A Special Extract of *Bacopa monnieri* (CDRI-08) Restores Learning and Memory by Upregulating Expression of the NMDA Receptor Subunit GluN2B in the Brain of Scopolamine-Induced Amnesic Mice
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1. Introduction

Amnesia is characterized by deficit in memory caused by either brain damage, neurological disorders, psychological trauma [1], use of sedative/hypnotic drugs [2], or alcohols [3] due to alterations in the excitatory glutamatergic synaptic strength, which is dependent on the activation of the ionotropic glutamate receptors, AMPA (α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid) or NMDA (N-methyl-D-aspartic acid) receptors (AMPAR or NMDAR), and metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) [4]. Studies on the NMDAR mutant mice have shown that activity dependent hippocampal CA1 synaptic plasticity is abrogated due to the absence of NMDAR [5]. Large body of evidences suggests that expression of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), and calcineurin is differentially altered in the hippocampus, basolateral amygdala (BLA), and medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) stress-induced amnesia [6]. However, information on alterations in the expression of NMDA receptor or its particular subunit in the drug-induced amnesic animal model is less studied.

N-Methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors, a heterotrimeric structure, consist of two GluN1 subunits and two additional GluN2 or GluN3 subunits which together confer the functionality to the receptor. Each subunit possesses the N-terminal domain containing binding sites for allosteric regulators such as Zn$^{2+}$, the agonist binding domain for glycine/D-serine (GluN1) and glutamate (GluN2) where competitive antagonists bind [4]. GluN1 has eight splice variants whereas GluN2 subunit consists of four splice variants (NR2A-D). GluN1 subunit is an obligatory component of
the NMDA receptors whereas variation takes place at the level of GluN2 subunit types. The GluN2 subunit type present in NMDA receptor complex critically determines its biophysical, pharmacological, and physiological properties including sensitivity to Zn$^{2+}$, H$^+$ and polyamines, single channel conductance, and interactions with intracellular signaling molecules [7, 8]. GluN2A and GluN2B are associated with higher brain functions [9, 10] and they are predominantly expressed in hippocampus and cortex [4, 11]. Recent evidence indicates that NMDA receptor activity is correlated with learning, memory, and cognition by modulating dendritic spine density, synaptic plasticity, and synaptic strength [12, 13]. Also, GluN2A and GluN2B subunits of NMDARs are implicated in the development of LTP in the hippocampus-dependent spatial and fear memory, and they have been correlated with overexpression of GluN2B subunit in order to enhance the above memory forms in adult mice [14]. Recent studies have implicated possible role of NMDA receptors in various neurological disorders like epilepsy, Alzheimer disease, and Huntington chorea and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) [7, 15]. Pharmacological and knockout studies have demonstrated that mice lacking GluN2A/B subunits exhibit impaired LTP and thereby deficient spatial memory [16]. GluN2B subunit of the NMDA receptor has been shown to be associated with altered synaptic plasticity in Parkinson’s disease [17, 18]. Therefore, investigation on alterations in the expression of the GluN2B in the amnesic mice may provide an important support to involvement of NMDAR in learning and memory and which may also serve as a measure to evaluate the molecular mechanism of effects of herbal neuro-modulator drugs such as Bacopa extract. Therefore, we have analyzed alterations in the expression of the NMDA receptor subunit GluN2B in prefrontal cortex and hippocampus of the scopolamine-treated amnesic mice [19, 20] and investigated the potential effects of Bacopa monnieri extract on its alterations during experimental amnesia.

Bacopa monnieri is a traditional herbal plant and its extract has been used in Indian medicine system since ancient period as a nerve tonic for the treatment of varieties of neurological diseases and memory related disorders [21, 22]. CDRI-08 is a well characterized extract of Bacopa monnieri and it contains several active phytochemical constituents such as bacosides A and B, alkaloids, and saponins. Bacosides A and B [23–25] have been used in the treatment of neurological disorders like insomnia, depression, anxiety, psychosis, and stress [26–28]. Several studies have demonstrated their (bacosides A and B) antiinflammatory, antiepileptic, neuroprotective, and memory enhancing effects [21, 29–31]. The number of studies carried out on the mechanisms of antiinamnesic action of Bacopa monnieri extract indicates that it improves the working memory and cognition in elderly human subjects by reducing plasma acetylcholinesterase activity (AChE) [32]. Evidence from studies on the effects of Bacopa monnieri extract on the scopolamine-induced amnesic mice suggests that it reverses the state of amnesia by significantly improving calmodulin level and by partially attenuating the protein kinase C and pCREB activities [33]. However, literatures on the effects of the Bacopa monnieri extract particularly the CDRI-8 on alterations in the AChE activity and its possible correlation with expression of the NMDA receptor subunit GluN2B especially in various brain regions in animal models of experimental amnesia are lacking.

To investigate above, amnesic mice model was developed by intraperitoneal injection of scopolamine (2 mg/kg BW), examined the mice for their spatial memory impairment by eight-arm radial maze test and studied its possible correlations with altered expression of NMDAR GluN2B subunit in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus using Western blotting and semiquantitative RT-PCR techniques. Further, to examine the neuroprotective effects of CDRI-08 via NMDA receptor, the amnesic mice were treated with standardized dose of CDRI-08 compared with vehicle-treated normal and CDRI-08-treated control mice, separately. Since, scopolamine is a known nonselective muscarinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist, which in turn blocks the effects of acetylcholine, impairs LTP, and induces amnesia in mammals [34], and it has been widely used to induce amnesia in animal models, we also examined alterations in the activity of acetylcholinesterase to validate the scopolamine’s anticholinergic effects which might lead to accumulation of the synaptic acetylcholine content and thereby increase in the activity of acetylcholinesterase. Here, we report that scopolamine-induced amnesia is associated with decline in the expression of NMDA receptor GluN2B subunit in both the brain regions and the CDRI-08 reverses the memory loss by upregulating its expression close to the vehicle-treated normal control mice.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals. Male Swiss strain albino mice of 20 ± 2 weeks were used throughout the experiment and they were maintained in the animal house at 24 ± 2°C with 12 hr light/dark cycle and fed with standard mice feed and water ad libitum. Mice were used as per norms set by animal ethical clearance committee of Banaras Hindu University.

2.2. Chemicals, Drugs, and Antibodies. Chemicals used in experiments were of molecular biology grades and were purchased from Sigma, USA, or Merck, India. The specialized extract of Bacopa monnieri extract containing bacosides A and B (CDRI-08) was obtained from Mr. S. Selvam, Lumen Research Foundation, Chennai, India, as a gift and was suspended in Tween 80 (0.5% v/v). Scopolamine was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, New Delhi, and was dissolved in normal saline. Drugs solutions were freshly prepared at the time of use. Anti-GluN2B primary antibody was obtained from Antibodies Incorporated (Neuromab, UC Davis, USA) and HRP-conjugated secondary antibody raised in goat against anti-mouse primary antibodies was purchased from Genie, Bangalore, India.

2.3. Animal Groups and Drug Treatment Schedule for Acquisition Study. Mice were divided into four groups as shown in Table 1. Each group comprised of 7 mice. Group I (control): mice in this group received oral administration of 0.5%
Twelve 8-arm radial arm maze test daily for three weeks; Group II (Bacopa monnieri extract (CDRI-08) treated): mice in this group were treated daily for one week period as in the control group and subjected to radial arm maze tests. They were further treated by oral administration of CDRI-8 (200 mg/Kg BW) in 0.5% Tween 80 diluted with normal saline as a medium for two weeks and subjected to radial arm maze test daily two hours after the treatment. This group was prepared to study the effects of CDRI-08 on the normal control mice; Group III (scopolamine-treated): mice in this group were treated, with normal saline and 0.5% Tween 80, as in the control group and subjected to radial arm maze test daily for two weeks. Thereafter, these mice were treated with intraperitoneal injection of scopolamine (2 mg/Kg BW in normal saline) followed by oral treatment of 0.5% Tween 80 diluted with normal saline for the third week. These mice were subjected to radial arm maze test daily two hrs after the treatments; Group IV (scopolamine and CDRI-08-treated): mice in this group were treated orally with 0.5% Tween 80 and with intraperitoneal injection of scopolamine as in Group III daily for one week. Thereafter, these mice were treated orally with CDRI-8 (200 mg/Kg BW) daily as in Group II for two weeks and each mouse in the group was subjected to radial arm maze test two hrs after treatments. The time gap of two hrs between drug treatment and the radial arm maze was chosen to avoid any possible alterations in the motor activities of mice compared to 30–90 min time gaps reported in literatures wherein researchers have used 0.5–1.0 mg scopolamine/Kg BW. Thus mice belonging to all four groups were subjected to three weeks of behavioral test on the eight-arm radial maze paradigm equally.

The final tests were performed on the 22nd day as has been described in Section 3.

### 3. Methodology

#### 3.1. Eight-Arm Radial Maze Test

Each behavioral test session for studying acquisition and memory after the vehicle or drug treatment was conducted in standard eight-arm radial maze (RAM) equipment consisting of a central platform of a 25 cm diameter with eight arms of 70 cm (length) × 10 cm (width) × 15 cm (height) each, radiating at equal angle from the central platform. The maze was placed at a fixed position to reduce the variability of each test. In the present study, baited and unbaited arms were fixed throughout the tests. The 1st, 3rd, 5th, and 7th arms were baited (with food) while the 2nd, 4th, 6th, and 8th arms were unbaited (without food). At the very beginning of each test session, each mouse was placed in the central platform of the equipment at the position facing towards the 1st arm. Food-deprived mice were expected to seek specific arms with rewards and subsequently register and retain the memory of each entered arm where food was present. Each mouse was allowed to freely explore and consume food rewards for 3 minutes or until all food rewards of the four baited arms were eaten, which ever occurred first. An entry was recorded every time when the mice placed all paws into the initial part of the arm. The maze was then thoroughly cleaned with 70% alcohol prior to the next test session in order to minimize the effect of residual odors of food from previous tests [35]. The first entry into never-baited arms was scored as a reference memory error (RME), reentry into arms where the food reward had already been eaten was scored as a working memory error (WME), and reentry into unbaited arm is considered reference-working memory errors (RWE) [36, 37].

#### 3.2. Brain Tissue Harvesting and Processing

After the radial arm maze tests were completed on every individual mouse of each experimental set separately, mice of each group were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Whole brain was immediately removed and washed with ice cold normal saline. Prefrontal cortex and hippocampus were dissected out on ice and blotted dry quickly within the folds of blotting paper and pooled and used directly for the neurochemical studies or stored frozen at −70°C.

#### 3.3. Assay of Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) Activity

AChE activity was measured using modified Ellman’s colorimetric method [38, 39]. Briefly, hippocampal and prefrontal cortical tissue of brain were quickly homogenized in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, separately. The acetylcholinesterase activity was measured by adding an artificial substrate analog of acetylcholine, acetyltiocholine (ATC) for every two min.
Thiocholine released because of the cleavage of ATC by AChE is allowed to react with the –SH group of the reagent 5, 5'-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB), which is reduced to thionitrobenzoic acid, a yellow colored anion with an absorbance maxima at 412 nm. The molar extinction coefficient of the thionitrobenzoic acid was taken as 1.36 × 10^4/M/cm. The concentration of thionitrobenzoic acid was determined using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer and the AChE activity was calculated using the formula: 

\[ R = \frac{5.74 \times 10^4 \times X/A}{\text{CO} \times \text{g/mL}} \]

where \( R \) = rate in moles of substrate hydrolyzed/min/g wet wt of tissue; \( A \) = change in absorbance/min; and \( CO \) = original concentration of the tissue (mg/mL).

3.4. Prefrontal Cortex and Hippocampal Lysate Preparation. The prefrontal or hippocampus tissue was homogenized in TEEN buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 150 mM NaCl) supplemented with 2 mM PMSF and 1 μg/mL protease inhibitor cocktail. Thereafter, the homogenate was centrifuged at 5000 x g. The resulting supernatant was collected and aliquoted in small fractions. The total protein content in the lysate was estimated by Bradford method [40]. Aliquots were directly used for further experiment or stored at −70°C.

3.5. Western Blotting. The prefrontal or hippocampal lysate was boiled at 100°C for 5 min in SDS containing sample loading buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 0.2% β-mercaptoethanol, 2% SDS, 20% glycerol) and centrifuged at 10000 x g for 20 min. The supernatant was carefully collected. 50 μg total protein was resolved on 7.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel for 20 min. The supernatant was carefully collected. 50 μg total protein was resolved on 7.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel as described earlier [41]. Thereafter, proteins from the gel were immobilized onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane by wet transfer method. To ensure the transfer of proteins, the membrane was stained with Ponceau-S. The membrane was washed in 1x phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and was blocked with 5% nonfat milk powder dissolved PBS for 4 h at RT. Thereafter, the membrane was incubated with anti-GluN2B antibody (1:2000) overnight and washed for 5 min in PBST (PBS containing 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 70).

3.6. Isolation of Total RNA. Total RNA from the prefrontal cortex or hippocampus was isolated using TRI reagent (Sigma, USA) following the suppliers’ manual. The aqueous phase was collected and mixed with equal volume (v/v) of isopropanol and precipitated at −70°C. The RNA pellet was collected, washed with ice-chilled 70% ethanol, and dissolved in diethylpyrocarbonate- (DEPC-) treated water. Extracted RNA was treated with DNase-I (DNA-free, Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines to remove any DNA contamination. RNA content was determined by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm using UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Integrity of the RNA samples was checked by 1% formaldehyde agarose gel electrophoresis [41].

3.7. Semiquantitative RT-PCR. cDNA from total RNA was synthesized by mixing 2 μg of the DNA-free total RNA and 200 ng random hexamer primers (MBI Fermentas, USA) in 11 μL reaction volume and incubating the whole mix at 70°C for 5 min. Thereafter, 2 μL of 5x reaction buffer, 2 μL of 10 mM dNTP mix, and 20 μL of RNase inhibitor (Ribolock, MBI Fermentas, USA) were added, and the volume was made up to 19 μL. The tube was incubated for 5 min at 25°C, and 200 μL of M-MuLV reverse transcriptase (RT) (New England Biolabs) was added. Further, the tube was incubated for 10 min at 25°C initially and then at 42°C for 1 h in the Thermal Cycler (G-Storm, UK). The reaction was terminated by heating the reaction mix at 70°C for 10 min followed by its incubation at 4°C. PCR reactions were carried out in a 25 μL reaction mixture containing 2 μL cDNA, 1x Taq polymerase buffer with MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of each dNTP (MBI Fermentas, USA), 1.0 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Banglore Genei, India), and 10 pmol of appropriate primers for GluN2B (F-CTGGAT-TCTTCATTAGTGACG, R-CACGAGGATGACGGCA- G) and β-actin (F-ATCGTGGGCCGCTCTAGGCACC, R-CTGTATCTGTTGCAGTCATG) in Thermal Cycler (G-Strom, UK) for 28 cycles. Each PCR amplified product was individually mixed with 6x loading dye (30% glycerol, 0.25% bromophenol blue, and 0.25% xylene cyanol) and were resolved separately by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis using a tank buffer 1x TAE buffer (40 mM Tris, 40 mM acetic acid, and 1 mM EDTA) containing ethidium bromide. The DNA bands were visualized in UV transilluminator and images of the gel were captured. The image was densitometrically scanned separately and quantified using Fluorchem software, version 2.0 (Alpha Innotech, USA). Integrated density value (IDV) of the GluN2B specific DNA band was normalized with IDV of the β-actin DNA band to obtain relative density value (RDV).

3.8. Statistical Analysis. All the neurochemical experiments were repeated at least three times taking a batch of 6-7 mice per experimental group. The RDV data was presented as bar diagram showing mean ± SEM and the data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA between experimental groups followed by post hoc Bonferroni multiple comparison tests using two-tailed P values with SPSS-16. The P values < 0.05 were taken as significant. Performance of mice from each experimental group on the radial arm maze test for the analysis of various memory types during retention test was analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni tests and learning curves for percent correct memory were analyzed as trial blocks for three trials (one trial block/day).
Figure 1: Radial arm maze analysis of acquisition by mice during training period. Mouse of each experimental group was individually trained on the maze for searching food and time spent; reference memory errors, working memory errors, and reference-working memory errors were recorded. Graphs represent average value ± SEM of above parameters during acquisition trials. Data were analyzed by repetitive measures ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s post hoc tests. ∗, 𝑃 < 0.05 for mice groups in comparison to control within same day; #, 𝑃 < 0.05 for mice groupsonaparticulardayincomparisontothatmicewithingroupsonday1. (a) Time taken to retrieve hidden food in radial arm maze. (b) and (c) Reference memory errors and working memory errors.

4. Results

4.1. Acquisition Processes Is Not Affected by Tween 80 and Normal Saline. To understand whether Tween 80 or normal saline per se have any impact on the acquisition and memory processes, the control mice were subjected to radial arm maze test. Figure 1 reveals the results of acquisition and memory during vehicle or the drug treatment period at the level of latency time in general, and reference and working memory at the levels they incorporate errors while entering in the nonbaited and baited arms of the RAM, respectively. As is evident from Figure 1(a), treatment of mice with the drug vehicle, that is, Tween 80 or normal saline for various durations, did not affect the learning and memory processes. The behavioral analysis data reveal that all the mice in control set were able to learn during training period from day 1 in the first week till day 21 in the third week. Tween 80 and normal saline did not affect their abilities of learning and memory at the level of latency time and incorporation of errors.

4.2. Bacopa monnieri Extract Reverses Learning Defects and Corrects the Scopolamine-Induced Spatial Memory Loss. It is evident from the behavioral data obtained from radial arm maze test as shown in Figures 1(a), 1(b), and 1(c) that scopolamine treatment leads to significant decline in the acquisition and Bacopa monnieri extract (CDRI-08) treatment significantly improved it towards that in the normal control mice.

Figure 2(a) shows track plot report of mice of different experimental groups. It reveals that CDRI-08-treated mice showed fewer errors and were able to track the food more accurately as compared to control group. However, the scopolamine-treated mice experienced problems in locating the hidden food pellet with significantly more errors in above process. CDRI-08-treated mice significantly improved their performance with fewer errors nearer to the normal control. The CDRI-08 treatment to amnesic mice restored the memory for locating food pellets compared to scopolamine-treated mice. Our Any Maze Software Analysis data on the eight-arm radial maze test reveal that scopolamine-treated mice exhibit significant impairment in memory retrieval (amnesia) compared to that in the normal control mice (𝑃 < 0.01). Bacopa monnieri extract CDRI-08 treatment reverses the condition of scopolamine-induced amnesia (𝑃 < 0.01). It was also observed that mice treated with CDRI-08 alone also showed significant improvement in the memory retention (𝑃 < 0.01) (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)).

Figure 3 reveals alterations in various memory forms such as working memory, reference memory, and reference-working memory on the 22nd day based on producing errors during entries in the baited arms (in which the food reward
Figure 2: Radial arm maze analysis of spatial memory of mice of control and experimental groups: track record of movement mice in radial arms (a). Bar diagram showing the latency time for retrieving the hidden food (b). Mouse of each group was individually subjected to radial arm maze test and the time taken for retrieving food was recorded. Data represents mean ± SEM. C, vehicle-treated control; BME, *Bacopa monnieri* extract (CDRI-08) treated (200 mg/Kg/BW); SC, scopolamine-treated (2 mg/Kg BW); SC + BME, scopolamine-treated mice treated with CDRI-08. * and #, *P* < 0.05 and ## and ***, *P* < 0.01 were considered significant. *, comparison between control and other groups, and #, comparison between SC and other groups.

was kept) or nonbaited arms (where there was no food reward). Figure 3(a) shows errors in reference memory shown by the mice of different groups. Our observations reveal that the scopolamine-treated amnesic mice commit significantly more errors in retrieving the reference memory as compared to that in the normal control mice (*P* < 0.05). It suggests that these mice lose the ability to remember the exact location of food in respect of the arms which were not baited. Mice of control group treated with CDRI-08 produced significantly fewer reference memory errors in comparison to normal control mice (*P* < 0.01). The scopolamine-treated mice (amnesic) after the treatment with CDRI-08 produced significantly less number of errors while retrieving the reference memory during location of food (*P* < 0.05). Scopolamine was found also to significantly reduce the working memory in mice compared to normal control (*P* < 0.05). CDRI-08 alone was found to improve the working memory significantly compared to its effect on the normal control mice (*P* < 0.05). Scopolamine-treated amnesic mice after the treatment of CDRI-08 showed significantly improved performance on the maze test (*P* < 0.05) (Figure 3(b)).

Figure 3(c) shows alterations in reference-working memory in mice belonging to different experimental groups. Our data suggest that the scopolamine treatment of mice leads to significant decline in the RWM compared to that in the normal control mice. Significant improvement in the reference-working memory (RWM) was observed in amnesic mice after treatment with CDRI-08 (*P* < 0.05). It was observed that the CDRI-08 treatment alone was also able to boost the RWM compared to that in the normal control mice (*P* < 0.01). Thus the quantitative analysis of RAM behavior data reveals that *Bacopa monnieri* extract (CDRI-08) does have positive effects on the normal control mice at above scales of learning and memory and it has altogether neuroprotective effects. Further, the treatment of scopolamine-induced mice with *Bacopa monnieri* extract (CDRI-08) has a precognitive effect on learning and memory lost due to scopolamine-induced amnesia.

4.3. Scopolamine Enhances the AChE Activity in Prefrontal Cortex and Hippocampus and *Bacopa monnieri* Extract (CDRI-08) Reverses This Effect. Our observations on the assay of AChE activity reveal that its activity is significantly
increased in the prefrontal cortex of scopolamine-treated amnesic mice when compared with that in the normal control mice ($P < 0.05$). CDRI-08 treatment to amnesic mice results in significant decline in the AChE activity and the CDRI-08 treatment when given to normal control mice; the AChE activity is significantly reduced ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 4(a)). Figure 4(b) shows the patterns of AChE activities in the hippocampus of mice of different experimental groups. Scopolamine-treated mice exhibited significant increase in the AChE activity ($P < 0.05$) compared to that in the hippocampus of the normal control mice. CDRI-08 treatment of the amnesic mice significantly decreases the AChE activity toward that in the normal control mice ($P < 0.05$). Also, the CDRI-08 alone significantly decreases the activity in the hippocampus when compared to that in the normal control mice ($P < 0.01$). 

4.4. Scopolamine Downregulates the Expression of GluN2B in Prefrontal Cortex and Hippocampus and Bacopa monnieri Extract (CDRI-08) Recovers It towards Normal. Our immunoblot data reveals that the scopolamine treatment significantly downregulates the level of GluN2B subunit expression in the prefrontal cortex ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 5(a)) and the hippocampus when compared to that in the normal control mice ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 6(a)). CDRI-08 treatment to scopolamine-treated (amnesic mice) significantly upregulates the expression of GluN2B subunit toward that in the normal control mice in both the brain regions. Further, the CDRI-08 alone also is found to significantly upregulate the expression of the GluN2B in the prefrontal cortex as well as hippocampus ($P < 0.01$) (Figures 5(a) and 6(a)). Our semiquantitative RT-PCR data on the expression of GluN2B transcript largely resembles
with those of our immunoblot data for both the prefrontal cortex and the hippocampus (Figures 5(b) and 6(b)).

5. Discussion

We have studied whether expression of GluN2B in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus of amnesic mouse model is altered in order to understand the mechanisms of scopolamine-induced amnesia involving one of the ionotropic glutamate receptors such as NMDA receptors and effects of *Bacopa monnieri* extract CDRI-08 during recovery of memory loss in mice. Also, we have investigated the effects of CDRI-08 on the expression on this subunit of the NMDA receptor as it plays important role in synaptic plasticity which underlies learning and memory. Since CDRI-08 has been in use as memory booster drug, we checked its role in the recovery of impaired learning and memory due to amnesia using eight-arm radial maze paradigm. We have used scopolamine for inducing amnesia in mice in the current study. As the scopolamine is a muscarinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist, it may lead to accumulation of acetylcholine (ACh) in the cholinergic synapse and this in turn is likely to increase the activity of the acetylcholinesterase and thereby it might affect the neuronal transmission which may in turn affect the memory processes. Therefore, to validate this, we assessed the AChE activity in the prefrontal cortex and the hippocampus. Since CDRI-08 is in use for boosting the memory in normal human subjects, we also examined its direct effects on the normal control mice in addition to above.

Our radial arm maze (RAM) data from the acquisition experiment suggest that mice were able to learn well during the training period (Figure 1) and scopolamine treatment leads to decline in the learning for reference, working, and reference-working memory. Also, it suggests that mice treated with scopolamine may develop impairments in both working and reference memory by affecting the prefrontal cortex, which may later on form defective long-term memory in the hippocampus. This could be attributed to defective synaptic plasticity due to inactivation of acetylcholine receptor activity and/or altered NMDA receptors on the postsynaptic density in prefrontal cortex or the hippocampus. Mode of scopolamine action is known to block the muscarinic acetylcholine receptors acetylcholine receptors [42, 43] which ultimately lead to profound deficits in attention and memory by inhibiting cholinergic neurotransmission. Our data are consistent with the scopolamine-induced deficiency in the spatial memory [29]. The other possibility of memory deficit may be due to scopolamine-induced oxidative stress in the brain [44]. Available evidence also suggests that the memory deficiency might be due to effects of scopolamine in blocking NMDA receptors [34]. However, our data do not directly support this function of the scopolamine but it indicates their association.

Acetylcholine level is under the dynamic regulation of an enzyme AChE at the synapse [45]. Numerous studies have implicated the importance of acetylcholine in higher brain functions like learning and memory [46–48]. Alterations in the acetylcholine metabolism are also involved in various neuropathological conditions like mild cognitive impairment (MCI), Alzheimer’s disease (AD), and dementia [49, 50]. Decreased levels of acetylcholine at the synaptic cleft have been implicated in the loss of synaptic architecture leading to state of amnesia in rodents [51]. In our study, activity of AChE was found to be elevated in scopolamine-treated group which suggests a rapid breakdown of the acetylcholine which might lead to decline in its level in the synapse which may further be correlated with decline in various memory types. The CDRI-08 treatment was found to reverse the level of AChE which further was correlated with recovery of memory close to that in the normal control mice. The CDRI-08 alone also leads to a significant decline in the AChE activity. This suggests that CDRI-08 effect on memory improvement is via its action on the AChE. Our study confirms the neuroprotective role of
CDRI-08 in recovering the memory loss due to amnesia induced by scopolamine treatment. The precise mechanism of CDRI-08 effects on the regulation of AChE and memory formation as well as memory recovery process, however, is yet to be understood. Nonetheless, recent study on schizophrenic human subjects supports our finding on the modulation of cholinergic neuronal activities by CDRI-08 [32]. Over the past several decades, plethora of natural products like coumarins, flavonoids, and stilbenes have been in use for the treatment of cognitive dysfunctions by inhibiting AChE [52–54]. Our findings on the recovery of memory loss by Bacopa monnieri extract CDRI-08 also suggest that the CDRI-08 protects the memory loss or improves the cognitive functions of the brain abrogated by scopolamine by inhibiting the AChE activity in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus. The CDRI-08 effect may also be brought by altering the choline acetyltransferase (CAT) activity and thereby the level of acetylcholine in the synapse. Our study requires to be further supplemented with a close examination of alterations in the CAT activity due to CDRI-08 which may provide an insight into its mechanism of action on the improvement of memory in either normal or amnesic subjects.

NMDA receptors are widely concentrated in the cortical region of brain and in hippocampus. Recent studies have correlated NMDA receptor GluN2B subunit with varieties of learning and memory functions in the hippocampus-dependent spatial memory [9, 55]. Loss of NMDA receptors (NMDARs) has been implicated in long-term depression (LTD), loss of synaptic plasticity, learning, memory, and progression of various neuropathological conditions [56, 57]. In our study, we observed that scopolamine-induced forgetfulness in mice, as evidenced by our study on behavioral analysis by radial arm maze test, is correlated with decline in expression of the GluN2B subunit in the prefrontal cortex as well as hippocampus. This may further be associated with decline in cholinergic function along with alterations in the GluN2B expression resulting into loss of memory reflected by significant increase in the reference, working, and reference-working memory errors by amnesic mice while performing on the radial arm maze. Further, treatment of scopolamine-induced amnesic mice with CDRI-08 upregulates the expression of GluN2B in both the brain structures which is associated with enhancement of various memory types. Also, the CDRI-08 alone has ability to elevate the expression of GluN2B subunit compared to the normal control mice. In a recent study, Krishnakumar et al. also demonstrated a significant decline in the expression of GluN2B in the cerebral cortex of pilocarpine-induced epileptic rats which was reversed by the treatment of Bacopa monnieri extract [58]. Our data do suggest that CDRI-08 is highly effective in improving
and recovering the memory loss/deficiency which might be by positively regulating the synaptic plasticity, which would have been otherwise damaged by scopolamine leading to memory loss. Our expression study data, which corresponds to behavioral data on the performance of mice for various memory types, clearly suggests the use of CDRI-08 with its possible mechanism of action in restoration of memory loss. However, Bacopa monnieri extract has been shown to reverse the memory loss by decreasing the density of NMDAR in the prefrontal cortex and CA1 neuronal region of the hippocampus in phencyclidine-induced cognitive deficit rat model where its density was elevated during memory impairment [59]. Thus it is evident that CDRI-08 induced mechanisms for the recovery of memory loss (amnesia) involves alterations in the level of NMDAR. Role of CDRI-08 during recovery of memory loss due to scopolamine-induced amnesia by regulating the other neuronal systems such as GABAergic system [60] and involvement of various glutamate transporters and their regulation by CDRI-08 may also be importantly involved [59].

Based on the behavioral and molecular analysis, our study suggests that scopolamine-induced amnesia in mice is mediated via increase in the acetylcholinesterase activity and decrease in the population of NMDA type glutamate receptor in the glutamatergic synapse. Also, our study provides a molecular basis of the possible therapeutic action of a special Bacopa monnieri extract, CDRI-08, in the recovery of the scopolamine-induced memory deficit and its role in enhancing the levels of learning and memory in mice. However, to ascertain the precise role of NMDA receptor types and AChE in CDRI-08-mediated modulation of synaptic plasticity, neuronal cell density, dendritic arborization, dendritic spine density and their morphological aspects, and so forth is required to be thoroughly addressed. A thorough examination of the expression of other subunits of NMDAR such as GluN1, GluN2A, their trafficking [61], and assay of ChAT activity will be required to ascertain the mechanisms of action of the bacosides A and B rich CDRI-08 on the glutamatergic and cholinergic system, respectively, during its action on improvement of memory.
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