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Reviewer 1: Heiner Wenk
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Reviewer Recommendation Term: Accept
Overall Reviewer Manuscript Rating: 80

Is the subject area appropriate for you? 3
Does the title clearly reflect the paper’s content? 4
Does the abstract clearly reflect the paper’s content? 4
Do the keywords clearly reflect the paper’s content? 5 - High/Yes
Does the introduction present the problem clearly? 4
Are the results/conclusions justified? 4
How comprehensive and up-to-date is the subject matter presented? 3
How adequate is the data presentation? 3
Are units and terminology used correctly? 4
Is the number of cases adequate? N/A
Are the experimental methods/clinical studies adequate? N/A
Is the length appropriate in relation to the content? 4
Does the reader get new insights from the article? 3
Please rate the practical significance. 3
Please rate the accuracy of methods. N/A
Please rate the statistical evaluation and quality control. N/A
Please rate the appropriateness of the figures and tables. 3
Please rate the appropriateness of the references. 5 - High/Yes
Please evaluate the writing style and use of language. 4
Please judge the overall scientific quality of the manuscript. 3
Are you willing to review the revision of this manuscript? Yes

Comments to Author:
Nurses have an important role in patient care. The article demonstrates the role of education in this field. Change of the Tasks of nurses are explained. Important article.
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