Global chromatin accessibility profiling analysis reveals a chronic activation state in aged muscle stem cells
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Global chromatin accessibility profiling analysis reveals a chronic activation state in aged muscle stem cells

Anqi Dong, Jing Liu, Kangning Lin, Wenshu Zeng, Wai-Kin So, Shenyuan Hu, and Tom H. Cheung

SUMMARY
Regulation of chromatin accessibility is critical for cell fate decisions. Chromatin structure responds to extrinsic environments rapidly. The traditional adult stem cell isolation approach requires tissue dissociation, which triggers stem cell activation and leads to alterations in chromatin structure. To preserve the in vivo chromatin states, we utilized the PFA-perfusion-based isolation approach and characterized the DNA regulatory landscapes during muscle stem cell quiescence exit and aging. We showed that aged SCs display a chronically activated chromatin signature. Detailed analysis of the chromatin accessibility profiles identified key enhancer elements for SC quiescence. Constant activation of the enhancer elements promotes stemness and prevents SCs from differentiation, whereas genetic deletion causes cell-cycle arrest and leads to defects in activation. Our comprehensive characterization of the chromatin accessibility and transcriptomic landscapes in SC quiescence and aging broadens our understanding of these processes and identifies key distal regulatory elements for SC function.

INTRODUCTION
Adult stem cells are essential for tissue regeneration and homeostasis maintenance (Rumman et al., 2015; Weissman, 2000). Skeletal muscle possesses a remarkable regeneration capacity after acute injury because of its resident stem cells, muscle stem cells, or satellite cells (SCs), located between the basal lamina and the muscle fiber sarcolemma (Mauro, 1961). In a resting skeletal muscle, SCs remain in a reversible G0 state called the quiescent state for a long period (Cheung and Rando, 2013). Upon stimuli such as acute injury, quiescent SCs exit from quiescence to activate and re-enter the cell cycle for proliferation. They will further differentiate to repair the damaged tissue (Relaix and Zammit, 2012). Some activated SCs will return to quiescence through the self-renewal process to replenish the stem cell pool (Collins et al., 2005; Zammit et al., 2004). Pax7 is an indispensable marker for quiescent SCs (Lepper et al., 2011; Von Maltzahn et al., 2013; Oustanina et al., 2004; Sambasivan et al., 2011; Seale et al., 2000). Depleting Pax7 in SCs results in SC pool depletion because of cell-cycle arrest and precocious differentiation (Von Maltzahn et al., 2013), suggesting the fundamental role of Pax7 in maintaining SC quiescence and proper activation. Recently, different approaches have been used to examine the transcriptomic signature of quiescent SCs (Machado et al., 2017; Van Velthoven et al., 2017; Yue et al., 2020). However, measuring gene expression alone is insufficient in identifying the regulome for cell fate determination. Understanding the chromatin dynamics during SC quiescence exit and self-renewal will enable the identification of regulatory elements for quiescence maintenance.

The eukaryotic DNA is highly organized into a nuclear structure called chromatin (Probst et al., 2009; Sitbon et al., 2017). The accessibility to the regulatory DNA elements restrains the gene expression, therefore defining the cell identity. Genome-wide chromatin-based assays are sensitive methods for assaying the activity of regulatory elements. Specifically, Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin using sequencing (ATAC-seq) (Buenrostro et al., 2013) provides a straightforward measurement of chromatin accessibility and can be used to discover functional regulatory elements. Recent findings using ATAC-seq suggested that distal regulatory elements are more cell-type-specific than promoter regions (Corces et al., 2016), highlighting the importance of distal regulatory elements in cell fate specification. Although much effort has been made in identifying enhancers for SC quiescence, the distal regulatory network of SC remains to be explored.
In this study, we examined the chromatin accessibility changes of SCs, from quiescence exit, early activation, and regeneration, showing the trajectory of chromatin environment changes for SC activation in young and aged conditions. We found that the old SCs exhibit a much more open chromatin environment, suggesting that the old SCs exhibit a chronically activated chromatin state. Further analysis of the chromatin accessibility profiles identified two Pax7 enhancers that facilitate SC function. Deleting these enhancers reproduces the phenotype of Pax7-deficient SCs, suggesting the essential role of these enhancers in regulating Pax7 expression. Taken together, our study provided a database for the chromatin accessibility and corresponding transcriptome landscapes covering the progression of SC quiescence exit, activation, regeneration, and aging process, which can be further utilized to identify functional distal regulatory elements for SC function.

RESULTS
Dissecting the chromatin accessibility of quiescent satellite cells

Previous transcriptomic examination of SC quiescence and activation has revealed that the transcriptome landscape changes drastically during the isolation process (Machado et al., 2017; Van Velthoven et al., 2017; Yue et al., 2020). Previous studies have examined chromatin accessibility in freshly isolated SCs, activated SCs (FISCs and ASCs), and cultured myoblasts (Chow et al., 2021; Dong et al., 2020). Using our perfusion-based sorting technique (Yue and Cheung, 2020), we dissect the chromatin accessibility landscape in the quiescent SCs (QSCs) (Figures 1A, S1A, and S1B). We have tested and confirmed our fixation approach does not alter the chromatin accessibility landscape (Figure S1C). Principal component analysis (PCA) shows that the chromatin accessibility landscape of QSCs is significantly different from that of FISCs and ASCs (Figure 1B). The QSCs have the lowest ATAC-seq signal coverage across the whole genome as
Figure 2. SCs exhibit different phases of chromatin accessibility changes during quiescence exit (See also Figures S2 and S3, Table S1 and S2)
(A) Illustration of the experimental design for isolation of injured SCs.
(B and C) Principal component analysis (PCA) plots of ATAC-seq (B) and RNA-seq (C) datasets of unjured and injured SCs.
(D) ATAC-seq signal coverage across transcription start sites (TSS) in injured SCs.
(E) Representative genome tracks of ATAC-seq signal coverage across the promoter regions of quiescence-specific genes (Pax7 and Notch3) and proliferation genes (Myod1 and Mki67).
(F) Fuzzy cluster analysis of ATAC-seq signal of injured SCs. Line plots show standardized ATAC-seq signal, with individual gray lines representing individual loci, and the orange line representing the values for the cluster center.
(G) Representative genome tracks of ATAC-seq signal coverage across peaks from different clusters.
(H) The proportion of different genomic annotations of ATAC-seq peaks from different categories.

The dynamics of chromatin accessibility during satellite cell quiescence exit
We performed time-course profiling of chromatin accessibility (ATAC-seq) and gene expression (RNA-seq) using a perfusion-based sorting scheme to capture the process of chromatin accessibility and transcription alterations during SC quiescence exit in vivo (Figures 2A and S2A; Table S1). The replicates of different injury time-points showed a highly concordant profile (Figures S2B and S2C). Both the PCA plots of ATAC-seq and RNA-seq datasets show the trajectory of the in vivo activation process (Figures 2B and 2C). We also observed that the FlSCs profile resembles that of 4–8 hours post-injury (hpi) samples (Figures S2D). This suggests that the isolation process similarly triggers SC activation to that of an acute injury. The ATAC-seq signal reaches the highest at 4 hpi and gradually decreases in later time points until full activation (Figure 2D). Representative genome tracks also showed that the promoter regions of quiescence-specific genes (e.g., Pax7 and Notch3) are highly accessible in QSCs and early activated SCs, whereas genes associated with proliferation (e.g., Myod1 and Mki67) only have their promoter regions accessible on activation (Figure 2E). When examining the relative genomic distribution of ATAC peaks, we found that uninjured QSCs have a relatively high enrichment in peaks located within the promoter regions (Figure S2E). The number of ATAC-seq peaks identified within distal regions increases drastically during activation compared with ATAC-seq peaks identified within promoter regions, implying the important role of distal elements during activation (Figure S2F). Further examination of ATAC-seq data has identified multiple peaks that are differentially accessible during quiescence exit (Figure S3A). This result indicates that activation is a dynamic process that takes place in different phases.

To investigate the dynamics of chromatin accessibility during SC quiescence exit, we performed time-course fuzzy clustering on normalized ATAC-seq read counts in peaks. We have identified eight clusters that exhibit significant changes across different time points and classified them into four distinct categories: Quiescence (C1 and C2), early activation (C3, C4, and C5), full activation (C6 and C7), and stable cluster where chromatin accessibility is constantly stable (C8) (Figures 2F and 2G; Table S2). Examination of the genomic locations shows that the majority of the peaks that exhibit changes in their accessibility during activation (i.e., clusters from quiescence, early activation, and full activation categories) are located in the distal regions. In contrast, a large portion of peaks maintains their accessibility (C8) located within the promoter regions (Figure 2H). Further gene ontology analysis of different categories shows that quiescence enriched peaks (C1 and C2) are associated with extracellular matrix communications; peaks enriched in early activation (C3, C4, and C5) are associated with cell-cell communication and stimuli response; peaks enriched in full activation (C6 and C7) are associated with system development and cell differentiation (Figure S3B). Altogether, these results uncovered the characteristics of chromatin changes during different phases of quiescence exit and highlighted the importance of characterizing the distal regulatory elements during SC quiescence exit.
The changes in chromatin accessibility during satellite cell self-renewal

To explore the chromatin accessibility changes during SC self-renewal, we performed time-course profiling of RNA-seq and ATAC-seq on regenerating SCs (Figures 3A and S4A; Table S1). The replicates of different injury time-points showed a highly concordant profile (Figures S4B and S4C). The PCA plots of ATAC-seq and RNA-seq datasets both show similar trajectories of the in vivo regeneration process (Figures 3B and 3C). A global examination of ATAC-seq signal coverage shows that the chromatin environment becomes highly accessible on full activation (60hpi), gradually decreases the accessibility during regeneration, and re-establishes the compact chromatin environment during self-renewal (28 days post injury (dpi)) (Figures 3D and 3E). Representative genome tracks indicate that promoter regions of typical differentiation-associated genes (e.g., Myog and Tnnt2) become highly accessible during regeneration (Figure 3F). When examining the relative genomic distribution of ATAC-seq peaks, we found that the proportion of promoter regions is restored during regeneration (Figure S4D). The number of ATAC-seq peaks located within both promoter and distal regions also decreased during regeneration, whereas the peak numbers of distal regions show a more significant change (Figure S4E). Further examination of ATAC-seq data has identified multiple peaks that are differentially accessible during regeneration (Figure S4F).

Analysis of the DNA motif enriched in time-course ATAC-seq samples revealed enrichment of different transcription factor (TF) motifs during different phases of SC activation and regeneration (Figure 3G). We observed a significant enrichment of motifs for TFs from the Jun/Fos family when SCs are fully activated (Figure 3G), corresponding with the high expression level of Jun/Fos family genes in activated SCs (Figure 3H). We have also identified multiple TF motifs from the E-protein family enriched in early activated SCs (Figure 3G). A previous study has suggested that FoxO maintains a genuine quiescent state (García-Prat et al., 2020). Our data also shows that motifs for TFs from the Fox family (e.g., Foxk1, Foxo1, and Foxp2) are specifically enriched in uninjured and regenerating SCs (Figure 3G), and the expression of the Fox genes is high in uninjured SCs (Figure 3H), suggesting their roles in maintaining SC quiescence. Taken together, our data guide in predicting functional TFs during SC quiescence and activation.

Identification of distal regulatory elements in maintaining satellite cell quiescence

Analysis of the genomic distribution of ATAC-seq peaks indicates a dramatic alteration in accessible peaks located in distal regions during SC activation and regeneration (Figures S2E and S4D). A previous study has shown that distal elements are more lineage-specific than promoter regions (Corces et al., 2016). Our hierarchical clustering analysis also indicates that ATAC-seq peaks from distal regions have a higher cluster purity than TSS regions (Figures 4A and 4B). We therefore sought to identify quiescence-specific distal elements. Pax7 is an indispensable regulator for quiescent SCs (Lepper et al., 2011; Von Maltzahn et al., 2013; Oustanina et al., 2004; Sambasivan et al., 2011; Seale et al., 2000). Of interest, we found that close to the Pax7 locus, two ATAC-seq peaks are significantly enriched in QSCs and early activated SCs, gradually lose their chromatin accessibility after full activation, and become highly accessible again during regeneration (Figure 4C). To test whether these peaks contain potential enhancer elements, we crossed our ATAC-seq data with H3K27ac chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) data from in situ fixed T0 (uninjured) and T3 (3 h after isolation) SCs (Machado et al., 2017), as well as from FISCs and ASCs. Both the ATAC-seq peaks have a high H3K27ac signal in T0 SCs and lose the active enhancer mark in T3 SCs, FISCs, and ASCs (Figure 4C), suggesting that these ATAC-seq peaks contain potential enhancers. Because the chromatin accessibility profiles of these two ATAC-seq peaks correspond with Pax7 expression (Figures 4C and S5A), we name them Pax7 E-intronic and Pax7 E-distal respectively, according to their genomic positions.

To functionally validate the enhancer activity of these distal elements, we generated a luciferase reporter plasmid driven by the minimal promoter, which contains the Pax7 E-intronic and Pax7 E-distal respectively (Figure 4D). The luciferase intensity will increase if the elements we inserted (i.e., E-distal and E-intronic) can
act on the minimal promoter to amplify the promoter activity. The dual-luciferase assay result showed that the addition of both enhancer elements boosts the luciferase activity in 293T cells (Figure 4E). The addition of Pax7 E-intronic can also increase luciferase activity significantly in C2C12 cells (Figure S5B). These results suggest that both Pax7 E-intronic and E-distal regions contain functional enhancer elements.

**Pax7 enhancers are essential for satellite cell function**

To examine the function of these enhancers in SCs, we generated a tamoxifen (TMX)-inducible, SC-specific dCas9 overexpression mouse strain by crossing the Tg: CAG-LSL-dCas9-SPH mice (Zhou et al., 2018) with Pax7CreERT2/CreERT2, R26R<sup>YFP/YFP</sup> mice (Figure 5A). After 24 h treatment of sgRNAs targeting the enhancer regions, we did not detect a change in Pax7 expression. In contrast, the treatment group with sgRNA targeting Pax7 E-intronic started to show a decrease in cell cycle entry (Figures S6A and S6B). The increase in Pax7 expression is observed after 40h of sgRNA treatment, and both treatment groups have lower EdU incorporation than the control group (Figures 5B and SC). These observations suggest that the constant...
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Old satellite cells exhibit a global increase in chromatin accessibility

SCs preserve a repressive heterochromatin environment for quiescence maintenance and exhibit a profound transition from condensed to open chromatin during activation (Boonsanay et al., 2016). To determine whether SCs exhibit similar chromatin environment transition during activation in aging circumstances, we performed RNA-seq and ATAC-seq on old QSCs, FISCs, and ASCs using Pax7CreERT2/+;R26RYFP/YFP mice (Figures S7A and S7B; Table S3). Principal component analysis (PCA) shows that old SCs exhibit distinct transcriptomic profiles from young SCs, whereas their chromatin accessibilities resemble more with their young counterparts (Figures 6A, 6B, S7A, and S7B). However, old QSCs have significantly increased chromatin accessibility than young QSCs and resemble most old FISCs (Figures 6C, 6D, and S7C–S7E), suggesting that the repressive chromatin environment is disrupted in old QSCs.

Further examination of ATAC-seq data in young and old SCs has identified multiple peaks that are differentially accessible during aging (Figure S8A). When comparing differentially enriched ATAC-seq peaks in the quiescent state between young and old SCs, we observed a significant enrichment of ATAC-peaks near genes associated with the cell cycle (Figures 6E and 6F), and old QSCs maintain relatively high access to most quiescence genes (Figure 6E). Of interest, KEGG pathway analysis between young and old SCs shows that, apart from cellular senescence, peaks associated with cancer and metabolic pathways are highly enriched in old QSCs (Figure S8B). We also observed a dramatic reduction in accessibility across the Pax7 promoter region between young QSCs and old QSCs (Figures 6E and 6F), as well as a decrease in chromatin accessibility across Pax7 E-intronic and E-distal regions in old QSCs (Figure S8D). On the contrary, the difference between young and old FISCs or ASCs is less dramatic (Figure S8A). However, there is no strong correlation between chromatin accessibility and gene expression during aging (Figure S8E). Although most of the genes have their chromatin accessibility increased in old SCs, the gene expression does not have an overall increase. Old SCs have been reported to cycle more frequently during homeostasis (Chakkalakal et al., 2012). Our data indicate that this phenomenon can be resulted from increased accessibility of cell cycle genes, leading to precocious activation from a quiescence state.

The relative genomic distribution of ATAC peaks was similar among old SCs (Figure S8F). Notably, old QSCs have an evident increase in ATAC-seq peaks in distal regions (Figures S1D and S8G). Previous studies suggest that the active chromatin states across regulatory elements such as promoters and enhancers contribute to transcriptional bursting, essentially leading to transcriptional noise (Urban and Johnston, 2018). To determine the chromatin environment changes in regulatory elements during aging, we utilized...
Figure 6. Old satellite cells have a highly open chromatin environment and exhibit a chronic activation chromatin signature (See also Figures S7 and S8, and Table S3)

(A and B) Principal component analysis (PCA) plots of RNA-seq (A) and ATAC-seq (B) datasets of young and old quiescent satellite cells (QSCs), freshly isolated satellite cells (FISCs), and activated satellite cells (ASCs).
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Our data also indicates that TFs from the Fox family (e.g., Foxk1, Foxo1, and Foxp2) have high expression levels in QSCs, and their motifs are highly accessible during quiescence. Other TFs such as Irf7, Elk4, and Smarca5 only start to express when SCs are activated (Figures 3G and 3H). This result suggests the role of Fox family members in maintaining SC quiescence, possibly through binding to their target regions. Of interest, not all TFs with motifs accessible in quiescence are highly enriched in QSCs. TFs such as Irf7, Elk4, and Smarca5 only start to express when SCs are activated (Figures 3G and 3H), suggesting that there is a hierarchy of TF networks, and these TFs may benefit from the chromatin remodeling events resulting from primary/pioneer TFs.

By integrating ATAC-seq and RNA-seq data during SC quiescence exit and regeneration, we have identified multiple TFs that have their motifs accessibility differentially changed in SC quiescence and activation (Figures 3G and 3H). The previous study has shown that FoxO maintains SCs in a genuine quiescent state (Garcia-Prat et al., 2020). Our data also indicates that TFs from the Fox family (e.g., Foxk1, Foxo1, and Foxp2) have high expression levels in QSCs, and their motifs are highly accessible during quiescence (Figures 3G and 3H). This result suggests the role of Fox family members in maintaining SC quiescence, possibly through binding to their target regions. Of interest, not all TFs with motifs accessible in quiescence are highly enriched in QSCs. TFs such as Irf7, Elk4, and Smarca5 only start to express when SCs are activated (Figures 3G and 3H), suggesting that there is a hierarchy of TF networks, and these TFs may benefit from the chromatin remodeling events resulting from primary/pioneer TFs.
SCs preserve a relatively closed heterochromatin structure to maintain quiescence (Boonsanay et al., 2016). Chromatin compaction and the kinetics of heterochromatin opening are rate-limiting factors of transcriptional bursting, which will lead to transcriptional noise (Hendy et al., 2017). Previous studies have examined chromatin accessibility in freshly isolated and injured old SCs (García-Prat et al., 2020; Scherbina et al., 2020). Our data showed that old QSCs had lost their ability to maintain a compact chromatin structure, as indicated by a dramatic increase in chromatin accessibility (Figure 6). Previous findings indicate that H3K27ac around the promoter may act as a possible regulator for transcriptional bursting (Nicolas et al., 2018). We also observed a significant increase in chromatin accessibility across H3K27ac peaks in old SCs (Figure S8B), indicating that the distal regulatory elements undergo pronounced alterations in their chromatin environment during aging. A previous study has found that old SCs enter the cell cycle more frequently during homeostasis (Chakkalakal et al., 2012). Our data further explored this aspect at the chromatin accessibility level. ATAC-seq peaks near cell cycle-related genes are specifically enriched in oQSCs (Figures 6E and 6F), indicating that the increased chromatin accessibility may be the underlying cause of quiescence interruption. We also observed an enrichment of ATAC-seq peaks associated with cellular senescence (Figure 6E). Of interest, KEGG pathway analysis between young and old QSCs shows that genes associated with cancer and metabolic pathways are also highly accessible in old QSCs (Figure S8B). Further characterization of enriched peaks from cancer pathways shows that they are highly associated with metabolic pathways (Figure S8C). Age-associated declines in stem cell function are characterized by epigenetic and metabolic changes (Ren et al., 2017). Our result further indicates that the high chromatin accessibility of metabolic pathway genes is also a strong indicator of stem cell aging. Taken together, our data suggest that the loss of compact chromatin arrangement and acquisition of chronically activated chromatin states are the hallmarks of stem cell aging, which might be responsible for cellular dysfunction, especially in quiescence maintenance and regeneration.

One of the interesting findings of our study is the identification of two enhancer elements for Pax7 (Figures 4 and 5). Pax7 is indispensable for SC quiescence and activation (Lepper et al., 2011; Von Maltzahn et al., 2013; Oustanina et al., 2004; Sambasivan et al., 2011; Seale et al., 2000). Depletion of Pax7 in SCs leads to cell-cycle arrest and precocious differentiation (Von Maltzahn et al., 2013). Although efforts have been made to identify the regulatory elements for Pax7, little is known about the precise regulation of Pax7 expression. Through combinational analysis of chromatin accessibility and histone modification profiles, we identified two potential enhancer elements for Pax7 (Figure 4C). Using the CRISPR-Cas9 system, we validated the requirement of the enhancer elements for proper SC function (Figure 5). Remarkably, the loss-of-function experiment of these two enhancer elements reproduces the phenotype of Pax7 deficient SCs, suggesting the importance of the enhancer elements in regulating SC activation. Of interest, in the dual-luciferase assay analysis, only the E-intronic region can increase luciferase activity in C2C12 cells (Figure S5B). This result suggests that the activity of the enhancer elements is dependent on cell status and possible help from regulatory proteins. Distal regulatory elements have been reported to be more cell-type-specific than promoter regions (Corces et al., 2016). Further exploration of our ATAC-seq data may lead to the identification of distal regulatory elements for SC quiescence maintenance and activation.

In summary, our study provides a database of the chromatin accessibility and transcriptome profiles of SCs in quiescence and aging. We showed that the chromatin environment of SCs is very compact during quiescence, becomes highly accessible on early activation, and gradually re-establish the compact state after long-term regeneration. Of interest, we found that aged SCs exhibit a chronic activation chromatin structure. We also identified two functional enhancer elements for Pax7 expression, both of which are indispensable for proper SC activation. Taken together, our data demonstrate the dynamics of chromatin accessibility changes in SC quiescence and aging and can be further utilized to identify key distal regulatory elements for SC quiescence maintenance and activation.

Limitations of the study

In the current study, we only described the changes in chromatin accessibility during SC isolation and activation. Further investigation on the mechanism inducing the isolation-sensitive peaks, as well as exploration of the sensitivity of the nucleosome distribution and positioning during the isolation process would benefit our understanding of how chromatin is altered during muscle stem cell isolation. For identifying distal regulatory elements, we only focused on the Pax7 locus as a proof-of-concept example that the ATAC-seq dataset can be utilized to identify potential regulatory elements. Further identification of other regulatory elements can be achieved through a combination of ATAC-seq data and active histone mark
profiles (e.g., H3K27ac mark). Moreover, we only tested the enhancer activity in the in vitro system. The in vivo manipulation of Pax7 enhancer activity will provide insights into regulations of SC quiescence maintenance.
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## STAR★METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Anti-rabbit H3K27ac | Active Motif | Cat# 39133; RRID:AB_2561016 |
| Anti-mouse Pax7      | This Study | N/A |
| Anti-mouse Myogenin  | BD Biosciences | Cat# 556358; RRID:AB_396383 |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** | | |
| 40,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat# D1306; RRID:AB_2629482 |
| Tamoxifen           | Sigma | Cat# T5648 |
| DharmaFECT 1 Transfection Reagent | Dharmacon | Cat# T-2001-03 |
| NEBNext High-Fidelity 2x PCR Master Mix | New England Biolabs | Cat# M0541S |
| Agencourt AMPure XP | Beckman Coulter | Cat# A63881 |
| **Critical commercial assays** | | |
| Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System | Promega | Cat# e1960 |
| miRNeasy FFPE Kit   | Qiagen | Cat# 217504 |
| **Deposited data**  | | |
| Raw and processed fixed cells' ATAC-seq and RNA-seq data | This study | GEO: GSE189074 |
| QSC, FISC, and ASC RNA-seq data | Yue et al. (2020) | GEO: GSE113631 |
| FISC and ASC ATAC-seq data | Dong and Cheung (2021) | GEO: GSE129745 |
| Raw and processed SC ATAC-seq and RNA-seq data | This study | GEO: GSE189074 |
| Raw and processed FISC and ASC H3K27ac ChIP-seq data | This study | GEO: GSE189074 |
| **Experimental models: cell lines** | | |
| Mouse: C2C12         | ATCC | CRL-1772; RRID: CVCL_0188 |
| Human: HEK-293T      | ATCC | CRL-3216; RRID: CVCL_0063 |
| **Satellite cell culture** | | |
| This study | N/A |
| **Experimental models: Organisms/strains** | | |
| Mouse: C57BL/6       | Laboratory Animal Facility of HKUST | N/A |
| Mouse: Tg: Pax7-nGFP | A kind gift from Shahragim Tajbakhsh (Institut Pasteur) | N/A |
| Mouse: Tg: CAG-LSL-dCas9-SPH | Shanghai Model Organisms Center, Inc. | NM-TG-00025 |
| Mouse: Rosa26LSL-CreR | JAX | JAX: 026175 |
| Mouse: Pax7CreERT2   | JAX | JAX: 017763 |
| Mouse: R26RYFP       | JAX | JAX: 006148 |

(Continued on next page)
### RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

#### Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Tom H. Cheung (tcheung@ust.hk).

### Reagent or Resource Source

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Oligonucleotides** |        |            |
| Sequences of primers for recombinant DNA constructs | See Table S4 | N/A |
| SgRNA | Dharmacon | N/A |
| Sequences of sgRNAs | See Table S4 | N/A |
| **Recombinant DNA** |        |            |
| pGL3.0-Basic | Promega | Cat# E1751 |
| pGL3.0-TK | This study | N/A |
| pGL3.0-TK-E-intronic | This study | N/A |
| pGL3.0-TK-E-distal | This study | N/A |
| **Software and algorithms** |        |            |
| GraphPad Prism 6 | GraphPad Software | N/A |
| ZEN software (blue edition) | Carl Zeiss | N/A |
| ImageJ | N/A | https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/ |
| Picard v2.9.2 | N/A | https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/ |
| Trimmomatic v0.36 | Bolger et al. (2014) | https://github.com/trimmomatic |
| UCSC bedGraphToBigWig v332 | N/A | https://github.com/ENCODEDCC/kentUtils |
| Bedtools v2.26.0 | Quinlan and Hall, 2010 | http://bedtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/ |
| Bowtie2 v2.3.2 | Langmead and Salzberg (2012) | http://bowtiebio.sourceforge.net/ |
| HISAT2 v2.1.0 | Li et al. (2015) | https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/hisat2/index.shtml |
| bwa v0.7.17 | Li and Durbin (2009) | http://bio-bwa.sourceforge.net/ |
| MACS2 v2.1.1 | Zhang et al. (2008) | https://github.com/taoliu/MACS/ |
| Samtools v1.3 | Li and Durbin (2009) | http://samtools.sourceforge.net/ |
| Snakemake v4.6.0 | Köster and Rahmann, 2012 | https://bitbucket.org/snakemake/ |
| StringTie v1.3.3 | Pertea et al. (2015) | https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/stringtie/ |
| Ballgown v2.2.0 | Frazee et al., 2015 | https://github.com/alyssafrazee/ballgown |
| DiffBind v3.4.0 | Stark and Version, 2011 | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DiffBind.html |
| ChIPpeakAnno v3.26.0 | Zhu et al. (2010) | http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/ChIPpeakAnno.html |
| GenomicAlignments v1.28.0 | Lawrence et al. (2013) | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/GenomicAlignments.html |
| GenomicRanges v1.44.0 | Lawrence et al. (2013) | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/GenomicRanges.html |
| ChiPSeeker v1.30.0 | Yu et al. (2015) | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/ChiPSeeker.html |
| Fuzzy-c-means v1.6.3 | N/A | https://git.io/fuzzy-c-means |
| DESeq2 v1.32.0 | Love et al. (2014) | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html |
| MEME v5.0.2 | Buske et al. (2010) | https://meme-suite.org/meme/ |
**Materials availability**
This study did not generate new unique reagents.

**Data and code availability**
ATAC-seq, RNA-seq, and ChIP-seq data have been deposited at GEO and are publicly available. Accession numbers are listed in the key resources table.

**EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

**Animals and treatments**
Mice used in this study were C57BL/6 strains around 2 to 6-month-old (young) or around 24-month-old (old), housed and maintained in the Laboratory Animal Facility (LAF) of the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (HKUST). Mice used in each experiment were age- and gender-matched. C57BL/6 mice were obtained from the LAF of HKUST. Labeling of the old SCs was achieved using the Pax7CreERT2/++;R26RYFP/+ mice. The mice were injected with tamoxifen when 2 months old for 2 weeks and sacrificed around 24 months old. Tamoxifen injection for Cre recombinase activation was performed via intraperitoneal injection to the mouse in a dosage of 0.2 mg of tamoxifen per gram of mouse, with a frequency of one injection every 3 days, for a total of 5 injections. For perfusion, mice were first anesthetized using 2,2,2-Tribromoethanol (250 mg/kg, Sigma Aldrich) through intraperitoneal injection, then perfusion was performed with a 25-gauge needle (Terumo) attached to a 10 mL syringe (Terumo) through the left cut ventricle. The anesthetized animal was first transcardially washed with 30 mL pre-chilled PBS and then fixed with 30 mL pre-chilled 0.5% PFA (diluted in PBS) for 5 min. Afterward, 30 mL pre-chilled 2 M glycine (Sigma, diluted in PBS) was perfused to quench fixation. For muscle injury, mice were anesthetized using 2,2,2-Tribromoethanol (250 mg/kg, Sigma Aldrich) through intraperitoneal injection. Muscle injury was induced by injecting 50 μL of 1.2% barium chloride (Sigma) into the lower hindlimb muscles for each hindlimb. All animal experiments were approved by the HKUST Animal Ethics Committee.

**Cell culture**
C2C12 myoblasts, HEK-293T and HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen) and penicillin-streptomycin (P/S, Invitrogen). Freshly isolated satellite cells (SCs) were cultured in Ham’s F10 (F10, Sigma) supplemented with 10% horse serum (HS, Invitrogen) and P/S.

**Satellite cell isolation**
Satellite cells (SCs) were isolated as previously described (Liu et al., 2015). In brief, mouse hindlimb muscles were dissected and minced carefully. Muscles were digested with collagenase II (800 U/mL) in Ham’s F-10 with 10% horse serum (washing medium) at 37°C for 90 min. Digested muscles were then washed and incubated with dispase (1.1 U/mL) and collagenase II (100 U/mL) at 37°C for 30 min for the second digestion. Muscle suspension was syringed 10 times through a 20-G needle and subsequently filtered through a 40-μm strainer. SCs were purified from the cell suspension through nGFP/YFP reporter using an Influx cell sorter.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**RNA-seq**
To get high-quality RNA from fixed cells, the total RNA from fixed cells was isolated using a miRNeasy FFPE Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with one optimization that the digestion of RNA by proteinase K was performed at 56°C for 1 h, following the protocol in Thomsen et al. (2016). RNA concentrations were determined using a Qubit RNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies) on a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies). RNA (1 ng) was used for first-strand cDNA preparation according to the SMART-seq v4 UltraLow Input RNA Kit for Sequencing (Clontech) protocol and processed for library generation using Tn5-mediated library generation. Sequencing was carried out in 2 × 100 cycle paired-end mode on a DNBSEQ-G400 sequencer (BGI).

**ATAC-seq**
The ATAC-seq protocol for fixed SCs was adapted from Chen et al. (2016). Approximately 1 × 10^5 fixed SCs were collected by centrifugation at 500 g for 5 min at 4°C and then washed in 50 μL cold PBS. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 500 g for 5 min at 4°C and resuspended in 50 μL cold lysis buffer (10mM
protein A complexes were prepared by incubating Dynabead Protein A with antibodies against H3K27ac with rotation to immunoprecipitate the acetylated histones. The immuno-complexes were washed for 5 min at 65°C followed by overnight reverse-crosslinking in 50mM Tris-Cl, 1mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 0.2M NaCl, 5ng/ml proteinase K at 65°C. DNA was then purified using an EconoSpin micro-volume DNA/RNA spin column (Epoch Life Science) in a final elution volume of 10 μL. The subsequent PCR was set up with 10 μL transposed DNA, 25 μL NEBNext High-Fidelity 2X PCR Master Mix (NEB), and 1.25 μM ATAC-seq oligos. The PCR reaction was performed as described by Buenrostro et al. (2013) for five cycles and processed for cycle number determination. The amplified libraries were purified using an EconoSpin micro-volume DNA/RNA spin column (Epoch Life Science), followed by incubation with Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter) for size selection ranging from 100-1000 bp. Libraries were sequenced in 2 × 100 cycle paired-end mode on a DNBISEQ-G400 sequencer (BGI).

ChIPmentation

ChIP-seq was performed according to the ChIPmentation protocol described by Schmidl et al. (2015) with minor modifications. In brief, 8 × 10⁴ FACS-isolated SCs were fixed in 0.5% formaldehyde with 10 mg/mL BSA for 10 min at room temperature with rotation to cross-link chromatins and proteins. DNA cross-linking was stopped by incubation with 0.125 M glycine for 5 min at room temperature. The cells were washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 10 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA) and lysed in sonication buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS, and protease inhibitor cocktail). The lysates were sonicated by a Covaris S220 Focused-ultrasonicator (Covaris) to shear genomic DNA into 200-600 bp fragments. The lysates were centrifuged to remove debris and were then diluted 1:4 in SDS tail). The lysates were collected by centrifugation and lysed in sonication buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS, and protease inhibitor cocktail). Sonicated chromatin was pre-cleared with Dynabead Protein A (Invitrogen) at 4°C for 2 h. Antibody-protein A complexes were prepared by incubating Dynabead Protein A with antibodies against H3K27ac (Active Motif) at 4°C for 3 h. The complexes were incubated with the pre-cleared chromatin overnight at 4°C with rotation to immunoprecipitate the acetylated histones. The immuno-complexes were washed for 5 min at 4°C with the following buffers: RIPA-low-salt wash buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 1 mM EDTA, 140 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, and 1% Triton X-100), RIPA-high-salt wash buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 1 mM EDTA, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, and 1% Triton X-100), RIPA-LiCl wash buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 1 mM EDTA, 250 mM LiCl, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, and 0.5% sodium deoxycholate), and TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0] and 1 mM EDTA). To perform tagmentation directly on the bead-bound immunoprecipitated DNA, immuno-complexes were tagmented in a 10 μL tagmentation reaction containing 1 μL Tn5 (Vazyme) at 55°C for 10 min. Tn5 transposase was used to cleave double-stranded DNA and ligate adaptors at both ends. Tagmentation was stopped by adding 1 μL 1% SDS. Cross-linking was reversed and the tagmented DNA was eluted in elution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 5 mM EDTA, 300 mM NaCl, 0.4% SDS, and proteinase K) at 55°C for 1 h, followed by 6-hour elution at 65°C. The eluted DNA was purified using Agencourt AMPure beads (Beckman Coulter). To prepare input DNA for each ChIP sample, the corresponding pre-cleared DNA also underwent cross-link reversal, and 2.5 ng DNA was tagmented at 55°C for 5 min in a 5-μL tagmentation reaction containing 1 μL 10X diluted Tn5. To prepare ChIP and input libraries, tagmented immunoprecipitated DNA and input DNA were amplified by PCR, each with a unique index incorporated. Libraries were selected by size using Agencourt AMPure beads. Fragments from 300-700 bp were pooled and sequenced on a NextSeq 500 sequencer (Illumina).

Plasmid construction

Pax7 E-intronic and E-distal regions were cloned from the genomic DNA of C2C12 cells. The minimal TK promoter was first inserted into pGL3.0 Basic plasmid (Promega). The pGL3.0-TK plasmid was digested by the BamHI restriction enzyme. Pax7 E-intronic and E-distal regions were inserted in pGL3.0-TK using Gibson Assembly with the primers listed in Table S4.

Dual-luciferase assay

The dual-luciferase assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega). In brief, C2C12 myoblasts and HEK-293T cells were collected 48 h after transfection and lysed with 200 μL passive lysis buffer. Next, 20 μL lysate was transferred onto a luminometer plate, and luciferase activity was measured using a luminometer (Berthold Technologies). After adding 50 μL LAR II, Firefly luciferase activity will be measured. After dispensing 50 μL Stop & Glo Reagent, Renilla luciferase activity can be measured.
and used as the internal control. By analyzing the relative luciferase activity, the induction of Firefly luciferase could be calculated.

**sgRNA transfection**

All sgRNAs were designed using the Dharmacon CRISPR DNA Region Designer tool and obtained from Dharmacon. FACS-sorted SCs were transfected with sgRNA listed in Table S4 targeting E-intronic and E-distal one hour after plating down for culture using Dharmafect reagent (Dharmacon) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were cultured for different time points, followed by fixation and staining for further analysis.

**EdU incorporation analysis**

Freshly isolated SCs were constantly supplied with 10 μM EdU during culture until harvest. Cells were then fixed and stained using the Click-iT EdU Imaging Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Immunofluorescence**

For immunofluorescence microscopy, cells were grown on chamber slides (SPL Life Science). Cells were rinsed in PBS and fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 5 min. Samples were then rinsed in PBS, permeabilized in 0.1% PBST, and blocked with 5% goat serum in 0.1% PBST for 30 min. Samples were then incubated with primary antibodies in 0.1% PBST overnight at 4°C. On the following day, samples were washed in 0.1% PBST and incubated with DAPI and secondary antibodies in 0.1% PBST at room temperature for 30 min. Samples were then washed in 0.1% PBST and mounted for microscopic examination.

**ATAC-seq alignment**

The Fastq files generated by the sequencer were trimmed using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014) with parameters ‘PE CROP: 50’. After adapter trimming, the reads were mapped to the mm10 genome using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) alignment software with the following settings:–minins 30–maxins 2000–dovetail-k 4–very-sensitive-local. The MarkDuplicates function in Picard was used to mark reads identified as PCR and optical duplicates. All unmapped and duplicate reads as well as reads with a mapping quality <30 were removed from each sample using Samtools (Li et al., 2009) with the following parameters:-F 1804-f 2-q 30.

**ATAC-seq replicate correlation and peak calling**

Peak calling was performed on each replicate from the previous section using Genrich (v0.6, available at https://github.com/jsh58/Genrich) with the following parameters:-j-y-r-e chrM-v-f. The correlation and PCA plots of replicates were performed using DiffBind (Stark and Version, 2011). After confirming the correlation of the replicates, the reads from different replicates were downsampled to the read depth of the replicate with the minimum reads followed by another round of peak calling using Genrich with the same parameters listed above. The differential MA plots were performed using downsampled replicates with DiffBind. The aligned reads from a sample’s two replicates were then combined into one file. Peak calling was done again on the combined reads using Genrich with the same parameters listed above. The combined reads were used for genome track generation and NucleoATAC analysis (Schep et al., 2015).

**ATAC-seq signal pre-processing**

ATAC-seq read counts for each biological replicate were normalized by calculating RPKM (Reads Per Kilobase per Million), and then the peaks with RPKM greater than 1 in all replicates of at least one time point were kept for downstream analysis. The RPKM of each time point was obtained by averaging the RPKM of all replicates. The coefficient of variation among all time points was calculated on the RPKM of time points.

**ATAC-seq peak annotation**

The relative genomic region for each peak (Promoters, Intron, Exon, etc.) was determined by overlapping each peak with features defined in the UCSC mm10 known genes using Chipseeker (Yu et al., 2015). Promoters were defined as 1 kb upstream and downstream of the TSS.
ATAC-seq peak fuzzy clustering
To avoid the impact of the unchanged peaks during the time course, the peaks with the top 30% coefficient of variation were treated as the changing peaks for clustering, and the remaining 70% low variation peaks were filtered out in the clustering and labeled as stable regions. The z-score transformation was applied to the RPKM value of the changing peaks across the time course before the fuzzy c-means clustering, which was performed by the fuzzy-c-means module with the fuzziness parameter set to 1.25.

Union peak set of time course
The merged peak set was generated from the merged ATAC-seq signals of all replicates at each time point. Limiting the merging gap to 100nt, a union peak set was created by merging all peaks of each time point in activation or regeneration time course using the reduce function from GenomicRanges (Lawrence et al., 2013) package, and then summarizeOverlaps function from GenomicAlignments (Lawrence et al., 2013) package was applied to count the reads within each peak. By using TSS as feature type and setting the output to nearestLocation in annotatePeakInBatch of ChIPpeakAnno (Zhu et al., 2010), the peaks in the union peak set were annotated as the genes whose TSS is nearest to the middle of the peak.

Gene expression analysis in RNA-seq
The Fastq files were mapped to the mm10 genome using HISAT2 (Kim et al., 2015) with the default parameters. After read mapping, StringTie (Pertea et al., 2015) was used with the default parameters (Pertea et al., 2016). The GTF files produced by StringTie for every sample were then merged using StringTie in merge mode, again with the default parameters. This merged GTF file was then used with StringTie with the -eB setting to quantify expression levels for all transcripts in each sample with the Gencode vM21 annotation (Frankish et al., 2019). Expression level estimates from StringTie were then used to determine differentially expressed genes between different samples using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). The raw read counts of the genes were first filtered when there were no reads in all samples and then normalized by the variance stabilizing transformation in DESeq2. The PCA plot of the normalized count was also drawn by DESeq2.

Motif enrichment analysis
The motif enrichment of each peak cluster was computed by MEME AME (Buske et al., 2010), with shuffle letters as the control sequence when the random seed was 42 and other parameters were set to default. The enriched motifs of a cluster were selected with adjusted p-value less than $10^{-6}$ and presented in more than 20% peak regions of the cluster (true positive rate greater than 20%) while not falsely classified in less than 20% peak regions (false positive rate less than 20%), and the mRNA expression level (TPM) of the transcription factor gene was required to be larger than 3 for all replicates in at least one time point.

ChIP-seq analysis
ChIP and input reads were separately aligned to the mm10 genome using bwa_mem (Li and Durbin, 2009) and then filtered by Picard to remove duplicated reads. Narrow peaks were called with -SPMR and -nomodel and bigwig files were generated using MACS2 (Zhang et al., 2008) and then visualized on the UCSC genome browser. All packages were run with default settings if not specified.

Integrating ATAC-seq and RNA-seq data
The peaks from DiffBind (Stark and Version, 2011) were labeled as significantly changed or not, by FDR<0.01, and then the median value of significantly changed peaks and insignificantly changed ones around a gene were obtained separately, as the differential chromatin accessibility related to a gene. The differential expressed genes were calculated by DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) and filtered by FDR<0.05. The change in the chromatin accessibility and the mRNA expression level of a gene was drawn in a scatterplot to show the relationship between the chromatin accessibility and the mRNA expression level.

Cluster purity
The cluster purity calculation is adapted from Corces et al. (2016). Briefly, 12 clusters were defined as branches of the dendrogram. Each cluster was assigned to a specific cell state that was most frequent in the cluster. For each cluster, the accuracy of this assignment was measured by counting the number of correctly assigned cell states. The sum of the number of correctly assigned cell states was divided by the total number of cell states to get the cluster purity.
QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software). All the replicates in this manuscript are biological replicates. Unless otherwise noted, all error bars indicate standard deviation (SD). No statistical methods were used to predetermine the sample size. The experiments were not randomized, and the investigators were not blinded to allocation during experiments and outcome assessment.