Generating Lieb and super-honeycomb lattices by employing the fractional Talbot effect
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We demonstrate a novel method for producing optically induced Lieb and super-honeycomb lattices, by employing the fractional Talbot effect of specific periodic beam structures. Our numerical and analytical results display the generation of Lieb and super-honeycomb lattices at fractional Talbot lengths effectively and with high beam quality. By adjusting the initial phase shifts of the interfering beams, the incident periodic beam structures, as well as the lattices with broken inversion symmetry, can be constructed in situ. This research suggests not only a possible practical utilization of the Talbot effect in the production of novel optically induced lattices but also in the studies of related optical topological phenomena.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Talbot effect is a self-imaging effect that can be generally interpreted by Fresnel diffraction theory. It was first observed by Talbot [1] and theoretically explained by Rayleigh [2] in the 19th century. Nonetheless, the Talbot effect still attracts considerable attention of many research groups around the globe [3], for its potential applications in image preprocessing and synthesis, photolithography, optical testing, optical metrology, spectrometry, and optical computing. Today, research efforts that involve the Talbot effect include atomic optics [4–6], quantum optics [7,8], nonlinear optics [9–11], waveguide arrays [12], photonic lattices [13], Bose–Einstein condensates [14,15], and electronics [16], to name a few. It is worth mentioning that the Talbot effect can also be observed by using spherical waves [17] and accelerating beams [18–20].

In optics, periodic beam structures can be prepared by employing the multi-beam interference method; a thorough review of this method can be found in Ref. [21]. Generally, such periodic beam structures may not exhibit the Talbot effect, since they represent discrete nondiffracting beam patterns [22]. But, if the nondiffracting property of such beams is broken, then they may display the Talbot effect during propagation in free space. Incidentally, these beams may not only display the Talbot effect, but it has been reported that the beams prepared by the multi-beam interference method can also be used to investigate topological and optical-quantum analogue phenomena [23,24]. It is well known that the periodic beam structures may exhibit surprising patterns (i.e., the fractional Talbot images) in the realization of the Talbot effect. In previous investigations, the fractional Talbot images were presented only at typical locations (e.g., half or quarter of the Talbot length). In this work, we discover pattern revivals at atypical fractional lengths, offering new insights and applications of the Talbot effect.

Hence, in this paper, we investigate the formation of Talbot patterns of specific periodic beam structures and report that Lieb [25–29] and super-honeycomb lattice arrays [30–32] can be produced accordingly. Recently, such lattices have stirred considerable interest, due to their unique band structure and intriguing topological properties. As far as we know, such lattices cannot be prepared directly using the multi-beam interference method [21,28,30]. Indeed, spatial modulation [33] and femtosecond laser writing techniques [34] can nowadays produce almost any photonic structure. However, it would be of interest to find a more economic and feasible way, and this is the motivation for our research. Accordingly, we find that such lattices can be formed using the Talbot images of certain periodic beams at fractional Talbot lengths. This discovery not only provides a new avenue for producing various lattices that can be utilized in photonic research, but also broadens the base of potential applications of the Talbot effect.

2. BASIC MODEL

The model that describes beam propagation in free space can be written as the dimensionless Schrödinger-like paraxial wave equation
\[
i \frac{\partial \psi(x,y,z)}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} \right) \psi(x,y,z),
\]

where \( \psi \) is the envelope of the beam structure. The general solution of Eq. (1) can be written as

\[
\psi(x,y,z) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{i}{2} (k_x^2 + k_y^2) z \right) \mathcal{F} \{ \psi(x,y,z = 0) \} \right\},
\]

where \( k_x \) and \( k_y \) are the spatial frequencies, and \( \mathcal{F} \) and \( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \) are the direct and inverse Fourier transform operators. Clearly, for a given beam structure, the beam structure at any propagation distance \( z \) can be obtained numerically.

The incident periodic beam structure can be obtained in many ways, and we opt for the multi-beam interference that can be written as

\[
\psi(x,y) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \exp \left( i x \cos(\theta_m) + y \sin(\theta_m) + i ml \right),
\]

where \( M \) is the number of beams that are involved in interference, \( \theta_m = 2m\pi/M \) indicates the location of the beam, and \( l \) adjusts the initial phase shift. Here, the absolute sign guarantees that only the beam envelope is modulated, so that the beam is not diffractionless any more. In Fig. 1, the four-beam interference geometry [Figs. 1(a) and 1(c)] and the six-beam interference geometry [Figs. 1(b) and 1(d)] are presented. For four-beam interference, the incident periodic structure is written as

\[
\psi_{f=0} = 4 \left| \cos \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \cos \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right|,
\]

\[
\psi_{f=1} = 2 \sqrt{2} \left| 1 + \cos(X) \sin(Y) \right| + \cos(X) + \sin(Y),
\]

\[
\psi_{f=2} = \sqrt{1 - \cos(X) \cos(Y)},
\]

\[
\psi_{f=3} = 2 \sqrt{2} \left[ 1 - \cos(X) \sin(Y) \right] - \cos(X) + \sin(Y),
\]

\[
\psi_{f=4} = 4 \left| \sin \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \sin \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right|,
\]

\[
\psi_{f=5} = 4 \left| \cos(x/2) - \cos \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} y}{2} \right) \right| \sin(x/2),
\]

Here, only the cases with \( l = 0 \) and \( l = 6 \) are presented, since the expressions for other cases are too complex. Actually, as explained in the following text, the two cases presented \((l = 0 \) and \( 6 \) suffice to generate the super-honeycomb lattice. Similar setups were employed previously [22,35,36], so we believe that our results are feasible for actual experiments.

3. RESULTS

A. Four-Beam Interference

First, we consider the four-beam interference case. In Figs. 2(a1)–2(e1), the incident periodic structures due to

![Fig. 1.](image1) Illustration of the four-beam (a) and six-beam (b) interference in Cartesian coordinates. Red dots represent the component beams. (c), (d) Three-dimensional schematics, corresponding to (a) and (b).
that the incident beam structure changes into a Lieb lattice at length in Fig. 2(c2) is indeed half that of the other cases. Recorded during propagation. Clearly, one finds that the Talbot results in the first row [Figs. 3(a1)] and analytical results in the second row [Figs. 3(a2)] agree with each other very well. For the case in Fig. 2(c1), in which $z = (0.185\pi_T, 0.315\pi_T)$ [dashed lines in Figs. 2(b2) and 2(d2)], respectively. In Fig. 3, the found Lieb lattices are displayed, in which the periods of the structures can be trivially obtained. The dashed squares in these panels indicate unit cells of the beam structure. Thus, the total Talbot length for a two-dimensional structure is the least common multiple (LCM) of the two transverse Talbot lengths, i.e., $z_T = \text{LCM}(x_T, y_T)$, which is $2\pi$ for the cases in Figs. 2(a1)–2(e1) and $\pi$ for the case in Fig. 2(c1). In Figs. 2(a2)–2(e2), the corresponding Talbot images of the periodic beam structures are shown within the propagation distance $0 \leq z \leq 2\pi$. For convenience, only $\psi(x, y = -2.5D_y)$ is recorded during propagation. Clearly, one finds that the Talbot length in Fig. 2(c2) is indeed half that of the other cases.

Particularly, for the cases in Figs. 2(b2) and 2(d2), one finds that the incident beam structure changes into a Lieb lattice at $z \approx 0.185\pi_T, 0.315\pi_T, 0.685\pi_T, 0.815\pi_T$. Such places are marked by white dashed lines in Figs. 2(b2) and 2(d2).

In Fig. 3, the found Lieb lattices are displayed, in which the results in the first row [Figs. 3(a1)–3(d1)] are obtained numerically, while those in the second row [Figs. 3(a2)–3(d2)] are obtained analytically, according to Eq. (2). One finds that the numerical and analytical results completely agree with each other.

**B. Six-Beam Interference**

Second, we treat the six-beam interference case, as shown in Fig. 1(b). Similar to Fig. 2, the incident periodic beam structure adjusted by $l$ and the corresponding Talbot images are exhibited in Fig. 4. In Figs. 4(a1)–4(d1), the dashed rectangles indicate the recurring cells (not the unit cells). For this case, $D_x = 2\pi$ and $D_y = 2\pi/\sqrt{3}$, so the Talbot length is $z_T = 4\pi$. In Fig. 4(a1) with $l = 0$, the beam structure shows a mixture of hexagonal and honeycomb lattices. In Fig. 4(b1) with $l = 2$, the bright rings form the hexagonal lattice. If one launched this pattern into a self-defocusing medium, a mixture of super-honeycomb lattice and hexagonal lattices could be obtained [30]. If $l = 4$ and 6, the interference patterns are the kagome [Fig. 4(c1)] and honeycomb [Fig. 4(d1)] lattices [22,35,37], respectively. To display the Talbot effect clearly, we recorded the beam only at a certain $y$ value, $y = -1.5D_y$, during propagation, as in Figs. 4(a2)–4(d2).

Numerical simulations demonstrate that the super-honeycomb lattices may form only if $l = 0$ or $l = 6$. For the former case, one may observe the super-honeycomb lattice at $z \approx 0.431\pi_T$ and $0.569\pi_T$, while for the latter case, the typical distances are $z \approx 0.095\pi_T$ and $0.905\pi_T$. These typical distances are marked by the dashed lines in Figs. 4(a2) and 4(d2).

The obtained super-honeycomb lattices are shown in Fig. 5. The numerical results in the first row and analytical results in the second row agree with each other very well. For the case with $l = 0$ [Figs. 5(a1) and 5(a2)], the pattern is a mixture of super-honeycomb and hexagonal lattices, but the strength of the hexagonal lattice is lower than that of the super-honeycomb lattice. Therefore, one can improve the resolution and contrast by applying a high-pass filter. Nevertheless, the case is still much better than the result obtained through launching the pattern in Fig. 4(b1) into a self-defocusing medium [30].
because high-pass filtering is not valid for this situation. One can use only an additional beam structure to balance the hexagonal lattice, which is inconvenient. For the case with \( l = 6 \), the obtained super-honeycomb lattice is shown in Figs. 5(b1) and 5(b2). In comparison with the results in Figs. 5(a1) and 5(a2), the quality of the super-honeycomb lattice in Figs. 5(b1) and 5(b2) is significantly improved. Undoubtedly, the method to generate the super-honeycomb lattice is simple and effective.

C. Lattices with Broken Inversion Symmetry

In the examples above, the value of \( l \) is an integer. What happens if \( l \) is non-integer? To find the answer, we consider six-beam interference with \( l = 5.8 \), as an example. The induced periodic structure is shown in Fig. 6(a): one finds that the inversion symmetry of the honeycomb lattice is broken. The broken inversion symmetry will open Dirac cones in the honeycomb lattice in the momentum space and lead to two kinds of valleys with opposite Berry curvatures. Recently, lattices with broken inversion symmetry were involved in investigating the valley Hall effect and topological insulators with invariant broken inversion symmetry of the honeycomb lattice is broken.

4. DISCUSSION

We would like to emphasize that the results obtained in this paper should not be viewed simply as a collection of beautiful patterns that can be obtained by superposing different beams with different phases. The Lieb as well as the super-honeycomb structure are not obtained arbitrarily, but as a result of a well-designed precise procedure based on the fractional Talbot effect. The value of this research is in providing a simple and designed precise procedure based on the fractional Talbot effect. The value of this research is in providing a simple and precise method based on the fractional Talbot effect.

The consequence of the method.

5. CONCLUSION

In summary, this paper has reported a new method to produce Lieb and super-honeycomb lattices with high quality, based on the fractional Talbot effect. By properly choosing the initial phase shifts of the interfering beams, the periodic beam structure has been shown to form the Lieb or super-honeycomb lattices during propagation, at certain fractional Talbot lengths. Last but not least, the inversion symmetry of the incident as well as the induced periodic beam structures can be broken by adjusting the initial phase shifts of the interfering beams. This method is relatively direct and can be in situ manipulated. On one hand, this research broadens the applications of the Talbot effect and deepens its understanding, and on the other, it may provide a useful reference for research in topological photonics.
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