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Abstract
Ultrasound at 5.0 MHz was noted to be chondro-inductive, with improved SOX-9 gene and COL2A1 protein expression in constructs that allowed for cell-to-cell contact. To achieve tissue-engineered cartilage using macroporous scaffolds, it is hypothesized that a combination of ultrasound at 5.0 MHz and transforming growth factor-β3 induces human mesenchymal stem cell differentiation to chondrocytes. Expression of miR-145 was used as a metric to qualitatively assess the efficacy of human mesenchymal stem cell conversion. Our results suggest that in group 1 (no transforming growth factor-β3, no ultrasound), as anticipated, human mesenchymal stem cells were not efficiently differentiated into chondrocytes, judging by the lack of decrease in the level of miR-145 expression. Human mesenchymal stem cells differentiated into chondrocytes in group 2 (transforming growth factor-β3, no ultrasound) and group 3 (transforming growth factor-β3, ultrasound) with group 3 having a 2-fold lower miR-145 when compared to group 2 at day 7, indicating a higher conversion to chondrocytes. Transforming growth factor-β3–induced chondrogenesis with and without ultrasound stimulation for 14 days in the ultrasound-assisted bioreactor was compared and followed by additional culture in the absence of growth factors. The combination of growth factor and ultrasound stimulation (group 3) resulted in enhanced COL2A1, SOX-9, and ACAN protein expression when compared to growth factor alone (group 2). No COL10A1 protein expression was noted. Enhanced cell proliferation and glycosaminoglycan deposition was noted with the combination of growth factor and ultrasound stimulation. These results suggest that ultrasound at 5.0 MHz could be used to induce chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells for cartilage tissue engineering.
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Introduction
Functional biological tissue-substitutes can potentially serve as a replacement to help regenerate damaged or defective tissues. Initial attempts to generate tissue-engineered cartilage (TEC) involved the usage of adult autologous chondrocyte as these cells can be isolated from the less weight-bearing areas of the joint, amplified in vitro, seeded, and cultured on a scaffold in a bioreactor to form cartilage that can be implanted without compromising the patient’s immune system. In the last 10 years, the use of stem cells, which can potentially differentiate into chondrocytes under appropriate conditions, has been explored as a promising alternative. It is widely accepted that human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) provide a better starting cell source than adult human chondrocytes for a
The ability to acquire hMSCs autologously and their potential for multilineage differentiation and proliferative potential in vitro make hMSCs an attractive source of cells for tissue engineering. Various studies have reported on the feasibility of using hMSCs to form cartilage-like tissue.

Chondro-induction of mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) is influenced by many factors, including transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ), ascorbic acid, as well as external mechanical stimuli such as three-dimensional (3D) culture in scaffolds, hydrostatic pressure, dynamic compression, and ultrasound (US). Typically, hMSCs on scaffolds or hydrogels are directed toward a chondrocytic lineage in vitro using chondrogenic medium along with a combination of physical stimuli and then are released, re-passaged, and re-seeded onto scaffolds and further cultured to obtain TEC. In an attempt to merge the two steps, recently, hMSCs seeded onto focal-defect-sized PLGA scaffold were chondro-induced for 8 weeks in a chondrogenic medium and then either implanted directly (one-step) or released, passed, and seeded onto PLGA scaffolds and implanted (two-step). Our long-term goal is to promote the chondrogenic differentiation of hMSCs in vitro using US, 3D scaffolds and growth factors and then seamlessly transition to expand and culture US-assisted chondrocytes on 3D scaffolds using US in a US-assisted bioreactor. We hypothesize that the US bioreactor creates a microenvironment in the seeded scaffold that assists the differentiation of hMSCs into chondrocytes and aids the maintenance of the hMSC-derived chondrocytes along with a uniform cellular distribution throughout the scaffold volume.

A bioreactor configuration that uses US to stimulate in vitro cultures over a range of US stimulations has been designed and developed at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln. Aspects of US that would negatively affect cells, including temperature and cavitation, were shown to be insignificant for the US protocols used covering a wide range of frequencies and pressure amplitudes, including the ones used in this study. We conclude that any US effects in the bioreactor, aside from cellular responses, are negligible. Furthermore, we have shown that the response of cells to US is frequency dependent, with a primary resonant frequency at 5.0 MHz where the cells mostly undergo dilatation.

The success of any bioreactor that seeks to attain lineage-dependent conversion of hMSCs to chondrocytes depends upon the ability of the bioreactor to mediate the conversion to chondrocytic lineage by enhancing specific cellular pathways that control the cell-specific differentiation markers. For example, in the generation of hMSC-derived chondrocytes, bioreactors have to provide the mechanical conditioning to cells and enable the coupling of the external stimuli to nuclear process that controls chondrocytes’ fate via SOX-9-dependent processes. We have recently established that exposure of adult chondrocytes to US at 5.0 MHz significantly modulates the level of gene expression of a variety of chondrocyte-specific genes (i.e. SOX-9) and that this likely occurs through signals transmitted to chondrocytes.

Current protocols use ≤10 ng/mL of TGFβ (1 or 3) in the chondrogenic differentiation medium. Further inductive molecules (i.e. TGFβ3 alone) when acting alone may have limited capacity to direct specific differentiation pathways, and we further hypothesize that the synergism between US-mediated cell processes and signaling provided by TGFβ may augment the cell fate processes.

We hypothesize that by combining continuous, low-intensity US with 3D culture techniques and growth factors, we can engineer an optimized microenvironment to induce MSC differentiation to chondrocytes, and the microenvironment can promote the MSC-derived chondrocytes to thrive and produce cartilage-specific markers.

Materials and methods
Polyurethane-urea-based macroporous 3D scaffolds (denoted as BM) were received as generous gift from Biomerix Corporation, Somerset, NJ, USA. Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals were ACS grade and purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA).

hMSC culture and generation of cell-seeded constructs
Bone marrow–derived hMSCs were obtained from Lonza (Walkersville, MD, USA) and expanded in medium-1 (M1) consisting of alpha-Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) supplemented with 10% mesenchymal stem cells qualified fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1X Glutamax™, and 1X antibiotic–antimyotic™ solution. Passage 5 hMSCs were used in all experiments. hMSC pellet cultures (~3 × 10^5 cells/pellet) were prepared using established protocols and were transferred to ultra-low attachment 6-well plates. hMSCs were also encapsulated in Hystem-C™ matrices (ESI BIO,
Alameda, CA, USA) using instructions provided by the manufacturer. Typically, ~10^5 cells/mL were encapsulated in Hystem-C matrices and were transferred to ultra-low attachment 6-well plates.

To obtain prewetted scaffolds, BM scaffolds (5 mm × 2.5 mm) were sterilized with sequential treatments of 70% ethanol for 1 h followed by sterile 1X phosphate buffered saline (PBS) rinse and then incubated for 12 h in medium (high-glucose Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS). One side of the prewetted scaffold disks was seeded with hMSCs (passage 5) at a seeding density of 2 × 10^5 cells/scaffold using procedures outlined elsewhere and were transferred to a new six-well tissue culture plate (TCP) housing a cell crown™ insert/well with 15–18 scaffolds/insert.

Medium 2 (denoted as M2) containing high-glucose DMEM, 10% FBS, 100 nM dexamethasone, 50 µg/mL of L-ascorbic acid, and 1X antibiotic–antimyotic™ was further supplemented with TGFβ3 according to Figure 1 for chondrogenic differentiation and denoted as chondrogenic differentiation media (CDM; M2 + TGFβ3). After 14 days of culture in M2 or CDM, cell-constructs were cultured for an additional week in M3.

Experimental methods followed in US-assisted bioreactor

hMSC-seeded scaffolds were either cultured in CDM (group 2) or in combination of CDM and US stimulation (group 3) for 21 days according to Table 1. hMSC-seeded scaffolds cultured in M2 and no US stimulation (group 1) served as control (Table 1). Six-well TCPs containing MSC pellets, MSCs in Glycosan™ matrices, and MSC-seeded scaffolds (group 3 only) were placed in plate holder of the US-assisted bioreactor developed at the Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA. The experimental setting was described elsewhere. Automated US stimulation was provided in the near field at the following regimen: 14 kPa (5.0 MHz, 2.5 Vpp), 5 min/application, 6X/day. At indicated time points, cell-seeded scaffolds were retrieved randomly from respective groups and assayed as indicated.

Cell viability

Cell-seeded scaffolds were treated with LIVE/DEAD® Viability/Cytotoxicity Kit (Life Technologies) according to previously published protocol and visualized with inverted Confocal Microscope (Olympus IX 81) at the Center of Biotechnology, University of Nebraska–Lincoln.
All the images were collected at 20× magnification (z step size = 10 µm).

**Biochemical analysis**

Randomly selected scaffolds (n = 5–8) per study group were first washed with sterile 1X PBS and incubated with papain digestion buffer (5 mM L-cysteine, 100 mM Na₂HPO₄, 5 mM EDTA, 125 µg/mL papain, pH = 7.5) for 16–18 h at 70°C. Upon completion of the incubation, scaffolds were spun down, and supernatants were collected and subjected to DNA, GAG, and Hydroxyproline content measurements. Double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) was measured using Quant-iT™ PicoGreen® dsDNA Assay Kit (Life Technologies) according to manufacturer’s instructions. All quantifications were based on a λ DNA standard. Total GAG content was determined with DMMB assay on papain-digested supernatant according to the protocol described elsewhere and quantified based on shark chondroitin sulfate standard. Hydroxyproline content was measured on the papain-digested supernatant accordingly using Hydroxyproline Assay Kit (Sigma) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Deionized (DI) water was used as a blank in the assay measurements. Total collagen was extrapolated from hydroxyproline content as hydroxyproline amino acid comprises 13.5% of total collagen.

**Cell release from BM scaffolds and isolation of small and large RNA fractions**

After desired treatment, cell-seeded scaffolds were retrieved immediately, rinsed with ice-cold HBSS, and finally, cells were released by incubating with 1X trypsin. Followed by scaffold removal, trypsin was neutralized with 10% FBS supplemented media, and the cell suspension was centrifuged at 1000×g for 10 min to obtain the cell pellet. Cell pellet obtained after releasing cells from scaffolds was treated to isolate small and large RNA fraction using the miRNA isolation kit (Life Technologies) as per manufacturer’s instructions. The small RNA fraction contained RNAs with size less than 200 nucleotides and used for miRNA gene expression analysis, whereas large RNA fraction was used for mRNA gene expression analysis.

**miRNA reverse transcription and qRT-PCR analysis**

The miRNA level was quantified using TaqMan-based qRT-PCR. All reagents and primers (detailed in Table 2) were purchased from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA, USA). The qRT-PCR analysis was carried out using two-step method. In step 1, reverse transcription reaction was performed; 20 ng of each small RNA fraction sample was mixed with MultiScribe reverse transcriptase, RNase inhibitor, and nuclease-free water as per manufacturer’s instruction, and mixtures were incubated for 30 min at 16°C, 30 min at 42°C, and then 5 min at 85°C. In step 2, qRT-PCR was carried out using Eppendorf’s thermocycler RealPlex real-time PCR system (Eppendorf North America, Hauppauge, NY, USA). The PCR master mix containing TaqMan 2X Universal PCR Master Mix (No AmpErase UNG), 10X TaqMan assay, and RT products in 20 µL volume was processed as follows: 95°C for 10 min and then 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 60 s (n = 3). The amplified expression of miR-145 transcript was normalized to SnU6 expression; 2−ΔΔCt method was used to calculate relative expression levels.

**mRNA gene expression analysis**

Large RNA fraction was collected as described above and quantified by qRT-PCR using QuantiFast Probe RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA); 50 ng of total RNA was added per 10 µL reaction vial with RT mix, RT-PCR master mix, sequence-specific primers, and TaqMan probes. Sequences of primers (Table 2) are proprietary to Applied Biosystems and not disclosed. qRT-PCR assays were carried out in triplicate on Eppendorf’s Mastercycler® RealPlex real-time PCR system (Eppendorf North America). Cycling was initiated by 10 min for complementary DNA (cDNA) formation by reverse transcriptase enzyme at 50°C and 5 min polymerase activation at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, at 55°C for 30 s,

| S. no. | Gene     | Species | TaqMan probe dye | Catalog no./assay ID |
|--------|----------|---------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1      | U6 snRNA | Human   | FAM-6            | 4427975/001973      |
| 2      | miR-145  | Human   | FAM-6            | 4427975/002278      |
| 3      | GAPDH    | Human   | FAM-6            | Hs03929097_g1       |
| 4      | ACAN     | Human   | FAM-6            | Hs00153936_m1       |
| 5      | COL10A1  | Human   | FAM-6            | Hs00164004_m1       |
| 6      | TGFβ1    | Human   | FAM-6            | Hs00998133_m1       |
| 7      | TGFβ3    | Human   | FAM-6            | Hs01086000_m1       |

TGF: transforming growth factor.
and at 72°C for 1 min. The threshold was set above the non-template control background and within the linear phase of target gene amplification to calculate the cycle number at which the transcript was detected. The amplified expression of mRNA transcripts was normalized to GAPDH expression; 2−ΔΔCt method was used to calculate relative expression levels.

**Protein isolation and western blotting analysis**

At the end of 14 days of culture, cells were released from scaffolds with 1X Trypsin followed by pelleting cells at 1000×g and finally lysing the pellet with Pierce IP lysis buffer supplemented with 1X Halt protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). After centrifugation of the lysate at 15,000×g for 10 min at 4°C, supernatants were collected and the protein concentration was measured by the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) method. A volume equivalent to a total protein of 8 µg (for COL1A1, COL2A1, COL9A1, ACAN protein expression) and 16 µg (for COL10A1, SOX-9, p-SOX-9 protein expression) of all samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis on a 10% NuPAGE Bis-tris gel (Life Technologies), followed by western blotting to PVDF using the NuPAGE system. After the transfer, the membranes were blocked with 0.5% casein in 1X TBST and probed separately with COL1A1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology CA, USA; 80565), COL2A1 (ABCAM; ab34712), COL9A1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 376969), COL10A1 (ABCAM; ab182563), SOX-9 (Millipore-ab5535), phospho S181-SOX-9 or p-SOX-9 (ABCAM; ab59252), and Aggrecan (ABCAM; ab3773). β-Actin was used as the respective loading control. After washing the membranes with 1X TBST and incubating with respective horseradish peroxidase (HRP)–linked secondary antibodies incubation procedures, protein bands were visualized using an Immuno-star HRP substrate kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) and captured with GE Healthcare Amersham Hyperfilm ECL (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA).

**Histology and immunohistochemistry**

Cell-seeded constructs were rinsed with 1X PBS, fixed in 4% formalin for 24 h, and embedded in paraffin. Histological sections of 15 µm thickness were prepared from selected construct using standard histological procedures with Leica Bond III at the Tissue Science Faculty, University of Nebraska Medical Center (Omaha, NE, USA). Sections were separately stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), Alcian Blue (AB), and Masson Trichrome (MTC) and also subjected to immunohistochemical staining using antibodies specific to COL1A1 (ab138492; ABCAM, MA) and COL2A1 (ab34712; ABCAM, MA). Bond DAB enhancer reaction was performed using the Bond polymer refine detection kit (Leica Biosystems, Richmond, IL, USA) to visualize protein expression on the respective scaffolds.

**Statistical analysis**

All results were expressed as a mean with standard deviations (SDs). Sample sizes (n) specific to individual analysis were indicated in the associated methods and figure legends. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with replication was used to compare all study groups/scaffold type. A pairwise Student’s t-test with unequal variance was used to observe significant changes among either stimulated or non-stimulated scaffold type at each sampling day. Difference was considered significant when p<0.05 (denoted as *), p<0.01 (denoted as **), and p<0.001 (denoted as ***).

**Results and discussion**

Lineage-dependent conversion of MSCs into chondrocytes, in vitro, is impacted by a multitude of factors and stands as a special culture system that seeks to mimic the critical steps of limb bud chondrogenesis.33 Culture conditions, growth factors, scaffold microstructure, and stiffness and mechanical microenvironment have all shown to impact the chondrogenesis of hMSCs.35,36,38–40 As a significant departure from such strategies, we have employed low-intensity continuous US of 5.0 MHz to stimulate in vitro MSC culture and matrix production.21,34

US has been previously employed to promote chondrogenesis of hMSCs.35–37 However, previous studies involving US have used low-intensity pulsed US (1.5 MHz, 1.0 kHz repeat, 6–40 min) to stimulate in vitro MSC cultures.35,36,38–40 As a significant departure from such strategies, we have employed low-intensity continuous US of 5.0 MHz to stimulate hMSCs seeded in 3D matrices. What also sets us apart is the fact that we are stimulating the chondrocytes at 5.0 MHz, the primary resonant frequency at which the cytoplasmic and nuclear stress is maximized and resulting in enhanced mechanotransduction.19,23 Previously, we have modeled mammalian cell dynamics in response to US, and a primary resonant frequency of 5.2±0.8 MHz was predicted.22 The bioeffects of US are a function of geometry of the culture chamber, transducer placement, scaffold properties, and the set-up, to name a few variables.20 We have undertaken a theoretical evaluation of the US-assisted bioreactor used in this study and systematically evaluated the power delivery to the bioreactor and calculated the US field in the different media that constitute the bioreactor, including the porous scaffold that
is used in this article. We have also recently established via modeling and experimentation that the open pore architecture and pore size of BM scaffolds permit an even distribution of US field within the scaffold volume and minimize attenuation, thus allowing for the maximum coupling of mechanical energy to the cells.

In an attempt to isolate the impact of US-induced MSC chondrogenesis from growth factors and to ascertain the chondro-inductive ability of US at 5.0 MHz, MSC media (M2, no exogenous growth factors) was used to culture hMSC pellets, MSCs encapsulated in Glycosan, and MSCs seeded on macroporous Biomerix\textsuperscript{®} scaffolds in these initial sets of experiments. The SOX-9 gene expression was assessed and shown in Figure 2. In comparison to controls (day-0), MSC pellets had a 7-fold higher expression of SOX-9 and MSC pellets exposed to US stimulation had an 11-fold higher expression of SOX-9. MSC-Glycosan exposed to US stimulation had 2.4-fold higher expression of SOX-9. MSC-Glycosan exposed to US had 13-fold higher expression compared to day-0 control. MSC-Glycosan had a 13-fold higher expression of SOX-9 gene expression levels were similar between day-0 controls and unstimulated cell-seeded BM constructs; however, an 11-fold higher gene expression of SOX-9 was noted on US-stimulated cell-seeded BM constructs. Inserts show the protein expression of COL2A1 by western blotting in MSCs encapsulated in Glycosan and MSCs seeded in BM constructs. The successful differentiation of MSCs to chondrocytes in pellet cultures in the presence of TGFβ3 (10 ng/mL) is also demonstrated. Irrespective of the cellular microenvironment, Figure 2 shows that SOX-9 expression was enhanced by US, in the absence of exogenously added growth factors. The ability of US to impact hMSC proliferation in the absence of TGFβ3 was also evaluated and depicted in Figure 3(a). Our results indicate that US impacts hMSC proliferation in the absence of exogenously added growth factors. Although the standard method of pellet culture for in vitro MSC chondrogenesis is effective and mimics the MSC condensation phase in the limb bud (i.e. high density and cell-to-cell contact), the requirement for pellet culture makes scale-up problematic in addition to the limitations in pellet size, diffusional limitations, and hypertrophy in the pellet core. As an alternative to pellet cultures, hydrogels have been developed as they can provide higher cell density upon encapsulation and mimic the initial phase of mesenchymal condensation. Indeed, our results with MSC-Glycosan show that the gene expression of SOX-9 was comparable to pellet cultures, with additional increase in SOX-9 gene expression upon US stimulation.

Figure 2. SOX-9 expression is increased with culture environment and US application. MSC pellets (~5 \times 10^5 cells/pellet, 1 mm diameter), MSCs encapsulated in Glycosan (Hystem-C™) hydrogel matrices (10^5 cell/mL), and MSCs seeded on macroporous Biomerix\textsuperscript{®} scaffolds (2 \times 10^4 cells/scaffold, 5 mm \times 2.5 mm) were cultured in M2 for 21 days and treated with and without US (5.0 MHz, 2.5 Vpp, 3 min, 4 times/day). M2: high-glucose DMEM, 10% FBS, 100 nM dexamethasone, 50 µg/mL of L-ascorbic acid, and 1X antibiotic–antimyotic\textsuperscript{27}; CDM: M2 with TGFβ3; M3: M2 without dexamethasone. Control is mRNA collected from a MSC pellet at the start of the experiment, 0 days. qRT-PCR analysis for SOX-9 gene expression was performed. Inserts show the protein expression of COL2A1. (a) Cell pellet was stained for COL2A1 (red; chondrocytes) and PPAR\textsubscript{γ} (green; adipocytes); (b) cell pellet was stained with Alcian Blue 8GX to demonstrate glycosaminoglycans—COL2A1 expression at 130kDa detected by Western blotting, cultured on Glycosan matrix in M2; (c) without US; (d) with US—on BM matrix in M2; (e) without US; and (f) with US.
While encouraging findings with respect to chondrogenic differentiation of hMSCs were noted in pellet cultures and in hydrogel-based matrices, in this article, we focused on the chondrogenic differentiation of hMSCs on scaffolds where the cellular milieu is different from pellet cultures, as lineage-dependent conversion of hMSCs on scaffolds is more relevant to tissue engineering applications that aim to develop macroscopic amounts or larger constructs to treat full thickness defects. Previous studies have presented that various types of biomaterial substrates can promote chondrogenesis without the need for pellet culture or supplemented growth factors. It is not surprising that SOX-9 expression levels similar to control were noted in MSC-seeded BM constructs without US stimulation, perhaps owing to poor cell-to-cell contact as it is difficult to attain higher cell densities in scaffolds (Figure 2).

We have observed the induction of mesenchymal stem cell chondrogenesis under US, notably in the absence of TGFβ supplementation. A key question arising from our study is how US can induce chondrogenesis in the absence of TGFβ. MSCs cultured in vitro are known to express their own TGFβ; thus, it is possible that TGFβ secreted reaches fairly high concentrations in the supernatant of MSCs cultured in vitro and promotes signaling. However, higher levels of COL2A1 protein expression were noted in MSC-Glycosan cultures when compared to MSC-seeded BM scaffolds, both under US stimulation and no US stimulation; expression levels between non-stimulated MSC-Glycosan and US-stimulated MSC-seeded BM constructs were similar qualitatively. It may then be instructive to attain a higher seeding density in porous scaffolds; hence, in subsequent studies, we have used higher starting seeding densities. These results are consistent with previous studies showing that when MSCs are cultured on scaffolds that do not promote aggregation, chondrogenesis can still proceed, suggesting that with the appropriate artificial environment, aggregate formation or inclusion of TGFβ may not be absolutely necessary to induce chondrogenesis. However, the levels of COL2A1 expression are lower on BM scaffolds, leaving room for further enhancement.

Chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs, in vivo, is accurately regulated by essential transcription factors and signaling cascades. For example, during chondrogenesis in vivo, in limb bud mesenchyme, TGFβ signaling is important in the initial stages, where it regulates the expression of SOX-9; hence, many in vitro cultures include TGFβ to induce chondrogenesis. Thus, moving forward, we have supplemented the media with TGFβ3 to aid MSC chondrogenesis, in synergy with US stimulation.

Previous studies have documented the use of TGFβ1 or TGFβ3 to aid chondrogenesis in in vitro cultures, and mostly TGFβ concentrations in the ranges of 1–10 ng/mL were employed. Prolonged exposure to TGFβ in the culture medium is known to induce hypertrophy or increased COL10A1 expression in MSC cultures. We surmise that the presence of TGFβ is essential during the initial phase of hMSC chondrogenesis as compared to later stages when co-cultures of hMSCs and hMSC-derived chondrocytes might exist; hence, culture conditions as depicted in Figure 1 were adopted.

The proliferation and viability of hMSCs and hMSC-derived chondrocytes were assessed and shown in Figure 3(b).
and Figure 4, respectively. At day 21, Group 3 had significantly higher cell proliferation ($p<0.01$) when compared to groups 1 and 2. Over the culture period, enhanced cell viability was noted in groups 2 and 3 with respect to group 1.

Chondrogenic differentiation of hMSCs seeded on BM scaffolds, cultured for 21 days, was assessed by means of total GAG and collagen content (Figure 5(a) and (b)). Significantly high GAG/DNA ($p<0.01$) was observed in
Figure 6. miR-145 gene expression was quantified after 7, 14, and 21 days of culture according to culture conditions listed in Table 2. Gene expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR and normalized to the expression of the housekeeping gene snU6. Each bar represents the mean ± standard deviation (n=3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01).

qRT-PCR: quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction.

Figure 7. hMSC-seeded Biomerix™ scaffolds were cultured according to culture conditions listed in Table 2. (a) COL10A1 gene expression was presented after 7, 14, and 21 days of culture. (b) Expression for ACAN, TGFβ1, and TGFβ3 genes was shown at day 21. Gene expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR and normalized to the expression of the housekeeping gene GAPDH. Each bar represents the mean ± standard deviation (n=3; *p<0.05; **p<0.01).

miRNA gene regulation is often not a decisive on and off switch but a subtle function that fine-tunes cellular phenotypes. As miR-145 is significantly downregulated during MSC chondrogenesis and is silenced in differentiated MSCs, we have used miR-145 expression to track the differentiation process under US and TGFβ3. Figure 6 shows the differential expression of miRNA 145 during chondrogenic differentiation of hMSCs under US. Our results suggest that in group 1 (No TGFβ3, No US), as anticipated, hMSCs were not efficiently differentiated into chondrocytes, judging by the lack of decrease in the level of miR-145 expression. hMSCs differentiated into chondrocytes in group 2 (TGFβ3, No US) and group 3 (TGFβ3, US), with group 3 having a 2-fold lower miR-145 when compared to group 2 at day 7, indicating a higher conversion to chondrocytes. Overall, a 20% higher rate of conversion may be inferred based on a linear fit to the data.

The impact of US stimulation on the expression of COL10A1 gene as a function of culture duration was examined by qRT-PCR and is shown in Figure 7. On day 7, the gene expression of hypertrophic marker, COL10A1, was higher in group 2 (TGFβ3, No US) when compared to group 3 (TGFβ3, US) and considerably greater when compared to
group 1 (No TGFβ3, No US). The gene expression of COL10A1 in group 1 stayed relatively unchanged during the course of this experiment. On day 21, groups 2 and 3 had similar levels of COL10A1 mRNA levels and were significantly different from mRNA levels in group 1. The relative gene expression of ACAN gene, a member of aggrecan/versican proteoglycan (ACAN), was also carried out. At day 21, compared to group 1, higher levels of ACAN expression were observed on groups 2 and 3; with group 3 presenting the highest gene expression of ACAN. No significant difference was noted between the groups with respect to the gene expression of TGFβ1.

The survival, proliferation, and ECM production of MSC-derived chondrocyte in response to US was examined by allowing the experiment to progress for an additional week after the removal of TGFβ3 (i.e. CDM) and culturing in M3. In a separate study, we have shown that adult chondrocytes can be successfully cultured over scaffolds in the US-assisted bioreactor for 3 weeks. Compared to non-stimulated controls, US-stimulated constructs had a higher cell density throughout the scaffold volume, higher total cell proliferation, a ~13/1 ratio of COL2A1/COL1A1, no detectable COL10A1 gene expression, and uniform deposition of COL2A1 protein. Thus, we are confident that in a future study, we will be able to culture hMSC-derived chondrocytes for an extended period of time and evaluate tissue properties.

The protein expression of ACAN, COL1A1, COL2A2, COL9A1, SOX-9, p-SOX-9 (i.e. p-S181-SOX-9), and COL10A1 were assayed by western blotting and shown in Figure 8. COL10A1 was undetectable. When compared to groups 1 and 2, group 3 showed higher levels of COL2A1 and ACAN. COL1A1 expression was similar between all groups. Protein expression of both SOX-9 and p-SOX-9 was slightly higher in group 3 compared to groups 2 and 1. Our combined gene and protein expression analyses at the end of day 14 indicate that US is able to mediate chondrogenesis of hMSCs seeded on BM scaffolds and this likely happens via SOX-9 mediated pathways.

Histological findings on scaffolds harvested from day 21 show cellularity (H&E) and deposition of GAG (AB) and collagen (MTC), shown in Figure 9. Relative expressions of COL1A1 and 2A1 detected by immunohistochemistry (Figure 9) mirrored the changes detected by western blot. COL2A1 expression markedly increased in group 3 with the combination of TGFβ3 and US treatment compared to groups 1 and 2.

While in this article we have evaluated the gene or protein expression profiles from a mixed population of hMSCs and hMSCs that were converted to chondrocytes, we are able to partly comment of the efficacy of conversion based on mRNA expression. In our ongoing work, we plan to quantify the efficacy and uniformity of chondrogenesis by histological and immunohistochemical staining to ascertain the total number of cells that stain positively for proteoglycan/chondroitin sulfate relative to the total cell number (DAPI).

In summary, our results show that US stimulation at 5.0 MHz can direct chondrogenesis of hMSCs in the
absence of exogenous TGFβ. Our study also highlights the importance of the scaffold microenvironment in regulating the lineage-specific conversion of hMSCs. In the absence of growth factors, chondrogenic differentiation (as inferred from COL2A1 expression) on the macroporous scaffolds appeared to be lower to that which occurs in standard pellet cultures, suggesting the use of higher starting seeding densities. We have also demonstrated that US acts synergistically with TGFβ to promote efficient chondrogenesis of MSCs seeded on polymeric scaffolds that limit cell-to-cell contact. Our future efforts will be focused on the further culture of hMSC-derived chondrocytes for longer duration, and a comprehensive evaluation will be carried out. Also, we will study the underlying molecular mechanisms that impact MSC chondrogenesis in hydrogel-based and macroporous polymeric scaffolds under US stimulation and non-stimulation. The ultimate goal is to connect the cellular microscopic inputs to macroscopic inputs like tissue properties.
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