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Abstract

The analysis of the failure due to the effect of the propagation of normal and reversed faults with different angles of inclination and by sliding through the Ourkiss dam is studied numerically. Mainly at the end of construction and at the highest water level, for this purpose the non-linear finite difference method is used considering four fault angles of inclination, activated at the center of the base of the embankment.

The results of the study show that the shear stress values increase with the increase of the vertical base displacement imposed in both conditions of the dam state, and this for both normal and overturned faults.

1. Introduction

The phenomenon of propagation of fault rupture by sliding has been studied by many authors. Bray & al [4,5] concluded that the behaviour of fault propagation is influenced by soil characteristics. It causes significant damage to the structure. Numerical simulations and physical modelling have been carried out on the phenomenon of fault fracture propagation through uniform horizontal soil layers (Bray JD & al, 1990 [5]; Bonilla, M G and Lienkaemper. JJ, 1990 [6]; Anastasopoulos. I and Gazetas.G, 2007 [1]; Lazarte C.A, 1996 [16]; Lin. ML, & al, 2006 [17], Moosavi .SM &al 2010 [20] ; Loukidis. D& al, 2009 [18]). The study of fault propagation and its impact on the response of the underlying bedrock in the case of earth dams has been the subject of several studies. Fault displacements were first observed by Louderback(1937) [19].

This subject has been detailed by (Wieland,M& al, 2008 [29]; Sherard, J.L, Cluff, L.S, 1974 [25] Swiger, W.F, 1978 [26]; Allen, C.R, Cluff, L.S ,2000 [2]. Cheney, J.A, & al (1984) [7] analyzed fault failure in models of silt embankments using centrifugation tests. In order to study the influence of material properties, geometry and kinematic conditions on the response of the saturated clay backfill due to a Lazarte fault, 1996 [16] carried out a physical model test with experimental studies and 3D numerical analyses. Mejia &coll (2005) [21] numerically studied the combined effects of earthquakes and fault displacement of the foundation of the Aviemore Dam in New Zealand. Solhmirzaei& al (2012) [27] numerically model the propagation of the failure of an active dipping fault through clayey soil. Soroush& al (2015) [28] numerically studied two homogeneous and zoned embankment dams when faults occur in their underlying bedrock under steady-state infiltration conditions. Khoshini& al (2014) [15] and MortazaviZanjani& al (2016) [22] carried out finite element analyses on zoned homogeneous earth dams in an attempt to define fault propagation and consequently the stability of the Ejilali dam & al (2015) [9] analysed the propagation paths of the fault rupture through a zoned embankment dam. Hazeghian&Soroush (2015) [11],(2017) [12] have shown that the inclinations of fault failures are in agreement with Roscoe's theory (Roscoe, 1970). Hazeghian & Soroush (2018) [13] have carried out numerical parametric analyses examining the effect of soil surface geometry on the propagation of slip
faults through granular soil. Finally, Eeleni et al (2019) [10] focused their work on the effects of normal fault propagation on a motorway embankment based on a single-layer soil.

2. Presentation Of The Ourkiss Dam

The Ourkiss Dam is an embankment dam built with clayey materials and alluvium with a watertight geomembrane screen on the upstream face of the dike and on the sides of the dam. It is located 14 km south of the town of Ain Fakroune, downstream of the confluence of Wadi El Kebir and Ourkiss, in the wilaya of Oum el Bouaghi. The height of the dam is 40.60 m, the crest being 407 m long and 8 m wide at the height of 954.50 m NGA (Algerian General Levelling). The reservoir has a normal elevation of 951.60 m NGA with a total storage volume of 69.1 × 106 m³. The slope inclination upstream is 1:3 while the slope inclination downstream is 1:2.5 (Fig. 1).

The area of the dam and the sides of the reservoir are made up of much karstified dolomitic, calcareous and dolomitic limestone hard rocks. Mostly subvertical faults are observed, up to several meters wide with an average extent in the East-West direction. Movements up to several tens of meters have been observed along these faults.

The most pronounced structural form in the area of investigation is certainly the fault zone (red fault) of about 5 m wide, along which the rock (dolomite) is very broken and later degraded to the waffle and filled with clay. It extends along the whole area of the map; it cuts the initial part of the gallery on the right flank and the drainage channel (Fig. 1). For the modeling of the dam we take profile 8 whose dimensions are shown in Fig. 1.

3. Problem Definition And Model Description

3.1) Geometry and boundary conditions

The numerical analysis of the propagation of the active fault fracture through the Ourkiss dam filled and at the end of construction was studied using FLAC 2D [14] in plane deformation mode with a Mohr-Coulomb elastoplastic criterion. The research focuses mainly on the quasi-static dislocation and normal and reverse propagation of the fault with various submergence angles \( \alpha \) (30°-45°-60°-75°), with the fault tip located in the center of the overlying embankment at the base of the dam.

To simulate the movement of the fault, a displacement with angles of inclination \( \alpha \) is applied to the left (upstream) part while the right part is fixed [28] figure 2.

3.2) Loading application:

The basic vertical displacement (h) necessary for the propagation of the fracture through the structure for uniform horizontal layers of non-cohesive material is 2 to 6% of the height of the overlying layer is
imposed according to [5,8, 18]. Bray & al [5] base displacements of 10 to 16% of the height are required for horizontal layers of saturated clay materials [23].

However, the materials commonly used in backfill are stiffer than saturated clay materials. Preliminary analyses in this study reveal that smaller base displacements are sufficient for the development of a fracture across the trapezoidal geometry of the embankments [23]. Mortazavi Zanjani and Soroush (2014, 2016) suggested a vertical base displacement of 2% and 4% of the size of the dam for normal (NF) and reverse (RF) faults respectively in order for the failure to reach the ground surface. On the basis of these studies, we have chosen equivalent vertical displacements as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Choice of basic vertical displacement "h".

| The fault point is located in the backfill center | Value of vertical base displacement h and type of fault |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                                                 | Normal fault (NF) | Revers fault (RF) |
| 2% H = 0.68 m                                   | 4% H = 1.36 m    |
| 2.94 % H =1m                                    | 2.94 % H =1m     |
| 4.41 % H =1.5m                                  | 4.41 H =1.5m     |

3.3) Geotechnical parameters.

Presentation of the geotechnical parameters of different zones of the Ourkiss Dam.

Table 2: Geotechnical parameters of the model.

| Geotechnical Horizon | Foundation | Alluvial Materials | Clay |
|----------------------|------------|--------------------|------|
| Volume weight: η (kN/m³) | 22.6       | 19.00              | 19.30 |
| Friction angle : φ (°)     | 40°        | 30°                | 20°  |
| Cohesion : C (kPa)           | 00.00      | 5.00               | 10.0 |
| deformabilityModulus:E (barre) | 120.00    | 60.00              | 50.00|
| Poisson’s coefficient : ν  | 0.25       | 0.4                | 0.33 |
| Behaviour law             | MC         | MC                 | MC   |

NB: MC: Mohr-Coulomb Law of Behavior

4. Presentation And Discussion Of Results

4.1.1) End of construction case (EOC) h=1m normal fault (NF).

4.1.2) Higher water level case (HWL) h=1m normal fault (NF).

4.1.3) End of construction case (EOC) h=0.68m normal fault (NF).
4.1.4) Higher water level case (HWL) \( h=0.68 \)m normal fault (NF)

4.1.5) End of construction case (EOC) \( h=1.5 \)m normal fault (NF)

4.1.6) Higher water level case (HWL) \( h=1.5 \)m normal fault (NF)

According to figures "3-4-5-6-7-8", it can be said that the general fracture profiles for normal faults with the inclination \( \alpha = 30^\circ, 45^\circ \) for the Ourkiss dam are similar to those found by M. Hazeghian & al (2018) [13] (Figure 10), both for the state at the end of construction and that of the highest water level.

A primary crack first occurs downstream, then a secondary asymmetric fault fracture develops upstream. Another comparison with similar works but for an earth dam zoned for a normal fault \( \alpha = 45^\circ \) by a M. Zanjani & al 2016 [22] (Figure 3) was made with the Ourkiss dam study.

On the basis of the general fracture patterns that have been developed for normal faults, with the inclination \( \alpha = 60^\circ, 75^\circ \) (figures "3-4-5-6-7-8") we can describe this state of facts as follows: A primary fracture develops upstream, then a secondary fracture evolves downstream, at the end of the construction for the basic vertical displacement \( h=1.5 \)m, \( h=1 \)m and \( h=0.68 \)m.

On the other hand, for the upper level normal fault state \( (\alpha = 75^\circ) \), only a primary fracture develops on the downstream side for the basic vertical displacements \( h=1.5 \)m, \( h=1 \)m and \( h=0.68 \)m. But for \( \alpha = 60^\circ \), a primary fracture is formed upstream, with a secondary fracture developing downstream. These results are consistent with the results obtained by M. Hazeghian & al (2018) [13] figure 10.

4.2) Diagrams of fault ruptures reversed fault cases (RF):

4.2.1) End of construction case (EOC) \( h=1 \)m reverse fault (RF)

4.2.2) Higher water level case (HWL) \( h=1 \)m overturn fault (RF)

4.2.3) End of construction case (EOC) \( h=1.36 \)m reverse fault (RF)

4.2.4) Higher water level case (HWL) \( h=1.36 \)m reverse fault (RF)

4.2.5) End of construction case (EOC) \( h=1.5 \)m reverse fault (RF)

4.2.5) Higher water level case (HWL) \( h=1.5 \)m reverse fault (RF)

With respect to the reverse fault with \( \alpha = 30^\circ \), the summary results presented in Figures 10-11-12-13-14-15 show that there are primary (P) and secondary (S) failures across the dam. They diverge downstream for the two conditions, end of construction (EOC) and higher level of water (HLW), and this regardless of the value of the vertical displacement of the base \( h \), in comparison with the distribution of the fault lines found by Zanjani and Soroush (2013) [23] we see that they are close to the surface and shallow in a
trapezoidal geometry Figure 16. While for $\alpha = 45^\circ$ the figures (10-11-12-13-14-15) show that the rupture line in our case propagates towards the crest and the downstream side. On the other hand, the break line in the case of the MortazaviZanjani M, Soroush A, Khoshini M [20] study propagates out of the core towards the upstream and downstream sides at the base of the dam and this is due to the effect of the core stiffness.

About the reverse fault $\alpha = 60^\circ$ and $\alpha = 75^\circ$, the figures (10-11-12-13-14-15) show that the primary ruptures (P) through the Ourkiss dam, deviate from the fault projection lines upstream, for both conditions (EOC) and (HWL). These results are consistent with the work of Zanjani and Soroush (2013) [23]. For the case where $h=1.5m$, we find that under both EOC and HLW conditions and for $\alpha = 60^\circ$, the P ruptures across the dam deviate from the fault projection lines towards the downstream side.

### 5. Comparison Of Shear Stress Increments

In order to demonstrate the importance of the distribution of shear stress increments (SSI) as a function of the state of the two dam conditions (EOC and HWL) and the type of fault (RF and NF), a graphical presentation is shown in the figure below.

According to Figure 17 (a and b) ($h=0.68$ m and $h=1.36$ m), it can be seen that in the case of the presence of a reverse fault, the increases in shear stress are greater than those of a normal fault. For the case when $\alpha=30^\circ$ representing the HLW angle, the increases in shear stress for a normal fault become significant.

It appears from Figure 18 (a and b) ($h=1.5$m) that at the end of construction (EOC) the shear stress increments for a normal fault are greater than for an reverse fault for $\alpha=45^\circ$ and $\alpha=60^\circ$ as opposed to $\alpha=30^\circ$ and $\alpha=75^\circ$. It should also be noted that the shear stress increments for a reverse fault become important for the condition of the dam in case of higher water level. In the case of the presence of a normal fault the shear stress increments are more important than in the case of a reverse fault, independently of the values of the angles of inclination $\alpha$.

According to Figure 19 (a and b) ($h=1m$) it can be seen that for both dam conditions, end of construction and higher water level, the shear stress increases for a normal fault are greater than for a reverse fault and these values are devoid with increasing angles of inclination $\alpha$.

### 6. Conclusions

Numerical analyses of the propagation of normal and reversed shear cracks in the Ourkiss Dam in the finite element state (EOC) and the upper water level state (HWL) were performed. Four angles of inclination were applied to the center of the base of the dam, resulting in the following remarks and conclusions:
• The shear stress values increase with the increase of the vertical base displacement for both conditions (EOC and HWL) and this for both the normal fault and the reversed fault.
• The core stiffness has an influence on the direction of crack propagation in the fault.
• In the case of a reversed fault, the shear stresses take higher values compared to a normal fault and this for both dam conditions (EOC and HWL), independently of the inclination values $\alpha$ under the effect of the two basic vertical displacement values 4% and 2% of the dam size for the normal (NF) and reversed (RF) faults respectively.
• For the basic vertical displacement values of 4.41% and 2.94% of the dam height, the shear stresses for a normal fault are higher than for a reversed fault.
• Different failure modes can develop inside the Ourkiss dam, depending on the type of fault (normal or reversed) and the angle of the fault.
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Figures
Figure 1

profile 8 of the Ourkiss dam

Figure 2

The tip of the fault is located in the center of the overlying embankment

Figure 3

rupture diagrams of the faults developed in the Ourkiss dam in terms of maximum shear deformation contours at the end of construction (EOC) h=1m (NF)

| Normal Fault (NF) Case | α=30° (HWL) | α=45° (HWL) |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                        | ![Diagram](image1) | ![Diagram](image2) |
|                        | ![Diagram](image3) | ![Diagram](image4) |

Figure 4

rupture diagrams of the faults developed in the Ourkiss dam in terms of the maximum shear deformation contours in case of higher water level (HWL) h=1m (NF)

Figure 5

rupture diagrams of the faults developed in the Ourkiss dam in terms of maximum shear deformation contours at the end of construction (EOC) h=0.68 m (NF)

Figure 6
rupture diagrams of the faults developed in the Ourkiss dam in terms of maximum shear deformation contours in case of higher water level (HWL) h=1.5m (NF)

**Figure 7**

rupture diagrams of the faults developed in the Ourkiss dam in terms of maximum shear deformation contours at the end of construction (EOC) h=1.5 m (NF)

**Figure 8**

Fault fracture patterns of the faults developed in the Ourkiss Dam in terms of maximum shear deformation contours in case of higher water level (HWL) case h=1.5m (NF)

**Figure 9**

Rupture propagation of the normal fault for $\alpha = 45^\circ$ activated at the center of the base of the zoned dam (Mortazavi Zanjani. M, Soroush A, Khoshini.M [22])

**Figure 10**

Fault fracture patterns of the faults developed in the embankment in terms of maximum shear deformation (normal faults) (Hazeghian & al (2018) [13]).

**Figure 11**

Fault rupture diagrams of the faults developed in the Ourkiss dam in terms of maximum shear deformation contours at the end of construction (EOC) h=1m (RF)

**Figure 12**

Fault rupture diagrams of the faults developed in the Ourkiss dam in terms of the maximum shear deformation contours in case of higher water level (HWL) h=1m (RF)
Figure 13
Fault rupture diagrams of the faults developed in the Ourkiss dam in terms of maximum shear deformation contours at the end of construction (EOC) h=1.36 m (RF)

Figure 14
Fault rupture diagrams of the faults developed in the Ourkiss dam in terms of maximum shear deformation contours in case of higher water level (HWL) h=1.36 m (RF)

Figure 15
Fault rupture diagrams of the faults developed in the Ourkiss dam in terms of maximum shear deformation contours at the end of construction (EOC) h=1.5 m (RF)

Figure 16
Fault rupture diagrams of the faults developed in the Ourkiss dam in terms of maximum shear deformation contours in case of higher water level (HWL) h=1.5 m (RF)

Figure 17
Propagation of the reverse fault rupture in a clay embankment)[23] and a zoned dam)[22].

Figure 18
Variation of shear stress increments (SSI) as a function of $\alpha$ and $h$ (h=1.36 m and h=0.68 m)
Figure 19

Variation of shear stress increments (SSI) as a function of $\alpha$ and $h$ ($h=1.5$)

Figure 20

Variation of shear stress increments (SSI) as a function of $\alpha$ and $h$ ($h=1$ m)