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Abstract
Backgrounds: Cognitive functions (CF) decline has been reported in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients. However, the influence of dialysis modalities on CF has not been investigated systematically.

Methods: A systematic literature search was conducted in MEDLINE, Embase, Cochrane library and unpublished database Clinicaltrials.gov to identify the studies comparing the cognitive functions or risk of dementia between hemodialysis (HD) and peritoneal dialysis (PD). After data extraction, quality of studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale. Both qualitative and quantitative analyses were performed.

Results: After study inclusion, totally 15 cohort or cross-sectional studies were included, comparing the cognitive functions using neuropsychological tests and covering the executive function, memory, orientation, attention, etc. By qualitative analysis, it showed that more studies are inclined to PD compared with HD with better cognitive functions. By quantitative analysis, it showed that PD showed better performance in the tests of Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), stroop interference test and exhibited lower risk of dementia compared with HD.

Conclusions: In this meta-analysis, we draw preliminary conclusion that patients treated with PD had better cognitive functions and lower dementia risk compared with patients with HD. Still more large-scale and well-conducted prospective cohort studies are needed to draw more convincing conclusions.

Abbreviations: BVRT = Benton Visual Retention Test, CF = cognitive function, CKD = chronic kidney disease, d2-R = Test d2-Revision, DSB = digit span backwards, DST = Digit symbol test, EF = executive functioning, eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate, ESRD = end-stage renal disease, FASVF = FAS verbal fluency, HD = hemodialysis, K-BIT = Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test, KDQOL-CF = Kidney Disease Quality of Life Cognitive Function, MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination, MoCA = Montreal Cognitive Assessment, NCP = Number Cancellation Protocol, OR = odds ratio, PD = peritoneal dialysis, RAVLT = Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, RRT = renal replacement therapy, SDMT = Symbol Digit Modalities Test, TMSE = Thai Mental Status Examination, TMT = Trail Making Test.
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1. Introduction

Cognitive functioning (CF) in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) has become a major concern over the past decades. A decline of CF and increased risk of dementia has been reported recently and might be correlated with the severity of renal failure. Previous study indicated that an 11% increase in the risk of cognitive impairment would happen for every 10 mL decrease in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) below 60 mL/min/1.73 m 2. A cognitive decline may increasingly affect the patients’ abilities to understand and process information, to participate fully in making decisions about their health care, to adhere to complex medical regimens, or to implement dietary and fluid regulations properly. Furthermore, patients with cognitive impairment are at higher risk of hospitalization, mortality, and a poorer quality of life. Therefore, cognitive impairment has been recognized as a serious problem in patients with ESRD. Since patients with ESRD are routinely receiving the treatment of dialysis, who suffer from many of the known risk factors for cognitive impairment, including hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia. In addition, dialysis patients are exposed to hypoxemia, large fluid and osmolar shifts, fluctuating uremic toxin titers. Previous studies suggest that hemodialysis...
(HD) and peritoneal dialysis (PD) are equivalent in terms of survival.\textsuperscript{15} The decision as to which renal replacement therapy an individual will use may be influenced by several factors including the patient’s preferences, medical conditions and social environment, but also the physician’s willful or unconscious bias or prejudice when educating the patient. For decades, whether the dialysis modalities would influence the cognitive functions has been debated. A recent meta-analysis indicated that the prevalence of cognitive impairment in patients with HD is as high as 70%. Similar prevalence rates have also been reported in patients on PD.\textsuperscript{16} Moreover, some studies have reported better CF in PD compared with patients with HD, indicating that PD is better in the management of cognitive impairment,\textsuperscript{17} more adequate in reversing uremic encephalopathy,\textsuperscript{18} and superior in restoring cognitive capacity.\textsuperscript{19} A large retrospective study of 121,623 patients found that those patients on PD had a lower 5-year cumulative risk of dementia compared with those on HD.\textsuperscript{20} Small cross-sectional studies have, however, reported similar cognitive performances in patients with HD and PD.\textsuperscript{21}

However, the studies with respect to different dialysis modalities are both too scarce and insufficient to allow for modality-specific conclusions. Although there were several meta-analyses concerning this topic,\textsuperscript{22,23} the studies included are not up-to-date and not comprehensive. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we collected all the studies comparing the cognitive functions between HD and PD, concluding that PD might be superior in preserving the cognitive functions and decreasing the risk of dementia compared with HD. Still more large-scale and convincing studies are needed to draw a more accurate conclusion.

2. Methods

2.1. Data sources and literature searches

The databases used in this study included Embase, MEDLINE (PubMed), and Cochrane library (CENTRAL); additionally, unpublished studies from www.clinicaltrials.gov, dating from January 1991 to October 2018. RCTs, cohort studies and cross-sectional studies aiming at comparing the cognitive functions between HD and PD treatments were included in this analysis.

Medical subject headings or key words “Renal Dialysis” “Kidneys, Artificial” “Renal Insufficiency, Chronic” “peritoneal dialysis” “hemodialysis” “Delirium” “Dementia” “Amnestic Cognitive Disorders” “Mental Status Schedule” “Cognition” “cognitive” “Neuropsychological Tests” were used for the candidate study searches. The Boolean operators “AND” and “OR” were applied to facilitate the search. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Tianjin Medical University.

2.2. Study eligibility criteria

After candidate articles were collected, further identification of these articles was conducted following the inclusion and exclusion standards described below. The studies, published or unpublished, were enrolled if they met the criteria as follows: First, participants: participants were diagnosed as ESRD, with eGFR < 20 mL/min per 1.73 m\textsuperscript{2} and before or within 60 days after initiation of renal replacement therapy (RRT). Second, interventions: patients with ESRD were treated either with standard HD or PD, without kidney transplantation. Third, comparisons: the comparison of cognitive functions between the patients with different dialysis modalities (HD or PD). Fourth, outcomes: the cognitive functions, characterized by different scales, such as but not confined to Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Trail Making Test (TMT), Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT), Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT), Benton Visual Retention Test (BVRT), Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), etc. Fifth, study design: cohort studies and cross-sectional studies were included. The study selection was performed by Tian X and Guo X, and a 3rd investigator Li X solved any discrepancies.

The studies were excluded if: First, they were published in the forms of case reports, reviews, editorials, conference abstracts. Second, studies on animal models or pre-clinical experimental studies. Third, without the comparisons of cognitive functions or risk of dementia between HD and PD. Fourth, there was a lack of the importance outcomes or parameters of cognitive functions. Fifth, duplicate data were reported in different studies by the same research group.

2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment

Data of the included studies were extracted in the following aspects: First, study-related data: authors, year of publication, publication journal, study size, study design, etc. Second, patient-related information: patient characteristics, interventions, comorbidities, etc. Third, outcome data: parameters of cognitive functions, risk of dementia, etc. For the studies that did not provide the sufficient data, the corresponding author was contacted for detailed information. Meanwhile, Engauge Digitizer (version 4.1, M Mitchell, http://markummitchell.github.io/engauge-digitizer/) software was used to extract data from graphs or images if the data were not provided in detail but were presented as figures by the authors. The quality assessment was conducted using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the observational studies.\textsuperscript{24}

2.4. Data synthesis and analyses

This meta-analysis was performed according to the PRISMA statement (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses).\textsuperscript{25} The results included both dichotomous and continuous variables, with odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) values provided for each variable. Both fixed-effects (inverse-variance weighted) and random-effects (DerSimonian and Laird) Mantel-Haenszel (M-H) models were applied. A forest plot was created for each treatment effect. The $I^2$ statistic was calculated to measure the amount of inter-study heterogeneity, thus determining the use of a fixed-effects ($I^2$ statistic smaller than 50%) or random-effects model ($I^2$ statistic bigger than 50%). REVIEW MANAGER (RevMan) version 5.3 (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark) was used for data syntheses.

3. Results

3.1. Literature search results

A total of 15 studies comparing the cognitive functions between HD and PD were enrolled for further analysis. No unpublished study was included. A flow diagram outlining the literature search strategies was presented in Fig. 1, with the main baseline characteristics of included studies presented in Table 1. The studies included were published between 1988 and 2008. These studies compared the cognitive functions parameters between HD and PD, with different parameters measuring the CF. Among
them, 2 studies adopted MMSE measuring the CF.\cite{16,26} Digit symbol test (DST), FAS verbal fluency (FASVF), digit span backwards (DSB) and trails (BA) (TBA) were used in the study of George et al\cite{27} TMT, SDMT, RAVLT, BVRT and Grooved Pegboard (GP) were used in the study of Griva et al\cite{28} Meanwhile, MoCA was used in another 2 studies.\cite{29,30} The TMT-B, Test d2-Revision (d2-R) and Kidney Disease Quality of Life Cognitive Function (KDQOL-CF) methods were used in the studies of Newmann et al and Robinski et al\cite{31,32} The measurement of SDMT was conducted in the study of Radic\cite{21}, while the study of Sithinamsuwan et al adopted the Thai Mental Status Examination (TMSE).\cite{33} The P300 cognitive potential was used for the determination of CF in the study of Tilki et al\cite{17} Dodrill Stroop, RAVLT and Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test (K-BIT) were used in the study of Williams et al\cite{34} Moreover, the tests of Number Cancellation Protocol (NCP), TMT-A, TMT-B, SDM, and RAVLT were adopted in the study of Wolcott et al\cite{18} Furthermore, the other 2 studies concentrated on the risk of dementia between HD and PD.\cite{20,35} Among the included studies, the sample size was ranged from 30 to 121,623 participants.

3.2. Baseline characteristics and quality assessments

Baseline characteristics of the patients in the included studies were presented in Table 2. It showed that the mean age and gender ratio were without significant difference in most of the studies included. As to the ethnicity, several studies reported that a majority of the participants were white people or Caucasian. Meanwhile, most studies reported the education levels, which is quite correlated with the results of CF measurements. It showed that the participants of the included studies showed no significant difference between the HD and the PD groups, whereas the educational levels of the patients among these studies had some differences. For example, most studies reported that the average educational duration was 12 to 13 years, while Sithinamsuwan et al showed that patients with 9 to 11 years of education were included.\cite{33} Also, the dialysis vintage also exhibited a large difference between different studies. Furthermore, co-morbidities were also reported in most studies, such as diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, peripheral artery diseases, etc. Altogether, no obvious difference was observed between HD and PD groups in most of the studies, however, some distinctions existed among the studies included, which might become the origin of the heterogeneity.

As to the results of quality assessment, all the studies were above 5 points according to the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (Table 3). A majority of the studies included had selected the right cohort and non-exposed cohort for the investigation. Meanwhile, the comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis is good in most studies. Furthermore, as to the follow-up, most studies gave the time for adequate follow-up time, while several studies had very short experimental duration and without specific follow-up time.

3.3. Qualitative analyses

As to the comparisons of CF between HD and PD, the studies included showed different results. Several studies are inclined to the view that PD group had better cognitive functions than HD group. Iyasere et al showed that the MoCA executive scores
| Study       | Publication          | Study region | Type of study | Total | HD  | PD  | Study time | Main parameters                                                                 | Main outcomes                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|-------|-----|-----|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cukor 2013 | J Nephrol            | USA          | Cross-sectional study | 31    | 25  | 6   | --         | Automated Neuropsychological Assessment Metrics (ANAM); Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) | Computer-based testing can offer information on the cognitive fluctuations of medically complex population. The 83% of patients with dialysis suffered a decline in 1 or more cognitive function tests over 1 year. Patients with PD showed a more rapid cognitive decline than those on HD. Significant improvements in NP functioning in patients with HD, 24-hour post-dialysis. No such fluctuations were found in patients with PD. The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |
| George 2013| Nephron Clin Pract    | Australia    | Cohort study   | 80    | 51  | 29  | 1 year     | Digit symbol test (DST); FAS verbal fluency (FASYF); digit span backwards (DSB) and trails (TBA) | The 83% of patients with dialysis suffered a decline in 1 or more cognitive function tests over 1 year. Patients with PD showed a more rapid cognitive decline than those on HD. Significant improvements in NP functioning in patients with HD, 24-hour post-dialysis. No such fluctuations were found in patients with PD. The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |
| Griva 2003 | Health Psychol        | UK           | Cohort study   | 145   | 77  | 68  | 24 hours   | Trail Making Test (TMT); Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT); Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVL); Benton Visual Retention Test (BVRT); Grooved Pegboard (GP) | The 83% of patients with dialysis suffered a decline in 1 or more cognitive function tests over 1 year. Patients with PD showed a more rapid cognitive decline than those on HD. Significant improvements in NP functioning in patients with HD, 24-hour post-dialysis. No such fluctuations were found in patients with PD. The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |
| Iyasere 2017| Clin Kidney J         | UK           | Cohort study   | 66    | 41  | 25  | 2 years    | Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) | The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |
| Kalirao 2011| Am J Kidney Dis       | USA          | Cross-sectional study | 389   | 338 | 51  | --         | Modified Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE); Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-Revised (HVLT-R); Color Trails 1 and 2; Stroop Interference test; Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised (BVMTR); Controlled Oral Word Association Test (COWAT); Clock-Drawing Test; Wechsler Digit Span; Geriatric Depression Scale (Short Form) | The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |
| Lambert 2017| Nephrology            | Australia    | Cross-sectional study | 79    | 54  | 25  | --         | Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) | Delirits in executive function, attention, language, visuospatial skills, memory and orientation were common. Patients with HD had better performance in visuospatial, attention, memory and orientation. |
| Lin 2015   | Sci Rep               | Taiwan       | Cohort study   | 52332 | 3292| 4 years | --         | Dementia diagnosis, confirmed by neurologist or psychiatrist | The HD did not increase the risk of dementia in patients with dialysis-dependent compared to PD. An improvement of cognitive functioning and support previous indications for PD being associated with better cognitive functions during a 1-year course than HD. Patients with HD and CAPD are without clinical signs of dementia or cognitive impairment. The cognitive-motor abilities between them are without statistically significant difference. Patients with PD showed higher TS, more autonomy- and information-seeking personality, better cognitive functioning, a more successful SDM as well as a larger living space compared with patients with HD. There was no significant difference on prevalence of dementia between the HD and CAPD group. |
| Neumann 2018| Kidney Int            | Germany      | Cohort study   | 197   | 96  | 101 | 1 year     | TMT-B, d2-R, KDQOL-QF | The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |
| Rudić 2011 | Renal Failure         | Croatia      | Cross-sectional study | 42    | 22  | 20  | --         | OBD-series tests and symbol digit modalities test (SDMT) | The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |
| Robinski 2017| Nephrol Dial Transplant| Germany     | Cross-sectional study | 482   | 241 | 241 | --         | Cognitive conditions (Trail-Making- Test-B, TMT-B, Test d2-Revision and KDQOL-cognition subscale); decision-making (SDM-Questionnaire, SDM-Q) | The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |
| Sithinamsuwak 2005| J Med Assoc Thai   | Thailand     | Cross-sectional study | 90    | 60  | 30  | --         | Thai Mental Status Examination (TMS) was tested and the patients were interviewed by a well-trained neurologist to determine dementia and Thai Depression Inventory (TDI) was used to determine depression | The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |
| Tilki 2004  | Uppsala J Med Sci     | Turkey       | Cross-sectional study | 42    | 25  | 17  | --         | P300 cognitive potential was measured and the MMS examination was carried out in all subjects | The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |
| Williams 2004| Am J Kidney Dis       | USA          | Cohort study   | 30    | 20  | 10  | 67 hours   | Godsh Stroop, Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test (RAVL); Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test (K-BT) | The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |
| Widdicombe 1988| Am J Kidney Dis     | USA          | Cross-sectional study | 34    | 17  | 17  | --         | Number Cancellation Protocol (NCP), Trailmaking Test, Forms A and B (TMT-A, TMT-B), Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDM), Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test (RAVL) | The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |
| Williams 2014| Perit Dial Int        | USA          | Retrospective cohort study | 121623 | 112960 | 8663 | --         | Determination of dementia | The MoCA executive scores declined faster in the HD compared with patients with PD. Compared with patients with HD, more patients with PD had moderate to severe memory impairment (60% vs 52%), but fewer had impaired executive function (1/3rd vs 1/2). |

**Abbreviations:** BMFT = Benton Visual Retention Test; CF = cognitive function; CKD = chronic kidney disease; d2-R = Test d2-Revision; DSB = digit span backwards; DST = Digit symbol test; EF = executive functioning; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESRD = end-stage renal disease; FASYF = FAS verbal fluency; HD = hemodialysis; K-BT = Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test; KDQOL-QF = Kidney Disease Quality of Life Cognitive Function; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; MoCA = Montreal Cognitive Assessment; NCP = Number Cancellation Protocol; OR = odds ratio; PD = peritoneal dialysis; RAHLT = Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; RFIT = renal replacement therapy; SDMT = Symbol Digit Modalities Test; TMS = Thai Mental Status Examination; TMT = Trail Making Test.
# Table 2

The baseline characteristics of patients in included studies.

| Study          | Gender (female/total) | Age (years) | Ethnicity | Education | Dialysis vintage (months) | Current functioning | Urea reduction ratio | Blood pressures | Other comorbidities |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Cukor 2013     | 64% vs 83%            | 50±12.1 vs 47.9±11.6 | 80% of participants were Black/Afro-Caribbean | 11.1±3.1 vs 12.3±2.8 | – | MMSE: 27.4±1.7 vs 28.2±1.2 | 74.0±6.3 | – | – |
| George 2013    | 28.6% vs 31.8%        | 56.7±16.4 vs 59.4±15.2 | – | – | 42.4±60.2 vs 37.1±43.7 | – | – | – | – |
| Griva 2003     | 42.9% vs 26.5%        | 48.2±14.9 vs 52.2±13.26 | 88.8% White vs 58.8% White | 12.26±5.69 vs 12.49±5.11 | – | TMT, SDMT, RAVLT, BVMT, GP-DOM | 65±7 | Hypertension: 45.7% vs 68.2% | Diabetes, heart disease |
| Iyasere 2017   | 29.3% vs 24%          | 68.9±1.3 vs 72.8±1.6 | 39% White vs 6% White | 12.8±3.0 vs 13.2±2.4 | – | MoCA: 23 (20-27) vs 24 (23-27) | – | – | Diabetes, heart disease, PAD, etc |
| Kalrao 2011    | 45.9% vs 33.3%        | 71.2±9.5 vs 57.5±14.8 | 825% White vs 54.9% White | 12.8±3.0 vs 13.2±2.4 | – | MoCA: 23 (20-27) vs 24 (23-27) | – | – | Diabetes, stroke |
| Lambert 2017   | 33.3% vs 48%          | 72 vs 70 | – | – | 51 vs 40 | 51 vs 40 | 52 vs 40 | – | – |
| Lin 2015       | 52.3% vs 55.5%        | – | – | – | – | – | – | Risk of dementia | – |
| Neumann 2018   | 25% vs 35.6%          | 51.9±15.9 vs 55.7±14.7 | – | – | 14.5±5.4 vs 14.7±7.2 | – | – | – | – |
| Rađić 2011     | –                     | 40.59±1.64 vs 51.10±10.66 | 13.00±2.02 vs 12.20±2.33 | 7.05±4.27 vs 3.80±1.54 (years) | – | SDMT | – | – | – |
| Robinski 2017  | 34.4% vs 27.8%        | 59.8±15.9 vs 58.8±16.0 | – | 19.5% high level vs 23.2% | – | – | – | – | – |
| Sithirathewa  2005 | 45% vs 30%            | 53.67±15.84 vs 55.67±14.18 | – | – | 68.48±34.04 vs 36.67 ±30.62 | – | – | – | – |
| Williams 2014  | 50% vs 50%            | 54.6±2.9 vs 54.1±4.8 | 90% Caucasian vs 80% Caucasian | 12.3±2.3 vs 13.3±3.1 | – | MoCA: 23 (20-27) vs 24 (23-27) | – | – | – |
| Wolcott 1988   | 35.3% vs 35.3%        | 50.3±17.0 vs 50.0±15.6 | 70.6% White vs 76.9% White | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Wolfran 2014   | 45.5% vs 44.9%        | 69.9±12.0 vs 60.4±17.6 | 68.9% White vs 75.7% White | – | – | – | – | – | – |

Data were presented as Mean±SD, with the order of HD vs PD. HD = hemodialysis, PD = peritoneal dialysis, SD = standard deviation.
declined faster in patients treated with HD compared with PD. Kalirao et al. showed that more patients with PD had memory impairment but fewer had impaired executive functions. Neumann et al. showed that PD treatment being associated with better cognitive functions during a 1-year course than HD. Robinski et al demonstrated that patients with PD showed more autonomy- and information-seeking personality, better cognitive functioning, a more successful SDM as well as a larger living space compared with patients with HD. Tilki et al. indicated that PD is superior to HD in the management of cognitive impairment. Wolcott et al. showed that the PD subject group had consistently more efficient cognitive function than the HD subject group. Moreover, Wolfrgam et al. suggested that the risk of dementia for patients who started on PD was lower compared with those who started on HD. However, few studies suggested that HD had better cognitive functions than PD. George et al. showed that patients with PD showed a more rapid cognitive decline than those on HD. Also, Lambert et al. showed that patients with HD had better performance in visuospatial, attention, memory and orientation, compared with PD. As to the risk of dementia, Lin et al reported that HD did not increase the risk of dementia in dialysis-dependent patients compared to PD. Furthermore, several studies showed that no significant difference was observed between these 2 dialysis modalities. Radić et al. showed that patients with HD and PD are without clinical signs of dementia and without significant difference in CF. Meanwhile, Sithinamsuwan et al. indicated that there was no significant difference on the prevalence of dementia between the HD and CAPD group. Besides, in the studies with the short-time dialysis treatment, Griva et al. demonstrated that patients with ESRD experienced an increment of cognitive functions after 24 hours of HD treatment while PD treatment did not bring significant changes. Williams et al. showed that patients with PD showed cognitive stability, whereas patients with HD showed temporal fluctuations in cognitive performance. Therefore, we may draw the preliminary conclusion that patients with PD treatment might have better cognitive functions or slower cognitive decline compared with patients with HD just through qualitative analysis.

### 3.4. Quantitative analyses

In Figure 2, we summarized the main results of CF tests from the included studies. Figure 2A and B showed that patients treated with PD had better MMSE and MoCA stores compared with those with HD \( (P < .0001) \), each comparison containing 2 studies. For other cognitive tests, it showed that the comparison of TMT-B enrolled three studies, showing that patients with PD had shorted TMT-B time course compared with patients with HD, but without significant difference (Fig. 2C, \( P = .07 \)). As to the SDMT analysis, it showed that patients with PD and HD showed no significant difference, enrolling three studies (Fig. 2D, \( P = .33 \)). For the comparisons of RAVLT and BVRT, patients treated with HD had relatively short values compared with PD, but without significant difference (Fig. 2E and F). Figure 2G showed that patients treated with PD had better SIT results compared with patients with HD \( (P < .0001) \). As to the risk of dementia, it showed that participants with PD had lower pooled incidence of dementia compared with HD, with OR 1.64 and 95% CI (1.15–2.32) (Fig. 2H, \( P = .006 \)). Therefore, we concluded from the quantitative analysis that PD exerted better cognitive performances compared with patients with HD in ESRD.

### 4. Discussion

There is an association between chronic kidney disease (CKD) and cognitive impairment, yet the mechanisms remain unclear. Possible etiologies of cognitive dysfunctions in patients with CKD include advanced age, cerebrovascular disease, anemia, medication side effects and uremia. These factors would directly affect the CF in a variety of aspects. However, for the 2 commonly used dialysis modalities, HD and PD, which would preserve better CF remain uncertain and has been debated. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we showed that PD treatment might be better in improving the CF and decreasing the dementia risk compared with HD via both qualitative and quantitative analyses.

Previous reports indicated that, in the process of normal aging, the mostly affected domains of CF are memory and executive functions. In this meta-analysis, we showed that in people treated with HD, the domain of orientation and attention is impaired to some extent, besides memory and executive function. This impairment was predominantly been observed in the tests of attention, processing speed and working memory. The study of O’Lone et al. indicated that patients with CKD with non-dialyzed treatments perform more poorly than people receiving dialysis, indicating that this deficit may be reversible to some degree.

Currently, several neuropsychological tests are available and could reflect the different domains of CF. In this study, MMSE
and MoCA tests were commonly used. Regarding the MMSE test, it is interesting to note that even though there was a significant decline of dialysis populations compared with normal controls, their mean values are still above the traditional cut-off for cognitive impairment (score of 24). Therefore, Vanderlinden et al thought that the cut-off scores on dementia screening tools such as the 3MS and MMSE may not be sensitive enough to detect impairment in these populations. Meanwhile, TMT-B

Figure 2. The quantitative analysis of cognitive functions between patients with HD and PD. (A-H) The forest plots of cognitive functions between HD and PD treatments. HD=hemodialysis, PD=peritoneal dialysis.
et al. concluded that the advantageous effects of PD might be due to non-CKD controls. This may suggest that these cohorts may have been cooperative by both the doctors and patients. It may well be that the use of PD often reflects a lack of social support, especially of a closely related person who can assist with treatment. It may in fact be that the use of PD may be due to a more efficient removal of molecules, its continuity, and a better control of anemia. However, some studies indicated that the used of PD often seems to be more beneficial for restoring CF. However, some studies indicated that the used of PD often reflects a lack of social support, especially of a closely related person who can assist with treatment. It may in fact be that the use of PD may be due to a more efficient removal of molecules, its continuity, and a better control of anemia. However, some studies indicated that the used of PD often reflects a lack of social support, especially of a closely related person who can assist with treatment. It may in fact be that the use of PD may be due to a more efficient removal of molecules, its continuity, and a better control of anemia.
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