Nanocrystalline, Ultra-Degradation-Resistant Zirconia: Its Grain Boundary Nanostructure and Nanochemistry
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Y₂O₃-stabilized tetragonal ZrO₂ polycrystal (Y-TZP) has been known to be an excellent structural material with high strength and toughness since the pioneering study by Garvie et al. in 1975. However, Y-TZP is not considered an environmental or biomedical material because it undergoes an inherent tetragonal-to-monoclinic (T→M) phase transformation in humid or aqueous environment, which leads to premature failure, so-called low-temperature degradation (LTD). In this study, we demonstrate for the first time that this fatal shortcoming of Y-TZP can be resolved by controlling the grain boundary nanostructure and chemical composition distribution in Y-TZP. Nanocrystalline Y-TZP doped with Al³⁺ and Ge⁴⁺ ions exhibits no LTD for more than 4 years in hot water at 140°C, whereas 70% of the tetragonal phase in conventional TZP transforms to the monoclinic phase within only 15 h. This innovative Y-TZP can be fabricated by pressureless sintering at 1200°C; far below the sintering temperature for conventional Y-TZP. The developed TZP ceramics will be useful in numerous environmental-proofing applications, particularly in the biomedical engineering field.

Zirconia ceramics have been used for various engineering applications since Garvie et al.¹ discovered phase transformation toughening in partially stabilized ZrO₂. Among the zirconia ceramics, Y₂O₃-stabilized tetragonal ZrO₂ polycrystal (Y-TZP) has been the most extensively commercialized for use in optical fiber connectors, grinding media, precision parts, and dental applications²–⁴. The mechanical properties of zirconia ceramics are attributed to the transformation toughening mechanism, where crack propagation resistance is increased by the stress-induced T→M phase transformation⁵, which involves an expansion of the unit cell volume of approximately 4 vol%. However, in humid air at 150–400°C or in hot water at temperatures greater than 100°C, the spontaneous T→M phase transformation is highly accelerated without external stress, and the mechanical properties consequently deteriorate because of the propagation of cracks induced by the volume expansion⁶–¹¹. This low-temperature degradation (LTD) process poses a serious limitation in engineering applications of Y-TZP.

According to existing reports, direct penetration of H₂O molecules is likely to be responsible for LTD in Y-TZP¹²–¹³, although the detailed mechanism of LTD is still uncertain. Previous studies have also indicated that a finer grain size or a higher Y₂O₃ concentration in Y-TZP effectively suppresses the T→M phase transformation and retards LTD¹³–¹⁸. Given these aspects of the phase transformation of Y-TZP, control of its microstructure development during sintering is a key technique in improving its LTD resistance.

We have recently investigated the microstructure in 3 mol% Y-TZP using high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM)-nanoprobe X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) techniques. The atomistic process of a tetragonal-to-cubic (T→C) phase transformation of Y-TZP during sintering can be summarized as follows¹⁹–²²:

i. In Y-TZP sintered at 1100°C, Y³⁺ ions slightly segregate in the vicinity of the grain boundaries and segregation of Y³⁺ ions increases with increasing sintering temperature.

ii. At 1300°C, Y³⁺ ions are enriched in the vicinity of the grain boundaries. The grain boundary area with a high Y³⁺ ion concentration transforms from the tetragonal to the cubic phase. The cubic phase contains approximately 6 mol% Y₂O₃.
iii. When the sintering temperature is increased to 1500°C, the cubic phase area transformed at grain boundaries expands into grain interiors because of accelerated diffusion.

The T→C transformation during densification corresponds closely to the grain boundary segregation of Y³⁺ ions. We termed the T→C phase transformation as the grain boundary segregation-induced phase transformation (GBSPT). At temperatures greater than 1300°C (approximately 1300°C), redistribution of Y³⁺ ions occurs, consequently the cubic phase is formed during densification, and the amount of Y³⁺ ions in the tetragonal phase regions decreases. Because the tetragonal phase in Y-TZP becomes unstable at Y³⁺ ion concentrations less than 3 mol%, the T→M phase transformation is likely to occur in the tetragonal phase with a low Y³⁺ ion concentration at 150–400°C in humid air or hot water. Densification at temperatures below that of the GBSPT is therefore desirable to retard the phase separation and thereby improve the LTD resistance; however, achieving full density of high-purity Y-TZP powder by pressureless sintering at temperatures less than 1300°C is difficult in commercial processes.

A 3 mol% Y-TZP powder containing 0.3 mol% Al₂O₃ (3Y-A powder), which can be fully densified by pressureless sintering in air at sintering temperatures less than 1300°C, has been recently developed by the Tosoh Corporation. This powder is produced by a refined hydrolysis process. Moreover, doping with 0.3 mol% GeO₂ enhances the densification of the 3Y-A powder, as will be described later. In this work, we investigate the LTD resistance in nanocrystaline, Ge⁺⁺-ion-doped 3Y-A (3Y-AG) subjected to pressureless sintering at 1200°C in air.

**Results**

The starting materials used were 3Y-A, 3Y-AG, and conventional 3mol% Y-TZP (3Y). The raw powders were pressed into disks (20 and 3 mm in diameter and height, respectively) and the green bodies were subsequently sintered at temperatures of 1000–1500°C for 2 h in air. Fig. 1(a) shows the relative density of the sintered bodies as a function of the sintering temperature. The relative density of 3Y-A reached 99.5% at 1250°C and that of 3Y-AG attained 99.5% at 1200°C, whereas the same relative density was achieved at 1500°C in the case of 3Y. The densification of Y-TZP has been reported to be enhanced by doping of a small amount of Al₂O₃ or GeO₂. Sintering kinetics analysis has indicated that the cation diffusion (Zr⁺⁺ ions) is accelerated by the presence of Al³⁺ or Ge³⁺ ions at the Y-TZP surface.

The enhanced densification in 3Y-AG indicates that the codoping of Al₂O₃ and GeO₂ is more effective than single doping. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of polished and thermally etched surfaces of the present materials are shown in Fig. 1(b)–(d). The grain sizes in 3Y-A and 3Y-AG are much smaller those that in 3Y, although the three specimens exhibit the same relative density of 99.5%; the average grain sizes in 3Y, 3Y-A, and 3Y-AG were 540, 170, and 150 nm, respectively.

The microstructure in 3Y-AG sintered at 1200°C was analyzed with STEM-nanoprobe EDS element mapping and HRTEM-nanoprobe EDS techniques. Fig. 2(a) shows a typical STEM image and the corresponding element mapping images for Zr-Kα, Y-Kα, Al-Kα, and Ge-Kα. As evident from the Y-Kα mapping image, Y³⁺ ions were detected over all the grains, and an enrichment of Y³⁺ ions was observed in localized regions, particularly along the grain boundaries. Al³⁺ and Ge³⁺ ions, in contrast, were clearly segregated along the grain boundaries. These images show that Y³⁺, Al³⁺, and Ge³⁺ ions segregate along the grain boundaries in the observed area. This observation clearly demonstrates that the enhanced densification in 3Y-AG is caused by the cosegregation of Al³⁺ and Ge³⁺ ions at the grain boundaries. A typical HRTEM image of a grain boundary is shown in Fig. 2(b). The image was taken with the boundary in an edge-on orientation to allow direct observation of the grain boundary structure. Neither an amorphous nor a second phase layer was observed at the grain boundary. The compositions of Y³⁺, Al³⁺, and Ge³⁺ ions across the grain boundary are plotted in Fig. 2(c). Grain boundary segregation of the cations is clearly evident in the 3Y-AG sample. The Y³⁺ ions segregated over a width of 15–20 nm across the grain boundary. The Y₂O₃ concentration was 4 mol% on the grain boundary, whereas the Y₂O₃ concentration in the grain interiors was in the range of 2–3 mol%. The Y₂O₃ concentration in the grain interior corresponds to that in the tetragonal phase, which indicates that the Y³⁺ ions segregated between two tetragonal phases (T-T grain boundaries). Al³⁺ and Ge³⁺ ions also segregated at the grain boundary within widths of approximately 6 and 10 nm, respectively. The nanoprobe EDS data correspond well with the element mapping images in Fig. 2(a). According to our previous data for 3Y sintered at 1200°C for 2 h, Y³⁺ ions segregated over a width of...
approximately 10 nm across the T-T grain boundaries and the Y$_2$O$_3$ concentration was 4 mol% on the grain boundary. The segregation profile of Y$^{3+}$ ions in 3Y-AG was close to that in 3Y sintered at 1200°C.

According to the GBSIPT mechanism, Y$^{3+}$ ions are enriched in the vicinity of the grain boundaries in 3Y sintered at a temperature of 1300°C or greater, and the Y$^{3+}$-ion-enriched area with a Y$^{3+}$ concentration of approximately 6 mol% transforms from the tetragonal to the cubic phase. In the case of the 3Y-AG sample, in contrast, the Y$_2$O$_3$ concentration at the grain boundaries was less than 6 mol%, and hence the $T\rightarrow R$C phase transformation did not occur by GBSIPT.

Because of the low sintering temperature, the grain boundary segregation of Y$^{3+}$ ions is limited, and the concentration of Y$^{3+}$ ions in the grain interiors consequently remains the same as that in the tetragonal phase; approximately 2–3 mol%. The 3Y-AG sintered at 1200°C consists of tetragonal grains with a homogeneous Y$^{3+}$ ion distribution, except in the vicinities of the grain boundaries.

Accelerated LTD tests of the 3Y sintered at 1500°C, 3Y-A sintered at 1250°C, and 3Y-AG sintered at 1200°C were performed in hot water at 140°C. Fig. 3(a) shows X-ray diffraction (XRD) profiles of the 3Y and 3Y-AG before and after the LTD tests conducted for various aging times. In the XRD pattern of the 3Y before the LTD test (0 h), tetragonal (101) and overlapped cubic (111) reflections were observed at 30.1°. In pattern of the 3Y tested for 3 h, monoclinic (111) and (111) reflections were clearly observed at 28.2 and 31.4°, respectively, and the monoclinic phase fraction ($f_m$) was determined to be 35%. The $T\rightarrow M$ phase transformation was also confirmed by SEM observations; for example, a monoclinic layer approximately 1700 nm deep was clearly observed in the 3Y sample subjected to a 3-h LTD test (Fig. 3(b)). The intensities of the reflections associated with the monoclinic phase increased with increasing LTD testing hours, and reflections only from monoclinic and cubic phases were observed after 15 h. These results indicate that all tetragonal phase within the X-ray penetration range from the surface (which was calculated to be approximately 5000 nm10,17) is transformed to the monoclinic phase after 15 h of exposure in hot water. The rapid $T\rightarrow M$ phase transformation in hot water is consistent with the phase transformation commonly observed in Y-TZP10,14–18. In the case of the 3Y-AG, in contrast, the $T\rightarrow M$ phase transformation was barely observed, even after the LTD test for 1500 days, as shown in the XRD patterns from the 3Y-AG (Fig. 3(a)). A plot of the $f_m$ as a function of aging time is presented in Fig. 3(c) for the 3Y, 3Y-A, and 3Y-AG. The $f_m$ in 3Y increased with increasing aging time, and the $T\rightarrow M$ phase transformation was almost complete within one day, as shown in the magnified plot. In contrast, the $f_m$s at 1500 days (more than 4 years) in the 3Y-A and 3Y-AG were only 3 and 2%, respectively. The 3Y-A and 3Y-AG showed very limited $T\rightarrow M$ phase transformation, and thereby exhibited no deterioration, as shown in Fig. 3(d). Notably, the 3Y-A and 3Y-AG prepared in this work show no LTD in hot water for more than 4 years.

**Discussion**

The finer grain size in 3Y is well known to effectively suppress the $T\rightarrow M$ phase transformation12,14,15,18. However, according to our...
The stability of the tetragonal phase is determined by not only the grain size but also the concentration of Y\(^{3+}\) ions in the grain interiors\(^{16}\). 3Y bodies sintered at 1350°C for different sintering times that ranged from 0 to 10 h exhibited the same grain size of approximately 290 nm; however, the rate of the T→M phase transformation increased with increasing sintering time\(^{16}\). The Rietveld analysis revealed that, with increased sintering time, the Y\(_2\)O\(_3\) concentration in the tetragonal phase decreased and cubic phase fraction increased. This result can be explained in terms of the redistribution of Y\(^{3+}\) ions during sintering in the tetragonal phase, as follows: The Y\(^{3+}\) ion distribution is homogeneous in the raw 3 mol% Y-TZP powder\(^{27}\), but Y\(^{3+}\) ions redistribute at temperatures greater than 1300°C (i.e., greater than the GBSTP temperature), which leads to phase separation of the tetragonal single phase to the dual tetragonal-cubic phases. The cubic phase region with a high Y\(^{3+}\) ion concentration (approximately 6–8 mol%) and tetragonal phase region with a low Y\(^{3+}\) ion concentration (approximately 2 mol%) are formed in the grain interiors\(^{20,21}\). The Y\(^{3+}\) ion concentration in the tetragonal phase region is consequently lower than that in the raw Y-TZP powder. The redistribution of Y\(^{3+}\) ions therefore decreases the stability of the tetragonal phase and causes premature LTD in TZP materials.

The Y\(^{3+}\) ion concentration in the tetragonal phase is apparently another critical factor that determines the stability of the tetragonal phase; the T→M phase transformation can be suppressed by increasing Y\(^{3+}\) ion concentration in the tetragonal phase\(^{16}\). To improve the LTD resistance of Y-TZP, the redistribution of the Y\(^{3+}\) ions and grain growth during sintering should be suppressed and the concentration of Y\(^{3+}\) ions in the grain interiors should remain 2–3 mol%.

A low sintering temperature is therefore advantageous to elevate the LTD resistance of Y-TZP. The excellent resistance to LTD in 3Y-AG can be explained in terms of its fine grain size and tetragonal phase stability induced by the high concentration of Y\(^{3+}\) ions in the tetragonal phase. A sintering temperature below the GBSTP temperature therefore must contribute to the high concentration of Y\(^{3+}\) ions. The LTD resistance in 3Y-AG was slightly superior to that in 3Y-A. This result is likely to result from the lower sintering temperature of 1200°C in 3Y-AG (50°C lower than that of 3Y-A).

In conclusion, we prepared nanocrystalline 3 mol% Y-TZP doped with Al\(^{3+}\) and Ge\(^{4+}\) ions via pressureless sintering in air at 1200°C. The codoping of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and GeO\(_2\) greatly accelerated densification of Y-TZP at low temperatures. 3Y-AG exhibited a uniform microstructure constituted of tetragonal grains with an average grain size of 150 nm and with homogeneously distributed Y\(^{3+}\) ions in the grain interiors. The improved sinterability results from the cosegregation of Al\(^{3+}\) and Ge\(^{4+}\) ions at T-T grain boundaries. The f\(_{\text{in}}\) in 3Y-AG was only 2% after the accelerated LTD test for 1500 days at 140°C, whereas that in the conventional 3Y was 70% after the LTD test for 15 h. The 3Y-AG material exhibits no LTD for more than 4 years under hot water at 140°C; i.e., LTD, which is a serious problem in Y-TZP ceramics, can be overcome by controlling the chemical distribution in the vicinity of the grain boundaries. The excellent...
LTD resistance in the proposed TZP ceramics will further expand the application of TZP ceramics to many engineering fields, particularly environmental-proofing and biomedical engineering fields.

**Methods**

**Specimen Preparation.** The starting powders were 3Y-TZP; Tosoh Co., Japan) and 3Y-A (TZ-PX172; Tosoh Co., Japan) produced by the refined hydrolysis process, and GeO₂ (greater than 99.99% purity, Knato Chemical, Japan). The specific surface areas of the 3Y and 3Y-A powders were 15 and 17 m²/g, respectively. A sample of 0.3 mol% GeO₂-doped 3Y (3Y-AG) was prepared by mixing the 3Y-A and GeO₂ powders using a vibration mill. These powders were pressed uniaxially into disk-shaped specimens under 50 MPa of pressure and were then cold-isostatically pressed at 200 MPa. The green compacts were sintered at temperatures in the range of 1000–1500°C for 2 h at a constant heating rate of 100°C/h in air. The density of the sintered bodies was measured by the Archimedes method for relative densities greater than or equal to 80%, whereas it was calculated from the weights and outer dimensions of the sintered bodies for relative densities less than 80%.

**LTD Behavior Analysis.** The accelerated degradation test was performed in water at a temperature and pressure of 140°C and 0.4 MPa, respectively. The sintered disk-shaped bodies were placed in a polycrystallinfluorooxyethylene (PFE) container with distilled water. The PTE container was inserted into a stainless steel airtight container, and the stainless steel container was subsequently heated in a high-temperature oven (model PVH-111, Espec, Japan). The LTD process was measured by the fₜ on the specimen surface obtained via the XRD technique. XRD patterns were measured at room temperature using a powder diffractometer (model RINT Ultima III, Rigaku, Japan) equipped with a monochromatic CuKα radiation source. The diffraction patterns were obtained within the 2θ range in 0.02° steps at a scan speed of 1°/min. The shape of the diffraction line was fitted using a Pearson VII function. The fₜ was determined using the formula:

\[
fₜ(%) = \frac{I_{311}(100)}{I_{311}(100) + I_{111}(100) + I_{200}(100) + I_{220}(100)} \times 100,
\]

where I is the integrated intensity and subscripts m, t, and c denote monoclinic, tetragonal, and cubic, respectively.

**Microstructure Analysis.** The grain structure in the sintered bodies was observed by SEM (model JSM-7600F, JEOL, Japan). SEM specimens were mirror-polished with 1-μm diamond slurry and thermally etched for 1 h at a temperature 50°C lower than the sintering temperature of each specimen in air. The average grain size was measured by the planimetric method. The detailed microstructure was examined by field-emission TEM (model 002BF, Topcon, Japan). The specimen for TEM observation was mechanically ground to a thickness of approximately 0.1 mm, further dimpled to a depth of approximately 10 μm at its center, and then ion-milled to impart electron transparency. The grain boundary structure was observed by HRTEM (Topcon 002BF) with a point-to-point resolution of 0.17 nm. Nanoprobe EDS measurements were performed to quantitatively examine the chemical composition of localized portions, using a Noran Voyager system (Noran Instruments, Inc., Middleton, WI) with a 0.5-nm probe size attached to the TEM. Five grain boundaries in the 3Y-AG specimens after the LTD test was observed by SEM. The accelerated degradation test was performed in water at a temperature and pressure of 140°C and 0.4 MPa, respectively. The sintered disk-shaped bodies was measured by the Archimedes method for relative densities greater than or equal to 80%, whereas it was calculated from the weights and outer dimensions of the sintered bodies for relative densities less than 80%.
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