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Abstract: Posterior instability is relatively rare when compared with anterior instability but can comprise up to 40% of operatively treated instability cases. Posterior dislocations are much rarer and are classically due to trauma, seizure, or electric shock. Due to a lack of an obvious deformity and an internally rotated and adducted arm position, posterior shoulder dislocations often are missed on initial presentation. In the management of posterior dislocations, considerations need to be made in regard to bony and soft-tissue pathology. In the setting of soft-tissue deficiency, previous options included nonoperative management primarily consisting of bracing and activity modification as well as arthroplasty options that do not rely on the capsulolabral complex for stability. In this paper, we present a technique for treating a chronic posterior shoulder dislocation with an associated large reverse Hill–Sachs deformity. In this setting, a revision labral repair and capsulodesis is generally not possible due to insufficient capsulolabral tissues. Here, we present the technique for an arthroscopic posterior capsule reconstruction using an acellular dermal allograft as well as a McLaughlin procedure for the treatment of a reverse Hill–Sachs lesion.

Posterior instability is rare in comparison with anterior instability and accounts for approximately 10% of all shoulder instability cases1,2 and <4% of all shoulder dislocations3; however, they comprise up to 40% of operatively treated instability cases among a young military population.4 Unlike anterior instability, it is quite rare for posterior instability to develop following an acute dislocation.5 Rather, instability develops following repetitive subluxation events during posterior-loaded sporting activities such as weightlifting, football linemen positions, as well as the military population due to repetitive combative and exercise-related activities.4 Those at increased risk for posterior shoulder instability include male intercollegiate athletes as a group as well as men and women participating in wrestling and football.6 Posterior dislocations of the glenohumeral joint are much rarer; however, they can lead to considerably worse outcomes due to the secondary pathology. The etiology of posterior dislocations in a review performed by Xu et al.3 includes trauma (59%), seizure (40%), and electric shock (1%).

The presenting complaints of a patient suffering from posterior instability are generally related to pain and weakness rather than frank instability and often is missed on initial examination, a “diagnostic trap” as per McLaughlin.8 In posterior dislocation, due to the lack of obvious deformity and an internally rotated and adducted position of comfort, up to 50% of shoulder dislocations are missed on initial evaluation,9 and a delay in appropriate treatment can last up to 10 years.3 Treatment of posterior shoulder dislocations lies in prompt recognition, which requires a high index of suspicion and expeditious reduction and stabilization. Secondary pathology related to a chronic dislocation includes degeneration of the posterior capsulolabral complex as well as a large reverse Hill–Sachs lesions.10 In this setting, there can be insufficient tissue for...
to describe our preferred approach to the treatment of a chronically posteriorly dislocated shoulder with capsular insufficiency and a large reverse Hill–Sachs lesion.

**Surgical Technique**

**Preoperative Assessment**

The patient is first seen in clinic and a focused history and physical examination is performed. Issues surrounding the most recent dislocation event including duration of dislocation, mechanism of injury, and previous episodes of instability are documented. Pain to the posterior aspect of the shoulder rather than instability is the most common complaint of patients with recurrent instability.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^12\) Patients with a chronically dislocated shoulder complain of persistent pain and commonly present with a posterior deformity of the humeral head with a flattening at the anterior aspect of the shoulder and oftentimes a fixed internal rotation deformity with limited abduction.\(^13\) As compared with an acute dislocation, chronic posterior dislocations can have an increased range of motion (ROM), due to subtle motion that wears away the contact area between the humeral head and glenoid. Due to the restricted ROM, these patients often will have limited ability for physical examination and the aforementioned ROM deficits. In all cases of recurrent instability, there should be a note made of the Beighton criteria\(^14\) of generalized ligamentous laxity, as this can influence prognosis and treatment decisions. Initial imaging for a suspected posterior dislocation should begin with plain radiographs and, if indeterminate, should progress to computed tomography (CT) imaging. In the setting of recurrent dislocations, a CT image should be taken regardless to ascertain the degree of humeral and glenoid bone loss. A 3-dimensional replica of the patient’s shoulder is then printed from the CT reconstructions to aid in pre- and intraoperative planning.

**Fig 1.** Picture of a patient placed in the lateral decubitus position, left shoulder, with the use of a bean bag positioner and SPIDER limb positioner. The bony landmarks of the borders of the acromion, clavicle, acromioclavicular joint, and scapular spine are marked as well as the posterior portal 1 cm inferior to the posterolateral corner. (A, acromion; C, clavicle; P, portal site marked in blue.)

**Table 1.** Pearls and Pitfalls of the Technique

| Pearls                                                                 | Pitfalls                              |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Use of a 3-dimensional model for preoperative planning and graft measurement | Suture tangling                       |
| Use of a leading stitch to aid in graft shuttling                      | Suture management and retrieval       |
| Superior marking on the graft to aid in proper orientation of the graft intra-articularly | Graft orientation and twisting         |
| Suture management                                                     | Failure to recognize and address significant glenoid bone loss |
| • Place the humeral anchors first and pass the suture ends extra-articularly to aid in graft shuttling and orientation |                                                                 |
| • Place and secure glenoid anchors in a sequential manner from inferior to avoid suture tangling and maintain adequate visualization |                                                                 |
dislocated shoulder, operative intervention should occur on an urgent basis.

**Anesthesia and Patient Position**

After the patient is brought to the room, they are placed on the operative table on a bean bag positioner and antibiotic prophylaxis is given followed by anesthesia. The patient is then placed in the lateral decubitus position, with 30° of posterior angulation to align the glenoid with the floor. Following anesthesia, a closed reduction is performed before portal placement. The operative shoulder and axilla are then prepped and draped in sterile fashion. The patient’s arm is then placed in 60° of abduction, and traction is applied with the use of a SPIDER Limb Positioner (Smith & Nephew, London, England).

Once prepped, the bony landmarks of the shoulder are marked out including the borders of the acromion, clavicle, acromioclavicular joint, and scapular spine (Fig 1). The posterior portal is also marked in a position 1 cm inferior to the posterolateral corner of the acromion with the anterior superior and anterolateral portals to be determined arthroscopically (Fig 1).

**Diagnostic Arthroscopy and Debridement**

The complete surgical technique can be seen in Video 1. The pitfalls and pearls are summarized in Table 1 and the advantages and disadvantages are summarized in Table 2. A diagnostic arthroscopy is completed in standard fashion from the posterior portal. In particular, attention is paid to the capsulolabral complex, posterior glenoid rim, as well as any humeral defects or “reverse” Hill–Sachs lesions. In addition, the rotator cuff tendons, biceps tendon, cartilage of the humeral head, and glenoid are examined for any tearing or signs of degeneration. Following this, the anterior superior and anterior inferior portals are then made with an outside-in technique, allowing for examination of the posterior labrum and capsular structures through the anterior superior portal. A large 10 × 40-mm PassPort Cannula (Arthrex, Naples, FL) is then placed in the posterior portal to allow passage of the graft intra-articularly.

In the case of a chronic dislocation, the capsulolabral components are quite scarred down medially on the glenoid neck and have to be addressed from the posterior portal (Fig 2) while viewing from the lateral portal. A Liberator (Linvatec) is used to lift and free the labrum and posterior capsule from the posterior glenoid, where it is often scarred down. The posterior labrum tissue is then assessed and repaired if possible, but in the case of a chronic dislocation, there typically is no viable tissue to repair. The posterior glenoid is then decorticated, and a bleeding bone bed is prepared using a shaver or burr followed by decortication of the humeral head neck junction using a curette, for eventual placement of the graft. The glenoid face is then measured from the posterior portal using a measurement probe and the diameter is assessed for adequate bone stock (Fig 3). With the shoulder in neutral rotation and 60° of abduction, the distance from the posterior glenoid to the humeral head neck junction is measured and compared with previous measurements obtained from the preoperative model.

**Graft Preparation**

The Allopatch allograft acellular human dermal matrix (MTF Biologics; CONMED, Largo, FL) is prepared on the back table. Based on previous measurements from the CT-reconstructed model as well as those confirmed intraoperatively, a custom graft is cut to size.

---

**Table 2. Advantages and Disadvantages of the Technique**

| Advantage / Disadvantage | Posterior Capsular Reconstruction |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Minimally invasive technique | Advanced technique |
| Alternative option to arthroplasty in the young and active patient | Steep learning curve |
| Does not limit future procedures such as arthroplasty | Graft tangling |
| Restores humeral head concentricity | No long-term outcomes |
| Cadaver tissue with the potential for less attenuation in collagen disorders | Subscapularis tears with failure |

---

**Fig 2.** Posterior glenoid (G) debridement with the use of a Freer Elevator (F) (Sklar Instruments, West Chester, PA), patient in lateral decubitus position, left shoulder, viewing from the anterosuperior portal. (H, humerus.)
Suture markings are then created on the graft with 2 sites marked on the humeral side and 3 marked on the glenoid side (Fig 4). These markings will be seen intra-articularly and thus are placed on the nonhealing (dull side) side of the graft. A line is drawn on the superior aspect of the graft to help with intra-articular orientation. The graft is stored in saline before introduction into the shoulder joint.

Anchor Placement and Graft Passage
In total, 5 suture anchors are used for graft fixation. First, while viewing from the anterosuperior portal, 2 double-loaded Q-Fix all suture anchors (Smith & Nephew) are placed (Fig 5). The inferior humeral anchor is inserted via a percutaneous technique, whereas the superior anchor is passed through the posterior cannula. The suture limbs are then retrieved through the posterior cannula, leaving one suture limb to aid in graft shuttling through the percutaneous opening. The corresponding sutures are then passed through the humeral side of the graft from inferior to superior (superior = marked, nonhealing side). A leading suture is placed through the graft and secured using a STIK knot and the end is passed through the joint from posterior to anterior to aid in graft shuttling (Fig 6).

Next, the most inferior glenoid anchor is placed using a single loaded Q-FIX anchor (Smith & Nephew) (Fig 7). Due to the posterior positioning of the humeral head, the inferior anchor is placed from the anterior cannula and the suture limbs are left anteriorly.

Next, the graft is introduced into the joint by placing tension on the humeral sutures using a double-pulley technique and pulling on the leading suture while pushing the graft in with a suture grasper (Fig 8). Once the graft is intra-articular and in proper orientation, the humeral side is reduced using a double-pulley technique and tied down using a REVO knot (Fig 9). The remaining sutures from the humeral anchors are then

---

**Fig 3.** Glenoid (G) measurement using a calibrated probe (P) from the posterior portal viewing from the anterosuperior portal. (H, humerus.)

**Fig 4.** Road map diagram of the Allopatch configuration. (A, anterior side; L, lateral [humeral] side; M, medial [glenoid] side; P, posterior side.)

**Fig 5.** Percutaneous placement of the inferior humeral anchor (A) into the humerus (H) posteriorly, patient in lateral decubitus position, left shoulder, viewing from the posterior portal.

**Fig 6.** Passing of the shuttling suture from the posterior cannula (left) to the anterior cannula (right) in the left shoulder, patient in lateral decubitus position, viewing from the anterosuperior portal. Arrow indicates the direction of suture shuttling. (G, glenoid; Gr, grasper; H, humerus; SM, suture manipulator.)
tied down to secure the inferior aspect of the graft on
the humerus.

The graft is then fixed onto the glenoid. From the
posterior cannula, a SPECTRUM suture passer
medium crescent (CONMED) is used to penetrate the
graft through the most inferior glenoid marking (Fig
10). This suture shuttle is used to retrieve the suture
limb from the inferior glenoid Q-FIX anchor that was
previously placed. A sliding SMC knot is then used to
reduce and secure the graft to the anchor (Fig 11).
Next, the middle and superior glenoid anchors are
placed at the 9- and 11-o’clock positions from the
posterior cannula. Suture limbs are passed through
the graft using the SPECTRUM suture passer and
sequentially tied as described previously. Once com-
plete, the reconstruction is inspected for proper ten-
sion and efficacy as evidenced by proper balancing and
concentric reduction of the humeral head on the
glenoid (Fig 12).

McLaughlin Procedure

Next, attention is turned to the humeral-sided bone
loss. Due to the posterior dislocation, a significant
anterior humeral defect may be identified in this
setting. From the anterior cannula, the defect should
then be debrided of any soft tissue and roughened using
a burr or shaver to stimulate a bleeding bone bed. A
double-loaded Q-FIX anchor is then placed in the
center of the defect (Fig 13). A BirdBeak suture grasper
(Arthrex) is then used to penetrate the subscapularis
inferiorly and superiorly and a single limb of one suture
is brought through each of these sites (Fig 14). Next, a
looped suture grasper is used to retrieve the other limb
of the inferior anchor and brought superiorly around
the subscapularis tendon and tied down using a sliding
knot (Fig 15), followed by 3 half hitches, ensuring a
reduction of the inferior aspect of the subscapularis into
the humeral defect. The same is then performed with
the superior suture, ensuring full coverage and stability of the humeral defect.

The final product is inspected to ensure concentric reduction of the humeral head on the glenoid with secure soft-tissue support anteriorly and posteriorly. Stability of the shoulder is then tested, particularly posterior translation in neutral, internal, and external rotation prior to arthroscope removal and closure.

**Rehabilitation**

Following the procedure, the patient is placed into a sling with an abduction pillow to protect the posterior repair. In this setting, we would not initiate pendulum exercises until the 4-week mark, as patients with this condition tend to suffer issues with hyperlaxity rather than stiffness. At the 6-week mark, the patient may begin to wean themselves from the sling and initiate passive and active assisted ROM exercises. At the 12-week mark, the patient may move on to strengthening exercises with TheraBand (TheraBand, Akron, OH) and closed-chained exercises and may progress to more significant strengthening at the 16-week mark. At the 6-month mark, the patient may begin a return to play routine or may begin to wean themselves back into a labor type of job and activity as tolerated.

**Discussion**

In this paper, we describe the surgical technique for addressing the chronic posteriorly dislocated shoulder with capsular insufficiency and a large reverse Hill–Sachs defect. Although the shoulder is the most commonly dislocated joint in the body, the majority of these are anterior dislocations, with only 2% to 4% occurring posteriorly. In addition, it has been reported that in 40% to 90% of cases, these chronic dislocations also are accompanied by significant bone loss of the

Fig 11. Reduction and fixation of the graft to the inferior glenoid (G) using a sliding knot (SMC knot). Tying from the anterior cannula while viewing from the anterosuperior portal, left shoulder, patient in the lateral decubitus position. (Gr, graft; H, humerus; KP, Knot Pusher.)

Fig 12. Posterior capsular reconstruction completed with graft (Gr) anchored to the glenoid (G) and the humerus (H), viewing from the anterosuperior portal, left shoulder, patient in the lateral decubitus position.

Fig 13. Humeral anchor (A) being inserted into the center of the reverse Hill–Sachs defect (H) while viewing from the anterosuperior portal, left shoulder, patient in the lateral decubitus position. (AC, anterior capsule.)

Fig 14. BirdBeak suture passer (blue arrow) penetrating the subscapularis (SS) to retrieve suture limb of humeral anchor (red arrow), viewing from the anterosuperior portal, left shoulder, patient in the lateral decubitus position. (H, humerus.)
anterior shoulder instability,16–18 and more recently for the reconstruction of the posterior capsule in chronic massive rotator cuff tears, for the reconstruction of the anterior capsule for failed posterior shoulder instability,16–18 and more recently for the reconstruction of the posterior capsule in a patient with Ehlers–Danlos syndrome.19 This technique gives the surgeon another option before arthroplasty for capsulolabral repair in the setting of deficient or absent tissue. The use of these grafts spares the patient the morbidity of a donor-site harvest as well as can be used in conditions in which the native collagen is abnormal or deficient, such as in Ehlers–Danlos syndrome.19 The reconstruction of the posterior capsule results in centering of the humeral head and a robust check rein for posterior translation.

In the setting of significant bone loss of the humeral head, even with the posterior capsule reconstructed, there is still some concern of the large humeral defect engaging with the dermal construct, leading to increased forces transmitted to the graft and failure of healing and eventual graft failure. Using a technique similar to Krackhardt et al.,20 we recommend performing a modified McLaughlin procedure in which the subscapularis tendon is tenodesed to the center of the reverse Hill–Sachs lesion, essentially converting it to an extra-articular defect. Although this procedure may result in decreased external rotation of the shoulder due to subscapularis tethering/shortening, this should not come as a functional deficit in this particular patient population, whose issue is generally too much rotation and should remain in a functional range.

The result of this technique is a well-centered shoulder with sufficient soft tissue preventing excess posterior translation and with a nonengaging, extra-articular humeral lesion. Performing this procedure in an arthroscopic fashion spares the patient from the morbidity of open dissection, disruption of the subscapularis tendon, and scar tissue formation and may be performed in a revision setting following failure of posterior capsular plication and labral repair. The goal of this treatment is to provide the patient with a reasonable level of function following a disabling condition, prevention of further dislocation as well as delaying the need for shoulder arthroplasty. Limitations of this technique include the learning curve associated with suture management and graft tangling, insertion of the graft, as well as increased operative time due to the technical demands of the procedure.

Conclusions

Posterior capsular reconstruction with an acellular human allograft in addition to the modified McLaughlin technique is an acceptable procedure in the setting of a chronic posterior shoulder dislocation with significant soft tissue and bone loss. This procedure can be used as an alternative to fusion or arthroplasty in a young population in whom other soft-tissue procedures are limited.
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