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Abstract. Let $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ denote the mapping class group of a compact nonorientable surface of genus $h \geq 7$ and $n \leq 1$ boundary components, and let $T(N_{h,n})$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ generated by all Dehn twists. It is known that $T(N_{h,n})$ is the unique subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ of index 2. We prove that $T(N_{h,n})$ (and also $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$) contains a unique subgroup of index $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$ up to conjugation, and a unique subgroup of index $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$ up to conjugation, where $g = \lfloor (h-1)/2 \rfloor$. The other proper subgroups of $T(N_{h,n})$ and $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ have index greater than $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$. In particular, the minimum index of a proper subgroup of $T(N_{h,n})$ is $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$.

1. Introduction

For a compact surface $F$, its mapping class group $\mathcal{M}(F)$ is the group of isotopy classes of all, orientation preserving if $F$ is orientable, homeomorphisms $F \to F$ equal to the identity on the boundary of $F$. A compact surface of genus $g$ with $n$ boundary components will be denoted by $S_{g,n}$ if it is orientable, or by $N_{g,n}$ if it is nonorientable.

It is well known that $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,n})$ is residually finite ([4]), and since $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ embeds in $\mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,2n})$ for $g + 2n \geq 3$ ([2, 17]), it is residually finite as well. It means that mapping class groups have a rich supply of finite index subgroups. On the other hand, Berrick, Gebhardt and Paris ([1]) proved that for $g \geq 3$ the minimum index of a proper subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,n})$ is $m_g^- = 2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$ (previously it was known that the minimum index is greater than $4g + 4$ ([12])). More specifically, it is proved in [1] that
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The subgroups of indices $H^O$ thus $M$ of $S^O$ The orthogonal groups $m$ other proper subgroups of $M$ unique subgroup of index $M$ the smallest nontrivial quotient of $M$ the smallest nontrivial quotient of $M$ $\phi$ then $M$ In other words the natural maps $Z$ \text{Aut}(Z)$ is an automorphism of $\phi$ a $Z$ Lickorish’s results ([8, 9]). Passing mod 2 we obtain an epimorphism $\theta$: $M(S_{g,n}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. The orthogonal groups $O^−(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ and $O^+(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ are subgroups of $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ of indices respectively $m^−_g$ and $m^+_g$ (see [1] and references therein), and thus $O^−_{g,n} = \theta^{-1}_g(O^−(2g, \mathbb{Z}))$ and $O^+_{g,n} = \theta^{-1}_g(O^+(2g, \mathbb{Z}))$ are subgroups of $M(S_{g,n})$ of indices respectively $m^−_g$ and $m^+_g$.

Note that for $g \in \{1, 2\}$ the minimum index of a proper subgroup of $M(S_{g,n})$ is 2. Indeed, the abelianization of $M(S_{g,n})$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ for $(g, n) = (1, 0)$, $\mathbb{Z}^n$ for $g = 1$ and $n > 0$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ for $g = 2$ (see [7]). On the other hand, $M(S_{g,0})$ is perfect for $g \geq 3$. Zimmermann ([19]) proved that for $g \in \{3, 4\}$ the smallest nontrivial quotient of $M(S_{g,0})$ is $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. The problem of determining the smallest nontrivial quotient of $M(S_{g,n})$ for $g \geq 5$ is open. On the other hand, Masbaum and Reid ([10]) proved that for fixed $g \geq 1$, every finite group occurs as a quotient of some finite index subgroup of $M(S_{g,n})$.

In this paper we consider the case of a nonorientable surface. For $h \geq 2$ and $n \geq 0$, $M(N_{h,n})$ contains a subgroup of index 2, namely the twist subgroup $T(N_{h,n})$ generated by all Dehn twists about two-sided curves ([9, 15]). If $h \geq 7$, then $T(N_{h,n})$ is perfect and equal to the commutator subgroup $[M(N_{h,n}), M(N_{h,n})]$ (see Theorem 2.5). In particular, for $h \geq 7$, $T(N_{h,n})$ is the unique subgroup of $M(N_{h,n})$ of index 2. The motivating question for this paper is as follows.

**What is the minimum index of a proper subgroup of $T(N_{h,n})$?**

To avoid complication, we restrict our attention to $n \leq 1$. The reason is that for $n \leq 1$, $M(N_{h,n})$ and $T(N_{h,n})$ have particularly simple generators. We emphasize, however, that finite generating sets for these groups are known for arbitrary $n$ ([15, 16]). It is worth mentioning at this point, that the first finite generating set for $M(N_{h,0})$, $h \geq 3$, was obtained by Chillingworth ([3]) using Lickorish’s results ([8, 9]).

Our starting observation is that $M(N_{h,n})$ and $T(N_{h,n})$ admit epimorphisms onto $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$, where $g = [(h - 1)/2]$, hence they contain subgroups of indices $m^−_g$ and $m^+_g$. Here is the construction. Set $V_h = H_1(N_{h,0}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. It is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module of rank $h$. It was proved by McCarthy and Pinkall ([11]) that if $\varphi$ is an automorphism of $V_h$ which preserves the mod 2 intersection pairing, then $\varphi$ is induced by a homeomorphism which is a product of Dehn twists. In other words the natural maps $M(N_{h,0}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_h, \iota)$ and $T(N_{h,0}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_h, \iota)$ are epimorphisms, where $\iota$ is the mod 2 intersection pairing on $V_h$.
and $\text{Aut}(V_h, \iota)$ is the group of automorphisms preserving $\iota$. By pre-composing these epimorphisms with those induced by gluing a disc along the boundary of $N_{h,1}$, respectively $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,1}) \to \mathcal{M}(N_{h,0})$ and $T(N_{h,1}) \to T(N_{h,0})$, we obtain epimorphisms from $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,1})$ and $T(N_{h,1})$ onto $\text{Aut}(V_h, \iota)$. By [6, Section 3] and [18, Lemma 8.1] we have isomorphisms

$$
\text{Aut}(V_h, \iota) \cong \begin{cases} 
\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_2) & \text{if } h = 2g + 1 \\
\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g+1} & \text{if } h = 2g + 2 
\end{cases}
$$

In either case there is an epimorphism $\text{Aut}(V_h, \iota) \to \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. By pre-composing this epimorphism with the map from $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ (resp. $T(N_{h,n})$) onto $\text{Aut}(V_h, \iota)$, we obtain for $n \in \{0, 1\}$ epimorphisms

$$
\tilde{\epsilon}_{h,n}: \mathcal{M}(N_{h,n}) \to \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_2) \quad \text{(resp. } \epsilon_{h,n}: T(N_{h,n}) \to \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_2))\).
$$

Set

$$
\tilde{H}_{h,n}^- = \tilde{\epsilon}_{h,n}^{-1}(O^-(2g, \mathbb{Z}_2)), \quad \tilde{H}_{h,n}^+ = \tilde{\epsilon}_{h,n}^{-1}(O^+(2g, \mathbb{Z}_2)), \\
H_{h,n}^- = \epsilon_{h,n}^{-1}(O^-(2g, \mathbb{Z}_2)), \quad H_{h,n}^+ = \epsilon_{h,n}^{-1}(O^+(2g, \mathbb{Z}_2)).
$$

Here is our main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $h = 2g + r$ for $g \geq 3$, $r \in \{1, 2\}$ and $n \in \{0, 1\}$.

1. $T(N_{h,n})$ is the unique subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ of index 2.
2. $H_{h,n}^-$ (resp. $H_{h,n}^+$) is the unique subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ (resp. $T(N_{h,n})$) of index $m_g^-$, up to conjugation.
3. $H_{h,n}^+$ (resp. $H_{h,n}^-$) is the unique subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ (resp. $T(N_{h,n})$) of index $m_g^+$, up to conjugation.
4. All other proper subgroups of $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ or $T(N_{h,n})$ have index strictly greater than $m_g^+$, and at least $5m_{g-1}^+ > m_g^+$ if $g \geq 4$.

The nontrivial content of Theorem 1.1 consists in points (2), (3), (4). The idea of the proof is as follows. Suppose that $H$ is a proper subgroup of $T(N_{h,1})$ (for definiteness) of index $m \leq m_g^-$. The group $T(N_{h,1})$ contains an isomorphic copy of $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})$ and we prove in Lemma 4.3 that $H \cap \mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})$ has index $m$ in $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})$. Therefore, by [1], $H \cap \mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})$ is conjugate either to $O_{g,1}^-$ or to $O_{g,1}^+$. Then we prove in Theorem 4.2 that $H_{h,1}^-$ (resp. $H_{h,1}^+$) is the unique up to conjugacy subgroup of $T(N_{h,1})$ of index $m_g^-$ (resp. $m_g^+$) such that $H_{h,1}^- \cap \mathcal{M}(S_{g,1}) = O_{g,1}^-$ (resp. $H_{h,1}^+ \cap \mathcal{M}(S_{g,1}) = O_{g,1}^+$).

For $h \in \{5, 6\}$ the abelianization of $T(N_{h,n})$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$, hence the minimum index of a proper subgroup is 2. We prove in Theorem 4.1 that for $h \in \{5, 6\}$ and $n \in \{0, 1\}$, there are 4 conjugacy classes of proper subgroups of $T(N_{h,n})$ of index at most $m_g^+ = 10$. By [15], the abelianization of $T(N_{h,n})$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ for $(h, n) = (3, 0)$, $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ for $(h, n) = (3, 1)$, and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ for $(h, n) = (4, 0)$. In particular, every positive integer occurs as an index of a subgroup of $T(N_{4,0})$. 
2. Preliminaries

2.1. Permutations. Let $\mathfrak{S}_m$ denote the full permutation group of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. The main tool used in this paper is the following well known relationship between index $m$ subgroups and maps to $\mathfrak{S}_m$. A group homomorphism $\varphi: G \to \mathfrak{S}_m$ is transitive if the image acts transitively on $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. If $\varphi: G \to \mathfrak{S}_m$ is transitive, then $\text{Stab}_\varphi(1) = \{x \in G | \varphi(x)(1) = 1\}$ is a subgroup of $G$ of index $m$. Conversely, if $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ of index $m$, then the action of $G$ on the right cosets of $H$ gives rise to a transitive homomorphism $\varphi: G \to \mathfrak{S}_m$ such that $H = \text{Stab}_\varphi(1)$. Such $\varphi$ will be called permutation representation associated with $H$.

We say that two homomorphisms $\varphi$ and $\psi$ from $G_1$ to $G_2$ are conjugate if there exists $y \in G_2$ such that $\varphi(x) = y\psi(x)y^{-1}$ for all $x \in G_1$. It is easy to see that two subgroups of $G$ are conjugate if and only if the associated permutation representations are conjugate.

For $u \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ we have the partition of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ into the fixed set $F(u)$ and the support $S(u)$ of $u$. We will repeatedly use the fact that if $u, v \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ commute, then $v$ preserves $F(u)$ and $S(u)$.

![Figure 1. The surface $N_{h,1}$ and the curve $\gamma_{i,j}$.](image)

2.2. Mapping class group of a nonorientable surface. Fix $h = 2g + r$, where $g \geq 2$, $r \in \{1, 2\}$. Let us represent $N_{h,1}$ as a disc with $h$ crosscaps. This means that interiors of $h$ small pairwise disjoint discs should be removed from the disc, and then antipodal points in each of the resulting boundary components should be identified. Let us arrange the crosscaps as shown on Figure 1 and number them from 1 to $h$. The closed surface $N_{h,0}$ is obtained by gluing a disc along the boundary of $N_{h,1}$. The inclusion of $N_{h,1}$ in $N_{h,0}$ induces epimorphisms $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,1}) \to \mathcal{M}(N_{h,0})$ and $\mathcal{T}(N_{h,1}) \to \mathcal{T}(N_{h,0})$. For $i \leq j$ let $\gamma_{i,j}$ denote the simple closed curve on $N_{h,1}$ from Figure 1. If $j - i$ is odd then $\gamma_{i,j}$ is two-sided and we will denote by $T_{\gamma_{i,j}}$ the Dehn twist about $\gamma_{i,j}$ in the direction indicated by arrows on Figure 1. For $1 \leq i \leq h - 1$ set $\alpha_i = \gamma_{i+1}$, $\alpha_0 = \gamma_1$, and $\alpha_{2g+2} = \gamma_{1,2g}$ (if $g = 2$ then $\alpha_0 = \alpha_6$). We can alter the curves $\alpha_i$ by an isotopy, so that they intersect each other minimally. Let $\Sigma$ (resp. $\Sigma'$) be a regular neighbourhood of the union of $\alpha_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2g$ (resp. $1 \leq i \leq 2g - 2$). These neighbourhoods may be chosen
so that $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$, $\alpha_{2g+2} \subset \Sigma$, and $\alpha_0 \subset \Sigma'$ if $g \geq 3$. Note that $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma'$ are homeomorphic to, and hence will be identified with, respectively $S_{g,1}$ and $S_{g-1,1}$. Figure 2 shows the configuration of the curves $\alpha_i$ on $S_{g,1}$. Observe that the closure of $N_{h,1} \setminus \Sigma$ is homeomorphic to $N_{r,2}$. By [14, Cor. 3.8] the inclusions $S_{g-1,1} \subset S_{g,1} \subset N_{h,1}$ induce injective homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(S_{g,1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}(N_{h,1}).$$

We treat $\mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,1})$ and $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})$ as subgroups of $\mathcal{T}(N_{h,1})$. Set $T_i = T_{\alpha_i}$. It is well known that $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})$ is generated by $T_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2g$ (originally it was proved by Humphries ([5]) that these $2g + 1$ twists generate $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,0})$, if $S_{g,0}$ is obtained by gluing a disc along the boundary of $S_{g,1}$). We define crosscap transposition $U$ to be the isotopy class of the homeomorphism interchanging the two rightmost crosscaps as shown on Figure 3, and equal to the identity outside a disc containing these crosscaps. The composition $T_{h-1}U$ is the $Y$-homeomorphism defined in [8]. In particular $U \in \mathcal{M}(N_{h,n}) \setminus \mathcal{T}(N_{h,n})$. The next theorem can be deduced from the main result of [13].

**Theorem 2.1.** For $h \geq 4$ and $n \leq 1$, $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ is generated by $U$ and $T_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq h - 1$.

For $i \neq j$ we either have $T_iT_j = T_jT_i$ if $\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j = \emptyset$, or $T_iT_jT_i = T_jT_iT_j$ if $|\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j| = 1$. The last equality is called braid relation. Evidently $U$ commutes with $T_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq h - 3$, and if $h \geq 6$ then also with $T_0$. Observe that $U$
preserves (up to isotopy) the curve \( \alpha_{h-1} \) and reverses orientation of its regular neighbourhood. Hence

\[
(2.1) \quad UT_{h-1}U^{-1} = T_{h-1}^{-1}
\]

There is no similarly short relation between \( U \) and \( T_{h-2} \). Observe, however, that up to isotopy, \( U(\alpha_{h-2}) \) intersects \( \alpha_{h-2} \) in a single point. Because the local orientation used to define \( T_{h-2} \) and that induced by \( U \) do not match at the point of intersection, \( UT_{h-2}U^{-1} \) satisfies the braid relation with \( T_{h-2} \). Similarly, if \( g = 5 \) then \( UT_{g}U^{-1} \) satisfies the braid relation with \( T_{g}^{-1} \).

**Corollary 2.2.** For \( h \geq 4 \) and \( n \leq 1 \), \( \mathcal{T}(N_{h,n}) \) is generated by \( T_{i} \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq h-1 \), \( U^{2} \), \( UT_{h-2}U^{-1} \), and if \( h \leq 5 \) then also \( UT_{g}U^{-1} \).

**Proof.** Set \( X = \{ T_{i} \}_{0 \leq i \leq h-1} \). By Theorem 2.1, \( \mathcal{M}(N_{h,1}) \) is generated by \( X \) and \( U \). Since \( \mathcal{T}(N_{h,1}) \) is an index 2 subgroup of \( \mathcal{M}(N_{h,1}) \), \( X \subset \mathcal{T}(N_{h,1}) \) and \( U \in \mathcal{M}(N_{h,1}) \setminus \mathcal{T}(N_{h,1}) \), thus \( \mathcal{T}(N_{h,n}) \) is generated by \( X \), \( UXU^{-1} \) and \( U^{2} \). By the remarks preceding the corollary we have \( UXU^{-1} \subset X \cup X^{-1} \cup \{ UT_{h-3}U^{-1} \} \) if \( h > 5 \), and \( UXU^{-1} \subset X \cup X^{-1} \cup \{ UT_{h-2}U^{-1} \} \) if \( h \leq 5 \).

For a subset \( X \) of a group \( G \), we denote by \( C_{G}X \) the centraliser of \( X \) in \( G \).

**Corollary 2.3.** Let \( G = \mathcal{M}(N_{h,1}) \) or \( G = \mathcal{T}(N_{h,1}) \) for \( h \geq 6 \). Then \( G \) is generated by \( \mathcal{M}(S_{g,1}) \cup C_{G} \mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,1}) \).

**Proof.** Every generator of \( G \) from Theorem 2.1 or Corollary 2.2 is either supported on \( S_{g,1} \), or restricts to the identity on \( S_{g-1,1} \).

For \( x, y \in G \) we write \( [x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \). The commutator subgroup of \( G \) is denoted by \( [G, G] \), and the abelianization \( G/[G, G] \) by \( G^{ab} \).

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( h \geq 5 \), \( n \in \{ 0, 1 \} \), \( N = N_{h,n} \). Suppose that \( T_{\alpha} \) and \( T_{\beta} \) are Dehn twists about two-sided simple closed curves \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) on \( N \), intersecting at one point. Then \( [\mathcal{M}(N), \mathcal{M}(N)] = [\mathcal{T}(N), \mathcal{T}(N)] \) is the normal closure in \( \mathcal{T}(N) \) of \( [T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}] \).

**Proof.** Let \( K \) be the normal closure in \( \mathcal{T}(N) \) of \( [T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}] \). Evidently \( K \subset [\mathcal{T}(N), \mathcal{T}(N)] \). Let \( F \) be a regular neighbourhood of \( \alpha \cup \beta \). Then \( F \) is homeomorphic to \( S_{1,1} \) and \( N \setminus F \) is a nonorientable surface of genus \( h - 2 \geq 3 \). It follows that there is a homomorphism \( y : N \to N \) equal to the identity on \( F \) and not isotopic to a product of Dehn twists on \( N \) (for example, \( y \) may be taken to be a crosscap transposition supported on \( N \setminus F \). Now, for \( f \in \mathcal{M}(N) \setminus \mathcal{T}(N) \) we have \( f[T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}]f^{-1} = (f y)[T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}](f y)^{-1} \in K \).

It follows that \( K \) is normal in \( \mathcal{M}(N) \). By applying [18, Lemma 3.3] to the canonical projection \( \mathcal{M}(N) \to \mathcal{M}(N)/K \) we have that \( \mathcal{M}(N)/K \) is abelian, hence \( [\mathcal{M}(N), \mathcal{M}(N)] \subseteq K \).
The following theorem is proved in [6] for \( n = 0 \) and generalised to \( n > 0 \) in [15, 16].

**Theorem 2.5.** For \( h \in \{5, 6\} \) we have \( \mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})^{ab} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \) and \( T(N_{h,n})^{ab} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \). For \( h \geq 7 \) we have \( \mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})^{ab} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \) and \( T(N_{h,n})^{ab} = 0 \).

3. Some permutation representations of \( \mathcal{M}(S_{g,1}) \).

For \( g \geq 2 \) let \( \phi_{g,n}^- : \mathcal{M}(S_{g,n}) \to \mathfrak{S}_{m_g^-} \) and \( \phi_{g,n}^+ : \mathcal{M}(S_{g,n}) \to \mathfrak{S}_{m_g^+} \) be the representations associated with the subgroups \( O_{g,n}^- \) and \( O_{g,n}^+ \) respectively. The case \( g = 2 \) is special, as \( \mathcal{M}(S_{2,n}) \) contains another subgroup of index \( m_2^- = 6 \), not conjugate to \( O_{2,n}^- \), which we will now describe (see [1] for references for the facts used in this paragraph). The group \( \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathfrak{S}_6 \) has a noninner automorphism \( \alpha \) defined by

\[
\alpha: \begin{cases} (1 2) \mapsto (1 2)(3 5)(4 6) \\ (2 3) \mapsto (1 3)(2 4)(5 6) \\ (3 4) \mapsto (1 2)(3 6)(4 5) \\ (4 5) \mapsto (1 3)(2 5)(4 6) \\ (5 6) \mapsto (1 2)(3 4)(5 6) \end{cases}
\]

It turns out that \( \alpha(O^{-}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2)) \) is a subgroup of \( \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2) \) of index 6, which is not conjugate to \( O^{-}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathfrak{S}_5 \) (on the other hand \( \alpha(O^{+}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2)) \) is conjugate to \( O^{+}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2) \)). Let \( \phi_n^+ : \mathcal{M}(S_{2,n}) \to \mathfrak{S}_6 \) be the representation associated with the subgroup \( \theta_{2,n}^{-1}(\alpha(O^{-}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2))) \).

**Theorem 3.1 ([1]).** (1) Suppose that \( m \leq 10 \) and \( \phi : \mathcal{M}(S_{g,n}) \to \mathfrak{S}_m \) is a nonabelian transitive representation. Then \( m \in \{6, 10\} \). If \( m = 6 \) then \( \phi \) is conjugate either to \( \phi_{2,n}^- \) or to \( \phi_n^+ \). If \( m = 10 \) then \( \phi \) is conjugate to \( \phi_{2,n}^+ \).

(2) Suppose \( g \geq 3, m \leq m_g^+ \) and \( m < 5m_g^- \) if \( g \geq 4 \), and \( \phi : \mathcal{M}(S_{g,n}) \to \mathfrak{S}_m \) is a non-trivial transitive representation. Then either \( m = m_g^- \) and \( \phi \) is conjugate \( \phi_{g,n}^- \), or \( m = m_g^+ \) and \( \phi \) is conjugate to \( \phi_{g,n}^+ \).

(3) For \( g \geq 3 \) and \( n \geq 1 \), \( \phi_{g,n}^- \) is conjugate to an extension of \( (\phi_{g-1,n}^-)^3 \oplus \phi_{g-1,n}^- \) from \( \mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,n}) \) to \( \mathcal{M}(S_{g,n}) \), and \( \phi_{g,n}^+ \) is conjugate to an extension of \( (\phi_{g-1,n}^-)^3 \oplus \phi_{g-1,n}^- \) from \( \mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,n}) \) to \( \mathcal{M}(S_{g,n}) \).

(4) Let \( g \geq 3 \) and suppose that \( T_{g,n} \) is a Dehn twist about a nonseparating simple closed curve on \( S_{g,n} \). Then \( \phi(T_{g,n}) \) is an involution for \( \phi \in \{ \phi_{g,n}^-, \phi_{g,n}^+ \} \).

Implicit in the statement of (3) is the fact that for \( n \geq 1 \), \( \mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,n}) \) naturally embeds in \( \mathcal{M}(S_{g,n}) \). Such embedding is defined in [1], and it is coherent with our identification of \( \mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,1}) \) as a subgroup of \( \mathcal{M}(S_{g,1}) \).
For the proof of the next lemma we need explicit expressions of the images of the generators of $\mathcal{M}(S_{2,1})$ under $\phi_{2,1}^\ast$, $\phi_3^\ast$ and $\phi_{2,1}^\ast$ (see [1, Lemma 3.1]).

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_{2,1}^-: & \quad \begin{cases} 
T_1 \mapsto (1 \ 2) \\
T_2 \mapsto (2 \ 3) \\
T_3 \mapsto (3 \ 4) \\
T_4 \mapsto (4 \ 5) \\
T_0 \mapsto (5 \ 6)
\end{cases} & \quad \phi_3^\ast: & \quad \begin{cases} 
T_1 \mapsto (1 \ 2)(3 \ 5)(4 \ 6) \\
T_2 \mapsto (1 \ 3)(2 \ 4)(5 \ 6) \\
T_3 \mapsto (1 \ 2)(3 \ 6)(4 \ 5) \\
T_4 \mapsto (1 \ 3)(2 \ 5)(4 \ 6) \\
T_0 \mapsto (1 \ 2)(3 \ 4)(5 \ 6)
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

The following lemma will be used in the next section to prove our main result.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $\phi \in \{\phi_{g,1}^-,\phi_{g,1}^+ \mid g \geq 2\} \cup \{\phi^\ast_3\}$. Set $m = m_1^-$ if $\phi = \phi_{g,1}^-$, $m = m_1^+$ if $\phi = \phi_{g,1}^+$, $m = 6$ if $\phi = \phi_3^\ast$. For $0 \leq i \leq 2g$ and $i = 2g + 2$ set $w_i = \phi(T_i)$. Then

(a) $C_{\mathfrak{S}_m}\{w_1, w_2, w_4\} = \{1, w_4\}$ for $g = 2$,
(b) $C_{\mathfrak{S}_m}\{w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2g-2}, w_{2g-1}\} = \{1, w_{2g+2}\}$ for $g \geq 2$,
(c) $C_{\mathfrak{S}_m}\{w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2g-2}, w_{2g}\} = \{1, w_{2g}\}$ for $g \geq 3$,
(d) $C_{\mathfrak{S}_m}\phi(\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})) = \{1\}$ for $g \geq 2$.

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on $g$. For $g = 2$ the assertions (a), (b) and (d) may be easily verified by using the expressions for $w_i$ given above. Fix $g \geq 3$ and assume that the lemma is true for $g - 1$. By (4) of Theorem 3.1, $w_i$ are involutions.

Note that $w_{2g} \neq w_{2g+2}$. For suppose $w_{2g} = w_{2g+2}$. Then, from

$w_{2g-1}w_{2g+2} = w_{2g+2}w_{2g-1}$ and $w_{2g-1}w_{2g+1} = w_{2g-1}w_{2g}w_{2g-2}$,

we have $w_{2g-1} = w_{2g}$. By repeating this argument it is easy to show that all $w_i$ are equal. It follows that the image of $\phi$ is cyclic of order 2, a contradiction. Since $\phi(\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1}))$ is generated by $\{w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2g}\}$, (d) follows immediately from (b) and (c).

Let $G$ be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_m$ generated by $\{w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2g-2}\}$ and note that $G = \phi(\mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,1}))$. By (3) of Theorem 3.1, the restriction of $\phi_g^\ast$ (resp. $\phi_g^-$) to $\mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,1})$ is conjugate to $(\phi_g^\ast)^3 \oplus \phi_g^+ (\phi_g^-)^3$ (resp. $\phi_g^- \oplus (\phi_g^-)^3$). It follows that there are three orbits of cardinality $k$ of $G$ and one orbit of cardinality $l$ of $G$, where $k \neq l$.

The centraliser $C_{\mathfrak{S}_m}G$ preserves the $l$-orbit and permutes the $k$-orbits, which gives a homomorphism $\theta: C_{\mathfrak{S}_m}G \to \mathfrak{S}_3$. If $u \in C_{\mathfrak{S}_m}G$ preserves an orbit $X$ of $G$, then the restriction of $u$ to $X$ commutes...
with the action of $G$, and by (d) of the induction hypothesis, $u$ restricts to the identity on $X$. It follows that $\theta$ is injective.

Set $C_1 = C_{\phi_m}\{w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2g-2}, w_{2g-1}\}$. We have $C_1 \subset C_{\phi_m}G$ and $w_{2g} \in (C_{\phi_m}G)\backslash C_1$. Indeed, $w_{2g}$ does not commute with $w_{2g-1}$. For otherwise we would have $w_{2g} = w_{2g-1}$ by the braid relation, and we would obtain a contradiction as above, by arguing that the image of $\phi$ is cyclic. It follows that $\theta(C_1)$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_3$ containing $\theta(w_{2g+2})$, hence $\theta(C_1) = \{1, \theta(w_{2g+2})\}$ and (b) follows by injectivity of $\theta$. Similarly, setting $C_2 = C_{\phi_m}\{w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2g-2}, w_{2g}\}$ we have that $\theta(C_2)$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_3$, because $\theta(w_{2g+2}) \notin \theta(C_2)$, hence $\theta(C_2) = \{1, \theta(w_{2g})\}$ and (c) follows. \[ \square \]

4. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1, and the analogous result for surfaces of genera 5 and 6 which we will now state. Let $h = 4 + r$ for $r \in \{1, 2\}$ and $n \in \{0, 1\}$. Set $H_{h,n}^\alpha = \varepsilon_{h,n}(\alpha(O^-(4, \mathbb{Z}_2)))$ and $H_{h,n}^\theta = \varepsilon_{h,n}(\alpha(O^+(4, \mathbb{Z}_2)))$. Recall that $\alpha(O^-(4, \mathbb{Z}_2))$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ of index 6 not conjugate to $O^-(4, \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

**THEOREM 4.1.** Let $h = 4 + r$ for $r \in \{1, 2\}$ and $n \in \{0, 1\}$.

1. There are three subgroups of $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ of index 4 and the unique subgroup of $\mathcal{T}(N_{h,n})$ of index 2.
2. $H_{h,n}^\alpha$ and $H_{h,n}^\alpha$ (resp. $H_{h,n}^\alpha$ and $H_{h,n}^\alpha$) are the only subgroups of $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}(N_{h,n})$) of index 6, up to conjugation.
3. $H_{h,n}^\alpha$ (resp. $H_{h,n}^\alpha$) is the unique subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}(N_{h,n})$) of index 10, up to conjugation.
4. All other proper subgroups of $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ or $\mathcal{T}(N_{h,n})$ have index strictly greater than 10.

Let $G = \mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ or $G = \mathcal{T}(N_{h,n})$ for $h \geq 5$ and $n \in \{0, 1\}$, and $g = [(h - 1)/2]$. Suppose that $H$ is a proper subgroup of $G$. If $H$ contains $[G, G]$, then by Theorem 2.5 either $H = [G, G]$, or $H$ is one of the three subgroups of index 2 of $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ for $h \in \{5, 6\}$. Suppose that $H$ does not contain $[G, G]$. Then the associated permutation representation $G \to \mathfrak{S}_m$ is nonabelian. To prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 4.1 it suffices to show that there are only two (three if $g = 2$) conjugacy classes of nonabelian transitive permutation representations $G \to \mathfrak{S}_m$ of degree $m \leq m_g^+$ and $m < 5m_g^-$ if $g \geq 4$. Thus, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 4.1 follow from Theorem 4.2 below and the fact that $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,n})$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}(N_{h,n})$) is a quotient of $\mathcal{M}(N_{h,1})$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}(N_{h,1})$).

**THEOREM 4.2.** Suppose $h = 2g + r$ for $g \geq 2$ and $r \in \{1, 2\}$, $m \leq m_g^+$ and $m < 5m_g^-$ if $g \geq 4$, $G = \mathcal{M}(N_{h,1})$ or $G = \mathcal{T}(N_{h,1})$, and $\varphi: G \to \mathfrak{S}_m$ is a
nonabelian, transitive representation. Then \(m \in \{m_m^-, m_m^+\}\). If \(m = m_m^-\) then \(\varphi\) is, up to conjugation, the unique extension of \(\phi_{g,1}\) (or \(\phi_g^1\) if \(g = 2\)) from \(\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})\) to \(G\). If \(m = m_m^+\) then \(\varphi\) is, up to conjugation, the unique extension of \(\phi_{g,1}\) from \(\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})\) to \(G\).

For the proof of Theorem 4.2 we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** Suppose that \(G, g, r, m\) and \(\varphi: G \to \mathfrak{S}_m\) are as in Theorem 4.2. Then \(m \in \{m_m^-, m_m^+\}\) and the restriction of \(\varphi\) to \(\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})\) is transitive.

**Proof.** Let \(\varphi'\) be the restriction of \(\varphi\) to \(\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})\). The image of \(\varphi'\) is not abelian, for otherwise \(\varphi\) would be abelian (by Lemma 2.4, \([G, G]\) is normally generated by \([T_1, T_2]\)). By Theorem 3.1 ((1) and (2)), there is an orbit \(X\) of \(\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})\) of order \(m_m^-\) or \(m_m^+\). Since \(2m_g^- > m_m^+\) and \(2m_g^- > 5m_g^-\), \(X\) is the unique orbit of \(\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})\) of order at least \(m_g^-\). We want to show that \(m = |X|\). By transitivity of \(\varphi\), it suffices to show that \(\varphi(G)\) preserves \(X\).

Suppose \(g \geq 3\) and \(|X| = m_g^-\) (the proof is completely analogous for \(|X| = m_g^+\)). By (2) of Theorem 3.1, \(\varphi(\mathcal{M}(S_{g,1}))\) acts trivially on the complement of \(X\) in \([1, \ldots, m]\), and the subrepresentation \(x \mapsto \varphi(x)|_X\) for \(x \in \mathcal{M}(S_{g,1})\) is conjugate to \(\phi_{g,1}\). By (3) of Theorem 3.1, \(X = Y \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3\), where \(Y\) is an orbit of \(\varphi(\mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,1}))\) of length \(m_g^-\) and \(Z_i\) are orbits of \(\varphi(\mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,1}))\) of length \(m_g^-\). All other orbits of \(\varphi(\mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,1}))\) have length one. Since \(\varphi(G, \mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,1}))\) permutes the orbits of \(\mathcal{M}(S_{g-1,1})\), it preserves \(X\). By Corollary 2.3, \(\varphi(G)\) preserves \(X\).

For the rest of the proof assume \(g = 2\). If \(|X| = m_g^+\) then obviously \(m = m_g^+\). Suppose that \(|X| = m_g^- = 6\). Let \(X' = \{1, \ldots, m\} \setminus X\). By (1) of Theorem 3.1, the action of \(\varphi(\mathcal{M}(S_{2,1}))\) on \(X'\) is abelian. Since the twists \(T_i\) for \(0 \leq i \leq 4\) are all conjugate in \(\mathcal{M}(S_{2,1})\), they induce the same permutation \(\tau\) of \(X'\). Since \(|X'| \leq 4\) and \(\mathcal{M}(S_{2,1})^{ab} = Z_{10}\) (see [7]), the \(\tau^2 = 1\). After a conjugacy in \(\mathfrak{S}_m\) we may suppose that \(X = \{1, \ldots, 6\}\) and \(\varphi(T_i) = \phi(T_i)\tau\) for \(0 \leq i \leq 4\), where \(\phi \in \{\phi_{2,1}^i, \phi_g^i\}\) and \(\tau \in \{1, (7 8), (7 8)(9 10)\}\). We will use the expressions for \(\phi(T_i)\) given in Section 3. Set \(w_i = \varphi(T_i)\) for \(0 \leq i \leq 3 + r\), \(v = \varphi(U^2)\), and \(u = \varphi(U)\) if \(G = \mathcal{M}(N_{4+r,1})\). We will repeatedly use the following two easy observations.

**Observation 1.** Suppose that \(a \in \mathfrak{S}_m\) preserves \(S(\tau)\) and for some \(i, j \in \{0, \ldots, 4\}\) we have \(w_ia = aw_i\) and \(w_iaw_j = aw_ja\). Then the restriction of \(a\) to \(S(\tau)\) is equal to \(\tau\).

**Observation 2.** Suppose that \(a \in \mathfrak{S}_m\) preserves \(X \cup S(\tau)\) and for some \(i \in \{0, \ldots, 4\}\) we have \(w_iaw_j = aw_ja\). Then \(S(a) \subseteq X \cup S(\tau)\).

**Case r = 1.** Set \(w_3' = \varphi(U_3U^{-1})\), \(w_0' = \varphi(U_0U^{-1})\). Observe that, up to isotopy, \(U(\alpha_3)\) is disjoint from \(T_4(\alpha_3)\) and \(\alpha_1\), and it intersects each of the curves \(\alpha_3\) and \(\alpha_2\) in a single point. Hence \(w_3'\) commutes with \(w_4w_3w_4\) and
$w_1$, and satisfies the braid relation with $w_3$ and $w_2$. Similarly, $w'_0$ commutes with $w_1$, $w_2$, $w'_3$ and satisfies the braid relation with $w_0$ and $w_4$. Note, that $v$ and $u$ commute with $w_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, and by (2.1) also with $w_4$.

By Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, to prove that $\phi(G)$ preserves $X$ it suffices to show that $w'_0$, $w'_0$ and $v$ preserve $X$ if $G = T(N_5,1)$, and $u$ preserves $X$ if $G = M(N_5,1)$.

**Subcase 1A:** $\phi = \phi_1^{-\tau}$. First assume $G = T(N_5,1)$. Since $w'_3$ commutes with $w_1 = (1 2)\tau$ and $w_1 w_3 w_1 = (3 5)\tau$, it follows easily that $w'_3$ preserves $\{1, 2\}, \{3, 5\}$ and $S(\tau)$. Write $w'_3 = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4$, where $S(v_1) \subseteq \{1, 2\}$, $S(v_2) \subseteq \{3, 5\}$, $S(v_3) \subseteq S(\tau)$ and $\{1, 2, 3, 5\} \cup S(\tau) \subseteq F(v_4)$. Note that $v_i$ commute with each other for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 4\}$. By observation 1 we have $v_3 = \tau$. Since $w_2$ commutes with $v_4$ (disjoint supports), by the braid relation $w'_3 w_2 w'_3 = w_2 w'_3 w_2$ we have $v_4 = 1$. Similarly, from $w_3 w_1 = w_1 w_3$ and $w'_3 w_3 w'_3 = w_3 w'_3 w_3$, we have $v_1 = 1$ and $v_2 = (3 5)$. Hence $w'_3 = (3 5)\tau$.

Since $w'_0$ commutes with $w_1$, $w_2$, $w'_3$, we have $\{1, 2, 3, 5\} \subseteq F(w'_0)$ and $w'_0$ preserves $S(\tau)$. By observation 1 and braid relations $w'_0 w_i w'_0 = w_i w'_0 w_i$ for $i = 0, 4$, we have $w'_0 = (4 6)\tau$.

Since $v$ commutes with $w_1$, $w_2$ and $w_4$, we have $\{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq F(v)$ and $v$ preserves $\{4, 5\}$ and $S(\tau)$. We claim that $\{4, 5\} \subseteq F(v)$. For suppose $v(4) = 5$. Then $vw_3 v^{-1} = (3 5)\tau'$, where $\tau' = v\tau v^{-1}$. Note that $\tau'$ commutes with $\tau$, and hence $[vw_3 v^{-1}, w'_0] = 1$. This implies, by Lemma 2.4, that the image of $\phi$ is abelian, because $U^2(\alpha_3)$ intersects $U(\alpha_3)$ in a single point (up to isotopy), a contradiction. Hence $v(4) = 4$ and $v(5) = 5$. We also have $v(6) = 6$, for otherwise $vw_0 v^{-1} = (5 i)\tau'$ for $i \notin X \cup S(\tau)$, which contradicts the braid relation between $vw_0 v^{-1}$ and $w'_0$.

Suppose that $G = M(N_5,1)$. We have to show that $u = \phi(U)$ preserves $X$. Since $u$ commutes with $w_1$, $w_2$ and $w_4$, we have $\{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq F(u)$ and $u$ preserves $S(\tau)$. We have $uw_3 u^{-1} = w'_3 = (3 5)\tau$ and $uw_0 u^{-1} = w'_0 = (4 6)\tau$. It follows that $u(4) = (5 6) = 4$ and $u(5) = 6$.

**Subcase 1B:** $\phi = \phi'_1$. Because $u$, $v$ and $w'_0$ commute with $w_1$ and $w_2$, they also commute with $w_2 w_1 = (1 4 5)(2 3 6)$. It follows that $u$, $v$ and $w'_0$ preserve $S(w_2 w_1) = X$.

Since $w'_3$ commutes with $w_1$, it preserves $S(w_1) = X \cup S(\tau)$. It also commutes with $w_1 w_4 w_3 w_4 = (1 6)(2 4)$. By observation 2, it follows that $w'_3$ can be written as $v_1 v_2$, where $v_1$ is one of the permutations $(1 6)(2 4)$ or $(1 2)(4 6)$ or $(1 4)(2 6)$, and $S(v_2) \subseteq \{3, 5\} \subseteq S(\tau)$. If $\tau = 1$, then we are done. Suppose that $\tau \neq 1$ and $w'_3$ does not preserve $\{3, 5\}$. Then, up to a permutation of $S(\tau)$, we either have $v_2 = (3 7)(5 8)$, or $v_2 = (3 7)(5 8)(9 10)$. It can be checked, that for each of the three possibilities for $v_1$, $w'_3$ does not satisfy the braid relation either with $w_2$ or with $w_3$. Hence $v_2 = (3 5)\tau$ and $w'_3$ preserves $X$. 


Lemma 3.2. \( r \) \( \text{abelian}, \) a contradiction. For \( \{2, 3, 4\} \subseteq F(\{w_5\}) \) and it follows easily from observations 1 and 2 and the braid relation \( w_5 w_4 w_5 = w_4 w_5 w_4 \) that \( w_5 = (5 6) \). Observe that, up to isotopy, \( U(\alpha_4) \) is disjoint from \( T_5(\alpha_4) \). Hence \( w'_4 \) commutes with \( w_5 w_4 w_5 = (4 6) \). As it also commutes with \( w_1, w_2, w_3 \) we have \( \{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq F(w'_4) \) and \( w'_4 \) preserves \( S(\tau) \) and \( \{4, 6\} \). Let \( w'_4 = v_1 v_2, \) where \( S(v_1) \subseteq \{4, 6\} \cup S(\tau) \) and \( \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\} \cup S(\tau) \subseteq F(v_2) \). From \( w_3 v_2 w_4 w_3 = w'_4 w_3 w'_4 \) we have \( v_2 = 1 \), hence \( w'_4 \) preserves \( X \).

Subcase 2B: \( \phi = \phi^0 \). Since \( w_5, w'_4, v \) and \( u \) commute with \( w_2 w_1 \), they preserve \( X = S(w_2 w_1) \).

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let \( \phi \) be the restriction of \( \varphi \) to \( M(S_g, 1) \). By Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 3.1, up to conjugation we may assume \( \phi \in \{\phi^0, \phi^1\} \) or \( \phi = \phi^0 \) if \( g = 2 \). We will prove that \( \varphi \) is determined by \( \phi \). Set \( w_i = \phi(T_i) \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq 2g \) and \( i = 2g + 2 \).

Consider \( \varphi^U : G \to \mathfrak{S}_m \) defined by \( \varphi^U(x) = \varphi(U x U^{-1}) \) for \( x \in G \). By Lemma 4.3, the restriction \( \varphi^U \) of \( \varphi \) to \( M(S_g, 1) \) is transitive, and by Theorem 3.1, \( \varphi^U \) is conjugate to \( \phi \). Hence, there exist \( a \in \mathfrak{S}_m \), such that \( \phi^U(x) = a \phi(x) a^{-1} \) for \( x \in M(S_g, 1) \).

Suppose that \( r = 1 \). If \( g \geq 3 \), then for \( 0 \leq i \leq 2g - 2 \) we have \( \phi^U(T_i) = \phi(T_i) \), and by (2.1) and (4) of Theorem 3.1, also \( \phi^U(T_{2g}) = \phi(T_{2g}) \).

Hence \( a \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{S}_m}[w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2g-2}, w_{2g}] \). Similarly, for \( g = 2 \) we have \( a \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{S}_m}[w_1, w_2, w_4] \). By (c) and (a) of Lemma 3.2 we have \( a \in \{1, w_{2g}\} \). We claim that \( a = w_{2g} \). For suppose \( a = 1 \). Then \( \varphi(U T_{2g-1} U^{-1}) = \phi(U T_{2g-1}) = \varphi(T_{2g-1}) \).

However, since \( \{U T_{2g-1} U^{-1}, T_{2g-1}\} \) normally generates \( [G, G] \) by Lemma 2.4, \( \varphi \) is abelian, a contradiction. Now suppose that \( r = 2 \). We conclude that \( \varphi(U T_{2g-1} U^{-1}) = w_{2g} w_{2g-1} w_{2g} \) if \( r = 1 \) and \( \varphi(U T_{2g} U^{-1}) = w_{2g+2} w_{2g} w_{2g+2} \) if \( r = 2 \). If \( (g, r) = (2, 1) \), then \( \varphi(U T_0 U^{-1}) = w_{4} w_{0} w_{4} \).

If \( G = M(N_{2g+r}) \) then \( \varphi^U(x) = \varphi(U) \varphi(x) \varphi(U)^{-1} \) and by above arguments we obtain \( \varphi(U) = w_{2g} \) if \( r = 1 \), and \( \varphi(U) = w_{2g+2} \) if \( r = 2 \). In particular \( \varphi(U^2) = 1 \). We claim that \( \varphi(U^2) = 1 \) also for \( G = T(N_{2g+r}) \). Set \( v = \varphi(U^2) \). Suppose that \( r = 1 \). If \( g \geq 3 \), then \( v \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{S}_m}[w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2g-2}, w_{2g}] \), and if \( g = 2 \) then \( v \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{S}_m}[w_1, w_2, w_4] \). By (c) and (a) of Lemma 3.2 we have \( v \in \{1, w_{2g}\} \). Suppose \( v = w_{2g} \), then \( \varphi(U T_{2g-1} U^{-1}) = w_{2g} w_{2g-1} w_{2g} = \varphi(U T_{2g-1} U^{-1}) \).

However, since \( \{U T_{2g-1} U^{-1}, U T_{2g-1} U^{-1}\} \) normally generates \( [G, G] \) by Lemma 2.4, \( \varphi \) is abelian, a contradiction. For \( r = 2 \) the argument is similar, using (b) of Lemma 3.2.
Suppose that \( r = 2 \). Then \( \varphi(T_{2g+1}) \in C_{S_m} \{ w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2g-2}, w_{2g-1} \} \).

By (b) of Lemma 3.2 we have \( \varphi(T_{2g+1}) \in \{ 1, w_{2g+2} \} \). Since \( \varphi(T_{2g+1}) \) is conjugate to \( w_{2g} \), it is not trivial, and \( \varphi(T_{2g+1}) = w_{2g+2} \).

We have shown that the values of \( \varphi \) on the generators of \( G \) given in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 are determined by \( \phi \). Hence \( \varphi \) is the unique extension of \( \phi \) from \( M(S_g, 1) \) to \( G \).
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