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We study d-wave superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene and reveal new phenomena that arise due to the moiré superlattice. In the d-wave pairing, the relative motion (RM) of two electrons in a Cooper pair can have either \( d + id \) or \( d − id \) symmetry with opposite angular momenta. Due to the enlarged moiré superlattice, the center-of-mass motion (COMM) can also carry a finite angular momentum while preserving the moiré periodicity. By matching the total angular momentum, which has contributions from both the RM and the COMM, Cooper pairs with \( d + id \) and \( d − id \) RMs are intrinsically coupled in a way such that the COMM associated with one of the RMs has a spontaneous vortex-antivortex lattice configuration. Another new phenomenon is that the chiral d-wave state carries spontaneous bulk circulating supercurrent. The chiral d-wave superconductors are gapped and also topological as characterized by integer Chern number. Nematic d-wave superconductors, which could be stabilized, for example, by uniaxial strain, are gapless with point nodes.

I. INTRODUCTION

The twist angle in van der Waals bilayers emerges as a new tuning knob to control electronic properties. Theory has predicted that the Dirac velocity of twisted bilayer graphene (TBLG) vanishes at a set of magic twist angles, near which the low-energy moiré bands are extremely flat and electron interaction effects are therefore magnified. Correlated insulating states and superconductivity have recently been experimentally observed in TBLG near the largest magic angle (\( \sim 1° \)). These discoveries have generated a great interest in moiré pattern physics. A recent new experiment has demonstrated that superconductivity in TBLG can be further tuned by pressure. In theory, various aspects of TBLG are being actively studied, including single-particle band structure and also transport theory in the high-temperature metallic regime.

In this work, we study d-wave superconductivity in TBLG, and reveal new phenomena that arise due to the enlarged moiré superlattice. The d wave has been proposed to be a candidate pairing symmetry for TBLG in Coulomb repulsion mechanism as well as phonon mechanism. Theory presented in this paper builds upon the theoretical framework developed in our previous work, where phonon mediates pairing, while our qualitative results for d-wave channel should be largely independent of the exact pairing mechanisms. The continuum model that we employ captures the sublattice and layer dependence of the moiré electronic wave function, which is crucial for our findings.

We demonstrate two new phenomena for chiral d-wave states in moiré superlattice, i.e., spontaneous vortices in the pairing order parameters and spontaneous bulk supercurrent. The presence of spontaneous vortices can be anticipated by examining the angular momentum of a Cooper pair, which is explained briefly in the following and thoroughly in Sec. In the d-wave pairing, the relative motion (RM) of two electrons in a Cooper pair has either \( d + id \) \((d_+)\) or \(d − id \) \((d−)\) symmetry, which carry opposite angular momenta under the transformation of a three-fold rotation. The total angular momentum of a Cooper pair has contributions from both the RM and the center-of-mass motion (COMM). Due to the enlarged moiré superlattice, COMM can also carry a finite angular momentum while preserving the moiré periodicity. By matching the total angular momentum, Cooper pairs with \( d_+ \) and \( d_− \) RMs are intrinsically coupled. Overall, there are still two independent chiral pairing channels, which are respectively labeled as \( \hat{\Gamma}_1 \) and \( \hat{\Gamma}_2 \) [see Eq. (8) for definition]. In \( \hat{\Gamma}_1 \), COMM associated with \( d_+ \) RM has an \( s \)-wave symmetry, while COMM for \( d_- \) RM has a spontaneous vortex-antivortex lattice configuration. The other channel \( \hat{\Gamma}_2 \) is the time-reversal counterpart of \( \hat{\Gamma}_1 \). The above order parameter structures are illustrated in Fig. 5. We note that Ref. 47 also reported spontaneous vortices in the superconductivity order parameters, but for a mixed \( d \) and \( p \) wave pairing state. Our physical picture for the origin of vortices based on the angular momentum of Cooper pairs has not been discussed previously.

The chiral d-wave ground state carries spontaneous circulating supercurrent in the bulk. This is possible because each moiré unit cell contains a large number of atomic sites that support current flow. We find that supercurrent has one component circulating around \( \hat{z} \) axis (perpendicular to TBLG) and another component circulating between the two graphene layers in TBLG. The supercurrent distribution pattern is characterized by both a magnetic dipole moment and a magnetic toroidal dipole moment.

We also discuss the gap structure in the superconduct-
ing states. The chiral $d$-wave states are gapped and also topological as characterized by integer Chern number. The two-component $d$-wave pairing channels can also lead to nematic states, which break rotation symmetry but preserve time-reversal symmetry. Nematic $d$-wave superconductors are gapless with point nodes. Within weak-coupling mean-field theory, chiral $d$-wave states are energetically more favored. However, nematic $d$-wave states could be stabilized near the critical temperature when the six-fold rotational symmetry of the TBLG is broken, for example by uniaxial strain.

This paper is organized as follows. Sec. II sets up the single-particle moiré Hamiltonian. In Sec. III we study $d$-wave pairing within mean-field theory, present the critical temperature, and discuss the superconductivity order parameters, including the spontaneous vortices. In Sec. IV we illustrate the spontaneous supercurrent in Sec. V and Sec. VI respectively in (b) and (c). The yellow contours in (b) and (c) indicate the Fermi surface when the lower or upper band is half filled.

$a_0$ is the lattice constant of monolayer graphene. In the moiré pattern, there are three notable regions, where the local inter-layer coordinates are of AA, AB and BA types, as highlighted in Fig. 1(a).

The single-particle physics of TBLG with small $\theta$ can be described using a continuum moiré Hamiltonian, in which the atomic-scale commensurability plays no role. The moiré Hamiltonian is spin-independent and is given in valley $\tau K$ by:

$$\mathcal{H}_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} h_{\tau b}(k) & T_\tau(r) \\ T_\tau^\dagger(r) & h_{\tau t}(k) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tau = \pm$ is the valley index, $h_{\tau b}$ and $h_{\tau t}$ are the Dirac Hamiltonians of the bottom (b) and top (t) layers:

$$h_{\tau \ell}(k) = e^{-i r \ell \frac{\pi}{6} \sigma_s \cdot \mathbf{v}_F (k - \tau \mathbf{k}_\ell) \cdot (\tau \sigma_s, \sigma_y)} e^{+i r \ell \frac{\pi}{6} \sigma_s},$$

where $\ell$ is +1 (−1) for the b (t) layer, $v_F$ is the bare Dirac velocity($\sim 10^6$ m/s), and $\sigma_s, \sigma_y$ are Pauli matrices that act in the sublattice space. Because of the rotation, the Dirac cone position in layer $\ell$ and valley $\tau$ is shifted to $\tau \mathbf{k}_\ell$. We choose a moiré Brillouin zone (MBZ) in which the $\mathbf{k}_\ell$ is located at the corners, and refer to the MBZ center below as the $\gamma$ point. $\mathbf{k}_\ell$ is

II. MOIRÉ BANDS

We construct TBLG with point group $D_6$ by starting from AA stacked bilayer graphene, and then rotate the bottom and top layers by angles $-\theta/2$ and $+\theta/2$ around one of the hexagon center, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). The origin of coordinates is chosen to be on this rotation axis and half-way between layers. The $D_6$ point group symmetry with respect to this origin is generated by a sixfold rotation $C_6$ around the $\hat{z}$ axis, and twofold rotations $M_{\xi}$ and $M_{\zeta}$ respectively around the $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ axes. The operations $M_{\xi, \zeta}$ swap the two layers. Because spin-orbit interactions are negligible in graphene, electrons have accurate spin SU(2) symmetry. Therefore, superconductivity can be classified as spin singlet and triplet.

At a small twist angle $\theta$, TBLG has a triangular moiré pattern with a long period $a_M = a_0/[2 \sin(\theta/2)]$, where $a_0$ is the lattice constant of monolayer graphene. In the moiré pattern, there are three notable regions, where the local inter-layer coordinates are of AA, AB and BA types, as highlighted in Fig. 1(a).
then given by \(\frac{4\pi}{(3\alpha_M)}(-\sqrt{3}/2, -\ell/2)\). The interlayer tunneling terms are sublattice-dependent and vary periodically with the real space vector \(r\):

\[
T_\tau(r) = T_\tau^{(0)} + e^{-ir\mathbf{b}_+\cdot r}T_\tau^{(+1)} + e^{-ir\mathbf{b}_-\cdot r}T_\tau^{(-1)}
\]

(3)

where \(\mathbf{b}_\pm\) are moiré reciprocal lattice vectors given by \(\frac{4\pi}{(3\alpha_M)}(\pm 1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)\) and \(T_\tau^{(j)} = w_0\sigma_0 + w_1\cos(2\pi j/3)\sigma_x + w_1\sin(2\pi j/3)\sigma_y\). Here \(w_0\) and \(w_1\) are two parameters that respectively determine the tunneling in AA and AB/BA regions. \(w_0\) and \(w_1\) are different because the interlayer distance in AA region is larger than that in AB/BA regions, and therefore, \(|w_0| < |w_1|\) is expected. We take \(w_0 = 90\) meV and \(w_1 = 117\) meV from Ref. \[57\]. For this choice of parameters, the largest magic angle, at which the Dirac velocity reaches a minimum value, is about 1.025°. Near this magic angle, the two nearly flat bands close to zero energy (set by the Dirac point energy) are separated from higher or lower energy bands by a gap of about 35 meV, which is consistent with experiments and motivates the use of the two tunneling parameters.

Fig. 2 shows the moiré band structure at \(\theta = 1.05^\circ\), which will be used below as a representative example for discussion of superconductivity properties.

We note that the moiré Hamiltonian builds in the \(D_6\) point group symmetry and also the time-reversal symmetry \(\hat{T}\), as \(h_\tau^r(k) = h_{-\tau}^r(-k)\) and \(T_\tau(r) = T_{-\tau}(r)\).

\(\hat{T}\) symmetry implies that \(\varepsilon_\tau(q) = \varepsilon_{-\tau}(-q)\), where \(\varepsilon_\tau\) is the band energy in valley \(\tau\) and \(q\) is momentum relative to the \(\gamma\) point. The band structure within one valley has strong trigonal warping as demonstrated in Fig. 2 and therefore, \(\varepsilon_\tau(q) \neq \varepsilon_{-\tau}(-q)\). Because of this feature in the band structure, inter-valley electron pairing is more favored.

### III. d-Wave Pairings

We have studied the coupling between moiré electrons and in-plane optical phonon modes associated with each graphene layer in Ref. \[43\] and found that this coupling mediates the following inter-valley electron pairing interactions:

\[
H_{\text{BCS}} = -4\int d^2r \{g_{E_2}[\hat{\psi}_{+}^{\dagger}A_{\ell}s_{\ell}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}_{-B\ell s'}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}_{B\ell s'}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}_{B\ell s'}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.}] \\
+g_{A_1}[\hat{\psi}_{+}^{\dagger}A_{\ell}s_{\ell}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}_{+B\ell s'}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}_{B\ell s'}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}_{B\ell s'}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.}] \\
+g_{A_1}[\hat{\psi}_{-}^{\dagger}A_{\ell}s_{\ell}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}_{-B\ell s'}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}_{B\ell s'}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}_{B\ell s'}^{\dagger} + (A \leftrightarrow B)]\}.
\]

(4)

where the operators \(\hat{\psi}_{\pm}\) and \(\hat{\psi}_{\pm}\) are at the same coarse-grained position \(r\). The subscript \(\pm\) distinguishes the two valley, \(A\) and \(B\) label sublattices, \(\ell\) refers to layers, and \(s\) and \(s'\) are spin indices. In Eq. 4, interactions in the first line are induced by phonon modes near \(\Gamma\) point, and those in the second and third lines are generated by phonon modes near \(\pm K\) points. The coupling constants \(g_{E_2}\) and \(g_{A_1}\) are respectively about 52 and 69 meV·nm².

In \(H_{\text{BCS}}\), there are two distinct spin-singlet pairing channels: (i) intra-sublattice pairing, e.g., \(\epsilon_{ss'}\hat{\psi}_{+A\ell s}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}_{-A\ell s'}^{\dagger}\) and (ii) inter-sublattice pairing, e.g., \(\epsilon_{ss'}\hat{\psi}_{+A\ell s}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}_{-B\ell s'}^{\dagger}\), where \(\epsilon\) is the fully antisymmetric tensor with \(\epsilon_{\pm\pm} = 1\). While the intra-sublattice pairing channels are generated by both \(\Gamma\) and \(\pm K\) phonons, only the \(\pm K\) phonons contribute to inter-sublattice pairing. The intra- and inter-sublattice pairings respectively have s-wave and d-wave symmetries because electrons at different sublattices and opposite valleys share the same angular momentum under the threefold rotation \(C_3\hat{\psi}_{\pm}^{\dagger}(r)C_3^{-1} = \exp[2\pi i\sigma_z/3]\hat{\psi}_{\pm}^{\dagger}(R_3r)\), where \(R_3\) is the real-space three-fold rotational matrix. Inter-sublattice pairings \(\hat{P}_{\pm}(r) = \epsilon_{ss'}\hat{\psi}_{+A\ell s}^{\dagger}(r)\hat{\psi}_{-B\ell s'}^{\dagger}(r)\) and \(\hat{P}_{-}(r) = \epsilon_{ss'}\hat{\psi}_{+A\ell s}^{\dagger}(r)\hat{\psi}_{-B\ell s'}^{\dagger}(r)\) carry opposite angular momenta \((\pm 2)\).

\[
\hat{C}_3\hat{P}_{\pm}(r)\hat{C}_3^{-1} = e^{\pm i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\hat{P}_{\pm}(R_3r), \\
\hat{C}_3\hat{P}_{-}(r)\hat{C}_3^{-1} = e^{-i\frac{4\pi}{3}}\hat{P}_{-}(R_3r).
\]

(5)

Here the angular momentum is defined based on the \(\hat{C}_3\) operation and therefore is determined up to modulo 3. We refer \(\hat{P}_{\pm}\) and \(\hat{P}_{-}\) to as chiral \(d_+\) and \(d_-\) pairings respectively. At the atomic scale, chiral d-wave pairings are realized by forming nearest-neighbor spin-singlet Cooper pairs with bond-dependent phase factors, as illustrated in Figs. 3(a, b). The opposite angular momenta associated with \(\hat{P}_{\pm\pm}\) arise from the relative motion between two electrons in one Cooper pair.

We focus on the d-wave pairing, assuming that the s-wave pairing is suppressed by Coulomb repulsion effects. In Ref. 43, the \(d_+\) and \(d_-\) pairings are considered to be independent, which is a good approximation when estimating the critical temperature. Here we present the full theory and show that \(d_+\) and \(d_-\) pairings are coupled in the linearized gap equation, although only weakly.

We perform mean-field theory, and the local pair amplitude is given by:

\[
\Delta_\pm^{(d)}(r) = \langle \hat{\psi}_{-\ell B\ell s'}^{\dagger}(r)\hat{\psi}_{-\ell B\ell s'}^{\dagger}(r) \rangle = \langle \hat{\psi}_{-\ell B\ell s'}^{\dagger}(r)\hat{\psi}_{-\ell B\ell s'}^{\dagger}(r) \rangle,
\]

(6)

We further assume that the pair amplitude has the moiré periodicity and can be expressed using harmonic expansion \(\Delta_\ell^{(d)}(r) = \sum_\ell e^{i\mathbf{b}_\ell\cdot r}\Delta_\ell^{(d)}\), where the superscript \(d = \pm\) represents the two d-wave pairings and \(\mathbf{b}_\ell\) is the moiré reciprocal lattice vectors. The linearized gap equa-
The superconductivity critical temperature $T_c$ is obtained by requiring that the largest eigenvalue of the pair susceptibility $\chi$ is equal to 1. In Fig. 4(a), we show the theoretical $T_c$ as a function of chemical potential $\mu$ for twist angle $\theta = 1.05^\circ$. The trend of $T_c(\mu)$ does not exactly follow the density of states shown in Fig. 4(b), because all states in the nearly flat band can effectively contribute to the pairing. $T_c$ vanishes at the Dirac point energy ($\mu = 0$), and peaks near the chemical potential at which the lower or upper flat band is half filled. These features of $T_c(\mu)$ are in qualitative agreement with experiments. The maximum $T_c$ in Fig. 4(a) is about 1.2 K, comparable to the experimental values. We note that theoretical $T_c$ depends on the flatness of the moiré bands, and therefore, on the model parameters, which are not known precisely. In the calculation, $\chi$ has been computed by including momenta $b$ up to the third moiré reciprocal lattice vector shell and by retaining only the two flat bands near zero energy because of their high density of states.

The largest eigenvalue of $\chi$ corresponds to two degenerate eigenvectors $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$, where the degeneracy is protected by the point group symmetries and also time-reversal symmetry. The pair amplitudes $[\Delta^{(j,+)}(r), \Delta^{(j,-)}(r)]$ associated with $\lambda_j$ ($j = 1$ and 2) lead to the following mean-field pair potential:

$$
\hat{\Gamma}_j = -4g_{A_1} \int d^2r \hat{\Gamma}_j(r),
$$
$$
\hat{\Gamma}_j(r) = \sum_{\ell} \Delta^{(j,+)}(r) \hat{P}_{\ell+}(r) + \Delta^{(j,-)}(r) \hat{P}_{\ell-}(r).
$$
We distinguish $\hat{\Gamma}_1$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_2$ by the $\hat{C}_3$ rotational symmetry:

$$\hat{C}_3 \hat{\Gamma}_1 \hat{C}_3^{-1} = e^{i 4\pi/3} \hat{\Gamma}_1, \quad \hat{C}_3 \hat{\Gamma}_2 \hat{C}_3^{-1} = e^{-i 4\pi/3} \hat{\Gamma}_2,$$

which is realized by requiring that:

$$\begin{align*}
\Delta^{(1,+)}_\ell (R_3 r) &= \Delta^{(1,+)}_\ell (r), \\
\Delta^{(1,-)}_\ell (R_3 r) &= e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{3}} \Delta^{(1,-)}_\ell (r), \\
\Delta^{(2,+)}_\ell (R_3 r) &= e^{i \frac{2\pi}{3}} \Delta^{(2,+)}_\ell (r), \\
\Delta^{(2,-)}_\ell (R_3 r) &= \Delta^{(2,-)}_\ell (r).
\end{align*}$$

(9)

We interpret the pair amplitude $\Delta^{(j;\pm)}_\ell$ as the envelope wave function for the COMM of the Cooper pair. In the linearized gap equation, Cooper pairs with relative motions $d_+$ and $d_-$ are coupled by adjusting their COMMs according to Eq. (10). The COMM can carry a finite angular momentum without breaking the moiré periodicity. The total angular momentum of the pair potential $\hat{\Gamma}_j$ is contributed by both the RM and the COMM. The pair amplitudes $|\Delta^{(1,+)}_\ell (r), \Delta^{(1,-)}_\ell (r)|$ in $\hat{\Gamma}_1$ are shown in Figs. 3(c, d, e). $\Delta^{(1,+)}_\ell (r)$ has an s-wave symmetry and peaks near AA regions following the electron density distribution, while $\Delta^{(1,-)}_\ell (r)$ has a vortex-antivortex lattice configuration, in which vortices centered around AA, AB and BA regions have vorticity +2, −1 and −1 correspondingly. Therefore, $\Delta^{(1,-)}_\ell (r)$ satisfies (10) and preserves the moiré periodicity because of the zero total vorticity. As shown in Figs. 3(c, d, e), $\Delta^{(1,+)}_\ell (r)$ is dominant in $\hat{\Gamma}_1$, and the vortices in $\Delta^{(1,-)}_\ell (r)$ can be regarded as a secondary effect. Figs. 3(f, g, h) show the pair amplitudes in $\hat{\Gamma}_2$, which is the time reversal partner of $\hat{\Gamma}_1$.

We also calculate $T_c$ by neglecting the coupling between $d_+$ and $d_-$ relative motions, and the maximum $T_c$ calculated in this way is slightly lower than that obtained from the full calculation [Fig. 4(a)], which is consistent with the fact that the vortices are only a perturbative effect.

As a side remark, we note that the periodic modulation of the pair amplitude is actually a ubiquitous phenomenon in crystalline superconductors. We can take superconducting aluminum as an example, which has a long coherence length ($\sim 1600$ nm). However, the s-wave pair amplitude $\Delta(r) = \langle \psi_\uparrow(r) \psi_\downarrow(r) \rangle$ in aluminum has the lattice periodicity and varies within one unit cell following the variation of the normal state electron wave function [35]. This variation of the pair amplitude in the superconducting ground state is typically a negligible effect, because it is a modulation over a very short distance determined by the lattice constant (0.4 nm in the case of aluminum). This modulation becomes noticeable in moiré pattern because of the large moiré period ($\sim 13.4$ nm for $\theta = 1.05^\circ$). Cuprates present another context where the spatial modulation of the pair amplitude is important. In the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ pairing state of cuprates, the pair amplitudes along $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ bonds within one unit cell are phase shifted by $\pi$.

---

**FIG. 4.** (a) Critical temperature $T_c$ for $d$-wave pairing as a function of the chemical potential $\mu$. The solid and dashed lines are obtained with and without considering the coupling between $d_+$ and $d_-$ pairings. (b) Density of states per spin and per valley as a function of energy. The vertical dashed lines in (a) and (b) show the chemical potential at which the lower or upper flat band is half filled. The twist angle is 1.05$^\circ$. The pair potentials $\hat{\Gamma}_1$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_2$ form a two-dimensional $E_2$ representation of the $D_6h$ point group, and lead to chiral $d$-wave superconductivities, which are time-reversal symmetry breaking and fully gapped as discussed in Sec. V. Linear combinations of $\hat{\Gamma}_1$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_2$ can give rise to nematic $d$-wave superconductivities, which are time-reversal symmetric but break rotational symmetries. Nematic states are gapless as discussed in Sec. VI. Therefore, chiral $d$-wave superconductivities should be favored over nematic states within mean-field theory considered in this paper.

**IV. SPONTANEOUS SUPERCURRENT**

We demonstrate that the chiral $d$-wave states support spontaneous bulk supercurrent. We first present various current operators. The in-plane current density operator for layer $\ell$ is given by

$$\mathbf{j}_\ell (r) = (-e_0) \sum_{\tau,s} \hat{\psi}^\dagger_{\tau \ell s} (r) \mathbf{J}_{\tau \ell} \hat{\psi}_{\tau \ell s} (r),$$

(11)

where $-e_0$ is the electron charge ($e_0 > 0$) and $\mathbf{J}_{\tau \ell}$ is the velocity operator that acts in the sublattice space:

$$\mathbf{J}_{\tau \ell} = \frac{\hbar \mathbf{v}_{\tau \ell}}{\hbar} = v_F e^{-ir_\tau \xi z} \left( \tau \sigma_x, \sigma_y \right) e^{+ir_\tau \xi z} \sigma_z.$$

(12)

Note that $\mathbf{J}_{\tau \ell}$ derived from the Dirac Hamiltonian is independent of momentum and position. $\mathbf{j}_\ell (r)$ is a two-component vector representing the in-plane current flow in layer $\ell$, and has the unit of current per length. The total in-plane current $\mathbf{j}_\parallel$ is the sum of $\mathbf{j}_b$ and $\mathbf{j}_t$. 

---
FIG. 5. In-plane current flow pattern for (a) bottom layer, (b) top layer and (c) the total. The color scale shows the magnitude, while the vectors indicate the flow direction. (d) Interlayer tunneling current density. The calculation is based on the perturbation theory for the chiral $d$-wave state with $\Gamma_1$ pair potential. The chemical potential used in the calculation corresponds to half-filling of the lower-flat band. The pair amplitudes are shown in Figs. 3(c,d) with the overall scale $-4g_A \Delta_b^{(1,+)}(0)$ set to be 1 meV. The magnitude of the current is proportional to $|\Delta_b^{(1,+)}(0)|^2$ in the perturbation theory.

The interlayer tunneling leads to the following out-of-plane current density operator:

$$j_z(r) = \langle \psi^\dagger_{\tau b}(r) T_T(r) \psi_{\tau b}(r) - h.c. \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $j_z$ has a unit of current per area.

We calculate the current density $\langle j_a(r) \rangle$ in the chiral $d$-wave states using a perturbation theory by expanding the Green’s function in a power series of the pair amplitudes $\Delta$. We only retain the leading-order contributions, and $\langle j_a(r) \rangle$ is then proportional to $\Delta^* \Delta$, as required by gauge invariance. The bulk current distribution calculated in this way for the $\Gamma_1$ pair potential is illustrated in Fig. 5. Because the current is evaluated for the superconducting state, it is supercurrent without dissipation. The in-plane current in bottom and top layers flows respectively out-of and in-to the centers of AA regions, and nearly compensates each other. This current pattern is closely related to the layer counter-flow velocity in the nearly flat moiré bands. The total in-plane current $\langle j_{\parallel}(r) \rangle$ is yet finite and circulates around $\hat{z}$ axis. The out-of-plane current pattern is consistent with the in-plane current flow. Moreover, we numerically find that the current continuity is satisfied, $\langle j_z(r) \rangle = -\nabla \cdot \langle j_{\parallel}(r) \rangle = \nabla \cdot \langle j_{\parallel}(r) \rangle$. Overall, the current flow can be decomposed into two components. One component is the circulation around the $\hat{z}$ axis as shown in Fig. 5(c), and another component is the current circulation between the two layers.

The current flow pattern is characterized by two different moments, the magnetic dipole moment $\mathbf{m}$ and the magnetic toroidal dipole moment $\mathbf{t}$ as defined below:

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{2} \int dr [r \times j(r)],$$
$$\mathbf{t} = \frac{1}{10} \int dr [r \cdot j(r) - 2r^2 j(r)].$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Because the total bulk current vanishes, both $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{t}$ are extensive quantities proportional to the total number of moiré unit cells. For the current distribution illustrated in Fig. 5, $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{t}$ per moiré unit cell are respectively about $8 \times 10^{-3} \mu_B \hat{z}$ and $-2.4 d_z \mu_B \hat{z}$, where $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton and $d_z$ is the interlayer vertical distance of TBLG. $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{t}$ scale as $\Delta^* \Delta$, and their exact values therefore depend on the pair amplitudes. The above numbers should be viewed as an order of magnitude estimation. The magnetic dipole moment $\mathbf{m}$ could be detected by magnetization measurement, while the toroidal dipole moment $\mathbf{t}$ could lead to magnetoelectric effect. Polar Kerr effect could also be used to probe the time-reversal symmetry breaking in the chiral state.

We note that the magnetic field induced by the spontaneous current also scales as $\Delta^* \Delta$, and therefore, does not enter into the linearized gap equation. The feedback effect of the spontaneously generated magnetic field on the pairing order parameter is negligible near the critical temperature.

Our calculation of the supercurrent has been initially motivated by the vortex structure in the pair amplitudes. However, even if the vortices in the pair amplitudes are neglected theoretically, for example by taking $\Delta_b^{(1,+)}$ in
\[ \hat{\Gamma}_1 \text{ to be zero, we find that the bulk circulating supercurrent remains qualitatively the same. The supercurrent is therefore not directed tied to the vortices, although both are new phenomena that arise due to the enlarged moiré superlattice.} \]

**V. TOPOLOGICAL CHIRAL d-WAVE SUPERCONDUCTOR**

We study the gap structure and topological characters of the \( d \)-wave superconducting states. The mean-field Hamiltonian for spin-singlet superconductivity can be generally written as:

\[
H_{MF} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left[ \hat{\psi}^\dagger H_{BdG} \hat{\psi} + \hat{\psi}^\dagger H_{BdG} \hat{\psi}^\dagger \right],
\]

where the subscripts \( \pm \) of \( \hat{\psi} \) again refer to valleys, and \( \uparrow \) and \( \downarrow \) still label spins, but the layer and sublattice indices are suppressed for conciseness. The Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonians \( H_{BdG} \) for \( \hat{\xi} \) and \( \hat{\zeta} \) are the same, which reflects the spin SU(2) symmetry of the spin singlet superconductivity.

In momentum space, \( H_{BdG} \) can be organized as follows:

\[
H_{BdG}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} H_0(q) & \Lambda \\ \Lambda^\dagger & -H_0(q) \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( q \) is the momentum within the moiré Brillouin zone. \( H_0(q) \) is the moiré Hamiltonian (including chemical potential term) in valley +K, while the moiré Hamiltonian in valley −K after performing the particle-hole transformation is given by \( -H_0(q) \). The off-diagonal terms \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda^\dagger \) are matrix representation of the pair potentials. In our case, \( \Lambda \) is independent of \( q \) because the pairing interaction in \( \hat{\psi}^\dagger \) is local in space.

It is instructive to project \( H_{BdG}(q) \) to states on the Fermi surface, leading to the following \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix:

\[
\tilde{H}_{BdG}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta(q) \\ \Delta^\ast(q) & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \Delta(q) = \langle u(q)|\Lambda|u(q) \rangle \), and \( |u(q) \rangle \) is a state with momentum \( q \) on the Fermi surface. \( \Delta(q) \) is generally referred to as the gap function. If the pair potential is time-reversal symmetric, then \( \Lambda = \Lambda^\dagger \) and therefore, \( \Delta(q) \) is real; otherwise, \( \Delta(q) \) is generally complex.

We apply the above discussion to chiral \( d \)-wave states. Fig. 6(a) shows the band structure of \( H_{BdG}(q) \) determined by using the chemical potential for half-filled lower flat band and the \( \Gamma_2 \) pair potential with pair amplitudes shown in Figs. 3(c, d); Fig. 6(b) illustrates the corresponding gap function \( \Delta(q) \) on the Fermi surface, which indicates that the chiral \( d \)-wave state is fully gapped and that the phase of \( \Delta(q) \) changes by \( 4\pi \) when \( q \) moves along the Fermi surface once. These features are generally expected for chiral \( d \)-wave states.

To characterize the topological property, we calculate the Berry curvature for all occupied bands in Fig. 6(a). The corresponding Berry curvature, as shown in Fig. 6(c), is strongly peaked near the Fermi surface of the normal state. The Chern number of \( H_{BdG}(q) \), obtained by integrating the Berry curvature in Fig. 6(c), is equal to \(-2\); the total Chern number is then \(-4\) after taking into account of the spin degeneracy. Table 1 summarizes the dependence of the total Chern number on the chemical potential and the pairing potential, which is reminiscent of the topological character of a model Hamiltonian for chiral \( d \)-wave superconductivity in monolayer graphene. At \( \mu = 0 \) (Dirac point energy), the energy spectrum of \( H_{BdG}(q) \) remains gapless at \( \kappa_\ell \) points even if the pair amplitudes in \( \Gamma_1 \) or \( \Gamma_2 \) are finite, which explains the abrupt change of the Chern number as \( \mu \) crosses 0.
VI. NEMATIC d-WAVE SUPERCONDUCTOR

Chiral and nematic d-wave pairing order parameters belong to the same multiplet. Two independent basis functions for nematic d-wave pairings are given by:

\[
\check{\Gamma}_x = i(\check{\Gamma}_1 - \check{\Gamma}_2)/\sqrt{2}, \\
\check{\Gamma}_y = (\check{\Gamma}_1 + \check{\Gamma}_2)/\sqrt{2}.
\]

(18)

\(\check{\Gamma}_x\) and \(\check{\Gamma}_y\) transform respectively as \(d_{x^2-y^2}\) and \(d_{xy}\) under \(C_6\) rotation. A generic nematic pair potential can be parametrized as:

\[
\check{\Gamma}_\eta = \eta_x \check{\Gamma}_x + \eta_y \check{\Gamma}_y,
\]

(19)

where \(\eta = (\eta_x, \eta_y)\) is the nematic director. A superconductor with the nematic pair potential preserves time-reversal symmetry but breaks rotational symmetry, which is characterized by a nematic order parameter:

\[
\mathcal{N} = (|\eta_x|^2 - |\eta_y|^2, \eta_x^* \eta_y + \eta_y^* \eta_x).
\]

(20)

Because \(\check{\Gamma}_\eta\) is time-reversal symmetric, there is no spontaneous bulk supercurrent and the corresponding gap function \(\Delta_\eta(q)\) is real. On the other hand, \(\Delta_\eta(q)\) integrated over the Fermi surface (FS) vanishes:

\[
\int_{q\in FS} dq \Delta_\eta(q) = 0.
\]

(21)

Therefore, \(\Delta_\eta(q)\) must have point nodes on the Fermi surface, as illustrated in Fig. 7. \(\Delta_x(q)\) of the pair potential \(\check{\Gamma}_x\) has four point nodes. However, \(\Delta_y(q)\) of the pair potential \(\check{\Gamma}_y\) has six point nodes, two of which annihilate each other when \(\eta\) deviates slightly away from \(\hat{y}\) axis.

Chiral and nematic superconducting order parameters have degenerate superconducting transition temperature \(T_c\), as they belong to the same \(E_2\) representation. Because of the different gap structure, chiral states are energetically more favored below \(T_c\) in a weak-coupling mean-field theory that only takes into account superconductivity instability.

An external uniaxial strain breaks the six-fold rotational symmetry, and therefore, lifts the two-fold degeneracy between \(\check{\Gamma}_x\) and \(\check{\Gamma}_y\). The uniaxial strain tensor \(\epsilon_{ij}\) couples linearly to the nematic order parameter \(\mathcal{N}\), and extrinsically stabilizes nematic superconductivity near \(T_c\). An in-plane magnetic field \(B_\parallel\) also breaks the rotational symmetry, and could play a similar role as uniaxial strain. An interplay between the \(B_\parallel\) field and the strain \(\epsilon_{ij}\) field can lead to a two-fold anisotropy in the critical in-plane magnetic field. The nematic superconductivity could also be intrinsically stabilized by density wave fluctuations as proposed by a recent theoretical work 51.

VII. DISCUSSION

In TBLG, optical phonon modes can mediate d-wave pairing because of the sublattice pseudospin chirality. It has recently become recognized through the study of topological superconductivity that phonon fluctuations assisted by strong spin-orbital coupling can generate non s-wave pairing interactions. Our work provides a distinct example where the sublattice pseudospin chirality enables the d-wave pairing without the need of real spin-orbit coupling. A recent theoretical study shows that acoustic phonons in TBLG can also mediate unconventional pairing such as d wave. We note that the pairing mechanism in TBLG is subject under intense theoretical study, and many different mechanisms are being explored. Our findings on the properties of d-wave states is largely independent of the exact pairing mechanism, as they are mainly controlled by symmetry and topology. We have used a weak-coupling theory, which can
be partly justified within our theoretical framework because the pairing energy scale $k_B T_c$ is still an order of magnitude smaller than the bandwidth. Our results are fully self-consistent within mean field theory, while effects beyond mean field theory, such as fluctuations, are not included in our work.

In summary, we have studied the pairing order parameters, gap structure and topological character of d-wave superconductivity in TBLG. The presence of spontaneous vortices and supercurrent could be a very generic effect for multicomponent superconductivity and superfluidity in superlattices; a theory that incorporates microscopic physics within the superlattice unit cell is crucial to study this effect. Two-particle bound states with a finite center-of-mass angular momentum has recently been also studied in the context of excitons in moiré pattern.
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