Evaluation of Global Positioning System Data for Offshore Platform Deformation
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Abstract: Problem statement: Reservoir compaction and shallow gas migration phenomena may cause offshore platform to experience deformation which if happens excessively will affect their structural integrity.

Approach: Hence it is crucial to monitor and quantify the magnitude of the deformation especially if they are not uniform throughout the platform structure. However since mostly the offshore platforms are few hundreds kilometers away from shore, the precise monitoring of their deformation is limited to very few sophisticated instruments, in which GPS technology is one of them albeit using very special GPS data processing technique such as Long Baseline Relative Positioning.

Results: Using this technique and employing GPS data observed on one of PETRONAS own platform, Pulai, its deformation magnitude will be determined with various options such as number of reference stations used, configuration and their geographic location. This study presents initial deformation processing result using scientific software GAMIT/GLOBK and their analysis utilizing postfit nrms and chi-squared statistics. The result indicated that for the period of two months there was displacement as big as 0.0094 m with standard deviation of 0.0106 m. However following congruency statistical test using t-student distribution with 95% confidence level, indicated that this displacement is insignificance. Analysis of the output result with postfit nrms also indicated that the data were of good quality, the processing procedure was correct and the output for each processing epoch is internally and externally consistent.

Conclusion/Recommendations: It could be concluded with correct data processing strategy GPS data could be used to determine deformation magnitude which consequently could be utilized as input to assess structural integrity of an offshore platform.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the major problems of engineering structure such as platform is the occurrence of deformation, which may cause disaster to human being and platform itself. Applying a deformation monitoring system to monitor this stability is a rational approach to address the safety of the platform. Because of its remote location, deformation of offshore platform needs to be observed by using GPS technique. GPS offers several advantages over other types of technology. It requires minimal user interaction and provides 3-dimensional position information. Furthermore the other benefit is possibility of acquisition of large number of high resolution observables at relatively high speed in any weather condition.

In this s, Long baseline Relative Positioning using continuous GPS data is being investigated for the early detection of a platform deformation. The research of the capabilities of GPS positioning for the continuous and accurate monitoring of platform has been carried out in Malaysian water (Setan et al., 2004). Pulai platforms is located in South China Sea and about 300 km from the shore of east Peninsular Malaysia was observed using GPS method to detect its deformation. GPS data processing in this case requires sophisticated modeling and processing strategies in order to find the deformation which is not contaminated by error or bias. Therefore the GPS data processing will utilize highly sophisticated scientific software GAMIT/GLOBK to address high precision requirement of deformation monitoring. Preliminary deformation analysis using GPS data observed on Pulai Platform in 2003 indicated there was no significant deformation detected as mentioned in (Nurrohmat and Matori, 2008).

Relative positioning GPS: The fundamental concept of unstable object monitoring is to apply GPS relative positioning with reference to the more stable control station. A number of control stations between reference station (i.e., control points on shore) and object station (i.e., control points on offshore platforms) need to be
Fig. 1: Relative positioning using GPS technique

set up for measurement in the form of baseline vectors
($\Delta x$, $\Delta y$, $\Delta z$). This is to reach a high precision that is
essential for deformation monitoring (Leick, 2004;
Setan and Othman, 2006).

The relative positioning technique (Fig. 1) involves
control point on shore (station Q) and control point set
up at the offshore platform (station P). The relative
static GPS observations are carried out for 24 hours to
provide sufficient required precision for a long-baseline
positioning. Relative positioning requires at least two
receivers, one as the reference and the other as the
object station (Hofmann-Wellenhof et al., 2001). Both
receivers observe the same satellite to collect the
observation data at both stations then used to estimate
the position of the object station, relative to the
reference station.

The technique of relative positioning could
reduce and remove most of the biases common to the
receiver through the use of the doubly-differenced
algorithm (Popovas and Radzeviciute, 2001) hence
could provide result with high precision.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The following section will explain the utilization of
relative positioning to quantify deformation together
with the data and relevant software or tools used.

GAMIT/GLOBK software: GAMIT is a series
of programs that analyze GPS phase data to estimate 3-
dimensional relative positions of ground stations and
satellite orbital parameters, earth orientation parameter
and atmospheric delay parameters (Dong et al., 1998).
GAMIT is categorized as scientific software tends
which have been developed by Massachusetts Institute
of Technology, USA for research and precise
positioning purposes. This software has the capability
to process more than one observation simultaneously.

GAMIT generates the answer with only loose
constraints on the parameters. In order to avoid
several bias of the combination, it hence requires last
GLOBK step to identify the reference frame by
imposing constraints on stations’ coordinates (Dong et al., 1998). GLOBK is a Kalman filter process for
combining solutions of the primary data processing
from space-geodetic or terrestrial observations.

GLOBK accepts the estimated data and associated
covariance matrices for station coordinates and other
parameter generated from analyses of the primary
observations. These main solutions should be performed
with loose a priori uncertainties assigned to the global
parameters, so that the constraints can be applied
uniformly in the combined solution (Dong et al., 1998).

GPS Data Measurement: The GPS data observed in
three epochs using Trimble 4000 SSI Geodetic series
GPS receiver. Each session was planned as a period of
24 hours continuously on a daily basis. The recording
interval was 15 sec with at least 10° mask-angles
configuration. Surveys campaign was carried out by
Fugro Geodetic (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd.

Processing utilized eight days GPS (rinex) data
from epoch 1 to epoch 3. Figure 2 shows the schematic
scenario of the computation.

The network configuration is illustrated in Fig. 3
with Pulai offshore platform as object station and
Malaysian primary GPS as regional reference stations.
These Malaysian primary stations are BESE (Beserah-
Kuantan), TERE (Kuala Terengganu) and PANJ (Rantau Panjang). The processing also applied global
reference station from International GPS Services
(IGS) which are PIMO (Quezon City-Philippines),
COCO (Cocos Keeling-Australia) and BAN2
(Bangalore-India). The IGS rinex GPS and precise
ephemeris data were downloaded from IGS
(International GNNS services) website. The sketch of
IGS stations location could be seen in Fig. 4.

GPS Data Processing: The processing of the GPS data
used the GAMIT software to generate daily solution.
Then, these solutions were combined in order to find
station coordinates in the reference frame using
GLOBK software (Dong et al., 1998).

Prior to the above steps there were other softwares
required to assist the data processing which are: 1)
Fedora Core with Linux Operating System, 2) TEQC software to organize three main functions namely Translating, Editing and Quality Checking of rinex file, 3) NetCDF (Network Common Data Form) interface for sharing and transferring access of scientific data especially in interface between C, Fortran-77 and Fortran-90 for GAMIT installation, 4) GMT (Generic Mapping Tools) for data plotting of GAMIT output.

RESULTS

Primary indicator of GAMIT and GLOBK solution:
The next explanation is the preliminary result of GAMIT/GLOBK processing. The number of stations at each daily processing are not the same in every epoch. For example, there are GPO1, GPO2, GPO3, Malaysian primary station and IGS station for first day and GPO4, GPO5, GPO6, Malaysian primary station and IGS station for the seventh day. On the other hand, there are GPO1, GPO2, GPO3, GPO4, GPO5, GPO6, Malaysian primary station and IGS station for the sixth day. The scenario of data processing in each day depends on the available GPS data.

The primary indicator of GAMIT solution for determining the acceptable solution is the normalized root mean square (nrms) i.e. the square root of chi-square per degree of freedom. Usually with the default weighting scheme, a good solution produce an nrms about 0.25 (Dong et al., 1998). Figure 5a shows the nrms of the daily GAMIT solution from the Q-file output. The result indicates the nrms of about 0.2 and the nrms value for each day processing is very close. It shows the data used are those with high quality where the errors are randomly distributed and the correct station apriori weight used. Furthermore there are no serious modeling problems apparent since the nrms is relatively small and less than 0.25 which is considered to be a good high ionosphere and solution procedures.

The primary quality assurance statistic of GLOBK solution is $\chi^2/f$, the chi-squared increment per degree of freedom. Table 1 shows the $\chi^2/f$ statistic of daily and global processing from GLOBK output. The global processing is run by combination of all daily solutions. Shown in this table, the individual values never exceeded 3.0, indicating that each of the campaigns is internally and externally consistent (Lestari, 2006).
Fig. 5: Primary quality of daily GAMIT processing. (a) Postfit nrms; (b) mean and standard deviation

Table 1: $\chi^2/f$ statistic of daily and global processing from GLOBK output

| Day | Epoch 1 | Epoch 2 | Epoch 3 | Global |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| 1   | 0.645   | 0.559   | 0.564   | 0.564  |
| 2   | 0.914   | 0.433   | 0.461   | 0.461  |
| 3   | 0.727   | 0.393   | 0.446   | 0.446  |
| 4   | 0.502   | 0.151   | 0.463   | 0.463  |
| 5   | 0.511   | 0.156   | 0.571   | 0.571  |
| 6   | 0.449   | 0.356   | 0.597   | 0.597  |
| 7   | 0.468   | 0.469   | 0.277   | 0.277  |
| 8   | 0.654   | 0.739   | 0.725   | 0.725  |

Estimated Coordinate and Its Precision: The GAMIT H-file output associated with each day in the campaign is combined together using GLOBK. One of GLOBK result is coordinate in local system with horizontal component i.e., North (N) and East (E) and vertical component i.e., Up (U) and also their standard deviation. The N, E and U are products of adjustment in Geodetic latitude, longitude and height respectively.

Table 2 shows the N, E and U estimated coordinate in meters of the six object stations for three epochs processing. As shown in the table, there is a little bit variation in the third N, E and U coordinates.

Displacement Test: As aforementioned, the result yields different coordinate between two epochs, the difference then is called as movement. As can be seen in Fig. 7a and b, there are horizontal and vertical movement between each two epochs. The horizontal movement is shown by magnitude and direction. The magnitude is value of these movements while the direction is azimuth from a station in first epoch to the station in second epoch at the same station. Fig. 7a shows that the magnitude of coordinate difference is generally in level of a few millimetres. A different direction is found in the horizontal movement between two epochs. The vertical movement is shown in Fig 7b.
Fig. 6: Standard deviation of N, E and U coordinates. (a) precision of North; (b) precision of East; (c) precision of Up

| Station | Epoch 1 | Epoch 2 | Epoch 3 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| GOP1    | 0.0022  | 0.0021  | 0.0022  |
| GOP2    | 0.003  | 0.0039  | 0.003  |
| GOP3    | 0.0022 | 0.0032  | 0.0032 |
| GOP4    | 0.0021 | 0.0022  | 0.0021 |
| GOP5    | 0.0023 | 0.0023  | 0.0023 |
| GOP6    | 0.0023 | 0.0023  | 0.0023 |

Fig. 7: Vertical and horizontal difference between two epochs. (a) horizontal component; (b) vertical component

Fig. 8: Sketch of horizontal movement. (a) point GOP1, GOP2 and GOP3; (b) point GOP4, GOP5 and GOP6

0.0082 mm at point GOP2 (between epoch 1-3) and -0.0014 mm at point GOP3 (between epoch 2-3). The almost movement have positive value. It is noted that the value of first epoch is bigger than second epoch.

The displacement of a station between epoch i and j (Δd_{ij}) is shown in following Eq. 1 (Kuang, 1996):

\[ \Delta d_{ij} = \sqrt{(\Delta E_{ij}^2 + \Delta N_{ij}^2 + \Delta U_{ij}^2)^{0.5}} \]  

Where \( \Delta E \), \( \Delta N \) and \( \Delta U \) are the coordinate differences of E, N and U between both epochs respectively.
The null hypothesis of the test is $\Delta d_{ij} = 0$, that there is no movement between two epochs. The number of T-computed is the ratio of the displacement and its standard deviation ($\sigma_{\Delta d_{ij}}$). This standard deviation is determined by error propagation approach from the standard deviation of coordinates.

The T statistics value for the test can be expressed as Eq. (2):

$$T = \frac{\Delta d_{ij}}{\sigma_{\Delta d_{ij}}}$$

The region where the null hypothesis is accepted is $T < \chi^2_{0.025}/f$ (Wolf and Ghilani, 1997). The critical value of $t$ is found in the t-table corresponding to the significance level ($\alpha$) and the appropriate degrees of freedom (f). In this study, degree of freedom is $\infty$ because of the GPS data was recorded in 24 hours observation with 15 sec data interval. By using confidence level of 95% ($\alpha = 0.05$) then t-value ($\alpha$, 0.025) is 1.960 (Wolf and Ghilani, 1997). Table 3 illustrates the value of displacement, its standard deviation and also T-computed for six stations.

Table 3a depicts the result of t-test of displacement between epoch 1 and 2, Table 3b is result between epoch 2 and 3 and Table 3c shows T value between epoch 1 and 3. From these table (3a, b, c), it can be identified that the T value is smaller than 1.960. It means that significant displacement was not found in all stations in the three observation epochs by implementing the congruency test. It could be statistically declared that with 95% confidence level, there was no deformation of Pulai platform as observed in the three epochs.

DISCUSSION

Analysis of GPS data-derived coordinates of the monitored points on the observed platform (Pulai) with postfit nrms indicated that the data used were of good quality and the procedure applied in the process were correct. Furthermore using $\chi^2/f$ to check the quality of the output of GLOBK processing it showed that each epoch was internally and externally consistent.

Based on the GPS data processing result, it could be summarized that there were coordinate differences within the threeEpoch observations. Nevertheless, these differences were not statistically categorized as a significant displacement as a result of congruence test using t-student distribution.

CONCLUSION

From the outcome of this study it was proven the GPS relative positioning technique is a reliable method for deformation monitoring of an offshore platform. This is due to its capability of detecting subsidence magnitude in few centimeters, or even several millimeters level. However in order to obtain that level of precision is not a simple job to perform. It this case, the GPS technique should be implemented with some appropriate consideration which begins with choosing a dual frequency geodetic type GPS receiver and applying a particular strategy in both observation (duration, mask-angle, recording interval) and processing such as quality data checking, error and bias removal, number and configuration of reference stations to be used as well as resolving any ambiguity resolution. These several options were used as an input parameter in determining strategy of processing in scientific software such as GAMIT/GLOBK.

It is also highlighted that for such high precision positioning purposes such as the above mm level subsidence detection the utilizing of high performance software such as GAMIT/GLOBK for processing GPS data is recommended. This study has shown also that offshore platform subsidence could be monitored as an effort to increase their safety and life-time.
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