Activation of Angiogenesis Differs Strongly Between Pulmonary Carcinoids and Neuroendocrine Carinomas and Is Crucial for Carcinoid Tumourgenesis
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Abstract

**Background:** Lung cancer still remains the leading cause of cancer for men after prostate cancer and breast cancer for women. Angiogenesis is considered a major microenvironment modifier. **Material and Methods:** Demographic data and study design; The study is based on a collective of twenty representative specimens of each tumour entity (Typical Carcinoid, Atypical Carcinoid, Large-Cell Neuroendocrine Carcinoma, Small Cell Lung Cancer) for mRNA expression analysis. The following methods were performed: RNA Extraction and RNA Integrity Assessment, NanoString CodeSet Design and Expression Quantification, NanoString Data Processing and Statistical Analysis. **Results:** KDR rendered significant association to aggressiveness of the tumour and decreases with increasing malignancy (p=0.049). A decreased expression of HIF1A and KDR mRNA as associated with a higher risk of tumour invasion in vessels (HIF1A: p=0.034; KDR: p=0.029). FIGF and HIF1A expression levels are significantly associated with progression-free survival (FIGF: p= 0.021; HIF1A: p= 0.049). CRHR2 and FLT4 are stronger expressed in female than in male patients (CRHR2: p=0.024, FLT4: p=0.004). FIGF expression is still significant between LCNEC and SCLC (p=0.023). FLT4 and KDR show highly significant association to one of the analysed groups (FLT4: p=0.001; KDR: p=0.006). Additionally, HIF1A expression differs significantly between these focus cohorts (p=0.018). **Conclusion:** We should consider for clinical practice application which factors affect most the tumour growth and distal metastasis, thereafter investigate easy to administer drugs with low side effects. Probably a cluster system of therapy should be established where a drug targets simultaneously different pathways of the same origin.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide with a poor five-year survival prognosis of approximately 15% and accounts for more deaths than the next four lethal cancer types in sum [1-3]. Twenty-five percent of all lung tumours belong to the group of neuroendocrine tu-
mours [4]. These pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours encompass the small-cell lung carcinoma (SCLC), large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (LCNEC), as well as the typical (TC) and atypical carcinoid (AC) [5]. Nevertheless the incidence of pulmonary carcinoids have increased over the past 30 years, their combined incidence in 2003 was just 1.57 per 100,000 inhabitants in the USA [6]. Patients suffering from typical carcinoids have excellent survival rates with 87-100%, but some present with lymph node metastasis (4-15%) [7-16]. Atypical carcinoids are more aggressive and show higher frequency of nodal metastasis (35-64%). The survival rate decreases to 61-88% [9-15, 17]. TC and AC patients mean age is 45-55 years [16].

LCNEC is an entity that was recognized for the first time only about one decade ago. On the one hand, LCNEC belongs to the non-SCLC tumours according to the WHO classification from 1999, but on the other hand it shows similar progression as SCLC with a five-year survival of 15% up to 57% depending on the reporting source [4, 9]. Up to 20% of all lung cancer incidences are SCLC cases, showing clear neuroendocrine features [4], and metastasize early [5, 9, 16]. Ninety-five percent of all small cell carcinomas (SCC) are found in the lung whereas non-pulmonary SCC are very rare [4]. The five-year survival for SCLC is <5% [4]. Even when diagnosed at an early stage, SCLC and LCNEC show the poorest clinical outcome compared to other lung tumours due to the aggressiveness of these cancer types, but still the molecular characteristics of these tumours remain largely unknown [5]. They grow very rapidly and occur almost exclusively in patients with a history of smoking, whereas lung carcinoids occur frequently in never smokers and younger patients [6, 8, 16, 18].

The diagnostic discrimination on morphological grounds of LCNEC versus atypical carcinomas and SCLCs is difficult due to overlapping features, so the diagnosis by immunohistochemical staining is a routine tool for differential diagnosis of neuroendocrine tumours. As with all subjective imaging methods these techniques are prone to significant intra- and interobserver variability reducing the reproducibility and reliability of this methodology [4, 9, 19, 20]. Furthermore, LCNEC and SCLC differentiation and TC and AC differentiation, respectively, by IHC is hindered by their related biological characteristics leading to false identification [4, 5, 9]. Only a 40% unanimous agreement among five pathologists diagnosing LCNEC was accomplished in a reproducibility study by Travis et al. [21]. Furthermore, in an interobserver variability study 12% unanimous diagnosis were made within nine pathologists [22].

Angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis are important characteristics of aggressive tumours, resulting in metastasis [44, 45]. Yu et al. reported that increased expression of VEGFA and KDR (VEGFR-2) correlated with progression of the tumour [44]. Furthermore, they also identified HIF1A, a transcription factor that regulates genes that respond to hypoxia, to be overexpressed in lung cancer. They proposed that this might be due to the hypoxia environment of a tumour and that this might trigger angiogenesis [44]. FGFR is an activator of KDR and FLT4 (VEGFR-3) and induces angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis [45].

Material and Methods

Demographic data and study design

The study is based on a collective of twenty representative specimens of each tumour entity (TC, AC, LCNEC, SCLC) for mRNA expression analysis. The initial diagnosis was re-evaluated by two experienced pathologists (JWO, THA). Inclusion criteria were sufficient tumour material and minimum of contamination by lymphocytic and stromal cells of the FFPE tissue. Specimens were collected at the Department of Pathology and Neuropathology at the University Hospital Essen, Germany from 2005 till 2012. TNM-staging was based on the WHO Classific a tion Of Tumours guidelines (2004) [18]. The study was conducted retrospectively for the identification of biomarkers to distinguish different types of pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours. Patients that received chemotherapy before resection of tumour tissue specimens were excluded. The study was approved by the ethical committee of the University Hospital Essen (ID: 13-5382-BO). The investigation confirm to the principles outlined in the declaration of Helsinki.

RNA Extraction and RNA Integrity Assessment

Three to five paraffin sections with a thickness of 4 µm per sample were deparaffinised with xylene prior to RNA extraction using the RNeasy FFPE kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. RNA concentration was measured using a Nanodrop 1000 instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). RNA integrity was assessed using an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA) at the NanoString nCounter Core Facility at the University of Heidelberg, Germany. Smear analysis was performed using the Agilent 2100 expert software to determine the proportion of RNA ≥300 nucleotides (nt) within a given sample.

NanoString CodeSet Design and Expression Quantification

Important genes for different tumour-associated
signalling pathways and neuroendocrine differentiation were included in the CodeSet. The CodeSet was designed to contain a total of 91 genes with different signature genes for each subgroup. The investigated genes of the corresponding angiogenesis pathway contain CRHR2, FIGF, FLT4, HIF1A, KDR and MMP3. Three reference genes (ACTB, GAPDH, and HPRT1) were also included in the CodeSet for biological normalization purposes. An overview of all genes and their functions in an angiogenetic context is shown in Fig. 1.

Probe sets for each gene in the CodeSet were designed and synthesized at NanoString Technologies, Seattle, USA. Total RNA (100ng) including miRNA from FFPE material was measured at the NanoString nCounter Core Facility at the University of Heidelberg, Germany.

**NanoString Data Processing and Statistical Analysis**

Raw NanoString counts for each gene were subjected to a technical normalization considering the counts obtained for positive control probe sets. After the technical normalization, a biological normalization using the three reference genes included in the CodeSet was performed.

All statistical analyses were performed with the R statistical programming environment (v2.15.2). For dichotomous factors such as gender and expression level the Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney rank sum test was applied. For variables with more than two categories the Kruskal-Wallis test was performed. Correlations between gene expression of tested genes and correlations between gene expression and TNM-criteria were analysed by Spearman’s rank correlation test. Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed to test associations between gene expression and overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS). PFS was calculated from the first day of platinum-based chemotherapy until progression, death from any cause, or the last time of follow up without progression. OS was defined as the time between the start of platinum-based chemotherapy until the date of death, or last follow-up. Patients were censored at the last follow-up if still alive or lost to follow-up. Surveillance of PFS and OS was stopped on July 31, 2012. Significant differences in PFS or OS between groups were verified by the Wald-test, likelihood-ratio test and Score (logrank) test.

The level of statistical significance was defined as p≤0.05.

**Results**

The mean age at date of diagnosis was 58.58 years (median age: 58.99 years; 95% CI: 50.79-66.92 months). Survival data was available for 34 patients with eight reported deaths at the time of data collection. Data are summarized in Figs. 2-4.

**Overall Collective**

In the overall collective, FIGF and FLT4 gene expression significantly differs between the investigated entities of neuroendocrine tumours (FIGF: p=0.0096, FLT4: 0.0054). FIGF just shows expression in TC as well as in LCNEC. Expression of FLT4 decreases from TC to SCLC and therefore also shows significant indirect correlation to pathological tumour grade (p=0.0025). Also KDR rendered significant association to aggressiveness of the tumour and decreases with increasing malignancy (p=0.049).

A decreased expression of HIF1A and KDR mRNA as associated with a higher risk of tumour invasion in vessels (HIF1A: p=0.034; KDR: p=0.029).

CRHR2 mRNA expression leading to two separate groups of tumours, thereby high CRHR2 mRNA levels predict dramatically shortened overall survival time in pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours (p=0.040). Furthermore, FIGF and HIF1A expression levels are significantly associated with progression-free survival (FIGF: p=0.021; HIF1A: p=0.049).

CRHR2 and FLT4 are stronger expressed in female than in male patients (CRHR2: p=0.024, FLT4: p=0.004).

**Low-Grade Pulmonary Neuroendocrine Tumours (carcinoids)**

As FIGF is just expressed in TC and not in AC, FIGF is a highly specific marker to differentiated TC from AC (p=0.003). Within the carcinoid group of tumours, MMP3 is just expressed in G2 and not in G1 tumours (p=0.019).

Like in the overall collective, FLT4 is much higher expressed in women than in men (p=0.006).

**High-Grade Pulmonary Neuroendocrine Tumours (carcinomas)**

Nevertheless FIGF mRNA expression is not remarkable in this two entities of high-grade tumours, FIGF expression is still significant between LCNEC and SCLC (p=0.023).

**LG Versus HG Pulmonary Neuroendocrine Tumours**

Between low-grade and high-grade neuroendocrine tumours of the lung, FLT4 and KDR show highly significant association to one of the analysed groups (FLT4: p=0.001; KDR: p=0.006).

Additionally, HIF1A expression differs significantly between these focus cohorts (p=0.018).
Figure 1. TC; typical carcinoid, AC; atypical carcinoid, sclc; small cell lung cancer, LCLC; large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma, FLT4; Fms-related tyrosine kinase 4, FIGF; C-fos-induced growth factor.

Figure 2. FIGF; C-fos-induced growth factor, HIF1A; Hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha.
Discussion

Tumourigenesis and cancer proliferation has been known to be closely associated with angiogenesis in lung cancer [23]. The following pathways have been identified to influence tumour angiogenesis; a) vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), b) vascular endothelial growth factor-A, c) interleukin 1β and d) tumour transforming factor (TGF)-1β [24]. The leucocyte and platelet counts have been correlated with the previous factors and clinical outcomes [24]. Currently several imaging techniques have been investigated to demonstrate the angiogenesis level within tumours as an addition to the investigation of several serum circulating factors [25]. These techniques have been found to be useful both at the preclinical and the clinical setting. In squamous cell carcinoma angiogenesis and mast cell density where positively corre-
lated with each other. Microvessel density (MVD) was also associated with decreased survival, implicating that in squamous cell carcinoma anti-angiogenic treatment should be included, however; with novel compounds [26]. The polymorphisms of Hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF1A), have been associated with prognosis of early stage non-small-cell lung cancer patients after surgery [27]. The HIF1A was observed to be attenuated by carbon ion radiotherapy, enhancing the therapeutic efficiency of treatment [28]. The main antiangiogenic agent in the first line is bevacizumab, however; recently completed studies have investigated cediranib, sorafenib, motesanib, axitinib and the vascular disrupting agent vadimezan [29]. To date no agents have been approved for the treatment of relapsed/refractory non-small cell lung cancer. There have been several studies targeting the angiogenesis for lung cancer with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) (nintedanib, vandetanib, sorafenib, sunitinib), however; without any benefit for overall survival [30-32]. It has been observed that vascular endothelial growth factor receptors-TKIs also inhibit other molecular pathways such as; platelet derived growth factor (PDGFR) and proto-oncogene c-Kit (KIT) [33]. Nintedanib is known to target fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) and therefore increased efficacy has been observed compared to other TKIs. Moreover; nintedanib has been observed to target proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase (Src) and cluster of differentiation antigen 135 (CD135) [34]. Furthermore; ramucirumab, cediranib, pazopanib and linifanib have been recently investigated. However; the benefit could not overtake the benefit of nintedanib (triple kinase inhibitor) when added to docetaxel as a second line treatment of patients with adenocarcinoma [35]. The FUS-interacting serine-arginine-rich protein 1 (FUS1) and interleukin-12 have been targeted with a cationic liposome plasmid targeted these two factors. The results indicated an inhibition of VEGF, VEGFR and upregulation of C-X-C motif chemokine 10 (CXCL10) also known as Interferon gamma-induced protein 10 (IP-10). In this case anti-angiogenesis and immunomodulation was induced as anticancer treatment [36]. Again, in another gene therapy investigation another approach towards inhibition of angiogenesis and metastasis with the tumour-specific delivery of histidine-rich glycoprotein was performed with success [37]. Another novel inhibitor that has been investigated is sanguinarine. It has the ability decrease the secretion of VEGF and simultaneously decrease the expression of human microvascular endothelial cells (HMVECs). In another method of gene therapy two novel nucleolin aptamer-siRNA chimeras (apt-NCL-SLUGsiR and aptNCL-NRP1siR) were used to block angiogenesis and distal metastasis [38]. Through suppression of SLUG and NRP1 the chimeras synergistically reduced cancer cell motility and invasive ability. Additionally, circulating tumour cells and tumour growth were suppressed. Sanguinarine was also observed to inhibit VEGF-mediated Akt, p38 activation and VE-cadherin protein phosphorylation [39]. Another dietary supplement the plant phospholipid/lipid conjugate (pPLC) was used as a water soluble formulation and it was observed to reduce by 30% tumour growth in vivo. The pPLC contains antioxidants that also enhanced the expression of hypoxia-related genes pentraxin-3 and metallothionein-3 [40]. Furthermore; the following pathways; a: STAT1 and STAT3 have been investigated as possible targets for blocking angiogenesis. Indeed, STAT1 was blocked with the use of interleukin-27 (IL-27) which also inhibited the epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human non-small cell lung cancer [41]. However; IL-27 had no effect on STAT3 blockage [41]. The STAT3 pathway was observed to be efficiently blocked with dimethylaminoparhenolide (DMAPT) which is a water soluble parthenolide [42]. It was also observed that the drug was more effective against large tumours more >2cm [42]. Another novel drug the DPMA a deoxypodophyllotoxin derivative exhibited superior cytotoxicity compared with etoposide. This agent exhibited anti-proliferative activity and induced apoptosis in lung cancer cells in a dose and time dependent manner [43]. DPMA also inhibits microtubule formation and induces expression of p53, cleavage caspace-3, Bax, Bcl-2 and reactive oxidative stress proteins (ROS) [43]. In our study we investigated the effect of several factors (Corticotropin releasing hormone receptor 2 (CRHR2), Fms-related tyrosine kinase 4, -fos-induced growth factor (FIGF), tC-fos-induced growth factor (FIGF), Kinase insert domain receptor (KDR) and Hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF1A)) the environment modulation of carcinoids giving emphasis to angiogenesis. Recently an association between IL-6 levels and non-small adenocarcinoma transformation to small-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma was made with the additional help of p38 MAPK [44]. Novel targets blocking the expression of interleukins are already on the market and others are being tested [44, 45]. These targets should be diffused efficiently within the environment of a solid tumor as in the case of lung cancer. In specific, the following factors should be considered when designing a drug; extracellular matrix, passive transportation or active transportation and method of application (local therapy or systemic) [46-48].

Conclusion

The microenvironment is known not only to in-
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duce tumourigenesis, but also to modulate cancer types [44]. We should consider for clinical practice application which factors affect most the tumour growth and distal metastasis, thereafter investigate easy to administer drugs with low side effects. Probably a cluster system of therapy should be established where a drug targets simultaneously different pathways of the same origin.
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