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Summary
Background. — Since December 2019 the highly contagious COVID-19 virus has been spreading worldwide with a rapid spike in the number of deaths. The WHO declared COVID-19 to be a pandemic in March 2020. As of June 2020 it has been 7 months since the first case of COVID-19 was reported in Wuhan, China. So far, COVID-19 has affected more than 24 million people in 215 countries/territories, has caused more than 0.8 million deaths and spread unpredictably quickly among people worldwide. The infection rate in many nations continues to spike. After restraint of the initial outbreak failed, authorities turned to implementing new policies designed to slow the contagion of the virus and spread of COVID-19 to a manageable rate. This paper presents a systematic analysis to examine in the 25 most affected countries the association between the dates of first death and the first case of the virus to analyse the virulence and also to examine the association between the first case and the virus spread.

Methodology. — Data from the WHO website were used. After filtering the data, we calculated the number of days between the first reported case in China and the first reported case in each of the countries, NDFC. Another variable, NDFD, the number of days between the first reported case and first reported case of each country, was also calculated. Then we established the correlation between NDFC and NDFD. Tables are used to show the statistics and charts in order to make the findings clearer.
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Introduction

COVID-19 takes us 100 years back because of its safety measure of untouchability, which had been followed in many countries by maintaining as a social custom. The research on new viruses has also become a main research area in several countries with dangerous viruses and bacteria stored in the laboratories for research purposes.

Many pandemic and epidemic situations have been faced by our ancestors and many persons have been killed by emerging viruses such as Ebola virus, avian H7N9, SARS-CoV, or MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, etc.

The new type of viral infection caused by the corona virus emerged in Wuhan City, China, and was first reported in November 2019 [1]. When China reported the situation to the world, the virus had already been exported from China and spread to other countries. Some studies reported that this novel virus may have been transferred from an animal source, such as bats, to human beings. On 31 December 2019 China alerted and informed the WHO of several flu-like cases in Wuhan. WHO issued a statement on 5 January 2020, by advising the member countries against and imposing restrictions on travel with China because of the virus infection. WHO declared on 26 January that the global risk of the novel corona virus was 'high' rather than 'moderate' as they previously reported. WHO characterized COVID-19 as a pandemic on 11 March. On the same day, Director-General of WHO openly told the media that there were more than 118,000 cases in 114 countries, and 4291 people had lost their lives. The first officially recorded case of COVID-19 outside China was in Thailand on 13 January 2020.

Since that time researchers have been publishing papers providing new information about COVID-19. Still the number of infected people continues its ominous climb. In the wake of the increase in the number of COVID cases, each country has followed different actions to control the spreading of the virus. Some countries have followed lockdowns, some others are waiting for herd immunity while some have increased testing. While the focus is on the rising number of COVID-19 cases, the fact is that a considerable number of people have died all over the world due to other kinds of virus or bacterial infections. The virus spread could have been controlled if it had been identified and proper precautions taken before it left the original source.

Nipah Virus (NIV) is a potential threat to global health security. NIV was first discovered in Malaysia in 1998 and subsequently in Singapore (1999), Bangladesh (2001) and Philippines in 2014. The first recorded outbreak of NIV in India was identified in Siliguri, West Bengal in 2001, affecting 66 persons with a mortality of 68%. The second was in Nadia, West Bengal in 2007, and affected five persons with 100% mortality. Nipah virus was designated one of ten priority diseases in the Research and Development Blueprint of 2018 by the World Health Organisation (WHO) [2]. The mortality rate of Nipah in Kerala was an alarming 88.9% (as of 7 June 2018) reported by Directorate of Health Services, Kerala [3]. It is many times higher than the mortality rate of Covid-19. The infected countries managed to control the spread of Nipah virus very efficiently.

The outbreak of the swine influenza virus of 2009 was not the first of its kind. Swine virus infections were observed in 1976 at an army training base in Fort Dix, New Jersey [4]. It has been proven that if this kind of virus can be detected sufficiently early, it can be controlled before it spreads as a pandemic in the world. Now each country is trying to control the spreading of the COVID virus in different ways, but all the countries unanimously follow common rules, ie, frequent hand wash, wearing a face mask, social distancing and staying home. But the UK, during the first stages of the virus spread, tried to get herd immunity to the people, a concept describing the point at which a population has developed protection against a disease. After the herd immunity concepts failed, the UK started following the above said four rules. Each country is now trying to explain that their concept is good by pointing to the number of cases, deaths and recoveries.

In this study, we attempt to analyse the statistics of COVID-19 effects related to different countries. By analysing the exact time duration taken by each country between

**Results.** The date of the first death of each country is not dependant on the first case. When NDFC is high, the variable NDFD is homogeneously low. When the variable NDFC is low, the variable NDFD is heterogeneous. The virus could have been mutating and became more virulent during March. The countries with the highest number of deaths are not the most affected countries when analysing the death ratio of cases and population.

**Conclusions.** COVID-19 has spread unpredictably quickly among people worldwide. In this critical situation, this paper presents a systematic analysis about the infected cases of COVID-19, deaths and association between first case and first death in each country. In order to obtain a true picture it is necessary to analyse the raw data in different dimensions, and at the end of the paper we will show a clear picture about which countries have controlled the virus very efficiently and which countries have been most affected by it to date.
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reporting its first case to report its first death, the mutation of the virus can be ascertained. Such findings and insights can be vital for taking exact safety measures on the global fight against COVID-19 (Table 1).

### Related work

While focusing on the rising number of COVID-19 cases and deaths in the world, many researches were being conducted to identify a vaccine against COVID-19. COVID-19 was first detected in November 2019 and had spread to other countries by January 2020, and many research papers had been published based on this topic within two or three months. Because of the vast number of published papers, many review papers also have been published. A review was conducted by selecting 65 research articles published before 31 January 2020 [5]. The authors analysed and discussed the epidemiology, causes, clinical diagnosis, prevention and control of this virus. One of their findings was that the majority of the papers, 67.7%, were published by Chinese scholars.

A considerable number of research papers have been published by analysing the symptoms of the virus infection. According to the latest reports, loss of smell and taste is considered to be an early symptom of COVID-19 infection. Some studies also have been conducted based on these symptoms. Another interesting study has been published by Luo et al. [6], in which the authors conducted a review on the use of Chinese herbs based on historical records and human evidence of SARS and H1N1 influenza prevention. They have concluded that these herbal formulae can be an alternative approach for prevention of COVID-19 in high-risk populations while waiting for the invention of a vaccine [6]. In another paper the authors studied the treatments being used, examined clinical and laboratory features and short-term outcomes (discharge or death) of patients with COVID-19. They have collected details of 102 patients of whom 17 died and 18 entered into intensive care unit (ICU) [7].

One study was performed in China with 131 COVID-19 patients and analysed their CT scans to find any common clinical manifestations and concluded that the Chest CT plays an important role in diagnosing COVID-19 [8]. Another work based on the CT manifestations with representative COVID-19 cases at a hospital was conducted to help the radiologists make a quick and accurate diagnosis and strengthen the recognition of the virus features [9]. A few studies have used Artificial Intelligence on the COVID-19 datasets to process the data and retrieve information, and some papers have analysed this topic [10]. One paper presented a 3D CNN network to automatically diagnose COVID-19 from the community acquired pneumonia (CAP) in chest computed tomography (CT) [11]. A different study was conducted [12] to analyse how the social media users interpret the term COVID-19. Many research papers have been published regarding the spread of the virus. One study was conducted to make a prediction of daily new cases on the Diamond Princess Cruise ship by estimating the reproductive number of the novel virus in the early stage of outbreak [13]. The study was begun because 355 COVID-19 cases had been confirmed on February 16, 2020 on the ship. Another research work was trying to identify the time between the beginning of symptoms and death or discharge from hospital [14]. Another research has been applied to a simulated Singaporean population to estimate the likelihood of human-to-human transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus by adapting an influenza epidemic simulation model. After the detection of 100 cases of community transmission, they estimated the cumulative number of SARS-CoV-2 infections at 80 days by using this model. In Hong Kong, some public health measures have been implemented to reduce the local transmission of corona virus disease 2019. The authors of the paper [15] analysed the effect of these safely measures and behavioural changes of the public on COVID-19 and influenza virus infections. The authors concluded that the control measures such as border restrictions, quarantine and isolation, distancing, and changes in population behaviour reduced transmission of COVID-19 and influenza in Hong Kong. The above mentioned related works discuss the symptoms, treatments, spread, death, effect on children, effect on other patients, the application of technology etc. on the COVID-19 pandemic situation. Very little research has dealt with the time duration from the first case reported and first reported death. Here in this study, we have selected the most affected 25 countries.

### Materials and methods

Many online databases are available concerning COVID-19 updates. There is no other means than to rely upon online data to avail the global data during this pandemic situation as manual data collection cannot be carried during this lockdown period. However, the reliability of the available data has to be confirmed before using it. Various databases have been considered and finally the data was collected from the website of ‘World Health organization’ (WHO) [16]. The tabular form of data was downloaded and stored in Excel data sheets. The advanced filter and sort options of Excel were used to pre-process the data. The information of first confirmed death of each country was also extracted from the graphical data available in the WHO website as was the number of tests conducted in each country [17]. To confirm the

| Table 1 | List of Variables Used. |
|---------|-------------------------|
| No | Variable name | Description |
| 1 | DFC | Date of first reported case of each country |
| 2 | DFD | Date of first confirmed death of each country |
| 3 | NDFC | Number of days between DFC and first reported case in China (31/12/2020) |
| 4 | NDFD | Number of days between DFC and DFD |
| 5 | PC | The position of the country when the 215 countries are arranged according to the total number of cases |
reliability of this data, first we crosschecked the other data with WHO website. The values such as NDFC and NDFD were calculated by using Excel formulas. Of the 215 countries, the 25 with the highest number of cases have been considered for this study.

The Correlation between NDFC and NDFD

The correlation test was used to analyse the association between the NDFC and the NDFD of each country. The plotting of NDFC and NDFD in Fig. 1 showed an interesting and an important association between the two values. When NDFC was high, the variable NDFD was homogeneously low. When the variable NDFC was low, the variable NDFD was heterogeneous. This heterogeneity of NDFD can be explained by the different measures taken by the countries early in the pandemic. During the beginning of the pandemic situation, no proper studies were conducted on the virus, so the countries with a low NDFC value did not have enough experience with the virus. Therefore when NDFC was low each country had its own measures to control the virus and NDFD was heterogeneous. However when the NDFC was high, people knew the correct precautions to implement to control the virus spread. When the NDFC was high, the NDFD was low but homogeneously so because countries started to implement the common and popular control measures. Paradoxically enough, despite having COVID 19 deaths, some countries could not detect it at that time. For example, Turkey, where the NDFC was 71 the NDFD was 7 (Table 2).

Number of cases and its Associations with the First Reported case and the First Confirmed Death

At the time of this study the COVID-19 was affecting 213 countries and territories around the world and two international conveyances (total 215) [17]. Various countries across the world were taking different steps to reduce the spread of the virus.

The basic reproduction number of the virus can be reduced through various strategies such as social distancing, wearing a face mask, frequent hand wash etc. This section discusses how the virus has affected various countries. Out of the 215, 25 countries with the highest number of cases have been considered to analyse the first reported cases and the first confirmed deaths as shown in Table 2. The countries are sorted in descending order of number of cases. The first countries on the list have more cases than other countries while the last country on the list, Qatar, has the least number of reported cases. The countries with more than one hundred thousand cases are considered here. The third column contains the date of the first reported case (DFC) of each country, and the fourth column shows the number of days after the reporting of the first case in Wuhan, China (Dec 31, 2019) the country takes to report its first case. Fig. 2 is constructed based on the data in the fourth column. While observing the figure, we can see that the total number of cases in each country did not depend on the date of the first confirmed case of that country. The first case reported outside China was in Thailand on 13th January [18], but in Thailand only 58 deaths and 3351 total cases have been reported as on August 10/2020 [17]. Its population is more than 60 million; almost the same as France and it is in 11th position in the list of 215 affected countries. This indicates that the virus spread or DFC is not associated with the population size.

Among the 25 listed countries, ten (the USA, India, Russia, Spain, UK, Italy, Germany, France, Philippines and Canada) have reported its first case within one month. The 25 countries are sorted based on the total number of cases. These ten countries are neither on top of the list nor formed as a group of most affected countries. By analysing the other two countries from the top of the list, Brazil and South Africa reported their first case only after 57 and 60 days, but still they are in 2nd and 5th position in the list. By analysing Fig. 2, it is clear that the first reported case and the total number of cases in each country were not directly related with each other. No country could control the first spread of the virus because there were 118,000 cases in 100 countries when WHO declared COVID-19 a global pandemic on 11th of March 2020 [19].

The first confirmed death of each country depended on the controlling measures implemented by the country. The 5th column of Table 2 shows the date of first con-
Table 2  List of most affected countries with the date of first reported case and the date of first confirmed death.

| No | Country          | DFC   | NDFC  | DFD   | NDFD  |
|----|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1  | United States    | 20-Jan-2020 | 20  | 03-Mar-2020 | 43  |
| 2  | Brazil           | 26-Feb-2020 | 57  | 18-Mar-2020 | 21  |
| 3  | India            | 30-Jan-2020 | 30  | 13-Mar-2020 | 43  |
| 4  | Russia           | 31-Jan-2020 | 31  | 25-Mar-2020 | 54  |
| 5  | South Africa     | 05-Mar-2020 | 65  | 27-Mar-2020 | 22  |
| 6  | Mexico           | 28-Feb-2020 | 59  | 19-Mar-2020 | 20  |
| 7  | Peru             | 06-Mar-2020 | 66  | 20-Mar-2020 | 14  |
| 8  | Colombia         | 06-Mar-2020 | 66  | 23-Mar-2020 | 17  |
| 9  | Chile            | 03-Mar-2020 | 63  | 21-Mar-2020 | 18  |
| 10 | Spain            | 31-Jan-2020 | 31  | 13-Feb-2020 | 13  |
| 11 | Iran             | 19-Feb-2020 | 50  | 19-Feb-2020 | 00  |
| 12 | United Kingdom   | 31-Jan-2020 | 31  | 07-Mar-2020 | 36  |
| 13 | Saudi Arabia     | 02-Mar-2020 | 62  | 24-Mar-2020 | 22  |
| 14 | Pakistan         | 25-Feb-2020 | 56  | 20-Mar-2020 | 24  |
| 15 | Bangladesh       | 08-Mar-2020 | 68  | 19-Mar-2020 | 11  |
| 16 | Italy            | 31-Jan-2020 | 31  | 22-Feb-2020 | 22  |
| 17 | Argentina        | 05-Mar-2020 | 65  | 07-Mar-2020 | 02  |
| 18 | Turkey           | 11-Mar-2020 | 71  | 18-Mar-2020 | 07  |
| 19 | Germany          | 26-Jan-2020 | 26  | 10-Mar-2020 | 44  |
| 20 | France           | 24-Jan-2020 | 24  | 15-Feb-2020 | 22  |
| 21 | Iraq             | 22-Feb-2020 | 53  | 04-Mar-2020 | 11  |
| 22 | Philippines      | 30-Jan-2020 | 30  | 02-Feb-2020 | 03  |
| 23 | Indonesia        | 03-Mar-2020 | 63  | 11-Mar-2020 | 08  |
| 24 | Canada           | 26-Jan-2020 | 26  | 11-Mar-2020 | 45  |
| 25 | Qatar            | 28-Feb-2020 | 59  | 30-Mar-2020 | 31  |

Figure 2. Country-wise NDFC.

As of early April 2020, NDFC value is the number of days between DFC of each country and the first reported case. Table 2, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 indicate that the total number of infected cases is not associated with the date of the first reported cases and first confirmed deaths. When analysing the time onset of the value, we could find no specific reason to explain this. The time gap was because...
of the time taken by the virus to reach each destination by means of its carriers from China which is the largest producer of all kinds of products. People from all corners of the world travel to and from China. Moreover, there are many students traveling to different universities of China and Chinese students are also studying in other countries, which accelerates the spread of the virus everywhere. For example, the first COVID 19 case reported in India was a student from Kerala who was studying medicine in China [20].

Table 3 is sorted on the order of NDFC and shows an interesting factor. Most of the countries with a lower NDFC value have a higher NDFD value, which shows that the early first cases reported countries take more time to report its first death. In fact, those countries have not had sufficient time to implement the controlling factors because the virus had entered earlier than into other countries on an average of 26 days. The other countries, those after 7th position, have more time to control the virus because their NDFC is higher (average 56 days), but they have reported the deaths within an average of 16 days. Why did this happen? Another noticeable factor is that Iraq confirmed its first death on the same day of its first reported case. From the above it is clear that many countries were unable to identify and report their actual first case.

By comparing the three figures and two tables we can conclude that the virus spread and the number of total cases are not directly related with the first corona case reported in each country, nor is the first death related to the first reported case. It clearly indicates that the death depends on various factors such as the controlling measures implemented by the countries and the immunity power of the citizens, etc.

To evaluate the virus mutation, carefully analyse Table 2. Some of the countries which reported the first case in March also had their first death in March, but most of the countries with the first case in January or February also had their first case in March. This clearly indicates that the early affected viruses were less virulent than those reported in March. In the early period of the COVID-19, ie. Dec, Jan and Feb, many studies reported that the virus was not very harmful and the death rate was less than 2%. The above analysis suggests that the virus reported in March could have been a new mutated version of the virus and more virulent.

**COVID-19 Deaths, Population and Cases**

The number of cases and the days of first death and first case have been analysed in the above sections, but the most
important matter to be discussed is the effect of the virus i.e., the death rate of the COVID-19 patients. A number of studies have been published on this topic [21, 22]. As it is not possible to list all 215 effected countries here, 25 countries have been selected based on the number of deaths. 

Table 4 contains only those countries which have reported more than 5000 COVID-19 deaths with the list sorted on the number of reported deaths of each country. USA and Brazil came as the first two countries in Table 4 because the total numbers of deaths are more than one hundred thousand in both the countries which is the highest number of deaths among all the 25 countries, but the death rate of these two countries are only 3% of the total cases. If the death ratio is considered, other countries have been more affected. At the time of this writing, UK, Italy and France had a death rate of more than 14% of the total number of patients. In addition, the number of reported cases of these countries was less than 0.5% of the population. Column 6 of Table 4 presents the percentage of deaths of the total cases of each country. Looking only at column 4, the real statistics of deaths cannot be analysed. Russia and South Africa have the lowest percentages of deaths, but both the countries are in 12th and 14th places respectively with the list arranged on the basis of the actual number of deaths.

The countries are arranged in Table 5 according to their death ratio of cases. The countries with a death rate greater than 5% have been selected and listed. Out of 215, only 21 countries have confirmed more than 5% deaths of the total cases, but eight countries on the top of the table have a rate above 10% of the total cases. The officially declared
death rate of COVID-19 ranges from 0.75% to 3%. The death rate also depends on the number of tests. If the countries conducted more tests, there is a possibility to increase the number of cases, therefore it will decrease the death rate. The real statistics of COVID-19 death can be calculated as the ratio of the population, which is shown in Table 6. The 215 countries were also sorted on the death ratio of the population, and we selected eight countries which have more than 500 deaths per one million population. Column 6 represents the death ratio of population. When considering the total number of deaths, USA and Brazil have more deaths than the other countries. But considering the percentage of deaths of the cases, Yemen, France, UK and Italy have higher death rates than other countries. Another group of countries, ie, Belgium, UK, Peru, Spain, Italy, Sweden, Chile and USA, have higher death rates when compared to the death ratio of the population. The death ratio of population was not associated with the death rate of cases, which is shown in last column.

### Table 5: Death ratio of total number of cases.

| No | PC | Country | Number of cases | Deaths | % cases of population | % deaths of cases |
|----|----|---------|-----------------|--------|-----------------------|------------------|
| J  | 133| Yemen   | 1,832           | 518    | 0.01                  | 28.28            |
| 2  | 122| France  | 202,775         | 30,340 | 0.15                  | 14.96            |
| 3  | 117| UK      | 311,641         | 46,526 | 0.46                  | 14.93            |
| 4  | 114| Italy   | 250,825         | 35,209 | 0.14                  | 14.04            |
| 5  | 115| Belgium | 74,620          | 9,879  | 0.13                  | 13.24            |
| 6  | 101| Hungary | 4,746           | 605    | 0.05                  | 12.75            |
| 7  | 102| Mexico  | 485,836         | 53,003 | 0.38                  | 10.91            |
| 8  | 103| Netherlands | 59,194       | 6,157  | 0.11                  | 10.40            |
| 9  | 100| Spain   | 370,060         | 28,576 | 0.08                  | 7.72             |
| 10 | 104| Canada  | 120,132         | 8,987  | 0.07                  | 7.48             |
| 11 | 105| Sweden  | 82,972          | 5,766  | 0.07                  | 6.95             |
| 12 | 106| Ireland | 26,768          | 1,772  | 0.07                  | 6.62             |
| 13 | 107| Sudan   | 11,956          | 781    | 0.07                  | 6.53             |
| 14 | 108| Liberia | 1,240           | 79     | 0.01                  | 6.37             |
| 15 | 109| Ecuador | 94,701          | 5,932  | 0.06                  | 6.26             |
| 16 | 110| Niger   | 1,158           | 69     | 0.01                  | 5.96             |
| 17 | 111| Slovenia | 2,272         | 129    | 0.01                  | 5.68             |
| 18 | 112| Iran    | 328,844         | 18,616 | 0.06                  | 5.66             |
| 19 | 113| China   | 84,712          | 4,634  | 0.01                  | 5.47             |
| 20 | 114| Switzerland | 36,708       | 1,987  | 0.05                  | 5.41             |
| 21 | 115| Egypt   | 95,666          | 5,035  | 0.05                  | 5.26             |

### Table 6: Death ratio of population.

| No | PC | Country | Number of cases | Total deaths | Death of 1 million population | Population | % cases of population | % deaths of population |
|----|----|---------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 1  | 37 | Belgium | 74620           | 9879         | 852                           | 11595289   | 0.6                   | 13.2                   |
| 2  | 12 | UK      | 311641          | 46526        | 685                           | 67925918   | 0.5                   | 14.9                   |
| 3  | 07 | Peru    | 483133          | 21276        | 644                           | 33021340   | 1.5                   | 4.4                    |
| 4  | 10 | Spain   | 370060          | 28576        | 611                           | 46756846   | 0.8                   | 7.7                    |
| 5  | 17 | Italy   | 250825          | 35209        | 582                           | 60451600   | 0.4                   | 14.0                   |
| 6  | 33 | Sweden  | 82972           | 5766         | 571                           | 10106283   | 0.8                   | 6.9                    |
| 7  | 09 | Chile   | 375044          | 10139        | 530                           | 19134305   | 2.0                   | 2.7                    |
| 8  | 01 | USA     | 5251446         | 166192       | 502                           | 331219304  | 1.6                   | 3.2                    |

### Conclusion

With COVID-19 spread continuing unabated throughout the world, each country has been implementing different controlling measures. The COVID-19 mutation and deaths are analysed by considering all the countries with the virus spread. Many sites, including official sites, are giving the data in different forms such as tables, graphs and numbers. While analysing the data as it is, no one will get a clear picture about the statistics of the effect of the virus. The aim of this analysis is to unveil the hidden knowledge, which can be achieved by looking at the data in different dimensions. At present more than 24 million COVID-19 infected cases and more than 0.8 million COVID-19 deaths have been reported worldwide, meanwhile more than 16.6 million recovered cases have also been reported. The number of recovered is also spiking with the reported cases which gives great relief to the people everywhere. Pandemics are affecting peoples’ lives in different ways and will end by leaving some
sad memories and some new habits. We will overcome this crisis too.

**Human and animal rights**

The author declares that the work described has not involved experimentation on humans or animals.

**Informed consent and patient details**

The author declares that the work described does not involve patients or volunteers.

**Funding**

This work did not receive any grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**Disclosure of interest**

The author declares that he has no competing interest.

**References**

[1] The Economic Times. “First covid-19 case can be traced back to November 17 in China’s Hubei province: Report.” https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/international/world-news/first-covid-19-case-can-be-traced-back-to-november-17-in-chinas-hubei-province-report/articleshow/74608199.cms, 2020.[Online; accessed 30-July-2020].

[2] Arunkumar G, Chandni R, Mourya DT, Singh SK, Sadanan- dan R, Sudan P, et al. Outbreak investigation of Nipah virus disease in Kerala, India, 2018. J Infect Dis 2019;219: 1867—78.

[3] Paul L. Nipah virus in Kerala: a deadly zoonosis. Clin Microbiol Infect 2018;24:1113—4.

[4] Wang TT, Palese P. Unraveling the mystery of swine influenza virus. Cell 2009;137:983—5.

[5] Adhikari SP, Meng S, Wu YJ, Mao YP, Ye RX, Wang QZC, et al. Epidemiology, causes, clinical manifestation and diagnosis, prevention and control of coronavirus disease (covid-19) during the early outbreak period: a scoping review. Infect Dis Poverty 2020;9:29.

[6] Luo H, Tang QL, Shang YX, Liang SB, Yang M, Robinson N, et al. Can Chinese medicine be used for prevention of corona virus disease 2019 (covid-19)? a review of historical classics, research evidence and current prevention programs. Chin J Integr Med 2020;26:243—50.

[7] Cao J, Hu X, Cheng W, Yu L, Tu WJ, Liu Q. Clinical features and short-term outcomes of 18 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in intensive care unit. Intensive Care Med 2020;46:851—3.

[8] Li X, Zeng W, Li X, Chen H, Shi L, Li X, et al. CT imaging changes of coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19): a multi-center study in southwest China. J Transl Med 2020;18:154.

[9] Ye Z, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Huang Z, Song B. Chest CT. manifestations of new coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19): a pictorial review. Eur Radiol 2020;30:4381—9.

[10] Shi F, Wang J, Shi J, Wu Z, Wang Q, Tang Z, et al. Review of artificial intelligence techniques in imaging diagnosis, segmentation and diagnosis for covid-19. IEEE Rev Biomed Eng 2021;14:1—15.

[11] Ouyang X, Huo J, Xiao L, Shan F, Liu J, Mo Z, et al. Dual-sampling attention network for diagnosis of covid-19 from community acquired pneumonia. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 2020;39:2595—605.

[12] Lyu H, Chen L, Wang Y, Luo J. Sense and sensibility: characterizing social media users regarding the use of controversial terms for covid-19. IEEE Transactions on Big Data 2020, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TBDATA.2020.2996401.

[13] Zhang S, Diao M, Yu W, Pei L, Lin Z, Chen D. Estimation of the reproductive number of novel coronavirus (covid-19) and the probable outbreak size on the diamond princess cruise ship: a data-driven analysis. Int J Infect Dis 2020;93:201—4.

[14] Verity R, Okell LC, Dorriti I, Winskill P, Whittaker C, Imai N, et al. Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 2020;20:669—77.

[15] Cowling BJ, Ali ST, Ng TYY, Tsang TK, Li JCM, Fong MW, et al. Impact assessment of non-pharmaceutical interventions against coronavirus disease 2019 and influenza in Hong Kong: an observational study. Lancet Public Health 2020;5:e279—88.

[16] WHO. WHO coronavirus disease (covid-19) dashboard; 2020 [Online; accessed 11-August-2020].

[17] International team of developers. Covid-19 coronavirus pandemic; 2020 [Online; accessed 10-August-2020].

[18] Dong N, Yang X, Ye L, Chen K, Chan WC, Yang M, et al. Genomic and protein structure modelling analysis depicts the origin and infectivity of 2019-ncov, a new coronavirus which caused a pneumonia outbreak in Wuhan, China. BioRxiv 2020.

[19] Blyuss KB, Kyrychko YN. Effects of latency and age structure on the dynamics and containment of covid-19. J Theor Biol 2021;513:110587.

[20] Unnithan PSG. Kerala reports first confirmed coronavirus case in India. India Today 2020.

[21] Kuck KH. Arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death in the covid-19 pandemic. Herz 2020;45:325—6.

[22] Suess C, Hausmann R. Gross and histopathological pulmonary findings in a covid-19 associated death during self-isolation. Int J Legal Med 2020;134:1285—90.