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Abstract: A signcryption based security for localization using PSO-GD algorithm in WSN is proposed which combines particle swarm optimization (PSO) and gradient decent (GD) methods for secure localization. Initially, the beacon node signcrypt the message with the keys and broadcasted the information to the surrounding nodes so as to enable receiver to identify the sender. Then the received location information is unsigncrypted by each unknown sensor node and verified. If the unsigncryption is performed successfully, the unknown node accepts the message, otherwise discarded. From the location information gathered, the unknown node estimates its location coordinates through PSO-GD localization algorithm.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A. WSN
A large number of inexpensive sensor devices form an easily deployable self configurable network without any pre-deployed infrastructure called Wireless ad hoc sensor networks (WSNs) [1, 6]. These sensor node nodes are both transmission and battery power-constrained and possess limited computation and communication capabilities and help WSN to aware of its physical location [2, 6]. WSN characteristics like flexibility, fault tolerance, high sensing fidelity, low cost, and rapid deployment open the path for a wide variety of applications. Hence, such networks can be employed in consumer applications such as emergency rescue, disaster relief, smart homes, and patient monitoring, as well as industrial applications such as distributed structural health monitoring and environmental control, and military applications such as target identification and tracking, geographic routing, security surveillance, and so on. Also WSN enable validating the integrity of the sensor network as well as the retrieved data [1, 2, 6]. As wireless sensor networks deployed in open environment, it is open to unexpected physical environment and attacks from some adversaries [3]. Sensor localization is an important issue in WSNs [5].

B. Localization in WSN
Localization is the process of finding the location of sensor nodes by itself i.e., discovering spatial relationships between objects [8]. Location awareness is the necessity for many sensor applications since many applications like environment monitoring, vehicle tracking and mapping depend on knowledge of sensor node locations. Energy can also be saved in location-based routing protocols overcoming the route discovery requirement and also caching performance can be enhanced for location dependent applications.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW
Pinaki Sarkar and Sarbajit Mukherjee [10] developed a deterministic merging block technique for overcoming the connectivity issue while an unique method based on localization scales any KPS in particular, the merged schemes. However, full communication could not be given guarantee with random merging as merged pairs. Ning Yu et al [11] proposed a BRS-based robust secure localization (BRSL) algorithm for minimizing the impact of the malicious attackers in WSNs. BRSL method comprises of two phases. Beta reputation system based trust evaluation framework was established in first phase.

However, employing GPS receiver in a sensor network node is expensive hence a few location aware seed nodes and protocols enabling other nodes to estimate their location from the received messages are utilized. Location awareness also enhances the security requirements [5, 7]. As nodes in the sensor networks are employed in an unplanned infrastructure in a dynamic manner without prior knowledge of their location, determining the node positions with a given few anchor nodes and relative distance and angle information between the nodes is a problem namely position estimation or localization problem [9]. However, these localization techniques are susceptible to attacks under the hostile environment involving false position and range reports by internal attackers and position spoofing by external attackers. Malicious attacks like replay attack or compromise attack can disturb the localization procedure [5].

In addition localization techniques face problems as: its dependency on information from signal strength, time of arrival requires special hardware not available on sensor nodes and if added, cost will be increased. Also a large number of seed nodes are needed to cover the network as a whole but not possible in sensor networks [7].

C. Secure localization in WSN
Securing localization is essential for ensuring the WSN integrity and its associated services. Location aware security policies require trusted location information for deployment. Once the location dependent services are deployed, attackers and misuses target on the mechanism providing location information. Location infrastructures are prone to location specific attacks which could not be solved by traditional security services. Hence mechanisms are required to be integrated to protect localization techniques. The attacks can be defeated by focusing on nodes before localization or localizing even under attacks [13]. Security requirements are Authentication, integrity, availability, non-repudiation, and privacy [8].
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The weighed Taylor-series least squares method was weighted for estimating sensor node coordinates in the second phase. However, the cost is not less.

III. PROPOSED SOLUTION

A. Overview

In this paper, a signcryption based security for localization using PSO-GD algorithm is presented. The beacon node signcrypt the message with the keys and broadcasted the information to the surrounding nodes so as to enable receiver to identify the sender. Then the received location information is unsigncrypted by each unknown sensor node and verified. If the unsigncryption is performed successfully, the unknown node accepts the message, otherwise discarded. In PSO-GD algorithm, the PSO based distance estimation technique is integrated with gradient descent approach. In this algorithm, the unknown nodes estimate their location coordinates by using the neighborhood beacon node set.

B. System model and assumptions

The system model consists of a base station (BS) and a master node, which are assumed to be honest. It is also assumed that all sensors nodes cannot be localized using GPS device. Hence a set of anchor nodes are deployed with GPS device. The master node is responsible for secure localization and key distribution. The anchor node broadcasts its location information which contains its id and location coordinates.

C. Signcryption-based Security

The anchor

a. Signcryption

Signcryption [12] is a cryptographic process by means of which a receiver verifies the credentials of sender. The location information can be signcrypted by the anchor node using its private key and the group public key. The receiver recovers the location information from the signcrypted text, only when it belongs to the same group of sender. Thus it ensures secure localization of sensor nodes.

An anchor node performs signcryption which involves the following steps:

1. Randomly select a number k in the range [1..q-1]
2. Compute the encryption and signing keys \( (k_1,k_2)=H(K_{pr}) \).
3. Compute the message authentication code (MAC) of the location information m by k1 as
   \[ r=HMAC(k_1,m) \] .
4. Compute \( s=(k_1(r+sA)) \mod q \).
5. Encrypt the message by k2 as
   \[ c=E_{k_2}(m) \] .
6. Broadcast the message
   \[ ES=[ID_s||r||s||c] \].

b. Un-signcryption

The signcrypted location information can be unsigncrypted using the private key of the group and the public key of the anchor node.

The un-signcryption process involves the following steps:

1. For the received location information ES, compute
   \[ M=S_{gj}(rQ+P_A) \] .
2. If \( M \neq P_{gj} \)
   drop the message
3. If \( M = P_{gj} \),
   Compute
   \( (K_i,K_s)=H(m) \).
4. Decrypt the message m as
   \[ m=D_{k_2}(c) \].

D. Location Estimation using PSO-GD

Usually, once the location information is received from the trusted anchor node, other nodes estimate the distance between themselves and the anchor node using time difference of arrival (TDOA) measurement. In this paper, PSO with gradient descent method is applied, which is explained in the next section.

a. Modified PSO with Gradient Descent Method

The main principle of PSO is related individual particles of information and optimization of solution space.

The method of Gradient Descent (GD) [13][14] is also known as steepest descent, which is basically an optimization algorithm to determine the local minimum of a function. In this method, an arbitrary point \( x_0 \) within a function's range is picked, and small steps are taken towards the direction of greatest slope changes, which is the direction of the gradient, and eventually, after many iterations, the minimum of the function can be determined.

In this section, a modified PSO-GD algorithm is developed. It considers straight and non-straight conditions and estimates the gradient of the objective function in the perspective of improving the accuracy.

In PSO, a group of particles are randomly initialized to solutions. In each iteration, the particles try to update the solution in search of optimal solutions.

In every iteration, the position of each particle is updated by considering the following values:

- \( P_{best}(i) \) is obtained from the particle itself and known as the individual extreme
- \( G_{best}(i) \) is obtained from the current population and known as the global optimum.
After estimating these best values, the velocity $\dot{\lambda}_i$ and location $\lambda_i$ of the particle are updated as:

$$\Omega \times \dot{\lambda}_id(t) + L_1 \times \text{rand}(t) \times \dot{\lambda}_id(t+1) = [P_{\text{best}}(t) - \sigma_{id}(t)] + L_2 \times \text{rand}(t) \times [G_{\text{best}}(t) - \sigma_{id}(t)]$$

and

$$\sigma_{id}(t+1) = \sigma_{id}(t) + \dot{\lambda}_{id}(t+1)$$

(1)

(2)

1 $\leq i \leq D_i$ is the number of initialized particles.

1 $\leq d \leq V_i$ is the dimension of searching space

1 $\leq t \leq D_{\text{max}}$, $D_{\text{max}}$ is the desired iteration of particle swarm

$\Omega$ is the inertia weight

$\Omega \times \dot{\lambda}_id(t) + L_1 \times \text{rand}(t) \times [P_{\text{best}}(t) - \sigma_{id}(t)] + L_2 \times \text{rand}(t) \times [G_{\text{best}}(t) - \sigma_{id}(t)] + L_3 \times g_{id}(f(\sigma_{id}(t)))$

(3)

Where $g(f(\sigma_{id}))$ represents the partial derivatives of the objective function, and $L_3$ is the weight for the gradient term.

The objective function $f(\sigma_{id})$ is given by

$$f(\sigma_{id}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\|Z_k - Z\| - d_k)^2$$

(4)

The gradient decent update rule is given by the following equation

$$\sigma_{id}(t+1) = \sigma_{id}(t) - \eta \nabla f(\sigma_{id})$$

(5)

(6)

Here $-\eta \nabla f(\sigma_{id})$ is the negative gradient of the objective function at the current position, $\eta$ is the learning rate which is equal to $L_{\text{std}}dt$. $\nabla(\cdot)$ denotes the derivative with respect to $Z$.

b. PSO-GD Algorithm

The unknown node estimates its location coordinates by using the modified PSO-Gradient algorithm. The steps involved in the algorithm are as follows:

Algorithm

1) Initialize the search space $U_n$

2) Initialize the particles $r_j = 1, 2, ..., D_j$

3) Estimate $Z(0)$ to some point in the deployment area.

4) For each particle $r_j$

5) For each iteration $t$, $t = 1, 2, ..., D_{max}$

6) Find the local score $f(X_{j}(t))$

7) Evaluate the gradient $f(X_j)$ at current estimate using Eq.(5)

8) Update the estimated value using gradient decent update rule using Eq.(6)

9) Find the local best function $P_{\text{best}}(t)$ of $X_{j}(t)$

10) Find the global best function $G_{\text{best}}(t)$ of $X_j(t)$

11) If local score $f(X_j(t)) > P_{\text{best}}X_{j}(t)$, then

12) Put $P_{\text{best}}X_{j}(t) = f(X_j(t))$

13) End if

14) If local score $f(X_j(t)) > G_{\text{best}}X_{j}(t)$, then

15) Put $G_{\text{best}}X_{j}(t) = f(X_j(t))$

16) End if

17) Update the velocity and position of $r_j$ using Eq. (1) and (2)

18) End For

19) End For

20) Repeat the steps from (4) to (18) until the...
best solution is attained.
21) Return the estimated position ($x_n, y_n$) corresponding to the best solution.

The position of $r_i$ at iteration $t$ in the solution search space is indicated by $\vec{X}_i(t)$.

Each position is associated with an error function. The local score of the current position is calculated using the fitness function $f(X_i)$. The local best $P_{\text{best}}$ and global best $G_{\text{best}}$ solutions are estimated for $f(X_i)$.

The gradient of objective function $f(X_i)$ is updated using the gradient decent rule. After checking the local score of $f(X_i)$ with $P_{\text{best}}$ and $G_{\text{best}}$, the next position and velocity of $r_i$ is updated.

Each iteration results in a new estimate that has a higher probability of being the true location of the node.

IV. Experimental Results

A. Experimental Parameters

The Signcryption based Security for PSO-GD Localization (SSPSO-GD) protocol is implemented in NS2 and compared with the BRS-Based Robust Secure Localization (BRSL) algorithm [11]. The performance is measured in terms of packet delivery ratio (PDR), communication cost, computational cost, localization delay and resilience against node capture metrics. The experimental settings and parameters are shown Table 1.

| Number of nodes | 50,100,150,200 and 250 |
|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Topology size   | 50m X 50m               |
| MAC Protocol    | IEEE 802.11             |
| Propagation model| Two Ray Ground          |
| Antenna model   | Omni Antenna            |
| Transmission range | 250m                   |

B. Results

In this section, the results for varying the number of nodes from 50 to 250 are presented.

Figure 1: PDR for varying nodes

The graph showing the results of PDR for varying the nodes is shown in Figure 1. The figure depicts that the PDR of SS-PSO-GD ranges from 0.83 to 0.36 and PDR of BRSL ranges from 0.58 to 0.12. Ultimately, the PDR of SS-PSO-GD is 43% higher when compared to BRSL.

Figure 2: Communication cost for varying nodes

The graph showing the results of communication cost for varying the nodes is shown in Figure 2. The figure depicts that the communication cost of SS-PSO-GD ranges from 0.22 to 1.09 and communication cost of BRSL ranges from 0.54 to 2.2. Ultimately, the communication cost of SS-PSO-GD is 52% less when compared to BRSL.

Figure 3: Resilience for varying nodes

The graph showing the results of resilience against node capture for varying the nodes is shown in Figure 3. The figure depicts that the resilience against node capture of SS-PSO-GD ranges from 0.2 to 0.8 and resilience of BRSL ranges from 0.3 to 1.2. Ultimately, the resilience against node capture of SS-PSO-GD is 70% higher compared to BRSL.
The graph showing the results of Resilience for varying the nodes is shown in Figure 3. The figure depicts that the Resilience of SS-PSO-GD ranges from 0.17 to 0.67 and Resilience of BRSL ranges from 0.92 to 1.0. Ultimately, the Resilience of SS-PSO-GD is 50% less when compared to BRSL.

![Figure 4: Localization Delay for varying nodes](image)

The graph showing the results of localization delay for varying the nodes is shown in Figure 4. The figure depicts that the localization delay of SS-PSO-GD ranges from 0.76 to 1.5 and delay of BRSL ranges from 1.7 to 2.6. Ultimately, the localization delay of SS-PSO-GD is 44% less when compared to BRSL.

![Figure 5: Computational cost for varying nodes](image)

The graph showing the results of computational cost for varying the nodes is shown in Figure 5. The figure depicts that the computational cost of SS-PSO-GD ranges from 0.01 to 0.106 and computational cost of BRSL ranges from 0.05 to 0.14. Ultimately, the computational cost of SS-PSO-GD is 40% less when compared to BRSL.

V. CONCLUSION

A SS-PSO-GD algorithm for WSN is proposed in this paper which combines particle swarm optimization (PSO) and gradient decent (GD) methods for secure localization. Initially, the beacon node signcrypt the message with the keys and broadcasted the information to the surrounding nodes so as to enable receiver to identify the sender. Then the received location information is unsigncrypted by each unknown sensor node and verified. If the unsigncryption is performed successfully, the unknown node accepts the message, otherwise discarded. From the location information gathered, the unknown node estimates its location coordinates through PSO-GD localization algorithm. Experimental results shows that SS-PSO-GD achieves lesser localization delay with reduced communication and computational costs.
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