A Rapid and Efficient Building Block Approach for Click Cyclization of Peptoids
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Cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids possess improved stability and selectivity over linear peptides and are thus better drug candidates. However, their synthesis is far from trivial and is usually difficult to automate. Here we describe a new rapid and efficient approach for the synthesis of click-based cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids. Our methodology is based on a combination between easily synthesized building blocks, automated microwave assisted solid phase synthesis and bioorthogonal click cyclization. We proved the concept of this method using the INS peptide, which we have previously shown to activate the HIV-1 integrase enzyme. This strategy enabled the rapid synthesis and biophysical evaluation of a library of cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids derived from HIV-1 integrase in high yield and purity. The new cyclic hybrids showed improved biological activity and were significantly more stable than the original linear INS peptide.
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INTRODUCTION

Linear peptides have several disadvantages as drug leads, such as metabolic instability due to enzymatic degradation, hydrolysis or oxidation, short half-life and rapid clearance, poor oral bioavailability and in some cases poor solubility and low membrane permeability (Marquis et al., 2017). Peptide cyclization is a useful strategy for overcoming these disadvantages. Cyclization is an approach borrowed from nature that is used to restrict the peptide conformation and by doing so improve the peptide affinity and selectivity to the target proteins (Craik et al., 2013; Fosgerau and Hoffmann, 2015; Zorzi et al., 2017). Cyclic peptides can be classified into head-to-tail, head-to-side chain, side chain-to-tail, and side chain-to-side chain cyclization (Zhang et al., 2019). Several approaches have been used to generate cyclic peptides, such as backbone cyclization (Gilon et al., 1991), peptide stapling (Schafmeister et al., 2000), and native chemical ligation (Dawson et al., 1994). These structures can be formed with chemically stable bonds, such as an amide, lactone, ether, thioether, or disulfide bonds (Qvit et al., 2017). Peptide cyclization can be achieved by using native amino acids side chains, including cysteine disulfide bridges (Gongora-Benitez et al., 2014), amine arylation and alkylation (Lautrette et al., 2016), etc. (Tang et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019).
In addition, reactions including non-native amino acids are also commonly used, such as ring-closing metathesis (RCM) (Lecourt et al., 2018), click chemistry and more. The restricted conformation of cyclic peptides is more protected against degradation and chemical modifications and thus the stability of cyclic peptides is enhanced (Barreto et al., 2016; Dougherty et al., 2019). The number of cyclic peptides entering clinical trials or already approved is drastically increasing (White and Yudin, 2011; Jing and Jin, 2020). For example, cyclosporine A has been used for the treatment of allografts due to its immunosuppressive activity since its approval by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2001 (Matsuda and Koyasu, 2000; Shin et al., 2016; Gang et al., 2018). Romidepsin was approved by the FDA for cutaneous T-cell lymphoma in 2009 and for peripheral T-cell lymphomas in 2011 (Zorzi et al., 2017; Gang et al., 2018).

Another approach for improving the pharmacological properties of peptides is converting peptides into peptidomimetics by introducing peptide bond mimics (Moore and Matsoukas, 1995; Qvit et al., 2017; Mabonga and Kappo, 2020). For example, incorporating D-amino acids or unnatural amino acids into biologically active peptides can improve metabolic stability. The unnatural amino acid sarcosine was used in the development of Saralasin, an angiotensin II analog, which is used as a partial agonist of the angiotensin II receptor, treating hypertension. Substitution to the unnatural amino acid sarcosine supported resistance to aminopeptidase degradation, which improved the bioactivity of the compound (Disease et al., 2001; Qvit et al., 2017). Peptoids are a class of peptidomimetics consisting of N-substituted glycine oligomers (Simon et al., 1992; Lau, 2014). Unlike in peptides, the side chains in peptoids are connected to the backbone nitrogen and not to the chiral α-carbon (Simon et al., 1992; Dohm et al., 2011). Peptoids are highly stable toward proteolysis and are able to fold into well-defined secondary structures (NNorgren et al., 2009; Culf and Ouellette, 2010; Dohm et al., 2011; Zabrodski et al., 2015).

There is an urgent need for developing new and rapid synthetic routes for combining peptide cyclization and peptide bond mimetics. Combining peptide cyclization with peptoids can result in significant improvement of the pharmacological properties of a lead peptide (Fowler et al., 2008; Silva et al., 2015; Barreto et al., 2016; Furukawa et al., 2016; Lam et al., 2017). For example, incorporation of peptoid residues into cyclic peptides improves the cell permeability compared to all peptidic or all peptoidic sequences. Several reports presented the synthesis of cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids (Ostergaard and Holm, 1997; Shin et al., 2007; Avan et al., 2014; Lee and Lim, 2014; Kaniraj and Maayan, 2015). For example, a series of cyclic peptide-peptoid scaffolds that included diverse peptoid side chains were tested for passive membrane permeability using parallel artificial membranes. The variants were inherently permeable irrespective of the functional groups on their side chains (Furukawa et al., 2016). An example of highly selective cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids was shown for inhibitors of the injectisome T3SS without affecting the flagellar T3SS (Lam et al., 2017). Cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids were also implemented as bacterial quorum sensing modulators (Fowler et al., 2008), melanocortin receptor agonists and histone deacetylase inhibitors (Ovadia et al., 2010; Murugan et al., 2013). These molecules have many advantages over simple peptides or peptoids in terms of structural diversity and biological function. Thus, developing new and rapid synthetic methods for the synthesis of cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids can also be used for the high throughput preparation of libraries.

Copper (I)-promoted [3+2] Huisgen cycloaddition of azides with alkynes (CuAAC) is one of the most efficient click reactions with widespread applications in organic chemistry and drug discovery (Bock et al., 2007; Best, 2009; Gehringer and Laufer, 2017). Click chemistry is an efficient tool for linking peptides with radioactive molecules or fluorescent compounds, other bioactive molecules, such as small molecules that serve as drugs or nucleic acids, and also for peptide cyclization. The CuAAC reaction is widely used because this reaction is very robust, selective, insensitive to changes in pH and temperature and can be performed in the presence of natural functional groups (Ahmad Fuaad et al., 2013; Testa et al., 2014). Microwave assisted (MW) synthesis can enhance and expedite the formation of the triazole using the typical click reaction protocols. MW assisted click was used in preparation of peptide and carbohydrate conjugates using the click reaction (Wang et al., 2003; Zabrodski et al., 2015).

The synthesis of cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids using click chemistry can be carried out by two different approaches: the submonomer approach and the building block approach. The submonomer approach was reported in several cases and includes a two-step procedure: (i) coupling of bromoacetic acid to the free amine at the N-terminus of the resin-bound growing protected peptide chain, (ii) alkylation with the desired amine (Figure 1; Zuckermann et al., 1992; Zuckermann and Kodadek, 2009; Sarma and Kodadek, 2012; Park et al., 2013). Hundreds of primary amines are currently available commercially and can be used for synthesizing peptide-peptoid libraries by the submonomer approach. However, the existing submonomer approach protocols require several synthetic steps in which reagents are added manually for each alkylation, thus the strategy cannot be fully automated (Olivos et al., 2002; Richter et al., 2007). In addition, the alkyl amines with low boiling points with short carbon chains are not compatible for MW-assisted synthesis protocols and some of them are unavailable commercially. On the other hand, the building block approach is performed by coupling the protected N-alkylated amino acids to the peptide sequence in one step, like any other standard protected amino acid. This approach enables the incorporation of peptoid building blocks (BBs) into the standard solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) protocols in an automated manner with the advantages of the use of MW. The major difficulty in such a synthesis is the preparation of diverse BBs with suitable protecting groups, which also should be compatible with MW-SPPS conditions.

To address the above challenges, we describe the development of a new and rapid synthetic approach, which may provide the desired cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids within several hours in an almost completely automated manner. To achieve this, we synthesized azide and alkynyl N-alkylated amino acids, incorporated them into the peptide sequence using SPPS, and cyclized the peptide using click chemistry protocols that were
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FIGURE 1 | Illustration of the submonomer and building block approaches used for the synthesis of cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids. The main advantages and limitations of each approach are presented. The resin is shown as a blue sphere.

optimized for MW-SPPS. The peptide selected for proving the concept of the new cyclization method is the HIV-1 integrase (IN) derived peptide IN$_{181-188}$. This is a short derivative of the IN-activating peptide developed in our lab and termed INS (derived from IN residues 174-188), which we have shown to kill HIV-infected cells by inducing multi-integration and apoptosis (Levin et al., 2010). Alanine scan revealed that the truncated peptide IN$_{181-188}$ binds and activates IN protein as efficiently as INS itself and thus it was used instead of the long parent peptide (Gabizon et al., 2013).

RESULTS

Synthesis of Peptoid Building Blocks
To synthesize a diverse library of cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids, there was a need for the corresponding peptoid building blocks that would be incorporated into the peptide sequence. Three unnatural Fmoc-protected peptoid BBs with different chain lengths were designed and prepared. These BBs can be inserted readily into a desired location in a peptide sequence, similar to regular amino acids, employing standard automated microwave Fmoc-SPPS procedures. Both the azido and alkyne peptoid BBs were prepared by developing a new synthetic strategy (Figure 2). The synthesis of the propargyl BB 1a was performed by reacting glycine methyl ester with propargyl bromide in the presence of diisopropylethylamine (DIEA) and dry tetrahydrofuran (THF). Several other bases such as triethylamine and pyridine were also tested, but DIEA gave the best yield of the crude intermediate 1. The purity of the intermediate, measured by thin layer chromatography (TLC), was 80–85%. Hydrolysis using lithium hydroxide and Fmoc protection of the amine in the presence of DIEA provided the desired Fmoc protected alkyne building block 1a (Figure 2A). The crude N-propargyl glycine 1a was further triturated with ether and recrystallized from dichloromethane (DCM) and hexane to obtain the pure compound.

The two N-azidoalkyl glycine building blocks were synthesized using similar protocols. Sodium azide treatment of 2-bromo-ethylamine and 3-bromopropyl amine under reflux provided the 2-azidoethylamine and 3-azideopropyl amine as colorless oils that were dissolved in THF, cooled and treated with 1.0 equivalents of ethylbromoacetate to provide the stable
FIGURE 2 | Fmoc protected peptoid building blocks synthesized and used in this study. (A) Synthesis of propargyl building block 1a. (B) Synthesis of azido building blocks. 2a contains two carbons in the backbone and 3a contains three carbons in the backbone.

ester intermediates 2-azido ethyl-N-glycine methyl ester 2 and the 3-azidopropyl-N-glycine methyl ester 3, respectively. A simultaneous reaction of hydrolysis in 4 M NaOH and Fmoc protection (after adjusting the pH to 8.0–8.5) provided the Fmoc-azido ethyl-N-glycine 2a and Fmoc-azidopropyl-N-glycine 3a, respectively (Figure 2B). Ether trituration followed by recrystallization in DCM and hexane provided pure compounds 2a and 3a ready to be used for SPPS.

Synthesis of Peptide-Peptoid Hybrids Derived From IN181−188
To test the compatibility of the new building blocks with SPPS, we first synthesized linear peptide-peptoid hybrids derived from IN181−188. As a model, the MW-assisted automated synthesis of L-1 was performed using building blocks 1a and 3a (Figure 3). The linear peptide L-2 was prepared following a similar strategy only that 1a and 3a were introduced in a reverse order to that described for L-1. 1a was introduced at the C-terminus and 3a was coupled between the phenylalanine and the tryptophan at the N-terminal. L-3 was prepared using 1a and 2a. The alkyne functionalized building block 1a was coupled at the C-terminus and the azide functionalized BB 2a on the N-terminus. For the synthesis of L-4, 1a and 2a were also introduced but with the azide building block on the C-terminus and the alkyne on the N-terminus. After coupling the tryptophan, the Fmoc was removed and the linear peptides were cleaved and analyzed by Reverse Phase-High Performance Liquid Chromatography (RP-HPLC) (Figure 4), Electrospray Ionization-Mass Spectrometry (ESI-MS) and Infra-red spectroscopy (IR).

Developing a Cyclization Protocol for the Peptide-Peptoid Hybrids
To optimize the protocol for the cyclization of the peptide-peptoid hybrids, the linear peptide L-1 was synthesized again as described above and different conditions for on-resin MW assisted cyclization were tested. A solution of CuBr, sodium
ascorbate, and DIEA dissolved in DMF was added to the resin with the linear peptide L-1 and cyclization was performed at different temperatures, reaction times and using different solvent systems. At 80°C, the cyclization was completed in approximately 15 min as monitored by RP-HPLC. However, several unidentified impurities were observed and the overall yield was unsatisfactory.
At 40°C, the reaction took more than 1 h. The optimal conditions that resulted in the highest yield were using MW irradiation at 60°C for 20 min with the power of 25 W (Figure 5). RP-HPLC indicated that cyclization using these conditions resulted in >95% reactant L-1 to product C-1 conversion.

The formation of the cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids was monitored by RP-HPLC (Figure 4A). All the cyclic peptides eluted from the RP-HPLC column with lower retention times than their linear analogs and were characterized by IR (Figure 4B) and ESI-MS. IR analysis showed a peak at 2,000–2,200 cm⁻¹, which corresponds to the azido of L-1. This peak is not detectable in the IR spectrum of the C-1 peptide, indicating successful cyclization (Figure 4B).

After the optimization of the cyclization conditions for the model cyclic peptide C-1, the three other cyclic peptides, C-2, C-3, and C-4, were synthesized from their linear parent peptides on solid support prior to cleavage. The structures and sequences of the final cyclic products were predetermined based on the position at which the azide and alkyne BBs, 1a, 2a, or 3a, were inserted into the peptide (Figure 6).

**Synthesis of Fluorescently Labeled Cyclic Peptides**

After optimizing the automated strategy for synthesizing the linear peptides L-1 to L-4 and the cyclization to get C-1 to C-4, fluorescein was coupled to the N-terminus of the cyclic peptides on the solid support as described (Figure 7; Weber et al., 1998). The fluorescein-labeled cyclic peptides Fl-C-1–4 were cleaved and purified by HPLC and characterized by MS.

**Binding of the IN_{181–188} Cyclic Peptide-Peptoid Hybrids to IN**

To test the activity of the cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids, we first expressed and purified IN_{1–288} F185K/C250S as previously described (Gabizon et al., 2013). The double mutant protein was used since it has similar activity as the wild-type but higher solubility (Jenkins et al., 1996). Binding of the fluorescein-labeled cyclic peptides Fl-C-1–4 to IN was evaluated using fluorescence anisotropy and compared to the binding to fluorescein labeled linear IN_{181–188} peptide (WT), Fl-WT IN_{181–188}. The Hill coefficient for all the cyclic peptides was approximately 4, indicating cooperative binding of the peptides to tetrameric IN. The Kd values for IN binding to all four cyclic peptides were similar to the Kd of IN binding to the Fl-WT IN_{181–188}, indicating that the cyclic peptides retained their affinity to the target protein, despite the more restricted conformation relative to the WT and the entropic penalty caused by cyclization (Figure 8, Table 1).

**The Effect of the Peptides on IN Oligomerization**

To examine the effect of IN_{181–188} peptides on IN oligomerization, we performed AUC sedimentation velocity experiments of IN at different concentrations in the presence and absence of the linear WT peptide and the cyclic C-1 peptide. Higher IN concentrations resulted in a peak at larger S values, indicating the formation of a larger oligomer. The WT peptide stabilized a smaller oligomer that corresponds to an S value of approximately 1.5, while the cyclic peptide C-1 shifted the sedimentation coefficient of IN from between 1.5 and 2 to a higher coefficient of 2.5, indicating the formation of a higher-order oligomer/aggregate (Figure 9).

**The Cyclic Peptide-Peptoid Hybrids Modulate IN Activity**

The activity of the IN_{181–188} peptides was tested using HIV-1 Integrase commercial assay kit (XpressBio). The cyclic IN_{181–188} peptides C-1 to C-4 and WT were tested at four different concentrations of 0.39, 7.8, 58.5, and 117 μM. The WT peptide showed dose-dependent activation of IN in correlation with the
peptide concentration, as previously reported by us (Levin et al., 2010). At the low concentrations of 0.39 and 7.8 µM the IN activity was increased by 50% and at the higher concentrations of 58.5 and 117 µM the activity increased by more than 200%. On the other hand, the C-1 to C-4 peptides showed around 150% increased activation at 7.8 µM but caused IN aggregation at 58.8 and 117 µM, resulting in significant loss of activity (Figure 10).

**Stability of the IN$_{181-188}$ Cyclic Peptide-Peptoid Hybrids**

The stability of all four cyclic IN$_{181-188}$ peptides C-1-C-4 was tested using trypsin digestion and was compared to the WT and a linear precyclic precursor (Figure 11). The digestion rate was monitored by analytical RP-HPLC and showed that while WT was completely digested in around 2.5 h, all the cyclic peptides remained stable for about 30 h (Figure 11A). The digestion of the precyclic peptide L-2 was compared to that of the cyclic analog C-2. L-2 digestion showed mainly the digestion product G(N-propargyl)FIHNFKR but also the shorter fragment G(N-propargyl)FIHNF, indicating the L-2 peptide was hydrolyzed in two adjacent positions. The precyclic L-2 peptide was more stable than the WT peptide toward proteolytic activity, because it contains N-alkylated amino acids (Figure 11B). The digestion of the cyclic C-2 peptide led to the formation of only one product, which had an m/z value that was higher by 18 mass units compared to the cyclic peptide (Figure 11C). No fragments with lower m/z were observed in the digestion of C-2. The trypsin digestion studies provided strong evidence for the presence of a cyclic bridge in C-2 because while the digestion of L-2 led to the formation of smaller fragments corresponding to a truncated peptide, only one product with higher molecular weight was observed when the cyclic peptides were enzymatically cleaved. The mass increase of 18 Da indicates the addition of water as a result of the proteolytic process while the triazole bridge holds the entire peptide, preventing it from forming additional fragments.
DISCUSSION

In the current study, we developed a procedure that expedites the preparation of cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids using a set of synthetic building blocks, automated MW-assisted SPPS and on-resin MW-assisted click chemistry protocols. Combining click chemistry and the peptide-peptoid cyclization with automated protocols is the key parameter that leads to the easy and efficient synthesis.
A New Synthetic Method for Preparing Cyclic Peptide-Peptoid Hybrids

The strategy developed here is highly effective and provides the cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids within 2–3 h with cyclization at the desired site of the peptide. The only extra step required here compared to standard automated MW-SPPS is the click reaction after the synthesis of the linear peptides with the azido and alkyne BBs at the desired locations. Adding the click solution to the resin and additional irradiation for 20 min at 60°C provides the cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids C-1–4. This procedure not only decreases the number of steps but also accelerates the cyclic peptide synthesis itself. A library of cyclic analogs of a target peptide can be synthesized in just a few days.

The synthesis of the linear peptides L-1–L-4 was performed using a fully automated approach employing standard MW-assisted SPPS. N-alkylated amino acids are known to be sterically hindered and usually it is not trivial to introduce them or couple the subsequent amino acids to them (Hurevich et al., 2007). Highly reactive reagents and elevated temperatures have been commonly used to overcome these difficulties. However, many of these reagents, such as the use of triphosgene as an activating reagent, are not compatible with an automated synthesis approach (Hurevich et al., 2007). This hampers attempts to automate the synthesis, making the production of peptide libraries that contain difficult coupling steps very tedious. MW-assisted SPPS, which utilizes standard protocols and can be automated, has been used to overcome difficult coupling steps.
that result from steric hindrance or from aggregations (Pratesi et al., 2017). However, in many of these cases, the MW procedure cannot be optimized and these steps are performed manually. Expediting the synthesis of the L-1–L-4 peptide library was enabled by using the MW-assisted automated SPPS approach. Since the automated MW-assisted approach utilizes a high temperature as a standard practice, the same conditions were used to overcome the difficult coupling steps of and to the sterically hindered, N-alkylated building blocks 1a, 2a, and 3a. The advantage of the strategy is that there is no need to perform any of the coupling steps manually and the entire process leading to the cyclic peptide is conducted automatically without interruption. In this process, only the cyclization and cleavage steps were performed manually. The same strategy was also used to expedite the synthesis of the C-1–4 and Fl-C-1–4 libraries.

Choosing to rely on a click reaction for the preparation of the library has many advantages. First, the alkyne and azide functionalized glycine BBs proved compatible with all standard SPPS protocols. Second, the standard protecting groups and the linker were fully immune to click reaction conditions so cyclization could be performed on the fully protected pre-cyclic peptide while still on the support. The on-resin cyclization strategy also prevents the problem of dimerization and oligomerization which is more prone to happen in solution phase click cyclization. Third, the triazole group and the entire cyclic peptide proved stable to standard cleavage conditions. The ability to prepare analogs with reverse and diverse architectures simply by changing the order in which the building blocks are introduced into the peptide sequence has many advantages compared to other cyclization methods e.g., amide, urea, disulfide, etc. In other cyclization methods the manipulation of protecting groups prior to the assembly of the peptide and before the cyclization steps is a major disadvantage (Davies, 2003).

Choosing click chemistry proved compatible with the entire process since no additional deprotection or cleavage steps have to be performed prior to the cyclization. The optimized protocol for MW-assisted cyclization is an additional improvement that helps making cyclic peptide - peptoid hybrids more accessible. It allowed us to synthesize a diverse set of cyclic peptides quickly and efficiently. Furthermore, it overcame the tedious effort of extensive protecting group manipulation that is required for cyclization using other methods.

**Stability of the Cyclic Peptides to Tryptic Digestion Offers an Advantage Over the WT**

Stability of cyclic and N-alkylated peptide-peptoid hybrids toward enzymatic degradation is one of the most significant advantages that can be gained by using this strategy and is crucial for improving the pharmacological properties. Our tryptic digestion studies show that both the precyclic and the cyclic hybrids are significantly more stable toward enzymatic hydrolysis than the WT peptide. Several molecular and structural features of the cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids combine to provide this higher stability. The cyclic peptides in the library have non-native side chains, two backbone amides that are alkylated, and they
are cyclized using a bond that is not naturally recognized by enzymes. Each of these features can contribute separately to the stability but their combination can enhance stability even more. Our results show that the contribution of the N-alkylation itself, as demonstrated for L-2, provides significant improvement in stability compared to the WT and dramatically increases its half life time. The cyclization provides further advantage because the triazole bridge holds the peptide from disassembly to shorter fragments even after hydrolysis, since it is not recognized by the enzyme.

**The Activity of IN in the Presence of IN<sub>181−188</sub> Derived Cyclic Peptides**

The cyclic peptides bound IN with a similar affinity to the linear parent WT peptide. The catalytic activity of IN in the presence of C-1 and C-2 at 7.8 µM was stimulated to a larger extent when compared to the stimulation caused by the WT peptide. At high peptide concentrations all four cyclic peptides stimulated IN aggregation, as was confirmed by AUC results (Figure 9). The WT and the cyclic peptides bound IN with the same affinity. At low concentrations, the WT and cyclic peptides stimulate IN activity, as previously described for the linear INS peptide (Levin et al., 2010). C-1 and C-2 activated IN to a larger extent than C-3 and C-4. At higher peptide concentrations, the WT stimulated IN activity while the cyclic peptides induced IN aggregation resulting in loss of activity. We conclude that using the cyclic peptides at low concentrations is optimal—combining affinity, activity, and stability while avoiding aggregation.

In summary, the strategy of using readily synthesized Fmoc-protected peptoid building blocks in combination with automated MW-assisted SPPS and MW-assisted on-resin orthogonal cyclization offers quick access to cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids. This approach provided four cyclic peptide-peptoid hybrids in a very short time, and without additional steps compared to the present strategies. Our approach can be useful for peptide-based drug discovery studies which require a rapid method for synthesizing libraries of stable and potent drug candidates.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Peptide Synthesis and On-Resin Cyclization**

All peptides were synthesized on a Liberty Blue microwave assisted peptide synthesizer (CEM) using standard Fmoc-SPPS chemistry on rink amide with DIC/Oxyma as coupling reagents. The coupling of azide-functionalized building block 2a, 3a and the alkyne-functionalized building blocks 1a was performed using a mixture of HATU and DIEA in dimethylformamide (DMF) under MW conditions at 75°C for 5 min. Fmoc removal was performed at 90°C for 3 min using 20% piperidine in DMF during the entire synthesis. Click cyclization solution was made by dissolving 0.4 eq of CuBr, 0.8 eq of sodium ascorbate, and 10
eq of DIEA in DMF and was added to the resin with the linear peptides L-1-4. Cyclization was performed with MW irradiation at 60°C for 20 min with the power of 25 W (Figure 5). Cleavage of both linear and cyclic peptides performed using mixture of 92% TFA, 5% TDW, and 3% TIPS. The cleaved peptides were purified on a Merck-Hitachi HPLC using a reverse-phase C18 preparative column with a gradient range between 10 and 50% of acetonitrile (ACN) in TDW. ESI mass spectroscopy and analytical HPLC were used to check the identity and verify the peptide purity. All peptides were lyophilized and stored at −20°C. Fluorescein was coupled as described (Weber et al., 1998). A tryptophan residue was added at the N-terminus of the peptides when required for concentration measurements using UV spectroscopy.

Rink amide resin was placed in a CEM liberty blue synthesizer and was coupled with the azide-functionalized building block 3a using a mixture of HATU and DIEA in dimethylformamide (DMF) under MW conditions at 75°C for 5 min. Fmoc removal was performed at 90°C for 3 min using 20% piperidine in DMF during the entire synthesis. The next eight amino acids were introduced in an automated way using standard MW-assisted SPPS protocols. After the coupling of phenylalanine, the alkyne functionalized building block 1a was coupled using the same HATU and DIEA activation mixture as the 3a and under the same MW conditions. The terminal Fmoc tryptophan was later coupled to provide the L-1 linear peptoid-peptide hybrid (Figure 3).

Fluorescence Anisotropy Binding Measurements
IN was titrated into a solution of 100 nM fluorescein labeled (Fl) IN181–188 cyclic peptides and into linear IN181–188, which served as a control. Anisotropy measurements were performed generally as described (Friedler et al., 2002) at 10°C with ionic strength of 1 M (adjusted by NaCl) and the binding curves were fit to the Hill equation (Figure 8, Table 1).
AUC Measurements of IN With WT and C-1
Sedimentation velocity measurements were performed using a Beckman-Coulter ProteomeLab™ XL-I analytical ultracentrifuge. The IN was diluted to 10, 15, and 19 µM with 20 mM HEPES buffer containing 0.1 mM EDTA, 10% v/v glycerol, 1 mM DTT and an ionic strength of 1 M (with NaCl). 1.5 mM C-1 and 4.2 mM linear WT were run in the same buffer in both the protein and reference solutions. All runs were done at 10°C for approximately 8 h at 45,000 rpm. The data was analyzed using SEDPHAT software by NIH.

Proteolytic Digestion of L-2 WT and C-1-C-4
WT and C-1–C-4 and L-2 peptides, all synthesized without terminal Trp, were dissolved in 360 µL of HEPES 20 mM pH = 7.5 up to 450 µM. Then 7 µL of 8 µM trypsin were added to the peptide solution and the mixture was incubated at 37°C. Every 30 min, 25 µL of the reaction solution were taken out and the residual trypsin activity quenched with 5 µL 2% TFA in 20% aqueous ACN. Fifty microliter of 10% aqueous acetonitrile was added to make the total volume 80 µL. Then the sample was run on reverse phase HPLC using a 5–60% ACN:Water gradient at room temperature (Hayouka et al., 2010; Tal-Gan et al., 2010). HPLC/MS of C-2 digestion: peak at Rt 19.7 min: ESI-MS calculated for C62H97N22O12 [digested C-2+H]+ 1341.6; found: 1341.9. HPLC/MS of L-2 digestion: peak at Rt 15.7 min: ESI-MS calculated for C62H95N22O11 [L-2+H]+ 1323.7; found: 1323.3; peak at Rt 16.9 min: ESI-MS calculated for C51H72N15O10 [G(N-propargyl)FIHNFKR+H]+ 1056.6; found: 1056.3; peak at Rt 17.7 min: ESI-MS calculated for C45H62N11O9 [G(N-propargyl)FIHNFK+H]+ 900.5; found: 900.5.
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