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Abstract

Introduction: Due to the high mortality of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), there are difficulties in the managing emergency department. We investigated whether the D-dimer/albumin ratio (DAR) and fibrinogen/albumin ratio (FAR) predict mortality in the COVID-19 patients.

Methods: A total of 717 COVID-19 patients who were brought to the emergency department from March to October 2020 were included in the study. Levels of D-dimer, fibrinogen and albumin, as well as DAR, FAR, age, gender and in-hospital mortality status of the patients, were recorded. The patients were grouped by in-hospital mortality. Statistical comparison was conducted between the groups.

Results: Of the patients included in the study, 371 (51.7%) were male, and their median age was 64 years (50-74). There was in-hospital mortality in 126 (17.6%) patients. The area under the curve (AUC) and odds ratio values obtained by DAR to predict in-hospital mortality were higher than the values obtained by the all other parameters (AUC of DAR, albumin, D-dimer, FAR and fibrinogen: 0.773, 0.766, 0.757, 0.703 and 0.637, respectively; odds ratio of DAR > 56.36, albumin < 4.015, D-dimer > 292.5, FAR > 112.33 and fibrinogen > 423.789, 6.216, 6.058, 4.437 and 2.794, respectively). In addition; patients with concurrent DAR > 56.36 and FAR > 112.33 had an odds ratio of 21.879 with respect to patients with concurrent DAR < 56.36 and FAR < 112.33.

Conclusion: DAR may be used as a new marker to predict mortality in COVID-19 patients. In addition, the concurrent high DARs and FARs were found to be more valuable in predicting in-hospital mortality than either separately.

INTRODUCTION

In December 2019, a disease of unknown aetiology emerged in Wuhan, China, with clinical symptoms of acute upper respiratory tract infection.¹ This disease, called coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), was evaluated in the pandemic category by the World Health Organisation (WHO) in January 2020.² According to WHO data, approximately 117 million people have been infected, whereas approximately 2.6 million people died. The mortality rate is 30% in hospitalised cases,³ ranging between 30% and 100% in cases requiring a ventilator.⁴ Hospitalised COVID-19 patients pose difficulties in emergency department management, especially due to high mortality rates. In order to accelerate the outcome of COVID 19 patients in the emergency department, parameters to predict mortality that can be applied easily and quickly are needed.

Hypercoagulation, which is the cause of high D-dimer and fibrinogen, is common in hospitalised COVID-19 patients and is a predictor of severity.⁵ Fibrinogen to albumin ratio (FAR) was found to be
more sensitive and specific predictor than fibrinogen in showing the progression of hypercoagulation. Some studies of COVID-19 patients have used D-dimer, albumin, fibrinogen and FAR for this purpose, but to the best of our knowledge, no studies have used the D-dimer to albumin ratio (DAR). Therefore, in this study, we aimed to investigate and compare the predictive value of DAR and FAR for mortality in patients with COVID-19.

2 | METHOD

Ethics committee approval for this single-centre, retrospective and observational study was obtained from the Necmettin Erbakan University Meram Medical Faculty Pharmaceutical and Non-Medical Device Studies Ethical Committee, decision number of 2021/3022.

This study was carried out in a tertiary university hospital. Patients suspected of having COVID-19 who were admitted to the emergency department and hospitalised and whose PCR test was positive were included in the study from March to October 2020. Regardless of the number of tests submitted, any PCR result that appeared positive at least once was considered positive, whereas other results were considered negative. Patients who were discharged against medical advice and referred to other hospitals were excluded from the study. Patients, who were discharged against medical advice, transferred to other hospitals and had no results of at least one of the D-dimer, fibrinogen and albumin parameters were excluded.

The following pieces of patient data were recorded from the patients’ e-files using the Hospital Information Management Systems programme: D-dimer level, fibrinogen level, albumin level, complaint (fever, cough and shortness of breath), vital signs, comorbidities, information about their ward/intensive care unit (ICU) admission, hospital outcome (discharge and exitus in-hospital) and in-hospital mortality status. The DAR was obtained by dividing the D-dimer level by the albumin level. The FAR was obtained by dividing the fibrinogen level by the albumin level. The primary outcomes of the study were prediction of in-hospital mortality using DAR and FAR and determination of whether DAR or FAR was a more accurate predictor than using D-dimer, fibrinogen and albumin levels.

Statistical analysis of the data was performed using the SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) package programme. Histograms and the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test were used to test the normality of the data. Following the normality analysis result, all quantitative data were expressed as median (25%-75% quartiles) because they did not show a normal distribution, whereas categorical variables were expressed as frequency (percentage). The differences between the groups were investigated using the Mann–Whitney U test. Intragroup comparisons of the categorical variables were made using the chi square test and the Fisher’s exact test. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed to determine predictive power of the D-dimer, fibrinogen and albumin levels and DAR and FAR for in-hospital mortality. The optimum cut-off levels of the biochemical parameters were determined using Youden’s index (sensitivity + 1 – specificity). The sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values of the parameters were calculated for the optimum cut-off levels. The odds ratios of the groups categorised by the optimum cut-off values of D-dimer, fibrinogen, albumin, DAR and FAR in predicting in-hospital COVID-19 mortality were calculated using univariate logistic regression analysis. The area under the curve (AUC) and odds ratio values were used to compare the mortality predictive power of parameters. Statistical significance was set at \( P < .05 \).

3 | RESULTS

A total of 749 patients who were brought to the emergency department with suspected COVID-19 within the period from March to September 2020 and who had a positive PCR test result were identified. Of these 749 patients, 11 were excluded from the study because they sought hospital discharge against medical advice, eight because they were referred to another hospital and 13 because they had no result of at least one of the D-dimer, fibrinogen and albumin parameters. The remaining 717 patients were included in the study.

Of the 717 patients who were included in the study, 371 (51.7%) were male, their median age was 64 years (50-74), and their median length of hospital stay was 9 days (5-14). The median D-dimer, fibrinogen, albumin, DAR and FAR values were found to be 223 (130-454) ng/mL, 419 (324-534) mg/dL, 4.05 (3.68-4.42) g/dL, 56 (30.43-119.69) and 104.3 (77.46-138.88), respectively. A total of 495 patients (69%) had at least one comorbidity in their medical history, the most frequent that was hypertension (260 patients, 36.3%). A total of 550 patients (76.7%) were hospitalised in the ward unit, and 167 (23.3%) were admitted to the ICU. In-hospital mortality
occurred in 126 patients (17.6%) and did not occur in 591 (76.7%). Table 1 shows the detailed data of the cases.

The median D-dimer value in the nonsurvivor group was significantly higher than that in the survivor group (nonsurvivor: 471 ng/dL [264-869]; survivor: 184 mg/dL [116.5-364]; P < .001). The median fibrinogen value that in the nonsurvivor group was significantly higher than in the survivor group (nonsurvivor: 474 mg/dL [388-577]; survivor: 403 mg/dL [315-514.5]; P < .001). The median albumin value that in the nonsurvivor group was significantly lower than that in the survivor group (nonsurvivor: 3.6 g/dL [3.31-3.95]; survivor: 4.16 g/dL [3.31-3.95]; P < .001). The median DAR value in the nonsurvivor group was significantly higher than that in the survivor group (nonsurvivor: 127.79 [75.5-240.2]; survivor: 47.8 [27.29-94.47]; P < .001). The median FAR value in the nonsurvivor group was significantly higher than in the survivor group (nonsurvivor: 3.6 g/dL [3.31-3.95]; survivor: 4.16 g/dL [3.31-3.95]; P < .001).

We performed ROC analysis to determine the in-hospital COVID-19 mortality predictive power of the D-dimer, fibrinogen and albumin levels and DAR and FAR. The AUC value of the DAR was found to be 0.773 (Figure 1), and 84.9% sensitivity, 58.4% specificity, 30.3% positive predictive value (PPV) and 94.8% negative predictive value (NPV) were reached with a DAR cut-off value of 56.36. For FAR, its AUC value was found to be 0.703 (Figure 1), and 71.4% sensitivity, 43.1% specificity, 71.6% PPV and 84.1% NPV were reached with a FAR cut-off value of 112.33. The detailed results of the ROC analysis are given in Table 3.

The odds ratios for predicting in-hospital COVID-19 mortality were as follows: patients with D-dimer > 292.5 ng/mL had an odds ratio of 6.058, those with fibrinogen > 423 mg/dL had an odds ratio of 6.058, those with albumin < 4.015 g/dL had an odds ratio of 6.058, those with DAR > 112.33 had an odds ratio of 6.058, those with FAR > 112.33 had an odds ratio of 6.058. In addition, patients with concurrent DAR > 112.33 and FAR > 112.33 had an odds ratio of 6.058 with respect to patients with concurrent DAR < 112.33 and FAR < 112.33. Detailed odds ratio results are given in Table 4.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated D-dimer, fibrinogen, albumin, DAR and FAR parameters as predictors for in-hospital mortality of COVID-19 patients. According to the results of this study, whereas DAR was found to be the most valuable predictive parameters, FAR was found to be more valuable as a predictor than only fibrinogen. In addition, concurrent high DAR and FAR values as compared with concurrent low DAR and FAR values were found to be more predictively valuable than high values of DAR and FAR separately with respect to low DAR and FAR taken separately.

According to the results of the ROC analysis performed to predict in-hospital mortality, the D-dimer levels reached a 0.757 AUC value, and D-dimer levels above 292.5 ng/mL had a predictive odds ratio of 6.058. Other studies have also associated high D-dimer levels with high mortality. Zhang et al10 carried out a study with 343 COVID-19 patients and found that a D-dimer level with a 0.89 AUC value was able to predict in-hospital mortality. Zhou et al11 studied a total of 191 COVID-19 patients with 54 patients in the nonsurvivor group and found that a D-dimer level above 1 μg/mL reached an odds ratio of 20.04 in predicting mortality with respect to a D-dimer level below 0.5 μg/mL.

There are many possible reasons for the relationship between a high D-dimer level and COVID-19 mortality. The fact that COVID-19 causes hypercoagulation12 may explain this relationship, because it can increase the risk of microthrombus formation and further aggravate

| TABLE 2 Evaluation of participants by in-hospital mortality |
|------------------|------------------|----------|
|                  | Nonsurvivor (126) | Survivor (591) |
| Age              | 76 (68-83)       | 61 (46.5-71) |
| Temperature (°C) | 36.7 (36.3-36.7) | 36.5 (36.1-36.9) |
| Pulse (per min)  | 90 (81-106)      | 92 (82-103)  |
| SBP (mm Hg)      | 130 (110-144)    | 130 (120-140) |
| DBP (mm Hg)      | 70 (60-80)       | 75 (70-80)   |
| MAP (mm Hg)      | 90 (79-100)      | 93 (87-101.33) |
| Saturation (%)   | 89 (80-93)       | 94 (91-96)   |
| D-dimer (mg/mL) | 471 (264-869)    | 184 (116.5-364) |
| Fibrinogen (mg/ dL) | 474 (388-577) | 403 (315-514.5) |
| Albumin (g/dL)   | 3.6 (3.31-3.95)  | 4.16 (3.79-4.48) |
| DAR              | 127.79 (75.5-240.2) | 47.8 (27.29-94.47) |
| FAR              | 133.49 (108.27-161.18) | 98.12 (73.56-131.84) |
| Length of hospital stay (days) | 13 (9-23) | 8 (5-12) |
| Gender           |                  |            |
| Male             | 78 (61.9%)       | 293 (49.6%) |
| Female           | 48 (38.1%)       | 298 (54.5%) |
| Fever            | 52 (41.3%)       | 305 (51.6%) |
| Cough            | 66 (52.4%)       | 310 (52.5%) |
| Shortness of breath | 83 (65.9%)   | 260 (44%)   |
| Comorbidity*     | 108 (85.7%)      | 387 (65.5%) |
| Hypertension     | 64 (50.8%)       | 196 (33.2%) |
| Diabetes mellitus | 42 (33.3%)   | 155 (26.2%) |
| Asthma-COPD      | 20 (15.9%)       | 98 (16.6%)  |
| Cardiovascular disease | 35 (27.8%) | 102 (17.3%) |
| Malignancy       | 21 (16.7%)       | 53 (9%)     |
| CRF              | 11 (8.7%)        | 20 (3.4%)   |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 6 (4.8%) | 10 (1.7%)   |

Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRF, chronic renal failure; MAP, mean arterial pressure.

*Having at least one additional disease in his/her medical history.
the risk of organ failure due to inflammation.\textsuperscript{13} High D-dimer levels are expected as a result of hypercoagulation.\textsuperscript{14} Pulmonary embolism and venous thromboembolism are more frequent in hospitalised COVID-19 patients compared with patients hospitalised for other reasons.\textsuperscript{5} Pulmonary intravascular coagulation and vascular occlusion are frequent findings reported in autopsies of patients who died of COVID-19.\textsuperscript{5} COVID-19 leads to an increased risk of arterial thrombosis such as ischemic stroke, myocardial infarction and limb ischemia.\textsuperscript{5} Hypercoagulation due to COVID-19 may occur for various reasons. Hypoxia caused by COVID-19 triggering thrombosis may be one of these reasons.\textsuperscript{15} In addition, patients with severe COVID-19 are more comorbid, more immobile and exposed to more invasive procedures, increasing the likelihood of thrombotic events.\textsuperscript{10}

The results of the ROC analysis performed to predict in-hospital mortality showed that fibrinogen levels reached a 0.637 AUC value. Fibrinogen levels above 423 mg/dL had an odds ratio of 2.794 in predicting the in-hospital mortality of COVID-19 patients. Bi et al\textsuperscript{9} found that fibrinogen levels above 4 g/L reached a hazard ratio of 3.284 in predicting disease severity with respect to fibrinogen levels below 4 g/L. The reason for the relationship between high fibrinogen levels and COVID-19 mortality is hypercoagulation,\textsuperscript{16} which is also caused by high D-dimer levels. Hyperfibrinogenemia increases the risk of coronary artery disease, deep vein thrombosis and ischemic stroke.\textsuperscript{17-19} Although both D-dimer and fibrinogen are indicators of coagulation and inflammation, D-dimer was found to be more predictive of in-hospital mortality than fibrinogen (AUC of D-dimer and fibrinogen: 0.757, 0.637; odds ratio of D-dimer and fibrinogen: 6.058, 2.794). The reason of this is that while fibrinogen levels increase in the early stage of inflammation, they tend to peak and then decrease in the late stages when the disease is severe.\textsuperscript{16}

In the ROC analysis performed to predict in-hospital mortality, albumin levels reached a 0.766 AUC value. Albumin levels below 4.015 g/dL had an odds ratio of 6.216. This is consistent with the results of prior studies. For example, de la Rica et al\textsuperscript{20} found that in a total of 48 COVID-19 patients with 21 patients in the ICU group, albumin levels were statistically significantly lower in ICU group than

### TABLE 1 Features of participants

| Number of persons | 717 (100%) |
|-------------------|------------|
| Age               | 64 (50-74) |
| Gender            |            |
| Male              | 371 (51.7%)|
| Female            | 346 (48.3%)|
| Vital signs       |            |
| Fever (°C)        | 36.5 (36.2-36.9) |
| Pulse (per minute)| 91 (82-103)  |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 130 (120-141) |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 74 (70-80)  |
| MAP (mm Hg)       | 93 (86.66-101) |
| Saturation (%)    | 93 (90-96)  |
| Complaints        |            |
| Fever             | 357 (49.8%) |
| Cough             | 376 (52.4%) |
| Shortness of breath | 343 (47.8%) |
| Laboratory results|            |
| D-dimer (ng/mL)   | 223 (130-454) |
| Fibrinogen (mg/dL)| 419 (324-534) |
| Albumin (g/dL)    | 4.05 (3.68-4.42) |
| DAR               | 56 (30.43-119.69) |
| FAR               | 104.3 (77.46-138.88) |
| Medical history   |            |
| Comorbidity\textsuperscript{4} | 495 (69%) |
| Hypertension      | 260 (36.3%) |
| Diabetes mellitus | 197 (27.5%) |
| Cardiovascular disease | 137 (19.1%) |
| Asthma-COPD       | 118 (16.5%) |
| Malignancy        | 74 (10.3%) |
| CRF               | 31 (4.3%)  |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 16 (2.2%)  |
| Length of hospital stay (day) | 9 (5-14) |
| Emergency service outcome|   |
| Ward unit         | 550 (76.7%) |
| ICU               | 167 (23.3%) |
| Hospital outcome  |            |
| Discharged        | 591 (76.7%) |
| Ex                | 126 (17.6%) |
| In-hospital mortality |       |
| Survivor          | 591 (76.7%) |
| Nonsurvivor       | 126 (17.6%) |

Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRF, chronic renal failure; DAR, D-dimer/albumin ratio; FAR, fibrinogen/albumin ratio; ICU, intensive care unit; MAP, mean arterial pressure.\textsuperscript{4}Having at least one additional disease in his/her medical history.
non-ICU group. Violi et al found that in a total of 319 hospitalised COVID-19 patients with 64 patients in the nonsurvivor group, albumin levels below 3.2 g/dL had an odds ratio of 2.48 in predicting in-hospital mortality. As with D-dimer and fibrinogen, hypercoagulation is one possible explanation for the relationship between hypoalbuminemia and COVID-19 mortality. Some studies have shown that arterial and venous thromboembolic events increase with hypoalbuminemia. 21,22

Finally, according to the result of the ROC analysis performed to predict in-hospital COVID-19 mortality, the DAR and FAR AUCs reached 0.773 and 0.703, respectively. Whereas DAR > 56.36 had an odds ratio of 7.898, FAR > 112.33 had an odds ratio of 4.437. Some studies have indicated that FARs increase in hypercoagulation states such as cardiovascular disease, cerebrovascular disease and chronic venous insufficiency. 6,23,24 As mentioned earlier, we did not come across any prior studies of the predictive value of DAR. The study Bi et al. of a total of 113 COVID-19 patients with 22 patients in the serious group found that hazard ratios obtained by FAR for predicting severity of COVID-19 were higher than those obtained by fibrinogen levels (hazard ratio of FAR > 0.0883:5.212, hazard ratio of fibrinogen > 4:3.284). In addition, Karahan et al. found FAR to be a more sensitive and specific predictor than fibrinogen in showing the progression of venous insufficiency. In this study, the AUC and odds ratio values obtained using FARs for predict in-hospital COVID-19 mortality were higher than the values obtained by only using fibrinogen levels. However, the AUC and odds ratio values obtained using DARs to predict in-hospital COVID-19 mortality were higher than the values obtained using any other parameter. In addition, we found that in-hospital mortality risk due to concurrent high DARs and FARs compared with concurrent low DARs and FARs (odds ratio: 21.879) was found to be higher than the in-hospital mortality risk when evaluated separately (DAR odds ratio: 7.898, FAR odds ratio: 4.437). We have not found any previous studies in the literature evaluating concurrent high levels of DAR and FAR.

The limitations of our study were as follows: the limited number of cases, being a retrospective and single-centre study, exclusion of discharged COVID-19 patients in the study and failure to evaluate treatment protocols. Another limitation is that patients using anticoagulant drugs were not excluded from the study; patients using anticoagulant drugs may be less affected by hypercoagulation due to COVID-19.

### 5 | CONCLUSION

In this study, whereas FAR was found to be more valuable than using only fibrinogen levels to predict in-hospital COVID-19 mortality,
DAR was found to be more valuable than any other parameter. In addition, concurrent high DAR and FAR were found to be more predictive of in-hospital COVID-19 mortality than either taken separately.
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