Water and radiation use efficiencies of irrigated biomass sorghum in a Mediterranean environment
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Abstract

Biomass sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) is a crop that can be used for energy production in the bioethanol chain and a greater knowledge of its potential and response to irrigation water levels could help to assess its potential diffusion in Mediterranean areas. A two-year field experiment was carried out in Southern Italy; two irrigation regimes were compared in biomass sorghum, optimal watered (irrigation supplies greater than actual crop evapotranspiration, ETc) and stressed watered (about 65% of the optimal one). Growth analysis, soil water content and aboveground dry biomass (ADM) yield at harvest were measured and analyzed. Radiation use efficiency (RUE), irrigation (IWUE) and water use efficiencies (WUE) were also calculated. Seasonal water use ranged from 830 mm in the optimal treatment to 589 mm in the stressed one. Similarly, ADM proved to be statistically different between the two irrigation treatments (34.6 vs 19.8 t of dry matter ha⁻¹). The RUE, calculated as the slope of the first order equation between dry biomass and intercepted photosynthetically active radiation along a crop cycle, showed an average of 2.84±0.65 g MJ⁻¹. No statistical differences for IWUE and WUE were obtained between irrigation regimes (8.22 and 5.87 kg m⁻³, on average). The two years of experiment influenced IWUE and WUE (both larger in the rainier growing season), but not the RUE. The high RUE and WUE obtained values confirmed that biomass sorghum is a crop with considerable dry matter production efficiency. The experimental results suggest that the introduction of biomass sorghum in the cropping systems of Mediterranean environments as an alternative crop for energy purposes is feasible, but requires an adequate seasonal irrigation water supply (not less than 500 mm).

Introduction

Biomass from vegetation is one of the principal sources for energy purposes, providing the 14% of world-wide energy needs (IEA, 1998) and has an important economic role (Parikka, 2004). Moreover, this energy source is renewable, usable as production of biofuels or combustible dry matter, with low CO₂ emission and low costs (Berndes et al., 2003; Antonopoulou et al., 2008). Currently, for energy purpose, sweet sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) is considered an important crop, in biofuel production, encouraged by the European Community directives, thanks to the possibility to cultivate this crop in set-aside lands, and so with an economic enhance in South-European regions. Sweet sorghum, as reported by some authors (Mastrorilli et al., 1995), shows a high potential in term of adaptability to pedo-climatic condition for this Mediterranean environment. For energy purpose it is important that the resources needed to the growth, mainly water and solar radiation, are fully exploited to obtain high amount of biomass per unit of resource utilized by the crop.

Radiation use efficiency (RUE) and water use efficiency (WUE) are crop parameters that contain the plant behaviour, in response to different factors, linked not only to intercepted radiation, but also to the water stress, photosynthetic conditions and so on.

Some authors report RUE as a stable parameter for many crops (Hughes et al., 1987; Monteith, 1989), but variability in RUE was also pointed out by other authors (Sinclair and Muchow, 1999). However, it is important to take into account variations in RUE particularly because it can change with water supply. For instance, values of RUE in sweet sorghum ranging from between 3.4 to 4.7 g of aboveground dry matter MJ⁻¹ of intercepted PAR were found by Mastrorilli et al. (1995) and Periola et al. (1996) in well-watered crop conditions in a Mediterranean environment. A RUE value of 3.6 g MJ⁻¹ was found by Varlet-Grancher et al. (1992) in Northern Europe (France). Dercas and Liakantas (2007) confirmed that RUE is closely related to crop water status, with values of 3.55 g MJ⁻¹ for non-water stressed crops and 1.30 g MJ⁻¹ for stressed crops. For grain sorghum, the value of RUE is lower than sweet sorghum, as reported by Albrizio and Steduto (2005), with a value equal to 2.6 g MJ⁻¹.

For WUE as well, differences are noticeable between sweet and grain sorghum, with an advantage for sweet sorghum (6.0-4.1 kg m⁻³) Mastrorilli et al., 1995; 8.6-6.5 kg m⁻³) Saed and El-Nadi, 1988), greater if compared with grain sorghum (4.4-5.5 kg m⁻³ in Steduto and Albrizio, 2005). Grain sorghum is less suitable for energy production, because it is shorter (1-2 m) than sweet sorghum (up to 3 m) and has a less vigorous stem. Besides, from the RUE and WUE reported by above cited authors, it is clear as sweet sorghum has a better capacity
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than grain sorghum to convert the solar radiation and water into biomass and this latter converted in bioethanol (Billa et al., 1997; Ratnavathi et al., 2010). Recently, new cultivars of sorghum have been commercialized, so called biomass sorghum, with morphological characteristics that make suitable for great biomass production to energy purpose; remarkable height (up to 3.5 m), elevated sucker growth and a large amount of cellulose and water in the internodes narrows accumulated during growth stages, are morphological traits important to convert fresh biomass into biofuel. No bibliographic references were found for biomass sorghum grown under Mediterranean conditions; consequently, each comparison between our data and that of other authors can be done only for sweet or grain sorghum.

The RUE and WUE variability casts doubt on the use of a fixed value for these parameters in sorghum in different climatic and environmental conditions, as applied in many crop growth simulation models, both solar-driven (DSSAT, Jones et al., 2003; EPIC, Sharpley and Williams, 1990) and water-driven (CropSyst, Stockle et al., 2005; AQUACROP, Steduto et al., 2009). For these reasons it is important to evaluate the response of biomass sorghum productivity to different irrigation strategies in new pedo-climatic conditions through the determination of the RUE and WUE parameters. The aims of this study were to estimate RUE and WUE parameters and determine the yield potentiality of biomass sorghum when managed with different water regimes in a Mediterranean environment.

Materials and Methods

Experimental site

The field experiment was carried out in 2008 and 2009 in Foggia (lat. 41° 8’ 7’’ N; long. 15° 32’ 5’’ E, alt. 90 m a.s.l.), southern Italy. The soil is a vertisol of alluvial origin, Typic Calcixeret, (Soil Taxonomy 10th ed., USDA 2006), and is silty-clay with the following characteristics: organic matter, 2.1%; total N, 0.122%; NaHCO3-extractable P, 41 ppm; NH4OAc-extractable K2O, 1598 ppm; pH (water), 8.3; field capacity water content, 0.356 m3 m–3; permanent wilting point water content, 0.195 m3 m–3, available soil water, 202 mm m–3. The climate is accentuated thermo-Mediterranean (Unesco-FAO classification), with temperatures below 0°C in the winter and above 40°C in the summer. Annual rainfall (average 550 mm) is mostly concentrated during the winter months and class A pan evaporation exceeds 10 mm day–1 in summer. Daily meteorological data (temperatures, humidity, rainfall, wind velocity and solar radiation) were recorded by the local meteorological station.

Field experiment

Biomass sorghum (cv BIOMASS 133) was sown on 9th and 12th May in the first and second years respectively, in rows 0.5m apart and a distance of 0.8m between seeds in each row (250,000 seeds per hectare). The crop was harvested before heading on 12th and 20th August in 2008 and 2009, respectively. Biomass sorghum is indicated for biofuel production; remarkable height (up to 3.5 m), elevated sucker growth and a large amount of cellulose and water in the internodes narrows accumulated during growth stages, are morphological traits important to convert fresh biomass into biofuel. No bibliographic references were found for biomass sorghum grown under Mediterranean conditions; consequently, each comparison between our data and that of other authors can be done only for sweet or grain sorghum.

Moreover, the net gain in term of biomass by grain production is negligible; so the heading it seems to be the right time for crop harvest. In the first year, the crop evapotranspiration (ETc, in mm) was measured by means of two weighted lysimeters (area of 4 m2 and a depth of 1.5 m), located in the middle of a 100 × 100 m field, to reduce the fetch influence. Daily weight data were collected and no drainage water was observed at the bottom of the lysimeters. Runoff was considered equal to zero because of the flat-lying nature of the land. Daily crop measured evapotranspiration (ETc, in mm) was calculated as:

$$ETc = \frac{(WL_1 - WL_{i-1})}{4} - I - R$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where WL and WL–1 are the lysimeter weights in kg at day i and i–1 respectively, I is the irrigation amount in mm and R is the rain in mm. The average values of two lysimeters were used.

During the field experiment, climate data were measured by a standard meteorological station, located on a grassy area near the experimental field. Maximum and minimum temperatures, global solar radiation, precipitation, wind speed and relative maximum and minimum air humidity were collected on a daily basis. The irrigation schedule was set as a function of ETc: each time ETc reached 60 mm, irrigation started according to the percentage of ETc, recovery; optimal treatment, with more than 100% of ETc; and stressed treatment with more than 60%. The reference evapotranspiration (ET0, in mm) was calculated using the FAO-Penman-Monteith method (Allen et al., 1998). The crop coefficient (Kc) was calculated as the ratio between ETc and ET0 for initial, development and middle crop stages.

In the second year (2009), the irrigation regime schedule was based on crop evapotranspiration (ETc) estimated as follows, using the Kc values derived from the first year of the experiment:

$$ETc = ET0 * Kc$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Each time the cumulated ETc, reached 60 mm (subtracting rainfall), irrigation started in the same way as in the four irrigation treatments described above for the first year. To ensure uniform water distribution, a drip irrigation system was used, with one line for each plant row and drippers with a 4 L h–1 flow. A water flow meter was placed at the head of each plot to measure the amount of irrigation water supplied accurately. A pre-sowing fertilization was applied with 72 kg ha–1 of N and 87 kg ha–1 P2O5 as diammonium phosphate. The experimental treatments were arranged in a completely randomized block design, with four replications and elementary plots of 80 m2. Gravimetric soil water measurements were carried out at depths of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8m at sowing, harvest and growth analysis sampling dates.

Growth analysis was carried out from June to August; at five sampling dates, aboveground plant dry matter (ADM), separated into stems, green and dead leaves, was measured by taking a 0.5 linear meter sample from each plot which was then dried at 80°C until the weight was constant. The last sampling was in correspondence with the plant harvest. Leaf Area Index (LAI) - the destructive method - was determined measuring green leaf area with Delta T Devices (Decagon Devices Inc., Pullman, WA, USA). Seasonal water use (WU) was estimated in both years according to the following simplified water balance equation:

$$WU = ΔSWC + R + I$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where ΔSWC is the variation, between seeding and harvest dates, of the volumetric soil water content in the 0-0.8 m depth layer, R is rainfall and I irrigation, all expressed in mm; runoff and capillary rise were considered negligible.
Crop parameter derivation

Water use efficiency and irrigation water use efficiency (WUE, \( WUE \), kg m\(^{-2} \)) were calculated as the slope of the first order equation between \( ADM \) and \( WU \) (both measured at each sampling date) and seasonal irrigation amount, respectively. For regression between irrigation supplies and \( ADM \), the intercept was forced to zero, whereas in the regression between \( ADM \) and \( WU \), the values of intercept gave an indication of water lost by soil evaporation (Passioura, 1977).

\[
WUE: \frac{ADM}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{Irrigation; WaterUse}{ADM}}
\] (4)

The photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) was estimated using the following equation:

\[
PAR = Rg \times 0.48
\] (5)

Global solar radiation (Rg) was measured with a thermophile pyranometer (305–2800 nm wave-length range).

The intercepted PAR (ipAR) was estimated with the formula:

\[
ipAR = PAR \times IE
\] (6)

where IE is the interception efficiency for the canopy crop, calculated with Beer’s law, as:

\[
IE = 1 - e^{-k \cdot LAI \cdot CF}
\] (7)

where \( k \) is the light extinction coefficient, calculated as the slope of fitted regression between the natural logarithm of diffuse non-intercepted sky radiation and \( LAI \), both measured with an LI-COR 2000 portable area meter in 2008. For each plot the data were taken from an average of 6 measurements carried out below the plant canopy during a daytime period between 12:00pm and 2:00pm at each growing sample.

\( LAI \) is the green leaf area and \( CF \) is the clumping factor (Nilson, 1971; Lang, 1986, 1987), calculated with the following equation, where \( LAI \) is the green leaf area index measured with the destructive method (Delta T Device equipment) as:

\[
CF = 0.75 + (0.25)^{\frac{1}{3} \left(1 - e^{-30 \cdot LAI} \right)}
\] (8)

Radiation use efficiency \( (RUE, \text{g MJ}^{-1} \text{of ipAR}) \) was calculated as the slope of the first order equation between aboveground dry matter in the biomass \( (ADM) \) and cumulated intercepted photosynthetic active radiation \( (ipAR) \) at each sampling date. The values of Y-axis intercepts proved not to be different from zero; moreover, the test confirmed that intercepts were equal to zero and thus the regression lines were forced to pass from the axis origin (Charles-Edwards, 1982).

\[
RUE = \frac{ADM}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{ipAR}{ADM}}
\] (9)

Analysis of variance was carried out considering year as a random effect and irrigation as a fixed effect, arranged in a randomized block design model; the Least Significant Difference was used to compare mean values.

Results and Discussion

Climatic behaviour

The maximum \( T_{max} \) and minimum temperatures \( T_{min} \) (Table 1) were similar over the two years, except for May, when 2009 was characterized by \( T_{max} \) and \( T_{min} \) greater than those of 2008. In the first part of the crop growing cycle (20 days after sowing), \( T_{max} \) showed daily values until 8°C greater when compared with long-term averages. The same consideration can be made for daily global radiation, with high values in May 2009, which were not, however, fundamental on crop growth (sowing dates: 9th and 12th May; emergence dates: 20th and 25th May, in 2008 and 2009, respectively). In the first year, accumulated rainfall during the crop cycle was greater by about 10 mm when compared with 2009, but from 1st January to the sowing date, accumulated rainfall in the second year was 418 mm. By contrast, in the same period in the first year only 168 mm was recorded. This large difference in rainfall resulted in greater water availability for the second rather than in the first year of the experiment, as well as in deeper soil layers. Comparable averages were observed in both years as regards daily reference evapotranspiration \( (ET_0) \), but these were slightly lower than long-term values.

Irrigation and water use

In the first year, the greatest component of \( WU \) (eq. 3) was the irrigation water \( (I) \), while in the second year the soil moisture variation from sowing to harvest \( (\Delta SWC) \) (Table 2). Indeed, as regards the soil

| Table 1. Meteorological data (monthly averages) recorded in Foggia (Italy) in 2008, 2009 and long-term period (1952-2007). |
| --- |
| Year | May | June | July | August |
| Daily \( T_{max} \) (°C) | 2008 | 25.2 | 30.1 | 32.6 | 34.0 |
| 2009 | 27.2 | 29.0 | 32.7 | 33.7 |
| 2006-2007 | 25.0 | 29.4 | 31.9 | 31.3 |
| Daily \( T_{min} \) (°C) | 2008 | 10.8 | 15.8 | 18.8 | 19.5 |
| 2009 | 12.6 | 15.6 | 18.3 | 19.6 |
| 2006-2007 | 11.5 | 15.6 | 18.5 | 18.8 |
| \( R_{e} \) (MJ m\(^{-2} \) month\(^{-1} \)) | 2008 | 750 | 757 | 851 | 789 |
| 2009 | 847 | 770 | 883 | 773 |
| 2006-2007 | 744 | 813 | 836 | 715 |
| Rain (mm month\(^{-1} \)) | 2008 | 30.2 | 41.0 | 3.8 | 0.4 |
| 2009 | 19.4 | 25.8 | 13.2 | 1.4 |
| 2006-2007 | 33.7 | 33.5 | 20.4 | 32.6 |
| \( ET_0 \) (mm d\(^{-1} \)) | 2008 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| 2009 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 5.4 |
| 2006-2007 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.8 |

| Table 2. Main parameters of irrigation in biomass sorghum during the two experimental years. \( \Delta WSC = \) soil water depletion from sowing to harvest in the 0-1 m soil depth. |
| --- |
| Year | Irrigation regimes | \( N \) of irrigation | Irrigation water applied | Water used | \( \Delta WSC \) mm |
| 2008 | Optimal | 8 | 505 | 712 | 143 |
| | Stressed | 8 | 325 | 589 | 200 |
| | Ave | 8 | 415 | 650 | 171 |
| 2009 | Optimal | 6 | 335 | 830 | 403 |
| | Stressed | 6 | 215 | 648 | 341 |
| | Ave | 6 | 275 | 739 | 372 |
| 2008-09Av. | Optimal | 7 | 420 | 771 | 273 |
| | Stressed | 7 | 270 | 619 | 271 |
| | Ave | 7 | 345 | 695 | 272 |
water content at sowing (0-0.8 m soil depth), more was available in the second than in the first year (about 100 mm). This could explain the greater amount of water supplied by irrigation in the first year of experiment when compared with the second year; in 2008 irrigation was 415 mm, while in 2009 the water supplied with irrigation was 275 mm, on average over the two water regimes. The difference between the two years was as large as the applied irrigation water was small. In 2008 the water used by the sorghum ranged from 712 to 589 mm; in 2009, from 830 to 648 mm, in the optimal and stressed treatments, respectively. This difference can be attributed to the capability of sorghum to extract water from the deeper layers of the soil: in 2009 these were almost certainly wetter than in 2008 as a result of large rainfall before the sowing date. The stressed irrigation regime allowed for a saving of a couple of irrigation supplies and a saving of 35% of irrigation water in both years but only a reduction of 20% for seasonal WU with respect to the optimal regime.

Crop coefficients

In 2008, during the first part of crop cycle, from the beginning to 50% of canopy expansion, the ETc oscillated between 1.1 and 2.2 mm d−1. At maximum canopy expansion (about 60 days after sowing), ETc reached the maximum values ranging between 8.3 and 10.3 mm d−1. Seasonal ETc was 507 mm, whereas ETc was 370 mm.

The ratio between the evapotranspiration measured by weighted lysimeter and the reference evapotranspiration allowed us to calculate the crop coefficients (Allen et al., 1998) specific for biomass sorghum; the length for the initial, development and middle stage, was equal to 25, 25 and 46 days, respectively, with values of Kc equal to 0.51 for the initial and 1.49 for the middle stage. This latter calculated Kc was higher than those suggested by FAO, even if the FAO values are referred to sweet (1.20) and grain sorghum (1.10) and not for biomass sorghum.

Biomass yield

Table 3 shows the sorghum biomass yield at harvest, separated into stems and leaves and total (ADM; g m⁻²) recorded in both years and averaged over irrigation treatments. During crop growing cycles in the first year, no statistical differences were found, while at harvest the optimal produced ADM of about 35% greater than stressed; this is mainly explained by stem yield.

Leaf Area Index (Figure 1) in the first year was similar for both water treatments, reaching its maximum value after 844 GDD with 7.7 and 6.5 m² m⁻² for optimal and stressed treatments, respectively. Only at harvest the LAI was higher in optimal than in stressed. In the second year, the maximum LAI was reached at 1042 GDD for the optimal treatment with a value equal to 8.2 m² m⁻², whereas for the stressed treatment the maximum LAI was 5.7 m² m⁻², reached earlier (988 GDD). LAI development was different for the greater part of the crop growth cycle, even if at harvest the LAI was similar in both treatments.

The ADM was similar in the two years of experiment; in 2008 the differences between irrigation regimes occurred only at harvest, while in 2009 after the first irrigation, the treatments influenced sorghum yield and at harvest the ADM produced by the optimal irrigation regimes were 45% greater than the stressed ones, with a proportional prevalence of leaf respect to weight stems (Figure 2).

The potential of this crop is high, considering its short growth period (80-100 days): the dry biomass yield level (from 2000 to 3700 g m⁻², equivalent to 20-37 t of dry matter ha⁻¹) is in accordance with results obtained in similar environments and with similar water availability. For example, for sweet sorghum ADM varied from 3100 to 1700 g m⁻² in Greece (Dercas and Liakatas, 2007) with 680 mm and 450 mm of seasonal ETc, respectively, and from 3250 to 3710 g m⁻² in a similar environment, with a seasonal ETc equal to 580 and 526 mm. For grain sorghum, Farah et al., (1997) found values of ADM in Sudan oscillating between 3050 g m⁻² and 2210 g m⁻², passing from 627 to 498 mm of water supplied; the lowest ADM was obtained by Farré and Faci (2006) in Northern Spain, with values of 1838 g m⁻² for 588 mm of evapotranspiration and 522 g m⁻² for 274 mm of water used by sorghum. Habyarimana et al. (2004) reported how ADM in biomass sorghum can oscillate between 2900 and 2000 g m⁻² in rainfed conditions and from 5100 to 3500 g m⁻² in well-watered conditions.

Radiation use efficiency

The estimation of intercepted PAR, and so of RUE, was done on LAIc measured experimentally for all treatments and for all years, using k (extinction coefficient) value derived from 2008; the k value (-0.57) obtained in this experiment is slightly higher than those reported by Curt et al. (1998) in central Spain (k = -0.62), by Perniola et al. (1996).

Table 3. Biomass sorghum productive traits: average values of the two years and, for each year among irrigation treatments, followed by different letters, are different at P=0.05 (LSD test).

| Year | Irrigation regimes | Total aboveground plant dry matter (g m⁻²) | Stems (g m⁻²) | Leaves (g m⁻²) |
|------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 2008 | Optimal           | 3000 a                                   | 2365 a        | 650 a         |
|      | Stressed          | 1908 b                                   | 142 b         | 483 b         |
|      | Avg               | 2454 a                                   | 1835 b        | 567 b         |
| 2009 | Optimal           | 3762                                      | 2591 a        | 1171 a        |
|      | Stressed          | 2018                                      | 1445 b        | 573 b         |
|      | Avg               | 2890 a                                   | 2018 a        | 872 a         |
| 2008-09Av. | Optimal | 3460 a                                   | 2490 a        | 960 a         |
|      | Stressed          | 1980 b                                   | 1440 b        | 540 b         |
|      | Avg               | 2720                                      | 1965          | 750           |

Figure 1. Green Leaf Area index (LAIc), recorded for biomass sorghum during the two seasonal experiments (2008 above, 2009 under). For treatments: optimal = empty circle; stressed = full square. Vertical bars indicate differences statistically significant for each sampling (LSD test; P=0.05).
The value for clumping factor ($C_f$) is closely dependent from LAI, and for this reason oscillation in the value was due to the different growth stage and water regimes, varying between 0.75 and 0.99 among years and irrigations treatments.

The analysis of variance of $RUE$ values showed no significant effects for year x irrigation interaction, but highly significant effects for the irrigation source of variation, either by individual year or pooling together the two years of experiment.

Average $RUE$ values were $2.75 \pm 0.21 \text{ g MJ}^{-1}$ in 2008 and $2.92 \pm 0.80 \text{ g MJ}^{-1}$ in 2009 (Table 4). In both years the highest value of $RUE$ was reached in the optimal irrigated treatment, the lowest value in the stressed one. In general, the overall average of $2.84 \pm 0.65 \text{ g MJ}^{-1}$ is in agreement with the values reported by Monteith (1977) as an average for C$_4$ crops. The $RUE$s calculated in our experiment ranged from a minimum of 2.29 to a maximum of 3.56 g MJ$^{-1}$ and include the values obtained by the authors cited above.

In previous research studies the influence of crop water use on $RUE$ was also observed: Perniola et al. (1995) reported 4.7 g MJ$^{-1}$ with a water consumption of 870 mm, Mastrorolli et al. (1995) reported 3.4 g MJ$^{-1}$ in a similar environment and with a crop water use of 550 mm, while a value of 3.6 g MJ$^{-1}$ was found by Varlet-Grancher et al. (1992) in France; Dercas and Liakatas (2007) calculated values of $RUE$ between 3.55 g MJ$^{-1}$ for non-water stressed crops and 1.30 g MJ$^{-1}$ in stressed crops in relation to 657 mm and 421 mm of plant water used, respectively. This confirms that $RUE$ is significantly dependent on crop water consumption and that it cannot be considered a stable crop parameter, at least in the case of biomass sorghum.

Singh and Singh (1995) reported how sorghum reduces stomatal conductance by about 18% and LAI by 20% when water availability is at 60% of optimal soil moisture conditions. These authors also reported a reduction in net photosynthesis for sorghum that follows the reduction in stomatal conductance. Studies on sunflowers (Takami et al., 1982) confirmed that one of the effects of soil water reduction on plant development is the reduction of leaf area expansion as a result of a decline in the expansion rate but not of the duration of expansion.

$RUE$s calculated in our experiment ranged from a minimum of 2.29 to a maximum of 3.56 g MJ$^{-1}$ and include the values obtained by the authors cited above.

In previous research studies the influence of crop water use on $RUE$ was also observed: Perniola et al. (1995) reported 4.7 g MJ$^{-1}$ with a water consumption of 870 mm, Mastrorolli et al. (1995) reported 3.4 g MJ$^{-1}$ in a similar environment and with a crop water use of 550 mm, while a value of 3.6 g MJ$^{-1}$ was found by Varlet-Grancher et al. (1992) in France; Dercas and Liakatas (2007) calculated values of $RUE$ between 3.55 g MJ$^{-1}$ for non-water stressed crops and 1.30 g MJ$^{-1}$ in stressed crops in relation to 657 mm and 421 mm of plant water used, respectively. This confirms that $RUE$ is significantly dependent on crop water consumption and that it cannot be considered a stable crop parameter, at least in the case of biomass sorghum.

Singh and Singh (1995) reported how sorghum reduces stomatal conductance by about 18% and LAI by 20% when water availability is at 60% of optimal soil moisture conditions. These authors also reported a reduction in net photosynthesis for sorghum that follows the reduction in stomatal conductance. Studies on sunflowers (Takami et al., 1982) confirmed that one of the effects of soil water reduction on plant development is the reduction of leaf area expansion as a result of a decline in the expansion rate but not of the duration of expansion. LAI values, recorded during crop growth, were statistically different among the water regimes in both years in most sampling (Figure 1). Even if LAI was different, $iPAR$ did not differ between the irrigation regimes and this means that maximum $iPAR$ is already intercepted at LAI = 3. By contrast, $RUE$ was significantly different between irrigation regimes (Figure 3), with values of $3.31 \pm 0.44 \text{ g MJ}^{-1}$ for optimal and $2.30 \pm 0.41 \text{ g MJ}^{-1}$ for stressed sorghum. Probably, at the same level of intercepted radiation, plants with a different water status have a different stomata process regulation and thus different net photosynthesis levels. These considerations were supported by Krampitz et al. (1984), who underlined that both the gross and net photosynthesis in sunflowers declined linearly to low to moderate water stress. Other effects of water stress reported in literature are a reduction in intercellular CO$_2$ concentration with a consequent reduction in the net photosynthesis observed in sorghum (Kreig and Hutmacher, 1986), an increase of stomata resistance in cotton and millet (Troughton, 1969; Ludlow and Ng, 1976) and stomatal closure caused by the abscisic acid produced by plants (Davies et al., 1994; Davies and Gowing, 1999). All these effects of water availability can sufficiently explain the $RUE$ level and its variations.

Table 4. Biomass sorghum radiation use efficiency, water and irrigation use efficiencies: average values of the two years and, for each year among irrigation treatments, followed by different letters, are different at P=0.05 (LSD test).

| Year  | Irrigation regimes | Radiation use efficiency (g MJ$^{-1}$) | Irrigation water use efficiency (kg m$^{-3}$) | Water use efficiency (kg m$^{-3}$) |
|-------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2008  | Optimal           | 2.99 a                                | 4.60 b                                  | 4.84 a                           |
|       | Stressed          | 2.51 b                                | 5.39 a                                  | 4.38 a                           |
|       | Avg               | 2.75                                 | 4.99 a                                  | 4.44 b                           |
| 2009  | Optimal           | 3.56 a                                | 11.35 a                                 | 8.20 a                           |
|       | Stressed          | 2.29 b                                | 11.53 a                                 | 6.27 a                           |
|       | Avg               | 2.92                                 | 11.44 a                                 | 7.24 a                           |
| 2008-09Av. | Optimal       | 3.23 a                                | 7.98 a                                  | 6.78 a                           |
|       | Stressed          | 2.37 b                                | 8.46 a                                  | 5.49 a                           |
|       | Avg               | 2.84                                 | 8.22 a                                  | 5.67 a                           |
Water use efficiency

Table 4 shows the slopes of the regression line (intercept forced to 0) between irrigation and biomass cumulated by sorghum for each sampling, representing the irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE \( \text{kg m}^{-3} \)) or biomass produced by plant per cubic meter of irrigation water applied. In sorghum, contrasting results are reported in literature. Tolk and Howell (2003), showed an IWUE decline at increased irrigation, whereas Farrè and Faci (2006), gave the opposite results. Amaducci et al. (2000), report that in a well-watered environment in Northern Italy, fibre sorghum did not take advantage of irrigation, also confirmed by Monti and Venturi (2003), with positive but insignificant effects of irrigation on IWUE. In the first year, a reduction in water supply allowed for an increase of IWUE, with higher values in the stressed treatment (5.39 kg m\(^{-3}\)) supplying 325 mm of water, than in the optimal treatment with 4.60 kg m\(^{-3}\), providing 505 mm of water. A reduction in IWUE as a consequence of a decrease in the irrigation regime was also reported for other C\(_4\) crops, such as maize, as indicated by Farrè and Faci (2006). This passed from 2.89 kg m\(^{-3}\) with 380 mm of water supplied with irrigation, to 3.57 kg m\(^{-3}\) with 100 mm of irrigation water. On the contrary, in the second year of experiment, no significant statistical differences emerged in IWUE between irrigation treatments, with an average value of 11.44 kg m\(^{-3}\), more than double than in 2008. Farrè and Faci (2006), found the same situation in grain sorghum, with no difference in IWUE varying the water regime, even if the value they reported (4.45 kg m\(^{-3}\)) was very close to the value found in the first year of the experiment.

From these data, it is possible to notice as the IWUE is influenced by other aspects which make this parameter somewhat unreliable in different agricultural conditions. One of these conditions could be the soil water content at sowing; in barley, this is a crucial point for root length and density and consequently for ADM, as reported by Sahnoune et al. (2004). They observed that the variation of soil moisture at seedling stage from full to 50% of field capacity produced a greater root length and, consequently, a larger water used (from 660 mm to 520 mm).

WUE is a crop parameter linked to the productivity, more suitable in different climatic and management conditions and used by different authors to investigate the response of energy biomass crops to the water availability, as reported by Lindroth et al., (1994) and Beale et al. (1999).

In the first year WUE was statistically lower than that obtained in the second year (4.44 kg m\(^{-3}\) and 7.24 kg m\(^{-3}\), respectively, Figure 4). On average, in the two years, no statistical differences in WUE were recorded among the irrigation treatments (5.87 kg m\(^{-3}\)), but only in the second year the optimal irrigated sorghum proved to be more efficient than the stressed one (Table 4).

This large variability in sorghum WUE as a consequence of different water supplies is confirmed by different authors; Mastrorilli et al. (1995) reported values of WUE in sweet sorghum ranging between 5.6 and 4.1 kg m\(^{-3}\) in a Mediterranean environment, despite the small reported differences in water consumption (580 and 552 mm). A reduction in WUE as a consequence of reduced water is also reported for grain sorghum: in southern Italy, Steduto and Albizzi (2005) found a WUE of 5.7 kg m\(^{-3}\) with 510 mm of water supplied, but this value decreased by 23% when water use decreased by only 5%. Values of the WUE observed in 2009 are closer to those reported for forage sorghum, probably due to the prevalence of young and more efficient green leaves in the biomass sorghum, usually harvested before heading. The RUE proved to be significantly dependent on crop water consumption and it cannot be considered a stable crop parameter, at least in the case of biomass sorghum. A reduction of 30% in RUE was observed with a 35% decrease in water supply in well-watered conditions. The good results of biomass yield (on average 27.2 t ha\(^{-1}\) of ADM) indicate that the crop is well suitable to the Mediterranean environment. The main limitation is the amount of water used, on average 700 mm. This requires a large water supply (at least 500 mm), which may not always be available and reduce economic convenience. In fact, from this research study it is clear that to fully exploit the potentiality of biomass sorghum in a Mediterranean environment it is necessary to ensure an adequate supply of water during the entire crop growth cycle. Considering the amount of rainfall during the crop cycle (a long-term average of 133 mm) and a soil moisture at sowing of approximately 30% in volume, at least 500 mm of irrigation water are necessary to obtain a satisfactory amount of biomass from sorghum for energy purposes.

Conclusions

This research was carried out to evaluate the potential of biomass sorghum in the Mediterranean environment as an alternative crop as a renewable energy resource, examining its productivity in terms of biomass produced and its capacity to convert efficiently water and solar radiation. The values of WUE found in this research show that biomass sorghum is a suitable energy crop in a Mediterranean environment, comparable to other energy crops. Moreover, WUE resulted a crop parameter more conservative than IWUE. The RUE values suggested a high yield potential for this crop in well-watered conditions and in a Mediterranean environment. From this study we obtained RUE values for biomass sorghum never previously reported in literature for this specific crop. The observed values were higher than those reported for grain and sweet sorghum, probably due to the prevalence of young and more efficient green leaves in the biomass sorghum, usually harvested before heading. The RUE proved to be significantly dependent on crop water consumption and it cannot be considered a stable crop parameter, at least in the case of biomass sorghum. A reduction of 30% in RUE was observed with a 35% decrease in water supply in well-watered conditions. The good results of biomass yield (on average 27.2 t ha\(^{-1}\) of ADM) indicate that the crop is well suitable to the Mediterranean environment. The main limitation is the amount of water used, on average 700 mm. This requires a large water supply (at least 500 mm), which may not always be available and reduce economic convenience. In fact, from this research study it is clear that to fully exploit the potentiality of biomass sorghum in a Mediterranean environment it is necessary to ensure an adequate supply of water during the entire crop growth cycle. Considering the amount of rainfall during the crop cycle (a long-term average of 133 mm) and a soil moisture at sowing of approximately 30% in volume, at least 500 mm of irrigation water are necessary to obtain a satisfactory amount of biomass from sorghum for energy purposes.
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