Edge-regular graphs with regular cliques
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Abstract
We exhibit infinitely many examples of edge-regular graphs that have regular cliques and that are not strongly regular. This answers a question of Neumaier from 1981.

1 Introduction
In this paper, all graphs are finite, without loops or multiple edges. For a graph $\Gamma$ and a vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$, we use $\Gamma(v)$ to denote the set of neighbours
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of $v$ in $\Gamma$. A non-empty $k$-regular graph $\Gamma$ on $N$ vertices is called edge-regular if there exists a constant $\lambda$ such that every pair of adjacent vertices has precisely $\lambda$ common neighbours. The quantities $N$, $k$, and $\lambda$ are called the parameters of $\Gamma$ and are usually written as the triple $(N, k, \lambda)$.

A strongly regular graph is defined to be an edge-regular graph with parameters $(N, k, \lambda)$ such that every pair of non-adjacent vertices has precisely $\mu$ common neighbours. The parameters of a strongly regular graph are given by the quadruple $(N, k, \lambda, \mu)$. A clique $C$ is called regular if every vertex not in $C$ is adjacent to a constant number $e > 0$ of vertices in $C$. The value $e$ is called the nexus and the clique $C$ is called $e$-regular.

We are concerned with edge-regular graphs that have regular cliques. Neumaier \cite[Corollary 2.4]{Neumaier} showed that an edge-regular, vertex-transitive, edge-transitive graph that has a regular clique must be strongly regular. He further posed the following question.

**Question \cite[Page 248]{Neumaier}:** Is every edge-regular graph with a regular clique strongly regular?

We answer this question in the negative, exhibiting infinite families of edge-regular graphs that are not strongly regular and that have regular cliques (see Section 5). Our graphs are Cayley graphs and hence they are vertex transitive. For background on Cayley graphs and vertex- and edge-transitivity, we refer the reader to Godsil and Royle’s book \cite{Godsil}.

We also point out that Goryainov and Shalaginov \cite{Goryainov} found four non-isomorphic examples of edge-regular graphs with parameters $(24, 8, 2)$ that are not strongly regular and each have a 1-regular clique.

In Section 2, we introduce a parameterised Cayley graph and determine some of its properties. In Section 3 and Section 4, we focus on specific parameters for the Cayley graph and find conditions that guarantee the graph is edge-regular and not strongly regular, respectively. Finally, in Section 5, we give parameters for our Cayley graphs that produce infinite families of graphs pertinent to Neumaier’s question.

## 2 A parametrised Cayley graph

First we fix some notation. Denote by $\mathbb{Z}_r$, the ring of integers modulo $r$, and by $\mathbb{F}_q$, the finite field of $q$ elements (where $q$ is a prime power). Given an additive group $\mathcal{G}$, we use $\mathcal{G}^*$ to denote the subset of elements of $\mathcal{G}$ that are not equal to the identity. Let $G_{l,m,q} = \mathbb{Z}_l \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^m \oplus \mathbb{F}_q$ where $l$ and $m$ are
positive integers, and \( q \) is a prime power. Fix a primitive element \( \rho \) of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Next we set up a generating set for \( G_{l,m,q} \). Define the subset \( S_0 \) of \( G_{l,m,q} \) as

\[
S_0 := \{(g,0) \mid g \in (\mathbb{Z}_l \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^m)^{*}\}.
\]

Let \( \pi : (\mathbb{Z}_2^m)^{*} \to \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m-1}} \) be a bijection. For each \( z \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^m)^{*} \), define

\[
S_{z,\pi} := \{(0,z,\rho^j) \mid j \equiv \pi(z) \pmod{2^{m-1}}\} \subset G_{l,m,q}.
\]

Now define \( S(\pi) := S_0 \cup \bigcup_{z \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^m)^{*}} S_{z,\pi} \). Observe that \( S(\pi) \) is a generating set for \( G_{l,m,q} \). We consider the Cayley graph of the (additive) group \( G_{l,m,q} \) with generating set \( S(\pi) \), which we denote by \( \text{Cay}(G_{l,m,q}, S(\pi)) \). This parametrised Cayley graph is the main object of this paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( l \) and \( m \) be positive integers, let \( \pi : (\mathbb{Z}_m^2)^* \to \mathbb{Z}_{2^m-1} \) be a bijection, set \( n = 2^m - 1 \), and let \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{2n} \) be a prime power. Then \( \text{Cay}(G_{l,m,q}, S(\pi)) \) is an undirected graph.

**Proof.** Write \( q = 2nr + 1 \) for some \( r \). It suffices to show that the set \( S \) is symmetric, that is, for all \( g \in S \) we have \( -g \in S \). It is easy to see that \( S_0 \) is symmetric. For each \( z \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^m)^* \), the set \( S_{z,\pi} \) is symmetric since \( -1 = \rho^{nr} \). Indeed, suppose \( (0,z,\rho^j) \in S_{z,\pi} \), then its inverse, \( (0,z,-\rho^j) = (0,z,\rho^{j+nr}) \) is also in \( S_{z,\pi} \). \( \square \)

Fix a prime power, \( q \), and positive integers \( l \) and \( m \). For each \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q \), define the set \( \mathcal{C}_f := \{(g,f) : g \in \mathbb{Z}_l \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^m\} \subset G_{l,m,q} \). A set of cliques of a graph \( \Gamma \) that partition the vertex set of \( \Gamma \) is called a spread in \( \Gamma \). Soicher [9] studied edge-regular graphs that have spreads of regular cliques. The next lemma shows that the graph \( \text{Cay}(G_{l,m,q}, S(\pi)) \) has a spread of regular cliques.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( l \) and \( m \) be positive integers, let \( \pi : (\mathbb{Z}_2^m)^* \to \mathbb{Z}_{2^m-1} \) be a bijection, and let \( q \) be a prime power. Then the set \( \{\mathcal{C}_f : f \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \) is a spread of 1-regular cliques in \( \text{Cay}(G_{l,m,q}, S(\pi)) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \Gamma = \text{Cay}(G_{l,m,q}, S(\pi)) \). First, it is clear that the set \( \{\mathcal{C}_f : f \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \) is a partition of the vertex set of \( \Gamma \).

Fix an element \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q \) and take two distinct elements \( x \) and \( y \) in \( \mathcal{C}_f \). Since the difference \( x - y \) is in \( S_0 \), we have that \( x \) and \( y \) are adjacent in \( \Gamma \).

Now we show that each vertex of \( \Gamma \) outside \( \mathcal{C}_f \) is adjacent to precisely one vertex of \( \mathcal{C}_f \). Let \( v = (g_1,g_2,g_3) \) with \( (g_1,g_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_l \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^m \) and \( g_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q \),
be a vertex not in the clique $C_f$. Then $g_3 \neq f$. The vertex $v$ is adjacent to a vertex of the clique $C_f$ via the unique generator $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \in S(\pi)$ with $s_3 = -g_3 + f$. Hence each vertex not in $C_f$ is adjacent to precisely one vertex of $C_f$, as required.

Next, given a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $q = 2nr + 1$ and a fixed primitive element $\rho$, define, for each $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, the $n$th cyclotomic class $C^m_q(i)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ as

$$C^m_q(i) := \{\rho^{nj+i} \mid j \in \{0, \ldots, 2r-1\}\}.$$  

For $a, b \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, the $n$th cyclotomic number $c^m_q(a, b)$ is defined as $c^m_q(a, b) := |(C^m_q(a) + 1) \cap C^m_q(b)|$. We refer to [7] for background on cyclotomic numbers.

Our next result is about the number of common neighbours of two adjacent vertices of $\text{Cay}(G_{l,m,q}, S(\pi))$.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $l$ and $m$ be positive integers, let $\pi : (\mathbb{Z}_m^2)^* \to \mathbb{Z}_{2^m-1}$ be a bijection, and let $q$ be a prime power. Let $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G_{l,m,q}, S(\pi))$ and let $v$ be a vertex of $\Gamma$. Then the subgraph of $\Gamma$ induced on $\Gamma(v)$ has valencies $2^ml - 2$ and

$$\sum_{\substack{h \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^m)^* \setminus \{g\}\ast}} c^m_q(\pi(h - g), \pi(h) - \pi(g)), \text{ for each } g \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^m)^*.$$

**Proof.** Since $\Gamma$ is vertex transitive, we can assume that $v$ is the identity of $G_{l,m,q}$. The neighbours of $v$ are then the elements of $S(\pi)$. Recall that $S(\pi) = S_0 \cup \bigcup_{z \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^m)^*} S_z, \pi$. Each element of the set $S_0$ is adjacent to every other element of $S_0$ and no elements of $S(\pi) \setminus S_0$. Since $S_0$ has cardinality $2^ml - 1$, we have the first part of the lemma.

Take an element $s \in S(\pi) \setminus S_0$. Then $s = (0, g, \rho^j)$ for some $g \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^m)^*$ and $j \equiv \pi(g) \pmod{2^m - 1}$. For each $h \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^m)^*$ with $h \neq g$, the number of neighbours of $s$ in $S_{h, \pi}$ is

$$c^m_q(\pi(h - g), \pi(h) - \pi(g))$$

as required. \qed

The final result in this section provides a formula that we will use to count the number of common neighbours of two nonadjacent vertices.
Proposition 2.4. Let \(l\) and \(m\) be positive integers, let \(\pi : (\mathbb{Z}^m_2)^* \to \mathbb{Z}_{2^m-1}\) be a bijection, and let \(q\) be a prime power. Let \(\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G_{l,m,q}, S(\pi))\) and let \(v\) and \(w\) be the vertices of \(\Gamma\) corresponding to \((0,0,0) \in G_{l,m,q}\) and \((0,g,\rho) \in G_{l,m,q}\), respectively, where \(\pi(g) \neq 1\). Then the number of common neighbours of \(v\) and \(w\) is
\[
2 + \sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}^m_2)^* \setminus \{g\}} c_q^{2^m-1}(\pi(h) - 1, \pi(h + g) - 1).
\]

Proof. The neighbours of \(v\) are precisely the elements of \(S(\pi)\). The vertices \(v\) and \(w\) have precisely 1 common neighbour in \(S_0\) and precisely 1 common neighbour in \(\{(g+h, \rho) \mid h \in (\mathbb{Z}_l \oplus \mathbb{Z}^m_2)^*\}\). The remaining common neighbours of \(v\) and \(w\) are elements of the form \((0,z,\rho^i)\) such that \(z = g + h\) for some \(h \in (\mathbb{Z}^m_2)^* \setminus \{g\}\), and \(i \equiv \pi(z) \pmod{2^m - 1}\), \(j \equiv \pi(h) \pmod{2^m - 1}\), and \(\rho^i \equiv \rho + \rho^j\). The number of such elements is equal to
\[
\sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}^m_2)^* \setminus \{g\}} c_q^{2^m-1}(\pi(h) - 1, \pi(h + g) - 1).
\]
\[\square\]

3 Sufficient conditions for edge-regularity

Next we find sufficient conditions to guarantee that the graph \(\text{Cay}(G_{l,m,q}, S(\pi))\) is edge-regular for \(m = 3\) and \(m = 2\).

3.1 Edge-regularity for the graphs \(\text{Cay}(G_{l,3,q}, S(\pi))\)

Here we focus on \(m = 3\). We will need the following equalities for the 7th cyclotomic numbers.

Lemma 3.1 (See [7]). Let \(q \equiv 1 \pmod{14}\) be a prime power. Then
\[
(i) \quad c_q^7(1,3) = c_q^7(6,2) = c_q^7(5,4),
(ii) \quad c_q^7(1,5) = c_q^7(6,4) = c_q^7(3,2), \text{ and }
(iii) \quad c_q^7(a,b) = c_q^7(b,a) \text{ for all } a,b \in \{0, \ldots, 6\}.
\]
Elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ are naturally identified with elements of the set $\{0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$; let $\hat{x}$ denote the image in $\{0, 1\}$ of an element $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $\phi : (\mathbb{Z}_2^3)^* \to \mathbb{Z}_7$ be given by $\phi(x_2, x_1, x_0) := \hat{x}_0 + 2\hat{x}_1 + 4\hat{x}_2 \pmod{7}$ and let $\text{wt} : (\mathbb{Z}_2^3) \to \mathbb{Z}$ be given by $\text{wt}(x_2, x_1, x_0) := \hat{x}_0 + \hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2$. Define index-shifting functions $\sigma_+, \sigma_- : (\mathbb{Z}_2^3) \to (\mathbb{Z}_2^3)$ as $\sigma_+(x_2, x_1, x_0) := (x_1, x_0, x_2)$ and $\sigma_-(x_2, x_1, x_0) := (x_0, x_2, x_1)$.

Now we define the functions $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 : (\mathbb{Z}_2^3)^* \to \mathbb{Z}_7$ as

$$\Psi_1(x) = \begin{cases} \phi(\sigma_+(x)), & \text{if \text{wt}(x) is odd;} \\ \phi(\sigma_-(x)), & \text{if \text{wt}(x) is even;} \end{cases}$$

$$\Psi_2(x) = \begin{cases} \phi(\sigma_+(x) + x), & \text{if \text{wt}(x) is odd;} \\ \phi((1, 1, 1) + x), & \text{if \text{wt}(x) is even.} \end{cases}$$

We use the functions $\Psi_1$ and $\Psi_2$ since they have the following nice property, which follows from Lemma 3.1.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{14}$ be a prime power and let $g, h \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^3)^*$ with $g \neq h$. Then

(i) $c_q^7(\Psi_1(h - g) - \Psi_1(g), \Psi_1(h) - \Psi_1(g)) = c_q^7(1, 5)$;

(ii) $c_q^7(\Psi_2(h - g) - \Psi_2(g), \Psi_2(h) - \Psi_2(g)) = c_q^7(1, 3)$.

Now we give sufficient conditions that guarantee that $\text{Cay}(G_{l,3,q}, S(\pi))$ is edge-regular.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{14}$ be a prime power, let $c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and let $l = (3c + 1)/4$. Suppose that $c = c_q^7(1, 5)$ (resp. $c = c_q^7(1, 3)$) and set $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G_{l,3,q}, S(\pi))$ where $\pi = \Psi_1$ (resp. $\pi = \Psi_2$). Then $\Gamma$ is edge-regular with parameters $(8lq, 8l - 2 + q, 8l - 2)$ having a regular clique of order $8l$.

**Proof.** Let $v$ be a vertex of $\Gamma$. By Lemma 2.3 the subgraph $\Delta$ induced on $\Gamma(v)$ has valencies $8l - 2$ and

$$\sum_{\substack{h \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^3)^* \setminus h \neq g}} c_q^7(\pi(h - g) - \pi(g), \pi(h) - \pi(g)), \text{ for each } g \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^3)^*.$$

Suppose $c = c_q^7(1, 5)$ (resp. $c = c_q^7(1, 3)$). Then, by Proposition 3.2, the graph $\Delta$ is regular with valency $8l - 2 = 6c_q^7(1, 5)$ (resp. $8l - 2 = 6c_q^7(1, 3)$). By Lemma 2.2 the graph $\Gamma$ has a regular clique, as required. \qed
3.2 Edge-regularity for the graphs Cay($G_{1,2,q}, S(\pi)$)

Here we focus on $m = 2$. We will need the following equality for the 3rd cyclotomic numbers.

**Lemma 3.4** (See [7]). Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ be a prime power. Then $c_q^3(1, 2) = c_q^3(2, 1) = c_q^3(0, 0) + 1$.

As a contrast to the previous subsection, in the next result we show that for $m = 2$, the choice of bijection $\pi$ in the graph Cay($G_{1,2,q}, S(\pi)$) is not important.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let $\pi : (\mathbb{Z}_2^2)^* \to \mathbb{Z}_3$ be a bijection and let $g, h \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^2)^*$ with $g \neq h$. Suppose $q \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ is a prime power. Then we have the equality $c_q^3(\pi(h - g) - \pi(g), \pi(h) - \pi(g)) = c_q^3(1, 2)$.

**Proof.** Observe that $\pi(h - g)$, $\pi(g)$, and $\pi(h)$ are pairwise distinct. Hence $(\pi(h - g) - \pi(g), \pi(h) - \pi(g))$ is either $(1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$ and, using Lemma 3.4, we see that $c_q^3(\pi(h - g) - \pi(g), \pi(h) - \pi(g)) = c_q^3(1, 2)$. \hfill \Box

Now we give sufficient conditions that guarantee that Cay($G_{1,2,q}, S(\pi)$) is edge-regular.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ be a prime power and let $\pi : (\mathbb{Z}_2^2)^* \to \mathbb{Z}_3$ be a bijection. Suppose $c = c_q^3(1, 2)$ is odd and $l = (c + 1)/2$. Then Cay($G_{1,2,q}, S(\pi)$) is edge-regular with parameters $(4l, 4l - 2 + q, 4l - 2)$ having a regular clique of order $4l$.

**Proof.** Let $v$ be a vertex of $\Gamma$. By Lemma 2.3, the subgraph $\Delta$ induced on $\Gamma(v)$ has valencies $4k - 2$ and

$$\sum_{\substack{h \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^2)^* \backslash g}} c_q^3(\pi(h - g) - \pi(g), \pi(h) - \pi(g)),$$

for each $g \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^2)^*$. By Proposition 3.5, the graph $\Delta$ is regular with valency $4l - 2 = 2c_q^3(1, 2)$.

By Lemma 2.2, the graph $\Gamma$ has a regular clique, as required. \hfill \Box

By Theorem 3.6, for a prime power $q \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, all we need is that $c_q^3(1, 2)$ is odd to ensure that we can construct an edge-regular graph having a regular clique. Next we record a result to help us control the parity of $c_q^3(1, 2)$.
Lemma 3.7 ([10 Lemma 4]). Let \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \) be a prime power. Then \( c^3_q(1, 2) \) is even if and only if \( 2 \in C^3_q(0) \).

Now we present a simple condition that guarantees that \( c^3_q(1, 2) \) is odd.

Corollary 3.8. Let \( p \) be an odd prime, let \( n \) be the order of 2 modulo \( p \), and let \( e \) be the order of \( p \) modulo \( 3n \). Suppose that \( e > 1 \). Set \( q = p^a \) where \( a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{e} \). Further suppose that \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \). Then \( c^3_q(1, 2) \) is odd.

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, it suffices to show that \( 2 \in C^3_q(0) \) implies that \( a \equiv 0 \pmod{e} \). Suppose that \( 2 = \rho^{3r} \) for some \( r \). Then \( 2^{3n} = 1 = \rho^{3nr} \) and hence \( 3nr = q - 1 \). Thus \( q = p^a \equiv 1 \pmod{3n} \). We then see that \( a \equiv 0 \pmod{e} \), as required.

We will use the next (obvious) result to find primes \( p \) for which the \( e \) in Corollary 3.8 is strictly greater than 1.

Proposition 3.9. Let \( p \) be an odd prime. Suppose that \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \) where \( n \) is the order of 2 modulo \( p \). Then \( 2^{(p-1)/3} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \).

Let \( p \) be a prime such that \( 2^{(p-1)/3} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \). By Proposition 3.9, we have \( p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3n} \) where \( n \) is the order of 2 modulo \( p \). Such primes can be used to construct edge-regular graphs (via Theorem 3.6) since the corresponding \( e \) in Corollary 3.8 will be greater than 1.

Remark 3.10. The condition that \( 2^{(p-1)/3} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \) is the same as saying that 2 is a cubic non-residue modulo \( p \). We remark that there exist infinitely many primes \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \) such that 2 is a cubic non-residue modulo \( p \) (see Cox [3, Theorem 9.8 and Theorem 9.12]).

Let \( q = p^a \) be a prime power such that \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \). Then either \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \) or \( p \equiv 5 \pmod{6} \) and \( a \) is even. Our next result shows that the hypotheses of Corollary 3.8 can only be satisfied if \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \).

Proposition 3.11. Let \( p \equiv 5 \pmod{6} \) be a prime, let \( n \) be the order of 2 modulo \( p \), and let \( e \) be the order of \( p \) modulo \( 3n \). Then \( e = 2 \).

Proof. Let \( n \) be the order of 2 modulo \( p \). Since \( 2^{(p^2-1)/3} = (2^{(p+1)/3})^{p-1} \pmod{p} \), we see that \( n \) divides \( (p^2 - 1)/3 \). Hence \( p^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3n} \) and so \( e \) equals 1 or 2. If \( e = 1 \) then \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3n} \), which is impossible since \( p \equiv 5 \pmod{6} \).
4 On being strongly regular

Neumaier’s question is about edge-regular graphs that are not strongly regular. In this section, we show that the graphs constructed in Section 3.1 and Section 3.2 are not strongly regular (see Corollary 4.3 and Corollary 4.4).

The eigenvalues of a graph are defined to be the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix. The next proposition is a standard result about the eigenvalues of a strongly regular graph (see Brouwer and Haemers [1, Chapter 9] or Cameron and Van Lint [2, Chapter 2]).

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \( \Gamma \) be a non-complete strongly regular graph with parameters \((N, k, \lambda, \mu)\) and eigenvalues \(k > \theta_1 \geq \theta_2\). Then

\[
(N-k-1)\mu = k(k-\lambda-1);
\]

\[
\lambda - \mu = \theta_1 + \theta_2;
\]

\[
\mu - k = \theta_1 \theta_2.
\]

Let \( \Gamma \) be a graph and let \( \pi = \{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_s\} \) be a partition of the vertices of \( \Gamma \). For each vertex \( x \) in \( \pi_i \), write \( d_x^{(j)} \) for the number of neighbours of \( x \) in \( \pi_j \). Then we write \( b_{ij} = 1/|\pi_i| \sum_{x \in \pi_i} d_x^{(j)} \) for the average number of neighbours in \( \pi_j \) of vertices in \( \pi_i \). The matrix \( B_\pi := (b_{ij}) \) is called the quotient matrix of \( \pi \) and \( \pi \) is called equitable if for all \( i \) and \( j \), we have \( d_x^{(j)} = b_{ij} \) for each \( x \in \pi_i \). By [5, Theorem 9.3.3], if \( \pi \) is an equitable partition of \( \Gamma \) then the eigenvalues of the quotient matrix \( B_\pi \) are also eigenvalues of \( \Gamma \).

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( \Gamma \) be a non-complete strongly regular graph with parameters \((N, k, \lambda, \mu)\) having a 1-regular clique of order \( s+1 \). Then there exists an integer \( t \) such that \((N, k, \lambda, \mu) = ((s+1)(st+1), s(t+1), s-1, t+1)\).

**Proof.** Let \(-t-1\) be the smallest eigenvalue of \( \Gamma \) and let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a 1-regular clique in \( \Gamma \) of order \( s+1 \). By the proof of [8, Corollary 1.2] we see that \( t \) is an integer and \( k = s(t+1) \). Let \( V \) denote the vertex set of \( \Gamma \) and let \( W \subset V \) denote the vertex set of \( \mathcal{C} \). Observe that the partition \( \pi = \{W, V\setminus W\} \) of \( V \) is equitable with quotient matrix \( B_\pi = (s^{k-s}_{1k-1}) \). Hence the eigenvalue \( s-1 \) of \( B_\pi \) must also be an eigenvalue \( \Gamma \). Therefore \( \Gamma \) has distinct eigenvalues \( s(t+1) > s-1 \geq -t-1 \). By Proposition 4.1, the graph \( \Gamma \) has parameters \(((s+1)(st+1), s(t+1), s-1, t+1)\), as required.

We show in the next two results that the graphs constructed in Section 3.1 and Section 3.2 are not strongly regular.
Corollary 4.3. Let \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{14} \) be a prime power, let \( c \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \), and let \( l = (3c + 1)/4 \). Suppose that \( c = c_q^7(1, 5) \) (resp. \( c = c_q^7(1, 3) \)) and set \( \Gamma = \text{Cay}(G_{l,3, q}, S(\pi)) \) where \( \pi = \Psi_1 \) (resp. \( \pi = \Psi_2 \)). Then \( \Gamma \) is not strongly regular.

Proof. Consider the vertex \( v \) corresponding to the identity of \( G_{l,3, q} \) and the vertex \( x \) corresponding to \((0, g, \rho) \in G_{l,3, q}\) where \( g = (1, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \) (resp. \( g = (1, 1, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \)). Observe that \( x \) is not adjacent to \( v \). By Proposition 2.1 the vertices \( v \) and \( x \) have \( 2 + 6c \) common neighbours.

Now suppose, for a contradiction, that \( \Gamma \) is strongly regular (since \( q > 1 \) the graph \( \Gamma \) is not complete). By Lemma 2.2 the graph \( \Gamma \) has a 1-regular clique of order \( 8l = 6c + 2 \). Therefore, by Lemma 4.2 there exists an integer \( t \) such that \( \Gamma \) has parameters \(((6c + 2)((6c + 1)t + 1), (6c + 1)(t + 1), 6c, t + 1)\). Furthermore, using Theorem 3.6 we see that \( t = (q - 1)/(6c + 1) \).

On the other hand, since the nonadjacent vertices \( v \) and \( x \) have \( 2 + 6c \) common neighbours, we must have \( t = 6c + 1 \) and thence \( q = (6c + 1)^2 + 1 \). This contradicts the fact that \( q \) is odd.

Corollary 4.4. Let \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \) be a prime power and let \( \pi : (\mathbb{Z}_2^2)^* \to \mathbb{Z}_3 \) be a bijection. Suppose \( c = c_q^3(1, 2) \) is odd and \( l = (c + 1)/2 \). Then \( \Gamma = \text{Cay}(G_{l,2, q}, S(\pi)) \) is not strongly regular.

Proof. Consider the vertex \( v \) corresponding to the identity of \( G_{l,2, q} \) and the vertex \( x \) corresponding to \((0, g, \rho) \in G_{l,2, q}\) where \( \pi(g) = 0 \). Observe that \( x \) is not adjacent to \( v \). By Proposition 2.1 the vertices \( v \) and \( x \) have \( 2 + 2c_q^3(0, 1) \) common neighbours.

Now suppose, for a contradiction, that \( \Gamma \) is strongly regular (since \( q > 1 \) the graph \( \Gamma \) is not complete). By Lemma 2.2 the graph \( \Gamma \) has a 1-regular clique of order \( 4l = 2c + 2 \). Therefore, by Lemma 4.2 there exists an integer \( t \) such that \( \Gamma \) has parameters \(((2c + 2)((2c + 1)t + 1), (2c + 1)(t + 1), 2c, t + 1)\). Furthermore, using Theorem 3.6 we see that \( t = (q - 1)/(2c + 1) \).

On the other hand, since the nonadjacent vertices \( v \) and \( x \) have \( 2 + 2c_q^3(0, 1) \) common neighbours, we must have \( t = 2c_q^3(0, 1) + 1 \) and thence \( q = (2c + 1)(2c_q^3(0, 1) + 1) + 1 \). This contradicts the fact that \( q \) is odd. \( \square \)

5 Concluding remarks

Using Corollary 3.8 together with Theorem 3.6 we obtain infinite families of edge-regular graphs having regular cliques. Indeed, take \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \)
to be a prime such that $2^{(p-1)/3} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. By Remark 3.10, we know there are infinitely many such $p$. Using Proposition 3.9, we can set $q = p^a$ for some $a$ such that the assumptions of Corollary 3.8 are satisfied. Then $c = c_q^3(1, 2)$ is odd. Let $l = (c + 1)/2$ and let $\pi : (Z_2^2)^* \to Z_3$ be a bijection. By Theorem 3.6, the graph Cay($G_{t,2,q}, S(\pi)$) is an edge-regular graph with parameters $(4lq, 4l - 2 + q, 4l - 2)$ having a $1$-regular clique of order $4l$. Furthermore, by Corollary 4.4, Cay($G_{t,2,q}, S(\pi)$) is not strongly regular.

Example 1. Let $\pi : (Z_2^2)^* \to Z_3$ be a bijection. Set $q = 7^a$ where $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. The graph $X(a) := \Gamma((c_q^3(1, 2) + 1)/2, 2, q, \pi)$ is an edge-regular graph with parameters $(2q(c_q^3(1, 2) + 1), 2c_q^3(1, 2) + q, 2c_q^3(1, 2))$ having a $1$-regular clique of order $2c_q^3(1, 2) + 2$. In particular, the graph $X(1)$ is an edge-regular graph with parameters $(28, 9, 2)$ having a $1$-regular clique of order 4.

The following question naturally arises.

**Question A.** What is the minimum number of vertices for which there exists an edge-regular, but not strongly regular graph having a regular clique?

Recently, Evans et al. [4] discovered an edge-regular, but not strongly regular graph on 16 vertices that has 2-regular cliques with order 4, and proved that, up to isomorphism, this is the unique edge-regular, but not strongly regular graph on at most 16 vertices having a regular clique. The regular cliques in their graph each have order 4. By the following result, we see that a non-strongly-regular edge-regular graph having a regular clique must have a clique of order at least 4.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let $\Gamma$ be an edge-regular graph having a regular clique. Suppose that $\Gamma$ is not strongly regular. Then $\Gamma$ has a regular clique of order at least 4.

**Proof.** Suppose, for a contradiction, that the largest cliques of $\Gamma$ have at most 3 vertices. By [8, Theorem 1.3], it suffices to assume that $\Gamma$ has a $1$-regular clique of order 3. Then, using part (iii) of [8, Lemma 1.5], each edge of $\Gamma$ is in at most one clique of $\Gamma$. Let $v$ be a vertex of $\Gamma$. The subgraph of $\Gamma$ induced on the neighbourhood $\Gamma(v)$ of $v$ must therefore be a disjoint union of $l$ edges.

Take a vertex $w \not\in \Gamma(v)$. By [8, Theorem 1.1], every clique of order 3 is $1$-regular. Hence the vertices $v$ and $w$ have exactly $l$ common neighbours, and thus $\Gamma$ is strongly regular. We have established a contradiction, as required.

Finally, using [8, Theorem 1.1], we see that the largest cliques of $\Gamma$ are regular.
By Lemma 2.2 we see that the regular cliques of the graphs Cay\((G_{1,2,q}, S(\pi))\) are 1-regular. Moreover, the four examples of Goryainov and Shalaginov [6] each has a 1-regular clique and the example of Evans et al. [4] has a 2-regular clique. Hence we ask the following question.

**Question B.** Does there exist a non-strongly-regular, edge-regular graph having a regular clique with nexus greater than 2?
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