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Abstract

**Background:** Depression among elderly individuals is related to physical illness, functional status, prolonged treatment and other factors. Depression is not effectively treated with medication but can be alleviated by treating the physical illness and improving functional status. Therefore, this study aims to determine the relationship between functional status and depression in elderly individuals in Indonesia.

**Methods:** The data used were obtained from a national survey dataset, namely, the Basic Health Research and Socio-Economic Survey in 2018, which was carried out in 34 provinces and 514 districts or cities. The total number of respondents was 93,829, aged $\geq$ 60 years. Functional status and depression were assessed using the Barthel index and MINI (Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview), respectively. Furthermore, data processing was carried out with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26 program and analyzed using the chi-square test and multiple logistic regression with the complex sample method.

**Results:** Elderly individuals with severe dependence were at the greatest risk of becoming depressed compared to those without functional impairment or with independence after considering sociodemographic factors and disease history have 5.730 (95% CI= 4.302-7.632) the adj odds. Furthermore, this figure was higher than that of individuals with total dependence have 4.147 (95% CI=3.231-5.231) the adj odds. The physical illness experienced also played a role in the risk for depression, which was higher among elderly individuals with stroke and a history of injury. The sociodemographic factors involved include low education and economic levels. In contrast, the area of residence and marital status had no statistically significant effect on the relationship between functional disorders and depression.

**Conclusions:** Elderly individuals with decreased functional status are prone to depression. The highest probability for depression was observed among those with severe dependence compared to those with total dependence. Consequently, interventions that involve various sectors, including social and family support, are needed.

Background

Indonesia is experiencing a transition towards an aging population due to the fact that the percentage of individuals over 60 years of age has reached over 7% of the total population. This condition makes the country appear to have an old population structure that has an increasing dependency ratio on the younger generation [1]. As an individual ages, health problems and diminished functional status arise, including cardiovascular diseases such as stroke, diabetes, joint disease, chronic lung disease and injuries [2]. In contrast to that in young individuals, depression in elderly individuals is associated with physical illness, functional status and prolonged care [3, 4].

Various studies have been carried out on the functional status and mental condition of elderly groups. Functional status is generally assessed through activities of daily living, and one of the mental conditions associated with this parameter is depression. This is because the mental condition of elderly individuals is closely related to low functional status [5]. Furthermore, deterioration in functional status puts individuals at risk for falls and other forms of injury [6, 7].

The prevalence of depression among elderly people varies, generally ranging from 7% to 30% [8-10]. However, it is higher in females, while for males, depression is strongly influenced by functional status, reduced mobility and ability to move at home [9, 10]. Furthermore, the prevalence of this condition is much higher when there are comorbid physical illnesses, especially chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and drug treatment is generally ineffective and has low adherence [11].

In Indonesia, data regarding the functional status and depression of elderly individuals are available and were obtained from the 2018 National Health Survey (NHS), which also contains data on chronic diseases. The annual studies that
have been carried out for 5 years provided information on the health status of the population and risk factors for common diseases. Among the age groups of 55-64, 65-74 and ≥ 75 years, the prevalence of depression was 65%, 8.5% and 8.9%, respectively, while for the age groups of 60-69, 70-79 and ≥ 80 years, the prevalence of total dependence was 1.07%, 1.86% and 4.49%, respectively [12]. Although data on functional status and depression in elderly individuals are available, an in-depth assessment has yet to be carried out. Therefore, this study aims to determine the effect of functional status on depression among elderly individuals in Indonesia by assessing characteristic variables and history of disease such as diabetes mellitus, heart disease, stroke, hypertension, rheumatism/joint disorders and injuries.

Methods

Design and Data Source

The data used were obtained from the NHS and National Socio-Economic Survey (Susenas) in 2018, which are national surveys routinely carried out by the Ministry of Health (National Institute of Health Research and Development) and Central Bureau of Statistics [12]. Furthermore, samples with national representation, even at the district/city level, were used. These two surveys were carried out in all provinces in Indonesia (34 provinces), with approximately 300,000 selected households spread across 514 districts/cities.

The initial sample comprised 97,407 respondents, but after the selection process was carried out according to the study criteria, the sample size was 93,829. The inclusion criteria included respondents ≥ 60 years of age, and answers personally provided not by a representative but by the closest individual in the house. The exclusion criterion was incomplete answers to one of the assessment instruments.

Instrument

Depression was assessed according to a section in the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) version 6. This version refers to the International Classification of Diseases, tenth revision (ICD-10), which is still used in the disease coding system in Indonesia. Furthermore, the questionnaire used was developed in 1997 [13]. The MINI is a recognized instrument for assessing depression. Before being applied to the NHS 2018, an assessment of its validity and reliability was carried out, and good results were obtained [14]. Functional status was assessed by using the Barthel index, which is a measurement tool developed by Mahoney and Barthel [15] in 1965. This tool is widely used to assess the functional status of patients after stroke, colorectal cancer, fractures and several other medical and even social conditions in nursing homes and the general population [16-23]. A total of 10 questions were used to assess the respondents’ ability to perform their daily activities, including determining how much care and assistance was needed, and this assessment was carried out over a month. The criteria used included a score >/= 20 for the independent category, a score of 12-19 for the mild dependence category, a score of 9-12 for the moderate dependency category, a score of 5-8 for the severe dependence category and a score of 0-4 for the total dependence category. This stratification is based on the modified Barthel index [24]. Barthel index has been assessed for its validity and reliability in Indonesia [25].

Data Analysis

The age variable was grouped into 5-year intervals, while the other variables included sex (male or female), activity (not working or working/retired), marital status (married, divorced or unmarried), education (graduated from diploma1/diploma2/diploma3/higher education, no school, did not complete elementary school, graduated from junior high school or graduated from senior high school), residence (rural or urban) and economic status (upper, upper-middle, middle, lower-middle or low class). Furthermore, all disease histories were considered a nominal variable type (yes or no), which included diabetes mellitus (DM), heart disease, stroke, hypertension, joint disorders/rheumatism, and injury.
The prevalence of depression was calculated by considering the weighted value of the population and analyzed using the SPSS 24 (IBM SPSS Statistics) tool with a complex sample method. Furthermore, the relationship between the main independent variable, namely, functional disorders, was assessed by using the Barthel index, and the depression outcome variable was assessed by using the chi-square test. The Barthel index was an ordinal variable, while depression was a nominal variable (yes or no). In addition, the relationships of other independent variables to depression in the early stages were also assessed using the chi-square test. Moreover, the relationships between the depression variable and other independent variables were assessed using multiple logistic regression analysis. In the final stage, an assessment of the interactions and/or confounders between the main and independent variables was carried out.

A provincial map of Indonesia is displayed to show the provinces that have a high incidence of elderly individuals with severe dependency using the spmap command from STATA15 version (Stata Corp LLC).

**Results**

Of the 93,829 respondents, 7,491 or 7.7% (95% CI= 7.4-8.0) experienced depression, including 2,829 males and 4,662 females (two-thirds). As shown in Table 1, the marital status of the depressed respondents included over 4,153 married, 3,218 divorced (former spouses alive or dead) and 120 not married. The youngest respondent was 60 years old, and the average age was 68.48 years. Regarding residence area, the proportion of individuals residing in urban and rural areas was almost equal.

In terms of age range, the older the respondents were, the greater the proportion with depression. The highest proportion was within the age range of 90 years and above (12%). Regarding their activities, the proportion of individuals not working was greater than that of individuals working (Table 1). Moreover, the elderly individuals who were divorced, with their former spouses either alive or dead, comprised the largest proportion of depressed individuals compared to those still married to their spouse (husband/wife) or not married.

The proportion of elderly individuals who experience depression may increase with lower levels of education completed. It was discovered that depression is most common among those with no educational qualifications (8.6%). Regarding socioeconomic status, elderly individuals with a lower socioeconomic status comprised the largest proportion (8.8%) of depressed individuals compared to those with a higher socioeconomic status.

Table 2 shows that the proportion of elderly individuals with an independent functional status comprised the smallest proportion of depressed (5.5%) individuals compared to those with functional disorders. In terms of functional disorders, elderly individuals with severe dependence represented the highest proportion of depression (36.3%). Furthermore, those experiencing chronic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, heart disease, stroke, hypertension, joint disorders/rheumatism and injuries comprised a greater proportion of patients with depression than those not experiencing such diseases.

Bivariate analysis with depression as the dependent variable, dependency as the independent variable and all covariates was carried out. The results show that all covariates were eligible for analysis in the multivariate stage because they were significant with p values <0.25. In multivariate analysis, two covariates were not significant (p value >0.05); therefore, they were excluded from the analysis. The final results are shown in Table 3.

In the multivariate analysis, it was observed that elderly individuals with severe dependence were more likely to be depressed than those without functional/independent disorders after adjusting for sociodemographic factors and disease history. Additionally, the area of residence and marital status did not have a statistically significant effect on the relationship between functional disorders and depression.

This result was then analyzed for confounding variables, but in fact, none of the variables were not confounding factors.
Figure 1 shows the provinces with elderly people with severe dependence on a map of Indonesia consisting of 35 provinces. On the map, several provinces need attention from the local government because they have a high rate of elderly individuals with severe dependence. The provinces in the darkest red color are North Sumatra, Riau, Jambi, West Kalimantan, North Sulawesi, Central Sulawesi, South Sulawesi, North Maluku and Maluku.

**Discussion**

The results of this study show that severe functional status has the greatest influence on depression at old age, with the risk being higher for such individuals than for those experiencing total dependence. In general, elderly individuals with total dependence have individuals assisting them in their daily activities; therefore, they are able to cope with the condition better. Heavy and total dependence is a predictor of psychological problems and quality of life. This is because, in Indonesia, the most important role in determining quality of life is mobility [26]. Therefore, impaired functional status is more of a problem than pain for Indonesians.

Education, socioeconomic level and comorbidities of physical illness affect depression in elderly individuals, but not as much as functional status. Furthermore, sociodemographic factors play a role in certain conditions, for example, falls among the elderly population in Indonesia [27]. Other factors associated with depression among elderly individuals include dementia and marital status [28]. The respondents of this study were elderly individuals living in their homes, generally with their families, but the problem of barriers in the form of poor functional status still needs to be considered, and solutions are needed. One area of importance to elderly individuals in Indonesia is social engagement. This is because they still contribute to the environment around them, for example, by participating in social and religious activities [29]. However, to be able to participate socially in such an environment, sufficient mobility is required, which is an obstacle to those with low functional status. Although the majority of elderly individuals in Indonesia live with their families, with an increasing burden of disease, an idea arises that nursing homes appropriate for the size of Indonesian society are needed. Consequently, several studies have developed an assessment of nursing homes that are expected to suit the wishes of elderly individuals in Indonesia [30, 31].

Although functional status assessments with the Barthel index are widely used in the study of elderly individuals, it is not necessarily appropriate for the individuals to have dementia-related depression [32-34]. Therefore, it is important to modify the measurement according to the Indonesian context, as in other countries [35-37]. Barthel index validity test in Indonesia have been carried out, but there is not much information regarding this area [25].

In addition, the findings of the study indicate that elderly individuals with physical illnesses have a higher risk of depression than those without such illnesses. Among such diseases, the greatest influence is from stroke and history of injury in the last year, although diabetes, hypertension and joint diseases cannot be ignored. This is because depression occurring comorbid with these physical illnesses will cause a greater burden.

Interventions designed for elderly individuals should implement a multisectoral and comprehensive approach [38]. In Indonesia, an integrated service post for elderly individuals would be suitable. This is because the health program is generally aimed at noncommunicable diseases such as diabetes, stroke, heart disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and hypertension, but pain and depression management need to be added [38].

In this study, there was no difference in the proportion of depression between urban and rural areas; however, the place of residency should be distinguished for interventions. For example, in urban areas, social support is needed for elderly individuals, while in rural areas, the most important factor is family support [39]. Furthermore, the results of this study were in line with previous findings, which showed that healthy elderly individuals need social capital, such as good mobility, independence (free from dependence) and good mental health [40].
Attention should be provided to elderly individuals with severe dependence since they are not completely disabled but need help to improve their lives physically and psychologically. The government in provinces with a high rate of severe dependence (Figure 1) should make plans to control this condition. This is necessary because depression will disappear when dependence is minimized and physical illness is treated [4].

This is a national study with a large number of respondents covering all areas, including rural and urban areas; therefore, it has good representation. The limitation was that only elderly individuals residing at home were assessed, and those living in nursing homes and those currently in hospitals were not included.

**Conclusions**

From the results obtained, it was concluded that elderly individuals with impaired functional status experiencing dependence are prone to depression. This affinity is highest among those with severe dependence. Furthermore, the physical illness experienced plays a role in the risk of depression, which is higher in individuals with stroke or a history of injury. In addition, sociodemographic factors that play a role include low education and economic levels.

Further studies are needed on elderly individuals with severe dependence to ascertain the need for other individuals to assist with their daily activities, treat illnesses, and provide social support. Indonesia already has a special integrated service post for elderly individuals that is designed as a vehicle for elderly groups from social, health and other aspects. Furthermore, there is an integrated guidance post (Posbindu) that is more specific to carrying out health checks, especially assessing the risk of noncommunicable diseases. Both posts are easy to access, although the program needs to be improved in terms of both quality and activity [41].

**Abbreviations**

ICD10: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th revision; NHS: National Health Survey; NIHRD: National Institute of Health Research and Development; MINI: Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview; OR: Odds ratio.

**Declarations**

**Acknowledgements**

The authors thank the Director General of the National Institute of Health Research and Development (NIHRD) for granting access to the data. The authors are also grateful for the data management team for providing the data set.

**Funding**

This study used national survey data funded by the Indonesian government.

**Availability of data and materials**

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to ethical reasons but are available upon request to the Director of the NIHRD.

**Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate**

Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Commission of the NIHRD of Ministry of Health number LB 02.01/3/KE024/2018. All methods were carried out in accordance with Helsinki Declaration. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the interview.
**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Consent for publication**

Not Available

**Authors’ contribution**

SI proposed the data and drafted the manuscript. LI prepared and analyzed the data.

**Authors’ information**

National Institute of Health Research and Development, Ministry of Health of Republic of Indonesia. Jalan Percetakan Negara 29 Jakarta 10560 Indonesia.

**References**

1. Indonesia Statistic Board. Statistic of older. 2019. https://www.bps.go.id/publication.html?Publikasi%5BtahunJudul%5D. Accessed 30 Oct 2020.
2. Casey DA. Depression in older adults: a treatable medical condition. Phys Assist Clin. 2018;3:531-42.
3. Huang HT, Chuang YH, Hsueh YH, Lin PC, Lee BO, Chen CH. Depression in older residents with stroke living in long-term care facilities. J Nurs Res. 2014;22:111-8.
4. Weng CF, Lin KP, Lu FP, Chen JH, Wen CJ, Peng JH, et al. Effects of depression, dementia and delirium on activities of daily living in elderly patients after discharge. BMC Geriatr. 2019;19:261.
5. Marventano S, Ayala A, Gonzalez N, Rodríguez-Blázquez C, Garcia-Gutierrez S, Forjaz MJ. Multimorbidity and functional status in community-dwelling older adults. Eur J Intern Med. 2014;25:610-6.
6. Talarska D, Strugala M, Szewczyczak M, Tobis S, Michalak M, Wróblewska I, et al. Is independence of older adults safe considering the risk of falls? BMC Geriatr. 2017;17:66.
7. Almeida OP. Prevention of depression in older age. Maturitas. 2014;79:136-41.
8. Luppa M, Sikorski C, Luck T, Ehreke L, Konnopka A, Wiese B, et al. Age- and gender-specific prevalence of depression in late-life–systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord. 2012;136:212-21.
9. Forlani C, Morri M, Ferrari B, Dalmonte E, Menchetti M, De Ronchi D, et al. Prevalence and gender differences in late-life depression: a population-based study. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2014;22:370-80.
10. Barcelos-Ferreira R, Izbicki R, Steffens DC, Bottino CM. Depressive morbidity and gender in community-dwelling Brazilian elderly: systematic review and meta-analysis. Int Psychogeriatr. 2010;22:712-26.
11. Mitchell PB, Harvey SB. Depression and the older medical patient–when and how to intervene. Maturitas. 2014;79:153-9.
12. Ministry of Health of Republic of Indonesia. National report on riskesdas 2018. 2018. http://labdata.litbang.kemkes.go.id/images/download/laporan/RKD/2018/Laporan_Nasional_RKD2018_FINAL.pdf. Accessed 30 Oct 2020.
13. Sheehan D, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, et al. The mini International neuropsychiatric interview (M.I.N.I): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry. 1998;59:22-33.
14. Idaiani S, Mubasyiroh R, Isfandari S. Validity and reliability of depression, anxiety and psychosis questionnaire of mini International neuropsychiatric interview (MINI) in Indonesia. Asean J Psychiatry. 2020;21.

15. Mahoney FI, Barthel DW. Functional evaluation: the Barthel index. Md State Med J. 1965;14:61-5.

16. Volz M, Möbus J, Letsch C, Werheid K. The influence of early depressive symptoms, social support and decreasing self-efficacy on depression 6 months post-stroke. J Affect Disord. 2016;206:252-5.

17. Ohura T, Hase K, Nakajima Y, Nakayama T. Validity and reliability of a performance evaluation tool based on the modified Barthel index for stroke patients. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2017;17:131.

18. Hackert MQN, Exel JV, Brouwer WBF. Valid outcome measures in care for older people: comparing the ASCOT and the ICECAP-O. Value Health. 2017;20:936-44.

19. Solano JP, da Silva AG, Soares IA, Ashmawi HA, Vieira JE. Resilience and hope during advanced disease: a pilot study with metastatic colorectal cancer patients. BMC Palliat Care. 2016;15:70.

20. Karlsson Å, Lindelöf N, Olofsson B, Berggren M, Gustafson Y, Nordström P, et al. Effects of geriatric interdisciplinary home rehabilitation on independence in activities of daily living in older people with hip fracture: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2020;101:571-8.

21. Hopman-Rock M, van Hirtum H, de Vreede P, Freiberger E. Activities of daily living in older community-dwelling persons: a systematic review of psychometric properties of instruments. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2019;31:917-25.

22. Schöttke H, Gerke L, Düsing R, Möllmann A. Post-stroke depression and functional impairments – a 3-year prospective study. Compr Psychiatry. 2020;99:152171.

23. Hilari K, Northcott S, Roy P, Marshall J, Wiggins RD, Chataway J, et al. Psychological distress after stroke and aphasia: the first six months. Clin Rehabil. 2010;24:181-90.

24. Collin C, Wade DT, Davies S, Horne V. The Barthel ADL index: a reliability study. Int Disabil Stud. 1988;10:61-3.

25. Peltzer K. Prevalence and associated factors of frailty in community-dwelling older adults in Indonesia, 2014-2015. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;17:10.

26. Sjöberg L, Karlsson B, Atti AR, Skoog I, Fratiglioni L, Wang HX. Prevalence of depression: comparisons of different depression definitions in population-based samples of older adults. J Affect Disord. 2017;221:123-31.

27. Utomo A, McDonald P, Utomo I, Cahyadi N, Sparrow R. Social engagement and the elderly in rural Indonesia. Soc Sci Med. 2019;229:22-31.
35. Hong I, Lim Y, Han H, Hay CC, Woo HS. Application of the Korean version of the modified Barthel index: development of a keyform for use in clinical practice. Hong Kong J Occup Ther. 2017;29:39-46.

36. González N, Bilbao A, Forjaz MJ, Ayala A, Orive M, García-Gutierrez S, et al. Psychometric characteristics of the Spanish version of the Barthel index. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2018;30:489-97.

37. Nursiswati N, Halfens RJG, Lohrmann C. Change in care dependency of stroke patients: a longitudinal and multicenter study. Asian Nurs Res (Korean Soc Nurs Sci). 2017;11:113-8.

38. Ko KT, Yu SH, Lee CY, Tseng HY, Chiu YF, Hsiung CA. Moderating effect of depression on the association between pain and activities of daily living in older adults. Psychogeriatrics. 2018;18:379-87.

39. Liu D, Xi J, Hall BJ, Fu M, Zhang B, Guo J, et al. Attitudes toward aging, social support and depression among older adults: difference by urban and rural areas in China. J Affect Disord. 2020;274:85-92.

40. Cao J, Rammohan A. Social capital and healthy ageing in Indonesia. BMC Public Health. 2016;16:631.

41. Christiani Y, Byles JE, Tavener M, Dugdale P. Exploring the implementation of poslansia, Indonesia’s community-based health programme for older people. Australas J Ageing. 2016;35:E11-6.

**Tables**

**Table 1.** Sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents
| Characteristics       | % Depression | 95% CI     | p-value |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------|---------|
| **Age group (year)**  |              |            |         |
| 60-64                 | 6.8          | 6.4 – 7.2  | 0.001   |
| 65-69                 | 7.4          | 6.9 – 7.9  |         |
| 70-74                 | 9.0          | 8.2 – 9.7  |         |
| 75-79                 | 8.1          | 7.3 – 8.9  |         |
| 80-84                 | 9.5          | 8.4 – 10.8 |         |
| 85-89                 | 9.8          | 8.1 – 11.8 |         |
| 90+                   | 12.0         | 9.1 – 15.6 |         |
| **Sex**               |              |            |         |
| Male                  | 6.1          | 5.7 – 6.4  | 0.001   |
| Female                | 9.2          | 8.8 – 9.6  |         |
| **Occupation**        |              |            |         |
| Unemployed            | 10.1         | 9.6 – 10.5 | 0.001   |
| Employee/Retired      | 5.8          | 5.5 – 6.1  |         |
| **Marital Status**    |              |            |         |
| Married               | 6.8          | 6.5 – 7.2  | 0.001   |
| Divorce/widowed       | 9.2          | 8.7 – 9.7  |         |
| Unmarried             | 8.2          | 6.1 – 11.6 |         |
| **Education**         |              |            |         |
| Diploma/Bachelor/University | 3.5   | 2.7 – 4.4  | 0.001   |
| Senior high school    | 5.0          | 4.4 – 5.8  |         |
| Junior high school    | 6.5          | 5.7 – 7.4  |         |
| Elementary school     | 8.0          | 7.5 – 8.5  |         |
| Did not finish elementary school | 8.5 | 8.0 – 9.1 |         |
| No schooling          | 8.6          | 8.0 – 9.2  |         |
| **Residence**         |              |            |         |
| Rural                 | 7.9          | 7.5 – 8.2  | 0.217   |
| Urban                 | 7.5          | 7.1 – 8.0  |         |
| **Economic status**   |              |            |         |
| Quintile 1            | 5.9          | 5.3 – 6.6  | 0.001   |
| Quintile 2            | 6.9          | 6.3 – 7.7  |         |
| Quintile 3            | 7.8          | 7.1 – 8.6  |         |
| Quintile 4            | 7.5          | 6.9 – 8.2  |         |
Table 2. Association of depression with functional status & history of disease

| Functional Status:                             | % Depression | 95% CI     | p-value |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------|---------|
| - Dependent                                    | 5.5          | 5.3 - 5.8  | 0.001   |
| - Mild dependency                              | 12.8         | 12.0 - 13.5|         |
| - Moderate dependency                          | 27.2         | 23.4 - 31.4|         |
| - Severe dependency                            | 36.3         | 30.7 - 42.3|         |
| - Total dependency                             | 25.4         | 21.1 - 30.2|         |
| Diabetes mellitus                              |              |            |         |
| - Yes                                          | 12.3         | 11.1 - 13.8| 0.001   |
| - No                                           | 7.4          | 7.1 - 7.7  |         |
| Heart disease                                  |              |            |         |
| - Yes                                          | 12.0         | 10.5 - 13.5| 0.001   |
| - No                                           | 7.5          | 7.2 - 7.8  |         |
| Stroke                                         |              |            |         |
| - Yes                                          | 22.4         | 20.3 - 24.8| 0.001   |
| - No                                           | 7.1          | 6.8 - 7.4  |         |
| Rheumatoid/joint disease                       |              |            |         |
| - Yes                                          | 11.9         | 11.2 - 12.7| 0.001   |
| - No                                           | 6.8          | 6.5 - 7.0  |         |
| Hypertension                                   |              |            |         |
| - Yes                                          | 11.3         | 10.7 - 12.0| 0.001   |
| - No                                           | 6.7          | 6.4 - 7.0  |         |
| Injury (1 year)                                |              |            |         |
| - Yes                                          | 15.0         | 13.8 - 16.3| 0.001   |
| - No                                           | 7.0          | 6.8 - 7.3  |         |

Table 3. Odds Ratio for depression in elderly people with functional disorders
| Functional Status:                  | Adj OR depression | 95% CI      | p-value |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| Dependent                          | ref               |            |         |
| Mild dependency                    | 2.064             | 1.882-2.264| 0.090   |
| Moderate dependency                | 3.971             | 3.090-5.102| 0.794   |
| Severe dependency                  | 5.730             | 4.302-7.632| 0.001   |
| Total dependency                   | 4.147             | 3.231-5.321| 0.001   |
| Female                             | 1.206             | 1.105-1.316| 0.001   |
| Work/Retired                       | 1.287             | 1.176-1.408| 0.001   |
| Education                          |                   |            |         |
| University/college                 | ref               |            |         |
| No schooling                       | 2.041             | 1.461-2.858| 0.001   |
| Did not finish elementary school   | 2.330             | 1.678-3.235| 0.001   |
| Elementary school                  |                   |            |         |
| Junior high school                 | 2.207             | 1.595-3.056| 0.001   |
| Senior high school                 | 1.790             | 1.267-2.530| 0.001   |
|                                   |                   | 1.511      | 1.071-2.132| 0.019   |
| Economic status                    |                   |            |         |
| Quintile 5                         | ref               |            |         |
| Quintile 1                         | 1.485             | 1.282-1.720| 0.001   |
| Quintile 2                         | 1.251             | 1.066-1.468| 0.008   |
| Quintile 3                         | 1.318             | 1.114-1.558| 0.002   |
| Quintile 4                         | 1.139             | 0.966-1.344| 0.192   |
| Diabetes mellitus                  | 1.473             | 1.261-1.720| 0.001   |
| Heart disease                      | 1.364             | 1.151-1.616| 0.001   |
| Stroke                             | 1.898             | 1.608-2.239| 0.001   |
| Rheumatoid/joint disease           | 1.590             | 1.439-1.758| 0.001   |
| Hypertension                       | 1.285             | 1.143-1.386| 0.001   |
| Injury (1 year)                    | 1.941             | 1.727-2.182| 0.001   |

Figures
Figure 1

Severe dependency by province. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.