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Abstract

Early steps of eukaryotic ribosome biogenesis require a large set of ribosome biogenesis factors which transiently interact with nascent rRNA precursors (pre-rRNA). Most likely, concomitant with that initial contacts between ribosomal proteins (r-proteins) and ribosome precursors (pre-ribosomes) are established which are converted into robust interactions between pre-rRNA and r-proteins during the course of ribosome maturation. Here we analysed the interrelationship between r-protein assembly events and the transient interactions of ribosome biogenesis factors with early pre-ribosomal intermediates termed 90S pre-ribosomes or small ribosomal subunit (SSU) processome in yeast cells. We observed that components of the SSU processome UTP-A and UTP-B sub-modules were recruited to early pre-ribosomes independently of all tested r-proteins. On the other hand, groups of SSU processome components were identified whose association with early pre-ribosomes was affected by specific r-protein assembly events in the head-platform interface of the SSU. One of these components, Noc4p, appeared to be itself required for robust incorporation of r-proteins into the SSU head domain. Altogether, the data reveal an emerging network of specific interrelationships between local r-protein assembly events and the functional interactions of SSU processome components with early pre-ribosomes. They point towards some of these components being transient primary pre-rRNA in vivo binders and towards a role for others in coordinating the assembly of major SSU domains.
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Introduction

Prokaryotic ribosomes consist of three ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) and ~55 ribosomal proteins (r-proteins). In vitro assembly of prokaryotic ribosomes may occur in the absence of auxiliary factors and follows hierarchical principles [1–4]. Primary binding r-proteins are capable of initiating interactions with the rRNA indepenently of other proteins. Secondary binders require one or more primary binding proteins for their stable association with rRNA, while tertiary binding proteins require both primary and secondary binders for their efficient incorporation into ribosomal subunits. According to the primary binding event, r-proteins of the small ribosomal subunit (SSU) can be grouped into six different assembly trees, each of which assembles in a cooperative manner. R-proteins of three of these assembly trees bind to the 5’ secondary structure domain of the prokaryotic 16S SSU rRNA, r-proteins of two other assembly trees bind to the central domain, and r-proteins of the sixth assembly tree bind to the 3’ major domain (see Fig. 1). Each of the three major secondary structure domains of the 16S rRNA forms distinct morphological features of the SSU: the 5’ domain forms the shoulder and the foot, the central domain forms the platform and the 3’ major domain forms the head. Remarkably, these three major SSU rRNA domains can largely assemble in vitro with corresponding r-proteins independently of each other [5–7]. More recently, time resolved hydroxyl radical footprinting analyses showed that some of the contacts of r-proteins with the 16S rRNA can already be observed very soon after initiating prokaryotic SSU in vitro assembly reactions [8]. The establishment of other contacts, however, was substantially slower, probably driven by induced fit mechanisms.

Eukaryotic ribosomes consist of four rRNAs and ~80 r-proteins. Studies in the yeast S. cerevisiae indicate that both the gradual establishment of high affinity interactions between r-proteins and rRNA and the hierarchy of individual r-protein-rRNA assembly events also apply to the in vivo formation of eukaryotic ribosomes [9–11]. On the other hand, around 150 non-ribosomal factors have been described to be essential for ribosome biogenesis in yeast [12], with many of them thought to facilitate ribosome assembly. A substantial number of these factors are required for early steps of yeast SSU maturation. These proteins are part of an early pre-ribosomal particle with an estimated sedimentation coefficient of approximately 90S which contains furthermore the 35S rRNA precursor and the U3 small nucleolar (sno) RNA [13–16]. The particle was referred to as 90S pre-ribosome [16] or the SSU processome [15] and many of its non-ribosomal protein components were named U three proteins (Utp),
Several protein sub-complexes of the SSU processome could be purified as separate entities from yeast cell extracts depleted of pre-ribosomal particles by a high speed centrifugation step [17]. Amongst them is the UTP-A/t-UTP subcomplex [17,18] (Utp4p, Utp15p, Upf2p, Upf10p, Upf15p, Nai1p, Utp3p and Pol15p), the UTP-B/Pwp2p subcomplex [17,19] (Pwp2p, Dip2p, Utp6p, Upf13, Upf18p, and Upf21p), the UTP-C subcomplex [17] (Upf22p, Rop7p, Cka1p, Cka2p, Ckb1p, and Ckb2p), a sub-module containing Rcl1p and Bms1p [17,20], and a ribonucleoprotein complex containing besides U3 snoRNA and Rrp9p the proteins Nop1p, Nop56p and Nop58p [17,21,22]. Other subcomplexes of the SSU processome could be reconstituted in vitro from recombinant components, as the human MPP10 complex, consisting of the human counterparts of yeast Mpp10p, Imp3p, and Imp4p [23], and a complex consisting of yeast Noc1p and Nop14p [24,25]. Several of these SSU processome subcomplexes were shown to associate in a hierarchical order with RNA precursors [26–28]. Both SSU processome components and, at least some r-proteins are thought to associate in vivo with nascent rRNA precursors already during transcription of the precursor rRNA gene [11,15,29,30].

In this study, we aimed to analyze the relationship between individual r-protein assembly events and the association of SSU processome components with rRNA precursors. Beside the possibility that eukaryotic SSU processome components might trigger assembly of specific r-proteins with rRNA, a few major scenarios are conceivable whether and how r-protein assembly events could affect the SSU processome association with rRNA precursors. (I) SSU processome components initiate rRNA contact and associate independent of r-protein(s). (II) SSU processome components and r-protein(s) associate cooperatively with rRNA precursors. (III) The association of SSU processome components requires the preceding binding of r-protein(s). (IV) R-protein assembly might trigger release of SSU processome components from rRNA precursors.

To distinguish between these possibilities, several yeast conditional mutant strains have been established in this work allowing to analyse the association of SSU processome subcomplexes with early pre-ribosomes depleted of representative r-proteins of each structural domain of the 18S rRNA (5′, central and 3′ domain). In summary, the results of these analyses indicated a network of specific interrelationships between local r-protein assembly events and the functional interactions of SSU processosomal submodules with early pre-ribosomes.

Results

Analysis of UTP-A and UTP-B association with early pre-ribosomes in yeast strains in vivo depleted of SSU r-proteins

To analyse possible hierarchical relationships between recruitment of SSU processome sub-modules to yeast pre-rRNA and r-protein assembly events we constructed a set of yeast conditional r-protein gene mutants expressing epitope tagged variants of SSU processome components. First, we wanted to test how pre-ribosome association of the UTP-A member Utp4p and the UTP-B member Pwp2p is affected in strains depleted of rpS11, rpS9, rpS22, rpS13, and rpS5 (yeast homologues of five *E. coli* primary in vitro binders) or in strains depleted of rpS15 and rpS14 (yeast homologues of *E. coli* secondary and tertiary *in vitro* binder, respectively) which bind to different regions of the SSU rRNA ([31,32], see also Fig. 1). Yeast conditional mutant strains expressing the above mentioned ribosomal protein genes under the control of a galactose inducible promoter [9] were modified by tagging chromosome encoded Utp4p or Pwp2p with the tandem affinity purification (TAP) tag [33]. Expression shut down of the selected rps by shifting the corresponding yeast mutant strains for four hours to glucose containing medium prevents their assembly into newly synthesized ribosomal particles and leads to specific pre-rRNA processing phenotypes [9]. Accordingly, depletion of rpS9 and rpS11, the homologous of the *E. coli* primary *in vitro* binders of the 18S rRNA 5′ domain, and depletion of rpS13 and rpS14, homologous of the *E. coli* primary and tertiary binders of the central domain, led to a strong accumulation of 35S and 23S pre-rRNAs, while 20S pre-rRNA was not any more detectable (Fig. 2A–B, compare 32/35S signals in lanes 1,5,13, and 17 with 32/35S signals in lanes 3,7,15 and 19, respectively, see also Fig. S1 for a scheme of yeast rRNA processing and Fig. 1 for an illustration of the *in vitro* assembly map of the *E. coli* SSU). Such a rRNA processing phenotype is consistent with a strong delay of early SSU processome dependent processing events in the 5′ external transcribed spacer (5′-ETS) at A0 and A1 and in the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS-1) at site A2. Depletion of rpS22, the homologue of the second *E. coli* primary *in vitro* binder of the central domain, resulted also in accumulation of 35S and 23S pre-rRNAs. In addition, a pre-rRNA species migrating slightly faster than 23S pre-rRNA accumulated in this strain, indicating residual processing at site A0 (Fig. 2A–B, compare lane 11 with lane 9). Shut down of expression of rpS3, the homologue of the primary *E. coli* *in vitro* binder of the 3′ major domain, led to some residual appearance of 20S pre-rRNA, indicating that processing in the 3′-ETS and ITS-1 at sites A0, A1, and A2 was strongly affected, but not completely blocked in this strain (Fig. 2A–B, compare lane 23 with lane 21). In contrast, accumulation of 20S pre-rRNA in strains depleted of rpS15, homologue of the *E. coli* *in vitro* secondary binder of the 3′ domain, showed that processing in the 5′-ETS at sites A0 and A1, and in the ITS-1 at site A2 could still efficiently occur (Fig. 2A–B, compare lane 27 with lane 25). These observed pre-rRNA processing phenotypes were in good agreement with the ones previously observed after knock downs of yeast [9] and human [34] ribosomal protein genes. In several cases (RPS11, RPS9, RPS13, RPS14, RPS5) they resembled the ones...
**Figure 2. Analysis of pre-rRNAs co-purifying with UTP-A or UTP-B components after in vivo depletion of r-proteins of the SSU.** The indicated yeast strains carrying galactose inducible alleles of the indicated SSU r-protein genes in combination with TAP-tag fusion alleles of UTP-A component Utp4p (A), or UTP-B component Pwp2p (B), were either cultivated in medium containing galactose (Gal) as carbon source or were transferred to glucose containing medium (Glu) and cultivated for additional four hours to turn off the expression of the respective r-proteins. TAP-tagged bait proteins were affinity purified via their Protein A moiety using IgG sepharose beads. The amount of purified bait protein was monitored by Western blotting (lower panels) and co-purified pre-rRNA species were analysed by Northern blotting (upper panels) using oligo 1819, which hybridizes in ribosomal precursor rRNAs between 18S and 5.8S rRNA sequences and detects 35S, 32S, 23S, and 20S pre-rRNAs (see Fig. S1). Equal signal intensities of input (In) and beads (IP) fractions in Northern blots correspond to 1% co-precipitation of the respective rRNA. Efficiencies of 35S
Pre-rRNA purification normalized to the values obtained for cells grown in permissive conditions are indicated in the lower panels. For the Western blot analyses equal signal intensities of input (In) and beads (IP) correspond to 20% precipitation of the TAP-tagged bait protein. The strains are ordered in regard to the binding of the respective r-proteins to the three major secondary structure domains of the 18S rRNA. Prokaryotic homologues of rpS11, rpS9, rpS22, rpS13, and rpS5 are primary rRNA in vitro binders. Prokaryotic homologues of rpS15 and rpS14 are secondary/tertiary in vitro binders of the assembly trees initiated by binding of the homologues of rpS13 and rpS5, respectively (see Fig. 1).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032552.g002

In vitro tertiary SSU processome components, identified in total by 249 to 485 peptides from extracts of the corresponding yeast strains grown at permissive conditions efficient co-purification of 23S, 32S, and 35S pre-rRNAs was observed, indicative for their expected association with early pre-ribosomes (Fig. 2A–B, compare lanes 1,5,9,13,17,21 and 25 with lanes 2,6,10,14,18,22 and 26). In vivo depletion of none of the tested r-proteins led to a significant reduction in association of Utp4p-TAP or Pwp2p-TAP with early 32/35S pre-rRNA containing pre-ribosomes (Fig. 2A–B, compare 32/35S signals in lanes 3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 23 and 27 with 32/35S signals in lanes 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24 and 28 respectively). In most of the cases 32/35S pre-rRNAs co-purified with higher efficiency (up to 3.5 fold increase in purification efficiency) with these SSU processome components, suggesting that their interaction with pre-ribosomal particles was even stabilized. Moreover, Utp4p-TAP and Pwp2p-TAP stayed associated with partially processed 23S and 22S/21S pre-rRNA accumulating in the analyzed ribosomal protein gene mutants.

In summary, these results showed that none of the analysed r-protein assembly events are important for efficient association of members of the UTP-A and UTP-B SSU processome sub-modules with early pre-ribosomes. The data furthermore indicated that their average dwell time on pre-ribosomes increases in the absence of the tested r-proteins.

Analysis of the protein composition of early pre-ribosomes in yeast mutants affected in 18S rRNA 3’ or central domain assembly events

To analyse the role of individual r-proteins in SSU processome sub-module association with early pre-ribosomes on a more global level we studied the ribosome biogenesis factor composition of early ribosomal precursor complexes produced in yeast conditional r-protein gene mutants. Pre-ribosomes were affinity purified from yeast conditional mutant strains in which expression of the 3’ domain constituent rpS5 or the central domain constituents rpS13 or rpS14 was shut down. RpS5 and rpS14 are located adjacent to each other in the cleft formed between the head and the platform of the SSU [31,32]. Association of S11, the prokaryotic homologue of rpS14, with rRNA depends in vitro on previous assembly of S15, the prokaryotic homologue of rpS13 (see Fig. 1). According to the results shown in Figure 2, association of Utp4p-TAP with early pre-ribosomes is not reduced in any of the corresponding conditional r-protein gene mutants (Figure 2A, compare input lanes with Ip lanes in glucose conditions). Utp4p-TAP was affinity purified from cultures of wildtype cells and from cultures of the respective conditional r-protein gene mutants shifted to restrictive conditions. Affinity purified Utp4p-TAP fractions were analyzed by semi-quantitative mass spectrometry as indicated in Materials and Methods [35,36]. The experiments were repeated several times and a dataset of in total eight comparisons between Utp4p-TAP fractions purified from wildtype cells with the ones purified from conditional yeast mutants of RPS3, RPS13 or RPS14 was further analysed by statistical clustering algorithms. More than 50 SSU processome components, identified in total by 249 to 485 peptides in the individual experiments (confidence interval >95% for individual peptides), could be detected in five or more of the eight experiments and were included in the statistical analysis. The statistical analysis indicated that the ribosome biogenesis factor composition of early pre-ribosomes prepared from rpS13 and rpS14 depleted cells were largely similar to each other but differed from the ones purified from rpS5 deleted cells and from wildtype cells (see Fig. 3A). This observation argued for the experimental setup being sufficiently robust for a comparison of the ribosome biogenesis factor composition of early pre-ribosomal particles. As shown in Figure 3B the analyses revealed three main groups of SSU processome components (Noc4p/Nop14p group, Utp22p/Rrp7p group and UTP-A/UTP-B group). Individual members of one group behaved similar to each other but significantly differed in their co-purification with Utp4p-TAP when compared to members of the other groups. In contrast to most members of the UTP-A/UTP-B group, members of the Noc4p/Nop14p group tended to be underrepresented in pre-ribosomes depleted of either rpS5, rpS13, or rpS14. Members of the third major group, the Utp22p/Rrp7p group, were by tendency underrepresented in pre-ribosomes depleted of central domain binders rpS13 and rpS14, but not after depletion of the primary head domain binder rpS5.

In summary, these analyses suggested that stable binding of two defined, overlapping groups of SSU processome components to early pre-ribosomes is affected by inhibition of specific 18S rRNA central or 3’ domain assembly events.

Detailed analysis of the impact of specific r-protein assembly events on the association of Noc4p with early pre-ribosomes

Noc4p was identified above as a member of the Noc4p/Nop14p group of SSU processome components tending to be underrepresented in early pre-ribosomes purified from yeast cells depleted of rpS5, rpS13, or rpS14. We were interested to characterize in more detail the influence of r-protein assembly events on association of Noc4p with early pre-ribosomes. Conditional mutants of several SSU r-protein genes were constructed which express a chromosome encoded C-terminal TAP-fusion allele of Noc4p. The selected conditional r-protein gene mutants were the same as the ones studied in the experiments shown in Figure 2 and therefore included again the head domain binder rpS15 and the central domain/platform binder rpS14 together with the yeast homologues of five primary E. coli in vitro binders interacting with different regions of the SSU rRNA (see Fig. 1). Noc4p-TAP was affinity purified from extracts of these mutants either grown in permissive or restrictive conditions. As expected for a SSU processome component, Northern blot analyses indicated that Noc4p-TAP co-purified significant amounts of early SSU rRNA precursors (23S and 32/35S pre-rRNAs) from extracts of cells grown in permissive conditions (Fig. 4, compare 23S and 32/35S signals in lanes 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, 21 and 25 with 32/35S signals in lanes 2, 6, 10, 14, 18, 22 and 26). In vivo depletion of the various r-proteins led to the expected pre-rRNA processing phenotypes (Fig. 4, compare 32/35S signals in lanes 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, 21 and 25 with 32/35S signals in lanes 3,7,11,15,19,23 and 27, compare also with Fig. 2 and [9]). Interestingly, Noc4p-TAP efficiently co-purified large amounts of accumulating early 32/35S pre-rRNAs from extracts of a subset of the analyzed r-protein gene mutants.
Figure 3: Analysis of changes in ribosome biogenesis factor composition of early 40S pre-ribosomes purified from cells after in vivo depletion of SSU r-proteins rpS5, rpS13, or rpS14. The yeast strain TY1907 (wildtype) expressing chromosome encoded TAP tagged Utp4p, and conditional mutant yeast strains expressing chromosome encoded TAP tagged Utp4p and carrying in addition galactose inducible alleles of RPSS.
shifted to restrictive conditions (RPS11, RPS9, RPS22, RPS15, see Fig. 4, compare 32/35S signals in lanes 3, 7, 11 and 15 with signals in lanes 4, 8, 12 and 16). By contrast, the efficiency of co-purification of early 32/35S pre-rRNA with Noc4p-TAP from extracts of strains depleted of another subset of r-proteins (rpS13, rpS14, rpS5) was reduced close to background levels, though co-precipitation was still detectable (Fig. 4, compare 35S/32S signals in lanes 15, 19 and 23 with signals in lanes 16, 20 and 24, quantification of the signals (see Materials and Methods) indicated a reduction of purification efficiency by a factor of 10). As stated

\[
\text{Interaction with 18S rRNA:}
\]

| Domain       | Yeast strain: | Galactose inducible RPS: | Carbon source: | Fraction: |
|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 5’ Domain    | TY1892       | RPS11 Gal                | In            | 35S/32S   |
| 5’ Domain    | TY1897       | RPS9 Glu                 | Ip            | 35S/32S   |
| 5’ Domain    | TY1902       | RPS22 Gal                | In            | 23S       |
| 5’ Domain    | TY1897       | RPS13 Glu                | Ip            | 22S/21S   |
| 5’ Domain    | TY2108       | RPS14 Gal                | In            | 20S       |
| 5’ Domain    | TY1241       | RPS5 Glu                 | Ip            | 20S       |
| 5’ Domain    | TY90         | RPS15 Gal                | In            | 20S       |
| Central domain | TY1907       | RPS13 Glu                | Ip            | Normalize 35S IP Efficiency: |
| Central domain | TY1893       | RPS15 Glu                | Ip            | 1         |
| Central domain | TY1902       | RPS9 Glu                 | Ip            | 1.1       |
| Central domain | TY1897       | RPS22 Glu                | Ip            | 1.2       |
| Central domain | TY2108       | RPS13 Glu                | Ip            | 1.3       |
| Central domain | TY1241       | RPS14 Glu                | Ip            | 1.4       |
| Central domain | TY90         | RPS15 Glu                | Ip            | 1.5       |
| 3’ Domain    | TY1892       | RPS11 Gal                | In            | 1         |
| 3’ Domain    | TY1897       | RPS9 Glu                 | Ip            | 1.1       |
| 3’ Domain    | TY1902       | RPS22 Glu                | Ip            | 1.2       |
| 3’ Domain    | TY1897       | RPS13 Glu                | Ip            | 1.3       |
| 3’ Domain    | TY2108       | RPS14 Glu                | Ip            | 1.4       |
| 3’ Domain    | TY1241       | RPS5 Glu                 | Ip            | 1.5       |
| 3’ Domain    | TY90         | RPS15 Glu                | Ip            | 1.5       |

**Figure 4.** Analysis of pre-rRNAs co-purifying with Noc4p-TAP after in vivo depletion of r-proteins of the SSU. The yeast strains carrying galactose inducible alleles of the indicated SSU r-protein genes in combination with TAP-tag fusion alleles of Noc4p were either cultivated in medium containing galactose (Gal) as carbon source or were transferred to glucose containing medium (Glu) and cultivated for additional four hours. Noc4p-TAP was affinity purified via its Protein A moiety using IgG sepharose beads. The amount of purified Noc4p-TAP was monitored by Western blotting (lower panels) and co-purified pre-rRNA species were analysed by Northern blotting (upper panels) using oligo 1819, which hybridizes in ribosomal precursor rRNAs between 18S and 5.8S rRNA sequences and detects 35S, 32S, 23S, and 20S pre-rRNAs (see Fig. S1). Equal signal intensities of input (In) and beads (IP) fractions in Northern blots correspond to 1% co-precipitation of the respective rRNA. Efficiencies of 35S pre-rRNA purification normalized to the same input levels were shown in the lower panel. For the Western blot analyses, equal signal intensities of input (In) and beads (IP) correspond to 20% precipitation of the TAP-tagged bait protein. The strains are ordered in regards to the binding of the respective r-proteins to the three main secondary structure domains of the 18S rRNA. Prokaryotic homologues of rpS11, rpS9, rpS22, rpS15, rpS14, rpS5 were in vitro binders. Homologues of rpS13 and rpS14 were secondary/tertiary in vitro binders in the assembly trees initiated by binding of the homologues of rpS13 and rpS5, respectively (see Fig. 1).

**doi:**10.1371/journal.pone.0032552.g004
Figure 5. Analysis of ribosome biogenesis factors co-purifying with Noc4p after in vivo depletion of rpS5, rpS13, or rpS22. The yeast strain TY96 (wildtype) expressing chromosome encoded TAP tagged Noc4p and yeast conditional mutant strains TY1241, TY1897, and TY1902, expressing chromosomal encoded TAP tagged Noc4p and carrying in addition galactose inducible conditional alleles of RPS5 (TY1241), RPS13 (TY1897), or RPS22 (TY1902) were cultivated in medium containing galactose as carbon source and were subsequently transferred to glucose.
containing medium and cultivated for additional four hours. Noc4p-TAP was affinity purified from corresponding cellular extracts using IgG coupled magnetic bead matrix. Affinity purified proteins were digested using trypsin and the resulting peptides from each sample were labelled with different iTRAQ reagents. Labelled peptides of wildtype samples were combined with labelled peptides of samples derived either from the conditional mutant of RP55 (A), RPS13 (B), or RPS22 (C) and were then further analyzed as described in material and methods. Average iTRAQ ratios of each SSU processome component identified by more than one peptide are indicated in (A)–(C). Numbers in brackets behind SSU processome component names indicate the number of peptides (confidence interval >95%) by which the respective protein was identified. (D) shows a heatmap representation of the three datasets. The factors are ordered according to a clustering analysis (see material and methods). Boxes in red colours represent relative enrichment and boxes in green colours relative deprivation of a protein in Noc4p-TAP fractions purified from mutant versus wildtype cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032552.g005

above, E. coli homologues of rpS13 and rpS14 belong to the central domain assembly tree which is implicated in folding of the SSU platform. RpS5 is located adjacent to rpS14 in the head - platform cleft and, S7, the SSU platform. RpS5 is located adjacent to rpS14 in the head - central domain assembly tree which is implicated in folding of the E. coli above, rpS22 (see Fig. 5C and heatmap representation in Fig. 5D). This suggested that association of Noc4p and its interaction partner Nop14p/Noc5p [24,25] with SSU processome complexes lacking rpS5 or rpS13 was destabilized. In addition, these analyses confirmed the results of the (pre-) rRNA precipitation experiments (Fig. 4) that Noc4p-TAP continued to be stably incorporated in SSU processomes formed in the absence of rpS22 (see Fig. 5C and heatmap representation in Fig. 5D).

Noc4p is required for efficient assembly of the 18S rRNA 3’ domain

The previous observations indicated that rpS5, rpS14, and rpS13 driven assembly and folding events in the SSU platform and head domain will have an impact on the association of Noc4p with early pre-ribosomes. Interestingly, inactivation of Noc4p was shown to lead to an rRNA processing and transport phenotype closely resembling the one observed after shut down of rpS5 expression (compare Figs. 2 and 4, lane 23 with Fig. 6, lane 3, 7, 11, 15 and 19, see also references [24,9]).

We investigated next, whether Noc4p might be involved in assembly of rpS3 or other SSU r-proteins. A temperature sensitive mutant of NOC4, noc4–8 [24], was transformed with a collection of vectors supporting constitutive expression of Flag tagged r-proteins binding in all three major secondary structure domains of the 18S rRNA, respectively [10]. The constructs used complement the essential functions of the corresponding r-protein genes (data not shown). The strains were cultivated either at the permissive (24°C) or restrictive temperature (37°C). An anti-Flag immunoprecipitation was performed and (pre-) rRNA species co-purifying with the selected tagged r-proteins were analysed by Northern blotting. It was shown before that almost all r-proteins of the SSU show robust interactions both with mature small ribosomal subunits and with pre-ribosomes containing 20S pre-rRNA, the direct precursor of the mature 18S rRNA [10]. In contrast, their association with pre-ribosomal particles containing less matured rRNA species, like 23S or 35S pre-rRNAs, appeared to be less stable, indicating a gradual or stepwise tightening of r-protein interactions with pre-ribosomes during the course of in vivo maturation of the SSU [10]. Therefore, the efficiency of 20S pre-rRNA co-purification with the different r-proteins in the noc4–8 mutant strain grown at permissive or restrictive conditions was taken as a measure for the successful establishment of a robust assembly state of the respective rpS in nascent ribosomes. The efficiency of individual immunoprecipitation reactions was internally controlled through the analysis of the precipitation efficiency of mature ribosomal subunits (containing the 18S rRNA) in which the Flag-tagged rpS variants were incorporated before shifting the cultures to restrictive conditions. Thus, the amount of precipitated 20S pre-rRNA could be normalised to the amount of precipitated mature 18S rRNA in individual immunoprecipitation experiments. As seen in Figure 6 (compare lanes 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, 21, 25, 29, 33 and 37 with lanes 3, 7, 11, 13, 19, 23, 27, 31, 35, and 39), inactivation of noc4–8 resulted in a decreased level of 20S pre-rRNA, with the amount of early rRNA precursors accumulating in relation to 20S pre-rRNA. Inactivation of noc4–8 led only to minor changes in the 20S pre-rRNA co-purification efficiency with Flag-tagged fusion r-proteins of the 18S rRNA 5’ (rpS9 and rpS11) and central domain (rpS13 and rpS14) (see Fig. 6, compare 18S rRNA and 20S pre-rRNA purification efficiency at permissive (24°C) and restrictive (37°C) conditions for tagged rpS9, rpS11, rpS13 and rpS14, quantification of the signals (see Materials and Methods) indicated 1.1 to 1.6 change in relative co-purification efficiency of 20S pre-rRNA versus 18S rRNA in permissive versus non-permissive condition.). In contrast, the co-purification efficiency of 20S pre-rRNA by Flag fusion r-proteins of the 3’ domain (rpS3, rpS5, rpS15, rpS16, rpS19, and rpS20) was significantly reduced at the restrictive temperature (see Fig. 6, compare 18S rRNA and 20S pre-rRNA purification efficiency at permissive (24°C) and restrictive (37°C) conditions for tagged rpS3, rpS5, rpS15 rpS16, rpS19 and rpS20, quantification of the signals indicated a 5.5 to 5.3 change in relative co-purification of 20S pre-rRNA versus 10S rRNA in permissive versus non-permissive conditions). No assembly defect of r-proteins was detectable by this approach in a wildtype strain cultivated at 37°C (data not shown).

In summary, these data indicated that Noc4p, whose stable association with early pre-ribosomes was suggested by the results of the previous experiments to be dependent on specific assembly events of both the SSU central (platform) and 3’ (head) domains, is itself required for efficient assembly of the SSU head domain.

Discussion

The experiments presented indicate that members of the SSU processome sub-modules UTP-A and UTP-B continue to associate with early pre-ribosomes in strains disrupted in all tested r-proteins. Significantly, lack of assembly of r-proteins whose prokaryotic homologous proteins act according to in vitro reconstitution experiments as primary rRNA binders in five of six prokaryotic SSU assembly trees, did not detectably reduce the association of these SSU processome components with early pre-ribosomes. Accordingly, robust incorporation of the SSU processome sub-module UTP-A into pre-ribosomes does neither depend on the presence of other tested SSU processome components [26,27] nor on the presence of any of the tested r-proteins (see Fig. 2). Altogether, this suggests that the UTP-A complex functions
Figure 6. Analysis of (pre-) rRNAs co-purifying with Flag tagged r-proteins of the SSU in the yeast noc4–8 mutant strain. The temperature sensitive noc4–8 yeast mutant strain (TY40) was transformed with vectors supporting the constitutive expression of Flag tagged SSU r-proteins (see Fig. S4). Overnight cultures of transformants were grown for one generation time in full medium at 24°C to an OD of 0.4 and then cultivated for three hours in full medium at either permissive (24°C) or restrictive (37°C) temperature. The respective Flag-tagged r-protein was affinity purified from cellular extracts using anti-Flag M2 beads and co-purifying (pre-) rRNA species were analysed by Northern blotting using oligo 1819, which hybridizes in ribosomal precursor rRNAs between 18S and 5.8S rRNA sequences and detects 35S, 32S, 23S, and 20S pre-rRNAs (see Fig. S1). Oligo 205, which hybridizes within the 18S region, was used to detect 18S rRNA. Equal signal intensities of input (In) and affinity purified (IP) fractions correspond to 3% co-purification of the respective rRNA. The numbers in the lower panels indicate the efficiencies of 20S pre-rRNA purification divided by the efficiencies of 18S rRNA purification to normalize for possible over-all variations in the individual immuno-purification experiments. However, we note that the changes in 18S rRNA co-purification efficiencies between experiments performed with one transformant
as transient primary binder in the hierarchy of eukaryotic SSU assembly.

Previous work indicated that the UTP-A sub-module in turn acts upstream of other SSU processome components including Noc4p [26]. The data presented here indicate that rpS5 and other r-proteins of the head domain are still able to interact in vivo to a certain extent with pre-ribosomes after inactivation of Noc4p. Nevertheless, establishment of more robust interactions of these r-proteins with rRNA required the presence of functional Noc4p. These observations reinforce the previous assumptions [38] that the combined action of SSU processome components plays a crucial role in facilitating such specific assembly events, as the conversion of initial, weak r-protein - pre-18S rRNA interactions into a stable complex.

Several hypotheses can be taken into consideration on how SSU processome components might drive specific assembly events. Establishment of robust interactions of most SSU r-proteins with 18S rRNA precursors correlates in normal conditions with SSU processome dependent cleavage events in the 5'ETS and the ITS-1 regions leading to 20S pre-rRNA [[10]; see also Figure 6, compare Flag-rpS co-purification efficiencies of 20S pre-rRNA and 18S rRNA with the ones of 23S and 35S pre-rRNAs at permissive conditions]. Hence, most SSU r-proteins show stabilized association with 20S pre-rRNA containing pre-ribosomes. SSU processome dependent pre-rRNA cleavage events leading to 20S pre-rRNA, in particular cleavage at site A59, were recently suggested to induce a conformational switch in pre-ribosomes [39] which might be a prerequisite for distinct r-protein assembly events. Nevertheless, the cleavages leading to 20S pre-rRNA seem not to be sufficient to drive progression of r-protein assembly since tightening of r-protein - pre-rRNA interactions is clearly affected on the level of the residual amounts of 20S pre-rRNA which is still produced in the absence of rpS5 expression [10,40] or after inactivation of Noc4p (Fig. 6).

In contrast to r-proteins, SSU processome components interact strongly with largely un-processed nucleolar pre-ribosomes and weaker with more matured precursor particles (see for example Figs. 2 and 4, compare co-purification efficiencies of 20S pre-rRNA with pre-rRNA complexes [31,32]). The data strongly suggest that pre-rRNA complex formation is dependent on the participation of r-proteins and on the establishment of r-protein - pre-rRNA interactions. In agreement with this, the suggested SSU rRNA binding sites of the U3 snoRNA and snR30, another snoRNA essential for early pre-rRNA processing, are incompatible with the two major intramolecular rRNA contacts between the central and 5' secondary structure domains observed in mature SSUs [31,32]. Enzymatic activities, as for example RNA helicase activities, predicted for a few of the SSU processome components [43,44], or potential direct contacts between SSU processome sub-modules and r-proteins might also contribute to stabilise transient r-protein-rRNA interactions [45]. Future in vitro studies on the impact of Noc4p and other SSU processome components on pre-rRNA folding and on the assembly of r-proteins should help to understand in more detail the mode of their action in early steps of eukaryotic SSU maturation.

A subset of SSU processome components (Rrp7p/Utp22p group in Fig. 3B) including the RNA helicase Rok1p and the UTP-C sub-module members Rrp7p and Utp22p were identified here to be specifically affected in their association with early SSU precursors after in vivo depletion of rpS13 and rpS14. The E. coli homologues of rpS13 and rpS14, S15 and S11, are primary and tertiary binder of one of the central domain assembly trees important for folding of the SSU platform. Inactivation or in vivo depletion of Rok1p, Rrp7p, Utp22p, rpS13, or rpS14 (and other central domain binders as rpS1 and rpS27) leads to similar early 18S pre-rRNA processing phenotypes [9,46–40]. Interestingly, overexpression of Rps27, which binds in the SSU rRNA central domain adjacent to rpS13 [31,32], rescues the lethal phenotype of yeast rps7 deletion mutants [48]. In addition, in vivo depletion of the helicase Rok1p was shown to affect specifically the pre-rRNA association of snR30 [49]. SnR30 is one of the three small nucleolar RNAs essential for early steps of rRNA maturation [50] which was recently shown to bind in vivo to sequences of the eukaryotic specific expansion segment 6 in the rRNA central domain [42]. These data further indicate a specific functional link between the SSU central domain assembly state and early SSU precursor interactions of factors as Rok1p and UTP-C sub-module members.

Other SSU processome components (Noc4p/Nop14p group in Fig. 3B) were affected in their association with early SSU precursors not only by in vivo depletion of rpS13 and of rpS14, but also after shut down of RPS5 expression. RpS5 binds in the SSU head domain adjacent to the platform constituent rpS14. Its E. coli homologue S7 is the primary binder of the in vitro assembly tree of SSU head domain r-proteins. Consistent with this, yeast rpS5 is required for efficient in vivo assembly of the eukaryotic SSU head constituents rpS3, rpS10, rpS15, rpS16, rpS19, rpS20, rpS28 and rpS29 [10]. Several SSU processome components whose association with early SSU precursors were affected by rpS5 depletion were shown previously to interact with each other or with constituents of the SSU head domain. Interactions between Bms1p and Rd1p were observed in vitro [51,52] and in two ex-vivo co-purification experiments [17,27]. Large scale analyses revealed genetic interactions between Noc4p and Utp30p [53] and between Utp30p and Rrp7p [54]. Moreover, Noc4p forms a salt resistant protein complex with Nop14p [25,55]. Nop14p interacts in two hybrid assays with Emg1p/Nep1p [56], a pseudouridine N1-methyltransferase required for methylation of pseudouridine 1191 in the yeast SSU head domain [57]. The lethal phenotype of an emg1 deletion mutant strain was shown to be rescued by overexpression of RPS19B [45], whose gene product rpS19 is stably incorporated into the SSU head domain in a Noc4p (see above) and rpS5 dependent way [10]. Finally, pre-rRNA interaction sites and localization of Enp1p were recently mapped in the SSU rRNA 3' domain [58,59] and Enp1-TAP fusion proteins showed reduced efficiency in co-purification of early pre-ribosomal particles after depletion of Noc4p (see Fig. S5, note that Noc4p depletion did not significantly affect the association of Utp4p, Pwp2p, Utp22p or Imp3p with early pre-ribosomes). In conclusion, these data reinforce the existence of a functional interaction network among members of the Noc4p/Nop14p group (Fig. 3B) and SSU head domain constituents.

Interestingly, Noc4p was affected in its association with early pre-ribosomes by in vivo depletion of rpS5 and the central domain binders rpS13 and rpS14, being itself required for r-protein assembly events in the SSU head domain. One straightforward interpretation of these observations is that a distinct central
domain assembly state has to be established to allow efficient recruitment of Noc4p to pre-ribosomes. Noc4p, potentially together with other factors as Nop1p, Emg1p and Enp1p, could then facilitate in a cooperative way downstream r-protein assembly events in the SSU head domain. In such a scenario, the SSU processome component Noc4p coordinates early steps of in vivo folding and assembly of the central and the 3' major 18S rRNA secondary structure domains thereby providing a quality control checkpoint in the process of eukaryotic SSU assembly.

Materials and Methods

Yeast strains and microbiological procedures

Yeast strains used in this study are listed in Figure S2. To construct strains expressing endogenously TAP-tagged SSU processome factors (Utp4p, Pwp2p, Noc4p, Enp1p, Utp22p, Imp3p) the TAP-URA3-cassette on plasmid pBS1539 was PCR-amplified using the respective primers given in Figure S3 [61]. The purified PCR product was transformed into competent yeast cells [62] and the correct genomic integration of the TAP-URA3 cassette was verified by selection for uracil prototrophy on appropriate minimal medium (SCG-URA) and Western blot analysis. Description of yeast strains, oligos and plasmids used in this study are indicated in Figures S2, S3, S4. The strains conditionally expressing certain SSU r-protein genes were cultivated at 30°C in YPG (1% yeast extract, 2% bacto peptone, 2% galactose); expression of the respective genes was shut down by shift to YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% bacto peptone, 2% glucose) for 4 hours at 30°C.

The temperature sensitive noc4–8 strain was transformed with plasmids coding for the respective SSU proteins fused to the FLAG tag (see Fig. S4) and cultivated overnight at 24°C in appropriate minimal medium (SCD-Ura). After overnight cultivation the culture was diluted in YPD and grown for 3 h at 24°C. The culture was then split and one part was incubated for 3 h at 24°C whereas the other part was incubated for 3 h at 37°C.

Northern Blotting analyses

RNA was extracted by hot phenol-chloroform treatment [24] and resolved on denaturing agarose gels (1.3% agarose (Invitrogen), 2% formaldehyde; 0.1 mg/ml ethidium bromide; 1 × MOPS buffer (20 mM MOPS, 2 mM NaOAc, 1 mM EDTA, pH7)) as described in [63]. Gels were run for 14–16 h at 40 V in electrophoresis buffer (1 × MOPS buffer, 2% formaldehyde). The transfer from the gel onto the positively charged membrane (Positive TM, MP-Biomedica) was performed in 10 × SSC buffer by applying a vacuum of 5 bar for 90 min using a vacuum blotter (Biorad). Hybridization was performed in 5 × SSC by applying a vacuum of 5 bar for 90 min using a vacuum blotter (Biorad). Hybridization was performed in 5 × SSC, 0.1% SDS, 5 × Denhardts solution at 30°C. The sequence identity of oligos used for detection of different (pre-) rRNAs is indicated in Figure S3. The blots were washed twice for 15 min with 2 × SSC at 30°C. Labelled rRNA signals were detected by exposing the membrane to a Phosphoimager screen and using a Phosphor Imager FLA3000 (Fujifilm). Data were quantified using Multi-Gauge V3.0 (Fujifilm).

Western Blotting analyses

Expression and precipitation levels of TAP-tagged biogenesis factors in the conditional rpS strains were determined by Western blot analysis. Same amounts of whole cell extracts, were analyzed using PAP visualisation reagent (DakoCytomation, Z 0113) in a dilution of 1:3000 for detection of the TAP-tag. Noc4p was detected by a rat monoclonal anti-Noc4p antibody. Protein signals were visualised by chemiluminescence using a Fluorescence Image Reader LAS3000 (Fujifilm). Data were quantified using Multi-Gauge V3.0 (Fujifilm).

Co-immunoprecipitation of (pre-) rRNPs using IgG or anti-FLAG antibody coupled sepharose beads

Affinity purification of tagged proteins on respective IgG or anti FLAG antibody coupled sepharose beads was performed as described in [40] with the following modifications. The cell pellet corresponding to 100 ml yeast culture with OD660 = 0.8–1.0 was resuspended in 500 µl cold A200 buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 200 mM KCl, 5 mM MgOAc, 0.2% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT, 2 mM Benzanidine, 1 mM PMSF) containing 0.04 U/µl RNasin. A cell lysate was prepared by vigorous shaking of the cell suspension with 1.4 ml glass beads (Ø 0.75–1 mm) in a IKA-Vibrax VXR shaker for 20 min, followed by 2 min on ice and another 20 min shaking in the Vibrax. The cell lysate was cleared from cell debris by two centrifugation steps, 1×5 min at 14000 rpm and 1×10 min at 14000 rpm. The protein concentration of the cleared lysate was determined using the Bradford assay. 6 mg of whole protein extract was incubated with 120 µl of equilibrated (3× washing with A200 buffer) IgG coupled sepharose beads slurry (Amersham) and rotated for 1.5 h at 4°C. The beads were washed 7 times (1×1 ml, 5×2 ml and 1×10 ml) with cold A200 buffer in a 10 ml column. For the precipitation of TAP tagged biogenesis factors the washed beads were split and 1/6 was used for protein analysis by Western blotting, whereas 5/6 was used for RNA analysis by Northern blotting.

Co-immunoprecipitation of (pre-)rRNA using 90 ul of anti-Flag M2 beads slurry (Sigma) was performed essentially the same as with IgG coupled sepharose beads. All washed beads were used for RNA analysis by Northern blotting.

Affinity purification using IgG coupled magnetic beads

Affinity purification of pre-ribosomal particles was performed essentially as described in [64] with the following modifications. The cell pellet corresponding to 2.5 l yeast culture with OD660 = 0.8–1.0 was resuspended in 1.5 ml of cold MB buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 200 mM KCl, 5 mM MgOAc, 2 mM Benzanidine, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT and 0.04 U/µl RNasin) per gram of cell pellet, 800 µl of this cell suspension was added to 1.4 ml glass beads (Ø 0.75–1 mm) and divided into 2 ml reaction tubes. A cell lysate was prepared by vigorous shaking of the cell suspension in a IKA-Vibrax VX8 shaker at 4°C for 20 min, followed by 2 min on ice. This procedure was repeated twice. The cell lysate was cleared from cell debris by two centrifugation steps, 1×5 min at 14000 rpm and 1×10 min at 14000 rpm. The protein concentration of the cleared lysate was determined using the Bradford assay. Triton X-100 (0.5%) and Tween 20 (0.1%) was added to the cell lysate. The whole amount of cell lysate (typically 2.0–2.4 ml with 120–180 mg of total protein) was incubated for 1 hour at 4°C with 250 µl of IgG (rabbit serum, E506-100MG, Sigma) coupled magnetic beads slurry (1 µm BeMag, PC-102, Bioclot) equilibrated in MB buffer containing 0.5% Triton X-100 and 0.1% Tween. The beads were washed four times with 700 µl cold MB buffer with 0.5% Triton X-100 and 0.1% Tween 20 and were then washed two times with AC buffer (100 mM NH4OAc pH 7.4, 0.1 mM MgCl2) to remove remaining salt from the sample. Bound proteins were eluted twice with 500 µl of freshly prepared 500 mM NH4OH solution for 20 min at RT. Both eluate fractions were pooled and lyophilised over night.

Comparative MALDI TOF/TOF analyses

The lyophilised protein samples were resuspended in 20 µl dissolution buffer (iTRAQ™ labelling kit, Invitrogen) and
reduced with 5 mM Tris-(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine at 60 °C for 1 h. Cysteins were blocked with 10 mM methyl-methanethiosulphonate (MMTS) at room temperature for 10 min. After trypsin digestion for 2 h at 37 °C, trypic peptides of the purifications of interest were labelled with different combinations of the four iTRAQ™ reagents according to the manufacturer (Invitrogen). The differentially labelled peptides were combined and typhosphiled [35,36].

The combined differently labelled peptides were dissolved for 2 h in 0.1%TFA and loaded on a nano-flow HPLC-system ( Dionex) harbouring a C18- Pep-Mep column (LC-Packings). The peptides were separated by a gradient of 5% to 95% of buffer B (80% acetonitrile/0.05% TFA) and fractions were mixed with 5 volumes of CHCA (alpha-cyano-4-hydroxy cinnamic acid; Sigma) matrix (2 mg/ml in 70% acetonitrile/0.1%TFA) and spotted online via the Probot system (Dionex) on a MALDI-target.

MS/MS analyses were performed on an Applied Biosystems 4700 or 4800 Proteomics Analyzer MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometer operated in positive ion reflector mode and evaluated by searching the NCBI mr protein sequence database with the Mascot search engine (Matrix Science) implemented in the GPS Explorer software (Applied Biosystems). Laser intensity was adjusted due to laser condition and sample concentration. The eight most intense peptide peaks per spot detected in the MS mode were further fragmented yielding the respective MS/MS spectra.

The peak area for iTRAQ TM reporter ions were interpreted and corrected by the GPS-Explorer software (Applied Biosystems) and Excel (Microsoft). An iTRAQ ratio average of all peptides of a given protein was calculated. Hierarchical clustering analysis of datasets derived from several experiments was done with cluster 3.0 software [65] using the “log2 transform data” and the “median center arrays” settings for data adjustment and the euclidean distance and centroid linkage settings for gene and array clustering. Data were normalized before cluster analyses by setting the respective Utp4p-TAP iTRAQ ratios to one. Java Treeview was used for cluster visualization (see http://www.eisenlab.org/ eisen/?page_id = 42).

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Schematic view of the processing of SSU rRNA precursors in S. cerevisiae. The upper panel shows a schematic drawing of the primary transcript including the 18S, 5.8S, and 25S rRNA genes, the external transcribed spacers (5’ETS and 3’ETS), and the internal transcribed sequences (ITS-1 and ITS-2). In addition, the known processing sites are depicted. Processing starts at site B0 yielding the first detectable rRNA transcript, the 35S pre-rRNA. The processing steps marked by big arrows indicate the major processing pathway of the SSU. Cleavage at sites A0 and A1 generates the first detectable rRNA transcript, the 35S pre-rRNA. The processing steps marked by big arrows indicate the major processing pathway of the SSU. Cleavage at sites A0 and A1 generates the 33S and 32S pre-rRNAs. Further processing at sites A0, A1, and A2 results in the 22S, 21S, and 20S pre-rRNAs, respectively. 23S, 22S, and 21S pre-rRNAs also accumulate in mutants in which processing at sites A0, A1, and A2 is fully or partly inhibited. The hybridisation sites of probes 205 (10S) and 1819 (ITS-1) are depicted. (TIF)

Figure S2 Yeast strains used in this study. (PDF)

Figure S3 Oligos used in this study. (PDF)

Figure S4 Plasmids used in this study. (PDF)

Figure S5 Analysis of (pre-) rRNAs co-purifying with UTP-A, UTP-B, or UTP-C SSU processome components and with Enp1p after in vivo depletion of Noc4p. The yeast strains TY1903, TY1904, TY1905, TY1906, and TY2112 expressing chromosome encoded TAP tagged Utp4p, Pwp2p, Utp22p, Imp3p, and Enp1p, respectively, and carrying in addition a galactose inducible conditional allele of NOC4 were either cultivated in medium containing galactose as carbon source (on) or were transferred to glucose containing medium (off) and cultivated for additional 16 hours. TAP fusion proteins were affinity purified from corresponding cellular extracts using IgG coupled Sepharose beads. In vivo depletion of Noc4p and the amount of the purified bait proteins were monitored by Western blotting (middle and lower panels) and co-purified pre-rRNA species were analysed by Northern blotting (upper panel) using oligo 1819, which hybridizes in ribosomal precursor rRNAs between 18S and 5.8S rRNA sequences and detects 35S, 32S, 23S, and 20S pre-rRNAs (see Fig. S1). Equal signal intensities of input (In) and beads (IP) fractions in Northern blots correspond to 1% co-precipitation of the respective rRNA. Efficiencies of 35S pre-rRNA purification normalized to the values obtained for cells grown in permissive conditions are indicated in the lower panel. For the Western blot analyses equal signal intensities of input (In) and beads (IP) correspond to 20% precipitation of the TAP-tagged bait protein. (TIF)
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