Effects of Nitrogen Additions on Soil Respiration in an Asian Tropical Montane Rainforest
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Abstract: Understanding the impacts of nitrogen (N) addition on soil respiration (R) and its temperature sensitivity (Q10) in tropical forests is very important for the global carbon cycle in a changing environment. Here, we investigated how R respond to N addition in a tropical montane rainforest in Southern China. Four levels of N treatments (0, 25, 50, and 100 kg N ha−1 a−1) as control (CK), low N (N25), moderate N (N50), and high N (N100), respectively) were established in September 2010. Based on a static chamber-gas chromatography method, R was measured from January 2015 to December 2018. R exhibited significant seasonal variability, with low R rates appeared in the dry season and high rates appeared in the wet season regardless of treatment. R was significantly related to the measured soil temperature and moisture. Our results showed that soil R increased after N additions, the mean annual R was 7% higher in N25 plots, 8% higher in N50 plots, and 11% higher in N100 plots than that in the CK plots. However, the overall impacts of N additions on R were statistically insignificant. For the entire study period, the CK, N25, N50, and N100 treatments yielded Q10 values of 2.27, 3.45, 4.11, and 2.94, respectively. N addition increased the temperature sensitivity (Q10) of R. Our results suggest that increasing atmospheric N deposition may have a large impact on the stimulation of soil CO2 emissions from tropical rainforests in China.
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1. Introduction

Greenhouse gas (GHG)-induced climate change is a considerable global environmental issue and a challenge for the sustainable development of the world’s social economy. Carbon dioxide (CO2) is one of the major GHGs and is the largest contributor to global warming [1]. Compared with pre-industrial levels, the amount of CO2 in the atmosphere has increased by nearly 45%, putting it at a level not seen on Earth for millions of years [2]. Terrestrial ecosystems are major regulators of carbon (C) accumulation in the atmosphere [3], with a capacity to store almost three times that of the atmosphere [4]. An improved understanding of the CO2 sinks and sources from major terrestrial ecosystems is essential for quantifying the responses of global C cycles to both current and future climate change.

Soil respiration, hereafter R, is one of the largest fluxes in the global C cycle, with a global release of 94.3 ± 17.9 Pg C a−1 [5]. Understanding the controls on R is essential as even minute variations in R may dramatically affect atmospheric CO2 concentrations [6]. Since forests cover about 30% of the Earth’s terrestrial area, respiration from forest soils is widely considered to be a substantial source of atmospheric CO2 [7]. Many environmental
and biophysical factors could affect respiration from forest soils through direct or indirect effects on autotrophic respiration and heterotrophic respiration, including climate conditions, management practices, soil properties, and nutrient availability, all of which have different impacts for different forest types [8–11].

N has long been considered the most important limiting nutrient for plant production and respiration in land ecosystems [12]. N deposition has been shown to significantly affect $R_S$ [13,14], but the direction of the measured effects is inconsistent. Previous research has reported that N deposition suppressed [6,7], stimulated [15,16], or had no effect [17,18] on $R_S$. These contradicting findings regarding the responses of $R_S$ to N deposition are probably caused by different N deposition forms and levels [19] and the initial soil N status [20]. Thus, detailed research is required to understand the impact of N deposition on $R_S$ and its control mechanism.

N deposition has been projected to be enhanced in China in the next few decades [21] owing to increased human activity. To better comprehend the impact of elevated N deposition on forest $R_S$, there have been several simulated N deposition experiments conducted in different forest types across China. For example, Du et al. [22] conducted an N addition experiment in a temperate forest, which indicated that different types of N addition have different impacts on $R_S$; this finding was consistent with Wang et al. [19]. In a cold temperate forest, Liu et al. [23] observed that low level N treatments significantly promoted $R_S$ in the growing season, the opposite of the impact seen with high level N treatments. In subtropical forests, Deng et al. [24] discovered that the stimulating impact of N addition on $R_S$ was weakened throughout the study period. Tu et al. [13] demonstrated that N additions could increase the amount and quality of litterfall, microbial activity, and fine root biomass, all of which were then linked to increased $R_S$. Peng et al. [25] recently reported that $R_S$ decreased with increased N addition and was related to the amount of litterfall input.

Tropical forests have a vital role in controlling global and regional climate [26] and may display noteworthy responses to increased N deposition [27]. In addition, tropical forests are more typically nitrogen-rich [28], so their $R_S$ may show different responses to N deposition compared with other forest types. To date, however, there are very few investigations [20,27] on the effect of N availability on $R_S$ from tropical forests in China where N deposition rates are expected to increase with the rapid expansion of agricultural and industrial activities. Hence, additional investigations are necessary to explore the links between $R_S$ and N availability in tropical forests.

Although several previous studies have investigated N deposition in tropical montane rainforest ecosystems [26,29], the impacts of N availability on $R_S$ have not yet been reported in the Jianfengling National Natural Reserve (JFLNNR) in China. Here we performed a field experiment to examine the impacts of N additions on $R_S$ in the reserve. Our specific objectives were to: (1) clarify the dynamic characteristics of $R_S$ and its relationship with relevant environmental factors; and (2) assess the impacts of N additions on $R_S$ and its temperature sensitivity ($Q_{10}$). We hypothesized that N additions would increase the $R_S$ and its $Q_{10}$. We hope to enrich our understanding about the influence of N addition on $R_S$ in tropical rainforests, and to provide basic data for quantification and simulation of $R_S$ under global change.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Site Description

The experiment was conducted in an undisturbed tropical montane rainforest within the JFLNNR [30], Hainan Province, China (18°43’ N, 108°53’ E, 870 m a.s.l.) [29]. The JFLNNR is categorized as a tropical monsoon climate, with a dry season (November–April) and a wet season (May–October). The mean annual rainfall is approximately 2449 mm, with about 80–90% occurring in the wet season [31]. The mean annual air temperature is 19.8 °C [30]. The most common plant species in the study area are members of Lauraceae and Fagaceae [32]. The annual litterfall production ranged from 6.18 to 10.85 t ha−1 a−1, with an average production of 7.69 t ha−1 a−1 [33]. The soils in the study site are predominantly lateritic yellow soils. Soil texture is sandy clay loam (57.1% sand, 18.2% silt, 24.7% clay) [30]. The topography in the study site is relatively homogeneous, with a slope ranging from 0°
to 5° [34]. The N deposition measured within the JFLNNR is 9.0 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\) [34]. Mean soil pH and bulk density are 4.1 [30] and 1.1 g cm\(^{-3}\) [26], respectively. The contents of C and N in the topsoil at the study site are 35.5 and 1.4 g kg\(^{-1}\), respectively [29]. The rainforest has never been disturbed by human activities.

2.2. Field Manipulations

The N deposition simulation experiment started in September 2010 [29]. Four N addition treatments included CK (0 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)), N25 (25 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)), N50 (50 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)), and N100 (100 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)) [29,34]. The N fertilizer (NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\)) was diluted in 100 L of water and sprayed onto each treatment area with a sprayer. Notably, the CK treatment received the same water (100 L) without N additions to maintain similar water conditions between the treatments. A detailed description of the N treatment at the study area can be obtained in Tang et al. [26] and Zhou [34]. In early January 2015, about 4 years after the start of the N additions, twelve 10 × 10 m\(^2\) plots were established: three plots each for the CK, N25, N50, and N100 treatments. These plots were separated by buffer strips with a width of more than 10 m. The fertilization rate and method are the same as previously described in Zhou [34].

2.3. Soil Respiration and Environmental Parameter Measurements

\(R_S\) was observed from January 2015 to December 2018 using the static chamber. The chambers were made of polyvinyl chloride pipe and consisted of two parts: a cylindrical base frame and a removable top (inner diameter = 20 cm and height = 40 cm) [35]. The cylindrical base frames were permanently inserted into the soil (7 cm) of each plot. Any living plants within the fixed base frame were removed by hand twice a month. When sampling, the removable top was inserted into the base frame. Measurements were taken approximately twice a month. Four gas samples were taken using 10-mL vacuum tubes (Kangjian, Taizhou, China) at 10-min intervals after chamber closure [35]. Simultaneously, the chamber temperature was measured with a mercury thermometer (Shuangbo, Changzhou, China). The concentrations of CO\(_2\) (\(R_S\)) in the samples were measured using gas chromatography (Agilent 7890B; Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). We calculated \(R_S\) according to the rate of change in CO\(_2\) concentrations over time after chamber closure [36,37].

Soil temperature (°C) at a 5 cm depth was monitored using digital thermometers (Saiyasi, Dandong, Liaoning, China) outside each chamber during gas collection. The volumetric soil moisture (%) at a 5 cm depth was measured with a ML3 ThetaProbe (Delta-T Devices, Cambridge, UK) outside each chamber during the \(R_S\) measurement. Air temperature and air pressure were provided by the local weather station at Jianfengling.

2.4. Soil Characteristics

Soil samples were collected in June 2016, June 2017, and June 2018 using a soil auger. Three of the samples were taken from 0 to 10 cm depth in each treatment plot and combined. Subsequently, the large stones, roots, and litter were removed by a sieve (diameter = 2 mm). After collection, all soils were kept at 4 °C before analysis. Soil ammonium (NH\(_4\)^+ -N) and nitrate (NO\(_3^\) -N) were determined by a continuous flow analyzer (SEAL-AA3; Seal Analytical, Norderstedt, Germany); microbial biomass C and N (MBC and MBN) in soil were analyzed using the methods in Badalucco et al. [38].

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The widely used exponential regression model [39] was performed to analyze the relationship between the \(R_S\) and soil temperature:

\[
R_S = m \times e^{nT}
\]

where \(R_S\) represents the soil respiration value; \(T\) is the measured soil temperature; and \(m\) and \(n\) are the model coefficients.
Temperature sensitivity ($Q_{10}$) of $R_S$ was calculated as follows:

$$Q_{10} = e^{10n}$$

(2)

We used the simple linear regression models to reflect the relationships between $R_S$ and soil environmental factors (soil moisture, NH$_4^+$-N, NO$_3^-$-N, MBC, and MBN). Repeated measures ANOVA was applied to reveal the impacts of the N treatment, measurement date, and their interaction on $R_S$. The statistical significance of differences in $R_S$ and the soil environmental factors across the N addition treatments was examined by one-way ANOVA with an LSD test. All analyses were accomplished using SPSS (version 17.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), drawing through OriginPro (version 9.1.0; Origin Lab, Northampton, MA, USA) to complete. Statistical significance was evaluated as $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Soil Environmental Conditions

During the study period from January 2015 to December 2018, soil temperature and moisture displayed distinct seasonal cycles in all treatments (Figure 1a,b). Generally, soil was dry and cool in the dry season and became wet and warm in the wet season. The soil temperature and moisture measured in the CK plots varied from 11.3 to 24.3 °C and from 20.0% to 35.5%, respectively (Figure 1a,b). Over all plots and years, the mean soil temperature was 20.1 °C and mean soil moisture was 26.4%. No significant variations were detected in the soil temperature and moisture among the N25, N50, N100, and CK treatments during the study period (Table 1). The NH$_4^+$-N, NO$_3^-$-N, MBC, and MBN had no significant variations between the four treatments CK, N25, N50, and N100 (Table 1).

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1.** Changes in soil temperature (a), soil moisture (b), and soil respiration ($R_S$) (c) measured in a tropical montane rainforest within the JFLNNR from January 2015 to December 2018. The shaded areas indicate the wet seasons (May–October). N25, N50, N100, and CK represent 25, 50, 100, and 0 kg N ha$^{-1}$ a$^{-1}$ nitrogen (N) addition treatments, respectively. From January 2015 to December 2016, the soil moisture was not observed.
Table 1. Selected soil characteristics under different N treatments. N additions had no significant impacts on any variable shown.

| N Treatment | Soil temperature (°C) | Soil moisture (%) | NH$_4^+$-N (mg kg$^{-1}$) | NO$_3^-$-N (mg kg$^{-1}$) | MBC (mg kg$^{-1}$) | MBN (mg kg$^{-1}$) |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| N25         | 20.1 ± 0.4            | 27.4 ± 1.2        | 18.9 ± 2.0                | 14.4 ± 1.8               | 108.5 ± 17.0     | 105.4 ± 18.2     |
| N50         | 19.9 ± 0.4            | 25.5 ± 1.0        | 16.1 ± 1.2                | 15.4 ± 2.4               | 81.5 ± 19.6      | 101.4 ± 19.3     |
| N100        | 20.1 ± 0.4            | 25.5 ± 1.1        | 19.0 ± 2.9                | 13.8 ± 2.8               | 87.8 ± 15.9      | 88.4 ± 9.4       |
| CK          | 20.0 ± 0.4            | 27.3 ± 1.0        | 15.5 ± 1.3                | 11.5 ± 1.0               | 98.6 ± 24.5      | 106.5 ± 18.8     |

N25, N50, N100, and CK represent 25, 50, 100, and 0 kg N ha$^{-1}$ N addition treatments, respectively. Data are mean ± standard error of the mean.

3.2. Soil Respiration and Its Temperature Sensitivity

$R_S$ varied significantly across seasons (Table 2), with low values appeared in the dry season and high values appeared in the wet season in all studied N treatments (Figure 1c). In the CK plots, $R_S$ varied from 59.29 mg CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ in the dry season to 266.07 mg CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ in the wet season. The seasonal patterns and rates of $R_S$ under the four treatments were similar in 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 (Figure 1c). The inter-annual variability (coefficient of variation) of $R_S$ in the N25, N50, N100, and CK treatments was 4%, 8%, 7%, and 6%, respectively. The mean $R_S$ rate during the 4 years was 168.16, 168.80, 174.38, and 156.97 mg CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ for the N25, N50, N100, and CK treatments, respectively. Compared with CK, the $R_S$ increased by 7%, 8%, and 11% in the N25, N50, and N100 treatments (with an average of 9%), respectively. However, from the repeated measures ANOVA results, N treatment and the interaction between N treatment and measurement date had statistically insignificant effects on $R_S$ (F = 1.84, p = 0.19 and F = 0.72, p = 0.70, respectively; Table 2). Significant variations in $R_S$ between the CK and N addition treatments were also not detected for different observation years by one-way ANOVA (Figure 2). Further, there were no significant variations in $R_S$ across treatments in both the dry and wet seasons (Figure 3).

In this rainforest, $R_S$ exhibited significant correlations with soil temperature and moisture (Figure 4; Table 3). $R_S$ increased exponentially with soil temperature in all treatments ($p < 0.001$ for all; Figure 4a; Table 3). $R_S$ increased linearly with soil moisture in all treatments ($p < 0.005$ for all; Figure 4b; Table 3). Under similar soil moisture conditions, $R_S$ was the higher in N addition plots and lower in CK plots. The four-year $Q_{10}$ values were 3.45, 4.11, 2.94, and 2.27 in the N25, N50, N100, and CK treatments, respectively (Table 3), indicating that $Q_{10}$ has been increased by 52%, 81%, and 30% in the N25, N50, and N100 treatments (with an average of 54%), respectively, when compared to CK. The results of correlation analysis demonstrated that $R_S$ was not significantly related to NH$_4^+$-N, NO$_3^-$-N, MBC, and MBN (data not shown).

Table 2. Impacts of experimental treatment, month, and their interaction on $R_S$ tested by repeated measure ANOVA (bold numbers denote significant impacts, $p < 0.05$).

| Source of Variation | $R_S$ |
|---------------------|-------|
|                     | $F$   | $p$      |
| Month               | 35.44 | <0.001   |
| Treatment           | 1.84  | 0.19     |
| Month × Treatment   | 0.72  | 0.70     |
significant variations were found among the four N treatments by one-way ANOVA. Figure 2. Mean $R_S$ in 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, and four-year average for each treatment. N25, N50, N100, and CK represent 25, 50, 100, and 0 kg N ha$^{-1}$ a$^{-1}$ N addition treatments, respectively. Error bars denote the standard errors of the means. No significant variations were found among the four N treatments across each year by one-way ANOVA.

Figure 3. Mean $R_S$ under different N treatments in the dry season (a) and wet season (b). N25, N50, N100, and CK represent 25, 50, 100, and 0 kg N ha$^{-1}$ a$^{-1}$ N addition treatments, respectively. Error bars denote the standard errors of the means. No significant variations were found among the four N treatments by one-way ANOVA regardless of season.

Table 3. Parameters of different regression models between $R_S$, soil temperature (T), and soil moisture (W).

| Treatment | $m$  | $n$   | $R^2$ | $p$     | $Q_{10}$ | $a$   | $b$   | $R^2$ | $p$     |
|-----------|------|-------|-------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| N25       | 13.11| 0.1237| 0.50  | <0.001  | 3.45     | 5.32  | 27.83 | 0.35  | <0.005  |
| N50       | 9.36 | 0.1413| 0.64  | <0.001  | 4.11     | 8.31  | -50.06| 0.51  | <0.001  |
| N100      | 19.02| 0.1078| 0.52  | <0.001  | 2.94     | 5.96  | 31.01 | 0.27  | <0.005  |
| CK        | 29.47| 0.0821| 0.41  | <0.001  | 2.27     | 7.03  | -35.37| 0.46  | <0.001  |

$m$, $n$, $a$, and $b$ are the model coefficients. The $Q_{10}$ value is obtained from $n$ ($Q_{10} = e^{10n}$). N25, N50, N100, and CK represent 25, 50, 100, and 0 kg N ha$^{-1}$ a$^{-1}$ N addition treatments, respectively.
4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison with Previous Studies

In this rainforest, the four-year average $R_S$ measured in the CK plots was 156.97 mg CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$, which was comparable to previous studies in nearby forests (179.6 mg CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) [40] as well as a mixed forest in subtropical China (169.73 mg CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) [18]. The $R_S$ value was lower than those reported in a mature tropical forest in China (253 mg CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) [27] and a tropical rainforest in Indonesia (562.2 mg CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) [41].

The $Q_{10}$ in the CK treatment was 2.27 during the monitoring period, which was paralleled with that observed by Bekku et al. [42] for a secondary tropical forest in Malaysia ($Q_{10} = 2.1$), and by Mo et al. [20] for a disturbed tropical moist forest ($Q_{10} = 2.3$). However, the $Q_{10}$ value of the tropical montane rainforest in Jianfengling was lower than that reported in several temperate and subtropical forests in China [7,43,44], and slightly lower than the average $Q_{10}$ value of 2.51 estimated for China’s forests [45]. Compared with other forest types, tropical forests are characterized by an abundant supply of soil organic matter (SOM), more complex species composition, and higher microbial biomass and enzyme activities; thus, a lower $Q_{10}$ value is expected [46,47].

4.2. Environmental Controls on Soil Respiration

Previous research has demonstrated that soil temperature and moisture are two major factors regulating $R_S$ [18,27]. Our field data demonstrated that $R_S$ positively correlated with soil temperature (Figure 4a). Indeed, soil temperature can affect substrate availability by adjusting seasonal C distributions and daily metabolism, and can affect extracellular enzyme activities, which dominate soil microbial respiration [48–50]. Compared with the impact of temperature on $R_S$, the impact of soil moisture on $R_S$ is more complex. Soil moisture affects not only the physiological processes and activities of enzymes, but also gas diffusion [51,52]. It is generally believed that insufficient or excessive soil moisture will inhibit $R_S$, but moderate soil moisture can stimulate $R_S$. Our study field is situated in a humid tropical monsoon climate region with distinct dry and wet seasons, meaning soil moisture may have a crucial role in the seasonal patterns of $R_S$.

Recent research has shown that the synergistic effect of soil moisture and temperature can better explain the changes in $R_S$ over time relative to the influence of a single factor [14,27]. In our field experiment, low to negligible $R_S$ was measured during the dry season when soil moisture and temperature were both low. Similarly, moderate to high $R_S$
was measured during the wet season when soil moisture and temperature were both high (Figure 1). In addition, because no significant variations in soil moisture and temperature were found between the treatments (Table 1), we posited that soil moisture and temperature might not be the main reasons for the increase in $R_S$ observed in the different N added treatments in our study.

4.3. Effects of N Additions on Soil Respiration

Our findings imply that N addition has the potential to stimulate $R_S$ in tropical forests, which agrees with other studies from tropical forests [15], a subtropical forest [53], and a temperate forest [19]. However, some previous research has indicated that $R_S$ could be inhibited by N additions [54,55]. These contradicting results may stem from differences in the soil properties and ecosystem types [19]. $R_S$ consists of both autotrophic (root) and heterotrophic (fungal and microbial) respiration [36], which is closely related with the amount of litter biomass and fine root biomass, and the microbial community size in soil [53,55]. In earlier studies conducted at our same site, Zhou [34] found that fine root biomass increased with an increase in N addition (when compared with the CK, the fine root biomass in the N25, N50, and N100 treatments were 19%, 27%, and 36% higher, respectively), which has been reported to have a positive impact on root respiration and rhizosphere respiration [16,24]. Moreover, experimental N addition has been reported to increase soil organic carbon (SOC) at the study site [34], which provides more substrate for the microbial community and enzyme activity, and then increases microbial biomass and microbial respiration [57,58]. Similarly, Cleveland and Townsend [15] found that after experimental N addition, higher $R_S$ of a tropical forest could arise from greater root respiration, more rapid decomposition by the microbial community, or both. These positive impacts eventually contribute to the promotion of $R_S$ by N addition.

It should be noted that there was no significant variation in $R_S$ across treatments (Table 2; Figure 2). A reason for this might be that N additions did not alter SOC and fine root biomass significantly at our site [34], that is, N additions did not remarkably change the specific respiration rates of microbes and fine roots, and thus N additions had no significant impact on $R_S$. At the same experimental field, Tang et al. [26] found that most soil characteristics (soil pH, dissolved organic carbon, total N, etc.) were not significantly different under different N treatments at different seasonal stages. This may be one of the reasons for the insignificant variation in $R_S$ between different N additions in neither the wet season nor the dry season (Figure 3). As we did not measure some related parameters, the underlying mechanistic connection between N additions and $R_S$ is still not clear.

4.4. Effects of N Additions on Temperature Sensitivity

$Q_{10}$ values reflect the response intensity of $R_S$ to rising temperatures, which is one of the key ecological parameters in ecosystem C cycle models [59]. While N addition has been widely indicated to decrease the $Q_{10}$ value [7,13,53], our results demonstrated that N additions can increase $Q_{10}$ values for the entire study period (Table 3), which agrees with previous observations [24,60]. The increase in $Q_{10}$ in our study indicates that N addition may, in fact, strengthen the temperature control on $R_S$ at our study site. On one hand, N addition can promote the growth of vegetation, increase the respiratory substrate supply (e.g., SOC), and thus increase the $Q_{10}$ value [24]. On the other hand, N addition can stimulate the enzyme activity related to the decomposition of SOM [61], thereby promoting the decomposition of SOM that leads to an increase in the $Q_{10}$ values.

Additionally, the response magnitudes of $Q_{10}$ to different N treatment levels may be different in different forest types. For example, at our site, the highest $Q_{10}$ value appeared in the N50 treatment and the lowest appeared in the N100 treatment (Table 3). In contrast, Tu et al. [13] discovered that the highest value of $Q_{10}$ in a subtropical forest appeared in the low-N plots and the lowest appeared in the high-N plots. The variations of the $Q_{10}$ value with different levels of N additions reflect the change in enzyme activity, microbial composition, and/or metabolic pathways in the soils [13,23,58]. However, the impact of N
addition on the $Q_{10}$ is a complex dynamic, and the specific elaborations for the responses of $Q_{10}$ to different quantities of N additions in various ecosystems remain unclear and warrant further study.

4.5. Uncertainties and Limitations

There are some uncertainties and limitations in this study. The static chamber-gas chromatography method was used to measure CO$_2$ flux ($R_S$) between the soil and the atmosphere. As reported previously, the quality of the measured flux is affected by many factors, including the methodology, physical and biological disturbances, instrument performance, and the storage and transportation of gas samples [62–64]. At our site, the uncertainty of the static chamber measurements may result from the estimation, because all measured CO$_2$ fluxes, used to represent the daily and even monthly $R_S$, were implemented during the daytime. Additionally, continuous measurement of $R_S$ at one location with a chamber may change the soil moisture and temperature in the chamber [64], which can add some uncertainties into the $R_S$ evaluations. For example, Janssens et al. [65] found that when the temperature and moisture in the air and soil within the chamber change, both soil decomposition and root respiration rates will be affected, resulting in changes in $R_S$.

Litter decomposition rate, fine root biomass, and soil microbial activity are important parameters to our understanding of the effects of N additions on $R_S$ [16,66], none of which were measured in the present study. More auxiliary data could help us comprehend the mechanisms of the impact of N addition on $R_S$ and its $Q_{10}$. An improved understanding of the responses of $R_S$ and its $Q_{10}$ to increasing N deposition in tropical forests will enable us to reduce the uncertainty regarding $R_S$ estimations. Therefore, more detailed investigations on the impacts of N additions on $R_S$ and its $Q_{10}$ are critically needed in tropical forests.

5. Conclusions

We measured $R_S$ in an undisturbed tropical montane rainforest within the JFLNNR, China from 2015 to 2018 after different levels of N additions. Our results showed that the seasonal dynamics of $R_S$ subject to N additions were similar to the control condition. N additions had no significant effects on $R_S$, but the increase in N availability can promote the $R_S$ to a certain extent. We inferred the possible mechanism driving the increase of $R_S$ was an increase in the SOC and fine root biomass related to N additions. Our analysis showed that N additions also increased $Q_{10}$ during the whole study period, but this varied with different N addition levels. More comprehensive studies should be conducted to better comprehend how rates of N addition affect $Q_{10}$ in this system. In summary, both $R_S$ and its $Q_{10}$ increased with added N, suggesting that the large soil C stocks contained in tropical rainforest soils are likely to be highly vulnerable to ongoing global change and current and projected rates of N deposition.
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