Glyphosate-based herbicides (GBHs) are among the most used pesticides worldwide, presenting high potential for human exposure. Recently, a debate was raised on glyphosate risks to human health due to conflicting views over its potential carcinogenic and endocrine-disrupting properties. Results from regulatory guideline studies, reports from Regulatory Agencies, and some literature studies point to a lack of endocrine-disrupting properties of the active ingredient glyphosate. On the other hand, many in vivo and in vitro studies, using different experimental model systems, have demonstrated that GBHs can disrupt certain hormonal signaling pathways with impacts on the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis and other organ systems. Importantly, several studies showed that technical-grade glyphosate is less toxic than formulated GBHs, indicating that the mixture of the active ingredient and formulants can have cumulative effects on endocrine and reproductive endpoints, which requires special attention from Regulatory Agencies. In this mini-review, we discuss the controversies related to endocrine-disrupting properties of technical-grade glyphosate and GBHs emphasizing the reproductive system and its implications for human health.
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INTRODUCTION

Glyphosate, an aminophosphonic derivative of the natural amino acid glycine, has been extensively used as an herbicide since the early 1970s due to its inhibitory effects on the biosynthesis of essential aromatic amino acids in plants. The development of genetically engineered glyphosate-tolerant crops in 1996 has broadened the agricultural applications of glyphosate-based herbicides (GBHs), which became the most widely used herbicides worldwide (1). Glyphosate and its main breakdown product aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA) have been ubiquitously detected in the environment (2). Surprisingly, there are limited human biomonitoring data on glyphosate, but the available studies indicate ubiquitous presence of both glyphosate and
AMP A on human urinary samples from the general population (3–5). Also, GBHs contain a number of co-formulants, which can be potentially ingested (e.g., via food or water) concurrently with glyphosate and AMP A (3).

The safety of glyphosate has been the focus of significant concern and debate due to its wide presence in the environment and controversies over its toxicity (6). These controversies can be illustrated by the disputable results of a study by Samsel and Seneff (7), which suggested a link between glyphosate and disruption of metabolic pathways in the gut microbiome and associations with several chronic diseases, such as obesity, depression, autism, cancer, and infertility. However, their comment was based on a flawed logic, lacking scientific evidence, as explored in more detail by Mesnage and Antoniou (8). Some recent data also suggest that glyphosate inhibition of the shikimate pathway could potentially alter gut microbial community and microbiome chemical metabolism in rats (9), although others have found very limited effects of glyphosate on the gut microbiome (10).

The endocrine disrupting potential of glyphosate has been in the center of recent debates, because of conflicting data and interpretation (11–13). According to the Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program (EDSP) of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (14) and reports from the European Food Safety Authority (15) there is no sufficient evidence to support endocrine disrupting effects of glyphosate. These conclusions are based on a set of in vitro and short-term in vivo screening assays designed to investigate interaction of chemicals with estrogen-, androgen-, and thyroid-signaling pathways or interference with steroidogenesis, as well as in vivo long-term toxicity studies (apical studies). A comprehensive review of the EDSP data has been recently published (11). On the other hand, several studies have pointed to potential endocrine and reproductive effects of glyphosate and GBHs in different model systems, with results usually indicating higher toxicity of GBHs when compared to the active ingredient alone. The debate over the endocrine-disrupting effects of glyphosate and other pesticides is of importance in the context of chemical regulation as many countries, including European Union states and Brazil adopt a hazard-based approach to endocrine disruptors in plant protection products (16).

Here, we briefly review some of the studies on glyphosate reproductive and endocrine toxicity and discuss some of reasons for the discrepancies found in the literature. We present experimental data related to effects potentially linked to disruption of sex hormones and possible repercussions on reproductive health. Epidemiological data will not be thoroughly addressed in the current review.

**INTERACTION OF GLYPHOSATE AND GBHS WITH ANDROGENIC AND ESTROGENIC HORMONE PATHWAYS OR STEROIDOGENESIS**

Although controversial, some studies suggested that both technical-grade glyphosate and GBHs could exert receptor-mediated estrogenic or androgenic effects. Thongprakaisang and colleagues (17) have demonstrated that environmentally relevant concentrations of glyphosate promoted the transcription activity of estrogen responsive elements (ERE) and induced in vitro growth of the T47D hormone-dependent breast cancer cell lineage, but had no response in the hormone-independent MDA-MB231 cells. Also, they showed that the proliferative effect was abrogated after ICI 182780 (an ER inhibitor) exposure, supporting the estrogenic activity hypothesis of glyphosate. Mesnage et al. (18) showed that technical-grade glyphosate has a weak interaction with estrogen receptor-α (ER-α) and suggested that its gene activation is mediated by a non-ligand binding mechanism.

In opposition, Kojima et al. (19) screened androgenic or estrogenic effects of several pesticides and did not find any hormonal activity of technical-grade glyphosate by using the reporter gene assay in CHO-K1 cells. Recently, Tóth and collaborators (20) used bioluminescent yeast estrogen and androgen assays to screen the estrogenic and androgenic activities of technical-grade glyphosate and co-formulants, and 13 GBHs. technical-grade glyphosate and AMP A displayed no significant hormonal activity, while five GBH formulations had estrogenic activity, one GBH had androgenic activity and three of the tested formulations displayed both androgenic and estrogenic activity.

Additionally, it has been proposed that both technical-grade glyphosate or GBHs have the ability to disrupt steroidogenesis (21). Overall, it seems the GBHs rather than technical-grade glyphosate are able to disrupt the transcription and activity of some components of the steroidogenic machinery, sometimes leading to changes in the hormone production. Pandey & Rudraiah (21) observed several changes in the adrenal gland of adult male Wistar rats exposed to 10 mg/kg bw of Roundup® during two weeks, including a downregulation of mRNA levels of the steroidogenic acute regulatory protein (STAR), as well as reduced total and phosphorylated StAR protein expression. STAR plays a central role in the biosynthesis of steroid hormones, since it is responsible for the transport of cholesterol to the inner mitochondrial membrane (22). In the study by Pandey & Rudraiah (21), the altered expression of STAR was accompanied by accumulation of lipid droplets in the adrenal gland and increased gland weight and as a consequence, reduced corticosterone levels. Also, Roundup® reduced adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) levels and consequently downregulated the adrenal levels of phosphorylated CREB, a transcriptional factor of the StAR gene (21).

The potential effects of GBHs on the production of sex hormones may be also mediated by disruption of hypothalamic-pituitary-peripheral glands axes. Ren et al. (23) showed that both technical-grade glyphosate and Roundup® reduced the expression of Gnrh in the hypothalamus of pregnant mice, but surprisingly also induced an increase in luteinizing hormone (LH) expression in the pituitary, as well as an increase in follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) expression in the technical-grade glyphosate group. Additionally, our research group has demonstrated that Roundup Transorb® can alter gonadotropin expression in rats. Perinatal exposure to this GBH at 50 mg/kg/day, during a critical window for brain sexual differentiation, enhanced the mRNA expression of Lh and Fsh and increased LH protein expression in the pituitary and serum.
However, there are conflicting data on the effects of technical-grade glyphosate and GBHs on the levels of circulating sex hormones. While our perinatal study found an increase in serum testosterone concentrations in adult rats exposed in utero and during early postnatal life to 50 mg/kg/day Roundup Transorb® (24), in pubertal male rats exposed to this same formulation at 5, 50, and 250 mg/kg/day, we detected a dose-dependent reduction in circulating levels of testosterone along with delayed puberty onset (25). Decreased testosterone production was also observed in other experimental settings, including reduced testosterone levels in pubertal and adult rats (13) and quails (26) exposed to GBHs and decreased testosterone output in primary cultures of rat Leydig cells exposed to environmental relevant concentrations of both technical-grade glyphosate and glyphosate-based Roundup Bioforce® formulation (27). Some studies also showed an increase (12, 23, 24) or a decrease (28, 29) in estradiol production, as well as an augmentation (30) or a reduction (23, 29) in the levels of progesterone. However, there are studies reporting no changes in testosterone (31−33), estradiol (30, 32, 33), or progesterone (32, 34) production.

These differences could be related to experimental design, windows and duration of exposure, and in particular to the GBH formulation tested. For example, Johansson and colleagues (31) reported that neither Glyfonova® 450 plus (a GBH formulation) nor technical-grade glyphosate altered intratesticular testosterone concentration and both were not able to promote changes in STAR mRNA or protein levels in the testis of Sprague-Dawley rats. But the same authors found that Glyfonova® 450 plus (25 mg/kg by two weeks) upregulated Cyp11a1 and Cyp17a1 mRNA, key steroidogenic enzymes in early steps of steroidogenesis. On the other hand, a pioneer study of GBHs toxicity conducted by Walsh et al. (34) using the MA-10 Leydig tumor cell line found that Roundup®, but not technical-grade glyphosate reduced progesterone production, increased the StAR transcripts, and reduced the protein levels of this enzyme. They also reported that Roundup did not interfere with CYP11a1 transcription or translation, but reduced its activity. Also, Roundup® reduced mRNA levels of 3β-HSD (responsible for late steps in testosterone production), but induced no changes in protein levels or enzymatic activity.

Another potential steroidogenic target for GBHs is the CYP19a1 (aromatase) enzyme, responsible for the conversion of androgens into estrogens. Richard et al. (35) reported inhibition of aromatase activity and reduced mRNA transcripts following incubation of JEG-3 human choriocarcinoma derived placental cells for 18h with Roundup®, but not with technical-grade glyphosate. The Roundup® tested concentrations were approximately 100 times lower than the recommended concentrations for agricultural use. In this same study, both technical-grade glyphosate and Roundup® inhibited aromatase activity in microsomal fractions obtained from human placenta and equine testis, with the Roundup® formulation displaying an inhibitory effect three times greater than technical-grade glyphosate in the two microsomal preparations.

Figure 1 illustrates some potential sites of interaction of glyphosate/GBHs with components of the endocrine system involved in the control of reproduction. Disruption of steroidogenesis and/or hormonal signaling pathways may be associated with several effects on female and male reproductive function. In Table 1 we summarize some of the in vivo effects observed in experimental animals following technical-grade glyphosate and/or GBHs exposure.

**EXAMPLES OF REPRODUCTIVE EFFECTS LINKED TO ENDOCRINE MODES OF ACTION IN FEMALES**

Technical-grade glyphosate and its formulations can alter decidualization and pregnancy and it seems that the uterus is extremely sensitive to GBHs. A study showed that neonatal exposure to a GBH containing 66.2% of glyphosate (brand not mentioned) increased the number of reabsorption sites and altered decidualization response in rats, by decreasing the expression of estrogen and progesterone receptors and downregulating COUP-TFII and Bmp2 mRNA (45). Moreover, it was demonstrated later by the same group that GBH neonatal exposure also deregulate the Wnt/β-catenin signaling in the uterus, which might explain embryo losses (46) and enhanced the uterine sensitivity to estradiol in ovariectomized rats (44). These data together with possible effects on steroid hormone production (described in the above section) can provide a mechanistic explanation for the reported changes in uterus biology and pregnancy-related problems after GBH exposure, such as disrupted uterine differentiation and miscarriage (34) in animal models, and support the biological plausibility of the association found between glyphosate exposure and shortened pregnancy in humans (47).

Another fact that cannot be ignored is the eminent epigenetic effects of glyphosate exposure. Recently, a study conducted by Kubsad and colleagues (48) demonstrated that exposure of rat F0 dams to 25 mg/kg of technical-grade glyphosate between gestational days 8 and 14 induced reproductive problems such as delayed puberty onset in F2 generation, parturition abnormalities in F3 generation, and ovary diseases in both F2 and F3 generations, indicating direct and transgenerational epigenetic effects.

**EXAMPLES OF REPRODUCTIVE EFFECTS LINKED TO ENDOCRINE MODES OF ACTION IN MALES**

The impact of developmental GBHs exposure on the male reproductive system has been demonstrated in a number of experimental studies. Our research group showed that perinatal rat exposure (GD18 to PND5) to 50 mg/kg Roundup® Transorb® disrupted the hypothalamic-pituitary-testis axis and promoted a
pro-androgenic effect, by increasing blood levels of testosterone, enhancing the weight of the reproductive organs and sperm production at adulthood (24). This pro-androgenic effect was also recently corroborated by a study by Manservisi et al. (13), who reported increased anogenital distance in rats exposed in utero and postnatally to Roundup® Bioflow. However, the disruption of androgen signaling by GBHs seems to be dependent on the timing of exposure and experimental conditions. When administered during the prepubertal period, Roundup® Transorb induced an anti-androgenic response, decreasing the levels of circulating testosterone and delaying the puberty entry in male rats (25). In mice, Roundup® exposure during the gestation and lactation delayed the testis descent and reduced the number of spermatozoa in the cauda epididymis (43). It was demonstrated that immature Sertoli cells are sensitive to GBHs (49) and this seems to be a result of the deregulation of Ca²⁺ homeostasis, triggering the MAPK signaling and reactive oxygen species generation, promoting cell death (42). In vitro data indicate disruption of cell detoxification systems by GBHs in testicular cells and usually higher cytotoxicity when compared to technical-grade glyphosate, indicating that co-exposure of the active ingredient and formulants may have greater impact on the testis (34). Also, GBHs may display higher toxicity than some isolated formulants, such as polyethoxylated amine (POEA) compounds used in glyphosate formulations (34). Although GBHs-induced dysfunctions of testicular cells may not be a direct consequence of hormonal disruption, such effects may have significant consequences for testicular function.

The final consequence of the GBH exposure during developmental periods (24, 40, 41, 43) or at adulthood (32, 39) is the potential reduction of male fertility by reducing the sperm quality and count. A recent meta-analysis corroborated the reduction of sperm count due to GBH exposure in rodents (50). Some in vitro studies were employed to explore the direct effects of both technical-grade glyphosate and Roundup® in sperm. Nerozzi and colleagues (38) revealed that both Roundup® and technical-grade glyphosate reduced the sperm function, but only Roundup reduced the sperm number. Also, Cassault-Meyer et al. (37) observed that rats exposed to Roundup® showed a decreased sperm nuclear quality and impairment in morphology, although they have not seen any reduction in sperm count and motility. Finally, in vitro exposure to 0.36 mg.L⁻¹ of technical-grade glyphosate after 1 h reduced the progressive motility but did not affect DNA integrity in human sperm (36).

**DISCUSSION**

A central point in the discussion of the endocrine-disrupting potential of glyphosate and GBHs lies in the issue of the toxicological evaluation of technical pesticides or their commercial formulations (3, 51). Currently, standard testing guidelines and regulatory bodies in different countries, including regulatory agencies in the European Union, the United States, and Brazil only require a complete toxicological
| Chemical | LOAEL for the study | Experimental model | Age at beginning of exposure | Exposure duration | Exposure route | Main results | Reference |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| Technical-grade glyphosate (Gly) or GBH (Magnum Super, Grupo Agros S.R.L.) | 2 mg/kg | Pregnant Wistar rats (F0 dams) | Gestational day 9 until weaning (lactation day 21) | 35 days | Oral (laboratory pellet chow based paste) | ↑ Food intake (F0 dams; Gly) ↓ birth weight (Gly) ↑ Preimplantation loss rate (F1 dams; Gly and GBH) ↑ E2 serum levels (F1 pregnant dams; Gly and GBH) ↑ Uterine expression of ER α, PR (Gly), Lif, Hoxa10 (Gly and GBH) ↑ Preimplantation loss rate (F1 dams; Gly and GBH) ↑ E2 serum levels (F1 pregnant dams; Gly and GBH) ↑ Uterine expression of ER α, PR (Gly), Lif, Hoxa10 (Gly and GBH) | Lorenz et al. (12) |
| Technical-grade glyphosate (Gly) or GBH (Roundup Bioflow, Consorzio Agrario dell’Emilia) | 1.75 mg/kg | Pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats (F0 dams) and F1 offspring | F0 dams: Gestational day 9 until weaning (lactation day 21) F1 offspring: until postnatal day 120 | F0 dams: 35 days F1 offspring: 134 days | Drinking water | Anogenital distance (♂ Gly and GBH; ♀ GBH) Delayed first estrous (GBH) ↑ Epididymis weight (GBH) ↑ Serum DHT (GBH) ↓ Corticosterone ↓ STAR, CREB, Ldr, and Hmgcs expression in adrenal gland ↓ Uterus weight (Gly and GBH) ↓ Progesterone (Gly and GBH) ↓ GnRH mRNA expression (Gly and GBH) ↑ FSH and ↓ FSHR mRNA expression (Gly) ↑ LH mRNA expression (Gly and GBH) and ↓ LHR mRNA expression (GBH) ↑ Cyp11a1 and Cyp19a1 mRNA expression (Gly) ↑ Cyp17a1 mRNA expression (GBH) ↓ 3βHSD mRNA expression (Gly and GBH) | Manservisi et al. (13) |
| GBH (Roundup, Monsanto Co.) | 10 mg/kg | ♀ Wistar rats | 2–2.5 months old | 14 days | Gavage | Testosterone, estradiol, LH ↑ LH expression ↓ Sperm production ↓ Sperm production ↓ Seminiferous epithelium ↓ Testosterone ↓ PRM: progressive motility ↓ P450 arom, ↓ Occulin and Connexin 43 expression ↓ Gper1 ↓ Morphology (% normal forms) ↓ Progressive sperm motility | Pandey and Rudraiah (21) |
| Technical-grade glyphosate (Gly) or GBH (Roundup, Sinochem Crop Protection Products Co., Ltd.) | 0.5% | Pregnant mice | Gestational day 1 to 19 | 19 days | Drinking water | | Ren et al. (23) |
| GBH (Roundup, Monsanto Co.) | 50 mg/kg | ♀ Wistar rats (adult male offspring from dams treated with GBH) | Gestational day 18 to postnatal day 5 | 10 days | Gavage to the mothers | Testosterone, estradiol, LH ↑ LH expression ↓ Sperm production ↓ Sperm production ↓ Seminiferous epithelium ↓ Testosterone ↓ PRM: progressive motility ↓ P450 arom, ↓ Occulin and Connexin 43 expression ↓ Gper1 ↓ Morphology (% normal forms) ↓ Progressive sperm motility | Romano et al. (24) |
| Technical-grade glyphosate (Gly) or GBH (Roundup Transorb, Monsanto Co.) | 500 mg/kg | Sprague-Dawley rats | 56-day-old | 5 weeks | Gavage | | Dai et al. (32) |
| Technical-grade glyphosate (Gly) or GBH (Roundup Transorb, Monsanto Co.) | 5 mg/kg | ♀ Wistar rats | 23-day-old | 30 days | Gavage | | Romano et al. (25) |
| Technical-grade glyphosate GBH (RoundupGrand Travaux Plus, Monsanto Co.) | 0.36 mg/L | Fresh Human Sperm | NA | 1 h | Cell culture media | | Anfandis et al. (36) |
| GBH (Roundup Bioflow) | 5 μg/mL | Boar semen | 2–3 years | 1-3 h | Cell culture media | | Cassault-Meyer et al. (37) |

(Continued)
| Chemical                              | LOAEL for the study | Experimental model | Age at beginning of exposure | Exposure duration | Exposure route     | Main results                                                                 | Reference                  |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Technical-grade glyphosate GLY Sigma-Aldrich | 5 mg/kg             | ♂ Wistar rats      | 3–4 weeks                   | 52 days           | Gavage, ingredients dissolved in corn oil. | ↓ Epididymis weights | Abarikwu et al. (39) |
| Technical-grade glyphosate GLY Sigma-Aldrich, and Roundup 3 Plus (Monsanto Europe, Belgium) | 0.5 mg/kg           | Swiss mouse        | 4 month                     | E10.5 to 20 dpp   | Drinking water | ↓ Relative weight Testis ↓ Seminal vesicles weight ↓ Spermatozoa number in epididymis ↓ Serum testosterone levels ↑ Vacuoles in the seminiferous epithelium of the testis | Pham et al. (40) |
| Roundup Original, Monsanto Co.       | 50 mg/kg            | ♂ Wistar rats      | 23 days                     | 35 days           | Gavage             | ↓ Testosterone levels, ↓ Spermatids/testicle weight | Nardi et al. (41) |
| Roundup Original, Monsanto Co.       | 0.72 ppm            | ♂ Wistar rats, Sertoli Cells Culture | 30 days                     | 72 h              | Cell culture media | ↑ Relative weight of cauda epididymis ↑ Oxidative Stress ↑ Ca++ uptake ↓ BW gain during pregnancy ↑ Age at testis descent ↓ Spermatozoza reserve ↓ Epithelial height of seminiferous tubules ↑ Intratesticular testosterone concentration | De Liz Oliveira Cavalli et al. (42) |
| GBH (Roundup Original, Monsanto Co.) | 0.5%                | C57Bl/6 Mice       | Gestational day 4 to postnatal day 21 | 38 days           | Drinking water | ↑ Sensitivity of the rat uterus to estradiol and Uterine Luminal epithelial height ↑ Stromal thickness ↑ Uterine cell proliferation ↑ ESR1 and ESR 2 expression | Teleken et al. (43) |
| GBH (Roundup Full II, Monsanto Co.)  | 2 mg/kg             | ♀ Wistar rats offspring | 1 – 7 Pnd | 7 days | Subcutaneous injection | ↑ Sensitivity of the rat uterus to estradiol and Uterine Luminal epithelial height ↑ Stromal thickness ↑ Uterine cell proliferation ↑ ESR1 and ESR 2 expression | Schimpf et al. (44) |

LOAEL, lowest observed adverse effect level.
assessment, including testing of endocrine disruption potential, of active ingredients (16). The literature data on GBHs effects on endocrine sensitive endpoints in different model systems illustrate the limitation of the current approach. GBHs are chemical mixtures and the toxicological assessment of the active ingredient alone is inadequate to predict the toxicity of the commercial formulations (51, 52). In addition, different formulations may result in different toxicity profiles depending on the co-formulants present in each GBH, as discussed in Interaction of Glyphosate and GBHs with Androgenic and Estrogenic Hormone Pathways or Steroidogenesis. However, considering the several GBHs mixtures available in the market and that their inert ingredients have not been investigated yet, the regulatory agencies should take into account that not only technical-grade glyphosate but the GBH formulation must be examined for legal decisions and approval. Although we understand the costs of widening the regulatory requirements to commercial formulations, including the increase in the use of laboratory animals, an extended testing strategy for GBHs and other commercial pesticides is needed. This may include the testing of the most widely used formulations as previously proposed (51), but also the use of current and novel in silico and in vitro methods for the screening of endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs).

Another potential source of disagreement between toxicity studies on endocrine-related effects of active ingredients of pesticides and their commercial formulations may be linked to the limitations of the current regulatory testing strategies (16, 51). Even the complete set of required tests for active ingredients exhibit potential data gaps in the assessment of endocrine-disrupting mechanisms and associated apical effects. For instance, the current regulatory screening assays (e.g., Tier 1 of US EPA) are limited to a number of mechanisms related to steroidogenesis or androgenic-, estrogenic-, and thyroid-hormone pathways and do not take into account possible interactions with additional nuclear and membrane receptors or other receptor-independent mechanisms, such as expression of hormones receptors, hormone transport, and epigenetic alterations (16). Although regulatory apical studies, such as the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study (OECD guideline 443), are designed to investigate sensitive life stages and a number of endocrine-, immune-, and neurodevelopmental endpoints, there are still important weaknesses concerning endocrine-disrupting effects linked to early-life programming events, such as hormonal imprinting during brain development, neurogenesis, gene expression in hormone-sensitive brain areas, and associated behavioral changes (53). Current testing strategies also fail to consider some aspects of metabolic disruption and effects on hormonal systems associated with stress responses, obesity, and energetic metabolism. It is important to mention that some of the neurodevelopmental and metabolic endpoints mentioned here have been at least partially investigated in prior glyphosate studies, but are outside of the main focus of this mini-review (54–56). Also, many studies ignore the effects of chemicals on females and may, therefore, miss important information on the toxicity profile of EDCs, which can alter hormone driven sex-specific processes at different life stages (57).

In a general way, differences between toxicity studies on glyphosate and other chemicals may arise from the variability in experimental conditions, including laboratory settings, animal species/strain, sex, duration of exposure, and life stage of treatment and analyses. Overall, the debate over the endocrine disrupting properties of technical-grade glyphosate and GBHs illustrates important issues regarding the toxicity testing and regulation of pesticides and other environmental chemicals. In particular, (i) the need to consider exposure scenarios that are human and environmentally relevant, such as testing pesticides formulations and other chemicals mixtures present in real life as opposed to isolated compounds; (ii) a critical appraisal and review of current toxicity testing strategies on EDCs to include a wider range of screening assays designed to detect a larger number of endocrine-related mechanisms, as well as the inclusion of toxicity endpoints that take into account subtle effects induced during early-life programming events. Importantly, the safety assessment limitations underlined here are not limited to glyphosate but could be extended to other chemicals and products of anthropogenic origin.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors (AA-R, MP, MR, RR, and AM-A) contributed equally to the literature review, writing, discussion and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

AA-R and MP are scholarship recipients from CAPES/Brasil. AM-A is a scholarship recipient from CNPq/Brasil.

**REFERENCES**

1. Benbrook CM. Trends in glyphosate herbicide use in the United States and globally. *Environ Sci Eur* (2016) 28:1–15. doi: 10.1186/s12302-016-0070-0
2. Van Bruggen AH, He MM, Shin K, Mai V, Jeong KC, Finckh MR, et al. Environmental and health effects of the herbicide glyphosate. *Sci Total Environ* (2018) 616–617:255–68. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.309
3. Connolly A, Coggins MA, Koch HM. Human biomonitoring of glyphosate exposures: State-of-the-art and future research challenges. *Toxics* (2020) 8:1–18. doi: 10.3390/TOXICS8030060
4. Connolly A, Koslitz S, Bury D, Brüning T, Conrad A, Kolossa-Gehring M, et al. Sensitive and selective quantification of glyphosate and aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA) in urine of the general population by gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B Anal Technol BioMed Life Sci* (2020) 1158:122348. doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2020.122348
5. Zoller O, Rhyrn P, Zarr JA, Dudler V. Urine glyphosate level as a quantitative biomarker of oral exposure. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* (2020) 228:113526. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhbeh.2020.113526
6. Horn S, Pieters R, Behn T. May agricultural water sources containing mixtures of agrochemicals cause hormonal disturbances? *Sci Total Environ* (2020) 711:134862. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134862
7. Samsel A, Seneff S. Glyphosate’s Suppression of Cytochrome P450 Enzymes and Amino Acid Biosynthesis by the Gut Microbiome: Pathways to Modern Disease. Entropy (2013) 15:416–63. doi: 10.3390/e15041416

8. Mesnage R, Antoniou MN. Facts and Fallacies in the Debate on Glyphosate Toxicity. Front Public Health (2017) 5:1–7. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2017.00316

9. Mesnage R, Teixeira M, Mandrioli D, Falconi L, Ducarmon QR, Zvittink RD, et al. Use of shotgun metagenomics and metabolomics to evaluate the impact of glyphosate or roundup mon 52276 on the gut microbiota and serum metabolome of sprague-dawley rats. Environ Health Perspect (2021) 129:1–5. doi: 10.1289/EHP990

10. Nielsen LN, Roager HM, Casas ME, Frandsen HL, Gosewinkel U, Bester K, et al. Roman R M, Roman M A, Bernardi M M, Di Lorenzo J, etc. Effects of exposure to a glyphosate-based herbicide formulation on male reproductive development in the rat. Arch Toxicol (2012) 10:195–203. doi: 10.1007/s00204-011-0788-9

11. Levine SL, Webb E G, Saltmarians DA. Review and analysis of the potential for glyphosate to interact with the estrogen, androgen and thyroid pathways. Pest Manag Sci (2020) 76:886–906. doi: 10.1002/ps.5983

12. Lorenz V, Pacini G, Luque EH, Varayoud J, Milesi MM. Perinatal exposure to glyphosate or a glyphosate-based formulation disrupts hormonal and uterine milieu during the receptive state in rats. Food Chem Toxicol (2020) 143:11560. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2020.115027

13. Manservisi F, Lesseur C, Panzacchi S, Mandrioli D, Falconi L, Bua L, et al. USEPA. EDSP: Weight of evidence analysis of potential interaction with the pesticide. Environ Pollut (2020) 266:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115108

14. Samsel A, Seneff S. Glyphosate impairs male offspring reproductive development by disrupting gonadotropin expression. Arch Toxicol (2012) 86:663–73. doi: 10.1007/s00204-011-0788-9

15. Roman R M, Roman M A, Bernardi M M, Furtado PV, Oliveira CA. Prepupal exposure to commercial formulation of the herbicide glyphosate alters testosterone levels and testicular morphology. Arch Toxicol (2010) 84:309–17. doi: 10.1007/s00204-009-0494-z

16. Roman R M, Raino M M, Gomez-Gallego C, Selinder O, Salminen S, Collado MC, et al. Glyphosate-based herbicides influence aromatase, reproduction hormones and gut microbiome but not reproduction: A long-term experiment in an avian model. Environ Pollut (2020) 266:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115108

17. Clair E, Mesnage R, Travert C, Serailni GÈ. A glyphosate-based herbicide induces necrosis and apoptosis in mature rat testicular cells in vitro, and testosterone decrease at lower levels. Toxicol Vit (2012) 26:269–79. doi: 10.1016/j.jtiv.2011.12.009

18. Perego MC, Schultz LF, Caloni F, Cortinovis C, Albonico M, Spizer IJ. Evidence for direct effects of glyphosate on ovarian function: glyphosate influences steroidogenesis and proliferation of bovine granulosa but not theca cells in vitro. J Appl Toxicol (2017) 37:692–8. doi: 10.1002/jat.3417

19. Perego MC, Caloni F, Cortinovis C, Schultz LF, Albonico M, Tszukulbishi D, et al. Influence of a Roundup formulation on glyphosate effects on steroidogenesis and proliferation of bovine granulosa cells in vitro. Chemosphere (2017) 188:274–9. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.09.007

20. Gigante P, Berni M, Bussolati S, Grasselli F, Grolli S, Ramoni R, et al. Glyphosate affects swine ovarian and adipose stromal cell functions. Anim Reprod Sci (2019) 195:185–96. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2018.05.023

21. Johansson H K L, Schwartz C L, Nielsen L N, Boberg J, Vinggaard A M, Bahl M, et al. Exposure to a glyphosate-based herbicide formulation, but not glyphosate alone, has only minor effects on adult rat testis. Reprod Toxicol (2018) 79:125–31. doi: 10.1016/j.reprotox.2018.09.008

22. Dai P, Hu P, Tang J, Li Y, Li C. Effect of glyphosate on reproductive organs in male rat. Acta Histochem (2016) 118:519–26. doi: 10.1016/j.acthis.2016.05.009

23. Quassinti L, Maccari E, Murri O, Iametti M. Effects of paracetamol and glyphosate on steroidogenesis in gonads of the frog Rana esculenta in vitro. Pestic Biochem Physiol (2009) 93:91–5. doi: 10.1016/j.psbp.2008.11.006

24. Walsh LP, McCormick C, Martin C, Stocco DM. Roundup Inhibits Steroidogenesis by Disrupting Steroidogenic Acute Regulatory (STAR) Protein Expression. Environ Health Perspect (2000) 108:769. doi: 10.1289/ehp.91743731

25. Richard S, Moslemi S, Sipahutar H, Benachouch N, Serailni GÈ. Differential effects of glyphosate and roundup on human placental cells and aromatase. Environ Health Perspect (2005) 113:761–6. doi: 10.1289/ehp.7728

26. Anifandis G, Katsanaki K, Lagodonti G, Messini C, Simopoulos M, Dafoopoulos K, et al. The effect of glyphosate on human sperm motility and sperm DNA fragmentation. Int J Environ Res Public Health (2018) 15:1–8. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15061117

27. Cassault-Meyer E, Gress S, Serailni GÈ, Galeraud-Denis I. An acute exposure to glyphosate-based herbicide alters aromate levels in testis and sperm nuclear quality. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol (2014) 38:131–40. doi: 10.1016/j.etap.2014.05.007

28. Nortozi C, Recuero S, Galeati G, Buoci D, Spinaci M, Yeste M. Effects of Roundup and its main component, glyphosate, upon mammalian sperm function and survival. Sci Rep (2020) 10:1–9. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-67538-w

29. Abarikwu SO, Akiri OF, Durojaiye MA. Combined effects of repeated administration of Bremint Wipeout (glyphosate) and Ultrazin (atrazine) on testosterone, oxidative stress and sperm quality of Wistar rats. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol (2015) 84:309–17. doi: 10.1016/j.etap.2015.07.025

30. Toth G, Hahn J, Radj D, Szalai DA, Kreszt B, Szoboszlay S. Cytotoxicity and hormonal activity of glyphosate-based herbicides. Environ Pollut (2020) 265:1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115027

31. Pandey A, Rudraiah M. Analysis of endocrine disruption effect of Roundup® in adrenal gland of male rats. Toxicol Rep (2015) 2:1075–85. doi: 10.1016/j.jtoxrep.2015.07.021

32. Miller WL, Auchus RJ. The molecular biology, biochemistry, and physiology of human steroidogenesis and its disorders. Endocr Rev (2011) 32:81–151. doi: 10.1210/edrv.2010-0013

33. Ren X, Li R, Liu J, Huang K, Wu S, Li Y, et al. Effects of glyphosate on the ovarian function of pregnant mice, the secretion of hormones and the sex ratio of their fetuses. Environ Pollut (2018) 234:833–41. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.09.049

34. Romano MA, Wissniewski P, Vieu P, Romano RM, Campos DA, Bernardi MM, et al. Glyphosate impairs male offspring reproductive development by disrupting gonadotropin expression. Arch Toxicol (2012) 86:663–73. doi: 10.1007/s00204-011-0788-9

35. The Ramazzini Institute. 13-week pilot study glyphosate-based herbicides administered at human-equivalent dose to Sprague Dawley rats: Effects on development and endocrine system. Environ Heal A Glob Access Sci Source (2019) 18:1–16. doi:10.1186/s12940-019-0453-y

36. USEPA. EDSP: Weight of evidence analysis of potential interaction with the estrogen, androgen or thyroid pathways. (2015) 70:1–62. Available at: https://napavalleyregister.com/edsp-weight-of-evidence-analysis-of-potential-interaction-with-the-pdf_5ca5e688-156a-54d6-b248-d103e6591633.html.
44. Schimpf MG, Milesi MM, Luque EH, Varayoud J. Glyphosate-based herbicide enhances the uterine sensitivity to estradiol in rats. *J Endocrinol* (2018) 239:197–213. doi: 10.1530/JOE-18-0207

45. Ingaramo PI, Varayoud J, Milesi MM, Schimpf MG, Muñoz-De-toro M, Luque EH. Effects of neonatal exposure to a glyphosate-based herbicide on female rat reproduction. *Reproduction* (2016) 152:403–15. doi: 10.1530/REP-16-0171

46. Ingaramo PI, Varayoud J, Milesi MM, Guerrero Schimpf M, Alarcón R, Muñoz-de-Toro M, et al. Neonatal exposure to a glyphosate-based herbicide alters uterine decidualization in rats. *Reprod Toxicol* (2017) 73:87–95. doi: 10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.07.022

47. Parvez S, Gerona RR, Proctor C, Friesen M, Ashby JL, Reiter JL, et al. Glyphosate exposure in pregnancy and shortened gestational length: A prospective Indiana birth cohort study. *Environ Heal A Glob Access Sci Source* (2018) 17:1–12. doi: 10.1186/s12940-018-0367-0

48. Kubsad D, Nilsson EE, King SE, Sadler-Riggleman I, Beck D, Skinner MK. Assessment of Glyphosate Induced Epigenetic Transgenerational Inheritance of Pathologies and Sperm Epimutations: Generational Toxicology. *Sci Rep* (2019) 9:1–17. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-42860-0

49. Vanlaeys A, Dubuisson F, Seralini GE, Travert C. Formulants of glyphosate-based herbicides have more deleterious impact than glyphosate on TM4 Sertoli cells. *Toxicol Vitro* (2018) 52:14–22. doi: 10.1016/j.tiv.2018.01.002

50. Cai W, Ji Y, Song X, Guo H, Han I, Zhang F, et al. Effects of glyphosate exposure on sperm concentration in rodents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* (2017) 55:138–55. doi: 10.1016/j.etap.2017.07.015

51. Vandenberg LN, Blumberg B, Antoianu MN, Renbrook CM, Carroll L, Calborn T, et al. Is it time to reassess current safety standards for glyphosate-based herbicides? *J Epidemiol Community Health* (2017) 71:613–8. doi: 10.1136/jech-2016-208463

52. Defarge N, Talács E, Lozano VL, Mesnage R, de Vendémois JS, Seralini GE, et al. Co-formulants in glyphosate-based herbicides disrupt aromatase activity in human cells below toxic levels. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* (2016) 13:1–17. doi: 10.3390/ijerph13030264

53. Demeneix B, Vandenberg LN, Ivell R, Zoeller RT. Thresholds and Endocrine Disruptors: An Endocrine Society Policy Perspective. *J Endocr Soc* (2020) 4:1–7. doi: 10.1210/jendso/bvaa085

54. Ji H, Xu L, Wang Z, Fan X, Wu L. Differential microRNA expression in the prefrontal cortex of mouse offspring induced by glyphosate exposure during pregnancy and lactation. *Exp Ther Med* (2018) 15:2457–67. doi: 10.3892/etm.2017.5669

55. Coullery R, Pacchioni AM, Rosso SB. Exposure to glyphosate during pregnancy induces neurobehavioral alterations and downregulation of Wnt5a-CaMKII pathway. *Reprod Toxicol* (2020) 96:390–8. doi: 10.1016/j.reprotox.2020.08.006

56. Martini CN, Gabrielli M, Vila M del C. A commercial formulation of glyphosate inhibits proliferation and differentiation to adipocytes and induces apoptosis in 3T3-L1 fibroblasts. *Toxicol Vitro* (2012) 26:1007–13. doi: 10.1016/j.tiv.2012.04.017

57. Sandberg K, Umans JG, Berga SL, Bonham AC, Clarke R, Darsow T, et al. Recommendations concerning the new U.S. National Institutes of Health initiative to balance the sex of cells and animals in preclinical research. *FASEB J* (2015) 29:1646–52. doi: 10.1096/fj.14-269548

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

*Copyright © 2021 de Araújo-Ramos, Passoni, Romano, Romano and Martino-Andrade. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.*