Advanced Platelet-Rich Fibrin as a Therapeutic Option in the Treatment of Dry Socket: Literature Review and Case Series
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Abstract: Alveolar osteitis (AO) is one of the complications that occur after tooth extraction. The aim of this study has been to evaluate the efficacy of Advanced Platelet-rich Fibrin (A-PRF) in the management of pain and the acceleration of wound healing in the treatment of AO. Consecutive patients who were diagnosed with AO, recruited from patients referred to the Oral Surgery Department of the University of Naples Federico II, were enrolled. After local anesthesia, the dry socket was curetted and irrigated with saline. The Platelet-rich Fibrin (PRF) clot was placed in the socket and then covered with an A-PRF membrane. Clinical parameters, such as the degree of pain and rate of granulation tissue (GT) formation, were measured before treatment and after 1, 3, 7, 14, and 21 days. The Friedman test for dependent samples was used to detect the treatment and time effect. Four patients with established AO were included. On all the examination days, the post-operative recovery was uneventful. The pain scores progressively reduced, from an average of 8.5 before treatment to 0.25 on the third day, and the GT formation improved over time. The use of A-PRF in the treatment of AO significantly reduced the pain level and enhanced the wound-healing process.
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1. Introduction

Dry socket, or alveolar osteitis (AO), originally described by Crawford in 1896, is one of the complications that occur after tooth extraction [1]. It is accompanied by a partially or totally disintegrated blood clot resulting in the exposure of the alveolar socket walls, associated with acute and intense pain, without any sign of inflammation or infection and with or without halitosis [2,3]. The incidence is estimated at between 5 and 30% [4] with a greater rate occurring after the extraction of the mandibular third molars [5]. AO is still poorly understood and different factors have been reported as being predisposing factors for the development of dry socket, relating either to the patient, such as age, gender, smoking habit, or the use of oral contraceptives, or to a traumatic surgical extraction or previous infection [6–8]. Additionally, the microbiota of patients who develop AO probably play an important role in the pathogenesis of this complication [9]. Although the underlying etiology remains unclear, dry socket is related to an alteration in the formation of the blood clot. Indeed, according to Birn [10], dry sockets show increased fibrinolytic activity and activation of plasminogen in the plasmin resulting in an early dissolution of the blood clot, which leaves the bony wall exposed to the oral cavity.

Autogenous Platelet Concentrates (APCs) are blood-derived products and are considered the natural evolution of the fibrin sealant widely used in oral and maxillofacial surgery [11–13]. APCs are prepared from the patient’s own blood, which is centrifuged producing a fibrin meshwork, where the platelets, cytokines, growth factors, and cells are
embedded [14,15]. Clinical studies and systematic reviews have reported an advantage in the topical use of APCs in order to support hemostasis and promote bone graft healing [16]. Alpha granules are the main platelet components that contribute to wound healing by means of growth factors (i.e., “Platelet-Derived Growth Factors” (PDGF), “Transforming Growth Factors β1 and β2” (TGFβ1-TGFβ2), “Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor” (VEGF), “Insulin-like Growth Factor-1” (IGF-1), and “Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF)) [17]. Platelet-rich Fibrin (PRF) represents the second generation of APCs [18]. During the PRF preparation, the polymerization of fibrinogen into fibrin occurs slowly, naturally, and progressively in the presence of physiological thrombin, resulting in a strong natural fibrin meshwork, composed of a tetra molecular structure. This meshwork is able to entrap the activated platelets, leukocytes, and circulating/intrinsic cytokines, favoring their slow release (over seven days) [19,20].

In the recent past, different methods have been proposed for the prevention and management of dry socket. Medicated dressings, phototherapy, topical anesthetic gel, Alvogyl, etc., [20] were used mainly for pain relief, but at present, no current evidence-based consensus exists on which modality should be used as the gold standard in the treatment of AO to obtain socket healing. The literature evaluating the effect of PRF on alveolar osteitis is mainly focused on the prevention of this complication, especially after the extraction of the lower third molar [21], where the incidence of AO is higher. Moreover, different platelet concentrates have been used for the management of AO, such as the concentrated growth factor and platelet-rich in growth factors, without giving definitive recommendations [22–24]. PRF can act as a stable blood clot for neovascularization and tissue healing. The aim of this study has been to evaluate the efficacy of Advanced Platelet-Rich Fibrin (A-PRF) in the management of pain and the acceleration of wound healing in the treatment of dry socket.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Patient Selection

This study was designed as a prospective case series. Four patients (3 females, 1 male—mean age 34.7 years) who had been diagnosed with AO, recruited from patients referred to the Oral Surgery Department of the University of Naples Federico II between June and December 2020, were enrolled. The inclusion criteria were as follows: Aged > 18 years, without any systemic disease, and with an untreated case of AO, diagnosed within three days after the extraction. In accordance with Blum et al. [12], the diagnostic criteria consisted of the presence of increasing post-operative pain severity within three days after surgery and a total or partial breakdown of the blood clot in the socket. The exclusion criteria were as follows: The use of any medications that may interfere with the healing process (e.g., corticosteroids), a case of third molar AO, and the use of more than one analgesic drug in post-operative recovery. Information about the modality of extraction and type of anesthetic used was obtained by contacting the patient’s dentist by phone. The procedures were explained, and informed consent was obtained from all the participants. All the procedures performed in the study were carried out in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the Ethical Committee (Protocol number 56/15). The case series was reported in line with the PROCESS Guidelines [25].

2.2. Clinical Measurements

All the measurements were carried out by a single masked examiner, who did not perform the surgery, before (T0) treatment and on the 1st (T1), 3rd (T2), 7th (T3), 14th (T4), and 21st (T5) days post-treatment. The level of pain was assessed using a 10-point visual analogic scale, with a score of “0” equal to “no pain” and “10” equal to “very severe pain”. The rate of granulation tissue (GT) formation was clinically evaluated and recorded as follows. A complete absence of GT was recorded as 0. The formation of GT over one-quarter or less of the socket was recorded as 1, over half of the socket as 2, over
three-quarters as 3, while a complete covering of granulation over the whole socket was recorded as 4 [26].

2.3. Preparation of A-PRF

The A-PRF was prepared according to the protocol of Choukroun et al. as follows: 40 mL of blood from each patient was collected in 4 dry glass tubes of 10 mL each. The blood collection was performed as quickly as possible, and the tubes were immediately centrifuged for 14-min at 100 × g using a dedicated centrifuge (PC-02, Process for PRF, Nice, France) at room temperature. Following centrifugation, three layers were distinguished in the tube: The upper layer consisted of Platelet Pure Plasma (PPP), the middle layer of a PRF fibrin clot, and the lower layer of red blood cells (RBCs). The A-PRF fibrin clot was removed from the tube using sterile tweezers, separated from the red blood cells with scissors, and then placed in a sterile cup. Furthermore, 1–2 mm of the most superficial part of the RBC layer, called the buffy coat, was collected as it contains many growth factors. Two A-PRFs were used in the socket as clots and two A-PRFs were transformed into membranes through a dedicated box and used to cover the sockets.

2.4. Surgical Procedure

After local anesthesia, the dry socket was curetted and irrigated with saline. A new bleeding socket was created following curettage, and gentle saline irrigation helped to debride the necrotic debris. The PRF clot was placed in the socket and then covered with an A-PRF membrane. A resorbable suture was placed to stabilize the A-PRF membranes. There were no additional dressings or medications inserted in the socket. To control the bias, a single operator treated all the patients.

2.5. Post-Operative Recommendations

The patients were given antibiotics (amoxicillin and clavulanic acid every 12 h for 8 days). Plaque control of the surgically treated area was maintained by rinsing with 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate every 12 h for 10 days. Moreover, all the patients were asked, if possible, to not take any analgesic pain or anti-inflammatory drugs in the post-operative period to assess the real antinociceptive role of PRF. The sutures were removed 10 days after application. A cold and soft diet and appropriate oral hygiene were recommended for two weeks.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

The GT formation measurements were performed in triplicate and averaged to reduce any error. The data were calculated using the mean value ± standard deviation. On account of the small sample size, the Friedman test for multiple dependent samples was used to detect the treatment and time effect. The statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences Software (IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY, USA: IBM Corp). p < 0.05 was set as the level for statistical significance.

3. Results

Over a six-month period, four patients (one male and three female) with established AO were included in the study. The mean age was 34.7 years. All the participants were periodontically and generally healthy, did not take drugs and had no medical contraindications for surgery, and three out of four were non-smokers. All the patients presented with an established AO involving, respectively, two upper first premolars, one per patient, one lower second premolar, and one lower first molar. Oral hygiene was good in all cases. The patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1.
Table 1. Patient demography and clinical characteristics.

| Gender | Age | AO Site            | Type of Anesthesia          | Anesthetic Used                      |
|--------|-----|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| F; 26  | 1st upper premolar | local               | mepivacaine 2% with 1:100,000 epinephrine |
| F; 40  | 1st upper premolar | local               | mepivacaine 2% with 1:200,000 epinephrine |
| F; 38  | 2nd lower premolar | local               | mepivacaine 2% with 1:100,000 epinephrine |
| M; 35  | 1st lower molar    | Local and intraligamentary | 4% articaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine |

F: Female; M: Male; AO: Alveolar osteitis.

On all the examination days, the post-operative recovery was uneventful and none of the patients were taking any analgesic drugs. No surgical sites showed any signs of infection or mucositis. The descriptive statistics for the clinical parameters measured at T0, T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5 are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics.

| Mean | T0       | T1       | T2       | T3       | T4       | T5       |
|------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| VAS score | 8.5      | 3.5      | 0.25     | 0        | 0        | 0        |
| GT formation | 0        | 0.25     | 1.75     | 3.25     | 4        | 4        |

VAS: Visual analogic scale; GT: Granulation tissue; (T0) before treatment; (T1) 1st day; (T2) 2nd day; (T3) 3rd day; (T4) 4th day; and (T5) 5th day after treatment.

The pain scores statistically decrease from an average of 8.5 pre-operatively to 3.5 on the first day after PRF application, to 0.25 on the third day, and subsequently to 0 at the following time points (Friedman test, $p = 0.002$). The GT formation within the healing socket progressively improved statistically from an average of 0 before treatment to 0.25 on the first day, 1.75 on the third day, 3.25 on the seventh day, and 4 on the fourteenth and twenty-first days after the treatment (Friedman test, $p = 0.002$).

4. Discussion

Dry socket is a frequent complication in exodontia, often associated with the extraction of third molars. Many risk factors are involved, such as traumatic tooth extraction, oral contraceptive intake, smoking, poor hygiene, and the use of a vasoconstrictor in local anesthesia [3,27].

In our study, the patients were referred from four different dentists and the degree of traumatization cannot be objectively recorded. The role of a vasoconstrictor in local anesthesia in the etiology of AO is not fully established. Lehner found that the AO frequency increases with infiltration anesthesia because the temporary ischemia leads to a poor supply [28]. In contrast, other studies have shown that this ischemia lasts for a short time and is followed by reactive hyperemia [29], which allows blood clot formation and stabilization. However, in our study, in one case, the dentist performed intraligamentary anesthesia with a solution of 4% articaine with epinephrine, 1:100,000, for the extraction of a lower first molar, without performing the inferior alveolar nerve block. The intraligamentary injection involves deposition of the local anesthetic solution in the periodontal ligament space around the root. The excessive pressure of the injection, using a Citoject syringe, the use of a vasoconstrictor, and the need for a second infiltration, as reported by the patient’s dentist, probably altered the formation of the blood clot, inducing AO.

The tissue regeneration or repair process requires a harmonious reaction of various types of cells, including immune cells (neutrophils, macrophages, and lymphocytes), epithelial cells, fibroblasts, and stem cells. The rationale for the use of hemocomponents in the treatment of this condition is that it accelerates the healing of soft and hard tissues by increasing the concentration of growth factors [30,31]. Platelet growth factors serve as messengers to regulate a well-orchestrated and complex series of events involving cell–cell
and cell–matrix interactions and to promote the proliferation of cells at the wound site. Furthermore, platelet concentrates seem to increase the migration and proliferation of osteoblasts and have a positive effect on stem cells and periodontal healing [32]. Additionally, it has been reported that the use of PRF reduces post-operative complications as well as the incidence of AO, especially following third-molar surgery [33–35]. A summary of the substantial literature on the topic is reported in Table 3.

| Table 3. Summary of literature review. |
|---------------------------------------|
| N Patients | AO Site | Type of APC Used | Pain | Wound Healing |
|------------|---------|------------------|------|---------------|
| Kamal et al. [23] | 40 | NR | CGF | Reduction of pain on 4th and 7th post-op day | GT formation by day 4 |
| Kamal et al. [24] | 15 | NR | CGF | Reduction of pain on 2nd and 7th post-op day | GT formation by day 4 |
| Chakravarthi [33] | 10 | Any tooth | PRF | Reduction of pain by the 1st post-op day | NR |
| Sharma et al. [34] | 100 | Mandibular and maxillary molars | PRF | Reduction of pain on 3rd and 7th post-op day | Better WH by the end of 2nd week |
| Yuce et al. [35] | 20 | Third molars | A-PRF | Reduction of pain by the 1st post-op day | Better Soft tissue healing by day 7 |

APC: Autogenous Platelet Concentrates; WH: Wound healing; NR: Not reported; CGF: Concentrated growth factor; GT: Granulation tissue.

In this study, we have used PRF because its strong fibrin architecture, composed of thin fibers with micropores, serves as a scaffold and support for blood clots. The fibrin matrix slowly releases growth factors until seven days post-operatively, which favor cell migration and differentiation. [19] PRF is an important reservoir of growth factors that promote angiogenesis, above all VEGF, but also PDGF and TGF-β. In our study, we chose A-PRF because it releases VEGF at higher levels compared to L-PRF (Leukocyte-Platelet-rich Fibrin). [14] VEGF is largely produced by the leukocytes [31] and is crucial for the promotion of angiogenesis during tissue repair. Indeed, reduced expression and rapid proteolytic degradation of VEGF are considered partially responsible for poor wound healing, for example in diabetic wounds, because these effects result in poor angiogenesis during GT formation. Thus, the angiogenesis induced by VEGF and other factors represents a significant step in the treatment of non-healing wounds and other ischemic processes, where clotting has failed to induce the healing, as in AO. The acceleration of wound healing in our study is confirmed by the fact that the GT appeared clearly after only three days after treatment (mean 3.25).

Previous studies have indicated that bacteria may play an important role in the pathogenesis of AO [9]. In our study, the post-operative recovery was uneventful, and no surgical sites showed any signs of infection or mucositis. In addition to their tissue-forming and proliferative effects, growth factors released by A-PRF exhibit a chemotactic effect that causes the migration of macrophages and neutrophils, adding an antimicrobial component to the wound site.

All the patients in our sample suffering from dry socket experienced severe pain with a median VAS pain score of 8.5 on the day of presentation. Indeed, it is a well-established fact that the pain associated with AO is severe, continuing throughout the night and responding poorly to analgesics [36]. The pain for all the patients decreased statistically in the first day. None of the patients needed to take analgesic drugs and therefore we can assume a predominant role of PRF in the reduction of pain. This result is in accordance with other studies supporting the antinociceptive role of platelet concentrates [30–32].

Despite the encouraging results obtained, several limitations should be acknowledged. Despite the encouraging results obtained, several limitations should be acknowledged. The nature of the study (a case series) and the lack of a control group may compromise the validity of the final results. The low sample size is due to the infrequent diagnosis of “true” AO, which is often erroneously confused with socket infection accompanied by pain. In addition, the prospective nature of the study makes it difficult to perform a sample size calculation and control group. However, the only comparison that we can make is
with previous treatment of AO performed in our department with the use of debridement and saline solution washing. Although the healing was obtained in every case over the follow up-period, the time for healing was longer, and the reduction of pain was less rapid. However, further controlled studies with a larger sample would be required in the future in order to obtain more reliable results.

5. Conclusions

Within the limitations of this non-controlled case series study, the use of A-PRF in the treatment of alveolar osteitis may significantly reduce pain levels and also improve the wound-healing process.
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