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Effects of aerobic exercise training on fitness and walking-related outcomes in ambulatory individuals with chronic incomplete spinal cord injury

ND DiPiro¹, AE Embry¹,²,³, SL Fritz⁴, A Middleton⁴, JS Krause¹ and CM Gregory¹,²,³

Study design: Single group, pretest–post-test study.

Objectives: To determine the effects of a non-task-specific, voluntary, progressive aerobic exercise training (AET) intervention on fitness and walking-related outcomes in ambulatory adults with chronic motor-incomplete SCI.

Setting: Rehabilitation research center.

Methods: Ten ambulatory individuals (50% female; 57.94 ± 9.33 years old; 11.11 ± 9.66 years postinjury) completed voluntary, progressive moderate-to-vigorous intensity AET on a recumbent stepper 3 days per week for 6 weeks. The primary outcome measures were aerobic capacity (VO2peak; volume of oxygen that the body can use during physical exertion) and self-selected overground walking speed (OGWS). Secondary outcome measures included walking economy, 6-minute walk test (6MWT), daily step counts, Walking Index for Spinal Cord Injury (WISCI-II), Dynamic Gait Index (DGI) and Berg Balance Scale (BBS).

Results: Nine participants completed all testing and training. Significant improvements in aerobic capacity (P = 0.011), OGWS (P = 0.023), the percentage of VO2peak used while walking at self-selected speed (P = 0.03) and daily step counts (P = 0.025) resulted following training.

Conclusions: The results indicate that total-body, voluntary, progressive AET is safe, feasible, and effective for improving aerobic capacity, walking speed, and select walking-related outcomes in an exclusively ambulatory SCI sample. This study suggests the potential for non-task-specific aerobic exercise to improve following incomplete SCI and builds a foundation for further investigation aimed at the development of exercise-based rehabilitation strategies to target functionally limiting impairments in ambulatory individuals with chronic SCI.
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INTRODUCTION

Aerobic capacity is a health-related component of physical fitness and an indicator of health, morbidity and mortality.¹ Following spinal cord injury (SCI), aerobic capacity is significantly diminished, so much so that individuals with SCI are believed to live at the lowest end of the human fitness spectrum.² The decline in aerobic capacity after SCI is related to a number of factors, including motor level of injury, functional classification, body mass index, age and activity level.³,⁴ There is sufficient evidence to show that aerobic exercise training (AET) improves aerobic capacity and mitigates the adverse effects of low fitness after SCI.⁵–⁸ However, aerobic capacity and the effects of AET have not been thoroughly studied in ambulatory adults with incomplete SCI, a large and a growing segment of the SCI population.

Aerobic capacity is a known determinant of normal walking⁹ and has been suggested as a factor that may influence walking ability in individuals with neurological diseases or disorders (e.g. stroke, multiple sclerosis).¹⁰–¹³ There is ample evidence supporting the beneficial effects of AET on both aerobic capacity and walking outcomes after stroke¹⁴–¹⁶ and multiple sclerosis.¹⁷–¹⁹ To date, however, the relationship between aerobic capacity and walking after SCI is not fully understood, and data regarding the effects of AET on these outcomes in ambulatory adults with SCI are lacking. It is reasonable to believe that diminished aerobic capacity may be a factor limiting walking ability after SCI, and, similar to observations from other populations, voluntary (i.e. manual, not assisted or electrically stimulated) AET may result in beneficial effects on fitness and walking outcomes.

The majority of studies aimed at improving walking ability in ambulatory adults with SCI have focused on task-specific (i.e. walking based) strategies, and only a few have assessed changes in fitness following training.²⁰–²² There is little evidence available regarding the effects of non-task-specific exercise on walking outcomes and fitness in ambulatory adults with SCI. Functional electrical stimulation (FES) cycling is one non-task-specific AET strategy that has been shown to improve fitness after SCI,²³,²⁴ as well as walking outcomes in ambulatory adults with SCI.²⁵,²⁶ To date, the impact of voluntary, non-task-specific AET has yet to be investigated in this population.
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Recumbent stepping is a non-task-specific total-body aerobic exercise that produces reciprocal movements similar to but simpler than walking and relies on similar neural control. This exercise modality may target ambulatory deficits via multiple mechanisms, including increased aerobic capacity and muscle strength. Recumbent stepping has been shown to increase aerobic capacity and improve walking outcomes in adults with neurologic diseases and is a recommended exercise strategy for rehabilitation of ambulatory impairments, as it is accessible, easy to perform and cost-effective.

**Purpose**

The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of a 6-week non-task-specific, progressive AET intervention on fitness and walking-related outcomes in ambulatory adults with chronic motor-incomplete SCI. We hypothesized that a voluntary recumbent stepping exercise program, with sufficient focus on aerobic capacity, would result in significant improvements in the primary outcome measures, aerobic capacity (\( V_{\text{O}_2}\text{peak} \) volume of oxygen that the body can use during physical exertion)) and self-selected overground walking speed (OGWS). We further hypothesized that there would be improvements in secondary walking-related outcome measures including walking economy, 6-minute walk test (6MWT), daily step counts, Walking Index for Spinal Cord Injury (WISCI-II), Dynamic Gait Index (DGI) and Berg Balance Scale (BBS).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Participants**

Participants were recruited from a pool of individuals who had previously completed studies at the rehabilitation research center and also from area clinics, support groups and by word of mouth. Twenty-five individuals were screened for eligibility. Ten participants with chronic (>6 months postinjury) motor-incomplete SCI (AIS C, D; C4-T12) volunteered to participate in this single group, pretest-posttest study. Participants received remuneration for their time. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age 18–75 years; (2) a diagnosis of first time SCI including etiology from traumatic or vascular origin; (3) the ability to walk independently for a minimum of 10 m with or without an assistive device; (4) Self-selected stepping speed >0.1 and <1.15 m s\(^{-1}\); (5) a medically stable condition allowing for testing of walking function and AET. Before participation, all participants provided a signed informed consent. We certify that all applicable institutional and governmental regulations concerning the ethical use of human volunteers were followed during the course of this research.

**AET intervention**

Participants completed a 6-week (3 sessions per week; 20 min per session) non-task-specific, progressive AET program. All training was performed on a NuStep T5xr recumbent cross-trainer (NuStep Inc., Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The selected exercise modality required bilateral reciprocal stepping against resistive forces and synchronized upper extremity movement; thus, a total-body workout was achieved. No assistance in performing the exercise was provided by either personnel or electrical stimulation; thus, all AET was voluntary.

The AET intervention was developed to meet the SCI guidelines for aerobic activity (20 min, 2 days per week of moderate-to-vigorous exercise) and prepare participants to reach the levels of aerobic exercise recommended by the ACSM and 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. The primary parameter for progression during the AET was intensity of exercise, expressed as a percentage of \( V_{\text{O}_2}\text{reserve} \) (\( V_{\text{O}_2R} \)), where \( \text{target } V_{\text{O}_2R} = \% \text{ intensity } (V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}} - V_{\text{O}_2\text{rest}}) + V_{\text{O}_2\text{rest}} \). Over the course of the training period, the exercise dose was progressed from a starting intensity of 40% \( V_{\text{O}_2R} \), and increased by at least 5% per week, to a final target exercise intensity of 60–70% \( V_{\text{O}_2R} \) in the final week. Each participant followed an individualized training program, generated based on his or her baseline \( V_{\text{O}_2} \) values, the identified target intensity and the corresponding metabolic equivalent (MET) levels (1 MET = 3.5 ml \( O_2 \) kg\(^{-1}\) per min (milliliters of oxygen per kilogram body mass per minute)). MET levels were displayed on the NuStep and were used to track intensity and progression of the exercise sessions.

The weekly sessions included two steady-state exercise sessions at the target intensity and one high-intensity interval training session. Two built-in programs were selected for the exercise sessions. The constant power program was used for the steady-state sessions. In this program, the participants exercised at a constant effort; the program adjusted the workload based on the stepping speed and the step length to maintain a constant target MET level. The NuStep profiles program provides five options for different levels of interval training. The built-in interval training program, profile 5, was chosen to allow for 1:1 interval training (1 min of high-intensity exercise and 1 min of active recovery). The interval training intensities were set based on the net target workload for the week (i.e. 40–63% \( V_{\text{O}_2R} \)). During the interval training sessions, the active recovery remained at 20% \( V_{\text{O}_2R} \); thus, the high-intensity intervals increased from 60 to 110% of pretraining \( V_{\text{O}_2R} \) over the course of the 6 weeks. During all exercise sessions, MET level, heart rate, blood pressure and ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) levels were monitored for safety and to ensure that the participants were exercising at the appropriate intensity.

**Outcome measures**

The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) model guided the selection of outcome measures. Outcome measures were collected before and following the AET intervention. OGWS was assessed weekly. To prevent fatigue, the pre- and post-test assessments were spread out over two visits, and participants were given rest periods of 5–10 min between testing at each visit.

**Aerobic capacity**. Graded exercise tests were completed on the NuStep to assess changes in \( V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}} \) presented as ml \( O_2 \) kg\(^{-1}\) per min. Following the protocols previously described by Billinger et al., participants completed the modified total-body recumbent stepper exercise test. This device and protocol have been validated for use in healthy, sedentary and poststroke individuals, but have yet to be evaluated in individuals with incomplete SCI. Despite this limitation, the decision was made to use the NuStep to maintain consistency between the testing and training of \( V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}} \). Our decision was further influenced by safety and feasibility concerns to using other common modalities (e.g. treadmill, arm-crank or cycle ergometer). For example, walking impairments may affect the amount of time a participant could spend on a treadmill, thus limiting attainment of \( V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}} \). In addition, aerobic capacity is often underestimated on arm-crank and cycle ergometer exercise tests, due to the limited muscle mass activated. We believed the participants would more fully tax their cardiorespiratory system by completing a total-body graded exercise test on the NuStep, which requires active recruitment of a larger muscle mass. In addition, utilization of both upper and lower extremities was expected to reduce the risk of local fatigue commonly observed in cycle ergometry exercise tests. We acknowledge that further research is necessary to validate the use of recumbent stepping protocols for assessment of aerobic capacity in individuals with SCI.

Before exercise testing, instructions were given to the participants to refrain from consuming caffeine, food or drink (water was permitted) for at least 3 h and to avoid significant exertion or exercise on the day of the assessment. The protocol and assessments required during the test were described, and participants were given a few minutes to acclimate to the required speed (80 steps per min) before starting. Once seated, adjustments were made to the seat and arm positions; if needed, hand and leg stabilizers were used. Participants were then fitted with a facemask that allowed for the collection of respiratory gases. Oxygen uptake was measured using a Quark CPET metabolic cart (Cosmed, Rome, Italy). Breath-by-breath cardiorespiratory data were collected and then averaged every 15 s to determine the highest \( V_{\text{O}_2} \) (\( V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}} \) achieved during the test.

The modified total-body recumbent stepper exercise test consisted of eight consecutive 2-min stages, where resistance increased at each stage. Each test was supervised by an exercise physiologist, cardiologist and trained personnel to ensure participant safety. A 12-lead electrocardiogram was monitored.
throughout the test. Vital signs (heart rate and blood pressure) and ratings of perceived exertion (Borg RPE scale 6–20) were recorded at the end of each 2-min stage. The participants were instructed to discontinue the test if at any point they felt the need to stop. Otherwise, the exercise test was terminated by the cardiologist or exercise physiologist based on the ACSM’s general indications and/or the inability of the participant to maintain stepping cadence of 80 steps per min with verbal cues and encouragement. Peak oxygen consumption was assessed by the attainment of (1) a plateau in \( \text{VO}_2 \), despite an increase in exercise load, (2) RPE > 17 and/or (3) respiratory exchange ratio \( \geq 1.15 \).

Walking speed. Self-selected OGWS was assessed using a GAITRite portable gait analysis system (CIR Systems Inc./GAITRite, Sparta, NJ, USA). Participants were fitted with a support vest connected to a passive overhead harness as a safety precaution and were instructed to walk at their comfortable walking speed across the walkway. The use of assistive devices and bracing was only permitted as absolutely necessary (i.e., participants were unable to safely walk without them) and maintained for each test for the duration of the study. Trained personnel walked beside the participants if requested or needed to maintain safety. Four trials were completed at each testing point and the average OGWS recorded.

Walking-related outcomes. Guided by published recommendations, a number of outcomes were selected to complete a comprehensive assessment of ambulation. The selected outcomes included walking economy, 6-minute walk test (6MWT), daily step counts, WISCI-II, DGI and BBS.

Participants completed a 5-min walking assessment at self-selected speed on a treadmill to assess walking economy. To determine self-selected speed, the treadmill speed was incrementally increased until the participant indicated their comfortable, usual walking speed. Participants completed the post-testing assessment at matched prespeed. While walking on the treadmill, participants were connected to a passive overhead harness, in place as a safety precaution only; no body weight support was provided. Oxygen uptake was measured using a metabolic cart. The \( \text{VO}_2 \) (ml \( \text{O}_2 \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \)) data collected during the last minute of the walking test were averaged and normalized by self-selected walking speed to determine walking economy (ml \( \text{O}_2 \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \)). The percentage of \( \text{VO}_2 \text{peak} \) used while walking at self-selected speed (i.e., the relative cost of walking) was also calculated from the \( \text{VO}_2 \) values obtained.

All participants completed a self-paced 6MWT in a hallway to assess submaximal levels of functional capacity (i.e., walking endurance). Total distance walked (meters, m) was recorded. The 6MWT is a valid and a reliable test commonly used to test walking ability in the incomplete SCI population.

As a participation-level measure, individuals who reported walking their primary mode of locomotion wore a StepWatch 3.0 Step Activity Monitor (SAM) (OrthoCare Innovations, Mountlake Terrace, WA, USA) on their dominant, or less affected, ankle to record daily step counts outside of the intervention. Previous studies have shown that the SAM accurately and reliably captures walking activity in individuals with incomplete SCI. Following procedures described by Bowden et al., the settings of each device were manually specified to customize the SAM for each participant at each time point. Participants wore the SAM for 4 days, and step counts were averaged and presented as steps per day.

Finally, three standardized clinical rehabilitation assessments were completed by a licensed physical therapist. The WISCI-II, a 21-level ordinal scale that assesses degrees of assistance used to walk, is a valid and reliable measure of walking function in individuals with incomplete SCI. The DGI is an 8-item impairment scale; LEMS, Lower extremity motor score. Statistical analysis

Tests for normality (Shapiro–Wilk test) were completed before performing the analyses. Paired-sample \( t \)-tests or the nonparametric equivalent, Wilcoxon’s matched-pairs signed-ranks test was used to assess pre- to post-test changes in the outcomes. A significance level of \( \alpha = 0.05 \) was used for all analyses. Effect sizes from the paired-samples \( t \)-tests are reported as Cohen’s \( d \), \( d = M_1 - M_2 / SD_{pool} \). Effect sizes for the outcomes requiring nonparametric statistics are reported as \( r = z / \sqrt{N} \). An intention-to-treat analysis was performed to include all data from the participants who began the AET. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 22 (IBM Corporation, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

Ten adults aged 42–72 years of age with chronic (10 months to 33 years postinjury) motor-incomplete SCI (AIS C, D) participated in the AET intervention. All of the individuals were ambulatory; however, two participants were not primary ambulators and predominantly used a manual wheelchair for daily mobility. Demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1. Baseline participant characteristics and

| Table 1 Sample characteristics (\( N = 10 \)) |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Demographics                               |
| Gender                                      |
| Male                                        | 5 |
| Female                                      | 5 |
| Age (years)                                 | 57.9 (9.3) |
| Race/ethnicity                              |
| White                                       | 6 |
| Black/African American                      | 3 |
| Hispanic/Latino                             | 1 |
| Injury-related characteristics              |
| Etiology of Injury                          |
| Fall                                        | 5 |
| Motor vehicle accident                      | 1 |
| Vascular                                    | 1 |
| Gunshot wound                               | 1 |
| Construction related                        | 2 |
| Time since injury (years)                   | 11.1 (9.6) |
| AIS                                         | 85.9 (8.4) |
| C                                           | 42.1 (4.9) |
| D                                           | 9 |
| ASIA Motor Score                            | 9 |
| Injury level                                |
| Cervical                                    | 1 |
| Thoracic                                    | 1 |
| Ambulatory characteristics                  |
| Mobility aids used for walking              |
| None                                        | 4 |
| Walker (two wheeled, rolling)               | 1 |
| Cane                                        | 4 |
| Crutches (bilateral axillary)               | 1 |
| Orthotic use                                | 8 |
| None                                        | 1 |
| Ankle-foot orthosis                         | 1 |
| Knee brace                                  | 1 |

Abbreviations: ASIA, American Spinal Injury Association; IS, American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale; LEMS, Lower extremity motor score.
Table 2 Baseline participant characteristics and change in primary outcomes following AET

| Participant | Age (years) | TSI (years) | Level of Injury | AIS | LEMS | Primary ambulator | Mobility aid/orthotic device | Walking speed (m s⁻¹) | VO₂peak (ml O₂ kg⁻¹ per min) | % Change | P-value | Effect size (d or r) |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-----|------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------------------|
| 1           | 55          | 5           | C2             | D   | 46   | Yes               | None                       | 0.99                   | 1.01                    | 2                     | 18.8    | 23.07              | 23       |
| 2           | 67          | 16          | C4             | D   | 46   | Yes               | None                       | 0.96                   | 1.03*                   | 7                     | 20.16   | 24.24              | 20       |
| 3           | 54          | 3           | C4             | C   | 43   | Yes               | None                       | 0.44                   | 0.49*                   | 11                    | 26.16   | 32.52              | 24       |
| 4           | 62          | 6           | C2             | D   | 46   | Yes               | None                       | 0.82                   | 0.84                    | 2                     | 17.58   | 19.85              | 13       |
| 5           | 72          | 33          | C3             | D   | 47   | Yes               | SPC, AFO                   | 0.54                   | 0.51                    | 11                    | 23.5    | 24.03              | 2        |
| 6           | 49          | 18          | C3             | D   | 36   | Yes               | SPC                        | 0.37                   | 0.46*                   | 24                    | 28.84   | 27.04              | 0        |
| 7           | 42          | 6           | C8             | D   | 37   | No                | MW, SPC, Brace             | 0.55                   | 0.65*                   | 18                    | 28.33   | 28.33              | 0        |
| 8           | 55          | 0.9         | T9             | D   | 33   | No                | MW, Walker                 | 0.06                   | 0.08                    | 33                    | 13.56   | 17.23              | 27       |
| 9           | 52          | 11          | C7             | D   | 44   | Yes               | SPC                        | 0.98                   | 1.01                    | 3                     | 14.23   | 22.00              | 55       |
| 10          | 67          | 8           | C5             | D   | 43   | Yes               | SPC                        | 0.66                   | 0.88*                   | 33                    | 17.86   | 20.33              | 14       |

Abbreviations: AET, aerobic exercise training; AFO, ankle-foot orthotic; AIS, ASIA Impairment Scale; BAC, bilateral axillary crutches; LEMS, lower extremity motor score; MCID, minimally clinically important difference; MW, manual wheelchair; SPC, single point cane; TSI, time since injury; VO₂peak, volume of oxygen that the body can use during physical exertion.

Table 3 Effects of AET on fitness and walking-related outcomes

|                | Pre mean (s.d.) | Post mean (s.d.) | % Change | P-value | Effect size (d or r) |
|----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|---------|---------------------|
| VO₂peak (ml O₂ kg⁻¹ per min) | 20.90 (5.54) | 23.86 (4.49) | 14 | 0.01 | 1.01 |
| OGWS (m s⁻¹) | 0.64 (0.31) | 0.70 (0.31) | 9 | 0.02 | 0.86 |
| Economy (ml O₂ kg⁻¹ per min) | 0.75 (0.31) | 0.73 (0.34) | -3 | 0.39 | 0.3 |
| Walking at % VO₂peak a | 62.03 (11.87) | 54.10 (7.80) | -13 | 0.03 | 0.87 |
| 6MWT (m)b | 259 (114) | 273 (117) | 5 | 0.37 | 0.2 |
| Daily step countc,d | 5051 (1248) | 5967 (2174) | 18 | 0.03 | 0.56 |
| WISCI (0–20) | 17.70 (2.67) | 17.70 (2.67) | No change | - | |
| DGI (0–24)b | 14.60 (4.55) | 15.20 (5.05) | 4 | 0.73 | 0.08 |
| BBS (0–56) | 41.30 (13.42) | 43.00 (14.88) | 4 | 0.15 | 0.5 |

Abbreviations: AET, aerobic exercise training; BBS, Berg Balance Scale; DGI, daily step counts; 6MWT, 6-minute walk test; OGWS, overground walking speed; SAM, Step Activity Monitor; VO₂peak, volume of oxygen that the body can use during physical exertion.

Primary outcome measures

Aerobic capacity. Baseline VO₂peak values were 20.90 ± 5.54 ml O₂ kg⁻¹ per min. As hypothesized, following the AET VO₂peak significantly increased to 23.86 ± 4.49 ml O₂ kg⁻¹ per min (P = 0.01), indicating a large effect (d = 1.01). The individual changes in VO₂peak ranged from -6.2% to 54.6%, with an average of 17% (Table 2). One participant requested early termination of the post-testing VO₂peak assessment due to a flare-up in chronic shoulder pain; thus, a negative change was recorded. The mean change in aerobic capacity following AET represents a 14% increase (Table 3).

OGWS. Statistically and clinically significant improvements were found in self-selected OGWS. After AET, participants walked an average 0.06 ± 0.07 m s⁻¹ faster than at baseline (P = 0.02; d = 0.86). The individual improvements in self-selected OGWS ranged from -5.5 to 33.3%, with an average of 13% (Table 2). The change in mean OGWS represents a 9% increase in self-selected walking speed (Table 3).

Secondary outcome measures

Walking-related outcomes. Changes in two of the secondary outcomes, percentage of VO₂peak at which participants were walking and daily step counts, were statistically significant (Table 3).

Nine participants completed the assessments to determine oxygen consumption at self-selected walking speeds; one participant was unable to walk comfortably on the treadmill and therefore did not complete the test. At pretesting, average walking economy was...
0.75 ± 0.31 ml O₂ kg⁻¹ per min (range: 0.47–1.45 ml O₂ kg⁻¹ per min). There was no significant change following training (post = 0.73 ± 0.34 ml O₂ kg⁻¹ per min; range: 0.32–1.44 ml O₂ kg⁻¹ per min). However, there was a significant change in the relative cost of walking. At pretesting, participants walked at an average 62% of \( V_{\text{O2peak}} \) (range: 51–87%). Following training, participants walked at 54% of \( V_{\text{O2peak}} \) (range: 38–65%), which represents a significant reduction \((P=0.03, d=0.87)\) in the percentage of aerobic capacity used while walking at self-selected walking speeds.

There was no significant change in the distance walked during the 6MWT nor did the change meet the previously reported minimal detectable change (45.8 m or a 22% change).43 Only one participant was able to exceed the MDC for the 6MWT. In addition, although trends toward improvement were seen in the BBS and DGI, there were no statistically significant changes in the walking-related clinical rehabilitation tests. WISCI-II scores did not change.

Following training, there was a significant increase in daily step counts for the eight primary ambulators (916 ± 1410 steps per day; \( P=0.025, r=0.56 \)). Data from the two participants who were primary wheelchair users are not available. One participant did not adhere to wearing the SAM as instructed at baseline and later withdrew from the study. The other participant only walked under supervision with an assistive device in our lab; they elected not to wear the SAM. At baseline, participants walked 5050 ± 1247 steps per day outside the lab (range: 2612–6573 steps per day). After the intervention, the total number of steps taken increased (5967 ± 2174 steps per day; range: 2812–10281 steps per day), indicating an average 23% increase in walking activity in the free-living environment.

**DISCUSSION**

As hypothesized, the results of this study indicate that AET was effective for improving both aerobic capacity and walking outcomes in a sample of ambulatory adults with incomplete SCI. The findings add to the limited body of evidence supporting exercise training in this sub-population of SCI.

The present findings indicate that aerobic capacity is reduced in these individuals, although not as markedly as previously reported in other SCI sub-populations. Examining aerobic capacity according to recently published reference values for untrained individuals with SCI,4 on average participants fell within the ‘excellent’ fitness category (> 15.2 ml O₂ kg⁻¹ per min). It is important to point out that the SCI reference values were developed based on data obtained from a sample of primary wheelchair users with primarily complete injuries while performing upper extremity exercise. Thus, it is likely that these reference values are not appropriate for classifying fitness levels in the current sample. Conversely, compared with gender- and age-specific normative percentile rankings, our participants fell in the ‘well below average’ (10th percentile) fitness category, except for one participant who was ‘below average’ (20th percentile).1 This finding calls into question the applicability of either of these reference values for interpreting fitness in the ambulatory SCI population and highlights a need to extend previous research and develop stratified reference values of fitness for men and women with incomplete injuries who are ambulatory.

Exercise training has long been recommended to improve aerobic capacity in individuals with SCI, but this was the first investigation to demonstrate improvements in fitness levels in ambulatory adults with incomplete SCI following a voluntary, total-body recumbent stepping AET program. Despite the relatively short (6-week) duration, \( V_{\text{O2peak}} \) significantly increased 14%, which is within the generally expected range of training-induced increase (5–30%)1 and slightly lower compared with that recently reported following a 16-week guideline-driven exercise intervention in the SCI population (17% increase).44 It is reasonable to believe that extending the duration of AET may result in greater improvements in aerobic capacity. In addition, increasing the training stimulus either by total minutes per day or days per week would likely result in an increased training effect. Future research should explore exercise dosage and further evaluate the effectiveness of voluntary, guideline-driven AET in the ambulatory SCI population.

Following the AET, both statistically and clinically significant improvements were observed in OGWS. Self-selected speeds increased by an average of 0.06 m s⁻¹ (9%) after the 6-week intervention, meeting the estimated minimally clinically important difference for walking speed after incomplete SCI \((0.05 \text{ m s}^{-1})\).45 Five of the 10 participants met or exceeded the minimally clinically important difference for OGWS. Further investigation is necessary to identify participant characteristics (eg, initial walking function, age, fitness level) that may influence changes in walking outcomes following AET. Although larger changes in walking speed have been reported following non-task-specific exercise interventions of longer duration, including FES leg cycling46,47 and lower extremity resistance training,48,49 statistically significant findings are limited. Following a 10-week progressive FES cycling case study, an improvement of 0.33 m s⁻¹ was reported.25 In a more recent study, Yasar et al.26 documented a 0.13 m s⁻¹ increase in walking speed following a 16-week FES cycling intervention, but the results were not significant. Although there are a number of benefits associated with FES exercise, we believe voluntary exercise may hold more promise for individuals with SCI who are able to walk. Voluntary, non-task-specific exercise in the form of lower extremity resistance training has been shown to improve walking outcomes in ambulatory adults with SCI. Gregory et al.46 reported marked improvements in self-selected \((0.26 \text{ m s}^{-1})\) and fast–comfortable \((0.39 \text{ m s}^{-1})\) walking speed following 12 weeks of lower extremity resistance training. Recently, more modest changes in preferred and maximal walking speed were reported following 4 weeks of resistance training \((0.06 \text{ and } 0.14 \text{ m s}^{-1}, \text{respectively})\).47 Importantly, the benefits of non-task-specific training were supported, as the study found that maximal walking speed improved significantly more following non-task-specific lower extremity resistance training compared with task-specific robot-assisted gait training.47 The findings from these studies, in conjunction with the significant findings from our study, support the benefits of voluntary, non-task-specific exercise training for rehabilitation of walking outcomes in ambulatory adults with SCI.

Early studies of the energy cost of locomotion indicate that walking after SCI is a physiologically demanding task, which is supported in our sample. The average walking economy of the participants was within the range previously reported after SCI \((0.26–0.82 \text{ ml O}_2 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ per min})\) and was roughly four to five times greater than that reported in the general population \((0.15–0.17 \text{ ml O}_2 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ per min})\).48–50 Elevated walking economy may be due to increased oxygen consumption or slow walking speed.50 In the case of our participants, the poor walking economy is likely attributed primarily to slow self-selected walking speeds \((0.60 \text{ m s}^{-1})\) compared with normal \((1.0–1.67 \text{ m s}^{-1})\), as oxygen consumption was only slightly elevated \((13.1 \text{ ml O}_2 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ per min})\) compared with that reported in the general population \((12.0 \text{ ml O}_2 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ per min})\).50 Further evaluation of oxygen consumption during the walking trial indicated that walking at self-selected speed requires a substantial percentage of peak aerobic capacity and is more physically demanding than in the able-bodied population. In the neurologically healthy population, walking is not a significantly taxing activity; reports suggest that, while walking at a usual pace,
oxygen consumption is generally around 30–40% of maximal VO2
values.51–53 At baseline, the participants in our study walked at 62%
(range: 50–87%) of their VO2peak. The high relative cost results from a
combination of low VO2peak and elevated oxygen consumption during
walking. With training, the average percentage of VO2peak at which the
participants were walking was significantly reduced to 54%. It is
reasonable to believe that the high relative cost of walking may have a
role in limiting walking outcomes after SCI. Therefore, increasing
aerobic capacity with AET may compensate for the increased cost of
walking and improve functional capacity in ambulatory individuals
with SCI.

The AET intervention also resulted in a significant improvement
in daily step counts, indicative of increased daily activity and
participation in the free-living environment. Despite the high relative
cost of walking and slow walking speeds, the participants maintained a
higher than average activity level. On average, the participants who
were primary ambulators far exceeded the step counts previously
reported in the incomplete SCI population.58 Averaging 5050 steps
per day at baseline and 5967 steps per day at post-testing, the primary
ambulators in this sample fell within the normative step ranges for
special populations (1200–8800 steps per day)52 and were above the
generally accepted threshold for sedentary lifestyles (<5000 steps).55
It is important to note that 40% of the participants did not use any
assistive devices to walk, and only 20% used a bilateral assistive device;
thus, the step counts of our sample may not be representative of the
population of ambulatory individuals with incomplete SCI. We
acknowledge that the use of assistive devices may have influenced
step counts and that the lack of additional data surrounding the daily
step activity (i.e. reports on special events, pain, fatigue or sickness that
may have influenced step counts) limits our understanding of this
outcome.

At baseline, the majority of participants did not engage in any
exercise, and most reported a sedentary lifestyle despite the relatively
high number of steps taken. After the intervention, in addition to
meeting the aerobic exercise guidelines, participants also increased
their daily step counts. It is reasonable to hypothesize that the increase
in physical activity in addition to increased walking activity in the free-
living environment will result in a compounding of health benefits
extending beyond the outcomes measured. In the least, changes in
free-living physical activity may initiate a perpetual positive cycle
thereby increasing fitness decreases the relative cost of walking,
resulting in increased activity, thus leading to improved walking
function, and may result in greater participation in the community.

Taken together, these results suggest the potential for an non-task-
specific, voluntary, total-body AET approach to improve fitness and
walking-related outcomes in ambulatory individuals with chronic
incomplete SCI. On the basis of the findings, we recommend AET
on a recumbent stepper as a feasible alternative or adjuvant to current
interventions aimed at improving walking outcomes in ambulatory
individuals with incomplete SCI. Compared with other modalities
such as locomotor training and robotic-assisted gait training, AET on
a recumbent stepper requires fewer personnel, uses less expensive
equipment and may be more time efficient, thus increasing the
benefits of this option. Further, aerobic exercise is widely accessible
and may be more easily translated from the lab to community
recreation centers, fitness facilities or home gyms. Most importantly,
aerobic exercise can be successfully completed through all stages of
one’s life and is known to elicit more than just beneficial changes in
fitness and walking outcomes, making AET an attractive option to
recommend following SCI.

Limitations
The results of the present study are promising, but several limitations
must be addressed when interpreting the findings from this small
sample size, non-randomized study. Importantly, the participants were
self-selected volunteers, who may be more functional and more
motivated to exercise than the general incomplete SCI population.
The inclusion criteria for our study limit the interpretation of findings
to individuals with chronic incomplete SCI who are able to walk. The
lack of an adequate control group is also a limitation. The primary
outcome, change in aerobic capacity, was assessed on the NuStep
using a protocol that has not yet been validated for use in SCI.
Although this protocol appears to be feasible and well tolerated by
the participants, the nature of the total-body graded exercise test makes it
difficult to compare the aerobic capacity results to previous results.
Future research is necessary to validate the use of this exercise protocol
for use in individuals with SCI. We do not have adequate follow-up
data to determine whether an exercise regimen was maintained
following the AET, nor can we determine whether the gains observed
following AET were maintained.

CONCLUSIONS
The AET intervention was safe, feasible and effective. Following
6 weeks of voluntary, total-body aerobic exercise performed at a
moderate–vigor intensity, meaningful functional improvements in
fitness and walking-related outcomes were observed. The major
finding of the study is that both aerobic capacity and OGWS
significantly increased. Further study with a larger sample is necessary
to examine correlations between fitness and walking speed and
determine whether aerobic capacity is a determinant of walking after
SCI. Statistical improvements were also observed in outcomes relating
to walking function, including the percentage of VO2peak at which
participants walk and daily step counts. Although no significant
improvements were observed in the remaining walking-related
outcomes, the results indicate moderate to large effects for these
outcomes. Overall, the findings warrant future investigation in a
larger cohort, with an adequate control group, as well as comparisons
with other established exercise interventions (eg, resistance training,
FES cycling). There is a need for further research into the role of non-
task-specific AET for improving fitness and walking outcomes in
individuals with varying degrees of ambulatory impairment. In
addition, there is a need to study the effects of implementing voluntary
AET earlier after injury, in attempts to attenuate the effects of physical
inactivity on deconditioning and functional limitations. Such investi-
gations could shed light on individual characteristics that influence
changes in fitness and walking outcomes to better inform rehabilita-
tion researchers and practitioners.
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