Effect of Unitary Soil Tillage Energy on Soil Aggregate Structure and Erosion Vulnerability
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ABSTRACT
The integrated soil tillage developed in the Institute of Biosystem Engineering is based on a single pass of basic tillage, secondary tillage and sowing or planting. The integrated soil tillage enables to achieve a relatively high mechanical strength of the soil. An important element of the technology is the use of tillage roller sets which significantly influence the obtained aggregate structure and its eolic resistance. The aim of the study was to determine the influence of roller speed under various soil moisture conditions on the aggregate structure of the arable soil layer. The research was carried out on the production field in Dzieńmierowo, Wielkopolska Voivodeship, Poland, on medium soil with the mechanical composition of light clay. During the research, cultivation sets with two units of Campell + Croskill platelet and studded rollers were used. For two actual soil moisture levels and five speeds within the range of 3–7 km/h, the influence of unit tillage energy at three levels of the cultivating layer (0–5 cm, 5–10 cm and 10–15 cm) was analyzed on the aggregate structure of soil and the content of aggregates with the highest resistance to wind erosion. The results of the work lead to the conclusions that it is possible to use the working speed and overloading of rollers set in integrated soil tillage trailer to manipulate the process of forming an optimal, erosion-resistant soil layer. However, it should be pointed out that for even very similar types of roller sets, the process control under different soil moisture conditions may be different and require an individual test.
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INTRODUCTION
In the cultivation of plants, soil tillage is the most energy-intensive process. Simplified tillage technologies, such as reduced tillage, no-tillage, strip-till, allow obtaining lesser energy consumption, but also to protect soils from wind, water and tillage erosion, reduce damage to the soil structure, as well as decrease the time for seedbed preparation, fertilization and protection [Moraru et al. 2012; Tabatabaeefar et al. 2009]. However, simplified technologies cannot be adopted under all conditions and at every farm [Pabin et al. 2008]. Adopting tillage simplifications on polyfractional soils with low natural porosity and low mechanical strength of aggregate structure, such as clay sands, often found in the Wielkopolska region in Poland is the most difficult. Difficulties in the adaptation of simplified technologies are also shown by estimates, which indicate that in Poland 60% of arable land is still cultivated with conventional technologies. Therefore, it seems important to develop new solutions for conventional soil tillage technologies, which will allow achieving better economic and soil-improving effects [Czajka et al. 2010].

The integrated soil tillage (IST) technology developed in the Institute of Biosystem Engineering, Poznań University Life Science, is based on a single pass of basic tillage, secondary tillage and sowing or planting [Podsiadlowski and Hagen 2000]. The IST allows obtaining a relatively high mechanical strength of the soil. An important element of the technology is the use of tillage roller
sets which significantly influence the obtained aggregate structure and its eolic resistance. Integrated soil tillage provides additional benefits:

- the wheels of the tractor do not compact the soil that has previously been aggregated;
- the elimination of tracks allows for relatively free shaping of the overall soil porosity of the arable layer,
- limiting the energy input per unit of cultivation and performing it under the conditions of usually medium humidity, allows obtaining relatively high mechanical strength of the resulting aggregate structure [Podsiadłowski 2005];
- Improves the soil capillary rising conditions and allows for a significant increase in the crops yield, especially root crops and vegetables [Walkowiak et al. 2017].

The effect of each agrotechnical operation is the process of transferring energy to the soil allowing for its mixing, crushing, loosening or compaction. In the papers which describe the energy balance of the crop production, its energy efficiency, it is presented as a relation between the energy introduced into the system (energy of the crop, energy of fertilizers, energy of plant protection products, energy of human work) and energy as output (energy of grain, energy of digestion). In these works, the energy of the crop is expressed in two ways: per unit of product (MJ kg⁻¹) [Vural and Efecan 2012] or, less frequently, per unit of cultivated area (kJ m⁻²). The calculation of unitary energy of cultivation is usually an approximation. In the discussion above, attention is drawn to the effects of soil tillage on their productivity, and less frequently, to their soil-protective effect in relation to the unitary energy inputs. The unitary tillage energy (Eₜₜ) is the difference between the energy produced by the tractor’s engine and the energy used to till and overcome the mechanical resistance of a tractor [Krysztowiak and Podsiadłowski 1998]. An agricultural tractor interacts with the soil with an energy of 4 to 10 kJ m⁻², the soil transition from a cohesive to an aggregate structure, which takes place e.g. during ploughing, requires a unit energy input of 9 to 15 kJ m⁻², while the tools for secondary tillage interact with an energy of 1 to 3 kJ m⁻² [Podsiadłowski 1995, Rusu 2014].

The opportunity to dynamically modify the energy of cultivation in relation to the spatial variability of the soil tillage layer can potentially become an effective tool for precision farming technologies [Neményi, Mesterházi, and Milics 2006]. However, this requires determining whether and how the unit energy of cultivation can affect, for example, the aggregate structure of the tilled soil. Therefore, the aim of the study was to determine the influence of unitary tillage energy, controlled by speed and type of roller, under various soil moisture conditions on the aggregate structure of the soil arable layer.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The research was carried out on the production 2 ha field in Dziecmierowo, Wielkopolskie Voivodeship, Poland, on medium soil with mechanical composition of light clay, with organic matter content 1.2%.

In two terms, to obtain two actual soil moisture levels (W1, W2), the treatment was carried out with a set for integrated soil tillage. The working set consisted of a 140 hp Case Maxxum tractor (weight of 4950 kg) with attached cultivation set consisting of four furrow plough (Unia Ibis, working width 2 m, weight 1015 kg) with a rollers section at in two variants: (a) Campbell+Croskill platelet, weighing 1150 kg, and (b) Campbell+Croskill stugged, weighing 1400 kg. The Croskill staged type differs from the platelet type also in the increased distance between the rings, and in the wedge-shaped rather than cuboid fingers (tiles). The used tillage set is adapted to the integrated tillage technology and, for these surveys, the row seed drill segment has been dismounted. The variation of the unitary rollers tillage energy (Eₜₜ) was obtained by changing the speed, from 3 to 7 km m⁻².

The test was performed in a block design with three repetitions. For each of the unit energy levels, two roller type and two soil moisture levels, the aggregate composition was analysed at three tillage depths 0–5, 5–10 and 10–15 cm. The soil samples for a quantitative analysis of the aggregate structure were taken from the topsoil using the methodology developed by Skidmore [1992]. For half-kilogram averaged soil samples, from each layer, after drying to air dry moisture, the percentage fraction of aggregates was determined with the dry sifting method on sieves with dedicated mesh diameter, obtaining seven aggregates size classes, i.e. Ø>6.3 mm; Ø 6.3–3.15 mm; Ø 3.15–2 mm; Ø 2–1 mm; Ø 1–0.63 mm.
Ø 1–0.5 mm; Ø 0.5–0.25 mm and Ø<0.25 mm. This corresponds to different classes of aggregates from the highest to the lowest resistance to wind and water erosion.

The final statistical analysis and discussion was conducted on the percentage content of the analysed aggregates groups as a function of the change in the total unitary tillage energy (EUT), roller unitary tillage energy (EUR), plough unitary tillage energy (EUP) and tractor unitary tillage energy (EUTR) were calculated by means of the STAPOD programme [Krysztofiak and Podsiadlowski 1998]. The statistical significance of differences was determined using the Student’s test at the confidence level P = 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The machine set used in the research with two types of roller-set enabled obtaining of five levels of total unitary tillage energy (EUT), from 10.2 to 12.5 kJ m⁻², and rollers unitary tillage energy (EUR), from 0.79 to 0.93 kJ m⁻² (Fig. 1.) for each roller-type set. The obtained values did not exceed the value of 2 kJ m⁻² (above which soil aggregates may be destroyed and the number of aggregates with higher erodability increases) and were agreement with the recommendations from other studies [Podsiadlowski and Hagen 2000].

The average percentage values obtained in this work for the analysed classes of soil aggregates, roller-set types, tillage levels and soil moisture are presented in Table 1. The statistical analysis showed the effect of roller-set type and tillage moisture on the content of aggregates in the given classes; however, the statistically significant differences occurred only in some of them and concerned mainly the aggregates of the largest and smallest of top soil layers. Regardless of the examined factors levels in the tillage process aggregates of different size were formed; however, the largest number of them corresponded to the aggregates with a diameter above 6.3 mm, from 47.2% to 52.6%. The percentage of the eolian fraction, i.e. below 0.25 mm, did not exceed 11.2%. Similar values were obtained in the study of other integrated soil tillage sets on other soils, what is important to note, on lighter soils, i.e. loamy sands [Podsiadlowski 2005].

Effect of roller-set type

In spite of the fact that the unit energy of tillage of the two types of rollers differed slightly, on average by 0.1 kJ m⁻²; for some levels of factors the effect of rollers on the percentage content of the smallest aggregates was also statistically significant. Thus, at the first date, with higher soil moisture, the change of roller types allowed reducing the amount of eroding fraction (<0.25 mm) by 2.6% in the surface layer, 0–5 cm, and up to 4.2% in deeper layers. In the second period, with lower actual moisture, this effect was no longer noticeable. It can therefore be concluded that not only the type of soil but also the changing current moisture conditions in the field should be taken into account when selecting the roller to be used with a view to reducing the eolian susceptibility of the topsoil; unfortunately, these studies show that the effect will only be achieved under optimal soil moisture conditions.

Figure 1. Relation between tillage speed (V) and unitary rollers tillage energy (E_U) for two sets types, Campbell+Croskill platelet (CCP) and Campbell+Croskill stugged (CCS)
Table 1. Percentage content of soil aggregate classes for two types of rollers-set, two soil moisture levels at three tillage layers (averaged for all tillage speeds)

| Soil moisture level | Tillage layer [cm] | Type of rollers set | Mean mass fraction [%] in size groups [mm] |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|
|                    |                   |                     | >6.3 | 6.3–3.15 | 3.15–2 | 2–0.5 | 1–0.5 | 0.5–0.25 | <0.25 |
| W1                 | 0–5               | CCP                 | 48.0 | 9.8    | 5.6    | 8.4   | 8.4   | 9.8    | 10.0  |
|                    |                   | CCS                 | 49.6 | 10.0   | 5.8    | 9.0   | 9.2   | 9.0    | 7.4   |
|                    | 5–10              | CCP                 | 46.0 | 10.2   | 5.6    | 8.2   | 8.6   | 10.4   | 11.2  |
|                    |                   | CCS                 | 52.8 | 10.4   | 5.8    | 8.6   | 7.8   | 7.6    | 7.0   |
|                    | 10–15             | CCP                 | 52.2 | 10.0   | 5.2    | 7.2   | 7.0   | 8.8    | 9.6   |
|                    |                   | CCS                 | 52.6 | 10.6   | 5.6    | 8.2   | 7.2   | 7.2    | 7.8   |
| W2                 | 0–5               | CCP                 | 47.2 | 10.2   | 6.0    | 9.4   | 9.4   | 9.2    | 8.6   |
|                    |                   | CCS                 | 48.0 | 11.6   | 6.4    | 9.8   | 8.0   | 8.4    | 9.2   |
|                    | 5–10              | CCP                 | 45.4 | 11.4   | 6.6    | 9.6   | 9.0   | 9.8    | 8.2   |
|                    |                   | CCS                 | 48.0 | 10.8   | 6.8    | 9.4   | 7.6   | 8.2    | 9.2   |
|                    | 10–15             | CCP                 | 51.4 | 10.6   | 5.6    | 8.4   | 7.8   | 8.6    | 7.6   |
|                    |                   | CCS                 | 50.4 | 11.0   | 5.8    | 8.6   | 7.2   | 8.4    | 8.6   |

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> = 1.3

Figure 2a-f. (from left to right and up to down). Impact of unitary rollers tillage energy ($E_{UT}$) for Campbell+Crook platelet (CCP) roller set at three tillage layers (0–5 cm, 5–10 cm and 10–15 cm) and two moisture levels (on left W1=12.5%, on right W2=8.6%) on mass fraction of the selected three fractions of soil aggregates
Effect of unitary tillage energy of rollers type

The analysis of the effect of changing the tillage speed, and thus the unitary tillage energy, on the aggregate structure showed that it was different for aggregate groups. It also differed depending on the type of rollers used. The most significant changes were observed for the largest fractions, >6.3 mm and the smallest ones, <0.25 mm. Therefore, in the further analysis, some examples were presented only for these fractions with the addition of an intergroup of 1–0.5 mm. In Figures 2 and 3, the effect of the roller set type on the changes in the aggregates structure as a function of unitary tillage energy can be observed.

For the Campbell+Croskill platelet (CCP) roller set, a differential effect of the roller can be observed depending on the current cultivation moisture. With higher soil moisture, the change of unit energy, in the analyzed range, had a chaotic effect on the content of aggregates, regardless of their size. At lower soil moisture, on the other hand, the influence of unit energy was already visible and with its increase, there a decrease in the proportion of the largest aggregates occurred, with an increase in the number of the smallest aggregates, < 0.25 as well as intermediate ones. This effect was most visible in the 0–5 cm topsoil layer. It may suggest that this type of rollers in the case of cultivation at higher moisture may cause non-uniformity of the obtained effects, on the other hand, i.e. in the case of lower moisture, a decrease in cultivation speed will allow limiting the formation of the erosive fraction i.e. <0.25 mm.

With the Campbell+Croskill stugged (CCS) roller set, the process dynamics were different. With the increase of unit energy, regardless of soil moisture, there was a decrease in the content of the largest aggregates and a parallel increase in the number of intermediate and eroding aggregates.
aggregates after exceeding the speed of 1.6 m s\(^{-1}\). This process had the highest dynamics in the top layer, but it also occurred in deeper layers and was more intense at higher moisture. This may indicate that this type of rollers in comparison with the set of CCP rollers will be better suited, under these soil conditions, to control the tillage process in relation to its soil improving features.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The presented results of the study on the effect of the unitary soil tillage energy on soil aggregate structure allow concluding that it is possible to use the working speed and overloading of rollers set in integrated soil tillage trailer to manipulate the process of forming an optimal, erosion-resistant soil layer. However, it should be pointed out, that for even very similar types of roller sets the process control under different soil moisture conditions may vary and require an individual test.
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