Iodine status and its determinants in subpopulation of pregnant women in rural Central India

Surekha A. Tayade*, Shakuntala Chhabra

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Sewagram, Wardha, Maharashtra, India

Received: 13 December 2017
Accepted: 08 January 2018

*Correspondence:
Dr. Surekha A. Tayade,
E-mail: stayade@mgims.ac.in

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: There is an increased demand for iodine and thyroid hormones, in pregnancy starting from the early weeks of pregnancy suggesting that there may be a need for additional supplements of iodine in high risk population to prevent iodine deficiency and its associated disorders. Hence this study was undertaken to determine the iodine status and its determinants in a subpopulation of pregnant women from a rural area of Central India.

Methods: A hospital based, cross-sectional, observational study was carried out among pregnant women seeking antenatal care at Kasturba Hospital of MGIMS, Sewagram, a rural tertiary care institute in central India. Information was collected about demographic variables, use of iodized salt, iodine rich food and goitrogens as part of diet and other determinants. Spot urine samples were obtained, and assessment of urine iodine concentration was done by using Sandell-Kolthoff reaction.

Results: Among 250 pregnant women of first trimester, iodine deficiency (ID) was present in 11.8%, of which 59.25% had mild deficiency, 33.33% moderate deficiency and 7.4% severe deficiency. More women with iodine deficiency were of higher age, had less formal education and belonged to lower middle and lower economic class. Higher number of women with iodine deficiency had family history of thyroid disorders compared to iodine sufficient (18.51% versus 5.58%), more iodine deficient commonly had goitrogens (cabbage, cauliflower, radish, sweet potato, soya etc) as part of their meals (77.77% versus 68.60%), lesser women with ID ate iodine rich food (fish, milk yoghurt, bread) (18.51% versus 68.60%) and fewer of them used iodized salt during food preparation (25.92% versus 69.95%) compared to iodine sufficient, with a significant difference.

Conclusions: Iodine deficiency is prevalent in pregnant women in this geographic region of central India. Age, low socioeconomic status, lack of education, family history, low intake of iodized salt and iodine rich food and more consumption of goitrogenic food as part of diet are risk factors. Appropriate health education, promoting use of iodized salt, quality assurance of universal salt iodization by household survey and screening in high risk group is suggested.
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INTRODUCTION

Iodine is an essential micronutrient during pregnancy and has a vital role to play in the synthesis of thyroid hormone. Disorders caused by severe iodine deficiency before or during pregnancy range from decreased fertility to trophoblastic or embryonic damage, miscarriage, stillbirth or increased infant mortality, cretinism, congenital abnormalities, and psychomotor defects. Severe deficiency causes both maternal and fetal hypothyroidism and is associated with poor obstetric outcomes like spontaneous abortion, prematurity, and stillbirth.
Zimmerman has documented the effect of iodine insufficiency on fetal neurodevelopment and fetal growth restriction (FGR) or low birth weight (LBW), less is known about preterm birth (PTB) or preeclampsia. Monitoring the iodine status in subpopulations of women of reproductive age group, pregnant women and school going children is of special importance to plan appropriate interventions.

With substantial progress in reducing iodine deficiency (ID), total number of countries with adequate intake increased from 67 to 116 between 2003 and 2014. Steady progress is seen in Europe, Asia and Western Pacific and minimal recent progress in Africa. European countries lacking specific iodine prophylaxis are also mildly deficient. At least 1,572 million people worldwide are at risk of IDD and 655 million of these are affected by goitre mostly in developing countries but also in Europe. Globally, around 29.8% of South Asian countries are estimated to have insufficient iodine intakes. Consumption of few dairy products/seafood and large amounts of goitrogenic foods has led to dietary iodine insufficiency in India, with 71 million affected. Additionally, high rainfall/flooding with mineral depletion makes Indian soil iodine deficient. National Iodine Deficiency Disorders Control Program (NIDDCP) has been a success story, but iodine status has seldom been considered in pregnancy, with few studies focusing on sub-populations thus, iodine deficiency prevails in some regions. With the ban on non-iodized salt, iodized salt is available in India, still its iodization and impact is unreliable partly due to access. As facilities determining the urinary iodine concentration are limited to regional public health laboratories, in India, studies investigating iodine in pregnancy are scarce, hence this study was undertaken with the purpose to determine the iodine status in pregnant women especially in the rural population of central India to identify the pattern of iodine levels during pregnancy and generate data to inform policy makers regarding appropriate interventions.

METHODS

Study design and study site

A hospital based, cross sectional, observational study was conducted in the Kasturba Hospital, placed in rural eastern Maharashtra in central India. Kasturba Hospital is attached to Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Sewagram, Wardha, a tertiary care referral centre serving underprivileged rural masses.

Study population and ethical considerations

250 consenting women with singleton pregnancy, attending antenatal clinic at Kasturba Hospital were recruited in first trimester. Women with multiple pregnancy, known thyroid disorders and factors affecting urinary iodine concentration were excluded. Ethical approval was sought from institutional ethical committee, informed written consent was obtained, and the research was conducted with no financial burden to the participant.

Study Tool

Demographic information, relevant obstetric and dietetic history was obtained in a pretested, validated questionnaire. Use of iodized salt, goitrogens in food (cabbage, cauliflower, radish, sweet potato, soya used at least once a week), iodine rich food (milk, yoghurt, bread, fish/other sea food) was considered in dietetic history. Socioeconomic status was classified using Modified Prasad’s classification as upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower using per capita income. Formal completed years of schooling was considered as one of the determinants.

Urinary Iodine estimation

5-7 ml of random urine sample was collected from study subjects in clean plastic containers. Toluene (preservative) was layered over it and the sample were labelled, refrigerated and sent to Regional Public Health Laboratory, Nagpur for estimation of urinary iodine concentration (UIC) where UIC was determined by ammonium persulphate method. The method used iodine’s role as a catalyst in the reduction of ceric ammonium sulfate (yellow color) to the cerous form (colorless) in the presence of arsenious acid (Sandell-Kolthoff reaction). Small samples of urine (0.25-0.5 ml) were digested with ammonium persulfate at 90-110°C; arsenious acid and ceric ammonium sulfate were then added. The decrease in yellow color over a fixed time period was measured by a spectrophotometer and plotted against a standard curve constructed with known amounts of iodine. Quality assurance was done by standardization of reagents and techniques. The interpretation of UIC was done as per WHO criteria 2013 (121) wherein values below 50 µg/l was severe deficiency, 50-99 µg/l moderate deficiency, 100-149 µg/l mild deficiency, 150-250 µg/l adequate iodine, and >250 µg/l was considered more than required.

Statistical analysis

Data was entered in a spread sheet (Excel) and analysis was done by using descriptive statistics using SPSS 17.0 version. Normal distribution of the data was examined by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and reported as arithmetic means±SD, non-normally distributed data as medians (quartiles) and categorical data as numbers and percentages. The proportions were compared by chi square test and a two-level P value <0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

A total of 250 participants were involved in the study with a mean age of 26.53±3.67 years. Maximum were gravida 2 and all were from first trimester of gestation. Iodine deficiency was present in 11.8% study participants, of
which 59.25% had mild deficiency, 33.33% moderate deficiency and 7.4% severe deficiency (Table 1).

**Table 1: Iodine levels in study subjects.**

| Grading            | Urinary Iodine concentration ug/l | N=250 | %  |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------|----|
| Severe deficiency  | < 50                              | 2     | 0.8|
| Moderate deficiency| 50-99                             | 9     | 3.6|
| Mild Deficiency    | 100-149                           | 16    | 6.4|
| Adequate           | 150-250                           | 223   | 89.2|
| Above requirements | >250                              | 0     | 0  |

None below 19 years had iodine deficiency (ID), 1.25% between 20-24 years, 14.42% between 25-29 years, 15% below 30-34 years and 20% above 35 years had ID. Thus, more women with iodine deficiency were of higher age (Table 2).

None from upper class had ID, 3.22% from upper middle class, 5.76% from middle class, 22.41% from lower middle class and 15.15% from lower class had ID. More women from lower middle and lower class had ID. (Table 2). Among illiterate women, 75% had ID whereas 40%, 19.67% and 1.75 of those educated upto primary, secondary or higher secondary school had ID. With education the occurrence of ID reduced (Table 2). More women with iodine deficiency had family history of thyroid disorders compared to iodine sufficient (18.51% versus 5.58%), higher number of iodine deficient commonly had goitrogens (cabbage, cauliflower, radish, sweet potato, soya etc) as part of their meals 77.77% versus 68.60%), lesser women with ID ate iodine rich food (18.51 % versus 68.60%) and fewer of them used iodized salt during food preparation (25.92% versus 69.95% ) compared to iodine sufficient with a significant difference (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Iodine plays a central role in thyroid physiology, being both a major constituent of thyroid hormones and a regulator of thyroid gland function. With an increased demand for thyroid hormone and decreased iodine availability due to transfer to fetus and intensified urinary losses, maintaining a euthyroid state in pregnancy is a challenge for the thyroid gland. Iodine deficiency (ID) can result in overt hypothyroxinemia, goiter, and the spectrum of iodine deficiency disorders (IDD). The global iodine status has improved markedly, but still 1.88 billion people are estimated to have insufficient intake and about 41 million new-borns /year remain unprotected from the consequences of brain damage associated with ID.

**Table 2: Demographic variables and iodine deficiency.**

| Demographic variables | Age in years | Numbers | Iodine deficient n=223 | X² value | p-value |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------|------------------------|----------|---------|
| Age in years          |              |         |                       |          |         |
| Below 19              | 1            | 0       | 0                      | 10.85    | 0.00098 |
| 20-24                 | 80           | 1       | 1.25                   | 0.95     | 0.32    |
| 25-29                 | 104          | 15      | 14.42                  | 25.88    | 0.0000036 |
| 30-34                 | 60           | 9       | 15                     | 34.06    | 0.000001 |
| >35                   | 5            | 1       | 20                     |          |         |
| Socioeconomic status  |              |         |                       |          |         |
| Upper                 | 15           | 0       | 0                      |          |         |
| Upper middle          | 31           | 1       | 3.22                   | 0.32     |         |
| Middle                | 52           | 3       | 5.76                   | 0.32     |         |
| Lower Middle          | 58           | 13      | 22.41                  | 0.32     |         |
| Lower                 | 66           | 10      | 15.15                  | 0.32     |         |
| Education             |              |         |                       |          |         |
| Illiterate            | 4            | 3       | 75                     | 0.32     |         |
| Primary               | 25           | 10      | 40                     | 0.32     |         |
| Secondary             | 61           | 12      | 19.67                  | 0.32     |         |
| Higher Secondary      | 114          | 2       | 1.75                   | 0.32     |         |
| Graduate              | 36           | 0       | 0                      | 0.32     |         |
| Post Graduate         | 10           | 0       | 0                      | 0.32     |         |

**Table 3: Determinants of iodine deficiency.**

| Determinants         | Value   | Iodine sufficient n=223 | Iodine deficient n=27 | X² value | p-value |
|----------------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|
| Family history       | Yes     | 8                       | 3.58                  | 5        | 18.51   | 10.85 | 0.00098 |
| Goitrogens           | Yes     | 153                     | 68.60                 | 21       | 77.77   | 0.95  | 0.32  |
| Iodine rich food     | Yes     | 153                     | 68.60                 | 5        | 18.51   | 25.88 | 0.0000036 |
| Iodized salt         | Yes     | 156                     | 69.95                 | 7        | 25.92   | 34.06 | 0.000001 |
|                      | No      | 22                      | 9.86                  | 13       | 48.14   |       |        |
|                      | Don’t know | 34                   | 15.24                 | 7        | 25.92   | 23    | 0.0000016 |

Most participants, were from rural background as the study site was rural (211 (84.4%) rural and 39 (15.6%) urban). Among rural residents, 23 of 211, (10.90 %) and 4 of 39 (10.25%) from urban area had ID. Thus, ID was similar in rural as well as urban population with no significant difference.
However, scarce data exists for women of reproductive age and for pregnant women at national or sub-national level in most countries. Thus, this study was undertaken to determine the iodine status in pregnant women of rural area and suggest appropriate interventions.

In global prevalence data, India shows adequate iodine nutrition with a urinary iodine concentration (UIC) of 100-299 μg/L, however, subnational data may not reflect regional differences very well. A review of Indian studies revealed significant ID in pregnant women suggesting that UIC monitoring in pregnancy be a vital component of the National program on control of IDD and highlighting the need for national level representative surveys. In the present study too, 11.8% pregnant women had ID.

Dietary iodine insufficiency is common in India, with an estimated total of 71 million affected. Two cross-sectional studies from Rajasthan, revealed a median UIC of 127 μg/L in and 118 μg/L in pregnant women of >28 weeks of gestation. Among Indian tribal women, Menon et al found a median UIC of 106 and 71 mg/l at 17 and 34 weeks of pregnancy, respectively. In contrast, Lean et al in Pune, Maharashtra reported an adequate median UIC of 203 and 211 μg/l at 17 and 34 weeks respectively, implying that ID is unlikely in this population. This difference from different areas of the same country highlights the geographical variation which may be due to cultural/dietary habits, availability of iodine fortified products, and proximity to the sea and access to fish/seafood.

Various studies have revealed linear correlation between maternal age and UIC. In the present study as the age increased the occurrence of ID was more. Bath found a weak correlation between age and iodine-to-creatinine ratio at 12 weeks in a linear regression model while Wei Z also found positive correlation (b=4 0.007, P=0.001). Zoysa et al reported that women ≤25 years had significantly lower UIC compared to ≥25 years. Ghassabian et al too found age as a covariate and Menon et al reported that with 1 year increase in age, UIC decreased by 5%. Iodine sufficient were better formally educated than deficient, and illiteracy was more commonly seen in ID in the present study. Bath et al too found a positive correlation between education and iodine status and reported that with increased education there was knowledge about iodine containing food and food products, adequate and appropriate consumption of iodized salt and normal iodine status in pregnancy. Ghassabian et al found that education status had a positive effect on UIC. Contents of meals are dependent on economic status so socioeconomic status does affect the iodine status. Foods such as milk, eggs and bread are good sources of iodine, cow’s milk being considered important and associations between low intake of milk and low UIC has been reported. Due to the high iodine concentration in seawater and seaweed, populations that live near the sea and frequently eat seafood usually ingest more than double the amount of iodine ingested by other populations. Thus, a higher percentage of women belonging to lower middle and lower class had ID. A family history of TD, increases risk for further developing TD with an etiology of ID. The risk is slightly greater if one has a first-degree relative (mother, sister, and daughter) with TD. However, others report that a positive family history of any form of TD may not be associated with increased risk of developing ID or hypothyroidism. The present study found a positive correlation.

National Coverage Evaluation Survey 2011, has revealed that 91% of households had access to iodized salt, 71% consumed adequately iodized salt and 9% consumed salt with no iodine; with wide rural urban variation (83.2% in urban versus 66.1% in rural areas) and across different States/Union territories (UT). Government of India in 1997 imposed a ban on the storage and sale of non-iodized salt and by 2000 all UTs and states except Kerala initiated it. In Maharashtra, the ban was partial. In Maharashtra, 77.4% households used iodized salt, 14% used inadequately iodized salt and 8.6 % used non-iodized salt. In the present study pregnant women with ID had poor intake of iodized salt. Maharashtra government may seriously think about imposing the ban completely and consider increasing the fortification of salt from 15 ppm to 20 ppm as the need for iodine during pregnancy increases rapidly. Fortification of alternative food for consumption by pregnant women may also be thought of.

Goitrogens are substances that disrupt the production of thyroid hormones by interfering with iodine uptake in the thyroid gland triggering the pituitary to release TSH, which then promotes the growth of thyroid tissue, eventually leading to goiter. These, if consumed in considerable quantities may contribute to development of goiter but it is difficult to incriminate them as etiologic factors in vast majority of goitrous patients. In the present study, there was an overall increased consumption of goitrogenic food in study participants. This may be due to overall diet pattern of this rural area favouring vegetables.

In the present study, there was overall low consumption of iodine rich food in women with ID. Many Japanese eat seaweed and make soup stock from kelp on a daily basis, and in fact it is widely believed in the Japanese society that seaweed intake is good for pregnancy. In U.S, the common sources of iodine are iodized salt, dairy products, breads and seafood and thus adequate iodine was maintained. In Australia, mandatory use of iodized salt (25-65 mg/kg) by bread manufacturers and a daily supplement intake of 150 μg of iodine by pregnant women were recommended by the National Health and Medical Research Council. Pregnant women in New Zealand are supplemented with iodized salt in bread to achieve adequate iodine intake. Present study findings also recommend fortification of alternative foods like wheat flour or bread to provide adequate iodine.
CONCLUSION

In this geographic region of rural central India, 11.8% pregnant women representing general antenatal population had iodine deficiency (ID). Higher age and higher gravidity were risk factors for ID and it is suggested that older pregnant women and women with high gravidity should be evaluated for ID. Similar occurrence of ID was detected in rural and urban population, suggesting no difference in strategies for rural and urban population. Economic class affected ID as more women with ID were from lower middle and lower economic class, maybe they need more thrust for screening and appropriate intervention.

Good education affected iodine status. Better female literacy would improve the iodine intake and life style which later is likely to affect the happenings during pregnancy. Family history being an important risk factor, it is suggested that pregnant women with family history of TD should undergo complete evaluation for ID. There was overall high use of goitrogenic food as part of meals in most study participants and iodine deficient consumed iodized salt for food preparation in lesser numbers. It is suggested that there should be particular focus, in ensuring appropriate iodization of salt with respect to increased demand for iodine in pregnancy and ensure use. Fortification of other foods like wheat flour and bread is also suggested.

Maharashtra government may rethink about imposing a complete ban on non-iodized salt and promote universal salt iodization particularly in areas of ID. Household survey for detecting ID should be intensified in this geographic region and focus should be on universal coverage and quality of iodized salt. Health education regarding goitrogenic food and its alternatives and advantage of iodine rich food in the community are suggested and more research is required in this aspect.
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