Simultaneous TMS-fMRI of the Visual Cortex Reveals Functional Network, Even in Absence of Phosphene Sensation
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Abstract: Phosphene sensation is commonly used to measure cortical excitability during transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) of the occipital cortex. However, some individuals lack this perception, and the reason for it is still unknown. In this work, we used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to detect brain activation during local TMS of the occipital cortex in twelve healthy subjects. We found that TMS modulated brain activity in areas connected to the stimulation site, even in people unable to see phosphene. However, we observed a trend for a lower blood-oxygenation-level dependent (BOLD) signal, and smaller brain-activation clusters near the stimulated site than in the interconnected brain areas, suggesting that TMS pulse is more effective downstream than at its application site. Furthermore, we noted prominent differences in brain activation/deactivation patterns between subjects who perceived phosphene and those who did not, implying a functional distinction in their neuronal networks that might explain the origin of differences in phosphene generation.
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INTRODUCTION

Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), a non-invasive method, is used to explore the human brain’s processes, such as language, vision, and motor systems [1]. Applying TMS pulses to the occipital cortex entails the brief perception of a light flash, called phosphene sensation [2], a response that is employed extensively to assess the excitability of the primary visual cortex [3]. However, the origin of phosphene generation is unknown, and its induction with single-pulse TMS is irregular [4-7]. Apparently, phosphenes are generated in V1, the primary visual cortex, and V2/3, the extrastriate visual-cortical areas [2, 5,6], indicating that the primary visual cortex is one fundamental area for phosphene perception [7,8]; nevertheless, others studies point to its subcortical origin (e.g., optic radiation) [9]. Meister and colleagues [10] found remarkable differences in fMRI-activation during visual stimulation (checkerboard paradigm) between people perceiving phosphene and those lacking this perception, suggesting that this disparity might reflect inter-individual functional differences in visual neuronal networks. To verify this supposition, we interleaved TMS pulses in occipital cortices and 4-Tesla whole-brain blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD)-fMRI acquisition to image local and distant co-activations, and reveal their association with phosphene generation.

Concurrent TMS-fMRI, a non-invasive approach demonstrating the transsynaptical propagation of activation between and area stimulated by a TMS pulse, and its remote interconnected brain regions, reveals direct causal functional interactions between these two sites in the brain [11,12]. Accordingly, this dual modality can highlight the brain’s adaptation to the TMS-induced virtual lesion and the consequent changes in activity associated with the behavioural changes frequently observed throughout TMS cortical stimulation [13]. Measurements of such changes in tissue oxygen, neural activity, and total hemoglobin (electrophysiology, optical imaging), in the visual cortex of cats, demonstrated that the TMS stimulus modifies neuronal activity that is reflected in alterations in cerebral hemodynamics and, therefore, can be detected by fMRI [14]. Some groups explored the effects of the TMS pulse on brain function after applying the TMS-fMRI combination to the human motor cortex [15-26]. Similarly, this combination was applied to the prefrontal cortices [27], frontal eye-field (FEF) [28], and parietal cortices [29,30]. However, to our knowledge, no one employed fMRI to evaluate the brain’s response when discharging TMS directly over the occipital area. In this work, we used simultaneous TMS-fMRI to map...
the functional response in the cortical- and subcortical-areas, associated with phosphene generation, after delivering the TMS pulse over the primary visual cortex.

METHODS

Subjects

Twelve non-smoking adult healthy volunteers (11 men; 1 woman; age 32 ± 5 years) participated in this study. All subjects were carefully screened to ensure that they met the study’s inclusion criteria; viz., age 18 – 40 years, ability to read and speak English fluently, and right-handed dominance. Subjects were excluded if they were taking any medication other than vitamins (or contraceptives), had any history of chronic medical-/neuropsychiatric-illnesses or drug use/dependence, had any contra-indicated metallic objects in the body, claustrophobia, or positive urine toxicology on the day of the study. The Institution Review Board (IRB) at Stony Brook University approved the study protocol; each participant signed the IRB’s approved informed consent form.

TMS-Setup

Before the TMS-fMRI scan, we administered a single-pulse TMS, using the Magstim, Model 220 (The Magstim Company, Wales, UK - pulse duration 250 μs, maximum repetition rate 1 Hz, maximum field strength 2.0 T), with a standard 70 mm double coil (70-mm outer wing diameter-maximum field strength 2.2 T). The stimulus was given over the left occipital area (primary visual cortex); the participants, keeping their eyes open, were a blindfold to minimize external visual input. Varying the intensity of the stimulus in steps of 5%, we asked participants to report whenever they saw phosphene; we defined a subject’s phosphene threshold as the level at which it was elicited in three of five trials. This procedure took place in the subject-preparation room, in the MRI building (local magnetic field 0.6 mT).

TMS-fMRI Session

In the RF-shielded MRI room, TMS was applied through a nonferromagnetic double coil (70-mm outer wing diameter-maximum field strength 0.9 T) connected to the same magnetic stimulator used during the setup session (above), via a 10 meter cable through a radio-frequency (RF) filter tube. The TMS coil was attached inside the RF head coil. Each subject lay supine with their head resting on the MRI-compatible TMS coil (the center of which was positioned at the occipital bone’s most prominent projection, slightly lateral to the left side of the midline) inside the RF-coil (local magnetic field 1 T). We verified each volunteers’ threshold for phosphene sensation (with their eyes open but covered). Next, the volunteer was moved into the MRI scanner (local magnetic field 4 T) and their phosphene threshold rechecked. During simultaneous TMS-fMRI, again the TMS stimulus was applied over the left occipital region with the intensity set for the phosphene threshold under 4 T; for subjects unable to perceive phosphene, we set the stimulator at a fixed value of 40%, i.e., the phosphene threshold measured for the first subject able to perceive phosphene under 4 T.

The stimulus paradigm comprised three 30-second epochs of “REST”, and three with “TMS” (28-seconds long; 8 TMS pulses); during the latter, the pulse was applied with a frequency of 0.25 Hz interleaved, after 300 ms, with fMRI acquisition [31]. Subjects pushed a button, with their right hand, every time they saw “flashes of light”. TMS pulses and fMRI data acquisition were synchronized using a TTL (5 V) trigger signal generated immediately after acquiring the previous image in the time series.

MRI

Subjects underwent fMRI in a 4 Tesla Varian/Siemens MRI scanner, equipped with a self-shielded whole-body SONATA gradient set and a standard quadrature head resonator. Functional images were collected using a single-shot T2*-weighted gradient-recalled-echo planar imaging (GRE-EPI) imaging sequence (TE/TR=20/2000 ms, 4 mm slice thickness, 1 mm gap, typically 33 coronal slices, 64x64 matrix size, 3.125 mm in-plane resolution, 90°-flip angle, 94 time points) covering the whole brain. Within 2s of TR, the image was acquired in 1.7 s, i.e., 0.3s after the previous image acquisition. Padding minimized the volunteers’ head motion during the scans.

fMRI Data Processing

We analyzed the fMRI data sets on UNIX and LINUX workstations (Compaq Alpha and dual XEON), using interactive data language (IDL) (Research Systems, Boulder, CO) and statistical parametric mapping packages, version two (SPM2 - Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London).

Primary images of the raw data were reconstructed using IDL. EPI’s reconstruction program uses phase correction to deghost the time series [32], an iterative phase-correction to minimize signal loss [33], and a Hamming filter to improve the signal-to-noise ratio [34]. The first four sequential time points of each time series was eliminated from further analyses to ensure a steady state of magnetization. All time series were motion-corrected to the first volume using 6 degrees of freedom (3 rotations, 3 translations). A B-spline-interpolation was used for re-slicing the motion-corrected data sets. For Talairach normalization, the individual scans were matched to a standard reference brain of the same contrast, using a voxel size of 3 x 3 x 3 mm³. All time series were spatially smoothed with an 8 mm Gaussian Kernel.

Statistical Analyses

The activation maps were calculated for the entire group using a generalized linear model (GLM - fixed-effect analysis). The design matrix was generated by a boxcar reference function, and its derivative convolved with the canonic hemodynamic response function (HRF). The time series were band-pass-filtered with a high-pass filter (cut-off frequencies: 1/128Hz), and an HRF as low-pass filter. The mean signal-intensity was not scaled to avoid false deactivation signals [35,36]. Clusters with at least 15 voxels and p < 0.05 (FWE) were considered significant in the group analyses [37].

RESULTS

Behavioural Data

We found a significant change in the phosphene threshold under different background static magnetic field for the six subjects who perceived phosphene. Fig. (1) shows
the average of the phosphene threshold for those six volunteers, the phosphene threshold decreased under an increasing static magnetic field.

The performance data, i.e., button-press frequency, during the fMRI-TMS session shows that the subjects experienced the phosphene sensation for about 50% of the TMS pulses applied (average: 48.6%, standard deviation: 27.1%).

**Brain Activation**

Fig. (2A and B), illustrates the effects of the TMS stimulus in brain activation (positive BOLD signal). The fixed-effects analysis revealed significant brain activation for group 1 (able to see phosphenes – Fig. 2A, Table 1) at the following locations: Near the stimulus site (left occipital, cuneus – [-3, -90, 15]), occipital (precuneus), parietal (precuneus and sub-gyral), frontal lobe areas (precentral gyrus - frontal eyes-field (FEF) [38], middle-, medial-, and superior-frontal gyrus, sub-gyral), cerebellum (cerebellar tonsil), brainstem (midbrain), thalamus, insula, cingulate gyrus, and uvula. Conversely, significant brain activation for the second group (non-perceiving phosphenes – Fig. 2B, Table 1) occurred in the subcortical occipital area (cuneus – [-24, -72, 9]), parietal lobe (sub-gyral), temporal lobe (middle and superior temporal gyrus), frontal lobe areas (precentral, middle-, medial-, and superior-frontal gyrus, sub-gyral), cerebellum (pyramis and inferior semi-lunar lobule), thalamus, and insula.

We also evaluated the negative BOLD signal (deactivation). In those perceiving phosphene, there was significant deactivation in the occipital (middle occipital gyrus, temporal lobe (middle temporal gyrus and sub-gyral), frontal lobe (precentral gyrus, middle- and superior-frontal gyrus, sub-gyral), cerebellum (posterior lobe, inferior semi-lunar lobule), and limbic lobe (anterior cingulate) (Fig. 2A, Table 2). For those lacking phosphene perception, Fig. 2B, Table 2 lists the significantly deactivated areas in the occipital lobe (fusiform gyrus, middle occipital gyrus), frontal lobe areas (middle-, medial-, and superior-frontal gyrus, sub-gyral), cerebellum (declive), and the limbic lobe (parahippocampal gyrus, anterior- and posterior-cingulate).

**Fig. (1).** Average of phosphene level, for six subjects, for three different static magnetic-fields: 0.6 mT (subject-preparation room), 1 T (MRI scanner bed outside the MRI), and 4 T (center of the MRI scanner). The dashed line, at 40%, shows the TMS intensity chosen for the TMS stimulus during TMS-MRI acquisition for group 2. The error bars denote the standard errors.

**Fig. (2).** Statistical maps of BOLD signal resulting from a fix-effect analysis for the two groups, 1) those able to see phosphenes (Fig. A), and, 2) those unable to see phosphenes (Fig. B) (6 subjects in each group). Fig. C shows the conjunctive analysis: C = Phosphene (P) + no-phosphene (NP) and D the comparison between groups: D = P > NP (purple), NP > P (green). The color bar shows the T-score window; FWE, \( p < 0.05 \), activation (red – yellow) and deactivation (blue – green). FEF = Frontal Eyes-Field, Mb = midbrain, STG = superior temporal gyrus, TL = Temporal Lobe, AC = anterior cingulated, LG = lingual Gyrus, Th = Thalamus, ITG = Inferior Temporal Gyrus, MOG = Middle Occipital Gyrus, IOG = Inferior Occipital Gyrus, PC = Posterior Cingulate, P = Precocious, CG = Cingulate Gyrus, MiTG = Middle Temporal Gyrus, SFG = Superior Frontal Gyrus, PG = Precentral Gyrus, MiFG = Middle Frontal Gyrus, PL = Parietal Lobe, SPL = Superior Parietal Lobe, C = Cuneus. Coronal views showing the activation near the stimulated site for groups 1(A1), 2 (B1), conjunctive analysis (C1 - highlighted in grey in Table 3) and differential analysis (D1); a sagittal view with the position of the axial slices presented in Figs. A, B, C, and D.
Table 1. Brain Regions Showing Activation for Group 1 and 2 (Fig. 2A, B); Results from a Fix-Effects Analysis, \(T \geq 4.56\) (FWE, \(p < 0.05\), Voxel Size \(\geq 15\). (*[38])

| Brain Region                          | Talairach Coordinates (x, y, z)[mm] | Cluster Level No. of Voxels (pcorr < 0.0001) | Voxel Level (T-Score) |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| **Group 1: Phosphene**                |                                    |                                             |                       |
| 1 - L, occipital lobe, cuneus (BA 18) | -3 -90 15                          | 19                                          | 6.30                  |
| 2 - L, parietal lobe, sub-gyral       | -27 -54 39                         | 2341                                        | 10.43                 |
| L, parietal lobe, precuneus (BA 7)   | -21 -63 33                         |                                             | 10.30                 |
| L, occipital lobe, precuneus (BA 31) | -18 -72 18                         |                                             | 9.61                  |
| 3 - L, cerebellum, cerebellar tonsil | -27 -54 -33                        | 86                                          | 7.76                  |
| L, posterior lobe, uvula              | -9 -63 -27                         |                                             | 5.17                  |
| 4 - R, brainstem, midbrain           | 6 -18 -12                          | 506                                         | 10.80                 |
| R, sub-lobar, thalamus, ventral lateral nucleus | 9 -9 3 27 -3 3                |                                             | 6.99 5.39            |
| L, sub-lobar, Lentiform Nucleus, Putamen |                                    |                                             |                       |
| 5 - R, temporal lobe, middle temporal gyrus (BA 21) | 42 -6 -27                           | 29                                          | 6.31                  |
| 6 - R, frontal lobe, precentral gyrus (BA 44) | -9 -36 -39                      | 20                                          | 6.46                  |
| L, limbic lobe, cingulate gyrus      | -9 -30 30                          |                                             | 5.47                  |
| 7 - L, sub-lobar, insula              | -33 18 6                          | 44                                          | 6.70                  |
| 8 - R, sub-lobar, insula (BA 13)      | 36 18 12                           | 70                                          | 6.95                  |
| R, frontal lobe, precentral gyrus (BA 44) | 48 18 9                            |                                             | 5.22                  |
| 9 - L, frontal lobe, medial frontal gyrus (BA 6) | -6 3 54                           | 345                                         | 10.16                 |
| R, frontal lobe, medial frontal gyrus |                                    |                                             |                       |
| R, frontal lobe, sub-gyral           | 33 57                              | 189                                         | 9.00 6.49            |
| 10 - R, frontal lobe, medial frontal gyrus | 3 30 30                          | 42                                          | 7.11                  |
| 11 - R, frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus | 36 36 30                        | 362                                         | 9.07                  |
| R, frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus (BA 10) | 24 57 24                          |                                             | 7.87                  |
| R, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus | 33 54 18                           |                                             | 7.21                  |
| 12 - L, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus | -33 45 18                       | 90                                          | 6.31                  |
| 13 - R, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus | 39 6 39                           | 98                                          | 6.57                  |
| R, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus | 33 9 48                            |                                             | 6.15                  |
| R, frontal lobe, sub-gyral           | 39 0 21                            |                                             | 5.37                  |
| 14 - L, frontal lobe, precentral gyrus (FEF*) (BA 6) | -42 0 45                           | 36                                          | 6.13                  |
| **Group 2: Non-Phosphene**            |                                    |                                             |                       |
| R, cerebellum, pyramids              | 33 -69 -33                         | 700                                         | 8.22                  |
| R, cerebellum, inferior semi-lunar lobule | 36 -63 -42                      |                                             | 8.17                  |
| R, cerebellum, pyramids              | 33 -69 -27                         |                                             | 7.88                  |
| L, occipital lobe, cuneus             | -24 -72 9                          | 206                                         | 6.75                  |
| L, parietal lobe, sub-gyral          | -24 -66 33                         |                                             | 6.36                  |
| L, temporal lobe, sub-gyral          | -27 -63 24                         | 24                                          | 6.22 6.32            |
| R, temporal lobe, middle temporal gyrus (BA 39) | 33 -57 27                          |                                             |                       |
| R, temporal lobe, superior temporal gyrus | 36 3 -15                          | 222                                         | 8.05                  |
### Table 1. contd…..

| Talairach Coordinates | Cluster Level | Voxel Level |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|
| (x, y, z)[mm]         | No. of Voxels (pcorr < 0.0001) | (T-Score) |
| Brain Region          |               |             |
| R, sub-lobar, insula (BA 13) | 33 21 0 | 5.05 |
| R, sub-lobar, thalamus, lateral posterior nucleus | 15 -18 12 | 74 | 6.37 |
| R, sub-lobar, thalamus | 3 -9 3 | 6.23 |
| L, sub-lobar, insula (BA 13) | -42 -3 6 | 38 | 5.60 |
| L, sub-lobar, insula | -36 -12 6 | 66 | 5.19 |
| L, frontal lobe, precentral gyrus (BA 44) | -42 9 9 | 5.27 |
| R, frontal lobe, sub-gyral | 24 36 6 | 5.92 |
| R, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus | 30 39 -6 | 4.85 |
| L, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus (BA 10) | -39 54 18 | 39 | 5.96 |
| L, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus | -42 42 30 | 5.29 |
| L, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus (BA 9) | -42 36 36 | 30 | 5.06 |
| R, frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus | -21 54 3 | 26 | 6.17 |
| R, frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus | 18 42 30 | 5.74 |
| R, frontal lobe, medial frontal gyrus (BA 9) | 21 36 24 | 4.89 |

### Table 2. Brain Regions Showing Deactivation for Group 1 and 2 (Fig. 2A, B); Results from a Fix-Effects Analysis, $T \geq 4.56$ (FWE, $p < 0.05$), Voxel Size $\geq 15$

| Talairach Coordinates | Cluster Level | Voxel Level |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|
| (x, y, z)[mm]         | No. of Voxels (pcorr < 0.0001) | (T-Score) |
| Brain Region          |               |             |
| Group 1: Phosphene    |               |             |
| R, occipital lobe, middle occipital gyrus | 48 -66 -3 | 1961 | 15.24 |
| L, cerebellum, posterior lobe, declive | -21 -78 -15 | 12.58 |
| R, cerebellum, posterior lobe, declive | 21 -78 -15 | 16 | 10.99 |
| L, cerebellum, inferior semi-lunar lobule | -3 -57 -48 | 5.16 |
| L, temporal lobe, middle temporal gyrus | -54 -18 -3 | 39 | 6.84 |
| R, temporal lobe, sub-gyral | 45 -24 -15 | 24 | 5.93 |
| R, temporal lobe, sub-gyral | 45 -9 -12 | 5.41 |
| L, limbic lobe, anterior cingulate | -9 33 -12 | 487 | 11.26 |
| L, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus | -21 36 -9 | 10.62 |
| L, frontal lobe, sub-gyral | -18 27 -6 | 9.62 |
| R, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus (BA 11) | 30 33 -12 | 40 | 9.14 |
| R, frontal lobe, precentral gyrus (BA 4) | 39 -24 60 | 507 | 8.10 |
| R, frontal lobe, precentral gyrus (BA 4) | 21 -24 72 | 7.64 |
| R, frontal lobe, precentral gyrus (BA 4) | 12 -30 72 | 7.14 |
| L, frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus (BA 8) | -6 48 48 | 79 | 8.38 |
| L, frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus (BA 8) | -36 21 51 | 81 | 8.07 |
| L, frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus (BA 8) | -27 33 48 | 6.48 |
| Group 2: Non-Phosphene |               |             |
| R, limbic lobe, posterior cingulate | 9 -60 12 | 5043 | 14.01 |
| R, occipital lobe, fusiform gyrus | 21 -60 -9 | 12.79 |
| R, cerebellum, declive | 24 -69 -12 | 12.56 |
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| Brain Region                                      | Talairach Coordinates (x, y, z)[mm] | Cluster Level | Voxel Level (T-Score) |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| R. occipital lobe, middle occipital gyrus (BA 19)| -42 -69 9                           | 149           | 9.43                  |
| L. occipital lobe, middle occipital gyrus (BA 19)| -59 -78 6                           | 80            | 8.09                  |
| L. frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus            | -48 18 27                           | 25            | 6.23                  |
| L. frontal lobe, medial frontal gyrus (BA 6)     | -3 -6 48                            | 30            | 5.68                  |
| L. frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus            | -18 39 -12                          | 61            | 7.34                  |
| L. frontal lobe, sub-gyral                       | -18 21 -3                           | 38            | 5.42                  |
| L. limbic lobe, anterior cingulated (BA 32)      | -12 45 -6                           | 5.17          |                       |
| R. limbic lobe, parahippocampal gyrus            | 33-27 -15                           | 7.07          |                       |
| L. frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus (BA 8)   | -27 27 48                           | 27            | 6.45                  |

Conjunctive analysis (Fig. 2C and Table 3) showed significant activation for all participants at the occipital (cuneus), parietal (precuneus), temporal (middle temporal and superior frontal gyrus, sub-gyral), frontal lobe (middle-, medial-, and superior-frontal gyrus), brainstem (midbrain), thalamus, insula, and cingulate gyrus. Conspicuous deactivation was evident in the occipital, frontal lobe areas (middle-, and superior-frontal gyrus), cerebellum, temporal lobe and limbic lobe (posterior and anterior cingulate, parahippocampal gyrus), and also in the occipital, frontal lobe areas (middle-, and superior-frontal gyrus), cerebellum, temporal lobe and limbic lobe (posterior- and anterior- cingulate, parahippocampal gyrus). Furthermore, the differential BOLD signal (Fig. 2D and Table 4) revealed that activation is more significant for the phosphene-perceiving group than those who do not see it (group 1 > group 2). This was evident in the occipital (cuneus, lingual gyrus, middle occipital gyrus), parietal (paracentral superior- and inferior-lobules, supramarginal- and postcentral-gyrus), temporal (middle temporal gyrus), frontal lobe areas (paracentral- and precentral-lobules, middle-, medial-, superior-frontal gyrus), brainstem (midbrain), cerebellum (culema), and the limbic lobe (parahippocampal gyrus). In contrast, for the non-phosphene-perceiving group (group 2 > group 1) activation was more pronounced in the occipital (middle occipital gyrus), parietal (middle temporal gyrus), frontal lobe areas (middle- and medial-frontal gyrus), cerebellum (tuber and culmen), and the limbic lobe (amygdala).

DISCUSSION

In this study we have used the simultaneous TMS-fMRI combination to evaluate the functional response associated with phosphene perception after delivering a TMS stimulus over the brain’s primary visual cortex area. We found that TMS modulated brain activity even in absence of phosphene generation; however, the remarkable difference in brain activation/deactivation between the people who perceive phosphene and those who lack this perception (Fig. 2) implies substantial divergence in their function networks; such divergence was already suggested in a previous study [10], and may explain the difference in phosphene generation.

TMS activated a smaller network in group 2 than in group 1, with no activation at the stimulus site; however, some brain areas were activated in both groups, including the subcortical occipital and parietal regions (Fig. 2, Table 1). In addition, BOLD change was less significant (Fig. 2) near the stimulus site than in distant brain regions, suggesting that TMS effects are more effective in interconnected brain areas than at the stimulation site. Early work, reviewed by Siebner and colleagues [39], pointed out that while single pulses or short trains of TMS at intensities below motor threshold failed to induce changes in the BOLD signal at the site of stimulation, the signal increased in the stimulated primary motor cortex (M1) during supra-threshold TMS. Therefore, they suggested, the latter observation may reflect activation due to afferent feedback from TMS-induced hand movements. In a similar context, we noted the presence of BOLD signal near the site of stimulation only for those subjects who perceive phosphene, suggesting that this activation might trigger a feedback response from their visualizing phosphenes.

The results from group 1 reveal a direct connection between the FEF, the occipital area, and the parietal cortex (Fig. 2, Table 1). The link between the first two was documented by a positron emission tomography (PET) study in primates, viz., delivering TMS in FEF causes changes in cerebral blood flow (CBF) in the visual cortex [40]. Similarly, Roo and colleagues demonstrated a connection between FEF and the occipital cortex [28], and later between parietal cortex and the occipital cortex [29], by stimulating the FEF and the parietal cortex respectively. However, in both cases, their acquisition of fMRI by MRI surface coils at the occipital cortex greatly reduced BOLD-fMRI sensitivity in its more anterior regions (e.g., parietal and frontal cortex), virtually confining the effect to the occipital area (V1-V4). A different stimulation technique, transcranial electric stimulation (TES), also activated the occipital-, parietal-, and prefrontal- (FEF and supplementary eyes-field (SEF)) cortices, and areas associated with pain or discomfort, such as the limbic lobe, insula, and thalamus, when the electrodes were positioned on the occipital area [41]. Although TES preferentially stimulates fibers rather than cell bodies, this
### Table 3. Brain Regions Showing Activation and Deactivation for the Conjunctive Analysis (P + NP, P = Phosphen and NP = Non-Phosphen) (Fig. 2C): Results from a Fix-Effects Analysis, T ≥ 4.56 (FWE, p < 0.05), voxel size ≥ 15. (*p<sub>corr</sub> not Statistically Significant)

| Brain Region | Talairach Coordinates (x, y, z)[mm] | Cluster Level No. of Voxels (p<sub>corr</sub> < 0.0001) | Voxel Level (T-Score) |
|--------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| **Activation** |                                    |                                                |                     |
| R, occipital lobe, cuneus | 24 -78 15 | 18 | 5.41 |
| L, parietal lobe, precuneus (BA 7) | -21 -63 33 | 2810 | 11.60 |
| R, midbrain | 9 -21 -15 | 10.03 | |
| R, sub-lobar, thalamus | 15 -18 12 | 8.99 | |
| *L, occipital lobe, cuneus | -4 -92 16 | 6.50 | |
| R, temporal lobe, sub-gyral | 30 -57 27 | 193 | 7.09 |
| R, temporal lobe, middle temporal gyrus (BA 21) | 57 6 -18 | 16 | 6.08 |
| R, temporal lobe, superior frontal gyrus | 39 3 -21 | 423 | 8.82 |
| R, sub-lobar, insula | 33 18 9 | 6.71 | |
| R, sub-lobar, extra nuclear | 33 12 -9 | 6.25 | |
| L, frontal lobe, sub-gyral | -30 39 15 | 275 | 7.33 |
| L, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus | -36 48 18 | 6.50 | |
| L, sub-lobar, insula | -36 15 6 | 6.44 | |
| L, sub-lobar, insula | -39 -6 3 | 19 | 5.43 |
| R, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus | 33 36 27 | 93 | 7.92 |
| R, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus (BA 8) | 36 36 39 | 5.99 | |
| R, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus (BA 10) | 24 57 21 | 35 | 6.63 |
| R, frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus (BA 6) | 6 6 57 | 106 | 7.39 |
| L, frontal lobe, medial frontal gyrus (BA 6) | -9 6 51 | 5.69 | |
| R, frontal lobe, medial frontal gyrus | 3 30 30 | 58 | 6.96 |
| R, limbic lobe, cingulate gyrus | 6 18 39 | 5.14 | |
| R, limbic lobe, cingulate gyrus | 9 -24 27 | 16 | 5.41 |
| **Deactivation** |                                    |                                                |                     |
| L, cerebellum, declive | -18 -75 -12 | 5207 | 15.64 |
| R, limbic lobe, posterior cingulate | 9 -57 12 | 15.14 | |
| R, occipital lobe, middle occipital gyrus | 45 -69 3 | 15.01 | |
| L, limbic lobe, parahippocampal gyrus | -21 36 -9 | 459 | 12.26 |
| L, limbic lobe, anterior cingulate (BA 32) | -12 45 -6 | 10.31 | |
| L, frontal lobe, sub-gyral | -18 21 -3 | 9.73 | |
| L, frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus (BA 8) | -6 48 48 | 109 | 8.24 |
| L, frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus (BA 8) | -30 24 51 | 118 | 7.83 |
| L, frontal lobe, postcentral gyrus | -48 -15 33 | 23 | 5.76 |
| L, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus | -48 18 27 | 33 | 6.90 |
| R, frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus | 21 21 51 | 83 | 6.60 |
| R, temporal lobe, sub-gyral | 48 -12 -15 | 40 | 6.53 |
| L, temporal gyrus, middle temporal gyrus | -54 -18 -6 | 17 | 6.78 |
### Table 4. Brain Regions Showing the Differential Results for P > NP, and NP > P (Fig. 2D); Results from a Fix-Effects Analysis, T ≥ 4.56 (FWE, p < 0.05), Voxel Size ≥ 15

| Brain Region                                      | Talairach Coordinates  | Cluster Level   | Voxel Level |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
|                                                  | (x, y, z)[mm]          | No. of Voxels (p_corr < 0.0001) | (T-Score)   |
| **P > NP**                                        |                        |                 |             |
| L, occipital lobe, cuneus (BA 18)                | -12 -72 15             | 949             | 10.60       |
| L, occipital lobe, lingual gyrus                 | -6 -78 3               |                 | 8.59        |
| R, occipital lobe, cuneus (BA 18)                | 12 -69 15              |                 | 8.43        |
| R, occipital lobe, lingual gyrus                 | 15 -96 -9              | 18              | 5.64        |
| R, occipital lobe, cuneus                        | 9 -96 6                |                 | 5.63        |
| L, occipital lobe, middle occipital gyrus        | -45 -75 9              | 370             | 6.98        |
| L, temporal lobe, middle temporal gyrus          | -45 -54 9              |                 | 6.28        |
| L, parietal lobe, inferior parietal lobule        | -51 -39 27             |                 | 6.26        |
| L, brainstem, midbrain                           | -12 -15 -6             | 85              | 8.28        |
| R, brainstem, midbrain                           | 3 -18 -9               |                 | 6.39        |
| R, limbic lobe, parahippocampal gyrus (BA 19)    | 24 -54 -6              | 45              | 7.43        |
| R, limbic lobe, parahippocampal gyrus            | 33 -27 -15             | 32              | 5.89        |
| R, cerebellum, anterior lobe, culmen              | 24 -27 24              |                 | 5.83        |
| L, frontal lobe, medial frontal gyrus            | -6 0 54                | 999             | 9.55        |
| R, frontal lobe, superior frontal gyrus          | 21 9 57                |                 | 8.60        |
| L, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus            | -30 6 54               |                 | 8.30        |
| R, frontal lobe, precentral gyrus (BA 44)        | 48 18 9                | 31              | 5.82        |
| L, frontal lobe, paracentral lobule (BA 6)       | -6 -27 75              | 76              | 5.85        |
| L, frontal lobe, precentral gyrus (BA 4)         | -24 -24 72             |                 | 5.84        |
| L, parietal lobe, paracentral lobule (BA 6)      | -3 -33 69              |                 | 5.71        |
| L, parietal lobe, superior parietal lobule       | -27 -51 63             | 31              | 6.64        |
| R, parietal lobe, inferior parietal lobule (BA 40)| 39 -48 39              | 102             | 7.05        |
| R, parietal lobe, supramarginal gyrus (BA 40)    | 54 -45 27              |                 | 5.81        |
| R, parietal lobe, postcentral gyrus              | 48 -18 24              | 57              | 7.30        |
| **NP > P**                                        |                        |                 |             |
| R, occipital lobe, middle occipital gyrus        | 36 -87 6               | 22              | 6.94        |
| R, occipital lobe, middle occipital gyrus        | 48 -63 -6              | 200             | 8.63        |
| R, temporal lobe, middle temporal gyrus          | 45 -54 9               |                 | 6.35        |
| R, temporal lobe, middle temporal gyrus          | 39 -60 21              |                 | 5.02        |
| R, cerebellum, posterior lobe, tuber             | 27 -75 -30             | 72              | 6.15        |
| L, cerebellum, anterior lobe, culmen             | -12 -51 -18            | 16              | 5.37        |
| R, limbic lobe, parahippocampal gyrus, amygdala  | 21 0 -15               | 22              | 5.83        |
| R, sub-lobar, extra nuclear                      | 6 15 -6                | 19              | 5.60        |
| R, frontal lobe, middle frontal gyrus            | 30 36 -12              | 47              | 8.30        |
| L, frontal lobe, medial frontal gyrus            | -6 36 -12              | 34              | 7.01        |
earlier work supports our findings on the interconnection among the occipital area, parietal cortices, and the FEF. However, brain activation in the thalamus, insula, and cingulate gyrus in both of our groups, i.e., areas previously associated with discomfort by those authors [41], suggest that the BOLD response therein is not caused by the TMS stimulus; rather, it may be a consequence of any unpleasant sensation, such as the “tap” that participants felt during stimulation.

Brainstem activation, in the midbrain area, was significant only in the phosphene-perceiving group (Fig. 2, Tables 1 and 4). This part of the midbrain has a conduit function; for example, the superior colliculus is directly associated with visual reflexes, and receives input from the eyes [42]. The red nucleus, another of its structures, controls motor coordination [43]. Therefore, the activation of the midbrain only in the phosphene group (Fig. 2, Table 1) conforms to their perceiving phosphene and their subsequent button-pressing, which, we believe, also was responsible for activating the motor area (L, medial frontal gyrus (BA 6)).

The cerebellum was activated in both groups, but significantly more so in group 2 (Fig. 2, Table 1). Researchers believed that the cerebellum is devoted exclusively to motor functions [44]; recently, many other roles were proposed, including its serving as an internal timing system, assessing millisecond intervals [45]. Our results support the latter suggestion because we noted high activation in the cerebellum of the non-phosphene group that never undertakes any motor task during the scan; possibly this group is more attentive to the interval between the TMS pulse and image acquisition than the other group who might focus more on the phosphene sensation.

Non-phosphene perceivers (group 2) exhibited larger deactivated brain areas than perceivers (group 1) (Fig. 2, Table 2). Previous fMRI studies suggested concomitant deactivation might represent the active suppression of neural activity in brain regions that are unimportant or may interfere with performing the required tasks [46-48]. In contrast, other work hypothesizes that fMRI deactivation may simply reflect a direct hemodynamic change in the vascular system in response to variation in the adjacent regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF), [49]. Additionally, our previous results suggest that changes in rCBF are task-dependent [48]. Furthermore, previous concurrent repetitive TMS (rTMS) and PET studies demonstrated that rTMS can induce decrease or increase of rCBF, depending on the parameters of the stimulus [50, 51]. Therefore, the negative BOLD response we observed in group 1 may reflect local changes in rCBF induced by the TMS stimulus because the activated/deactivated areas appear to be balanced (Fig. 2, Table 1 and 2). However, for groups 2, neither of these two hypotheses explain the extended deactivated network; rather, they suggest a TMS-induced overall reduction in brain activity.

The decline in the phosphene threshold with an increase in the static magnetic field (Fig. 1) may indicate a change in the performance of the TMS coil, or in cortical excitability under a high static magnetic field. Testing the coil’s performance with a pickup coil, in the same position relative to the TMS coil, inside and outside the MRI scanner, revealed no marked change in induced voltage, (data not shown), pointing to the second possibility. However, the small sample and the inaccuracy of the method of registering the phosphene threshold (self-reported) preclude a definitive statement. A longitudinal study encompassing more volunteers is needed to validate this preliminary finding; more precise results would emerge from motor-evoked potential measurements, obtained by applying TMS over the motor area, under different static magnetic fields. Another limitation of our study was associated with the behavioural differences between group during the experiment; while the perceiver group was actively engaged in a task (self-monitored, pressing a button on seeing phosphene), the non-perceiver group was not. Apart from likely differences in attention between the groups (that may well explain the BOLD differences in posterior brain regions), the perceiver group also performed an action (button press). These behavioural differences might have also contributed to the observed differences in the results, rather than uniquely affected by the difference in phosphene perception. Therefore, future studies where subjects indicate whether or not they saw phosphene, during TMS stimulus, are suggested.

CONCLUSION

Our work demonstrated that TMS modulates brain activity in interconnected areas in people who see phosphene and even in those who lack this perception. Brain activation in areas interconnected with the stimulated site seemingly present a higher T-score with larger cluster sizes (Fig. 2) than those near the site of stimulation, indicating that the effect of the TMS stimulus intensifies in these remote areas. Therefore, a sub-threshold stimulus will not greatly affect the stimulated area, but can significantly do so in remote brain regions. Moreover, the general variation in the patterns of brain activation/deactivation between people who perceive phosphene and those who lack this perception points to a possible difference in their neuronal network that may explain the cause of the difference in phosphene generation.
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