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SUMMARY

Chemical modifications to nucleosomal DNA and histone tails greatly influence transcription of adjacent and distant genes, a mode of gene regulation referred to as epigenetic control. Here, the authors summarize recent findings that have illustrated crucial roles for epigenetic regulatory enzymes and reader proteins in the control of cardiac fibrosis. Particular emphasis is placed on epigenetic regulation of stress-induced inflammation and fibroblast activation in the heart. The potential of developing innovative small molecule “epigenetic therapies” to combat cardiac fibrosis is highlighted. (J Am Coll Cardiol Basic Trans Sci 2018;3:704–15) © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Cardiac fibrosis may be beneficial, replacing regions of myocyte loss with a structural scar following myocardial infarction (MI), or maladaptive, involving excessive extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition in response to long-standing stress (1). Unrestrained cardiac fibrosis can elicit various deleterious effects. For example, interstitial fibrosis increases the passive stiffness of the myocardium, contributing to diastolic dysfunction (2,3), and disrupts electrical conduction in the heart, causing arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death (4). Unfortunately, despite the widely accepted roles of fibrosis in cardiac dysfunction, no targeted antifibrotic drugs for the heart currently exist.

A growing body of evidence suggests that “epigenetic therapies” have great potential to combat organ fibrosis and could provide an innovative approach to treat heart disease. In cell nuclei, chromosomal DNA is packaged together with histone proteins to form chromatin. The basic unit of chromatin is the nucleosome, which encompasses ~150 base pairs of DNA wrapped around a histone octamer. Chemical modifications to nucleosomal DNA and histone tails can profoundly influence transcription of neighboring or distant genes, and this mode of gene regulation is referred to as epigenetics. Here, we discuss histone acetylation, histone methylation, and DNA methylation as epigenetic events that contribute to the pathogenesis of cardiac fibrosis and heart failure.

Vincent Allfrey’s discovery that histones can be post-translationally acetylated, altering RNA synthesis in vitro, laid the foundation for our current understanding of the role of this modification in the control of transcription (5,6). Acetylation of histones at gene promoters and enhancers can alter gene expression, positively or negatively, by modifying the electrostatic charge of chromatin and creating a combinatorial histone code that governs recruitment of “reader” proteins and chromatin remodeling.
factors that dictate expression of downstream target genes (7).

Histone acetyltransferases (HATs) catalyze the addition of acetyl groups to lysine residues in histone tails. HATs are divided into 2 typical families, Gcn5 and MYST, named for their founding members (8). Other proteins, such as p300/CBP, Taf1, and nuclear receptor coactivators also have catalytic acetyltransferase activities; however, they do not contain true consensus HAT domains and are categorized as an orphan class. The enzymes that remove acetyl groups from histone tails are histone deacetylases (HDACs). To date, the identified mammalian HDACs are classified into 4 classes: Class I (HDAC1, HDAC2, HDAC3, and HDAC8), Class II (HDAC4, HDAC5, HDAC6, HDAC7, HDAC9, and HDAC10), Class III (SIRT1–7), and Class IV (HDAC11) (9). Class I, II, and IV HDACs are zinc-dependent, whereas Class III HDACs (also known as sirtuins) use NAD+ as a cofactor for catalytic activity.

Acetylation of histones that mark active transcription start sites and enhancers can create docking sites for acetyl-readers, such as members of the bromo-domain and extraterminal domain (BET) family of proteins (BRD2, BRD3, BRD4, and BRDT). BRD4 has the capacity to activate RNA Polymerase II (Pol II) by recruiting a kinase component (CDK9) of the P-TEFb complex, leading to transcription elongation (10–12). An emerging role for BRD4 is in the formation of large, dynamic, cell state–specific enhancers, referred to as super-enhancers (SEs) (13,14). As discussed in the following text, BRD4 plays a central role in the control of cardiac fibrosis, in part through its ability to enhance the formation of SEs (15). Additional reader domains for acetyl-histone (e.g., YEATS), as well as readers of other histone marks such as methylation, have been described (16). However, nothing is known about the functions of these other factors in the context of cardiac disease.

Methylation is another crucial histone modification, and the addition of this mark is mediated by lysine methyltransferases (KMTs). In general, methylation of histones H3K4 and H3K36 by KMT2, KMT3, and KMT7 family members results in stimulation of gene expression, whereas methylation of H3K9, H4K20, and H3K27 by KMT1, KMT5, KMT6, and KMT8 enzymes results in gene repression (17). In contrast to KMTs, lysine demethylases (KDMs) remove methyl groups from histones, with KDM1, KDM2, KDM5, and KDM6 family members demethylating H3K4, and KDM3 and KDM7 enzymes demethylation H3K9 (18). The repressive methyl marks on H3K27 are removed by KDM6; KDM7 also targets dimethylated H3K27, and can remove monomethyl from this site as well (18).

Nucleosomical DNA can be methylated by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs), which are divided into 4 families, DNMT1, DNMT2, DNMT3, and Chromomethylase (19). DNA methylation occurs in intragenic, intergenic, and CpG islands in promoter regions and is associated with gene repression. Several mechanisms appear to explain how DNA methylation leads to transcriptional repression, one of which is to create docking sites for methyl-DNA reader proteins that function to inhibit downstream target gene expression (20,21). Recently, a novel family of Fe2+ and 2-oxoglutarate–dependent dioxygenases known as ten-eleven translocation (TET) proteins was identified, and includes TET1, TET2, and TET3. These proteins were found to mediate DNA demethylation by oxidizing 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine, 5-formylcytosine, and 5-carboxylcytosine (22–24). Cardiac fibrosis is a complex, multifactorial process (25,26). For the purposes of this review, rather than simply listing epigenetic events that contribute to fibrosis of the heart, we describe roles of epigenetic regulatory effectors within the framework of distinct, but overlapping, phases of the fibrotic response: inflammation and fibroblast activation (Central Illustration).

| ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS |
|-----------------------------|
| Ang II = angiotensin II      |
| BET = bromodomain and extramembranous protein |
| DNMT = DNA methyltransferase |
| ECM = extracellular matrix   |
| HAT = histone acetyltransferase |
| HDAC = histone deacetylase  |
| IL = interleukin             |
| KDM = lysine methyltransferase |
| KMT = lysine methyltransferase |
| LPS = lipopolysaccharide     |
| MI = myocardial infarction   |
| NF-kB = nuclear factor-kB    |
| SAMP = senescence-associated secretory phenotype |
| SE = super-enhancer          |
| SMA = smooth muscle actin    |
| TET = ten-eleven translocation |
| TNF = tumor necrosis factor  |
| Treg = regulatory T cell     |
| TSA = trichostatin A         |
| VPA = valproic acid          |

EPIGENETICS IN INFLAMMATION

EPIGENETIC CONTROL OF INFLAMMATION. Inflammation has long been recognized as an important component of heart failure pathogenesis (27). It is thought that cardiac stress (e.g., MI) leads to nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB)–mediated stimulation of cytokine/chemokine gene expression in resident cardiac cells (28–31). The release of proinflammatory mediators, as well as damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), induces recruitment of inflammatory cells such as neutrophils and monocytes into the myocardium, promoting phagocytic clearance of debris (32–34). Although initially beneficial, failure to resolve this inflammatory cell extravasation leads to insidious cardiac inflammation, resulting in long-term fibroblast activation and subsequent fibrosis (35–37).

CD4+ T lymphocytes and FoxP3+ regulatory T cells (Tregs) have been shown to aid in the repair of the
injured heart following MI (38). Furthermore, adoptive transfer of Tregs, which are generally anti-inflammatory, was demonstrated to decrease infiltration of neutrophils, monocytes, and lymphocytes into the heart post-MI, and blunted cardiac fibrosis in response to transverse aortic constriction, long-term angiotensin II (Ang II) infusion, or coxsackievirus infection (39–42). However, it was recently shown that T cells can also promote cardiac fibrosis in the setting of long-term pressure overload, in which Th1 effector T cells were found to directly stimulate fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation and promote fibrotic remodeling of the heart (43).

Epigenetic regulators clearly serve immunomodulatory roles in the heart (44,45). For example, treatment of spontaneously hypertensive rats for 20 weeks with valproic acid (VPA), a weak HDAC inhibitor, led to a reduction in interleukin (IL)-1β and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha expression in the left ventricle, which correlated with attenuated cardiac

In response to pathological stresses such as myocardial infarction (MI) or pressure overload, epigenetic machinery is activated to promote cardiac inflammation and cardiac fibroblast proliferation, leading to cardiac fibrosis. Complex interplay between histone deacetylase (HDAC), histone acetyltransferase (HAT), lysine methyltransferase (KMT), lysine demethylase (KDM), DNA methyltransferase (DNMT), and ten-eleven translocation (TET) enzymes governs acetylation (Ac) of histones and methylation (Me) of histones and DNA that make up nucleosomes.

**CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION** Central Roles for Epigenetic Regulators in Mediating the Fibroblast Activation and Inflammation That Culminates in Cardiac Fibrosis
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hypertrophy and fibrosis, and improved cardiac function (46). The pan-HDAC inhibitor, SAHA, which is Food and Drug Administration approved for the treatment of cancer, reduced IL-1β, IL-2, and TNF-α expression, and decreased perivascular and interstitial cardiac fibrosis in a model of hypertension-induced cardiac remodeling (47).

In addition to influencing proinflammatory gene expression via alterations in nucleosomal tail acetylation, HDAC-mediated deacetylation of nonhistone targets also regulates inflammatory signaling (48). For example, treatment of macrophages with the pan-HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A (TSA) led to enhanced acetylation of MAPK phosphatase-1, thereby promoting its ability to suppress proinflammatory p38 kinase signaling (49). Furthermore, HDAC-mediated deacetylation of interferon regulatory factor-7 was shown to be required for efficient DNA binding of this proinflammatory transcription factor (50). Finally, the p65 subunit of NF-κB can be deacetylated by HDAC3, promoting its nuclear exclusion via association with IκBα (51). Whether these nongenomic roles for HDACs regulate cardiac inflammation remains to be determined.

BET epigenetic reader proteins are crucial positive regulators of NF-κB–dependent proinflammatory gene expression (52). Utilizing the transverse aortic constriction and MI-induced mouse models of heart failure, as well as cultured human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes, small
molecule BET bromodomain inhibition was shown to potently suppress a network of cardiac NF-κB-responsive genes that control the innate immune response (53). Mechanistically, the BET family member BRD4 directly interacts with NF-κB through acetylated Lys310 of the p65 subunit, an interaction that is required for NF-κB transactivation (Figure 1A) (54). In a genome-wide study employing TNF-α-stimulated endothelial cells, acetylated p65 was also shown to establish new SEs proximal to genes governing the canonical inflammatory response, and BET inhibition blocked NF-κB-directed SE reorganization (55). Future studies will determine whether a similar BRD4-dependent mechanism controls cardiac inflammation and fibrosis.

**EPIGENETIC CONTROL OF MACROPHAGES AND TREGS.** To our knowledge, no studies have addressed epigenetic mechanisms in specific inflammatory cell types in the context of cardiac disease,
highlighting an area of emphasis for future investigation. Nonetheless, important epigenetic regulatory mechanisms have been detailed in inflammatory cells in other organ systems, and will likely apply to the heart as well. For this review, we focused on macrophages and T cells.

It is well established that both resident macrophages and those derived from monocyte recruitment play fundamental roles in the control of cardiac inflammation (56,57). Macrophages are commonly categorized as M1 or M2, although we acknowledge that this is an oversimplification (58,59). M1 macrophages secrete proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, induce phagocytosis, and promote oxidative-dependent killing mechanisms. By contrast, M2 macrophages trigger resolution of inflammation and enhance wound healing.

Histone and DNA methylation have been linked to the establishment of macrophage phenotype. Association of the transcription factor interferon regulatory factor (IRF)-5 with SETDB1, a KMT1 family member, represses proinflammatory genes associated with the M1 phenotype by promoting the formation of H3K9me3 marks on regulatory elements (60). Likewise, the KMT3 family member Smyd2 negatively regulates the proinflammatory M1 phenotype by increasing repressive H3K36 dimethylation at promoter regions of proinflammatory genes (61). Conversely, KDM6 family members JMJ1D and UTX have been reported to promote the M1 macrophage phenotype by removing repressive H3K27 trimethylation marks at regulatory sites for proinflammatory genes (62) (Figure 1B). Regarding DNA methylation, in the setting of obesity, DNMT1 was recently shown to promote the M1 macrophage phenotype by hypermethylating and thereby reducing expression of the pro-M2 transcription factor PPARγ1 (63). Furthermore, in a mouse model of diabetes, DNMT1 was shown to hypermethylate regulatory elements for the genes Notch1, PU.1, and Klf4, thereby skewing macrophage polarization toward an M1 phenotype (64).

The initial link between HDACs and macrophage polarization was first suggested by the demonstration that VPA treatment suppresses the M1 phenotype of cultured macrophages (65). Moreover, the pan-HDAC inhibitor scriptaid was subsequently shown to promote the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype of macrophages in a mouse model of brain injury (66). It has been shown that HDAC3 induces macrophages to produce IFN-γ in response to lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and the proinflammatory effect of this Class I HDAC appears to be related to its ability to bind to PU.1 and deacetylate H3K9 in M2 signature genes (67,68). Class IIa HDAC7 was found to negatively regulate differentiation of pre-B cells into macrophages and to block macrophage function, whereas a splice variant of HDAC7 lacking amino-terminal residues promoted inflammatory gene expression in macrophages (69,70). The related Class IIa HDAC, HDAC9, has also been implicated as a positive regulator of the M1 proinflammatory phenotype (71).

Regarding Class III HDACs, extensive studies suggest that both SIRT1 and SIRT2 negatively regulate proinflammatory gene expression and prevent the M1 phenotype through deacetylation of the p65 subunit of NF-κB (72,73).

BET reader proteins also play fundamental roles in regulating macrophage inflammatory phenotypes. This was exemplified by the recent demonstrations that NF-κB-dependent proinflammatory gene expression was reduced in Brd4-null bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs), and that crosstalk between glucocorticoid receptors and BRD4 controlled LPS-induced proinflammatory gene expression in macrophages (74,75). Furthermore, small-molecule BET protein inhibition has been shown to block NF-κB-directed SE formation on proinflammatory genes in macrophages, and macrophages derived from Brd2 hypomorphic mice exhibit diminished proinflammatory cytokine expression in response to LPS (55,76).

The development and function of anti-inflammatory Tregs is governed by the FoxP3 transcription factor, which is a nongenomic target of HDAC and HAT enzymes (77) (Figure 1C). Acetylation of lysine residues in FoxP3 promotes its DNA binding activity and transcriptional transactivation capacity, thereby leading to enhanced expression of anti-inflammatory factors (e.g., IL-10) from Tregs. Multiple HDACs have been implicated in the control of FoxP3 acetylation and as negative regulators of Treg function, including SIRT1 (78-80), HDAC6 (81,82), HDAC9 (81,83-85), and HDAC11 (86). Conversely, HDAC3 appears to enhance Treg function (87).

Coadministration of TSA with subtherapeutic doses of rapamycin for 14 days after transplant boosted Treg function, induced allograft tolerance, and dramatically improved survival in mouse cardiac and pancreatic islet allograft models (85). Consistent with these findings, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) acted synergistically with tacrolimus (FK506) to prevent murine cardiac allograft rejection and enhance the proportion of Tregs by inducing T effector cell apoptosis (88). Furthermore, the efficacy of VPA in mouse models of collagen-induced arthritis, and TSA and SAHA in mouse colitis models, was also shown to correlate with induction of Treg action (84,89). It is likely that HDAC inhibition blunts cardiac
inflammation and fibrosis, at least in part, by stimulating Treg function in the heart.

**EPIGENETICS IN FIBROBLAST ACTIVATION**

**EPIGENETIC CONTROL OF CARDIAC FIBROBLAST PROLIFERATION.** Cardiac fibroblasts are the key cellular mediators that drive the fibrotic response of the heart (25). In uninjured hearts, a stable matrix network protects quiescent fibroblasts from mechanical stimuli. Conversely, injury and the resulting inflammation disrupt the structural integrity of the matrix and expose these cells to mechanical stress as well as stimulation by growth factors. DAMPs, fibroblast growth factor-2, Ang II, platelet-derived growth factor, and the mast cell-derived proteases tryptase and chymase are potentially important activators of the proliferative response in fibroblasts (1,34). Recent advances in the development of more specific fibroblast lineage tags have permitted a better understanding of the source of cardiac fibroblasts (90-92). Past conclusions regarding the contributions of bone marrow-derived cells and endothelial cells to the activated fibroblast population following injury appear to have been overestimated, and it is now widely acknowledged that resident cardiac fibroblasts represent the major cellular origin (93-96).

In mouse models of pressure overload, MI, and isoproterenol-induced cardiac injury, fibroblast proliferation spiked within the first week following induction of cardiac remodeling, and thereafter, it returned to basal levels in a manner that correlated with reduced inflammation (97). Class I HDAC inhibitors such as mocetinostat (MGCD0103) have been demonstrated to potently suppress cardiac fibroblast proliferation and mitigate fibrotic remodeling in response to cardiac injury (98-101). Thus, HDAC inhibitor-mediated suppression of cardiac fibrosis is likely due, at least in part, to the ability of these compounds to squelch expansion of the ECM-producing fibroblast pool in the heart. The mechanism by which HDAC inhibitors block cardiac fibroblast proliferation involves induction of genes encoding the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p15 and p57, which leads to reduced retinoblastoma (RB) protein phosphorylation and blockade of downstream target genes that promote the G1-to-S transition (100,101) (Figure 2A). MGCD0103 treatment of atrial CD90+ cells was also shown to stimulate the p53/p21 axis, inducing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (99).

The potential role of BET proteins in the regulation of cardiac fibroblast proliferation has yet to be addressed. However, histone and DNA methylation have been implicated in the epigenetic control of cardiac fibroblast cell cycle control (102). Furthermore, inhibition of the p300 HAT was recently shown to block the proliferative response of cardiac fibroblasts and suppress Ang II-mediated cardiac fibrosis (103). Additional investigation is warranted to explain the seemingly paradoxical findings that increasing histone acetylation using an HDAC inhibitor or reducing histone acetylation with an HAT inhibitor can both attenuate cardiac fibroblast proliferation.

**EPIGENETIC REGULATION OF MYOFIBROBLAST ACTIVATION.** Conversion of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts occurs late in the proliferative phase. This process is characterized by expression and incorporation of contractile proteins such as α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) into the cytoskeleton (104), and the synthesis and deposition of structural and matrixcellular ECM proteins (105). Several members of the matricellular family, including thrombospondin-1 and -2, osteopontin, SPARC, peristin, tenasin-C, and CCN2, are important modulators of the myofibroblast phenotype and play essential roles in regulating growth factor signaling (1). However, it is important to note that only a subset of fibroblasts that expand following cardiac stress will express α-SMA, suggesting that not all “activated fibroblasts” become prototypical myofibroblasts (97). Future studies are needed to further define these α-SMA-negative cells and determine their potential contributions to cardiac fibrosis.

Formation of a collagen-based matrix marks the end of the proliferative phase and the beginning of the maturation of the scar, a poorly understood process that is characterized by matrix crosslinking (1). The dense, crosslinked collagen matrix provides a strong structural scar to protect the heart following an MI, but can also enhance tissue stiffness, contributing to diastolic dysfunction and creating a substrate for arrhythmias (106). In the mature scar, deprivation of growth factors and removal of matricellular proteins may promote myofibroblast apoptosis or quiescence (105). However, myofibroblasts can exhibit persistent activation due to increased hemodynamic load, leading to long-term fibrotic changes and heart failure (25). Therapies that promote myofibroblast apoptosis or cellular senescence (further discussed later in the text) might be beneficial in this context.

Studies focused on epigenetic modulation of myofibroblast differentiation have mostly concentrated on the regulation of α-SMA gene expression. TSA was shown to block α-SMA expression in lung fibroblasts in association with reduced activation of AKT (107), and MGCD0103 was demonstrated to reverse α-SMA expression in CD90+/cKit+ cardiac fibroblasts (99). Furthermore, the balance of
HAT and HDAC expression was found to influence TGF-β-induced transcription of SM22α, another myofibroblast marker, demonstrating that histone hyperacetylation of the SM22α promoter is a target of TGF-β signaling (108).

Our preliminary studies suggest that BRD4 functions downstream of TGF-β within resident cardiac fibroblasts to form SEs that positively control genes that are essential for myofibroblast differentiation and production of ECM (M.S. Stratton, S.M. Haldar, and T.A. McKinsey, unpublished data, June 2018) (Figure 2B). Consistent with this possibility, BET inhibitors have been shown to block conversion of fibroblasts from other tissues, including liver, pancreas, and skin, into α-SMA+ myofibroblasts (15,109–111).

BRD4 also likely regulates profibrotic crosstalk between cardiomyocytes and cardiac fibroblasts. Whole-genome chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) studies of BRD4 dynamics in cardiomyocytes revealed that, in response to stress signaling, this chromatin reader accumulates on SEs for genes encoding secreted profibrotic factors such as TGF-β2 (112). Thus, BRD4 appears to control genes that control paracrine signaling events leading to fibroblast activation in the heart.

The H3K9 demethylase, JMJD1A, was also shown to be important for myofibroblast differentiation of hepatic stellate cells, because knockdown of JMJD1A led to increased expression of α-SMA (113). Conversely, the H3K4 methyltransferase, KMT2H (ASH1), was found to directly bind regulatory elements of profibrotic genes, including those encoding α-SMA, and its depletion resulted in broad suppression of fibrogenic gene expression (114).

DNMTs are also linked to cardiac myofibroblast differentiation (Figure 2C). Human cardiac fibroblasts exposed to hypoxic conditions exhibited increased DNA methylation in association with elevated expression of DNMT1 and DNMT3B, and knockdown of DNMT3B or treatment of the cells with the DNMT inhibitor 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine was shown to block α-SMA expression (115). In the failing human heart, fibrosis correlated with enhanced DNA methylation of promoter sequences regulating the gene encoding RASAL1, a RAS-GTPase-activating protein that suppresses RAS activity, and reduced RASAL1 expression was linked to enhanced endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (116). Whole-genome epigenomics analyses are needed to address the potential crosstalk between DNA and histone modifications in the control of cardiac myofibroblast conversion.

**EPIGENETIC REGULATION OF CARDIAC FIBROBLAST SENESCENCE.** Cellular senescence is an irreversible form of cell cycle arrest initially described as a consequence of replicative exhaustion and telomere erosion; however, it is now known that it can be triggered by various cellular stresses, including DNA damage, oncogene activation, and reactive oxygen species (117,118). A recent study demonstrated that, in response to pressure overload, a burst of mouse cardiac fibroblast proliferation is followed by the accumulation of senescent fibroblasts, the majority of which are α-SMA+ myofibroblasts (119). Furthermore, cardioprotection in aged osteopontin-deficient mice was linked to enhanced cardiac fibroblast senescence and reduced fibrosis in the heart (120). It is believed that fibroblast senescence provides a means to limit organ fibrosis by reducing the number of ECM-producing cells and triggering the release of matrix degrading factors from the senescent cells (see later in the text) (121), although others have proposed that senescence promotes cardiac fibrosis post-MI (122).

Senescent cells can be distinguished from most quiescent cells by up-regulation of p16INK4a, p21CIP1/WAF1, and senescence-associated β-galactosidase activity (123). Furthermore, senescent cells are characterized by the senescent-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) (124). Among the components of this secretome are ECM-degrading enzymes (MMP-1, -3, -8, -10, -11, -12, and -13), suggesting that the SASP could promote reversal of tissue fibrosis. Consistent with this notion, ectopic expression of the senescence-inducing integrin-binding protein CCN1 in the mouse heart suppressed fibrosis and improved cardiac function, whereas inhibition of senescence by compound deletion of the Trp53 and Cdkn2a genes enhanced cardiac fibrosis (119). Thus, there is interest in developing senescence-inducing therapies for the treatment of pathological fibrosis. Nonetheless, it should be noted that long-term accumulation of senescent cells in tissues has been linked to age-associated organ dysfunction (125).

HDAC inhibitors have long been recognized to trigger a senescence phenotype in association with accumulation of hypophosphorylated Rb, which is antiproliferative (126). Additionally, HDAC inhibitors have been shown to stimulate expression of components of the SASP (127,128). Whether HDAC inhibitors trigger senescence of cardiac fibroblasts has not been determined. However, we recently demonstrated that MGCD0103 and MS-275, but not structurally distinct HDAC inhibitors, potentely stimulate expression of the SASP components PAI-1 and MMP-13 in cardiac fibroblasts (100). Further studies are required to determine whether these Class I HDAC inhibitors are bona fide inducers of cardiac fibroblast replicative senescence, and whether other epigenetic regulators,
such as BET proteins, KMTs, and DNMTs, influence the cardiac SASP.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A proposal to treat cardiac fibrosis and heart failure with small-molecule inhibitors of epigenetic regulators is often met with skepticism because the regulators to be targeted are ubiquitously expressed and govern fundamental transcriptional mechanisms in a multitude of cell types. Nonetheless, there are 4 Food and Drug Administration-approved HDAC inhibitors, 2 approved DNMT inhibitors, and a multitude of other epigenetic modifying therapies in clinical development for oncology and non-oncology indications (129). Thus, the use of epigenetic therapies to treat human diseases has been validated.

The clinical experiences with HDAC inhibitors should serve as a useful guide for development of drugs targeting epigenetic regulators for the treatment of cardiac fibrosis. In cancer patients, who typically receive maximum tolerated doses of HDAC inhibitors, gastrointestinal disturbance, fatigue, and hematological toxicity have been reported (130). However, on the basis of our unpublished preclinical studies of cardiac fibrosis, the antifibrotic activity of HDAC inhibitors is observed at doses far lower than the maximum tolerated dose. Furthermore, we recently showed that givinostat, an HDAC inhibitor in phase 3 clinical testing for Duchenne muscular dystrophy, prevented diastolic dysfunction in rodents at concentrations that failed to elicit signs of hematological toxicity (131). Importantly, in the phase 2 study of givinostat in Duchenne muscular dystrophy patients, the HDAC inhibitor was found to be safe, well tolerated, and to reduce the amount of fibrotic tissue in skeletal muscle of afflicted adolescent boys (132). These findings establish the feasibility of employing an HDAC inhibitor to treat striated muscle fibrosis in humans, and justify the advancement of givinostat or other epigenetic targeted therapies into clinical trials to assess efficacy in the heart.

Despite significant advancements in our understanding of the transcriptional control of cardiac fibrosis, there are still major gaps in information related to the role of epigenetics in this process. This is exemplified by the remarkable fact that, to our knowledge, there are no published reports of whole-genome analyses of epigenetic modifications in cardiac fibroblasts. Blending studies of purified cardiac fibroblasts with state-of-the-art epigenomic technologies (e.g., high-resolution ChIP-seq) and pharmacological inhibitors of epigenetic regulators is a crucial next step toward uncovering novel mechanisms of epigenetic control of cardiac fibroblast proliferation, myofibroblast differentiation and senescence.

As detailed in this review, a significant body of published data supports the notion that epigenetic regulators are critical mediators of cardiac fibrosis (Table 1). Nonetheless, much of this work has been based on the use of small molecule inhibitors. An advantage of this approach is that preclinical findings made with pharmacological inhibitors can, in theory, be rapidly translated into human clinical trials. Conversely, a weakness of this avenue of investigation is that the inhibitors are typically systemically exposed, thus hindering evaluation of cell-autonomous roles for epigenetic regulators in the control of fibroblast activation and cardiac fibrosis. As such, future studies should address the consequences of fibroblast-specific deletion of genes encoding epigenetic factors on cardiac ECM deposition and remodeling. Emerging mouse lines, such as periostin-Cre and Tcf21-Cre, could be employed for these experiments (94,133,134).

It is important to note that most of the epigenetic regulators described in this review also have nonhistone targets. The first “acetylome” was reported 9 years ago (135), and combined with subsequent studies, thousands of acetylation sites on thousands of proteins have been identified in mammalian cells (136), underscoring the importance of acetylation in biological control beyond epigenetics. In the heart, many proteins involved in metabolism, intra- and extracellular signaling, gene regulation, cell–cell communication and contraction have been shown to be acetylated, although little is known regarding if or how this post-translational modification alters the function of the target proteins (137–139). Consistent with this, nongenomic, non-nuclear roles for canonical epigenetic regulators such as HDAC1 and HDAC2 in the heart are beginning to emerge (131,140).

In summary, this is an exquisitely exciting time for our field. Advances in the basic science of epigenetics, nonhistone epigenetic marks, and cardiac fibrosis promise to be rapidly forthcoming and to facilitate the clinical translation of innovative “epigenetic therapies” for patients who have heart disease.
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