Review

Studies on hydrogenase

By Tatsuhiko YAGI*1,† and Yoshiki HIGUCHI*2

(Communicated by Shigekazu NAGATA, M.J.A.)

Abstract: Hydrogenases are microbial enzymes which catalyze uptake and production of H2. Hydrogenases are classified into 10 classes based on the electron carrier specificity, or into 3 families, [NiFe]-family (including [NiFeSe]-subfamily), [FeFe]-family and [Fe]-family, based on the metal composition of the active site. H2 is heterolytically cleaved on the enzyme (E) to produce EHaHb, where Ha and Hb have different rate constants for exchange with the medium hydron. X-ray crystallography unveiled the three-dimensional structures of hydrogenases. The simplest [NiFe]-hydrogenase is a heterodimer, in which the large subunit bears the Ni-Fe center buried deep in the protein, and the small subunit bears iron-sulfur clusters, which mediate electron transfer between the Ni-Fe center and the protein surface. Some hydrogenases have additional subunit(s) for interaction with their electron carriers. Various redox states of the enzyme were characterized by EPR, FTIR, etc. Based on the kinetic, structural and spectroscopic studies, the catalytic mechanism of [NiFe]-hydrogenase was proposed to explain H2-uptake, H2-production and isotopic exchange reactions.
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Introduction

Hydrogenase1,2 was first described by Stephenson and Stickland as an enzyme which catalyzed activation of H2 to reduce electron acceptors such as O2, nitrate, fumarate, sulfate, or an artificial electron acceptor, methylene blue. Some hydrogenases catalyze the reverse reaction to produce H2 from electron donors such as reduced methylviologen, or by isotope exchange reactions, and conversion between para-H2 and ortho-H2.3-6 Hydrogenases are widely distributed in Bacteria and Archaea.7 In Eucarya, hydrogenases are found in unicellular algae8 and hydroxynosomes of unicellular Protozoa.9 Recent discovery10 of hydrogenosome-like organelles in three species of animal phylum, Loricifera, living in sediments of the deep anoxic hypersaline L’Atalante basin, makes us expect for the presence of hydrogenase in animals.

Classification of hydrogenases

Classification based on the electron carrier specificity. During a few decades after the discovery1,2 of hydrogenase in 1931, the enzymatic activity had been demonstrated with artificial electron carriers such as methylviologen, benzylviologen or methylene blue, or by isotope exchange reactions, and the natural electron carriers had been out of concern. Mortenson et al.11 announced the discovery of ferredoxin (Fd), a small iron-sulfur (FeS) protein of low redox potential, from Clostridium pasteurianum, and demonstrated it to be a physiological electron carrier for clostridial hydrogenase (reaction 1). This enzyme is now registered as ferredoxin hydrogenase [Fd hydrogenase, EC 1.12.7.2] by IUBMB (International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, http://www.chem.qmul.ac.uk/iubmb/).

\[ \text{H}_2 + \text{Fd} \rightleftharpoons 2\text{H}^+ + \text{reduced Fd} \quad [1] \]
Purified hydrogenase from hydrogen bacterium \textit{Desulfovibrio vulgaris} Miyazaki (DvM) was shown to act on cytochrome \(c_3\) (cyt-\(c_3\)) reversibly (reaction 2) but not on Fd, whereas clostridial hydrogenase was shown not to act on cyt-\(c_3\).\(^{14,15}\) Hence the name cyt-\(c_3\) hydrogenase \([\text{EC 1.12.2.1}]\) was given to DvM hydrogenase. This established that hydrogenases from different origins may have different specificity to their electron carriers. Cyt-\(c_3\) is a basic tetrahemoprotein of 14 kDa,\(^{16}\) and its four hemes are reduced successively\(^{17}\) according to the redox potentials (−280, −321, −325 and −356 mV).\(^{18,19}\)

\[
2\text{H}_2 + \text{ferricyt}-c_3 (\text{Fe}^{3+})_4 \\
= 4\text{H}^+ + \text{ferricyt}-c_3 (\text{Fe}^{2+})_4
\]

Kinetic parameters for this reaction are: \(K_{\text{m}}(\text{H}_2, \text{pH} 6.0)\)\(^{20}\) = 16.5 \(\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}\) (2.2 kPa \(\text{H}_2\)), \(K_{\text{a}}(\text{ferricyt-c}_3, \text{pH} 7.0)\)\(^{21}\) = 2.6 \(\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}\), \(K_{\text{m}}(\text{ferrocyt-c}_3, \text{pH} 6.0)\)\(^{20}\) = 17 \(\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}\), \(k_{\text{cat}}\) (for \(\text{H}_2\) uptake, pH 7.0)\(^{21}\) = 49 s\(^{-1}\) and \(k_{\text{cat}}\) (for \(\text{H}_2\) evolution with saturating cyt-\(c_3\) concentration, pH 6.0)\(^{21}\) = 336 s\(^{-1}\).

Hydrogenases from other sulfate-reducing bacteria such as \textit{D. vulgaris} Hildenborough (DvH),\(^{23}\) \textit{D. gigas} (Dg),\(^{24}\) etc., act on their respective cyt-\(c_8\). Now, 10 kinds of hydrogenases with different electron carrier specificity are registered by IUBMB as shown in Table 1.

Some non-hydrogenase enzymes catalyze \(\text{H}_2\) uptake or evolution. Nitrogenases \([\text{EC 1.18.6.1}~\text{and}~1.19.6.1]\) produce \(\text{H}_2\) as a by-product in the normal reaction to reduce \(\text{N}_2\) to ammonia, and as a main product in the absence of \(\text{N}_2\) at the expense of ATP,\(^{27}\) carbon monoxide dehydrogenase/acytel-CoA synthase \([\text{EC 1.2.7.4}~\text{and}~2.3.1.169]\) and pyruvate:Fd oxidoreductase \([\text{EC 1.2.7.1}]\) reduce \(\text{H}^+\) to \(\text{H}_2\) and, at much lower rate, oxidize \(\text{H}_2\) to \(\text{H}^+\).\(^{28}\) and bacterial alkaline phosphatase \([\text{EC 3.1.3.1}]\) catalyzes hydrolytic cleavage of the \(\text{H}^+\)–\(\text{P}\) bond of inorganic phosphate (HPO\(_4^{2-}\)) to produce \(\text{H}_2\) and phosphate (HPO\(_4^{2-}\)).\(^{29}\)

**Classification based on the structure of the active site.** All hydrogenases except \([\text{EC 1.12.98.2}]\)-enzymes can be classified into two families based on the structure of the active sites, \(i.e., [\text{NiFe}]\)-hydrogenases (active site: binuclear \(\text{Ni-Fe}\) center) and \([\text{FeFe}]\)-hydrogenases (active site: binuclear \(\text{Fe-Fe}\) center). There is no correlation between the type of the active site and the electron carrier specificity. For

| EC number | Name of the Enzyme \(^{a}\) |
|-----------|----------------------------------|
| EC 1.12.1.2\(^{b}\) | NAD\(^{+}\)-reducing hydrogenase\(^{c}\) or Hydrogen dehydrogenase |
| EC 1.12.1.3 | NADP\(^{+}\)-reducing hydrogenase\(^{c}\) or Hydrogen dehydrogenase (NADP\(^{+}\)) |
| EC 1.12.1.4\(^{b}\) | Bifurcating hydrogenase\(^{c}\) or Hydrogenase (NAD\(^{+}\), ferredoxin) |
| EC 1.12.2.1 | Cytochrome-\(c_3\) hydrogenase |
| EC 1.12.5.1\(^{b}\) | Quinone-reducing hydrogenase\(^{c}\) or Hydrogen:quinone oxidoreductase |
| EC 1.12.7.2 | Ferredoxin hydrogenase |
| EC 1.12.98.1\(^{b}\) | F\(_{420}\)-reducing hydrogenase\(^{c}\) or Coenzyme F\(_{420}\) hydrogenase |
| EC 1.12.98.2\(^{b}\) | 5,10-Methenyltetrahydromethanopterin hydrogenase or H\(_{\text{fix}}\)-forming 5,10-methylenetetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase\(^{c}\) |
| EC 1.12.98.3\(^{b}\) | Methanophenazine hydrogenase\(^{c}\) |
| EC 1.12.99.6 | Hydrogenase (acceptor) or Hydrogen:acceptor oxidoreductase |

\(^{a}\)Hydrogenase is named, in principle, as an enzyme catalyzing the uptake of \(\text{H}_2\) to reduce its electron acceptor, even if the evolution of \(\text{H}_2\) is relevant \textit{in vivo}. The physiological electron acceptor of the enzyme can be read by the name of the enzyme.  
\(^{b}\)Addition of H occurs from the \(\text{ss}\)-face of C-4 of the pyridine ring of NAD\(^{+}\).  
\(^{c}\)Names found in literature, but not accepted by IUBMB.  
\(^{d}\)Catalyzes the production of \(\text{H}_2\) only when both of NADH and reduced Fd are present.  
\(^{e}\)Uptake-hydrogenase, \(i.e.,\), the enzyme does not catalyze production of \(\text{H}_2\) from the reduced electron carrier.  
\(^{f}\)Addition of H occurs from the \(\text{rs}\)-face of C between N-5 and N-10 of 5,10-methenyltetrahydromethanopterin.  
\(^{g}\)Addition of H occurs from the \(\text{re}\)-face of C between N-3 and N-10 of 5,10-methenyltetrahydromethanopterin.  

---

Table 1. Hydrogenases registered by IUBMB

| No. | Name of the Enzyme |
|-----|-------------------|
| 1.12.1.2 | NAD\(^{+}\)-reducing hydrogenase |
| 1.12.1.3 | NADP\(^{+}\)-reducing hydrogenase |
| 1.12.1.4 | Bifurcating hydrogenase |
| 1.12.2.1 | Cytochrome-\(c_3\) hydrogenase |
| 1.12.5.1 | Quinone-reducing hydrogenase |
| 1.12.7.2 | Ferredoxin hydrogenase |
| 1.12.98.1 | F\(_{420}\)-reducing hydrogenase |
| 1.12.98.2 | 5,10-Methenyltetrahydromethanopterin hydrogenase |
| 1.12.98.3 | Methanophenazine hydrogenase |
| 1.12.99.6 | Hydrogenase (acceptor) |

---

\(\text{H}_2\)-fixation system is a large and complex machinery, which synthesize N\(_2\) to ammonia through the active site of the enzyme.
example, cyt-c₃ hydrogenases from DvM and Dg are of [NiFe]-type,²⁴,³⁰ whereas those from DvH and Desulfovibrio desulfuricans are of [FeFe]-type,³¹,³² and Fd hydrogenase from C. pasteurianum is of [FeFe]-type,³³ whereas that from Methanosarcina is of [NiFe]-type.³⁴ Some [NiFe]-enzymes have a selenocysteinyl (Sec) residue instead of one of the Cys residues supporting the Ni-Fe center,³⁵ and are called [NiFeSe]-hydrogenases.

The third family of hydrogenases, [Fe]-hydrogenases (active site: a mononuclear Fe center), consists of only [EC 1.12.98.2]-enzymes from methanogenic Archaea (Attention: in literature before today metal-free enzymes,³⁶,³⁷ [Fe]-hydrogenases meant 2002 when these enzymes had been believed to be 36,37) whereas that from C. pasteurianum and Fd hydrogenase from C. pasteurianum enzyme at pD 7.0). The hydrogenase was purifed in retrospect, the purified enzyme contained about 70% of the active form, the remainder being inactive forms of the enzyme, wide infra), and simple and accurate assay of para-H₂ and ortho-H₂ became available. The exchange and the conversion reactions by the enzyme can be observed in the absence of added cyt-c₃, but much higher reaction rates were observed reproducibly in its presence (ferrocyt-c₃ was later found to influence the electronic structure of hydrogenase), hence the reactions were carried out in its presence. The ν_ortho-H₂/ν_para-H₂ and ν_ortho-H₂/ν_para-H₂ ratios were 0.20 and 0.40, respectively, at the enzyme concentration extrapolated to 0, but were 1.5 and 3.6, respectively, when the enzyme concentration was extremely high, because the dihydrogen species liberated from the enzyme had additional chances to be caught by the enzyme for additional exchange reactions (cage effect) before release to the gas phase. The ν_ortho-H₂/ν_para-H₂ ratio was higher at lower enzyme concentration, and were as high as 0.7 at the enzyme concentration extrapolated to 0. These experimental results were successfully explained by the mechanism schematically represented in Fig. 1. The kinetic parameters which are compatible with these experimental data were calculated, and given in the figure. This scheme conforms to the heterolytic cleavage of the enzyme-bound H₂, i.e., one of the enzyme-bound H₂ has the rate constant (k₉) for the isotope exchange reaction 10 times as that (k₉) of the other, but the mode of heterolysis is not as simple as what was initially suggested as in reaction 3.³³,³⁴ Any structural model proposed for the enzyme-bound H₂ must conform to the scheme shown in Fig. 1.

The catalytic mechanism of hydrogenase proposed in Fig 1 suggests that water does not participate in para-H₂-ortho-H₂ conversion. In fact, dry hydrogenase was proved to catalyze the conversion reaction at a reaction rate (k = 1.3 s⁻¹) about 1/340 of that in aqueous reaction in the absence of cyt-c₃. The isotopic exchange reaction between H₂ and D₂ to produce HD was also observed with dried hydrogenase-cyt-c₃ mixture with a reaction rate 3% that of the conversion reaction.³⁹ This indicates that the covalent bond of H₂ is split on the enzyme molecule and the bound H (Hₐ or Hₐᵢ, or both) is exchangeable with hydrons (H⁺ or D⁺) on the protein molecule. When a mixture of hydrogenase and excess cyt-c₃ (400 times in molar base, i.e., 63 times by...
Fig. 1. Diagrammatic representation of the exchange and conversion reactions of para-H$_2$ over D$_2$O (pH$_2$/D$_2$O) catalyzed by hydrogenase. The reaction was carried out under the H$_2$ pressure of 20 kPa at 303 K, pH 7.4. The rate constants, $k_1$ and $k_2$, are those for the dissociation and evolution of H$_2$ to and from D$_2$O, and are dependent on the shape of the reaction vessel, shaking speed, etc., but not on the enzyme concentration. Dihydrogen (HH) dissolved in D$_2$O is bound to the enzyme (E) with the rate constant $k_b$, and is dissociated from the enzyme-H$_2$ (EHH) with $k_d$. Heterolytic cleavage of H$_2$ on E makes two H atoms to bind at positions a and b. The rate constant for exchange with medium D$_2$ at position a to form ED$_a$Hb is $k_a$, and that at position b to form ED$_b$Hb is $k_b$ (the concentration of D$_2$ in D$_2$O is included in $k_a$ and $k_b$). ED$_a$Hb and ED$_b$Hb are dissociated to produce HD at the rate constant $f_{k_2}$, where $f_{k_2}$ is the factor for the isotope effect. The enzyme-bound HDs (ED$_a$Hb + ED$_b$Hb) exchange with medium D$_2$ at the rate constants, $k_a$ and $k_b$, respectively, to produce ED$_b$Hb, from which the final product D$_2$ is dissociated. The isotopic exchange reaction between D$_2$ and H$_2$O (D$_2$/H$_2$O) to produce HD and H$_2$ can be figured out similarly. The results of the isotopic exchange and the conversion reactions shown in this figure are compatible with the calculated kinetic parameters.

That is, when 100 parts of para-H$_2$ are bound to the enzyme according to this mechanism, the resulting ED$_a$Hb liberates 41.1 (100 $k_a$/(2 $k_a$ + $k_b$)) parts of normal H$_2$ and is converted to 53.5 parts of ED$_b$Hb and 5 parts of ED$_b$Hb. The 53.5 parts of ED$_b$Hb liberate 46.8 (= 53.5 $f_{k_2}$/(2 $k_a$ + $k_b$)) parts of HD, and the 5.4 parts of ED$_b$Hb liberate 2.2 (= 5.4 $f_{k_2}$/(2 $k_a$ + $k_b$)) parts of HD, and the remainder (100 - 46.8 - 2.2 = 9.9 parts) is converted to ED$_b$Hb to produce D$_2$. The dihydrogen species (H$_2$, HD and D$_2$) liberated to the gas phase without being trapped by the enzyme in a solution at extrapolated 0 enzyme concentration, are composed of 41.1 parts normal H$_2$, 49.0 (= 46.8 + 2.2) parts HD and 9.9 parts D$_2$. Thus the calculated $v_{HD}/v_{HH}$ (9.9/49.0 = 0.20) and $v_{HD}/v_{HH} (41.1/(49.0 + 9.9) = 0.70)$ are in good agreement with those observed experimentally.

Initial ratios, observed at enzyme concentration extrapolated to 0.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{v_H}{v_D} &= 0.20, \frac{v_{HH}}{v_{HD}} = 0.7 \text{ from pH}_2/D_2O \\
\frac{v_H}{v_D} &= 0.40 \text{ from D}_2/H_2O
\end{align*}
\]

Kinetic parameters for pH$_2$/D$_2$O

\[
\begin{align*}
{k_1} &= 5.2 \times 10^4 \text{ Pa}^{-1} \\
{k_2} &= 4.3 \times 10^6 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \\
{k_3} &= 6.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol} \text{ L}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \\
{k_4} &= 0.9 \\
{k_5} &= 56 \text{ s}^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]

Kinetic parameters for D$_2$/H$_2$O

\[
\begin{align*}
{k_1'} &= 5.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Pa}^{-1} \\
{k_2'} &= 9.5 \times 10^6 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \\
{k_3'} &= 7.7 \times 10^3 \text{ L}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \\
{k_4'} &= 1.1 \\
{k_5'} &= 460 \text{ s}^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]

Development of continuous mass-spectroscopic monitoring system (42) of isotopic dihydrogens greatly simplified the exchange studies. Table 2 summarizes the results of isotopic exchange and para-H$_2$-ortho-H$_2$ conversion reactions reported in literature. The $v_{HD}/v_{HH}$ (from H$_2$/D$_2$O) or $v_{HH}/v_{HD}$ (from D$_2$/H$_2$O) varies significantly among hydrogenases from different origins. Extremely higher $v_{HD}/v_{HH}$ or $v_{HH}/v_{HD}$ ratios observed with membrane particles, organelles or cells must have been due to the cage effect, (43) because enzymes are expected to be locally concentrated, or free diffusion of dihydrogen species are limited there. For the purified [NiFe]- and [FeFe]-enzymes, the $v_{HD}/v_{HH}$ ratios are 0.2–0.4 with few exceptions, and the $v_{HH}/v_{HD}$ ratios are 0.3–0.6, with a notable exception for [NiFeSe]-enzyme. These data may be considered proof for the heterolytic cleavage of dihydrogen, but strictly speaking, $k_a/k_b$ ratio can be calculated only when $v_{HD}/v_{HH}$ and $v_{HH}/v_{HD}$ ratios (from pH$_2$/D$_2$O) were measured simultaneously. For example, $v_{DD}/v_{HH}$ ratio could be 0.2 for H$_2$ homolysis ($k_a = k_b = 0.18 k_{-1}$ in Fig. 1) if $v_{HH}/v_{DD}$ were determined to be 2.8.

Higher $v_{DD}/v_{HH}$ or $v_{HH}/v_{DD}$ ratios were observed for Ni-rubredoxin (rubredoxin with its tetrahedrally complexed Fe$^{2+}$/3+ ion substituted with Ni$^{2+}$ ion) (44) and a binuclear NiRu complex ([Ni$^{3+}$(µ-SR)$_2$(µ-H)$_2$Ru$^{3+}$]) (45). The mode of H$_2$ splitting by Ni-rubredoxin, which had been prepared before the structure of the Ni-Fe center was elucidated, could either be homolytic (e.g., $k_a = k_b = 1.3 k_{-1}$ in Fig. 1) or heterolytic (e.g., $k_a = 3 k_b = 3 k_{-1}$) depending on the $v_{HH}/v_{DD}$ ratio which had not been determined. This complex also catalyzed production of H$_2$ from methylviologen cation radical. As the catalytic activities of Ni-rubredoxins depended on the sources of rubredoxin, (46) the protein part must have been important for the catalytic function. The mode of H$_2$ splitting by Ni-Ru complex, (47) which had been designed to mimic the Ni-Fe center of [NiFe]-hydrogenase, could also be either homolytic (e.g., $k_a = k_b = 3.1 k_{-1}$) or heterolytic (e.g., $k_a = 2 k_b = 5 k_{-1}$). This complex catalyzed reduction of ketone to alcohol with H$_2$. 

Studies on hydrogenase No. 1 19
Amino acid sequence and subunit composition of hydrogenases

The amino acid sequence of DvH [FeFe]-hydrogenase was first reported by Voordouw.31) (Database accession: P07598 and P07603 for the heterodimer). The sequences of Dg [NiFe]-hydrogenase (Fig. 2), Desulfomicrobium baculatum [NiFeSe]-enzyme (Fig. 2), C. pasteurianum [FeFe]-enzyme (P29166) and Methanocaldovoccus jannaschii [Fe]-enzyme (Q58194) were then reported. The sequences of [NiFe]-family, [FeFe]-family and [Fe]-family enzymes are phylogenetically unrelated. It is intriguing to note that, whereas majority of hydrogenases expressed in Bacteria and Archaea are of [NiFe]-type,7) only [FeFe]-enzymes are expressed in Eucarya.

Every [NiFe]-hydrogenase is composed of a catalytically active core heterodimer, with or without additional subunit(s). Cyt-c3 hydrogenases from sulfate reducing bacteria (Desulfovibrio, Desulfovibrio, etc.) have no additional subunit,35),53),54) and are the simplest among the [NiFe]-family. Quinone-reducing hydrogenase7),55) and methanophenazine hydrogenase 7),56),57) have membrane-bound dihemic cytochrome b as the third subunit to interact with the electron carrier, menaquinone and methanophenazine, respectively. F420-reducing hydrogenase from methanogenic archaeon is an oligomer of trimers consisted of the core heterodimer and another subunit.58) NAD+ -reducing hydrogenase from hydrogen bacterium, Ralstonia eutropha H16 has four more subunits, two of which constitute diaphorase to mediate electron transfer between the core heterodimer and NAD+/NADH.59) The sequence alignments of the core heterodimers of some [NiFe]-hydrogenases (Fig. 2) indicate that they are homol-

### Table 2. Summary of the isotope exchange and conversion reactions by hydrogenases

| Hydrogenase          | \( v_{\text{po}}/v_{\text{pp}} \) (pD) | \( v_{\text{PD}}/v_{\text{HID}} \) (pD) | \( v_{\text{HI}}/v_{\text{HD}} \) (pH) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| [NiFe]               |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| D. vulgaris          | 0.7, b) 0.2 \( (7.4) \)               | 0.20, b) 1.5 \( (7.4) \)              | 0.40, b) 3.6 \( (7.0) \)         |
| Miyazaki\(^{a}\)     |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| D. gigas\(^{a}\)    | 0.32 (5.0) 0.32 (6.6) 0.34 (8.0)       | 0.48 (9.0) 0.55 (9.5) 0.32 (11.0)    |
| [NiFe]               |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| D. fructosovorans\(^{a}\) | 0.28 (7.2)                   |                                       |                                  |
| [NiFe]               |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| Chromatium\(^{a}\)  | 0.40 (6.0)                           |                                       |                                  |
| [NiFe]               |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| P. vulgaris\(^{a}, \text{b})\) | –0.1 (7.0) 0.19 \( (7.4) \)  |                                       |                                  |
| [NiFe]               |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| NAD+ -reducing       | 0.10 (5.5) 0.20 (6.1) 0.22 (6.5)       |                                       |                                  |
| Ralstonia eutropha\(^{a}\) | 0.30 (7.3) 0.40 (8.2) 0.30 (9.0)   |                                       |                                  |
| [NiFe]               |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| Rhod. capsulata\(^{a}\) | 0.78 \( (8.0) \)                   | 2.44 \( (8.0) \) 1.97 \( (8.0) \)   |                                  |
| [NiFe]               |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| Thiocapsa            | 0.22 (4.0) 0.30 (5.5) 0.41 (6.5)       |                                       |                                  |
| roseopersicina\(^{a}\) | 0.47 (8.0) 0.44 (9.0) 0.30 (9.5) |                                       |                                  |
| [NiFe]               |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| D. denitrificans\(^{a}\) | 1.77 \( (4.5) \) 1.27 \( (8.3) \) | 2.30 \( (4.5) \) 1.92 \( (7.0) \) 1.68 \( (8.3) \) |                                  |
| [NiFeSe]\(^{a}\)    |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| D. baculatum\(^{a}\) | 0.19 (2.6) 0.51 (3.5) 1.01 (4.5)       |                                       |                                  |
| [FeFe]               |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| C. pasteurianum\(^{a}\) | 0.18 (5.5) 0.34 \( (6.5) \) 0.57 (7.4) | 0.47 (8.0) 0.27 \( (8.4) \) 0.12 (9.6) |                                  |
| [FeFe]               |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| D. vulgaris          | 0.10 (3.0) 0.27 (4.0) 0.34 (4.8)       |                                       |                                  |
| Hildenborough\(^{a}\) | 0.62 (6.0) 0.52 (7.0) 0.40 (8.0) |                                       |                                  |
| [Fe] \(^{a}\)       |                                        |                                       |                                  |
| M. thermoautotrophicum\(^{a}\) | 1.0 (5.7) 1.0 \( (6.7-7.0) \) | 1.1 (7.5) |                                  |
| cf. Ni-substituted rubredoxin\(^{a}\) | 1.45 (6.8)                    |                                       |                                  |
| Ni-Ru bi metallic complex\(^{a}\) | 3.4 (4.0) at 333 K | 7.0 (3.9) at 333 K |                                  |

\(^{a}\) Purified or partially purified enzyme. \(^{b}\) Enzyme concentration extrapolated to 0. \(^{c}\) High enzyme concentration (about 50 nmol L\(^{-1}\)).
\(^{d}\) Optimum pH for the exchange reaction. \(^{e}\) Not determined due to sluggish reaction rate. \(^{f}\) Low enzyme concentration (about 2 nmol L\(^{-1}\)). \(^{g}\) Chromatophores. \(^{h}\) Whole cells. \(^{i}\) Enzyme about 6.6 nmol L\(^{-1}\). \(^{j}\) Membrane particles. \(^{k}\) In the presence of its electron acceptor, 5,10-methylenetetrahydromethanopterin.
ogous regardless whether the enzymes are of archaeal (No. 6) or bacterial origins, or they are cytoplasmic (No. 7) or periplasmic. [FeFe]-hydrogenase may be a monomer (Fd hydrogenase from *C. pasteurianum*,33),63) *Megasphaera elsdenii*,64) etc.), a heterodimer (e.g., DvH cyt-c hydrogenase31)), a heterotrimer (e.g., bifurcating hydrogenase 65)), or a heterotetramer (e.g., *D. fructosovorans* NADP D-reducing hydrogenase66)). [Fe]-hydrogenase is a homodimer composed of only 1 gene product.67),68)

**Three-dimensional structure of hydrogenases**

The X-ray structure of Dg [NiFe]-hydrogenase was elucidated by French group,69),70) and then that of DvM [NiFe]-enzyme (Fig. 3a), by the authors.71) The structures of [FeFe]-enzymes from *C. pasteurianum*72) and *D. desulfuricans*,32) [NiFeSe]-

---

**Fig. 2.** Continued on next page.
enzyme from *D. baculatum*, and [Fe]-enzyme from *M. jannaschii* were successively determined.

**Standard [NiFe]-hydrogenase.** Hydrogenases from DvM and Dg are very similar in the main-chain folding, reflecting their sequence homology (67% identical, Fig. 2). The Ni-Fe center is held by 4 Cys residues of the large subunit, and is buried deep in the center of the protein. The Fe atom of the Ni-Fe center is coordinated by 3 diatomic ligands (Fig. 3b). An additional metal center, Mg$_2$D-center, also held by the large subunit was revealed by 1.8 Å resolution crystallography. The 3rd subunit is a diheme-cytochrome b. For the waved underlines, see the text.
channels connecting the Ni-Fe center to the protein surface.\textsuperscript{75–77} The structures of Ni-Fe centers from Dg and DvM enzymes are similar, but subtly differ from each other. The bridging ligand (purple sphere in Fig. 3b) between Ni and Fe was assigned as oxygen species (OH or O\textsuperscript{2–}) for Dg enzyme,\textsuperscript{70} but as sulfur species (S\textsuperscript{–} or SH) for DvM enzyme.\textsuperscript{71} This might reflect the fact that DvM enzyme had been purified under strict anaerobiosis and was active as isolated, whereas Dg enzyme was prepared under aerobiosis as an inactive unready state, which could be activated only after prolonged incubation under H\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{24} It was later established that the bridging ligand of the unready and ready forms were hydroperoxide and hydroxo species for \textit{D. fructosovorans} enzyme,\textsuperscript{78} and disappeared upon reductive activation.\textsuperscript{79} When DvM enzyme was incubated under H\textsubscript{2} in the presence of cyt\textsubscript{c}, H\textsubscript{2}S was liberated\textsuperscript{80} and the enzyme lost the bridging sulfur species at the Ni-Fe center.\textsuperscript{81} DvM enzyme, on oxidation, was shown to have OH\textsuperscript{–} as the bridging ligand by EPR and electron-nuclear double resonance spectroscopy applied to single crystals of the enzyme,\textsuperscript{82} and the bridging ligands of the Ni-A and Ni-B forms of DvM enzyme were suggested to be peroxy (or hydroperoxy) and oxo species, respectively.\textsuperscript{83} Atomic species of three diatomic ligands to Fe are enigmatic. These were assigned as 1 CO and 2 CN\textsuperscript{–} for Dg enzyme,\textsuperscript{74} as well as for similar [NiFe]-enzyme from \textit{Chromatium vinosum};\textsuperscript{84} whereas the electron density of one of three ligands (L1 of Fig. 3b) of DvM enzyme was too big to be modeled as CN\textsuperscript{–} or CO, and had to be assigned as SO.\textsuperscript{71} The presence of a rather bizarre SO ligand had precedents in synthetic coordination compounds,\textsuperscript{85} and SO (m/z = 48) began to be released from the native DvM enzyme by mass spectrometry at about 400 K.\textsuperscript{80} far below the decomposition temperature of covalent bonds in proteins and FeS clusters. However, later enzyme lots prepared from different batches of the bacterium had the diatomic ligands similar in size to those in Dg enzyme.\textsuperscript{87} Possibility of erroneous assignment as SO in the earlier study\textsuperscript{71} is improbable unless other source of SO is specified, because SO was detected by the technique\textsuperscript{86} different from X-ray crystallography. Subtle difference in culturing bacterium might have affected the diatomic ligand composition, but the way how the culturing conditions affect the ligand composition has not yet been figured out. Some residual electron densities (not fully occupied monatomic species, O or S) were observed near the S atoms of some Cys residues (Cys84 and Cys546 for DvM enzyme).\textsuperscript{75,83,87} \textbf{O\textsubscript{2}-tolerant [NiFe]-hydrogenase.} Membrane-bound [NiFe]-hydrogenase from \textit{Hydrogenovibrio marinus} is extremely thermostable and functions in the presence of O\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{88} the properties unique among hydrogenases. The Ni-Fe center of this enzyme is similar to that of the standard [NiFe]-enzyme from DvM or Dg (Fig. 3b), but the proximal FeS cluster is not of [4Fe-4S]-type, but of [4Fe-3S]-type (Fig. 4).\textsuperscript{69} The Gly residue in the conserved CTC\textsuperscript{a}CS (G asterisked to be highlighted) segment at the binding site to the proximal [4Fe-4S] cluster in the standard [NiFe]-enzyme is substituted by Cys66, and the 2nd Gly residue in the conserved GG\textsuperscript{b}VQAA segment also at the binding site to the same [4Fe-4S] cluster in the standard enzyme is substituted by Cys166 (Fig. 4a). In the standard [4Fe-4S] clusters, each Fe ion in [4Fe-4S] clusters is held by a single Cys-thiolate (Fig. 4c), but in the [4Fe-3S] cluster of \textit{H. marinus} enzyme, each of three Fe ions (Fe1, Fe2 and Fe4) is doubly coordinated by 2 Cys-thiolates, therefore the [4Fe-3S] cluster is more stably embraced by the protein than the standard [4Fe-4S] clusters. On oxidation of the enzyme, the vertical Fe2–S3 bond of the [4Fe-3S] cluster in Fig. 4a is cleaved, and Fe2 becomes coordinated by amide N\textsuperscript{–} of Cys66 backbone, resulting in significant conformational change of the cluster (Fig. 4b), without being thrown out from the protein. The cleavage of the Fe2–S3 bond makes the highly conjugated system of the [4Fe-3S] cluster to loosely conjugated 2 subparts, to enable the [4Fe-3S] cluster to conduct two-electron redox change to reduce the oxygen species introduced to the Ni-Fe center during the catalytic cycle in the presence of H\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}. Another membrane-bound O\textsubscript{2}-tolerant [NiFe]-hydrogenase from \textit{R. eutropha}\textsuperscript{89} (P31892, not the soluble enzyme 7 in Fig. 2) has a similar [4Fe-3S] cluster held by the same CTC\textsuperscript{a}CS and GC\textsuperscript{b}VQAA sequences\textsuperscript{90} as those of \textit{H. marinus} hydrogenase, and the mutation of both Cys\textsuperscript{a} residues to Gly converted the enzyme to a standard O\textsubscript{2}-sensitive hydrogenase.\textsuperscript{89} [NiFeSe]-hydrogenase. The structure of [NiFeSe]-hydrogenase from \textit{D. baculatum} in the
reduced form,73) is similar to the standard [NiFe]-enzymes from DvM and Dg, except that one of Cys residues coordinated to the Ni atom is replaced by Sec (U493 in Fig. 2), the monatomic center has Fe instead of Mg in the large subunit, and a [4Fe-4S] cluster instead of the mesial [3Fe-4S] cluster in the small subunit. The three diatomic ligands to Fe are 1 CO and 2 CN as in the case of the standard enzymes, but the bridging site between Ni and Fe is vacant as isolated. Intriguingly, at position 6.7 Å from the Ni-Fe center, H₂S is retained, which might have been liberated from the Ni-Fe center, but these authors73 argued against the ex-presence of sulfur species at the Ni-Fe bridging site. The [NiFeSe]-hydrogenase as isolated from DvH also has a vacant bridging site between Ni and Fe.76 [NiFeSe]-enzymes are known to be O₂-tolerant, but the mechanism of O₂-tolerance was suggested to be due to prevention of

Fig. 4. Comparison of the proximal FeS clusters of the O₂-tolerant and the standard [NiFe]-hydrogenases. (a) Reduced and (b) oxidized forms of the [4Fe-3S] cluster of the O₂-tolerant [NiFe]-hydrogenase from H. marinus. (c) The [4Fe-4S] cluster of the standard [NiFe]-hydrogenase from DvM. Instead of one S²⁻ ion (S₂) of the [4Fe-4S] cluster which coordinates to Fe₁, Fe₂ and Fe₄, Cys65 coordinates to Fe₁ and Fe₂, and Cys166, to Fe₄ in the [4Fe-3S] cluster.
O₂ accession through H₂ channels by Sec-selenol and monatomic extra atom near the bridging Cys residue to Ni and Fe. In addition, its proximal [4Fe-4S] cluster has features different from those of the standard [NiFe]-enzymes.

**[FeFe]-hydrogenase.** Heterodimeric [FeFe]-hydrogenase from *D. desulfuricans* differs from the [NiFe]-enzyme in protein folding. The active site called H-cluster is composed of a binuclear Fe-Fe center and a [4Fe-4S] cluster, both of which are held by a Cys thiolate of the large subunit, and is buried deep in the center of the protein. Instead of 2 Cys residues bridging Ni and Fe atoms of the Ni-Fe center in the [NiFe]-enzyme, two dithiolate groups of 2-(aza or oxa)propane-1,3-dithiol are bridging two Fe atoms of the Fe-Fe center (Fig. 5a). Two other [4Fe-4S] clusters are also held by the large subunit. In spite of these differences from the [NiFe]-enzyme, the Fe atoms at the Fe-Fe center coordinate diatomic CO and CN⁻ ligands as in the Ni-Fe center of the [NiFe]-enzyme. The monomeric [FeFe]-enzyme from *C. pasteurianum* has a similar H-cluster as that of *D. desulfuricans* enzyme, but differs in having extra [4Fe-4S] and [2Fe-2S] clusters.

**[Fe]-hydrogenase.** The active site of [Fe]-hydrogenase locates on the protein surface, has ligands of 6-carboxymethyl-4-(5'-guanylyloxy)-3,5-dimethylpyridin-2-ol and 2 CO, and is supported by Cys-thiolate of the protein (Fig. 5b). Unlike the hydrogenases of the other families, both H₂ and the electron mediator, 5,10-methyltetrahydromethanopterin displaces H₂O with its re-face of methenyl-C facing to Fe, then H₂ is inserted between Fe and the acceptor.

**Catalytic mechanism of [NiFe]-hydrogenase**

**Activation of the enzyme.** Dg [NiFe]-hydrogenase as purified aerobically is in the inactive unready state, which is activated only after prolonged incubation under H₂. The activated enzyme can be oxidized anaerobically to the ready state, which is inactive but readily activated on reduction. The unready, ready and active states were correlated to the EPR signals dubbed Ni-A (gₓ = 2.31, 2.26, 2.02), Ni-B (gₓ = 2.33, 2.16, 2.02) and Ni-C (gₓ = 2.19, 2.14, 2.02), respectively. The interfering signal of the mesial [3Fe-4S] cluster can be quenched by measuring EPR at temperature above 50 K. The Fe atom is diamagnetic at any redox state of the enzyme. FTIR spectroscopy, in combination with EPR and electrochemistry distinguished different states of the enzyme, including the EPR silent states. The activation/inactivation pathways of the enzyme in various redox states are illustrated in Fig. 6 (upper 2 rows), where direct oxygenation of Ni-B to Ni-A form has not been observed with Dg enzyme. Activation of Ni-B₁₉₄₆ (see the legend to Fig. 6 for the subscript) to Ni-SI₁₉₁₄ form may not proceed in one step as indicated, but Ni-B₁₉₄₆ may be reduced (↓ E⁻) followed by the removal of the bridging ligand (↓ OH⁻). DvM [NiFe]-hydrogenase is active or readily activated as isolated. Some preparations had only an EPR signal at gₓ = 2.017 of [3Fe-4S] cluster, whereas others had additional signals (gₓ = 2.32, 2.23, 2.16, 2.01) of combined Ni-A and Ni-B forms. It was later recognized that the accession of air to the enzyme during purification was not carefully con-
controlled at that time, so that some preparations contained detectable amounts of Ni-A \( (g = 2.32, 2.24, 2.01) \) and Ni-B \( (g = 2.33, 2.16, 2.01) \) forms, but others contained hardly detectable amounts of Ni-A and Ni-B forms. The enzyme purified under acrobiosis contained 70% Ni-B form, and behaved as an active enzyme in the assay system, in which the enzyme was reduced chemically with dithionite\(^{11,10,22}\) or electrochemically\(^{26}\) to start the reaction. The redox titration of the enzyme having EPR Ni-signals with sodium dithionite led to successive disappearance of Ni-B and Ni-A signals at midpoint potentials of \(-230\) and \(-310\) mV, respectively. Ni-C signal \( (g = 2.196, 2.144) \) appeared at potential \(-370\) mV, reached a maximum at about \(-400\) mV, and disappeared at \(-430\) mV.\(^{30}\) Ni-B form (having oxo or hydroxo species as the bridging ligand between Ni and Fe) can be converted to Ni-A form (having peroxo or hydroperoxo species as the bridging ligand) by treatment with Na\(_2\)S to form...
Ni-B’ \( (g = 2.29, 2.14, 2.00) \), followed by the addition of \( \text{O}_2 \). Some residual electron densities observed near the S atoms of Ni-Fe-supporting Cys residues \( ^{83,87} \) might be related to some behaviors of the enzyme \( \text{e.g., evolution of H}_2\text{S on activation, activation/inactivation of various states of the enzyme, etc.} \) but they are disregarded in the following discussion, because we do not know the real structure at work in \( \text{vivo} \).

It is noteworthy that in spite of differences in the bridging ligand between Ni and Fe, both of DvM and Dg enzymes, on activation, behave similarly in redox processes. \(^{30} \)

**Catalytic cycle.** Based on the kinetic, structural, and spectroscopic studies described in the preceding sections, the catalytic cycle of \([\text{NiFe}]\)-hydrogenase was proposed to explain \( \text{H}_2\)-uptake, \( \text{H}_2\)-production and isotopic exchange reactions, as shown in the lower part of Fig. 6.\(^{97} \) Only the 3 structures present in the lower part of the \( \text{pH} \) range \( \text{i.e., protonated forms shown in the shaded boxes in the inner circuit of the catalytic cycle) were proved to be catalytically active.} \(^{74} \) We think, however, that both protonated and deprotonated Ni-SI\( ^{-} \)forms have catalytic activity and the inner and outer circuits of the catalytic cycle are operating, because hydrogenase is active in a wide \( \text{pH} \) range, and the \( \text{pH} \) optimum for \( \text{H}_2 \) evolution \( (\text{pH}_{\text{opt}}) \) is lower than the \( \text{pK}_a \) of Ni-SI\( ^{-} \)1934 and Ni-SI\( ^{-} \)1914, that of \( \text{H}_2 \) uptake \( (\text{pH}_{\text{opt}}) \) is higher than that \( \text{pK}_a \), and that of the isotopic exchange is between \( \text{pH}_{\text{opt}} \) and \( \text{pK}_a \).\(^{15,22,45-49} \)

\( \text{H}_2 \) diffused from the protein surface through hydrophobic tunnels \(^{75-77} \) is suggested to bind at the 6th ligand site of \( \text{Ni} \) of Ni-SI forms of the enzyme, because gaseous CO, the competitive inhibitor,\(^{15} \) was found to bind at this site,\(^{85} \) but see the legend to Fig. 6 for other possibilities. Inactivity of Ni-A form can be explained by the fact that the outer atom of the diatomic bridge of this form occupies the same position as that of CO in the CO-bound enzyme to prevent \( \text{H}_2 \) from binding to Ni. Heterolytic cleavage of the bound \( \text{H}_2 \) produces Ni-R form, in which the hydride is believed to bind at the Ni-Fe bridging site,\(^{77,98-100} \) and \( \text{H}^+ \) on the S atom of Cys* \( \text{(Cys* is Cys546 for DvM enzyme).} \)\(^{93} \) In the \( \text{H}_2\)-uptake reaction to reduce ferriyect-c\( _3 \), the outer circuit starting from NiSI\( _{1914} \) form operating clockwise to produce (Ni-R) form \( \text{(deprotonated form of NiR}_{1949} \), not confirmed by spectroscopy) must be predominant, because \( \text{pH}_{\text{opt}} \) lies above the \( \text{pK}_a \). The resulting (Ni-R) form, then transfers an electron to ferricyct-c\( _3 \) through the proximal, mesial and distal FeS clusters, from which the edge-to-edge distant to the nearest heme of the bound ferricyct-c\( _3 \) is only 6.4 Å, with \( \pi \)-orbital rings of His238 and Phe247 located inbetween.\(^{21} \) The resulting \( \text{Ni-C} \) form transfers the 2nd electron to ferricyct-c\( _3 \) to regenerate Ni-SI\( _{1914} \) to complete the cycle. The \( \text{H}^+ \) produced can be released to the medium through a putative proton channel.\(^{75,77} \) In the \( \text{H}_2\)-evolution reaction, the inner circuit operating anticlockwise must be predominant, because \( \text{pH}_{\text{opt}} \) lies below the \( \text{pK}_a \). NiSI\( _{1934} \) form receives electrons and \( \text{H}^+ \) successively to produce NiC\( _{1952} \), then Ni-R\( _{1949} \), and finally releases \( \text{H}_2 \) to regenerate Ni-SI\( _{1934} \) to complete the cycle.

In the isotopic exchange, only the right half semi-circuits are operating with both of Ni-SI forms, because the \( \text{pH} \) optimum for the exchange lies near the \( \text{pK}_a \). Both of the Ni-R forms are the molecular species which have properties compatible with the postulated \( \text{H}_2\)-adduct of the enzyme, \( \text{EH}_H \) in Fig. 1,\(^{6} \) where \( \text{H}_a \) is suggested to be \( \text{H} \) bound to S-Cys*, because the temperature factor of this residue is found to be unusually high compared to the other side chains in the protein by X-ray crystallography.\(^{87} \) Since Cys* locates at the entrance to the putative proton-channel to the protein surface,\(^{75,77} \) the bound \( \text{H} \) on S-Cys* must have higher exchange rate with medium \( \text{D}^+ \) than the bridging hydride between Ni and Fe, which is \( \text{H}_a \). \( \text{NiFeSe} \)-hydrogenase has \( \text{Se}^+ \)-Sec instead of \( \text{S}^+ \)-Cys*. As selenol is more acidic than thiol, \( \text{Se}^+ \)-Sec would reduce the anionic character of the bridging hydride to make it more readily exchangeable with medium \( \text{D}^+ \) compared to \( \text{S}^+ \)-Cys*,\(^{73} \) \( \text{i.e., k}_H \) of [NiFe-Se]-enzyme would be higher than that of [NiFe]-enzyme, to make higher \( \text{v}_{\text{Ni}}/\text{v}_{\text{D}} \) ratios shown in Table 2. In fact, only 3 fold increase in \( \text{h}_H \) is sufficient to account for the \( \text{v}_{\text{Ni}}/\text{v}_{\text{D}} \) ratio at \( \text{pH} \) 7.0 observed for [NiFeSe]-enzyme (Table 2), assuming the other kinetic constants unchanged. The proximal [4Fe-4S] cluster (not shown in Fig. 6), whose supporting residues are highly conserved among \( \text{NiFe} \)-hydrogenases (Fig. 2) is thought to be essential for the catalytic function. The catalytic mechanisms of [NiFe]-hydrogenase hitherto proposed\(^{70,74,77,78,81,86,89,93} \) do not seem to aim at conforming to the results of kinetic studies\(^{6} \) schematically presented in Fig. 1.

**Concluding remarks**

The enzyme hydrogenase has been spotlighted since its discovery, because controlled reaction of the substrate of the enzyme, \( \text{H}_2 \), is possible only in the
presence of catalyst, and the enzyme hydrogenase is expected to be a powerful tool to produce and utilize H₂ for future energy devices. Early achievements by studies on hydrogenases were the establishment of their electron carrier specificity and demonstration of heterolytic cleavage of H₂ on the enzyme. Our understanding on hydrogenases was remarkably widened and deepened since the elucidation of their three-dimensional structures by X-ray crystallography, and characterization of various states of the active site by spectroscopic studies such as EPR, FTIR etc. In this article, we surveyed the present knowledge on hydrogenases, and proposed the enzymatic mechanism by formulating the catalytic cycle running at the active site of the enzyme. This will provide a clue to design more efficient, O₂-tolerant and stable catalyst by protein engineering, or to synthesize artificial catalysts for practical uses. Comprehensive reviews⁷,⁷⁵) are available for genetics, regulation or maturation of hydrogenases, not dealt with in this article.
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