Replantation of hand after transmetacarpal amputation

Abstract

**Background:** The first hand replantation was completed in 1962 by Malt and Mekhannin in Boston, since then, multiple case-reports with a success rate of 80-90% have been published. The Ischemia time and trauma mechanism are the main factors that influence the rate of success directly, however, the treatment must be individualized.

**Case Report:** A 32 years-old male with transmetacarpal amputation at flexor zone II of the left hand after traumatic amputation with a wood saw arrived to emergency room. The patient was evaluated by the surgical team and concluded the Patient was a good candidate for a hand Replantation mainly because of the short time since the injury and the clean cut aspect. The procedure was successfully completed and the patients follow up, after a month, showed minimal limitation of the hand.

**Conclusion:** Advances in microsurgery allow multiple centers across the world to perform replantation of segments after a traumatic amputation. The success of procedure is based on the selection of candidates, the surgical team abilities and multidisciplinary management with better functional results than the use of prosthesis.

Introduction

Nowadays, in the microsurgery field, the surgeon has access to small vessels, nerves and lymphatics with the objective to decrease the limitation of the amputated extremity and maintain the functional status of the patient, improving life quality.

In 1871, Hueter, described the epineural suture and introduce the importance of free tension anastomosis. Years after, Alexis Carrell, in 1899, introduced the first vessel anastomosis technique by triangulation and thevein graft inter position to substitute damaged vascular tissue; these achievements got him the 1912 Nobel Price. In 1922, Holmgren introduced the binocular microscope Zeiss for medical use but it was until 1960 that Jacobsen and Suarez improved the outcome in small vessel anastomosis with new surgical equipment and microsurgical techniques. Based on this, in 1964, Smith, Michon and Marse established the bases of nervous microsurgery.

Replantation is defined as the surgical procedure to reattach an amputated extremity with restoration of the neurovascular and musculoskeletal function and esthetic.

Case report

A 32 year-old right-handed male patient without comorbidities is referred to our center with a traumatic amputation of the left hand within 6 hours after work accident with a wood saw. The initial management of the patient, consisted in mechanical lavage and closure of the wound achieving hemostasis, followed by compression bandage. The amputated hand is stored and transported in ice.

During the physical examination, the patient was awake with a Glasgow Coma Scale of 15 points, with vital sings within physiologic parameters, referring pain. The site of injury, was localized on the flexor zone II of the left hand, with adequate color and edges facing without any active bleeding. The left thumb was integrate without limitation in the range of movements, just a superficial lesion on the face, presented the injury at the level from the injury to medical attention, the age and no comorbidities, also the limitation of the hand.

The second finger presented an irregular injury at the proximal interphalangeal joint with apparent fracture and other lesion at the distal phalange compromising the nail complex. The third finger showed an irregular transversal fracture at the medial phalanx level and the fourth finger presented an exposed and displaced fracture of the distal phalanx.

The patient is a candidate for replantation of the segment because of the time from the injury to medical attention, the age and no comorbidities, also the amputation of multiple fingers across the palm of the hand. The risk and benefits are explained to the patient and family, and accepted.
Surgical intervention

Under general anesthesia, the procedure begins with debridement of edges and mechanical lavage with Ringer lactate and iodopovidone of the amputated segment until bleeding through the wound is observed.

During the first surgical intervention, the metacarpophalangeal fractures were stabilized with the closure of the lumbrical and interosseous muscles with an absorbable suture (Vycril 3/0) without the need of osteosynthesis material. The repair of the tendon was with modified Kessler stitches with a non-absorbable suture (Prolene 4/0), including the digitorum extensor, indicis, digitiminimi and exors like digitorum superficialis and profundus.

The second intervention was realized with microsurgical exploration (10x) where the two transmetacarpal dorsal veins presented with well-defined edges; the anastomosis of the vessels was performed with nylon 8/0. On the volar side, the palmar-digital arteries also presented regular edges, the anastomosis was performed with nylon 8/0. No nervous repairs were made at this point. Skin is closed with nylon 3/0 simple stitches, splinting and bandaging.

The postoperative period is coursed in the Intensive Care Unit with
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Pulse oximetry check up every 2 hours, for the first two days, reporting above 96%. The color and temperature were evaluated and compared with the contralateral hand. Analgesia was provided with Opioids (Tramadol 200mg every 24 hours) and NSAIDS (ketorolaco 30mg every 8 hours); Broad-spectrum antibiotics and thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin were administered too.

Figure 5 Reimplanted hand 24 hours after surgery.

Figure 6 Reimplanted hand 7 days after surgery.

Discussion

The first registry of a successful reimplant was in 1814 when William Belfour publish a digital reimplantation in the Edinburgh Medical and Surgical Journal, where he reimplanted successfully his son’s fingers, although those reimplantations were performed without vascular anastomosis, and for this reason is suspected that they survive as composed grafts. In 1964 Douglas and Foster introduced the theory of spontaneous recanalization that can occur in composed grafts.1

However, it was until 1962 when S. Ronald Malt and Mckhann in Boston, accomplished the first reimplantation of an arm amputated above the shoulder, in a 12 year-old boy that had traumatic amputation in a train accident, it is the first successful reimplantation registered.1,2

In 1963, Kleinert et al. performed the first anastomosis of a digital artery for the revascularization of partially amputated thumb, and in 1965 Komatsu and Tamai in Japan, completed the first complete thumb reimplantation, setting a standard in the functional reimplantation surgery.1,2 For the last 20 years, successful reimplantation cases have been published because of the development of surgical microscopes, ultrafine surgical sutures and grafts, also the surgical skills of the surgeons involved.1

In the setting of a traumatic amputation, their eunisism is very important in relation with the tissue damage, determining if the patient is a candidate or not to a reimplantation procedure. For this reason, crushing injury, which are the most frequent injuries, represent a challenge because of need for tissue debridement and the shortening of structures until healthy tissue is appropriate for a tension-free anastomosis, diminishing the percentage of viability.1,3

It is reported, specific criteria for the selection of patients, candidates for a reimplantation, considering factors like comorbidities, the probability of survival of the affected segment and the function of the reimplanted segment could be the same or better than the one achieved with a prosthesis.6

The success of the viability of a tissue cannot be compared to functional success of the reimplanted segment, and the indications mentioned are recommendations of experts and cannot be interpreted as absolute either (Table 1). Generally, a successful reimplantation of an amputation at the level of palm, wrist or distal end of the forearm provide a better function than the one achieved with a prosthesis, by the grip and release provided by the extrinsic muscles of the fingers.5

The preservation of the amputated segment is vital to the success of replantation. In the literature, its recommended the replantation before 12 hours of warm ischemia for the fingers and 6 hours for a major reimplantation of the upper extremity, and in case of cold ischemia, it must be maximum of 24 hours and 12 hours respectively.6 The amputated segment must be submerged in saline solution or gauze soaked from the same solution, put in a plastic bag and submerged in a solution with ice at 4°C, freezing or direct contact with ice must be avoided to prevent more tissue damage.6,7

In general, the patient has big expectations on the outcome of replantation, for this reason, the surgeon must explain the possibilities of success, viability, possible functional results, surgical time, days of hospitalization and rehabilitation therapy must accomplish to improve the results.5 More than 60 years after the first reported reimplantation, there have been multiple successful outcomes including hand and fingers. The main factors for successful reimplantation are age, concomitant lesions, injury mechanism and time of ischemia.1,4

A successful reimplantation require a multidisciplinary team with experience the area and microsurgical equipment for reconstructive surgery. It is important to mention that the tissue viability is not equal to success, determined by the sensitive and motor function preserved in the implanted segment.5,8 In previous studies it has been demonstrated that younger patients with guillotine type amputations have a higher rate of success after reimplantation, reaching a 94% of success. In cases of avulsion, they have a bad prognosis. In the case of our patient with an amputation at the palm level and cold ischemia of
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6 hours, it was justified to practice the replantation with an excellent final result.²,⁹,¹¹

Conclusion

Although there is high rate of success in the replantation procedures with microsurgery, in developing countries because of expensive cost of procedures, prolonged incapacity period and rehabilitation, it is not accessible for the population, however, it must be considered in order to restore the function of the extremity.²,⁹,¹² The experts recommendation cannot be absolute, and each patient must be individualized in their management, considering the final functional results that could be obtained.²,¹³
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