Unsalable Vegetables Ensiled With Sorghum Promote Heterofermentative Lactic Acid Bacteria and Improve in vitro Rumen Fermentation
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This study characterized the nutritive and microbial profiles and the fermentation characteristics of silage with the following compositions on a dry matter (DM) basis: (1) 100% sorghum, (2) 70% sorghum + 30% carrot or pumpkin, and (3) 40% sorghum + 60% carrot or pumpkin. The treatments were further divided based on the addition or no addition of a probiotic inoculant. After 70 days of ensiling, the silage was incubated for 48 h using the in vitro batch culture technique. Crude protein and non-fiber carbohydrates in the silage increased (P ≤ 0.01) by 5.7 percent point (pp) and 9.6 pp, respectively, with pumpkin at 60% DM. The V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was sequenced to profile pre-ensiled and ensiled archeal and bacterial communities. Silages containing carrot or pumpkin strongly influenced the microbial structure (PERMANOVA: R² = 0.75; P < 0.001), despite the ensiled treatments being dominated by Lactobacillus spp., except for the control, which was dominated by Weissella and Pediococcus spp. (P < 0.01). Linear discriminant analysis indicated that carrot and pumpkin silages were responsible for the increased relative abundance of Lactobacillus and Acinetobacter spp. (log LDA score ≥ 2), respectively. After 48 h of incubation, carrot and pumpkin inclusion increased (P < 0.01) the in vitro DM digestibility by 22.5 and 31.3%, increased the total volatile fatty acids (VFAs) by 16 and 20.6% (P < 0.01), respectively, and showed a tendency (P = 0.07) to increase the gas production. Therefore, this study supports the use of carrot or pumpkin in sorghum silages to maximize feed digestibility and total VFA concentrations.
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INTRODUCTION

Ensiling is a method of feed preservation facilitated by anaerobic lactic acid bacteria through the fermentation of water-soluble carbohydrates within the plant material. Vegetable feedstuffs, such as carrots and pumpkins, contain 89–94% moisture (Zinash and Woldetsadik, 2013; Bender et al., 2020), neutral pH, and high water activity (Tournas, 2005), thus increasing their susceptibility to
microbial spoilage (Pahlow et al., 2003). To mitigate this, the inclusion of a fibrous substrate, such as sorghum (Wadhwa et al., 2013), can promote a more acidic ensiling environment (McDonald et al., 1991), thus inhibiting clostridial growth in silage. Although sorghum is low in crude protein, legumes are typically utilized to improve the feeding value of the resultant silages (Titterton and Maasdorp, 1997). Vegetables such as carrot or pumpkin at 20 or 40% dry matter (DM) are potential candidates for ensiling and for use as fodder substitutes for sorghum or maize. Silages supplemented with vegetables favor the growth of Lactobacillus spp., simultaneously increasing the microbial diversity with sorghum, while maintaining in vitro fermentation and gas parameters [mL/g DM gas; percent, mg/g DM; mg/g CH₄ digestible dry matter (DMD)] with maize (Forwood et al., 2019; Hooker et al., 2019). Moreover, in vitro studies have also utilized pumpkin ensiled at 30% DM with corn stover, which increased in vitro DM digestibility by 25%, while consequently decreasing gas production by 6% (Crosby-Galván et al., 2018).

Inoculation of plant material with probiotics containing lactic acid bacteria prior to the ensiling process has previously increased lactic acid production, thus improving silage fermentation (Weinberg et al., 2004). Increased lactic acid production may reduce aerobic stability through the utilization of lactic acid by yeasts and molds (Puntillo et al., 2020), despite increasing the in vitro DM and organic matter (OM) digestibility of wheat straw-concentrate silage (Malik and Sharma, 1998). Of the lactic acid-producing bacteria, Lactiplantibacillus plantarum and Pediococcus spp., have been utilized as probiotic inoculants in sorghum silage production, which improve silage quality through rapid pH decline and increased lactic acid production, consequently reducing the growth of undesirable spoilage microbes during the ensiling process (Alhaag et al., 2019).

This study aimed to characterize silage production parameters, nutrient composition, and microbial communities colonizing unsalable carrot or pumpkin silages at 0, 30, or 60% DM, with or without a probiotic inoculant. Further, the study also aimed to analyze the silage for their influence on in vitro fermentation, including gas and CH₄ production, silage digestibility, and total volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentration. This study hypothesized that increasing the proportion of carrot or pumpkin to 60% DM would result in silage of a greater fermentation quality dominated by Lactobacillus spp. Consequently, it is also expected that in vitro DM digestibility will be improved with an increase in the amounts of carrots or pumpkins.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Silage Production**

Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) was collected during harvest from the University of Queensland (Gatton, QLD 27°56′ S, 152°28′ E) in January 2019. Carrots and pumpkins deemed unsuitable for human consumption (considered unsalable) were collected from Kalfresh Pty Ltd. (Kalbar, QLD, Australia). The following treatments (n = 10) were included on a DM basis: (1) 100% sorghum (control), (2) 70% sorghum + 30% carrot or pumpkin, and (3) 40% sorghum + 60% carrot or pumpkin, with or without a commercial probiotic (BioSoil Probiotics, OzProbiotics, Penrith, NSW, Australia). The probiotic bacteria used for inoculation were as follows: Bacillus subtilis, Bifidobacterium animalis, Bifidobacterium bifidum, Bifidobacterium longum, Enterococcus lactis, Streptococcus thermophilus, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus, Lactobacillus casei, Limosilactobacillus fermentum, L. plantarum, or Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Prior to the ensiling process, approximately 3 mL of probiotics were applied to the candidate material prior to packing into PVC mini silos (n = 40; diameter 90 mm × height 55 cm × volume 3,500 cm³; 5 kg material capacity), with the probiotic solution and silo packing conducted according to the methods proposed by Forwood et al. (2019).

After 70 days of ensiling, mini silos were weighed and opened. The top 10 cm of each mini silo was considered to be spoiled and was discarded. Silage designated for the analysis of DM was collected in duplicate via the methods described by Forwood et al. (2019) and dried in an oven at 65°C until a constant weight was obtained. Similarly, approximately 15 g of silage was obtained from each mini silo and processed for analysis of pH, VFA, and organic acids, while a 70 g duplicate sample from each mini silo was collected for DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene sequencing and stored at −20°C until further processing.

**Chemical Composition**

Dried silage samples were placed in a furnace at 600°C for 2 h [AOAC (942.05 2002)] for the analysis of ash content. Samples designated for neutral detergent fiber (aNDF), crude fat, and crude protein (CP) analyses were ground and passed through a 1 mm screen, and 0.5 g of the sample was weighed and placed into ANKOM F57 bags (ANKOM Technol. Corp., Fairport, NY, United States). Neutral detergent fiber (aNDF) content was determined according to the methods described by Van Soest et al. (1991) with a modification in using ANKOM 200/220 Fiber Analyzer (ANKOM Technol. Corp., Fairport, NY, United States). Sodium sulfite and amylase, along with residual ash, were added to the aNDF samples for aNDF analyses. The crude fat content of the feed was determined by extraction with ether as described for lipid extraction [AOAC (929.29 1995)] and modified for an ANKOM Fat Analyzer (ANKOM Technol. Corp., Fairport, NY, United States). The nitrogen content of the feed was determined using a LECO CN928 carbon/nitrogen combustion analyzer (Leco, St Joseph, MI 49085, United States; AOAC 990.3). The instrument was set up as per the manufacturer’s recommendations. Briefly, the instrument was standardized with EDTA, combustion temperature was adjusted to 1,100°C, and approximately 0.3 g of ground feed sample was weighed into ceramic boats and analyzed. Crude protein was calculated as mineral nitrogen × 6.25, while non-fiber carbohydrates (NFCs) were calculated as follows:

$$[100 - (%aNDF + %CP + %Fat + %Ash)]$$

where, %aNDF; %CP; %Fat; %Ash = % on a DM basis.
In vitro Fermentation Characteristics and Determination of Gas and CH₄ Production

Rumen samples for in vitro fermentation and determination of gas and CH₄ production parameters were obtained from cannulated Holstein steers (n = 3) housed at The University of Queensland Gatton Dairy under the guidance and approval of the Animal Ethics Committees of The University of Queensland (approved protocol number: AE35581). The rumen samples were collected as per the methods proposed by Meale et al. (2012), and inoculum for batch culture was prepared via the methods proposed by Menke et al. (1979).

In vitro batch culture incubation and analysis of gas and CH₄ production parameters were conducted according to the methods proposed by Forwood et al. (2019), with some modifications. Briefly, incubation bottles were prewarmed for 1 h in a Ratek O25 Digital Shaking Incubator (Ratek Instruments Pty Ltd, Boronia, VIC, Australia) maintained at 39°C. Further, the bottles were filled, gassed under a stream of CO₂, sealed, and then subsequently placed into the incubator at 90 rpm for 24 h. Batch culture incubation was repeated three times, with two treatment replicates per run, and included blank samples containing 25 mL of rumen inoculum only.

The production of gas was determined by using a water displacement apparatus (Fedorah and Hrudey, 1983), and pH was determined as per the methods proposed by Meale et al. (2012). Bags were removed from bottles, placed on ice to cease fermentation, thoroughly rinsed with distilled water, and finally placed in an oven at 65°C until a constant weight was obtained. Bags were subsequently weighed prior to the calculation of in vitro DM digestibility.

Sequencing of the Archaeal and Bacterial 16S Genes

The V4 hypervariable region of the archaeal and bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified and sequenced as previously described by Forwood et al. (2020) using an Illumina MiSeq instrument and the MiSeq Reagent Kit v2 with 500 cycles (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, United States), according to the manufacturer's instructions. DADA2 v. 1.16.0 (Callahan et al., 2016) in R v. 4.0.2 was used to process and quality-filter all the sequences. The maximum number of expected errors permitted was 2. The forward and reverse reads were trimmed to 201 and 210 bp, respectively, and merged with a minimum overlap of 100 bp, and chimeras were removed. Taxonomy was assigned to these remaining sequences, referred to here as operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 100% similarity, using the RDP naïve Bayesian classifier and the SILVA SSU database release 138 (Quast et al., 2013). OTUs classified as chloroplasts and mitochondria were removed prior to the analyses.

The number of OTUs per sample (richness), the Shannon diversity index, and the inverse Simpson's diversity index were calculated in R using vegan 2.5–6 and Phyloseq v.3.2.0 (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). The bacterial community structure was assessed using Bray–Curtis dissimilarities that were calculated with the vegan 2.5-6 package (Oksanen et al., 2019), and the effect of vegetable mixture and probiotic supplementation was determined using a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA; adonis2 function) within the vegan 2.5–6 package. To account for unequal sequencing depth, all samples were randomly subsampled to 1,400 sequences per sample, prior to the calculation of the diversity measures. However, as there was greater sequencing depth in the ensiled samples, these samples were subsampled to 9,300 sequences to retain as many sequences as possible for calculating the Bray–Curtis dissimilarities. Fermentation parameters were fit to the non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordinations of the Bray–Curtis dissimilarities using the envfit function within vegan with 10,000 permutations. Pre-ensiled and ensiled OTUs were visualized for comparison using the heatmap function of ampvis2 v. 2.7.9 on R v. 4.0.2 (Andersen et al., 2018). All the 16S rRNA gene sequences were submitted to the Sequence Read Archive under BioProject PRJNA699618.

Statistical Analysis

Chemical composition, silage pH, VFAs, organic acids, and alpha diversity measures were analyzed as a completely randomized design using the MIXED procedure of SAS with the fixed effects of vegetable (carrot vs. pumpkin), level (0, 30, and 60% DM), with or without probiotics, and their interactions. Mini silo within treatment was considered the random effect. Further, bacterial genera > 0.1% relative abundance (RA) and those were detected in > 15% samples considered biologically relevant and analyzed by the MIXED procedure of SAS using the aforementioned fixed- and random-effects parameters.

The results of in vitro fermentation and the production of VFAs, gas, and CH4 were analyzed as described earlier, but random effects were defined as in vitro fermentation run
and run × treatment. All results were expressed as LSMEANS with standard error of the mean (SEM), while \( P \leq 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant, and tendencies were reported when \( 0.05 < P \leq 0.10 \). Experimental units in this study consisted of individual mini silos and incubation run for the in vitro fermentation.

Normal distribution of the data was tested using the UNIVARIATE procedure of SAS, while the influence of vegetable type, level, and probiotic of the silage microbial community structure was determined using PERMANOVA (adonis2 function) and Bray–Curtis dissimilarities in R using the vegan 2.5–6 package (Oksanen et al., 2019). The linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) method1 (Segata et al., 2011) was conducted using Galaxy version 1.39.5.02 (Jalili et al., 2020) to determine bacterial diversity between the treatments. Differential abundance was assumed when LDA scores were ≥ 2.0 and \( P \leq 0.05 \) (Li et al., 2020).

**RESULTS**

**Chemical Composition**

Crude protein content in silage DM was the only parameter that was influenced by vegetable × level × probiotic \((P = 0.03;\) data not presented). The subsequent results are presented based on the addition or no addition of probiotics: uninoculated (no) or inoculated (yes). The CP content increased \((P < 0.01;\) Table 1) in uninoculated silages by 38.1 and 52% with pumpkin at 30 and 60% DM, while the content increased by 14.5 and 22.3% with pumpkin at 30 and 60% DM, respectively. Consequently, the fiber (aNDF) concentration decreased \((P = 0.02)\) by up to 22.8% in inoculated silages when vegetables were included, irrespective of the type (Table 1).

**Silage pH and Organic Acids**

Acetic acid, lactic acid, total VFA, and ethanol concentrations were influenced by vegetable × level × probiotic \((P \leq 0.04;\) data not presented). The results obtained following uninoculated (no) and inoculated (yes) treatments are presented in the following section.

**No Probiotics**

Inclusion of carrot at 60% DM in uninoculated ensiled sorghum silages increased the silage pH and the concentrations of acetic acid, total VFA, and lactic acid, while the ethanol concentration was reduced from 18.9 to 6.27 ± 1.39% DM \((P \leq 0.01;\) Table 2). The fixed effect of vegetable type was significant, where the silage pH was greater \((P = 0.04;\) Figure 1A) with carrot, rather than when pumpkin was included.

**Yes Probiotics**

Silage pH and lactic, succinic, and valeric acid concentrations were not influenced by vegetable × level in inoculated silages \((P \geq 0.11;\) Table 2 and Figure 1B); however, ethanol, acetic acid, and total VFA concentrations were all influenced by vegetable × level \((P < 0.01)\). When pumpkin at 60% DM was included, ethanol concentration was 17.4 ± 1.41%; however, the ethanol content was lower at 7.20 ± 1.41% when carrot was included on a DM basis. Conversely, when carrots were included at 30% DM, acetic acid and total VFA concentrations were greatest at 17.8 vs. 9.89 ± 0.92 and 18.3 vs. 10.3 ± 0.94%

| TABLE 1 | Chemical composition of silages with carrot or pumpkin at 0, 30, or 60% DM, with (yes) or without (no) a probiotic inoculant. |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Carrot | Pumpkin |
|         | 0  | 30  | 60  | 0  | 30  | 60  | SEM | Veg | Level | Veg × Level | Linear | Quadratic |
| DM content, % | 27.3 | 21.8 | 14.4 | 20.1 | 16.7 | 12.1 | 1.32 | 0.87 | <0.01 | 0.38 | <0.01 | 0.69 |
| CP, % DM | 9.34 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 12.9b | 14.2a | 0.36 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.03 |
| NFC, % DM | 20.4 | 19.9 | 24.0 | 25.0 | 27.9 | 3.59 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.75 | 0.19 | 0.82 |
| EE, % DM | 8.27 | 8.09 | 5.55 | 4.87 | 5.28 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.10 | <0.01 | 0.57 |
| aNDF, % DM | 50.1 | 48.0 | 46.9 | 44.8 | 35.8 | 2.81 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.79 |
| Ash, % DM | 11.9 | 13.7 | 13.4 | 12.4 | 15.8 | 0.83 | 0.60 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.77 |
|         | Yes probiotic | Carrot | Pumpkin |
|         | 0  | 30  | 60  | 0  | 30  | 60  | SEM | Veg | Level | Veg × Level | Linear | Quadratic |
| DM content, % | 26.8 | 20.8 | 14.9 | 19.6 | 16.8 | 0.84 | 0.73 | <0.01 | 0.23 | <0.01 | 0.17 |
| CP, % DM | 10.3 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 11.8a | 12.6a | 0.26 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.03 | <0.01 | 0.29 |
| NFC, % DM | 20.1 | 24.2 | 28.6 | 27.5 | 29.2 | 1.61 | 0.37 | <0.01 | 0.60 | <0.01 | 0.37 |
| EE, % DM | 8.61 | 7.87 | 7.22 | 11.7 | 8.33 | 1.41 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.57 | 0.24 |
| aNDF, % DM | 49.2a | 45.1b | 40.6c | 38.1b | 38.0a | 0.94 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.02 | <0.01 | 0.02 |
| Ash, % DM | 11.8 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.56 | 0.36 | 0.22 |

1https://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/galaxy/
2https://galaxyproject.org/
TABLE 2 | Fermentation parameters of sorghum combined with carrot or pumpkin at 0, 30, or 60% DM after 70 days of ensiling, split by with probiotic (yes) or without (no).

|                | No probiotic |                  |                  |                  |                  |                |                |                |                |                |                |
|----------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                | 0            | 30               | 60               | 30               | 60               | SEM            | Veg            | Level           | Veg × Level     | Linear          | Quadratic       |
| Silage pH      | 3.67c        | 3.81b            | 4.35a            | 3.68             | 3.55             | 0.03           | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | 0.06           |
| Ethanol, % DM  | 18.9a        | 6.97b            | 6.27b            | 24.6a            | 18.1b            | 1.39           | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | 0.01           | 0.60           |
| Total VFA, mM  | 3.90c        | 13.6b            | 20.7a            | 5.42             | 6.79             | 1.22           | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | 0.01           | 0.54           |
| Organic acids  |              |                  |                  |                  |                  |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Lactic acid, mM| 15.0a        | 13.5a            | 2.82b            | 16.1             | 16.8             | 0.73           | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | 0.01           |
| Succinic acid, mM| 0.31        | 0.50             | 0.67             | 0.31             | 0.35             | 0.06           | 0.02           | 0.05           | 0.11           | 0.02           | 0.90           |
| Volatile fatty acids |         |                  |                  |                  |                  |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Acetic acid, mM| 3.56c        | 13.3b            | 20.0a            | 5.18             | 6.55             | 1.14           | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | 0.44           |
| Valeric acid, mM| 0.28         | 0.11             | 0.08             | 0.12             | 0.18             | 0.12           | 0.69           | 0.26           | 0.87           | 0.22           | 0.35           |

|                | Yes probiotic |                  |                  |                  |                  |                |                |                |                |                |                |
|                | 0            | 30               | 60               | 30               | 60               | SEM            | Veg            | Level           | Veg × Level     | Linear          | Quadratic       |
| Silage pH      | 3.68         | 3.95             | 3.83             | 3.60             | 3.59             | 0.10           | 0.04           | 0.60           | 0.22           | 0.82           | 0.37           |
| Ethanol, % DM  | 21.7a        | 7.30b            | 7.20b            | 8.05b            | 17.4a            | 1.41           | 0.02           | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          |
| Total VFA, mM  | 6.28c        | 18.3a            | 10.1b            | 10.3a            | 9.14a            | 0.94           | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          |
| Organic acids  |              |                  |                  |                  |                  |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Lactic acid, mM| 14.8         | 9.41             | 14.45            | 14.8             | 16.7             | 2.71           | 0.23           | 0.42           | 0.53           | 0.79           | 0.21           |
| Succinic acid, mM| 0.35        | 0.54             | 0.56             | 0.26             | 0.32             | 0.09           | 0.06           | 0.61           | 0.35           | 0.34           | 0.96           |
| Volatile fatty acids |        |                  |                  |                  |                  |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Acetic acid, mM| 5.51c        | 17.8a            | 9.97b            | 9.89a            | 9.00a            | 0.92           | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          | <0.01          |
| Valeric acid, mM| 0.31         | 0.15             | 0.10             | 0.28             | 0.06             | 0.10           | 0.74           | 0.15           | 0.75           | 0.06           | 0.83           |

SEM, standard error of the mean; VFAs, volatile fatty acids; P-values for succinic and valeric acids for Veg × Level × Prob ≥ 0.75. Letters a–c indicate differences (P ≤ 0.05) between levels within vegetable (i.e., interaction Veg × Level was split by vegetable).

DM compared to pumpkin at 30% DM, respectively. Moreover, the effect of the vegetable type was significant, with the silage pH of carrot greater by 5.5% compared to that of pumpkin (P < 0.01; Figure 1A).

Bacterial Community Composition of Pre-ensiled and Ensiled Samples

There were 24,002 ± 2,307 16S rRNA gene sequences per sample after quality filtering and removal of plant (chloroplast) contamination, and 1,076 OTUs were detected among all the 43 samples. Further, the ensiling process reduced the number of detected sequences by 60% (P < 0.01). In the pre-ensiled samples, there was an effect (P < 0.01; data not presented) of vegetable × level × probiotic on the Shannon diversity index, while there was no effect (P ≥ 0.15; data not presented) of the three-way interaction on the ensiled samples. Therefore, the samples were divided into groups based on probiotic addition: probiotic inoculation (yes) or no probiotic inoculation (No). The samples were further analyzed for the effects of vegetable, level, and vegetable × level.

Pre-ensiled Samples

All alpha diversity measures (number of OTUs, Shannon’s and inverse Simpson’s diversity indices) in the pre-ensiled samples were influenced (P < 0.01; Table 3) by vegetable × level, with the values in all the treatments greater than those of the control, except for pumpkin at 30% DM. The number of OTUs decreased by 12 OTUs from 27 ± 5.93 to 15 ± 5.93 with 0% vegetable addition.

Ensiled Samples

No Probiotics

There was no effect (P ≥ 0.15; Table 4) of vegetable × level on the number of OTUs or the inverse Simpson’s diversity index, and only for the Shannon diversity index, it decreased (P = 0.05) with carrot at 30% DM. Vegetable levels affected both the number of OTUs and the inverse Simpson’s diversity index of the silage microbiota, where the number of OTUs was greater (P < 0.01) with 0 and 60% DM vegetable inclusion in the uninoculated silages (data not presented). Also, the inclusion of vegetable at 30% DM decreased the inverse Simpson’s diversity index by 27%, compared to the inclusion of vegetables at 60% DM (P < 0.02; Figure 2A).

Yes Probiotics

The richness (number of OTUs) of the inoculated silage microbiota was affected by the vegetable level, where greater richness was noticed only with the vegetable at 60% DM (P = 0.02; Figure 2B).
Archaeal and Bacterial Relative Abundance in Pre-ensiled and Ensiled Samples

Prior to the ensiling process, the microbiota composition of the 100% sorghum and 30% pumpkin treatments was dominated by the members of the Pantoea genus (76.5 ± 6.09% RA; P < 0.01; Supplementary Table 1), irrespective of the addition of the probiotic. In contrast, the pre-ensiling material containing carrot at 60% DM was dominated by Weissella spp. (Supplementary Table 2), but this dominance was not influenced by vegetable × level × probiotic (P > 0.05). Moreover, the RA of the Acinetobacter, Stenotrophomonas, Prevotella, Leuconostoc, and Novosphingobium genera was greater (P ≤ 0.03) in the pre-ensiled material inoculated with pumpkin (60% DM) and carrot (30 and 60% DM), as well as in uninoculated sorghum samples with carrot at 60% DM.

After 70 days of ensiling, the sample with 100% sorghum silage and probiotic inoculant and the silage samples supplemented with carrot or pumpkin at 30 or 60% DM, irrespective of inoculation with probiotics, were dominated by Lactobacillus spp. (Supplementary Table 2). Conversely, 100% sorghum silage without probiotic inoculation was dominated by the members of the Weissella genus (43.7 ± 3.66% RA), followed by Pediococcus (27.0 ± 2.32%), Lactobacillus (14.8 ± 1.41%), Pantoea (6.21 ± 1.41%), and Leuconostoc spp. (3.26 ± 0.32%). Further, the effect of level × probiotic was observed on the RA of Lactobacillus, which was up to 84.7% lower in 100% sorghum without probiotic, compared to 30% vegetable with probiotic. Pediococcus spp. was 55.3% more relatively abundant (P < 0.01) in the 100% sorghum silage without the use of probiotics when compared to the silage treated with the probiotic.

The LEfSe tool was used to identify the bacterial and archaeal genera (Figure 2C), which likely contributed to the microbe-associated treatment differences observed in 70 day sorghum silage ensiled with carrot or pumpkin. Irrespective of the inclusion level, Lactobacillus spp. [log linear discriminant analysis (LDA) score = 5.53; P < 0.01] were enriched by the addition of carrots, while pumpkin treatments enriched Acinetobacter (log LDA score = 4.12; P < 0.01) and Leuconostoc spp. (log LDA score = 3.77; P < 0.01). The control silage had the greatest RA of Bacillus (log LDA score = 4.15; P = 0.02), Klebsiella (log LDA score = 4.24; P < 0.01), Pantoea (log LDA score = 4.49; P < 0.01), Pediococcus (log LDA score = 5.00; P < 0.01), and Weissella spp. (log LDA score = 5.22).

Pre-ensiled and Ensiled Community Structures

The structure of the silage microbiota was strongly affected by the vegetable inclusion, irrespective of the type (PERMANOVA: R² = 0.75; P < 0.001; Figure 3A), and less affected by the probiotic application (PERMANOVA: R² = 0.08; P < 0.001). Further, the microbial community structure of silages containing carrots was significantly correlated with acetic acid (R² = 0.82; P < 0.001; Figure 3B), succinic acid (R² = 0.66; P < 0.001), and total VFA (R² = 0.81; P < 0.001) concentrations and pH value (R² = 0.72; P < 0.001). In contrast, the microbial community structure of silages containing pumpkin was significantly correlated with lactic acid (R² = 0.62; P < 0.001) and ethanol (R² = 0.69; P < 0.001) concentrations.

In vitro Fermentation, Gas and CH₄ Production, and Volatile Fatty Acids

There was no effect of vegetable × level × probiotic, vegetable × probiotic, or level × probiotic (P ≥ 0.08; data not presented) on any of the measured gas, CH₄ production, or VFAs percentage (of total VFA), except percentage of caproic acid of total VFAs was influenced by vegetable level and probiotic addition. The results were separated on the basis of probiotic application.

No Probiotics

The interaction between vegetable type and level was significant (P ≤ 0.05; Table 5) for gas (mL, mL/g DM) production, in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), total VFA concentration, and...
branch-chain VFAs (BCVFA) (% of total VFA). Total gas production (mL) increased by 16.2 and 12.8% with the inclusion of carrot or pumpkin at 60% DM, respectively (P < 0.01; Table 5). Inclusion of carrot at 30% DM in unincubated silages increased gas production (on an mL/g DM basis) by up to 16.2 and 14.2%, while the inclusion of pumpkin at 60% DM increased by 15.8 and 13.2%, respectively (P < 0.01). Additionally, gas produced per mL/g digestible DM was greater (P = 0.01) without probiotics in carrot rather than in pumpkin treatments, while increased production was also observed with the vegetable at 0% DM (P ≤ 0.01). The IVDMD was greatest with carrot or pumpkin at 60% DM in the unincubated silages (45.1 vs. 48.7 ± 0.73%). Total VFA concentration and percentage of branched-chain VFA of total VFA were influenced by the interaction between vegetable type and level (P ≤ 0.01; Table 5), where total VFA was the greatest and BCVFA was reduced with 60% carrot or 30 and 60% pumpkin. No other effect of vegetable × level was observed on the in vitro VFAs (P ≥ 0.07). However, the inclusion of pumpkin to unincubated silage increased percentage of caproic acid of total VFA from 0.34 to 0.42 ± 0.04 (P < 0.01).

Yes Probiotics
There was no effect of vegetable × level on any in vitro gas production parameter or VFAs, except BCVFAs, which tended to decrease with up to 60% pumpkin from 1.68 to 1.30 ± 0.07 (P = 0.05; Table 6). However, single fixed effects of vegetable and level (P ≤ 0.03) were observed on gas production (mL/g DDM), CH₄ production (mg/g DM incubated, mL/g DM), and percentages of acetic, propionic, and caproic acids of total VFAs. Vegetable inclusion at 30 or 60% DM led to increased (P ≤ 0.01) in vitro gas production, on both volume basis (mL) and concentration (mL/g DM) basis, while the use of vegetables at 30 or 60% DM resulted in lower CH₄ gas (P ≤ 0.01) production on a concentration (mL/g digestible DM) basis.

Moreover, CH₄ measured as mg or mL/g incubated DM was greater when pumpkin was combined with probiotics than with carrot (P = 0.03). When carrot or pumpkin at 30% DM was utilized with the probiotic application, IVDMD was found to
be the highest in the inoculated silage (45.0 vs. 50.5 ± 1.21%; Table 6), and total VFAs increased by up to 15.6% with 30 or 60% DM (P < 0.01; data not presented). Ensiling sorghum with up to 60% DM vegetables decreased acetic acid and increased propionic acid percentages of total VFAs by 4.9 and 15.9%, respectively (P ≤ 0.01). The percentage of caproic acid of total VFAs was found to be influenced by both vegetable (P = 0.01) and level (P = 0.02), which was 17% greater with pumpkin when compared to the carrot. In addition, caproic acid was 20.5% higher in 30% DM vegetable treatment, compared to the 0% DM vegetable treatment.

DISCUSSION

The production of high-quality silage is dependent on effective microbial fermentation (Elferink et al., 2000). To achieve this in plant material lower than 50% DM, an estimated 10^8 lactic acid bacteria per gram of plant material is required to inhibit the growth of clostridial spoilage microbes (Muck, 1988; Li et al., 2020), which can be observed due to increased lactic acid production. Herein, the concentration of lactic acid, highest with pumpkin at 60% DM, successfully decreased the pH of all the silage treatments to the pH range (4.3–4.7 vs. ≤ 4.35 ± 0.10) observed in fermented grass silages (Kung et al., 2018). The high lactic acid concentration and low pH of 3.68 ± 0.05 observed in the 100% sorghum silage treatment may be explained by the dominance of Weissella spp. (43.7 ± 3.66% RA; log LDA score = 5.22), which are epiphytic, homofermentative, and lactic acid-producing cocci bacteria commonly detected on grasses, such as sorghum (Cai et al., 1998).

Upon ensiling forage sorghum, Nazar et al. (2020) observed dominance of Lactobacillus (63.8%), Weissella (17.1%), and Leuconostoc (10.2%) spp., after 60 days of ensiling, while Weissella (43.7%), Pediococcus (27.0%), and Lactobacillus (14.8%) dominated in our uninoculated sorghum silage after 70 days of ensiling. Prior reports have determined that Weissella spp., may be detected in the samples that are contaminated by soil (Yang et al., 2010), while heterofermentative Pediococcus spp. have also been detected in grasses (Stirling and Whittenbury, 1963; Lindgren et al., 1983). Further, the presence of varied combinations of lactic acid bacteria is expected, as Weissella and Pediococcus spp. share similar phylogenetic traits with a variety of lactic acid-producing genera, including Lactobacillus.
FIGURE 3 | (A) Non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (NMDS) based on the Bray–Curtis distance measure of pre-ensiled and ensiled sorghum with carrot or pumpkin at 0, 30, or 60% DM. (B) Non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (NMDS) based on the Bray–Curtis distance measure of sorghum ensiled with either carrot or pumpkin at 0, 30, or 60% DM, with or without a probiotic inoculant. In (B), vectors having a statistically significant association \( P < 0.05 \) with the ordinations are included. Vector length is proportional to the degree of correlation between the fermentation parameters and the ordination.

(Cai et al., 1999). Interestingly, *Pediococcus* are lactic acid-producing bacteria that are known to colonize silages at a greater rate than *Lactobacillus* and have greater stability under a wide range of temperatures (Porto et al., 2017; Alhaag et al., 2019). The dominance of *Weissella* and *Pediococcus* spp., in 100% sorghum silage was similar to the findings reported by Ni et al. (2018), which is likely due to a lower pre-ensiling pH (Bolsen et al., 1996; Zeng et al., 2020).

The inoculation of sorghum samples with the BioSoil commercial probiotic, largely composed of *Lactobacillus* spp., resulted in the dominance of *Lactobacillus* spp. (46.5 ± 2.56% RA) in the silage, as expected. Irrespective of the inclusion level or probiotic inoculation, the RA of *Lactobacillus* was found to be 99.3 ± 2.56% in the microbial community of 30 or 60% DM carrot treatments. Furthermore, this dominance was evident, as all the measures of silage alpha diversity were lower with carrot inclusion at 30% DM. These findings were confirmed by the studies of Gallagher et al. (2018), and similar findings were also observed in our study, where *Lactobacillus* dominated sorghum ensiled with carrot at 30% DM. This led to a decrease in the sample silage microbial diversity metrics and resulted in the production of 15.2 ± 0.69 mM acetic acid (15.2 ± 0.69 mM), a secondary metabolite of soluble sugar fermentation by heterofermentative lactic acid bacteria (Martínez-Anaya et al., 1994). In addition, the NMDS biplot in this study illustrated that the separation of the carrot silage microbial community from other silage treatments may be best explained (log LDA score = 5.53) by the dominance of *Lactobacillus* spp., production of acetic and succinic acids, increase in the total VFA concentration, and decrease in the silage pH. In this study, silage pH of carrot inclusion for 30 or 60% DM was 18.5% greater than that observed in the control.
TABLE 5 | In vitro fermentation, gas production, and CH4 parameters of sorghum ensiled with carrot or pumpkin at 0, 30, or 60% DM without (no) probiotics.

| No probiotic | Carrot | Pumpkin | SEM | P-values |
|--------------|--------|---------|-----|---------|
| 0            | 30     | 60      | 30  | 60      |
| Gas, mL      | 29.6b  | 29.3b   | 34.4a| 33.8a   | 33.4a   | 0.75  | 0.06 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.71 |
| Gas, mL/g DM | 63.8b  | 63.0b   | 73.9a| 72.2a   | 71.7a   | 1.60  | 0.08 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.63 |
| Ch4, %       | 10.5b  | 10.2b   | 9.3  | 10.4    | 11.9    | 0.66  | 0.11 | 0.91  | 0.14  | 0.87  | 0.69 |
| Ch4, mL g DM  | 17.9   | 16.9    | 15.2 | 15.4    | 17.6    | 1.08  | 0.76 | 0.22  | 0.28  | 0.18  | 0.34 |
| pH           | 6.8    | 6.9     | 6.8  | 6.8     | 6.8     | 0.04  | 0.36 | 0.45  | 0.74  | 0.17  | 0.88 |
| Total VFA, mM| 81.4b  | 81.7b   | 97.6a| 99.5a   | 99.5a   | 2.82  | <0.01| <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.76  |

Individual VFA as a percentage of total VFA (mmol/100 mmol)

|                | Carrot | Pumpkin |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| Acetic acid (A) | 63.4   | 62.9    |
| Propionic acid (P) | 22.3   | 22.8    |
| Butyric acid | 11.0   | 11.1    |
| Branched-chain VFA | 1.72a | 1.80a   |
| Valeric acid | 1.12   | 1.15    |
| Caproic acid | 0.34   | 0.32    |
| Ratio A/P      | 2.9    | 2.9     |

SEM, standard error of the mean; IVMDM, in vitro dry matter digestibility; P-values for Vegetable × Probiotic and Vegetable × Level × Probiotic ≥ 0.08.

SEM, standard error of the mean; IVMDM, in vitro dry matter digestibility; P-values for Vegetable × Probiotic and Vegetable × Level × Probiotic ≥ 0.08.

TABLE 6 | In vitro volatile fatty acid composition of sorghum silages ensiled with carrot or pumpkin at 0, 30, or 60% DM, with (yes) probiotics.

| Yes probiotic | Carrot | Pumpkin | SEM | P-values |
|---------------|--------|---------|-----|---------|
| 0             | 30     | 60      | 30  | 60      |
| Gas, mL       | 30.5   | 34.8    | 33.3| 37.2    | 35.4   | 0.80  | 0.07 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.01 |
| Gas, mL/g DM  | 65.9   | 74.4    | 72.2| 79.8    | 75.9   | 1.78  | 0.08 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.01 |
| Ch4, %        | 10.4   | 9.17    | 9.23| 11.4    | 11.6   | 1.15  | 0.08 | 0.99  | 0.34  | 0.96  | 0.89 |
| Ch4, mL g DM  | 6.84   | 6.82    | 6.91| 9.15    | 8.96   | 1.00  | 0.05 | 0.27  | 0.28  | 0.19  | 0.43 |
| pH            | 6.9    | 6.8     | 6.8  | 6.8     | 6.8    | 0.04  | 0.21 | 0.27  | 0.65  | 0.10  | 0.70 |
| Total VFA, mM | 83.4   | 94.9    | 93.5| 99.0    | 99.4   | 3.70  | 0.20 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.01  | 0.02 |

Individual VFA as a percentage of total VFA (mmol/100 mmol)

|                | Carrot | Pumpkin |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| Acetic acid (A) percentages of total VFAs | 63.1 | 59.6 |
| Propionic acid (P) | 22.6 | 26.1 |
| Butyric acid | 11.3 | 11.2 |
| Branched-chain VFA | 1.68a | 1.50a |
| Valeric acid | 1.08 | 1.18 |
| Caproic acid | 0.34 | 0.38 |
| Ratio A/P      | 2.8    | 2.5     |

SEM, standard error of the mean; VFAs, volatile fatty acids; BCVFAs, branch-chained volatile fatty acids (iso-butyrate and iso-valerate).

SEM, standard error of the mean; VFAs, volatile fatty acids; BCVFAs, branch-chained volatile fatty acids (iso-butyrate and iso-valerate).

sorghum silage, suggestive of increased degradation of lactic acid into acetic acid by the heterofermentative taxa such as Lactobacillus (formerly Lactobacillus) buchneri in acidic pH conditions, that is, pH < 5.8 (Oude Elferink et al., 2001).

Moreover, ensiling wet (<30% DM; Bosma, 1991) to extremely wet (<25% DM; Shaver, 2003) plant material has previously resulted in the primary production of acetic acid in the resultant silages (Seppälä et al., 2016; Fijałkowska et al., 2020). Consistent with our findings, the DM content in the vegetable silage ranged from 15 to 22 ± 1.20% in this study, likely resulting in the accumulation of acetic acid via heterofermentative species of the genus Lactobacillus (Lindgren and Dobrogosz, 1990).
Since, acetic and lactic acid production has also been observed as a product of the fermentation of glucose-rich carrot juices dominated by taxa within the Lactobacillus and Leuconostoc genera (Wuys et al., 2018). Although prior studies have demonstrated that, during anaerobic fermentation of carrots where Leuconostoc spp., were dominant, acetic acid and ethanol production was inhibited but lactobacilli growth was promoted (Gardner et al., 2001).

A distinct separation in the microbial communities of ensiled and pre-ensiled sorghum samples was noted in this study, as the ensiling process creates a unique environment that is conducive to the proliferation of anaerobic microbes (Li and Nishino, 2013), rather than strict aerobes that occur as epiphytic microbiota on the surface of the starting plant material (McDonald et al., 1991). In the pre-ensiled microbial community, members of the genera Salmonella, Clostridium, and Escherichia are commonly detected in the plant material that has been contaminated by soil (Queiroz et al., 2018). Typically, soil contamination is characterized by an ash content exceeding 9% DM for legume grasses (Hoffman, et al., 2018). Typically, soil contamination is characterized by an ash content exceeding 9% DM for legume grasses (Hoffman, et al., 2018). In this study, the pre-ensiled sorghum had an ash content of 11.9 ± 0.44% DM, while the highest ash content of 15.8 ± 0.35% DM was observed for 60% pumpkin combined with sorghum among all the treatments.

However, Salmonella, Clostridium, or Escherichia spp., were not detected in any of the pre-ensiled epiphytic communities in the present study, despite a greater number of OTUs and Shannon's and inverse Simpson's diversity indices for the inclusion of carrot and pumpkin at 30% DM and of pumpkin at 60% DM. This is likely due to the utilization of carrots processed with sodium hypochlorite, which has previously reduced pathogenic microbial load by up to 99% (Betts and Everis, 2005). In line with prior reports, Klebsiella, Weissella, Pantoaea, and Pseudomonas spp., dominated the epiphytic population of all pre-ensiled treatments, irrespective of inoculation with probiotics (Hu et al., 2018; Keshri et al., 2019). Moreover, the reports of Kõiv et al. (2019) confirmed our findings, as the members of Pseudomonas genus have previously been known to constitute up to 40% of the carrot peel and pulp microbiome population, while Pantoaea is found to be a core genus. Similarly, in our study, Pseudomonas sp. was more prevalent and relatively abundant in the treatments including carrots at 30% (20.8 ± 1.87% RA) or 60% (13.9 ± 4.13%) DM, despite there being no significant influence of treatment on the pre-ensiled material.

Conversely, the NMDS ordination plot of ensiled material illustrated that the divergence of the pumpkin silage microbial communities might be best explained by pH and concentrations of total VFA, succinic acid, and acetic acid. Interestingly, Acinetobacter spp., which are soil microbes that utilize ethanol as a source of carbon (Abbott, 1973), and Leuconostoc spp., which are heterofermentative microbes that produce lactic acid and ethanol or acetic acid as a product of carbohydrate metabolism (Kandler and Schleifer, 1980; Dellaglio et al., 1995), were responsible for the differences (log LDA score ≥ 3.77; $P < 0.01$) in the lactic acid concentrations in the ensiled pumpkin treatments (log LDA score ≥ 3.77; $P < 0.01$), and higher lactic acid concentrations were also noticed in the present study.

The highest lactic acid concentration was observed for pumpkin inclusion at 60% DM and was likely due to the increased production by Leuconostoc spp. (Cogan and Jordan, 1994) in the presence of a higher quantity of fermentable sugars and water-soluble carbohydrates in pumpkin (Halik et al., 2018). Consequently, several reports have indicated that the presence of high water-soluble carbohydrate content following the inclusion of pumpkin increases the rumen-simulated DM fermentation by 26.5% with pumpkin inclusion in sorghum silage at 40% DM (Forwood et al., 2021), effective degradability in an in situ system by 13.8% with pumpkin inclusion in sorghum silage at 40% DM (Forwood et al., 2020), and IVDMD by 21 and 15.6% with pumpkin inclusion at 30% DM and 40% DM, respectively (Crosby-Galván et al., 2018; Forwood et al., 2019).

Similarly, our study observed that the inclusion of pumpkin in silages increased in vitro DM digestibility by up to 31.3% compared to 100% DM sorghum silage, which may be due to the rapid fermentation of non-fibrous carbohydrates in pumpkin during fermentation (Valdez-Arjona and Ramirez-Mella, 2019). Consequently, the inclusion of pumpkin at 60% DM yielded the greatest quantity of non-fibrous carbohydrates while maintaining the batch culture pH in the range of 6.79 to 6.85 ± 0.05, within the recommended pH range for rumin of 5.6–7.5 (Reis et al., 2014). This indicates that the presence of a suitable microbial environment with a sufficient quantity of substrates favors the fermentation process by rumen microbes. In addition, fermentation after 48 h of incubation led to increased gas production (mL/g), increasing by up to 17% with pumpkin inclusion at 30% DM, and corresponded with an increase in IVDMD, in contrast to a decrease noted in both the parameters with the use of pistachio by-products at up to 10% DM in a batch culture environment (Denek et al., 2017). Further, in vitro acetate and butyric acids were 14% lower and 31% greater with pumpkin inclusion, respectively, irrespective of level, and these findings are similar to those reported by Amer et al. (2012) and Chen et al. (2019).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, ensiling sorghum with unsalable carrot or pumpkin increases the total VFA silage concentration, indicating effective fermentation of plant material. This was subsequently proven by an increase in lactic acid concentration with carrot at 60% DM due to the dominance of Lactobacillus spp., in uninoculated vegetable silages, while the significant correlation between lactic acid concentration and the microbial community structure of the pumpkin silages at 60% DM indicated superior fermentation. This consequently promoted more digestible DM (e.g., increased silage IVDMD) and increased rumen fermentation in a batch culture incubation, as indicated by the higher concentration of total VFA. This study has provided further evidence supporting the use of unsalable carrots at 30% DM or pumpkin at 60% DM in silage as an alternative in silage production.
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