Canine Interferon-Inducible Transmembrane Protein Is a Host Restriction Factor That Potently Inhibits Replication of Emerging Canine Influenza Virus
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Canine influenza virus (CIV) is an emerging virus that is associated with major hidden hazards to the canine population and public health. Until now, how canine uses its innate immunity to restrict CIV replication is seldomly investigated. Recently, studies on interferon-inducible transmembrane (IFITM) of several major hosts of influenza virus (human, chicken, duck, pig) indicated it can potently restrict the viral replication. Here, the gene locus of five previously annotated canine IFITM (calIFITM) genes was determined on chromosome 18 using multiple bioinformatics strategies, provisionally designated as calIFITM1, calIFITM2a, calIFITM2b, calIFITM3, and calIFITM5. An analysis on protein sequences between calIFITM and its homologs indicated they shared the same conserved amino acids important for the antiviral activity. Expression profile analysis showed that calIFITM was constitutively expressed in tissues and MDCK cell line. After treatment with interferon or infection with influenza virus, the expression level of calIFITM increased with different degrees in vitro. An animal challenge study demonstrated CIV infection resulted in upregulation of calIFITM in beagles. calIFITMs had a similar subcellular localization to their human homologs. calIFITM1 was present at the cell surface and calIFITM3 was present perinuclearly and colocalized with LAMP1-containing compartments. Finally, we generated A549 cell lines stably expressing calIFITM and challenged them with influenza virus. The result demonstrated calIFITM1, calIFITM2a, calIFITM2b, and calIFITM3 had a potent antiviral activity against influenza virus. Our study will help better understand the evolutionary pattern of IFITM and its role in the host’s defense against virus infection.
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INTRODUCTION

Types I and II interferons (IFNs) are produced when infected cells sense pathogen-associated molecular patterns and trigger cells to enter an antiviral state by inducing a variety of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs). Although it is one of the first discovered ISGs, the antiviral activities of human interferon-inducible transmembrane [IFITM (huIFITM)] protein against pathogenic viruses had not been well characterized until 2009 (1). Using the siRNA screening strategy in osteosarcoma cells, it was found that knockdown of huIFITM proteins strongly promoted the replication of influenza virus in vitro. Further study found that huIFITM proteins have a broad antiviral activity against several other pathogenic viruses, including orthomyxoviruses, flaviviruses, filoviruses, coronaviruses, and alphaviruses (1–5). In addition, one study discovered that mice homozygous for IFITM3 deletion are more susceptible to influenza virus infection and exhibit more weight loss compared with the wild-type littermates (6). It was also reported that a synonymous rs12252 SNP in huIFITM gene alters a predicted splice acceptor site and is associated with the hospitalization in the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic (7).

To date, five homologs of IFITM (IFITM1, IFITM2, IFITM3, IFITM5, and IFITM10) have been found in humans on chromosome 11. IFITM5 is involved in bone mineralization and maturation (8). The function of IFITM10 remains unclear. IFITM1, IFITM2, and IFITM3 are associated with germ cell homing and maturation, tumor suppression, cell adhesion, and virus inhibition in innate immunity, among other functions (9). IFITMs are a family of small transmembrane proteins and contain five domains: an N-terminal domain (NTD) and a C-terminal domain (CTD), two intramembrane domains (IMD) of IM1 and IM2, and a conserved intracellular loop (CIL) (5). IFITMs share a highly conserved CD225 domain. A study on huIFITM3 shows that the CD225 domain is critical for the cellular localization (2). Three posttranslational modification patterns of palmitoylation, ubiquitination, and phosphorylation are associated with huIFITM3, and all contribute to its antiviral activity (10–12). In addition, the YXXΦ sorting motif at the N-terminus of huIFITM3 enables it to undergo endocytosis, thereby controlling both its endocytic trafficking and antiviral action (13).

The mode of the antiviral action of huIFITM3 is unique among restriction factors of innate immunity. huIFITM3 prevents virus cytosolic entry by blocking viral fusion subsequent to endocytosis and is considered the first line of antiviral defense of a cell (5). This protein plays an important role in antiviral actions of IFNs, accounting for 40% to 70% (1). In addition to huIFITMs, IFITMs from mouse, swine, chicken, and duck have also been investigated and are found to potently block influenza virus replication (4, 6, 14, 15). It is interesting that two swine IFITM1 proteins (IFITM1a, IFITM1b) are identified in swine cells, and all the studied five swine IFITM proteins (IFITM1a, IFITM1b, IFITM2, IFITM3, IFITM5) have antiviral activity (14). Chicken and duck IFITM proteins evolved as a single lineage and have more amino acid identity between each other compared with IFITM proteins from mammals (4, 15). Chicken IFITM2 and IFITM3 and duck IFITM3 show antiviral activity against several subtypes of influenza viruses (4).

Canine influenza virus (CIV) is responsible for canine influenza (CI) in the canine population. This virus emerged in the USA in 2004 and is currently prevalent worldwide (16, 17). CIV is an emerging threat to canine and public health. Until now, most studies on CIV have been associated with viral evolution and CI vaccine development. As a host of emerging influenza virus, how canine uses its innate immunity to restrict CIV replication is seldomly investigated. Although IFITMs from many different species have been well characterized as potent antiviral factors, whether canine encodes IFITM and whether canine IFITM (caIFITM) protein has antiviral activity against CIV remains unclear. In this study, we investigated the gene locus, expression pattern, and antiviral activity of caIFITMs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Viruses and Cell Lines

Two H3N2 CIV strains A/canine/Guangdong/02/2014 (GD/2014) and A/canine/Guangdong/01/2018 (GD/2018) and one H5N1 CIV strain A/canine/Guangdong/01/2013 (GD/2013) were isolated from field sick dogs with respiratory diseases in Guangdong province in China. The virus was propagated in 9- to 11-day-old specific pathogen-free (SPF) embryonated chicken eggs and was stored at −80°C until further use.

Human-derived A549 and HEK293T and canine-derived MDCK cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (BI) and 100 U penicillin and 100 U streptomycin (Life Technologies).

CaIFITM Gene Locus

CaIFITM gene locus was flanked by telomeric-1,4-N-acetyl-galactosaminytransferase 4 (B4GALT4) and centromeric acid trehalase-like 1 (ATHL1) and was obtained through synteny analysis in the Canis lupus familiaris genome (CanFam3.1) in the GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/). The detailed CaIFITM gene position on canine chromosome was further determined using the web tool of NCBI Sequence Viewer 3.16.0 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/sviewer/). BLASTN analysis was performed in the NCBI canine-expressed sequence tags (ESTs) or transcriptome shotgun assembly (TSA) sequence database to demonstrate the expression of CaIFITM gene.

Plasmids

To obtain canine or human IFITM gene, total RNA was extracted from canine lung samples or HEK293T cells using RNAiso Plus (Takara, Dalian, China) and subjected to reverse transcription (RT). The IFITM genes were cloned from the synthesized cDNA by standard PCR using Phanta Super-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) and cloned into the pCloneEZ-blunt-AMP plasmid vector using HC Cloning Kit.
(CloneSmarter, Houston, TX, USA) and then transformed into *E. coli* DH5α-competent cells (Weidi, Shanghai, China). After sequencing, IFITM genes were inserted into pEF4/myc-His plasmid (Life Technologies) with a Flag tag (DYKDDDDK) in the N-terminal by Bam HI/Kpn I and EcoR I digestion or inserted into the expressing GFP plasmid of pWPI (Addgene, Watertown, MA, USA) with a Flag tag in the N-terminal by Bam HI and Spe I digestion.

**IFITM-Expressing Cell Line Generation**

Lentivirus vector stocks were made by a three-plasmid transfection into HEK293T cells. Briefly, HEK293T cells were seeded in six-well plates 1 day before transfection. For each well of HEK293T cells, 1 μg pMD.2G, 0.5 μg psPAX2, 0.5 μg empty pWPI-EGFP, or pWPI-EGFP constructs containing IFITM expressing frame were mixed with 200 μl Opti-MEM (Life Technologies). Another 6 μl Viafect transfection reagent (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was added in the mixture and incubated for 20 min. The medium was removed and replaced with 2 ml DMEM plus 10% FBS. The mixture of plasmid and transfection reagent was then added in the cells. At 48 h after transfection, lentiviruses were collected and used to transduce A549 cells seeded in the six-well plates. Seventy-two hour after infection (hpi), A549 cells were trypsinized, seeded, and continuously cultivated in the 96-well plates to generate single-cell clones by the limiting dilution assay. The fluorescence expression in cell clones was observed by fluorescence microscopy.

**Real-Time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from canine cells or tissue samples using Minibest RNA extract Kit (Takara, Dalian). According to the manufacturer’s instructions, samples were treated with DNase I to remove genomic DNA. The obtained RNA was then reverse transcribed into cDNA using HiScript II Enzyme Mix (Vazyme, Nanjing), using oligo(dT)23 as a primer. IFITM, Mx1, canine IFN-α, and GAPDH mRNA expression level was estimated by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR). Synthesized cDNAs were then subjected to RT-qPCR using a TB Green Premix Ex Taq II kit (Takara, Dalian) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The RT-qPCR primer sets for each gene are listed in Table 1.

**Animal Experiment**

A total of six 10-week-old beagles were used in the present study. Before performing animal experiments, nasal and rectal swabs and serum samples were collected from each animal and determined as negative for CIV. The animals were randomly classified into the control group and challenge group, with three animals in each group. In the challenge group, each animal was inoculated intranasally with 1 ml (10^6 EID<sub>50</sub>) H3N2 CIV strain GD/2018. In the control group, each animal was inoculated with an equal volume of sterile PBS. At 1 day postinfection (dpi), all the animals were euthanized and their lung samples were collected. Total RNA was extracted from each sample and was further processed to determine the expression level of calIFITMs by RT-qPCR.

**TABLE 1 | Primers used for gene cloning and RT-qPCR analysis.**

| Gene   | Primer sequences (5′→3′) | Product size (bp) | Purpose                                      |
|--------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| calIFITM1 | F*: ACATCCGCAGTGACACG  
R*: CACGACCAAGGCGCGAG | 200               | RT-qPCR for detection of calIFITM1          |
| calIFITM2a | F: AAGCTCCGGTGAGGAAGA  
R: TGTCAGGAGAGGCCG | 217               | RT-qPCR for detection of calIFITM2a         |
| calIFITM2b | F: GTCGCAGAGGAGAGCG  
R: GGATATGATGCCCAGG | 210               | RT-qPCR for detection of calIFITM2b         |
| calIFITM3 | F: CCACACCTAGAGATGC  
R: GATGTCAGGTAATCGG | 93                | RT-qPCR for detection of calIFITM3          |
| calIFITM5 | F: CAAAGAGCAGTGTGCTAC  
R: AGCTATAGCAGCGCTCTCCT | 159               | RT-qPCR for detection of calIFITM5          |
| Mx1    | F: TGATATGCTGACAGGATAC  
R: GATCTCTCCATTGGAGTG | 181               | RT-qPCR for detection of Mx1                |
| CIV     | F: TGATCTCTTGCATTGGACG  
R: CACTCTGCTGAGGCGGATAC | 159               | RT-qPCR for detection of CIV                |
| IFN-α  | F: AAAACAGCTCTTGGCTCTTGG  
R: GATGTCAGGTAATCGG | 153               | RT-qPCR for detection of IFN-α              |
| GAPDH  | F: CAGCTACACCTCTCCACGAGCG  
R: AGATGATGAGGACCCCTCTGTGCT | 146               | RT-qPCR for detection of GAPDH              |
| calIFITM1 | F: ACAAGACAAAGACATCCCAA  
R: CGAGAGCAGGAGCTGTGCT | 479               | Amplification of calIFITM1                 |
| calIFITM2a | F: CCTGTGCTCTCCCCTCTGGAAGA  
R: GTCGTCAGGACGGGACAGG | 480               | Amplification of calIFITM2a                |
| calIFITM2b | F: TGGCCACACCTGACATCTT  
R: GGCGGCCAGGCGGCTCT | 441               | Amplification of calIFITM2b                |
| calIFITM3 | F: GCACCTGCTCCACGATGACCC  
R: AGAGCTCTCGGCGGCGACTA | 472               | Amplification of calIFITM3                 |
| calIFITM5 | F: ATGGACACCGGGGCAAGGACAGG  
R: CTGGAGTCAGGGTTGGAGGCA | 527               | Amplification of calIFITM5                 |

*Forward; *Reverse.
Confoocal Microscopy and Indirect Immunofluorescence

A549 cells were seeded on glass-bottomed coverslips (NEST) and were transiently transfected with IFITM expression constructs. At 24 h after transfection, the cells were fixed with 4% (vol/vol) paraformaldehyde-phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and were then permeabilized and blocked with QuickBlock Blocking Buffer for Immunol Staining (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). The cell samples were incubated with anti-Flag monoclonal antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) to detect IFITM protein or antilyssome-associated membrane glycoprotein 1 (LAMP1) antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) to detect endosomes, followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat antirabbit polyclonal antibody (Abcam) or Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat antimouse polyclonal antibody (Abcam). The nuclear DNA was labeled with Fade-4 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) solution (Beyotime). The cells were finally visualized with a Leica DM-IRE2 confocal microscope.

Virus Infection

A549 cells stably expressing IFITMs in 24-well plates were infected with H3N2 (GD/2014) and H5N1 (GD/2013) CIV strains at 0.1 and 1 MOI, cultured in DMEM. At 24 hpi, cell culture was harvested. Viral RNA was extracted and the expression level of viral M gene was determined by RT-qPCR using specific primer (Table 1).

Statistical Analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate. Statistical significance was determined using the conventional Student’s t-test and calculated by GraphPad Prism software 6. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01).

Ethics Statement

All procedures associated with the animal experiments were approved by the South China Agricultural University Experimental Animal Welfare Ethics Committee. All animal protocols were conducted in the negative pressure environment of the Experimental Animal Center of South China Agricultural University.

RESULTS

Identification and Revision of Previously Annotated calIFITMs

The previously reported human, mouse, swine, chicken, and duck IFITM gene loci were all flanked by two genes: B4GALNT4 and ATHL1 (4, 15). We searched the two genes in the Canis lupus familiaris genome (CanFam3.1) in the GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) and found both genes (GenBank accession no. and chromosome location: NC_006600.3: 25,520,155-25,531,377; NC_006600.3: 25,443,387-25,449,298) on chromosome 18 with an 8.8-kb sequence gap. Then, using genome synteny, we totally identified four previously annotated genes positioned between canine B4GALNT4 and ATHL1 genes by the web tool of NCBI Sequence Viewer 3.16.0 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/svviewer/): calIFITM1 (Gene ID, LOC606890; GenBank accession no. XM_843371.4), calIFITM1 like (LOC483396; XM_540515.6), calIFITM1 like (LOC475935; XM_533144.6), and calIFITM1 (LOC483397; XM_540516.3). Considering the CD225 domain is highly conserved among vertebrate IFITM proteins, we also performed a sequence similarity search against amino acid sequence of hulIFITM3 on chromosome 18 in CanFam3.1 using BLASTP analysis. The results hit the same proteins containing the CD225 domain encoded by the four previously annotated genes identified in the synteny analysis.

It was noted that IFITM5 is not annotated in CanFam3.1. However, IFITM5 (Gene ID, 112663547; GenBank accession no. XM_025452624.2) was determined in dingo (Canis lupus dingo) genome (UNSW_AlpineDingo_1.0), locating between ATHL1 and other dingo IFITM genes. Dingo IFITM5 contains two exons and one intron (Figure 1A). Alignments results between dingo IFITM5 and DNA sequences (between ATHL1 and calIFITM1 [LOC606890]) of chromosome 18 in CanFam3.1 demonstrated a same-exon sequence. However, gaps were found in the other exon sequence of IFITM5 in CanFam3.1 (Figure 1A). This indicated canine genome possibly contains an IFITM5 gene locus. Then, we performed a BLASTN analysis in the NCBI canine ESTs or TSA sequence database. The results indicated all the five putative calIFITM genes are expressed. Therefore, we have identified five putative calIFITM genes flanked by the genes B4GALNT4 and ATHL1 on chromosome 18. According to further analysis of calIFITM sequences, subcellular localization, and their antiviral function, we provisionally renamed previously annotated calIFITM locus in the NCBI database as follows: calIFITM1 (LOC483397) as calIFITM1, calIFITM1 like (LOC475935) as calIFITM2a, calIFITM1 like (LOC483396) as calIFITM2b, and calIFITM1 (LOC606890) as calIFITM3 (Figure 1B).

Sequence Analysis of calIFITMs

Primers targeting calIFITM genes were designed, and the corresponding fragments were amplified by RT-PCR from beagle lung samples. Gel electrophoresis results presented bands with expected sizes (Figure 2A). After sequencing and Blast analysis in the NCBI database, the results hit calIFITM1, calIFITM2a, calIFITM2b, calIFITM3, and calIFITM5, respectively. The raw sequence files of calIFITMs are provided in Supplementary Material 1. It was noted that calIFITM5 in beagles had a 100% nucleotide identity with IFITM5 gene in dingo genome. calIFITM1, calIFITM2a, calIFITM2b, and calIFITM3 had a 51.0%-94.2% amino acid identity between one another and 29.0%-42.3% amino acid identity with calIFITM5. The highest amino acid identity (94.2%) was observed between calIFITM2a and calIFITM2b, with a total of eight amino acid differences between their coding sequences.

Amino acid sequences of the five calIFITM proteins were aligned with their chicken, human, and mouse homologs (Figure 2B). Like IFITM3 in human, mouse and chicken, calIFITM3 has a ~20 amino acids longer N terminus compared...
with other calIFITMs. calIFITM5 has a longer C terminus, which is found in all the analyzed vertebrate IFITM sequences. Three domains of IM1, IM2, and CIL are conserved in the analyzed IFITMs, especially among the mammalian homologs. The endocytosis motif YXXΦ is present in all the analyzed mammalian IFITM2 and IFITM3 sequences, which is also determined in calIFITM3 sequence. However, this motif was not found in calIFITM2a and calIFITM2b sequences. Several amino acids important for the antiviral activity of huIFITMs are conserved among calIFITMs, including palmitoylation site C81, ubiquitination sites K92 and K97, and C114 that are associated with antiviral activity against influenza virus. Palmitoylation site C81 is conserved in mammalian IFITM sequences, but an amino acid substitution at this site (C→F) was found in calIFITM2a and calIFITM2b sequences. Ubiquitination site K92 is also conserved in mammalian IFITM sequences. However, an amino acid substitution at this site (K→R) was determined in calIFITM1, calIFITM2a, calIFITM2b, and calIFITM3 sequences.

Analyzed by the phylogenetic tree (Figure 2C), it was found that calIFITM1, calIFITM2a, calIFITM2b, and calIFITM3 grouped most closely to the mammalian IFITMs, compared with the avian IFITMs. calIFITM5 was clustered together with other vertebrate IFITM5 in a clade, and the other five calIFITMs were located in another clade. In addition, calIFITM3 was clustered in a subclade by itself and calIFITM1b, calIFITM2a, and calIFITM2b were clustered with each other in another subclade.

Expression Pattern of calIFITMs
To understand expression pattern of calIFITMs, we firstly determined tissue/cell-specific gene expression pattern of calIFITMs in seven different canine-derived tissues and MDCK cell line (Figures 3A, B). Tissue samples were collected from three beagles. Quantified by RT-qPCR, the expression level of calIFITM5 was high in each tissue. The lowest expression level of calIFITM was determined in calIFITM1 in brain. In addition, the expression level of calIFITMs was not constant between different tissues. RT-qPCR results showed most calIFITMs had an expression level of >10⁻²-fold of GAPDH, except mRNA expression of calIFITM1 and calIFITM2b in kidney, calIFITM1, calIFITM2a, calIFITM2b, and calIFITM3 in brain and heart, and calIFITM1 in lymph nodes and spleen. In MDCK, calIFITMs had an expression level of 10⁻⁴~10⁻²-fold of GAPDH. The expression levels of calIFITM2a, calIFITM3, and calIFITM5 in MDCK were equal to calIFITM1, and the expression level of calIFITM2b was significantly higher than calIFITM1 (p < 0.01).

Then, we estimated the expression pattern of calIFITMs in vitro when MDCK cells were treated with recombinant human interferon-α (IFN-α, 10,000 U) (Figure 3C). As a control, addition of interferon-α caused a near 400-fold increase in Mx1 expression in MDCK. The expression of all calIFITMs was upregulated (p < 0.01). However, it was observed that IFN-α induced the highest upregulation of about 2,500-fold of calIFITM2a expression and only caused about 1.5-fold of calIFITM1 expression.

Next, we investigated the expression of calIFITMs in vitro when MDCK cells were infected with H3N2 CIV (GD/2014, 0.1 MOI)
and H5N1 CIV (GD/2013, 0.1 MOI) (Figures 3D, E). At 24 hpi, H3N2 CIV caused an increase in expression of caIFITM2a (1.5-fold, \( p < 0.05 \)), caIFITM2b (3-fold, \( p < 0.01 \)), and caIFITM3 (3-fold, \( p < 0.01 \)). In addition, H5N1 CIV infection caused an increase in expression of caIFITM2a (2-fold, \( p < 0.05 \)) and caIFITM3 (9-fold, \( p < 0.01 \)). We then tested mRNA expression of canine IFN-\( \alpha \) in MDCK cells infected with CIV (Figure 3F). It was found that both H3N2 CIV and H5N1 CIV could induce an increase of IFN-\( \alpha \) mRNA expression level compared with untreated cells (\( p < 0.01 \)). However, statistical analysis showed that there was no significant difference in IFN-\( \alpha \) mRNA expression level between H3N2 CIV and H5N1 CIV infection groups (\( p > 0.05 \)).

**Expression Pattern of caIFITMs in Animal Experiments**

Previous animal challenge studies demonstrated the expression level of certain host IFITM was upregulated after influenza virus infection. To determine change of caIFITM expression pattern in host response to infection with CIV, we challenged beagles with one H3N2 strain (GD/2018) (Figure 4). In the control group, beagles were treated with PBS. At 1 dpi, lung samples were collected from each animal and their caIFITM expression levels were examined using RT-qPCR. CIV infection caused 46-fold upregulation of Mx1 mRNA. caIFITM1 was highly up-regulated by 20-fold at 1 dpi. The expression of caIFITM2a, caIFITM2b, and dIFITM3 was modestly upregulated by 4-fold, 1.5-fold, and 5-fold respectively. No upregulation of caIFITM5 was observed in lungs.

**Subcellular Localization of caIFITMs**

To understand subcellular localization of caIFITMs, A549 cells were transiently transfected with three huIFITM and five caIFITM constructs with an N-terminal Flag tag (Figure 5). It was observed that huIFITM1 localized predominantly to cell surface, and huIFITM2 localized in cell surface and cytoplasm, whereas huIFITM3 was present perinuclearily. Confocal microscopy revealed that only huIFITM3 was colocalized with late endosomal marker LAMP1. caIFITMs localized to distinct cellular compartments. It was found caIFITM1 localized in cell surface, and caIFITM2a and caIFITM2b localized in cell surface.
and cytoplasm, whereas caIFITM3 was expressed perinuclearly. It was noted caIFITM5 localized in cell surface and cytoplasm and also in cell nucleus. Consistent with previous reports of mammalian and avian IFITM3 localization, caIFITM3 had colocalization with late endocytic compartments containing LAMP1, which was not observed with the other four caIFITMs.

Antiviral Activity of caIFITMs

A549 cells express low basal levels of endogenous IFITM and have been used to establish cell lines stably expressing IFITM to assess the impact of IFITM on influenza virus infection in vitro (1, 2). To estimate antiviral activity of caIFITMs, we tried to establish A549 cell lines continuously expressing Flag-tagged IFITMs using lentivirus infection method and the limiting dilution assay (Figure 6A). In addition, one control cell line generated by infection with lentivirus vector stocks produced by pMD.2G, psPAX2, and one empty pWPI-EGFP plasmid. Finally, we generated a total of six clonal A549 cell populations, including one control cell line and five caIFITM-expressing cell lines. Each of the clones was confirmed to express green fluorescence during the screening process, which was subcloned until >99% of cells were positive for expression. The expression of IFITMs in the
established cell lines was confirmed using the indirect immunofluorescence method with anti-Flag monoclonal antibody (Figure 6B).

These six A549 cell lines were infected with H3N2 and H5N1 CIV at an MOI of 0.1 and 1 (Figure 7). Overexpression of caIFITM2a, caIFITM2b, and caIFITM3 resulted in 26%–78% reduction of H3N2 CIV viral replication. Whereas, overexpression of caIFITM1, caIFITM2a, caIFITM2b, and caIFITM3 reduced H5N1 CIV production by 43%–84%. caIFITM2a and caIFITM1 displayed the most potent antiviral activity against H3N2 and H5N1 CIV, respectively. However, caIFITM1 had no antiviral activity against H3N2 CIV in the present study.

**DISCUSSION**

Influenza virus is a major pathogen threatening the human and animal health. Its replication could be restricted by many cellular proteins, including several ISGs, such as myxovirus resistance A (MxA) (18), plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1) (19), bone marrow stromal cell antigen 2 (BST-2) (20), radical S-adenosyl methionine domain containing 2 (Viperin) (21), tripartite motif containing 22 (Trim22) (22), tripartite motif containing 25 (Trim25) (23), moloney leukemia virus 10 (Mov10) (24), and IFITM, among others. However, no systematic studies have been reported on the restriction function of canine ISGs on CIV replication. In this study, we identified the gene locus of caIFITMs and investigated their expression patterns and antiviral activities against CIV.

IFITM is classified in a large family of transmembrane proteins: Dispanins (25). IFITM homologs have been determined in vertebrate and invertebrate animals, and even prokaryotes (25–27). An evolitional analysis suggested that the origin of vertebrate IFITMs might be from a horizontal gene transfer from ancient bacteria species (25). The common characteristic of the IFITM homologs is that they all contain two-transmembrane helices structure and a highly conserved CD225 domain. In this study, we used huIFITM3 as the inquired protein, and successfully obtained five caIFITM genes flanked by B4GALNT4 and ATHL1 genes in canine genome database. A further analysis on their protein sequence also indicated they all contained TM1, TM2, and CD225 domain.

The vertebrate IFITM gene family is classified into three clades: clade I, II, and III. Clades II and III are composed of IFITM5 and IFITM10, respectively, while clade I contains other IFITMs (26). IFITMs in clade I are also named as immunity-related IFITM, because they could be induced by IFN and are associated with host immunity response (26). Our study showed that caIFITM1, caIFITM2a, caIFITM2b, and caIFITM3 were clustered together and caIFITM5 was clustered in another clade with other vertebrate IFITM5 genes. As expected, the expression of caIFITM1, caIFITM2a, caIFITM2b, and caIFITM3 was induced by IFN and/or viral infection. Interestingly, the expression level of caIFITM5 also increased after IFN treatment, yet caIFITM5 could not inhibit replication of CIV. It has been reported that swine IFITM5 had inhibition activity against swine influenza virus and human influenza virus (14). The potential inhibition activity of caIFITMs against...
FIGURE 5 | Subcellular localization of calIFITMs. A549 cells were transfected with Flag-tagged IFITM expression construct. After 24 h, the cells were stained with an anti-FLAG antibody (green) and an anti-LAMP1 antibody (red), and nucleus were stained with DAPI (blue). Finally, the cells were analyzed by a confocal microscopy.
influenza virus derived from humans and other animals remains to be investigated. The vertebrate IFITM underwent species-specific gene duplication during evolution (26). The genome of most vertebrates encodes three or more IFITMs (4, 14, 15). In a previous study, three canine IFITMs were predicted on chromosome 18 (26). Using multiple bioinformatics methods, we determined five caIFITM loci on chromosome 18 of the canine genome. IFITMs share high genetic similarity but could be distinguished from one another according to the characteristics of their protein sequence, subcellular localization, and antiviral activity: caIFITM5 was distinct from other caIFITMs in the protein sequence difference and phylogenetic clustering. IFITM3 possesses the endocytosis motif YXXΦ and generally has stronger colocalization with endocytic compartments containing LAMP1, which was also determined in caIFITM3. Notably, both caIFITM2a and caIFITM2b lack the endocytosis motif YXXΦ and are different from other vertebrate IFITM2 sequences. However, caIFITM1 was present at the cell surface and caIFITM2a and caIFITM2b localized in cell surface and cytoplasm, which could distinguish them from other caIFITMs.

It was noted that the fold changes of the mRNA abundance of caIFITM1 and caIFITM2a in some CIV-challenged beagles are similar to control (Figure 4). It may be caused by individual variations in beagles, such as distribution of influenza virus receptors in respiratory tract and basal level of innate-immunity-related genes, which could result in different virus replication level and mRNA abundance of caIFITMs in challenged individuals.

Until now, all the tested IFITMs in animal species have been determined having antiviral activity. In addition, it has been demonstrated that even mycobacterial IFITMs conferred resistance to influenza virus infection when transfected into 293T cells (27). Consistent with previous studies, caIFITMs were able to inhibit influenza virus infection. However, it was noted that caIFITM1 potently restricted replication of H5N1 CIV, but H5N1 CIV infection did not induce significant levels of caIFITM1 (Figure 3E). It is possible that H5N1 CIV inhibited the upregulation of caIFITM1 using certain antiviral mechanism to facilitate viral replication in host cells.

Our study determined the gene locus, expression pattern, and antiviral activity of caIFITM for the first time. A total of five caIFITM genes were acquired. Their expression level increased...
after induced by interferon or virus infection. Among them, caIFITM1, caIFITM2a, caIFITM2b, and caIFITM3 potently restricted the replication of CIV. In addition to influenza viruses, canines could infect a wide range of viral pathogens, such as canine parvovirus, canine distemper virus, canine coronavirus, and canine adenovirus. Further research is needed to understand the possible antiviral function and molecular mechanism of caIFITM against these viruses. Our study enriches the knowledge on caIFITM as one of the important canine ISGs.
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