Bradymonabacteria, a novel bacterial predator group with versatile survival strategies in saline environments
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Abstract
Background: Bacterial predation is an important selective force in microbial community structure and dynamics. However, only a limited number of predatory bacteria have been reported, and their predatory strategies and evolutionary adaptations remain elusive. We recently isolated a novel group of bacterial predators, Bradymonabacteria, representative of the novel order Bradymonadales in δ-Proteobacteria. Compared with those of other bacterial predators (e.g., Myxococcales and Bdellovibrionales), the predatory and living strategies of Bradymonadales are still largely unknown.

Results: Based on individual coculture of Bradymonabacteria with 281 prey bacteria, Bradymonabacteria preyed on diverse bacteria but had a high preference for Bacteroidetes. Genomic analysis of 13 recently sequenced Bradymonabacteria indicated that these bacteria had conspicuous metabolic deficiencies, but they could synthesize many polymers, such as polyphosphate and polyhydroxyalkanoates. Dual-transcriptome analysis of cocultures of Bradymonabacteria and prey suggested a potential contact-dependent predation mechanism. Comparative genomic analysis with 24 other bacterial predators indicated that Bradymonabacteria had different predatory and living strategies. Furthermore, we identified Bradymonadales from 1552 publicly available 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing samples, indicating that Bradymonadales was widely distributed and highly abundant in saline environments. Phylogenetic analysis showed that there may be six subgroups in this order; each subgroup occupied a different habitat. Conclusions: Bradymonabacteria have unique living strategies that differ from those of so-called “obligate” or “facultative” predators. Thus, we propose a framework to categorize the current bacterial predators into 3 groups: (i) highly prey-dependent predators, (ii) facultatively prey-dependent predators, and (iii) prey-independent predators. Our findings provide an ecological and evolutionary framework for Bradymonadales and highlight their potential ecological roles in saline environments.

Background
Bacterial predators have been proposed as an indispensable selective force in bacterial communities [1-4]. Predation by bacteria can release nutrients [5] and affect biogeochemical cycling. In contrast to phages, bacterial predators do not need to be present in high concentrations to drive significant
bacterial mortality in the environment [6, 7]. In addition, bacterial predators have higher prey-killing efficiency in low-nutrient medium than phages [8]. However, these studies have mostly been based on *Bdellovibrio* and like organisms (BALOs), and little is known of the ecological roles of other bacterial predators.

Predatory bacteria are classified into two categories, obligate or facultative predators, based on their prey-independent or prey-dependent living strategies [9]. Obligate predators include several genera collectively known as BALOs [10]. These predatory bacteria can attack their prey by penetrating the cell wall [11], dwelling in the periplasm and then killing their host [12]. Therefore, their lifestyle depends on the presence of their prey in the environment, and BALOs lose viability within several hours if prey is not available [8, 13]. Facultative predators also include several genera [9], such as *Myxococcus*, *Lyso bacter*, and *Herpetosiphon* [14]. These predators kill their prey by secreting antimicrobial substances into the surrounding environment [9]. In general, facultative predators have been considered those that can be maintained as pure bacterial cultures. However, obligate predators can also be grown as pure cultures on complex microbial extract-based media [15]. Indeed, most so-called obligate predators have a host-independent lifestyle [12, 16]. As a result, the definition “obligate predator” does not fully describe their lifestyle. Thus, it is necessary to develop a different framework to categorize the currently known bacterial predators.

Bradymonabacteria are representative of the novel order *Bradymonadales*, which are phylogenetically located in the 6-Proteobacteria [17]. The first type species of *Bradymonadales*, *Brady monas sediminis* FA350, was isolated in 2015 [17]. To date, 9 strains within the *Bradymonadales* have been isolated and found to belong to 7 candidate novel species; these Bradymonabacteria are bacterial predators [18]. Interestingly, the phylum *Proteobacteria* contains three orders of predatory bacteria. Among them, *Myxococcales* and *Bradymonadales* belong to 6-Proteobacteria, while *Bdellovibrionales* were classified as *Oligoflexia* in 2017 [19]. *Myxococcales* and *Bdellovibrionales* are facultative and obligate predators, respectively. Additionally, they have different distribution patterns in the environment. *Myxococcales* are mainly found in soil and sediment niches [20, 21], while *Bdellovibrionales* are aquatic. However, how *Bradymonadales* adapt to predatory
lifestyles and whether they have specific living strategies or ecological importance remain largely unknown.

Here, we analyzed the predation range of Bradymonadales on diverse bacteria and their predatory morphological and physiological characteristics. By using comparative genomic analysis of Bradymonadales and other predatory bacteria, we revealed the genetic and metabolic potential of this group. To assess the diversity and frequency of occurrence of the various ribotypes of known predators (Bradymonadales, Myxococcales and Bdellovibrionales) on a global scale, we surveyed published 16S rRNA gene amplicon datasets from a number of ecosystems representing a broad range of geographic locations, climatic zones, and salinities. Our study provides an ecological and evolutionary framework for Bradymonadales and highlights their potential ecological roles in predation.

Results

Bradymonabacteria are efficient predators of diverse prey bacteria

In total, 9 strains of bacteria in the novel order Bradymonadales were isolated using the enrichment culture method [22]. Among these strains, FA350T [17, 18] and B210T [23] were the two type strains for different genera in Bradymonadales. Both these type strains were used to investigate the predator-prey range of Bradymonabacteria. A total of 281 isolated bacteria were cocultured with Bradymonabacteria FA350T [17, 18] or B210T [23] as lawns in individual Petri dishes (Fig. 1a, Table S1). Zones of predation were measured (Fig. 1b), and the results showed that the Bradymonabacteria preyed on diverse bacteria but showed a strong preference for Bacteroidetes (90% of tested bacteria could be preyed on) and Proteobacteria (71% of tested bacteria could be preyed on) (Fig. 1c).

Predation on bacteria in the orders Flavobacterales, Caulobacterales, Propionibacterales, and Pseudomonadales was broadly distributed, with a mean predation percentage greater than 90%, while predation of Micrococcales and Enterobacterales was less efficient.

Transmission electronic microscopy (TEM) and scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) analyses were performed to understand the mechanism of predation of strain FA350T on the subcellular level. Lysis
of the prey cells was detected near strain FA350\textsuperscript{T} in both the TEM and SEM analyses (Fig. 2). Strain FA350\textsuperscript{T} was found to have pili (Figs. 2b and 2g) and outer membrane vesicle (OMV)-like structures (Figs. 2d, 2e, 2f, and 2h). In addition, FA350\textsuperscript{T} cells contained intracellular particles with low electron density (Figs. 2b, 2c, 2d, and 2f), which were shown to contain polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) by Nile blue A staining. FA350\textsuperscript{T} cells also contained several electron-dense (black) spots (Figs. 2b, 2c, 2d, and 2f), which indicated the presence of intracellular polyphosphate granules [24]. Both of these particle types significantly accumulated during predation (Fig. 2).

**Bradymonabacteria are polyauxotrophs**

To explore the metabolic capabilities and predation mechanism of this novel group, we analyzed 13 genomes of *Bradymonadales* (9 high-quality genomes sequenced from cultured strains and 4 reconstructed from published studies [25]). The genome size of Bradymonabacteria ranged from 5.0 Mb to 8.0 Mb. Average nucleotide identity (ANI) analysis of the 9 cultured strains of *Bradymonadales* revealed 7 different species [26] (Fig. S1b). Other general features of the genomes are described in the Supplementary Materials (Supplementary Materials Results and Fig. S1a).

Almost all strains (except FA350\textsuperscript{T}) possessed a minimal pentose phosphate pathway, which lacked key steps for the synthesis of ribose 5-phosphate (Fig. 3, Table S2) [27]. Most of the bradymonabacterial genomes lacked key enzymes for pyrimidine synthesis, such as aspartate carbamoyltransferase, which catalyzes the first step in the pyrimidine biosynthetic pathway. All genomes lacked the complete purine *de novo* pathway; they were missing the phosphoribosylaminoimidazole carboxylase catalytic subunit or even the whole pathway.

In addition to this auxotrophy in the synthesis of pentose and nucleotides, all the genomes lacked complete pathways for the synthesis of many amino acids, such as serine, methionine, valine, leucine, isoleucine, histidine, tryptophan, tyrosine, and phenylalanine (Fig. 3). For example, all the genomes encoded a potential D-3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase for the conversion of glycerate-3P into 3-phosphonoxypruvate for amino-acid synthesis (Fig. 3). However, in all members of Bradymonabacteria, this pathway appeared to be blocked at the subsequent step because of the
absence of phosphoserine aminotransferase, although Bradymonabacteria could continue with subsequent pathways to complete the biosynthesis of cysteine and glycine. Additionally, many cofactors and vitamins that promote bacterial growth [22], such as biotin, thiamin, ubiquinone, VB$_{12}$, and VB$_{6}$, could not be synthesized by the de novo pathway in almost all the genomes. Notably, all the genomes had an incomplete pathway for type II fatty acid biosynthesis, lacking the key enzymes 3-oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] synthase I/II (FabB/F) and enoyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] reductase (FabI/L).

**Dual-transcriptome analysis of the potential predation mechanism of Bradymonabacteria**

To further determine the genes involved in predation, we performed dual-transcriptome analysis of *Bradymonas sediminis* FA350$^T$ with and without preying on *Algoriphagus marinus* am2 (Fig. S2). As with obligate predators, one way that Bradymonabacteria kill their prey bacteria is likely by using contact-dependent mechanisms. Here, the bradymonabacterial genomes possessed complete Type IV pili (T4P) (Fig. 3), and the attached areas showed more type IV pili than the unattached areas (SEM, Figs. 2g and 2h). The dual-transcriptome analysis showed that genes encoding the type IV pili twitching motility protein PilT (DN745_17255) were significantly upregulated during predation (Fig. S3), suggesting that these genes may be involved in predation. Bradymonabacteria also had T4b pilins showing homology to those in *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* HD100, in which T4b pilins are necessary for predation [28, 29] (Fig. S4), so T4b pilins may also participate in regulating predation in Bradymonabacteria. In addition, this group of bacteria had type II and type III secretion systems (the YscRSTUV proteins that form a membrane-embedded complex known as the “export apparatus” [30]). The dual-transcriptome analysis also supported the prediction that genes encoding the type III secretion system inner-membrane protein complex (DN745_01900, DN745_10315, DN745_17280, DN745_03325, and DN745_00480) were significantly upregulated during predation (Fig. S3), implying that these genes may also be involved in predation.

Another way that Bradymonabacteria kill their prey bacteria is likely by secreting antimicrobial substances into the surrounding environment. As in most facultative bacterial predators, a few potential antimicrobial clusters of secondary metabolite synthesis, such as Lasso-peptide [31], were
found in almost all genomes of Bradymonabacteria (Fig. 3). Genes involved in OMV-like biosynthesis were also detected in most genomes, such as *ompA* (cell envelope biogenesis protein), *envC* (Murein hydrolase activator) and *tolR* (envelope stability) [32]. Vesicle membrane-related genes (DN745_03865, DN745_02930, and DN745_07125) were significantly upregulated during predation (Table S4, Fig. S3).

**Bradymonabacteria are novel predators different from obligate or facultative predators**

Comparative genomic analysis with other bacterial predators was performed to explore whether Bradymonabacteria have a unique living strategy. Two-way cluster analysis showed that bradymonabacterial genomes contained features different from those of either obligate or facultative predators, which were phylogenetically located in a different branch (Fig. 4). The specific multiple metabolic deficiencies of Bradymonabacteria had some similarities to those of most obligate predators. For example, both Bradymonabacteria and obligate predators possessed a minimal pentose phosphate pathway, lacked key enzymes for pyrimidine synthesis, and lacked complete pathways for the synthesis of many amino acids, cofactors, and vitamins (Fig. 4). However, Bradymonabacteria with multiple auxotrophies could grow on common media (such as marine agar medium), though at a low growth rate [33], unlike obligate predators.

Unlike most obligate predators, the polyphosphate accumulation pathway, containing a pair of genes (Polyphosphate kinase and Exopolyphosphatase) associated with both polyphosphate formation and degradation [34], was present in most Bradymonabacteria (Fig. 4). Polyphosphate accumulation was also detected in FA350<sup>T</sup> cells during predation (Fig. 2). In contrast to most of the other predator genomes, potential PHA synthesis from β-oxidation of fatty acids [35] was observed in most bradymonabacterial genomes (Fig. 3). In this study, TEM analysis showed that strain FA350<sup>T</sup> could significantly accumulate PHAs during predation compared with pure culture (Fig. 2). Despite their incomplete fatty acid biosynthetic pathway, all Bradymonabacteria had a high copy number of long-chain fatty acid transporters (*fadL*) compared to those of other predators, allowing them to gather fatty acids from the environment (Fig. 4). In addition, genes associated with alkane synthesis, which is
important for maintaining cell membrane integrity and adapting to cold environments [36], were present in most genomes of Bradymonabacteria (Figs. 3 and 4). Thus, we proposed that Bradymonabacteria could be categorized as novel predators different from so-called obligate or facultative predators (Table 1).

**Bradymonadales are mainly distributed in saline environments with high diversity**

To evaluate the global prevalence of the *Bradymonadales* order, we surveyed recently published 16S rRNA gene amplicon studies that provided high taxonomic resolution along with relative sequence abundances. The 16S rRNA gene amplicons from 1552 samples were grouped into eight types of environments (Fig. 5a and Table S5). A total of 811 samples were from inland environments, while others were from marine environments, with each biotope showing a somewhat different microbial community (Figs. 5b and S5). Bradymonabacteria was detected in 348 of 741 marine samples (relative abundance >0.01%) but only 20 of 544 soil samples (Fig. 5a). All samples were sorted into an ordination diagram based on the similarity of communities (Fig. 5b). Saline biotopes were clearly separated from nonsaline biotopes (Fig. S6), suggesting that salinity was a significant factor in shaping microbial communities. For each biotope, the relative abundance of *Bradymonadales* in the saline environments (i.e., seawater and saline lake sediment) was significantly higher than that in the nonsaline environments (i.e., nonsaline soil and nonsaline water) (P<=0.0001, Fig. 5c). The distribution analysis was consistent with the genomic feature analysis (Fig. 2), in which several genes encoding sodium symporters and Na⁺/H⁺ antiporters were found in the genomes, suggesting a beneficial effect of salinity on Bradymonabacteria.

In addition, we compared the relative abundance of *Bradymonadales* with those of two orders of well-known predatory bacteria, *Bdellovibrionales* and *Myxococcales* [12, 37, 38]. We found that *Myxococcales* and *Bdellovibrionales* were also globally distributed (Fig. S7); however, *Myxococcales* were more commonly distributed in soil and sediment environments, while *Bdellovibrionales* were more likely to be found in freshwater and seawater (Fig. S7). The total relative abundances of *Bradymonadales*, *Bdellovibrionales*, and *Myxococcales* ranged from 0.7% to 6.4% of the total prokaryotic microbes in all 1552 samples (Fig. S8a). The mean relative abundance of *Bradymonadales*
(0.51%) was similar to that of *Bdellovibrionales* (0.62%) when both were detected in environmental samples (Fig. S8b). In contrast, *Bradymonadales* was one of the most abundant known predatory bacteria in saline lake sediment and saline lake water (Fig. S8c).

To further determine how salinity affected the relative abundance of *Bradymonadales*, we used the Gaodao multipond salterns as a model and applied 16S rRNA gene amplicon, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), and real-time PCR analyses (Figs. S8d and S9). The results showed that *Bradymonadales* appeared in all the tested multipond saltern datasets, accounting for an average of 0.74% of all bacterial sequences and more than 1.0% relative abundance within the range of 80 g/L and 265 g/L salinity (Fig. S8d), significantly higher than those of *Bdellovibrionales* and *Myxococcales*. Detailed descriptions of the effects of salinity on the abundance of *Bradymonadales* are provided in the supplementary materials (Supplementary Materials: Results, Figs. S8d and S9).

To explore the diversity and distinct evolution of bradymonabacterial subgroups in different biotopes, we performed a phylogenetic analysis of nearly full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences of diverse origin by maximum likelihood inference (Table S6). A total of 187 OTUs were detected and found to form six sequence clusters (Fig. 6a). Almost 87.2% of the representative sequences originated from saline biotopes (such as seawater, marine sediments, salterns, corals, and saline lakes). Since bradymonabacterial subgroups may be selectively distributed in local biotopes, we investigated the relative abundance of each subgroup throughout the 127 representative samples in which the relative abundance of *Bradymonadales* was above 1% (Fig. 6b). Five of the 6 bradymonabacterial subgroups showed significantly higher abundance in saline environments. Cluster-2 and cluster-6 were mainly observed in seawater biotopes, whereas cluster-3 was mainly observed in marine sediment and saline lake sediment (Fig. 6b), consistent with the environments of the cultured strains. Cluster-5 lineages tended to occur in both freshwater and seawater biotopes (Fig. 6b).

**Discussion**

In all ecosystems, predation is an important interaction among living organisms. Bacterial predators are proposed to play an important role in controlling and shaping bacterial populations in diverse environments [3, 39]. However, despite their ecological importance, only a few examples of predatory
bacteria have been studied in depth. Recently, many predatory bacteria from various phyla have been isolated from different environments; however, most of their predatory lifestyle strategies and adaptations remain unclear. This study systematically analyzed the predatory lifestyle adaptations, global distribution, and diversity of Bradymonadales; highlighted the ecological role of Bradymonadales; and provided a framework for the categorization of the known predatory bacteria.

In our study, based on comparative genomic and physiological analyses, Bradymonabacteria were shown to be a novel group of bacterial predators with versatile survival strategies different from those of either so-called “obligate” predators or “facultative” predators (Table 1). Furthermore, Bradymonabacteria had multiple metabolic deficiencies. Their incomplete pathways might be important for prey-dependent growth, as the precursor compounds could be acquired from predation. In addition, the loss of genes in the fatty acid biosynthetic pathway was notable, because fatty acids are integral components of the cellular membrane, and their synthesis is considered to be a housekeeping function of cells [40]. Thus, these organisms may incorporate exogenous fatty acids from prey bacteria into their membrane phospholipids using their high copy number of long-chain fatty acid transport proteins [41] (Fig. 3). The gene loss in these organisms may render them dependent on prey for their lost metabolic functions and may also provide a selective advantage by conserving predators’ limited resources [42]. However, the sequenced genomes of Bradymonabacteria were surprisingly large (5.0 Mb to 8.0 Mb, Fig. S1a), suggesting that Bradymonabacteria are far from obligate parasites, with seemingly none of the reductive evolution that results from a parasitic lifestyle in bacteria such as *Mycobacterium leprae* [43]. The large size of their genomes may be indicative of the vast range of genes required for Bradymonabacteria to both effectively tolerate the absence of prey and carry out predation.

In contrast to most predators, Bradymonabacteria can synthesize many nutrient polymers, such as polyphosphate, PHA, and alkane molecules. Exopolyphosphatase catalyzes the hydrolysis of terminal phosphate residues from polyphosphate chains, accompanying the production of ATP and thus playing a role in the production of energy [44]. *Bradymonadales* cells may accumulate polyphosphate in the phosphate-rich zone, using it as an energy source [45]. Meanwhile, PHA granules are
synthesized as sinks of excess carbon and are used as carbon and energy reserves in starvation conditions [46]. Under nutrient starvation, maintenance energy and free amino acids can be provided by endogenous substrates such as PHAs and polyphosphate [47, 48]; this ability may be an important feature for the survival of Bradymonabacteria during intervals without predation. This feature is interesting among bacterial predators, as it is commonly found in animal predation. For example, the bear can store fat in its body to ensure that it will survive the long winter. In addition, Bradymonabacteria may also synthesize alkanes to maintain cell membrane integrity [36] and complement its poor fatty acid synthesis ability. Thus, these multiple auxotrophies and nutrient synthesis polymers confer on Bradymonabacteria a versatile survival strategy for natural environments, in contrast to the currently known obligate or facultative predators.

As bacterial predators, Bradymonabacteria have developed a wide range of mechanisms to attack their prey. Contact-dependent predation mechanisms allow predators to attach to the prey and then carry out predation. This process has a relatively low energy cost and could prevent secretory virulence factors from being diluted by the surrounding environment [49]. Bradymonabacteria also has T4P, which could pull adherent bacteria into close association with other bacteria [50]. T4P could also transport bound substrates such as DNA [51] into the periplasm and export exoproteins across the outer membrane [52]. Contact-dependent Type III secretion systems have also been found in Bradymonabacteria and are reported to be capable of moving virulence factors across bacterial outer membranes and directly across the host cell membrane into the cytoplasm of a host cell [53]. However, no reports have indicated that the type III secretion system is involved in direct combat between bacteria. Whether type III secretory complexes could penetrate the bacterial cell wall is unknown. Further gene knockout experiments and systematic TEM analysis should be performed to identify whether and how the type III secretion system works during predation.

Our biogeographic analysis suggested that Bradymonabacteria are mainly distributed in saline environments, and some other studies have also detected Bradymonadales in hypersaline soda lake sediments [25], suggesting that saline environments could be enriched in these bacteria. Our genome analysis also showed that Bradymonadales had many genes encoding sodium symporters and Na⁺/H⁺
antiporters to maintain osmotic pressure in saline environments. These findings supported the global analysis (Figs. 5 and S8c), suggesting that Bradymonadales might be a dominant bacterial predator in some specific saline environments compared with Bdellovibrionales and Myxococcales. The analysis of the complex intragroup phylogeny of the 6 subgroups of Bradymonabacteria revealed that distinct evolutionary bradymonabacterial subgroups had arisen in different biotopes, suggesting the occurrence of adaptive evolution specific to each habitat. Patterns related to salinity status also suggest that most Bradymonadales are halophiles [17].

Bradymonabacteria had a very high predation efficiency on bacteria within the phylum Bacteroidetes. Members of the phylum Bacteroidetes are one the most abundant groups of bacteria in the ocean. Bacteroidetes are assumed to attach to particles and degrade polymers and have an important role in the carbon cycle of oceans [54]. Thus, a high predation efficiency of Bacteroidetes might provide Bradymonabacteria with important roles in regulating Bacteroidetes communities and affecting the carbon cycle of the oceans. In addition, Bradymonadales were detected in coral samples, as Bradymonabacteria have a wide range of prey, including the coral pathogen Vibrio harveyi, suggesting that Bradymonabacteria may protect coral hosts by consuming potential pathogens [39]. The exact ecological roles of this group in different environments should be determined in further studies.

Conclusion
The unique metabolic pathways of Bradymonabacteria, which include conspicuous metabolic deficiencies similar to those of obligate predators but with a more effective starvation stress response mechanism, provide these bacteria with a unique survival strategy (different from the survival models of so-called “obligate” or “facultative” predators). We propose a framework to categorize the current bacterial predators into 3 groups: (i) highly prey-dependent predators, such as most of the BALOs; (ii) facultatively prey-dependent predators, such as Bradymonabacteria; and (iii) prey-independent predators, such as Myxobacteria and Lysobacter sp. (Table 1). This categorization is helpful for further study of the different ecological importances of each type of bacterial predator. The evolution of bacterial predation in these three groups of predators should also be studied in the future to better
understand the significance of predation to biological evolution.

Our study highlights the ecological role of Bradymonadales in saline environments. Given their substantial sequence and cell frequencies in the saline environment and their storage of nutrients as polymers in cells during predation, Bradymonadales may have an alternative way of regulating global nutrient cycling. To better understand the impact of bradymonabacterial predation on regulating biogeochemical cycling, predation mutants and microcosms need to be developed in further studies.

Methods

Predation experiments

To explore the predation of Bradymonabacteria, we used Bradymonas sediminis FA350\textsuperscript{T} and Lujinxingia litoralis B210\textsuperscript{T} as representative strains. All candidate prey strains were obtained from our laboratory. Cells were centrifuged, washed and concentrated in sea water to a final OD\textsubscript{600} of 3.0 for predator strains and 6.0 for candidate prey strains. Drops of 5.0 \(\mu\)l of the predator strain suspensions were deposited on the surfaces of agar plates and allowed to dry. Next, 20.0 \(\mu\)l drops of each different candidate prey strain suspension were placed near the predator spot. The plates were incubated at 33 °C, and images were taken after 48 h with a digital camera. To detect PHA accumulation, the granules were stained with the Nile red component of Nile blue A.

Genome sequencing and comparative genome analyses

To explore the potential metabolic capacity of bacterial predators, we sequenced 3 complete genomes and 6 draft genomes of all currently known Bradymonabacteria isolate strains using the methods reported in our previous studies [18, 55]. We also retrieved 37 predator genomes from NCBI (including 4 metagenome assembled genomes). tRNA and gene prediction were performed using tRNAscan and prodigal, respectively. The genome-based metabolic potential of the bacterial predators was predicted by BlastKOALA (https://www.kegg.jp/blastkoala/). The average nucleic acid identities among the 9 cultured Bradymonabacteria strains were calculated using pyani (https://github.com/widdowquinn/pyani), and the percentage of conserved proteins (POCP) in each strain was calculated as described previously by Qin et al.[56]).

Electronic microscopy analyses
We selected *Algoriphagus marinus* am2, which is smaller than the predator *Bradythonas sediminis FA350* \(^\text{T}\), as prey. *Bradythonas sediminis* FA350*\(^\text{T}\) and *Algoriphagus marinus* am2 were cultured separately to the exponential growth phase, adjusted to the same OD value, mixed together and cocultured on marine agar medium at 33 °C for 68 h.

For TEM analysis, mixed culture samples were supported on carbon/formvar-coated copper grids. The grids were inverted over a drop of 1% uranyl acetate. Thin sections were prepared with the predator-prey cocultures at 68 h incubation. The samples were mixed with 0.5 ml of 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer, centrifuged and resuspended in 1 ml of the same solution for 3 h. The cells were washed in cacodylate buffer, fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide and encased in agar. The agar-encased cells were then fixed in 2% uranyl acetate, dehydrated through an ethanol series and embedded in Epon resin. Thin sections were cut and stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Specimens were examined with a JEM-1200EX electron microscope operated at 80 kV.

For SEM analysis, mixed culture samples were washed 3 times with PBS and fixed for 1 h in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in sodium cacodylate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.2). To dehydrate the bacteria, the EM grids underwent a series of washes in increasing concentrations of ethanol (25, 50, 75, and 96%) and placed in a vacuum overnight. The samples were coated with gold and observed using a Nova NanoSEM 450.

**Dual transcriptomic analyses**

To determine the gene expression profiles of the type strain FA350*\(^\text{T}\), a pure culture of FA350*\(^\text{T}\) and a coculture of FA350*\(^\text{T}\) with the prey *Algoriphagus marinus* am2 were cultured on marine agar medium at 33 °C for 0 h, 68 h, and 120 h, respectively. Each time point was collected in triplicate (n=3) for further transcriptomic analysis. For the transcriptomic analysis, the RNA extraction, library construction, sequencing and analysis were performed as described in our previous study [57]. Sequencing was carried out on a HiSeq sequencer at Novogene Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). For transcriptomic analysis of mixed culture samples (dual transcriptomic analysis), total RNA sequences were mapped to the complete genome of FA350*\(^\text{T}\) using the method reported by Westermann *et al.*
Then, the completely mapped sequences were selected for further analysis.

**Phylogenetic analysis of bradymonabacterial type IV pili**

An unrooted, maximum likelihood phylogeny shows relationships between the type IVa, type IVb, and type IVc pili and the archaellum (archaeal flagellum) and the T2SS and T4SS extension ATPases. The protein amino-acid sequences were aligned with mafft and used to estimate a maximum likelihood phylogeny with RAxML under the JTT substitution model with gamma-distributed rate variation. The protein amino-acid sequences of *Bradymonas* were annotated by RAST (Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology) [59]. The other protein amino-acid sequences were obtained from other research supplementary materials [60].

**Biogeographic distribution database construction**

All the 16S rRNA gene sequences analyzed in this paper were downloaded from the European Nucleotide Archive (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena, ENA) during or before December 2018. As a result, we collected 1,552 samples from 102 projects or studies: 25 from nonsaline lake sediments (NSLS), 275 from marine sediments (MS), 499 from nonsaline soil (NSS), 45 from saline soil (SS), 216 from nonsaline water (NSW), 19 from saline lake sediments (SLS), 466 from sea water (SW), and 7 from saline lake water (SLW) (Table S5).

**Microbial community composition**

The raw 16S rRNA gene reads were filtered with UCHIME. Quality filtering, chimera detection, dereplication, clustering into OTUs and assigning taxonomic information were performed using VSEARCH [61]. The SILVA database Ref_SSU release 132 was used as a reference taxonomic database (https://www.arb-silva.de/). Alpha diversity indices (Shannon, Simpson, Good’s coverage and Ace) detailing the microbial community composition within each sample were calculated using scikit-bio (http://scikit-bio.org/) in Python, and alpha diversity indices (Chao1) were calculated using the package fossil (https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/fossil) in R. For estimating community dissimilarities, Bray-Curtis distance was calculated by vegan in R based on the relative abundance of each taxon at the order level.

**Phylogenetic analyses**
Both RAxML [62] and FastTree [63] were employed to construct the Bradymonabacteria phylogenetic tree. Given both the topology of the phylogenetic tree and its good coverage of all Bradymonabacteria lineages, we established the phylogenetic tree using 187 representative Bradymonabacteria 16S rRNA gene sequences, which were all longer than 1200 bp (at 98.5% cutoff). These sequences were aligned using mafft. The Bradymonabacteria subgroup designations were confirmed when one subgroup with > 10 representative sequences was monophyletic by two phylogenetic trees constructed by different programs using the maximum likelihood approach [64]. The environmental type (i.e., saline and nonsaline) of each Bradymonabacteria sequence in the tree was collected from GenBank. A genome-based phylogeny of bacterial predators and 9 cultured Bradymonabacteria strains was constructed using core genes [65], and trees were constructed using RAxML [62]. All phylogenetic trees were drawn using ggtree [66] in R.

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

The environmental DNA samples extracted in the previous step were used for qPCR experiments in order to detect the abundance of bacteria and *Bradymonadales* in each sample. The primer pair composed of 341F (5′-CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3′) and 534R (5′-ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGGCA-3′) was used for quantification of bacteria [22]. A *Bradymonadales*-specific primer set composed of qBRA1295F (5′-CTCAGTTCGGATYGYAGTCTG-3′) and qBRA1420R (5′-GTCACCGACTTCTGGAGCAARC-3′), which was designed in this study and generated an amplicon of 148 bases, was used for quantification of *Bradymonadales*. Reactions for each sample were carried out in an ABI StepOnePlus thermal cycler under the following conditions: an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 10 min and then 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C. The reaction were performed in a total volume of 20 μl, composed of 10 μl 2X Universal SYBR Green Fast qPCR Mix (ABclonal), 0.4 μl of each primer (10 μM), 1 μl of sample and 8.2 μl of MiliQ water. The Plasmid DNA Standard was constructed by introducing the 16S rDNA gene amplified from *Bradymonas sediminis* FA350T into the pMD18-T Vector (TaKaRa) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The plasmid was isolated and purified using a MiniBEST Plasmid Purification Kit (TaKaRa). DNA copy number was determined by the concentration and relative molecular weight of the Plasmid DNA. For each QPCR assay, the plasmid aliquot was
seriously diluted to produce concentrations ranging from $10^9$ to $10^3$ DNA copies/μl to generate calibration curves. Each sample was measured in triplicate, and negative controls (no template NTC) were included.
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Table 1
Table 1. The features of 3 different types of bacterial predators
| Current predator type | Redefined predator type | Metabolic pathway deficiencies | Pure-culture cultivable | Storing nutrients as polymers | Predation strategy | Predation specificity |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Obligate              | Highly prey-dependent    | High                          | Extremely difficult    | None                        | Contact-dependent | Gram-negative        |
| Bradymonabacteri a    | Facultatively prey-dependent | High                          | Difficult             | Polyhydroxyalkanoates, polyphosphate, and alkanes | Contact-dependent | Gram-negative and Gram-positive |
| Facultative           | Prey-independent          | Low                           | Normal                | Polyphosphate*              | Mostly contact-independent | Gram-negative and Gram-positive |

*A polyphosphate accumulation pathway was found in genomes but not determined by experiments.

Figures
Predation assays for potential prey-organisms. a, Total 281 organisms were selected to test the predation by two type strains of Bradymonadales. Phylogenetic tree was analysed for the tested organisms. Red dot on phylogenetic tree indicate the organism could be preyed by either Bradymonas sediminis FA350T or Lujinxingia litoralis B210T. Green dot indicate the organism could not be preyed by either test predator. The detailed information of organism on the tree is shown in table S1. b, The predation phenotype of Bradymonas sediminis FA350T or Lujinxingia litoralis B210T on prey. Number 1 to 3 indicate the pure culture of different preys, mixed culture of Bradymonas sediminis FA350T and preys, mixed culture of Lujinxingia litoralis B210T and preys, respectively. c, The percent of organisms which could be preyed on is shown in the bar chart.
TEM and SEM micrographs of Bradymonas sediminis FA350T (predator) and Algoriphagus marinus am2 (prey). We selected a prey Algoriphagus marinus am2, which was smaller than predator FA350T. a, The free-living prey Algoriphagus marinus am2 (Am) by pure culture. Bar = 200 nm. b, The free-living predator Bradymonas sediminis FA350T (Bs) by pure
culture. The white globose granules in the Bs cell indicate accumulation of PHAs, and black arrows indicate type IV pili. Bar = 500 nm. c, Bradymonas sediminis FA350T (Bs) cell co-cultured with Algoriphagus marinus am2 (Am) prey cell. The white globose granules in the cell indicate accumulation of PHAs, and several electron-dense intracellular granules (black granules) indicate polyphosphate. Bar = 500 nm. d, Bradymonas sediminis FA350T (Bs) cell attached to an Algoriphagus marinus am2 (Am) prey cell with outer membrane vesicles-like structures (shown in box area). Bar = 500 nm. e, The enlargement of figure (d) box area. Bar = 100 nm. f, Bradymonas sediminis FA350T (Bs) cell attached to an emptied and died Algoriphagus marinus am2 (Am) prey cell. Bar = 200 nm. g, SEM analysis of Bradymonas sediminis FA350 (Bs) cell co-cultured with Algoriphagus marines am2 (Am) prey cell. White arrow indicates type IV pili, the box area indicate Bs contact with the emptied Am with type IV pili. Bar=1000 nm. h, SEM analysis of Bradymonas sediminis FA350 (Bs) cell attached to an Algoriphagus marines am2 (Am) prey cell with type IV pili (shown in box area). The white arrows indicate the OMVs-like structures. Bar = 500 nm.
Metabolic capabilities of Bradymonabacteria. Metabolic predictions are mainly generated by referring to the interface KEGG and SEED database. Each subgroup of Bradymonabacteria is depicted as a colored circle (see figure legend). Functional genes (abbreviation by referring to KEGG) encoding the relevant proteins/enzymes are labeled for each metabolic step where colored circles (that is, Bradymonabacteria strain) are depicted to show the potential functions of each subgroup if any. Grey arrows indicate the corresponding genes are detected for the pathways almost in all the genomes, while red arrows indicate the corresponding genes miss from the pathways. Red “no entry” signs indicate the many key genes in pathways missing. All putative transporters and F0F1 ATPases are shown as well as secretion systems, type IV pili, and predicted components of flagella. Process of starvation and stringent-responsive systems remodeling is mediated by the production of the alarmones guanosine pentaphosphate, pppGpp, and guanosine tetraphosphate, ppGpp. Key metabolic predictions are supported by the gene information in Table S2.
Figure 4

Gene abundance in facultative and obligate bacterial predators. The heatmap is based on two-way cluster analysis of genomic abundance of genes encoding for KEGG protein groups which were specific to either facultative predators or obligate predators. Groups in blue background indicate the so-called facultative predators, groups in yellow background indicate the so-called obligate predators, and groups in red background indicate Bradyimonabacteria. Two-way cluster analysis was clustered using ward.D2 method based on euclidean distances. Gene abundance matrix is available in Table S3.
Figure 5

Global distribution and biodiversity patterns of Bradymonabacteria in eight types of biotopes from 1,552 samples. a, Global abundance of Bradymonabacteria. The abundance of 16S rRNA gene sequences of Bradymonabacteria is relative to total prokaryotic sequences in the selected samples. Each node represents one sample. Node color indicates the type of biotopes, and node size represents the relative abundance in corresponding samples. Bold numbers represents the number of samples, which detected Bradymonabacteria. b, Beta-diversity among all biologically independent samples: principal component analysis (PCA) of Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix, PC1 versus PC2. Clustering of all samples could be mainly explained by the type of biotopes. c, Relative abundance of 16S rRNA gene sequences among eight types of habitats. This relative abundance of
Bradymonabacteria sequences was computed within each habitat (Table S1), and the significant differences among different biotopes was assessed by Kruskal–Wallis test.

Abbreviation: NSLS, non-saline lake sediments; NSS, non-saline soil; NSW, non-saline water; SLS, saline lake sediments; SLW, saline lake water; SS, saline soil; MS, marine sediments; SW, sea water.
Figure 6

Phylogeny of 6 proposed subgroups of Bradymonabacteria. a, Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of Bradymonabacteria based on 187 representative 16S rRNA gene sequences (> 1,200bp) dereplicated at a 98.5% cutoff. Subgroups from Cluster_1 to Cluster_6 were colored within the corresponding leaves in the tree and were provided with similarity of each subgroup. Outer colored square indicate sequence original biotope: non-saline (gray) and saline (blue). Pentagram represents cultured Bradymonabacteria in our lab. All 16S rRNA gene sequences of Bradymonabacteria and the RAxML phylogenetic tree is available in Additional file 1 Material_Fig_3a_seq. b, The coverage of each subgroup of Bradymonabacteria for 127 samples. The abundance of Bradymonabacteria is relative to the total prokaryotic sequences in the corresponding samples. Biotope types are shown by colored nodes which are located under each leaf of the cluster. Bar graph indicates the reads number of each cluster in the 127 samples.
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