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Abstract

Background

Essential oils from the aerial parts (leaves, twigs and berries) of *Pistacia lentiscus* (PLEO) have been well characterized for their antibacterial and anti-inflammatory properties; however, poor information exists on their potential anticancer activity.

Methods

Increasing concentrations of PLEO (0.01–0.1% v/v, 80–800 μg/ml) were administered to a wide variety of cultured cancer cells from breast, cervix, colon, liver, lung, prostate, and thyroid carcinomas. Fibroblasts were also included as healthy control cells. Cell viability was monitored by WST-8 assay up to 72 hours after PLEO administration. The intracellular formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), the induction of apoptosis, and the enhancement of chemotherapeutic drug cytotoxicity by PLEO were further investigated in the most responsive cancer cell line.

Results

A dose-dependent reduction of tumor cell viability was observed upon PLEO exposure; while no cytotoxic effect was revealed in healthy fibroblasts. FTC-133 thyroid cancer cells were found to be the most sensitive cells to PLEO treatment; accordingly, an intracellular accumulation of ROS and an activation of both the extrinsic and intrinsic apoptotic pathways were evidenced in FTC-133 cells after PLEO administration. Furthermore, the cytotoxic effect of the antineoplastic drugs cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil and etoposide was enhanced in PLEO-exposed FTC-133 cells.

Conclusion

Taking into account its mode of action, PLEO might be considered as a promising source of natural antitumor agents which might have therapeutic potential in integrated oncology.
Introduction

Essential oils (EOs) are natural, volatile, and odorous molecules synthesized by the secretory cells of aromatic plants, located in leaves, flowers, fruits, seeds, barks, and roots [1]. Mainly composed of terpenes and terpenoids [2], EOs are currently receiving therapeutic interest fully renewed not only for their well-documented antimicrobial [3, 4], antioxidant [5] and anti-inflammatory [6] activities, but also for their anticancer properties [7, 8] and synergist effect with conventional therapies [9, 10].

Among EO-bearing plants, Pistacia lentiscus L. (PL), an evergreen bush of the Anacardiaceae family that extensively thrives in the Mediterranean area, has attracted considerable attention for its wide variety of bioactivities [11, 12]. In particular, an increasing number of studies has revealed that PL trunk resin (namely mastic gum) may exert anticancer activity in several types of human neoplasia, including prostate, colon, lung, and pancreatic carcinomas as well as hematological malignancies [13–15].

Other than from mastic gum, EOs can be extracted from PL aerial parts such as leaves, twigs, flowers, and berries. However, while antibacterial and anti-inflammatory properties have been widely demonstrated for EOs from PL aerial parts [16, 17], poor information exists on their potential anticancer activity. In the present paper, we reported for the first time on the antiproliferative effects of an EO extracted from PL aerial parts on different cultured cancer cells, demonstrating its capability to reduce tumor cell viability through the intracellular accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the induction of apoptotic cell death.

Methods

Pistacia Lentiscus Essential Oil (PLEO)

PLEO, extracted from leaves, twigs and berries of PL from Sardinia (Italy), was produced by SSA Mediflora (Cagliari, Italy). Its chemical composition is shown in Table 1. The oil was kept in the dark at room temperature; immediately before use, a stock containing 1% PLEO (solubilized in the culture medium containing 1% dimethyl sulfoxide, DMSO) was prepared and sterilized using 0.45 μm filters. The same lot was used for all the experiments on cultured cells. The following PLEO concentrations were tested: 0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08, and 0.1% (v/v), corresponding to 80, 160, 320, 480, 640, and 800 μg/ml, respectively. PLEO working dilutions contained up to 0.1% DMSO, thus avoiding solvent toxicity.

Cell culture

The following cancer cell lines were employed: CaCo-2 (colon adenocarcinoma), FTC-133 (follicular thyroid carcinoma), HeLa (cervix carcinoma), Hep G2 (liver carcinoma), LNCaP (prostate carcinoma), MDA-MB-231 (breast carcinoma), and NCI-H1975 (non-small cell lung adenocarcinoma). Fibroblasts (AG-09429) were used as healthy control cells. All cell lines were available at the Department of Biomolecular Sciences, University of Urbino “Carlo Bo”, with the exception of FTC-133, Hep G2, and NCI-H1975 cells, which were obtained from Interlab Cell Line Collection (ICLC, Genova, Italy). HeLa, LNCaP, and NCI-H1975 cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin 100 U/ml. AG-09429 and FTC-133 cells were grown in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin 100 U/ml; CaCo-2, Hep G2, and MDA-MB-231 were grown in the same conditions but with the addition of 1% non-essential amino acids. All cells were maintained in a CO₂ incubator at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Cell culture materials and reagents were from VWR International (Milan, Italy).
| Compound | %      |
|----------|--------|
| Myrcene  | 25.25  |
| alpha-Pinene | 18.64  |
| Limonene + beta-Phellandrene | 9.77   |
| alpha-Phellandrene | 7.31   |
| 4-Terpineol | 7.09   |
| gamma-Terpine | 3.48   |
| beta-Pinene | 3.11   |
| Sabinene | 2.47   |
| alpha-Terpine | 2.43   |
| Germacrene D | 2.04   |
| p-Cymene | 1.69   |
| alpha-Terpineol | 1.58   |
| delta-Cadinene | 1.51   |
| Canphene | 1.34   |
| Terpinolene | 1.21   |
| beta-Caryophyllene | 1.18   |
| trans-beta-Ocimene | 0.91   |
| gamma-Muurole | 0.51   |
| alpha-Thujene | 0.5    |
| Bornyl acetate | 0.41   |
| alpha-Muurole | 0.37   |
| 2-Undecanone | 0.35   |
| alpha-Caryophyllene | 0.35   |
| Tricyclene | 0.33   |
| alpha-p-Dimethylstyrene + 2-Nonanone | 0.31   |
| Nonanal | 0.3    |
| tau-Muurolo | 0.28   |
| cis-beta-Ocimene | 0.24   |
| gamma-Cadinene | 0.21   |
| alpha-Cadinol | 0.21   |
| alpha-Copaene | 0.2    |
| Isoamyl butyrate | 0.18   |
| beta-Elemene | 0.17   |
| Aromadendrene | 0.16   |
| beta-Bisabolene | 0.15   |
| 2-Nonanol | 0.14   |
| Borneol | 0.12   |
| gamma-Terpineol | 0.08   |
| Sabinene hydrate | 0.07   |
| Isoamyl hexanoate | 0.07   |
| 2-Methylbutyl hexanoate | 0.07   |
| alpha-Cubebe | 0.07   |
| alpha-Terpinky acetate | 0.06   |
| Amyl benzoate | 0.06   |
| Calacorene + alpha-Bisabolene | 0.06   |
| delta-Cadinol | 0.06   |
| beta-Bourbonene | 0.05   |

(Continued)
Cell viability assay

Cell viability upon PLEO administration was analyzed at 450 nm by the WST-8 reagent [2-(2-methoxy-4-nitrophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-(2,4-disulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium, monosodium salt] (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy). The assay was based on the cleavage of the tetrazolium salt WST-8 by cellular dehydrogenases in viable cells. Briefly, cancer and healthy cell lines (1000–5000 cells/well) were incubated in 96-well plates with PLEO (0.01–0.1% v/v, 80–800 μg/ml) or vehicle (0.1% DMSO, untreated controls). After 24, 48, and 72 hours of incubation, WST-8 (1:10 final dilution) was added to each well, and cells were further incubated at 37˚C up to 4 hours. Color development was monitored at 450 nm in a multiwell plate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Milan, Italy). Data were plotted and IC\textsubscript{50} values (i.e. PLEO concentration required to reduce cell viability by 50%) were then calculated. In FTC-133 cells, cell viability after PLEO administration was evaluated even in the presence of the antioxidant glutathione (GSH, final concentration 5 mM) (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy).

ROS evaluation

Intracellular ROS formation upon PLEO administration to cancer and healthy cell lines was analyzed by the use of 2',7'-dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCFH-DA) (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy) [18]. DCFH-DA is a cell-permeable non-fluorescent probe which turns to highly fluorescent 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein upon oxidation. Briefly, cells (5000/well) were incubated in black 96-well plates with PLEO (0.01–0.08% v/v, 80–640 μg/ml) or vehicle (0.1% DMSO, untreated controls) for 6 hours. DCFH-DA (final concentration 5 μM) was added to each well for 30 min; after excess probe removal, fluorescence was monitored at Ex/Em 485/520 nm in the multiwell plate reader FluoStar Optima (BMG Labtech, Germany). In FTC-133 cells, intracellular ROS formation after PLEO administration was evaluated even in the presence of GSH (final concentration 5 mM).

Apoptosis evaluation

Apoptosis induction by PLEO was investigated in FTC-133 cells by monitoring both caspase-8 and caspase-9 activity (the main effectors of the extrinsic and intrinsic apoptotic pathways, respectively), as well as DNA fragmentation (a common marker of late apoptosis).

Briefly, caspase-8 and -9 activation was determined in cell lysates after 6 and 24 hours upon PLEO administration (0.04% v/v, 320 μg/ml) using two colorimetric kits from Biovision (Milpitas, CA, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Assays were based on the spectrophotometric detection at 405 nm of the chromophore p-nitroaniline (pNA) after cleavage from the labeled substrate ETD-pNA by caspase-8 or LEHD-pNA by caspase-9. Protein concentration in the cytosolic extracts was measured using the Bradford method [19].

Table 1. (Continued)

| Compound       | %   |
|----------------|-----|
| beta-Cubebene  | 0.04|
| 2-Undecanol    | 0.02|
| Others         | 2.79|

\( ^{a}\)Analysis were carried out by the laboratory Chelab Silliker (Treviso, Italy) by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) and gas chromatography/flame ionization detection (GC/FID). Chromatographic area percentages (%) of the compounds are represented.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172138.t001
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Genomic DNA fragmentation was evaluated after 6, 24 and 48 hours upon PLEO administration (0.04% v/v, 320 μg/ml) by agarose gel electrophoresis, as previously described [20]. DNA samples were carefully resuspended in TE buffer; nucleic acid concentration and purity were measured using a NanoDrop® ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo-Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). 2 μg of each sample was loaded onto 1.5% TAE agarose gel; DNA laddering was visualized on a UV transilluminator by ethidium bromide staining. Images were obtained using a Gel Doc 2000 (Bio-Rad Laboratories S.r.l, Segrate, MI, Italy).

**Mitochondrial Membrane Potential (MMP) evaluation**

MMP was determined in FTC-133 cells after 2, 4, and 6 hours upon PLEO administration (0.04–0.08% v/v, 320–640 μg/ml) using a fluorometric kit from Biovision (Milpitas, CA, USA). The kit uses TMRE (tetramethylrhodamine, ethyl ester) to label active mitochondria. TMRE is a cell permeant, positively-charged, red-orange dye that readily accumulates in active mitochondria due to their relative negative charge. Depolarized or inactive mitochondria have decreased membrane potential and fail to sequester TMRE. Fluorescence was monitored at Ex/Em 549/575 nm in the multiwell plate reader FluoStar Optima (BMG Labtech, Germany).

**Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1 alpha (HIF-1α) measurement**

HIF-1α quantification was performed in FTC-133 cells upon PLEO administration (0.04% v/v, 320 μg/ml) using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit from Abcam (Cambridge, UK), in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Color development was evaluated at 450 nm in a multiwell plate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Milan, Italy). Protein concentration in cell extracts was measured using the Bradford method [19].

**Clonogenic assay**

The clonogenic cell survival assay determines the ability of a cell to proliferate indefinitely, thereby retaining its reproductive ability to form a large colony or a clone. To test PLEO effects on FTC-133 colony formation capacity, cells (40000/cm²) were pretreated for 24 hours with increasing concentrations of PLEO (0.04–0.08% v/v, 320–640 μg/ml). Cells were harvested, 1000 viable cells were plated in 6-well plates and allowed to grow for 14 days. Colonies were then stained for 90 min at room temperature with 0.25% methylene blue in 50% ethanol; pictures were captured digitally and analyzed using a software for densitometric analysis (Quantity One 4.0.1, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Milan, Italy) to evaluate the colony volumes. Data were expressed as percentage of inhibition of colony formation compared to the control.

**Co-treatment with cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil, and etoposide**

To evaluate PLEO ability to enhance the cytotoxicity of conventional chemotherapeutic drugs, FTC-133 cells were treated up to 48 hours with cisplatin (CDDP, 5–80 μM), 5-fluorouracil (5-FU, 25–500 μM), or etoposide (VP16, 5–100 μM) in the presence or absence of PLEO (0.04% v/v, 320 μg/ml). Cell viability was analyzed at 450 nm by the WST-8 reagent. Drugs were from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy).

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) of at least three independent experiments and analyzed by Student’s t-test to compare treated vs. untreated cells. Significance level was set at p<0.05 for all analysis.
Results

Cell viability inhibition and intracellular ROS formation by PLEO

PLEO was administered to cancer and healthy cell lines up to 72 hours at concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 0.1% v/v (80–800 μg/ml). Overall, a dose-dependent inhibition of cancer cell viability by PL was observed as compared to untreated cells receiving the vehicle (0.1% DMSO) (Fig 1). In most cases, the maximum concentration tested (0.1% v/v, 800 μg/ml) was cytotoxic, leading to the detection of necrotic cells. As reported in Table 2, the most sensitive cancer cell line was FTC-133 (follicular thyroid carcinoma), showing the lowest IC\textsubscript{50} value (376±20 μg/ml) after 24 hours of incubation with PL; on the contrary, AG-09429 healthy fibroblasts were the most resistant cells to PL treatment (IC\textsubscript{50} >800 μg/ml).

The administration of PLEO (0.01–0.08% v/v, 80–640 μg/ml) to cancer cell lines also led to a significant increment of intracellular ROS levels as compared to untreated cells receiving the vehicle (0.1% DMSO) (Fig 2A). FTC-133 cells showed the highest ROS content, while AG-09429 healthy cells the lowest. A significant negative correlation (R = −0.820, p = 0.013) was observed between ROS increment at 6 hours upon PL administration and IC\textsubscript{50} values at 24 hours of treatment (Fig 2B).

Fig 1. Cell viability evaluation by WST-8 colorimetric assay upon PLEO administration (0.01–0.1% v/v, 80–800 μg/ml) to cancer and healthy cell lines for 24, 48, and 72 h. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). *p<0.05 vs. untreated cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172138.g001
In FTC-133 cells, experiments were repeated in the presence of GSH (5 mM). No intracellular ROS accumulation was evidenced after 6 hours of cell incubation with increasing concentrations of PLEO (Fig 3A); at the same time, no inhibition of cell viability was found up to 24 hours after PL administration (Fig 3B). The protective effect of GSH decreased over time, leading to a significantly reduced cancer cell viability after 48 hours of treatment with PLEO.

Apoptosis induction by PLEO

The administration of PLEO (0.04% v/v, 320 μg/ml) to FTC-133 cells led to the activation of both the extrinsic and intrinsic apoptotic pathways. As shown in Fig 4A, a significant caspase-8 activation was observed after 24 hours upon PL administration; while caspase-9 activation was evidenced mostly after 6 hours of treatment. In accord to the early intrinsic pathway induction, a significant reduction of MMP was found at 2, 4, and 6 hours of incubation with PLEO as compared to untreated cells (Fig 4B). The presence of DNA fragmentation after 24 and 48 hours upon PL treatment confirmed apoptosis induction by the EO in FTC-133 cells (Fig 4C). Interestingly, PLEO-induced apoptosis was associated with a significant decrement of the intracellular levels of HIF-1α (-31.4% vs. untreated cells) (Fig 4D).

Colony formation inhibition by PLEO

As shown in Fig 5, a significant inhibition of FTC-133 colony formation capacity was observed upon PLEO administration (0.04–0.08% v/v, 320–640 μg/ml) to cancer cells in comparison to untreated cancer cells.

Enhancement of chemotherapeutic drug cytotoxicity by PLEO

The antiproliferative effects of PLEO (0.04% v/v, 320 μg/ml) were determined in FTC-133 cancer cells also in presence of CDDP, 5-FU, and VP16. As shown in Fig 6, cell incubation with increasing concentrations of CDDP alone (5–80 μM) led to a significant decrement of cell viability as compared to untreated cells. The co-treatment with PL further decreased cell viability in particular after 24 hours upon administration (IC₅₀ values equal to 43.5 μM and 4.2 μM in absence and presence of PLEO, respectively). As regards 5-FU, IC₅₀ values were not reached up to 48 hours of incubation with the drug alone (25–500 μM); on the contrary, the co-treatment with PL allowed a decrease of cell viability so as to achieve the IC₅₀ value both at 24

Table 2. Antiproliferative activity of PLEO at 24, 48, and 72 h of treatment expressed as IC₅₀ values (mean ± SD of three independent experiments).a

| Cell lines   | IC₅₀ (μg/ml) | IC₅₀ (μg/ml) | IC₅₀ (μg/ml) |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|              | 24 h         | 48 h         | 72 h         |
| FTC-133      | 376±20       | 392±30       | 408±83       |
| NCI-H1975    | 400±52       | 304±27       | 336±37       |
| Hep G2       | 512±70       | 496±69       | 472±85       |
| HeLa         | 520±42       | 392±27       | 408±45       |
| MDA-MB-231   | 616±76       | 480±13       | 432±33       |
| LNCaP        | 616±19       | 520±75       | 624±21       |
| CaCo-2       | 640±13       | 552±13       | 520±45       |
| AG-09429     | >800         | >800         | >800         |

aIC₅₀: PLEO concentration required to reduce cell viability by 50% in WST-8 assay.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172138.t002
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(172 µM) and 48 hours (33 µM). In the case of VP16 (5–100 µM), IC_{50} values, achieved only after 48 hours of incubation, were the same in absence or presence of PLEO (4.4 µM).

**Discussion**

In the present study we investigated for the first time the antiproliferative properties of an essential oil extracted from the aerial parts of *Pistacia lentiscus* (PLEO) on a wide variety of cultured cancer cells from human breast, cervix, colon, liver, lung, prostate, and thyroid carcinomas. Fibroblasts were also included as healthy control cells.

Overall, a dose-dependent inhibition of cancer cell viability by PLEO was observed as compared to untreated cells. On the basis of IC_{50} values, FTC-133 (follicular thyroid carcinoma) was the most sensitive cancer cell line, while AG-09429 healthy fibroblasts were the most resistant cells to PL administration, thus evidencing a selective cytotoxic action of the EO against tumor cells. Other than cell viability, PLEO also inhibited the colony formation capacity of FTC-133 cells, proposing that some EO constituents might affect single tumor cell survival so as to suppress cancer cell colonization, as previously demonstrated [21].

On the basis of the chemical composition, the monoterpenes myrcene and α-pinene were the most abundant compounds of PLEO, suggesting that the antiproliferative effects of PL might be possibly mediated by these two compounds. In accord, previous evidence indicated that myrcene and α-pinene might exert significant cytotoxic effects on different cancer cell lines [22–24]. Nevertheless, the involvement of other PLEO minor constituents should not be ruled out; indeed, the terpenes limonene, β-caryophyllene, and β-elemene have also shown significant anticancer activities both in vitro and in vivo models [9], indicating potential synergies among EO components.

The administration of PLEO to cancer cell lines was also associated to a significant increment of intracellular ROS levels as compared to untreated cells. FTC-133 cells showed the highest increase, while AG-09429 healthy cells the lowest. Consequently, a significant negative correlation was observed between ROS increment and cell proliferation upon PL treatment. When experiments were repeated in the presence of GSH as antioxidant, no intracellular ROS accumulation and no inhibition of cancer cell growth were observed in FTC-133 cells after PL administration, thus indicating that PLEO might act as antiproliferative agent in a ROS-dependent manner. In accord, several terpenic EO constituents, such as α-pinene and β-caryophyllene, have demonstrated to specifically induce the production of ROS within cancer cells without increasing oxidative stress in normal cells [22, 25].

The specific action of PLEO towards cancer cells might be partially related even to cell mitotic rate. Indeed, FTC-133 cell line, the most sensitive to PLEO administration, also presented the lowest doubling time (approximately 27 hours) among the cell lines tested, possibly indicating that some PLEO components (such as limonene and β-elemene) might interfere with cell cycle and DNA synthesis [9, 23].

To clarify the mechanisms underlying PLEO antiproliferative effects, apoptosis was investigated in FTC-133 cells. We found that PLEO led to the activation of both the intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic pathways, as revealed by caspase-9 activation after 6 hours of treatment and by caspase-8 activation after 24 hours upon PL administration. In accord to the early activation of the intrinsic pathway, a significant loss of mitochondrial membrane potential was found up
Fig 3. (A) Intracellular ROS levels after 6 h of PLEO administration (0.01–0.08% v/v, 80–640 μg/ml) to FTC-133 cells in the presence of GSH 5 mM. (B) Cell viability after 6, 24, and 48 h of PLEO administration to FTC-133 cells in the presence of GSH 5 mM. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). *p<0.05 vs. untreated cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172138.g003
to 6 hours of incubation with PLEO. The presence of nuclear DNA fragmentation after 24 and 48 hours upon PL treatment confirmed apoptosis induction by the EO in FTC-133 cells.

Interestingly, FTC-133 is a cancer cell line characterized by the mutation of the tumor suppressor gene PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homologue) [26], which makes the transcription factor HIF-1α functionally expressed in thyroid carcinomas independently of lowered oxygen tension, thus promoting apoptosis resistance and tumor cell survival [27]. In this context, we observed that PLEO-induced apoptosis in FTC-133 cells was associated with a significant decrement of HIF-1α levels, possibly indicating a negative modulation of the hypoxic factor by some PLEO components such as the sesquiterpene β-elemene, which has demonstrated to enhance radiosensitivity in vivo via HIF-1α downregulation [28].
Positively, we evidenced that PLEO enhanced the inhibitory effects of the chemotherapeutic drugs CDDP, 5-FU and VP16 on FTC-133 cell proliferation. This action was particularly effective in the case of 5-FU; indeed, PLEO co-administration favourably allowed the achievement of IC_{50} values, thus confirming the role of EO constituents in potentiating the anticancer capabilities of conventional chemotherapeutic agents [9].

In conclusion, this study provided new insights into the antitumor action of the essential oils from *Pistacia lentiscus* aerial parts, for which poor investigations exist. Being a complex mixture of numerous constituents, PLEO action on cancer cells via intracellular ROS accumulation and apoptosis induction might be the sum of each individual activity, modulated by all the potential interactions. Taking into account its mode of action and its ability to enhance the cytotoxic effect of conventional antineoplastic drugs, PLEO might be considered as a promising source of natural antitumor agents and might have therapeutic potential in integrated oncology as a support to the standard anticancer therapies.

**Fig 5.** Reduction of colony formation capacity after FTC-133 cell incubation with increasing PLEO concentrations (0.04–0.08% v/v, 320–640 μg/ml). Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). *p<0.05 vs. untreated cells. The image is representative of three independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172138.g005
Fig 6. FTC-133 cell viability upon PLEO co-administration (0.04% v/v, 320 μg/ml) with increasing doses of CDDP (5–80 μM), 5-FU (25–500 μM), and VP16 (5–100 μM) for 6, 24, and 48 h. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). *p<0.05 vs. untreated cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172138.g006
Author Contributions

**Conceptualization:** SC FP S Battistelli S Benedetti.

**Data curation:** S Benedetti.

**Formal analysis:** S Benedetti.

**Funding acquisition:** S Benedetti S Battistelli.

**Investigation:** SC FP S Battistelli S Benedetti.

**Methodology:** SC FP.

**Project administration:** S Battistelli.

**Resources:** S Battistelli S Benedetti.

**Supervision:** S Benedetti S Battistelli.

**Validation:** SC FP S Battistelli S Benedetti.

**Visualization:** SC FP S Battistelli S Benedetti.

**Writing – original draft:** SC.

**Writing – review & editing:** S Benedetti.

References

1. Adorjan B, Buchbauer G. Biological properties of essential oils: an updated review. Flav Fragr J. 2010; 25: 407–426.

2. Bakkali F, Averbeck S, Averbeck D, Idaromar M. Biological effects of essential oils-a review. Food Chem Toxicol. 2008; 46: 446–475. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2007.09.106 PMID: 17996351

3. Saviuc CM, Drumea V, Oliariu L, Chifiriuc MC, Bezirtzoglou E, Lazár V. Essential oils with microbicidal and antibiofilm activity. Curr Pharm Biotechnol. 2015; 16: 137–151. PMID: 25594290

4. Wang TH, Hsia SM, Wu CH, Ko SY, Chen MY, Shih YH, et al. Evaluation of the antibacterial potential of liquid and vapor phase phenolic essential oil compounds against oral microorganisms. PLoS One. 2016; 11: e0163147. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163147 PMID: 27681039

5. Sheweita SA, El-Hosseiny LS, Nashashibi MA. Protective effects of essential oils as natural antioxidants against hepatotoxicity induced by cyclophosphamide in mice. PLoS One. 2016; 11: e0165567. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165567 PMID: 27802299

6. Amorim JL, Simas DL, Pinheiro MM, Moreno DS, Alviano CS, da Silva AJ, et al. Anti-inflammatory properties and chemical characterization of the essential oils of four citrus species. PLoS One. 2016; 11: e0153643. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0153643 PMID: 27088973

7. Bhatta Y, Gupta VK, Jaitak V. Anticancer activity of essential oils: a review. J Sci Food Agric. 2013; 93: 3643–3653. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.6267 PMID: 23765679

8. Bayala B, BassoIe IH, Scifo R, Gnoula C, Morel L, Lobaccaro JM, et al. Anticancer activity of essential oils and their chemical components—a review”. Ann J Cancer Res. 2014; 4: 591–607. PMID: 25520854

9. Lesgards JF, Baldovini N, Vidal N, Pietri S. Anticancer activities of essential oils constituents and synergy with conventional therapies: a review. Phytother Res. 2014; 28: 1423–1446. doi: 10.1002/ptr.5165 PMID: 24931562

10. Russo R, Corasaniti MT, Bagetta G, Morrone LA. Exploitation of cytotoxicity of some essential oils for translation in cancer therapy. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2015; 2015: 397821. doi: 10.1155/2015/397821 PMID: 25722735

11. Barra A, Coroneo V, Dessi S, Cabras P, Angioni A. Characterization of the volatile constituents in the essential oil of Pistacia lentiscus L. from different origins and its antifungal and antioxidant activity. J Agric Food Chem. 2007; 55: 7093–7098. doi: 10.1021/jf071129w PMID: 17658828

12. Bozorgi M, Memariani Z, Mobi M, Salehi Surmarghi MH, Shams-Ardekani MR, Rahimi R. Five Pistacia species (P. vera, P. atlantica, P. terebinthus, P. khinjuk, and P. lentiscus): a review of their traditional
uses, phytochemistry, and pharmacology. ScientificWorldJournal. 2013; 2013: 219815. doi: 10.1155/2013/219815 PMID: 24453812

13. Giaginis C, Theocharis S. Current evidence on the anticancer potential of Chios mastic gum. Nutr Cancer. 2011; 63: 1174–1184. doi: 10.1080/01635581.2011.607546 PMID: 22044444

14. Dimas KS, Pantazis P, Ramanujan R. Review: Chios mastic gum: a plant-produced resin exhibiting numerous diverse pharmaceutical and biomedical properties. In vivo. 2012; 26: 777–785. PMID: 22949590

15. Paraschos S, Mitakou S, Skaltsounis AL. Chios Gum Mastic: a review of its biological activities. Curr Med Chem. 2012; 19: 2292–2302. PMID: 22414110

16. Mhirti Z, Lyoussi B, Abdellaoui A. Antibacterial activity of the essential oils of Pistacia lentiscus used in Moroccan folkloric medicine. Nat Prod Commun. 2011; 6: 1505–1506. PMID: 22164794

17. Maxia A, Sanna C, Frau MA, Piras A, Karchuli M, Kasture V. Anti-inflammatory activity of Pistacia lentiscus essential oil: involvement of IL-6 and Tnf-α. Nat Prod Commun. 2011; 6: 1543–1544. PMID: 22164803

18. Benedetti S, Catalani S, Palma F, Canestrari F. The antioxidant protection of Cellfood against oxidative damage in vitro. Food Chem Toxicol. 2011; 49: 2292–2298. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2011.06.029 PMID: 21703326

19. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem. 1976; 72: 248–254. PMID: 942051

20. Catalani S, Carbonaro V, Palma F, Arshakyan M, Galati R, Nuvoli B, et al. Metabolism modifications and apoptosis induction after Cellfood™ administration to leukemia cell lines. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2013; 32: 63. doi: 10.1186/1756-9966-32-63 PMID: 24016597

21. Dahham SS, Tabana YM, Iqbal MA, Ahamed MB, Ezzat MO, Majid AS, et al. The anticancer, antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of the sesquiterpene β-caryophyllene from the essential oil of Aquilaria crassna. Molecules. 2015; 20: 11808–11829. doi: 10.3390/molecules200711808 PMID: 26132906

22. Jin K, Bak M, Jun M, Lim H, Jo W, Jeong WS. α-pinene triggers oxidative stress and related signaling pathways in A549 and HepG2 cells. Food Sci Biotechnol. 2010; 19: 1325–1332.

23. Sobral MV, Xavier AL, Lima TC, de Sousa PD. Antitumor Activity of Monoterpenes Found in Essential Oils. Scientific World Journal. 2014; 2014: 953451. doi: 10.1155/2014/953451 PMID: 25401162

24. Chen W, Liu Y, Li M, Mao J, Zhang L, Huang R, et al. Anti-tumor effect of α-pinene on human hepatoma cell lines through inducing G2/M cell cycle arrest. J Pharmacol Sci. 2015; 127: 332–338. doi: 10.1016/j.jphs.2015.01.008 PMID: 25837931

25. Park KR, Nam D, Yun HM, Lee SG, Jang HJ, Sethi G, et al. β-Caryophyllene oxide inhibits growth and induces apoptosis through the suppression of PI3K/AKT/mTOR/S6K1 pathways and ROS-mediated MAPKs activation. Cancer Lett. 2011; 312: 176–186. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2011.08.001 PMID: 21924548

26. Burrows N, Resch J, Cowen RL, von Wasielewski R, Hoang-Vu C, West CM, et al. Expression of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α in thyroid carcinomas. Endoc Relat Cancer. 2010; 17: 61–72.

27. Burrows N, Babur M, Resch J, Williams KJ, Brabant G. Hypoxia-inducible factor in thyroid carcinoma. J Thyroid Res. 2011; 2011: 762905. doi: 10.4061/2011/762905 PMID: 21765994

28. Li G, Xie B, Li X, Chen Y, Wang Q, Xu Y, et al. Down-regulation of survivin and hypoxia-inducible factor-1α by β-elemene enhances the radiosensitivity of lung adenocarcinoma xenograft. Cancer Biother Radiopharm. 2012; 27: 56–64. doi: 10.1089/cbr.2011.1003 PMID: 22248028