Evaluation of ectomycorrhizal respiration for remediation of pesticides in forestry

Abstract

The ectomycorrhizal (ECM) can be used on forest seedlings production. This work raises the possibility that these microorganisms can also assist the degradation of organic molecules of pesticides registered on forestry. This study aimed to evaluate the microbial respiration of forest substrate inoculated with ECM, since the effect could be important in agrochemical remediation. There was used a completely randomized design with two treatments and six replications. As a control (T0), was used the commercial substrate. As treatment 1 (T1), the substrate was mechanically mixed with mycorrhizal inoculant. It was possible to infer that the control group evolved 8,4167.10^-3 mol CO₂.dm^-3.day, and treatment with mycorrhizal soil, 1,0202.10^-3 mol CO₂.dm^-3.day. Notably, the T1 evolved a little more, probably due to mycorrhizal inoculated, but without significant difference. It presents arguments about the potential use of ECM roots remediation, and also contextualized for integrated pest management on forestry, given the imposition of forest certification programs to reduce chemical control.
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Introduction

The microorganisms present in the soil can be phytopathogenic, causing damages in crops, but they can also be beneficial, like the mycorrhizal fungi and the rhizobacteria, that favor the development of the plants. Ectomycorrhizal fungi (ECM), sometimes present in the roots of Pinus spp. and Eucalyptus spp., in many cases, may contribute to the growth of forest essences.

According to Perez-Moreno & Read, until recent times the ability of ectomycorrhizal fungi (ECM) to mobilize and recycle nutrients from soil organic matter had received little attention. In fact, ECMs can produce a wide variety of enzymes capable of degrading substrates that are part of organic soil materials (ibid.). Monteiro et al., for example, evaluated that the ECM inoculum was tolerant to glyphosate, and that the possibility of pesticide remediation could be further studied.

Faria et al., presented the potential of using ectomycorrhizae in pesticide remediation. However, the theme becomes interesting when analyzing the context of sustainability in the forest sector, which is constantly evaluated by certification organizations such as the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), regarding the corporate policy for restricted use, distribution, and build upon your work non-commercially.

Case presentation

The collection of mycorrhizal inoculant in the soil was carried out based on the method described by Inoue. It was collected at the base Pinus taeda L. located at the Campus of Federal University of Technology, in the southwestern of Paraná. The existence of mycorrhizae in the soil was verified by the simple examination of the roots. The material was stored in a freezer, until the moment of its experimental use.

A completely randomized experimental design with two treatments and six replicates was used. As control (T0), 90 g of the commercial substrate was used for the production of tree seedlings, brand Mecplant®. Then another 90 g of substrate was mechanically mixed with 10g of the previously thawed mycorrhizal inoculum (Treatment 1, T1). For the development of the microbiota, the vessel were closed and conditioned in a photoperiod for 11days, in which humidity maintenance was controlled by spraying 30ml of water inside, every three days. The temperature was adjusted to 28°C, with 12hour lighting intervals.

For the determination of humidity, two aliquots of the fresh soil samples, dried at 105 °C to the constant weight (in a greenhouse for sterilization and drying) were weighed and dried and the humidity was determined by gravimetric. The data did not need to be transformed for the homogenization of the variances, which allowed the use of the Anova and made possible its comparison by the test of Tukey to 5% of probability.
The SMR analytical calculation was performed based on the respirometry technique described in Souza et al., Pires et al., and Pena et al. It was weighed 15g of soil per replicate, and placed in vials of 600mL. In each vessel, a beaker with 5ml of 0.5mol L⁻¹ NaOH was placed. They were hermetically sealed and incubated (chamber with thermometer and photoperiod) at 28°C and 12-hour lighting intervals.

For the titration, the beaker cups of the vials were withdrawn with tweezers, and a drop of the 1% phenolphthalein indicator was added to each. The unused NaOH during incubation of the soil sample was then titrated with 0.5mol.L⁻¹ HCl until the coloration disappeared. The amount of HCl spent on the titration was recorded. The amount of CO₂ released per gram of dry soil was calculated for the four day incubation period. Further, the experimental procedure was supplemented with blank (non-incubated NaOH 0.5 mol.L⁻¹).

**Discussion**

There are indications that an organic matter consumption of the substrate was actually produced by the micro biota present, with the formation of CO₂ within the flasks, since there was a significant difference between treatments (T0 and T1) and the blank (F=12.15*, p<0.05). However, there were no significant differences between the control and treatment with mycorrhizal soil (Table 1).

| Table 1 Treatment titration results |
|------------------------------------|
| **Treatment** | **Titrated Volume (mL)** |
| Control group (T0) | 4.92±0.13 |
| Soil with inoculation of mycorrhiza (T1) | 4.85±0.17 |
| Blank proof (NaOH 0.5 mol.L⁻¹) | 5.25±0.15 |
| F | 12.15* |

Means with different letters in the columns are significantly different by the **Means with different letters in the columns are significantly different by the Turkey test with a probability of 5% (*=significant, ns = not significant)**

It is possible to infer that the soil of the control evolved 8.4167.10⁻⁴ mol CO₂.dm⁻³.day⁻¹, and the treatment with mycorrhized soil, 1.0202.10⁻³ mol CO₂.dm⁻³.day⁻¹. Notably, T1 evolved a little more CO₂, probably due to the respiration of inoculated mycorrhizae. All these are quantitative parameters that can be used comparatively in future experiments.

The greater the amount of HCl spent in the titration to the point of "turning" (disappearance of the pink color), the greater the amount of NaOH needed to balance this reaction. In other words, the T1 evolved a little more CO₂, probably due to the respiration of inoculated mycorrhizae. All these are quantitative parameters that can be used comparatively in future experiments.

The inoculated mycorrhizae may have increased respiration in the T1, evidenced by the smaller titrated volume (4.85±0.17mL). It is valid to repeat these tests, quantifying this inoculum, in order to define the parametric limits. It is also recommended that the addition of saturated BaCl₂ in the beakers as a catalyst for the reaction between NaOH and CO₂, evolved, as used by Pires et al.

For Souza et al., the soil microbial activity is directly related to its environmental factors, such as humidity, oxygenation, pH, temperature, nutrients, among others. The moisture content of the samples resulted in an average of 25.8% for T0 and 24.3% for T1 (Table 2). The other environmental factors can be considered homogeneous, since the same soil was used in both treatments.

**Table 2 Experimental soil moisture content when incubated**

| Wet weight(g) | Dry weight(g) | Moisture content(%) |
|---------------|---------------|---------------------|
| T0 | T1 | T0 | T1 | T0 | T1 |
| Sample 1 | 18 | 21 | 13 | 15 | 27.80% | 28.60% |
| Sample 2 | 21 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 23.80% | 20.00% |
| Mean | 19.5 | 20.5 | 14.5 | 15.5 | 25.80% | 24.30% |

Analytically, Souza et al., provide the following formula for the calculation of the amount of CO₂ evolved from each sample (C₉₉₉₉ CO₂ per dm³ of soil), used in this study:

\[
C_{\text{mol}} \text{CO}_2\text{dm}^{-3}\text{of soil}= [(B-T). N. f. V] / AT
\]

Where:

- \(B\) = white titre, ml HCl
- \(T\) = treatment titre, ml HCl of soil
- \(N\) = normality of HCl (eq-g L⁻¹)
- \(f\) = 0.9998 (acid correction factor)
- \(V\) = volume of NaOH used to capture CO₂ (ml)
- \(AT\) = aliquot to be titrated (ml)

According to Meharg when considering root remediation and other forms of biological manipulation of contaminated soils, corrective organisms must at least meet three important requirements: the pollutant must be bioavailable to the remedial organism; it must be tolerant to the pollutant present in the concentrations found in the site to be corrected; and, the organisms must possess the enzymatic capacity to degrade the pollutants of interest. Root remediation has the advantage of causing low disturbance and environmental impact on the soil to be decontaminated.

The interactions of mycorrhizae with soil organisms are unavoidable, but they have been little studied. However, it is not known whether the nutritional source of ECMs is the host plant or soil organic matter. Little is known about the functional diversity of ECM enzymes. The degradation efficiency of a pollutant will depend on a number of factors, including fungus growth rates, growing conditions, incubation time and nutrient contents.

Cabello emphasizes that in field experiments, the concentration of propagules in the soil will be a determinant factor in the efficiency of the remediation, and that the studies on rhizoremediation should focus on which exudates are produced by the roots of the plants. In this way, it corroborates the statement of Finlay that among the new trends in mycorrhizal research, they had highlighted the studies on the interaction of the extrarradicular mycelium with organic and inorganic soil substrates. Meharg mentions that ECM enzymes are extruded extracellular. This statement is important because the use of ECM for bioremediation can also produce wastes.

The proposed studies are important for the development of theories on agricultural and forest sustainability. In this line of reasoning, Meharg & Cairney point out those silvi cultural techniques must be...
considered to optimize remediation, but there are still few examples of practical application. The use of bioremediation technologies is still in its infancy (ibid.), but the idea is valid for situations in which the site allows long-term soil recovery.9

In the case of certified forest management, Brazilian companies have not yet been able to relinquish the use of agrochemicals in the implementation and maintenance of stands, such as weed control herbicides, and chemicals for the control of leaf-cutting ants (Atta spp. and Acromyrmex spp.), a common pest of South America, and which still causes many damages in the forestry. For this pest, there is no efficient biological control yet. In spite of this fact, the chemical strategies of integrated management of the biotic agents that attack the forest plantations are the object of FSC alert, which considers them as the last alternative among the available techniques, permanently putting pressure on the companies not to use them anymore. In face of this reality, the implementation of programs to reduce the use of these pesticides is required, in the process of continuous improvement of the evaluation of renewal of the certification.

For the context of the integrated management of cutter ants and weeds in forest crops, the level of economic damage, a parameter used to affirm the need to develop control actions (with natural, mechanical or chemical methods), is carried out mainly in order to evaluate the costs of forest implantation and maintenance, especially related to seedling mortality and replanting, and not to the reduction of growth caused by pests over time. It is in this sense that research on remediation by ECM, Formicidae baits and low toxicity herbicides, already licensed for the sector, is necessary. In this way, it is believed that these studies can validate the decision making of the use of these products, as viable strategies for the forest sustainability.

The development of technologies on the verification of ECM remediation of authorized pesticides in the forestry may contribute to the determination and confirmation of the best practices of implementation and forest maintenance, even preventively, in line with the environmental safety of the practices used in this productive sector.
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