Serum MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 level as negative prognostic markers in colon cancer patients
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Abstract

Background: Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) comprise a family of zinc-dependent endopeptidases which are involved in angiogenesis, tumor invasion and metastatic formation. Up to date, the prognostic relevance of MMPs in serum of patients with colon cancer remains unknown. Thus, we wanted to assess an expression pattern of MMPs in a homogenous cohort of colon cancer patients to assess their potential as prognostic biomarkers.

Methods: Differences in the expression pattern of MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 in 78 serum specimens of patients with an adenocarcinoma of the colon and serum specimens of a healthy control group were assessed using Luminex-100 technologies. Subsequently, we correlated these results with histopathological and clinical data of the patients.

Results: Luminex based expression analysis revealed a significant overexpression of MMP7 and an overexpression of MMP10 and MMP12 in the sera of colon cancer patients compared to the healthy control group. Patients with vascular invasion showed a significantly higher MMP12 expression than V0-staged patients. Moreover overexpression of MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 in colon cancer patients’ sera displayed a significantly impaired overall survival. Multivariate analysis revealed high MMP10 serum levels to be an independent adverse prognostic marker in colon cancer patients.

Conclusions: Expression patterns of MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 in colon cancer patients’ sera are different compared to serum specimens of healthy individuals. Furthermore, overexpression of MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 in colon cancer patients’ sera correlates with a dismal prognosis and may help to stratify patients into different risk groups.
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Background

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most prevalent cancer entities and the second leading cause of cancer-related death in the western world [1, 2]. For all stages of CRC the 5-year survival rate is about 60% [3]. Survival depends strongly on the histological stage with favorable survival rates in stage I and II whereas the outcome drastically decreases with lymph node positivity or distant metastases [4]. Adjuvant chemotherapy in advanced stages improves survival rates heavily, but with the restrictions of general cytotoxicity [5, 6]. It is known that a percentage of patients is either under- respectively overtreated due to the decision of adjuvant chemotherapy referring current clinical guidelines [7]. Therefore individualized and tailored therapeutic strategies have turned into focus. Hence other predictive markers then eg. Dukes stage which influence tumor progression and survival were investigated like - to name only few - microsatellite instability or cyclooxygenase-2 expression with the aim to proceed a step towards new therapeutic strategies additionally to chemo- and/or radiotherapy [8, 9].
Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) comprise a family of at least 25 secreted and cell surface zinc-dependent endopeptidases involved in tumor progression, angiogenesis, invasion of surrounding tissue and metastatic formation and evasion of the immune system [10]. They are capable to degrade all components of the extracellular matrix and even non-matrix proteins, necessarily needed for tumor invasion and metastatic spread [11, 12]. According to their substrate specificity or additional structural domain they are divided into subgroups like Collagenases, Gelatinases, Stromelysins, Matrilysins and Membrane-type MMPs. Synthesized as inactive zymogens, they become functional by proteolytic removal of the propeptide prodomain [13]. The activity of MMPs is regulated by differential expression and post-translational processes. Activating factors include transcription factors such as LEF-1 (lymphoid enhancer binding factor-1) or β-catenin, other MMPs, serine proteinases, cytokines and growth factors [10, 13]. Counterparts in terms of expression inhibition are the tissue inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases (TIMPs), RECK (reversion-inducing cysteine-rich protein with Kazal motifs), α2-Macroglobulin or Thrombospondin [14–16].

Upregulation of several MMPs including MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 in cancerous tissue and adverse association with survival has been evaluated likewise in colorectal cancer [17, 18]. Moreover secretion of MMPs into the bloodstream is proposed [19]. However, the prognostic impact of MMP expression in colorectal cancer patients’ sera has only been assessed partially. In this study, we have chosen three MMPs namely MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 for investigation. However, to our knowledge until now there is no study assessing serum MMP10 and MMP12 level in a homogenous collective of colon cancer patients’ sera in regard to overall survival. The prognostic impact of MMP7 serum level in advanced colorectal cancer has already been evaluated before [20]. Therefore, assessment of serum MMP7 level serves as a validation for underlining the representative study collective of patients. Hence, we investigated multiplex bead-based immunoassay-technology measuring MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 serum level in a homogenous collective of 78 patients suffering from colon cancer and a healthy control group. The exact concentration of these markers were detected in each sample by Luminex 100™ reader (Luminex Corporation, Austin, Texas, USA).

**Statistics**

Statistical analysis of the data and calculations were conducted with Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA) and SPSS version 21 (SPSS, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY).

Wilcoxon-signed rank test was used to determine differences in the expression pattern. Expression bars were presented using mean concentration [pg/ml] ± SEM. Kaplan-Meier method was employed to estimate cancer related survival. Differences between the survival curves were evaluated by log-rank test. For survival analysis Cox proportional hazards model was taken to calculate survival related hazard ratios. Multivariate analysis was performed using Cox proportional hazards regression including the following covariates: age, gender, UICC stage, TNM-classification, R-Stage, adjuvant chemotherapy, anastomotic leakage and relative serum expression of MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 in colon cancer patients’ sera vs. sera of healthy control serum group. The comparison of clinical parameters and relative serum
expression of MMPs was assessed using the Mann–Whitney-U-Test and the analysis of variance (Anova) with the post-hoc Tukey’s test. Contingency table analysis was performed using the Pearson’s chi-square test. Results were considered significant at a $p$-value less than 0.05.

**Results**

**Patients’ characteristics**

78 patients suffering from adenocarcinoma of the colon were included into the study (Table 1). Median age at the time of operation was 63 years. 28 patients died during follow-up. Mean overall survival of all patients was 44.2 months.

Each patient underwent colon cancer surgery according to the localization of the tumor: 28 patients had right colectomy, 13 extended right colectomy, 1 had extended left colectomy, 9 left colectomy, 19 underwent sigmoidectomy, 6 patients underwent high anterior rectum resection, 2 patients had subtotal colectomy.

At the time of diagnosis 23 patients were classified M1, 55 patients as M0. Patients with a metastatic disease (M1) had a significantly shortened overall survival ($p = 0.01$). The mean overall survival for stage M0 patients was 46.7 months whereas it was 36.6 months for patients with distant metastases (Table 1).

**Expression of MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 in colon cancer patients’ sera and sera of healthy individuals**

The expression of MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 were determined in serum samples of 78 colon cancer patients and 38 serum samples of healthy individuals using Luminex 100™ technology. Case numbers vary between different MMPs due to technical measurement procedures.

MMP7 displayed a significantly higher expression in the sera specimen of colon cancer patients in comparison with healthy control serum group ($p = 0.003$) (Fig. 1a). Similarly, we observed a higher abundance of MMP10 ($p = 0.128$) (Fig. 1b) and MMP12 ($p = 0.544$) (Fig. 1c) in colon cancer patients’ sera as compared to serum specimens of healthy individuals.

The analysis of MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 expression regarding clinicopathological characteristics is shown in Table 2. For MMP7 we could observe significant differences in the expression level regarding gender ($p = 0.049$), age ($p = 0.013$) and tumor site ($p < 0.001$). MMP10 displayed a significant expressional difference compared to patients age ($p = 0.003$). As for MMP7 we could observe a significant difference of MMP12 expressional level compared to patients’ gender ($p = 0.004$). Only for MMP12 we could assess a significantly higher expression in patients with vascular invasion (V1-stage) compared to V0-staged patients ($p = 0.018$) (Table 2). Clinicopathological characteristics of the patients compared to relative serum expression of MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 (each <2 and ≥2) are shown in Additional file 1.

Taken together our results propose that MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 are increased in sera of colon

| Table 1 | Correlation of clinical parameters with overall survival; na = not available |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Patient characteristics | Number of patients ($n = 78$) | Mean overall Survival (months) | $p$-value |
| Gender      | Male 54          | 43.07          | 0.37 |
|             | Female 24        | 49.62          |      |
| Age at operation | <median = 64 years 37 | 43.82 | 0.514 |
|             | ≥ median = 64 years 41 | 42.68 |      |
| Anatomotic leakage | Yes 7 | 50.10 | 0.841 |
|             | No 71           | 44.42          |      |
| T-Stage     | T1 2            | na             | 0.495 |
|             | T2 8            | 32.8           |      |
|             | T3 54           | 40.04          |      |
|             | T4 14           | 38.28          |      |
| N-Stage     | N0 30           | 49.18          | 0.08 |
|             | N1 30           | 37.82          |      |
|             | N2 18           | 41.79          |      |
| M-Stage     | M0 55           | 46.69          | 0.01 |
|             | M1 23           | 36.55          |      |
| Occurrence of liver metastases | Liver metastases (synchronous and metachronous) 26 | 35.53 | 0.002 |
|             | No liver metastases 52 | 51.51 |      |
| Ressection margin status | R0 68 | 45.98 | 0.121 |
|             | R1 4            | 35.25          |      |
|             | Rx 6            | 29.02          |      |
| Grading     | G1 0            | 43.55          | 0.708 |
|             | G2 54           | 45.97          |      |
|             | G3 23           | 45.97          |      |
|             | Data not available 1 |            |      |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy | Yes 47 | 40.66 | 0.083 |
|             | No 31           | 44.20          |      |
cancer patients when compared to healthy donors and MMP12 seems to play a role in vascular invasion.

Impact of MMP expression on overall survival
After having confirmed differences in the expression of MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 concentrations in colon cancer patients’ sera and serum samples of a healthy control group we correlated these results with patients’ overall survival. For this purpose, the quotient of MMP expression in colon cancer patients’ sera versus expression in sera of healthy individuals was calculated, and relative serum expression (serum expression of colon cancer patients/ serum expression of control serum group) of <2 and ≥2 was then correlated with overall survival [21].

Consistently, a relative serum expression ≥2 (serum expression of colon cancer patients/ serum expression of control serum group) of MMP7 (HR: 2.8 [95 % CI: 1.1–6.8], p = 0.02), MMP10 (HR: 2.6 [95 % CI: 1.2–5.9], p = 0.015) and MMP12 (HR: 2.9 [95 % CI: 1.1–7.8], p = 0.025) correlated with a significantly shortened overall survival (Fig. 2a-c). Strikingly, multivariate analysis revealed that an increased relative serum expression of MMP10 is an independent prognostic marker for impaired overall survival in colon cancer patients (Table 3).

Discussion
The present investigation yielded MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 serum levels in a homogenous group of colon cancer patients to be adverse prognostic molecular markers
exerting valid multiplex bead-based immunoassay - technologies. Strikingly, in multivariate analysis, we could provide evidence that MMP10 is an independent prognostic marker for impaired overall survival. To our knowledge we are the first to demonstrate the influence of serum MMP10 and MMP12 on colon cancer patients’ survival.

MMPs are essential regulators facilitating tumor enhancing processes including tumor growth, migration, invasion, apoptosis, angiogenesis as well as immune-escape mechanisms [10, 22, 23]. Elevated level of several MMPs could be proven in a variety of cancer entities [24–26]. Description of upregulation of several MMPs - among them MMP1,2,3,7,9,10,11,12,13 - in cancerous tissue of colorectal cancer patients is common [27–29]. Moreover for colorectal cancer an association of MMP upregulation with advanced Dukes’ stage, more infiltrative phenotype, occurrence of metastatic lesions and unfavorable prognosis was observed [27, 28, 30]. Influxing of MMPs into the bloodstream was verified indicating their role as potential prognostic blood-biomarker [31].

Table 2 Overview of clinical parameters and relative serum expression of MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12

| Patient Characteristics | N   | Median relative serum expression | MMP7  | p  | MMP10 | p  | MMP12 | p   |
|-------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-------|----|-------|----|-------|----|
| Gender                  |     |                                 |       |    |       |    |       |    |
| Male                    | 51  | 1.21                            | 1.22  |    | 1.19  |    | 0.049 |    |
| Female                  | 24  | 0.97                            | 0.95  |    | 0.88  |    | 0.138 |    |
| Age at operation        |     |                                 |       |    |       |    |       |    |
| <median = 64 years      | 37  | 0.95                            | 0.95  |    | 1.16  |    | 0.013 |    |
| ≥ median = 64 years     | 38  | 1.2                             | 1.36  |    | 1.03  |    | 0.003 |    |
| T-Stage                 |     |                                 |       |    |       |    |       |    |
| T1                      | 2   | 0.86                            | 0.9   |    | 0.42  |    | 0.582 |    |
| T2                      | 8   | 0.92                            | 1.13  |    | 1.11  |    | 0.003 |    |
| T3                      | 53  | 1.08                            | 1.13  |    | 1.07  |    | 0.013 |    |
| T4                      | 13  | 1.24                            | 1.22  |    | 1.19  |    | 0.074 |    |
| N-Stage                 |     |                                 |       |    |       |    |       |    |
| Node negative           | 29  | 1.06                            | 1.22  |    | 1.0   |    | 0.806 |    |
| Node positive           | 46  | 1.16                            | 0.99  |    | 1.14  |    | 0.004 |    |
| M-Stage                 |     |                                 |       |    |       |    |       |    |
| M0                      | 55  | 1.06                            | 1.13  |    | 1.0   |    | 0.244 |    |
| M1                      | 23  | 1.26                            | 1.13  |    | 1.19  |    | 0.074 |    |
| L-Stage                 |     |                                 |       |    |       |    |       |    |
| L0                      | 61  | 1.06                            | 1.18  |    | 1.06  |    | 0.629 |    |
| L1                      | 14  | 1.25                            | 0.81  |    | 1.14  |    | 0.085 |    |
| V-Stage                 |     |                                 |       |    |       |    |       |    |
| V0                      | 69  | 1.06                            | 1.13  |    | 1.06  |    | 0.067 |    |
| V1                      | 6   | 2.41                            | 1.86  |    | 1.32  |    | 0.321 |    |
| Micro satellite stage   |     |                                 |       |    |       |    |       |    |
| MSS                     | 5   | 0.68                            | 0.76  |    | 0.47  |    | 0.053 |    |
| MSI                     | 2   | 1.16                            | 0.95  |    | 1.01  |    | 0.845 |    |
| Tumor site              |     |                                 |       |    |       |    |       |    |
| Coecum                  | 17  | 0.98                            | 1.22  |    | 1.16  |    | <0.001 |    |
| Colon ascendens         | 14  | 1.11                            | 0.88  |    | 0.78  |    | 0.76  |    |
| Right colon flexure     | 6   | 1.28                            | 1.32  |    | 1.13  |    | <0.001 |    |
| Colon transversum       | 3   | 1.37                            | 0.86  |    | 1.0   |    | 0.99  |    |
| Colon descendens        | 5   | 2.12                            | 2.16  |    | 1.29  |    | 0.96  |    |
| Colon sigmoideum        | 30  | 0.96                            | 0.99  |    | 1.14  |    | <0.001 |    |

*In ANOVA p < 0.001, the post-hoc Tukey’s test showed a significant difference between colon descendens and coecum, colon ascendens and right colon flexure (p < 0.001; p < 0.001; p = 0.007, respectively)
Our results indicate pro-tumorigenic effects of overexpressed MMP7 in colon cancer patients’ sera compared to serum samples of a healthy control group resulting in significantly adverse overall survival. Recent studies have shown that MMP7 is overexpressed in colorectal cancer tissue in comparison to normal mucosa [31, 32]. Furthermore, high expression of MMP7 in colorectal cancer samples exhibits tumor promoting roles in terms of correlation with higher Dukes’ stage, lymph node positivity, poor differentiation and metastases. Besides, impaired overall survival appears in patients with colorectal tumors expressing high levels of MMP7 [33, 34]. In consistence with our results, increased serum levels of MMP7 and correlation to worse overall survival in colorectal cancer has been reported [20, 31]. In addition to this, correlation of elevated serum MMP7 with advanced Dukes’ stage and prediction of recurrence in colorectal cancer patients was assessed reflecting higher MMP7 release through tumoral cells due to a higher tumor load [31, 35]. Oncogenic functions of MMP7 rely on different interactions between tumor cells, tumor microenvironment and immune system [20]. In contrast to other MMPs, MMP7 is mainly secreted by cancer cells and activated through cytokines or other MMPs, which are released by tumor stromal cells. This intercellular cross-talk between cancer cells and the tumor microenvironment results in increased tumor growth and invasion [10, 36]. Moreover, MMP7 enhances immune escape mechanisms by blocking lymphocyte cytotoxicity. This immunsuppressive effect is mediated by the cleavage of CD95/CD95, leading to an escape of the immune surveillance, decreased apoptosis and chemotherapy resistance [20, 37, 38]. These tumor promoting functions of MMP7 are in good accordance with our clinical results and may indicate that a high abundance of serum MMP7 is a surrogate marker for a more aggressive tumor type.

Intriguingly, we were able to show that elevated levels of MMP10 in colon cancer patients’ sera are related to an adverse overall survival by univariate and multivariate analysis. As for MMP7 for MMP10 overexpression in colorectal cancer specimen is described [27, 39]. However, no correlation of elevated tumoral MMP10 level with Dukes’ stage, hepatic metastatic lesions or venous invasion could be proven [27]. Until now, there is no study which reports that overexpression of MMP10 in colon cancer patients’ sera is correlated with survival.

Fig. 2 Correlation of relative MMP serum expression (serum/control serum) and overall survival in univariate analysis. An expression ratio serum/control serum ≥ 2 was defined as increased expression. Overall survival was significantly impaired in patients with increased relative serum expression of (a) MMP7 (HR: 2.8 [95 % CI: 1.1–6.8], p = 0.02) (b) MMP10 (HR: 2.6 [95 % CI: 1.2–5.9], p = 0.015) and (c) MMP12 (HR: 2.9 [95 % CI: 1.1–7.8], p = 0.025). CI = Confidence interval, HR = Hazard ratio.
Yet, the protumorigenic functions of MMP10 have been well described. Due to stimulation and synergistic effects of other oncogenes like S100A4 (S100 calcium binding protein A4), MMP10 stimulates cell growth and invasion and exerts antiapoptotic properties in vitro [40, 41]. Moreover, in combination with PAI-1 (Plasminogen activator inhibitor) and Plasmin, MMP10 contributes to angiogenesis [42]. These tumor-promoting effects of MMP10 may explain, why high expression of MMP10 in sera of patients with colon cancer is associated with a dismal prognosis. Nevertheless evidence likewise exists that MMP10 also displays tumor-protective capabilities. Koller et al. described an enhanced development of inflammation-associated colonic dysplasia in colitis induced mice lacking MMP10 based on an unremitting inflammation as the major cause. However no changes mediated by MMP10 could be proven in colonic epithelial cells [43]. Due to contradictory effects of MMP10 further investigations are needed to profoundly evaluate the role and differences of MMP10 in colon cancer and inflammatory bowel disease associated dysplasia.

As we have observed for MMP7 and MMP10, we found that elevated abundance of serum MMP12 in colon cancer patients is associated with a significantly shortened overall survival. Consistently with MMP7 and MMP10 also overexpression of MMP12 in colorectal cancer specimen is reported [27]. However divergent evidence exists that - opposing to MMP7 and MMP10 - MMP12 diminishes colon cancer growth in vitro and has a favorable impact on overall survival in colorectal cancer patients [44]. Interestingly, Asano et al. observed higher level of MMP12 in M0-staged patients compared to metastasized patients in primary colorectal cancer [27]. These antitumorigenic effects could be based on MMP12-induced decrease of VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor) level as well as on an increase of Angiostatin level - both are known to play a crucial role in tumor neovascularization [45]. Contrary, we observed a higher relative serum expression of MMP12 in patients with vascular invasion (V1-stage) compared to V0-staged patients suggesting a tumor promoting role of serum MMP12. Moreover, patients with single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the promotor region of MMP12 display a higher risk of suffering from disseminated colorectal cancer and for stromal MMP12 expression in colorectal cancer liver metastases an association with impaired survival is observed [46, 47]. Moreover invasive and migrative potential of MMP12 is noted in vitro [24]. Therefore MMP12 seems to exhibit both protumorigenic as well as antitumorigenic properties probably due to the fact, if MMP 12 is derived rather from macrophages, stromal or tumor cells and the occurrence of functional single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) [44–49]. As for MMP10 until now there are no studies assessing MMP12 expression in colon cancer patients’ sera in regard with overall survival. We suggest a tumor promoting role of MMP12 due to impaired overall survival in colon cancer patients expressing higher serum level of MMP12.

In summary, our results imply a significant influence of serum MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 in human colon cancer dissemination with considerable impact on overall survival. Moreover we are the first to demonstrate that relative serum expression of MMP10 in colon cancer patients is an independent prognostic determinant for adverse prognosis. Therefore we presume the necessity of measuring serum expression of MMPs to facilitate a prediction of prognosis. The present study evinces
MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 level in a homogenous collective of colon cancer patients’ sera to have predictive significance and boosts the idea that these markers represent essential prognostic factors and are probable molecular targets for tailored, individualized therapeutic approaches for prospective antitumoral strategies.

Conclusion
Upregulation of several MMPs plays a pivotal role regarding tumor progression, metastatic formation and patients’ outcome likewise in colon cancer. Large studies correlating differential MMP expression in colon cancer patients’ sera are scarce. In the present study we investigated the expression of MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 in serum specimens in a homogenous collective of colon cancer patients with regard to clinicopathological characteristics and patients’ prognosis. MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 were significantly associated with a dismal prognosis. Moreover, MMP10 is an independent prognostic marker in colon cancer patients. Overexpression of MMP12 was observed more frequently in patients with vascular invasion. The findings are promising and evoke the potential of serum MMP7, MMP10 and MMP12 expression as prognostic biomarker. The results may help to stratify patients into different risk groups. Therefore, further prospective studies with a larger cohort of patients are required.
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