Assessment of cervical vascularization density in patients with locally advanced squamous cell cervical carcinoma evaluated in colour Doppler and power Doppler functions
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Abstract
Purpose The aim of the prospective study was to assess changes during treatment and prognostic significance of cervical vascularization density in patients with cervical squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) staged II B and III B and to find relationship of cervical vascularization density with tumour diameter, grading, staging and age of patients.

Methods The study group included 50 patients who underwent transvaginal Doppler ultrasonography prior to chemoradiotherapy, after external beam radiation therapy (EBRT) and 6 weeks after HDR brachytherapy. The colour Doppler (CD) vascularity index (CDVI) and the power Doppler (PD) vascularity index (PDVI) in cervical tumour were examined.

Results CDVI and PDVI values decreased significantly during radiotherapy (0.13 (95% CI 0.09–0.16); 0.09 (95% CI 0.07–0.11) and 0.05 (95% CI 0.03–0.06) for CDVI (p < 0.001) and 0.26 (95% CI 0.22–0.31); 0.18 (95% CI 0.14–0.22) and 0.08 (95% CI 0.06–0.11) for PDVI (p < 0.001)). No statistically significant associations of CDVI and PDVI with tumour diameter, grading, staging and age of patients were found. The higher (above median) CDVI values before EBRT were associated with better OS (p = 0.041). The higher (above median) PDVI values before EBRT were associated with superior DFS (p = 0.011) and OS (p < 0.001). DFS and OS did not differ significantly regarding CDVI and PDVI values after EBRT and after the treatment.

Conclusions In the study group, cervical vascularization density evaluated in CD and PD functions did not depend on tumour diameter, grading, staging and age of patients and decreased during radiotherapy. The prognosis for our patients with CDVI and PDVI before the treatment above the median value was better than compared to patients with these parameters below the median value.
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Introduction

In locally advanced cervical squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), the treatment of choice is chemoradiotherapy, and the prognosis depends on a number of factors related to the biology of the tumour and the general condition of patients [1, 2]. Despite continuous improvement of radiotherapy techniques and progress in systemic treatment, the results of treatment in these patients are still unsatisfactory. Staging, histology and grading are parameters which characterise tumour evaluated in clinical practice [2, 3]. Other parameters which will help to identify patients with better and worse prognosis are being searched [3, 4].

Tumour angiogenesis is the production of new tumour vessels. It has gained much attention in oncology in recent
years. Some studies have demonstrated that it is an essential process for tumour growth, correlated with tumour metastatic potential and can be considered as an independent prognostic factor in cervical carcinoma [5–8]. The assessment of tumour vascularity could, therefore, become a novel means of predicting tumour aggressiveness.

Transvaginal ultrasonography is a non-invasive method that allows accurate study of the pelvic organs [9]. The addition of colour and power Doppler has further enhanced the diagnostic potential of ultrasonography [10]. Transvaginal Doppler ultrasonography allows an in vivo non-invasive and prospective assessment of tumour vascularization. Colour Doppler (CD) has demonstrated that increased angiogenesis plays an important role in the tumourigenesis cervical malignancies [11, 12]. Power Doppler (PD) is a useful tumour flow mapping technique with several advantages, including relative angle and velocity independence, extended dynamic range and higher sensitivity [13]. However, the clinical value of changes of CD and PD parameters during treatment in cervical tumour of patients with locally advanced cervical SCC are not clear.

**Objectives**

The aim of the prospective study was to assess changes during treatment and prognostic significance of cervical vascularization density in patients with cervical squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) staged II B and III B and to find relationship of cervical vascularization density with tumour diameter, grading, staging and age of patients.

**Materials and methods**

The study group consisted of 50 patients with cervical SCC staged II B and III B treated at the Department of Tele-radiotherapy of the Copernicus Memorial Hospital of Lodz between 2015 and 2017. All patients underwent computed tomography of the abdomen and magnetic resonance imaging of the pelvis prior to the treatment to evaluate the staging. Only patients with negative iliac and paraaortic adenopathy in radiologic imaging were included into the study. In this selected group of patients, operative staging was not performed. Detailed data of the studied population are presented in Table 1.

The treatment scheme involved application of external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) to primary tumour mass, the entire uterus, adequate vagina, parametrium, common iliac, internal iliac, external iliac, presacral and obturator lymph nodes of a dose up to 44 Gy, fractionated at 2 Gy, with weekly injections of cisplatin (P) at a dose of 40 mg/m². In patients with renal failure and elevated serum creatinine concentration, only EBRT was applied. After EBRT with or without P was completed, high-dose rate brachytherapy (HDR BT) was implemented, fractionated at one application of 7 Gy weekly for 4 weeks up to a total dose of 28 Gy, or EBRT was continued to the uterus and primary infiltrating tissues, up to a total dose of 60 Gy. Follow-up monitoring was carried out in an oncological outpatient clinic until December 31, 2018.

All patients underwent transvaginal Doppler ultrasonography (TV DU). We predetermined TV DU in three time points. First examination was conducted prior to the treatment and to assess initial Doppler parameters of the tumour. Second examination was performed before HDR

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the study group

| Selected clinical and pathological data | n | %  |
|----------------------------------------|---|----|
| Age (years)                            |   |    |
| ≤50                                    | 14 | 28%|
| 51–65                                  | 22 | 44%|
| > 65                                   | 14 | 28%|
| Pregnancies                            |   |    |
| No                                     | 5  | 10%|
| Yes                                    | 45 | 90%|
| Deliveries                             |   |    |
| No                                     | 7  | 14%|
| Yes                                    | 43 | 86%|
| Tumour diameter                        |   |    |
| <4 cm                                  | 22 | 44%|
| ≥4 cm                                  | 28 | 56%|
| Tumour volume                          |   |    |
| <20 cm³                                | 23 | 46%|
| 20–40 cm³                              | 18 | 36%|
| >40 cm³                                | 9  | 18%|
| WHO grading                            |   |    |
| G 1 + G 2                              | 39 | 78%|
| G 3                                    | 11 | 22%|
| FIGO staging                           |   |    |
| II B                                   | 37 | 74%|
| II B                                   | 13 | 26%|
| Treatment                              |   |    |
| EBRT (60 Gy/2 Gy)                      | 1  | 2% |
| EBRT (44 Gy/2 Gy) + HDR BT              | 3  | 6% |
| EBRT (44 Gy/2 Gy) + P + HDR BT          | 46 | 92%|
| Relapse                                |   |    |
| None                                   | 37 | 74%|
| Only local                             | 3  | 6% |
| Only distant                           | 7  | 14%|
| Survival                               |   |    |
| Local and distant                      | 3  | 6% |
| Alive                                  | 40 | 80%|
| Dead                                   | 10 | 20%|
| Total                                  | 50 | 100|
BT to assess the changes in cervical vascularization density resulted due to EBRT. Third examination was made during first follow-up visit 6 weeks after the treatment was finished and it showed cervical vascularization density after radiotherapy.

The TV US examinations were performed by the same examiner using Philips iU22 with 10 MHz endovaginal probe C10-3v. The scanning protocol was the same throughout the study period and all examinations began with the same setting of the ultrasound system. In TV US, the tumour parameters were estimated; afterwards, a Doppler frame was put in and precisely adjusted to comprise the whole tumour. To assess vascularity in CD CF (Colour Flow), 74% settings for frequency of 2500 Hz were used. To assess vascularity in PD CPA (Colour Power Angio), 70% settings for frequency of 1500 Hz were used. These settings enabled to assess tumour vessels colouring undisrupted by background noise. The imaging registration of these two techniques was carried out at the top of vasoconstriction, by the biggest flows. Afterwards, the ratio of colour pixels/all pixels of the examined area from the cervical tumour, defined as the CD vascularity index (CDVI; Fig. 1) and PD vascularity index (PDVI; Fig. 2), were calculated.

The statistical analysis was carried out using Statistica 13.1 software (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK, US). Nominal variables were expressed as percentages and analysed using the Chi-square test with appropriate corrections (the Yates’s correction for continuity or the Fisher exact test), if needed. The normality of the distribution of continuous variables was verified with the Shapiro–Wilk test. Depending on the data distribution, continuous variables were compared using \(t\) test or Mann–Whitney \(U\) test. Paired comparisons across three timepoints were analysed using the repeated-measures analysis of variance and data were represented as mean together with 95% confidence interval (95% CI). Sphericity assumption was tested using the Mauchly’s test. A \(p\) value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The group size was estimated using standard power analysis methods. For the purpose of outcome analyses, disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as the period of time between the day of implementation of chemoradiotherapy and the day of the second cancer, locoregional recurrence, distant metastases or death from any cause. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the period of time between the commencement of chemoradiotherapy and the day of death from any cause. Estimated DFS and OS were presented using Kaplan–Meier survival curves compared using the log-rank test. We assumed that clinically relevant differences would need to exceed 1/3 standard deviation of the analysed colour Doppler and power Doppler parameters. Therefore, to maintain statistical power > 80% with a predetermined type 1 error probability < 0.05 we calculated that a group of 45 patients is the required minimum. To take the missing data into account, this group was increased by 10%, which resulted in inclusion of five additional patients.

The study was approved by the Bioethics Commission of the Medical University of Lodz No. RNN/94/15/KE.

![Fig. 1 CDVI in the patient with locally advanced cervical SCC: prior to the treatment (a), before HDR BT (b), after the treatment (c)](image1)

![Fig. 2 PDVI in the patient with locally advanced cervical SCC: prior to the treatment (a), before HDR BT (b), after the treatment (c)](image2)
Results

In the study group, CDVI values decreased during radiotherapy ($p < 0.001$; Fig. 3). The CDVI value before the onset of the treatment was significantly higher than compared to its value after EBRT, as well as 6 weeks after the treatment (0.13 (95% CI 0.09–0.16) vs 0.09 (95% CI 0.07–0.11), $p = 0.007$ and 0.13 (95% CI 0.09–0.16) vs 0.05 (95% CI 0.03–0.06), $p < 0.001$, respectively). The CDVI value in the second examination was significantly higher than compared to its value in the third examination (0.09 (95% CI 0.07–0.11) vs 0.05 (95% CI 0.03–0.06), $p = 0.004$). Successive examinations did not confirm any statistically significant associations of CDVI decrease during treatment and initial tumour diameter ($p = 0.309$), grading ($p = 0.486$), staging ($p = 0.946$) and the age of patients ($p = 0.155$).

The CDVI values before EBRT were not connected to DFS ($p = 0.291$), but were associated with better OS ($p = 0.041$). OS of patients with pre-treatment CDVI values above the median value (0.1) was better than compared to patients with CDVI below the median value (Fig. 4). DFS and OS were not connected to CDVI values after EBRT ($p = 0.139$ and $p = 0.125$, respectively) and after the treatment ($p = 0.997$ and $p = 0.252$, respectively).

Similar to CDVI, PDVI values decreased during radiotherapy ($p < 0.001$; Fig. 5) in patients with locally advanced cervical SCC. The PDVI value before the onset of the treatment was significantly higher than compared to its value after EBRT, as well as 6 weeks after the treatment (0.26 (95% CI 0.22–0.31) vs 0.18 (95% CI 0.14–0.22), $p < 0.001$ and 0.26 (95% CI 0.22–0.31) vs 0.08 (95% CI 0.06–0.11), $p < 0.001$, respectively). The PDVI value in the second examination was significantly higher than compared to its value in the third examination (0.18 (95% CI 0.14–0.22) vs 0.08 (95% CI 0.06–0.11), $p < 0.001$). In successive examinations, no statistically significant associations of PDVI decrease during treatment and initial tumour diameter ($p = 0.741$), grading ($p = 0.736$), staging ($p = 0.688$) and the age of patients ($p = 0.310$) were found.

The PDVI values before EBRT were associated with DFS and OS ($p = 0.011$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively). Both DFS and OS of patients with pre-treatment PDVI values above the median value (0.24) were better than compared to patients with PDVI below the median value (Figs. 6, 7). DFS and OS were not connected to PDVI values after EBRT ($p = 0.149$ and $p = 0.453$, respectively) and after the treatment ($p = 0.743$ and $p = 0.756$, respectively).
Discussion

Although many authors examined ultrasonographic Doppler parameters in tumours of cervical patients, our study is valuable, because it was prospective, performed by the same examiner, all the ultrasonographic examinations were made at predetermined time points related to the treatment and all the patients were treated according to the same protocol by one medical team.

In our study, tumour vascularization did not depend on the tumour diameter, histological grading, staging and the age of the patients, but literature data are ambiguous. Similar to our results, Testa et al. in 77 patients with cervical SCC did not find significant correlations between 3D colour power angiography parameters and clinicopathological characteristics of cervical tumour or between 3D vascular parameters and biological factors [7]. Belitsos et al. examined 71 patients with cervical carcinoma and observed tumour vascularization being not significantly different in relation to grade, histology, presence of positive lymph nodes or lymphovascular space involvement. However, vascularization index was significantly higher in patients with stages IIIB–IV than in patients with less advanced disease [14]. Some authors presented different observations. Alcázar et al. examined 56 patients with cervical carcinoma and observed higher tumour vascularization in poorly differentiated, advanced cervical carcinomas with large primary tumours [15]. Similarly, Jurado et al. among 27 patients with early-stage cervical carcinoma found before surgery significant association between tumour abundant vascularization and depth of stromal invasion > 10 mm, tumour diameter > 17.5 mm and parametral involvement [16]. Additionally, Jurado et al. [16] described significant association between cervical tumour vascularization, pelvic lymph node metastases and lymphovascular space involvement, while in patients treated by Alcázar et al. [15], this relationship was not proven.

The conducted analysis showed that in our study group, poorly vascularized cervical carcinomas had a worse response to treatment than well-vascularized tumours. The vascular density of cervical carcinomas, assessed in CD and PD before the treatment, was associated with prognosis in patients. In both cases, their value below the median was associated with significantly shorter OS and for PDVI, additionally with significantly shorter DFS. These results confirm one of the basic principle of cancer radiobiology, saying that better tumours vascularized are three times more radiosensitive than hypoxic neoplasms. Therefore, hypoxia is regarded as a factor of unfavorable prognosis. The direct correlation between high levels of hypoxia and tumour aggressiveness has been shown in numerous studies. Patients with hypoxic tumours need a higher total dose of radiotherapy to recover [17, 18].

The variability of vascularization in cervical carcinomas during treatment was the topic of interest in many studies. We observed, that both CDVI and PDVI decreased significantly at subsequent time points during the treatment. Similarly, Pirhonen et al. in patients with cervical carcinoma staged IIA–IVB treated with EBRT described significant decrease in tumour vascularization [19]. These results were confirmed by other authors [20–22].

Literature data show that better prognosis is connected with patients with decreased tumour vascularity during oncological treatment rather than with persistent vascularization density. Chen et al. examined 25 patients with bulky early-stage cervical carcinoma treated with neoadjuvant
These patients. Evaluate the value of cervical vascularization density in squamous cell cervical carcinoma are needed to precisely studies in larger population of patients with locally advanced limited the importance of our results. Further prospective No control group and only univariate analyses additionally because the limitation of our study is small study group.

3D-PD sonographic assessment of tumour size and vascularization before the treatment seems to have a limited value for predicting tumour response to chemoradiation therapy. Interestingly, Alcazar et al. noted that in 49 patients with advanced-stage cervical carcinoma the literature data are ambiguous. Qin et al. conducted a study on a group of 61 patients with locally advanced cervical carcinoma the literature data are ambiguous. Qin et al. in 37 patients with cervical carcinoma staged IB1–IIIB who were undergoing radiotherapy found cervical intratumoral vascularization being disappeared within 3 months following radiotherapy, except in one patient with persistent disease. Generally, it is postulated, that in cases with total response to the treatment a significant decrease in tumour vascularity occur, and in cases with only partial regression or no regression of cervical carcinoma tumour vascularity parameters remain unchanged.

In our study of all the assessed Doppler parameters, only cervical vascularization density before the treatment, but not after EBRT and 6 weeks after the treatment, was associated with prognosis in patients with locally advanced cervical carcinoma. According to the prognostic significance of single Doppler examination before treatment of cervical tumour vascularity in patients with locally advanced cervical carcinoma the literature data are ambiguous. Qin et al. conducted a study on a group of 61 patients with locally advanced cervical carcinoma who underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy, followed by surgery or radiation. They revealed that before the initial chemotherapy, the vascularization index, flow index and vascularization flow index were significantly higher in clinical responders than in non-responders. Interestingly, Alcazar et al. noted that in 49 patients with advanced-stage cervical carcinoma a single 3D-PD sonographic assessment of tumour size and vascularization before the treatment seems to have a limited value for predicting tumour response to chemoradiation therapy and for predicting recurrence.

Our results cannot be generalized to the whole population because the limitation of our study is small study group. No control group and only univariate analyses additionally limited the importance of our results. Further prospective studies in larger population of patients with locally advanced squamous cell cervical carcinoma are needed to precisely evaluate the value of cervical vascularization density in these patients.

Conclusions

1. In the study group, cervical vascularization density evaluated in CD and PD functions, did not depend on tumour diameter, grading, staging and age of patients and decreased during radiotherapy.
2. The prognosis for our patients with CDVI and PDVI before the treatment above the median value was better than compared to patients with these parameters below the median value.

Author contribution TA—ultrasoundography, data collection, manuscript writing. TB—data analysis. FJ—manuscript editing. M-ZM—patient collection and treatment. GL—project development, manuscript writing.

Funding None.

Availability of data and materials Yes.

Code availability Yes.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval No. RNN/94/15/KE.

Consent to participate Yes.

Consent for publication Yes.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

1. The NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology Cervical Cancer (Version 1.2020). National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc., 2020. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cervical.pdf. Accessed 4 Feb 2020

2. Martín C, Landoni F, Mahner S, McCormack M, Gonzalez-Martin A, Colombo N, ESMO Guidelines Committee (2018) Cervical cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 29(Suppl 4):vi262. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdy160
3. Cohen PA, Jhingran A, Oaknin A, Denny L (2019) Cervical cancer. Lancet 393:169–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32470-X
4. Gangopadhyay A (2020) Prognostic nutritional index and clinical response in locally advanced cervical cancer. Nutr Cancer. https://doi.org/10.1080/01635381.2020.1729820
5. Bremer GL, Tiebosch AT, van der Putten HW, Schouten JJ, de Haan J, Arends JW (1996) Tumor angiogenesis: an independent prognostic parameter in cervical cancer. Am J Obstet Gynecol 174:126–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9378(96)70384-8
6. Schlegner K, Höckel M, Mitze M, Schäffer U, Vaupel P (1995) Tumor vascularity—a novel prognostic factor in advanced cervical carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 59:57–66. https://doi.org/10.1006/gyno.1995.1268
7. Testa AC, Ferrandina G, Distefano M, Fruscella E, Mansueto D, Basso D, Salutari V, Scambia G (2004) Color Doppler velocimetry and three-dimensional color power angiography of cervical carcinoma. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 24:445–452. https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.10703
8. Wiggins D, Granai CO, Steinhoff MM, Calabresi P (1995) Tumor angiogenesis as prognostic factor in cervical carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 56:353–356. https://doi.org/10.1006/gyno.1995.1062
9. Alcazar JL, Garcia E, Machuca M, Quintana R, Escrig J, Chacón E, Mínguez JA, Chiva L (2002) Magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound for assessing parametrial infiltration in cervical cancer. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Med Ultrasound 22:87–93. https://doi.org/10.11152/mu-2361
10. Testa AC, Ferrandina G, Moro F, Piscipato M, Di Trapani M, De Blasio I, Mascilini F, Foti E, Autorino R, Collarino A, Gui B, Zannoni GF, Gambaccorto MA, Valentinis AL, Rufini V, Scambia G (2018) Prospective imaging of cervical cancer and neoadjuvant treatment (PRISE) study: role of ultrasound to predict partial response in locally advanced cervical cancer patients undergoing chemoradiation and radical surgery. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 51:684–695. https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.17551
11. Cheng WF, Lee CN, Chu JS, Chen CA, Chen TM, Shau WY, Hsieh CY, Hsieh FY (1999) Vascularity index as a novel parameter for the in vivo assessment of angiogenesis in patients with cervical carcinoma. Cancer 85:651–657. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19990201)85:3<651::aid-cncr231>3.0.co;2-9
12. Cheng WF, Wei LH, Su YN, Cheng SP, Chu JS, Lee CN (1999) The possible use of colour flow Doppler in planning treatment in early invasive carcinoma of the cervix. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 106:1137–1142. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.1999.tb08138.x
13. Wu CH, Hsu MM, Chang YL, Hsieh FY (1998) Vascular pathology of malignant cervical lymphadenopathy: qualitative and quantitative assessment with power Doppler ultrasound. Cancer 83:1189–1196. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19980915)83:6<1189::AID-CNCR19>3.0.CO;2-1
14. Bellos P, Papoutsis D, Rodolakis A, Mesogitis S, Antsaklis A (2012) Three-dimensional power Doppler ultrasound for the study of cervical cancer and precancerous lesions. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 40:576–581. https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.11134
15. Alcázar JL, Jurado M, López-García G (2010) Tumor vascularization in cervical cancer by 3-dimensional power Doppler angiography: correlation with tumor characteristics. Int J Gynecol Cancer 20:393–397. https://doi.org/10.1111/IGC.0b013e3181d15919
16. Jurado M, Galvan R, Martinez-Monge R, Mazaira J, Alcázar JL (2008) Neoangiogenesis in early cervical cancer: correlation between color Doppler findings and risk factors. A prospective observational study. World J Surg Oncol 25(6):126. https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7819-6-126
17. Begg AC (2010) Radiobiology: state of the present art. A conference report. Int J Radiat Biol 86:71–78. https://doi.org/10.3109/0955300903264549
18. Osinsky S, Zavelevich M, Vaupel P (2009) Tumor hypoxia and malignant progression. Exp Oncol 31:80–86
19. Pirhonen JP, Grenman SA, Bredbacka AB, Bahado-Singh RO, Salmi TA (1995) Effects of external radiotherapy on uterine blood flow in patients with advanced cervical carcinoma assessed by color Doppler ultrasonography. Cancer 76:67–71. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(19950701)76:1<67::AID-CNCR2820760109>3.0.CO;2-0
20. Chen CA, Cheng WF, Lee CN, Su YN, Hsieh CY, Hsieh FY (2004) Power Doppler vascularity index for predicting the response of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in cervical carcinoma. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 83:591–597. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0001-6349.2004.00522.x
21. Huang YF, Cheng YM, Wu YP, Chen HH, Hsu KF, Wu YH, Chou CY (2013) Three-dimensional power Doppler ultrasound in cervical carcinoma: monitoring treatment response to radiotherapy. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 42:84–92. https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.11223
22. Xu Y, Zhu L, Ru T, Wang H, He J, Zhou Z, Yang X (2017) Three-dimensional power Doppler ultrasound in the early assessment of response to concurrent chemoradiotherapy for advanced cervical cancer. Acta Radiol 58:1147–1154. https://doi.org/10.11177/0284185116684677
23. Qin J, Cheng X, Chen X, Zhang X, Lu W, Xie X (2012) Value of three-dimensional power Doppler to predict clinical and histological response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in locally advanced cervical carcinoma. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 39:226–234. https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.10071
24. Alcázar JL, Arribas S, Martinez-Monge R, Jurado M (2016) Three-dimensional Power Doppler ultrasound for predicting response and local recurrence after concomitant chemoradiation therapy for locally advanced carcinoma of the Cervix. Int J Gynecol Cancer 26:534–538. https://doi.org/10.1097/IGC.0000000000000641

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.