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A B S T R A C T

The changing climate adversely affect the morphological characters of plant which, ultimate effect the identification of off types in seed production programme. This also leads to affect the genetic purity in seed production programme. To overcome this problem selection of progeny and its breeding depend upon the genetic variability in population. Fourteen varieties of wheat and their forty crosses were evaluated in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications for yield components and physiological traits during rabi 2015-16, to find out genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance percent of mean. Significant genetic differences were observed for all the quantitative characters studied, indicating considerable amount of variation among genotypes. Moderate genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation exhibited by grain yield per plant, biological yield per plant, ear weight, number of ears per plant, peduncle length and sedimentation value while, number of tillers per plant and harvest index showed moderate PCV but low GCV. All of the traits expressed high heritability. However, biological yield per plant and sedimentation value showed high and moderate genetic advance, respectively which, indicates that the heritability is most likely due to additive gene effect and selection may be effective for these traits. It is also observed that the performance of these characters is not under influence of environment. However, other characters might be effected by the change in climatic condition. Thus, in seed production programme at the time of rouging these characters must be considered to maintain the genetic purity of seed.
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Introduction

The changing climate adversely affect the morphological characters of plant which, ultimate effect the identification of off types in seed production programme. This also leads to affect the genetic purity in seed production programme. To overcome this problem selection of progeny and its breeding depend upon the genetic variability in population. Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is the first important and strategic cereal crop for the majority of world’s populations. It is the most important staple food of about two billion people (36% of the world population). Selection of progeny and its breeding depend upon the genetic variability in population. The extent of genetic variability has been considered as an important factor which is an essential pre-requisite for a successful hybridization aimed at producing high yielding progenies. The knowledge of heritability helps the plant breeder in predicting the behaviour of the succeeding
generations, making desirable selection and assessing the magnitude of genetic improvement through selection. Higher estimates of heritability coupled with better genetic advance confirm the scope of selection in developing new genotypes with desirable characteristics. Hence, heritability estimates in this study would help to predict about the possible progress that can be attained by making the selection process effective. Moreover, genetic advance estimates give a clear picture of F1 generation to make selection effective. This study is aimed to estimate variability and genetic parameters for yield components and physiological characters. These values will help in making effective selection under variable environmental conditions for the improvement of characters under study.

Materials and Methods

The experimental material was comprised of forty F1 populations involving 14 selected genotypes as parents: GW-273, GW-322, GW-366, JW-1201, JW-1202, JW-1203, LOK-1, HD-2864, HD-2932, HI-1544, JW-3336, JW-3288, MP-3269, JW-3211. The experiment was carried out under Wheat Improvement Project, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding at Seed Breeding Farm, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (M.P) during rabi 2015-16. The F1 Seeds and parents were grown in lines keeping plant to plant distance at 10cm and row to row distance at 20cm. All the crop protection measures were adopted on time. Data were collected for Days to 50% heading, Days to maturity, plant height, Number of tillers per plant, Number of spikelets per ear, Ear length (cm), Ear weight, Number of ears per plant, peduncle length, Number of grains per ear, 1000-grain weight, Biological yield per plant, Grain yield per plant, Harvest index, Canopy temperature, Chlorophyll content. At maturity, five healthy and competitive plants from the each F1 cross and each parent were taken at random and data were recorded and analyzed. The analysis of variances suggested by Burton (1952), heritability in broad sense (h2bs) is suggested by Hanson et al. (1950) and genetic advance (GA) was estimated by Johnson et al. (1955). Mean sums of square were calculated as indicated in Table 1.

Results and Discussion

To know the extent of variation for observed characters among the genotypes of wheat, analysis of variance was performed and presented in table 1. Results of analysis of variance indicated that the mean sums of squares due to genotypes were highly significant for all the traits under study, suggesting presence of sufficient variation among the genotypes for these traits. Maximum variability was observed for biological yield per plant (472.75) while, minimum for ear weight (0.65). The magnitude of variability in decreasing order for other traits were as follows: sedimentation value, number of grains per ear, grain yield per plant, chlorophyll content, plant height, peduncle length, 1000-grain weight, wet gluten, harvest index, days to maturity, number of ears per plant, days to 50% heading, canopy temperature, number of spikelets per ear, starch content, protein content, number of tillers per plant, ear length and ear weight. The variability among parental lines and F1s ranged from biological yield per plant to ear weight. This was in conformity with the findings of Ahmed et al. (2015), Ali et al. (2008), (Singh et al. 2015).

Mean and range performance for yield component characters are summarized in table 2. The character days to 50% flowering ranged from 66.00 to 73.66 days with an average of 70.42 days. Days to maturity varied from 113.33 to 122.66 days with mean of 117.81 days.
Table 1 Analysis of variance for yield and yield attributing traits

| S.V.          | D.F. | Days to 50% Flowering | Days to Maturity | Plant Height | Tillers/Plant | Spikelets/Ear | Ear Length | Ear Weight | Peduncle Length | Ears/Plant | Grains/Ear |
|---------------|------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|
| Replicate     | 2    | 3.081                  | 0.11             | 0.67         | 0.12          | 0.02          | 0.01       | 0.00       | 1.34            | 0.10       | 0.22       |
| Treatments    | 53   | 11.86**                | 20.39**          | 38.71**      | 3.18**        | 6.05**        | 2.43**     | 0.65**     | 33.38**         | 12.03**    | 72.97**    |
| Error         | 106  | 2.02                   | 1.63             | 1.09         | 0.65          | 0.51          | 0.19       | 0.07       | 1.53            | 0.52       | 2.31       |

| S.V.          | D.F. | 1000-grain Weight | Biological Yield/Plant | Grain Yield/Plant | harvest Index | Canopy Temperature | Chlorophyll Content | Sedimentation Value | Protein Content | Wet Gluten | Starch Content |
|---------------|------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|
| Replicate     | 2    | 1.66              | 9.11                   | 0.37              | 4.44          | 1.16              | 2.19                | 0.20                | 0.01            | 0.16       | 0.04          |
| Treatments    | 53   | 32.46**           | 472.75**               | 54.91**           | 31.58**       | 7.61**            | 48.23**             | 124.69**           | 3.29**          | 31.96**    | 5.99**        |
| Error         | 106  | 0.56              | 4.94                   | 1.03              | 1.45          | 0.38              | 0.74                | 0.28                | 0.01            | 0.47       | 0.27          |

* , ** indicates significance at 5% and 1% probability level, respectively.
### Table 2: Genetic parameters of variability for yield and yield attributing traits in wheat

| Characters                  | Grand mean | Range       | Coefficient of variation | H² (BS) | GA as per cent of mean |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------------|
| Days to 50% heading         | 70.42      | 66.00-73.66 | 2.57-3.27                | 62      | 2.93                   |
| Days to maturity            | 117.81     | 113.33-122.66 | 2.12-2.38               | 79      | 4.59                   |
| Plant height                | 76.21      | 66.30-85.00 | 4.65-4.85                | 92      | 6.99                   |
| Tiller/plant                | 13.97      | 11.56-16.81 | 14.02-14.94              | 88      | 3.78                   |
| Spikelets/ ear              | 19.20      | 16.32-22.20 | 7.08-8.00                | 78      | 2.48                   |
| Ear length                  | 11.07      | 9.07-13.05  | 7.81-8.77                | 79      | 1.59                   |
| Ear weight                  | 3.29       | 2.33-4.20   | 13.48-15.70              | 74      | 0.78                   |
| Peduncle length             | 25.98      | 16.29-31.28 | 12.54-13.42              | 87      | 6.27                   |
| Ears/plant                  | 11.41      | 9.5-13.9    | 8.05-10.72               | 56      | 1.42                   |
| Grains/ear                  | 56.29      | 43.89-64.48 | 8.62-9.04                | 91      | 9.54                   |
| 1000-grain weight           | 38.18      | 32.96-44.00 | 8.54-8.76                | 95      | 6.55                   |
| Biological yield/plant      | 67.10      | 46.29-94.10 | 18.61-18.90              | 97      | 25.33                  |
| Grain yield/plant           | 22.42      | 13.55-30.15 | 18.90-19.44              | 95      | 8.49                   |
| Harvest index               | 33.49      | 28.99-42.61 | 9.46-10.12               | 87      | 6.10                   |
| Canopy temperature          | 19.03      | 15.82-21.30 | 8.16-8.77                | 86      | 2.97                   |
| Chlorophyll content         | 42.84      | 35.64-51.91 | 9.29-9.50                | 96      | 8.01                   |
| Sedimentation value         | 49.32      | 30.60-61.90 | 13.06-13.10              | 99      | 13.22                  |
| Protein content             | 12.91      | 10.06-14.90 | 8.10-8.13                | 99      | 2.15                   |
| Wet gluten                  | 36.14      | 27.36-41.46 | 8.96-9.16                | 96      | 6.53                   |
| Starch content              | 64.41      | 60.46-66.66 | 2.19-2.20                | 99      | 2.88                   |
Among the parents, genotype GW 273 (Line) and JW 3288 (Tester) were earliest in maturity, while HD 2932 (Line) and JW 3211 (Tester) matured late. Whereas, among the hybrids, GW 273 X JW 3336 was earliest in maturity, while HD 2864 X MP 3269 matured late. The degree of dispersion for the plant height ranged between 66.30 to 85.00 cm with an average performance of 76.21 cm. Number of tillers per plant had an average performance of 13.97 with a range of 11.57 to 26.81. Number of spikelets per ear was recorded in the range of 16.32 to 22.20 with an average at 19.20. Ear length varied from 9.07 cm to 13.05 cm with a mean of 11.07 cm. Ear weight ranged from 2.33 g to 4.20 g with a mean of 3.29 g. Peduncle length has an average of 25.98 cm with a minimum of 16.30 cm and maximum 31.28 cm. Number of ears per plant showed a mean value 11.41 and varied from 9.53 to 13.97. Number of grains per ear showed a variation ranging from 43.90 to 64.48 with a mean value of 56.29. 1000-grain weight recorded a minimum value of 32.97 g and a maximum of 44.00 g with a mean value of 38.18 g. Range of variation observed for biological yield per plant was 46.30 g to 94.11 g with mean value of 67.10 g. Grain yield per plant recorded a minimum value of 13.56 g and a maximum of 30.15 g with a mean value of 22.42 g. Harvest index showed a variation ranging from 28.99% to 42.62% with a mean value of 33.49%. Range of variation observed for canopy temperature trait was 15.82 to 21.30 with mean value of 19.03.

Phenotypic coefficients of variation estimates were higher than the genotypic coefficients of variation for all characters under study. Grain yield per plant recorded the moderate PCV (19.44) and GCV (18.90) followed by biological yield per plant (18.90, 18.61); ear weight (15.70, 13.48); number of tillers per plant (14.94, 14.02); peduncle length (13.42, 12.54); sedimentation value (13.10, 13.06).

Number of ears per plant (10.72%, 8.05%) and harvest index (10.12%, 9.46%) showed moderate PCV but low GCV, respectively. Similar findings were also reported by Ghimiray and Sarkar (2000), Tripathi et al. (2009) and Bisht and Gahalain (2009).

Heritability and genetic advance are important selection parameters. Heritability estimate along with genetic advance are normally more helpful in predicting the gain under selection than heritability alone. However, it is not necessary that a character showing high heritability will also exhibit high genetic advance. Results showed that all the characters expressed high estimates of heritability except number of tillers per plant (56.31%). It indicates that the heritability is most likely due to additive gene effect and selection may be effective. The high genetic advance as percentage of mean was showed by biological yield per plant (25.33%). However, moderate genetic advance was recorded for sedimentation value (13.22%). In this study high heritability with high genetic advance was observed for biological yield per plant and high heritability with moderate genetic advance was also observed for sedimentation value indicated predominance of additive gene action for controlling these characters. Thus, simple selection can be practiced to improve these characters. This was in consonance with the findings of Fikre et al. (2015), Hassan et al. (2013) and Fellahi et al. (2013).

It is concluded from these study that characters like biological yield per plant and sedimentation value are not under influence of environment. However, other characters might be affected by the change in climatic condition. Thus, in seed production programme at the time of roguing these characters must be considered to maintain the genetic purity of seed.
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