Marker-Assisted Introgression of Pi-1 Gene Conferring Resistance to Rice Blast Pathogen Pyricularia oryzae in the Background of Samba Mahsuri
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ABSTRACT

Samba Mahsuri (BPT 5204) is one of the most popular and high yielding rice variety, grown extensively in India and other Asian countries also. However, it is highly susceptible to blast disease, caused by the fungal pathogen Pyricularia oryzae. The near isogenic line C101LAC derived from LAC23 possessing Pi-1 gene was selected as donor, which is located on chromosome 11. The MAS useful to develop resistance line with highest recurrent parent genome within a short period. The C101LAC carrying resistant gene Pi-1 was crossed with Samba Mahsuri to generate the mapping populations. Foreground selection was carried out using linked marker RM 224 to identify the plants processing the target gene (Pi-1). The recovery of recurrent parent genome in each backcrossed generations was carried out through a set of 60 polymorphic SSR markers across the rice genome. Out of 123 positive plants for Pi-1 gene in homozygous condition, a single plant (#BL-40-21-86-28) was identified at BC2F2 generation carrying the Pi-1 gene with maximum recovery of recurrent parent genome (~95.50%). This line was advanced through selfing and ancestry based selection for agro-morphological traits and also evaluated against blast on Uniform Blast Nursery (UBN). At BC3F4 generation, five lines Viz., BL-40-21-86-28-19, BL-40-21-86-28-72, BL-40-21-86-28-101, BL-40-21-86-28-208 and BL-40-21-86-28-256 with high level of resistance to blast were identified. A single line (#BL-40-21-86-28-208) was found very similar to the recurrent parent in number of panicles per plant, panicle length and grain yield per plant. This line was selected for further advanced to release as NIL or used as future breeding programme for incorporation of blast resistance.
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Introduction

Rice, Oryza sativa (Linneaeus) is the one of most significant cereal crop. It cultivated under a wide variety of climatic conditions. India and China account for more than half of the world’s rice areas, its contributor to global food security for the global population and
consume more than three quarters of the global rice production (Hossain, 1997; Maclean et al., 2002). As a fact India population will likely to exceed 1500 million marked by 2050; to feed growing population, the production and productivity of rice must be increased. After green revolution rice production was increased in some areas to 6-10 t/ha though many high yielding varieties. But the production was severely affected by a biotic (heat, drought and etc.) and biotic (diseases and insects) stresses. Among the biotic stresses, incidence of fungal diseases like blast is important it cause significant yield reduction up to 100% in favourable conditions. In most extreme cases blast disease can devastate rice fields and completely damage (Ou, 1985). Its effects aerial parts of rice plant mainly on leaves (leaf blast), necks (neck blast), panicles (panicle blast) and even roots in severe conditions (Prasad et al., 2012). Blast of rice caused by the fungal pathogen Pyricularia oryzae Cavara (teleomorphic Magnaporthe oryzae B. C. Couch). Currently the rate of increasing crop yield is decaling and need to focus on stability and sustainability of plant breeding efforts. Incidence and severity of the disease management mainly depends on cultural practices, fungicides, botanicals and bio-agents (Miah et al., 2013). Unfortunately, these methods are not very effective, majority of agricultural farmers are using fungicides/chemicals to control the diseases in agricultural crops (Bonman et al., 1992). The use of fungicides is additional expenditure to farmers and it affects the sustainable rice production and also very harmful to the ecology and environment. The resistant rice varieties are a powerful tool to decrease the use of environmentally vicious pesticides.

Host plant resistance based on the hypothesis of gene-for-gene interaction is the cost effective and environmentally appropriate strategy to manage targeted trait i.e., blast of rice (Manandhar et al., 1992; Jia et al., 2000). However, P. oryzae isolate is highly variable and sometimes to overcome resistance genes, a small section of the virulent isolate spreads rapidly in rice cultivars (Wang et al., 1994; Fukuoka and Okuno, 2001). Whereas major resistance genes are very effective against P. oryzae isolate containing the analogous a virulent gene (Silue et al., 1992). During past decade, nearly 100 of resistant genes have been identified against blast and most of the genes are dominate except Pi21 gene, few are quantitative in nature and 20 are cloned and characterised (Zhou et al., 2004; Gowda et al., 2006; Sharma et al., 2012). Majority of the ‘R’ genes from landrace, of indica subspecies except Pi9 has originated from a wild species of O. minuta (Liu et al., 2002) and moreover, the majority of the ‘R’ genes are race specific (Deng et al., 2006). Now a day’s agricultural scientist/breeders are focusing to introgress the resistant genes into popular cultivars using new molecular approaches for durable resistance but sometimes all ‘R’ genes are not durable depending on climatic conditions.

Rice breeders are developing resistant varieties through conventional backcross breeding programme but it is tedious, time consuming (8-10 years from initiation to varietal release) and mostly dependent on environmental circumstances, painstaking and protracted for targeted trait/disease resistance. Now a day’s PCR-based markers are used for accelerating the development of blast resistant rice cultivars, it is played an important role in rice improvement programme for increased demand will have to be met from less land, less number of labours and less number of fungicide spry (Hayashi et al., 2006; Latif et al., 2011). PCR-based markers have vast feasible to improve the efficiency and precision of traditional breeding through Master Assisted Selection (MAS) and it is more efficient, effective and reliable than
conventional backcross breeding (Ragimekula et al., 2013). MAS are an effective approach to develop new cultivar by rapidly recovering the background quality characteristics of the recurrent parent and also allow the pyramiding of complex traits as well as quantitative trait loci (QTL), which is not possible through conventional backcross breeding but in some cases it will be more cost effective (Collard and Mackill 2008; Shanti et al., 2010; Miah et al., 2013). Recently, many rice varieties with complete resistance to blast have been developed through MAS i.e., Vikas et al., (2012) successfully introgressed two major blast resistance genes Pi-54 and Piz-5 into an elite Basmati variety, Hasan et al., (2015) resistant gene Pi-54 into a Malaysian cv. MR 264 Rambabu et al., (2016) introgressed Pi-1 gene in the back ground of ‘Swarna’ variety and Vijay et al., (2018) also developed blast resistance in the background of Samba Mahsuri with Pi-54 gene. Similarly, the broad-spectrum of blast resistant gene Pi-1 was introgressed into mega variety BPT 5204 for resistance through marker assisted selection. However, the ability of MAS depends on the tight linkage between the marker and the target gene.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and marker-aided backcross breeding

The study on molecular markers analysis, phenotypic evaluation and agromorphological characters with regard to recurrent, donor parents (Samba Mahsuri and C101LAC) and progenies were conducted in the Department of Plant Pathology laboratory, greenhouse and paddy field, ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Hyderabad. Samba Mahsuri (BPT 5204) is popular indica variety because of good grain and cooking quality, medium slender grain type and a high yielding variety but highly susceptible to many diseases (blast, sheath blight and bacterial leaf blight) and pests (Stem borer, Leaf folder BPH and WBPH) considering this Samba Mahsuri used as recurrent parent to develop its adaptability to disease through introgression of disease resistance gene. Blast resistant donor C101LAC carrying Pi-1 gene, till date there is no report about large-scale breakdown of resistance conferred by Pi-1 from India or abroad, as per current reports Pi-1 gene displayed resistance across multiple locations in India (DRR annual report, 2008-14) in view of C101LAC used as donor (Figure 1). F1 population were developed by hybridization between recurrent parent (Female parent) and donor parents (Male parent). The positive F1 plants carrying Pi-1 gene was backcrossed individually to produce BC1F1 plants. The desirable BC1F1 plants are identified with maximum recovery of the recurrent parent genome (RPG) and again backcrossed with recurrent parent in independent backcross breeding programmes to develop the BC2F1 generation. The gene positive plants were selected by following foreground selection in each backcross generation, and homozygous plants were identified at BC2F2 generation and then pedigree selection was followed till BC2F4.

Foreground selection

Markers used for selecting the target genes are simple sequence repeat marker (SSR), RM 224 gene linked to Pi-1 on chromosome 11 (Fuentes et al., 2008). Details of the primer sequence, chromosomal location and physical position are presented (Table 1).

Genomic DNA extraction from rice leaves

Genomic DNA was isolated using the microextraction procedure followed by Prabhu et al., (1998). Prior to extraction, 3-5cm of young leaves were cut into small pieces and
transferred to spot plate and then immediately 800 ml of extraction buffer (CTAB) was added. After grinding, the sample was kept at 65°C for 30-40 min. in water bath for incubation. Later equal volume of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1) was added into the tube and mixed well. It was centrifuged for 15 min at 13,000 rpm and then supernatant was transferred immediately to another fresh eppendorf tube by discarding the pellet. Later equal volume of ice chilled isopropanol was added and after mixing, these tubes were kept in -20°C freezer for 1-2 hours. After removing from the freezer tubes were shaken gently for 5-10 min and then the tubes were centrifuged for 10 min at 13,000 rpm and supernatant was discarded without disturbing the pellet. The pellet was washed with 100µl of 70% chilled ethanol and centrifuged for 5 min at 13,000 rpm. The supernatant was discarded and then pellet was air dried for 1 hour and suspended in 100-150µl of 1X TE buffer (pH 8.0) for long term storage (-20°C freezer). The isolated DNA was checked for its purity using nanodrop (Thermo Fisher, USA) for quantification and DNA quality check by 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis at 90 V for 30 min.

**PCR analysis using gene specific marker**

Gene specific markers were amplified by the PCR using forward and reverse primers. RM 224 primer was used for foreground selection of Pi-1 gene. PCR amplification was carried out with 2µl of 10µl mixture having 50-100ng of template DNA, 1µl of 10X PCR buffer, 0.5µl of 10mM dNTPs, 0.5µl of 10pM of each primers (forward and reverse) and 0.3µl of 3U Taq DNA polymerase (Genei, India). Amplification was performed by using thermocycler (AB Bio systems) described below (Table 2). The PCR products were resolved on 3% agarose gel in 1X TAE buffer and stained with ethidium bromide (0.5pg/mL) along with ladder and finally the DNA profile visualized in a gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech, USA)

**Artificial screening of introgression lines for blast resistance**

At BC2F4 generation, all selected IL’s carrying Pi-1 gene was evaluated in Uniform Blast Nursery (UBN) at ICAR-IIRR, Hyderabad using standard protocol followed by Prasad et al., (2011). The nursery bed layout consisted of 100 cm long single row of each entry spaced at 5 cm. The susceptible check HR-12 was repeated after every five test entries and along the borders to ensure uniform disease spread. About 10-15 days after sowing (fourth leaf stage), the spores suspension of *P. oryzae* (IIRR-MSP-28 isolate) at concentration of 1 X 10^3 conidia/ml were sprayed with the help of hand operator atomizer. Pathogen infection and disease pressure was increased by maintaining high relative humidity (93-99%) by water misting and covering the nursery beds with polythene sheets during night time. The disease reaction was recorded 15 days after inoculation using standard evaluation system 0-9 scale (IRRI, SES, 1996) i.e. scores of 0-1 were considered as highly resistant, 2-3 were considered as resistant, 4-5 moderately resistant, 6-7 moderately susceptible and 8-9 highly susceptible respectively.

**Evaluation IL’s for yield and other agronomic parameters**

Thirty-day old seedlings of the selected introgression lines (carrying Pi-1 gene) at BC2F4 were transplanted to field along with parents, which were evaluated to agronomic parameters at ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice research, Hyderabad (17.3200° N, 78.3939° E) during wet season (Kharif) 2015. The lines were sown in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with two replications. Each entry was planted in a row length of 450 cm
with spacing of 15 X 20 cm. Each genotype was sown in five lines, and before entry parent lines (C101LAC and Samba Mahsuri) were sown. Recommended agronomic practices were followed during the field trial and observations were recorded for traits viz., yield per grain type (GT), plant (Y/P), number of productive panicles (PN), 1000 grain weight (TGW), grain per panicle (GP), panicle length (PL), plant height (PH), days to maturity (DM) and days to 50 % flowering (DFF) for their selection. Grain type was graded according to the classification given by Ramaiah, (1969) and other traits have been followed as per Sarawgi et al., (2013). The mean data after computing for each character was subjected to standard methods of analyses of variance followed by Panse and Sukatme, (1957).

Results and Discussion

Introgression of Pi-1 gene

The F1s plants were generated from the cross of recurrent parent Samba Mahsuri (BPT 5204) and donor parent C101LAC were evaluated for presence of the targeted resistance gene Pi-1 by using the linked molecular marker RM 224. A total 96 F1 plants were generated and 51 plants were confirmed for their heterozygosity (Table 3; Figure 2). The true F1’s were identified through gene Pi-1 amplification pattern. The true F1 plants were backcrossed with recurrent parent to produce the BC1F1’s. 87 heterozygous BC1F1 plants were selected based on the molecular marker RM 224, agronomic traits and blast resistance. Of these one plant (#BL-40-21) was selected and possessing maximum recovery of the recurrent parent genome (~76.66%) was identified by using 60 parental polymorphic SSR markers through background selection (Table 3). This line was backcrossed with recurrent parent Samba Mahsuri to generate 298 BC2F1 plants, were genotyped with the RM 224 marker and 76 heterozygous plants were selected based on disease resistance. One plant i.e., # BL-40-21-86 possessing maximum recovery of the recurrent parent genome (~86.66%; Figure 3), these were then selfed and produced 489 BC2F2 populations. Among those plants, 123 plants were identified in homozygous condition and possessing dominant gene Pi-1. Of these plants a single plant (#BL-40-21-86-28) was possessing Pi-1 gene with blast resistant and maximum recurrent parent genome (95.50%) was identified through background selection and also good agronomic performance (Table 3; Figure 4).

To identify the effectiveness of Pi-1 gene in the background of the Samba Mahsuri, the selected homozygous plant (#BL-40-21-86-28) was forwarded to next generation by selfing and advanced through pedigree based methodology involving phenotypic based selection up to BC3F4 generation. Finally, five promising advanced backcross derived lines were identified viz., BL-40-21-86-28-19, BL-40-21-86-28-72, BL-40-21-86-28-101, BL-40-21-86-28-208 and BL-40-21-86-28-256 (Table 4). These lines were screened for disease reaction along with parent’s i.e., recurrent (Samba Mahsuri), donor (C101LAC) and highly susceptible check (HR-12). The donor parent C101LAC having Pi-1 gene, showed resistance reaction with ‘0’ disease score and the recurrent parent BPT 5204 showed 90% disease lesions occurrence on leaves with disease score ‘9’, while all selected IL’s viz., BL-40-21-86-28-19, BL-40-21-86-28-72, BL-40-21-86-28-101, BL-40-21-86-28-208 and BL-40-21-86-28-256 had blast resistance with disease score 1, 1, 1, 1 and 2 respectively (Figure 5).

Evaluation for yield and yield attributing traits

The selected five ILs lines viz., BL-40-21-86-28-19, BL-40-21-86-28-72, BL-40-21-86-28-
101, BL-40-21-86-28-208 and BL-40-21-86-28-256 (carrying Pi-1 gene) were evaluated for key agro-morphological traits and results showed that BL-40-21-86-28-208 had RPG of 95.50% grain yield slightly higher than (21.1±0.3 gm) recurrent parent (i.e. BPT 5204; 20.0±0.8 gm). Whereas other four IL’s (BL-40-21-86-28-19, BL-40-21-86-28-72, BL-40-21-86-28-101 and BL-40-21-86-28-256) possessing RPG of 95.19, 94.45, 94.98 and 94.00 respectively and showed grain yield per plant more or equivalent to the recurrent parent (Table 5). Likewise, BL-40-21-86-28-101 and BL-40-21-86-28-256 (80.3±1.5cm and 80.0±1.0) were identified as taller than recurrent parent Samba Mahsuri (79.7±0.6). A few significant variations were observed with respect to the number of panicles per plant and panicle length among the five ILs as compared to Samba Mahsuri (Table 5). The IL BL-40-21-86-28-19 and BL-40-21-86-28-208 (18.5±0.5 and 18.8±0.3) were identified having more thousand grain weight compare to recurrent parent (18.3±0.6). Finally the IL BL-40-21-86-28-208 was found to be better than Samba Mahsuri because it had higher grain yield per plant and as well as disease (Figure 5).

Samba Mahsuri known as BPT 5204 is one among the popular variety of rice, known for its excellent grain quality and yield performance among farmers and consumers in India and other Asian countries but highly susceptible to disease of rice blast, is a major restricting factor for its performance of yield. The pathogen *P. oryzae* causes leaf blast, neck blast and panicle blast in rice resulting in severe yield loss up to 70-100 percent and effects grain quality also.

The present study was carried to transfer of blast resistance gene *Pi-1* into Samba Mahsuri through MAS (marker-assisted selection) using donors C101 LAC. It is obvious and proved to be the most useful gene (*Pi-1*) for broad spectrum resistance to various population of *P. oryzae*, being used in breeding programme in rice growing arias (Chen et al., 2001; Yu et al., 1991). *Pi-1* gene was linked to RZ424 and RZ536 by RFLP markers, separated at a distance 19.6 and 14.0 cM and also mapped on the long arm chromosome 11 (Prasad et al., 2009; Yu et al., 1991). Fuentes et al., (2008) conducted mapping studies from intercrosses of C101LAC/C101A51 with RM 224, RM 5926 and RM 1233*I* markers were mapped 0.0 cM position to *Pi-1* and *Pi-2* genes. For foreground and background selection gene linked markers were used to select enviable lines. PCR based linked markers (Simple Sequence Repeats) are very useful for background selection because of chromosome specific, co-dominant, multi-allelic, highly informative and no need to restriction digestion (Swarup et al., 2006). In this study PCR-based RM 224 linked marker was used to identify true plants with *Pi-1* gene along with stringent phenotypic selection for faster recovery of the recurrent parent genome (RPG). Recurrent parent Samba Mahsuri is known for its astonishing quality and cooking character and the donor parent have many undesirable features like bold grained and dwarf featured but resistant to blast disease. Hence it was of most important to retain the recurrent parent genomic background simultaneously in accumulation to resistant gene introgression. This chore was envisaged by recurrent parent genome selection attached with stringent phenotypic selection for grain features of recurrent parent Samba Mahsuri. Rambabu et al., (2016) developed a new variety through marker assisted introgression in the background of Swarna by using *Pi-1* gene with RPG 94.70%. Similarly in this study also blast resistance introgress to Samba Mahsuri with RPG 95.50%. Previously Abhilash et al., (2016) also developed a hybrid rice variety i.e. RPHR 1005 for blast and bacterial bight resistant along with RPG 93.4%.
Table 1. Marker Details used for introgression

| Gene | Marker | Linkage group | Genetic Map distance (cM) | Forward sequence | Reverse sequence | Reference |
|------|--------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| *Pi-1* | RM 224 | 11 | 0 | TTCGTTTTTCTTG GTTAGTG | ATGGGCTCTTG AAGAAGG | Fuentes *et al.*, (2008) |

Table 2. PCR profile

| Profile activity | Temperature (°C) | Time duration | No. of cycles |
|------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Initial denaturation | 94 °C | 5 min | 1 |
| Denaturation | 94 °C | 30 sec | 35 |
| Annealing | 55 °C | 30 sec | |
| Extension | 72 °C | 1 min | |
| Final Extension | 72 °C | 10 min | 1 |
| Storage | 4 °C | α | 1 |

Table 3. Details of foreground and background selection among the backcross derived plants from the cross BPT 5204/C101LAC

| S. No | Generation | No. of plants screened | Foreground Selection | Background selection | Best plant selected based on background selection |
|-------|------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|       |            |                        | Positive for *Pi-1* gene | SSRs used analyzed | Polymorphic SSRs, homozygous for R’ allele | (%) recovery of Recurrent parent genome |
| 1     | F<sub>1</sub> | 96 | 51 | - | - | - | BL-40 |
| 2     | BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> | 360 | 87 | 60 | 46 | 76.66% | BL-40-21 |
| 3     | BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> | 298 | 76 | 14 | 6 | 86.66% | BL-40-21-86 |
| 4     | BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> | 489 | 123 | 8 | 5 | 95.50% | BL-40-21-86-28 |

Note: B=BPT 5204, L= C101LAC, BL= NILs of BPT 5204 X C101LAC

Table 4. Screening of the five selected BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> lines with *P. oryzae*

| S. No | Designation | Resistance gene *Pi-1* genotyped by using gene linked marker RM 224 | Disease reaction with IIRR MSP-28 isolate |
|-------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|       |             | Score | R/S | |
| 1     | BPT 5204 | -- | 9 | S |
| 2     | C101LAC | ++ | 0 | R |
| 3     | HR-12 | -- | 9 | S |
| 4     | BL-40-21-86-28-19 | ++ | 1 | R |
| 5     | BL-40-21-86-28-72 | ++ | 1 | R |
| 6     | BL-40-21-86-28-101 | ++ | 1 | R |
| 7     | BL-40-21-86-28-208 | ++ | 1 | R |
| 8     | BL-40-21-86-28-256 | ++ | 2 | R |

“++”:- Possessing homozygous resistant allele at the particular gene locus, based on screening with gene linked marker RM 224.

“--”:- Possessing homozygous susceptible allele at the particular gene locus, based on screening with gene linked marker RM 224, “R”:- Resistant and “S”:- Susceptible.
Table 5 Details of agronomic performance of the parents and improved lines of Samba Mahsuri (BPT 5204) at BC$_2$F$_4$ under field conditions

| S. No. | Designation     | DFF   | DM    | PH (cm) | PN    | PL (cm) | GP (gm) | TG W (gm) | Y/P  | RP G (%) | Grain type |
|--------|-----------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-----------|------|----------|------------|
| 1      | BPT 5204        | 124.0 | 148.7 | 79.7    | 11.7  | 24.2    | 179.3   | 18.3      | 20.0 | ±0.8     | MS         |
| 2      | C101LAC         | 88.7±1.5 | 108.0 | 80.7±1.2| 9.3±0.6 | 23.3    | 182.7   | 18.7      | 19.4 | -        | SB         |
| 3      | BL-40-21-86-28-19 | 124.3±0.6 | 145.7±0.9 | 78.3±0.6 | 10.7±0.6 | 24.0    | 180.3   | 18.5      | 20.1 | 95.19    | MS         |
| 4      | BL-40-21-86-28-72 | 125.0±1.0 | 147.3±1.2 | 79.0±1.0 | 11.0±1.0 | 23.8    | 179.0   | 18.2      | 20.6 | 94.45    | MS         |
| 5      | BL-40-21-86-28-101 | 124.0±1.7 | 146.3±0.6 | 80.3±1.5 | 11.7±1.2 | 23.7    | 180.0   | 18.0      | 20.3 | 94.98    | MS         |
| 6      | BL-40-21-86-28-208 | 123.0±1.0 | 144.3±0.6 | 78.7±1.5 | 12.0±1.0 | 24.2    | 182.7   | 18.8      | 21.1 | 95.50    | MS         |
| 7      | BL-40-21-86-28-256 | 125.3±1.2 | 146.0±1.0 | 80.0±1.0 | 9.7±0.6 | 23.5    | 179.0   | 18.2      | 19.9 | 94.00    | MS         |

DFF: Days to 50% flowering, DM: Days to maturity, PH: Mean plant height (cm), PN: No. of panicle per plant, PL: Panicle length (cm), GP: Grain weight (gm), TGW (gm): 1000 grain weight, RPG: Recurrent parent genome recovery (%), MS: Medium Slender and “SB”- Short Bold.

Figure 1 Evaluation of donor parent C101LAC (DRR progress reports 2008-14)
**Figure 2** Screening of F1 plants with gene linked marker RM 224. The numbers represents the F1 plants from the cross BPT 5204/C101LAC. Gel Lanes M: 50bp molecular weight ladder; B- Recurrent parent ‘BPT 5204 (Samba Mahsuri)’, L - Donor parent ‘C101LAC’; 26-50 - F1 plants, (line No’s 27, 29, 30, 32, 35, 39, 40 and 48 ‘heterozygous positive plants’ for Pi-1 gene)

**Figure 3** Screening of BC2F1 plants with gene linked marker RM 224. The numbers represents the BC2F1 plants from the cross BPT 5204/C101LAC. Gel Lanes M: 50bp molecular weight ladder; B- Recurrent parent ‘BPT 5204 (Samba Mahsuri)’, L - Donor parent ‘C101LAC’; 76-100 - F1 plants, (line No’s 78, 79, 81, 86, 90, 91, 92, 93 and 100 ‘heterozygous positive plants’ for Pi-1 gene)

**Figure 4** Screening of BC2F2 plants with gene linked marker RM 224. The numbers represents the BC2F2 plants from the cross BPT 5204/C101LAC. Gel Lanes M: 50bp molecular weight ladder; B- Recurrent parent ‘BPT 5204 (Samba Mahsuri)’, L - Donor parent ‘C101LAC’; 26-50 - F1 plants, (line No’s 28, 31, 33, 35, 41, 43, and 46 ‘homozygous positive plants’ for Pi-1 gene).

**Figure 5** Phenotypic screening of BC2F4 plants on Uniform Blast Nursery against blast disease. HR-12: Susceptible check, Samba Mahsuri (BPT 5204): Recurrent parent (susceptible) and C101LAC: Donor parent (highly resistant); IL-1 to IL6 (i.e., BL-40-21-86-28-19, BL-40-21-86-28-72, BL-40-21-86-28-101, BL-40-21-86-28-208 and BL-40-21-86-28-256) introgressed lines.
According to Alam et al., (2012) microsatellite polymorphic markers is an essential step in plant breeding application as it can differentiate between two different parental genotypes (recurrent and donor parents). Microsatellite markers are very preferable markers for plant breeding program due to well spread throughout rice genome and hyper variable (Miah et al., 2013). In this study 60 parental polymorphic SSR markers are used with ~4 polymorphic markers per each chromosome to the better exposure of each chromosome in genetic background selection. Ragimekula et al., (2013) reported that best primers selection was depended upon repeat number and location on all chromosomes. Similarly, Brinkman and Frey, (1977) also suggested it had surely resulted in restrictive the linkage drag to the regions close to the target genes. Hospital, (2001) suggested for background selection, a higher number of parental polymorphic markers are located on chromosome 11.

Earlier, Sundaram et al., (2008) also developed Improved Samba Mahsuri through MAS approach for bacterial leaf blight resistance by pyramiding Xa21, xa13 and xa5 genes. MAS was successfully employed to intriguing genes for resistance to various diseases in rice such as blast (Hittalmani et al., 2000; Singh et al., 2012; Madhavi et al., 2012; Hasan et al., 2015), bacterial leaf blight (Zhang et al., 2006; Basavaraj et al., 2010; Hari et al., 2013; Balachiranjeevi et al., 2015) and sheath blight (Wang et al., 2012), respectively by implementing an approach analogous to that used in the present study. The success of marker assisted selection depends up on tight linkage between the marker and the target gene. In this study Pi-1 gene was adopted a positive selection approach involving MAS for quick recovery of the RPG of Samba Mahsuri, therefore limiting the total number of backcrosses are just two. As a result, five improved breeding lines (BL-40-21-86-28-19, BL-40-21-86-28-72, BL-40-21-86-28-101, BL-40-21-86-28-208 and BL-40-21-86-28-256) of Samba Mahsuri possessing good plant type, excellent grain quality and medium-slender grain type along with blast resistant were identified. In this study, BC1F1, BC2F1 and BC2F2 population were observed with the average RPG of 76.66%, 86.66% and 95.50% respectively and it proved the statement that percentage of RP genome was higher in MAS compared to conventional breeding program. The present study demonstrated that a few individual plants in three generations (BC1F1, BC2F1 and BC2F2) showed a complete recovery of RPG.

According to Khush et al., (1989) many of the blast resistant varieties are breakdown due to resistance conferred by a single gene. Still now there is no report about breakdown of Pi-1 gene in India. Homozygous improved breeding lines of Samba Mahsuri with Pi-1 gene (BL-40-21-86-28-19, BL-40-21-86-28-72, BL-40-21-86-28-101, BL-40-21-86-28-208 and BL-40-21-86-28-256) were identified at BC2F4 for blast resistant. Earlier Gouda et al., (2012) also developed introgressed lines, which were shown resistant to blast and neck blast at high level of M. oryzae population in Karnataka state. Indian farmers and consumers are not accepting without good grain type, exent cooking quality and yield of rice, if those lines resistant to biotic and a biotic stress (Sundaram et al., 2008). In this study the selected all five advanced lines were on par with recurrent parent with RPG range between 93.98 to 95.50%. One line BL-40-21-86-28-208 with 95.50% RPG have found to medium slender grain like recurrent parent and highly resistant to blast. In conclusion, Samba Mahsuri (BPT 5204) was successfully improved blast resistance through MAS and it will be valuable for further future blast resistance breeding programmes. The developed blast resistant line will be released.
through AICRIP programme and consequently might be attracts attention from farmers struggling with blast and also it contributes for food security.
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