Genetic Structure of Chinese Indigenous Goats and the Special Geographical Structure in the Southwest China as a Geographic Barrier Driving the Fragmentation of a Large Population
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Abstract

**Background:** China has numerous native domestic goat breeds, however, extensive studies are focused on the genetic diversity within the fewer breeds and limited regions, the population demography and origin of Chinese goats are still unclear. The roles of geographical structure have not been analyzed in Chinese goat domestic process. In this study, the genetic relationships of Chinese indigenous goat populations were evaluated using 30 microsatellite markers.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** Forty Chinese indigenous populations containing 2078 goats were sampled from different geographic regions of China. Moderate genetic diversity at the population level (Hs of 0.644) and high population diversity at the species level (Ht value of 0.737) were estimated. Significant moderate population differentiation was detected (FST value of 0.129). Significant excess homozygosity (FIS of 0.105) and recent population bottlenecks were detected in thirty-six populations. Neighbour-joining tree, principal components analysis and Bayesian clusters all revealed that Chinese goat populations could be subdivided into at least four genetic clusters: Southwest China, South China, Northwest China and East China. It was observed that the genetic diversity of Northern China goats was highest among these clusters. The results here suggested that the goat populations in Southwest China might be the earliest domestic goats in China.

**Conclusions/Significance:** Our results suggested that the current genetic structure of Chinese goats were resulted from the special geographical structure, especially in the Western China, and the Western goat populations had been separated by the geographic structure (Hengduan Mountains and Qinling Mountains-Huaihe River Line) into two clusters: the Southwest and Northwest. It also indicated that the current genetic structure was caused by the geographical origin mainly, in close accordance with the human’s migration history throughout China. This study provides a fundamental genetic profile for the conservation of these populations and better to understand the domestication process and origin of Chinese goats.
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Introduction

The archaeological and genetic evidence suggests that goat (Capra hircus) was probably first domesticated in the Fertile Crescent region of the Near East ≈10,000 years ago [1,2]. The domestic goat has always played an important role in providing a full range of useful products to human society, such as meat, milk, pelts and fiber, especially in China and other development countries [3]. Goats are the most adaptable and geographically wide spread livestock species, ranging from the mountains of Siberia to the deserts and reopics of Africa [4,5]. To date, there are about 1,000 goat breeds, and more than 875 million goats are kept around the world, according to the statistics of the UN Food and Agriculture Organization (http://www.fao.org/corp/statistics/en/). In China, there are about 58 native domestic goat breeds, distributed in different environmental areas including the north pastoral region, the Qinghai-Tibet plateau region, the mixed pastoral-agricultural region, and the north and south agricultural region (Animal Genetic Resources in China: Sheep and Goats, 2011). During this decade, these domestic breeds represent important genetic resources and have been aroused us more great attention, therefore, conservation of the domestic animal diversity...
Biological Samples Collection and initiated the conservation programs, such as setting the economic and ecological characteristics, China recently has is essential to meet the future needs. Considering the special understand the domestication process and origin of Chinese goats. of the genetic resource of these indigenous goat breeds, is better to the conservation and maintaining diversity and genetic uniqueness history throughout China. This information may be valuable for origin mainly, in close accordance with the human’s migration genetic structure of Chinese goats was caused by the geographical subdivided into at least the following four genetic clusters: Our results demonstrated that Chinese goat populations could be trajectories of Chinese domestic goats also had been investigated. Furthermore, the bottleneck, the origins and evolutionary goats sampled from different geographic regions of China. 5000 iterations. The linkage disequilibrium between each pair of loci was assessed from GENEPOP software [22]. Unbiased estimates of exact P-values were obtained using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm of 10000 dememorization steps, 100 batches, and 5000 iterations. The linkage disequilibrium between each pair of loci was assessed from GENEPOP software [23]. The 30 microsatellite markers were amplified in multiplex polymeric chain reactions (PCRs) using fluorescence-labelled primers. PCR products had been run on PAGE-SDS gel to check the real size, and separated using an ABI-PRISM 3100-Avant Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) according to manufacturer recommendations. Allele sizes relative to an internal size standard (GS400HD) were determined using GENEMAPPER 3.5 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

Statistical Analysis
For each microsatellite locus, the mean number of alleles, observed heterozygosities (H_0), expected (H_E) heterozygosities average genetic diversity (H_E) both within populations and among populations and total gene diversity (H_T) were obtained from the FSTAT 2.9.3 software [20]. Wright’s F-statistics were estimated from FSTAT 2.9.3 using Weir and Cockerham method [21]. Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were assessed with GENEPOP v 4.2 software [22]. Unbiased estimates of exact P-values were obtained using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm of 10000 dememorization steps, 100 batches, and 5000 iterations. The linkage disequilibrium between each pair of loci was assessed from GENEPOP software [23]. To estimate whether of the reduction in the effective population size, the Wilcoxon’s signed-rank tests (one-tailed) with the null hypothesis, H_0 ≥ H_EQ, and the alternative hypothesis, H_0 < H_EQ, were used under the assumption of mutation-drift equilibrium in the infinite-alleles model (IAM), two-phase model of mutation (TPM) and the stepwise mutation model (SMM), and the allele frequency distribution mode shift analysis were performed using BOTTLENECK version 1.2.02 [24]. According to the geographic origin of these populations, a hierarchical analysis of variance was performed to partition the total genetic variance into components due to within-individuals, among-populations and among individuals within populations’ differences. Variance components were used to compute the fixation indexes and their significance was tested using a non-parametric
permutation approach [25]. The analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) module of ARLEQUIN 3.5.1.2 software was used to perform the computation [26].

To illustrate the genetic divergence among the breeds, the Reynolds distances were estimated by the PHYLIP package to reconstruct the neighbor-joining consensus tree, with the bootstrapping of 1,000 replicates, and the dendrogram was depicted using the software package TreeView version 1.4.0 [27,28]. Additionally, the pattern of genetic variation among populations was also assessed by principal components analysis (PCA) which was based on allele frequencies using the Multivariate Statistical Package (MVSP) version 3.13b (Kovach Computing Services, Anglesey, Wales, UK).

To study population genetic structure and to detect the most likely number of clusters (K) in the data set, the STRUCTURE 2.3 software was performed [29]. The Structure algorithm assumes K populations, each of which is in Hardy-Weinberg and linkage equilibrium and characterized by a set of allele frequencies at each locus. To choose the appropriate number of inferred clusters to model the data, 2 to 40 inferred clusters were performed with 10 independent runs each. All analyses were performed with a burn-in length of 100,000 followed by 100,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo iterations for each of K. To find optimal alignments of independent runs, the computer program CLUMPP 1.1 was used; and the output obtained was used directly as input by the cluster visualization program Distruct 1.1 software [30,31].

**Results**

Genetic Diversity

In the present study, a set of 30 microsatellite markers listed in Table 1 was used to analysis samples of the 40 goat populations (Table S1). The result of microsatellite markers amplification analyze showed that the total number of alleles was 471, and the largest number of alleles was found at locus BM6444 (35) and the smallest at locus MAF 209 (4), with an average of 15.7 alleles per locus (Table 1). The average genetic diversity (Hs) among the populations was 0.644, ranging from 0.237 to 0.820. Additionally, the average overall genetic diversity (Ht) estimate among the populations was 0.737, ranging from 0.274 to 0.907. As shown in Table 2, the genetic variability of each population was studied. The highest mean number of alleles (MNA) was 8.27 in the FNB...
goat and the lowest was 4.33 in the GFS goat. Among these populations, the allelic richness (AR) based on 23 diploid individuals per population ranged from 3.89 in DYS goat to 7.35 in FNB. The estimated \( H_{E} \) per population ranged from 0.5070 in DYS to 0.7376 in FNB. \( H_{O} \) ranged from 0.4336 in DYS to 0.6730 in HNN. It is observed that the FNB population was the highest one in MNA, AR and \( H_{E} \) among all the 40 populations, showing the higher genetic variation. In contrast, the DYS population showed the lower genetic variation than other populations. Additionally, it is obtained that \( H_{O} \) was lower than \( H_{E} \) in all the populations analyzes in this study. As shown in Table 2, the \( F_{IS} \) over all the loci was found to be significant in thirty-eight populations except CDM and LLH, whereas the \( F_{IS} \) within populations was significant for twenty loci (CSRD247, OARAE54, SRCRSP15, SRCRSP3, SRCRSP5, TGLA53, DRBP1, ILSTS087, INRABERN172, MAF065, OARFCB20, SPS113, SRCRSP8, INRAO23, INRADO6, P19/DYA, TCRV66, BM6444, ILSTS011, SRCRSP7) (Table 1). These results suggested a significant deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

According to Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test performed using BOTTLENECK, thirty-six populations (LLS, MGS, YLS, ZTS, JCS, GSS, FQH, GZS, LNS, CDM, GLM, LLY, LYZ, HND, DAS, MGR, XZS, HXR, ZWS, SNB, FNB, HNN, HWS, YMH, LBB, LLH, THS, CJB, CDB, GFS, GX5, MTS, YCB, XDH, FOQ, Dys) showed a significant deviation (excess heterozygosity) from the mutation-drift equilibrium under the SMM (after sequential Bonferroni correction), whereas under the IAM and TPM, all the 40 goat populations showed a significant deviation from the mutation-drift equilibrium, suggesting that decreased in the population size had occurred due to a bottleneck effect (Table S2). The mode shift test also detected that 26 populations showed the distortion of allele frequency, whereas another 14 populations showed a typical property of a population in equilibrium were ZTS, GSS, GZS, GLM, CDS, XJS, BJS, HNN, HWS, JNQ, YMH, LBB, LLH and CDB (Table S2).

**Genetic Differentiation**

As shown in Table 1, the population differentiation was significant within each locus, with the average \( F_{ST} \) value of 0.129 detected, ranging from 0.066 (INRABERN172 to 0.273 (INRAO23). The measure of the relative genetic differentiation for the pairwise \( F_{ST} \) values between populations was found to be significant (\( P<0.05 \), Table S3), except for four pairwise populations (YLS-ZTS, CDS-XJS, ZWS-HXR and YCB-MTS).

F-statistics and their 95% confidence intervals obtained with 10,000 bootstraps over 30 loci were as follow: \( F_{IS} = 0.105 \) (0.007– 0.552), \( F_{IT} = 0.223 \) (0.028– 0.601) and \( F_{ST} = 0.132 \) (0.072– 0.293). Breeds estimated of \( F_{IS} \) were computed using FSTAT (Goudet, 2000) and ranged from 0.018 to 0.21 (Table 1). Breeds with the highest \( F_{IS} \) values were YMH, HWS, GSS and BJS. The breeds with the lowest \( F_{IS} \) value were CDM, LLH, JCS and MGS.

The AMOVA results indicated that 77.72% of the total variance was partitioned within individuals, whereas 13.15% and 9.12% of the total variance were explained by differences among the populations and among the individuals within populations, respectively (\( P<0.001 \), Table 3).

**Population Structure**

The neighbor-joining tree constructed on the Reynolds distances was used to portray the degree of the genetic relationships among the goat populations. The tree showed that the 40 populations were clustered into four major clades in China (Figure 2). As shown in the Figure 2, the Southwest China clade comprised ZTS, LLS, YLS, MGS, JCS, GSS, GZS, LNS and FQH, whereas the South China clade comprised LLY, CDM, GLM, DAS, HND and LZZ. Similarly, the Northwest China clade comprised HXR, MGR, XJS, ZWS, XZS, CDS, SNB, BJS, HNN, FNB, JNQ and HWS, whereas the East China comprised YMH, LBB, CDB, THS, LLH, CJB, GFS, GX5, YCB, MTS, XDH, FOQ and Dys. As shown in the tree, it was suggested that each population had been clustered to its geographical distribution and origin (Figure 1), not to the administrative division of China. For example, the population JCS was located in the Hengduan mountains, south of Sichuan province, therefore, which was divided into the Southwest cluster. Similar situation was also happened in BJS which is located in the northeast of Sichuan province, nearby the Qingling Mountains, but had been divided into the Northwest cluster, not to the Southwest cluster or South cluster. It was also observed that the populations (YMH, LBB, JNQ and HWS) in the Shandong province and Henan province located in the North China Plain had been grouped to the East China cluster, but adjoined with the North China cluster. The cause of this situation maybe is that there is no mountain to restrict the gene flow between the two clusters: East China and Northwest China.

The population-based PCA was performed using allele frequencies of the 30 microsatellite markers in 40 goat populations. The first principal component accounts for 21.09% of the total variation and especially separated the whole populations into the West and the East. The second principal component condenses 13.42% of the variation, which separated the 40 populations into the South and North. The PCA clustered these populations into four groups, as shown in the Figure 3, which corresponded to the four gene pools (Figure 2). However, there was some ambiguity regarding the placement of the individuals of populations LBB, JNQ, YMH, HHS and LNS, as the individuals of these populations represented a mixture of the other groups. The results are mostly coincided with the topology of the neighbor-joining tree. The populations are grouped on the basis of geographical distribution and origin generally.

The Bayesian clustering model-based method allowed for assessment of the genetic structure and admixture among the populations. The results of Bayesian-based clustering revealed that the inference of the number of gene pools (\( K \)) was not straightforward because log-likelihood values for the data conditional on \( K \) and \( \ln P(X|K) \) increased progressively as \( K \) increased, as shown in Figure 4. When the number of populations varied from \( K = 2 \) to 40, the largest change in the log of the likelihood function (\( \Delta K \)) was when \( K = 4 \). The \( \Delta K \) value obtained was 19.90 at \( K = 3 \), 120.50 at \( K = 4 \), 0.78 at \( K = 5 \), 2.59 at \( K = 6 \), and 0.52 at \( K = 7 \). Changing the assumptions of an “equal alpha for each population” and “correlated allele frequencies” could not change the final result. The proportion of individuals in each population assigned into four clusters (or gene pools) is shown in Figure 4, and the corresponding 40 populations were plotted onto the map, as shown in Figure 1. The results of Bayesian clustering for \( K = 2 \) indicated that there was a clear transition from the West China populations (green) to the East China populations (red). At \( K = 3 \), the West China populations had been divided into two clusters, the Southwest China populations (green) and the Northwest China populations (blue). At \( K = 4 \), the \( \Delta K \) value reached a maximum, and the Southwest China populations subsequently had been divided into the Southwest China populations (green) and South China populations (yellow). It was suggested that gene pool 1(Southwest China) included nine populations: LLS, MGS, YLS, ZTS, JCS, GSS, FQH, GZS and LNS, and that this pool was most abundant in the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau, except LNS in the Liaoning Province. Similar results were also found in the NJ-tree
Table 1. Genetic diversity measures estimated at each 30 loci across the 40 Chinese indigenous goat populations.

| Locus   | Location | TA | Allele size | H₀  | Hₖ  | Hₜ  | Fₜₜ | Fₕₜ | Fₛₜ | All |
|---------|----------|----|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| CSRD247 | 14       | 13 | 220–247     | 0.667| 0.723| 0.804| 0.174***| 0.105***| 0.078***|   |
| ETH10   | 5        | 11 | 200–210     | 0.376| 0.309| 0.379| 0.012  | 0.189***| -0.218 |   |
| MAF209  | 17       | 4  | 100–104     | 0.246| 0.237| 0.274| 0.104***| 0.138***| -0.039 |   |
| OARA54  | 25       | 15 | 115–138     | 0.582| 0.607| 0.722| 0.200***| 0.161***| 0.047***|   |
| SRCRSP15| unknown  | 11 | 172–198     | 0.507| 0.542| 0.626| 0.192***| 0.134***| 0.067***|   |
| SRCRSP3 | 10       | 10 | 109–123     | 0.527| 0.594| 0.714| 0.260***| 0.167***| 0.111***|   |
| SRCRSP5 | 21       | 14 | 156–178     | 0.670| 0.693| 0.786| 0.146***| 0.121***| 0.029**  |   |
| TGLA53  | 16       | 18 | 126–160     | 0.358| 0.622| 0.703| 0.503***| 0.116***| 0.438***|   |
| DRBP1   | 23       | 24 | 195–229     | 0.358| 0.758| 0.838| 0.574***| 0.097***| 0.528***|   |
| ILSTS087| 6        | 13 | 135–155     | 0.702| 0.764| 0.853| 0.182***| 0.105***| 0.085***|   |
| INRABERN172| 26   | 12 | 234–256     | 0.570| 0.607| 0.650| 0.123***| 0.066***| 0.061***|   |
| MAF065  | 15       | 18 | 116–158     | 0.709| 0.733| 0.792| 0.103***| 0.074***| 0.031**  |   |
| MCM527  | 5        | 12 | 165–187     | 0.603| 0.610| 0.669| 0.097***| 0.088***| 0.010   |   |
| OARFCB20| 2        | 15 | 93–112      | 0.589| 0.652| 0.746| 0.208***| 0.132***| 0.088***|   |
| SP5113  | 10       | 12 | 134–158     | 0.671| 0.697| 0.768| 0.129***| 0.095***| 0.037**  |   |
| SRCRSP8 | unknown  | 16 | 215–255     | 0.560| 0.743| 0.830| 0.314***| 0.104***| 0.235***|   |
| ILSTS029| 3        | 20 | 148–170     | 0.661| 0.653| 0.776| 0.156***| 0.163***| -0.009  |   |
| INRA023 | 3        | 19 | 196–215     | 0.489| 0.635| 0.863| 0.437***| 0.273***| 0.226***|   |
| INRA063 | 18       | 23 | 164–186     | 0.462| 0.663| 0.828| 0.438***| 0.205***| 0.293***|   |
| INRABERN185| 18  | 18 | 261–289     | 0.504| 0.514| 0.674| 0.256***| 0.244***| 0.016   |   |
| P19(DYA)| 23       | 18 | 160–196     | 0.636| 0.690| 0.771| 0.178***| 0.105***| 0.081***|   |
| SRCRSP23| unknown  | 18 | 81–119      | 0.714| 0.694| 0.811| 0.117***| 0.146***| -0.034  |   |
| SRCRSP9 | 12       | 17 | 99–135      | 0.747| 0.743| 0.839| 0.115***| 0.118***| -0.003  |   |
| TCRV86  | 10       | 24 | 217–255     | 0.590| 0.722| 0.797| 0.263***| 0.098***| 0.183***|   |
| BM6444  | 2        | 35 | 118–200     | 0.541| 0.820| 0.907| 0.411***| 0.099***| 0.347***|   |
| ILSTS011| 14       | 13 | 256–294     | 0.648| 0.675| 0.747| 0.126***| 0.098***| 0.031*  |   |
| ILSTS005| 10       | 13 | 172–218     | 0.657| 0.614| 0.704| 0.071***| 0.130***| -0.068  |   |
| SRCRSP7 | 6        | 7  | 117–131     | 0.493| 0.569| 0.642| 0.237***| 0.116***| 0.137***|   |
| OARFCB48| 17       | 12 | 149–173     | 0.731| 0.715| 0.786| 0.067***| 0.092***| -0.027  |   |
| MAF70   | 4        | 14 | 134–168     | 0.708| 0.722| 0.807| 0.124***| 0.109***| 0.017   |   |
| All     | 471      |    | 576         | 0.576| 0.644| 0.737| 0.220***| 0.129***| 0.105***|   |

Note: Location, the locus located on the chromosomes; TA, total number of alleles; H₀, observed heterozygosity; Hₖ, gene diversity; Hₜ, overall gene diversity; Fₛₜ, Fₕₜ and Fₚₜ, measures of the F-statistics. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094435.t001
Table 2. Genetic diversity measures estimated using 30 microsatellite loci in each of 40 goat populations.

| No. | Breed | n  | TNA | MNA | AR  | $H_e$ | $H_o$ | $F_{IS}$ |
|-----|-------|----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|---------|
| 1   | LLS   | 52 | 180 | 6.00| 5.21| 0.5882| 0.4908| 0.167   |
| 2   | MGS   | 50 | 160 | 5.33| 4.68| 0.5981| 0.5729| 0.043   |
| 3   | YLS   | 47 | 180 | 6.00| 5.36| 0.6283| 0.5517| 0.123   |
| 4   | ZTS   | 50 | 178 | 5.93| 5.16| 0.5925| 0.5299| 0.107   |
| 5   | JCS   | 60 | 172 | 5.73| 4.95| 0.6000| 0.5754| 0.041   |
| 6   | GSS   | 59 | 230 | 7.67| 6.56| 0.6797| 0.5490| 0.194   |
| 7   | FQH   | 43 | 137 | 4.57| 4.23| 0.5584| 0.5178| 0.073   |
| 8   | GZS   | 50 | 169 | 5.63| 4.91| 0.5823| 0.5465| 0.062   |
| 9   | LNS   | 58 | 193 | 6.43| 5.69| 0.6635| 0.6198| 0.066   |
| 10  | CDM   | 58 | 178 | 5.93| 5.09| 0.6060| 0.5952| 0.018   |
| 11  | GLM   | 60 | 195 | 6.50| 5.66| 0.6492| 0.5965| 0.082   |
| 12  | LLY   | 52 | 169 | 5.63| 5.13| 0.6395| 0.6003| 0.062   |
| 13  | LZS   | 56 | 164 | 5.47| 4.71| 0.5826| 0.5393| 0.075   |
| 14  | HND   | 58 | 178 | 5.93| 5.18| 0.5944| 0.5078| 0.147   |
| 15  | DAS   | 36 | 178 | 5.93| 5.60| 0.6001| 0.5574| 0.157   |
| 16  | MGR   | 48 | 208 | 6.93| 6.19| 0.6614| 0.5556| 0.161   |
| 17  | CQSS  | 59 | 237 | 7.90| 6.87| 0.7090| 0.6530| 0.080   |
| 18  | XJS   | 59 | 247 | 8.23| 7.03| 0.6891| 0.6155| 0.108   |
| 19  | XZS   | 53 | 221 | 7.37| 6.60| 0.6846| 0.6116| 0.108   |
| 20  | HXK   | 43 | 243 | 8.10| 7.27| 0.7171| 0.6339| 0.117   |
| 21  | ZWS   | 25 | 206 | 6.87| 6.80| 0.6941| 0.6218| 0.106   |
| 22  | SNB   | 56 | 194 | 6.47| 5.83| 0.6830| 0.6010| 0.121   |
| 23  | BJS   | 58 | 202 | 5.73| 5.70| 0.6815| 0.5532| 0.190   |
| 24  | FNB   | 45 | 248 | 8.27| 7.35| 0.7378| 0.6186| 0.163   |
| 25  | HNN   | 60 | 220 | 7.33| 6.31| 0.7076| 0.6730| 0.049   |
| 26  | HWS   | 50 | 221 | 7.37| 6.75| 0.7294| 0.5882| 0.195   |
| 27  | JNQ   | 59 | 219 | 7.30| 6.56| 0.7369| 0.6461| 0.124   |
| 28  | YMH   | 53 | 235 | 7.83| 6.73| 0.7043| 0.5573| 0.210   |
| 29  | LBB   | 48 | 230 | 7.67| 6.72| 0.7069| 0.6279| 0.113   |
| 30  | LHH   | 58 | 193 | 6.43| 5.52| 0.6618| 0.6476| 0.022   |
| 31  | THS   | 60 | 194 | 6.47| 5.59| 0.6569| 0.6151| 0.064   |
| 32  | CJB   | 42 | 173 | 5.77| 5.32| 0.6440| 0.6234| 0.032   |
| 33  | CD     | 60 | 194 | 6.47| 5.39| 0.6273| 0.5536| 0.118   |
| 34  | GFS   | 44 | 130 | 4.33| 4.02| 0.5269| 0.5051| 0.042   |
| 35  | GXS   | 43 | 144 | 4.80| 4.35| 0.5836| 0.5375| 0.080   |
and PCA analysis. The gene pool 2 (South China) surrounded the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau and reached the southernmost of China along the Pearl River, and that this pool included six populations: CDM, GLM, LLY, LZS, HND and DAS. Gene pool 3 (Northwest China) was predominant on the northern of Qingling Mountains – Huaihe River Line which represented the geographical boundaries of North and South in China, especially Eastern China, and encompassed fourteen populations: MGR, CDS, XJS, XZS, HXR, ZWS, SNB, BJS, FNB, HNN, HWS, JNC, YMH and LBB. Gene pool 4 (East China) was predominant on Yangtze River hills and encompassed twelve populations: LLH, THS, CJB, CDB, GFS, GXS, MTS, YCB, XDH, FQS and DYS. However, the populations: YMH and LBB, which located at the Shandong Province, showed a mixture of the four ancestral genetic resources (Figure 1 and Figure 4). With the exception of LNS, it was obvious that the four gene pools were almost in accordance with the natural distribution of goat in China. The three clustering results from the three different analysis methods were clearly in total agreement with each other.

Discussion

Moderate Genetic Diversity and High Genetic Differentiation of the Goat Populations

In the current study, we attempted to estimate the genetic diversity and differentiation of the 40 China indigenous goat populations using 30 microsatellite markers. It was detected that the moderate genetic diversity at the population level (Hs = 0.644, HE = 0.6433) and high population diversity (HT = 0.737) at the species level. Our results showed that the genetic variation estimated by MNA and AR in Chinese indigenous populations was lower than that of European breeds which was 5.2–9.1 MNA, with an average of 7.1 and 6.1–7.9 AR values, but was higher than that of East Asian indigenous populations which was 2.5–7.6, with an average of 5.8 and 2.3–6.89 AR values [10,32]. In the study of Nomura et al, the genetic diversity of the populations in Mongolia and Bangladesh was higher than that of other countries, such as Japan, Korea and Indonesia [32]. Similar result also occurred in our study. In this investigation, genetic diversity of the goats in the Northwest populations (such as XJS, MGR and FNB goat) was comparatively higher than that in others clusters. Although, the populations were different, the similar result also occurred in the study of Li et al, that the diversity of the North China populations, such as Taihang goat and Neimonggol goat, was also higher than that of other populations (such as Small-xiang goat, Chuangdong White goat and Nanjiang Brown goat) [9]. The reason of the high genetic diversity maybe is that the Northwest China populations are nearby the center of Fertile Crescent region of Near East, and the gene flow was converged in the region.

Significant population differentiation was indicated by the high FST values (averaging 0.129), similar to the East Asian indigenous populations with the mean value of 0.13, but higher than that of the European breeds, which had a mean value of 0.07 [10,32]. The Eastern China populations showed the higher pairwise FST values than the mean, suggesting that these goat populations showed higher genetic differentiation than other populations. The regions of these Eastern populations were located farthest from the goat domestication center of West Asia.

In the present study, Bottlenecks occurred in thirty-six populations, except CDS, XJS, BJS and YMH, which were located in North of Qinling-Huaihe Line. Our results indicated that population bottleneck had happened over the entire distribution of Chinese goats. It is the first report to analysis the bottleneck in Chinese indigenous goats using 30 microsatellite markers.
markers. The results of Bottleneck analysis of Chinese goats would help us to understand the developing progress of these populations, and provide new sights to establish the conservation programs to maintain the high genetic diversity of these indigenous goat populations.

In the present study, the results of the F\textsubscript{IS} value over all the loci (Table 2) and the F\textsubscript{IS} within individual populations per locus (Table 1) indicated that there was a significant deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg (HW) equilibrium in the 40 goat populations. The main reasons of the significant positive values of the F\textsubscript{IS} are the existence of inbreeding and excess homozygosity.

### Distinct Genetic Structure of the Chinese Goat Populations

According to the results of Bayesian model-based clustering and the NJ tree based on Nei’s genetic distance, it was detected that there was the same clear population structure of Chinese domestic goat populations, comprising four major genetic distinct groups, the Southwest China, the South China, the East China and the Northwest China. The analysis of PCA was also consistent to the result of clustering, suggesting that the clustering of these Chinese goat populations was efficient and reliable in this experiment. In the studies of Zhang et al, the Nanjiang Yellow goat and the Leizhou goat in South China had also been clustered into one group, whereas the other three breeds in North China had not been clustered into one group, consisting to our results [33]. Furthermore, it was observed that these goat populations had not been clustered by their purpose by the artificial selection, such as meat, cashmere or fur, on the contrary, the genetic relationships among the populations of goat reflected the natural geographical locations of the populations. The cause of the results here might be due to the short time of artificial selection in China. We also found that the populations with the close geographical distance were characterized by low genetic differentiation, but as the distance among the populations had been increased, the genetic differentiation among the populations also increased. Similarly, the results of AMOVA also supported the observed patterns of population differentiation, which indicated significant molecular variance both among populations (13.15%) and among individuals within populations (9.12%) (Table 3).

In the three clustering methods, we found that the current genetic structure of Chinese goats has resulted from the special geographical structure, especially in the Western China. It was observed that the Hengduan Mountains located at the middle of Qinghai-Tibet Plateau and Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau, which restricted the gene flow between XZS in Tibet and other goat populations (such as LLS, YLS, ZTS and JCS) in the Yunnan province.

In addition, as a geographical boundary in China, the Qinling Mountains-Huaihe River Line which divides the regions of China into the South and the North, and generates the difference between the South and the North: the climate, agricultural forms, geographical features as well as the human’s habits. In the present study, our results clearly demonstrated that the Qinling Mountains-Huaihe River Line also had separated the West goat populations into two clusters: the Southwest cluster and the Northwest cluster. Although with some admixture to the Northwest China population, our results indicated that the LNS located in the north of China had been clustered into the Southwest China populations, inconsistent to other results [33]. The reasons caused the difference are following: firstly, there is 30 microsatellite loci selected for the analysis in our study, not the limit numbers; on the other hand, the number of goat populations in the genetic structure analysis is 40, sampling from almost all of the geographical regions, from high latitude to plains.

### The Origin of Goat in China

Previous genetic studies and archaeological data had revealed goats were probably first domesticated in the Fertile Crescent region of Near East around 10,000 years ago [1,2]. Some studies hint that a second independent domestication in Pakistan had given rise to the Cashmere-like goat breeds [1,34]. However, the question that where is the Chinese goats from, has not been elaborated completely, although there are so many studies focus on it [8,35,36,37]. Phylogenetic analysis of the first hypervariable region of mitochondrial DNA control region had suggested that the goats has multiple maternal origins with a possible center of origin in Aisa, as well as in the Fertile Crescent [8]. Li et al studied the mtDNA RFLP of 18 native goat populations in China, indicating that the native goat populations in China might originate from two different maternal ancestors [35]. In addition, it was proven that at least one subclade of lineage B was originated from eastern Asia, especially in southwest China [36]. Some studies also support the hypothesis that the southwest of China might be one of the domestication centers [37,38].

In our study, we estimated that the goat populations in Southwest China might be the earliest domestic goats in China, even in Asia. The reasons contributed to the result are as following. Firstly, the results of genetic structure analysis by STRUCTURE demonstrated that, the Northwest China populations combining with the Southwest populations had been clustered into the West populations, when K was 2. However, the East China populations had been clustered into a single clade. Similar result was also observed in the NJ-tree. In other words, if the goat populations in Southwest China were not the earliest domestic goats, the Northwest China populations would be an independent cluster, while the Southwest China and East China populations would be another cluster, however, it is inconsistent with the present results. The observation that the genetic diversity of Northwest China populations was higher than the Southwest and the East China populations provided additional evidence to support this hypothesis.

### Table 3. Results of analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) for 40 populations of Chinese goats.

| Source of variation | d.f | Sum of squares | Variation components | Variations (%) |
|---------------------|-----|----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Among populations   | 40  | 6405.542       | 1.46086             | 13.15127***    |
| Among individuals within populations | 2038 | 21921.663 | 1.01360 | 9.12486*** |
| Within individuals  | 2073 | 18106         | 8.63368             | 77.72387***    |

*d.f., degrees of freedom.

***P<0.001, P-values were obtained by 20000 permutations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094435.t003
Secondly, the domestication process of livestock is closely associated to the human activities [5]. It is generally recognized that the modern humans in East Asia have a common origin in Africa, and the first entry of modern humans into the southern part of East Asia occurred about 18,000–60,000 years ago and was followed by a north-ward migration that coincided with the receding glaciers in that area [39,40]. The evidence of archaeology also indicated that the modern Chinese humans were originated in the South China [41]. Therefore, the migratory routes of modern humans in China help us to better understand the domestication process of the goats.

Figure 2. The neighbor-joining tree of 40 goat populations in China was constructed based on Nei's genetic distance ($D_A$). The population abbreviations are shown in Table 1. The numbers on the nodes indicate the bootstrap values (%) obtained from 1000 replications. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094435.g002
Thirdly, the Southwest China has the most complex river systems and a profoundly complex and dynamic geological history, and it also is rich of biodiversity [16,17]. The special geographic structures of the region, such as the Hengduan Mountains and Qingling-Huaihe River Line, had restricted the gene flow of the livestock between the North and Southwest China goat populations. In this region, it is appear that multiple origin events documented as common phenomena in domestic animals such as cattle, chickens and pigs, suggesting that the southwest of China might be one of the domestication centers [42,43,44,45]. Moreover, the mitochondrial DNA analysis of the goats in five Asian countries around of China (India, Pakistan, Mongolia, Malaysia and Laos) had revealed that the mtDNA lineage B were higher in Chinese goats than those of mixed goat populations in the five countries, suggesting that mtDNA lineage B of goats probably originated from China [37]. Combing with our results, it is inferred that the goat populations in Southwest China might be the earliest domestic goats in China, even in Asia.

Certainly, the results of microsatellite markers don’t have the convincing evidence to support the hypothesis completely, due to the low density of microsatellite markers in the DNA nuclear. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the origin of Chinese goats through a detailed study of goats in Asia using the archeological records, ancient DNA, wild goat samples from more locations, and more accurate molecular methods, such as the genome-wide SNP array and mitochondrial DNA.

In this study, we had analyzed the diversity of forty Chinese domestic goat breeds which were come from all the regions of China, from Qinghai-Tibet plateau region to the north and south agricultural region, and these breeds also had special purpose, such as meat, cashmere, fur and so on. The results here provide valuable information to help understanding the genetic structure of these important indigenous goats for future genetic improvement and setting the conservation program in China. The information of this study also would help to formulate the species-specific breeding programs to maintain the genetic characteristics and to reduce unnecessary inbreeding or gene flow among populations. The present work presents the first substantial analysis of the diversity of Chinese domestic goat microsatellite markers and provides information about the genetic structure of
goat breeds within this important region, and thus insights into their genetic history. With the introduction of foreign species, these indigenous goat populations have been threatened, some of which have nearly disappeared or admixed with the exotic species. Assessment of the genetic status of Chinese indigenous goat population is essential for the establishment of the conservation programs.

Supporting Information

Table S1 The information of the 40 Chinese indigenous goat populations. The information included the name, number and phenotypic characteristic of these goat populations, even the geographical location in China.

Table S2 Bottleneck analysis of the 40 Chinese indigenous goat populations.

Table S3 Pairwise FST values (upper diagonal) and D_A genetic distance (lower diagonal) between 40 goat populations.
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