Ion formation upon electron collisions with valine embedded in helium nanodroplets*
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Abstract. We report here experimental results for the electron ionization of large superfluid helium nanodroplets with sizes of about $10^5$ atoms that are doped with valine and clusters of valine. Spectra of both cations and anions were monitored with high-resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometry (mass resolution $>4000$). Clear series of peaks with valine cluster sizes up to at least 40 and spaced by the mass of a valine molecule are visible in both the cation and anion spectra. Ion efficiency curves are presented for selected cations and anions at electron energies up to about 40 eV and these provide insight into the mode of ion formation. The measured onset of 24.59 eV for cations is indicative of valine ionization by $\text{He}^+$ whereas broad resonances at 2, 10 and 22 eV (and beyond) in the formation of anions speak to the occurrence of various modes of dissociative electron attachment by collisions with electrons or $\text{He}^-$ and the influence of droplet size on the relative importance of these processes. Comparisons are also made with gas phase results and these provide insight into a matrix effect within the superfluid helium nanodroplet.

1 Introduction

In recent years interest in collisions of leptons, photons or heavier species with biomolecules has increased substantially [1–6] as the description of radiation damage of biological tissue remains incomplete at the molecular level. Apparently secondary species formed by high-energy radiation, such as electrons, radicals and ions, are responsible for the chemical transformation of biomolecules that accompanies severe radiation damage in cells, viz. biomolecules such as amino acids, the building blocks of proteins. Amino acids are characterized by two functional groups, the amine (−NH₂) and the carboxyl (−COOH), and possess a side-chain specific for each amino acid compound. Here we investigate electron interactions with clusters of the amino acid valine ([CH₃]₂CHCH(NH₂)COOH) embedded in helium droplets. Valine is important in the biosynthesis of proteins in humans who acquire valine through their diet. Previous gas-phase studies with isolated valine turn out to be particularly dissociative. In cation formation at an electron energy of about 70 eV (close to the maximum of the ionization cross section) the molecular ion intensity is less than 1% of the intensity of the most abundant fragment ion formed by the loss of the neutral carboxyl group [10]. In the case of resonant anion formation the un-fragmented molecular anion cannot be observed at all [9,14,15]. Instead, the dehydrogenated parent anion is formed as the most abundant anion with the main resonance at the electron energy of 1.2 eV and other fragment anions can be observed at higher electron energies. The dynamics of the dissociation process also has been monitored by investigating metastable decays in the $\mu$s time regime and in this way it was shown that, at 5.3 eV, the dehydrogenated parent anion, formed as an intermediate, further decays into COOH⁻ and neutral fragment(s) [15].

The behavior of fragmentation induced by energetic particles may change substantially from isolated molecules to molecular clusters that represent the condensed phase [16–18]. The excess energy deposited by the projectile in the presence of other molecules or a solvent may be transferred to the surrounding species and lead to buffering effects in dissociation. Cluster-specific effects include, for example, novel fragmentation channels [18] or intramolecular bond-weakening due to the intermolecular bonds in the cluster [16]. Clustering occurs in our
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experiments when valine molecules are embedded in superfluid helium nanodroplets at the extremely low temperature of 0.37 K [19]. Few studies on biomolecules embedded in helium nanodroplets have been carried out so far [20–26]. Noteworthy is a successful experiment in which a protein ion with a mass of 12000 u was embedded in a droplet [27]. Most electron collision experiments in helium droplets have involved mixed clusters with other amino acids as well as with water [20–23].

Our group has previously studied the electron ionization of helium droplets doped with valine using a sector field mass spectrometer (mass resolution ~600) and mean helium droplet sizes of about 10^4 atoms [28]. Here we used a more powerful cryostat in the droplet source that allowed the generation of larger droplets with sizes of about 10^5 atoms to accommodate larger dopant clusters. We employed high-resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometry (mass resolution >4000) to study in detail the cation and anion formation induced by electron collisions with valine embedded in large helium nanodroplets.

2 Experimental set-up

He nanodroplets (HNDs) were formed by expanding helium (purity 99.9999%) from a stagnation pressure of about 2.3 MPa through a 5 μm nozzle, cooled by a closed-cycle refrigerator (Sumitomo Heavy Industries LTD, model RDK-415D) to about 9.7 K, into vacuum. The size distribution of the neutral droplets was determined with a similar setup utilized previously [28]. From the log-normal size distribution we determined an average size of 1.5 × 10^5 He atoms per droplet. This value agrees very well with the average droplet sizes reported in the literature [19,29,30]. The resulting supersonic beam was skimmed by a 0.8 mm conical skimmer, located 1 cm downstream from the nozzle and flew through two 10 cm long, differentially pumped pick-up regions. L-valine (grade BioUltra, 99.5% (NT), Sigma-Aldrich) was vaporized in an ohmically heated oven at a temperature of 340 K and picked up by the He droplets with almost unit efficiency. After the pickup region, the doped helium droplets passed a region in which they were ionized by collisions with electrons at energies ranging from 0 to 100 eV. Further details are specified in the figure captions. The ions were accelerated to 40 eV into the extraction region of a commercial orthogonal time-of-flight mass spectrometer equipped with a reflectron (Tofwerk AG, model HTOF). For anions the mass resolution at the valine dimer was m/Δm = 4000 and for cations 5000. The base pressure in the mass spectrometer was 10^-5 Pa. The ions were detected by a micro-channel plate and recorded via a time to digital converter. Additional experimental details have been described elsewhere [31,32]. Special homebuilt software was utilized to deduce ion intensities from the mass spectra taking into account all possible isotopologues [33]. In the latest version, this software can also extract ion efficiency curves of any ion of interest from a hdf5 file containing mass spectra as a function of the electron energy.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Mass spectra upon electron irradiation of valine embedded in He nanodroplets

Figure 1 shows mass spectra for the positive and negative ions formed upon electron bombardment of He nanodroplets doped with valine at 95 eV (25 μA) and 22 eV (64 μA), respectively. In the cation spectrum the circles represent the most abundant product ions Val_n^+ and the triangles represent the most abundant fragments (Val_n-COOH)^+. In the anion spectrum the circles indicate the maximum yield of [Val_n-H]^- and the triangles the position of the most abundant fragment [Val_n-OH]^-.

Experimental conditions: pressure and temperature of the He before expansion: 2.3 MPa and 9.75 K (average droplet size 1.5 × 10^5), temperature of the valine oven: 340 K.
Perhaps these ions have structures in which one or more valine complexes containing five valine molecules are attached to an ionic core of either Val$_2$H$^+$/[Val$_3$-H]$^-$ or Val$_{11}$H$^+$/[Val$_{12}$-H]$^-$ for cations and anions, respectively. As the pickup process should not favor particular cluster sizes and the binding energy that is released by the clustering of dopant molecules will quickly dissipate to the superfluid He matrix, we expect that the cluster size distribution of any dopant will not have any intensity anomalies before the ionization process. Evaporation of dopant molecules after the ionization process is required for the observation of magic numbers.

Both the parent cluster ions Val$_n^+$ and a number of product cluster cations were observed in the cation spectrum. The most abundant product cations were: (Val)$_3$-H$^+$ (85.1%), [Val$_n$-COOH]$^+$ (5.1%), Val$_{11}$-COOH$^+$ (2.1%), [Val$_n$-H]$^+$ (1.2%) and [Val$_n$-H$_2$O]$^+$ (0.9%). The percentage given in parentheses is a measure of the relative contribution of these product ions to the total cation yield and averaged over the cluster sizes from $n = 1$ to 30. The protonated cluster ions Val$_n^+$ dominate the mass spectrum. For $n$ between 4 and 12 this product ion has a relative abundance of $>90%$. This value decreases gradually to below 40% for $n = 30$. The yield of the parent cations Val$_n^+$ increases from about 1% of the neighboring peak Val$_n$H$^+$ at $n = 1$ to more than 20% at $n = 30$. A similar trend was observed previously for methanol and methane cluster ions [35,36].

The yield of parent anions Val$_n^-$ increases from less than 10% of [Val$_n$-H]$^-$ for small $n$ to the same intensity at around $n = 30$. The most abundant fragments are: [Val$_n$-OH]$^-$ (8.5%), [Val$_n$-CH$_3$]$^-$ (2.5%), [Val$_n$-NH$_2$]$^-$ (2.5%), [Val$_n$-H$_2$O]$^-$ (4.1%), [Val$_n$-COOH]$^-$ (4.7%), Val$_n$-H$^-$ (5.3%), Val$_n$-C$_3$H$_7$$^-$ (2.9%), and Val$_n$-COOH$^-$ (5.8%). The percentage given in parentheses is a measure of the relative contribution of these product ions to the total anion yield and averaged over all cluster sizes from $n = 1$ to 30. The relative contribution of the fragment anions increases with the cluster size from 18% for $n = 2$ to 75% for $n = 30$. This trend can also be seen by the symbols plotted in Figure 1: the circles represent the maximum ion yield of the parent or dehydrogenated parent anion whereas the triangles represent the maximum anion yield of [Val$_n$-OH]$^-$, which is the dominant fragment for $n > 3$.

3.2 Comparisons with electron ionization of gas phase valine molecules

Figure 2 shows a portion of a mass spectrum for the cations formed in valine-doped HNDs at an electron energy of 94 eV. The present data (solid line) are compared with the mass spectrum for electron ionization of gas phase valine (bar diagram) taken from reference [37].

The two spectra were normalized at the most intense fragment at $m/z = 72$ ([Val-COOH]$^+$).

Very low intensity ValH$^+$ is observed in the gas phase (indicating the presence of dimers or clusters in the vapor emitted from heated samples), but it is more than an order of magnitude more intense from the doped He nanodroplets than the gas phase which agrees well with previous observations from reference [38]. The present mass spectrum agrees also very well with the results obtained by Denifl et al. [20] with a sector field mass spectrometer and HNDs with an average size ten times smaller. He$_{14}^+$ ions are responsible for the relatively intense series of peaks with a spacing of $m/z = 4$. The insert in Figure 2 shows a small mass range that includes He$_{11}^+$ at $m/z = 44$. The mass resolution is sufficiently high to separate He$_{11}^+$ from the fragment CO$_2^+$ that is slightly lower in mass due to a higher nuclear binding of C and O compared to He.

In Figure 3 we compare the mass spectra for anion formation from valine doped He nanodroplets at 2 eV (electron bubble) and 22 eV (He$^{8+}$) electronic energy with a mass spectrum that was generated upon summation of ten mass spectra measured at different electron energies via free electron attachment to gas phase valine (taken from Denifl et al. [14]). It is interesting to note that, for both electron energies, the anions from doped He nanodroplets exhibit a higher relative yield of low-mass fragments compared to the gas-phase measurements, i.e., 73% (2 eV) and 60% (22 eV) compared to 7% in the gas phase. In contrast, no fragment ions were observed with He nanodroplets doped with the DNA base thymine [39,40], except for the dehydrogenated parent anion. With the explosive TNT we saw only the parent anion [41]. We explain these observations in terms of the ability of the superfluid He matrix to more efficiently quench the relatively time-consuming fragmentation of the cyclic molecules (in which ring cleavage also occurs) compared to single bond cleavage of a linear molecule. Perhaps the helium matrix also influences intramolecular electron transfer that may accompany the dissociation.
Fig. 3. Mass spectra of negatively charged product ions formed via inelastic electron interactions at 2 eV (upper panel) and 22 eV (middle panel) with He nanodroplets doped with valine compared with free electron attachment to gas phase valine summed up from individual mass spectra measured at several electron energies (see text, data taken from Ref. [14]).

3.3 Cation formation

The ionization process in doped He nanodroplets differs strongly from that for electron ionization of gas phase particles. In the nanodroplets, the incoming electron interacts first with one of the He atoms that are in the present case several 10,000 times more abundant than the dopant molecules. At electron energies above 19.82 eV electronically excited He atoms can be formed which can induce Penning ionization upon collision with the dopant. Furthermore, the inelastically scattered electron may recombine with the metastable He atom and lead to the formation of He*− as recently demonstrated [42]. He* is a heliophobic species and thus Penning ionization of heliophilic dopants deep inside a large helium droplet is unlikely. In contrast, the heliophilic He*− is highly mobile and efficiently interacts also with heliophilic dopants. The electronic energy of He*− (19.74 eV) is only slightly lower than that of He* [43] and thus all dopants, except Ne, can become positively charged via reaction (1).

\[ X + \text{He}^*^- \rightarrow X^+ + \text{He} + 2e^- \]  

(1)

Figure 4 shows ion efficiency curves for several selected cations formed upon electron bombardment of valine doped HNDs. All cationic product ions resulting from valine exhibit a clear threshold at 24.59 eV which is the ionization energy of He. In contrast He+ and He2+ are additionally formed at lower electron energies via a resonant processes that involves the formation of He*− [44]. As He+ and He2+ when formed below the ionization energy of He do not contribute to cation formation of the dopant species inside the HNDs, we conclude that immediately formed He*− reacts with a metastable He* at the surface of the droplet and both possible product ions of this very exothermic reaction, He+ and He2+, are ejected from the droplet. This also explains why He+ cluster ions with \( n > 2 \) are not formed via He*−. Furthermore, for the present droplet size of \( > 10^5 \), Penning ionization is not contributing to the formation of cations of heliophilic dopants (such as valine). Furthermore, the energy provided by He+ and He*− may be too high to be quenched by the surrounding He and in many cases is high enough to doubly ionize a dopant cluster. Subsequent Coulomb explosions would then form singly-charged ions as well.

The formation of protonated valine and its clusters appears to dominate cation formation in Figure 1. We attribute the formation of these cations to the dissociative ionization reaction with He+, reaction (2) in accordance with their onset shown in Figure 4 at the ionization energy of He.

\[ \text{He}^+ + (\text{Val})_n \rightarrow ((\text{Val})_n^+)^* \rightarrow (\text{Val})_{n-1}^+ \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{neutral products} \]  

(2)

Here the ionization of the valine cluster is accompanied by the dissociation of one (or several) valine molecule(s) and the elimination of C5H11NO2 products. The other cations observed in the mass spectrum in Figure 1 are expected to arise in a similar fashion. [Valn−COOH]+ (5.1%), [Valn−(CH3)2CHCH(NH2)]+ or (Val)n−1COOH+ (2.1%), [Valn−H]+ (1.2%) and [Valn−H2O]+ (0.9%). Here the neutral fragment is indicated explicitly in the designation of
Fig. 5. Anion efficiency curves for some typical product anions formed upon electron attachment to valine clusters embedded in HNDs. $T_{\text{tie}} = 9.75 \, \text{K}$, $p_{\text{tie}} = 2.3 \, \text{MPa}$, $\tau_{\text{el}} = 64 \, \text{µA}$, $T_{\text{Val}} = 340 \, \text{K}$. The solid red lines are fragment anions formed upon dissociative electron attachment to gas phase valine [14] and shifted by 2 eV (see text).

The anion efficiency curves of the dehydrogenated parent anions $[\text{Val}_n-\text{H}]^-$ for $n = 1, 2$ and 3 (left column) are plotted in Figure 5 together with three abundant product anions in the mass range between the dimer and trimer cluster (right column). The solid red lines represent anions formed via an intermediate $[\text{AA-H}]^*$ as hydrogen loss is the most efficient DEA channel to compete with autodissociation. Instead of the low-mass fragments observed via DEA to gas phase amino acid molecules, these channels contribute to the dehydrogenated parent anions $[\text{Val}_n-\text{H}]^-$ when formed inside HNDs.

3.4 Anion formation

The anion efficiency curves of the dehydrogenated parent anions $[\text{Val}_n-\text{H}]^-$ for $n = 1, 2$ and 3 (left column) are plotted in Figure 5 together with three abundant product anions in the mass range between the dimer and trimer cluster (right column). The solid red lines represent anion efficiency curves obtained via free electron attachment to gas phase valine, taken from reference [14]. We subtracted the contribution of the isotopomer of $[\text{Val}_n-\text{H}]^-$ containing one $^{13}\text{C}$ at $m/z = 117$ from the measured anion efficiency curve. As mentioned in earlier studies, the position resonances of anions formed inside HNDs are shifted by about 2 eV, mostly due to the conduction band of He [39,45,46]. The parent anions $[\text{Val}_n^+]$ show two pronounced features at 2 eV and 22 eV as earlier observed for parent anions of DNA bases [39] and other dopants [47–50]. It is interesting to note that for glycine, alanine and serine the intensity of the parent anions is comparable and sometimes larger than the intensity of the corresponding dehydrogenated cluster anion [50]. This may be explained by the substantially larger HNDs utilized in the present study ($1.5 \times 10^9$ compared to $1.3 \times 10^8$).

In reference [50] parent cluster anions of amino acids are observed by a series of peaks having a mass separation of four mass units and thus are assigned as $\text{H}_{m}\text{AA}^-$. This suggests that also parent cluster anions of valine, which formed at 2 eV, are likely to reside inside the HNDs. The large neutral HNDs chosen in the present study will result in a much larger number of attached He atoms. These anionic complexes will not be accessible for the time of flight mass analysis when they are too heavy to reach the detector within the time delay between two extraction pulses. This also explains the very weak contribution of anions complexed with only a few He atoms. However, similar to the other amino acids picked up by small HNDs [50], we only see these $\text{H}_{m}\text{AA}^-$ anions for $m > 1$.

Anionic parent cluster ions can emerge only from very few small HNDs of the neutral log-normal distribution. All fragment anions that lose more than one hydrogen atom exhibit just one intense resonance at 22 eV with a weaker feature at 25–26 eV. This indicates that the superfluid He matrix is efficiently quenching more complex and relatively slow fragmentation reactions [40] and we propose that most low-mass fragments of amino acids are formed via an intermediate $[\text{AA-H}]^*$ as hydrogen loss is the most efficient DEA channel to compete with autodissociation. Instead of the low-mass fragments observed via DEA to gas phase amino acid molecules, these channels contribute to the dehydrogenated parent anions $[\text{Val}_n-\text{H}]^-$ when formed inside HNDs.

The three most abundant fragments $\text{OH}^-$, $\text{COOH}^-$, and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{NO}_2^-$ (where most likely the aliphatic side chain $\text{H}_3\text{C-CH-CH}_3$ is lost) from reference [14] are shown as solid red lines and the energy axis is shifted by about 2 eV to compensate for the energy an electron requires to enter the conduction band of liquid He [45]. The resonances of these fragment anions fit very well with the anion efficiency curves of $[\text{Val}_n-\text{H}]^-$ in the corresponding electron energy range. This points to a matrix effect in the superfluid He which stabilizes an intermediate $[\text{Val}_n-\text{H}]^-$ that, when isolated, is prone to further dissociation in the fs to μs time regime.

As observed in earlier studies, we observe a repetition of the resonances after 22 eV which can be explained by an inelastic scattering event of an electron at He, forming He$^*$ (which requires an energy of 19.82 eV for the formation of He$^*$ in the 2$^3\text{S}_1$ state) [39,47–51]. It is interesting to note that at 22 eV all anions are formed, including those that cannot be formed via low-energy electron attachment close to 0 eV. Whereas for small HNDs (average size $< 10^4$) inelastically scattered electrons will be attracted by neighboring dopant clusters, for large HNDs (average size $> 10^5$, as in the present study) the formation of an intermediate He$^*$ becomes much more likely which finally will interact with the dopant cluster. As already mentioned in Section 3.1, the relative yield of non-stoichiometric valine cluster anions (sum of the yield of all fragment anions divided by
In order to pick up the number of the dopant cluster formation scales with \( n \) being picked up. For large HNDs the loss of He due to the pickup process and the release of binding energy upon dopant cluster formation can be neglected as it scales only with \( N^{2/3} \). The radius of the HND scales with \( N^{1/3} \) and thus the radius of the initial droplet scales with the square root of the number of dopants \( n \) that are picked up \( (n^{1/2}) \).

In Figure 6 the fraction of low-mass fragments is plotted as a function of the cluster size \( n \) (open circles) and compared with a power function (solid line). The exponent is very close to 1/2 which is a measure for the radius of the HND. The radius of a HND containing 10 water molecules is about 11 nm much larger than size of a valine cluster. So larger dopant clusters are surrounded by a thicker layer of He. As a consequence He* becomes more important for the anion formation. Therefore, the fragmentation of dopant molecules increases by the transfer of the excitation energy of 19.74 eV from He* to the valine cluster. If fragmentation is mainly due to He*−, we conclude that the importance of He* is proportional to the thickness of the He layer covering the dopant.

The anion efficiency curves in the right panels of Figure 5 show that fragment anions are predominantly formed at the 22 eV resonance that we assign to the formation of an intermediate He*− [42,43]. In Figure 7 the total anion yield (solid black line) is plotted in comparison to the anion yield of He*− from pristine (red dashed line, data taken from [42] and SF6 doped (blue dash dotted line, data taken from [52]) HNDs and the anion efficiency curve of \((C_{70})^2−\) (thin green line, data taken from [53]).

The capture cross section of a HND HeN is basically the geometric cross section and thus proportional to \( N^{2/3} \). In order to pick up \( n \) dopant molecules the cross sections of the HND has to be \( n \) times larger than for a HND that will pick up only one dopant. Thus the number of He atoms required for picking up \( n \) molecules has to be \( n^{1.5} \) times larger than for a HND picking up one molecule. The loss of He atoms due to the pickup of individual valine molecules and the release of binding energy upon dopant cluster formation scales with \( n \), the number of dopants being picked up. For large HNDs the loss of He due to the pickup process and the release of binding energy upon dopant cluster formation can be neglected as it scales only with \( N^{2/3} \). The radius of the HND scales with \( N^{1/3} \) and thus the radius of the initial droplet scales with the square root of the number of dopants \( n \) that are picked up \( (n^{1/2}) \). In Figure 6 the fraction of low-mass fragments is plotted as a function of the cluster size (open symbols) and compared with a power function (solid line). The exponent is very close to 1/2 which is a measure for the radius of the HND. The radius of a HND containing 10 water molecules is about 11 nm much larger than size of a valine molecule. Thus the radius of the HND is a good approximation for the thickness of the He layer surrounding the dopant cluster. So larger dopant clusters are surrounded by a thicker layer of He. As a consequence He* becomes more important for the anion formation. Therefore, the fragmentation of dopant molecules increases by the transfer of the excitation energy of 19.74 eV from He* to the valine cluster. If fragmentation is mainly due to He*−, we conclude that the importance of He* is proportional to the thickness of the He layer covering the dopant.

The anion efficiency curves in the right panels of Figure 5 show that fragment anions are predominantly formed at the 22 eV resonance that we assign to the formation of an intermediate He*− [42,43]. In Figure 7 the total anion yield (solid black line) is plotted in comparison to the anion yield of He*− from pristine (red dashed line, data taken from [42] and SF6 doped (blue dash dotted line, data taken from [52]) HNDs and the anion efficiency curve of \((C_{70})^2−\) (thin green line, data taken from [53]).

The capture cross section of a HND HeN is basically the geometric cross section and thus proportional to \( N^{2/3} \). In order to pick up \( n \) dopant molecules the cross sections of the HND has to be \( n \) times larger than for a HND that will pick up only one dopant. Thus the number of He atoms required for picking up \( n \) molecules has to be \( n^{1.5} \) times larger than for a HND picking up one molecule. The loss of He atoms due to the pickup of individual valine molecules and the release of binding energy upon dopant cluster formation scales with \( n \), the number of dopants being picked up. For large HNDs the loss of He due to the pickup process and the release of binding energy upon dopant cluster formation can be neglected as it scales only with \( N^{2/3} \). The radius of the HND scales with \( N^{1/3} \) and thus the radius of the initial droplet scales with the square root of the number of dopants \( n \) that are picked up \( (n^{1/2}) \). In Figure 6 the fraction of low-mass fragments is plotted as a function of the cluster size (open symbols) and compared with a power function (solid line). The exponent is very close to 1/2 which is a measure for the radius of the HND. The radius of a HND containing 10 water molecules is about 11 nm much larger than size of a valine molecule. Thus the radius of the HND is a good approximation for the thickness of the He layer surrounding the dopant cluster. So larger dopant clusters are surrounded by a thicker layer of He. As a consequence He* becomes more important for the anion formation. Therefore, the fragmentation of dopant molecules increases by the transfer of the excitation energy of 19.74 eV from He* to the valine cluster. If fragmentation is mainly due to He*−, we conclude that the importance of He*− is proportional to the thickness of the He layer covering the dopant.

The anion efficiency curves in the right panels of Figure 5 show that fragment anions are predominantly formed at the 22 eV resonance that we assign to the formation of an intermediate He*− [42,43]. In Figure 7 the total anion yield (solid black line) is plotted in comparison to the anion yield of He*− from pristine (red dashed line, data taken from [42] and SF6 doped (blue dash dotted line, data taken from [52]) HNDs and the anion efficiency curve of \((C_{70})^2−\) (thin green line, data taken from [53]).

4 Conclusions

Formation of anions and cations via inelastic electron interactions with valine doped HNDs was investigated with high-resolution mass spectrometry. In contrast to an earlier study where only cations from ten times smaller HNDs doped with valine [20] and clusters ValnH+ up to n = 8
were measured, we utilized ten times larger HNDs and measured clusters up to \( n > 40 \). The negatively charged product ions exhibit a pronounced increase of fragmentation with the size of the HNDs which can be attributed to the enhanced formation of metastable helium anions \( \text{He}^\text{−} \). Even in a single measurement this size effect can be observed as small dopant clusters are preferentially formed by pickup of small HNDs and large dopant clusters by pickup of large HNDs. Cation efficiency curves were measured for the complete mass spectrum and, as expected, product ions from valine clusters embedded in HNDs are exclusively formed via electron transfer to an initially formed \( \text{He}^+ \) at electron energies higher than 24.59 eV. This confirms that valine is a heliophilic dopant and moves around the center of a HND [54] where it most likely interacts with \( \text{He}^+ \) or \( \text{He}^\text{−} \). Anions from HNDs doped with valine were measured for the first time and significant differences to earlier measurements of HNDs doped with other amino acids were identified. The resonance at 22 eV is by far the most efficient energy for anion formation which is a result of the ten times larger average HNDs. Anion formation at low electron energies is strongly suppressed for large HNDs in comparison to smaller droplets [39,47,50,51]. We explain this (i) by the low mobility of the electron bubble [43,55–57] and (ii) by the reduced ejection probability of parent cluster anions of amino acids in case they are formed. Also, in the energy range of DEA that is typically assigned to core excited resonances, the fragmentation pattern differs strongly between doped HNDs and the gas phase. In HNDs the dominant product is the close-shell dehydrogenated parent anion \( [\text{Val}_n\text{−H}]^{−} \), whereas low-mass fragments are dominant for DEA to gas phase molecules. We attribute this difference to a matrix effect in the superfluid He which stabilizes an intermediate formed \( [\text{Val}_n\text{−H}]^{−} \) that, when isolated, is prone to further dissociation in the fs to \( \mu \)s time regime. However, the encounter of a dopant valine cluster with \( \text{He}^\text{−} \) provides 19.7 eV of electronic energy in addition to the extra electron, which explains the enhanced anion fragmentation pattern compared to electron attachment. This also explains why anions that cannot be formed via electron attachment at low electron energies, such as \( \text{COOH}^{−} \), exhibit a strong resonance at 22 eV with doped HNDs. An inelastically scattered electron cannot form these anions, however, \( \text{He}^\text{−} \) clearly can. In the present study the effect of the HND on valine anion formation is demonstrated for the first time: the larger a HND, the more important becomes \( \text{He}^\text{−} \). The electronic energy of this metastable species strongly enhances dissociation of molecular constituents of the dopant cluster. In addition, we deduce a linear dependence between the ionization efficiency with \( \text{He}^\text{−} \) and the radius of the HND which is a measure for the thickness of the He layer covering the dopant.

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund, FWF (P26635, 1978, P24443). This work is dedicated to Michael Alan, a very gifted experimentalist in the field of the interaction of low-energy electrons with molecules. He achieved incredibly high energy-resolution for his free electron beams and obtained thereby enormous insight into these fundamental collision processes. He is a true gentleman and we will miss his contribution to conferences and the literature.
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