Formation and Functioning of Bimetallic Nanocatalysts: The Power of X-ray Probes
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Bimetallic nanocatalysts are key enablers of current chemical technologies, including car exhaust converters and fuel cells, and play a crucial role in industry to promote a wide range of chemical reactions. However, owing to significant characterization challenges, insights in the dynamic phenomena that shape and change the working state of the catalyst await further refinement. Herein, we discuss the atomic-scale processes leading to mono- and bimetallic nanoparticle formation and highlight the dynamics and kinetics of lifetime changes in bimetallic catalysts with showcase examples for Pt-based systems. We discuss how in situ and operando X-ray spectroscopy, scattering, and diffraction can be used as a complementary toolbox to interrogate the working principles of today’s and tomorrow’s bimetallic nanocatalysts.

1. Introduction

Bimetallic nanoparticle (NP) catalysts display extraordinary physicochemical properties compared to their monometallic counterparts.[1–4] When initially introduced by Sinfelt et al., Ni-Cu, Ru-Cu, and Os-Cu were found to reduce undesired C–C activation for hydrogenation compared to monometallic Ni, Ru, and Os, while maintaining their C–H activation abilities for dehydrogenation.[5] This early discovery triggered the exploration and widespread use of bimetallic nanocatalysts, including Pt-Pd, Pt-Ru, Pt-Ir, Pt-Re alloys, to induce selectivity shifts towards desired reaction products.[6–9] Today, bimetallic NPs possess recognized abilities to promote reforming, hydrogenolysis, (de)hydrogenation, and oxidation reactions.[10] Recently, attention has been invested in the development of bimetallic catalysts for upgrading biomass to fuels and chemicals,[10,11] the production of hydrogen,[12] as well as in the use of earth-abundant transition (bi)metallic nanocatalysts.[13] Bimetallic NP electrocatalysis[14] is another application area, which however lies beyond the scope of this Minireview.

The origin of the performance shift in bimetallic compared to monometallic nanocatalysts is mainly attributed to electronic (ligand) and geometric (ensemble) effects.[15] By alloying a transition metal with a donor metal, the d-band center shifts to lower energies, thereby reducing the adsorbate–metal bond strength, which alters its selectivity. The geometric effect results from the decrease of the active metal ensemble size at the surface of a bimetallic NP owing to the presence of alloying metals. Such decrease can result in (partial) inhibition of structure sensitive reactions for which active metal islands are required, and hence forms a tool to steer the catalyst selectivity.

Ideally, an atomically tailored bimetallic nanoparticle catalyst can be fabricated with meticulously selected NP shape, size, composition, and stability to yield maximal activity and full selectivity towards target reaction products. Whilst increasingly realistic catalyst screening methods have been developed by computational approaches,[16] experimental characterization of the bimetallic nanocatalyst is equally required.

2. Bimetallic Nanocatalyst and its Complexity

(Bij)metallic catalysts were initially regarded as static entities. However, the past decades have brought firm understanding that (supported) metal catalysts are dynamic nanomaterials with evolving properties over the catalyst lifetime. For example, metal nanocatalysts in action can reversibly switch between work and sleep mode, or communicate within and between single NPs, reminiscent of living organisms.[18,19] Understanding the intricate nanoscale phenomena which underlie catalyst formation, functioning, and aging is a formi-
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Minireviews
ducible challenge owing to their complexity. To decipher the observed phenomena in greater detail, defining four layers of complexity aids in deconvoluting the structural complexity of bimetallic nanocatalysts. These four layers are shown in Figure 1.

### 2.1. Layer 1: Active Site Heterogeneity

A NP is a solid ensemble of atoms with finite dimensions, typically exposing low-indexed facets to minimize the Gibbs free energy. The NP surface contains low-coordinated atoms (the active sites) situated at corners, edges, kinks, and terraces with different reactivity. Such heterogeneity in the nature of the active sites introduces the first layer of complexity in structure–performance relationships, even for the most simple case of a monometallic NP. Cuynya et al. showed that differently-shaped 1 nm Pt NPs have lower onset temperature for 2-propanol partial oxidation owing to a higher degree of Pt undercoordination.

### 2.2. Layer 2: Second Metal

The second layer of complexity arises owing to the change in the active site number and nature upon introduction of a second metal to the NP. The active site distribution on the bimetallic NP surface depends on its mixing configuration, ranging from core–shell to ordered and randomly homogeneous alloys. The mixing enthalpy and entropy determine the intimacy of mixing and degree of alloy ordering, respectively, and thereby the active site number and nature. Typically, the metal with lowest sublimation temperature will segregate to the NP surface (under specific conditions), affecting the active site number. Notably, nanoalloy formation can lead to NP shape and size changes compared to their monometallic analogues.

### 2.3. Layer 3: External Ligands: Support and Environment

The third layer of complexity arises from the structural and chemical transformation of the bimetallic NP owing to the reaction environment, either gas or liquid, and the support. These interactions provide external ligands to the bare bimetallic NP, which can strongly alter its shape, size, composition, strain, and electronic properties, and thereby the catalytic performance. For example, Mager-Maury et al. and Tao et al. demonstrated that H₂ and CO change the shape and size of Pt NPs, respectively. Frenkel et al. provided evidence of gas- and support-induced lattice strain in Pt NPs. The degree of charge transfer between the support and the NP (Schwabb effect) can affect the NP electron density, as shown by Lykhach et al. for Pt/CeO₂.

### 2.4. Layer 4: Active-Site Modification and Poisoning

Supported bimetallic NPs under reaction conditions are prone to reaction-induced active site modification and poisoning. The former changes the nature of the metal site and includes the incorporation of subsurface H and C in Pd hydrogenation catalysts or oxidation state changes at the NP surface. These modifications can improve or inhibit the catalytic activity. In contrast, poisoning hinders further reaction and reduces the number of available active sites. Most common are active site blockage by hydrocarbon-derived CH₃ fragments or irreversible adsorption by CO or...
NP sintering can be classified under poisoning as well, considering it blocks the original metal site by the active metal itself, causing an irreversible decrease in the number of available sites.

3. The X-ray Toolbox

X-ray sources have revolutionized materials characterization at an unprecedented pace. Today, third-generation synchrotrons emit collimated X-ray beams with variable energy and spectral brightness 10 orders of magnitude higher than X-ray tubes. Typically, hard X-rays (>2000 eV) are used for characterization of (bi)metal catalysts owing to their i) high penetrating power, required for in situ and operando metrology, ii) high scattering cross-section for metal atoms compared to lighter elements contained in hydrocarbons or the support, and iii) element specificity originating from element-dependent X-ray absorption edges. In contrast, soft X-rays (<2000 eV) have limited penetrative power but show high sensitivity and element specificity towards lighter elements, for example, contained in reaction products (for example, C,H.), and metal valence-band properties.

Figure 1. Layers of complexity in bimetallic nanocatalysts. Layer 1: intracrystal site heterogeneities at corners, edges, and facets. Layer 2: change in active site number and nature by second metal alloying. Layer 3: NP changes owing to external ligands. Layer 4: active site modification and poisoning during reaction.

Figure 2. Overview of synchrotron-based X-ray tools. Monochromatic X-rays irradiate the sample, leading to absorption, emission, scattering, diffraction, and photoelectron emission. XES, grouping HERFD-XAS, non-resonant XES (NXES), resonant XES (RXES) or resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS), and X-ray Raman scattering (XRS); XAS, grouping XANES and EXAFS; SAXS-WAXS, grouping SAXS, XRD and PDF; XPS.

Figure 3. Overview of synchrotron-based X-ray tools. Monochromatic X-rays irradiate the sample, leading to absorption, emission, scattering, diffraction, and photoelectron emission. XES, grouping HERFD-XAS, non-resonant XES (NXES), resonant XES (RXES) or resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS), and X-ray Raman scattering (XRS); XAS, grouping XANES and EXAFS; SAXS-WAXS, grouping SAXS, XRD and PDF; XPS.
(EXAFS) are recorded. XANES yields information on the unoccupied valence states and the local geometry, while EXAFS provides structural details on the local environment around the X-ray absorber. The main advantage of XAS over other X-ray techniques is that it does not require long-range order and the technique is element-specific.

The core hole generated during X-ray absorption is filled by electrons from higher energy levels, leading to X-ray and Auger emission. Fluorescence detection of the emitted X-rays is advantageous compared to transmission XAS as it allows to i) detect trace amounts with high sensitivity, ii) collect site-specific XAS based on different spin states, iii) obtain high energy resolution fluorescence detected (HERFD) XAS owing to reduced core-hole lifetime broadening of fluorescent decay channels, and iv) probe the (un)occupied density of states and differentiate between different low-Z ligands by (non-)resonant X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES).[34,35]

When the incident X-ray energy exceeds the binding energy of core electrons, the energy of outgoing photoelectrons can be measured by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), yielding the composition, oxidation state, and electronic structure of the X-ray absorbers. The advantage of XPS relative to other X-ray tools is its surface sensitivity, which allows for depth profiling in a small number of monolayers. Although initially the technique required UHV conditions, major progress has been made to allow near-ambient pressure (NAP) XPS.[36]

### 3.2. X-ray Scattering and Diffraction

X-ray scattering results from diffuse and/or coherent scattering, the latter known as Bragg X-ray diffraction (XRD).[37] While intense XRD features mainly appear in the wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS, > 5°) region, diffuse scattering is manifested in both the small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS, < 5°) and WAXS region.[38] Diffuse scattering originates from (sub-)nm electronic density contrast, providing i) nm-scale structural information on the NP shape, size, and spacing at small angles, and ii) Å-scale structural information on the interatomic distances of X-ray scattering atomic pairs at wide angles. From the latter, a pair distribution function (PDF) can be obtained after inverse Fourier transformation. PDF does not require long-range order (similar to EXAFS) but displays sensitivity over longer length scales without element specificity.

To reduce undesired bulk scattering from the catalyst support, NP-decorated planar supports can be probed by grazing-incidence SAXS and WAXS, respectively GISAXS and GIWAXS.[39,40] By setting the incident angle close to the critical angle of the support, typically below 1°, near-surface scattering is enhanced, which provides high sensitivity to (bi)metal NPs.

### 3.3. In Situ and Operando Metrology

To probe all layers of complexity in bimetallic nanocatalysts, in situ and operando characterization is essential to capture their structural and electronic properties under relevant conditions. Owing to their penetrative nature, hard X-rays are powerful tools to monitor nanocatalysts under harsh conditions, which resulted in the design of dedicated high-temperature and high-pressure reaction cells.[41] Soft X-ray tools favor UHV, though major progress has been made to allow gas pressures and elevated temperatures to mimic realistic conditions. For example, time-resolved NAP-XPS gained prominence in operando characterization,[42] taking advantage of small dead-volume reaction cells[43] and fast delay line detectors. XRS can probe soft X-ray edges using Raman scattered hard X-rays, allowing in situ and operando conditions.

### 3.4. Time- and Spatially Resolved X-ray Characterization

In the past decades, time-resolved in situ or operando X-ray metrology has become possible owing to the development of i) beamline optics for time-resolved experimentation, ii) high-temperature and high-pressure reaction cells (Section 3.3) and product monitoring, and iii) improved detector sensitivities and data transfer. Notable examples include Quick-XAS,[44] Dispersive-XAS, and high-energy resolution off-resonant spectroscopy (HEROS),[45] allowing (sub-)second XAS data acquisition for monitoring the temporal changes in catalysts. Scattering and diffraction tools mainly benefited from improved detectors with larger 2D area to capture wider 2θ ranges in one snapshot, smaller pixel sizes for better pattern resolution, and higher maximum count rates for the use of more intense X-ray beams.

Current state-of-the-art X-ray nanoscopes have spatial resolutions at best approaching 15 nm, which are still away from the nm length scale required for imaging catalytically relevant single NPs.[46] Even though tools like microfocus XRD[46] and coherent diffraction imaging (CDI)[47] are promising, to date (bi)metallic nanocatalyst characterization still mainly uses bulk X-ray tools, more and more in tandem with a posteriori data treatment. For a summary of the latest
developments in spatiotemporal imaging of heterogeneous catalysts, we refer to a recent review.\[30\]

### 3.5. A Posteriori Data Treatment

As size and information content of datasets increase, smart, often (semi-)automated a posteriori data treatment methods can replace classic time-expensive and comparatively inaccurate analysis.\[43\] In X-ray spectroscopy, for example, modulation excitation spectroscopy coupled with phase sensitive detection (MES-PSD) increases the signal sensitivity towards the active part of the catalyst, for example, the NP surface in reaction, while filtering out the spectator contribution.\[49\] Wavelet-transformed (WT) XAS can simultaneously determine the atom type (k-space) and location (R-space) of the X-ray absorber’s neighbors, in contrast to k-space-blind Fourier transformed (FT) EXAFS.\[50,51\] For X-ray scattering techniques, correlation methods are applied to extract scattering fluctuations over the detector pattern angles, termed X-ray cross-correlation analysis (XCCA).\[52\] and time, named X-ray photon correlation spectroscopy (XPCS),\[53\] yielding structural information and insight in the system dynamics, respectively. A relatively recent approach, applicable to a broad set of X-ray methods, is machine learning, which can empirically link signal features to material properties if trained thoroughly.\[54\]

### 4. Bimetallic Nanocatalyst Formation

To illustrate today’s status and future potential of X-ray tools, herein, we present showcase examples which mainly focus on Pt-based bimetallic nanocatalysts. This catalyst class can serve as archetypal example as it has been at the center of decades-long catalysis research, especially for the development and application of X-ray tools.

#### 4.1. Birth of a Nanoparticle

In industry, impregnation methods are widely used to deposit metal precursors on porous supports, followed by drying, calcination, and reduction to yield supported NPs.\[37\] Fielez et al.\[60\] used WT XAS to study decomposition of a Pt(acac)\(_2\) precursor impregnated on a Mg(In)(Al)O\(_x\) support. Prior to calcination, Pt–O bonds are observed typical of the square planar Pt(acac)\(_2\) geometry (Figure 4a). The double-scattering Pt–C–O–Pt foothill to the Pt–O peak originates from the precursor ligand geometry. After calcination at 650°C, Pt-Mg and/or Pt-Al support peaks appear besides Pt–O bonds, showing a ligand change around Pt owing to acac decomposition and strong Pt–support binding during calcination (Figure 4b). No PtO or Pt NPs are formed as their peaks are absent, which is rapidly checked by WT XAS (Figure 4c,d), suggesting atomic Pt on the support.

Szlachetko et al.\[61\] used HEROS to monitor the decomposition of Pt(acac)\(_2\) in H\(_2\) at 150°C. By tuning the incident X-ray energy below the Pt edge (off-resonant), single-shot XES can be collected by a high-resolution dispersive spectrometer with sub-second time resolution. XAS can then be obtained from off-resonant XES by the Kramers–Heisenberg formalism. Self-absorption does not occur in HEROS, opposed to fluorescence XAS. A two-step Pt(acac)\(_2\) decomposition mechanism is seen in H\(_2\): i) a decrease of the white line (WL) height owing to a decreasing density of unoccupied 5d states, followed by ii) a peak shift showing reduction to metallic NPs (Figure 4e,f). Notably, Saha et al.\[62\] used in situ total X-ray scattering (1 s resolution) to study Pt and Pt–Gd formation from their precursor states.

An emerging method to deposit metal NPs with (sub-)nm control is atomic layer deposition (ALD).\[39\] By combining O\(_2\) and N\(_2\) plasma (N\(_2\)\(_{\text{ex}}\)) Pt ALD, Dendooven et al.\[63\] independently tuned the Pt NP size and center-to-center distance on a planar support (Figure 5a). GISAXS showed that after depositing a selected number of Pt NPs by MeCpPtMe\(_2\)O\(_2\) ALD, subsequent MeCpPtMe\(_2\)N\(_2\)\(_{\text{ex}}\) ALD only increased their size, while keeping the center-to-center distance constant: i) the constant \(q_z\)-values of the lobe maxima evidence the constant NP spacing, while ii) the shift of the lobe minima in \(q_y\) and \(q_z\) to lower values and the appearance of a secondary lobe is characteristic for an increasing NP width and height, respectively. Notably, a QXAS study showed that the Pt NP
size and spacing can also be tuned after synthesis by redox cycling, using a pre-selected temperature and reduction gas, for example, H₂ or CO. This is in line with an early Turbo-XAS study of Nagai et al. showing temperature-dependent in situ redispersion in Pt/CeZrYO₃ automotive catalysts upon redox cycling.

While the Pt NP size and shape can be extracted from XAS and (GI)SAXS modeling, the electronic properties can be interrogated for example by RIXS. Glatzel et al. used RIXS to map the occupied density of 5d valence states of Pt NPs (by means of the energy transfer) in bare and CO-adsorbed Pt NPs. Adapted from Refs. [55, 56].

Figure 5. a) GISAXS patterns and overview of Pt NP morphology after 20 MeCpPtMe₃-O₂ ALD cycles plus i) 0, ii) 20, iii) 30, and iv) 40 MeCpPtMe₃-N₂ cycles. b) RIXS maps for bare and CO-adsorbed Pt NPs. Adapted from Refs. [55, 56].

4.2. Alloy Formation: Hydrogen Spillover and Metal Mobility

Ramachandran et al. used XAS, in situ XRD and GISAXS to study Pt-In nanomaterial formation starting from Pt NPs on an In₂O₃ support. In situ XRD during H₂-TPR showed a gradual shift in Bragg angle from Pt to In due to incorporation in face-centered cubic (fcc) Pt (Figure 6a). Pt-In alloying is confirmed by a XAS edge blue-shift relative to bulk Pt and an In-contribution in its fcc-type EXAFS fingerprint (Figure 6b). In situ GISAXS showed NP growth during Pt-In alloying (lobe shift to smaller qₓ and qᵧ values, Figure 6c,d), with subsequent NP height increase upon further heating (secondary lobe shift to smaller qₓ). Filez et al. further detailed the alloying process as follows: i) dissociation of H₂ on Pt, ii) H spillover to and iii) long-range transport across the support, iv) (partial) reduction of indium oxide by H, v) short-range transport to Pt, followed by vi) full reduction to In⁰ and (vii) Pt-In alloying.

Once formed, determining the size, shape, and composition of bimetallic NPs is crucial to link structural features to catalytic performance. Tao et al. used AP-XPS to study the extent of metal mixing and segregation in bimetallic NPs under different gas atmospheres. By measuring at different incident X-ray energies, depth profiling is achieved, showing that Rh₉Pt₃ alloyed NPs have good mixing properties but expose more Rh at the NP surface in vacuum (Figure 7a). Under 100 mTorr reducing H₂ or oxidizing NO gas, the NP surface composition changes, resulting in increased Rh segregation (Figure 7b). Timoshenko et al. recently developed a powerful approach to reconstruct 3D atomic models of mono- and bimetallic NPs by combining WT XAS, supervised machine learning, and molecular dynamics simulations (Figure 7c). Deriving the 3D NP structure in real-time from in situ or operando XAS could revolutionize nanocatalysis.

5. Bimetallic Nanocatalyst Functioning

5.1. Active State

X-ray microscopy shows potential to map the nanocatalyst active state under reaction or relevant conditions. Using microfocus scanning XRF combined with computed tomography (µ-XRF-CT), Price et al. mapped the Pt and Mo composition across a Mo-promoted Pt/C catalyst particle during liquid-phase hydrogenation of nitrobenzene with 5×5 μm² pixel size. Strong intraparticle heterogeneities were observed, namely Mo residing mostly in the particle core while Pt is strongly abundant at the edge (Figure 8a,b). In contrast to the μm resolution of µ-XRF-CT, Bragg coherent diffraction imaging (CDI) can map in situ the lattice displacement in a model Pt NP during methane oxidation with 14 nm resolution. Such displacements originate from reactant adsorption and thus allow for active site localization. Strong distortions are observed at the NP surface corner and edge regions owing to methane oxidation, which are restored after reaction (Figure 8c,d).
5.2. Dynamic Restructuring

Redekop et al.\cite{70} investigated the dynamic phase changes in activated Mg(Ga)(Al)O\textsubscript{x}-supported Pt-Ga nanoalloys during 600°C CH\textsubscript{2}–O redox cycling (Figure 9a). Such conditions mimic reaction–regeneration cycles in the industrial propane dehydrogenation processes (for example, Olexflex) or rapid redox cycles in car exhaust convertors. After one redox cycle, H\textsubscript{2} gas leads to Ga\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/Pt reduction causing Pt-Ga nanoalloy formation into a Pt-rich intermetallic or solid solution, and a Ga-rich phase which disappears over longer timescales, presumably at transient NP surface alloy, which might affect the catalyst performance.

Recently, Filez et al.\cite{71} refined the steps involved in segregation alloying of Pt\textsubscript{13}In\textsubscript{9} into In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/PtO\textsubscript{x} and back to Pt\textsubscript{13}In\textsubscript{9} during high-temperature O\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} redox cycling (Figure 9b). By kinetic modeling of QXANES data, partial reaction orders, rate constants, and Arrhenius parameters were estimated, allowing to construct the kinetic reaction cycle that steers the dynamic restructuring of Pt\textsubscript{13}In\textsubscript{9} nanoalloys (Figure 9c).

In O\textsubscript{2}, Pt\textsubscript{13}In\textsubscript{9} decomposition and Pt surface oxidation simultaneously take place with high activation energy in which Pt oxidation is rate-determining (Figure 9d). In contrast, the reverse processes in H\textsubscript{2} steer the equilibrium state from In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/PtO\textsubscript{x} back to Pt\textsubscript{13}In\textsubscript{9} through In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and PtO\textsubscript{x} reduction followed by Pt-In alloying, both showing low apparent activation energies.

Kinetic modeling of QXAS can thus identify the reaction steps governing the dynamic restructuring of the nanocatalyst.

Beyond model redox cycling, Virnovskaia et al.\cite{72} found with AP-XPS that the surface of Pt-Sn nanocatalysts is Sn-enriched during high-temperature alkane dehydrogenation and Sn remains partially oxidized even in a highly reducing hydrocarbon environment. Barbosa et al.\cite{73} showed by AP-XPS during methanol steam reforming that the surface of Pt-In NPs is In-enriched, suppressing CO formation during reaction.
5.3. Deactivation by NP Sintering

During NP sintering, the number of active sites decreases by NP growth. Iglesias-Juez et al.\textsuperscript{[74]} simulated possible Pt-Sn NP structures based on Pt-Pt and Pt-Sn EXAFS coordination numbers\textsuperscript{[75]} for different dehydrogenation-regeneration \(\text{C}_3\text{H}_8\text{O}_2\text{H}_2\) cycle(s), showing: 1) NP size increase, 2) progressive Sn-enrichment of the NP, and 3) increased mixing from core–shell- to random-type alloys (Figure 10a). A gradual NP size increase is also observed by Filez et al.\textsuperscript{[71]} via a decreased XANES WL height under \(\text{O}_2\) during 60 \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{H}_2\) redox cycles (Figure 10b). In \(\text{O}_2\), an \(\text{In}_2\text{O}_3/\text{PtO}_x\) composite is formed in which \(\text{PtO}_x\) consists of a metallic core with oxidized surface, the latter yielding increased WL heights. With decreasing dispersion, which is due to sintering during redox cycling, the fraction of oxidized surface decreases, leading to a WL decrease. Notably, Solano et al.\textsuperscript{[76]} also studied Pt NP sintering with in situ GISAXS under different \(\text{O}_2\) partial pressures to monitor the NP size and spacing in real time. The observed behavior indicates the key role of the outer \(\text{PtO}_2\) shell, stable at low temperature, and its thermal reduction creating mobile species that trigger particle sintering.

6. Conclusions and Outlook

A complementary X-ray toolbox is currently available to extract the size, shape, composition, spacing, and electronic properties of bimetallic NPs under in situ or operando conditions. These tools include X-ray absorption, emission, and photoelectron spectroscopy along with scattering and diffraction, often in combination with advanced a posteriori data treatment. This toolbox currently provides mechanistic insights into the formation, functioning, and deactivation of nanocatalysts in real time, leading to a more detailed understanding of bimetallic NPs.

Future trends will favor increased spatiotemporal resolution of the X-ray experiment. On the one hand, X-ray techniques allowing milli- to femtosecond time resolution have strong potential to uncover currently scarce kinetic descriptions of transient changes in catalytic solids and molecular adsorption–desorption phenomena under reaction conditions, respectively. On the other hand, the avenue of spatially resolved X-ray micro- and nanoscopy will provide...
the means to map the composition and structure of metal catalyst particles and single crystals under real conditions, for example by (S)TXM,[27,78] μ-XRD-CT,[89] or CDI,[167] uncovering particle heterogeneities commonly present in heterogeneous catalysts.[79,80] As the spatial resolution of state-of-the-art X-ray nanoscopy (ca. 15 nm) still exceeds the dimensions of catalytically relevant NPs, machine learning methods for obtaining the 3D NP structure show disruptive potential to revolutionize this field of research.[80,81] Methods which increase the sensitivity of a technique, such as wavelet analysis[80] or MES,[89] can be used to efficiently extract apparently hidden information.

Future advances greatly rely on new X-ray sources. The advent of X-ray free-electron lasers (XFELs) announces highly coherent, intense, and short-pulsed X-ray beams, facilitating the investigation of matter dynamics on atomic length scales with femtosecond time resolution.[83] Furthermore, laboratory-based XAS, XES, and (GI)SAXS become increasingly popular, allowing everyday access to traditional synchrotron techniques.[82] These evolutions hold bright prospects for X-ray tools in nanocatalysis, and more general in functional materials research.
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