Functional Outcomes of Arthroscopic Treatment in Femoroacetabular Impingement in Patients over 60 Years Old Compared with Patients Aged 40 Years or Younger

Resultados funcionais do tratamento artroscópico no impacto femoroacetabular em pacientes com mais de 60 anos em comparação com pacientes com 40 anos ou menos
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Abstract

Objective  To evaluate the functional outcomes of patients diagnosed with femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) older than 60 years, compared with those of patients of age 40 years or younger.

Methods  This was a retrospective review of patients with FAI who underwent hip arthroscopy between 2010 and 2015. The patients were adults aged over 60 years with Tönnis ≤ 1 matched in a 1:1 ratio with adults aged 40 years or younger, according to the type of deformity (cam, pincer, or mixed), sex, and the date when the surgery was performed.

Results  Thirty-four patients were included in each group. The mean age was 30.6 ± 6.9 years and 65.6 ± 4.6 years in the control and case groups, respectively. There were no significant differences between the groups at 1-year follow-up (p > 0.05). In the group with older patients (case group), we observed a change in the total Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) score from 46.3 to 22.0 in the 1st postoperative year, while the control cases improved in the WOMAC score from 38.1 to 7.2 in relation to the preoperative stage.

Conclusion  In the group of patients ≤ 40 years old, a considerable change was observed in the WOMAC score without a statistical significance compared with the > 60 years group.
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This observation suggests that hip arthroscopy is beneficial when there is an appropriate selection of patients with FAI, regardless the age of the patient.

**Resumo**

**Objetivo** Avaliar os resultados funcionais de pacientes diagnosticados com impacto femoroacetabular (IFA) e com mais de 60 anos de idade em comparação aos resultados de pacientes com até 40 anos de idade.

**Métodos** Esta é uma revisão retrospectiva de pacientes com IFA submetidos à artroscopia do quadril entre 2010 e 2015. Os pacientes eram adultos com mais de 60 anos de idade e Tönnis ≤ 1, alocados na proporção de 1:1 com adultos de até 40 anos de idade, de acordo com o tipo de deformidade (came, pincer, ou misto), sexo e data de realização da cirurgia.

**Resultados** Trinta e quatro pacientes foram incluídos em cada grupo. A idade média foi de 30,6 ± 6,9 anos e 65,6 ± 4,6 anos no grupo controle e de casos, respectivamente. Não houve diferenças significativas entre os grupos no acompanhamento de 1 ano (p > 0,05). No primeiro ano após a cirurgia, a pontuação Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) passou de 46,3 para 22,0 no grupo de pacientes mais velhos (casos) e de 38,1 para 7,2 no grupo controle em comparação ao estágio pré-operatório.
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**Conclusão** O grupo de pacientes com até 40 anos de idade apresentou uma mudança considerável na pontuação WOMAC, mas sem significado estatístico em comparação ao grupo de pacientes acima de 60 anos. Essa observação sugere que a artroscopia do quadril é benéfica quando a seleção de pacientes com IFA é apropriada, independentemente da idade dos indivíduos.

**Introduction**

Femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) is recognized as a predisposing factor in the development of early hip degeneration and progression to advanced osteoarthritis (OA).

Advances of the hip arthroscopy have allowed the diagnosis and treatment of various hip pathologies, expanding the indications to include the treatment of older patients who have pain associated with radiological signs of FAI.

Previous studies, such as Ben Tov et al., have revealed an improvement in pain and functionality after an arthroscopic treatment of FAI in patients older than 50 years with Tönnis grade 0 or 1 OA. Philippon et al. in 3 years of follow-up, reported a joint survival of 90% in patients older than 50 years, concluding that patients with an articular space greater than 2 mm may improve their pain and functionality after a hip arthroscopy.

Although there are isolated results of hip arthroscopy in the young and adult populations, there are few comparative studies to assess the functionality in both groups. Domb et al., in a retrospective study, compared the clinical and functional results of patients ≥ 50 years with those of patients ≤ 30 years, with comparable scores in scales of satisfaction.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the functional outcomes of patients diagnosed with FAI older than 60 years, compared with patients of age 40 years or younger. Our hypothesis was that appropriately selected patients of both groups would have an improvement in symptoms regardless of the age group.

**Methods**

We conducted a retrospective study of patients diagnosed with FAI who underwent hip arthroscopic surgery between 2010 and 2015, performed by a single surgeon (B. A. B.). Adults > 60 years of age diagnosed with FAI without advanced OA who were treated with hip arthroscopy during the period of the study were defined as the case group. Patients with grade 1, 2, or 3 in the Tönnis classification of OA, hip inflammatory or metabolic disease, residual dysplasia and history of previous hip surgery or fracture were excluded.

The control group included patients of 40 years or younger matched according to the type of defect (pincer, cam or mixed), gender, and surgery date. When more than one control met the inclusion criteria for a specific case, the youngest one was selected. Retrospective selection was performed using a ratio of 1:1.

The diagnosis of FAI was made based on clinical data clinical data, the flexion-adduction-internal rotation (FADIR) test, and morphological alterations (cam, pincer, or mixed). The institutional hip arthroscopy registry was reviewed to identify the cases and control group (Fig. 1). This study was conducted in compliance with the declaration of Helsinki. The Institutional Review Board (No. IRB00008539) approved this study.

**Data Collection**

Demographical data, preoperative symptoms, range of motion (flexion, internal and external rotation), and Western
Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) score were gathered from the clinical record. All patients were assessed with the WOMAC including 3 dimensions: pain, stiffness, and functionality before and 1-year follow-up. The identification of the patients that required a total hip replacement or a review procedure was done by telephone contact and review of the medical history.

Surgical Procedure

Before the procedure, all patients were evaluated with provocation maneuvers (flexion, adduction and internal rotation [FADIR]) and radiographic measurements: α and lateral center-edge (LCE) angle. The surgical procedure was performed with the patient under a balanced anesthetic regimen (spinal anesthesia and general anesthesia), using a traction orthopedic table (MAQUET, Gmbh, Rastatt, Germany) with the patient in supine position.

Access to the central and peripheral hip compartment was done through standard arthroscopic portals. The procedure began with the anterolateral portal for introducing 30° or 70° lenses using outside-in or inside-out technique. The teno-suspension technique was used to enhance the visualization of the supra-acetabular region, identifying areas of pincer-type injuries for acetabuloplasty. Depending on the degree of labrum injury, up to three sutures were performed through the inferior anterolateral accessory portal.

Afterward, the hip was positioned at a 30° flexion to reach the cam area at the head-neck junction, and a capsulotomy of the iliofemoral ligament was performed to proceed with the osteochondroplasty. Finally, a dynamic maneuver was used to evaluate the areas of conflict and the hip stability.

Rehabilitation Protocol

From the 2nd postoperative day, hip flexion (active and passive ROM from 0° to 70°) and circumference movements were started at home, followed by walking with axillary crutches according to patient’s tolerance.

The physical rehabilitation protocol started after the 2nd postoperative week and was divided into 3 phases with 20 sessions each (5 sessions per week). In the initial phase, patients began to walk with assistive devices to prevent extension of the operated hip and to protect the repaired tissue. In the second phase, the patients were assigned to walk without crutches and exercises to progressively perform external rotation in the surgically repaired hip. Finally, in phase III, some muscle strengthening exercises were started to improve hip stability and proprioception.

Statistical Analyses

The matched-pair t-test and Wilcoxon test were applied to compare clinical outcomes between cases and controls, according to the normality assumption. Categorical variables were compared with the McNemar test. Normal assumption of data was assessed by Shapiro-Wilk. A p-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. All analyses were performed using the Stata13 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

A total of 68 patients were analyzed. Thirty-four patients were included in each group (< 40 and > 60 years), the mean age was 30.6 ± 6.9 years and 65.6 ± 4.6 years in the control and case groups, respectively. The majority of patients had a mixed type of defect with similar manifestation of their symptoms before surgery. There were no differences in traction time between the groups. 

When the change (Δ) in the WOMAC scale from the preoperative stage to the first-year follow-up between the two groups was compared, the only score with statistically significant differences was the stiffness dimension. In the control group (< 40 years), there was a greater change in the WOMAC score of pain and functionality, without a statistically significant difference.

In the WOMAC pain, functionality and total score, there was a decrease in the average score of 79.1%, 81.7%, and 81.1%, respectively, in the younger control group during the 1st follow-up year since the preoperative period, compared with a decrease of 52.3%, 53.7% and 52.5% in patients over 60 years old. (Fig. 1)
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Discussion 
Femoroacetabular impingement is considered the main indication for hip arthroscopy, a technique widely used in the past decade. Although hip arthroscopy is primarily reported in young adult populations with satisfactory results,8,10 recent studies suggest that it is a viable treatment option for mature adult populations.6,11 In this study, we evaluated the outcomes during the 1st postoperative year in an adult population > 60 years compared with young adults ≤ 40 years. The results showed an improvement in symptomatology and functionality in both groups.4 This supports the current tendency to offer less invasive treatment options to patients over 60 who are functionally active and do not undergo degenerative changes. In our cohort of patients > 60 years (mean age: 65.5 ± 4.6 years), we observed an average improvement of 50% on the 
WOMAC scale over a 1-year follow-up. These results are 
similar to those of other authors who evaluated the function-
ality with the modified Harris Hip Score (mHHS). Redmond et al. reported a change in average mHHS during a 2-year follow-up from 63.0 to 80.1 compared with the preoperative period, which was equivalent to a 27% improvement.12 Meanwhile, Mardones et al. included 28 patients with a mean age of 64.3 ± 5.1 years, reporting a 47% improvement with a preoperative median of 53 points for mHHS. Other studies, such as the ones by Philippon et al6 and Ben Tov et al.5 have also reported satisfactory results in an adult population ≥ 50 years.

Domb et al.7 evaluated and compared the functional outcomes of patients > 50 years old matched with adults < 30 years at a 2-year follow-up, determining that there are no statistically significant differences in mHHS between the 2 groups of patients (> 50 years group: 82.2 ± 16.2; < 30 years group: 84.2 ± 19.1. p-value > 0.05). This suggests that adults > 50 years old could benefit from an arthroscopic treatment. In our study, we found no statistically significant difference between the groups at 1-year follow-up. However, clinical relevance with an average improvement of 81.1% was observed in patients ≤ 40 years on the WOMAC scale, compared with the older case group. These differences between the two groups may be associated with the aging factor and its impact on functional capacity and rehabilitation. McCormick et al.14 demonstrated a similar result in adults < 40 years with better functional results.

In the adult population, specifically those > 50 years, the 
postoperative outcomes depend mainly on adequate patient 
selection. The presence of chondral lesions and advanced OA

| Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of control and case groups | Table 2 Results of the preoperative physical evaluation and imaging findings of the control and case groups |
|---|---|
| Variables | ≤ 40 Years n = 34 | > 60 Years n = 34 | P-value |
| Gender, n (%) | | | |
| Female | 23 (67.6%) | 23 (67.6%) | – |
| Male | 11 (32.4%) | 11 (32.4%) | – |
| Age (years) | | | |
| Mean ± SD | 30.6 ± 6.9 | 65.6 ± 4.6 | – |
| Range | 18–40 | 61–76 | – |
| Traction time (Min) | | | |
| Mean ± SD | 59.1 ± 41.1 | 57.9 ± 29.6 | 0.55 |
| Range | 16–182 | 6–131 | – |
| Laterality n (%) | | | |
| Right | 19 (55.9%) | 18 (52.9%) | – |
| Left | 15 (44.1%) | 16 (47.1%) | – |
| FAI, n (%) | | | |
| Cam | 3 (8.8%) | 3 (8.8%) | – |
| Pincer | 4 (11.8%) | 4 (11.8%) | – |
| Mixed | 27 (79.4%) | 27 (79.4%) | – |
| Inguinal pain, n (%) | 27 (79.4%) | 30 (88.2%) | 0.54 |
| Pain sitting down, n (%) | 27 (79.4%) | 31 (91.2%) | 0.28 |
| Pain at entering/ exiting car, n (%) | 22 (64.7%) | 27 (79.4%) | 0.17 |
| Variables | Flexion | External rotation | Internal rotation |
| Mean ± SD | 121.1° ± 9.1° | 49.7° ± 18.9° | 29.5° ± 20.6° |
| Range | 90°–140° | 10°–80° | –20°–70° |
| Alpha angle | Mean ± SD | 64.4° ± 12.3° | 64.8° ± 9.4° |
| Range | 42°–86° | 50°–86° | – |

Abbreviations: FAI, femoroacetabular impingement; LCE, lateral center-edge angle; Min, minutes; SD, standard deviation. 
*p-value < 0.05.

In the adult population, specifically those > 50 years, the 
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selection. The presence of chondral lesions and advanced OA
Table 3. WOMAC score pre and postoperative.

| Group                | WOMAC | Preoperative | Mean ± SD | Median (range) | P-valuea | p-valueb | 95% CI (range) | p-valuec |
|----------------------|--------|--------------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|
| <40 years            | Pain   | 8.6 ± 4.9    | 10.7 ± 5.4| 11 (0–23)      | 0.084    | 0.004    | 1.82–6.35      | 0.017    |
| >60 years            | Stiffness | 3.2 ± 5.2   | 0.6 ± 1.2 | 0.0 (0–6)     | 0.000    | 0.001    | 0.448–3.65     | 0.230    |
| >40 years            | Functionality | 32.4 ± 17.2 | 29 (0–59) | 0.0 (0–45)    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 2.05–23.6      | 0.017    |
| ≥60 years            | Total  | 38.1 ± 25.3 | 40.5 (0–79) | 0.112         | 0.001    | 0.001    | 15.9–16.7      | 0.315    |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation; WOMAC.

Table 3. WOMAC score pre and postoperative.

In our cohort, the revision rate was of 2.9% (one case) in the older group, with a follow-up period of 12 months. Degen et al.,18 in a 2-year follow-up, described that only 3.8% of the 8,267 procedures underwent arthroscopic revision and identified age over 50 years as a risk factor 2.09 (95% confidence interval: 1.82–2.39 p-value < 0.01).

Among the strengths of this study, first of all, is the matching process between the population of adults > 60 years and younger adults (≤ 40 years), performed according to the type of FAI, procedures, and time of clinical evolution since the procedure. This process allowed us to control possible factors that could influence the patient’s functional results, such as the surgical technique and the learning curve of the orthopedic surgeon. All cases were performed by the same surgical team (surgeon and anesthesiologist) in a hip-preservation referral center, which does not allow a direct generalization of the results to another institution. Therefore, readers should interpret our findings with caution according to patients’ characteristics and medical criteria.

Second, the exclusion of patients with a certain degree of advanced OA or other hip pathologies allowed the possibility to objectively describe the clinical results of hip arthroscopic in the mature population. Although our follow-up time in the study was short, it allowed us to evaluate clinical outcomes simultaneously among patients ≤ 40 and > 60 years old. The WOMAC scale was the only scale used to evaluate the degree of pain and functionality: however, it did not allow to accurately assess the degree of physical activity after the procedure and the level of satisfaction. In addition, it is recognized that this scale has not been used in most studies implies that it may preclude a direct comparison with other cohorts. Although, the WOMAC is not the best scale to evaluate the functional results in the field of hip preservation surgery, we believe that it allows us to evaluate the functional results from the patient’s perspective.

The support of more long-term studies that quantify surgical revisions and THA conversion rate of THA in the mature population with appropriate selection criteria for hip arthroscopy is essential.
Conclusion

In the group of patients ≤40 years old, a considerable change was observed in the WOMAC score, without a statistical significance, compared with the group of patients >60 years. This observation suggests that hip arthroscopy is beneficial when there is an appropriate selection of patients with FAI regardless the age of the patient.
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