Speckle tracking imaging as a predictor of left ventricular remodeling 6 months after first anterior ST elevation myocardial infarction in patients managed by primary percutaneous coronary intervention
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Abstract

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. LV remodeling is an important factor in the pathophysiology of advancing heart failure (HF). Aim of the work: To evaluate the value of speckle tracking imaging as a predictor of left ventricular remodeling 6 months after first anterior STEMI in patients managed by primary PCI.

Methodology: Eighty-five patients with first acute anterior STEMI underwent primary PCI. Patients were followed up for 6 months. Echocardiography was done within 48 h of PCI. LV internal dimensions and volumes, LV EF, and Wall Motion Score Index (WMSI) were assessed at baseline. Echocardiography was repeated at 6 months. LV volumes and EF were calculated. LV remodeling was defined as an increase in LV EDV > 20% 6 months after infarction as compared to baseline data. Patients were then classified into Group I: did not develop LV remodeling. Group II: developed LV remodeling. Both groups were studied to determine predictors of LV remodeling.

Results: At baseline echocardiographic evaluation there was no statistically significant difference between both groups regarding both LVEDD and LVEDV, while there was statistically significant increase in both LV EDV and LV ESV, with statistically significant lower Ejection Fraction, in LV remodeling group. There was also statistically significant higher LV peak systolic GLS values in LV remodeling group, the best cut-off value was >12.5% (Sensitivity 87%, Specificity 85%) and LV torsion was also statistically significantly lower in the LV remodeling group, with the best cut-off value for LV torsion was <9.5°, (Sensitivity 91%, Specificity 85%).

Independent predictors of LV remodeling after AMI: baseline WMSI > 1.8, baseline LV EF < 40, GLS > -12.5%, LV torsion < 9.5°, CK-MB > 500 U/L, baseline Thrombus grade > 4 and total ischemic time.

Conclusion: Average peak systolic GLS and LV torsion at echocardiography done early after myocardial infarction are independent predictors of LV remodeling after anterior STEMI and can be used to predict occurrence of LV remodeling after 6 months.

1. Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. It occurs when irreversible myocardial cell damage or death occurs. LV remodeling is an important factor in the pathophysiology of advancing heart failure (HF) and several studies support the role of measures of LV remodeling in the clinical investigation of novel HF treatments.

1.1. Aim of the study

To evaluate the value of speckle tracking imaging as a predictor of left ventricular remodeling 6 months after first anterior STEMI in patients managed by primary PCI.

2. Materials and methods

A Cohort of 85 patients who presented with first acute anterior STEMI managed by primary PCI.
hospital, in the period between August 2015 and May 2016 and underwent primary PCI. Patients were followed up for 6 months.

Patients with previous AMI, previous PCI or CABG, cardiogenic shock, left main disease or with concomitant significant lesion in left circumflex and/or right coronary arteries, poor echogenicity with improper visualization of the endocardium were excluded from the study.

The study protocol was approved by Ain Shams university faculty of medicine ethical committee.

All patients received 300 mg Aspirin, 600 mg Clopidogrel prior to primary PCI.

[1] **History:** with special emphasis on

(A) **Risk Factors:** [(Hypertension defined as blood pressure of more than 140/90 mmHg and/or receiving oral anti-hypertensive agents. James et al.4, (Diabetes Mellitus defined as elevated fasting blood sugar ≥ 126 mg/dl and/or being either on diet control or receiving hypoglycemic treatment. Tominaia 5, (Smoking), (Positive family history of premature CAD defined as having CAD or sudden death occurring in a first-degree relative before age of 65 years in females and 55 years in males. Scheuner et al.6, (Dyslipidemia) By history or drug treatment. (B) **Chest Pain:** type, onset, pain to door time. (C) **Past History:** especially of previous ischemic events.

[2] **Clinical Examination:** with special emphasis on

(a) **General Examination:** ABP, heart rate and Killip class on presentation Class I: no evidence of HF, Class II: mild to moderate HF, Class III overt pulmonary edema, Class IV: cardiogenic shock De Geare et al.7
(b) **Local examination:** with special emphasis on presence of third heart sound, mitral regurgitation, pulmonary venous congestion and ventricular septal rupture.

[3] **12 lead ECG:** recorded within the first 10 min on presentation, 90 min post catheterization, then daily till discharge.

[4] **Laboratory investigations:** Total creatine kinase (CK), CK-MB, on admission then serially every 8 h for the first 24 h then once daily to detect peak enzymatic elevation and their return to normal.

[5] **Primary intervention:** Coronary intervention was performed via a trans-femoral approach. All the patients received 100 IU/kg intravenous Heparin bolus prior to PCI to LAD. The following data was recorded for each patient: (a) **Site of LAD occlusion.** (b) **TIMI Thrombus Grading:** Before and after the procedure. Grade 0: No cine-angographic characteristics of thrombus, Grade 1: Possible thrombus “Reduced contrast density, haziness, irregular lesion contour”. Grade 2: Definite thrombus, greatest dimensions < 1/2 the vessel diameter. Grade 3: Definite thrombus but with greatest linear dimension > 1/2 but < twice vessel diameter. Grade 4: Definite thrombus with the largest dimension > 2 vessel diameter. Grade 5: Total recent thrombotic occlusion Gibson et al.9

(C) **TIMI flow prior to and after procedure:** Grade 0 (No Perfusion): no ante-grade flow beyond the point of occlusion. Grade 1 (Penetration Without Perfusion): The contrast material failed to opacify the entire coronary bed distal to the obstruction. Grade 2 (Partial Perfusion): The rate of entry of contrast material into the vessel distal to the obstruction or its rate of clearance from the distal bed (or both) was perceptibly slower than its entry into or clearance from comparable areas not perfused by the previously occluded vessel. Grade 3 (Complete Perfusion): normal flow filling the distal coronary bed completely. Ante-grade flow distal to the obstruction occurred as promptly as ante-grade flow proximal to obstruction Appleby et al.9

(D) **Myocardial Blush Grade (MBG) prior to and after the procedure.** Visual assessment of relative contrast opacification of the myocardial territory subtended by the IRA in relation to epicardial density. MBG 0: Absence of contrast opacification in the myocardial zone. MBG 1: Minimal contrast opacification or persistent stain without washout. MBG 2: Reduced but clearly evident blush in the infarct zone compared to the contralateral non-involved territory. MBG 3: The opacification of the myocardium cleared normally at the end of the washout phase, similar to that in the non-involved territory. Henriques et al.10

(E) All procedural details were recorded especially Use of balloon pre-dilatation, stent length and diameter.

[6] **Echocardiography:** Done within 48 h of hospital admission and included:

(A) **Standard transthoracic 2D echocardiographic examination:** using Vivid E9 machine with an M4S matrix sector array probe with a frequency of 2.5 Mega Hz (General Electric Vingmed Ultrasound, Horten, Norway) with machine-integrated ECG recording. Patients were examined in the left lateral position, Parasternal Long and short axis, Apical four and two chamber views were acquired with the following data recorded:-

[I] LV end diastolic diameter (EDD) and LV end systolic diameter (ESD) using short axis parasternal window at the level of papillary muscles and LVEF calculated by M-mode Kessler11 LV end diastolic volume (EDV) and LV end systolic volume (ESV) using biplane Simpson's method.12 **Left Ventricular EF** using biplane Simpson's method.13 **Mitral Regurgitation:** Its presence and severity.14

[II] **LA diameter** in parasternal short axis view and LA **volume** which was estimated from apical 4 and 2 chamber images from the frame prior to mitral valve opening using modified Simpson's rule.13

[III] **Wall Motion Score Index:** The LV was divided into 16 segments. A semi quantitative scoring system (1) Normal, (2) Hypokinesia, (3) Akinesia, (4) Dyskinesia. Global WMSI was calculated = sum of the segment scores divided by the number of segments.15

[IV] **E/e’ Ratio** [Mitral peak early filling velocity (by placing the Pulsed Doppler sample volume between the tips of the mitral leaflets/peak mitral lateral annular velocity (by placing the TDI Doppler sample at the basal lateral segment)].16

(B) **LV peak systolic global longitudinal strain by 2D speckle tracking**

[I] **LV peak systolic global longitudinal strain** was assessed using the automated function imaging “AFI” technique, which provides a new imaging modality based on 2D longitudinal strain imaging. Assessment of LV peak systolic GLS provided 1 value representing the overall peak systolic longitudinal strain of all individual LV segments. **[II] Longitudinal strain was calculated longitudinal strain (%) = [L (end-systole) – L (end-diastole)] / L (end-diastole) x 100%; where L is the length of the ROI.17

- Myocardial tissue deformation (strain) was calculated using speckle tracking from 2D gray-scale images with the commercially available AFI technique (General Electric). For this analysis, a set of 3 longitudinal 2D image planes (apical long-axis, 2- and 4-chamber views) were used. Aortic valve closure timing was marked (to determine the end of systole) in the selected views, and 3 points were anchored inside the myocardial tissue, 2 placed at the basal segments along the mitral valve annulus and 1 at the apex. These points triggered the automatic process,
which analyzed myocardial motion by tracking features (natural acoustic tags). The percent of wall lengthening and shortening was displayed for each plane, representing longitudinal strain. The results of all 3 planes were combined in a single bull’s-eye summary, which presented the analysis for each segment along with a global strain value for the LV.

- Non-Doppler 2D strain imaging was simple to perform. It required only one cardiac cycle to be acquired; further processing and interpretation was done after image data acquisition. Because it was not based on tissue Doppler measurements, images were angle independent.
- The AFI was performed through an offline analysis of 3 digitally stored 2D images (apical long axis, 2- and 4-chamber). The end-systolic frame was first defined in the apical long-axis (3-chamber) view, where the aortic valve was directly visible. Aortic valve closure time was marked. The R wave to aortic valve closure time was then measured by the software. Subsequently, the same R wave to aortic valve closure time distance was used as a reference on the other loops. The time distance was also checked against mitral valve opening, which was easily seen in any apical plane. This allowed accurate timing of systole, diastole, and aortic valve closure on all views.
- Within the end-systolic frames an estimation of the LV myocardium was traced in a click-to-point approach. After defining the mitral annulus and the LV apex with 3 index points at the end-systolic frame in each apical view, the automated algorithm traced 3 concentric lines on the endocardial border, the mid-myocardial layer and epicardial border, including the entire myocardial wall. The tracking algorithm followed the endocardium from this single frame throughout the cardiac cycle, and allowed for a further manual adjustment of the ROI to ensure that all myocardial regions are included throughout the cardiac cycle.
- The myocardium in each of the 3 standard apical planes was then automatically divided; the myocardium in each view was divided into three levels apical, mid and basal levels and each level comprised 2 segments of the two opposing walls. Conversion from 18 segments into 17 segments model was performed by averaging the strain values in the corresponding apical segments in the apical long axis and 4 chamber view.
- Finally, the automated algorithm, using a 17-segment model, provided the peak systolic longitudinal strain for each LV segment in a “bull’s eye” plot, with the average value of peak systolic GLS for each view and the average value of peak systolic GLS for the complete LV. In general, longitudinal strain values are presented as negative values; a larger negative value indicates a larger extent of longitudinal strain. Mean frame rate of the obtained images was 70 frames per second (range 40–100).

(C) Torsion dynamics:
- Short axis images from the LV base at the level of the mitral valve leaflets and the LV apex were obtained from the parasternal or subcostal windows at the end expiration. The apical cut was defined by the smallest cavity obtainable, beyond the level of the attachment of the papillary muscles (by moving the probe downward and slightly laterally if needed). Torsion was measured from the 2D grey scale LV base and apex short axis images by speckle tracking echocardiography. Aspirin was continued indefinitely and Clopidogrel (75 mg daily) was given for 1 year to all patients. Oral β-blockers and ACEI were initiated in the first 24 h and up titrated to the maximum tolerated doses unless contraindicated.
- Aldosterone antagonist was given to patients who were already receiving an ACEI and β-blocker and who had an EF ≤ 40% and either symptomatic HF or DM with no contraindications.
- High-intensity statin therapy was initiated or continued in all patients with no contraindications.
- Nitroglycerin was used to ameliorate symptoms and signs of myocardial ischemia, hypertension or HF, unless contraindicated.

[d] During hospitalization:
- Aspirin was continued indefinitely and Clopidogrel (75 mg daily) was given for 1 year to all patients. Oral β-blockers and ACEI were initiated in the first 24 h and up titrated to the maximum tolerated doses unless contraindicated.
- Aldosterone antagonist was given to patients who were already receiving an ACEI and β-blocker and who had an EF ≤ 40% and either symptomatic HF or DM with no contraindications.
- High-intensity statin therapy was initiated or continued in all patients with no contraindications.
- Nitroglycerin was used to ameliorate symptoms and signs of myocardial ischemia, hypertension or HF, unless contraindicated.

[b] Six Months Echocardiographic Follow up:
- All patients were subjected to echocardiographic evaluation of LV EDV, LV ESV and LVEF. LV remodeling was defined as an increase in LV EDV ≥ 20% 6 months after infarction as compared to baseline data.19,20 Patients were divided according to 6 months echocardiography into two groups:
  - Group I: Included patients who did not develop LV remodeling.
  - Group II: included patients who developed LV remodeling. Both groups were studied to determine predictors of LV remodeling.

8 Statistical analysis:
- Analysis of data was done by IBM computer using statistical program for social science (SPSS) version 16 as follows:
  - Description of quantitative variables as mean, standard deviation (SD) and range. Description of qualitative variables as number and percentage. Unpaired t-test was used to compare quantitative variables, in parametric data (SD < 50% mean).
  - Comparison between groups as regards qualitative variables was done by using chi-square test. Fisher exact test was used instead of chi-square when one expected cell is less than 5.
  - One way ANOVA (analysis of variance) test was used to compare more than two groups as regard quantitative variable. Spearman correlation co-efficient test was used to rank variables versus each other positively or inversely. Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve was used to find out the best cut-off value, and validity of certain variable.
  - P value > 0.05 non-significant (NS), P < 0.05 significant (S), P < 0.001 highly significant (HS).

- Assessment of intra and inter observer variability were done on ten patients using Kappa Coefficient [0.88 and 0.92 respectively].

3. Results
- 85 patients with first acute anterior STEMI who underwent primary PCI to LAD with no significant lesion in other coronary artery were included initially in the study. During the 6 months follow up...
period, 4 patients died so finally, 81 patients had the 6 months follow up echocardiography.

3.1. Demographic data

81 patients constituted the study population, mean age was 51.6 ± 9.6 years and 70 patients (86.4%) were males. According to the presence of LV remodeling at 6 month follow up echocardiography, patients were divided into 2 groups. “Group I”: Patients who did not develop LV remodeling [48 patients]. “Group II”: Patients who developed LV remodeling [33 patients]. Both groups were matched regarding age, gender and cardiovascular risk factors Table 1.

3.2. Pain to door, door to balloon and total ischemic time

The LV remodeling group was found to have significantly longer pain to door [3.6 ± 2 versus 6 ± 1.7 h, P value 0.000 HS], door to balloon [31.9 ± 5 versus 38 ± 6.7 min, P value 0.000 HS], and total ischemic times [246 ± 120 versus 396 ± 108 min, P value < 0.0001].

3.3. Clinical examination and laboratory data

LV remodeling group had a statistically significant higher Killip class, higher discharge heart rate, higher peak CK-total and CK-MB, yet there was statistically significant lower blood pressure (Both systolic and diastolic) Table 2.

3.4. Angiographic data

The LV remodeling group showed significantly higher incidence of ostial and proximal LAD occlusions, significantly higher incidence of TIMI “0” flow before intervention.

There was no statistically significant difference between both groups regarding the use of Pre-dilatation, stent length and stent diameter.

The LV remodeling group showed significantly worse TIMI flow both before and after reperfusion, and significantly worse MBG after reperfusion Table 3.

Table 1

| Variables                  | Non remodeling group (I) n = 48 | Remodeling group (II) n = 33 | P     |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|
| Age (years)                | 50.9 ± 9                       | 52 ± 10                     | 0.46  |
| Gender                     |                                |                            |       |
|   Male                     | 43(89.6%)                      | 27(81.8%)                   | 0.55  |
| Female                     | 5(10.4%)                       | 6(18.2%)                    |       |
| Hypertension               | 18(37.5%)                      | 12(36.4%)                   | 0.45  |
| DM                         | 17(35.4%)                      | 13(39.4%)                   | 0.34  |
| Smoking                    | 31(64.6%)                      | 19(57.6%)                   | 0.59  |
| Family history of premature CAD | 9(18.8%)                       | 6(18.2%)                    | 0.32  |
| Dyslipidemia               | 12(25%)                        | 6(18.2%)                    | 0.55  |

3.5. Regarding the echocardiographic data

3.5.1. Baseline data: [Table 4-A]

Both groups were compared for BASELINE echocardiographic data, there was no statistically significant difference between both groups regarding both LV EDD and LVEDV, while there was statistically significant increase in both LV ESD and LV ESV, with statistically significant lower Ejection Fraction, and statistically significant larger LAVI and statistically significant higher grade of mitral regurgitation in LV remodeling group.

A statistically significant higher baseline WMSI could be observed in the LV remodeling group, with best cut-off value of >1.8 above which remodeling is significantly more likely, [sensitivity 90%, specificity 88% Fig. 1.]

There was no significant difference between both groups regarding assessment of diastolic function using trans-mitral inflow pattern parameters “Mitral E wave, mitral A wave, DT and E/A ratio".
Yet, the TDI mitral annulus velocities showed significantly less both lateral e' and septal e' waves, and significantly higher E/e' ratio in LV remodeling group. [ie tissue Doppler parameters were worse in remodeling group at baseline echocardiographic assessment while pulsed wave Doppler was not].

The average LV peak systolic GLS at baseline echocardiographic assessment showed statistically significantly higher values in LV remodeling group, the best cut-off value for average LV peak systolic GLS was > 12.5 (Sensitivity 87%, Specificity 85%) Fig. 2.

Moreover for LV torsion at baseline echocardiographic assessment was also statistically significantly lower in the LV remodeling group, with the best cut-off value for LV torsion was < 9.5°, [Sensitivity 91%, Specificity 85%] Fig. 3.

Spearman correlation co-efficient test was used to study the correlation between average peak systolic LV GLS and LV torsion at baseline echocardiographic assessment and it was found to be positive i.e. the higher the LV torsion, the lower the average peak systolic LV GLS Fig. 4.

3.6. Six months follow up data

3.6.1. Echocardiographic

There was a statistically significant lower EF in the LV remodeling group [Table 4-B].

3.6.2. Independent predictors of LV remodeling after AMI

Multivariate logistic regression analysis to define the independent predictors of LV remodeling after MI revealed baseline WMSI > 1.8, baseline LV EF < 40, GLS > –12.5%, LV torsion < 9.5°, CK-MB > 500 U/L, baseline Thrombus grade > 4 and total ischemic time Table 5.
There were no previous studies correlating the LAD lesion location and LV remodeling but some studies showed the association between larger size of infarction and incidence of LV remodeling. There were no previous studies correlating the LAD lesion location and LV remodeling but some studies showed the association between larger size of infarction and incidence of LV remodeling. Additionally, it was shown that LV remodeling is associated with a higher risk of rehospitalization and increased mortality.

The present study confirmed the results of previous studies and showed that LV remodeling is a predictor of adverse outcomes. The study results highlight the importance of early reperfusion in reducing the risk of LV remodeling and improving patient outcomes. Further studies are needed to investigate the role of different therapeutic interventions in reducing the risk of LV remodeling and improving patient outcomes.

**Table 4**

Comparing both groups for echocardiographic data.

| Variables                      | Non remodeling group (I) | Remodeling group (II) | P     |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| LV EDD (mm)                    | 50 ± 4                   | 52 ± 3.5              | 0.2 NS|
| LV ESD (mm)                    | 35 ± 4                   | 41 ± 5                | 0.000 HS|
| LV EDV (ml)                    | 101 ± 26                 | 111 ± 26              | 0.11 NS|
| LV ESV (ml)                    | 52 ± 6                   | 72 ± 6                | 0.000 HS|
| MR                             | No                       | 31 (64.6%)            | 0.001 HS|
| Mild                           | 17 (35.4%)               | 51 (21.6%)            | 0.02 S|
| Moderate                       | 0 (0.0%)                 | 3 (9.1%)              |       |
| Severe                         | 0 (0.0%)                 | 1 (3.0%)              |       |
| LA volume index                | 33.7 ± 8                 | 38.8 ± 4              |       |
| WMSI                           | 1.5 ± 0.3                | 1.9 ± 0.5             | 0.000 HS|
| Mitrail E (cm/s)               | 80 ± 17                  | 76 ± 19               | 0.85 NS|
| Mitrail A (cm/s)               | 84 ± 22                  | 82 ± 22               | 0.77 NS|
| E/A ratio                      | 1 ± 0.3                  | 1 ± 0.4               | 0.06 NS|
| DT (ms)                        | 196 ± 30                 | 185 ± 30              | 0.83 NS|
| Lateral e' (cm/s)              | 8.7 ± 2                  | 7.2 ± 3               | 0.02 S|
| Septal e' (cm/s)               | 7.1 ± 2                  | 5.5 ± 3.7             | 0.000 HS|
| GES%                           | -14.7 ± 7                | -10 ± 4.5             | 0.04 NS|
| LV Torsion                     | 13.9 ± 3                 | 7.5 ± 2               | 0.000 HS|
| 6 months follow up echocardiographic data |
| LV EDV (ml)                    | 102 ± 24                 | 148 ± 43              | 0.000 HS|
| LV ESV (ml)                    | 50.6 ± 19                | 100 ± 37              | 0.000 HS|
| LVEF%                          | 50.7 ± 6                 | 32.8 ± 7              | 0.000 HS|

**Table 5**

Independent predictors of LV remodeling after AMI.

| Variables                      | Beta-coefficient | P     | OR (95%CI) |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Baseline WMSI > 1.8            | 0.57             | 0.002 | 2.1 (1.12–12.7) |
| Baseline LVEF > 40%            | 0.44             | 0.003 | 1.8 (0.8–11) |
| Baseline GES > -12.5%          | 0.43             | 0.04  | 1.7 (0.4–13.8) |
| Baseline Torsion < 9.5%        | 0.33             | 0.05  | 1.5 (0.3–15) |
| Peak CK-MB > 500 U/L          | 0.28             | 0.05  | 1.4 (0.11–22) |
| Baseline Thrombus grade > 4   | 0.22             | 0.05  | 1.2 (0.3–20) |
| Total ischemic time           | 0.1              | <0.0001 | 1.01 (1.05–1.015) |

**4. Discussion**

STEMI is the most serious presentation of atherosclerotic CAD carrying the most hazardous consequences, caused by occlusion of a major coronary artery and primary PCI is the recommended reperfusion therapy over fibrinolysis if performed by an experienced team in a timely fashion.

LV remodeling after AMI is a precursor of the development of overt HF and is an important predictor of mortality.

In the present study, among the whole study population, LV remodeling occurred in 33 out of 81 patients (41.25%), 12 out of 57 patients (21.1%) with successful epicardial reperfusion (TIMI 3 flow), and in 8 out of 51 patients (15.7%) with successful myocardial reperfusion (MBG 2–3) (see Figs. 5–8).

These results go in concordance with Bochenek and his colleagues in 2015 and Parodi and his colleagues in 2006 respectively. Diabetes was not associated with adverse remodeling in our study, Lambin and his colleagues in 2012, found that DM was a major and independent predictor of subsequent HF but it was not associated with increased incidence of LV remodeling.

Diabetes was not associated with adverse remodeling in our study, Lambin and his colleagues in 2012, found that DM was a major and independent predictor of subsequent HF but it was not associated with increased incidence of LV remodeling.

**4.1. CAD risk factors and LV remodeling**

In the present study, both groups (LV remodeling and LV non-remodeling groups) were age and sex matched with homogenous risk factors of CAD.

In our study both smoking and hypertension were not associated with adverse LV remodeling, and this goes in concordance with Symons and his colleagues in 2015 and Parodi and his colleagues in 2006 respectively.

Diabetes was not associated with adverse remodeling in our study, Lambin and his colleagues in 2012, found that DM was a major and independent predictor of subsequent HF but it was not associated with increased incidence of LV remodeling.

**4.2. Pain to door, door to balloon times and LV remodeling**

Pain to door and door to balloon and total ischemic times in the present study were significantly longer in the LV remodeling group.

And this could be related to the more myocardial damage due to delayed reperfusion and points to the importance of early reperfusion as much as possible of both pain to door time through increasing people awareness of symptoms of AMI and door to balloon time through improvement of health care system.

And this was concordant with Bolognese et al., Zaliaduonyte-Peksiene et al., Barberato et al. that showed a tendency towards longer time to reperfusion which is associated with LV remodeling, but without statistical significance.

**4.3. Clinical examination and LV remodeling**

Discharge heart rate was significantly lower in the LV non-remodeling group in the current study, this was concordant with the study of Joyce and her colleagues in 2013 that included 964 STEMI patients, they concluded that discharge heart rate was an independent predictor of adverse LV remodeling.

In the current study there was a significantly higher Killip class in the LV remodeling group. Barberato and his colleagues study in 2013 found a non statistically significant difference between both groups with a trend towards higher Killip class in the LV remodeling group.

**4.4. Cardiac enzymes and LV remodeling**

Peak CK total and CK-MB in the present study were statistically significantly higher in the LV remodeling group and peak CK-MB > 500 U/L was found to be an independent predictor of LV remodeling and this is mostly related to the larger size of infarction in patients with LV remodeling. And this goes in concordance with both Bolognese et al., and Mannaerts et al.

**4.5. Coronary angiographic data and LV remodeling**

In the present study, proximal LAD occlusion was statistically significantly higher in the LV remodeling group while mid LAD was statistically significantly higher in the LV non-remodeling group. This could be related to the larger size of infarction in this location.

There were no previous studies correlating the LAD lesion location and LV remodeling but some studies showed the association between larger size of infarction and incidence of LV remodeling as Masci and his colleagues study in 2011, that studied the relationship between location and size of AMI and LV remodeling and it concluded that anterior AMI patients had larger area at risk and infarction size than non-anterior AMI patients yielding worse regional and global LV function at baseline and follow-up.
Fig. 5. [A] GLS in a patient from LV non-remodeling group in the apical long axis view showing GLS – 11.9% in long axis view. [B] GLS in a patient from LV non-remodeling group in the apical 4 chamber view showing GLS – 13.1% in 4 chamber view. [C] GLS in a patient from LV non-remodeling group in the apical 2 chamber view showing GLS – 15.8% in 2 chamber view. [D] Bull’s eye view showing peak systolic GLS – 14.4% in a patient from the LV non-remodeling group.

Fig. 6. LV torsion in a patient from LV non remodeling group 21.48 degree.
Fig. 7. [A] GLS in a patient from LV remodeling group in the apical long axis view showing GLS $-5.8\%$ in long axis view. [B] GLS in a patient from LV remodeling group in the apical 4 chamber view showing GLS $-12.2\%$ in 4 chamber view. [C] GLS in a patient from LV remodeling group in the apical 2 chamber view showing GLS $-13.4\%$ in 2 chamber view. [D] Bull’s eye view showing peak systolic GLS $-10.2\%$ in a patient from the LV remodeling group.

Fig. 8. LV torsion in a patient from LV remodeling group $8.77\,$ degree.
Regarding TIMI flow there was a significantly worse TIMI flow after reperfusion in the LV remodeling group.

Galiuto and his colleagues in their study in 2008 concluded that TIMI flow < 3 after reperfusion was found to be an independent predictor of LV remodeling (OR; 5.6, P = 0.015).12

Moreover, MBG in the present study showed a significantly worse MBG in the LV remodeling group.

In Hamdan and his colleagues study in 2010, The presence of successful myocardial reperfusion (MBG 2–3) after primary PCI was associated with a significantly lower rate of remodeling than the absence of successful myocardial reperfusion (MBG 0–1). In univariate analysis, only (MBG 0–1) versus (MBG 2–3) was associated with increased risk of LV remodeling (OR; 9.3, 95% CI; 1.45–60.21, P = 0.019).20 However pre-dilatation, stent length and stent diameter showed non-statistically significant difference between both groups, the same results were found in Hamdan and his colleagues study in 2010.20

4.6. Echocardiographic data and LV remodeling

In the present study LV EDV showed non statistically significant difference between both groups being slightly larger in the LV remodeling group however LV ESV and LVEF by biplane Simpson’s method showed statistically significant difference between both groups with larger LV ESV and lower LVEF in the LV remodeling group. This could be related to early affection of LV ESV after AMI that depends on myocardial fibers shortening while LV EDV depends on LV filling pressures and structural remodeling that occurs later.

And this was concordant with Bolognese and his colleagues study in 2002, that showed in the LV remodeling group that LVEDV was (119 ± 36 versus 126 ± 36 ml, P > 0.05), LVEFV (74 ± 29 versus 70 ± 28 ml, P > 0.001) and LVEF was (39 ± 9 versus 45 ± 10%, P < 0.001). Zaliaduonyte-Peksiene and her colleagues study in 2014 that showed that in LV remodeling group that LV EDV was (83.7 ± 22.3 versus 84.1 ± 20.3 ml, P > 0.05), LV ESV was (43.4 ± 14.3 versus 38.5 ± 13.3 ml, P > 0.05) and LVEF was (49.4 ± 7.9 versus 54.3 ± 7.8%, P > 0.001).20 Also in the present study LVEF > 40% was found to be an independent predictor of LV remodeling (OR; 1.8, 95%CI; 0.8–11, P = 0.003).

In Galiuto and his colleagues study in 2008, with univariate analysis LVEF < 44.5% was found to be a predictor of LV remodeling (OR; 4.29, 95% CI; 1.71–10, P = 0.002).

Moreover, WMSI in the present study showed a significantly higher value in the LV remodeling group. Multivariate analysis concluded that WMSI > 1.8 was found to be an independent predictor of remodeling (OR; 2.1, 95% CI; 1–12.7, P = 0.002), sensitivity (90%), specificity (88%), PPV (89%) and NPV (94%).

This was concordant with Zaliaduonyte-Peksiene and her colleagues in 2014 and Mannerts and his colleagues in 2004 in which WMSI was 1.65 ± 0.32 versus 1.49 ± 0.30 (P < 0.01) and 1.57 ± 0.28 versus 1.37 ± 0.23 (P < 0.04) in the LV remodeling group versus LV non-remodeling group respectively.28,19

Galiuto and his colleagues study in 2008, with univariate analysis, WMSI > 1.9 was found to be a predictor of remodeling with (OR; 4.29, 95% CI; 1.71–10, P = 0.002). This seems logic enough as the higher the WMSI the bigger the akinetic areas with more loss of muscle and thus vulnerability to expansion and LV remodeling.

TDI of mitral annulus showed significantly higher values of lateral and septal e’ in the LV non-remodeling group and significantly higher value of E/e’ ratio in the LV remodeling group. This could be related to dependence of LV EDV on structural remodeling and LV filling pressures suggesting larger LV EDV with higher LV filling pressure marked by higher E/e’ ratio.

And this was concordant with Barberato and his colleagues study in 2013 in which lateral e’ in the LV remodeling group was (6.9 ± 2 versus 8.5 ± 2 cm/s, P = 0.02) and E/e’ ratio in the LV remodeling group was (13 ± 4 versus 8.5 ± 2, P < 0.001) but only E/e’ ratio was an independent predictor of LV remodeling.29

The Average peak systolic GLS showed statistically significant difference between both groups with higher values in the LV remodeling group. Multivariate analysis showed that average peak systolic GLS > −12.5% was found to be an independent predictor of LV remodeling (OR; 1.7, 95% CI; 0.4–13.8, P = 0.04). The best cut-off value for average peak systolic GLS was > −12.5%, with sensitivity (87%), specificity (85%), PPV (83%) and NPV (88%).

Longitudinal strain is affected after AMI due to alteration of myocardial longitudinal fibers shortening, the more the damage of the myocardium, the more the affection of myocardial longitudinal fibers shortening resulting in lower values of GLS.

And this was concordant with Bochenek and his colleagues study in 2011, in which peak systolic GLS was found to be an independent predictor of LV remodeling with (OR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.04–1.37, P = 0.005) and the best cut off value was > −12.5% (AUC, 0.77)34 and in Zaliaduonyte-Peksiene and her colleagues study in 2014 in which peak systolic GLS in the LV remodeling group was found to be (−11.0 ± 4.1 versus −15.2 ± 3.2, P < 0.001) and it was found to be an independent predictor of LV remodeling (OR; 1.21, 95% CI; 1.05–1.48, P = 0.021).28

Moreover, Joyce and her colleagues study in 2013 found that LV peak systolic GLS > −14.9% compared to < −14.9% exhibited greater LV dilatation at 6 months with LVEDV (122 ± 44 versus 102 ± 34 ml, P < 0.001).30

LV torsion showed significantly higher values in the LV non-remodeling group. Multivariate analysis showed that LV torsion < 9.5° was found to be an independent predictor of LV remodeling (OR; 1.5, 95%CI-0.11–22, P = 0.05) and the best cut off value was > 9.5° with sensitivity (91%), specificity (85%), PPV (89%) and NPV (95%).

LV torsion is significantly impaired after AMI due to affection of both basal and apical rotation with more affection of LV torsion with larger myocardial damage and larger size of infarction.

Jang and his colleagues in their study in 2010 showed that LV torsion in the LV remodeling group was (6.7 ± 2.6° versus 8.8 ± 3.4°, P < 0.01)34 and Nucifora and his colleagues study in 2010 showed that the amount of impairment of LV torsion predicts LV remodeling and LV torsion was an independent predictor of LV remodeling (OR; 0.77, 95% CI; 0.65–0.92, P = 0.003).33,34

4.7. Reverse LV remodeling

LV reverse remodeling occurred in 13 patients representing 27% of patients in LV non-remodeling group.

Spinelli and his colleagues study in 2013 studied 75 patients with first anterior STEMI, reverse LV remodeling occurred in 25 patients (33%) of patients.35

4.8. Independent predictors of LV remodeling

In the present study, 6 independent predictors of LV remodeling were found in the following descending order according to OR: [1] WMSI > 1.8 with P value (0.002), odds ratio (OR) (95% CI) 2.1 (1–12.7). [2] LV EF < 40% with P value (0.003), OR (95% CI) 1.8 (0.8–11). [3] GLS > −12.5% with P value (0.04), OR (95% CI) 1.7 (0.4–13.8). [4] LV torsion < 9.5° with P value (0.05), OR (95% CI)
Study limitations

[1] The results were obtained from a single medical center, with a rather small sample size (81 patients). [2] Patients with Killip class IV (cardiogenic shock) were excluded from the study as it was not possible to measure LV torsion and LV peak systolic GLS at bedside. [3] Few patients with anterior STEMI had normal values of LV torsion and LV peak systolic GLS. [4] This study was concerned with LV remodeling that occurred after 6 months of MI, but it did not study the early LV remodeling that occurred early after MI. [5] Lateral e’ wave velocity was used in calculation of E/e’ ratio, however average lateral and septal e’ in patients with anterior STEMI was supposed to be more accurate due to LV dysfunction and wall motion abnormality.

6. Conclusion

Average peak systolic GLS and LV torsion at echocardiography done early after myocardial infarction are independent predictors of LV remodeling after anterior STEMI and can be used to predict occurrence of LV remodeling after 6 months.
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