Analysis of De-Laval nozzle designs employed for plasma figuring of surfaces
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Abstract Plasma figuring is a dwell time fabrication process that uses a locally delivered chemical reaction through means of an inductively coupled plasma (ICP) torch to correct surface figure errors. This paper presents two investigations for a high temperature jet (5000 K) that is used in the context of the plasma figuring process. Firstly, an investigation focuses on the aerodynamic properties of this jet that streamed through the plasma torch De-Laval nozzle and impinged optical surfaces. Secondly, the work highlights quantitatively the effects of changing the distance between the processed surface and nozzle outlet. In both investigations, results of numerical models and experiments were correlated. The authors’ modelling approach is based on computational fluid dynamics (CFD). The model is specifically created for this harsh environment. Designated areas of interests in the model domain are the nozzle convergent-divergent and the impinged substrate regions. Strong correlations are highlighted between the gas flow velocity near the surface and material removal footprint profiles. In conclusion, the CFD model supports the optimization of an ICP torch design to fulfill the demand for the correction of ultra-precision surfaces.
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1 Introduction

In the past two decades, thermal plasma technologies have been widely used for a variety of scientific and industrial applications. The applications span from chemical synthesis of new materials, through material spraying or coating, nanopowder preparation, and up to the production of many high quality surfaces [1–4]. Among the large number of plasma delivery systems, inductively coupled plasma (ICP) torches are well suited for processing large components at atmospheric pressure. ICP design is itself well-understood and contamination free since no electrodes are required. Reed [5] reported the first ICP torch operated at atmospheric pressure in 1961. In the late 1990s, Carr [6, 7] pioneered the plasma figuring by correcting the figure of large optical components. More recently in 2007, Fridman et al. [8] extended public knowledge through the study of transport phenomena in plasma torches.

ICP torches have been modified to create highly collimated and stable plasma beams. With regards to the plasma figuring application, typically fluorine compounds (SF6) are introduced in the core of the plasma and are given the time to go through the length of the plasma. This exposure leads to the creation of fluorine ions (reactive species). Upon impingement, these fully ionized fluorine ions react with silicon-based substrates to form gases (SiF4 and SO2) resulting in material removal etching. In the context of this work, dedicated nozzles enable to enhance the performance of the plasma jet. These nozzles are called De-Laval and they are characterized by a convergent-divergent regions, inlet-outlet. Nozzles are positioned at the end of the ICP torch quartz tube (Fig. 1, right) [9, 10]. If axisymmetric, nozzles are able to amend the beam diameter, the beam cross-section heat transfer intensity, and the plasma velocity without any requirements for engineering modifications on the torch body.

Numerical simulations of the plasma jet are considered essential to investigate and understand the dynamic of the high
temperature gas (HTG) flow and occurred chemical reactions. Previous work has focused on the magnetohydrodynamics of the plasma within the plasma core. Boulos and Mostaghimi [11, 12] built 1D models with laminar flow to solve the electromagnetic field equations. Nishiyama [3, 13] reported that the secondary gas (cold helium) could control the temperature and flow field in radio frequency (RF) ICP. Colombo and Bernardi [14–16] have created both 2D and 3D models for investigating the effects of the torch geometries on the plasma flow and temperature distributions.

In those aforementioned investigations, the operating power varied from 3 up to 10 kW, while the frequencies in all cases were set to 3 MHz. Experimental validation is challenging. Boulos and Nishiyama have successfully compared their modelling results with experimental data, in regards to radial velocity distribution [12] and axial temperature distribution [13]. Morsli and Proulx validated their models by comparing calculated axial temperature profiles and discrete temperature measurements [17, 18]. Authors have based their own work on the modelling approach of the aforementioned publications but have focused on HTG that flows through the nozzle and in experimental conditions that were totally different (see Section 2).

2 CFD simulation principle and method

CFD simulation was carried out using the commercial software FLUENT [19]. This CFD model was utilized for the investigation of the aerodynamic behaviour of the RF plasma jet. Thus two domains, A and B, are described hereafter. In Fig. 2, domain A is dominated by intense electromagnetic (EM) field and temperatures. Domain A is where the dissociation and ionization take place. Domain B is where both the transportation and etching take place. The authors’ interest is focused on the plasma jet—called HTG throughout this paper—flow dynamic and the transport of radical species, in domain B.

2.1 Modelling hypothesis

The main modelling assumptions adopted are:

1. The HTG flow is axisymmetric, steady, and turbulent.
2. The effect of EM fields is negligible.
3. Viscous dissipation is taken into account.
4. The Local Thermal Equilibrium (LTE) is achieved.
5. The HTG is thermally expansible and mechanically incompressible.

2.2 Governing equations

We have considered a steady state regime with 2D axisymmetric model based on a HTG that is governed by the Navier-Stokes momentum equation, conservation of mass and energy transport. The nomenclatures are provided with those governing equations.

2.2.1 Continuity equation

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho v_z)}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (\rho vr)}{\partial r} = 0
\]  

(1)

where \( \rho \) is the gas density, while \( v_z \) and \( v_r \) stand for the gas velocity in axial and radial directions, respectively.

2.2.2 Momentum equation

\[
\rho \left( \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} v_z + \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial r} v_r \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \mu \left( 2 \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} \right) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \mu \left( \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial r} \right) \right)
\]

(2)

\[
\rho \left( \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} v_r + \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial z} v_z \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \mu \left( 2 \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} \right) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \mu \left( \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial r} \right) \right) + \frac{2 \mu}{r} \left( \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} \frac{v_r}{r} \right)
\]

(3)

Where \( p \) is the pressure in the nozzle and \( \mu \) is the viscosity.
2.2.3 Energy equation

\[ \rho \left[ \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} v_r + \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} v_z \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\lambda}{c_p} \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\lambda}{c_p} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \right) + U_p - U_R + U_C \] (4)

Where \( c_p \) is the specific heat at a constant pressure, \( \lambda \) is the thermal conductivity and \( h \) is static enthalpy.

For ideal incompressible gas, the static enthalpy \( h = \Sigma Y_j h_j \), where \( Y_j \) is the local mass fraction of species \( j \) and \( h_j \) is the enthalpy of species \( j \); \( U_p \), \( U_R \), \( U_C \) are local plasma energy dissipation rate, Joule heating rate, volumetric radiation heat losses–Radiation losses per unit volume, and heat of chemical reaction, respectively. In the case of the present work, using the hypothesis mentioned above, \( U_p \) and \( U_C \) is counted as 0.

2.2.4 Turbulence characteristics

The characteristic of plasma flow is estimated on the basis of the Reynolds number and additional information from literature. \( Re = \frac{\alpha D_h u}{\mu} \), where \( \rho \) is the density of the fluid [20], \( v \) is the mean velocity of the fluid, \( D_h \) is the hydraulic diameter of the nozzle, \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity of the fluid [21]. These parameters were considered at the inlet of the nozzle. Due to a wide range of parameter values the \( Re \) numbers range from 3800 to 10,350. This \( Re \) result suggests that the flow is in transition state with both turbulent and laminar transports [22]. On the other hand, Morsli [23] reported that the turbulence is present in the cooler regions (below 5000 K) of ICP torch jets. Also it is detailed that the laminar flow is promoted due to the lower densities and higher viscosities at the centre of the plasma stream (above 5000 K).

In the case of the present work, the temperature of the HTG is below 5000 K [24]. Then, the HTG jets’ turbulent behaviour is described using the standard \( k-\varepsilon \) scheme [25]. This turbulence model is used because it is an accepted practice and it offers balance between accuracy and speed of convergence. Also for computing Reynolds stresses two additional transport equations were solved. Kinetic turbulent energy \( k \) and its dissipation rate \( \varepsilon \) equations are shown in (5) and (6).

\[ \frac{\partial \left( \rho k u_i \right)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right] + G_k - \rho \varepsilon \] (5)

\[ \frac{\partial \left( \rho \varepsilon u_i \right)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right] + C_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{k} G_k - C_2 \varepsilon \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \] (6)

The quantities of \( C_1, C_2, \sigma_k \) and \( \sigma_\varepsilon \) are empirical constants [19]. The turbulent viscosity \( \mu_t \) involves a constant \( c_\mu = 0.09 \) and is derived from \( k \) and \( \varepsilon \), as shown in (7):

\[ \mu_t = c_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \] (7)

The source term of turbulence \( G_k \) is function of turbulent viscosity and stresses, as shown in (8):

\[ G_k = \mu_t \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{2}{3} k \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} \] (8)
Fig. 3  Computational domain in [17]

Fig. 4  Correlation between benchmark model [17] and author’s validation models. a Axial pressure profiles. b Axial temperature profiles
2.3 Initial validation of author’s modelling approach

The authors took the plasma model published by Morsli and Proulx [17] as a benchmark. The geometries of torch, nozzle, and chamber of this model are shown in Fig. 3. The other boundary conditions (BC) were applied identically. However, the approach adopted here by the authors considered a HTG that flows through the De-Laval nozzle and into the chamber. Unlike in Morsli’s model, the calculation begun at the inlet of the nozzle.

BC related with the geometry of this model include nozzle inlet diameter 0.035 m, nozzle throat diameter 0.003 m, and length of convergent path 0.012 m. BCs related to temperatures are nozzle wall 700 K and chamber wall 300 K. In addition, authors used the three main results of Morsli’s work. Results are the axial distributions of inlet pressure, inlet temperature and gas flow rate. These input axial parameters located at the entrance of the nozzle are inlet pressure 27,000 Pa, inlet temperature 6000 K, and gas flow rate $3.58 \times 10^{-3}$ kg s$^{-1}$.

In their research, Morsli highlighted that the distributions of pressure and temperature exhibit important variations along the central line of the torch. Depending on the position along the central line, these variations are either smooth or abrupt. Then, the authors decided to name these two identified zones of interest. These zones are called “Compression zone” and “Transition zone”, and they are illustrated in Fig. 3 and mentioned again in Fig. 4.

Two models were implemented by the authors. The author’s model results are displayed in Fig. 4 along with Morsli’s results. The characteristic and the relative error values are provided hereafter.

The author’s initial model was characterized by a uniform inlet profiles of temperature and velocity. The error obtained in the axial pressure and temperature was $\delta = 15\%$. The author’s modified model using parabolic profiles for temperature and velocity allows obtaining better results. Compared to the author’s initial model, the error was improved. In fact, the relative error obtained was $\delta = 5\%$.

The approach adopted to calculate the relative error $\delta$ is as follow: let $\psi_{\text{ben}}(i)$ and $\psi_{\text{act}}(i)$ be the benchmark model and the actual pressure or temperature calculated at positions $i$, then

$$\delta = \frac{\sum_i |\psi_{\text{ben}}(i) - \psi_{\text{act}}(i)|}{\sum_i \psi_{\text{ben}}(i)}$$

(9)

Regarding these two zones of interest, a detailed comparison is presented hereafter. Compression zone is where flow acceleration occurs. In this zone—axial length 0.112 to 0.125 m in Fig. 4—pressures slightly built up and temperatures drop further. The author’s modified model shows a clear correlation with Morsli’s results. On the other hand, the transition zone results—axial length 0.125 m to 0.158 m in Fig. 4—highlight some discrepancy with Morsli’s model. The authors attribute this discrepancy to the dependency of the pressure and the chemical composition of plasma in Morsli’s model. Unlike in Morsli’s model, the author’s equation of state is not dependent of the constituents. Indeed, this model contained only one constituent.

The pressure and temperature profiles are in good agreement with Morsli’s model. Based on the aforementioned comparison, the author’s model was considered sufficiently valid to investigate the study case presented in the next section.

2.4 Experimental BC and numerical procedure

In our computational domain—domain B, which is shown in Fig. 5, the HTG was a mixture of argon and 0.4 % SF$_6$. This
gas mixture ($\text{Ar, Ar}^+, \text{SF}_6, \text{F}^-, \text{e}^-$) is fed into the nozzle through the upper aperture and it flows downward in an axisymmetric manner. From this aperture, the input parameters include the following: flow velocity profile, temperature profile, and pressure. The input temperature of the upper aperture ranges from 1000 to 6500 K as supported by the measurement of O’Brien [24]. The flow rate of the gas mixture of the torch used for the author work is 22 l min$^{-1}$. The gas mixture velocity in the nozzle inlet is 25.77 m s$^{-1}$. This value was estimated from Rankin-Hugoniot relation. For the sake of simplicity, the temperature of the Fused Silica substrate is set to 400 K uniformly. And wall temperature of the nozzle is 350 K. The static pressure in the processing chamber is set 101,325 Pa (outlet side). Also, the gas temperature of the chamber is 300 K.

A coupled implicit solver for the Navier-Stokes equations was used to deal with the strong coupling of momentum and energy equations. The convergence criterion was set to $10^{-5}$. Also, the mesh independence was performed to detect any discretization errors. The dimensions of the De-Laval nozzle and the standoff distance between the nozzle and the substrate are displayed in Fig. 5.

3 Material removal experiment

In order to assess correlation of the model output with the actual plasma removal process, a set of footprint material removal experiments were carried out. Footprints were characterized by two parameters. The first parameter is 6 sigma (mm) value which related to the width of material removal footprint (as shown in Fig. 7). The second parameter is the depth (nm) of footprint. The experimental results are compared to the numerical results in Section 4.3.

3.1 Experimental setup

The ICP torch was moved in a plane parallel to the surface 200 mm × 200 mm of a substrate. The substrate was made of fused silica. Brass masks were used (Fig. 6, left) for reference purposes to ensure these two regions were etched free. Picture of both substrate and ICP torch is shown in Fig. 6 (right).

Key experimental conditions include the following: RF signal frequency 40 MHz, plasma forwarded power 1.2 KW, travel speed of the torch 1 m/min, and reactive gas SF$_6$ concentration 0.4 % in argon. A series of single trenches were etched on substrate surfaces. The surface topography—100-mm diameter area—was measured using a Twyman-Green interferometer-FISBA Optik μ-Phase [26]. Subsequently, a series of trenches were carried out with different standoff distances. The experimental results are detailed hereafter.

3.2 Footprint results

For standoff distance equal to 6 mm, the profile of the etched footprint (Fig. 7) was assessed to be near Gaussian, and the 6 sigma value was determined to be 31 mm. The maximum depth of the trench was 120 nm. When the standoff distances were changed, different footprint depths were observed. The influence of the standoff distance on the maximum depth can be seen in Fig. 8. The beam footprint characterization was

---

**Fig. 6** Schematic of the torch path (left), picture of both substrate and torch (right)

**Fig. 7** Cross section of the trench
published in [10]. In this work, the footprint data will be utilized to validate the CFD simulation results.

4 Results

4.1 Calculated results of the HTG in De-Laval nozzle

The calculated distributions for Mach number and temperature in the domain are illustrated in Fig. 9. The Mach number reaches the value of 0.56 in the bottle neck of the nozzle. This velocity is still subsonic as expected.

From the results plotted above, it is clear that the symmetric axis of the nozzle is a critical path that is further scrutinized. The variation of temperatures and velocities along the axis are displayed in Fig. 10 (left). The static pressure is displayed in Fig. 10 (right). The pressure in the nozzle drops significantly by an axial distance of 5 mm. This low pressure is formed in the bottle neck, where the flow velocity reaches 440 m/s. And, as expected, the pressure value recovers in the divergent area of the nozzle.

The other area of interest is the near surface substrate region where the chemical reaction takes place. In order to ease the investigation, a line of study is defined at 10 μm from the substrate surface (Fig. 9, horizontal dash line located above the substrate area). This line is called “Pathway Of Investigation” (POI). Along the POI, the chemical reaction related data—temperature, density, axial and radial...
velocity—are extracted and displayed in Fig. 11. In the region close to the symmetric axis, both variables are higher than that of the ambience. Then it can be deduced that the chemical reaction in the centrally exposed region takes place more effectively. Also, in the region far from the symmetric axis—radial distance 15 mm to 30 mm—fewer silicon atoms are etched. This statement is supported by the evidence—footprint profile—shown in Fig. 7.

4.2 Exposed area definition

From a processing viewpoint, the plasma etching rate is influenced by parameters such as standoff distance of the torch nozzle, the travel speed of the torch, exposure time, the power delivered by the RF generator, and reactive gas concentration. When those aforementioned parameters are coupled together, then a specific near Gaussian shape footprint is created (Fig. 7). However, from a microscopic viewpoint, the plasma etching is considered to take place only in the region exposed to fluorine ions.

In Fig. 12 (left, black solid line), HTG axial velocity along the POI is displayed. As shown, the HTG flow can go either downwards or upwards. The area that experiences downwards HTG flow is shown with positive values of velocity. On the other hand, regions along the POI that experiences an upward HTG flow component are shown having negative values of velocity. The area experiencing downward flow is named “Exposed area”. In the next section, the exposed area is characterized by its diameter value. That enables to compare the two profiles of interest: axial velocity and removal footprint.

4.3 Validation of the CFD simulation with experimental results

Figure 13 shows both CFD simulation data (Section 4.1) and experimental data—footprint cross section (Section 3.2). This plot highlights the comparison between the material removal footprint and the regions along the POI that experiences a downward vertical flow. The exposed area diameter is 26.8 mm, which is close to the 6 Sigma value of the footprint’s aperture 31.1 mm. On the other hand, the two profiles are very similar.

In addition to previous results, the correlation between exposed area diameter and 6 Sigma values is displayed in Fig. 14 for standoff distances set to 6, 7, 8, and 9 mm. Good correlation takes place when the standoff distance is smaller than 7 mm, and the discrepancy increases as the standoff distance is over 8 mm. The etching rate is dependent of reactive species concentration, velocity of flow and irradiation intensity. When the substrate is far from the nozzle, both reactive species concentration and irradiation intensity will be weak.
5 Discussion

The understanding of the fluid dynamic behaviour of plasma flow settled between the inner part of the De-Laval nozzle and nearby surface requires a deep knowledge of the physics and a fine analysis of the processing conditions. Then some aspects of the model and experimental results are discussed hereafter. Three aspects are detailed: validation approach, model results, and nozzle design rules.

The validation approach of the author’s model was carried out by comparing axial pressure and temperature profiles with the benchmark model found in [17]. As presented in Section 2.3, a discrepancy error of 5 % was obtained. This error was attributed to the dependency of the pressure and the chemical composition of the plasma. However, the main point of this work is the creation of a model that supported and increased the understanding of the plasma jet in real and specific processing conditions. Like other researchers (Wang et al. [27]), the authors experienced strong changes of plasma temperatures, velocity, and density when a substrate is added to the experimental configuration. Unlike others, experimental setups [28–31]—used in plasma spraying process—where an 80-mm standoff distance enabled measurements, the present configuration—6-mm standoff—limited an experimental validation. This confined space would affect an OES investigation, where unwanted reflections of the light by the substrate and nozzle will degrade the collected spectrum quality. The harsh environment and the short standoff distance made measurements extremely challenging. Thus, authors considered that an alternative method was provided to correlate results of the CFD model with quantitative outputs.

Based on the validation stage outcome, the CFD model provided a sound ground for the scientific understanding of the flow dynamics in the nozzle when the flow was impinging a flat surface at short distance. The two assumptions made—HTG instead of plasma and parabolic profiles of temperature and velocity—enabled to reduce the complexity of model.

Fig. 12 Radial profile of the axial velocity along POI (left) and sketch of the HTG that flows onto the “Exposed area” (right)

Fig. 13 Curves of the footprint (black solid line) and axial velocity of HTG along the POI (blue dotted line)

Fig. 14 Correlation between footprint 6 sigma values and exposed area diameters
Consequently, the computational time was reduced without degrading the quality of the results.

The design of nozzles that are used for conveying subsonic plasma flow can be assisted by the present approach. In fact, the effects of different parameters of the nozzle (Fig. 5) can be investigated and adjusted for obtaining a specific velocity footprint of the gas flow. This work was already undertaken and partially detailed in [32] by the same authors. However, the present work benefited of an enhanced model that is more capable of estimating the optimum design parameters for a given material processing flow velocity and material removal footprint. Also, this further work will enable to investigate if the length of the divergent region improves or degrades the performance of jet as mentioned in [33]. To support this claim, the authors have planned a series of experiments where various nozzles mentioned in [32] will be tested.

6 Conclusions

A CFD model based on the HTG was developed for a De-Laval nozzle. This model was tested against the plasma torch model developed by Morsli and Proulx [17] with an acceptable degree of agreement. Compared to plasma models, the author’s model proved that the use of HTG is sufficient for the actual De-Laval nozzle design characterization. In fact, the numerical results of this model highlighted that there are appropriate profiles of temperature and velocity applied on the nozzle inlet will reduce computational time. Furthermore the model enabled the investigation of the entire aerodynamic behaviour of HTG from the nozzle inlet up to the processed surface.
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