Identification of Important Modules and Biomarkers in Breast Cancer Based on WGCN
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Introduction: Breast cancer (BRCA) has the highest incidence among female malignancies, and the prognosis for these patients remains poor.

Materials and Methods: In this study, core modules and central genes related to BRCA were identified through a weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA). Gene expression profiles and clinical data of GSE25066 were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database. The result was validated with RNA-seq data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and Oncomine database. The top 30 key module genes with the highest intramodule connectivity were selected as the core genes (R² = 0.40).

Results: According to TCGA and Oncomine datasets, seven genes were selected as candidate hub genes. Following further experimental verification, four hub genes (FAM171A1, NDFIP1, SKP1, and REEP5) were retained.

Conclusion: We identified four hub genes as candidate biomarkers for BRCA. These hub genes may provide a theoretical basis for targeted therapy against BRCA.
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Introduction
Breast cancer is the most common cancer and the second leading cause of cancer related death among women worldwide.1 Statistics on the prevalence of BRCA in the past 5 years indicated that approximately 11% of BRCA cases worldwide occur in China; notably the incidence of BRCA has risen rapidly in recent decades.2 Common treatments for BRCA include surgical resection, chemotherapy, radiation, endocrine therapy, and molecular targeted therapy.3 With the development of medical technology, the prognosis for BRCA has improved significantly. However, the treatment advanced BRCA remains suboptimal.4 The management and prognosis of BRCA are closely related to the pathological classification. Patients who are positive for estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) are linked to a better prognosis, whereas those with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) suffered a worse prognosis and are more likely to experience metastasis.5

BRCA is a multi-factorial disease process, and there is no clear conclusion regarding its etiology in the medical field. Heredity, weight, and benign breast disease are common risk factors for BRCA.6,7 BRCA is also closely related to family history. Familial BRCA refers to disease diagnosed in more than two immediate family members. Generally, if one of the parents has BRCA, the risk of BRCA in their offspring is significantly increased.8 It has been discovered that
there are >10 BRCA susceptibility genes, the most well studied being BRCA1 and BRCA2. The main functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 are to repair DNA damage, and regulate gene transcription, cell cycle, and apoptosis. They play a crucial role in maintaining the stability and integrity of the human normal genome. However, following the occurrence of a genetic mutation, BRCA1 and BRCA2 cannot play their original role, thereby often leading to the development of BRCA.

WGCNA is a method for the analysis of the gene expression patterns of multiple samples. It can cluster genes and form modules by similar gene expression patterns and analyze the relationship between modules and specific features (e.g., clinical information of patients). In this study, according to the WGCNA algorithm, the gene expression network was assumed to follow a scale-free distribution, and a gene co-expression network was constructed. Subsequently, we built a hierarchical clustering tree by calculating the dissimilarity coefficients of different nodes. Moreover, we classified high similarity genes into the same modules and low similarity genes into different modules, and visualized these modules.

Materials and Methods
Data Processing
Figure 1 illustrates the workflow chart of data preparation, processing, analysis, and validation. Gene expression profiles and clinical traits of GSE25066 were downloaded from GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi). GPL96 [HG-U133A] Affymetrix Human Genome U133A Array was used to extract the expression profile information of the GSE25066 dataset. GSE25066 is a SuperSeries and has two SubSeries (GSE25055 and GSE25065). This dataset consists of 508 patients with BRCA, and includes information on patient age, ER, PR, HER2 expression, clinical T stage, lymph node metastasis, Disease-free survival years, grade, clinical American Joint Committee on Cancer stage, etc. After excluding patient samples for which information on age, disease-free survival even time years, N stage, T stage, American Joint Committee on Cancer stage, and grade information was lacking, 483 patient samples were retained. We downloaded the original expression profile, and used the robust multi-array average (RMA) algorithm to perform background correction and quantile normalization. Subsequently, mRNA expression matrices of patients with BRCA were developed. Furthermore, the level of expression was calculated using variance analysis (12,548 genes), and selected genes with a variance greater than all the quartiles of variance (3137 genes) were selected for further co-expression network construction.

Co-Expression Network Construction
Firstly, we evaluated the 3137 variant genes to test their availability and used the R package termed “WGCNA” to construct a gene co-expression network. Subsequently, we constructed an adjacency matrix to describe the correlation strength between the nodes. The formula of the adjacency matrix was as follows:

\[ s_{ij} = |\text{cor}(x_i, x_j)| = S_{ij}^\beta \]

In this formula, \(i\) and \(j\) represent two different genes, and \(X_i\) and \(X_j\) are their respective expression values. \(s_{ij}\) represents the Pearson’s correlation coefficient, and \(a_{ij}\) represents the strength of the correlation between two genes. In this study, we chose the soft-threshold \(\beta = 10\) (scale free \(R^2 = 0.98\)). Subsequently, we transformed the adjacency matrix into a topological overlap matrix (TOM). The TOM matrix is a method to quantitatively describe the similarity in nodes by comparing the weighted correlation between two nodes and other nodes. Next, we performed hierarchical clustering to identify modules, each containing at least 30 genes (minModuleSize = 30). Finally, we calculated the eigengene, hierarchically clustered the modules, and merged similar modules (abline = 0.25).

Identification of Clinically Significant Modules
The co-expression module is a collection of genes with high topological overlap similarity. Genes in the same module often have a higher degree of co-expression. In this study, we used two methods to identify the important modules relevant to clinical traits. The module eigengene (ME) represents the first principal component of the module, and is used to describe the expression pattern of the module in each sample. Module membership (MM) refers to the correlation coefficient between genes and module eigengenes, and is used to describe the reliability of a gene belonging to a module. Finally, we calculated the correlation between the modules and the clinical data to identify significant clinical modules.
Gene Ontology (GO) Enrichment Analysis

We used DAVID 6.7 (https://david-d.ncifcrf.gov/) online tools to perform the GO analysis. DAVID is an online bioinformatics database that includes biological data and analysis tools for users to comprehend biological function information of genes and proteins. We used “adjusted P < 0.002” as the threshold value to identify the enriched GO terms.

Identification and Validation of Hub Genes

The intramodule connectivity of a gene is equal to the sum of the degree of correlation between this gene and other genes in that module. The top 30 genes with the highest intramodule connectivity were selected as hub genes. Next, we used the Oncomine database (https://www.oncomine.org/resource/main.html) to verify the expression, and the Kaplan-Meier plotter website (http://kmplot.com/analysis) for verification of the survival analysis to ensure the reliability of the results.
Acquisition of Human BRCA Samples
BRCA tissue and paired adjacent tissue samples were obtained from patients who underwent breast surgery at Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University. All specimens were collected after obtaining informed consent by the patients. The samples were immediately stored in liquid nitrogen for further experiments. The Ethics Committee of Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University (Wuhan, China) approved the use of these samples for total RNA isolation and quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis. This study included 40 pairs of samples and the clinical information of the patients is shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Cell Culture
The experimental BRCA cell line (MCF-7) was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco, Australia) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Australia) was used for the culture of MCF-7 cells. All cells were cultured in a humidified environment consisting of 95% air and 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

siRNA Sequence and Transfection
The siRNA sequences were: siRNA# FAM171A1: 5ʹ-GCU UGAGAUAGAAAGA CUACA-3ʹ; siRNA# NDFIP1: 5ʹ-G GAUACAAAUGAAGCUUAUU-3ʹ; siRNA# SKP1: 5ʹ-G GAAACACUACUCAAGUAAG-3ʹ; siRNA# REEP5: 5ʹ-GCAGUU AGUUAGUACU-3ʹ. According to the instructions provided by the manufacturer, siRNA using the Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reagent (13,778,100, Invitrogen) was transfected into MCF-7 cells for further experiments. Supplementary Figure 2 illustrates the efficiency of the siRNA knockdown. The knockdown efficiency of the four siRNAs is around 90%.

Total RNA Extraction and qPCR Analysis
RNasey plus mini kits (74,134, Qiagen) and 2 × SYBR Master Mix (TOYOBO, Japan) were used to extract total RNA according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. qRT-PCR was conducted in triplicate. GAPDH was used as internal control, and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ values were normalized to its levels. The primer sequences for qPCR used in this study are shown in Supplementary Table 2.

Proliferation Analysis
MCF7 cells were transfected into 6-well plates with siRNA-FAM171A1/siRNA-NDFIP1/siRNA-SKP1/siRNA-REEP5 or siControl. After 24h following transfection, cells were washed with PBS and counted. Firstly, 3000 cells were seeded in each well of 96-well plates. The Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK8) was used to measure the relative cell viability on the indicated time points. Subsequently, we plated cells at a low density into 6-well plates to form clones. After 2 weeks, we used crystal violet to stain the colonies and the number of clones in each plate was counted.

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)
According to the median gene expression, BRCA samples were divided into high- and low- expression groups. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was performed via the GSEA software (GSEA version 4.0.3). The c2.cp.kegg.v6.2 symbols.gmt gene set was selected as the reference gene set. The top five most important Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways were screened (FDR <0.05).

Results
Weighted Co-Expression Network Construction and Identification of Key Modules
The gene expression matrix of GSE25066 was obtained (12,548 genes) after data preprocessing. Subsequently, we selected genes with variances greater than all quartiles of variance for further analysis (3137 genes). In addition, after excluding patients with incomplete clinical information, clinical data were extracted (483 samples). We used the Pearson’s correlation coefficient to cluster the samples in GSE25066. After removing outliers, we drew a sample clustering tree (Figure 2). We set the soft threshold to 10 ($R^2 = 0.98$) to construct a scale-free network. Next, we built the adjacency matrix and constructed the topological

---

**Figure 2** Clustering dendrogram of 483 samples.
overlap matrix (Figure 3). Finally, nine modules were identified based on average hierarchical clustering and dynamic tree clipping (Figure 4A). The blue module was highly related to pathological grades; thus this module was selected as a clinically important module for further analysis (Figure 4B).

Enrichment Analysis of GO

Through GO analysis (including cell component (CC), biological process (BP) and molecular function (MF)) analysis in the DAVID 6.7 online tools database, we performed an enrichment analysis of the biological significance on the blue module. Specifically, the BP group genes were mainly associated with oxidation reduction, developmental maturation, response to hormone stimulus, reproductive structure development, response to estrogen stimulus, development of primary sexual characteristics, sex differentiation, epithelial cell development, and negative regulation of apoptosis. In addition, CC group genes were mainly enriched in the endoplasmic reticulum,
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**Figure 3** Determination of soft-threshold power in the WGCNA. (A) Analysis of the scale-free index for various soft-threshold powers ($\beta$). (B) Analysis of the mean connectivity for various soft-threshold powers. (C and D) Checking the scale free topology when $\beta = 10$. The x-axis demonstrates the logarithm of whole network connectivity, while the y-axis shows the logarithm of the corresponding frequency distribution. On this plot, the distribution approximately follows an approximately straight line, termed approximately scale-free topology.

**Abbreviation:** WGCNA, weighted gene co-expression network analysis.
endosomal part, endosome membrane, Golgi apparatus, and organelle membrane. Moreover, MF group genes were significantly related to NAD or NADH binding, inorganic cation transmembrane transporter activity, and hydrogen ion transmembrane transporter activity (Figure 5A and B).

Identification and Validation of Hub Genes

We screened the top 30 intramodule connectivity genes as candidate prognosis genes. Following verification using the Kaplan-Meier plotter and Oncomine database, we selected seven genes as hub genes (FAM171A1, NDFIP1, SKP1, CIRBP, REEP5, BTF3, TBC1D9). These genes were both positively related to the overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) (Figure 6). Similarly, these genes were also down-regulated in tumor samples in the Oncomine database (Figure 7). More convincingly, the qRT-PCR results of clinical samples showed that compared with adjacent tissues four genes (FAM171A1, NDFIP1, SKP1, REEP5) were significantly downregulated in BRCA patients (P<0.0001) (Figure 8A). However, the other three genes (CIRBP, BTF3, TBC1D9) did not show significant trends. We further observed the immunohistochemistry of these four genes in normal and tumor samples through the Human Protein Atlas database (HPA). The analysis
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**Figure 4** Identification of modules associated with the clinical traits of breast cancer. (A) Dendrogram of all differentially expressed genes clustered based on the measurement of dissimilarity (1-TOM). The color bar shows the results obtained from the automatic single-block analysis. (B) Heatmap of the correlation between the module eigengenes and clinical traits of BRCA. We selected the MEblue-grade block for subsequent analysis.

**Abbreviations:** TOM, topological overlap matrix; ME, module eigengene.
revealed that the expression of these genes in normal samples was higher than that recorded in tumor samples (Supplementary Figure 1). Cell viability CCK8 and clone formation analysis also confirmed that knockdown of FAM171A1, NDFIP1, SKP1, and REEP5 can significantly enhanced cell proliferation (Figure 8B and C). In summary, we selected FAM171A1, NDFIP1, SKP1, and REEP5 as our potential biomarkers and clinical treatment targets. Analysis of the TCGA database further demonstrated that NDFIP1, SKP1, and REEP5 were upregulated in the luminal subtypes and downregulated in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). However, FAM171A1 exhibited the opposite trend (Supplementary Figure 3). Furthermore, the expression levels of FAM171A1, SKP1, and REEP5 in samples without lymph node metastasis were higher than those measured in metastatic samples. We hypothesized that these three genes may be related to BRCA metastasis (Supplementary Figure 4).

**Gene Set Enrichment Analysis**

Finally, we used GSEA to more accurately determine the function of the hub genes. The top five KEGG pathways are shown according to the cut-off criteria. FAM171A1 was enriched with fructose and mannose metabolism, nucleotide excision repair, oxidative phosphorylation, peroxisome, and protein export. NDFIP1 was enriched with bladder cancer, DNA replication, homologous recombination, proteasome, and RNA polymerase. SKP1 was enriched with chemokine signaling pathway, glycosphingolipid biosynthesis lacto and neolacto series, JAK-STAT signaling pathway, non-small cell lung cancer, and cytokine receptor interaction. REEP5 was enriched with cell cycle, JAK-STAT signaling pathway, pathways in cancer, small cell lung cancer, and cytokine receptor interaction (Figure 9).

**Discussion**

BRCA is the most common type of cancer among women worldwide.

Screening for BRCA can significantly reduce BRCA-related mortality. However, the treatment of advanced BRCA treatment remains limited due to the lack of specific molecular targets. In this study, we screened hub genes from the GEO dataset through WGCNA analysis, and verified the results using the Oncomine and Kaplan–Meier plotter databases. Finally, after further verification in vitro, FAM171A1, NDFIP1, SKP1, and REEP5 were identified as molecular targets for the prognosis of BRCA.

FAM171A1 (the family with sequence similarity 171, member A1 protein) is a 890-amino acid glycoprotein linked to the development of chemical resistance by cancer cells. Studies showed that FAM171A1 can regulate the cell morphology and invasive growth potential of tumor cells.
The study conducted by Sanawar et al demonstrated that miR590-5p is a target of ERα in ER receptor-positive BRCA. ERα can stimulate the expression of miR590-5p, and subsequently down-regulated that of FAM171A1 in ERα-positive BRCA cells.

NDFIP1 (Nedd4 family-interacting protein 1) is a transmembrane protein which binds to the Nedd4 family E3 ubiquitin ligase. It is necessary for maintaining the stability and function of T cells. Knockdown of NDFIP1 in T cells promotes glycolysis and mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) signal transduction, and causes auto-inflammatory diseases. Howitt et al showed that NDFIP1 knockdown results in the loss of phosphatase and tensin homolog nuclear compartmentalization, promotes cell proliferation, and alters the cell cycle. Peng et al proved that NDFIP1 is a direct target of miR-155, which promotes the proliferation and migration of uveal melanoma cells by inhibiting the expression of NDFIP1.

SKP1 (The S phase kinase-associated protein 1) encodes 163 amino acids, and is distributed both in the nucleus and cytoplasm. It plays an important role in regulating the cell cycle, cytokinesis, and tumorigenesis. Piva et al used Cul1 deletion mutant transgenic mice (Cul1-N252), characterized by loss of SKP1 function. The Cul1-N252 showed various physiological defects, such as hypoplasia of lymphoid organs, formation of multinucleated cells, and impaired chromosome segregation. Taken together, this evidence indicates that SKP1 plays a crucial role in maintaining genetic stability.

REEP5 (Receptor accessory protein 5) is a key molecule that maintains the normal function of the cardiac sarcoplasmic reticulum function. Chiamvimonvat et al demonstrated that REEP5, as the only REEP family protein enriched in the heart, is a new regulator of cardiac excitation-contraction coupling. Studies have also shown that the expression of REEP5 is down-regulated in lung and gastric cancer.

In this study, we constructed a co-expression network via WGCNA, and identified modules with significant clinical features. We selected the top 30 intramodule connectivity genes as candidate prognostic genes. Following verification using Kaplan-Meier plotter and Oncomine...
Figure 7 Relative mRNA expression of seven hub genes in breast cancer tissues and paracancerous tissues based on Oncomine (left column: normal samples; right column: tumor samples). (A) FAM171A1. (B) NDFIP1. (C) SKP1. (D) CIRBP. (E) REEP5. (F) BTF3. (G) TBC1D9. The expression of these genes was also down-regulated in tumor samples.
database, we selected seven genes as hub genes (FAM171A1, NDFIP1, SKP1, CIRBP, REEP5, BTF3, and TBC1D9). The qRT-PCR results of clinical samples showed that the expression of 4 genes (FAM171A1, NDFIP1, SKP1, REEP5) was significantly downregulated in BRCA tissues compared with normal adjacent tissues (P<0.0001). Furthermore, immunohistochemistry showed that the expression of these genes in normal samples was higher than that observed in tumor samples from HPA datasets. Cell viability CCK8 and clone formation analysis also confirmed that knockdown of FAM171A1, NDFIP1, SKP1, and REEP5 can significantly enhanced cell proliferation. The above results indicate that these four hub genes may act as tumor suppressor genes in
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**Figure 8** Experimental validation of FAM171A1, NADFIP1, SKP1, and REEP5. (A) Relative mRNA expression in breast cancer tissues and paracancerous tissues. The expression of these four hub genes was down-regulated in breast cancer samples. ****P <0.0001, used to compare the expression difference of each gene in cancer and adjacent samples. (B) Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK8) assay. (C) Clone formation assay. Knockdown of these four hub genes can promote the proliferation of breast cancer.
BRCA. The WGCNA clustering criterion has biological significance. The unique soft threshold algorithm of WGCNA makes the gene expression network tend to be distributed with free-scale network, and the result has higher reliability. However, when tissues are contaminated, the WGCNA results may be biased or invalid. Therefore, we performed experiments in vitro on hub genes to further ensure their accuracy.

Conclusion
In summary, we identified and verified four hub genes (FAM171A1, NDFIP1, SKP1, and REEP5) as prognostic BRCA markers and potentially effective indicators for the clinical and targeted therapy of BRCA.

Abbreviations
BRCA, breast cancer; WGCNA, weighted gene co-expression network analysis; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; GO, Gene set enrichment analysis; GSEA, Gene set enrichment analysis.
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Figure 9 Gene set enrichment analysis for FAM171A1, NDFIP1, SKP1 and REEP5. The top five pathways enriched in low-expression group are shown. (A) FAM171A1. (B) NDFIP1. (C) SKP1. (D) REEP5.
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