Changes in the Transcriptome Caused by Mutations in the Ribosomal Protein uS10 Associated with a Predisposition to Colorectal Cancer
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Abstract: A number of mutations in the RPS20 gene encoding the ribosomal protein uS10 have been found to be associated with a predisposition to hereditary non-polyposis colorectal carcinoma (CRC). We transfected HEK293T cells with constructs carrying the uS10 minigene with mutations identical to those mentioned above and examined the effects of the produced proteins on the cellular transcriptome. We showed that uS10 with mutations p.V50SfsX23 or p.L61EfsX11 cannot be incorporated into 40S ribosomal subunits, while the protein with the missense mutation p.V54L functionally replaces the respective endogenous protein in the 40S subunit assembly and the translation process. The comparison of RNA-seq data obtained from cells producing aberrant forms of uS10 with data for those producing the wild-type protein revealed overlapping sets of upregulated and downregulated differently expressed genes (DEGs) related to several pathways. Among the limited number of upregulated DEGs, there were genes directly associated with the progression of CRC, e.g., PPM1D and PIGN. Our findings indicate that the accumulation of the mutant forms of uS10 triggers a cascade of cellular events, similar to that which is triggered when the cell responds to a large number of erroneous proteins, suggesting that this may increase the risk of cancer.
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1. Introduction

Ribosomes are cellular nanomachines required for the translation of genetic information coming to them as messenger RNAs (mRNAs) into the polypeptide chains of proteins and are composed of large and small subunits that both consist of RNA molecules and several dozen proteins. The mammalian ribosome contains 80 proteins that are assembled together during the biogenesis of 60S and 40S ribosomal subunits, a complex and energy-intensive process that starts in the nucleolus, continues in the nucleoplasm and ends in the cytoplasm [1,2]. In mature subunits, ribosomal proteins are involved not only in maintaining translationally competent subunit structures, but also in the formation of ribosomal functional centers, providing both conservative and eukaryote/archaea-specific interactions of subunits and/or ribosomes with their ligands, such as mRNA, tRNA and translation factors [3–8]. Defects in ribosome biogenesis and protein synthesis lead to various abnormalities called ribosomopathies [9], which have recently come to be considered as a risk factor for cancer initiation [10]. Furthermore, ribosomal proteins are known to perform so-called extra-ribosomal functions in a wide range of processes not directly related to their participation in translation as components of the ribosome [7,11–13].
disruption of these functions of ribosomal proteins may also result in cellular abnormalities, which are a risk factor for cancer. Obviously, all these disorders can be caused by mutations in the genes of ribosomal proteins, whose occurrence in a number of such genes has been discovered in various types of cancer by recent studies using whole-exome or whole-genome sequencing techniques, as reviewed in [10]. Among these genes is RPS20, which encodes the ribosomal protein uS10 (previously named as S20), mutations of which are associated with colorectal cancer [14–16]. This protein is located on the solvent-exposed surface of the head of the 40S subunit adjacent to the mRNA entry site and interacts directly with the ribosomal protein uS3 [17,18], which is also involved in the interaction with the translation initiation factor eIF3, as shown for yeast ribosomes [19]. Both proteins bind to the pre-40S subunit at the final stages of its maturation, and uS10 immediately interacts with assembly factors orchestrating maturation events across the pre-40S subunit, which makes it an indispensable participant in this process [20].

Among the functional assignments of the ribosome-associated protein uS10 is its involvement in the processes of ribosome-mediated quality control (RQC) through ubiquitination [21]. The protein also becomes a target for ubiquitination in ribosomes stuck on poly(A)-sequences encoding polylysine, which triggers the degradation of the stalled ribosomes by the RQC system [22,23], whose key player is the E3 ubiquitin protein ligase (Hel2 in yeasts [22] and ZNF598 in mammals [23]). Similarly, when the translation of mRNA is stopped due to the collision of ribosomes, their degradation is initiated by the no-go decay (NGD) mechanism, in which the uS10 ubiquitination also plays a central role [24].

Ribosome-free uS10 is able to engage in interactions with a number of other cellular partners, due to which it can participate in processes that control cell proliferation. In particular, the protein has been found to bind to the E3 ubiquitin ligase Mdm2 and its homologue MdmX and thus to be involved in the regulation of the Mdm2-p53-MdmX network that is crucial for ribosome biogenesis surveillance [25]. Furthermore, it has recently been discovered that uS10 is an important partner of the nucleolar GTPase GNL1, and that the interaction between these proteins promotes the progression of the G1/S phase during the cell cycle and cell proliferation, causing the hyperphosphorylation of the retinoblastoma protein [26].

Given the involvement of uS10 in the control of translation and cell proliferation, it is not surprising that mutations in its gene can lead to various abnormalities in mammals. For example, in mice, a point mutation in the RPS20 gene, corresponding to the L32P amino acid substitution in uS10, causes epidermal melanocytosis, resulting in a dark skin phenotype [27]. It has been shown that this mutation is characterized by the accumulation of p53 in cells and the increased expression of the Kitl gene, whose high expression in keratinocytes leads to the darkening of the skin [27]. The first evidence of the association of mutations in the RPS20 gene with human diseases has been reported in the study [14], from which it becomes clear that they are related to a predisposition to hereditary non-polyposis colorectal carcinoma (CRC). A little later, a linkage of mutations in uS10 with CRC susceptibility has been confirmed for such disruptive mutations as p.V50SfsX23 and p.L61EfsX11, and for the missense one p.V54L, by the evidence reviewed in [15]. More recently, another uS10 missense mutation, p.E33V, has been shown to be associated with an inherited predisposition to several types of cancers, including CRC [16]. Finally, two mutant variants of the RPS20 gene have recently been described that correspond to substitutions I84N and I84S in the protein in patients with the genetic disorder, Diamond-Blackfan anemia (DBA), a form of ribosomopathy characterized by red cell aplasia and macrocytic anemia [28] and usually caused by mutations in genes of a number of ribosomal proteins [29]. Although the list of diseases whose initiation and progression could be affected by mutations in the RPS20 gene is expanding, it remains unknown what changes in the transcriptome of mammalian cells usually occur when this gene is mutated.

Believing that, in general, the above changes should be basically similar for different mammalian cell types, we applied RNA-seq analysis to HEK293T cells ectopically produc-
ing the FLAG-tagged ribosomal protein uS10 carrying the p.V50SfsX23, p.L61EfsX11, or p.V54L mutations to determine their effects on gene expression at the transcriptional level. Analyzing the obtained data, we tried to find among the revealed effects those which may contribute to the malignant transformation of cells. We showed that the appearance of the above uS10 mutants in cells leads to a change in gene expression, manifested in the increased activity of certain sets of genes closely associated with carcinogenesis through the p53 pathway and with some other processes, including those related to the induction of cellular senescence. Our findings may explain the previously reported link between the mutations in the RPS20 gene, corresponding to those in the ribosomal protein uS10 examined in the current study, and a predisposition to CRC.

2. Results

2.1. The Ectopic Production of Mutant Forms of the Ribosomal Protein uS10 in HEK293T Cells

To obtain HEK293T cells producing exogenous human uS10, we cloned the insert containing the coding sequence (CDS) of the RPS20 gene into the pcDNA3.1 mammalian expression vector under the CMV promoter. Since the N-terminus of uS10 is unstructured and extends away from the ribosome body [17,18], we assigned the N-terminal 3×FLAG epitope tag as a specific marker of the exogenous uS10. The resulting plasmid encoding 3×FLAG-tagged wild type (wt) uS10, pcDNA-uS10wt was used for the transient transfection of HEK293T cells. To prepare plasmids encoding 3×FLAG-tagged mutant forms of uS10 (Figure 1A), the above was subjected to site-directed mutagenesis to introduce changes into the CDS, identical to the ones found in the RPS20 gene in patients with a predisposition to hereditary CRC [15]. In particular, an insertion of the A residue into the Val50 codon converted it to the Ser one and caused a frameshift in the CDS downstream from the replacement site, resulting in the pcDNA-uS10mut1 plasmid encoding the truncated form of uS10, p.V50SfsX23 (uS10mut1). The replacement of the G residue with the C one in the Val54 codon changed it to the Leu codon, yielding the plasmid pcDNA-uS10mut2 encoding the full-length uS10 with the missense mutation, p.V54L (uS10mut2). Finally, a double deletion of TT in the Leu61 codon transformed it into Glu, leading to a shift in the reading frame, similar to that which occurred when the A was inserted into the Val50 codon, giving the plasmid pcDNA-uS10mut3 and encoding the truncated uS10 mutant, p.L61EfsX11 (uS10mut3).

The production of target proteins by HEK293T cells transiently transfected with the resulting plasmid constructs was examined by Western blotting using anti-FLAG antibodies applied to total protein samples from whole cell lysates transferred onto the nitrocellulose membrane after SDS PAGE. All the FLAG-tagged proteins were shown to be ectopically
produced in HEK293T cells, although the yields of the truncated protein forms, uS10\textsuperscript{mut1} and uS10\textsuperscript{mut3}, were approximately half those of the full-length ones, uS10\textsuperscript{wt} and uS10\textsuperscript{mut2} (Figure 1B).

To test the ability of the 3×FLAG-tagged exogenous proteins to participate in the assembly of 40S ribosomal subunits together with the functional competence of the subunits containing these proteins in translation, we examined the contents of uS10\textsuperscript{wt} and its mutant forms in fractions of polysome profiles from cytosolic extracts of the respective cells. Both uS10\textsuperscript{wt} and uS10\textsuperscript{mut2} with the p.V54L missense mutation were found to be present in the fractions of the 40S subunit, 80S ribosomes and polysomes, while the truncated protein forms, uS10\textsuperscript{mut1} and uS10\textsuperscript{mut3}, were not included in any of these fractions (Figure 2). This proves that the missense mutation p.V54L does not prevent the exogenous protein uS10\textsuperscript{mut2} from replacing endogenous uS10 in the assembly of 40S subunits and that 80S ribosomes containing uS10\textsuperscript{mut2} are involved in translation. In contrast, mutations p.V50SfsX23 and p.L61EfsX11 are disruptive and lead to the loss of protein functionality; although both uS10\textsuperscript{mut1} and uS10\textsuperscript{mut3} are produced in HEK293T cells, their degradation should be more intense than that of uS10\textsuperscript{wt} and uS10\textsuperscript{mut2}, which could explain the lower yields of the former two proteins compared to those of the latter two (Figure 1B).

![Figure 2](image_url)

**Figure 2.** The polysome profiles from HEK293T cells producing 3×FLAG-tagged target proteins, uS10\textsuperscript{wt} or its mutated forms uS10\textsuperscript{mut1}, uS10\textsuperscript{mut2} or uS10\textsuperscript{mut3}, obtained by the centrifugation of their cytosolic extracts in a sucrose density gradient. Peaks corresponding to 40S and 60S subunits, 80S ribosomes and polysomes are marked. Below each panel, a Western blot analysis of the exogenous protein content in the gradient fractions using anti-FLAG antibodies is presented. The presence of signals from proteins uS10\textsuperscript{mut1} and uS10\textsuperscript{mut3} in fractions 5–9, weakening in the direction from the upper fractions to the lower ones, is due to some contamination of these fractions with the contents from the upper fractions, where these proteins are in large quantities.
2.2. A Comparative Analysis of RNA-Seq Data from Cells Producing 3×FLAG-Tagged uS10 and Its Mutant Forms

To reveal changes in the transcriptome of cells producing uS10 with mutations associated with a predisposition to CRC, we applied RNA-seq analysis to HEK293T cells transfected with the pcDNA-uS10\textsuperscript{mut1}, pcDNA-uS10\textsuperscript{mut2} or pcDNA-uS10\textsuperscript{mut3} plasmids, and compared the gained results with those obtained on cells transfected with the non-mutant pcDNA-uS10\textsuperscript{wt} construct. To this end, total RNA samples were isolated from the cells after two days of treatment with the above constructs and subjected to polyA selection, followed by next generation sequencing (NGS). An analysis of the raw RNA-seq data using the FastQC tool (Figure S1) confirmed the high-quality of the RNA-seq data (Figure S2). After quality control of the raw data, the sequencing reads were filtered and mapped to the human genome. The metadata for all samples are provided in Table S1. The mapped reads predominantly fell within genes, rather than intergenic regions (Figure S3). Mapping revealed a more than 13-fold predominance of exogenous mRNA encoding uS10\textsuperscript{wt} or its forms with targeted mutations over mRNA encoding endogenous uS10 in transfected cells (Figure 3, left panels). The resulting gene sets were analyzed using the DESeq2 package (Table S2) and criteria allowing sorting genes with the most pronounced and statistically significant changes in expression levels in cells producing uS10\textsuperscript{mut1}, uS10\textsuperscript{mut2} or uS10\textsuperscript{mut3}, compared to uS10\textsuperscript{wt}-producing ones (Figure 3, right panels).

We found that in cells producing uS10\textsuperscript{mut1}, the expression of 24 genes was altered, of which 23 were upregulated and 1 was downregulated, while in cells producing uS10\textsuperscript{mut2}, the transcriptional efficiency of 35 genes changed, of which 30 were activated and 5 were suppressed. Finally, for cells producing uS10\textsuperscript{mut3}, changes in expression levels of 390 genes were observed, with an increase for 190 genes and a decrease for 200 ones. The found sets of differently expressed genes (DEGs) are presented in Table S3. It is noteworthy that, despite the inability of the uS10\textsuperscript{mut1} and uS10\textsuperscript{mut3} proteins to integrate into the 40S subunit, unlike uS10\textsuperscript{mut2}, which, in addition to being incorporated into the 40S subunit, can also participate as its component in the operation of the translation machine, the sets of upregulated DEGs found for cells producing these proteins overlap with the set for cells producing uS10\textsuperscript{mut2}, forming a group of 15 shared DEGs (Figure 4). Among the DEGs that fell into this group, there were tumor-associated genes such as GADD45A, PPM1D, PLK2 and CREBRF (Table S3). The signaling protein GADD45A (growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible protein alpha) encoded by the GADD45A gene plays many roles in mediating stress signaling and is thereby implicated in growth regulation, DNA demethylation, DNA damage, DNA repair, apoptosis and other processes (see for a review [30,31]). The transcription of GADD45A is regulated by p53, BRCA1 (breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein) and c-myc in response to a variety of stimuli, making this gene an essential player in tumorigenesis (see for a review [32]). The PPM1D gene (also known as WIP1) encodes wild-type p53-induced phosphatase 1 protein, a negative regulator in the p53 signaling pathway, which has an important role in cellular stress responses, the regulation of the cell cycle and the metabolism of tumor cells, exerting its oncogenic function in various cancer forms by enhancing cell proliferation (see for a review [33,34] and references therein). For example, the high expression of PPM1D is associated with poor prognosis for the esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients [35], whereas its silencing inhibits colon cancer cell proliferation [36]. The serine/threonine protein kinase PLK2 (polo-like kinase) encoded by the PLK2 gene, which can also be induced by p53, acts as a putative tumor suppressor by interacting with signaling molecules involved in antitumor activity, while the dysregulation of PLK2 in some types of cancer results in tumor-promoting effects (see [37] and refs. therein). As for the CREBRF gene encoding a negative regulator of the endoplasmic reticulum stress response, it can facilitate the proliferation of human gastric cancer cells [38] and plays a central role in the progression of malignant glioma [39].
Figure 3. The next generation sequencing of total RNA samples from HEK293T cells producing 3×FLAG-tagged target proteins, uS10WT or its mutated forms. Left panels. Representative fragments of the IGV genome browser view showing the sequencing read coverage of the range corresponding to the RPS20 gene region encoding the ribosomal protein uS10. The read mapping is displayed for three biological replicates of each sample. Positions of mutations are shown by arrows. Right panels. Volcano plots of the differentially expressed genes between cells producing uS10mut1, uS10mut2 or uS10mut3 and to uS10WT-producing ones. In the plots, upregulated and downregulated genes sorted according to the criteria specified in the Materials and Methods section (DEGs) are shown as red dots, and genes that do not meet these criteria are displayed as black ones. The dashed vertical and horizontal lines represent the cutoff values of shrunken log2 fold changes and a p adjusted (p.adj), respectively.

Two p53 target genes (MDM2 and TP53INP1) were found among upregulated DEGs in cells producing uS10mut2 and uS10mut3, and one such gene, SPATA18, was present in the set of the DEGs for cells producing uS10mut2 (Table S3). The MDM2 gene encodes a proto-oncogene MDM2, which is an E3 ubiquitin ligase mediating the ubiquitination of a large group of proteins, including p53 and some other tumor suppressor proteins (see for a review [40]). The overexpression of MDM2, observed in many types of cancer, plays a key role in tumorigenesis (see, e.g., [41,42]). The SPATA18 gene encodes a spermatogenesis associated protein 18, also known as MIEAP (mitochondria-eating protein), which is a
central participant in mitochondrial quality control via mitophagy [43], a process that is enhanced in response to DNA damage [44]. The TP53INP1 gene encodes the tumor protein p53-inducible nuclear protein 1, which is an antiproliferative and proapoptotic protein involved in cell responses to various stresses [45]. This gene plays a significant role in the progression of anaplastic carcinoma [46] and has been shown to be overexpressed in medullary thyroid carcinoma and prostate cancer [47, 48].

In addition, the group of upregulated DEGs common to all uS10 mutants included genes such as AEN (apoptosis enhancing exonuclease), involved in p53-induced apoptosis following DNA damage, BTG2 (BTG anti-proliferation factor 2), associated with the regulation of the cell cycle, and HMMR (hyaluronan-mediated motility receptor) and ERMAP (erythroblast membrane associated protein), encoding receptors related to cell motility and adhesion, respectively. In this group, there were also EML2 (echinoderm microtubule associated protein like 2), linked to the inhibition of microtubule growth, PIGN (phosphatidylinositol glycan anchor biosynthesis class N), implicated in glycolipid biosynthesis and several others.

As for downregulated DEGs, one gene (MT-ND6), found in cells producing uS10mut1 and the three genes (CRTAP, NDRG1 and NR2C2AP), of the five ones discovered in cells producing uS10mut2 were also present in the set of the DEGs identified for uS10mut3-producing cells. The MT-ND6 gene is a mitochondrial one that encodes NADH dehydrogenase subunit 6. The CRTAP gene encodes a cartilage-associated protein that is required for the hydroxylation of fibrillar collagen, and the NR2C2AP gene encodes a nuclear receptor 2C2-associated protein that can repress hormone receptor NR2C2. The product of the NDRG1 gene is a stress-responsive protein that acts as a tumor suppressor. Finally, the set of uS10mut3-associated downregulated DEGs contain a large group of genes encoding collagens (COL4A2, COL4A6, COL6A2, COL27A1, COL18A1 and COL1A1) and transporter proteins (SLC37A4, SLC29A2, SLC19A1, SLC16A2, SLC25A29, SLC25A1 and SLC29A4).

It should be noted that the transfection of cells with pcDNA-uS10wt per se induced a cellular response accompanied by the upregulation or downregulation of about 400 genes compared to non-transfected cells (Table S4). Notably, this set contained several activated DEGs from sets of those found with cells producing uS10mut1, uS10mut2, or uS10mut3, including tumor-associated genes such as GADD45A, PPM1D, MDM2, and CREBRF. The expression levels of these DEGs in cells transfected with pcDNA-uS10wt were approximately 1.4–1.8-times higher than in non-transfected cells (see the values in the shrunken log2 fold change (LFC) column in Table S4). However, their expression levels were increased by about the same factor in cells transfected with plasmids encoding the uS10 mutant forms compared to those in cells transfected with pcDNA-uS10wt (see the values in the shrunken LFC column in Table S2). This meant that in cells producing the uS10wt mutant forms, the above DEGs were activated much more strongly than in cells producing
exogenous uS10\textsuperscript{wt}. Notably, tumor-associated genes such as PLK2 and PIGN were among the DEGs activated specifically in cells producing the uS10 mutants.

To validate the results of the differential gene expression analysis performed with the RNA-seq data, we carried out the same analysis with the RT-qPCR data obtained with HEK293T cells producing uS10\textsuperscript{mut2} for a group of selected genes, namely GADD45A, PPM1D and PLK2, upregulated in cells with uS10\textsuperscript{mut1}, uS10\textsuperscript{mut2} or uS10\textsuperscript{mut3}, and MDM2 and TP53INP1, upregulated in cells with uS10\textsuperscript{mut2} or uS10\textsuperscript{mut3} (Figure 5). The values of changes in the expression levels of the above genes, estimated from RNA-seq and RT-qPCR data, were very similar.

![Figure 5](image-url) Validation of the results of the differential gene expression analysis with the RNA-seq data by RT-qPCR. (A) The RT-qPCR data obtained for selected upregulated DEGs with cells producing uS10\textsuperscript{mut2}; columns show the relative levels of mRNAs (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 (Mann–Whitney test)). (B) The results of the analysis of differential expression of the same genes obtained using RNA-seq data and shown as dotplots with mean ± SD values. Dots display the values of normalized read counts for each sample.

### 2.3. Processes Associated with Genes Whose Expression Changes When Cells Produce Mutant Forms of uS10\textsuperscript{wt}

With DEGs identified for cells producing mutant forms of uS10\textsuperscript{wt}, Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed to determine associated biological processes. It turned out that downregulated DEGs found for cells producing uS10\textsuperscript{mut1} or uS10\textsuperscript{mut2}, which were very few (1 and 5, respectively), are engaged in diverse processes, including those such as signal transduction (GO:0007165, NDRG1), collagen fibril organization (GO:0030199, CRTAP), transcription initiation from RNA polymerase II promoter (GO:0006367, NRR2C2AP) and mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I assembly (GO:0032981, MT-ND6). For uS10\textsuperscript{mut3}-producing cells, where quite a lot of downregulated DEGs were found (Table S3), the analysis revealed only one process, namely collagen fibril organization (GO:0030199), for which the calculated fold enrichment value was greater than 5 (more or less) and with which 10 DEGs were associated, giving an enrichment value of 9.57. On the contrary, for upregulated DEGs, a very high enrichment was observed in a number of cellular processes (Figure 6), and one of them was the DNA damage response via signal transduction by p53 class mediator resulting in cell cycle arrest (GO:0006977), which was a common process for all three samples of cells producing the mutant protein. Among DEGs linked to this process, there are GADD45A, PLK2, MDM2, CDKN1A, and some others. Notably, the processes related to the above one were enriched with genes from the group of shared DEGs derived from overlapping DEG sets found for cells producing uS10\textsuperscript{mut1}, uS10\textsuperscript{mut2} or uS10\textsuperscript{mut3}.

Reactome pathway analysis applied to the set of downregulated DEGs, found for cells producing uS10\textsuperscript{mut3}, revealed that these DEGs were mainly enriched in pathways related to the extracellular matrix organization (e.g., LAMA5, COL4A6 and COL1A1), the metabolism of carbohydrates (ALDH1A1, ENO2, XYLT2 and other) and diseases of the metabolism (AGRN, MOGS, NOTCH3 and other) (Table S5). In upregulated DEG sets, an enrichment was found for pathways mainly associated with transcriptional regulation by TP53 (TP53INP1, CDKN1A, GADD45A, PLK2, MDM2 and other), cellular senescence (MDM2, CDKN1B, H2BC11, JUN, H1-2 and other) and related processes for each of the three samples of cells producing the mutant protein (Figure 7, Table S5).
Figure 6. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of biological processes for genes that are upregulated in HEK293T cells producing mutant forms of uS10\textsuperscript{wt}. The top four highest processes in the hierarchy of GO biological ones with fold enrichment value of more than 5 are shown for the DEG sets defined for each of the mutants (uS10\textsuperscript{mut1}, uS10\textsuperscript{mut2} or uS10\textsuperscript{mut3}) and for the group of DEGs present in all of these sets (shared DEG group). GO terms and indexes are indicated on the left.

Figure 7. The Reactome pathway enrichment analysis of genes upregulated in cells produced mutant forms of uS10\textsuperscript{wt}. Top significantly affected pathways are listed. The color of the dots corresponds to the value of p. adj, and their size is determined by the number of genes related to the respective pathway (map color keys, along with dot size ones, are shown on the right).
Thus, the gained results show that the production of mutated forms of uS10 by cells, regardless of whether the mutation is disruptive or missense, causes the activation of a limited set of genes. Many of these genes are involved in the regulatory processes through the p53 pathway and the induction of cellular senescence, although it should be borne in mind that the activity of p53 itself in HEK293T cells may be limited due to its interaction with the T antigen [49,50]. Along with them, genes implicated in cellular responses to events such as DNA damage, oxidative (or telomere) stress, the activation of cell cycle arrest, and autophagy, which are closely related to the above regulatory processes, are also activated. This means that mutations in the uS10 ribosomal protein gene resulting in the appearance of mutant forms of the protein, truncated ones (p.V50SfsX23 and p.L61EfsX11) or full-length one with a single substitution (p.V54L), affect the state of cells, forcing them to trigger processes that increase the risk of their malignant transformation.

3. Discussion

In this study, by applying RNA-seq to HEK293T cells ectopically expressing the uS10\textsuperscript{wt} ribosomal protein minigene or its forms with mutations associated with a predisposition to colorectal cancer, we examined the changes in the cellular transcriptome caused by the production of the respective aberrant proteins. We showed that site-directed mutations of the minigene, such as the insertion of an A residue into the Val50 codon and a double TT deletion in the Leu61 codon, leading to a frameshift, result in the accumulation in cells of the truncated forms of uS10, which are not incorporated in 40S ribosomal subunits. In contrast, the uS10 mutant form with the V54L missense mutation can be integrated into 40S subunits while retaining their functional activity. By analyzing RNA-seq data from DNA libraries, derived from total RNA samples isolated from HEK293T cells transfected with DNA constructs encoding the target proteins, we found differentially expressed genes between cells producing the above uS10\textsuperscript{wt} mutant forms and those producing uS10\textsuperscript{wt}. Our findings revealed that the aberrant forms of uS10\textsuperscript{wt}, regardless of the type of mutation and whether it interferes with the participation of the protein in the assembly of the 40S subunit, mainly cause the up regulation of limited sets of genes closely associated with the DNA damage response, the p53 pathway and carcinogenesis, which share a group of genes common for all three cell samples. Although some of the genes were also upregulated when cells produced exogenous uS10\textsuperscript{wt}, the production of the uS10 mutants resulted in their more pronounced activation. Thus, it is not surprising that, as mentioned in the Introduction, mutations in the \textit{RPS20} gene, which correspond to the disruptive mutations in the uS10 ribosomal protein described in the current study, can increase the risk of developing cancer.

It would seem that the forms uS10\textsuperscript{mut1} and uS10\textsuperscript{mut3}, which are unable to be incorporated into the 40S subunit, should not affect the pattern of protein synthesis in cells. The opposite effect observed with these forms could result from the appearance of misfolded, non-functional proteins in the cell, which are known to disrupt its proteome homeostasis, causing the unfolded protein response and senescence [51,52]. Furthermore, there is evidence of close links between the unfolded protein and DNA damage responses [53–55]. Hence, in cells producing inactive mutant forms of uS10\textsuperscript{wt}, the activation of genes involved in the DNA damage response could be triggered through the responses to the emergence of these proteins and the need for their degradation. Notably, the GADD45a protein, whose gene was found to be upregulated in all cell samples producing mutant forms of uS10\textsuperscript{wt}, has been recently discovered as a gene, mediating a potential link between the responses to the hypoxic DNA damage and unfolded proteins [55]. It is also noteworthy that the amounts of the truncated uS10\textsuperscript{mut1} and uS10\textsuperscript{mut3} proteins are significantly less than the amount of the full-length uS10\textsuperscript{wt} protein in cells transfected with the corresponding constructs, which indicates the active degradation of the former two. Meanwhile, it has been established that there is a direct relationship between aberrant protein folding and carcinogenesis [56,57], and that the increased degradation of misfolded proteins contributes to oncogenesis [58]. Therefore, the accumulation of an erroneous ribosomal protein in the cell, in particular a truncated form of uS10, may also contribute to carcinogenesis, especially because ribosomal
proteins are synthesized in large quantities [11]. It should be noted that the frameshifts resulting from the p.L61EfsX11 and p.V50SfsX23 mutations in the RPS20 gene should lead to the emergence of premature termination codons in the mRNA regions corresponding to the gene’s last exon and to the appearance in cells of the mRNAs that are unable to degrade by the NMD mechanism [59], thus enabling the synthesis of uS10 truncated forms.

As mentioned in the Introduction, ribosome-free protein uS10 is a target for Mdm2 and thus participates in the regulation of the Mdm2-p53-MdmX network. Accordingly, it can be expected that the degradation of the truncated forms of uS10wt occurs through their Mdm2-dependent ubiquitination. Thus, the increased activity of the MDM2 gene observed with the uS10mut1 and uS10mut3 proteins is obviously required for their effective disposal from the cell. This, in turn, should lead to an imbalance in the Mdm2-p53 network, the role of which in the progression of many types of tumors, including CRC, is well known [60–62], and, consequently, to the activation of some genes of the p53 pathway and the induction of cellular senescence. Remarkably, the amount of DEGs in uS10mut3-producing cells is much greater than that in uS10mut1-producing ones, which could be due to the higher pI value for uS10mut3 (8.61) compared to the respective one for uS10mut1 (6.06) and, therefore, to the higher affinity of uS10mut3 for RNA. Since non-functional proteins should bind to RNA nonspecifically, one can assume that uS10mut3 is more toxic to cells than uS10mut1. Apparently, it is this property of uS10mut3 that is associated with changes in the expression of genes whose activities are not affected by the other two mutations of uS10wt, in particular with the activation of genes related to cellular senescence and the downregulation of genes involved in the extracellular matrix organization and diseases of metabolism processes.

Interestingly, changes in the cellular transcriptome in response to the production of the uS10mut2 protein with the missense mutation, which could integrate into the 40S ribosomal subunit without disrupting its ability to participate in translation, were similar to those caused by the production of truncated proteins uS10mut1 and uS10mut3. However, the cellular response to the appearance of uS10mut2, in which, instead of the Val residue at position 54, there was the Leu residue with the same physicochemical properties as the Val one, could hardly be associated with the functional inactivity of the protein in any processes occurring outside the translation machinery. If we analyze the structure of the ribosome in the uS10 region, we can see that the Val54 residue together with the Met56 and Leu88 ones forms a hydrophobic cluster, which is located in a rather close environment of the 18S rRNA nucleotide residues A1402-A1405 in the h39 helix (Figure 8). Moreover, residues C1403 and U1404 are turned out of the helix and form a kind of pocket in which this cluster is located, while the ribose moiety of the A1402 residue contacts the Val54 side chain. Thus, the replacement of Val54 by a bulkier hydrophobic Leu residue probably leads to a distortion of the ribosome structure in this region, which, obviously, does not have a catastrophic effect on protein synthesis (as evidenced by the involvement of ribosomes containing uS10mut2 in translation). However, it can affect this process through the allosteric modulation of the ribosome structure in some other specific region. For example, uS10 comes into direct contact with the ribosomal protein uS3 (see Introduction), which, being near the mRNA entry site, can interact with the apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) mRNA sites and, therefore, potentially participate in mRNA quality control during translation [7,63]. One can assume that this contact maintains the functionally active conformation of uS3 in the ribosome, which allows it to recognize an AP site in mRNA, and the distortion of the structure in the uS10 region prevents this contact, making uS3 unable to cross-link to the AP site. As a result of an increase in the number of errors during the decoding of mRNA codons containing the AP site, a significant number of non-functional proteins with amino acid substitutions should be synthesized, similarly to what occurs when mutations appear in damaged DNA, which implies that the cell responses to the impairment of mRNA quality control and the DNA damage are identical.

Notably, among the very limited number of upregulated DEGs in cells producing the uS10 mutants, there were genes directly associated with the progression of colorectal cancer. Of these, the PPM1D and PIGN genes have previously been identified as markers of
colorectal cancer with a poor prognosis when highly expressed [65,66], whereas PLK2 has been described as a gene that promotes tumor growth in colorectal cancer [67]. It is possible that the excessive activation of the above genes occurs also in patients with the mutations in the RPS20 gene, which may contribute to their hereditary predisposition to CRC.

Figure 8. A fragment of the structure of the human ribosome ([64]; PDB: 6qzp) in the area of the contact of uS10 with the h39 helix of 18S rRNA. Nucleotide residues A1402–A1405 forming the pocket are shown in blue. On the left, amino acid residues Val54 (red), Met56 and Leu88 (both yellow) of uS10, which are grouped into a hydrophobic cluster, are indicated by arrows, and the van der Waals radii of their atoms are shown by dots. To display the tight contact between Val54 and A1402, their atoms are also depicted with van der Waals radii. On the right, an enlarged view of the outlined area of the left figure showing distances (in angstroms) between the Val54 atoms and key atoms of neighboring residues.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plasmids Preparation, Cell Culturing and Target Protein Production Analysis

The minigene of the human ribosomal protein uS10 with the N-terminal 3×FLAG insertion was amplified using HEK293T cDNA and specific primers (Table S6). The plasmid pcDNA-uS10mut was generated by inserting the above minigene into the pcDNA3.1 vector at the Bam HI site as described [68]. This plasmid was a template for preparing pcDNA-uS10mut1, pcDNA-uS10mut2 and pcDNA-uS10mut3 plasmids bearing targeted mutations in the CDS of the minigene (insertion, substitution and deletion, respectively) by the quick-change mutagenesis method, using specific primers (Table S6). To facilitate the screening of clones with target mutations, technical mutations (substitutions) that did not change the amino acid sequence of the protein were also introduced into the pcDNA-uS10mut1 and pcDNA-uS10mut2 plasmids.

The HEK293T cells were cultured in 10 cm Petri dishes containing DMEM, 10% FBS, and 100 U/mL of penicillin-streptomycin in a CO2 incubator (5% CO2) at 37 °C. When reaching a density of 50–60%, the cells were transiently transfected with the above plasmids using Turbofect transfection reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After 48 h of incubation, the cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Arlington, VA, USA), followed by washing them off the Petri dishes.

Cell lysis, a sucrose density gradient centrifugation of the lysate and an analysis of the 3×FLAG-tagged protein content in the gradient fractions and the cell lysate are described in [69]. Mouse monoclonal antibodies specific for FLAG-peptide (M2, #F1804 and M5, #F4042; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), rabbit polyclonal antibodies specific for human uS10 (#PA5-112222; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and mouse monoclonal antibodies specific for recombinant human GAPDH (#60004-1-Ig; Proteintech, Rosemont, IL, USA) were used for Western blotting.
4.2. DNA Libraries Preparation and High-Throughput Sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from the cells producing target proteins, and the quality of the obtained samples was checked on the Bioanalyzer 2100 using the RNA6000Pico kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Total RNA was isolated from cells using TRIzol reagent with subsequent treatment by the On-Column DNase I Digestion Set (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and polyA enrichment by the NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA).

DNA libraries were prepared from mRNA samples using the MGIEasy RNA Directional Library Prep Set (MGI Tech, Shenzhen, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and subjected to NGS on the MGISEQ-2000 platform, utilizing a sequencing set 2 × 100 PE (FCL PE100, MGI Tech). All relevant procedures were performed in SB RAS Genomics Core Facility (ICBFM SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia).

4.3. Raw NGS Data Processing

Raw reads in fastq formats were quality assessed using the FastQC (v.0.11.9) and MultiQC (v. 1.9) tools [70] and subjected to quality filtration (Trimmomatic 0.39 [71]) and adapter trimming (cutadapt 2.9 [72]) using adapter sequences provided by the manufacturer. The filtered reads were quality assessed and mapped to the hg38 reference human genome using the STAR RNA-seq aligner tool (2.7.3) [73] and the Ensembl annotation (release 102). The resulting BAM files were quality checked with the QualimapTool (v.2.2) [74] using default parameters. The generated quality metrics were collected in a metadata table. The analysis of gene coverage with the sequencing reads was performed in the IGV genome browser using the generated BAM files. The RNA-seq read data reported in this study were submitted to the GenBank under the study accession PRJNA738595. The validation of RNA-seq data by RT-qPCR was performed as described [75] using the appropriate gene-specific primers (Table S7).

4.4. Bioinformatics Analysis of Processed NGS Data

A table with raw read counts assigned to each gene (counts table) was prepared using the Rsubread package (v. 2.4.0) [76], utilizing the featureCounts function with the GTF file (Ensembl release 102) as an annotation in paired-end and reverse-stranded counting. The BioMart package (v. 2.46.0) [77] was applied to annotate genes with the HGNC symbol, entrez ID and description. The analysis of DEGs was performed using the DESeq2 (v. 1.30.0) [78] package with default parameters, and the apeglm algorithm was used to shrink the LFC values, as described in the package vignette. For the selection of DEGs, the p value adjusted (p.adj) cutoff was assigned to <0.1, the absolute shrunken LFC cutoff was assigned to >0.322, which corresponds to changes in expression levels of more than 25%, and the baseMean value was assigned to higher than 100, which excludes poorly covered genes, i.e., weakly expressed ones. Volcano plots were generated using the EnhancedVolcano package (v. 1.4.0).

The GO enrichment analysis of DEGs against the GO terms of the biological processes category was carried out using the online-based resource www.geneontology.org (accessed on 15 March 2021) [79] by selecting the Fisher’s Exact test type and False Discovery Rate (FDR) correction parameters. Pathway analysis was performed using the ReactomePA package (1.34.0) [80] with the p.adj cutoff parameter assigned to 0.1 and other parameters by default. Only the top pathways by the p.adj value were selected. The pI values of proteins were calculated using the web-based application https://web.expasy.org/compute_pi (accessed on 1 November 2021).

5. Conclusions

Studying the effects of ectopic synthesis of uS10 ribosomal protein forms with p.V50SfsX23, p.V54L or p.L61EfsX11 mutations, previously reported as associated with a predisposition to hereditary non-polyposis CRC, on the transcriptome profile of mammalian cells made it possible to determine the genes and processes that are activated upon the appearance
of these forms in the cell. The presence of a number of genes related to the pathways involved in cellular responses to DNA damage and p53-regulation among the genes that are upregulated in all three studied samples of cells producing the mutant uS10 forms indicates the similarity in the mechanisms of responses to the synthesis of the aberrant proteins, and to stimuli promoting the emergence of cancer. Thus, our findings contribute to our understanding of the relationship between inherited mutations in the \textit{RPS20} gene, corresponding to the above ones in uS10, and a predisposition to non-polyposis CRC.

Further studies using various cell models, including colonic epithelial cells with the mutations introduced in the \textit{RPS20} gene, will help answer the question of why these mutations promote the malignant transformation of colon cells.
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