Killing the hypnozoite – drug discovery approaches to prevent relapse in *Plasmodium vivax*
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The eradication of malaria will only be possible if effective, well-tolerated medicines kill hypnozoites in vivax and ovale malaria, and thus prevent relapses in patients. Despite progress in the 8-aminoquinoline series, with tafenoquine in Phase III showing clear benefits over primaquine, the drug discovery challenge to identify hypnozoiticidal or hypnozoite-activating compounds has been hampered by the dearth of biological tools and assays, which in turn has been limited by the immense scientific and logistical challenges associated with accessing relevant human tissue and sporozoites. This review summarises the existing drug discovery series and approaches concerning the goal to block relapse.
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**Introduction**

The microscopic discovery of the Plasmodial protozoal parasite responsible for the signs and symptoms of malaria has been attributed to Dr. Alphonse Laveran, a French physician working in Algeria. His discovery was made in 1880 from astute microscopic observations of pigment-containing protozoa in fresh blood without the assistance of special stains.¹

The first taxonomic description of the pathogen responsible for, what has been for a long time incorrectly called ‘benign tertian malaria’, has been attributed to Grassi and Feletti.² The parasite was initially termed *Haemamoeba vivax*, but the nomenclature was later revised to *Plasmodium vivax* (*P. vivax*). *P. vivax* is one of five plasmodial species responsible for human malaria.

Later, it was inferred by case observation that there was a latent form of *P. vivax*, which could give rise to a recurrence of disease many months later without reinfection.³ Over the ensuing decades, many hypotheses were promulgated to understand the mechanism of relapse. It was ultimately concluded that the etiologic agent responsible for episodic relapse was exoerythrocytic in nature, isolated to hepatic cells, and following the primary erythrocytic infection.

The life cycle of vivax malaria is, for the most part, similar to that of the other species of malaria (Fig. 1).

When an infected mosquito takes a blood meal from a human being, sporozoites, present in the salivary glands of the mosquito, are inoculated into capillaries of the upper dermis from where they will reach the portal circulation. Subsequently, they are circulated to the liver where they invade hepatic cells. After a brief cycle of asexual replication of the parasite, the hepatocytes – which can no longer contain the growing, dividing parasite – rupture, releasing 10 – 40 000 merozoites into the general circulation. At that point, the merozoites encounter erythrocytes and are transported intracellularly, where a new cycle of asexual replication and growth begins.⁴

When the single parasite is in the erythrocyte, it is termed an early trophozoite. The trophozoite grows and then begins to asexually replicate, a phenomenon known as schizogony. When schizonts are sufficiently mature, the erythrocytes containing schizonts rupture, releasing merozoites with a subsequent increase in circulating malaria parasites.

After a certain stage, some parasites will transform into gametocytes, both male and female. These are then taken up by mosquitoes during a blood meal and will transform into male and female gametes. The union of male and female gametes together will form dipoilid zygotes, which in turn become ookinetes. These ookinetes migrate to the midgut of the insect, pass through the gut wall and form the oocysts in the haemolymph. Meiotic division of the oocysts occurs leading to maturation and rupture to release sporozoites, which then migrates...
to the salivary glands of the female *Anopheles* mosquito ready to continue the cycle of transmission back to man.\(^5\) The main difference between the life cycle of *P. vivax* and *Plasmodium falciparum* (*P. falciparum*) is the development of latent forms – known as hypnozoites – in the liver.\(^6\) The hypnozoites are not eradicated by standard antimalarials and can awaken days to months to years after the last bout of clinical malaria, in the absence of a mosquito bite, unless drugs specifically targeting the hypnozoites are administered.
Clinical manifestations of \textit{P. vivax} infection include high fevers, chills and rigours, nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea. The manifestations are a consequence in part of ruptured erythrocytes and the cytokine response to the sudden release of parasites, haemoglobin and erythrocyte membranes. Untreated and over time, the paroxysms of fever and chills can occur every 48 hours due to synchronicity of the parasite intraerythrocytic growth. Paroxysms can also occur daily. Tertian fever (paroxysm every third day) is not pathognomonic for \textit{P. vivax} but also occurs with \textit{Plasmodium ovale} (\textit{P. ovale}).\textsuperscript{7–11}

\textit{P. vivax}, despite relatively low parasitaemia, results in severe symptoms and high burden of morbidity and associated mortality. Anaemia can be profound and the spleen can enlarge markedly as it filters remnants and disposes of ruptured erythrocytes. Anaemia carries its own morbidity and mortality, and enlarged spleens can rupture either spontaneously or secondary to blunt trauma since the enlarged spleen is more fragile. Therefore, the clinical course of \textit{P. vivax} malaria can be anything but benign and needs to be considered equal to \textit{P. falciparum} malaria regarding financial investment and priorities.\textsuperscript{12–14}

Although \textit{P. vivax} has been shown to tolerate temperate regions, its distribution is nevertheless widespread and is present in southeast Asia and Oceania, South and Central America, the Indian subcontinent, eastern Mediterranean and parts of southern Europe, and Africa. Although \textit{P. falciparum} is more prevalent in Africa than \textit{P. vivax}, in the other regions of the world populations are, in general, equally likely to be exposed to both species.\textsuperscript{15} African populations who are Duffy blood group-negative have shown resistance to erythrocyte \textit{P. vivax} infection demonstrating the importance of the Duffy antigen receptor for invasion of vivax sporozoites. Recent findings in Madagascar, however, have demonstrated now that Duffy-negative and Duffy-positive populations of diverse ethnic backgrounds can both sustain a vivax infection.\textsuperscript{16}

Acute infections of \textit{P. vivax} have traditionally responded well to a treatment course of chloroquine for the systemic erythrocytic infection. However, in the Indonesian archipelago, sensitivity of the parasite to chloroquine appears to be diminished; therefore, chloroquine is no longer used as a standard treatment. Chloroquine-resistant \textit{P. vivax} also appears to be expanding its territory in SE Asia.\textsuperscript{17} For these reasons, treatment in this region as well as Solomon Islands, Vanuatu and now Cambodia is shifting more towards artemisinin combination therapies (ACTs). The advantage of ACTs is the circumvention of chloroquine resistance and the ability to treat falciparum malaria, should a patient have a mixed \textit{Plasmodium} spp infection.

**Pros and Cons of Current Relapse Prevention**

For the last approximately 95 years, 8-aminoquinoline drugs have been known to be effective against the exoerythrocytic form of \textit{P. vivax}, thereby preventing relapse following treatment with an effective blood schizonticide. Primaquine phosphate is currently the only marketed monotherapy drug for this indication. Typically, primaquine is administered concurrently with chloroquine at a dose of 15 mg base per day for 14 days (210 mg total dose). In some regions such as in the Indonesia archipelago, higher doses of primaquine (30 mg base for 14 days; 420 mg total dose) are administered to prevent relapse.\textsuperscript{18,19}

Although shorter treatment regimens have been tested in clinical trials, it would appear that total treatment dose corresponds with overall efficacy.\textsuperscript{20} Since higher daily doses to achieve the effective total dose may be associated with more subjective side effects, shorter treatment regimens with higher daily doses have therefore not been widely used or recommended. On the other hand, patient compliance with a 14-day regimen of drug to prevent relapse may diminish the overall effectiveness of the standard regimen despite the demonstration of reasonable efficacy in clinical trials.\textsuperscript{20}

Gastrointestinal discomfort and side effects are associated with the oral administration of primaquine, especially at the higher doses. There is evidence to indicate that gastrointestinal discomfort can be mitigated by administration with food.\textsuperscript{21–26}

Primaquine metabolism is complex and appears to be via enzymes such as the cytochrome P450s and monoamine oxidase, and involves reactive intermediates that have defied attempts to quantitate in plasma. The reactive metabolites are thought to reduce glutathione, rendering erythrocytes with low activity in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) more susceptible to oxidative damage to haemoglobin, and possibly through metabolite-haemoglobin adduct formation.\textsuperscript{27,28} Downstream effects include Heinz body formation and ultimately erythrocyte destruction.\textsuperscript{29} There are many genetic variants of G6PD deficiency worldwide. Depending on the quantitative interaction between G6PD enzyme activity and glutathione concentration, red blood cells (RBCs) are able to deal with drug-induced oxidative stress to varying degrees. Since primaquine at doses that prevent relapse may variably lead to clinically relevant reductions in erythrocytes in individuals who are G6PD deficient, the development of novel point of care G6PD tests that can be reliably used in malaria field conditions will allow the testing of patients whose G6PD status is unknown. This will enable an evaluation of patient levels of G6PD activity prior to being given a full treatment course to prevent relapse as recommended.
by the World Health Organisation (http://www.who.int/malaria/areas/global_technical_strategy/draft-gts-english.pdf?ua=1).30,31

A recent publication regarding primaquine radical cure of \textit{P. vivax} has compiled data from multiple studies reported beginning in the 1950s.20 The rationale for the critical review was the apparent lack of consensus over the optimal dosing regimen for relapse prevention. Since the compiled data were published as supplementary files, a scatter plot of total dose (primaquine base mg/day × treatment length in days) versus % cure has been generated for studies in which primaquine was given with chloroquine. A simple $E_{\text{max}}$ curve (SigmaPlot, Systat Software, ver. 11, San Jose, CA, USA) was fit to the data for illustration purposes (Fig. 2).

From this representation of the data, there is a wide range of ‘spontaneous’ cures when no primaquine is given. Although there is a fair amount of scatter of response at lower total doses, the upper asymptote of the $E_{\text{max}}$ model begins to plateau at the total dose associated with 15 mg/day for 14 days. The scatter around that dose is still fairly wide, with tighter responses around the higher doses. The model also predicts that no dosing regimen overall consistently gives a complete cure, although the probability is greater with the higher total doses tested. Although patient compliance may account for this phenomenon to some degree, pharmacogenetic polymorphisms may also account for this variability.

In a recent experimental \textit{P. vivax} challenge study to assess the efficacy of a novel vaccine in development, volunteers were treated with rescue chloroquine and primaquine when they became patent parasitemic.32 Unexpectedly, several volunteers relapsed despite adequate treatment with primaquine. Subsequent investigations indicated that CYP2D6 polymorphisms may have been responsible for the lack of expected efficacy. That primaquine may indeed be a prodrug requiring metabolic activation has been further corroborated in animal studies utilising CYP2D knockout mice infected with \textit{Plasmodium berghei} (\textit{P. berghei}).33 Further laboratory assays with CYP2D6 isoenzymes have confirmed that primaquine metabolic conversion to hydroxylated metabolites is stereospecific and a function of CYP2D6 enzyme activity.34 Whether the anti-hypnozoite effects of the active metabolite(s) can be separated from the haemolytic effects is an area of active investigation.35,36

If CYP2D6 is necessary for metabolic activation, then efficacy (% cure) is subject to genetic polymorphisms. Individuals who are poor metabolizers may still be at risk for relapse. Similarly, individuals who are intermediate metabolizers may require a high dose of primaquine to overcome the decreased metabolic conversion of parent to active metabolite(s). The consequences of ultra-metabolizer status is unknown but should be considered in assessments of both efficacy and safety. Since CYP2D6 metabolizer status varies significantly by ethnic group, it is probable that regional differences in CYP2D6 polymorphisms may account, in part, for regional differences in dose–response, though full genotypic and phenotypic analyses are required to fully understand the situation as well as understanding the contribution of other enzymes to the active metabolites.

**Next-Generation 8-Aminoquinolines**

Primaquine’s activity on hypnozoites and resulting effects on blocking relapse have led international teams to deliver improved back-up compounds that overcome primaquine’s liabilities – 14 days of dosing and the risk of anaemia in G6PD deficient patients.

The second-generation 8-aminoquinoline is tafenoquine, also known as WR238605 or etaquine (Fig. 3). Tafenoquine is an 8-aminoquinoline but critically has a 5-substituted phenol ether. This functional group is inert to metabolism itself and protects the 5-position of the quinoline core from Phase I oxidation.37 Interestingly, since the 5-hydroxy and related metabolic products of primaquine are thought to be responsible for efficacy, it is important to note that no such direct reactive substructures can be formed with tafenoquine (given the 5-phenylether), and the metabolic stability of the compound is dramatically improved over primaquine. In human

![Figure 2](image2.png) **Figure 2** Total dose of primaquine versus % cure.

![Figure 3](image3.png) **Figure 3** The structures of leading 8-aminoquinolines: primaquine, tafenoquine.
beings, tafenoquine demonstrates an elimination half-life of 14 days and in a Phase II anti-relapse clinical study showed equal or better anti-relapse efficacy from a single 300 mg dose than 14 days of 15 mg primaquine. The compound is in Phase III clinical trials with GSK and Medicines for Malaria Ventures (MMV) and remains the next-generation 8-aminoquinoline, overcoming all compliance issues with a single-dose therapy. Finally, the pharmacokinetic profile of tafenoquine is such that a future triple combination with other potential single-dose combinations currently in clinical studies could potentially yield the first single exposure radical cure and prophylaxis or SERCAP.

Researchers at Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR) were able to discover tafenoquine—a compound with substantial improvements in pharmacokinetics and efficacy over primaquine—however, despite the structural change that blocks 5-hydroxylation and an inability to form potential quinone imines analogous to primaquine, tafenoquine, like primaquine, has a risk of haemolytic anaemia in certain low active G6PD patients. This means that it is likely to be used in concert with a diagnostic test to screen for patient G6PD deficiency. Clearly, given this risk, the drug is likely to be contraindicated in pregnancy because of a possible intravascular haemolytic risk in the mother and the foetus.

Despite the low metabolic turnover of tafenoquine and an inability to form a 5, 8-para iminoquinone, the burden of evidence is that a tafenoquine metabolite is responsible for efficacy. First, activity in in vitro parasite assays in which metabolism is absent, demonstrates moderate to low potency of tafenoquine (and other 8-aminoquinolines) both in terms of asexual blood as well as liver stages (such as P. berghei or Plasmodium yoelii (P. yoelii) infected HepG2 cells). However, when tafenoquine is tested in cell assays with Phase I metabolism, such as the in vitro Plasmodium cynomolgi (P. cynomolgi) infected primary rhesus hepatocyte assay, the compound, like primaquine, is active. Second, excellent evidence for activity through metabolism, at least in P. berghei-infected mice on liver schizonts, has been demonstrated elegantly by a group from WRAIR in which, similar to primaquine, tafenoquine was tested in a mouse CYP2D knockout model CYP. Tafenoquine showed excellent efficacy in the wild type but not in the knockout and the sensitivity of the drug was rescued, almost totally, with high-dose tafenoquine in the knockout/knock-in model. Consequently, it is clear that metabolites of tafenoquine are responsible for prophylaxis in the mice and, if this relates to anti-relapse efficacy in human beings, there is a potential that CYP2D or other CYP polymorphisms in patient populations might affect efficacy outcomes. Clinical studies would be required to assess this.

Tafenoquine is reported to undergo biliary excretion and C-oxidation on the 8-amino alkyl chain. Furthermore, whilst amino-phenolic metabolites have been reported, the overall metabolic position remains unclear. Assuming that an iminoquinone is necessary for activity, a possible mechanistic route that could explain this could involve O-dealkylation of the 6- and 2-methoxy groups and oxidation to give an extended highly reactive intermediate, though this is unproven. Either way, the clinical data showing long half-life and duration of efficacy coupled with the suggestion of active metabolites implies that a small amount of an active component is generated in vivo and is released over time from the long-lasting parent (akin to a depot formulation). Such an intermediate would be reactive and short-lived as well as toxic to the parasites.

Understanding the features necessary for efficacy in the 8-aminoquinolines is the first step; however, the ultimate goal is to also understand the features necessary for the haemolytic risk in G6PD deficient patients too and thus determine whether a new 8-aminoquinoline can be synthesised bearing sufficient efficacy without haematological toxicity. The most direct programme to improve on primaquine led to the delivery of bulaquine—an exo-butenolide enamine analogue of primaquine. The compound has undergone clinical studies and is marketed in India, however, additional PK and efficacy studies are required to demonstrate superior safety in G6PD deficient patients; from a medicinal chemistry perspective, bulaquine is likely to be a prodrug of primaquine. NPC-1161B is a next-generation 8-aminoquinoline, similar to the tafenoquine core that has been profiled extensively in the hope of separating toxicity from efficacy (Fig. 4). Structurally, the molecule retains the metabolic block at C-5 but removal of the 2-OMe group (when compared with tafenoquine). Furthermore, studies on the enantiomers (in line with studies on the enantiomers of other 8-aminoquinolines) have suggested further improvements by using a single stereoisomer at the 8-position. As such NPC-1161B, the (−) or R enantiomer shows improved efficacy to tafenoquine in most in vitro cell assays as well as in the P. berghei sporozoite prophylaxis model. The metabolism of NPC-1161B is poorly understood and whilst, without oxidation through enzymes such as aldehyde oxidase, similar metabolites to tafenoquine cannot obviously be formed, more data are required to fully understand what species are present and responsible for efficacy. Full data for primaquine, tafenoquine and NPC-1161B are shown in Table 1.
Despite the promise associated with NPC-1161B, whether or not it can be developed further will depend on its differentiation regarding the risk of anaemia in G6PD deficient patients when compared to primaquine or tafenoquine.

Many other 8-aminoquinolines have been synthesised and tested, however, without robust validation in in vitro liver stage assays and data to confirm a reduced risk of anaemia in G6PD deficient patients, the task of conducting a logical optimisation project is severe. If assays are delivered that easily allow for a demonstration and separation of efficacy from toxicity then perhaps future 8-aminoquinoline radical curative agents will be forthcoming.

Very few other chemotypes have been reported to have activity on hypnozoites in the literature. Schmidt54 and Puri and Dutta55 have published data showing that the quinolones ICI 56780 (also known as WR197236) and WR194905 are active in the in vivo P. cynomolgi rhesus model. Whilst these compounds do have liver schizonticidal activity, no evidence of activity on hypnozoites in vitro has been published yet and the interpretation of the radical curative activity is confounded by the potent asexual liver and blood-stage activity of the series such that the relapse inhibition could conceivably be a result of post-treatment prophylaxis from an intramuscular dose of compound and leaching out from a ‘depot’ over time. The physical properties of such quinolones also present a major development challenge, so it is unlikely that such series will be developed further unless definitive anti-hypnozoite activity is determined along with acceptable drug-like solubility and oral bioavailability.

A second chemical class with modest anti-relapse activity in the P. cynomolgi rhesus model is RC-12.56 RC-12 is an electron rich ring with amine side chains; interestingly, an overlay with primaquine (Fig. 5) is apparent and putative metabolites of RC-12 can theoretically form iminoquinones. RC-12 was progressed to human studies and failed to show efficacy for prophylaxis or radical cure; this could be, in part because of the weaker activity, but also because of differential metabolism between primates and human beings – such that the active metabolites released in rhesus were inaccessible in human being – further data would be required to support this hypothesis.57

A third series are the imidazolidinone derivatives from WRAIR.58 This series was identified from in vivo screening and demonstrated activity in the P. cynomolgi rhesus radical cure model. On oral dosing of 7–50 mg/kg, compound 8e from the paper (Fig. 5) demonstrated radical cure in one animal and a delay of relapse of 28 days in a second. The nature of the molecule delivering killing (e.g. authors postulate oxidised and cyclised possibilities) and the ease with which high oral bioavailability can be achieved are factors that will need clarification before a clinical candidate is likely to be forthcoming.

### Table 1 Key in vitro and in vivo data for primaquine, tafenoquine and NPC-1161B

| Compound | Pf EC50 (nM) | Pf NF54  (nM) | \(\text{Pc infection at } 10 \mu\text{M}\) | Pb in vivo prophylaxis ED90 (mg/kg) based on dosing day 1, 0 and 144 | Pc in vivo radical cure rhesus model oral dose giving radical cure in combination with chloroquine |
|----------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Primaquine | 1191/9000 (Pb) | >90%/>90% | 20 mg/kg | 7 doses primaquine q.d. p.o. 0.6 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg chloroquine |
| Tafenoquine | 631/30 000 (Pb) | 20–70%/>90% | 3 mg/kg | 3 doses tafenoquine q.d. p.o. 0.6 mg/kg and 16 mg/kg chloroquine |
| NPC-1161B | 419/720 (Py) | >90%/>90% | 1 mg/kg | No published data |

Pf: P. falciparum, Pv: P. vivax, Pb: P. berghei.
Recently, with the generation of the in vitro P. cynomolgi assay where sporozoites infect primary rhesus hepatocytes, considerable screening has been performed to find new series. Apart from confirming the activity of known positive controls (as shown above), a novel mechanistic class has been identified – Plasmodium PI4K inhibitors. Researches from Novartis Institute for Tropical Disease in collaboration with the Genomics Institute of the Novartis Foundation, Biomedical Primate Research Centre, Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute and MMV have identified an imidazopyrazine series, exemplified by KAI407 and KDU691, that selectively inhibits Plasmodium PI4K and shows inhibition of not only liver schizonts, in the P. cynomolgi in vitro assay, but also inhibition of the development of hypnozoite (Fig. 6). This is a major breakthrough and is the first group of compounds to show potential for true prophylaxis of malaria species, including those that form hypnozoites, since primaquine and tafenoquine. Careful studies with the frontrunners, KAI407 and KDU691, on the mature hypnozoites will be necessary to confirm whether these have hypnozoiticidal potential and the ability to block relapse. Indeed, the current format of the P. cynomolgi assay, where compounds are added within the testing plate at the same time as sporozoites, screen for compounds acting on the development of sporozoites into either schizonts or hypnozoites (chemo-preventive) and not for compounds active on already formed hypnozoites. This will be an important point that will need to be carefully addressed in the development of new in vitro liver stage assays since preventing the formation of hypnozoites is not the same as killing an established hypnozoite. Indeed, there is evidence reviewed elsewhere that killing the youngest hepatic stage parasites requires only a single 30 mg dose of primaquine given within 48 hours of sporozoite inoculation, whereas 210–420 mg primaquine is required to kill an established hypnozoite.

The paucity of available treatments to cure and kill all P. vivax parasites infecting patients can be explained by two main factors: (1) the complex biology of the parasite compared to the other Plasmodium strains, and in particular its ability to form liver dormant forms, called hypnozoites, that can be re-activated weeks or years later leading to a new episode of malaria in the absence of a mosquito bite; and (2) the lack of industrialised and low cost drug discovery in vitro assays that recapitulate this biology and which would allow for the screening of large chemical libraries directly on the human parasite, especially hypnozoites, to deliver compounds that block relapse (TCP3a as defined in Refs. 39,46).

The current strategy favoured by MMV, relies principally on the use of surrogate assays, which are already available to the community and brought to a level of miniaturisation and throughput that are compatible with the support of drug discovery programmes targeting malaria eradication. Optimism in the outcome of such strategy must nevertheless be tempered due to the obvious caveat that it focuses on assays that involve neither the human parasite nor human host tissue. Compounds pre-selected based on their activity against the asexual blood stage of the parasite or large unbiased chemical libraries can be directly screened on the liver stage using an assay that is based on the development of P. berghei or P. yoelii liver schizonts within a human hepatoma cell line. This high-throughput assay, although not directly relevant for finding compounds that will kill hypnozoites, pragmatically demonstrates that a compound can kill liver stage parasites (albeit rodent schizonts) and is used as a filter to narrow-down the number of compounds which will enter the next phase of the screening cascade. It is important to note, however, that all compounds shown so far to kill hypnozoites are also active on the liver developing schizonts and whilst compounds selective for mature hypnozoites might be missed, dual acting compounds such as the current radical cure standard of care, primaquine, will be identified. This reduced panel of compounds is then tested in
the next key assay of the screening cascade which uses the surrogate *Plasmodium* strain phylogenetically most closely related to *P. vivax*, namely *P. cynomolgi* (Fig. 7). This *Plasmodium* species produces both large and small exoerythrocytic forms in the liver of its host (rhesus monkeys) as well as in primary rhesus hepatocytes *in vitro*. These forms have now been validated as schizonts and hypnozoites, respectively.64,65 The potential of the compounds identified as positives in the rodent liver stage assay as radical cure agents is measured by recording their cidal activity on mature *P. cynomolgi* hypnozoites. The proof of concept *in vivo* for the anti-hypnozoiticidal potential of a preclinical molecule is obtained if a molecule demonstrates anti-relapse activity (out to 100 days) in the gold standard preclinical model, the *P. cynomolgi*-infected rhesus model.66 The ultimate validation will finally take place in human clinical trials after a full preclinical safety and pharmacokinetic package has been obtained. The key radical cure human models in this case are a Phase II clinical study in patients with confirmed *P. vivax* monoinfection and with a primary endpoint measured as the percentage of relapse-free efficacy at 6 months post initial dose similar to that used in the tafenoquine DETECTIVE trial38 and/or a Phase II ‘out of transmission’ model where Indonesian soldiers who have spent up to 12 months in *P. vivax* endemic areas (Papua) are returned to their malaria-free base (Java) and given new anti-relapse agents and followed-up over the course of 12 months.67 It is important to note that because all radical cure agents available on the market or in late phase development bear some risks of haemolysis in G6PD deficient patients, every preclinical candidate will therefore be evaluated for its safety profile in the recently developed and validated human (hu) RBCs-SCID mouse model using blood from volunteers with low active G6PD.68

While the current screening cascade is not optimal as it uses many surrogate assays, it has the advantage that it can be used immediately and can also serve to identify compounds with potential for chemoprophylaxis (TCP4 as defined in Ref. 39). TCP4 compounds bearing an extremely well-tolerated profile will be highly desirable to protect vulnerable populations such as pregnant women, children and field workers in remote and high transmission areas. MMV and The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) are currently collaborating to find solutions to the key issues currently standing in the way of a robust, affordable and validated high-throughput drug discovery assay to discover novel anti-relapse reagents in the same manner as phenotypic asexual blood-stage (ABS) assays have been used to identify new ABS inhibitors.69,70 Establishing such liver stage *in vitro* assays will also permit the identification of compounds, which could re-activate hypnozoites into schizonts.71 Re-activated hypnozoites could then be eliminated using schizonticides that are currently available. This approach could represent an alternative to hypnozoiticidal compounds and is a justifiable drug discovery strategy. Compounds with such characteristics have recently been identified by Dembélé et al. using their *P. cynomolgi* assay.65

The major road blocks for the development of high throughput *in vitro* *P. vivax* assays are: (i) stable and infectable hepatocyte cell lines that are permissive to *P. vivax*, or alternatively, access to a reliable source of primary human hepatocytes, (ii) sustainable access to a large amount of viable sporozoites with high infectivity, (iii) specific biomarkers of hypnozoites to enable identification and quantification in the host cells, and (iv) anti-relapse
agents with desirable pharmacological profiles that do not require metabolism for their activity in order to act as positive controls to validate new \textit{in vitro} \textit{P. vivax} assays. In addition, the assay needs to be low cost so as to enable large-scale screening.

\textbf{Strategy to overcome identified challenges}

\textbf{Infectable hepatocytes}

Several previous studies have demonstrated that \textit{P. vivax} sporozoites are permissive to both primary human hepatocytes as well as hepatoma cell lines (such as HepG2 and HC-04 cells) resulting in the full development of \textit{P. vivax} liver stages and the observation of large developing schizonts and small non-developing hypnozoites \textit{in vitro}.\textsuperscript{73–76} This plethora of \textit{in vitro} studies is encouraging; however, several issues remain to be solved. Despite the availability of freshly isolated and cryopreserved primary hepatocytes from a variety of sources, they are in limited supply, do not proliferate \textit{in vitro} and are highly variable in their ability to support infection of \textit{P. vivax} sporozoites with a very low infection rate observed on average (\(~0.1\%\)). A human hepatocyte cell line (HC-04) that allows for complete \textit{P. vivax} liver stage development has also been reported, but despite the advantages of solving the supply and variability issues associated with primary hepatocytes, the rate of infection still remains low: 0.1\%.\textsuperscript{75} Furthermore, maintenance of hepatocytes in culture for 5–21 days, which would be needed to observe complete liver stage development and potential hypnozoite reactivation, is difficult as culture conditions are often not commensurate with extended periods of time due to overgrowth and loss of the monolayer. Major progress in this area has been accomplished in recent months by two groups each of them using different approaches. The first group uses a microscale human liver platform composed of cryopreserved, micropatterned cocultures (MPCC) of human primary hepatocytes surrounded by supportive stromal cells.\textsuperscript{76} The MPCC platform can maintain individual patient hepatocytes between 4 and 6 weeks and has demonstrated the ability to support the liver stages of \textit{P. falciparum} and \textit{P. vivax} parasites in a medium-throughput format (96-well plate assay). This offers promise on the way to a high-throughput assay amenable for drug screening. While the ability to maintain long-term culture of primary hepatocytes represents a major improvement in the search for the ideal \textit{P. vivax} assay, this assay still uses primary hepatocytes and therefore the caveats associated with this, such as limited cell availability, lot-to-lot variability issues, use of a co-culture that increases the potential technical difficulty in performing \textit{in vitro} culture and a rate of infection that still remains low (\(~0.1\%\)). An alternative system that is currently being assessed for its potential as a source of good infectable hepatocytes is based on the design of a microfluidic bilayer device (MBD) featuring two microfluidic channels separated by a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) membrane.\textsuperscript{77} Interestingly, the authors describe that static culture of a small number of either primary hepatocytes or human hepatoma cell lines (HepG2, HC-04 and FRF\textsuperscript{78}-KO mouse-expanded human hepatocytes i.e. FHH) in this MBD setting is sufficient to maintain their phenotype for up to 3 weeks post-seeding, without the need for complex perfusion or co-culture. This simple system shows promise and if permissive to \textit{P. vivax} infection, could represent an additional viable approach. Indeed, while having metabolising active cells such as primary hepatocytes is key for the activity of positive controls such as primaquine, this might be a major obstacle in the identification of primary hits as screening compounds are often metabolically unstable and are rapidly turned over by CYP-P450 enzymes present in primary hepatocytes. Therefore, a screen reliant on metabolically active primary hepatocytes carries the risk of generating a large number of false negatives. It is therefore important to continue developing new \textit{in vitro} assays in which liver metabolism is substantially reduced such as with hepatoma cell lines (HC-04, HepG2 and FHH), where such risks will be limited. Some limitations, regarding the MBD system described earlier, will however have to be addressed before it can be deployed as a drug discovery assay. So far, the device only uses eight chambers, and the feasibility of producing a cheap and ready to use 96 or ideally 384-well device will be the major hurdle for such an assay to be used as a screening tool.

\textbf{Source of sporozoites}

From a practical point of view, access to a reliable and homogenous source of sporozoites in quantity sufficient to produce the biomass necessary for \textit{P. vivax} drug screening assay constrains high-throughput cellular screening against hypnozoites. The major challenge is that sporozoites are produced in the salivary gland of mosquitoes fed on infected blood; however, there is currently no continuous \textit{in vitro} blood-stage culture system for \textit{P. vivax}, which could generate the number of clonal blood-stage parasites, including the key sexual gametes necessary for mosquito infection. In the absence of \textit{P. vivax} \textit{in vitro} blood-stage culture, the community relies on harvesting sporozoites from mosquitoes directly fed on infected patient blood collected from the field. Despite this being laborious, there are groups in endemic countries that have access to large numbers of \textit{P. vivax} infected patients and therefore blood from which sporozoites can be obtained.
for low to medium screening (see below). *P. vivax* cannot be maintained *in vitro* under the same conditions developed to cultivate *P. falciparum*. In addition, *P. vivax* parasites preferentially invade reticulocytes, which are difficult to obtain in a sufficient amount and concentration to establish long-term cultures (1–2% of circulating RBCs). After a century of research and despite the use of several different methods, only short-term cultures (<1 month) have been achieved so far, maintaining infection for a few *P. vivax* schizogony cycles only (for review, see Ref. 79). The preference for younger erythrocytes makes establishing continuous blood-stage culture of *P. vivax* particularly challenging as a continuous and reliable source of reticulocytes is required. Working with reticulocytes is technically difficult and labour intensive. Although it is theoretically possible to use whole adult human blood as a potential source, the concentration of reticulocytes is really low,79–83 and the short lifespan of young erythrocytes circulating in the peripheral blood (24 hours) makes it unreliable as a continuous source of cells for *P. vivax* culture. Three major sources of reticulocytes have been used thus far. As summarised by Udomsangpetch et al.,83 two sources of reticulocytes have been utilised in the past: hemochromatotic blood that can contain up to 7% reticulocytes84 and umbilical cord blood (UCB) collected at birth, which contains 1–5% reticulocytes.85 Both techniques have yielded promising results with cultivation periods ranging from continuous culture, but with low parasitaemia, with reticulocytes from hemochromatotic blood to 30–40 days with reticulocytes obtained from UCB. However, one major challenge is that these cultures require a constant re-supply of fresh reticulocytes and therefore access to large amounts of either cord blood or haemochromatosis patients is needed. This also introduces donor to donor variability in experimentation. A third source of reticulocytes for *P. vivax* culture is haematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) isolated from UCB or from embryonic sources (ESC). One advantage of HSCs is that the asynchronous maturation of the erythroid cells enables continuous production of fresh reticulocytes that have already been shown as suitable target cells for *P. vivax* invasion.86–89 Finally, latest recent report from Van et al.88 shows that CD34 + -enriched populations of UCB produced the highest amount of reticulocytes, and these cells can be invaded by *P. vivax*. Van et al. also provide proof that *P. vivax* parasites have a preference for invading immature reticulocytes, which reduces further the panel of blood cells available for infection and therefore increases the technical difficulty of *P. vivax* blood-stage cultures. The cost, the technical difficulty, labour intensity and for some countries the need for special authorisations could make methods that rely on HSC-derived reticulocytes less accessible. In this regard, the results obtained by Noulin et al.87 showing that it is possible to cryopreserve *P. vivax* infected HSC-derived reticulocytes introduces the possibility of creating stocks of reticulocytes to use as target cells for the establishment of an *in vitro* culture of *P. vivax*. Some recent work focuses on the development of cell lines, either from erythroleukemia (for review, see Ref. 90) or immortalised HSC (for review, see Ref. 91) that may mature more reproducibly *in vitro* and generate reticulocytes. It is, however, still early days and despite interesting progress no major breakthroughs have been published.

Several groups are now exploring the increasing availability of humanised mice (for review, see Refs. 92,93) that produce human reticulocytes from engrafted stem cells or are injected with human red blood cells as models, for the maintenance, propagation and transmission of *P. vivax* isolates. The notion is that mice will be used as a ‘factory’ for the regular production of *P. vivax* gametocytes for standard membrane feeding assays (SMFA) or direct feeding assays to produce sporozoites. One promising model that has recently been published is the MI(S)TRG mice model (immunodeficient Rag2−/−Il2rg−/− mice), in which human versions of four genes encoding cytokines important for innate immune cell development and erythropoiesis are knocked in to their respective mouse loci (human M-CSF, human IL-3, human GM-CSF, human TPO and human SIRP1a).94,95 Those mice demonstrate a high efficiency of human haematopoietic engraftment (e.g. human cells almost completely replace mouse cells in the bone marrow) and support multiple lineages of human haematopoiesis including reticulocytes. While this system shows promise, one of the limitations is that survival of human RBCs in the peripheral circulation is suboptimal, as they are engulfed by mouse phagocytes. This problem will need to be addressed in the future by genetic strategies to support the development and survival of human RBC population in the periphery. If this is achieved, the next step will be to infect MI(S)TRG mice with *P. vivax* and examine their potential to develop a full asexual blood-stage infection with the production of gametocytes. Another promising humanised mice model described by Vaughan et al.96 uses immunocompromised and furamyl acetoacetate hydrolase-deficient mouse (FRG mouse97,98) backcrossed to the non-obese diabetic (NOD) background, which are then repopulated with human hepatocytes and human RBCs. These mice demonstrated full liver stage infection to blood-stage infection for *P. falciparum*. The team
is currently working on reproducing this using *P. vivax* and, if successful, the model could provide unique opportunities for *in vivo* studies of *P. vivax* and the discovery of new drugs targeting schizonts and hypnozoites (less costly and less demanding in terms of quantity of compound needed compared with primate models). If the mice demonstrate presence of gametocytes in the peripheral blood, then the model would also provide another potential source to feed mosquitoes and later on produce *P. vivax* sporozoites. Finally, nonhuman primates have also been shown to be reliable models for human malaria, including for *P. vivax*. Indeed, a large number of *P. vivax* isolates has been adapted to grow in primate species such as *Aotus* and *Saimiri* monkeys (for review, see Ref. 99). These strains were shown to develop liver as well as blood stages producing circulating gametocytes that might be used for sporozoite production in mosquitoes. Several factors will need to be considered when using such models. There are only a few primate centres available worldwide for conducting malaria research using *Aotus* and *Saimiri* monkeys and fewer centres have expertise in mosquito feeding and cell culture, further narrowing down the potential institutions that might be used for this work. Another challenge with the *Aotus* and *Saimiri* model is that the monkeys become immune to *Plasmodium* and can therefore no longer be used repeatedly for subsequent infections, highlighting the fact that a large number of monkeys might be needed to support the regular production of sporozoites required for a high-throughput *P. vivax* liver stage *in vitro* assay. The associated cost and ethical approvals will also be challenging.

Interestingly, all the above strategies currently rely on generating *P. vivax* sporozoites at the same research site or close to research sites where hepatocyte tissue is cultured and new *in vitro* liver stage platforms for *P. vivax* have been developed. Another option is to transfer the *in vitro* culture assays directly to sites where sporozoites are generated from blood obtained from patients infected with *P. vivax*. The latter approach requires access to a regular and reliable source of infected patient blood, an insectary, and tools and technical capacity for membrane feeding of mosquitoes and their dissection to isolate *P. vivax* sporozoites. All these critical components need to reside in the same country, ideally in close geographic proximity, and the team responsible for liver stage assays will need to have the experience of managing scale up of sporozoite production for use in high-throughput screens. There are few scientific groups capable of gathering all such assets in the same region. For example, an insectary has been established in Iquitos, Peru, in collaboration with the University of Cayetano (Peru) and the University of California, San Diego (USA), and has successfully established for the first time in South America, an *An. darlingi* colony derived from wild-caught mosquitoes obtained in the northeastern Peruvian Amazon region of Iquitos that was confirmed for its *P. vivax* vectorial capacity. The feasibility of infecting *in vitro* liver hepatocyte culture with the sporozoites produced weekly in their insectary is currently being tested. One major challenge will be to continue improving sporozoite yields per mosquito, which is currently suboptimal (1000–6000 sporozoites per mosquito), in order to provide the necessary sporozoite biomass for a high-throughput screening assay. India also represents a country of interest, as there is the possibility to access large amounts of *P. vivax* infected patients each year (up to 90% of the population is at risk and >7% suffering from the disease in certain area of the country, Ref: http://worldmalariaireport.org/and http://www.map.ox.ac.uk/). It is possible that all the infrastructure necessary for the regular generation of sporozoites might be established in India as several groups have experience and expertise in malaria. Moreover, the presence of pharmaceutical drug discovery expertise in the country is of interest as an industrial partner might facilitate assay setup, validation and screening. Thailand, where *P. vivax* sporozoite production is already in place and the number of vivax malaria cases is high (up to 52% of the population is at risk and >7% suffering from the disease in certain area of the country, Ref: http://worldmalariaireport.org and http://www.map.ox.ac.uk/), is also a potential country for future drug screening campaigns. The advantage of running high-throughput screens in countries such as India and Thailand is that it taps into local expertise, promotes capacity building in endemic countries and provides access to local *P. vivax* strains and, thus, a better insight into the regional biology of the parasite. The downside of this strategy is that it relies on collection of field isolates with all the caveats associated to this: inter patient variability in infectiousness to mosquitoes, heterogeneity of *P. vivax* strains from batch to batch, considerable logistics involved in finding patients and the need of highly skilled technical staff for drawing blood and performing experimentation. A major advance in access to large amount of *P. vivax* sporozoites would be the development of a reliable and effective method of sporozoite cryopreservation, building on the established work of Sanaria Inc. at Rockville, MD, USA. To date, the work recently published with cryopreserved versus fresh sporozoites using the MPCC platform has demonstrated that a wide range of infection rates were observed between cryopreserved...
batches and that fresh sporozoites achieved infection rates that were 7- to 13-fold higher than cryopreserved sporozoites.\textsuperscript{76} Moreover, only 25\% of the cryopreserved sporozoites demonstrated good gliding motility and when added to 10 000 patterned hepatocytes, resulted in only a 3\% positive capacity to traverse cells. This relatively low percentage of viable sporozoites means that large numbers of fresh sporozoites per vial will need to be cryopreserved. It is important to note that, despite the low number of viable sporozoites, the sporozoites that infect hepatocytes lead to full parasite development and maturity resulting in ultimate release of infective merozoites.\textsuperscript{74} This underscores the fact that cryopreservation is achievable, but work remains to be done to improve cryopreservation efficiency before such methods can be used to support a \textit{P. vivax} liver stage drug screening platform. A path currently followed by MMV and BMGF is to link experts in malaria with expert cryobiologists with experience in cryopreservation of mammalian cells and tissues. Low temperature banking has played a pivotal role, first for gametes and later for embryos and immature germ cells, and the development of optimised cryopreservation techniques in this field has taken more than 50 years.\textsuperscript{108,109} There is information and expertise from the area of cryobiology, in particular the recent progress in sperm (another mobile and fragile cellular organism) and oocyte cryopreservation (for review, see Refs. 110,111) that will likely benefit the malaria community.

**Identification of hypnozoites biomarkers**

To date, no markers for hypnozoites have been identified, and the current techniques for detection of hypnozoites rely on parasite surface protein staining (for example using anti-circumsporozoite protein (CSP) monoclonal antibodies that are commercially available\textsuperscript{73,75,76,112,113} and distinctive morphology of the small exoerythrocytic forms). Pharmacological validation using primaquine and tafenoquine, the only drugs that have been shown to block \textit{P. vivax} relapse in man, are often used to confirm the presence of hypnozoites in \textit{P. vivax} culture systems and animal models. However, \textit{in vitro} primaquine and tafenoquine require metabolic activation to release the liver stage active components and so are poor positive controls in non-metabolising cell cultures. The identification of specific markers for hypnozoites is key and the use of global approaches such as metabolomics\textsuperscript{114,115} and transcriptomics,\textsuperscript{116} which have already been used for other purposes in the field of malaria will be helpful. Further, the development of transgenic fluorescent \textit{P. cynomolgi}\textsuperscript{79} and \textit{P. vivax} parasites stably expressing fluorescent markers with the possibility to identify and isolate the different forms using imaging or fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) will allow the generation of pure populations of hypnozoites. The method will aid the development of metabolomic and transcriptomic approaches and will undoubtedly lead to the identification of novel liver stage targets for drug discovery efforts and biomarkers of dormant liver forms. While the community waits for hypnozoite biomarkers to become available, the use of strains such as the long-latency Korean strain of \textit{P. vivax} isolate, which mainly forms hypnozoites in the liver,\textsuperscript{74} might be desirable in phenotypic screens seeking a radical cure agent on a naturally produced pure population of hypnozoites.

**Conclusion**

The malaria elimination and eradication agenda requires the discovery of novel \textit{P. vivax} anti-relapse medicines as the existing tools are insufficient to the task. The only approved drug that is effective against hypnozoites is primaquine. However, it suffers from several liabilities such as compliance due to long treatment courses (14 days), severe haemolytic toxicity in G6PD deficient patients and contraindication in pregnant women or significant gastrointestinal disturbance limiting its use in the clinic. The only one other drug to kill \textit{P. vivax} liver stages, tafenoquine, is currently in clinical development and despite major improvement in the dosing regimen, reducing it from 2 weeks to one single-dose administration, its safety profile in particular versus G6PD deficient patients remains similar to primaquine. There remains, therefore, a major need to deliver new and better tolerated medicines to eradicate \textit{P. vivax} malaria. To date, to the best of our knowledge, there are no institutions or pharmaceutical companies currently screening for hypnozoiticidal chemical entities using a \textit{P. vivax} liver \textit{in vitro} stage assay with a direct readout on hypnozoites. It is interesting to note that until recently \textit{P. vivax} has been the truly neglected parasite species within the global malaria community. This was due, in part, because the burden of disease was still poorly understood but more importantly because this malaria was still, incorrectly, considered as benign by the community. This neglect reflects the number of novel anti-relapse compounds under development. Only two out of 37 regulatory preclinical and clinical development projects in the global antimalarial pipeline are currently specific to \textit{P. vivax} relapse prevention. This is insufficient, in particular, since the time taken to develop new medicines from discovery to final registration is likely to be greater than 10 years. Therefore, the discovery molecules of today are the new medicines of the mid-2020s at the earliest. Moreover, attrition rates in drug discovery and development will make the challenge even greater as several hundreds of hits will have to be.
found in order to produce one well-tolerated medicine to treat those populations in need. The focus from the malaria community as well as funding bodies and drug discovery and product development partnerships such as the BMGF or MMV, is now strongly shifting to strengthen the P. vivax pipeline by providing in vitro as well as in vivo assays fit for screening large libraries of chemical compounds and discovering the next generation of anti-hypnozoite agents. As described in this review, emerging good quality and exciting tools have now demonstrated the proof of concept that such robust assays could be developed. It is now time for academic researchers, industrial partners, non-governmental agencies, foundations and funding agencies to team up together for the extra ‘push’ that will allow the scale-up and industrialisation of these assays necessary for the screening of large small molecule libraries and subsequent drug discovery and development. The goal is clear – to discover, develop and deliver the next generation of well-tolerated and efficacious medicines for the treatment of patients suffering from vivax malaria that will, ultimately, enable and contribute to the eradication of malaria.
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