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ABSTRACT

Microvariability and Oscillations of STars (MOST) observations and model analysis of the Herbig Ae star HD 34282 (V1366 Ori) reveal δ Scuti pulsations. 22 frequencies are observed, 10 of which confirm those previously identified by Amado et al. and 12 of which are newly discovered in this work. We show that the weighted-average frequency in each group fits the radial p-mode frequencies of viable models. We argue that the observed pulsation spectrum extends just to the edge to the acoustic cut-off frequency and shows that this is also consistent with our best-fitting models.
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1 HD 34282 PECULIARITIES

HD 34282 (V1366 Ori, PDS 176) is a Herbig Ae (HAe) star (the cool A-range subset of the Herbig AeBe stars) that is also a δ Scuti star. Pulsations were originally discovered by Amado et al. (2004), with 10 frequencies later identified by Amado et al. (2006) using multi-site ground-based photometry. The observed frequencies, from 64.7 to 79.4 cycles/day (d−1), are among the highest frequencies detected in a δ Scuti star. In order to resolve in more detail the star’s unusual oscillation spectrum, the MOST satellite (Walker et al. 2003) observed HD 34282 for 31 d in 2007 December. These observations reveal a unique spectrum: 22 frequencies detected, forming groups of frequencies at regular intervals of about 44 μHz, that may correspond to a distance of 191 ±46 pc (van Leeuwen 2007). The above spectral classifications place HD 34282 bluewards of the classical instability strip, relatively close to the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS; see Fig. 3). At this position in the Hertzsprung–Russell (HR) diagram, the star’s PMS nature is ambiguous – it has either already arrived or is just about to arrive on the ZAMS.

Mora et al. (2001) report a projected rotational velocity (v sin i) = 129 ± 11 km s−1. Merin et al. (2004) found that HD 34282 has an anomalously low metallicity, [Fe/H] = −0.8 (fractional metal content by mass, Z = 0.004). Such a low metallicity for a supposedly PMS star in the disc of the Milky Way poses questions regarding the true evolutionary status of the star; unless there are patches of low-metallicity material within the interstellar medium from which the star could form, a newly formed star should not otherwise have such low metal content. One solution is that perhaps this star is also a λ-Bootis star, with depressed levels of heavier metals at the surface, but near-solar values for carbon, nitrogen and oxygen (CNO)
observations of the HD 34282 frequency. The Sun-synchronous orbit of MOST brings on-board clocks are updated with time.

A0.5 Vb (shell)\[r\] MOST \(\sim\) compared to the in their extended Morgan–Keenan classification of HD 34282 is beyond the current capabilities of MOST observed HD 34282 from 2007 December 4 to 2008 January 4 (31 d) as an uninterrupted Direct Imaging Target. Individual exposures were \(\sim 3\) s each with 20 consecutive images stacked on board the satellite, giving a sampling time of \(\sim 60\) s per co-added measurement. The MOST on-board clocks are updated with time stamps from the ground stations (synchronized with atomic time) every day. Individual exposure start times are accurate to 0.01 s resulting in an even higher accuracy for the total exposure times. Barycentric corrections are for the Earth.

Two independent methods for the reduction of MOST Direct Imaging Photometry have been developed: (1) a combination of classical aperture photometry and point-spread function fitting to the Direct Imaging Subrasters, as developed by Rowe et al. (2006); (2) a data-reduction pipeline for space-based, open-field photometry that includes automated corrections for cosmic ray hits and a stepwise pixel-to-pixel decorrelation of stray-light effects on the CCD (Huber & Reegen 2008). Tests on several MOST data sets in which both methods were used gave no significant differences in the quality of the extracted light curves (e.g. see Zwintz et al. 2009). For HD 34282, the MOST Direct Imaging data were reduced using the method developed by Rowe et al. (2006). The resulting light curve consists of 23 093 data points, as outliers from the phases of the MOST orbit with the highest stray-light counts had to be discarded in the reduction. Additionally, there are two gaps in the light curve which resulted from interruptions of the HD 34282 observations for a high-priority MOST target of opportunity.

HD 34282 has a bright companion at a distance of about 3 arcmin. In the Direct Imaging Mode, the focal-plane scale is about 3 arcsec per pixel and the raster used is about 20 pixels wide resulting in a mask size of about 60 arcsec. This safely excludes contamination of the HD 34282 MOST light curve by a brighter star about 180 arcsec away.

For the frequency analysis, the PERIOD04 (Lenz & Breger 2005) and SIGSPEC (Reegen 2007) software packages were used, and the respective results were compared for consistency. HD 34282 was observed during a period of relatively unvarying extinction, as only moderate peak-to-peak irregular variability in the integrated light of less than 0.1 mag is observed (top panel of Fig. 1). 22 frequencies thought to be intrinsic to the star (e.g. non-instrumental) are found between 50 and 85 d\(^{-1}\) (578 and 926 \(\mu\)Hz), corresponding to periods ranging from 18 to 30 min. These frequencies are listed in Table 1 and shown in Fig. 2. Ten of these frequencies were previously detected by Amado et al. (2006), given by the A06 ID in the final column of Table 1. Twelve new, lower-amplitude frequencies are detected due to the enhanced sensitivity of MOST compared to the ground-based instrumentation.

A number of frequencies in the power spectrum of Fig. 2 (e.g. at 51 d\(^{-1}\)) are not intrinsic but are either identified as aliases of the pulsation frequencies with the MOST orbital frequency, and disappear with appropriate pre-whitening, or as instrumental frequencies related to the orbit of the satellite. The modulation of stray light with the orbital period of the satellite is itself modulated slightly with a 1 d\(^{-1}\) frequency. The Sun-synchronous orbit of MOST brings it over almost the same point on the Earth after 1 day. The albedo pattern of the Earth introduces a 1 d\(^{-1}\) modulation of the amplitude.
Table 1. Pulsation frequencies in order of decreasing SigSpec significance (sig column) for HD 34282 in d$^{-1}$ and $\mu$Hz, with respective last-digit errors given in parentheses. Amplitudes are in mmag, and S/N values were derived from Period 04. The A06 ID cross references the same frequencies as identified by Amado et al. (2006).

| ID | Frequency (d$^{-1}$) | Frequency ($\mu$Hz) | Amplitude (mmag) | sig | S/N | A06 ID |
|----|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----|-----|--------|
| f1 | 79.423(1)           | 919.24(1)           | 6.344            | 945.14 | 45.50 | A10    |
| f2 | 79.252(2)           | 917.27(2)           | 3.523            | 378.97 | 47.61 | A9     |
| f3 | 75.416(2)           | 872.87(2)           | 3.339            | 360.40 | 23.07 | A7     |
| f4 | 75.864(2)           | 878.05(3)           | 2.427            | 227.04 | 22.42 | A8     |
| f5 | 75.356(2)           | 872.18(3)           | 2.025            | 189.99 | 31.13 | A6     |
| f6 | 71.589(3)           | 828.58(3)           | 2.075            | 171.87 | 12.37 | A4     |
| f7 | 71.525(3)           | 827.84(3)           | 1.862            | 158.92 | 10.07 | A3     |
| f8 | 57.060(3)           | 660.42(3)           | 1.681            | 150.24 | 17.38 | A1     |
| f9 | 71.972(2)           | 833.01(3)           | 1.630            | 183.24 | 14.77 | A5     |
| f10| 68.152(3)           | 788.80(3)           | 1.475            | 147.62 | 17.92 | A2     |
| f11| 64.695(3)           | 748.79(4)           | 1.245            | 119.38 | 20.16 | –      |
| f12| 61.053(4)           | 706.63(5)           | 0.754            | 60.01  | 12.23 | –      |
| f13| 67.787(5)           | 784.58(5)           | 0.714            | 54.56  | 10.37 | –      |
| f14| 71.043(5)           | 822.26(5)           | 0.707            | 50.67  | 7.22  | –      |
| f15| 75.402(4)           | 872.70(5)           | 0.658            | 56.83  | 6.94  | –      |
| f16| 53.427(5)           | 618.37(6)           | 0.553            | 45.81  | 10.96 | –      |
| f17| 67.534(5)           | 781.65(6)           | 0.524            | 48.45  | 9.83  | –      |
| f18| 75.448(6)           | 873.24(7)           | 0.492            | 32.21  | 14.60 | –      |
| f19| 68.669(6)           | 794.73(7)           | 0.448            | 32.34  | 6.84  | –      |
| f20| 72.319(6)           | 837.03(7)           | 0.418            | 28.38  | 5.43  | –      |
| f21| 60.353(6)           | 698.53(7)           | 0.377            | 27.20  | 7.98  | –      |
| f22| 67.465(7)           | 780.84(8)           | 0.345            | 22.67  | 7.05  | –      |

of the 14.2 d$^{-1}$ modulation of scattered Earthshine. Therefore, all significant peaks that can be related to these instrumental effects within the frequency resolution were discarded.

None of the 22 frequencies are identified as combination frequencies of the others, i.e. all would appear to be independent frequencies.

Collectively the frequency spectrum of HD 34282 in Fig. 2 is quite striking, with distinct groups of frequencies spaced every 44 $\mu$Hz, ending abruptly at the high frequency end. Other stars that exhibit similar frequency groupings are 44 Tau (Lenz et al. 2008) and HD 144277 (Zwintz et al. 2011). None of the stars for which frequency clustering has been previously observed shows the sudden cut-off in amplitude at high frequencies that HD 34282 does.

3 STELLAR AND ASTEROSEISMIC MODELLING

3.1 HR Diagram position

Determining an HAe star’s theoretical HR diagram position from observations is particularly difficult, mostly due to the circumstellar material obscuring the star. Given these difficulties, we purposefully take a broad approach to illustrate the relative precision of the (below) asteroseismic analysis. A forthcoming paper by Amado et al. (in preparation) will address the fundamental parameters of the star in much greater detail, parameters which will need to be reconciled with the asteroseismic analysis. Here, three potential positions for HD 34282 are considered, displayed in Fig. 3, all derived using broad-band photometry. An effective temperature ($T_{eff}$) range of 8420–9520 K is used, encompassing spectral classes determined by various authors ranging from A0 to A3. In the HR diagram, this places the star bluewards of the instability strip as determined by Marconi & Palla (1998) for the first three ($n = 0$ to 2) radial modes of PMS stars (driven by the $\kappa$-opacity mechanism). However, HD 34282 (as will be shown) is pulsating in higher overtones than $n = 2$, and so its placement to the left of the instability strip in the HR diagram is not surprising, and follows a trend outlined in Casey (2011). $T_{eff}$ and bolometric correction values were extracted from the spectral types by comparison to the tables published in Ostlie & Carroll (1996), based upon the work of Schmidt-Kaler (1982).

These same tables were used to determine the amount of reddening, $E(B - V)$, by comparing the intrinsic ($(B - V)_0$) colours to broad-band observations of $B - V$. The overall extinction in $V$, $A_V$, was determined, assuming $A_V = R_V E(B - V)$, where $R_V = 3.1$, and is the empirically determined ratio of selective-to-overall extinction, as measured, e.g., by Turner (1994) that usually applies to extinction caused by interstellar material. Importantly, in the case of HAe stars that are often subject to large and variable levels of circumstellar extinction, this assumption is quite likely wrong. At high levels of $A_V$ (more than 1.5 mag or so), stars may start to appear bluer instead of redder with increased $A_V$, a result of the star’s surrounding dust clouds reflecting light into the line of sight of the observer, causing a bluing effect. In this case, $R_V = 3.1$ will underestimate the intrinsic brightness of the star, mispositioning it in the HR diagram.

Unfortunately, without studies such as by van den Ancker, The & de Winter (1996), the true value of $R_V$ cannot be determined.

This is illustrated by position A of Fig. 3, derived from $V = 9.84 \pm 0.02$, $B - V = 0.17 \pm 0.02$ of Vieira et al. (2003). Given the range of possible spectral classes and distances (the latter from the Hipparcos range cited in the introduction), the resulting error box is a trapezoid in the HR diagram. Significantly, position A falls essentially below the ZAMS, consistent with HD 34282 suffering from the bluing effect, but it is also possible that the true parallax falls outside the 1$\sigma$ Hipparcos parallax uncertainty quoted in Section 1, which could also result in an underestimate of the star’s luminosity.

If the star is subject to blueing, this may be revealed by time series broad-band photometry. Positions B and C are derived from Tycho 2 photometry (Høg et al. 2000), displayed in Fig. 4, in which the light curve of the star in Tycho $V$ ($V_T$) magnitudes are shown (Tycho $B$, $B_T$ also exists, but is not shown here). $B_T$ and $V_T$ filters can be transformed to Johnson $B$ and $V$ filters using the prescription detailed in appendix C of Mamajek, Meyer & Liebert (2002), and addendum (Mamajek, Meyer & Liebert 2006). With this, a Johnson $V$, $B - V$ colour–magnitude diagram (CMD) has been constructed in Fig. 4 b, displaying a potential blueing effect. There is one distinct brightening event, during which the starlight is more likely to obey an $R_V = 3.1$ reddening law, and hence two data points from this event, marked ‘B’ and ‘C’ in Fig. 4 (both parts), are used to calculate the corresponding HR diagram positions from Fig. 3. Unfortunately, the data set is not complete enough to determine whether $R_V = 3.1$ is correct for either point (or what the correct value should be); however, corrections for these two points will certainly give better estimates of $A_V$ than the data used to determine position A. Unsurprisingly, positions B and C are quite a bit brighter than position A. As will be shown below, position B seems to agree best with the asteroseismic analysis.

The above analysis shows the broad range of intrinsic luminosities that can be obtained for an HAe star if the data are not treated properly. The above is not meant to be a final analysis, but an indicator that more observations are needed. Long-term multi-filter observations are currently underway to determine the true reddening law of HD 34282, plus a forthcoming paper will address the
fundamental parameters of HD 34282 in much greater detail (Amado et al. in preparation).

3.2 Asteroseismic analysis

3.2.1 Large spacing

The unique frequency spectrum of HD 34282 suggests that pulsation frequencies are being triggered around a ‘main’ frequency, the central frequency of each group perhaps indicative of successive orders of radial pulsation. If this is true, then the group spacing of approximately 44 μHz will match the asteroseismic average large spacing between radial orders of pulsation (Aerts, Christensen-Dalsgaard & Kurtz 2010). Fig. 3 shows the large spacings of the stellar models under consideration. Models with a large spacing between 40 and 50 μHz that would match the observed group spacings of HD 34282 coincide with position ‘B’ in the HR diagram. Further analysis, presented below, strongly support this general region as the true location of HD 34282 in the HR diagram.
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**Table 2.** Weighted frequencies for HD 34282.

| ID | Orig. | $j, i$ | $f_\mu$ | $f_\varphi$ | $a_j$ | $a_i^2$ | $\sum a_{ji}^2$ | $H_j$ |
|----|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------------|------|
| $H_1$ | $f_0$ | 1.1 | 618.37 | 53.427 | 0.510 | 0.260 | 0.260 | 618.4 |
| $H_2$ | $f_0$ | 2.1 | 660.42 | 57.060 | 1.549 | 2.400 | 2.400 | 660.4 |
| $H_3$ | $f_0$ | 3.1 | 698.53 | 60.353 | 0.348 | 0.121 | 0.604 | 705.0 |
| $f_0$ | 3.2 | 706.63 | 61.053 | 0.695 | 0.483 |
| $H_4$ | $f_0$ | 4.1 | 748.79 | 64.695 | 0.317 | 0.101 | 2.786 | 787.6 |
| $f_0$ | 5.1 | 780.84 | 67.465 | 0.101 | 0.604 |
| $H_5$ | $f_0$ | 5.5 | 788.80 | 68.152 | 1.360 | 1.849 |
| $f_0$ | 6.2 | 827.84 | 71.525 | 1.716 | 2.946 |
| $f_0$ | 7.2 | 872.18 | 75.402 | 0.606 | 0.368 |
| $f_0$ | 8.1 | 917.27 | 79.252 | 3.250 | 10.561 | 44.903 | 918.8 |
| $f_0$ | 8.2 | 919.24 | 79.423 | 5.860 | 34.342 |

Figure 5. Squared amplitudes for (a) unweighted and (b) weighted frequencies of HD 34282 as detected by MOST.

The bottom two panels show the fits to a $Z = 0.004$ model grid. The best fit to the $Z = 0.02$ is slightly better than the best fit to the lower metallicity, and thus marginally favours a λ Bootis nature for the star. The results are encouraging particularly given the speculative nature of the analysis. In both cases, the observed spectrum of HD 34282 appears to correspond to high radial-order modes, with $n = 13–20$. Of particular note, in Fig. 6 there are no theoretical frequencies displayed above $n = 20$, as any pulsation frequency above this value would be greater than the model’s acoustic cut-off frequency, a theoretical maximum pulsation frequency for the model, the consequences of which will be addressed in the following subsection.

Note that if we plot the original frequencies in a similar echelle diagram as in the top of Fig. 6, the frequencies would scatter slightly about the $\ell = 0$ (and $\ell = 2$) model frequencies, but would not spread to the $\ell = 1$ (and 3) model frequencies (see Fig. 7).

Further $\chi^2$ fits were performed with $\ell = 1$ modes only, but the results are not shown. The results are similar in quality, with a line of good fits that are a bit cooler than those of the $\ell = 0$ case and return models with similar large spacings and radial orders that run from $n = 13$ to 20. Simultaneous fits to both $\ell = 0$ and 1 modes did not yield good results, indicating that the frequency groups are not probing the separation between $\ell = 0$ and 1 modes. Similarly, fits of the unweighted frequencies to $\ell = 0$ to 3 modes did not yield good results, yielding extremely high $\chi^2$ values of around 10$^7$.

3.2.3 Acoustic cut-off frequency

The average amplitudes of the frequencies of the groups increase monotonically with frequency from 700 $\mu$Hz onwards, then abruptly stops with no periodic signal detected above ~920 $\mu$Hz. We believe that this abrupt drop-off corresponds to the acoustic cut-off frequency for HD 34282 and that models with acoustic cut-off frequencies above this frequency can be ruled out.

In standard theory, p-modes above the acoustic cut-off frequency are no longer reflected back at the surface but continue on as travelling waves into the atmosphere of star where they quickly radiate away their energy. Indeed, in the early days of helioseismology, we expected to see an abrupt drop-off in the Sun’s p-mode spectrum above the theoretically predicted solar acoustic cut-off frequency (Fossat et al. 1992). In fact, in the case of the Sun, regularly spaced modes above the acoustic cut-off frequency are observed with amplitudes that decrease at higher frequencies (Jefferyes et al. 1988; Libbrecht 1988). Again we expected these modes to form a continuous spectrum, being stochastically driven travelling waves. But in the case of the Sun, the modes are spaced out in a pattern that mimics the spacing of the trapped p-modes below the acoustic cut-off frequency. Currently, we believe that the pseudomodes, as they are called, are driven by turbulent convection in the atmosphere and that their regular spacings are caused by simple geometric interference as the waves travel around the star (Kumar 1994; García et al. 1998; Jiménez, García & Pallé 2011).

For most stars, the amplitudes of the p-modes do decrease below the detection threshold well before reaching the theoretical acoustic cut-off frequency. Therefore, the rise in amplitudes with a sudden drop-off at the highest observed frequency for HD 34282 is unusual. At this time, we do not believe that the acoustic cut-off frequency is below the highest observed frequency in HD 34282, that is, we do not believe any of the observed modes are pseudomodes. If the higher frequency modes we observe were pseudomodes, then they should have short lifetimes and random phases. Indeed this could provide a possible explanation for the multiple peaks within each group. Furthermore, as Fig. 8 shows, within the uncertainties of HD 34282’s HR-diagram position, viable models with acoustic cut-off frequencies as low as ~300 $\mu$Hz are possible. But what leads us to doubt this possibility is the fact that the amplitudes of the averaged modes continue to increase with frequency. All currently...
Figure 6. $\chi^2$ fits to weighted frequencies of HD 34282, $\ell = 0$ modes only. Top row: $Z = 0.02$, best model fit $\chi^2 = 1.7$, $M = 2.30 M_\odot$, $\log(T_{\text{eff}}/K) = 3.960$, $\log(L/L_\odot) = 1.629$. Bottom row: $Z = 0.004$, best model fit $\chi^2 = 4.7$, $M = 1.92 M_\odot$, $\log(T_{\text{eff}}/K) = 3.935$, $\log(L/L_\odot) = 1.478$. Both echelle diagrams use a folding frequency of 43.4 $\mu$Hz.

Figure 7. Echelle diagram of the unweighted frequencies of HD 34282 compared to the $Z = 0.02$ model of Fig. 6. The frequencies do not extend to $\ell = 1$ nor 3 modes.

proposed models to explain the existence of the Sun’s pseudomodes predict a drop in amplitudes with increasing frequency.

Therefore, we speculate that the acoustic cut-off frequency, indeed, provides an upper frequency limit to the regularly spaced $p$-modes in HD 34282 and that the acoustic cut-off frequency is near or above $\sim 920 \mu$Hz.

Fig. 8 shows the acoustic cut-off frequencies for PMS $Z = 0.02$ model-grid stars. Under our assumption, we can eliminate models whose acoustic cut-off frequencies are lower than the highest frequency observed, i.e. $f_1$ at $\sim 920 \mu$Hz. As shown in Fig. 8, for fixed Teff, the acoustic cut-off frequency decreases as the luminosity of the model star increases. Hence, for HD 38282 there is a near-horizontal line in the HR diagram (solid, black line passing through the sample model in Fig. 8), above which models have too low an acoustic cut-off frequency to meet our assumption (that the acoustic
3.2.4 Rotational splitting

Here we comment upon the minimum role that rotation must play in the pulsation spectrum of the star. Individual (unaveraged) frequencies could not be identified as distinct low $\ell$-valued modes, so we consider the possibility that the frequencies within groups correspond to a rotationally split mode. Within a group, some frequencies have separations of about 2–4 $\mu$Hz, but given the observed $v\sin i$, Fig. 9 shows that these frequencies are unlikely to be from the same $\ell$ mode. A first-order estimate of the rotational splitting, $\Delta f_i$, between two successive $m$ modes (e.g. between $m$ and $m + 1$) within a multiplet implied by $v$ (the surface equatorial velocity) is $\Delta f_i = \sin i/v$, where $\Omega$ is the surface equatorial rotation period of the star, $\Omega = (2\pi R_*/v)$, and $R_*$ is the radius of the particular stellar model in question (Aerts et al. 2010). For each model in the grid, Fig. 9 shows the equatorial velocity required to give $\Delta f_i = 4$ $\mu$Hz. Only the most luminous models have $v$ consistent with the $v\sin i = 129 \pm 11$ km s$^{-1}$ of Mora et al. (2001). The solid black line that parallels the ZAMS in Fig. 9 separates models that are consistent with the acoustic cut-off frequency constraint (below the line) from models that do not (above the line). If we accept the acoustic cut-off frequency constraint, then rotation as a possible source of the 2–4 $\mu$Hz differences between frequencies within each group is ruled out. If rotational splittings are present in the spectrum, then the splittings must be at least $\sim 10$ $\mu$Hz. As rotation rates become higher, rotational splittings become progressively non-linear, multiplets originating from different unsplit modes begin to overlap and mode identification becomes more difficult. Detailed 2D calculations, such as those by Deupree & Beslin (2010) are required to calculate these frequencies and are beyond the current scope of this paper.

4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

MOST observations have discovered in the light curve of HD 34282 some 22 frequencies (12 more than previously observed), in which asteroseismic analysis suggests that these frequencies cluster around eight successive radial orders of pulsation. The amplitude of each pulsation group grows with frequency, with an abrupt cut-off in power after the highest frequency detected. We believe that the observed frequencies run right up to the acoustic cut-off frequency. We think it is unlikely that we are observing pseudomodes, i.e. untrapped travelling waves, because the amplitude of the frequencies do not decrease with increasing frequency. The average frequencies compiled from the groups of frequencies simultaneously fit the highest eight radial orders below the acoustic cut-off frequency ($n = 13$ through 20 orders) and predict the acoustic cut-off frequency.

Although we have focused our discussion on fitting radial modes to the weighted-average frequencies, equally viable fits for $\ell = 1$ $p$-modes, exclusively, can be achieved. The $\ell = 1$ best-fitting models have similar large spacings, with only slightly lower temperatures and luminosities compared to the radial-mode models. Therefore, regardless of which azimuthal order is considered, the best-fitting models to the averaged frequencies occupy nearly the same position in the HR diagram as those shown in Fig. 6. The range of viable models in the HR diagram is also little affected by metallicity, with the lower-Z example we tested predicting a slightly lower mass than the solar-Z case.

The HR diagram position of HD 34282 remains difficult to determine – the asteroseismic analysis in the work suggests that position ‘B’ in Fig. 5 is the most appropriate, and that the star is therefore suffering from the blueing effect common to some heavily obscured Herbig Ae stars. Further work in this area is needed, and multi-filter observations of HD 34282 are currently underway to determine the true level of obscuration and reddening of the star.

The ultimate cause of the frequency groups is unknown; however, it may be an example of mode trapping combined with large rotational splittings. The large non-linear splittings expected with $v\sin i = 129 \pm 11$ km s$^{-1}$, coupled with a selection mechanism that drives only modes close in frequency space to that of a radial mode, would explain the strange pattern observed in HD 34282. Future theoretical calculations are needed to investigate this possibility.
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