Comparison of the Effects of Bare Metal Stents and Drug Eluting Stents on C-Reactive Protein Levels
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Abstract
Aim: It’s suggested that drug eluting stents (DES) may have systemic anti-inflammatory properties and this can play a role in decreased restenoses rates. We aimed to compare bare metal stents (BMS) and DES on their effects on C-reactive protein (CRP) levels, a good marker of systemic inflammation. We also aimed to investigate the relation between the inflammation levels and myocardial infarction and adverse cardiac events.

Methods: Patients undergoing elective stent implantation were grouped as BMS (n = 70) and DES (n = 42). Basal and 24th hour postprocedural CRP and CKMB levels were measured and the difference (Δ) was compared between the groups. The patients were followed up for adverse cardiac events for one year.

Results: Mean age was 62 ± 11 and 75% were males. There was significant CRP rise in both groups at 24th hour, the ΔCRP was 2.1 (0.5-6.2) mg/L in BMS and 2.3 (0.2-5.2) mg/L in DES group, the difference wasn’t statistically significant (p = 0.703). ΔCKMB and adverse cardiac event rates were similar between the two groups (p = 0.897 and p = 0.785). There was no correlation between ΔCRP and ΔCKMB (r = -0.090 and p = 0.459 for BMS, r = 0.158 and p = 0.318 for DES). The effect of ΔCRP on the incidence of adverse cardiac events was not significant (p = 0.349 for BMS, p = 0.135 for DES).

Conclusion: Our findings reveal that patients with BMS and DES implantation exhibit similar grade of systemic inflammation after the procedure. At similar levels of systemic inflammation, the local anti inflammatory properties of DES can play a role at decreased restenoses rates.

Introduction
Restenosis, the main limitation of intracoronary stenting, can be defined as overreactive healing process of the endothelium against the mechanical insult. Neointimal hyperplasia inside the stent is the major contributor in restenosis[5]. Clinical and laboratory data show that local inflammatory process takes role in neointimal hyperplasia process[3].

Apart from the local inflammation, systemic inflammatory markers are shown to increase after stent implantation. C-reactive protein (CRP), mainly synthesized in liver as an acute phase reactant, is a good systemic inflammatory marker. CRP is shown to peak at 48th hour and down at 72th hour to normal serum levels after successful coronary stenting in stable coronary artery disease (CAD) patients[11]. This increase in CRP levels is thought to be related with increased restenoses rates and adverse cardiac events[9].

Understanding the pathophysiology of neointimal hyperplasia and restenosis has led to emergence of the antirestenotic strategies focusing on the cellular proliferation. Drug eluting stent (DES) technology is mainly based on the principle of eluting high concentrations of immunosuppressive or antitumor agents to the vessel wall. By the local effect of antiproliferative drug, neointimal proliferation and stent restenosis rates decreases. Today, restenosis rates are decreased by 80% after the introduction of DES, when compared with bare metal stents (BMS)[3]. This decrease, achieved by DES, has been subject to investigation from the point of
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inflammatory processes. There is no doubt of the effect of local anti-inflammatory process on the vessel wall, but there is still no consensus about the possible systemic anti-inflammatory effects of DES contributing its antirestenotic property.

In a trial by Kim JY et al, increase in CRP levels after DES implantation was significantly lower than the BMS group. Those were the first clinical data suggesting the possible beneficial effects of DES on systemic inflammation[9]. However, there were trials showing no significant CRP level difference between DES and BMS groups[10]. In addition to those trials done with first generation DES like sirolimus and paclitaxel, in 2014 a new trial with new generation DES, everolimus, was published. As a result of this recent trial, DES were shown to increase systemic inflammatory response more than the BMS[9].

Our aim in this trial was to test the hypothesis that there would be less increase in post procedural CRP in DES group when compared to BMS group. We also analysed the relationship between post procedural CRP increase and CK-MB levels, a marker of procedure related myonecrosis. In addition, we searched for an answer for the possible relationship between post procedural CRP increase and cardiovascular prognosis in patients followed up for one year.

Patients and Methods

Patients who were admitted for elective coronary angiography for stable CAD and had intracoronary stent placement for treatment, were evaluated for our study. Exclusion criteria were, patients with acute coronary syndrome, having percutaneous coronary intervention or by-pass grafting in the last 2 weeks, with known infection or surgical intervention in the last 2 weeks, having a chronic inflammatory or autoimmune disease, having stent intervention to by-pass grafts, having stent intervention to restenosed stents, having both DES and BMS stent intervention in the same procedure and patients having treatment with glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor pre or post procedurally. Out of the evaluated 345 patients, 112 patients were included in our study. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject and the institutional ethics committee approved the study protocol.

Ten milliliters of venous blood for CRP and CK-MB was collected just before the intervention and at the 24th hour after the procedure. The bloods were centrifuged (3000 g for 15 minutes) in 1 hour after collection and sera were kept in -20°C in deep freezer. After the completion of the patient inclusion, serum samples were sent to laboratory for CRP and CK-MB assays. The CRP assays were analysed by Particle Enhanced Turbidimetric Process by using Cobas Integra reactive (Roche Cobas Integra 400 plus) with analytic sensitivity of 0.1 mg/L (reference range of hsCRP:0-3 mg/L, test kits produced by Roche Diagnostics GmbH, D68298 Mannheim). CK-MB assays were analysed by kinetic spectrophotometric process after immunoinhibition by using Cobas Integra reactive (reference range: 0-6.25 ng/ml).

Biochemical work out and complete blood count were done after 12 hours of fasting, including the parameters of fasting blood glucose and lipid profile. Patients with LDL > 130 mg/dl or using anti hyperlipidemic medicine were accepted as hyperlipidemic. Patients with sistolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg for at least 2 measurements, or the patients with antihypertensive medications were accepted as hypertensive. Cigarette smoking was checked as positive if the patient had been smoking in the last 12 months. Patients with fasting blood glucose of ≥ 126 mg/dl for at least 2 times, or the patients who were already on insulin or oral antidiabetic therapy were accepted as diabetic.

At least 8 hours before the procedure, patients were loaded with 300 mg clopidogrel, in addition to 300 mg aspirin. In BMS group clopidogrel was used for one month and in DES group it was used for 12 months. Further usage of clopidogrel was dependent on the patient’s own specialist’s decision. During the procedure, choosing the stent type of either BMS or DES was done by the operator, according to the lesion type and patient’s status. DES types used at the time of the study period were sirolimus, zotarilimus and paclitaxel stents.

Periprocedural infarct and necrosis was defined according to “Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction”[10]. Morphological classification of the lesion types were done according to ACC/AHA lesion classification system[11]. Lesions with morphological types of B2 and C were accepted as complicated lesions. When there was an intervention for more than one lesion type including B2 or C type of lesion, the patient was accepted as a case with complicated morphology.

Patients were followed up at the 1st, 6th and 12th month at the outpatient wards or by phone about their angina status, non fatal myocardial infarction (MI), any percutaneous interventions or death. If needed, further examination and treatment was done. Adverse cardiac events were defined as non fatal MI (including periprocedural infaracts), target vessel revascularization and death.

Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 11.5 program (SPSS Inc.,Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical study. Shapiro Wilk test was used to test if the continuous variables were normally distributed or not. Continuous variables of normal distribution were given as mean ± standard deviation, variables not distributed normally as CRP and CK-MB were shown as median (1st quartile-3rd quartile). Variables between the groups were compared by Student t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test. Statistical significance of the change in laboratory values of basal and the 24th hour within the group was checked by paired sample t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The difference between the basal and 24th values of CRP and CK-MB was calculated and shown by delta (Δ) sign. Post procedural increase in CRP levels were also calculated as percent (%) increase and assessed. For the ΔCRP, ΔCK-MB and %ΔCRP increase data, which were not normally distributed, median (1st-3rd quartile) values were used. To check the strength of relationship between continuous variables Pearson’s correlation coefficients were used. The chi-square test was used to assess differences between categorical variables. A p value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

One hundred and twelve stable CAD patients (84 male, 28 female, mean age 62 ± 11), electively stented in our coronary angiography laboratory, were included in our study. Basal demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients in BMS and DES groups are shown in Table 1. Age, sex, hyperlipidemia,
hypothesis, smoking status, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACE-I) or angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB), statin use were similar between groups. Diabetes mellitus (DM) frequency was significantly higher in patients of BMS group (p = 0.004). Seventy of the patients had BMS, 42 of them had DES implantation intracoronarily. Table 2 shows the angiographic and procedural characteristics of the 2 groups. The frequency of complicated lesion morphology, multi vessel disease, multi vessel intervention, stent lengths (summation of the stent lengths were taken in multi stent interventions), stent diameters (mean diameter was taken in multi stent interventions), mean stent number used, mean lesion stenosis percent and maximal atmospheric pressure (maximum value was taken in multi stent interventions) were similar between BMS and DES groups. Patient frequency with multi stent intervention was also similar.

Table 1: Basal demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients in bare metal stent and drug eluting stent groups.

|                        | BMS (n = 70) | DES (n = 42) | General (n = 112) | p   |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-----|
| Age                    | 62 ± 11      | 61 ± 11      | 62 ± 11           | 0.653|
| Male                   | 49 (70%)     | 35 (83%)     | 84 (75%)          | 0.115|
| Diabetes mellitus      | 32 (46%)     | 8 (19%)      | 40 (36%)          | 0.004|
| Hypertension           | 53 (76%)     | 24 (57%)     | 77 (69%)          | 0.050|
| Hyperlipidemia         | 49 (70%)     | 23 (55%)     | 72 (64%)          | 0.103|
| Smoking                | 33 (47%)     | 21 (50%)     | 54 (48%)          | 0.770|
| ACE-I or ARB           | 53 (76%)     | 30 (71%)     | 83 (74%)          | 0.616|
| Statins                | 65 (93%)     | 37 (88%)     | 102 (91%)         | 0.498|

Values are given as mean ± standard deviation or number (n) and %. (ACE-I = angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker, BMS = bare metal stent, DES = drug eluting stent)

Table 2: Angiographic and procedural characteristics of the patients in bare metal stent and drug eluting stent groups.

|                          | BMS (n = 70) | DES (n = 42) | General (n = 112) | p   |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-----|
| Complicated lesion       | 15 (%21)     | 14 (%33)     | 29 (%26)          | 0.164|
| Multi vessel disease     | 38 (%56)     | 20 (%50)     | 58 (%54)          | 0.554|
| Multi vessel intervention| 22 (%31)     | 17 (%40)     | 39 (%35)          | 0.331|
| Stent length (mm)*       | 25.6 ± 20.69 | 26.5 ± 14.08 | 25.9 ± 18.43      | 0.201|
| Stent diameter (mm)*     | 2.9 ± 0.57   | 2.9 ± 0.33   | 2.9 ± 0.49        | 0.946|
| Mean number of stents    | 1.7 ± 1.16   | 1.4 ± 0.70   | 1.6 ± 1.02        | 0.247|
| Mean percent of stenosis%*| 83.0 ± 9.87 | 80.6 ± 9.77  | 82.1 ± 9.86       | 0.238|
| Max. atmospheric pressure*| 13.1 ± 1.94  | 13.8 ± 1.74 | 13.4 ± 1.88       | 0.238|
| Multi stent intervention | 31 (%44)     | 13 (%31)     | 44 (%39)          | 0.162|

* Values are given as mean ± standard deviation.
(BMS = bare metal stent, DES = drug eluting stent, Max. = maximum)

Preprocedural CRP levels were similar between the BMS and DES groups (4.4 [2.1-10.7] mg/L, 3.6 [1.1-6.6] mg/L, respectively, p = 0.120). When the 24th hour values of CRP were checked, it was 8.6 (4.1-16) mg/L for BMS and 6.4 (4.0-11.0) mg/L for DES, p < 0.001, showing significant increase in both groups. Figure 1 shows the CRP level change between basal and 24th hour in box-plot graphic. Increase in CRP levels from basal to 24th hour were compared as Δ(delta) change and there were no significant difference between the groups (p = 0.703). When the difference was calculated as percent (%) change, no significant difference between the groups was observed, either (p = 0.374) (Table 3). To define the effects of demographic, angiographic and procedural factors contributing to ΔCRP in all patients, correlation analysis was performed. Only with three of the procedural factors, which were multi vessel intervention, stent length and mean stent number, there was a trend for significance for the correlation with ΔCRP (p = 0.058, p = 0.055 and p = 0.086, respectively) (Table 4). When we assessed the variables contributing to ΔCRP in BMS and DES groups seperately, only the stent length variable was significantly correlated with ΔCRP in DES group (p = 0.016), whereas in BMS group stent length was not significantly related with ΔCRP (p = 0.341) (Figure 2).

Figure 1: Basal and 24th hour values of CRP in bare metal stent and drug eluting stent groups. (BMS = bare metal stent, DES = drug eluting stent)

Figure 2: Correlation of stent length and ΔCRP in bare metal stent and drug eluting stent groups. (BMS = bare metal stent, DES = drug eluting stent)
Effects of Bare Metal and Drug Eluting Stents

Table 3: Comparison of the ΔCRP and % increase in CRP levels of the basal and the 24th hour between the bare metal stent and drug eluting stent groups.

|                  | BMS (n = 70) | DES (n = 42) | p     |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| ΔCRP (mg/L)     | 2.1 (0.5 – 6.2) | 2.3 (0.2 – 5.2) | 0.703 |
| %CRP increase   | 50 (14 - 152)  | 74 (6 - 245)  | 0.374 |

Values are given as median (1st quartile-3rd quartile) (BMS = bare metal stent, DES = drug eluting stent)

Table 4: Effects of the clinical, demographical, angiographic and procedural factors on levels of ΔCRP in bare metal stent and drug eluting stent groups.

|                        | p values for Δ CRP |
|------------------------|--------------------|
|                        | BMS (n = 70) | DES (n = 42) |
| Age                    | 0.446          | 0.885         |
| Gender (female/male)   | 0.405          | 0.532         |
| Diabetes mellitus      | 0.693          | 0.224         |
| Hypertension           | 0.748          | 0.647         |
| Hyperlipidemia         | 0.377          | 0.604         |
| Smoking                | 0.962          | 0.970         |
| ACE-I or ARB           | 0.130          | 0.436         |
| Statins                | 0.690          | 0.821         |
| Complicated lesion     | 0.720          | 0.163         |
| Multi vessel disease   | 0.161          | 0.626         |
| Multi vessel intervention | 0.101     | 0.311         |
| Stent length (mm)      | 0.341          | 0.016         |
| Stent diameter (mm)    | 0.766          | 0.358         |
| Mean number of stents  | 0.285          | 0.102         |
| Max. atmospheric pressure | 0.302      | 0.172         |
| Multi stent intervention | 0.570      | 0.176         |

(ACE-I = angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker, BMS = bare metal stent, DES = drug eluting stent)

Preprocedural CK-MB levels were similar between the BMS and DES groups (3.2 [2.2-4.1] ng/ml, 2.6 [2.0-3.7] ng/ml, respectively, p = 0.160). When the 24th hour values of CK-MB were checked, there were significant increase in both groups (p < 0.001 for both groups). When CK-MB increase was calculated as ΔCK-MB, there was no significant difference between the groups (p = 0.897). Periprocedural myonecrosis (defined as postprocedure CK-MB as 1-3 times the upper normal limit) frequency was 17% in BMS, 12% in DES group (p = 0.269). Periprocedural MI frequency (defined as CK-MB increase more than 3 times the upper normal limit) was also similar between the BMS and DES groups (7% and 12%, respectively, p = 0.219). In correlation analysis of 112 patients to assess the factors affecting ΔCK-MB, only complicated lesion morphology was a contributor to CK-MB increase significantly (p = 0.011). In detailed assessment of the stent groups separately, complicated lesion morphology was seen to be a significant effector of ΔCK-MB only in BMS group (p = 0.040), however this effect was not significant in DES group (p = 0.147).

We searched for the relationship between inflammation and myonecrosis. There was no significant correlation between preprocedural CRP and ΔCK-MB levels (r = -0.004 and p = 0.965). There were also no correlation between ΔCRP and ΔCK-MB levels (r = -0.007 and p = 0.941). When the data were assessed for ΔCRP and ΔCK-MB correlation in BMS and DES groups separately, no significant correlation was found, either (r = -0.090 and p = 0.459 for BMS, r = 0.158 and p = 0.318 for DES).

During the follow up of the patients, 1 patient from the BMS group died in our coronary care unit at the 2nd month of intervention because of end stage heart failure. At the end of first year, non-fatal MI (excluding periprocedural MI) and target vessel revascularization were in 7 patients in BMS and in 3 patients in DES group (10% and 7%, respectively, p = 0.452). All adverse cardiac events, including non-fatal MI (including periprocedural MI), revascularization and death were 13 (18%) in BMS and 9 (22%) in DES group (p = 0.785). We assessed the possible effects of basal and ΔCRP on adverse cardiac events for the 112 patients. Their effects on the event rates were not statistically significant (p = 0.298 and p = 0.818 for basal and ΔCRP).

Discussion

In our study searching for the relationship between stent type and systemic inflammatory response in 112 elective stented patients, we found similar amount of post procedure CRP increase in both BMS and DES groups. Also, periprocedural myonecrosis and adverse cardiac event rates were similar in both groups.

By the introduction of DES, the restenosis rates receded from 25%’s to 5%’s. Besides the known local anti inflammatory effects of DES, whether the systemic anti inflammation is also playing role at this benefit or not, has been subject to question. After the stent implantation, the plaque rupture and arterial wall injury trigger the inflammation and this can be assessed by the systemic inflammatory marker, CRP[12]. Increased CRP levels were shown to be related with increased restenosis rates. So, the researchers ask whether a systemic anti inflammatory property of DES has been contributing to its anti restenotic property, or not. In a trial with 25 patients randomized to paclitaxel stent or BMS, restenosis was assessed by intravascular ultrasonography at the 6th month. In both groups there were significant CRP and interleukin-6 (IL-6) increase at the 24th hour compared to basal, but the difference between the stent groups was not significant. Also the rate of inflammation was not correlated with restenosis rates[13]. Gaspardone et al. randomised the stable CAD patients to 4 groups; paclitaxel, sirolimus, dexamethasone eluting stents and BMS group. The groups were compared according to the CRP levels and the relation between the cardiovascular events. In all groups there were significant CRP increase, however, the amount of CRP increase was not significantly different between the groups. Restenosis and cardiovascular event rates were significantly lower in paclitaxel and sirolimus eluting stent groups. As a result of the trial, researchers concluded that the mechanism of the decrease in restenosis and adverse event rates in paclitaxel and sirolimus stent groups could not be related with the systemic inflammatory response[14]. In an other study assessing the systemic inflammatory response of DES, 300 patients were randomised to sirolimus eluting stents and BMS. ΔCRP levels of the 2 stent groups were not different significantly[15]. In a trial including both stable CAD and acute coronary syndrome patients, 34 patients had sirolimus stents and 29 patients had BMS. At
the end of the trial CRP and IL-6 levels increased significantly in both stent groups, but the difference between the groups was not significant\[7\]. The trial done by Kim et al. concluded different results about the DES and systemic inflammation. They implanted BMS to 21 and DES to 46 stable CAD patients. Basal CRP levels were compared with the levels at 48th and 72th hour and the increase in CRP levels in DES group was found to be significantly lower. Those findings were the first data suggesting DES could have inhibitory effect on the acute postprocedure systemic inflammatory reaction\[6\]. Later, another trial with 257 BMS and 395 DES (sirolimus) implanted stable CAD patients were compared and DES group had significantly lower ΔCRP levels. As a result, possible systemic anti-inflammatory effects of DES, besides their local effects, were emphasized again\[15\]. In 2014, Liu et al. published a research about the same subject with the new generation stents\[9\]. Thirty six stable patients were randomised in 1:1 manner to everolimus type DES and BMS. Patients were assessed in the 12th week of the procedure for their inflammatory levels by checking CRP, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α and matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-9 levels. In both stent groups CRP levels and lipid levels reduced at the 12th week. In the DES group, different from the BMS group, levels of IL-6, TNF-α and MMP-9 levels increased. This trial is important, because it showed the continuing systemic inflammatory response in the DES group even at the 3rd month. Also, those results showed that, IL-6, TNF-α and MMP-9 were more sensitive markers of inflammation, not being affected by statins as in the case of CRP.

In our study, we found that the systemic inflammation after DES was not less than the inflammation after BMS. These findings supported the hypothesis that DES do not reduce stent restenosis by systemic anti-inflammatory effects and that had been supported by some trials before\[7,8,13,14\]. When the patient characteristics in our BMS group were investigated, it was noted that there were more diabetics in this group. This meant a more risky population were included when compared to the DES group, and this situation would have been expected to lead an augmented increase in the inflammation levels. However, the post procedure inflammation levels ended up to be the same with DES group. We may conclude that if the patient distribution were the same in both groups, DES group could have had a more pronounced inflammatory level increase than the BMS group. Some trials in the literature showed that, occasionally occurring vessel wall hypersensitivity reaction against the DES could make DES to have proinflammatory characteristics\[16,17\]. This hypersensitivity reaction could occur against the polymer, metal or the drug of DES. Eosinophilic infiltration has been shown to be related with hypersensitivity reaction, however, there has been no exact data about its effects on CRP levels\[17\]. In recent years, new generation DES, like zotarilimus and everolimus has been introduced. They have a faster and shorter time of drug eluting technology, but also thought to stimulate the similar long term inflammatory response in body. This inflammation problem is hoped to be reduced by the newer bioabsorbable stent technology\[18\].

Our trial could not completely exclude the possibility of systemic anti inflammatory properties of DES. There was a possibility of the possible systemic anti inflammation by DES, shadowed by the basal patient characteristics and medical therapies used in the groups. We know the beneficial effects of statins on systemic inflammation and CRP levels and when we look at the patient characteristics we see nearly 90% of patients were on statins in both groups\[19,20\]. In a trial assessing the effects of pre procedural CRP levels on adverse cardiac events, the determinant effects of CRP dissappeared with statin use\[21\]. There is still no exact data in the literature about statin effects on CRP levels in postprocedure period, but, we suggest that high percentage of statin use in our trial could have masked the possible higher increase of CRP in BMS group, which had higher risk patients.

When we looked at the possible effects of angiographic and procedural factors, we saw that inflammation level was positively correlated with the stent length only in DES group. This could be explained by the increased inflammatory response secondary to increased foreign body reaction and endothelial damage. Why this effect could not be shown in the BMS group could be explained by the low patient number in the trial. However, it also could be explained by the hypothesis that increased metallic load in DES group could be a more potent trigger of the inflammation when compared to BMS group. Minor increases in CK-MB levels, an indicator of myonecrosis, can be seen in 15-25% of the patients after successful percutaneous interventions\[22,23\]. In our trial this rate was 15% and there was no significant difference between BMS and DES groups. Long lesions, occlusion of the side branches and distal emboli, which are especially the characteristics complicated lesions, lead to higher increase in CK-MB levels\[24\]. In our trial, parallel with those data, we found a significant relationship between complicated lesions and ΔCK-MB. This relationship was shown in BMS group, couldn’t be shown in DES group, possibly because of the insufficient patient population.

We evaluated the patients of our trial in 1st, 6th and 12th months in our outpatient wards or got information by phoning about the adverse cardiac events. We did not make routine coronary angiographic assessment. Periprocedural MI in our trial was 10% and it was consistent with the data of other trials with 7-10% periprocedural MI\[21\]. Adverse cardiac events frequency was not different between BMS and DES groups, similar to the published data\[25\]. Researchers investigated the relationship between adverse cardiac events and inflammation and myonecrosis levels postprocedurally\[26,27\]. In a trial, it was shown that early adverse cardiac events and restenosis rates were increased in the patient group with high preprocedural CRP levels\[26\]. In another trial, patients with stable CAD and high preprocedural CRP levels had more increased levels of troponin after stenting\[27\]. In our results, there were no significant relationship of basal and ΔCRP levels with adverse cardiac events and ΔCK-MB. This finding was not parallel with most of the trials showing the relationship between inflammation and adverse cardiac events in the literature. We could explain our results with similar findings of the trial by Chan et al. In this trial, they evaluated the effects of preprocedural CRP levels on the frequency of periprocedural MI and adverse cardiac events in 2 groups; the patients using statin and statin naive patients. In statin naive patients high basal CRP was an independent determinant of periprocedural MI and adverse cardiac events. However, there was no relationship between CRP levels and adverse cardiac events in statin using patients. As a result, they suggested that in statin users predictive value of CRP about the adverse cardiac events and periprocedural MI was blunted and diminished\[21\]. In assessment of our results, we could relate the non-significant relationship of the level of inflammation and adverse cardiac events with the high
degree of statin use, in about 90% of the patients.

We believe our study revealed important data about the comparison of CRP levels between BMS and DES implanted patients and also searched for possible influence of many factors on levels of inflammation. In the literature, trials investigating the relation between the postprocedure CRP increase and adverse cardiac events and myonecrosis, are quite few. As a result of our trial, we concluded that there was no difference at the level of systemic inflammation between BMS and DES groups, in consistency with most of the published trials in this topic. DES show inhibitory effects on neointimal proliferation by local release of high concentrations of anti-inflammatory and anti-proliferative drugs. Beside the triggered local inflammation, systemic inflammation also has detrimental effects on neointimal proliferation. In our study, we assessed the hypothesis of possible systemic anti-inflammatory effects of DES may play role in their anti restenotic properties, besides their local effects and we concluded the result that DES did not have an inhibitory effect on systemic inflammation. DES lead to lower levels of restenosis than BMS at the same level of systemic inflammation. So, we suggest that this may be by local and prolonged resistance of DES to systemic inflammation when compared with BMS.

In our study including 112 stable CAD patients stented by BMS or DES, results revealed that DES had no suppressive effects on systemic inflammatory response. Recently, there has been published data about the prolonged and pronounced systemic inflammatory response triggered by DES, in contrary to their local anti-inflammatory properties[6]. When we have a consensus and exact data in this topic in the future, we may prescribe much intense anti-inflammatory regimens such as higher dose statins for the patients with DES. However, we need larger randomised trials to be able to clarify the exact mechanisms.

Limitations

The main limitation of our trial is that it was not randomised. At the time period of our trial, DES were not paid by the social security institution for every patient, so we weren’t able to randomise the patients to each stent group. As a result, there were differences of patient characteristics between the stent groups, like more diabetics in BMS group. We tried to examine each factor, possibly effecting the results, seperately to be able to overcome this limitation.

Post procedural peak CRP levels are achieved at the 48th hour, but we used the 24th hour values[3]. Without difference in the 24th hour values, no significant difference is accepted at the 48th hour. So, most of the trials in the literature also used the 24th hour values, like we did.[7,13,15]
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