Hookworm Infection: A Neglected Cause of Overt Obscure Gastrointestinal Bleeding
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Abstract: Hookworm infections are widely prevalent in tropical and subtropical areas, especially in low income regions. In the body, hookworms parasitize the proximal small intestine, leading to chronic intestinal hemorrhage and iron deficiency anemia. Occasionally, hookworms can cause overt gastrointestinal bleeding, but this is often ignored in heavily burdened individuals from endemic infectious areas. A total of 424 patients with overt obscure gastrointestinal bleeding were diagnosed by numerous blood tests or stool examinations as well as esophagastroduodenoscopy, colonoscopy, capsule endoscopy or double-balloon enteroscopy. All of the patients lived in hookworm endemic areas and were not screened for hookworm infection using sensitive tests before the final diagnosis. The patients recovered after albendazole treatment, blood transfusion, and iron replacement, and none of the patients experienced recurrent bleeding in the follow-up. All the 31 patients were diagnosed with hookworm infections without other concomitant bleeding lesions, a rate of 7.3% (31/424). Seventeen out of 227 patients were diagnosed with hookworm infections in the capsule endoscopy (CE), and 14 out of 197 patients were diagnosed with hookworm infections in the double balloon enteroscopy (DBE). Hookworm infections can cause overt gastrointestinal bleeding and should be screened in patients with overt obscure gastrointestinal bleeding (OGIB) in endemic infectious areas with sensitive methods. Specifically, the examination of stool specimens is clinically warranted for most patients, and the proper examination for stool eggs relies on staff’s communication.
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INTRODUCTION

Obscure gastrointestinal bleeding (OGIB) refers to overt or occult bleeding arising from unknown reasons that persists or recurs after an initial negative examination, such as esophagastroduodenoscopy (EGD), colonoscopy, or radiologic evaluation of the small bowel. Overt OGIB presents visible gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding with ongoing melena or hematochezia, both of which are more urgent than occult bleeding [1] and thus represent a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge for the general internist. With the development of the diagnostic method, the main modalities that have been implemented to address OGIB include double balloon enteroscopy (DBE), capsule endoscopy (CE), angiography, nuclear scans, and intraoperative enteroscopy [1]. Accumulated evidence has suggested that older patients (> 50 years old) are prone to bleed from angiectasias, leiomyoma, lymphoma, and adenocarcinoma, while Meckel’s diverticulum and Dieulafoy’s lesions are the major causes of this disorder in younger patients. Inflammatory bowel disease and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug-induced small bowel disease are also causes of OGIB [2].

In developing countries located in Asia, hookworm infections, most commonly due to Ancylostoma duodenale and Necator americanus, are also an important precursor of OGIB [3], and the severity of the morbidity associated with the hookworm burden differs, ranging from mild, transient clinical signs to severe symptoms [4]. In the traditional view, hookworm disease is primarily considered to cause chronic occult blood loss leading to iron-deficiency anemia due to their attachment to the intestinal mucosa, where they chemically and mechanically rupture capillaries [4]. Therefore, the diagnostic value of hookworm infection in overt OGIB is often ignored, leading to unnecessary excessive medical tests and treatments.

Nevertheless, there is increasing awareness that more research from impoverished rural areas is needed to deepen our understanding of the etiology of the overt OGIB [5]. Over the past...
few decades, the total number of hookworm infections has increased due to the growth of the worldwide population, although environment and socioeconomic status represent the 2 most important factors impacting hookworm prevalence. Because the infection levels in areas of rural poverty are much higher, it is essential to screen all OGIB patients for all potentially endemic parasites. In China, EGD and colonoscopy are often considered as the first line of investigation to be taken in OGIB [4]. If they fail to identify the cause, additional tests are required, and some severe bleeding cases may proceed to exploratory laparotomy [4]. However, stool microscopy is not routinely undertaken in patients with acute and visible hemorrhages. Only rarely are patients examined repeatedly for hookworm eggs, as this is often impractical in large, complex centers with busy physicians and laboratories [5]. A positive result relies on communication between the physician and the laboratory. Moreover, a specific examination of the stool specimen is required for all potentially infected patients.

The objective of this study was to retrospectively assess the influence of the hookworm efforts on the incidence of overt OGIB in the southwest region of China to identify potential culprits hindering the rational investigative procedures. Preventing these delaying factors should contribute to the development of a diagnostic algorithm that will better define the choice of management strategies for these patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical statement
This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Third Military Medical University. Written informed consent was obtained from adult patients and guardians on behalf of the children enrolled in this study.

Patients and methods
We designed a descriptive retrospective study to assess the pathologic findings of 424 patients with overt OGIB collected from August 2008 to October 2016 in the affiliated hospital of southwest medical university, Sichuan and Xinqiao hospital, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China. Most of the patients were from the Chongqing Municipality, the Sichuan province, and the Yunnan province in the southwest region of China. Some sites in these provinces were identified as poor rural areas that might have high rates of hookworm infection. In total, we investigated 237 men and 187 women with an overall mean age of 52 years (range; 12-87 years old).

All of the cases presented as hematemesis, intermittent melena, or hematochezia without an identified reason after numerous blood tests, EGD, colonoscopy and radiologic evaluation. In our department, patients with overt ongoing OGIB bleeding first undergo DBE or CE, which rely on consultations among themselves and their referring doctors after the initial examination. During the study period, 227 patients underwent capsule endoscopy, and 197 underwent double-balloon enteroscopy. Capsule endoscopy was performed with an OMOM capsule (Chongqing Jinshan Science & Technology, Chongqing, China), and DBE [EN-450P5, Fujinon, Saitama, Japan] was carried out using an oral and/or anal approach. An enhanced CT of the abdomen and radiological findings were performed in some cases; however, the timing and cost of examination differed in each case.

The stool specimens were collected from all of the patients who had been hospitalized on the first day, and new containers were distributed to obtain new specimens per patient every morning. The stool samples were required to be fresh and not previously exposed or corrupted and brought to the laboratory within 10 hr. The examination of the stool and the accompanying eggs was routinely performed in our department; in parallel, repeated stool and egg examinations were needed when a physician ascertained that the patient was immunocompromised or had any of the following parasite-related conditions: occupation, home, behavior, or experience in an area where the parasites are known to have caused intestinal disease. Unpreserved stool samples were directly examined using wet mounts (saline and D’Antoni’s iodine). Concentration wet mounts (saline and D’Antoni’s iodine) and were then prepared with the formalin-ethyl acetate method [6]. The clinical information of each patient was retrieved from the clinical report of the referring doctor. In cases of missing data, the referring doctor was contacted via phone.

RESULTS

The final diagnoses of 424 overt OGIB patients are illustrated in Fig. 1. Overall, 31 patients with OGIB were diagnosed with a hookworm infection, a rate of 7.3% (31/424). Hookworm infection is a known cause of overt OGIB. Upon examination, 17 of 227 and 14 out of 197 patients were diagnosed with hookworm infections in the CE and DBE groups, respectively. We found that 21 cases were older patients (> 50 years
Hookworm infections were found in 17 female patients and 14 male patients. All of the patients were from high-infection areas and were admitted to hospital. Upon admission, the vital signs of most cases were stable, and the physical examination showed no abnormalities except for pale conjunctivae and slight abdominal tenderness. The numerous blood tests performed are listed in Table 1. Blood counts showed a hemoglobin (Hb) range of 4.2 to 14.5 g/dL; a severe degree of anemia was found in 12 cases, of which red blood cell counts ranged from $1.95 \times 10^{12}$/L to $4.91 \times 10^{12}$/L, and eosinophil counts ranged from 0.03% to 33.8%. Fecal occult blood was found positive in 25 cases. The stool examination was positive for eggs or adult parasites in 4 cases. The electrolyte, liver and renal function tests were all normal, and the tumor markers were negative. Enhanced CT images of the abdomen and radiological findings were taken in some cases but were found to be nonspecific. Most of the cases assessed by EGD or colonoscopy showing hookworm infection-induced overt OGIB had nonspecific clinical manifestations.

Under performing DBE, we found several hookworms from...
Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the cases and detected lesions

| Age (yr) | Gender | Symptoms | Duration | Occupation | Location of the hookworm | Hemoglobin (g/L) | RBC | Eosinophilic cells ratio | Fecal occult blood test | Times of testing eggs/positive | Endoscopic appearance | EGD | Colonoscopy | DBE | CE |
|----------|--------|----------|----------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----|
| 59       | F      | Tarry stool | 2 months | No Farmer  | Jejunum upper part         | 42              | 1.37x10^{12} | 2.5%        | Positive          | 1/No                     | Chronic superficial antral gastritis | Multiple colonic polyps | _   |             |     |     |
| 68       | F      | Tarry stool | 5 years   | No Farmer  | Jejunum upper part         | 57              | 2.32x10^{12} | 9.6%        | Positive          | 1/No                     | Superficial duodenitis               | _               | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 57       | F      | Anemia     | 3 months  | No Farmer  | Jejunum upper part         | 88              | 2.89x10^{12} | 0.7%        | Positive          | 1/Yes                    | Normal                  | Normal | Normal | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 63       | F      | Tarry stool | 10 years  | No Farmer  | Small intestine upper part | 63              | 2.04x10^{12} | 0.31%       | Positive          | 2/Yes                    | Superficial antral gastritis | _               | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 63       | F      | Tarry stool | 1 months  | No Farmer  | Jejunum upper part         | 86              | 2.88x10^{12} | _            | Positive          | 2/Yes                    | Chronic superficial gastritis | Multiple colonic polyps | _   | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 79       | M      | Tarry stool | 4 years   | No Resident | Jejunum upper part     | 54              | 2.13x10^{12} | 23.8%       | Positive          | 1/No                     | Normal                   | Colonic varices              | _   | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 40       | M      | Tarry stool | 7 months  | No Farmer  | Jejunum upper part         | 57              | 2.79x10^{12} | 33.8%       | Positive          | 1/Yes                    | Duodenitis               | Chronic superficial antral gastritis | proropolypus | Enteritis | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 66       | F      | Tarry stool | 5 months  | Yes Farmer | Duodenum, Jejunum        | 73              | 2.8x10^{12}  | 14.9%       | Negative          | 2/No                     | Chronic superficial gastritis | Normal | _         | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 20       | F      | Hemafecia  | 1 day     | Yes Resident | Duodenum              | 145             | 4.91x10^{12} | 0.03%       | Positive          | 2/No                     | Antral gastritis       | _               | Duodenum hookworm disease |
| 59       | F      | Tarry stool | 15 days   | No Farmer  | Jejunum ileum            | 89              | 3.77x10^{12} | 17.9%       | Negative          | _                        | Chronic antral gastritis | Normal | _         | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 80       | M      | Tarry stool | 6 days    | Yes Resident | Jejunum              | 72              | 2.31x10^{12} | 4.0%        | Positive          | 2/Yes                    | Chronic antral gastritis | Colonic polyp     | _               | Jejunal hookworm disease |
| 71       | F      | Tarry stool | 15 days   | No Resident | Jejunum upper part     | 51              | 2.05x10^{12} | 0.6%        | Positive          | 1/No                     | Chronic antral gastritis | Lower gastrointestinal hemorrhage, enteritis | _   | Jejunal hookworm disease |
| 65       | M      | Tarry stool | 3 years   | Yes Farmer | Duodenum                | 63              | 3.0x10^{12}  | 6%          | Positive          | 1/Yes                    | Duodenum hookworm disease | Normal | _         | Intestinal hookworm disease Enteritis |
| 45       | M      | Tarry stool | 9 days    | No Farmer  | Duodenum, Jejunum       | 76              | 2.59x10^{12} | 7.8%        | Negative          | _                        | Chronic gastritis        | Normal | _         | Intestinal hookworm disease Duodenitis, Jejunum |
| 36       | F      | Abdominal distention | 4 years   | No Farmer  | Jejunum                | 120             | 4.05x10^{12} | 5.9%        | Positive          | 2/No                     | Chronic antral gastritis | Normal | _         | Jejunal hookworm disease Jejunal polyp |
| 59       | F      | Hemafecia  | 6 months  | No Farmer  | Jejunum Lower part      | 60              | 2.54x10^{12} | 14.9%       | Positive          | 2/No                     | Chronic gastritis        | Normal | _         | Jejunal hookworm disease |

(Continued to the next page)
| Age (yr) | Gender | Symptoms | Duration | Dermatitis | Occupation | Location of The hookworm | Hemoglobin (g/L) | RBC | Eosinophilic cells ratio | Fecal occult blood test | Times of testing eggs/positive | Endoscopic appearance |
|---------|--------|----------|----------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| 40      | F      | Tarry stool | 20 months | No | Farmer | Jejunum median part | 51 | 2.25 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | 0.5% | Negative | 1/No | Normal | Normal | Jejunal hookworm disease |
| 46      | M      | Tarry stool | 2 years   | No | Farmer | Jejunum upper part | 106 | 4.22 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | 12% | Positive | 1/No | Chronic gastritis | Normal | Jejunal hookworm disease |
| 38      | M      | Tarry stool | 6 days    | No | Resident | Jejunum median part | 49 | 2.15 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | 0.7% | Positive | 1/No | Normal | Normal | Jejunal hookworm disease |
| 57      | M      | Tarry stool | 15 days   | No | Resident | Jejunum upper part | 56 | 2.26 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | _ | Positive | 2/No | _ | _ | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 58      | F      | hemafecia | 4 years   | No | Farmer | Jejunum | 53 | 2.22 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | 3.8% | Positive | 1/No | Chronic gastritis | Normal | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 54      | M      | Tarry stool | 5 years   | No | Farmer | Jejunum upper part | 62 | 2.94 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | _ | Positive | 1/No | Chronic gastritis | _ | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 61      | M      | Tarry stool | 3 months  | No | Farmer | Jejunum lower part | 34 | 1.95 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | _ | Positive | 1/No | Normal | Normal | Jejunal hookworm disease |
| 26      | M      | Tarry stool | 2 months  | No | Farmer | Jejunum | 43 | 2.69 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | _ | Positive | 1/No | Chronic gastritis | Colonic polyp | Jejunal hookworm disease |
| 46      | M      | hemafecia | 2 years   | No | Farmer | Duodenum, Jejunum | 86 | 4.91 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | 0.32% | Positive | 1/No | Normal | _ | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 52      | M      | Tarry stool | 5 years   | No | Resident | Jejunum upper part | 56 | 1.56 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | _ | Positive | 2/No | _ | _ | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 58      | F      | hemafecia | 1 years   | No | Farmer | Jejunum | 74 | 3.21 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | 2.8% | Positive | 1/No | Chronic gastritis | Normal | _ | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 54      | M      | Tarry stool | 2 years   | No | Resident | Jejunum upper part | 68 | 3.34 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | _ | Positive | 2/No | Chronic gastritis | _ | Intestinal hookworm disease |
| 62      | F      | Tarry stool | 2 months  | Yes | Farmer | Jejunum lower part | 60 | 2.91 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | _ | Positive | 1/No | Normal | Normal | Jejunal hookworm disease |
| 34      | F      | Tarry stool | 3 months  | No | Farmer | Jejunum | 63 | 2.39 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | _ | Positive | 1/No | Chronic gastritis | Colonic polyp | Jejunal hookworm disease |
| 58      | F      | hemafecia | 2 years   | No | Farmer | Duodenum, Jejunum | 72 | 3.71 $\times$ 10^{12}/L | 0.15% | Positive | 3/No | Normal | _ | Intestinal hookworm disease |

Location of the hookworm, the place for the first time found hookworm; hemoglobin, hemoglobin values for the first time; EGD, esophagogastroduodenoscopy; CE, capsule endoscopy; DBE, double balloon enteroscopy; -, not tested.
the distal duodenum to the distal jejunum in a single patient, as shown in Fig. 2A. The hookworms appeared as having red-
dish bodies attached to the intestinal mucosa (Fig. 2B, C). Hook-
worms feeding on the intestinal mucosa had a hemorrhagic
spot at the point of their attachment to the mucosa (Fig. 2D).
Oozing blood was found at the hookworm attachment site in
some cases (Fig. 2E) under CE. The parasites were either with-
drawing blood while attached to the mucosal surface of the
intestine or they had previously attacked the areas and con-
comitant bleeding lesions were found.

No other concomitant bleeding disease was found in any of
the 31 patients. The patients were treated with albendazole 400
mg twice a day for 3 days, and blood transfusions and iron re-
placements were administered. In the follow up, none of the
31 patients bled again, and after 6 months, their hemoglobin
had increased significantly, as illustrated in Fig. 3. The stool
eggs and adult parasite tests remained negative over the ensu-
ing 3 months of follow up.

**DISCUSSION**

In poor tropical and subtropical areas, there are large num-
bers of patients with massive hookworm infestations, but very
few of them show symptoms of overt bleeding from the GI tract,
which is often ignored. Therefore, physicians should be cau-
tious in attributing the cause of overt GI bleeds to hookworm
infestations alone.

In our study, 31 patients with overt OGIB were diagnosed
with hookworm infections, a rate of 7.3% (31/424). The mor-
bidity of hookworm infection in the southwest region of Chi-
na is higher than reported in other parts of the world [7]. This
is likely related to the epidemiological characteristics in the re-

gion, where subsistence farming and animal husbandry are
commonly practiced. Moreover, some families lack basic infra-
structure, such as a supply of treated water at the household
level or their own toilet. Based on the above observations, hook-
worm infections seem to be closely related to farmers working
in the field, barefoot. Meanwhile, we found that the rate of
hookworm infections was higher in females than in males.
However, Peter J. Hotez reported that the overall prevalence
and intensity of hookworm infections are higher in males than
in females [4]. We believe that our results are due to the preva-

cence of the performance of farm labor in southwest China by
women, who are therefore more often exposed to infection,
while most of the men work in the city. Moreover, the intensity
of infection usually reached a peak during childhood and ado-
lescence in previously reported papers [8]. In contrast, there
appears to be considerable variation in the age intensity pro-
files of hookworm infection, according to our study. Age is re-

lated to the rate of hookworm infection, with the highest in-
tensity occurring in middle-aged individuals and those over
the age of 80. Therefore, we believe that the present infection
patterns have implications for the world’s expanding elderly
population. Additionally, school-based deworming has be-
come the largest public health program in China, as it offers a
number of health-related benefits to children [9]. Third, hook-
worms are refractory to host immunity during the host’s life,
and the intensity of infection gradually rises with the age of

![Fig. 3. The hemoglobin (Hb) of 30 cases recovered after treatment compared to before treatment. The 31 patients did not experience any recurrent bleeding, and their Hb increased significantly throughout treatment after 6 months.](image-url)
the host [10].

The hookworm constantly changes the adsorption site and secretion of anticoagulant substances, resulting in wound blood loss is the main adverse outcome. Since the subtle but significant impact of hookworms on human’s health was first recognized by scholars, international efforts to control the morbidity caused by this infection have been conducted [11]. According to our research, hookworms most frequently parasitize the proximal part of the small intestine, which can be observed in the attacked areas of the mucosa in Fig. 2. The 12 patients had severe anemia, and the lowest hemoglobin level reached 4.2 g/dl, owing to the hookworms’ sucking blood from the capillaries and arterioles. Hookworms also secrete *Ancylostoma ceylanicum* anticoagulant peptide-1, inhibiting the blood coagulation in the attachment site and leading to the loss of blood from the intestine [11]. In addition, they can carry antigens and pass through a range of host tissues, surviving within the host by warding off immune attacks [12]. The presence of more than 40 adult hookworms has been reported to be adequate to cause a host hemoglobin level of less than 6.0 g/dl [12]. There have been at least 13 reported cases of acute hemorrhage caused by hookworm infection. And some hold that a hookworm burden (i.e., the intensity of infection or the number of worms per person) of at least 40-160 worms is associated with Hb levels below 11 g/dl [13]. Moreover, this low-volume, slow oozing of blood is unlikely to cause severe melena, which leads to a significant drop in Hb [14]. We hypothesize that hookworms may damage the blood vessels of the small intestine for a long period of time, inducing acute massive intestinal bleeding; heavy burden individuals can lose up to 250 ml of blood per day [15]. Our case with severe overt obscure gastrointestinal bleeding may have had the following contributing factors. First, the patient had mild chronic anemia after hookworm infection, and the overt GI bleeding led to severe visible blood loss, especially due to the inadequate initial iron stores of the host. Second, although the endoscopic investigation and stool microscopy of patients in endemic areas commonly result in a diagnosis of hookworms, these procedures are rarely repeated during the diagnostic process.

Another important marker is eosinophilia, which can be detected in 30% to 60% of cases [16]; its peak usually coincides with the development of adult hookworms in the intestine and occurs 5-9 weeks after the onset of the infection [17]. Hookworms can induce the production of cytokines, such as IL-4, IL-5, and parasite-specific immunoglobulin E, which lead to the expansion and mobilization of eosinophils [4].

The simple but important diagnosis of hookworm infestation is based on the microscopic examination of feces to detect hookworm eggs [6]. In our study, 0.85% NaCl solution was used as the diluent, and stool microscopy identified eggs in 6 cases, as illustrated in Table 1. However, stool microscopy failed to identify any eggs in the rest of the cases. We are not sure if the color of the bloody or black stool can affect the detection of parasite eggs. In our stool examinations, there were 2 observations that require closer attention. First, the overall positivity rate was higher for a 3 specimen series than for a single specimen [5]. Moreover, some organisms, such as *Strongyloides* species, require more than 10 examinations as well as special procedures (Baermann technique and agar plate culture, for example), to ensure their final detection. Second, the responsibility for this categorization depends on detailed, communication between physicians and the laboratory to ensure that additional examinations of specimens are performed when needed. It has been reported that quantitative egg counts serve as an indirect measure of the adult-hookworm burden, in parallel to Hb levels [18].

The hookworms were almost always found in the proximal portion of the small intestine, that is, in the duodenum and the upper portion of the jejunum. Therefore, hookworm infections can also cause gastritis and duodenitis in some cases. Thus, repeated examinations of unexplained bleeding after endoscopy treatment are called for, and recurrent bleeding should be considered to be an indicator for continued vigilance for another diagnosis after a so-called “clear” diagnosis. Jejunal histologic examinations predominantly reveal eosinophilic inflammation, which is consistent with the histological changes in the small bowel under worm infestations. In the diagnostic process, we should focus on accompanying symptoms such as peptic ulcers without neglecting the primary disease.

In addition to the digestive tract symptoms caused by hookworms, other systemic diseases can arise. Four of the present cases had dermatitis. Cases with *A. duodenale* third-stage larvae experience a ground itch, a local popular rash and erythematous, accompanied by pruritus on the feet and hands. The skin becomes waxy and turns a sickly yellowish color due to the penetrating larvae [19]. When the hookworms’ third-stage larvae invade the skin, pass through the vasculature and enter the lungs, pneumonitis can result [18]. The oral ingestion of duodenal larvae can result in Wakana syndrome, which is characterized by nausea, vomiting, pharyngeal irritation, cough, dys-
pnea, and hoarseness. The chronic protein loss from patients with heavy hookworm infections can result in hypoproteinemia or anasarca [19].

In summary, there are sporadic case reports of hookworm infections in overt OGIB. CE and DBE are currently the preferred tests for the evaluation of a hookworm infection in the entire small intestine and should be part of the initial diagnosis in patients with overt OGIB. In our study, we found that most of these cases occurred in hookworm-endemic areas [14, 20]; the physician must overcome the tendency to ignore the symptoms of hookworms, lest he misdiagnose the patient. Specialy, combined multiple stool tests and multiple stool samplings can greatly improve the rate of successful hookworm diagnoses. As the testing algorithm is customized for the patient through the application of clinical judgment, good communication between doctors and patients is essential to prevent the need for more urgent treatments and lower the overall healthcare utilization and costs.
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