Niche adaptation limits bacteriophage predation of Vibrio cholerae in a nutrient-poor aquatic environment
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Vibrio cholerae, the causative agent of cholera, has reservoirs in fresh and brackish water where it interacts with virulent bacteriophages. Phages are the most abundant biological entity on earth and coevolve with bacteria. It was reported that concentrations of phage and V. cholerae inversely correlate in aquatic reservoirs and in the human small intestine, and therefore that phages may quench cholera outbreaks. Although there is strong evidence for phage predation in cholera patients, evidence is lacking for phage predation of V. cholerae in aquatic environments. Here, we used three virulent phages, ICP1, ICP2, and ICP3, commonly shed by cholera patients in Bangladesh, as models to understand the predation dynamics in microcosms simulating aquatic environments. None of the phages were capable of predation in fresh water, and only ICP1 was able to prey on V. cholerae in estuarine water due to a requirement for salt. We conclude that ICP2 and ICP3 are better adapted for predation in a nutrient rich environment. Our results point to the evolution of niche-specific predation by V. cholerae-specific virulent phages, which complicates their use in predicting or monitoring cholera outbreaks as well as their potential use in reducing aquatic reservoirs of V. cholerae in endemic areas.

Significance

Virulent phages can reduce populations of bacteria and help shape bacterial evolution. Here, we used three virulent phages to understand their equilibrium with V. cholerae in nutrient-limiting aquatic microcosms. It has been proposed that phages quench cholera outbreaks, but no direct evidence of phage predation in aquatic environments had been established. Here, we show that different phages possess varied abilities to infect in certain niches or stages of the host bacterial life cycle. Unveiling the phage/bacterial interactions in their natural setting is important to the understanding of cholera outbreaks and could be ultimately used to help develop a method for outbreak prediction and/or control.
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The water-borne bacterium Vibrio cholerae causes cholera, an acute intestinal infection characterized by profuse secretory diarrhea that can quickly lead to severe dehydration and death if untreated. This pathogen is able to persist in aquatic environments in planktonic form or by association with phytoplankton and the chitinous carapaces of zooplankton (1) and is endemic in many countries where it forms reservoirs in fresh water and estuarine environments (2). Among the many interactions this pathogen could have in the environment, virulent phages may be of special relevance. Phages are viruses of bacteria that are present at ~10¹⁰⁸ in the earth’s hydrosphere (3, 4). Phages exist in dynamic equilibrium with their bacterial hosts and help drive bacterial evolution and shape ecosystems (3, 5).

In the 1920s Felix D’Herelle studied the relationship between V. cholerae and virulent phages, finding that phages were widespread in the environment a few days after the onset of an outbreak (6). Recent studies have proposed that cholera outbreaks are driven, in part, by an increase in the hyperinfectious V. cholerae population (7, 8) and quenched by an increase in virulent phages in infected humans (9, 10) and in environmental reservoirs (11, 12). However, no direct evidence of phage predation of V. cholerae in aquatic settings has been reported. It is known that phages can limit V. cholerae replication during intestinal infection (13) and are shed into the environment due to fecal contamination of waters in endemic areas. Hence, the appearance of environmental phages may simply be a consequence of phage replication in infected humans (9, 10).

Virulent phages are frequently found in cholera patient stools (14, 15). A study of a 10-y collection of such stool samples in Bangladesh revealed the presence of just three V. cholerae-specific virulent phages, ICP1, ICP2, and ICP3 (15). Of these, ICP1 was the most frequently observed. Because of the dominance of these three phages in this area, the fact that they are often shed at high titers in secretory diarrhea and the general lack of waste-water treatment facilities, it is likely that these phages frequently contact V. cholerae in the surrounding aquatic environments.

We used ICP1, ICP2, and ICP3 to study their predation abilities on V. cholerae in microcosms simulating fresh and estuarine aquatic environments. Our results show that none of the phages are able to prey on V. cholerae in fresh water, and only ICP1 is able to prey on V. cholerae in estuarine water. ICP1 can replicate in V. cholerae in fresh water that is supplemented with NaCl, hence, salinity could be a critical variable in relation to environmental phage predation.

Results

ICP1 Preys on Planktonic V. cholerae in Estuary Conditions. Cholera epidemics occur in Bangladesh during March-May and after monsoon season in September–December. The temperature of the bodies of water in Bangladesh approaches 30 °C (16). Therefore, we first tested the ability of these phages to kill V. cholerae in a rich [Luria-Bertani (LB)] broth medium at 30 °C. When added to a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01, all three phages were able to reduce the load of V. cholerae over the first few hours. ICP1 and ICP3 reduced bacterial numbers 100-fold within 1 h, but after 4 h, numbers increased due to the outgrowth of phage-resistant (escape mutant) bacteria (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). ICP2 infected cultures kept growing for 1 h, but by 3 h, bacterial numbers were reduced 10,000-fold. Therefore, each of the ICP phages can infect and kill V. cholerae at 30 °C. This temperature was used throughout the rest of this paper.

It has been shown that, upon dissemination of V. cholerae from cholera patient stools to fresh water, there is a major drop in osmolarity, inorganic nutrients, and carbon sources (10). An obstacle to the study of phage predation in an aquatic model is...
the loss of bacterial viability in this nutrient-poor environment (10, 17). Since *V. cholerae* shed from the host resembles the physiology of stationary growth phase bacteria (18) and because stationary phase cells exhibit better survival to osmotic shock (17), we used stationary phase cultures for adaptation to the aquatic environment before phage infection. Phages use the bacterial cell machinery to replicate and produce progeny (19). Since shed *V. cholerae* resemble stationary phase bacteria, we tested if any of the phages were able to kill *V. cholerae* in this physiological state. Some 10^6 colony-forming units (CFUs) of *V. cholerae* from an overnight stationary phase culture were inoculated into M9 minimal media in the absence of a carbon source to avoid bacterial growth, and phages were added to a MOI of 3. ICP1 was able to reduce the *V. cholerae* load within 1 h by 10-fold. ICP1 killing was sustained for 3 h, but after 4 h, bacterial numbers increased due to the generation of ICP1 escape mutants (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1B). ICP2 was able to kill *V. cholerae* by threefold within 1 h, but then, bacterial growth quickly recovered. ICP3 was not able to kill *V. cholerae* under these conditions.

To study phage predation on planktonic *V. cholerae* in water in the absence of an added carbon source, 10^5 CFU of stationary phase *V. cholerae* were preadapted overnight at 30 °C with aeration in either 0.7% Instant Ocean, which we chose to mimic estuary, or fresh water obtained from a natural pond. For comparison, open ocean is equivalent to 3.5% Instant Ocean. Cultures were then infected with each phage at a MOI of 0.01, and bacterial viability was measured over time. Only ICP1 was able to replicate and kill *V. cholerae* in estuary conditions (Fig. 1A and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S2A and B). The *V. cholerae* population was reduced 10-fold by 4 h and 10,000-fold by 7 h. After 24 h, viable bacterial counts rebounded by means of the growth of escape mutants. All phages were able to adsorb *V. cholerae* in this environment (Fig. 1B) and were stable at 30 °C with aeration in the absence of a bacterial host (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3A), thus ruling out these trivial explanations for the lack of predation by ICP2 and ICP3.

Phages were able to replicate in *V. cholerae* from an overnight stationary phase culture inoculated into M9 minimal media in the absence of a carbon source to avoid bacterial growth, and phages were added to a MOI of 3. ICP1 was able to reduce the *V. cholerae* load within 1 h by 10-fold. ICP1 killing was sustained for 3 h, but after 4 h, bacterial numbers increased due to the generation of ICP1 escape mutants (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1B). ICP2 was able to kill *V. cholerae* by threefold within 1 h, but then, bacterial growth quickly recovered. ICP3 was not able to kill *V. cholerae* under these conditions.

The number of free and cell-associated phages after 6 h of infection was determined by infectivity-center (plaque) assay. To measure cell-associated phages, the cells were washed before performing plaque assays. Removal of greater than 99% of unbound phages was confirmed by quantifying free phage before and after washing (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2C). Consistent with its ability to kill *V. cholerae* in estuarine conditions, the titer of ICP1 in the culture supernatant increased by 15-fold (Fig. 1C). In contrast, the titers of ICP2 and ICP3 in the culture supernatant decreased by 3 orders of magnitude, respectively. In terms of cell-associated phages at 6 h, the titer of ICP1 increased threefold relative to the amount of phages added initially (Fig. 1D). In contrast, only 1% of ICP2 and 0.1% of ICP3 were cell associated (Fig. 1D).

Thus, the majority of bound ICP2 and the overwhelming majority of ICP3 were unable to replicate in estuarylike conditions and lost their ability to subsequently form plaques.

Altogether, these results suggest that in estuarine conditions where *V. cholerae* is in a nongrowing planktonic state: (i) ICP1 is efficient at adsorption, predation, and replication, (ii) ICP2 is able to adsorb, but only a small percentage of these can replicate with most of the remaining losing plaque-forming ability, and (iii) ICP3 is able to adsorb but cannot replicate or maintain infectivity.

**No ICP Phage Can Prey on *V. cholerae* in Fresh Water.** It has been suggested that fresh water is the primary aquatic reservoir for water-borne transmission of *V. cholerae* during epidemics (20). Therefore, understanding the phage predation dynamics in this environment is crucial. For this, we performed the same set of predation experiments as above but using fresh water obtained from a natural pond. Our results show that both ICP1 and ICP2 were found mostly as free phages in the supernatant after 6 h and only 1 to 2% were either associated with or within infected bacterial cells (Fig. 2C and D). As seen previously, less than 1% of the total ICP3 added was found in the supernatant after 6 h, but less than 0.001% was able to replicate after interacting with cells.

Together the results suggest that: (i) ICP1 can kill *V. cholerae* and replicate in a higher salinity condition than the one found in fresh water, (ii) only a small number of ICP2 phages replicate in *V. cholerae* in an estuarine environment, and (iii) infection by ICP3 is unsuccessful in both aquatic environments.

**ICP1 Predation in Estuary Water Selects for Escape Mutants.** We observed that, between 7 and 24 h post infection in estuary conditions, bacterial viability increased in the presence of ICP1 (Fig. 1A) and the likely explanation for this is the generation of escape mutants via phase variation which alters the expression of the lipopolysaccharide O1-antigen phage receptor (21). We tested this by plating surviving *V. cholerae* at 7 and 24 h post infection, testing the isolates for phage sensitivity by cross streaking, and then performing whole genome sequencing to identify mutations. The number of escape mutants increased over time after 7 h of infection (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4A). A total of eight escape mutants after 24 h of infection were sequenced, and mutations were identified in O1-antigen biosynthetic phase variable genes *wbel* and *mnaA* (21) as well as in other lipopolysaccharide biosynthetic genes (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4B and C and Table S1). At the same time, a small percentage of survivors (4%) was still sensitive to infection by ICP1 (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4A, 24 h). No ICP2 or ICP3

---

*Fig. 1.* ICP1 preys on *V. cholerae* in estuary water. Some 10^5 CFU of *V. cholerae* from an overnight culture were preadapted in 0.7% Instant Ocean overnight in the absence of an added carbon source. ICP1, ICP2, or ICP3 were added to a MOI of 0.01 to assess bacterial viability and phage replication over time. A and B show averages with the standard error. (A) Bacterial viability at 1, 2, 4, 7, and 24 h. (B) Phage adsorption after 15 min of static incubation at 24 °C. C and D show the medians and ranges of, at least, eight biological replicates. The empty symbols represent data under the limit of detection. (C) The ratio of phages in the culture supernatant at 6 h relative to the number initially added (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.005). PFU, plaque-forming units.
ICP1 exhibited impaired predation (Fig. 3C) similar to the levels seen in fresh water alone (compare Figs. 2B and 3A). These results indicate that, at the time of infection, ICP1 predation in an aquatic condition requires a minimum salinity threshold well above the salinity of fresh water.

**Chitin Availability Aids Phage Predation.** It has been proposed that *V. cholerae* can grow in the environment using chitin as a nitrogen and carbon source (23). We first tested whether *V. cholerae* could utilize chitin for growth in our aquatic conditions by adding 10⁷ CFU from a stationary phase culture into a 1% chitin suspension in either 0.7% Instant Ocean or fresh water. *V. cholerae* was able to grow in both, however, growth in the estuarine condition was faster and reached a higher yield compared with fresh water (SI Appendix, Fig. S5).

To evaluate if chitin utilization aids phage predation, we tested *V. cholerae* both in exponential and in stationary phases of growth. For exponential growth in the estuary condition plus chitin, predaptation was performed for 8 h at 30 °C in static conditions (SI Appendix, Fig. S5B). Each phage was then added to a MOI of 0.01 and incubated for 16 h after which bacterial viability and phage replication were assessed. ICP1 reduced the *V. cholerae* population only by 10-fold (Fig. 4/A) due to the generation of escape mutants during the incubation period. On the other hand, neither ICP2 nor ICP3 caused a reduction in bacterial numbers. Consistent with predation by ICP1, the phage titers increased 10,000-fold, whereas ICP2 and ICP3 titers showed no significant increase (Fig. 4/B).

To test stationary phase cells, 10⁷ CFU from an overnight culture were inoculated in a 1% chitin suspension in either 0.7% Instant Ocean water or fresh water, and cultures were incubated overnight at 30 °C in static conditions. Each phase was then added to a MOI of 0.01, and bacterial viability and phage replication were measured. In fresh water, none of the phages were able to kill *V. cholerae* (SI Appendix, Fig. S6A) or replicate (SI Appendix, Fig. S6B).

**Salinity Is a Crucial Factor for ICP1 Predation.** Since ICP1 was able to kill *V. cholerae* in estuarine-like conditions and not in fresh water, we hypothesized that either the physiology of *V. cholerae* varies in the two environments or that a cofactor present in estuary but not in fresh water might be needed by ICP1 for predation. One major difference between estuary and fresh water is salinity. The concentration of Na⁺ in fresh water is about 0.3 mM (10) compared with 92.4 mM in 0.7% Instant Ocean (22). To test if ICP1 replication requires high salinity, NaCl was added to fresh water to the levels present either in our estuarine condition (0.09 M) or in LB broth (0.17 M). Cultures of *V. cholerae* preadapted in the two NaCl concentrations tested were infected using a MOI of 0.01. ICP1 was able to infect and kill *V. cholerae* in fresh water supplemented with 0.09 or 0.17 M NaCl by 7 h, albeit 100-fold less than in estuary conditions (Fig. 3A). Consistent with these levels of killing, we observed moderate levels of phage replication compared with the results from estuary water (Fig. 3B).

These results suggest that NaCl alone has an impact in predation by ICP1, however, this was not sufficient to obtain the same level of predation seen in estuary conditions. Fresh water often contains growth-limiting amounts of nutrients, such as phosphate and fixed nitrogen (10), and this may prevent *V. cholerae* from fully supporting ICP1 infection.

Because *V. cholerae* is a halophile, growth in high salinity may be required for the cells to become susceptible to ICP1. Alternatively, ICP1 attachment or infection may require the presence of salt. To differentiate between these, we preadapted *V. cholerae* in fresh water supplemented with 0.09 M NaCl, then washed and resuspended the cells in fresh water alone. If NaCl is necessary for phage attachment or infection, changing the media to fresh water alone should impair predation. Cultures were washed and infected with ICP1 at a MOI of 0.01, and viable CFU were assessed at 7 h.

escape mutants were detected in the estuary cultures, consistent with the lack of phage replication (Fig. 2/A).
Vibrio cholerae is more susceptible to ICP1 and ICP2 in the presence of chitin. Some 10^6 CFU of V. cholerae from an overnight culture were preadapted in a 1% chitin suspension in 0.7% Instant Ocean until exponential growth. ICP1, ICP2, or ICP3 were added to a MOI of 0.01 to assess bacterial viability and phage replication over 24 h. (A) Bacterial viability at 16 h is the average with a SE of, at least, three biological replicates. (B) Phage replication at 16 h relative to the number initially added. (C) Some 10^6 CFU of V. cholerae from an overnight culture were preadapted overnight for phage predation. ICP1, ICP2, or ICP3 were added to a MOI of 0.01. Bacterial viability at 1, 2, 4, 7, and 24 h in estuary conditions is shown. (D) The ratio of phages in the culture supernatant at 7 and 24 h relative to the number initially added (**P < 0.05, ****P < 0.0005). ns, not significant; PFU, plaque-forming units.

In the estuary environment, we observed results similar to the estuary planktonic conditions. Only ICP1 had the ability to kill V. cholerae and to replicate (Fig. 4 C and D). Consistent with this killing, escape mutants arose; after 7 h of infection, 90% of the survivors were resistant to infection by ICP1, and this number grew to 98% within 24 h (SI Appendix, Fig. S4D). ICP2 exhibited a slightly increased ability to kill V. cholerae and to replicate compared with estuary alone; viability of V. cholerae was decreased by 10-fold at 24 h, and phage titer increased 10-fold. Consistent with this moderate level of killing, at 7 h of infection, one out of 24 isolates was resistant to ICP2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S4E). In contrast and similar to that observed in estuary alone, ICP3 was not able to reduce V. cholerae viability nor replicate (Fig. 4 C and D). Since V. cholerae was able to grow on chitin in both aquatic conditions (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A), the lack of phage predation in fresh water is not due to a lack of bacterial growth but instead appears to be impaired by the physicochemical properties of the water and/or the host’s response to growth in those conditions.

ICP2 and ICP3 Bound to V. cholerae Do Not Replicate in the Infant Mouse Small Intestine. ICP1 replication in high salinity aquatic environments seems plausible according to our results and could explain the high prevalence of ICP1 in Bangladesh. However, it is unclear how ICP2 and ICP3 are maintained. ICP2 and ICP3 have only been observed to naturally replicate in V. cholerae that infects the intestine, and the data provided here raise doubts as to their ability to replicate on V. cholerae in nutrient-poor environmental waters. Since both phages and bacteria are shed following human infection, it is possible that ingestion of contaminated water could perpetuate phage replication. One question that arises is whether phages and bacteria are ingested as separate entities or whether they are coassociated. The former has already been demonstrated in two different animal models of intestinal infection wherein phages added before a challenge with V. cholerae or concurrently substantially decrease intestinal colonization (9, 12).

To test the latter hypothesis, we first examined the ability of cell-associated phages in fresh water to resume replication upon a shift to a nutrient rich condition. We infected 10^7 CFU in fresh water with each phage for 45 min at a MOI of 1 in static conditions at 24 °C. Cultures were washed to remove free phages, and the cells carrying bound phages were added to 2 mL of LB broth and grown (outgrowth) at 37 °C with aeration. After the wash step, 20% of ICP2 added and 0.01% of ICP3 added were cell associated and yielded live virions, similar to the planktonic results shown previously (Fig. 1D). Subsequently, both ICP2 and ICP3 reduced V. cholerae numbers after 3 h of outgrowth (Fig. 5A). This indicates that, when the small number of bacteria with live bound phages resumed growth, both ICP2 and ICP3 were able to resume replication and kill the bacteria. Within 6 h, bacterial numbers increased by means of the growth of escape mutants. Consistent with phage predation, ICP2 titer increased by 100-fold at 3 h and by 1,000-fold at 6 h (Fig. 5B); ICP3 titer increased by 5,000-fold within 3 h (Fig. 5B). Also consistent with phage predation, at 6 h of outgrowth, 100% (24/24) of the V. cholerae isolates were ICP2 escape mutants (Fig. 5C). For the ICP3 infected cultures at 6 h, 75% (22/29) of V. cholerae isolates recovered were escape mutants (Fig. 5C).

We next examined the ability of bound phages to reduce V. cholerae colonization of the small intestine of 5-d-old mice. Some 10^6 CFU of stationary phase V. cholerae that were preadapted overnight in fresh water were infected with ICP2 or ICP3 for 45 min in fresh water at a MOI of 1. Cultures were washed and resuspended in fresh water for orogastric inoculation into mice.
As controls, mice were also inoculated in the absence of phages with $10^6$ CFU of *V. cholerae* grown overnight in LB broth or preadapted overnight in fresh water. After 24 h of infection, mice were killed, the small intestines were dissected, homogenized, and the titers of *V. cholerae* and phages were determined. Preadaptation overnight in fresh water did not reduce the colonization ability of *V. cholerae* since the load of bacteria per small intestine was not different from that of *V. cholerae* grown in LB (Fig. 5D). Our results show that cell-associated ICP2 and ICP3 do not limit *V. cholerae* infection since the *V. cholerae* loads present in those animals were not different from either of the control animals (Fig. 5D).

ICP3 was undetectable in a third of the animals and observed at low titer in the remaining ones (Fig. 5E). The inability of ICP3 to limit *V. cholerae* colonization of mice under these conditions can be explained by its inability to replicate after attachment to cells in fresh water. In contrast, ICP2 appeared to be able to replicate, at least, to some extent since all of the small intestines had a phage titer slightly higher than the number inoculated (Fig. 5D). However, this was not sufficient to reduce *V. cholerae* colonization of these animals. Consistent with this, no *V. cholerae* escape mutants to ICP2 were found among 40 isolates screened (eight from each mouse output). Thus, cell-associated ICP2 and, particularly, ICP3 show a poor ability when inoculated into the infant mouse intestinal tract and, as a result, are not able to reduce the load of *V. cholerae* to any measurable extent.

**Discussion**

A better understanding of the ecology of *V. cholerae* and its phages in aquatic reservoirs could lead to the development of better tools for outbreak prediction and potential treatments to water supplies to reduce human infection. We used fresh and estuary water microcosms to study the interaction of three virulent phages with *V. cholerae*. These experiments are reductionist since in its reservoir *V. cholerae* has complex interactions with other organisms and it experiences changes in the physicochemical properties of the water throughout the year (24). Nevertheless, our results have relevance for understanding the impact of virulent phages on *V. cholerae* during cholera outbreaks during which *V. cholerae* and phages are shed in secretory diarrhea into bodies of water and are subsequently transmitted via consumption of contaminated fresh or estuary water.

It has been proposed that cholera outbreaks and *V. cholerae* populations are inversely correlated with the phage levels in the aquatic environment (11, 12), and a predation role was suggested for JSF4 (9), an ICP1-like phage (25). Our results do not support this model since ICP2 and ICP3 were shown to be incapable of preying on *V. cholerae* in fresh or estuary water and ICP1 was incapable of preying on *V. cholerae* in fresh water. However, since ICP1 is capable of predation in estuary water, it might play a role in reducing populations of *V. cholerae* in estuarine environments.

We also found that ICP1 is able to prey on stationary phase or nondividing *V. cholerae*, perhaps suggesting a role for predation in the long-term maintenance of ICP1 in nutrient-poor aquatic reservoirs. This may be a factor in the high frequency of isolation of ICP1 in patient stools and aquatic environments in Bangladesh (9, 15). ICP1 shares features with *Escherichia coli* T4 phage (15), and it was shown that T4 has the ability to kill stationary phase *E. coli* (26). However, we do not yet know how ICP1 infects stationary phase *V. cholerae*. It is known that genome injection by T4 requires a membrane potential (27). However, *V. cholerae* was highly motile, which requires a membrane potential (28), even after 48 h in fresh water in the absence of an added carbon source. Thus, the absence of a membrane potential is unlikely to explain the lack of killing by ICP1 in this setting. Our results indicate that the presence of NaCl could be an important factor for ICP1 infection. This could be due to Na$^+$, which has been shown to be important for λ DNA injection (29).

It remains possible that ICP1 can prey on *V. cholerae* in fresh water due to the complex Bangladeshi climate scenario with high temperatures in summer that evaporate fresh water increasing its salinity in addition to the monsoon and flooding that lead to the mixture of aquatic reservoirs. Another possible scenario is that ICP1 in fresh water could be ingested in planktonic form or when attached to *V. cholerae* and replicate within the host as has been suggested (9). ICP2 has been found sporadically in patient and environmental samples (15, 30). Our results show that ICP2 was mostly inefficient in attaching to *V. cholerae* and had modest predation capability in the presence of chitin in estuary conditions. ICP2 seems to thrive instead within the host and nutrient rich environments.

The last phase we studied was ICP3, a T7-like phage (15). We show that ICP3 is able to attach to *V. cholerae* but is incapable of replicating in fresh or estuary water and the majority becomes inactive after a short period of time. ICP3 when bound to outer membrane vesicles cannot inject its DNA, and 90% of bound ICP3 shows a full capsid (31). T7 DNA ejection needs active transport into the bacterial host that is mediated by the host RNA polymerase (28). *V. cholerae* remained highly motile in the nutrient-poor settings we tested, suggesting it is metabolically active, but it is possible that the host RNA polymerase is not available to aid in phage DNA transport into the cell. Despite the majority of ICP3 becoming inactive after adsorption to *V. cholerae*, a fraction of the phages remained intact as phages were recovered in the majority of the infected animals. This could explain why it is found sporadically in cholera patient samples and suggests that ICP3, like ICP2, has adapted to replicate within a nutrient rich microenvironment.

Because of ICP3’s fickle nature in water, it is possible that maintenance of ICP3 in Bangladesh relies primarily upon rapid transmission between people, such as occurs within households (32). Of 29 sequences reported for environmental *V. cholerae* phages (11, 25, 30, 33), 41% corresponded to ICP3-like phages. This result is striking since our model does not support the notion that ICP3 can replicate in nutrient-limiting aquatic environments. However, detailed information about the exact locations for sampling is lacking in these studies. It is possible that these phages were collected from surface waters near fish markets or nutrient rich microenvironments that allow *V. cholerae* growth (34) or near sewage where human-shed phage could be collected.

ICP1 and ICP2 also reproduced poorly and infected the infant mouse small intestine after being coincubated in cell-associated form. This contrasts with the sporadic occurrence of these phages, often at high titers, in cholera patient stools (15, 25). The inability of these phages to replicate in our animal experiments could be due to any number of reasons. For example, niches that support replication within the human small intestine may not be reproduced in infant mice. Alternatively, replication of *V. cholerae* in the absence of associated phages is required to occur for some period of time before free phages can successfully initiate replication. *V. cholerae* escape mutants have been observed in patient stools with high titers of ICP2 (35). The absence of ICP2 escape mutants in our mouse colonization experiment is consistent with a lack of sufficient phage predation needed to impose selection on *V. cholerae*.

Our results suggest that ICP2 and ICP3 evolved to replicate within nutrient rich environments, which might occur in certain environmental settings and within the human intestinal tract. On the other hand, our data suggest that ICP1 has evolved to be adaptable for predation on *V. cholerae* both in the human host and in the aquatic environments given adequate salinity. These different niche adaptations may help to maintain all three phages in the complex cholera endemic setting of Bangladesh.

To summarize, our paper highlights the evolution of niche-specific predation by three virulent phages. We examined adaptation for predation in different environments including the small intestine and aquatic environments that vary by Na$^+$, carbon, and
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