V2G Development on Public Vertical Parking Lot to Support Community Energy Management System
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Abstract. Vehicle to grid concept emerged as one solution for harnessing the idle power of parked plug-in hybrid electric vehicle. As the public parking lot had been evolving into the vertical parking lot which has more capacity within the same grounding area, a vehicle to building technology provided more available energy to be shared into the designated area around the parking lot. This paper discussed the development of vehicle to grid into a better concept and architecture by integrating the vertical parking lots one another and also with renewable energy sources (photovoltaic) and sophisticated energy storage system. Several standards were suggested in this paper to ensure a steady performance of vehicle to grid parking. At last, the collaboration scenario was proposed between the parking lot management and plug-in hybrid electric vehicle owner to ensure the technical viability of vehicle to grid implementation for both host and participant. This sharing concept optimized community energy management system as the root segment of the smart city and smart grid delivery system.
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1 Introduction

Climate change and the depletion of fossil fuel lead to emerging research about sustainable energy in the past two decades. Global policies also point to support green technologies including significant portion of attention on green transportation. Therefore, electric vehicles (EV) becomes a high value exploration commodity amongst engineers and researcher. EVs are projected to contribute up to 60 % of total new car sales in the UK by 2030 [1].

Plug-in hybrid electric vehicle (PHEV) in one unique subject for studying EV connection with the power grid as its ability to connect to the grid and conduct vehicle-to-grid (V2G) operation. Equipped with the power cord to connect to the utility grid, PHEV not only capable of charging electricity from the grid but also discharging electricity to the power grid. Upon this capability, V2G can help provide a sufficient energy to powers buildings from their portable battery store, which can be recharged at a different location [2, 3].

V2G implementation assists the power grid as backup energy storage when its battery pack is used as demand response and reducing system overload. V2G will also improve power quality, simplifying voltage regulation and reducing power outage, injecting reactive and active power to the grid, and escaping the necessity of building new power plants [4]. Previous research conducted on V2G control such as an autonomous distributed V2G control of grid-connected PHEV and EV by coordinating battery condition, use for vehicle, and power system state [5]. Vehicle to grid concept can be seen in Figure 1 [6]. The PHEV is charging or discharging electricity to the charging infrastructure and later send the power output to the house grid or even to the main utility grid. Renewable energy (RE) sources was also an option to be introduced to the V2G system as RE can increase the stability of the microgrid.
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Parking garage or parking lot is the most potential facility that can implement V2G concept and deliver the outage power to the nearby building or directly to the utility grid. Evolution of parking lot signs a promising phase to the implementation of V2G. Vertical parking lot have a higher PHEV capacity than on-the-ground parking lot within the same ground area. Higher PHEV capacity means higher electricity capacity to go to the building or to the grid. Figure 2 shows the example of vertical car park [7].

Furthermore, V2G parking considerably one of the bases of the emerging concept of community energy management system (CEMS) [8]. Many world class corporation had implemented CEMS to strengthen energy independence and extend the scope of green energy community [9]. Successful CEMS is believed to be one base line which can ensure the steady microgrid among the community which leads to the successfulness of smart grid implementation. The main objective of this work is to study the development of V2G concept within the sophisticated technology lining up in the worldwide research community. Furthermore, this work should improve the implementation of V2G by adding the key components such as RE integration or energy storage system introduction. The following outcome is that the community can have improvement in their CEMS platform by first improving their parking system.

2 V2G vertical parking concept

2.1 V2G parking concept

Previous research by Gough et al. [6] develops a data flow and electricity flow in the V2G parking lot. Preceding research [10] also analyze the proper grid structure which can facilitate AC and DC grid for both AC and DC charging mechanism. Figure 3 shows the conceptual model of this work locating the key components, i.e. the location of the parking lot within the building, parked PHEV, charging/discharging infrastructure, building distribution box, utility meter and the utility grid.
PHEV in the vertical parking lot connected to the charging infrastructure and further connected into building distribution box. This charging infrastructure is designed to be able to facilitate discharging process from the PHEV into the building/grid. This vehicle-to-building (V2B) process will later be analyzed to bring benefit for both parking lot grid and building grid. The electricity flow also connected into the main utility grid as the main electricity source. The connection is through a bidirectional utility meter to make sure that all incoming and outgoing electricity flow is fairly compensated.

2.2 Charging infrastructure

Charging infrastructure specification on this work was based on standard J1772 by the Society of Automotive Engineering (SAE) which classifies AC charging stations into three categories [11]:

i) Level I: charger is on-board and provides AC voltage 120 V or 240 V with a maximum current of 15 A (standard home outlet), and a maximum power of 3.3 kW.

ii) Level II: charger is on-board and provides AC voltage 240 V with a maximum current of 60 A, and a maximum power of 14.4 kW.

iii) Level III: charger is off-board. The charging station provides DC voltage directly to the battery via a DC connector, with a maximum power of 240 kW.

Level III charging station is a fast charger. At the maximum power, level III charging station should be capable of replenishing more than half of the capacity of an EV battery in less than half an hour. Figure 4 shows the schematic of level III charging infrastructure integrated with the building [12].
2.3 Charging scenario

The Level III charging station operation models can be developed using adopted model from the work of [12, 13] and assimilated with the unique scenarios from [14]. The scenario will be operated at these following points.

i) When the EV come into the parking spot, the EV driver can choose the available charging cord to park their EV. Once the EV plugged, the EV should not move into another charging cord.

ii) If the EV move to another charging cord, and there is a queue in the line, the moved EV will be placed at the end of the queue as if the EV has just arrived.

iii) An EV can only be charged if arriving with at least 80% battery state of charge but can be immediately charged if there is no queue in the line.

iv) EV above 80% can automatically discharge the energy from EV battery into the grid under a specific agreement.

v) Charging will be terminated after the EV battery reach 100% if there is no queue, and 80% state of charge if there is a queue.

vi) The charging also automatically terminated if the EV disconnected from the charging cord. It is assumed that the driver leaves the parking lot.

2.3 Related standard

Standards related to V2G development on public vertical parking can be seen in Table 1 [15]. Those standards can be used as a reference to the specifications, usage, or characteristics of V2G concept, i.e. connectors, socket outlets, safety standards for EV and HEV, etc. For example, the standardization regarding "Connectors, socket outlets, plugs and vehicle inlets" can refer to IEC 621961: 2014, IEC 621962: 2016, IEC 621963: 2014, BS EN 621961: 2014, and JIS D 621963: 2014. The relevant standard about "Road Vehicles Vehicle to Grid Communication" can refer to ISO 151181: 2013, ISO 151182: 2014, and ISO 151183: 2015. This standardization will facilitate companies in developing V2G. Some companies that are doing research related to V2G are Nissan and Mitsubishi, followed by Ford, Tesla, BMW and Honda [16].

Table 1. Various EVs standard related to V2G parking implementation.

| No | Standard                  | Type and Specification                                                                 |
|----|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | IEC 6185123: 2014         | Electric vehicle (EV) conductive charging system Part 23: DC EV charging station       |
| 2  | IEC 621961: 2014          | Vehicle connectors, socket outlets, plugs, and vehicle inlets conductive charging of EVs part 1: general requirements |
| 3  | IEC 621962: 2016          | Vehicle connectors, socket outlets, plugs, and vehicle inlets conductive charging of EVs part 2: contact tube accessories |
| 4  | IEC 621963: 2014          | Vehicle connectors, socket outlets, plugs, and vehicle inlets conductive charging of EVs part 3: contact tube vehicle couplers and dimensional compatibility & interchangeability requirements for |
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Table 1. Various EVs standard related to V2G parking implementation (Continued).

| No | Standard | Type and Specification |
|----|----------|------------------------|
| 5  | IEC 619801: 2015 | DC pin |
| 6  | IEC 6185124: 2014 | EV WPT systems - part 1: Basic requirements |
| 7  | SAE J 1772: 2016 | Part 24 (conductive charging system for EV): To control the DC charging, digitalize communication between a DC supply based EV charging station and an EV. |
| 8  | SAE J 1773: 2014 | Conductive charge coupler for EV and PHEV |
| 9  | SAE J J 537: 2016 | SAE inductively coupled charging for EVs |
| 10 | SAE J 1797: 2008 | Battery storage system |
| 11 | SAE J 1798: 2008 | Packaging of EV battery modules and recommended practice for. |
| 12 | SAE J 2288: 2008 | Performance rating of EV battery modules and recommended practice for |
| 13 | SAE J 2464: 2009 | EV battery modules’ life cycle testing |
| 14 | SAE J 2758: 2007 | Safety and abuse testing of EV and HEV rechargeable ESS |
| 15 | SAE J 2929: 2013 | Safety standard for EV and HEV propulsion battery systems utilizing lithium based rechargeable cells |
| 16 | SAE J 2936: 2012 | ISO/TS 11955: 2015 | Labelling recommended practice for SAE electrical energy storage device |
| 17 | SAE J 2344: 2010 | ISO/TS 11955: 2014 | EV safety guidelines |
| 18 | SAE J 2894/1: 2011 | ISO/TS 11955: 2011 | Plug-in EV chargers required power quality |
| 19 | SAE J 2894/2: 2015 | ISO/TS 11955: 2013 | Plug-in EV chargers based power quality testing |
| 20 | SAE J 2293/1: 2014 | ISO/TS 11955: 2014 | Functional requirements and system architectures for EVs energy transfer system (part 1) |
| 21 | SAE J 2293/2: 2014 | ISO/TS 11955: 2017 | Communication requirements and network architecture for EVs energy transfer system (part 2) |
| 22 | SAE J 2841: 2010 | ISO/TS 11955: 2012 | Utility factor definitions for PHEV using travel survey data |
| 23 | UL 2202: 2009 | ISO/TS 11955: 2014 | Edition: 2, Detail of EV charging system equipment |
| 24 | UL 22311: 2012 | ISO/TS 11955: 2015 | Edition: 2, general requirement of personnel protection systems for EV supply circuits |
| 25 | UL 22312: 2012 | ISO/TS 11955: 2016 | Edition: 2, particular requirements for protection devices for use in charging systems |
| 26 | UL 22511: 2013 | ISO/TS 11955: 2018 | Edition: 3, couplers, receptacles and plugs for EVs |
| 27 | UL 22734: 2015 | ISO/TS 11955: 2019 | Edition: 3, service plugs and outline for connectors for use with on-board EV charging systems |
| 28 | UL 2871: 2014 | ISO/TS 11955: 2020 | Edition 1: Outline of investigation for and production chargers and EV service |
| 29 | UL 9741: 2014 | ISO/TS 11955: 2021 | Edition 1: Outline of investigation for bidirectional EV charging system equipment |
| 30 | UL 2747: 2012 | ISO/TS 11955: 2022 | Outline of investigation for EV power supplies |
| 31 | BS EN 618511: 2011 | ISO/TS 11955: 2023 | part 1: General requirements for conductive charging system of EVs |
| 32 | BS EN 6185121: 2002 | ISO/TS 11955: 2024 | Requirements of EV to connect an AC/DC supply in conducting modes |
| 33 | BS EN 6185122: 2002 | ISO/TS 11955: 2025 | EV charging station based on AC supply |
| 34 | BS EN 621961: 2014 | ISO/TS 11955: 2026 | General Requirements for vehicle inlets, vehicle connectors, socket outlets, and plugs, for conductive charging of EVs. |
| 35 | BS DD CLC/TS 504571: 2008 | ISO/TS 11955: 2027 | EVs conductive charging through DC charging station |
| 36 | BS DD CLC/TS 504572: 2008 | ISO/TS 11955: 2028 | Detail of communication protocol between EV and off board charger for conductive charging of EVs. |
| 37 | COC GB/T 18487.1: 2015 | ISO/TS 11955: 2029 | Part 1: Details of general requirements for EV conductive charging system |
| 38 | COC GB/T 18487.2: 2001 | ISO/TS 11955: 2030 | EVs requirements for conductive connection to an AC/DC supply |
| 39 | COC GB/T 18487.3: 2001 | ISO/TS 11955: 2031 | EV conductive charging system AC/DC EV charging station |
| 40 | COC GB/T 20234.1: 2015 | ISO/TS 11955: 2032 | Part 1: General requirements for connection set for conductive charging of EVs |
| 41 | COC GB/T 20234.2: 2015 | ISO/TS 11955: 2033 | Part 2: AC charging coupler for connection set for conductive charging of EVs |
| 42 | COC GB/T 20234.3: 2015 | ISO/TS 11955: 2034 | Part 2: DC charging coupler for connection set for charging conductive charging of EVs |
| 43 | COC GB/T QC/T 895: 2011 | ISO/TS 11955: 2035 | Detail of EVs on-board conductive charger |
| 44 | COC GB/T QC/T 841: 2010 | ISO/TS 11955: 2036 | Conductive charge coupler for EV |
| 45 | JIS D 1304: 2004 | ISO/TS 11955: 2037 | Efficiency test method of an EV charging system |
| 46 | JIS D 6185123: 2014 | ISO/TS 11955: 2038 | Part 23: DC EV charging station based EV conductive charging system |
| 47 | JIS D 6185124: 2014 | ISO/TS 11955: 2039 | EV conductive charging system part 24: To control the DC charging, digital communication between EV and a DC EV charging station |
| 48 | JIS D 621963: 2014 | ISO/TS 11955: 2040 | General requirements for vehicle inlets, vehicle connectors, socket outlets, and plugs, for conductive charging of EVs. |
| 49 | ISO 11955: 2008 | ISO/TS 11955: 2041 | ISO/TR 11955 hybrid electric road vehicles guidelines for charge balance measurement |
| 50 | ISO 17409: 2015 | ISO/TS 11955: 2042 | Electrically propelled road vehicles connection to an external electric power supply safety requirements |
| 51 | ISO 151181: 2013 | ISO/TS 11955: 2043 | Road vehicles vehicle to grid communication interface part 1: General information and use case definition |
| 52 | ISO 151182: 2014 | ISO/TS 11955: 2044 | Road vehicles vehicle to grid communication interface part 2: Network and application protocol requirements |
| 53 | ISO 151183: 2015 | ISO/TS 11955: 2045 | Road vehicles vehicle to grid communication interface part 3: Physical and data link layer requirements |
3 V2G parking optimization

3.1 Integration with RE and ESS

The parking lot microgrid could not merely depend on the main utility grid as its primary electricity source. Another backup power source should be installed to reduce electricity cost and diminish the dependency of the utility grid. Solar and wind were the most favorite RE sources that available in the urban area. Solar power could be in the form of concentrated solar power (CSP) or photovoltaic (PV). Previous research [17] was implemented a CSP installation with thermal storage media. However, in several cases, CSP size and efficiency has been overcome by PV panel.
Figure 5 showed fast charging mechanism with integrated PV and ESS incorporation [14]. There are many energy storage systems (ESS) that could significantly increase the parking lot microgrid stability. Other previous research had also pointed PV as the potential RE integration because of its flexibility such as in vertical façade installment which is suitable for vertical parking lot [18]. Several types of ESSs integration was also discussed in previous research [19].

3.1 Collaboration possibility

Collaboration between the owner of the EV and the management of the parking lot was the significant social interaction aspect that would support the success and the continuity of V2G implementation. Previous research conducted in Université Laval [20] undertake a mutual collaboration between the EV owner and the parking management. The work was highpoints the benefit of vehicle-to-building (V2B) when the EV was connected to the building microgrid via V2G charging infrastructure.

The work investigated a charging scenario that consist of an agreement that allowed the EV to charge for free in exchange for the right of V2G parking management to control the EV energy [20]. The scenario had utilized Battery management system (BMS) onboard of the EV and the charger management system (CMS) inside the V2G parking infrastructure. When the EV connected, the charging infrastructure not only facilitating power exchange but also the data information exchange. The initiation of V2B process would be determined by the CMS unit. CMS other function was including continuous monitoring of BMS data from the connected EV.

![Diagram of V2G parking lot integration with buildings, PV, and ESS in detailed location and key infrastructure.](image)

**Fig. 6.** V2G parking lot integration with buildings, PV, and ESS in detailed location and key infrastructure.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 V2G parking integration with RE and ESS

The integration of RE sources into the V2G Parking microgrid can be seen in Figure 6. Control configuration of PV to the fast charging system has been detailed in Figure 5. ESS was also introduced to the microgrid with an association of battery management system (BMS) and the charger management system (CMS). In this configuration, the addition of ESS was purposed to assist balancing the microgrid. Bidirectional non-isolated DC-DC converter topologies that can be adopted as the DC chargers were also proposed [14]. Vertical facade installation was considered potential because the vertical parking lot has vertical wall that was rarely used for energy source [10]. Further action would be more focused on developing more efficient scheme to accommodate the integration of the EV into the existing microgrid. The smart energy management should consider numerous proposed sophisticated research like deterministic and fuzzy logic algorithms, genetic and particle swarm optimization algorithms, or online operation algorithm that support the improvement of overall efficiency, control, flexibility and security of the system.
4.2 Charging stations integration and building size

Combining several charging stations and buildings was one of the optimization methods since it could reduce PEV demand charge cost by up to 50% [12]. Figure 6 contains an illustration where several V2G parking was integrated one another and also linked with several buildings. Using higher power charging station such as 240 kW charging infrastructure could shrink the refueling time of the EV. However, it is certain that an increase of connected EV to the grid would increase the demand charges of the building.

When the research was focused on building integration, the high demand charges would reduce the sharing demand charges within the building. It could be seen that when the reserved energy was drawn to the high demand charges, the building would receive a minimum energy sharing. However, this integration would be relative to the actual size of the building. If the building was relatively large enough compared to the parking demand charges, then the building would sufficiently share the demand charges. The integration of fast charging infrastructure would also lead to an increase in the switching rates in the larger buildings.

Overall demand charges cost reductions should be affected significantly by the dynamics and size of the building electricity consumption. High load factor buildings had provided little to no cost benefit, and low load factor buildings might provide savings [12]. Integration with larger building could reduce the chance that the EV charged at the time of building reach its maximum demand, but potential saving might shrink as the building size increased. This was, generally, relative to the building utility and operational cost.

4.3 Collaboration in CEMS

Collaboration between parking management and EV owner on V2B scenario has been investigated in Université Lavalin [20] to aim the beneficial interaction between both parties. The research was examined the possibility of reducing the electricity bill by comparing the implementation of V2B parking with no-V2B parking scenario. Figure 7 shows that there was energy exchange between the parked EV and the microgrid which was happened in between 400 Prius and 16 MW power grid in Université Lavalin [20]. The energy exchange did not happen constantly every day and was not in the similar amount of energy. Nevertheless, it was concluded that the collaboration was reducing the campus electricity bill by 0.9% and 1.6% compared to the no-V2B original scenario [20]. If the collaboration can be implemented in every V2G parking in the community area, it is believed that the electricity bill of the community could be reduced.

Fig. 8. Brief configuration of CEMS.
Successful collaboration will furthermore support the implementation of CEMS. As mentioned before, there are many corporations that started implementing CEMS in their line of work. Figure 8 is describing the V2G introduction into the CEMS. The concept was adopted from one of CEMS implementation in Yokohama Smart City Project (YSCP) [9]. CEMS project was including the EVs server, EV charging station energy management system, house energy management system (HEMS), building energy management system (BEMS), and energy storage SCADA system.

EV server covered undertakings of dispatched EV within the coverage area of the community or even within the city area. EV server should monitor EVs location, EV power condition, and specific activities such as accidents or depleted EVs. Charging station has its own network and maintained by a server configuring it’s charging activity and monitoring the idling potential. Moreover, charging station server should anticipate the possibility of excesses grid demand charges. V2G and V2B implementations were also controlled by this server.

In higher scale, HEMS and BEMS were also integrated to the CEMS server to ensure the grid power demand. These will include small residence, medium house, apartment building, small to medium office, large building, and event commercial facilities within the community. Community energy storage system was installed under specific SCADA system to help the community grid maintain its stability. The whole system was delivered using internet network within the community area. CEMS control room equipped with a designated cyber security system would be a significant addition to the system.

4.3 Further challenges

PV integration and ESS introduction have significantly stabilized the microgrid. However, several challenges would emerge as the large deployment of PHEV connected to the power grid. If EV owners were allowed to charge their PHEV whenever they want, power consumption in the parking lot would increase and created a need for higher peak generation capacity [21]. Moreover, increased generation demand due to the high peaks demand would imply to a more expensive system operation cost [22]. As a final consequence, large fleet of PHEV would require a dumb charging approach which require a massive investment in the distribution grid [23, 24]. Another challenge came from mobility patterns that did not allow EVs to be charged during valley hours. It might increase marginal system cost when intermittent RES generation was low. This would also increase the use of more expensive power generation output and CO2 emissions [25].

5 CONCLUSION

This work introduced the implementation of V2G into parking management system. V2G concept facilitated discharging activity from the plugged EV into the building and power grid. This activity would put EV connected to the grid as energy back which could share its stored energy when the power grid needs it. This sharing activity had ensured the grid stability and reduced charging demand peak. Vertical parking lot provided higher car capacity within same ground area comparing to the conventional on-ground parking lot. This vertical evolution had also provided significant peak demand energy absorption. The stable energy capacity from the plugged EV and the integrated RE could ensure a continuous energy share with the building. V2B would emerge a mutual collaboration between EV owner and the building management. Under the specific agreement, the collaboration could reduce electricity cost of the building. The final affection of implementing V2G within the building in the selected area was supporting the success of CEMS which furthermore cement the solid baseline of smart grid implementation.
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