Obstructive sleep apnea in patients surviving acute hypercapnic respiratory failure is best predicted by static hyperinflation
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Abstract

Rationale

Acute hypercapnic respiratory failure (AHRF) treated with non-invasive ventilation in the ICU is frequently caused by chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) exacerbations and obesity-hypoventilation syndrome, the latter being most often associated with obstructive sleep apnea. Overlap syndrome (a combination of COPD and obstructive sleep apnea) may represent a major burden in this population, and specific diagnostic pathways are needed to improve its detection early after ICU discharge.

Objectives

To evaluate whether pulmonary function tests can identify a high probability of obstructive sleep apnea in AHFR survivors and outperform common screening questionnaires to identify the disorder.

Methods

Fifty-three patients surviving AHFR (31 males; median age 67 years (interquartile range: 62–74) participated in the study. Anthropometric data were recorded and body plethysmography was performed 15 days after ICU discharge. A sleep study was performed 3 months after ICU discharge.

Results

The apnea-hypopnea index was negatively associated with static hyperinflation as measured by the residual volume to total lung capacity ratio in the % of predicted (coefficient = -0.64; standard error 0.17; 95% CI -0.97 to -0.31; p<0.001). A similar association was observed in COPD patients only: coefficient = -0.65; standard error 0.19; 95% CI -1.03 to
-0.26; p = 0.002. Multivariate analysis with penalized maximum likelihood confirmed that the residual volume to total lung capacity ratio was the main contributor for apnea-hypopnea index variance in addition to classic predictors. Screening questionnaires to select patients at risk for sleep-disordered breathing did not perform well.

Conclusions

In AHRF survivors, static hyperinflation is negatively associated with the apnea-hypopnea index in both COPD and non-COPD patients. Measuring static hyperinflation in addition to classic predictors may help to increase the recognition of obstructive sleep apnea as common screening tools are of limited value in this specific population.

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) are highly prevalent chronic diseases and represent a considerable economic burden for health care systems worldwide [1–3]. Acute hypercapnic respiratory failure (AHRF) in patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) is mainly due to COPD exacerbation or obesity-hypoventilation syndrome. In a high proportion of these individuals, non-invasive ventilation treatment is successful [4–7]. OSA is a component of the obesity-hypoventilation syndrome in more than 85% of cases and most patients with a clinical diagnosis of this syndrome remain treated in the long term with non-invasive positive airway pressure [5, 8, 9]. Conversely, only selected subgroups of COPD patients with persistent hypercapnia after AHRF benefit from home non-invasive ventilation as evidenced by recent high-quality randomized controlled trials [10, 11]. Cohort studies also suggest that untreated COPD patients with co-morbid OSA are at increased risk for repeated exacerbations and death [12, 13].

The combination of COPD and OSA (the so-called “overlap syndrome”) is characterized by more pronounced nocturnal hypoxemia and a higher risk of pulmonary hypertension and right heart failure [14–17]. Overlap syndrome prevalence is low in the general population [18, 19], but it represents a major burden in AHRF survivors [7]. The combination of a cluster of comorbidities, including OSA and COPD, has been associated with a higher risk of death and early readmission after discharge for AHRF [7]. This implies that specific diagnostic pathways targeting overlap syndrome should be implemented in this specific AHRF population for its early identification and treatment in order to improve long-term outcomes.

While a suspicion of OSA in an obese population is obvious, this may not be the case in AHRF patients. In addition, COPD is largely underdiagnosed in this population and pulmonary function tests are recommended following AHRF [7]. The primary objective of this study was to evaluate whether a systematic assessment of pulmonary function tests, including a measure of static hyperinflation, would increase the pretest probability of having OSA in patients surviving AHRF, thus allowing to improve patient selection for polysomnography. Our secondary objective was to assess whether pulmonary function tests would outperform common screening questionnaires used to identify at-risk patients in this specific population.

Methods

Study population

We performed a single-center, prospective, cohort study of patients surviving AHRF at the Geneva University Hospitals (Geneva, Switzerland) from 2012–2015. Precise inclusion and
exclusion criteria have been described previously, as well as a detailed description of objectively assessed major comorbidities associated with AHRF [7]. In brief, 197 patients who had survived AHRF were screened for inclusion in the study at ICU discharge. Exclusion criteria were: unwillingness to participate in a clinical study (39%); confusion or psychiatric comorbidities (22%); life expectancy < 3 months (18%); premature transfer to another facility (12%); and not meeting inclusion criteria (9%). Among 78 patients included, only those (n = 53) who completed an overnight sleep study after ICU discharge were included in the analysis. All patients provided written informed consent and the study was approved by the institutional review board (Comité départemental d’éthique des spécialités médicales et de médecine communautaire et de premier recours, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland, CER11-28) and registered at http://www.clinicaltrials.gov (identifier NCT02111876).

Sleep studies
Polysomnography or cardiorespiratory recordings were performed 3 months after ICU discharge while the patient was spontaneously breathing 2 days after withdrawal of positive airway pressure treatment. Sleep studies were performed during a phase of clinical stability assessed by a study nurse using a standardized interview followed by a physical examination. Sleep stages and arousals were scored using the American Academy of Sleep Medicine criteria. Apnea was scored if a drop of 90% or more in airflow signal excursion was noted for at least 10 sec. Hypopnea was defined as a drop greater than or equal to 30% in airflow lasting at least 10 sec and associated with 3% oxygen desaturation [20]. An apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) cut-off value >20/h was used for moderate-to-severe sleep apnea as this cut-off has recently been associated with both hypertension and metabolic syndrome after multiple adjustment for risk factors [21, 22].

Pulmonary function tests
Standardized measurements of lung volumes using body plethysmography was performed 15 days after ICU discharge in all patients. A forced expiratory volume in 1 sec (FEV1) over the forced vital capacity ratio (FVC) of less than the 5th percentile of the predicted value was used to define obstructive lung disease. Residual volume (RV) to total lung capacity ratio (TLC) (% of predicted) was used to assess the degree of static hyperinflation as proposed by the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society 2005 taskforce [23]. All measures were performed after administration of short-acting beta-agonist bronchodilators.

Questionnaire and score for OSA
STOP-Bang, an 8-item questionnaire combining symptoms and clinical variables, was obtained for all patients 3 months after ICU discharge as a screening tool for OSA identification [24]. A threshold of 5 points or more was used to identify patients at risk for moderate-to-severe sleep-disordered breathing. The NoSAS score was computed for all patients using the variables available in our database (neck circumference, body mass index (BMI), snoring, age, gender) [22]. A threshold of 8 points or more was used to identify patients at risk for moderate-to-severe sleep-disordered breathing.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive statistics are reported as numbers and percentages for categorical variables or the median and interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables. When comparing groups, we used the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test for continuous variables or Fishers’ exact test for
categorical variables. Univariate analysis to predict the AHI was conducted by using a linear regression model. Ridge regression [25] (a shrinkage method that adjusts the model parameters for overoptimism) was used to develop predictive models of AHI. The penalty factor was chosen by maximizing the modified Akaike’s information criteria [26]. The full penalized model was then simplified using the method proposed by Ambler and Moons [27, 28]. AHI predicted by the simplified model was then used to estimate moderate-to-severe sleep apnea. Sensitivity, specificity, and negative and positive predictive values, as well as the area under the curve, were computed. All analyses were performed using R version 3.4.2 (R Project for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) and the rms package [29]. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

Baseline characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. Fifty-three patients surviving AHRF were enrolled in the study (31 males; median age 67 years [IQR 62–74]; BMI 33 [26–41] kg/m²). The prevalence of COPD was high with no difference between patients with or without significant OSA (64% versus 82% respectively; p = 0.296).

**Association between hyperinflation and the severity of sleep-disordered breathing**

Overall, the AHI was negatively associated with static hyperinflation as measured by the % of the predicted RV/TLC ratio (coefficient = -0.64; SE 0.17; 95% CI -0.97 to -0.31; p<0.001) and positively associated with the % of the predicted value of FEV1 (coefficient = 0.59; SE 0.23; 95% CI 0.14 to 1.05; p = 0.012 [data previously reported [7]). Similar associations between lung volume and the AHI were observed in the specific COPD subgroup (coefficient = -0.65; SE 0.19; 95% CI -1.03 to -0.26; p = 0.002 for the % of the predicted RV/TLC ratio; and coefficient = 0.75; SE 0.31; 95% CI 0.09 to 1.37; p = 0.026 for FEV1) (Fig 1).

**Predictors of sleep-disordered breathing**

In univariate analysis, there was a positive association between AHI and body mass index (coefficient = 1.04; SE 0.41; 95% CI 0.21 to 1.87; p = 0.015), neck circumference (coefficient = 2.07; Table 1. Patient characteristics.

|                         | Overall (n = 53) | Moderate-to-severe OSA (n = 36) | No or mild OSA (n = 17) | p-value |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Gender, female/male     | 22/31           | 15/21                           | 7/10                   | 1.000   |
| Age (yrs)               | 67 (62–74)      | 66 (62–72)                      | 71 (64–75)             | 0.311   |
| COPD, n (%)             | 37 (70)         | 23 (64)                         | 14 (82)                | 0.296   |
| FEV1, % of predicted value | 50 (39–58)  | 54 (44–64)                      | 39 (27–45)             | 0.001   |
| FEV1/FVC, %             | 57 (46–73)      | 65 (51–76)                      | 51 (39–67)             | 0.073   |
| TLC, % of predicted value | 84 (67–104)  | 85 (65–98)                      | 83 (68–113)            | 0.641   |
| RV, % of predicted value | 111 (81–143) | 99 (81–140)                     | 120 (101–176)          | 0.092   |
| RV/TLC, % of predicted value | 130 (114–150) | 121 (112–138)                   | 149 (139–162)          | 0.002   |
| AHI, n/h                | 36 (17–58)      | 48 (36–76)                      | 9 (5–16)               | <0.001  |
| BMI, kg/m2              | 33 (26–41)      | 33 (29–41)                      | 27 (23–39)             | 0.042   |
| Neck circumference, cm  | 43 (38–46)      | 43 (40–47)                      | 41 (37–46)             | 0.211   |
| Hypertension, n (%)     | 33/53(62)       | 22/36 (61)                      | 11/17 (65)             | 1.00    |
| Epworth Sleepiness Scale| 7 (4–12)        | 7 (4–12)                        | 7 (5–9)                | 0.709   |

OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; COPD: chronic obstructive lung disease; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 sec.; FVC: forced vital capacity; RV: residual volume; TLC: total lung capacity; AHI: apnea-hypopnea index; BMI: body mass index

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205669.t001
SE 0.71; 95% CI 0.64 to 3.50; p = 0.005), and the Epworth Sleep Scale (coefficient = 2.12; SE 0.94; 95% CI 0.24 to 4.00; p = 0.028), but not with age (coefficient = -0.54; SE 0.45; 95% CI -1.44 to -0.37; p = 0.238), gender (coefficient = 14.23; SE 8.64; 95% CI -3.12 to 31.58; p = 0.106) and hypertension (coefficient = 3.22; SE 9.01; 95% CI -14.86 to 21.30; p = 0.722).

In multivariate analysis, the penalized maximum likelihood revealed that RV/TLC (% of predicted) or FEV1 (% of predicted) was the main contributor for AHI variance, in addition to classic predictors (Table 2 and Fig 2). In this particular population, screening questionnaires to select patients at risk for sleep-disordered breathing did not perform well (Table 3).

![Fig 1. Panel A: Positive correlation between FEV1 (% of predicted) and the apnea-hypopnea index. Panel B: Negative correlation between residual volume/total lung capacity (% of predicted) and the apnea-hypopnea index. FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 sec.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205669.g001)

Table 2. Multivariate analysis of the apnea-hypopnea index.

| Apnea-hypopnea index (/h) | Regression coefficient | Standard error | p-value | 95% confidence interval |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------|------------------------|
| Neck circumference (cm)   | 1.38                   | 0.60           | 0.352   | -0.65 to 1.78           |
| RV/TLC (% of predicted)   | -0.37                  | 0.14           | 0.010   | -0.65 to -0.09          |
| Epworth Sleepiness Scale  | 1.38                   | 0.74           | 0.069   | -0.11 to 2.86           |
| Age                       | -0.46                  | 0.32           | 0.161   | -1.11 to 0.19           |
| BMI                       | 0.33                   | 0.37           | 0.366   | -0.40 to 1.07           |

b. Multivariate analysis of apnea-hypopnea index with FEV1 (% of predicted) as an independent variable.

| Apnea-hypopnea index (/h) | Regression coefficient | Standard error | p-value | 95% confidence interval |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------|------------------------|
| Male gender               | 5.23                   | 5.24           | 0.32    | -5.30 to 15.76         |
| Neck circumference (cm)   | 1.04                   | 0.53           | 0.057   | -0.03 to 2.11          |
| FEV1 (% of predicted)     | 0.35                   | 0.17           | 0.045   | 0.01 to 0.68           |
| Epworth Sleepiness Scale  | 0.72                   | 0.69           | 0.301   | -0.66 to 2.11          |
| Age                       | -0.21                  | 0.31           | 0.504   | -0.84 to 0.42          |

RV: residual volume; TLC: total lung capacity; BMI: body mass index; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 sec.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205669.t002
Fig 2. Panels A and B: Relative importance of each predictor in the full penalized model measured by the partial Wald chi-square minus degrees of freedom. Higher values indicate more important variables. 

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205669.g002
Discussion

Our study expands current knowledge of interactions between lung mechanics and sleep-disordered breathing and shows that the severity of static hyperinflation is negatively associated with the AHI in both COPD and non-COPD patients surviving AHRF. Classic screening tools for OSA did not perform well and are of limited interest in this specific population.

Whether the association between COPD and OSA occurs by chance or can be explained by specific mechanisms remains a subject of debate. Upper airway inflammation consecutive to smoking, rostral fluid shift, upper airway muscle weakness and inhaled corticosteroids may promote OSA in COPD patients, while other factors may be protective, such as a low BMI and a diminished rapid-eye movement sleep stage [14]. Apart from the classic risk factors for OSA, lung volume and respiratory mechanics also have a significant impact on upper airway size and patency [30–32]. Hyperinflation and increased lung volume help to stabilize the upper airway as demonstrated by physiological studies in healthy humans [31, 33], as well in OSA [34] and COPD [35] patients. In the latter study, COPD patients exhibited lower passive pharyngeal critical closing pressure than their matched controls, thus meaning a less collapsible upper airway [35]. In another study, a high percentage of air trapping and emphysema assessed by a computed tomography scan was associated with the lowest AHI [36]. Our data demonstrate that static hyperinflation measured by RV/TLC is negatively associated with AHI in a population (mainly COPD and obese patients) surviving AHRF. This also applies to FEV1, which is easier to measure in routine clinical practice. As already highlighted by others [37], classic predictors of OSA are less or not valid in highly-specific populations, such as AHRF survivors.

OSA is a major global health concern and a cause of considerable cardiovascular and metabolic morbidity and mortality [3]. However, the disorder is a complex, heterogeneous and multi-component condition. In a large cluster analysis of more than 18,000 OSA patients from a prospective national registry, Bailly et al. identified six distinct phenotypes at the time of diagnosis with patients varying considerably in age, BMI, symptoms, comorbidities, and risk exposure [38]. Similarly, all previously published cluster analyses have also emphasized the significant heterogeneity between OSA patients at the time of diagnosis [39, 40]. Accordingly, it can be presumed that predictors of OSA might differ for each phenotype or referral context and, therefore, it is probably simplistic to believe that a “one size fits all” screening questionnaire will be suitable for all patients.

Indeed, in our study population, the Epworth Sleepiness Score was in the normal range for the entire cohort with similar median values for both OSA and non-OSA patients. Likewise, age distribution was too narrow to show differences between groups and the female:males ratio was also similar. Our understanding of this phenomenon is that classic predictors of OSA

Table 3. Performance of classic screening tools for obstructive sleep apnea and our 3 variables model.

| Model                                | Sensitivity (95% CI) | Specificity (95% CI) | Positive predictive value (95% CI) | Negative predictive value (95% CI) | Area under the curve (95% CI) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Simplified penalized regression model with RV/TLC | 0.97 (0.85–1)        | 0.20 (0.04–0.48)    | 0.74 (0.59–0.86)                   | 0.75 (0.19–0.99)                  | 0.78 (0.65–0.91) |
| Simplified penalized regression model with FEV1 | 0.97 (0.85–1)        | 0.20 (0.04–0.48)    | 0.74 (0.59–0.86)                   | 0.75 (0.19–0.99)                  | 0.75 (0.60–0.89) |
| NoSAS                                | 0.89 (0.72–0.96)     | 0.24 (0.08–0.50)    | 0.71 (0.55–0.83)                   | 0.50 (0.18–0.83)                  | 0.65 (0.50–0.81) |
| STOP BANG                            | 0.78 (0.60–0.89)     | 0.41 (0.19–0.67)    | 0.74 (0.57–0.86)                   | 0.47 (0.22–0.73)                  | 0.58 (0.42–0.75) |

RV: residual volume; TLC: total lung capacity; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 sec.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205669.t003
obtained from an unselected sample of the general population will not perform well in highly-selected homogenous groups of severely ill patients. One strategy to overcome this issue is to increase the use of ambulatory monitoring tools for a simplified OSA diagnosis [41], especially if pre-test probability of OSA is high [7, 42]. A complementary approach is to develop specific screening tools appropriate to the clinical context. For instance, in a population of moderate-to-severe COPD referred for a pulmonary rehabilitation program, a simple COPD-OSA screening test including BMI and a history of cardiovascular disease has recently been demonstrated to be superior to gender, the Epworth Sleepiness Score, and other classic predictors of OSA [37].

Following AHRF, we demonstrated that comorbidities are under-recognized and associated with poor outcome, despite patients being frequently hospitalized. It is therefore timely to validate specific pathways for the assessment of important comorbidities in survivors. Indeed, only a minority of COPD patients presenting with AHRF had previously been diagnosed by spirometry. Based on our data, systematic pulmonary function tests should be recommended for all AHRF survivors as a first step for COPD phenotyping and to give the clinician a hint towards a comorbid diagnosis of OSA.

Our study has some limitations. Although we have demonstrated that pulmonary function tests were important predictors of AHI in AHRF survivors and should probably be included in screening tools, the current sample size in our study population restricted our ability to model predictive equations robust enough to anticipate individual AHIs. In addition, the need to investigate patients by pulmonary function tests might be considered as a limitation compared to classic screening questionnaires. However, complete pulmonary functions tests will also help the clinician to better assess multi-morbidity including OSA. We also acknowledge that our data were obtained from a single-center, prospective cohort of patients surviving ICU stay. Therefore, our results cannot be extended to patients with AHRF who died in the ICU or were lost to follow-up. However, from a clinical point of view, the assessment of multimorbidity can only be performed in the clinic in stable patients who survived the episode of AHRF. Moreover, our study focused on AHRF survivors, so our results cannot be extended to the general population. Although we used a modern statistical method to correct for optimism (shrinkage), our model also needs to be validated in another independent larger cohort of patients surviving AHRF.

In conclusion, AHRF survivors have distinct predictors for OSA. Our data suggest that systematic pulmonary function testing is useful in this population to assess important conditions such as COPD and to raise the suspicion of comorbid OSA.
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