Galectins as self/non-self recognition receptors in innate and adaptive immunity: an unresolved paradox
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INTRODUCTION

The functional interplay between lectins and their “self” or “non-self” carbohydrate receptors implicated in various aspects of immune responses of both vertebrates and invertebrates have been characterized in considerable detail in recent years (Fukuda et al., 2001; Liu and Rabinovich, 2005; Ludwig et al., 2006). It is now firmly established C-type lectins, ficolins, siglecs, and galectins are not only key players in innate immune processes that lead to pathogen recognition, endocytosis, complement activation, and antigen processing, but are also involved in adaptive immune functions, including the presence of multiple lectin families with numerous members and lectin isoforms, has enabled rationalization of their roles in immunity. Unlike immunoglobulins, however, lectins do not generate diversity in recognition by genetic recombination and therefore, considerable interest has arisen on the germline-encoded diversity of the lectin repertoires, the somatic mechanisms leading to expansion of their ligand recognition spectrum, and the structural/functional aspects their carbohydrate recognition domains (CRDs; Vilches and Parham, 2002; Garred et al., 2006).

It is now firmly established that in vertebrates innate immunity carries a substantial burden of the defense functions against infections disease, and in the past few years the instructive roles of innate immunity on adaptive immunity have been widely recognized. Furthermore, invertebrates and protocadherin molecules rely solely on innate immunity for defense against microbial infection. Thus, great interest has been generated in the structural-functional aspects of their interactions with the host and microbial carbohydrate moieties is fragmentary, and warrants further investigation.
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Galectins are characterized by their binding affinity for β-galactosides, a unique binding site sequence motif, and wide taxonomic distribution and structural conservation in vertebrates, invertebrates, protista, and fungi. Since their initial description, galectins were considered to bind endogenous (“self”) glycans and mediate developmental processes and cancer. In the past few years, however, numerous studies have described the diverse effects of galectins on cells involved in both innate and adaptive immune responses, and the mechanistic aspects of their regulatory roles in immune homeostasis. More recently, however, evidence has accumulated to suggest that galectins also bind exogenous (“non-self”) glycans on the surface of potentially pathogenic microbes, parasites, and fungi, suggesting that galectins can function as pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) in innate immunity. Thus, a perplexing paradox arises by the fact that galectins also recognize lactosamine-containing glycans on the host cell surface during developmental processes and regulation of immune responses. According to the currently accepted model for non-self recognition, PRRs recognize pathogens via highly conserved microbial surface molecules of wide distribution such as LPS or peptidoglycan (pathogen-associated molecular patterns; PAMPs), which are absent in the host. Hence, this would not apply to galectins, which apparently bind similar self/non-self molecular patterns on host and microbial cells. This paradox underlines first, an oversimplification in the use of the PRR/PAMP terminology. Second, and most importantly, it reveals significant gaps in our knowledge about the diversity of the host galectin repertoire, and the subcellular targeting, localization, and secretion. Furthermore, our knowledge about the structural and biophysical aspects of their interactions with the host and microbial carbohydrate moieties is fragmentary, and warrants further investigation.
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aspects of its various components, particularly on complement, lectins, and Toll-like receptors (Fujita et al., 2004; Kuhlurin et al., 2004; Ilyas et al., 2005). Both the Drosophila Toll and the mammalian Toll-like receptors recognize pathogens via highly conserved and widely distributed microbial surface molecules such as lipopolysaccharide, flagellin, lipoteichoic acid, or peptidoglycan ("pathogen-associated molecular patterns", PAMPs), which are essential for the microbe but absent in the host. By recognizing such non-self molecular patterns, these receptors are designated as "pattern recognition receptors" (PRRs; Medzhitov and Janeway, 2002). Given that non-pathogenic microbes also share these surface molecules it has been suggested that these may be more accurately described as "microbe-associated molecular patterns" (MAMPs; Bittel and Robatzek, 2007). More recently, the term "vireulence-associated molecular pattern" (VAMP) has been introduced to describe those factors (e.g., microbial toxins, flagellin) that enable the host to discriminate pathogenic microbes from the non-pathogenic ones (Miao and Warren, 2010). Finally, endogenous factors such as nuclear or cytosolic components that are released during tissue stress or necrosis can trigger inflammatory responses have been designated as "danger-associated molecular patterns" (DAMPs; Seong and Matzinger, 2004).

THE MANNOSE-BINDING LECTIN AS A PROTOTYPICAL PATTERN RECOGNITION RECEPTOR

Since the PRR/PAMP paradigm was initially established for Toll and TLRs, it has been progressively extended to other innate immune recognition proteins. Among the best-characterized animal lectins, the mannose-binding lectin (MBL) a member of the C-type lectin family has been described as a prototypical PRR (Garred et al., 2006). C-type lectins are characterized by their Ca$^{2+}$ requirement for ligand binding and their structural fold (C-type lectin domain fold, CTLD), and in most family members, the presence of multiple, unrelated structural domains in the polypeptide (Zeijlstra and Greedy, 2005). They comprise the collectins (MBLs, ficolin, conglutinin, pulmonary surfactant), proteoglycan core proteins, selectins, endocytic receptors, the mannose-macrophage receptor, and DC-SIGN (Zeijlstra and Greedy, 2005; Ilyas et al., 2009). Although some C-type lectins such as selectins and DC-SIGN bind "self" glycans, others such as collectins recognize exposed sugar ligands on the microbial surface. Collectins are lectins with a collagenous region linked to the CRD and via association with the MASP may activate the complement cascade, leading to opsonization or lysis of the microbes. The MBL trimer binds to ligands that are displayed about 45 Å apart on the microbial surface, and via association with the MASP may activate the complement cascade, leading to opsonization or lysis of the microbes. The MBL trimer binds to ligands that are displayed about 45 Å apart on the microbial surface, and via association with the MASP may activate the complement cascade, leading to opsonization or lysis of the microbes.
the Ca^{2+}-binding site 2 (Figure 1B). The amino acid residues flanking the conserved cis-proline in the long loop region, which are involved in Ca^{2+}-binding site 2 formation, determine the specificity for either galactose or mannose (Figure 1C). In most mannose-binding CTLDs, the sequence of the motif is EPN (E185 and N186 in MBP-A), while in the galactose-specific CTLDs it is QPD. The oligomerization of the MBL subunits results in binding multivalency that enables the protein to recognize ligands that are displayed 45 Å apart on the microbial surface, thereby increasing the MBLs avidity (Figure 1D). The density of the surface ligands and their scaffolding (as glycoproteins or glycolipids) modulates affinity of the interaction via negative cooperativity (Dam and Brewer, 2008). Thus, the binding of MBL to multiple non-reducing terminal carbohydrate ligands on the microbial surface, which are not readily exposed in the mammalian host, leads to agglutination and immobilization of the potential pathogen. Further, the interactions of other MBL domains with additional factors such as the MASP trigger downstream effector functions including complement activation and opsonization or lysis of the agglutinated microbes (Weis et al., 1998; Nosaka, 2011). In addition to C-type lectins, other lectin families have been identified as PRRs, including ficolins, F-lectins, pentraxins, and more recently, the galectins (reviewed in Vasta and Ahmed, 2009).

**GALECTINS: A STRUCTURALLY CONSERVED LECTIN FAMILY**

Galectins constitute a family of animal lectins defined by their affinity for β-galactosides, and a characteristic CRD sequence motif (Cooper, 2002). The galectin family members are widely distributed in eukaryotic taxa from fungi and sponges to both protostome and deuterostome lineages of metazoans and from the structural standpoint are strikingly conserved (Vasta et al., 1999; Cooper, 2002). Based on their domain organization, galectins have been classified in three types: “proto,” “chimera,” and “tandem-repeat” (Hirabayashi and Kasai, 1993; Figure 2A). Proto-type galectins contain one CRD per subunit and are non-covalently linked homodimers. The chimera galectins have a C-terminal CRD and an N-terminal domain rich in proline and glycine. In tandem-repeat (TR) galectins, two CRDs are joined by a functional linker peptide. Recently, a novel TR-type galectin with four CRDs has been described (Tasumi and Vasta, 2007). Proto and TR types comprise several distinct galectin subtypes, which have been ordered following the order of their discovery. At present time, 15 galectin subtypes have been identified in mammals. Galectin-1, -2, -5, -7, -10, -11, -13, -14, and -15 are proto type. Galectin-3 is the only chimera type. Galectin-4, -6, -8, -9, and -12 are TR type. In solution, galectins can form multivalent species in a concentration-dependent equilibrium (Morris et al., 2004). The association of proto-type galectin monomers as non-covalently bound dimers via a hydrophobic interphase is critical for their function in mediating cell–cell or cell–ECM interactions, lattice formation at the cell surface, and downstream effector functions (Rabinovich et al., 2002b). For the chimera-type galectins, oligomerization takes place via the N-terminus domain to form trimers or pentamers that in the presence of multivalent oligosaccharides in solution or at the cell surface display binding cooperativity (Breuer et al., 2002; Rabinovich et al., 2002b; Dam and Brewer, 2008). Proto-type galectins associate as non-covalently bound dimers via a hydrophobic interphase, while the bivalent TR-type galectins can recognize different saccharide ligands with a single polypeptide, although they can also form higher order aggregates that enhances their avidity (Liu et al., 2012; Trinchieri et al., 2012). Most galectins are non-glycosylated soluble proteins, although a few exceptions have transmembrane domains (Goskik et al., 2002; Lipkowitz et al., 2004). Although galectins lack a typical secretion signal peptide, they are present not only in the cytosol and the nucleus, but also in the extracellular space (Cho and Cummings, 1995). From the cytosol, galectins may be targeted for secretion by non-classical mechanisms, possibly by direct translocation across the plasma membrane (Sato and Hughes, 1994; Cleves et al., 1996; Vuikarnam et al., 1997). In the extracellular space, galectins can bind to glycans at the cell surface and/or the
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extracellular matrix (Eloida et al., 2007; Rabinovich and Toscano, 2009) and to potential pathogens (Mercier et al., 2008; Vasta, 2009). Galectins preferentially bind to N-acetyllactosamine (LacNAc; Gaβ1,4GalNAc) and related disaccharides, including lactose (Lac), T-disaccharide (Gaβ1,4GalNAc), and human ABH blood group oligosaccharides. Thus, glycans that contain N-acetyllactosamine and polylactosamine chains ([Gaβ1,4GalNAc]n), such as laminin, fibronectin, lysosome-associated membrane proteins, and mucins, are the preferred endogenous glycans recognized by galectins (Fang et al., 1993; Seetharaman et al., 1998). The biological function of a particular galectin, however, may vary from site to site, depending on the availability of suitable ligands. The binding properties and biological functions of galectins in the oxidative extracellular environment, however, may depend on their immediate binding to ligand, which prevents the oxidation of free cysteine residues, as well as galectin susceptibility to proteolysis (Lobsanov et al., 1993; Liao et al., 1994). The binding of galectins to cell surface β-galactoside-containing glycolipids and glycoproteins can lead to the formation of lattices that cluster these ligands into lipid raft microdomains required for optimal transmission of signals relevant to cell function (Brewer et al., 2002; Partridge et al., 2004; Rabinovich et al., 2007b; Figures 2B and C). Galectin-mediated lipid raft assembly may modulate turnover of endocytic receptors, signal transduction pathways leading to T cell activation and cytokine secretion, or apoptosis, cell maturation, activation and tolerance, and neutrophil activation leading to phagocytosis, oxidative burst, and protease and cytokine release. Thus, galectin-glycoprotein lattices at the cell surface have been proposed to function as an “on-an-off switch” that regulates cell proliferation, differentiation and survival, including immune cell responsiveness and tolerance (Brewer et al., 2002; Dam and Brewer, 2008).

Among mammalian galectins, gene organization and primary structures of the encoded proteins are substantially conserved (Figure 3A). Prior to or during early in chordate evolution, duplication of a mono-CRD galectin gene would have led to a bi-CRD galectin gene, in which the N- and C-terminal CRDs subsequently diverged into two different subtypes, defined by exon-intron structure (F4-CRD and F3-CRD). All vertebrate single-CRD galectins belong to either the F3 (e.g., gal-1, -2, -3, -5) or F4 (e.g., gal-7, -10, -13, -14) subtype, whereas TR galectins such as gal-4, -6, -8, -9, and -12 contain both F4 and F3 subtypes (Hourstein et al., 2004). However, phylogenetic analysis of the galectin (CvGal) from the eastern oyster Crassostrea virginica, that displays four tandemly arrayed CRDs, revealed that these are closely related to the single CRD galectins, suggesting that the CvGal gene is the product of two consecutive gene duplications of a single-CRD galectin gene (Tasumi and Vasta, 2007; Figure 3B). Like for C- and F-type lectins, most mammals are endowed with a complex galectin repertoire, including members that exhibit multiple isoforms and more or less subtle variations in carbohydrate specificity, which together with a certain degree of plasticity in sugar binding of each CRD, suggests a substantial diversity in recognition properties (Sparrow et al., 1987; Zhou and Cummings, 1990; Ito and Hughes, 1992; Fang et al., 1999; Ahmed et al., 2002; Shoji et al., 2003). In general, echothermic vertebrates and invertebrates, and earlier taxa such as parazoans (sponges), fungi, and protists appear to have a smaller galectin repertoire. The presence of a galectin fold in the protistan parasite Toxoplasma gondii, and galectin-like proteins in the fungus Coprinopsis cinerea and in the sponge Gandia cydonium reveals the early emergence and structural conservation of galectins in euarchont evolution (Saourou et al., 2005; Walser et al., 2005; Stale et al., 2006). Among the echothermic vertebrates, proto-type galectins have been characterized in toads (Bufo arenarum), frogs (Rana catesbeiana, Xenopus laevi), salamanders (Ambystoma mexicanum), and zebrafish, among numerous other species (Ahmed et al., 1996, 2004; Vasta et al., 1997; Cooper, 2002; Shoji et al., 2003). The proto-type galectin from B. arenarum resembles the mammalian galectin-1 with respect to its carbohydrate-binding profiles and its carbohydrate-binding sequence motif (all nine residues responsible for carbohydrate binding are present: His45,
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Asn47, Arg49, His53, Asp55, Asn62, Trp69, Glu72, and Arg74; Ahmed et al., 1996). The structures of the β1,3(Fucα1,2)GalNAc and β1,2)

The extended binding site leads to increased affinity for the non-reducing terminal galactose moiety such as ABH glycans with multiple lactosamine units, and with their substitu

and Arg73 (numbered as the bovine galectin-1) are replaced by Ser and Lys, respectively (Marschal et al., 1992; Shoji et al., 2003), leading to a distinct sugar-binding profile (Marschal et al., 1992; Ahmed and Vasta, 1994). In conger, a galectin from the conger eel Conger myriaster, one of the β strands is exchanged between the two subunits (Shirai et al., 1999, 2002). This “strand-swap” contributes to stabilize the dimer by increasing inter-subunit interactions, and perhaps explains the high thermostability of the protein. CGL2, a galectin from the fungus Coprinus cinereus forms a tetramer characterized by two perpendicular twofold axes of rotation, with the C-terminal amino acids of the four monomers meeting at the center of the tetramer interface (Walser et al., 2004). Furthermore, some galectin-like proteins such as the mammalian lens crystalline protein GRIFIN (galectin-related inter-fiber protein) and the galectin-related protein GRIP (previously HSPC159; hematopoietic stem cell precursor) lack carbohydrate-binding activity, and are considered products of evolutionary co-option (Ogden et al., 1998; Ahmed and Vasta, 2008).

Resolution of the structure of galectin-1–LacNAc complex revealed a jellyroll topology typical of legume lectins, and enabled the identification of the amino acid residues and the hydroxyl groups of the ligands that participate in protein–carbohydrate interactions (Lobaeanov et al., 1995; Liao et al., 1994; Bianchet et al., 2000). The subunit of galectin-1 is composed of an 11-strand antiparallel β-sandwich and contains a single CRD (Figure 4A). The carbohydrate binding site is formed by three continuous clefts containing all important residues such as histidine 44, asparagine 46, arginine 48, histidine 52, asparagine 61, tryptophan 68, glutamic acid 71, and arginine 73 that are involved in direct interactions with LacNAc (Liao et al., 1994; Figure 4B). Additional interactions of water molecules are involved in bridging the nitrogen of the NAc group with His52, Asp54, and Arg73 resulting in the increased affinity of LacNAc over Lac. Unlike galectin-1, galectin-3 has an extended carbohydrate-binding site formed by a cleft open at both ends, in which the LacNAc is present in such a way that the reducing end of the LacNAc (GalNAc) is open to solvent, but the non-reducing Gal moiety is in close proximity to residues in the β3 strand (Seetharaman et al., 1998). The extended binding site leads to increased affinity for glycans with multiple lactosamine units, and with their substitution of the non-reducing terminal galactose moiety such as ABH blood group oligosaccharides [Fucα1,2; Galβ1,4(N-acetyl)3(Fucα1,2); and Galα1,3(Fucα1,2)]. Thermodynamic approaches have been used not only to assess the galectins’ carbohydrate-binding properties, but also the oligomeric organization of the protein. On microcalorimetric studies, the dissociation constants for the interactions of bovine galectin-1 with the preferred ligands (lactose, α1,2; GalNAc α1,3(Fucα1,2)

β1,3(Fucα1,2)GalNAc and β1,2)

FIGURE 4 | Structures of bovine galectin-1 and Bufo arenarum galectin-1-like protein. (A) The ribbon diagram shows the overlap of the 80% (PDB 1gfn) to 100% (PDB 1gfb) identity homology model of CvGal CRD-1 to -4 of CvGal with the structure of the bovine galectin-1 CRD1 to -4 (PDB 1gan). The alignments of the Ca atoms of the model show that the β strand orientation in the CV Gal is consistent with the bovine CRD. (B) Close-up view of the carbohydrate-binding site of the bovine galectin-1 CRD1 to -4 (PDB 1gan). The interactions of amino acid residues with LacNAc are shown for the bovine galectin-1. (C) α1,3(Fucα1,2)GalNAc is the preferred ligand of the CV Gal. The OH at C4’ of Gal (in LacNAc) makes hydrogen bond interaction with the highly conserved residues His54, Arg61, and Arg73. The OH at C1’ of Gal interacts with the hydroxyl group of Arg53, and Arg73. Additional interactions are mediated via a water molecule that bridges the nitrogen of the NAc group with His52, Arg54, and Arg73. (D) Comparison of the β1,3(Fucα1,2)GalNAc-binding site of the bovine galectin-1 structure (shown in yellow, PDB 1gan) with the bovine galectin-1 structure (shown in green) as a model. (E) Comparison of the carbohydrate-binding site of the bovine galectin-1 structure (shown in yellow, PDB 1gan) with the bovine galectin-1 structure (shown in green) as a model. (F) The carbohydrate-binding cassettes of mammalian galectin-1 are present in the putative binding site of the Dhp1-L2. All side chains of these residues were within 0.5 Å of the equivalent side chains of the bovine galectin-1. (F) Alignment of bovine galectin-1, Ce16 (E. aegypti 16 kDa galectin), and CRD1 to -4 of CuGal. (F) Thermodynamic modeling of CuGal CRDs. Bovine galectin-1, CRD1, -2, -3, and -4 are shown in white, blue, red, and green, respectively. Naming of amino acid residues is based on bovine galectin-1.
N-acetyllactosamine, thiogalactoside) were in the range of 10^{-12} M, with two binding sites per molecule (Schwarz et al., 1998).

The galectin-1 like protein (Drgal-L2) from zebrafish (Danio rerio) shows extensive sequence homology and structural similarity to vertebrate galectin-1 (Figure 4C). All nine residues that form the carbohydrate-binding cassette in the mammalian galectin-1 are present in the putative binding site of Drgal-L2 (Ahmed et al., 2002). All side chains of these residues were within 0.5 Å of the equivalent side chains of the bovine galectin-1. Although, the model of the Carnivorahditis elegans 16-kDa galectin (CeGal) is similar to the bovine galectin-1 structure (Figure 4D), the carbohydrate specificity of the CeGal is interesting compared to the bovine galectin-1 (Ahmed et al., 2002). In CeGal, amino acid substitutions at positions 46 and 48 (29 and 31 in bovine galectin) suggest that unlike in bovine galectin-1, these positions (strand 3) are involved in sugar binding. R46 (S29 in the bovine galectin sequence) interacts with 3′- and 4″-OH of the Gal residue. Interestingly, a shorter loop 2 (containing residues 66-69 between strands 4 and 5, Figure 4E) allows R72 to interact with equatorial –OH at C-3 of GcNAc (in Gal1, Gal3, Gal4) as well as axial –OH at C-4 of GalNAc (in Galβ1,3GalNAc). The model of the binding site of the CeGal 16-kDa galectin also provides a rationale for the binding to α and β derivatives of Galβ1,3GalNAc (Ahmed et al., 2002). The sequence alignment of the oyster Crassostrea virginica galectin CvGal with the bovine galectin-1 revealed that among nine aa residues responsible for ligand binding, seven are conserved in all four CvGal CRDs (Hu22, Asn24, Arg26, Asn28, Thr29, Gal7, and Arg31), numbers corresponding to the bovine galectin-1; Tosun and Vasta, 2007; Figure 4E). Homology modeling of all four CvGal CRDs revealed that the seven conserved residues from all four CvGal CRDs maintain their positions and orientations in the binding cleft, relative to the bovine galectin-1 (Figure 4F).

Although relatively conserved from a structural standpoint, galectins display a surprising functional diversification (Figure 5). Proto-type galectins such as galectin-1 have been reported as mediators of cell adhesion (Weis et al., 1998; Wallia, 2002), B cell differentiation (He and Baum, 2004; Martinez et al., 2004), development (Poirier, 2002), inflammation (Rubinstein et al., 2004), mRNA splicing (Patterson et al., 2004), leukocyte apoptosis (Perillo et al., 1999; Rabinovich et al., 2002a), neutrophil turnover (Dias-Baruffi et al., 2003), and cancer metastasis (Takamaka et al., 2004; Liu and Rabinovich, 2005). Furthermore, given the complexity of the mammalian galectin repertoire and the initial difficulties in identifying clear phenotypes in null mice for selected galectin family members, it was believed that a certain degree of functional redundancy exists among the members of the galectin repertoire. More recently, however, as the subtle aspects of their binding properties and natural ligands are identified and characterized, and their biological roles are elucidated in increasing detail, it has become clear that this is not the case (Rabinovich and Toccano, 2009). Soon after their discovery, galectins were characterized as developmentally regulated proteins, and proposed to participate in embryogenesis and early development. This was based on their binding to "self" carbohydrate moieties, such as polylactosamine-containing glycans, abundant at the cell surface and the ECM (Figure 5). Chicken galectins have been proposed to participate in myoblast fusion, whereas murine galectin-1 and galectin-3 have roles in notochord development, somitogenesis, and development of muscle tissue and central nervous system (Poirier et al., 1992; Colnot et al., 1996; Puche et al., 1996; Shoji et al., 2009).

Recent studies show that the gene encoding human galectin-2, a close relative of galectin-1, is mutated in patients with increased susceptibility to myocardial infarction, implicating galectin functions in vascular inflammation and atherosclerosis (Ozaki et al., 2004). Further, expression of galectin-3 at the onset of chondrification suggests its role in bone development, which was supported by finding of abnormalities of the hypertrophic zones of cells and a reduction of the total hypertrophic chondrocytes in galectin-3 null mice (Colnot et al., 2001).

**GALECTINS AS REGULATORS OF IMMUNE HOMEOSTASIS**

It has now firmly established that galectins participate in both innate and adaptive immune responses (Land and Olafsen, 1999; Sato et al., 2003; Cartwright et al., 2004; Oktusbo and Markh, 2006; Marth and Cirewá, 2008; Mascalzoni et al., 2011). Galectins are ubiquitously expressed and distributed in mammalian tissues, including most cells of the innate (dendritic cells (DCs), macrophages, mast cells, natural killer cells, gamma/delta T cells, and B-1 cells) and adaptive (activated B and T cells) immune systems, and as in other cell types (Li et al., 2011; Corden-Laurent and Dimitroff, 2012).

Since the early 1990s a growing body of experimental (in vivo and in vitro) evidence has accumulated to support the roles of galectins expressed by these cells and neighboring stromal cells in the development and regulation of innate and adaptive immune homeostasis as well as responses to infectious and allergic challenges, and cancer. Galectins released by stromal cells in central compartments contribute to the differentiation of immune cell precursors. Immune challenge and several pathological conditions may lead to further activation and differentiation of immune cells, and modulate the expression and release of galectins to the extracellular space where they may have autocrine or paracrine effects on immune regulation. Galectins released by immune cells can oligomerize and form lattices at the cell surface leading to activation of transmembrane signaling pathways that modulate immune cell functions, including for example, cell adhesion and migration, T cell apoptosis, and the Th1/Th2 cytokine balance (Rabinovich and Toccano, 2009). Further, galectins released into the extracellular environment under abnormal situations may themselves constitute “danger signals,” or by exerting their activities on other cells, such as mast cells, induce degranulation and release of factors (e.g., histamine) that represent the “danger signals” leading to activation of immune mechanisms in the absence of antigenic challenge (Sato and Nieminen, 2004).

Galectins have diverse effects on cells involved in innate immune responses (Rabinovich et al., 2007a; Di Lella et al., 2011) including macrophages and DCs, neutrophils, eosinophils, and mast cells. Galectin-1 participates in acute and allergic inflammation and displays anti-inflammatory activities by blocking or attenuating signaling events that lead to leukocyte infiltration, migration, and recruitment (Guevremont et al., 2004). It also displays various other effects on innate immunity, including...
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FIGURE 5 | Expression, secretion, and functional diversification of galectins: (1) Galectin transcripts are translated in the cytoplasm, and the proteins can be translocated into the nucleus (2) where they can associate with ribonucleoproteins. Via unconventional mechanism(s), galectins can be secreted to the extracellular space (3) where they can function as pattern recognition receptors for microbial glycans (4), bind to the host cell surface glycans (5), and cross-link them with ECM glycans (6) thereby, for example, promoting cell migration. Galectins can also cross-link cell surface glycans and induce clustering of microdomains and lattice formation at the cell surface (7) that can trigger signaling cascades, or cross-link neighboring cells (8) and promote cell–cell interactions/adhesion.

cell surface exposure of phosphatidylserine in activated neutrophils, a process that leads to neutrophil removal by phagocytic cells without causing apoptosis, and activation/deactivation of macrophages on a concentration-dependent manner. In contrast to the anti-inflammatory effects of galectin-1, galectin-3 shows pro-inflammatory activity. Galectin-3 is normally expressed in various epithelia and inflammatory cells, such as activated macrophages, DCs, and Kupffer cells, and is upregulated during inflammation, cell proliferation, and cell differentiation. Galectin-3 also exhibits anti-apoptotic activity for macrophages and enhances their interactions with basal lamina glycans, such as laminin and fibronectin. Taken together, these observations strongly suggest that galectin-3 enhances macrophage survival, and positively modulates their recruitment and anti-microbial activity. Galectin-9 is a selective chemoattractant for eosinophils, highly expressed in various tissues of the immune system, such as bone marrow, spleen, thymus, and lymph nodes. Gal-9 released from activated T cells induces chemostasis, activation, oxidative activity, and degranulation of eosinophils, and monocyte-derived DC maturation (Partridge et al., 2004; Ohtsubo et al., 2005; Rabinovich et al., 2007b; Stowell et al., 2008). Galectin-9 also promotes tissue inflammation through interaction with TDM-3 on macrophages (Anderson et al., 2007; Di Lella et al., 2011).

Concerning adaptive immune responses galectins function as regulators of immune cell homoeostasis (Cartwright et al., 2004; Rabinovich et al., 2007a; Ilarregui et al., 2009; Di Lella et al., 2011). Interactions between stromal cells from the bone marrow and thymic compartments and lymphocyte precursors are critical to their development, selection, and further progression to the periphery. In this regard, interactions mediated by galectins can modulate B cell maturation and differentiation both at the central and peripheral immune compartments (Rabinovich et al., 2007a). Similarly, from their early developmental stages in the thymic compartment to the removal of the mature activated T cells in the periphery, the regulation of T cell survival is critical to a controlled immune response. Galectin-1 can regulate T cell proliferation and apoptosis through binding and clustering of lactosamine-rich cell surface glycoconjugates into segregated membrane microdomains (Rabinovich and Toscano, 2009). Galectin-1 may have pro-apoptotic effects on T cells depending on the developmental
stage and activation status of the cell, and the microenvironment in which the exposure takes place. An immunoregulatory circuit involving galectin-1, DCs, and T cells has recently been described by Rabinovitch and his associates (Ilarregui et al., 2009). Upon exposure to galectin-1, DCs acquired an IL-27-dependent regulatory function and promoted IL-10-mediated T cell tolerance, Th1, and Th17 responses, and suppressed autoimmune neuroinflammation (Ilarregui et al., 2009). Effects of galectin-3 in T cell survival are dependent on whether protein is produced endogenously (anti-apoptotic) or by exogenous exposure (pro-apoptotic; Shoji et al., 2003). Several studies suggest that intracellular galectin-3 confers resistance to apoptosis in response to chemotherapeutic drugs (Fukumori et al., 2007). In contrast, extracellular galectin-3 has been shown to induce T cell apoptosis through caspase-3 activation (Fukumori et al., 2003). Galectin-3 can also modulate T cell activation. Recent studies demonstrated that endogenous galectin-3 can directly control T cell activation at sites of immunological synapse (Chen et al., 2009).

Galectins also exert regulatory functions in T cell homeostasis, and signaling cascades triggered by their binding and lattice formation at the T cell surface has implications in a variety of downstream events that modulate their differentiation, functional activation, and production of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines. The effects of galectins on T cell cytokine synthesis and secretion ultimately determine the Th1/Th2 polarization of the immune response. By reducing IFN-γ and IL-2 and enhancing IL-5, IL-10, and TGF-β production, galectins-1 skew the balance from a Th1- to a Th2-polarized response, whereas by reducing IL-5 levels, galectin-3 drives have the opposite effect (Liu and Hsu, 2007). Finally, given the regulatory roles of galectins on cells that mediate both innate and adaptive immune responses, their effects can be beneficial or detrimental to pathological conditions that have a basis on exacerbated or depressed immune function, such as inflammatory, allergic, and autoimmune disorders, and cancer (Liu and Hsu, 2007).

GALECTINS AS PATTERN RECOGNITION RECEPTORS

Insight into the multiple roles of galectins in both innate and adaptive immune functions has further expanded recently by discovery of their ability to directly recognize microbial pathogens (Lund and O’Hagan, 1999), a property shared with other lectin types, such as C- and F-lectins, ficolins, and pentraxins. The roles of lectins in recognition of microbial glycans are particularly critical in invertebrates, since these organisms lack immunoglobulins and rely solely on innate immune mechanisms for recognition of potential microbial pathogens (Gerwick et al., 2007). This seems to be the case even in vertebrate taxa, since it has been reported that susceptibility/resistance to several infectious diseases in humans are determined by the presence of certain lectin alleles (Fuller et al., 2004). As discussed above, recognition of “non-self” carbohydrate moieties on the surface of microbial pathogens and parasites by lectins such as the MBL can be rationalized under the PRR/PAMP concept. In contrast, for those lectins that recognize endogenous glycans such as galectins, understanding recognition of microbial glycans requires additional considerations. In some cases, the ligands on foreign cells can be similar to those displayed on host cells such as ABH or Le blood group oligosaccharides (Cartwright et al., 2004; Stowell et al., 2010) and LacNac present in viral and bacterial glycans, or structurally different and absent from the host glycocalyx, such as α1-2-mannans in Candida (Cook et al., 2005) and LacNac in Schistosoma (Cummings and Nyame, 1999). While the first scenario can be conceptualized as molecular mimicry by the microbial pathogens (Vasta, 2009), understanding the molecular basis of galectin binding to distinct self and non-self glycans via the same CRD requires further discussion. In this regard, galectins with tandemly arrayed CRDs such as the TR galectins from vertebrates, and the 4-CRD galectins from invertebrates are intriguing both in their binding properties and functional aspects. Vertebrate TR galectins such as galectin-4, -8, and -9 differ from the proto and chimera types in that they display two tandemly arrayed CRDs, and like the binary CRD F-type lectins, the N- and C-CRDs of TR galectins are similar but not identical, suggesting that they have distinct recognition properties (Carlsson et al., 2007). The structures of the TR galectin-4, -8, and -9 have been partially resolved either by crystallization of NMR analysis of their isolated N- or C-CRDs and revealed differences in their binding specificity and/or affinity for oligosaccharides or their scaffolding as glycoproteins or glycosphingolipids (Tomizawa et al., 2005; Nagae et al., 2009; Krejcirikova et al., 2011). The structure of the N-CRD of the mouse galectin-4 revealed binding sites for lactose with different affinities, while the galectin-8 binds preferentially to larger glycans such as glycosphingolipids (Tomizawa et al., 2005; Nagae et al., 2009; Krejcirikova et al., 2011). The capacity of TR galectins to cross-link cells with different synthetic glycoconjugates (Tomizawa et al., 2005; Idoo et al., 2011) strongly suggests significant differences in the binding properties of their N- and C-CRDs. From the functional standpoint, the most striking example is the recognition and killing of Escherichia coli O86 that display B blood group oligosaccharides (GBG + E. coli) by the TR galectin-4 and -8. Mutation of key residues in either CRD revealed that the C-CRD mediates recognition the GBG + E. coli but does not affect its viability, while the N-CRD was not affected, suggesting that N-CRD might be endowed with killing activity. This was confirmed by examining the binding and killing activity of the separate CRDs. This observation suggests that in galectin-4 and -8 the N- and C-CRDs not only have different recognition properties, but also they are functionally different in their interactions with the GBG + E. coli (Stowell et al., 2009).

A different scenario is presented by the recognition of glycans on the phagocyte (self) and parasite (non-self) surface by the oyster galectin OvGal (Tasumi and Vasta, 2007). Although the four CRDs of OvGal are not identical, homology modeling suggests that the minor differences in sequence among the CRDs do not result in significant differences in binding properties. Therefore, the cross-linking of similar glycans on the host phagocytic cells and on the surface of the parasite trophozoite by CRDs of similar binding properties can only be rationalized by the cross-linking of similar self and non-self glycans by the binding of the 4-CRD polypeptide in a particular geometry, such as a tetrahedral architecture, where the CRDs are oriented in opposite directions. A similar model could be proposed by single-CRD proto type and chimera galectins for which the requisite multivalency and the spatial arrangement and orientation of the CRDs is achieved by oligomerization of the single-CRD subunits. However, questions
about the molecular and structural basis for recognition and bind-
ing to either similar or distinct self or non-self glycans remain
open, and several aspects concerning the protein, the carbohy-
drate ligands, the thermodynamics of lectin–ligand interaction,
and the particular microenvironment in which this interaction
takes place merit further discussion. With regards to the pro-
tein, recognition specificity and affinity are key aspects of the
lectin–ligand interaction(s) that modulate downstream effector
functions.

In addition to the structural aspects of the primary and
extended carbohydrate binding sites by any CRD in monoona-
venient recognition, multiple factors contribute to the energetics of
the interaction, which are further complicated when addressing
multivalent recognition (Ideo et al., 2011). Although as discussed
above for Cε- and F-lectins and TR galectins multivalency is a
property of tandemly arrayed CRDs in the lectin polypeptide
subunit, oligomerization of the monomers results in displays of
clustered binding sites that either in their geometry or dimen-
sions can be unique to a particular lectin. For example, the
“bouquet”-like display of binding sites in the MBL trimer results
in multivalency for sugars spaced about 45 Å apart, and increases
avidity of the binding (Rapaport et al., 2008). Although a simi-
ard CRD display is presented in F-type lectins, the geometry of
the ligand display requires sugars spaced 26 Å apart (Bianchet
et al., 2002, 2010). The tissue localization and subcellular com-
partmentalization of lectins can influence their oligomerization
and in turn, influence the selective binding of lectins to par-
ticular ligands. For galectins, oligomerization and clustering of
CRDs enables binding to and cross-linking of multivalent glyco-
proteins and glycolipids on the cell surface leading to formation
of microdomains and lattices that signal via specific pathways
depending on the glycans and galectins involved (Greenspan, 2001;
Rabinovich et al., 2007b; Volkmyrina et al., 2011). In addition,
the redox properties of the intracellular and extracellular environ-
ments can modulate the activity of lectin–ligand interactions, and
the biological outcome. Most galectins are active in the reducing
environment where the lectin–sugar interaction takes place, may
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GAELECTINS AS RECEPTORS FOR MICROBIAL
ADHESION AND INFECTION

Whether galectin-mediated recognition is an effective defence
mechanism with a clear benefit for the host is not entirely clear,
except for a few examples discussed above (Cartwright et al., 2004; Cook et al., 2005; Kohatsu et al., 2006; Stowell et al., 2010). In some cases, however, the microbe’s recognition by the vector or host galectin promotes its adhesion, host cell entry, or infection persistence, in addition to modulating the host’s immune responses. Thus, these pathogens and parasites would “subvert” the roles of host or vector galectins as PRRs, to attach to or gain entry into their cells. This is clearly illustrated by the participation of galectin interactions in the infection mechanisms of HIV. In contrast to the inhibitory role of galectin-1 in paramyxovirus-mediated cell fusion, galectin-1, which is abundant in organs that represent major reservoirs for HIV-1, such as the thymus and lymph nodes, promotes infection by HIV-1 by facilitating viral attachment to CD4 receptor, and increasing infection efficiency (Ouellet et al., 2005; Mercier et al., 2008).

Recent studies showed that galectin-1 enhances HIV adsorption kinetics on monocyte-derived host macrophages, which facilitates HIV-1 infectivity by shortening the time required to establish an infection. Further, galectin-1 would also function as a soluble scavenger receptor and enhance the uptake of the virus by macrophages, which together with evidence that galectin-1 is present in the ejaculate and the heads and tails of late spermatozoa, led to extend the proposal that galectin-1 may also facilitate sexual transmission of HIV-1 (Mercier et al., 2008). This would take place through enhancement of viral adsorption kinetics on the target cells’ surface by the galectin-1 released by sheared fibroblasts and epithelial cells following sex-related microabrasions. Gal-3 has no effect on HIV-1 adsorption, entry, or infection, although its expression is upregulated by the HIV Tat protein in several human cell lines, and in cells infected with other retroviruses, suggesting that it may participate in regulation of antiviral immunity (Schröder et al., 1995; Hsu et al., 1996; Fogel et al., 1999). This underscores the relevance of the subtle differences in galectin specificity and affinity that may determine very different recognition and effector outcomes. It is noteworthy that HIV also uses recognition by DC-SIGN, a C-type lectin, to enter DCs, thereby underscoring the multiple adaptations of the viral glycome for host infection (Hijas et al., 2011; van der Vlist et al., 2011).

Several Leishmania species, which spend part of their life cycle in phagotrophic sandfly midguts that constitute vectors for transmission to the vertebrate hosts, are also illustrative examples. Upon the sandfly feeding on blood from an infected host, the ingested amastigotes mature into promastigotes, which attach to the insect midgut epithelium to prevent their excretion along with the digested bloodmeal, and undergo numerous divisions before differentiating into free-swimming infective metacyclics (Voel et al., 2007). Although the involvement of the parasite LPG in this interaction had been suspected from prior studies, the specific Phlebotomus papatasi sandfly midgut receptor for the procyclic L. major LPG was identified as a 35.4-kDa TR galectin (PpGalec) only expressed by epithelial midgut cells, and upregulated in the blood-feeding females (Myskova et al., 2007). Because the binding specificity of PpGalec is restricted to Leishmania promastigotes bearing poly-Gal (β-1-3) side chains on their LPG, it was proposed that it is the carbohydrate moiety responsible for specific binding of L. major to P. papatasi midgut linings. The assembly of polygalactose epitopes is downregulated during L. major metacyclogenesis, and thus, unable to bind to rPpGalec the free-swimming infective metacyclic promastigotes are released from the midgut for transmission from the sandfly to the mammalian host (Myskova et al., 2007).

The protozoan parasite Perkinsus marinus is a facultative intracellular parasite that causes “Dermo” disease in the eastern oyster Crassostrea virginica, and is responsible for catastrophic damage to shellfisheries and the estuarine environment in North America (Perkins, 1996). The infection mechanism remains unclear, but it is likely that while filter feeding, the healthy oysters ingest P. marinus trophozoites released to the water column by the infected neighboring individuals. Inside oyster phagocytic cells (hemocytes), trophozoites resist oxidative killing, proliferate, and spread throughout the host. It was recently discovered that oyster hemocytes recognize P. marinus via a novel galectin (CvGal) that displays four canonical galectin CRDs, a domain organization unlike any of the known galectin types (Tasumi and Vasta, 2007). Two amino acid residues (His139 and Asp45) that interact with the Na⁺ group via a water molecule are missing in all four CvGal CRDs resulting in broader carbohydrate specificity. CvGal is present in the cytoplasm of circulating granulocytes, and upon their attachment and spreading it is translocated to the periphery, secreted, and binds to the cell surface. The remaining galectin is released to the extracellular environment, where it may bind to all other circulating (non-activated) granulocytes and hemocytes. The most surprising observation, however, was that the soluble CvGal also binds in a carbohydrate-specific manner to a wide variety of microorganisms, phytoplankton components, and preferentially, to Perkinsus spp. trophozoites, suggesting a direct role in recognition and opsonization of potential microbial pathogens, as well as algal food. The partial inhibition of phagocytosis of P. marinus trophozoites by pre-treatment of hemocytes with anti-CvGal revealed that the hemocyte surface-associated CvGal is a phagocytosis receptor for P. marinus. Thus, P. marinus may have evolved to adapt the trophozoite’s glycosyla-
CONCLUSION

By recognizing highly conserved and widely distributed microbial surface glycans (PAMPs or MAMPs) which are essential for the microbe but absent in the host, lectins like the MBL, a member of the C-type lectin family, fit accurately the definition of PRR. Recent studies clearly indicate that also galectins can function as PRRs that target oligosaccharides on the surface of virus, bacteria, protista and helminth pathogens, and parasites. A perplexing paradox arises, however, by the fact that galectins also recognize endogenous lactosamine-containing glycans on the host cell surface during development and regulation of immune homeostasis. For the TR-type galectins that display two CRDs of similar but distinct specificity in a single polypeptide monomer, the binding and cross-linking of endogenous and exogenous glycans can be rationalized by the distinct properties of their N- and C-terminus binding sites. For other lectins such as the proto- and chimer-a-type galectins, that display a single CRD per monomer, their capacity to recognize both endogenous and exogenous glycans through the same binding site, can be explained by taking into consideration the multiple factors pertaining to the local lectin concentrations and oligomerization, the geometry of the presentation of the multivalent carbohydrate ligands on the host or microbial cell surface, and the properties of the microenvironment in which interactions take place. For example, in a local microenvironment where an infection is initiated, the particular concentration of galectins released to the extracellular space may lead to a distinctive oligomerization, CRD display geometry, and avidity that may shift galectin binding from the endogenous ligands to the glycans displayed on the microbial surface in a particular architectural presentation. It has been proposed that both the microbial and host glycans and their receptors continuously evolve to escape mutual recognition, a process known as the “Red Queen effect” (reviewed in Vasta, 2009), by which the host’s self-recognition molecules such as galectins for attachment to the vector or host invasion. It is noteworthy that most of (if not all) these pathogens and parasites are endowed with diverse and powerful mechanisms to evade intracellular killing by the host, and/or downregulate downstream immune responses. The complex strategies such as glycan mimicry developed by symbionts, commensals, and microbial pathogens to successfully colonize, enter, proliferate, and disseminate within and among their hosts or vectors, are the products of strong selective pressures that have led to adaptations that ensure their survival in the most hostile environment of all (Casadevall and Pirofski, 2000; Hughes and Sperandio, 2008). Thus, these fine tuned protein-carbohydrate interactions represent a significant challenge for the development of innovative strategies for intervention in infectious disease.
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