Anomalous Rashba spin splitting in two-dimensional hole systems
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It has long been assumed that the inversion asymmetry-induced Rashba spin splitting in two-dimensional (2D) systems at zero magnetic field is proportional to the electric field that characterizes the inversion asymmetry of the confining potential. Here we demonstrate, both theoretically and experimentally, that 2D heavy hole systems in accumulation layer-like single heterostructures show the opposite behavior, i.e., a decreasing, but nonzero electric field results in an increasing Rashba coefficient.
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Spin degeneracy of electron and hole states in a solid stems from the inversion symmetry in space and time. If the spatial inversion symmetry is broken, then there is a splitting of the single particle states even at magnetic field $B = 0$ (Ref. [3]). In quasi two-dimensional (2D) semiconductor structures, the bulk inversion asymmetry (BIA) of the underlying crystal structure (e.g., a zinc blende structure), and the structure inversion asymmetry (SIA) of the confining potential contribute to the $B = 0$ spin splitting. While BIA is fixed, the so-called Rashba spin splitting due to SIA can be tuned by means of external gates that change the electric field $E$ in the sample. The $B = 0$ spin splitting is of significant current interest both because of its fundamental importance as well as its possible device applications.

For many years it has been assumed that the Rashba spin splitting in 2D systems is proportional to the electric field that characterizes the inversion asymmetry of the confining potential. In single heterostructures, where SIA is the dominant source of spin splitting, the electric field is determined by the density-dependent self-consistent potential. One would thus expect that the spin splitting decreases with density, although for 2D electron systems this effect may partly be compensated by many-particle effects that tend to increase the Rashba spin splitting for low densities. Here we demonstrate, both theoretically and experimentally, that 2D heavy hole systems in accumulation layer-like single heterostructures show the opposite behavior, namely, a decreasing, but nonzero electric field results in an increasing Rashba coefficient. Contrary to electrons, however, exchange-correlation effects in the low-density regime decrease the spin splitting. We show that this surprising result is a consequence of heavy hole–light hole (HH-LH) coupling in 2D hole systems. We obtain good qualitative agreement between calculated and measured spin splittings in 2D hole systems in GaAs heterostructures where the density and the spin splitting are varied by means of an external gate. Our results are applicable to many systems, as most III-V semiconductors have essentially the same band structure that is underlying our investigation.

To lowest order of the wave vector $k$ and electric field $E$, the SIA spin splitting of electron states in the $\Gamma_6^c$ conduction band is given by the Rashba term

$$H_{6c}^{SO} = \alpha \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E} \cdot \sigma. \tag{1}$$

Here $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ denotes the Pauli spin matrices and $\alpha$ is a material-specific prefactor. We assume $E = (0, 0, E_z)$. Treating the off-diagonal $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ coupling between electron and hole states by third order L"{o}wdin perturbation theory, we obtain for the Rashba coefficient $\alpha_{\lambda}$ of the lowest electron subband $\lambda = 1$

$$\alpha_1 = \epsilon P^2 a \left( \frac{1}{\Delta_{\lambda 1}^c} \frac{1}{\Delta_{\lambda 2}^c} - \frac{1}{\Delta_{\lambda 1}^c} \frac{1}{\Delta_{\lambda 2}^c} \right). \tag{2}$$

where $P$ is Kane’s momentum matrix element and $\Delta_{\lambda 2}^c \equiv \varepsilon_{\lambda}^c - \varepsilon_{\lambda}^1$ with $\varepsilon_{\lambda}^c$, $\varepsilon_{\lambda}^1$, $\varepsilon_{\lambda}^2$, and $\varepsilon_{\lambda}^3$ the energy of the $\lambda$th electron, HH, LH, and split-off subband, respectively. The numerical prefactor $a$ depends on the geometry of the confining quantum well (QW). In an infinitely deep rectangular QW we have $a = 256/(81 \pi^2)$. According to Eq. (3) we obtain a spin splitting $\pm \alpha_1 E_z k_{||}$ of the subband dispersion $\varepsilon(k_{||})$ that is proportional to the electric field $E_z$ and is linear in the in-plane wave vector $k_{||} = (k_x, k_y, 0)$. A detailed analysis reveals that spin splitting of electron states depends on the electric field $E_z$ in the valence band that differs from the electric field $E_c$ in the conduction band by the contributions of the interfaces. However, the important point here is that in a single heterostructure both $E_v$ and $E_c$ are determined by the self-consistent Hartree potential.

For hole systems in the $\Gamma_8^v$ valence band (point group $T_d$), the dominant contribution to Rashba spin splitting is given by the term

$$H_{8v}^{SO} = \beta \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}, \tag{3}$$

where $\beta$ is a system-dependent prefactor and $\mathbf{J} = (J_x, J_y, J_z)$ are the angular momentum matrices for $j = 3/2$. We neglect here the small corrections in $H_{8v}^{SO}$ due to the $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ coupling to remote bands such as the higher $\Gamma_6^v$ and $\Gamma_5^v$ conduction bands. Quantum confinement reduces the symmetry from the cubic point group $T_d$ to $D_{2d}$. Therefore, the four-fold degeneracy of the $\Gamma_5^v$ band...
with perturbation theory the off-diagonal HH-LH coupling by third order Löwdin \(\beta\) single heterostructures, the subband separations are approximated in \(\{001\}\). The expressions for other growth directions behave rather differently with respect to changes of the point group \(\Gamma\). We will show now that accumulation layer-like single heterostructures \(\Gamma_\alpha\) and \(\Gamma_\beta\) depending on the charges in the system. We will always have a band bending of the order of the fundamental gap so that, for small densities, the Hartree potential and \(\lambda\) are essentially independent of \(N\) and \(E_z\) because the energy gaps \(\Delta^\alpha\) are always of the order of the fundamental gap \(\Delta_0\).

In order to validate these qualitative arguments we present next the results of realistic, fully self-consistent band subband calculations.\[1\] We use an \(8 \times 8\) multiband Hamiltonian\[1\] that includes the lowest conduction band \(\Gamma_\delta\), the topmost valence band \(\Gamma_\alpha\) and the split-off valence band \(\Gamma_\gamma\). The simpler \(4 \times 4\) Luttinger Hamiltonian\[1\] taking into account only the band \(\Gamma_\alpha\) gives essentially the same results. We have checked that higher conduction bands have a minor influence. Many-particle effects are taken into account based on a density-functional approach\[1\]. From these calculations we obtain the difference \(\Delta N = N_+ - N_-\) between the spin subband densities \(N\) as a function of the total density \(N = N_+ + N_-\).

\[\Delta N = N_+ - N_-\]

FIG. 1. (a) Spin splitting \(\Delta N/N\) and (b) effective spin splitting coefficient \((\beta^z E_z)/(\mu_0)\) as a function of \(N\) for a 2D HH system in the accumulation layer of a GaAs-Al\(_{0.5}\)Ga\(_{0.5}\)As single heterostructure on a (001) GaAs substrate, calculated including exchange-correlation (EXC, solid lines) and neglecting exchange-correlation (dashed lines). For the dotted lines see text.

In Fig. 1(a) we show \(\Delta N/N\) calculated as a function of \(N\) for a 2D HH system in the accumulation layer of a GaAs-Al\(_{0.5}\)Ga\(_{0.5}\)As single heterostructure on a (001) GaAs substrate\[1\]. From \(N = 5 \times 10^{11}\) cm\(^{-2}\) to \(1 \times 10^{12}\) cm\(^{-2}\) the parameter \(r_s\), the Coulomb energy to Fermi energy ratio, increases from 4.3 to 17. Therefore, one can expect that many-particle effects are quite important in this regime of densities \(N\). Indeed, we find that taking into account exchange-correlation (solid lines) reduces \(\Delta N/N\) as opposed to a calculation without exchange-correlation (dashed lines). This behavior,
which is opposite to 2D electron systems, can be traced back to the fact that exchange-correlation increases the subband spacings, so that the Rashba coefficient $\beta_1^h$ is reduced, in agreement with Eq. (6).

It is convenient to characterize our numerical results in terms of an effective Rashba coefficient $\langle \beta_h^h E_z \rangle$ (Ref. 25). Assuming that the spin-split HH subband dispersion is approximately of the form $E_{\pm}(k_{||}) = (\mu_h) k_{||}^2 \pm \langle \beta_h^h E_z \rangle k_{||}^3$, where $\mu_h$ (times $2\hbar^2$) is the reciprocal effective mass, we have

$$\langle \beta_h^h E_z \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \langle \mu_h \rangle \frac{N (\sqrt{N + \Delta N} - \sqrt{N - \Delta N}) + \Delta N (\sqrt{N + \Delta N} + \sqrt{N - \Delta N})}{6 N^2 + 2 \Delta N^2}. \quad (6)$$

Figure (b) shows that $\langle \beta_h^h E_z \rangle / \langle \mu_h \rangle$ increases when $N$ is reduced.

For comparison, we have calculated $\Delta N/N$ for a 2D electron system in the accumulation layer of a Ga$_{0.47}$In$_{0.53}$As-Al$_{0.47}$In$_{0.53}$As single heterostructure [Fig. 2(a)]. Here spin splitting is given by Eq. (6). Therefore, the spin-split subband dispersion is approximately of the form $E_{\pm}(k_{||}) = (\mu_h) k_{||}^2 \pm \langle \alpha_1 E_z \rangle k_{||}$, and we obtain similarly to Eq. (6) (Ref. 26)

$$\langle \alpha_1 E_z \rangle = \sqrt{2\pi} \langle \mu_c \rangle (\sqrt{N + \Delta N} - \sqrt{N - \Delta N}). \quad (7)$$

In Fig. 2(b) it can be seen that, in contrast to Fig. 2(a), the spin splitting coefficient $\langle \alpha_1 E_z \rangle / \langle \mu_c \rangle$ decreases rapidly with decreasing $N$. We remark that unlike the HH system in Fig. 1, exchange correlation has only a weak influence on the electron system in Fig. 2 (Ref. 27).
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**FIG. 2.** (a) Spin splitting $\Delta N/N$ and (b) effective spin splitting coefficient $\langle \alpha_1 E_z \rangle / \langle \mu_c \rangle$ as a function of $N$ for a 2D electron system in the accumulation layer of a Ga$_{0.47}$In$_{0.53}$As-Al$_{0.47}$In$_{0.53}$As single heterostructure (solid lines). For the dotted lines see text.

To further analyze our numerical results, we define an effective electric field $\langle E_z \rangle = \langle \partial_z V_H(z) \rangle$, where $V_H(z)$ is the Hartree potential without the effective potential due to the position-dependent band edges. In an accumulation layer the contribution of the space charge layer to $V_H(z)$ is very small. It follows then, by partial integration of the Poisson equation, that $\langle E_z \rangle = e/(2\varepsilon \varepsilon_0) N$, where $\varepsilon$ is the dielectric constant and the expectation value refers to the 2D charge density that gives rise to $V_H(z)$. Using these values for $\langle \alpha_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \mu_c \rangle = 89$ eV Å$^2$ we obtain $\langle \alpha_1 \rangle \approx 34.3$ eV Å$^2$ independent of $N$, consistent with Eq. (6). This implies that in Fig. 2(b) the drastic change of $\langle \alpha_1 E_z \rangle / \langle \mu_c \rangle$ merely reflects the change of the electric field $\langle E_z \rangle$. On the other hand, the weak variation of $\langle \beta_h^h E_z \rangle / \langle \mu_h \rangle$ in Fig. 2(b) indicates that the “bare” Rashba coefficient $\langle \beta_1^h \rangle$ increases by a factor of 250 when $N$ is lowered from $5 \times 10^{10}$ to $1 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Ref. 28). This is in good, qualitative agreement with the analytical model discussed above that predicts an increase of $\beta_1^h$ by a factor of $50^{4/3} \approx 184$. Note that for low densities the third order perturbation approach, that underlies Eqs. (6) and (7), breaks down because the subbands are merging together so that higher order corrections become important. These higher order terms are fully taken into account in our numerical calculations. If the density $N$ is reduced below $10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$ the Hartree potential and spin splitting are ultimately controlled by the fixed concentration of minority impurities.

It is interesting to compare the spin splittings in accumulation layers with those in QW’s where $E_z$ is tuned externally, e.g., by means of gates. The dotted lines in Figs. 2 and 3 show the calculated results for a 200 Å wide rectangular QW where the external electric field $E_{\text{ext}}$ was chosen according to $E_{\text{ext}}(N) = e/(2\varepsilon \varepsilon_0) N$. In an electron system (Fig. 3) we obtain spin splittings very close to the results for the accumulation layer. In particular, we have $\langle \alpha_1 \rangle \approx 30.6$ eV Å$^2$ independent of $N$. Similarly, for a 2D HH system in a QW (Fig. 3) and $N \lesssim 1 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ we obtain $\langle \beta_h^h \rangle \approx 7.54 \times 10^6$ eV Å$^4$. For larger densities higher order corrections in $e_{\text{ext}}^h(k_{||})$ become important. Since in QW’s the subband spacings are essentially determined by the QW width (i.e., are independent of $N$) this is consistent with Eq. (6). These calculations also indicate that for 2D HH systems in a QW, spin splitting becomes negligible in the regime of low densities, which is due to the fact that spin splitting of $E_{\pm}^h(k_{||})$ is proportional to $k_{||}^3$. However, for 2D HH systems in single heterostructures, spin splitting can be very important in the low-density regime. We note that inversion layers give results similar to QW’s, but the specific numbers depend on the details of the doping profile.

In order to reinforce our conclusions, we present next a comparison between measured and calculated spin splittings in a GaAs-Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$As single heterostructure grown on a nominally undoped (311)A GaAs substrate with a weak $p$-type background doping. A back gate
was used to tune the density $N$ from $1.8 \times 10^{10}$ to $4.2 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$. To measure the spin subband densities $N_{\pm}$, the Shubnikov-de Haas (SdH) oscillations at low magnetic fields $B$ were examined at a temperature $T \simeq 50$ mK (see inset of Fig. 3). The frequencies $f_{\text{SdH}}$ of these oscillations are a measure of the zero-B spin splitting\[\text{[3]}\]. In Fig. 3(a) we present the measured and calculated spin subband densities exhibiting remarkably close agreement. Fig. 3(b) shows $\langle \beta_{Ez}^{z} \rangle / (\mu_{h})$ determined by means of Eq. (7). On average, $\langle \beta_{Ez}^{z} \rangle / (\mu_{h})$ increases as the density is reduced. Taking into account the orders-of-magnitude change that we have for $\langle \beta_{Ez}^{z} \rangle / (\mu_{h})$ in QW’s and for $\langle \alpha_{1} E_{z} \rangle / (\mu_{c})$ in electron systems, the agreement between experiment and theory is quite satisfactory.\[\text{[4]}\] We wish to emphasize that it is indeed the anomalous enhancement of the Rashba coefficient in 2D HH systems in accumulation layer-like single heterostructures that allows us to experimentally resolve the spin splitting in this density regime; data on QW samples with comparable densities reveal no measurable spin splitting\[\text{[5]}\].

Support from NSF, DOE, and Humboldt Foundation are gratefully acknowledged.

FIG. 3. Measured (circles) and calculated (solid lines) spin subband densities $N_{\pm}$ (a) and effective spin splitting coefficient $\langle \beta_{Ez}^{z} \rangle / (\mu_{h})$ (b) as a function of density $N = N_{+} + N_{-}$ for a 2D HH system at a GaAs-Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$As single heterostructure on a nominally undoped (311)A GaAs substrate with a weak $p$-type background doping. The inset shows the measured magnetoresistance $R_{xx}$ as a function of magnetic field $B$ (upper part) and the Fourier transform (FT) of $R_{xx}$ (lower part) for $N = 2.75 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$.
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