Recurrent mechanical complications in an implant-supported fixed complete denture: a clinical report

Maria Paula de Lima Coltro a, Thaisa Barizan Bordin b, Luciano Costa Petri c, Ahmet Ozkomur d, Rosemary Sadami Arai Shinkai e

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Several factors can lead to mechanical complications in implant-supported fixed complete dentures (IFCD).

CASE DESCRIPTION: This clinical report presents a clinical case of a maxillary IFCDP with recurrent mechanical complications and a possible causal interaction between patient’s intrinsic characteristics (bruxism and high occlusal force) and technical procedures (framework design, occlusal adjustment, interocclusal splint, and posterior occlusal support).

CONCLUSION: The 3-year follow-up findings highlight the need for a comprehensive and systemic approach to manage a challenging case of an IFCD patient with moderate bruxism and high occlusal force.

Keywords: implant-supported fixed complete dentures; complications; occlusion; design.
INTRODUCTION

Proper planning, execution and control are fundamental steps for the long-term success of the oral rehabilitation treatment. Clinical studies with 5- to 15-year follow-up show that the most frequent problems in implant-supported fixed complete dentures (IFCD) are fracture and wear of the artificial teeth and veneer material, peri-implantitis, loss of access hole filling, and loosening of abutments and screws [1, 2]. The rate of mechanical complications varies from 9.5% to 39% in observations of six months to five years [3, 4, 5]. In metal-resin IFCD, fracture and wear of the resin veneer had the highest incidence over 15 years [2, 6, 7]. Therefore, most problems are mechanical complications, i.e., events with damage to the artificial teeth, the implant components, or the framework, which are often repairable [8].

Complications in IFCD may be related to biological and mechanical variables. High masticatory forces were associated with increased rates of mechanical complications [8], and recurrent problems with unbalanced occlusion or centric/eccentric premature contacts [9]. Therefore, presence of bruxism and unbalanced occlusion may be risk factors for mechanical complications and biological failures in IFCD.

This report describes the clinical solution of recurrent complications in a maxillary IFCD and possible interactions between patient’s factors and technical procedures during a 3-year follow-up.

CASE DESCRIPTION

A 77-year-old male patient was treated at the university dental clinic following standard clinical and laboratory procedures, in accordance with the decision-making criteria to restore the edentulous maxilla [10] with an IFCD (Fig. 1). In the mandible, the patient had natural teeth up to the premolars with no use of partial dentures to replace the missing molars due to financial constraints. The patient voluntarily signed an informed consent form to participate in a cohort study on IFCD survival, which was approved by the institutional review board. As part of the study sample, the patient also gave informed consent for scientific publication of his clinical case. The study was approved by CEP-PUCRS (Of. CEP 1296/08) and registered by SISNEP (CAAE – 0348.0.002.000-08).

The IFCD was fabricated over six implants with external hexagonal platform (Neodent®, Curitiba, Brazil) without the use of bone grafts. A licensed commercial laboratory performed all the technical steps for the IFCD fabrication using Solutu (Kota®, São Paulo, Brazil) acrylic teeth. The average thickness for the esthetic veneer material and artificial teeth (distance between the metallic framework and the mandibular teeth) was 5.9 mm, and the average height of the metallic retention pins for the denture teeth was 1.8 mm.

The patient self-reported presence of nocturnal bruxism in a questionnaire modified from Winocur et al. [11] The BiteStrip® device (Scientific Laboratory Products, Ltd., Tel Aviv, Israel) showed some nocturnal masseter contractions classified as possible moderate bruxism [12]. The maximum bite force (MBF) recorded by a compressive force transducer [13] was 583.6 N. No orofacial pain nor motor limitation were reported.

The distribution of occlusal forces was recorded with the T-Scan III system (TekScan Inc.) in maximum intercuspal position, right and left laterotrusion and protrusion. Before adjustment, more than 70% of the force in maximum intercuspal position was concentrated on the right side, mainly in the maxillary right first premolar (28%) and maxillary right second premolar (21%). An occlusal interference on the maxillary right first premolar was recorded during excursive movement to the left side and adjusted (Fig. 2).

Mechanical complications and clinical solution

Complications were verified by clinical and radiographic exams. Five mechanical complications in the IFCD occurred during the 3-year follow-up period:

1. Two weeks after the IFCD delivery, the patient returned because the right lateral incisor tooth was displaced. The artificial teeth was fixed to the denture in a chairside session.
2. Two months later (74 days after the IFCD delivery), the left lateral incisor fell out and was repositioned in the IFCD in a chairside session.
3. Two weeks later, the right lateral incisor came loose and fell out again. Because of these short-term, recurrent teeth displacement, all artificial teeth were replaced with a new set in the laboratory. An interocclusal splint was fabricated, and the height of the mandibular canines was increased with direct composite resin to improve the canine guidance during lateral movements.
4. Two years after the IFCD delivery, the right canine fell out and was repositioned in a chairside session.
5. Six months later (2.5 years after the IFCD delivery), the right first premolar fell out and was fixed in a chairside session. By that time, the patient was in treatment to receive implant-supported fixed partial dentures to replace the mandibular missing teeth (left

Figure 1. Delivery of the maxillary implant-supported fixed complete denture.
second premolar, right first and second molars). A new adjustment distributed the occlusal loads according to a balanced occlusion, with occlusal contacts more evenly distributed along anterior and posterior teeth, including molars. The artificial teeth had reduced occlusal platform. A new interocclusal splint was fabricated.

In the 3-year follow-up, the distribution of the occlusal forces still was balanced as recorded by means of the T-Scan III with no further complications (Fig. 3). Even with multiple complications and need of repair overtime, the patient always reported more satisfaction with the IFCD in comparison with his old maxillary denture.

Figure 2. Data analysis in T-Scan III software before occlusal adjustment. Maximum intercuspal position was recorded immediately after the implant-supported fixed complete denture delivery.

Figure 3. Data analysis in T-Scan III software at the 3-year follow-up of the implant-supported fixed complete denture. Maximum intercuspal position was recorded immediately after the installation of crowns on the mandibular posterior implants and general occlusal adjustment.
DISCUSSION

The multiple IFCD mechanical complications in this patient probably resulted from an interaction of intrinsic (patient-mediated) and extrinsic (clinician-mediated) factors, such as presence of bruxism, posterior reduced mandibular arch, and framework design.

The recurrent displacement of different artificial teeth from the resin base suggests a combination of factors that might not have generated similar problems in a less challenging clinical situation. The displaced artificial teeth had mechanical retention by metallic pins from the framework bar, but these were insufficient for effective retention in this patient. In cases of significant bruxism and strong occlusal force, long metallic pins seem to be necessary for retention of the artificial teeth, irrespective of the amount of acrylic resin around the teeth. On the other hand, the mechanical retentions on the metallic framework worked well for the retention of the acrylic resin base.

Using the T-Scan III system, the distribution of occlusal forces can be analyzed in centric position and in excursive movements, allowing relative quantification of occlusal forces. The patient had group function with a mutually protected occlusion in lateral movements. During lateral excursion around 20% to 30% of the occlusal loading was recorded on the teeth which became loose. But during the protrusion motion, almost 90% of the force was concentrated in the maxillary incisors. However, there is still no consensus in the literature regarding the best type of occlusion for the maxillary incisors. However, there is still no consensus in the literature regarding the best type of occlusion for each type of implant-supported denture [10]. The concept of shortened dental arch did not work well for this patient, and the distribution of occlusal forces was greatly improved after the replacement of the mandibular molars. Also, the use of an interocclusal splint should be reinforced for patients with bruxism whenever possible. Studies show that there is a significantly higher risk of fractures in dentures of patients with bruxism, when an interocclusal splint is not used [14-18].

This case with multiple and recurrent mechanical complications suggests a possible negative association of the metallic superstructure design, presence of bruxism and distribution of occlusal forces. While the framework design can be easily modified to provide additional mechanical retention for the artificial teeth, the presence of bruxism poses a clinical challenge with only palliative solution so far.

CONCLUSION

This case report shows the need of individualized framework design and distribution of occlusal load to minimize the occurrence of complications in IFCD. Artificial teeth should have individual inner metallic retention to reduce the risk of displacement under challenging occlusion, irrespective of the amount of acrylic resin around the teeth. The early identification and management of risk factors may prevent complications in IFCD and reduce costs and non-scheduled visits to the dentist.