economic growth in China, the rate of macrosomia drastically rose from 6.00% in 1994 to 7.83% in 2005 [3]. Macrosomia is not only associated with numerous perinatal and maternal complications such as shoulder dystocia, brachial plexus injury, prolonged labor, but also associated with long-term effects on type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and obesity in adulthood [4–6]. The pathophysiology of macrosomia is related to the associated maternal or fetal condition that accounts for its development. In general, maternal overweight and the related metabolic changes, such as diabetes mellitus type 2 and GDM, seem to be crucially important for macrosomia [7, 8]. There have been proposed interventions based on the evidence for the management of diabetic macrosomia. Nondiabetic macrosomia is still an obstetric dilemma as there is no clear consensus regarding its antepartum prediction and management, with the mechanism of nondiabetic macrosomia still remaining poorly known.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of small noncoding RNAs that can repress translation or promote degradation of target mRNAs of complementary sequences. Deregulation of miRNAs is known to be involved in multiple processes including cell proliferation, apoptosis, and cell-cycle regulation, inflammation, and invasion in various diseases. MicroRNAs have been found in tissues, serum/plasma, and other body fluids, in a stable form that is protected from endogenous RNase activity. These unique characteristics of miRNAs may provide a useful biomarker for supplemental diagnosis. Several studies have highlighted the significance of miRNAs in maintaining metabolic homeostasis, and thus
regulation of these miRNAs could serve as potential therapeutics in metabolic disorders [9, 10]. miRNAs are involved in the pathogenesis of diabetes mellitus and that a number of miRNAs have been reported to be differently expressed in pancreatic β-cells and/or adipose tissue of animal models of type 1 or type 2 diabetes [11]. Specifically, miRNAs are required for pancreatic development and the regulation of glucose stimulated insulin secretion [12–14]. In the previous work, we had demonstrated that miRNAs in placentas had the potential to be new biomarkers in patients with macrosomia [15]. However, no study has investigated circulating miRNA expression as a potential biomarker for macrosomia.

In this study, we hypothesized that circulating serum miRNAs could serve as candidate biomarkers for predicting macrosomia in relatively early pregnancy. To address this hypothesis, we systematically screened serum miRNAs by using the TaqMan low density array (TLDA) chips followed by individual quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assays. We also performed external validations by using individual qRT-PCR assays to find a class of serum miRNAs as biomarkers for macrosomia prediction.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Study Population. All of the subjects were recruited at the Changzhou Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital affiliated to Nanjing Medical University from April 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012. The macrosomia neonates were defined as those neonates whose BW were equal to or greater than 4000 g in this study. Those neonates with BW between 2500 and 4000 g were classified as controls. We designed a retrospective nest case-control study to determine whether serum miRNAs profiling could predict macrosomia. All pregnant women provided blood samples when they received prenatal care at 16–20 gestational weeks. The mothers had no gestational diabetes mellitus during pregnancy, confirmed by negative results of oral glucose tolerance test of 75 g in 24–28 gestational weeks. To control sample heterogeneity, we designed a method to find normalizer of microRNA expression [18]. Many studies have selected miR-24 as a normalizer in miRNA expression experiment [19–21]. In this research, miR-24 also was selected considering its lowest expression level among the three genes (MammU6, hsa-mir-16, and hsa-mir-24) as putative reference normalizer using Peltier and Latham's way [18]. According to our lab experience, by testing large sample, we selected three genes (MammU6, hsa-mir-16, and hsa-mir-24) as putative reference normalizer using Peltier and Latham's way [18]. Many studies have selected miR-24 as a normalizer in miRNA expression experiment [19–21]. In this research, miR-24 also was selected considering its lowest variance of CT value in these three genes. ΔCT and ΔΔCT were calculated using the following mathematical formula: ΔCT = CTsample − CTmiR-24, ΔΔCT = ΔCTcase − ΔCTcontrol. Differentially expressed miRNAs were chosen as candidates for further confirmation by individual qRT-PCR according to the following two items: (i) having a CT value of <35 in at least one pool to ensure the enough abundance of miRNA for detection and (ii) |ΔΔCT| > 2, which means four-fold altered expression between the cases and healthy controls to ensure favorable discriminative ability.

For qRT-PCR, equal volume of serum sample was processed in each step from serum purification to qRT-PCR. The total RNA was reversely transcribed to complementary DNA using TaqMan miRNA RT Kit and stem-loop RT
primers (Applied Biosystems Inc.). The quantitative detection of miRNA was performed using the TaqMan PCR kit as implemented on the ABI 7900 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems Inc.). The reactions were initiated in a 384-well optical plate at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Each plate contains equal number of cases and controls, which run the RT-PCR for target miRNAs and cel-miR-39 simultaneously. All reactions were run in triplicate. The CT values were determined using the fixed threshold settings. The relative expression levels of target miRNAs were run in triplicate. The CT values were determined using the equation 

$$\Delta\Delta \text{CT} = \text{CT}_{\text{sample}} - \text{CT}_{\text{control}}$$

for all test was twotailed. All testswere run in triplicate. The CT values were determined using the fixed threshold settings. The relative expression levels of target miRNAs were run in triplicate. The CT values were determined using the equation 

$$\Delta\Delta \text{CT} = \text{CT}_{\text{sample}} - \text{CT}_{\text{control}}$$

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Demographic and clinical characteristics among groups were compared by $\chi^2$ test or Student-$t$ test. Univariate and multiple logistic regression models were used for analysis. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed in the controls of discovery phase to obtain the optimal cutoff values for candidate miRNA. The area under curve (AUC) value and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated to determine the specificity and sensitivity. We set the optimal cutoff value as the threshold to code the expression level of the corresponding miRNA to each sample as 0 and 1. The risk score of each miRNA was calculated using the weights by the regression coefficient that was derived from the univariate logistic regression analysis of candidate miRNA. All the statistical analyses were performed with Stata version 9.2 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). A $P$ value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant, and all tests were two tailed.

3. Results

The characteristics of participants are summarized in Table 1. There were no significant differences in maternal age, gestational week, and maternal weight at delivery and gestational week, maternal weight, and maternal BMI when blood was collected between the two groups. The BW of neonates with macrosomia was $4202.25 \pm 193.08$ g while that in the control group was $3379.00 \pm 280.26$ g.

In this study, we systematically screened the miRNA profile in serum from the mothers of macrosomia cases and healthy controls using 762 probes that represented both characterized and novel miRNAs. We found 16 miRNAs differentially expressed (2 upregulated and 14 downregulated) among macrosomia cases compared with controls ($\Delta\Delta \text{CT} > 2$-fold) (Table 2). Based on both scientific and applicable considerations, we selected miRNAs that had at most 35 of CT value in at least one pool by TLDA for further individual qRT-PCR confirmation. As a result, 8 miRNAs were identified and subjected to individual qRT-PCR analysis on 60 discovery-stage samples. In the discovery stage, two miRNAs (miR-194 and miR-376a) expression levels were significantly different between cases and controls ($P = 0.048$ for miR-194 and $P = 0.018$ for miR-376a, resp.) (Table 3). To further evaluate the diagnostic value of miR-194 and miR-376a, we measured the two miRNAs expression levels on a total 120 serum samples, including the external phase samples. The levels of the miR-376a remain significantly lower in serum samples of macrosomia ($P = 0.032$), while the expression level of the miR-194 showed no difference between macrosomia cases and controls ($P = 0.497$) (Table 4).

We further plotted the ROC curves for macrosomia predicting. The AUC analysis was only performed in the samples from the discovery and internal validation stages (30 pairs). ROC curve analyses showed that the AUC for miR-376a was 67.8% (sensitivity = 96.7% and specificity = 40.0%) (Figure 1).

4. Discussion

Circulating miRNAs have been extensively investigated as novel and noninvasive diagnostic and prognostic markers. Most published studies have been focused on different types of cancer [22–24]. In this study, we systematically screened the miRNA profile in early second-trimester serum from the mothers of macrosomia cases and healthy controls. At

---

**Table 1: Characteristics of the study population.**

| Variable                        | Case (n = 30) | Control (n = 30) | T    | P       | Case (n = 60) | Control (n = 60) | T    | P       |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|------|---------|--------------|-----------------|------|---------|
| Birth weight (g)                | 4223.00 ± 187.99 | 3419.33 ± 277.64 | −13.13 | <0.001 | 4202.25 ± 193.08 | 3379.00 ± 280.26 | 18.74 | <0.001 |
| Maternal age (y)                | 26.47 ± 2.49 | 26.93 ± 2.57 | 0.71 | 0.478 | 26.73 ± 2.62 | 26.45 ± 2.42 | 0.62 | 0.539 |
| Gestational week (w) when blood was collected | 17.60 ± 0.92 | 17.55 ± 0.91 | −0.20 | 0.842 | 17.41 ± 0.83 | 17.49 ± 0.83 | −0.56 | 0.580 |
| Gestational week (w) of delivery | 39.40 ± 0.82 | 39.19 ± 0.71 | −1.06 | 0.293 | 39.35 ± 0.90 | 39.12 ± 0.79 | 1.43 | 0.154 |
| Maternal weight (kg) when blood was collected | 58.82 ± 6.81 | 57.93 ± 7.05 | 0.49 | 0.623 | 58.56 ± 5.61 | 57.64 ± 6.15 | 0.85 | 0.396 |
| Maternal BMI (kg/m²) when blood was collected | 22.11 ± 2.15 | 22.30 ± 2.54 | 0.31 | 0.761 | 22.17 ± 2.17 | 21.94 ± 2.12 | 0.64 | 0.527 |
| Maternal weight (kg) of delivery | 75.28 ± 7.79 | 72.37 ± 7.70 | 1.46 | 0.150 | 74.14 ± 6.12 | 72.07 ± 6.58 | 1.78 | 0.078 |
Table 2: TLDA screening results of serum miRNA expression in macrosomia and controls.

| MicroRNA   | Case (n = 30) | Control (n = 30) | ΔΔCT |
|------------|---------------|-----------------|------|
|            | CT            | ΔCT             | CT   | ΔCT          |
| hsa-miR-1  | 36.01         | 14.06           | 33.97| 11.97        | 2.09 |
| hsa-miR-122| 25.96         | 4.00            | 22.95| 0.96         | 3.05 |
| hsa-miR-192| 27.97         | 6.02            | 25.97| 3.97         | 2.04 |
| hsa-miR-192-3p| 35.98 | 14.03           | 33.99| 11.99        | 2.04 |
| hsa-miR-194| 29.99         | 8.04            | 25.98| 3.98         | 4.06 |
| hsa-miR-296-5p| 33.03 | 11.08           | 30.90| 8.90         | 2.18 |
| hsa-miR-301b| 32.96        | 11.01           | 35.98| 13.99        | −2.98|
| hsa-miR-376a| 31.98        | 10.03           | 29.98| 7.99         | 2.04 |
| hsa-miR-487b| 34.00        | 12.05           | 32.00| 10.00        | 2.04 |
| hsa-miR-489| 35.98        | 14.02           | 33.96| 11.97        | 2.06 |
| hsa-miR-500| 36.01        | 14.05           | 33.99| 12.00        | 2.05 |
| hsa-miR-505| 33.02        | 11.06           | 31.00| 9.00         | 2.06 |
| hsa-miR-518e| 32.99        | 11.04           | 35.06| 13.07        | −2.03|
| hsa-miR-591| 35.19        | 13.23           | 32.95| 10.95        | 2.28 |
| hsa-miR-625| 35.97        | 14.01           | 33.96| 11.97        | 2.05 |
| hsa-miR-1262| 35.00       | 13.04           | 32.96| 10.97        | 2.07 |

Table 3: Individual qRT-PCR results (expression level) of serum miRNA expression in macrosomia and controls.

| MicroRNA   | Case (n = 30) | Control (n = 30) | p<sup>a</sup> | p<sup>b</sup> |
|------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
|            | Mean          | Median          | Mean          | Median        |
| has-miR-122| 7.15 × 10<sup>-2</sup> | 1.78 × 10<sup>-2</sup> | 3.49 × 10<sup>-2</sup> | 1.44 × 10<sup>-2</sup> | 0.299 | 0.291 |
| has-miR-192| 3.35 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 2.51 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 3.56 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 3.04 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 0.792 | 0.691 |
| has-miR-194| 3.71 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.70 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 9.68 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 4.09 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 0.048* | 0.045* |
| has-miR-296-5p| 2.98 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.96 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 8.63 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.22 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 0.194 | 0.156 |
| has-miR-376a| 9.63 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 7.66 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 4.33 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.05 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 0.018* | 0.016* |
| has-miR-487b| 1.69 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 6.11 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 7.16 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.30 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 0.161 | 0.113 |
| has-miR-505| 5.03 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.71 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.84 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 4.33 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 0.232 | 0.176 |
| has-miR-1262| 1.40 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 8.40 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 6.95 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.01 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 0.112 | 0.095 |

<sup>a</sup>Univariate logistic regression models were used for analysis.
<sup>b</sup>Multiple logistic regression analyses adjusted for infant gender, maternal age, and maternal BMI when blood was collected.
* Asterisks denote significant differences from controls (P < 0.05).

Last, we found that signatures of the aberrant miR-376a were expressed in early second-trimester serum samples of macrosomia pregnant women.

To date, few studies have researched into the relationship between miR-376a and miR-194 and metabolic disease. However, many studies revealed that miR-376a or miR-194 has influence on tumors, such as breast cancer, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, and hepatocellular carcinoma [25–27]. Apoptosis is a fundamental mechanism for maintaining homeostasis by removing dangerous and unnecessary cells. However, adipocytes are resistant to apoptosis because of high levels of Akt/protein kinase B and the antiapoptotic factor Bcl-2 [28]. Pescador et al. employed miRNA PCR panels to screen serum levels of miRNAs in pooled samples from four groups (type 2 diabetic patients, obese patients, obese patients with type 2 diabetes, and healthy controls). They found miR-376a has potential as a predictive biomarker in obesity. Use of miR-376a provides a powerful predictive tool for distinguishing obese patients from normal healthy controls, diabetic patients, and obese diabetic patients [29]. Adipocytes could be removed through apoptotic mechanisms in some pathological conditions such as obesity. The induction of apoptosis in adipocytes, by regulating miR-376a, could be a possible method to reduce the adipocyte number [29]. This result supported our study that miR-376a was a significantly predictive tool for macrosomia. Maternal diet during pregnancy and gestation has long-term effects on the offspring. As to miR-194, Zhang et al. found that maternal high fat fed offspring had markedly reduced expression of miR-194 when compared with that fed with standard chow diet [30]. miR-194 also was found remarkably decreased in diabetic mice [31]. However, the results are inconsistent and inconclusive. Guo et al. found that miR-194 was significantly downregulated in intrauterine growth restriction neonate [32]. In our study, we only detected that the miR-194 was remarkably decreased in macrosomia group in discovery phase, while no consistent result was found in all subjects. Further studies are recommended to verify these results.
Table 4: Expression level of miR-376a and miR-194 in all participants.

| MicroRNA Case | Control | p^a | p^b |
|---------------|---------|-----|-----|
| (n = 60)      | (n = 60)|     |     |
| Mean          | Median  | Mean| Median|     |
| has-miR-376a  | 7.19 × 10^{-5} | 4.86 × 10^{-5} | 2.39 × 10^{-4} | 6.05 × 10^{-5} | 0.032 | 0.034 |
| has-miR-194   | 5.37 × 10^{-4} | 2.54 × 10^{-4} | 6.70 × 10^{-4} | 3.29 × 10^{-4} | 0.497 | 0.521 |

^a Univariate logistic regression models were used for analysis.
^b Multiple logistic regression analyses adjusted for infant gender, maternal age, and maternal BMI when blood was collected.
* Asterisks denote significant differences from controls (P < 0.05).
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