An integrative taxonomic study of the needle nematode complex *Longidorus goodeyi* Hooper, 1961 (Nematoda: Longidoridae) with description of a new species.
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**Abstract** Needle nematodes are polyphagous root-ectoparasites parasitizing a wide range of economically important plants not only by directly feeding on root cells, but also by transmitting nepoviruses. This study deciphers the diversity of the complex *Longidorus goodeyi* through integrative diagnosis method, based on a combination of morphological, morphometrical, multivariate analysis and molecular data. A new *Longidorus* species, *Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp. is described and illustrated from a population associated with the rhizosphere of asphodel (*Asphodelus ramosus* L.) in southern Spain. Morphologically, *L. panderaltum* n. sp. is characterized by having a moderately long female body (5.2–7.0 mm), lip region bluntly rounded and slightly offset by a depression with body contour, amphidial pouch with slightly asymmetrical lobes, odontostyle 80.5–101.0 μm long, tail short and conoid rounded. *Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp. is quite similar to *L. goodeyi* and *L. onubensis* in major morphometrics and morphology. However, differential morphology in the tail shape of first-stage juvenile, phylogeny and haplonet analyses indicate they are three distinct valid species. This study defines those three species as members of *L. goodeyi* complex group and reveals the taxonomic complexity of the genus *Longidorus*. This *L. goodeyi* complex group demonstrated that the biodiversity of *Longidorus* in this region is still not fully clarified.
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**Introduction**

Needle nematodes of the genus *Longidorus* Micoletzky, 1922 are a globally important group of ectoparasitic nematodes and considered a major group of plant-pathogens. These nematodes use their needle stylet to feed on the apical root cells inducing galls in the tips and reducing the yield and quality of a range of horticultural or agricultural crops and some of them are recognised as vectors of important nepovirus (Taylor and Brown 1997; Decraemer and Robbins 2007; Palomares-Rius et al. 2017a). Parasitism by *Longidorus* spp. have a
detrimental effect on root growth by inducing hypertrophied uninucleate cells, highly active metabolically, followed by hyperplasia with synchronized cell division (Palomares et al. 2017a). This genus constitutes a great complex group of around 180 valid species (Archidona-Yuste et al. 2019a; Cai et al. 2020a, b; Amrei et al. 2020) and species delimitation is critical from a phytopathological, ecological and biogeographical point of view.

Several Longidorus spp. are distributed worldwide whilst other species have a limited distribution (Brown and Taylor 1987; Robbins and Brown 1991; Sturhan et al. 1997; Doucet et al. 1998; Coomans et al. 2001). Among them, Longidorus goodeyi Hooper, 1961 was described from turf grasses at Rothamsted, UK, and has been reported sporadically in several European countries including Belgium, Bulgaria, France, Germany, Malta, Poland, Portugal, Slovak Republic, Spain, The Netherlands (Lamberti et al. 1982; Arias et al. 1985; Tophan and Alphey 1985; De Waele and Coomans 1990; Lisková and Brown 1998; Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al. 2016), and Tadzhikistan (Kankina and Melitskaya 1983). In Spain, Longidorus goodeyi has only been reported in central regions (Arias et al. 1985) associated with cereals and common horehound (Marrubium vulgare L.). Surprisingly, this species was not detected in southern Spain during systematic and exhaustive nematode surveys on several crops and natural environments carried out throughout the last four decades (Arias 1977; Arias et al. 1985; Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al. 2011, 2013; Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b; 2019a, b; Cai et al. 2020a, b).

The morphological convergence among Longidorus spp. and the existence of cryptic species complexes make the accurate identification of species considerably more difficult (De Luca et al. 2004; Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al. 2013; Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b, 2019a; Cai et al. 2020a, b). In the family Longidoridae, morphological and morphometric studies in addition to molecular sequencing have been used simultaneously to group specimens into species, including multivariate analysis using morphometric characters in which a high number of measured individuals were analysed in order to find morphometric differences amongst them (Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016a; Cai et al. 2020b). Also, the utility of DNA barcoding and molecular species delimitation approaches in species discovery and the detection of cryptic lineages into the genus Longidorus have been demonstrated by numerous studies (Ye et al. 2004; Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al. 2013; Palomares-Rius et al. 2017c; Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b, 2019a; Lazarova et al. 2019; Amrei et al. 2020). Specifically, molecular methods using different fragments of nuclear ribosomal DNA (including 28S rRNA, 18S rRNA and ITS), mitochondrial DNA (cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1, cox1 and nicotinamide dehydrogenase subunit 4, nad4) gene sequences have been used to provide precise identification of species and elucidate the phylogenetic relationships within the genus Longidorus (Ye et al. 2004; Neilson et al. 2004; Palomares-Rius et al. 2008; Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al. 2012; Kumari and Subbotin 2012; Subbotin et al. 2014; Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016a, b).

In recent years, several studies have demonstrated that the species diversity within the family Longidoridae remains as a major gap in the biodiversity of soil nematodes, particularly in the Iberian Peninsula which is considered a plausible speciation centre for this family (Coomans 1996; Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016a, b; Cai et al. 2020a, b). This suggests that the continued systematic sampling in unexplored environments in this area could lead to an increase in the overall species richness of this group of nematodes. Following this sampling strategy during the spring of 2019 in southern Spain, we observed a high density of a needle nematode morphologically resembling Longidorus goodeyi suggesting a wider geographical distribution for this nematode species or the occurrence of a new species complex within the genus Longidorus. This fact prompted us to undertake a detailed comparative morphological and molecular study with previous reported data including topotype specimens of this species. In addition, a detailed integrative approach was conducted in order to clarify the taxonomical status of the new nematode population detected where the preliminary results indicated that this population belongs to an unknown Longidorus species and therefore, the existence of a new species complex within this genus. Therefore, the objectives of this study were: (1) to discover the diversity of L. goodeyi complex through integrative taxonomy combining morphological analysis and a species delineation approach based on multivariate morphometric methods and nuclear haplonets tools; (2) to describe a new species of the genus Longidorus which belongs to the L. goodeyi complex group; (3) to characterise molecularly the sampled Longidorus sp. population using the D2-D3 expansion segments of the 28S rRNA gene, ITS1 and partial 18S rRNA gene; and (4) to study the phylogenetic relationships of the identified Longidorus species with available sequenced species.
Material and methods

Nematode population sampling, extraction and morphological identification

Specimens from the population of the unidentified *Longidorus* species were collected during the spring season of 2019 in a natural pasture of asphodel (*Asphodelus ramosus* L.) with a stony soil at 1,800 m elevation in La Pandera Mountain, Valdepeñas de Jaén, Jaén province, in Andalusia, southern Spain (Table 1). Soil samples were collected using a shovel, randomly selecting four to five cores, and considering the upper 5–50 cm depth of soil. Nematodes were extracted from a 500-cm³ sub-sample of soil by centrifugal flotation and a modification of Cobb’s decanting and sieving methods (Coolen 1979; Flegg 1967).

Specimens for study using light microscopy (LM) and morphometric studies were killed and fixed in an aqueous solution of 4% formaldehyde + 1% glycerol, dehydrated using alcohol-saturated chamber and processed to pure glycerine using Seinhorst’s method (Seinhorst 1966) as modified by De Grisse (1969). Specimens were examined using a Zeiss III compound microscope with differential interference contrast at magnifications up to 1,000x. Photomicrographs of nematodes were taken by a Nikon DM100 (Nikon, Barcelona, Spain). All measurements were expressed in micrometres (µm). For line drawings of the new species, light micrographs were imported to CorelDraw version X7 and redrawn. All other abbreviations used are as defined in Jairajpuri and Ahmad (1992).

Topotype specimens of *L. goodeyi* from Rothamsted, UK and a population from Yorkshire, UK were also used for morphological, morphometric and molecular analyses after verifying that their morphology was congruent with that of the original description.

Nematode molecular identification

To avoid mistakes in the case of mixed needle populations in the same sample, three to four live nematodes from each population were temporarily mounted in a drop of 1M NaCl containing glass beads to ensure specimens were not damaged. All necessary morphological and morphometric data by taking pictures and measurements using the above camera-equipped microscope were recorded. This was followed by DNA extraction from single female individuals and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)
The D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rRNA was amplified using the D2A (5’-ACAAAGTACCGTGAGGGAAGTTG-3’) and D3B (5’-TCGGAAGGAACCAGCTACTA-3’) primers (De Ley et al. 1999). The ITS1 region was amplified using forward primer 18S (5’-TTGATTACGTCCTGCGCCTTT-3’) (Vrain et al. 1992) and reverse primer rDNA1 (5’-ACGAGCCGAGTGTCCACC-3’) (Cherry et al. 1997). The portion of 18S rRNA was amplified using primers 988F (5’-CTC AAA GAT TAA GCC ATG C-3’), 1912R (5’-CTTTACGGTC AGA ACT AGG G-30), 1813F (5’-CTG CGT GAG AGGTGA AAT-3’) and 2646R (50-GCT ACC TTG TTA CGA CTT TT-3’) (Holterman et al. 2006). Finally, the portion of the coxl gene was amplified as described by Lazarova et al. (2006) using the primers CO1F (5’-GATT TTTTGGKCATCCWGARG-3’) and COIR XIPHR2 (5’-GAT CATA T A T G A A A T G T G C C A C CWACATAAAGTTATCATG-3’).

PCR cycle conditions for ribosomal genes were: one cycle of 94 °C for 15 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, annealing temperature of 55 °C for 45 s, 72 °C for 3 min, and finally 72 °C for 10 min. The cycle for mtDNA was as described by He et al. (2005): 95 °C for 10 min, five cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 45 °C for 40 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and a further 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 37 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, followed by an extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were purified after amplification using ExoSAP-IT (Affimixetix, USB products), and used for direct sequencing in both directions using the primers referred above. The resulting products were purified and run on a DNA multicapillary sequencer (Model 3130XL genetic analyser; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), using the BigDye Terminator Sequencing Kit v.3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), at the Stab Vida sequencing facilities (Caparica, Portugal). The newly obtained sequences were submitted to the GenBank database under accession numbers indicated on Table 1 and the phylogenetic trees.

Recognition of putative species within Longidorus goodeyi complex and species delimitation approach

This species group was identified from previous large-scale taxonomic and phylogenetic studies in the genus Longidorus (Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b; 2019a; Cai et al. 2020a, b). From the analyses of phylogenetic relationships analyses, a well-supported clade that included the Iberian Peninsula species was identified (clade I; Archidona-Yuste et al. 2019a). Morphological comparison showed that several of the diagnosis characters defining the genus Longidorus (Chen et al. 1997; Loof and Chen 1999; Peneva et al. 2013) were characteristic of the group as a whole, highlighting a hemispherical convex-conoid tail shape. We named the group the L. goodeyi complex, after the oldest described species within the group, and used the main diagnostic features characterizing this species to ascertain morphologically closely related species (viz. L. goodeyi and L. onubensis Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b). Additional morphological traits were then recognized as diagnostic characters of this nematode complex such as overall nematode size and shape, odontostyle length, location of dorsal and ventrosublateral gland nuclei on the terminal pharyngeal bulb or the lip region shape amongst others (Chen et al. 1997; Loof and Chen 1999; Peneva et al. 2013). The new population of Longidorus sp. detected in this study was also included in this group given the close relationship morphologically with L. goodeyi as outlined above. An iterative analysis of morphometric and molecular data using two independent strategies of species delimitation was utilised to asses described and undescribed specimens and to determine species boundaries within this newly-defined species complex.

Species delineation using morphometry was conducted with principal component analysis (PCA) in order to estimate the degree of association among species within the L. goodeyi-complex (Legendre and Legendre 2012). PCA was based upon the following morphological characters: L (body length), the ratios a, c, c’, d, d’, V, odontostyle and odontophore length, lip region width and hyaline region length (Table 2, Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016a, b; Jairajpuri and Ahmad 1992). Prior to the statistical analysis, diagnostic characters were tested for collinearity (Zuur et al. 2010). We used the collinearity test based on the values of the variance inflation factor (VIF) method that iteratively excludes numeric covariates showing VIF values > 10 as suggested by Montgomery and Peck (1992). PCA was performed by a decomposition of the data matrix amongst populations using the principal function implemented in the package ‘psych’ (Revelle 2019). Orthogonal varimax raw rotation was used to estimate the factor loadings. Only factors with sum of squares (SS) loadings > 1 were extracted. Finally, a minimum
spanning tree (MST) based on the Euclidean distance was superimposed on the scatter plot of the *L. goodeyi* specimens complex against the PCA axes. MST was performed using the ComputeMST function implemented in the package ‘emstreeR’ (Quadros 2019). All statistical analyses were performed using the R v. 3.5.1 freeware (R Core Team 2019).

Species delineation based on molecular data was performed using nuclear haplonet tools in order to determine species boundaries and to clarify putative molecular species within *L. goodeyi* complex. Haplotype network was constructed to each of the two separated datasets, i.e. the nuclear 28S region and the ITS1 region. Alignments were converted to the NEXUS format using DnaSP V.6 (Rozas et al. 2017); and TCS networks (Clement et al. 2002) were applied in the program PopART V.1.7 (http://popart.otago.ac.nz). In this case, no heterozygous individuals were found in nuclear 28S and ITS1 sequences, so haploweb was not suitable for analysis and individuals were simply classified as haplogroups.

**Phylogenetic analyses**

D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rRNA, ITS1, partial 18S rRNA and ITS1 rRNA sequences of the unidentified *Longidorus* species, *L. onubensis* and *L. goodeyi* populations were obtained in this study. These sequences and other sequences from species of *Longidorus* spp. from GenBank were used for phylogenetic analyses. Outgroup taxa for each dataset were chosen following previously published studies (He et al. 2005; Holterman et al. 2006; Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al. 2013; Archidona-Yuste et al. 2019a; Cai et al. 2020a, b; Radivojevic et al. 2020). Multiple sequence alignments of the different genes were made using the FFT-NS-2 algorithm of MAFFT V.7.450 (Katoh et al. 2017). Sequence alignments were visualised using BioEdit (Hall 1999) and edited by Gblocks ver. 0.91b (Castresana 2000) in Castresana Laboratory server (http://molevol.cmima.csic.es/castresana/Gblocks_server.html) using options for a less stringent selection (minimum number of sequences for a conserved or a flanking position: 50% of the number of sequences + 1; maximum number of contiguous non-conserved positions: 8; minimum length of a block: 5; allowed gap positions: with half). Phylogenetic analyses of the sequence datasets were based on Bayesian inference (BI) using MrBayes 3.2.7a (Ronquist et al. 2012). The best-fit model of DNA evolution was obtained using JModelTest V.2.1.7 (Darriba et al. 2012) with the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The best-fit model, the base frequency, the proportion of invariable sites, and the gamma distribution shape parameters and substitution rates in the AIC were then given to MrBayes for the phylogenetic analyses. Unlinked general time-reversible model with invariable sites and a gamma-shaped distribution (GTR + I + G) for the D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rRNA and the partial 18S rRNA and a transitional model with a gamma-shaped distribution (TIM2 + G) for the ITS1 region. These BI analyses were run separately per dataset using four chains for $2 \times 10^6$ generations for each molecular marker. The Markov

**Table 2** Eigenvector and SS loadings of factor derived from nematode morphometric characters for *Longidorus goodeyi* complex (*Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp., *Longidorus goodeyi*, *Longidorus onubensis*).

| Character | PC1  | PC2  |
|-----------|------|------|
| Body length (L) | 0.418 | -0.132 |
| a | 0.449 | -0.052 |
| c | -0.066 | -0.535 |
| c' | 0.175 | 0.523 |
| d' | 0.385 | -0.199 |
| V | -0.309 | 0.101 |
| Odt | 0.398 | -0.004 |
| Oral aperture-guiding ring | 0.360 | 0.147 |
| Lip region width | -0.108 | 0.510 |
| Hyaline region length | 0.213 | 0.298 |
| SS loadings | 2.11 | 1.70 |
| % of total variance | 44.59 | 28.61 |
| Cumulative % of total variance | 44.59 | 73.20 |

*a Based on 20 female specimens of *Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp. from a population sample, 20 female specimens of *Longidorus goodeyi* from topotype population sample, and 8 female specimens of *Longidorus onubensis* from paratype population sample. All populations were molecularly identified. The odontophore length and d ratio were excluded by the multicollinearity test and then, they were not included in the multivariate analysis for the *Longidorus goodeyi* complex. Values of morphometric variables 1 to 2 components (eigenvector > 0.41) are underlined.

*b* Morphological and diagnostic characters according to Jairajpuri and Ahmad (Jairajpuri and Ahmad 1992) with some inclusions. a = body length/maximum body width; c = body length/tail length; c' = tail length/body width at anus; d' = body diameter at guiding ring/body diameter at lip region; Odt = odontostyle length; V = (distance from anterior end to vulva/body length) x 100.
Chains were sampled at intervals of 100 generations. Two runs were conducted for each analysis. After discarding burn-in samples and evaluating convergence, the remaining samples were retained for further analyses. The topologies were used to generate a 50% majority-rule consensus tree. Posterior probabilities (PP) are given on appropriate clades. Trees from all analyses were visualised using FigTree software V.1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

**Results and descriptions**

Species delimitation was carried out using two independent methods based on morphometric (multivariate analysis) and molecular data using ribosomal sequences (haplonet). Multivariate morphometric and haplonet methods were performed on the studied populations to verify species identifications. The integration of this procedure with the analysis of nematode morphology allowed us to verify *Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp. as valid new species within the *L. goodeyi* complex. Additionally, we maintained a consensus approach for the different species delimitation methods, including concordant results in phylogenetic trees inferred from nuclear markers and/or different morphological or morphometric characteristics.

Multivariate morphometric analyses of *Longidorus goodeyi* complex

In the principal component analysis (PCA), the first two components (sum of squares (SS) loadings > 1) accounted for 73.20% of the total variance in the morphometric characters of the *L. goodeyi*-complex (Table 2). Table 2 includes the SS loadings for the three extracted factors, which were a linear combination of all characters in the analysis. The eigenvectors for each character were used to interpret the biological meaning of the factors. First, principal component 1 (PC1) was mainly dominated by nematode body length and the ratio with a high positive weight (eigenvector = 0.510 and 0.523, respectively) as well as similar but negative weight for the c ratio (eigenvector = -0.535) (Table 2). This component was therefore related with the lip and tail shape. Overall, these results suggest that all of the extracted PCs were related to the overall size and shape of nematode populations. The results of the PCA were represented graphically in Cartesian plots in which populations of the *L. goodeyi*-species complex were projected on the plane of the x- and y-axes, respectively, as pairwise combination of PC1 and 2 (Fig. 1). In the graphic representation of the *L. goodeyi* complex, the specimens of *L. goodeyi* and *L. panderaltum* n. sp. were projected showing a clustered distribution pattern owing to their low morphometric variation within population (Tables 3 and 4). However, the specimens of *L. onubensis* were projected showing an expanded distribution owing to the wide morphometric variation detected in this species (Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b) (Fig. 1). We observed that all species were clearly separated amongst them, being this spatial distribution dominated by the two extracted principal components (PC1 and 2, 73.20% of the total of variance) (Table 2 and Fig. 1). The spatial separation dominated by PC1 grouped species according to the nematode body length and the maximum body width between these two species (Fig. 1). In the graphic representation of the first two principal components showed that all of the extracted PCs were related to the overall size and shape of nematode populations. The results of the PCA were represented graphically in Cartesian plots in which populations of the *L. goodeyi*-species complex were projected on the plane of the x- and y-axes, respectively, as pairwise combination of PC1 and 2 (Fig. 1). In the graphic representation of the *L. goodeyi* complex, the specimens of *L. goodeyi* and *L. panderaltum* n. sp. were projected showing a clustered distribution pattern owing to their low morphometric variation within population (Tables 3 and 4). However, the specimens of *L. onubensis* were projected showing an expanded distribution owing to the wide morphometric variation detected in this species (Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b) (Fig. 1). We observed that all species were clearly separated amongst them, being this spatial distribution dominated by the two extracted principal components (PC1 and 2, 73.20% of the total of variance) (Table 2 and Fig. 1). The spatial separation dominated by PC1 grouped species according to the nematode body length and the maximum body width between these two species (Fig. 1). In the graphic representation of the first two principal components showed that all of the extracted PCs were related to the overall size and shape of nematode populations. The results of the PCA were represented graphically in Cartesian plots in which populations of the *L. goodeyi*-species complex were projected on the plane of the x- and y-axes, respectively, as pairwise combination of PC1 and 2 (Fig. 1). In the graphic representation of the *L. goodeyi* complex, the specimens of *L. goodeyi* and *L. panderaltum* n. sp. were projected showing a clustered distribution pattern owing to their low morphometric variation within population (Tables 3 and 4). However, the specimens of *L. onubensis* were projected showing an expanded distribution owing to the wide morphometric variation detected in this species (Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b) (Fig. 1). We observed that all species were clearly separated amongst them, being this spatial distribution dominated by the two extracted principal components (PC1 and 2, 73.20% of the total of variance) (Table 2 and Fig. 1). The spatial separation dominated by PC1 grouped species according to the nematode body length and the maximum body width between these two species (Fig. 1). Likewise, the spatial separation dominated by PC2 grouped species according to the lip region width and female tail length as derived by the ratios c and c’ (Table 2). In this case, *L. goodeyi* specimens having a longer tail and wider lip region were located on the top side (above y = 0), clearly separating from the specimens of *L. panderaltum* n. sp. and *L. onubensis* which showed similar values for these diagnostic characters (Fig. 1; Table 3; Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b). A minimum spanning tree (MST) superimposed on the plot of the first two principal components showed that the morphometric variation of the *L. goodeyi*-specimens complex located *L. goodeyi* as link connecting for *L. panderaltum* n. sp. and *L. onubensis*, indicating a wider morphological separation between these last two species (Fig. 1). These results support the denomination of this species complex using *L. goodeyi* not only because it is the oldest described species but also for its...
central position in the morphometric variation of this species complex.

Haplotype network analyses

Species delimitation using haplonet methods in L. goodeyi-complex species revealed that the 28S rRNA and ITS1 alignments contained 24 and 17 sequences with three and 6 different haplotypes, respectively (Fig. 2). The TCS haplotype analysis inferred from the nuclear 28S region showed three well-differentiated haplogroups, corresponding to three different main lineages (clade I-III) (Fig. 2). Twelve 28S sequences of L. panderaltum n. sp. were refined to one haplotype (28S-pan1), and only one haplotype (28S-goo1) was found from three populations of L. goodeyi (Yorkshire: MT271709-MT271710, Peebles: AY601581 and Topotype: MT271706-MT271708). Six 28S sequences from L. onubensis also showed one haplotype (28S-onu1). Moreover, for L. panderaltum n. sp. six ITS1 sequences were classified into one haplotype (I-pan1). L. goodeyi from Rothamsted, UK (topotypes) and Yorkshire, UK were divided into two different haplotypes (Table 1, I-goo1 and I-goo2, respectively). Longidorus onubensis was collected in one sampling spot, but three haplotypes were found (I-onu1, I-onu2, and I-onu3). Therefore, the 28S rRNA and ITS1 haplonets clearly resolved L. panderaltum n. sp., L. goodeyi and L. onubensis as separate and genetically isolated lineages. Besides, no heterozygous individuals were found in nuclear 28S and ITS sequences, so haploweb was not suitable for analysis and individuals were simply classified as haplogroups. Difficulties to obtain coxl sequences from L. goodeyi prevent to carry out this analysis for mitochondrial genes.

Longidorus panderaltum1 n. sp. (Figs. 3, 4, and 5, Table 3)

Female Body long, slightly tapering towards anterior end, open C-shape when heat relaxed. Cuticle 3.4 ± 0.7 (2.5–4.5) µm thick at mid body, and 12.8 ± 1.8 (11.0-16.5) µm thick at tail hyaline part. Lip region bluntly rounded, one sixth of mid-body-width wide, and slightly offset by a depression with body contour. Amphidial aperture pore-like and amphidial fovea more or less pouch-shaped and almost slightly asymmetrically bilobed, lobes slightly unequal in length, and extending about 1/2 part of oral aperture-guiding ring distance.

---

1 The species epithet refers to the compound name from the word Pandera (the mountain where the species was detected) and the Latin name altum = high.
Table 3  Morphometrics of *Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp. from La Pandera Mountain (Valdepeñas de Jaén, Jaén, Spain)\(^a\).

| Characters-ratios\(^b\) | Holotype | Paratypes |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|
|                         | Females  | J1   | J2   | J3   | J4   |
| n (1)                   | 19       | 6    | 5    | 5    | 6    |
| L (mm) (5.2–7.0)        | 5.8      | 6.0 ± 0.44 | 1.5 ± 0.11 | 2.4 ± 0.25 | 3.3 ± 0.30 | 4.3 ± 0.38 |
| a (48.7–63.6)           | 58.4     | 58.1 ± 3.6 | 47.9 ± 5.1 | 47.8 ± 5.0 | 51.6 ± 6.7 | 50.6 ± 7.2 |
| b (9.3–14.7)            | 11.9     | 12.2 ± 1.3 | 5.1 ± 0.5  | 7.2 ± 0.7  | 9.4 ± 0.8  | 10.2 ± 1.1 |
| c (164.5–235.8)         | 164.5    | 193.9 ± 17.4 | 52.3 ± 2.8 | 79.7 ± 8.4 | 97.1 ± 8.0 | 141.8 ± 16.1 |
| c’ (0.6–0.7)            | 0.7      | 0.7 ± 0.04 | 1.4 ± 0.1  | 1.1 ± 0.1  | 1.0 ± 0.1  | 0.7 ± 0.05 |
| d (1.6–1.9)             | 1.9      | 1.9 ± 0.1  | 1.9 ± 0.1  | 1.8 ± 0.2  | 1.9 ± 0.1  | 1.8 ± 0.04 |
| d’ (1.6–1.9)            | 1.6      | 1.7 ± 0.1  | 1.8 ± 0.1  | 1.5 ± 0.2  | 1.7 ± 0.1  | 1.6 ± 0.03 |
| V (51.8–57.7)           | 54.6     | 54.6 ± 1.5 | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| G1 (10.1–13.4)          | 12.3     | 12.0 ± 1.2 | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| G2 (10.2–12.1)          | 11.8     | 11.5 ± 0.8 | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| Odt (80.5–101.0)        | 86.0     | 91.1 ± 5.2 | 52.3 ± 1.4 | 56.2 ± 1.4 | 69.5 ± 4.7 | 81.0 ± 4.1 |
| Odp (54.5–69.0)         | 69.0     | 60.0 ± 3.7 | 34.7 ± 4.2 | 34.3 ± 1.6 | 37.8 ± 2.8 | 47.1 ± 6.1 |
| Total stylet (144.0–164.0) | 155.0   | 152.1 ± 4.8 | 87.0 ± 5.5 | 90.5 ± 1.1 | 106.6 ± 7.5 | 128.1 ± 9.6 |
| Replacement Odt         | -        | -     | 61.5 ± 1.6 | 68.6 ± 2.0 | 80.0 ± 3.5 | 88.4 ± 4.0 |
| Lip region width        | 17.5     | 17.0 ± 0.8 | 10.0 ± 0.4 | 11.3 ± 0.8 | 14.2 ± 0.7 | 16.1 ± 0.4 |
| Oral aperture-guiding ring | 32.5     | 32.6 ± 1.5 | 18.6 ± 0.7 | 19.7 ± 1.6 | 26.3 ± 1.9 | 29.5 ± 0.8 |
| Tail length (28.0–35.5)  | 35.5     | 31.1 ± 2.2 | 28.2 ± 1.7 | 30.0 ± 1.1 | 33.8 ± 3.9 | 30.8 ± 3.2 |
| J (11.0–16.5)           | 11.5     | 12.8 ± 1.8 | 6.0 ± 1.1  | 7.8 ± 1.6  | 8.0 ± 1.3  | 9.8 ± 1.2 |

\(^a\) Measurements are in µm and in the form: mean ± standard deviation (range).

\(^b\) a = body length/maximum body width; b = body length/pharyngeal length; c = body length/tail length; c’ = tail length/body width at anus; d = anterior to guiding ring/body diameter at lip region; d’ = body diameter at guiding ring/body diameter at lip region; Odt = odontostyle length; Odp = Odontophore length. V = (distance from anterior end to vulva/body length) x 100; G1 = (anterior gonad length/body length) x 100; G2 = (posterior gonad length/body length) x 100; T = (distance from cloacal aperture to anterior end of testis/body length) x 100; J = hyaline tail region length.
Table 4  Morphometrics of *Longidorus goodeyi* topotypes from Rothamsted, UKa.

| Characters-ratiosb | Topotypes |
|--------------------|-----------|
|                    | Females   | J1   | J2   | J3   | J4   |
| n                  | 20        | 9    | 5    | 5    | 5    |
| L (mm)             | 6.9 ± 0.68| 1.6 ± 0.10 | 2.4 ± 0.26 | 3.6 ± 0.61 | 5.3 ± 0.58 |
|                    | (5.6–7.9) | (1.4–1.7) | (2.0–2.7) | (2.9–4.3) | (4.6–6.0) |
| a                  | 72.7 ± 5.3| 55.4 ± 6.1 | 59.4 ± 4.0 | 63.0 ± 8.7 | 73.2 ± 4.2 |
|                    | (60.4–79.5) | (47.3–66.7) | (56.1–63.8) | (49.6–71.9) | (67.7–78.2) |
| b                  | 12.7 ± 1.3| 5.3 ± 0.4 | 6.2 ± 0.4 | 8.4 ± 1.6 | 12.5 ± 2.2 |
|                    | (10.4–14.8) | (8.6–8.9) | (5.8–6.8) | (6.7–10.1) | (11.0–16.3) |
| c                  | 143.4 ± 10.6| 27.3 ± 2.2 | 49.3 ± 5.5 | 69.9 ± 14.2 | 104.0 ± 12.1 |
|                    | (123.7–172.9) | (23.4–30.2) | (42.3–56.4) | (55.4–86.9) | (98.2–119.7) |
| c’                 | 0.8 ± 0.04| 2.5 ± 0.3 | 1.5 ± 0.1 | 1.2 ± 0.2 | 1.0 ± 0.07 |
|                    | (0.8–0.9) | (2.1–2.9) | (1.4–1.6) | (1.1–1.5) | (0.9–1.0) |
| d                  | 2.0 ± 0.1| 2.2 ± 0.2 | 2.0 ± 0.1 | 1.9 ± 0.1 | 1.7 ± 0.11 |
|                    | (1.8–2.2) | (1.9–2.4) | (1.8–2.1) | (1.8–2.1) | (1.6–1.9) |
| d’                 | 1.8 ± 0.1| 1.8 ± 0.1 | 1.7 ± 0.1 | 1.8 ± 0.1 | 1.6 ± 0.02 |
|                    | (1.5–2.1) | (1.7–1.9) | (1.6–1.8) | (1.7–1.9) | (1.6–1.7) |
| V                  | 52.7 ± 2.0| - | - | - | - |
|                    | (50.2–57.4) | | | | |
| G1                 | 10.8 ± 1.1| - | - | - | - |
|                    | (9.2–12.7) | | | | |
| G2                 | 10.1 ± 1.2| - | - | - | - |
|                    | (8.4–12.9) | | | | |
| Odt                | 100.8 ± 4.0| 58.6 ± 1.6 | 64.4 ± 1.7 | 77.4 ± 2.8 | 85.7 ± 3.1 |
|                    | (96.0–109.0) | (56.0–61.0) | (62.0–66.0) | (73.5–80.0) | (82.0–91.0) |
| Odp                | 61.6 ± 12.5| 39.2 ± 5.7 | 46.0 ± 4.5 | 49.6 ± 6.6 | 50.2 ± 5.1 |
|                    | (42.5–79.5) | (32.0–50.0) | (39.0–50.0) | (40.0–56.0) | (45.0–57.0) |
| Total stylet       | 162.4 ± 14.5| 97.8 ± 6.8 | 110.3 ± 4.4 | 127.0 ± 6.3 | 135.9 ± 8.0 |
|                    | (139.0–144.5) | (90.0–111.0) | (103.0–114.0) | (119.0–133.5) | (127.5–147.0) |
| Replacement Odt    | - | 65.0 ± 2.4 | 75.4 ± 2.8 | 90.0 ± 5.7 | 100.3 ± 3.5 |
|                    | | (62.0–69.0) | (73.0–80.0) | (83.0–95.0) | (96.0–105.0) |
| Lip region width   | 18.6 ± 0.7| 9.1 ± 0.5 | 11.5 ± 0.8 | 14.0 ± 0.4 | 15.7 ± 0.6 |
|                    | (17.0–20.0) | (8.0–10.0) | (10.5–12.0) | (13.5–14.5) | (15.0–16.5) |
| Oral aperture-guiding ring | 36.5 ± 2.0| 19.7 ± 0.8 | 22.7 ± 1.4 | 27.2 ± 1.6 | 27.3 ± 2.3 |
|                    | (33.0–40.0) | (18.5–21.0) | (21.0–24.0) | (25.0–29.0) | (25.0–31.0) |
| Tail length        | 48.1 ± 2.4| 57.2 ± 2.2 | 47.8 ± 1.1 | 51.7 ± 2.4 | 50.6 ± 2.5 |
|                    | (44.0–52.0) | (54.5–61.0) | (46.0–49.0) | (50.0–55.5) | (47.5–53.0) |
| J                  | 15.2 ± 1.3| 16.1 ± 1.3 | 8.2 ± 0.8 | 10.8 ± 0.7 | 8.4 ± 0.4 |
|                    | (11.5–17.0) | (14.0–17.5) | (7.0–9.0) | (10.1–15.5) | (8.0–9.0) |

a Measurements are in µm and in the form: mean ± standard deviation (range).
b a = body length/maximum body width; b = body length/pharyngeal length; c = body length/tail length; c’ = tail length/body width at anus; d = anterior to guiding ring/body diameter at lip region; d’ = body diameter at guiding ring/body diameter at lip region; Odt = odontostyle length; Odp = Odontophore length. V = (distance from anterior end to vulva/body length) × 100; G1 = (anterior gonad length/body length) × 100; G2 = (posterior gonad length/body length) × 100; T = (distance from cloacal aperture to anterior end of testis/body length) × 100; J = hyaline tail region length.

Guiding ring single, located approximately *ca.* 2 times the lip region width from anterior end. Odontostyle moderately long, 1.5 times as long as odontophore, straight or slightly arcuate. Odontophore weakly developed, with rather weak swollen base. Pharynx short, 495.5 ± 52.7 (430.0–651.0) µm, extending to the
terminal pharyngeal bulb with one dorsal nucleus (DN) and two ventrosublateral gland nuclei (SVN) separately located at 42.2 ± 5.6 (36.0–46.7) %, 56.1 ± 7.6 (49.2–64.3) % and 52.9 ± 4.1 (50.0–55.8) % of distance from anterior end of pharyngeal bulb (Loof and Coomans 1972). Glandularium 119.5 ± 7.8 (114.0–125.0) µm long. Reproductive system didelphic-amphidelphic, anterior branch 709.3 ± 34.2 (672.0–739.0) µm long and posterior branch 691.7 ± 30.0 (667.0–725.0) µm long, each branch composed of a reflexed ovary 225–311 µm long. Pars dilatata oviductus and uterus of about equal length (225.0–311.0 µm long), separated by a strong and muscularized sphincter. Vulva slit-like, situated at 51.8–57.7% of body length. Vagina perpendicular to body axis, 34.9 ± 1.2 (33.5–36.5) µm long, occupying 32.2–35.8% of corresponding body diameter and surrounded by well-developed constrictor muscles; pars distalis vaginae 13.5–16.0 µm long, pars proximalis vaginae measuring 16.5–20.0 × 19.5–22.0 µm. Prerectum 394.0 ± 118.3 (272.0–533.0) µm long, rectum 31.1 ± 5.6 (23.5–38.0) µm long. Tail short and conoid rounded, with two or three pairs of caudal pores present on each side.

Male Not found.

Juveniles Four developmental juvenile stages were detected based on body, odontostyle and replacement odontostyle length, and tail shape (Figs. 4 and 5). Habitus more or less an open C-shape. Morphologically similar to female, except for their size and sexual characteristics (Table 3). The 1st-stage juveniles were
Fig. 3  Line drawings of *Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp. from the rhizosphere of asphodel (*Asphodelus ramosus* L.) from Valdepeñas de Jaén, Jaén, Spain (A-G). A: Pharyngeal region of holotype; B, C: Lip region of paratypes; D: Tail region of holotype; E, F: Tail region of paratypes; G: Tail of first-stage juvenile paratype (J1).
characterized by the replacement odontostyle inserted into odontopore base with a tail convex-conoid shape with a short subdigitate terminus (Figs. 3 and 4). J1-J4 tail length 28.2, 30.0, 33.8, and 30.8 µm long, respectively.

Type habitat and locality

Rhizosphere of Asphodel (Asphodelus ramosus L.) at 1,858 m elevation in La Pandera Mountain, Valdepeñas de Jaén, Jaén province, in Andalusia, southern Spain.
Holotype female (slide PAND-02) and two female paratypes (PAND-03-PAND-06) were deposited in the Nematode Collection of Institute for Sustainable Agriculture, IAS-CSIC, Córdoba, Spain. One female paratype deposited at each of the following collections: Istituto per la Protezione delle Piane (IPP) of Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (C.N.R.), Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy (PAND-07); USDA Nematode Collection (T-7367p); and Nematode Collection of Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China (ZJU-28-1). Specific D2-D3, ITS1, partial 18S rRNA, and partial coxI sequences deposited in GenBank with accession numbers MT271694-MT271705, MT271721-MT271726, MT271715-MT271716, and MT270783-MT270786, respectively.

The new species binomial has been registered in the ZooBank database (zoobank.org) under the identifier: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A78BFC45-8FA4-4704-B918-73782B034B7A. The LSID for the publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:667C796E-13BF-4147-BFDB-C8A80DAFE391.

Diagnosis and relationships

*Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp. is an apomictic species characterized by a moderately long body (5.2-7.0 mm); lip region 15.5–18.0 µm wide and slightly marked by depression from body contour; amphidial fovea pouch-shaped, slightly asymmetrically bilobed, and extending about 1/2 part of oral aperture-guiding ring distance; relatively long odontostyle (80.5–101.0 µm), and total stylet (144.0-164.0 µm); guiding ring located at 30.0-34.5 µm from anterior end; vulva located at 51.8–57.7% of body length; female tail short and bluntly conoid (28.0-35.5 µm long, c’ = 0.6–0.7), with two or three pairs of caudal pores. No males found. Four developmental juvenile stages were identified, the tail of the first-stage juvenile convex-conoid shape with a short subdigitate terminus (c’ = 1.3–1.5); J2 characterised by a conoid-rounded tail, curved dorsally and slightly concave ventrally with a dorsal-ventral depression at hyaline region level; J2-J4 tail similar to that of female but becoming progressively shorter and stouter in each.
moult. According to the polytomous key by Chen et al. 1997, supplemented by Loof and Chen 1999 and the addition of some characters by Peneva et al. 2013, codes for the new species are (codes in parentheses are exceptions): A3(24)-B3-C3(2)-D3-E2-F3-G1-H1-I1-J1-K4; and specific D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rRNA, ITS1, partial 18S-rRNA and partial coxl sequences.

According to the body and odontostyle length, distance of guiding ring from anterior body end, lip region and tail shape (in this order of main features), the new species is most similar to L. goodeyi and L. onubensis Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b. The new species differs from paratypes and topotypes of L. goodeyi by having a lower a ratio (48.7–63.6 vs 67.0-117.0, 60.4–79.5, respectively), higher c ratio (164.5-235.8 vs 99.0-154.0, 123.7-172.9, respectively), lower c’ ratio (0.6–0.7 vs about 1.0, 0.8–0.9, respectively), amphids shape and size (slightly asymmetrically bilobed, lobes slightly unequal in length, and extending about 1/2 part of oral aperture-guiding ring distance vs large, asymmetrically bilobed, ventral lobe twice the length of dorsal lobe and reaching to guide ring), a slightly shorter odontostyle (80.5–101.0 µm vs 96.0-109.0 µm), and the tail of the 1st-stage juvenile convex-conoid shape with a short subdigitate terminus vs elongate digitate terminus (J1 c’ ratio, 1.3–1.5 vs 3.0, 2.1–2.9, respectively). From L. onubensis, it can be separated by shorter body length (5.2-7.0 mm vs 7.4–9.6 mm), lower a ratio (48.7–63.6 vs 75.9-107.5), shorter odontostyle length (80.5–101.0 µm vs 103.0–121.0 µm), and the absence vs presence of males.

**Longidorus goodeyi** Hooper 1961 (Fig. 6, Table 4)

The studied topotype population from turfgrass at Rothamsted agree closely with original description (Hooper 1961) in morphology and morphometry, except for only minor differences in a and c ratios (72.7 (60.4–79.5), 143.4 (123.7-172.9) vs 58.1 (48.7–63.6)), 193.9 (164.5-235.8), respectively), which may be due to intraspecific variability (Fig. 6, Table 4). This population was characterized by a lip region bluntly rounded, one fifth of mid-body-width wide, a large amphid fovea asymmetrically bilobed, ventral lobe twice the length of dorsal lobe and reaching to guide ring (Fig. 6). Reproductive system amphidelphic, with both branches equally developed (G1 = 9.2–12.7, G2 = 8.4–12.9), each composed of a reflected ovary, oviductus with a well-developed pars dilatata oviductus, tubular uterus, vagina 44.0 to 47.0 µm or 42 to 53% of the corresponding body width, pars distalis ca. 22.0 µm long, pars proximalis vaginae about as high as its width (19.0–28.0 µm long, 27.0–36.0 µm wide), and a transverse slit vulva. Tail dorsally convex, bluntly conoid, about as long as anal-body-width.

According to the polytomous key by Chen et al. 1997, supplemented by Loof and Chen 1999, and additional codes (Peneva et al. 2013; Archidona et al. 2016b), this species has the following codes (codes in parentheses are exceptions): A3(4)-B3-C3-D2-E3-F3(4)-G1(2)-H1-I1-J1–K7.

Molecular characterization and phylogenetic relationships of Longidorus panderaltum n. sp. within the genus Longidorus

The amplification of D2-D3 segments of 28S rRNA, ITS1, 18S rRNA, and partial coxl regions yielded single fragments of ca. 900 bp, 1100 bp and 1800 bp, and 500 bp, respectively, based on gel electrophoresis.

The D2-D3 sequence divergence was significant between L. panderaltum n. sp. (MT271694-MT271705) with other congeneric species, except for L. goodeyi showing 98.5% similarity (AY601581, 10 different nucleotides and 0 indels), but 96.4% similarity with L. crataegi Roca and Bravo, 1996 (JX445114, 28 different nucleotides, 7 indels), and 96.2% with L. onubensis (KT308857, 29 different nucleotides and 8 indels). No intraspecific variation for D2-D3 expansion segments was detected in L. panderaltum n. sp. (MT271694-MT271705), L. goodeyi (MT271706-MT271710) and L. onubensis (MT271711-MT271714, KT308857-KT308858). The ITS1 of L. panderaltum n. sp. (MT271721-MT271726) showed 94.2–94.0% similarity with L. goodeyi topotype and Yorkshire populations (MT271727-MT271732, 54–57 different nucleotides and 24 indels), 85.5% similarity with L. vinearum Bravo and Roca, 1995 (KT308892, 145 different nucleotides and 56 indels) and L. onubensis (MT271733-MT271735, KT308882-KT308883, 143 different nucleotides and 51 indels). No intraspecific variation for ITS1 was observed in this population of L. panderaltum n. sp., whereas, L. goodeyi topotype and Yorkshire populations differed in 5 nucleotides and no indels. The 18S rRNA sequences of L. panderaltum n. sp. (MT271715-MT271716) closely matched with several species, such as L. goodeyi (MT271717-MT271720), L. wicuolea Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b (KT308900) and L. cf. olegi (MH430010). Intraspecific variation for 18S rRNA was only for 2 nucleotides and 0 indels in L. goodeyi topotype and Yorkshire populations. Finally,
Fig. 6 Light micrographs of *Longidorus goodeyi* Hooper 1961 female topotypes from the rhizosphere of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) from Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, UK (A-P). A-D: Female anterior regions; E, F: Detail of basal bulb; G: Vulval region; H-J: Female tail regions; K-M: Tail region of J1; N-P: Tail region of J2, J3 and J4, respectively. Abbreviations: a = anus; af = amphidial fovea; dn = dorsal nucleus; gr = guiding ring; svn = subventral nucleus. (Scale bars: A-D = 15 µm; E-P = 25 µm)
Fig. 7  Phylogenetic relationships of *Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp. and *Longidorus goodeyi* within the genus *Longidorus*. Bayesian 50% majority rule consensus trees as inferred from D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rRNA sequences alignments under the GTR + I + G model. Posterior probabilities more than 70% are given for appropriate clades. Newly obtained sequences in this study are in bold.
the partial coxl sequences of *L. panderaltum* n. sp. (MT270783-MT270786) showed 80.3% similarity with *L. onubensis* (KY816695, 67 different nucleotides and 0 indels) and 80.1% similarity with *L. vinearum* (KY816713, 68 different nucleotides and 2 indels). No intraspecific variation for coxl sequences of *L. panderaltum* n. sp. was observed. Unfortunately, repeated difficulties were experienced with the partial coxl sequences from *L. goodeyi* and it not could be sequenced.

Phylogenetic relationships among *Longidorus* species inferred from analyses of D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rRNA, partial 18S rRNA, and ITS1 gene sequences using BI are given in Figs. 7, 8 and 9, respectively. Since scarce similarity was detected for ITS1 sequences from *L. panderaltum* n. sp. (MT271721-MT271726) and *L. goodeyi* (MT271727-MT271732) with those deposited in GenBank, only closer species were included in the analyses of this region.

The D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rRNA tree of *Longidorus* spp. based on a multiple edited alignment including 121 sequences and 727 total characters revealed 5 major clades (marked with roman numerals from I to V) (Fig. 7). *Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp. (MT271694-MT271705) and *L. goodeyi* (MT271706-MT271710) clustered in a moderately supported clade (PP = 0.94) with *L. crataegi* (JX445114), together with a moderately supported clade (PP = 0.85) by *L. onubensis* (MT271711-MT271714, KT308857-KT308858) (Fig. 7). Clade I was well-supported (PP = 1.00) including 33 species, comprising the three species of *L. goodeyi* complex and other species mainly described or reported from the Iberian Peninsula (Fig. 7). Clade I species shared some morphological traits such as a hemispherical to bluntly conoid tail (Fig. 7) (c’< 1.0, except some species as *L. wicuolea* and *L. andalusicus* Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez, Cantalapiedra-Navarrete, Montes-Borrego, Palomares-Rius and Castillo, 2013 with c’ 1.0-1.5), and lip region anteriorly rounded, continuous or slightly depressed with body contour (Fig. 7) (except *L. oleae* with an anteriorly concave lip region and *L. lusitanicus* Macara, 1985 with an anteriorly flattened lip region).

For the ITS1 region sequences, the 50% majority rule consensus BI tree of a multiple sequence alignment containing 23 sequences and 964 characters is showed in Fig. 8. *Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp. (MT271721-MT271726) clustered with *L. goodeyi* (MT271727-MT271732) in a high supported clade (PP = 1.00), and forming a well-supported major clade (PP = 0.99) with *L. vinearum* (KT308892-KT308893), *L. magnus* Lamberti, Bleve-Zacheo and Arias, 1982 (HM921340) and *L. lusitanicus* (KT308891), whereas *L. onubensis* (MT271733-
Fig. 9 Phylogenetic relationships of *Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp. and *Longidorus goodeyi* within the genus *Longidorus*. Bayesian 50% majority rule consensus trees as inferred from 18S rRNA sequences alignments under the GTR + I + G model. Posterior probabilities more than 70% are given for appropriate clades. Newly obtained sequences in this study are in bold.
MT271735, KT308882-KT308883) clustered separately in a high supported clade (PP = 1.00). Finally, for partial 18S rRNA gene sequences, the 50% majority rule consensus BI tree was based on a multiple sequence alignment containing 89 sequences and 1681 characters. *Longidorus panderaltum* n. sp. (MT271715-MT271716) clustered with *L. goodeyi* (MT271717-MT271720) in a well-supported clade (PP = 1.00). However, *L. onubensis* (KT308897) did not cluster with other species of *L. goodeyi* complex (Fig. 9), but clustered inside a major highly supported clade (PP = 1.00) including other species from the Iberian Peninsula.

**Discussion**

The primary objective of this study was to unravel the diversity of the *L. goodeyi* complex by using integrative approaches including morphology, morphometry and molecular analyses. Our results confirmed that the needle nematode *L. goodeyi* it is composed by a complex group of three distinct species (*L. goodeyi*, *L. onubensis* and *L. panderaltum* n. sp.) that can be separated using integrative approaches. Consequently, we have described a new species of the genus *Longidorus* that can be separated from *L. goodeyi* complex by a combination of morphological, allometrical, and molecular analyses. Our results demonstrated that the use of integrative taxonomy may help to distinguish very similar species and to unravel the biodiversity in this complex group of plant-parasitic nematodes. These analyses supported the separation of *L. panderaltum* n. sp. from other species in the *L. goodeyi* complex and reinforced the importance of these analyses to decipher species boundaries that are essential for agronomic management, ecological analyses and implications in food security. Our results suggested that other populations of *L. goodeyi* reported from central Spain (Arias 1977; Arias et al. 1985), from The Netherlands that differed from the type description in some morphological characters (Seinhorst and Van Hoof 1981), or those from France, that were smaller than that of paratypes (Dalmasso 1969), need to be confirmed by integrative taxonomy in order to clarify their identity. The application of multivariate analysis may help to differentiate these closely related species. In particular, detailed morphology of J1 tail shape of these *L. goodeyi* populations may also help to clarify the identification of these records. In Longidoridae, J1 individuals can be identified by the position of the replacement odontostyle, which lies mostly within the odontophore, with the anterior tip near the base of the functional odontostyle, and have practical significance when distinguishing closely related species (Hunt 1993; Robbins et al. 1996).

Phylogenetic inferences based on the D2-D3 expansion domains of 28S, ITS1 and 18S rRNA genes suggest that *L. panderaltum* n. sp. and *L. goodeyi* are closely related species (Figs. 7, 8 and 9). Results of all analyses on the three species of *L. goodeyi* complex were consistent and clearly separated them by phylogenetic and species delimitation methods. In all cases these species clustered in a major clade comprising the majority of *Longidorus* species reported in the Iberian Peninsula with a characteristic tail (hemispherical convex-conoid tail shape) as reported in previous studies (Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al. 2013; Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b; 2019a; Cai et al. 2020a, b). Sequences of nuclear ribosomal RNA genes, particularly D2-D3 expansion segments of the 28S rRNA gene and ITS1 region, have proven to be a powerful tool for providing accurate species identification of Longidoridae (Palomares-Rius et al. 2017b; Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b; 2019a; Cai et al. 2020a, b). By contrast, the low nucleotide variability found in partial 18S rRNA makes it difficult to identify individuals to the species level as previously described in other studies (Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al. 2013; Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b; a).

The 28S rRNA and ITS1 haplonet analyses of *L. panderaltum* n. sp. showed it as a unique species, and clearly different from *L. goodeyi* and *L. onubensis*. No heterozygous individuals were found in those three species.

The description of *L. panderaltum* n. sp. suggests that the biodiversity of needle nematodes in Southern Europe is still not completely deciphered and requires further research. Interestingly, the phylogenetic relationships among Iberian Peninsula species could provide insight into the speciation of some of these species specifically to the Iberian Peninsula, additionally of other main centres of origin in other parts of the world, as suggested by Coomans (1985). However, this hypothesis regarding the evolutionary patterns in the genus *Longidorus* must be analysed using biogeographical models and a higher number of sequences from other *Longidorus* spp. given the
increasing diversity of this genus in the samplings at the Iberian Peninsula (Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b; a). These results enlarge the diversity of Longidorus in Spain and agree with previous data obtained for the phylogeny and biogeography of the genus Longidorus in the Mediterranean Basin (Navas et al. 1990; Navas et al. 1993; Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al. 2011; Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al. 2013; Archidona-Yuste et al. 2016b; 2019a; Cai et al. 2020a, b).

In summary, the present study extends the biodiversity of the genus Longidorus by integrating morphological, morphometrical and molecular characterizations and elucidates phylogenetic relationships with other Longidorus spp. of the new species described. The molecular markers obtained could be used for precise and unequivocal diagnosis of this species, which may help for effective and appropriate phytopathological or ecological studies.
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