Downregulation of miR-205 Modulates Cell Susceptibility to Oxidative and Endoplasmic Reticulum Stresses in Renal Tubular Cells
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Abstract

Background: Oxidative stress and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress play a crucial role in tubular damage in both acute kidney injury (AKI) and chronic kidney disease (CKD). While the pathophysiological contribution of microRNAs (miRNA) to renal damage has also been highlighted, the effect of miRNA on renal damage under oxidative and ER stresses conditions remains elusive.

Methods: We assessed changes in miRNA expression in the cultured renal tubular cell line HK-2 under hypoxia-reoxygenation-induced oxidative stress or ER stress using miRNA microarray assay and real-time RT-PCR. The pathophysiological effect of miRNA was evaluated by cell survival rate, intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) level, and anti-oxidant enzyme expression in miRNA-inhibited HK-2 or miRNA-overexpressed HK-2 under these stress conditions. The target gene of miRNA was identified by 3'UTR-luciferase assay.

Results: We identified 8 and 10 miRNAs whose expression was significantly altered by oxidative and ER stresses, respectively. Among these, expression of miR-205 was markedly decreased in both stress conditions. Functional analysis revealed that decreased miR-205 led to an increase in cell susceptibility to oxidative and ER stresses, and that this increase was associated with the induction of intracellular ROS and suppression of anti-oxidant enzymes. While increased miR-205 by itself made no change in cell growth or morphology, cell viability under oxidative or ER stress conditions was partially restored. Further, miR-205 bound to the 3'UTR of the prolyl hydroxylase 1 (PHD1/EGLN2) gene and suppressed the transcription level of EGLN2, which modulates both intracellular ROS level and ER stress state.

Conclusions: miR-205 serves a protective role against both oxidative and ER stresses via the suppression of EGLN2 and subsequent decrease in intracellular ROS. miR-205 may represent a novel therapeutic target in AKI and CKD associated with oxidative or ER stress in tubules.

Introduction

Tubular cells are the main target of acute kidney injury (AKI). Reflecting the tubular cell damage, renal function is rapidly aggravated unless renal hypoperfusion or other causes of acute injury are promptly removed during the early, potentially reversible stage. Once renal damage reaches a certain threshold, the progression of renal disease is irreversible, consistent, and largely independent of the initial insult. The final common pathway in this process has been studied closely, and careful pathological analysis has revealed a good correlation between the degree of impairment of renal function in CKD and the extent of tubulointerstitial damage, indicating that tubular damage mediates the progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD). Among various insults that damage tubular cells in both AKI and CKD, recent studies have emphasized a role for pathogenic crosstalk between oxidative stress and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress.

Oxidative stress plays a crucial role in the damage induced by ischemia-reperfusion or hypoxia-reoxygenation. Oxidative stress damages endogenous kidney cells and decreases bioavailable nitric oxide, leading to vasoconstriction and the uncoupling of mitochondrial respiration with subsequent hypoxia of the kidney. Because oxygen consumption in hypoxic conditions increase oxidative stress [1,2], hypoxia and oxidative stress act together to form in effect a vicious cycle. Hypoxia associated with oxidative stress in the kidney is thus both a central player in AKI as well as the final common pathway of CKD leading to end-stage kidney disease [3,4].

The ER maintains protein homeostasis via regulation of the biosynthesis, folding, trafficking, and modification of client
proteins. These functions can be disturbed by various factors, such as hypoxia or starvation, and subsequently increases the accumulation of malfolded proteins in the ER, affects ER integrity (referred to as ER stress), and induces an intracellular stress signal, named the unfolded protein response (UPR). The UPR is classified into adaptive and maladaptive UPRs: the adaptive arm of the UPR attenuates ER stress and ensuring cell survival by increasing the expression of UPR target genes, including ER chaperones such as glucose-regulated protein 78 (GRP78), translation factors, and oxidant-detoxifying enzymes such as hemoxygenase 1 (HO-1), which both promote proper protein folding and attenuate protein translation. However, when ER stress is severe or prolonged and the adaptive UPR is insufficient to maintain ER homeostasis, the cell eventually triggers the maladaptive, proapoptotic UPR through multiple pathways mediated by CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein homologous protein (CHOP), JNK, caspase 12.

Recent studies, including ours, have demonstrated that the adaptive UPR acts in various kidney cells to maintain ER integrity and that the excessive UPR state, namely dominant activation of the proapoptotic UPR, contributes to the development and progression of kidney diseases, including AKI and CKD [5–13]. Furthermore, recent evidence has demonstrated that the oxidative stress response enhances the UPR and vice versa. ROS produced by oxidative stress interfere with not only cellular redox-dependent reactions but also protein-folding capacity ultimately resulting in protein misfolding in the ER. Previous studies utilizing overexpression of anti-oxidant enzymes have emphasized the linkage of oxidative stress to the UPR. The ischemia-induced UPR was markedly less pronounced in rats overexpressing copper/zinc superoxide dismutase (SOD1), suggesting that superoxide radicals play a role in this pathological process [14]. Further, cadmium caused generation of ROS with subsequent induction of ER stress in a cultured porcine renal proximal tubular cell line, which in turn led to apoptosis; this cadmium-induced ER stress and apoptosis were significantly attenuated by transfection with human manganese superoxide dismutase (SOD2) [15]. Paradoxically, ER stress also increases intracellular ROS production: increased protein disulfide bonding enhances ROS production in the ER lumen, and alteration of ER Ca$^{2+}$ homeostasis increases cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$, thereby stimulating mitochondrial ROS production. Thus, both oxidative stress and ER stress orchestrate tubular dysfunction. However, the mechanism of this effect remains unknown.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are short, endogenous, single-stranded ribonucleic acid (RNA) molecules that play a role as post-transcriptional regulators. miRNAs are on average only 22 nucleotides long and are found in all eukaryotic cells. MiRNAs bind to complementary sequences on the 3’ untranslated region (UTR) of target messenger RNA transcripts (mRNAs), usually resulting in translational silencing, either by cleaving and degrading the target mRNA or blocking ribosomal translation of the targeted mRNA [16,17]. Current knowledge suggests that two subsequent cleavages within the nucleus by the ribonuclease III family enzymes Drosha and Dicer result in the formation of mature miRNAs. After transcription of the primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs), Drosha and the RNA-binding protein Pasha (also known as DGCR8) is processed into a hairpin structure, formally termed the precursor miRNA [18]. The precursor miRNA is transported into the cytoplasm, where it is cleaved by Dicer, and processed into a double-stranded product consisting of 22 nucleotides, and unwound to be a mature miRNA. This mature miRNA is incorporated into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), and the RISC-miRNA complex specifically targets miRNAs and leads to negative regulation of protein synthesis or mRNA degradation. Several hundred miRNAs regulate approximately 50% of the human genome and are involved in virtually all biological processes, including cellular proliferation, apoptosis and differentiation. Thus, miRNA deregulation often results in impaired cellular function and development of disease. Recent findings have suggested that
Figure 1. miRNA expression profiles under hypoxia-reoxygenation. (A) Volcano plots showing global changes in miRNA expression by hypoxia-reoxygenation (16 hours hypoxia followed by 3 hours (HR3, left figure) or 10 hours (HR10, right figure) reoxygenation). The horizontal dark line shows where p = 0.05 with points above the line having p < 0.05 and those below it having p > 0.05. Points with a fold-change of more than 1.2 or
miRNA might also play a pathophysiological role in the progression of kidney diseases [19].

Among various pathogenesis, oxidative stress and ER stress have independently been reported as crucial inducers of tubular damage in both AKI and CKD, while recent studies have emphasized a role for pathogenic crosstalk between oxidative stress and ER stress. Although several findings have suggested that miRNA might play a pathophysiological role in the progression of kidney diseases, especially in connection with kidney fibrosis, the effect of miRNA on tubular damage under oxidative and ER stress conditions remains elusive. To elucidate whether these stress states or their crosstalk are regulated by miRNAs, we identified miRNAs that play a crucial role in tubular cell homeostasis under both oxidative and ER stress conditions. Moreover, we identified that PHD1/EGLN2 was a crucial protein in the crosstalk of oxidative stress and ER stress, and it was directly regulated by the miRNA. Interestingly, EGLN2 is not only well known to be a regulator of the stability of HIF, but recently its novel function that directly represses the ER stress regulator has also been reported [20].

### Table 3. miRNA expression change in HK-2 exposed to 3 hrs reoxygenation after hypoxia.

| miRNA name  | Fold Change (HR3/control) |
|-------------|---------------------------|
| hsa-miR-924 | 0.35                      |
| hsa-miR-205 | 0.47                      |
| kshv-miR-K12-3 | 0.50                   |
| hsa-miR-26a | 0.53                      |
| hsa-miR-503 | 0.55                      |
| hsa-miR-21* | 0.64                      |
| hsa-miR-135b | 0.67                    |
| hsa-miR-769-5p | 0.69                  |
| hsa-miR-30c-2* | 0.71                  |
| hsa-miR-574-5p | 0.77                   |
| hsa-miR-140-3p | 0.78                   |
| hsa-miR-21 | 1.20                      |
| hsa-miR-301a | 1.25                      |
| hsa-miR-151-5p | 1.29                    |
| hsa-miR-155 | 1.33                      |
| hsa-miR-146a | 1.37                      |
| hsa-miR-29a | 1.38                      |
| hsa-let-7i  | 1.40                      |
| hsa-let-7e  | 1.41                      |
| hsa-miR-29b | 1.45                      |
| hsa-miR-31* | 1.48                      |
| hsa-miR-210 | 1.58                      |
| hsa-miR-7  | 1.71                      |
| hsa-miR-221* | 2.09                     |
| hsa-miR-630 | 2.65                      |

HR3: 16 hours hypoxia followed by 3 hours reoxygenation. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041462.t003

### Table 4. miRNA expression change in HK-2 exposed to 10 hrs reoxygenation after hypoxia.

| miRNA name  | Fold Change (HR10/control) |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| hcmv-miR-UL148D | 0.28                    |
| hsa-miR-205   | 0.37                       |
| hsa-miR-937   | 0.42                       |
| hsa-miR-181d  | 0.46                       |
| hsa-miR-32    | 0.47                       |
| hsa-miR-572   | 0.51                       |
| hsa-miR-378   | 0.53                       |
| hsa-miR-26a   | 0.68                       |
| hsa-miR-877*  | 0.69                       |
| hsa-miR-30c-2* | 0.71                    |
| hsa-miR-563   | 0.72                       |
| hsa-miR-33a   | 0.75                       |
| hsa-miR-933   | 0.83                       |
| hsa-miR-221*  | 1.33                       |
| hsa-miR-29a   | 1.33                       |
| hsa-miR-29b   | 1.45                       |
| hsa-miR-7     | 1.46                       |
| hsa-miR-210   | 1.75                       |
| kshv-miR-K12-3 | 1.79                    |
| hsa-miR-630   | 2.27                       |

HR10: 16 hours hypoxia followed by 10 hours reoxygenation. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041462.t004

### Table 5. miRNA expression change in HK-2 exposed to hypoxia-reoxygenation.

| miRNA name  | Fold Change (HR3/control) | Fold Change (HR10/control) |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| hsa-miR-205 | 0.47                      | 0.37                       |
| kshv-miR-K12-3 | 0.50                   | 1.79                       |
| hsa-miR-26a | 0.53                      | 0.68                       |
| hsa-miR-30c-2* | 0.71                  | 0.71                       |
| hsa-miR-29a | 1.38                      | 1.33                       |
| hsa-miR-29b | 1.45                      | 1.45                       |
| hsa-miR-7  | 1.71                      | 1.46                       |
| hsa-miR-210 | 1.58                      | 1.75                       |
| hsa-miR-630 | 2.65                      | 2.27                       |

HR3: 16 hours hypoxia followed by 3 hours reoxygenation. HR10: 16 hours hypoxia followed by 10 hours reoxygenation. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041462.t005
Materials and Methods

Cell Culture

HK-2 cells possess morphologic characteristics of adult human proximal tubule epithelial cells [21]. The cells were maintained at 37°C in a 1:1 mixture of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) and Ham’s F-12 Nutrient Mixture supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum.

MiRNA Isolation and Quantitative Real-time RT-PCR

Total RNA, including mature miRNAs of cultured HK-2 was isolated utilizing an miRNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).
Germany), and reverse-transcribed with an miScript Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was subjected to quantitative real-time RT-PCR using an miScript SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen) and iCycler PCR system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). MiRNA-specific quantitative real-time RT-PCR was done using 3 ng of cDNA per reaction. The relative amounts of miRNAs and mRNAs were calculated with calibration by RNU6B and 18S rRNA, respectively. Each data represents the mean ± S.E. of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. The primers used are shown in Tables 1 and 2. All primers for miRNAs were purchased from Qiagen. The miRNA PCR products were cloned with T7 vectors utilizing a pGEM H-T Easy Vector System (Promega), and then sequenced to confirm sequence accuracy.

### Induction of Oxidative Stress and ER Stress Exposure

HK-2 cells for the hypoxia-reoxygenation groups were cultured in 0.1% O2 (Anaerocult A® sachets, Merck Chemicals, Darmstadt, Germany) for 16 hours and then reoxygenated for 3 hours (HR3) and 10 hours (HR10), respectively. For trypan blue exclusion assay, the cells were exposed to hypoxia for 16 hours followed by reoxygenation for 6 hours. The cells for ER stress groups were exposed to tunicamycin at 2 µg/ml (TUN) or thapsigargin at 0.5 µg/ml (THG) for 24 hours. Untreated cells were used as a control group. Oxidative stress and hypoxia were induced by exposure to 1000 µM hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) for 6 hours and 0.1% O2 for 16 hours without reoxygenation, respectively.

### MiRNA Microarray Analysis

HK-2 cells were plated in a 60-mm dish at a density of 5×10⁴ cells per plate. Cells for the hypoxia-reoxygenation and ER stress groups were treated as described above. Total RNA was then extracted, and RNA quality was assessed using the Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). MiRNA expression profiles of the groups were compared using Human miRNA Microarray version 2 (Agilent Technologies).
whose platform is capable of detecting 799 human miRNAs. Each group consisted of two assays. The data obtained were analyzed with the GeneSpring GX software (Agilent Technologies). Uncorrected \( P \)-values for fold change data from microarrays were generated using one sample \( t \)-testing [22].

### Transfection Procedures

miR-205 was knocked down or overexpressed by transfection with a locked nucleic acid-labeled miRNA inhibitor (miRCURY LNA™ microRNA Power Inhibitor, Exiqon, Vedbaek, Denmark) or miRNA mimic (miCENTURY OX Synthetic microRNA Collection, Cosmo Bio, Tokyo, Japan). Control inhibitor (miR-CURY LNA™ microRNA Inhibitor Negative Controls, Exiqon) and control mimic (Non-target microRNA, Cosmo Bio) were used as a negative control, respectively. HK-2 cells were transfected with LNA-miR-205 inhibitor or control inhibitor with a HiPerFect Transfection Reagent kit from Qiagen in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Successful transfection was confirmed using miRNA-specific quantitative real-time RT-PCR for miR-205.

### Cell Viability

Cell viability was assessed using trypan blue exclusion assay with a final dye concentration of 0.4% at 24 hours posttransfection with miR-205 modulators (inhibitor or mimic) or negative control. We also performed lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release assay. LDH in the cultured medium and in the cell lysate were measured according to manufacturer’s instruction (Kainos Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan). Percentage of cell lysis was calculated from the amount of LDH in the medium divided by the total amount of LDH in the medium and cell lysate.

### Flow Cytometry

Twenty-four hours posttransfection, HK-2 cells were treated with 10 \( \mu \)M of chloromethyl-2',7'-dichlorofluorescin diacetate, acetyl ester (CM-H2DCFDA; Molecular Probes) for 30 min in the dark to detect intracellular ROS, challenged with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) at 500 \( \mu \)M for 2 min, and trypsinized. Intracellular ROS production was analyzed using a FACScalibur (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) equipped with a 488-nm argon laser and the CELL Quest Pro software (Becton Dickinson). CM-H2DCFDA fluorescence was analyzed in the FL-1 (530 nm) channel. Forward and side scatter were used to gate out cellular fragments, and data were collected for \( 1 \times 10^4 \) cells per sample.

### Western Blot Analysis

Nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions from HK-2 cells were prepared using NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL) according to manufacturer’s instruction. Nuclear fraction was used in immunoblotting for HIF or ATF4, and cytoplasmic fraction was used for Ne-carboxyethyl lysine (CEL), HO-1, SOD1 and prolyl hydroxylase 1 (PHD1/EGLN2). Protein extracts were electrophoresed on 10–12% SDS-PAGE, and transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). For detection of HO-1, SOD1, CEL, EGLN2, ATF4, HIF-1α and HIF-2α, membranes were incubated with anti-HO-1 antibody (1:1000; Enzo Life Science, Farmingdale, NY), anti-SOD1 antibody (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), anti-CEL antibody (1:200; TransGenic, Kobe, Japan), anti-EGLN2 antibody (1:1000; EPITOMICS, Burlingame, CA), anti-ATF4 antibody (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-HIF-1α antibody (1:1000; Novus Biologic, Littleton, CO), anti-HIF-2α antibody (1:1000; Novus Biologic) as the first antibody, respectively. Rabbit anti-actin polyclonal antibody (1:5000; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was used as the control. The ECL plus kit (Pierce) was used for detection. Density of bands was analyzed using Image J version 1.45 s software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

### Mutagenesis

Using the primer design guidelines included in the Quick-Change XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA), we chose forward primer 5'-GGTGATGACCCGTCATGCGCTGCGTAC-3' and reverse primer 5'-GGCGTCTGGCGAGGCGGACG-3'. PCR was performed using the Pfu Turbo DNA Polymerase according to manufacturer’s instructions. The PCR product was then digested with Dpn I to remove template DNA, followed by transformation of XL10-Gold® Ultracompetent Cells. Cells were then plated on Kanamycin-containing Luria-Bertani (LB) agar overnight at 37°C. Individual colonies were then grown in LB/Kanamycin medium, and plasmid DNA was extracted using the PureYield™ Plasmid

### Table 8. miRNA expression change in HK-2 exposed to ER stress.

| miRNA name     | Fold Change (TUN/control) | Fold Change (THG/control) |
|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| hsa-miR-202    | 0.27                      | 0.24                      |
| hsa-miR-205    | 0.53                      | 0.39                      |
| hsa-miR-503    | 0.61                      | 0.50                      |
| hsa-miR-215    | 0.71                      | 0.34                      |
| hsa-miR-133a   | 0.74                      | 0.53                      |
| hsa-miR-99b    | 0.79                      | 0.62                      |
| hsa-miR-181a-2*| 0.80                      | 0.74                      |
| hsa-miR-181a*  | 1.90                      | 1.56                      |

TUN: tunicamycin of 2 µg/ml for 24 hours.
THG: thapsigargin of 0.5 µg/ml for 24 hours.

![Figure 3. Venn diagram showing the result of merging miRNA expression profiles of oxidative stress induced by hypoxia-reoxygenation with those of ER stress.](image)
Midiprep System (Promega, Madison, WI). Purified DNA was then verified by sequencing.

Transient Transfection and Luciferase Assay

The EGLN2 3′-UTR miRNA target sequence expression clone in pEZX-MT01 vector with iLuc was purchased from GeneCopoeia (miTarget™ miRNA 3′-UTR Target Clone, Rockville, MD). For reporter assays, HK-2 cells were transiently co-transfected with either wild type or mutant EGLN2 3′-UTR reporter plasmid and either miR-205 modulators (inhibitor or mimic) or negative control by utilizing a Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

The hypoxia-responsive reporter vector with tandem copies of the hypoxia-responsive element (HRE) from the rat vascular endothelial growth factor gene subcloned into 5′ region of hCMV-promoter-luciferase transcription unit (pHRE-luc) was constructed in our previous work [23]. Five-hundred nanogram of pHRE-luc, 25 ng of CMV-renilla luciferase (Promega) per $1 \times 10^5$ HK-2 cells, and either miR-205 modulators (inhibitor or mimic) or negative control were co-transfected by utilizing a Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) in 24-well culture dishes.

Part of transfected cells were exposed to oxidative stress or ER stress as follows before harvested by 100 μl of passive protein lysis buffer for dual luciferase assay. Oxidative stress was induced by 16 hours hypoxia followed by 8 hours reoxygenation or 1000 μM...
Figure 5. Change in cell survival under oxidative and ER stresses in miR-205-inhibited HK-2. (A) Inhibition of miR-205 in HK-2. Real-time RT-qPCR analysis revealed that transfection of an LNA-miR-205 inhibitor into HK-2 for 24 hours significantly inhibited miR-205 expression. The data represent the means ± S.E. of triplicate analysis from three independent experiments. **P < 0.01 versus control siRNA transfected HK-2 by standard t-test. (B) In miR-205-inhibited HK-2, the cell number was significantly fewer at 24 and 48 hours after transfection than that of with HK-2 transfected with negative control. In contrast, up-regulation of miR-205 had no effects on the cell number. The data represent the means ± S.E. of triplicate
counts from three independent experiments. *P<0.05 versus negative control transfected HK-2 at each time point by standard t-test. (C) Representative pictures of HK-2, 24 hours after transfection with miR-205 inhibitor or control inhibitor. Although cell growth was repressed, cell morphology of miR-205-inhibited HK-2 was not different from control siRNA transfected HK2. (D) LDH release assay showed that modulation of miR-205 did not affect cell death, suggesting that the decrease in cell number in miR-205-inhibited HK-2 was not due to cell injury, but rather to repression of cell growth. The data represent the means ± S.E. of triplicate analysis from three independent experiments. Statistical analyses were performed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test. (E,F) Trypan blue exclusion assay showed the cell viability changes in miR-205 modulated HK-2 (▪) exposed to hypoxia-reoxygenation (0.1% O2 for 16 hours followed by 6 hours reoxygenation), H2O2 (1000 μM for 6 hours) or TUN (2 μg/ml for 24 hours) compared to HK-2 transfected with negative control (▪▪). Down-regulation of miR-205 led to significant decrease in cell viability by each stimulation (E), whereas up-regulation of miR-205 vice versa (F). Cell viabilities were not changed without any stimulations. The data are the means ± S.E. of triplicate counts from three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 by standard t-test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041462.g005

H2O2 for 3 hours. ER stress was induced by TUN at 1.5 μg/ml for 24 hours.

The effects of miR-205 on EGLN2 or HIF were estimated by firefly luciferase activity and measured with a Dual Luciferase Assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI) 24 hours after transfection, with the results normalized with Renilla luciferase. A Lumat 9507 luminometer (EG and Berthold, Bad Wildbad, Germany) was used for measurement.

Statistical Analysis
All data are reported as the mean ± SEM of the indicated number of measurements. Significance levels between groups were calculated with either standard t-tests or Dunnett’s and Tukey’s multiple comparison tests. Differences with P<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

MiRNA Expression Profile in HK-2 Under Oxidative Stress

MiRNA expression profiles under oxidative stress were evaluated by assessing changes in miRNA expression by miRNA microarray analysis in a human proximal tubular cell line, HK-2, exposed to hypoxia-reoxygenation. In this analysis, the cells were exposed to hypoxia for 16 hours followed by reoxygenation for 3 (HR3) or 10 hours (HR10). A perspective on the global changes in miRNA expression is presented by volcano plots in Figure 1A. We selected miRNAs whose expression changes were increased more than 1.2 times or decreased less than 0.8 times, with statistical significance. Of the 799 miRNAs we tested, the expression levels of 25 and 20 miRNAs were significantly altered in the HR3 and HR10 groups, respectively, as compared to those in untreated cells (Table 3 and 4). Among these, 10 miRNAs showed a continuous change in expression during the experimental period (Table 5).

To confirm the results of miRNA microarray analysis, we performed quantitative real-time RT-PCR followed by sequencing analysis of the PCR products. We selected two miRNAs with the highest basal expression levels for each of the up-regulated and down-regulated miRNA groups. Changes in the expression of these four miRNAs (miR-26a, miR-29a, miR-29b, miR-205) analyzed by real-time RT-PCR were consistent with those by miRNA microarray (Figure 1B and 1C), although the change in miR-29b at HR10 was diminished.

MiRNA Expression Profile in HK-2 Under ER Stress

In this study, HK-2 cells were exposed to the ER stress inducers, tunicamycin at 2 μg/ml (TUN) or thapsigargin at 0.5 μg/ml (THG) for 24 hours. Volcano plot displays of changes in miRNAs by these ER stress inducers are shown in Figure 2A. Though the mechanisms for triggering ER stress by TUN and THG are different, the expression levels of GRP 78, a central regulator of the UPR, were equivalently increased by both ER stress inducers, indicating that these miRNA profiles really reflect ER stress rather than an off-target effect of these chemicals (Figure 2B).

When we selected altered miRNAs based on maximum expression signal intensity in the same manner as in the HR-induced oxidative stress group, expression levels of 15 and 45 miRNAs were significantly changed in the TUN and THG groups, respectively (Table 6 and 7).

Among these we detected eight miRNAs, which showed significant changes in expression by both TUN and THG (Table 8). The degree of expression change in the two groups tended to be the same for all eight miRNAs. To confirm these findings, we performed quantitative real-time RT-PCR followed by sequencing analysis of the PCR products. Among eight miRNAs we confirmed the down-regulation of miR-205 expression by both TUN and THG (Figure 3 and 4A). The change of the other miRNAs was undetectable by quantitative real-time RT-PCR. It might be due to sensitivity of PCR or relatively low level of basal expression.

Identification of miRNA Altered by Both Oxidative Stress and ER Stress

Merging miRNA expression profiles of oxidative stress induced by hypoxia-reoxygenation with those of ER stress identified one miRNA, miR-205, whose expression was markedly decreased under both stress conditions (Figure 3). According to the result of this microarray analysis, miR-205 expression level in HK-2 at baseline was equivalent to other well-studied miRNAs which are related to hypoxia or kidney diseases, such as miR-210 [24–26], miR-29a [27], miR-200a and miR-200b [28] (relative ratio of basal expression level to miR-205 was 1.25, 7.3, 0.86, 3.86, respectively).

The change in miR-205 expression in HK-2 under various stress conditions was confirmed by quantitative real-time RT-PCR followed by sequencing analysis (fold change compared to control of 0.72±0.16 in HR3 (P<0.05), 0.56±0.10 in HR10 (P<0.01), 0.76±0.11 in TUN (P<0.05), 0.61±0.07 in THG (P<0.01)) (Figure 4A). A decrease in miR-205 expression was also observed in HK-2 under H2O2-induced oxidative stress (fold change compared to control of 0.66±0.08, P<0.05) (Figure 4A). In contrast, hypoxia per se significantly increased miR-205 expression (fold change compared to control of 3.61±0.79, P<0.01) (Figure 4A). This change in miR-205 expression was supported by the finding of a similar pattern of change in the expression of pre-miR-205, a precursor of miR-205 (fold change compared to control of 0.24±0.01 in HR3 (P<0.01), 0.19±0.03 in HR10 (P<0.01), 0.26±0.005 in TUN (P<0.05), 0.32±0.02 in THG (P<0.05), 0.39±0.05 in H2O2 (P<0.01), and 3.16±0.91 in hypoxia (P<0.05) (Figure 4B).

These results suggest that miR-205 is generated through the processes and the expression changes of miR-205 by oxidative stress and ER stress in this experiment are reliable.
Modulation of miR-205 Expression Influenced Cell Susceptibility to Oxidative Stress or ER Stress

The biological effects of the down-regulation of miR-205 caused by oxidative and ER stresses was evaluated by a loss- or gain-of-function study. Transfection of a miR-205 inhibitor decreased miR-205 expression by 0.005-fold in HK-2 (Figure 5A). The miR-205 suppression was associated with a slow growth as compared to the cells transfected with the
control inhibitor (Figure 5B). The cell morphology was not obviously changed by transfection with miR-205 inhibitor compared to cells without transfection or to that on transfection with the control inhibitor (Figure 5C). The viability of cells treated with miR-205 inhibitor or control inhibitor without stimulation was 100% for each group (Figure 5E), showing that the difference in cell number was not due to cell injury induced by miR-205 inhibitor, but rather to repression of cell growth. The result of LDH assay also revealed that modulation of miR-205 expression did not affect cell death (Figure 5D).

Importantly, these cells were more sensitive to oxidative or ER stress, with trypan blue exclusion assay showing marked decrease in survival under either oxidative or ER stress conditions (Figure 5E). On the other hand, transfection of a miR-205 mimic by itself made no change in cell number and cell morphology (Figure 5B). In contrast, miR-205 overexpressing cells were more resistant to oxidative or ER stress, with trypan blue exclusion assay showing a significant increase in survival under either oxidative or ER stress conditions (Figure 5F). These results revealed that miR-205 in HK-2 modulated cell survival under both oxidative stress and ER stress.

Down-regulation of miR-205 Aggravated Oxidative Stress State

To investigate the mechanism of the change in cell survival by miR-205, we assessed the change in intracellular ROS level by FACS analysis utilizing CM-H2DCFDA. Of note, HK-2 with miR-205 inhibition showed an increase in basal intracellular ROS level as compared to control cells (Figure 6A, 6B). The increase in intracellular ROS level by H2O2 was significantly greater in miR205-inhibited HK-2 than control cells (Figure 6A, 6B). An increase in basal intracellular ROS level in miR-205-inhibited HK-2 was also confirmed by Western blot analysis of CEL, a major advanced glycation endproduct (AGE) and oxidative stress marker, which is known to be associated with various diseases, including renal diseases, caused by either or both chronic and acute oxidative stress [29,30]: the intensity of bands with a molecular weight corresponding to 32, 23 and 17 kDa were increased in miR-205-inhibited HK-2 compared with control (Figure 6C). Because glycation is occurred in various proteins to form CEL, Western blot analysis of CEL reveals multiple bands. Thus, the repression of cell viability by miR-205 inhibition was associated with an increase in intracellular ROS level.

EGLN2 was a Novel Target Gene of miR-205

Down-regulation of miR-205 reduced anti-oxidant enzyme expression, suggesting that miR-205 enhances anti-oxidant enzyme expression. Because miRNAs generally work as inhibitors of target genes, there should be a direct target of miR-205 that decreases anti-oxidant enzyme expression. To investigate target genes of miR-205 in the modulation of cell survival under stress conditions, we evaluated changes in the expression of stress signal regulators at the posttranscriptional level, which are related to mitochondrial oxidative stress pathways, hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) pathways, and adaptive or proapoptotic UPR pathways, in HK-2 with knockdown of miR-205. Among them, EGLN2 was significantly increased by miR-205 inhibition, suggesting the suppressive regulation of EGLN2 by miR-205 (fold change compared to control was 1.77±0.24 in miR-205 knockdown, P<0.05) (Figure 8A). Western blot analysis of EGLN2 revealed that EGLN2 was also increased at protein level in miR-205-inhibited HK-2 (Figure 8B). The significant increases of EGLN2 mRNA expression were also observed in miR-205-inhibited HK-2 exposed to oxidative or ER stress (Figure 8C). These stress-responsive increases of EGLN2 were completely repressed by overexpression of miR-205 (Figure 8C), though EGLN2 was not significantly decreased by miR-205 overexpression without any stimulations (Figure 8A and 8C). In contrast, we found no change in the expression levels of EGLN1 (PHD2) or EGLN3 (PHD3) by both miR-205 modulations (Figure 8A).

In silico analysis revealed that the 3’-UTR of EGLN2 (transcript variant 1) has a putative binding site for miR-205 which contains...
Figure 8. EGLN2 as a novel downstream target of miR-205. (A) Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis revealed that the expression of EGLN2 was increased by miR-205 inhibition, but not significantly altered by overexpression of miR-205 compared to negative control transfected HK-2. No changes were seen in expression levels of EGLN1 (PHD2) or EGLN3 (PHD3). The data represent the means ± S.E. of triplicate analysis from three
its seed sequence (Figure 8D). We therefore performed luciferase assays of the wild or mutant EGLN2 3'-UTR (Figure 8E) after co-transfection with miR-205 mimic, inhibitor, or negative control. Transient transfection of HK-2 with EGLN2 3'-UTR-ILUC plasmids along with miR-205 inhibition led to an increase in relative luciferase activity compared with cells co-transfected with EGLN2 3'-UTR-ILUC plasmids and negative control (Figure 8F). This increase was not observed when mutant EGLN2 3'-UTR vector was co-transfected with miR-205 inhibitor (Figure 8F). The transfection of HK-2 with EGLN2 3'-UTR vector along with miR-205 overexpression did not lead significant decrease in luciferase activity compared with control (Figure 8F).

A relative luciferase activity were also significantly increased when transiently transfected HK-2 with EGLN2 3'-UTR ILUC plasmids were exposed to oxidative or ER stress, and these increases were canceled when mutant EGLN2 3'-UTR vectors were used (Figure 8G). Furthermore, co-transfection of EGLN2 3'-UTR ILUC plasmids with miR-205 mimic partially restrained these stress-induced increases of relative luciferase activities (Figure 8G). These results indicated that EGLN2 was a novel direct target of miR-205 in HK-2, and that the change of cell susceptibility to oxidative and ER stress by miR-205 modulation in HK-2 was mediated via EGLN2.

**EGLN2 Negatively Controlled HIF and ATF4 in HK-2**

Because of that PHD is a crucial regulator of the stability of HIF, we evaluated changes in expression of downstream targets of HIF, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1). In HK-2, with miR-205 inhibition or overexpression. Results of real time RT-qPCR showed that the expression level of both VEGF and GLUT1 were decreased by miR-205 down-regulation, but not significantly changed by miR-205 overexpression (Figure 9A). To confirm these results, we arranged HRE-luciferase assay to assess the transcriptional activity of HIF-1α, and the HRE-driven relative luciferase activity was significantly decreased by miR-205 inhibition (Figure 9B). Western blot analysis also revealed that both HIF1α and HIF2α were significantly decreased in miR-205-inhibited HK-2 (Figure 9C and 9D).

Meanwhile, recent study has demonstrated a novel function of EGLN2 whereby it directly represses the transcriptional activity of activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4), which is a crucial regulator of ER stress [20]. We thus performed Western blot analysis of ATF4, and the result showed a significant decrease in ATF4 by miR-205 inhibition (Figure 9E). These results indicated that EGLN2 was functionally regulated by miR-205, and that HIF and ATF4 indirectly contributed to the change of cell susceptibility to both oxidative and ER stress induced by miR-205 modulation.

**Discussion**

In this study, we used miRNA microarray analysis and quantitative real-time RT-PCR to examine changes in miRNA expression profiles in the human proximal tubular cell line HK-2 under hypoxia-reoxygenation-induced oxidative stress or ER stress conditions. Results showed that these stresses significantly changed the expression level of certain miRNAs. These included miR-210, which is known to be a direct transcriptional target of HIF-1α [24] and regulator of mitochondrial ROS production [25,31]. miR29a, which acts as a repressor of collagen expression in tubular cells [27,32], was also included. These findings suggest that the biological phenotypic changes in proximal tubular cells by oxidative or ER stress are mediated by miRNA expression, at least in part.

Further, we also identified miR-205 as an miRNA that is down-regulated in both oxidative and ER stress conditions. Recent studies have demonstrated that miR-205 has an epithelial-specific expression pattern with potential roles in both normal development and cancer [33,34]. Potential targets of miR-205 in cancer proposed to date include tumor suppressors [34–36], oncogenes [37–41] and pro-metastatic genes [42,43]. The function of miR-205 in cancer as either a tumor suppressor or oncogenic thus depends on the type of tumor cell in which it is expressed. While previous studies of miR-205 focused on cancer, more recent studies have reported that expression is increased in kidney biopsy samples from patients with two non-tumor kidney diseases, namely hypertensive glomerulosclerosis or IgA nephropathy, and that the degree of upregulation is correlated with disease severity and progression [28,44]. While these findings suggest that miR-205 plays a functionally important role in kidney disease, its effect in renal tubular cells has remained unclear. In our study, we demonstrated for the first time the effect of miR-205 on the survival of tubular cells exposed to stress conditions. miR-205 expression was significantly decreased in HK-2 under oxidative stress or ER stress, and cell susceptibility to these stresses was increased by down-regulation of miR-205, while cells became more resistant to these stresses by up-regulation of miR-205. These findings demonstrate that miR-205 protects proximal tubular cells against these stresses. Moreover, inhibition of miR-205 led to a decrease in cell number independently of cell death, suggesting that the damage of miR-205 inhibition was not lethal for HK-2 but severe enough to suppress cell growth. Though the mechanisms for cell growth suppression in miR-205-inhibited HK-2
Figure 9. Expression changes of HIF and ATF4 modulated by miR-205. (A) Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis revealed that the expression level of both VEGF and GLUT1, the down stream targets of HIF, were decreased by miR-205 down-regulation, but not significantly changed by miR-205 up-regulation. The data represent the means ± S.E. of triplicate measurements from two independent analysis. *P<0.05 by Student t-test. (B) HK-2 cells were transiently cotransfected with a HRE-luciferase reporter and miR-205 inhibitor or negative control. At 24 hours posttransfection, firefly luciferase activities were measured and normalized with Renilla luciferase activities. Relative luciferase activity was significantly decreased by miR-205 inhibition, suggesting that HIF was indirectly regulated by miR-205. The data represent the means ± S.E. of triplicate measurements from two independent analysis. **P<0.01 by Student t-test. (C, D) Western blot analysis of HIF-1α and HIF-2α revealed that both HIF proteins were significantly decreased by down-regulation of miR-205. The data represent the means ± S.E. of triplicate measurements from two independent analysis. ***P<0.001 by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. (E) Western blot analysis of ATF4 revealed that down-regulation of miR-205 led to significant decrease in nuclear ATF4 accumulation, indicating that ATF4 was also indirectly regulated by miR-205. The data represent the means ± S.E. of triplicate measurements from two independent analysis. **P<0.01 by Student t-test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041462.g010

remain elusive, recent evidences that miR-205 regulates the expression of several tumor-suppressor proteins and increases cellular proliferation in non-cancerous cells [34–36] might be supportive for our results.

We identified EGLN2 as a novel target of miR-205 in tubular cells by 3′-UTR-luciferase assay, Western blot analysis, and quantitative real-time RT-PCR. For targeting of 3′-UTRs by miRNAs, miRNA seed sequence has to be complementary to their targets. In contrast, the complementarity of the seed region of miR-205 to EGLN2 3′-UTR is relatively lower than that of the previous paper suggested. In other target gene of miR-205 in renal cancer cells, it was also demonstrated the similar binding pattern in targeting of LYN 3′-UTR by miR-205 [40]. It may suggest the possibility that targeting of 3′-UTRs by miRNAs depend on not only seed sequence but also its adjacent sequence.

We found that miR-205 regulates intracellular ROS levels via the alteration of anti-oxidant enzymes, such as SOD1, SOD2, catalase, and HO-1. How does down-regulation of EGLN2 by miR-205 protect cells via the modulation of anti-oxidant enzymes and intracellular ROS? While PHD is a crucial regulator of the stability of HIF, the master gene in the adaptive responses against hypoxia, metabolic analysis of EGLN2 knockout mice revealed that EGLN2 deficiency ameliorates oxidative stress in a HIF-2α-dependent manner, which subsequently preserves mitochondrial respiration and provides sufficient ATP for survival [45]. We also previously shown that HIF-2α knockdown mice have lower baseline expression levels of anti-oxidant enzymes in the kidney, such as SOD1, SOD2, and GPX1, and that these mice exhibit severe histological changes on ischemia-reperfusion injury [46]. Moreover recent study has demonstrated that selective inhibition of EGLN2 but not EGLN1 or EGLN3 prevents normoxic oxidative neuronal injury in a HIF-2α-dependent manner [47]. We therefore speculate that a decrease in miR-205 leads to the up-regulation of EGLN2 and subsequent down-regulation of HIF-2α, resulting in excess generation of ROS within mitochondria and the suppression of anti-oxidant enzymes, which in turn increase susceptibility to oxidative stress in tubular cells. In fact, our results of HRE-luciferase assays and Western blot analysis of HIF-1α and HIF-2α supported this speculation. On this basis, we suggest that down-regulation of miR-205 with subsequent up-regulation of EGLN2 serves as a maladaptive response, which aggravates cell injury due to oxidative stress in reoxygenation.

Our current study demonstrated that miR-205 is a miRNA that is down-regulated in both ER stress and oxidative stress conditions. We also found that PHD regulates ER stress responses. Interestingly, inhibition of PHD increases expression of oxygen-regulated protein 150 (ORP150), a representative ER stress-inducible chaperone, in COS-7 cells [48], and activates all three regulatory arms of the UPR in murine microvascular endothelial cells and in mouse hearts, which serves as a protective mechanism against hypoxia/oxidative stress-induced ER stress [49]. The defensive mechanism of the inhibition of PHD includes the induction of pro-survival anti-oxidants. On the other hand, a recent study has demonstrated a novel function of EGLN2 whereby it directly represses the transcriptional activity of activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4), which is a crucial regulator of ER stress, without prolyl hydroxylation [20]. Target genes of ATF4 include genes for redox balance, such as HO-1, which mediates an adaptive response to oxidative stress [50]. Thus, ATF4 may be the key protein in the signaling triggered by both oxidative and ER stresses in a miR-205-dependent manner. In the present study, we showed that the inhibition of miR-205 indeed induced the downregulation of ATF4, and HO-1 was also decreased both in mRNA and protein level, suggesting that ATF4 may play a role in cell preservation against oxidative and ER stresses in cooperation with EGLN2. On this basis, the down-regulation of miR-205 with subsequent up-regulation of EGLN2 would again be maladaptive, exacerbating cell damage due to ER stress.
In contrast, up-regulation of miR-205 by itself did not significantly change the expression of EGLN2, and neither did anti-oxidant enzymes under physiological conditions. The cell protective effect of miR-205 in cooperation with EGLN2 were exerted only when miR-205 overexpressed HK-2 were exposed to oxidative or ER stress, suggesting that the basal expression level of miR-205 in HK-2 was sufficient for this cell protective cascade. Moreover, because prolyl hydroxylases are crucial for cell survival, miR-205 must not be the only regulator of EGLN2. Thus, the effects of up-regulation of miR-205 with subsequent down-regulation of EGLN2 might be counteracted to some extent by other regulators of EGLN2 at least under non-stimulus condition.

The current study showed that the expression of pre-miR-205 as well as mature miR-205 was altered by oxidative and ER stresses, indicating the possibility of that transcription of miR-205 was inhibited by certain pathogenic factors. These data emphasize the pathophysiological significance of regulation of miR-205 expression. The detailed mechanism of transcriptional regulation and processing capacity of miR-205 under oxidative and ER stresses should be elucidated.

We conclude that miR-205 serves a protective role against both oxidative stress and ER stress. However, when cells are exposed to oxidative stress or ER stress, maladaptive down-regulation of miR-205 and subsequent up-regulation of EGLN2 occurs. EGLN2 is one of the novel direct targets of miR-205, and its up-regulation by inhibiting miR-205 leads to subsequent down-regulation of HIF and ATF4, then finally increases the intracellular ROS via suppression of HIF/ATF4-regulated anti-oxidant enzymes, and renders the cells more vulnerable to these stresses (Figure 10).
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