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ABSTRACT: In this manuscript we highlight the potential of stereospecific nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions for applications in the pharmaceutical industry. Using an inexpensive and sustainable nickel catalyst, we report a gram-scale Kumada cross-coupling reaction. Reactions are highly stereospecific and proceed with inversion at the benzylic position. We also expand the scope of our reaction to incorporate isotopically labeled substituents.

The emergence of reliable cross-coupling protocols has had a substantial effect on the pharmaceutical industry. Transition-metal catalyzed reactions, particularly those involving palladium and copper catalysts, are widely used to synthesize libraries of compounds for SAR studies. The prominence of cross-coupling reactions is due in part to the generality of the methods, the broad functional group tolerance, and the commercial availability of the starting materials. However, the ubiquity of Csp²−Csp² cross-couplings can cause a bias toward planar compounds that lack complex stereochemical information. One strategy to enter underutilized areas of chemical space is incorporation of increased sp³ character and stereogenic centers into the backbones of molecules. For example, combretastatin A-4 (1, Figure 1) is a potent tubulin-binding anticancer agent. Analogues such as 2 replace the alkene linkage with a stereocenter. Incorporation stereogenic centers is a strategy to identify molecules that have greater selectivity for their target proteins and present fewer off-target interactions. A cross-coupling protocol that could reliably form Csp³−Csp³ bonds with control of absolute and relative stereochemistry could provide synthetic access to new lead compounds for drug discovery efforts.

Recently, our laboratory has developed a series of stereospecific nickel-catalyzed allyl cross coupling reactions that form new Csp³−Csp³ bonds. In these transformations, an enantioen-riched benzylic ether or ester undergoes a cross-coupling reaction in the presence of an achiral nickel catalyst (Figure 2). Reactions proceed with a high degree of stereochemical fidelity and inversion at the benzylic center. Our lab has successfully developed Kumada, Suzuki, and Negishi cross-coupling reactions that incorporate methyl, n-alkyl and aryl substituents. These reactions can be employed, for example, to introduce a benzylic methyl substituent, a moiety that can provide a compound with increased potency and protection against metabolism. Additionally, as a nonprecious metal, nickel catalysts provide a sustainable alternative to palladium catalysts and are particularly well-suited to cross-coupling reactions that connect sp³-centers. In this manuscript, we describe the expansion of our nickel-catalyzed Kumada cross-coupling reaction to certain pharmaceutical applications. We began by increasing the scale of our methodology to the gram-scale. We also describe incorporation a new class of nucleophiles, Grignard reagents that carry isotopically labeled alkyl and aryl groups, for synthesis of compounds bearing stable isotope tracers.

To challenge our methodology with a preparative-scale Kumada cross-coupling reaction, we first selected a representative substrate. We have recently developed ring-opening reactions of aryl-substituted tetrahydropyrans (THPs) and tetrahydrofurans (THFs) that occur in conjunction with stereospecific Csp³−Csp³ bond formation. This Kumada cross-coupling reaction provides the distinct diversity elements necessary for a diversity oriented synthesis (DOS) approach, as a novel appendage (hydroxyl functional handle) is formed in

Figure 1. Tubulin-binding compounds.

Figure 2. Stereospecific cross-coupling reactions of benzylic ethers and esters.
conjunction with a skeletal rearrangement of the molecule (ring-opening). Requisite starting materials are prepared in one step with high diastereoselectivity from the corresponding aldehydes via clay-mediated Prins reaction.\textsuperscript{12} We were happy to see that the Kumada reaction works well on a multigram scale. Utilizing an air-stable preformed catalyst, 2-aryltetrahydropyran 3 undergoes the cross-coupling reaction to afford the ring-opened product 4 with 2.5 mol % catalyst loading (Scheme 1).\textsuperscript{13} Consistent with our previous studies, the reaction was highly stereospecific, with clean inversion at the benzylic center.

One previously unexplored application of our cross-coupling methodology involves the incorporation of isotopic tracers into biologically relevant molecules. Tracers that utilize stable isotopes (e.g., $^2$H, $^{13}$C) have a range of applications, including stable isotope dilution assays, metabolite identification, and chronic administration studies.\textsuperscript{14} Importantly, stable isotopes do not have the health risks associated with radioactive isotopes and therefore can be used in studies of pregnant women and young children.\textsuperscript{15} Stable isotope dilution assays (SIDA), for example, rely on a mixture of isotopomers that are traced through living systems and can be used to elucidate complex biological pathways.\textsuperscript{16} These studies typically require coadministration of both enriched and nonenriched substrates. Therefore, a synthetic method that could easily incorporate labeled or nonlabeled substituents would suit these applications.

We hypothesized that our Kumada-type cross-coupling reaction could be employed in synthesis of biologically relevant compounds and their isotopologues. The desired isotopomer can be synthesized by proper choice of the alkyl halide precursor. Isotopically labeled alkyl and aryl halides are commercially available and are readily transformed into the corresponding Grignard reagents (Scheme 2). To test this hypothesis, we turned to enantioenriched diarylethane 2, which displays activity against colon cancer lines.\textsuperscript{4} Our laboratory has previously synthesized 2 by Kumada coupling of ether 5 with methylmagnesium iodide.\textsuperscript{5} Cross-coupling of 5 with $^{13}$C-methylmagnesium iodide afforded $^{13}$C-2 in 60% yield with >99% enantiospecificity. As anticipated, the yield was similar to that which we had previously reported with unlabeled reagent.\textsuperscript{7}

With $^{13}$C-2 in hand, we turned to incorporating stable isotope tracers with a mass increase $\geq$3 amu, as is typically required for stable isotope dilution assay applications.\textsuperscript{14,15} Another common stable isotope is deuterium ($^2$H), and a variety of deuterated alkyl and aryl halides are commercially available. Kumada cross-coupling of 3-furyl tetrahydropyran 6 with $d_6$-methylmagnesium iodide provided $CD_2$-labeled 7 in 84% yield. This acyclic product had no loss of stereochemical fidelity, with a dr of $>20:1$ for both substrate and product, and possesses numerous reactive functional group handles. Aryl Grignard reagents can also be incorporating using our methodology,\textsuperscript{8} thus we sought to incorporate a $d_6$-phenyl tracer. Compound 3 underwent Kumada cross-coupling with $d_6$-phenylmagnesium bromide, which yielded 8 in good yield and high dr. The yields of both 7 and 8 were comparable to those of their respective nonlabeled analogues.\textsuperscript{6d}

In conclusion, the stereospecific nickel-catalyzed Kumada cross-coupling reaction of benzylc ethers has potential for applications in pharmaceutical chemistry settings. The transformation can be carried out on a synthetically useful scale with low loadings of an inexpensive base metal catalyst. Cross-coupling reactions of benzylc ethers proceed in high yields with excellent stereospecificity on gram-scale. Our protocol can be used to prepare biologically active molecules and their isotopologues using a single set of reaction conditions and commercially available reagents.

\textbf{EXPERIMENTAL SECTION}

\textbf{General Notes. a. Catalyst Choice.} For each class of Grignard reagent employed, a specific nickel precatalyst will provide optimal yield in the cross-coupling reaction.

\textit{i. Reactions of Aryl and $n$-Alkyl Grignard Reagents.} All aryl and $n$-alkyl Grignard reagents are successfully incorporated by utilizing a Ni(dppe)Cl\textsubscript{2} precatalyst; this precatalyst is bench stable and provides high ease-of-use since reactions setup does not require use of a glovebox. Ni(dppe)Cl\textsubscript{2} is a fine, red powder that produces a black, opaque reaction mixture after addition of Grignard reagent.

\textit{ii. Reactions of Methylmagnesium Iodide.} For cross-coupling reactions involving methyl Grignard reagent, catalysts prepared in situ from Ni(cod)\textsubscript{2} and a bidentate phosphine ligand afford high yields of the desired product. Ni(cod)\textsubscript{2} is a yellow, granular solid which forms reaction mixtures of various
ues, depending on choice of ligand. Generally, rac-BINAP is our ligand of choice; however, in cases of heteroaromatic-containing substrates, DPEPhos affords higher yields.\textsuperscript{a} Ni-(cod)\textsubscript{2} is air sensitive and best stored in glovebox freezer. If a less sensitive catalyst precursor is desired, a preformed (rac-BINAP)NiC\textsubscript{5} complex or combination of Ni(acac)\textsubscript{2}, cyclo-octadiene, and the requisite phosphine ligand can be employed.\textsuperscript{19,20} Across a range of conditions (e.g., employing 0.2–25 mmol of ether starting material and 1–10 mol % catalyst loading), the air stable Ni(II) salt, (rac-BINAP)NiCl\textsubscript{2} afforded the desired product 4 in similar yields to the Ni(cod)/rac-BINAP precatalyst system.

b. Grignard Reagent Preparation. Grignard reagents are prepared as solutions in Et\textsubscript{2}O. Grignard reagents prepared in THF do not provide product, likely due to inhibition of the nickel catalyst by THF. In some cases, particularly in reactions employing aryl Grignard reagents, we have noted that higher molarity Grignard reagents provide a higher yield of the desired product. In reactions of methylmagnesium iodide, Grignard molarity is typically between 2.0 and 3.5 M in Et\textsubscript{2}O. For sluggish substrates, addition of an equivalent of MgI\textsubscript{2} frequently accelerates the cross-coupling reaction.\textsuperscript{4}

c. Workup. After quenching with methanol, the reaction can be worked up in one of two ways to remove residual nickel catalyst. The first method is by aqueous extraction. For this method, the reaction mixture is diluted in diethyl ether, washed with water (×3), dried over Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, and concentrated in vacuo. Phenyltrimethylsilane (PhTMS) and concentrated in vacuo. The unpurified reaction mixture was filtered, washed, dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4}, and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (20% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (3.67 g, 12.1 mmol, dr >20:1, 70%).

d. Purification. After workup, products are typically purified by silica gel flash column chromatography. In certain instances the reaction mixture contains a small amount (typically <5%) of a styrene byproduct that results from β-hydride elimination. When necessary, such styrenes can be removed by two methods. The first method is by flash column chromatography employing silver-impregnated silica gel.\textsuperscript{17} Alternatively, Upjohn dihydroxylation of the unpurified reaction mixture (employing 1 mol % Os to styrene) and facile separation by standard silica gel chromatography may be employed.\textsuperscript{21} A representative procedure for the cross-coupling reaction is detailed below. For complete experimental details see the Supporting Information.

Cross-Coupling Reaction to Afford Alcohol 4 (Scheme 1). A flame-dried 500 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with tetrahydropran 3 (5.00 g, 17.3 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and (rac-BINAP)NiCl\textsubscript{2} (323 mg, 0.433 mmol, 0.0250 equiv). The flask was evacuated and back-filled with N\textsubscript{2} three times, at which point anhydrous toluene (200 mL) was added, followed by slow addition of methylmagnesium iodide (14.4 mL, 43.3 mmol, 3.00 M in Et\textsubscript{2}O, 2.50 equiv). After 36 h the reaction was quenched with methanol (90 mL), filtered through a plug of silica gel (eluting with neat Et\textsubscript{2}O, 500 mL), and concentrated in vacuo. Phenyltrimethylsilane (PhTMS) was added as internal standard, and a 1H NMR yield was calculated to be 73% with a small amount of styrene byproduct (2.6 mmol, 15%).

An oxidative workup was employed for the facile removal of the styrene byproduct. The unpurified reaction mixture was added to a 50 mL round-bottom flask, which was then charged with N-methylmorpholine N-oxide (NMO, 323 mg, 2.83 mmol, 1.10 equiv), osmium tetroxide (161 \mu L, 0.0259 mmol, 4% solution in H\textsubscript{2}O, 1 mol % relative to styrene), 6 mL of acetone, and 2 mL of water. The reaction was allowed to stir open to air for 24 h, at which point saturated NaHCO\textsubscript{3} (15 mL) was added, and the mixture was filtered over Celite. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 10 mL), and the combined organics were washed with brine, dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4}, and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (20% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford the title compound as a yellow oil (3.67 g, 12.1 mmol, dr >20:1, 70%).
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