Liver-specific Deletion of the Growth Hormone Receptor Reveals Essential Role of Growth Hormone Signaling in Hepatic Lipid Metabolism**
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Growth hormone (GH) plays a pivotal role in growth and metabolism, with growth promotion mostly attributed to generation of insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) in liver or at local sites of GH action, whereas the metabolic effects of GH are considered to be intrinsic to GH itself. To distinguish the effects of GH from those of IGF-I, we developed a Cre-lox-mediated model of tissue-specific deletion of the growth hormone receptor (GHR). Near total deletion of the GHR in liver (GHRLD) had no effect on total body or bone linear growth despite a >90% suppression of circulating IGF-I; however, total bone density was significantly reduced. Circulating GH was increased 4-fold, and GHRLD displayed insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, and increased circulating free fatty acids. Livers displayed marked steatosis, the result of increased triglyceride synthesis and decreased efflux; reconstitution of hepatic GHR signaling via adenoviral expression of GHR restored triglyceride output to normal, whereas IGF-I infusion did not correct steatosis despite restoration of circulating GH to normal. Thus, with near total absence of circulating IGF-I, GH action at the growth plate, directly and via locally generated IGF-I, can regulate bone growth, but at the expense of diabetogenic, lipolytic, and hepatosteatotic consequences. Our results indicate that IGF-I is essential for bone mineral density, whereas hepatic GH signaling is essential to regulate intrahepatic lipid metabolism. We propose that circulating IGF-I serves to amplify the growth-promoting effects of GH, while simultaneously dampening the catabolic effects of GH.

Growth hormone (GH),2 secreted by pituitary somatotrophs under neural, hormonal, and metabolic control (1), is a pivotal hormone in regulating postnatal growth and metabolism of carbohydrate, protein, and fat (2–6). According to the original somatomedin hypothesis (5), the growth-promoting effects of GH are primarily mediated by hepatic generation of insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I), which, together with its major binding protein IGFBP-3 and the acid labile subunit (ALS), forms a ternary complex that circulates in plasma to permit controlled release of IGF-I at tissues where it exerts biological effects. Although GH exerts direct effects at local tissue sites to generate IGF-I for autocrine and paracrine actions (6), circulating IGF-I derives predominantly from hepatic generation (5–8), a process that begins with binding of GH to its cognate dimerized receptor (growth hormone receptor (GHR)) followed by a Janus kinase (JAK)/Stat-mediated signal transduction cascade that ultimately leads to the activation of nuclear transcription and gene expression (9). Defects in each of these steps of the action of growth hormone are associated with impaired growth, confirming the importance of this pathway in human biology (9). However, not all of the growth-promoting effects of GH can be explained by circulating IGF-I, and the precise direct contribution of GH as opposed to circulating IGF-I for normal growth remains to be determined (6–10). To distinguish between the effects of IGF-I as opposed to the direct effects of GH, investigators deleted these hormones or their receptor genes in the germine (10), producing various phenotypic effects and demonstrating that deletion of both GH as well as IGF-I results in significantly greater growth impairment than either one alone (10). However, deletion of the igf-i gene in liver, which resulted in diminished circulating IGF-I by ~75%, did not appreciably alter the growth of mice (7, 8, 11). In these mice, circulating GH concentrations were markedly increased, a result of the absence of the inhibitory effects of IGF-I on pituitary GH secretion (7, 8, 11–13), suggesting that GH must exert effects directly on tissues or via locally generated IGF-I (8, 13). Similar results were obtained after global deletion of the als gene (8).

The metabolic effects of GH include antagonism to insulin action, thereby predisposing to diabetes (2–4, 14), promotion of lipolysis (2), and enhancement of protein synthesis with factor I; rhIGF-I, recombinant human IGF-I; ALS, acid labile subunit; Stat, signal transducers and activators of transcription; micro-CT, micro-computed tomography; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; ANOVA, analysis of variance.
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decreased proteolysis (2, 15). In mice with liver-specific deletion of IGF-I, glucose intolerance was ascribed to the high circulating GH that could still act on liver to promote glucose production (2, 7) and concomitantly antagonize insulin action in muscle and fat tissues (14). However, the precise contribution of GH action in various tissues remains unknown because to date, tissue-specific deletion of GH action has not been described.

We developed a Cre-lox model to study the effects of tissue-specific deletion of the GHR on phenotype, biochemical, and molecular markers in specific tissues. Here, we report the effects of hepatic-specific deletion of the GHR.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Mice—Ghr exon 4 floxed mice were generated through standard gene-targeting methods as described (16). Both Flp recombinase-expressing mice (129S4/SvJirGSor(Gt(Rosa)26Sortm1(F/+));Sor+tm1(Vيات)3 bureaucracy) and albumin-cre mice (6.5E-Tg(Alb-cre)21Mgn/l) were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). All mice were housed in a specific pathogen-free animal facility at Rangos Research Center, Pittsburgh, PA. All experiments were performed in accordance with institutional guidelines.

Blood Glucose and Insulin Levels—Blood glucose levels were monitored with the Ascensia Contour blood glucose monitoring system (Bayer HealthCare LLC, Mishawaka, IN). Blood insulin levels were measured with the Merodia ultrasensitive mouse insulin ELISA kit (Mercodia) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

Bone Micro-CT Analysis, X-ray Imaging, and Bone Volume Determination—Determination of bone mineral content via micro-CT analysis, imaging of the femurs via radiography, and measurement of bone volume in relation to total volume was performed as described previously in detail (17).

Serum Hormone Levels—Serum IGF-I, IGFBP-3, and ALS levels were measured with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) developed in the laboratory of Dr. Pinchas Cohen (18). Growth hormone levels were measured with the mouse growth hormone ELISA kit (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Inc.) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

RNA—The total RNA of liver samples was isolated using an RNA minikit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Qiagen). Real-time quantitative PCR was carried out on cDNA prepared from DNase I-treated RNA (Superscript III cDNA kit, Invitrogen) with the LightCycler FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green I kit and analyzed with the LightCycler 2 system (Roche Applied Science).

Histology—Livers were harvested, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 3 h at 4 °C, and placed in 30% sucrose overnight. Cryosections 5 μm thick were cut and stained with GHR (L-15) antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). For the detection of neutral lipid, liver cryosections were stained with the Oil Red O technique with 0.23% dye dissolved in 65% isopropl alcohol for 10 min. To analyze the growth plate, tibia bones were harvested from 12-week-old male mice and fixed in 10% formalin followed by decalcification in 100 mM EDTA for 10 days.

Glucose and Insulin Tolerance Tests—Animals were fasted for 16 and 3 h before intraperitoneal injection of glucose (2 g/kg of body weight) and insulin (0.8 units/kg of body weight), respectively. Blood was obtained at intervals of 15 min from the tail vein, and glucose and insulin levels were analyzed as described above.

Free Fatty Acid and Triglyceride Assay—Both serum-free fatty acid levels and plasma triglyceride concentrations were measured using commercially available kits (NEFA-HR kit from Wako Diagnostics and Infinity triglyceride kit from Thermo Electron Corp., respectively). To measure hepatic triglyceride production rate, mice were injected with Triton WR-1339 (1 g/kg of body weight, Sigma) after 4 h of fasting, and plasma triglyceride levels was measured over a 3-hr period. The triglyceride production rate was calculated from the difference in plasma triglyceride levels over the first 90 min.

Whole Body Composition Analysis—Fat and lean (muscle) compositions of 16-week-old male mice were analyzed by an EchoMRI whole body composition analyzer (Echo Medical Systems, Houston, Texas).

Adenovirus-mediated GHR Reconstitution and IGF-I Infusion—Adenoviruses expressing either full-length mouse Ghr or full-length mouse LacZ were administrated to 12-week-old female Ghr liver-specific deletion (GHRLD) mice through the tail vein (10⁷ infectious particles/mouse). Treated animals were subjected to experimental characterization 2 weeks after reconstitution. For the IGF-I infusion experiment, osmotic minipumps (DURECT Co., Cupertino, CA) loaded with either rhIGF-I or placebo (Terressica, Inc., Brisbane, CA) were implanted subcutaneously into the mid-back region of 16-week-old male GHRLD mice. Daily infusion dosage was 26.4 μg. After 2 weeks, the animals were sacrificed, and livers were harvested for analysis.

Statistical Analysis—In all experiments, unless specified, we used two-tail Student’s t tests to assess statistical significance. p value < 0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

Generation of the GHRLD Model

To enable the study of the specific effects of GH in a particular tissue, we flanked exon 4 of the mouse Ghr gene, which encodes a portion of the extracellular domain of the GH receptor, with two loxP sites and generated homozygous animals harboring the floxed Ghr alleles (Ghr¹⁄¹) (Fig. 1, A and B). Global deletion of Ghr obtained after crossing Ghr¹⁄¹ to E2a-Cre mice resulted in progeny with severe postnatal growth failure, consistent with the phenotype of Laron mice (19) (Fig. 1, C and D), documenting that Cre-mediated removal of exon 4 of the Ghr gene is sufficient to abolish GHR action. To delete GHR expression specifically in hepatocytes, Ghr¹⁄¹ mice were crossed to albumin-cre transgenic mice, resulting in Ghr¹⁄¹ Alb-cre mice, designated as GHRLD mice (Fig. 2A). In these mice, Ghr expression in either muscle or fat tissue remained unchanged, whereas liver Ghr mRNA levels were extinguished (Fig. 2B). Quantification of Ghr mRNA expression revealed greater than 90% deletion in the GHRLD liver; the residual Ghr transcripts may represent Ghr mRNA in endothelial cells and/or Kupfer cells that would not be affected by the albumin-driven Cre
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Effects of GHRLD on Circulating GH and the Ternary Complex

Analysis of serum samples from GHRLD mice revealed that all components of the circulating ternary complex were markedly diminished: IGF-I by ~95%, IGFBP-3 by ~80%, and ALS levels by ~85%. GHRLD mice had ~4-fold higher plasma GH levels, secondary to the loss of negative regulation of pituitary GH secretion from the low circulating IGF-I levels (7, 11) (Table 1).

Effects of GHRLD on Growth

Length, Weight, and Bone Growth—Despite the marked reduction of circulating IGF-I, GHRLD mice, in contrast to the Laron mouse, exhibit normal postnatal growth with body weight and body length similar to controls (Table 2). Consistent with these measurements, tibia lengths, reflecting longitudinal bone growth, were identical between GHRLD and control mice (Table 2 and Fig. 3A), as were the size of the growth plates (Fig. 3B). However, bone density was markedly diminished in the GHRLD mice, as demonstrated both by x-ray and by micro-CT analysis (Fig. 3, C and D). Quantitative analysis of bone volume as a fraction of the total volume revealed a highly significant difference between the control and GHRLD mice at 16 weeks (Fig. 3E).

Organ Weights and Tissue Composition—Significant differences were observed in liver and kidney weights between GHRLD and control mice; in GHRLD mice, liver was heavier than in controls, indicative of insulin resistance (Fig. 4, A and B). Quantitative real-time PCR analysis showed that GHRLD and control mice had similar body composition in regard to total fat (14.58 ± 1.59%, n = 7 versus 15.04 ± 0.75%, n = 12 of total body weight, respectively) and lean (muscle) percentage (71.28 ± 2.34%, n = 7 versus 68.01 ± 0.82%, n = 12 of total body weight, respectively), further substantiating the overall normal growth of GHRLD mice.

Effects of GHRLD on Metabolism

Carbohydrate—Non-fasting glucose levels were normal, but plasma insulin levels were 2–3 times elevated when compared with controls, indicative of insulin resistance (Fig. 4, A and B), which was confirmed by an intraperitoneal insulin tolerance test (Fig. 4C). An intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test demonstrated glucose intolerance in the GHRLD mice at 4–6 weeks of age (Fig. 4D). Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of liver mRNA revealed that there were no significant alterations in the steady state abundance of mRNAs for three key glu-
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TABLE 1
Serum IGF-I ternary complex and GH levels

|          | IGF-I ng/ml | IGFBP-3 ng/ml | ALS ng/ml | Growth hormone ng/ml |
|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Control  | 395.9 ± 33.1| 1083.2 ± 125.1| 330.2 ± 41.7| 33.0 ± 6.3           |
| GHRLD    | 23.9 ± 4.2  | 220 ± 33.5    | 30.2 ± 4.2 | 3.0 ± 0.8            |

p value t-test: <10^-10 <10^-4 <10^-4 <10^-4
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RESULTS

FIGURE 4. GHRLD mice display insulin resistance and glucose intolerance. A, plasma glucose levels of 6 – 8-week-old GHRLD mice in the fed state. Control, littermates without the Alb-Cre transgene (Ghrfl). Error bars indicate mean ± S.E. B, plasma insulin levels of 6 – 8-week-old GHRLD in random fed mice. C, intraperitoneal insulin tolerance test on 6-week-old GHRLD mice. ***p < 0.0001 (two-way ANOVA test). D, intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test on 6-week-old GHRLD mice. ***p < 0.0001 (two-way ANOVA test). E, real-time analysis of gluconeogenic enzyme mRNA expression in livers from control and GHRLD mice. Data are presented as mean ± S.E. No appreciable changes were detected. G6Pase, glucose-6-phosphatase; Fbp1, fructose-1,2-bisphosphatase; Pck1, phosphoenolpyruvate kinase 1.

Livers were glistening, suggesting increased lipid content (Fig. 5B), which was confirmed by demonstrating a creamy layer on gravitational sedimentation of liver homogenate extracts (Fig. 5C). Histochemical analysis revealed hepatic steatosis (Fig. 5D). Fat accumulation in liver arose in part by enhanced lipogenesis from increased free fatty acid flux with ~10-fold up-regulation of Srebp-1c expression, ~4–5-fold up-regulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ), as well as ~20-fold increase of fatty acid translocase/CD36 (Fat), key regulators of hepatic triglyceride synthesis (20) (Fig. 5E).

Although the expression of microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (Mttp) and apolipoprotein B (ApoB) was unchanged, triglyceride secretion from the liver was markedly impaired (1.1 mg/dl/min versus controls of 3.7 mg/dl/min, p < 0.001) (Fig. 5F) when measured by triglyceride concentration in blood during the 3 h after administration of the lipoprotein lipase inhibitor Triton WR-1339 (21). Rescue of GHR signaling in livers of GHRLD mice via adenovirus-mediated GHR expression com-

TABLE 2
Normal growth of GHRLD mice

| Body weight | Body length | Tibia length |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| **Control** | **GHRDL**   | **Control** | **GHRDL** |
| 25.00 ± 0.86| 9.07 ± 0.07 | 1.80 ± 0.02 | 1.20 ± 0.03 |

**Snout to tail base.**

A, similar tibia lengths were observed in GHRLD mice (right) when compared with littermate controls (left). Shown are tibias harvested from 16-week-old female mice. Control signifies littermates without the Alb-Cre transgene (Ghrfl). Error bars indicate mean ± S.E. B, histological analysis of growth plate of tibia from increased free fatty acid flux with ~10-fold up-regulation of Srebp-1c expression, ~4–5-fold up-regulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ), as well as ~20-fold increase of fatty acid translocase/CD36 (Fat), key regulators of hepatic triglyceride synthesis (20) (Fig. 5E).

Lipid—GH is lipolytic, and high levels of GH in blood act to increase lipolysis in adipocytes and enhance triglyceride secretion from the liver (2). Serum free fatty acid levels were 30% higher in GHRLD mice when compared with controls (Fig. 5A). ceeoneogenic enzymes, Fbp1, G6Pase, and Pck1, suggesting that the impaired glucose disposal in GHRLD mice was not due to increased hepatic gluconeogenesis (Fig. 4E).

**Snout to tail base.**

* p < 0.001.

TABLE 3
Organ weights of GHRLD mice

|            | Liver | Kidney (left) | Kidney (right) | Heart | Lung |
|------------|-------|---------------|----------------|-------|------|
| Control (n=8) | 4.09 ± 0.19 | 0.59 ± 0.02 | 0.62 ± 0.02 | 0.65 ± 0.03 | 0.64 ± 0.01 |
| GHRLD (n=6)   | 4.71 ± 0.18* | 0.46 ± 0.02* | 0.49 ± 0.02* | 0.63 ± 0.05 | 0.67 ± 0.03 |

* p < 0.05.
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Our model of liver-specific GHR deletion demonstrates that circulating IGF-I is derived primarily from hepatic production and that circulating IGF-I is sufficient for normal growth in our mouse model. Our results also establish a novel and critical role for hepatic GH signaling in maintenance of lipid homeostasis.

The fundamental difference between the current model of inducing deficiency of circulating IGF-I via tissue-specific deletion of GHR in liver with total abrogation of growth hormone signaling in liver and previously reported models of IGF-I deficiency secondary to deletion of the igf-i gene in liver is that with the exception of IGF-I generation, GHR signaling is intact in these latter models. Previous studies wherein the igf-i gene was deleted in liver or ALS was knocked out globally, and which resulted in an ∼65–80% reduction of circulating IGF-I, also reported that growth was unaltered (11, 12, 22). However, it was considered possible that the remaining 20–35% of circulating IGF-I was sufficient to allow normal growth in those models, as suggested by the authors (11, 12, 22). By demonstrating virtual total suppression of circulating IGF-I (~95%), our study leads to the conclusion that circulating growth hormone alone is sufficient to promote normal growth, providing that GHR, along with its intact post-receptor signaling cascade, is expressed in the remaining tissues outside of the liver, specifically at the growth plate (23). Another possible explanation for normal growth in our model would be that free IGF-I levels remained sufficient to sustain bone growth via circulating IGF-I. However, deletion of GHR expression in all tissues of our mouse model leads to recapitulation of the Laron mouse with markedly impaired growth, as described previously (19), and lends further support to the proposition that growth hormone must act at local tissues for both direct effects as well as the generation of local IGF-I-mediated growth (24). Because total deletion of IGF-I also results in impaired fetal growth and survival and causes developmental abnormalities of brain, lung, muscle, and bone, as well as postnatal growth retardation and infertility, IGF-I is clearly critical for growth and development (10). The most likely explanation for retention of normal postnatal growth in our model is that the higher circulating growth hormone concentrations, the result of the lack of inhibition by circulating IGF-I on pituitary growth hormone secretion, have direct effects to promote bone and tissue growth as well as local

DISCUSSION

Our model of liver-specific GHR deletion demonstrates that circulating IGF-I is derived primarily from hepatic production and that circulating IGF-I is not essential for normal postnatal growth in the mouse. Our results also establish a novel and critical role for hepatic GH signaling in maintenance of lipid homeostasis.

The fundamental difference between the current model of inducing deficiency of circulating IGF-I via tissue-specific deletion of GHR in liver with total abrogation of growth hormone signaling in liver and previously reported models of IGF-I deficiency secondary to deletion of the igf-i gene in liver is that with the exception of IGF-I generation, GHR signaling is intact in these latter models. Previous studies wherein the igf-i gene was deleted in liver or ALS was knocked out globally, and which resulted in an ∼65–80% reduction of circulating IGF-I, also reported that growth was unaltered (11, 12, 22). However, it was considered possible that the remaining 20–35% of circulating IGF-I was sufficient to allow normal growth in those models, as suggested by the authors (11, 12, 22). By demonstrating virtual total suppression of circulating IGF-I (~95%), our study leads to the conclusion that circulating growth hormone alone is sufficient to promote normal growth, providing that GHR, along with its intact post-receptor signaling cascade, is expressed in the remaining tissues outside of the liver, specifically at the growth plate (23). Another possible explanation for normal growth in our model would be that free IGF-I levels remained sufficient to sustain bone growth via circulating IGF-I. However, deletion of GHR expression in all tissues of our mouse model leads to recapitulation of the Laron mouse with markedly impaired growth, as described previously (19), and lends further support to the proposition that growth hormone must act at local tissues for both direct effects as well as the generation of local IGF-I-mediated growth (24). Because total deletion of IGF-I also results in impaired fetal growth and survival and causes developmental abnormalities of brain, lung, muscle, and bone, as well as postnatal growth retardation and infertility, IGF-I is clearly critical for growth and development (10). The most likely explanation for retention of normal postnatal growth in our model is that the higher circulating growth hormone concentrations, the result of the lack of inhibition by circulating IGF-I on pituitary growth hormone secretion, have direct effects to promote bone and tissue growth as well as local

Stationary, random, and constructive.
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The data suggest, however, that higher GH concentrations compensated for the lack of IGF-I because long term observation of the GHRLD mice did not reveal augmented growth despite the 4-fold increase in circulating GH levels. Hepatic-derived IGF-I is critical for normal bone architecture, as shown by the reduced bone mineral density in our animals. In the model of combined liver-specific IGF-I deletion together with global ALS knock-out, both circulating IGF-I from the liver, and local IGF-I signaling were impaired due to the global absence of ALS, resulting in impaired growth and a smaller growth plate (8). A major difference between this model and ours, however, is that the availability of ALS at the bone environment may contribute to IGF activity in our model because the als gene itself was not disrupted. The kidneys of the GHRLD animals were significantly lighter than controls, documenting that unlike bone growth, hepatic-derived IGF-I has an important role in kidney growth, as suggested previously (25, 26).

In contrast to the lack of effects on bone growth, deletion of GHR expression in liver revealed a novel and essential role of hepatic GH signaling for the maintenance of normal metabolism with primary effects focused on hepatic lipid metabolism and secondary effects focused on peripheral lipolysis, insulin resistance, and glucose intolerance. Although the effects of excessive GH action in inducing insulin resistance and glucose intolerance were anticipated (7, 11), the effects on hepatic lipid metabolism were unexpected. Peripheral insulin resistance with hyperinsulinemia and increased free fatty acid existed in other models of circulating IGF-I deficiency with increased circulating GH concentrations. However, hepatic steatosis did not occur in the originally reported Laron mouse (16), nor in our global deletion model, and was not reported in the LID mouse where hepatic GH-GHR signaling remained intact (7). It must be emphasized that increased circulating GH concentrations with peripheral insulin resistance, hyperinsulinemia, and increased free fatty acid existed in these models of circulating IGF-I deficiency. Thus, GH signaling appears to be essential for intrahepatic lipid metabolism because restoration of GHR signaling via the adenoviral GHR construct totally restored hepatic triglyceride output to normal. Hepatic steatosis has recently been described in mice in which STAT5b has been abrogated in liver (27). In these animals, IGF-I was reduced by only 50% and triglyceride output was not

---

FIGURE 6. Reconstitution of hepatic Ghr expression via adenoviral expression vectors. A, 12-week-old female GHRLD mice were infused with adenovirus expressing either full-length Ghr (Ad-ghr) or full-length lacZ (Ad-lacZ). Hepatic Ghr expression levels were evaluated by quantitative PCR analysis and normalized to endogenous control (β-actin). As shown from the arbitrary ratios, Ad-ghr-treated GHRLD mice expressed approximately one-half of the amounts of Ghr found in control animals. Control signifies littermates without the Alb-Cre transgene (Ghr<sup>fl/fl</sup>) unless otherwise specified. B, reconstitution of hepatic Ghr expression partially restored serum IGF-I ternary complex levels. As shown, serum IGF-I, IGFBP3 and ALS levels in Ad-ghr-treated mice were all restored to ~50% of the levels of controls. In both A and B, data are presented as mean ± S.E. Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA test.

FIGURE 7. Infusion of GHRLD with IGF-I failed to rescue hepatic steatosis. A, mini osmotic pumps filled with either IGF-I or placebo were implanted subcutaneously into 16-week-old male GHRLD mice. As shown, IGF-I infusion (26.4 μg/day) efficiently normalized the serum GH levels (approximately one-quarter of the GHRLD mice treated with placebo). Error bars indicate mean ± S.E. B, histological sections of livers harvested from GHRLD mice treated with either placebo (left) or IGF-I (right) for 2 weeks were stained with Oil Red O. Similar lipid contents were observed under both conditions, indicating that IGF-I infusion did not correct hepatic steatosis despite restoration of circulation GH concentration to normal.

---

generation of IGF-I, which mediates growth via paracrine-autocrine effects (5–8, 11, 12, 22). Alternatively, even normal GH concentrations may have resulted in normal growth in the absence of circulating IGF-I. The data suggest, however, that higher GH concentrations compensated for the lack of IGF-I because long term observation of the GHRLD mice did not reveal augmented growth despite the 4-fold increase in circulating GH levels. Hepatic-derived IGF-I is critical for normal bone architecture, as shown by the reduced bone mineral density in our animals. In the model of combined liver-specific IGF-I deletion together with global ALS knock-out, both circulating IGF-I from the liver, and local IGF-I signaling were impaired due to the global absence of ALS, resulting in impaired growth and a smaller growth plate (8). A major difference between this model and ours, however, is that the availability of ALS at the bone environment may contribute to IGF activity in our model because the als gene itself was not disrupted. The kidneys of the GHRLD animals were significantly lighter than controls, documenting that unlike bone growth, hepatic-derived IGF-I has an important role in kidney growth, as suggested previously (25, 26).

In contrast to the lack of effects on bone growth, deletion of GHR expression in liver revealed a novel and essential role of hepatic GH signaling for the maintenance of normal metabolism with primary effects focused on hepatic lipid metabolism and secondary effects focused on peripheral lipolysis, insulin resistance, and glucose intolerance. Although the effects of excessive GH action in inducing insulin resistance and glucose intolerance were anticipated (7, 11), the effects on hepatic lipid metabolism were unexpected. Peripheral insulin resistance with hyperinsulinemia and increased free fatty acid existed in other models of circulating IGF-I deficiency with increased circulating GH concentrations. However, hepatic steatosis did not occur in the originally reported Laron mouse (16), nor in our global deletion model, and was not reported in the LID mouse where hepatic GH-GHR signaling remained intact (7). It must be emphasized that increased
reported. Because the STAT5b is an essential component of the canonical signaling cascade for the GHR receptor, our current studies are the first to uncover a direct link between a circulating ligand and the liver phenotype secondary to hepatic STAT5b deficiency. Thus, the lack of GH signaling in liver is associated with hepatic steatosis and raises the possibility that the hepatic steatosis observed in obesity may be caused, in part, by the state of decreased growth hormone secretion and signaling that occurs in obesity (28).

The peripheral metabolic defects observed in our animals are likely to be the direct result of increased pituitary growth hormone secretion and action in tissues in which growth hormone receptor expression remained unaltered (29). In addition to its lipolytic effects, growth hormone induces a state of insulin resistance (2–4), manifest in our animals by a raised plasma concentration of insulin, resistance to insulin-mediated hypoglycemia, and glucose intolerance. Although growth hormone is also reported to enhance hepatic gluconeogenesis (3), this mechanism was excluded because of the absence of hepatic GH signaling in our animals and because there were no changes in the expression of the three key gluconegenic enzymes, G6Pase, Fbp1, and Pck1, in the liver. Recently, it has been suggested that GH regulates p85α expression and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity in white adipose tissue and that this mechanism is responsible for insulin resistance and reduced fat mass observed in mice with growth hormone excess (14). Because growth hormone was increased in our animals and growth hormone signaling in fat tissue remained intact, this is a possible mechanism for the observed insulin resistance. Additionally, the increased circulating free fatty acid likely contributed to insulin resistance through direct or indirect generation of metabolites that alter the insulin-signaling cascade in various tissues (30).

The lack of appreciable effect of circulating IGF-I on growth challenges the classic somatomedin hypothesis (5), which postulates that growth is mediated by a “second messenger,” eventually named IGF-I, generated from the liver. Our studies suggest that hepatic-derived circulating IGF-I signaling is essential for normal kidney growth and normal bone density, which cannot be compensated by elevated GH alone, consistent with findings in the Laron mouse and human (19, 25, 26). Recently, it has been proposed that the combined effects of the GH-IGF-I system serve to augment the anabolic actions of GH, while concurrently countering its potential harmful effects in inducing insulin resistance and diabetes mellitus and limiting its lipolytic effects to prevent depletion of lipid stores (5). This hypothesis is consistent with our findings because despite high GH concentrations, growth was normal, but not excessive as found in acromegaly. Thus, in the presence of normal hepatic GH signaling and hepatic IGF-I generation, normal growth is attained at approximately one-quarter of the circulating GH concentration required to maintain growth in our model and without inducing insulin resistance, diabetes, and excessive lipolysis.

In conclusion, the ability to delete GHR and its signaling cascade in liver demonstrates that circulating IGF-I is not an essential mediator of bone growth, although it is essential for normal bone mineral accretion. Notably, GH signaling in liver is essential for normal intrahepatic lipid metabolism. The ability to delete GHR signaling in specific tissues such as fat, muscle, and bone will permit a clearer delineation of the specific direct effects of growth hormone and its indirect effects on local tissue generated IGF-I for growth and provide an opportunity to identify the tissue sites and signaling mechanisms by which growth hormone induces insulin resistance.
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