On-Demand Integrated Quantum Memory for Polarization Qubits
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Photonic polarization qubits are widely used in quantum computation and quantum communication due to the robustness in transmission and the easy qubit manipulation. An integrated quantum memory for polarization qubits is a useful building block for large-scale integrated quantum networks. However, on-demand storing polarization qubits in an integrated quantum memory is a long-standing challenge due to the anisotropic absorption of solids and the polarization-dependent features of microstructures. Here we demonstrate a reliable on-demand quantum memory for polarization qubits, using a depressed-cladding waveguide fabricated in a $^{151}$Eu$^{3+} \cdot Y_2SiO_5$ crystal. The site-2 $^{151}$Eu$^{3+}$ ions in $Y_2SiO_5$ crystal provides a near-uniform absorption for arbitrary polarization states and a new pump sequence is developed to prepare a wideband and enhanced absorption profile. A fidelity of $99.4 \pm 0.6\%$ is obtained for the qubit storage process with an input of 0.32 photons per pulse, together with a storage bandwidth of 10 MHz. This reliable integrated quantum memory for polarization qubits reveals the potential for use in the construction of integrated quantum networks.
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Quantum memories (QMs) are fundamental building blocks for global-scale quantum networks [1]. Integrated QMs are particularly useful to meet the requirements of convenience and miniaturization in large-scale applications [2]. QMs have been implemented in various physical systems such as single atoms [3], single ions [4], cold atoms [5,6], warm atomic vapors [7,8], defects in solids [9,10] and rare-earth-ion doped crystals (REICs) [2,11]. As a solid-state platform, REICs have extremely long storage times [12–15], large bandwidth [2,16–19], large multimode capacities [13,18,20–26], and can be easily manufactured to be integrated QMs [2,16,17,26–32].

As the natural carrier of information in quantum networks, photonic qubits can be coded into various degrees of freedom, such as polarization, time, path, and frequency. Among them, the polarization qubits are widely adopted due to the easy manipulation with wave plates and easy transmission in a single temporal and spatial mode. QMs for photonic polarization qubits have been demonstrated in REICs [33–37], single atoms [3], cold atomic ensembles [5,38,39], and warm atomic vapors [40]. However, on-demand integrated QMs for polarization qubits have not yet been achieved. There are two problems that need to be solved. First, unlike free-space atoms [3], most solids have anisotropic absorption. For REICs, pioneering works solved this problem by storing two different polarization components into spatially different parts of the medium [33–35,37]. Such an approach is not suitable for integrated QMs due to the complex optical setup which may introduce unwanted losses. An amorphous glass fiber can provide a uniform absorption [36] at a price of much less storage time as compared to that of single-crystal hosts. Second, to date, all integrated QMs fabricated on REICs are polarization dependent [2,12,17,26–32,41–51], i.e., only one polarization mode is supported in these micro- and nanostructures.

Here, we demonstrate an integrated QM for polarization qubits by fabricating polarization-independent waveguides in a uniformly absorbing single crystal. The depressed-cladding optical waveguide, also known as the type-III waveguide [52], is fabricated using femtosecond laser micromachining (FLM). This optical waveguide is further combined with on-chip electrodes to facilitate on-demand retrieval in discrete steps based on the Stark-modulated atomic frequency comb protocol [31,53,54].

The substrate is a 0.1% doped $^{151}$Eu$^{3+} \cdot Y_2SiO_5$ crystal with a size of $3 \times 12 \times 4$ mm$^3$ along $D_1 \times D_2 \times b$ axes. The type-III waveguide is fabricated by a FLM system (WOPhotonics). The details of the fabrication process are provided in the Supplemental Material [55]. In the type-III waveguide, the low refractive-index optical barriers are separated by a few microns and the optical field is confined among them [52,56]. To achieve a near-uniform absorption...
for arbitrary polarization states, we use ions that locate at site-2 of $\text{Y}_2\text{SiO}_5$ crystals and the light propagates along the $D_2$ direction [57,58].

The TM (TE) guide mode is single mode and its polarization direction matches the $D_1$ ($b$) axis. The mode intensity profiles of TM and TE modes are almost the same. As shown in Fig. 1(e), the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the TM mode is $9.0 \mu m \times 10.3 \mu m$ ($D_1 \times b$). For convenience, we denote the polarization state parallel to the $D_1$ ($b$) axis as $|H\rangle$ ($|V\rangle$). The insertion losses (including coupling losses and propagation losses) are 0.85 (0.84) dB for $|H\rangle$ ($|V\rangle$) and the coupling efficiency of the output mode to a single-mode fiber (SMF) is approximately 80%. The optical waveguide is fabricated at a depth of 20 $\mu m$ beneath the surface of the crystal for efficient interface with the on-chip electrodes. The two parallel electrodes have a width of 200 $\mu m$ and a spacing of 100 $\mu m$. An arbitrary function generator (Tektronix, AFG3102C) is employed to directly drive the electrodes to introduce the required electric field on the $^{151}\text{Eu}^{3+}$ ions inside the optical waveguide.

The schematic drawing of the experimental setup is presented Fig. 1(a). The 580-nm laser is a frequency-doubled semiconductor laser with a stabilized linewidth of below 1 kHz. Both the signal beam and the preparation beam are controlled by acousto-optic modulators (AOMs) which are driven by arbitrary waveform generators. These two beams are combined into a single beam and coupled into the waveguide memory which is cooled down to 3.4 K using a cryostat (Montana Instruments). The polarization state of the signal is prepared and analyzed with standard wave plates and polarization beam splitters. The signal beam is decreased to single-photon levels and two shutters are employed to protect the single photon detectors from the strong preparation beam.

An atomic frequency comb (AFC) is a well-established quantum storage protocol in REICs with the advantages of large bandwidth [2,16,18,19], high fidelity [11,21,33–35], and excellent multimode capability [13,18,20–26]. The recently proposed Stark-modulated atomic frequency comb (SMAFC) protocol [31,53,54] could further enable on-demand readout in discrete steps by employing electric field pulses to actively control the rephasing process of an AFC.

In $\text{Y}_2\text{SiO}_5$ crystals, when the electric fields are parallel to the $b$ axis or in the mirror plane, the doped ions will be split into two groups by the Stark effect [31,53,54]. These two groups of ions have frequency shifts of the equal amounts.
are extremely important for achieving high efficiency.

The Stark splittings are measured by spectral-hole burning spectroscopy \[31,59\]. Under a biased electric field the Stark splitting along the \(3\) axis, an antihole will split into two antiholes. The linear Stark splitting along the \(2\) axis of site-2 Eu\(^{3+}\) ions when the electric field is parallel to the \(b\) axis.

The Stark splittings are measured by spectral-hole burning spectroscopy \[31,59\]. Under a biased electric field along with the \(b\) axis, an antihole will split into two antiholes. The linear Stark splitting along the \(b\) axis of site-2 Eu\(^{3+}\) are 5.66 \(\pm\) 0.03 KHZ/(V \cdot cm\(^{-1}\)) and \(-5.71 \pm 0.04\) KHZ/(V \cdot cm\(^{-1}\)) with the average value 5.69 \(\pm\) 0.04 KHZ/(V \cdot cm\(^{-1}\)). Time sequence for the measurement is presented in Fig. 2(a) and more details are provided in the Supplemental Material \[55\].

Then we continue our experiment with on-demand storage based on SMAFC. Considering combs with Gaussian profiles, the storage efficiency of the SMAFC memory \[53\] is

\[
\eta(t) = \frac{\pi}{4 \ln(2)} (d/F)^2 e^{\left(-\sqrt{\ln(2)/F}\right)} e^{\left(-\frac{\pi^2 t^2}{4 F^2}\right)},
\]

where \(d\) is the absorption depth, \(F\) is the finesse of the comb, \(\gamma\) is the comb FWHM, and \(t\) is the storage time. It is obvious that high finesse \((F)\) and high absorption depth \((d)\) are extremely important for achieving high efficiency storage. However, the \(7F_0 \rightarrow 7D_0\) transition of Eu\(^{3+}\) is only weakly allowed in \(Y_2SiO_5\) crystals and this problem is even worse when working with site-2 Eu\(^{3+}\) ions \[57\]. In our experiment, the natural sample absorption \(d = 1.46 \ (1.64)\) for \(|H\rangle \ (|V\rangle\). A preparation process is employed to enhance the effective absorption depth by increasing the population that can be excited in the target frequency range. The time sequence for this experiment is presented in Fig. 2(b) and more details are provided in the Supplemental Material \[55\]. Successively utilizing the pump light at the center frequency of \(f_0 = 33.02\) MHz and \(f_0 - 33.02\) MHz with the chirping bandwidth of 46 MHz, we prepare a 12.68-MHz enhanced absorption peak at the center frequency of \(f_0\). Using our optical pumping scheme, the enhanced \(d\) is 3.85 (4.35) for \(|H\rangle \ (|V\rangle\) with the average magnitude of enhancement of 2.64 times as compared to the original absorption.

Next, we create an AFC structure with a comb spacing of 2 MHz and a total bandwidth of 10 MHz by parallel comb preparation scheme \[60\]. The comb structures are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) for input light of two orthogonal polarization states. Our AFC is an imperfect square comb and the expected AFC storage efficiency is between that can be obtained with the Gaussian comb [Eq. (1)] and the square comb \[60\]. The measured efficiencies of the 500-ns AFC are 23.3 \(\pm\) 0.3% \((26.9 \pm 0.3)\%\) for \(|H\rangle \ (|V\rangle\). The theoretical efficiencies are 29.6\% \(\sim\) 32.0\% for \(|H\rangle \ (|V\rangle\) for the square-comb model and 21.5\% \(\sim\) 24.2\% for the Gaussian-comb model.

The simple two-level AFC only allows predetermined storage times. To actively control the AFC rephasing process, we further applied electric field pulses to implement a SMAFC. The first electric pulse with a duration of 85 ns and a voltage of 5 V is applied before the first AFC echo, to prevent the emission of 1st to \((n - 1)\)th order AFC echoes. Then the second pulse with reversed polarity is applied before the \(n\)th order AFC echo, so that to compensate the Stark-induced phase and readout the \(n\)th order AFC echo in an on-demand fashion. Photon counting histograms for input states of \(|H\rangle \ (|V\rangle\) are presented in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), respectively. The input pulses contain 0.32 photons on average in the input side of the cryostat.

The internal storage efficiencies of the 1-\(\mu\)s SMAFC echo are 13.2 \(\pm\) 0.8\% and 15.5 \(\pm\) 0.8\% for \(|H\rangle \ (|V\rangle\), respectively. Since the efficiencies of other optical components, such as the single-mode fiber coupling, also have slight polarization dependence, the device efficiencies (between the input of the cryostat and the detector) for 1-\(\mu\)s SMAFC storage are 7.0 \(\pm\) 0.4\% and 7.6 \(\pm\) 0.4\% for \(|H\rangle \ (|V\rangle\), respectively, which is approximately balanced for storage of arbitrary polarization states [Fig. 3(e)].
\( S - N \)/N, where \( S \) (N) is the photon counts at output window with (without) input pulses. Such a high SNR could allow high-fidelity polarization qubit storage. Quantum process tomography is performed to benchmark the performance of the qubit storage of our device [35,61]. The fidelities of retrieved states are 99.9\% C6, 99.6\% C0, 99.1\% C0, and 98.4\% C4 for input states of \( |H\rangle \), \( |V\rangle \), \( |H + iV\rangle \), and \( |H + V\rangle \), respectively. The process matrix is constructed based on the maximum likelihood estimation, with a fidelity of 99.4\% ± 0.6\% to the ideal identical storage process (Fig. 4). Here the error bar refers to one standard deviation given by the Monte Carlo simulation assuming the Poisson statistics of photons. Taking into account the finite storage efficiency of 7.0\% and the Poisson statistics of the weak coherent pulse, the maximal achievable fidelity using a classical measure and prepare strategy is 76.2\% [25]. Our result outperforms such strict classical bound by 39 standard deviations, unambiguously demonstrating that this device operates in the quantum regime.

In conclusion, we fabricate a depressed-cladding optical waveguide and on-chip electrodes in a \( \text{Eu}^{3+} : \text{Y}_2\text{SiO}_5 \) crystal. On-demand storage of polarization qubits with a bandwidth of 10 MHz is achieved by implementing a SMAFC protocol using site-2 \( \text{Eu}^{3+} \) ions. The fidelity of the storage process reaches 99.4\% ± 0.6\%, which is approximately the same as that achieved with the bulk material [34] but provides the additional capability of on-demand retrieval.

The unique advantage of the current integrated QM is the capability of supporting arbitrary polarization which could play an essential role in quantum networks involving polarization qubits. A further extension of the current work would be the implementation of spin-wave storage protocols [62,63] which can provide an extended storage time of up to 1 h using the current material [15]. As compared to the SMAFC, spin-wave memories can provide on-demand retrieval in a continuous fashion and much longer storage times. However, elimination of noise would be much more challenging since strong control pulses are required during the storage process. For spin-wave storage, our device could further provide high-contrast polarization filtering of

**FIG. 3.** SMAFC memory for weak coherent pulses. Figures 1(a) and 1(b) are the measured comb structures with input states of \( |H\rangle \) and \( |V\rangle \), respectively. The average peak absorption depth is 3.6 (4.0) for \( |H\rangle \) (\( |V\rangle \)) with negligible background absorption. Figs. 1(c) and 1(d) are photon counting histograms for SMAFC storage, with input states of \( |H\rangle \) and \( |V\rangle \), respectively. Echoes retrieved after 2 s are magnified by 10 times. (e) The device efficiency of the SMAFC memory for input states of \( |H\rangle \) (red) and \( |V\rangle \) (black). The fitted \( \gamma \) is 335 ± 6 kHz (333 ± 7 kHz) for \( |H\rangle \) (\( |V\rangle\)), according to Eq. (1).

**FIG. 4.** The reconstructed process matrix of the storage process. (a) The real part of the process matrix as obtained by the quantum process tomography [61]. The horizontal axes are the basis operators in the two-dimensional Hilbert space. (b) The imaginary part of the process matrix with the largest imaginary element of 0.035.
strong control pulses which copropagate with a single-photon signal field in the same waveguide. Moreover, such integrated structure could enable high-density spatially-multiplexed storage for transportable quantum memories [15,64] and quantum repeaters [1,18] which are viable solutions for long-distance quantum communication.
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