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ABSTRACT: Despite its importance in the nucleoside (and nucleoside prodrug) metabolism, the structure of the active conformation of human thymidine kinase 1 (hTK1) remains elusive. We perform microsecond molecular dynamics simulations of the inactive enzyme form bound to a bisubstrate inhibitor that was shown experimentally to activate another TK1-like kinase, Thermotoga maritima TK (TmTK). Our results are in excellent agreement with the experimental findings for the TmTK closed-to-open state transition. We show that the inhibitor induces an increase of the enzyme radius of gyration due to the expansion on one of the dimer interfaces; the structural changes observed, including the active site pocket volume increase and the decrease in the monomer–monomer buried surface area and of the number of hydrogen bonds (as compared to the inactive enzyme control simulation), indicate that the catalytically competent (open) conformation of hTK1 can be assumed in the presence of an activating ligand.

INTRODUCTION

Human thymidine kinase 1 (hTK1) is a phosphotransferase that catalyzes phosphoryl transfer from adenine triphosphate (ATP) to thymidine (T) using glutamic acid, Glu98, as a proton acceptor (Figure 1).1 The enzyme, crucial for nucleoside metabolism related to DNA synthesis, is also clinically important for the activation of otherwise nontoxic prodrugs such as azidothymidine, cytarabine, acyclovir,1,2 and potentially radiosensitizing nucleosides that have to be incorporated into DNA prior to its radiation-induced damage. The latter nucleosides are being developed in our lab,3,4 and 5-iodo-4-thio-2′-deoxyuridine, 5-selenocyanato-2′-deoxyuridine, and 5-trifluoromethanesulfonyl-2′-deoxyuridine comprise the most recent ones.5,6

Despite being one of the essential enzymes in the nucleoside salvage pathway, hTK1 is also intriguing because of its different evolutionary origin compared to the remaining deoxyribonucleoside kinases.7 However, the mechanistic details of enzymatic reactions catalyzed by the protein have not been elucidated so far. The topology of TK1-like enzymes (structurally related TKs from other organisms) differs from that of other deoxynucleoside kinases. They form a dimer of dimers in their most active state,8 with two distinct protein–protein interfaces (referred to as weak and strong dimer interfaces) of which one is being stabilized by the protein–ligand interactions.9–11 The ATP-dependent dimer-to-tetramer transition is associated with 30-fold higher catalytic efficiency.10 The difficulty in describing the structural details of the mechanism of action of hTK1 mainly arises from the difficulty in crystallizing its active state in an open conformation. Thus far, the 3D structure of this enzyme in its active form has not been obtained.11 However, it has been suggested for another TK1-like enzyme from hyperthermophilic bacteria, Thermotoga maritima TK (TmTK), for which the crystal structure of both inactive and active states is solved, that in the absence of a substrate in the phosphoryl donor-binding site the enzyme preferentially exists in a closed conformation. Upon ATP binding, the TmTK enzyme significantly changes its quaternary structure leading to the catalytically competent (open) conformation.11 On the other hand, hTK1 is readily inhibited (and the enzyme conformation assumes then its closed form) by the feedback inhibitor, thymidine triphosphate (TTP), which binds to the phosphoryl acceptor-binding site.12

These traits, along with the fact that for hTK1-like enzymes the reaction occurs between the weak dimer interface (ATP adenosyl moiety in one monomer is stabilized by the amino acids of the neighboring monomer) and a flexible lasso loop on the other side (Figure 1),12 make the crystallographic structural investigations unusually difficult. Indeed, structural data available for hTK1 are limited. Namely, the Protein Data Bank (PDB)13 lists only four structures (PDB codes: 2WVJ,14 2ORV,12 1W4R,9 and 1XBT7), none being in the active conformation. Moreover, none of the deposited hTK1
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structures has both native ligands bound (only the product of phosphorylation of T bound at the phosphoryl acceptor site was observed in X-ray experiments).7,9,11 Finally, only one of the PDB structures (1W4R) includes the full amino acid sequence of the active site.

Because of these inherent difficulties in obtaining structural data for hTK1, all investigations on the active structure and conformational changes of hTK1 are mostly based on the TmTK crystal structure. TmTK exhibits 36% identity and 55% similarity at the sequence level with hTK1 but, unlike hTK1, it crystallizes not only in the presence of TTP but also with the bisubstrate inhibitor, 4TA. The phosphoryl transfer reaction catalyzed by hTK1 that leads to thymidine monophosphate (TMP) formation is shown in the frame. Glu98 serves as a base accepting the proton of the 5′-OH-group of T.

Table 1. List of Simulated Systems

| name       | protein          | ligand                                      | simulation time | remarks                                      |
|------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------|
| hTK1-TTP   | tetramer of chain A of 1W4R PDB structure | TTP                                         | 1 μs, three replicas | closed (inactive) conformation from crystal structure—control simulations |
| hTK1-apo   | tetramer as in hTK1-TTP | TTP                                         | 700 ns, one replica | open (active) conformation from crystal structure—control simulations |
| TmTK-DIM   | dimer resembling 2ORW PDB structure | 4TA                                         | 1 μs, three replicas |                                                  |
| TmTK-DIM-TET | TmTK-DIM duplicated to form a tetramer | 4TA                                         | 1 μs, three replicas |                                                  |
| hTK1-4TA   | tetramer as in hTK1-TTP | 4TA                                         | 1.3 μs, three replicas | closed enzyme conformation bound to the inhibitor |

In this study, we perform microsecond-long molecular dynamics (MD) simulations using available (inactive) hTK1 structures and the 4TA ligand (known to promote the active conformation in TmTK) to computationally “activate” the hTK1 enzyme and observe the related conformational changes of the inactive-to-active transition.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To cross-check and validate the reproducibility of the results and the simulation protocol, five different models were constructed and simulated in several replicas. Four control simulations (Table 1) were constructed as follows: (i) hTK1 complexed with TTP (hTK1-TTP) in the closed form, based on 1W4R with small refinements using the 1XBT structure, (ii) hTK1-TTP in the apo form having the ligand TTP removed from the structure (hTK1-apo), (iii) TmTK complexed with 4TA (TmTK-DIM) in the active form, the dimer of 2ORW, and (iv) the TmTK-DIM duplicated to form a homotetramer (TmTK-TET), which was created after observing high instability of the adenosine moiety in the TmTK-DIM simulation, possibly due to the lack of a weak

![Figure 1. hTK1 (PDB code: 2ORV, violet) overlapped with TmTK (PDB code: 2ORW, orange).](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jcim.1c01157) Only one monomer is shown for each protein. hTK1 is cocrystallized with TTP and TmTK with the bisubstrate inhibitor, 4TA. The phosphoryl transfer reaction catalyzed by hTK1 that leads to thymidine monophosphate (TMP) formation is shown in the frame. Glu98 serves as a base accepting the proton of the 5′-OH-group of T.
dimer interface that constitutes the adenosine-binding site. Finally, the simulation in which we expected to observe conformation opening was performed using the closed form of the hTK1 tetramer (as in hTK1-TTP), where the TTP ligand was replaced by the 4TAligand (hTK1-4TA). Three independent copies starting from the same restart file after minimization, heating, and equilibration were run for most systems.

Conformational changes in TK-1-like enzymes induced by ATP involve the increase of the total volume of the tetrameric complex along the weak dimer interface,11,12,15 which is surmised to be critical for the proper orientation of ATP, as otherwise there is no space to fit the adenosine moiety. Indeed, the radii of gyration of all Cαatoms are on average \( \sim 1\text{Å}^3 \) lower for hTK1-TTP and hTK1-apo simulations than for the hTK1-4TA simulations ones despite the same amino acid composition (Figure S1). Selected atom pair distances were evaluated for both hTK1 systems (reported in Figure 2, Figure S2, and Table S1) showing that on average the distances in the strong dimer interface differ between the compared complexes by no more than 1 Å during the whole simulation, while the dimension across the weak dimer interface expands by an average of 2.5 ± 2.4 to 4.6 ± 1.0 Å for hTK1-4TA (unlike for hTK1-TTP). This result is in excellent agreement with the crystal structures in closed and open states reported by Segura-Peña et al.7, as measured with the EPOCK16 VMD17 plugin for all hTK1 trajectories. The average cavity volume varies from 680.1 ± 54.1 to 925.7 ± 194.5 Å3 for hTK1-4TA and from 405.6 ± 58.0 to 494.3 ± 76.3 Å3 for hTK1-TTP, depending on the chain and replica (see Table S2 and Figure S3) despite the same protein starting points for all simulations.

Transition to the open state is also indicated by the changes related to BSAs. The latter were calculated between the monomers of the most populated clusters with dr_sasa.18 Decreasing the BSA upon opening the conformation (from an average of 1086.9 ± 78.1 Å² for hTK1-TTP clusters to an average of 510.6 ± 39.1 Å² for the hTK1-4TA clusters) in the weak dimer interface and stable BSA for the strong dimer interface (1201.8 ± 42.8 and 1184.0 ± 48.0 Å² for hTK1-TTP and hTK1-4TA, respectively) is consistent with the experimental values for TmTK (Figure 2, Table S3; 370/1030 (weak dimer interface) and 1050/1030 Å² (strong dimer interface) are reported for TmTK open/closed states).11

Finally, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed for all hTK1 replica backbone atoms to identify the most important modes of motion.19,20 The PCA method also provides a metric to compare the conformational space of the different trajectories, as the protein motional modes can be represented by the first two principal components (PCs).21 The first two PCs correspond here to the opening and twisting of the hTK1 monomers, respectively, as shown in Figure 3. The PC projection calculated for the overlap of hTK1-TTP and hTK1-apo replicas occupies the same region (Figure 4), which shows that the closed and untwisted state of the tetramer remains stable during the simulations. For the hTK1-4TA replica simulations, more PC variance is shown. Indeed, while for hTK1-4TA all replicas are in the open state (depicted...
on the right of Figures 4 and 3A), the second replica of hTK1-4TA is additionally twisted compared to the other ones (see Figures 4 and 3B). This difference is in line with other observations (cf. Tables S1–S4, Figure S3). These may be related to the expected twist (the twist of ~11°, which was previously described for the TmTK structure in the literature) or could potentially describe the loss of the weak monomer interaction that finally leads to the tetramer-to-dimer transition.

The number of hydrogen bonds between monomers on the weak dimer interface is reduced to half in the hTK1-4TA structure (4.0 ± 1.2 hydrogen bonds) with respect to the hTK1-TTP one (8.3 ± 1.8 hydrogen bonds), averaged over the whole simulation time and all replicas. For the strong dimer interface, the number of hydrogen bonds (~17) does not depend on the ligand, 4TA or TTP (cf. Figure 2 and Table S4). Similarly, the control simulations for the active TmTK-TET, bonded with the 4TA ligand, exhibit an average of 11.3 ± 2.4 hydrogen bonds on the strong dimer interface and 3.5 ± 1.3 on the weak one.

As expected, the adenosine in 4TA, which was introduced into hTK1 to induce the conformational changes leading to an open conformation, occurs in syn conformation and interacts with the neighboring monomer in all simulations. On average, one hydrogen bond is formed between the adenosine in 4TA and the neighboring protein chain for hTK1-4TA. Adenosine oxygen atoms interact with Arg41 of the neighboring monomer for more than 50% of simulation time for most monomers. Additionally, Arg42, Ile45, and Ala46 form hydrogen bonds for up to 10% of simulation time each (Figure 5C). In the experimental studies performed for TmTK, two hydrogen bonds are reported between the adenosine moiety and a neighboring subunit. Interestingly, without these interactions, the adenosine moiety assumes a different conformation from that in the crystal structure, as indicated by simulations for TmTK-DIM (see Figure 5A,B for the overlap of the representative ligand-binding sites, the ligand dihedral angle analysis is shown in Figure S4). Other stabilizing interactions for hTK1-4TA are the interactions with Val152 and Phe29 (of the same subunit as the ligand). These correspond to Val139 and Tyr13 for described for TmTK in the literature.

Thymidine, being bound at a more buried site, is not expected to change over the course of simulation because all crystal structures used to prepare the simulations were bound to this substrate on that site. Indeed, the loop responsible for thymidine binding (166–180) is stable in all simulations (see root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) plots in Figure S5). This loop is more stable (up to 2 Å RMSFs) for most amino acids compared to residues 56–61 of the phosphate- and adenosine-binding site, which exhibit an RMSF of ~3 Å, and the ligand atoms’ RMSF (Figure S6) shows major fluctuations (up to 4 Å) only at the deoxyadenosine atoms.

The thymidine moiety in the hTK1 structures is positioned in the hydrophobic pocket (Figure 5C) and is hydrogen bonded to atoms of Phe128, Val172, Val174, and Gly176 and Asp58, additionally stacked against the conserved Arg165 and Tyr181 in the lasso-domain site and to two phenylalanines (Phe133 and Phe101) on the other site. Nine atom pair distances corresponding to these interactions were measured (see Table S5, Figure 5), demonstrating distance differences of up to 1.4 ± 1.1 Å on average over the last 900 ns of both the hTK1-4TA and hTK1-TTP simulations for all residues except Asp58. The sidechain oxygens of Asp58 are placed on average 7.8 ± 0.4 Å from the O3’ atom of thymidine in TTP; however, this distance measures only 3.6 ± 0.4 Å in 4TA.

Asp58 resides in a loop responsible for phosphate binding. The 4TA-containing simulations show at least two distinct conformations in the loop (S6–S1), as shown in the RMSD-2D plots (Figure S7). On the other hand, these distinct conformations are not evident in any of the hTK1-TTP simulations. The dihedral ϕ, ψ, and χ angle measurements of all amino acids in this region suggest that Asp58 induces these different conformations (Figure S8). The sidechain of the amino acid is pushed back if 4TA is present, which is probably caused by the fact that 4TA resembles the product state. Finally, the catalytic site configuration shows that the Glu98, which is said to serve as a catalytic base, and the Met28 constraining the S’ position in the nucleoside (see Figure 5) do not differ on average between hTK1-4TA and hTK1-TTP (up to ~0.4 ± 0.2 Å, averaged for last 900 ns of each replica), and only the Arg60 responsible for stabilizing the transition state of the reaction is pushed further (~3.2 ± 1.0 Å, Table S6), which is also probably caused by the fact that 4TA resembles more of a product state.

The average number of hydrogen bonds formed by the 4TA ligand inside its monomer for all hTK1-4TA simulations is 8.9 ± 1.1 and is mostly created by the thymidine site of the ligand. Residues that contribute to the above-mentioned hydrogen bonds are Val172, Val174, Phe128, and Asp58, which are hydrogen bonded for 40–90% of simulation time, but also

---

**Figure 3.** First (A) and second (B) PCs of hTK1 simulations are opening and twisting the tetrameric structure, as shown by the arrows.

**Figure 4.** PC projection of the replica simulations shows a great overlay for hTK1-TTP and hTK1-apo structures and the expected closed state for hTK1-TTP and the open state for hTK1-4TA simulations.
Phe29 binds for more than 90% for all simulations, Lys32 (>70%) and Gly21, Thr34 (>50%). In the literature, a total of eight hydrogen bonds is reported between 4TA and TmTK: two hydrogen bonds are present within the adenosine site and six in the thymidine site.\(^{11}\) The phosphate groups of 4TA are bound to the P-loop of the subunit: the first phosphoryl group binds to Ser64 and the fourth phosphoryl group is bound to Ser62 and Arg60, and additionally, Ser30 and Lys32 are found to contribute to the binding energy, as shown by GBSA analysis. Finally, the phosphate position is also stabilized by the water network and the magnesium ion. The ion is liganded by two phosphate groups, three water molecules, and Ser33.

The approximate free energy of binding of the 4TA and TTP ligands to the protein was calculated with MM-GB\(^{12}\)SA\(^{22}\) (Table S7), indicating that both 4TA and TTP ligands bind with a high affinity to hTK1. Values of \(-186.5 \pm 10.8\) (GB) and \(-134.2 \pm 12.2\) (PB) kcal/mol were found for 4TA and \(-216.9 \pm 10.5\) (GB) and \(-230.8 \pm 32.7\) (PB) kcal/mol for TTP if the standard calculation protocol is used (with the internal dielectric constant equal to 1 and neglecting entropy effects). Thus, the interaction energy for TTP was larger than that for 4TA, which is easy to understand analyzing the structures of both species: 4TA has a charge of \(-3\) and is also more rigid (as bounded by the adenosine), while the charge of TTP is \(-4\) and the phosphates are free to interact with different parts of the protein. Indeed, the value of total binding energy was found to be highly affected by the internal (solute) dielectric constant (Figure S9). Studies suggest that values up to 20 could be used depending on the residues in the protein.\(^{23}\)

We partially addressed this problem by testing various \((\varepsilon = 2–10)\) values of internal dielectric constant for one of the ligands in the MM-PBSA model (Figure S9) and found out that the binding energy is highly dependent on it, yet always favorable, being still equal to \(-58.2\) kcal/mol for the highest applied constant value of 10. The contribution to the energy is mostly electrostatic, although varying from 80 to 70% of the total energy for dielectric constant equal to 1 and 10, respectively. For both 4TA and TTP, binding is mostly favored by the electrostatic contributions. For the 4TA-ligand, majority of binding energy comes from the thymidine site of 4TA and the interaction between the phosphate groups and the enzyme P-loop.

### CONCLUSIONS

The comparison of the open and closed forms of hTK1, as shown in the hTK1-4TA and hTK1-TTP simulations, respectively, shows similar trends to the experimentally reported differences between the closed and open forms of the TmTK enzyme.\(^{11}\) The overall volume of the enzyme is increasing upon binding the bisubstrate inhibitor, as the enzyme expands on the weak dimer interface. These changes are accompanied by a decrease in the number of hydrogen bonds and BSA in this dimer interface. On the contrary, as expected, the strong dimer interface does not show any dramatic changes. Also, as shown in the apo simulation and literature,\(^{11}\) the thymidine moiety does not introduce any major changes in the tetramer behavior. The main characteristics fall in the same space for both hTK1-TTP and hTK1-apo simulations. We showed that the catalytically competent (open) conformation of hTK1 can be assumed in the presence of an activating bisubstrate inhibitor. With the active form of the enzyme available, one may be able to describe computationally the details of the hTK1-catalyzed phosphate transfer mechanism, including the pathway (concerted or stepwise with a pentavalent phosphorane or a metaphosphate anion intermediate) and the barriers, as well as to predict if newly proposed thymine analogues are susceptible to enzymatically controlled phosphorylation. This structure is ready to be utilized for further QM/MM mechanistic studies, and docking
of new drug candidates yet before their, usually difficult and expensive, chemical synthesis. It is difficult to overestimate the accessibility of the hTK1 molecular structure for the rational design of modified nucleosides with radiosensitizing, anticancer, or antiviral properties.

## COMPUTATIONAL METHODS.

The systems were prepared with Protein Preparation Wizard\textsuperscript{24} and Prime\textsuperscript{26} of Maestro Schrödinger\textsuperscript{36} as well as AmberTools19.\textsuperscript{27} The protonation states for the protein were chosen based on the results of propKa\textsuperscript{28} calculations, except the histidine in the binding pocket and cysteines around Zn atoms. The bonded model of Zn was prepared with MCPB.py\textsuperscript{29} script supported with Gaussian09\textsuperscript{30} calculations. Protein parameters were assigned according to the ff14SB\textsuperscript{31} force field. The 4TA protonation state was chosen to be 4TA\textsuperscript{4-}.\textsuperscript{32} Similarly, for the control system with a feedback inhibitor, the TTP protonation state was chosen to be TTP\textsuperscript{4-}. The parameters for the ligands were taken from existing DT3 (brc1)\textsuperscript{33} and A3 (OL3)\textsuperscript{34} residues; only the RESP charges for the whole molecules (4TA\textsuperscript{−} and TTP\textsuperscript{3−}) were calculated explicitly with Gaussian09\textsuperscript{30} at the HF/6-31 + G(d) level of theory, to ensure the consistency between its nucleoside and phosphate parts (additional polarization functions were added to account for the charged system). The missing angle parameters for the phosphate were taken from the study by Meagher, Redman, and Carlson.\textsuperscript{35} The detailed description of protein preparation and charge derivation procedure can be found in SI Sections 3.1 and 3.2.

The system was placed into a truncated octahedral box of TIP3P water molecules with a 15 Å buffer around the protein.\textsuperscript{36} Na\textsuperscript{+} and Cl\textsuperscript{−} salt ions were added to a 150 mM concentration.\textsuperscript{37} The full description of protein preparation can be found in SI Sections 3.1 and 3.2. A set of energy minimizations followed by 50 ps heating from 0 to 300 K, and 150 ps constant pressure and temperature equilibration (300 K, 1 atm) were performed prior to the 1.3 ns (for main simulation) or 1 ns (for control systems) MD production runs in the GPU-accelerated PMEMD\textsuperscript{38-41} module of AMBER18\textsuperscript{27} with a timestep of 2 fs (minimization/equilibration procedure description can be found in SI Section 3.3.).

The trajectories were visualized using VMD.\textsuperscript{17} Analyses, including the clustering procedure\textsuperscript{30} (SI Section 4) and global analyses (SI section 5), were performed with the CPPTRAJ\textsuperscript{12} module of AMBER18.\textsuperscript{27} The BSA between the monomers of the most populated clusters was calculated with dr\textsubscript{sasa} and pocket volume—with EPOCH plugin.\textsuperscript{18}
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