A systematic review and meta-analysis of thymic mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma
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Background: Mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma of the thymus is a rare disease. The present meta-analysis aims at accumulating current evidence to explore the clinical characteristics, treatments, and prognoses of thymic MALT lymphoma.

Methods: We searched seven databases for studies published between the start date of database establishment and September 15, 2021. We included studies of patients with histological diagnoses and excluded those without data specifically on thymic MALT lymphoma. The quality was analyzed using an assessment tool. All data were tabulated. Pooled proportion was obtained using random-effects model. Statistical analysis was performed on R statistic software.

Results: Overall, 52 case reports and 13 case series were eligible. The quality of case reports was inferior to that of case series in terms of selection (P<0.001). Based on the analysis of patients in the case reports, age, gender, concurrent diseases, and tumor size did not differ between limited-stage and advanced-stage cases. Surgery is the mainstay to treat thymic MALT lymphoma. The surgical approach and extent did not influence the occurrence of events. Patients at Ann Arbor stage I were prone to not receiving postoperative therapy (P=0.011), though it may not reduce the occurrence of events (P=0.637). The five-year overall survival (OS) rate and five-year progression-free survival (PFS) rate were 97.2% and 88.4%, respectively. Patients with advanced-stage disease were more likely to suffer events (P=0.009).

Conclusions: Thymic MALT lymphoma is an extremely rare disease with a favorable prognosis. Currently available evidence is insufficient to draw solid judgments about treatment and prognosis. However, patients may benefit if thymectomy is chosen as the primary treatment. In some patients, lymph node sampling or dissection should be considered. In addition, if the patient is at an advanced-stage, postoperative therapy should be considered.
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Introduction

Mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma is a low-grade B cell lymphoma that normally occurs in the gastrointestinal tract (50%), lung (14%), and head and neck (14%) region (1,2). The prevalence of MALT lymphoma originating from the thymus is much lower than that from the organs mentioned earlier. Thymic MALT lymphoma is so rare that most studies on it are case reports or series, whose emphasis is mainly on the pathological features or molecular findings of the tumors. Given that, treatments for thymic MALT lymphoma are primarily based on the clinician's experience. Surgery is widely considered as a reasonable option, but surgical approaches and surgical extent have not reached a consensus. In addition, the application of radiotherapy and systemic therapy also varies from patient to patient.

In this meta-analysis and systematic review, we aimed to accumulate existing evidence to learn the clinical characteristics, management, and follow-up in case of patients with thymic MALT lymphoma. We designed this study according to MOOSE guidelines (3) and present the following article in accordance with the MOOSE reporting checklist (available at https://jtd.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/jtd-22-81/rc).

Methods

Study design

Considering the rarity of the disease, we included all types of studies (controlled studies, observational studies, case series and case reports) that provided information on patients histologically diagnosed with thymic MALT lymphoma. Studies in which thymic MALT lymphoma patients were analyzed with other diseases (such as other thymic lymphomas or cystic thymic lesions) as a whole were excluded, due to the unavailability of information specific to each case or aggregated data specific to thymic MALT lymphoma. We also excluded review articles, conference abstracts, and studies on animals or cell lines. There were no restrictions on the range of interventions, which included diagnostic procedures such as biopsies and treatments including drug therapy, radiotherapy and surgery, or year of publication. We did not set language restrictions while searching the literature to ensure that study inclusion was as comprehensive as possible. However, when selecting eligible studies by reviewing abstracts or full texts, we only included publications that were accessible to the authors based on their languages (Chinese and English).

Literature selection and data collection

The literature search was conducted on 15 September 2021 from seven databases (PubMed, Scopus, Embase, Cochrane Library, ClinicalTrials.gov, NCBI, and SinoMed). The search strategy was mainly as follows: (“mucosa associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma” OR “MAL T” OR “marginal zone B cell lymphoma”) AND (“thymic” OR “thymus”). It should be noted that search terms were adjusted slightly from database to database, for example, in PubMed, we replaced (“thymic” OR “thymus”) with (“thym*”) to include more publications. Besides, we reviewed conference lists of obtained articles to prevent missed records. All records were imported into EndNote X7.8 to remove duplicates. Two investigators (ZMX, CYY) independently reviewed the titles and abstracts of all records to assess their eligibility. When inconsistencies occurred, consensus was obtained by discussion. If necessary, a third investigator (HC) was consulted to make the final decision. Two other investigators (ZMX, BWL) independently screened the full-text articles that were presumed eligible. In case of inconsistencies, consensus was obtained through discussion. If necessary, a third investigator (LHS) was consulted to make final decision.

The following data were expected to be retrieved from publications: gender, age, comorbidities with autoimmune disease and hyperglobulinemia, Ann Arbor Stage, tumor size, interventions, follow-up period, and events that occurred during follow-up. Events included tumor relapse, progression, metastasis, and all-cause death. When an event occurrence was reported, the case would be recorded as “eventful”. If no event was reported at the last follow-up, the case would be recorded as “uneventful”. Two investigators (ZMX, ZJQ) extracted data and completed a designed data extraction form. Two forms were compared, and discrepancies were resolved by discussion.

Quality assessment

We used the assessment tool proposed by Murad et al. (4) to evaluate the methodological quality of the articles because all included articles were case reports/series. The tool assessed four domains of included articles, such as the selection of patients, the ascertainment of exposure (translated to specific diagnosis and treatment documents) and outcome, follow-up (longer than six months), and
reporting (giving the reason of treatment or providing an insight into knowing the disease). The ascertainment of exposure and outcome was evaluated separately as two parts. Every published article was assessed based on five aspects (patient selection, exposure, outcome, follow-up, and reporting), and each part was assigned a score of 1 if it satisfied the definition.

**Statistical analysis**

Data extracted from case reports and case series were presented in two tables. Comparative quantitative analysis was performed between limited-stage (Ann Arbor Stage I–II) and advanced-stage (Ann Arbor Stage III–IV) and between eventful and uneventful patients in terms of age, gender, concurrent diseases, tumor size, lymph node invasion or involvement of other organs, and treatment. Student’s t-test was applied to continuous variables, and the Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test was applied to categorical variables. Probability values that were less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. Survival analysis was performed on survival R package (version 3.2-10) and Kaplan-Meier plots were generated using survminer package (version 0.4.9). Random-effects model was used to pool proportion of case series (4). Statistical analysis was performed on R statistic software (version 3.6.3, R foundation).

**Results**

**Search results**

Our initial search identified 539 articles and 11 registered studies. Ultimately, 65 articles were eligible, including 52 case reports (articles that reported information about patients respectively) and 13 case series (articles that aggregated data of patients) (5). The selected procedure is depicted in Figure 1.

The quality assessment outcomes of these studies are listed in Table 1 and Table 2. Case reports were inferior to case series in terms of patient selection (P<0.001).
**Table 1** Quality assessment of case reports

| Reference (first author, year) | Selection | Exposure | Outcome | Follow-up | Reporting | Overall |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Arai, 2017 (6)                | 0         | 1        | 1       | 0         | 0         | 2       |
| Braham, 2009 (7)              | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 0         | 3       |
| Chang, 2012 (8)               | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 0         | 3       |
| Chen, 2014 (9)                | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Chen, 2020 (10)               | 0         | 1        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 1       |
| Covelli, 2012 (11)            | 0         | 1        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 2       |
| Di Loreto, 1996 (12)          | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 0         | 3       |
| Fujimoto, 2012 (13)           | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Go, 2011 (14)                 | 1         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 5       |
| Harigae, 2002 (15)            | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 0         | 3       |
| Hirokawa, 2019 (16)           | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Hu, 2017 (17)                 | 0         | 1        | 1       | 0         | 0         | 2       |
| Isaacson, 1990 (18)           | 0         | 1        | 1       | 0†        | 0†        | 2       |
| Kamimura, 2002 (19)           | 0         | 1        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 2       |
| Kang, 2013 (20)               | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Kim, 1998 (21)                | 0         | 1        | 1       | 0         | 1         | 3       |
| Kim, 2003 (22)                | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 0         | 3       |
| Kinoshita, 2008 (23)          | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 0         | 3       |
| Kitai, 2009 (24)              | 0         | 1        | 1       | 0         | 1         | 3       |
| Kurabayashi, 2010 (25)        | 0         | 1        | 1       | 0         | 1         | 3       |
| Kuroki, 2004 (26)             | 1         | 0        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 2       |
| Lorsbach, 2000 (27)           | 1         | 1        | 0†      | 0†        | 1         | 3       |
| Maeda, 2008 (28)              | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Masunaga, 2008 (29)           | 0         | 0        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 1       |
| McCluggage, 2000 (30)         | 0         | 0        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 1       |
| Momoi, 2016 (31)              | 0         | 1        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 2       |
| Moriyama, 2000 (2)            | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Muramatsu, 2013 (32)          | 0         | 1        | 1       | 0         | 1         | 3       |
| Nagasaka, 2000 (33)           | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Naithani, 2012 (34)           | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Nakamura, 1993 (35)           | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 0         | 3       |
| Ortonne, 2005 (36)            | 0         | 1        | 0       | 1         | 0         | 2       |
| Ota, 2013 (37)                | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 3       |
| Petersen, 2015 (38)           | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Rymkiewicz, 2006 (39)         | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |

*Table 1 (continued)*
Table 1 (continued)

| Reference (first author, year) | Selection | Exposure | Outcome | Follow-up | Reporting | Overall |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Sakamoto, 2009 (40)           | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Song, 2011 (41)               | 0         | 1        | 0       | 0         | 0         | 1       |
| Sugimoto, 2014 (42)           | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Sun, 2012 (43)                | 1         | 1        | 1       | 0         | 0         | 3       |
| Sunada, 2007 (44)             | 0         | 1        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 2       |
| Sunohara, 2009 (45)           | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Takagi, 1992 (46)             | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Wang, 2016 (47)               | 1         | 1        | 0       | 0         | 0         | 2       |
| Yamada, 2003 (48)             | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Yamasaki, 1998 (49)           | 0         | 1        | 1       | 0         | 1         | 3       |
| Yan, 2018 (50)                | 0         | 1        | 1       | 0         | 0         | 2       |
| Yanagiya, 2017 (51)           | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| Yang, 2021 (52)               | 0         | 0        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 1       |
| Yi, 1998 (53)                 | 0         | 1        | 0       | 0         | 0         | 1       |
| Yokose, 1998 (54)             | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4       |
| You, 2020 (55)                | 0         | 1        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 2       |
| Shi, 2018 (56)                | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 0         | 3       |

†, follow-up period is recorded but not in all cases or is shorter than 6 months.

Table 2 Quality assessment of case series

| Reference (first author, year) | Selection | Exposure | Outcome | Follow-up | Reporting | Score |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Inagaki, 2002 (57)            | 1         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 5     |
| Kominato, 2012 (58)           | 1         | 0        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 2     |
| Yang, 2020 (59)               | 1         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 5     |
| Shimizu, 2005 (60)            | 0         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 4     |
| Shimizu, 2010 (61)            | 1         | 1        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 3     |
| Takino, 2013 (62)             | 1         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 5     |
| Wang, 2019 (63)               | 1         | 1        | 1       | 0         | 0         | 3     |
| Weissferdt, 2011 (64)         | 1         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 5     |
| Xu, 2020 (65)                 | 1         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 0         | 4     |
| Wu, 2020 (66)                 | 1         | 0        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 2     |
| Ye, 2015 (67)                 | 1         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 0         | 5     |
| Yoshida, 2006 (68)            | 1         | 1        | 1       | 1         | 1         | 5     |
| Wang, 1993 (69)               | 1         | 0        | 0       | 0         | 1         | 2     |

†, follow-up period is recorded but not in all cases or is shorter than 6 months.
Overall, we studied 69 cases from case reports, and the information extracted from them is listed in the Table S1. Three cases (26,46,53) (with marked references in Table S1) may have been reported more than once because the patients had similar information and the authors were from the same institution. We did not include articles with inadequate information. Individuals from case series were also shared in case reports or other series. As a result, rather than displaying the data of each patient in a case series, we tabulated the aggregated data and reported pooled proportion with I-squared values (Table 3). We also sorted data from case reports in Table 3.

**Clinical characteristics**

Female patients account for 66.7% to 100% in the case series and 76.8% in case reports. Twenty-four patients had nationality/race records in case reports, among whom 19
were Asians (8 from China, 9 from Japan, 1 from Korea and 1 from Laos), while in the case series, only 1 author (64) reported 5 Caucasian patients. Other authors recorded all the cases coming from Japan or China. The median age at diagnosis of cases from case reports was 50 years (range, 11–75 years).

More than half of the patients in the case series and 60.9% of cases in case reports were asymptomatic. Patients usually accidentally found an anterior mass during check-ups. Lorsbach et al. (27) reported a case whose thymic tumor was identified and resected during heart surgery. Symptomatic patients generally complained of nonspecific presentations. The most common symptoms were chest discomfort (6.9%) and cough (6.9%). Symptoms of lymphoma, including fever, weight loss, and night sweat, were not frequent in thymic MALT lymphoma. Only 2 (3.4%) patients had a fever, and 1 (1.7%) had weight loss.

Concurrent autoimmune disease was identified in 69.8% of patients in case reports. Sjogren’s syndrome (SS) was most common and observed in 50.8% of patients. Most case series reported that more than half of patients had autoimmune diseases except one reported by Shimizu et al. (60) in 2005. The team found 1 patient had SS while the other 3 were healthy. However, in 2010, the same team reported that 83.3% of patients had autoimmune diseases with an expanded sample size. Hyperglobulinemia was presented in 90.9% of cases, 21 of whom were polyclonal. Five case series had reported hyperglobulinemia incidence. The percentage of patients who had this complication was 33–100%.

Tumor characteristics
The median size of tumors in the case reports was 7.45 cm (range, 1.5–17.5 cm). In the case series, the minimum size was 1.5 cm (65) while the maximum size was 19 cm (62). Forty-seven cases recorded stage information according to the Ann Arbor staging system, with 63.8% presented as stage I, 19.1% as stage II, 4.3% as stage III, and 12.8% as stage IV. Six case series had records of Ann Arbor stage, and most patients (57.1–100%) presented as limited-stage. There was no difference between patients at limited- and advanced-stage in terms of age, gender, tumor size, or presence of an autoimmune disease or hyperglobulinemia.

Diagnosis
According to clinical and imaging impression, 23 cases had reports of suspected diagnosis, among whom 60.9% patients were initially suspected of having thymoma. Only 2 case series (52,63) had reported suspected diagnosis, and all patients were suspected to have thymoma. Ota et al. (37) diagnosed a patient with MALT lymphoma based on image findings before any treatment.

Preoperative biopsy was documented being performed in 7 cases in case reports. Three patients underwent needle biopsy, two of whom did not acquire a confirmed diagnosis (41,45), and one of whom was diagnosed with lymphoma without recognizing specific subtype (43). Two patients received a biopsy by video-assisted thoracotomy surgery (VATS). One case was misdiagnosed with plasmacytic neoplasm. Without any treatment, the tumor enlarged and compressed surrounding tissue, leading to surgical treatment, after which a final definite diagnosis was made (15). In another case with an accurate diagnosis, the extent of the biopsy was not described, and we could not rule out the possibility of tumor resection (19). Two cases have not documented the approach of biopsy, but both patients were diagnosed with thymic MALT lymphoma. Three cases were described in two case series as not receiving surgical treatment, although the basis of diagnosis was not clear (62,68).

Treatments
In case reports, 54.5% of patients accepted surgery alone, while in case series, this percentage was higher, ranging from 61.1% to 100%. Among all 67 surgical cases, 56.1% received tumor resection (tumors were removed, but the extent of the surgeries was unknown), 21.2% received total thymectomy (both thymus and tumor were removed, without surrounding lymph nodes), and 16.7% received extended thymectomy (tumor, thymus, and surrounding lymph nodes were removed). In terms of surgery approaches, 21 (60%) in 35 cases who had relative information had median sternotomy while 11 (32.4%) had VATS. The stage of the tumor did not influence the choice of either surgical extent (P=0.616) or surgical approach (P=1.000). Moreover, surgical extent (P=0.530) and surgical approach (P=0.538) did not differ among eventful and uneventful patients.

Postoperative treatments, including radiotherapy, systemic therapy, and a combination of them, were applied to 20 (35.7%) patients. Among these patients, 14 received systemic therapy alone, 4 received radiotherapy alone and 2 received combination therapy. We found no difference
when these therapies were used in limited- and advanced-stage cancers (P=0.187). However, when we compared patients with Ann Arbor I to patients with other stages, the latter received postoperative treatments more frequently (P=0.011). Furthermore, the administration of these therapies did not differ between eventful and uneventful patients (P=0.637).

Two patients (both classified as Ann Arbor II) were reported as not having undergone surgery and receiving concomitant radiation and systemic therapy. One patient was lost during follow-up, and another achieved complete remission in 13 months after surgery.

**Prognosis**

The median overall survival (OS) time was not determined, and the median progression-free survival (PFS) time was 132 months. Of 53 patients, the condition of 48 (90.6%) who had prognosis documents was uneventful. Two patients (one with no stage information and another classified as Ann Arbor I) were found to have lymph node metastasis at 132 and 2 months after surgery, respectively (19,36). Two patients (both classified as Ann Arbor IV) had metastasis of other organs: one in the skin 12 months after surgery and another in the liver 6 years after surgery (12,39). One patient (classified as Ann Arbor III) (33) relapsed and died of cardiac shock eight months after his surgery, perhaps induced by tumor compression. The pooled event rate of case series was 5.01% (95% CI: 0.88–23.81%), and the forest plot was depicted in Figure 2.

The five-year OS rate and five-year PFS rate were 97.2% and 88.4%, respectively (Figure 3A,3B). Advanced-stage patients suffered more events than limited-stage patients (P=0.009). And advanced-stage disease was associated with worse OS (P=0.032) and PFS (P=0.001) (Figure 3C,3D). Patients with concurrent MALT lymphoma in other non-lymphoid organs (classified as Ann Arbor IV), such as lung, stomach, and salivary gland, were liable to the events (P=0.047). However, lymph node metastasis (classified as Ann Arbor II or III) diagnosis did not correlate with the occurrence of events (P=0.508).

**Discussion**

Thymic MALT lymphoma is a rare disease, accounting for only about 3% of anterior mediastinum mass (70). To the best of our knowledge, there are only about 100 cases reported. Because some cases were reported multiple times, specific data could not be obtained.

In 2010, Shimizu et al. (61) depicted a diagnostic flowchart for thymic MALT lymphoma, proposing that Asian patients with cystic thymic mass, autoimmune disease, and hyperglobulinemia should be considered to have thymic MALT lymphoma. However, preoperative diagnosis based on clinical and imaging impression was frequently prone to errors.

Thymic MALT lymphoma should be distinguished from other types of lymphoma and other thymic cystic neoplasms. Generally, lymphomas originating from the thymus mainly include Hodgkin’s lymphoma, lymphoblastic...
lymphoma, and large B cell lymphoma (27,70). Patients diagnosed with the former two diseases are younger than patients with MALT lymphoma, and the occurrence of autoimmune diseases in such patients is also rare. Large B cell lymphoma was diagnosed at a similar age as thymic MALT lymphoma. However, large B cell lymphoma often presents with solid lesions and invasive behavior (66), which were not common in thymic MALT lymphoma. There were only two thymic MALT lymphoma cases (4.5%) reporting the invasion of surrounding tissues by the tumor. Other thymic neoplasms such as thymic hyperplasia, thymic cysts, and thymic epithelial tumor could be manifested as cystic lesions in image findings, which were hard to differentiate with thymic MALT lymphoma (14). Therefore, it is well-recognized that a definite diagnosis mainly depends on the pathological factors and at times on immunohistochemistry and gene arrangement tests (2,64,69).

Even with the use of a microscope, the diagnosis was challenging; therefore, the quantity of tissue available was crucial. Biopsy analysis was conducted on seven patients in our study, and five of them did not have a definitive diagnosis. Thus, to obtain a sufficient quantity of tissue to allow a precise diagnosis, resection of the tumor by surgery is recommended rather than biopsy (15,53,71). Surgery is also considered highly effective as a therapeutic method (24,37,57). For patients with limited-stage disease, features of indolent course, intracapsular tumor, and less aggressive behavior have made surgery an optimal therapy.
For patients with advanced-stage disease, surgery was also helpful to avoid tumor enlargement and compression of the surrounding tissue.

The surgical approach and extent have not reached a consensus. In our study, neither of them correlate with the occurrence of events, which might be because of the infrequency of events and inexplicit records. Most cases recorded tumor resection instead of the specific surgical extent; as a result, the difference among partial thymectomy, thymectomy, and extended thymectomy could not be evaluated. Thymectomy is generally agreeable for thymic MALT lymphoma patients in all ages as long as the tumor is not invading surrounding vessels or organs. The meaning of regional lymph node dissection is unclear. In the current study, five patients were found to have lymph node metastasis during surgery, and more patients who did not receive extended thymectomy may have invisible lymph node metastasis (37). Therefore, we assumed that regional lymph node sampling could help assess the disease stage. Additionally, the diagnosis might be obscured by intraoperative frozen pathology. For patients with a suspected diagnosis of other thymic malignancy, such as thymic carcinoma, lymph node dissection might be recommended (72). In terms of surgical approach, median sternotomy was used most of time, which was reasonable given the lack of a definitive diagnosis. Surgeons could use VATS to explore thorax and tumors now that VATS has been developed. Thoracotomy should be used if the tumor is invasive or if VATS is unable to remove the whole tumor (48).

Apart from surgery, radiotherapy is also an important local treatment for limited-stage MALT lymphoma (73). Some authors claimed that thymic MALT lymphoma patients could benefit from radiotherapy, though the relevant evidence is insufficient (50). In the current study, no patient received radiotherapy alone. Six patients in case reports received postoperative radiation, and five of these cases were uneventful during follow-up. The follow-up status of the remaining one was not reported. We were unable to determine if postoperative radiation could improve prognosis or whether surgery alone is sufficient due to lack of evidence.

Systemic treatment, with or without surgery, was recommended on limited-stage patients according to evidence from MALT lymphoma at other sites (73). In the current study, systemic therapy was not applied alone. Together with radiotherapy, it was proved effective in one patient, which could not determine its value in thymic MALT lymphoma. Sixteen patients received postoperative systemic treatment in case reports, two of them had tumor relapse (33, 39) and one patient died (33). Similarly, we could not evaluate the efficacy of postoperative systemic therapy in this rare disease. However, by referring to the treatment modality of MALT lymphoma at other sites, we considered it as a preferred therapy for patients with advanced-stage disease or those whose tumors could not be resected completely. We need more studies to validate the efficacy of systemic therapy in thymic MALT lymphoma.

The regimen of systemic treatment varied. For indolent and low-grade SS-associated lymphoma, rituximab (RTX), an anti-CD20 drug, may achieve a good effect (75). Three patients in the study received RTX only after surgery, and they all had good prognoses (7, 11, 42). Other systemic therapies included CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone), CVP (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and prednisolone) and their combination with RTX, and differences among them were not pronounced. Yan et al. (50) reported an interesting case in which the tumor shrank after using glucocorticoids for erythema. Because many individuals require glucocorticoids to treat autoimmune diseases, it was hypothesized that glucocorticoids would limit tumor growth. However, more research is needed to confirm this conclusion.

The prognosis for thymic MALT lymphoma is considered to be good. Inagaki et al. (57) reported that the 3- and 5-year OS rates as 89% and 85% respectively. In the present study, only one patient died. The 5-year OS and PFS rates were 97.2% and 88.4%, respectively. These outcomes may further confirm that thymic MALT lymphoma is an indolent disease. However, MALT lymphoma in multiple non-lymphoid organs may lead to a worse prognosis, which was indicated in our study and another study by Aria et al. (76).

The limitation of our study is obvious. Cases reports and case series increase the risk of bias, and the certainty of evidence provided by a systematic review of case reports and case series can be very low (77). However, because of no available higher evidence, an analysis of published cases may offer more evidence for decision-making than cases from a single institution (4). We excluded some studies due to the language restriction of the authors, including 33 Japanese studies, two French studies and one German study, which may have resulted in some cases being lost.
Conclusions
Thymic MALT lymphoma is so rare that a firm conclusion of treatment and prognosis is difficult to make. However, from current evidence, it seems that thymectomy is a reasonable method to diagnose and treat this disease, while lymph node sampling/dissection could be performed on selected patients. Postoperative therapy might not provide a better prognosis, but for patients with advanced-stage disease, it should be considered radically. Given the rarity of thymic MALT lymphoma, randomized trials could be difficult to perform, but a nationwide or even worldwide database may help physicians learn more about this disease.
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