Cep57 is a Mis12-interacting kinetochore protein involved in kinetochore targeting of Mad1–Mad2

Haining Zhou¹, Tianning Wang¹, Tao Zheng¹,², Junlin Teng¹ & Jianguo Chen¹,³

The spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) arrests cells in mitosis by sensing unattached kinetochores, until all chromosomes are bi-oriented by spindle microtubules. Kinetochore accumulation of the SAC component Mad1–Mad2 is crucial for SAC activation. However, the mechanism by which Mad1–Mad2 accumulation at kinetochores is regulated is not clear. Here we find that Cep57 is localized to kinetochores in human cells, and binds to Mis12, a KMN (KNL1/Mis12 complex/Ndc80 complex) network component. Cep57 also interacts with Mad1, and depletion of Cep57 results in decreased kinetochore localization of Mad1–Mad2, reduced SAC signalling and increased chromosome segregation errors. We also show that the microtubule-binding activity of Cep57 is involved in the timely removal of Mad1 from kinetochores. Thus, these findings reveal that the KMN network-binding protein Cep57 is a mitotic kinetochore component, and demonstrate the functional connection between the KMN network and the SAC.
The spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) arrests cells in mitosis by monitoring kinetochore–microtubule attachment until all chromosomes are bi-oriented on the metaphase plate by spindle microtubules, and ensures accurate chromosome segregation and genomic stability. Unattached kinetochores, as the primary sources of SAC signalling, are considered to be required for the retention of the checkpoint components Mad1 and Mad2 (refs 1,2). Mad1 binds with itself to form a homodimer, which further binds to two Mad2s, then the Mad1–Mad2 tetramer is concentrated on unattached kinetochores in a Mad1-dependent manner. The kinetochore-tethered tetramer acts as a ‘template’ for the transformation of cytosolic Mad2 from ‘open’ to ‘closed’. The closed Mad2 binds to Cdc20, and cooperates with Bub1 and Bub3, binding partners of Cdc20, to form the mitotic checkpoint complex that prevents Cdc20-dependent activation of the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C), which is required for the ubiquitin-mediated degradation of securin and cyclin B1 to initiate anaphase and exit from mitosis.

Accumulation of Mad1–Mad2 on unattached kinetochores is crucial for SAC signalling. Despite the process, it is still unclear, precisely, which kinetochore components are responsible for the anchoring. Some kinetochore proteins, such as Hec1, NuF2, CENP-I and the RZZ complex (ROD, ZWILCH and ZW10), have been reported to be involved in regulating Mad1–Mad2 at kinetochores. Bub1 and Mad1 have been reported to bind to each other in Caenorhabditis elegans (refs 16,19,23). Bub1 and Mad1 have been reported to interact with Zwint-1, an outer kinetochore protein (Fig. 1d). These results suggest that Cep57 is an outer kinetochore protein in human cells. Moreover, Cep57 was localized at kinetochores until the cell entered telophase during the cell cycle (Fig. 1e).

Next, the yeast two-hybrid and co-immunoprecipitation assays showed that like xCep57 (ref. 31), Cep57 interacted with Mis12 (Supplementary Fig. 1d; Fig. 1f). We further used purified Cep57 from HEK293T cells and Mis12 from bacteria to perform immunoprecipitation assays, and also found that they interacted (Fig. 1g). To determine which regions of Cep57 are responsible for the interaction, we constructed glutathione S-transferase (GST)-tagged truncated Cep57 mutants (Fig. 1h) and performed pull-down assays. The results showed that the N terminus of Cep57 (1–242 amino acids) interacted with Mis12 (Fig. 1i). Pull-down assays with MBP-Cep57N (1–242 amino acids) and GST-Mis12 (both expressed in bacteria and purified) showed their direct binding in vitro (Fig. 1j).

When we knocked down Cep57 and Mis12 using RNA interference (RNAi) to examine their functional relationships in HeLa cells. Depletion of either Cep57 or Mis12 did not affect the total protein levels of the other (Supplementary Fig. 1e,f). Cep57 depletion reduced its kinetochore signal by ~90%, while the Mis12 signal did not change (Fig. 1k; Supplementary Fig. 1e,g). However, depletion of Mis12 not only decreased its own kinetochore localization (by ~75%) but also decreased the kinetochore localization of Cep57 (by ~71%; Fig. 1k; Supplementary Fig. 1f,g). Together, these results suggest that Cep57 interacts with Mis12, and Mis12 is indispensable for the kinetochore localization of Cep57.

Results

Cep57 is a kinetochore component and binds to Mis12. xCep57 is a kinetochore component. To determine whether Cep57 is also located at kinetochores in human cells, we raised a mouse polyclonal antibody against the Cep57 protein (~60 kDa; Supplementary Fig. 1a), which did not cross-react with Cep57R (Supplementary Fig. 1b). We immunostained for Cep57 with this antibody together with the centromere marker CREST in HeLa cells, and found that Cep57 was localized at kinetochores (Fig. 1a). The kinetochore staining of Cep57 was confirmed with a rabbit polyclonal anti-Cep57 antibody and a commercially available anti-Cep57 antibody (GeneTex, Irvine, California, USA; Supplementary Fig. 1c). To investigate the kinetochore localization of Cep57 in detail, we further co-immunostained for Cep57 and the inner kinetochore marker CENP-A or the KMN network component Mis12 (ref. 40). Cep57 appeared adjacent to CENP-A (Fig. 1b), and was co-localized with Mis12 at kinetochores, while Mis12 showed a slightly more interior localization than Cep57 (Fig. 1c). In RPE1 cells, immunofluorescence showed the co-localization of Cep57 with Zwint-1, an outer kinetochore protein (Fig. 1d). These results suggest that Cep57 is an outer kinetochore protein in human cells. Moreover, Cep57 was localized at kinetochores until the cell entered telophase during the cell cycle (Fig. 1e).

To further investigate whether the interaction between Mis12 and Cep57 is required for the kinetochore localization of the latter, we sought to disrupt the interaction with mutations in Mis12. In vitro pull-down assays using Mis12 mutants and Cep57 (1–242 amino acids; all expressed in E. coli and purified) showed that deletion of the amino acids 111–140 region of Mis12 abolished its interaction with mutations in Mis12. In yeast two-hybrid assays, we further narrowed down the region and found that deletion of amino acids 131–140 was sufficient to disrupt the interaction (Supplementary Fig. 2d). Then, we set out to determine the critical residues within the region or nearby by mutating the cell entered telophase during the cell cycle (Fig. 1e).
this was confirmed by in vitro pull-down assays with recombinant Mis12 point mutant (L132G) and Cep57 (1–242 amino acids) expressed in E. coli and purified (Fig. 2a). The L132G mutation of Mis12 did not markedly affect its kinetochore localization and that of some other KMN network components (Dsn1, Knl1 and Hec1; Fig. 2b–i), which had been considered to require Mis12 for their kinetochore targeting24. However, the L132G mutant specifically led to decrease in the localization of Cep57 at kinetochores (by ~79%), but not at spindle poles (Fig. 2j–l), suggesting that interaction with Mis12 is required for Cep57 to efficiently anchor kinetochores.

Cep57 is involved in activation of the mitotic checkpoint. The KMN network is an important scaffold for the kinetochore accumulation of SAC components1,26,27. To determine whether Cep57, a KMN-associated protein, functions in the SAC, we monitored the mitotic progression of cells transfected with Cep57-siRNA followed by nocodazole treatment, which induced long-term activation of the SAC by unattached kinetochores in mitotic cells. Control HeLa cells were arrested in mitosis for a median time of 1,524 min (Fig. 3a,b; Supplementary Movie 1), while in Cep57-depleted cells, the time was reduced to 1,016 min (Fig. 3a,b; Supplementary Movie 2); a reduction also occurred in

Figure 1 | Cep57 is a novel kinetochore component in human cells. (a) Three-dimensional structured illumination microscopy (SIM) images of HeLa cells double-immunostained with antibodies against Cep57 (green) and CREST (red). (b) Immunofluorescence of Cep57 (green) and CENP-A (red) in HeLa cells. (c) Immunofluorescence of Cep57 (green) and Mis12 (red) in HeLa cells at metaphase after treatment with MGI32 for 1 h. A linescan through the kinetochore pair indicates the co-localization of Cep57 with Mis12. (d) Stimulated emission depletion (STED) images of HeLa cells at different stages during mitosis. DNA was stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, blue). (e) HEK293T cells were co-transfected with Cep57-GFP and Mis12-HA. The cell lysates were immunoprecipitated (IP) and analysed by western blotting (WB) with the indicated antibodies. (g) Binding assays of Mis12 and Cep57. GST-Mis12 (expressed in E. coli and purified) was incubated with Flag-Cep57 (expressed in HEK293T cells and purified). The IP samples with anti-Flag antibody were analysed by WB with anti-GFP antibody. The WB samples were analysed by PB with anti-GFP antibody. GST-tagged proteins were stained with Coomassie blue. (h) Quantification of kinetochore signals of Cep57 and Mis12 in HeLa cells depleted of Cep57, Mis12 or negative control (NC) by siRNAs. The signal from control siRNA-treated cells was normalized to 1.0. More than 200 kinetochores from 20 cells were measured. The experiment was repeated three times. Data are mean ± s.e.m. ***P < 0.0001; **P < 0.001; NS, not significant (unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test). Scale bars, 5 μm in (a–e).
Mad1- or Mad2-depleted cells (Fig. 3b; Supplementary Fig. 3a,b). Similar results were obtained when cells were transfected with Cep57-siRNA and treated with taxol, a drug that inhibited spindle dynamics and activated the SAC (Fig. 3c). Furthermore, the mitotic index was decreased in Cep57-depleted cells after treatment with nocodazole (10–100 nM; Supplementary Fig. 3c). Under treatment with 100 nM nocodazole, the mitotic index of Cep57-depleted cells was reduced to ~49% from ~77% in control cells, and siRNA-resistant Cep57 rescued this index to ~65% (Supplementary Fig. 3d,e). Consistently, we found an increased percentage of cells with multiple small nuclei after Cep57 depletion, and this was also rescued by siRNA-resistant Cep57 (Supplementary Fig. 3f,g). Collectively, these data suggest that depletion of Cep57 attenuates the SAC activation induced by nocodazole-induced and Mad2-dependent inhibition of APC/C.

Role of Cep57 in mitotic progression/chromosome segregation. Activation of the SAC delays anaphase initiation and ensures the equal distribution of chromosomes into two daughter cells. To further determine whether Cep57, functioning during nocodazole-induced SAC activation, contributes to mitotic progression and chromosome segregation, we monitored...
Cep57-depleted HeLa and RPE1 cells by live-cell imaging microscopy, and found that with Cep57 depletion the average time from nuclear envelope breakdown to anaphase onset was shortened by 7 min in HeLa cells, and by 4 min in RPE1 cells relative to controls (Fig. 4a–c; Supplementary Fig. 4a,b; Supplementary Movie 3–6). We further found that the metaphase time (from the point of chromosome alignment to anaphase onset) was decreased in Cep57-depleted cells, but the chromosome alignment time (from nuclear envelope breakdown to the point of chromosome alignment) was not (Fig. 4a,d; Supplementary Fig. 4a–c; Supplementary Movie 3–6). These data suggest that Cep57 depletion induces the premature onset of anaphase.

Consistent with the appearance of a premature metaphase–anaphase transition, chromosome lagging occurred more frequently in Cep57-depleted cells than in controls; it was elevated by 23% in HeLa cells, and by 17% in RPE1 cells (Fig. 4a; Supplementary Fig. 4a,d; Supplementary Movie 3–6). Considering that Cep57 is also localized to spindle poles, and its depletion results in an increased percentage of cells with multipolar spindles 34, which may also induce chromosome lagging. To define whether kinetochore-localized Cep57 contributes to avoiding chromosome segregation errors, we first labelled g-tubulin in HeLa cells transfected with Cep57-siRNA and calculated the percentage of bipolar segregated cells with chromosome lagging, and found that it was significantly raised by ~24%, and the siRNA-resistant Cep57 restored it by ~15% (Fig. 4e,f). Similar results were obtained in RPE1 cells (Fig. 4g; Supplementary Fig. 4b). Furthermore, the point mutant of Mis12 (L132G) that specifically reduced the kinetochore-localized Cep57...
(Fig. 2j–l) increased the frequency of chromosome lagging in both HeLa (by ~19%) and RPE1 cells (by ~13%) but not that of multipolar spindles (Fig. 4h–j; Supplementary Fig. 4e–g).

Taken together, our results suggest that Cep57 is required for mitotic timing control and correct chromosome segregation.
Cep57 contributes to the recruitment of Mad1 to kinetochores.

SAC signalling is considered to be initiated by the accumulation of the Mad1–Mad2 complex at kinetochores\(^1,8\), so we tested whether Cep57 is necessary for the kinetochore recruitment of this complex. Cep57 depletion not only reduced its own kinetochore signal by \(~90\%\), but also the signal of Mad1 (by \(~53\%)\) and Mad2 (by \(~51\%)\) in HeLa cells with nocodazole treatment (Fig. 5a–d), though the total protein levels of Mad1 and Mad2 did not change (Supplementary Fig. 5a). SiRNA-resistant Cep57 restored the kinetochore signal of Mad1 to \(~88\%\) (Fig. 5e,f). However, neither Mad1 nor Mad2 depletion affected the either protein level or kinetochore localization of Cep57 (Fig. 5a,b,g,h; Supplementary Fig. 5b,c). In taxol-treated cells, the kinetochore-targeting efficiency of Mad1 was also reduced by Cep57 depletion (by \(~51\%;\) Fig. 5i,j). Thus, Cep57 is involved in the kinetochore recruitment of Mad1–Mad2.

To determine whether the responsibility of Cep57 for the kinetochore anchoring of Mad1–Mad2 is specific, we tested some other kinetochore components, KNL1 (refs 26,27), Zwint-1 (refs 42,43), ZW10 (refs 19,21), Bub1 (refs 1,2,16) and BubR1 (refs 1,2,8). Their kinetochore localization was not significantly affected by Cep57 depletion (Supplementary Fig. 5d–m), suggesting that Cep57 specifically functions in Mad1–Mad2 recruitment.

Cep57 interacts with Mad1.

To investigate the mechanism by which Cep57 is responsible for the kinetochore accumulation of Mad1–Mad2, we determined whether Cep57 was associated with Mad1–Mad2 using yeast two-hybrid assays, and the results showed that Mad1 bound to Cep57 (Supplementary Fig. 6a,b). Immunoprecipitation assays with both endogenous and

---

**Figure 5** | Cep57 depletion reduces kinetochore anchoring of Mad1–Mad2. (a–d) Depletion of the indicated proteins in HeLa cells by siRNAs for 60 h followed by treatment with nocodazole and MG132 for 1 h. NC, negative control. Immunostaining of Cep57 (green), Mad1 (purple) and CREST (red, a); and Cep57 (green), Mad2 (purple) and CREST (red, c). DNA was stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, blue). Scale bars, 5 \(\mu\)m. Cept57 and Mad1 (b) signals; and Cep57 and Mad2 (d) signals in cells from a and c, respectively, were normalized against CREST. The experiment was repeated three times. (e) Immunostaining of Mad1 (green) and CREST (red) in HeLa cells transfected with the indicated siRNAs and vectors for 60 h followed by nocodazole and MG132 treatment for 1 h. ResCep57, siRNA-resistant Cep57. DNA was stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 5 \(\mu\)m. (f) Quantification and normalization of the kinetochore signal of Cep57 from g. The experiment was repeated three times. (g) Immunostaining of Cep57 (green) and CENP-A (red) in HeLa cells transfected with the indicated shRNAs. DNA was stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 5 \(\mu\)m. (h) Quantification and normalization of the kinetochore signal of Cep57 from g. The experiment was repeated three times. (i) Immunostaining of Mad1 (green) and CENP-A (red) in HeLa cells transfected with NC- or Cep57-siRNA for 60 h followed by treatment with 80 nM taxol and MG132 for 4 h. DNA was stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 5 \(\mu\)m. (j) Quantification and normalization of the kinetochore signal of Mad1 from j. The experiment was repeated three times. For b,d,f,h and j, >200 kinetochores from 20 cells were measured per experiment. Data are mean \(\pm\) s.e.m. ****P<0.0001; ***P<0.001; **P<0.01; NS, not significant (unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test).
exogenous proteins also showed the interaction between Cep57 and Mad1 (Fig. 6a; Supplementary Fig. 6c). The interaction of Cep57 with Mad2 was barely detectable unless Mad1 was present (Fig. 6b), which suggested that Cep57 is associated with the Mad1–Mad2 complex via Mad1. We further used purified recombinant Cep57 and Mad1 from HEK293T cells (Fig. 6c) and E. coli (Fig. 6d) to perform binding assays and the results showed that Cep57 directly bound to Mad1 in vitro. To determine which regions of Cep57 are responsible for the binding, we performed pull-down assays using truncated mutants of Cep57, and found that its C terminus (195–500 amino acids) interacted with Mad1 (Fig. 6e). Purified GST-Cep57 (195–500 amino acids) and Flag-Mad1 co-immunoprecipitated, further confirming the interaction of the C-terminal region of Cep57 with Mad1 (Fig. 6f). We also constructed truncated mutants of Mad1 to map the regions responsible for the binding to Cep57 (Fig. 6g).

---

**Figure 6 | Cep57 interacts with Mad1.** (a) Mitotic HeLa cells arrested by nocodazole were used for immunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-Cep57 antibody and western blotting with anti-Cep57 and anti-Mad1 antibodies. IgG served as the negative control. (b) HEK293T cells were co-transfected with the indicated plasmids, and were used to IP and western blotting. (c) Binding assays of Mad1 and Cep57. Flag-Cep57 and Mad1-GFP (expressed in HEK293T cells and purified) were incubated with IP with anti-Flag antibody. The IP samples were analysed by western blotting with anti-Flag and anti-GFP antibodies. (d) In vitro pull-down assays of Mad1 and Cep57. GST-Mad1 (176–718 amino acids) and MBP-Cep57 (151–500 amino acids) (expressed in E. coli and purified) were incubated with Amylose Magnetic beads. The precipitated samples were analysed by western blotting with anti-GST antibody and Coomassie blue staining. (e) GST pull-down assays of Cep57 and Mad1. Lysates of HEK293T cells overexpressing Mad1-GFP were incubated with Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads coated with GST, GST-Cep57 (1–242 amino acids) or GST-Cep57 (195–500 amino acids). The samples were analysed by western blotting with anti-GST antibody and Coomassie blue staining. (f) Binding assays of Mad1 and Cep57 (195–500 amino acids). GST-Cep57 (195–500 amino acids; expressed in E. coli) and Flag-Mad1 (expressed in HEK293T cells) were purified and incubated with anti-Flag antibody. The IP samples with anti-Flag antibody were analysed by western blotting with anti-GST and anti-Flag antibodies. (g) Schematic of truncated mutants of Mad1. (h) IP using lysates of HEK293T cells co-overexpressing GFP-Cep57 and Flag-Mad1 (FL, 1–530 and 531–718 amino acids) or Flag-Mad1 (1–530, 1–175, 176–350 and 351–530 amino acids) with anti-Flag antibody. The IP samples were analysed by western blotting with anti-GFP and anti-Flag antibodies. FL, full length. (i) Quantification and normalization of the kinetochore signal of Flag-Mad1 in HeLa cells that were transfected with FL and truncated mutants of Flag-Mad1 and treated with nocodazole for 1h. Greater than 50 kinetochores from 5 cells were measured. The experiment was repeated three times. Data are mean ± s.e.m.
Immunoprecipitation assays showed that the N terminus of Mad1 (1–530 amino acids) was crucial for this interaction (Fig. 6h). Furthermore, short truncated mutants of the N terminus of Mad1 (1–175 and 351–530 amino acids) barely precipitated with Cep57, and the internal region mutant (176–350 amino acids) also showed a very weak binding affinity (Fig. 6f). In addition, among these mutants of Mad1, only the N-terminal mutant (1–530 amino acids) showed weak kinetochore localization, but its three short truncated mutants (1–175, 176–350 and 351–530 amino acids) or the C terminus (531–718 amino acids) did not show the localization (Fig. 6f; Supplementary Fig. 6d), suggesting that the structural integrity of Mad1 is important for its kinetochore targeting.

Role of Cep57 microtubule-binding activity in SAC silencing. Given that Cep57 is a microtubule-binding protein, the binding is mediated by its C terminus35, and the same region binds to Mad1 (Fig. 6e,f), we sought to investigate whether the interaction of Cep57 with Mad1 is affected by the binding of Cep57 to microtubules. First, we performed microtubule co-sedimentation assays with purified Cep57, Mad1 and tubulin in vitro. The C terminus of Cep57 showed distinct co-sedimentation with microtubules (Supplementary Fig. 7a), whereas Mad1 was not detected in the co-sediment (Fig. 7a). Then, we coupled the C-terminal Cep57 (expressed in bacteria and purified) to beads and performed pull-down assays in vitro. The interaction of Cep57 with Mad1 was reduced with increased binding of Cep57 to microtubules (Fig. 7b), indicating that the C-terminal Cep57 strongly binds to microtubules, and this binding inhibits the interaction of Cep57 with Mad1. These results suggest that microtubules competitively replace Mad1 binding to Cep57. In addition, the interaction of Cep57 with Mis12 did not alter the binding activity between Mad1 and Cep57 (Supplementary Fig. 7b), and the binding of Cep57 to microtubules did not affect the interaction of Cep57 with Mis12 (Supplementary Fig. 7c).

To further determine whether the microtubule-binding activity of Cep57 is required for checkpoint silencing in human cells, we generated a mutated Cep57, in which 12 positively charged residues in the C terminus were replaced with alanine (named Cep57-12A) to abolish its microtubule-binding activity and retain its interaction with Mad1 (Fig. 7c, Supplementary Fig. 7d). This mutant was not co-localized with microtubules and diffused throughout the cytoplasm of interphase HeLa cells after overexpression (Fig. 7d), while its kinetochore localization was not significantly reduced compared with that of the wild type in metaphase cells (Supplementary Fig. 7f). In Cep57-12A-expressing cells after endogenous Cep57 depletion, we still observed the Mad1-positive immunostaining signal at the
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metaphase kinetochores, but the signal almost disappeared at this stage in the wild-type Cep57-expressing cells (Fig. 7e–g). Furthermore, with Cep57-12A expression, the percentage of metaphase cells in prometaphase and metaphase cells was increased (by ~11% relative to wild-type Cep57 expression; Fig. 7h). Together, these data suggest that the loss of microtubule-binding activity of Cep57 delays the removal of Mad1 from kinetochores and results in extended metaphase arrest.

**Discussion**

In this paper, we show that Cep57 is localized to kinetochores, and its N terminus binds to Mis12. Cep57 also interacts with Mad1 via its C terminus and participates in the accumulation of Mad1–Mad2 at kinetochores, while the microtubule-binding activity of Cep57 may contribute to the timely removal of Mad1 from kinetochores (Fig. 8).

We previously reported that Cep57 is a component of the spindle pole and midzone, and functions in spindle pole architecture and central spindle microtubule organization. Previous findings have revealed that xCep57 is a kinetochore component. Mass spectrometry has shown that chicken Cep57 occurs in isolated mitotic chromosomes. We show here that human Cep57 is localized at kinetochores, suggesting the conservation of kinetochore localization in the Cep57 family.

At kinetochores, the KMN network is considered to contain core microtubule-binding sites. Here we provide evidence that Cep57, as a KMN network-binding protein, is involved in the kinetochore recruitment of Mad1–Mad2 in human cells. Our results showed that significant depletion of Cep57 (~90%) still left Mad1–Mad2 signals (~50%) at kinetochores, indicating that Cep57 is not the unique kinetochore recruiter of Mad1–Mad2. In fact, multiple proteins are involved in the kinetochore accumulation of Mad1–Mad2, and the underlying molecular mechanisms are thought to be complicated and to vary in different species. In *Caenorhabditis elegans* and yeast, Mad1 has been shown to interact with Bub1, which contributes to the kinetochore accumulation of Mad1 in *Caenorhabditis elegans* cells.

In human cells, Hec1 is required for kinetochore localization of Mad1–Mad2 (refs 23, 46). It has been identified as a Mad1-interacting candidate by a yeast two-hybrid screen, but recombinant Hec1 and Mad1 proteins barely bind to each other in vitro. Nuf2, a binding partner of Hec1 and a subunit of the Ndc80/Hec1 complex, has also been reported to function in the kinetochore accumulation of Mad1–Mad2 (refs 14, 46). Though there is no evidence of an interaction between Nuf2 and Mad1–Mad2, depletion of Nuf2 reduces Mad1–Mad2 at kinetochores. Moreover, depletion of the RZZ complex protein ZW10 has also been found to weaken Mad1–Mad2 signals at kinetochores. Similar to Nuf2, ZW10 is not a direct binding partner of Mad1–Mad2, but it may affect the kinetochore localization of Mad1, as Mad1-binding site(s) created by related kinetochore component(s) is a Mis12-binding protein that is closely localized to RZZ and Ndc80/Hec1 complexes.

*xCep57* is found to show interaction with Ndc80/Hec1 and Zwint-1 (a binding factor and a kinetochore recruiter of ZW10) in *Xenopus*43, 47. So it is possible that Hec1, Nuf2, Bub1, ZW10, Cep57 and other related proteins cooperate to recruit Mad1–Mad2 at the outer kinetochores. This speculation is also supported by previous48 and our results that the structural integrity of Mad1 is essential for its fully efficient kinetochore targeting. The N terminus of Mad1 (1–530 amino acids) is essential for kinetochore localization, but the localization is weak, and its shorter truncated mutants are barely detectable at kinetochores. The C terminus of Mad1 (531–718 amino acids) is not localized to kinetochores, but is critical for the efficient accumulation of Mad1 at kinetochore, in line with the report that deletion of the C-terminal domain diminishes Mad1 kinetochore targeting48. Therefore, it is likely that multiple regions of Mad1 are required for its kinetochore accumulation via multiple recruiters. Whether Hec1, Nuf2, ZW10, Bub1, Cep57 and even other proteins at the outer kinetochores cooperate to participate in the kinetochore targeting of Mad1–Mad2 in human cells is an interesting issue.

Our results show that Cep57 is associated with Mad2 via Mad1. While in yeast two-hybrid assays, the yeast expressing both bait-Cep57 and prey-Mad2 proliferated slowly in selective medium (Supplementary Fig. 6a), suggesting a possible weak direct interaction between Cep57 and Mad2, though we could not exclude the possibility that yeast Mad1 mediated the interaction. The current model of the SAC activation shows that closed Mad2 is recruited to unattached kinetochores by Mad1, and acts as a catalytic template to trigger the transition from cytoplasmic open Mad2 to closed Mad2 (refs 1, 2, 6, 8, 10). However, it is still unclear how kinetochore-localized Mad2 induces the transformation of cytoplasmic Mad2, and whether other factors are required for Mad2 to function as a template9, 50. The possible connection between Cep57 and Mad2 needs further investigation.

Checkpoint silencing is mainly induced by the removal of essential checkpoint components (such as Mad1, Mad2 and BubR1) from kinetochores, and these components may be driven forward to the spindle pole along kinetochore-connected microtubules by the dynein–dynactin complex and other related proteins. When the spindle microtubules are searching for kinetochores and the kinetochore–microtubule attachment has
for the formation of microtubule–kinetochore attachment and enriched with several positively charged residues, is dispensable KNL1 microtubule-binding activity, achieved by a short motif

**Methods**

**Plasmid construction.** The full-length complementary DNAs (cDNAs) of Cep57, Mad1, Mad2 and Mis12 were amplified from the HeLa cell cDNA library via PCR, and the primers used are shown in Supplementary Table 1. The full-length and truncated cDNAs were inserted into pEGFP-C2 (Clontech), pEGFP-N3 (Clontech), pET28a (Novagen), pGEX-6P-1 (Amersham Biosciences), pGADT7 (Clontech), pGBK7 (Clontech), pCMV-myc (Stratagene), p3

**Antibodies.** His-tagged Cep57 protein was injected into mice and rabbits to generate polyclonal antibodies. The anti-Cep57 mouse antibody was used for western blotting (WB, 1:500) and immunofluorescence (IF, 1:50). The anti-Cep57 rabbit antibody was raised and purified using the GST-Cep57 (332–500 amino acids) and contributes to the SAC silencing. The SAC is associated with mosaic variegated aneuploidy syndrome (MVA), a disease characterized by growth retardation, childhood tumorigenesis, microcephaly and constitutional mosaicism induced by chromosomal gains and losses.

A previous report showed that gene mutations of the spindle checkpoint component BubR1 causes MVA due to defective spindle checkpoint activation. Biellec loss-of-function CEP57 mutations also cause MVA, but the molecular mechanism by which such mutations induce aneuploidy syndrome remains obscure. Our findings on the recruitment of Mad1–Mad2 by Cep57 reveal that it functions in the SAC activation and ensures correct chromosome segregation. This may provide the molecular mechanism by which the CEP57 mutation, similar to BubR1, causes MVA.

**Protein purification and in vitro binding assays.** Mad1-GFP, Flag-Mad1 and Flag-Cep57 fusion proteins were expressed in HEK293T cells, immunoprecipitated with protein A-Sepharose beads (Amersham Biosciences) and washed five times with lysis buffer containing a high concentration of salt (600 mM NaCl). GST-Mis12, GST-Mad1 (176–718 amino acids), and MBP-Cep57 (151–500 amino acids) proteins were expressed in bacteria and purified. The supernatant and the sample was boiled with protein-loading buffer (with SDS) for 5 min. For western blotting, SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was used to separate the proteins, and then they were transferred to a polyvinylidine difluoride membrane (Millipore). The membrane was sequentially incubated with primary antibodies and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated protein A (Jackson ImmunoResearch) or secondary antibodies. Uncropped scans of typical blots are presented in Supplementary Fig. 8.

**Microtubule polymerization and co-sedimentation assays.** Microtubules were assembled at 30 °C for 30 min in BRB80 buffer (100 mM PIPES, 1 mM MgSO4, 2 mM ATP, 1 mM GTP, pH 6.8) stabilized with 10% DMSO. For microtubule pull-down assays, the taxol-stabilized microtubules were incubated with bead-coupled Cep57 proteins (expressed in bacteria and purified) in BRB80 buffer at room temperature for 1 h, and then the beads were washed three times with BRB80 buffer before boiling. For microtubule co-sedimentation assays, Cep57 expressed in bacteria and purified, and was incubated with assembled microtubules, and the samples were centrifuged at 100,000g for 10 min at 25 °C. The supernatant and pellet were boiled and separated by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

**Immunofluorescence and time-lapse microscopy.** Cells were fixed and permeabilized in methanol for 5–10 min at −20 °C, and incubated overnight at
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