Recent advances in the pathogenesis and treatment of osteoporosis
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Over recent decades, the perception of osteoporosis has changed from that of an inevitable consequence of ageing, to that of a well characterised and treatable chronic non-communicable disease, with major impacts on individuals, healthcare systems and societies. Characterisation of its pathophysiology from the hierarchical structure of bone and the role of its cell population, development of effective strategies for the identification of those most appropriate for treatment, and an increasing armamentarium of efficacious pharmacological therapies, have underpinned this evolution. Despite this marked progress, individuals who experience a fragility fracture remain under-treated in many areas of the world, and there is substantial need for investment both in secondary and primary prevention globally. In this brief article, we give an overview of the pathogenesis of osteoporosis, and summarise current and future approaches to its assessment and treatment.
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Introduction

Osteoporosis is characterised by deterioration of bone mass and microarchitecture, resulting in increased bone fragility and propensity to fracture. Worldwide, there are nearly 9 million osteoporotic fractures each year, and the US Surgeon General’s report of 2004, consistent with data from the UK, suggested that almost one in two women and one in five men will experience a fracture in their remaining lifetime from the age of 50 years. The cost of osteoporotic fracture in the UK approaches £3 billion annually and, across the EU, the estimated total economic cost of the approximately 3.5 million fragility fractures in 2010 was €37 billion. In this article we review the pathogenesis of osteoporosis and approaches to improving bone strength, aimed at reducing the immense burden of osteoporotic fracture.

Pathogenesis

Hierarchical structure

Fractures occur when the force applied to a bone exceeds its strength. A bone needs to be both stiff and flexible to resist fracture, which is achieved through a hierarchical structure. Collagen type-1 fibrils are wound in a triple helical structure, linked together with non-collagenous proteins, which help to prevent shearing. Hydroxyapatite crystals deposited on the collagen structure add strength, particularly in compression. Cross-linkage between collagen fibrils with non-collagenous proteins is reduced in osteoporotic bone, leading to reduced tensile strength. In addition, larger hydroxyapatite crystals are found in osteoporosis, making bone more brittle and prone to fracture.

Bone cells

Osteoblasts, osteocytes and osteoclasts are the three main types of bone cells. Osteoblasts are bone forming and may become embedded within bone mineral as mature osteocytes (comprising 90–95% of the cells within bone) or remain on the surface as bone-lining cells. Osteoclasts are multinucleated cells responsible for bone resorption. Osteoblasts and osteoclasts work together in a coordinated fashion at specific sites on the surface of trabecular or cortical bone, forming ‘bone multicellular units’. During bone formation, osteoblasts lay down new osteoid collagen matrix and over a period of weeks to months, crystals of calcium hydroxyapatite form on the collagen fibrils. Bone is laid down during growth and repair and through adaptation to mechanical loading in a process known as modelling.
Remodelling, in contrast, involves a cycle of resorption and formation of existing bone. Osteocytes play a key role in the regulation of modelling and remodelling. The arrangement of the osteocytes around Haversian canals acts as a mechanosensory system and allows communication both directly between neighbouring osteocytes and through the release of endocrine, paracrine and autocrine signalling factors to other bone cells. The various pathways important to the regulation of osteoblast and osteoclast activity, such as receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa B–receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa B ligand (RANK–RANKL) and Wnt signalling, are increasingly recognised as targets for anti-osteoporosis agents.

Changes in bone structure across the life course
The balance of formation and resorption has a critical influence on bone mass and strength throughout life. There is a positive balance during childhood until achievement of peak bone mass in early adulthood, with a subsequent period of stability and then a negative balance in older age, with osteoclast activity greater than osteoblast activity, leading to bone loss. In women, this process is accelerated after the menopause. At the level of the whole bone, the cellular mechanisms and associated influences result in differences in structure between males and females, and alterations with advancing age. Males typically have a larger bone cross-sectional area than females, and in addition there is a significant reduction in cortical thickness in females following the menopause, contributing to the well established sex differences in fracture risk. The structure of the trabeculae differs between the sexes, with young women having fewer and thinner trabeculae than young men, and a greater reduction in trabecular number in women as they age. In addition, cortical porosity increases at a faster rate in female ageing.

Table 1. Risk factors for osteoporosis

| Risk factors independent of BMD | Risk factors dependent on BMD |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Age                            | Untreated hypogonadism, premature menopause |
| Previous personal history of fragility fracture | Malabsorption |
| Maternal history of hip fracture – heritable influences | Endocrine disease eg hyperthyroidism |
| Glucocorticoid therapy         | Chronic renal disease         |
| Smoking                        | Chronic liver disease         |
| Alcohol intake ≥ 23 units/day  | Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease |
| Rheumatoid arthritis           | Immobility                    |
| Body mass index ≤ 19 kg/m²     | Drugs eg androgen deprivation therapy, aromatase inhibitors |
| Falls                          |                               |
| Caucasian                      |                               |
| Geography – latitudes furthest from equator |                               |

BMD = bone mineral density.

Clinical risk factors
There are many factors that influence fracture risk, either through bone mineral density (BMD) or through independent mechanisms. These include age, glucocorticoid therapy, a previous personal history of fracture, a family history of hip fracture, current smoking practice, alcohol abuse and certain diseases associated with osteoporosis eg rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes, osteogenesis imperfecta in adults, untreated longstanding hyperthyroidism, hypogonadism or premature menopause (<45 years), chronic malnutrition, malabsorption and chronic liver disease. These are summarised in Table 1, and have, in terms of risk assessment, been incorporated into the FRAX® tool, a WHO supported initiative which uses risk factors, with or without BMD measurement, to estimate a 10-year probability of either hip fracture or major osteoporotic fracture. FRAX® is by far the most commonly used such tool globally, covering 75% of the world’s population, and may, as in the UK, be linked to assessment algorithms to define thresholds for intervention with treatment.

Treatment of osteoporosis
Vitamin D and calcium supplementation
The role of vitamin D and calcium supplementation has been much debated in recent years with numerous meta-analyses suggesting conflicting findings. A large UK randomised controlled trial demonstrated that supplementation with either calcium, vitamin D or both for secondary fracture prevention at the population level appeared ineffective, although supplementation in high-risk settings where deficiencies are expected, for example in nursing homes, may be beneficial. A recent individual patient data meta-analysis demonstrated that overall, there appeared to be a modest benefit for combined vitamin D and calcium supplementation for hip fractures, total fractures and probably vertebral fractures, but that there was no benefit for vitamin D alone. Although there has been discussion from one research group that excess calcium intake may be associated with increased cardiovascular risk, this has not been substantiated across many other studies. Indeed, it is reassuring to note that a recent individual-patient-data
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Denosumab

Denosumab, a fully humanised antibody to RANKL, is a newer antiresorptive agent. RANKL, secreted by osteoblasts, is a major activator of osteoclastic bone resorption and mimics the action of osteoprotegerin. Denosumab is administered as a subcutaneous injection once every six months and its efficacy has been demonstrated in patients with renal impairment, although due consideration should be given to the possibility of underlying renal bone disease in chronic kidney disease 4–5. Three-year fracture data show a 68% reduction in vertebral fracture and 40% reduction in hip fracture. 13 Side effects are uncommon, and may rarely include skin infections, predominantly cellulitis. This is not typically seen at the injection site and may be secondary to an immunomodulatory effect of the drug. Hypocalcaemia can also be a risk, particularly if the patient is vitamin D deficient, or has renal impairment.

Selective oestrogen receptor modulators – raloxifene

Raloxifene is a selective oestrogen receptor modulator that has antiresorptive oestrogenic effects on the skeleton without the unwanted risks of oestrogen in the breast. Its use is also associated with a significant decrease in the risk of breast cancer. It has been shown to be effective in preventing post-

menopausal bone loss and in preventing vertebral fractures. However there is no evidence that raloxifene prevents hip or non-vertebral fractures. 19 Adverse effects include leg oedema, cramps, hot flushes and a two- to three-fold increase in the risk of VTE.

Teriparatide

Teriparatide (recombinant human 1–34 parathyroid-hormone peptide) is the only agent in current widespread use with truly anabolic effects on bone. It is administered by subcutaneous injection in daily doses of 20 µg. It increases bone formation and produces large increases in BMD, leading to approximately 70% reduction in the incidence of new moderate or severe vertebral fractures over 18 months of treatment, together with reductions in non-vertebral fractures. 19 Side effects are uncommon but may include nausea, headache and dizziness; in addition, transient hypercalcaemia and hypercalciuria may occur. Studies have shown added benefit in combination treatments such as teriparatide plus denosumab or teriparatide plus zoledronate, 29 although such approaches are not yet approved clinically in the UK, and use of teriparatide in the UK is currently limited to those older patients at highest fracture risk and who may have failed other therapies.

Adverse effects and duration of therapy

Atypical femoral fractures of the subtrochanteric region and femoral shaft may also rarely occur in patients taking bisphosphonates or denosumab. These are usually located in the lateral cortex around which endosteal thickening may be observed prior to fracture occurrence. Individuals may have prodromal pain and fractures typically are transverse, sometimes bilateral and occur after minimal trauma. Although these fractures can occur in bisphosphonate-/denosumab-naive individuals, they appear more commonly in patients taking these therapies for a prolonged duration. It is thought that the reason for this increased incidence is related to oversuppression of bone turnover. Overall, the fractures prevented greatly outnumber those atypical events potentially resulting from medication. 21 Osteonecrosis of the jaw is extremely rarely observed during therapy for osteoporosis (as low as 1/100,000/year for individuals on oral bisphosphonates), 22 but appears more commonly when higher doses of bisphosphonates are
given intravenously for treatment of bone metastases. A causal link to bisphosphonates is unproven but international guidance suggests a prudent approach, encouraging patients to maintain good oral hygiene and have regular dental visits, with invasive dental work performed before commencement of bisphosphonate or denosumab therapy. Current UK guidance has therefore moved towards a reassessment of the need for treatment after three years of intravenous bisphosphonate/subcutaneous denosumab, and five years of oral bisphosphonate. For high-risk patients, continuation of treatment is usually warranted, but where there have been no incident fractures and BMD has improved, a period without treatment may be recommended.

**Novel therapies**

**Cathepsin-K inhibitors**

Odanacatib is a once weekly oral treatment for osteoporosis in which phase-III clinical trials have recently been performed. It inhibits cathepsin-K, a cysteine protease expressed in osteoclasts which degrades type-1 collagen. In postmenopausal women with low BMD, 24 months of treatment with odanacatib was shown to produce increases in lumbar spine and total-hip BMD by 5.5% and 3.2%, respectively, whereas BMD at these sites was essentially unchanged with placebo (−0.2% and −0.9%). However, later trial outcomes also demonstrated a possible increase in cerebrovascular events, which has led to a delay in FDA approval while outcomes are further adjudicated.

**Anti-sclerostin antibodies**

Sclerostin, an osteocyte-secreted protein, negatively regulates osteoblasts and inhibits bone formation through the low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5 (LRP5)/Wnt signalling pathway. The role of sclerostin in bone mass homeostasis was highlighted by the finding that two rare high-bone-mass diseases, van Buchem disease and sclerostosis have been linked to inactivating mutations in the sclerostin gene. A monoclonal antibody against sclerostin, romosozumab, was administered intravenously to healthy men and postmenopausal women and was shown to increase bone-resorption markers, along with a dose-related decrease in bone-formation markers. Statistically significant increases in BMD of up to 5.3% at the lumbar spine and 2.8% at the total hip compared with placebo were observed on day 85 of treatment.

A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled multicentre phase-II clinical trial of a second agent, blosuozumab (a humanised monoclonal antibody targeted against sclerostin), demonstrated statistically significant dose-related increases in spine, femoral neck and total hip BMD as compared with placebo. In the highest dose group, BMD increases from baseline reached 17.7% at the spine, and 6.2% at the total hip. Biochemical markers of bone formation also increased during blosuozumab treatment, while resorption markers decreased. These findings present promise for future use of anti-sclerostin antibodies as anabolic osteoporosis therapies. Other potential areas for investigation include new selective oestrogen receptor or androgen receptor modulators, calcilytics (calcium receptor antagonists), the nitric oxide pathway and interventions aimed at sarcopenia, in what is an exciting and rapidly changing field of osteoporosis treatment and fracture prevention.

**Conclusion**

In recent decades, our understanding of the pathogenesis of osteoporosis has dramatically increased, with development of a wide range of effective pharmaceutical approaches to fracture prevention. Given the enormous prevalence of osteoporosis, and frequency and burden of resulting fragility fractures, one of the key concerns going forward remains the optimal identification of those requiring treatment. The tools for fracture risk assessment are widely available, in the form of the FRAX® calculator, and new medications are undergoing testing. It is vital that awareness of osteoporosis is promoted among all health professionals, in order to ensure closure of the so-called ‘treatment gap’, and with a consequent reduction in the burden of osteoporotic fracture for individuals, healthcare systems and societies.
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