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LHCb collaboration†

Abstract

A measurement of mixing and $CP$ violation in neutral charm mesons is performed using data reconstructed in proton–proton collisions collected by the LHCb experiment from 2016 to 2018, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $5.4 \text{ fb}^{-1}$. A total of 30.6 million $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+\pi^-$ decays are analyzed using a method optimized for the measurement of the mass difference between neutral charm-meson eigenstates. Allowing for $CP$ violation in mixing and in the interference between mixing and decay, the mass and decay-width differences are measured to be $x_{CP} = [3.97 \pm 0.46 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.29 \text{ (syst)}] \times 10^{-3}$ and $y_{CP} = [4.59 \pm 1.20 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.85 \text{ (syst)}] \times 10^{-3}$, respectively. The $CP$-violating parameters are measured as $\Delta x = [-0.27 \pm 0.18 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.01 \text{ (syst)}] \times 10^{-3}$ and $\Delta y = [0.20 \pm 0.36 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.13 \text{ (syst)}] \times 10^{-3}$. This is the first observation of a nonzero mass difference in the $D^0$ meson system, with a significance exceeding seven standard deviations. The data are consistent with $CP$ symmetry, and improve existing constraints on the associated parameters.
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Neutral charm mesons propagating freely can change (oscillate) into their own antiparticles, as the mass eigenstates are linear combinations of the flavor eigenstates. These flavor-changing neutral currents do not occur at tree level in the Standard Model (SM) and allow for hypothetical particles of arbitrarily high mass to contribute significantly to the process. This can affect the mixing of mesons and antimesons such that measurements of these processes can probe physics beyond the SM [1–4].

The mass eigenstates of charm mesons can be written as $|D_{1,2}\rangle \equiv p|D^0\rangle \pm q|\bar{D}^0\rangle$, where $p$ and $q$ are complex parameters and, in the limit of charge-parity (CP) symmetry, $|D_1\rangle$ ($|D_2\rangle$) is defined as the CP even (odd) eigenstate. Mixing of flavor eigenstates is described by the dimensionless parameters $x \equiv (m_1 - m_2)c^2/\Gamma$ and $y \equiv (\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2)/(2\Gamma)$, where $m_{1(2)}$ and $\Gamma_{1(2)}$ are the mass and decay width of the $D_{1(2)}$ state, respectively, and $\Gamma$ is the average decay width [5]. In $D^0$ and $\bar{D}^0$ decays to a common final state, $f$, CP violation in mixing manifests itself if $|q/p| \neq 1$ or in the interference between mixing and decay if $\phi_f \equiv \arg(q\bar{A}_f/pA_f) \neq 0$. Here $A_f$ ($\bar{A}_f$) denotes the amplitude of the decay process $D^0 \rightarrow f$ ($\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow f$). In the $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ decay studied in this Letter, CP violation in the decay ($|A_f|^2 \neq |\bar{A}_f|^2$) is not considered, as in the SM it is negligible for the doubly Cabibbo-suppressed (DCS) and Cabibbo-favored (CF) amplitudes contributing to this process. With this assumption, the CP-violating phase is independent of the final state, $\phi_f \approx \phi \approx \arg(q/p)$ [6,7].

The current world average of the mixing and CP-violating parameters yields $x = (3.7 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$, $y = (6.8^{+0.6}_{-0.7}) \times 10^{-3}$, $|q/p| = 0.951^{+0.053}_{-0.042}$, and $\phi = -0.092^{+0.085}_{-0.075}$ [8]. Measurements using decays such as $D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ have resulted in precise measurements of $y$ and have allowed for the observation of mixing [9,10]. However, the data remain marginally compatible with $x = 0$, and are consistent with CP symmetry. Theoretical predictions for the mixing parameters are of similar magnitude but less precise [11,12], while predictions of the CP-violating phase are around 0.002 [13] and are well below the current experimental precision.

Sensitivity to the mixing and CP-violating parameters is offered by the self-conjugate, multibody $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ decay [14–18]. Inclusion of the charge-conjugate process is implied unless stated otherwise. This final state is accessible in both $D^0$ and $\bar{D}^0$ decays and leads to interference between the mixing and decay amplitudes, as demonstrated pictorially in Fig. 1. The dynamics of the decay are expressed as a function of two invariant masses following the Dalitz-plot formalism, in which a three-body decay is parametrized by a pair of two-body invariant masses [19,20]. The squared invariant mass $m^2(K_S^0\pi^\pm\pi^\mp)$ is denoted as $m_{\pm}^2$ for $D^0$ decays and $m_{\pm}^2$ for $\bar{D}^0$ decays. A mixture of DCS and CF decay amplitudes results in large variations of the strong phase and, with mixing, causes a decay-time evolution of the density of decays across the phase space. A joint analysis of the Dalitz-plot and decay-time distributions may be used to determine the mixing parameters. Splitting the sample by flavor of the charm meson at production probes

Figure 1: Depiction of the interference of mixing and decay if a $D^0$ and a $\bar{D}^0$ meson decay to a common final state $f$. 

\[ D^0 \rightarrow f \]

\[ \bar{D}^0 \rightarrow f \]
for $\text{CP}$-violating effects. Usage of multibody decay modes is typically challenging, as it requires knowledge of the variation of the hadronic parameters and excellent control of efficiencies, resolutions, and background effects.

This Letter reports on a measurement of the mixing and $\text{CP}$ violation parameters in $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays using the “bin-flip” method [21], a model-independent approach which obviates the need for detailed models of the efficiency, resolution, and contributing amplitudes. Mixing and $\text{CP}$ violation are parametrized by $z_{\text{CP}}$ and $\Delta z$, which are defined by $z_{\text{CP}} \pm \Delta z \equiv -(q/p)^{\pm 1} (y + ix)$. The results are expressed in terms of the $\text{CP}$-even mixing parameters $x_{\text{CP}} \equiv -\text{Im}(z_{\text{CP}})$ and $y_{\text{CP}} \equiv -\text{Re}(z_{\text{CP}})$, and of the $\text{CP}$-violating differences $\Delta x \equiv -\text{Im}(\Delta z)$ and $\Delta y \equiv -\text{Re}(\Delta z)$. Conservation of $\text{CP}$ symmetry implies $x_{\text{CP}} = x$, $y_{\text{CP}} = y$, and $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0$. The method has already been employed by the LHCb collaboration, yielding the single most precise measurement of $x_{\text{CP}}$ and $\Delta x$ [18].

In the bin-flip method, data are partitioned into disjoint regions (bins) of the Dalitz plot, which are defined to preserve nearly constant strong-phase differences $\Delta \delta (m_2^+, m_2^-)$ between the $D^0$ and $\bar{D}^0$ amplitudes within each bin [22]. Two sets of eight bins are formed symmetrically about the $m_2^+ = m_2^-$ bisector, as illustrated in Fig. 2. The region satisfying $m_+^2 > m_-^2$, which includes regions dominated by the CF $D^0 \rightarrow K^*(892)^-\pi^+$ decay, is given a positive index $+b$, while the opposite region, where the relative contribution from decays following an oscillation is enhanced, is given a negative index $-b$. The data are further split into 13 bins of decay time, chosen such that the bins are approximately equally populated. The squared-mass and decay-time resolutions are typically $0.006 \text{GeV}^2/c^4$ and $60 \text{fs}$, respectively, which are smaller than the bin sizes used. Thus, they are neglected and accounted for in the systematic uncertainties.

For each decay-time interval ($j$), the ratio of the number of decays in each negative Dalitz-plot bin ($-b$) to its positive counterpart ($+b$) is measured. The usage of ratios minimizes the need for precise modeling of the efficiency variation across phase space and decay time. For small mixing parameters and $\text{CP}$-conserving decay amplitudes, the
expected ratios for initially produced $D^0$ ($\bar{D}^0$) mesons, $R_{bj}^+$ ($R_{bj}^-$), are [21]

$$
R_{bj}^\pm \approx \frac{r_b + r_b \langle t^2 \rangle_j \text{Re}(z^2 \pm \Delta z^2) + \frac{\langle t^2 \rangle_j}{4} |z_{CP} \pm \Delta z|^2 + \sqrt{\tau_b \langle t \rangle_j \text{Re}[X_b(z_{CP} \pm \Delta z)]}}{1 + \frac{\langle t^2 \rangle_j}{4} \text{Re}(z^2 \pm \Delta z^2) + r_b \langle t^2 \rangle_j \frac{|z_{CP} \pm \Delta z|^2 + \sqrt{\tau_b \langle t \rangle_j \text{Re}[X_b(z_{CP} \pm \Delta z)]}}{1}}
$$

The parameter $r_b$ is the value of $R_{bj}$ at $t = 0$, while $X_b$ is the amplitude-weighted strong-phase difference between opposing bins. Finally, $\langle t \rangle_j$ ($\langle t^2 \rangle_j$) corresponds to the average (squared) decay time in each positive Dalitz-plot region where the mixed contribution is negligible, in units of the $D^0$ lifetime $\tau = \hbar/\Gamma$ [3], calculated directly from background-subtracted data. The other parameters are determined from a simultaneous fit of the observed $R_{bj}^\pm$ ratios, in which external information on $c_b \equiv \text{Re}(X_b)$ and $s_b \equiv -\text{Im}(X_b)$ [22, 23] is used as a constraint.

Samples of $D^0 \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ decays are reconstructed from proton–proton ($pp$) collisions collected by the LHCb experiment from 2016 to 2018, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.4 fb$^{-1}$. The strong-interaction decay $D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+$ is used to identify the flavor of the neutral charm meson at production. Throughout this Letter, $D^{*+}$ indicates the $D^*(2010)^+$ meson and soft pion indicates the pion from its decay. The LHCb detector [24,25] is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2 < \eta < 3$, designed for the study of particles containing $b$ or $c$ quarks.

Decays of $K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ are reconstructed in two different categories: the first involving $K_S^0$ mesons that decay early enough for the pions to be reconstructed in all tracking detectors; and the second containing $K_S^0$ mesons that decay later such that track segments of the pions cannot be formed in the vertex detector, which surrounds the $pp$ interaction (primary vertex) region, resulting in a worse momentum resolution. The latter category contains more candidates but has slightly worse mass and decay-time resolution as well as larger efficiency variations.

The online event selection consists of a hardware stage, selecting events based on calorimeter and muon detector information, followed by two software stages. In the first software stage, the pion pair from the $D^0$ decay is required to satisfy criteria on momenta and final-state charged-particle displacements from any primary vertex for at least one pion (one-track) or both together with a vertex quality requirement (two-track). The second software stage fully reconstructs $D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+$, $D^0 \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ candidates using further requirements on particle identification, momenta, and track and vertex quality. Specific ranges of displacement and invariant mass are imposed on the reconstructed $D^0$ and $K_S^0$ candidates. Due to differing efficiencies, the sample is split into four categories, depending on whether or not the $K_S^0$ meson is reconstructed in the VELO and whether or not they satisfy the one-track requirement.

Offline, a kinematic fit constrains the tracks to form vertices according to the decay topology, the $K_S^0$ candidate mass to the known value [5], and the $D^{*+}$ candidate to a primary vertex [26]. In the reconstruction of the Dalitz-plot coordinates, an additional constraint on the $D^0$ candidate mass to the known value improves the resolution. Charm mesons originating from the decays of $b$ hadrons are suppressed by requiring that the $D^0$ and soft pion candidates originate from a primary vertex. Candidates are rejected if two of the reconstructed tracks use the same hits in the vertex detector. About 6% of the candidates are from collision events in which multiple candidates are reconstructed,
usually by pairing the same $D^0$ candidate with different soft pions. When this occurs, one candidate is chosen randomly, and the rest are removed from the sample.

Signal yields are determined by fitting the distribution of the mass difference between the $D^+$ and $D^0$ candidates, denoted as $\Delta m$. The signal probability density function is empirically described by a combination of a Johnson $S_U$ distribution and two Gaussian functions, one of which shares a mean with the Johnson $S_U$. The background is dominated by real $D^0$ decays incorrectly combined with a charged particle not associated with a $D^+$ decay, and is modeled with a smooth phase-space-like model, $\theta(\Delta m - m_\pi) e^{-c(\Delta m - m_\pi)} (\Delta m - m_\pi)^\alpha$, where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function, $m_\pi$ is the charged-pion mass, and $\alpha$ and $c$ are free parameters. Figure 3 shows the $\Delta m$ distribution of the entire sample, from which the fit identifies $(30.585 \pm 0.011) \times 10^6$ signal decays. This represents a factor of 15 larger yield compared to the previous measurement.

To determine the yields used to form the ratios $R_{bj}^\pm$, separate fits are performed for each set of Dalitz-plot and decay-time bins $bj$. The signal model assumes the same parameters for each pair of positive and negative Dalitz-plot bins, and fixes some parameters from a fit integrated over decay time. Fits are performed independently for $D^0$ and $\bar{D}^0$ candidates, as well as for each of the four data subsamples. The measured signal yields are then corrected for two effects that do not cancel in the ratio: experimentally induced correlations between the phase space and decay time, and charge-dependent efficiencies (detection asymmetries).

Online requirements on the displacement and momenta of the $D^0$ decay products introduce efficiency variations that are correlated between the phase-space coordinates and the $D^0$ decay time. The effect depends predominantly on the invariant mass of two pions from the $D^0$ decay, with the efficiency to reconstruct the candidates at low values decreasing significantly at low $D^0$ decay times. This can bias the measured yield ratios and produce mixing-like trends. To remove this bias, an approach that estimates the relative efficiencies using data is developed. The Dalitz plot is divided into small, rectangular-like regions formed symmetrically across the bisector. Note that these include the portions above and below the bisector, unlike the bins shown in Fig. 2. In the limit of $CP$ symmetry, the contribution of mixing to such symmetric regions depends only on $y_{CP}$.
and the hadronic parameters of the $D^0$ decay \cite{21}. As oscillations result in a migration of decays from one side of the Dalitz plot to the other, and the regions are symmetric with respect to the bisector, there is no effect from $x_{\text{CP}}$. Given a set of inputs for $y_{\text{CP}}$ and the hadronic parameters, the contribution of mixing to the decay-time distributions of these regions can be accounted for, such that the remaining differences between regions come from the efficiency correlations. Relative efficiency maps that align the decay-time distributions in all these symmetric regions can then be calculated. Per-candidate weights assigned by the efficiency maps are integrated over the data in each bin using the sPlot method \cite{28} with $\Delta m$ as the discriminating variable. This provides correction factors for each of the fitted signal yields.

In calculating the efficiency maps, the strong phase variation within a Dalitz-plot bin is approximated as constant, such that it can be described by the external inputs ($s_6$). As $y_{\text{CP}}$ and $s_6$ are parameters of the fit, the correction maps and corresponding correction factors are calculated for a range of values. The smallness of mixing results in smooth variations of the correction factors for a given Dalitz-plot bin, which allows for precise interpolation between the calculated points with polynomials. These polynomials are then incorporated into the fit as a correction that depends on $y_{\text{CP}}$ and $s_6$. The correction is calculated for each yield ratio, but is averaged over the initial flavor of the candidates. The procedure has been validated with pseudoexperiments, and a systematic uncertainty is assigned due to the approximation that $s_6$ is constant within a bin.

Corrections are also applied in order to take into account detection asymmetries. Due to utilizing ratios of yields, the analysis is insensitive to detection asymmetries of the $K_S^0$, as well as the soft pion used to tag the flavor of the candidate. However, the kinematics of the pions produced in the $D^0$ decay depend on the Dalitz-plot coordinate and $D^0$ flavor. This can result in asymmetric efficiency variations for $D^0$ and $D^0$ candidates that imitate CP violation. The two-track $\pi^+\pi^−$ asymmetry, $A_{\text{det}}(\pi^+\pi^-)$, is determined by measuring detection asymmetries in control samples of $D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ and $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$ decays, in which the $\phi$ meson is reconstructed through a $K^+K^−$ pair. A randomly chosen $\pi^+$ in the $D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^−$ decay is paired with the $\pi^−$ to form a proxy for the $\pi^+\pi^−$ pair of interest. The $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$ sample is used to cancel asymmetries induced from the remaining $\pi^+$, $A_{\text{det}}(\pi^+)$, and other sources, such as the trigger selection, $A_{\text{trigger}}(D_s^+)$, and the production of $D_s^+$ and $D_s^-$ mesons in $pp$ collisions, $A_{\text{prod}}(D_s^+)$. For asymmetries of $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$, the raw asymmetries $A_{\text{meas}}$ can be approximated as

$$A_{\text{meas}}(D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-) \approx A_{\text{det}}(\pi^+\pi^-) + A_{\text{det}}(\pi^+) + A_{\text{prod}}(D_s^+),$$
$$A_{\text{meas}}(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) \approx A_{\text{det}}(\pi^+) + A_{\text{prod}}(D_s^+) + A_{\text{trigger}}(D_s^+).$$ (2)

The difference of the two measured asymmetries gives the detection asymmetry of the $\pi^+\pi^−$ pair. The control samples are weighted to match the kinematics of the pions from the $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+\pi^−$ sample. This weighting is done separately for each Dalitz-plot bin. The detection asymmetries are of the order of $10^{-3}$ and are used as corrections to the measured yields. They are included as constraints in the fit along with the associated covariance matrix $\Delta V_{\text{asym}}$ describing uncertainties coming from the limited size of the calibration samples.
The mixing parameters are determined by minimizing a least-squares function
\[
\chi^2 \equiv \sum_{+,-} \sum_{b,j} \left[ \frac{N^+_{bj} - R^+_{bj} N^+_{bj}/(C_{bj}(1 \pm \Delta A_b))}{\sigma^+_{bj}} + \frac{R^+_{bj} \sigma^+_{bj}/(C_{bj}(1 \pm \Delta A_b))}{\sigma^+_{bj}} \right]^2 \\
+ \sum_{b,b'} (X_b^{\text{EXT}} - X_b) (V_{\text{EXT}}^{-1})_{bb'} (X_{bb'}^{\text{EXT}} - X_{bb'}) \\
+ \sum_{b,b'} (\Delta A_b^{\text{asym}} - \Delta A_b) (\Delta V_{\text{asym}}^{-1})_{bb'} (\Delta A_{bb'}^{\text{asym}} - \Delta A_{bb'}),
\]
where the yields \( N \) and their measured uncertainties \( \sigma \) are scaled by factors for the correlation removal, \( C_{bj} \), and detection asymmetry correction, \( \Delta A^b \equiv A^b_{\text{det}}(\pi^+\pi^-) - A^b_{\text{det}}(\pi^+\pi^-) \). The different subsamples are fitted simultaneously, separated between \( D^0 \) and \( \bar{D}^0 \) flavors denoted as + and −, including all decay-time intervals \( j \) and Dalitz-plot bins \( b \). The parameters \( X_b \) are constrained with a Gaussian penalty term using the values \( X_b^{\text{EXT}} \) and covariance matrix \( V_{\text{EXT}} \) from a combination of CLEO and BESIII measurements [22,23]. In the fit, the parameters \( r_b \) are determined independently for each subsample, as they are affected by the sample-specific variation of the efficiency over the Dalitz plot [21]. To avoid experimenter’s bias, the values of \( x_{\text{CP}}, y_{\text{CP}}, \Delta x, \) and \( \Delta y \) were not examined until the full procedure had been finalized. Figure 4 shows the yield ratios with fit projections overlaid for each of the eight Dalitz-plot bins. Deviations from constant values are due to mixing. The fit projection when \( x_{\text{CP}} \) is fixed to zero is also included and shows the inability of a nonzero \( y_{\text{CP}} \) value to produce the deviations on its own. Also shown are the differences of ratios between \( D^0 \) and \( \bar{D}^0 \) decays, where a significant slope would indicate \( CP \) violation.

Systematic uncertainties are assessed from ensembles of pseudoexperiments. These use the \( D^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^- \) model of Ref. [29] to describe the amplitude at \( t = 0 \), and the decay-time dependence is incorporated for a range of values of the mixing and \( CP \) violation parameters. Different sources of systematic uncertainty are included, and the effect on the measured parameters evaluated. The dominant systematic uncertainty on the mixing parameters comes from reconstruction and selection effects, and amounts to \( 0.20 \times 10^{-3} \) \( (0.76 \times 10^{-3}) \) for \( x_{\text{CP}} \) \( (y_{\text{CP}}) \). This includes neglecting the decay-time and \( m^2_{\pm} \) resolutions and efficiencies, as well as the correction to remove the efficiency correlations. The most important effect for \( y_{\text{CP}} \) is the approximation of the strong phase to be constant within each bin in the procedure to remove correlations. Contamination from \( b \)-hadron decays contributes \( 0.20 \times 10^{-3} \) \( (0.15 \times 10^{-3}) \) to the \( x_{\text{CP}} \) \( (y_{\text{CP}}) \) uncertainty. Potential mismodeling in the signal yield fits contributes \( 0.36 \times 10^{-3} \) to the \( y_{\text{CP}} \) uncertainty. Time-dependent detection asymmetries are present mainly in bins that give the best sensitivity to \( \Delta y \), resulting in a systematic uncertainty of \( 0.12 \times 10^{-3} \).

The consistency of the results is tested by repeating the analysis in subsets of the data, divided according to magnet polarity, trigger and \( K^0_S \) category, data-taking period, \( D^+ \) meson kinematics, and other categories. The largest variation occurs for the value of \( x_{\text{CP}} \) as a function of \( D^+ \) meson pseudorapidity, where the compatibility, considering statistical uncertainties only, amounts to a p-value of 1.5%, depending on the details of the sample split, whereas the overall p-value for all \( x_{\text{CP}} \) variations observed is above 8%. The observed variations of the observables \( x_{\text{CP}}, y_{\text{CP}}, \Delta x \) and \( \Delta y \) are all consistent with statistical fluctuations.
Figure 4: (Top) CP-averaged yield ratios and (bottom) differences of $D^0$ and $\bar{D}^0$ yield ratios as a function of $t/\tau$, shown for each Dalitz-plot bin with fit projections overlaid.
The mixing and $CP$ violation parameters are measured to be

\[ x_{CP} = (3.97 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}, \]
\[ y_{CP} = (4.59 \pm 1.20 \pm 0.85) \times 10^{-3}, \]
\[ \Delta x = (-0.27 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}, \]
\[ \Delta y = (0.20 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}, \]

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic. The statistical uncertainty contains a subleading component due to the limited precision of the external measurements of the strong phases and control samples used for the detection asymmetry. This amounts to approximately $(0.23, 0.66, 0.04, \text{and } 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$ for $x_{CP}$, $y_{CP}$, $\Delta x$, and $\Delta y$, respectively. The measurements are statistically limited, though the systematic uncertainty on $y_{CP}$ is comparable to the statistical uncertainty. The results are used to form a likelihood function of $x$, $y$, $|q/p|$, and $\phi$ using a likelihood-ratio ordering that assumes the observed correlations to be independent of the true parameter values \cite{30}. The best fit point is

\[ x = (3.98^{+0.56}_{-0.54}) \times 10^{-3}, \]
\[ y = (4.6^{1.5}_{-1.4}) \times 10^{-3}, \]
\[ |q/p| = 0.996 \pm 0.052, \]
\[ \phi = -0.056^{+0.047}_{-0.051}. \]

In summary, a measurement of mixing and $CP$ violation in $D^0 \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-$ decays has been performed with the bin-flip method, using $pp$ collision data collected by the LHCb experiment and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $5.4 \text{fb}^{-1}$. This resulted in the first observation of a nonzero value of the mass difference $x$ of neutral charm meson mass eigenstates with a significance of more than seven standard deviations, and significantly improves limits on mixing-induced $CP$ violation in the charm sector.

**Acknowledgements**

We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at the LHCb institutes. We acknowledge support from CERN and from the national agencies: CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ and FINEP (Brazil); MOST and NSFC (China); CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG and MPG (Germany); INFN (Italy); NWO (Netherlands); MNiSW and NCN (Poland); MEN/IFA (Romania); MSHE (Russia); MICINN (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE NP and NSF (USA). We acknowledge the computing resources that are provided by CERN, IN2P3 (France), KIT and DESY (Germany), INFN (Italy), SURF (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), GridPP (United Kingdom), RRCKI and Yandex LLC (Russia), CSCS (Switzerland), IFIN-HH (Romania), CBPF (Brazil), PL-GRID (Poland) and NERSC (USA). We are indebted to the communities behind the multiple open-source software packages on which we depend. Individual groups or members have received support from ARC and ARDC (Australia); AvH Foundation (Germany); EPLANET, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions and ERC (European Union); \textit{A*MIDEX}, ANR, IPhU and Label P2IO, and Région.
Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes (France); Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS, CAS PIFI, CAS CCEPP, Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and Sci. & Tech. Program of Guangzhou (China); RFBR, RSF and Yandex LLC (Russia); GVA, XuntaGal and GENCAT (Spain); the Leverhulme Trust, the Royal Society and UKRI (United Kingdom).

References

[1] E. Golowich, J. Hewett, S. Pakvasa, and A. A. Petrov, Implications of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing for new physics, Phys. Rev. D76 (2007) 095009, arXiv:0705.3650

[2] G. Isidori, Y. Nir, and G. Perez, Flavor physics constraints for physics beyond the standard model, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60 (2010) 355, arXiv:1002.0900

[3] M. Bobrowski, A. Lenz, J. Riedl, and J. Rohrwild, How Large Can the SM Contribution to CP Violation in $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ Mixing Be?, JHEP 03 (2010) 009, arXiv:1002.4794

[4] UTfit, M. Bona et al., Model-independent constraints on $\Delta F = 2$ operators and the scale of new physics, JHEP 03 (2008) 049, arXiv:0707.0636

[5] Particle Data Group, P. A. Zyla et al., Review of particle physics, to be published in Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 6 (2020) 083C01.

[6] D. S. Du, Searching for possible large CP-violation effects in neutral-charm-meson decays, Phys. Rev. D34 (1986) 3428

[7] S. Bergmann et al., Lessons from CLEO and FOCUS measurements of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing parameters, Phys. Lett. B486 (2000) 418, arXiv:hep-ph/0005181

[8] Heavy Flavor Averaging Group, Y. Amhis et al., Averages of $b$-hadron, $c$-hadron, and $\tau$-lepton properties as of 2018, arXiv:1909.12524, updated results and plots available at https://hflav.web.cern.ch

[9] BaBar collaboration, B. Aubert et al., Evidence for $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 211802, arXiv:hep-ex/0703020

[10] Belle collaboration, M. Staric et al., Evidence for $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 211803, arXiv:hep-ex/0703036

[11] I. I. Y. Bigi and N. G. Uraltsev, $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ oscillations as a probe of quark hadron duality, Nucl. Phys. B 592 (2001) 92, arXiv:hep-ph/0005089

[12] A. F. Falk et al., The $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mass difference from a dispersion relation, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0402204

[13] A. L. Kagan and L. Silvestrini, Dispersive and absorptive CP violation in $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 053008, arXiv:2001.07207

[14] CLEO collaboration, D. M. Asner et al., Search for $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing in the Dalitz plot analysis of $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$, Phys. Rev. D72 (2005) 012001, arXiv:hep-ex/0503045
Belle collaboration, T. Peng et al., Measurement of $D^0-\bar{D}^0$ mixing and search for indirect CP violation using $D^0 \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-$ decays, Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 091103, arXiv:1404.2412.

BaBar collaboration, P. del Amo Sanchez et al., Measurement of $D^0-\bar{D}^0$ mixing parameters using $D^0 \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-$ and $D^0 \to K^0_S K^+K^-$ decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 081803, arXiv:1004.5053.

LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Model-independent measurement of mixing parameters in $D^0 \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-$ decays, JHEP 04 (2016) 033, arXiv:1510.01664.

LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of the mass difference between neutral charm-meson eigenstates, Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 012007, arXiv:1811.01032.

R. H. Dalitz, On the analysis of $\tau$-meson data and the nature of the $\tau$-meson, Phil. Mag. Ser. 7 44 (1953) 1068.

E. Fabri, A study of $\tau$-meson decay, Nuovo Cim. 11 (1954) 479.

A. Di Canto et al., Novel method for measuring charm-mixing parameters using multibody decays, Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 012007, arXiv:1811.01032.

CLEO collaboration, J. Libby et al., Model-independent determination of the strong-phase difference between $D^0$ and $\bar{D}^0 \to K^0_S h^+h^-$ ($h = \pi, K$) and its impact on the measurement of the CKM angle $\gamma/\phi_3$, Phys. Rev. D82 (2010) 112006, arXiv:1010.2817.

BESIII collaboration, M. Ablikim et al., Model-independent determination of the relative strong-phase difference between $D^0$ and $\bar{D}^0 \to K^0_S L^+\pi^-\pi^-$ and its impact on the measurement of the CKM angle $\gamma/\phi_3$, Phys. Rev. D101 (2020) 112002, arXiv:2003.00091.

LHCb collaboration, A. A. Alves Jr. et al., The LHCb detector at the LHC, JINST 3 (2008) S08005.

LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., LHCb detector performance, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A30 (2015) 1530022, arXiv:1412.6352.

W. D. Hulsbergen, Decay chain fitting with a Kalman filter, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A552 (2005) 566, arXiv:physics/0503191.

N. L. Johnson, Systems of frequency curves generated by methods of translation, Biometrika 36 (1949) 149.

M. Pivk and F. R. Le Diberder, sPlot: A statistical tool to unfold data distributions, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A555 (2005) 356, arXiv:physics/0402083.

BaBar collaboration, Belle collaboration, I. Adachi et al., First evidence for $\cos 2\beta > 0$ and resolution of the CKM Unitarity Triangle ambiguity by a time-dependent Dalitz plot analysis of $B^0 \to D^{(*)}h^0$ with $D \to K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-$ decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 261801, arXiv:1804.06152.
[30] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of the CKM angle $\gamma$ from a combination of $B^\pm \to Dh^\pm$ analyses, Phys. Lett. B726 (2013) 151, arXiv:1305.2050.
1 Supplemental material

Table 1 summarizes the measured values along with their uncertainties and correlations. Table 2 gives the derived values for $x$, $y$, $q/p$ and $\phi$ together with the 95.5% confidence interval. Table 3 shows a summary of the uncertainties in this analysis. Fig. 5 shows the Dalitz plot of the background-subtracted $D^0 \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$ candidates used in the analysis. No efficiency corrections are applied. All samples are combined.

![Figure 5: Dalitz plot of background-subtracted $D^0 \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$ candidates.](image)

Table 1: Fit results of $x_{CP}$, $y_{CP}$, $\Delta x$, and $\Delta y$. The first contribution to the uncertainty is statistical, the second systematic.

| Parameter | Value $[10^{-3}]$ | Stat. correlations | Syst. correlations |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| $x_{CP}$  | $3.97 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.29$ | $0.11$ | $-0.02$ | $-0.01$ | $0.13$ | $0.01$ | $0.01$ |
| $y_{CP}$  | $4.59 \pm 1.20 \pm 0.85$ | $-0.01$ | $-0.05$ | $-0.02$ | $0.01$ |
| $\Delta x$ | $-0.27 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.01$ | $0.08$ | $0.31$ |
| $\Delta y$ | $0.20 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.13$ |
Table 2: Point estimates and 95.5% confidence-level (CL) intervals for $x$, $y$, $|q/p|$ and $\phi$. The uncertainties include statistical and systematic contributions.

| Parameter | Value       | 95.5% CL interval       |
|-----------|-------------|-------------------------|
| $x \ [10^{-3}]$ | $3.98 \pm 0.56$ | $[2.9, 5.0]$ |
| $y \ [10^{-3}]$ | $4.6 \pm 1.5$ | $[2.0, 7.5]$ |
| $|q/p|$ | $0.996 \pm 0.052$ | $[0.890, 1.110]$ |
| $\phi$ | $-0.056 \pm 0.007$ | $[-0.172, 0.040]$ |

Table 3: Uncertainties in units of $10^{-3}$. The total systematic uncertainty is the sum in quadrature of the individual components. The uncertainties due to the external inputs and detection asymmetry calibration samples are included in the statistical uncertainty. These are also reported separately, along with the contributions due to the limited sample size, to ease comparison with other sources.

| Source                          | $x_{CP}$ | $y_{CP}$ | $\Delta x$ | $\Delta y$ |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|
| Reconstruction and selection   | 0.199    | 0.757    | 0.009      | 0.044      |
| Secondary charm decays          | 0.208    | 0.154    | 0.001      | 0.002      |
| Detection asymmetry             | 0.000    | 0.001    | 0.004      | 0.102      |
| Mass-fit model                  | 0.045    | 0.361    | 0.003      | 0.009      |
| Total systematic uncertainty    | 0.291    | 0.852    | 0.010      | 0.110      |

| Source                          | $x_{CP}$ | $y_{CP}$ | $\Delta x$ | $\Delta y$ |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|
| Strong phase inputs             | 0.23     | 0.66     | 0.02       | 0.04       |
| Detection asymmetry inputs      | 0.00     | 0.00     | 0.04       | 0.08       |
| Statistical (w/o inputs)        | 0.40     | 1.00     | 0.18       | 0.35       |
| Total statistical uncertainty   | 0.46     | 1.20     | 0.18       | 0.36       |
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