ABSTRACT
Coronaviruses, including SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and MERS-CoV, have caused contagious and fatal respiratory diseases in humans worldwide. Notably, the coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) caused by SARS-CoV-2 spread rapidly in early 2020 and became a global pandemic. The nonstructural proteins of coronaviruses are critical components of the viral replication machinery. They function in viral RNA transcription and replication, as well as counteracting the host innate immunity. Studies of these proteins not only revealed their essential role during viral infection but also help the design of novel drugs targeting the viral replication and immune evasion machinery. In this review, we summarize the functional studies of each nonstructural proteins and compare the similarities and differences between nonstructural proteins from different coronaviruses.
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Introduction
The coronavirus (CoV) outbreaks among human populations have caused three major epidemics worldwide, since the beginning of the 21st century. These are the epidemics of the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in 2003 (1, 2), the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) in 2012 (3, 4), and the coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) in 2020 (5-9).

Coronaviruses belong to the family Coronaviridae in the order Nidovirales (10). The Coronaviridae family has four genera, Alphacoronavirus, Betacoronavirus, Gammacoronavirus, and Deltacoronavirus. Currently, seven coronaviruses are known to infect humans. These include two alphacoronaviruses, human coronavirus 229E (HCoV-229E) (11) and HCoV-NL63 (12), as well as five betacoronaviruses, HCoV-OC43 (13), HCoV-HKU1 (14), SARS-CoV (15), MERS-CoV (4), and the novel coronavirus that causes COVID-19 (2019-nCoV) (5, 7, 9).

The 2019-nCoV was later renamed to SARS-CoV-2 by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (16), due to high sequence homology and same receptor requirements shared with SARS-CoV (9).

The genome of coronaviruses is a nonsegmented, positive-sense, single-strand RNA of about 28 - 32 nt in length (17), which encodes for six major open reading frames (ORFs) and a various number of accessory genes (18). The first two major ORFs (ORF1a, ORF1ab) are the replicate genes, and the other four encode viral structural proteins that comprise the essential protein components of the coronavirus virions, including the spike surface glycoprotein (S), envelope protein (E), matrix protein (M), and nucleocapsid protein (N) (14, 19, 20).

In the case of betacoronavirus, ORF1a translates into a polyprotein. It is subsequently cleaved into 11 nonstructural proteins (nsp1-11) by itself or by nsp3 and nsp5, which contains the papain-like (21) and Chymotrypsin-like protease activities (22), respectively. ORF1ab is a polyprotein produced by translational readthrough of -1 ribosomal frameshift, which occurred at the coding region of nsp11. As a result, ORF1ab polyprotein carries the nsp1-10 at its N-terminus and five more nonstructural proteins (nsp12-16) on the C-terminus. These nonstructural proteins synergistically form the cytoplasmic membranous organelle-like viral replication/ transcription complexes (TRCs) (23). Besides the essential roles in viral RNA replication and transcription (18, 24-33), nonstructural proteins also determine the viral pathogenesis (18, 29, 34) as well as counteracting the cellular immune responses (21, 34-41). The coronavirus TRCs are among the most complex viral replication compartments (VRCs) known for positive-strand RNA viruses (23, 42, 43).

Besides its complex nature, structures of most of the nonstructural proteins have been determined (26, 44-58). These structures not only provide the functional insights of nonstructural proteins but also help the design of novel drugs targeting the viral replication and immune evasion machinery (47, 59-62). These studies facilitate our
understanding of how viruses establish their infection and provide the foundations for the development of efficient antiviral strategies. Here we summarize current knowledge on each nonstructural proteins of coronaviruses.

**Nsp1: the immune repressor**

Nsp1 is the very 5' proximal nonstructural protein of β-CoVs. It is released by PLpro at conserved proteolytic sites from polyprotein encoded by ORF1ab (63-65) (Reviewed in Table 1). Due to the severe outbreak of SARS in 2003, SARS-CoV nsp1 is among the most extensively studied. SARS-CoV nsp1 is 20-kDa in size and distributed in the cytoplasm when transiently expressed in 293 cells (66). It was first observed that SARS-CoV nsp1 transient expression strongly inhibited IFN-β mRNA accumulation during Sendai virus infection, and promoted degradation of overexpressed exogenous mRNA and host endogenous mRNA, leading to an overall decrease in protein synthesis (66). Similar results were then obtained from studies on other β-CoVs including mouse hepatitis virus (MHV), bat coronavirus strains (Rm1, 133, and HKU9-1), and on a β-CoV human coronavirus 229E (HCoV-229E) (67, 68). These findings suggest that host translation arresting is a common feature during coronavirus infections.

The nsp1-mediated translation inhibition can be reproduced in the cell-free translation system (69). SARS-CoV nsp1 was demonstrated to bind and inactivate the 40S ribosomal subunits, resulting in translational inhibition. Meanwhile, the nsp1 protein, in its 40S ribosomal subunit binding form, could recruit a cellular endonuclease to mediate mRNA cleavage in the 5' untranslated region (5'-UTR) (70). Subsequently, 5'-truncated host mRNAs were degraded by host 5' - 3' exonuclease Xrn1 (71). Interestingly, protein translation under the control of internal ribosome entry site (IRES) from hepatitis C or cricket paralysis viruses, but not encephalomyocarditis virus, could escape from the SARS-CoV nsp1-mediated RNA cleavage, possibly due to different requirement for translational initiation factors in forming 48S initiation complex with the 40S subunit (69).

A SARS-CoV nsp1 mutant with two positively charged amino acid substitutions (R124A/K125A) loses the target mRNA/viral RNA binding and mRNA cleavage function but remains the ability to inhibit translation (72). MERS-CoV nsp1 contains the same RK motif. Nevertheless, this RK motif is not involved in binding to mRNAs but is required for the RNA cleavage. Instead, the R13 on the first alpha-helix of MERS-CoV nsp1 that is missing from SARS-CoV nsp1 is essential for mRNA binding (73).

Another SARS-CoV nsp1 mutant K164A/H165A was unable to bind to the 40S subunit and lost the ability to interfere with host gene expression (69). When the same mutations (K164A/ H165A) were introduced to SARS-CoV infectious clone, the recovered virus was replication-incompetent and unable to suppress innate immune responses or degrade host mRNA (66). A similar study was performed with MHV. MHV-nsp1-Δ99, which lacked 99 nucleotides in the nsp1 coding region essential for host translation arresting, could not reproduce well in wild-type mice (67). Moreover, the MHV-nsp1-Δ99 mutant restored its replication to the wt virus level when infecting mice deficient in the type I interferons (IFN-I) recognition, highlighting the role of nsp1 in counteracting the IFN-I (72). Notably, the SARS-CoV-2 nsp1 shares a high protein sequence identity of 84.44% with SARS-CoV nsp1, including R124/K125 for mRNA binding (red-colored) and cleavage (asterisked, Figure 1), K164/H165 for translation shutoff (green colored, Figure 1), suggesting a likely same role of SARS-CoV-2 nsp1 in counteracting host immune responses.

SARS-CoV genomic RNA and subgenomic RNAs are somehow resistant to the nsp1 induced RNA cleavage (70). The resistant element was mapped to the 5'-end leader sequence of SARS-CoV RNAs, which contains two important nucleotides AU at the very 5' terminal, followed by AUUA. Coincidently, the SARS-CoV-2 genome also starts with the same two nucleotides AU, followed by UAAA. Whether SARS-CoV-2 avoids nsp1 mediated RNA cleavage by the same RNA coding remains to be answered.

**Nsp2: fine-tuner of replication**

Nsp2 is the most variable nonstructural protein among

---

**Table 1**: Proteolysis sites of the ORF1a/ORF1ab polyproteins. The polyproteins were cleaved by the nsp3 papain-like protease and the nsp5 3C-like protease, resulting in the release of sixteen nonstructural proteins. The position of the scissors represents the cleavage site.

*Papain-like protease cleavage sites*

| nsp1 | nsp2 | nsp3 | nsp4 | nsp5 |
|------|------|------|------|------|
| SARS-CoV-2 | SGLV...VVMQ | SGVR...AAMQ | SKLT...SVLQ | ATLS...VKLQ |
| MERS-CoV | SGLV...VVMQ | SGVR...AAMQ | SKLT...SVLQ | ATLS...VKLQ |
| SARS-CoV-2 | SAVK...ATVQ | SAVK...ATVQ | SKMS...ATLQ | AIAS...VKLQ |
| MERS-CoV | SAVK...ATVQ | SAVK...ATVQ | SKMS...ATLQ | AIAS...VKLQ |

*3C-like protease cleavage sites*

| nsp5 | nsp6 | nsp7 | nsp8 | nsp9 | nsp10 | nsp11 | nsp12 | nsp13 | nsp14 | nsp15 | nsp16 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| SARS-CoV-2 | SGLV...VVMQ | SGVR...AAMQ | SKLT...SVLQ | ATLS...VKLQ |
| MERS-CoV | SGLV...VVMQ | SGVR...AAMQ | SKLT...SVLQ | ATLS...VKLQ |

---
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SARS CoV-related viruses (74). A comparative analysis of the protein sequences of SARS-CoV-2 with SARS-CoV showed 61 amino acid substitutions in nsp2 between these two viruses (20). Due to the sequence variability of nsp2 among coronaviruses, it was speculated that nsp2 protein coevolved with the hosts to acquire host-specific functions, and modulating infection (75). A study utilizing nsp2-deleted MHV or SARS-CoV recombinant clones showed that nsp2 is required for optimal viral replication (30). When the nsp2 was deleted from the viruses, the viral titer, and viral RNA synthesis rate moderately reduced compared to the wild type virus. Nsp2 is shown to localize to the viral TRCs (43). However, MHV mutant with nsp2 deletion did not affect the morphology and subcellular localization of the TRCs (30). Importantly, Nsp2 expressed from other genomic loci still could not rescue the replication deficiency, pointing out that the function of nsp2 in viral growth depends on its correct genomic localization of the TRCs (30). However, MHV mutant with nsp2 deletion did not affect the morphology and subcellular localization of the TRCs (30). Importantly, Nsp2 expressed from other genomic loci still could not rescue the replication deficiency, pointing out that the function of nsp2 in viral growth depends on its correct genomic localization of the TRCs (30).

Nsp3: the scaffold protein and protease

Nsp3 is the largest among all the nonstructural proteins of β-CoV. It is cleaved off from ORF1a/ORF1ab by the papain-like protease domain or PL2domains that is within nsp3 itself. The function of nsp3 is to mediate the genome replication/ transcription (77-80) and pathogenesis (81). Due to its large size and complex domain organization, nsp3 interacts with other nonstructural proteins (77, 78), structural proteins (79, 80), and host proteins (62) as a scaffold during viral infection (33). The SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 contains 16 domains (Figure 2), namely Ubiquitin-like domain 1 (Ubl1), Hypervariable region (HVR) or acidic domain, Macromodulin 1/II/III (Maca1/II/III), Domain Preceding Ubl2 and PL2domains (DPUP), Ubiquitin-like domain 2 (Ubl2), Papain-like protease two domain (PL2), Nucleic acid-binding domain (NAB), betacoronavirus-specific marker domain (BSM), Transmembrane domain 1 (TM1), Nsp3 ectodomain (Ecto), Transmembrane domain 2 (TM2), Amphipathic helix region (AH1), domains specific to Nidovirales and Coronavirusidae (Y1 and CoV-Y) (82). These domains function synergistically to regulate viral infection.

Ub1 is the first domain that locates on the N-terminus of β-CoV nsp3. The Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) structure of Ub1 showed that the Ub1 domain is structurally similar to ubiquitin-like proteins, albeit two additional helices (310 helix and α helix) make the core structural structure more oval other than globular, comparing to human Ubiquitin or ISG15 (58, 82). Ubiquitination and ISGylation are associated with host regulation of innate antiviral responses (83-86), but the role of mimicry of ubiquitin by ub1 (as well as ub2) is currently unknown. It is reasonable to speculate that the ubiquitin-like domains of nsp3 could bridge the protease function of nsp3 to ubiquitination machinery in the cell and interfere with host antiviral immunity.

Ub1 domain of nsp3 was shown to predominantly bind to single-stranded trinucleotide RNA sequence AUA, as mass-spectrometry analysis of the purified recombinant SARS-CoV Ub1 from E. Coli. revealed co-purified unique RNA fragments (58). It is noted that both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 have AU-rich 5'-UTR or even 5' terminus in their genomic or subgenomic RNAs (20, 70). Whether this coincidence has a functional role remains to be tested. In addition to the studies on SARS-CoV, the Ub1 domain of MHV nsp3 was found to bind to the viral.

Figure 1. Alignment of nsp1 protein sequences of MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2. The sequences of nsp1 from MERS-CoV (accession no. JX690595), SARS-CoV (accession no. AY278741), and SARS-CoV-2 (accession no. NC_045512) were aligned, and identical amino acids are highlighted. Amino acids essential for mRNA binding are colored in red, while those responsible for host translation shutoff are colored in green. Asterisked are the sites important for RNA cleavage.
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N protein (80). This interaction is essential for N protein-mediated enhancement of viral infectivity (79).

The HVR domain locates at the C-terminus of the Ubl1 domain. HVR, also known as acidic domain, is rich in negatively charged amino acids aspartic acid (Asp/D) and glutamic acid (Glu/E). As its name indicates, it is the most variable region found in nsp3. Amino acids sequence identity between SARS-CoV HVR and SARS-CoV-2 HVR is 47.14%, much lower than the 76.6% overall nsp3 amino acid sequence identity. The HVR region is

![Figure 2. Sequence alignment of SARS-CoV nsp3 vs. SARS-CoV-2 nsp3. The domain organization of nsp3 is lined in different colors.](https://doi.org/10.37175/stemedicine.v1i2.39)
intrinsically disordered in SARS-CoV (58) and MHV (57). The same feature is also observed in SARS-CoV-2 as well as in three highly similar bat coronavirus isolates BatCoV RaTG13 (accession no. MN996532) (9), Bat SL-CoV VZC45 (accession no. MG772933), and Bat SL-CoV VZXC21 (accession no. MG772934) (63) which show high nucleotide identity and protein identity to SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 3A). There are 45 consensus amino acids in the HVR among SARS-CoV-2 and these three bat viruses (Figure 3B), of which 48.9% are Asp/Glu.

In the nonconsensus regions, the Asp/Glu percentage is 20%, 20.4%, 15.2%, and 8.3% for SARS-CoV-2, BatCoV RaTG13, Bat SL-CoV VZC45, and Bat SL-CoV VZXC21, respectively, and is much lower than that of the consensus sequence. These differences of HVR Asp/Glu percentage between consensus and nonconsensus regions indicate a possible function of negatively charged amino acids in viral replication that was selected during viral evolution. But currently, the exact role of HVR in the viral life cycle is unknown, studies on MHV suggest HVR is dispensable for viral infection in vitro (79).

Following the HVR is the Macrodomain I (MacI, previously known as X domain). Macrodomains are evolutionarily conserved domains that are ubiquitously existing in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Three decades ago, bioinformatic analyses identified that members in Coronaviridae, Togaviridae, and Hepeviridae families encode this conserved domain of an unknown function, to which the name X domain was given (29, 59, 87-89). Protein crystallography studies on macrodomains of SARS-CoV (90, 91), MERS-CoV (54), and other coronaviruses (55, 56, 90) showed a three-layered alpha/beta/alpha core fold similar to the C-terminal nonhistone region of MacroH2A, a variant of human histone H2A (92). Macrodomains of SARS-CoV and some other coronaviruses contain in vitro ADP-ribose-1′-phosphate phosphatase (ADRP) activity (90, 91), de-mono-ADP-ribosylation (deMARylation) activity (36), and de-poly-ADP-ribosylation (dePARylation) activity (93). Studies by using a series of mutations on SARS-CoV and MHV showed that ADRP, deMARylation, and dePARylation activities of MacI are essential to viral virulence in vivo by suppressing the innate immune responses (36, 81, 91). These sites are conserved between SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 (29) (Figure 2, boxed in red).

The SARS-CoV MacII+MacIII+DPUP forms a previously recognized SARS-unique domain (SUD), although more reports on betacoronavirus genome sequences suggest that this domain is not unique to SARS-CoV (94). MacII is the second marcodomain locating at the C-terminal side of MacI, and is dispensable for SARS-CoV replicon replication, while the third macrodomain
NAB within nsp3. SARS-CoV βSM is intrinsically a Betacoronavirus-specific marker (βSM) domain follows similar to the RNA recognition pattern of MacIII (95). This could bind to RNA, especially repeats of GGGs (52), and the following domains of nsp3 (52). NAB domain forms flexibly extended linkers between the PL2 domain, which only exists in betacoronaviruses (94), and MERS-CoV lacking this domain displays reduced viral RNA even though the virus is still viable (32). Although the DPUP of SARS-CoV and MHV resembles a frataxin-like structure (95), which may involve controlling cellular oxidative stress (97, 98), the exact role of DPUP in viral infection is currently unknown.

Ubl2+PL2\textsuperscript{pro} locates immediately downstream of DPUP. Ubl2+PL2\textsuperscript{pro} of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV were shown to modulate host innate immune responses (35, 99), albeit such immune suppression activity was separately reported to be only restricted in PL2\textsuperscript{pro} (41). Papain-like protease 2 (PL2\textsuperscript{pro}) is the protease domain within nsp3, which recognizes conserved motifs (Table 1) in the conjunction part of nsp1/nsp2, nsp2/nsp3, and nsp3/nsp4. In SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, these motifs share XLXGG↓pattern, in which ↓ is the cleavage site. MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, these motifs share XLXGG↓pattern, in which ↓ is the cleavage site. MERS-CoV contains the same pattern except for the cleavage site between nsp3 and nsp4 is KIVGG↓. These protease processing sites resemble the very C-terminal ends of ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like protein ISG15 (53), which is RLRGG and can be removed by deubiquitinating enzymes and delISGylating enzymes. Interestingly, the PL2\textsuperscript{pro} also possesses DUB and delISGylating activities besides its proteolytic activity (21, 41, 100-102). Ubiquitination and ISGylation play a central role in immune responses against viruses (85, 86, 103). Membrane-anchored SARS-CoV PL2\textsuperscript{pro} domain (PL2\textsuperscript{pro}-TM) could physically interact with STING (stimulator of IFN genes) - TRAF3 (The tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 3) - TBK1 (TANK-binding kinase 1) complex and deubiquitinate intracellular dsRNA sensor RIG-I, STING, TRAF3, TBK1, and the transcription factor interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) which cascadingly activate the IFN-β production pathway (104). SARS-CoV PL2\textsuperscript{pro} also removes Lys63-linked ubiquitin chains of TRAF3 and TRAF6, leading to suppression of the TLR7 signaling pathway (105). Human coronavirus NL63 (HCoV-NL63) deubiquitinates and stabilizes the E3 ubiquitin ligase MDM2 to increase the degradation of tumor suppressor p53 (40). Besides its DUB and delISGylating activities, coronavirus papain-like protease also depends on its interaction with host proteins to counteract host antiviral responses (106).

The NAB domain, which only exists in betacoronaviruses (94), forms flexibly extended linkers between the PL2\textsuperscript{pro} domain and the following domains of nsp3 (52). NAB domain could bind to RNA, especially repeats of GGGs (52), similar to the RNA recognition pattern of MacIII (95). Betacoronavirus-specific marker (βSM) domain follows NAB within nsp3. SARS-CoV βSM is intrinsically disordered (82), and its role in the viral life cycle is currently unknown.

Downstream of βSM domain is the transmembrane region that contains two transmembrane domains (TM1/2) and one luminal loop domain (3Ecto) (94). Subcellular localization analysis of SARS-CoV nsp3 truncated mutants revealed that the TM1/2 and the luminal 3Ecto domain are essential for the recruitment of nsp4 to discrete ER loci (78). The luminal 3Ecto domain of nsp3, possibly forming a disulfide bond, was proposed to interact with the luminal domains of nsp4 to "zipper" the ER membrane and induce discrete membrane formation (78). This membrane modification was recognized as the first step in forming the ER-origin viral replication organelles (28). Nsp3-nsp4 interaction of MERS-CoV also leads to the zippering of ER membranes and subsequent formation of Double-Membrane Vesicles (DVMs) (107).

AH1+Y1 & CoV-Y domain is the C-terminal portion of nsp3 that is facing towards the cytosol. AH1 encodes a predicted transmembrane domain that was shown to be a cytosolic region in SARS-CoV and MHV (42). Currently, the functions of these domains are less well understood as the N-terminal nsp3.

**Nsp4: the DVM builder**

Coronavirus nsp4 is an integral membrane protein with four transmembrane domains (42). In partnership with nsp3, it plays an essential role in the formation of the membranous structure of TRCs (27, 107, 108). SARS-CoV or MERS-CoV nsp4 /nsp3 localized to the reticular ER membrane when expressed separately (78, 107). However, when nsp4 and nsp3 were coexpressed, the formation of distinct perinuclear loci representing stacked double ER membranes was observed (78, 107). Such membrane rearrangements represent the critical step in TRCs formation. The N-terminus nsp4, including the first transmembrane domain and the first luminal loop region between TM1 and TM2, is required for this membrane rearrangement (78). The C-terminal TM4 and cytosolic part of nsp4 are dispensable for either formation of SARS-CoV induced aggregated ER loci (78), or efficient viral growth of MHV (27).

This first luminal region of SARS-CoV nsp4 could interact with the nsp3 luminal 3Ecto domain to bring two ER membranes in close proximity (109). This region was predicted to be glycosylated for various of coronaviruses (108). When glycosylated sites of this region were mutated in ER membranes and subsequent formation of Double-Membrane Vesicles (DVMs) was observed (78, 107). Such membrane rearrangements represent the critical step in TRCs formation. The N-terminus nsp4, including the first transmembrane domain and the first luminal loop region between TM1 and TM2, is required for this membrane rearrangement (78). The C-terminal TM4 and cytosolic part of nsp4 are dispensable for either formation of SARS-CoV induced aggregated ER loci (78), or efficient viral growth of MHV (27).

This first luminal region of SARS-CoV nsp4 could interact with the nsp3 luminal 3Ecto domain to bring two ER membranes in close proximity (109). This region was predicted to be glycosylated for various of coronaviruses (108). When glycosylated sites of this region were mutated in MHV, viral growth was reduced along with deficient DVM formation (108). Two-amino acid changes (H120N/F121L) near the SARS-CoV nsp4 glycosylation site predicted transmembrane domain that was shown to be a cytosolic region in SARS-CoV and MHV (42). Currently, the functions of these domains are less well understood as the N-terminal nsp3.

**Nsp5: the main protease**

Coronavirus nsp5 encodes an enterovirus 3C-like protease (3CL\textsuperscript{pro}) conserved across the Nidovirales (19).
It is a cysteine protease with a chymotrypsin-like fold and is often referred to as the main protease (Mpro). Similar to the PL²⁺, 3CL⁺ is essential to the nonstructural protein processing by cleavage at 11 sites downstream of the nsp4 coding region (Table 1). SARS-CoV nsp5 consists of an N-terminal domain with proteolytic activity, as well as a C-terminal domain that contains five alpha-helices (22, 51, 110, 111). SARS-CoV 3CL⁺ has at least three formats, an inactive monomer (22, 112), an active homodimer (22, 51, 111, 112), and a highly active homooctamer (110). Besides its proteolytic activity, porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) nsp5 cleaves Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2 (STAT2) at two locations with glutamine (Q) residue at the P1 position, leading to the inhibition on the transcription of IFN-stimulated genes (39). Deltacoronavirus nsp5 also targets the NF-kB essential modulator (NEMO) for degradation and also suppresses type 1 IFN production (37, 38). Thus, coronavirus nsp5 assists viral infection by proteolytically releasing nsp4-16, and suppresses innate immune responses by digesting essential enzymes in the immune signal transduction pathway.

**Nsp6: forming DVM and activating autophagy**

Coronavirus nsp6 is a transmembrane protein with six transmembrane domains (77). When expressed alone, it localized to ER and induced the generation of DFCP1 (Double FYVE domain-containing protein 1) - positive early autophagosomes, or omegasomes. Such a structure can mature into autophagosomes that are capable of delivering LC3 for lysosomal degradation (113). Autophagy is not required for either coronavirus replication or antiviral responses in vitro. The knockout of ATG5 or ATG7, essential genes in the autophagy pathway, does not affect betacoronavirus MHV replication (114, 115). ATG5 silencing in Vero cells or treatment with wortmannin, the class3 PI3K inhibitor, also does not affect replication of the Infectious bronchitis virus (IBV), a gammacoronavirus, (113). Although induction of autophagy is not required for coronavirus genome replication, the nsp6 plays a vital role in the viral life cycle. Coronavirus encodes three nonstructural proteins with transmembrane domains, nsp3, nsp4, and nsp6. While nsp3+nsp4 only produces aggregated zippered ER structures or maze-like body, nsp6 expression in addition to nsp3+nsp4 leads to the DMVs formation (77), resembling the authentic membranous structures of TRCs (77). Two HCoV-229E mutants, both contain single amino acid mutation on nsp6, confer antiviral drug K22 resistant, and result in partial recovery of drug-related DVMs loss. These mutations affected progeny infectivities, suggesting that nsp6 is critical for the viral life cycle (61). Although nsp6 expression induces autophagosomes-like DVMs, coronaviruses do not require autophagy for viral replication. Nsp6 or coronavirus likely recruits some host proteins shared with the autophagy pathway for viral DVMs production. However, such speculation needs further investigation.

**Nsp7+Nsp8: the RdRp cofactor**

Coronavirus nsp7 and nsp8 are indispensable and essential for viral survival (116). The crystal structure of SARS-CoV nsp7 with nsp8 is a hollow cylinder-like supercomplex, formed by two asymmetric units. Each unit includes four nsp7 and four nsp8 (26). In the nsp7+nsp8 supercomplex, a channel structure is apparent (26). The channel is mainly formed by the bridging of the four long helices of N-terminus nsp8, of which the structure resembles the “shaft” of a “golf-club” (26). Mutations on the positive-charged amino acids on this “shaft” region significantly reduce dsRNA binding ability of the supercomplex, while the mutations of positive-charged amino acids on nsp7 near the channel structure do not (26).

Unlike nsp12, nsp8 is a non-canonical RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) that does not encode the conserved RdRp motif (117). SARS-CoV nsp8 could initiate short oligonucleotide (< 6 nt) synthesis at an internal template cytidine with a distance of at least two nucleotides from the 3’-end (117). A later study misinterpreted this internal initiating primer synthesis ability from nsp8 as the de novo initiation (118). In this study, the authors also reported that nsp8 has primer extension activities (118). The association of nsp8 with nsp7 was shown to enhance thermal stability (117) and primer extension activity of nsp8 (118). Thus, SARS-CoV nsp8, together with nsp7, provides RNA primer internally complementary to the viral genomic RNA for viral replication, which also requires the “main” RdRp nsp12 (119).

Nsp7+nsp8 complex of feline coronavirus (FCoV), an alphacoronavirus, is a 2:1 heterotrimer containing two conformational different nsp7 molecules and one nsp8 molecule. Two copies of heterotrimers could bind to each other through nsp8-nsp8 interaction and form a heterohexamer (120). This nsp7+nsp8 complex is also capable of synthesizing short oligonucleotides (120). Similar to FCoV, the alphacoronavirus HCoV-299E nsp7-10 polyprotein has this noncanonical RdRp activity as well (120).

However, due to the internal initiation nature of the nsp8 primase, the model including primer synthesis of nsp8 plus the primer-dependent RdRp activity of nsp12 still could not provide mechanism insights for the viral RNA
Nsp9: the dimer forming RNA binding protein

Nsp9 is a ~12kDa proteolytic cleavage product of pp1a that has the nucleic acid binding activity (45, 122). It preferentially binds to single-stranded RNA (45, 122, 123). Biotin pull-down assay showed that IBV nsp9 preferentially interacts with the 3'-UTR region of the positive-strand viral RNA (124, 125). Nsp9 can interact with itself as well as the non-canonical RdRp nsp8 (124-127). Like most of the coronavirus nonstructural proteins, nsp9 contains two helix-loop-helix motifs called α-helix forming the dimerization domain. The crystal structure of the nsp9 monomer revealed a cone-shaped N-terminal β-barrel composed of seven β-strands and a C-terminal α-helix that is conserved among alpha-, beta, and gamma-CoVs (45, 122, 123, 129, 130). However, its dimerization varies among different coronaviruses. SARS-CoV, IBV, and porcine delta coronavirus (PDCoV) nsp9 were reported to form the “parallel helix-dimer” structure that stabilized by the hydrophobic interactions between two C-terminal α-helices. PDCoV nsp9 dimer is slightly different in that it also requires the N-terminal extended finger motif to stabilize the dimer structure. Besides, SARS-CoV nsp9 can form the “sheet-dimer” structure formed by interactions between β-strand five from both subunits (45). HCoV-229E nsp9 forms an “anti-parallel helix dimer” that requires interaction between two α-helices in the opposite direction with disulfide-bond from two nsp9 subunits (123). The porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV) nsp9 forms two possible dimer structure resembles the “parallel helix-dimer” and “sheet-dimer” stabilized by a disulfide-linkage (129).

Albeit various of dimerization structures, the dimer formation could enhance the nucleic acid binding and viral replication (123, 129-131). Mutations of the protein-protein interaction motif GXXXG on the C-terminal α-helix of SARS-CoV nsp9 disrupted dimer formation, and significantly decreased RNA binding of nsp9. The corresponding mutations in the SARS-CoV genome were either lethal to the viral growth or reverted to wt type amino acid coding (131). Similarly, the G98D mutant of IBV nsp9 significantly destabilized homodimer and also abolished the activity of RNA binding. The incorporated viral mutant was deficient in subgenomic RNAs transcription as well as viral growth. Interestingly, IBV nsp9 mutation I95N showed almost no effect on the RNA binding activity but moderately destabilized dimer formation, while the virus with this mutation has severe growth defects(132). Nsp9 dimerization may have essential roles in replication beyond the RNA binding.

Nsp10: cofactor in viral replication

Nsp10 is a zinc finger protein that contains two zinc finger domains conserved among coronaviruses (49, 50, 133). Several oligomer forms were reported for nsp10. MHV nsp10 shows the monomeric form in reducing SDS-PAGE and gel filtration analysis. At the same time, it also forms ~80 kDa and ~19600 kDa protein complexes when supplemented with zinc ions in dynamic light scattering assay (133). SARS-CoV nsp10 was reported to form a dimer in solution analyzed by gel filtration (50). A simultaneous report on SARS-CoV nsp10 also revealed a dodecameric structure (49). Currently, no evidence confirmed the biological relevance of nsp10 oligomerization in viral replication.

Nsp10 is essential to coronavirus infection. A temperature-sensitive mutation of nsp10 (Q65E) significantly inhibited MHV RNA synthesis at the nonpermissive temperature (134). Furthermore, the reverse-genetics study identified 16 nsp10 mutants of MHV clone, of which eight were viable but displayed attenuated viral growth, while the other eight clones were inviable (135). One of the nsp10 mutant (D47A/H48A) was studied into depth and had subtle effects on nsp4-10/11 polyprotein processing.

During viral replication, nsp10 enhances the enzyme activities of other replication proteins (136-138). SARS-CoV nsp10 interacts with the exoribonuclease domain of nsp14, resulting in significantly increased exoribonuclease activity (136). Mutations on MHV nsp10 (R80A/E82A) led to increased sensitivity of the virus towards RNA mutagen treatments (25), suggestive of the involvement of nsp10 in coronavirus proof-reading function, which relies on the exoribonuclease activity of nsp14. A heterodimer complex structure was also identified for nsp10/nsp16 (137). Nsp10 interacts with the nsp16 S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM)-binding pocket and stimulates the association of both the methyl donor SAM and capped RNA acceptor to nsp16 (137), thus activates nsp16 to methylate coronaviral mRNA cap at the 2'O-site (139).

In addition to the role as a part of viral TRCs, nsp10 is involved in the development of viral cytopathic effects. SARS-CoV nsp10 interacts with human NADH 4L subunit and cytochrome oxidase II and alters the activity of the NADH-cytochrome (140). Through these interactions,
nsp10 caused an impaired oxidoreductase system and induced the depolarization of the mitochondria inner membrane (140).

**Nsp11: small peptide with unknown function**

Nsp11 is a small peptide located at the C-terminus of ORF1a. A three-stemmed mRNA pseudoknot containing a typical hepta-nucleotide sequence UUUAAAC is situated in the nsp11 coding region (141). This RNA structure results in a programmed -1 ribosomal frameshift, which leads to the production of ORF1ab (141, 142).

A proximity-labeling experiment identified the existence of nearly all nonstructural proteins in the microenvironment of MHV replication complexes, except for nsp11 (128). SARS-CoV nsp11 does not interact with other nonstructural proteins in the mammalian two-hybrid assay (124). Currently, no known function was discovered for nsp11. According to these data, nsp11 is likely not a member of the replication complexes. However, the exact role of nsp11 remains to be explored.

**Nsp12: the main RdRp**

Nsp12 is the first nonstructural protein encoded by ORF1b and functions as the primary RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of coronaviruses. Nsp12 is at the center of the viral TRCs, which participate in both the synthesis of new full-length genomic RNA and the discontinuous transcription of subgenomic RNAs (18, 143-146).

Coronavirus nsp12 mainly contains two functional domains. The C-terminal portion of nsp12 is the canonical RdRp domain that resembles a cupped right hand with fingers, palm, and the thumb holding the template RNA (44, 147). The palm subdomain is the catalytic core that contains a conserved SDD motif in the active site. Like other positive-strand RNA viruses, mutations on the SDD motif abolished its RdRp activity (148). Aside from the C-terminal polymerase domain, nsp12 also contains a Nidovirus RdRp-associated nucleotidyltransferase (NiRAN) domain, which is unique to the *Nidovirales* (44, 147).

The arterivirus equine arteritis virus (EAV) nsp9 is the homolog of coronaviruses nsp12. The NiRAN domain of EAV nsp9 can be nucleotidylated, as a phosphoamide bond can be formed in between the protein and a GTP or UTP molecule (149). Single-particle cryo-EM imaging shows a structure where the NiRAN domain of nsp12 interacts with an nsp7+nsp8 heterodimer as well as a second nsp8 (44). The interaction with nsp7 and nsp8 cofactors seems to help stabilize the nsp12 RNA binding region as well as extending the RNA-binding surface (44). Genetic studies also supported the essential role of this nucleotidylating activity of nsp12 in EAV and SARS-CoV replication (149); however, the exact function of this activity is still unknown.

The SARS-CoV nsp12 RdRp activity was investigated after the outbreak of the SARS epidemic in 2002-2003 by several groups. Early studies using recombinant nsp12 showed a primer-dependent RNA polymerase activity (118, 119, 150). This primer-dependent RdRp activity of nsp12 was proposed to work with the nsp8 primase for the viral genome synthesis (118, 150). However, biochemical data of nsp8 lacking the *de novo* initiation RNA synthesis activity could not fill the gap in virus replication cycles, as to how the virus maintains its 5’-end can not be explained. The biochemical assay by using recombinant nsp12 and nsp7/nsp8 cofactors showed *de novo* initiation ability of nsp12 (119), while the nsp8 primase activity could not be detected. Cryo-EM structure of this complex supported the *de novo* initiation activity for the nsp12 polymerase. This structure clearly showed that the active site of the nsp8 primase could not fit into the nsp12 RNA synthesis pocket (44), further confirmed the biochemical finding that nsp8 does not have primase activity.

**Nsp13: the helicase**

Helicases are the enzymes that unwind double-stranded DNAs or RNAs (151, 152). RNA viruses encode RNA helicases (153) or recruit host alternatives (154-156) to promote their genome replication and viral gene expression. Apart from the “pro-viral” functions, RNA helicases are also involved in host antiviral responses (157). Explicitly, both animal and plant host innate immune systems encode RNA helicases to recognize and respond to foreign double-stranded RNA in the cytoplasm (157-160).

Coronavirus nsp13 encodes a C-terminal helicase domain that belongs to the superfamily one helicases (152). While at the N-terminus of nsp13 is a zinc-binding domain (ZBD), which is conserved among the members in *Nidovirales* (142, 161, 162). Nsp13 exhibits both RNA and DNA duplex unwinding activities in vitro shown by the biochemical study of recombinant nsp13 from HCoV-229E (163, 164) and SARS-CoV (165, 166). Nsp13 unwinds its substrates in a 5’-3’ direction by using the energy generated from NTPs and dNTPs hydrolysis, with the most effectivity towards ATP, dATP, and GTP. Transient kinetic analysis showed that SARS-CoV nsp13 unwinds nucleic acid in discrete steps of 9.3 bp each, with a catalytic rate of 30 steps per second (167). Moreover, the unwinding activity can be enhanced 2-fold by nsp12 through nsp12-nsp13 interaction (167). Nsp13 preferentially binds to 5’-overhang and processes the double-strand with higher activity (168). ZBD is essential for helicase activity, and replacement of conserved ZBD Cys and His residues disrupted ATPase activities of HCoV-229E nsp13 (161). In addition to NTPase and dNTPase activities, RNA 5’-triphosphatase activity was discovered for HCoV-229E and SARS-CoV nsp13, which may catalyze the first step in the formation of the 5’-cap structure of viral RNAs (164, 165). The NTPase activity of arterivirus nsp10, the homolog of coronavirus nsp13, is essential to the viral survival (169). While mutation (A335V) on the RNA binding channel of MHV nsp13 conferred decreased viral replication both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (170).

**Nsp14: dual-functional RNA modifier**

The CoV nsp14 has dual functions in viral RNA processing (142). The N-terminus of nsp14 is a 3’-5’ exonuclease (ExoN) (19). Nsp14 ExoN belongs to the
DEDD superfamily of exonucleases. The exonuclease activity that acts on both ssRNA and dsRNA, but can not hydrolyze DNA or ribose- 2'-O-methylated RNA substrates in vitro (171). The ExoN activity can be stimulated to >35 fold by interacting with nsp10, and this complex can release one mismatched nucleotide from the 3'-end of the newly synthesized RNA strand (172). Coronavirus nsp14 ExoN activity is involved in the RNA proofreading during viral replication. Mutant MHV or SARS-CoV with deficient ExoN activity displays a higher mutation rate and lower replication fidelity (173, 174). Moreover, ExoN is related to the host innate immune response. MHV lacking ExoN activity showed increased sensitivity to cellular pretreatment with IFN-β (175). On the contrary, TGEV nsp14 probably is responsible for the induction of IFN-β production through interaction with cellular RNA helicase DDX1 (176).

The C-terminus of nsp14 encodes for the guanosine N7-methyltransferase activity (177). When SARS-CoV or TGEV nsp14 was overexpressed in yeast null mutant of mRNA guanine 7-methyltransferase abd1, the growth-deficient phenotype was restored (177). The nsp14 specifically methylates GTP, dGTP, or the inverted guanosine molecule attached to the 5’-end of RNA (178). When a point mutation at the MTase domain (D331A) was incorporated into SARS-CoV replicon carrying a luciferase reporter, the luciferase activity dropped to 10%, and the subgenomic RNA accumulation dropped to 19% of the wt level (177). The defect in viral transcription and gene expression is likely due to the unstable viral RNA produced by the virus.

**Nsp15: endoribonuclease**

Nsp15 is a uridine-specific endoribonuclease (EndoU) that processes RNA in the viral replication/transcription complex (RTC) (31). It is a signature molecular marker for vertebrate Nidovirales (179, 180), as insect nidoviruses and viruses outside the Nidovirales do not encode such endoribonuclease (19, 181-183).

Nsp15 forms a hexamer (46, 48, 184) that depends on manganese as a cofactor for its ribonuclease activity (185-187). Nsp15 can process both ssRNA and dsRNA, but not DNA (185). Blocking the 5’- or the 3’- ends of substrate RNAs did not prevent the RNA degradation, suggestive of the activity is mainly towards the middle (endo) portion of the RNA substrate (185, 188). A mass spectrometry analysis of SARS-CoV nsp15 digested RNA products revealed that the major RNA cleavage site is the 3’ of the uridylicate. The 3’ of cytidylate in favored sequence contexts can also be the site for cleavage (189). The hexamer form of SARS-CoV nsp15 was found to be responsible for RNA binding (189). Other studies on MHV nsp15 showed a higher bonding affinity to RNA and similar ribonuclease activity of the monomer form (47).

Early genetic attempts using mutations of the vital amino acids in the MHV nsp15 catalytic pocket found that nsp15 deficient caused decreased RNA replication and viral growth in cell culture (190). Breakthrough in understanding its unique function was made a decade later by testing viral replication in mouse macrophages and in vivo (24, 191). The nsp15 EndoU-deficient mutant MHV stimulated an early induction of cytosolic dsRNA during infection, led to robust induction of IFN-I and PKR-mediated apoptosis, and exhibited impaired viral growth (24, 191). Moreover, the infection of the mutant virus was restricted in primary cells in vivo and could not efficiently spread (24). Thus the endoribonuclease activity of nsp15 promotes digestion of excessive viral dsRNAs at the replication sites and mediates viral evasion of host dsRNA-mediated innate immunity at the early stage of infection (186).

**Nsp16: 2′-O-methyltransferase**

Nsp16 encodes 2′-O-methyltransferase, which can methylate the RNA cap at ribose 2′-O positions resulting in a cap-1 structure (192). The 2′-O-MTase activity was predicted for SARS-CoV nsp16 by 3D modeling soon after the SARS epidemic in 2003 (192). However, the 2′-O-MTase activity was first demonstrated for FCoV nsp16 (193). On the contrary, SARS-CoV nsp16 along is inactive. It requires nsp10 as a cofactor to bind to SAM and m7GppAG-RNA substrate (137) and depends on nsp10 for its 2′-O-MTase activity (139). The crystal structure of SARS-CoV nsp16 posts a non-canonical SAM-dependent 2′-O-MTase structure lacking two out of seven α-helices, which may explain the requirements of nsp10 for its activity (60, 137).

SARS-CoV or MERS-CoV nsp16 mutants that contain mutations on conserved KDKE motif strongly attenuated viral infection in vitro and in vivo (34, 194). As common strategies among various RNA viruses to counteract innate immunity (192), the cap-1 type of modification help coronavirus evade the RNA recognition machinery and the antiviral responses mediated by IFN-I (34, 194).

Viruses defective in the 2′-O-MTase activity showed increased sensitivity to IFN-I treatment comparing to the wt virus (34, 194). Host cytoplasmic RNA sensor Mda5 was shown to recognize those viral transcripts produced by the nsp16 mutant virus, as in the absence of Mda5, the replication and virulence of the mutant virus was restored (34, 194).

**Nonstructural proteins are useful drug targets**

Phylogenetic studies and serological evidence shows that the human-infecting betacoronaviruses, including highly pathogenic SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and MERS-CoV, have animal origins (9, 63, 195-197). Bats are identified as the natural reservoirs for human coronaviruses (198-200). Bats usually do not display signs of disease when infected with coronaviruses and have evolved an immune system that allows virus propagation (201, 202). Due to the increasing human activities and global warming that result in the changing of bat habitats, the emergency of new zoonotic coronaviral diseases are very likely to occur (199-201). This consensus demands the development of novel anticonviral medicines. The nonstructural proteins or the viral replication processes of coronaviruses have been shown as potential antiviral drug targets (203).
During the epidemic of SARS in 2003, structure simulation of SARS-CoV nsps 3C\textsuperscript{pro} suggested that the nsps substrate-binding sites are conserved with rhinovirus 3C\textsuperscript{pro} and can be targeted with the antiviral drug AG7808 (204). Although AG7808 was soon demonstrated to be ineffective in inhibiting SARS-CoV replication (205), the HIV protease inhibitor lopinavir-ritonavir showed broad-spectrum antiviral activity targeting SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV 3C\textsuperscript{pro}, HCoV-229E, and HCoV-NL63 (64, 206-212). Immediately after the COVID-19 outbreak, several clinical studies for the use of protease inhibitors, such as lopinavir-ritonavir, darunavir-cobicistat, and ASC09F were started (213, 214). Unfortunately, one recently completed clinical trial showed that lopinavir-ritonavir treatment provides no therapeutic benefit to hospitalized severe COVID-19 adult patients (215).

Similar to nsps 3C\textsuperscript{pro}, the PL\textsuperscript{pro} domain of nsps3 is also a drug target (216-218). Among the PL\textsuperscript{pro} inhibitors, disulfiram is clinically available for chronic alcohol dependence relief (216).

The coronaval nsp12 RdRp serves as an important drug target. Nucleotide analogs can directly compete with nucleotide substrates of RdRp, resulting in the halt of the reaction as well as disruption of the viral replication (147). A recent report showed that remdesivir, an adenosine analog, can efficiently inhibit viral infection in SARS-CoV-2 sensitive Huh-7 cell (219). The first SARS-CoV-2 patient in the United States administered remdesivir under the protocol of “compassionate use” and showed improved clinical conditions in about 24 hours and finally discharged from hospital, suggestive of a possible efficacy of remdesivir against coronavirus in this individual case (8).

The uses of remdesivir and several other RdRp inhibitors for the treatment of COVID-19 are currently in clinical trials (213, 214).

Helicase domain of nsp13 also showed promise as a potential target. SSYA10-001, a 1, 2, 4-triazole derivative, can block the unwinding activity of nsp13 in a non-competitive manner (220), while myricetin and scutellarein suppress the ATPase activity of nsp13 (221). Furthermore, the adamantane-derived bananins can inhibit both ATPase and helicase activity of nsp13 and cause decreased viral infection in cell culture (222). However, none of these drug candidates went to clinical trials by the end of February 2020 (214).

Summary
Significant progress has been made in the understanding of the coronavirus nonstructural proteins, especially after the SARS epidemic in 2003. Most of the studies utilized cultured cells to investigate coronavirus infection, but the transgenic humanized mouse model also played critical roles in dissecting viral pathogenesis as well as aiding drug discoveries (223-225). These studies of coronaval nonstructural proteins provided in-depth knowledge of how the viruses establish their infection and will continue to aid the discovery of new drugs effectively against coronaviruses.

Acknowledgements
The authors thank Dr. Zhenghe Li (Zhejiang University, China), Ms. Jiakai Zhang, Mr. Wang Zhang, Ms. Lingyun Zhou, and Ms. Ruoning Jin for the critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31770164), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20180039), the Program for Jiangsu Excellent Scientific and Technological Innovation Team (17CXTD00014), and the Priority Academic Program Development (PAPD) of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

Conflict of interest
The authors declare no conflict of interest concerning this review.

References
1. Cyranoski D. China joins investigation of mystery pneumonia. Nature. 2003;42(6931):459.
2. Pearson H. Mystery virus slow to yield its identity as patient numbers rise. Nature. 2003;422(6930):364.
3. Assiri A, McGeer A, Perl TM, Price CS, Al Rabeeah AA, Cummings DA, et al. Hospital outbreak of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(5):407-16.
4. Zaki AM, van Boheemen S, Bestebroer TM, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. Isolation of a novel coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. N Engl J Med. 2012;367(19):1814-20.
5. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(8):727-33.
6. Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, Gao GF. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. Lancet. 2020;395(10223):470-3.
7. Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, Niu P, Yang B, Wu H, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet. 2020;395(10224):565-74.
8. Holmes ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, Lofy KH, Wiesman J, Bruce H, et al. First Case of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in the United States. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(10):929-36.
9. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Wang Y, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. 2020.
10. International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses., King AMQ. Virus taxonomy : classification and nomenclature of viruses : ninth report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. London ; Waltham, MA: Academic Press; 2012. x, 1327 p.
11. Pene F, Merlat A, Vabret A, Rozenberg F, Buzyn A, Dreyfus F, et al. Coronavirus 229E-related pneumonia in immunocompromised patients. Clin Infect Dis. 2003;37(7):929-32.
12. van der Hoek L, Pyrc K, Jubbink MF, Vermeulen-Oost W, Berkhou RJ, Wolthers KG, et al. Identification of a new human coronavirus. Nat Med. 2004;10(4):368-73.
13. Lau SK, Lee P, Tsang AK, Yip CC, Tse H, Lee RA, et al. Molecular epidemiology of human coronavirus OC43 reveals evolution of different genotypes over time and recent emergence of a novel genotype due to natural recombination. J Virol. 2011;85(21):11255-37.
14. Woo PC, Lau SK, Chu CM, Chan KH, Tsoi HW, Huang Y, et al. Characterization and complete genome sequence of a novel coronavirus, coronavirus HKU1, from patients with pneumonia. J Virol. 2005;79(2):884-95.
15. Marra MA, Jones SJ, Astell CR, Holt RA, Brooks-Wilson A, Butterfield YS, et al. The Genome sequence of the SARS-associated coronavirus. Science. 2003;300(5624):1399-404.
16. Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of V. The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) as a new species. J Virol. 2004;78(6):3620-30.
syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. Nat Microbiol. 2020 Mar 2. [Epub ahead of print].

17. Masters PS. The molecular biology of coronaviruses. Adv Virus Res. 2006;66:193-292.

18. Fehr AR, Perlman S. Coronaviruses: an overview of their replication and pathogenesis. Methods Mol Biol. 2015;1282:1-23.

19. Lauber C, Goeman JJ, Parquet Mdel C, Nga PT, Snijder EJ, Morita K, et al. The footprint of genome architecture in the largest genome expansion in RNA viruses. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(7):e1003500.

20. Wu A, Peng Y, Huang B, Ding X, Wang X, Niu P, et al. Genome composition and divergence of the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) originating in China. Cell Host Microbe. 2020 Mar 11;27(3):325-28.

21. Yang X, Chen X, Bian G, Tu J, Xing Y, Wang Y, et al. Proteolytic processing, deubiquitination and interferon antagonist activities of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus papain-like protease. J Gen Virol. 2014;95(3):814-26.

22. Lin PY, Chou CY, Chang HC, Hsu WC, Chang GG. Correlation between dissociation and catalysis of SARS-CoV main protease. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2008;472(1):34-42.

23. van Hemert MJ, van den Worm SH, Knoops K, Mommaas AM, Gorbalenya AE, Snijder EJ. SARS-coronavirus replication/transcription complexes are membrane-protected and need a host factor for activity in vitro. PLoS Pathog. 2008;4(5):e100054.

24. Kinkler E, Gil-Cruz C, Spanier J, Li Y, Wilhelm J, Rabouw HH, et al. Early endonuclease-mediated eversion of RNA sensing ensures efficient coronavirus replication. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(2):e1006195.

25. Smith MC, Case JB, Blanc H, Isakov O, Shomron N, Vignuzzi M, et al. Mutations in coronavirus nonstructural protein 10 decrease virus replication fidelity. J Virol. 2015;89(12):6418-26.

26. Zhai Y, Sun F, Li X, Peng H, Xu X, Bartlam M, et al. Insights into the structure of SARS-CoV transcription and replication from the nsp7-nsp8 hexadecamer. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2005;12(11):980-6.

27. Sparks JS, Lu X, Denison MR. Genetic analysis of Murine hepatitis virus nsp4 in virus replication. J Virol. 2007;81(22):12554-63.

28. Knoops K, Kikkert M, Worm SH, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, van der Meer Y, Koster AJ, et al. SARS-coronavirus replication is supported by a reticulovesicular network of modified endoplasmic reticulum. PLoS Biol. 2008;6(9):e226.

29. Fehr AR, Jankevicius G, Ahel I, Perlman S. Viral proteins. mBio. 2017;8(1).

30. Fehr AR, Jankevicius G, Ahel I, Perlman S. Coronaviruses: an overview of their replication-transcription complex. Virology. 2005;344(2):614-26.

31. Masters PS. The molecular biology of coronaviruses. Adv Virus Res. 2008;79(21):13399-411.

32. Deubiquitinating and interferon antagonism activities of coronavirus papain-like proteases. J Virol. 2015;290(5):3172-82.

33. Clementz MA, Chen Z, Banach BS, Wang Y, Sun L, Ratia K, et al. Deubiquitinating and interferon antagonism activities of coronavirus papain-like proteases. J Virol. 2010;84(9):4919-29.

34. Oostra M, Hagemeijer MC, van Gent M, Bekker CP, te Lintelo EG, Rottler PJ, et al. Topology and membrane anchoring of the coronavirus replication complex: not all hydrophobic domains of nsp3 and nsp6 are membrane spanning. J Virol. 2008;82(24):12392-405.

35. Huang C, Wang Y, Wang X, Li R, Ren W, et al. A novel coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome. Lancet. 2003;361(9379):1767-72.

36. Ray PJ, Maurya A, Sahu SK, Sarangi TK, et al. Identification of a novel coronavirus from domestic cats. Virus Genes. 2008;37(2):165-70.

37. Zhu X, Fang L, Wang D, Yang Y, Chen J, Ye X, et al. porcine deltacoronavirus nsp5 inhibits interferon-beta production through the cleavage of NEMO. Virology. 2017;502:33-8.

38. Zhu X, Wang D, Zhou J, Pan T, Chen J, Yang Y, et al. Porcine deltacoronavirus nsp5 Activates type I Interferon Signaling by Cleaving STAT2. J Virol. 2017;91(10).

39. Zhu X, Wang D, Zhou J, Pan T, Chen J, Yang Y, et al. Infectious Peritonitis Virus Nsp5 Inhibits Type I Interferon Production by Cleaving NEMO at Multiple Sites. Viruses. 2019;12(1).

40. Zhu X, Wang D, Zhou J, Pan T, Chen J, Yang Y, et al. The foot print of genome architecture in the largest genome expansion in RNA viruses. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(7):e1003500.

41. Athmer J, Fehr AR, Grunewald M, Smith EC, Denison MR, et al. Early endonuclease-mediated eversion of RNA sensing ensures efficient coronavirus replication. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(2):e1006195.

42. Ooto M, Hagemiejer MC, van Gent M, Bekker CP, te Lintelo EG, Rottler PJ, et al. Topology and membrane anchoring of the coronavirus replication complex: not all hydrophobic domains of nsp3 and nsp6 are membrane spanning. J Virol. 2008;82(24):12392-405.

43. Hagemiejer MC, Verheij M, Ulasli M, Shaltiel IA, de Vries LA, Reggiori F, et al. Dynamics of coronavirus replication-transcription complexes. J Virol. 2010;84(4):2134-49.

44. Kirchdoerfer RN, Ward AB. Structure of the SARS-CoV nsp12 polymerase bound to nsp7 and nsp8 co-factors. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):2342.

45. Sutton G, Fry E, Carter L, Sainsbury S, Walter T, Nettleship J, et al. The nsp9 replicase protein of SARS-coronavirus, structure and functional insights. Structure. 2004;12(2):341-53.

46. Joseph JS, Saikatendu KS, Subramanian V, Neuman BW, Buchmeier MJ, Stevens RC, et al. Crystal structure of a monomeric form of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus endonuclease nsp15 suggests a role for hexamerization as an allosteric switch. J Virol. 2007;81(12):6700-8.

47. Xu X, Zhai Y, Sun F, Lou Z, Su D, Xu Y, et al. New antiviral target revealed by the hexameric structure of mouse hepatitis virus nonstructural protein nsp15. J Virol. 2006;80(16):7909-17.

48. Ricagno S, Egloff MP, Ulferts R, Coutard B, Nurizzo D, Campanacci V, et al. Crystal structure and mechanistic determinants of SARS coronavirus nonstructural protein 15 define an endoribonuclease family. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(32):11892-7.

49. Su D, Lou Z, Sun F, Zhai Y, Yang H, Zhang R, et al. Dodecamer structure of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nonstructural protein 10. J Virol. 2006;80(16):7902-8.

50. Joseph JS, Saikatendu KS, Subramanian V, Neuman BW, Broun A, Griffith M, et al. Crystal structure of nonstructural protein 10 from the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus reveals a novel fold with two zinc-binding motifs. J Virol. 2006;80(16):7894-901.

51. Hu T, Zhang Y, Li L, Wang K, Chen S, Chen J, et al. Two adjacent mutations on the dimer interface of SARS
coronavirus 3C-like protease cause different conformational changes in crystal structure. Virology. 2009;388(2):324-34.

52. Serrano P, Johnson MA, Chatterjee A, Neuman BW, Joseph JS, Buchmeier MJ, et al. Nuclear magnetic resonance structure of the nucleic acid-binding domain of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nonstructural protein 3. J Virol. 2009;83(24):12998-3008.

53. Baez-Santos YM, St John SE, Mesecar AD. The SARS-coronavirus papain-like protease: structure, function and inhibition by designed antiviral compounds. Antiviral Res. 2015;115:21-38.

54. Cho CC, Lin MH, Chuang CY, Hsu CH. Macro domain from middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV)ys an efficient ADP-ribose binding module: crystal structure and biochemical studies. J Biol Chem. 2016;291(10):4894-902.

55. Xu Y, Cong L, Chen C, Wei L, Zhao Q, Xu X, et al. Crystal structures of two coronavirus ADP-ribose-1''-monophosphatases and their complexes with ADP-Ribose: a systematic structural analysis of the viral ADRP domain. J Virol. 2009;83(2):1083-92.

56. Piotrowski Y, Hansen G, Boomaars-van der Zanden AL, Snijder EJ, Gorbalenya AE, Hilgenfeld R. Crystal structures of the X-domains of a Group-1 and a Group-3 coronavirus reveal that ADP-ribose-binding may not be a conserved property. Protein Sci. 2009;18(1):6-16.

57. Keane SC, Giedroc DP. Solution structure of mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) nsP3a and determinants of the interaction with MHV nucleocapsid (N) protein. J Virol. 2013;87(6):3502-15.

58. Serrano P, Johnson MA, Almeida MS, Horst R, Herrmann T, Joseph JS, et al. Nuclear magnetic resonance structure of the N-terminal domain of nonstructural protein 3 from the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J Virol. 2007;81(21):12040-9.

59. Han W, Li X, Fu X. The macro domain protein family: structure, functions, and their potential therapeutic implications. Mutat Res. 2011;727(3):86-103.

60. Subissi L, Imbert I, Ferron F, Collet A, Coutard B, Decroly E, et al. SARS-CoV ORF1b-encoded nonstructural proteins 12-16: replicative enzymes as antiviral targets. Antiviral Res. 2014;101:122-30.

61. Lundin A, Dijkman R, Bergstrom T, Kann N, Adamiak B, et al. Alterations in membrane-bound viral RNA synthesis reveals potent inhibition of diverse coronaviruses including the middle east respiratory syndrome virus. PLoS Pathog. 2014;10(5):e1004166.

62. Pfefferle S, Schopf J, Kogl M, Friedel CC, Muller MA, Carbajo-Lozoya J, et al. The SARS-coronavirus-host interactome: identification of cyclophilins as target for pan-coronavirus inhibitors. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(10):e1002331.

63. Chan JF, Kok KH, Zhu Z, Chu H, To KK, Yuan S, et al. Genomic characterization of the 2019 novel human-pathogenic coronavirus isolated from a patient with atypical pneumonia after visiting Wuhan. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020;9(1):221-36.

64. Klianski A, Mielech AM, Deng X, Baker SC. Assessing activity and inhibition of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus papain-like and 3C-like proteases using luciferase-based biosensors. J Virol. 2013;87(21):11955-62.

65. Prentice E, McAuliffe J, Lu X, Subbarao K, Denison MR. Identification and characterization of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus replicate protease. J Virol. 2004;78(18):9777-86.

66. Kamitani W, Narayanan K, Huang C, Lokugamage K, Ikegami T, Ito N, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nsP1 protein suppresses host gene expression by promoting host mRNA degradation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(34):12885-90.

67. Zust R, Cervantes-Barragan L, Kuri T, Blakogi G, Weber F, Ludewig B, et al. Coronavirus non-structural protein 1 is a major pathogenicity factor: implications for the rational design of coronavirus vaccines. PLoS Pathog. 2007;3(8):e109.

68. Tohya Y, Narayanan K, Kamitani W, Huang C, Lokugamage K, Makino S. Suppression of host gene expression by nsP1 proteins of group 2 bat coronaviruses. J Virol. 2009;83(10):5282-8.

69. Kamitani W, Huang C, Narayanan K, Lokugamage KG, Makino S. A two-pronged strategy to suppress host protein synthesis by SARS coronavirus Nsp1 protein. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2009;16(11):1134-40.

70. Huang C, Lokugamage KG, Rozovics JM, Narayanan K, Semler BL, Makino S. SARS coronavirus nsP1 protein induces template-dependent endonucleolytic cleavage of mRNAs: viral mRNAs are resistant to nsP1-induced RNA cleavage. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(12):e1002433.

71. Gaglia MM, Covarrubias S, Wong W, Glaunsinger BA. A common strategy for host RNA degradation by divergent viruses. J Virol. 2012;86(17):9527-30.

72. Tanaka T, Kamitani W, DeDiego ML, Enjuanes L, Matsuura Y. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nsP1 facilitates efficient propagation in cells through a specific translational shutoff of host mRNA. J Virol. 2012;86(20):11128-37.

73. Terada Y, Kawachi K, Matsuura Y, Kamitani W. MERS coronavirus nsP1 participates in an efficient propagation through a specific interaction with viral RNA. Virology. 2017;511:95-105.

74. MenacheryVD, Graham RL, Baric RS. Jumping species—a mechanism for coronavirus persistence and survival. Curr Opin Virol. 2017;23:1-7.

75. Graham RL, Sims AC, Baric RS, Denison MR. The nsP2 proteins of mouse hepatitis virus and SARS coronavirus are dispensable for viral replication. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2006;581:67-72.

76. Gadijje MJ, Graham RL, Denison MR. Murine coronaviruses encoding nsP2 at different genomic loci have altered replication, protein expression, and localization. J Virol. 2008;82(23):11964-9.

77. AngeliniMM, Akhlagahtour M, Neuman BW, Buchmeier MJ. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nonstructural proteins 3, 4, and 6 induce double-membrane vesicles. mBio. 2013;4(4).

78. Hagemeijer MC, Monastyrskaya I, Griffin J, van der Sluijs P, Voortman J, Van Bergen EnHENegouwen PM, et al. Membrane rearrangements mediated by coronavirus nonstructural proteins 3 and 4. Virology. 2014;458-459:125-35.

79. Hurst KR, Koetzner CA, Masters PS. Characterization of a critical interaction between the coronavirus nucleocapsid protein and nonstructural protein 3 of the viral replicase-transcriptase complex. J Virol. 2013;87(16):9195-72.

80. Hurst KR, Ye R, Goebel SJ, Jayaraman P, Masters PS. An interaction between the nucleocapsid protein and a component of the replicase-transcriptase complex is crucial for the infectivity of coronavirus genomic RNA. J Virol. 2010;84(19):10276-88.

81. Fehr AR, Athmer J, Channappanavar R, Phillips JM, Meyerholz DK, Perlman S. The nsp3 macromodule promotes virulence in mice with coronavirus-induced encephalitis. J Virol. 2015;89(3):1523-36.

82. Lei J, Kusov Y, Hilgenfeld R. Nsp3 of coronaviruses: Structures and functions of a large multi-domain protein. J Biol Chem. 2009;284(22):15203-12.

83. Terada Y, Kawachi K, Matsuura Y, Kamitani W. MERS coronavirus nsP1 participates in an efficient propagation through a specific interaction with viral RNA. Virology. 2017;511:95-105.

84. MenacheryVD, Graham RL, Baric RS. Jumping species—a mechanism for coronavirus persistence and survival. Curr Opin Virol. 2017;23:1-7.

85. Graham RL, Sims AC, Baric RS, Denison MR. The nsP2 proteins of mouse hepatitis virus and SARS coronavirus are dispensable for viral replication. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2006;581:67-72.

86. Gadijje MJ, Graham RL, Denison MR. Murine coronaviruses encoding nsP2 at different genomic loci have altered replication, protein expression, and localization. J Virol. 2008;82(23):11964-9.

87. AngeliniMM, Akhlagahtour M, Neuman BW, Buchmeier MJ. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nonstructural proteins 3, 4, and 6 induce double-membrane vesicles. mBio. 2013;4(4).

88. Hagemeyer MC, Monastyrskaya I, Griffin J, van der Sluijs P, Voortman J, Van Bergen EnHENegouwen PM, et al. Membrane rearrangements mediated by coronavirus nonstructural proteins 3 and 4. Virology. 2014;458-459:125-35.

89. Hurst KR, Koetzner CA, Masters PS. Characterization of a critical interaction between the coronavirus nucleocapsid protein and nonstructural protein 3 of the viral replicase-transcriptase complex. J Virol. 2013;87(16):9195-72.

90. Hurst KR, Ye R, Goebel SJ, Jayaraman P, Masters PS. An interaction between the nucleocapsid protein and a component of the replicase-transcriptase complex is crucial for the infectivity of coronavirus genomic RNA. J Virol. 2010;84(19):10276-88.
87. Koonin EV, Gorbatenya AE, Purdy MA, Rozanov MN, Reyes GR, Bradley DW. Computer-assisted assignment of functional domains in the nonstructural polyprotein of hepatitis E virus: delineation of an additional group of positive-strand RNA plant and animal viruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1992;89(17):8259-63.

88. Gorbatenya AE, Koonin EV, Lai MM. Putative papain-related thiol proteases of positive-strand RNA viruses. Identification of rubi- and aphthovirus proteases and delineation of a novel conserved domain associated with proteases of rubi-, alpha-, and coronaviruses. FEBS Lett. 1991;288(1-2):201-5.

89. Dominguez G, Wang CY, Frey TK. Sequence of the genome RNA of rubella virus: evidence for genome rearrangement during togavirus evolution. Virology. 1990;177(1):225-38.

90. Saikatendu KS, Joseph JS, Subramanian V, Clayton T, Griffith M, Myo K, et al. Structural basis of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus ADP-ribose-1'-phosphate dephosphorylation by a conserved domain of nsP3. Structure. 2005;13(11):1665-75.

91. Egloff MP, Malet H, Pultits A, Heinonen M, Dutartre H, Putics A, et al. Histone macroH2A1 is concentrated in the inactive X chromosome of female mammals. Nature. 1998;393(6685):599-601.

92. Li C, Debing Y, Jankevicius G, Neyts J, Ahel I, Coutard B, et al. Viral Macro Domains Reverse Protein ADP-Ribosylation. J Virol. 2016;90(19):8478-86.

93. Neuman BW. Bioinformatics and functional analyses of coronavirus nonstructural proteins involved in the formation of replicative organellae. Antiviral Res. 2016;135:97-107.

94. Johnson MA, Chatterjee A, Neuman BW, Wuthrich K. SARS coronavirus unique domain: three-domain molecular architecture in solution and RNA binding. J Mol Biol. 2010;400(4):724-42.

95. Tan J, Kusov Y, Mutschall D, Tech S, Nagarajan K, Hilgenfeld R, et al. The "SARS-unique domain" (SUD) of SARS coronavirus is an oligo(G)-binding protein. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2007;364(4):877-82.

96. Neuman BW. Bioinformatics and functional analyses of coronavirus nonstructural proteins involved in the formation of replicative organellae. Antiviral Res. 2016;135:97-107.

97. Bencze KZ, Kondapalli KC, Cook JD, McMahon S, Millan-Pacheco C, Pastor N, et al. The structure and function of frataxin. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol. 2006;41(5):269-91.

98. Chen Y, Savinov SN, Mielech AM, Cao T, Baker SC, Mesecar AD. X-ray Structural and Functional Studies of the Three Tandemly Linked Domains of Non-structural Protein 3 (nsP3) from Murine Hepatitis Virus Reveal Conserved Functions. J Biol Chem. 2015;290(42):25293-306.

99. Frieman M, Ratia K, Johnston RE, Mesecar AD, Baric RS. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus papain-like protease ubiquitin-like domain and catalytic domain regulate antagonism of IRF3 and NF-kappaB signaling. J Virol. 2004;78(4):2124-30.

100. Mielech AM, Kilianski A, Baez-Santos YM, Mesecar AD, Baker SC. MERS-CoV papain-like protease has antagonism of IRF3 and NF-kappaB signaling. J Virol. 2010;84(1):280-90.

101. Gorbalenya AE, Koonin EV, Lai MM. Putative papain-related thiol proteases of positive-strand RNA viruses. Identification of rubi- and aphthovirus proteases and delineation of a novel conserved domain associated with proteases of rubi-, alpha-, and coronaviruses. FEBS Lett. 1991;288(1-2):201-5.

102. Oudshoorn D, Rijks L, Limprens R, Groen K, Koster AJ, Snijder EJ, et al. Expression and Cleavage of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus nsP3-4 Polyprotein Induce the Formation of Double-Membrane Vesicles That Mimic Those Associated with Coronaviral RNA Replication. mBio. 2017;8(6).

103. La J, Rasko J, Wimp R, Cox RG, Doyle JD, Petrit CC, et al. Murine hepatitis virus nonstructural protein 4 regulates virus-induced membrane modifications and replication complex function. J Virol. 2010;84(1):280-90.

104. Keya Y, Kawachi K, Terada Y, Oomi H, Matsuura Y, Kamitani W. Two-amino acids change in the nsP4 of SARS coronavirus abolishes viral replication. Virology. 2017;510:165-74.

105. Zhang S, Zhong N, Xue F, Kang X, Ren X, Chen J, et al. Three-dimensional domain swapping as a mechanism to lock the active conformation in a super-active octamer of SARS-CoV main protease. Protein Cell. 2010;1(4):371-83.

106. Shi J, Sivaraman J, Song J. Mechanism for controlling the dimer-monomer switch and coupling dimerization to catalysis of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 3C-like protease. J Virol. 2008;82(9):4620-9.

107. Fan K, Wei P, Feng Q, Chen S, Huang C, Ma L, et al. Biosynthesis, purification, and substrate specificity of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 3C-like protease. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(3):1637-44.

108. Cottam EM, Maier HJ, Manifava M, Vaux LC, Chandra-Schonfelder P, Gerner W, et al. Coronavirus nsP6 proteins generate autophagosomes from the endoplasmic reticulum via an omegasome intermediate. Autophagy. 2011;7(11):1335-47.

109. Reggiori F, Monastyr ska I, Verheije MH, Cali T, Ulasli M, Bianchi S, et al. Coronavirus Hijack the LC3-I-positive EDEMo somes, ER-derived vesicles exporting short-lived ERAD regulators, for replication. Cell Host Microbe. 2010;7(6):500-9.

110. Zhang S, Zhong N, Xue F, Kang X, Ren X, Chen J, et al. Three-dimensional domain swapping as a mechanism to lock the active conformation in a super-active octamer of SARS-CoV main protease. Protein Cell. 2010;1(4):371-83.

111. Deming DJ, Graham RL, Denison MR, Baric RS. Processing of open reading frame 1a replicase proteins nsP7 to nsP10 in murine hepatitis virus strain A59 replication. J Virol. 2007;81(19):10280-91.

112. Imbert I, Guillemot JC, Bouchis JM, Bussseta C, Coutard B, Egloff MP, et al. A second, non-canonical RNA-dependent RNA polymerase in SARS coronavirus. EMBO J. 2006;25(20):4933-42.

113. te Velthuis AJ, van den Worm SH, Snijder EJ, et al. The SARS-coronavirus nsP7+nsP8 complex is a unique multimeric RNA polymerase capable of both de novo initiation and primer extension. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40(4):1737-47.

114. Subissi L, Posthuma CC, Collet A, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, Gorbalenya AE, Decoly E, et al. One severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus protein complex integrates processive RNA polymerase and exonuclease activities. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(37):E3900-9.

115. Xiao Y, Ma Q, Restle T, Shang W, Svergun DI, Ponnusamy V, et al. SARS Coronavirus Papain-Like Protease Inhibits the TLR7 Signaling Pathway through Removing Lys63-Linked Polyubiquitination of TRAF3 and TRAF6. Int J Mol Sci. 2016;17(5).

116. Li S, Zhao Q, Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Bartlam M, Li X, et al. New nsP8 isoform suggests mechanism for tuning viral RNA replication and transcription. J Virol. 2012;86(8):4444-54.
123. Donaldson EF, Sims AC, Graham RL, Denison MR, Baric RS. Murine hepatitis virus replicase protein nsp10 is a single-stranded RNA-binding subunit unique in the coronavirus virus world. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(11):3792-6.
124. Pan J, Peng X, Gao Y, Li Z, Lu X, Chen Y, et al. Genome-wide analysis of protein-protein interactions and involvement of viral proteins in SARS-CoV replication. PLoS One. 2008;3(10):e3299.
125. Tan YW, Fung TS, Shen H, Huang M, Liu DX. Coronavirus infectious bronchiolitis virus non-structural proteins 8 and 12 form stable complex independent of the non-translated regions of viral RNA and other viral proteins. Virology. 2018;513:75-84.
126. von Brunn A, Teepe C, Simpson JC, Pepperkok R, Friedel V, Ferron F, Campanacci V, Longhi S, Rancurel C, Egloff MP, et al. In vitro reconstitution of SARS-coronavirus RNA helicases in the recognition of RNA viruses. Nature. 2000;200(4764):991-7.
127. Dalmay T, Horsefield R, Braunstein TH, Baulcombe D. The SARS coronavirus replicase NSP12 is primer dependent. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003;31(24):7117-30.
128. te Velthuis AJ, Arnold JJ, Cameron CE, van den Worm SH. Host RNA helicase DHX9 is critical for optimal genomic RNA translation. J Virol. 2019;93(4).
129. Matkovic R, Bernard E, Fontanel S, Eidin P, Chazal N, Hassan Hersi D, et al. The Host DHX9 DEAD-box Helicase Is Recruited to Chikungunya Virus Replication Complexes for Optimal Genomic RNA Translation. J Virol. 2019;93(4).
130. Wang Y, Chen X, Xie J, Zhou S, Huang Y, Li YP, et al. RNA helicase A Is an Important Host Factor Involved in Dengue Virus Replication. J Virol. 2019;93(4).
131. Daneix S, Daneix D, Malcovati L, Jung H, Baulcombe DC. SDE3 encodes an RNA helicase required for post-transcriptional gene silencing in Arabidopsis. EMBO J. 2001;20(8):2069-78.
132. Carmell MA, Hannon GJ. RNAIII enzymes and the transcriptional machinery of viral RNA viruses. Nature. 2005;437(7058):777-83.
133. Balachandran P, Zhang X, Shih C, Liu L, Ziebuhr J. Host RNA helicase A is involved in the recognition of viral RNA by the Nidovirales replicase complex. J Virol. 2005;79(15):9910-20.
134. Xu X, Liu Y, Weiss S, Arnold E, Sarafianos SG, Ding J. Molecular model of SARS coronavirus polymerase: implications for biochemical functions and drug design. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003;31(24):7117-30.
135. Ziebuhr J. The coronavirus replicase. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2000;247:1-24.
136. Ziebuhr J, Snijder EJ, Gorbalenya AE. Virus-encoded proteinases and proteolytic processing in the Nidovirales. J Gen Virol. 2000;81(1):1-8.
137. Miller WA, Koev G. Synthesis of subgenomic RNAs by plus-strand RNA viruses. Virology. 2000;273(1):1-8.
138. Canard B, et al. In vitro reconstitution of SARS-coronavirus RNA ribose 2'-O-methylation by nsp16/nsp10 complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(11):3792-6.
139. Zeng Z, Deng F, Shi K, Ye G, Wang G, Fang L, et al. Formation of stable complex independent of the non-translated regions of viral RNA and other viral proteins. Virology. 2010;405(1):23-38.
140. Li Q, Wang L, Dong C, Che Y, Jiang L, Liu L, et al. The interaction of the SARS coronavirus non-structural protein 10 with the cellular oxido-reductase system causes an extensive cytopathic effect. J Clin Virol. 2005;34(2):133-9.
141. Plant EP, Perez-Arellado GC, Jacobs JL, Mukhopadhyay B, Hennig M, Dinman JD. A three-stemmed mRNA pseudoknot in the SARS coronavirus frameshift signal. PLoS Biol. 2005;3(6):e172.
142. Sola I, Almazan F, Zuniga S, Enjuanes L. Continuous and Discontinuous RNA Synthesis in Coronaviruses. Annu Rev Virol. 2015;2(1):265-88.
143. Zibehr J, Snijder EJ, Gorbalenya AE. Virus-encoded proteinases and proteolytic processing in the Nidovirales. J Gen Virol. 2000;81(1):1-8.
144. Ziebuhr J. The coronavirus replicase. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2000;247:1-24.
initiation of gene silencing. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2004;11(3):214-8.

161. Seybert A, Posthuma CC, van Dinten LC, Snijder EJ, Gorbalenya AE, Ziebuhr J. A complex zinc finger controls the enzymatic activities of nidovirus helicases. J Virol. 2005;79(2):696-704.

162. Hao W, Woidyla JA, Zhao R, Han R, Das R, Zlatev I, et al. Crystal structure of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus helicase. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(6):e1006474.

163. Seybert A, Hegyi A, Siddell SG, Ziebuhr J. The human coronavirus 229E superfamily 1 helicase has RNA and DNA duplex-unwinding activities with 5'-to-3' polarity. RNA. 2000;6(7):1056-68.

164. Ivanov KA, Ziebuhr J. Human coronavirus 229E nonstructural protein 13: characterization of duplex-unwinding, nucleoside triphosphatase, and RNA 5'-triphosphatase activities. J Virol. 2004;78(14):7833-8.

165. Ivanov KA, Thiel V, Dobbe JC, van der Meer Y, Snijder EJ, Ziebuhr J. Multiple enzymatic activities associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus helicase. J Virol. 2004;78(11):5619-32.

166. Tanner JA, Watt RM, Chai YB, Lu LY, Lin MC, Peiris JS, et al. The severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus NTPase/helicase belongs to a distinct class of 5' to 3' viral helicases. J Biol Chem. 2003;278(41):39578-82.

167. Adedeji AO, Marchand B, Te Velthuis AJ, Snijder EJ, Weiss S, Eoff RL, et al. Mechanism of nucleic acid unwinding by SARS-CoV helicase. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e36521.

168. Lee NR, Kwon HM, Park K, Oh S, Jeong YJ, Kim DE. Human coronavirus 229E superfamily 1 helicase has RNA and DNA duplex unwinding activities. Front Immunol. 2017;8:940.

169. Seybert A, Posthuma CC, van Dinten LC, Snijder EJ, Horzinek MC. Genus Torovirus assigned to the family Astroviridae. Arch Virol. 2013;158(6):1557-65.

170. Kang H, Bhardwaj K, Li Y, Palaninathan S, Sacchettini JC, et al. Structural and functional analyses of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus Nsp15-encoded endoribonuclease. J Virol. 2004;78(22):12218-24.

171. Deng X, Baker SC. An "Old" protein with a new story: Coronavirus endoribonuclease is important for evading host antiviral defenses. Virol. 2016;517:157-63.

172. Zhang L, Li L, Yang Z, Liu S, Mou Z, Li Y, et al. Structural and Biochemical Characterization of Endoribonuclease Nsp15 Encoded by Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus. J Virol. 2018;92(22).

173. Menachery VD, Yount BL, Jr., Josset L, Gralinski LE, Scobey LM, Albott I, Subbisi L, Gluais L, Cantar D, Decroly E. Conventional and unconventional mechanisms for capping viral mRNA. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2011;10(1):51-65.

174. Decroly E, Ferron F, Lescar J, Bhardwaj K, Conventional and unconventional mechanisms for capping viral mRNA. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2011;10(1):51-65.

175. Decroly E, Imperot C, Coutard B, Bouvet M, Selisko B, Decroly E, RNA 3' end mismatch excision by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nonstructural protein nsp10/nsp14 exoribonuclease complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109(24):9372-7.

176. Minkska E, Hertzig T, Gorbalenya AE, Campanacci V, Cambillau C, Canard B, et al. Discovery of an RNA virus 3'->5' exoribonuclease that is critically involved in coronavirus RNA synthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(13):5108-13.

177. Decroly E, Imperot C, Subbisi L, Gluais L, Cantar D, Decroly E, RNA 3' end mismatch excision by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nonstructural protein nsp10/nsp14 exoribonuclease complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109(24):9372-7.

178. Ziebuhr J, Guarnier L, Saschettini JC, et al. Biochemical and genetic analyses of murine hepatitis virus Nsp15 endoribonuclease. J Virol. 2004;78(22):12218-24.

179. Deng X, Hackbart M, Mettelman RC, O'Brien A, Mielech AM, Yi G, et al. Coronavirus nonstructural protein 16 mediates evasion of dsRNA sensors and limits apoptosis in macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114(21):E4251-60.

180. Cavanagh D, Horzinek MC. Genus Torovirus assigned to the Astroviridae of the genus Torovirus. Arch Virol. 2012;157(8):1623-8.

181. Menachery VD, Yount BL, et al. Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus. J Virol. 2013;103(13):5108-13.

182. Nga TH, Parquet Mdel C, Lauber C, Nabeshima T, Yu F, et al. Discovery of the first insect nidovirus, a missing evolutionary link in the emergence of the largest RNA virus genomes. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(9):e1002215.

183. Reusken CB, Haagmans BL, Muller MA, Gutierrez C, et al. Serological evidence of MERS-CoV and HKU8-related CoV co-infection in Kenyan camels. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2019;8(1):1528-34.

184. Ge XY, Li JL, Yang XL, Chmura AA, Zhu G, Epstein JH, et al. Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus. Virus Res. 2013;176(1-2):45-52.

185. Seo HW, Seo HJ, Kim Z, Lee J, Kang H, Bhardwaj K, et al. Coronavirus nonstructural protein 16 is a cap-0 binding enzyme possessing (nucleoside-2'-O')-methyltransferase activity. J Virol. 2008;82(16):8071-84.

186. Menachery VD, Yount BL, Jr., Josselt L, Gralinski LE, Scobey T, Agnihotram, et al. Attenuation and restoration of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus mutant lacking 2'-O-methylation transfer activity. J Virol. 2014;88(8):4251-64.

187. Zhang W, Zheng XS, Agwand A, Zhao K, Lichoti A, et al. Structural and functional analyses of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nonstructural protein 15 mediates evasion of dsRNA sensors and limits apoptosis in macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114(21):E4251-60.

188. Decroly E, Ferron F, Lescar J, Bhardwaj K. Conventional and unconventional mechanisms for capping viral mRNA. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2011;10(1):51-65.

189. Menachery VD, Yount BL, et al. Coronavirus nonstructural protein 16 is a cap-0 binding enzyme possessing (nucleoside-2'-O')-methyltransferase activity. J Virol. 2008;82(16):8071-84.
coronavirus that uses the ACE2 receptor. Nature. 2013;503(7477):535-8.

198. Li W, Shi Z, Yu M, Ren W, Smith C, Epstein JH, et al. Bats are natural reservoirs of SARS-like coronaviruses. Science. 2005;310(5748):567-9.

199. Hu B, Ge X, Wang LF, Shi Z. Bat origin of human coronaviruses. Virol J. 2015;12:221.

200. Banerjee A, Kulcsar K, Misra V, Frieman M, Mossman K. Bats and Coronaviruses. Viruses. 2019;11(1).

201. Brook CE, Boots M, Chandran K, Dobson AP, Drosten C, Graham AL, et al. Accelerated viral dynamics in bat cell lines, with implications for zoonotic emergence. Elife. 2020;9.

202. Banerjee A, Baker ML, Kulcsar K, Misra V, Plowright R, Mossman K. Novel insights into immune systems of bats. Front Immunol. 2020;11:26.

203. Zumla A, Chan JF, Azhar EI, Hui DS, Yuen KY. Coronaviruses - drug discovery and therapeutic options. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2016;15(5):327-47.

204. Anand K, Ziebuhr J, Wadhwan P, Mesters JR, Hilgenfeld R. Coronavirus main proteinase (3CLpro) structure: basis for design of anti-SARS drugs. Science. 2003;300(5626):1763-7.

205. David A, Matthews, Amy K, Patick, Robert O, Baker, Mary A. Brothers, Peter S, Dragovich, Chris J, Hartmann, et al. In vitro antiviral activity of human rhinovirus 3C protease inhibitors against the SARS coronavirus. In: Knobler S, Mahmoud A, Lemon S, Mack A, Sivitz L, Oberhofer K, editors. Learning from SARS: Preparing for the Next Disease Outbreak: Workshop Summary. The National Academies Collection: Reports funded by National Institutes of Health. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2004.

206. Yang H, Xie W, Xue X, Yang K, Ma J, Liang W, et al. Design of wide-spectrum inhibitors targeting coronaviruses main proteases. PLoS Biol. 2005;3(10):e324.

207. Ren Z, Yan L, Zhang N, Guo Y, Yang C, Lou Z, et al. The newly emerged SARS-like coronavirus HCoV-EMC also has an "Achilles' heel": current effective inhibitor targeting a 3C-like protease. Protein Cell. 2013;4(4):248-50.

208. de Wilde AH, Jochmans D, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, van Nieuwkoop S, Bestebroer TM, et al. Screening of an FDA-approved compound library identifies four small-molecule inhibitors of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus replication in cell culture. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58(8):4875-84.

209. Chu CM, Cheng VC, Hung IF, Wong MM, Chan KH, Chan KS, et al. Role of lopinavir/ritonavir in the treatment of SARS: initial virological and clinical findings. Thorax. 2004;59(3):252-6.

210. Chan KS, Lai ST, Chu CM, Tsui E, Tam CY, Wong MM, et al. Treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome with lopinavir/ritonavir: a multicentre retrospective matched cohort study. Hong Kong Med J. 2003;9(6):399-406.

211. Chan JF, Yao Y, Yeung ML, Deng W, Bao L, Jia L, et al. Treatment With Lopinavir/Ritonavir or Interferon-beta1b Improves Outcome of MERS-CoV Infection in a Nonhuman Primate Model of Common Marmoset. J Infect Dis. 2015;212(12):1904-13.

212. Agnihotram S, Yount BL, Jr., Donaldson EF, Huynh J, Menachery VD, Gratinski LE, et al. A mouse model for Betacoronavirus subgroup 2c using a bat coronavirus strain HKU5 variant. mBio. 2014;5(2):e00047-14.

213. Zhang Q, Wang Y, Qi C, Shen L, Li J. Clinical trial analysis of 2019-nCoV therapy registered in China. J Med Virol. 2020 Feb 28. [Epub ahead of print]

214. Li G, De Clercq E. Therapeutic options for the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2020;19(3):149-50.

215. Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, Liu W, Wang J, Fan G, et al. A trial of lopinavir-ritonavir in adults hospitalized with severe Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020 Mar 18. [Epub ahead of print]

216. Lin MH, Moses DC, Hsieh CH, Cheng SC, Chen YH, Sun CY, et al. Disulfiram can inhibit MERS and SARS coronavirus papain-like proteases via different modes. Antiviral Res. 2018;150:155-63.

217. Lee H, Ren J, Pesavento RP, Ojeda I, Rice AJ, Lv H, et al. Identification and design of novel small molecule inhibitors against MERS-CoV papain-like protease via high-throughput screening and molecular modeling. Bioorg Med Chem. 2019;27(10):1981-9.

218. Cheng KW, Cheng SC, Chen WY, Lin MH, Chuang SJ, Cheng IH, et al. Thioiparine analogs and mycophenolic acid synergistically inhibit the papain-like protease of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Antiviral Res. 2015;115:9-16.

219. Wang M, Cao R, Zhang L, Yang X, Liu J, Xu M, et al. Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. Cell Res. 2020;30(3):269-71.

220. Adevedji AO, Singh K, Calcaterra NE, DeDiego ML, Enjuanes L, Weiss S, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus replication inhibitor that interferes with the nucleic acid unwinding of the viral helicase. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(9):4718-28.

221. Yu MS, Lee J, Lee JM, Kim Y, Chin YW, Jee JG, et al. Identification of myricetin and scutellarein as novel chemical inhibitors of the SARS coronavirus helicase, nsP13. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2012;22(12):4049-54.

222. Tanner JA, Zheng BJ, Zhou J, Watt RM, Jiang JQ, Wong KL, et al. The adamantane-derived bananins are potent inhibitors of the helicase activities and replication of SARS coronavirus. Chem Biol. 2005;12(3):303-11.

223. Yang XH, Deng W, Tong Z, Liu YX, Zhang LF, Zhu H, et al. Mouse transgenic for human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 provide a model for SARS coronavirus infection. Comp Med. 2007;57(5):450-9.

224. Li K, Wohlford-Lenane CL, Chandnapathavaranav P, Park JE, Earnest JT, Bair TB, et al. Mouse-adapted MERS coronavirus causes lethal lung disease in human DPP4 knockin mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114(15):E3119-E28.

225. Baric RS, Sims AC. Humanized mice develop coronavirus respiratory disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102(23):8073-4.

226. Mesaros B, Erdos G, Dosztanyi Z. IUPred2A: context-dependent prediction of protein disorder as a function of redox state and protein binding. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(W1):W329-W37.