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Abstract

Background: Mild preoperative renal dysfunction (RD) is not rare in patients receiving isolated cardiopulmonary coronary artery bypass grafting (CCABG). However, there are not too many studies about the impact of mild preoperative RD on in-hospital and follow-up outcomes after isolated CCABG. This single-centre, retrospective propensity score matching study designed to study the impact of mild preoperative RD on in-hospital and long-term outcomes after first isolated CCABG.

Methods: After propensity score matching, 1144 patients with preoperative estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of more than 60 ml/min/1.73 m² receiving first isolated CCABG surgery from January 2012 to December 2015 entered the study, who were divided into 2 groups: A group (eGFR ≥ 90 ml/min/1.73 m², n = 572) and B group (eGFR of 60–89 ml/min/1.73 m², n = 572). The in-hospital and long-term outcomes were recorded and analyzed. The mean follow-up time was 54.4 ± 10.7 months. Acute kidney injury (AKI) was defined and classified according to the Acute Kidney Injury Network (AKIN) criteria.

Results: The 2 propensity score-matched groups had similar baseline and procedure except the baseline eGFR. There were 8 patients died in A group (mortality is 1.4%) and 14 died in B group (mortality is 2.5%) during the in hospital and 30-day postoperatively (χ² = 1.159, p = 0.282). There were totally 38 patients lost to follow-up, 18 in group A and 20 in group B. 21 patients died in group A and 37 died in group B during the follow-up, and long-term survival in group A was higher than in group B (96.2% vs 93.1%, χ² = 4.336, p = 0.037). Comparing with group A, group B was associated with an increased rates and severity of AKI postoperatively (total AKI: 62 vs 144. AKIN stage I: 54 vs 113; AKIN stage II: 6 vs 22; AKIN stage III: 2 vs 9, p<0.0001). During follow-up, group B also had a higher rate of new onset of dialysis (0 vs 6, χ² = 4.432, p = 0.039). Multivariable logistic regression showed that comparing with A group, the HR for long-term mortality and new onset of dialysis in B group was 1.67 and 1.52 respectively (95%CI 1.09–2.90, p = 0.035; 95%CI 1.14–2.49, p = 0.027).

Conclusions: Comparing with normal preoperative renal function, patients with mild preoperative RD had a similar in-hospital mortality, but with an increased in-hospital rates and severity of AKI, and with a decreased long-term survival and increased long-term new onset of dialysis.
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Background
Nowadays, coronary artery bypass grafting surgery (CABG) is recognized as one of the most effective procedures for the treatment of coronary artery atherosclerosis disease. Patients with renal dysfunction (RD) are known to be at higher risk for mortality after CABG [1]. However, there is limited information on effects of different degrees of RD based on estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), especially the studies focused on the mild preoperative RD are relatively rare [2].

The majority of previous studies usually utilized serum creatinine as the indicator of the severity of RD, but compared with creatinine levels, eGFR has been considered as the most reliable indicator of renal function according to the National Kidney Foundation guidelines [3, 4]. RD caused by cardiopulmonary bypass can be attributed to inflammatory response, nonpulsatile flow, hemodilution, renal hypoperfusion, low cardiac output syndrome, atheroembolism, increased levels of circulating catecholamines, and free hemoglobin [5, 6]. However, studies conducted previously in this field have provided conflicting outcomes to support this hypothesis [7, 8].

In order to avoid the bias of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), we only enrolled patients with preoperative eGFR of more than 60 ml/min/1.73 m² undergoing first isolated cardiopulmonary coronary artery bypass grafting (CCABG) surgery. The purpose of this study was to understand the impact of mild preoperative RD compared with normal renal function on in-hospital and long-term outcomes in a single centre, retrospective propensity score matching study.

Methods
Definition of renal function
The glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was calculated by the abbreviated Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation:186 × (serum creatinine/88.4)^1.154 × (age)^0.203 × (0.742 if female). Kidney function before CABG was graded from I to V according to the GFR as proposed by the Kidney Disease Outcome Quality Initiative [9]. Kidney function is defined as normal with a GFR more than 89 ml/min/1.73 m² (stage I), minimally reduced with a GFR between 60 and 89 (stage II), moderately reduced with a GFR between 30 and 59 (stage III), severely reduced with a GFR between 15 and 29 (stage IV), and end-stage kidney failure with a GFR below 15 or renal replacement (stage V). This study only enrolled patients with mild preoperative RD (GFR between 60 and 89 ml/min/1.73 m²) and patients with normal preoperative renal function (GFR more than 90 ml/min/1.73 m²).

Study population
A standard set of perioperative data was collected prospectively for all patients undergoing first isolated CCABG with preoperative eGFR of more than 60 ml/min/1.73 m² at Nanjing First Hospital between January 2010 to December 2015. Clinical data were retrospectively collected from medical records and the data-base of our department of cardiac surgery.

All CCABG surgeries were performed by the one surgeon. Patients undergoing a concomitant cardiac surgical procedure, reoperation, urgent or emergent operations, or with incomplete informations were excluded. CCABG was performed via median sternotomy using a membrane oxygenator equipped with an arterial filter, cold blood antegrade cardioplegia under moderate systemic hypothermia (30 to 34 °C). The perfusion pressure during CPB was maintained within 60–70 mmHg.

Totally there were 1592 cases up to the standard, normal preoperative renal function was found in 1020 patients (64.1%, A group) and mild preoperative RD in 572 patients (35.9%, B group).

To control the selection bias in the comparison among A group and B group, a propensity score (PS) analysis was performed. One PS was calculated for each patient by means of logistic-regression analysis using 18 preoperative and surgical variables including: age, gender, body mass index (BMI), smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM), hyperlipemia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), prior cerebro-vascular accident, history of cerebral and myocardial infarction (MI), history of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), number of vessel disease, Euro-SCOREII, number of distal anastomosis, the application of left internal mammary artery (LIMA) and radial artery and CPB time. Every patient with mild preoperative RD was matched with a patient with normal renal function with the closest PS (within0.030). Finally, 572 pairs were successfully built in a 1:1 manner through matching PS(A group, n = 572; B group, n = 572).

Statistical analysis
Data are represented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise indicated. Categorical variables are represented as frequency distributions and single percentages. Normally distributed continuous variables were compared using a Student t-test, non-normally distributed continuous variables using the Mann-Whitney U test, and categorical variables were compared by χ² test. Potential risk factors were calculated by Cox regression analysis. Potential independent predictors of outcome were identified by univariate Cox regression analysis. All statistical tests were two-sided. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analysis were done with IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0 or STATA Data analysis and statistical software.
Results
Matching of patients
Matching data from A group (n = 572) and B group (n = 572) were analyzed. There were no significant differences with regard to age, gender, BMI, smoking, hypertension, DM, hyperlipedemia, COPD, history of cerebrovascular infarction, history of MI, history of PCI, LVEF, number of vessel disease, Euro-SCORE II, number of distal anastomosis, the percentage of arterial grafts and CPB time (Table 1). Significant differences were found on the baseline eGFR, which was higher in A group than B group (101.3 ± 11.4 vs 75.6 ± 9.7, p < 0.0001).

| Table 1 Baseline and procedural characteristics after matching |   | A group | B group | p value |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---|---------|---------|---------|
| variable                                                      | n = 572 | n = 572 |         |         |
| Female gender                                                | 97 (17.0) | 104 (18.2) | 0.641  |         |
| Age, y                                                        | 63.2 ± 7.3 | 63.6 ± 8.1  | 0.38   |         |
| Body mass index, kg/m2                                       | 26.4 ± 4.5 | 26.1 ± 4.6  | 0.265  |         |
| DM                                                           | 28 (4.9) | 23 (4.0) | 0.567  |         |
| Insulin-dependent                                            | 28 (14.9) | 28 (14.9) | 0.799  |         |
| Non-Insulin-dependent                                        | 79 (13.8) | 83 (14.5) | 0.708  |         |
| Previous                                                      | 26 (50.0) | 27 (50.0) | 0.708  |         |
| Extent of CAD                                                 | 143 (25.3) | 132 (23.1) | 0.489  |         |
| LVEF                                                          | 0.388  |         |         |         |
| > 0.50                                                        | 479 (83.7) | 468 (81.8) |         |         |
| 0.30–0.50                                                     | 87 (15.2) | 97 (17.0) | 0.30   |         |
| < 0.30                                                        | 6 (1.1) | 7 (1.2) | 0.708  |         |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m2)                                         | 101.3 ± 11.4 | 75.6 ± 9.7  | < 0.0001 |         |
| EuroScoreII                                                   | 1.9 ± 0.9 | 2.0 ± 1.2  | 0.111  |         |
| Distal anastomosis                                           | 3.1 ± 0.6 | 3.1 ± 0.4  | 1      |         |
| LIMA                                                          | 544 (95.1) | 531 (92.8) | 0.136  |         |
| Radial Artery                                                 | 68 (11.9) | 89 (15.6) | 0.086  |         |
| CPB time (min)                                                | 735 ± 20.2 | 713 ± 23.8 | 0.092  |         |

Postoperative results
A total of 22 patients died after the operation (8 in A group and 14 in B group, p = 0.282). In-hospital mortality and morbidity are represented in Table 2. There were no significant differences with regard to in-hospital mortality, myocardial infarction, atrial fibrillation, stroke, respiratory failure, pneumonia, redo for bleeding, red blood cell (RBC) transfusion, deep sternal wound infection (DSWI), low cardiac output syndrome, intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) support. Comparing with group A, group B was associated with an increased rates and severity of AKI (total AKI: 62 vs 144. AKIN stage I: 54 vs 113; AKIN stage II: 6 vs 22; AKIN stage III: 2 vs 9, p < 0.0001.) (Fig. 1).

Long-term outcomes
There were 58 patients died during the follow-up (21 patients in A group and 37 in B group), and 38 patients were lost to follow-up totally (18 patients in A group and 20 in B group, Table 2). The mean follow-up time was 54.4 ± 10.7 months. The top three causes of death were infection, heart failure, and myocardial infarction. Patients in group A were associated with a higher long-term survival (Fig. 2), and a lower new onset of dialysis rate than patients in group B (long-term mortality, 21 vs 37, long-term survival, 525 vs 501, χ² = 4.336, p = 0.037; dialysis, 0 vs 6, χ² = 4.336, p = 0.037). Multivariable logistic regression showed that mild preoperative RD grouping was a significant variable related to the long-term survival rate and new onset of dialysis, the HR for long-term mortality and new onset of dialysis in patients with mild preoperative RD was 1.67 and 1.52 respectively compared with normal preoperative renal function (95% CI 1.09–2.90, p = 0.035; 95% CI 1.14–2.49, p = 0.027). (As shown in Table 3).

Discussion
The principal findings of this single-centre, retrospective propensity score matching study can be summarized as follows. Comparing with normal preoperative renal function, mild preoperative RD: (1) did not influence the in-hospital mortality and most of the postoperative in-hospital morbidity, (2) increased postoperative in-hospital rates and severity of AKI. (3) decreased long-term survival and increased long-term new onset of dialysis.

Propensity matched pairs analysis provided the opportunity to rule out confusion by providing balanced baseline and procedural characteristics except the baseline eGFR. In this study, patients with mild preoperative RD or with normal preoperative renal function had similar rates of in-hospital surgical mortality. The univariable factor analysis manifested that the 2 propensity score-matched groups had similar in-hospital outcomes, including surgical mortality, myocardial infarction, stroke, respiratory failure, pneumonia, redo for bleeding, RBC.
transfusion, DSWI, low cardiac output syndrome, IABP application, except the rates and severity of AKI. The same result was obtained by Weitie Wang et al., they reported older patients with mild preoperative RD had a higher mortality rate than normal patients in long-term survival, whereas no evidence of worse in-hospital mortality rate was found [10]. Jyrala et al. who analyzed a cohort of 885 patients with or without mild preoperative RD received on-pump cardiac surgery, with respect to short- and long-term outcomes [11]. They found mild preoperative RD was a marker for patients with increased cardiac risk factors and the risk for poor outcomes. Their conclusion was in line with our study about postoperative late survival but was different from in-hospital mortality. Reasons of this difference lay in the study population, because our cohort was primary isolated CCABG, and we chose the eGFR as the indicator for the evaluation of renal function.

CPB still contributes to RD due to multiple perturbations in renal physiology and function as mentioned before. We speculated the reasons why there was no difference of mortality between the 2 groups were as follows: (1) average

| Variable                        | A group (n = 572) No. (%) | B group (n = 572) No. (%) | p value |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Mortality                       | 8 (1.4)                   | 14 (2.4)                  | 0.282   |
| MI                              | 17 (3.0)                  | 17 (3.0)                  | 1       |
| Stroke                          | 6 (1.0)                   | 8 (1.4)                   | 0.788   |
| Respiratory failure             | 16 (2.8)                  | 22 (3.8)                  | 0.409   |
| Pneumonia                       | 23 (4.0)                  | 29 (5.1)                  | 0.478   |
| AKI                             | 62                        | 144                       | <0.0001 |
| Stage I                         | 54 (9.6)                  | 113 (20.3)                |         |
| Stage II                        | 6 (1.1)                   | 22 (3.9)                  |         |
| Stage III                       | 2 (0.4)                   | 9 (1.6)                   |         |
| Redo for bleeding               | 9 (1.6)                   | 12 (2.1)                  | 0.66    |
| RBC transfusion                 | 201 (35.1)                | 219 (38.3)                | 0.622   |
| DSWI                            | 11 (1.9)                  | 17 (3.0)                  | 0.339   |
| Low cardiac output syndrome     | 44 (7.7)                  | 54 (9.4)                  | 0.34    |
| IABP application                | 33 (5.8)                  | 46 (8.0)                  | 0.162   |
| Lost to follow-up               | 18 (3.2)                  | 20 (3.7)                  | 0.869   |
| Long-term mortality             | 21 (3.8)                  | 37 (6.9)                  | 0.037   |
| CRF requiring dialysis          | 0                         | 6 (1.1)                   | 0.039   |

MI myocardial infarction, AKI acute kidney injury, RBC red blood cell, DSWI deep sternal wound infection, IABP intra-aortic balloon pump, CRF chronic renal failure
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Fig. 1 Acute kidney injury (AKI), as defined and classified according to the criteria proposed by the Acute Kidney Injury Network (AKIN), is shown stratified according to kidney function at baseline (blue = AKI 1, red = AKI 2, green = AKI 3; y-axis = number of patients)
CPB time of primary isolated CABG was relatively short, most of patients with mild RD had enough functional reserve and had the ability to withstand acute insults. (2) group B had an increased postoperative in-hospital rates and severity of AKI, but AKIN stage I accounted for most cases. (3) relative high perfusion pressure was kept to guarantee the renal perfusion [12]. (4) There are no active treatments for AKI, and therefore, perioperative preventative strategies seem particularly promising. Keep adequate hydration and avoid the use of diuretics, except for specified medical indications; minimize the use of medications with adverse effects on renal function, such as contrast agents, α-adrenergic agents, colloids and exogenous blood products; keep an optimal hemodynamic status and correct the acid-base or metabolic imbalance.

It is reported that age, DM, preoperative RD, blood transfusion, low cardiac output syndrome, low LVEF are independent risk factors of AKI [13, 14]. There was no significant difference of these independent risk factors except the baseline eGFR between the 2 propensity score-matched groups. In this study, compared with patients with normal preoperative renal function, patients with mild preoperative RD were associated with increased postoperative in-hospital rates and severity of AKI. The severity of AKI was defined by the AKIN [15], AKIN stage I accounted for most patients in both groups in this study. AKI defines as a clinical syndrome characterized by a sudden decline of the excretory kidney function, with accumulation of urea and creatinine and decreased urinary output [16, 17]. Depending on the specific definition and methodological concerns, AKI occurs in up to one third of patients undergoing CABG, and approximately 2% require temporary dialysis [18]. The AKIN criteria may be quite sensitive for detecting subtle changes in kidney function, especially the creatinine increase of 0.3 mg/dL within 2 days. Some previous studies demonstrated that development of AKI was associated with high short-term and long-term morbidity and mortality [19]. The demonstration was consistent with our study about the long-term mortality but was different from postoperative short-term mortality. Reason of this difference was supposed that we only recruited first isolated CCABG with normal or mild RD, and AKIN stage I accounted for most patients postoperatively, and higher incidence of

![Fig. 2 Actuarial curves of long-term follow-up survival after CCABG](image)

**Table 3** Predictors of long-term mortality/new onset of dialysis in the matched cohorts

| Variable                | HR    | 95% CI          | p value       |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|---------------|
| Grouping (mild group vs. normal group) | 1.67/1.52 | 1.09–2.90/1.14–2.49 | 0.035/0.027 |
| Age (per y)             | 1.21/1.19 | 1.03–1.63/1.17–1.89 | <0.0001/0.004 |
| Gender (Female vs. male)| 1.29/1.13 | 1.08–1.84/1.03–2.01 | 0.037/0.19   |
| High blood pressure     | 1.47/1.05 | 1.12–1.97/1.05–1.88 | 0.008/0.27   |
| DM                      | 1.59/1.33 | 1.08–1.96/1.01–1.76 | 0.012/0.035  |
| LVEF<0.50               | 1.68/2.24 | 1.04–2.21/1.03–2.25 | 0.023/0.006  |
| IABP application        | 2.22/3.17 | 1.11–2.87/1.05–2.10 | <0.0001/0.002 |

HR hazard ratio, CI confidence interval, DM diabetes mellitus, LVEF left ventricular ejection fraction, IABP intra-aortic balloon pump
complete revascularization achieved by CCABG may also be a possible explanation. Another hypothesis was that the increased hemodynamic instability that occured with off-pump CABG negate the benefits of avoiding CPB [20].

As expected, the follow-up demonstrated that patients with mild preoperative RD showed a trend to lower long-term survival compared with normal preoperative renal function patients (96.2% vs 93.1%, \( p = 0.037 \)). Cox regression manifested that grouping (mild vs. normal preoperative renal function) was a significant variable related to the long-term survival, and the HR was 1.67 (95%CI 1.09–2.90, \( p = 0.035 \)). Furthermore, in our study patients with mild RD were associated with a higher rate of new onset of dialysis compared with normal renal function patients during the follow-up (0 vs 6, \( \chi^2 = 4.432, p = 0.039 \)). Cox regression also showed the HR for new onset of dialysis was 1.52 (mild vs normal preoperative renal function, 95%CI 1.14–2.49, \( p = 0.027 \)). The exact causes of these differences are unknown and further research is needed. Preexisting kidney disease has been repeatedly identified as a strong predictor of AKI after cardiac operations [21], moreover, AKI has been associated with progression to chronic kidney disease (CKD) and dialysis in many reports [22]. CKD and dialysis might exert negative effects on the long-term survival inevitably. Gün day and colleagues [23] conducted a study focused on the CABG patients with normal renal function or mild RD, they concluded that mild preoperative RD reduced coronary flow reserve after CABG surgery due to deterioration of the micro-vascular bed. Unfortunately, there are no pharmacologic agents known to reduce the risk of AKI or treat established AKI [24]. Therefore, CABG patients with mild RD need to strengthen the follow-up of nephropathy, more strictly management of the risk factors of coronary artery disease postoperatively.

**Limitations**

Firstly, a retrospective, non-randomized single-centre analysis over a long period of time and with different surgeon’s procedures on patients undergoing CABG is subjected to the effects of selection bias. Although propensity score matching is implemented, a prospective, multi-centre study involving larger sample size is needed. Secondly, the GFR was derived by using the MDRD formula, which was not designed for determining GFR. Finally, the initial RIFLE (risk of RD; injury to the kidney; failure of kidney function; loss of kidney function; and end-stage kidney disease) criteria do not include the creatinine increase within 48 h for defining the mildest form of AKI, whereas the RIFLE categories injury and loss are similar to AKIN stage 2 and 3, respectively [25]. AKI defined according to the RIFLE criteria may therefore avoid an iatrogenic hemodilution but may miss more subtle changes of kidney function [19, 25].

**Conclusions**

In summary, this analysis revealed that mild preoperative RD compared with normal preoperative renal function did not increase the in-hospital mortality, but increased in-hospital rates and severity of AKI, decreased long-term survival and increased long-term new onset of dialysis.
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