The Horizontal Component of Photospheric Plasma Flows During the Emergence of Active Regions on the Sun
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Abstract The dynamics of horizontal plasma flows during the first hours of the emergence of active region magnetic flux in the solar photosphere have been analyzed using SOHO/MDI data. Four active regions emerging near the solar limb have been considered. It has been found that extended regions of Doppler velocities with different signs are formed in the first hours of the magnetic flux emergence in the horizontal velocity field. The flows observed are directly connected with the emerging magnetic flux; they form at the beginning of the emergence of active regions and are present for a few hours. The Doppler velocities of flows observed increase gradually and reach their peak values 4–12 hours after the start of the magnetic flux emergence. The peak values of the mean (inside the ±500 m s$^{-1}$ isolines) and maximum Doppler velocities are 800–970 m s$^{-1}$ and 1410–1700 m s$^{-1}$, respectively. The Doppler velocities observed substantially exceed the separation velocities of the photospheric magnetic flux outer boundaries. The asymmetry was detected between velocity structures of leading and following polarities. Doppler velocity structures located in a region of leading magnetic polarity are more powerful and exist longer than those in regions of following polarity. The Doppler velocity asymmetry between the velocity structures of opposite sign reaches its peak values soon after the emergence begins and then gradually drops within 7–12 hours. The peak values of asymmetry for the mean and maximal Doppler velocities reach 240–460 m s$^{-1}$ and 710–940 m s$^{-1}$, respectively. An interpretation of the observable flow of photospheric plasma is given.
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1. Introduction

According to measurements of Doppler velocities during the emergence of active regions in the solar photosphere, the presence of upflows at the tops [Brants,
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1985a Brants, 1985b Brants et al., 1989 Lites, Skumanich, and Martinez Pillet, 1998 Strous and Zwaan, 1999 Kubo, Shimizu, and Lites, 2003 Guglielmino et al., 2006 Grigor’ev, Ermakova, and Khlystova, 2007 Grigor’ev, Ermakova, and Khlystova, 2009) and downflows of plasma at the footpoints (Gopasyuk, 1967; Gopasyuk, 1969; Kawaguchi and Kitai, 1976; Bachmann, 1978; Zwaan, Brants, and Cram, 1985; Brants, 1985a; Brants, 1985b; Brants and Steenbeek, 1985; Lites, Skumanich, and Martinez Pillet, 1998; Solanki et al., 2003; Lagg et al., 2007; Xu, Lagg, and Solanki, 2010) of the emerging magnetic loops is well-established.

Up till now horizontal photospheric velocities accompanying the emergence of active regions have only been measured indirectly. Frazier (1972) studied a young active region and found that the photospheric magnetic field knots (21 events) moved along the arch filament system (AFS) at velocities of 0.1–0.4 km s$^{-1}$. Using observations of the young active region for 6.5 hours, Schoolman (1973) found that magnetic elements moved in random directions with velocities of 0.4–1.0 km s$^{-1}$. Barth and Livi (1990) measured the separation velocities of the opposite polarities for 45 bipolar pairs in the young active region and obtained velocity values up to 0.5–3.5 km s$^{-1}$, decreasing with time. Strous and Zwaan (1999) considered the emerging magnetic flux in a growing active region and found that the footpoints of the magnetic loops separated at an average velocity of 1.4 km s$^{-1}$. Grigor’ev, Ermakova, and Khlystova (2009) estimated the separation velocities of the photospheric magnetic flux outer boundaries in the NOAA 10488 active region. The velocities decreased as the magnetic flux emerged: they were 2–2.5 km s$^{-1}$ at the end of the first hour and 0.3 km s$^{-1}$ in two and a half hours.

High values of horizontal photospheric velocities were obtained during the emergence of ephemeral active regions, except for the work of Chou and Wang (1987). Harvey and Martin (1973) found that during the first 30 minutes of the emergence of ephemeral active regions the footpoints of magnetic loops separated at 5 km s$^{-1}$; the divergence velocity decreased to 0.7–1.3 km s$^{-1}$ over the next six hours and continued to decrease later on. Chou and Wang (1987) obtained low separation velocities of opposite polarities of 0.2–1 km s$^{-1}$ for 24 emerging ephemeral active regions. Hagenaar (2001) found that the outer boundaries of the ephemeral regions expand with velocities up to 5.5 km s$^{-1}$; there is a tendency for the velocities to decrease with time.

Otsuji et al. (2011) studied 101 emerging flux regions of different spatial scales. They found that the separation velocities of opposite polarities are lower than 1 km s$^{-1}$ for large emerging magnetic fluxes, whereas they reach 4 km s$^{-1}$ in small-scale ones.

Interesting results were obtained from the analysis of horizontal flows in the emerging active regions by granular motion. Strous et al. (1996) found large-scale horizontal divergent granular flows in a growing active region which were comparable with the common drift of magnetic polarities. The authors interpreted this fact as a close interaction between granulation and magnetic fields. Kozu, Kitai, and Funakoshi (2005) and Kozu et al. (2006) also found divergent flows located between the footpoints of emerging flux loops. These authors consider...
these flows to be either convective flows which may trigger magnetic flux emergence from deep layers. They also suggest that the observed flows are formed by the emergence of magnetic flux. Note that the articles listed above concerned developing active regions already containing pores.

The present investigation involves an analysis of photospheric Doppler velocities for active regions emerging near the limb. This subject was considered earlier by Khlystova (2011).

2. Data Analysis

We used full-disk solar magnetograms and Dopplergrams in the photospheric line Ni\textsubscript{i} 6768 Å and continuum images obtained on board the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) using the Michelson Doppler Imager (MDI) (Scherrer et al., 1995). The temporal resolution of the magnetograms and Dopplergrams is 1 minute, while that of the continuum is 96 minutes. The spatial resolution of the data is $4^\prime\prime$, and the pixel size is approximately $2^\prime\prime$.

We have cropped a region of emerging magnetic flux from a time sequence of data, taking into account its displacement caused by solar rotation. The approximate displacement of the region was calculated by the differential rotation law for photospheric magnetic fields (Snodgrass, 1983). The exact tracking was performed by applying a cross-correlation analysis to two magnetograms adjacent in time. A precise spatial superposition of the data was achieved by cropping fragments with identical coordinates from simultaneously acquired magnetograms, Dopplergrams, and continuum images. For the Dopplergrams we applied a moving average over five images to reduce a contribution of five-minute oscillations to the velocity signal. The solar differential rotation and other factors distorting the Doppler velocity signal were removed by using the following technique. We averaged three upper and three lower rows of the cropped region and linearly smoothed the averaged rows, thus obtaining upper and lower rows of the array of solar rotation velocities. To obtain the internal field of the array, we performed a linear interpolation between the upper and lower pixels of the columns belonging to the smoothed rows. The obtained array of solar rotation velocities was subtracted from the original one.

The parameters under study were calculated in the region of the emerging magnetic flux. The boundary of the emerging flux region was visually inspected. For active regions we determined the following.

- $\theta$ is the heliocentric angle characterizing the distance from the solar disk center to the location of active region emergence; it is also approximately the angle between the normal to the surface and the line of sight to the emerging magnetic flux:

$$\theta = \arcsin\left(\frac{r}{R}\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $r$ is the distance from the solar disk center to the location of active region emergence and $R$ is the solar radius.
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- \( \Phi_{\text{max}} \) is the total unsigned magnetic flux at the maximum development of the active region which was measured inside isolines \( \pm 60 \text{ G} \), taking into account the projection effect and supposing that the magnetic field vector is perpendicular to the solar surface:

\[
\Phi_{\text{max}} = S_0 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|B_i|}{\cos \theta_i},
\]

(2)

where \( N \) is the number of pixels with \( |B_i| > 60 \text{ G} \), \( S_0 \) is the area of the solar surface of the pixel in the center of the solar disk in \( \text{cm}^2 \), \( B_i \) is the line of sight magnetic field strength of the \( i \)-th pixel in \( \text{G} \), and \( \theta_i \) is the heliocentric angle of the \( i \)-th pixel.

- \( d\Phi/dt \) is the total unsigned magnetic flux growth rate in the first 12 hours of the active region emergence.

- \( V_{\text{mean}^-} \) and \( V_{\text{mean}^+} \) are the peak values of the mean negative and positive Doppler velocities inside the isoline, \( -500 \) and \( +500 \text{ m s}^{-1} \), during the period considered. The isoline of \( 500 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) was selected because it outlines the observable Doppler velocity structures well and the main contribution of convection flow is below this level. The Doppler velocity values did not always exceed \( 500 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) in the calculation region, so the mean velocities were not determined for these instants.

- \( V_{\text{max}^-} \) and \( V_{\text{max}^+} \) are the peak values of absolute maximum negative and positive Doppler velocities during the period considered.

- \( V_{\text{sep}} \) is a mean relative separation velocity between the two outer boundaries of the photospheric magnetic flux of opposite polarities. It is calculated over a two-hour period at the peak values of the Doppler velocities, taking into account the projection effect:

\[
\vec{V}_{\text{sep}} = \frac{1}{2(T_2 - T_1)} \left( \frac{L_2}{\cos \theta_2} - \frac{L_1}{\cos \theta_1} \right),
\]

(3)

where \( T_2 - T_1 \) is period of time under consideration in \( \text{s} \), \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are the distances between the two outer boundaries of the photospheric magnetic flux in the image plane for points of time \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) in \( \text{m} \), and \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) are the heliocentric angles corresponding to the active region position in points of time \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \). \( V_{\text{sep}} \) contains the contribution of the horizontal expansion velocities of the emerging magnetic flux \( V_{\text{exp}} \) and the magnetic polarity displacement due to the geometry of the rising magnetic loop \( V_{\text{foot}} \).

The contribution of the magnetic flux expansion \( V_{\text{exp}} \) will essentially exceed the displacement of the magnetic polarities \( V_{\text{foot}} \) at the very beginning of the active region appearance. The displacement of the magnetic polarities resulting from vertical emergence of the magnetic loop \( V_{\text{foot}} \) will not give a Doppler shift. Thus, the contribution of the magnetic flux expansion \( V_{\text{exp}} \) to the Doppler velocity signal will be calculated as

\[
V_{\text{exp}} \lesssim \vec{V}_{\text{sep}} \sin \theta,
\]

(4)
The Horizontal Photospheric Flows During the Emergence of Active Regions

Table 1. Active regions studied

| Active regions | Date1 | Coordinates2 | θ | Ψmax, Mx | dΦ/dt, Mx h⁻¹ |
|----------------|-------|--------------|---|---------|---------------|
| 9037           | 10 Jun 2000 – 06:08 UT | N21E59 B0+0.4 | 61° | 1.39 × 10²² | 1.17 × 10²⁰ |
| 8536           | 06 May 1999 – 00:51 UT | S24E65 B0-3.7 | 66° | 1.88 × 10²² | 1.13 × 10²⁰ |
| 8635           | 14 Jul 1999 – 12:13 UT | N42W47 B0+4.2 | 57° | > 2.97 × 10²¹ | 9.50 × 10¹⁹ |
| 9064           | 26 Jun 2000 – 11:16 UT | S21W46 B0+2.4 | 51° | > 3.61 × 10²¹ | 1.63 × 10²⁰ |

1 Time at the start of active region emergence
2 Coordinates at the start of active region emergence

3. The Investigated Active Regions

Four active regions emerging near the solar limb have been considered (see Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4). The continuum images show that in the first hours of the emergence of active regions there are only pores. In the first hours of appearance, active regions have a high magnetic flux growth rate (Table 1).

Because of the projection effect of the magnetic field vector to the line of sight, the emergence of active regions begins with the appearance of one, then another magnetic polarity. The boundary where the magnetic field changes sign is not the polarity inversion line (the upper-row panel in Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4). The polarity inversion line location can be indirectly estimated by the pore positions. They arise in both polarities of the studied active regions (the bottom-row panel in Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4). The polarity inversion line will pass in the middle between the leading and following pores with a small shift towards the following pore at the beginning of the magnetic flux emergence due to the geometrical asymmetry of the emerging magnetic flux (Figure 4a of van Driel-Gesztelyi and Petrovay, 1990). Thus, the observable boundary where the magnetic field changes sign lies in the polarity located closer to the solar disk center. In the active regions, the axis connecting opposite magnetic polarities rotates as the magnetic flux emerges (for an in-depth analysis see Luoni et al., 2011).

4. Doppler Velocity Structures

The SOHO/MDI data have a low spatial resolution which enables one to observe large-scale flows of plasma. The active regions under consideration emerge in different sectors of the solar disk, but the morphology of Doppler velocity structures during the first hours of magnetic flux emergence is similar. An amplification of negative Doppler velocities (plasma motion towards the observer) is observed on the boundary where the magnetic field changes sign located at the disk-side polarity (closer to the solar disk center) because of the projection effect of the magnetic field vector to the line of sight and of positive Doppler velocities (plasma motion away from the observer) in the limb-side polarity (closer to the solar limb) (Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4). High Doppler velocities inside the ±1000 m s⁻¹ isolines occupy significant areas (up to 50% of the Doppler velocity structure area inside the 500 m s⁻¹ isoline within individual time intervals) that are
Figure 1. The active region NOAA 9037 emerges on 10 Jun 2000 at N21 E59. On the magnetograms (isolines $\pm 60, 100, 150, 300$ G), Dopplergrams, and continuum the isolines of Doppler velocities are superimposed. The blue isoline corresponds to $-500, -1000$ m s$^{-1}$ – plasma motion towards the observer; the red isoline corresponds to $+500, +1000$ m s$^{-1}$ – plasma motion away from the observer. Forming Doppler velocity structures are marked by an ellipse. The orientation of the images is shown in the upper left corner; the direction from the solar disk center to the emerging magnetic flux is marked by a white arrow. The black straight line on the upper-row panels marks the location of the slice of the time slice diagrams.
Figure 2. Active region NOAA 8536 emerges on 6 May 1999 at S24 E65. The marking convention is the same as in Figure 1.
Figure 3. Active region NOAA 8635 emerges on 14 Jul 1999 at N42 W47. The marking convention is the same as in Figure 1.
Figure 4. Active region NOAA 9064 emerges on 26 Jun 2000 at S21 W46. The marking convention is the same as in Figure 1.
localized in the central part of Doppler velocity structure and exist for a long time: for NOAA 9037 on June 10 at 17:36 and 19:12 UT (Figure 1); for NOAA 8536 on May 6 at 11:09 UT (Figure 2); for NOAA 8635 on July 14 at 14:24 and 17:36 UT (Figure 3); for NOAA 9064 on June 26 at 13:55 and 14:24 UT (Figure 4).

Time slice diagrams for magnetic field strength and Doppler velocities were constructed in the first hours of emergence of active regions (panels (a) and (b) in Figures 5, 6, 7, and 8). The slices are orientated along the axis of the emerging bipolar pairs, and their position is marked by a black line on the magnetograms in Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4. During the emergence of active regions a slight rotation of the axis of dipoles is observed; therefore, at the very beginning of magnetic flux emergence both polarities with Doppler velocity structures do not always fall into the slices.

The time slice diagrams clearly show that the Doppler velocity structures are located within the limits of emerging magnetic flux, and that they are not present in the surrounding regions (Figures 5a, 6a, 7a, 8a). The Doppler velocity structures form at the beginning of magnetic flux emergence, occupy an extensive region, and persist for a few hours (Figures 5b, 6b, 7b, 8b and Table 2). Regions of Doppler velocities of different signs do not appear at the same time. In the first hours of magnetic flux emergence they are adjacent to each other; they then separate together with the opposite polarities.

The Doppler velocity values increase gradually and reach their peak values 4–12 hours after the start of the emergence of active regions, approximately at half of the velocity structure’s life time (Figures 5d, 6d, 7d, 8d). The peak values of mean Doppler velocity inside the ±500 m s$^{-1}$ isolines are 800–970 m s$^{-1}$, and the peak values of maximum Doppler velocities reach 1410–1700 m s$^{-1}$ (Table 2). The mean Doppler velocities show the presence of velocity structures rather weakly. At the same time, as noted above, the Doppler velocities inside the ±1000 m s$^{-1}$ isolines, within individual time intervals, occupy significant areas which exist for a long time. The peak values of the Doppler velocities accompanying the emergence of active regions (Table 2) substantially exceed the maximum Doppler velocities of the convective flow of the quiet Sun, which, in the SOHO/MDI data, do not exceed 1200 m s$^{-1}$ (the points with $\theta > 50^\circ$ in Figure 3 b of Khlystova, 2011).

We calculated the separation velocities of the photospheric magnetic flux outer boundaries $V_{sep}$, and estimated the contribution of magnetic flux expansion to the Doppler velocity signal $V_{exp}$ (Table 3). From the comparison in Table 2 and Table 3 one can see that the Doppler velocity values observed substantially exceed the magnetic flux expansion velocity $V_{exp}$.

Previews of other active regions emerging near the limb showed that these powerful and long-lived Doppler velocity structures do not always appear. They seem to be present only in events with a high flux growth rate. Khlystova (2012) has carried out a statistical investigation of 54 active regions with different spatial scales (total unsigned magnetic flux $8 \times 10^{19} – 5 \times 10^{22}$ Mx) emerging near the limb. It was found that the peak values of negative and positive Doppler velocities are related quadratically to the magnetic flux growth rate in the first hours of the emergence of active regions (Figure 6a of Khlystova, 2012).
Figure 5. Active region NOAA 9037: time slice diagrams of (a) magnetic field strength and (b) Doppler velocities. The location of the slice is marked on the magnetograms in Figure 1 by a black line. The blue and red isolines correspond to $-500$, $-1000$ and $+500$, $+1000$ m s$^{-1}$, and the Doppler velocity structures analyzed are marked by arrows; (c) time variation of the total unsigned magnetic flux; (d) time variation of mean (thick line) and absolute maximum (thin line) values of negative and positive Doppler velocities in the region of emerging magnetic flux. The vertical dotted line marks the time of the beginning of magnetic flux emergence.

Figure 6. Active region NOAA 8536: time slice diagrams of (a) magnetic field strength and (b) Doppler velocities. The location of the slice is marked on the magnetograms in Figure 2 by a black line. The marking convention is the same as in Figure 5.
Figure 7. Active region NOAA 8635: time slice diagrams of (a) magnetic field strength and (b) Doppler velocities. The location of the slice is marked on the magnetograms in Figure 3 by a black line. The marking convention is the same as in Figure 5.

Figure 8. Active region NOAA 9064: time slice diagrams of (a) magnetic field strength and (b) Doppler velocities. The location of the slice is marked on the magnetograms in Figure 4 by a black line. The marking convention is the same as in Figure 5.
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Table 2. Peak values of mean and absolut maximum Doppler velocities ($V_{\text{mean}}$, $V_{\text{max}}$, $V_{\text{mean+}}$, $V_{\text{max+}}$), size ($d$), and life time ($t$) of the velocity structures during the first hours of the emergence of active regions

| Active regions | Negative Doppler velocities | Positive Doppler velocities |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|               | $V_{\text{mean}}$, m s$^{-1}$ | $V_{\text{max}}$, m s$^{-1}$ | $d$, Mm | $t$, h | $V_{\text{mean}}$, m s$^{-1}$ | $V_{\text{max}}$, m s$^{-1}$ | $d$, Mm | $t$, h |
| 9037          | $-810$                      | $-1640$                     | 14     | 15     | 850                         | 1630                         | 15     | 13     |
| 8536          | $-970$                      | $-1700$                     | 18     | 14     | 830                         | 1560                         | 10     | 9      |
| 8635          | $-830$                      | $-1410$                     | 16.5   | 10     | 960                         | 1660                         | 15     | 13.5   |
| 9064          | $-800$                      | $-1520$                     | 9      | 4.5    | 810                         | 1650                         | 16     | 10     |

1Size of Doppler velocity structure inside the isoline $-500$ or $+500$ m s$^{-1}$ along the slices in Figures 5b, 6b, 7b, 8b taking into account the projection effect.

Table 3. The mean relative separation velocities of the photospheric magnetic flux outer boundaries ($V_{\text{sep}}$), the contribution of the magnetic flux expansion to the line of sight signal of Doppler velocity ($V_{\text{exp}}$), and the periods of time (Time intervals) for which $V_{\text{sep}}$ and $V_{\text{exp}}$ were determined

| Active regions | Time interval | $V_{\text{sep}}$, m s$^{-1}$ | $V_{\text{exp}}$, m s$^{-1}$ |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 9037          | 10 Jun 2000, 17:10 – 19:10 UT | 450 ≤ 370                     |                               |
| 8536          | 06 May 1999, 10:05 – 12:05 UT | 150 ≤ 130                     |                               |
| 8635          | 14 Jul 1999, 17:00 – 19:00 UT | 340 ≤ 290                     |                               |
| 9064          | 26 Jun 2000, 14:00 – 16:00 UT | 820 ≤ 660                     |                               |

5. Geometrical Asymmetry of Emerging Magnetic Flux

During the appearance of active regions it is possible to see the well-known geometrical asymmetry of emerging magnetic flux [van Driel-Gesztelyi and Petrovay, 1990 and references therein]. It appears that sunspots of leading polarity move away from the location of the emergence much faster than those of following polarity do. In the time slice diagrams of the magnetic field of the active regions under consideration, the following polarity is situated at the bottom, and the leading one at the top (Figures 5a, 6a, 7a, 8a). In NOAA 9037, soon after emergence, the following (negative) polarity is set against the existing concentration of the positive magnetic field and stops drifting, while the leading polarity moves along the slice at high velocity (Figure 5a). NOAA 8536 emerges before an existing concentration of negative magnetic field. Therefore, the following polarity moves faster than the leading one in the first hours of emergence, but then the leading polarity begins to move with greater velocity (Figure 5a). In NOAA 8635 and 9064, emerging at the W-limb, it is clearly seen that the leading polarity moves considerably faster than the following one (Figures 7a and 8a).
6. Asymmetry in the Doppler Velocity Fields

Asymmetry is also observed in the Doppler velocity fields. In the time slice diagrams in Figures 5a, 6a, 7a, 8a, one can clearly see that the Doppler velocity structures located at the region of the leading magnetic polarity are more powerful and exist longer than in the following one.

We performed a quantitative analysis for the Doppler velocity asymmetry. Figures 9 presents the plots of time variation for the difference between the positive and negative Doppler velocities for mean and maximal values. There are some blanks in the mean Doppler velocity asymmetry for those time instants when the velocities in the region of emerging magnetic flux were less than 500 m s\(^{-1}\). For active regions NOAA 8536 and 8635 emerging near different limbs, a well-defined dominance of the Doppler velocities located in the leading polarity is observed during the first 12 hours of the emergence. In two other active regions, NOAA 9037 and NOAA 9064, also emerging near different limbs, the asymmetry value changes its sign. The NOAA 9037 appearance starts with the emergence of a magnetic loop whose axis is oriented almost perpendicularly to the line of sight. Its rise is accompanied by a dominance of the negative Doppler velocity. Approximately from 09:00 UT, a magnetic flux emergence appears whose axis is oriented along the line of sight. Against this background, one observes a significant dominance of the positive Doppler velocities localized in the following polarity. In the NOAA 9064 early emergence, the mean Doppler velocity values show practically no asymmetry, but one observes a dominance of the maximal
negative Doppler velocities corresponding to the following polarity. Approximately three hours after the start of emergence, the asymmetry value changes sign, and a noticeable dominance of the positive Doppler velocity localized in the leading polarity begins.

In all the active regions under consideration, the Doppler velocity asymmetry reaches its peak value soon after the start of magnetic flux emergence, and then gradually drops within 7–12 hours. The Doppler velocity asymmetry decreasing time is comparable to the lifetime of the velocity structures. The peak values of asymmetry for the mean and maximal Doppler velocities reach 240–460 m s\(^{-1}\) and 710–940 m s\(^{-1}\), respectively.

7. Interpretation of the Results

Let us consider the contribution of possible motions to the line of sight Doppler velocity signal in data with low spatial resolution (Figure 10a).

i) **The magnetic flux rise velocity** \(\vec{V}_{\text{up}}\). \(\vec{V}_{\text{up}}\) should have a similar contribution in the leading and following polarities. When active regions emerge near the solar disk center, the maximum values of the upflow Doppler velocities reach \(\vec{V}_{\text{up}} \sim 300–1000\) m s\(^{-1}\) (Figure 4 of Khlystova, 2012 and references in Section 1 of this paper). The projection of these velocities to the line of sight at the heliocentric angle \(\theta = 60^\circ\) will be \(V_{\text{up}} < 150–500\) m s\(^{-1}\).

ii) **The plasma downflow velocity** \(\vec{V}_{\text{down}}\). In the active regions emerging near the solar disk center, at the footpoints of the magnetic loops, one observes positive Doppler velocities interpreted as a downflow of plasma being carried out into the solar atmosphere (see references in Section 1 of this paper). The plasma downflow takes place along the magnetic field lines. Theoretical models show that the velocities of draining plasma have a significant horizontal component at the beginning of the magnetic flux emergence (e.g., Shibata et al., 1990; Archontis et al., 2004; Toriumi and Yokoyama, 2010; Toriumi et al., 2011).

iii) **The magnetic flux horizontal expansion velocity** \(\vec{V}_{\text{exp}}\). \(\vec{V}_{\text{exp}}\) should have a similar contribution in the leading and the following polarities under the condition of equality of their gas pressure. From the theory of magnetic flux emergence into the solar atmosphere, an inverse relation exists between the expansion velocities and degree of twist of the emerging magnetic flux (see, for example, Murray et al., 2006; Cheung et al., 2010). Murray et al. (2006) showed that an emerging tube with a total toroidal flux content of \(7.6 \times 10^{21}\) Mx creates a transient pressure excess that results in diverging horizontal flows with velocities over 3 km s\(^{-1}\) for approximately five hours. In this case, the plasma flow velocities are comparable to the separation velocities of the photospheric magnetic flux outer boundaries. The observations show that at the very beginning of the emergence the magnetic flux expansion has a maximal velocity that then drops rapidly with time. Even at the emergence of the powerful active region NOAA 10488, with a total unsigned magnetic flux at the maximum evolution of \(> 6 \times 10^{22}\) Mx and a total unsigned magnetic flux growth rate during the first hours of \(4.1 \times 10^{20}\) Mx h\(^{-1}\), the separation velocities of the photospheric magnetic flux outer boundaries had...
iv) It is possible that plasma directional flows exist inside the emerging magnetic structure $\mathbf{V}_{\text{dir}}$. Directional flows, as well as the plasma downflow $\mathbf{V}_{\text{down}}$, take place along the magnetic field lines. Searches for such flows in the Doppler velocity asymmetry between the leading and following polarities in the active regions emerging near the solar disk center did not provide consistent results (Cauzzi, Canfield, and Fisher, 1996; Sigwarth, Schmidt, and Schuessler, 1998; Chapman, 2002; Pevtsov and Lamb, 2006; Battiato et al., 2006; Grigor’ev, Ermakova, and Khlystova, 2011). Plasma flows are theoretically expected to be directed from the leading polarity into the following one. The thin flux tube theory shows that these flows are due to the Coriolis force acting on the emerging magnetic structure, and the velocities of these flows reach some hundreds of meters per second (for a detailed analysis, see Fan, 2009). However, when entering the convection zone high layers (20–30 Mm under the photosphere), the magnetic flux undergoes a strong expansion and fragmentation. Therefore, the probability of conservation of the flows caused by the Coriolis force is not known. The models of the magnetic flux emergence from the near-surface layers already dropped to 0.3 km s$^{-1}$ two hours after the beginning of the emergence (Grigor’ev, Ermakova, and Khlystova, 2009).
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into the solar atmosphere do not consider the existence of these flows. It is also possible that plasma flows occur from the following polarity into the leading one. A discussion of the mechanisms leading to their origin may be found in Cauzzi, Canfield, and Fisher (1996).

Thus, the line of sight Doppler velocity signal in data with low spatial resolution contains contributions from the following flows (Figure 10a):

\[ \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_{up} + \mathbf{V}_{down} + \mathbf{V}_{exp} + \mathbf{V}_{dir}, \]  

(5)

Their projections onto the line of sight in the positive and negative Doppler velocity structures are determined as:

\[ V_+ = +\mathbf{V}_{up}\cos\theta + \mathbf{V}_{down}\cos X_1 + \mathbf{V}_{exp}\sin\theta \pm \mathbf{V}_{dir}\cos X_1, \]  

(6)

\[ V_- = -\mathbf{V}_{up}\cos\theta + \mathbf{V}_{down}\cos X_2 + \mathbf{V}_{exp}\sin\theta \pm \mathbf{V}_{dir}\cos X_2, \]  

(7)

where \( \theta \) is the heliocentric angle, and \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) are the angles between the line of sight and the magnetic field lines in the polarities where the negative and positive velocity structures are localized.

In the velocity structures accompanying the emergence of active regions under consideration, one observes high Doppler velocities that reach their peak values 4 – 12 hours after the magnetic flux emergence begins (Figures 5d, 6d, 7d, 8d). Doppler velocities more than 1000 m s\(^{-1}\) concentrate in the central part of the velocity structures, occupy significant areas, and exist over long time intervals (Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4). Let us discuss what the observed velocities are associated with, having considered the contribution of possible motions to the line of sight Doppler velocity signal at the heliocentric angle \( \theta = 60^\circ \). The maximal contribution of the magnetic flux rise velocities should be \( V_{up} \sim 150 – 500 \) m s\(^{-1}\), with a mean contribution of 300 m s\(^{-1}\). The contribution of the magnetic flux horizontal expansion velocities to our active regions is \( V_{exp} \sim 130 – 660 \) m s\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). The velocity of directional flow \( \mathbf{V}_{dir} \) will determine the value of the Doppler velocity asymmetry between the velocity structures of opposite sign and, for active regions NOAA 9037 and 8536, 12 hours after the start of emergence it has no contribution. Thus, one can see that the high Doppler velocities are caused by the significant component of the plasma downflow velocities \( \mathbf{V}_{down} \) (Figure 10b).

The Doppler velocity asymmetry between velocity structures of the leading and following polarities reaches its peak values soon after the emergence begins, and then it gradually drops (Figure 9). In two active regions, NOAA 8536 and 8635, emerging near different limbs, one observes an explicit dominance of the Doppler velocities localized in the leading polarity. In two other active regions, NOAA 9037 and 9064, also emerging near different limbs, the Doppler velocity asymmetry value changes sign, but, at individual time intervals, there is a Doppler velocity dominance in the following polarity. There is probably a contribution from the plasma directional flow \( \mathbf{V}_{dir} \) (Figure 10c). The Doppler velocity asymmetry may be caused by a morphological asymmetry of active regions that becomes apparent because the magnetic flux of the leading polarity...
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is more compact than of the following one. However, to better understand the causes for the asymmetry between Doppler velocity structures, one should search for some additional relations.

8. Conclusion

Analysis of photospheric flows accompanying the emergence of active regions near the limb showed the existence of an extensive region of enhanced negative Doppler velocities on the boundary where the magnetic field changes sign, which is located in the polarity closer to the solar disk center because of the projection effect. The region of positive Doppler velocities is adjacent to it in the magnetic polarity closer to the solar limb. The observed flows form at the start of active region emergence and are present for a few hours. The Doppler velocity values increase gradually and reach their peak values 4 – 12 hours after the start of the magnetic flux emergence. The peak values of the mean (inside the ±500 m s\(^{-1}\) isolines) and maximum Doppler velocities are 800 – 970 m s\(^{-1}\) and 1410 – 1700 m s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The Doppler velocity values observed substantially exceed the separation velocities of the photospheric magnetic flux outer boundaries and most likely are caused by a significant component of the plasma downflow velocities being carried out into the solar atmosphere by emerging magnetic flux.

An asymmetry was detected between the velocity structures of the leading and following polarities. Doppler velocity structures located in a region of leading magnetic polarity are more powerful and exist longer than those in a region of following magnetic polarity. The Doppler velocity asymmetry between velocity structures of the leading and following polarities reaches peak values soon after emergence begins and then gradually drops within 7 – 12 hours. The peak values of asymmetry for the mean and maximal Doppler velocities reach 240 – 460 m s\(^{-1}\) and 710 – 940 m s\(^{-1}\), respectively. Additional investigations are necessary to understand the reasons for the Doppler velocity asymmetry. However, it could be caused by the plasma directional flows inside the emerging magnetic structure or morphological asymmetry between the leading and following polarities.
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