Structure of the poly-C9 component of the complement membrane attack complex
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The membrane attack complex (MAC)/perforin-like protein complement component 9 (C9) is the major component of the MAC, a multi-protein complex that forms pores in the membrane of target pathogens. In contrast to homologous proteins such as perforin and the cholesterol-dependent cytolysins (CDCs), all of which require the membrane for oligomerisation, C9 assembles directly onto the nascent MAC from solution. However, the molecular mechanism of MAC assembly remains to be understood. Here we present the 8 Å cryo-EM structure of a soluble form of the poly-C9 component of the MAC. These data reveal a 22-fold symmetrical arrangement of C9 molecules that yield an 88-strand pore-forming β-barrel. The N-terminal thrombospondin-1 (TSP1) domain forms an unexpectedly extensive part of the oligomerisation interface, thus likely facilitating solution-based assembly. These TSP1 interactions may also explain how additional C9 subunits can be recruited to the growing MAC subsequent to membrane insertion.
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Paul Ehrlich originally characterized the haemolytic properties of human blood over 100 years ago. Subsequent work revealed that the terminal ‘membrane attack complex’ (MAC) portion of complement represents the lytic, pore-forming part of the system and is responsible for eliminating Gram-negative bacteria and other pathogens.

The MAC comprises seven components: C5b, C6, C7, C8a (a heterotrimer composed of C8x, C8β and C8γ) and multiple copies of C9 (Supplementary Fig. 1). In vitro studies reveal that multiple C9 subunits are recruited to the C5b678 complex, whereupon it self-assembles to form large, ring-shaped pores with a lumen over 400 Å in diameter embedded in the membrane of target cells. C9 can also be induced to form poly-C9, pore-like structures in solution that closely resemble the MAC pore. C6, C7, C8α, C8β and C9 all belong to the MAC/perforin-like (MACPF)/CDC superfamily and include a common set of four core domains: a N-terminal thrombospondin-1 (TSP1) domain followed by a low-density lipoprotein receptor-associated (LDLRA) domain, a MACPF domain and an epidermal growth factor (EGF) domain (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Much of our understanding of the MACPF/CDC superfamily comes from studying CDCs. Briefly, soluble CDC monomers bind to and then oligomerize on the membrane surface to form a prepore intermediate. Next the assembly undergoes a concerted conformational change that involves significant opening and untwisting of a central, four-stranded β-sheet. This event permits two helical regions (termed transmembrane hairpins TMH1 and TMH2) to unravel and insert into the membrane as amphipathic β-hairpins (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Studies on the MAC have revealed mechanistic distinctions from other family members. For example, perforin, pleurotolysin and CDCs bind to membrane lipids or membrane-associated proteins via ancillary domains before oligomerisation. Much of our understanding of the MACPF/CDC superfamily comes from studying CDCs.

To understand the mechanism of MAC assembly, we determined the sub-nanometer resolution single-particle EM structure of C9 in a polymerized pore-like form. These data reveal the unexpected finding that the TSP1 domain forms a significant portion of the interface between interacting C9 monomers. This finding explains why the MAC, in contrast to related molecules such as perforin and the CDCs, is able to assemble from monomers directly recruited from the soluble phase. The additional interactions mediated by the TSP1 domain may explain previous observations, where C9 monomers are recruited to a MAC that has already entered the target cell membrane.

**Results**

**The structure of poly-C9.** To understand MAC assembly we determined the 8 Å single-particle cryo-EM reconstruction of soluble poly-C9 from 5,000 particles (Fig. 1a–d, Supplementary Figs 3–6). These data revealed a symmetrical assembly of 22 C9 monomers (Fig. 1a–c) that closely resembles the MAC. The structure comprises a ring-shaped assembly of globular domains atop a large β-barrel (Fig. 1a,b). The latter part of the structure is flexible and is less well resolved than the top half of the structure. However, the diameter of the β-barrel (120 Å) is consistent with the predicted 88-stranded structure and is of sufficient size to permit passage of proteins such as lysozyme. We further observed density, consistent with two N-glycosylation sites, one on each TMH sequence (Supplementary Figs 1 and 7). We observe a bulbous feature at the base of the β-barrel and suggest that this may be a consequence of structural rearrangements to protect the hydrophobic surface that ordinarily contacts the membrane (Fig. 1b). Higher resolution data will be required to validate this suggestion.

In the top, better-resolved portion of the map, the position of each of the four domains in C9 can be unambiguously assigned. Although no crystal structure of C9 is available, we were able to interpret the poly-C9 structure using the core TSP1-LDLRA-MACPF-EGF assembly from the crystal structure of C6 (refs 16,17) (Fig. 1e,f; Supplementary Fig. 1). Indeed, only minor changes in domain orientation are required to dock the C6 structure into the bulk of the poly-C9 density (Fig. 1e,f).

The TSP1 domain forms part of the oligomer interface. Structural studies on other MACPF/CDC proteins reveal that most interactions within the prepore or pore assembly appear to be formed between the relatively flat faces of the MACPF domain (refs 8,11,12). In contrast, the poly-C9 structure reveals that the TSP1 domain packs against the C-terminal α-helix of the MACPF domain of an adjacent monomer and forms an additional and significant portion of the oligomer interface (Fig. 2). Thus in the pore form, each TSP1 domain is wedged between two C-terminal α-helices—one contributed in trans from an adjacent monomer and one in cis. This interaction at the outer edge of the ring-like assembly forms a quarter (~690 Å²) of the total (~3,000 Å²) surface buried in the globular, non-barrel region (Fig. 2). The remainder of the interacting surface is contributed by interactions between MACPF domains.

In the MAC it is anticipated that the MACPF domain of the related complement components C6, C7 and C8 form part of the overall circular assembly. Like C9, C6–C8 all contain an analogous TSP1 domain that is functionally important (Supplementary Fig. 1). It is therefore suggested that the TSP1 domain of each protein in the complete MAC will be positioned at the subunit interface. Indeed, we suggest that the specialized TSP1/MACPF interactions likely explain the unusual stability of the nascent MAC to recruit components directly from solution. In contrast, proteins such as perforin, pleurotolysin and CDCs lack a TSP1 equivalent and do not readily self-assemble in solution. Instead, they require membrane anchoring via ancillary domains in order to oligomerise. Indeed, it is known from the study of receptors that restriction to the membrane plane can favour oligomerisation through weak protein–protein interactions.

**Conformational transitions during pore formation.** We next examined the conformational changes that take place in the transition from the soluble monomer to the pore form. Comparison with C6 suggests that the largest conformational rearrangements during the transition from the monomer to the pore form take place within the MACPF domain (refs 19,20). The bottom half of the central β-sheet is rotated by ~10° relative to its position in C6. This movement shifts the lower part of the β-sheet laterally by ~5.5 Å (Fig. 3a,b). Concomitantly with this change, TMH1 and TMH2 unravel to form the β-barrel (Fig. 1b).

The lateral movement in the central sheet of the MACPF domain repositions the conserved helix-turn-helix (HTH) region that sits on top of TMH2 in the soluble monomeric form. Consistent with this, the top of the poly-C9 pore lumen is lined by pairs of α-helices (Fig. 3c). Previous mutagenesis and
structural studies on the fungal MACPF protein pleurotolysin, as well as the CDC suilysin, suggest a role of the HTH region in pre-pore assembly and in controlling the transition to the pore13,21.

**Discussion**

The structure of poly-C9 provides mechanistic insight into how components of the MAC may assemble through additional interactions mediated via the TSP1 domain. Furthermore, the structure provides insights into self-association by MACPF domain-containing proteins more generally. In particular, our present poly-C9 structure may resolve the controversy regarding the orientation of perforin in the pore assembly. Our previous analysis of the low-resolution EM structure of the perforin pore suggested that perforin monomers are orientated in the pore assembly opposite to the CDCs and pleurotolysin8,11. The latter two proteins, however, share very limited (<10%) sequence identity in the MACPF domain with perforin, whereas C9 is more closely related (~25% identity). Accordingly, we superposed the perforin structure onto the poly-C9 model. This suggests that perforin most likely oligomerises similarly to C9, following minor rearrangements of the TMH2 and HTH domains (Supplementary Fig. 8). We note that residues shown through mutagenesis studies to interact at the pore interface are brought into close proximity22. Further, the absence of the TSP1 domain in perforin at the outer edge of the pore assembly may explain the heterogeneity in perforin pore size and shape. We thus conclude

---

**Figure 1 | The structure of poly-C9.** (a) Top-down view of a C9 trimer in the poly-C9 map and (b) cut through of the poly-C9 map with cartoon (red) of the poly-C9 model. Approximate dimensions and the predicted amphipathic region are indicated. (c) Cartoon of the full poly-C9 pore (alternating red and yellow monomers). The barrel is best modelled with the architecture $S = n/2$ (ref. 42). (d) Cryo-EM end and side views of poly-C9 in individual images (top) and class averages (bottom). (e,f) With the exception of the mobile region of the MACPF domain (which in poly-C9 has rearranged in order to form the barrel), the crystal structure of C6 (PDB ID: 3T50) fits well into the map, with TMH1 and TMH2 omitted for clarity. In this figure the conserved β-sheet of the MACPF domain is in red, the body of the MACPF domain is in blue, the EGF domain in green, the TSP1 domain in purple and the LDLRA domain in pink (labelled).
that the present 8-Å-resolution poly-C9 map thus provides a better model for the perforin assembly.

Finally, the new structural insights may help explain how the MAC assembles with respect to target cell membranes. In the current view, C7 and C8 sequentially insert into the membrane, anchoring it in place before the recruitment of multiple copies of C9. However, this mechanism contrasts with the current view of the MACPF/CDC pore formation, in which the amphipathic hairpins are proposed to be inserted in a concerted fashion in the context of a complete or incomplete ring\textsuperscript{11,23}. The latter mechanism seems more plausible because the conformational change in the MACPF domain during membrane insertion is extensive and would be predicted to disfavour the addition of new subunits. The poly-C9 structure provides new insights into this problem. The additional TSP1/MACPF interactions involve regions of the molecule that do not undergo significant conformational change. We therefore hypothesize that the TSP1-mediated interactions may permit addition of C8 and C9 to a nascent MAC that has already entered the target membrane.

To conclude, we have determined the structure of poly-C9 at a resolution sufficient to confidently position individual domains and to resolve helical features in density. Our data further reveal an unexpected contribution of domains ancillary to the MACPF domain that likely function to stabilize the overall assembly and the top half of the β-barrel pore.

**Methods**

**Protein purification.** Out-of-date apheresis human plasma was supplied by the Australian Red Cross and stored at −80 °C until required. This project was deemed by the Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee (project CF14/3761–2014001968) to be exempt from ethical review.

Plasma C9 was purified using protocols adapted from established protocols\textsuperscript{24,25}. Briefly, apheresis plasma treated with 0.1 mM PMSF, 0.1 mM benzamidine, 0.5 mM EDTA and one protease inhibitor cocktail tablet per 100 ml plasma (Roche) was diluted with 0.4 volumes of ultrapure water at 4 °C. Protein was precipitated with 20% (w/v) PEG 4000 and re-suspended in 10 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.4, 45 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA. The suspension was passed over ID 2.5 cm × 4 cm loosely packed lysine resin (lysine sepharose 4b, GE Healthcare Life Sciences), and the flow through was then passed over ID 5 cm × 2 cm of DEAE resin (DEAE sepharose fast flow, GE Healthcare Life Sciences). The protein was eluted with a sodium phosphate gradient from 45 to 350 mM, pH 8.1. The fractions containing C9 were further purified by size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200 resin, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) in ID 2.6 cm × 60 cm column in 10 mM Hepes pH 7.2, 200 mM NaCl and 10 mM EDTA.
The protein underwent an additional chromatography step using MonoQ ion-exchange chromatography (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Here, pooled fractions from the size exclusion chromatography step were concentrated using a 30 kDa MWCO centrincon concentrator and buffer exchanged 2–3 times into 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2, 100 mM NaCl. Buffer-exchanged protein was loaded onto a 1 ml HiTrap Heparin column from: 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2, 100 mM NaCl to 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2, 350 mM NaCl. Purified protein that was shown to be haemolytically active and able to assemble into a complete MAC was used for EM experiments.

Haemolytic assays and MAC assembly on ghost cell membranes. Sheep red blood cells (sRBC) were washed with DGBH+. DGBH+ (Dextrose Gelatin HEPES Buffer; with 2.5% (v/v) D-glucose, 0.1% (w/v) gelatin, 5 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 71 mM NaCl, 0.15 mM CaCl2, 0.5 mM MgCl2). In all, 6.5 × 109 sRBC were sensitized with an equal volume of anti-sheep antibody to a concentration of 0.75 mg ml⁻¹ and incubated at 30 °C for 30 min to activate the classical pathway. Excess antibody was washed off before reactions. The lysis reactions were set up in triplicate with 0.2 ml sRBC (3.75 × 10⁶ cells), 1 μl of C9-depleted serum (Complement Technology, USA) and 15 ml of C9 (initial concentration 8 gm l⁻¹) and twofold dilutions of purified C9 in thin-walled PCR tubes. Reaction tubes were incubated at 37 °C on a PCR heat block for 30 min and immediately placed at 4 °C, then centrifuged for 20 s. The supernatant (150 μl) of the lysis reactions was transferred to a 96-well plate and absorbance at 405 nm was measured. The reactions were normalized to 0% lysis with a buffer control or to a reaction containing PPyA and PPyB for 100% lysis. Ghost membranes were prepared with rabbit red blood cells (rRBC) washed with DGBH++. Packed red blood cells were pre-incubated with C9-depleted serum for 15 min at 37 °C. The rRBCs were washed of excess sera and re-suspended in DGBH++, Protein G-Sepharose was added to rRBCs and incubated at 4 °C for 15 min. Reactions were immediately centrifuged for 30 s at 16,100 r.c.f. and the supernatant transferred to new tubes. The supernatant was further centrifuged for 10 min at 16,100 r.c.f. and the pellet containing membranes was washed once with DGBH++. and then resuspended in 10 mM phosphate buffer pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl to make a stock of 100 mg ml⁻¹. Carbohydrate composition containing foramen were regarded as 100% lysis.

Cryo-EM sample preparation and data acquisition. Monomeric C9 was polymerized by overnight incubation at 1 mg ml⁻¹ and 37 °C. The resulting poly-C9 was applied to lacey carbon-coated copper grids (Agar, UK) and frozen with a FEI Vitrobot Mark III (FEI, Eindhoven) at 22 °C and 100% humidity. Images were recorded manually on a Tecnai G2 Polara microscope (FEI) operating at 300 kV with a Quantum energy filter and K2 Summit detector (Gatan, UK) in combination with a pole piece size of 2.76 Å. Exposure times were recorded at 1.2 electrons (Å²)⁻¹ s⁻¹ for 25 s, with defocus values ranging from 1.2 to 4.9 μm (Supplementary Fig. 3).

3D reconstruction of poly-C9. The detector movies were aligned using IMOD. CTF parameters were determined with CTFIND4 (ref. 29). A total of 10,080 particles were extracted manually using Boxer (EMAN 1.9) (ref. 30). Classification and refinement were performed using RELION. The initial model with 22-fold symmetry was created by angular reconstitution from 2D class averages of particles with all orientations in IMAGIC22 and refined by projection matching using SPIDER. A subset of ~ 5,000 particles in side and tilted views (homogeneous with respect to diameter of the pore of the ring (corresponding to the 22-mers) was refined with RELION using the initial model from SPIDER filtered to 20 Å. Twenty-two-fold symmetry was applied during refinement. The final map was corrected to the modulation transfer function of the detector and sharpened by applying a B-factor23 determined by RELION. The final resolution calculation based on gold-standard FSC was estimated at 0.53 ± 0.143 FSC in RELION. Local resolution was estimated using the ResMap program (ref. 26) (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Determination of handedness. In order to determine the absolute hand of the 3D reconstruction, the crystallographic structure of C6 was fitted into the map as well as into the map with opposite handedness. Although the fit of the C6 conformation was found to favour one hand over the other, the differences in cross-correlations were too small to conclusively assign the hand of the map (C6: 0.63 versus 0.59; calculated using the Chimera software). To resolve this issue, we examined the fit to both maps of the conserved structural features of the MACPF domain. The C-terminal ½-helical bundle of the MACPF domain (Supplementary Fig. 5) is likely to be clearly discernable in an 8-Å-resolution cryo-EM density map. Its characteristic arrangement of ½-helices is asymmetric and highly conserved in all the crystallographic structures of MAC components. We therefore expected that it should be possible to identify the correct hand from analysis of the fit of this structural motif in the enantiomeric maps (Supplementary Fig. 5b,c). Here, we found that the map in Supplementary Fig. 5b showed distinct density corresponding to the C-terminal ½-helical bundle. The region of the map identified by rigid body fitting excellently reproduces the topology and length of the ½-helices. Conversely, the map in Supplementary Fig. 5c produces a comparatively poor agreement with the fitted position of C6 (Supplementary Fig. 5c). We concluded that the map in Supplementary Fig. 5b represents the correct hand.

Fitting of atomic models. A homology model of C9 was fitted into the EM map by using a combination of manual, rigid body and flexible fitting. The C9 homology model was generated using the protocol that was described in a previous paper using an atomic structural model of C6 (PDB IDs: 3T5O, 4AW8 and C9 (2RD7, 3OJY, 3JOY) and Modeller 9.14 (ref. 37)). The TMH1/2 regions were discarded because these regions form a β-barrel in poly-C9.

Five symmetry-related monomers were then subjected to flexible fitting (MDFF methodology as implemented in NAMD 2.10 (ref. 38) using symmetry restraints). The protein secondary structure was restrained to avoid overfitting. Oligomeric main chain hydrogen bonds between the β-sheets forming the top of the β-barrel were also restrained to reproduce the pattern of the conserved C9 of the MACF/CDC superfamily. Two independent 5-nm simulations were performed in vacuo at 310 K (γ = 0.3; 1 ns timestep; 12 Å cutoff for long-range interaction) using the CHARMM forcefield and followed by 5,000 steps of energy minimization (γ = 0.5). The resulting model with the highest CC (0.93; Molprobit score of 1.15) was replicated with C2 symmetry and combined with a structural model of the 88-stranded β-barrel (architecture S = n/2) (ref. 42) using Modeller, thus extending the β-strands of the central MACPF β-sheet as performed in Leung et al.13 Lukoyanova et al.12 and Reboul et al.43 The final poly-C9 22-mer model (CC of 0.94) is shown in Fig. 1.

In order to assess the reliability of the fitting procedure, the five fitting results were repeated using cryo-EM maps calculated from randomly partitioned half-sets, including those defined using RELION and used to determine the resolution of the final cryo-EM map (see EM methods). Individual residue RMSDs of both fitted models were calculated with respect to the structural model obtained from the whole data set (Supplementary Fig. 6). Structural elements displaying an overall high RMSD (for example, not fitted in a reproducible manner; >3.5 Å) were not included in the final structural model.
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