Exploring atomic defects in molybdenum disulphide monolayers
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Defects usually play an important role in tailoring various properties of two-dimensional materials. Defects in two-dimensional monolayer molybdenum disulphide may be responsible for large variation of electric and optical properties. Here we present a comprehensive joint experiment–theory investigation of point defects in monolayer molybdenum disulphide prepared by mechanical exfoliation, physical and chemical vapour deposition. Defect species are systematically identified and their concentrations determined by aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscopy, and also studied by ab-initio calculation. Defect density up to $3.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ is found and the dominant category of defects changes from sulphur vacancy in mechanical exfoliation and chemical vapour deposition samples to molybdenum antisite in physical vapour deposition samples. Influence of defects on electronic structure and charge-carrier mobility are predicted by calculation and observed by electric transport measurement. In light of these results, the growth of ultra-high-quality monolayer molybdenum disulphide appears a primary task for the community pursuing high-performance electronic devices.
The success of graphene\textsuperscript{1,2} offers a paradigm for the exploration of novel low-dimensional physical phenomena\textsuperscript{3} and physical properties in two-dimensional (2D) crystal systems\textsuperscript{4,5}. However, its intrinsic shortcoming lies in its zero bandgap, which strongly hinders its application in logical electronic devices. Among the post-graphene development, 2D semiconducting molybdenum disulphide and other transition metal dichalcogenides\textsuperscript{6,7} have recently appeared on the horizon of materials science and condensed matter physics. Monolayer MoS\textsubscript{2} is a direct-gap semiconductor that exhibits a substantially improved efficiency in its photoluminescence\textsuperscript{8,9}. Valley polarization occurs due to significant spin-orbit coupling and leads to optical circular dichroism\textsuperscript{10–12} in the monolayer system. The electronic transport of MoS\textsubscript{2}-based field effect transistors (FETs) shows steep sub-threshold swing of 70 mV dec\textsuperscript{−1} (refs 13–15) and a high on/off ratio up to 10\textsuperscript{8} (ref. 16). A metal-insulator transition happens when carrier densities reaches 10\textsuperscript{13} cm\textsuperscript{−2}, which also increases the effective mobility\textsuperscript{17–19}.

Owing to its unique optical and electric properties, MoS\textsubscript{2} is believed to be a promising candidate as a building block for future applications in nanoelectronics and optoelectronics\textsuperscript{5}.

Wafer-scale production of atomically thin layers is paramount for MoS\textsubscript{2} to be used as a candidate channel material for electronic and optoelectronic devices\textsuperscript{13–19}. Among the currently available preparation methods, mechanical exfoliation (ME) is deemed less efficient for these large-scale applications, even though it produces the highest-quality samples exhibiting the best electric performance. Physical and chemical vapour deposition\textsuperscript{17,20–25} methods are more compatible for the scalable growth of high-quality samples. However, the experimentally attainable mobility is still one or two order-of-magnitude lower than the theoretical value of 410 cm\textsuperscript{2} V\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1} (refs 13,18,26–29). For back-gated m-MoS\textsubscript{2} FET devices, the highest mobility reported so far reaches 81 cm\textsuperscript{2} V\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1} for ME sample\textsuperscript{28}, 45 cm\textsuperscript{2} V\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1} for chemical vapour deposition (CVD)\textsuperscript{17} and <1 cm\textsuperscript{2} V\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1} for physical vapour deposition (PVD)\textsuperscript{25}.

The density of MoS\textsubscript{2} and MoS reaches (2.8 \pm 0.3) g cm\textsuperscript{−2} (Supplementary Fig. 6), close to the results reported previously\textsuperscript{30}. Toxic defects and their concentration, we have chosen about ten samples prepared under the optimized fabrication condition (see the Methods section and Supplementary Note 1 for the details of sample synthesis) from each method (ME, PVD and CVD) and then transferred each sample onto at least two TEM grids independently for ADF-STEM characterizations. The crystalline quality and the choice of samples for statistical analysis are presented in Supplementary Figs 1–9. Figure 1 summarized the most significant results obtained from our analysis on these MoS\textsubscript{2} samples. For the PVD specimen, antisite defects with one Mo atom replacing one or two S atoms (Mo\textsubscript{S} or Mo\textsubscript{S2}) are frequently observed, marked with red dashed circles shown in Fig. 1a, while the dominant defects for the ME and CVD samples are S vacancies with one (V\textsubscript{S}) or two (V\textsubscript{S2}) S atoms absent, as marked by green dashed circles in Fig. 1b. As the STEM’s Z-contrast mechanism\textsuperscript{37}, that is, \( I \sim Z^6 \cdot 10^{-2} \) (\( I \) and \( Z \) are the image contrast and atomic number, respectively), predicts, Mo and S atoms can be unambiguously discriminated, with Mo (\( Z = 44 \) showing bright contrast and two superposed S atoms showing dim contrast in the lattice of m-MoS\textsubscript{2}. Following a similar argument and quantitative image analysis, various defects, for example, Mo\textsubscript{S} or V\textsubscript{S} where the lattice image presents abnormal intensity variation, can be clearly identified individually through direct imaging and their atomic structures further verified by ab-initio calculations (please refer to Supplementary Table 1 for details of each atomic defect).

We show the relative importance of each type of point defects in Fig. 1c,d. Figure 1c presents the total counts of different point defects based on over 70 atomically resolved ADF-STEM images for each type, that is, ME, PVD or CVD MoS\textsubscript{2} samples. It is found that the dominant type of point defects in each sample highly depends on the specific sample preparation method. The V\textsubscript{S} vacancy is the predominant point defects in ME and CVD samples, with its concentration of about (1.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10\textsuperscript{12} cm\textsuperscript{−2} (Supplementary Fig. 6), close to the results reported previously\textsuperscript{30}. Atomic defects V\textsubscript{Mo} (one Mo atom missing) and S\textsubscript{Mo} (one S atom replacing Mo site) were also found, but with much lower concentrations as shown in Fig. 1c. In contrast, the histogram also shows that antisite defects Mo\textsubscript{S} and Mo\textsubscript{S2} are dominant in PVD samples, with their concentrations higher than that of V\textsubscript{S}. The density of Mo\textsubscript{S2} and Mo\textsubscript{S} reaches (2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10\textsuperscript{13} and 7.0 \times 10\textsuperscript{12} cm\textsuperscript{−2}, corresponding to an atomic percent of 0.8% and 0.21%, respectively (counted on the total number of all Mo and S atoms). Such a defect concentration is surprisingly high if the defects were regarded as impurity doping, which is usually only achieved in degenerate semiconductor\textsuperscript{38} (for instance 10\textsuperscript{−2} \sim 10\textsuperscript{−4}). It is, therefore, of vital importance to understand how they modify the electronic properties of m-MoS\textsubscript{2} as elucidated below.

### Structural characterization of point defects

So far, there have been few reports concerning the structures of sulphur vacancies\textsuperscript{31,39} and their impacts on the electronic transport properties\textsuperscript{30,40} of m-MoS\textsubscript{2}; by contrast, there is still a lack of detailed knowledge on the antisite defects, which is at such an unexpected high doping level in PVD samples. Hence, we focus more on antisite defects. In Fig. 2a–e, we highlight all the images of the experimentally observed antisite defects in m-MoS\textsubscript{2} (see also Supplementary Fig. 10), which can be...
grouped into two categories. One is the antisite defects with Mo atom(s) substituting S atom(s), including MoS, MoS2, and Mo2S2 (Fig. 2a–c). The other category is the antisite defects with S atom occupying the site of Mo, namely SMo and S2Mo (Fig. 2d,e). The experimental identification of these antisite defects can be further unambiguously supported by the quantitative image simulation based on DFT-predicted atomic structures of all antisite defects. The fully relaxed DFT-predicted atomic structures of antisite defects were shown in Fig. 2k–t, where the atomic displacement and structure deformation are explicitly observable, especially for antisites MoS2 and S2Mo. Associated side views of these relaxed structures are available in Fig. 2p–t. The ADF image simulations (Fig. 2f–j) based on the calculated structures fit quite well with the experimental images shown in Fig. 2a–e, especially for the off-centre feature observable in antisites MoS2 and S2Mo, which can be tentatively attributed to Jahn–Teller distortions.

**Energetics of predominant point defects in different m-MoS2.**

The distribution of different atomic defects in m-MoS2 certainly depends on their preparation process. A full exploration of the growth dynamics requires a comprehensive experiment–theory joint investigation, which is beyond the scope of the present work, although it is of fundamental interest. Here we provide a qualitative explanation, based on our DFT calculations, to reveal the microscopic physical mechanism of the preparation-process-dependent defect formation. The formation energy (\(\Delta E_{\text{Form}}\)) for all the point defects are calculated and summarized in Table 1, as consistent with a previous report\(^3\). Here, chemical potentials of elements Mo and S were employed to calculate the formation enthalpy (\(\Delta H_{\text{Form}}\)) of defects. To account for a range of different possible reservoirs (for example, bulk element or bulk MoS2), each enthalpy is given with a range, as listed in Table 1. Two widely used DFT codes (CASTEP\(^4\) and VASP (Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package)\(^5\)) are adopted to exploit the full range of functionality available and demonstrate the consistency of the calculated results in Table 1.

An ME sample is exfoliated from MoS2 natural mineral. After the MoS2 mineral was formed and/or extracted, either element S or Mo of MoS2 is prone to reach a solid–gas phase equilibrium. Owing to a higher saturated vapour pressure of S, the mineral-form MoS2 has to release more S than Mo atoms into the gas phase and thus S is prone to be deficient in MoS2. Reflecting this fact, vacancies \(V_S\) and \(V_{S2}\) have the lowest \(\Delta E_{\text{Form}}\) of 2.12 eV and 4.14 eV, respectively, among all the defects. The formation energies of all the antisite defects are higher than 5 eV, indicating that the S-deficient mineral-form MoS2 favours the formation of S vacancies, leading to the observation of the most common defect of \(V_S\), followed by \(V_{S2}\), and almost no antisite defect in ME samples.

In a typical PVD process, MoS2 precursor is sublimated into the gas phase with clusters and atoms, carried by Ar gas (mixed with \(H_2\)), and then condensed into a solid-phase MoS2. Sulphur has a larger saturated vapour pressure so that more S atoms in the gas phase will leave the preparation chamber, thus establishing a S-deficient and Mo-rich condition. These clusters and atoms are highly mobile and are thus prone to form an ordered structure of MoS2 in the lowest total energy. Considering \(n + 1\) Mo and \(2n-1\) S atoms for example, they have two options, namely, forming (i) \(n\) MoS2 units with one MoS antisite or (ii) \(n + 1\) MoS2 units with three \(V_S\) vacancies. The exact value of \(n\) does not affect the energetic difference between these two types of defects. We thus arbitrarily instantiate \(n\) as 107, namely 108 Mo and 213 S atoms in total. The total energy of the antisite option is \(-1,774.83\) eV, while that for the vacancy case is \(-1,774.26\) eV which is \(0.57\) eV less stable than the former. A similar relation also applies to antisite defect MoS2 with an energy gain of \(0.92\) eV. A sample with one antisite MoS or MoS2 shares the same number of Mo and S atoms with another sample that has three or four S vacancies, respectively. The formation energies of antisites MoS and MoS2 were, therefore, divided by three and four, respectively, to make

### Figure 1 | Atomic resolved STEM–ADF images to reveal the distribution of different point defects.

(a) Antisite defects in PVD MoS2 monolayers. Scale bar, 1 nm. (b) Vacancies including \(V_S\) and \(V_{S2}\) observed in ME monolayers, similar to that observed for CVD sample. Scale bar, 1 nm. (c,d) Histograms of various point defects in PVD, CVD and ME monolayers. Error estimates are given for the dominant defects (more details on the statistics can be found in Supplementary Fig. 6). ME data are in green, PVD data in red and CVD in blue.
antisites (highlighted by the red dashed rectangle in antisite defects SMo and S2Mo, respectively. The DFT calculated structures. Light blue, Mo atoms; gold, S atoms. For ease of comparison, we have presented the simulated ADF images before the atomistic schematics of

Figure 2 | Atomic structures of antisite defects. (a–c) High-resolution STEM–ADF images of antisite MoS, MoS2 and Mo2S2, respectively. The former two antisites (highlighted by the red dashed rectangle in k) are dominant in PVD-synthesized MoS2 single layers. Scale bar, 0.5 nm. (d–e) Atomic structures of antisite defects SMo and S2Mo, respectively. (f–j) Simulated STEM images based on the theoretically relaxed structures of the corresponding point defects in (a–e), using simulation software QSTEM. (k–t) Relaxed atomic model of all antisite defects in a–t through DFT calculation, with top and side views, respectively. Light blue, Mo atoms; gold, S atoms. For ease of comparison, we have presented the simulated ADF images before the atomistic schematics of the DFT calculated structures.

Table 1 | Formation energy ($\Delta E_{\text{form}}$) and enthalpy ($\Delta H_{\text{form}}$) of considered point defects.

|         | CASTEP | VASP |
|---------|--------|------|
| MoS     | 6.22–7.29 | 5.45–6.09 | 5.79 |
| Mo2S2   | 11.15 | 7.95 | 7.54 |
| MoS2    | —     | 9.81–11.09 | 10.49 |
| SMo     | 6.65–5.58 | 6.11–5.47 | 5.77 |
| S2Mo    | 8.00  | 7.09  | 7.49 |
| VS      | 2.74–1.67 | 2.86–2.22 | 2.12 |
| VS2     | —     | 5.63–4.34 | 4.14 |
| VMo     | 6.98–4.84 | 7.28–5.99 | 6.20 |

CASTEP, Cambridge Sequential Total Energy Package; VASP, Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package.

The formation enthalpy is defined as $\Delta H_{\text{form}} = E_{\text{defect}} - E_{\text{pure}} + n\times \Delta E_{\text{Mo}} + m\times \Delta E_{\text{S}}$, where $E_{\text{defect}}$ and $E_{\text{pure}}$ are the single atom energy of Mo and S in a perfect monolayer. (Please refer to the Methods section for more details).

These energies are comparable with a single S vacancy, as required by the comparison with Boltzmann distribution. We have renormalized $\Delta E_{\text{form}}(\text{MoS}) = 1.93\, \text{eV}$, $\Delta E_{\text{form}}(\text{MoS2}) = 1.89\, \text{eV}$, which give rise to a ratio of $p(\text{MoS2}):p(\text{MoS}):p(V_S) = 10.5:6.7:1$ at the growth temperature of 1,100 K. This ratio is comparable with the experimental probability density ratio of MoS2:MoS:VS = 9:2:3:1 in PVD samples.

The CVD process is distinctly different from ME or PVD. Extra S vapour is supplied to replace O in the MoO3 precursor under an S-rich condition. We suspect that there are small amount of residual O atoms taking the position of S atoms in the resulting MoS2 sheets, due to the competition between Mo–O and Mo–S bonding in the reaction chamber. Our ab-initio calculation, not shown here, suggests that these O atoms are 1.99 eV less stable than corresponding 2S and usually tend to desorb into the gas phase leaving vacancies at the S sites, that is, S vacancies. It is argued that Mo atoms may jump into the S vacancies and form Mo antisites. Despite of the S-rich condition, even if Mo is rich in a certain local environment, Mo atoms may be firmly bonded with oxygen in the precursor, which strongly limits the diffusion of Mo, making the formation of Mo antisite from mobile Mo atom and S vacancy much less likely.

Electronic structures. The electronic structure of point defects plays a crucial role in determining the electric properties of these defective m-MoS2. Vacancy V_S and its effect on electronic structures have been recently reported, we thus focus on the less-studied antisite defects (please refer to Supplementary Fig. 11 for our ADF imaging and DFT calculation of V_S). Figure 3 shows the theoretically predicted band structures and projected density-of-states of two primary antisites MoS and MoS2. Our results give a bandgap of 1.73 eV for a defect-free m-MoS2 (Supplementary Fig. 12), close to the experimentally observed optical bandgap of 1.8 eV (ref. 8). Defect states with nearly flat band dispersion for MoS2 and MoS reside inside the band gap of a perfect m-MoS2 (Fig. 3a,d). These states mainly comprises the d orbitals of four Mo atoms around the defect. In addition, the orbital hybridization of Mo and S atoms results in extended wavefunctions involving the surrounding atoms, forming a ‘superatom’ with a radius of roughly 6 Å, as shown in Fig. 3b,c,f.

Magnetic properties of m-MoS2 have not been reported yet, as it is believed to be a non-magnetic material. Nevertheless, we did
find a local magnetic moment of \(2 \mu_B\) in antisite MoS, while the values for other defects, for example, V\(_{S}\), V\(_{S2}\) and MoS\(_2\), are smaller than 0.1 \(\mu_B\), and hence are negligible. The magnetic moment of 2 \(\mu_B\) for a MoS antisite is not localized only on the central Mo atom, with the surrounding atoms contributing roughly 20% of the total moment probably due to the strong hybridization among these atoms in a 'superatom', as shown in the visualized total spin density (Fig. 3e). Detailed distribution of magnetic moment is available in Supplementary Fig. 13. The spin-resolved real-space distribution of a defect-induced state (state 3) marked in Fig. 3d was plotted in Fig. 3f to illustrate the origin of the magnetism. The occupied spin-up component (yellow isosurface) is mainly composed of the \(d_{xy}\) and \(d_{z^2-\frac{1}{2}}\) orbitals of the antisite Mo atom, while the unoccupied spin-down component (cyan isosurface) is projected onto the \(d_{xy}\) and \(d_{z^2}\) orbitals of surrounding Mo atoms, consistent with the total spin charge density shown in Fig. 3e. More detailed discussion on the magnetic property of antisites is presented in Supplementary Fig. 14 and Supplementary Note 2.

**Figure 3 | Electronic properties of predominant antisite defects in MoS\(_2\) monolayer.** (a) Band structure and corresponding density of states (DOS) of antisite defect Mo\(_{S}\). The grey bands are from normal lattice sites, similar to conduction band and valence band of perfect monolayer, while the discrete red bands show the localized defects states. The DOS is projected onto the atoms around the defect (defect) and those in the middle plane of two adjacent defects (pure), respectively. The grey dash line indicates the position of the Fermi Level. (b,c) Real-space distribution of the wave functions of the two defect states below and above the Fermi energy. (d) Band structure and DOS of antisite Mo\(_{S}\), with a similar colour scheme of a, but the two spin components are coloured in red (spin-up) and blue (spin-down), respectively. (e) Spin density of antisite Mo\(_{S}\) defined as \(\rho_{\uparrow\downarrow} = \rho_{\uparrow\downarrow} - \rho_{\downarrow\downarrow}\), charge densities \(\rho_{\uparrow\downarrow}\) and \(\rho_{\downarrow\downarrow}\) are spin-resolved for spin-up and -down components, which are represented by yellow and blue isosurfaces, respectively. (f) Spin-resolved real-space distribution of the wave function of the two marked defect states (state 3) in d. The isosurface value in b,c.e.f is 0.001eBohr\(^{-3}\).

**Carrier mobility in defective samples.** As there have been plentiful reports on the transport of ME MoS\(_2\)-based FETs with electron mobility \(1 \sim 81\, \text{cm}^2\,\text{V}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1}\) (refs 15,16,18,26,28,43,44), we focus on the transport properties of CVD and PVD monolayers. Figure 4a–d presents the output and transfer characteristics of fabricated FETs based on PVD and CVD MoS\(_2\), respectively. Our transport measurements (in Fig. 4a–d) of defective MoS\(_2\)-based FETs reveal that the PVD and CVD MoS\(_2\) has electron mobility 0.5 and 11 cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively. All these results are well comparable with the reported mobilities of m-MoS\(_2\) of \(1 \sim 81\, \text{cm}^2\,\text{V}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1}\) for ME\(^{15,16,18,26,28,43,44}\), \(5 \sim 45\, \text{cm}^2\,\text{V}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1}\) for CVD\(^{17,29}\) and the reported values of \(< 1\, \text{cm}^2\,\text{V}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1}\) for PVD m-MoS\(_2\) (ref. 25) respectively.

Theoretically, we focus on the effect of the defects on the phonon-limited carrier mobilities\(^{45-47}\). Table 2 lists the calculated effective masses, deformation potentials and estimated mobilities derived based on the predicted electron mobility of 410 cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) in a perfect m-MoS\(_2\) (ref. 48). MoS\(_2\) samples are usually n-type, we thus primarily focus on the electron mobility. It is found that the phonon-limited mobility of electrons flowing in the intrinsic conduction band is, exceptionally, nearly unaffected by the presence of vacancies (V\(_{S}\) or V\(_{S2}\)), but reduced by three times in the samples with antisite defects, whereas the phonon-limited mobility of holes carried by the intrinsic valence band is more sensitive to these defects and reduces roughly three times for vacancy and more than four times for antisite. Both vacancy and antisite are strong electron-scattering centres that the mobility derived from the defect states (d–e and d–h) for either electron or hole is fairly small, mostly smaller than 1 and 10 cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The defect states strongly affect, but not overwhelmingly dominate, the overall carrier mobility of the samples, owing to the relative low density of defects and the strongly localized defect states. On the other hand, in a real FET device the measured mobility can be affected by the contact resistance\(^{45}\) or the carrier density\(^{49}\). Furthermore, the trapped charges would act as a scattering centre\(^{15,40}\). A hopping transport caused by localized disorder is also observed\(^{30,33}\). Both can
The observed MoS and MoS$_2$ antisites should be confidently regarded as intrinsic defects (Supplementary Note 3 and Supplementary Figs 15 and 16). On considering these experimental and simulation results, the formation of a Mo adatom and adjacent Mo vacancy need to be addressed the possible influence of electron beam irradiation on the formation of atomic defects and to distinguish the native from irradiation-induced defects. The observed antisite defects are believed to be native, not caused by electron beam irradiation. We envisage that two steps are involved in the formation of a VS vacancy and Mo adatom, followed by the capture of the Mo adatom by the S vacancy. Although sulphur vacancy could be created by electron beam sputtering, the change of elastic moduli of perfect and defective samples is so small, which can be safely neglected. Each defective monolayer consists of only one type of defects (either vacancy or antisite) in a 6 supercell,

effectively reduce the mobility of the device. Nevertheless, our theory shows that the measured mobility is, most likely, correlated with the primary type of defects in a sample.

### Discussion

We have to address the possible influence of electron beam irradiation on the formation of atomic defects and to distinguish the native from irradiation-induced defects. The observed antisite defects are believed to be native, not caused by electron beam irradiation. We envisage that two steps are involved in the formation of a Mo$_5$ defect from a well-prepared sample, namely the formation of a VS vacancy and Mo adatom, followed by the capture of the Mo adatom by the S vacancy. Although sulphur vacancy could be created by electron beam sputtering, the formation of a Mo adatom and adjacent Mo vacancy need substantially high energy transfer from electron irradiation, which is less likely. The in-situ experiments show that the Mo adatoms are very mobile, but rarely jump into S vacancies to form antisite defects (Supplementary Note 3 and Supplementary Figs 15 and 16). On considering these experimental and simulation results, the observed Mo$_5$ and Mo$_{52}$ antisites should be confidently regarded as intrinsic defects. In terms of S vacancies, as suggested by early studies, the concentration of sulphur vacancies may be slightly overestimated due to beam damage even if the microscope works at low accelerating voltage (Supplementary Fig. 17).

MoS$_2$ sheets are extensively adopted in electronic devices. These point defects, as localized disorders, are significant scattering centres of carriers, which may reduce the mobility of charge carriers through the intrinsic conduction or valence band, especially for samples with antisite defects. Therefore, growth of ultra-high-quality m-MoS$_2$ is of crucial importance to fabricate high-performance electronic devices. On the other side, the presence of defects may provide us novel routes to tailor the properties of m-MoS$_2$. We predicted theoretically for the first time that antisite Mo$_5$ shows a magnetic moment of $2\mu_B$. Our prediction of local magnetic moments may promote further investigations on the magnetic properties of defective MoS$_2$ monolayers. Given the strong optoelectronic response of MoS$_2$ layers with Mo$_5$ defects, it is a probable material that is capable for optical manipulation of local magnetic moment. If the defect density goes sufficiently high, it may expect an appreciably large magnetic exchange interaction between defects, and thus become a promising model system for the studies of dilute-magnetic semiconductors and 2D magnetism.

Based on these findings, we propose an application-oriented strategy for fabricating atomically thin MoS$_2$. In terms of electric
applications, extra S should be introduced into the growth process or post-growth treatment, to restrain the formation of antisite defects for PVD specimen or to heal the abundant S vacancies for CVD and ME specimens, whereas in respect to magnetism, varied pressure of S in the PVD growth could produce different densities of MoS$_2$ antisites that remain to be explored for magnetic applications.

In summary, our systematic investigation of geometric and electronic structures of antisites and vacancies of m-MoS$_2$ by ADFT-STEM imaging and DFT calculation has led to a considerable progress in our understanding of the variation of the electric and magnetic properties induced by these point defects. We have demonstrated that minimizing point defects, especially antisites, is paramount for electric transport applications, while controllably introduced antisites may produce atomic size local magnetic moments. All these results considerably improve the understanding of point defect in atomically thin transition metal dichalcogenides and should benefit their potential applications in optoelectronic and nanoelectronic devices.

**Methods**

**Sample preparations and transfer.** ME m-MoS$_2$ was prepared by micro-cleavage of natural bulk crystal (SPI Supplies) using scotch tapes. The monolayer was identified from the optical contrast of thin flakes under an optical microscope (Zeiss A2m) and then transferred onto copper TEM grids covered with holey carbon films. CVD monolayers were synthesized through the reduction of precursor MoS$_3$ by sulphur vapour flow at ambient pressures following the previously reported method$^{21,22}$. PVD MoS$_2$ monolayers used in this study were synthesized by thermal evaporation of Mo$_2$ powders (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%) at a temperature of 950 °C. Ar (2 s.c.c.m.) and H$_2$ (0.5 s.c.c.m.) were used as the carriers gases, following the reported method in ref. 25. The pressure of the growth chamber was about 8 Pa and the growth time was usually 10 min. In terms of sample synthesis, the advantages and disadvantages of these methods and liquid-phase exfoliation are compared in Supplementary Table 2.

PVD and CVD MoS$_2$ monolayers were transferred onto the TEM grid as follows: first, the SiO$_2$ substrates with monolayer samples were covered with polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) film after spin coating and then dried in air at 120 °C for 5 min. The substrates were immersed into the boiling sodium hydroxide solution (1 mol$^{-1}$), which was heated up to 200 °C to etch away the underneath SiO$_2$ layers. The floating PMMA film was picked up with a clean glass slide and then transferred into the distilled water for several cycles to wash away surface residues. In the next step, the PMMA film was lifted out by a TEM grid covered with an ADF mode and then dried naturally in ambient. This TEM grid was heated at 120 °C for 5 min in air before immersion into hot acetone for about 24 h, to remove the PMMA. Before the ADFT-STEM characterization, all the monolayer specimens on TEM grids were annealed at 200 °C in air for 10 min to reduce surface residues and/or contaminations.

**STEM characterization and image simulation.** Most of the structural characterizations of m-MoS$_2$ were carried out with a probe-corrected Titan ChemiSTEM (FEI, USA). We operated this microscope at an acceleration voltage of 80 kV to alleviate specimen damage induced by beam radiation. A low probe current was used to alleviate specimen damage induced by beam radiation. A low probe current was used to enhance the resolution, thus giving rise to sharper contrast of the atomic images. All the experimental images shown in the main text and Supplementary Information were filtered through the standard Wiener deconvolution to partially remove the background noise for a better display (Supplementary Fig. 10).

It should be noted that intrinsic adatom defects were seldom observed experimentally and, therefore, they are not considered here. All the image statistics were deduced from the examined samples by ADFT-STEM in considering the good homogeneity of these samples prepared under the optimized conditions (see Supplementary Information), it shall not lead all important variations in the analysed defect population.

**ADFT calculations.** The defect formation enthalpy for the first column of Table 1 was calculated using the total energy method with the plane-wave pseudopotential DFT code CASTEP$^{11}$. The basic methodology is well known and has been widely used before for defect calculations. In this study, the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation$^{39}$ with ultrasoft potentials is used, as supplied in the CASTEP library. In addition, the dispersion interactions were added using the semi-empirical scheme of Grimme$^{40}$. Structural optimizations of both pristine positions and cell vectors are performed by a modified Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno-like scheme. The calculations were performed in a slab geometry of a 6 × 6 supercell of m-MoS$_2$ with a 15 Å vacuum space in the c axis direction perpendicular to the monolayer. A comparative study of the defect formation enthalpy calculations of electric and magnetic properties were also done by VASP simulation code$^{42}$ using the same slab model. The projector augmented-wave method$^{43}$ combined with a plane wave basis is adopted in the calculations. The energy cutoff for plane wave is 400 eV in structural relaxation and increases to 500 eV while calculating the energy and electronic properties. The optB86b exchange functional$^{44}$ together with the vdW correction$^{45}$ was adopted for exchange-correlation functional. The Brillouin zone of the supercell is sampled by a 3 × 3 × 1 k-mesh. All these structures are fully relaxed until the residual force for each atom is less than 0.02 eV Å$^{-1}$.

**Estimation of formation energy and enthalpy.** The formation energy was defined as, $\Delta E_{\text{form}} = E_{\text{sys}-\text{mon}} - (E_{\text{Mo}} + n_{\text{S}} E_{\text{S}} + E_{\text{MoS}2})$, where $E_{\text{sys}-\text{mon}}$ is the electronic and magnetic properties of the removed and added atoms to form a defect, respectively. Chemical potentials of Mo and S in MoS$_2$ fulfill the equation $\mu_{\text{Mo}} + 2\mu_{\text{S}} = \mu_{\text{MoS}_2}$, where $\mu_{\text{MoS}_2}$ is the chemical potential of Mo in the bulk form, $\mu_{\text{Mo}}$ is the chemical potential of S in the bulk form and $\mu_{\text{S}}$ is the chemical potential of the range of them can be difficult to obtain the exact values of $\mu_{\text{Mo}}$ and $\mu_{\text{S}}$ the range of them can be
deduced as $\mu_{\text{Mo}} + 2\mu_{\text{S}} < \mu_{\text{MoS}_2} \leq \mu_{\text{Mo}} + 2\mu_{\text{S}} + \Delta H_{\text{fus}}$, where $\Delta H_{\text{fus}}$ is the formation enthalpy of the MoS$_2$.

There are two formation enthalpy values in Table 1, the former one was computed by choosing $\mu_{\text{Mo}}$ and $\mu_{\text{S}}$ equal to $\mu_{\text{Mo}}$ and $\mu_{\text{S}}$, respectively, indicating that the removed (added) atoms come from (to) the pure bulk form of Mo and S. For the latter value, we set $\mu_{\text{Mo}} = \mu_{\text{Mo}} + 0.5 \Delta H_{\text{fus}}$ and $\mu_{\text{S}} = \mu_{\text{S}} + 0.5 \Delta H_{\text{fus}}$. In this case, the source and drain of defect atoms are pure MoS$_2$ ML for antisite defects Mo$_{\text{adS}}$ and S$_{\text{adS}}$, both schemes give the same result.

**Estimation of ‘phonon-limited’ carrier mobility.** In 2D, the carrier mobility is given by the expression$^{46–47}$

$$\mu_{\text{2D}} = \frac{e \hbar C_{\text{CD}}}{k_B T \eta m_{\text{eff}}^2 (E_F)^2}$$

where $m_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective mass in the transport direction and $m_2$ is the average effective mass determined by $m_2 = \langle m_{\text{2D}} \rangle$. The term $E_F$ represents the deformation potential constant of the valence-band maximum for holes or conduction-band minimum for electrons along the transport direction, defined by $E_F = AV_0/2\hbar^2$. Here AV$_0$ is the energy change of the $^3p$ band under proper cell compression and dilation. $\eta$ is the lattice constant in the transport direction and $\Delta l$ is the deformation of $l_p$.

**FET fabrication and transport.** The monolayer MoS$_2$-based FET devices are fabricated through the following process. First, source (S) and drain (D) electrodes of the devices were defined via e-beam lithography and a 5/45 nm Ti/Au film was then evaporated followed by a standard lift-off process. In addition, the back-gated MoS$_2$ FETs were then finished. Second, the top-gated devices were begun with forming gate insulator. Gate oxide layer (30 nm HfO$_2$ film) was grown under 90 °C through Atomic Layer Deposition (Cambridg NanoTech Inc.). Lastly, the gate electrode window was also defined by e-beam lithography, followed by evaporation of 5 nm Ti and 45 nm Au thin film, and the top-gated MoS$_2$ FETs are finished after a lift-off process. The as-fabricated devices were measured through Keithley 4200 semiconductor analyser on a probe station at room temperature and in air. An example of the device architecture is shown in Supplementary Fig. 18.
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