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Abstract
In recent years, new deep learning methods and pre-training language models have been emerging in the field of natural language processing (NLP). These methods and models can greatly improve the accuracy of automatic word segmentation and part-of-speech tagging in the field of ancient Chinese research. In these models, the BERT model has made amazing achievements in the top-level test of machine reading comprehension SQuAD-1.1. In addition, it also showed better results than other models in 11 different NLP tests. In this paper, SIKU-RoBERTa pre-training language model based on the high-quality full-text corpus of SiKuQuanShu have been adopted, and part corpus of ZuoZhuang that has been word segmented and part-of-speech tagged is used as training sets to build a deep network model based on BERT for word segmentation and POS tagging experiments. In addition, we also use other classical NLP network models for comparative experiments. The results show that using SIKU-RoBERTa pre-training language model, the overall prediction accuracy of word segmentation and part-of-speech tagging of this model can reach 93.87% and 88.97%, with excellent overall performance.

Keywords: natural language processing, deep learning, BERT model, automatic part-of-speech tagging

1. Introduction
At present, the automatic lexical analysis technology for modern Chinese (including automatic word segmentation, part of speech tagging, named entity recognition, etc.) has basically mature. People try to use the existing modern Chinese analysis model to deal with ancient Chinese. However, due to the use of traditional characters in ancient Chinese, it first needs to spend a lot of resources and time to convert traditional characters into simplified characters. Secondly, there are significant differences between ancient Chinese and modern Chinese in font, vocabulary and grammar. Finally, more ancient Chinese texts lack sentence breaks and punctuation, which brings great difficulties to further data analysis, knowledge mining and the development of related intelligent applications.

The research on automatic word segmentation of ancient Chinese has also experienced three stages: rule-based system, statistics-based method and deep-learning-based network model.

Huang et al. designed the automatic word segmentation algorithm of agricultural ancient books through N-ary grammar and dictionary word segmentation technology. After testing, it has a good word recognition rate on 13 agricultural ancient books. Xu et al. proposed a rule-based word segmentation method for ZuoZhuang, and the F1 value of this method reached 89.46%.

Fang et al. proposed a word segmentation algorithm based on likelihood ratio statistical method, and realized the automatic word segmentation of tea classic through tree pruning algorithm. Chen et al. constructed an improved statistical model of ancient Chinese text based on Kalman filter. Compared with the baseline model, the accuracy of word segmentation in ShiJi and Song History increased by 30%.

Wang et al. determined the combined feature template through conditional random field model and statistical method, and finally obtained the part of speech automatic annotation algorithm model for Pre-Qin classics. The harmonic average value f of the model reaches 94.79%. Cheng et al. proposed an integrated annotation method of sentence segmentation and lexical analysis based on BiLSTM-CRF neural network model. The F1 value of word segmentation task and part-of-speech tagging task on the comprehensive test set of the model reached 85.73% and 72.65%.

SIKU-RoBERTa is a natural language pre-training model based on BERT model and trained with SiKuQuanShu. This experiment will use part of the ZuoZhuang as the training set, fine-tune on the basis of SIKU-RoBERTa, and complete the tasks of word segmentation and part-of-speech tagging. In addition, some classical natural language processing models will be used as comparative experiments.

2. Model Introduction
BERT model is a pre-training language model proposed by Google, which breaks through the limitation that text representation methods such as one-hot and word2vec can only generate a word vector for each word in the thesaurus, and solves the thorny problem of polysemy. In addition, based on the self-attention mechanism, BERT model can contain deeper context information, which plays a decisive role in the effect of natural language processing tasks. It is a milestone in the research of natural language processing. It has set a new record in 11 natural language processing tasks and has become the focus of current research.

The basic BERT model is composed of 12 layers of transformer encoder units, each layer has 12 Attention, and the hidden layer size H is 768, that is, the word vector dimension. Its structure is shown in Figure 1.
**BERT Model Structure**

![BERT Model Structure Diagram](image)

The intermediate feature extraction adopts the structure of the encoder part of the transformer, but uses a new activation function GeLU (Gaussian error linear unit) instead of the original activation function ReLU of the transformer.

The embedding layer of BERT model is shown in Figure 2, which is obtained by the superposition of Token Embedding, Segment Embedding and Position Embedding. The Segment Embedding can be used for sentence classification tasks, such as judging whether the two sentences are semantically similar and whether the two sentences are context, etc.

![Embedding layer of BERT model](image)

According to the output structure of the BERT model, inputting the output of the BERT model into a Full Connection Layer, each token of the input sentence can be labeled to complete the sequence labeling task, and then complete the tasks such as word segmentation, part-of-speech tagging, named entity recognition and so on. Its structure is shown in Figure 3.

![The structure of sequence annotation](image)

### 3. Data Set Introduction

#### 3.1 Datasets with Labels

The training set used in this experiment is from *ZuoZhuang* (左传), which has been segmentation and marked with part-of-speech. The sentence segmentation is realized by the end of the sentence in the corpus, such as period, question mark, exclamation mark and other symbols. The source language in the final training corpus, i.e. the marked ancient Chinese sample (from *ZuoZhuang*), is as follows:

二十一/年/之，/春/之/分/，/王/天/之/將/之/之/無/也

This experiment uses two test sets, test set A and test set B. The corpus in test set A is also from *ZuoZhuang*, but it does not intersect with the corpus in training set. Test set B is a collection of corpora from different ancient books. The word statistics and part-of-speech distribution of the data set are shown in Table 1.

| The Data Set   | Characters Quantity | Words Quantity | POS Distribution (Top 5)                  |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|
| Training Set   | 186282              | 157441         | Verb (24.4%), Punctuation (21.3%)         |
| Test Set A     | 33297               | 28131          | Noun (16.3%), Person (6.7%), Pronoun (6.4%) |
| Test Set B     | 62969               | 53835          | Punctuation (26.1%), Verb (23.7%)         |

Table 1: overview of experimental data set

#### 3.2 Datasets without labels

In order to further study the effect of the model in the field of ancient Chinese analysis, we selected some corpora from ancient Chinese and ancient traditional medical books that are quite different from Zuozhuan in sentence pattern and content, such as *ZhaoMingWenXuan* (昭明文选) (anthology of literature), *ShangHanLun* (伤寒论) (treatise on febrile diseases caused by cold) and *ShuoWenJieZiZhu* (说文解字注) (Collected commentaries on the *ShuoWenJieZi*). The corpus selected from *ZhaoMingWenXuan* is mainly fragments of Ci and Fu, such as *LuoShenFu*, *ShangLinFu* and so on. The corpus selected from *ShangHanLun* is mainly the disease conclusion and prescription of ancient Chinese medicine. The corpus selected from *ShuoWenJieZiZhu* is mainly explanatory articles. The specific format and contents are shown in Table 2.
4. Experimental Design

4.1 Integrated Label Design

The commonly used annotation method for word segmentation is {B, I, E, S}, where B represents the first character of word, E represents the last character word, I represents the middle characters of word when the word length is greater than 3, and S represents the word formation of a single character, for example:

_二 B + I — I _年 E _春 S_

The actual labels used in this experiment are obtained by the combination of the tagging method mentioned and part-of-speech. The label examples of the training corpus are shown in Table 3.

| Character | Label |
|----------|-------|
| 天       | B-n   |
| 王       | E-n   |
| 將       | S-d   |
| 鑄       | S-n   |
| 無       | B-n   |
| 射       | E-n   |
| ,        | S-w   |

Table 3: Training corpus label examples

4.2 Network Model Parameters

In this experiment, four network models were used, which are BiLSTM, BiLSTM_CRF, SIKU-RoBERTa and SIKU-RoBERTa_CRF. All models are tested on the same hardware and software environment. The experimental tool and environment selected for this experiment is pytorch-1.10.0, python-3.8 and cuda-11.3. The hardware configuration is GPU: 12G RTX3060, CPU: 20G 7-core Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2680 V4 @ 2.40GHz.

| Super Parameter       | Value |
|-----------------------|-------|
| embedding_size        | 128   |
| hidden_size           | 256   |
| num_layers            | 2     |
| train_batch_size      | 32    |
| eval_batch_size       | 8     |
| learning_rate         | 0.005 |
| num_train_epochs      | 20    |
| drop out              | 0.5   |

Table 4: Main super parameters of BiLSTM

The super parameters of both BiLSTM network models are shown in Table 4. And The network models super parameters of RoBERTa is shown in Table 5.

| Super Parameter       | Value |
|-----------------------|-------|
| num_attention_heads   | 12    |
| hidden_size           | 768   |
| train_batch_size      | 64    |
| val_batch_size        | 8     |
| learning_rate         | 2.0E-5|
| num_train_epochs      | 10    |
| drop out              | 0.1   |

Table 5: Main super parameters of RoBERTa

5. Results Analysis

5.1 Evaluation Indexes

In this experiment, due to the small amount of training data, the training data is randomly divided into training set and validation set according to 9:1, and the 10 fold cross verification method is used to increase the amount of data, enhance the accuracy of the experiment and reduce the error. The confusion matrix between the predicted value and the real value is shown in Table 6.

| Confusion Matrix | Actuality |
|------------------|-----------|
| Prediction       | Positive  | Negative  |
| Positive         | True Positive (TP) | False Positive (FP) |
| Negative         | False Negative (FN) | True Negative (TN) |

Table 6: Confusion Matrix

The commonly used evaluation indexes of deep learning model include P(Precision), R(Recall) and F1-score(harmonic mean). P reflects the accuracy of the model prediction, R reflects the comprehensiveness of the model prediction, and F1-score combines the advantages of the two, which can more objectively evaluate the prediction results of the model. The calculation method of the three evaluation indexes is as follows:

\[
P = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \times 100\%
\]

\[
R = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \times 100\%
\]

\[
F1 = \frac{2 \times P \times R}{P + R} \times 100\%
\]
5.2 Cross Verification
In order to more accurately evaluate the performance of SIKU-RoBERTa model, we use the 10 fold cross verification method to evaluate the model. The results of the Precision, Recall and F1-score of each group are shown in Table 7.

| Group | Precision | Recall | F1-score | Support |
|-------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|
| 1     | 87.26     | 87.50  | 86.99    | 12260   |
| 2     | 90.32     | 89.22  | 89.30    | 15319   |
| 3     | 91.92     | 92.66  | 92.20    | 14978   |
| 4     | 91.69     | 92.24  | 91.68    | 14003   |
| 5     | 89.89     | 90.42  | 89.95    | 15405   |
| 6     | 90.42     | 90.60  | 90.27    | 16203   |
| 7     | 90.61     | 93.01  | 91.72    | 14809   |
| 8     | 90.16     | 92.47  | 91.21    | 18198   |
| 9     | 89.98     | 91.91  | 90.81    | 17496   |
| 10    | 91.78     | 93.04  | 92.30    | 16793   |
| Mean  | 90.40     | 91.307 | 90.643   | 15546   |

Table 7: The result of cross verification with SIKU-RoBERTa

Through the comparative analysis of 10 groups of evaluation indexes of models using different pre-training models, it can be seen that the overall Precision of part-of-speech tagging using SIKU-RoBERTa achieves 90.40%, Recall achieves 91.31%, and F1-score achieves 90.64%.

5.3 Prediction Results
This experiment uses the three network models mentioned in Chapter 4 to test the sequence label prediction task on the Test Set A and B mentioned in Chapter 3. The results of the final word segmentation and part-of-speech tagging experiment are shown in Table 8 and Table 9.

| Test Set | Model | P   | R   | F1  |
|----------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| Test A   | BiLSTM  | 92.31 | 92.88 | 92.60 |
|          | BiLSTM_CRF | 92.99 | 93.42 | 93.20 |
|          | SikuRoBERTa | 93.09 | 94.66 | 93.87 |
|          | SikuRoBERTa_CRF | 95.47 | 93.48 | 94.46 |
| Test B   | BiLSTM  | 88.38 | 86.59 | 87.48 |
|          | BiLSTM_CRF | 87.98 | 84.82 | 86.37 |
|          | SikuRoBERTa | 86.42 | 93.64 | 89.89 |
|          | SikuRoBERTa_CRF | 94.39 | 86.78 | 90.43 |

Table 8: Word segmentation experiment results

5.4 Exploratory Experiment Results
The exploratory experiment used the unlabeled test set mentioned in Chapter 3 to evaluate the SIKU-RoBERTa model. Since the test set has no label, we can't show our evaluation results digitally. However, with reference to the opinions of relevant professionals, the experimental results of word segmentation and part-of-speech tagging in the above corpus are not as good as those in Test Set A or Test Set B. Through the analysis and comparison of the corpus, we believe that there are the following reasons:

- Differences in sentence structure: for example, there are great differences in sentence structure between ZuoZhuan and ShuoWenJieZiZhu.
- Existence of professional terms: there are a large number of disease names in ancient medical texts, such as "太阳病". And the ancients used the inverted phonetic notation, such as "直鱼切".
- Difficulty in tagging function words: function words in ancient Chinese are different from those in modern Chinese and English in function and meaning.
- Particularity of poetry: ancient poetry and ancient prose are also different in grammar and semantics.

5.5 Cross Validation

6. Conclusion and Discussion
The comparative experiments of four natural language models BiLSTM, BiLSTM_CRF, SikuRoBERTa and SikuRoBERTa_CRF verify that the pre-training model SikuRoBERTa can improve the accuracy of word segmentation and part-of-speech tagging in ancient Chinese, perform more prominently in the non-specific corpus, and have better generalization ability.

Inspired by the exploratory experiment, there are two thoughts on how to improve the prediction accuracy of the model:

- Expand the training set: increase the diversity of sentence patterns in the training set corpus, so that the model can learn more sentence structures.
- Increase the number of labels: identify some proper nouns through labels.
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