Discovery of Small Molecule Entry Inhibitors Targeting the Fusion Peptide of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein
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Figure S1. Dynamic property of the fusion peptide of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein. A) Superimposed structural models of the FP in different conformational state. The closed conformation observed in the trimeric complex of spike protein (PDB 6XR8) is shown in blue and the structured FP loop is shown in magenta. The FP-disordered conformation of S structure (PDB 6VSB) is showed in grey and the re-modeled FP in the closed, intermediate, and open conformations are shown in different color. The FP models were generated using Loop Modeler in MOE program. The FP binding pocket is rendered in hydrophobic surface and small molecule CCZ docked in the pocket is shown in sticks and balls. B) The RMSD plot of FP in the 50-ns MD simulations.
Figure S2. Pharmacophore model used for virtual screening. The pharmacophore models were
generated based on the predicted binding interaction of CCZ at the FP binding site using MOE.
Three pharmacophoric features were included: 1) an Don2 projected H-bond donor feature placed
on the sidechain of Asp867; 2) an Aro centroids feature matching aromatic interaction with
Phe833; 3) an Hyd centroids feature matching hydrophobic interactions with Leu828 and Val826.
Figure S3. A) Activities of R enantiomer of C2 in the CPE and PP assay. B) Predicted binding model of S and R enantiomers of C2. The chiral center of C atom is labeled. The binding pocket is rendered in hydrophobic surface and the FP is colored in magenta. Key interacting residues in the binding pocket are shown in sticks. The methyl group at the chiral center pointed out to the solvent-exposed region. Consistent with experimental results of similar activity in the CPE and PP assays, the predicted binding energies of S and R enantiomers were -6.43 and -6.29 kcal/mole, respectively.
Figure S4. Predicted binding model of (A) benztropine, (B) difluorobenztropine, and (C) clemastine in the FP pocket of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. The binding pocket is rendered in hydrophobic surface and the FP is colored in magenta. Key interacting residues in the binding pocket are shown in sticks.
Figure S5. Predicted binding model of C2 analogs in the FP pocket of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. The binding pocket is rendered in hydrophobic surface and the FP is colored in magenta. Key interacting residues in the binding pocket are shown in sticks.
Figure S6. Activities of C2 analogs in the PP entry against SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV-1 and MERS.
Figure S7. Predicted binding model of CCZ (brown), fendiline (green) and vortioxetine (cyan) in the FP pocket of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. The binding pocket is rendered in hydrophobic surface and the FP is colored in magenta. Key interacting residues in the binding pocket are shown in sticks.
Supplementary Table

Table S1. Physicochemical properties and commercial source of identified inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2.

| Name          | MW   | HB-Acc | HB-Don | Rot. Bond | TPS A | NCGC ID          | PubChem CID | Supplier | Supplier ID | Compound Purity* |
|---------------|------|--------|--------|-----------|-------|------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|------------------|
| Clobenztropine| 341.9| 2      | 0      | 4         | 12.5  | NCGC00015195     | 1687        | Tocris   | 917         | >=99%            |
| Benztropine   | 307.4| 2      | 0      | 4         | 12.5  | NCGC00013495     | 2344        | APExBIO  | B1554       | >=99%            |
| Difluorobenztropine | 343.4| 4      | 0      | 4         | 12.5  | NCGC00024872     | 3929516     | Tocris   | 918         | >=99%            |
| Clemastine    | 343.9| 2      | 0      | 6         | 12.5  | NCGC00016710     | 26987       | Microsource | 1500191   | >=99%            |
| D3-βArr       | 333.4| 5      | 2      | 4         | 53.1  | NCGC00379308     | 2950121     | MCE      | HY-124867   | >=99%            |

*Compound purity was measured by LC-MS.
Experimental Methods

Molecular modeling and docking

Modeling and docking studies of small molecule inhibitors to the FP binding pocket of SARS-CoV-2 were performed using the Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) program. The disordered FP loop (residues 829-852) in the structure of RBD-up spike protein of (PDB 6VSB) was re-modeled using the Loop Modeler in MOE. A total of 500 conformers of the loop were generated and clustered. Five representatives of open and closed conformations from major clusters were selected.

Ensemble docking was performed using MOE Dock in MOE and AutoDock Vina. The closed conformation of FP in the RBD-down Spike protein (PDB 6XR8) was also used in ensemble docking. Prior to docking, the 3D structures were prepared using the Structure Preparation module in MOE. The ligand induced fit docking protocol in MOE Dock was applied and binding affinity was evaluated using the GBVI/WSA score. The default parameters in AutoDock Vina were used with a grid box centered on residue Asp867. The size of grid box was defined by 25 x 25 x 25 Å to encompass the entire FP binding site. The top-ranked 10 poses from MOE Dock and AutoDock Vina were retained and visually inspected.

MD simulations of the FP structural models in the open and closed forms and inhibitor-bound complexes were conducted using the AMBER18 package. To reduce the complexity, only the S2 subunit of the spike protein monomer was employed in the MD simulations. The solvated protein systems were subjected to a thorough energy minimization prior to MD simulations by first minimizing the water molecules while holding the solute frozen (1000 steps using the steepest descent algorithm), followed by 5,000 steps of conjugate gradient minimization of the whole system to relax the system. The simulated system in explicit solvate was first subjected to a gradual temperature increase from 0 K to 300 K over 100 ps, and then equilibrated for 500 ps at 300 K, followed by a production run of 50 ns. Trajectory analysis and were performed using the cpptraj module in the AmberTools18.

Pharmacophore-based virtual screening

The pharmacophore models were generated based on the predicted binding interaction of CCZ at the FP binding site using MOE. Three pharmacophoric features were included: 1) an Don2
projected H-bond donor feature placed on the sidechain of Asp867; 2) an Aro centroids feature matching aromatic interaction with Phe833; 3) an Hyd centroids feature matching hydrophobic interactions with Leu828 and Val826. To enrich the structural diversity of potential hits, the 3D shape-based searching was also applied using ROCS. A total of 10,000 hits were extracted from the pharmacophore and 3D shape-based searching, followed by ensemble docking to the FP binding site of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein using AutoDock Vina with default parameters. The top-ranked 2000 compounds from each docking were retained for analysis. Structural clustering was performed using MOE. All compounds from each cluster and singletons were visually inspected. Finally, 120 compounds were selected based on: 1) structural representatives of each cluster, 2) predicted binding energy, 3) H-bonding interaction with Asp867, 4) promiscuous compounds with potential undesirable functionalities and PAINS alert were generally discarded.

SARS-CoV-2 cytopathic effect (CPE) assay

SARS-CoV-2 CPE assay was conducted at Southern Research Institute (Birmingham, AL) as described in previous reports. In brief, high ACE2 expressing Vero E6 cells were inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 (USA_WA1/2020) at 0.002 M.O.I. After infection of 72 h at 37 °C and 5% CO2, the cell viability was examined with CellTiter-Glo ATP content assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). CPE raw data were normalized to noninfected cells and virus infected cells only which were set as 100% efficacy and 0 efficacy, respectively. In addition, the compound cytotoxicity was evaluated in the same cells by measuring ATP content in the absence of virus. Compound cytotoxicity raw data were normalized with wells containing cells only as 100% viability (0% cytotoxicity), and wells containing media only as 0% viability (100% cytotoxicity).

SARS-CoV-2-S pseudotyped particle entry assay

SARS-CoV-2 Spike pseudotyped particle (PP) entry assay was performed as previously described. Briefly, 3500 ACE2-GFP cells were seeded in 15 μL of media in 384-well plates and incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO2 overnight. Cells were treated with compound using acoustic dispensing. Fifteen microliters of PPs was added, and plates were spin-inoculated at 1500 rpm (453g) for 45 min and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C and 5% CO2. The supernatant was removed, and 20 μL/well of Bright-Glo (Promega) was added; the mixture was incubated for 5 min at room temperature. The luminescence signal was measured using a PHERAStar plate reader (BMG
All data were normalized to DMSO and SARS-CoV-2 PP treated wells as 0% efficacy and DMSO and delEnv PP treated wells as 100% efficacy. The cytotoxicity of the compounds was measured in mock PP treated plates using ATPlite reagent (PerkinElmer). Data was normalized to DMSO treated cells as 100% cell viability and DMSO treated media as 0% cell viability.

**Antiviral assays with a cell-based infection system of pseudotyped viral particles**

Pseudotyped viral particles enveloped by SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, or MERS-CoV Spike proteins (S-pp) were generated in 293T cells as described in the literature. Briefly, the 293T cells were transfected with the spike protein expression plasmids corresponding to each respective coronavirus using FuGENE® 6 Transfection Reagent (Promega). 24 h later, the cells were infected with a replication-defective recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) pseudotyped with the native VSV-G glycoprotein (VSV-Gpp), in which the firefly luciferase reporter gene was inserted in place of the VSV-G sequence. 4 h later, uninfected VSV-Gpp were washed out and newly produced SARS-CoV-Spp, SARS-CoV-2-Spp, or MERS-CoV-Spp were harvested at 24 h and 48 h following infection. The viruses were titrated based on the luciferase activity by reinfecting the viruses in the naïve cells and stored at -80°C freezers.

The antiviral activity was tested against the pseudotyped viruses in a hepatoma cell line Huh7 cells. First, Huh7 cells were seeded in white 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmunster, Austria) at a density of 14,000 cells per well for overnight. The cells were treated with various compounds at ½ log dose intervals as indicated in the figures, immediately followed by the addition of pseudotyped virus with a targeted reading of about 200,000 relative luciferase activity. Luciferase assay was performed 24 h post-infection using the Amplite™ Luciferase Reporter Gene Assay Kit (AAT Bioquest, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions and analyzed using a POLARstar Omega plate reader (BMG LABTECH, Ortenberg, Germany). A parallel plate identically treated as the infection assay plate was used to determine the cytotoxicity of various compounds. Cytotoxicity assay was performed using The PhosphoWorks™ Luminometric ATP Assay Kit (AAT Bioquest).

**LC/MS analysis**

Compound purity was assessed using LC/MS. The analysis was performed on a Agilent LC/MS. A 6.8 minute gradient of 4 to 100% acetonitrile (containing 0.025% trifluoroacetic acid)
in water (containing 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid) was used with a 8.5 minute run time at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min. The column was an Agilent Eclipse XDB-C18, 3.5 micron, 3.0 x75mm. Purity determination was performed using a Diode Array Detector at 220nM and Evaporative Light Scattering Detector as backup. Mass Determination is performed using a Agilent 6125B mass spectrometer. Data was analyzed using the Agilent Masshunter software.
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