Coproporphyrin I as an Endogenous Biomarker to Detect Reduced OATP1B Activity and Shift in Elimination Route in Chronic Kidney Disease

Hiroyuki Takita1,2, Daniel Scotcher1, Xiaoyan Chu3, Ka Lai Yee4, Kayode Ogungbenro1,5 and Aleksandra Galetin1,6

Coproporphyrin I (CPI) is an endogenous biomarker of organic anion transporting polypeptide 1B transporter (OATP1B). CPI plasma baseline was reported to increase with severity of chronic kidney disease (CKD). Further, ratio of CPI area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUCR) in the presence/absence of OATP1B inhibitor rifampin was higher in patients with CKD compared with healthy participants, in contrast to pitavastatin (a clinical OATP1B probe). This study investigated mechanism(s) contributing to altered CPI baseline in patients with CKD by extending a previously developed physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model to this patient population. CKD-related covariates were evaluated in a stepwise manner on CPI fraction unbound in plasma (fu,p), OATP1B-mediated hepatic uptake clearance (CLactive), renal clearance (CLR), and endogenous synthesis (ksyn). The CPI model successfully recovered increased baseline and rifampin-mediated AUCR in patients with CKD by accounting for the following disease-related changes: 13% increase in fu,p, 29% and 39% decrease in CLactive in mild and moderate to severe CKD, respectively, decrease in CLR proportional to decline in glomerular filtration rate, and 27% decrease in ksyn in severe CKD. Almost complete decline in CPI renal elimination in severe CKD increased its fraction transported by OATP1B, rationalizing differences in the CPI–rifampin interaction observed between healthy participants and patients with CKD. In conclusion, mechanistic modeling performed here supports CKD-related decrease in OATP1B function to inform prospective PBPK modeling of OATP1B-mediated drug-drug interaction in these patients. Monitoring of CPI allows detection of CKD–drug interaction risk for OATP1B drugs with combined hepatic and renal elimination which may be underestimated by extrapolating the interaction risk based on pitavastatin data in healthy participants.

Study Highlights

WHAT IS THE CURRENT KNOWLEDGE ON THE TOPIC?
☑ Patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) had increased plasma CPI baseline and rifampin OATP1B interaction relative to healthy participants. Pitavastatin AUC also increased in CKD, whereas its rifampin DDI was insensitive to the disease.

WHAT QUESTION DID THIS STUDY ADDRESS?
☑ What is the mechanism of altered CPI PK or CPI–drug interaction in CKD? How informative is monitoring of CPI in patients with CKD?

WHAT DOES THIS STUDY ADD TO OUR KNOWLEDGE?
☑ Increased CPI baseline and pitavastatin AUC in patients with CKD were attributed to decreased OATP1B clearance. Almost complete decline in CPI renal clearance (parallel elimination route) increased its sensitivity to OATP1B DDI (shift in fraction transported by OATP1B), whereas it had marginal impact on pitavastatin interaction due to its negligible renal elimination.

HOW MIGHT THIS CHANGE CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY OR TRANSLATIONAL SCIENCE?
☑ Use of probe drugs eliminated by hepatic route only may underestimate OATP1B DDI in CKD for drugs with combined hepatic-renal elimination. CPI is a valuable tool to evaluate OATP1B-mediated DDI risk for such drugs in both healthy participants and patients with CKD and to support PBPK modeling in this patient cohort.
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Chronic kidney disease (CKD) can change pharmacokinetics (PK) of both renally and nonrenally eliminated drugs / endogenous substances due to altered physiological functions in the kidney and other organs. Changes include decrease in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and serum albumin, accumulation of uremic solutes, and proposed decline in expression/activity of metabolizing enzymes and transporters in the kidney and liver. Analyses of clinical data have suggested CKD-related effects on the activity of hepatic cytochrome P450 2D6 isozyme (CYP2D6) and organic anion transporting polypeptide transporter (OATP) 1B, and intestinal P-glycoprotein (P-gp), leading to altered PK of substrates of these proteins in patients with CKD. In contrast, minimal or variable disease effect was reported for CYP1A2-mediated, CYP2C9-mediated, CYP2C19-mediated, or CYP3A4-mediated clearances. Since altered PK could lead to unexpected adverse effects in patients, regulatory authorities require evaluation of the impact of CKD on the PK of new drug entities. CKD may also alter the magnitude of drug–drug interactions (DDIs) if the disease changes exposure of perpetrators or alters the fraction of the victim drug eliminated by DDI-related route. Despite supporting statements in regulatory guidance documents around inclusion of special populations in clinical trials and consideration of DDI risk in such patients, evidence of investigating DDI in supporting statements in regulatory guidance documents around the impact of CKD on the PK of new drug entities. CKD may also alter the magnitude of drug–drug interactions (DDIs) if the disease changes exposure of perpetrators or alters the fraction of the victim drug eliminated by DDI-related route. Despite supporting statements in regulatory guidance documents around inclusion of special populations in clinical trials and consideration of DDI risk in such patients, evidence of investigating DDI in CKD is limited to general exclusion of such patients from clinical trials. Therefore, understanding changes in the magnitude of DDI (AUC ratio (AUCR)) caused by CKD-related physiological changes is an important consideration.

Endogenous biomarkers have increasingly been used for early evaluation of transporter-mediated perpetrator DDI, with the aim to guide the need and design of subsequent dedicated DDI studies with clinical probes. In the case of OATP1B, the most established endogenous biomarker is coproporphyrin I (CPI), a by-product of heme synthesis. CPI has high specificity for this transporter and is 85% and 15% eliminated via liver and kidney, respectively. CPI plasma concentrations are sensitive to altered OATP1B activity caused by either OATP1B inhibitors (e.g., rifampin) or genetic polymorphism. In contrast to DDI, use of endogenous biomarkers to evaluate potential changes in transporter function in disease populations is still scarce. Recent clinical study reported ~ 31% higher plasma exposure of CPI, as well as pitavastatin (an OATP1B clinical probe with minor renal elimination) in CKD compared with healthy individuals (Figure S1). This observation is in agreement with increasing clinical evidence of higher exposure of OATP1B substrates in patients with severe CKD (e.g., SN-38, repaglinide). The reported magnitude of CPI–rifampin OATP1B-mediated DDI (AUCRPTV) was ~ 56% higher in patients with severe CKD compared with healthy participants, while the AUCR for pitavastatin–rifampin DDI (AUCRPTV) in the same individuals was only marginally affected by the disease. These apparently contradictory findings require mechanistic explanation to facilitate accurate translation of OATP1B-mediated DDI data from healthy participants to patients with CKD. This study aimed (i) to understand the effect of CKD on OATP1B activity, and (ii) to interpret the inconsistent disease effect on the magnitude of CPI–rifampin and pitavastatin–rifampin DDI in this population, to inform the necessity for clinical OATP1B DDI assessment in patients with CKD. These questions were addressed by extending the reported population physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model for CPI to the population with CKD. Combined with a rifampin CKD model, the CPI model was optimized to recover observed increases in biomarker plasma concentrations and AUCRPTV in patients with CKD by incorporating disease-related physiological changes using a combination of top-down and bottom-up approaches (Figure 1).

The CKD effects on fraction unbound in plasma (fu,p) and hepatic uptake clearance (CL active) were informed from in vitro data and the pitavastatin CKD model developed using data from the same individuals. The CKD effects on renal clearance (CLR) and synthesis rate (ksyn) (suggested by reported decrease in blood hemoglobin in CKD) were also investigated. Finally, mechanisms for the inconsistency in rifampin OATP1B-mediated AUCR between CPI and pitavastatin in patients with CKD were investigated.

**METHODS**

**Clinical data**

Reported clinical data from 32 individuals with normal renal function or mild to end-stage CKD were used in this study. Patients with CKD were categorized based on estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) as: healthy: >90 mL/min/1.73 m²; mild CKD: 60–89 mL/min/1.73 m²; moderate CKD: 30–59 mL/min/1.73 m²; and severe CKD: 15–29 mL/min/1.73 m². Patients with end-stage CKD (<15 mL/min/1.73 m²) were excluded from the analysis. Each group included 6–7 individuals, and there was no clear bias in demographics such as ethnicity, sex, or age among groups. The clinical study consisted of two occasions: a cocktail of microdose of probe drugs for multiple transporters (e.g., pitavastatin (OATP1B), rosuvastatin (OATP1B, BCRP), and dabigatran etexilate (intestinal P-gp) among others) was administered orally on both occasions; a single dose of 600 mg rifampin was coadministered orally during the second occasion. Among the probes, pitavastatin was selected for the current analysis as the most selective OATP1B clinical probe. Plasma (CPI and pitavastatin) and urine data (CPI only) pre- and postadministration of probe drugs were available for CPI and pitavastatin modeling. Noncompartment analysis was initially performed to identify potential covariates. PK parameters considered were mean plasma CPI concentration without rifampin (CPIbase), pitavastatin AUC without rifampin (AUCPTVcontrol), AUCRPTV, CLR, and net secretory renal excretion clearance (CLR,sec,net).

\[
\text{CL}_{\text{R,sec,net}} = \text{CL}_R - f_{\text{udp}} \cdot \text{GFR}
\]

where individual \(f_{\text{udp},i}\) was calculated based on CPI \(f_{\text{udp},i}\), in patient \(i\), Eq. 2:

\[
f_{\text{udp},i} = 1 + \left(1 - f_{\text{udp},i}\text{HV}\right) \cdot \left[P_R\right]_i
\]

where \([P_R]\) and \([P_R]\text{HV}\) represent individual plasma albumin concentration and a mean value in healthy participants measured in this study (4.39 g/dL, Table S1), respectively. \(f_{\text{udp},i}\text{HV}\) represents CPI \(f_{\text{udp}}\) in the healthy population (0.069).

**Development of PK models for pitavastatin, rifampin, and CPI in population with CKD**

Population PK models for pitavastatin, rifampin, and CPI (Figure 1) were developed in Monolix 2019R2 (Lixoft, France) to recover PK in both healthy population and populations with CKD. The models include...
both between-participant variability (BPV) of model parameters log-normally distributed and residual variability based on a combined proportional and additive error model. Inclusion of each covariate was evaluated based on the objective function value ($-2 \times \text{log-likelihood}$) at a significance level of $P < 0.05$. The models were also evaluated using goodness-of-fit plots and visual predictive checks.

**Pitavastatin CKD model**

A semimechanistic multicompartment PK model was developed to describe bi-exponential decline of pitavastatin in plasma. The model described hepatic active and passive permeability of pitavastatin between the liver blood and tissue compartments mechanistically, as implemented in our previous models (Appendix S1). Blood flow rates and volumes of compartments were calculated based on individual’s body weight (BW). Passive hepatic intrinsic clearance and fraction unbound in the liver blood and tissue were obtained $in vitro$ (Tables S1 and S2). Hepatic uptake of pitavastatin ($\text{CL}_{\text{active,PTV}}$) was assumed to be the rate-determining step in its hepatic disposition. Minor routes (e.g., metabolism) were not considered, based on previous studies. CKD-related changes in pitavastatin $f_{\text{pt}}$ and $\text{CL}_{\text{active,PTV}}$ were included, whereas any possible CKD-related changes in pitavastatin disposition (e.g., absorption) were not considered due to limited information for model parameterization. $\text{CL}_{\text{active,PTV}}$ in CKD category Gx ($\text{CL}_{\text{active,PTV}}$, Gx: mild CKD; and G34: lumped moderate to severe

---

**Figure 1** Strategy of CPI model development for the population with CKD. (a) Structure of models for coproporphyrin I (CPI), pitavastatin (PTV), and rifampin (RIF). Eye symbols represent observed compartments. Dashed squares represent parameters which effects of chronic kidney disease (CKD) were evaluated. (b) Workflow of CPI model development for the population with CKD. $\text{CL}_{\text{active}}$, hepatic uptake clearance (unbound); $\text{CL}_{\text{passive}}$, hepatic passive clearance (unbound); $\text{CL}_{\text{R}}$, renal clearance; $\text{CL}_{\text{RIF}}$, clearance of rifampin; $f_{\text{pt}}$ and $f_{\text{pt}}$, fractions of $Q_{\text{co}}$ for tissue compartment 1 and 2, respectively; $f_{\text{pt}}$, fraction unbound in the blood; $f_{\text{pt}}$, fraction unbound in liver tissue; $\text{ka}$, first-order absorption rate constant; $K_i$, total rifampin inhibition constant for $\text{CL}_{\text{active}}$ of CPI; $K_p$, tissue partition coefficient; $Q_{\text{CO}}$, cardiac blood flow; $Q_{\text{H}}$, hepatic blood flow; $\text{Tlag}_{\text{RIF}}$, duration of zero-order absorption; $\text{Tlag}_{\text{RIF}}$, lag time; $V_1$ and $V_2$, volumes of tissue compartment 1 and 2, respectively; $V_B$, volume of blood compartment; $V_C$, volume of central compartment; $V_{LT}$, volume of liver tissue; $V_{LV}$, volume of liver vascular.
CKD) was based on the population values for healthy participants
\((CL_{\text{active,PTV,ref}}\) and its fractional change in CKD relative to healthy
\((COV_{\text{CLactive,ref}})\) (Eq. 3). \(\varepsilon^R\) represents BPV, where eta is assumed
distributed \(N(0, \Omega)\). Pooling of moderate to severe CKD was based on
apparent nonlinear increase in AUC_{PTV,control} with severity of CKD, as
suggested previously\(^9\) (Appendix S1).

\[
CL_{\text{active,PTV,ref}} = CL_{\text{active,PTV,ref}}^* \left(1 - COV_{\text{CLactive,ref}}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^R
\]  

\(\text{Eq. (3)}\)

In vitro studies showed that hepatic non-OATP1B transporters such as
sodium taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide (NTCP) mediated 2–
29% of pitavastatin hepatic uptake.\(^{34,35}\) Therefore, as sodium taurocholate
mediated 2–pitavastatin CKD model in respective patients.

\(eGFR_{\text{i}}\) derived uremic solutes.\(^{36}\) An alternative scenario, which assumed no CKD
ported by studies on the decline in NTCP expression/activity by CKD-
ated N(0, \Omega). Pooling of moderate to severe CKD was based on
 individuals’ plasma albumin concentrations (Eq. 2), and
\(f_{\text{OATP1B}}\), assuming the same degree of disease effect on 
\(CL_{\text{OATP1B,PTV}}\) and \(CL_{\text{non-OATP1B,PTV}}\) supported by studies on the decline in NTCP expression/activity by CKD-
uremic solutes.\(^{36}\) An alternative scenario, which assumed no CKD effect on 
\(CL_{\text{non-OATP1B,PTV}}\) was also evaluated (Appendix S1). The model
parameters including \(CL_{\text{active,PTV,ref}}\) and \(COV_{\text{CLactive,ref}}\) were optimized by
fitting the model simultaneously to pitavastatin plasma concentrations
(without rifampin) from all groups.

Rifampin CKD model

A one-compartment PK model with zero-order absorption described rifam-
plasin plasma concentration in all groups (Appendix S1). Covariates for
rifampin parameters were evaluated as described above.

CPI CKD model

The CPI CKD model was based on the existing CPI population
PBPK model for healthy participants, which incorporated renal
excretion and mechanistic description of liver processes.\(^{25}\) In the
CPI CKD model, \(CL_{\text{active,CPI}}\) was assumed to be mediated only by
OATP1B.\(^{37}\) The base model implemented (i) CKD-related increase in
\(f_{\text{OATP1B}}\) using individuals’ plasma albumin concentrations (Eq. 2), and
(ii) CKD-related decrease in CPI \(CL_{\text{active,CPI}}\) estimated in the
pitavastatin CKD model (\(COV_{\text{CLactive,CPI}}\)).\(^{33}\) (Eq. 3), assuming the
same magnitude of CKD effect on both \(CL_{\text{active,CPI}}\) and \(CL_{\text{active,PTV}}\). Validity of this assumption was confirmed by comparing \(C_{\text{base,CPI}}\).

\[
\text{Eq. (3)}
\]

where the terms for hepatic uptake clearance \(f_{\text{OATP1B,ref}}\) and
\(f_{\text{non-OATP1B,ref}}\) were reduced based on \(COV_{\text{CLactive,CPI}}\) estimated in the
pitavastatin CKD model. Renal elimination \(f_{\text{urine,ref}}\) was reduced propor-
tionally to a decrease in eGFR relative to the healthy population \(f_{\text{urine,ref}}\).

\[
AUC_{\text{PTV,control}} = CL_{\text{active,PTV,ref}}^* \left(1 - COV_{\text{CLactive,ref}}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^R
\]

Evaluation of CKD effect on the magnitude of
OATP1B-mediated interactions

The mechanism of CKD-related differences in the extent of rifampin
interaction (AUCR) was investigated by calculating changes in the fraction
transported by OATP1B \(f_{\text{OATP1B}}\) in CKD for hypothetical OATP1B substrates with different contributions of hepatic and renal elimination.\(^{17}\) Thef_{\text{OATP1B}} in CKD category Gx \(f_{\text{OATP1B,Gx}}\) was expressed based on fraction eliminated in urine in a healthy participant \(f_{\text{urine,ref}}\) and
CKD-derived decline in OATP1B-mediated and renal clearances. The
assumption that any non-OATP1B-mediated hepatic clearance \(CL_{\text{non-OATP1B,ref}}\)
decayed to the same extent as OATP1B \(CL_{\text{OATP1B,ref}}\) was used in the same way as OATP1B (Eq. 6, Appendix S1) and that passive uptake clearance is a minor contributor, as reported for CPI\(^{25}\) and
pitavastatin.\(^{32,35,44}\)  

\[
AUCR_{\text{Gx}} = f_{\text{OATP1B,ref}} \cdot \left(1 - f_{\text{urine,ref}}\right) + \left(1 - f_{\text{OATP1B,ref}}\right)
\]

\(\text{Eq. (7)}\)
0.01 to 0.5), including CPI-equivalent \( f_{\text{urine,Hi}} = 0.15 \) (ref. 17) and pitavastatin-equivalent examples \( f_{\text{urine,Hi}} = 0.01 \) (refs. 21,22).

RESULTS
Estimated decrease in OATP1B activity in CKD based on modeling of pitavastatin data
The pitavastatin semimechanistic model adequately described pitavastatin plasma PK in patients with CKD (Figures S2 and S3). Model parameters were estimated with low relative standard error (RSE) of <40%, except BV of \( k_{\text{PTV}} \) (Table 1). Inclusion of CKD effect on \( CL_{\text{active,PTV}} \) significantly improved model fitting and enabled recovery of higher pitavastatin exposure in the population with CKD relative to the healthy population. Assuming the same degree of disease effect on both OATP1B and minor non-OATP1B route resulted in the estimated decrease in \( CL_{\text{active,PTV}} \) of 29% and 39% in mild and moderate to severe CKD groups, respectively, consistent with previous reports.\(^5\) Alternative assumption of no disease effect on non-OATP1B route resulted in slightly larger estimated disease effect on \( CL_{\text{OATP1B,PTV}} \) (37% and 50% decline in mild and moderate to severe CKD, respectively (Table S3).

Rifampin CKD model
The final rifampin model adequately described the observed plasma concentration data in all groups (Figures S11 and S12), with body weight and sex as covariates on total clearance \( (CL_{\text{RIF}}) \), volume of distribution \( (V_{\text{RIF}}) \), duration of zero-order absorption, and correlation between \( CL_{\text{RIF}} \) and \( V_{\text{RIF}} \) (Table 1). Model parameters were estimated with RSE <40%. Use of eGFR as a covariate in the model did not improve model fitting significantly (data not shown), consistent with no trend between rifampin AUC and eGFR (Figure S13).

Physiologically-based CPI CKD model
The base CPI model included CKD effects on \( f_{\text{ur,p}} \) and \( CL_{\text{active,CPI}} \). The CPI \( f_{\text{ur,p}} \) in severe CKD (0.078) was 13% higher than in healthy participants due to lower plasma albumin in this CKD group (Table S1). In contrast, measured albumin in patients with mild to moderate CKD was comparable to healthy individuals, resulting in similar CPI \( f_{\text{ur,p}} \) in those groups. Significant correlations between \( C_{\text{base,CPI}} \) and estimated \( CL_{\text{active,PTV}} \) \( (R = -0.61, P < 0.01) \) (Figure 2), as well as \( C_{\text{base,CPI}} \) and AUCPTVcontrol \( (R = 0.76, P < 0.01) \), suggested that decreased OATP1B activity in CKD is contributing to increased exposure of CPI and pitavastatin in this patient population. It also rationalized implementation of CKD effect on \( CL_{\text{active,CPI}} \) estimated by modeling of pitavastatin data in the CPI model.

Selection of the final CPI model was based on both disease-related physiological changes and decrease in objective function value relative to the base model with CKD effects on \( f_{\text{ur,p}} \) and \( CL_{\text{active,CPI}} \). Implementation of the covariates on \( CL_{\text{R,CPI}} \) and \( k_{\text{syn}} \) was statistically significant, hence, the final model included CKD-related effects on \( f_{\text{ur,p}} \) \( CL_{\text{active,CPI}} \) \( CL_{\text{R,CPI}} \) and \( k_{\text{syn}} \). Fixed effects parameters were estimated with RSE <40% (Table 1). The final model adequately described the observed CPI data in both healthy and CKD groups (Figure 3 and Figure S14), including reduced urinary CPI elimination and increased \( C_{\text{base,CPI}} \). Additionally, the model also described well the increased magnitude of OATP1B interaction \( (\text{AUCR}_{\text{CPI}}) \) in the population with CKD. Consistent with results from the previous CPI modeling work,\(^17\) hepatic uptake was a major contributor to CPI elimination (88% in healthy population) and renal elimination accounted for the remaining component. \( CL_{\text{R,CPI}} \) in CKD decreased proportionally to eGFR \( (\text{COV}_{\text{CLR,CPI}} = 1.03) \), consistent with correlations between eGFR and \( CL_{\text{R,CPI}} \) or net secretory renal excretion clearance of CPI (Figure S15). The estimated effect of CKD on CPI synthesis rate \( (\text{COV}_{\text{k syn}}) \) was equivalent to 27% lower \( k_{\text{syn}} \) in participants with severe CKD.

Calculation of normalized sensitivity coefficients showed that \( C_{\text{base,CPI}} \) was sensitive to CKD-related changes in \( k_{\text{syn}} \) \( CL_{\text{active,CPI}} \) \( f_{\text{ur,p,CPI}} \) blood to plasma ratio, and less sensitive to \( CL_{\text{R,CPI}} \). The same tendency was seen when percent changes in each CPI parameter in severe CKD were calculated (Figure 4b). Reduced \( CL_{\text{active,CPI}} \) and \( k_{\text{syn}} \) (−39% and −27% in severe CKD, respectively) prominently increased and decreased \( C_{\text{base,CPI}} \) whereas the impact of \( f_{\text{ur,p,CPI}} \) and \( CL_{\text{R,CPI}} \) on \( C_{\text{base,CPI}} \) was less evident. In contrast to CPI baseline, differences in AUCR_{CPI} in patients with CKD relative to healthy participants were predominantly attributed to prominent disease effect on the parallel elimination route (−90% decrease in \( CL_{\text{R,CPI}} \) in severe CKD) relative to \( CL_{\text{active,CPI}} \). Both \( k_{\text{syn}} \) and \( f_{\text{ur,p,CPI}} \) had no effect on AUCR_{CPI}.

Interpretation of CKD-related difference in the magnitude of OATP1B-mediated interactions
The mechanism(s) contributing to potential differences in OATP1B AUCR in the population with CKD were investigated for a range of hypothetical OATP1B probes with different contributions of renal elimination \( (f_{\text{urine,Hi}}) \). For a CPI-equivalent drug \( (f_{\text{urine,Hi}} = 0.15) \), decline in \( CL_{\text{R}} \) increased \( f_{\text{OATP1B}} \) of 0.85 in the healthy population to 0.97 in severe CKD, regardless of disease-related changes in \( CL_{\text{active}} \) (Figure 5a). This shift in \( f_{\text{OATP1B}} \) by CKD resulted in predicted 1.8-fold higher AUCR in severe CKD relative to healthy participants (Figure 5c), in good agreement with the observed CPI data (1.6-fold, Figure S1). However, these trends were not apparent for pitavastatin-equivalent drug with a negligible renal elimination \( (f_{\text{urine,Hi}} = 0.01) \), resulting in no increase in AUCR in severe CKD relative to the healthy population (Figure 5b,d), in line with comparable pitavastatin–rifampin DDI reported in healthy patients and patients with CKD.

DISCUSSION
A recent clinical study reported increased baseline exposure of CPI and pitavastatin in patients with CKD,\(^8\) consistent with previous studies with other OATP1B substrates.\(^5,8,10,12–24\) Those patients with CKD had higher rifampin-associated AUCR for CPI, but not for pitavastatin. Using population PBPK modeling, the current study investigated the complex interplay of multiple CKD-related physiological changes to understand mechanism(s) of disease-related increase in CPI baseline and its utility as an endogenous biomarker for evaluation of OATP1B function and
DDIs in the population with CKD. Additionally, modeling was used to provide mechanistic interpretation for inconsistency in magnitude of OATP1B-mediated interaction of CPI and pitavastatin in patients with CKD, with the aim to inform the necessity of prospective clinical OATP1B DDI studies in the population with CKD.
Interpretation of altered PK of CPI in CKD based on PBPK modeling

The CPI CKD model was developed by optimizing covariate structure of the existing population PBPK model for CPI considering physiological changes in CKD; the final model included the disease effects on CL_{\text{R,CPI}} CL_{\text{active,CPI}} and k_{\text{syn}}. Because of the high extent of CPI plasma protein binding in healthy participants (f_{\text{up,p}} of 0.069 (ref. 25)), CKD-related changes in plasma albumin were relevant for interpretation of clinical findings. In the absence of measured CPI f_{\text{up,p}} in CKD, this parameter was predicted based on f_{\text{up,p}} in healthy participants and measured plasma albumin in patients with CKD; this approach was considered appropriate as CPI is predominantly bound to albumin. Prominent decrease in plasma albumin in severe CKD resulted in 13% higher predicted CPI in healthy participants and measured plasma albumin in patients with CKD; this approach was considered appropriate as CPI is predominantly bound to albumin. 

The noncompartment analysis indicated that CL_{\text{R,CPI,net}} accounted for 78% of CL_{\text{R,CPI}} in the healthy population, implying the presence of tubular renal secretion. Glomerular filtration and tubular secretion were lumped as a single parameter in the CPI model (CL_{\text{R,CPI}}) and assumed to decrease in proportion to eGFR in CKD. The latter assumption was supported by the linear relationship between eGFR and T A B L E 23% increase in severe CKD). The possibility of reduced CPI synthesis in CKD was based on a strong relationship between CPI and hemoglobin in blood and proportional decrease in blood hemoglobin and eGFR in moderate to severe CKD. Estimated 27% lower k_{\text{syn}} in severe CKD was consistent with ~20% lower blood hemoglobin in the same CKD group.

Estimated disease effect on OATP1B activity was conditional upon assumed fixed contributions of non-OATP1B (18% of CL_{\text{active}}) or passive diffusion clearance (1.6% of hepatic uptake) in the pitavastatin model, both of which were in agreement with previous studies. The pitavastatin CKD model assumed the same degree of disease effect on both OATP1B-mediated and non-OATP1B-mediated hepatic uptake of pitavastatin. The alternative model (assuming no disease effect on the non-OATP1B route) showed comparable goodness-of-fit plots, but a slightly larger estimated disease effect on CL_{\text{OATP1B}} (37% and 50% decline in mild and moderate to severe CKD) (Table S3). Similarly, this assumption estimated more pronounced disease effect on k_{\text{syn}} in the CPI model (36% decline in severe CKD) considering correlation between CL_{\text{active}} and k_{\text{syn}} highlighted the necessity for sensitivity analysis on these parameters when used in further analyses.

Parameter sensitivity analysis showed that CKD effects on CL_{\text{active,CPI}} and CL_{\text{R,CPI}} affect prominently C_{\text{base,CPI}} and AUC_{\text{PTV,control}} respectively. Although the clinical DDI study attributed higher C_{\text{base,CPI}} in participants with CKD solely to decreased renal elimination, the modeling revealed the importance of changes in CL_{\text{active,CPI}} (Figure 4b). Additionally, CKD-related changes in f_{\text{up,p,CPI}} (specific to severe CKD) and k_{\text{syn}} decreased C_{\text{base,CPI}} which might partially contribute to observed nonlinear factors. A good correlation between an individual’s C_{\text{base,CPI}} and AUC_{\text{PTV,control}} or CL_{\text{active,PTV}} estimated with the pitavastatin CKD model (Figure 2) also rationalized this approach. Estimated decrease in OATP1B-mediated CL_{\text{active}} was 29% and 39% in mild and moderate to severe CKD, respectively, consistent with a previous meta-analysis of OATP1B activity in patients with CKD ( ~50% decline). The possibility of reduced CPI synthesis in CKD was based on a strong relationship between CPI and hemoglobin in blood and proportional decrease in blood hemoglobin and eGFR in moderate to severe CKD. 

Estimated disease effect on OATP1B activity was conditional upon assumed fixed contributions of non-OATP1B (18% of CL_{\text{active}}) or passive diffusion clearance (1.6% of hepatic uptake) in the pitavastatin model, both of which were in agreement with previous studies. The pitavastatin CKD model assumed the same degree of disease effect on both OATP1B-mediated and non-OATP1B-mediated hepatic uptake of pitavastatin. The alternative model (assuming no disease effect on the non-OATP1B route) showed comparable goodness-of-fit plots, but a slightly larger estimated disease effect on CL_{\text{OATP1B}} (37% and 50% decline in mild and moderate to severe CKD) (Table S3). Similarly, this assumption estimated more pronounced disease effect on k_{\text{syn}} in the CPI model (36% decline in severe CKD) considering correlation between CL_{\text{active}} and k_{\text{syn}} highlighting the necessity for sensitivity analysis on these parameters when used in further analyses.

Parameter sensitivity analysis showed that CKD effects on CL_{\text{active,CPI}} and CL_{\text{R,CPI}} affect prominently C_{\text{base,CPI}} and AUC_{\text{PTV,control}} respectively. Although the clinical DDI study attributed higher C_{\text{base,CPI}} in participants with CKD solely to decreased renal elimination, the modeling revealed the importance of changes in CL_{\text{active,CPI}} (Figure 4b). Additionally, CKD-related changes in f_{\text{up,p,CPI}} (specific to severe CKD) and k_{\text{syn}} decreased C_{\text{base,CPI}} which might partially contribute to observed nonlinear factors.
increase in $C_{\text{base,CPI}}$ in severe CKD and larger variability (Figure S1). CKD-related decline in $CL_{\text{active,CPI}}$ (39% lower in severe CKD relative to healthy) was expected to decrease magnitude of OATP1B-mediated DDI in these patients, analogous to our previous study where 42% lower $CL_{\text{active,CPI}}$ in Asian-Indian participants relative to White participants resulted in up to 22% lower rifampin OATP1B-mediated interaction. However, more prominent disease effect on CPI parallel elimination route ($CL_{R,CPI}$)}
than on the OATP1B resulted in $f_{OA TP1B}$ shift and apparent increase in AUC$_{CPI}$ in the population with CKD. CKD-related changes in $k_{np}$ and $f_{u,p,CPI}$ had marginal effect on AUC$_{CPI}$, as these did not affect $f_{OA TP1B}$. CKD effects on CPI biliary clearance and blood to plasma ratio were not considered despite moderate sensitivity of CPI PK to these parameters. The CKD effect on CPI biliary clearance was inconclusive due to the lack of information in human and inconsistency in rat data.\textsuperscript{41–43} Implementation of lower blood to plasma ratio, attributed to decreased hematocrit because of decreased production of red blood cells in CKD,\textsuperscript{49} had marginal impact on results (data not shown) and therefore was not included in the final model.

**Utility of CPI as an endogenous biomarker of OATP1B activity and corresponding interaction risk in CKD**

Good correlation between individual $C_{basic,CPI}$ and AUC$_{PTV,control}$ values (Figure 2b) supported the assumption that decreased OATP1B activity in CKD was the common underlying mechanism for increased exposure of pitavastatin and CPI in this population. These observations are in agreement with previously reported correlations between AUCs of OATP1B probe drugs and CPI in a healthy population.\textsuperscript{50} This correlation between individual plasma CPI and pitavastatin AUC in patients with CKD provides a possibility to enable individualized therapeutic dosing of statins based on an individual’s OATP1B activity informed by CPI levels.

A key finding of this study is that the magnitude of the OATP1B interaction (AUCR) may increase in patients with CKD relative to the healthy population due to increased $f_{OA TP1B}$ caused by abolished renal clearance as a parallel elimination route. Our analysis showed that the extent of this CKD-related increase in AUCR depended on the severity of CKD and $f_{u,p,CPI}$ of OATP1B probes (Figure 5). Monitoring of CPI as a probe with high selectivity to OATP1B and combined hepatic and renal elimination provides valuable information on such CKD-related difference/increase in OATP1B AUCR. The current modeling showed that CKD-mediated decrease in CPI renal clearance (~90% lower in severe CKD) increased its $f_{OA TP1B}$, compensating for the disease effect on OATP1B (39% lower activity in severe CKD). For OATP1B probes with negligible renal elimination (low $f_{u,p,CPI}$ as pitavastatin), difference in OATP1B interaction between healthy population and patients with CKD was marginal, indicating that OATP1B DDI data for such drugs obtained in healthy patients are informative also for patients with CKD. Additional considerations are selectivity of probes for OATP1B and understanding of any confounding

---

**Figure 4** Parameter sensitivity analysis for baseline plasma concentration of coproporphyrin I (CPI) and AUCR for CPI–rifampin interaction. (a) Normalized sensitivity coefficient of CPI model parameters for baseline CPI or CPI AUCR (AUC with rifampin/AUC without rifampin) calculated based on the developed CPI model for a typical healthy participant. (b) Percent change in baseline CPI or CPI AUCR in severe chronic kidney disease (CKD) relative to healthy population calculated based on the magnitude of CKD-related physiological changes estimated in the CPI CKD model. Volume of liver represents volume of the whole liver including both $V_{LV}$ and $V_{LT}$. AUC, area under the plasma concentration-time curve; $CL_{active,CPI}$, hepatic uptake clearance; $CL_{b,CPI}$, biliary clearance; $CL_{R,CPI}$, renal clearance; $f_{u,p,CPI}$, fraction unbound in plasma; $f_{u,L,CPI}$, fraction unbound in liver; $k_{syn}$, endogenous synthesis rate; $Q_{H}$, hepatic blood flow; $Rb$, blood to plasma ratio; $V_{C,CPI}$, volume of central compartment; $V_{LT}$, volume of liver tissue; $V_{LV}$, volume of liver vascular.
effects of CKD-related change(s) on non-OATP1B pathway(s) (hepatic and nonhepatic). Rosuvastatin showed marginal CKD-related increase in rifampin OATP1B interaction relative to a healthy population despite its combined hepatic-renal elimination of rosuvastatin (\(f_{\text{e,urine}}^{\text{H}}\) of 0.28 (ref. 5)). In contrast to CPI, the role of intestinal transporters (BCRP, P-gp, and to some extent OATP2B1) and disease-related changes in these processes is an additional consideration. Partial contribution of non-OATP1B-mediated hepatic uptake (28% via NTCP) and proposed decrease in activity of intestinal P-gp (likely also for BCRP) reported in patients with CKD may have contributed to large variability in rosuvastatin PK and confounded interpretation of the OATP1B DDI data for dual OATP1B and P-gp/BCRP substrates. The small number of OATP1B substrates evaluated highlights the necessity for further clinical DDI studies in the population with CKD to confirm these findings.

Figure 5  Effect of chronic kidney disease (CKD) on OATP1B-mediated interactions for drugs with different contributions of hepatic and renal elimination. (a,b) Change in fraction transported of CPI-equivalent and pitavastatin-equivalent drugs by OATP1B (\(f_{\text{OATP1B}}^{\text{HV}}\) blue area), non-OATP1B hepatic uptake (\(f_{\text{non-OATP1B}}^{\text{HV}}\) green area), and renal elimination (\(f_{\text{e,urine}}^{\text{HV}}\) orange area) derived from CKD-derived decline in each route. Dashed lines represent clearances via each elimination route (\(CL_{\text{OATP1B}}\), \(CL_{\text{non-OATP1B}}\), and \(CL_{\text{R}}\)) in different stages of CKD, expressed as relative values to a total clearance in healthy population (label on the right axis). The CPI-equivalent drug has \(f_{\text{e,urine}}^{\text{HV}}\) of 0.15 and hepatic uptake via OATP1B \(f_{\text{OATP1B}}^{\text{HV}}\) of 0.01 and nonrenal clearance \(f_{\text{CLactive}}^{\text{HV}}\) of 0.85. The pitavastatin-equivalent drug has minimal renal elimination \(f_{\text{e,urine}}^{\text{HV}}\) of 0.01 and hepatic uptake via OATP1B \(f_{\text{OATP1B}}^{\text{HV}}\) of 0.812, 82% of nonrenal clearance) and non-OATP1B route \(f_{\text{non-OATP1B}}^{\text{HV}}\) of 0.178, 18% of nonrenal clearance). Simulations were performed assuming that both OATP1B and non-OATP1B routes contributing to \(CL_{\text{active}}\) decline to the same extent in CKD and that decrease in \(CL_{\text{R}}\) is proportional to decline in \(eGFR\) (healthy: \(CL_{\text{active}}^{100}\) and \(CL_{\text{R}}^{100}\); mild CKD: \(CL_{\text{active}}^{71}\) and \(CL_{\text{R}}^{75}\); moderate CKD: \(CL_{\text{active}}^{61}\) and \(CL_{\text{R}}^{50}\); severe CKD: \(CL_{\text{active}}^{61}\) and \(CL_{\text{R}}^{13}\)). (c,d) Ratio of AUCR (with/without OATP1B inhibitor) in the population with CKD relative to the healthy population calculated for hypothetical OATP1B drugs with \(f_{\text{e,urine}}^{\text{HV}}\) ranging from 0.01 to 0.5 and different proportion of non-OATP1B route to total hepatic uptake clearance (c: none, d: 18%); all assumptions as highlighted above. Gray arrows indicate drugs equivalent to CPI and pitavastatin. Simulations illustrate that presence of non-OATP1B-mediated hepatic clearance (assumed to decline in the same manner as OATP1B in CKD) decreases the difference in OATP1B AUCR between CKD and healthy, as the CKD-derived shift in fraction transported is then not solely attributed to OATP1B. AUCR ratio of area under the plasma concentration-time curve; \(CL_{\text{active}}\), hepatic uptake clearance; \(CL_{\text{R}}\), renal clearance; CPI, coproporphyrin I; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; OATP1B, organic anion transporting polypeptide 1B.
In conclusion, mechanistic analysis using population PBPK modeling attributed increased CPI baseline and pitavastatin exposure in patients with CKD to disease-related decrease in OATP1B activity (in addition to changes in plasma protein binding and renal excretion). The current modeling work provides invaluable information on altered OATP1B activity in CKD for the refinement of corresponding PBPK models and improvement of prospective prediction of OATP1B-mediated DDI risk in these patients. Mechanistic modeling of complex disease–drug interaction data revealed a shift in fraction of CPI eliminated by OATP1B in CKD attributed to decline in renal elimination, resulting in increased sensitivity to rifampin inhibition in severe CKD. In contrast, such change was not apparent for pitavastatin due to its negligible renal elimination. The current analysis highlights that use of a clinical probe eliminated solely by hepatic route (e.g., pitavastatin) may underestimate the extent of OATP1B DDI in drugs with combined hepatic and renal elimination. To that end, partial contribution of renal excretion to elimination of CPI reinforces its value as a clinical tool for evaluation of OATP1B-mediated DDI risk in both healthy patients and patients with CKD. Monitoring of this endogenous biomarker also provides data in patients with CKD critical for validation of PBPK modeling, bridging the translation of interaction risk from the healthy population to the population with CKD.
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