The Great Space Weather Event during 1872 February Recorded in East Asia
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Abstract

The study of historical great geomagnetic storms is crucial for assessing the possible risks to the technological infrastructure of a modern society, caused by extreme space–weather events. The normal benchmark has been the great geomagnetic storm of 1859 September, the so-called “Carrington Event.” However, there are numerous records of another great geomagnetic storm in 1872 February. This storm, which occurred about 12 years after the Carrington Event, resulted in comparable magnetic disturbances and auroral displays over large areas of the Earth. We have revisited this great geomagnetic storm in terms of the auroral and sunspot records in historical documents from East Asia. In particular, we have surveyed the auroral records from East Asia and estimated the equatorward boundary of the auroral oval to be near 24°2 invariant latitude, on the basis that the aurora was seen near the zenith at Shanghai (20° magnetic latitude, MLAT). These results confirm that this geomagnetic storm of 1872 February was as extreme as the Carrington Event, at least in terms of the equatorward motion of the auroral oval. Indeed, our results support the interpretation of the simultaneous auroral observations made at Bombay (10° MLAT). The East Asian auroral records have indicated extreme brightness, suggesting unusual precipitation of high-intensity, low-energy electrons during this geomagnetic storm. We have compared the duration of the East Asian auroral displays with magnetic observations in Bombay and found that the auroral displays occurred in the initial phase, main phase, and early recovery phase of the magnetic storm.
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1. Introduction

The results of recent studies provide a timely warning that great geomagnetic storms could be catastrophic for a modern technological society that is highly dependent on space-based systems for many of the essential services, such as communications, security monitoring, and space weather forecasts, and also reliant on an extensive electrical infrastructure (e.g., Baker et al. 2008; Happgood 2011; Pulkkinen et al. 2012, 2017; Ngwira et al. 2013; Oughton et al. 2016; Love 2018; Riley & Love 2017). Moreover, the study of historical extreme space–weather events is closely related to the goals of conducting spacecraft missions, such as the Solar Terrestrial Relations Observatory (Kaiser et al. 2008; Rouillard et al. 2009), the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite,15 and the Deep Space Climate Laboratory.16 Likewise, there is significant synergy between the investigation of historical extreme space–weather events and the goals of forthcoming spacecraft missions, such as the Parker Solar Probe (Fox et al. 2016), due for launch in the summer of 2018, and the Solar Orbiter (Müller et al. 2013), due for launch in 2020. The aim of all these missions is to obtain an improved understanding of the connection and coupling between the Sun and the heliosphere, with particular attention being directed toward the resulting effects on the Earth, including potentially catastrophic effects.

It is known that large sunspot groups may sometimes be associated with significant solar flares and CMEs that cause intense magnetic storms on the Earth (e.g., Vaquero & Vázquez 2009; Odenwald 2015; Usoskin 2017; Lockwood et al. 2018; Riley et al. 2018). It is believed that the Carrington storm in 1859 September is one of the largest magnetic storms ever recorded (e.g., Mayaud 1980; Tsurutani et al. 2003; Lakhina & Tsurutani 2016). Carrington (1859) and Hodgson (1859) observed a white-light flare in a large sunspot on 1859 September 1 and the resultant CMEs caused great auroral displays, which were visible even in areas of magnetic latitude (MLAT) as low as 20°–23° (Kimball 1960; Tsurutani et al. 2003; Cliver & Svalgaard 2004; Green & Boardsen 2006; Cliver & Dietrich 2013; Hayakawa et al. 2016; Moreno et al. 2016; Lakhina & Tsurutani 2016). This magnetic storm produced intense magnetic disturbances over much of the Earth, which resulted in a maximum negative intensity of ~1600 nT at Colaba (Tsurutani et al. 2003; Nevanlinna 2008;
Ribeiro et al. 2011; Cliver & Dietrich 2013; Kumar et al. 2015), and significant damage to the telegraph network (Boteler 2006; Cliver & Dietrich 2013).

Nevertheless, the Carrington storm is not the sole extreme magnetic storm recorded in recent human history. Chapman (1957a) listed some outstanding auroral displays at low MLAT, including a major storm in 1872, during the coverage of magnetic observations from the mid-19th century. Large magnetic storms identified both by magnetic observations and auroral observations have attracted significant interest and important investigations (e.g., Silverman 1995, 2006, 2008; Silverman & Cliver 2001; Nevanlinna 2004, 2006, 2008; Shikokawa et al. 2005; Vaquero et al. 2008; Cliver & Dietrich 2013; Viljanen et al. 2014; Lefèvre et al. 2016; Lockwood et al. 2016, 2018; Vennerstrom et al. 2016; Love et al. 2017; Riley et al. 2018), while recent studies have identified extreme magnetic storms before magnetic observations became available continuously with eyewitness auroral records (e.g., Willis et al. 1996b, 2005, 2007; Willis & Stephenson 2001; Ebihara et al. 2017; Hayakawa et al. 2017a, 2017b, 2017c, 2018).

It is noteworthy that another major storm occurred only one solar cycle after the Carrington storm of 1859. Cliver & Dietrich (2013) investigated historically outstanding magnetic storms and specifically considered large storms that occur once in 60–100 years. Curto et al. (2016) assumed the Carrington flare to be an X45 class flare and estimated its return period as 90 ± 60 years. The major storms of 1770 associated with extreme auroral brightness, are also consistent in terms of their return period with the Carrington storm (Willis et al. 1996b; Ebihara et al. 2017; Hayakawa et al. 2017a). Nevertheless, the major storm of 1872 is a salutary reminder that intense storms such as the Carrington storm may occur more frequently than originally thought.

Among these extreme magnetic storms, Chapman (1957a) and Silverman & Cliver (2001) suggested that the major storm in 1872 rivals the Carrington storm in 1859. Cliver & Svalgaard (2004) placed this event in the top rankings of magnetic disturbance at Greenwich, the aa index, and the equatorward extension of auroral visibility. Willis et al. (2007) surveyed auroral records in East Asia after the 1840s and compared them with magnetic observations. These authors suggested that the large magnetic storm on 1872 February 4 lasted until February 6. Silverman (2008) investigated the records of auroral observations on the same date from all over the world, and also evaluated the equatorward extension of the auroral visibility in terms of dipole coordinates of the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) in 1900. His research confirmed that this particular auroral display is comparable to the auroral display during the Carrington storm of 1859, in terms of its equatorward extension of visibility. As such, it can be regarded as the most equatorward aurora since the 1859 event. Silverman (2008) confirmed that this event at least competes with the Carrington event in terms of the equatorward extent of auroral visibility.

Therefore, we re-examined East Asian historical documents for this major storm, particularly records of naked-eye sunspot observations and auroral observations, including an auroral drawing from Japan. We attempted to reconstruct the equatorward extension of the auroral visibility and the auroral boundary in terms of contemporary dipole magnetic coordinates. We also consider the duration of magnetic disturbance, the color and brightness of the aurora in terms of the flux of high-intensity low-energy electrons, and contemporary sunspot activity—all in the context of analyzing this major magnetic storm.

2. Method and Source Documents

We examined contemporary historical documents in East Asia for records of sunspots and auroral displays in 1872 February, since East Asia was situated at relatively low magnetic latitude due to the location of the geomagnetic pole at that time. We surveyed the official histories and local treatises in China and diaries in Korea, in addition to diaries and chronicles in Japan during the month of 1872 February. In Japan, we obtained some diaries, chronicles, memoirs, and reports of contemporary interviews with intellectuals and scholars of the time. The source documents with records of sunspots or auroral displays are shown in Appendix A.1. We summarized the observational records in terms of their date, color, and observational time in Table 1.

We then considered the relevant observational sites. The official historical documents and local documents provided the observational details of where they were written and compiled, or otherwise endorsed (e.g., Beijing Observatory 1985; Stephenson 1997). Geographical coordinates of each observational site are provided in Table 1. Based on this information, we computed the magnetic latitude (hereafter, MLAT) of the observational sites, since it is known that the magnitude of magnetic storms is correlated with the equatorward boundaries of the auroral oval (e.g., Yokoyama et al. 1998). The magnetic north pole was computed for 1872 based on the historical magnetic field model GUFM 1 (Jackson et al. 2000).

For the sunspot record, we contextualize it in terms of longer-term solar activity. With regard to the auroral activity, the observational records were analyzed in terms of their equatorward extension, their color and brightness, and their duration in comparison with simultaneous magnetic observations.

3. Results

Within the contemporary historical documents archived in East Asia, we identified 1 sunspot record from China and 48 auroral records (7 from China, 4 from Korea, and 37 from Japan). The observational dates were converted to the luni-solar calendar and then to the Gregorian calendar based on the work of Wang et al. (2006) for Chinese dates and Uchida (1992) for Japanese dates. We also found one record of a naked-eye sunspot observation in a Chinese local treatise. The auroral records referred to the nights of February 4 to February 6. We provide their observational date, color, direction, observational time, geographical coordinate, and MLAT, together with their ID. Each ID refers to a historical document in the section for historical sources in the supplementary material (Table 1). The auroras were mostly red in color and typically appeared in a northerly direction; though they sometimes extended beyond the zenith (C1, C3, J11, and J12). The observational sites roughly spanned 30°–40° in geographical latitude, and were computed to span from 18°7 to 30°3 in MLAT.

4. Equatorward Extension of Auroral Display

It is known that the equatorward-most boundary of the auroral oval correlates with the magnitude of the minimum Dst
value of geomagnetic storms (e.g., Yokoyama et al. 1998). The magnetic latitude of each observational site in East Asia was calculated based on their geographical coordinates according to the historical magnetic field model GUFM1 (Jackson et al. 2000). Note that Willis et al. (2007) computed the magnetic latitude using the corrected geomagnetic coordinates of the DGRF/IGRF model for the year 1900 and Silverman (2008) computed it using the dipole coordinates of the same model in the year 1900. Accordingly, we computed the location of the magnetic north pole, for the dipole component of the geomagnetic field, as N 78°57′, E 292°38′. The MLAT of each observational site was then calculated based on the distance

### Table 1
Catalog of Observational Reports from East Asia

| ID | Y   | M  | D  | Color | Dir. | Start | End  | Lat.     | Long.    | MLAT  |
|----|-----|----|----|-------|------|-------|------|----------|----------|-------|
| C1 | 1872| 2  | 4  | R     | ne-sw| ...   | dawn | N37°53′ | E116°32′ | 26.5   |
| C2 | 1872| 2  | 4  | R     | nw   | 24:00 | sunrise | N32°34′ | E111°41′ | 21.0   |
| C3 | 1872| 2  | 5  | R, B  | ne-sw| 26:00 | ...   | N37°41′ | E116°16′ | 26.3   |
| C4 | 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 26:00 | ...   | N34°45′ | E113°41′ | 23.3   |
| C5 | 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 26:00 | ...   | N34°16′ | E108°57′ | 22.9   |
| C6 | 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 26:00 | ...   | N39°54′ | E116°26′ | 28.5   |
| C7 | 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 27:15 | ...   | N39°07′ | E117°12′ | 27.7   |
| C8 | 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | ...   | N36°00′ | E120°31′ | 18.7   |
| C9 | 1872| 2  | 4  | Pu   |     | 28:00 | ...   | N37°35′ | E126°59′ | 26.5   |
| C10| 1872| 2  | 4  | R/Bk | nw-ne| 22:00 | 27:00 | N35°32′ | E129°20′ | 24.5   |
| C11| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | ...   | N36°39′ | E128°27′ | 25.6   |
| C12| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | ...   | N36°57′ | E139°42′ | 25.6   |
| C13| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 27:00 | ...   | N35°22′ | E132°45′ | 24.5   |
| C14| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | ...   | N34°50′ | E136°52′ | 24.3   |
| C15| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | ...   | N36°19′ | E139°48′ | 26.0   |
| C16| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | ...   | N37°46′ | E139°01′ | 27.4   |
| C17| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | 30:00 | N34°16′ | E133°02′ | 23.5   |
| C18| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 26:00 | ...   | N37°41′ | E138°59′ | 27.3   |
| C19| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 26:00 | ...   | N40°18′ | E140°35′ | 30.0   |
| C20| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 22:00 | ...   | N33°38′ | E130°14′ | 22.7   |
| C21| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 22:00 | ...   | N37°38′ | E140°53′ | 26.8   |
| C22| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 26:00 | ...   | N38°38′ | E138°53′ | 27.2   |
| C23| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | ...   | N40°13′ | E140°47′ | 29.9   |
| C24| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 28:00 | ...   | N36°19′ | E139°48′ | 26.0   |
| C25| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 22:00 | ...   | N33°38′ | E130°56′ | 27.4   |
| C26| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | ...   | N35°11′ | E136°54′ | 24.6   |
| C27| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | ...   | N33°53′ | E130°52′ | 23.0   |
| C28| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 27:00 | ...   | N35°00′ | E135°45′ | 24.4   |
| C29| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | ...   | N34°54′ | E132°05′ | 24.0   |
| C30| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | ...   | N34°54′ | E132°05′ | 24.0   |
| C31| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 24:00 | ...   | N37°02′ | E140°23′ | 26.8   |
| C32| 1872| 2  | 4  | R     |     | 26:00 | ...   | N40°06′ | E140°00′ | 29.8   |

**Note.** Data are given with their record ID, date (year, month, and date), color, direction, time of start and end, geographical latitude and longitude, and magnetic latitude (MLAT). The original reference is shown in Appendix A for each record ID. Their direction is given as the eight points of the compass, unless otherwise endorsed. Their color is given as R (red), B (blue), Pu (purple), and Bk (black). The observational time is given as local time between 06:00 and 30:00 (06:00 on the following day). This system describes the observational time between midnight continuously with local time +24 h on the same date (e.g., 25:00 on February 4 for 01:00 on February 5).
from the magnetic north pole as shown in the section of the MLAT in Table 1.

Table 1 and Figure 1 show that the visibility of the auroral display in East Asia spanned the range of 18°7 to 30°3 in MLAT. The most equatorward observational site based on MLAT is Shàoxīng (C6: N30°00', E120°35'; 18°7 MLAT) on February 4, followed by Shanghai (C5: N31°14', E121°29'; 19°9 MLAT) during the same night. The Italian consulate in Shanghai reported an auroral display in the zenith: “the bright arc extended almost for 50° very close to the Zenith.” If this is correct, we can estimate the equatorward boundary of the auroral display as 24°2 invariant latitude (ILAT) on February 4. The ILAT has been used to identify the magnetic field line. ILAT is constant along a field line, whereas MLAT is dependent on the latitude (or the radial distance). On the ground, ILAT is equal to MLAT. We computed the ILAT according to the procedure of O’Brien et al. (1962), estimating the altitude of aurora as 400 km as in Ebihara et al. (2017).

This description and our resultant estimation are supported by the information related to the direction of the auroral displays, since some records indicate that auroral displays were seen not only in the northern sky but also in other regions of the sky. Chinese records show the auroral display extended even beyond the zenith “from northeast to southwest” on 1872 February 4 at Dōngguāng-xiàn (C1: N37°53', E116°32'; 26°5 MLAT) and on 1872 February 5 at Bēijīng-xiàn (C3: N37°41', E116°16'; 26°3 MLAT). Japanese records indicate that the auroral display illuminated the entire sky around Kosugaya (J11: N34°50', E136°52'; 24°3 MLAT) and extended “from north to south” at Hamada (J32: N34°54', E132°05'; 24°0 MLAT) on February 4. More importantly, we found that an auroral drawing was produced by Buddhists at Okazaki (J9: N34°57', E137°10'; 24°4 MLAT) as reproduced in Figure 2. This drawing has a caption stating “three bands of red vapor appeared in the western sky. Some rumored that Nagoya was in conflagration.” This record explicitly shows that the western sky was red and fiery with considerable brightness. In contrast, this drawing (Figure 2) shows a significantly inclined auroral display from north to east. We need to consider the reliability of this drawing, which was made according to hearsay. This drawing is included in Junkyo Eshi (Graphical History of Martyrdom) compiled by Tanaka Nagane (1849–1922), who came to the Shounji Temple and made the drawing in question by interviewing local people near Okazaki and Nishio, and completed the drawing in 1911. Therefore, it is thought that Tanaka Nagane painted this drawing according to hearsay and mistakenly reversed the direction of the auroral inclination.

Therefore, we consider that the equatorward auroral boundary should extend down to 24°2 ILAT (19°9 MLAT) and 29°6 ILAT (26°3 MLAT) in their maximum phases on 1872 February 4 and 5. The great auroral display was also seen on February 6 as well. Yamanaka Masao, a principal of a high school in Hiroshima, witnessed both of the auroral displays on 1872 February 6 and on 1909 September 25. He compared both of them and considered “this (on 1872 February 6) was much larger than the recent one (on 1909 September 25).” During this time, he was off the coast of Enshu (J8: N34°43', E137°44'; 24°2 MLAT) in the ship “Keiweru” from Kobe. Silverman (1995) investigated the great auroral display of 1909 September 25 and concluded that the auroral display was visible at least down to 30° MLAT. The description of J9, therefore, confirms that the equatorward extension of the auroral display on 1872 February 6, was also much more intense than that of 1909 September and hence is consistent with the results of recent studies (Silverman 1995, 2008; Cliver & Svalgaard 2004; Cliver & Dietrich 2013).

Assuming the equatorward-most auroral boundary, we attempted to scale the magnetic storms in term of their Dst values based on the equatorward extension of the auroral displays. By applying the formulae of Yokoyama et al. (1998)
for 24°2 ILAT (C5) of the overhead aurora, we estimate their Dst value as approximately −1900 nT. This value is comparable with the value obtained for the Carrington storm in 1859 September with its horizontal component at Colaba scaled −1600 nT (Tsurutani et al. 2003; Nevanlinna 2008; Kumar et al. 2015). It must be noted that this estimate for the Dst value is no more than an estimation due to the ambiguity of the equatorward extrapolation of the contemporary auroral oval, and the deviation of the models in Yokoyama et al. (1998).

5. Color and Brightness of Auroral Displays

The colors of these auroral displays are mostly reported as reddish and hence considered as type d aurora (see, Jones 1971). Notable exceptions are found in C3 (26°3 MLAT), C6 (18°7 MLAT), and K2 (26°5 MLAT) reported as “a star colored in indigo blue” which “disappeared after a while,” “celestial conflagration in purple,” and “purple vapor in the north.” If these “purple” and “indigo” displays show bluish aurora, they might indicate auroral displays at wavelength 427.8 nm (N2⁺ first negative band; Tinsley et al. 1984; Zhang et al. 2006).

The brightness of these auroral displays is frequently compared with conflagrations or large fires, as this description is peculiar to bright auroral displays in extreme space weather events. During the Carrington storm, a large number of observers in North America compared the brightness of the auroral display with conflagrations or large fires (e.g., Green et al. 2006; Odenwald 2007; Hayakawa et al. 2016).

An observer at Hamada (J4: N34°54′, E132°05′; 24°0 MLAT) recollected the brightness of the auroral display on February 4 as it “enabled us to count the trees in the mountains and forests.” This is considered to fall in the brightness of class IV of the International Brightness Coefficient (hereafter, IBC) that “provides a total illumination (at the ground) equivalent to full moonlight” (Chamberlain 1961, p.124) or “casts discernible shadows” (Keay 1990, p.378). The brightness is probably comparable to that witnessed on 1770 September 17, and the extremely bright aurora was probably caused by precipitation of high-intensity low-energy electrons (Ebihara et al. 2017).

6. Duration of These Auroral Displays in Comparison with Magnetic Observations

The ground magnetic field was recorded at Colaba, Bombay (Mayaud 1973), as reproduced in Figure 3. A sudden start occurred at 19:18 Local Mumbai Time (LMT = UT+4.85 hr) on February 4 as characterized by a sudden increase in the H-component of the magnetic field. After the sudden start, the H-component of the magnetic field started to decrease at ~20:00 LMT, and reached a minimum at ~22:30 LMT. The amplitude of the decrease in the H-component of the magnetic field from the pre-storm level is estimated to be about −830 nT. The minimum depression of the H-component of the magnetic field occurred in the midnight-evening sector. The H-component of the magnetic field started to recover at ~23:00 LMT. The aurora recorded in China and Japan approximately corresponds to the initial phase, the main phase, and the early recovery phase of the magnetic storm. This is consistent with modern observations of the aurora in Japan (Shiokawa et al. 2005).

During the storm’s main phase, the magnetic depression on the dusk side is known to be larger than on the dawn side (Cummings 1966; Fukushima & Kamide 1973), which is probably due to the asymmetric distribution of the energy density of the hot ions in the inner magnetosphere (a major carrier of the storm-time ring current; Ebihara et al. 2002). The magnetic disturbances on the dawn side are expected to be lower than on the dusk side (Colaba). Since the Dst value is derived by averaging the H-component disturbances observed by four stations at low latitudes, the amplitude of the Dst variation is probably smaller than that of the magnetic disturbance at dusk. It is speculated that the corresponding minimum Dst is probably larger than −830 nT. This may indicate that the Dst value derived from the equatorward-most auroral boundary is significantly overestimated.
The amplitude of the sudden commencement (SC) that occurred at 19:18 is estimated to be \( \sim 70 \text{ nT} \) by visual inspection. Araki (2014) surveyed the sudden commencements recorded at Colaba and Alibag from 1871 to 1967. According to Araki (2014), the amplitude of the SC is classified as being extreme in terms of occurrence frequency. The amplitude of the sudden commencement \( \Delta H \) is related to the solar wind dynamic pressure as \( \Delta H = C \Delta P^{1/2} \), where \( \Delta P \) is the jump of the solar wind dynamic pressure, and \( C = 15 \text{ nT/} \text{nPa}^{0.5} \) (Araki 2014). Substituting \( \Delta H \) of 70 nT, we estimate the jump of the solar wind dynamic pressure to be 22 nPa. The solar wind dynamic pressure is given by \( P = mnV^2 \), where \( m \) is the mass of the solar wind (1.16 times proton mass), \( n \) is the density of the solar wind, and \( V \) is the solar wind speed. In order to achieve the solar wind dynamic pressure of 22 nPa, the solar wind speed is estimated to be 3350, 1059, 335 km s\(^{-1} \) for solar wind densities of 1, 10, and 100 cm\(^{-3} \), respectively.

7. Comparison with Auroral Records in Other Sectors

The global extent of auroral displays other than in East Asia is intriguing. Chapman (1957b) introduced an article in the Indian newspaper (The Times of India, 1872-02-06, p.2) in which he reported an auroral observation at Bombay (N18°56', E072°50'; 10°0 MLAT) and Aden. The newspaper in question states “After sunset on Sunday, the Aurora was slightly visible, and constantly kept changing color, becoming deep violet, when it was most intense—about three o’clock on Monday morning. It was visible until sunrise on Monday.” This auroral observation at Bombay has been considered to be too equatorward, even in comparison with other Indian observational sites (e.g., Silverman 2008). However, the equatorward extension of the auroral display in the East Asian sector suggests that this is not impossible. If the observer (who is situated at 10°0 MLAT) saw an auroral display within the elevation angle of 10° or 15° from the poleward horizon, the equatorward boundary of the auroral oval at 400 km altitude would be calculated as 26°0 ILAT (22°1 MLAT) or 24°0 ILAT (19°7 MLAT), respectively. Note that a dipole magnetic field line is assumed in this calculation. This is consistent with the equatorward extension of auroral display in the East Asian sector at 24°2 ILAT (19°9 MLAT), estimated from C5.

The observations in the southern hemisphere also help us to consider the equatorward auroral extension. Meldrum (1872) reports the aurora australis seen at Mauritius (S20°10', E57°31'; −26°3 MLAT) during the night of 1872 February 4. At approximately 23:00–23:20, he reports “Almost from the extreme left to the extreme right, and from as low down as I could see up to meridional altitude of about 72°, the sky was furrowed with alternate white and dark bands, all of which, so
far as I could judge, were parallel to each other and to the magnetic meridian” (Meldrum 1872, pp.392). If the same auroral height of 400 km is assumed, the equatorward boundary of the auroral oval in the Indian Ocean sector is calculated to be at least $-27.4$ MLAT ($-30.6$ ILAT) at its maximum. Its absolute value ($30.6$ ILAT) is probably not too different from the value of the equatorward extension of the auroral oval in the East Asian sector. This difference may be partially attributed to the different time, and the different local time of these observations. The aurora appeared throughout the entire sky around Iwatsuki (J12) post-midnight, whereas the aurora appeared at the meridional altitude of about $72^\circ$ at Mauritius pre-midnight. It is expected that the aurora extended equatorward most in the Japan sector near midnight, and that the aurora moved poleward in the Mauritius sector near midnight. Mauritius is located about $80^\circ$ westward of Iwatsuki (J12). If the aurora was observed at Mauritius and Iwatsuki simultaneously, the latitudinal difference of the auroral oval could be attributed to the spatial difference of the auroral oval, which extended equatorward compared to earlier local times.

8. Contemporary Solar Activity

The major storm in 1872 February occurred in the declining phase of Solar Cycle 11 after its maximum in 1870 (Clette et al. 2014; Svalgaard & Schatten 2016; Vaquero et al. 2016). This temporal evolution is also confirmed by the normalized sunspot area series between 1832 and 2008 as shown in Figure 1 of Carrasco et al. (2016). Figure 4 shows the monthly and daily sunspot number obtained from SILSO (Clette et al. 2014) as a function of date and location of this major storm in time. Figure 4 indicates that the sunspot number started to decline from its maximum in 1870 May with a small peak in 1872 February.

In fact, a Chinese local intellectual reports a naked-eye sunspot observation at Guăngn (N30°28', E106°38') on 1872 February 9. There have been no previously reported naked-eye sunspot records for these days (Yau & Stephenson 1988; Willis et al. 1996a; Vaquero & Vázquez 2009). This sunspot record (C0: Guăngn-zhōuzhì, v.13, f.5a) is shown in Figure 5 with a transcription and translation included in Appendix B. The date of the event is converted to 1872 February 9, where a considerably large number of sunspots were visible, with a few major sunspot groups on the right part of the Sun image. This might allow us to speculate that a large flare event could have happened a few days before the storm, due to the high activity of those Sun regions, when the sunspot groups were still on the left side of the Sun image according to the Sun rotation. Additional studies on the evolution of sunspots during that period (i.e., from the end of 1872 January to the first half of 1872 February) requires extra material from further European sources, which are not included here, since it is outside the scope of this paper.

As mentioned above, although this unaided–eye sunspot observation occurred after the storm of 1872 February 4–February 6, it might still be connected with the events probably being present on the solar disk before the storm. However, other sunspot groups could have disappeared before the abovementioned ones moved close to the Sun center. This second assumption can be supported by occidental reports, which confirmed that large sunspots were seen in early 1872 February. Meldrum (1872, pp.392) reported “On Friday a chain of spots stretched over nearly the whole of the Sun’s disc, and a large group occupied another part of it. On Monday, the chain had disappeared.” Considering that this record is given in the context of the contemporary auroral display on February 4, it might mean another extended active region appeared on Friday February 2 and disappeared on Monday February 5, before the large active region on February 9.

At the very least, it is well known that sunspot number is highly correlated with sunspot area in the same period (Hathaway et al. 2002) and hence naked-eye sunspot observations are reported more in the active phase of the sunspot cycle (Heath 1994). Therefore, we can securely conclude that the
solar activity in early 1872 February was considerably enhanced.

9. Conclusion

In this article, we have investigated significant solar activity and a severe magnetic storm that occurred in 1872 February, as observed mainly in East Asia. The East Asian historical records identified a naked-eye sunspot observation during the active phase of the solar activity in 1872 February. These East Asian historical documents revealed an auroral display that was visible down to Shàoxīng (18°.7 MLAT) with its equatorward boundary at 24°.2 ILAT (19°.9 MLAT). The equatorward boundary of the auroral oval suggested that the auroral observation in Bombay (10°.0 MLAT) was probably valid within an elevation angle of 14°. We therefore confirm and establish that this event rivaled or even possibly surpassed the Carrington storm in 1859 September, not only in terms of equatorward extension of auroral visibility (Chapman 1957a; Cliver & Svalgaard 2004; Silverman 2008), but also in terms of the equatorward extension of the auroral oval itself reconstructed from the contemporary records with information of elevation angle. These auroral records also assisted in elucidating the extreme brightness caused by the unusual precipitation of high-intensity low-energy electrons in 1872 February. Moreover, the auroral observations corresponded with the initial phase, main phase, and the early recovery phase of the magnetic storm recorded by magnetic observations in Bombay. The amplitude of the magnetic disturbance in Bombay was about 830 nT. Therefore, we can reasonably consider that the great solar–terrestrial storm in 1872 February was certainly one of the most extreme solar–terrestrial storms in terms of its equatorward aural extension, indeed competing with or even possibly surpassing the Carrington storm in 1859.

The auroral activity in the East Asian sector shows us these solar–terrestrial storms are worth consideration in other sectors in terms of equatorward extension of the auroral oval, comparison with magnetic records, and analyses of contemporary sunspot active regions. These topics should be discussed elsewhere, especially as the structure of sunspot regions and their formation processes are highly related to the productivity of flare eruptions (Zirin & Liggett 1987; Sammis et al. 2000; Toriumi et al. 2017; Toriumi & Takasao 2017).
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Appendix B

Transcription and Translation of the Naked-eye Sunspot Record in C0

Transcription: 同治 … 十一年正月初日鳥出其光如環中皆黑色遂退
Translation: On the first of the first month of 11th year (Feb. 9, 1872), a crow was in the sun. Its light was as if everything in the ring was black. It finally disappeared.

Appendix C

Transcription and Translation of the Caption of the Auroral Drawing (J9; Figure 2)

Transcription: 十二月二十八日之夜半, 乃西之空有三箇之赤氣而立, 或名月紅, 色大於火, 烈張張美濃, 但京都而火而消。宛可日太政官, 绪因取之罪名而到達, 或是之従者而後得被訴。
Translation: At 24:00 of 12th month 26th day (Feb. 4, 1872), three bands of red vapor appeared in the western sky. Some rumored Nagoya was in conflagration, and others in Owari and Mino rumored Kyoto was in conflagration. On this date, we received a decision letter of culpability from the Grand Council. Some rumored this phenomenon was an omen of this event.
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