Gamma ray detection performance of newly developed MAPD-3NM-II photosensor with LaBr₃(Ce) crystal
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This paper presents the gamma-ray detection performance of the newly developed MAPD-3NM-II type SiPM sensor array (4 × 4) with LaBr₃(Ce) scintillator. The gamma-ray spectra of various sources have been measured in the energy range from 26 keV up to 1332 keV. The newly developed array based on MAPD-3NM-II sensors proved ∼22% enhancement in energy resolution in comparison to the former MAPD-3NM-I based array. The energy resolution of 662 keV gamma-rays measured by MAPD-3NM-II was 3.3% while clearly surpassing 4.25% resolution of MAPD-3NM-I predecessor. The enhancement is related to the high PDE of the new MAPD-3NM-II. Obtained results show that the new MAPD-3NM-II demonstrated good energy resolution and linearity in the studied energy region. The energy resolution of the new detector developed based on MAPD-3NM-II was better than all previous products of MAPD.

Recently, unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) technology has been used widely in different fields from the army to security¹¹–¹⁴. The use of drones in these areas makes it possible to reduce the risk to human life, to control the situation very quickly and accurately¹. Drones are now commonly being used for environmental radiation monitoring and radiological mapping following a nuclear or radiological emergency, such as at Fukushima in Japan and the Chernobyl nuclear power plant in Ukraine⁴–⁸. The main requirements on radiation monitoring devices used in drone technology are low power consumption, light mass, humidity resistance, resistance to vibration and shock, and so on⁴. Conventional scintillation detectors based on vacuum photomultiplier tubes (PMT) do not meet these specific operational conditions. Therefore, scintillation detectors based on new photosensors are quite promising candidates that meet the requirements much better, and focusing on their further development is in high demand⁹–²². Many research teams focus on the development of scintillation detectors based on micro pixel avalanche photodiodes (MAPD) or silicon avalanche photomultipliers (SiPM)¹⁵–²⁶. The parameters of latest SiPM generations allow them to become applicable as effective sensor element replacements of PMT in scintillation detectors. There are many works demonstrating the gamma-ray detection performance of scintillation detectors based on SiPM²³–²⁷. The main requirements for SiPM in this application are high pixel density and photon detection efficiency (PDE) which play a key role in the linearity and energy resolution of the entire detector¹⁷,²¹,²³–²⁶.

In this work, we demonstrate the gamma-ray detection performance of the new MAPD-3NM-II type SiPM sensor array assembled with LaBr₃(Ce) scintillators.

**Experimental setup**

The cubic LaBr₃(Ce) crystal (15 × 15 × 30 mm³) used in measurements was produced by Epic Crystal²⁸. LaBr₃(Ce) crystal is hermetically sealed in a thin aluminum package with a 3 mm light guide on one face (Fig. 1 left). The scintillator was mounted on the MAPD-3NM-II type SiPM array with optical grease. The MAPD-3NM-II is used as the photon readout of the LaBr₃(Ce) scintillator. Due to the large size of LaBr₃Ce scintillator, 16 SiPMs of the MAPD-3NM-II type are combined in parallel to obtain a large sensitive area. MAPD-3NM-II with buried pixel structure manufactured together with Zecotek Company in 2020 (Fig. 1 right)²³,²⁹. The array is made up of sixteen 3.7 × 3.7 mm² MAPD elements and each element consists of 61000...
pixels. A positive voltage was applied to the cathode, and the common anode was used as an output. The gap between two MAPD elements is 0.1 mm, as designed in the PCB layout. The total area covered by the 16 MAPDs is $17 \times 17 \text{ mm}^2$.

The technical specifications and parameters of the MAPD-3NM-II array are listed in Table 2.

### Results and discussion

The operation and breakdown voltage of MAPD array is defined from the relative derivative of the I–V curve. The reverse current–voltage (I–V) characteristic is performed using a Keithley 6487 Picoammeter/Voltage Source. The breakdown voltage ($U_{br}$) was 51.6 V and the full working voltage range of the MAPD-3NM-II array was 54–56 V.

The total capacitance of the array was $\sim 2.5 \text{ nF}$. Compared to the previous MAPD-3NM-I element, the following parameters of the new MAPD-3NM-II was improved thanks to optimization of the structure: the operating voltage decreased by 24%, the dark current decreased more than 2 times at the same gain, the capacitance decreased by 23%, the gain increased 2 times, and the PDE increased more than 30%.

### Table 1. Parameters of the LaBr$_3$(Ce) scintillator

| Density (g/cm$^3$) | 5.10 |
|-------------------|------|
| Decay time (ns)   | 20   |
| Light output (Photons/MeV) | 60,000 |
| Wavelength of emission peak (nm) | 380 |
| Energy resolution (662 keV) | $< 4.5\%$ |
| Refractive index   | 2.10 |
| Hygroscopic        | Yes  |

### Table 2. Technical specifications and parameters of the MAPD array

| Manufacture                  | Zecotek photonics |
|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Type                         | MAPD-3NM-II       |
| Active area                  | $17 \times 17 \text{ mm}^2$ |
| Channels                     | 16 (4 $\times$ 4) |
| Pixel pitch/pixel (diameter) | 15 $\mu$m/ 12 $\mu$m |
| Total pixels                 | 974,728 (6,1000 pixels/channel) |
| Fill factor                  | 76%               |
| Gain                         | $\sim 2.5 \times 10^5$ |
| Spectral response            | 300–900 nm (max at 450 nm) |
| Operation voltage range      | 54–56 V           |
| Breakdown voltage            | 51.6 V            |
| Capacitance/channel          | 2.480/155 pF      |

Figure 1. Left—photo of the LaBr$_3$(Ce) scintillator ($15 \times 15 \times 30 \text{ mm}^3$), right—photo of MAPD-3NM-II type SiPM array embedded in the protective casing.
This experiment was performed with various radio-isotope standard sources. The gamma-ray detection performance of the detector is tested with $^{241}\text{Am}$, $^{133}\text{Ba}$, $^{137}\text{Cs}$, and $^{60}\text{Co}$ point radioactive sources. The parameters of radioactive sources are shown in Table 3. The radioactive sources were placed on the top of the LaBr$_3$(Ce) scintillator inside the dark box.

Gamma-ray measurements were carried out in the SPECTRIG MAPD module, where the temperature was 23.5 °C. The detailed information about the SPECTRIG MAPD module was presented in22. During measurement with SPECTRIG MAPD following parameters were selected: gate width – 227 nsec, variable gain – 1 dB (for M MAPD-3NM-II) and 10 dB (for MAPD-3NM-I), threshold – 6 mV, applied voltage – 54.5 V, 55.8 V (for MAPD-3NM-II) and 76.5 V (for MAPD-3NM-I). High-energy gamma rays (e.g. $E > 2\text{ MeV}$) did not appear in the spectrum due to saturation of the ADC output in the case of measurements with MAPD-3NM-II at different voltages. A measurement time of 5 minutes for each cycle was selected.

Figure 2 shows the spectrum of the mixed radioisotope sources containing ($^{241}\text{Am}$, $^{133}\text{Ba}$ and $^{137}\text{Cs}$) at an applied voltage of 55.8 V for LaBr$_3$(Ce) + MAPD-3NM-II.

| Radionuclide | Activity [kBq] | X-ray [keV] | Gamma-ray energy [keV] |
|--------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|
| $^{241}\text{Am}$ | 96.72 | 16.6 | 26.34, 33.20, 43.42, 59.54, 98.97, 102.98 |
| $^{133}\text{Ba}$ | 90 | 30.62, 30.97, 34.5 | 53.16, 79.62, 80.99, 160.61, 223.24, 276.40, 302.85, 356.01, 383.85 |
| $^{137}\text{Cs}$ | 96.05 | 31.81, 32.19 | 661.66 |
| $^{60}\text{Co}$ | 80.24 | | 1173.24, 1332.51 |

Table 3. The parameters of radioactive sources.
from $^{133}\text{Ba}$ radioisotope. This kind of detector allowed to separate close gamma lines, e.g. 59.6 keV ($^{241}\text{Am}$) from 81 keV (difference $\sim$ 21 keV), 276.3 keV from 302.6 keV (difference $\sim$ 26 keV) and 356 keV from 383.8 keV (difference $\sim$ 28 keV). The energy resolution was evaluated by fitting the photopeak of the $^{137}\text{Cs}$ energy spectrum with a Gaussian function. The energy resolution of 662 keV gamma rays was 3.3%.

In the case of measurements of $^{60}\text{Co}$ with MAPD-3NM-II at 55.8 V voltage, the output of the ADC of SPEC-TRIG MAPD module was saturated (even when a variable gain was set 1 dB, i.e. minimal) that is why high-energy gamma-rays (e.g. $E > 1$ MeV) was not seen at this voltage. This disadvantage was avoided by decreasing the operation voltage to 54.5 V. However, as a side-effect of this approach, the energy resolution of the detector gets reduced but detection of high-energy gamma-rays (e.g. $E > 1$ MeV) is possible.

A spectrum of mixed radioactive sources containing $^{241}\text{Am} + ^{133}\text{Ba} + ^{137}\text{Cs} + ^{60}\text{Co}$ is depicted in Fig. 3 (left).

Figure 4. Comparison of normalized pulse height spectrum for $^{133}\text{Ba}$ (left) and $^{137}\text{Cs}$ (right) with $\text{LaBr}_3(\text{Ce})$ and MAPD-3NM array (I—black and II—red).
The energy resolutions of 662 keV gamma-rays measured by MAPD-3NM-I and 3NM-II array were 4.25% and 3.3% (Fig. 4 right). MAPD-3NM-II demonstrated $\sim 22\%$ better energy resolution compared to the MAPD-3NM-I$^{21}$. This improvement was due to the high PDE (33%) of the MAPD-3NM-II$^{23}$.

Variation of energy resolution for gamma-ray energies of 26, 32, 56.9, 276.39, 302.85, 356, 383.84, 662, 1173, and 1332 keV were depicted in Fig. 5. The energy resolution of the detectors varied in the range of 3 - 13.6% for MAPD-3NM-I array (59.6 - 1332 keV, at 75 V) and 2.8 - 35% MAPD-3NM-II array (30 - 1332 keV, at 54.5 V).

In Fig. 6 shows a comparison of the energy spectra for $^{133}\text{Ba}$ and $^{137}\text{Cs}$ sources acquired with three different gamma detectors: commercial coaxial HPGe detector and identiFINDER-2 device (green and blue spectra, respectively) and the new developed LaBr$_3$(Ce) + MAPD-3NM-II (red spectrum). The HPGe model GX3020 was manufactured by CANBERRA, while the identiFINDER-2, composed by a NaI(Tl) crystal (size Ø31 mm × 51 mm) coupled to a PMT, was manufactured by ICx Technologies, Inc. The HPGe detector is used to clearly identified the position of gamma-rays emitted by $^{133}\text{Ba}$ (Table 3). We note that there are several gamma lines associated with sum peaks ($81\text{ keV} + 30\text{ keV} = 111\text{ keV}$, $356\text{ keV} + 81\text{ keV} = 437\text{ keV}$, $384\text{ keV} + 81\text{ keV} = 465\text{ keV}$). When NaI(Tl) + PMT and LaBr$_3$(Ce) + MAPD-3NM-II detectors were used, the gamma-ray spectra of radioactive sources were taken without lead shielding assemblies, therefore the natural background affected the spectra. It can be seen how the LaBr$_3$(Ce) + MAPD-3NM-II is able to resolve virtually all the gamma-rays emitted by $^{133}\text{Ba}$. The non-linearity of LaBr$_3$(Ce) scintillator is visible in the lower energy region of $^{133}\text{Ba}$ spectrum. In Fig. 6 is shown the spectrum of $^{137}\text{Cs}$ (Fig. 6 right part), the Compton edge and backscatter peak of gamma-rays emitted for 662 keV gamma-ray is clearly

![Figure 5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-20006-z)

**Figure 5.** The energy resolution of gamma-ray signals as a function of energy. If no error bar is shown, the error is contained within the point.

![Figure 6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-20006-z)

**Figure 6.** Comparison of normalized pulse height spectrum for $^{133}\text{Ba}$ (left) and $^{137}\text{Cs}$ (right) with HPGe, NaI(Tl) + PMT and LaBr$_3$(Ce) + MAPD-3NM-II (55.8 V) detectors.
The energy resolutions of 662 keV gamma-rays measured by NaI(Tl) + PMT and LaBr$_3$(Ce) + MAPD-3NM were 8% and 3.3%, respectively. As is expected due to the improved relative energy resolution of the LaBr$_3$(Ce) than NaI(Tl) crystal, the new LaBr$_3$(Ce) + MAPD-3NM shows a $\sim$2.4 times better energy resolution than the NaI(Tl) + PMT. The parameters of the detectors used for comparison are given in Table 4.

In the case of measurements of $^{60}$Co with MAPD-3NM-II has applied 54.5 V voltage, due to avoid the saturation of the ADC output of the SPECTRIG MAPD module. Reducing the voltage will reduce the photon detection efficiency of the MAPD-3NM-II and degrade the energy resolution of the detectors. LaBr$_3$(Ce) + MAPD-3NM-II (54.5 V) demonstrated $\sim$1.8 times better energy (for 1173.2 keV and 1332.5 keV) resolution compared to the NaI(Tl) + PMT (Fig. 7). Obtained results proved that the newly designed MAPD-3NM-II array demonstrated good energy resolution and linearity in the wide energy region. The maximum detectable energy was 1332 keV and the number of fired pixels was about 21000 in this case ($\sim$40 times smaller than the total number of pixels of the array). The number of fired pixels was calculated by taking into account PDE (30% for 380 nm) and geometrical factor of MAPD-3NM array (76% for 4 $\times$ 4 array). It means that MAPD-3NM-II with LaBr$_3$(Ce) scintillators can be successfully used to detect tens MeV gamma-rays too. The maximum value of the recorded energy was limited due to the saturation of the ADC output in this work. In the future, it is planned to develop the following parameters of the SPECTRIG MAPD module: extending the dynamic range of the ADC up to 2 V, adding variable attenuator to the output of the MAPD, and adding a temperature compensation circuit to keep the MAPD operating with a constant gain.

**Conclusion**

The gamma-ray detection performance of the new MAPD-3NM-II array with LaBr$_3$ scintillator has been studied in the energy range from 26 keV up to 1330 keV. The LaBr$_3$Ce scintillator coupled to MAPD-3NM-II achieves an energy resolution of 3.3% at 662 keV and 4.5% for MAPD-3NM-I. The better energy resolution was related to the high PDE of the new MAPD-3NM-II photodiodes. The LaBr$_3$ + MAPD-3NM-II unit delivers 3.3% more energy resolution at 662 keV than the NaI(Tl) + PMT unit, which only gives 8%. So, in other words, LaBr$_3$ provides better resolution performance over NaI(Tl) systems by approximately a factor of 2.4. Note that neither the NaI(Tl) detectors nor the lanthanum bromide detectors can approach the resolution of the HPGe detector due to its low band gap of 0.67 eV.

Obtained results showed that the new MAPD-3NM-II demonstrated good energy resolution and linearity in the studied energy region. The energy resolution of the new detector developed based on MAPD-3NM-II was

| Type | HPGe (Coaxial Detector model GX3020) | NaI(Tl) + PMT (identiFINDER-2) | LaBr$_3$(Ce) + MAPD-3NM-II type SiPM |
|------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Operation voltage (V) | + 3000 | 600–1000 | 56 |
| Operation temperature (°C) | − 195 | + 25 | + 25 |
| Energy resolution for 662 keV (%) | 0.22 | 8 | 3.3 |
| Size Ø60 mm $\times$ 50 mm | Ø31 mm $\times$ 51 mm | 15 mm $\times$ 15 mm $\times$ 30 mm |

Table 4. The parameters of tested detectors.

![Figure 7. Comparison of normalized pulse height spectrum for $^{60}$Co with NaI(Tl) + PMT and LaBr$_3$(Ce) + MAPD-3NM-II (54.5 V) detectors.](image-url)
better than all previous products of MAPD. For applications such as nuclear physics, public security, industry, space application and environmental radiation monitoring, LaBr$_3$ + MAPD-3NM-II unit ability to detect gamma-rays with high sensitivity is extremely important. These benefits make MAPD-3NM-II an alternative photodiode to existing instruments. In addition, MAPD-3NM-II based scintillation detectors can be considered to be more benign, in the sense that they can operate at room temperature, do not require such high voltages, increasing simplicity and a marked reduction in mass. Very small sensitive regions (4 $\mu$m) make these photodiodes less susceptible to radiation damage. All these advantages of the new MAPD-3NM-II makes them optimal for radiation monitoring devices that can be used in drone technology.

Data availability
The datasets obtained during the experiments, used and analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files. The datasets are also available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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