Synergistic effects of fermented soybean meal and mannan-oligosaccharide on growth performance, digestive functions, and hepatic gene expression in broiler chickens
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ABSTRACT This study investigated the effects of fermented soybean meal (FSBM) with or without mannan-oligosaccharide (MOS) prebiotic on growth performance, digestive functions, and hepatic IGF-1 gene expression of broiler chicken. A total of 480 day-old male broiler chickens were fed with 4 experimental diets for 6 wk. Experimental diets included corn-soybean meal diet (CON); corn-soybean meal diet + MOS prebiotic [0.2%, ActiveMOS; Biorigin, Brazil]; corn-FSBM diet [soybean meal (SBM) was totally replaced by FSBM]; and corn-FSBM + MOS prebiotic (MIX). Replacing dietary SBM with FSBM with or without MOS improved body weight gain and feed efficiency for the total grow-out period. However, the addition of MOS to the FSBM diet exhibited a greater body weight gain than other experimental diets. Villus height and villus height to crypt depth of the duodenum and jejunum were increased by feeding FSBM, MOS, and MIX diets. The ileal crude protein and energy digestibilities, as well as the activities of intestinal amylase and protease, and pancreatic protease, were improved by replacing SBM with FSBM, with or without MOS. The concentration of plasma 3-methylhistidine was reduced by FSBM and MOS, and synergistically by their combination. The MOS and FSBM diets up-regulated the hepatic IGF-1 gene expression. However, there was an evident synergistic effect of FSBM supplemented with MOS in the upregulation of the hepatic IGF-1 gene expression. The outcomes of the current study indicate the FSBM and MOS had the potential to improve growth performance, hepatic IGF-1 expression, and intestinal morphology of broilers. Overall, the fermented products could be considered as functional feed that exhibits probiotic effects and the synergistic effects of prebiotics added to the fermented feeds may further improve the growth performance and gut health and functionality in broiler chicken.
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INTRODUCTION

Soybean meal (SBM) is the main protein source in livestock nutrition; it contains 40 to 50% protein and is rich in amino acids like lysine, tryptophan, threonine, isoleucine, and valine (Easter and Kim, 1999; Ravindran et al., 2014). The presence of several antinutritional factors (ANFs) in SBM, such as antigenic proteins, trypsin inhibitor, phytic acid, and oligosaccharides, however, reduces the absorption and digestion of nutrients and, thus, deteriorates the growth performance of birds (Li et al., 2014). Some of these ANFs like trypsin inhibitor and phytate could be eliminated by processing methods such as heating, which improves nutrient digestibility and feed conversion ratio in birds. The antigenic proteins, however, are not deactivated by heating and may result in impaired growth performance (Palacios et al., 2004). Over the past few years, microbial fermentation is considered as an effective way to degrade ANFs and enhance the nutritional quality of animal feed (Feng et al., 2007a; Jazi et al., 2017). Previous studies have demonstrated that the resulted biochemical changes by metabolic activity of microorganisms during fermentation may be leading to a decrease of ANFs and undesirable compounds and improvement of nutrient bioavailability and digestibility (Shi et al., 2017). Reports from Feng et al. (2007a) and Jazi et al. (2018a) demonstrated that fermentation can enhance the nutritional value of SBM and consequently improve growth performance. In addition, acidic pH and desirable microflora in the fermented products can influence
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functions of gastrointestinal (GI) tract, via an increase in beneficial bacteria and a decrease in pathogenic bacteria in a similar mode of action to probiotics. Hence, the fermented feeds can play an important role in GI health and functionality, productivity, and growth performance of birds (Jazi et al., 2017). It has been indicated that the use of fermented feeds in broiler diets improved performance traits and increased lactic acid bacteria (LAB) in the gut (Chiang et al., 2010; Ashayerizadeh et al., 2018). The improved villus height and villus height to crypt depth of the small intestinal also have been reported in broiler chickens fed with fermented products (Feng et al., 2007a; Sun et al., 2013). However, the data on the effects of feeding fermented products on nutrient digestibility, digestive enzymes activity, gut morphology and functionality in broiler chicken is scarce. Moreover, given the probiotic effects of fermented products, the hypothesis of this trial is that added prebiotic will further enhance the beneficial effects of the fermented soybean meal (FSBM) in a synergistic way. The objective of this trial was, therefore, to study the effects of FSBM compared to SBM with or without added prebiotics on growth performance, digestive enzymes activity, nutrient digestibility, gut microbial profile, intestinal morphology, and hepatic IGF-1 gene expression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment complied with the Animal Research Ethics of our institute and the protocol was approved prior to the trial commencement.

Preparation of FSBM

The bacterial and fungus strains including Lactobacillus acidophilus (PTCC1643), Lactobacillus plantarum (PTCC1058), Bacillus subtilis (PTCC1156), and Aspergillus oryzae (PTCC5163), respectively, were sourced from the Persian Type Culture Collection Centre of the Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology, Iran. Each kilogram of SBM as fermentation substrate was inoculated and mixed with 1 L of distilled water containing 10^8 CFU/mL of L. acidophilus, L. plantarum, and B. subtilis and 10^6 spores/mL of A. oryzae in fermentation tanks equipped with a one-way valve to outflow of gases produced and impeded air entry at 30°C for 7 d. The FSBM samples were finally dried for 2 d at 50°C. At last, the dried samples were ground and kept at room temperature until mixed into experimental diets.

Chemical Analyses

To determine LAB and Enterobacteriaceae counts in the SBM and FSBM, 1 g of each sample was used to make 10-fold serial dilutions using buffered peptone water. Then, 0.1 mL of appropriate dilutions was spread on the plates containing modified de Man Rogosa, Sharpe (MRS) agar and eosin methylene blue agar, respectively. Plates were incubated in anaerobic (for LAB) and aerobic (for Enterobacteriaceae) conditions at 37°C for 1 d. Finally, after counting the number of colonies in each plate, the obtained number was multiplied by reversed dilution and reported as the colony forming unit (CFU) per 1 g sample. The pH value of SBM and FSBM was determined using a pH meter (Hanna Instruments, Woonsocket, USA, model HI 99163). The lactic acid concentration was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) according to the method of Marsili et al. (1983). The phytic acid content in SBM and FSBM was determined through the extraction of the metabolite with HCl and Na2SO4 and the absorbance measured at 660 nm. Trypsin inhibitor activity in the fermented and unfermented samples was estimated according to the method of Smith et al. (1980), and the results are expressed as mg of trypsin inhibited per g of dry sample. The concentrations of glycinin and β-conglycinin were measured by the method of Wang et al. (2014). The amino acid compositions of SBM and FSBM samples were measured using an automated amino acid analyzer after hydrolyzing the samples with 6 M HCl at 110°C for 24 h and then sulphur-containing amino acids were oxidized using performic acid before the acid hydrolysis. Contents of dry matter (DM), ash, crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE), crude fiber were analyzed according to AOAC (2005). All analyses were performed in 3 replicates.

Animals and Experimental Design

A total of four hundred and eighty 1-day-old male broiler chickens (Ross 308) with uniform body weight were obtained from a commercial hatchery (Navid Morgh Guilan Company, Iran) and randomly allocated to 1 of 4 experimental diets in 6 replicates (pens) each with 20 birds in a completely randomized design. Experimental diets included corn-SBM diet (CON); corn-SBM diet + mannan-oligosaccharide (MOS) prebiotic [0.2%, ActiveMOS; Biorigin, Brazil]; corn-FSBM diet [SBM was totally replaced by FSBM]; and corn-FSBM + MOS prebiotic (MIX). Experimental diets, in mash form, were formulated to meet nutritional requirements based on Ross guidelines (Ross Broiler Management Handbook, Aviagen International, 2014) for starter (1 to 10 d), grower (11 to 24 d), and finisher (25 to 42 d) phases. For the period of days 35 to 42, diets were mixed with 0.3% of titanium dioxide as an external marker for determination of apparent ileal digestibility of nutrients. Table 1 presents the composition of experimental diets and calculated nutrient level. All birds had ad libitum access to water and experimental diets and light regimen was set at 22L: 2D throughout the study period. The temperature was 34°C for the first 3 d of
**Table 1.** Ingredients and nutrients composition of the experimental diets.

| Diet composition (%) | Experimental diets |
|----------------------|--------------------|
|                      | Starter (1 to 10 d) | Grower (11 to 24 d) | Finisher (25 to 42 d) |
|                      | Control | FSBM  | Control | FSBM  | Control | FSBM  |
| Corn                 | 51.07   | 54.40 | 50.76   | 54.30 | 55.80   | 58.97 |
| Soybean meal         | 37.19   | –     | 39.99   | –     | 34.57   | –     |
| Fermented soybean meal | 0      | 34.50 | –       | 37.11 | –       | 32.08 |
| Corn gluten meal     | 4       | 4     | –       | –     | –       | –     |
| Vegetable oil        | 3.23    | 2.64  | 5.40    | 4.77  | 5.96    | 5.41  |
| Limestone            | 0.81    | 0.83  | 0.68    | 0.70  | 0.63    | 0.65  |
| Di-calcium phosphate | 2.14    | 2.14  | 1.91    | 1.92  | 1.71    | 1.71  |
| Salt                 | 0.36    | 0.36  | 0.37    | 0.37  | 0.37    | 0.37  |
| Vitamin premix1      | 0.25    | 0.25  | 0.25    | 0.25  | 0.25    | 0.25  |
| Mineral premix2      | 0.25    | 0.25  | 0.25    | 0.25  | 0.25    | 0.25  |
| DL-methionine        | 0.28    | 0.29  | 0.25    | 0.26  | 0.23    | 0.24  |
| L-lysine             | 0.34    | 0.28  | 0.13    | 0.07  | 0.23    | 0.07  |
| Threonine            | 0.08    | 0.07  | 0.01    | –     | –       | –     |
| Total                | 100     | 100   | 100     | 100   | 100     | 100   |
| Calculated composition |       |       |         |       |         |       |
| Metabolizable energy (Kcal/kg) | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,100  | 3,100 | 3,200   | 3,200 |
| Crude protein (%)    | 23      | 23    | 21.5    | 21.5  | 19.5    | 19.5  |
| SID lysine (%)       | 1.28    | 1.28  | 1.15    | 1.15  | 1.03    | 1.03  |
| SID methionine (%)   | 0.63    | 0.63  | 0.55    | 0.55  | 0.52    | 0.52  |
| SID methionine + cysteine (%) | 0.95  | 0.95  | 0.87    | 0.87  | 0.80    | 0.80  |
| SID threonine (%)    | 0.86    | 0.86  | 0.77    | 0.77  | 0.68    | 0.68  |
| Calcium (%)          | 0.96    | 0.96  | 0.87    | 0.87  | 0.79    | 0.79  |
| Available phosphorous (%) | 0.48  | 0.48  | 0.435   | 0.435 | 0.395   | 0.395 |
| Sodium (%)           | 0.16    | 0.16  | 0.16    | 0.16  | 0.16    | 0.16  |

1Supplied per kg of diet: 1.8 mg all-trans-retinyl acetate; 0.02 mg cholecalciferol; 8.3 mg alphatocopheryl acetate; 2.2 mg menadione; 2 mg pyridoxine HCl; 8 mg cyanocobalamin; 10 mg nicotine amid; 0.3 mg folic acid; 20 mg D-biotin; 160 mg choline chloride.

2Supplied per kg of diet: 32 mg Mn (MnSO4_H2O); 16 mg Fe (FeSO4_7H2O); 24 mg Zn (ZnO); 2 mg Cu (CuSO4_5H2O); 800 µg I (KI); 200 µg Co (CoSO4); 60 µg Se (NaSeO3).

the study and then gradually reduced by 3°C weekly reaching 22°C.

**Growth Performance and Sample Collection**

Body weights (BW) of the birds and their feed intakes (FI) were recorded weekly, and BW gain, FI, and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were calculated for starter, grower, and finisher phases, as well as the total grow-out period. The mortality rate was recorded daily.

At the end of the experiment, 2 birds with BW similar to the mean BW of the pen replicate were selected and euthanized by cervical dislocation and the GI tract was cleaved to sample the digesta contents of crop, small intestine (from the segments of distal end of duodenum to the ileocecal junction), and pancreas to study pH, gut microbial composition, intestinal histomorphology, nutrient digestibility, and digestive enzymes activity. The liver samples were immediately transferred to liquid nitrogen after recording their weight and kept in a −80°C freezer until RNA extraction analysis. The concentration of plasma 3-methylhistidine was determined by HPLC according to the method described by Hayashi et al. (1987).

**Digesta pH, and Microbial Profile Analyses**

The crop, ileum, and cecal pH values were measured using a digital pH meter (Hanna Instruments, Woonsocket, USA, model HI 99163) after mixing 1 g of digesta of each GI tract segment with 2 mL of distilled water.

To determine microbial composition, 1 g of the crop, ileal, and cecal digesta was diluted with 9 mL of 1% peptone water and homogenized for 1 min to make dilution series. Bacteria count was determined by plating 0.1 mL portions of appropriately diluted culture on MRS-agar plates for LAB; violet red bile agar for coliform bacteria; plate count agar for the total anaerobic bacteria and tryptose sulphite cycloserine agar for Clostridium perfringens after incubating plates for 24 to 48 h at 37°C under anaerobic conditions. All bacteria were counted and expressed as total CFU/g digesta, and results are reported as Log10-transformed data.

**Intestinal Histomorphology**

To study intestinal histomorphology, a 1-cm segment of the midpoint of the duodenum, jejunum, and ileum from each bird was removed and fixed in 10% buffered formaldehyde for 48 h. Each of these intestinal segments was embedded in paraffin, a 5-µm section of each sample was cut using microtome, placed on a glass slide, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for examination under a light microscope. Morphological parameters were determined using the ImageJ software package (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). Villus height was measured from the tip of the villus to the villus crypt.
junction and crypt depth was measured from the base upwards to the region of transition between the crypt and villus. A total of 10 villi per section and 2 sections per tissue sample were analyzed.

**Nutrient Digestibility**

To measure nutrients digestibility, the ileal digesta was collected from the posterior half between Meckel’s diverticulum and 2 cm prior to the ileo-ceco-colonic junction. The digesta samples of birds were collected and stored at −20°C and finally freeze-dried to determine digestibility of DM, CP, EE, and organic matter (OM) according to the method of AOAC (2005). Gross energy (GE) of samples was analyzed using an adiabatic bomb calorimeter (Gallenkamp autobomb, Leicestershire, UK). The nutrients’ apparent digestibility values are calculated as follows:

\[ \text{AID} = 1 - \left( \frac{\text{Nutrient digesta} \times \text{Marker diet}}{\text{Nutrient diet} \times \text{Marker digesta}} \right) \]

where AID is the apparent ileal digestibility of a nutrient in the diet; Marker \( \text{diet} \) is the concentration of an indigestible marker in the diet; Nutrient \( \text{digesta} \) is the nutrient concentration in the ileal digesta; Marker \( \text{digesta} \) is the indigestible-marker concentration in the ileal digesta; and Nutrient \( \text{diet} \) is the nutrient concentration in the diet.

**Digestive Enzyme Activity**

The samples of pancreas and jejunum digesta were individually diluted 4 and 10 times with phosphate-saline buffer and were then centrifuged at 3,000 × g for 15 min and 18,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C, respectively. The supernatant was collected in several Eppendorf tubes and stored at −70°C to assess different digestive enzymes’ activity. The Amylase activity was assayed by the method of Somogyi (1960). One enzyme unit was defined as the amount of amylase forming reducing sugars equivalent to 1 mg of glucose per mg of intestinal digesta protein or pancreas during 30 min of incubation at 38°C. Corn starch was used as the substrate in this assay.

Lipase activity was measured according to the method described by Tietz and Fiereck (1966). Lipase activity unit was equal to the volume (mL) of 0.05 M NaOH required to neutralize the fatty acid released during 6 h incubation with 3 mL of lipase substrate at 38°C per mg of intestinal digesta protein or pancreas. Protease activity was determined using Lynn and Clevette-Redford’s method (1984), where the protease activity unit was equal to the mg of azocasein breakdown during 2 h of incubation at 38°C per mg of intestinal digesta protein or pancreas. The Lowry et al. (1951) method was used to measure the protein concentration using bovine serum albumin as standard.

**RNA Extraction and Preparation**

Total RNA was isolated from each liver tissue by RNX-plus Kit (SinaClon, Iran). The samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and were crushed and homogenized for RNA extraction (Bottje et al., 2009; Kong et al., 2011). After RNA purification and removal of DNA remnants by DNasel (kit-SinnaGen), the RNA quantity was measured by NanoDrop spectrophotometer ND-1000 (Absorbance 260 and 280 nm) and RNA integrity was validated by agarose-gel electrophoresis.

**cDNA Synthesis**

Reverse transcription (RT) of mRNAs was performed using RevertAid kit (Fermentas/Life Science/Isogene). A RT-PCR was carried out in a 20 µL reaction volume according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The mixture contained total RNA, oligo dT, DEPC water, 5 × reaction buffer, RiboLock RNase Inhibitor, M-MuLV Reverse transcriptase. The RT reaction was incubated at 65°C for 5 min, 42°C for 60 min, and heat inactivation at 70°C for 5 min. The synthesized cDNA was stored at −20°C for further analysis.

**Real-Time Reverse Transcription-PCR**

A real-time PCR (Corbett, USA) was carried out to assess the expression pattern of target genes. Primers for IGF1 (forward primer, 5′-AGCATAGACGTTACACCCAC-3′; reverse primer, 5′-AGGTGGCTTTATTTGGAGCACA-3′) and β-actin (forward primer, 5′-CATTGCACCGCAAATGCT-3′; reverse primer, 5′-TAATCGTGCTGCAAGCGCCA-3′) as the housekeeping and target gene, respectively, were designed by AllelID7.0 software. Each quantitative PCR (qPCR) reaction was performed with primers for either the target or the housekeeping gene: 10 µL SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (SYBR biopars, GUASNR, Iran), 6 pM of each primer, 5% DMSO, and 10 ng of cDNA. Amplification conditions were comprised of an initial denaturation step of 3 min at 90°C, followed by a 2-step program (10 s of denaturation at 90°C and 30 s of annealing-elongation at 60°C), which was repeated 40 times. Then, samples were visualized on an agarose gel (1.5%) to confirm the replication of each specified gene. Finally, the cycle of threshold (Ct) for the IGF1 gene was subtracted to the corresponding Ct of the β-actin gene and the obtained data was analyzed by 2−ΔΔCt method.

**Statistical Analyses**

Data related to the effects of microbial fermentation on chemical composition of SBM and FSBM were analyzed using a T-test. Data related to the feeding trial of broiler chickens were checked for normality and then analyzed in a completely randomized design using the GLM procedures of SAS software (SAS Institute,
**RESULTS**

### FSBM Characteristics

Chemical composition, ANFs, microbial characteristics, and pH of SBM and FSBM are presented in Table 2. The results indicated that the fermentation process significantly increased the contents of CP ($P = 0.003$), ash ($P = 0.03$), and some amino acids including lysine ($P = 0.001$), phenylalanine ($P = 0.008$), glutamine ($P = 0.001$), and tyrosine ($P = 0.03$). The fermentation process considerably reduced pH from 5.96 to 3.71 in FSBM ($P = 0.002$). The lower pH in FSBM was associated with a 2.5-fold higher LAB count (3.87 vs. 0.26 mg/g; $P < 0.001$) and a 6-fold higher lactic acid concentration (28.63 vs. 180.15 mmol/kg; $P < 0.001$). The Enterobacteriaceae count (4.52 vs. 1.38 Log$_{10}$ CFU/g; $P = 0.002$), phytic acid content (0.72 vs. 0.20 g/100 g; $P < 0.001$), trypsin inhibitor content (2.61 vs. 0.85 mg/g; $P = 0.001$), β-conglycinin (40.92 vs. 17.01 mg/g; $P < 0.001$), and glycinin (63.27 vs. 25.27 mg/g; $P < 0.005$) were effectively reduced by the fermentation process in the FSBM.

### Growth Performance

The effects of replacing SBM with FSBM with or without MOS on the growth performance of broiler chicken are reported in Table 3. The FI of the birds was not affected by any of the experimental diets for the total grow-out period. BW gain, however, was improved by replacing SBM with FSBM during d 11 to 24 ($P < 0.001$), d 25 to 42 ($P < 0.001$), and the total grow-out period (d 1 to 42; $P = 0.001$). MOS addition to the CON diet improved birds BW gain during day 11 to 24 ($P < 0.001$), but not for the rest of the experimental period. Birds had the largest BW gain when fed the MIX diet ($P < 0.001$), which indicated a synergy between FSBM and the added MOS as a prebiotic. Feed conversion ratio was the lowest when the birds were fed FSBM, with or without MOS for d 11 to 24 ($P = 0.040$), d 25 to 42 ($P = 0.002$), and the total grow-out period (d 1 to 42; $P = 0.003$). The dressing percentage was not affected by the experimental diets; however, abdominal fat (%) was reduced when birds were fed FSBM with or without MOS ($P = 0.001$).

Replacing SBM with FSBM reduced the concentration of plasma 3-methylhistidine, which was similar to the MOS diet. The MIX diet, however, further reduced the plasma 3-methylhistidine concentration in a synergistic way, indicating the symbiotic effects (Figure 1; $P = 0.001$).

### Microbiota Composition, pH, and Intestinal Morphology

The effects of experimental diets on microbiota composition (Log$_{10}$ CFU/g) and the pH of the crop, ileum, and cecal of broiler chicks are presented in Table 4. The MOS diet did not change crop pH and LAB count compared to the CON diet, however, replacing SBM with FSBM with and without the addition of MOS has reduced crop pH ($P = 0.001$) and increased the LAB count ($P = 0.001$). The pH in ileum was reduced by the addition of MOS to the CON diet ($P < 0.001$) that was similar to the FSBM diet. Birds on the MIX diet had the lowest ileum pH compared to the CON and MOS diets but did not differ from the FSBM diet. The ileum LAB count was higher in birds fed the FSBM with and without MOS ($P = 0.002$) compared to the CON diet but did not differ from the MOS diet. Birds had the highest cecal LAB count when fed the MIX diet. The MOS, FSBM, and MIX diets reduced both C. perfringens and coliform in the ileum and cecum compared to the CON diet ($P = 0.005$). Total anaerobic bacteria count was not altered in the crop and ileum by the experimental diets.

---

**Table 2.** Analyzed composition of SBM and FSBM (% of dry matter basis).

| Item                        | SBM     | FSBM    | SEM     | $P$-value |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Dry matter                  | 92.32   | 90.69   | 1.064   | 0.339     |
| Crude protein               | 43.55$^b$ | 46.37$^*$ | 0.321   | 0.003     |
| Ether extract               | 1.25    | 1.18    | 0.023   | 0.116     |
| Crude fiber                 | 6.62    | 6.27    | 0.162   | 0.203     |
| Ash                         | 5.80$^b$ | 6.14$^b$ | 0.071   | 0.029     |
| Indispensable amino acids   |         |         |         |           |
| Arginine                    | 2.87    | 2.95    | 0.120   | 0.662     |
| Histidine                   | 1.04    | 1.08    | 0.019   | 0.179     |
| Isoleucine                  | 1.63    | 1.57    | 0.054   | 0.461     |
| Leucine                     | 3.34    | 3.32    | 0.133   | 0.907     |
| Lysine                      | 2.52$^b$ | 2.84$^a$ | 0.027   | 0.001     |
| Methionine                  | 0.60    | 0.64    | 0.026   | 0.307     |
| Phenylalanine               | 1.95$^b$ | 2.08$^a$ | 0.019   | 0.008     |
| Threonine                   | 1.81    | 1.95    | 0.085   | 0.301     |
| Valine                      | 1.77    | 1.86    | 0.032   | 0.123     |
| Dispensable amino acids     |         |         |         |           |
| Alanine                     | 1.52    | 1.73    | 0.075   | 0.125     |
| Asparagine                  | 4.60    | 5.22    | 0.197   | 0.088     |
| Cysteine                    | 1.28    | 1.31    | 0.022   | 0.448     |
| Glutamine                   | 7.01$^b$ | 8.36$^a$ | 0.067   | 0.001     |
| Glycine                     | 1.65    | 1.84    | 0.060   | 0.072     |
| Proline                     | 2.45    | 2.58    | 0.051   | 0.149     |
| Serine                      | 2.25    | 2.27    | 0.028   | 0.639     |
| Tyrosine                    | 1.64$^b$ | 1.79$^a$ | 0.031   | 0.032     |
| Tryptophan                  | 0.58    | 0.67    | 0.014   | 0.167     |
| pH                          | 5.69$^b$ | 5.71$^b$ | 0.111   | 0.002     |
| Lactic acid (mmol/kg)        | 28.63$^b$ | 28.15$^b$ | 0.067   | 0.001     |
| Lactic acid bacteria (Log$_{10}$ CFU/g) | 3.95$^b$ | 3.89$^a$ | 0.022   | 0.001     |
| Enterobacteriaceae (Log$_{10}$ CFU/g) | 4.52$^a$ | 4.35$^b$ | 0.324   | 0.002     |
| Phytic acid (g/100g)         | 0.72$^b$ | 0.79$^a$ | 0.021   | <0.001    |
| Trypsin inhibitor (mg/g)     | 2.61$^a$ | 2.85$^b$ | 0.160   | 0.001     |
| β-conglycinin (mg/g)         | 40.92$^a$ | 40.17$^b$ | 1.058   | <0.001    |
| Glycinin (mg/g)              | 63.27$^a$ | 55.65$^b$ | 2.762   | 0.005     |

SBM = soybean meal; FSBM = fermented soybean meal. Means with different superscripts in each row are statistically different ($P < 0.05$).

2003). When a significant effect of treatments was detected, means were compared using Tukey’s HSD test at $P < 0.05$ level of probability.
Table 3. Effects of experimental diets on growth performance in broiler chickens.1

| Item                        | CON   | MOS   | FSBM  | MIX   | SEM   | P-value |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Treatments                  |       |       |       |       |       |         |
| Body weight gain, g         |       |       |       |       |       |         |
| d 1 to 10                   | 225.71| 228.35| 231.60| 230.84| 4.574 | 0.797   |
| d 11 to 24                  | 275.85| 283.61| 283.50| 279.45| 5.935 | 0.760   |
| d 25 to 42                  | 1388.23| 1394.58| 1400.25| 1419.10| 11.907| 0.318   |
| d 25 to 42                  | 4571.76| 4594.03| 4582.82| 4616.10| 25.153| 0.643   |
| Feed intake, g              |       |       |       |       |       |         |
| d 1 to 10                   | 1.22  | 1.24  | 1.22  | 1.21  | 0.010 | 0.235   |
| d 11 to 24                  | 1.57a | 1.55b | 1.54b | 1.52b | 0.013 | 0.040   |
| d 25 to 42                  | 2.50  | 2.50  | 2.50  | 2.50  | 0.083 | 0.715   |
| Mortality (%; d 1 to 42)    | 4.53a | 4.49a | 4.28b | 4.20b | 0.065 | 0.001   |
| Dressing percentage         | 71.47 | 71.95 | 72.04 | 72.60 | 0.390 | 0.507   |
| Abdominal fat (%)           | 1.56a | 1.48b | 1.19b | 1.22b | 0.065 | 0.001   |

a–cMeans with different superscripts in each row are statistically different (P < 0.05).

1Data represent means of 6 replicates per treatment.

Con = control; MOS = mannan-oligosaccharides; FSBM = fermented soybean meal; MIX = MOS + FSBM.

The intestinal characteristics of broiler chicken when fed with different experimental diets are shown in Table 5. All the experimental diets, including the MOS, FSBM, and MIX diets, increased villus height in duodenum (P < 0.002) and jejunum (P < 0.001) compared to the CON diet. The crypt depth was reduced by the MIX diet compared to the CON diet (P < 0.03). The villus height to crypt depth ratio in the duodenum (6.71 vs. 6.46 μm in the MOS and CON, respectively) and jejunum (5.43 vs. 5.05 in the MOS and CON, respectively) was increased by the addition of MOS to the FSBM diet (P < 0.001) compared to the CON diet. However, the MIX diet further increased the villus height to crypt depth in the duodenum (7.16 vs. 6.46 μm in MIX and CON, respectively) and jejunum (5.72 vs. 5.05 μm in MIX and CON, respectively) compared to the CON diet (P < 0.001), indicating the synergistic effects.

Ileal Nutrient Digestibility, Digestive Enzymes Activities, and Hepatic IGF-1 Expression

The ileal digestibility of DM, CP, EE, GE, and OM of different experimental diets is shown in Table 6. The CP ileal digestibility was not altered when the MOS was added to the CON diet. However, the FSBM improved the CP ileal digestibility compared to the CON and the MOS diets (P = 0.02) that was not different from the MIX diet. The ileal digestibility of GE was improved.
Table 5. Effects of experimental diets on small intestinal morphology (μm) of broiler chickens.

| Treatments | CON | MOS | FSBM | MIX | SEM | P-value |
|------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|---------|
| Villus height | 153.50b | 115.73a | 111.57b | 8.870 | 0.002 |
| Jejunum | 721.95a | 727.41a | 85.51a | 0.001 |
| Ileum | 455.70 | 451.25 | 8.055 | 0.285 |
| Crypt depth | 167.46a | 155.85b | 2.391 | 0.031 |
| Jejunum | 132.64 | 127.20 | 2.767 | 0.274 |
| Ileum | 98.61 | 96.61 | 2.238 | 0.474 |
| Villus height/crypt depth | 71.73 | 70.68 | 71.46 | 71.80 | 0.689 | 0.645 |

aN–cMeans with different superscripts in each row are statistically different (P < 0.05).
CON = control; MOS = mannan-oligosaccharides; FSBM = fermented soybean meal; MIX = MOS + FSBM.

Table 6. Effects of experimental diets on apparent ileal digestibility of nutrients in broiler chickens.

| Treatments | CON | MOS | FSBM | MIX | SEM | P-value |
|------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|---------|
| Dry matter | 72.25 | 72.65 | 72.09 | 72.44 | 0.431 | 0.814 |
| Crude protein | 76.30b | 76.31b | 78.95a | 78.14a | 0.701 | 0.023 |
| Ether extract | 83.08 | 84.15 | 86.07 | 86.73 | 1.070 | 0.144 |
| Gross energy | 79.22b | 80.45b | 85.30a | 84.97a | 1.251 | 0.001 |
| Organic matter | 71.73 | 70.68 | 71.46 | 71.80 | 0.689 | 0.645 |

aN–cMeans with different superscripts in each row are statistically different (P < 0.05).
CON = control; MOS = mannan-oligosaccharides; FSBM = fermented soybean meal; MIX = MOS + FSBM.

Table 7. Effects of experimental diets on intestinal and pancreatic digestive enzyme activity (U/mg of digesta protein).

| Treatments | CON | MOS | FSBM | MIX | SEM | P-value |
|------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|---------|
| Intestine Lipase | 18.62 | 18.56 | 18.97 | 19.15 | 0.538 | 0.871 |
| Amylase | 13.40b | 13.32b | 16.24a | 16.01a | 0.719 | 0.004 |
| Protease | 79.25a | 81.50b | 86.03a,b | 88.50a | 2.209 | 0.020 |
| Pancreas Lipase | 46.54 | 45.85 | 47.21 | 46.62 | 1.776 | 0.960 |
| Amylase | 35.29 | 36.14 | 34.95 | 36.33 | 1.274 | 0.883 |
| Protease | 148.80b | 153.50b | 167.46a | 170.61a | 2.253 | <0.001 |

aN–cMeans with different superscripts in each row are statistically different (P < 0.05).
CON = control; MOS = mannan-oligosaccharides; FSBM = fermented soybean meal; MIX = MOS + FSBM.

by replacing SBM with FSBM with or without MOS (P = 0.001).

The effects of experimental diets on intestinal and pancreatic digestive enzyme activities are presented in Table 7. The activity of intestinal amylase (P = 0.004) and protease (P = 0.020), as well as the activity of the pancreatic protease (P < 0.001), was improved by replacing SBM with FSBM with and without MOS.

Figure 2. Effects of experimental diets on hepatic IGF-1 expression in broiler chickens. Bars with no common letter differ statistically (P < 0.05). CON = control; MOS = mannan-oligosaccharides; FSBM = fermented soybean meal; MIX = MOS + FSBM.

The hepatic IGF-1 expression of birds when fed with different experimental diets is illustrated in Figure 2. The MOS diet upregulated the hepatic IGF-1 gene expression. By replacing SBM with FSBM, an upregulation in the hepatic IGF-1 gene was observed that was similar to the MOS diet. The MIX diet, however, further increased the expression of the hepatic IGF-1 and a synergistic way (P = 0.001), indicating the synergistic effects.

**DISCUSSION**

SBM is the most commonly used protein source in animal nutrition. The presence of some ANFs, such as trypsin inhibitor, antigenic proteins, and phytic acid, however, can deteriorate birds’ growth performance if not removed or deactivated. Microbial fermentation has been suggested as an effective and less-expensive technique to reduce the ANFs and improve the nutritive value of plant-based proteins by providing probiotics and their metabolites in the feed. In the current study, A. oryzae removed the oxygen from the fermentation media and created anaerobic conditions for the growth and development of L. acidophilus, L. plantarum, and B. subtilis. The biological activity of B. subtilis improves the condition for the growth and proliferation of LAB (Jazi et al., 2017), and in return, the LAB activity reduces the pH of the feed by producing lactic acid and establish a protective condition against the growth and viability of pathogenic organisms such as Enterobacteriaceae. The fermentation process by the combination of fungal and bacterial species in the current study has effectively increased the LAB counts (2.5-fold increase) and lactic acid concentration (6-fold increase), which resulted in a 35% reduced pH of FSBM. Similar outcomes have been reported in previous studies, which have indicated a reduced pH and increased LAB population and lactic acid concentrations in fermented products (Shi et al., 2017; Jazi et al., 2018a). The results of the current study have demonstrated the effects of the fermentation process on improved nutritive value of FSBM with increased CP, lysine, phenylalanine, glutamine, tyrosine, as well as reduced phytic acid, trypsin inhibitor, and allergenic proteins (β-conglycinin and glycinin) contents in the FSBM. The reduction of these ANFs may be further increased by replacing SBM with FSBM with or without MOS (P = 0.001).
be attributed to the microbial or fungal enzymes degrading these compounds. *B. subtilis* has been reported to produce protease, cellulase, and phytase enzymes (Sun et al., 2012) and *lactobacillus* to produce phytase, cellulase, xylanase, and glucanase enzymes (Taheri et al., 2009). The microbial protease and phytase enzymes might be responsible for the reduction of the allergic proteins and phytic acid contents, respectively (Li et al., 2014). The increase in the CP or amino acid contents could also originate from the microbial protein synthesis due to the increased microbial population during the fermentation (Chen et al., 2013; Ashayerizadeh et al., 2017). Moreover, the reduction of water-insoluble and non-protein compounds, such as fiber, during the extraction process may also contribute to the increased protein content in the FSBM (Sun et al., 2015).

In the current study, replacing SBM with FSBM, with or without the addition of MOS improved BW gain and FCR for the total grow-out period (d 1 to 42). However, the FI during the grow-out period was not affected by FSBM, with or without the addition of MOS. Therefore, given that no differences in FI between the experimental diets were observed, increased BW gain indicates a higher nutrient digestibility and utilization in FSBM-fed birds. Similarly, Sun et al. (2013) and Jazi et al. (2017) reported improved growth performance when replacing SBM with fermented product in broiler diets. These authors suggested that the improvement of the nutritional value of feedstuffs and reduction in ANFs after fermentation as well as improved intestinal health, may have a beneficial impact on growth performance. In the present study, the enhanced growth performance of birds fed FSBM diets was associated with improved intestinal morphology, microbial community, digestive enzymes’ activity, and increased expression of hepatic IGF-1, which is considered as the anabolic growth factor.

The positive effects of prebiotic on birds BW gain in this study are in agreement with previous studies that reported an improved growth performance of broiler chicken when fed with dietary MOS (Chee et al., 2010; Jazi et al., 2018b). Based on the literature, the improved growth performance of birds when prebiotics are included in the diet, may originate from encouraging the growth of beneficial bacteria, the pathogens-binding capability of MOS, and enhanced GI tract functionality (Faber et al., 2011; Pourabedin et al., 2014). The addition of MOS to the FSBM in the current study further improved the BW gain of the birds for the total grow-out period, which was an indication of a synergistic relationship between prebiotics and fermented feeds. The synergy between FSBM and MOS was evident in a few traits in the current study that are presented accordingly. However, we could not find any reported results of supplementing prebiotics to fermented feed products and it seems that the current study is the first to explore the synergy between fermented feed and a prebiotic, MOS in this study.

Replacing SBM with FSBM with or without MOS reduced abdominal fat content, which may originate from the increased LAB count when birds are fed the FSBM. Two mechanisms have been suggested for LAB to reduce abdominal fat. First, the LAB is reported to break down the bile salts and increase their excretion in the faces. This increases the need for bile salt production in the liver. Second, it has been shown that LAB down-regulate the activity of acetyl CoA carboxylase, which is a rate-limiting enzyme in the hepatic fatty acid production (Kalavathy et al., 2003). The reduced fatty acid output from the liver will restrict the triglyceride esterification and fat deposition in the body (Shirani et al., 2019).

In the present study, plasma 3-methylhistidine concentration was reduced by FSBM and MOS and a further reduction by their combination. The plasma or urinary 3-methylhistidine is a biomarker of muscle protein degradation. Due to the difficulties of urine sampling in chicken, we used plasma 3-methylhistidine concentration measurements to study the effects of FSBM and MOS on muscle protein degradation (Nagasawa et al., 1998). These outcomes indicate that feeding FSBM and MOS may lead to suppressing the skeletal muscle protein degradation. Similar results have been reported where the gene expression of the enzymes involved in the skeletal muscle protein breakdown were down-regulated by using probiotics in the broiler chicken diet (Saleh et al., 2013).

The GI tract of poultry is populated with microorganisms, of which the microbial composition and activity significantly affect the bird’s metabolism, immune response, gut functionality, and growth performance (Pan and Yu, 2013). Replacing SBM with FSBM in the present study reduced pH, increased LAB counts in crop, ileum, and cecal and reduced ileal and cecal *C. perfringens* and coliform of broiler chicken. These observations are in line with previous studies that have reported an increase in the LAB counts in the intestine, a reduced pH, and improved intestinal morphology by the inclusion of fermented feeds in the diet (Sun et al., 2013; Jazi et al., 2017; Ashayerizadeh et al., 2018). The low pH and high LAB counts in fermented feeds may reduce the pH in the upper part of the GI tract, particularly crop, and thereby improves the gut microbial balance by providing an environment that promotes the beneficial bacterial growth and establishment, such as LAB, and eliminates pathogens (Shabani et al., 2019). The aforementioned effects of fermented feed on gut microbiota are very similar to the ones of probiotics in broiler chicken (Chiang et al., 2010). Our results showed that dietary MOS reduced pH, increased LAB population, and reduced *C. perfringens* and coliform in the ileum and cecal of broiler chicken. These outcomes were in line with the results of Chee et al. (2010) who found that supplementation with MOS in broiler diets increased population of lacticacilli and LAB and reduced *C. perfringens* and coliform in the ileum compared to the control group. The underlying mechanisms
trypsin inhibitor, phytic acid, and allergenic protein, related to the decreased ANFs content particularly to the growth performance. This improvement might be related to the decreased ANFs content particularly trypsin inhibitor, phytic acid, and allergenic protein, with digestion capacity and gut function (Goodarzi et al., 2018). In the current study, replacement with MOS increased villus height in the SBM with FSBM, with or without MOS, improved gut morphology that was reflected in a greater villus height, reduced crypt depth, and increased villus height to crypt depth. These results are in agreement with previous studies, which demonstrated that the inclusion of fermented cottonseed meal improved intestinal morphology of broilers (Sun et al., 2013). Similarly, Chiang et al. (2010) demonstrated an increase in the villus height and villus height to crypt depth ratio in jejunum and ileum of chickens fed fermented rapeseed meal. The mode of action of fermented feeds in improved intestinal morphology varies among different studies. Nonetheless, the general proposed mechanisms of action are through improving intestinal microflora balance in favor of beneficial microbes (Jazi et al., 2018a) and reducing ANFs during fermentation, such as antigenic proteins (Feng et al., 2007a). In addition, the metabolic activity of microorganisms during fermentation can increase enzymes and other compounds such as peptides, amino acids, and organic acids, which may enhance gut function (Jazi et al., 2019). The improved intestinal morphology in birds fed the FSBM and MIX diet can lead to enhanced nutrient digestibility and improved growth performance.

The improvement of intestinal mucosal structure is thought to be as a consequence of the effects of MOS on the gut microbial composition and activity and microbial metabolites. These results are in line with previous studies, which have reported that the dietary supplementation with MOS increased villus height in the small intestinal of broiler chickens (Chee et al., 2010; Pourabedin et al., 2014).

A healthy gut microbiota is the cornerstone of the optimum growth performance in animal. The impaired health and functioning of the GI tract affects the nutrients’ digestion and absorption, and the growth performance will be retarded. Determination of nutrients digestibility is a quantitative assessment of the nutritional and physiological phenomena associated with digestion capacity and gut function (Goodarzi Boroojeni et al., 2018). In the current study, replacing SBM with FSBM, with or without MOS, improved ileal digestibility of CP and GE that was reflected in the birds’ growth performance. This improvement might be related to the decreased ANFs content particularly trypsin inhibitor, phytic acid, and allergenic protein, and increased protein solubility (Feng et al., 2007b; Jazi et al., 2019). The elevated digestive enzymes activity by feeding fermented feeds in this study, also contributes to the higher nutrient digestibility, which is explained in the next section. Chiang et al. (2010) reported an improved digestibility of DM and GE, after replacing rapeseed meal with fermented rapeseed meal in the broiler chicken diet. Similar results were obtained by Aljubobi et al. (2014), where the CP digestibility was greater in fermented rapeseed meal compared to the broiler chicken fed with raw rapeseed meal.

Dietary enzymes play an important role in breaking down the feed particles and liberating nutrients for absorption, which are required for growth and general health of the birds. In previous studies, it has been shown that in addition to trypsin inhibitor, other ANFs such as antigenic proteins depress digestive enzyme activity and thereby impair the digestive capacity and absorption of nutrients (Feng et al., 2007a). On the other hand, pathogenic bacteria may prevent the secretion of digestive enzymes by damaging the villi and microvilli of the intestinal mucosa of the host (Jazi et al., 2018b).

To the best of our knowledge, data reporting the underlying mechanisms by which fermented feeds may improve digestive enzymes in digestive organs is limited. Feng et al. (2007b) suggested that the elimination of ANFs such as trypsin inhibitors and soybean globulins in SBM may improve digestive enzyme activities. Sun et al. (2013) also demonstrated that replacing SBM with cottonseed meal fermented with B. subtilis in broiler diets increases protease and amylase enzyme activity compared to the control birds. The authors stated that this effect may come from the improved intestinal morphology, as well as the contribution of B. subtilis in the production of protease and amylase enzymes. Fermented feeds may also acidify the upper GI tract and provide unfavorable conditions for growth and colonization of harmful bacteria (Jazi et al., 2018a), and therefore, inhibit the negative effects of pathogens on the secretion of digestive enzymes. The results of the present study indicated that feeding birds with FSBM and MIX diets increased the activity of intestinal amylase and protease, and pancreatic protease. These observations were associated with improved intestinal morphology as increased villus height and villus height to crypt depth ratio, greater ileal digestibility of key nutrients, and eventually a better growth performance.

The MOS and FSBM diets upregulated the hepatic IGF-1 gene expression similarly, in the present study. Supplementing FSBM diet with MOS, the MIX, however, further increased the hepatic IGF-1 gene expression, indicating a synergy between the FSBM and the prebiotic. The hepatic IGF-1 is one of the main growth factors in the body that stimulates muscle protein synthesis in mammals and poultry (Guobin et al., 2011). The anabolic actions of muscle protein synthesis, including amino acids uptake and incorporation into proteins, uridine, and thymidine synthesis into nucleic acid glucose uptake, cell proliferation, and...
suppression of protein degradation are regulated by IGF-1 (Florini et al., 1991). Yan et al. (2016) in a recent study revealed that addition of SCFA (as major end products of bacterial fermentation) to mice drinking water can increase serum IGF-1 levels. Kareem et al. (2017) demonstrated that feeding Aspergillus awamori reduces skeletal muscle protein breakdown and stimulates growth in broilers that supports the outcomes of the current study.

The present study demonstrated that the use of FSBM in broiler diets could promote the growth performance by suppressing the skeletal muscle protein breakdown, up-regulating the hepatic IGF-1 gene expression, and improving the digestive functions, including digestive enzyme activity, nutrient digestibility, gut microbial balance, and intestinal morphology of broiler chicken. The addition of prebiotic to the diets containing FSBM further improves growth performance, demonstrating synergistic effects. These findings encourage the animal feed industry to look for cost-effective opportunities to include fermented products in the diet.
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