Structural variability of 3C 111 on parsec scales
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Abstract

We discuss the parsec-scale structural variability of the extragalactic jet 3C 111 related to a major radio flux density outburst in 2007. The data analyzed were taken within the scope of the MOJAVE, UMRAO, and F-GAMMA programs, which monitor a large sample of the radio brightest compact extragalactic jets with the VLBA, the University of Michigan 26 m, the Effelsberg 100 m, and the IRAM 30 m radio telescopes. The analysis of the VLBA data is performed by fitting Gaussian model components in the visibility domain. We associate the ejection of bright features in the radio jet with a major flux-density outburst in 2007. The evolution of these features suggests the formation of a leading component and multiple trailing components.
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1 Introduction

Jets of active galactic nuclei (AGN) are among the most fascinating objects in the universe. From the time when the term “jet” was first introduced by Baade & Minkowski (1954) until today it is still unclear how these jets are created and formed. A prime source to gain insight into the physics of extragalactic jets is the broad-line radio galaxy 3C 111 (PKS B0415+379) at $z=0.049$.\footnote{Assuming $H_0 = 71 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_L = 0.73$ and $\Omega_m = 0.27$ (1 mas = 0.95 pc; 1 mas yr$^{-1} = 3.1$ c)}

The object can be described with a classical FR II morphology (Fanaroff & Riley 1974) exhibiting two radio lobes with hot spots and a single-sided jet (Linfield & Perley 1984).\footnote{The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.} Unusual for radio galaxies, a small inclination angle of only $18^\circ$ to our line of sight has been determined on parsec scales (Jorstad et al. 2005). Moreover, 3C 111 has a blazar-like spectral energy distribution (SED) (Hartman et al. 2008) and shows one of the brightest radio cores in the mm-cm wavelength regime of all FRII radio galaxies.\footnote{UMRAO has been supported by a series of grants from the NSF and NASA and by funds from the University of Michigan}

Superluminal motion was detected in this radio galaxy by Götz et al. (1987) and Preuss et al. (1988) making this source one of the first radio galaxies to exhibit this effect. The EGRET source 3EG J0416+3650 has been associated with 3C 111 (Sguera et al. 2005, Hartman et al. 2008) and γ-ray emission from 3C 111 has been confirmed by Fermi/LAT (Abdo et al. 2010a, 2010b, 2010c).\footnote{In this paper a new major flux density outburst from 2007 and the associated jet kinematics will be discussed with data from the Very Large Baseline Array (VLBA), the University of Michigan Radio Astronomy Observatory (UMRAO), and the F-GAMMA program.} A major flux-density outburst in 1997 was investigated by Kadler et al. (2008) with 10 years of radio monitoring data (1995–2005). In addition Chatterjee et al. (2011) and Tombesi et al. (2011) report on a possible connection between the accretion disk and the jet of 3C 111.\footnote{In addition Chatterjee et al. (2011) and Tombesi et al. (2011) report on a possible connection between the accretion disk and the jet of 3C 111. In this paper a new major flux density outburst from 2007 and the associated jet kinematics will be discussed with data from the Very Large Baseline Array (VLBA), the University of Michigan Radio Astronomy Observatory (UMRAO), and the F-GAMMA program.}
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Figure 1: Long-term radio lightcurve of 3C 111 obtained by the UMRAO at 4.8 GHz (left). Short-}

term radio lightcurves (right) at 32.0 GHz, 42.0 GHz, 86.24 GHz and 142.33 GHz obtained by the

F-GAMMA program.

2 Data Analysis

The broad-line radio galaxy 3C 111 has been part of the VLBA 2cm Survey program since its start

in 1995 (Kellermann et al. 1998) and its successor MOJAVE (Monitoring Of Jets in Active galactic

nuclei with VLBA Experiments, Lister et al. 2009) in 2002. Twenty-four epochs of data have been
taken from 2006 to 2010 within the MOJAVE program of this source. Phase and amplitude self-

calibration as well as hybrid mapping by deconvolution techniques were performed as described

by Kellermann et al. (1998). Utilizing the program DIFMAP (Shepherd 1997), two-dimensional

Gaussian components have been fitted in the (u,v)-plane to the fully calibrated data of each epoch.

We refer to the inner ∼ 0.5 mas as the “core” region, which can usually be modeled with two Gauss-

ian components. All models have been aligned by assuming the westernmost components to be sta-

tionary and all component positions are measured with respect to it. Conservative errors of 15% are

assumed for the flux densities of the model-fit components accounting for absolute calibration un-

certainties and formal model-fitting uncertainties (Homan et al. 2002). Within the UMRAO radio-

flux-density monitoring program (Aller et al. 2003), more than three decades of single-dish flux-
density data have been collected for 3C 111 at 4.8 GHz, 8.0 GHz, and 14.5 GHz. In addition, 3C 111

is observed monthly by the F-GAMMA program (Fuhrmann et al. 2007, Angelakis et al. 2008) at

multiple frequencies throughout the cm- and mm-bands since 2007.

3 Results

3.1 Lightcurves

Figure 1 (left) shows the long-term radio lightcurve of 3C 111 at 4.8 GHz. Since the start of the
measurements the source has been in a low activity state for almost two decades with only minor
activity. The source showed a major outburst in 1996/1997 (Kadler et al. 2008). Starting in 2004,
minor outbursts are observed and the flux density level increases. A major flux density outburst
starts in early 2007 at high frequencies (see Figure 1 right), peaking ∼ 2007.6 and is subsequently
seen at lower frequencies. A secondary outburst starts mid 2008 at high frequencies. The overall
flux density level at 4.8 GHz has been decreasing since the major outburst.

3.2 VLBA Data Overview

In this work, we focus on the time period 2006 through 2010, which contains 24 MOJAVE epochs
with an average image rms noise of 0.2 mJy beam−1 and a maximum of 0.4 mJy beam−1. The
restoring beams of different epochs are very similar with an average of (0.84 × 0.58) mas at P.A.
−8°. A peak flux density of 6.54 Jy was measured in May 2008. Figure 2 shows the evolution
of the parsec scale jet of 3C 111 observed within the MOJAVE VLBA program at 15 GHz since

In this work, we consider only the 4.8 GHz UMRAO data. A multi-frequency long-term analysis of the light
curve will be presented elsewhere (Grossberger et al., in prep.).
Figure 2: Naturally weighted clean images of 3C 111 from 2008 to 2010. The minimum contours are set to 5 sigma of the rms noise and increase logarithmically by a factor of 2. All fitted model components are indicated with a circle or ellipse (size of the full-width half maximum of the Gaussian function) enclosing a cross. The lines are the fitted evolutional tracks of the leading components. The dashed line indicates the primary component (and leading component after mid 2009). The dotted line shows the position of the core.
May 2008 (excluding six epochs before the ejection of the primary component). A major bright feature appears in May 2008 and is travelling downstream. The source brightness distribution was modelled with the CLEAN algorithm by Hogbom (1974) within DIFMAP.

### 3.3 Model Fitting

In Figure 3, the radial distances to the stationary component in the core region are plotted for every component as a function of time. The identification throughout the epochs is based on the comparison of the positions and flux densities of these model components. This identification is preliminary and will be discussed in more detail in a forthcoming paper. In the context of this paper we focus on the components which can be associated with the major flux density outburst of 2007 (see Fig. 1).

A linear regression fit has been performed to measure component speeds and ejection dates (see Figure 3). The ejection dates of a primary component and a secondary component are quasi-identical (≈ 2007.6) within the errors and were found to coincide with the peak of the outburst at high frequencies (see Figure 1 right). The components flux density evolution (see Figure 1) shows that the core region was extremely bright during the time of the outburst but dropped significantly after ejecting the bright primary and secondary component. The determined apparent speed for the primary component is 3.94 ± 0.19 c and for the secondary component 2.80 ± 0.40 c.

The primary component remains at a constant flux density of ≈ 1 Jy until mid 2009. After that, the component is splitting into multiple parts: a new leading component with trailing components in its wake.

The secondary component has a higher flux density than the primary in the beginning of its lifetime which rapidly decays. This decay suggests that this component disappeared in mid 2009 though an identification with the first trailing component is possible based on position alone. The calculated apparent speed of the new leading component after mid 2009 is 4.53 ± 0.09 c with the flux density decreasing. The first trailing component has an apparent speed of 3.32 ± 0.19 c and shows a constant flux density evolution. The second trailing component was first observed 2010.53 with a flux density of ≈ 240 mJy and could be modeled until 2010.91 with a flux density of less than 100 mJy. The flux density evolution of the second trailing component suggests that this component faded away and thus could not be modeled in epoch 2010.98. An association of this second trailing component with the 2008 component is possible based on the flux density evolution and position but needs further investigation of the 2008-outburst.

A similar behaviour with a leading, secondary and trailing components has been seen in the evolution of the components associated with the outburst from 1997 by Kadler et al. (2008). The components were interpreted as a forward and backward shock with the backward shock fading very fast. In this model, the plasma of the forward shock entered a region of rapidly decreasing external pressure allowing it to expand into the jet ambient medium and accelerate. In the following, the plasma recollimated and trailing features were formed in the wake of the leading component (Perucho et al. 2008).

### 4 Summary

In this paper, the ejection of new jet components on parsec scales were associated with a major flux density outburst of 3C 111 in 2007. It was shown that the major flux density outburst can be associated with the ejection of a primary jet component and secondary component. The evolution of the leading component suggests a split into multiple components. The full multi-epoch kinematical analysis of the VLBA jet of 3C 111 between 2006 and 2011 will be presented elsewhere (Grossberger et al., in prep).
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