Skilful prediction of cod stocks in the North and Barents Sea a decade in advance
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Abstract

Reliable information about the future state of the ocean and fish stocks is necessary for informed decision-making by fisheries scientists, managers and the industry. However, multiyear regional ocean climate and fish stock predictions have until now had low forecast skill. Here, we provide skillful forecasts of the biomass of cod stocks in the North and Barents Seas a decade in advance. We develop a unified dynamical-statistical prediction system wherein statistical models link future total stock biomass to dynamical predictions of sea surface temperature, while also considering different fishing mortalities. We evaluate non-linear effects of temperature and fishing on cod biomass, and provide evidence of climate-derived predictability in cod stocks. We forecast the continuation of unfavorable oceanic conditions for the North Sea cod for the coming decade which would inhibit its recovery at present fishing levels, and a decrease in Northeast Arctic cod stock compared to the recent high levels.
Introduction

Climate variability has been a subject of interest for ecologists primarily because variations in climate often have a strong impact on ecological systems\(^1\). Marine resources, such as fish stocks, have been shown to be strongly influenced by climate variability\(^2-4\), with changes in productivity resulting in huge consequences for socio-economic systems relying on such resources\(^5,6\). In the Anthropocene, with the threat of climate change, understanding the impact of climate variability on marine ecosystems and resources has become even more central, since climate variability at interannual to decadal timescales can exacerbate the magnitude of ongoing long-term climate change\(^7,8\). Hence an integration of climate information into modelling of exploitable resources is necessary not only to understand ecological processes but also to forecast future states of the system at interannual to decadal timescales\(^9\). The latter is particularly fundamental for management, since forecasting fish abundance depending on decadal climate variability is necessary to devise timely interventions to ensure sustainable use of resources\(^10\).

Nevertheless, the application of climate models to predict ecosystem processes at decadal timescales remains a challenge\(^11,12\). In many cases the impact of climate on fish stocks has been studied through experiments and modelling, and empirical relations have been established. Climate has been shown to influence fish directly or indirectly through recruitment, food availability, fecundity, growth and migration\(^13-15\). Still, climate variables are often not included in the management-oriented modelling and forecasting of fish populations\(^16\). This is partly due to the historical belief that fishing mortality is the only important driver of commercial stock biomass\(^17-19\), but also due to frequent transient and non-stationary properties of climate impacts on fish stocks\(^20-22\). Moreover, the fish experience the cumulative impacts of different drivers; fishing pressure and climate can have combined effects inducing non-linear dynamics in fish stocks. Strong synergistic effects can lead to management failures and abrupt collapses of socio-ecological system\(^21,23,24\). With anthropogenic climate change superposed on natural climate variability, including environmental variables in the modelling and forecasting of fish stocks is becoming increasingly important from both scientific and management point of view\(^5,25\).

One of the key limitations impeding the integration of climate information in fisheries forecasts emanates from inadequate representation of shelf-seas in coupled global circulation models (GCMs) providing future climate information. Pioneering-approaches have used bioclimate envelope models\(^26,27\) or detailed ecosystem and population dynamics models\(^28,29\) forced with climate projections from GCMs to examine the impact of climate change on fisheries. However, GCMs lack a proper representation of shelf-sea dynamics, mainly due to their coarse resolution, and they are also not yet equipped to simulate trophic interactions and associated energy transfers. Other approaches have combined GCM output with highly resolved physical-biological shelf-sea models accounting for trophic interactions\(^6\). These approaches focus on century-scale changes and thus do not provide information on decadal fisheries forecast. Moreover, since decadal predictions usually involve an ensemble of predictions, high computational costs associated with aforementioned approaches also motivate exploration of novel approaches towards fisheries predictions using GCM-based decadal climate predictions.

The prospect of decadal prediction of fish stocks emerging from decadal predictability of the physical environment is indeed enticing. Specifically in the North Atlantic, where decadal variability of the physical environment is highly predictable using...
GCMs\textsuperscript{30–32}, there might be a high potential in predicting fish stocks. This prospect emerges from the influence of Atlantic inflow on both hydrography\textsuperscript{33, 34} and marine ecosystem of the North Atlantic shelf-seas, such as the North and Barents Sea\textsuperscript{14, 15}. In these climate-driven marine ecosystems, statistical climate-fisheries models\textsuperscript{35} provide a promising approach for transforming GCM-based ensemble of decadal climate predictions into reliable fisheries forecasts.

In this article we assess predictability of two Atlantic cod (\textit{Gadus morhua}) stocks in the northeastern North Atlantic shelf-seas using initialized (started from a known climatic state) climate predictions from a 16-member decadal prediction system based on the Max Planck Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM-LR). The rotated pole configuration of MPI-ESM-LR provides relatively high resolution in the subpolar North Atlantic which allows us to apply this model to our regions of interest (see Methods for details). Atlantic cod is a commercially, historically, socially and ecologically important species. There are many stocks of Atlantic cod and they are widely distributed on the shelf-seas off the northern North Atlantic. Some of these stocks have undergone huge collapses in the last decades, largely due to climate and fishing\textsuperscript{19, 21}. Thus, being able to predict the stock biomasses is important to guide sustainable management decisions. We investigate two stocks with opposite status: (1) the North Sea cod, a stock at the upper temperature limit of distribution of this species, over-exploited for many decades and in a very low productive state over the last twenty years, and (2) the Northeast Arctic cod, residing in the Barents Sea at the northern distribution limit of this species as well as close to the lower temperature limit and recording record-high biomass levels in recent years\textsuperscript{36–38}.

In order to provide decadal predictions of cod stocks, we use a linear regression model to transform dynamical prediction of sea surface temperature (SST) into the prediction of total stock biomass (TSB). TSB was used because it reflects the integrated impact of climate and fishing\textsuperscript{35}. To take into account possible non-linear dynamics in the ecosystem response, we compare results from the linear model with the stochastic cusp model, stemming from the catastrophe theory, which models non-linear discontinuous dynamics of a system influenced by synergistic stressors\textsuperscript{21}. Our forecasts for the period 2020-2030 suggest continued unfavourable environmental conditions for the North Sea cod with no significant recovery under three different fishing mortality scenarios. For the Northeast Arctic cod, we forecast a decline in TSB in the coming decade compared to the last decade, attributed mainly to a decline in temperature. The level of this decline is however different depending on the fishing scenario, and a biomass-level close to the 2019-level can only be maintained if fishing is performed at sustainable levels.

**Results**

**Variability in cod stocks and their physical environment.** The time series of SST in the North Sea and Barents Sea Opening highlight key differences in the two regions: While SST has an increasing trend both in the North Sea and in the Barents Sea Opening, however, the absolute values are very different from each other, highlighting that the cod stocks reside at two extreme ranges of their suitable habitat\textsuperscript{39} (Fig 1a). The TSB time series of the two stocks also show opposite evolution: North Sea cod has declined continuously since the 1960s, with very low and stable biomass levels since the beginning of the 21st century (Fig 1b). Contrarily, Northeast Arctic cod exhibits multi-annual to decadal variability, with a recent record high level of TSB (Fig
Fishing mortality (F) trends are however similar in these two stocks, increasing in the central period of the time series and recently declining after management measures started to be adopted (Fig 1b). Interestingly, while the decline in fishing mortality of Northeast Arctic cod corresponds an increase in TSB, in the North Sea, the cod stock did not manage to recover even after the management measures were in place. This has been attributed to the effect of an interactive driver (i.e. warming) which has inhibited the productivity of North Sea cod.  

In the North Sea SST time series, the magnitude of warming over the period 1960-2019 (1.68 °C) is more than twice of the year-to-year variability (σ = 0.65°C), indicating that the increasing temperature trend is part of how the North Sea has changed under natural and anthropogenic forcing, and thus the trend cannot be excluded from the analysis. Temperature increase corresponds to decrease in TSB, thus there is a negative correlation between the two variables. Linearly detrended North Sea temperature maintains the same negative effect on TSB the following year (r=-0.48, p=0.0025, see Supplementary Figures S1 and S2 for detailed statistical analysis). Interestingly, the fishing mortality of 2-4 years old cod does not exhibit a monotonous trend and does not show a strong correlation with TSB (r=-0.19, p>0.05). This weak signal might partly be due to the fact that a decline in fishing mortality in the last years did not correspond to an increase in TSB (Fig. 1b). The low correlation exhibited by fishing mortality may limit its usage as a predictor for TSB using linear models and could indicate a time-varying F-TSB relationship typical of systems presenting discontinuous dynamics.  

In contrast to the cod in the North Sea, the TSB of Northeast Arctic cod does not exhibit a long term trend (Fig 1b). This stock exhibits multi-annual to decadal variability manifested as multiple cycles of decline and increase. Similar low frequency variability is visible in the time series of surface temperature of the North Atlantic subpolar gyre (SPG), suggesting a possible linkage. Statistically, this linkage is supported by the high correlation between the surface temperature of the SPG and TSB of Northeast Arctic cod (r=0.78, p=0.0435) with the SPG-temperature leading TSB by 7-years (Supplementary Figure S1 and S2), and consistent with previous work. Dynamically, this linkage points to the influence of SPG circulation on the properties of Atlantic water crossing the Greenland-Scotland ridge heading towards downstream shelf-seas. 

After removing respective trends from time series of the SPG temperature and Northeast Arctic cod TSB, the correlation remains high (r=0.77, p=0.0425), suggesting a dominating signature of decadal variability. The effect of temperature is opposite on this stock compared to the North Sea, since in the Barents Sea, temperature has a positive impact on cod-biomass. These opposite impacts of temperature on biomass reflect the different temperature regimes in which the stocks reside. In the case of Northeast Arctic cod, fishing mortality exerts a strong pressure on TSB (r=-0.88, p=0.00351). This correlation is higher than the one between temperature and TSB, and peaks at lag-2 years (Supplementary Figure 2). For our purpose of decadal prediction of cod-biomass this finding has two implications. First, the predictability horizon for TSB from a statistical point of view would be shorter with fishing mortality as a predictor compared to temperature. Second, the higher explanatory power in fishing mortality might constrain the uncertainty in the first few years of forecasts.  

Statistical models for cod prediction. Once the predictors for the two cod stocks are identified, we assess various cross-validated statistical models (see Methods) to analyze the retrospective skill arising from the impact of temperature and fishing
on the TSB and to select a model to issue forecasts. We test four different models, two simple linear regression models based on temperature and fishing mortality separately, one multiple linear regression model based on temperature and fishing mortality as explanatory variables, and one non-linear model, the stochastic cusp model. The stochastic cusp model is derived from the catastrophe theory and can model systems which show bifurcation patterns due to the interactive effect of two control variables\(^{41,42}\). Here, TSB was modelled depending on F as the asymmetry variable that controls the level of TSB (e.g. if F is high, TSB is low) and SST as the bifurcation variable that control whether the system follows linear or non-linear dynamics\(^{21}\).

The stochastic cusp model simulates a synergistic effect of the two drivers on TSB based on data. For instance, in the North Sea the model shows that when SST is low the relationship between F and TSB is linear, but with the increasing of SST, the relationship becomes non-linear discontinuous approaching the bifurcation area (the blue shaded area in Figure 2c). The figure shows a 2D projection of a typical regime shift plot, where the blue area is the area below the fold, or instability area, where the system can have three equilibria, two stable and one unstable. Thus, the stochastic cusp model detects time trajectories of the system based on combination of drivers to reveal whether the system was following a linear or non-linear path, and if the latter, then whether the system was stable or unstable. This model has been used mainly in economic and behavioural science but has recently been adopted also in ecological systems\(^{21,42}\).

As expected from the correlational analysis, the results for the North Sea cod and the North-East Arctic cod are quite different. For North Sea cod, the linear model using just fishing mortality has no predictive power (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Figure S3 for analysis of skill from detrended variables). When the impacts of fishing and temperature are modelled together, both such models show skill comparable to the linear model based on temperature. The stochastic cusp model, which shows the least spread in the crossvalidated prediction skill, indicates that at the beginning of the time series when fishing mortality was high and SST was low, the stock was more or less in a stable state, mainly outside of the instability area, (i.e. blue shaded area in Figure 2c, see Methods). In the last decade, the increase in temperature nudged the stock into this instability area (blue shaded area in Figure 2c). This means that the stock now exhibits strong discontinuous dynamics and high instability, and that the relationship between fishing mortality and TSB has been altered by the strong warming. This explains how the interactive effects of temperature and fishing mortality is hindering the stock recovery even when the fishing mortality has declined in recent years (Fig. 1b). Since the linear model based on temperature explains a similar fraction of variability in TSB as the cusp model, for simplicity, we chose the linear model for subsequent predictability analysis.

For the Northeast Arctic cod, prediction skill arising from SPG-temperature and fishing mortality is comparable (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Figure S3 for skill from detrended variables). In this case, while the stochastic cusp model performs better than all other linear models, it does not allow for a longer prediction horizon because it includes fishing mortality (F leads TSB by 2-years while T leads TSB by 7-years). The relationship between fishing and biomass is continuous, and in this case the increase of temperature favours the stock to move away from the instability (Fig. 2d, the last year biomass point moves outside the blue area), thus rendering it more stable. Such different dynamics compared to North Sea cod could be due to the fact that this stock was less exploited and thus is still in a relatively healthy state. Also in this case, even while the stochastic cusp model
performed better, we chose to use the simple linear model based on temperature for predictability analysis, due to its longer prediction horizon.

Decadal prediction of the physical environment. We now assess the prediction skill of North Sea and SPG-temperature in the MPI-ESM (see Methods for a detailed description of this model and the decadal prediction system). In general, the skill degrades as the prediction horizon moves farther from the year of initialization (i.e. at longer lead times). However, in the North Sea, prediction skill remains high till lead-year 10, and is matched by the skill from the historical simulations (Fig. 3a). This can be explained by the long term linear trend in the underlying time series (Fig. 3b), which is present in all lead year time series. This points to the long term trend in the North Sea temperature as the source of prediction skill. Noticeable exception is the SPG where the skill is largely intact irrespective of the trend, and is higher for initialized hindcasts than historical simulations (Fig. 3c,d and Supplementary Figure S4). Thus, it appears that initialization of oceanic conditions is the dominant source of predictability of SPG-temperature, while the long term trend, mainly arising from the external forcings, dominates predictability in the North Sea.

Dynamical-statistical cod prediction. Now, we combine the dynamical prediction of temperature with the statistical temperature-cod relationship. We choose the simplest model with temperature as the explanatory variable for both the North Sea and Northeast Arctic cod to model and forecast TSB. This is done for multiple reasons; one being that the simplest model with just temperature does not show statistically significant deterioration of skill in predicting TSB compared to other models (Fig. 2). The other reason is that the utilization of temperature, derived from the dynamical model, allows us to extend the predictability horizon of cod stocks. We also include forecasts using the multiple linear regression model with fishing and temperature, and we use various scenarios of fishing mortality based on current management advice from the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES).

The dynamical-statistical prediction model shows robust skill (correlation as well as mean square error skill) in simulating the North Sea cod-biomass (Fig. 4a). Note that the regression coefficients for the statistical models are not calculated from the hindcast time series of temperature, but from the observed TSB and assimilated temperatures, so there is clear separation of data sets (see Methods). The similarity in hindcast skill obtained from initialized hindcasts and historical simulations provides another piece of evidence that the skill is mainly due to the trend in the North Sea temperature (Fig. 4b). Our forecast of North Sea temperature for the period 2020-2030 suggest a continuation of the warm anomalies (Fig. 3c) which translates into a further decline of North Sea cod (Fig. 4a).

In order to make the model usable in fisheries management, we provide 2020-2030 predictions under different fishing scenarios. In particular we chose the $F_{MSY}$ scenario, in which the biomass is fished at the maximum sustainable yield ($F_{MSY}=0.3$), the $F_{SQO}$ scenario in which F is the mean over the last three years ($F_{SQO}=0.5$) and the $F_{LIM}$ precautionary scenario which is the maximum F applicable before collapse ($F_{LIM}=0.54$). The predicted total biomass of North Sea cod shows similar trends under all these scenarios, modulated in magnitude by fishing. Lower fishing initially favours a stock increase, but the constant increase of temperature leads to a further decline of the stock over time, keeping the stock in a low productivity regime. This indicates
that deteriorating environmental conditions will hinder a substantial stock recovery, even with strong limitation on the fishery.

For assessing the retrospective prediction skill of Northeast Arctic cod-biomass, the statistical model is combined with lead-year 4 initialized hindcasts of SPG-temperature from MPI-ESM. Beyond lead-year 4, the dynamical hindcast skill degrades and is comparable to the skill from the historical simulation (Supplementary figure S4). Our dynamical-statistical prediction model performs well in reproducing past variability in the TSB of Northeast Arctic cod (Fig. 4c,d). Both the 1970s decline as well as the recent decadal shift in the TSB is captured by the initialized hindcast, quantified by the mean square error skill score (Fig. 4d). The correlation skill associated with historical simulation is lower but not statistically different from the hindcast skill. However, the variability in the reconstructed TSB time series of Northeast Arctic cod using historical simulation is suppressed (Figure 4c). This reconstructed time series fails to capture the recent decadal shift in the Northeast Arctic cod stock, which as discussed above likely follows variability in SPG-temperature and is not captured by the historical simulation. This lack of variability in the reconstructed TSB time series using the historical SPG-temperature is reflected in the mean square error skill (Fig. 4d), which suggests that this type of prediction is not significantly better than predicting a long term mean value for the TSB.

For the Northeast Arctic cod, future predictions suggest a climate-driven decline of biomass in the coming decade compared to the present stock size (Fig. 4c). This purely climate-driven decline is larger than in the $F_{SQO}$ and $F_{MSY}$ based fishing scenarios but is comparable to the decline under $F_{LIM}$ scenario. The F values in the fishing scenarios are taken from the ICES annual report. This could be explained by the fact that even if we are just using climate to predict cod stocks, the forecast is based on TSB levels wherein the impact of fishing is implicitly included. Cold periods in the past also coincide with periods of high F (around $F_{LIM}$). This influences the forecast made using just the temperature because the statistical part of the dynamical-statistical model is trained on past TSB values. This explains why models with both fishing and temperature, where fishing is relatively low ($F_{SQO}=0.42$ and $F_{MSY}=0.4$) can maintain the stock at a higher biomass level. The forecast declining tendency (compared to the present level) in TSB of Northeast Arctic cod in all scenarios is due to the delayed (advective) impact of 2010-2016 cooling of the SPG (Fig. 1a). The future prediction of the Northeast Arctic cod is thus similar to that of North Sea cod concerning fishing mortality, indicating that a sustainable fishing pressure is necessary to maintain the stocks, but very different concerning productivity, highlighting again how climate has opposite impact on the two stocks in the next 10 years. While these results confirm that there is value in using initialized hindcasts, they also provide first evidence that GCM-based climate predictions can be deployed for prediction of marine resources through climate-ecosystem linkages.

**Discussion**

Sustainable management of fish stocks in the eastern North Atlantic shelf seas requires a reliable assessment of their future abundance. Incorporating environmental information in such assessment models has not always shown an improvement in prediction skills due to large uncertainties associated with recruitment-climate relationship, and also because these uncertainties might increase in a warming climate$^{11,20}$. Here we show that cod stock abundance, represented by TSB, can be successfully
predicted on a decadal scale. Our study attempts to bridge the gap between environmental and fisheries prediction by predicting such a variable. We assess the feasibility of decadal predictions of cod stocks in the North- and Barents Sea using climate predictions from the MPI-ESM. Such an extended prediction relies on two conditions: (a) that there is a robust relationship between cod and the physical environment and (b) that the physical environment is predictable at multiyear lead times. For the North Sea, we find strong negative correlations between temperature and cod-biomass, which can be explained by non-linear dynamics of the stock\textsuperscript{19, 21}. Ocean warming has been indicated as an important factor affecting cod in the North Sea through direct and indirect mechanisms, such as high temperatures causing low recruitment and changes in prey availability\textsuperscript{14, 22, 43}. Fishing, on the other hand, has brought the stock close to collapse and now fishing restrictions may not be able to make the stock recover due to the detrimental effect of warming\textsuperscript{21}.

We find that the long term trend in surface temperature explains a large part of variance in the North Sea cod-biomass, and consequently the high hindcast skill is due to the trend. Since the detrended interannual variability in the North Sea surface temperature is not skilfully predicted by the MPI-ESM (Supplementary Figure S4), the 2020-2030 forecast for the North Sea cod-biomass is mainly indicative of the long term trajectory of the cod-biomass and not of year-to-year variations around the trend. Caution must therefore be exercised while interpreting our North Sea cod predictions. Also, future work on predictions for North Sea cod could take into account observations showing that the decline in cod abundance in the North Sea is much more pronounced in the southern North Sea than in the northern part, and there may be separate populations of cod within the North Sea management area.

The strong positive correlation between temperature and Northeast Arctic cod-biomass is justified by the direct and indirect effect of temperature on life history traits of cod in the Norwegian-Barents Sea ecosystem\textsuperscript{36}. While the details of how the temperature influences Northeast Arctic cod are well described\textsuperscript{15, 36}, the importance of the pronounced decadal variability in the SPG\textsuperscript{44}, which lends predictability to the Northeast Arctic cod, is worth highlighting here. The hydrography of Norwegian-Barents Seas is related to the Atlantic inflow across the Greenland-Scotland Ridge\textsuperscript{45}. When the SPG circulation is weak, the proportion of subtropical waters in the Atlantic inflow through the Faroe Shetland Channel increases\textsuperscript{34, 46}. The resulting increase in the temperature of ambient water masses pushes the ice edge northwards\textsuperscript{47, 48}, which leads to increased productivity through extended periods of increased primary production and also due to expansion of feeding grounds.

Interestingly, when the respective time lags between SST and cod are taken into account, the annual mean SSTs in the SPG region explain around 65% variability in the Northeast Arctic cod-biomass while the local SSTs at the Barents Sea opening explain only around 12% variability. The SPG temperature is characterised by pronounced decadal variability\textsuperscript{44} while local SSTs at the Barents Sea opening prominently reflect the high frequency atmospheric variability\textsuperscript{19} and the strong surface warming trend characteristics of these latitudes. Thus local SSTs fail to capture the variability in ecosystem variables, such as the TSB, which integrate high-frequency atmospheric variability and resemble decadal temperature variability of the SPG.

A 7-year prediction horizon in Northeast Arctic cod stock has been shown to emerge from observations of SSTs in the North Atlantic but excluding the fishing mortality\textsuperscript{35}. In the present study, we extend the predictability horizon further to a
decade by using dynamically-predicted SPG-temperature as a predictor. Further value in our results is derived from the fact that our forecasts are based on a 16-member ensemble dynamical-statistical prediction system (see Methods) and various fishing mortality scenarios, which take into account the uncertainty associated with future evolution of the climate system and fishing pressure. We have also been able to identify the source of decadal prediction skill in cod stocks in the two cod habitats. In contrast to the North Sea where the trend dominates, our results emphasize decadal variability in SPG-temperature as the dominant source of prediction skill in Northeast Arctic cod-biomass. The historical simulations do not capture the full extent of the decline in the cod stock in 1970s and its increase from 2005 to 2014.

The approach used in this study, although novel, has certain caveats. First of all, the utilization of ICES stock assessment outputs (total biomass and fishing mortality) as observations is a concern. These data are model outcomes, and are not entirely independent. Second, the linear and non-linear models examined here are strictly applicable to cod stocks in our regions of interest, where the underlying oceanic variability and its impact on marine ecosystems is well understood. Finally, we have assumed that the statistical models and the variables analysed here implicitly account for possible ecosystem processes. While ecosystem processes are definitely important in shaping fish stocks, they are often not taken into account in management processes, although they are to some extent taken into account in management of Barents Sea capelin (Mallotus villosus).

Through the present work, we demonstrate how decadal prediction of climate can be used to provide extended prediction horizons for fisheries combined with various fishing scenarios. Various incentives as well as the lessons learnt from past failures have motivated this effort. Foremost is the added value that such predictions can bring to the sustainable management of fish stocks. At present, many fish stocks, including those considered in this article, are managed by setting annual quotas based on annual assessments of present stock size and short-term predictions (1-2 years) combined with harvest control rules based on target exploitation rates. Reliable predictions of fish biomass on a decadal scale could enable the adjustment of future catch targets (exploitation rates) to account for climate-driven fluctuations in productivity. Also, predicting catch levels on a decadal scale will be important to the fishing industry, as investments in vessels, processing plants etc. are made with a time horizon of several decades.

Climate-informed fishery management is also poised to benefit from rapid advances in multiyear prediction of other fishery-related variables such as net primary production by Earth system models. In the North Atlantic, proper representation of open ocean-shelf connections in such models would attract further research in decadal predictions of fish stocks towards realizing a climate resilient sustainable fisheries management.

Methods

Dynamical model. The Max Planck Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM) is used in its low resolution (LR) setup in the present study (MPI-ESM-LR). The ocean general circulation component of MPI-ESM-LR, the Max Planck Institute Ocean Model (MPIOM), is a free surface model with primitive equation solved on an Arakawa C-grid, and with hydrostatic and Boussinesq approximations. It has a total of 40 z-levels in the vertical with closely spaced upper levels; the surface layer
thickness is 12 meters. The MPIOM setup used in the study has a rotated grid configuration (GR15) for which the singularity at the North Pole is replaced over Greenland. This has the advantage that horizontal resolution is enhanced north of 50°N, reaching 15Km near Greenland. The resolution increases gradually to 1.5 degrees towards the equator. Embedded in MPIOM is also the ocean biogeochemistry component, the Hamburg Ocean Carbon Cycle model (HAMOCC). Among other processes, HAMOCC incorporates phosphate and oxygen cycles, and defines the marine food web based on nutrients, phytoplankton, zooplankton and detritus (NPZD) based approach. The atmospheric general circulation component of MPI-ESM1.2-LR is the European Center-Hamburg (ECHAM). In MPI-ESM1.2-LR, the ECHAM is run at a horizontal resolution of T63 and with 47 vertical levels, the model top being at 0.01hPa. The land surface-atmosphere interactions are simulated by the land vegetation module JSBACH which is embedded in ECHAM.

Decadal prediction system. We use one set of retrospective initialized decadal predictions (hindcasts) from the MiKlip project, carried out with the MPI-ESM-LR. 10-year long ensemble hindcasts with 16 members are started on 1st November every year from 1960-2019. The initial conditions for each member come from an assimilation experiment (1960-2019) with an oceanic ensemble Kalman filter (EnKF) and atmospheric nudging. The oceanic EnKF in MPI-ESM-LR assimilates monthly profiles of temperature and salinity from EN4. Simultaneously, atmospheric vorticity, divergence, temperature and surface pressure are nudged to ERA40/ERAInterim re-analyses. It should be noted that neither sea surface temperature from satellite observations nor atmospheric temperature below 900 hPa are assimilated in order to allow for a model-consistent assimilation across the atmosphere-ocean boundary. The assimilation experiment as well as the initialized hindcasts use observed solar irradiation, volcanic eruptions, and atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations (RCP4.5 concentrations from 2006 onward) as boundary conditions, taken from CMIP5.

An additional 16-member historical simulations (1850-2005) of surface temperature taken from the MPI-ESM-LR Grand Ensemble are analysed to compare the skill with the initialized hindcasts. The historical simulations are performed under natural and anthropogenic forcings derived from observations covering a total of 156 years (1850-2005). For comparison with initialized hindcasts, these historical simulations are extended with a future RCP8.5 concentrations from 2006 onward. Note that the difference between RCP8.5 and RCP4.5 scenario only emerges towards the mid of this century and hence we expect no significant impact on our short-term analysis if RCP4.5 scenario is used. The natural forcing includes solar insolation, variations of the Earth orbit, tropospheric aerosol, stratospheric aerosols from volcanic eruptions, and seasonally varying ozone. The anthropogenic forcing includes the well mixed gases CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, CFC-11, and CFC-12 as well as O₃, and anthropogenic sulfate aerosols. Atmospheric CO₂ concentrations are prescribed and the carbon cycle is not interactive. It must be noted that this historical simulation is started from a pre-industrial control run and is not initialized from observations. Therefore, the internal variability in this model simulation may not be in phase with observations, and hence may not reproduce the observed timing of certain climatic events which are related to internal (natural) variability.

Linear regression models. In order to predict the time series of the TSB of cod stocks (Cₜₛₜₜ), we construct a simple and multiple linear regression model with sea temperature (T) and fishing mortality (F) as predictors (independent variables) and
the TSB as the predictand (dependent variable). For predicting North Sea cod, local oceanic surface temperature is used while for the Northeast Arctic cod, the SPG-temperature is used. Both temperature time series are taken from the assimilation run as the weighted area average of temperature of the first model layer (mid-point at 6 meter depth). The time series of temperature from the assimilation run with MPI-ESM-LR compares very well with the widely used observations/reanalyses datasets, the AHOI dataset\textsuperscript{66} for the North Sea and HadISST\textsuperscript{67} for the SPG and the Barents sea opening (Fig. 1a). The TSB and F are taken from latest stock assessment reports from the ICES. The simple and multiple linear regression model fed with T and F anomalies (mean over 1970-2019 is removed from all variables) as predictors, for example, takes the form:

\[
C_{TSB}(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T(y - L_T),
\]

\[
C_{TSB}(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T(y - L_T) + \beta_2 F(y - L_F),
\]

where \( C_{TSB} \) is the statistical TSB prediction at year \( y \), \( L_T \) and \( L_F \) are the lags in years at which the respective correlations between TSB and \( T \) or \( F \) are maximum, \( \beta_0 \) is the intercept, and \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \) are the slopes obtained from fitted observations.

**Stochastic cusp model.** In order to detect non-linear dynamics and understand the synergistic effect of the drivers, we applied the stochastic cusp model. The stochastic cusp model is a model derived from catastrophe theory, developed by Thom in the 1970s and attempts to model one of the seven mathematical forms of catastrophe\textsuperscript{41, 42}. The stochastic cusp model is based on a cubic differential equation based on three parameters, zeta, alpha and beta:

\[
-V(z, \alpha, \beta) = -\frac{1}{4}z^4 + \frac{1}{2}\beta z^2 + \alpha z,
\]

where \( V(z, \alpha, \beta) \) is a potential function whose slope represents the rate of change of the system (\( z \)), depending on the two control variables (\( \alpha, \beta \)).

The equation can be transformed in a differential equation where a Wiener Process is added in order to account for stochasticity, typical of natural systems\textsuperscript{42}. The equation takes the form:

\[
-\frac{\delta V(z, \alpha, \beta)}{\delta z} = (-z^3 + \beta z + \alpha)dt + \sigma_z dW_t = 0
\]

where the first part of the equation is the drift term, \( \sigma_z \) is the diffusion parameter, and \( W_t \) represents the Wiener process.

Alpha is the parameter that determines the dimension of the state variable, high or low. Beta is called the bifurcation parameter which changes the relationship between alpha and the state variable from linear and continuous to non linear discontinuous\textsuperscript{21, 42, 68}. All the three variables are linear predictors of our external variables, the state variable is linearly related to the total stock biomass, alpha to fishing mortality and beta to temperature as follows:
\[ z_t = w_0 + w_1 \times TSB \]
\[ \alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \times F \]
\[ \beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times SST \]

where \( \alpha_0, \beta_0, \) and \( w_0 \) are the intercepts and \( \alpha_1, \beta_1, \) and \( w_1 \) the slopes of the models. TSB is total stock biomass, F is fishing mortality and SST is sea surface temperature.

Depending on the values of these parameters, the cusp model is able to detect the presence of bifurcations processes and thus non-linear dynamics. The presence of multiple equilibrium and thus non-linear dynamics is determined by the value of the Cardan’s discriminant \((=27\alpha^2 - 4\beta^3)\). When the Cardan’s discriminant has a negative value or is equal to 0, the state variable can present three equilibria, two stable and one unstable and thus resides below the folded area, in the so called cusp/instability area (blue shaded area in our plots). Systems which resides in this area are unstable and can potentially flip between two stable states. When a system is in the proximity of this area, it shows discontinuous dynamics and thus typical regime shift like behaviours, which include irreversibility.

**Cross validation of statistical models.** In order to identify the best performing model, we applied the 80-20 cross validation method. The regression coefficients are computed between time series of temperature from the assimilation run and the observed cod-biomass. In the first step, the respective temperature and cod-biomass time series are divided into training and testing sets by randomly selecting with replacement blocks of 80% of the parent time series as the training set and the remaining 20% as the testing set. The regression coefficients are calculated from the training set and applied to the testing set. Correlation coefficients are then calculated between the predictions made with the training set and observations as well as between the testing set and observations. This process is repeated 1000 times, and each time the 80% training set is selected randomly. The 95% confidence interval for the training and test set is the 2.5\(^{th}\) and 97.5\(^{th}\) percentile range of the respective 1000 correlation coefficients. Note that the lag \((L)\) in the above equation is calculated separately for each predictor before testing various simple and multiple linear regression models based on these predictors. This procedure gives the uncertainty bounds presented in Figure 2a,b.

**Dynamical-statistical predictions.** For hindcasts and forecasts, the regression model is trained on output from the assimilation run (and fishing mortality for the multiple regression model) and the resulting regression coefficients are applied to temperatures from the initialized hindcasts and historical simulation (and the fishing mortality scenarios for multiple regression models). The statistical model is fed with anomalies of each variable and the mean is added to the predicted TSB anomalies at the end. Mathematically this takes the form:
\[ C'_{TSB}(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T'(y - L_T), \]
\[ C'_{TSB}(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T'(y - L_T) + \beta_2 F(y - L_F), \]

where \( C'_{TSB} \) is the dynamical-statistical TSB prediction at year \( y \), \( T' \) is the dynamically predicted temperature (lead year-10 predictions for the North Sea and lead year-4 for the SPG), \( L_T \) and \( L_F \) are the lags in years at which the respective correlations between observed TSB and \( T \) or \( F \) are maximum, \( \beta_0 \) is the intercept, and \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \) are the slopes obtained from fitted observations.

The uncertainties in regression coefficients (slopes and intercepts) are also estimated using a bootstrapping methodology. First, 1000 new predictor and predictand time series of same length as the original time series are constructed by random sampling with replacement from the parent time series, while preserving their relationship. These new time series are then used to get 1000 estimates of regression coefficients. These 1000 regression coefficients are then applied to each of the 16 ensemble members (for temperature as the predictor). The 95% confidence interval is the \( 2.5^{th} \) and \( 97.5^{th} \) percentile range of these 16000 predictions. This procedure gives the uncertainty bounds presented in Figure 4a,c

**Hindcast skill and hindcast uncertainty.** We use anomaly correlation coefficient (ACC) and the mean square error skill score (MSESS) as measures of skill of initialized hindcasts and historical simulations against observations (stock assessment for TSB and assimilation output for temperature) for the period 1960-2019. Prior to calculating ACC and MSESS (and also prior to feeding the statistical model for TSB), the initialized hindcasts are corrected for the lead-time dependent drift\(^{69} \), and lead-year dependent climatology (mean over 1970-2019) is removed. The uncertainty in hindcast skill is determined using a block bootstrapping approach. The bootstrapping is done both in time and across ensemble members. We use a 6-year overlapping block bootstrap to account for the autocorrelation in the time series. The estimated uncertainties are not sensitive to a reasonable choices of block length that allow sufficient number of blocks for sampling. Through random resampling with replacement, 1000 new block-bootstrapped time series of predictions and observation are used to obtain 1000 new estimates of ACCs. The 95% confidence interval is the \( 2.5^{th} \) and \( 97.5^{th} \) percentile range of these 1000 ACCs or MSESSs. This procedure gives the uncertainty bounds presented in Figure 3a,c and 4b,d
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Figure 1. Observed variability in temperature and cod stocks. a Time series of annual mean sea surface temperature from observations and the assimilation experiment (see Methods) for the North Sea (NOR), Subpolar Gyre (SPG) and the Barents Sea Opening (BSO). b Time series of total stock biomass (TSB) and fishing mortality (F) for the cod stocks in the North and Barents Sea. The inset in a shows regions over which the temperature is averaged (boxes) and the ICES sub-regions (color-filled) for delimiting cod stocks.
Figure 2. Statistical models for cod prediction. a Cross-validated correlation skill from three linear regression models (two simple and one multiple) and one stochastic (non-linear) cusp model for TSB of North Sea cod based on North Sea surface temperature ($T_{NS}$) and fishing mortality ($F$). b Same as a but for Northeast Arctic cod and using SPG-temperature ($T_{SPG}$) as one of the predictors. The number in square bracket is the prediction horizon in years for each model. The dots show median skill and the whiskers show the 95% confidence limits. c Cusp diagram (Methods) for North Sea cod TSB. d Same as c but for Northeast Arctic cod. The blue shaded area in c and d show the regions (in T-F space) dominated by discontinuous dynamics.
Figure 3. **Dynamical predictions of temperature.** Anomaly correlation coefficient (ACC) as a function of lead-year for initialized hindcasts (red), lagged-persistence (blue) and historical simulation (magenta dot) for annual mean a North Sea surface temperature and c Subpolar gyre surface temperature with respect to the assimilation experiment for the period 1971-2019. Time series of b North Sea surface temperature and d Subpolar gyre surface temperature anomalies (with respect to 1970-2019 mean) from the assimilation experiment, initialized hindcast, forecast and historical+RCP8.5 simulation. The solid lines in b and d are the respective ensemble mean predictions (or simulations) and the shading is the entire range of the respective 16-member ensemble. The regions for computing area averaged surface temperatures of the North Sea and Subpolar gyre are shown in Figure 1a. The lagged-persistence (LP) based skill is provided for 1-, 4-, 7- and 10-year lags. The shading and whiskers in a and c depict 95% confidence intervals.
Figure 4. Decadal prediction of cod stocks. a Time series of retrospective predictions of total stock biomass (TSB) of North Sea cod using the dynamical-statistical prediction model (retrospective predictions of North Sea surface temperature combined with the linear statistical temperature-cod relationship) for the period 1971-2019 using the initialized hindcast and historical simulation of North Sea surface temperature. The observed TSB is shown by blue circles. Also provided is the forecast for the period 2020-2030 comprising three fishing mortality scenarios: Status quo ($F_{SQ}=0.50$), Maximum sustainable yield ($F_{MSY}=0.30$) and Precautionary approach ($F_{LIM}=0.54$). The bars and whiskers show the 95% confidence limits for the respective forecasts for the whole period (2020-2030). The historical North Sea surface temperature is extended using the RCP8.5 scenario. b Anomaly correlation coefficient (ACC) and mean square error skill score (MSESS) for the retrospective North Sea cod TSB prediction (1971-2019) with respect to observations. The whiskers show 95% confidence limits. c, d Same as a, b but for Northeast Arctic cod and using initialized hindcasts and historical simulation of SPG-temperature. For the forecast, assumed fishing mortality scenarios are $F_{SQ}=0.42$, $F_{MSY}=0.4$ and $F_{LIM}=0.74$. The shadings in a and c show 95% confidence limits.