Assessment of the organoleptic properties, species delimits and varietal identities of pennyworts in Sri Lanka
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Abstract: Asiatic pennyworts (AP) (Centella asiatica) are among the most popular leafy vegetable species in Sri Lanka. Five AP cultivars, namely, Lowland AP (LAP), Giant AP1 (GAP1), Giant AP2 (GAP2), Salad AP (SAP), and Medicinal AP (MAP) are grown in the country. Two other leafy vegetable species, water pennyworts (WP) (Hydrocotyle verticillata) and Korean pennyworts (KP) (Adenophora triphylla), are also designated under ‘pennyworts’ in Sri Lanka. The consumer-preference on the appearance of leaf bunches available in the market and the salad properties are yet to be studied. Also, no attempts have been reported for the identification of species delimits and phylogenetic relationships among the different cultivars of C. asiatica. In the present study, consumer preference on the appearance of leaf bunches and salads of pennyworts were assessed. The intra- and interspecific variation of pennyworts grown in Sri Lanka were also studied. The genomic DNA extracted from the immature leaves was PCR amplified for the DNA barcoding markers matK-trnT, atpB-rbcL, and rbcL. The PCR products were sequenced and used to assess the intra- and interspecific variation. The consumers mostly preferred to purchase the leaf bunches of GAP2 because of its large leaf size and appealing look. However, the highest preference for salads was reported for the dishes prepared using LAP, MAP, and WP. The clade structure of the phylogenetic tree drawn for AP cultivars shows an intraspecific variation. Our study also highlights the importance of producing an improved AP cultivar with larger leaf size, improved flavor properties, and sinuate leaf margin.
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INTRODUCTION

Asiatic pennyworts (APs) (Centella asiatica L. Urban of family Apiaceae), commonly known as Gotukola or Indian pennyworts, are among the most popular leafy vegetables in Sri Lanka (Nadeeshani et al., 2018). APs are popular in South East Asia (Chandrika & Kumar, 2015) however; it has a limited recognition in other countries. AP is mainly consumed as a salad. AP leaf extracts are also consumed as porridge, a frequently consumed herbal drink in Sri Lankan breakfasts. AP is also used to prepare herbal tea, which is a rich source of antioxidants (Huda-Faujan et al., 2007). C. asiatica is well adapted to tropical and subtropical climates and predominantly grown in countries such as Sri Lanka, India, China, Madagascar, and Indonesia (Jamil et al., 2007). In addition to C. asiatica two other pennywort species, Hydrocotyle verticillata [vern. water pennyworts (WP)] of family Araliaceae and Adenophora triphylla [vern. Korean pennyworts (KP)] of family Campanulaceae are also grown and consumed in Sri Lanka. All three
pennywort species prefer to grow in moist soils (Peiris & Kays, 1996). AP exhibits a prostrate and stoloniferous perennial growth pattern with rooting at the nodes (Bandara et al., 2011; Chandrika & Kumara, 2015). Moreover, AP is fast-growing and listed as a potentially invasive plant in some countries (Perera, 1995; Van De Wiel et al., 2009). WP also exhibits a prostrate growth and rooting at nodes. WP can also grow as a complete aquatic plant and is occasionally used as an ornamental plant in fish tanks and water ponds. KP is generally grown in meadows and grasslands. KP thrives well in the agricultural soils where AP grows (Peiris & Kays, 1996; Yu et al., 2006).

The fully grown leaves with petioles are the economically important part of the pennyworts. However, the whole plants with roots are also harvested to make herbal medicines and porridge preparations. The leaves are rich with carotenoids, vitamins, and minerals, including calcium, iron, potassium, and magnesium (Tiwari et al., 2000). AP leaves also contain a wide range of phytochemicals such as triterpenes, glycosides, flavonoids, carotenoids, alkaloids, volatile oils, fatty acids, and triterpenoid saponins (Singh & Rastogi, 1969; Chandrika & Kumara, 2015).

AP is a popular medicinal plant possessing many reported ethnomedicinal and nutritional values. Human beings have used AP since prehistoric times. AP is widely used in the ayurvedic and Chinese medicinal practices (Cesarone et al., 1992; Brinkhaus et al., 2000; Incandela et al., 2001; Singh et al., 2010; 2012) and used as an ingredient in herbal medicinal preparations for treating skin conditions (e.g., wounds, leprosy, varicose, and psoriasis), gastrointestinal diseases (e.g., diarrhea, dysentery and gastric ulcers), infectious diseases (e.g., influenza) and inflammatory diseases in the liver, urogenital and nephrological tissues (Rosen et al., 1967; Cesarone et al., 1992; Sunilkumar et al., 1998; Brinkhaus et al., 2000; Incandela et al., 2001; Singh et al., 2012).

According to the growers and agriculture workers, a total of five AP cultivars, Lowland AP (LAP), Giant AP1 (GAP1), Giant AP2 (GAP2), Salad AP (SAP), and Medicinal AP (MAP) are grown as leafy vegetables in Sri Lanka. The consumers have diverse preferences over the different AP cultivars. There are attractive AP cultivars for their gorgeous foliage and pleasing taste when prepared as salads. The AP cultivars with attractive foliage also have export potential. However, consumer preference on leaf bunches and the culinary preparations of the AP, WP, and KP in Sri Lanka have not been assessed. Moreover, the species delimits among AP, WP, and KP, and the intraspecific variation within AP have not been assessed based on the molecular phylogenetics. In export industry, it is essential to establish the DNA barcodes of the exportable AP cultivars for authentication and validation purposes (Hebert et al., 2003; Chase et al., 2005; Mitchell, 2008; Hollingsworth et al., 2011).

Therefore, the present study was aimed at assessing the consumer preference on the appearance of leaf bunches, salads of AP cultivars, WP, and KP, the phylogenetic relationships and intraspecific variations of AP cultivars grown in Sri Lanka were also studied to facilitate germplasm conservation, and future crop breeding programs.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Plant material and observations of morphological features**

A total of five AP cultivars (LAP, GAP1, GAP2, SAP, and MAP) were assessed in the present study. WP and KP were also included in the analyses. Samples were collected from the Green Leaf Vegetable Collection available at the Department of Agriculture, Gannoruwa, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka (GPS: 7.276292, 80.599033) and University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka (GPS: 7.259434, 80.598400). The voucher numbers, sample details and the GenBank IDs of the DNA sequences generated are given in Table 1. All cultivars were established in a greenhouse at the University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka (GPS: 7.259434, 80.598400) according to the recommendations provided by the Department of Agriculture, Sri Lanka. The standard compost mixtures were prepared according to the procedures given in http://www.agrimin.gov.lk/ (Department of Agriculture, Sri Lanka) and used for planting in the mixture of 1:1:1 compost, garden soil, and sand, respectively. The basal dressing was applied as the rate of 110 kg/ha of urea, 275 kg/ha of Triple Super Phosphate (TSP) and 75 kg/ha of Murate of Potash (MOP). The subsequent dressings were made monthly in which TSP and MOP were only added at two-month intervals whereas urea was added monthly. The fertilizer amounts were similar to basal dressing. The temperature range of the greenhouse was from 22 °C to 36 °C (mean 32 °C) and mean relative humidity was 67 %. The photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) within greenhouse was measured using MultispeQ V.2 device (https://www.photosynq.com/) and found to be in the range of 25.04 to 2982.82. Overhead irrigation was provided on a need basis by checking the soil moisture content for field capacity. The leaves with stalks were harvested at fully grown stage for the organoleptic
assessment of bunches and salad preparations. General morphological features were recorded to provide cultivar and species descriptions by using the representative samples of plants and harvestable leaves with stalk at maturity stage (Table 2).

Table 1: Sampling details and DNA sequence ID of the present study

| Voucher No. | Species                  | Common Name                | Abbreviation | GenBank IDs of the submitted sequences\* |
|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|
|             |                          |                            | matK-trnT    | atpB-rbcL                               | rbcL         |
| DMB 89      | *Centella asiatica*      | Giant Asiatic Pennywort 1  | GAP1         | MK905062 MK905042 MK905027             |
| DMB 90      |                          |                            | MK905063 MK905043 MK905028             |
| DMB 91      |                          |                            | MK905064 MK905044 -                    |
| DMB 92      |                          | Giant Asiatic Pennywort 2  | GAP2         | MK905065 MK905045 MK905029             |
| DMB 93      |                          |                            | MK905066 MK905046 MK905030             |
| DMB 94      |                          |                            | MK905067 MK905047 -                    |
| DMB 95      | Lowland Asiatic Pennywort| LAP                        | MK905071 MK905051 MK905033             |
| DMB 96      |                          |                            | MK905072 MK905052 MK905035             |
| DMB 97      |                          |                            | MK905073 MK905053 -                    |
| DMB 98      | Salad Asiatic Pennywort  | SAP                        | MK905077 MK905057 MK905038             |
| DMB 99      |                          |                            | MK905078 MK905058 MK905034             |
| DMB 100     |                          |                            | MK905079 MK905059 -                    |
| DMB 101     | Medicinal Asiatic Pennywort |                        | MAP          | MK905074 MK905054 MK905036             |
| DMB 102     |                          |                            | MK905075 MK905055 MK905037             |
| DMB 103     | *Adenophora triphylla*   | Korean Pennywort            | KP           | MK905068 MK905048 MK905031             |
| DMB 104     |                          |                            | MK905069 MK905049 MK905032             |
| DMB 105     |                          |                            | MK905070 MK905050 -                    |
| DMB 106     | *Hydrocotyle verticillata* | Water Pennywort              | WP           | MK905060 MK905039 MK905025             |
| DMB 107     |                          |                            | MK905061 MK905040 MK905026             |
| DMB 108     |                          |                            | MK905062 MK905041 -                    |
| DMB 109     |                          |                            | -            |                                       |

\*Submitted and publicly available at GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/); due to the less divergence, only two samples from each cultivar/species were sequenced for *rbcL*.

**Organoleptic assessment**

**Preference on leaf bunches**

The harvested leaves (at fully grown stage) were prepared as bunches as they are available in the market (Figure 1). The freshly prepared bunches were presented to a group of 30 taste panellists for ranking. The recruited panellists were trained for the purpose and had extensive experience in purchasing and consuming pennyworts. The panellists were asked to rank the bunches for preferred levels of aroma, colour, glossiness, leaf shape, leaf size, leafiness and overall preference for three categories (1: low preference; 2: moderate preference; 3: highest preference) and provide their specific opinions.

**Preference on salads**

The leaf material was washed thoroughly three times with water and allowed to drain for 3 h. The leaf material was finely chopped (1–3 mm) using a sharp knife. For 1 kg of chopped leaf material; 200 g of grated coconut, 50 mL of lime juice, 100 g of chopped onion, 20 g of salt and 10 g of black pepper powder were added. The ingredients were mixed gently with hand without squeezing the material. The preparation made in this manner is called ‘pennywort salad’, the most popular recipe for consuming pennyworts in Sri Lanka (Table 3). The taste panellists were given salad preparations to rank for the organoleptic parameters; aroma, bitterness, colour, texture, and overall taste and record the opinions.
The panellists used the same scale that they used to rank bunches.

**Organoleptic data analysis**

The preference data generated for pennywort bunches and salad dishes were analysed using the statistical package SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The row percentages of significant associations were calculated for the interpretation of the relative preferences on bunch and salad of each pennywort type. The weighted scores were calculated by multiplying row percentages with the respective rank to tabulate weighted scores for all the organoleptic parameters for each pennywort type. Principal component analyses (PCA) were conducted for the weighted scores of the bunch and salad preference data separately using Minitab 16 (Minitab Inc., USA) to depict the variations in organoleptic parameters among pennywort types (Kumari et al., 2019).

**DNA isolation, PCR and DNA sequencing**

The immature leaves were collected and ground in liquid nitrogen to acquire fine powder samples. Genomic DNA was extracted using a modified CTAB method (Porebski et al., 1997), and the DNA samples were stored at -20 °C. The genomic DNA samples were PCR amplified with universal plant DNA barcoding markers; \( rbcL \) (PF: ATGTCACCACAAACAGAGACTAAAGC; PR: GTAA AATCAAGTCCACCRCG) (Hoot et al., 1995; Levin et al., 2003; Kress & Erickson, 2007), \( matK-trnT \) spacer (PF: GCATAAATATAYTCCYGAAARATAAGTGG, PR: TGGGTTGCTAACTCAATGG) (Wicke & Quandt, 2009), and, \( atpB-rbcL \) (PF: 5´GAAGTAGTAGGATTGATTCTC3´, PR: 5´TACAGTTGTCCATGTACCAG3´) (Hoot et al., 2001). PCR mixtures (30 µL) were prepared with 1× Go Taq® Green Master Mix (Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA), 1 µL (10 ng/µL) of each forward and reverse primers, 7.0 µL of spermidine (1.34 × 10⁻⁴ mol/dm³) and 1 µL of template DNA (60 ng/µL). PCR was carried out using a thermal cycler (Takara, Otsu Shiga, Japan). The thermal profile consisted initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, primer annealing for 1 min at 48 °C for \( matK-trnT \) spacer, 45 °C for \( atpB-rbcL \) spacer and 55 °C for \( rbcL \), extension at 72 °C for 2 min and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. To visualize the PCR products, 2.5 % agarose gel was used and they were purified using QIAquick® PCR Purification Kit (Catalog No: 28104, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The purified PCR

| Pennywort species/cultivar | Growth pattern | Colour of root stock | Colour of stolens | Weeks to runnering | No. of leaves produced by a stolen | Weeks to make complete ground cover (mat) |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| GAP1                       | Creeping and horizontal stolens (arise from leaf axils) are grown connecting the plants. | Pale-white hairy rhizome (vertical downward growth) | Yellowish green to green | 2–3                             | 3-5                                | 6–8                                      |
| GAP2                       | Creeping and horizontal stolens are grown connecting the plants. | Pale-white hairy rhizome (vertical downward growth) | Yellowish green to green | 2–3                             | 3-5                                | 6–8                                      |
| LAP                        | Creeping horizontal stolens are grown connecting the plants. Plants in the middle of the mat display upright growth. | Pale-white hairy rhizome (vertical downward growth) | Yellowish green to green | 2–3                             | 3-5                                | 6–8                                      |
| SAP                        | Creeping horizontal stolens are grown connecting the plants. Also thrives well in aquatic media. | Pale-white hairy rhizome (vertical downward growth) | Yellowish green to green | 2–3                             | 3-5                                | 6–8                                      |
| MAP                        | Creeping and horizontal stolens are grown connecting the plants. Plants in the middle of the mat display upright growth. | Pale-white hairy rhizome (vertical downward growth) | Yellowish green to green | 2–3                             | 3-5                                | 6–8                                      |
| KP                         | Creeping and horizontal stolens are grown connecting the plants. | Pale-white hairy rhizome (vertical downward growth) | Yellowish green to green | 2–3                             | 3-5                                | 6–8                                      |
| WP                         | Creeping and horizontal stolens are grown connecting the plants. Also thrives well in aquatic media. | Pale-white hairy rhizome (vertical downward growth) | Yellowish green to green | 2–3                             | 3-5                                | 6–8                                      |

Table 2: Vegetative growth characteristics of pennyworts assessed
Phylogenetic analysis

The raw pennywort sequence-reads were examined, and ambiguous regions were trimmed using MEGA 7 software (Kumar et al., 2016). The consensus sequences were subjected to a Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) search in NCBI. The best hits were identified. Depending on the bit score and plant morphology, genus, and the species names were confirmed for the studied pennyworts.

Three separate alignments were built for rbcL, matK-trnT and atpB-rbcL in MEGA 7 to determine the phylogenetic relationships of the pennywort types. The behaviour of the clustering and concordance of the tree topologies of each marker were predetermined by implementing a partition homogeneity (ILD) test (Planet, 2006). The congruent datasets were combined and the combined dataset was used in phylogenetic tree construction. Once the datasets are combined, different markers must be differentially treated; thus, a data partition matrix was prepared in PartitionFinder 2 software in CIPRES supercomputer (Miller et al., 2010). In the data partition analysis, the best partition schemes were assessed, and the best model of evolution for the combined dataset was selected. The corrected Akaike information criteria (AICc) (Cavanaugh, 1997) were used to find the best models of evolution for each partition. The output was exported into the downstream phylogenetic analysis. A phylogenetic tree search was carried out in the Bayesian framework using MrBayes (Huelsenbeck et al., 2001) in the CIPRES science gateway (Miller et al., 2010). Four Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains (two hot chains and two cold chains) were implemented for ten million runs, and the independent sampling from the posterior distribution was assessed using Effective Sample Size for all the priors used. The initial 10% of the trees were discarded as burn-in, and the rest of the sampled trees were used to come up with the final majority rule consensus tree. To further validate the tree topology given in the Bayesian framework, a Maximum Likelihood (ML) bootstrap analysis was carried out in PAUP Version 4.0a (Swofford, 2002). The analysis was run for 1000 replicates, and the 50% majority rule consensus tree was drawn to conclude the bootstrap values. The resulting trees were modified using FigTree v1.4.3 (Rambaut, 2014).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Morphological features of pennyworts

All AP cultivars, KP and WP exhibit creeping stolons that are green or yellowish green. The stolons grow...
Table 3: Summary of the qualitative responses in organoleptic assessment

| Criteria | % Respondents and the response parameter |
|----------|----------------------------------------|
| Source of AP | 76 - Market  
| | 16 - Market and home garden grown material  
| | 08 - Home garden grown material or from rice fields, coconut lands and natural habitats |
| Criteria when selecting bunches | 96 - Cleanliness  
| | 85 - Attractive appearance  
| | 93 - Freshness |
| Preferred culinary preparation | 100 - Salad  
| | 08 - Other forms (mellun, porridge and curry) |
| Preferred special flavour enhancers | 80 - Maldive-fish  
| | 100 - lime juice |
| Preferred AP taste | 85 - Peculiar AP bitterness/taste  
| | 82 - Crunchiness/freshness |

| Pennywort species/cultivar | % Respondents for preference classes | % Respondents for specific remarks |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| GAP1 | 10 - Highest preference  
| | 80 - Medium preference  
| | 10 - Low preference |
| GAP2 | 75 - Highest preference  
| | 10 - Medium preference  
| | 15 - Low preference |
| LAP | 15 - Highest preference  
| | 75 - Medium preference  
| | 10 - Low preference |
| SAP | 30 - Highest preference  
| | 35 - Medium preference  
| | 35 - Low preference |
| MAP | 10 - Highest preference  
| | 70 - Medium preference  
| | 20 - Low preference |
| KP | 15 - Highest preference  
| | 35 - Medium preference  
| | 50 - Low preference |
| WP | 40 - Highest preference  
| | 45 - Medium preference  
| | 25 - Low preference |

horizontally connecting the plants. It was observed that the stolons grow fast, and within two weeks, each stolen would produce three to five fully grown leaves that can be harvested for consumption (Table 2). The plants spread and cover the ground rapidly and become a network. The stolons arise from the leaf axes. Rooting occurs vertically at nodes when they touch with moist soil. KP has a more upright growth pattern. In high nutrient soil, KP grows exceptionally fast and produces large leaves. WP grows well in moist soil and is capable of thriving
in aquatic habitats. The leaf stalks of WP proliferate to float on the water surface to receive sunlight. The vertical roots of WP emerge from the leaf axils at the nodes. The rootstocks of all pennyworts consist of pale-white hairy rhizomes that grow vertically down (Table 2).

The AP cultivars LAP, GAP1, GAP2, and MAP possess reniform, glabrous leaves with crenate margins (Figures 1A, 1B, 1C, and 1E). WP possesses orbicular shaped, lustrous leaves (Figure 1G) while SAP possesses reniform shaped glabrous leaves with sinuate margin (Figure 1D). KA has compound glabrous leaves with dentate margin (Figure 1F). The leaves of all pennyworts have palmate venation pattern (Figure 1). Among the cultivars, GAP1 and GAP2 have significantly the largest leaves varying from 3–6 cm and 4–9 cm in length/width, respectively (Figures 1B and 1C). WP, SAP, and LAP possess medium-sized leaves varying from 2–6 cm in length/width. MAP possesses significantly the smallest leaves with 1–2 cm in length/width (Figure 1). MAP exhibits small white to purple or pink coloured flowers fascicled in umbels at maturity, and each umbel consists of 3–6 flowers. Harvesting of leaves for consumption must be done before the reproductive stage for better texture. All pennyworts except KP show laterally compressed, indehiscent, green to brown colour fruits with thick pericarps. A typical patch from a growing field, a runner, a leaf with the petiole (stalk) at the edible stage, a typical bunch available for selling in the market and adaxial and abaxial sides of a leaf of all pennywort types are displayed in Figure 1.

Organoleptic preference

Bunch preference

The colour, leaf size, leaf shape, glossiness of the leaf, aroma and overall preference of the bunch were significantly associated with the pennywort type (p < 0.05, df = 12, \( \chi^2 = 21.5–51.5 \)) (Figure 3A). The highest preferred colour of the bunch was reported for GAP2. The least preferred colour was observed for SAP, which has a relatively light green foliage (Figure 1D). However, it was identified that the leafiness was not significantly different among pennyworts (p < 0.05, \( \chi^2 = 17.1 \)). The highest preferred leaf size was observed for GAP2, as indicated by 60 % of the respondents, while the highest preferred leaf shape was also recorded for GAP2, (80 % of the respondents). GAP2 also had the highest preferred glossiness (65 % of the respondents). The highest preferred aroma was reported for GAP2 and KP, as indicated by 75 %. The highest overall preference was recorded for GAP2 (75 % of the respondents) and the least preference was observed for KP (80 % of the respondents). Although LAP was rated as the highest preferred AP cultivar as a dish, the preference for its bunch is moderate (75 % of the respondents) (Figure 2A; Figure 4Aii). In bunch preference, the scree plot (Figure 4Aii) indicated that the tasters perceived the colour and glossiness as closely related parameters. The parameters; leaf size, leafiness, and leaf shape were more closely related to each other. The overall preference was more related to leafiness, leaf size, and leaf shape of the bunches (Figure 4Aiii). The principal component (PC) loading status and the respective Eigenvalues are shown in Figures 4Aiii and 4Aiv, respectively.

The present study is of significance because the consumer preference, species delimitation of pennyworts, and intra-specific diversity of AP are documented for the first time in scientific literature. Generally, each Sri Lankan person consumes AP salads at least 3–5 times weekly (Balasuriya & Dharmaratne, 2007; Perera & Madhujith, 2012). The preparation of AP salads is simple. Some people also add dried tuna (Maldives-fish: a special preparation of seasoned tuna) pieces to improve the taste (Hettiaratchi et al., 2011). Around 24 % people grew the required AP material in their home gardens or backyards, while the majority (76 %) purchased bunches from the market (Table 3). Sri Lankans generally believe (as revealed by all respondents) that MAP is the best AP cultivar with the greatest ‘AP taste’ and the highest medicinal value. However, the market is dominated by GAP2 because of its ease of growing, cleaning, chopping for cooking, higher yield and attractive appearance of bunches in the market. Because of the large size of the leaves, GAP2 leaf bunches look clean and attractive (Table 3). However, GAP1 is not that attractive, and bunches appear untidy. The leaf size and colour of GAP1 are variable and sometimes even showing yellowish leaves. The present study indicates that people prefer GAP2 bunches, primarily because of its massive leaf size and the attractive leaf shape (Figures 1B and 1C). MAP and LAP cultivars are less preferred because their bunches are not very tidy looking and often contain sand and clay adhered to the roots and leaves. The leaf bunches of MAP and LAP always has a significant portion of roots attached and it is very difficult to tie up as bunches without roots (Table 3). However, GAP2 arrives at the market as leaf bunches without any roots and no adhered soil. Therefore, people tend to perceive them as clean and free from the harmful pathogens. Also, a majority of the people (96% of the respondents) prefer to spend less time on cleaning and chopping AP leaves. The processing of GAP2 bunches for cooking is the easiest compared to other cultivars increasing the popularity of GAP2.
Salad preference

When considering the preference for salad preparations, LAP and WP were the highest preferred types, and SAP was the least preferred (Table 3; Figure 2B; Figure 3B; Figure 4Bi). The colour, aroma, texture, and overall taste of dishes were significantly associated with the type of pennywort ($p < 0.05$, $\chi^2 = 22.0–53.1$). The bitterness was not significantly different among the pennywort types ($p > 0.05$, $\chi^2 = 8.5$). The highest preferred colour of the dish was reported for WP and LAP, as indicated by 58% of the respondents. The highest preferred aroma
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**Figure 2:** The row percentages of the preference categories calculated in association analysis for each organoleptic parameter and each pennywort. A: preference on bunch; B: preference on salad. Y-axis represents the percentage of respondents. Black portion of the bar: highest preference, ash/grey: moderate preference, white: low preference.
was reported for WP (36.7 % of the respondents). The best-preferred texture was reported for LAP. The highest overall taste was reported for LAP followed by WP and GAP1 and MAP. The least preferred overall taste was reported for SAP (43.3 % of the respondents) (Table 3; Figure 2B). In dishes, aroma and texture were preferred as an almost single parameter and the overall taste was perceived closely to the aroma and texture (Figure 4Bii).

The bitterness, which has a non-significant association with the pennywort type, was placed in a distant spot indicating that it has a non-significant effect on the overall taste and no association with the other taste parameters assessed (Figure 4Bii). The PC loading status and the respective Eigenvalues are shown in Figures 4Biii and 4Biv, respectively.

The highest salad preference for LAP, MAP and WP (Figure 1; Table 3) suggested that Sri Lankans prefer the characteristic slightly bitter, crunchy and flavourful ‘AP taste’. Although bunches look gorgeous, AP taste is less intense in GAP2. Therefore, GAP2 is less preferred as a salad. LAP and MAP are highly preferred, and they possess the highest ‘AP taste’. WP is not popular compared to AP cultivars among the Sri Lankans as a leafy vegetable. However, WP possesses a unique taste with a pleasing aroma that was highly rated by the taste panellists (Figure 2B).

People specifically prefer LAP and MAP because of the perceived belief of their medicinal values (Table 3). The demand for LAP and MAP is usually catered by harvesting from natural habitats, wet fallowed rice fields, and coconut lands. It is also well known among growers that LAP and MAP are not responding to improved agricultural practices and they are also not profitable to grow as crops because of the small leaf size, profoundly uneven runnering, and entangled growth, which make it difficult to tie up as bunches to sell in the market. Therefore, breeding avenues could be identified to integrate the strong culinary attributes of LAP and MAP into GAP2 to produce a more taste-wise improved AP variety for higher consumer preference. SAP is also unique. The sinuate leaf margin of SAP is significantly similar to the well-known lettuce used in salads worldwide. Although not particularly popular in Sri Lankan cuisines, SAP is ideal for the decoration of food-plates. However, the issue with SAP is that its ‘AP taste’ is weak, and consumers rated it to the lowest (Figure 3B; Table 3). If SAP can be crossed successively with MAP/LAP, the taste could be improved. Another breeding opportunity is to improve the leaf size of SAP by crossing with GAP2.
Phylogenetic relationships among pennywort types in Sri Lanka

The genetic diversity assessments are sparse for pennyworts, and public nucleotide databases contain very few sequences for the studied markers (Mathur et al., 2000; Van De Wiel et al., 2009) making AP a neglected crop species in molecular biological research. Thus, the present study is important as it explored the variations in DNA barcode sequences with respect to phylogenetic inferences. The WP and KP sequences generated in the present study had a very high similarity (100%-bit value) with *H. verticillata* and *A. triphylla*, in respective BLAST searches. All AP sequences generated had high similarity to *C. asiatica* sequences reported previously.

The AP cultivars grown in Sri Lanka show high genetic similarity. However, the sequence polymorphisms of *rbcL*, *atpB-rbcL*, and *matK-trnT* provided a separation of AP cultivars to identify them as unique cultivars (*C. asiatica* cluster of Figure 5). The *C. asiatica* crown had two main clades separating medicinally important cultivars and vegetable cultivars. In the clade of vegetable cultivars, two further subclades could be identified based on the leaf size and the shape. Two cultivars with

---

**Figure 3**: The PC biplots for organoleptic assessments. A: bunch preference; B: salad preference. The weighted scores for each organoleptic parameter were calculated for each pennywort and subjected to PCA separately for bunch preference and salad preference. The two major PCs were used to draw the PC biplots to depict the position of the overall preference for each pennywort.

**Figure 4**: The details of PCA conducted for organoleptic parameters. A: bunch preference; B: Salad preference. i: dendrograms drawn based on all PCs (single linkage and squared euclidian distance); ii: scree plots; iii: PC loading and explanation of variance status in each PC; iv: Eigen value plots.
giant leaves cladded together (GAP1 and GAP2) and two other cultivars with small to medium sized leaves were cladded together (LAP and SAP) (Figure 5). The barcodes based on \textit{rbcL}, \textit{atpB-rbcL}, and \textit{matK-trnT} loci are depicted in Figure 6. The three species displayed length polymorphism for the two loci, \textit{atpB-rbcL}, and \textit{matK-trnT}. All the sequences generated in the present study were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

The five AP types assessed in the present study are the most popular and well-known pennywort types in Sri Lanka. However, recently, KP and WP are also becoming popular as pennyworts in the country. The origin or the introduction events of KP and WP are not found in published literature. In the present study, the species of WP and KP were confirmed as \textit{H. verticillata} and \textit{A. triphylla}, respectively (Ohga \textit{et al.}, 2012; USDA, 2018). It can be speculated that WP and KP were introduced to Sri Lanka from East Asia; however, further studies are needed for the confirmation. It is important to note that WP and AP are both considered as pennyworts in Sri Lanka due to the ‘pennywort’ shape of the leaves. It is quite puzzling to see why KP is regarded as a pennywort because KP leaves are morphologically different (Figure 1B). The most probable reason is when prepared as a salad KP also demonstrates similar culinary attributes to AP and WP.

Through phylogenetic analysis of the pennyworts in Sri Lanka, it was possible to reveal that the domestication/selection of AP had followed two directions; medicinal value and the food value as indicated by the two major clades in AP crown (Figure 5). Within the food value clade, there was a strong preference and selection for the large leaf size. The intra-specific variation of the AP group in Sri Lanka provide the basis and genetic diversity structure to initiate crossing schemes for the breeding of future cultivars. However, studies on the reproductive biology of AP are required to plan precise crossing schemes. DNA barcodes of the existing cultivars/species of pennyworts were also established as there is a strong demand for AP cultivars in local supermarkets and from Sri Lankan expatriates. The leaf bunches or chopped leaf materials of AP are being exported currently so that DNA barcodes could be printed in the labels/packages to inform the consumers about the specific cultivar contained within the package.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The pennyworts in Sri Lanka belong to three species, namely \textit{C. asiatica}, \textit{H. verticillata}, and \textit{A. triphylla}. The consumers prefer to purchase GAP2 leaf bunches because of their large leaf size and appealing look. However, the highest preference for salads is recorded for LAP, MAP,
and WP compared to other pennyworts. Most of the taste panellists believe LAP and MAP possess rich medicinal values compared to the other pennywort types. The polymorphisms of DNA barcoding loci, \textit{rbcL}, \textit{atpB-rbcL}, and \textit{matK-trnT} provide the basis to define the cultivar identities of \textit{C. asiatica} in Sri Lanka. The present study highlights the need for breeding an AP cultivar with rich taste, high medicinal values, large leaf size and 'sinuate' leaf margin.

**Figure 6:** The DNA barcodes for \textit{rbcL}, \textit{atpB-rbcL} and \textit{matK-trnT} for Lowland Asiatic Pennywort (LAP); Giant Asiatic Pennywort 1 (GAP1); Giant Asiatic Pennywort 2 (GAP2); Salad Asiatic Pennywort (SAP); Medicinal Asiatic Pennywort (MAP); Korean Pennywort (KP); and Water Pennywort (WP). The sequences have been submitted to GenBank under the accession numbers: MK905025-MK905079.
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