Malnutrition in early life and its neurodevelopmental and cognitive consequences: a scoping review

A. Suryawan, M.Y. Jalaludin, B.K. Poh, R. Sanusi, V.M.H. Tan, J.M. Geurts and L. Muhardi

Abstract

The negative impact of stunting and severe underweight on cognitive neurodevelopment of children is well documented; however, the effect of overweight/obesity is still unclear. The 2018 Global Nutrition Report reported that stunting and overweight concurrently affect 189 million children worldwide. As existing reviews discuss undernutrition and overweight/obesity separately, this scoping review aims to document the impact of mild/moderate and severe underweight, stunting, and overweight/obesity among children aged 0–60 months on their cognitive neurodevelopmental trajectories. Twenty-six articles were analysed to extract significant information from literature retrieved from PubMed and Cochrane databases published from 1 January 2009 to 31 October 2019. Length gain is associated with cognitive neurodevelopment in normo-nourished and stunted children aged under 24 months. Among stunted children, it seems that cognitive and neurodevelopmental deficits can potentially be recovered before 8 years of age, particularly in those whose nutritional status has improved. The impact of overweight/obesity on cognitive neurodevelopment appears to be limited to attention, gross motor skills and executive control. Parental education level, birth weight/length, breastfeeding duration, and sanitation level are some identifiable factors that modify the impact of undernutrition and overweight/obesity on cognitive and neurodevelopment. In conclusion, underweight, stunting and overweight/obesity have a significant impact on cognitive neurodevelopment. Multidimensional approaches with various stakeholders should address all issues simultaneously, such as improving sanitation levels, assuring parental job security and adequate social welfare, and providing access to adequate nutrients for catch-up growth among underweight or stunted children and to affordable healthy foods for those who are overweight/obese and from low socio-economic status.
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Introduction

Malnutrition, including wasting or low weight-for-height, stunting or low height-for-age, and underweight or low weight-for-age, micronutrient deficiencies, and overweight/obesity, are public health problems that can affect children aged less than 60 months. While the number of stunted children worldwide has decreased in recent years, the number of overweight children has increased, and the total number of children affected by stunting and overweight is approximately 189 million (3). The World Health Organization (WHO) in 2019 reported that undernutrition and overweight/obesity coexist together in more than one-third of low- and middle-income countries (4). Given the potential for both ends of the malnutrition spectrum to affect neurodevelopment, it is important to consider them together.

Malnutrition leads to economic, social and health issues for families, at the community and national level (5). More importantly, severe undernutrition – defined as severe stunting (below height-for-age z-score (HAZ) minus three standard deviations (−3 SD)) and severe underweight (below weight-for-age z-score (WAZ) minus three standard deviations (−3 SD)) (6) – impair children’s development in the short (delayed cognitive, behavioural and motor development), medium (lower intelligence
Malnutrition and cognitive neurodevelopmental consequences

The importance of monitoring weight, length and head circumference during the first 24 months of age

From the reviewed studies, length-for-age z-scores (LAZ) and height-for-age z-scores (HAZ) were associated with various elements of cognitive functioning, such as attention span, time to proper walking, mathematics and language abilities in early life, and income and choice of partner/age at marriage in later life (Table 1). It was reported that healthy infants in the highest quartile of neonatal weight-, length- and head circumference-gain during the first 4 weeks of life had higher IQ scores at 6 years of age than those in the lowest quartile.

From a longitudinal study in the Philippines, regardless of HAZ categories at 6 months (defined as moderate/severe stunting (HAZ < −2), mild stunting (−2 ≤ HAZ < −1), at risk of stunting (−1 < HAZ < 0), or normal height (HAZ ≥ 0)), more...
Table 1. Key findings from retrieved observational studies on growth and the impact on neurodevelopment and cognition

| First author, year of publication | Country of study | Sample size and age at enrolment | Type of study (longitudinal cohort, cross-sectional, systematic review, or meta-analysis) | Nutritional status of the study population | Developmental tools and age at assessment | Affected cognitive and neurodevelopmental aspects by suboptimal growth | Study quality |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Sudfeld CR, 2015(6)           | Low- and middle-income countries | Children aged <12 years (children aged >5–12 years were excluded from the present review) | Systematic review from longitudinal cohorts and surveys | General population | During the first 60 months of life:  *Cognitive*  *Motor*  *Socio-emotional* | • Odds of walking  • Motor score  • Social skills | Good |
| 2. Hamadani JD, 2014(12)        | Bangladesh      | 2853 singletons                   | Longitudinal cohort sub sample from birth to 64 months as part of a larger maternal interventional study | General population | • Children’s problem-solving ability measured using two 1-step means-end tests ‘Support’ and ‘Cover’ at 7 months  • Mental development index (MDI) measured using the Bayley Scales of Infant Development Revised version at 18 months  • Children’s IQ measured using the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence (WPPSI) at 64 months | IQ score | Good |
| 3. Camargo-Figuera FA, 2014(10) | Brazil          | 4231 singletons                   | Longitudinal cohort from birth to 6 years of age | General population | • WISC-III at 72 months | IQ score | Good |
| 4. Smithers LG, 2013(39)        | Belarus         | 16 692 children                  | Longitudinal cohort from birth to 1 year from a sub-sample from PROBIT Trial | General population | At 6-5 years:  • Cognitive ability using Wechsler Abbreviated Scales of Intelligence  • Child behaviour using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire  • Temperament and attention assessed using modified version of the Laboratory Temperament Assessment Battery (Lab-TAB) and MOFPTask at 6 and 9 months | IQ score | Good |
| 5. Aubuchon-Endsley NL, 2011(40) | Ethiopia        | 108 infants                       | Longitudinal cohort from 6 months to 9 months of age | Healthy population | • Duration and number of inattention periods  • Mean of looking time  • Total duration of looking | Cognitive performance | Poor |
| 6. Mohd Nasir MT, 2012(14)      | Malaysia        | 1933 pre-schoolers aged 4–6 years | Cross-sectional study | Healthy population | • Raven’s Coloured Progressive Matrices at 48–72 months | Overall development and learning capabilities | Good |
| 7. Miller AC, 2015(8)           | Low-middle income countries | 58 513 children aged 36–59 months | Meta-analysis from 15 Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys results (MICS-4) | Undernutrition | Early Childhood Development Index scores for children aged 36–59 months old | Literacy skills  • Numerical skills  • Cognitive development  • Language development  • Motor development | Good |
| 8. Nguyen PH, 2018(29)          | Vietnam         | 1458 children                     | Cohort study from offspring of the first phase study (a randomised controlled trial of pre-conceptional micronutrient supplementation (PRECONCEPT study) from birth until 2 years of age | General population | Bayley Scales of Infant Development–III at 12 and 24 months | |
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| First author, year of publication | Country of study | Sample size and age at enrolment | Type of study (longitudinal cohort, cross-sectional, systematic review, or meta-analysis) | Nutritional status of the study population | Developmental tools and age at assessment | Affected cognitive and neurodevelopmental aspects by suboptimal growth | Study quality |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 9 Casale D, 2014(19)             | South Africa    | 3273 children                   | Cohort study from the Birth to Twenty cohort study from 2 years to 5 years of age          | Stunted                                    | • At 48 months: social skills competence or ‘daily living skills’ assessed using VSMS | Cognitive functioning Good                       | Good          |
| 10 Crookston BT, 2013(16)        | Ethiopia, India, Peru, Vietnam | 8062 infants                   | Cohort of multi-country studies from 1 year to 8 years of age                               | Stunted and healthy children               | • At 8 years: Schooling average                 | Social skills competence Fine motor skills Overall schooling Receptive vocabulary Mathematics score Good | Good          |
| 11 Cheung YB, 2010(11)           | Philippines     | 1516 infants                    | Cohort study from the ‘Cebu Longitudinal Health and Nutrition Survey’ from 6 to 24 months old followed up at age 11 years | Stunted and Healthy children               | • At 11 years: Non-verbal intelligence test English reading comprehension test Mathematics test | Cognitive ability Good | Good          |
| 12 Hoddinott J, 2013(17)         | Guatemala       | 1338 adults                     | Retrospective cohort aged 25–42 years who were studied as 24-month infants in 1969–1977    | Healthy adults                             | • Age of starting and leaving school           | Overall schooling Reading scores Non-verbal cognitive scores Characteristics of marriage partners; older age at first birth; a smaller number of pregnancies and births Household per capita income Adult health Good | Good          |
| 13 Waber DP, 2014(11)            | Barbados        | 77 adults                       | Retrospective cohort study from an Intervention program with history of infan tile malnutrition in the first year of life and healthy controls born between 1967 and 1972 | Grade II–III protein energy malnutrition and healthy population | • Adult IQ: Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence – Vocabulary and Matrix Reasoning subtests | Adult health Good | Good          |
| 14 Baker-Henningham H, 2009(15)  | Bangladesh      | 212 undernourished children and 108 normo-nourished controls | Cross-sectional study of children aged 6–24 months | Underweight (weight-for-age z-score < −2) and normo-nourished children | At 6–24 months: Baseline assessment using revised version of the Bayley Scales of Infant Development Temperament assessed through an interviewer-administered maternal questionnaire consisting of seven subscales | Social skills Good | Good          |
| First author, year of publication | Country of study | Sample size and age at enrolment | Type of study (longitudinal cohort, cross-sectional, systematic review, or meta-analysis) | Nutritional status of the study population | Developmental tools and age at assessment | Affected cognitive and neurodevelopmental aspects by suboptimal growth | Study quality |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 15 Sudfeld CR, 2015(42)          | Tanzania        | 1036 infants aged 18–36 months   | Cross-sectional study on existing data from a cohort | General population | Bayley Scales of Infant Development III at 18–36 months:  • Cognition  • Communication (comprising expressive and receptive communication skills)  • Motor (comprising fine and gross motor skills) | • Cognitive development  • Communication skills  • Motor development | Good         |
| 16 Liang J, 2014 (25)            | Systematic review of 67 studies in high-income countries | Pre-school children (+ adolescents aged 18 years and under, excluded from the present review) | Systematic review from observational cross-sectional and longitudinal studies | Obese children | Self-regulation (laboratory tasks)  • Motor skills (Zurich Neuromotor Assessment Test; Motor Test Battery)  • Verbal ability (Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test)  • Concentration (Frankfurter Test für Fünfjährige – Konzentration)  • Intelligence (Culture Fair Test)  • Locomotor skills, object-control skills (Test of Gross Motor Development)  • Delay of gratification (Mischel and Ebbesen’s delay of gratification waiting task)  • Movement skills  • Delay of Gratification Task, Self-Control Task  • Children’s Behaviour Questionnaire  • Classroom Engagement  • Social Behaviour Questionnaire  • Go-No Go Task  • Behavioural Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning (self-report)  • Incompatibility Task of Attention Assessment Battery | • General intellectual  • Executive control  • Delayed gratification  • Inhibition strategy  • Learning and memory | Poor         |
| 17 Reinert KR, 2013(26)          | High-income countries | 1- to 5-year children (+ adolescents and adults aged up to 21 years, excluded from the present review) | Systematic review of four observational studies | Obese children | Inhibitory control  • Delay of Gratification Task, Self-Control Task  • Children’s Behaviour Questionnaire  • Classroom Engagement  • Social Behaviour Questionnaire  • Go-No Go Task  • Behavioural Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning (self-report)  • Incompatibility Task of Attention Assessment Battery | • Motor competence  • Object-control tasks | Good         |
| 18 Morano M, 2011(24)           | Italy           | Overweight children (n = 38) and non-overweight children (n = 42) with a mean age of 4 ± 0-5 year | Cross-sectional study | Overweight and healthy controls | Test of Gross Motor Development Quotient to assess seven locomotor skills (run, gallop, hop, leap, horizontal jump, skip and slide) and five object-control skills (two-hand strike, stationary bounce, catch, kick and overhand throw) at age of enrolment | | Good         |
Table 2. Key findings from retrieved interventional studies on undernutrition and the impact on neurodevelopment and cognition

| No. | First author, year of publication | Country of study | Sample size and age at enrolment | Type of studies | Type of intervention | Nutritional status of the study population | Developmental tools and age at assessment | Key findings | Affected cognitive and neurodevelopmental aspects by suboptimal growth | Study quality |
|-----|----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1   | Prado EL, 2019(7)                | Low- to high-income countries | 72 275 children aged 0–60 months | Meta-analysis from 52 interventional studies reported in English and Spanish | Nutrition intervention • Promotion of responsive care and learning opportunities • Conditional cash transfer | Undernutrition | Bayley Scales of Infant Development (cognitive/mental score, language score, motor score, socio-emotional score) anytime between 0 and 60 months | The effect size of promoting responsiveness on HAZ was not significant; however, its pooled effect sizes on cognitive, language and motor score were 4–5 times larger than those of nutritional supplementation | Social emotional score • Cognitive score • Motor score | Good |
| 2   | Kristjansson E, 2015(30)         | Low- to high-income countries | 5400 children aged 3–60 months | Cochrane review and meta-analysis from 32 studies | Supplementary feeding interventions, alone or with co-intervention | Undernutrition | Psychomotor Development Index Mental Development Index | Two studies showed moderate positive effects of feeding on psychomotor development | Psychomotor development | Good |
| 3   | Ip P, 2017(43)                  | Low- to middle-income studies | Children aged ≤8 years | Meta-analysis from 33 intervention studies | Nutrition intervention | Undernutrition | Cognitive development | Childhood nutritional supplementation could improve children’s cognitive development (d=0.08; 95% CI 0.03, 0.13) and those with ≥5 nutrients was particularly beneficial (d=0.15; 95% CI 0.08, 0.22). | Cognitive development | Poor |
| 4   | Yousafzai AK, 2014(23)          | Pakistan          | 1486 infants aged ≤24 months at enrolment | Community-based cluster randomised trial until the child was 24 months of age | 80 clusters of children to receive the following intervention for • Control: Routine health and nutrition services • Nutrition education and multiple micronutrient powders • Responsive stimulation (responsive stimulation) • Combination of both responsive stimulation and nutrition intervention | Generally healthy children | Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development, Third Edition at 12 and 24 months of age | Children who received responsive stimulation had significantly higher development scores on the cognitive, language, and motor scales at 12 and 24 months of age, and on the social–emotional scale at 12 months of age, than control. Children who received enhanced nutrition had significantly higher development scores on the cognitive, language and social–emotional scales at 12 months of age than control, but at 24 months of age only the language scores remained significantly higher. No additive benefits when responsive stimulation was combined with nutrition interventions. Children exposed to enhanced nutrition had significantly better HAZ at 6 and 18 months than did children not exposed to enhanced nutrition. Treatment effect on cognition, language and motor development at 24 months were moderate to large for responsive simulation and were low to moderate for the enhanced nutrition group. | Cognitive scales • Language scales • Motor scales | Good |
| No. | First author, year of publication | Country of study | Sample size and age at enrolment | Type of studies | Type of intervention | Nutritional status of the study population | Developmental tools and age at assessment | Key findings | Affected cognitive and neurodevelopmental aspects by suboptimal growth | Study quality |
|-----|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 5   | Rosado JL, 2011(22)              | Mexico           | 422 children aged 1–24 months    | Randomised, placebo-controlled longitudinal trial for 6 months | Oral food supplement (OFS) with higher content of carbohydrates and micronutrients, including Fe and Zn, than did the PM | Healthy population | Bayley Scale of Infant Development Test for cognitive and motor functions after 6 months from enrolment | Daily supplementation of 12–24-month-old children with OFS has no additional benefits in growth, anaemia, morbidity or cognitive performance | No significant effect of treatment was found after 6 months in the prevalence of stunting after adjusting for initial values in the OFS or PM group compared with PL | None Good |
| 6   | Kvestad I, 2015(21)              | India            | 422 children aged 6–30 months    | Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial for 6 months | Placebo group versus intervention group (vitamin B12 only, folic acid only, and vitamin B12 and folic acid) with (1:1:1:1 ratio) | Undernutrition | Ages and Stages Questionnaire 3rd ed. after 6 months from enrolment | Children who received both vitamin B12 and folic acid had 0.45 (95 % CI 0.19, 0.73) and 0.28 (95 % CI 0.02, 0.54) higher SD-units in the domains of gross motor and problem-solving function, respectively. | Effect was highest in stunted children, those with high plasma homocysteine (>10 μmol/L) or in those who were younger than 24 months at end study | Gross motor | Problem-solving skills Good |
| 7   | Larson LM, 2017(44)              | Low- and middle-income countries | 5400 children aged 0–24 months | Systematic review on nutrition intervention pre- and postnatal | Systematic review on intervention randomised studies | Undernutrition and healthy population | Assessment during the first 48 months of life: BSID-I, -II or -III Mental Scale Griffiths Mental Developmental Scale Fagan Test of Infant Intelligence | Motor development, but not growth status, effect sizes were significantly associated with mental development in postnatal interventions | Nutrition interventions had small effects on mental development | Baseline and endline HAZ was not a significant predictor of postnatal effect size, and baseline maternal BMI was not a significant predictor of prenatal effect size | Motor development Good |
| 8   | Walker SP, 2010(28)              | Jamaica          | Normal birth weight newborns (n = 73) compared with low birth weight, term-born newborns (LBWT) (n = 99) | Randomised control study | Psychosocial stimulation assessed with the Middle Childhood Home Observation for the Measurement of the Environment (MC-HOME) | Low birth weight and normo-birth weight | At 72 months: Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence, 3rd edition (WPPSI-III) Digit span forwards test and visual–spatial memory with the Corsi blocks test Test of Everyday Attention for Children Early Reading Assessment Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) | Compared with normal-birth-weight children, LBWT had poorer selective attention and visual–spatial memory, but there were no differences in IQ, language or behaviour | LBWT who received stimulation intervention had higher IQ score and fewer behavioural problems as compared with the control group | Selective attention | Visual–spatial memory Poor |
Table 3. Factors influencing suboptimal growth in neurodevelopment and cognition

| Maternal factors | Paternal factors | Child’s characteristics and health condition | Socio-economic conditions |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| **Undernourished and normo-nourished children** | | | |
| Schooling (<tertiary education) | Schooling (<tertiary education) | Sex (mainly boy – conflicting evidence) | Poor sanitation (not flushing the toilet during childhood) |
| Lower nutrition knowledge | Non-employment at child’s birth | Birth weight deficit | Poor water quality |
| Teenage at delivery | Teenage at delivery | Birth length deficit | Wealth/household income (≤1 monthly minimum wage) |
| Low BMI (18.10 kg/m²) | Height deficit | Birth head circumference deficit | No consumption of dinner |
| Height deficit/decreased stature | Lower nutrition knowledge | Growth trajectories (head circumference length, height and weight deficit) | Housing condition (≥3 persons per room) |
| Non-employment between pregnancy and the child’s first 12 months of life | Perceiving less responsibility in the feeding task | Prematurity (<37 weeks) | Low HOME environment |
| Perceiving less responsibility in the feeding task | Being less restrictive towards access to unhealthy foods | Exposure and duration of breastfeeding (<1 month) | Play time with a caregiver (<1 h per day) |
| Being less restrictive towards access to unhealthy foods | Engagement in activities with the child (0–2 activities versus 7 activities per week) | Duration of exclusive breastfeeding (<1 month) | Having no toys or books |
| Presence of mental condition during the child’s first year of life | | No postnatal motor development interventions | Being born within less than 24 months after the first baby |
| Level of physical activity before and during pregnancy (inactive) | | Low haemoglobin level at 9 months (<11.5 g/dL) | Number of siblings (≥3) |
| Ethnicity (mainly non-white/African) | | Ethnicity (mainly non-white/African) | Non-availability of health facilities |
| Smoking during pregnancy | | | No childcare during the first year of life |
| Unintended pregnancy | | | |
| Having no partner | | | |
| Number of pre-natal visits (<6) | | | |
| No hospitalisation during pregnancy | | | |
| Vaginal delivery | | | |
| **Overweight and obese children** | | Low socio-economic status | |

HOME, Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment
gain (or less loss) in HAZ after the age of 6 months was positively associated with cognitive function at 11 years of age. In addition, changes in HAZ from 6 to 24 months and changes in HAZ from 24 months to 11 years were also positively associated with cognitive ability at 11 years in the same study\(^\text{11}\). These outcomes are similar to the results among children in Bangladesh. In this study, cognitive impairment was associated with poverty, birth condition and postnatal growth that started at 7 months of age and worsened up to 64 months when it became even more substantial\(^\text{12}\). The impact of the timing of stunting is still unclear. One study reported that stunting in the second year of life is more harmful than stunting before 12 months of age\(^\text{13}\). Another study reported that higher HAZ at 4–6 years of age among healthy Malaysian children significantly contributed to higher cognitive function after controlling for socio-demographic background, parent’s nutrition knowledge and dinner consumption (DR2 = 0.009, DF = 18.605, \(p < 0.001\))\(^\text{14}\).

Evidence for the impact of underweight and stunting on the development of emotional skills is largely variable across the identified studies. Two meta-analyses that described data from lower- and middle-income countries showed the limited influence of undernutrition on a child’s attachment, emotionality, social competence and temperament\(^\text{6,8}\). However, in a study among Bangladeshi children aged 6–24 months comparing undernourished \(n = 212\) and better-nourished \(n = 108\), it was reported that severely underweight children (WAZ < −2) were less sociable, less attentive, and more fearful and had more negative emotional traits than normo-nourished children. The

Fig. 1. PRISMA 2009 flowchart diagram.
effect size of these temperament differences, which were assessed using validated interviewer-administered questionnaire to parents, were small to moderate(15).

There is evidence, therefore, that underweight and stunting impact social skill development. Low HAZ and WAZ correlated strongly with children having less schooling or needing a longer time to complete schooling(16), and in later life, with lower income and living in a less conducive environment, such as having a higher number of pregnancies and having the first child at a younger age(17).

Improvements in linear growth and potential window of opportunity for neurodevelopment and cognitive abilities recovery

This review further strengthens the importance of the first 1000 days of life as a window of opportunity for rescuing neurocognitive deficits. It was reported that HAZ velocity between 6 and 24 months among undernourished children is positively associated with cognitive function(13) and that every 1-unit increase in HAZ before the age of 24 months is associated with a higher increment in motor, communication and cognitive ability scores at 26–80 months of age compared with a 1-unit increase in HAZ after 24 months of age(6, 16).

Data from the Young Lives cohort in Ethiopia, India, Peru, Cambodia and Vietnam indicated that children who were in the recovered group (stunted at 12 months of age but not stunted at 8 years of age) had better academic achievement (maths scores, receptive vocabulary scores, and reading comprehension scores) than those who stayed persistently stunted(16). However, their academic achievement was still lower than those who had never been stunted. This finding is consistent with the finding from another cohort in Malawi, which reported that those who had recovered from stunting had better academic achievements at 11 years of age than those who were persistently stunted from 4 to 8 years(18). Based on the above, it appears likely that the period in which neurocognitive deficits can recover following appropriate interventions goes beyond the age of 2 years, up until 8 years of age, which is in line with prefrontal cortex development and ongoing synaptogenesis at that age(5).

Interestingly, another study reported that children who were stunted at 24 months of age and experienced catch-up growth often did worse on cognitive tests at 4–5 years of age than children who were never stunted and did almost as poorly as children who had remained stunted(19). This finding appears to contradict previously stated findings from the Young Lives and Malawi cohorts. It is important to note, however, that the exact definition of ‘catch-up’ plays a critical role in this observation. Recently, five definitions were formulated for ‘catch-up growth’, ranging from lenient to strict: I: increase in HAZ; II: recovery from stunting (HAZ at 5 years > −2); III: increase in height-for-age difference; IV: recovery from stunting and increase in height-for-age difference; and V: recovery from stunting with a stricter cut-off point, where HAZ falls within ‘normal’ range (HAZ at 5 years > −1)(20). The observation reported above is based on definitions I–IV of ‘catch-up’. Thus, the term ‘catch-up’ should be carefully defined when determining the optimal goal for catch-up growth to recover neurodevelopment and, consequently, cognitive ability in later life.

The importance of interventions in improving cognitive outcomes among undernourished children

Seven publications assessed the effectiveness of intervention studies in improving cognitive outcomes among undernourished children. The publications covered three randomised controlled trials, two systematic reviews and two meta-analyses. The overall quality of these studies was judged as good when considering the risk for bias (Table 2).

Stunted children with high levels of plasma homocysteine and those who were younger than 24 months at the end of the follow-up period showed the largest improvement in gross motor function and problem-solving skills after being supplemented with vitamin B12 on a daily basis(21).

No
benefits in growth or cognitive performance were reported among 422 healthy children aged 12–24 months receiving daily multi-micronutrient supplementation (iron and zinc)\(^{22}\). This finding is in contrast with an intervention trial in which multiple micronutrients were supplemented (vitamins B12, A, C, folic acid and iron), with and without responsive stimulation, for approximately 20 months among 1449 mother–child pairs in Pakistan. In this study, children who were exposed to the enhanced nutrition intervention had, regardless of their nutrition status, significantly higher developmental scores (cognitive, language and social–emotional scales) at 12 months than the control group\(^{25}\).

Another group of children who received responsive stimulation had significantly higher developmental scores on the cognitive, language and motor scales at 12 and 24 months of age and on the social emotional scale at 12 months of age than the control group. In comparison, the treatment effect on cognition, language and motor development at 24 months was moderate to large for responsive simulation and was low to moderate for the enhanced nutrition group\(^{23}\). This study also found that linear growth in children exposed to enhanced nutrition was significantly greater at 6 and 18 months compared with those not exposed to enhanced nutrition\(^{22}\).

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis that assessed the impact of nutrition with or without stimulation interventions concluded that parent-led responsive learning, more than nutrition supplementation, appeared to have a stronger influence on the neurodevelopment of children aged 0–60 months\(^{37}\). This systematic review, which was based on seventy-five retrieved studies from various databases, reported that the pooled effect of these supplementations is one-fourth smaller than the pooled effect size of responsive care and learning opportunities on cognitive, language and motor scales. The review also suggested that postnatal multiple nutrient supplementation can improve linear growth in children and, to a smaller extent, cognitive development and socio-temperament, while interventions promoting responsive care and learning opportunities affect only cognitive development but not linear growth\(^{37}\).

### The impact of overweight/obesity on neurodevelopment and cognition

Only one cross-sectional study and two systematic reviews that were retrieved described the impact of overweight/obesity on cognitive neurodevelopmental aspects\(^{24–26}\) (Table 1). All studies seemed to point towards a more limited impact of overweight/obesity on aspects of neurodevelopment and cognition in the studied affluent populations in contrast with those describing the impact of undernutrition. All retrieved literature demonstrated that attention, executive control (inhibitory control, working memory, reward sensitivity, and impulsivity) and gross motor skills were the most affected areas.

A study among overweight Italian children showed poorer gross motor skills in overweight children than their normal-weight peers\(^{24}\), while other systematic reviews reported poorer executive control and more difficulty with inhibition in overweight children than in healthy weight children\(^{25,26}\). There is a suggestion of directionality in the association between obesity and executive function. Children with lower executive function are speculated to have lower self-regulation of energy intake and decreased participation in physical activity, which leads to or potentiates overweight or obesity. It was also reported that low executive function stimulates excess adiposity, leading to exacerbating decrements in executive function in childhood\(^{27}\).

On the other hand, various studies have shown that child obesity or early-life adiposity reduces executive function via several biological mechanisms. Pro-inflammatory cytokines produced by adipose tissue can stimulate inflammatory pathways in all age categories, leading to cognitive development deficits, and dysregulation of appetite-regulating hormones could further harm cognitive skills\(^{20}\). Although there is limited affected cognitive neurodevelopmental areas reported in these affluent populations, the effect may be more diverse and pronounced in less-affluent societies. In conclusion, poor gross motor skills, which are correlated with less physical activity\(^{25}\) and reduced attention span\(^{25,26}\), should be taken into consideration when designing intervention programs for these overweight/obese children.

### Factors influencing the impact of suboptimal growth on neurodevelopment and cognition

From the literature, there seem to be similarities in influencing factors between undernutrition and overweight/obesity on cognitive neurodevelopment, but far more research is needed to further understand the latter. These factors can be classified into maternal and paternal factors, child characteristics and health conditions, and socio-economic factors (Table 3).

Several pregnancy-related maternal parameters, such as maternal weight gain and smoking, have been consistently reported to increase the impact of suboptimal growth on cognitive neurodevelopment in addition to infant condition at birth, such as low birth weight and short length at birth, suggesting the importance of prenatal nutrition and maternal health for later life\(^{12,15,19,28,29}\).

The influence of sex on suboptimal growth and cognitive neurodevelopment appeared conflicting in the literature; normo-nourished and undernourished girls had slightly higher intelligence scores\(^{20}\), social maturity\(^{19}\), and manageability\(^{15}\) than boys with the same nutritional status. In contrast, another study found that normo-nourished boys tended to have higher intelligence score as compared with normo-nourished girls\(^{114}\).

Particularly among undernourished children, it appeared that parents with a low level of education and nutritional knowledge, those who perceived less responsibility in the parenting task, or those who were less restrictive towards access to unhealthy foods had children who experienced suboptimal growth with resultant impaired cognitive development\(^{6,14}\).

Diet quality was demonstrated to be a positive predictor of improved cognitive outcomes in these children\(^{6,14}\). For instance, food fortified with calcium, iron, zinc, vitamin B2 and protein was associated with improved cognitive outcomes because of the probable role of these nutrients in early brain development\(^{5,23}\). In contrast, a lack of dietary protein may delay or inhibit brain and cognitive development\(^{6,20}\). On that note, recent evidence proposed supplementation of animal protein, in particular cow’s milk, as an essential component of a child’s diet to prevent undernutrition and to improve cognition\(^{31–33}\).
Low socio-economic status was reported to be correlated with the impact on neurodevelopment and cognition among undernourished and overweight/obese children in several studies.

The Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment (HOME) parameter was used as a proxy indicator of socio-economic status. It was developed to measure responsiveness and stimulation in the home environment and parental behaviours. HOME consisted of questions, among others, on homeownership and possession of books and toys. The tool needs to be validated to accommodate sociocultural differences.

Limitations and strengths of the review

First, chronic illnesses and hospital malnutrition were excluded, although they could have a similar impact on overall developmental aspects. A second limitation is that the HOME instrument as an indicator of socio-economic status must be adjusted to various sociocultural conditions in each group or country. In addition, the statistical significance of pooled effects of all studies combined was not calculated, as this review is of scoping nature and not a systematic review. Furthermore, the search strategy may have missed some relevant papers because of the search scope that limited peer-reviewed research papers to those published in the past decade only. To overcome this issue, reviewing reports outside the peer-reviewed literature (i.e. grey literature) should be done in the future.

One of the strengths of this scoping review is that it expeditiously provides comprehensive and updated scientific evidence for healthcare professionals and policymakers. The synthesised evidence may allow key stakeholders to plan and to execute necessary intervention programs for preventing and managing suboptimal growth, with a focus on achieving optimal cognitive potential in young children. In addition, this scoping review was conducted using specific methodological approaches that would allow more accuracy in literature screening with less bias. A cross-referencing of eligible studies from retrieved narrative reviews enhanced the completeness of the evidence and enabled the retrieval of information on not only the populations that experience undernutrition and overweight/obesity but also the general population that identified children with faltering growth. It also enabled the retrieval of studies from various geographical areas (low- to high-income studies) as well as studies on various developmental deficits. Emphasis had been hitherto placed on the impact of malnutrition in children on morbidity and mortality; in contrast, this review points to the quality of life that may be affected by suboptimal nutrition on neurodevelopment and cognition. From a public health perspective, prevention of childhood malnutrition must be addressed not only by economic empowerment but also through health promotion and education as well as policy.

Consideration for future research and actions:

1) More studies should be conducted on the impact of nutritional/behavioural interventions in overweight/obese children on neurodevelopment and cognition, especially in low-income countries, as the currently retrieved literature all came from high-income countries. Overweight/obesity in childhood is on the rise and can coexist with undernutrition within the same population, for example, as seen in India and Indonesia. Approximately, 39 and 7 million children under the age of 5 years are stunted in India and Indonesia, respectively, and more than 3.6 million children in the same age group are overweight and obese in these two countries. This amounts to a total of around 50 million children who are at risk of suboptimal neurodevelopment and cognitive-function. In addition to the negative consequences of undernutrition, an increase in childhood obesity prevalence can lead to adverse long-term consequences on human capital in these countries.

2) Intervention studies for both undernutrition and overweight/obesity must assess the association between these nutritional statuses and cognitive neurodevelopment, as most interventions usually focus only on providing nutrients related to brain development and not for optimal growth and vice versa as also suggested by Prado et al. For example, several recently published studies and systematic reviews assessed the impact of animal-based food supplementation or milk interventions on either growth among stunted children or only cognitive neurodevelopment, but not on both aspects simultaneously.

3) Optimally, any program or intervention for growth monitoring in the community or hospital settings should engage a multidisciplinary team of healthcare professionals. Depending on the local conditions, such a team could consist of nutritionists, general practitioners, paediatricians and/or behavioural specialists. Multidimensional approaches involving various relevant stakeholders need to address all issues simultaneously as the root causes are quite complex. These could include improving housing and sanitation levels, ensuring parental job security and adequate social welfare, improving parental education attainment and nutritional knowledge, and providing access to adequate nutrient intakes for catch-up growth among underweight or stunted children as well as to affordable healthy food for those who are overweight/obese especially those in the low socio-economic category. Actions taken should tackle both undernutrition and overweight/obesity concurrently – not just one or the other – to ensure successful and comprehensive outcomes in terms of childhood growth and cognitive neurodevelopment.

Conclusion

Undernutrition and overweight/obesity during the first 60 months of postnatal life affect the cognitive neurodevelopmental trajectories of children later in life. Weight and length/height need to be monitored even beyond 24 months of life to enable early recognition of growth retardation/deviations and to allow appropriate and timely interventions to address their negative neurodevelopmental and cognitive impacts. Given the Global Nutrition Targets for 2025, intervention programs should leverage multidimensional approaches that address not only...
childhood undernutrition but also overweight/obesity and improve socio-economic conditions. Nutrition interventions for catch-up growth among undernourished children can potentially recover neurocognitive development up to the age of 8 years, particularly in those whose nutrition status have improved. These specific, targeted and simultaneous interventions may be key to safeguarding future human capital, especially in countries with a high prevalence of stunting, overweight/obesity or both.
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