Transcriptional profiling of macaque microglia reveals an evolutionary preserved gene expression program
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1. Introduction

Microglia are tissue-resident macrophages of the central nervous system (CNS), and important for CNS development and homeostasis. In the adult CNS, microglia monitor environmental changes and react to tissue damage, cellular debris, and pathogens. Here, we present a gene expression profile of purified microglia isolated from the rhesus macaque, a non-human primate, that consists of 666 transcripts. The macaque microglia transcriptome was intersected with the transcriptional programs of microglia from mouse, zebrafish, and human CNS tissues, to determine (dis)similarities. This revealed an extensive overlap of 342 genes between the transcriptional profile of macaque and human microglia, and showed that the gene expression profile of zebrafish is most distant when compared to other species. Furthermore, an evolutionary core based on the overlapping gene expression signature from all four species was identified. This study presents a macaque microglia transcriptomics profile, and identifies a gene expression program in microglia that is preserved across species, underscoring their CNS-tailored tissue macrophage functions as innate immune cells with CNS-surveilling properties.
genes involved in cell adhesion, axonal guidance, and actin (dis)assembly (Galatro, Holtman, et al., 2017). Recently, an extensive study reported a transcriptional profile of microglia from species spanning 450 million years of evolution (Geirsdottir et al., 2019). Microglia in different species are considered to be quite similar and displayed relatively homogenous homeostatic states; but human microglia were reported to be most heterogeneous. Comparison of rodents and primate microglia revealed gene expression differences (Geirsdottir et al., 2019).

Primates, like the rhesus macaque (Macaca mulatta), are phylogenetically closely related to humans (Evogeno, no date) and of value (Phillips et al., 2014). A detailed characterization of (dis)similarities between microglia from primate and other mammals or eukaryotes might lead to a better understanding of human biology and the vulnerability of the human CNS to pathology (Medical Research Council (MRC) and Wellcome Trust, no date).

In this study, microglia gene expression profiles isolated from healthy outbred rhesus macaques were generated and compared to the human microglia (Homo sapiens) transcriptome (Galatro, Holtman, et al., 2017). Microglia gene expression profiles from zebrafish (Danio rerio) (Oosterhoo et al., 2017) and mouse (Mus musculus) (Hickman et al., 2013) were used in a meta-analysis to identify a core microglia profile shared by these four species.

2. Methods

2.1. Animals

Data reported in this study was acquired from the subcortical frontal brain region of rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta) (Zuijderwijk-Sick et al., 2007). These animals were outbred and housed in an open environment. Brain tissues of donor monkeys were collected during necropsy from the outbred colony that is maintained at the Biomedical Primate Research Centre in Rijswijk, The Netherlands. This facility complies with the Dutch law on animal experiments. Detailed information on the animals that were included in our study, such as age and sex, are listed in Suppl. Table 1.

Microglia isolation. Microglia were isolated as previously described (Galatro, Vainchtein, et al., 2017). In brief, the subcortical frontal brain regions from rhesus macaque were collected in ice-cold HBSS (Lonza, Switzerland) supplemented with 15 mM HEPES (Lonza) and 0.6% (wt/vol) glucose (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Brain tissues were minced and mechanically dissociated using a glass tissue homogenizer followed by a filtering step using a 300 μm sieve and followed by a 106 μm sieve to obtain a single-cell suspension. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 600 g for 10 min (acc: 9, brake 9, 4 °C). The pellet was resuspended in a solution of 22% Percoll (GE Healthcare, UK) and 140 mM NaCl and 77% myelin gradient buffer (5,6 mM NaH2PO4, 20 mM Na2HPO4, 140 mM NaCl, 5,4 mM KCl, 11 mM glucose, pH 7.4). A layer of PBS was added on top to filter out the cells from the myelin. The myelin layer and remaining supernatant were carefully removed and the pellet was resuspended by centrifugation at 220 x g for 10 min (acc: 9, brake 9, 4 °C). The pellet was resuspended in a solution of 22% Percoll (GE Healthcare, UK), 40 mM NaCl and 77% myelin gradient buffer (5,6 mM NaH2PO4, 20 mM Na2HPO4, 140 mM NaCl, 5,4 mM KCl, 11 mM glucose, pH 7.4). A layer of PBS was added on top and this gradient was centrifuged at 950 x g for 20 min (acc: 4, brake: 0, 4 °C) to separate the cells from the myelin. The myelin layer and remaining supernatant were carefully removed and the pellet was resuspended in a solution of 60% Percoll, which was overlaid with respectively 30% Percoll and PBS, and centrifuged at 800 x g for 25 min (acc: 4, brake 0, 4 °C). The cell layer at the 60–30% Percoll interface was collected with a pre-wetted Pasteur pipette, washed and cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 600 x g for 10 min (acc: 9, brake: 9, 4 °C). The final pellet was resuspended in HBSS without phenol red (Lonza) supplemented with 15 mM HEPES and 0.6% glucose.

Staining procedure and fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS). Fc receptors were blocked with human Fc receptor binding inactivator (eBioscience, 14-9161-73, USA) for 10 min on ice. For sorting, cells were incubated for 20 min on ice with anti-human CD11B-PE (BioLegend, 301306, USA) or together with anti-non-human primate CD45-FITC (Miltenyi Biotech, 130-091-898, USA) and subsequently washed with HBSS without phenol red. The cells were passed through a 35-μm nylon mesh (BD Biosciences, Switzerland), collected in round-bottom tubes (Corning, USA), and sorted with either MoFlow-XDP or MoFlo-Astrios (Beckman Coulter). Viable microglia were sorted based on either CD11Bhigh or CD11Bhigh CD45int expression and exclusion for DAPI, and collected in Medium A (Qiagen, Germany). Sorted cells were centrifuged at 5000 x g for 10 min and cell pellets were lyzed in RLT-Plus buffer (Qiagen) for RNA extraction. After the release of an anti-non-human primate CD45 antibody we sorted CD11Bhigh/CD45int microglia. Ultimately, this led to the generation of two macaque cohorts: CD11Bhigh and CD11Bhigh/CD45int population.

2.2. RNA isolation, library preparation and sequencing

CD11Bhigh population. Total RNA from FACS-sorted microglia cells was extracted using an RNeasy Plus Micro Kit (Qiagen, 74034) and from total tissue using RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini Kit (Qiagen, 74804), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA quality and sequencing were similar to the procedures of human samples (Galatro, Holtman, et al., 2017). In short, the SMARTer Stranded Total RNA-Seq Kit – Pico Input Mammalian (Takara Bio USA, Mountain View, CA, USA). cdDNA libraries were prepared for 25 macaque microglia samples starting with 4 ng of total RNA. RNA fragments were captured and degraded with Ribogone probes and enzyme. The remaining RNAs were fragmented by heat in the presence of divalent cations. The transcript for the first-strand cdDNA was prepared with reverse transcriptase and random primers. The second-strand cdDNA was ligated with adapters and an index of unique sequence were added to cdDNA fragments. The remaining library fragments were enriched by PCR. Final libraries were quantified by Kapa Sybr Green qPCR Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and the median size of the libraries determined by TapeStation 2200 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), using the High Sensitivity D1000 ScreenTape assay. Libraries were subjected to 2 × 75 bp paired-end sequencing in a NextSeq 500 system (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

CD11Bhigh/CD45int population. Total RNA from FACS-sorted microglia cells were extracted using an RNeasy Plus Micro Kit (Qiagen, 74034), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA quantity and quality was measured using the RNA 6000 Pico Kit (Agilent Technologies, USA) or Experion RNA HighSens Analysis Kit (Bio-Rad, USA). Based on RNA quality, 23 samples with a RIN value between 5.0 and 9.3 were selected for further processing. To reduce ribosomal RNA reads in RNA sequencing libraries Ploy(A) selection (Bioo Scientific, #NOVA-512979, USA) was carried out according to the manufacturer’s protocol, using 40 ng total RNA. Libraries were constructed from this Poly(a) Bead selected RA using the NEXTflex™ Rapid Directional qRNA-Seq Kit (Bioo Scientific, #NOVA-5130-01D, USA). Libraries were quantified using the 2100 Bioanalyzer and High Sensitivity dsDNA kit (Agilent). Subsequently, individual libraries were pooled in equimolar ratios and randomly distributed over two sequencing pools (11 and 12 microglia samples per pool). Both pools, including PhiX (5%) as an internal control, were sequenced as a 75bp paired-end, dual index run on a NextSeq 500 system (Illumina) at the sequencing facility in the UMC, Groningen.

2.3. Alignment of Rhesus macaque samples

Total tissue and CD11Bhigh population. The alignment procedure of these samples was similar to the procedures previously described (Galatro, Holtman, et al., 2017). Sequence quality was determined with FastQC (Andrews, 2015). Trimming of low-quality reads and adapters was performed with bbduk of the BBTools suite (Bushnell, no date). Fastq files were then aligned with SUBREAD (version 1.5.1). After alignment, the sequences of the samples were quantified with featureCounts (Liao et al, 2014) (version 1.6.2).

CD11Bhigh/CD45int population. In the NEXTflex alignment procedure, the first 9 base pairs with the cell barcode were removed using fastx_trimmer (Hannon Lab, no date) (version 0.14). If the 9th base pair was a thymine, the first 10 base pairs were removed. These cell barcodes were saved in a separate file that was used for demultiplexing. Fastq files
were aligned to the ensemble macaque genome (Mmul_8.0.1.92) with HISAT2 (Kim et al., 2015) (version 2.1.0, default parameters). Data was quantified with featurecounts (Liao et al., 2014) (version 1.6.2) and further processed with the NEXTflex demultiplexing script (Bioo scientific, 2014) to correct for counts that were obtained through technical variation. The outcome of the demultiplexing step was used for further analysis.

**Analysis of macaque RNA-Seq data.** Data was loaded into R and transformed into a DESeq2 object (Love et al., 2014). Not expressed genes were filtered out before differential gene expression analysis. Genes with an FDR < 0.001 and logFC > 3 were regarded as differentially expressed. These cut-offs were applied to the differential expression analysis of all species.

**Calculation delta percentile** Quantified transcriptomic reads in microglia and total tissue samples were normalized to TPM values, and used to calculate a percentile value. The mean values of all samples in a cohort were obtained, that were used to compute an empirical distribution that ranges from 0 to 100. Similarities in gene expression between the two microglia cohorts were identified by calculating the ∆ percentile using the following formula: abs (distribution condition 2 - distribution condition 1). A small ∆ percentile indicates similarity in expression levels.

### 2.4. Macaque microglia profile

Percentile values in each cohort were calculated, and genes in the top 10 percentile were considered to be most abundantly expressed. These three lists were then compared to determine (i) the highest expressed genes in both microglia cohorts, and (ii) the genes most abundantly expressed in the CD11Bhigh/CD45int population. Furthermore, genes that had a ∆ percentile < 20 (previously described) were retained. Additionally, an expression distribution (in %) of each gene over total tissue, CD11Bhigh and CD11Bhigh/CD45int was calculated and visualized in a tertiary plot. Based on these values, a 60% percentage cut off was included to obtain a macaque cluster that was microglia specific.

**Gene ontology.** Gene ontology (GO) term enrichment was performed with a combination of the clusterProfiler and enrichplot libraries (Yu et al., 2012). Given an input list with genes, gene functions with a p-value < 0.05 and q-value < 0.001 were saved in an adjusted matrix that matched with a reference GO was used for re-annotation and the second part of this adjustment was to obtain a macaque cluster that was microglia specific. The lenient subset consists of genes that had a ∆ percentile > 0.001 and logFC > 3 were compared with different organisms to retain the information of the original study. Genes expressed in microglia (FDR < 0.001 and logFC > 3) from zebrasfish (Oosterhof et al., 2017) and human (Galatro, Holtman, et al., 2017) were obtained similary.

**The interconnectivity observation of the lenient subset and evolutionary preserved core.** The lenient subset consists of genes that were present in three of the four species, whereas the evolutionary preserved core only includes genes that were overlapping among all species. Both gene subsets were uploaded in StringDB (Szklarczyk et al., 2019) where the gene symbols were used to calculate the protein-protein interaction, which was then loaded in Cytoscape (Shannon, 2003). A distinction was made between the evolutionary preserved core (blue) and lenient core (grey). The width of the connective lines reflect the confidence of two proteins interacting.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Acute isolation of microglia from rhesus macaque CNS using CD11B

Rhesus macaque brain samples were collected during necropsy. From these samples, microglia were collected from the subcortical frontal brain regions by mechanical dissociation and viable cells were isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) using CD11Bhigh as a microglia marker (referred to as a CD11Bhigh population). Information regarding age and sex of the macaque samples are provided in Suppl. Table 1. RNA-sequencing was performed on 14 microglial and 5 unsorted tissue samples that were compared to identify differentially expressed genes.

To conform the purity of the CD11Bhigh microglia samples, the expression level of CNS cell-type-specific genes was assessed (Fig. 1A). The expression levels of known microglial genes CX3CR1, P2RY12, ITGAM, TYROBP, and TMEM119 was significantly higher in the CD11Bhigh microglia samples (depicted in green) compared to the total tissue samples (depicted in blue). In addition, known marker genes for neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes were more abundantly expressed in total tissue samples (Fig. 1A).

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to determine variance among CD11Bhigh microglia and total tissue samples. The gene expression profiles of CD11Bhigh microglia and total tissue samples were very different, these samples segregated in PC1, which explained 78% of the variance (Fig. 1B). PCA of only CD11Bhigh microglia samples with age and sex as variables revealed no obvious segregation based on these parameters (Suppl. Fig. 1).

A differentially expression analysis was performed to reveal gene expression differences between microglia and total tissue samples. In total, 1261 genes were higher expressed in microglia compared to total tissue samples (log fold change (FC) > 3, adjusted P < 0.001; Fig. 1C). GO term enrichment was performed to determine functional properties associated with these microglia-enriched genes. Many significantly enriched terms were associated with the innate immune functions of microglia, such as “innate response”, “cell activation”, “cytokine production” and “activation of immune response”. Genes highly expressed in microglia (log FC > 5), included several well-known microglia markers such as TYROBP, AIF1 (IBA1), CDs2, GPR84, SOX4, CABLES1, and ITGAM (CD11B) (Zrzavy et al., 2017). In addition, genes that are viewed as markers of homeostatic microglia such as, P2RY12and GPR34 were also highly expressed (Zrzavy et al., 2017).

#### 3.2. Identification of a macaque microglial profile based on surface expression of both CD11B and CD45

As CD11B is not exclusively expressed by microglia but also by other myeloid cells, it cannot be excluded that the CD11Bhigh cell population also contained non-microglia cells. We implemented an additional cohort with the antibody for Macaca mulatta CD45 when it became available. Furthermore, to confirm our previous data and establish a more specific macaque microglia profile, we FACS isolated microglia based on
CD11\textsuperscript{high}/CD45\textsuperscript{int} expression from 23 macaque samples.

We were unable to directly compare the gene expression profiles of the CD11\textsuperscript{high} and CD11\textsuperscript{high}/CD45\textsuperscript{int} samples due to differences in sample processing. The similarity in gene expression levels between the CD11\textsuperscript{high} and CD11\textsuperscript{high}/CD45\textsuperscript{int} microglia samples was determined using a $\Delta$ percentile approach. Genes were ranked based on their absolute expression level in each data set. Their respective percentiles in each data set were subtracted to obtain the change in percentile ($\Delta$ percentile) (Fig. 2A). The median $\Delta$ percentile was 9.53, indicating that many genes were comparably expressed between the CD11\textsuperscript{high} and CD11\textsuperscript{high}/CD45\textsuperscript{int} samples.

A quantitative expression analysis approach was used to identify highly expressed genes in the CD11\textsuperscript{high}/CD45\textsuperscript{int} population. Genes were ranked using the percentiles in all three sample groups (total tissue, CD11\textsuperscript{high} and CD11\textsuperscript{high}/CD45\textsuperscript{int}). Genes in the top 10 percentile were considered to be highly expressed. Highly expressed genes in total tissue were removed from the microglia gene list to generate a “microglia unique” gene set (Fig. 2B). This approach resulted in 543 genes that were shared in both populations and 397 genes that were only highly expressed in the CD11\textsuperscript{high}/CD45\textsuperscript{int} samples. GO term enrichment was performed on these 940 genes that revealed functions as “activation of the immune response”, “cytokine production” and “innate response”. Highly expressed genes included marker genes like: \(\text{IL1B, ITGAM (CD11B), TMEM119, TREM2, and VSIR.}\)

A macaque microglia gene expression profile was generated by combining the genes that were more abundantly expressed (FDR < 0.001 and logFC > 3) in microglia compared to total tissue samples (Fig. 1C) with the 940 genes that were most abundantly expressed in microglia based on quantitative expression levels (Fig. 2B, Suppl. Table 2). Percentages of the microglia-enriched genes of all three sample groups (total tissue, CD11\textsuperscript{high} and CD11\textsuperscript{high}/CD45\textsuperscript{int}), are depicted in a triangle plot to determine if the identified genes are microglia specific (Fig. 2C). Genes that were more abundantly expressed in microglia based on differential gene expression analysis with total brain samples were combined with

Fig. 1. Comparison of macaque CD11\textsuperscript{high} microglia and total brain tissue gene expression profiles. (A) RNA-Seq expression of \textit{ex-vivo} isolated microglia (n = 14) compared to brain tissue samples (n = 5) on known microglial, neuronal, astrocytic, and oligodendrocytes markers. The boxes contain the interquartile range, white lines indicate the median (B) Principal component analysis of microglial (green) and unsorted total brain tissue (blue) samples. Each dot represents an individual sample. (C) Volcano plot depicting genes differentially expressed between microglia and total tissue samples (logFC > 3 and p-adjusted value < 0.001). "**" p < 0.01. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
the highest expressed genes from two populations: i) the highest expressed genes in both microglia cohorts complemented with ii) the genes most abundantly expressed in the CD11Bhigh/CD45int population. Only genes with a Δ percentile < 20 were retained. In addition, a percentage distribution of each gene over total tissue, CD11Bhigh and CD11Bhigh/CD45int was calculated. Furthermore, the gene expression needed to be higher in microglia then in total tissue (>60%) before it was considered as a microglia specific gene. Genes with a Δ percentile < 20 and a microglia percentage > 60% were included in the macaque core microglia profile, which consisted of 666 genes, and is indicated by dots surrounded with a black line (Fig. 2C, Suppl. Fig. 2).

3.3. Identification of a primate microglial gene profile

To identify a primate microglia gene expression profile, we compared the macaque microglial expression profile obtained from the subcortical frontal brain region to a published human transcriptome of parietal cortical microglia (Galatro, Holtman, et al., 2017). The similarity between these two transcriptomes was determined by calculating Δ percentiles. The expression levels of many microglia genes were similar between macaques and humans, indicated by a median Δ percentile of 13.89 (Suppl. Table 3; Suppl. Fig. 3). Overlaying the transcriptional profiles of human and macaque microglia revealed 342 genes that were expressed in both species. GO terms associated with these genes are related to typical microglia functions such as: “phagocytosis”, “leukocyte cell-cell adhesion” and “pattern recognition receptor signaling pathway”, and included several known microglial genes such as ITGAM, GPR56, and TMEM119.

Most of the non-overlapping genes of the macaque microglia profile are related to functions in: “antigen processing and presentation”, “positive regulation of the immune response” and “T-cell activation”. These functions are not unique for macaque and this lack in overlap was caused by differences in annotations of antigens in the major histocompatibility complex (MHC). Furthermore, of the 324 non-overlapping genes, 112 transcripts did not have a gene symbol, are open reading frames (ORFs), or were annotated starting with ‘MAMU’ or ‘LOC’, suggesting the functionality of these transcripts is not yet known.

To investigate similarities in gene functions between both species, genes of both microglia profiles were annotated to GO terms (Suppl. Table 4). For visualization purposes, GOs with a common parent were combined (Fig. 3). Several immune-related processes were shared between macaque and human microglia, such as “cell activation” and “immune-response”. In addition, homeostatic processes in microglia such as “cell-motility” and “regulation of biological process”, were also shared between both species. Some GOs were only associated with human or macaque microglia, however, these annotations generally consisted of a relatively low number of genes (see Suppl. Data 4). In short, we created a macaque microglia profile that showed a considerable overlap with human microglia in expression of homologous genes and associated biological functions.
The evolutionary preserved microglia core, that consists of 54 genes, contained several established microglia transcripts, such as TGFB2, P2RY6, ITGAM, and PYCARD. GO annotation of this preserved profile associated these genes with immune activity (Suppl. Fig. 4); “inflammatory response”, “regulation of the innate immune response” and “cytokine production”. GO annotation (Suppl. Data 6) of the different microglia profile of each species were visualized in Fig. 4D. Although the number of genes per GO varied, processes as “response to stimulus”, “regulation of biological processes”, “transport” and “cell motility” were identified in all four species. Summarizing, these findings reveal an evolutionary preserved core that was shared among all four species and a lenient subset of 221 genes. This showed that a majority of the immune-related processes in microglia were preserved during evolution.

4. Discussion

In this manuscript, we present a macaque microglia transcriptomic profile, which is compared to the microglia transcriptome profile of zebrafish, mouse, and human. Zebrafish and mice are often used for research purposes, however, there is a large evolutionary distance between these two species and humans. This manuscript focusses on establishing a macaque gene expression microglia profile and its comparison with microglia transcriptomes of other species.

The first aim of this study was to generate a macaque microglial transcriptomic profile. The combination of two macaque microglia cohorts, obtained using two different procedures (lab and bioinformatics), and stringent filter criteria led to the identification of a macaque microglia transcriptomic profile that is highly specific. Ultimately, this resulted in the identification of a profile of 666 genes.

An extensive overlap between the human and macaque microglia transcriptomic profiles was observed. Overall, gene expression levels were relatively similar (Suppl. Fig. 3), and 51% (342 genes) of the macaque microglia profile could also be identified in human microglia, of which several genes were previously classified as ‘human microglia specific genes’ (Galatro, Holtman, et al., 2017), and were not detected in mice. Notable examples are FCAR (CDB8), which is involved in cytokine production, and many SIGLEC genes which are important for regulation of activation and phagocytosis (Galatro, Holtman, et al., 2017). Moreover, GO comparison led to the identification of shared gene functions that are related to the immune system (“cell adhesion”, “cell activation” and “response to bacterial components”) and “phagocytosis”. A substantial number of the 49% (324 genes) non-overlapping genes are involved in the activation of the immune response (signal transduction, antigen processing, and T-cell activation). Additionally, 17% (112 genes) of this non-overlapping gene set lacked a gene symbol, or are identified as ORF, specific locus or a macaque specific antigen. Better annotation of the macaque genome is required to determine if these genes are indeed macaque-specific or yet unidentified human homologs. Regardless, these outbred rhesus macaques, housed in an open environment, more closely reflect humans than inbred laboratory animals housed under SPF conditions.

To identify similarities between the transcriptomic profiles of macaque and other species, genes in the macaque microglia profile were first compared to the zebrafish and mouse profiles, revealing an overlap of 96 and 186 genes, respectively. More genes overlapped with mice, which could be caused by a better annotation of mouse genes and transcripts. The zebrafish transcriptome remains ambiguous due to the lower number of transcriptomic analysis performed in this species. Similarities between macaque and mouse microglia include several known microglia genes and gene functions including immune response, phagocytosis, cytokine secretion, and myeloid cell differentiation. When the mouse and macaque microglia profile are compared, we can observe that the macaque microglia profile roughly consists of the same number of genes. As expected from an evolutionary point of view, the macaque microglia profile showed a higher overlap with the human microglia profile (51%), than the mouse microglia profile (43%).
We identified a lenient microglia gene subset that was preserved in at least three of the four species, and an evolutionary preserved core that consisted of genes that were detected in all species. The comparison of the lenient and evolutionary preserved core to the cross-species signature (Geirsdottir et al., 2019), revealed an overlap of respectively 147 and 25 genes, respectively, confirming that these genes are highly expressed by microglia across evolution and species. Potential differences in gene expression in microglia between different species are difficult to detect, due to confounding factors such as different isolation procedures and sample preparations used, differences in analyzed brain regions, etc.

In conclusion, we present a specific macaque microglia gene expression profile and intersecting this macaque profile with previously described transcriptomes of zebrafish, mouse and human, we identified a lenient and an evolutionary preserved core, that show the typical functions of microglia as CNS macrophages. This study provides a valuable tool that will contribute to further exploration of the macaque microglia profile, and the evolutionary preserved microglia genes in function and development.
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