Hydrochemical and Stable Isotope Characteristics of Lake Water and Groundwater in the Beiluhe Basin, Qinghai–Tibet Plateau
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Abstract: Thermokarst lakes are a ubiquitous landscape feature that impact the thermal state, hydrological process, ecological environment, and engineering stability of the permafrost. This study established the hydrochemistry and stable isotope (δ18O and δD) variations of lake water and groundwater in a typical basin located in the central Qinghai–Tibet Plateau (QTP) of China. The results showed that most water samples could be classified as slightly alkaline, with high levels of salinity and hardness, while the dominant water types were HCO3–CO3 and Cl types. Natural hydrochemical processes, such as mineral dissolution, cation exchange, and groundwater evaporation, had strong impacts on the groundwater chemistry in this region. Dissolution of halite and carbonate minerals causes the major reactions controlling water chemistry in this basin. Additionally, the calculation of the saturation index (SI) values suggested that aragonite, calcite, and dolomite are saturated, while halite is not. Based on the analysis of the stable isotope characteristics, atmospheric precipitation, groundwater, and meltwater from the permafrost are the major sources of thermokarst lakes. Moreover, the evaporation-to-inflow ratio (E/I) indicated that all of the lakes continuously expanded and rapidly developed. Overall, groundwater is an crucial source of lake recharge and its hydrochemical characteristics also have a certain impact on lake water quality.
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1. Introduction

The Qinghai–Tibet Plateau (QTP), known as the “third pole” of the earth, is experiencing a faster and more sensitive increase in temperatures on account of climate change than other regions at similar latitudes [1]. Permafrost degradation in the QTP resulting from global warming in recent decades has considerably affected the hydrological and ecological processes [2]. Numerous studies have emphasized that it has led to variations in hydrological conditions and redistribution of the subsurface water in the QTP [3–5]. As a result of global warming and the spread of human engineering, the thickness of the active layers is increasing, while the underlying permafrost is disappearing. In the freezing and thawing process, underground ice undergoes continuous melting and freezing in the permafrost active layer, which reduces the stability of important projects in the QTP. Many geological landscape and disasters, such as thermokarst lakes (Figure 1a), thermokarst collapse (Figure 1b), and thermokarst flow, occur as a result of such transformations.
Thermokarst lakes, which are ubiquitous in this landscape, are widely distributed in the ice-rich permafrost layer, for example in high mountains at a low latitude or pan-Arctic lowlands, such as in Siberia, Alaska, and Canada [4,5]. Thermokarst lakes were formed by the melting of massive amounts of ground ice or the thawing of ice-rich permafrost, followed by local ground subsidence and water collection in the created depressions [6]. Thermokarst lakes and their variations have a significant influence on the thermal state, hydrological processes, ecological environment, and engineering stability of the permafrost. Recent studies have identified thermokarst lakes as one of the extremely important greenhouse gas sources in nature [7–9]. A holonomic and significant mutual feed exists between thermokarst lakes and the global climate system, which is considered to be an indicator of climate and environmental changes. Therefore, studies on thermokarst lakes have contributed considerably to permafrost research in recent years [7–9]. These research studies covered the isotopic hydrology of thermokarst lakes and pointed out that the seasonal variation of stable isotope concentrations in the permanent lagoon is significantly smaller than that in the seasonal lagoon. However, knowledge of fundamental hydrochemical characteristics in the permafrost area is limited, affecting the cognition and prediction of the regional hydrological cycle [9].

Studies on hydrochemistry and stable isotopes play a critical role in indicating both water origin and interactions of groundwater and surface water, thus making them significant in regional water resource management and economic development. The characteristics of water chemistry record information related to groundwater recharge source, migration, and circulation to some extent [10]. Therefore, understanding the hydrochemical characteristics of groundwater is key in determining groundwater quality and its recharge process [11]. Traditional hydrochemical methods such as Piper maps and Gibbs maps have been widely used to determine the hydrochemical characteristics and evolution of groundwater [12–16]. Stable isotope geochemistry plays a critical role in monitoring climate change with an accurate recording of paleoenvironment variations [17,18]. The δD and $\delta^{18}$O (which are both stable isotope tracers, effectively applying for identifying hydrological conditions) values are significantly affected by variations in the water source, topography, precipitation, and hydrological and climatic processes in both lakes and surrounding groundwater [19]. Thus, stable isotopes of δD and $\delta^{18}$O have a wide range of applications in evaluating the source and recharge of groundwater and indicating the interaction between groundwater and surface water in different regions. The δD and $\delta^{18}$O values and ion concentrations are useful tools for studying the hydrological processes of lakes on the Tibetan Plateau [20–22]. Wang et al. (2018) established stable isotope variations in three lakes of the QTP, suggesting that $\delta^{18}$O values were dominated by the evaporation/freshwater input ratios [20]. Gao et al. (2018) investigated a large number of thermokarst lakes and ponds in the QTP and found that the mean isotope compositions in these thermokarst lakes were $-7.2\%$o in $\delta^{18}$O and $-58.0\%$o in δD [21]. Yang et al. (2016) demonstrated that thermokarst lake water was contributed at a rate of 61.3% by the melting of ice-rich permafrost [21]. However, monitoring the lake hydrology in the QTP has proven to be difficult owing to logistical challenges and some uncertainties in the field.
while surveying [6]. Despite extensive studies having focused on hydrochemical processes and the stable isotope geochemistry of groundwater and lake water, the fundamental mechanisms responsible for the development and progression of thermokarst lakes from the above two perspective have not yet been fully elucidated.

In this study, a typical complete watershed with a considerable distribution of thermokarst lakes in the Beiluhe Basin (BLB), where many sites have been set up, was considered as the research object. Specifically, we attempted to compare the degree of increase in $\delta^D$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values to identify the relationship between the groundwater and lake water. Additionally, the development state of the lake could be determined by calculating the evaporation-to-inflow ratios (E/I) of different lakes. The goal was to clarify the main hydrological and geochemical effects of thermokarst lakes during the melting of the frozen soil in summer, which was analyzed on the basis of the different ion proportions of the dissolved mineral components of the groundwater and lake water.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

2.1.1. Physiography and Meteorology

The hydrological regimes of thermokarst lakes in the QTP are expected to be varied and complex as a direct result of global warming, so studies need to be conducted at lake-specific levels to improve the comprehensive science-based monitoring programs for adequate assessment of the water balance and hydrological processes in the QTP [6]. Therefore, this study was conducted in the BLB, which is a typical complete watershed with a large distribution of thermokarst lakes and is located in Yushu Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture, Qinghai Province, China (Figure 2).

![Location of the study area](image)

Figure 2. Location of the study area.

The BLB extends 4.17 km south to north and 2.72 km west to east, covering 11.52 km$^2$. The BLB has a semi-arid climate and is in the sub-cold zone of the QTP, with strong solar radiation and sufficient
sunlight; the annual sunshine hours are between 2200 and 2900 h. The mean annual average ground temperature varies from \(-1.8\) to \(-0.5\) °C and has risen at a rate of \(0.03\) °C/a. According to the measured data from the Wudaoliang and Tuotuohe meteorological stations, the mean annual evaporation in the study area is 274.7 mm, and the high evaporation rates—the annual average evaporation is 1421.4 mm—are attributed to the westerly cold and dry air masses [23]. The study region is located in the permafrost area, with an active layer thickness of 1.5–2.5 m and permafrost layer thickness of 30–100 m. Precipitation mainly consists of rainfall, snow, and hail, most of which occurs from June to September.

2.1.2. Local Geology and Hydrogeology

From top to bottom, the lithology of the sedimentary strata in the study area is as follows (Figure 3)—the Quaternary Holocene alluvial layer and the Neogene lacustrine sedimentary layer. The upper part of the Quaternary Holocene alluvial layer is composed of silt with a fine sand layer; it is brownish-red in color, with a thickness of about 0.5–3.5 m. Furthermore, the lower part is composed of a clay layer with a brownish-red color and a thickness of 1 m. In addition, the Neogene lacustrine sedimentary layer consists of mudstone and sandstone. Mudstone, the dominant lithology, is brownish-red with strong weathering and good fracture development. Sandstone, which is occasionally in the middle of the mudstone, is brownish-red, intensely weathered, and mostly calcareous argillaceous cementation. The depth of the upper permafrost limit is generally between 1.5 and 2.5 m, with a thickness of about 10–50 m.

![Figure 3. Hydrogeological cross section.](image)

According to the relationship between the aquifer and the multi-year permafrost layer [24], the permafrost water is divided into the (A) upper permafrost water, (B) middle permafrost water, and (C) bottom permafrost water (Figure 4). The upper permafrost water is the most widely distributed groundwater in the study region, which has dynamic characteristics with very obvious seasonal changes. The thickness of the aquifer is generally 1–2 m and the specific discharge is generally 0.2–10 L/s. The water sampling depth is between 2 and 3 m because of the limitations of the arduous sampling conditions, which are closely related to the lake. Therefore, the groundwater mentioned hereinafter refers to the upper water.
Permafrost aquifers are mainly recharged by atmospheric precipitation and melted snow, which are primarily controlled by the topography of the area. The groundwater in the area mainly evaporates and discharges in the form of springs into the thermokarst lake. Additionally, a small amount of water is discharged into the bottom permafrost through the direct contact channel.

2.2. Sampling and Analysis

The thermokarst lake is distributed in the QTP, which has an average altitude of over 4000 m above mean sea level (m.s.l.) with a cold climate [26–28], making it difficult for sampling. In order to investigate the hydrological dynamics of the lakes and groundwater, a total of 51 water samples (including 16 lake water samples, 11 groundwater samples, 1 rain sample, and 23 isotopic water samples) were acquired from 11 boreholes and 8 lakes spanning the permafrost regions of the BLB (Figure 5). Limited by the field conditions, the sampling depth was generally 2–3 m. All groundwater samples were upper permafrost water and closely related to lake water. Each water sample was collected in two 550 mL polyethylene (PE) bottles and each isotopic sample was collected in two 80 mL high-density polyethylene (HDPE) bottles. All samples were taken and stored according to the corresponding method as per, “Water Quality Sampling—Technical Regulation of the Preservation and Handling of Samples (2019)”, published by the Ministry of Environmental Protection of the P.R.C.
The pH values of all of the samples were analyzed on site using a pH acidimeter (PHS_3E), while the concentrations of cations (K\(^+\), Na\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\), NH\(_4^+\), and Mg\(^{2+}\)), anions (CO\(_3^{2-}\), HCO\(_3^-\), F\(^-\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), NO\(_3^-\), NO\(_2^-\), and Cl\(^-\)), total hardness (TH), and total dissolved solids (TDS) were computed at the Water and Soil Testing Center of Shaanxi Institute of Engineering Prospecting Co. Ltd. (SIEP), China. The concentrations of cations were determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy, with an accuracy error rate of less than 1% (atomic absorption spectrophotometer, TAS990). Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), and TH concentrations were calculated at the laboratory center of the Xi'an Geological Survey Center (Ministry of Natural Resources of China), using a D/MAX 2500 X-ray diffractometer. Further, all of the isotopic water samples were transported for analysis of water-stable isotopes (\(\delta^{18}\)O) and were analyzed with a Picarro L2140-i isotopic analyzer, for which the measurement accuracy values for \(\delta^{18}\)O can reach 0.5 and 0.1‰, respectively.

The particle sizes of all of the borehole soil samples were confirmed by using the Bettersize 2000 laser particle size analyzer, for which the range of determination is 0.02–2000 µm, the accuracy error is less than 1%, and the Mie scattering theory was applied. The mineral composition was determined at the laboratory center of the Xi’an Geological Survey Center (Ministry of Natural Resources of China), using a D/MAX 2500 X-ray diffractometer. Further, all of the isotopic water samples were transported for analysis of water-stable isotopes (\(\delta^D\) and \(\delta^{18}\)O) and were analyzed with a Picarro L2140-i isotopic analyzer, for which the measurement accuracy values for \(\delta^D\) and \(\delta^{18}\)O can reach 0.5 and 0.1‰, respectively.

A detailed classification laboratory analysis is the primary step, using the Piper diagrams and Durov diagrams. Using data from the Gibbs diagram, ion ratios, and the saturation index collectively, one may assess the impact of the hydrochemical process on the groundwater and lake water. Furthermore, analysis of isotopes could be especially useful in order to verify the evaporation intensity of lakes.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Major Ion Chemistry

Statistical analysis of the major ions is the basis of understanding the hydrochemical characteristics of water [29]. Statistical analysis results for the physicochemical parameters of the major ions are shown in Table 1.

| Parameter | Groundwater | Lake Water | Precipitation |
|-----------|-------------|------------|---------------|
|           | Min        | Max        | Mean          | Min | Max | Mean |
| K⁺        | 3.08       | 13.7       | 6.34          | 2.02 | 6.288 | 3.37 |
| Na⁺       | 111        | 397        | 187.36        | 22.5 | 400.25 | 132.06 |
| Ca²⁺      | 58.1       | 160        | 110.97        | 27.05 | 42.1 | 34.34 |
| Mg²⁺      | 18.2       | 115        | 56.16         | 15.1 | 92.63 | 41.19 |
| NH₄⁺      | 0.031      | 0.26       | 0.18          | 0.03 L | 0.31 | 0.17 |
| Cl⁻       | 128        | 851        | 284.73        | 28.4 | 680 | 213.27 |
| HCO₃⁻     | 293        | 781        | 507.55        | 170 | 256 | 216.38 |
| SO₄²⁻     | 9.61       | 134        | 56.66         | 4.8 | 31.2 | 10.7 |
| F⁻        | 0.28       | 0.76       | 0.45          | 0.2 | 0.45 | 0.27 |
| TDS       | 468        | 1780       | 956.9         | 204 | 1420 | 563 |
| pH        | 7.24       | 8.07       | 7.55          | 8.09 | 9.43 | 9 |

Overall, mean concentrations of the major ions in groundwater samples are higher than lake water. Na⁺, which ranged from 111 to 397 mg/L for groundwater and 22.5 to 400.25 mg/L for lake water, is the dominant cation in the study region. Both dissolutions of halite and cation exchange can increase the concentration of Na⁺. After Na⁺, Ca²⁺ is the second-largest cation of the study region, with mean concentrations of 110.97 mg/L for groundwater and 34.34 mg/L for lake water. The maximum Ca²⁺ concentration was observed from location ZK03 at 160 mg/L. Classically, the dissolution of dolomite, limestone, and lime colloid in rocks is the ultimate origin of Ca²⁺. In the study region, the calcareous cement, which is mainly composed of calcite, can be dissolved due to interaction with the groundwater. Thus, the dissolution of calcareous cement is the main source of the Ca²⁺ ion content in groundwater here. The concentrations of Mg²⁺ ranged between 18.2 and 115 mg/L for groundwater, and between 15.1 and 92.63 mg/L for lake water. In total, the mean concentrations of cations in the groundwater samples were in the order of Na⁺ > Ca²⁺ > Mg²⁺ > K⁺, which is consistent with the lake water.

HCO₃⁻ ranged from 293 mg/L to 781 mg/L for groundwater and from 170 mg/L to 256 mg/L for lake water, with mean values of 507.55 and 216.38 mg/L, respectively. Thus, HCO₃⁻, which was taken as the dominant anion in water samples, is several orders of magnitude larger than other anions, such as SO₄²⁻ and F⁻. The variation of HCO₃⁻ in groundwater tends to be consistent with that of Mg²⁺, which almost comes from dissolution of carbonate. The concentrations of Cl⁻ were between 128 and 851 mg/L for groundwater, and between 28.4 and 680 mg/L for lake water. CO₃²⁻, NO₃⁻, and NO₂⁻ were not included in the tables because their concentrations were substantially lower than the detection limit. Furthermore, the box line diagram of the ion concentrations in the groundwater and lake water is shown to understand the variations in the major ion concentrations (Figure 6).
TDS and pH are two important indices in assessing water quality [30]. From Table 1, the mean pH value of groundwater samples is 7.55 (i.e., weakly alkaline), while for lake water is 9. The TDS is lower in the northern part than in the south, and the highest is 1780 mg/L at ZK03, suggesting that the water chemistry reaction is more intense in the surrounding area.

3.2. Water Types

The Piper diagram and Durov diagram are both simple and widely utilized methods for determining the type of water [31]. A large number of water quality analysis data can be directly projected on the Piper diagram and the distribution of water sample points can be observed directly, which facilitates the analysis and interpretation of hydrogeochemical problems. The Piper diagram (Figure 7) indicates whether HCO$_3$-CO$_3$ type or Cl type are present in both the groundwater and lake water. Furthermore, there is no dominant cation type in the groundwater, while in the lake water there is either no dominant type or the Na type is dominant.

Figure 6. Box line diagram of major ions concentrations of groundwater (a) and lake water (b). (Since there is little difference in the other ion concentrations between the groundwater and lake water, only Na, Mg, Cl, and TDS data are selected for plotting.)

Figure 7. Piper graph.
In order to directly analyze the basic hydrochemical characteristics of lakes, seven conventional ions, TDS, and pH indices were projected on the Durov diagram (Figure 8). The Durov diagram of the groundwater indicates that most of the southern groundwater samples correspond to the Cl–Na type, while most of the northern and central groundwater samples correspond to the HCO$_3$–CO$_3$–Na type. Furthermore, most of the southern lake water samples correspond to the Cl–Na type, while most of the northern and central lake water samples correspond to the HCO$_3$–CO$_3$–Na type (Figure 9).

3.3. Processes Influence Water Chemistry

The Gibbs diagram is regarded as an effective method used to identify the influencing factors of the water hydrochemistry through comparing the concentration of TDS versus the weight ratios of Na$^+$/({Na$^+$} + Ca$^{2+}$) or TDS versus the weight ratios of Cl$^-$/({Cl$^-$} + HCO$_3^-$) [32]. The Gibbs diagram (Figure 10) suggested that the results for all groundwater samples are concentrated in the middle and upper parts of the Gibbs diagram, corresponding to evaporative crystallization and rock weathering.
Results for the water samples of L01, L03, and L05 lakes correspond to evaporative crystallization and rock weathering. Further, the results for L02 and L04 lakes are located near the area corresponding to the evaporative crystallization (outside the distribution curve), while those for L07 and L08 lakes are located in the area corresponding to rock weathering.

![Figure 9. Lake water Durov graph.](image_url)

3.3. Processes Influence Water Chemistry

The Gibbs diagram is regarded as an effective method used to identify the influencing factors of the water hydrochemistry through comparing the concentration of TDS versus the weight ratios of Na\(^+\)/(Na\(^+\)+Ca\(^{2+}\)) or TDS versus the weight ratios of Cl\(^-\)/(Cl\(^-\)+HCO\(_3^-\)) \[32\]. The Gibbs diagram (Figure 10) suggested that the results for all groundwater samples are concentrated in the middle and upper parts of the Gibbs diagram, corresponding to evaporative crystallization and rock weathering.

![Figure 10. Gibbs diagrams of (a) Na\(^+\)/(Na\(^+\)+Ca\(^{2+}\)) and (b) Cl\(^-\)/(Cl\(^-\)+HCO\(_3^-\)).](image_url)

Ion exchange was also investigated by using the chloroalkaline indices (CAI-I and CAI-II) proposed by Schoeller \[33\]. If both CAI-I and CAI-II are negative, there is a positive reaction of cationic alternating adsorption in groundwater. Contrarily, if CAI-I and CAI-II are both positive, the inverse reaction of cationic alternating adsorption occurs in groundwater.

\[
\text{CAI-I} = \frac{\text{Cl}^- - (\text{Na}^+ + \text{K}^+)}{\text{Cl}^-} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{CAI-II} = \frac{\text{Cl}^- - (\text{Na}^+ + \text{K}^+)}{\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{NO}_3^-} \tag{2}
\]

The calculation results (Figures 11 and 12) indicate that the directions of alternating adsorption of cations in different areas of groundwater are different. The reverse reaction of the alternate adsorption of the cations occurred in the southern areas with higher concentrations of TDS and Na\(^+\), while the positive reaction of the alternate adsorption of the cations occurred in the areas where the concentrations of the TDS and Na\(^+\) are lower in the southern, central, and northern regions (ZK09). However, there is little alternating adsorption of cations in other regions in the north. The general cation exchange capacity of the lake water is lower than that of the groundwater, but the reaction direction of adsorption in the case of lake water is the same as that in the case of groundwater, except in the case of L01.
A comparison of the hydrochemical characteristics of lakes and groundwater shows that CO$_{3}^{2-}$ is present in lakes, but not in all groundwater samples. In contrast to the groundwater, most lakes in the southern region show the inverse reaction of cationic alternating adsorption because of the limited contact between lakes and surrounding media, but for which the exchange capacity is much lower than that of the groundwater. In addition, there is almost no alternating adsorption of cations for lakes in the central and northern regions. The CO$_{3}^{2-}$ content of lake water samples at 5.6 °C is significantly lower than that at 20 °C. Additionally, the average CO$_{3}^{2-}$ concentration of the lake water samples is 22.4 mg/L, but no CO$_{3}^{2-}$ is not detected in groundwater samples.

Ion ratio graphs have commonly been used to further determine the formation process and main hydrochemical components of the water samples [32]. The ion ratios of groundwater and lake water are shown in Figures 13 and 14, respectively.
Figure 13. Bivariate diagrams of ionic concentrations in groundwater.
3.3.1. Na/Cl

The Na/Cl ratio is a measure of the extent of salt leaching and accumulation, which is used to measure the degree of Na enrichment in groundwater. The groundwater Na/Cl ratio in both the southern and northern runoff areas is close to 1, where halite dissolution mainly occurs. The Na/Cl...
ratios in the central area are between 1.47 and 1.75. A comparison of the northern and southern regions shows that Na\(^+\) concentrations may be affected by the alternate adsorption of the cations. Moreover, the Na/Cl ratio in the lake water is almost close to 1, suggesting that only halite dissolution occurs.

3.3.2. (Ca + Mg)/HCO\(_3^-\)

The (Ca + Mg)/HCO\(_3^-\) ratio is an indicator of whether hydrochemical processes other than carbonate dissolution occur in groundwater. The (Ca + Mg)/HCO\(_3^-\) ratios in ZK03 and ZK07 are much greater than 1, indicating that both carbonate dissolution and the inverse reaction of alternate adsorption of cations occur. The (Ca + Mg)/HCO\(_3^-\) ratio at ZK09 is slightly greater than 1. Further, the SO\(_4^{2-}\) concentration is high, which is because of the dissolution of gypsum and carbonate. Except for ZK03, ZK07, and ZK09, the (Ca + Mg)/HCO\(_3^-\) ratios are close to 1, indicating that carbonate dissolution mainly occurs there. Carbonate dissolution mainly occurred in the areas where water samples of L01, L03, L05, L06, L07, and L08 were collected. Carbonate dissolution in the L02 and L04 water samples also resulted in the reverse reaction of the alternate adsorption of the cations. The (Ca + Mg)/HCO\(_3^-\) results and soluble SiO\(_2\) concentrations in the whole study area show that silicate dissolution occurs in the groundwater.

3.3.3. Ca/Mg and Ca/HCO\(_3^-\)

The concentration of Mg\(^{2+}\) is higher than that of Ca\(^{2+}\) at ZK09, suggesting that dolomite and gypsum are the main dissolved minerals. The Ca/Mg ratios for ZK05, ZK06, ZK08, and ZK10 are close to 1, while the Ca/HCO\(_3^-\) ratios are close to 0.5, suggesting that the ratios are only affected by dolomite dissolution in these areas. The Ca/Mg ratios of ZK01, ZK04, and ZK11 are 1.94, 1.38, and 1.93, respectively, and the Ca/HCO\(_3^-\) ratios are 0.60, 0.78, and 0.44, respectively, which confirms that dolomite and calcite dissolution occurred in these areas.

The Ca/Mg ratios for L06 and L07 are about 1, while the ratios for other lake water samples are less than 1. Furthermore, the Ca/(HCO\(_3^- + CO_3^{2-}\)) ratios of L06 and L07 are close to 0.5, whereas those of other lakes are less than 0.5. These results show that dolomite dissolution is the main process in all of the lakes in the study region.

3.3.4. HCO\(_3^-\)/Cl

In the southern region, with the decrease in TDS, the HCO\(_3^-\)/Cl ratio increases, while the ratios for the lake water samples are in the range of 0.28–2.04. Furthermore, for L05, L07, and L08, the ratios are less than 1, indicating that the main anion in the groundwater and lake water in these areas is Cl\(^-\). The HCO\(_3^-\)/Cl ratios in the central region and the northern region are greater than 1; in L01, L02, L03, L04, and L06, the ratios are greater than 1, indicating that HCO\(_3^-\) is the main anion.

3.3.5. Ca/SO\(_4^2-\)

The Ca/SO\(_4^2-\) ratio at ZK09 alone is less than 1/3, while the ratio in other areas is greater than or equal to 1/3. Thus, there is a small amount of gypsum dissolution in only some regions of the study area. From the Piper diagram (Figure 7), it can be seen that the Mg equivalent percentage of SO\(_4^{2-}\) in the lake water is almost 0, which suggests that almost no gypsum dissolution occurs in the lakes.

According to the analysis of the ion proportion coefficient mentioned above, the main hydrochemistry in the study area involves weathering, dissolution, precipitation, cationic alternating adsorption, and evaporation. To further understand the thermodynamic process in water, saturation index (SI) is used frequently due to its convenience for mineral equilibrium calculations. The SI values for each mineral are listed in Tables 2 and 3.
Table 2. Saturation index values of minerals in groundwater.

| Groundwater | Anhydrite | Aragonite | Calcite | Dolomite | Gypsum | Halite |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|--------|--------|
| ZK01        | −0.14     | 2.94      | 3.1     | 5.52     | 0.11   | −3.73  |
| ZK02        | 0.3       | 2.7       | 2.86    | 5.13     | 0.54   | −3.3   |
| ZK03        | 0.37      | 2.82      | 2.98    | 5.61     | 0.6    | −2.4   |
| ZK04        | 0.04      | 2.79      | 2.95    | 5.36     | 0.29   | −3.19  |
| ZK05        | 0.21      | 2.94      | 3.1     | 5.65     | 0.45   | −3.51  |
| ZK06        | 0.15      | 2.87      | 3.02    | 5.48     | 0.39   | −3.51  |
| ZK07        | 0.58      | 2.75      | 2.91    | 5.41     | 0.82   | −2.84  |
| ZK08        | 0.29      | 2.68      | 2.84    | 5.08     | 0.54   | −3.57  |
| ZK09        | 0.51      | 2.6       | 2.75    | 5.34     | 0.75   | −3.28  |
| ZK10        | 0.09      | 2.97      | 3.12    | 5.81     | 0.33   | −3.59  |
| ZK11        | −0.39     | 2.64      | 2.8     | 4.89     | −0.15  | −3.47  |

Table 3. Saturation index values of minerals in lake water.

| Lake | Anhydrite | Aragonite | Calcite | Dolomite | Gypsum | Halite |
|------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|--------|--------|
| L01  | −0.79     | 3.16      | 3.31    | 5.97     | −0.55  | −3.65  |
| L02  | −0.78     | 3.26      | 3.40    | 6.56     | −0.54  | −3.06  |
| L03  | −0.97     | 2.88      | 3.03    | 6.00     | −0.73  | −3.76  |
| L04  | −0.58     | 3.26      | 3.41    | 6.85     | −0.35  | −2.51  |
| L05  | −0.99     | 3.11      | 3.26    | 6.10     | −0.75  | −3.63  |
| L06  | −0.96     | 3.01      | 3.16    | 5.40     | −0.71  | −5.04  |
| L07  | −0.93     | 2.97      | 3.14    | 5.41     | −0.69  | −4.46  |
| L08  | −1.23     | 3.245     | 3.395   | 6.33     | −0.99  | −4.18  |

There is no significant difference in the dissolution degrees of the groundwater minerals, except gypsum and anhydrite. Anhydrite and gypsum are basically in equilibrium in the groundwater in the study area. Aragonite, calcite, and dolomite all reach saturation, while halite does not. These results, along with the ion ratio analysis results, indicate that the dolomite dissolution is the main groundwater process in the area. The main dissolved minerals in lake water are halite and dolomite, with a small amount of gypsum and anhydrite dissolution evident in some areas.

3.4. Stable Isotope Characteristics

Stable isotopes have been widely used to explore the sources of various water bodies and to study the quantitative relationship between groundwater and surface water [7,34,35]. The statistical analysis results for isotopic content detection are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Statistical analysis results for δD and δ18O contents in lakes and groundwater.

| Index | Lake Water | Groundwater |
|-------|------------|-------------|
|       | δ18O | δD | δ18O | δD |
| Minimum | −9.79 | −70.563 | −9.26 | −64.114 |
| Maximum | −4.574 | −43.951 | −4.218 | −36.372 |
| Mean   | −8.453 | −60.644 | −6.01 | −45.718 |

According to the global precipitation data in 1961, Craig proposed that there is a certain linear relationship between the contents of δD and δ18O in the precipitation, as follows [36]:

\[ \delta D = 8\delta^{18}O + 10 \] (3)

Obviously, the variations of δD and δ18O contents are almost synchronous during the formation of atmospheric precipitation. The δD value is about 8 times higher than that of δ18O, which is mainly
caused by the dynamic fractionation during evaporation. The contents of δD and δ18O for precipitation in different regions are affected by many factors, such as temperature, continental changes, rainfall, dimensions, and mountain shielding, suggesting that there are different linear relationships between δD and δ18O contents [6,16,31,36,37].

Precipitation in the study region will be fractionated to different degrees during the formation process due to the special geographical location and topography characteristics, resulting in the local meteoric water line (LMWL) here being significantly different from the global meteoric water line (GMWL) (Figure 15) [21,32]. Based on the precipitation isotopic data for the BLB collected from Wudaoliang and Tuotuohe meteorological stations, the equation for the summer atmospheric waterline in Beiluhe is obtained as follows [36]:

$$\delta D = 7.82\delta^{18}O + 15.52$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The atmospheric precipitation δ18O is −12.99‰ and δD is −86.466‰, which fit well with the above precipitation equation. The LMWL of the BLB is higher than the GMWL, with an intercept of about 8‰, indicating that the deviation degree of δD in the secondary equilibrium state of the BLB is quite larger. This suggests that water vapor is affected by multiple evaporation before reaching the surface to form precipitation, which means the δD is continuously enriched and the degree of deviation is increased. However, the slope of the LMWL in the BLB is less than that of the GMWL. The evaporation is 3–4 times higher than the precipitation. This elucidates that the precipitation water vapor mass has a mixed isotope effect with the water vapor mass formed by evaporation of surface water or ecological water bodies, making the slope of the LMWL smaller. Therefore, atmospheric precipitation is an important source of lake supply and isotope enrichment.

The difference between δD and δ18O is quite small and is different from other regions because of the sampling point, which is located in the lower part of the LMWL, as well as the high humidity during sampling period [6,11]. The results shown in Table 4 indicate that lakes are more likely to be enriched with heavy isotopes than the groundwater under the same climatic conditions.

The analysis of the hydrochemistry of lakes and groundwater suggests that evaporation has a significant influence on the hydrochemical components. Therefore, the evaporation intensity is verified and analyzed by calculating the E/I of lakes by using the formula proposed by Horita et al., Gonfiantini et al., Edwards et al., and Birks et al. [36–39].

According to the real-time temperature and relative humidity data provided by Wudaoliang and Tuotuohe meteorological stations, the average relative humidity and temperature of the study area
were 60.032% and 278.51 K, respectively. Furthermore, the $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^1D$ values were $-12.55$ and $-82.621$, respectively. The E/I calculation results are shown in Table 5.

| Lake | Calculated with $^{18}O$ | Calculated with D |
|------|--------------------------|------------------|
| L01  | 0.52                     | 1.09             |
| L02  | 0.56                     | 1.11             |
| L03  | 0.58                     | 1.24             |
| L04  | 0.68                     | 1.35             |
| L05  | 0.29                     | 0.47             |
| L06  | 0.17                     | 0.27             |
| L07  | 0.24                     | 0.28             |

A comparison of the two sets of calculation results shows that $^{18}O$ is more stable than D, which confirms that the calculation results for $\delta^{18}O$ are reasonable. The lake E/I calculated with $\delta^{18}O$ varies in the range of 0.17–0.68, and the highest value (0.68) of LO4 confirms the validity of the above hydrochemical analysis results. When E/I is less than 1, evaporation from the lake is less significant than recharge, so the lake area will gradually increase. It can be inferred that a thermokarst lake will gradually drain until it disappears after a long-term change. At that time, the isotopic content percentage in the lake reaches the maximum; that is, $\delta^*$. According to field survey data, the ultimate limit values of $\delta^*D$ and $\delta^{18}O$ of the lake in the study area are $-9.318$ and $4.099\%$, respectively.

4. Conclusions

In this study, hydrochemical characteristics and water isotope compositions ($\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta D$) of thermokarst lake water and groundwater were studied systematically during the melting of the permafrost in the QTP.

The major mechanisms that control the chemical composition of the lakes and groundwater are the same and contain the evaporation–fractional crystallization process and rock weathering. There are three main hydrogeochemical processes that occur in the formation of lakes and groundwater hydrochemistry: dissolution–precipitation, cation exchange, and evaporation–fractional crystallization. The directions of cation exchange between lakes and groundwater are basically the same, but the exchange amount for lakes is less than that of groundwater. The main dissolved minerals in lakes and groundwater are halite and carbonate, while a small amount of silicate is dissolved. The mainly carbonate is dolomite, while a small amount of calcification is dissolved in some areas. The difference between lakes and groundwater is that the solubility of sulphate in groundwater is slightly higher than that of lakes.

According to the study of the isotope relationship map, it can be determined that the sources of recharge of thermokarst lakes include atmospheric precipitation, groundwater, and permafrost meltwater. The E/I ratios were <1 for all thermokarst lakes, suggesting that all of the lakes are in a continuous expansion stage. This is directly proved by isotope endmember analysis, showing that the characteristics of the water’s chemical composition are not only affected by the chemical characteristics of recharge source water, but also by evaporation. Towards a comprehensive understanding of the hydrochemical processes in thermokarst lakes, our work encourages further research on protecting the ecology and environment of the QTP.
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