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INTRODUCTION

Massage has been extensively used as muscle conditioning and therapeutic option in a long tradition with a widespread use, and has been defined as a mechanical manipulation of body tissues with rhythmical pressure and stroking for the purpose of promoting health and well-being. Previous research has suggested some biomechanical, physiological, and psychological benefits of massage interventions, such as an performance recovery, reduced muscle tension or pain sensitivity.

In the sport context, massage appear to have a positive influence on physical conditioning functional variables like flexibility, range of motion, or muscle spasm. In this regard, the intervention on functional physical variables, especially flexibility, seems to be crucial to prevent injuries in athletics. In addition, the fact that mood state affects physical and psychological well-being as well as performance in sports has been widely documented in the scientific literature. Previous studies suggest that massage could increase the secretion of endorphins, dopamine, and serotonin, and reduce the levels of stress hormones, such as cortisol or epinephrine, the reduction of which can reduce anxiety, fatigue, stress, and improve mood states. Indeed, several studies on the psychological effects of massage have concluded that it produces positive effects in the athletes performance and injury recovery.

However, it is well known that some of the effects of massage may be due to non-specific factors. Manual therapy may result in changes in neurophysiological function, such as a reduction in medullary excitability or in inflammatory markers, regardless of the technique or modality used. On the other hand, it is well known that the
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patient’s expectations and beliefs about manual therapy intervention may also play a role in the effects of massage.\(^{13}\)

Despite the general belief of the benefits and the widespread use of massage in the sport field, there are limited empirical data on possible effectiveness of massage. It is possible that the effects commonly associated with massage, and the different techniques of classical massage, may be due to unspecific effects of manual therapy. The main objective of the present study was to compare the effectiveness of classic massage against light touch intervention on functional physical variables and mood state in athletes.

**METHODS**

**Study Design**

A single-blind randomized pilot trial was designed. The study protocol follows the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement on randomized trials of nonpharmacological treatments.\(^{14}\) All procedures were approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Valencia (H1480696803077). This study was registered in the United States Randomized Trials Register on clinicaltrial.gov (trial registry number: NCT03178604).

**Subjects**

A total sample of 20 athletes was recruited from two athletic clubs. The athletes were considered amateurs, as they belonged to amateur clubs that did not engage professionally in sport, although they trained and competed locally.

Participants were recruited between June and August 2017. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) male and female amateur athletes aged 17 to 30 years. The exclusion criteria were: (a) previous surgery of the locomotor system in lower limbs; (b) musculoskeletal injuries in any part of the body that prevent practicing sports; (c) understanding or communication difficulties; and (d) insufficient fluency in the Spanish language to follow measurement instructions. All data were collected at the University of Valencia.

Informed written consent was obtained from all the participants prior to inclusion. All the participants were explained the study procedures, which were designed according to the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration.

**Procedure**

Participants were randomized in two groups: Massage Group (MG) \((n = 10)\) and Control Group (CG) \((n = 10)\). The intervention period lasted one month \((1\) session per week).

Participant assessment was performed twice: prior to the study and one day after finishing the intervention. All the treatments were applied in decubitus, on both legs, and on each muscle group, and following this order: quadriceps, hamstring, and gastrocnemius, thus starting at the anterior part and ending at the posterior part. All sessions were conducted immediately after intense training of the participants. This training was the usual one of the participants in their clubs, being similar between the participants and the different sessions.

The intervention was performed by a physiotherapist specialized in sports rehabilitation, with more than five years working with athletes through manual therapy. In addition, therapist was specialized in sports massage, with specific training and experience in this field.

In all the sessions, the participants were asked about possible discomfort caused by the treatment received. The treatment was performed in the sports installations of the clubs immediately after training. A comfortable and relaxed room was set up for the intervention. During the treatment, the therapist did not use music or talk to the patient, except as necessary, to avoid unspecific effects that could influence the results. Participants were asked to maintain normal habits but avoid caffeine or vigorous exercise at least 24 hours before the remaining assessments.

**Blinding**

First, participants were randomized in two groups through computer software by an external assistant who was blinded to the study objectives. In addition, the assessments were performed by a researcher who was blinded to the purpose of the study, and subjects were blinded to group allocation. Finally, a different researcher, blinded to the aim of the study, performed the data analysis.
Interventions

Massage group (MG)
Classic massage techniques were included. The sequence of techniques used was as follows: 5 min of effleurage, 10 min of petrissage, and 5 min of tapotement (20 min in total). The pressure exerted for the techniques was determined by the Watson pressure scale, using the highest levels of pressure (strong and deep pressure). This procedure was repeated for each leg.

Control group (CG)
This group received a control intervention at the same time as the other group (20 min), maintaining only light touch with the participant without exerting any mechanical pressure, which corresponds to a light lotioning pressure.

Primary Outcomes: Physical Functional Variables

Hip flexion
It was evaluated by the passive straight leg raise (SLR). Passive SLR was conducted using a procedure previously described by Hopper et al. A knee extension splint (Richards splint) and an ankle-foot orthosis were applied to the left leg to maintain the knee in full extension and the ankle at a neutral plantar angle. An inclinometer was attached to a belt, which was placed around the pelvis at the level of the anterior superior iliac spine (Baseline Bubble Inclinometer; Fabrication Enterprises, White Plains, NY, USA). A second inclinometer was then attached to the Richards splint at the level of the knee joint line. Three measures were collected for each leg. Subsequently, the mean between both legs was used for analysis. The units were expressed in degrees. The SLR procedure has excellent intrarater reliability, with an intraclass correlation coefficient [ICC] of 0.93–0.97.

Knee extension
Passive knee extension was measured as described by Hopper et al. Each subject was positioned in supine with the right leg strapped to the plinth. A crossbar was used to maintain the right hip at 90° flexion. An inclinometer was placed in line with the fibula and the knee was passively extended until the subject perceived the first stretch in the hamstring. At this point, the measurement of the inclinometer was recorded. The units were expressed in degrees. The participants were positioned with their hands on the abdomen, cervical spine in a neutral position, and eyes closed. The same procedure was used with the left leg. Three measures were collected for each leg. Subsequently, the mean between both legs was used for analysis. The correlation coefficient was found to be 0.99.

Secondary Outcomes: Psychosocial Variables

Mood state
Mood state was assessed using the Spanish version of the Profile of Mood States (POMS) questionnaire, whose reliability and validity have been demonstrated. The POMS questionnaire has shown to be useful in different settings, particularly in sports. It is composed of 48 items measuring six mood situations: Anger, Depression, Tension, Fatigue, Vigor, and Friendliness. Reliability coefficients (Cronbach's alpha) for the factors ranged from 0.76 (Friendliness) to 0.91 (Anger). Each item is assigned a numeric value following a Likert format, with 5 response alternatives with values between 0 (not at all) and 4 (extremely).

Patient and Public Involvement
This research was done without patient involvement. Patients were not invited to comment on the study design and were not consulted to develop patient relevant outcomes or interpret the results. In addition, it was not possible to invite the patients to contribute to the writing or editing of this document for readability or accuracy.

Statistical Analysis
The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 22, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software was used for the statistical analysis. Standard statistical methods were used to obtain the mean and standard deviation (SD). Inferential analyses of the data were performed using two-way mixed multivariate analysis of variance (ANOVA), with an intersubject factor called ‘group’ having two categories (MG and CG) and a within-subject factor called ‘treatment’ with two
randomly assigned to two balanced groups consisting of 10 subjects per group (Figure 1). No adverse effects were reported during interventions or testing of functional physical variables.

Descriptive Results

Both groups presented similar mean ages of participants, being 21.9 ± 5.5 for MG and 19.7 ± 2.4 for GC. Similarly, participants’ gender was similar between both, as in MG the ratio between men and female was equal (50%), while in CG there were more male participants (60%). One way ANOVA did not show statistically significant differences in any of the baseline measurements between the two groups, except for the total POMS score (p = .029) (Table 1).

Functional Physical Variables

Regarding hip flexion, the ANOVA revealed significant differences during group*time (F = 7.88, p = .012, $\eta^2 = .305$) and time (F = 47.09, p < .001, $\eta^2 = .723$). The post hoc analysis revealed statistically
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Figure 1. Flow chart according to CONSORT statement for the report of randomized trials.
Psychological Variables: The Mood State

Regarding the POMS scores, the ANOVA revealed significant differences in total score during time (F = 7.107, p = .016, η² = .283), but not for the group*time interaction (F = 0.22, p = .644, η² = .012). The post hoc analysis revealed significant between-group postintervention differences with a large effect size (p = .037, d = -1.01). In relation to the within-group differences, only the CG group revealed significant differences with a moderate effect size (p = .04, d = .52), while these changes were not found in the MG (p = .902) (Table 2).

In addition, due to the presence of significant preintervention differences, we directly compare differences between pre- and postintervention among groups (ΔCG at post–pre vs. ΔMG at post–pre). The ANOVA revealed no significant differences in total POMS score (F = .221, p = .644).

Sample Size Calculation

The sample size was estimated with the program G*Power 3.1.7 for Windows (G*Power© from University of Dusseldorf, Germany). (21) The sample size calculation was considered as a power calculation to detect between-group differences in a primary outcome measures (passive knee extension). We considered two groups and two measurements for primary outcomes to obtain 95% statistical power (1-β error probability) with an α error level probability of 0.05 using analysis of variance (ANOVA) of repeated measures, within-between interaction, and an effect size of η_p² = 0.244.

Table 2. Within-Group Comparison Analysis

| Measure                  | MG (n=10) | CG (n=10) | p Value | Effect Size (d of Cohen) | MG (n=10) | CG (n=10) | p Value | Effect Size (d of Cohen) |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|
| Pre                      | Post      | Pre       | Post    |                          |            |           |         |                           |
| Hip Flexion (°)          | 105.2 (14.38) | 108.3 (13.3) | p = .05 | d = 0.23                 | 111.8 (4.68) | 112.1 (4.5) | p = .07 | d = 0.18                 |
| Knee Extension (°)       | 19.8 (5.45)  | 21.6 (10.99) | p = .002 | d = 0.19                | 23.8 (12)  | 19.7 (5.16) | p = .87 | d = 0.02                |
| POMS–Total               | 51.7 (5.71)  | 50.3 (5.92)  | p = .09  | d = 0.24                | 57.1 (4.38) | 55.1 (3.17) | p = .04 | d = 0.52                |

*Values are mean ±SD and n (%).

a p < .05

CG = control group; MG = massage group; POMS = Profile of Mood States; ° = degrees.
obtained from our results. This generated a sample size of a total of 30 participants plus an estimated 20% loss in follow-up, yielding a total of 36 participants (18 per group). In order to perform a future study, we used the data obtained in this research to conduct a sample size calculation.

**DISCUSSION**

The main objective of the present study was to compare the effectiveness of classical and light touch massage on functional physical variables and mood state in athletes. Our results suggest that only the MG was effective in improving physical functional variables. However, both interventions showed improvements regarding the mood state, but only in the CG was this change significant. These findings should be considered with caution due to the low sample size and the low statistical power derived from it.

**Functional Physical Variables**

There is scarce evidence regarding the effect of massage techniques on physical functional variables. Barlow et al.\(^{(22)}\) carried out a study to evaluate the effects of effleurage and petrissage massage techniques applied to the hamstring muscle on the lower back flexibility in asymptomatic subjects. Although the results did not show statistically significant differences between groups, the authors noted that the subjects who presented a lower score in the pre-intervention Sit and Reach Test experienced a higher percentage of change after the massage intervention.\(^{(22)}\) In addition, Hopper et al.\(^{(16)}\) performed a study on female hockey players, applying effleurage, petrissage, and shaking massage techniques on the hamstring muscles. The results showed statistically significant short-term changes in the flexibility of said muscles measured using the passive knee extension test.\(^{(16)}\) Similarly, Huang et al.\(^{(2)}\) reported increased hip flexion following 10 or 30 sec of deep pressure massage on the hamstrings musculotendinous junction using a specific friction massage technique performed by a manual therapist, using the fingertips. In contrast, Jay et al.\(^{(23)}\) found that superficial and shallow massage yielded no changes either in muscle flexibility or in range of motion. The authors postulated that the application of superficial massage might be insufficient to increase range of motion, whereas a deeper stimulus targeting the musculotendinous junction might be needed for this purpose.\(^{(23)}\)

It has also been reported in the literature that, at the neurophysiological level, massage is able to induce a decrease in the excitability of motor neurons at the medullary level. This decrease is greater during higher deep maneuver techniques of massage; thus this might be related with the findings of the present study.\(^{(24,25)}\) It has been suggested that the effects of massage may be explained in part by the mechanical pressure exerted during the intervention and not only for nonspecific effects, since this could stimulate improved muscular compliance and increase blood flow. These mechanisms may explain the improvements obtained both in the range of motion and in the hamstring muscles flexibility.\(^{(3)}\)

**Psychological Variables**

Regarding psychological variables, participants within the CG showed significant improvements in the total POMS measure. However, it is necessary to take into account the limitations in the sample size, and to consider these results as trends that should be interpreted with caution.

These trends are related with previous research in the scientific literature, where massage applications has shown to produce an increase in the emotional state levels after the intervention.\(^{(26,27)}\) Therefore, our results suggest that massage could be an effective strategy to improve concentration. This is especially relevant in the athlete population, since previous research has evidenced that concentration in sports can be a key factor to tackle competitive challenges, massage being a useful tool contributing towards success in the competition.\(^{(28,29)}\)

The study conducted by Hemmings\(^{(30)}\) reported that a massage intervention yielded statistically significant differences in terms of a decrease in the perceived pressure, showing the positive psychological effects of massage compared to a placebo-based massage or non-intervention in boxers. In line with these findings, Li et al.\(^{(31)}\) reported associations between high levels of anxiety and a higher prevalence of injury. In addition, Kennedy et al.\(^{(32)}\) examined massage effect on psychological variables such as sleep, stress and quality
improvements on physiological measures, and this should be considered. These results enhance the significance of beliefs and expectations in the context of massage therapy, but these findings should be considered with caution.
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