Reverse Zagreb and Reverse Hyper-Zagreb Indices for Crystallographic Structure of Molecules
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In the fields of chemical graph theory, topological index is a type of a molecular descriptor that is calculated based on the graph of a chemical compound. Topological indices help us collect information about algebraic graphs and give us mathematical approach to understand the properties of algebraic structures. With the help of topological indices, we can guess the properties of chemical compounds without performing experiments in wet lab. There are more than 148 topological indices in the literature, but none of them completely give all properties of under study compounds. Together, they do it to some extent; hence, there is always room to introduce new indices. In this paper, we present first and second reserve Zagreb indices and first reverse hyper-Zagreb indices, reverse GA index, and reverse atomic bond connectivity index for the crystallographic structure of molecules. We also present first and second reverse Zagreb polynomials and first and second reverse hyper-Zagreb polynomials for the crystallographic structure of molecules.

1. Introduction

Topological indices enable us to collect information about algebraic structures and give us a mathematical approach to understand the properties of algebraic structures. Here, we will discuss some newly introduced first and second reverse Zagreb indices, hyper-Zagreb indices, and their polynomials for the crystallographic structure of molecules [1–9].

A graph having no loop or multiple edges is known as simple graph. A molecular graph is a simple graph in which atoms and bonds are represented by vertex and edge sets, respectively. The vertex degree is the number of edges attached to that vertex [10–16]. The maximum degree of vertex among the vertices of a graph is denoted by \( \Delta(G) \). Kulli et al. [17] introduce the concept of reverse vertex degree \( C_{\gamma} \), defined as \( C_{\gamma} = \Delta(G) - d_{G}(v) + 1 \).

In discrete mathematics, graph theory in general is not only the study of different properties of objects but it also tells us about objects having same properties as investigating object. These properties of different objects are of main interest. In particular, graph polynomials related to graph are rich in information. Mathematical tools like polynomials and topological-based numbers have significant importance to collect information about the properties of chemical compounds. We can find out many hidden information about compounds through these tools. Multifold graph polynomials are present in the literature. Actually, topological indices are numeric quantities that tell us about the whole structure of graph. There are many topological indices [18, 19] that help us to study physical, chemical reactivities, and biological properties. Wiener, in 1947 [20], firstly introduce the concept of topological index while working on boiling point. In particular, Hosoya polynomial [21] plays an important in the area of distance-based topological indices; we can find out Wiener index, hyper-Wiener index, and Tratch–Stankevich–Zefirov index by Hosoya polynomial [22, 23]. Other well-established polynomials are Zagreb and hyper-Zagreb polynomials introduced by Gao.
The first and second reverse Zagreb indices are as follows:

\[
CM_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (c_u + c_v), \\
CM_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (c_u c_v).
\]

Now, the first and second reverse hyper-Zagreb indices are given by

\[
HCM_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (c_u + c_v)^2, \\
HCM_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (c_u c_v)^2.
\]

Atom-bond connectivity index can be abbreviated as ABC index. It is defined as follows:

\[
ABC(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{d_u(G) + d_v(G) - 2}{d_u(G) d_v(G)}}.
\]

Another degree-based topological index that utilizes the difference between the geometric and arithmetic means was invented by Vukičević and Furtula, namely, geometric-arithmetic index and is defined as follows:

\[
GA(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{d_u(G) d_v(G)}{(1/2)(d_u(G) + d_v(G))}}.
\]

With the help of reverse Zagreb and hyper-Zagreb indices, we are now able to write the reverse Zagreb and hyper Zagreb polynomials:

\[
CM_1(G, x) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} x^{(c_u + c_v)}, \\
CM_2(G, x) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} x^{(c_u c_v)}, \\
HCM_1(G, x) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} x^{(c_u + c_v)^2}, \\
HCM_2(G, x) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} x^{(c_u c_v)^2}.
\]

We introduce the idea of reverse atom-bond connectivity index and reverse geometric-arithmetic index, and it is defined as follows:

\[
CABC(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{c_u(G) + c_v(G) - 2}{c_u(G) c_v(G)}}, \\
CGA(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{c_u(G) c_v(G)}{(1/2)(c_u(G) + c_v(G))}}.
\]

2. Main Results

Here, we will compute reverse Zagreb and reverse hyper-Zagreb indices for the crystallographic structure of molecules.

2.1. Crystallographic Structure of the Molecule Cu2O. The unit cell of the crystallographic structure of the molecule Cu2O is given in Figure 1 and the crystal structure of Cu2O [3, 3, 3] is given in Figure 2.

Theorem 1. Let \( G \) be the chemical graph of Cu2O, with \( m, \alpha, t \geq 1 \). The first and second reverse Zagreb indices are as follows:

\[
(1) CM_1(Cu_2O) = 32mt + 20ma + 20at + 20at - 28m - 28a - 28t, \\
(2) CM_2(Cu_2O) = 24ma + 24ma + 24mt + 24at - 12m - 12a - 12t.
\]

Proof. From Figure 2, we can say that there are 3 types of edges in Cu2O:

\[
E_1(Cu_2O) = \{uv \in E(Cu_2O); d_u = 1, d_v = 2\}, \\
E_2(Cu_2O) = \{uv \in E(Cu_2O); d_u = 2, d_v = 2\}, \\
E_3(Cu_2O) = \{uv \in E(Cu_2O); d_u = 2, d_v = 4\}.
\]

We have \(|E_1(Cu_2O)| = 4\alpha + 4m + 4t - 8, |E_2(Cu_2O)| = 4am + 4at + 4mt - 8a - 8m - 8t + 12, \) and \(|E_3(Cu_2O)| = 4(2amt - am - mt + a + m + t - 1)\). In this structure, the maximum edge degree is 4, and then, the reverse edges are given as follows:

\[
c_u = \Delta(G) - d_G(u) + 1 = 5 - d_G(u).
\]

The reverse edge set of Cu2O is given as follows:

\[
CE_1(Cu_2O) = \{uv \in E(Cu_2O); c_u = 4, c_v = 3\}, \\
CE_2(Cu_2O) = \{uv \in E(Cu_2O); c_u = 3, c_v = 3\}, \\
CE_3(Cu_2O) = \{uv \in E(Cu_2O); c_u = 3, c_v = 1\}.
\]

We have \(|CE_1(Cu_2O)| = 4\alpha + 4m + 4t - 8, |CE_2(Cu_2O)| = 4am + 4at + 4mt - 8a - 8m - 8t + 12, \) and \(|CE_3(Cu_2O)| = 4(2amt - am - mt + a + m + t - 1)\).

(i) The first reverse ZI for Cu2O is given by
\[ CM_1(Cu_2O) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (c_u + c_v) \]
\[ = (4 + 3)(4a + 4m + 4t - 8) + (3 + 3)(4am + 4at + 4mt - 8a - 8m - 8t + 12) + (3 + 1) \]
\[ \cdot (4(2amt - am - at - mt + a + m + t - 1)) \]
\[ = 32mat + 20ma + 20mt + 20at + 36 - 28m - 28a - 28t. \]  

(ii) The second reverse ZI for Cu$_2$O is given by

\[ CM_2(Cu_2O) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (c_u, c_v) \]
\[ = (4 \times 3)(4a + 4m + 4t - 8) + (3 \times 3)(4am + 4at + 4mt - 8a - 8m - 8t + 12) \]
\[ + (3 \times 1)(4(2amt - am - at - mt + a + m + t - 1)) \]
\[ = 24mat + 24ma + 24mt + 24at - 12m - 12a - 12t. \]
Theorem 2. The first and second reverse Zagreb polynomials for \( \text{Cu}_2\text{O} \) with \( m, n, t \geq 1 \) are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
1. \text{CM}_1(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}, x) &= x^7 (4α + 4m + 4t - 8) + x^6 (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8α - 8m - 8t + 12) \\
&\quad + x^4 (4(2amt - am - at - mt + a + m + t - 1)), \\
2. \text{CM}_2(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}, x) &= x^{12} (4α + 4m + 4t - 8) + x^9 (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8α - 8m - 8t + 12) \\
&\quad + x^6 (4(2amt - am - at - mt + a + m + t - 1)).
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Now, by the reverse edge partitions of \( \text{Cu}_2\text{O} \), we have the following results:

(i) The first reverse Zagreb polynomial for \( \text{Cu}_2\text{O} \) is given as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CM}_1(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}, x) &= \sum_{u \in \text{E}(G)} x^{(c_u + c_v)} \\
&= (4α + 4m + 4t - 8)x^{(3+4)} + (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8α - 8m - 8t + 12)x^{(3+3)} \\
&\quad + (4(2amt - am - at - mt + a + m + t - 1))x^{(3+1)} \\
&= x^7 (4α + 4m + 4t - 8) + x^6 (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8α - 8m - 8t + 12) \\
&\quad + x^4 (4(2amt - am - at - mt + a + m + t - 1)).
\end{align*}
\]

(ii) The second reverse Zagreb polynomial for \( \text{Cu}_2\text{O} \), with \( m, a, t \geq 1 \), is given as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CM}_2(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}, x) &= \sum_{u \in \text{E}(G)} x^{(c_u - c_v)} \\
&= (4α + 4m + 4t - 8)x^{(3+4)} + (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8α - 8m - 8t + 12)x^{(3+3)} \\
&\quad + (4(2amt - am - at - mt + a + m + t - 1))x^{(3+1)} \\
&= x^{12} (4α + 4m + 4t - 8) + x^9 (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8α - 8m - 8t + 12) \\
&\quad + x^6 (4(2amt - am - at - mt + a + m + t - 1)).
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{CM}_1(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}) = \sum_{u \in \text{E}(G)} (c_u + c_v)^2 \\
= (4 + 3)^2 (4α + 4m + 4t - 8) + (3 + 3)^2 (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8α - 8m - 8t + 12) \\
&\quad + (3 + 1)^2 (4(2amt - am - at - mt + a + m + t - 1)) \\
= 32mat + 128ma + 128mt + 128at - 76m - 76a - 76t + 189
\]

Proof. Let \( G \) be a graph of \( \text{Cu}_2\text{O} \). Then, by reverse edge partition and definition of reverse hyper-Zagreb indices, we have the following results:

(i) The first reverse hyper-ZI for \( \text{Cu}_2\text{O} \) is given by

\[
\text{HCM}_1(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}) = 32mat + 128ma + 128mt + 128at - 76m - 76a - 76t + 24.
\]

\[
\text{HCM}_2(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}) = 18mat + 315ma + 315mt + 315at - 63m - 63a - 63t - 189
\]

\[
\text{HCM}_3(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}) = 32mat + 128ma + 128mt + 128at - 76m - 76a - 76t + 24.
\]

\[
\text{HCM}_4(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}) = 32mat + 128ma + 128mt + 128at - 76m - 76a - 76t + 24.
\]
Proof. The second reverse hyper-ZI for Cu$_2$O is given by

\[ CM_2(Cu_2O) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (c_u c_v)^2 \]

\[ = (4 \times 3)^2 (4a + 4m + 4t - 8) + (3 \times 3)^2 (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8a - 8m - 8t + 12) \]
\[ + (3 \times 1)^2 (4(2amt - am - at - mt + \alpha + m + t - 1)) \]
\[ = 18mt + 315m\alpha + 315mt + 315at - 63m - 63\alpha - 63t - 189. \]  

(16)

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 4.** The first and second reverse hyper-Zagreb polynomials of Cu$_2$O with $m, \alpha, t \geq 1$ are as follows:

1. $HCM_1(Cu_2O, x) = x^{144} (4a + 4m + 4t - 8) + x^{81} (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8a - 8m - 8t + 12)$
\[ + x^{9} (4(2amt - am - at - mt + \alpha + m + t - 1)), \]

2. $HCM_2(Cu_2O, x) = x^{144} (4a + 4m + 4t - 8) + x^{81} (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8a - 8m - 8t + 12)$
\[ + x^{9} (4(2amt - am - at - mt + \alpha + m + t - 1)). \]

Proof. Now, by the reverse edge partitions for Cu$_2$O, we have the following results:

(i) The first reverse Zagreb polynomial for Cu$_2$O is given as follows:

\[ HCM_1(Cu_2O, x) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} x^{(c_u + c_v)^2} \]

\[ = (4a + 4m + 4t - 8)x^{(3+4)^2} + (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8a - 8m - 8t + 12)x^{(3+3)^2} \]
\[ + (4\alpha)(4(2amt - am - at - mt + \alpha + m + t - 1))x^{(3+1)^2} \]
\[ = x^{49} (4a + 4m + 4t - 8) + x^{36} (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8a - 8m - 8t + 12) \]
\[ + x^{16} (4(2amt - am - at - mt + \alpha + m + t - 1)). \]  

(17)

(ii) The second reverse Zagreb polynomial for Cu$_2$O is given as follows:

\[ HCM_2(Cu_2O, x) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} x^{(c_u + c_v)^2} \]

\[ = (4a + 4m + 4t - 8)x^{(3x4)^2} + (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8a - 8m - 8t + 12)x^{(3x3)^2} \]
\[ + (4(2amt - am - at - mt + \alpha + m + t - 1))x^{(3x1)^2} \]
\[ = x^{144} (4a + 4m + 4t - 8) + x^{81} (4am + 4at + 4mt - 8a - 8m - 8t + 12) \]
\[ + x^{9} (4(2amt - am - at - mt + \alpha + m + t - 1)). \]  

(18)
Theorem 5. Let $G$ be the graph of Cu$_2$O with $m$, $\alpha$, $t \geq 1$. The reverse atom-bond connectivity index and reverse geometric-arithmetic index for Cu$_2$O with $m$, $\alpha$, $t \geq 1$ are as follows:

1. CABC(Cu$_2$O) = $\frac{1}{3} \left[ (8\sqrt{6})m\alpha t + (8 - 32\sqrt{6})(ma + mt + at) + (2\sqrt{15} - 16 + 32\sqrt{6})(m + \alpha + t) + (24 - 16\sqrt{15} - 32\sqrt{6}) \right],$

2. CGA(Cu$_2$O) = $\frac{1}{14} \left[ (56\sqrt{3})m\alpha t + (56 - 28\sqrt{3})(ma + mt + at) + (-112 + 39\sqrt{3})(m + \alpha + t) + (168 - 71\sqrt{3}) \right].$ (20)

Proof. By the reverse edge partition, we have the following results:

(i) The reverse atom-bond connectivity index for Cu$_2$O is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CABC(Cu}_2\text{O)} &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left[ c_u(G) + c_v(G) - 2 \right] \left[ c_u(G)c_v(G) \right] \\
&= [4m + 4\alpha + 4t - 8] \left[ \frac{4 + 3 - 2}{4 \times 3} \right] + [4ma + 4at + 4mt - 8m - 8\alpha - 8t + 12] \left[ \frac{3 + 3 - 2}{3 \times 3} \right] \\
&\quad + [4(2mat - ma - mt - at + m + \alpha + t - 1)] \left[ \frac{3 + 1 - 2}{3 \times 1} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \left[ (8\sqrt{6})m\alpha t + (8 - 32\sqrt{6})(ma + mt + at) + (2\sqrt{15} - 16 + 32\sqrt{6})(m + \alpha + t) + (24 - 16\sqrt{15} - 32\sqrt{6}) \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(ii) The reverse geometric-arithmetic index for Cu$_2$O is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CGA(Cu}_2\text{O)} &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\sqrt{c_u(G)c_v(G)}}{\left[ c_u(G) + c_v(G) \right]} \\
&= [4m + 4\alpha + 4t - 8] \left[ \frac{\sqrt{4 \times 3}}{(1/2)[4 \times 3]} \right] + [4ma + 4at + 4mt - 8m - 8\alpha - 8t + 12] \left[ \frac{\sqrt{3 \times 3}}{(1/2)[3 \times 3]} \right] \\
&\quad + [4(2mat - ma - mt - at + m + \alpha + t - 1)] \left[ \frac{\sqrt{3 \times 1}}{(1/2)[3 \times 1]} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{14} \left[ (56\sqrt{3})m\alpha t + (56 - 28\sqrt{3})(ma + mt + at) + (-112 + 39\sqrt{3})(m + \alpha + t) + (168 - 71\sqrt{3}) \right].
\end{align*}
\]

The values of calculated topological indices of Cu$_2$O at different levels are given in Table 1.

2.2. Titanium Difluoride TiF$_2[m$, $\alpha$, $t]$. The unit cell of crystallographic structure of titanium difluoride TiF$_2[m$, $\alpha$, $t]$ is given in Figure 3 and the crystal structure of TiF$_2$ [1, 2, 4] is given in Figure 4.

Theorem 6. Let $G$ be the graph of titanium difluoride TiF$_2[m$, $\alpha$, $t]$, with $m$, $\alpha$, $t \geq 1$. The first and second reverse Zagreb indices are as follows:

(1) $CM_1(TiF_2[m$, $\alpha$, $t]) = 192m\alpha t + 64ma + 64mt + 64at - 16m - 16\alpha - 16t + 8$

(2) $CM_2(TiF_2[m$, $\alpha$, $t]) = 160m\alpha t + 320ma + 320mt + 320at - 80m - 80\alpha - 80t + 40$
Table 1: Values of calculated topological indices of Cu2O at different levels.

|                  | m = 1 | m = 2 | m = 3 | m = 1 | m = 3 | m = 3 | m = 2 |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| α = 1            |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| t = 1            | 44    | 364   | 1188  | 280   | 280   | 2560  | 2116  |
|                | First reverse ZI |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Second reverse ZI| 60    | 408   | 1188  | 336   | 336   | 2424  | 2064  |
| Figure 4, we can say that there are five type of edges in |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Let G be a graph of titanium difluoride TiF2[m, α, t]. |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Proof. |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| The vertex and edge sets of titanium difluoride TiF2[m, α, t] |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| are [V(TiF2[m, α, t])] = 12mat + 2ma + 2mt + 2αt + m + α + t + 1 and |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| [E(TiF2[m, α, t])] = 32mat, respectively. From |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Figure 4, we can say that there are five type of edges in |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| TiF2[m, α, t]. The edge set of [TiF2[m, α, t]] = 32mat is |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| portioned into four edge sets: |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| $E_1(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t]) = \{uveE(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t])|d_u = 1, d_v = 4\}$, |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| $E_2(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t]) = \{uveE(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t])|d_u = 2, d_v = 4\}$, |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| $E_3(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t]) = \{uveE(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t])|d_u = 4, d_v = 4\}$, |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| $E_4(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t]) = \{uveE(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t])|d_u = 4, d_v = 8\}$. |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |

(23)

We have $|E_1(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t])| = 8$, $|E_2(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t])| = 8(m + \alpha + t - 3)$, $|E_3(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t])| = 16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + \alpha + t + 24)$, and $|E_4(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t])| = 32mat - 16(mt + ma + at) + 8(m + \alpha + t) - 8$. The maximum edge degree is 8; then, the reverse edges are given as follows:

$c_u = \Delta(G) - d_G(u) + 1 = 9 - d_G(u)$. (24)

The reverse edge set of TiF2[m, α, t] is given as follows:

$CE_1(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t]) = \{uveE(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t])|c_u = 8, c_v = 5\}$,
$CE_2(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t]) = \{uveE(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t])|c_u = 7, c_v = 5\}$,
$CE_3(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t]) = \{uveE(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t])|c_u = 5, c_v = 5\}$,
$CE_4(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t]) = \{uveE(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t])|c_u = 5, c_v = 1\}$.

(25)
We have $|E_1(\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t])| = 8$, $|E_2(\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t])| = 8(m + a + t - 3)$, $|E_3(\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t])| = 16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + a + t) + 24$, and $|E_4(\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t])| = 32mat - 16(mt + ma + at) + 8(m + a + t) - 8$.

(i) The first reverse ZI for $\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t]$ is given by
\[
CM_1(\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t]) = \sum_{u \in E(G)} (c_u + c_v)
= (8+5)(8) + (7+5)[8(m + a + t - 3)] + (5+5)[16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + a + t) + 24]
+ (5+1)[32mat - 16(mt + ma + at) + 8(m + a + t) - 8]
= 192mat + 64ma + 64mt + 64at - 16m - 16a - 16t + 8.
\]

(ii) The second reverse ZI for $\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t]$ is given by
\[
CM_2(\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t]) = \sum_{u \in E(G)} (c_u c_v)
= (8 \times 5)(8) + (7 \times 5)[8(m + a + t - 3)] + (5 \times 5)[16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + a + t) + 24] + (5 \times 1)[32mat - 16(mt + ma + at) + 8(m + a + t) - 8]
= 160mat + 320ma + 320mt + 320at - 80m - 80a - 80t + 40.
\]

**Theorem 7.** The first and second reverse Zagreb polynomials for $\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t]$ are as follows:

1. $CM_1(\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t], x) = 8x^{13} + 8(m + a + t - 3)x^{12} + [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + a + t) + 24]x^{10} + [32mat - 16(mt + ma + at) + 8(m + a + t) - 8]x^8$

2. $CM_2(\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t], x) = 8x^{40} + 8(m + a + t - 3)x^{35} + [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + a + t) + 24]x^{35} + [32mat - 16(mt + ma + at) + 8(m + a + t) - 8]x^5$

(i) The first reverse Zagreb polynomial for $\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t]$ is given as follows:
\[
CM_1(\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t], x) = \sum_{u \in E(G)} x^{(c_u + c_v)}
= (8)x^{(8+5)} + [8(m + a + t - 3)]x^{(7+5)} + [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + a + t) + 24]x^{(5+5)}
+ [32mat - 16(mt + ma + at) + 8(m + a + t) - 8]x^{(5+1)}
= 8x^{13} + 8(m + a + t - 3)x^{12} + [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + a + t) + 24]x^{10}
+ [32mat - 16(mt + ma + at) + 8(m + a + t) - 8]x^8.
\]

(ii) The second reverse Zagreb polynomial for $\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t]$ is given as follows:
\[
CM_2(\text{TiF}_2[m, a, t], x) = \sum_{u \in E(G)} x^{(c_u c_v)}
= (8)x^{(8+5)} + [8(m + a + t - 3)]x^{(7+5)} + [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + a + t) + 24]x^{(5+5)}
+ [32mat - 16(mt + ma + at) + 8(m + a + t) - 8]x^{(5+1)}
= 8x^{40} + 8(m + a + t - 3)x^{35} + [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + a + t) + 24]x^{35}
+ [32mat - 16(mt + ma + at) + 8(m + a + t) - 8]x^5.
\]
Theorem 8. The first and second reverse hyper-Zagreb indices of TiF$_2$[m, α, t] are as follows:

1. $HCM_1(TiF_2[m, α, t]) = 1152ma - 160(m + α + t) + 1024(ma + at + mt) - 2152$
2. $HCM_2(TiF_2[m, α, t]) = 800ma + 9600(ma + at + mt) - 14600$

Proof. Let $G$ be a graph of silicon-carbon TiF$_2$[m, a, t]. Then, by reverse edge partition and definition of reverse hyper-Zagreb indices, we have the following results:

(i) The first reverse Zagreb polynomial for TiF$_2$[m, a, t] is given by

\[
CM_1(TiF_2[m, α, t]) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (c_u + c_v)^2 \\
= (8 + 5)^2 (8 + (7 + 5)^2 [8(m + α + t - 3)]) + (5 + 5)^2 [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + α + t) + 24] \\
+ (5 + 1)^2 [32ma - 16(ma + at + mt) + 8(m + α + t) - 8] \\
= 1152ma - 160(m + α + t) + 1024(ma + at + mt) - 2152.
\]

(ii) The second reverse Zagreb for TiF$_2$[m, a, t] is given by

\[
CM_2(TiF_2[m, α, t]) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (c_u c_v)^2 \\
= (8 + 5)^2 (8 + (7 + 5)^2 [8(m + α + t - 3)]) + (5 + 5)^2 [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + α + t) + 24] \\
+ (5 + 1)^2 [32ma - 16(ma + at + mt) + 8(m + α + t) - 8] \\
= 800ma + 9600(ma + at + mt) - 14600.
\]

Theorem 9. The first and second reverse hyper-Zagreb polynomials of TiF$_2$[m, α, t] are as follows:

1. $HCM_1(TiF_2[m, α, t], x) = 8x^{169} + [8(m + α + t - 3)] \\
\times [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + α + t) + 24]x^{100} + [32ma - 16(ma + at + mt) + 8(m + α + t) - 8]x^{36}$
2. $HCM_2(TiF_2[m, α, t], x) = 8x^{1600} + [8(m + α + t - 3)] \\
\times [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + α + t) + 24]x^{625} + [32ma - 16(ma + at + mt) + 8(m + α + t) - 8]x^{25}$

Proof. Now, by the reverse edge partitions for TiF$_2$[m, a, t], we have the following results:

(i) The first reverse Zagreb polynomial for TiF$_2$[m, a, t] is given as follows:

\[
CM_1(TiF_2[m, a, t], x) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} x^{(c_u + c_v)^2} \\
= (8)x^{(8+5)^2} + [8(m + α + t - 3)]x^{(7+5)^2} + [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + α + t) + 24]x^{(5+5)^2} \\
+ [32ma - 16(ma + at + mt) + 8(m + α + t) - 8]x^{(5+1)^2} \\
= 8x^{169} + [8(m + α + t - 3)]x^{144} + [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + α + t) + 24]x^{100} \\
+ [32ma - 16(ma + at + mt) + 8(m + α + t) - 8]x^{36}.
\]
(ii) The second reverse Zagreb polynomial for \( TiF_2[m, \alpha, t] \) is given as follows:

\[
\text{CM}_2(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t], x) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} x^{(c_u - c_v)^2} \\
= (8)x^{(8x5)} + [8(m + \alpha + t - 3)]x^{(7x5)^2} + [16(m\alpha + at + mt) - 16(m + \alpha + t) + 24]x^{(5x5)^2} \\
+ [32mat - 16(mt + m\alpha + at) + 8(m + \alpha + t) - 8]x^{(5x1)^2} \\
= 8x^{1600} + [8(m + \alpha + t - 3)]x^{1225} + [16(m\alpha + at + mt) - 16(m + \alpha + t) + 24]x^{625} \\
+ [32mat - 16(mt + m\alpha + at) + 8(m + \alpha + t) - 8]x^{25}.
\]

**Theorem 10.** Let \( G \) be the graph of \( Cu_2O \) with \( m, \alpha, t \geq 1 \). The reverse atom-bond connectivity index and reverse geometric-arithmetic index for \( Cu_2O \) with \( m, \alpha, t \geq 1 \) are given by

1. ABC(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t]) = \frac{1}{175} [(2240\sqrt{5})mat + (1225\sqrt{2} - 1225\sqrt{5})(m\alpha + mt + at) + (40\sqrt{350} - 224\sqrt{50} + 560\sqrt{5}) + (70\sqrt{110} - 6000\sqrt{14} + 1680\sqrt{2} - 2800\sqrt{5})],

2. CGA(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t]) = \frac{1}{3} [(32\sqrt{5})mat + (48 - 16\sqrt{5})(m\alpha + mt + at) + (4\sqrt{35} + 8\sqrt{5} - 48)(m + \alpha + t)]

\[+ \frac{1}{39} (96\sqrt{10} - 104\sqrt{5} + 156\sqrt{35} + 936).\]

**Proof.** By the reverse edge partition, we have the following results:

(i) The reverse atom-bond connectivity index for \( TiF_2[m, \alpha, t] \) is given by

\[
\text{CABC}(TiF_2[m, \alpha, t]) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{c_u(G) + c_v(G) - 2}{c_u(G)c_v(G)}} \\
= [8] \left( \frac{8 + 5 - 2}{8 \times 5} \right) + [8(m + \alpha + t - 3)] \left( \frac{7 + 5 - 2}{7 \times 5} \right) + [16(m\alpha + at + mt) - 16(m + \alpha + t) + 24] \\
\cdot \left( \frac{5 + 5 - 2}{5 \times 5} \right) + [32mat - 16(mt + m\alpha + at) + 8(m + \alpha + t) - 8] \left( \frac{5 + 1 - 2}{5 \times 1} \right) \\
= \frac{1}{175} \left( (2240\sqrt{5})mat + (1225\sqrt{2} - 1225\sqrt{5})(m\alpha + mt + at) + (40\sqrt{350} - 224\sqrt{50} + 560\sqrt{5}) \\
\cdot (m + \alpha + t) + (70\sqrt{110} - 6000\sqrt{14} + 1680\sqrt{2} - 2800\sqrt{5}) \right].
\]
Table 2: Values of calculated topological indices of TiF₂[m, α, t] at different levels.

|                  | m = 1 | m = 2 | m = 3 | m = 1 | m = 3 | m = 3 | m = 2 |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| α = 1 t = 1     | 344   | 2216  | 6776  | 1768  | 1768  | 14344 | 11848 |
| α = 2 t = 2     | 920   | 4680  | 12280 | 4040  | 4040  | 23720 | 20840 |
| α = 3 t = 3     | 1592  | 18392 | 55160 | 15064 | 15064 | 113176| 96280 |
| α = 4 t = 4     | 15000 | 107000| 266200| 95800 | 95800 | 484600| 446200|

(ii) The reverse geometric-arithmetic index for TiF₂[m, α, t] is given by

\[
\text{CGA}(\text{TiF}_2[m, \alpha, t]) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\sqrt{c_u(G)c_v(G)}}{(1/2)[c_u(G) + c_v(G)]} \\
= [8 \left( \frac{\sqrt{8 \times 5}}{(1/2)[8 + 5]} \right) + 8(m + \alpha + t - 3)] \left[ \frac{\sqrt{9 \times 5}}{(1/2)[7 + 5]} \right] + [16(ma + at + mt) - 16(m + \alpha + t) + 24] \\
\cdot \left[ \frac{\sqrt{5 \times 5}}{(1/2)[5 + 5]} \right] + [32ma - 16(ma + mt + at) + 8(m + \alpha + t) - 8] \left[ \frac{\sqrt{5 \times 1}}{(1/2)[5 + 1]} \right] \\
\cdot \left[ (32\sqrt{5})ma + (48 - 16\sqrt{5})(ma + mt + at) + (8\sqrt{35} + 8\sqrt{5} - 48)(m + \alpha + t) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{3} \left[ 96\sqrt{10} - 104\sqrt{5} + 156\sqrt{35} + 936 \right].
\]

The values of calculated topological indices at different levels are given in Table 2.

3. Conclusion

In this paper, we computed first and second reverse Zagreb indices, first and second reverse hyper-Zagreb indices, reverse GA index, reverse atomic bond connectivity index, first and second reverse Zagreb polynomials, and first and second reverse hyper-Zagreb polynomials for the crystallographic structure of molecules [24, 25]. Our results are important to guess the properties [26–28] and study the topology of the crystallographic structure of molecules and can be used in drug delivery [29–31].
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