Validation Method for Digital Flow Meter for Fuel Vendors
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Abstract — Research on the design of fuel measuring device for vendors using Arduino Mega 2560 microcontroller and positive displacement flow meter sensor was conducted to design and create a prototype of a fuel measuring device for retail traders and find error values on the device. The research began with searching for reference books, making hardware and programming, and testing the device. The components used were an Arduino Mega 2560, a positive displacement flow meter sensor, a keypad, a solenoid valve, and a 4x20 LCD. The test was carried out by comparing the results of the measuring cup to the number displayed on the LCD, followed by reproducibility. Data collection was carried out every 500ml, 1000ml, 1500ml, and 2000ml. The results of the research showed that the error value was 2.24% with a comparison of 1.91%. Several factors affecting the highness of error value were a human factor, sensor and device factor, as well as the comparison device being used. Referring to the error value that was smaller than 5%, this device was worthy of mass production.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Based on Law Number 2, the year 1981 concerning Legal Metrology, it is stated that to protect the public interest, there needs a guarantee in the measurement accuracy and order and legal certainty in the use of measurement units, standards, measurement methods, measuring instruments, weighing, and equipment. It is explained in the act that every item packaged, the actual weight content must be in accordance with the contents listed or printed on the label or package to provide protection to consumers and producers by giving a guarantee for the accuracy of measurement of units of Size, Scales and Equipment. The problem relating to retail fuel sales is the compatibility between the quantities contained in the container and the quantities that should be. Fuel vendors need a tool or device that can help them measure the quantity of fuel discharged effectively and accurately.

Several researchers have researched water flow measurements such as Saffari and Mirzakuchaki, who studied innovative smart packages for liquid flow meters [1]. Gosavi, Gawde, and Gosavi investigated an intelligent water flow monitoring and forecasting system [2]. The other researchers Rodgers et al. studied a 16–μA interface circuit for capacitive flow sensors [3], while Comes et al. examined the ultrasonic flow estimator [4]. Jiang developed an intelligent flow totalizer based on an avr30 mixed single microcontroller [5], whereas Yang et al. designed and developed low power consumption ultrasonic open channel flow meters [6]. Akresh, Walker, and Reindl carried out flow measurement using the Kalman filter to smooth the frequency of vortex shedding [7], and Wang developed multi-path ultrasonic flow meters based on embedded systems [8]. Jiang et al. researched the MSP430 single chip low power smart gas turbine flow meter [9]. Shan conducted a microcontroller ultrasonic wave flowmeter study [10].

Some other research on flow sensors was conducted including the variance-based anti strong vibration interference method for vortex flow sensors by Chun-Li Shao, Ke-Jun Xu, and Min Fang [11] and microcontroller-based capacitance meters for two-phase flow sensors by Arunkumar, Venkatesan, and Kannan [12]. Research on controls and measurements was also carried out such as flow Wireless water flow monitoring based on Android smartphones by Jamaluddin et al. [13], a variable rate energy meter with automatic power flow control by Verma and Shandilya [14], and an intelligent flow meter in acoustic multivibrator by Sukhinet et al. [15]. The uncertainty of the measurement of closed-loop control systems for water flow rates was investigated by Prakosa, Putov, and Stotckaia [16], research on the water meter reading system based on LoRa communication was investigated by Li et al. [17], a study on a smart water meter was carried out by Sharath et al. [18]. Utomo et al. studied the process of making CLC (Cellular Lightweight Concrete) bricks using neural networks and microcontroller-based extreme learning methods and the visual studio [19]. Mohamed et al. improved the efficiency of smart water meters based on the selection of the mounting position and longer battery life [20].

Based on the previous research that has been carried out, the research carried out by the author aimed to design and develop a fuel flow measurement tool needed by retail fuel traders. The background problem inspired the author to design such a device. This device used an Arduino microcontroller board equipped with a flow sensor. It was
limited to error values, uncertainties, and corrections from the 
devices.

II. METHOD

The method used in carrying out research was planning, 
conducting, checking, and evaluating. Underlining it, the 
research involved literature reviews, device and material 
preparation, design, hardware manufacture, programming, 
testing, and data analysis.

Figure 1 shows the tube used as a part of the device. It has 
the specifications of 12L volume, 25cm height, 25cm 
diameter, and 1.1 mm thickness. The material is aluminum. 
A microcontroller is used for processing media performance, 
a solenoid valve for controlling the flow of fuel oil, and a flow 
sensor for detecting or measuring the flow of fuel oil.

The microcontroller board used was Arduino Mega 256 
type [24]–[28]. The programming of the device performance 
was made with Arduino IDE. As the input program, a 4x4 
keypad was used to input the volume of fuel released by the 
device. The OF10ZAT type flow sensor was used to detect 
the fuel volume. The device used a solenoid valve as an 
actuator operated with a 12V center. Opening and closing the 
actuator was managed by the processing carried out by the 
program. The measurement results were displayed on the 
4x20 LCD screen [29] [30].

b. Software design

Software design on a system is very necessary for the 
system to run well. The software design on the proposed 
measuring instrument used Arduino/C language. For the 
programming on the keypad, the instructions are as follows:

• key A: Purchase by volume
• key B: Purchase by the amount of money
• key C: Purchase manually (Open Valve)
• key D: Purchase manually (Close Valve)
• key #: Starts running Commands
• key *: Reset all commands

Figure 3 displays the instructions for the program. The 
first step is entering the input command. Then, the 
microcontroller controls the flow of the fluid by opening or 
closing the valve. After pressing the key #, the program opens 
the valve, and the fluid starts to flow. When the valve opens, 
the flow sensor reads the fluid flows.

The data from flow sensor reading are processed by the 
microcontroller. If the valve still opens and the reading results
are still following the input command, the program will display the discharge readings continuously on the LCD. Conversely, if the sensor readings are not under the input command, the program will assume that the valve opening is sufficient and close the valve.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The implementation of the research was carried out by a series of tests: hardware, software, and user interface tests as follows.

a. Sensor test and characterization

Figure 4 illustrates the sensor test and characterization were carried out to know whether the sensor can read the volume passing through the sensor and whether the Arduino Mega 2560 can read and process the data from the sensor. The following is the experiment of the sensor with Arduino Mega 2560. The test was carried out using Pertalite oil and reproducibility with two testers.

![Figure 4](image1)

Fig. 4. Test on the reproducibility of the sensor

It can be concluded based on the graph in Figure 4 that the reading of the instrument toward the measuring cup has a rather large error value. At 500 ml, the reading is 514 ml with the error value +14 ml, at 1000 ml, the reading is 984 ml with the error value -16 ml, at 1500 ml, the reading is 1466 ml with the error value -34 ml, and at volume 2000 ml, the reading is 1954 ml with the error value -46 ml. The error value on the graph is increasing or directly proportional to the increase in volume.

The data presented in Table 1 show that each increase in the volume generates a greater percentage of an error value. In 1000mL to 2000mL volume, the percentage has increased by up to 0.70%. However, in 500mL, the percentage is 2.80%. The percentage is large because the reading is large too, but the error value is positive.

| Ml   | %     |
|------|-------|
| 500  | 2.80  |
| 1000 | 1.60  |
| 1500 | 2.27  |
| 2000 | 2.30  |

The graph in Figure 5 illustrates that the greater the test volume, the greater the uncertainty value of the device. The uncertainty test was done by searching for the combined uncertainty. It was a test of all six uncertainty factors. It would be used to test the expanded uncertainty of the device as the final uncertainty values. The method of calculating the combined uncertainty was by considering all factors from the initial uncertainty square added to the uncertainty square.
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Fig. 5. Uncertainty comparison

Table 2 presents that the largest combined uncertainty value is the 2000ml volume test, and the smallest combined uncertainty is the 500ml and 1000ml volume tests. The last uncertainty test is expanded uncertainty. The combined uncertainty formula was used to find this uncertainty and then multiplied by the coverage factor obtained from finding the value of \( V \) reference.

| Test (ml) | Combined |
|----------|----------|
| 500      | 5.107    |
| 1000     | 5.107    |
| 1500     | 9.802    |
| 2000     | 1.641    |

Table 3 presents that the biggest uncertainty is the 2000ml volume test, which is 27.287, and the smallest uncertainty is the 500ml and 1000ml volume tests, which is 10.216. The device has type B uncertainty. The next step was searching for Type B uncertainty. The type B uncertainty was obtained from the comparison of measured values to the specifications of the sensor. The value of the OF10ZAT model sensor specifications was ± 2%, and the error reading was 1.917%. These numbers were obtained from the average reading of test data. However, the percentage of each measurement shows error values that are very large than the average value of the data collection. Several things affected the accuracy of the device that was different from the specifications listed. The graph displayed the difference in readings made by examiner 1 and 2 is shown in Figure 6.

![Figure 6](image3)

Fig. 6. Difference in readings made by examiner 1 and 2

| Test (ml) | Expansion |
|----------|-----------|
| 500      | 10.216    |
| 1000     | 10.216    |
| 1500     | 19.607    |
| 2000     | 27.287    |
type and positive displacement flow meter. The result shows that the device has good precision and accuracy. The test data show that the largest error value is $-46$ in 2000ml volume, while the comparative data shows that the largest error value is $-64$ml in 2000ml value. The error value on the device is 2.24%, in which the value is greater than the tolerance of uncertainty value determined at 0.5%.
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