Time-dependent Gas-liquid Interaction in Molecular-sized Nanopores

Yueting Sun1, Penghui Li1, Yu Qiao2 & Yibing Li1

1State Key Laboratory of Automotive Safety and Energy, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, P.R. China, 2Department of Structural Engineering, University of California – San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0085, USA.

Different from a bulk phase, a gas nanophase can have a significant effect on liquid motion. Herein we report a series of experimental results on molecular behaviors of water in a zeolite \( \beta \) of molecular-sized nanopores.

If sufficient time is provided, the confined water molecules can be “locked” inside a nanopore; otherwise, gas nanophase provides a driving force for water “outflow”. This is due to the difficult molecular site exchanges and the relatively slow gas-liquid diffusion in the nanoenvironment. Depending on the loading rate, the zeolite \( \beta \)/water system may exhibit either liquid-spring or energy-absorber characteristics.

Fluidic behavior in nanoscale channels is of great interest due to its potential applications such as drug delivery1, cellular probes2, nanofluidic chips3, and energy related applications4,5, etc. For instance, the pressure-induced intrusion of liquid into hydrophobic nanopores can contribute to a highly-efficient energy absorption system. By developing ultra-large solid-liquid interface, large amount of mechanical energy can be converted into interfacial energy4,6. Based on the plentiful molecular dynamic (MD) simulations and experiments conducted, it’s found that nano-confined liquid behavior cannot be explained by conventional continuum theories, and exhibits unusual characteristics such as the exceptionally high transport rate compared with bulk fluid7–12. However, current effort is limited to the liquid-solid interaction in nanopores, the effect of gas phase, i.e. the nanoscale gas-liquid interaction has not received adequate attention.

MD simulations are generally conducted on vacuum nanotubes8,9. For example, Hummer, et al.9 reported the spontaneous filling of a nonpolar carbon nanotube with water molecules and suggested carbon nanotubes to be molecular channels for water and protons. However, this finding may not apply under non-vacuum condition, which is normally the case in practical applications. Experimental works are generally conducted with the presence of gas phase, but they’re mainly focused on the pressure-induced inflow process instead of the quasi spontaneous outflow behavior, so that the gas phase effect is diminished and left undiscovered. For example, Qiao et al.6,13,14 provided a number of experimental results on the pressure-driven liquid infiltration on nanoporous silica, carbon and zeolites, while the liquid outflow behavior as pressure is removed is not fully understood so far.

For the limited experimental works involving nanoscale gas-liquid interaction, the length scale of nano-confinement is generally large, at least one order of magnitude higher than the size of water molecules, and thus they are still focused on the “continuum” topics, such as the gas-liquid interface (menisci shape) in nanotubes15,16, the nucleation and growth of gas phase17, and the gas solubility in nano-confined liquid18. Such results are unlikely to disclose the unique discrete nature expected in the molecular-sized nanopores (with pore size comparable with the size of water molecules). To date, no experimental observation has explored the gas-liquid interaction in molecular-sized nanopores.

In bulk liquid, as well as the liquid confined in relatively large nanotubes, gas has much lower density and higher compressibility compared with liquid, thus liquid-solid interaction plays the dominant role in fluidic behavior and gas phase effect is secondary. While under a molecular-sized nanoscale confinement, liquid and gas molecules will be arranged into a single-file chain19, and the effective density of gas molecules significantly increases. Gas molecules can thus make a noticeable contribution to the liquid motion. In this paper, we provide an experimental investigation on the gas-liquid interaction in molecular-sized nanopores, through an observation on the outflow of pressurized liquid in zeolites. It will be shown that under such a severe confinement, gas molecules can be a dominant factor of liquid motion, and the gas-liquid interaction is significantly time-dependent.

Results

The molecular-sized nanopores in this study was provided by the hydrophobic nanoporous zeolite \( \beta \) (BEA framework type). The average pore size was 0.5–0.7 nm20, in which a single-file molecule chain could be
formed. The specific surface area and pore volume were measured to be 422.60 m²/g and 136.10 mm³/g by Quantachrome Autosorb-iQ-MP gas sorption analyzer. 36 g zeolite β was immersed in 60 g deionized water, forming a gel-like zeolite β/water system, then sealed in a stainless steel chamber. A series of pressure-induced infiltration tests were conducted by using CSS-2220 MTS (material testing system). A piston was compressed into the chamber at various loading rates (r = 0.5–50 mm/min). Once the pressure reached 120 MPa, the piston moved back at the same velocity. Each sample was compressed with five successive loading-unloading cycles.

Figure 1 shows the 1st cycle of P-ΔV curves at 0.5 mm/min and 50 mm/min, respectively. For r = 0.5 mm/min, all accessible nanopore space is permanently occupied by the invaded water molecules during the 1st cycle, thus no infiltration is allowed in subsequent cycles. As a consequence, the P-ΔV curves for the 2nd–5th cycles are linear in Figure 1(b). While for r = 50 mm/min, the intruded water molecules will flow out spontaneously once pressure is released, the 5 cycles in Figure 1(c) have similar P-ΔV curves. As a consequence, the 2nd–5th cycles are linear in Figure 1(b). While for r = 50 mm/min, the 5 cycles in Figure 1(c) have similar P-ΔV curves with plateaus. These findings suggest that zeolite β/water system behaves like a reusable liquid spring at higher loading rate, but a disposable energy absorber at lower rate (with an energy density of 3.74 J/g for the present system). The residual specific volume (ΔVr) of each cycle is recorded in Figure 1(d), as a quantitative measurement of residual water molecules inside nanopores as the pressure is reduced to zero. It can be observed that ΔVr of the 1st cycle decreases with loading rate, being 103 mm³/g at 0.5 mm/min (close to the specific pore volume 136 mm³/g) and 12 mm³/g at 50 mm/min. Besides, ΔVr decreases sharply with cycles at lower rate, while keeps equivalent for each cycle at higher rate.

The above rate-dependent outflow behavior is attributed to the gas-liquid interaction in nanopores. Although currently there’s no way to directly observe gas molecules inside such molecular-sized nanopores, it’s possible to visually capture the gas phase that has been diffused into the bulk liquid outside the nanopores. Figure 2 shows the snapshots of the zeolite β/water system after the 1st cycle at (a) 0.5 mm/min and (b) 50 mm/min. Large amount of air bubbles are observed in (a) while not in (b), indicating that gas molecules can diffuse out and grow into air bubbles at a lower loading rate, while remain confined inside nanopores at a higher rate.

**Discussion**

As aforementioned, gas and water molecules will form a single-file chain inside molecular-sized nanopores, with gas molecules deep inside the channels and water molecules around the open end. This anisotropic molecular distribution is energetically unfavorable and unstable, but the radial confinement provides a high resistance for molecular site exchanges. Gas molecules find it hard to physically bypass water molecules to diffuse out; similarly, water molecules cannot freely go deep into nanopores with the block of gas molecules. But through molecular thermal motion, gas and water molecules at the “interface” will keep colliding with each other with high velocity and frequency in a random way. Thus a gradual gas-liquid molecular site exchange can occur, but in a much slower way than the diffusion process in bulk phase.

As a consequence, for the test at 50 mm/min, since the duration time (around 20 s) is insufficient for molecular site exchanges, gas and water molecules stay separately inside nanopores with a clear “interface”. Gas molecules are blocked by water molecules and confined in nanopores, thus no air bubble can be observed in liquid phase. The water molecules inside nanopores are subjected to a driving force of outflow from the pressurized gas nanophase, which explains the near-zero ΔVr and the reversibility of the system at 50 mm/min. While for the test at 0.5 mm/min, the total duration time (around 25 min) is nearly 100 times larger, absolutely enough for gas and water molecules to have a thorough nanoscale diffusion. A homogeneous distribution of gas and water molecules can be formed inside nanopores, and gas molecules can gradually diffuse into the external bulk liquid and finally bubble out as the pressure is removed. The water molecules inside nanopores are in a thermodynamic equilibrium without the driving force for outflow. Consequently, they are permanently locked inside nanopores, contributing to a high ΔVr.

The above nanoscale time-dependent gas-liquid interaction is further validated by a specially designed high-pressure-resting test. In this test, piston moves down at 50 mm/min; then it’s held at the peak pressure for 23 min; and finally it moves upward at 50 mm/min for unloading. Thereby, the total duration time for this test is purposely lengthened to that of normal test at 0.5 mm/min. At the end of this test,
high-pressure-resting test, large amount of air bubbles are observed and $P-\Delta V$ curve features a high $\Delta V_r$, shown in Figure 3. Not surprisingly, due to the nonoutflow of the 1st cycle, there’s no infiltration plateau in the 2nd cycle. These results further support the conclusion that the molecular-sized confined gas-liquid interaction is time-dependent. Only if enough time is provided for the molecular site exchanges in nanopores, gas molecules can diffuse out and water molecules can remain inside as pressure is removed. The pressure drop during the piston-holding process is in consequence of the nanoscale diffusion. With the homogenization of gas-liquid molecular distribution, the average intermolecular force will decline since the total volume remains unchanged. Besides, since the loading and unloading curve in Figure 3 is identical to that of normal test at 0.5 mm/min, it can be concluded that the nanoscale liquid-solid interaction is not rate sensitive, and the variation in outflow behavior at different loading rates is exclusively due to the time-dependent gas-liquid interaction.

The significant effect of gas-liquid interaction is peculiar to molecular-sized nanopores. According to our previous work on mesoporous silica with a pore size of 7.8 nm, the gas effect on liquid motion is much weaker in larger nanopores. The mesoporous silica shows a non-outflow behavior independent of loading rate, indicating that both gas and liquid molecules can be "locked" in nanopores, as the external pressure is removed. The liquid "outflow" may be promoted by a thermal treatment. While for molecular-sized pores in the current study, gas and liquid molecules cannot coexist inside nanopores as pressure is removed, primarily due to the high effective density of gas nanophase. The liquid "outflow" can be spontaneous. At a higher loading rate, the molecular site exchanges are limited. At a lower rate, water molecules tend to stay inside the nanopores while gas molecules tend to move out. Besides, the nanopore structures, applied pressure, and properties of gas and liquid may also have impact on the molecular-sized confined gas-liquid diffusion, which will be part of our future work.

In summary, the experimental results on zeolite β/water system demonstrate the dominant role of gas nanophase in liquid motion in molecular-sized nanoconfinement. Due to the relatively slow molecular site exchanges in molecular-sized nanopores, gas molecules can provide a driving force for the liquid "outflow" as the external pressure is removed. But if sufficient time is provided, the nanoscale gas-liquid diffusion can be completed, and thus, gas molecules would diffuse out and water molecules would remain inside the nanopores. These findings suggest that time dependent gas nanophase effects must be taken into consideration when gas-liquid-solid interactions in molecular-sized confinements are investigated.

**Methods**

**Material characterization.** Zeolite β ([Na⁺]1/3[Al₂Si₁₃O₃₆]) contains three-dimensional 12-ring channels with pore diameters of 6.6 Å × 6.7 Å and 5.6 Å × 5.6 Å. It’s constructed by TO₄ tetrahedra (T = Al, Si), with apical oxygen atom shared between adjacent tetrahedra. Zeolite β has three mutually intersecting channels, with two straight channels perpendicular to [001] and a tortuous channel which snakes along the c crystallographic axis. Zeolite β is known as a high mechanical strength materials due to their dense crystalline backbone, thus the deformation of its framework is negligible in this study. In order to eliminate water and possible impurities, zeolite β was firstly preheated at 600 °C for 3 hours and cooled down to room temperature in air. By using Quantachrome Autosorb-iQ-MP gas sorption analyzer, its specific surface area and pore volume were determined to be 422.60 m²/g and 136.10 mm³/g. By using MasterSizer 2000 particle size analyzer, the average particle size was measured to be 9.11 μm.

**Sample preparation.** 36 g zeolite β was immersed in 60 g deionized water, and then sealed in a 316 stainless steel chamber shown in Figure 4. Zeolite β could be homogeneously distributed in water, forming a stable gel-like liquid. The initial volume and height of zeolite β/water system were 100 ml and 72 mm respectively. The chamber was sealed by precisely-fit sealing rings, whose pressure holding ability has been verified. The pressure could be applied by the piston with a diameter of 40 mm.

**Experimental procedures.** A series of pressure-induced infiltration tests were conducted on zeolite β/water system by using CSS-2220 MTS. The piston was compressed into the chamber at various loading rates (r = 0.5–50 mm/min). Once the pressure reached 120 MPa (150 kN), the piston moved back at the same velocity. The reaction force $F$ and displacement of piston $d$ could be continuously measured. The friction between the sealing rings and the piston proved to be trivial. Each sample was compressed with five successive loading-unloading cycles for a better observation on its outflow performance. The system compliance was deducted via the tests on a reference system composed of neat deionized water. In order to eliminate air bubbles and gain robust testing data, the bottom of the chamber was finely filleted and each test followed a pre-compression of 10 MPa. The pressure $P$ is defined as the reaction force $F$ on piston divided by its cross-sectional area $A$, i.e. $P = F/A$. The specific volume change $\Delta V$ is defined as the volume occupied by piston $(A-d)/m$, which consists of contributions from linear compression and pressure induced infiltration. For self-comparison purpose, the infiltration pressure was taken as the pressure at the middle point of the transition zone, defined at the point where the local slope equaled to 150% of the slope in the infiltration plateau. In current study, the infiltration starts at a pressure of 25 MPa. The infiltration volume is defined as the pore volume that are filled during the infiltration test, which can be measured by the width of infiltration plateau. The residual specific volume ($\Delta V_r$) is defined as the pore volume occupied by water molecules when the pressure is reduced to zero, which can be measured by the horizontal intercept of $P-\Delta V$ curve.
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