Biaxial flexural strength of different types of monolithic zirconia
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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was designed to evaluate the biaxial flexural strength (BFS) of different types of unshaded and shaded monolithic zirconia.

Material and Methods: 120 monolithic zirconia ceramic discs were fabricated. They were divided into twelve groups (n=10), Group 1; Bruxzir unshaded, Group 2; Bruxzir shaded A2, Group 3; Bruxzir anterior white, Group 4; Bruxzir anterior shade A2, Group 5; Prettau unshaded, Group 6; Prettau shaded with A2 coloring liquid, Group 7; Prettau anterior white, Group 8; Prettau anterior shaded with A2 coloring liquid, Group 9; Katana HT white, Group 10; Katana HT shade A2, Group 11; Katana ST white, Group 12; Katana ST shade A2. All discs were milled using a dental milling machine, and had final dimensions after sintering of 15 mm diameter and 1 mm thickness. BFS was tested using piston on three ball technique.

Results: One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences among the 12 groups. Tukey post-hoc tests revealed no significant differences between the groups 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, and 12. However, they all had BFS values that are significantly lower than all other groups. Group 2 showed statistically significant higher BFS values when compared to group 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, and 12 while it showed statistically significant lower values when compared to groups 1, 5, 6, 9, and 10. Conclusion: Increase in the yttria content in zirconia led to a decrease in its BFS. Shading of zirconia did not have a significant effect on the final strength of zirconia.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Este estudo foi desenhado para avaliar a resistência à flexão biaxial (RFB) de diferentes tipos de zircônia monolítica maquiada e não-maquiadas.

Material e Métodos: 120 discos cerâmicos de zircônia monolítica foram fabricados. Eles foram divididos em doze grupos (n=10), Grupo 1; Bruxzir Não-maquiado, Grupo 2; Bruxzir maquiado A2, Grupo 3; Branco anterior de Bruxzir, Grupo 4; Maquiagem anterior de Bruxzir, Grupo 2; Bruxzir A2, Grupo 5; Prettau Não maquiado, Grupo 6; Prettau maquiado com corante A2, Grupo 7; Prettau anterior branco, Grupo 8; Prettau anterior maquiado com corante A2, Grupo 9; Katana HT branco, Grupo 10; Katana HT maquiagem A2, Grupo 11; Katana ST White, Grupo 12; Katana ST maquiagem A2. Todos os discos foram fresados em uma fresadora dentária e tiveram suas dimensões finais após sinterização de 15 mm de diâmetro e 1 mm de espessura. A RFB foi testado usando pistão na técnica de três bolas.

Resultados: One-way ANOVA revelou diferenças significativas entre os 12 grupos. Os testes post-hoc de Tukey não revelaram diferenças significativas entre os grupos 3, 4, 7, 8, 11 e 12. No entanto, todos eles exibiram valores de RFB significativamente menores do que todos os outros grupos. O Grupo 2 apresentou valores estatisticamente significativos de ICS mais elevados quando comparado aos grupos 3, 4, 7, 8, 11 e 12, enquanto apresentou valores estatisticamente significativos menores quando comparados aos grupos 1, 5, 6, 9 e 10.

Conclusão: o aumento do conteúdo de yttria na zircónia levou a uma diminuição em sua RFB. A maquiagem da zircónia não teve um efeito significativo sobre a resistência final da zircónia.
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INTRODUCTION

With the increasing demands for esthetics and natural looking restorations, all-ceramic restorations gained their popularity mainly due to their excellent esthetics and biocompatibility [1]. However, due to their limited use as long span restorations zirconia restorations were introduced and proved their success due to their unique fracture strength and toughness [2,3].

Zirconia is a polycrystalline ceramic without any glass component. It is polymorphic in nature and exists in three forms: cubic, tetragonal, and monoclinic. At room temperature zirconia is present in its monoclinic form and is stable up to 1,170°C. Above this temperature a transformation occurs to the tetragonal phase that is stable up to 2,370°C. Beyond this temperature, zirconia assumes its cubic form [4]. Addition of stabilizing oxides such as calcium oxide, magnesium oxide, cerium oxide and yttrium oxide stabilizes zirconia in its tetragonal phase at room temperature. Stresses at the crack tip will cause the tetragonal crystals to transform to monoclinic ones accompanied by a 3% to 5% localized expansion. This phenomenon cause retardation of the crack and is known as transformation toughening [2].

Initially, dental zirconia ceramic had a whitish color and was considered opaque and not being esthetic; thus the zirconia core was veneered with veneering porcelain in order to enhance its esthetic potential. However, the most common cause of failure that faced clinicians was chipping of this veneering porcelain while the zirconia core remained unaffected. This led to the introduction of monolithic translucent zirconia ceramic which allows their use in a full contoured state [5-7].

In order to produce monolithic zirconia with acceptable translucency, processing of the next generation of 3Y-TZPs was improved by drastically reducing the concentration of alumina additive and eliminating porosity by sintering at a higher temperature. This led to slight improvement in translucency [8]. Although suitable for monolithic posterior restorations, this generation of zirconia was not esthetic enough to be used in monolithic anterior restorations. The next generation in monolithic zirconia included some transparent phase in the final product to reduce opacity. This was achieved by using a higher yttria content to produce partially stabilized zirconias, 4 mol% (4YPSZ) or 5 mol% (5Y-PSZ), with increased amounts of nonbirefringent cubic phase. This markedly improved translucency, but strength and toughness were diminished because cubic zirconia does not undergo stress-induced transformation. The most translucent 5Y-PSZ materials were indicated for broad usage as anterior crowns and FDPs [9].

Flexural strength is considered one of the most important parameters assessed to understand the clinical potential and limitation of dental ceramics [10]. It is considered as a meaningful and reliable method to assess the strength of brittle materials [11,12]. The literature demonstrated several factors that can affect the biaxial flexural strength of zirconia such as the surface treatment performed, sintering, aging, and finishing procedures [13-18].

Zirconia restorations are either dipped in coloring liquid before their sintering process or brush stained after sintering and subjected later to a firing cycle to achieve their final color. Recently partially sintered pre-shaded monolithic zirconia blanks were introduced. Therefore, the aim of this in-vitro study was to evaluate effect of the yttria content of the zirconia and the effect of the shading technique on the flexural strength of this type of ceramic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hundred and twenty monolithic zirconia ceramic discs were used in this study. The discs were divided into twelve groups (n=10) (Table 1), Group 1; Bruxzir unshaded (Glidewell, California, USA), Group 2; Bruxzir shaded A2 (Glidewell), Group 3; Bruxzir anterior white (Glidewell), Group 4; Bruxzir anterior shade A2 (Glidewell), Group 5; Prettau unshaded (Zirkonzahn, Gais, Germany), Group 6; Prettau shaded with A2 coloring liquid (Zirkonzahn),
Table 1 - Materials used in this study

| Group                        | Shading technique | Y2O3 |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| Group 1: Bruxzir unshaded    | Unshaded          | 3%   |
| Group 2: Bruxzir shaded A2   | Pre-shaded        | >5%  |
| Group 3: Bruxzir Anterior white | Unshaded  | 3%   |
| Group 4: Bruxzir Anterior shade A2 | Pre-shaded | >5%  |
| Group 5: Prettau unshaded    | Unshaded          | >5%  |
| Group 6: Prettau shade A2    | Dipped in coloring liquid A2 | 3%   |
| Group 7: Prettau Anterior white | Unshaded   | >5%  |
| Group 8: Prettau Anterior shade A2 | Dipped in coloring liquid A2 | >5%  |
| Group 9: Katana HT white     | Unshaded          | 3%   |
| Group 10: Katana HT shade A2 | Pre-shaded        | >5%  |
| Group 11: Katana ST white    | Unshaded          | >5%  |
| Group 12: Katana ST shade A2 | Pre-shaded        | >5%  |

1. Biaxial flexural strength testing

Specimens were subjected to biaxial flexural strength test using piston-on-three ball technique in a universal testing machine (Z010, Zwick, Ulm, Germany). A 10mm diameter metallic platform was constructed, above which rested three 3.2mm diameter stainless steel balls that were equidistant from each other. Each disc was placed centrally on the steal balls and load was applied by a piston of 1.4mm diameter and 0.5mm/min crosshead speed using the universal testing machine (Figure 2). The fracture load for each specimen was recorded and the biaxial flexural strength was calculated using the following equation:

\[
S = \frac{-0.2387P(X - Y)}{d^2}
\]

Where: 
S: biaxial flexural strength (MPa); 
P: fracture load (N); 
d: specimen disk thickness at fracture origin (mm). 
X and Y were determined as follows:

\[
X = (1 + \nu) \ln \left( \frac{r_2}{r_3} \right)^2 + \left[ \frac{1 - \nu}{2} \right] \left( \frac{r_2}{r_3} \right)^2
\]

\[
Y = (1 + \nu) \left[ 1 + \ln \left( \frac{r_1}{r_3} \right)^2 \right] + (1 - \nu) \left( \frac{r_1}{r_3} \right)^2
\]

\(\nu\) is Poisson's ratio (0.25), \(r_1\) is the radius of the support circle, \(r_2\) is the radius of the loaded area, and \(r_3\) is the radius of the specimen.

2. Statistical analysis

The data collected was checked for normal distribution using Kolomgrov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests and analyzed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey's HSD test (SPSS v20, Chicago, IL, USA) at a significance level of \(P\leq0.05\).

RESULTS

Two-way ANOVA revealed statistically significant differences between the different groups (Table 2). Regarding zirconia composition, groups with higher yttrium content showed statistically significant lower BFS values in MPa (751±70) when compared to groups with lower yttrium content (1030±90).

As for the effect of shading on zirconia, groups that were unshaded showed slightly higher BFS values in MPa (920±87) than groups that were shaded (860±73) however, this difference was statistically insignificant.

No significant interaction was found between zirconia composition and shading.
Table 2 - Results of two-way ANOVA

| Source                     | Type III Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F    | Sig.  |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|----|-------------|------|-------|
| Corrected Model            | 248594.917*             | 3  | 82864.972   | 118.168 | .000  |
| Intercept                  | 9517664.083             | 1  | 9517664.083 | 13572.427 | .000  |
| Zirconia composition       | 233244.083              | 1  | 233244.083  | 332.612 | <0.001 |
| Shading                    | 10980.750               | 1  | 10980.750   | 15.659  | >0.05  |
| Zirconia composition * Shading | 4370.083               | 1  | 4370.083    | 6.232   | >0.05  |

One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences among the 12 groups. Tukey post-hoc tests revealed no significant differences between the groups 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, and 12. However, they all had BFS values that are significantly lower than all other groups. Group 2 showed statistically significant higher BFS values when compared to group 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, and 12 while it showed statistically significant lower values when compared to groups 1, 5, 6, 9, and 10 (Table 3).

Table 3 - Means±(SD) BFS of all groups arranged in descending order

| Group                        | BFS in MPa   |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| Group 10: Katana HT shade A2 | 1009(92)*    |
| Group 1: Bruxzir unshaded    | 1058(86)*    |
| Group 5: Prettau unshaded    | 1088(100)*   |
| Group 9: Katana HT white     | 1092(102)*   |
| Group 8: Prettau Anterior shade A2 | 720(72)*   |
| Group 7: Prettau Anterior white | 732(65)* |
| Group 12: Katana ST shade A2 | 735(57)*    |
| Group 11: Katana ST white    | 753(88)*    |
| Group 4: Bruxzir Anterior shade A2 | 765(63)*   |
| Group 3: Bruxzir Anterior white | 802(76)*  |
| Group 2: Bruxzir shaded A2  | 960(87)*    |
| Group 6: Prettau shade A2    | 973(68)*    |

Means with different superscript letter are statistically significant at a significance value of p≤0.05.

DISCUSSION

Coloring is essential if monolithic zirconia is to be used for a tooth-colored restoration with an appropriate shade and translucency. This can be achieved by adding metal oxides to the zirconia powder at the block manufacture stage or by applying a coloring liquid to the presintered, noncolored zirconia after the milling process. Different types of monolithic zirconia blocks were used in the present study according to the coloring procedure: pre-colored (Groups: 2, 4, 10, and 12), noncolored (Groups: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11), and colored with immersion technique (Groups: 6 and 8).

Simulating pure bending and preventing edge loss can be achieved best using the piston-on-three ball technique for testing the biaxial flexural strength, as the specimens in this technique are resting on the stainless steel ball which form a smaller diameter than the specimen itself [13].

It is known that a slight variation in the zirconia composition and minute differences in the microstructure can cause a considerable difference in properties. Different dental manufacturers eliminated/reduced the alumina addition or increased the yttria content to improve the translucency of dental restorative3Y-TZP ceramics [21,22].

In our study groups with higher yttria content (3, 4, 7, 8, 11, and 12) showed lower BFS, this might be attributed to the fact that these groups have a predominant cubic phase which is a stable phase with very low ability of transformation thus eliminating the main factor behind the high strength of zirconia which is its transformation toughening.

Shaded zirconia had lower BFS values when compared to unshaded zirconia. However, this difference was not statistically significant. This slight decrease might be attributed to the incorporation of foreign metal oxides into the structure of zirconia. This was consistent with several studies in literature [20,23,24]. No difference we also found between zirconia that was pre-shaded and zirconia that’s dipped
in shading liquid. Thus the use of pre-shaded zirconia is more recommended due to its better color stability [25].

It must be stated that the use of highly translucent, non-transformable high yttria content zirconia materials should be carefully assessed. If it is true that these materials may be more translucent than standard 3Y-TZP and do not suffer from in vitro hydrothermal degradation, their strength is dramatically lower. Therefore, manipulation and crown preparation should be done carefully, avoiding thin walls and sharp edges as much as possible and their use must be directed towards shorter span restorations [26].

**CONCLUSION:**

Within the limitations of this study we can conclude that:

- Increase in the yttria content in zirconia lead to a decrease in its BFS.

- Shading of zirconia does not have a significant effect on the final strength of zirconia.
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