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SUMMARY
The ER is composed of distinct structures like tubules, matrices, and sheets, all of which are important for its various functions. However, how these distinct ER structures, especially the perinuclear ER sheets, are formed remains unclear. We report here that the ER membrane protein Climp63 and the ER luminal protein calumenin-1 (Calu1) collaboratively maintain ER sheet morphology. We show that the luminal length of Climp63 is positively correlated with the luminal width of ER sheets. Moreover, the lumen-only mutant of Climp63 dominantly narrows the lumen of ER sheets, demonstrating that Climp63 acts as an ER luminal bridge. We also reveal that Calu1 specifically interacts with Climp63 and antagonizes Climp63 in terms of both ER sheet distribution and luminal width. Together, our data provide insight into how the structure of ER sheets is maintained and regulated.

INTRODUCTION
The ER is a continuous and highly dynamic membrane system distributing throughout the cytoplasm (Zhang and Hu, 2016). ER in mammalian cells is composed of a nuclear envelope and a peripheral network, which includes different structures like tubules, sheets, and matrices (Nixon-Abell et al., 2016; Schroeder et al., 2018; Shibata et al., 2010). ER subdomains exhibit distinctive functions. The ER sheets contain adherent ribosomes and are critical for luminal, membrane, and extracellular protein synthesis. The tubular ER is required for multiple processes including lipid synthesis (English and Voeltz, 2013; Jacquemyn et al., 2017) and signaling with other membranous organelles (Zhang and Hu, 2016; Zheng et al., 2018). However, how ER structures and networks, especially ER sheets, are formed and regulated remain unclear. It is also unknown whether ER luminal proteins contribute to the regulation of ER morphology.

The morphology of the tubular ER is formed and maintained primarily by membrane fusogen atlastins (Hu et al., 2009; Orso et al., 2009) and a subset of membrane curvature-stabilizing proteins, such as reticulons (Voeltz et al., 2006) and REEPs (Esteves et al., 2014; Park et al., 2010). Tubular ER-shaping proteins, such as reticulons, have also been proposed to stabilize the curvature edges of ER sheets, on the basis of observations that the reticulons also localize to the sheet edges and holes (Schroeder et al., 2018; Shibata et al., 2010). However, much less is known regarding the mechanism by which the ER sheets are segregated and regulated. Climp63, p180, and Kinectin have been identified as the most abundant integral ER membrane proteins with sheet-enriched localization and thus have been suggested to function in sheet formation (Shibata et al., 2010). Climp63 is a type II membrane protein that contains a single transmembrane segment and an extended coiled-coil domain in the ER lumen (Sandoz and van der Goot, 2015). The N terminus of Climp63, when dephosphorylated, interacts with microtubules (Vedrenne et al., 2005). Interestingly, p180 is also a microtubule-binding protein (Ogawa-Goto et al., 2007), and Kinectin was identified as a major binding partner of microtubule motor Kinesin (Toyoshima et al., 1992). Therefore, whether and how ER-microtubule interaction regulates ER sheet morphology will be intriguing to study. In neurons, the phosphorylation of Climp63 controls dendritic branching and cargo mobility via regulating ER complexity (Cui-Wang et al., 2012). It has been shown that knockdown of Climp63 led to narrower ER sheet lumen (Shibata et al., 2010), and Climp63 is thus considered as an ER luminal bridge. However, no other direct evidence has been provided regarding the bridging function of Climp63, and it is also unknown whether other proteins are involved.

Calumenin-1 (Calu1), belonging to CREC (acronym for Cab45, reticulocalbin, ERC-45, and calumenin) family, is a highly conserved ER luminal protein that can translocate into the ER lumen through the N-terminal...
Figure 1. Climp63 Determines the Luminal Width of ER Sheets
(A) Structure prediction of full-length Climp63 by coiled-coil domain prediction software tool LOGICOIL. It reveals that four coiled coils are formed by the luminal segment of Climp63. The red line stands for the Marcoil confidence level. Diagram depicting Climp63 domains is shown at the bottom. Numbers indicate amino acids (a.a.) of Climp63. Cyto, cytoplasmic domain; TM, transmembrane domain; Lumi, luminal domain.
(B) Cell lysates from wild-type (WT) and Climp63 knockout (KO) cells were immunoblotted with anti-Climp63 antibody. GAPDH serves as a loading control.
(C) Representative electron microscopy images of ER in wild-type or Climp63-knockout U2OS cells transfected with the indicated Climp63 mutant plasmids. Boxed regions are magnified below. Scale bar: 100 nm.
(D) Quantification of the ER profile sheet luminal widths for (C). Data represent mean ± SD. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t tests.
(E) Quantification of the luminal widths of nuclear envelope profile for (C). Data represent mean ± SD.
signal peptide (Sahoo and Kim, 2010). CREC family proteins play important roles in various physiological processes, such as cell proliferation, cell migration, apoptosis, protein folding, calcium signaling, and intracellular transport (Chen et al., 2016; Deng et al., 2018; Honore, 2009; Wang et al., 2015). Calu1 contains multiple EF-hand domains and can interact with RyR2 and SERCA2 to regulate Ca^{2+} homeostasis in the sarcoplasmic reticulum in mouse heart (Sahoo et al., 2009). Knockdown of Calu1 increases γ-carboxylase activity, which in turn regulates the biogenesis of vitamin K-dependent proteins (Wajih et al., 2008). Calu1 acts as an ER stress chaperon in cardiomyocyte (Wang et al., 2017), and its overexpression alleviates the ER-stress-induced apoptosis (Lee et al., 2013). In addition, a part of Calu1 is secreted into the extracellular space, where it serves as a factor inhibiting tumor cell migration by binding to extracellular matrix protein fibulin-1 and suppressing the downstream ERK1/2 signaling pathway (Wang et al., 2015). However, whether Calu1 or any other ER luminal proteins are involved in regulating the ER morphology has not been reported.

Here, we present direct evidence that Climp63 determines the luminal width of ER sheets. In addition, we reveal that Calu1, an ER luminal protein, associates with and counteracts Climp63 to collaboratively regulate ER sheet morphology.

RESULTS
Climp63 Determines the Luminal Width of ER Sheets
Climp63 is composed of a 109-amino-acid cytoplasmic tail, a single transmembrane domain, and a large ER luminal segment. To explore the functional domain of Climp63, we analyzed its amino acid sequence using LOGICOIL (Vincent et al., 2013). The results predicted that Climp63 contains four coiled-coil structures (amino acids 120–220, 220–400, 400–530, 530–602) (Figure 1A). A previous study (Shibata et al., 2010) showed that knockdown of Climp63 led to narrower ER lumen. To confirm whether Climp63 determines the luminal width of ER sheets, we generated Climp63-knockout U2OS cells by CRISPR/Cas9 (Figure 1B) and introduced Climp63 mutants with different luminal domain lengths (amino acids 1–131, 1–220, 1–400, full length, and 23 lumen) back into these cells to observe the sheet luminal widths by electron microscopy. Knockout of Climp63 led to much narrower sheet lumen (~30 nm compared with ~50 nm in wild-type U2OS cells) (Figures 1C and 1D), which was consistent with the previous report (Shibata et al., 2010). Interestingly, the lengths of the Climp63 luminal domains were positively correlated with ER sheet luminal widths: the shortest mutant Climp63-(1–131) showed the narrowest lumen, and the longest mutant Climp63-(23 lumen) showed the widest lumen (Figures 1C and 1D). As a control, the luminal width of the nuclear envelope showed no difference among mutants (Figure 1E), suggesting a specific regulation of Climp63 on ER sheets. These results strongly reveal that Climp63 determines the luminal width of ER sheets.

Climp63 Self-Association through Its Luminal Domain Is Required for Determining the Luminal Width of ER Sheets
It has been hypothesized that Climp63 forms anti-parallel dimer to bridge the ER lumen (Shibata et al., 2009). To confirm whether dimerization of Climp63 luminal domain is responsible for determining the luminal width of ER sheets, we constructed a lumen-only mutant of Climp63 (amino acids 132–602) and localized it to the ER with an N-terminal signal peptide (Figure S1A). We reasoned that, if dimerization of Climp63 through the luminal coiled-coil regions is indispensable for its luminal bridge function, then overexpression of this mutant would dominant-negatively disrupt the normal function of the endogenous transmembrane Climp63, leading to narrower sheet luminal widths. Indeed, overexpression of this lumen-only mutant of Climp63 in COS7 cells resulted in much narrower ER sheet luminal widths (~35 nm compared with ~50 nm in control) (Figures 1F and 1G), indicating that dimerization through luminal coiled-coil domains is required for Climp63 to determine the luminal width of ER sheets.

Calu1 Interacts with Climp63 and Regulates ER Sheet Luminal Width through Climp63
We next sought to examine how Climp63 is regulated. Our laboratory has been studying the physiological roles of the proteins in the CREC protein family (Chen et al., 2016; Feng et al., 2013; Wang et al.,
2015), and we found that the luminal width of ER sheets in COS7 cells overexpressing Calu1 (Figure S1B) was significantly narrower (~30 nm compared with ~50 nm in control) when analyzing the ER morphology using electron microscopy (Figures 2A and 2B). As Climp63 is the only reported protein to support ER luminal width, we determined to examine whether Climp63 interacts with Calu1. Immunoprecipitation assay using exogenously overexpressed proteins showed that Climp63 can be pulled down by GFP-Calu1 (Figure 2C). Furthermore, reciprocal immunoprecipitations confirmed that endogenous Calu1 associated with endogenous Climp63 (Figures 2D and 2E). This interaction was specific because Calu2, another major isoform of the CALU gene (Feng et al., 2013), did not associate with Climp63 (Figure 2F). In addition, immunoprecipitation assays between Calu1 and three other ER-shaping proteins, Kinectin (KTN1), p180, and Atlastin (Atl2), showed that Calu1 did not interact with any of these proteins (Figure S1C), suggesting a specific association between Calu1 and Climp63.

To examine whether narrower ER lumen induced by overexpression of Calu1 was dependent on Climp63, we overexpressed Calu1 in Climp63-knockout U2OS cells and analyzed the ER sheet morphology using electron microscopy. Overexpression of GFP-Calu1 in the Climp63-knockout U2OS cells showed indistinguishable ER luminal width compared with empty GFP transfected cells (Figures 2G and 2H), indicating that Climp63 is required for Calu1 to narrow ER lumen.

### Calu1 Regulates ER Sheet Distribution in a Climp63-Dependent Manner

We then prepared Calu1-knockout COS7 cells using the CRISPR/Cas9 approach (Figure 3A) and performed electron microscopy to examine whether knockout of Calu1 would affect ER sheet morphology. Calu1 knockout led to slightly wider ER sheet lumen (Figures S2A and S2B). Interestingly, we noticed that the ER sheets in Calu1 knockout cells seemed to be highly clustered (Figure S2A), so we set out to explore whether Calu1 affects the ER distribution using a luminal ER marker mCherry-KDEL (Zheng et al., 2018). Consistent with the electron microscopy results, knockout of Calu1 strongly disrupted the ER morphology, causing severe juxtanuclear accumulation of the ER (Figures 3B–3E), and restoration of Calu1 rescued the phenotype (Figures 3C–3E). To confirm these findings, we labeled Calnexin as an endogenous ER luminal protein marker in the Calu1 knockout cells. Consistent with the results shown by mCherry-KDEL (Figures 3B–3E), Calnexin labeling also showed accumulation of ER sheets in the perinuclear region (Figures 3F and 3G). As microtubules were reported to play critical roles in shaping the ER (Friedman et al., 2010; Waterman-Storer and Salmon, 1998), to investigate whether the accumulation of ER sheets affected the distribution of microtubule cytoskeleton, we labeled α-tubulin in both wild-type and Calu1 knockout cells but did not observe obvious alteration on the microtubule distribution (Figure S3A). In addition, the amount of ER sheets determined by the ratio of endogenous Climp63 (an ER sheet marker) to Rtn4b (a tubular ER marker) was elevated when Calu1 was knocked out (Figures 3H and 3I), indicating that not only are ER sheets accumulated, but also the amount is increased upon Calu1 deletion.

Calu1 was reported to be an ER stress chaperone, and its overexpression was demonstrated to alleviate the ER-stress-induced apoptosis (Lee et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2017). To test whether Calu1-knockout-induced ER sheets accumulation provokes ER stress, we examined the ER stress marker BiP, an ER heat shock protein 70 family member (Gething, 1999), in Calu1-knockout cells. Surprisingly, the BiP expression was markedly decreased when Calu1 was depleted (Figure S3B), suggesting the ER sheet accumulation does not induce ER stress.

To determine whether the ER accumulation is Climp63 dependent, we knocked down Climp63 in Calu1-knockout COS7 cells using shRNA (Figure 4A) and observed its effect on the juxtanuclear accumulation of ER. Consistent with a previous report (Shibata et al., 2010), knockdown of Climp63 caused dramatically dispersed ER sheets (Figures 4B and 4C), whereas the distribution of microtubule cytoskeleton did not seem to be affected (Figure S3C). Depletion of Climp63 efficiently and specifically reversed the juxtanuclear accumulation of ER induced by Calu1 knockout (Figures 4B and 4C), suggesting that Climp63 is required for Calu1 to modulate ER distribution. To further validate this, we knocked down Calu1 in Climp63-knockout U2OS cells (Figures 4D and 4E). Knockdown of Calu1 in wild-type U2OS cells induced dramatic juxtanuclear accumulation of ER; however, it failed to do so in Climp63-knockout U2OS cells (Figures 4F and 4G). Therefore, we conclude that Calu1 modulates ER distribution in a Climp63-dependent manner.
Figure 2. Calu1 Interacts with Climp63 and Regulates ER Sheet Luminal Width through Climp63

(A) Representative electron microscopy images of the ER sheets of COS7 cells with overexpressed GFP or GFP-Calu1. Boxed regions are magnified below. Scale bar: 100 nm.

(B) Quantification of the ER profile sheet luminal widths for (A). Data represent mean ± SD. ***p < 0.001, determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test.

(C) Immunoprecipitation (IP) assays of Climp63-Flag by overexpressed GFP or GFP-Calu1 in HEK293T cells. Cell extracts of Climp63-HA were mixed with cell extracts of GFP or GFP-Calu1 and then used for immunoprecipitation with anti-GFP antibody. The precipitates were immunoblotted with anti-GFP, anti-HA, and anti-GAPDH antibodies. GAPDH serves as a loading control.

(D and E) Reciprocal endogenous immunoprecipitation assays with anti-Calum1 (D) or anti-Climp63 (E) antibodies in HEK293T cells. Normal IgG was used as a negative control.

(F) Immunoprecipitation assays of Climp63-HA by GFP-Calu1 or GFP-Calu2 with anti-GFP antibody in HEK293T cells.

(G) Representative electron microscopy images of the ER sheets of Climp63-knockout U2OS cells with overexpressed GFP or GFP-Calu1. Boxed regions are magnified below. Scale bar: 100 nm.

(H) Quantification of the ER profile sheet luminal widths for (G). Data represent mean ± SD. n.s., not significant, determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test.

See also Figures S1, S4, and S5.
Figure 3. Loss of Calu1 Causes ER Sheet Accumulation

(A) Western blotting of wild-type (WT) and Calu1-knockout (KO) COS7 cells by anti-Calu1 antibody. GAPDH serves as a loading control.

(B) Representative confocal microscope images of wild-type, Calu1-knockout, and Calu1-knockout COS7 cells transfected with GFP-Calu1 plasmid (rescue). All cells are expressing mCherry-KDEL as an ER marker. Scale bar: 10 μm.

(C) Quantification of relative distribution area of ER sheets to total ER of cells as in (B). Data represent mean ± SD. ***p < 0.001, determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test.

(D) Quantification of the relative average intensities of the perinuclear ER to peripheral ER in cells labeled by mCherry-KDEL as in (B). Data represent mean ± SD. ***p < 0.001, determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test.

(E) Quantification of the number of cells with juxtanuclear accumulation of ER as in (B). Data represent mean ± SD. ***p < 0.001, determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test.

(F) Representative immunofluorescence images of wild-type and Calu1-knockout COS7 cells labeled with anti-Calnexin antibody. Scale bar: 10 μm.

(G) Quantification of relative average intensities of the perinuclear ER to peripheral ER in cells labeled by endogenous Calnexin as in (F). Data represent mean ± SD. ***p < 0.001, determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test.

(H) Western blotting of wild-type, Calu1-knockout COS7 cells, and Calu1-knockout COS7 cells restored with GFP-Calu1 (rescue) by anti-Climp63, anti-Rtn4 (Reticulon, Rtn4b), and anti-Calu1 antibodies. GAPDH serves as a loading control. Note that the band for Calu1 in the third lane is a degraded band.

(I) Quantification of relative band intensities between Climp63 and Rtn4b as in (H). Data represent mean ± SD. *p < 0.05, determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test.

See also Figure S2.
Calu1 Binds to Climp63 via Its Amino Acids 74–138

We determined to map the interaction domain of Calu1 and Climp63. As only Calu1, but not Calu2, interacted with Climp63 (Figure 2F), and GFP-Calu2 also failed to rescue the accumulated ER sheets in Calu1 knockout cells (Figures S4A and S4B), we speculated that the different regions between Calu1 and Climp63 are involved in the interaction.
Calu2, which are their exon4 regions (Wang et al., 2015), might be responsible for the interaction of Calu1 with Climp63. We constructed several Calu1 mutants (Figure S5A) and performed immunoprecipitation assays. As expected, Calu1 mutant lacking exon4 region (Calu1-D74-138) did not associate with Climp63 (Figure S5B). Consistently, this mutant failed to rescue the juxtanuclear accumulation of ER (Figures S5C and S5D). In contrast, Calu1-D20-73 (lacking exon3 region) associated with Climp63 and rescued the ER distribution abnormality as the full-length Calu1 (Figures S5B–S5D). Together, these data suggest that amino acids 74–138 of Calu1 are required for Calu1 to interact with Climp63 and, more importantly, are also required for Calu1 to regulate ER sheet distribution through Climp63.

Calu1 Inhibits Climp63-Microtubule Binding but Does Not Affect Self-Association of Climp63

We next explored possible mechanisms of how Calu1 regulates ER morphology through Climp63. It was proposed that Climp63 exerts its luminal bridge function by forming anti-parallel dimers on opposing ER membranes (Shibata et al., 2009), and our data supported that dimerization through its luminal domain is important for Climp63 to regulate the ER luminal width (Figures 1F and 1G). Therefore, we tested whether Calu1 affects self-association/dimerization of Climp63. At 37°C, less Climp63 exists in the pellet fraction (P) upon GFP-Calu1 overexpression (P% = 36%) compared with GFP overexpression (P% = 60%). Note that all Climp63 is in the supernatant fraction (S) at 4°C because microtubules are depolymerized at low temperature. P, pellet, microtubule fraction; S, supernatant, free tubulin fraction.

**Figure 5. Calu1 Inhibits Climp63-Microtubule Binding Instead of Affecting Self-Association of Climp63**

(A) Immunoprecipitation assays of Climp63-3×Flag by Climp63-HA using anti-HA antibody in HEK293T cells in the presence of GFP or GFP-Calu1. The number on top of the bands is the ratio between Climp63-3×Flag and Climp63-HA with GFP or GFP-Calu1 overexpression.

(B) FRAP assay of COS7 cells with overexpressed Climp63-mApple and GFP or GFP-Calu1. The relative intensity of Climp63-mApple in the bleached area was recorded. Data shown are the average traces from 25 cells.

(C) Microtubule co-sedimentation assay of HEK293T cells with overexpressed Climp63-HA and GFP or GFP-Calu1. At 37°C, less Climp63 exists in the pellet fraction (P) upon GFP-Calu1 overexpression (P% = 36%) compared with GFP overexpression (P% = 60%). Note that all Climp63 is in the supernatant fraction (S) at 4°C because microtubules are depolymerized at low temperature. P, pellet, microtubule fraction; S, supernatant, free tubulin fraction.
not observe any difference in the recovery rate between control and GFP-Calu1 overexpressed cells (Figure 5B). Therefore, it is unlikely that Calu1 affects self-association of Climp63.

Climp63 was reported to bind microtubules (Vedrenne et al., 2005). We determined to examine whether Calu1 affects the Climp63-microtubule association using the microtubule co-sedimentation assay. In control HEK293T cells expressing GFP and Climp63-HA (at 37°C), more Climp63 appeared in the pellet (microtubules) fraction (~60%), suggesting that Climp63 associates with microtubules. However, in cells overexpressing GFP-Calu1 and Climp63-HA (at 37°C), only 36% of Climp63 appeared in the microtubule fraction (Figure 5C). Together, we speculate that Calu1 suppresses the microtubule-binding ability of Climp63.

DISCUSSION
The ER consists of a complex network, and disruption of ER morphology is closely linked to various human diseases, such as hereditary spastic paraplegia (Blackstone, 2012) and Alzheimer disease (He et al., 2004). Although the tubular ER-shaping proteins have been extensively studied, how ER sheets are formed and regulated are still unclear. Here, we show that ER transmembrane protein Climp63 and ER luminal protein Calu1 cooperatively maintain and regulate ER sheet morphology.

Climp63 Acts as an ER Sheet Luminal Bridge
Although a previous model puts Climp63-bridged sheet lumen as one of the three possible mechanisms of ER sheet formation (Shibata et al., 2009), the speculation is based on indirect evidence, including the predicted molecular structure of Climp63 and the phenotype of Climp63 knockdown (Klopfenstein et al., 2001; Shibata et al., 2010). By showing that the luminal width correlates well with the luminal length of Climp63 (Figure 1), we provide solid evidence revealing that Climp63 determines the luminal width of ER sheets. We also show that the luminal coiled-coil region of Climp63 seems to be important for its luminal bridging function (Figures 1F and 1G), supporting the previous hypothesis that Climp63 molecules at the opposite ER membranes form anti-parallel dimer to support the ER lumen (Shibata et al., 2009, 2010).

We notice that re-introduction of Climp63 mutant lacking a luminal domain (Climp63-1–131) results in an even narrower lumen compared with that in Climp63-knockout cells (Figures 1C and 1D). One possibility is that the luminal width of ER sheets is determined by a combination of Climp63-mediated luminal bridging and p180/Kinectin-mediated membrane scaffolding (Shibata et al., 2009). Therefore, the cytoplasmic domain of Climp63 may recruit p180 and Kinectin, leading to increased membrane scaffolding at the cytosolic side and a much narrower ER lumen.

Another interesting observation is that rescue of Climp63 knockdown by full-length Climp63 leads to a wider ER lumen compared with that in the wild-type cells (Figures 1C and 1D). One explanation is that, although Climp63 is among the most abundant proteins in the ER (Shibata et al., 2010), it is not saturated to support the lumen of all ER sheets, or only a portion of Climp63 is involved in the luminal bridging. Thus, overexpression of full-length Climp63 adds more bridges inside the ER and leads to a wider lumen. Another possibility is that the luminal width of ER sheets is not only determined by Climp63 in the lumen, but also involving a pressing force generated by p180/Kinectin from the cytosol side (Shibata et al., 2009). Therefore, increased amount of Climp63 will give more strength from the luminal bridge side and slightly increase luminal width.

ER Luminal Proteins and ER Morphology
The proteins that have been reported to function in ER tubule and sheet formation or to balance ER tubules and sheets are all membrane-associated proteins (Hu et al., 2008, 2009; Park et al., 2010; Shibata et al., 2010). Our data reveal that Calu1, an ER luminal protein, collaborates with Climp63 in modulating ER sheet morphology. This finding broadens the existing knowledge and provides insights for studying ER morphology. Calu1 was reported to be an ER chaperon, which alleviated the ER stress-induced apoptosis in cardiomyocyte (Lee et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2017). To our surprise, although knockout of Calu1 triggered accumulation of ER distribution, it does not cause ER stress indicated by BiP (Figure S3B) in COS7 cells. These discrepancies may be due to cell type, or that the changed ER distribution alleviates ER stress.
Mechanisms Underlying Regulation of Calu1 on Climp63
Our data show that not only Calu1 regulates the luminal width of ER, but its knockout also triggers juxta-nuclear accumulation of ER, and both are Climp63 dependent. However, the causal relationships between these two phenotypes are unclear. How Calu1 regulates ER morphology via Climp63 is another open question. One possibility is that Calu1 might regulate the self-association of Climp63. Although we did not detect any effect of Calu1 on Climp63 self-association (Figures 5A and 5B), it is still possible that Calu1 could regulate the arrangement of Climp63. There is one scenario that only anti-parallel Climp63 dimers/polymers formed from opposing ER membranes can support the lumen (Shibata et al., 2009); however, the parallel dimers/polymers are dominant in the cells. Therefore, if Calu1 specifically inhibits the anti-parallel dimerization/polymerization of Climp63, which comprises only a minor proportion of Climp63 dimers/polymers, it would be difficult to detect differences with or without Calu1 by the method we used to test Climp63 dimerization (Figures 5A and 5B). Unfortunately, we could not think of a method to specifically detect anti-parallel dimerization of Climp63.

Another possibility, which we have validated, is that Calu1 affects the Climp63-microtubule binding. This possibility stems from the finding that the cytoplasmic domain of Climp63 was reported to contain microtubule-binding domains (Klopfenstein et al., 1998) and this microtubule binding is involved in regulating ER morphology (Vedrenne et al., 2005). Despite this result, we do not have a clear explanation how Calu1 regulates the Climp63-microtubule binding as a luminal protein. One possibility is that binding of Calu1 to Climp63 changes the structure of Climp63, which may also be the underlying mechanism of the ER sheet luminal width regulation. It is also worthwhile to mention that the expression of Climp63 was increased in Calu1-knockout cells (Figure 3H), which may also contribute to the ER phenotype. Therefore, Calu1 may regulate Climp63 in several different ways. Further studies are required to address questions like which contributes more to the phenotype and what are their relationships among different mechanisms.

Limitation of the Study
This study did not investigate whether Climp63 self-association is parallel or anti-parallel. It remains unclear how Calu1 affects Climp63-microtubule binding as an ER luminal protein. We speculate that binding of Calu1 to Climp63 might change the conformation of Climp63.

METHODS
All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2019.10.067.
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Calumenin-1 Interacts with Climp63
to Cooperatively Determine the Luminal Width
and Distribution of Endoplasmic Reticulum Sheets

Birong Shen, Pengli Zheng, Nannan Qian, Qingzhou Chen, Xin Zhou, Junjie Hu, Jianguo Chen, and Junlin Teng
Figure S1. ER Localization of Climp63 Dominant-Negative Mutant and GFP-Calu1, and Calu1 Does Not Interact with KTN1, p180 or Atl2. Related to Figure 2

(A) Representative images of COS7 cells expressing SP-GFP-Climp63 (132-602) and an ER marker mCherry-KDEL. Scale bar: 10 µm.

(B) Representative images of COS7 cells expressing either GFP or GFP-Calu1 and an ER marker mCherry-KDEL. Scale bar: 10 µm.
(C) Immunoprecipitation (IP) assays of overexpressed KTN1-HA (Kinectin), p180s-HA (a short isoform of p180), or Atl2-HA (Atlastin) by overexpressed Calu1-GFP in HEK293T cells with anti-GFP antibody. The precipitates were immunoblotted (IB) with anti-GFP and anti-HA antibodies.
Figure S2. Calu1 Knockout Leads to Wider ER Sheet Luminal Width. Related to Figure 3

(A) Representative electron microscopy images of wild-type (WT) and Calu1-knockout (KO) COS7 cells. Boxed regions are magnified below. Scale bar: 100 nm.

(B) Quantification of the ER sheet luminal widths for (A). Data represent mean ± SD, *p < 0.05, determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test.
Figure S3. Knockout of Calu1 or Climp63 Does Not Affect Microtubule Distribution and Calu1 Deletion Decreased ER Stress. Related to Figure 4

(A) Representative immunofluorescence confocal microscopy images of wild-type (WT) and Calu1-knockout (KO) COS7 cells labeled with α-tubulin. Scale bar: 10 µm.

(B) Western blotting of BiP in wild-type and Calu1-knockout cells. Rep 1, 2, and 3 indicate three independent replications. GAPDH serves as a loading control.

(C) Representative immunofluorescence confocal microscopy images of wild-type and Climp63-knockout U2OS cells transfected with mCherry-KDEL and labeled with α-tubulin. Scale bar: 10 µm.
Figure S4. Restoration of Calu1 But Not Calu2 Rescued the ER Sheet Accumulation in Calu1-Knockout COS7 Cells. Related to Figure 2

(A) Representative images of wild-type (WT) or Calu1-knockout (KO) COS7 cells transfected with the indicated plasmids. Scale bar: 10 μm.

(B) Quantification of cells with juxtanuclear accumulation of ER in (A). Data represent mean ± SD, ***p < 0.001, n.s., not significant, determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-tests.
Figure S5. Calu1 Interacts with Climp63 via Amino Acids 74-138. Related to Figure 2

(A) Schematic illustration of Calu1 deletion mutants. The numbers inside the diagram indicate exons of CALU gene. SP, signal peptide of Calu1. The GFP sequence was inserted directly after the signal peptide.

(B) Immunoprecipitation (IP) assays of Climp63-HA by the indicated Calu1 deletion mutants overexpressed in HEK293T with anti-GFP antibody. The red asterisks indicate the expected bands for the immunoprecipitated Calu1 mutants. IB, immunoblotting.

(C-D) Calu1-Knockout (KO) COS7 cells were overexpressed with mCherry-KDEL and GFP or the indicated Calu1 mutants. Percentage of cells with juxtanuclear accumulation of ER was quantified. FL, full length. Data represent mean ± SD, ***p < 0.001, n.s., not significant, determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-tests.
Transparent Methods

Cell Culture and Transfection
HeLa (ATCC, CCL-2), HEK293T (ATCC, CRL-11268-G-1), COS7 (ATCC, CRL-1651), and U2OS (ATCC, HTB-96) cells were maintained in DMEM (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% FBS (GIBCO or CellMax) at 37°C with 5% CO2. Cells were seeded in 6-well plates or 10 cm dishes one day before transfection. Plasmids were transfected using PEI (Polysciences Inc., 23966-2) (Fukumoto et al., 2010) or Lipofectamin 2000 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturers' instructions.

Antibodies
Rabbit anti-GFP polyclonal antibody was self-produced and purified (Wang et al., 2015) (IP (Immunoprecipitation) 1:500; IB (Immunoblotting) 1:5,000). Rabbit anti-Calu1 (ProteinTech, #17804-1-AP. IP: 1:200; IB: 1:1000), rabbit anti-Calnexin (Cell Signaling Technology, #2679. IF: 1:200), mouse anti-Climp63 (Enzo, #ALX-804-604. IP: 1:500; IB: 1:5,000), mouse anti-Flag (Sigma-Aldrich, #F1804. IB: 1:2,000), mouse anti-HA (Sigma-Aldrich, #H9658. IP: 1:500; IB: 1:5,000), mouse anti-GAPDH (CWBio, #0100A. IB: 1:5,000), rabbit anti-Rtn4 (ProteinTech, #10740, IB: 1:1,000. This antibody recognizes all reticulon proteins and detected Rtn4b (~50 kDa) in COS7 cells in this paper.), mouse anti-α-tubulin (ProteinTech, #66031, IF: 1:1,000; IB: 1:10,000), mouse anti-GFP (abcam, #ab290, IP: 1:1,000), and rabbit anti-GFP (MBL, #598, IB: 1:1,000) antibodies were used. HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch, #111-035-003. IB: 1:10, 000) and HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch, #115-035-003. IB: 1:10,000) secondary antibodies were used.

Vector Construction
Human Calu1 and Calu2 were cloned before (Wang et al., 2012). To construct GFP-Calu1/Calu2, a GFP tag was inserted between amino acids 19 and 20 of Calu1 and Clau2, immediately after the signal peptide. Calu1 mutants used for mapping were cloned into pcDNA3.1(+) vector (Invitrogen). Full-length of human Climp63 was amplified from cDNA of HEK293T cells and cloned into pcDNA3.1(+) 3’HA (with a HA tag inserted at the C-terminus, gift from Dr. Zengfan Jiang at Peking University), pEGFP-N3 (Invitrogen), and p3×FLAG-CMV-14 (Sigma Aldrich) vectors. The Climp63 truncation mutants were amplified from the full-length Climp63 and cloned into the pEGFP-N3 vector. For Climp63-2×Lumen, sequence of Climp63 (132-602) (luminal domain) were amplified and added immediately after full-length Climp63. Sequences coding Kinectin and a short isoform of p180 (represented as p180s here) (Ogawa-Goto et al., 2007) were from Origene and cloned into pcDNA3.1(+)-3’HA vector. The plasmid HA-Atlasticn2 was constructed before (Zhou et al., 2019). The ER marker mCherry-ER was constructed previously by adding the signal peptide of Calu1 (amino acids 1-19) to the N-terminus, and the ER retrieval signal KDEL to the C-terminus of mCherry, followed by cloning into the pcDNA3.1(+) vector (Zheng et al., 2018).

Immunofluorescence
For immunofluorescence and fluorescence microscopy, cells 24 h post-transfection were washed
with pre-warmed PBS, and fixed in 4% PFA (powder was dissolved in PBS) at 37°C for 15 min. The cells were then washed for three times with PBS and permeabilized in 0.15% Triton X-100 (in PBS) at room temperature (RT) for 10 min, followed by PBS wash. Immunostaining was carried out by incubation of the cells with blocking buffer (3% BSA in PBS) for 30 min at RT and then with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. After being washed with PBS three times, the cells were incubated with secondary antibodies. The cells on the glass coverslips were placed in 50% glycerol (in PBS), and the edges of the coverslips were sealed with nail polish. Samples were imaged using different microscope as required (described in the related sections).

**Imaging and ER Distribution Quantification**

For intensity ratio of perinuclear/peripheral, we transfected cells with indicated plasmids together with ER marker mCherry-KDEL plasmid (or stained with anti-calnexin antibody). The samples were prepared as described in the “Immunofluorescence” section and observed under a Zeiss LSM-710NLO&DuoScan confocal fluorescence microscope equipped with a 63×/1.42NA oil immersion objective lens. Images with mCherry-KDEL expression or calnexin staining were captured by ZEN software (Zeiss). A 3 µm × 3 µm region of both perinuclear (the brightest region around the nucleus of a cell) and the most periphery (random pick) area in the cell was selected, and the relative average fluorescence intensities in both regions were quantified by Image J (NIH).

For analyzing cells with juxtanuclear accumulation of ER, samples were observed under IX71 fluorescence microscope equipped with a 60×/1.42 NA oil immersion objective lens (Olympus). We assigned random file names and had a researcher blinded to all experimental conditions to score the cells. Cells with aberrant bright signal in perinuclear region were considered as “juxtanuclear accumulation of ER” based on manual judgement.

For distribution area of ER sheets to total ER, samples were imaged under a Zeiss LSM-710NLO&DuoScan confocal fluorescence microscope equipped with a 100×/1.42NA oil immersion objective lens, and images were captured by ZEN software (Zeiss). The area of sheets and total ER were quantified using Image J (Zheng et al., 2018).

**Electron Microscopy**

For electron microscopy, monolayered cells grown on 35 mm petri-dish (with grids and numbers on the bottom, for cells transfected with fluorescent proteins) or coverslips (for non-transfected cells) were washed with pre-warmed 0.1 M PBS, and fixed with fixation buffer (2.5 % glutaraldehyde, 2% freshly prepared PFA in 0.1 M PBS) for 1 h at room temperature, and further fixed overnight at 4°C. The samples (for cells transfected with fluorescent proteins) were observed under an IX71 fluorescence microscope equipped with a 10×/0.30NA immersion lens (Olympus), and images were captured with DP controller software (Olympus). The cells with successful transfection were randomly selected and marked in the images. After washing with 0.1 M PBS for 3 times, samples were further fixed with a mixture of 1.2 % OsO₄ and 1.5% KFe(CN)₆ for 30 min, and then stained with uranyl acetate in 25% ethanol for 30 min on ice. After that, samples were dehydrated in order with graded ethanol, incubated in 100% anhydrous cupric sulfate twice, infiltrated with Epon/ethanol (1:1) overnight, and then imbedded with Epon (Eponf12, ODSA, MNA, PMP-30) in vacuum for 3
days. The above samples were incubated in a 65°C-drying oven for 2-3 days. Then the petri-dishes were discarded, and the coverslips (for non-transfected cells) were dissolved with hydrofluoric acid. Under bright field microscope, the cells were compared with previously-captured fluorescent images according to the position in the numbered grids and the cell shape. GFP-positive ones were marked for sectioning. For non-transfected (wild-type) cells, random cells were chosen. After serial sectioning, the specimens were stained with uranyl acetate for 20 min, and lead citrate for 10 min in order before imaging with a transmission electron microscope (JEOL, JEM 1010).

For quantification of the ER sheet luminal width, the area within 1 um outside of the nuclear envelop were randomly imaged. The ER profiles with membrane structure that extended continuously for at least 500 nm was counted as peripheral ER sheet. For each cell, at least 3 points of one ER sheet profile and at least 50 ER profiles per cell were measured using Image J (NIH), and the average ER luminal width was calculated. The total cell numbers are shown in the corresponding figure legends. For luminal width of nuclear envelope profile, about 200-300 envelope profiles from 7-10 cells were quantified.

**CRISPR/Cas9-mediated Knockout**

For Calu1 knockout, the oligo targeting the splicing site of exon 4 of *CALU* gene (GAAGAGAGCAAGGAAAGGCT) was synthesized and ligated to the gRNA vector (Chang et al., 2013). For Climp63 knockout, gRNA (CGCCGCGCCGATGCCCT) targeting the start codon of Climp63 was constructed into the pX330 vector as described (Cong et al., 2013). Along with Cas9 and pBabe (puromycin-resistant), these vectors were co-transfected in COS7 cells (for Calu1 knockout) or U2OS cells (for Climp63 knockout). Puromycin (Sigma Aldrich, #P8833, 2 μg/mL) was added 24 h post-transfection with fresh culture medium and was removed 48 h later. Single cells were sorted into 96-well plates by flow cytometry (Beckman Coulter), and the clones with no Calu1 or Climp63 protein expression were screened and identified by immunoblotting. The positive candidates were further sequenced to confirm successful knockout.

**RNAi**

For RNAi, short hairpin RNA (shRNA) were used. Control shRNA: GCCTTCGTTCAATTACTACTA; shCalu1#1: GGAGTTGATATGAAATCAATT; shCalu1#2: ACGTGACTTTAGGCACTTATT; shClimp63: AAGGTGCAGTCTTGGCAAGCC (Shibata et al., 2010). All shRNAs were cloned into the pLKO.1 vector (Wang et al., 2015).

**Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting**

For immunoprecipitation, cells were harvested, washed three times with ice-cold PBS, and lysed in lysis buffer (25 mM HEPES, 150 mM KAc, 2 mM Mg(Ac)$_2$, 1% digitonin, pH 7.4) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, 04693159001). The lysates were centrifuged at 12,000×g for 15 min at 4°C, and the supernatant were mixed with related antibodies and rotated at 4°C overnight, then followed by conjugation with Protein G Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) at 4°C for 2 h. After washing with the lysis buffer for three times, the beads were boiled for 10 min at 100°C with SDS loading buffer. The immunoprecipitation samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to
PVDF membranes (Millipore), blocked with blocking buffer (3% BSA in TBST), and probed with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. The membranes were washed in TBST for three times before incubating with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody at RT for 2 h.

**Microtubule Co-sedimentation**
For microtubule co-sedimentation assay, HEK293T cells were transfected with Climp63-HA and GFP or GFP-Calu1 for 36 h. The cells were lysed in PIPES buffer (80 mM PIPES, pH6.8, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1% TritonX-100, supplemented with complete protease inhibitors) for 30 min on ice, and followed by centrifugation twice at 20,000×g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was supplemented with 1 mM GTP and 40 µM Taxol and then incubated at 4°C or 37°C for 30 min before centrifugated at 20,000×g for 30 min at 4°C or 37°C, respectively. The resulted pellet and supernatant were collected and subjected to immunoblotting analysis. The percentage of pellet was quantified using Image J.

**Fluorescence Recovery after Photobleaching (FRAP)**
For FRAP assay, COS7 cells were transfected with Climp63-mApple and GFP or GFP-Calu1 plasmids. After 24 h, the cells were observed under a Zeiss LSM-710NLO&DuoScan confocal fluorescence microscope equipped with a 100×/1.42NA oil immersion objective lens. Images were acquired at 600 ms interval and a 2 µm diameter circular region was bleached after the fifth frame. Images were then processed by ZEN software (Zeiss) to quantify the average intensities of the bleached regions.

**Statistical Analysis**
Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 7. The definition of mean value, definition of significance, and sample size were indicated in the figures and corresponding figure legends.
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