Optical spectroscopy of candidate Alpha Persei white dwarfs
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ABSTRACT

As part of an investigation into the high mass end of the initial mass-final mass relation we performed a search for new white dwarf members of the nearby (172.4 pc), young (80-90 Myr) α Persei open star cluster. The photometric and astrometric search using the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey and SuperCOSMOS sky surveys discovered 14 new white dwarf candidates. We have obtained medium resolution optical spectra of the brightest 11 candidates using the William Herschel Telescope and confirmed that while 7 are DA white dwarfs, 3 are DB white dwarfs and one is an sdOB star, only three have cooling ages within the cluster age, and from their position on the initial mass-final mass relation, it is likely none are cluster members. This result is disappointing, as recent work on the cluster mass function suggests that there should be at least one white dwarf member, even at this young age. It may be that any white dwarf members of α Per are hidden within binary systems, as is the case in the Hyades cluster, however the lack of high mass stars within the cluster also makes this seem unlikely. One alternative is that a significant level of detection incompleteness in the legacy optical image survey data at this Galactic latitude has caused some white dwarf members to be overlooked. If this is the case, Gaia will find them.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The initial mass-final mass relation (IFMR) describes the relationship between the main sequence mass of a star with M<\textsubscript{init}≥10\,M\odot\ and the mass of the white dwarf created after it dies (e.g. Iben Jr. & Renzini[1983]). Understanding the form of this relation is important since it provides information on the amount of gas enriched with He, N and other metals that are returned to the interstellar medium by the death of low- and intermediate-mass stars. Additionally, the form of the upper end of the IFMR is relevant to studies of Type II supernovae as it can provide a constraint on the minimum mass of the progenitor star of any white dwarf member (e.g. Iben Jr. & Renzini[1983]).

During the last decade we have seen substantial progress in mapping the IFMR, with several groups exploiting mosaic imagers and telescopes with blue sensitive spectrographs to perform detailed studies of cluster white dwarfs (e.g. Kalirai et al. 2007; Williams et al. 2009; Casewell et al. 2009; Dobbie et al. 2009). However, despite clear headway, the IFMR remains very poorly sampled, and the form of the top end of the IFMR is greatly uncertain. Here, since the age of the population can be determined from the location of the main sequence turn-off (King & Schuler 2005) or a lithium age if the cluster is sufficiently young, the lifetime and mass of the progenitor star of any white dwarf member can be estimated by calculating the difference between the cooling time of the white dwarf and the cluster age.

During the last decade we have seen substantial progress in mapping the IFMR, with several groups exploiting mosaic imagers and telescopes with blue sensitive spectrographs to perform detailed studies of cluster white dwarfs (e.g. Kalirai et al. 2007; Williams et al. 2009; Casewell et al. 2009; Dobbie et al. 2009). However, despite clear headway, the IFMR remains very poorly sampled, and the form of the top end of the IFMR is greatly uncertain. Here, since the age of the population can be determined from the location of the main sequence turn-off (King & Schuler 2005) or a lithium age if the cluster is sufficiently young, the lifetime and mass of the progenitor star of any white dwarf member can be estimated by calculating the difference between the cooling time of the white dwarf and the cluster age.

In a bid to obtain crucial new data in this initial mass regime, we have used the extensive imaging obtained as part of the UKIRT Infrared Sky Survey Galactic Clusters Survey (UKIDSS GCS: Lawrence et al. 2007) to search the open cluster α Per for candidate...
white dwarf members. This population has several characteristics which suggest it is particularly well suited to this type of investigation yet until now it has not been exploited. It is nearby, 172.4 pc \citep{vanleeuwen2009} and despite residing at low Galactic latitude (b=-6.053, \cite{kharchenko2013}), foreground extinction is low, $E_{B-V} < 0.1$ \citep{prosser1992}. Thus intrinsically faint members will appear comparatively bright and can be studied in detail with spectrographs on modern telescopes in modest integration times. \(\alpha\) Per also has a distinct proper motion ($\mu_\alpha \cos \delta, \mu_\delta \sim 23, -27$ mas yr$^{-1}$) \citep{vanleeuwen2009} which helps to distinguish members for the general field population. The cluster age is especially well constrained, $\tau = 90 \pm 10$ Myr \citep{stauffer1999} (corresponding to $M_{\text{initial}} \sim 5.5 M_\odot$), via the lithium depletion boundary technique (\cite{stauffer1999}), helping to minimise uncertainty in the progenitor mass determinations. The main sequence turn off age for this cluster is 50 Myr \citep{mermilliod1981}, but for young clusters the lithium age is more reliable, and so in this work we use $90 \pm 10$ Myr as the cluster age.

Moreover, \(\alpha\) Per is sufficiently old for white dwarfs to have formed, but young enough that the oldest of these remain at $T_{\text{eff}} \gtrsim 12500$ K. Hydrogen rich atmospheres of white dwarfs are also dominated by radiative energy transport in this region, so models are less complicated, and more reliable in this regime \citep{bergeron1995}. Studies of the \(\alpha\) Per cluster have located some higher mass members \citep{prosser1992,prosser1998,deacon2004} but because of its youth, most studies have mainly concentrated on discovering new brown dwarf members \citep[e.g.][]{barrado2002,deacon2004,loodie2005,loodie2012}. A detailed mass function of the cluster was calculated in \cite{loodie2012} who studied ~56 square degrees of the cluster and determined that the mass function is similar in shape to that of the Pleiades and can be represented by a log normal with a characteristic mass of 0.34 $M_\odot$ and a dispersion of 0.46. This similarity to the Pleiades indicates that \(\alpha\) Per may indeed harbour white dwarf members and is constant with results from older studies \citep[e.g.][]{sanner2001} which suggest that \(\alpha\) Per may be richer than the Pleiades.

### 2 SAMPLE SELECTION

To predict the UKIDSS colours of likely \(\alpha\) Per white dwarfs we used grids of model H-rich white dwarf photometry appropriate to DA white dwarfs. These grids are based upon the work of \cite{bergeron1995} but are revisited to include updates from \cite{holberg2006,kowalski2006} and \cite{tremblay2011}. These model-based predictions and the cluster parameters, \(\tau = 120 \text{ Myr}, m-M = 6.27\) and \(E_{B-V} = 0.1\) \citep{vanleeuwen2009} informed our selection criteria. We selected all objects (1) between RA of 02:48:00 and 03:52:00, and declination of 40 and 55 degrees from the UKIDSS GCS (Figure 1 \citep{lawrence2007}), (2) with $Z > 15.0, J \lesssim 19.25$ (a conservative limit based on our assumed cluster parameters), 0.1 $\lesssim Y - J$ $\lesssim 0.5$ and 0.1 $\lesssim Y$ $\lesssim 0.5$; and (3) with classifiers in $Z$ and $Y$ (stellar) and the post processing bit code to be less than 16, selecting the cleanest images \citep{hambly2008}. These criteria resulted in the selection of 2060 objects which were then cross-matched with the SuperCOSMOS Sky Survey \citep{hambly2001} within 2".

The cross-matched sample was then further selected by proper motion, $40.0 > \mu_\alpha \cos \delta > 5.0$ mas yr$^{-1}$, $-10.0 > \mu_\delta > -50.0$ mas yr$^{-1}$. This cut removed objects that appeared to fall very close to the background object centre of motion (0,0), reducing the chances of contaminating objects being selected. We then requested that the errors on the proper motion be less than 8 mas yr$^{-1}$ and that the total proper motion be within 24 mas yr$^{-1}$ of the cluster motion (23, -27 mas yr$^{-1}$) \citep{vanleeuwen2009}. This cut resulted in 26 objects, which was thinned down to 14 after rejecting objects that were flagged as being blended in the SuperCOSMOS data. These objects can be seen in Figures 2 and 3 and in Table 1.

Some estimates of the mass function suggest that \(\alpha\) Per could be ~2-3\times as rich as the marginally older Pleiades which harbours at least one WD, LB1497 \citep{sanner2001}. However, other mass functions suggest the two clusters are in fact very similar \citep{loodie2012}. Thus assuming that the initial mass functions of these populations are at least comparable in form, it is possible that some of the white dwarf candidates listed in Table 1 are \(\alpha\) Per members.

### 3 OPTICAL SPECTROSCOPY

To accurately measure the effective temperatures and surface gravities of the candidate white dwarf stars, as well as reliably assess cluster membership and estimate their initial and final masses, we...
The proper motion selected objects are shown in black with error bars, and photometric selection using the colour-magnitude diagrams are shown in grey. The CuAr+CuNe arc spectra. We used the white dwarf spectral stan-
cosmic ray hits were removed using 3.5 ˚A.

Table 1. ID, RA, dec, proper motion and UKIDSS magnitudes for the 14 α Per candidate white dwarfs.

| ID    | RA   | dec  | µα cosδ | µδ | Z        | Y        | J        | H        | K        |
|-------|------|------|---------|----|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| APWD01| 03 06 24.00 | +46 43 11.3 | +28.72±3.49 | -21.84±3.48 | 17.61±0.016 | 17.849±0.026 | 17.874±0.047 | 18.013±0.077 | 18.085±0.127 |
| APWD02| 03 06 34.72 | +48 59 13.7 | +33.44±5.26 | -29.61±4.99 | 18.130±0.024 | 18.267±0.028 | 18.366±0.060 | 18.197±0.087 | 18.801±0.228 |
| APWD03| 03 06 41.73 | +48 44 43.5 | +17.44±7.84 | -36.12±7.33 | 18.712±0.037 | 18.682±0.041 | 18.637±0.087 | 18.816±0.156 | 18.581±0.181 |
| APWD04| 03 09 02.57 | +45 52 34.2 | +22.98±4.98 | -16.64±8.46 | 18.705±0.035 | 18.889±0.050 | 18.904±0.083 | -         | +19.513±0.389 |
| APWD05| 03 13 32.30 | +50 01 54.2 | +30.26±4.17 | -20.65±3.96 | 17.605±0.021 | 17.828±0.029 | 17.866±0.046 | 17.731±0.080 | 17.962±0.107 |
| APWD06| 03 14 18.43 | +51 36 08.7 | +20.67±6.33 | -20.38±5.89 | 18.750±0.040 | 18.801±0.060 | 18.924±0.118 | -         | -         |
| APWD07| 03 15 27.46 | +45 51 48.0 | +13.57±5.76 | -15.68±5.51 | 18.076±0.022 | 18.333±0.032 | 18.492±0.058 | -         | -         |
| APWD08| 03 15 41.48 | +46 52 11.0 | +7.00±2.93  | -13.24±2.96 | 15.692±0.005 | 15.883±0.007 | 15.895±0.010 | 15.958±0.017 | 16.025±0.026 |
| APWD09| 03 17 13.62 | +44 45 17.0 | +17.66±7.92 | -22.18±7.66 | 18.037±0.021 | 18.177±0.029 | 18.163±0.044 | 18.124±0.089 | 18.636±0.263 |
| APWD10| 03 17 50.09 | +47 02 07.7 | +32.45±5.09 | -16.76±4.97 | 18.274±0.032 | 18.564±0.052 | 18.558±0.105 | 18.426±0.150 | -         |
| APWD11| 03 22 02.12 | +49 40 34.8 | +23.62±5.63 | -38.04±5.62 | 17.775±0.019 | 17.961±0.030 | 17.933±0.047 | 17.950±0.086 | 17.972±0.112 |
| APWD12| 03 34 51.78 | +47 07 17.1 | +30.12±6.78 | -17.03±6.70 | 18.473±0.029 | 18.528±0.038 | 18.612±0.067 | 18.445±0.138 | 18.688±0.213 |
| APWD13| 03 37 12.37 | +49 01 42.9 | +13.30±4.57 | -47.27±4.57 | 18.562±0.031 | 18.746±0.047 | 18.858±0.089 | -         | -         |
| APWD14| 03 38 01.60 | +49 25 35.6 | +35.39±5.06 | -31.18±5.06 | 18.596±0.030 | 18.668±0.042 | 18.620±0.068 | -         | 18.565±0.166 |

Figure 3. Proper motion diagram. The 2060 objects selected from the photometric selection using the colour-magnitude diagrams are shown in grey. The proper motion selected objects are shown in black with error bars, and the 14 white dwarf candidates are plotted as red boxes. with error bars. The cluster motion is marked at 23, -27 mas yr⁻¹.

obtained medium resolution optical spectroscopy of the 11 brightest candidates in Table 1 using the Intermediate dispersion Spectrograph and Imaging System (ISIS) on the William Herschel Telescope on La Palma. We observed on the nights of 2011 September 03, 2011 September 04 and 2011 September 05 using the 300B and 1200R gratings simultaneously, with exposure times of between 2000 and 7200 s, split into at least 2 separate exposures to aid with cosmic ray rejection. A 1” slit was used to provide spectral resolution of ≈3.5 Å.

The spectra were reduced using IRAF [1986, 1993]. The CCD frames were debiased and flat fielded using CCDPROC and cosmic ray hits were removed using LACOS SPEC [2001]. The spectra were then extracted using routines within the APTRACT package, and wavelength calibration was done using the CuAr+CuNe arc spectra. We used the white dwarf spectral standard stars GD71 [1969] and EG131 [1949] to remove the instrument response and to provide flux calibration.

On examining the spectra it became clear that only 7 of the white dwarfs, APWD01, APWD02, APWD04, APWD05, APWD07, APWD09 and APWD12 were DA white dwarfs, with APWD10, APWD11 and APWD13 appearing to be DBs. The remaining object APWD08, is a hot subdwarf.

4 MODELLING WHITE DWARF SPECTRA

The data were compared to the predictions of white dwarf model atmospheres using the spectral fitting programme FITSB2 (v2.04; Napiwotzki et al. 2004). The same grid of pure-H model spectra was used as in Casewell et al. (2009). It was calculated using the plane-parallel, hydrostatic, non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (non-LTE) atmosphere code TLUSTY, v200 (Hubeny 1988; Hubeny & Lanz 1995) and the spectral synthesis code SYNTEC v48 (Hubeny & Lanz 2001). The models include a treatment for convective energy transport according to the ML2 prescription of Bergeron et al. (1992), adopting a mixing length parameter, α=0.6. These calculations utilised a model H-atom which incorporates explicitly the eight lowest energy levels and represents levels ν=9 to 80 by a single superlevel. The dissolution of the high lying levels was treated by means of the occupation probability formalism of Hummer & Mihalas (1988) generalised to the non-LTE atmosphere situation by Hubeny et al. (1994). All calculations include the bound-free and free-free opacities of the H⁻ ion and incorporate a full treatment for the blanketing effects of HI lines and the Lyman −α, −β and −γ satellite opacities as computed by N. Allard (Allard et al. 2004). During the calculation of the model structure the lines of the Lyman and Balmer series were treated by means of an Approximate Stark profile but in the spectral synthesis step detailed profiles for the Balmer lines were calculated from the Stark broadening tables of Lemke (1997). The grid of model spectra covered the T_eff range of 13000-20000 K in steps of 1000 K and log g between 7.5 and 8.5 in steps of 0.1 dex. These models have been used throughout our work on the initial mass-final mass relation and we continue to use them in this work for consistency.

We used FITSB2 to fit our grid of model spectra to the DA white dwarf spectra using the seven Balmer absorption lines (Hα is not in the observed wavelength range) ranging from H3 to H10. Points in the observed data lying more than 3σ from the model were clipped from subsequent iterations of the fitting process (Figure 4).
We list the results of model fitting for the DA white dwarfs in Table 2.

The effective temperature and surface gravity of the DBs was measured by comparing the normalised observed energy distribution with the wavelength range 3750-5150 Å to a grid of similarly normalised synthetic spectra. These were generated using ATM and SYN, tuned for the treatment of helium rich atmospheres. The model fitting was undertaken using XSPEC as in Baxter et al. (2014) and the results can be seen in Figure 5.

The remaining object APWD08 was determined to be a hydrogen-rich sdOB star (Figure 6). The atmospheric parameters were derived as described in Geler et al. (2011) by fitting model spectra calculated in LTE and adopting a metal content of ten times the solar value to account for known peculiarities in hot subdwarf atmospheres caused by diffusion (O’Toole & Heber 2006). Assuming the canonical sdB mass of 0.47 M☉, the distance to APWD08 is ~ 2 kpc, and therefore it is definitely not a α Per member.

The errors given in Table 2 for T\textsubscript{eff} and log g are formal fitting errors and are unrealistically small as they neglect systematic uncertainties, e.g., flat fielding errors and model shortcomings. In subsequent discussion here we follow Napiwotzki et al. (1999) and assume an uncertainty of 2.3 per cent in T\textsubscript{eff} and 0.07 dex in log g for the DA white dwarfs, and an error of 2.0 per cent in T\textsubscript{eff} and 0.05 dex in log g as in Bergeron et al. (2011) for the DB white dwarfs. Both fitting programs also fit radial velocity. These were measured for the DA white dwarfs using H\textsubscript{β} and H\textsubscript{γ}, and the He lines for the DB white dwarfs (Table 2).

5 CLUSTER MEMBERSHIP OF WHITE DWARFS

As in our earlier work (e.g. Casewell et al. 2009), we have utilised a grid of evolutionary models based on a mixed CO core composition and a thick H surface layer (e.g. Fontaine et al. 2001) to estimate the mass and cooling time of each DA white dwarf from our measurements of effective temperature and surface gravity (see Table 2). The same models, but with a thin H surface layer were used for the DB white dwarfs. Cubic splines have been used to interpolate between the points within these grids. The lifetime of the progenitor star of each white dwarf has then been calculated by subtracting the cooling time from the age of the cluster (90 ± 10 Myr: Stauf-fer et al. 1999). Examining these cooling times, it is clear that only three objects have cooling times that are less than the cluster age, APWD01, APWD04 and APWD07. The rest are much older, and are likely to be field objects. To constrain the mass of the progenitor star for these three objects, we have used the stellar evolution models of Girardi et al. (2000) for solar metallicity, again using cubic splines to interpolate between the points in the grid. Assuming they are members of α Per, the progenitor mass for APWD01 is 6.185 ± 0.402 M☉, APWD04 is 5.629 ± 0.279 M☉, and APWD07 is 5.734 ± 0.305 M☉. Both of the progenitor masses for APWD04 and APWD07 put these objects well below any semi-empirical and theoretical initial mass-final mass relation derived from cluster objects. (Figure 7). APWD01 does however, look as though it may be a cluster member from its position on the diagram.

The cluster radial velocity of α Per is -1.6 kms\textsuperscript{-1} (van Leeuwen 2009) and using the mass and radius in Table 2, the gravitational redshift and hence the absolute radial velocity of the can-
Table 2. ID, $T_{\text{eff}}$, log g, and calculated mass and radii for the 11 observed $\alpha$ Per candidate white dwarfs.

| ID   | $T_{\text{eff}}$ (K) | log g  | Mass (M$_{\odot}$) | Radius (R$_{\odot}$) | Cooling age (Myr) |
|------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| APWD01 | 26804±190           | 8.48±0.03 | 0.93±0.04      | 0.938±0.084          | 92.3±16.8         |
| APWD02 | 14138±116           | 8.35±0.02 | 0.83±0.05      | 1.034±0.093          | 406.3±52.9        |
| APWD04 | 35138±295           | 7.89±0.05 | 0.60±0.03      | 1.498±0.133          | 5.2±0.4           |
| APWD05 | 15182±109           | 7.84±0.02 | 0.53±0.04      | 1.493±0.133          | 145.8±20.0        |
| APWD07 | 24409±145           | 7.99±0.02 | 0.63±0.03      | 1.356±0.085          | 24.5±4.1          |
| APWD08 | 38600±700           | 5.64±0.08 | -               | -                    | -                 |
| APWD09 | 12582±140           | 8.40±0.02 | 0.86±0.05      | 0.993±0.090          | 607.4±79.9        |
| APWD10 | 16180±190           | 8.03±0.09 | 0.61±0.03      | 1.280±0.080          | 174.9±17.6        |
| APWD11 | 15850±80            | 8.09±0.04 | 0.64±0.03      | 1.227±0.077          | 203.6±19.2        |
| APWD12 | 13858±315           | 7.93±0.05 | 0.57±0.03      | 1.388±0.087          | 225.6±23.1        |
| APWD13 | 16760±205           | 8.12±0.09 | 0.66±0.03      | 1.202±0.076          | 177.7±17.5        |

Figure 7. The IFMR of the available cluster and wide binaries data showing the position of the three $\alpha$ Per candidate members. The dashed black line is the semi-empirical [Weidemann (2000)] IFMR, the thick solid line is the IFMR as given by the [Girardi et al. (2000)] models and the grey dot-dashed line is the initial mass-core mass at the first thermal pulse relation from [Karakas, Lattanzio & Pols (2002)]. The peak in the field white dwarf mass distribution (thin solid line) and ±1σ is represented by the thin dotted lines. The plotted white dwarfs are from [Weidemann (1987, 2000); Ferrario et al. (2005); Dobbie et al. (2006); Williams & Bolte (2007); Catalán et al. (2008); Kalirai et al. (2008); Rubin et al. (2008); Casewell et al. (2009); Dobbie et al. (2009); Williams et al. (2009)].

6 DISCUSSION ON THE NUMBER OF WHITE DWARF MEMBERS OF $\alpha$ PER

Our results were disappointing, as although we had a high level of success in identifying new white dwarfs, none are cluster members, despite the mass functions suggesting at least one white dwarf member is possible. As $\alpha$ Per is young, we do not expect it to contain many white dwarfs - the Pleiades only contains one, LB1497 [Eggen & Greenstein (1965)], and an additional object GD50, that may belong to the Pleiades moving group [Dobbie et al. (2006)]. The fact that we have identified 11 white dwarf candidates, 10 of which...
are bona-fide degenerates in the vicinity of α Per, and yet none are cluster members is perhaps highlighting the scarcity of white dwarfs in 100 Myr old clusters.

There is also a known deficit of white dwarfs in open clusters (Weidemann et al. 1992; von Hippel 1998). This has been attributed in part to dynamical evolution causing white dwarfs to evaporate from clusters. Mass segregation alone is not sufficient to remove white dwarfs, as the average mass of a white dwarf (∼0.6M⊙) is still more massive than most open cluster members and so is unlikely to suffer any effects to preferentially remove them from the cluster (e.g., Hurley & Shara 2003; Baumgardt & Makino 2003). It has however, been suggested that a velocity kick resulting from asymmetrical mass loss during post-main sequence evolution may preferentially remove white dwarfs from a cluster (Weidemann et al. 1992; Fellhauer et al. 2003). As α Per is younger than the Pleiades and so it is unlikely significant mass segregation has occurred within the cluster (Moraux et al. 2003). The answer to our question may simply be that α Per did not form many intermediate mass star white dwarf progenitors.

The age of α Per is 90 ±10 Myr from measurements of the lithium depletion boundary (Stauffer et al. 1999). By using the models of Girardi et al. (2000) we determined the mass of a star that has a main sequence lifetime of 100 Myr to be 5.36 M⊙. This is the minimum stellar mass required to form a white dwarf in α Per. We then combined this stellar mass with the IFMR presented in Casewell et al. (2009), to give a white dwarf final mass of 1.0 M⊙. This is the least massive white dwarf predicted to exist in the cluster. Such a white dwarf would have practically no cooling time.

We used the same method to determine the maximum mass of a star that could form a white dwarf (assuming the maximum mass of a white dwarf is 1.4 M⊙, which gives an initial mass of 8.71 M⊙). Such a star has a main sequence lifetime of 4 Myr, and hence a maximum cooling age of 96 Myr. The models of Fontaine et al. (2001) give such a white dwarf a T eff of 40000 K and a log g of 9.27, much higher than any of the white dwarfs detected in our survey. Indeed, even though the highest mass the Holberg & Bergeron (2006) synthetic colours cover is 1.2 M⊙, such a white dwarf should have a J magnitude of 18.188 and J − H =0.114 and J − K=0.218. Seven of the selected white dwarf candidates are fainter than this, however the majority have the correct colours suggesting that the colour cuts made were reasonable. It may be that simply no white dwarfs have formed within the cluster age.

This would appear to be borne out by the survey of Heckmann & Luebeck (1958) who discovered 3 B3 stars, one of which is a subgiant within the cluster, and nothing of earlier spectral types. These data were used by Lodieu et al. (2013) who created a detailed mass function of the cluster, but again, the highest mass stars discovered in the cluster to date are 5 M⊙, and there are 8±3 stars in this mass bin which ranges from 4.13 to 5 M⊙. Extrapolating this relationship into the region we are interested in gives ∼1.4 high mass stars at 5.36 M⊙ and only ∼0.4 at 8.71 M⊙ assuming a bin size of 1 M⊙, again confirming the hypothesis that there are very few, if any, high enough mass stars in α Per to form high mass white dwarfs at this young age.

Another possible scenario is that the white dwarfs remain bound to the cluster, but are hidden in binary systems, as for the Hyades (Boehm-Vitense 1993; Franz et al. 1998; Debernardi et al. 2000; Williams 2004) simulated three clusters to investigate the initial mass functions and the probability of hidden high mass white dwarfs in binaries. They found that for the Pleiades, their simulations agreed with the observations and that LB1497 is likely to be the only white dwarf in the cluster. Their work on Praesepe and the Hyades however, highlighted the lack of high mass white dwarfs, and they suggest that it is more likely for high mass progenitor stars to be located in binaries with massive evolved stars, than with lower mass companions, thus making them less likely to be detected. It may be that any high mass white dwarf members of the cluster are in such binaries, but again, the lack of high mass stars in general in α Per makes it seem unlikely.

The final scenario to consider is that the white dwarf candidates in this work were selected for their high reliability of being white dwarfs, which was prioritised over the completeness of the survey. The UKIDSS GCS is estimated as being 100 per cent complete over the magnitude range we studied (Lodieu et al. 2012), and the image morphology selection of class =1, ensures only stellar-like sources are selected, although this is known to be conservative. The SuperCOSMOS data however, was cross-matched to this clean GCS sample, and even using the COSMOS crowded field algorithm, it is estimated that the completeness is only 60 per cent at this low Galactic latitude (Beard et al. 1990). This low completeness will dominate our survey and may mean we have potentially missed the one or two expected white dwarf cluster members. The data from Gaia should locate any missing white dwarfs in this cluster, should they be there.

7 SUMMARY

We have obtained spectra of 11 white dwarf candidate members of the α Per open star cluster, and confirmed that while 7 are DA white dwarfs, 3 are DB white dwarfs and one is an sdOB star, none are cluster members. This result is disappointing, as recent work on the cluster mass function suggests that there should be at least one white dwarf member, even at this young age. It may be that any white dwarf members of α Per are hidden within binary systems, as is the case in the Hyades cluster, however the lack of high mass stars within the cluster also makes this seem unlikely. We also conclude that the high level of incompleteness in the SuperCOSMOS survey may mean that we have missed any white dwarf members, although Gaia is likely to locate them, should they exist.
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