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Abstract

In this paper, we consider a fractional-order model of a brushless DC motor. To develop a mathematical model, we use the concept of the Liouville–Caputo noninteger derivative with the Mittag-Leffler kernel. We find that the fractional-order brushless DC motor system exhibits the character of chaos. For the proposed system, we show the largest exponent to be 0.711625. We calculate the equilibrium points of the model and discuss their local stability. We apply an iterative scheme by using the Laplace transform to find a special solution in this case. By taking into account the rule of trapezoidal product integration we develop two iterative methods to find an approximate solution of the system. We also study the existence and uniqueness of solutions. We take into account the numerical solutions for Caputo Liouville product integration and Atangana–Baleanu Caputo product integration. This scheme has an implicit structure. The numerical simulations indicate that the obtained approximate solutions are in excellent agreement with the expected theoretical results.
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1 Introduction

The newly emerging field has many applications to model the real-world phenomena such as electrode–electrolyte, diffusion wave, electromagnetic waves, dielectric polarization, and superdiffusion equations [1–3]. Similarly, a fractional-order system is used to model many complex chaotic behaviors such as noninteger-order gyroscopes [4]. Moreover, fractional-order models are used to model microelectromechanical structures [5]. Also, noninteger-order electronic circuits [6, 7], chaotic communications [8], and authenticated encryption schemes [9] have been modeled by using FDEs.

Moreover, BLDCM has many recompenses over brushed DC motor [10–13] and practiced generally in manufacturing industrial engineering and automation design, for example, ventilations and heating, radio-controlled cars, and motion control systems. Further, BLDCM reveals undesirable chaotic phenomena [11–16]. To find novel means to suppress and control chaos more competently, numerous researchers have paid more and more attention, for instance, to multiple controllers, multiple state variables, and the nonlinear
feedback controllers. However, these control strategies require heavy computational efforts and are difficult to use in practice [17, 18].

Fractional calculus has attracted the focus of many researchers in the modern century [19–34]. Solving the problems of fractional order is very complicated. Therefore many approximate methods have been taken into account in recent decades. Despite the range of approaches, innovative concepts are needed in this field. Another important feature of this is the existence of demarcations of the integrals and derivatives, among which the prevalent demarcations are Riemann–Liouville–Caputo [17], Hadamard [35], Hilfer [36], Atangana–Baleanu [37], and Gomez–Atangana [38]. The most valid definition is that of Atangana and Baleanu for fractional derivatives [24, 39–44]. These were demarcated as a convolution integral with a Mittag-Leffler kernel. The presence of this property in the definition makes it a resilient technique to retain the valuable facts of the phenomenon in memory over time. In the recent papers [45–55], various interesting qualitative results for a number of differential equations, fractional differential equations, impulsive differential equations, and so on are obtained, and some related examples are given. The novelty of this paper is that we are pioneers to use this latest technique on this model. The system is closely resembling to the Lorenz attractor. The simulations of the first example show the butterfly effect.

In this paper, we introduce BLDCM model of noninteger order, which displays the chaotic behavior too. The maximum Lyapunov exponent and chaotic attractors are found by numerical calculation. Next, we consider two numerical schemes for the stabilization of noninteger-order chaotic BLDCMs. We carry out numerical imitations to present authenticity, validity, and feasibility of the developed schemes.

2 Preliminaries

The noninteger derivative of function \( h(t) \) using the Riemann–Liouville operator is defined as

\[
{^R}_{0}D_{t}^{\tau_1} [h(t)] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\tau_1)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_{0}^{t} h(\xi)(t-\xi)^{n-\tau_1-1} d\xi, \quad n-1 < \tau_1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1}
\]

The Laplace transform of the Caputo derivative is given by

\[
{^R}_{0}D_{t}^{\tau_1} [h(t)] = s^{\tau_1} H(s) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} s^{\tau_1-j-1} h(j), \quad n-1 < \tau_1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2}
\]

The noninteger derivative of a function \( h(t) \) using the Liouville–Caputo operator is defined as [20]

\[
{^L}_{0}D_{t}^{\tau_1} [h(t)] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\tau_1)} \int_{0}^{t} d[h(\xi)](t-\xi)^{n-\tau_1-1} d\xi, \quad n-1 < \tau_1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3}
\]

The Laplace transform of the Caputo derivative is given by

\[
{^L}_{0}D_{t}^{\tau_1} [h(t)] = s^{\tau_1} H(s) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} s^{\tau_1-j-1} h'(0), \quad n-1 < \tau_1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4}
\]
A new significant fractional Atangana–Baaleanu Caputo derivative (FABC) was discussed in [38]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{ABC_0}{\tau_1} D_t z(t) &= \frac{Z(\tau_1)}{\Gamma(n - \tau_1)} \int_0^t \frac{d[h(\xi)]}{dt} E_{\tau_1} \left[ \frac{\tau_1(t - \xi)^{\tau_1}}{\tau_1 - n} \right] d\xi, \quad n - 1 < \tau_1 \leq n \in N, \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( Z(\tau_1) \) is a normalization function, and \( Z(0) = 1 = Z(1) \). We can observe from the structure of this functional operator that the Mittag-Leffler fraction is applied. As we can see, in the system of this fractional operator the fraction of Mittag-Leffler is used, as this would make the definition have both nonsingular and nonlocal kernel properties, and \( E_{\tau_1} \) denotes the one-parameter Mittag-Leffler function expressed in terms of power series:

\[
u(z) = E_{\tau_1}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(\tau_1 j + 1)}, \quad \tau_1 > 0.
\]

The Mittag-Leffler function in two parameters has the following form:

\[
u_{\tau_1, \tau_2}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(\tau_1 j + \tau_2)}, \quad \tau_1 > 0,
\]

where \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) are arbitrary complex numbers. When \( \tau_1 > 0 \) and \( \tau_2 = 1 \), \( E_{\tau_1,1}(z) = E_{\tau_1,1}(z) \).

### 3 Mathematical model

The mathematical exemplary of brushless DC motor (BLDCM) [13, 18] with no loading conditions is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
D_t u_d(t) &= -\sigma u_d + u_q u_a, \\
D_t u_q(t) &= -u_q + \beta u_a - u_d u_a, \\
D_t u_a(t) &= \gamma u_q - \gamma u_a.
\end{align*}
\]

The discrete axis current is denoted by \( u_d \), whereas that quadrant axis current by \( u_q \), and the angular velocity of the motor is denoted by \( u_a \). Note that \( D_t = \frac{d}{dt} \). Here the parameters \( \sigma, \beta, \) and \( \gamma \) are calculated by the brushless DC motor type, and these are positive in nature. It was demonstrated that the structure (8) is chaotic when the parameters are

\[
\sigma = 0.875, \quad \beta = 55, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = 4.
\]

For the numerical simulation of the chaotic framework (8), we have taken (9) and the initial conditions as \( u_d(0) = 10, u_q(0) = 10, \) and \( u_a(0) = 10 \).

The FBLDCM system in Liouville–Caputo sense is

\[
\begin{align*}
^{LC}_0 D_t^\tau u_d(t) &= -\sigma u_d + u_q u_a, \\
^{LC}_0 D_t^\tau u_q(t) &= -u_q + \beta u_a - u_d u_a, \\
^{LC}_0 D_t^\tau u_a(t) &= \gamma u_q - \gamma u_a.
\end{align*}
\]
and, in the ABC sense, it is
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{ABC}_0^\tau D_t^\tau u_d(t) &= -\sigma u_d + u_q u_a, \\
\mathcal{ABC}_0^\tau D_t^\tau u_q(t) &= -u_q + \beta u_a - u_d u_a, \\
\mathcal{ABC}_0^\tau D_t^\tau u_a(t) &= \gamma u_q - \gamma u_a,
\end{align*}
\] (11)

where \(0 < \tau \leq 1\) is the noninteger order.

### 4 Chaotic system properties

In this segment, we dissect the chaotic framework (10) and detail its essential properties similar to dissipativity, equilibria, Lyapunov exponents, and Kaplan–Yorke dimension.

#### 4.1 Dissipativity

In vector notation, we may communicate the framework (10) as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{LC}_0^\tau D_t^\tau u_d(t) &= f_1(u_d, u_q, u_a), \\
\mathcal{LC}_0^\tau D_t^\tau u_q(t) &= f_2(u_d, u_q, u_a), \\
\mathcal{LC}_0^\tau D_t^\tau u_a(t) &= f_3(u_d, u_q, u_a),
\end{align*}
\] (12)

where
\[
\begin{align*}
f_1(u_d, u_q, u_a) &= -\sigma u_d + u_q u_a, \\
f_2(u_d, u_q, u_a) &= -u_q + \beta u_a - u_d u_a, \\
f_3(u_d, u_q, u_a) &= \gamma u_q - \gamma u_a.
\end{align*}
\] (13)

Let \(\Omega\) be any set in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) with smooth boundary, and, moreover, let \(\Omega(t) = \Phi_t(\Omega)\), where \(\Phi_t\) is the flow of \(f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)\).

Besides, let \(V(t)\) denote the volume of \(\Omega(t)\). Then by Liouville’s theorem we have
\[
D' = \int_{\Omega(t)} \langle \nabla f \rangle du_d du_q du_a. 
\] (14)

It is easy to see the divergence of the chaotic structure (10) as
\[
\nabla f = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial u_d} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial u_q} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial u_a} = -\sigma - 1 - \gamma = -\delta < 0,
\] (15)

where
\[
\sigma + 1 + \gamma = \delta > 0,
\] (16)

as \(\sigma\) and \(\gamma\) are positive parameters. So the structure is dissipative. Substituting (15) into (14), we obtain
\[
D' = -\delta V(t).
\] (17)

To get the solution of (17), we need the following lemma.
Lemma 1 ([56]) Let \( u(t) \) be a continuous function on \([t_0, \infty)\), Suppose that

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} g(t) \leq -\lambda g(t), \quad g(t_0) = g_0,
\]

where \( 0 < \chi < 1, (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \lambda \neq 0, \) and \( t_0 \geq 0 \) is the initial time. Then its elucidation has the arrangement

\[
g(t) \leq \left( g_0 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right) E_{\chi}[-\lambda(t - t_0)] + \frac{\mu}{\lambda},
\]

where \( E_{\chi}[z] \) is the Mittag-Leffler function with parameter \( \chi \).

According to this lemma, we can say that the structure (10) is chaotic. Therefore the structure limit sets are eventually restricted into a specific limit set of zero volume, and the asymptotic motion of the chaotic structure (10) settles down onto an eccentric attractor of the framework.

5 Equilibriumpoints

The steadiness points of the chaotic structure (10) are achieved by deciphering the following system of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
-\sigma u_d + u_q u_a &= 0, \\
-u_q + \beta u_a - u_d u_a &= 0, \\
\gamma u_q - \gamma u_a &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

We obtain three equilibrium points of systems (10) and (11):

\[
\begin{align*}
E_0 &= (0, 0, 0), \\
E_1 &= (\beta - 1, \sqrt{\sigma (\beta - 1)}, \sqrt{\sigma (\beta - 1)}), \\
E_2 &= (\beta - 1, -\sqrt{\sigma (\beta - 1)}, -\sqrt{\sigma (\beta - 1)}).
\end{align*}
\]

The Jacobian of systems (10) and (11) at \( u^* \) is given by

\[
J(u^*) = \begin{pmatrix}
-\sigma & u_d^* & u_a^* \\
-u_d^* & -1 & \beta - u_d^* \\
0 & \gamma & -\gamma
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The Jacobian matrix at \( E_0 \) is obtained as follows:

\[
J(E_0) = \begin{pmatrix}
-\sigma & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & \beta \\
0 & \gamma & -\gamma
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
(\lambda + \sigma)(\lambda^2 + p_1 \lambda + p_2) = 0,
\]

where \( p_1 = 1 + \gamma, \) \( p_2 = \gamma - \beta \gamma \).
So the three eigenvalues are

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_1 &= -\sigma, \\
\lambda_2 &= -\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4\beta\gamma + (\gamma - 1)^2}, \\
\lambda_2 &= -\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4\beta\gamma + (\gamma - 1)^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

By the Routh–Hurwitz criteria the first root is \( \lambda_1 = -\sigma \), whereas the other two can be obtained from \( \lambda^2 + p_1 \lambda + p_2 = 0 \). Since the equation is quadratic in nature, for stability, the Routh–Hurwitz norms show that all the coefficients of the quadratic structure should be nonnegative. If \( p_2 > 0 \), then the threshold parameter \( R_0 \) is less than 1. So

\[ \gamma - \beta \gamma > 0, \quad 1 > \beta \quad \implies \quad R_0 = \beta < 1. \]

Since all the parameters are nonnegative and all the terms in \( p_1 \) are positive, we have \( p_1 > 0 \). Then the Routh–Hurwitz norms ensure that \( E_0 \) is locally asymptotically stable if \( \beta < 1 \).

The Jacobian matrix at \( E_1 \) is

\[
J(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix}
-\sigma & \sqrt{\sigma(\beta - 1)} & \sqrt{\sigma(\beta - 1)} \\
-\sqrt{\sigma(\beta - 1)} & -1 & \beta - \beta + 1 \\
0 & \gamma & -\gamma
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (22)

**Definition 1** ([57]) The discriminant of a polynomial \( R(\lambda) = \lambda^3 + c_1 \lambda^2 + c_2 \lambda + c_3 \) is defined as

\[
D(p) = 18c_1c_2c_3 + (c_1c_2)^2 - 4c_3(c_1)^2 - 4(c_2)^3 - 27(c_3)^2.
\] (23)

The auxiliary equation of structure (14) about \( E_1 \) is

\[
\lambda^3 + c_1 \lambda^2 + c_2 \lambda + c_3 = 0,
\] (24)

where

\[
c_1 = \gamma + \sigma + 1, \quad c_2 = \sigma \gamma + \sigma \beta, \quad c_3 = 2 \sigma \gamma (\beta - 1).
\] (25)

**Theorem 1** For \( R_0 > 1 \) in structure (3), the equilibrium point \( E_1 \) is asymptotically stable if

\[
D(p) > 0, \quad c_1c_2 > c_3, \quad \gamma \in (0, 1],
\] (26)

or

\[
D(p) < 0, \quad \gamma \in \left(0, \frac{2}{3}\right],
\] (27)

where \( D(p), c_1, c_2, \) and \( c_3 \) are defined in (23) and (25).

**Proof** For \( D(p) > 0, c_1c_2 > c_3 \); then \( c_1 > 0 \) and \( c_3 > 0 \), via the Routh–Hurwitz norms. Then \( |\arg(\lambda)| > \frac{\pi}{2} \), and the under observed system will be locally asymptotically stable about
It is clear from that $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 > 0$, and $c_1 c_2 > c_3$. Then the states for stability of the noninteger order framework are satisfied [24], and so $E_1$ is locally asymptotically stable.

The Jacobian matrix at $E_2$ is

$$
J(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix}
-\sigma & -\sqrt{\sigma(\beta - 1)} & -\sqrt{\sigma(\beta - 1)} \\
\sqrt{\sigma(\beta - 1)} & -1 & \beta - \beta + 1 \\
0 & \gamma & -\gamma
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(28)

The characteristic equation of (28) is given by (24) and (25). So $E_1$ and $E_2$ are stable when $R_0 > 1$.

6 Lyapunov exponents and Kaplan–Yorke dimension

For the selected values (9), the Lyapunov exponents of the framework (8) are obtained via Matlab as

$$
L_1 = 0.711625, \quad L_2 = -0.000227, \quad L_3 = -6.586898.
$$

(29)

Since the spectrum of Lyapunov exponents (29) has a positive term $L_1$, it follows that the 3D system (8) is chaotic. The maximal Lyapunov exponent (MLE) of the framework (8) is $L_1 = 0.711625$. We accomplish that our 3D structure (8) is a highly chaotic framework. It can be observed from equation (9) that the totality of the Lyapunov exponents is not positive. This shows that structure (8) is dissipative. Moreover, the Kaplan–Yorke dimension of (8) is deliberated as

$$
D_{KY} = 2 + \frac{L_1 + L_1}{|L_3|} = 2.1080,
$$

which is fractional. See Fig. 1.

7 Brushless DC motor model using Liouville–Caputo noninteger derivative

Here the approximated result of the problem is calculated using the iterative process. This approach uses the Laplace transform and its inverse.

The Liouville–Caputo noninteger-order brushless DC motor exemplary is defined in equation (10). The model initial conditions are

$$
u_{d,0} = u_d(0), \quad u_{q,0} = u_q(0), \quad u_{a,0} = u_a(0).
$$

(30)
After applying the Laplace transform to all sides of the Liouville–Caputo derivative specified by Eq. (3), we have [20]

\[ L^{\mathbb{C}} \left[ \mathbb{D}_t^\alpha \left( U(t) \right) \right] (p) = p^\alpha U(p) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p^{\alpha-k-1} U^{(k)}(0), \tag{31} \]

The following iterative scheme is obtained by applying the Laplace transform to Eq. (31) and then applying the inverse Laplace transform to all sides of (10):

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  u_{d,n}(t) - u_d(0) &= L^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{p^\alpha} L(-\sigma u_d(t) + u_q(t) u_a(t))(p) \right)(t), \\
  u_{q,n}(t) - u_q(0) &= L^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{p^\alpha} L(-u_q(t) + \beta u_a(t) - u_d(t) u_a(t))(p) \right)(t), \\
  u_{a,n}(t) - u_a(0) &= L^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{p^\alpha} L(\gamma u_q(t) - \gamma u_a(t))(p) \right)(t)
\end{cases}
\tag{32}
\]

with initial conditions (30).

The approximate solution is considered in the limit as \( n \) tends to infinity:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_d &= \lim_{n \to \infty} u_{d,n}, \quad u_q = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_{q,n} \quad \text{and} \quad u_a = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_{a,n}. \tag{33}
\end{align*}
\]

8 Stability study of equation (10)

Assume that there are three affirmative number \( A, B, \) and \( C \) such that for all \( 0 \leq t \leq T \leq \infty, \| u_d(t) \| < A, \| u_q(t) \| < B, \) and \( \| u_a(t) \| < C. \) Now we define

\[ Z = \left\{ \xi : (a, b)(0, T) \rightarrow Z, \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int (t-\eta)^{\alpha-1} \eta(\eta) d\eta < \infty \right\}. \tag{34} \]

Now let us define the operator

\[ \Theta(u_d, u_q, u_a) = \begin{cases} 
-\sigma u_d + u_q u_a, \\
-\beta u_a + u_d u_a, \\
\gamma u_q - \gamma u_a.
\end{cases} \tag{35} \]

Then

\[ \Theta(u_d, u_q, u_a) - \Theta(u_{d,1}, u_{q,1}, u_{a,1}) = \begin{cases} 
-\sigma (u_d - u_{d,1}) + (u_q - u_{q,1})(u_a - u_{a,1}), \\
-(u_q - u_{q,1}) + \beta(u_a - u_{a,1}) - (u_d - u_{d,1})(u_a - u_{a,1}), \\
\gamma (u_q - u_{q,1}) - \gamma (u_a - u_{a,1}),
\end{cases} \]

where

\[ u_d \neq u_{d,n}, \quad u_q \neq u_{q,n}, \quad \text{and} \quad u_a \neq u_{a,n}. \tag{36} \]
Now by the properties of the norm and absolute value we get

\[
\left\{ \Theta(u_d, u_q, u_\alpha) - \Theta(u_{d,1}, u_{q,1}, u_{\alpha,1}), (u_d - u_{d,1}, u_q - u_{q,1}, u_\alpha - u_{\alpha,1}) \right\}
\]

\[
< \left\{ -\sigma \left( \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right) + \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right\} \parallel u_d - u_{d,1} \parallel^2,
\]

\[
< \left\{ -\left( \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right) + \beta \left( \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right) - \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right\} \parallel u_q - u_{q,1} \parallel^2,
\]

\[
< \left\{ \gamma \left( \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right) - \gamma \left( \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right) \right\} \parallel u_\alpha - u_{\alpha,1} \parallel^2,
\]

where

\[
\left\{ \Theta(u_d, u_q, u_\alpha) - \Theta(u_{d,1}, u_{q,1}, u_{\alpha,1}), (u_d - u_{d,1}, u_q - u_{q,1}, u_\alpha - u_{\alpha,1}) \right\}
\]

\[
< \left\{ A \parallel u_d - u_{d,1} \parallel^2,
\right.
\]

\[
< \left. B \parallel u_q - u_{q,1} \parallel^2,
\right.
\]

\[
< \left. C \parallel u_\alpha - u_{\alpha,1} \parallel^2 \right\}
\]

with

\[
A = \left\{ -\sigma \left( \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right) + \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right\},
\]

\[
B = \left\{ -\left( \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right) + \beta \left( \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right) - \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right\},
\]

\[
C = \left\{ \gamma \left( \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right) - \gamma \left( \frac{|u_d - u_{d,1}|}{|u_d - u_{d,1}|^2} \right) \right\}.
\]

In view of a given nonzero vector \((u_d, u_q, u_\alpha)\), by a similar routine as before we get

\[
\left\{ \Theta(u_d, u_q, u_\alpha) - \Theta(u_{d,1}, u_{q,1}, u_{\alpha,1}), (u_d - u_{d,1}, u_q - u_{q,1}, u_\alpha - u_{\alpha,1}) \right\}
\]

\[
< \left\{ A \parallel u_d - u_{d,1} \parallel \parallel u_d \parallel,
\right.
\]

\[
< \left. B \parallel u_q - u_{q,1} \parallel \parallel u_q \parallel,
\right.
\]

\[
< \left. C \parallel u_\alpha - u_{\alpha,1} \parallel \parallel u_\alpha \parallel \right\}.
\]

The iterative scheme stability can be observed by considering equations (38) and (40).

9 Uniqueness and existence

Let \( \Upsilon \) be bounded closed convex subset of a Banach space \( F \). Let \( \mu : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon \) be a condensing map, where \( F \) has a fixed point in \( \Upsilon \). We are interested in the IVP (initial value problem) on the cylinder \( \delta = (t, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times F : t \in [0, T], x \in \Upsilon(t, 0, \Omega) \) for some fixed \( T > 0 \) and \( \Omega > 0 \) and suppose that there exist \( \delta \in (0, \xi), u_d, u_q, u_\alpha, L_1 \in L_1((0, T], \mathbb{R}^2), \) and the functions \( u_{d,0}, u_{q,0}, u_{\alpha,0} \in C (R, F) \cap L_1^{\text{loc}} (R, F) \) such that \( u_{d,0} + u_{d,1} = u_d, u_{q,0} + u_{q,1} = u_q, \) and \( u_{\alpha,0} + u_{\alpha,1} = u_\alpha \) and the following conditions are satisfied:

1. \( u_{d,0}, u_{q,0}, \) and \( u_{\alpha,0} \) are bounded and Lipschitz.
2. \( u_{d,1}, u_{q,1}, \) and \( u_{\alpha,1} \) are compact and bounded.
3. \( |R(t, n) - R(t, z)| \leq L_1(t)|n - z| \) for all \((t, n), (t, z) \in R)\.
Applying the Riemann–Liouville integral [58] to all sides of equation (10), we get the following system of integral equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_d(t) &= u_d(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{d,0}(\zeta, u_d(\zeta)) \, d\zeta \\
    &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{d,1}(\zeta, u_d(\zeta)) \, d\zeta, \\
    u_q(t) &= u_q(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{q,0}(\zeta, u_q(\zeta)) \, d\zeta \\
    &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{q,1}(\zeta, u_q(\zeta)) \, d\zeta, \\
    u_a(t) &= u_a(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{a,0}(\zeta, u_a(\zeta)) \, d\zeta \\
    &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{a,1}(\zeta, u_a(\zeta)) \, d\zeta.
\end{align*}
\]

(41)

**Theorem 2** Based on Hypotheses 1 and 2, the IVP has at least one elucidation in the interval \([0,T]\) according to the condition

\[
    K = \frac{\nu \|L\|_{1/V} T^M}{\Gamma(t)} < 1,
\]

where \( M = \zeta - \nabla \) and \( \Upsilon = \left( \frac{1}{\zeta - \nabla} \right)^{1-V} \).

**Proof** Considering \( X \) such that \( \alpha(0) + 1/(\Gamma(t))\nu(\|Z_1\|_{1/V} + \|Z_2\|_{1/V}) T^M \leq X \) and suppose that \( \Upsilon_e = n : \|n\| \leq X \), the closed ball in the Banach space \([0, T, F]\) with sup \( \| \cdot \| \).

Now, we consider \( n : \Upsilon_X \rightarrow \text{a Banach space } [0, T, F], n \rightarrow n(u_{d,0} + u_{d,1}) \) along with

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_{d,0}(t) &= u_{d,0}(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{d,0}(\zeta, n(\zeta)) \, d\zeta, \\
    u_{d,1}(t) &= u_{d,1}(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{d,1}(\zeta, n(\zeta)) \, d\zeta, \\
    u_{q,0}(t) &= u_{q,0}(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{q,0}(\zeta, n(\zeta)) \, d\zeta, \\
    u_{q,1}(t) &= u_{q,1}(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{q,1}(\zeta, n(\zeta)) \, d\zeta, \\
    u_{a,0}(t) &= u_{a,0}(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{a,0}(\zeta, n(\zeta)) \, d\zeta, \\
    u_{a,1}(t) &= u_{a,1}(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{a,1}(\zeta, n(\zeta)) \, d\zeta.
\end{align*}
\]

(43)

Now we proved that \( u_d, u_q, \) and \( u_a \) are condensing, and we can demonstrate the presence of a fixed point of \( u_d, u_q, \) and \( u_a \).

1. We need to prove that \( u_d(\Upsilon_e) \subset \Upsilon_e \). From \( n \in \Upsilon_e \) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    \|u_d\| &\leq |u_d(0)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{d,0}(\zeta, n(\zeta)) \, d\zeta \\
    &\leq |u_d(0)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{d,0}(\zeta, n(\zeta)) \, d\zeta + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{t-1} u_{d,1}(\zeta, n(\zeta)) \, d\zeta \\
    &\leq |u_d(0)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \left[ f_0(t-\zeta)^{t-1} \, d\zeta \right] \left[ G_1^{1/V} \right] \left[ \zeta \right] \left[ u_a(\zeta) \right] \left[ d\zeta \right] \\
    &\leq |u_d(0)| + \frac{\nu_1(\|G_1\|_{1/V} + \|G_2\|_{1/V}) T^M}{\Gamma(t)} \leq X_1.
\end{align*}
\]

(44)

Similarly, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    \|u_q\| &\leq |u_q(0)| + \frac{\nu_2(\|G_1\|_{1/V} + \|G_2\|_{1/V}) T^M}{\Gamma(t)} \leq X_2, \\
    \|u_a\| &\leq |u_a(0)| + \frac{\nu_3(\|G_1\|_{1/V} + \|G_2\|_{1/V}) T^M}{\Gamma(t)} \leq X_3,
\end{align*}
\]

(45)

and therefore \( u_d(\Upsilon_e), u_q(\Upsilon_e), u_a(\Upsilon_e) \subset \Upsilon_e \).
2. We need to prove that \( u_{d,0}, u_{q,0}, \) and \( u_{a,0} \) are contractions. For \( n, z \in \mathcal{Y}_e \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left\| u_{d,0}(t) - u_{d,1}(t) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{t-1} L(z) d\zeta \\
&\left\| u_{q,0}(t) - u_{q,1}(t) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{t-1} L(z) d\zeta \\
&\left\| u_{a,0}(t) - u_{a,1}(t) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{t-1} L(z) d\zeta
\end{align*}
\]

(46)

where

\[
\Phi_i = \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} v_i \|L\|_{1/V} T^{M_i} < 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3.
\]

The overheat equation proves that \( u_{d,0}, u_{q,0}, \) and \( u_{a,0} \) are contractions such that

\[
\left\| u_{d,0}(n) - u_{d,1}(n) \right\| \leq \Phi_1 \|n - z\|, \quad \left\| u_{q,0}(n) - u_{q,1}(n) \right\| \leq \Phi_2 \|n - z\|, \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| u_{a,0}(n) - u_{a,1}(n) \right\| \leq \Phi_3 \|n - z\|
\]

3. We need to prove that \( u_{d,1}, u_{q,1}, \) and \( u_{a,1} \) are compact. For \( 0 \leq l_1 \leq l_2 \leq T \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left\| u_{d,1}(l_1) - u_{d,1}(l_2) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^{l_1} (l_1 - \zeta)^{t-1} u_{d,1}(\zeta - n(\zeta) d\zeta - \int_0^{l_2} (l_1 - \zeta)^{t-1} u_{d,1}(\zeta - n(\zeta) d\zeta) \\
&\left\| u_{q,1}(l_1) - u_{q,1}(l_2) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^{l_1} (l_1 - \zeta)^{t-1} F_{1/V}(\zeta) d\zeta + \int_0^{l_2} (l_2 - \zeta)^{t-1} F_{1/V}(\zeta) d\zeta \\
&\left\| u_{a,1}(l_1) - u_{a,1}(l_2) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^{l_1} (l_1 - \zeta)^{t-1} \frac{T^{1/V}}{1/V} F_{1/V}(\zeta) d\zeta
\end{align*}
\]

(48)

Following the same procedure, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left\| u_{q,1}(l_1) - u_{q,1}(l_2) \right\| \leq \frac{2^{t/V} \|F_{1/V}\|_1}{\Gamma(t)} (l_2 - l_1)^{t-V}, \\
&\left\| u_{a,1}(l_1) - u_{a,1}(l_2) \right\| \leq \frac{2^{t/V} \|F_{1/V}\|_1}{\Gamma(t)} (l_2 - l_1)^{t-V}
\end{align*}
\]

(49)

By the Arzelà–Ascoli principle \[59\] we infer that \( u(d, 1)(\mathcal{Y}_e), u(q, 1)(\mathcal{Y}_e), \) and \( u(a, 1)(\mathcal{Y}_e) \) are relatively compact, which infers that \( u_{d,1}, u_{q,1}, \) and \( u_{a,1} \) are compact.

Then \( u_{d,1}, u_{q,1}, \) and \( u_{a,1} \) are compact, and \( u_{d,0}, u_{q,0}, \) and \( u_{a,0} \) are contractions and hence completely continuous \[60\], so the maps \( u_{d,0} + u_{d,1} = u_d, u_{q,0} + u_{q,1} = u_q, \) and \( u_{a,0} + u_{a,1} = u_a \) are condensing on \( \mathcal{Y}_e \), and thus we have the existence of fixed points of \( u_d, u_q, \) and \( u_a \).

4. We want to verify that the assumed IVP has the elucidation on the real interval \([0, T]\).

For this, we are interested in Hypothesis 3, condition (47), and the map \( W \) specified by

\[
\begin{align*}
&W[u_d(t)] = u_d(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{t-1} u_d(\zeta, u_d(\zeta)), \\
&W[u_q(t)] = u_q(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{t-1} u_q(\zeta, u_q(\zeta)), \\
&W[u_a(t)] = u_a(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{t-1} u_a(\zeta, u_a(\zeta)).
\end{align*}
\]

(50)
For $u_{d,0}, u_{d,1}, u_{q,0}, u_{q,1}, u_{a,0}, u_{a,1} \in \Upsilon$, we get
\[
\begin{align*}
\left| W[u_{d,0}(t)] - W[u_{d,1}(t)] \right| & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tau)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1} |u_{d,0}(\zeta) - u_{d,1}(\zeta)| \, d\zeta, \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tau)} \left( \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1} \, d\zeta \right)^{1-\nu} \left( \int_0^t \frac{u_{d,0}(\zeta) - u_{d,1}(\zeta)}{\Gamma(\tau)} \, d\zeta \right)^{\nu}, \\
\left| W[u_{d,0}(t)] - W[u_{d,1}(t)] \right| & \leq \frac{\tau^{\nu} \nu |L_1|^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\tau)} |u_{d,0} - u_{d,1}|.
\end{align*}
\]
Following the same procedure, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\left| W[u_{q,0}(t)] - W[u_{q,1}(t)] \right| & \leq \frac{\tau^{\nu} \nu |L_2|^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\tau)} |u_{q,0} - u_{q,1}|, \\
\left| W[u_{a,0}(t)] - W[u_{a,1}(t)] \right| & \leq \frac{\tau^{\nu} \nu |L_3|^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\tau)} |u_{a,0} - u_{a,1}|.
\end{align*}
\]
In the above cases, condition (47) is ensured. Thus the existence of the particular elucidation for the exemplary is verified. $\square$

10 The proposed numerical technique for equation (10)

Here we take into account an important numerical arrangement, which is based on the special rule, called PI rule [61], for the solution of the Liouville–Caputo noninteger model (10).

Let us consider the Liouville–Caputo noninteger initial value problem
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_t^\nu U(t) &= H(t, U(t)), \\
U(t_0) &= U_0,
\end{align*}
\]
along with the initial condition $U(t_0) = U_0$, where $H(t, U(t))$ is continuous.

Applying the integral operator (6) to all sides of equation (52) and utilizing the definition of the noninteger LC integral, we have the integral equation
\[
U(t) - U(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tau)} \int_0^t H(\xi, U(\xi)) \, d\xi,
\]
which is a Volterra integral equation obtained by an integral operator applied to equation (52) utilizing the definition of the Caputo noninteger integral.

Taking $t = t_n = nh$ in (53), where $h$ is the step size, we get
\[
U(t_n) - U(t_0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tau)} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} t_{i+1} (t_n - \zeta)^{\tau-1} H(\zeta, U(\zeta)) \, d\zeta.
\]
Now we can estimate the function $H(\zeta, U(\zeta))$ with the help of the first-order Lagrange interpolation:
\[
H(\zeta, U(\zeta)) \approx H(t_{i+1}, U_{i+1}) + \frac{\zeta - t_{i+1}}{h} (H(t_{i+1}, U_{i+1}) - H(t_i, U_i)), \quad \zeta \in [t_i, t_{i+1}].
\]

The following Liouville–Caputo product-integration (LC-PI) formula is obtained by substituting (55) into (54) along with certain algebraic manipulations [62]:
\[
U_n = U_0 + h^\tau \left( \sum_{i=0}^n H(t_i, U_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_{n-i} H(t_i, U_i) \right), \quad n \geq 1,
\]
where

\[
\begin{align*}
\Pi_n &= \frac{(n-1)!n^\tau(n-\tau-1)}{\Gamma(\tau+2)}, \\
\Xi_j &= \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\tau+2)} 
\frac{1}{(j-1)^\tau+1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tau+2)} 
- \frac{n\tau}{\Gamma(\tau+2)}, & j = 0, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\tau+2)} 
\frac{1}{(j-1)^\tau+1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tau+2)} 
\frac{(j+1)}{\Gamma(\tau+2)}, & j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

We use the well-known Newton–Raphson iterative method to evaluate $U_n$ in equation (56). During the process, discrete convolutions are tested by considering the algorithm of the fast Fourier transform. One of the returns of this technique is the low computing cost, which is directly proportional to $O(N \log^2 N)$ subject to $O(N^2)$ as in some other prevalent discretization. The core concept was suggested in [63] and included in some recent papers [64–66].

11 Numerical implementations for LC-PI method on equation (10)

This section is devoted to numerical imitations for the time-fractional brushless DC motor (10) in the Liouville–Caputo sense. Let us consider the numerical arrangements (56) and (57) to system (10):

\[
\begin{align*}
\Pi_n &= u_{d,n} = u_{d,0} + h^\tau (\Pi_n(-\sigma u_{d,0} + u_{q,0} u_{a,0}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Xi_{n-i}(-\sigma u_{d,i} + u_{q,i} u_{a,i})), \\
\Pi_n &= u_{q,n} = u_{q,0} + h^\tau (\Pi_n(-u_{q,0} + \beta u_{a,0} - u_{d,0} u_{a,0}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \Xi_{n-i}(-u_{q,i} + \beta u_{a,i} - u_{d,i} u_{a,i})), \\
\Pi_n &= u_{a,n} = u_{a,0} + h^\tau (\Pi_n(\gamma u_{q,0} - \gamma u_{a,0}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Xi_{n-i}(\gamma u_{q,i} - \gamma u_{a,i})).
\end{align*}
\]

**Example 1** Taking the iterative arrangement (58), we consider the following values of the parameters [13, 18]: $\sigma = 0.875$, $\beta = 55$, and $\gamma = 4$ with initial conditions $u_d(0) = 10$, $u_q(0) = 10$, and $u_a(0) = 10$. See Fig. 2.

**Example 2** Taking the iterative arrangement (58), we consider the following values of the parameters: $\sigma = 0.875$, $\beta = 25$, and $\gamma = 42$ with initial conditions $u_d(0) = 20$, $u_q(0) = 20$, and $u_a(0) = 20$. See Fig. 3.

**Example 3** Taking the iterative arrangement (58), we consider the following values of the parameters: $\sigma = 1.25$, $\beta = 25$, and $\gamma = 42$ with initial conditions $u_d(0) = 12$, $u_q(0) = 4$, and $u_a(0) = 3$. See Fig. 4.

**Example 4** Taking the iterative arrangement (58), we consider the following values of the parameters: $\sigma = 0.875$, $\beta = 0.786$, and $\gamma = 4$ with the initial conditions $u_d(0) = 10$, $u_q(0) = 10$, and $u_a(0) = 10$. See Fig. 5.

12 Brushless DC motor model via AB–Caputo fractional derivative

The AB–Caputo fractional order brushless DC motor model is defined by equation (11). We will use it with the initial conditions given in (30).
12.1 Existence and uniqueness of elucidation of model (11) for the ABC-PI method

Using the noninteger integral operator of Atangana-Baleanu in equation (3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
    u_d(t) - u_d(0) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\eta_1)} (-\sigma u_d(t) + u_q(t)u_a(t)) \\
    &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\eta_1)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\eta_1-1} (-\sigma u_d(\zeta) + u_q(\zeta)u_a(\zeta)) d\zeta, \\
    u_q(t) - u_q(0) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\eta_2)} (-u_q(t) - u_d(t)u_a(t) + \beta u_a(t)) \\
    &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\eta_2)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\eta_2-1} (-u_q(\zeta) - u_d(\zeta)u_a(\zeta) + \beta u_a(\zeta)) d\zeta, \\
    u_a(t) - u_a(0) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\eta_3)} (-\gamma u_a(t) + \gamma u_q(t)) \\
    &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\eta_3)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\eta_3-1} (-\gamma u_a(\zeta) + \gamma u_q(\zeta)) d\zeta.
\end{align*}
$$

Let the kernels of system (59) be defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
    K_1 &= -\sigma u_d(t) + u_q(t)u_a(t), \\
    K_2 &= -u_q(t) - u_d(t)u_a(t) + \beta u_a(t), \\
    K_3 &= -\gamma u_a(t) + \gamma u_q(t).
\end{align*}
$$

First of all, we show that the kernels $K_1$, $K_2$, and $K_3$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition.

**Theorem 3** The kernels given in equation (60) satisfy the Lipschitz condition and contraction for $0 \leq \eta_i < 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. 
Figure 3 Simulations of Example 2 for time-fractional brushless DC motor (10) in the Liouville–Caputo sense

Proof Consider the first equation from (60) and let \( u_d \) and \( u_{d,1} \) be two functions. Then

\[
\|K_1(t, u_d) - K_1(t, u_{d,1})\| = \|(u_q u_a - \sigma u_d) - (u_q u_a - \sigma u_{d,1})\|
\]
\[
= \|\sigma u_{d,1} - \sigma u_d\|
\]
\[
\leq \sigma \|u_{d,1} - u_d\| = \eta_1 \|u_{d,1} - u_d\|
\]

where \( \eta_1 = \sigma \), that is,

\[
\|K_1(t, u_d) - K_1(t, u_{d,1})\| \leq \eta_1 \|u_{d,1} - u_d\|,
\]

which shows that the Lipschitz condition holds for \( K_1 \). Besides, if \( 0 \leq \eta_1 < 1 \), then it also a contraction for \( K_1 \). Similarly, we obtain

\[
\|K_2(t, u_q) - K_2(t, u_{q,1})\| \leq \eta_2 \|u_{q,1} - u_q\|
\]

\[
\|K_3(t, u_a) - K_3(t, u_{a,1})\| \leq \eta_3 \|u_{a,1} - u_a\|.
\]

Now let \( l = K(m) \times m \), and let \( K(m) \) be a Banach space of real-valued functions \( R \to R \) on \( m \) with the norm \( \|u_d, u_q, u_a\| = \|u_d\| + \|u_q\| + \|u_a\| \), where \( \|u_d\| = \sup |u_d(t)| : t \in m \), \( \|u_q\| = \sup |u_q(t)| : t \in m \), and \( \|u_a\| = \sup |u_a(t)| : t \in m \). Equation (11) can be written in
the Volterra-type integral form as follows:

\[
\begin{aligned}
&u_d(t) - u_d(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)}(-\sigma u_d(t) + u_q(t)u_a(t)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1}(-\sigma u_d(\zeta) + u_q(\zeta)u_a(\zeta)) \, d\zeta,
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
&u_q(t) - u_q(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)}(-u_q(t) - u_d(t)u_a(t) + \beta u_a(t)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1}(-\sigma u_d(-u_q(\zeta) - u_d(\zeta)u_a(\zeta) + \beta u_a(\zeta)) \, d\zeta,
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
&u_a(t) - u_a(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)}(-u_q(t) + \gamma u_q(t)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1}(-\gamma u_a(t) + \gamma u_q(\zeta)) \, d\zeta.
\end{aligned}
\]

Equation (63) can be written as

\[
\begin{aligned}
&u_d(t) - u_d(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} K_1(t, u_d) + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1} K_1(\zeta, u_d) \, d\zeta, \\
&u_q(t) - u_q(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} K_2(t, u_q) + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1} K_2(\zeta, u_q) \, d\zeta, \\
&u_a(t) - u_a(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} K_3(t, u_a) + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1} K_3(\zeta, u_a) \, d\zeta.
\end{aligned}
\]

Equation (64) takes the following form:

\[
\begin{aligned}
&u_{d,n}(t) - u_{d,0}(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} K_1(t, u_{d,n-1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1} K_1(\zeta, u_{d,n-1}) \, d\zeta, \\
&u_{q,n}(t) - u_{q,0}(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} K_2(t, u_{q,n-1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1} K_2(\zeta, u_{q,n-1}) \, d\zeta, \\
&u_{a,n}(t) - u_{a,0}(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} K_3(t, u_{a,n-1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma_1(t)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1} K_3(\zeta, u_{a,n-1}) \, d\zeta,
\end{aligned}
\]

where $u_d(0), u_q(0), \text{and } u_a(0) \geq 0$. 

\[\text{Figure 4} \quad \text{Simulations of Example 3 for time-fractional brushless DC motor (10) in the Liouville–Caputo sense}\]
Let the difference between successive components of system (65) be denoted by $W(n,i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then from system (65) and the kernel equations satisfying the Lipschitz condition we have

\[
\begin{aligned}
\| W_{n,1} \| &= \| u_{d,n}(t) - u_{d,n-1}(t) \| \\
&\leq \frac{(1-\tau_1)\eta_1}{Z(t)} \| u_{d,n-1}(t) - u_{d,n-2}(t) \| \\
&\quad + \frac{\tau_1 \eta_1}{Z(t)\Gamma(\tau_1)} \int_0^t (t-\xi)^{\tau_1-1} \| u_{d,n-1}(\xi) - u_{d,n-2}(\xi) \| \, d\xi, \\
\| W_{n,2} \| &= \| u_{q,n}(t) - u_{q,n-1}(t) \| \\
&\leq \frac{(1-\tau_2)\eta_2}{Z(t)} \| u_{q,n-1}(t) - u_{q,n-2}(t) \| \\
&\quad + \frac{\tau_2 \eta_2}{Z(t)\Gamma(\tau_2)} \int_0^t (t-\xi)^{\tau_2-1} \| u_{q,n-1}(\xi) - u_{q,n-2}(\xi) \| \, d\xi, \\
\| W_{n,3} \| &= \| u_{a,n}(t) - u_{a,n-1}(t) \| \\
&\leq \frac{(1-\tau_3)\eta_3}{Z(t)} \| u_{a,n-1}(t) - u_{a,n-2}(t) \| \\
&\quad + \frac{\tau_3 \eta_3}{Z(t)\Gamma(\tau_3)} \int_0^t (t-\xi)^{\tau_3-1} \| u_{a,n-1}(\xi) - u_{a,n-2}(\xi) \| \, d\xi
\end{aligned}
\]  

(66)

or

\[
\begin{aligned}
\| W_{n,1} \| &\leq \frac{(1-\tau_1)\eta_1}{Z(t)} \| W_{n-1,1}(t) \| + \frac{\tau_1 \eta_1}{Z(t)\Gamma(\tau_1)} \int_0^t (t-\xi)^{\tau_1-1} \| W_{n-1,1}(\xi) \| \, d\xi, \\
\| W_{n,2} \| &\leq \frac{(1-\tau_2)\eta_2}{Z(t)} \| W_{n-1,2}(t) \| + \frac{\tau_2 \eta_2}{Z(t)\Gamma(\tau_2)} \int_0^t (t-\xi)^{\tau_2-1} \| W_{n-1,2}(\xi) \| \, d\xi, \\
\| W_{n,3} \| &\leq \frac{(1-\tau_3)\eta_3}{Z(t)} \| W_{n-1,3}(t) \| + \frac{\tau_3 \eta_3}{Z(t)\Gamma(\tau_3)} \int_0^t (t-\xi)^{\tau_3-1} \| W_{n-1,3}(\xi) \| \, d\xi.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(67)

Using consequences (67), we can confirm the existence of the solution. □
Theorem 4 Model (11) has a unique solution if

\[
1 - \frac{\tau}{Z(\tau)} \eta_1 + \frac{t^{\eta_1}}{\Gamma(\tau)Z(\tau)} \eta_i < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad 0 < 1 - \frac{t^{\eta_1}}{\Gamma(\tau)Z(\tau)} \eta_i - \frac{1 - \tau}{Z(\tau)} \eta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.
\]

Proof For the first equation in (11), let \( u_d(t) \) and \( u_{d,1}(t) \) be two solutions. Then

\[
u_d(t) - u_{d,1}(t) = \frac{1 - \tau}{Z(\tau)} (K_1(t, u_d) - K_1(t, u_{d,1}))
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1 - \tau}{Z(\tau)} \| K_1(t, u_d) - K_1(t, u_{d,1}) \|
\]

\[
+ \frac{\tau}{\Gamma(\tau)Z(\tau)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1} (K_1(\zeta, u_d) - K_1(\zeta, u_{d,1})) d\zeta,
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1 - \tau}{Z(\tau)} \| u_d - u_{d,1} \| + \frac{\tau}{\Gamma(\tau)Z(\tau)} \| u_d(\zeta) - u_{d,1}(\zeta) \|.
\]

This implies

\[
\left( 1 - \frac{1 - \tau}{Z(\tau)} \eta_1 - \frac{t^{\eta_1}}{Z(\tau)\Gamma(\tau)} \right) \| u_d(\zeta) - u_{d,1}(\zeta) \| \leq 0,
\]

which implies that

\[
\| u_d(\zeta) - u_{d,1}(\zeta) \| = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_d(\zeta) = u_{d,1}(\zeta).
\]

Applying the same procedure to the remaining equations of (11), we obtain

\[
\| q_1(\zeta) - q_{1,1}(\zeta) \| = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad q_1(\zeta) = q_{1,1}(\zeta),
\]

\[
\| a_1(\zeta) - a_{1,1}(\zeta) \| = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_1(\zeta) = a_{1,1}(\zeta).
\]

Thus the uniqueness of the fractional-order model is verified. \( \square \)

13 The proposed numerical technique for Eq. (11)

This section is devoted to the numerical scheme, which is based on the rule [61], to solve the noninteger model (11). Let us consider ABC initial value problem of fractional (non-integer) order.

Let us consider the Liouville–Caputo noninteger initial value problem

\[
^\text{ABC}_0 D^\tau_x U(t) = H(t, U(t))
\]

(68)

with initial condition \( U(t_0) = U_0 \), where \( H(t, U(t)) \) is a continuous function. Applying the integral operator to all sides of equation (68) and the concept of ABC noninteger integral, we get the following Volterra integral equation:

\[
U(t) - U(0) = \frac{1 - \tau}{Z(\tau)} H(t, U(t)) + \frac{\tau}{\Gamma(\tau)Z(\tau)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\tau-1} H(\zeta, U(\zeta)) d\zeta.
\]

(69)

This section is devoted to the numerical scheme, which is based on the rule [61], to solve the noninteger model (11). Let us consider ABC initial value problem of fractional (non-integer) order.
Taking \( t = t_n = nh \) in (69), where \( h \) is the step size, we get

\[
U(t_n) - U(t_0) = \frac{1 - \tau}{Z(\tau)} H(t_n, U(t_n)) + \frac{\tau}{\Gamma(\tau)Z(\tau)} \sum_{\zeta = 0}^{n-1} \int_{t_0}^{t_n} t(t_n - \zeta)^{\tau-1} H(\zeta, U(\zeta)) \, d\zeta. \tag{70}
\]

Now we can approximate the function \( H(\zeta, U(\zeta)) \) with the help of the first-order Lagrange interpolation:

\[
H(\zeta, U(\zeta)) \approx H(t_{i+1}, U_{i+1}) + \frac{\zeta - t_{i+1}}{h} (H(t_{i+1}, U_{i+1}) - H(t_i, U_i)), \quad \zeta \in [t_i, t_{i+1}], \tag{71}
\]

where \( U_i = U(t_i) \). Replacing (71) in (70) with some algebraic manipulations, we get the following ABC product-integration (ABCPI) formula [67]:

\[
U_n = U_0 + \frac{\tau h^\tau}{Z(\tau)} \left( A_n H(t_0, U_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{n-i} H(t_i, U_i) \right), \quad n \geq 1, \tag{72}
\]

where

\[
A_n = \frac{(n-1)!^{(n-t+1)}}{\Gamma(n+1)},
\]

\[
B_j = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\Gamma(n+1)} + \frac{1 - \tau}{n^{(n-t+1)}}, & j = 0, \\
\left( \frac{(n-1)^{(j+1)} - 2 n (j+1)^{(j+1)}}{\Gamma(n+1)} \right), & j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1.
\end{cases} \tag{73}
\]

The convergence order for the ABCPI rule is \( \tau + 1 \), that is, the inaccuracy satisfies \(|U(t_n) - U_n| = O(h^{\tau+1})\) [40, 67–70]. Note that we use discrete convolutions during the run of this process, which are assessed by considering the algorithm of FFT. It has the benefit that the computational cost is proportional to \( O(N \log^2 N) \) subject to \( O(N^2) \) as in any other prevalent discretization algorithm (see [26] and references therein).

### 14 Numerical implementation for ABC-PI method on equation (11)

The following recursive formulas are obtained by applying the computational algorithm (72)–(73) to system (11):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} q(t) & = \frac{h^\tau}{\Gamma(n+1)} \left( A_n (-u_{d,0} + u_{q,0} u_{a,0}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{n-i} (-u_{q,i} + \beta u_{a,i} - u_{q,i} u_{a,0}) \right), \\
\frac{d}{dt} u(t) & = \frac{h^\tau}{\Gamma(n+1)} \left( A_n (-u_{q,0} + \beta u_{a,0} - u_{d,0} u_{a,0}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{n-i} (-u_{q,i} + \beta u_{a,i} - u_{d,i} u_{a,0}) \right), \\
\frac{d}{dt} u_{a}(t) & = \frac{h^\tau}{\Gamma(n+1)} \left( A_n (\gamma u_{q,0} - \gamma u_{a,0}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{n-i} (\gamma u_{q,i} - \gamma u_{a,i}) \right). \tag{74}
\end{align*}
\]

**Example 5** Taking the iterative arrangement (74), we consider the following values of the parameters [13, 18]: \( \sigma = 0.875, \beta = 55, \) and \( \gamma = 4 \) with initial conditions \( u_d(0) = 10, u_q(0) = 10, \) and \( u_a(0) = 10. \) See Fig. 6.

**Example 6** Taking the iterative arrangement (74), we consider the following values of the parameters: \( \sigma = 0.875, \beta = 25, \) and \( \gamma = 42 \) with initial conditions \( u_d(0) = 20, u_q(0) = 20, \) and \( u_a(0) = 20. \) See Fig. 7.
Figure 6 Simulations of Example 5 for time-fractional brushless DC motor (11) in the A–B–Caputo sense.

Figure 7 Simulations of Example 6 for time-fractional brushless DC motor (11) in the A–B–Caputo sense.
Example 7 Taking the iterative arrangement (74), we consider the following values of the parameters: $\sigma = 1.25$, $\beta = 25$, and $\gamma = 42$ with initial conditions $u_d(0) = 12$, $u_q(0) = 4$, and $u_a(0) = 3$. See Fig. 8.

Example 8 Taking the iterative arrangement (74), we consider the following values of the parameters: $\sigma = 0.875$, $\beta = 0.786$, and $\gamma = 4$ with initial conditions $u_d(0) = 10$, $u_q(0) = 10$, and $u_a(0) = 10$. See Fig. 9.

15 Discussion and outcomes
In Example 1 or 5 of LC-PI and ABCPI, the parameters used are $\sigma = 0.875$, $\beta = 55$, and $\gamma = 4$. The simulations of both numerical techniques are shown in Figs. 2(a–g) and 6(a–g). The system under observation converges to two equilibrium points $E_1$ and $E_2$ for different values of fractional order $\tau$. The simulations 2(a) and 6(a) for $u_d$ reveal that the system is chaotic for $\tau = 1$, and it is not an attractor, but when the fractional order lowers down by 5%, the simulations indicate that the system becomes an attractor and converges to the equilibrium point $E_1$. The simulations 2(b), 2(c), 6(b), and 6(c) for $u_q$ and $u_a$ reveal that the system is chaotic for $\tau = 1$, for the fractional orders $\tau = 0.95$ and $\tau = 0.90$, the system converges to $E_2$ but for $\tau = 0.85$, the system converges to the other equilibrium point $E_1$. Also, these equilibrium points can easily be identified from 3D plots and 2D phase plots. These plots show that the DC motor system exhibits the butterfly effect.

In Example 2 or 6 of LC-PI and ABCPI, the parameters used are $\sigma = 0.875$, $\beta = 25$, and $\gamma = 42$. The simulations of both numerical techniques are shown in Figs. 3(a–g) and 7(a–g). The simulations 3(a) and 7(a) for $u_d$ reveal that the system is not chaotic for $\tau =
The simulations 3(b), 3(c), 7(b), and 7(c) for  and reveal that the system converges to two equilibrium points and . These equilibrium points can easily be seen in 3D and 2D phase plots. These plots show that the DC motor system exhibits two wing simulations.

In Example 3 or 7 of LC-PI and ABCPI, the parameters used are , and . The simulations of both numerical methods are shown in Figs. 4(a–g) and 8(a–g). The fractional system (10)–(11) converges to one equilibrium point, that is, for different values of noninteger order . Figure 4(d–g) and 8(d–g) show the 2D and 3D effects, which are in spiral shape and converge to .

In Example 4 or 8 of LC-PI and ABCPI, the parameters used are , , and . The simulations of both numerical methods are given in Figs. 5(a–g) and 9(a–g). The fractional system (10)–(11) converges to one equilibrium point for all values of noninteger order . Here is the trivial equilibrium point.

Conclusion

In this paper, we considered two iterative techniques, the Caputo–Liouville product integration (CL-PI) and Atangana–Baleanu–Caputo product integration (ABCPI) rules for solving brushless DC motor model. The noninteger definitions of Liouville–Caputo and Atangana–Baleanu types are taken into account to model the proposed system. Moreover, kernels considered in such classes of operators are the Mittag-Leffler and power-law functions, respectively. Next, the order of the noninteger derivative discussed in both operators has a very important part in the outcomes attained from the corresponding meth-
ods. The numerical consequences and theoretical considerations are compared to infer that both derivatives are very favorable tools to analyze the exemplary. The equilibrium analysis of the system is discussed, which is useful to confirm the numerical imitations. The operators used and the techniques offered in this paper can be used to solve many other problems. The future research direction is to use the ABCPI technique in image processing and stochastic differential equations.
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