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Abstract

Background: Responses to extracellular stress are required for microbes to survive in changing environments. Although the stress response mechanisms have been characterized extensively, the evolution of stress response pathway remains poorly understood. Here, we studied the evolution of High Osmolarity Glycerol (HOG) pathway, one of the important osmotic stress response pathways, across 10 yeast species and underpinned the evolutionary forces acting on the pathway evolution.

Results: Although the HOG pathway is well conserved across the surveyed yeast species, the evolutionary rate of the genes in this pathway varied substantially among or within different lineages. The fast divergence of MSB2 gene indicates that this gene is subjected to positive selection. Moreover, transcription factors in HOG pathway tend to evolve more rapidly, but the genes in conserved MAPK cascade underwent stronger functional selection. Remarkably, the \(d_N/d_S\) values are negatively correlated with pathway position along HOG pathway from Sln1 (Sho1) to Hog1 for transmitting external signal into nuclear. The increased gradient of selective constraints from upstream to downstream genes suggested that the downstream genes are more pleiotropic, being required for a wider range of pathways. In addition, protein length, codon usage, gene expression, and protein interaction appear to be important factors to determine the evolution of genes in HOG pathway.

Conclusions: Taken together, our results suggest that functional constraints play a large role in the evolutionary rate variation in HOG pathway, but the genetic variation was influenced by quite complicated factors, such as pathway position, protein length and so on. These findings provide some insights into how HOG pathway genes evolved rapidly for responding to environmental osmotic stress changes.

Background

Sensing and responding to their changing environmental conditions are crucial challenges for microbes to survive in highly divergent niches they occupy [1,2]. The effective responses to different stresses are mediated by stress signaling pathways, which are generally composed of three functional modules: reception module, transduction module and response module [1,3]. The HOG (High Osmolarity Glycerol)-MARK (Mitogen Activated Protein Kinase) pathway is required for adapting to osmotic stress in yeast and other eukaryotes [4,5].

The HOG pathway is greatly characterized in budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae [6,7]. The Hog1 (stress activated MAP kinase) is the central component of the pathway, and is regulated by Pbs2 (MAPK kinase). In turn, the Pbs2 activity is controlled by two independent osmosensing branches involving two transmembrane proteins Sho1 and Sln1, respectively (Figure 1). The two upstream branches of the HOG pathway are functionally redundant in some respects, but they use different components and seem to have slightly different sensitivities to the concentration of external solutes [8]. In response to hyperosmotic stress, Pbs2 becomes activated, leading to the phosphorylation and nuclear accumulation of Hog1, and the subsequent activation of osmo-protective mechanisms, such as the accumulation of the glycerol [9].

Fungi, one of the three main eukaryotic kingdoms, are excellent models to study environmental stress
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responses pathways, because they are simple and evolutionarily conserved [1,10]. Fungi display significant variations in their stress resistance to osmotic stress. For example, the human pathogen, *Candida albicans*, tends to be resistant to osmotic stress, while the plant pathogen, *Ashbya gossypii*, is relatively sensitive to osmotic stress [10]. This phenomenon reflects their adaptive evolution in specific niches where they have been threatened by different stresses. Recent researches have proved that fungi stress signaling pathways have evolved rapidly in a niche-specific fashion, and the evolution of signaling pathway is independent of fungi’s phylogenetic relationship [1,10]. The availability of many genome sequences from related yeast species allows us to conduct the evolutionary analysis of the entire pathway in these species.

The evolution of individual genes or gene families has been studied extensively, and many factors have been found to determine the evolution of genes, such as protein length, codon usage, gene expression, and protein interaction [11-13]. Recently, a few researches have found that the position of the genes in metabolic pathway affected their evolutionary rate, and the upstream elements tended to evolve faster than the downstream elements [14]. In contrast, several studies also showed that central components of signaling pathways were generally well conserved, whereas upstream sensors and downstream transcriptional regulators had diverged to a greater extent [1,10]. However, the mechanisms underlying the pathway gene evolution remain largely unknown. Therefore, more pathways with different topologies are needed to disentangle the underlying evolutionary forces that affect the molecular evolution pattern of the elements in pathways [15]. The HOG pathway, which has specific topology with two input branches, provides an excellent system for exploring the mechanisms of pathway evolution.

In this study, we studied the molecular evolution of the HOG pathway genes and the relationship between evolutionary rates with pathway position. Our results showed that the functional constraints play a large role in the overall rate variation in HOG pathway, and the position of gene in pathway was negatively correlated with evolutionary rate. In addition, the pathway evolution may also be influenced by protein length, codon usage and gene expression.

### Results and Discussion

#### The distribution of HOG pathway genes in yeast genomes

According to the well-characterized HOG-signaling pathway [1,7], we identified 19 HOG-MAPK pathway genes from *S. cerevisiae* to be analyzed in our study (Figure 1). The initial set of *S. cerevisiae* HOG-signaling pathway genes were used to identify candidate orthologs in the genomes of remaining yeast species, and a total of 203 putative orthologs in 10 yeast genomes were identified (Table 1). Generally, the orthologs identified in this study were consistent with that of Krantz et al. [7], despite that we used the method based on gene synteny.

The HOG-MAPK pathway is well conserved across the available genomes of 10 yeast species. Most genes have orthologs in all the yeast species studied and were identified as unique singletons in most cases. Together, 8 HOG pathway genes had a 1:1 orthology relationship, while the remaining 11 genes underwent a number of duplication or loss events (15 duplications, 2 loss, and 4 pseudogenization events; Figure 2). The loss or pseudogenization of orthologs may be due to the uncompleted, low quality genome sequencing of the species, because partial sequences of the lost orthologs were found in the species’ contig sequence by BLAST searching. The genes whose ortholog were lost (*SLN1* and *STE11*) or included stop codons (*SSK2*, *PBS2* and *SKO1*) in a given species were not used in further analysis.

With the 8 conserved 1:1 orthologs, we build the phylogenetic tree of yeast species by Bayesian method...
(Figure 2), and the topology of the phylogenetic tree is consistent with previous study [16]. As Saccharomyces species are derived from an ancestral yeast that underwent a whole genome duplication (WGD) [17], the gene duplication events we observed in HOG pathway mostly occurred in post-WGD species (Figure 2).

The variation of $d_{NS}/d_S$ values among genes of the HOG pathway

The natural selection acting on genes is inferred by the ratio of nonsynonymous substitutions ($d_{NS}$)/synonymous substitutions ($d_S$). By using M0 model, a single $d_{NS}/d_S$ value for all lineages was estimated for each gene in the HOG pathway. The result show that divergence across species is not uniformly distributed along the pathway, but varies greatly among genes (Figure 3). Within sensor module genes, there is a 17-fold difference in the level of divergence between the most conserved (CDC42) and the most divergent (MSB2) gene. Moreover, the pattern of divergence exhibited by $d_{NS}$ values precisely matches the pattern of $d_{NS}/d_S$ value (Figure 3).

The estimates of the $d_{NS}/d_S$ ratio, using the free-ratio model, also show that the $d_{NS}/d_S$ values of the HOG pathway genes vary substantially in each lineage, ranging from 0.0001 (CDC42 of Smik) to 1.19 (MSN2 of Calb). However, the mean $d_{NS}/d_S$ value equals 0.196, suggesting strong functional constraints acting at most of the HOG pathway genes. The very high degree of divergence observed at the MSB2 gene indicates that this gene is subjected to positive selection. By using the maximum likelihood method to detect positive selection, we identified gene MSB2 may experience positive selection (LRT = 35.72, p < 0.001). As this gene is located at the start point of the HOG pathway and involved in sensing the environmental changing, it tends to undergo positive selection [18].

### Table 1 Copy number of the HOG signaling pathway genes in 10 fungi genomes

| Gene    | Scer | Spar | Smik | Sbay | Scas | Agos | Kwal | Klac | Cgla | Calb |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| SLN1    | 1    | 1    | 0/1  | 0    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| YPD1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 2    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| SSK1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| SSK2/   | 2  | 1  | 1 | 1  | 2  | 2 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| 22      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| PBS2    | 1  | 0/1 | 0/1 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| HOG1    | 1  | 0/1 | 0/1 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| HOT1    | 1  | 0/1 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| GPD1    | 2  | 1  | 0/1 | 2  | 2  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| SHO1    | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| MSB2    | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| CDC42   | 1  | 0/1 | 0/1 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| CDC24   | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| STE20   | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 2  |
| STE30   | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| STE11   | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| SKO1    | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| MSN2/   | 2  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| 4       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| TPS1    | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| SFA1    | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0/1 |

* "0/1" represents the orthologs were identified by BLAST search.

b DNA sequence included stop codons.
Regulatory genes exhibit higher levels of divergence, but MAPK cascade genes are highly conserved

Recently, transcription factors have been reported to show evidence of rapid evolution [19,20]. We also observed that transcription factors Hot1, Msn2 and Sko1, at the position 7 of the pathway, evolve substantially faster than their surrounding genes in HOG pathway (Figure 3). Only one structural gene MSB2, which has been identified as positively selected gene, show comparable levels of amino acid replacement changes. On average, the transcription factors are more divergent than the structural genes (Wilcoxon rank sum test, \( P = 0.014 \)). The higher levels of divergence can be either the result of relaxed selection and accumulation of neutral or slightly deleterious substitutions or the result of positive selection leading to the fixation of beneficial mutations [13,19]. However, we do not find any evidence of positive selection on these transcription factors by using the maximum likelihood methods (see Methods).

According to an engineering view of dynamical control, gene function in HOG-signaling pathway can be classified into three functional roles: “sensor”, “regulator”, “actuator” (Figure 1) [3,21]. The \( d_{50}/d_S \) values of the MAPK cascade genes (regulator module) was significantly smaller than upstream sensor module (Wilcoxon rank test, \( P = 0.00115 \)) and downstream module (Wilcoxon rank test, \( P = 0.000539 \)) (Figure 4). This is consistent with the view that the MAPK cascade is highly conserved and under stronger functional constraints, because it’s involved in many other processes ranging from mating to invasive growth [22].

The selective constraints was correlated with pathway position of those genes in signal transduction process

Several earlier studies have shown that the architecture of pathway, in particular, pathway position, shapes the evolution of its genes [14,23,24]. However, how the topology of the pathway affects the pathway evolution remains elusive. During the HOG signal transduction process, the external osmotic stress was sensed by Sln1 and Sho1, and the signal was propagated via a MAPK cascade that finally caused nuclear import of Hog1 [22]. As shown in Figure 5, variation of \( d_{50}/d_S \) was correlated significantly with pathway position in the HOG-signaling pathway (Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient: \( \rho = -0.313, P = 0.0189 \)). However, the relationship does not hold if including these genes in position 7 and 8 of HOG pathway. For signal transduction pathway receive signaling inputs from a number of pathways (i.e., at least two branches Sln1 and Sho1 of HOG pathway) and share single response output (MAPK module), the downstream genes are expected to be more pleiotropic, and hence be subjected to greater selective constraint than the upstream genes. Therefore, the pathway position correlated with functional constraint levels can be explained by the information flux theory that the elements located at branch point of pathway exert a greater flux control and subjected to stronger selective constraints [14,23].

Even the pooled \( d_{50}/d_S \) data was separated into each of the pathway branches Sln1 and Sho1, they also displayed the same trends (Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient for Sln1: \( \rho = -0.427, P = 0.0261 \); for Sho1: \( \rho = -0.412, P = 0.00833 \)). However, the position of genes in Sho1 branch was not clearly determined, especially position 2 (CDC24 and CDC40) and position 3 (STE20 and STE50) [5,25]. Although we changed the positions of these genes, the evolutionary pattern was not affected by their related locations. What’s more, if these data were separated into each lineage of the surveyed yeast taxa, the pattern of \( d_{50}/d_S \) variation correlated significantly with pathway position still holds in S. paradoxus, S. miketae and A. gossypii. The lack of coordinated evolution in each lineage had been observed in anthocyanin and...
terpenoid biosynthetic pathway genes among plant lineages [23,24].

The other factors affecting the evolution of HOG pathway genes
The selective constraints on the pathway genes are also affected by other evolutionary factors. We studied the association between molecular evolution of the genes in HOG-MAPK pathway with codon usage, gene expression, protein length, and protein interaction in *S. cerevisiae* (Table 2). Selective constraint on genes was measured as ω (d_S/d_F) and codon bias was measured as the frequency of optimal codon (Fop). Using simple Spearman rank correlation analyses, the ω (d_S/d_F) shows significant correlated with codon bias (ρ = -0.578, P = 0.00959) and protein length (ρ = 0.47, P = 0.0426), whereas not significantly associated with other factors. Generally, natural selection is expected to affect codon usage, and higher codon bias indicates stronger constraints acting on a gene [13]. An earlier study have also documented that the protein length play an important role in shaping the evolution of proteins in *P. tremula* [12].

However, these factors are inter-correlated, and some of the observed correlations might actually result from indirect effects rather than from direct effects. Path analysis was used to better characterize the direct and indirect effects of these factors. As shown in Figure 6, d_S/d_F show a significant correlation with codon bias, protein length and gene expression. The position do not correlate with d_S/d_F directly, thus their relationship might actually result from indirect effects, connected by codon usage. Nevertheless, these factors affecting protein evolution in HOG pathway are complex, with interplay of a large number of factors.

### Conclusions
The availability of complete genome sequences from many fungal species presents a good opportunity to examine the evolution of pathway genes. In this study, we investigated the genetic variation of HOG-signaling pathway genes across 10 yeast species and underpinned the evolutionary forces acting on the pathway evolution. Our results showed that nearly all the pathway genes existed in the surveyed species and subjected to relatively high selective constraints, suggesting that the HOG pathway is functional across all these species. However, the high levels of evolutionary rate variation of HOG pathway genes among and within different lineages are consistent with the hypothesis that stress signaling pathways have evolved rapidly in a niche-specific fashion [1,10].

As the signal pathway could be grouped into three functional modules (sensor, regulator, actuator) [1,6], the most dramatic variation in HOG pathway was concentrated to the evolution of sensor genes (Figure 3). Since these genes are involved in sensing environmental changing, their high genetic variation was possibly due to adaptive evolution. For instance, *MSB2* was identified as positively selected genes. In contrast, the MAPK cascade was the least variation module in the HOG pathway, which is consistent with the view that it is well conserved in eukaryotes, and involves in multiple stress tolerance processes (salt, H₂O₂, low and high temperature) [4,22].

Furthermore, the position of the genes in HOG pathway significantly correlated with their d_S/d_F value variation. Some recent studies also have demonstrated that selective constraint levels are correlated with the position of the elements in metabolic pathways or signal pathways [14,24]. Signal transduction pathways receive signals from many other pathways and transmit them into single output (i.e., Hog1 in HOG pathway) to activate transcription reprogramming. Therefore, there are stronger selective constraints acting on the downstream genes than the upstream ones. The evolution pattern of HOG pathway supported that natural selection primarily targets enzymes that have the greatest control on fluxes [14,24].

Notably, the transcription factors in HOG pathway tend to evolve faster, which is consistent with a large

### Table 2 Summary statistics used in the multivariate analysis

| Gene | Position | Protein length* | d_Fb | ωb | PPIc | Fopd | Eavgf |
|------|----------|-----------------|------|----|-----|------|-------|
| *SUN1* | 1 | 1220 | 0.0156 | 0.0354 | 23 | 0.435 | 1784.290 |
| *YPD1* | 2 | 167 | 0.000014 | 0.0001 | 31 | 0.534 | 1017.443 |
| *SSK1* | 3 | 712 | 0.0208 | 0.0767 | 31 | 0.414 | 2416.731 |
| *SSK2* | 4 | 1579 | 0.00758 | 0.0316 | 29 | 0.445 | 2156.276 |
| *PBS2* | 5 | 688 | 0.00731 | 0.0726 | 45 | 0.456 | 1829.891 |
| *HOG1* | 6 | 435 | 0.00122 | 0.0057 | 82 | 0.487 | 3725.510 |
| *HOT1* | 7 | 719 | 0.0364 | 0.109 | 16 | 0.407 | 1135.488 |
| *GPD1* | 8 | 391 | 0.00115 | 0.0072 | 5 | 0.744 | 7653.448 |
| *SHO1* | 1 | 367 | 0.00702 | 0.0298 | 90 | 0.463 | 1998.004 |
| *MSB2* | 1 | 1306 | 0.0677 | 0.2496 | 20 | 0.457 | 5044.198 |
| *CDC24* | 2 | 854 | 0.0028 | 0.0093 | 78 | 0.442 | 2105.747 |
| *CDC42* | 2 | 191 | 0.0049 | 0.011 | 113 | 0.495 | 6086.679 |
| *STE20* | 3 | 939 | 0.0161 | 0.0748 | 141 | 0.463 | 1619.444 |
| *STE50* | 3 | 346 | 0.0155 | 0.1138 | 61 | 0.464 | 1441.888 |
| *STE11* | 4 | 717 | 0.0118 | 0.0425 | 105 | 0.443 | 1512.138 |
| *SKO1* | 7 | 647 | 0.0253 | 0.0676 | 19 | 0.406 | 2101.820 |
| *MSN4* | 7 | 630 | 0.0108 | 0.0467 | 10 | 0.462 | 1855.669 |
| *SFA1* | 8 | 386 | 0.00923 | 0.0303 | 11 | 0.553 | 3738.413 |
| *TPS1* | 8 | 495 | 0.000025 | 0.0001 | 60 | 0.549 | 7123.245 |

* Number of amino acids in the *S. cerevisiae* protein.
* The d_F and ω values in the *S. cerevisiae* lineages.
* PPI: protein and protein interaction; Fop: Frequency of optimal codons; Eavg: Average gene expression levels.
number of recent studies [19,20]. To respond sensitively to the change of environment, stress response pathways are composed of intricate gene regulation networks [20]. Generally, transcription factors tend to be the hub node in regulation network and under stronger functional constraints. However, the fast evolution of transcription factors in HOG pathway may be the result of their central roles in controlling regulation network to adapt with the changing of environmental pressures. Moreover, the evolutionary rate variation of the HOG pathway was correlated with codon bias, protein length and gene expression, suggesting that the genetic variation may be influenced by quite complicated factors. Nevertheless, further work will be needed to assess the generality of the pathway evolution pattern we observed in yeast species.

Methods
Identification of HOG pathway genes in yeast genomes
The HOG pathway genes of *S. cerevisiae* and their interaction (Figure 1) were generated according to Bahn et al. (2007) and Krantz et al. (2006) [1,7,26]. The full list of HOG pathway genes included in this study is presented in Table 1. There are 10 yeast species were used in our study: 6 post-whole genome duplication (WGD) species (*S. cerevisiae* [Scer], *S. paradoxus* [Spar], *S. mikatae* [Smik], *S. bayanus* [Sbay], *S. castellii* [Scas], and *Candida glabrata* [Cgla]), and 4 pre-WGD species (*Kluyveromyces waltii* [Kwal], *K. lactis* [Klac], *Ashbya gossypii* [Agos], and *C. albicans* [Calb]). The protein coding sequences (CDS) of the HOG pathway genes in the *S. cerevisiae* genome were retrieved from *Saccharomyces* Genome Database (SGD) [27]. Orthologous sequences of these genes in *Candida albicans* were obtained from CGD http://www.candidagenome.org [28], and in other species were obtained from Yeast Gene Order Browser website http://wolfe.gen.tcd.ie/ygob/ [29] or the yeast comparative genomics website http://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/fungi/comp_yeasts [30]. These orthologs were curated based on gene positional synteny and sequence similarity. For those genes without ortholog annotation, their orthologs were identified based on the Reciprocal BLAST best hits between *S. cerevisiae* and other species, with an E-value cutoff of 10^{-6}, an identity = 40% and at least 75% alignable region [31]. All sequence alignments are available on request.

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic reconstruction
We generated a multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of the protein sequences of each orthologs using the software Clustalw 2.01 [32]. The resulting alignments were manually improved using the software bioedit 7.0.9 [33]. The aligned amino acid sequences were reversely converted into nucleotide sequences by in-house Perl script for further analysis. In the cases in which there was more than one gene copy in a given species, we used the gene with the same synteny location. The orthologs with stop codons were not used in further analysis (see Table 1).

The genes with 1:1 orthologs in all the species were concatenated for building consensus phylogenetic tree [34]. We conducted a bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction
using the software MrBayes 3.1.2 [35], applying the nucleotide substitution model that best fits the data according to the Akaike information criterion. The FindModel program http://hcv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/findmodel/findmodel.html was used for model selection, and the best-fitting model was the GTR+I model. All analyses were conducted allowing for a proportion of sites to be invariable (I). The phylogenetic tree was used for further analysis.

Estimation of Evolutionary rate and detection of positive selection

We evaluated the impact of natural selection by estimating nonsynonymous \(d_{N}\) and synonymous substitution \(d_{S}\), and their ratio \(\omega = d_{N}/d_{S}\) using the program codeml of the PAML 4.1b package [36]. The M0 model (which assumes a single \(\omega\) value for all lineages) and M1 model (to give separate \(d_{N}/d_{S}\) values for each lineage), were used for evolutionary analyses, with cleandata = 1 (remove sites with ambiguity data) and get SE = 1 (obtain standard errors of \(\omega\) estimates) [18]. Saturation effects were avoided by discarding pairwise gene comparisons for which \(d_{S}\) > 2 and \(d_{S} < 0.005\) [24]. We also restricted this analysis to the five Saccharomyces group species to avoid saturation at synonymous sites, which could bias the \(d_{N}/d_{S}\) estimates because the sequence alignments of these 5 species were more reliable than that of all species.

To determine whether there are codons evolving under positive selection, we compared the M7 and M8 models using the likelihood ratio test, which had been shown to be more powerful than other branch-site models [18,37]. The Bayes Empirical approach was used to identify the codons evolving under positive selection (posterior probability = 95%). We conducted all likelihood estimations using three different \(\omega\) starting values (0.01, 0.3 and 3) to overcome the problem of multiple local optima. All these analyses were conducted using the F3x4 codon frequency model [38]. We corrected for multiple testing by using false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.05 for these analyses.

Statistic analysis

All statistical analyses were performed by using statistical package R http://www.r-project.org. The statistical significance for the difference was determined by Wilcoxon rank sum test and the Spearman rank correlation was used for correlation analysis. The influence of parameters, such as expression level, codon bias, protein length, and protein interaction (PPI), on evolutionary rate was analyzed in this study [39]. Protein length, expression level and codon usage were obtained from the Saccharomyces Genome Database and references [27,39]. The number of PPIs was obtained from GRID (General Repository for Interaction Datasets). First, we evaluated whether these parameters are correlated using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient (\(\rho\)). Later, we analyzed the data using path analysis, an extension of multiple regression analysis that allows decomposing the regression coefficients into their direct and indirect components by considering an underlying user-defined causal model [14]. Before performing path analysis, these data were log-transformed to improve normality. The path analysis was performed using the sem package in R.

Reviewer’s comments

Reviewer’s report 1

Han Liang, Department of Bioinformatics & Comp Biology, University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center (nominated by Laura Landweber)

Understanding the evolutionary patterns in the context of biological pathways is a topic of great importance. Wu and colleagues performed a comprehensive analysis on a well-characterized pathway in yeasts, HOG-signaling pathway. They found that the evolutionary rate of different components shows great variation, and the variation is influenced by many factors. This is an interesting study, providing useful insights into the evolution of a key pathway.

My comments are as follows:

1. As for the distribution of HOG pathway genes in the yeast phylogeny, does the gene duplicability (i.e., gene copy gain or loss) correlate with the pathway position?

Author’s response: There are no correlation was observed between pathway position and gene duplicability. We observed the gene duplication events in HOG pathway mostly occurred in post-WGD species.

2. The authors detected positive selection on MSB2 gene. Is it possible to pinpoint the amino acids under adaptation and infer the functional effect of the amino acid changes?

Author’s response: Thanks for the valuable suggestion. Besides the fast evolution of MSB2 gene, we also find that there is large insert/deletion in some strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. We will investigate the functional effect of these sequence changes in future work.

3. The authors reported that the MAPK genes are under stronger functional constraints and that the evolutionary rate is negatively correlated with the positions in the pathway (positions 1–6). These two points are actually redundant because the MAPK (regulator module) is in the downstream of sensor module. So within a module, does the evolutionary rate depend on the position?

Author’s response: According to an engineering view of dynamical control, gene function in a signaling pathway
can be classified into three functional roles: "sensor", "regulator", "actuator". In each module, the downstream elements have higher levels of selective constraint than the upstream elements. For regulator module, $P = 0.006$ (Spearman's rank correlation). The correlation of other two modules is more clearly (Figure 3).

4. In the last section, the authors examine the correlations of $d_S/d_S$ with a series of factors. Since these factors are often interrelated, it would be better to use principal component analysis or partial correlation analysis to estimate the relative contributions of each factor?

Author's response: We agree with the reviewer that the suggested statistical analysis should be better to estimate the relative contributions of each factor. In this study, we used simple Spearman rank correlation analysis to estimate the correlation, and the path analysis to estimate the indirect effects of these factors. Therefore, we tried to find out which factors affect the evolution, but not considering which factor play main role in the evolution.

Minor points:
“Most genes have orthologs in all the yeast species and were identified as unique singletons in most cases.”

How can 8 out of 19 genes become “most”?  

Author’s response: There are 10 yeast species surveyed in this study. The total number of genes is 190. As shown in Table 1, the singleton gene number is 169 (190 - (15 + 2 + 4)). So we say that most genes were singleton.

I declare that I have no competing interests.

Reviewer's report 2
Georgy Bazykin, Department of Bioinformatics, Russian Academy of Science (nominated by Mikhail Gelfand)

The manuscript by Wu et al. is an addition to the existing literature on correlations between the pathway position of the gene and its evolutionary rate. The authors show that the genes located downstream in HOG pathway are under stronger negative selection.

My main concern is that there seems to be a number of flaws in the statistical analyses supporting the authors' main conclusions.

1. Multiple testing. The authors test all 19 genes of the HOG pathway with the free-ratio model of codeml (apparently for a total of ~19$^7$ comparisons), and identify a single gene at a single branch (MSN2 of Calb) with $d_S/d_S > 1$. They then test MSB2 gene for positive selection using LRT, and detect positive selection. However, due to the sheer number of multiple tests involved, detecting a single gene with $d_S/d_S > 1$ could occur due to chance effects. There is no built-in correction for multiple testing in codeml (Yang 1998 Mol Biol Evol 15 (5):568-573), and the results of multiple comparisons should be interpreted with more caution. In Methods, the authors write that they used the FDR rate of 0.05, but this apparently only applies to comparisons of codons using M7 and M8 models (which apparently is never implemented, see below).

Author’s response: We agree with the reviewer, and as this comment says, we first test all 19 genes of the pathway with the free-ratio model, then identify positive selection using likelihood ratio test (LRT) test to compare M7 and M8 model. However, the gene with $d_S/d_S > 1$ is not used as evidence to detect positive selection, which is applied by the comparisons of codons using M7 and M8 models in this study. We have described this analysis in Methods (Estimation of evolutionary rate and detection of positive selection). Furthermore, considering 1 out of 19 tests to be positive, and FDR cutoff = 0.05, the $P$ value should be $P < 0.0026$ (1 * 0.05/19). As the MSB2 with $P < 0.001$, so it's selected as positive selection gene.

2. Arbitrariness in selection of data. In analysis of the correlation between the pathway position and $d_S/d_S$, the authors exclude genes in position 7 and 8 of HOG pathway. Why these genes should be excepted is not explained, and the authors include them in the rest of the tests.

Author’s response: The exception of position 7 & 8 could be explained by two reasons: first, it made sense that the external signal is transmitted into nuclear from SLN1/Sho1 to Hog1, which changed gene transcription. The HOG signal transduction process is restricted in genes from SLN1 to HOG1, but transcription factors are involved in many other biological processes. Second, the increased divergence of transcription factors in position 7 had been observed. If the position 7 and 8 was included, the correlation didn't hold again.

I declare that I have no competing interests.

Reviewer's report 3
Zhenguo Lin, Department of Ecology and Evolution, The University of Chicago (nominated by John Logsdon)

Different organisms may respond differently to the specific stress. It is of great interest to study how such difference was evolved by examining the evolution of the related stress response pathways. The yeast S. cerevisiae cells respond to increased intracellular osmolarity by activating of the high osmolarity glycerol (HOG) MAPK pathway. This manuscript indentify the orthologous genes of HOG pathway from 10 closely related yeast species, and examined the evolutionary rates of genes at each step of this pathway. The authors also investigated the other factors that may affect the evolutionary rates of these genes. The authors found that the HOG pathway is conserved in hemiascomycete yeasts, but the evolutionary rates vary greatly in different lineages. One interesting observation is that the evolutionary rates of genes tend to be negatively correlated with their positions in the pathway, suggesting a stronger selection...
We have changed “10 yeast genomes” to “10 yeast species”.

“Among them” is not clear to the context. “them” could be misleading as the three functional modules.

Author’s response: Following the reviewer’s suggestion, we have removed these two words.

fungi is more “evolutionary conserved” is that true? any reference?

Author’s response: Following the reviewer’s suggestion, we have added two references. “proofed” proof is not a verb

Author’s response: Following the reviewer’s suggestion, we have changed “proofed” to “proved”.

“The aligned amino acid sequences were reversely translated into nucleotide sequences by in-house Perl script for further analysis”. Did you mean convert protein alignment into DNA alignment using their original DNA sequence? It is impossible to “Translate” amino acid sequences to translated nucleotide.

Author’s response: Following the reviewer’s suggestion, we have changed “translated” to “converted”.

“we generated 19 HOG-MAPK pathway genes it is not appropriate to use “generated” here.

Author’s response: We agree with the reviewer and have changed “generate” to “identified”.

“their surrounding genes” it is misleading. it could be neighboring genes on the chromosome.

Author’s response: Following the reviewer’s suggestion, we have add “in HOG pathway” in this sentence.

“Several earlier studies”, only one reference is listed.

Author’s response: Following the reviewer’s suggestion, we have added two references.

“fungi” → fungal

Author’s response: Following the reviewer’s suggestion, we have changed “fungi” to “fungal”.

I declare that I have no competing interests.

Abbreviations
HOG: High Osmolarity Glycerol; WGD: Whole Genome Duplication; LRT: Likelihood ratio test; Fop: Frequency of optimal codons; PPI: Protein and protein interaction; MSA: Multiple sequence alignment.

Acknowledgements
We would like to thank Dr. Timothy Connallon for providing functional genomic data of S. cerevisiae. We also thank Dr. Ximiao He (NIH) for critical reading of this manuscript.

Authors’ contributions
XQC and YDL designed the research and wrote the manuscript together; XQC, PMW, DQZ and RD participated in the analyses and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
Gene expression and protein length influence codon usage; the stress of your environment influences the evolutionary rate variation among species.

Received: 18 June 2010
Accepted: 10 July 2010
Published: 10 July 2010

References

1. Bahn YS, Xue C, Idrum R, Rutherford JC, Heitman J, Cardenas ME: Sensing the environment: lessons from fungi. Nat Rev Microbiol 2007, 5:57-69.

2. Gasch AP: Comparative genomics of the environmental stress response in ascomycete fungi. Yeast 2007, 24:961-976.

3. Singh AH, Wolf DM, Wang P, Arkin AP: Modularity of stress response evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008, 105:7500-7505.

4. Jin Y, Weinig S, Nevo E: A MAPK gene from Dead Sea fungus confers stress tolerance to lithium salt and freezing-thawing: Prospects for saline agriculture. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005, 102:18992-18997.

5. Westfall PJ, Balloon DB, Thoner J: When the stress of your environment makes you go HOG wild. Science 2004, 306:1511-1512.

6. Chen RE, Thoner J: Function and regulation in MAPK signaling pathways: lessons learned from the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biochim Biophys Acta 2007, 1773:1311-1340.

7. Krantz M, Becat E, Hohmann S: Comparative genomics of the HOG-signaling system in fungi. Curr Genet 2006, 49:137-151.

8. O’Rourke S, Herskowitz I: Unique and redundant roles for HOG MAPK pathway components as revealed by whole-genome expression analysis. Molecular Biology of the Cell 2004, 15:532-542.

9. Hersen P, McLean MN, Mahadevan L, Ramanathan S: Signal processing by the HOG MAPK pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008, 105:7165-7170.

10. Nikolau E, Agrafioti I, Stumpf M, Quinn J, Stansfield I, Brown AJ: Phylogenetic diversity of stress signalling pathways in fungi. BMC Evol Biol 2009, 9:44.

11. Wolf MY, Wolf YI, Koonin EV: Comparable contributions of structural-functional constraints and expression level to the rate of protein sequence evolution. Mol Biol Evol 2007, 24:836-844.

12. Ingvarsson PK: Gene expression and protein length influence codon usage and rates of sequence evolution in Populus tremula. Mol Biol Evol 2007, 24:836-844.

13. Graur D, Li W: Fundamentals of molecular evolution Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates 2000.

14. Alvarez-Ponce D, Aguade M, Rozas J: Network-level molecular evolutionary analysis of the insulin/TOR signal transduction pathway across 12 Drosophila genomes. Genome Res 2009, 19:234-242.

15. Yang YH, Zhang FM, Ge S: Evolutionary rate patterns of the Gibeberline pathway genes. BMC Evol Biol 2009, 9:206.

16. Benskov A, Wright AP: Comparative analysis of regulatory transcription factors in Schizosaccharomyces pombe and budding yeasts. Yeast 2006, 23:929-935.

17. Kells M, Birren B, Lander ES: Proof and evolutionary analysis of ancient genome duplication in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature 2004, 428:617-624.

18. Li YQ, Liang H, Gu Z, Lin Z, Guan W, Zhou L, Li YQ, Li WH: Detecting positive selection in the budding yeast genome. J Evol Biol 2009, 22:2430-2437.

19. Jovelin R, Dunham JP, Sung FS, Phillips PC: High nucleotide divergence in developmental regulatory genes contrasts with the structural elements of olfactory pathways in caenorhabditis. Genetics 2009, 181:1387-1397.

20. Jovelin R, Phillips PC: Evolutionary rates and centrality in the yeast gene regulatory network. Genome Biol 2009, 10:R35.

21. SacKton TB, Lazzaro BP, Schlenke TA, Evans JD, Hultmark D, Clark AG: Dynamic evolution of the innate immune system in Drosophila. Nat Genet 2007, 39:1461-1468.

22. Muzey D, Gomez-Urbe CA, Mettetal JT, van Oudenaarden A: A systems-level analysis of perfect adaptation in yeast osmoregulation. Cell 2009, 138:160-171.

23. Rauher M, Miller R, Tiffin P: Patterns of evolutionary rate variation among genes of the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway. Syst Biol 1999, 16:266-274.

24. Ramsay H, Rieseberg LH, Ritland K: The correlation of evolutionary rate with pathway position in plant terpenoid biosynthesis. Mol Biol Evol 2009, 26:1045-1053.

25. O’Rourke S, Herskowitz I, O’Shea E: Yeast go the whole HOG for the hypoxemometric response. Trends in Genetics 2002, 18:405-412.

26. Pokholok OK, Zeitlinger J, Hannett NM, Reynolds DB, Young RA: Activated signal transduction kinases frequently occupy target genes. Science 2006, 313:533-536.

27. Cherry JM, Adler C, Ball C, Chervitz SA, Dwight SS, Hester ET, Jia Y, Juvik G, Roe T, Schroeder M, Weng S, Botstein D: SGD: Saccharomyces Genome Database. Nucleic Acids Res 1998, 26:73-79.

28. Arnaud MB, Costanzo MC, Azevedo RP, Binkley G, Crane C, Harvey MA, Hunter CA, Brazma A, Bushman D, Cerutti A, Cho RW, Chua N, Celniker SE, Cleveland D, Cline T, Cole K, Collins M, Conklin BR, Cope L, Coulouris G, Court V, Davis RW, DeRisi JL, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, Erdjument-Bromage H, Estep PW, Flippen-Andrews JA, Fleischmann RD, Fung E, Giaever I, Greenblatt J, Guldner JS, He Y, Hannett NM, Harbison CT, Harper JF, Hartzewig T, Hashemian M, Jansen RK, Jones M, Kasprzyk A, Kasif S, Keegan P, Kelemen A, Kishina H, Kooistra T, Korf I, Kucherlapati R, LaCount DJ, Lee A, LeMaster DM, Lian Z, Lim B, Liao C, Loughran T, Lyne PM, Macisaac KD, Maillet PE, Matese JC, May B, Miller JR, Minch E, Morris J, Nierman WC, N Horizontal H, Ono Y, O'Meara S, Pancenas J, Park K, Pethe A, Pettersen E, Pratt J, Przybylski RK, Ramerstorfer FG, Richmond TA, Riddle RO, Rost B, Schuler G, Schmutz J, Schuller D, Schneider MJ, Schreiber AS, Schutz G, Shoemaker J, Shults C, Sickmann A, Silbermann N, Skrzypecki M, Smith SN, Snyder M, Sunkel CE, Szklarczyk D, Tanebaum N, Tautz D, Tu DX, Urban AE, Voelkel-Noe B, Wach A, Walker MR, Wang F, Weil T, White RD, Williams-Brown S, Wolf E, Wythe JD, Yeates D, Zhang M, Zhong W, Zeng L, Zhu Z, Zhou L, Zhu J: A systems-level analysis of perfect adaptation in yeast osmoregulation. Cell 2009, 138:160-171.

29. Rauher M, Miller R, Tiffin P: Patterns of evolutionary rate variation among genes of the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway. Syst Biol 1999, 16:266-274.

30. Ramsay H, Rieseberg LH, Ritland K: The correlation of evolutionary rate with pathway position in plant terpenoid biosynthesis. Mol Biol Evol 2009, 26:1045-1053.

31. O’Rourke S, Herskowitz I, O’Shea E: Yeast go the whole HOG for the hypoxemometric response. Trends in Genetics 2002, 18:405-412.

32. Pokholok OK, Zeitlinger J, Hannett NM, Reynolds DB, Young RA: Activated signal transduction kinases frequently occupy target genes. Science 2006, 313:533-536.