Preparing Uniform-Thickness Corneal Endothelial Grafts from Donor Tissues Using a Non-Amplified Femtosecond Laser
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Abstract

Corneal grafts for Descemet's Stripping Automated Endothelial Keratoplasty are commonly prepared using mechanical microkeratomes. However, the cuts produced in such way render corneal lenticules that are thinner centrally than peripherally, thus inducing a hyperopic shift. Here we describe a novel device for preparing donor corneal grafts, in which a single low-energy femtosecond laser system is used as both a light source for optical coherence tomography and for cutting the graft illuminating from the endothelial side. The same laser is first utilized to obtain three-dimensional optical coherence tomography images of the donor tissue for guiding the dissection and obtaining grafts of uniform thickness with no applanation or contact. This device allows an optimal procedure for preparing consistently thin posterior grafts for transplantation.

Citation: Singh K, Haydari N, Brunette I, Costantino S (2013) Preparing Uniform-Thickness Corneal Endothelial Grafts from Donor Tissues Using a Non-Amplified Femtosecond Laser. PLoS ONE 8(12): e83185. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083185

Editor: Rajiv R. Mohan, University of Missouri-Columbia, United States of America

Received May 29, 2013; Accepted November 8, 2013; Published December 5, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Singh et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This research was supported by a grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research to IB and SC. IB is the recipient of the Charles-Albert Poissant Research chair in Corneal Transplantation, University of Montreal, QC, Canada. SC holds an FRQS Chercheur Junior 2 award. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: santiago.costantino@umontreal.ca

Introduction

The newer techniques that have been developed for corneal transplantation are limited to grafting only the diseased layers, while leaving the host's healthy corneal layers untouched. Descemet's Stripping Automated Endothelial Keratoplasty (DSAFEK) [1,2] recently became the gold standard technique for endothelial keratoplasty. The host cornea is prepared using a standardized microkeratome to cut the anterior cornea and retain the posterior stroma with Descemet's Membrane and the Endothelium.

The functional outcome of DSAEK is partly limited by suboptimal optical results, which are directly related to the shape of the graft. Grafts routinely prepared with mechanical microkeratomes are typically thinner centrally than peripherally, yielding a hyperopic shift (negative lens effect) that necessitates additional optical correction in the spectacles of the operated eye. The mean postoperative hyperopic shift is +1.25D, with inter-subject variability in refraction in the order of 4.0 to 5.0 D [3-12]. In order to obtain more uniform and ultra-thin DSAEK lenticules, double-pass microkeratome cuts have been proposed, where the second cut starts from the end of the first cut [13]. This technique, however, is still overshadowed by a non-negligible rate of microkeratome-related complications (7.2 %) occurring during donor tissue preparation [14].

In an attempt to further improve the optical quality of the grafted eye, Descemet's membrane endothelial keratoplasty (DMEK) [15] was proposed, a surgical variant where the corneal endothelium along with its Descemet's membrane are manually detached from the donor cornea and transplanted. DMEK provides better visual outcome than DSAEK, but it is technically more challenging, even in the hands of the most experienced surgeons [16]. There is no clear consensus on the optimal thickness of the endothelial graft since there is a tenuous relationship between the graft thickness and visual acuity [17-19]. Femtosecond lasers have been used to prepare corneal grafts by making planar cuts in the cornea [20], which leads to graft of uneven thickness, i.e. thicker at the edge and thinner in the center. A technique for preparing uniform thickness graft using a femtosecond laser (FSL) has also been described [21] in which the cornea is applanated from its endothelial side.

The ideal endothelial graft must have a uniform profile to avoid aberrations and should be thick enough to ease manipulation [22]. We propose here a new instrument for
Figure 1. Schematic of the optical system used for corneal dissection and imaging. The abbreviated components are: movable lens (ML), polarization maintaining fiber (PMF), collimator (C1, C2), transmission diffraction grating (TDG), achromatic lens (ACL), wedge beam splitter and charged coupled device camera (CCD camera).

Materials and Methods

All experiments were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The schematic of the experimental system used in this work is shown in Figure 1. The beam of a non-amplified femtosecond laser (Mai Tai, Newport) operated at 800 nm wavelength was divided using a wedge beam splitter and charged coupled device camera (CCD camera).

Working distance. The laser power at the sample in imaging mode was set 100 mW after the objective. Laser light reflected by the reference mirror and backscattered from the cornea were combined using a fiber collimator (C1) and directed towards a custom made spectrometer using a polarization maintaining fiber (PMF). The spectrometer simply consisted of a collimator (C2), a transmission diffraction grating (1600 lines/mm), an achromatic lens (AC lens, f=200 mm) and a linear CCD camera (CCD1000, Entwicklungbüro Stresing, Berlin, Germany) with 2048 pixels and operated at 3 kHz line scan rate. A Fast Fourier transform (FFT) was performed on the spectrometer data to obtain the axial scan of the cornea. The data points in the Fourier transformed data (axial scan) do not have real distance units and thus the system was calibrated in order to obtain the distance per point in the Fourier transform [28]. The calibration of the system was performed by replacing cornea with a mirror and moving it in steps of 100 µm and the corresponding FFT peak position was tracked. Three-dimensional volumetric Fourier-Domain OCT images of the cornea were acquired by raster scanning the cornea using motorized stages. Using these posterior illumination OCT images, the corneal endothelium was segmented by locating the first maxima of the intensity derivative in the axial direction. These values were used to extract the 3D-curvature of the corneal endothelium by fitting a second order polynomial for every two dimensional set of axial scans (B-Scan), and stored for later use during the dissection.

In photo-disruption mode, the lens (RL) was removed from the beam path so that the objective receives a parallel laser beam allowing achieving the minimum possible laser focal volume after the objective. The laser was focused in cornea solely by the objective and the power was increased. The laser power and the pulse duration after the objective were measured to be 400±3 mW and 148±2 fs respectively. The movement of the two-axis motorized stage (Thorlabs, Newton, NJ) holding the cornea and the motorized z-axis holding the objective along the 3D surface determined from the OCT images was controlled using LabView (National Instruments, Austin, TX) so that the focal volume was maintained at a constant user-defined depth from the endothelial surface. The OCT system was calibrated using Optisol.

Corneas unsuitable for transplantation in humans were obtained within 12 hours after death (Quebec Eye Bank, Montreal, QC, Canada), preserved in Optisol-GS at 4°C, and used within two weeks after death [29,30]. The mean ± standard deviation donor age was 71±11 years and the male to female ratio was 1:1.2. The corneas were cut with a 9-mm circular trephine (Weck; Solan Medtronics, Jacksonville, FL) and were placed in petri dishes filled with Optisol, endothelial side down with no applanation. The corneas were trephined so that the height of the central cornea fall within the working distance of the objective. Fixation to the petri dish was not required and laser cuts were performed up to the edge of the corneal buttons. Stromal lamellar dissections were performed with a posterior approach (i.e. illuminating from the endothelial side), focusing the femtosecond laser at different intended depths, either in steps (200 – 150 – 100 - 50 µm) or in a continuous mode, from zero (intraendothelial central photo-
disruption) to 200 µm. High-resolution images and thicknesses were obtained later with a spectral radar OCT (Thorlabs).

Corneal buttons were stained with trypan blue (vital stain) and alizarin red S (Sigma, Oakville, ON, Canada) and photographed (SteREO Discovery V12, Carl Zeiss Canada, Toronto, ON, Canada). Dead endothelial cells appeared in blue and an image analysis algorithm was designed for quantification. The blue component of all pixels of the RGB images was extracted and an automated threshold based on inter-class variance was applied to create binary image where background pixels represented dead cells and foreground pixels, healthy areas. Endothelial integrity was assessed for various dissection depths. Corneal grafts thicker than 50 µm were separated using two fine forceps and the endothelial integrity was assessed after graft separation.

Results

Stromal dissections were performed with this instrument, and their photo-disruption depth accuracy and optimum depth for endothelial cell death was analyzed. Figure 2A shows an OCT image of a 50 µm deep pre-Descemet dissection of a representative corneal sample.

When 50 µm was set as intended depth, the mean±standard deviation photo-disruption depth obtained was 50±4 microns along the sample (n=9). The sample shown in Figure 2B illustrates our capability to perform dissections at specific arbitrary depths, and even to change it during the procedure. Non-overlapping cuts performed in the same cornea at 50 µm, 100 µm, 150 µm and 200 µm from the endothelial surface are shown.

Figure 2. Photo-disruption depth accuracy. (A) The photo-disruption was set at 50 µm from the endothelial surface and the mean (±standard deviation) measured distance was 50±3 µm throughout the 9 mm dissection (B) This micrograph illustrates the ability to vary the photo-disruption depth along the cornea. From left to right, the photo-disruption depth was set at 50 µm, 100 µm, 150 µm, 200 µm from the endothelial surface.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083185.g002

Endothelial integrity, assessed as described above, revealed no significant differences between laser-treated and untreated regions for a photo-disruption depth of 50 µm. Figure 3 illustrates the comparison between two adjacent regions within each cornea, one treated (1×9 mm strips of photo-disruption at a depth of 50 µm) and the other untreated (n=7 corneas). Similar percentages of healthy endothelial cells were documented in both regions.

Figure 3. Endothelial cell death above photo-disrupted (PD) and non-photo-disrupted (A) Bright field stereomicroscopy image of the cornea containing both the photo-disrupted and non-photo-disrupted sections. From the image, photo-disrupted and non-photo-disrupted areas can not be identified. (B) Dark field image of the same section of the cornea where focus was adjusted to observe the presence of the photo-disrupted area. (C) The ratio of healthy cell areas to total area analyzed is plotted in the region where we performed the laser treatment at 50 µm depth and an equally big region beside. No significant difference was observed between the two ratios (p=0.34, t-test). The data was tested for normality using Shapiro-Wilk test and was found to be with normal distribution.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083185.g003
Corneal grafts separation after photo-disruption was easy and induced no significant folding or rolling of the grafts after detachment. Measured endothelial cell death after separation was less than 4%.

One key parameter investigated was the minimum safe photo-disruption depth, i.e. the smallest distance between the endothelial cell layer and the laser focal volume, such that no significant endothelial cell death could be observed. To quantify this, we performed planar (flat) cuts for which we intentionally focused the laser within the endothelial cell layer at the center of cornea. Due to the curvature of the cornea and the planar nature of the cut performed, the depth of the photo-disruption was minimum in the center (laser focussed into the endothelium) and increased towards the periphery. After photo-disruption, corneas were stained and quantified for cell death (n=6) as described above. In this case, a rectangular region of interest (1000×250 µm) was selected (beginning at the center of the cornea where damage to endothelial cells was evident) and displaced in steps of 10 µm towards the periphery, which corresponded to increased dissection depth. The ratio of healthy to total area is shown in Figure 4 for the individual samples and their average. The mean survival ratio was found to increase from 0% for intraendothelial photo-disruption, to 50%, for a photo-disruption depth of 27 µm, and more than 99% at a depth of 34 µm from the posterior surface.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

Femtosecond lasers have been widely used in preparation of corneal flaps in LASIK [33] where applanation of the epithelial side of cornea and shallow laser dissections are routinely obtained. Furthermore, they have been widely used for penetrating keratoplasty [34], both for patient and donor tissue [35]. However, this functionality cannot easily be extended to prepare grafts for Endothelial Keratoplasty (EK) [20,36-38].

Firstly, corneal hydration and the subsequent scattering represent a major obstacle for deep penetration of femtosecond pulses, which can hardly be focused as depth increases. This fundamental issue has forced technology developments to switch to more infrared wavelengths for minimizing scattering. This change requires a completely different laser technology, which is not readily available in the market, and despite recent impressive progress in this new field, it is still a laboratory methodology [39]. Secondly, the use of applanation can be problematic. When applied on the epithelium, it creates distortions and ripples in the inner layers of the cornea [40] that would render non-uniform grafts after planar cuts. Furthermore, applanation and contact to the corneal endothelium require greater care, otherwise it can provoke endothelial cell death, which is critical for the post-operative visual outcome and long-term graft survival.

This new device we propose is based on a non-contact posterior approach: OCT images are utilized to obtain a 3D representation of the sample once placed on the holder. This information allows a uniform dissection at very shallow depths, thus avoiding scattering effects. Furthermore, non-amplified femtosecond lasers focused with high NA optics have proven to yield significantly smaller cavitation bubbles, which is essential to dissect the corneal stroma a few microns away from the fragile endothelial cells. Moreover we showed that the same laser source also provides enough bandwidth for low-coherence interferometry imaging. Using the same laser source for both imaging and dissecting allows perfect matching of focusing, while minimizing calibrations, optical design and cost.

The thickness of the grafts could be controlled with approximately 5 µm accuracy along 9 mm diameter cuts with our prototype, and no significant damage to the endothelial cells was found for photo-disruption depths beyond 34 µm. Furthermore, we have shown that the dissection depth can be varied within a sample, which allows to tailor the grafts as desired and seek refractive compensation. Previous studies where femtosecond lasers were used for preparing endothelial grafts reported interface haze [21] and a lower visual acuity [20] than that usually seen after penetrating keratoplasty or DSAEK. This can be attributed to the distortion of the inner corneal layers caused by the application of the front corneal surface during the cutting procedure [41]. Wound healing of the posterior stromal lamellae after femtosecond laser dissection might also be different from that of the anterior layers.

![Figure 4. Endothelial cell death as a function of photo-disruption depth.](image)
grafts prepared in our study were not transplanted in patients, precluding our ability to assess postoperative visual acuity. Overall, our proof-of-concept experiments demonstrate that this instrument could be particularly useful in eye-bank setups where the ability to produce precut tissue of customized shape can render a significant improvement in quality. Hence, grafts of constant thickness within few microns will minimize optical aberrations, thus optimizing visual outcome.
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