Neglect of Presence of Bacteria Leads to Inaccurate Growth Parameters of the Oligotrich Ciliate Strombidium sp. During Grazing Experiments on Nanoflagellates
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Oligotrich ciliates play a key role in linking microbial food webs to the traditional grazing food chain. Hence, the numerical (growth) and functional (grazing) responses of oligotrich ciliates are very important issues in studies of marine ecosystems. Most oligotrich ciliates feed mainly on nanoflagellates, while some of them also have the ability to consume bacteria. Up until now, studies of ciliates grazing on algae have not specifically excluded the effects of bacteria. In the present study, we found that the presence of bacteria in the algal culture medium affected the growth and grazing rates of ciliates grazing on nanoflagellates, resulting in an overestimate of gross growth efficiency at low relative algal concentrations. Strombidium sp. is prey selective mainly grazing on bacteria at low relative algal concentrations, but on algae at high relative algal concentrations. Carbon obtained from ciliate grazing on bacteria should be taken into account in the coastal zone surveys and especially in culture experiments to avoid unreasonable results of carbon flow.
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INTRODUCTION

Oligotrichia, which mainly comprise the Choreotrichida and Oligotrichida, are the dominant group of ciliates in the microzooplankton (Agatha, 2011). Oligotrich ciliates are important consumers in the microbial loop and play a key role in linking microbial food webs to the traditional grazing food chain (Azam et al., 1983; Gifford, 1991; Pierce and Turner, 1992; Liu et al., 2005). The growth and grazing responses of oligotrich ciliates are very important issues in studies of marine ecosystems, inasmuch as they are among the factors that affect energy transfer (Verity, 1985; Calbet and Saiz, 2005). The composition of oligotrich ciliates often changes greatly depending on both biotic and abiotic factors (Fuhrman et al., 2006). Hence, studies of the relationship between a single species of oligotrich ciliate and its prey provide fundamental data for understanding the physiological differences between different kinds of prey.

Some studies suggest that food size (Fenchel, 1986; Jonsson, 1986; Bernard and Rassoulzadegan, 1990; Hansen et al., 1994) and food quality (Chen et al., 2010; Montagnes et al., 2011) determine the feeding behavior of ciliates (Löder et al., 2011). However, size may only be a physical constraint (Thurman et al., 2010) while other features of the prey are also likely to play an important role in the ciliates grazing process. Yang et al. (2015), for example, suggested that the swimming mode of algal cells is important in affecting the prey selectivity of ciliates.
Although most oligotrich ciliates ingest mainly nanoflagellates, some of them such as *Strombidium sulcatum* also have the ability to consume bacteria (Rivier et al., 1985; Bernard and Rassoulzadegan, 1990). *Strombidium sulcatum* occurs in many marine areas and is easy to culture (Jiang et al., 2011; Wickham et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2011). As a result, it has come to serve as a model organism (Fenchel and Jonsson, 1988).

Previous studies of bacterial consumption by this species have used pico-sized prey, including live bacteria, heat-killed bacteria, fluorescently labeled bacteria (FLB), and non-living particles (Fenchel and Jonsson, 1988; Allali et al., 1994; Dolan and Šimek, 1997; Christaki et al., 1998). Studies of ciliate grazing on algae have also been done, but without controlling for the presence of bacteria (Montagnes, 1996; Gismervik, 2005). The only effective way to reduce the effect of bacteria and to make the culture sterile is to add antibiotics to the medium, but this method can affect the health of both the predator and the prey (Turner and Lloyd, 1971; Hagenbuch and Pinckney, 2012).

The presence of bacteria in the algal culture medium will inevitably affect the results of growth and grazing experiments of ciliates feeding on nanoflagellates. Yang et al. (2015) found that cultured *Strombidium cf. sulcatum* did not ingest algae significantly but still showed growth; they considered that this was due to the appearance of bacteria in the algal culture medium. Ignoring bacteria ingested during the experiments could result in underestimates of the ingestion rates of the ciliates as well as overestimates of their growth rates and gross growth efficiencies (GGE). At low relative algal concentrations, Chen et al. (2010) reported greatly random values of GGE, with some being remarkably high.

In order to understand (1) the grazing selection of ciliates on haptophytes (T-ISO) versus bacteria, and (2) the influence of the presence of bacteria in grazing experiments of ciliates on haptophytes, we studied the growth of the bacterivorous oligotrich ciliate *Strombidium* sp., isolated from the coastal waters of northeastern Taiwan, under two culture conditions, viz., (I) grazing on the haptophyte *Isochrysis galbana* (T-ISO) with bacteria present in the water column and (II) grazing only on bacteria isolated from the rice-grain-raised water column.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Cultures

*Strombidium* sp. was collected from coastal waters of northeastern Taiwan. A single-cell culture of *Strombidium* sp. in a 6-well culture plate was fed on bacteria and maintained at 25°C in a 12:12 light:dark cycle at 100 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in 0.2 µm filtered seawater at a salinity of 30 (practical salinity scale). Before culturing, a petri dish (Boeco, about 9 cm across; water depth about 1 cm) with several raw rice grains in 0.2 µm-filtered seawater was prepared and set aside for a week under the same light and temperature conditions as the ciliates in order to grow bacteria and keep in exponential growth phase. The haptophyte *Isochrysis galbana* (T-ISO) was maintained at 20°C in a 12:12 light:dark cycle at 100 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in f/2-filtered seawater (Guillard and Ryther, 1962) at a salinity of 30 in a culture flask (BD Falcon) and kept in exponential growth phase.

### Growth, Ingestion and GGE

Growth and ingestion experiments at different food concentrations were conducted in six-well cell culture plates (GeneDireX) using T-ISO (in non-germ free condition, i.e., with bacteria in the water column) and bacteria (isolated from the rice-grain-raised water column) as prey, respectively, as the two culture conditions. Algal and bacterial food ranged from 150–2 × 10⁴ cells mL⁻¹ and 10⁴–10⁶ cells mL⁻¹, respectively, with values chosen to represent a range of saturated and unsaturated conditions based on preliminary experiments. Before the experiment, individual *Strombidium* sp. cells were isolated with a capillary tube (Kibble, AK71900-00100), transferred to a well of 0.2-µm-filtered sterile seawater, washed gently, and transferred to another two wells in succession in order to remove or substantially dilute any bacteria on the cell surface or in the water. Afterwards, each *Strombidium* sp. cell was maintained in 0.2-µm-filtered sterile seawater and allowed to starve for 1 day to empty the residual food vacuoles in each cell for the standardization of the growth and ingestion experiments. Light and temperature conditions were the same as for culture maintenance of ciliates, as described above.

For use in experiments and as controls, T-ISO were placed in triplicate 12 mL f/2 filtered seawater (GeneDireX) in concentrations of 150, 300, 625, 1250, 2500, 5000, 10000, and 20000 cells mL⁻¹. Before transferring *Strombidium* sp. cells into the wells, a 2 mL water subsample was collected from each well in a cryogenic tube (Nalgene), fixed by 1% PFA (paraformaldehyde) and stored in a −80°C freezer for later analysis with a flow cytometer (BD) to obtain the initial prey concentrations. Ten starved *Strombidium* sp. cells were transferred into each experimental well; none were introduced to the control wells. Light and temperature conditions for the experiments were the same as for the culturing ciliates as described above. After 3 days of culture, another 2 mL water subsample was collected from each well in order to check the final prey concentrations by flow cytometer as above. The remaining 8 mL of water in each well was fixed with Lugol's iodine to a final concentration of 5% in order to count the *Strombidium* sp. cells under an inverted microscope (NIKON Optiphoto-2, JAPAN). The growth and ingestion experiments for *Strombidium* sp. on bacteria alone were conducted the same way as those on T-ISO with initial bacteria concentrations of 10⁴, 10⁵, and 10⁶ cells mL⁻¹.

Specific growth rates of *Strombidium* sp., µ (d⁻¹), were calculated between the initial and final sampling points:

\[
\mu = \ln \left( S_f / S_0 \right) t^{-1}
\]

where \(S_0\) is the measured concentration of *Strombidium* sp. at the beginning of incubation, \(S_f\) is the measured concentration at the end of incubation, and \(t\) is the time of incubation in days.

Ingestion rates of *Strombidium* sp., I (ng C ciliate⁻¹ d⁻¹), were calculated according to the equation of Frost (1972) as modified...
by Heinbokel (1978), which accounts for depletion of prey and any change in predator number over the incubation period as:

\[ I = \frac{(g \times P)}{S} \]

where \( g \) (specific grazing rate) = \( V_n - V_p \), the difference between prey net growth rate without predator (\( V_n \)) and with predator (\( V_p \)), mean prey concentration \( P = (P_f - P_0) \times [\ln(P_f \times P_0^{-1})]^{-1} \) and mean predator concentration \( S = (S_f - S_0) \times [\ln(S_f \times S_0^{-1})]^{-1} \).

Growth and ingestion rate data, as a function of prey concentration, were fit to a modified Michaelis-Menten equation (Montagnes, 1996) using the trial and error method (Paasche, 1973) to get the best regression analysis by estimating \( x_0 \) (prey concentration threshold) from \( x \) (prey concentration) in advance:

\[ V = V_{\text{max}}(x - x_0)/[K_m + (x - x_0)] \]

where \( V \) is the ciliate growth or ingestion rate, \( V_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum rate, \( x \) is the prey concentration, \( x_0 \) is the feeding threshold (x-intercept), and \( K_m \) is the half-saturation constant (where \( \mu = 1/2 \times V_{\text{max}} \)), which describes how rapidly \( V \) approaches its maximum.

The gross growth efficiency (GGE) of Strombidium sp. was calculated as the ratio of ciliate growth in terms of carbon to ingested prey carbon. The carbon contents per cell for Strombidium sp., Isochrysis galbana (T-ISO) and bacteria are 2.62 ng C cell\(^{-1}\) according to the C : vol ratios of 0.19 pg \( \mu \)m\(^{-3}\) (Putt and Stoecker, 1989), 15.4 pg C cell\(^{-1}\) (Chen et al., 2010) and 149 fg C cell\(^{-1}\) according to its mean volume of 1 \( \mu \)m\(^3\) observed under an epifluorescence microscope and its carbon-volume ratios from 51 to 241 fg C \( \mu \)m\(^{-3}\) (Vrede et al., 2002), respectively.

Protistan growth rate can be modeled as linear functions of temperature (Atkinson et al., 2003; Montagnes et al., 2003; Kimmanase et al., 2006). In the present study, the growth rates of free-living protists were normalized to 25°C using linear regression (0.07 d\(^{-1}\) °C\(^{-1}\), Montagnes et al., 2003) in Table 1. However, Kimmanase et al. (2006) suggested that ingestion increases linearly with increasing temperature between 8 and 15°C, not in the range of culture temperature in Table 2. The difference in ingestion rates between oligotrich ciliates might be related to the size of grazers and the temperature used in the studies (Yang et al., 2015). Hence, the grazing rates were not normalized in the present study.

**RESULTS**

**Growth rate of Strombidium sp.**

The numerical response of Strombidium sp. feeding on T-ISO (in the presence of bacteria) and on bacteria alone are shown in Figure 1. The hyperbolic regression equation of each growth curve was obtained using the trial-and-error method, in which \( \mu_{\text{max}}, K_G \) and \( x_0 \) were 1.31 d\(^{-1}\), 67.4 ng C mL\(^{-1}\) and 55.0 ng C mL\(^{-1}\), respectively, when grazing on T-ISO (in the presence of bacteria) and 0.83 d\(^{-1}\), 67.1 ng C mL\(^{-1}\) and 12.4 ng C mL\(^{-1}\), respectively, when grazing on bacteria only.

The maximum growth rate (\( \mu_{\text{max}} \)) of Strombidium sp. on T-ISO (in the presence of bacteria) was higher than that on bacteria alone, showing that T-ISO is a better prey than bacteria for growing Strombidium sp. The half-saturation constants (\( K_G \)) for two culture conditions were similar, indicating the same requirement of prey concentration to achieve half of the maximum growth rate. The threshold value (\( x_0 \)) of Strombidium sp. grazing on bacteria was lower than that for T-ISO (in the presence of bacteria), but the growth rate was nonetheless positive even under low bacterial concentrations, demonstrating an important role for bacteria.

**Ingestion Rate of Strombidium sp.**

Figure 2 shows the functional response of Strombidium sp. on different preys. For Strombidium sp. grazing on T-ISO (in the presence of bacteria), the curve matched hyperbolic distributions produced using the trial-and-error method, with \( I_{\text{max}} = 36.0 \) ng C ciliate\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\), \( K_f = 73.5 \) ng C mL\(^{-1}\) and \( x_0 = 4.9 \) ng C mL\(^{-1}\). In contrast, the ingestion rate of Strombidium sp. on bacteria in the algal medium could not be fitted to a modified Michaelis-Menten equation.

As for Strombidium sp. feeding on bacteria alone, the ingestion rate did fit a modified equation produced by the trial-and-error method, with \( I_{\text{max}} = 9.6 \) ng C ciliate\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\), \( K_f = 26.5 \) ng C mL\(^{-1}\) and \( x_0 = 11.8 \) ng C mL\(^{-1}\). The maximum ingestion rate of Strombidium sp. grazing on Isochrysis galbana (T-ISO) (in the presence of bacteria) was higher than that on bacteria alone.

**Gross Growth Efficiency (GGE) of Strombidium sp.**

The gross growth efficiency (GGE) of Strombidium sp. was between 6 and 15% when grazing on T-ISO (in the presence of bacteria), but between 12 and 20% when grazing bacteria only (Figure 3). The GGE at low prey concentration with negative growth and ingestion rates is shown as 0.

**DISCUSSION**

**Growth Rate of Strombidium sp.**

Ciliates can generally undergo 1–2 binary fissions per day (Pierce and Turner, 1992; Perez et al., 1997), which means that they can grow as fast as, or even faster, than their preys such as phytoplankton (Kamitsutera, 2015). Studies of the relationship between growth rate and various environmental factors will help us to understand the dynamic changes of ciliates in the natural environment (Müller and Geller, 1993). In the present study, the growth rate parameters fitted to the curves of the modified Michaelis-Menten equations were within the range of those recorded all over the world (Table 1), with a maximum growth rate (\( \mu_{\text{max}} \)) of 0.11–3.50 d\(^{-1}\), a half-saturation constant (\( K_G \)) of 8.5–940.6 ng C mL\(^{-1}\) and a threshold value (\( x_0 \)) of 6–327 ng C mL\(^{-1}\) at culture temperatures between 15 and 26°C. For Strombidium sp. grazing on T-ISO (in the presence of bacteria) at a temperature of 25°C, these parameters were similar to those of Chen et al. (2010), who used the same prey Isochrysis...
TABLE 1 | Parameters of growth rates for oligotrich ciliates in previous studies (laboratory culture).

| Predator          | Prey                                      | $\mu_{\text{max}}$ (d$^{-1}$) | $K_G$ (ng C mL$^{-1}$) | $x_0$ (ng C mL$^{-1}$) | References |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Strombidium sp.   | Isochrysis galbana and bacteria            | 1.31                          | 64.7                   | 55.0                   | This study |
| Strombidium sp.   | Bacteria                                  | 0.83                          | 67.1                   | 12.4                   | This study |
| Strombidium capitatum | Isochrysis galbana and Chroomonas salina | 1.70                          | 242.2                  | 271                    | Montagnes, 1996 |
| Strombidium siculum | Thalassiosira pseudonana                  | 1.20                          | 35.0                   | 16.0                   | Montagnes, 1996 |
| Strombidium siculum | Isochrysis galbana and Chroomonas salina and Rhodomonas lens | 1.03                         | 26.0                   | 11.0                   | Montagnes, 1996 |
| Strombidium vestitum | Nephroselmis pyriformis                  | 1.84                          | 36.0                   | 7.0                    | Gismervik, 2005 |
| Strombidium acutum | Nephroselmis pyriformis                  | 1.51                          | 48.0                   | 23.0                   | Gismervik, 2005 |
| Strombidium concicum | Nephroselmis pyriformis              | 1.62                          | 18.0                   | 6.0                    | Gismervik, 2005 |
| Strombidium sp.   | Nephroselmis pyriformis                  | 1.72                          | 114.0                  | 24.0                   | Gismervik, 2005 |
| Strombidium cf. sulcatum | Dunaliella sp.          | 1.03                          | 8.5                    | 4.9                    | Yang et al., 2015 |
| Strombidium cf. sulcatum | Pyramimonas sp.              | 0.88                          | 44.9                   | 32.2                   | Yang et al., 2015 |
| Strombidium cf. sulcatum | Prorocentrum sp.            | 0.81                          | 13.5                   | 13.3                   | Yang et al., 2015 |
| Strombidium cf. sulcatum | Unidentified cryptophyte sp. | 0.81                          | 26.5                   | 22.8                   | Yang et al., 2015 |
| Strombidium sulcatum | Bacteria                    | 4.06                          | 940.6                  | 95.2                   | Rivier et al., 1985* |
| Strombidium neptuni | Chroomonas salina               | 2.48                          | 610.0                  | 327.0                  | Montagnes, 1996 |
| Strombidium venilae | Isochrysis galbana and Chroomonas salina | 1.37                          | 224.0                  | 75.0                   | Montagnes, 1996 |
| Lohmanniella oviformis | Nephroselmis pyriformis      | 1.43                          | 102.0                  | 34.0                   | Gismervik, 2005 |
| Strobilidium spiralis | Nephroselmis pyriformis and Hemiselmis sp. | 2.22                         | 61.0                   | 20.0                   | Gismervik, 2005 |
| Strobilidium sp.   | Isochrysis galbana              | 1.93                          | 50.1                   | 19.6                   | Chen et al., 2010 |
| Strobilidium sp.   | Nannochloropsis sp.             | 3.43                          | 235.4                  | 28.4                   | Chen et al., 2010 |

Maximum growth rate ($\mu_{\text{max}}$) normalized to 25°C by linear regression (0.07 d$^{-1}$ °C$^{-1}$, Montagnes et al. (2003). *Converted to the same units as the present study, assuming a bacteria carbon content of 149 fg C cell$^{-1}$.

TABLE 2 | Parameters of ingestion rates for oligotrich ciliates in previous studies (laboratory culture).

| Predator          | Prey                                      | $I_{\text{max}}$ (ng C ciliate$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) | $K_I$ (ng C mL$^{-1}$) | $x_0$ (ng C mL$^{-1}$) | References |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Strombidium sp.   | Isochrysis galbana                        | 36.0                          | 73.5                   | 4.9                    | This study |
| Strombidium sp.   | Bacteria                                  | 9.6                           | 26.5                   | 11.8                   | This study |
| Strombidium cf. sulcatum | Dunaliella sp.           | 14.71                          | 147.3                  | Gismervik, 2005 |
| Strombidium sulcatum | Bacteria                    | 7.46                          | 53.73                  | Yang et al., 2015 |
| Strobilidium spiralis | Hemiselmis sp.          | 35.40                          | 47.6                   | Gismervik, 2005 |
| Lohmanniella oviformis | Nephroselmis pyriformis | 9.7                           | 848.8                  | Gismervik, 2005 |
| Strobilidium sp.   | Isochrysis galbana              | 202.56                         | 619.0                  | Chen et al., 2010 |
| Strobilidium sp.   | Nannochloropsis sp.             | 167.04                         | 641.0                  | Chen et al., 2010 |

*Converted to the same units as the present study, assuming a bacteria carbon content of 149 fg C cell$^{-1}$.

galbana (T-ISO) at a temperature of 26°C in a similar study of the choreotrich ciliate Strobilidium sp. Both species of ciliate appear to have similar numerical responses at the same prey (T-ISO) concentrations.

The growth rate parameters for Strombidium sp. grazing on bacteria were lower than those observed by Rivier et al. (1985), who fed bacteria to Strobilidium sulcatum. Strombidium sp. could maintain its basic metabolism and showed a positive growth rate at low bacterial concentrations, and in that respect was superior to Strombidium sulcatum at low prey concentrations. However, as the concentration of bacteria increased, the maximum growth rate of Strombidium sp. was lower than that of Strombidium sulcatum, which suggests that bacteria are not the most suitable food for Strombidium sp.

**Ingestion Rate of Strombidium sp.**

The ingestion rate parameters for oligotrich ciliates as recorded by previous studies are shown in Table 2, with a maximum ingestion rate ($I_{\text{max}}$) between 7.5 and 202.6 ng C ciliate$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ and a half-saturation constant ($K_I$) between 26.5 and 848.8 ng C mL$^{-1}$ at temperatures between 15 and 26°C. $I_{\text{max}}$ and $K_I$ of Strombidium sp. grazing on T-ISO (in the presence of bacteria) were lower than those of Strobilidium sp. from Chen et al. (2010), i.e., 36.0 vs. 202.6 ng C ciliate$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ for $I_{\text{max}}$ and 73.5 vs. 619 ng C mL$^{-1}$ for $K_I$, with the same prey Isochrysis galbana (Table 2). The gross growth efficiency (GGE) of Strobilidium sp. was between 10 and 70% at low prey concentrations, but about 10% at high prey concentrations (Chen et al., 2010). Since these two ciliates have similar growth curves with the same prey (T-ISO) as mentioned above (Table 1), the high GGE at low prey concentrations makes it reasonable to speculate that Strobilidium sp. has the ability to ingest bacteria.

$I_{\text{max}}$ was 9.6 ng C ciliate$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ and $K_I$ was 26.5 ng C mL$^{-1}$ when Strombidium sp. grazed on bacteria only. The half-saturation constant ($K_I$) of Strombidium sp. was the lowest in
FIGURE 1 | Growth rate of Strombidium sp. The fitted equations are $\mu = 1.31(x-55)/[67.4 + (x-55)]$ ($r^2 = 0.96$) for grazing on the haptophyte Isochrysis galbana (in the presence of bacteria) and $\mu = 0.83(x-12.4)/[67.1 + (x-12.4)]$ ($r^2 = 1$) for grazing on bacteria only.

FIGURE 2 | Ingestion rate of Strombidium sp. The fitted equation are $I = 36.03 (x-4.9) / [73.5 + (x-4.9)]$ ($r^2 = 0.76$) for grazing on the haptophyte Isochrysis galbana (in the presence of bacteria) and $I = 9.64 (x-11.8) / [26.5 + (x-11.8)]$ ($r^2 = 0.999$) for grazing on bacteria only.

Table 2, and the maximum ingestion rate was lower than that of Strombidium sulcatum grazing on bacteria as observed by Rivier et al. (1985), implying that if ingestion rate increases linearly with increasing temperature, the maximum ingestion rates of Strombidium sulcatum could be larger from 15 to 25°C. Since Strombidium sp. had a higher growth rate when grazing on T-ISO than when grazing on bacteria only, bacteria appear not to be the main prey of Strombidium sp., especially at high prey concentrations, and were only an option when no better prey was available.
Prey Selectivity of *Strombidium* sp.

In Figure 4, the data points, where other two points representing negative ingestion rate were avoided in advance, showing the proportion of ingested carbon derived from bacteria versus the proportion of the carbon content in the environment (i.e., the culture vessel) represented by bacteria did not fall on the diagonal line of equivalency. This shows that the ciliate *Strombidium* sp. engages in prey selectivity. The bacteria in the culture medium accounted for 52–93% of the total prey carbon content in different treatments. *Strombidium* sp. mainly grazed on bacteria when the bacteria accounted for more than 80% of the total prey carbon content in the culture environment, a situation corresponding to a low relative algal concentration, and under those circumstances bacterial ingestion ranged from 60 to 100% of the total (Figure 4). In contrast, when bacteria constituted less than 80% of the total prey carbon content in the culture environment, a situation corresponding to a high relative algal concentration, the proportion of bacteria in the prey ingested by *Strombidium* sp. was less than 20% (Figure 4). Briefly stated, *Strombidium* sp. mainly grazed on bacteria at low relative algal concentrations, but on algae at high relative algal concentrations.

Gross Growth Efficiency (GGE) of *Strombidium* sp.

In early studies, ciliates have demonstrated a gross growth efficiency (GGE) of between 10 and 45%, with a mean of 30% (Straile, 1997). With the difficult of achieving a totally axenic algal culture and researchers’ inattention to the ability of oligotrich ciliates to graze on bacteria in previous studies, it is reasonable to speculate that GGEs at low relative algal concentrations have been substantially overestimated (as when bacteria accounted for more than 80% of the total prey carbon content in the present study), and also slight overestimated at high relative algal concentrations (as when bacteria accounted for less than 80% of the total prey carbon content in the present study), making the overestimation in commonly employed models of carbon flux.

Gross growth efficiencies of the choresotrich ciliate *Strobilidium* sp. grazing on *Isochrysis galbana* was found to be significantly different at different prey concentrations (Chen et al., 2010). At low relative algal concentrations it was inconstant, and unreasonable high (nearly 80%), but it was relatively stable (about 10%) at high relative algal concentrations. It is reasonable to assume that these authors did not control for the presence of bacteria in the algal culture medium (Figure 5). In the present study with grazing on both T-ISO and bacteria taken into account, GGE stayed in a fairly narrow range (6–15%) with no significant overestimates (Figure 5).

Carbon Transfer by Grazing of *Strombidium* sp.

Lee and Fuhrman (1987) reported the coefficient of carbon content conversion of marine bacteria as approximately 20 fg C cell$^{-1}$ and Kroer (1994) found that the carbon content of estuarine bacteria is 117 fg C cell$^{-1}$. Bacteria show different coefficients of carbon content conversion in different environments, ranging from 7 to 149 fg C cell$^{-1}$ (Bjørnsen, 1986; Fagerbakke et al., 1996; Trousselier et al., 1997; Theil-Nielsen and...
Chen et al. Inaccurate GGE Caused by Bacteria

**FIGURE 4** Percentage of total ingested prey carbon content of bacteria when grazing on the haptophyte *Isochrysis galbana* (in the presence of bacteria). The diagonal line means 1:1.

**FIGURE 5** Gross growth efficiency (GGE) of *Strombidium* sp. grazing on T-ISO (in the presence of bacteria) and of *Strobilidium* sp. grazing on *Isochrysis galbana* (Chen et al., 2010).

Sondergaard, 1998; Vrede et al., 2002). Bacteria with a carbon content of 149 fg C cell$$^{-1}$$ were used in the present study.

**Figure 6**, in which a conversion coefficient of 20 fg C cell$$^{-1}$$ for the bacteria was used, in accord with general practice in marine microbial ecology (Lee and Fuhrman, 1987), shows the same result as in **Figure 4** (where bacterial carbon content 149 fg C cell$$^{-1}$$). However, the gross growth efficiency (GGE) shown in **Figure 7** with the bacterial carbon content set at 20 fg C cell$$^{-1}$$ shows an unrealistically high GGE of about 95% at the lowest T-ISO concentration, indicating that the carbon content conversion of bacteria did not fit the value of GGE. In the present study, large bacteria were collected from coastal water, not small bacteria like those in the open ocean, and cultivated in a non-nutrient-limited environment. This makes an estimate of 149 fg C
cell$^{-1}$ for bacterial cell carbon content is more appropriate than one of 20 fg C cell$^{-1}$.

Previous studies have speculated that the concentration of bacteria in the natural environment is not enough to provide sufficient energy for ciliates even if the latter are capable of ingesting them (Fenchel, 1980; Rassoulzadegan and Etienne, 1981; Capriulo and Carpenter, 1983; Jonsson, 1986; Fenchel and Jonsson, 1988), and have therefore assumed that bacteria are not the main source of prey for ciliates (Kamiyama, 2015). However, bacterial concentrations in coastal waters and lakes are high enough to provide ciliates with sufficient energy for growth (Sherr et al., 1989). With the discovery that smaller ciliates ($<20$ µm; Sherr et al., 1986) and other ciliates do graze on bacteria (Borsheim, 1984; Gast, 1985; Rivier et al., 1985), it appears that bacteria do play an important role in carbon transformation, especially in coastal waters.

In the open ocean, the low biomass of bacteria may not be enough to support the growth of ciliates, whose dietary carbon mainly comes from grazing on nano-sized plankton. However, in the coastal zone and especially in culture, carbon obtained from grazing on bacteria should be taken into account. Lack of attention to this

![Figure 6](image1.jpg)

**FIGURE 6** | Percentage composition of total ingested prey carbon content for *Strombidium* sp. under the first culture condition (grazing on haptophyte *Isochrysis galbana* (T-ISO), in the presence of different proportions of bacteria) (calculated with a bacteria cell carbon content of 20 fg C cell$^{-1}$).

![Figure 7](image2.jpg)

**FIGURE 7** | Gross growth efficiency (GGE) of *Strombidium* sp. under two culture conditions: (circle) grazing on the haptophyte *Isochrysis galbana* (T-ISO) in the presence of bacteria, (triangle) grazing on bacteria only (calculated with a bacteria cell carbon content of 20 fg C cell$^{-1}$).
can have a significant impact on the results of quantitative studies of food webs and carbon flow.

CONCLUSION

The greatly random values of GGE, with some being remarkably high, at low relative algal concentrations observed by other researches can be explained in the present study by the prey selective behavior of *Strombidium* sp., which mainly grazed on bacteria at low relative algal concentrations, but on algae at high relative algal concentrations. The presence of bacteria in the algal culture medium affects the growth and grazing rates of ciliates grazing on nanoflagellates, resulting in an overestimate of gross growth efficiency at low relative algal concentrations.
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