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1. Introduction

Groundwater nitrate contamination presents one of the main environmental problems in the world [1–5]. The most important issue related to the effective management of nitrate contamination is the definition of its origin and the understanding of fate and transport of nitrates through the aquifer. Nitrate is negatively charged and very soluble. It has high mobility and potential for loss from the unsaturated zone, generally through leaching [6, 7]. Nitrate leaching through the unsaturated zone is the consequence of a complex interaction between many different factors, which vary in time [1]. When nitrates reach groundwater, their movement mainly depends on transport processes, mostly advection and dispersion. Additionally, geochemical processes, such as denitrification, as well as aerobic and anaerobic conditions, play a very important role in the stability of different nitrogen compounds. Nitrates are dominantly found in an aerobic environment where they have high mobility. Identification of a nitrate source can be very difficult. Nitrates can occur naturally but also can be the consequence of anthropogenic sources. Major anthropogenic sources are synthetic fertilizers, manure, and different types of waste water, i.e., municipal waste water and septic tanks in the rural areas. Although different indicators of aerobic and anaerobic conditions exist in aquifers, dissolved oxygen concentrations are the most important one. The boundary between aerobic and anaerobic conditions is close to 1 mg/l of dissolved oxygen [8].

Within the Zagreb aquifer system, good positive, statistically significant correlation between nitrates and dissolved oxygen concentrations has been observed [9]. Furthermore, it has been shown that aerobic conditions prevail in the Zagreb aquifer, except in the eastern part of the City of Zagreb and Zagreb County, where the aquifer deepens [10]. Anthropogenically caused, elevated nitrate concentrations have been determined in the groundwater of the Zagreb aquifer [10–12], which presents the only source of potable water for the citizens of the City of Zagreb and part of Zagreb County. Nitrates have been recognized as one of the five major contaminants in the Zagreb aquifer system [11], and two main areas with elevated nitrate concentrations were identified [10, 12]. In addition, descending nitrate concentration trends have been established in almost all parts of the Zagreb aquifer system [13]. Although the presence of nitrate contamination in the Zagreb aquifer is...
undoubtedly confirmed, previous research did not deal with the estimation of nitrate origin.

Determination and evaluation of nitrate stable isotope composition, i.e., $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{18}$O from nitrates, present one of the main tools for the identification of nitrates sources, as well as for defining the significance of denitrification on nitrate concentrations. The frequent use of nitrate stable isotopes started at the beginning of the 21st century by development of analytical techniques which enabled accurate, precise, fast, and inexpensive analysis: “ion-exchange” method [14, 15], “bacterial denitrification method” [16–18], and “cadmium reduction method” [19]. Although the use of $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ in the identification of nitrate sources dates back to the 1970s [20], development of these methods has enabled an extensive, successful application in a large number of studies [4, 21–27]. However, the application of nitrate stable isotopes has limitations, mostly due to overlap of the isotope composition of nitrates from waste water and manure. It has been shown that both waste water and manure are enriched in $^{15}$N relative to other types of nitrogen sources, mostly ranging from +7‰ to +15‰ [3]. Even though evaluation of $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ can distinguish nitrate from precipitation, synthetic fertilizer, or nitrification process, it cannot help in discerning nitrates from manure and waste water. To overcome this issue, $\delta^{11}$B is very often used in the combination with $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ [25, 28–30]. Unfortunately, due to the absence of B concentration data in groundwater of the Zagreb aquifer, this isotopic technique could not be used in this research.

Groundwater geochemistry and different statistic tools, especially multivariate statistical analysis, in combination with nitrate stable isotope composition, can be very useful in the estimation of the nitrate origin. Different research [31, 32] showed very good correlation between NO$_3^-$, Na$,^-$, and Cl$,^-$, related to urban contamination sources, for example, to leaking sewage system or municipal waste, while other research [33, 34] linked the existence of these correlations to animal waste. When statistical correlation of these parameters is not significant, it can be assumed that the
presence of nitrogen is related to different sources [32].
According to some authors, very good correlations between
NO$_3^-$, Ca$^{2+}$, and Mg$^{2+}$ indicate that nitrate concentrations
originate from a unique source, generally synthetic fertilizers
and/or manure [21]. Also, it was shown that exploration of
molar ratios between NO$_3^-$, Cl$^-$, and K$^+$ could be useful in
the determination of nitrate origin [23]. Higher Cl$^-$ concen-
trations are more characteristic for urban sources, while a
higher NO$_3^-$/K$^+$ molar ratio is more likely associated with
the manure application, and a lower molar ratio is possibly
related to urban sewage effluent. The molar ratio of
NO$_3^-$ to K$^+$ characteristic for synthetic fertilizer should
be much lower with regard to manure but higher with
regard to waste water [35, 36]. Correlation and multivari-
ate statistical analysis, especially factor and cluster analysis,
are also very often used in the research of groundwater
chemistry [31, 33, 37–39].

The main goal of the research presented in this paper
was to estimate nitrate origin and to evaluate the significance
of the denitrification process in the groundwater of the
Zagreb aquifer system. For this purpose, multi-isotope data
and groundwater geochemistry data including ion molar
ratios, as well as correlation and multivariate statistical
analyses, were used.

2. Site Description

The Zagreb aquifer system is located in the northwest part
of the Republic of Croatia, covering approximately
350 km$^2$ (see Figure 1).

It is designated as a part of the country’s strategic water
reserves, which emphasizes the necessity of its protection
from different anthropogenic influences. The wide region is
characterized by great variability in land use, pedology,
topology, lithology, and hydraulic properties of the aquifer.

The Zagreb aquifer system consists of Quaternary sedi-
ments deposited during the Middle and Upper Pleistocene
and Holocene. Pleistocene deposits belong to lacustrine-
marshy deposits, while Holocene deposits are alluvial [40, 41]. The Middle and Upper Pleistocene sediments consist of gravel, sand, and clay. Clasts in coarse grained sediments are mostly of siliciclastic composition due to the local source of sediments from nearby mountains. Holocene sediments are somewhat more coarse grained than Pleistocene sediments and have a significant amount of carbonate clast. This was the result of the change in the source of the material which was transported by the Sava River from the Alps [42]. Hydrogeologically, the Zagreb aquifer system consists of an overburden, shallow, and deep aquifer layer. The thickness of the unsaturated zone, generally disturbed by the anthropogenic influence, varies from 2 to 8 m and is highly permeable in some parts, especially under humid conditions [43, 44]. This allows transport of contaminants to the groundwater. The thickness of the shallow Holocene aquifer is from 5 to 40 m, while deeper Pleistocene aquifer thickness can be up to 60 m, which means that maximum aquifer depth can reach up to 100 m [45]. Holocene and Pleistocene aquifer layers are hydraulically connected. The Holocene part of the aquifer system is in direct contact with the Sava River. Stream-aquifer interaction is more pronounced in the vicinity of the Sava River [46]. Regional groundwater flow is from W–NW to E–SE, which coincides with the Sava River flow, while local groundwater flow changes frequently, strongly depending on the Sava River fluctuations, i.e., duration and intensity of hydrological conditions. Geochemical stratification of the Zagreb aquifer system is recognized along the depth and in time and space, which mostly depends on the proximity of the Sava River [47].

Due to industrial and agricultural development, and growth of the City of Zagreb and Zagreb County, anthropogenic influence on groundwater quality has significantly increased in the last decades. Nitrates are recognized as one of the main groundwater contaminants [11], while descending nitrate concentration trends have been observed in almost all parts of the Zagreb aquifer system, studied at different observational scales [13]. Two main parts of the Holocene aquifer are contaminated with nitrates. One area is located on the left bank of the Sava River, in the urban

Figure 3: Location of sampled observation wells in the area of the Zagreb aquifer system ("Yes": sampled in the first and second phases; "No": sampled only in the first phase).
area, while the other is located on the right bank of the Sava River, in the dominantly agricultural and rural area. Lower nitrate concentrations are observed near the Sava River and in the eastern part of the aquifer, where aquifer thickness increases [10, 12]. Considering potential sources of contamination, it can be assumed that on the left bank of the Sava River, urban sources prevail, while on the right bank, a mix of different contamination sources is present. From land cover [48], location of farms, and spatial distribution of the sewage network (see Figure 2), it can be seen that most of the farms and agricultural areas are located on the right bank of the Sava River. Nitrate contamination in the urban part of the City of Zagreb is mostly related to leaching from the permeable sewage network and/or septic tanks.

3. Materials and Methods

In this research, two data sets were used. The first data set is based on all available groundwater chemistry data from the national monitoring programme of Croatian Waters and from the monitoring programme of the Jakuševac landfill. Data from 1991 until the end of 2015 was used, in sum more than 16,000 chemical analyses from 153 observation wells. Data from 1991 until the end of 2015 was used, in sum more than 16,000 chemical analyses from 153 observation wells. Observation wells have different sampling intervals, from monthly to yearly, and different chemical parameters have been measured. Considering the above, it was decided that only major ions and most frequently measured chemical parameters, in sum 13 chemical parameters (average values), will be used for the evaluation of groundwater chemistry of the Zagreb aquifer (groundwater temperature, pH, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), electrical conductivity (EC), NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, HCO₃⁻, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, and K⁺). Concentrations of NH₄⁺ were not included in the analysis due to very rare occurrence of these nitrogen species, in sum about 4% of all analysis [10].

The second data set is represented by groundwater and river samples, which were sampled for determining the nitrate origin during one hydrologic year. The data set consists of 12 chemical parameters (groundwater temperature, pH, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), electrical conductivity (EC), NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, HCO₃⁻, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, and K⁺). Concentrations of NO₃⁻ were determined in two samples and NH₄⁺ in three samples and were not used in further analysis. Groundwater sampling was carried out in two phases and two areas. Areas were determined based on the results from previous research related to definition of spatial and temporal distribution of highest nitrate concentrations [10, 12]. One area is located on the left part of the Sava River bank and the other on the right bank (see Figure 3). Preliminary sampling was done at 56 observation wells from the end of year 2015 (November and December) to the beginning of the year 2016 (January).

Results of nitrate stable isotope composition conducted in the first phase were used to define locations and frequency of sampling in the year 2016. First results did not show many deviations in isotopic composition, so the main criteria for the determination of observation wells which were sampled in the next phase were nitrate concentration and their location. Finally, 30 observation wells (see Figure 3) were chosen for sampling in the second phase, while three samples from the Sava River were provided by the Ruder Bošković Institute. In total, 149 samples were taken and processed. For nitrate stable isotope analysis, samples were filtered on field and placed into HDPE Nalgene bottles.

Nitrate stable isotope composition, i.e., δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻, was determined by the Isotope Science Laboratory at the University of Calgary (Alberta, Canada) using a mass spectrometer (DeltaV+PreCon+ConfloIV) and denitrifier technique [17] with uncertainty of ±0.3‰ for δ¹⁵N and ±0.7‰ for δ¹⁸O. Water stable isotope composition (δ²H-H₂O and δ¹⁸O-H₂O), as well as all other analyses, was determined by the Faculty of Mining, Geology and Petroleum Engineering at the University of Zagreb (laboratory for spectroscopy), using a Liquid Water Isotope Analyzer (LWIA-45-EP) by Los Gatos Research Inc., with uncertainty of ±0.16‰ for δ²H and ±0.1‰ for δ¹⁸O. All values are reported in the usual delta notation as permil relative to
AIR and VSMOW (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water). Water stable isotopes were used for testing the water origin, while $\delta^{18}O$-$H_{2}O$ values were additionally used for the estimation of the interval characteristic for nitrates derived from nitrification [5, 24, 49], where a value of +23.5‰ was used for $\delta^{18}O$-$O_{2}$ [49]. In that sense, they were compared to all available data for the Zagreb, Ljubljana, and Jesenice na Dolenjskem stations, from GNIP and GNIR (Global Networks of Isotopes in Precipitation and Rivers) within the WISER (Water Isotope System for Data Visualization, Analysis and Electronic Retrieval) database [50]. A laboratory information management system (LIMS) [51] was used for the preparation, processing, and data interpretation. Major ions were determined by Ion Chromatography System (ICS-90), while for hydrogencarbonate determination, titration was used. EC was measured by instrument "Cond 3110" (WTW company), while pH, ORP, and groundwater temperature were measured using instrument "pH 315" (WTW company) and pH/ORP tester (Hanna instruments).

Average molar ratios of $NO_{3}^{-}$/Cl$^{-}$, $NO_{3}^{-}$/Na$^{+}$, and $NO_{3}^{-}$/K$^{+}$ were calculated for each observation well for both data sets and used for the estimation of the molar ratio slope in the spatially grouped observation wells, which in most cases coincide with the well field’s inflow areas (Sašnjak and Žitnjak, Petruševec, Ivanja Reka, Mala Mlaka, Velika Gorica, Zapruđe, and Kosnica; see Figure 1). The same spatial analogy was used in the interpretation of nitrate stable isotope composition.

Statistical analyses were made in Statistica 64 [52]. Normal distribution of all parameters from the first and second data sets was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients were used to test correlation between all used parameters before usage of multivariate statistical analyses. Principal component analysis (PCA; default extraction method under factor analysis in Statistica 64) was used to extract parameters that are related to anthropogenic influence, which were then used in cluster analysis, in combination with nitrate stable isotopes and ion molar ratios, to identify nitrate origin at selected observation wells. Varimax rotation was used for the interpretation of final principal components (PC), while loadings higher than 0.6 were selected as significant, which is consistent with guidelines provided in statistical literature.
Figure 6: Continued.
[53], with regard to the number of data and desired statistical significance ($\alpha = 0.05$). Although there are different rules of thumb considering usage of minimum data in factor analysis, a minimum requirement of 100 cases [54], and a 5:1 case-to-variable ratio [53], was satisfied. Cluster analysis was conducted using Ward’s method and squared Euclidean distances. Due to different measure units of selected parameters, all data was standardized to $Z$ values. All maps were made in ArcMap 10.1, while a georeferenced orthophoto image was obtained from the geoportal of the Croatian Geodetic Administration. All maps are presented in the official coordinate system of the Republic of Croatia (HTRS96/TM).

### 4. Results and Discussion

Water isotopic composition showed that groundwater from the Zagreb aquifer system is isotopically most similar to water from the Sava river at the “Jesenice na Dolenjskem” station, located at the Slovenian-Croatian border, and to precipitation from the City of Ljubljana (Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL) Ljubljana). Isotopic composition of groundwater is less similar to precipitation from the City of Zagreb (LMWL Zagreb, see Figure 4). This is consistent with previous researches [11, 55]. Values of $\delta^{18}$O-H$_2$O generally vary from −9.89 to −8.51‰ and were used for the estimation of interval characteristic for nitrification (see Figure 5), ranging from +1.24 to +2.16‰ of $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$. Nitrate stable isotopes showed that nitrates in groundwater of the Zagreb aquifer system are mostly related to an input of anthropogenic organic matter (derived from manure and/or waste water, see Figure 5(a)), while synthetic fertilizers were excluded as the main source of nitrate contamination. In Figure 5(a), it can also be seen that nitrates are not related to nitrates from precipitation, which agrees with the fact that groundwater isotopic composition is less associated with precipitation that falls in the area of the Zagreb aquifer system. Nitrate stable isotopes, measured in the samples from the Sava River, have very similar isotopic composition as those from groundwater, which confirms the existing stream-aquifer relationship. Values of $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ generally range from +6.08‰ to 16.1‰, while $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values range from −1.16‰ up to +5.44‰, with one sample being excluded from further data processing, which was considered to be subject of subsequent denitrification. Nitrate stable isotopes were also evaluated at the level of two Sava River banks (see Figure 5(b)). It can be seen that values from the right bank are slightly more enriched in $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values. If that is evaluated at the level of spatially grouped observation wells (see Figure 5(c)), it can be seen that enriched values are mostly related to the groundwater from the Sašnjak-Zitnjak area. If the importance of the denitrification process on nitrate concentrations is considered, it can be seen that its influence is negligible (see Figure 5(d)), except in maybe the Sašnjak-Zitnjak area. On the other hand, most of the samples fall near the estimated interval of $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values characteristic for the process of nitrification.

Average molar ratios of NO$_3^-/Cl^-$, NO$_3^-/Na^+$, and NO$_3^-/K^+$ and their slope lines were spatially grouped and evaluated based on the data from historical analysis (see Figures 6(a)–6(c)) and the data from sampled water (see Figures 6(d)–6(f)). When considering calculated molar ratios, based both on historical and sampled data, the most important thing which can be seen is that molar ratios from...
Table 1: Correlation result matrices (statistically significant correlation coefficients are marked in italics): (a) Pearson coefficients on historical groundwater analysis, (b) Spearman coefficients on historical groundwater analysis, (c) Pearson coefficients on sampled water, and (d) Spearman coefficients on sampled water.

(a)

| Parameter | Water temperature | pH  | ORP  | O2   | NO3⁻ | Ca²⁺ | Mg²⁺ | Na⁺  | K⁺  | Cl⁻ | SO₄²⁻ | HCO₃⁻ | EC   |
|-----------|-------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-------|-------|------|
| Water temperature | 1.00              |     |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| pH        | -0.21             | 1.00|      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| ORP       | -0.15             | 0.24| 1.00 |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| O2        | -0.19             | -0.64| -0.05| 1.00 |      |      |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| NO3⁻      | 0.11              | -0.77| -0.13| 0.75 | 1.00 |      |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| Ca²⁺      | 0.13              | -0.90| -0.23| 0.55 | 0.77 | 1.00 |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| Mg²⁺      | 0.09              | -0.77| -0.21| 0.48 | 0.74 | 0.85 | 1.00 |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| Na⁺       | 0.49              | -0.79| -0.25| 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 1.00 |     |     |       |       |      |
| K⁺        | 0.46              | -0.54| -0.17| 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.66 | 1.00 |     |       |       |      |
| Cl⁻       | 0.40              | -0.69| -0.20| 0.30 | 0.59 | 0.75 | 0.61 | 0.90 | 0.49 | 1.00 |       |       |      |
| SO₄²⁻     | 0.37              | -0.77| -0.23| 0.22 | 0.58 | 0.80 | 0.74 | 0.80 | 0.51 | 0.74 | 1.00 |       |      |
| HCO₃⁻     | 0.12              | -0.91| -0.23| 0.56 | 0.70 | 0.93 | 0.80 | 0.69 | 0.49 | 0.65 | 0.75 | 1.00 |      |
| EC        | 0.26              | -0.95| -0.28| 0.57 | 0.79 | 0.94 | 0.81 | 0.88 | 0.56 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.92 | 1.00 |

(b)

| Parameter | Water temperature | pH  | ORP  | O2   | NO3⁻ | Ca²⁺ | Mg²⁺ | Na⁺  | K⁺  | Cl⁻ | SO₄²⁻ | HCO₃⁻ | EC   |
|-----------|-------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-------|-------|------|
| Water temperature | 1.00              |     |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| pH        | -0.21             | 1.00|      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| ORP       | -0.15             | 0.24| 1.00 |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| O2        | -0.19             | -0.64| -0.02| 1.00 |      |      |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| NO3⁻      | 0.02              | -0.78| -0.07| 0.78 | 1.00 |      |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| Ca²⁺      | 0.12              | -0.91| -0.22| 0.56 | 0.76 | 1.00 |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| Mg²⁺      | 0.02              | -0.79| -0.24| 0.50 | 0.73 | 0.86 | 1.00 |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| Na⁺       | 0.44              | -0.87| -0.31| 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.81 | 0.64 | 1.00 |     |     |       |       |      |
| K⁺        | 0.47              | -0.76| -0.16| 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.60 | 0.48 | 0.83 | 1.00 |     |       |       |      |
| Cl⁻       | 0.35              | -0.87| -0.25| 0.62 | 0.76 | 0.83 | 0.67 | 0.93 | 0.73 | 1.00 |       |       |      |
| SO₄²⁻     | 0.23              | -0.86| -0.24| 0.44 | 0.63 | 0.84 | 0.72 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 0.80 | 1.00 |       |      |
| HCO₃⁻     | 0.12              | -0.92| -0.21| 0.54 | 0.69 | 0.94 | 0.80 | 0.77 | 0.62 | 0.79 | 0.84 | 1.00 |      |
| EC        | 0.22              | -0.97| -0.27| 0.62 | 0.80 | 0.94 | 0.80 | 0.89 | 0.73 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.93 | 1.00 |

(c)

| Parameter | Water temperature | pH  | ORP  | NO3⁻  | Ca²⁺  | Mg²⁺  | Na⁺  | K⁺  | Cl⁻ | SO₄²⁻ | HCO₃⁻ | EC   |
|-----------|-------------------|-----|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-----|-----|-------|-------|------|
| Water temperature | 1.00              |     |      |       |       |       |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| pH        | -0.23             | 1.00|      |       |       |       |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| ORP       | -0.13             | 0.15| 1.00 |       |       |       |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| NO3⁻      | 0.34              | -0.40| -0.03| 1.00 |      |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| Ca²⁺      | 0.47              | -0.48| 0.17 | 0.53 | 1.00 |      |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| Mg²⁺      | 0.36              | -0.42| 0.18 | 0.57 | 0.91 | 1.00 |      |     |     |       |       |      |
| Na⁺       | 0.57              | -0.41| 0.13 | 0.40 | 0.83 | 0.73 | 1.00 |     |     |       |       |      |
| K⁺        | 0.57              | -0.11| 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.65 | 0.55 | 0.73 | 1.00 |     |       |       |      |
| Cl⁻       | 0.59              | -0.48| -0.05| 0.55 | 0.82 | 0.74 | 0.90 | 0.65 | 1.00 |       |       |      |
| SO₄²⁻     | 0.68              | -0.36| -0.15| 0.46 | 0.78 | 0.68 | 0.77 | 0.64 | 0.86 | 1.00 |       |      |
| HCO₃⁻     | 0.27              | -0.30| 0.36  | 0.47 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.70 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 1.00 |      |
| EC        | 0.55              | -0.52| 0.11 | 0.54 | 0.96 | 0.88 | 0.91 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 1.00 |
Table 2: Results of PCA (significant loadings are marked in italics).

| Parameter      | Historical groundwater analysis | Sampled water |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
|                | PC 1   | PC 2   | PC 1   | PC 2   |
| Water temperature | -0.08  | 0.85   | 0.11   | 0.88   |
| pH             | 0.78   | -0.30  | —      | —      |
| ORP            | 0.88   | 0.08   | 0.67   | 0.11   |
| NO₃⁻           | 0.92   | 0.25   | 0.87   | 0.43   |
| Ca²⁺           | 0.87   | 0.15   | 0.91   | 0.27   |
| Mg²⁺           | 0.66   | 0.66   | 0.64   | 0.67   |
| Na⁺            | 0.30   | 0.70   | 0.38   | 0.73   |
| K⁺             | 0.65   | 0.60   | 0.65   | 0.66   |
| Cl⁻            | 0.68   | 0.57   | 0.54   | 0.73   |
| SO₄²⁻          | 0.89   | 0.25   | 0.90   | 0.19   |
| HCO₃⁻          | 0.90   | 0.42   | 0.82   | 0.55   |

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test showed that in general, average values calculated from historical data did not satisfy the condition of normal distribution, while those from sampled water did. However, the correlation analysis showed very similar results on both data sets and with both correlation coefficients (see Table 1, marked in italics are statistically significant correlation coefficients). When evaluating both data sets, it can be seen that almost all parameters are well positively correlated, except pH and ORP. Generally, ORP does not show any significant correlation with other parameters, while pH shows negative correlation with almost all parameters. Hence, pH and ORP were excluded from the multivariate statistical analysis. Correlation results suggest that microbial oxidation of ammonium causes acidity along with nitrates in soils, which can be buffered by the dissolution of a carbonate aquifer matrix [56]. Also, it has been shown that in aquifers with different lithological composition, nitrates can have effect on the biogeochemical processes that influence water-rock interactions [57], which can in the end lead to an overall increase in mineralization [58].

The results of PCA are shown in Table 2. Both analyses resulted in two principal components, with about 80% of explained variance. In the data set related to historical analysis, 64.3% and 15.4% of variance were explained by PC 1 and PC 2, respectively, while in the data set related to sampled water, 70.77% and 10.43% of variance were explained by PC 1 and PC 2, respectively. It can be seen that results obtained with both data sets are very similar. In both cases, groundwater temperature and K⁺ concentration are not significant in PC 1 but are significant in PC 2. Also, in the PCA related to historical analysis, loading of SO₄²⁻ concentration is significant in PC 2 and very close to threshold loading in PC 2, while in the case of the sampled water, loading is significant only in PC 2. In addition, Cl⁻ and Na⁺ concentrations are significant in PC 1 and PC 2, while NO₃⁻ concentration is only significant in PC 1. All results suggest that primary indicators of anthropogenic influence are separated in PC 2 (Na⁺, K⁺, Cl⁻, and SO₄²⁻), even though part of their concentrations are also the consequence of natural processes that take place in the Zagreb aquifer system. Results of PCA suggest that NO₃⁻ concentrations are more related to natural processes, due to existence of dominantly aerobic conditions in the most of the Zagreb aquifer. Although nitrates originate in groundwater from waste water and/or manure, a large part of nitrogen is introduced into the aquifer in the form of NH₄⁺ and consequently transformed to NO₃⁻ due to the presence of dissolved oxygen concentrations and associated nitrification.

Based on the results of PCA analysis, for the purpose of defining the nitrate origin on each observation well, average concentrations of NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, Na⁺, and K⁺, average values of stable nitrate isotope composition, and the average molar ratios of NO₃⁻ to Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, Na⁺, and K⁺ were used (see Table 3). Only observation wells from which groundwater was sampled in time were considered in this analysis.
Cluster analysis resulted in the formation of four clusters (see Figure 7; see Table 4). If clusters are evaluated spatially (see Figure 8), it can be seen that observation wells from Clusters 1 and 3 are located both on the left and right banks of the Sava River, while observation wells from Cluster 2 are located on the right bank, and observation wells from Cluster 4 on the left bank of the Sava River. Average concentrations of NO$_3^-$ are highest in Clusters 2 and 3 indicating two main sources of nitrate contamination (see Table 4). All other concentrations mostly increase from Cluster 1 to Cluster 4. Nitrates are isotopically enriched at the observation wells from Cluster 4, which are all located in the area of Sašnjak and Žitnjak, near the boundary of the Zagreb aquifer system where the thickness of the unsaturated zone is slightly larger and concentrations of dissolved oxygen slightly lower. In all other clusters, values of $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ range from $+7.71$ to $+9.43^{\circ}$o and $+0.37$ to $+1.17^{\circ}$o, respectively. These results show how small are the
changes in nitrate isotopic composition at selected observation wells and that in this case, they cannot be used for the identification of clusters where influence of waste water or manure prevail. However, evaluation of molar ratios, especially $\text{NO}_3^-$ to $\text{K}^+$, has given much clearer results. It can be seen that all values of molar ratios are highest in Cluster 2, which is most prominent when evaluating the $\text{NO}_3^-$ to $\text{K}^+$ molar ratio. Also, all molar ratios are very similar in the other three clusters indicating the same source of nitrate contamination. If regional groundwater flow, locations of potential contamination sources, and all presented results are taken into account, it is evident that manure presents the main source of nitrate contamination in Cluster 2. On the other side, waste water is responsible for nitrate concentrations in the other three clusters and can be defined as the main source of nitrate contamination in the Zagreb aquifer system.

5. Conclusions

Nitrates present one of the main contaminants in the Zagreb aquifer system, while the highest concentrations are observed

| Cluster | $\text{NO}_3^-$ (mg/l) | $\text{Cl}^-$ (mg/l) | $\text{Na}^+$ (mg/l) | $\text{K}^+$ (mg/l) | $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ (mg/l) | $\delta^{15}\text{N-NO}_3^-$ (‰) | $\delta^{18}\text{O-NO}_3^-$ (‰) | $\text{NO}_3^- / \text{Cl}^-$ | $\text{NO}_3^- / \text{Na}^+$ | $\text{NO}_3^- / \text{K}^+$ | $\text{NO}_3^- / \text{SO}_4^{2-}$ |
|---------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1       | 12.68                | 27.88               | 15.82               | 2.81                | 21.58                  | 8.53                      | 1.17                      | 0.28                | 0.35                | 3.17                | 0.90                |
| 2       | 24.06                | 38.02               | 16.02               | 1.98                | 19.97                  | 7.71                      | 0.87                      | 0.54                | 0.86                | 8.19                | 1.90                |
| 3       | 28.98                | 79.10               | 36.75               | 4.96                | 41.52                  | 9.43                      | 0.37                      | 0.21                | 0.30                | 3.84                | 1.14                |
| 4       | 18.58                | 113.41              | 47.52               | 5.41                | 67.94                  | 12.83                     | 3.23                      | 0.10                | 0.15                | 2.18                | 0.42                |
in two areas, one dominantly urban and the other dominantly agricultural and rural. Although elevated, nitrate concentrations are below the limits of 50 mg/l $\text{NO}_3^-$ and have descending trends in almost all areas. The Zagreb aquifer system is declared as a vulnerable area to nitrates from agriculture as presented in the Croatian River Basin Management Plan [59], due to existence of agricultural activity, especially on the right bank of the Sava River. However, research presented in this paper has shown that nitrate concentrations are not only a consequence of agricultural inputs. Nitrate stable isotope composition excluded synthetic fertilizers as the main source of nitrate contamination. It has been observed that nitrate origin is organic. Waste water has been defined as the main source of nitrate concentrations. Nitrate isotopic composition was not able to discern which source of nitrate contamination, waste water or manure, is dominant in the particular area of the Zagreb aquifer system. In addition, nitrate stable isotope composition showed that the denitrification process is negligible in the Zagreb aquifer and that most of $\delta^{18} \text{O-NO}_3^-$ values fall into, or very near, the estimated interval characteristic for the nitriﬁcation process. Detailed inspection of groundwater chemistry, in a combination with results of multivariate statistical analysis, has resulted in a much more detailed determination of nitrate origin. Evaluation of molar ratios, especially nitrates to potassium, has proved to be crucial in nitrate source determination. It has been shown that most observation wells have detected nitrates that originate from waste water, i.e., sewage network and septic tanks. Results suggest that a large quantity of nitrogen is introduced into the aquifer in the ammonium ion form, while aerobic conditions allow nitrification to occur. All results suggest that groundwater protection measures should be more focused on the determination and repair of permeable parts of the sewage network and septic tanks, while future research should be related to detail quantification of agricultural activity on groundwater quality and biogeochemical processes, especially those that take place in the unsaturated zone.
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