Temporal control of self-organized pattern formation without morphogen gradients in bacteria
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Diverse mechanisms have been proposed to explain biological pattern formation. Regardless of their specific molecular interactions, the majority of these mechanisms require morphogen gradients as the spatial cue, which are either predefined or generated as a part of the patterning process. However, using Escherichia coli programmed by a synthetic gene circuit, we demonstrate here the generation of robust, self-organized ring patterns of gene expression in the absence of an apparent morphogen gradient. Instead of being a spatial cue, the morphogen serves as a timing cue to trigger the formation and maintenance of the ring patterns. The timing mechanism enables the system to sense the domain size of the environment and generate patterns that scale accordingly. Our work defines a novel mechanism of pattern formation that has implications for understanding natural developmental processes.
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Introduction

A major challenge in biology is to better understand the mechanisms driving pattern formation in diverse processes, including slime mold aggregation (Keller and Segel, 1970; Goldbeter, 2006), stripe formation (Painter et al., 1999), and limb bud development (Verheyden and Sun, 2008). To date, primarily two types of mechanisms have been invoked to explain biological pattern formation. Both types of mechanisms rely on morphogens, or signaling molecules produced by a local source that activate a specific and distinct cellular response in a concentration-dependent manner (Borello and Pierani, 2010). The first relies on the notion of self-organization. A classic example is the Turing mechanism (Turing, 1952; Meinhardt and Gierer, 1974; Sick et al., 2006). By using interlocking positive- and negative-feedback loops, the mechanism can generate self-organized spatial patterns of two diffusible morphogens, which can then control patterns of downstream processes. The other, widely invoked mechanism is the French flag model (Wolpert, 1969; Casal et al., 2002). The essence of this mechanism is a pre-defined morphogen gradient that is interpreted by downstream genes, where different genes are activated at different ranges of morphogen concentration. The common thread connecting these two types of mechanisms is the requirement for morphogen gradients. Indeed, the generation and interpretation of morphogen gradients have been the focus of most studies on biological pattern formation. However, using E. coli programmed by a synthetic gene circuit, we demonstrate here the formation of self-organized patterns without an apparent morphogen gradient. These patterns are self-organized in that they are not generated by pre-defined spatial cues.

Our circuit (Figure 1A; Supplementary Figure S1) consists of a mutant T7 RNA polymerase (T7 RNAP) (Tan et al., 2009) activating its own expression via a T7 promoter carrying a lac operator. T7 RNAP also activates expression of LuxR and LuxI. LuxI mediates synthesis of acyl-homoserine lactone (AHL), which can diffuse across the cell wall. When enough AHL accumulates in cell culture, intracellular AHL binds to and activates LuxR, which induces expression of T7 lysozyme. Lysozyme can inhibit T7 RNAP by forming a complex with it and preventing it from binding its cognate promoter (Supplementary Figure S2). To report the circuit dynamics, a cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) is co-expressed with T7 RNAP, and an mCherry protein is co-expressed with T7 lysozyme. The circuit can thus be divided into two modules: an activation module consisting of the T7 RNAP positive-feedback loop and an inhibition module consisting of quorum sensing-mediated lysozyme expression. Its logic resembles that of the classical Turing mechanism (Turing, 1952; Meinhardt and Gierer, 1974): activation is local since T7 RNAP is confined in the cells, whereas inhibition is global due to fast diffusion of AHL.
However, our circuit differs from the classical Turing mechanism in two critical aspects. First, in contrast to the Turing mechanism, which utilizes two diffusible morphogens, our circuit only contains a single morphogen: the AHL molecule. T7 RNAP transport is cell mediated and thus not driven by Fickian diffusion, which is assumed to take place in most reaction-diffusion models describing pattern formation. While underappreciated in theoretical studies, this mechanism of activator transport is likely common in natural developmental processes, such as stripe formation and limb bud outgrowth (Painter et al., 1999; Pfeiffer et al., 2000; Ibanes et al., 2006; Chisholm et al., 2010). Second, circuit activation induces...
a metabolic burden on the growth of its host cell. This metabolic burden is critical for enhancing the non-linearity in the system (Tan et al., 2009) and exhibiting microcolony size control, which in turn facilitate robust pattern formation. Therefore, our circuit defines a tight coupling between intracellular gene expression, signal diffusion, and modulation of cell growth and motility. This coupling is often neglected in variants of Turing models invoked to explain self-organized pattern formation; yet, it is likely critical for diverse natural developmental processes, ranging from limb bud outgrowth (Verheyden and Sun, 2008) to somitogenesis (Cooke and Zeeman, 1976) to tissue stratification (Chou et al., 2010).

Results
To explore the spatiotemporal dynamics of our system experimentally, we used a multi-well device (see Supplementary Figure S3) to culture microcolonies initiated from individual E. coli cells programmed by our gene circuit. Briefly, we added serially diluted overnight culture to molten soft agar containing growth medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics and 1000 μM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG). Five-microliter droplets of the agar containing about 1–4 E. coli cells were then placed into wells of the multi-well device. We then observed the growth and gene expression of the expanding microcolonies in each well at 30°C.

Figure 1B shows growth and gene expression dynamics in a representative microcolony, which gives rise to a self-organized pattern with a length scale of ~500 μm (also see Supplementary Movies 1 and 2). The pattern consisted of a CFP core and an mCherry ring (Figures 1B and C), whose formation appeared to be tightly coupled with colony expansion. Before 30 h of incubation, CFP slowly accumulated in the microcolony interior before mCherry underwent a switch-like transition from a low state to a high state in a ring pattern in <5 h. Initiation of the mCherry ring was concurrent with a pause in colony expansion (Figure 1D; Supplementary Movie 1). Though colony expansion resumed after ~20 h, the ring size was maintained (Figure 1D; Supplementary Movie 2) for ~35 h (until the end of the experiment). During this time, the intensity of the ring gradually decreased (Figure 1D; Supplementary Movie 2), suggesting that formation of the ring resulted from transient circuit dynamics. As indicated by additional results acquired under the same conditions, the dominant features of the self-organized patterns were robust and reproducible (Supplementary Figure S4). Similar patterns were also generated using a different strain (MG1655) grown under a different experimental condition (Supplementary Figure S5). These results again reinforce that our circuit is robust in that its characteristic behavior is persistent. Furthermore, this characteristic behavior is dependent on AHL signaling since the original cell strain (MC4100Z1) containing the full synthetic circuit with LuxR or LuxI removed did not generate a detectable and clear mCherry ring pattern (Supplementary Figure S6).

These results were counterintuitive considering the kinetic properties of the ‘morphogen’ AHL. It is a small molecule with an estimated diffusivity of 0.4 cm²/h (Song et al., 2009). On the time scale of our experiments (~50 h), the diffusion length scale is ~9 cm, which is about 2 orders of magnitude greater than that of our observed patterns. However, at the very early stage, AHL may form a transient gradient that could be critical for forming the pattern. To test this notion, we cultured cells in the presence of an initial concentration of AHL (100 nM). This concentration is well above the published estimate of the half-activation threshold of AHL (20 nM) (Collins et al., 2006) and is likely enough to saturate LuxR. Importantly, this concentration of AHL is well beyond what can be produced by the microcolony at the early stage. As such, introducing the AHL at this high concentration exogenously eliminates the possibility of a significant AHL gradient early in the experiment. Even under this condition, the microcolony was able to generate a similar (albeit smaller) pattern, confirming the ability of the system to generate patterns without requiring an AHL gradient (Figure 1E).

To help resolve this paradox, we developed an agent-based model (Ferreira et al., 2002; Mallet and De Pillis, 2006) (see Supplementary Information for details) to simulate the circuit-mediated spatiotemporal dynamics. Briefly, we modeled the cells as agents within which production and degradation of T7 RNAP, T7 lysozyme, and AHL take place. AHL degradation also takes place outside of the cell. We assume that cells undergo a random walk on a 1-dimensional (1D) spatial domain, where their growth and movement is sensitive to a metabolic burden induced by T7 RNAP (Tan et al., 2009) and T7 lysozyme (Studier, 1991). Due to very fast diffusion of AHL, we assume that its concentration is uniform across the entire spatial domain. In addition, we also assume that a cell’s gene expression decreases with increasing distance from the microcolony edge via a variant of the Hill function. Through mathematical modeling, we have shown that spatially-dependent gene expression is essential in generating the patterns we observe. Several mechanisms can account for this spatially-dependent gene expression. These include contact inhibition (Morse et al., 2012) and mechanical stress (Jozefczuk et al., 2010) due to higher cell packing in the interior of the microcolony. Another possible contributing factor is that a cell’s gene expression capacity increases with the availability of growth-limiting chemical substrates (Klumpp et al., 2009; Scott et al., 2010). Furthermore, gene expression capacity could increase with higher oxygen concentrations (Salmon et al., 2003) on the edge of the microcolony. Regardless of the underlying mechanisms, our assumption is consistent with our experimental observation (Supplementary Figure S7).

Specifically, we observed the formation of the mCherry ring at the edge of growing microcolonies of MC4100Z1 cells expressing mCherry from a ptet promoter for several different time points. This result provides direct evidence for the spatially dependent gene expression capacity.

Starting from 10 cells per spatial unit Δ, spanning Δ = 1–10, our model can reproduce the observed self-organized patterns (Figures 1F and 2A–C; Supplementary Figure S8) using biologically feasible parameters (see Supplementary Table S1). In particular, the simulation demonstrates several salient pattern features, including an initial confined T7 RNAP core, a T7 lysozyme ring with robust size over time, and the tight coupling between microcolony expansion and initiation and maintenance of the lysozyme ring (Figure 1F).
Importantly, the simulation confirms the notion that robust pattern formation does not require an AHL gradient. Instead, it underscores the critical interplay between cell growth and gene expression, which converts temporal information regarding the history of cell growth into spatial patterns (Figure 2; Supplementary Figure S8). Initially, AHL concentration is low due to diffusion-mediated dilution spanning the entire spatial domain. Thus, the early circuit dynamics are dominated by T7 RNAP-positive feedback.

The high T7 RNAP in the microcolony interior eventually gives rise to faster production of AHL, leading to a uniform elevation of AHL concentration throughout the entire spatial domain. Once AHL accumulates to a critical threshold level, it can activate T7 lysozyme production. This activation occurs on the colony edge, where the gene expression capacity of the cell is high, leading to an mCherry ring (Figures 2C and D). Toward the microcolony interior, however, low gene expression capacity results in low lysozyme production and mCherry expression. Thus, lysozyme synthesis can be viewed as the result of an AND logic gate: specifically, synthesis only occurs as the result of both high AHL and high gene expression capacity. This logic gate results in low mCherry in the microcolony interior and high mCherry at the microcolony edge at a uniformly high AHL concentration. These mCherry dynamics are confirmed by confocal imaging (Supplementary Figure S9), where we observe that the apparent mCherry core from fluorescence microscopy (Figure 1B) indeed is the result of another mCherry ring pattern occurring in the z-direction in the microcolony core. On the x-y plane where subsequent growth outside of the core is observed, a single mCherry ring forms on the microcolony edge as the model predicts. At the ring, expression of lysozyme causes a significant growth inhibition and a pause of colony expansion (Figures 1D, F and 2B; Supplementary Figure S8). Consequently, growth inhibition leads to a reduced dilution rate of lysozyme, reinforcing its accumulation. Thus, the interplay between expression of lysozyme and growth inhibition creates a local positive feedback, which facilitates the maintenance of the ring pattern.

Over time, AHL concentration gradually decreases due to reduced T7 RNAP strength (Figure 2A). As a result, lysozyme decays on the colony’s very edge and thus lysozyme-induced metabolic burden is alleviated, leading to the resumption of cell growth and colony expansion. Now, AHL concentration is
too low to trigger expression of mCherry at the colony expansion front. As a result, the ring radius is maintained over time at its initial position in a manner that is decoupled from further colony expansion.

An open question in developmental biology is the exact mechanism by which pattern sizes are controlled by genetic and environmental factors. In our system, the critical determinant of pattern size is the timing of AHL accumulation, rather than its spatial gradient, which is negligible within the small dimensions of the microcolony. This timing can be modulated by changing the domain size or by simply adding exogenous AHL (Figure 3A). The negative-feedback loop mediated by the inhibition module has the potential to generate one or more AHL pulses. Indeed, the base-case circuit dynamics result in a single pulse of AHL, which triggers ring initiation when the AHL threshold is exceeded. The initial addition of exogenous AHL to the droplet is expected to decrease the time necessary to reach the AHL threshold and thus leads to earlier formation of a smaller ring (Figure 3A). In contrast, an increase in the domain size is expected to prolong the time necessary for AHL to reach the threshold due to greater spatial dilution, leading to later formation of a larger ring (Figure 3A).

We carried out further simulations and experiments to test these notions. Indeed, our simulations predict that the ring size decreases with increasing initial AHL concentration (Figure 3B; Supplementary Figure S10). This prediction was consistent with our experimental observation: the mCherry ring radius decreased from 655 to 282 μm as we increased the initial AHL concentration from 0 to 100 nM (Figure 3C). Similarly, our simulations predict that the ring size increases with domain size (Figure 3D; Supplementary Figures S11 and S12). Our experiments confirmed this prediction: mCherry ring radius increased from 572 to 1 145 μm as we increased the droplet size from 5 to 15 μl (Figure 3E).

Finally, our simulations indicate that our system can generate a double-ring pattern when the AHL threshold necessary to initiate ring formation is exceeded twice during two discrete time intervals (Figures 4A and B). Multiple rings were obtained within a reasonable time frame most easily for high initial AHL concentrations that exceeded the ring-forming threshold from the start of colony formation (Figures 4A and B; Supplementary Figure S13). Again, this prediction was validated experimentally. A double-ring pattern was observed after 48 h of incubation for a single microcolony in a 5-μl droplet when supplied with an initial AHL concentration of 100 nM (Figures 4C and D). Note that in both the simulated and experimental cases for which a double ring occurs, the rings emerge sequentially with one ring first occurring at a smaller radius, followed by a second ring occurring at a larger radius (Figures 4B and D; Supplementary Figure S13). Here, the first ring corresponds to the initial time interval in which AHL exceeds the ring-forming threshold, and the second ring corresponds to the second time interval in which AHL exceeds the ring-forming threshold (Figures 4A and B; Supplementary Figures S13 and S14).

Discussion

Our analysis has established a novel mechanism for forming self-organized patterns: instead of providing a spatial cue, the morphogen serves as a timing cue to initiate pattern formation. Thus, the pattern length scale is decoupled from that of the morphogen. These properties are fundamentally different from recent examples of synthetic pattern-forming circuits (Basu et al., 2005; Sohka et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2011), where the length scale of the pattern was determined by the length scale of the morphogen gradient. Our mechanism suggests a new way to view the role of morphogens involved in natural pattern-formation processes. Most past studies have focused on elucidating how morphogen gradients are generated and interpreted to realize precise patterns (Koch and Meinhardt, 1994; Maini et al., 2006; Rogers and Schier, 2011). However, less widely recognized potential pattern-formation mechanisms involving differential metabolism were proposed many decades ago (Parker, 1929; Child, 1941). Moreover, a recent study suggests that pattern formation in early sea urchin development does not require the participation of a morphogen (Smith et al., 2007). In addition, the role of mechanical forces has become increasingly appreciated in diverse pattern-forming biological systems (Gibson et al., 2011; Asally et al., 2012; Bosveld et al., 2012). Even when apparent morphogens are identified (Sick et al., 2006), their specific role in forming the resulting patterns has not been definitively established (Maini et al., 2006). Furthermore, a recent study has shown that a flat spatial distribution of the morphogen Bicoid can still give rise to well-defined head gap gene domains in Drosophila embryogenesis (Chen et al., 2012; Roth and Lynch, 2012). Taken together, these studies and our results suggest that the common view of a spatial morphogen gradient being the central driver of pattern formation may be biased and underestimate the contribution of the temporal morphogen dynamics, as well as that of other cellular processes, such as cell growth and movement.

In addition, the role of AHL in our system is analogous to that of secreted negative-feedback factors (‘chalones’) that are involved in tissue development (Lander et al., 2009). This concept, proposed five decades ago, explains organ size control as the result of slow accumulation of the chalone as cells proliferate. Once an organ reaches its target size, chalones accumulate to high enough concentrations to inhibit further organ growth (Bullough, 1962). While recent studies have identified candidate factors that fulfill the classical definition of the chalone (McPherron et al., 1997; Gamer et al., 2003; Lander et al., 2009), the specifics of how their local activity translates to organ size regulation is an open question (Gamer et al., 2003). By utilizing a synthetic system in which a diffusible signal (AHL) activates synthesis of the growth inhibitor (T7 lysozyme), we have eliminated many confounding factors influencing pattern-formation processes in natural systems. This strategy allowed us to observe that one possible method by which chalones regulate pattern size is through sensing the domain size by a fast-diffusing signal (Figures 3D and E). If so, our mechanism can provide an intuitive explanation for scale invariance observed in many developmental processes.

Materials and methods

Liquid medium

The 2xYT medium was made following the protocol in Sambrook and Russell (2001): 16 g tryptone (Difco Laboratories), 10 g yeast extract
Figure 3  Simulated and measured modulation of pattern formation by environmental factors. (A) Modulation of patterns by perturbing AHL temporal dynamics. The base case occurs when a single pulse of AHL exceeds a threshold necessary to trigger ring formation (left, e.g., as in Figures 1 and 2). Adding exogenous AHL allows AHL to exceed the threshold concentration faster, leading to the formation of a smaller ring (center). Increasing the domain size slows down AHL accumulation due to increased spatial dilution, leading to the formation of a larger ring (right). (B) Simulated dependence of ring radius on initial, exogenously added AHL concentration. Average mCherry ring radii obtained at 25 and 13.3 h for 1D simulations of microcolonies growing from initial AHL concentrations of 0–1200 molecules per Δ and 1500–4000 molecules per Δ. For each replicate, the time chosen for data analysis corresponds to the time at which only the first mCherry ring radius has emerged. All of the mCherry ring radii were calculated in the same manner as described in Figure 1. The error bars represent standard error among 10 replicates. The black curve indicates a best-fit Hill function calculated using a custom MATLAB code. (C) Measured dependence of ring radius on initial, exogenously added AHL concentration. Average mCherry ring radii obtained for microcolonies growing from an initial AHL concentration of 0, 10, 30, and 100 nM AHL, respectively. These values were obtained from replicates at 24-h time points for 0, 10, 30, and 100 nM AHL, respectively. All of the mCherry ring radii were calculated in the same manner as described in Figure 1. The error bars represent standard error among 7, 10, 9, and 5 replicates, respectively. Cropped representative mCherry images of microcolonies growing in the presence (bottom right panel) of an initial AHL concentration of 100 nM at 36-h time points, respectively, are shown. The color scheme is defined as in Figure 1. (D) Simulated dependence of ring radius on the domain size. Average mCherry ring radii obtained at 25 h for 1D simulations of microcolonies growing in domain lengths spanning 100–500 μm. All of the mCherry ring radii were calculated in the same manner as described in Figure 1. The error bars represent standard error among 10 replicates. The black curve indicates a best-fit linear function calculated using Microsoft Excel. (E) Measured dependence of ring radius on the droplet size. Average mCherry ring radii obtained for microcolonies growing in 5-μl, 10-μl, and 15-μl droplets, respectively. These values were obtained from replicates at 36-h time points for 5-μl and 10-μl droplets and from replicates at 48-h time points for 15-μl droplets (only one mCherry ring emerged for this condition at the 36-h time point). All of the mCherry ring radii were calculated in the same manner as described in Figure 1. The error bars represent standard error among 3, 6, and 6 replicates, respectively. Cropped representative mCherry images of microcolonies to scale growing in 5-μl (top left panel) and 15-μl droplets (bottom right panel) at 36-h and 48-h time points, respectively, are shown. The color scheme is defined as in Figure 1.
ligated to yield pTuLac2 with kanamycin resistance and a pl15A origin of replication (ori). The Kan' gene was then removed and replaced with a Cm' gene by digesting pTuLac2 and pLuxGFPuv (Cm') with SpeI and AatII and ligating the appropriate segments together. The resulting plasmid was named pTuLac2 CMR2. T7 lysozyme was then amplified from pLysS using PCR with a 5' primer containing an AatII overhang and a 3' primer containing an Nhel overhang (all primers used in this study can be found in Supplementary Table S2). Upon digestion of pTuLac2 CMR2 and the PCR product with Nhel and AatII, a ligation reaction was performed to yield the final plasmid, pTuLys2 CMR2. This plasmid contained the inhibition module (Supplementary Figure S1, red dashed box). Both plasmids were sequence verified.

The cell chassis used in this study is MC4100Z1 (gift of Michael Elowitz), which is MC4100 [araD139 (argF-lac)205 [fb-5301 pstF25 rpsL150 deoC1 relA1]] with a 21 cassette for lacIq, tetR, and spectR.

For the activation module characterization, BL21 DE3 and BL21 DE3 pLysS cell strains (Novagen) were used.

**Activation module characterization**

The activation module was tested for inhibition in response to T7 lysozyme. This test was conducted by comparing the CFP expression of cells in liquid culture containing the activation module plasmid (Supplementary Figure S1, green dashed box) in a BL21 DE3 cell chassis with or without the pLysS plasmid in response to a varying input of IPTG concentration. The BL21 DE3 cell chassis expresses T7 RNAP from a lacUV5 promoter, which is inducible by IPTG. The pLysS plasmid contains constitutively expressed T7 lysozyme. At each IPTG concentration, the mean CFP expression level of the cells containing pLysS is dramatically lower than that of the cells without the plasmid (Supplementary Figure S2). This result indicates that the T7 lysozyme expressed from the pLysS plasmid is indeed decreasing T7 RNAP expression as designed. Furthermore, this effect was observed across a large span of IPTG induction levels, indicating that inhibition of the activation module occurs over a wide range of gene expression levels. This result is again consistent with the circuit design. Additional characterization of the activation module (e.g., demonstration of activation by T7 RNAP and IPTG induction) was conducted previously (Tan et al., 2009).

**Experimental set-up**

For Figures 1, 3C, and 4 and Supplementary Figures S4, S6, S14, and S15, overnight LB cultures of our engineered cells supplemented with 75 µg/ml carbenicillin and 50 µg/ml chloramphenicol were prepared and diluted to ∼1–4 cells in 5 µl of 0.07% 2xYT (pH = 6.5) soft agar. The soft agar was supplemented with the same concentration of抗生素 and 1000 µM IPTG. Eight 5-µl aliquots of the soft agar mix were placed into 8 1-mm deep, 6-mm diameter wells of a CultureWell™ multiwell chambered coverslip (Grace Bio-Labs, Bend, OR, USA; item #103380), and a glass coverslip was applied to the top (see Supplementary Figure S3).

For Figure 3E, the same process was done for 5-µl, 10-µl, and 15-µl soft agar droplets with ∼1–4 cells per droplet. However, four aliquots of soft agar mix were placed into 4 1-mm deep, 9-mm diameter wells of a CultureWell™ multiwell chambered coverslip (Grace Bio-Labs; Bend, OR, USA; item #103340).

**Mathematical modeling**

We model the experimental pattern-formation system with an agent-based model in one spatial dimension. The main goal of this simplified model is to demonstrate the generic feasibility of pattern formation through a timing mechanism controlled by a uniformly distributed morphogen (i.e., AHL). The model captures the key aspects of the experimental system: interactions between major circuit components, as well as cell proliferation and movement.

In our model, cells are treated as individual agents within which intracellular reactions, namely the production and degradation of T7 RNAP and T7 lysozyme, as well as the production of AHL, take place. Whereas the T7 RNAP and T7 lysozyme proteins are confined to their...
cell of origin, AHL freely diffuses across the cell membrane, and its degradation occurs both inside and outside the cell. We assume that gene expression capacity decreases as the distance between the host cell and the edge of the colony increases (Mcmichaël, 1992), and we model this spatial dependence by means of a steep Hill function. More precisely, only a boundary layer of cells located close to the edge of the colony can efficiently synthesize proteins. T7 RNAP enhances its own production through a positive-feedback loop, and activates the production of AHL. AHL then induces lysozyme expression, which in turn inhibits T7 RNAP production. T7 RNAP and lysozyme are known to bind and form the T–L complex (denoted hereafter as P), and the corresponding reversible first order kinetics takes place on a very fast time scale (Kumar and Patel, 1997). Additionally, T–L complex can inhibit T7 synthesis on the transcriptional level as described in Jeruzalmi and Steitz (1998). In summary, the chemical reactions are captured by the following differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dT}{dt} & = \left( \frac{K_0}{P + K_F} \right) \left( \frac{k_{fT}}{T + K_F} \right) - d_T T - k_{TL} TL + d_P P, \\
\frac{dL}{dt} & = k_{LA} \frac{A}{A_m + K_A} - d_L L - k_{TL} TL + d_P P, \\
\frac{dP}{dt} & = k_{fT} T - k_{fL} L - d_P P, \\
\frac{dA}{dt} & = D_A \Delta A + \left( \frac{K_0}{P + K_F} \right) \left( \frac{k_{fT}}{T + K_F} \right) - d_A A, \\
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
k_T & = \phi(r) k_{tT}, \quad k_A = \phi(r) k_{tA}, \quad k_L = \phi(r) k_{tL}, \\
\phi(r) & = \left( \frac{r}{\lambda} \right)^j + \phi_0, \\
\end{align*}
\]

and \( r \) is the distance between the cell where the reactions take place and the edge of the ‘cell colony’ (the position of the cell furthest from the left end of the 1D grid, see below). On the basis of the fast diffusion of AHL, we assume instantaneous diffusion of AHL by setting \( D_A \to \infty \). Furthermore, the T–L complex is treated as a quasi-stationary field based on its fast reaction dynamics. The effect of changing \( \phi \) by the multiplicative factor \( \lambda \) is a corresponding decrease in the lysozyme (mCherry) ring radius (see Supplementary Figure S16). The multiplicative factor \( \lambda \) is set to one for every simulation but those in Supplementary Figure S16.

The simulation is performed on a 1D grid of length \( L \), with grid elements of length \( \Delta = 10 \mu m \). As explained above, the 1D framework is based on the radial symmetry of the experimental system, and the computational domain should be interpreted as a radial section of the expanding colony with the leftmost grid element corresponding to its center. Here, we impose the assumption that all cells within the same grid element have identical intracellular contents (i.e., they harbor identical TL complexes). Consequently, the equations for \( T \) and \( L \) are solved locally in each grid element, provided that at least one cell is present. On the other hand, the equation for \( A \) is solved over the entire spatial domain. Those cells (before division) are distributed equally among \((c + 1)\) cells. If movement is the chosen event, then one cell inside the grid element moves with probability

\[
1 - \exp \left\{ - \left[ \frac{L}{1 + K_F} \left( \frac{T}{T + K_F} \right) \theta_t \right] \right\},
\]

increasing the cell number from \( c \) to \( c + 1 \) in the respective grid element. Consequently, the intracellular contents summed up over \( c \) cells (before division) are distributed equally among \((c + 1)\) cells. If division is the chosen event, then one of the two adjacent grid elements. If both adjacent grid elements have fewer cells than the central element, then the moving cell chooses one of the two elements to move into with equal probability; if only one adjacent element has fewer cells, then the moving cell moves into that element; if neither element has fewer cells, then no jump takes place. The cells in the leftmost grid element can only jump to the right. As long as a jump occurs, the cell number in the original grid element is reduced by one. Consequently, the total number of each intracellular molecular species summed over the \( c \) cells (before movement) in the destination grid element and the moving cell is divided equally among \((c + 1)\) cells (after movement) in the destination grid element; the intracellular contents (\( T \) and \( L \) per cell) of the remaining \((c - 1)\) cells in the original grid element are unchanged. Note that both the division and jump probabilities decrease with increasing intracellular lysozyme and T7 RNAP concentration. \( \theta_m \) and \( \theta_t \) are parameters controlling the baseline motility and growth potentials.

To constrain our model, we use literature values where available (see references in Supplementary Table S1), and choose the remaining parameters (within a reasonable range) in such a way that the simulated dynamics capture the salient features of the experimentally observed system. We expect that the critical role played by the uniformly distributed morphogen can be coupled to a wide array of intracellular and intercellular chemical and physical interactions to generate sophisticated yet controllable patterns. The exact pattern may depend on the specifics of the chemical and physical interactions, yet the controllability of the pattern actuated through the uniformly distributed morphogen is generally applicable and not restricted to our specific circuit.

### Data processing

For the experimental data presented in Figures 1, 3, and 4 and Supplementary Figures S4, S5, S6, S7, S14, and S15, the RFP imaging was done with the excitation filter set to 546/12 and the emission filter set to 605/75. The CFP imaging was done with the excitation filter set to 436/20 and the emission filter set to 480/40. The exposure levels were chosen independently for each image to avoid saturation, except in the case of Figure 1, Supplementary Movies 1 and 2, and Supplementary Figure S6, where the exposure settings were kept constant.

An edge detection algorithm was used to segment the core of the microcolony based on the phase image. The center of the microcolony was then calculated as the centroid of the microcolony core. For Figure 1, the intensity values were calculated by averaging across all angles spanning 0 to 2π after subtracting for background. For Figures 3 and 4 and Supplementary Figure S14, the intensity values were then calculated by averaging across all angles of a sector at fixed radii after subtracting for background. mCherry ring radius was defined as the radius corresponding to the maximum running average of mCherry. Sectors were chosen to avoid edges of the droplet and interference from other microcolonies growing in the same well. For Figure 3E, some microcolonies were so large such that a microcolony core did not appear within the frame of the image. In these cases, another algorithm was used to quantify mCherry ring radius. The algorithm calculated the running average of mCherry across the whole image, excluding...
edgess of the droplet. Then, the maximum running average of mCherry was indexed by location for each horizontal row in the image. A function relating this location to vertical distance was fitted to an equation for a perfect circle. On the basis of this best-fit function, the mCherry ring radius was extrapolated. All of these data analyses were conducted using custom code in MATLAB. In addition, single microcolonies per well were excluded from the data analysis process since their dynamics were significantly different in that the mCherry rings emerged later and were of a larger size than other samples under the same condition (see Supplementary Figure S15). Furthermore, microcolonies were initially excluded from being imaged if they rested too close to the edge of the droplet or were too close in proximity to another microcolony. In total, for Figure 3C, seven, ten, nine, and five samples were analyzed for the 0, 10, 30, and 100 nM AHL data points, respectively. For Figure 3E, three, six, and six samples were analyzed for the 5-μl, 10-μl, and 15-μl droplet data points, respectively.

All of the processing of the simulation results was done in the same manner described above for the experimental data. However, all molecule and cell numbers were taken directly from the 1D spatial model as opposed to being averaged across angles.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information is available at the Molecular Systems Biology website (www.nature.com/msb).

Acknowledgements

We thank J Wong, J Tabor, C Tan, and Y Tanouchi, and You laboratory members for discussions or comments on the manuscript; Duke IGS’s DSCR for assistance with high-performance computations; R Munakak, J Ozaki, H Song, and M Wall for preliminary analysis on earlier versions of the mathematical model; K Gonzales for discussions on the modeling framework; Y Gao and S Johnson for assistance with confocal microscopy; Duke Light Microscopy Core Facility (LMCF) for access to confocal microscopes and imaging software; M Elowitz for strain MC410021; and C Collins for plasmid pLuxmCherry. This study was partially supported by the Office of Naval Research (N00014-12-1-0631), National Institutes of Health (LY: R01-GM098642; MDR: R01-GM096190-02), a DuPont Young Professorship (LY), a National Science Foundation CAREER award (LY), a David and Lucile Packard Fellowship (LY), an NIH/NIGMS Biotechnology Predoctoral CBET Fellowship (to SP), and a DHS Graduate Fellowship (to SP). The research was performed under an appointment to the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Scholarship and Fellowship Program, administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education (ORISE) through an interagency agreement between the US Department of Energy (DOE) and DHS. ORISE is managed by Oak Ridge Associated Universities (ORAU) under DOE contract number DE-AC05-06OR23100. All opinions expressed in this paper are the author’s and do not necessarily reflect the policies and views of DHS, DOE, or ORAU/ORISE.

Author contributions: SP and YC performed the experiments; SP performed the data analysis; BL and SP performed the mathematical modeling; SP, BL, and LY analyzed results and wrote the manuscript; DS and MDR provided critical inputs in model development and analysis.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Asally M, Kittisopikul M, Rue P, Du Y, Hu Z, Cagatay T, Robinson AB, Lu H, Garcia-Ojallow J, Suel GM (2012) Localized cell death focuses mechanical forces during 3D patterning in a biofilm. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109: 18891–18896

Balagadde FK, You L, Hansen CL, Arnold FH, Quake SR (2005) Long-term monitoring of bacteria undergoing programmed population control in a microchemostat. Science 309: 137–140

Basu S, Gerchman Y, Collins CH, Arnold FH, Weiss R (2005) A synthetic multicellular system for programmed pattern formation. Nature 434: 1130–1134

Borello U, Pierani A (2010) Patterning the cerebral cortex: traveling with morphogens. Curr Opin Genet Dev 20: 408–415

Bovend F, Bonnet I, Guirao B, Tili S, Wang Z, Petitlouis A, Marchand B, Bardet PL, Marocq P, Grader F, Bellaiche Y (2012) Mechanical control of morphogenesis by Fat/Dachsous/Four-jointed planar cell polarity pathway. Science 336: 724–727

Bullough WS (1962) The control of mitotic activity in adult mammalian tissues. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc 37: 307–342

Casal J, Struhl G, Lawrence PA (2002) Developmental compartments and planar polarity in Drosophila. Curr Biol 12: 1189–1198

Chen H, Xu Z, Mei C, Yu D, Small S (2012) A system of repressor gradients spatially organizes the boundaries of Bicoid-dependent target genes. Cell 149: 618–629

Child CM (1941) Patterns and Problems of Development. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press

Chisholm RH, Hughes BD, Landman KA (2010) Building a morphogen gradient without diffusion in a growing tissue. PLoS ONE 5

Chou CS, Lo WC, Gokofski KK, Zhang YT, Wan FY, Lander AD, Calof AL, Nie Q (2010) Spatial dynamics of multistage cell lineages in tissue stratification. Biophys J 99: 3145–3154

Collins CH, Leadbetter JR, Arnold FH (2006) Dual selection enhances the signaling specificity of a variant of the quorum-sensing transcriptional activator LuxR. Nat Biotechnol 24: 708–712

Cooke J, Zeeman EC (1976) A clock and wavefront model for control of cell division. J Theor Biol 58: 455–476

Ferreira Jr SC, Martins ML, Vilela MJ (2002) Reaction-diffusion model for the growth of avascular tumor. Physical Rev E Statist Nonlinear Soft Matter Phys 65: 021907

Gamer LW, Nove J, Rosen V (2003) Return of the chalones. Dev Cell 4: 143–144

 Gibson WT, Veldhuis JH, Rubinstein B, Cartwright HN, Perrimon N, Broadland GW, Nagpal R, Gibson MC (2011) Control of the mitotic cleavage plane by local epithelial topology. Cell 144: 427–438

Goldbeter A (2006) Oscillations and waves of cyclic AMP in Dictostelium: a prototype for spatio-temporal organization and pulsatile intercellular communication. Bull Math Biol 68: 1095–1109

Ibanes M, Kawakami Y, Rasskin-Gutman D, Izpisua Belmonte JC (2006) Cell lineage transport: a mechanism for molecular gradient control in a microchemostat. PLoS Biol 4: 137–140

Jeruzalmi D, Steitz TA (1998) Structure of T7 RNA polymerase complexed to the transcriptional inhibitor T7 lysozyme. EMBO J 17: 4101–4113

Jozefczuk S, Klie S, Catchpole G, Szymanski J, Cuadros-Inostroza A, Jozefczuk S, Klie S, Catchpole G, Szymanski J, Cuadros-Inostroza A, Bardet PL, Marcq P, Grader F, Bellaiche Y (2012) Mechanical control of morphogenesis by Fat/Dachsous/Four-jointed planar cell polarity pathway. Science 336: 724–727

Keller EF, Segel LA (1970) Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as a phase pattern of interaction. J Theor Biol 33: 495–510

Klumpp S, Zhang Z, Hwa T (2009) Growth rate-dependent global regulatory control in a microchemostat. PLoS ONE 4: e5051

Koch AJ, Meinhardt H (1994) Biological Pattern Formation—From Basic Mechanisms to Complex Structures. Rev Mod Phys 66: 1481–1507

Kumar A, Patel SS (1997) Inhibition of T7 RNA polymerase: transcription initiation and transition from initiation to elongation are inhibited by T7 lysozyme via a ternary complex with RNA polymerase and promoter DNA. Biochemistry 36: 13954–13962

Lander AD, Gokofski KK, Wan FY, Nie Q, Calof AL (2009) Cell lineages and the logic of proliferative control. PLoS Biol 7: e15

Liu C, Fu X, Liu L, Ren X, Chau CK, Li S, Xiang L, Zeng H, Chen G, Tang LH, Lenz P, Cui X, Huang W, Hwa T, Huang JD (2011)
Sequential establishment of stripe patterns in an expanding cell population. *Science* **334**: 238–241
Maini PK, Baker RE, Chuong CM (2006) Developmental biology. The Turing model comes of molecular age. *Science* **314**: 1397–1398
Mallet DG, De Pillis LG (2006) A cellular automata model of tumor-immune system interactions. *J Theor Biol* **239**: 334–350
McMichael JC (1992) Bacterial differentiation within moraxella-bovis colonies growing at the interface of the agar medium with the petri dish. *J Gen Microbiol* **138**: 2687–2695
McPherron AC, Lawler AM, Lee SJ (1997) Regulation of skeletal muscle mass in mice by a new TGF-beta superfamily member. *Nature* **387**: 83–90
Meinhardt H, Gierer A (1974) Applications of a theory of biological pattern formation based on lateral inhibition. *J Cell Sci* **15**: 321–346
Morse RP, Nikolakakis KC, Willett JL, Gerrick E, Low DA, Hayes CS, Goulding CW (2012) Structural basis of toxicity and immunity in contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**: 21480–21485
Painter KJ, Maini PK, Othmer HG (1999) Stripe formation in juvenile Pomacanthus explained by a generalized turing mechanism with chemotaxis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**: 5549–5554
Parker G (1929) The metabolic gradient and its applications. *J Exp Biol* **6**: 412–426
Pfeiffer S, Alexandre C, Calleja M, Vincent JP (2000) The progeny of wingless-expressing cells deliver the signal at a distance in Drosophila embryos. *Curr Biol* **10**: 321–324
Rogers KW, Schier AF (2011) Morphogen gradients: from generation to interpretation. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **27**: 377–407
Roth S, Lynch J (2012) Does the bicoid gradient matter? *Cell* **149**: 511–512
Salmon K, Hung SP, Mekjian K, Baldi P, Hatfield GW, Gunsalus RP (2003) Global gene expression profiling in *Escherichia coli* K12. The effects of oxygen availability and FNR. *J Biol Chem* **278**: 29837–29855
Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. 3rd edn. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press
Scott M, Gunderson CW, Mateescu EM, Zhang Z, Hwa T (2010) Interdependence of cell growth and gene expression: origins and consequences. *Science* **330**: 1099–1102
Sick S, Reinker S, Timmer J, Schlake T (2006) WNT and DKK determine hair follicle spacing through a reaction-diffusion mechanism. *Science* **314**: 1447–1450
Smith J, Theodoris C, Davidson EH (2007) A gene regulatory network subcircuit drives a dynamic pattern of gene expression. *Science* **318**: 794–797
Sohka T, Heins RA, Phelan RM, Greisler JM, Townsend CA, Ostermeier M (2009) An externally tunable bacterial band-pass filter. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **106**: 10135–10140
Song H, Payne S, Gray M, You L (2009) Spatiotemporal modulation of biodiversity in a synthetic chemical-mediated ecosystem. *Nat Chem Biol* **5**: 929–935
Studier FW (1991) Use of bacteriophage T7 lysozyme to improve an inducible T7 expression system. *J Mol Biol* **219**: 37–44
Tan C, Marguet P, You L (2009) Emergent bistability by a growth-modulating positive feedback circuit. *Nat Chem Biol* **5**: 842–848
Turing AM (1952) The chemical basis of morphogenesis. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond Ser B Biol Sci* **237**: 37–72
Verheyden JM, Sun X (2008) An Fgf/Gremlin inhibitory feedback loop triggers termination of limb bud outgrowth. *Nature* **454**: 638–641
Wolpert L (1969) Positional information and spatial pattern of cellular differentiation. *J Theor Biol* **25**: 1–47

**Molecular Systems Biology** is an open-access journal published by the European Molecular Biology Organization and Nature Publishing Group. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence. To view a copy of this licence visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/.