Dynamic Epidemiology and Virulence Characteristics of Carbapenem-Resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Wenzhou, China from 2003 to 2016
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**Purpose:** To investigate transitions in resistance mechanisms, virulence characteristics and molecular epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (CRKP) during 2003–2016 in a major Eastern Chinese medical center.

**Patients and Methods:** From a total of 2299 *K. pneumoniae* clinical strains collected from 2003 to 2016, 214 were found to be CRKP isolates and were selected for further study. Characterization of these was conducted by molecular detection of antibiotic resistance markers and virulence determinants, modified carbapenem inactivation method and multilocus sequence typing (MLST).

**Results:** In this study, the prevalence of CRKP was increasing over the 14-year period, mirroring a national trend. These CRKP strains were resistant to most of the tested, clinically relevant drugs. The majority of these CRKP strains were positive for carbapenemases, with the *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC) found to be the dominant type (207/210, 98.6%). The carrier rates of virulence genes *oge, entB, fimH, mrkD* and *ureA* increased in 2016, while the *ybtA, iucA* and *irp2* showed a relatively constant trend. From MLST data, ST11 (88.8%, 190/214) was the preponderant sequence type (ST), followed by ST15 (1.9%, 4/214) and ST656 (1.4%, 3/214). Several strains with less common STs (ST690, ST895, ST1823 and ST1384) were also detected, and these too showed high levels of antimicrobial resistance.

**Conclusion:** The average national rise in CRKP across China is mirrored in this in-depth analysis of a single hospital, while the prevalence of hypervirulent CRKP (such as ST15) was relatively low as of 2016. Continuous monitoring is necessary to keep track of CRKP and should include the prospect of newly emerging strains with less common STs and the prospect of detecting carbapenem-resistant, carbapenemase-negative *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.
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**Introduction**

*Klebsiella pneumoniae* is currently one of the important opportunistic pathogens in hospitals where it can cause numerous infections, including urinary tract infections, pneumonias, bacteremias, liver abscesses and surgical wound infections.¹,² From the first report (1997) and outbreaks (early 2000s) of carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* (CRKP), they have been detected as spreading worldwide.³,⁴ In 2005, the China Antimicrobial Surveillance Network (CHINET) was established to provide a nationwide monitoring of hospitals responsible for the treatment of a total of 960 million people (http://www.carss.cn/). From the report of CHINET, an upward trend in the appearance of CRKP was observed from 2.4% in 2005 to 13.4% in 2014⁵, which is of grave concern given that carbapenems were considered as the last-line...
antibiotics for treatment of infections caused by *K. pneumonia*. This increased detection of CRKP is being seen elsewhere in the world too.\(^1,2,6,7\)

The lineage of *K. pneumonia* shows carbapenem-resistance is by expression of the *K. pneumonia* carbapenemase (KPC),\(^8\) and plasmids that enable KPC-expression are spreading globally.\(^8,11\) Molecular epidemiological analyses show the emergence and dissemination of a dominant sequence type 11 (ST11) which is resistant to various antibiotics, especially to carbapenems.\(^6,10,12-15\) ST11 has been demonstrated as the predominant clone of KPC-producing *K. pneumonia* in Asia\(^13,16\) and now in Europe.\(^10\) However, another sequence type, ST15, is emerging as a new global threat because it is hypervirulent in addition to being multi-drug resistant.\(^10,17\) Hypervirulent isolates causing community-acquired infections were first reported in the 1980s in Taiwan.\(^18-21\) They have been shown to be highly invasive, in that they usually cause severe life-threatening infections which are associated with high morbidity and mortality in both hospital and community.\(^20,22,23\) Hypervirulence of *K. pneumonia* was associated with hypermucoviscosity and diagnostic virulence genes such as *rmpA* and *magA*.\(^24\) The emergence of hypervirulent lineages carrying antimicrobial resistance genes including carbapenemases is now being increasingly reported: with limited treatment measures and high mortality, they are considered a “super-bug”.\(^18-21\)

With reports of hypervirulent CRKP increasing rapidly in China,\(^25-29\) we sought to deep dive into the details of this emergence using microbiological characterization and patient metadata acquired in a single large hospital in Wenzhou, China from 2003 to 2016. In this study, we identified the resistance mechanism and virulence characteristics of 214 isolates of CRKP from amongst 2299 total isolates of *K. pneumonia* associated with infection, and the proportion of isolated CRKP was increasing and reaches up to 20% in the final year of the study (2016). Mirroring the international trends of this period, the vast majority of CRKP strains were found to encode the carbapenemase KPC (98.6%) and the dominant lineage of CRKP was ST11 (88.8%).

**Materials and Methods**

**Clinical Isolates and Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profiling**

The retrospective study was conducted at the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, a 3263-bed tertiary hospital serving as the largest health-care centers in the southern province of Zhejiang, China. From 2003 to 2016, a total of 2674 clinical *K. pneumoniae* isolates were collected from various clinical specimens. Bacterial identification and antimicrobial susceptibility test (ASTs) were performed through the VITEK\(^\text{®}\) automated microbiology analyzer (BioMerieux, Lyons, France). All the isolates were stored in frozen condition at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) with 30% glycerol. Any isolate of *K. pneumoniae* which was non-susceptible to at least one carbapenem was defined as CRKP.\(^30\) The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of carbapenem (imipenem, meropenem and ertapenem) of these CRKP was rechecked using the agar dilution method. *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 were used as controls for the antimicrobial testing.

**Clinical Data Collection**

Clinical data including age, gender, hospitalization, clinical diagnosis, antimicrobial therapy, invasive treatments and outcomes were extracted from Electronic Medical Records. Informed consent was obtained from the patients involved in the current study.

**Modified Carbapenem Inactivation Method (mCIM)**

The modified carbapenem inactivation method (mCIM) was performed based on the CLSI standard (CLSI, 2016) in order to identify the carbapenem producing among the isolates which were not susceptible to one or more carbapenems. A 1-μL loopful experimental isolates were emulsified in 2 mL Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB) and a 10-μg meropenem disk was added to each tube. After 4 h incubation at 37°C, remove the meropenem disk and place on the Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) plate with *E. coli* ATCC 25922 inoculation. The plate was incubated at 37°C for 18–24 h. *K. pneumoniae* Kp 1 (which was positive for *blaKPC-2*) and *E. coli* ATCC 25922 were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

**Detection of Carbapenem-Resistance Genes and Virulence Factors**

DNA was extracted from *K. pneumoniae* strains by the boiling method\(^31\) and used as the template in polymerase chain reactions (PCR). Screening made use of primers specific for the genes encoding carbapenemases (KPC, plasmid-encoded metallo-β-lactamase gene (IMP) and the New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase (NDM)), virulence genes (including *rmpA*, *rmpA2*, *iucA*, *irp2*, *magA*, *iroD*, *iroN*, *kfuBC*, *wcaG*, *alls*, *ybtA*, *ureA*, *uge*, *wabG*, *entB*, *fimH*
and mrkD)\textsuperscript{32–34} and capsular serotype-specific genes diagnostic for capsule types K1, K2, K5, K20, K54 and K57.\textsuperscript{35}

High levels of capsulation are considered representative of, and potentially contributing to, hypervirulence.\textsuperscript{36} The extent of capsulation can be diagnostically measured using the string test.\textsuperscript{36,37} Isolates with a viscous string >5 mm in the string test were considered positive for the hypermucoviscous phenotype as previously described.\textsuperscript{36}

**Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST)**

Oligonucleotides representing the primer sequences provided by Institute Pasteur MLST (https://bigdb.pasteur.fr/klebsiella/klebsiella.html) were used to amplify fragments of seven housekeeping genes (gapA, pgi, rpoB, mdh, phoE, infB and tonB). The sequences from these seven loci define the sequence type (ST) as documented in the MLST online database. Novel STs were submitted to the database for new designations. The classification of clonal complex (CC) was analyzed by eBURST v3 (http://eburst.mlst.net).\textsuperscript{38} The same clonal complex included at least four STs that share six identical alleles. STs which did not belong to any CC were defined as singletons.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed through the statistical package SPSS for Windows version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test were used for statistical analysis, \( p \)-value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant, and all tests were two-tailed.

**Results**

**The Collection Characterizations of the CRKP Isolates**

Over the course of 14 years (2003 to 2016), 2334 \textit{K. pneumoniae} isolates were collected at the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (Table 1). Of these, 214 isolates non-repetitive \textit{K. pneumoniae} were identified as carbapenem-resistant using a VITEK® automated microbiology analyzer. The major clinical specimens harboring CRKP were sputum (102/214, 47.7%), followed by blood (50/214, 23.4%), urine (22/214, 10.3%) and shunt fluid (11/214, 5.1%) (Figure 1A and C). The major wards of which isolates from retrieved were intensive care unit (ICU) (69/214, 32.2%), brain intensive care unit (BICU) (37/214, 17.3%), neurosurgery (19/214, 8.9%) and respiratory intensive care unit (RICU) (14/214, 6.5%), which covered 65.0% of these strains (Figure 1B and D).

| Year | Isolates of \textit{K. pneumoniae} | Isolates of CRKP | Resistance % |
|------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 2003 | 19                               | 0               | 0            |
| 2004 | 7                                | 0               | 0            |
| 2005 | 23                               | 0               | 0            |
| 2006 | 135                              | 0               | 0            |
| 2007 | 135                              | 1               | 0.7          |
| 2008 | 85                               | 0               | 0            |
| 2009 | 98                               | 1               | 1.0          |
| 2010 | 107                              | 0               | 0            |
| 2011 | 115                              | 3               | 2.6          |
| 2012 | 192                              | 0               | 0            |
| 2013 | 320                              | 21              | 6.6          |
| 2014 | 154                              | 6               | 3.9          |
| 2015 | 340                              | 61              | 17.9         |
| 2016 | 604                              | 121             | 20.0         |
| Total| 2334                             | 214             |              |

To gain a better understanding of the antimicrobial resistance and virulence characteristics we analyzed the 214 CRKP isolates further.

**Molecular Typing Based on MLST**

The 214 CRKP belonged to 18 different STs, including a novel ST2740 (Figure 2). The ST11 CRKP was emerging in 2011, and the proportion was increasing over time. Ultimately, ST11 became the predominant ST, accounting for 93.4% (113/121) of isolates in 2016. Over all CRKP, the main sequence type was ST11 (88.8%, 190/214), followed...
by ST15 (1.9%, 4/214), ST656 (1.4%, 3/214), ST23 (0.9%, 2/214) and ST 893 (0.9%, 2/214). Single isolates were also recovered in 13 other STs (Figure 2). Across all these types, ST11, ST690, ST895 and ST1384 belonged to the same CC (90.2%, 193/214) and the remaining 14 STs were separated into CC singletons by eBURST software. The proportion of ST11 increased constantly over time, from 66.7% (2011) to 93.3% (2016) (Figure 2), indicating its dominance. In contrast to this finding, only four ST15 CRKP were isolated, but since these all occurred in 2016, future monitoring is warranted to determine how this hypervirulent form of K. pneumoniae develops in the next few years.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profiles of CRKP Isolates

All the 214 CRKP strains (100%) were resistant to nearly all β-lactam antibiotics tested including aztreonam (ATM), ceftazidime (CAZ), ceftriaxone (CRO), piperacillin-tazobactam (TZP) and ampicillin-sulbactam (SAM) except for the fourth-generation cephalosporin cefepime (FEP) (Figure 3A). However, by 2013, even resistance against FEP was seen to increase to 91% (Figure 3B). A trend of increasing resistance was also observed for the fluoroquinolones ciprofloxacin (CIP) and levofloxacin (LVX), rising from 40% in 2007 to 98% in 2016, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT) reaching 60% in 2016. In 2014/2015 high resistance rates were observed for the gentamicin (GEN, 83% – 85%) and tobramycin (TOB, 83% – 89%), but these rates decreased to approximately 62% and 40% by 2016, respectively (Figure 3B and C).

The Major Carbapenem-Resistance Mechanism of CRKP

To identify the genetic mechanism of carbapenem resistance, all 214 CRKP isolates were analyzed for the presence of carbapenemase genes. Indeed, carbapenemase carriage was the main factor leading to resistance with 98.1% of the isolates (210/214) being carbapenemase-positive by PCR (209/214) or mCIM (1/214). Among these isolates, most carried \( \text{bla}_{\text{KPC-2}} \) (207/210, 98.6%) and among these isolates, two strains (ST15) isolated in 2016 harbored both \( \text{bla}_{\text{KPC-2}} \) and \( \text{bla}_{\text{IMP}} \) genes. Two isolates (ST2740, 2013 and ST15, 2016) only carried \( \text{bla}_{\text{IMP}} \).

Of the remaining five isolates, only one strain (F1384) was positive in mCIM, but negative for \( \text{bla}_{\text{KPC}}, \text{bla}_{\text{IMP}} \) and \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \), indicating the expression of an unidentified carbapenemase. The other four (F844, FK688, FK1934 and FK2820) were PCR-negative for the \( \text{bla} \) genes and were confirmed to be negative for carbapenemase expression using mCIM (data not shown), indicating alternative resistance mechanisms contribute to carbapenem resistance as well.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)  
**Figure 2** Clonal types of 214 CRKP in a hospital in Wenzhou, 2003–2016. For MLST-based categorization of these strains, the sequences of seven housekeeping genes (i.e., gapA, infB, mdh, pgi, phoE, rpoB, and tonB) were analyzed, and the ST pattern of each year was showed by colored band, which represented the difference in numbers. No CRKP were identified from 2003 to 2006.  
**Abbreviation:** ST, sequence type.
Virulence Factors and Clinical Characteristic Analysis

In order to explore the virulence characteristics of those CRKP and their dynamics over time, 50 CRKP isolated from blood specimens were selected. These patients were divided into two groups according to the clinical outcomes (Cured & Clinical improvement, Unhealed & In-hospital mortality). All the outcomes were defined based on clinical diagnosis and patient status before they discharged. The data for strains isolated from 2003 to 2015 were combined to provide a base-line because of the small annual number identified in these years. Seventeen virulence genes and K-serotype-specific alleles were identified by PCR and sequencing, and the carrier rates of virulence factors grouped by the differing clinical outcomes and years were documented (Table 2).

Among the 50 isolates, rmpA/A2 showed significant difference on different clinical outcomes ($P = 0.045$), and the carrier rates in the groups which patients were failed for treatment (10/28, 35.7%) were higher than the patients with improving quality of the care (2/22, 9.1%), indicating a negative impact of rmpA/A2 on treatment effect. The other virulence genes which usually were regarded as associated with hypervirulence such as iucA (encoding aerobactin synthetases), ybtA and irp2 (encoding yersiniabactin synthetases) were relatively constant across different groups. Unhealed & In-hospital mortality group exhibited the highest carrier rate of wabG (9/28, 75.0%), magA (3/28, 60.0%), kfuBC (4/28, 14.3%) and ureA (4/28, 14.3%), which may relate to the bacterial virulence. Some capsule types common in China, such as K5, K20, K54, K57, were not identified in this study (Table 2). The capsule types K1 and K2 which are associated with virulence were detected in some isolates. Among these isolates, the carrier rate of K1 was similar in two groups (4.5%: 3.6%), while more isolates from untreated patients were positive for K2 (3/28, 10.7%). Seven strains (14.0%) were positive for string test and presented a hypermucoviscous phenotype, but these were mostly associated with improving outcomes (4/22, 18.2%). All strains were found to be negative for several virulence-related genes such as alls and iroN (Table 2).

As documented in Table 3, kfuBC, entB, fimH and mrrK varied across different years ($P = 0.020, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000$, respectively). Among them, the 2007–2015 group showed a significant difference with the highest

Figure 3 Longitudinal analysis of 214 CRKP isolates. Time distribution of antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of CRKP isolates. The “non-sensitive rate” is calculated by dividing the amount of non-sensitive (i.e., includes resistant and intermediate) by the total isolates in each year. (A) The non-sensitive rate of ATM, CAZ, CRO, TZP and SAM, which maintain 100% resistance from 2003 to 2016. (B) The non-sensitive rate of CIP, FEP and LVX, which possessed an increasing trend during this period. (C) The non-sensitive rate of SXT, GEN and TOB, which show a dynamic change. Since few CRKP isolates were identified in 2007, 2009 and 2011, the clinical information of these were analyzed together.

Abbreviations: ATM, aztreonam; CAZ, ceftazidime; CIP, ciprofloxacin; CRO, ceftriaxone; FEP, cefepime; GEN, gentamicin; LVX, levofloxacin; TOB, tobramycin; TZP, piperacillin/tazobactam; SAM, ampicillin-sulbactam; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.
Table 2 The Carrier Rates of Virulence Factors in CRKP from Blood Samples Among Different Outcome Groups

| Virulence Factors | Cured & Clinical Improvement (n=22) | Unhealed & In-Hospital Mortality (n=28) | P value |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| rmpA              | 2 (9.1)                             | 10 (35.7)                             | 0.045   |
| rmpA2             | 2 (9.1)                             | 10 (35.7)                             | 0.045   |
| iucA              | 22 (100.0)                          | 27 (96.4)                             | 1.000   |
| irp2              | 22 (100.0)                          | 27 (96.4)                             | 1.000   |
| uge               | 2 (9.1)                             | 2 (7.1)                               | 1.000   |
| wabG              | 3 (25.0)                            | 9 (75.0)                              | 0.186   |
| magA              | 2 (9.1)                             | 3 (60.0)                              | 1.000   |
| iroD              | 2 (9.1)                             | 2 (7.1)                               | 1.000   |
| iroN              | 0                                   | 0                                     | -       |
| kfubC             | 1 (4.5)                             | 4 (14.3)                              | 0.368   |
| wcaG              | 1 (4.5)                             | 1 (3.6)                               | 0.878   |
| als               | 0                                   | 0                                     | -       |
| ybtA              | 11 (50.0)                           | 12 (42.9)                             | 0.776   |
| entB              | 19 (86.4)                           | 21 (75.0)                             | 0.480   |
| fimH              | 19 (86.4)                           | 21 (75.0)                             | 0.480   |
| mrkD              | 19 (86.4)                           | 21 (75.0)                             | 0.480   |
| ureA              | 1 (4.5)                             | 4 (14.3)                              | 0.368   |
| K1                | 1 (4.5)                             | 1 (3.6)                               | 1.000   |
| K2                | 1 (4.5)                             | 3 (10.7)                              | 0.621   |
| K5                | 0                                   | 0                                     | -       |
| K20               | 0                                   | 0                                     | -       |
| K54               | 0                                   | 0                                     | -       |
| K57               | 0                                   | 0                                     | -       |
| Hypermucoviscous phenotype | 4 (18.2) | 3 (10.7) | 0.684 |

Notes: Data presented as number (%). Bold fonts represent significant differences (P < 0.05).

carrier rates of all the genes mentioned above. The carrier rates of virulence genes uge (from 4.2% to 11.5%) and ureA (from 4.2% to 15.4%) increased in 2016. The prevalence of entB, fimH and mrkD increased in 2016 to reach 100%, ybtA (~44.0%), iucA (~97.9%), irp2 (~98.1%) were constant during this period. K1 and K2 remained a stable tendency among these years. The virulence genes which were associated with In-hospital mortality (wabG, magA, kfubBC and ureA) showed a dynamic change from 2007 to 2016, for example, wabG and ureA had a slight increasing trend while the magA and kfubBC diminished in 2016.

Discussion

The emergence of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) strains is a major burden for public health-care systems, with long-term surveillance studies identifying emerging high-risk strains of CRKP. Here, we analyzed a 14-year time-window for trends in resistance phenotypes, virulence factors and sequence profiles of CRKP isolates from a major hospital in Wenzhou. This study enabled an in-depth look at a single major hospital within the time-frame of the national surveillance averages gathered through CHINET.

In this hospital, CRKP was first isolated in 2007, and thereafter the proportion of CRKP among the total *K. pneumoniae* isolates increased from 0.7% (2007) to 20.0% in 2016. The dominant cause in carbapenem-resistance in this time period was via strains carrying carbapenemase resistance genes, as 98.6% of all CRKP were carbapenemase-positive. Similar trends are evident in surveillance studies in China and Europe, with a likely cause being selective pressure due to the widespread use of carbapenems. KPC-2 identified in 98.6% of CRKP strains in our study also represents the predominant carbapenemase in Asia. In our hospital, prescription of carbapenems (meropenem, imipenem and imipenem/cilastatin sodium) was introduced early in the twenty-first century and used as the last-line antibiotics in treatment for

Table 3 The Carrier Rates of Virulence Factors in CRKP from Blood Samples Isolated Across 2007 to 2016

| Virulence Factors | 2007–2015 (n=24) | 2016 (n=26) | P value |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|
| rmpA              | 8 (33.3)         | 4 (15.4)    | 0.190   |
| rmpA2             | 8 (33.3)         | 4 (15.4)    | 0.190   |
| iucA              | 23 (95.8)        | 26 (100.0)  | 0.480   |
| irp2              | 24 (100.0)       | 25 (96.2)   | 1.000   |
| uge               | 1 (4.2)          | 3 (11.5)    | 0.611   |
| wabG              | 3 (12.5)         | 9 (34.6)    | 0.100   |
| magA              | 3 (12.5)         | 2 (7.7)     | 0.661   |
| iroD              | 3 (12.5)         | 1 (3.8)     | 0.340   |
| iroN              | 0                 | 0           | -       |
| kfubBC            | 5 (20.8)         | 0           | 0.020   |
| wcaG              | 2 (8.3)          | 1 (3.8)     | 0.602   |
| als               | 0                 | 0           | -       |
| ybtA              | 11 (45.8)        | 11 (42.3)   | 1.000   |
| entB              | 14 (58.3)        | 26 (100.0)  | 0.000   |
| fimH              | 14 (58.3)        | 26 (100.0)  | 0.000   |
| mrkD              | 14 (58.3)        | 26 (100.0)  | 0.000   |
| ureA              | 1 (4.2)          | 4 (15.4)    | 0.351   |
| K1                | 1 (4.2)          | 1 (3.8)     | 1.000   |
| K2                | 2 (8.3)          | 2 (7.7)     | 1.000   |
| K5                | 0                 | 0           | -       |
| K20               | 0                 | 0           | -       |
| K54               | 0                 | 0           | -       |
| K57               | 0                 | 0           | -       |
| Hypermucoviscous phenotype | 5 (20.8) | 2 (7.7) | 0.239 |

Notes: Data are presented as number (%). Bold fonts represent significant differences (P < 0.05).
patients with impaired immune function. However, five strains were negative for the bla gene detection and only one strain was positive for the carbapenemase production via mCIM experiment, which means the other four CRKP (F844, FK688, FK1934 and FK2820) have other mechanisms for carbapenem resistance. The possible explanations are showed below: (i) there exist other carbapenemase genes such as other β-lactamase, AmpC enzyme or ESBLs; (ii) there were mutations in or loss of outer membrane porins (especially for the OmpK35 and OmpK36); or (iii) there exists the active drug efflux. Among these four strains, FK2820 lacked the OmpK36 porins in the previous study (data not published), which may cause the carbapenem resistance. And the relative detections of other stains could be performed in a further study.

Worryingly, all CRKP isolated in 2016 were resistant to all β-lactam and β-lactam/β-lactamase inhibitor combinations tested. In addition, increasing resistance rates were observed for fluoroquinolones and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT) in these strains, yielding multi-drug resistant strains that are increasingly difficult to treat. Similar effects have been reported previously. As for SXT, a fluctuant pattern was observed from 2013 to 2015, indicating strictly drug application strategy was performed during this period but the rate rebounded in 2016 according to the selective pressure in the clinic. On a positive note, the prevalence of resistance to aminoglycosides decreased, from around 85% in 2015 (84% for GEN and 86% for TOB) to less than 65% in 2016 (62% for GEN and 40% for TOB). This can potentially be explained by the adjustment of antibiotic usage strategies in infection treatment: in this hospital, clinical use of GEN/TOB was reduced due to the toxicity in the inner ear and kidney. There are insufficient data that are yet to establish a causal link, but the data are consistent with changes in drug-resistance profiles that show population structures can change towards sensitivity to existing antimicrobials, and that withdrawal of drug use may play a role in this.

The major ST within the CRKP isolates identified in our study was ST11 (93.4%), consistent with previous investigations showing that ST11 rose to be the predominant clone of CRKP in Asia. Of the remaining 17 ST, ST15 (FK 2836, FK 3006 and FK 3020) emerged in 2016 and is of growing concern given its characterization as both multidrug resistant and hypervirulent, which lead to the unfavorable prognosis of two patients and in-hospital mortality of one patient.

Previously, the phenotype “hypermucoviscous” was defined as a representation for hypervirulence in K. pneumoniae, which related to poor prognosis. Our study adds weight to the growing understanding that there is no simple relationship between the capsule phenotype and virulence: the hypermucoviscous strains identified in this study were predominantly associated with the “cured & improvement” group. The rmpA/A2 (responsible for upregulation of the synthesis of capsular polysaccharide), consistent with the emergence of the isolates with hypermucoviscous type, suggesting these CRKP got more capsule later. The isolates from patients who failed the treatment seem to have higher carrier rates of rmpA/A2 (P = 0.045) compared to the clinical improving group. These two genes were regarded as one of the most important virulence factors due to the activation of eps transcription, which were consistent with the results of our study. K1 and K2 have a strong association with hypervirulence of K. pneumoniae, and genes associated with these serotypes were prevalent in the “Unhealed & In-hospital mortality” group of isolates, but so too were other genes designated as promoting virulence, including iron acquisition relative genes (iucA, irp2, iroD, kfuBC), capsule production genes (wabG, magA) and ureA (nitrogen source in host). When analyzed across the 14-year time-window, virulence profiles were seen to change. Although the carrier rates of some virulence genes such as irp2, magA, iroD, ybtA, wcaG and kfuBC illustrated slight decrease, other genes such as entB, fimH and mdr showed an obvious increased trend from 58.3% (14/24) to 100% (26/26) in 2016, suggesting the possible virulence enhancement among CRKP. Interestingly, the carrier rates of siderophore genes such as iucA (~97.9%) and irp2 (~98.1%) among all these strains were relatively high. These genes were usually regarded as the markers of hypervirulence, but our data showed that these virulence genes presented in very early year in the same strains, which hinted the possibly wrong markers we used to identify the hypervirulent K. pneumoniae in the clinical and better gene markers should be discussed for monitoring the clinical outcomes in the further study.

What’s more, due to the iro, iuc and rmpA/A2 loci were usually found to exist on virulence plasmid, the relatively stable carrier rates of these genes (rmpA/A2, iucA, iroN) in our study over time indicated the potential transmission of virulence plasmid like pLVPK, which might need be focused on in the further study.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the resistance mechanism, virulence factors and molecular epidemiology of CRKP during 2003 to 2016...
were explored. The primary carbapenemase resistance mechanism (KPC-production) and ST type revealed the potential dissemination of ST11 CRKP in hospital. In addition to ST11, attention should also be paid to some less common STs with high degrees of antimicrobial resistance. Furthermore, the virulence-associated gene profile across 14 years suggested the potential transmission of virulence plasmids and effective strategies should be constantly applied in order to push back against this latent threat to the future.
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