Activation-Induced Cytidine Deaminase Does Not Impact Murine Meiotic Recombination

Catarina S. Cortesao, Raquel F. Freitas, and Vasco M. Barreto
Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, 2780-156 Oeiras, Portugal

ABSTRACT Activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) was first described as the triggering enzyme of the B-cell-specific reactions that edit the immunoglobulin genes, namely somatic hypermutation, gene conversion, and class switch recombination. Over the years, AID was also detected in cells other than lymphocytes, and it has been assigned additional roles in the innate defense against transforming retroviruses, in retrotransposition restriction and in DNA demethylation. Notably, AID expression was found in germline tissues, and in heterologous systems it can induce the double-strand breaks required for the initiation of meiotic recombination and proper gamete formation. However, because AID-deficient mice are fully fertile, the molecule is not essential for meiosis. Thus, the remaining question that we addressed here is whether AID influences the frequency of meiotic recombination in mice. We measured the recombination events in the meiosis of male and female mice F1 hybrids of C57BL/6J and BALB/c, in Aicda+/+ and Aicda−/− background by using a panel of single-nucleotide polymorphisms that distinguishes C57BL/6J from BALB/c genome across the 19 autosomes. In agreement with the literature, we found that the frequency of recombination in the female germline was greater than in male germline, both in the Aicda+/+ and Aicda−/− backgrounds. No statistical difference was found in the average recombination events between Aicda+/+ and Aicda−/− animals, either in females or males. In addition, the recombination frequencies between single-nucleotide polymorphisms flanking the immunoglobulin heavy and immunoglobulin kappa loci was also not different. We conclude that AID has a minor impact, if any, on the overall frequency of meiotic recombination.
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Genomes can be diversified through the recombination of genetic material. One example is the meiotic recombination that occurs during the process in which a diploid cell gives rise to haploid gametes (Gerton and Hawley 2005). In meiosis, two consecutive divisions segregate first the homologous chromosomes and then the sister chromatids. The events leading to a crossover start in prophase I, with the pairing of the homologous chromosomes in leptotene, which progresses through zygotene and is completed in pachytene. Subsequently, synapsis between the chromosomal axes of the paired homologous chromosomes is achieved by the formation of the synaptonemal complex. The critical enzyme for meiotic recombination is a topoisomerase II-like enzyme, Spo11, which introduces double-strand breaks (DSBs) throughout the genomic DNA in the leptotene to zygote transition (Keeney et al. 1999; Romanienko and Camerini-Otero 1999; Inagaki et al. 2010) and in mammals is also involved in the formation of the synopsis (Baudat et al. 2000; Romanienko and Camerini-Otero 2000). Meiotic DSBs are not distributed at random in the genome but rather concentrated in hotspots (Smagulova et al. 2011).

In vertebrates, additional examples of genome diversification through recombination are found in the antigen receptor loci of lymphocytes, and most notably in the immunoglobulin genes of B-cells. During V(D)J recombination in developing lymphocytes, the RAG1/RAG2 complex assembles different sequence modules to produce a rearranged immunoglobulin, thus creating cells with unique specificities (Tonegawa 1983). When activated, these cells can then change the constant region of the antibody and its effector function through a reaction termed class switch recombination. The enzyme that triggers such recombination is activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID or Aicda gene), a molecule that is also responsible for further editing of the rearranged immunoglobulin...
genes through somatic hypermutation and gene conversion (Muramatsu et al. 2000; Revy et al. 2000; Arakawa et al. 2002; Harris et al. 2002). The triggering event in all AID-dependent reactions is the demethylation of cytidines in the exposed single strands of DNA of the transcription bubble, which become uracils and will eventually lead to the fixation of point mutations (somatic hypermutation), the introduction of a germline-encoded patch of nucleotides (gene conversion) or to the generation of DSBs (class switch recombination) (Petersen-Mahrt et al. 2002).

Although the physiological role of AID in the context of antibody formation and also its oncogenic potential have been thoroughly described, some reports suggest that the role of this enzyme is not confined to adaptive immunity (reviewed in Barreto and Magor 2011). AID transcripts were found in cells other than lymphocytes, most notably in mouse primordial germ cells and un-fertilized oocytes (Morgan et al. 2004), and in testicular tissue from human biopsies (Schreck et al. 2006). It has been proposed that AID shares a role in retrotransposition restriction with other members of the AID/APOBEC family, but it has not been shown that AID plays such a role in the germline or early embryogenesis (MacDuff et al. 2009). It has also been observed that Aicda<sup>−/−</sup> mice have increased levels of methylated DNA in the primordial germ cells (Popp et al. 2010). This finding is consistent with the original idea of Morgan et al. (2004) that AID may function as a demethylase and with other reports of AID-dependent DNA demethylation (Rai et al. 2008; Bhutani et al. 2010; Guo et al. 2011), although 5-methylcytosine is a poor substrate for AID in vitro (Larijani et al. 2005; Wijesinghe and Bhagwat 2012). Finally, based on the observation that the expression of AID in Schizosaccharomyces pombe and Caenorhabditis elegans partially rescues the rec124 and spo11-deficient phenotypes, respectively, it has been proposed that AID may contribute to meiotic recombination (Pauklin et al. 2009), but this hypothesis has not been tested in vivo.

Besides the rescue experiment suggesting that AID may introduce DSBs that lead to crossover events between homologous chromosomes, additional arguments strengthen the hypothesis that this cytidine deaminase could have a role in meiotic recombination: (1) AID was shown to mutate other regions of the genome besides the antigen receptor loci, making it a potential contributor to the overall frequency of meiotic recombination (Chiarle et al. 2011; Klein et al. 2011); (2) AID is more easily detected in oocytes than in the male germ line (Morgan et al. 2004) and the AID-dependent demethylation in the primordial germ cells is stronger in females (Popp et al. 2010), which correlates with the known observation that meiotic recombination levels are greater in female mice than in males (Shifman et al. 2006); (3) the reactions involving AID and Spo11 share a number of features, from the H3k4me3 mark in the sequences where the DSB will be introduced to the subsequent recruitment of DNA repair pathways (Begum and Honjo 2012). However, given that meiotic recombination is an unavoidable step in gamete formation and that, unlike Spo11<sup>/−/−</sup> mice (Romanienko and Camerini-Otero 2000), Aicda<sup>−/−</sup> mice are fully fertile, AID does not have a fundamental role in meiosis. Thus, the question that we explore here is whether AID functions as a modifier that influences the frequency of meiotic recombination in mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

Aicda<sup>+/−</sup> mice in C57BL/6J genetic background (B6.Aicda<sup>+/−</sup>, courtesy of Dr. Cristina Rada), Aicda<sup>+/−</sup> in BALB/c genetic background (BA.Aicda<sup>+/−</sup>, courtesy of Dr. Almudena Ramiro), F1 offspring, and C57BL/6J (received from The Jackson Laboratory and bred in the Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência mouse facility) were housed and handled in accordance with the Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência ethical committee.

Meiotic recombination frequency detection and analysis

Sample selection: B6.Aicda<sup>+/−</sup> were crossed with BA.Aicda<sup>+/−</sup> to generate F1.Aicda<sup>+/−</sup> and F1.Aicda<sup>+/+</sup> littermates, confirmed by standard genotyping polymerase chain reaction (PCR). From these animals, 18 stable reciprocal matings were set by crossing F1.Aicda<sup>−/−</sup> or F1.Aicda<sup>+/−</sup> with C57BL/6J (Supporting Information, Figure S1A). From the offspring of these reciprocal matings, tail biopsies were frozen for DNA extraction. Of these, 700 samples of DNA were extracted by isopropanol (Sigma-Aldrich) precipitation, and the origin and quality of DNA was confirmed by regular genotyping PCR. DNA from original mating pairs B6.Aicda<sup>+/−</sup> and BA.Aicda<sup>+/−</sup> were used as controls for single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping (B6. Aicda<sup>+/−</sup> has 98% of C57BL/6J genetic background (n = 8); and BA. Aicda<sup>+/−</sup> has 97.4% of BALB/c genetic background (n = 6)). After SNP genotyping, only samples with more than 90% of detectable genotype signal (call) were considered for analysis.

SNP selection and genotyping: A panel of 148 SNPs that distinguish between C57BL/6J and BALB/c genetic backgrounds was selected across the 19 autosomes to be evenly spaced with a physical distance of approximately 17 Mbp (based on the NCBI build36) between 2 consecutive SNPs on the same chromosome, using online tools (NCBI, MGI and Applied Biosystems), regardless of function (Table S1, Figure S1B). Multiplex SNP assays were designed using the Sequenom Platform Assay Design (SNP primers were ordered from Metabion, Germany), and SNP genotyping was performed on the Sequenom massARRAY iPLEX platform (Sequenom, San Diego, CA) using multiplexed amplification followed by mass-spectrometric product separation.

From the initial panel, only SNPs with more than 95% of detectable genotype signal (call) and SNPs with no distortion from the expected 50% inheritance of each allele on a 99% confidence interval were considered for analysis (Table S1). A total of 17 SNP/sample pairs passed the aforementioned filters but had no detectable genotype signal. To control for the effect of this absent data, we considered two extreme scenarios: recombination in all locations vs. no recombination in all locations; and overall recombination frequencies were calculated for the two extreme scenarios (Figure S2). Given that the statistical differences were similar in both, the values considered for further analysis were the ones substituted in a conservative manner (no recombination in that location). After applying these filters, 130 SNPs were used to distinguish between C57BL/6J from BALB/c genome, across the 19 autosomes with an average distance of 18.57 Mbp between 2 consecutive SNPs on the same chromosome (range, 6.93–74.98 Mbp) and a minimum resolution power of 34.62 Mbp (Table 1).

Meiotic recombination frequencies: Meiotic recombination events occurring during meiosis of F1.Aicda<sup>−/−</sup> or F1.Aicda<sup>+/−</sup> were measured in the DNA of their offspring by crossing either F1 with C57BL/6J. In this way, for the expected signal per SNP genotype, one of the alleles always referred to the C57BL/6J parent and the other allele to the F1, which could either be of C57BL/6J or BALB/c origin. Thus, recombination events were defined as a change of SNP genotype signal from homozygous C57BL/6J to heterozygous or vice-versa between two consecutive SNPs on the same chromosome. Meiotic recombination frequencies were calculated using the genotyping results from 130 SNPs in 314 samples divided in four analysis groups corresponding to the offspring of the following matings: females F1.Aicda<sup>−/−</sup> with...
male C57BL/6J (group termed FKO; n = 79); females F1.Aicda+/- with male C57BL/6J (group termed FWT; n = 79); females C57BL/6J with males F1.Aicda+/- (group termed MWT; n = 78). For testicular cell suspension, as previously described (Bastos et al. 2005), testes were meshed and seminiferous tubules were dissociated by collagenase I digestion (100 U/mL; Invitrogen) for 30 min at 32 °C in Solution-1 [HBSS (Invitrogen) supplemented with 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.2), 1.2 mM MgSO4.7 H2O, 1.3 mM CaCl2.2 H2O, 6.6 mM sodium pyruvate, and 0.05% lactate]. The cell suspension was then filtered through a 70-μm nylon mesh, centrifuged for 10 min at 400g, 4 °C, and the pellet was carefully resuspended in Solution-2 [Solution-1 with L-glutamine (Invitrogen) and 1% fetal bovine serum (PAA Laboratories, Pasching, Austria)] and prepared for sorting. From the same mice, epididymis and vas deferens were removed to a Petri dish with Solution-1. Using forceps, the epididymis and vas deferens were pressed and meshed to release mature sperm cells. Cells were then washed, resuspended in Solution-2, counted, and processed for RNA extraction. To discriminate and sort subpopulations of testicular sperm cells according to DNA content, Hoechst 33342 (Hoe; Invitrogen)—a vital dye that binds to DNA—was used as previously described (Bastos et al. 2005). For the staining, 20 million cells were incubated with 5 μg/mL Hoe in 10 mL of Solution-2 for 90 min at 32 °C. Cells were then put on ice for at least 5 min, centrifuged for 15 min at 400g, 4 °C, and the pellet was carefully resuspended in Solution-2 with propidium iodide (PI; 1 μg/mL; Invitrogen) to exclude dead cells.

### Table 1 Panel of 130 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) across the 19 autosomes

| Chr. Size, Mbp* | No. SNPs | Distance between SNPs, Mbpb | Minimal Distance, Mbc | Maximal Distance, Mbp* | Physical Length, Mbp* |
|----------------|----------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1              | 197      | 13                           | 15.62                | 8.69                   | 30.36                | 30.36                |
| 2              | 182      | 7                            | 28.93                | 9.93                   | 74.98                | 74.98                |
| 3              | 160      | 8                            | 20.78                | 11.37                  | 46.28                | 46.28                |
| 4              | 156      | 9                            | 18.08                | 11.81                  | 31.86                | 31.86                |
| 5              | 153      | 9                            | 17.89                | 8.17                   | 44.27                | 44.27                |
| 6              | 150      | 6                            | 27.31                | 12.45                  | 61.62                | 61.62                |
| 7              | 153      | 9                            | 16.59                | 12.31                  | 33.07                | 33.07                |
| 8              | 132      | 9                            | 14.71                | 9.69                   | 20.33                | 20.33                |
| 9              | 124      | 6                            | 16.56                | 10.73                  | 30.78                | 30.78                |
| 10             | 130      | 5                            | 26.05                | 13.03                  | 48.46                | 48.46                |
| 11             | 122      | 7                            | 18.62                | 6.93                   | 30.59                | 30.59                |
| 12             | 121      | 4                            | 23.64                | 12.64                  | 31.87                | 31.87                |
| 13             | 120      | 7                            | 17.32                | 13.58                  | 28.97                | 28.97                |
| 14             | 125      | 8                            | 13.91                | 8.98                   | 20.84                | 20.84                |
| 15             | 103      | 5                            | 15.12                | 12.74                  | 16.28                | 16.28                |
| 16             | 98       | 5                            | 17.54                | 10.62                  | 30.33                | 30.33                |
| 17             | 95       | 5                            | 21.05                | 7.05                   | 30.49                | 30.49                |
| 18             | 91       | 4                            | 14.17                | 11.89                  | 16.51                | 16.51                |
| 19             | 61       | 4                            | 17.15                | 7.97                   | 29.87                | 29.87                |
| Total          |          | 2473                         | 130                  |                        | 2061.0               |                      |

Average 18.57 10.56 34.62

---

*Reported chromosome size in National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI).

*b Distance between two consecutive SNPs, averaged for all SNPs per chromosome.

*c Minimal distance between two consecutive SNP per chromosome.

*d Maximal distance between two consecutive SNP per chromosome.

*e The distance in Mbp between the first and the last SNP.

Variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) sequencing: To test meiotic recombination frequencies flanking the IgH locus in chromosome 12, recombination frequencies were calculated using genotyping results from SNPs approximately at position 108 Mbp and using PCR results from a VNTR sequence that distinguishes C57BL/6J from BALB/c genome approximately at position 116 Mbp, assayed for offspring of FWT (n = 68), FKO (n = 67), MWT (n = 68), and MKO (n = 67). For this, PCR standard procedures with primers D12Mit134 (DNA segment, Chr 12, Massachusetts Institute of Technology 134)_F: 5’-CTATCTACACAAACTCTTCCTGGG-3’ and D12Mit134_R: 5’-ACTCAGTCCAAACATATAAGATGC-3’ were used.

Sorting of subpopulations of cells according to DNA content in spermatogenesis

Sample preparation and staining: Mouse testes were surgically removed from 8- to 12-wk-old B6.Aicda+/+ (n = 3) and B6.Aicda-/- (n = 3). For testicular cell suspension, as previously described (Bastos et al. 2005), testes were meshed and seminiferous tubules were dissociated by collagenase I digestion (100 U/mL; Invitrogen) for 30 min at 32 °C in Solution-1 [HBSS (Invitrogen) supplemented with 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.2), 1.2 mM MgSO4.7 H2O, 1.3 mM CaCl2.2 H2O, 6.6 mM sodium pyruvate, and 0.05% lactate]. The cell suspension was then filtered through a 70-μm nylon mesh, centrifuged for 10 min at 400g, 4 °C, and the pellet was carefully resuspended in Solution-2 [Solution-1 with L-glutamine (Invitrogen) and 1% fetal bovine serum (PAA Laboratories, Pasching, Austria)] and prepared for sorting. From the same mice, epididymis and vas deferens were removed to a Petri dish with Solution-1. Using forceps, the epididymis and vas deferens were pressed and meshed to release mature sperm cells. Cells were then washed, resuspended in Solution-2, counted, and processed for RNA extraction. To discriminate and sort subpopulations of testicular sperm cells according to DNA content, Hoechst 33342 (Hoe; Invitrogen)—a vital dye that binds to DNA—was used as previously described (Bastos et al. 2005). For the staining, 20 million cells were incubated with 5 μg/mL Hoe in 10 mL of Solution-2 for 90 min at 32 °C. Cells were then put on ice for at least 5 min, centrifuged for 15 min at 400g, 4 °C, and the pellet was carefully resuspended in Solution-2 with propidium iodide (PI; 1 μg/mL; Invitrogen) to exclude dead cells.

Analysis and sorting: Analysis and sorting was performed on a MoFlo (Beckman Coulter) equipped with a Coherent Innova 190C argon laser tuned to multiline UV (330–360 nm, 60-mW output) to excite Hoe and a 488-nm Coherent Sapphire 488-200 CDRH laser (140-mW output) for forward and side scatter as well as for PI excitation. A 505-nm long-pass dichroic mirror (505DCXR; Chroma) was used to separate blue from red Hoe fluorescence and to direct emitted light to different detectors. Hoe blue was collected using a 440/20-nm band filter (Z440/20X; Chroma) and Hoe red fluorescence with a 645-nm band filter (Z645/20X; Chroma).
long pass filter (HQ645LP; Chroma). Fluorescence from PI was detected using a 670/40-nm band pass filter (D670/40; Chroma). Sorting was performed with a 70-µm nozzle at 414 kPa (60 psi) and with a ~96 kHz Drop Drive frequency. Purity of sorted populations was assessed by analysis of sorted populations by flow cytometry immediately after the sort and also by analysis of DNA content in fixed sorted cells by PI incorporation. In summary, samples of different sperm cell populations were fixed with 80% ethanol and incubated with PI (30 µg/mL) and RNase (30 µg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) in phosphate buffered saline (Invitrogen) to check DNA content (Figure S4, A and B). Flow cytometric analysis was performed on a FACScan (Becton Dickinson). Analysis was performed using FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc). After sorting, testicular sperm cells 4N, 2N, N and also unsorted testicular sperm cells were immediately processed for RNA extraction.

**Isolation of oocytes:** B6.Aicda+/+ and B6.Aicda−/− females were given intraperitoneal pregnant mare serum gonadotropin (5 IU; Sigma-Aldrich) and 48 hours later were given intraperitoneal human chorionic gonadotropin (5 IU; Sigma-Aldrich) for super-ovulation. The next day oviducts were surgically removed, separated, and placed in a drop of M2 (Sigma-Aldrich). The *ampullae* (part of the oviduct) were tore using needles to release the super-ovulated oocyte structures that include the germ-cell surrounded by layers of granulosa cells. For “complete oocyte” samples, the oocytes with granulosa were briefly washed in M2 and mouth-pipetted to a different Petri dish with M2 and set aside for processing. For oocyte “granulosa free” samples, the oocytes with granulosa were incubated in M2 with hyaluronidase (150 µg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min at room temperature. Single oocytes were washed 3 times and set aside for processing and the remaining cells, considered “oocyte-free” granulosa cells, were carefully pipetted to a different Petri dish with M2, washed 3 times, and set aside for processing. As a control, ovaries from the super-ovulated females (“empty” ovaries) and from nonsuper-ovulated females were surgically removed, washed in M2, and meshed. All samples were immediately processed for RNA or protein extraction.

**Splenocytes isolation and culture:** As controls for expression of *Aicda*, B-cells from spleens of B6.Aicda+/+ and B6.Aicda−/− were stimulated in culture to up-regulate *Aicda* expression. In summary, homogenized single-cell splenocyte suspensions from 8- to 12-wk-old mice were depleted of red blood cells by lysis with ACK buffer (0.15 M NH₄Cl, 10.0 mM KHCO₃, and 0.1 mM ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid), washed twice, counted, and 1.5 × 10⁶ cells/mL were plated in 24-well plates in complete RPMI 1640 with Glutamax (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin and streptomycin (100 µM; Invitrogen), and 2-mercaptoethanol (50 µM; Invitrogen) supplemented with 20 mg/mL lipopolysaccharide (Sigma-Aldrich) and interleukin-4 (supernatant from hybridoma clone X63, in-house production). After 3 d in culture, cells were washed, incubated for 5 min in TriPure Isolation Reagent (Trizol; Roche), and stored at −80°C.

**Preparation of DNA for SNP genotyping and for regular PCR:** DNA was extracted from tail biopsies by proteinase K (Novagen, Germany) digestion and isopropanol (Sigma-Aldrich) precipitation. Mice were genotyped for *Aicda* locus using standard PCR procedures with primers FR312 5′-CTTATGGGCACAGTGTAGC-3′ and FR313 5′-TCAGGCTAGGTAGGTCC-3′ for the wild-type allele and primers FR310 5′-GGCCAGCTATCTGCTAC-3′ and FR311 5′-CTGTAGGCACCTGTAAGGC-3′ for the knockout allele. For the knockout allele, the amplified DNA was labeled with an 80-bp long fragment of the mouse β-actin gene using the forward primer FR312 5′-GGCCAGCTATCTGCTAC-3′ and the reverse primer R_5-CACGTAGCAGAGGTAGGTCT-3′ for β-actin amplification. The β-actin primer set was used to amplify a 122-bp fragment of the β-actin gene.

**RNA extraction, complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis, and real-time PCR:** All sorted and unsorted cell populations were further processed for RNA extraction using a commercial kit specific for small cell samples (Quick-RNA MicroPrep, Zymo Research) or for cells stored in Trizol (Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep, Zymo Research). Single-stranded cDNA was produced using random primers and SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). cDNA from stimulated B-cells of B6.Aicda+/+ and B6.Aicda−/− was used as a control for the real-time PCR. Aicda mRNA was quantified using a SYBR green assay (Applied Biosystems). Beta-actin amplifications were used as normalization controls. The primers used were as follows: F_5-CTAAGACGTTCGAGGGGTCAA-3′ and R_5-CACTGTAGCAGAGGTAGGTCT-3′ for Aicda and F_5-AGGTGCTAGTGTGCTACAGCT-3′ and R_5-CACTACATCATGAAATTTGAT-3′ for beta-actin. Quantitative PCR was performed on 7900HT fast real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) using the following cycling program: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 90°C and 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. Expression data of real-time PCR was averaged between triplicate replicas, calculated using Pfaffl ratio (Pfaffl 2001) and beta-actin as internal control.

**Western blot:** Protein extraction was performed using NP40 Cell Lysis Buffer (Invitrogen) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete, Roche). Samples were run on a 4–12% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis gel using the NuPAGE system (Life technologies) and semi-dry transferred to Immobilon-P membrane (Millipore). Membranes were blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich) in Tris-Buffered Saline, 0.05% Tween-20 (TBS-T), stained with rabbit anti-AID serum (1:500 dilution, courtesy of Dr. Kevin McBride), and α-tubulin was used as loading control (anti-α-tubulin, 1:5000 dilution, Sigma-Aldrich). Signal was developed using horseradish peroxidase–conjugated antibodies (1:5000 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and Super-Signal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate Kit per manufacturer instructions (Thermo Scientific).

**Statistical analysis:** For the analysis of frequency of meiotic recombination between different groups, a Mann-Whitney test was used and statistical significance threshold is referred for each case. For the analysis of the proportion of recombination events for each SNP pair, the confidence intervals were calculated using the Agresti-Coull method and differences between wild type and knockout data were tested using a Fisher exact test. Standard Bonferroni correction on the p values was applied for multiple testing. All statistic tests were performed using GraphPad Prism (v5.00 Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA).

**RESULTS**

**AID does not affect global levels of recombination**

The documented expression of AID in gametes, reproductive organs, and pluripotent tissues, (Morgan et al. 2004; Schreck et al. 2006; MacDuff et al. 2009; Bhutani et al. 2010); its activity in primordial germ cells (Popp et al. 2010); and the observation that the heterologous expression of AID can partially rescue meiotic recombination in *S. pombe* and *C. elegans* (Popp et al. 2010); raised the question of whether normal levels of endogenous AID can influence the frequency of meiotic recombination in mice. To test this, recombination frequencies in the germline of male or female Aicda+/+ or Aicda−/− (C57BL/6JxBALB/c)F1 genetic background were inferred by SNP genotyping of genomic DNA from the offspring of reciprocal matings
of F1.Aicda<sup>+/+</sup> or F1.Aicda<sup>−/−</sup> with C57BL/6J (Figure S1A). For the expected signal per SNP genotype, one of the alleles referred to the C57BL/6J parent and the other allele to the F1, which could either be of C57BL/6J or BALB/c origin. Recombination events were then defined as a change of genotype signal (from homozygous to heterozygous or vice-versa) between two consecutive SNPs on the same chromosome. We found a total of 3629 recombinations across the 19 autosomes of the 314 animals included in the four analysis groups. Because the detection of virtually all crossovers would require a 10-Mbp resolution (Paigen et al. 2008) and our analysis has a 34-Mbp resolution, we first compared the number of recombination events calculated for F1.Aicda<sup>+/+</sup> with the one described in larger studies. The estimated total number of sex-averaged recombination events per meiosis according to data from Shifman et al. (2006) and Paigen et al. (2008) is 13.9, and we observe 11.5 sex-averaged recombination events per meiosis. Thus, a small collection of SNPs is sufficient to detect around 83% of all recombination events, most likely due to the positive crossover interference in the murine meiosis (Broman et al. 2002). The comparison of the frequencies of recombination per chromosome we observed with those from a larger study (Shifman et al. 2006) shows that we are detecting the vast majority of recombination events in some chromosomes (Table S3), which is confirmed by a similar distribution of the number of recombination events in chromosome 1 (P = 0.88 for females; P = 0.13 for males by χ²) when we compare our data with data from Paigen et al. (2008). We then asked whether detecting the majority of crossover events is sufficient to capture a number of general features of meiotic recombination, such as the differences due to the gender of the transmitting parent, chromosomal location, and the physical size of the chromosome (Jensen-Seaman et al. 2004). The average number of recombination events in all of the 19 autosomes, averaged per mouse, showed that the frequency of recombination in the female germline was greater than in male germline (12.29 ± 0.35 for female and 10.63 ± 0.27 for male, P = 0.0007, Figure 1A, Table 2), which is consistent with the literature (Jensen-Seaman et al. 2004; Lynn et al. 2005; Shifman et al. 2006), and it is also observed for the F1.Aicda<sup>−/−</sup> study groups (12.29 ± 0.30 for female and 11.00 ± 0.34 for male, P = 0.0008). We then compared recombination frequencies according to position in the chromosome, and, although the differences are not statistically significant, we found the expected trends toward greater recombination frequencies in the male germline near the telomeres and the inverse for the recombination frequencies near the centromeres (Figure 1B) (Shifman et al. 2006). Finally, when we pooled the female and male recombination frequencies between chromosome 1 (size 197 Mbp) and 19 (size 61 Mbp), we found at least a twofold increase in the smallest chromosome compared with the biggest, an inverse correlation between chromosomal size and average recombination frequencies in the mouse genome that is well-documented (Figure 1C) (Shifman et al. 2006). Overall, these observations indicate that, although we miss 17% of the recombination events reported in larger studies, detecting the majority of the crossovers is sufficient to capture global features of meiotic recombination.

We then compared the overall recombination frequency between Aicda<sup>−/−</sup> and Aicda<sup>+/+</sup> and found no statistical difference in the

---

**Figure 1** (A) Recombination events for all studied groups. The numbers of recombination events were calculated for all 19 autosomes per offspring of females F1.Aicda<sup>+/+</sup> (FWT, n = 79), females F1. Aicda<sup>−/−</sup> (FKO, n = 79), males F1. Aicda<sup>+/+</sup> (MWT, n = 78), and males F1. Aicda<sup>−/−</sup> (MKO, n = 78). Each dot represents a sample; lines represent mean ± SEM. A Mann-Whitney test was used to compare sample groups using a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing, * P < 0.0125; n.s., nonsignificant. (B) Average recombination events for centromeric and telomeric regions. For these analyses, only the SNP pairs closer to the regions were included. Thus, for the centromeric region only, the recombination frequencies of the first SNP pair in chromosomes 1, 7, 8, 11, 18, and 19 (n = 6) were used in the comparison between progeny of the female (FWT) and the male (MWT) F1.Aicda<sup>+/+</sup>. For the telomeric regions only, the recombination frequencies of the last SNP pair in chromosomes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 14, 16, 17, and 19 (n = 12) were used in the comparison between the progeny of the female (FWT) and the male (MWT) F1.Aicda<sup>+/+</sup>. Bar and bar error represent mean ± SEM. A Mann-Whitney test was used to compare FWT vs. MWT groups in each chromosome, * P < 0.05.
female ($P = 0.6155$) or male ($P = 0.8192$) groups (Figure 1A). The same analysis including only chromosomes for which we detect the vast majority of recombination events, leads to loss of statistical significance in the female vs. male comparison and no difference in the recombination frequency of $Aicda^{-/-}$ (data not shown). In conclusion, our results show that AID does not have a major impact on the global frequency of meiotic recombination.

Recombination frequencies per chromosome and SNP pair

The recombination frequencies were analyzed per chromosome and plotted in an (x, y) scatter plot for comparison, between $Aicda^{+/+}$ vs. $Aicda^{-/-}$ in female and male groups (Figure S3). A bisector line (represented as a dashed line) corresponds to equal recombination frequencies between the FWT and FKO or MWT and MKO analysis groups and deviations from this line, even if not significant, were observed for females in Chromosomes 12, 13, and 16 and for males in Chromosome 17. To further analyze this, recombination frequencies were compared per SNP pair (Figure 2) for the analysis groups of both female and male parents, $Aicda^{+/+}$ vs. $Aicda^{-/-}$, and no statistically significant differences were found, except for the comparison between MWT vs. MKO in Chromosome 17 (SNP pair in approximate position 108 Mb and using PCR results from a VNTR sequence that distinguishes C57BL/6f from BALB/c genome approximately at position 116 Mb and no differences in recombination frequencies were found (Figure 3). For the Igk (in Chromosome 6), using flanking SNPs, no difference in recombination frequencies was detected. Other putative targets of AID mutagenic potential such as c-myc (chromosome 15) were also included in the analysis and no difference in recombination frequencies was found (Figure 2).

$Aicda$ expression in murine germline cells

Because we found no interference of AID activity in meiotic recombination, we decided to readdress whether $Aicda$ transcripts can be detected in the germline. Morgan et al. (2004) reported no $Aicda$ expression in mouse testes but high expression in ovaries and even higher in unfertilized oocytes; however, the authors of another study suggest that the expression of an $Aicda$ reporter is observed only in somatic cells surrounding the oocyte (Qin et al. 2011) and Schreck et al. (2006) reported expression in testis tissue from human biopsies.

### Table 2 Number of recombinations detected per chromosome

| Chromosome Number | FWT (n = 79) | FKO (n = 79) | MWT (n = 78) | MKO (n = 78) |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|                   | No. Rec$^a$ | cM/Mbp$^b$ | No. Rec$^a$ | cM/Mbp$^b$ |
| 1                 | 79          | 0.53        | 68          | 0.46         |
| 2                 | 83          | 0.61        | 79          | 0.58         |
| 3                 | 63          | 0.55        | 63          | 0.55         |
| 4                 | 74          | 0.65        | 65          | 0.57         |
| 5                 | 63          | 0.56        | 66          | 0.58         |
| 6                 | 59          | 0.55        | 54          | 0.50         |
| 7                 | 66          | 0.63        | 62          | 0.59         |
| 8                 | 50          | 0.54        | 56          | 0.60         |
| 9                 | 38          | 0.58        | 39          | 0.60         |
| 10                | 50          | 0.61        | 46          | 0.56         |
| 11                | 59          | 0.67        | 59          | 0.67         |
| 12                | 28          | 0.50        | 40          | 0.71         |
| 13                | 61          | 0.74        | 45          | 0.55         |
| 14                | 35          | 0.45        | 41          | 0.53         |
| 15                | 25          | 0.52        | 19          | 0.40         |
| 16                | 24          | 0.43        | 42          | 0.76         |
| 17                | 47          | 0.71        | 56          | 0.84         |
| 18                | 17          | 0.51        | 22          | 0.66         |
| 19                | 50          | 1.23        | 49          | 1.21         |
| Total             | 971         | 971         | 829         | 858          |

$^a$ Sum of the recombinations per chromosome.

$^b$ Recombination frequency divided by the physical length (see Table 1).
Figure 2. Proportions of recombination events per SNP pair for all 19 autosomes calculated for offspring of females F1.Aicda^{+/+} (FWT, n = 79, white bars), females F1.Aicda^{-/-} (FKO, n = 79, white bars with stripes), males F1.Aicda^{+/+} (MWT, n = 78, gray bars), and males F1.Aicda^{-/-} (MKO, n = 78, gray bars with stripes). On the x-axis, the order of the SNP pairs is shown. Error bars correspond to 95% confidence intervals as estimated by the Agresti-Coull method. A Fisher-exact test was used to compare sample groups using a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing, *P < 0.000225.
These discrepancies may result from the methods used and/or reflect species differences between mouse and humans (Morgan et al. 2004; Schreck et al. 2006; Qin et al. 2011). We focused on the expression of Aicda in sorted populations of sperm cells, including the cells undergoing meiosis, and in isolated (postmeiotic) oocytes from adults and additional cells from the ovary.

As a consequence of ongoing spermatogenesis, the germinal population in the testis is highly heterogeneous with premeiotic, meiotic, and haploid postmeiotic cells and using the whole organ for expression studies may not reflect the true Aicda expression in homogeneous populations of cells at different stages of differentiation. Thus, testicular sperm cells were sorted based on DNA content (4N, 2N, and N) and Aicda transcripts were quantified by real-time PCR (Figure 4A; Figure S4, A and B). In the haploid (N) or diploid (2N) cell populations, these being the most prevalent in testis tissue, no Aicda expression was detected. In addition, no Aicda expression was detectable in nonsorted total testis tissue and in maturing sperm removed from the epididymis. In the 4N cell population, which is enriched in gametocytes that are in prophase I of meiosis, after chromosome duplication, Aicda expression was detectable, but at vestigial levels when compared to Aicda expression in activated B-cells (Figure 4A).

For female gametes, we did not look at the stage and tissues during embryonic development when meiosis takes place but simply investigated whether AID is expressed in the germline of adult mice. Oocytes were manually separated from the granulosa cells upon hyaluronidase digestion, to discriminate populations. Aicda expression was then tested in isolated oocytes, granulosa cells (“oocyte free”), oocytes with granulosa (follicles) and, as controls, whole ovaries post-superovulation (“empty”) and whole ovaries from animals that did not undergo superovulation. In isolated oocytes, Aicda expression was similar to activated B-cells and in the samples of “complete oocytes” and granulosa only (oocyte-free) Aicda expression was around four-fold that of the activated B-cells; the lower proportion of RNA coming from oocytes compared with that of granulosa in the combined sample probably explains the combined sample not having a lower expression of Aicda than the granulosa alone (Figure 4B). The transcript in whole ovaries was almost undetectable both in superovulated and non-superovulated samples. The effect of the hormones used to induce superovulation on the expression of AID was also tested under different activation conditions of splenic B-cells from superovulated and non-superovulated animals, and under the absence or presence of pregnant mare serum gonadotropin and human chorionic
gonadotropin in the culture medium. Superovulation did not prime B-cells for greater Aicda expression upon activation and the effect of the hormones in culture was not always consistent, although we cannot exclude that certain conditions may enhance Aicda by two-fold (Figure S5). In any case, levels of Aicda transcripts are higher in the granulosa cells than in oocytes and, although in oocytes the transcript levels are similar to those of activated B-cells, the protein was only detected in the granulosa (Figure 4C).

DISCUSSION

Here we show that AID does not have a major impact in the frequency of meiotic recombination in mice, despite being detected in the germline (Morgan et al. 2004; Schreck et al. 2006) and previous observations that, when ectopically expressed in heterologous systems, this molecule produces DNA lesions that partially compensate for the absence of the natural DSB inducer in meiosis, Spo11 (in C. elegans) or Rec12 (S. pombe) (Paulkin et al. 2009). Our sample of genotyped animals and the collection of SNPs used are of modest size; however, the results for Aicda+/− capture a number of essential features of a larger dataset (Jensen-Seaman et al. 2004; Shifman et al. 2006): (1) we detect the sex-specific differences in the frequency of meiotic recombination; (2) we find an inverse relation between chromosome size and frequency of recombination; (3) we reproduce the finding that recombination rates are greater near the centromeres for females and toward the telomeres for males. Thus, although we cannot exclude that the inclusion of more animals and/or more SNPs in the analysis could reveal a role for AID in meiotic recombination, based on our data we estimate that its contribution, if any, is small. In addition, we cannot formally exclude that increasing the number of SNPs would not bring to view regions in which AID has an impact, but it is worth noticing that when we increased the size of the sample for the pairs of SNPs that had the most pronounced differences, the statistical significance decreased. Finally, our data clearly show that AID does not determine the sex-specific differences in the recombination frequency. Although a number of causes for this difference were already proposed (e.g., Lercher and Hurst 2003; Lenormand and Dutheil 2007; Popp et al. 2010), an additional role for AID was a possibility, given the differences in the AID expression levels detected in the male and female gametes, which are consistent with a slightly more pronounced increase in the methylation levels of the genome from primordial germ cells in Aicda+/− females than in the males (Popp et al. 2010).

At first glance, arguing for a role of AID in the germline is counterintuitive because this molecule is a potent mutator of immunoglobulin genes in the context of the immune response. However, error-prone repair of the AID-induced lesions seems to be characteristic of these target genes because the bulk of the off-target lesions are faithfully removed by the combined action of mismatch and base excision repair (Liu et al. 2008). How this is achieved is not fully understood, but it is reasonable to assume that, in the germline, high-fidelity repair would deal with most of AID-induced lesions. Interestingly, homologous recombination, the repair pathway involved in meiotic crossover, is also actively protecting the genome of lymphocytes against the activity of AID (Hasham et al. 2010). Furthermore, the AID-dependent demethylation observed in murine primordial germ cells argues for an activity of the molecule in the germline (Popp et al. 2010). Thus, knowing from the work of Paulkin et al. (2009) that the AID-induced lesions can be intermediates in the reaction leading to crossovers, why is AID not making a sizable contribution to meiotic recombination in mice? The expression level of the molecule during meiosis and the availability of the target sequences are the most trivial explanations.

Physiological levels of AID in the germline may not be sufficient to drive detectable meiotic recombination. It is not known whether AID is expressed in cells undergoing meiosis in female mice, but we have sorted different sperm cell populations and we were unable to detect AID expression. The only signal we found was in primary spermatocytes, which is consistent with what has been reported for the human testis (Schreck et al. 2006), but the levels we detected by real-time PCR are extremely low compared with the expression levels in activated B-cells.

In addition, it is possible that the cell-cycle regulation of AID activity and AID’s specific requirement for transcription limit the impact of this molecule in meiotic recombination. AID-induced DSBs and Nbs1/gamma-H2AX foci in the switch regions of the IgH locus are observed in the G1 phase of cells undergoing class switch recombination (Petersen et al. 2001; Schrader et al. 2007), similarly to point mutations in the V region (Falli et al. 2002). Thus, AID lesions are introduced and repaired in G1, although for the lesions that evade the G1/S checkpoint, repair occurs in S phase (Hasham et al. 2012). In any case, there are no reports of AID-induced lesions in G2 or during mitosis and, unless the cell-cycle regulation of AID in the germline is peculiar, probably AID is not active in prophase I, when recombination takes place. Furthermore, it is known that AID only acts on single stranded DNA, which can be exposed during transcription (Chaudhuri et al. 2003), but transcription is low in the early stages of the first meiotic prophase (Page et al. 2012). This does not imply that AID could not have access to target sequences expressed as single-stranded DNA in some transcription-independent manner, it simply suggests that the level of potential targets at that particular stage is probably lower than in a G1 cell.

In agreement with a study using a lineage tracer reporter (Qin et al. 2011), we detected for the first time that the AID protein is expressed in the granulosa cells but not in the oocyte, despite being highly transcribed in both cell types. These findings suggest the possibility of two nonessential and largely unaddressed roles played by AID. First, AID transcripts may be stored in the oocyte for translation after fertilization, which could associate this gene to maternal-effect. Second, given the intercellular communication between these cell types, the allocation of the AID protein expression to the surrounding granulosa cells may allow the enclosed oocyte to be protected against exogenous DNA and endogenous retroelements without being exposed to the direct mutagenic effects of AID.
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