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Abstract: Hydrophobic silica aerogels (SiO$_2$(AG)) was prepared via sol-gel and solvent exchange method under ambient pressure, which could be transformed to hydrophilic after heated under 500°C. Heat treatment cannot change its structure. SiO$_2$(AG) samples were the micro-porous structure formed by numerous fine particles and had high specific surface area, pore size and pore volume. The absorption performance of hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) on nitrobenzene, phenol and methylene blue (MB) showed that hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) exhibited strong adsorption capacity on slightly soluble organic compounds, while hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) was much more effective on adsorbing soluble compounds, which could be analyzed by the hydrophobic and hydrophilic interaction theory between the adsorbent and adsorbate. Hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) adsorption performance for MB is superior to that for phenol, which could be explained via the electrostatic interaction theory.
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1 Introduction

In the last decades, with the rapid development of industry, large amounts organic compounds were widely used in different field, such as pesticides, dyes, synthetic rubber, plastics. The large-scale use of chemical raw materials has led to a series of environmental pollution. Many organic pollutants with the feature of bioaccumulation and difficulty biochemical degradation, can present high risk to ecosystem and human health even at low concentration [1, 2]. The prevention and removal organic contaminations in aquatic environment has attracted great attention. Conventional methods like adsorption [3, 4], biodegradation [5], advanced oxidation processes [6–9], etc. have been in-depth investigated and widely used. Compared with other ways, adsorption process is the most commonly technology to remove organic pollutants from water due to its simplicity, high efficiency [3, 4]. In general, the adsorption property of adsorbents is determined by the morphology and structure of porous materials, such as specific surface areas, pore volumes, pore distributions and special pore surface chemistries [10]. Thus, the porous materials with special size and properties, such as graphene, carbon aerogels, activated carbon, polymers, porous silica, and metal-organic frameworks are actively investigated as advanced sorbents [11–16]. Among them, activated carbon is a widely used adsorbent due to its availability and high adsorption capacity [17]. However, carbons display disadvantages such as limited modification flexibility and low selectivity, which limit their applications [18].

As an unique material with wide application [19, 20], silica aerogel (SiO$_2$(AG)) is a three-dimensional and multi-scaled porous nano-material formed by numerous fine particles and networks. Traditional preparation of SiO$_2$(AG) involves two different ways: the process of supercritical drying and ambient pressure drying (APD) technique. The method of supercritical drying can avoid capillary stress and associated drying shrinkage. However, this process is energy intensive and can be dangerous, which leads to high costs and results in limited practical applications [21, 22]. APD technique could overcome the disadvantages of supercritical aerogel process, and made the manufacture and application of SiO$_2$(AG) in large scale possible [23–25]. The SiO$_2$(AG) has been increasingly researched as an adsorbent owing to its high porosity (up to 99%), high specific surface area, low density, and ease of surface modifi-
cation, etc. [26–29]. Hrubesh et al. [28] prepared hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) via the supercritical drying method, and proceeded the adsorption experiments of decomposing different organic compounds in water. The results showed that the adsorption capacity of the hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) was 30 times as that of the carbon for the soluble organic compounds, and up to 130 times for the insoluble organic compounds. Reynolds et al. [29] carried out adsorption experiments about hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) to the oil slick in water, and the results indicated that the capacity of the oil absorption into the hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) was up to 273 times as its own volume. Perdigoto et al. [30] got SiO$_2$(AG) from methyltrimethoxysilane (MTMS) as a precursor by supercritical drying, and adsorption capacities of SiO$_2$(AG) were shown to uptake more than 50 mg/g of benzene at 50 mg/L of benzene solution. Wang et al. [31] prepared hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) and tested its adsorption for organic compounds from aqueous phase. Adsorption equilibrium of SiO$_2$(AG) was reached in under 20 min and the adsorption capacities were 223 mg/g for toluene and 87 mg/g for benzene.

Previous researches suggested that the adsorption capacity of adsorbent was primarily decided by the pore structure and surface area, the surface chemical properties were also important, furthermore, the hydrophobicity, polarity, electron accepting or donating property and the structure of the pollutants could also affect the adsorption affinity [32]. However, the results discussed in many studies on adsorption performance of SiO$_2$(AG) has focused on hydrophobic media. Investigation on other factors of adsorption property and adsorption mechanisms were still fragmentary.

In this work, in order to give a fundamental knowledge for potential application of SiO$_2$(AG) on pollutants removal. Hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) were prepared via the APD route, and to compare different adsorption performance for nitrobenzene, phenol and methylene blue (MB) with different physicochemical properties, which were common pollutants in industrial wastewater. The adsorption isotherm were evaluated, and the adsorption mechanisms were also discussed.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials

Tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), anhydrous ethanol (EtOH), hexane (C$_6$H$_{14}$), trimethylchlorosilane (TMCS), hydrochloric acid (HCl), ammonia water (NH$_3$·H$_2$O), nitrobenzene (C$_6$H$_5$NO$_2$), phenol (C$_6$H$_5$OH) and methylene blue (MB) were analytical grade and commercially purchased from Chron Chemicals Co., Ltd., Chengdu. All reagents were used without any further purification. Deionized water made from laboratory, was used in all experiments.

2.2 Preparation of SiO$_2$(AG)

Based on the sol-gel method, hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) was synthesized by controlling the solvent exchanging procedure under ambient pressure. A certain proportion of TEOS, EtOH, and deionized water (mole ratios of TEOS/EtOH/water=1:3:6) were put into a 200 mL beaker, dropping 0.1 mol/L HCl in order to control the pH of the solution in the range of 2.0-3.0. After stirring for 60 min, 0.1 mol/L NH$_3$·H$_2$O solution was added to the silica sol to adjust the pH in the rage of 5.0-6.0, until wet gels were gotten. The obtained wet gels were collected and aged for 24 h at room temperature, followed a soaking process in EtOH(50%) for 24 h, then soaked in a mixed solvent with volume ratio of EtOH/TMCS/hexane=1:0.8:1 for 48 h. After filtrating, the wet gels were washed 3 times by hexane and dried 60°C for 24 h, to obtain the hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG). The hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) was gotten by above processes and calcination at 500°C for 3h [10].

2.3 Characterization

The microstructure of fabricated materials was investigated by SEM (Inspect F, FEI, Holland) and TEM (JEM-2100F, JEOL, Japan). X-ray diffraction (XRD DX-2500) was used to analyzed the crystal structure, the X-ray target made of Cu Ka-ray generator (40 kV, 40 mA, λ = 0.15406 nm).The pore structure and specific surface area (SSA) of the materials were respectively determined by the automatic surface area and porosity analyzer (3H-2000PS4, Beishide instrument Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing), the test conditions of automatic surface area and porosity analyzer were: nitrogen as adsorbate, degassing mode of heating-vacuum, degassing temperature of 150°C, degassing time of 180 min, saturated steam pressure of 1.0434 bar, ambient temperature of 14.0°C. The surface functional groups of materials were characterized by the FTIR spectra of the materials by using the Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (Nicolet 5700 Spectrophotometer, FTIR), the samples were performed by pressing the power mixed with some of KBr into disks, and the scanning wave number range was set to 4000~ 400 cm$^{-1}$. The hydrophobic properties of the materials were obtained by measuring contact angle of
water droplets and materials using a contact angle meter (DSA100, KRUSS, Germany).

2.4 Research of adsorption performance

The adsorption experiments were conducted in three different organic solution (nitrobenzene, phenol and methylene blue (MB) solution, respectively). 250 ml conical flask containing 100 ml organic solution and 2 g/l adsorbent (hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG)) were shaken at 220 r/min. Temperature was kept at 25°C. Adsorption capacity of SiO$_2$(AG) was reflected by measuring the change of concentration of organic compounds, which were determined by UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV-2550, Shimadzu, Japan).

The adsorption amount of organic compounds on adsorbent is calculated as:

$$q = \frac{(c_0 - c_e) \times v}{w}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $q$ is the adsorption amount of organic compounds on adsorbent, mg/g; $C_0$ is the initial concentration of organic compounds in solution, mg/l; $C_e$ is the equilibrium adsorption concentration of organic compounds in solution after adsorption equilibrium, mg/l; $v$ is the volume of solution, l; $w$ is the dosage of adsorbent, g.

Langmuir isotherm adsorption model and Freundlich isotherm adsorption model were usually to fit the adsorption behavior of the organic compounds in dilute solution [33].

2.4.1 Langmuir isothermal adsorption model

Langmuir isothermal adsorption model used to describe the adsorption behavior in the solid-liquid system, expressed by equation 2 or 3 [34]:

$$q = \frac{q_m k_L C_e}{1 + k_L C_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_m} + \frac{1}{k_L q_m} \cdot \frac{1}{C_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where $C_e$ is the equilibrium concentration in solution, mg/l; $q$ is the adsorption amount on the adsorbent, mg/g; $q_m$ is the saturated adsorption amount in the adsorbent, mg/g; $k_L$ is the Langmuir adsorption constant, l/mg, reflects the affinity between the adsorbate and the adsorption sites.

2.4.2 Freundlich isothermal adsorption model

Freundlich isothermal adsorption model is an empirical equation, which describes the adsorption equilibrium in the solid-liquid adsorption process, that adsorption heat decreased logarithmically with the increases of adsorption amount under isothermal conditions. the model takes uneven surface into account, expressed by equation 4 or 5 [34]:

$$q = K_F C_e^{\frac{1}{n}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$\ln q = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where $C_e$ is the equilibrium concentration in solution, mg/l; $q$ is the adsorption amount on the adsorbent, mg/g; $K_F$ is the Freundlich adsorption constant, which is a measure of the adsorption capacity, the greater of its value, then the bigger of the adsorption amount; $n$ is a constant and usually greater than 1, and its inverse is a measure of the adsorption intensity.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Morphology of SiO$_2$(AG)

Morphologies of hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) samples were investigated by SEM and TEM. As shown in Figure 1(a), the hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) samples prepared under ambient pressure presented a spongy-like shape, which were made of numerous fine particles and formed a loose and porous structure, and uniform particle size distribution. Hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) was gained via hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) calcined 3h under 500°C, Figure 1(b) indicated its surface appearance had no significant difference from hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG).

3.2 Specific surface area and pore structure of SiO$_2$(AG)

The textural properties of SiO$_2$(AG) were analyzed by using Nitrogen adsorption-desorption method. As can be seen in Figure 2, the N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms of hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) samples were a typical type IV adsorption isotherms characteristic with an adsorption hysteresis, indicated that the nano-porous structure exists on hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG), and the hole shape was the narrow tubular pores of the ends open
and mouth width [10]; The SSA was determined by using BET (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller) method. Pore size distribution and total pore volume of the materials were evaluated from the adsorption branch of nitrogen isotherms by using the BJH (Barrett-Joyner-Halenda) method. The insets of Figure 2 displayed the BJH differential integral hole volume and pore size distribution curve of SiO$_2$(AG).

The experimental results on the SSA, pore size and total pore volume of the SiO$_2$(AG) powders have been compiled in Table 1. The results showed that hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) samples had high specific surface area, pore size and pore volume. The conclusions were consistent with the characterization results by TEM. The reason for the decrease in pore size and volume of hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) might be the shrinkage and collapse during the calcination process.

Figure 1: SEM image of SiO$_2$(AG) sample (a: hydrophobic; b: hydrophilic) (insets are TEM images)

Table 1: SSA, pore size and pore volume of hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG)

| Sample             | SSA(m$^2$/g) | pore size (nm) | pore volume (mL/g) |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|
| hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) | 902          | 8.91           | 2.0094            |
| hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) | 928          | 5.82           | 1.4085            |

Figure 2: N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherm of SiO$_2$(AG) (a: hydrophobic; b: hydrophilic) (insets are BJH differential integral hole volume and pore size distribution curve of SiO$_2$(AG))
3.3 The FT-IR analysis of SiO₂(AG)

The FT-IR spectrum of hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO₂(AG) were shown in Figure 3. The adsorption peaks at around 3490 cm⁻¹ of hydrophobic SiO₂(AG) was significantly weaker than hydrophilic SiO₂(AG), it was due to that the –OH group on the surface of SiO₂(AG) was replaced by the organic group in the process of modification. As shown in FT-IR spectrum of hydrophobic SiO₂(AG), the characteristic peaks at 2968 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the C-H of -CH₃ antisymmetric stretching vibration, 1387 cm⁻¹ and 928 cm⁻¹ appeared the symmetric vibration and in-plane vibration of –CH₃ group respectively, which indicated –CH₃ to existed on the surface of hydrophobic SiO₂(AG). In addition, the peak at 758 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the symmetric stretching vibration of Si-CH₃, suggested that Si-CH₃ group was contained on the surface of hydrophobic SiO₂(AG). Analyzing by FT-IR, the hydrophobic -CH₃ connected with branched chain of SiO₂(AG) that prepared by solvent exchange method, which let it has hydrophobic properties. The FT-IR of hydrophilic SiO₂(AG) showed the peaks of –OH group existed on the surface of hydrophilic SiO₂(AG), which let it has hydrophilic performance, it was due to that –CH₃ group on the surface of hydrophobic SiO₂(AG) has been translated into –OH group after calcination at 500°C [10].

3.4 Contact angle of SiO₂(AG)

The hydrophobicity of SiO₂(AG) was measured by testing the contact angle of SiO₂(AG), the results were displayed in Figure 4. The prepared hydrophobic SiO₂(AG) had a good hydrophobicity, 500°C heat treatment promoted the conversion from hydrophobicity to hydrophilicity. The conclusions were consistent with the characterization results by FT-IR.

3.5 Adsorption property of hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO₂(AG)

3.5.1 Adsorption curves of different organic compounds

The adsorption experiments were carried out in 100 ml nitrobenzene solution(12 mg/l), phenol solution(10 mg/l) and MB solution(10 mg/l) respectively. The dosage of hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO₂(AG) was 2.0 g/l. As shown in the adsorption curves of hydrophobic SiO₂(AG) on different adsorbate, Figure 5(a), the hydrophobic SiO₂(AG) exhibited the best adsorption capacity of by removing 51.8% nitrobenzene within 1 h when the system reached adsorption equilibrium, on the other hand, it exhibited poor adsorption capacity on phenol and MB by adsorbing only 9.9% and 17.6%, respectively, and did not get adsorption equilibrium even after 10 h. Figure 5(b) displayed adsorption property of hydrophilic SiO₂(AG), the removal ratio of phenol and MB by adsorption of hydrophilic SiO₂(AG) was 57.8% and 64.3% respectively, and reached adsorption equilibrium within 0.5 h. On the contrary, it showed poor adsorption performance on nitrobenzene by adsorbing only 17.8% and got adsorption equilibrium in 1.5 h.

3.5.2 Adsorption isotherms

Isothermal adsorption experiment were conducted in nitrobenzene solution (12, 24, 36, 48, 60 mg/l), phenol solution (10, 20, 30, 40, 50 mg/l) and methylene blue (MB) solution (10, 20, 30, 40, 50 mg/l), respectively. The liquid adsorption isotherms of hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO₂(AG) on organic solution, and fitted curves by Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models were shown in Figure 6(a)-6(c) and Figure 6(d)-6(f), respectively. The results indicated that the equilibrium adsorption amount of hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO₂(AG) increased with the increase of equilibrium concentration of organic compounds. In the range of the concentration in this experiment, the equilibrium adsorption amount of hydrophobic SiO₂(AG) for nitrobenzene was 6.32 mg/g, while for phenol and MB was 1.19 mg/g and 2.63 mg/g, respectively; but the equilibrium adsorption amount of hydrophilic SiO₂(AG) for nitrobenzene is only 2.38 mg/g, obviously lower than the equilib-
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Figure 4: Contact angle of SiO\(_2\) (AG) of SiO\(_2\) (AG) (a: hydrophobic; b: hydrophilic)

Figure 5: Adsorption curves of nitrobenzene, phenol and MB by SiO\(_2\) (AG)

Table 2: Isothermal adsorption fitting results of nitrobenzene, phenol and MB by SiO\(_2\) (AG)

| adsorbent | adsorbate | Langmuir equation | Freundlich equation |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|
|           |           | \(q_m\)          | \(K_L\)            | \(R^2\) | \(K_F\) | \(n\) | \(R^2\) |
| hydrophobic SiO\(_2\) (AG) | nitrobenzene | 6.9686 | 0.1415 | 0.9866 | 1.8621 | 3.1221 | 0.9904 |
|           | phenol | 1.7322 | 0.0454 | 0.9958 | 0.1631 | 1.8975 | 0.9969 |
|           | MB | 4.6468 | 0.0286 | 0.9976 | 0.2436 | 1.5816 | 0.9833 |
| hydrophilic SiO\(_2\) (AG) | nitrobenzene | 3.0506 | 0.0557 | 0.9862 | 0.3953 | 2.2148 | 0.9927 |
|           | phenol | 6.9348 | 0.1718 | 0.9591 | 1.7736 | 2.7601 | 0.9973 |
|           | MB | 9.5329 | 0.1449 | 0.9954 | 1.9883 | 2.3981 | 0.9910 |

The relevant adsorption amount of hydrophobic SiO\(_2\) (AG) for nitrobenzene, while for phenol and MB reached 6.40 mg/g and 8.32 mg/g respectively, significantly higher than the equilibrium adsorption amount of hydrophobic SiO\(_2\) (AG).

The relevant parameters of Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models were displayed in Table 2. The results showed that Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models could fit well the adsorption isotherms of SiO\(_2\) (AG) for nitrobenzene, phenol and methylene blue. Related studies [34] suggested that Freundlich constant \(K_F\) was a constant related to the adsorption capacity, the greater \(K_F\) would course greater adsorption; when the value of con-
Figure 6: Adsorption isotherm and fitting curves of nitrobenzene, phenol and MB by SiO$_2$ (AG) (a), (b), (c) and (d), (e), (f): Adsorption isotherm, Langmuir fitting curves and Freundlich fitting curves of hydrophobic SiO$_2$ (AG) and hydrophilic SiO$_2$ (AG), respectively.

stant 1/n was in the range from 0.1 to 0.5, the adsorbate was easily absorbed, and the value was smaller, the adsorption properties were better; with the increases of 1/n, while the equilibrium concentration of the adsorbate was higher, the absorption capacity would play more sufficient. Compared with the relevant parameters from Table 2, adsorption performance of hydrophobic SiO$_2$ (AG) for nitrobenzene was much better than that for phenol and MB; while the hydrophilic SiO$_2$ (AG) expressed a better adsorption property for phenol and MB; Both the hydrophobic and hydrophilic SiO$_2$ (AG), its adsorption properties for MB were superior to that for phenol.
3.5.3 Adsorption mechanism research

In the organic compounds adsorption process in solution, the adsorbent surface area, pore size distribution, pore structure and other characteristics of material plays a decisive role to its adsorption properties [35]. In addition, adsorbents exhibit differences of adsorption performance to different adsorbates, which could be analyzed by the hydrophobic and hydrophilic interaction theory between the adsorbent and adsorbate, molecular polarity theory, electrostatic interaction theory, the electron donor-acceptor interaction theory, π-π dispersion theory [36, 37]. According to the hydrophobic and hydrophilic interaction theory in water phase, the hydrophobicity of SiO$_2$(AG) plays a leading role in the organic compounds adsorption process. Nitrobenzene is an insoluble hydrophobic organic compounds, MB and phenol are hydrophilic organic compounds and freely soluble in water, and the hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) is infiltrated easily by water molecules which occupy a part of pores. So, the hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) shows better adsorption to nitrobenzene than other one; hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) has better adsorption to MB and phenol. Hydrophobic and hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) adsorption performance for MB is superior to that for phenol, basing on the electrostatic interaction theory, SiO$_2$ isoelectric point is very low [38], less than the pH of the phenol and MB solution, SiO$_2$(AG) in phenol and MB solution will show electro-negativity, MB is a typical cationic dye with a positive charge, while phenol is electrically neutral. So there exist an electrostatic attraction between MB and SiO$_2$(AG), and the adsorption performance of SiO$_2$(AG) for MB prove better than phenol.

4 Conclusion

Via sol-gel and solvent exchange method, hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) was prepared under ambient pressure, and it could be transformed to hydrophilic after 500°C heat treatment. The results of material characterization showed that the synthesized hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) were the micro-porous structure formed by numerous fine particles and had high specific surface area, pore size and pore volume.

The absorption experiments of hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) for nitrobenzene, phenol and MB showed that hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) was effective in removing organic compounds from aqueous solution. Hydrophobic SiO$_2$(AG) showed much stronger adsorption effectiveness on slightly soluble organic compounds, while hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) was much more effective on adsorbing soluble compounds, which could be analyzed by the hydrophobic and hydrophilic interaction theory between the adsorbent and adsorbate. Adsorption performance of hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) for MB is superior to that for phenol, which could be explained via the electrostatic interaction theory.

Due to the high adsorption efficiency on a wide range of organic pollutant in water, easy modification of the properties, and the relative low manufacture cost, hydrophobic/hydrophilic SiO$_2$(AG) has great application potential in the wastewater treatment field.
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