Genomic characterization of two community-acquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus with novel sequence types in Kenya
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Staphylococcus aureus is a clinically important bacteria with high antimicrobial resistance (AMR) challenge globally. The emergence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) clones with unique sequence types have been identified in the community showing evidence that the epidemiology of MRSA globally is changing and requires continual surveillance. We utilized whole genome sequencing to characterize two community-acquired-MRSA (CA-MRSA) strains isolated from wound swabs from community-onset infections in two health facilities in Kenya. The two strains belonged to multilocus sequence type (MLST) sequence type (ST) 7460, and ST 7635. The resistance genes detected showed that the novel STs are carriers of clinically relevant resistance genes. Linezolid and mupirocin resistance was observed, yet mupirocin is not commonly used in the country. Mutations within resistance genes were also detected and the pathogenicity toward the human host matched various pathogenic global S. aureus families, e.g., S. aureus subsp. aureus USA300. Multidrug efflux transporters, important in antimicrobial resistance including restriction enzymes type I and type IV were detected. Plasmids identified showed similarities with the plasmids in other clinically significant non-staphylococcal species, such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, Morganella morganii, and Enterococcus faecium. Both STs belong to clonal complex 8 (CC8) which is the most successful MRSA clone in Kenya. Spa type t30 to which ST 7635 belongs has not been reported in the country. The results of this study further highlight the need for epidemiological studies to reveal circulating strains and antimicrobial resistance spread between hospitals and the community. The genomic research highlights resistance to anti-staphylococcal broad-spectrum antimicrobials not used frequently in the...
Introduction

*Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), a commensal in humans and an abundant bacteria of the skin microbiome, is a major pathogen that causes a wide range of clinical infections (1, 2). *S. aureus* has thrived as a human pathogen due to its ability to infect any tissue, for example, the skin, where it adapts within the environment and transitions to cause infection (3). The growing prevalence of community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA) presents a clinical challenge in the management of serious infections worldwide (4).

The emergence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) clones with unique sequence types have been identified in the community, suggesting evolution within *S. aureus* (5). The epidemiology of MRSA worldwide is changing, and a rise in strains causing disease in populations with no associated risk factors in the community has been reported (6, 7). CA-MRSA has in the past two decades emerged as a clinically relevant pathogen causing skin and soft tissue infection (SSTI), which is cytotoxin driven with suggestions indicating that hypervirulent sequence types (STs) exist (7). MRSA has evolved over the years, from which clinically significant STs reported range from the 1960s. A good example is the ST250 MRSA-1 published around 1965, consistent with ancient European types and the most widespread multidrug-resistant clone globally. ST1-MRSA-IV was reported in Australia in 1981 and the United States in 1990, and it is known to have high-level mupirocin resistance and multi-drug resistance characteristics. Novel strain ST2249-MRSA-III which was a multi-drug-resistant clone was reported in 1973 and was important because gentamicin resistance first appeared in this strain. It is believed that 35.3% of ST239 chromosomes were inherited by this strain, and it’s also known to have caused the Australian epidemic in the early 1970s (8).

Community-acquired MRSA (CA-MRSA) has diverse clones which are dispersed in different clonal complexes, but only a few are genetically related (9). However, in Africa, *S. aureus* has not been the focus of research in the past despite the rich diversity that could have a significant impact, especially when studying the epidemiology of *S. aureus* infections (10). Knowledge of clones is important in medical practice because it allows antibiotic resistance studies to be done on each one of them. Notably, the molecular epidemiology of CA-MRSA shows poorly regulated sales of antibiotics in pharmacies, as well as empirical treatment, in the absence of laboratory investigation, contributes to the development of new MRSA clones with increased resistance to antibiotics (11).

Differentiating MRSA using whole genome sequencing (WGS) is important to characterize strain diversity, and understand evolution within MRSA (12, 13). In Kenya, a developing country, various sequence types of both MRSA and methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) from both hospitals and community (some being global MRSA strains), have been identified and they include; ST22, ST8, ST39, ST1290, ST241, ST1, ST5, ST8, ST152 from Thika (Kiambu county), Kericho (Kericho county), Nairobi (Nairobi county) and Kisumu (Kisumu county) (14). This is important in studying evolution in MRSA especially the co-existence between CA-MRSA and hospital-associated MRSA (HA-MRSA) and the emergence of new resistance as a result of co-existence. It also is essential in studying key genomic mutations which have a public health significance and impact, such as new insertion sequences (IS) that affect virulence and pathogenicity toward a human host. For example, symptoms presented by patients infected with MRSA strain with genomic mutations, such as IS256 in USA500, whose outcome causes increased virulence and heightened pathogenicity and cytotoxicity, may present differently in a different geographical setting. The purpose of this study was to characterize the two novel ST 7460 and ST 7635 by WGS, to determine antimicrobial resistance profiles, spread, virulence characteristics, and genetic diversity. Molecular typing of the novel isolates by WGS has enabled track spread of MRSA by MLST and has further provided an in-depth insight into MRSA evolution. The two isolates were from community-onset staphylococcal infection, from Kiambu and Nyeri County health facilities, which are AMR surveillance sites in Kenya. Characterization of the novel sequence types ST 7460, and ST 7635, further highlight the need for medical laboratories to perform molecular diagnosis, including antibiotic resistance.
gene detection and resistance to specific antibiotics, due to other mechanisms. Molecular diagnostic testing is infrequently performed in medical laboratories and less so in resource-limited countries. Performing molecular diagnosis will guide clinicians on how best to manage their patients.

Materials and methods

Study isolates

Two CA-MRSA isolates from a surveillance study of SSTIs in five AMR surveillance sites in Kenya were characterized by WGS and had novel STs. A cross-sectional study design was employed, and a simple random sampling method was used. The samples were collected from outpatient departments of the selected facilities, within one year. All recruited patients did not have a clinical history/procedure within the past year and were not on antibiotic treatment for the SSTI. Patients recruited had clinical features of wound infection which brought them to the clinics. They had no history of international travel (never traveled abroad). Bacteria isolation was done using blood agar and identification was performed using standard microbiology procedures (15), antimicrobial susceptibility was performed guided by the clinical laboratory standards institute (CLSI) (16) using Vitek Compact 2 (bioMerieux Inc), an automated bacteriology system that identifies the bacterial pathogen and tests for a panel of 20 antibiotics providing breakpoint susceptibility by providing 3 minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) cut-off values at Resistance, Intermediate and Susceptible points.

Whole genome sequencing, genome assembly, and annotation

DNA library was prepared using the Collibri™ PCR-free ES DNA Library Prep Kit (Thermofisher, Massachusetts, USA) and quantification was done using Collibri™ Library Quantification Kit (Illumina, Inc., California, USA). Sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform. Quality assessment for raw data was done by fast QC and data was trimmed using Trimmomatic (v 0.36) (17), and assembled using Shovill (v3.0) (18). QUAST (v 5.0) (19) and BUSCO (v 5.3.2) (20) were used to perform quality assessment for genome assemblies and annotations. SCCmechFinder and MLSTFinder, both hosted within the Centre for Genomic Epidemiology (CGE) were used for SCCmec and MLST typing, respectively. Further, genome assemblies were run in PubMLST (21) for Multi-locus sequence typing. Spa typing [Based on the sequence of the X polymorphic region of the A protein (spa) where the constitution of the X region is a variable number of 24-bp repeats flanked by well-conserved sequences/regions] was performed on the CGE platform using the SpaTyper tool. Further, the contig sequences were used to query for spa types on the web-based SpaTyper© and repeat sequences verified on the Ridom Spa Server (22).

Resfinder and virulencefinder (both available at the center for genomic epidemiology CGE), were used to identify acquired antibiotic resistance/mutations within resistance genes and acquired virulence, respectively. PathogenFinder was used to predict pathogenicity toward a human host. Mobile genetic elements (MGE) based on top hits, were used to identify mobile genetic elements and their relation to antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence factors. Plasmid identification and homology parameters were set at 99–100%. A comprehensive antibiotic resistance database (CARD) was also used to identify resistance genes and resistance mechanisms. Assembled contigs were also submitted to the bacterial whole genome sequence database for whole genome sequence typing and source tracking. To add some epidemiological context to the novel STs we performed a phylogenetec analysis of 11 other reported MRSA strains from previous studies in the country (13, 25, 26). Concatenated sequences were retrieved from the PubMLST database and a multiple sequence alignment was performed using the Multiple Alignment with Fast Fourier Transform (MAFFT). A maximum likelihood tree with a generalized time-reversible model was constructed for the 12 local MRSA STs using the Molecular Evolutionary Genomic Analysis (MEGA) (25). Version 11.0. 11 software with bootstrapping parameter set at 1000. The tree was refined using the Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL v. 6.5.6) (Figure 1). The reference genome used was N315_BA000018_ST5.

Results

Characterization of isolates with novel alleles

Isolate SA004 contained a novel arcC allele number 757 and assigned novel ST 7460 and isolate SA002 with novel allele glpF number 936 and assigned novel ST 7635. The isolates were identified as belonging to spa type t1476 and t30, respectively.
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FIGURE 1
Genetic relatedness of the two novel sequence types to other sequence types identified in the country. The novel sequence types (ST7460 and ST7635) are highlighted in red boxes. The tree topology was based in 1000 iterations with bootstrap support expressed as probability values. The blue branches highlighted CC8 to which ST 7460 and ST 7635 belong.

The spa type of ST 7460 (t1476) and ST 7635 (t30) suggests that they may be members of the clonal complex 8 that constitutes ST8. Spa type t30 has not been reported in the country before. Interesting resistance was observed in the isolates, for instance, SA002 was only susceptible to 2 out of 13 antibiotics tested, while SA004 was only susceptible to 4 out of 13 antibiotics tested (Table 1). The antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of the two isolates with novel STs are in Table 1. SA002 was also found to be resistant to rifampin and linezolid phenotypically and had genes conferring rifampin resistance (rpoB), linezolid resistance (cfr), and mupirocin resistance (mupA) (Table 2). Various AMR gene families that are key to bacterial resistance were present in both SA002 and SA004 (Table 3). These include multidrug efflux transporters which are important in transporting antibiotics from inside to outside of bacterial cells (27–29) (Table 4).

Genomic characterization of the two isolates

WGS was used to characterize previously described AMR genes in both SA002 and SA004. Interestingly, restriction enzymes (Table 4) which are important for preventing gene transfer were detected (29). Both SA002 and SA004 had type I restriction enzymes which can block horizontal gene transfer between MRSA that are clinically important and type IV restriction enzymes which are important barriers to the transfer of plasmid DNA from other bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* (E. coli) (30). Important identified plasmids in SA002 were rep15 which carries resistance genes for tetracycline, clindamycin, trimethoprim, pristinamycin IIA, lincomycin, linezolid, chloramphenicol, florfenicol, tiamulin, mupirocin, ampicillin, cefoxitin, and gentamicin. The plasmid had an insertion sequence IS256 in the reverse strand and identity was 99.3% with an alignment coverage of 99.8%. Also, rep7a was detected and it carries resistance genes for tetracycline, lincomycin, clindamycin, pristinamycin IA, quinupristin, erythromycin, ampicillin, penicillin, and amoxicillin. The plasmid also carries virulence genes staphylokinase, gamma-hemolysin component B and C precursor, aureolysin, staphylococcal component inhibitor, and serine protease spIA and B. The plasmid had an insertion sequence IS256 in the forward strand and identity was 100% with an alignment coverage of 100%. In SA004 important plasmids identified were rep10, which carries the resistance genes for trimethoprim, lincomycin, quinupristin, pristinamycin IA, erythromycin, penicillin, ampicillin, and amoxicillin. The plasmid also carries virulence genes staphylokinase and staphylococcal component inhibitor, and identity was 100% with an alignment coverage of 100%. Rep7a was also detected and it carries resistance genes for doxycycline, tetracycline, gentamicin, cefoxitin, ampicillin, and ampicillin + clavulanic acid. The plasmid also carries virulence genes leucocidin D component, gamma-hemolysin component B and C, aureolysin, serine protease spE, and identity was 100% with an alignment coverage of 100%. Both SA002 and SA004, based on top hits, had plasmids that showed similarity with plasmids identified in other clinically significant non-staphylococcal species and have also been detected in different geographical regions of the world such as pMP63C detected in *Morganella morganii*, pHVH-V1836-9 identified in *Enterococcus faecium* pLM13 identified in *Bacillus subtilis*, pK34-7-1 found in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, pK93G, and pT15G-1 found in *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*. The prediction of both isolates’ pathogenicity toward a human host, with gene identification set at high-level similarity with database entries (95-100%), matched important *S. aureus spp.* such as
TABLE 1 Resistance profiles of SA002 and SA004.

| ANTIBIOTIC/ISO| Cefoxitin | Penicillin | Erythromycin | Clindamycin | Ciprofloxacin | Tetracycline | Vancomycin | Tigecycline |
|---------------|-----------|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| SA002         | R         | S          | R            | R           | R            | R           | S          | S         |
| SA004         | R         | S          | R            | R           | R            | R           | S          | S         |

*S stands for susceptible and R stands for resistant.*

S. aureus subsp. aureus USA300, known to be an epidemic clone of CA-MRSA, S. aureus subsp. aureus str. Newman DNA, known to have robust virulence phenotypes, S. aureus subsp. aureus NCTC 8325, known as the prototypical strain for genetic manipulation, S. aureus subsp. aureus JH9, known to cause bacterial endocarditis and vancomycin-resistant S. aureus infections (VRSA), S. aureus subsp. aureus COL, known to cause MRSA infections, and S. aureus RF122, a major clone which causes severe bovine mastitis. The isolates also matched S. aureus strain MSSA476, known to have a protein msa (modulator of sarA) which enhances the expression of the staphylococcal accessory regulator (sarA) in a strain-dependent manner. sarA affects the transcription of accessory gene regulator (agr) and genes which encode virulence factors such as protein A (spa) and alpha toxin (hla). It also matched S. aureus subsp. aureus JH1, known to cause bacterial endocarditis and MRSA infections, and S. aureus subsp. aureus str. JKD6008 is known to cause both MRSA and VRSA infections.

Discussion

This is a WGS-based study where we characterized two unique CA-MRSA out of 65 S. aureus, collected from 5 AMR surveillance sites in Kenya, which had novel STs 7460 and 7635. Recruited patients had SSTIs, which were community-onset as defined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) distinguishing criteria for CA-MRSA from HA-MRSA (31). MRSA remains very clonal in the country with four main clonal complexes (5, 8, 22, and 30) (Figure 1) isolated from only 5 counties in the country out of 47 counties. CC8 to which novel STs 7460 and 7635 belong is the most successful MRSA clone in Kenya. Previously reported MRSA sequence types in Kenya to include STs 5, 8, 22, 30, 88, 152, 239, 241, 789, and 4705 belonging to four main clonal complexes 5, 8, 22, and 30 showing evolution within the complexes as shown in Figure 1. This information is important in medical practice because it allows antibiotic resistance studies to be done, which can guide the use of empirical treatment and can also be used to identify the emergence of new MRSA clones with increased resistance to antibiotics.

Antimicrobial susceptibility tests showed that the novel CA-MRSA STs had phenotypic resistance to cefoxitin, penicillin, erythromycin, clindamycin, ciprofloxacin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, and tetracyclines. Interestingly, linezolid and rifampin resistance was observed in SA002. Genotypic characterization revealed that linezolid resistance gene cfr, mupirocin resistance gene mupA, and rifampin resistance gene rpoB were present in SA002. Rifampin resistance gene had mutations that conferred high-level resistance to rifampin, a trait common in nosocomial S. aureus infections, which shows the spread of resistance between...
TABLE 2  Resistance genes and mutation points detected within SA002 and SA004.

| Class                   | Resistance gene(s) | Mutations points | Genotypic resistance (SA004) | Genotypic resistance (SA002) | Phenotypic resistance (SA004) | Phenotypic resistance (SA002) |
|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Tetracyclines           | tet(K), tet(M)     | –                | +                            | +                            | +                            | +                            |
| Beta-lactams            | meca, blaZ         | php2:p.A606D,    | +                            | +                            | +                            | +                            |
|                         |                    | php2:p.A440V,    |                              |                              |                              |                              |
|                         |                    | php2:p.F220S,    |                              |                              |                              |                              |
|                         |                    | php4:p.L234H,    |                              |                              |                              |                              |
|                         |                    | php2:p.A285P,    |                              |                              |                              |                              |
|                         |                    | php2:p.E315A,    |                              |                              |                              |                              |
|                         |                    | php4:p.L234H     |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Macrolides              | erm(C), erm(A)     | –                | +                            | +                            | +                            | +                            |
| Quinolones              | gyrA, parC         | gyrA:p.D402E,    | +                            | +                            | +                            | +                            |
|                         |                    | gyrA:p.E859V,    |                              |                              |                              |                              |
|                         |                    | gyrA:p.V598I,    |                              |                              |                              |                              |
|                         |                    | grlA:p.D530G,    |                              |                              |                              |                              |
|                         |                    | grlB:p.E471K     |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Carboxylic acid         | mupA               | –                | –                            | +                            | No MIC                       | No MIC                       |
| Aminoglycoside          | aac(6')-aph(2")   | –                | +                            | +                            | +                            | +                            |
| Sulphonamides           | dfrG, dfrB         | dfrB:p.197T,     | +                            | +                            | +                            | +                            |
|                         |                    | dfrB:p.V72E      |                              |                              |                              |                              |
|                         |                    | dfrB:p.V72E      |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Oxazolidinone           | cfr                | –                | –                            | +                            | –                            | +                            |
| Glycylcycline           | –                  | –                | –                            | –                            | –                            | –                            |
| Glycopeptides           | –                  | –                | –                            | –                            | –                            | –                            |
| Rifamycin               | rpoB               | –                | +                            | –                            | +                            | –                            |
|                         |                    | rpoB:p.5529L,    |                              |                              |                              |                              |
|                         |                    | rpoB:p.6767S     |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Amphenicol              | cfr                | –                | –                            | +                            | No MIC                       | No MIC                       |

MIC stands for minimum inhibitory concentration and the symbol (+) represents present and (−) represents absent.

hospital and community settings, jeopardizing empirical treatment of community-onset infections which is very alarming. (32). Also, SA002 had AMR family rifamycin-resistant beta-subunit of RNA polymerase (rpoB) whose resistance mechanism is antibiotic target alteration and antibiotic target replacement. It also had an H481N mutation which confers high-level rifampin resistance. Mupirocin is not commonly used in Kenya as compared to linezolid, thus detecting resistance genes to mupirocin is a great concern, considering it is from a community-onset. This new resistance being detected from community isolates is a public health threat and also poses a serious challenge for clinicians in treating CA-MRSA infections as was also described by Singh et al. (33). This further highlights a wider spread of undetected genetically encoded resistance, which is a concern since most health facilities do not perform genotypic tests for antimicrobials during routine patient management. This suggests that there could be more genetically encoded resistance that has not been detected and documented, which may affect the effectiveness of antimicrobials, thus affecting treatment outcomes.

Notably, mutations were detected within gyrA genes, and plasmid-mediated dfrB and dfrG genes. Reports have indicated that the dfrG gene is increasingly being documented in Africa, and is associated with travelers, though more research needs to be done (34).

Genomic analysis revealed that novel ST 7460 had SCCmec IV 2B, which is classically associated with CA-MRSA, matching sample source which is from community-onset wound infection (i.e., SSTI). The new ST 7465 isolate had SCCmec type III (3a) that is classically associated with HA-MRSA, also from community-onset wound infection (SSTI), which shows evidence of the correlation between CA-MRSA and HA-MRSA, where either one can be isolated from a community setting or hospital setting (35). Virulence factors observed showed several genes important in Staphylococcus survival in its hosts such as inhibiting both innate and adaptive immune responses, enhancing Staphylococcus pathogenicity, and production of bacterial anti-inflammatory agents and chemotaxis inhibiting protein. These include (i) sbi, which inhibits both innate and adaptive immune responses, (ii) spls which induce and enhance Staphylococcus pathogenicity, and (iii) scn plays a role in Staphylococcus host immune evasion and is also a staphylococcal component inhibitor, (iv) hlg known to have membrane damaging factors, (v)
### TABLE 3 AMR gene families detected in SA002 and SA004.

| AMR gene family | Organism | Antibiotic class target | Resistance mechanism | Antibiotic resistance ontology and some of the functions |
|-----------------|----------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| ATP-binding cassette (ABC) antibiotic efflux pump | SA002, SA004 | Fluoroquinolone antibiotics, Cephalosporins, Penam, Macrolides, Aminoglycoside, Oraxazolidinone antibiotic, Diaminopyrimidine antibiotic, Phenicol antibiotic, and Tetracyclines | Antibiotic efflux | mgrA, norA (fluoroquinolone resistance gene) and tet38 (tetracycline resistance gene) expression. The gene also modulates clumping and virulence of *Staphylococcus aureus*. |
| Major facilitator superfamily (MFS) antibiotic efflux pump | | | | |
| Multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) transporter | SA002, SA004 | Glycyclines and Tetracyclines | Antibiotic efflux | mepR, norC, Induces nucleosome sliding and also a non-coding RNA that regulates the transcription of rRNA. |
| Small multidrug resistance (SMR) antibiotic efflux pump | SA002, SA004 | Disinfecting agents | Antibiotic efflux | sepA, Is a multidrug efflux pump for lincosamide resistance protein in *S. aureus*. |
| Trimethoprim-resistant dihydrofolate reductase dfr | SA002, SA004 | Diaminopyrimidine antibiotic | Antibiotic target replacement | dfrG, Plays a role in folate metabolism |
| APH (2”), AAC (6”) | SA002, SA004 | Aminoglycoside antibiotics | Antibiotic inactivation | AAC(6’)-le-APH(2”)-la, Confers high-level gentamycin resistance and its presence jeopardizes the use of gentamycin and other aminoglycosides |
| Fosfomycin thiol transferase | SA002, SA004 | Fosfomycin | Antibiotic inactivation | Staphylococcus aureus FosR, Is a fosfomycin-inactivating enzyme that modifies an antibiotic into a compound that lacks bactericidal properties |
| Blaz beta-lactamase | SA002, SA004 | Penam | Antibiotic inactivation | PCI beta-lactamase (blaZ), Enhances antibiotic resistance by catalyzing the hydrolysis of beta-lactams |
| Methicillin-resistant PBP2 | SA002, SA004 | Penam | Antibiotic target replacement | mecA, Gene responsible for methicillin resistance in *Staphylococci* |

(Continued)
TABLE 3 (Continued)

| AMR gene family | Organism | Antibiotic class target | Resistance mechanism | Antibiotic resistance ontology and some of the functions |
|-----------------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Erm 23S ribosomal RNA methyltransferase | SA002 | Macrolide antibiotic, lincosamide antibiotic, streptogramin A antibiotic, streptogramin B antibiotic | Antibiotic target alteration | ErmA Confer macrolide resistance in S aureus |
| Fluoroquinolone resistant parC and Fluoroquinolone resistant grrA antibiotic-resistant isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase (ileS) | SA002 | Fluoroquinolone antibiotic. | Antibiotic target alteration | sdrM Is a drug transporter, responsible for increased resistance to antimicrobials such as norfloxacin |
| Cfr 23S ribosomal RNA methyltransferase | SA002 | Lincosamide antibiotic, oxazolidinone antibiotic, phenicol antibiotic, pleuromutilin antibiotic, streptogramin antibiotic | Antibiotic target alteration | cfrA Encodes mutation 23SrRNA at A2503 by a methyltransferase |
| Rifamycin-resistant beta-subunit of RNA polymerase (rpoB) | SA002 | Rifamycin antibiotics | Antibiotic target alteration | Staphylococcus aureus rpoB mutants conferring resistance to rifampicin |

TABLE 4 Restriction enzymes detected in both SA002 and SA004.

| Enzyme | Gene | Function | Recognition sequence |
|--------|------|----------|----------------------|
| Type 1 | S.Sau832II | specificity subunit | AGGNNNNNNGAT |
| | M.SauTCHI | methyltransferase | CCAAYNNNNNNTGT |
| | S.Sau2033III | specificity subunit | CCAAYNNNNNNTGT |
| Type IV | SauUSI | methyl-directed restriction enzyme | SCNGS |

luK also known as bacterial invasins, attack natural killer cells, phagocytes, T-lymphocytes, and dendritic cells, (vi) aur which regulates biofilm growth cycle of S. aureus, (vii) sak known to activate plasminogen into plasmin which digests fibrin clots and activates latent matrix metalloproteinases leading to extreme proteolysis, (viii) adsA which evades host immune responses by modulating host pro-inflammatory responses, resulting in a prolonged infection, and (ix) chp a known bacterial anti-inflammatory agent and chemotaxis inhibiting protein.

Plasmids detected carried resistance genes coding for several antibiotics but most interestingly was plasmid rep15 in SA002 that had resistance genes to amphenicols, and linezolid (cfr gene) (expressed both phenotypically and genotypically) and mupirocin (mupA gene, expressed genotypically with no phenotypic break points in Vitek). Some of these antibiotics are not available in the country such as mupirocin, and this raises concern. Genetic characterization showed that the isolate had type I and type IV restriction enzymes that block gene transfer. The presence of a plasmid (rep15) containing resistance genes may suggest mutations affecting the functioning of these enzymes. Interestingly, AMR gene families; ATP-binding cassette (ABC) antibiotic efflux pump and major facilitator superfamily (MFS) antibiotic efflux pump that are multidrug efflux transporters and Cfr 23S ribosomal RNA methyl-transferase whose mode of action is antibiotic target alteration, could also play a role in linezolid resistance. Besides antibiotic efflux and 23S rRNA methylation mediated
by the cfr gene, linezolid resistance may arise from point mutations in the 23S rRNA proteins L3, L4, and L22 as well as point mutations in domain V of the 23S rRNA gene. However, no mutations in the 23S rRNA were detected and an alignment of corresponding amino acid sequences for L3, L4, and L22 between SA002 and the USA300 reference showed no amino acid mutations. Perhaps this is the first report of linezolid resistance from Kenya, though it is quite likely the resistance might be existing undetected so far. Also, AMR gene family antibiotic-resistant isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase (ileS) whose mode of action is antibiotic target alteration and carries antimicrobial resistance ontology Staphylococcus aureus mupA conferring high-level resistance to mupirocin could play a role in mupirocin resistance (Table 3).

IS256 was detected in SA002 plasmids rep7a and rep 15 in the reverse and forward strands. Its implication clinically results in increased hypervirulence and cytotoxin production, and also heightened pathogenicity. Also, it results in to change in the promoter sequence of the repressor of toxins which is a master transcriptional regulator responsible for the expression of virulence factors in S. aureus (36).

The new resistance observed and the novel STs reported in this study highlight evolution within S. aureus and S. aureus infections, even though the evolution is not a parallel emergence from MSSA lineages but evolution within the clonal complex. The tree topology is in support of the classification that places ST 7460 and ST 7635 in CC8. The clinical significance posed further highlights the need for alternatives to antibiotics as the rate of resistance in hospital and community settings is superseding the rate of manufacturing new antibiotics. This is a clear indication that interventions need to be put in place. Also, molecular epidemiological studies are needed to reveal unidentified STs and clonal types, with key emphasis on genomic mutations that will have public health significance (e.g., the detection of IS256 in SA002, similar to that of USA500, and the clinical impact it carries) and identify new resistance to higher drugs such as linezolid and, mupirocin. Also, surveillance studies focusing on mutations within resistance genes with clinical relevance, emphasizing community settings are needed. Community isolates observed in this study seem to be showing resistance that has not been reported before in the country (e.g., mupirocin) and resistance that has only been reported before in hospital settings (e.g., rifampin). Infection prevention and control measures that are done in hospital settings need to be done in the community settings with the same measures to effectively prevent antimicrobial resistance spread between the two settings. Antimicrobial stewardship should focus on research of a cost-effective molecular method to include genotypic AMR tests in routine patient management that will not affect turnaround time and will give results promptly for better patient management.

Conclusion

The appearance of linezolid resistance in SA002 adds to the increasing reports of linezolid resistance in different parts of the world. Due to excellent oral bioavailability, it is likely to be used for the management of outpatients with MRSA further creating pressure for the emergence of resistance. Linezolid is a reserved category antibiotic, much in need of preservation for serious clinical infections, and thus its resistance is important as the genotype observed was born on a mobile element raising the possibility of rapid spread to other strains and/or species. Further, linezolid resistance could arise in settings of limited clinical use and should therefore be investigated from a One Health perspective. Delineation of HA-MRSA and CA-MRSA is becoming clinically challenging and molecular characterization helps to understand better the transmission dynamics of the pathogen. Data obtained provided preliminary insights into unidentified STs of CA-MRSA in Kenya. More studies in Africa need to be community-focused to reveal circulating strains and antimicrobial resistance that may have spread between hospital and community settings. Establishing AMR programs that enhance data sharing and information use, aimed at keeping track of new emerging resistance in hospital and community settings in Africa, need to be done. Novel strains detected have shown resistance to broad-spectrum anti-staphylococcal antibiotics not commonly used in the country, thus jeopardizing the successful treatment of MRSA infections. Given that most health facilities do not perform genotypic susceptibility tests for a routine patient management, genotypic resistance may go unnoticed in the continued absence of such programs.
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