Properties of particleboards made from sugarcane bagasse particles
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ABSTRACT: The objective of this work was to evaluate the physical-mechanical properties and the density profile of panels produced with sugarcane bagasse particles with two sizes (0.50 and 0.85 mm) and with pre-treatment (particles treated in hot water at 70 °C for two hours) and without pre-treatment. The nominal density of the panels was 0.65 g cm⁻³. The cycle parameters were 35 kgf cm⁻² of pressure, temperature of 180 °C and 10 min of pressing. The physical and mechanical tests were performed according to the requirements of NBR 14810-2006. The density profile of the particleboards was obtained by X-ray densitometry. The water absorption in two hours was higher in the panels produced with particles treated in hot water. The other physical and mechanical properties were not influenced by the evaluated factors. The apparent density varied along the thickness of the panels, with more pronounced peaks in the outer layers, thus presenting a vertical density gradient within the normal range for this type of panel. The physical-mechanical properties do not meet the minimum requirements by the NBR 14810 (2006) for non-structural panels for indoor use in dry conditions (Type P2).
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Propriedades de painéis aglomerados constituídos por partículas de bagaço de cana-de-açúcar

RESUMO: O objetivo deste trabalho foi avaliar as propriedades físico-mecânicas e o perfil de densidade de painéis produzidos com partículas de bagaço de cana-de-açúcar com dois tamanhos (0.50 e 0.85 mm) e com pré-tratamento (partículas tratadas em água quente a 70 °C por duas horas) e sem pré-tratamento. A densidade nominal dos painéis foi 0.65 g cm⁻³. Os parâmetros do ciclo foram 35 kgf cm⁻² de pressão, temperatura de 180 °C e 10 min de prensagem. Os testes físicos e mecânicos foram realizados de acordo com as designações da NBR 14810-2006. O perfil de densidade dos painéis de partículas foi obtido por densitometria de raio-X. A absorção de água em duas horas foi maior nos painéis produzidos com partículas tratadas em água quente. As demais propriedades físicas e mecânicas não foram influenciadas pelos fatores avaliados. A densidade aparente variou ao longo da espessura dos painéis, com picos mais pronunciados nas camadas externas, apresentando assim um gradiente vertical de densidade dentro da normalidade para este tipo de painel. As propriedades físicas e mecânicas não atenderam aos requisitos mínimos da NBR 14810 (2006) para painéis não estruturais para uso interno em condições secas (Tipo P2).
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Introduction

The particleboards are produced with wood particles and addition of synthetic adhesives under heat and pressure (Araújo et al., 2019). Some features of the panels stand out that make their use interesting, such as low requirement on raw materials, absence of dimensional instability and availability of large pieces. In 2018, Brazil produced 8.2 million m³ of reconstituted panels (Ibá, 2019). The panel quality depends on wood factors, such as species, extractives and basic density, besides those related to processing (Surdi et al., 2019).

The particle geometry is a parameter that can affect the final performance of the panels. This variable influences the physical properties of the panels (Rusch et al., 2020). The particle geometry has a great influence on the final properties of the panel, along with the species of wood, the amount of adhesives and additives. Some mechanical properties can also be affected by geometry such as flexural strength, parallel tensile strength, perpendicular surface resistance and screw pulling resistance (Araújo et al., 2019).

The production of particleboards is supported by raw material from Pinus and Eucalyptus (Sozim et al., 2019). However, the advance of this sector resulted in an increase in demand for raw material for manufacturing products and therefore the need to seek new alternative sources. An interesting alternative would be sugarcane bagasse that is a residue of lignocellulosic fiber derived from sugarcane processing and juice extraction. It is used in the sugar and ethanol industry to generate heat, steam and energy (Mesquita et al., 2017, 2019).

In the 2018/19 harvest, Brazil produced approximately 620 million tons of sugarcane, the raw material used to produce 29 million tons of sugar, 33 billion liters of ethanol and 21.5 TWh for the chain national electrical. Most of the bagasse produced is used in the plant itself to generate steam to supply energy to its industrial park. The estimated amount of bagasse generation for one ton of sugar cane is 250 kg (UNICA, 2020).

Of using waste for manufacturing particleboards made from sugarcane bagasse is important from the social, economic, and business perspectives. Some studies carried out by Mendes et al. (2014), Fiorelli et al. (2016), Soares et al. (2017), Silva et al. (2018), Brito & Bortolotto Júnior (2019), Buzo et al. (2019) and Nakanishi et al. (2019) have demonstrated that this waste has the potential to produce panels, associated or not, with other lignocellulosic materials. In a study conducted with sugarcane bagasse Soares et al. (2017) observed that this material contains high amounts of extracts, substances that can affect the curing of the resin and the adhesion between particles, in addition to cells with less stiffness and more damaged because of the sugarcane milling process (Mesquita et al., 2017, 2019).

Thus, the objective of this work was to evaluate the physical and mechanical properties and the density profile of particleboard panels produced with sugarcane bagasse particles with two sizes (0.50 and 0.85 mm), untreated and treated in hot water.

Materials and Methods

Particles

Sugarcane residues (bagasse) free from signs of deterioration and uniform staining were collected from the plant stockyard at a sugar mill located in the city of Santa Bárbara D’Oeste - SP. After the collection the material was transported to the laboratory’s yard, where the bagasse was exposed to the open air for natural drying until it reached moisture of close to 18%.

The material was dried in an oven equipped with renovation and forced air circulation at 70 °C for three hours until reaching an average moisture content of 10%. After, the particles were classified into a vibrating machine and the particles of 0.50 and 0.85 mm were used for particleboards production, separately.

The density of the sugarcane bagasse particles was 0.090 g cm⁻³.

Pretreatment of the particles by immersion in hot water

A box with dimensions of 63 × 30 × 83 cm (width × height × length) was constructed with iron bars and shading screens for the treatment. The particles were packed inside the box and submerged in hot water in a metal tank, equipped with a set of electrical resistors and thermostat set to maintain the temperature of the liquid at 70 °C for two hours. After this period, the particles were washed away in running water, dried in the open air and in an oven until they reached a moisture content of 3 to 4%, because this is the ideal content for manufacturing particular panels.

Particleboards production

The adhesive Urea-Formaldehyde (UF) was applied to the particles with a solids content of 64.16%, density of 1.27 g cm⁻³ and a pH of 7.88, with 10% of resin solids based on the dry mass of the particles. An ammonia sulfate solution (catalyst) was added to the adhesive at a ratio of 5% solids. Liquid paraffin at 1% solids was also applied to the particles in all treatments on the same basis as the adhesive.

The particles impregnated with adhesive and paraffin were manually placed into a mattress-forming wooden box with internal dimensions of 40 × 40 cm.

The particulate-mat was placed in a hydraulic press for hot pressing. The maximum pressure used during the panels pressing was 35 kgf cm⁻² at a temperature of 180 °C and total time of 10 min using 15.70 mm thickness separators.

After cooling, the particleboards were conditioned in an air-conditioned room with controlled temperature and relative humidity at 22 ± 2 °C and 65 ± 5%, respectively, until constant mass, indicating that they reached the equilibrium moisture content with the environment.

Properties of the particleboards

The apparent density, moisture content, water absorption and thickness swelling after 2 and 24 hours of immersion, modulus of rupture and modulus of elasticity to static bending
and resistance to perpendicular traction (internal bonding) were the properties evaluated in physical-mechanical tests. Specimen dimensions, test realization and property value calculations complied with the requirements of Brazilian Norm - NBR 14810 (ABNT, 2006).

The compaction ratio of the panels was calculated by the ratio between the nominal density of the panel (g cm⁻³) and the basic density of the sugarcane bagasse (g cm⁻³).

Density profile of panels

A QDP-01X QMS X-ray densitometer was used to analyze the density profile. The samples were transferred to the internal compartment of the densitometer, and automatic calibration of the equipment was started for 60 s. Next, continuous scanning along the sample thickness began. The X-ray beams were subsequently transformed into specific density point values obtained every 20 μm by the QMS program. A file was generated in DAT format from collecting these data and read by Excel software, which allowed for constructing a profile graph of the maximum, medium and minimum apparent densities (Brito & Bortoletto Júnior, 2020).

Experimental design and statistical analysis

A completely randomized experimental design was used with a 2 × 2 factorial design for the treatments, in which the factors were the bagasse particle size (0.50 and 0.85 mm), and the particle treatment (treated and untreated in hot water), totaling 4 treatments and 3 replications. The Tukey test (p < 0.05) was applied to the significant factors of the F test (p < 0.05) for physical-mechanical properties of the particleboards. Lilliefors and Cochran tests checked the normality of the data and homogeneity of the variances, respectively. The statistical analyses were performed with Sisvar software. The physical and mechanical test results were compared with those established by NBR 14810 (ABNT, 2006) for the characterization of particleboards for medium density, non-structural, indoor use in dry conditions (Type P2). Therefore, it is observed that all mean values of moisture content meet this requirement.

Results and Discussion

Physical properties

There was no influence of treatments on the physical properties tested, according to the analysis of variance performed, except for AA2h, which will be discussed in Table 2. The nominal compaction ratio obtained from the panels was 7.22 and the effective was 6.66. For panels constituted with granulometry 0.50 and 0.85 mm the apparent density varied between 0.59 to 0.60 g cm⁻³ and moisture content ranged from 9.22 to 9.83%, respectively (Table 1). The apparent density obtained were lower in all treatments than the calculated nominal density (0.65 g cm⁻³). This fact can be associated with loss of adhesive and paraffin from the initial stages, during the formation of the mattress, scattering of particles in the mold during pre-pressing, hot pressing stages, and conditioning, with a consequent increase in the volume of the panels and reduction of density initial nominal (Guimarães et al., 2016; Bazzetto et al., 2019). The overall apparent mean density of the panels for all treatments was 0.60 g cm⁻³. Thus, they can be classified as medium density panels, as recommended by NBR 14810 (ABNT, 2006), which comprise panels with densities between 0.55 and 0.75 g cm⁻³. This classification is essential, as minimum values of MoR, MoE, IB and TS are directly related to the density (Fiorelli et al., 2016).

The basic density of the sugarcane bagasse particles was 0.090 g cm⁻³, a value similar to that reported by Soares et al. (2017). Thus, the compression ratio was high (7.22) because it is related directly with basic density of the material. The literature recommends values in the range between 1.3 and 1.6, so the value obtained was about four times higher than recommended.

All treatments showed that the mean values of moisture content were below 12% and there was no significant difference between treatments (Table 1). A reduction of hygroscopicity results from the transformation of particulate lignocellulosic material, the addition of adhesive, additives, pressure and temperature used during the production process of the panels (Wu, 1999). The NBR 14810 (ABNT, 2006) stipulates a range between 5 and 11% as acceptable for the moisture content of particleboard. Therefore, it is observed that all mean values of moisture content meet this requirement.

The WA2h mean values of the particleboards differed in relation to the treatment in hot water, but no differences were found in granulometry (Table 2). The water absorption of the panels produced with treated particles was higher than untreated. It is highlighted that the thermal expansion suffered

### Table 1. Apparent density (AD) and moisture content (MC) of the particleboards.

| Granulometry (mm) | AD (g cm⁻³) | MC (%) |
|------------------|-------------|--------|
|                  | Untreated   | Treated| Untreated | Treated |
| 0.50             | 0.60 aA     | 0.59 aA| 9.20 aA  | 9.80 aA |
| 0.85             | 0.59 aA     | 0.60 aA| 9.70 aA  | 9.70 aA |

*Means followed by the same capital letter, per line, or the same lowercase letter, per column, do not differ by the Tukey test (p > 0.05). Averages indicated in the columns refer to the granulometry. Averages indicated in the lines refer to particle treatments.

| Granulometry (mm) | Water absorption 2 hours (%) | Water absorption 24 hours (%) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                  | Untreated | Treated | Untreated | Treated |
| 0.50             | 68.5 aA  | 103.5 aB| 138.2 aA  | 135.8 aA|
| 0.85             | 66.8 aA  | 97.7 aB | 133.5 aA  | 139.9 aA|

*Means followed by the same capital letter, per line, or the same lowercase letter, per column, do not differ by the Tukey test (p > 0.05). Averages indicated in the columns refer to the granulometry. Averages indicated in the lines refer to particle treatments.
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The WA2h value of the panels produced with hot water treated particles was significantly higher than those panels produced with untreated particles. The water can cause swelling, inducing a movement of the structural components of the panel, breaking the bonding among adhesive and wood surface sites due to mechanical forces and stresses (Liu et al., 2008), creating more porosity for water entrance (Mesquita et al., 2019). The panels produced with hot water treated particles and immersed for 24 hours were statistically equivalent in all treatments. The difference of absorption between 2 and 24 hours can be explained as a function of the paraffin efficiency that acts as a waterproofing agent only in the first two hours of immersion.

Brito et al. (2020) worked with bamboo panels and sugarcane bagasse. Adopted a nominal density of 0.65 g cm\(^{-3}\) and nominal thickness of 15.70 mm. Employed 10% of resin solids content in relation to the dry mass of the adhesive grains based on UF and 1% of paraffin emulsion. The parameters adopted were 35 kgf cm\(^{-2}\), 180 °C and 10 min. For panels made with only sugarcane bagasse, the authors found 50.00% and 140.50% for AA2h and AA24h, respectively.

The TS2h and TS24h mean values varied from 25.48 to 35.30% and 40.91 to 47.87%, respectively (Table 3), with no differences between the factors evaluated. There was a tendency of the panels with smaller particle size to present lower values for TS2h and TS24h.

Mendes et al. (2014) found 4.5 and 17.8% for TS2h and TS24h. Brito et al. (2020) obtained average values of 14.41 and 44.75% for panels made up of bagasse only for TS2h and TS24h, respectively.

In general, the values obtained in the present study were higher than those reported in the literature, but some factors such as particle size, adhesive solids content, particle moisture at the time of manufacture of the panels and particle mattress humidity may explain, in part, the difference observed.

### Table 3. Thickness swelling in 2 hours (TS2H) and 24 hours (TS24H) of the particleboards.

| Granulometry (mm) | Thickness swelling 2 hours (%) | Thickness swelling 24 hours (%) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                   | Untreated | Treated | Untreated | Treated |
| 0.50              | 25.4 aA   | 31.0 aA | 41.8 aA   | 40.9 aA  |
| 0.85              | 32.2 aA   | 35.3 aA | 46.8 aA   | 47.8 aA  |

*Means followed by the same capital letter, per line, or the same lowercase letter, per column, do not differ by the Tukey test (p > 0.05). Averages indicated in the columns refer to particle treatments.

### Table 4. Modulus of rupture (MoR) and modulus of elasticity (MoE) to the static bending and internal bond (IB) of the particleboards.

| Granulometry (mm) | Modulus of rupture (MPa) | Modulus of elasticity (MPa) | Internal bonding (MPa) |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
|                   | Untreated | Treated | Untreated | Treated | Untreated | Treated |
| 0.50              | 13.50 aA | 12.87 aA | 692.58 aA | 798.64 aA | 0.23 aA | 0.22 aA  |
| 0.85              | 15.51 aA | 13.66 aA | 718.07 aA | 849.14 aA | 0.20 aA | 0.16 aA  |

*Means followed by the same capital letter, per line, or the same lowercase letter, per column, do not differ by the Tukey test (p > 0.05). Averages indicated in the columns refer to the granulometry. Averages indicated in the lines refer to particle treatments.
14810 (ABNT, 2006) does not limit values for the modulus of elasticity to static bending.

There was a tendency of decreasing internal bond values for the particleboards produced with a particle size of 0.85 mm. It is presumed that this tendency may have been due to the greater amount of voids resulting from the larger particle size which in turn results in a smaller contact area between particles, a lower number of adhesive bonds and lower resistance values.

The mean value obtained in this study is below the values reported in the literature. Mendes et al. (2014) found 0.46 MPa and Brito et al. (2020) obtained 0.15 MPa. The low internal bond values obtained in this work are possibly related to the adhesive content applied to the particles and may not have been enough to cover the total specific area of the particles, resulting in poor bonding quality. The standard NBR 14810 (ABNT, 2006) stipulates a minimum value of 0.35 MPa for internal bond, thus none of the treatments reached it.

Density profile

The apparent density of the outer layer was higher in the treated panels in hot water and 0.50 mm particles (Table 5). The panels produced with 0.85 mm particles untreated presented higher values of apparent density than those with particles treated. The granulometry also affected this property in the panels untreated.

The values of apparent density of the panels’ central layer were similar showing values between 0.58 and 0.59 g cm⁻³ (Table 4). The density profiles of the panels constituted with sugarcane bagasse obtained by X-ray images for each treatment are shown in Figure 1.

The panels produced with control particles of 0.85 mm particle size evidenced a significant increase in the density of the outer layer. This result can be partly explained by the geometry and accommodation of the particles which may have resulted in larger amounts of void spaces and generated a higher mattress.

It was verified that the outer layer of the panels constituted with particles of 0.85 mm particle size showed more pronounced peaks, confirming the higher mean value obtained because of the interaction analysis. The densities observed on the particleboard faces result due to the effect of the heating of the plates and greater pressures applied at the moment of forming in the press, with the curing of the resin (Brito et al., 2020).

| Granulometry (mm) | Outer layer (g cm⁻³) | Central layer (g cm⁻³) |
|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 0.50              | 0.57 aA             | 0.62 aB               |
| 0.85              | 0.84 bB             | 0.61 aA               |

*Means followed by the same capital letter, per line, or the same lowercase letter, per column, do not differ by the Tukey test (p > 0.05). Averages indicated in the columns refer to the granulometry. Averages indicated in the lines refer to particle treatments.

Table 5. Apparent density obtained by X-ray densitometry of the outer and central layers of the particleboards.

Figure 1. Density profiles of particleboard panels produced with sugarcane bagasse: (A) Particleboards with a particle size of 0.50 mm (untreated); (B) Particleboards with a particle size of 0.50 mm (treated in hot water); (C) Particleboards with particle size 0.85 mm (untreated); (D) Particleboards with a particle size of 0.85 mm (treated in hot water).

The shape of the apparent density profile observed on each particleboard was similar to the letter “M”, indicating the density varying along the thickness of the panels. The same format of the graph was observed in other experimental studies with agglomerated panels (Brito & Bortoletto Júnior 2019, 2020; Brito et al., 2020).
Conclusions
The particle size does not significantly influence the physical and mechanical properties of the panels. The particle pretreatment in hot water only influenced the WA2h property, which the panels constituted with treated particles showed a higher mean value.

The density profile of the outer layer of the panels was interactively influenced by the factors, and the 0.85 mm particle size and the untreated particles resulted in a profile with more pronounced peaks on the panel faces.

The results obtained does not reach the minimum values stipulated by NBR 14810 (2006).
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