Application of in-house nested polymerase chain reaction for rapid diagnosis of tuberculous pleural effusion

Singh A¹, Kumar A², Chaturvedi V³, Sharma P⁴

¹Dr Amit Singh*, Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, ²Dr Adesh Kumar, Professor & HOD, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, ³Dr Vineet Chaturvedi, Associate Professor, Department of Pathology, ⁴Dr Pradeep Sharma, Professor, Department of Biochemistry. All are affiliated with UPRIMS&R, Saifai, Etawah, U.P.

Address for Correspondence: Dr Amit Singh, E-mail: dramitsingh.uprims@gmail.com

Abstract

Tuberculous pleural effusion (TPE) is a common manifestation of extrapulmonary tuberculosis and is the most common cause of pleural effusion in many countries. Conventional diagnostic tests for detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in pleural fluid or pleural tissue, have known limitations. Hence, there is need for a newer, rapid diagnostic tests. Molecular techniques, detecting DNA of M. tuberculosis in pleural fluid have better sensitivity and could be a potent tool for rapid diagnosis of tuberculous pleural effusion. Objective: To evaluate Nested PCR protocol targeting 38 kDa gene for rapid detection of M. tuberculosis complex in clinically suspected cases of TPE. Material and methods: A cross-sectional, prospective study was carried out at the tertiary care institute in a rural setup at western U.P. A total of 155 subjects with clinical suspicion of TPE enrolled during February 2015 to January 2016. About 10-20 ml of pleural fluid was collected and analysed for presence of M. tuberculosis by Z.N staining, culture on Lowenstein Medium (LJ), BacT/Alert 3D culture bottle and by Nested PCR targeting 38kDa gene of M. tuberculosis. Result: Off the 155 patients enrolled, M. tuberculosis was detected by AFB staining, LJ culture and BacT/Alert 3D system staining in 13 (8.4%), 45 (29%) and 72 (46.5%) respectively. Diagnostic sensitivity of nested PCR (nPCR) was 60.6% and among smear positive and culture negative samples, sensitivity was 100% while in smear negative, culture negative it was 29.2%. Conclusion: 38 kDa based nested PCR offers alternative robust approach for rapid and accurate detection of M. tuberculosis in paucibacillary tuberculous pleural effusion specimens.
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Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) continues to be a major health problem globally, currently ranking the second most common cause of death due to an infectious disease, after only HIV/AIDS. In 2014, there were an estimated 9.6 million incident cases of TB (range, 9.1 million–10.0 million) and 1.5 million TB deaths. India is one of the 22 ‘High Burden Countries’ and along with Indonesia and China, accounts for 43% of global cases [1]. Among extrapulmonary tuberculosis, pleural TB is one of the most frequent manifestation and tuberculous pleural effusion occurs in up to 30% of patients with tuberculosis [2]. Diagnosis in an early stage of the disease is of utmost importance for treatment initiation and proper management of the patient. Therefore, it is imperative for clinical microbiology laboratories be able to quickly identify mycobacteria. However, the number of organisms in pleural effusion specimens obtained from majority of patients with tuberculous pleuritis is fairly low, with culture positivity seen in <40% of cases and smear invariably being negative [3]. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based techniques provide high sensitivity by amplification of small quantity of DNA, and have been extensively evaluated for the detection of M. tuberculosis from clinical samples [4]. Substantial number of the tests described in the literature are based on amplification of IS6110, an insertion element that is present in members of the M. tuberculosis complex [5-7]. Insertion Sequence 6110 is usually found at multiple sites in majority of M. tuberculosis strains which enhances the sensitivity of PCR. However, strains lacking even single copy of
A cross-sectional, prospective study was carried out at the Department of Microbiology and Department of Pulmonary Medicine, UPRIMS& R, Saifai, a tertiary care and teaching institute in a rural setup in western Uttar Pradesh. It was approved by the institute ethical committee. All the patients who were clinically diagnosed as pleural effusion, between February, 2015 to January 2016, were considered for the study. The inclusion criteria adopted in selection of patient were (i) patients with cough, fever, pleuritic chest pain, malaise (ii) chest X-rays showing evidence of pleural effusion with or without pulmonary infiltrates (iii) Ultrasound and C.T scan (Thorax) evidence of pleural effusion (iv) analysis of pleural fluid demonstrating straw colored fluid, total count more than 500 cells/mm, lymphocytic predominance (> 80%), total protein > 5gm/dl, glucose concentration < 40 mg/dl. Exclusion criteria consisted of patients already on antitubercular therapy, having contraindication to thoracocentesis viz. mechanically ventilated patient, non-cooperative patients, bleeding diathesis.

One hundred and fifty-five, non-repeated clinical samples from patients fulfilling the above criteria were collected and an informed consent was obtained. A detailed clinical history, physical examination, baseline laboratory investigations, history regarding present and past history of anti tubercular treatment (ATT), family history of tuberculosis and any other associated disease were taken in prescribed proforma. Among the 155 cases, 125(80.6%) cases had unilateral and 30(19.4%) cases had bilateral effusions respectively.

Sample collection and processing: Under strict aseptic precautions, about 10-20ml of pleural fluid was collected and distributed into four sterile screw capped containers. First sample was analysed for estimation of glucose and protein levels. Second sample was utilized for cytology and cell count including total count and differential count. Third sample was utilized for mycobacterial detection by AFB stain and culture. Fourth sample was stored at -20° C for PCR.

Pleural fluid samples were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 15 minutes and the deposit was processed for Ziehl-Neelsen staining for AFB, culture for M. tuberculosis as per the standard bacteriological procedure. Briefly, 10 mL of each pleural fluid specimen was decontaminated with an equal amount of 4% NaOH solution and was centrifuged at 3000 g for 20 min to collect the sediment. A portion of the deposit was used for preparation of smear to be stained by Ziehl Neelsen method and from the other portion, two plain Lowenstein-Jensen (LJ) slopes and BacT/Alert MP bottle were inoculated. LJ slopes were incubated at 37°C for maximum of 8 weeks before declaring them negative for M. tuberculosis.

BacT/Alert bottles were loaded in BacT/Alert 3D system (bioMerieux, France). Instrument automatically monitors the bottle every 10 minutes and detects any change of color at the bottom of bottle and flags it as positive. All positive flagged bottles were unloaded, vortexed and 0.5 ml of fluid, aspirated for confirmation of mycobacterial growth by Z.N staining. AFB grown on LJ & BacT/Alert bottle were further identified as Mycobacterium tuberculosis, based on slow growth rate, absence of pigmentation, positive Niacin test and growth inhibition on LJ medium with p-nitrobenzoic acid. Standard strain M. tuberculosis complex, H37Rv ATCC TM No. 27294 was used as positive control.

**DNA extraction:** Extraction of DNA was done by the CTAB (cetyl-tri-methyl-ammonium bromide)-phenol chloroform extraction method [11]. First the pleural aspirate was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet suspended in 567 μL of TE (Tris EDTA, pH 7.4) buffer. Then 30 μL of 10% SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) and 3 μL proteinase K (20 mg/mL) was added and vortexed, then incubated at 37°C for 1 h. After incubation, 100 μL of 5 M NaCl and 80 μL of high-salt CTAB buffer (containing 4 M NaCl), 1.8% CTAB was added and mixed followed by incubation at 65°C for 10 min. An approximate equal volume (700-800μL) of chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1) was added, mixed thoroughly and centrifuged for 5 minutes in a microcentrifuge at 12,000 rpm. The aqueous viscous supernatant was carefully aspirated and transferred to a newmicrocentrifuge tube. An equal volume of phenol:
chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (1:1) was added followed by a 5 minute spin at 12,000 rpm. The supernatant was separated and then mixed with 0.6 volume of isopropanol to get a precipitate. The precipitated nucleic acids were washed with 75% ethanol, dried and re-suspended in 100 μL of TE buffer.

**PCR amplification protocol:** 38kDa nested PCR was carried out as per method described by Miyazaki Y et al [9]. Primers targeting the gene sequence that encoded the 38kDa protein of *M. tuberculosis* were as described by Sjobring et al [12]. The sequence of TB PCR primer pairs for 1st and 2nd round of amplifications were: Forward Primer MTb1: 5′-ACCACCCAGGCCTGA-3′; Reverse Primer MTb2: 5′-GATCTGCGGGTCGTCCCAGGT-3′; Internal Forward Primer NF: 5′-TGACGTTGGCGGACCG-3′; Internal Reverse Primer NR: 5′-ATGGTGCCCTGGTACATG-3′. The first amplification reaction mixture consisted of 90µl of master mix (50mM KCl,10mM Tris-HCl, 1.5mM MgCl₂, 0.3mM each of dNTP,100 pmol each of primer MT1 & MT2, 2.5 u of Taq polymerase) and 10µl of target DNA.

The PCR amplification was done in a thermal cycler (XP Cycler, Bioer Technology, China). For 38kDa gene amplification, nested PCR was performed. The cycling parameter were, denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 63°C for 90 sec and extension at 72°C for 1 min. For the second PCR, 10µl from the first PCR was sampled and mixed with 90µl of freshly prepared reaction mixture (containing Primers NF & NR). This was followed by same procedures used to obtain the first PCR product. Both cycles were run for 35 cycles.

PCR products were analysed by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and documented by gel documentation system (Gel Doc XR+ System®, Bio-Rad, USA). For each amplification a positive control containing DNA extracted from reference strain M.t.b H37R, and negative control containing molecular grade water (HiMedia, India) was run. The presence of 419bp & 322 bp fragments in first and second PCR indicated a positive test (*M. tuberculosis* complex).

**Statistical analysis:** The data was entered in Microsoft excel computer program. The analysis was done by using IBM SPSS Statistics ver 21 program. The results were presented as mean, standard deviation and percentages. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive values were calculated with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI) using the standard formula, keeping combination of Solid and liquid culture as ‘Gold Standard’ [13]. Z score was calculated for comparison between two proportions. In our study, p value < 0.05 was considered as significant.

**Results**

Out of 155 patients enrolled in the study, 112 (72.25%) were males and 43 (27.75%) were females. The mean age of presentation was 45 ± 20.5 years. Majority of the patients were in the age group >50 years (42.6%), minimum age of 9 years and the maximum 90 years (Table 1). Fourteen patients (9%) had past history of tuberculosis and 25 patients (16.1%) had family history of being treated for tuberculosis. Most common symptom was cough (131/155; 84.5%), followed by fever (125/155; 80.6%), chest pain (112/155; 73.5%), dyspnea (49/155; 31.6%), expectoration (41/155; 26.4%). Right side pleural effusion was seen among 75.6% (93/125) cases. Mantoux test was positive in 105 (67.4%) patients.

**Table 1: Age and sex distribution of clinically diagnosed Tubercular Pleural effusion.**

| Age Group | Male (n=112) | Female (n=43) | Total (n=155) |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| <25       | 22          | 10           | 32           |
| 25-50     | 45          | 12           | 57           |
| >50       | 45          | 21           | 66           |

Acid fast bacilli were observed in only 13 pleural fluids. The detection rate of AFB smear was 8.4%. Growth on LJ culture was obtained from 45 samples while BacT/Alert yielded additional 27 culture. Nested PCR detected *M. tuberculosis* in 94 samples (Figure 1). Overall detection rate for LJ culture, BacT/Alert 3D system and PCR was 29%, 46.5%, 60.65% respectively.
Figure 1: Amplification of 322bp product of *M. tuberculosis* by nested PCR targeting 38kDa region among samples of TPE on 2% gel electrophoresis.

Out of 155 samples, 13 were AFB smear positive and nPCR gave 100% concordance (sensitivity of PCR 100%) result and among 142 smear negative cases, PCR was positive in 81(57%) cases. LJ culture and BacT/Alert system added another 33 positive cases and PCR sensitivity among these smear negative, LJ culture and BacT/Alert positive was 90.9% (30/33). Among 13 AFB smear positive cases, one (7.7%) was negative by both LJ and BacT/Alert system, however PCR was positive (100% sensitivity) in this case. PCR was also 100% (27/27) sensitive in detecting those cases which were negative by culture but positive by BacT/Alert system. Overall, 72 cultures were positive by either LJ or BacT/Alert 3D and PCR was positive in 95.84% (69/72) cases. In 82 smear negative & culture negative cases, PCR detected 24 positive cases and had sensitivity of 29.26% (Table 2).

Table-2: Comparison of diagnostic sensitivity of nPCR with other tests.

| Test                        | No. (%) | N PCR result | Sensitivity of nPCR (%) |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|
|                             |         | Positive     | Negative                |
| Smear +ve                   | 13 (8.4)| 13           | 0                       | 100                                   |
| Smear -ve                   | 142 (91.6)| 81          | 61                      | 57.0                                  |
| LJ culture +ve              | 45 (29) | 42           | 3                       | 93.3                                  |
| LJ culture -ve              | 110 (71)| 52           | 58                      | 47.2                                  |
| BacT/Alert +ve              | 72 (46.5)| 69          | 3                       | 95.8                                  |
| BacT/Alert -ve              | 83 (53.5)| 25          | 58                      | 30.1                                  |
| Smear +ve LJ +ve BacT/Alert +ve | 12 (7.8) | 12         | 0                       | 100                                   |
| Smear +ve LJ -ve BacT/Alert -ve | 1 (0.6) | 1           | 0                       | 100                                   |
| Smear –ve LJ +ve BacT/Alert +ve | 33 (22.5)| 30        | 3                       | 90.9                                  |
| Smear –ve LJ -ve BacT/Alert +ve | 27 (16.1)| 27         | 0                       | 100                                   |
| Smear –ve LJ -ve BacT/Alert -ve | 82 (52.9)| 24        | 42                      | 29.2                                  |

Considering culture positivity (LJ & BacT/Alert 3D system) as ‘Gold standard’, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) are shown in Table 3. AFB smear had overall sensitivity and specificity of 16.7% and 98.8% while sensitivity and specificity of nPCR was 95.83% and 69.88%.
Table 3: Comparison of sensitivity and specificity of nPCR, Smear microscopy and culture positivity.

| Test | LJ & BacT/Alert culture for M.tuberculosis (n=72) | Sensitivity% (95% CI) | Specificity% (95% CI) | PPV% (95% CI) | NPV% (95% CI) |
|------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|
|      | Positive | Negative              |                        |               |               |
| nPCR | +ve      | 69                    | 25                     | 95.83 (88.3,99.1) | 69.88 (58.8,79.4) | 73.4 (63.3,82) | 95.0 (86.2,98.9) |
|      | -ve      | 3                     | 58                     |               |               |               |               |
| Smear| +ve      | 12                    | 1                      | 16.7 (8.9,27.3) | 98.8 (93.4,99.9) | 92.31 (63.9,99.8) | 57.75 (49.1,65.9) |
|      | -ve      | 60                    | 82                     |               |               |               |               |

Discussion

Pleural TB accounts for 3–25% of patients with tuberculosis, and tubercular pleurisy is the most common etiology of pleural effusion [14]. The pleural effusion is due to paucibacillary mycobacterial infection within the pleural space. The tubercular bacilli are acquired from initial parenchymal lesions and results in an immunological response that results in an increase pleural fluid formation and decrease pleural fluid removal [2]. If left untreated, TPE can develop into active tuberculosis [14] and hence, it is imperative to make rapid and accurate diagnosis for TPE and for initiation of treatment.

The conventional diagnosis of pleural TB by identifying tubercle bacilli in pleural fluid and pleural biopsy specimens or by demonstrating granulomas in pleural tissue lack sensitivity and are time-consuming [15]. In aspirates from TPE, organisms are rarely seen on ZN microscopy because of paucibacillary nature of the disease. In our study, AFB were seen in 13 (8.4%) cases and among these cases, 12 were culture positive and one was culture negative by both LJ and BacT/Alert 3 D system. The culture negative case could be due to the presence of non-viable mycobacterium in partially treated patients or due to exposure to harsh chemicals during decontamination process [16]. All smear positive cases were also positive by PCR (100% sensitivity).

In our study, mycobacterial culture positivity on LJ medium was positive in 29% and on BacT/Alert 3 D automated system was 46.5%. All positive LJ cultures were also positive by BacT/Alert 3D system. The diagnostic yield of pleural effusion cultures was slightly higher in our study than previously reported value 7-35% [17-20]. This discrepancy may be due to use of solid LJ cultures in most studies or differences in methods of decontamination during processing. Moreover, liquid cultures have been shown to have better isolation rates, faster results than solid cultures [21,22] but higher contamination rates. In our study, contamination rate for liquid culture and LJ culture was 7% and 3.8% and mean time to detection was 3.2 weeks and 4.6 weeks respectively. Direct examination of pleural fluid by ZN staining requires bacillary load of more than 10,000 /ml and thus has a low sensitivity. Although culture is more sensitive, it also requires minimum of 10 viable bacilli /ml. Studies done on pleural biopsy specimen have reported higher sensitivity (70-85%), but the procedure may have complications and are not entirely risk free [14]. Currently, the most reliable method for diagnosis of TPE is detection of M. tuberculosis in pleural fluid or tissue.

Rapid diagnosis of TPE is of paramount importance for reduction of morbidity and mortality. Studies have documented PCR as a rapid and sensitive method for the detection of mycobacterial DNA in tubercular pleural effusions [18,23,24]. The utility of PCR for the diagnosis of TBE has been evaluated using gene targets such as IS6110, 16S rRNA, GCRS, 65 kDa protein gene, MPB-64 protein gene, dnaJ and devR with varying sensitivities (17.1%-78%) and specificities (90%- 100%) [4,25-28]. Most studies have evaluated the performance of PCR based on IS6110 insertion sequence, which are usually found in multiple copies in M. tuberculosis genome.

However, mycobacterial strains from various geographical regions have shown complete absence or low copy number of these insertion sequence [8, 29,30]. In present study, we followed the technique of in-house nested PCR as described by Miyazaki Y et al [9] using primers targeting 38kDa ‘protein antigen b’ gene for amplification. It can detect small amount of DNA as 10fg≈2-3 organisms and is highly specific [31]. Our nested PCR showed positivity of 60.6%, which was significantly higher than that of LJ culture and BacT/Alert 3D (z= 5.56 p <0.05 nPCR vs LJ and z score=2.5, p<0.05 nPCR vs BacT/Alert 3D). Detection rate of our nested PCR were higher than Liu et
al(43.3%) [14], Kumar al(51%) [32] and similar to Villegas et al. (60.7%) [27]. Negiet al [33] reported a higher sensitivity of 71.3% using nPCR protocol targeting 38kDa gene among extrapulmonary specimens. Most studies have evaluated nPCR targeting IS6110 and thus depending upon presence or absence of IS6110, there is variability in detection rates. Our data suggests that nPCR is more sensitive than conventional methods but may not detect all cases.

All smear positive and culture positive samples were positive by PCR. Among 33 LJ and BacT/Alert system culture positive samples, PCR was positive in 90.9% (30) cases. Three cultures positive samples were negative by PCR and this could be attributed to presence of PCR inhibitors, fragmentation of target sequences during DNA extraction. Among the 83 culture negative samples PCR was positive in 25 (30.1%) samples. In comparison to the ‘Gold standard’ i.e. culture positivity in liquid as well as solid medium in our study, the sensitivity and specificity were 95.83%, 69.88%, respectively. Studies conducted by ParandamanV et al [34], Jatana SK et al [35], Takagi N et al [36], have also shown similar results where sensitivity near 100% and specificity varied from 75-90%. Specificity of PCR in our study was lower, it could be due false positivity as PCR can detect DNA of dead bacilli in recently treated pulmonary/pleural TB patient or could be due to cross contamination during initial processing or carry over contamination by amplicons. The assay specificity can be further improved by use of the dUTP–uracil glycosylase system and by conducting nPCR by single tube method.

Our study suggests that nested PCR based on detection of 38k Da gene of Mycobacterium tuberculosis can serve as a reliable and rapid tool for the diagnosis of TPE. Collaboration of clinical and laboratory findings in parallel with the nested PCR results aids to the presumptive diagnosis of TPE in cases where culture and AFB staining are negative and diagnosis is a big challenge.

Conclusion

Our study emphasizes that nPCR based on 38kDa gene would definitely be useful for the diagnosis of tuberculous pleural effusion especially in patients where conventional diagnostic methods fails and where the provisional diagnosis of tuberculosis is made on account of clinical presentation and histology/cytology examination without evidence of AFB.
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