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Abstract

The Philippines recently adopted the K to 12 program in basic education. Under this program, students can choose their track and strand relative to their interests or career choices when they reach senior high school. However, issues surfaced when the K to 12 graduates were admitted to the college courses not aligned with the strand they have completed. This cross-sectional study was conducted to determine the difference in the academic self-regulated learning and performance of the STEM (Science and Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) and non-STEM graduates in senior high school among freshmen nursing students in a city-subsidized college in the Philippines. The Academic Self-Regulation Learning Scale and grades of students in the first semester were used and analyzed in this study. Results showed that there was a significant difference in the academic self-regulated learning (p=0.045) and academic performance (p=0.000) of freshmen nursing students when grouped according to their senior high school strand. The STEM graduates had significantly higher academic self-regulated learning and academic performance than the non-STEM completers. Unfortunately, no significant relationship was established between academic self-regulated learning and academic performance (p=0.559). Students who are graduates of the STEM strand from senior high school appear to be better prepared to take up the nursing course. Colleges of nursing may consider the academic strand of students in senior high school when admitting students in the nursing program.

INTRODUCTION

Education expands our vision and outlook of the world. Hence, it is no wonder that many countries including the Philippines are in the molding process of making education the primary asset the country could have. Not so long ago, the Philippine Basic Education implemented K-12 Curriculum that has been legalized by the Republic Act 10533.¹ The Republic Act No. 10533 (2013) otherwise known as the Enhanced Basic Education Act of 2013 adds two years of senior high school education. High school now has two divisions: the junior and senior high school, and students in the country must pass through the two divisions to earn a high school diploma and qualify to enter college or university. Under this program, students are to choose their own track or strand according to their preference, or field of interest, or decide to
venture out employment for the next two years of senior high school education. The senior high school students undertake a standard core curriculum and can choose from four tracks of specialization: Academic, Technical-Vocational and Livelihood (TVL), Sports, and Arts and Design. These tracks are specific areas of study similar to college courses. The academic track is further divided into four strands: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM); Accountancy, Business, and Management (ABM); Humanities and Social Sciences (HUMSS); and General Academic.

Having an ideal strand offers learners a sense of self-assurance and can help students be passionate about their chosen career. Alignment to the chosen college course was the main reason of students enrolling in the STEM strand. Besides, a study found that STEM status significantly predicted retention and graduation outcomes. Poor adjustment and academic performance to the health science courses also contribute to dropout rates of students. However, the Commission on Higher Education (2017) in the Philippines released a memorandum order indicating that all Grade 12 senior high school graduates are eligible to enter college irrespective of the strand or track they have taken in senior high school. The problem arises when the K to 12 graduates are to pursue a college degree that is not related to the strand they finished during their senior high school years. Difficulties arise in a sense that the subjects taken in senior high school are not aligned to the desired academic program, or the skills and knowledge learned by the students in senior high school might not be enough to compensate to the demands of the chosen college degree.

Thus, this study was conducted to determine if there were significant differences in academic performance of K to 12 graduates specifically from the STEM and non-STEM strand as they unveil their college journey into nursing as their chosen college academic program. It is imperative for nursing educators to understand and regularly assess the academic performance of students. Research on students' academic performance or academic achievement is important because it is one of the indicators of students' success in learning. Moreover, academic and career guidance are among the expressed guidance needs of nursing students. Helping students understand their likely success in their chosen college degree is thereby necessary.

Meanwhile, knowing the concept of self-regulated learning is necessary in today's social and academic world. Self-regulated learning has been applauded as the key competence to lifelong learning. Despite being a well-studied topic in the fields of psychology and education, limited research focused on its relationship to the academic performance of nursing students. Understanding self-regulation is essential in the development of students' capabilities and achievement. In this study, we also investigated the relationship between self-regulated learning and academic performance among nursing students.

METHODS

The research design utilized in the study was cross-sectional research. This study was conducted among 112 freshmen or first-year nursing students in a city-subsidized college in the Western Visayas region of the Philippines.

The instrument used was the Academic Self-Regulated Learning Scale (A-SRL-S) developed by Magno (2010) to measure self-regulation within the context of students' learning in higher education. Each item is scaled using a four-point Likert scale (1-Strongly disagree to 4-Strongly agree). The A-SRL-S contains 54 items with seven (7) subscales. The scale has been reported to have high validity and reliability measures when tested among Filipino college students. The internal consistency of
the seven subscales was between 0.70 and 0.84. For this study, the A-SRL-S has an overall reliability of Cronbach’s alpha 0.95. The survey questionnaires were distributed personally to the students of the said department after their lecture classes. Directions were given as to how to answer and fill up the survey instrument. The whole content of the survey instrument was discussed before the participants can answer the questionnaires to avoid confusion. After signing the informed consent forms, the respondents were given ample time (30 minutes) to fill up the said questionnaires. Afterwards, the researchers personally retrieved the said questionnaires then checked for completeness of responses.

The academic performance of students was measured using their actual grades in the first semester of the academic year 2018-2019 taken from the Office of the Registrar with permission from the college dean and after participants consented to partake in the study. A demographic profile sheet was also used to collect pertinent demographic data and identify the academic strand of students. In this study, the students were categorized as either STEM or non-STEM strand (includes ABM, HUMSS, and general academic strands of the academic track and other tracks).

Data analysis was carried out using SPSS version 23. Mean, standard deviation, frequency, and percentage were used for descriptive analysis. Mann-Whitney U test was employed to determine significant differences while Spearman’s rho was utilized to measure the relationship between academic self-regulation and performance. Alpha level of significance was set at 0.05.

RESULTS

Table 1 present the profile of the participants. The average age of freshman nursing students was 18.86 (SD=0.73) years. The majority (83.9%) of the students were females. Most (81.2%) students belonged to families with an average monthly salary of 15,780 pesos/month or less. A little over half (51.8%) had 4 or more siblings. A little over two-thirds (68.7%) were graduates of the non-STEM strand in senior high school.

Table 2 shows that the composite mean score of nursing students in the A-SRL-S was 3.31 (SD=0.53). The mean score of the graduates of the STEM strand and non-STEM strand were 3.35 (SD=0.34), and 3.29 (SD=0.53), respectively. Moreover, the mean average grade reflecting the academic performance of first-year nursing students in the first semester was 91.35 (SD=0.67). The mean average grade of the graduates of the STEM strand and non-STEM strand were 92.39 (SD=1.32), and 90.87 (SD=1.60), respectively.

Table 3 shows that there was a significant difference in academic self-regulated learning and academic performance of freshmen nursing students based on their senior high school strand. Graduates of the STEM strand were significantly more (p=0.045) self-regulated learners compared to the graduates of the non-STEM strand. At the same time, graduates of the STEM strand had a significantly higher (p=0.000) academic performance than the graduates of the non-STEM strand.

Table 4 shows that there was no significant relationship (p=0.559) between academic self-regulated learning and academic performance of freshman nursing students.
Table 1  
Profile and strand in High School  

|                      | M    | SD  | f   | %   |
|----------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Age (years)          | 18.86| 0.73|     |     |
| Sex                  |      |     |     |     |
| Male                 | 18   |     | 16.1|     |
| Female               | 94   |     | 83.9|     |
| Monthly family income|      |     |     |     |
| Less than 7,890/Month| 52   |     | 46.4|     |
| Between 7,890 to 15,780/Month | 39   |     | 34.8|     |
| Between 15,781 to 31,560/Month | 17   |     | 15.2|     |
| Above 31,561/month   | 4    |     | 3.6 |     |
| Number of siblings   |      |     |     |     |
| 3 or less            | 45   |     | 40.2|     |
| 4 or more            | 58   |     | 51.8|     |
| No siblings          | 9    |     | 8.0 |     |
| Senior high school (SHS) strand |      |     |     |     |
| STEM                 | 35   |     | 31.3|     |
| Non-STEM             | 77   |     | 68.7|     |

Table 2  
Academic self-regulation and academic performance  

| Variables                  | General | STEM | Non-STEM |
|----------------------------|---------|------|----------|
|                            | M       | SD   | M        | SD      | M     | SD   |
| Academic self-regulated learning | 3.31    | 0.53 | 3.35     | 0.34    | 3.29  | 0.53 |
| Academic performance       | 91.35   | 0.67 | 92.39    | 1.32    | 90.87 | 1.60 |

Table 3  
Differences in academic self-regulated learning and performance  

| Variables | Academic self-regulated learning | Academic performance |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| SHS strand| Mean Rank | U       | p-value | Mean Rank | U       | p-value |
| STEM      | 65.63     | 1028.0  | 0.045   | 77.41     | 615.5   | 0.000   |
| Non-STEM  | 53.45     |         |         | 46.99     |         |         |

Table 4  
Academic self-regulation and academic performance  

| Variables | Correlation coefficient | p-value |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------|
| Academic self-regulation and performance | -0.050  | 0.599   |

DISCUSSION  

This study investigated the difference in academic self-regulated learning and academic performance among nursing students between graduates of STEM and non-STEM strand. This study came about after observing students who were non-STEM completers were struggling in their science and nursing courses. It has also been observed that their grades were lower in these courses. On the other hand, STEM completers have shown remarkable grades in their science and nursing courses.  

In this study, we found that the academic self-regulated learning and performance of nursing students varied according to their senior high school academic strand. Ideally, STEM completers are supposed to enroll in nursing. Their track and strand are geared towards learning the foundations of academic subjects aligned with the nursing program. Our results also indicate that...
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research has contributed to the limited but growing research on the topic.

CONCLUSION

The strand or track of students in senior high school influences their academic self-regulated learning and academic performance. Students’ stranding in senior high school has prepared them for a better transition in college especially in the nursing program. Students who are graduates of the academic STEM strand from senior high school appear to be more prepared to take up the nursing course, better able to cope with the demands of the course, and are likely to excel in the nursing school. Our study recommends that high school students should be given proper career guidance relative to the course they intend to take in college. Likewise, colleges of nursing may consider the academic strand of students in senior high school when admitting students in the nursing program. Moreover, our study suggests that added attention and guidance must be provided to non-STEM students admitted in the undergraduate nursing program.
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