each unit will have its own pavilion or hospital within the colony where this work can be carried out.

This should ensure more adequate material and better facilities for the teaching of, not only undergraduates, but also post-graduates.

But when our new organisation is completed will we be in Utopia? That depends as always on us as individuals.

In the highly scientific age of the last century perhaps the machine has had more attention than the man. Perhaps disease has had more attention than the patient. Let us remember that the microscope does not observe nor do our books think.

The reputation of a Medical School will depend not so much on its hospital and laboratories as upon the character and ability of both its students and teachers.
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**REVIEW**

MODERN TREATMENT YEAR BOOK, 1945. Editor: Surgeon Rear-Admiral C. P. G. Wakeley, C.B., D.Sc., F.R.C.S., F.A.C.S. London: The Medical Press and Circular.

This Year Book has won a well-deserved place in the affections of many practitioners, and the current volume will enhance its reputation. The galaxy of brilliant contributors is a guarantee of the authenticity and interest of the work. The subject matter has been chosen with some care, and there is not a single dull page in the volume.

One doubts whether the section on the treatment of cerebro-spinal fever represents present-day views on this important subject.

The type is legible and pleasant to read; the paper is ‘ersatz’; the illustrations are excellent.

T. H. C.