Physiological and Metagenomic Characterizations of the Synergistic Relationships between Ammonia- and Nitrite-Oxidizing Bacteria in Freshwater Nitrification
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Nitrification plays a crucial role in global nitrogen cycling and treatment processes. However, the relationships between the nitrifier guilds of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB) are still poorly understood, especially in freshwater habitats. This study examined the physiological interactions between the AOB and NOB present in a freshwater aquarium biofilter by culturing them, either together or separately, in a synthetic medium. Metagenomic and 16S rRNA gene sequencing revealed the presence and the draft genomes of \textit{Nitrosomonas}-like AOB as well as \textit{Nitrobacter}-like NOB in the cultures, including the first draft genome of \textit{Nitrobacter vulgaris}. The nitrifiers exhibited different growth rates with different ammonium (NH$_4^+$) or nitrite concentrations (50–1,500 µM) and the growth rates were elevated under a high bicarbonate (HCO$_3^-$) concentration. The half-saturation constant ($K_s$ for NH$_4^+$), the maximum growth rate ($\mu_{\text{max}}$), and the lag duration indicated a strong dependence on the synergistic relationships between the two guilds. Overall, the ecophysiological and metagenomic results in this study provided insights into the phylogeny of the key nitrifying players in a freshwater biofilter and showed that interactions between the two nitrifying guilds in a microbial community enhanced nitrification.
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INTRODUCTION

Nitrification, the biological oxidation of ammonia (NH$_3$) to nitrate (NO$_3^-$) via nitrite (NO$_2^-$), is a vital oxidative process that links reduced and oxidized inorganic nitrogen to sustain the global nitrogen cycle in soils (Wang et al., 2015) and aquatic systems (Hou et al., 2013). This process is mainly carried out by two different but interdependent guilds of lithoautotrophic microorganisms, namely the ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) (e.g., \textit{Nitrosomonas} and \textit{Nitrosospira}) and the ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) as one guild that convert NH$_3$ to NO$_2^-$ using the key enzymes ammonia monooxygenase (encoded by the \textit{amoCAB} genes) and hydroxylamine dehydrogenase (encoded by the \textit{haoAB} genes) (Sedlacek et al., 2016), and the nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB) (e.g., \textit{Nitrospira} and \textit{Nitrobacter}) as another that convert NO$_2^-$ to NO$_3^-$ with the enzyme nitrite oxidase.
oxidoreductase (encoded by the nxrAB genes) (Dionisi et al., 2002). Recent reports have also identified single organisms that are capable of performing the comammox reaction, which leads to complete nitrification from NH₃ to NO₃⁻ (Daims et al., 2015; van Kessel et al., 2015).

The coexistence of AOB and NOB has been demonstrated in a variety of environments (Graham et al., 2007; Keuter et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2015) and microscopic examinations of samples from nitrifying reactors have often showed AOB and NOB in physical contact with each other (Okabe et al., 1999). Previous studies have shown that nitrification rates are closely related to environmental parameters such as temperature, NH₃ and/or bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) concentrations (French et al., 2012; Jiang et al., 2015). Even though AOB and NOB can function independently (Koops et al., 1991; Lücker et al., 2010), their synergistic relationships (Schink, 2002) benefit both species; for example, the growth of AOB is elevated in the presence of NOB, as the latter helps prevent the accumulation of NO₃⁻ that could inhibit AOB (Kim et al., 2006), while oxidation of NH₃ by AOB benefits NOB by providing a consistent energy supply as NO₂⁻ and by maintaining NH₃ concentrations below the toxicity threshold (Laanbroek and Gerards, 1993). In some cases, AOB benefit more than NOB (Perez et al., 2015). However, the tight coupling between AOB and NOB means that even minor perturbations in the abundance of ammonia oxidizers can lead to large changes in the abundance of nitrite oxidizers (Knapp and Graham, 2007), and subsequently to erratic nitrification activities (Graham et al., 2007).

Our current understanding of the synergistic relationships between nitrifiers arises mainly from studies of artificially constructed co-cultures of isolates (e.g., pairing Nitrosomonas europaea and Nitrobacter winogradskyi) (Laanbroek and Gerards, 1993; Perez et al., 2015). These conditions may not be representative of some of the real-life environmental conditions in a microbial community in an ecosystem. We explored the relationships between ammonia-oxidizing and nitrite-oxidizing populations found in freshwater systems by instead culturing one or both of these nitrifying guilds from a microbial community inhabiting a freshwater biofilter in a synthetic medium. The community composition was determined by 16S rRNA gene and metagenomic sequencing, with the recovery of draft genomes of the nitrifiers, including the first draft genome of Nitrobacter vulgaris. The ecophysiological characteristics of the cultures were studied in greater depth by testing different concentrations of NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ (50–1,500 µM), and HCO₃⁻ (1,000 and 3,000 µM). New insights were obtained into the interactions and physiology of the freshwater AOB and NOB and clues (e.g., kinetic parameters) were provided for future manipulation of microbial interactions to enhance the efficiency of microbial nitrification processes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cultivation of Nitrifiers

The inoculum used to establish the nitrifying cultures was obtained from the biofilter of a household-size freshwater fish tank. The tank housed five small tropical fish and nitrification occurred (i.e., NO₃⁻ was detected). The biofilter was selected for use as inoculum since the microbial community had adapted to a relatively low NH₄⁺ condition (~500 µM was typically measured in the influent to the biofilter) and the biofilter had been in stable operation for more than two years. The synthetic sponge filter (36 cm²) was inoculated (March 2014) into 60-ml serum bottles sealed with butyl rubber stoppers with 30 ml of synthetic medium containing 4 µM KH₂PO₄, 10 ml/L mineral salts, 0.1 ml/L selenite/tungstate solution, and 1 ml/L trace metals (Biebl and Pfennig, 1978). After autoclaving, 3 µl of filter-sterilized vitamin solution (Balch et al., 1979) were added, together with NH₄Cl and NaHCO₃ at final concentrations of 500 and 1,000 µM, respectively. The NOB were eliminated by amending a separate set of cultures with sodium chlorate (10 mM) (Belser and Mays, 1980) until no NO₃⁻ was detected in subsequent transfers. The cultures with NH₄⁺ without chlorate are referred to as ‘Culture01,’ the ones with NH₄⁺ and chlorate are ‘Culture02,’ while the Culture01 fed with NO₃⁻ instead of NH₄⁺ for experimental purposes are ‘Culture03.’

Characterizations of the Cultures

All experiments were conducted in triplicate in 30 ml medium as described in Section “Cultivation of Nitrifiers” with 3 ml of late exponential-phase culture as inoculum. A range of NH₄⁺ concentrations (50, 200, 500, 1,000, and 1,500 µM) was used to test the growth rates of the nitrifiers in Culture01 and Culture02. The growth of NOB was tested using a range of NO₂⁻ concentrations (1,000 and 3,000 µM) in Culture03. Different HCO₃⁻ concentrations (1,000 and 3,000 µM) were tested for all cultures. The potential inhibition effects of NO₂⁻ on the AOB in Culture02 when grown with 500 µM of NH₄⁺ were studied by adding a range of NO₂⁻ concentrations (500, 1,500, or 10,000 µM). Liquid samples were withdrawn at regular intervals during the exponential phase (5–24 h) to determine the NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻ and/or NO₃⁻ concentrations, and the collected samples were filtered (0.2 µm) prior to storage at −80°C. The ultimate dissolved oxygen concentration and pH of the cultures were measured using a portable oximeter (SevenGo Duo Pro-SG68, Mettler Toledo, Switzerland). The dissolved oxygen concentration was more than 5.6 mg/L and pH ranged from 6.8 to 7.0 in all cultures.

16S rRNA Gene Amplicon Sequencing and Analysis

The composition of the microbial communities was determined by collecting a section of the biofilter (36 cm²) and 20 ml of Culture01 and Culture02 for DNA extraction using the PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (Mo Bio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, United States), as described previously (Lu et al., 2013).
The 515F/806R universal primer pair (Caporaso et al., 2011) was used to amplify the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene of the genomic DNA. The PCR conditions, amplicon purification, and library preparation were as described previously (Leung et al., 2014). The samples were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Genentech Corporation, Taipei, Taiwan), which generated paired-end 250-bp reads with ~60,000 paired-end raw reads per sample.

Reads obtained from the sequencing platform were first processed by removing the barcodes and primers, followed by alignment of the reads using FLASH (v1.2.7) (Magoč and Salzberg, 2011). The forward and reverse reads gave similar results, so the forward reads were used for analysis. The aligned sequences were filtered using the QIIME pipeline (v1.8.0) (Caporaso et al., 2010b) with the script “split_library_fastq.py.” Chimera sequences were identified and removed with UCHIME (Edgar et al., 2011) against the GOLD database (Bernal et al., 2001). OTU formation was performed following the UPARSE pipeline (Edgar, 2013) and the dereplicated reads were clustered into OTUs at a 97% sequence similarity threshold. Singleton OTUs were removed and the remaining high-quality sequence reads were aligned with PyNAST (Caporaso et al., 2010a) against theRibosomal Database Project (RDP, release 11.3).

**Metagenomic Sequencing and Assembly**

Based on the growth profiles of the cultures, three time series samples (days 1, 3, and 5) were collected from each culture (Culture01 and Culture02) for metagenomic sequencing and to facilitate the subsequent genome binning. The samples were named according to the day in which they were collected (e.g., the sample collected on day 1 is referred to as ‘Culture01_1’ and ‘Culture02_1’). Genomic DNA was extracted as indicated in Section “16S rRNA Gene Amplicon Sequencing and Analysis” from 120 ml of each culture at each time point. DNA concentrations and quality were determined using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 2000; Thermo Scientific, United States).

Paired-end sequencing libraries were prepared using the Illumina HiSeq 3000/4000 PE Cluster Kit with 2 µg of DNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 sequencer, which generated 150 bp paired-end reads at the sequencing core facility of UC Berkeley. Raw sequencing reads were trimmed and filtered with a minimum quality score of 32 using Trimomatic (version 0.35) (Bolger et al., 2014). Read pairs with either end shorter than 80 bp were discarded. De novo assembly on the filtered read pairs was performed using the IDBA-UD assembler (Peng et al., 2012) with a maximum k-mer size of 100 and a minimum contig length of 1.2 kb. The six metagenomes were assembled independently. The targeted nitrifiers were more abundant in the mid-point samples (day 3) so the contigs reconstructed from the mid-point metagenome (Culture01_3 and Culture02_3) served as sequence templates for coverage estimation. Paired-end information was extracted from the SAM files generated by mapping filtered read pairs to sequence templates using bwa 0.7.1 (Li and Durbin, 2010). The taxonomic compositions of the metagenomes were determined using both the reads and assembled contigs via the MG-RAST platform as described previously (Cai et al., 2016).

**Genomes Binning of the Metagenomic Sequencing Data**

We distinguished individual genomes in the metagenomes using a differential coverage binning approach similar to that reported in a previous study (Albertsen et al., 2013), with modifications to include multiple time-point samples. Coverage of the individual contig from each time point was determined by mapping the filtered reads to the respective sequence templates (Culture01_3 for Culture01 and Culture02_3 for Culture02). The contigs were binned into genome bins by plotting contig coverage estimates of any two time points or, to obtain a better resolving power, by plotting the contig coverage estimates for multiple time points with multidimensional scaling (MDS). The inclusion of three metagenomes gave the best resolution. The draft genome bins were refined based on sequence compositions (GC content and tetra-nucleotide frequencies) and taxonomic compositions. In addition, contigs not included in the previous steps or wrongly assigned were recruited to, or removed from, the genome bins according to paired-end information. Reconstructed draft genomes were compared with the results generated by the expected-maximization based method MaxBin 2.0 (Wu et al., 2016). The quality of the draft genomes (e.g., completeness and contamination) was evaluated using CheckM (Version 1.0.4) (Parks et al., 2015). Genome-wide average nucleotide identity (ANI) and average amino acid identity (AAI) analyses were calculated using the online ANI and AAI calculators (Rodriguez-R and Konstantinidis, 2016).

Protein coding genes were inferred from the assembled contigs using Prodigal (v2.60) (Hyatt et al., 2010) with metagenome mode enabled. The genes were functionally annotated by searching the gene sequence against the NCBI non-redundant database using DIAMOND (v0.8.17.79) (Buchfink et al., 2015) and submitting to the KEGG Automatic Annotation Server (Moriya et al., 2007). The hallmark gene *amoC* was partially assembled, so the region-specific Sanger sequencing primer pairs were designed for amplifying the gene. Genes related to nitrogen metabolism and carbon fixation (K numbers) were compared to those from the reference genomes *Nitrosomonas* sp. AL212 (Yuichi et al., 2011) and *N. winogradskyi* Nb-255 (Starkenburg et al., 2006). The comparative results were visualized using Circos (Krzywinski et al., 2009). The metabolic pathways of the nitrifier bins were manually curated and reconstructed using EC numbers as described previously (Cai et al., 2016).

**Phylogenetic Analyses**

The phylogeny of the nitrifiers in the cultures was determined using both the 16S rRNA genes and nitrification-related functional genes (i.e., *amoA* and *nxrA*) by the neighbor-joining algorithm in MEGA (v 5.2) (Tamura et al., 2011). Reference 16S rRNA gene sequences of the nitrifiers were recruited by searching the keywords ‘*Nitrosomonas* 16S’ and ‘*Nitrobacter* 16S’ in the NCBI database, while the amino acid sequences of the hallmark genes were obtained by searching the
Inorganic Nitrogen Measurement and Nitrification Kinetics Calculation

The concentrations of NH$_4^+$ were determined using a colorimetric assay (Bollmann et al., 2011) with a spectrophotometer (SpectraMax M2, Molecular Devices, United States), while NO$_2^-$ and NO$_3^-$ were determined using ion chromatography (Dionex-ICS-1100) with an Ion Pac AS18 4 mm × 250 mm analytical column. Growth rates of the nitrifiers were calculated based on the slope of the log-transformed concentrations of NO$_2^-$ + NO$_3^-$ for AOB or NO$_3^-$ for NOB plotted against time (h) during the exponential growth phase as described previously (Bollmann et al., 2011; French et al., 2012). The coefficients of determination ($R^2$) of all the slopes were ≥0.99. The Monod kinetics model $\mu = \mu_{\text{max}} (S / (K_s + S))$ was used to determine the characteristics ($\mu_{\text{max}}$ and $K_s$) of the cultures. Here, $\mu$ is the growth rate at different substrate concentration, $\mu_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum growth rate, $K_s$ is the half-saturation constant, and $S$ is the rate-limiting substrate concentration. The lag duration of a culture in an experiment was calculated from the time point immediately after inoculation to the first time point used for the growth rate calculation.

Cell Concentration Analysis

The cell concentrations of different cultures were determined by collecting 12–15 ml of the inoculum and samples at the end of incubation and extracting the genomic DNA, as indicated above. The absence/presence of specific nitrifying populations (i.e., AOA, AOB, and NOB) was verified using PCR with the primers listed in Supplementary Table S1, according to the thermocycling protocols of the respective references. The abundance of the bacterial amoA gene was quantified using qPCR with the primers amoA-1F and amoA-2R (Rotthauwe et al., 1997), while the primers FlNorA and R2norA (Attard et al., 2010) were used to quantify the nrxA gene. The qPCR was performed on a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR Systems (Applied Biosystems) with the SYBR Green master mix, according to previous studies (Attard et al., 2010; Limpiyakorn et al., 2011). Serially diluted DNA standards were prepared for absolute gene copy quantification using purified amoA and nrxA genes PCR products according to a previous study (Ritalahiti et al., 2006). Melt curves were performed with each assay to confirm the specificity of the primers. For all experimental samples, the average of biological triplicates was calculated.

Nucleotide Sequence Accession Number

The amplicon sequences, metagenomic sequences, and the AOB and NOB draft genomes generated in this study have been deposited in the NCBI BioProject Database under accession number PRJNA343684.

RESULTS

Descriptions of the Nitrifying Cultures

Two cultures of nitrifiers (Culture01 and Culture02) were established from a freshwater aquarium biofilter (Figure 1). The concentrations of NH$_4^+$ in the influent to the biofilter were in the range of 500 µM; therefore, a synthetic medium containing 500 µM NH$_4^+$ was used to culture the nitrifiers to simulate the in situ process. After 15 months, a stable culture was established that converted NH$_4^+$ to NO$_3^-$ via transient production of NO$_2^-$ within 120 h (Culture01) (Figure 1A). Selection only for ammonia oxidizers was made by amending with chlorate, which resulted in a culture that stoichiometrically converted NH$_4^+$ to NO$_2^-$ within 180 h (including 75 h of lag time) with no NO$_3^-$ production (Culture02) (Figure 1B). We tested the activities of the nitrile oxidizers by transferring cells of Culture01 to a medium containing only NO$_2^-$ without NH$_4^+$, in which gave a stoichiometric conversion of NO$_2^-$ to NO$_3^-$ but required a much longer time (375 h) (Culture03) (Figure 1C).

Composition of the Microbial Communities in the Biofilter and Cultures via 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing

The microbial community structures of the biofilter, Culture01, and Culture02 were evaluated using 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. A total of 8,728 OTUs were recovered from all the samples. After 15 months of culturing, the relative abundances of nitrifying bacteria in Culture01 and Culture02 were 19.1 and 12.4%, respectively, compared to 4.4% for the biofilter (Supplementary Figure S1). Of the OTUs pertinent to the AOB guild, three (i.e., OTU01, OTU02, and OTU03) were closely related to the Nitrosomonas genus. As shown in Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S2, Culture01 and Culture02 shared the same Nitrosomonas-like AOB (OTU01) and this most abundant AOB clustered closely (98% sequence identity) to the 16S rRNA gene of Nitrosomonas oligotropha Nm45. The eight OTUs classified as nitrite oxidizers were distributed within the Nitrospira ($n = 5$; OTU04-08) and Nitrobacter clusters ($n = 3$; OTU09-11). Of the eight NOB-like OTUs present in the biofilter, only two increased in relative abundance in Culture01 (OTU05 and OTU09, Figure 2A).

An OTU of the Nitrosophaera family (3.6%) belonging to the AOA was found in the biofilter, but no sequence belonging to the AOA was subsequently detected in either culture. PCR with primers targeting the archaea amoA gene failed to detect the presence of AOA in Culture01 or Culture02 (Supplementary Table S1). Genera belonging to Bosea (increased by 1.5% in Culture01 and 6.1% in Culture02), Aminobacter (increased by 1.9% in Culture01 and 4.0% in Culture02), Sediminibacterium (increased by 2.5% in Culture01 and 2.6% in Culture02), and Acidovorax (increased by 10.0% in Culture01 and 18.4% in Culture02) were higher in both cultures relative to the biofilter.
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FIGURE 1 | Nitrification activities of (A) Culture01, (B) Culture02, and (C) Culture03. The amendment was 500 µM of NH4+ (for Culture01 and Culture02) or NO2− (for Culture03). Error bars represent one standard deviation from biological triplicate experiments.

( Supplementary Figure S1), while Azospirillum decreased (by 8.8% in Culture01 and 8.9% in Culture02). Interestingly, Desulfitobacterium was abundant in the biofilter (29.1%) and remained at a relatively high abundance after cultivation (20.0% in Culture01 and 16.7% in Culture02). Overall, the organisms found in the cultures were representative of the in situ key participants in the aquarium biofilter.

Metagenomic Sequencing of the Cultures and Draft Genomes of the Nitrifiers

The 16S rRNA gene sequencing was supplemented with metagenomic sequencing, applied to query the genomic contents of the cultures. The variation in the community composition during growth was taken into account by collecting samples of Culture01 and Culture02 on days 1, 3, and 5, based on the nitrification profiles (Figure 1). The samples contained 1.0–2.8 Gb of paired-end sequences after quality control (Supplementary Table S3). The qualified reads were assembled into contigs with lengths ranging from 1.2–1,066 kb, generating a total of 45.7–59.2 Mb per sample (Supplementary Table S3). The resulting contigs were resolved and assigned into genomic bins using differential coverage binning (Supplementary Figure S2). A total of eight high-quality bins could be identified in the metagenomes of Culture01, while nine were found in Culture02 (Supplementary Table S4), with a high similarity shared between some of the bins of the two cultures (Supplementary Table S2). The high completeness (87.8–100%, except for Culture02_Bin9) and the low number of contaminating sequences found in the genomes (0–2.4%, Supplementary Table S4) suggest a high quality for the resulting bins. Furthermore, the high similarity of the bins (72.7–100%) obtained using two different binning approaches (MaxBin and differential coverage binning, Supplementary Table S5) indicates the reliability of the binning results.

Phylogenetic analysis using PhyloPhlAn based on whole genomes shows that the two AOB draft genomes (with about 97% completeness) from Culture01 (Culture01_Bin1) and Culture02 (Culture02_Bin3) are closely related to the complete genome of Nitrosomonas ureae Nm10 [found in freshwater and soils (Koops et al., 1991)] and Nitrosomonas sp. AL212 [found in activated sludge (Prosser et al., 2014)], with AAI and ANI similarities around 77% (Figure 2B). Pairwise comparison of the two Nitrosomonas-like draft genomes in the two cultures shows an identity of more than 98% (Supplementary Table S2) and an AAI and ANI similarity of 100%. The two draft genomes of the Nitrosomonas-like AOB (Supplementary Table S6) contained genes encoding ammonia mono-oxygenase (i.e., amoCAB sequences). Likewise, genes encoding hydroxylamine dehydrogenase (haoAB) and its electron carriers, cytochromes C₅₅₄ and C₇₅₈₂, were identified (Supplementary Figure S3). As shown in Supplementary Figures S3, S4, the identified hallmark genes and gene loci of the Nitrosomonas-like AOB (amoCAB and haoA) in both cultures were highly similar to the genes of the Nitrosomonas cluster, consistent with the whole genome-based phylogenetic tree (Figure 2B), whereas they were much lower in similarity with the genes of the comammox Nitrospira species.
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FIGURE 2 | (A) Phylogenetic tree of the nitrifiers in the biofilter and cultures based on 16S rRNA genes. The tree was built using the neighbor-joining algorithm in MEGA 5.2. OTUs (bolded) were defined based on a similarity threshold of 97% and bootstrap values (1,000 iterations) greater than 50% are shown. The values in the brackets indicate the numbers of reads found in the biofilter, Culture01, and Culture02, respectively.

(B) Phylogenetic tree based on the complete and draft genomes using PhyloPhlAn. AAI and ANI for Nitrosomonas and Nitrosospira were calculated against Culture01_Bin1, while Nitrobacter and Nitrosococcus were calculated against Culture01_Bin8. All internal nodes have a high bootstrap value (65–100%). Colored rectangles represent the typical habitats of the nitrifiers.
The whole genome-based phylogenetic tree also shows that a draft genome binned from Culture01 (Culture01_Bin8) was most closely related to N. winogradskyi Nb-255 [found in freshwater and soils (Koops and Pomerening Röser, 2001; Wertz et al., 2008)] and Nitrobacter sp. Nb-311A [found in marine and soils (Moran et al., 2007; Wertz et al., 2008)], with AAI and ANI similarities around 87% (Figure 2B). The draft genome of the Nitrobacter-like NOB (Supplementary Table S7) contained genes encoding nitrite reductase (i.e., nxrA) and partial nxrB sequences) and its cognate electron carriers (i.e., cytochrome c) (Supplementary Figure S3). The sequences of the nxrA and nxrB genes and their loci were highly similar to N. winogradskyi Nb-255 and Nitrobacter sp. Nb-311A, in agreement with the phylogenetic tree of the whole genomes (Figure 2B), but very different from the comammox Nitrospira species (Supplementary Figure S3). Consideration of unsequenced species clustered the nxr genes of the cultured Nitrobacter-like NOB closer to N. vulgaris (Supplementary Figure S4).

Reconstruction of the key carbon metabolic pathways in the draft genomes of both the Nitrosomonas-like AOB (Culture01_Bin1 and Culture02_Bin3) and Nitrobacter-like NOB (Culture01_Bin8) revealed the presence of a complete set of genes in the metabolic pathways of both the carbon fixation and citric acid cycles, as well as acetate metabolism, which is consistent with the reference genomes from the same genus (e.g., Nitrosomonas sp. AL212 and N. winogradskyi Nb-255, Supplementary Figures S5, S6). The pathways for nitrogen metabolism in the draft genomes are also consistent with the reference genomes (Supplementary Figure S6).

Physiological Activities of the Nitrifiers in the Cultures

The physiological activities of the nitrifying populations in the cultures were tested using five different concentrations (50–1,500 μM) of NH$_4^+$ or NO$_2^−$. Increasing the substrate concentrations increased the duration of the lag phase for Culture01 (23–45 h), but shortened it for Culture02 (250–120 h) (data not shown). The lag time for Culture03 increased from 88–146 h in response to increasing NO$_2^−$ concentration; this duration was longer than that observed for Culture01 with NH$_4^+$ (data not shown).

The growth rates of Culture01 and Culture02 increased with NH$_4^+$ amendment up to 500 μM, but showed no substantial changes with higher concentrations (Figure 3A). Culture03 showed only incremental changes in the growth rates with increasing NO$_2^−$ concentration (Figure 3A). In general, the growth rates were higher for Culture01 (0.019–0.028 h$^{-1}$) than for either Culture02 (0.0091–0.021 h$^{-1}$) or Culture03 (0.0029–0.0051 h$^{-1}$) (Figure 3A). The NO$_2^−$ inhibition test indicated that the cultured AOB in Culture02 could completely transform 500 μM NH$_4^+$ to NO$_2^−$ even following spiking with a relatively high concentration of NO$_2^−$ (10 mM). The $K_s$ values for NH$_4^+$ uptake for Culture01 and Culture02 were 25.9 and 71.8 μM, respectively. The variations in NO$_2^−$ concentration in Culture01 during cultivation precluded an accurate determination of the activities of NOB in Culture01, while the data of Culture03 fitted the Monod kinetics model poorly.

High concentrations of NH$_4^+$ or NO$_2^−$ (1,000 or 1,500 μM) resulted in incomplete oxidation in the three cultures. The possibility of insufficient carbons and buffering capacity in the cultures was tested by amending with a higher HCO$_3^−$ concentration (3,000 μM versus 1,000 μM routinely used). The growth rates of the nitrifiers increased significantly with increasing HCO$_3^−$ concentration [Student’s t-test, $p < 0.01$, except Culture01 (1,000 μM NH$_4^+$)] (Figure 3B) and complete oxidation of the input nitrogen occurred. When compared to Culture01 and Culture02, the growth rate of Culture03 was more responsive to the increase in HCO$_3^−$ concentrations [i.e., the growth rate almost doubled in response to increasing HCO$_3^−$ concentration] (Figure 3B). The possible presence of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) arising from ambient air in the headspace was eliminated by purging the serum bottles with a pure gas mixture of N$_2$:O$_2$ (79:21%) without CO$_2$ and no difference was noted with or without purging.
Growth of Nitrifying Populations

The influences of different nitrogen and carbon concentrations on the growth of nitrifiers in the cultures were assessed by qPCR of the amoA and nxrA genes, representing the ammonia and nitrite oxidizers, respectively. Culture01 showed no increase in the extent of growth for the Nitrosomonas-like AOB with increasing NH$_4^+$ concentrations and no substantial growth for the Nitrobacter-like NOB under NH$_4^+$ concentrations of 1,000 and 1,500 µM when 1,000 µM HCO$_3^-$ was supplied (Figure 4). A HCO$_3^-$ concentration of 1,000 µM increased the growth of AOB in Culture02 at NH$_4^+$ concentration ≤500 µM and NOB in Culture03 at NO$_3^-$ concentrations ≤200 µM, but this growth became steady when nitrogen concentration increased (Figure 4). By contrast, an excess of HCO$_3^-$ (3,000 µM) promoted higher growth of AOB and NOB in all cultures (Student's t-test, p < 0.01) when compared to cultures with 1,000 µM HCO$_3^-$ (Figure 4).

Under the same substrate (HCO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$) concentrations, the growth of AOB was significantly higher (Student's t-test, p < 0.01) in Culture02 than in Culture01, with the amoA gene showing increases from 4.8 × 10$^4$ ± 1.9 × 10$^4$ to 3.2 × 10$^5$ ± 6.3 × 10$^4$ per ml for Culture01 and increases from 2.6 × 10$^5$ ± 2.5 × 10$^4$ to 6.1 × 10$^6$ ± 7.5 × 10$^5$ per ml for Culture02 (Figure 4A). Growth of the Nitrobacter-like NOB was similar between Culture01 and Culture03 when the HCO$_3^-$ was in excess, with increases in the nxrA gene ranging from 5.3 × 10$^3$ ± 5.0 × 10$^3$ to 8.0 × 10$^3$ ± 3.8 × 10$^3$ per ml culture for Culture01 and from 6.8 × 10$^3$ ± 3.0 × 10$^3$ to 1.6 × 10$^5$ ± 7.7 × 10$^4$ per ml for Culture03 (Figure 4B).

DISCUSSION

In this study, a biofilter from an aquarium was used to culture AOB and NOB, which have functional roles in removing NH$_3$ and NO$_3^-$ that are toxic to aquatic life (Keuter et al., 2011; French et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2013). A culture-dependent approach was adopted to allow testing of the bacterial physiology. In particular, nitrification activities were examined with and without the synergistic interactions between the AOB and NOB. A 15-month cultivation period (over 60 transfers) with NH$_4^+$ as the sole energy source for the nitrifying community successfully cultured a number of AOB and NOB, as confirmed by both 16S rRNA gene amplicon and metagenomic sequencing. Culture02, cultured using chlorate, contained a few Nitrobacter 16S rRNA gene sequences (Supplementary Figure S1), but the NOB were unlikely to be functional as stoichiometric conversion of NH$_4^+$ to NO$_3^-$ was obtained and the nxrA gene was not amplified via PCR (Supplementary Table S1). The AOA belonging to Nitrososphaera were initially found in the biofilter, but no archaeal amoA gene or 16S rRNA gene was subsequently detected in the cultures. The relatively low culturing temperature (25°C as opposed to the 37°C preferred by AOA) (Wu et al., 2013), short retention time (Xia et al., 2011), high NH$_4^+$ concentration (Martens-Habben et al., 2009; Ke et al., 2013) and high dissolved oxygen levels (Yan et al., 2012) likely favored AOB over AOA. We attempted to use ampicillin to isolate AOA with 500 µM NH$_4^+$ in synthetic medium (Mosier and Francis, 2008), but we detected no nitrification activity after incubating for more than one month.

Similar to the biofilter, the other major bacteria present in the cultures were from the Acidovorax lineage (Supplementary Figures S1, S7), which could reduce NO$_3^-$ under aerobic conditions at 20°C in the presence of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Erikkson et al., 2003), and different classes of Proteobacteria (Supplementary Figure S1). Despite the high oxygen concentration in the medium (>5.6 mg/L), anaerobic bacteria from the Desulfotobacterium lineage (Supplementary Figures S1, S7) remained in the cultures, similar to the biofilter [some Desulfotobacterium strains can exhibit high tolerance toward oxygen stress (De Wildeman et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2012)]. No nitrification-related genes were found in populations other than the AOB and NOB.

Physiology of the Cultured AOB

The AOB in the cultures were assigned to the Nitrosomonas lineage (Figure 2), corroborating previous studies confirming that the bacteria in this lineage can typically function in environments with a low NH$_4^+$ concentration, such as soils (Wang et al., 2015), lake sediments (French et al., 2012), biofilms (Stehr et al., 1995), and freshwater aquarium biofilters (Burrell et al., 2001). The OTU with the most reads assigned to the AOB in the cultures (OTU01) showed the closest match (98% sequence identity) to the 16S rRNA gene of N. oligotropha Nm45, which grows at a relatively low NH$_4^+$ concentrations (1,000–5,000 µM) (Prosser et al., 2014) and has one of the highest affinities for NH$_4^+$ of all AOB studied (Koops et al., 1991; Martens-Habben et al., 2009; Kits et al., 2017). Similarly, the hallmark genes (amoCAB and haoA) and the gene loci in the draft genomes of the AOB show high similarity to the two known species (i.e., N. ureae Nm10 and Nitrosomonas sp. AL212, Supplementary Figure S3) in the N. oligotropha lineage normally found in low NH$_4^+$ habitats, such as freshwater rivers and lakes (Koops et al., 1991; Prosser et al., 2014).

The growth rates (0.009–0.028 h$^{-1}$) of the Nitrosomonas-like AOB in the cultures are within the range of those reported for other AOB (0.002–0.088 h$^{-1}$) (French et al., 2012; Prosser and Nicol, 2012) and are most similar to the strains within N. oligotropha (0.02–0.06 h$^{-1}$); this included the growth rate characteristic of no further increases at the NH$_4^+$ concentration of ~500 µM (French et al., 2012). Growth rates of nitrifiers are closely related to inorganic carbon concentration as it has been shown that the change in HCO$_3^-$ concentrations can lead to greater changes in growth rate than NH$_3$ and oxygen (Wett and Rauch, 2003; Jiang et al., 2015), indicating that the availability of inorganic carbons is a major factor that influences nitrification in freshwater environments. The higher growth rates observed at the NH$_4^+$ concentrations of 1,000 and 1,500 µM with 3 mM HCO$_3^-$ are likely the results of availability of additional inorganic carbons to the nitrifiers and a stronger capacity to buffer the protons released during NH$_3$ oxidation (Ahn, 2006).

Previous studies have shown that the K$_m$ of NH$_4^+$ for species within the Nitrosomonas lineage ranges from 30–3,900 µM, with
strains of *N. oligotropha* having the lowest values (30–118 μM) among the reported AOB (Suwa et al., 1994; Martens-Habbena et al., 2009). Although the present case was not a pure culture, the *K*₈ of NH₄⁺ for Culture01 (25.9 μM) is lower than the previously reported values and the *K*₈ for Culture02 is within the range reported for *N. oligotropha* (Supplementary Figure S8), suggesting that the AOB in the cultures are competitive in an oligotrophic environment. However, the affinity for NH₄⁺ is definitely far weaker than the recently reported comammox bacterium *Nitrosipora inopinata* (0.84 μM) (Kits et al., 2017).

Similar to the closely related *Nitrosomonas* sp. AL212 and *N. ureae* Nm10 (Yuichi et al., 2011; Kozlowski et al., 2016), the cultured *Nitrosomonas*-like AOB may not be obligately lithoautotrophic, as inference from the genome suggests they may be able to potentially use both organic and inorganic carbon (Supplementary Figure S5), which has to be further verified experimentally.

**Physiology of the Cultured NOB**

For the nitrite oxidizers, five OTUs related to *Nitrobacter* and three OTUs to *Nitrospira* were detected in the biofilter. After cultivation, one of the more dominant nitrite oxidizers was OTU05, which shared 100% sequence identity to the 16S rRNA gene of *Candidatus Nitrospira defluvii* [found in activated sludge (Spieck et al., 2006)] and can survive under low NO₂⁻ conditions (Lücker et al., 2010)]. *Nitrospira* are speculated to represent the most prevalent nitrite oxidizers in low-nitrogen environments, such as soils (Xia et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2015) and freshwater systems (Hovanec et al., 1998; Regan et al., 2002). We were unable to extract the *Nitrospira* genome in the cultures from the metagenomes, possibly due to its low abundance [Supplementary Table S8; confirmed by weak or no band in PCR (Supplementary Table S1)]. The other dominant NOB are related to OTU09, which clusters closely (100% 16S rRNA gene sequence identity) to the species *N. vulgaris* Z, one of the slowest lithoautotrophically growing nitrite oxidizers (doubling time 140 h) widely present in soils and freshwater (Bock et al., 1990). The *nrxAB* genes of the *Nitrobacter*-like NOB in the cultures are also highly similar to those found in *N. vulgaris* (Supplementary Figure S4); however, the genome of *N. vulgaris* is not available for whole genome-based phylogenetic analysis. The draft genome of Culture01_Bin8 represents the first sequenced genome of the species *N. vulgaris*. The nitrite reductase gene (*nirK*) was present in the draft genomes of the *Nitrosomonas*-like AOB and *Nitrobacter*-like NOB and confirmed via PCR (Supplementary Table S1); however, this gene is unlikely to be functional in our study, since we found a stoichiometric conversion of NH₄⁺ to NO₂⁻ in our cultures (Figure 1). In addition, our cultivation was performed in an oxygen-rich condition (>5.6 mg/L), contrary to previous studies that showed functioning of the *nirK* gene in a low oxygen environment (0–4%) (Starkenburg et al., 2008; Attard et al., 2010).

*Nitrobacter* NOB are usually of high abundance in environments with a high nitrogen content, such as wastewater (Wagner and Loy, 2002) and high-nutrient soils (Attard et al., 2010). The accumulated NO₂⁻ concentration (maximum 355 μM) in Culture01 is not considered high; nevertheless, the *Nitrobacter*-like NOB was much more abundant than *Nitrospira* (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S1). This contrasts with other aquarium biofilter studies that found *Nitrospira*-like NOB to be the most common nitrite oxidizers (Hovanec et al., 1998; Burrell et al., 2001). This difference could be due to the likelihood of a faster growth rate of the *Nitrobacter*-like NOB in our cultures, as *N. vulgaris* has a short generation time (13 h) compared with other species of NOB (~26–43 h) (Nowka et al., 2015) and the *K*₈ of NO₂⁻ is lower for *N. vulgaris* (49 μM) than for other *Nitrobacter*-like NOB and is similar to *Nitrospira* (Nowka et al., 2015), suggesting that *N. vulgaris* can be as competitive as *Nitrospira* in oligotrophic environments.

**Synergistic Interactions between the AOB and NOB in the Cultures**

AOB and NOB coexist in both natural (e.g., rice paddy soils) (Ke et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015) and engineered systems...
(e.g., wastewater treatment plants) (Dionisi et al., 2002), but previous studies investigating their relationships were usually carried out using artificially constructed co-cultures of AOB and NOB isolates (Laanbroek and Gerards, 1993; Perez et al., 2015). These approaches may not reflect the nitrification processes in a real-life ecosystem, and most studies also tended to focus on nitrifiers in activated sludge (Slickers et al., 2005; Winkler et al., 2012), with fewer co-culture studies on members of freshwater biofilters (van Kessel et al., 2010).

Metagenomic and 16S rRNA gene sequencing, together with physiological experiments, indicated that the nitrifying partnership in the cultures involves a Nitrosomonas-like AOB and a Nitrobroter-like NOB that are adapted to a relatively low NH₄⁺ condition. The combination of AOB and NOB in Culture01 reduced the lag time compared to that in Culture02 and Culture03. For example, incubation at the lowest NH₄⁺ or NO₂⁻ concentration tested (50 μM) gave longer lag phases for Culture02 and Culture03 than for Culture01 of 10- and 3.5-fold, respectively. Although nitrifiers are reportedly sensitive to the initial incubation conditions (e.g., substrate concentrations) (Graham et al., 2007), the duration of the lag phase also varies significantly when incubated with the same NH₄⁺ concentration, depending on whether the nitrifying partner is present. Furthermore, similar to a previous study (Sedlacek et al., 2016), the growth rate also highly depends on the presence of the nitrifying partner, with Culture01 showing the highest rate compared to the two other cultures, and especially Culture03 (Figure 3A). The extent of cell growth for the AOB was lower in Culture01 than in Culture02 and the NOB in Culture 01 had similar growth to that seen in Culture03 (Figure 4). The major difference between Culture01 and Culture02 was the composition of the nitrifiers; therefore, the decoupling between the growth of AOB and nitrification activities might reflect how the cells utilize the energy derived from NH₄⁺ in the presence NOB. In line with the previous finding that AOB had a higher Kᵣ value for NH₄⁺ in the absence of NOB (Sedlacek et al., 2016), the Kᵣ of NH₄⁺ for Culture02 without NOB was threefold higher than for Culture01 with NOB, suggesting that the synergistic interactions between AOB and NOB enable the robust oxidation of NH₄⁺ at a low concentration. The presence of heterotrophic bacteria with AOB had also been shown to increase the expression of proteins related to the ammonia oxidation pathway of AOB and promote nitrification (Sedlacek et al., 2016). The kinetic parameters and the synergistic relationships can potentially be used to enhance nutrient removal in freshwater treatment systems, which could benefit aquatic life and prevent eutrophication (Hou et al., 2013).

The physical contact between AOB and NOB allows the efficient transfer of compounds between them (Okabe et al., 1999). Reciprocal feeding between AOB and NOB has been experimentally demonstrated by co-culturing AOB and NOB, whereby Nitrospira mosconiensis breaks down urea or cyanate to NH₄⁺ to provide an energy source for N. europaea or Nitrosomonas nitrosa, which in turn produce NO₂⁻ for the NOB (Palatinszky et al., 2015). This source of NH₄⁺ is important for the functioning of AOB in oligotrophic environments (Prosser et al., 2014). Interestingly, the gene encoding cyanate hydratase (Starkenburg et al., 2006), which converts cyanate to NH₃ and CO₂ in the presence of HCO₃⁻, is found in the genome of the Nitrobacter-like NOB (Culture01_Bin8) (also present in N. winogradskii Nb-255), and this could aid in supporting AOB when urea or cyanate is available.

CONCLUSION

We used a culture-dependent approach to simulate the in situ nitrification process in a freshwater biofilter to study the ecophysiology of the ammonia-oxidizing and nitrite-oxidizing guilds at a relatively low nitrogen concentration and the synergistic relationships between these guilds. The cultured N. vulgaris may be as competitive as Nitrospira-like NOB in oligotrophic environments. The nitrification kinetics of the cultures are influenced by NH₄⁺ and/or NO₂⁻, and HCO₃⁻ concentrations. Metagenomic sequencing indicated the draft genomes of the nitrifying partners (Nitrosomonas-like AOB and Nitrobroter-like NOB), and that their growth rate, substrates affinity, and lag duration strongly depended on the presence of each partner. Although the AOB and NOB could function independently, when both were present together, robust nitrification occurred. Overall, the observations in this study indicate the competitiveness of the cultured Nitrosomonas-like AOB and Nitrobroter-like NOB in an oligotrophic environment and a strong dependence of their activities on the synergistic relationships between the two guilds. These results provide insights for possible manipulations of multi-species interactions to optimize nitrification treatment processes.
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