Gaussian quantum channels beyond the Gaussian functional form: full characterization of the one-mode case
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We study one-mode Gaussian quantum channels in continuous-variable systems by performing a black-box characterization using complete positivity and trace preserving conditions, and report the existence of two subsets that do not have a functional Gaussian form. Our study covers as particular limit the case of singular channels, thus connecting our results with their known classification scheme based on canonical forms. Our full characterization of Gaussian channels without Gaussian functional form is completed by showing how Gaussian states are transformed under these operations, and by deriving the conditions for the existence of master equations for the non-singular cases.

In section IV we study functional forms that lead to SGQC and derive their explicit form. Finally in section V we derive conditions of existence of master equations and their explicit forms. We conclude in section VI.

I. INTRODUCTION

Within the theory of continuous-variable quantum systems (a central topic of study given their role in the description of physical systems like the electromagnetic field [1], solids and nano-mechanical systems [2] and atomic ensembles [3]) the simplest states, both from a theoretical an experimental point of view, are the so-called Gaussian states. An operation that transforms such family of states into itself is called a Gaussian quantum channel (GQC). Even though Gaussian states and channels form small subsets among general states and channels, they have proven to be useful in a variate of applications as quantum communication [4], quantum computation [5] and the study of quantum entanglement in continuous-variable systems (a central topic of study given their role in the description of physical systems like the electromagnetic field [1], solids and nano-mechanical systems [2] and atomic ensembles [3]). The simplest states, both from a theoretical an experimental point of view, are the so-called Gaussian states. An operation that transforms such family of states into itself is called a Gaussian quantum channel (GQC). Even though Gaussian states and channels form small subsets among general states and channels, they have proven to be useful in a variate of applications as quantum communication [4], quantum computation [5] and the study of quantum entanglement in continuous-variable systems (a central topic of study given their role in the description of physical systems like the electromagnetic field [1], solids and nano-mechanical systems [2] and atomic ensembles [3]).

Writing Gaussian channels in the position state representation is often of theoretical convenience, for instance for the calculation of position correlation functions. Thus an obvious way to proceed is to characterize the possible functional forms of GQC in such representation. First attempts in this direction were given in Ref. [5], but their ansatz is limited to only Gaussian functional forms (denoted simply by Gaussian forms or GF). Going beyond such restrictive assumption, in the present work we characterize another two possible forms that can arise directly from the definition of Gaussian channel in the one-mode case. We thus give a complete characterization of GQC in position state representation, and study the special case of singular Gaussian quantum channels (SGQC), i.e. the operations for which the inverse operation doesn’t exist.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we discuss the definition of GQC and introduce functional forms beyond the GF that emerge from singularities in the coefficients that define a GQC with GF. In section III we give a black-box characterization of such channels, using complete positivity and trace preserving conditions.

II. GAUSSIAN QUANTUM CHANNELS

Gaussian states are characterized completely by first (mean) and second (correlations) moments encoded in the mean vector \( \bar{d} \) and the covariance matrix \( \Sigma \). Therefore, a Gaussian state \( S \) can be denoted as \( S = S(\sigma, \bar{d}) \), where for the one-mode case we have

\[
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_{qq} & \sigma_{qp} \\
\sigma_{pq} & \sigma_{pp}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\langle q^2 \rangle - \langle q \rangle^2 & \frac{1}{2} \langle \hat{q} \hat{p} + \hat{p} \hat{q} \rangle - \langle q \rangle \langle p \rangle \\
\frac{1}{2} \langle \hat{q} \hat{p} + \hat{p} \hat{q} \rangle - \langle q \rangle \langle p \rangle & \langle p^2 \rangle - \langle p \rangle^2
\end{pmatrix},
\]

and

\[
\bar{d} = (\langle q \rangle, \langle p \rangle)^T
\]

with \( \hat{q} \) and \( \hat{p} \) denoting the standard position and momentum (quadrature) operators [9].

To start with, we recall the following definition [10]:

Definition 1 (Gaussian quantum channels). A quantum channel is Gaussian (GQC) if and only if it transforms Gaussian states into Gaussian states.

This definition is strictly equivalent to the statement that any GQC, say \( \mathcal{G} \), can be written as

\[
\mathcal{G}[\rho] = \text{tr}_E \left[ U (\rho \otimes \rho_E) U^\dagger \right]
\]

(1)

where \( U \) is a unitary transformation, acting on a combined global state obtained from enlarging the system with an environment \( E \), that is generated by a quadratic bosonic Hamiltonian (i.e. \( U \) is a Gaussian unitary) [10]. The environmental initial state \( \rho_E \) is a Gaussian state and the trace is taken over the environmental degrees of freedom.

Following definition [1] a GQC is fully characterized by its action over Gaussian states, and this action is in
turn defined by affine transformations \(10\). Specifically, \(\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{N}, \hat{\tau})\) is given by a tuple \((\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{N}, \hat{\tau})\) where \(\mathbb{T}\) and \(\mathbb{N}\) are \(2 \times 2\) real matrices with \(\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}^T\) \(10\) acting on Gaussian states according to \(\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{N}, \hat{\tau})[S(\sigma, \hat{d})] = S(\mathbb{T}\sigma\mathbb{T}^T + \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{T}\hat{d} + \hat{\tau})\). In the particular case of closed systems we have \(\mathbb{N} = 0\) and \(\mathbb{T}\) is a symplectic matrix.

Let us note that although channels with Gaussian form trivially transform Gaussian states into Gaussian states, the definition goes beyond GF. Introducing difference and sum coordinates, \(x = q_2 - q_1\) and \(r = (q_1 + q_2)/2\), and \(\rho(x, r) = \langle r - \frac{\pi}{2} | \hat{r} | r + \frac{\pi}{2} \rangle\), a quantum channel

\[
\rho_f(x, r_f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx_i dr_i J(x_f, x_i; r_f, r_i) \rho_i(r_i, x_i),
\]

maps an initial \(\hat{\rho}_i\) into a final \(\hat{\rho}_f\) state linearly through the kernel \(J(x_f, x_i; r_f, r_i)\). In order to see how a channel without GF can be constructed as a limiting case of a quantum channel with GF, consider the general parameterization of the later as given in \(12\)

\[
J_G(x_f, x_i; r_f, r_i) = \frac{b_3}{2\pi} \exp\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left( b_1 x_f r_f + b_2 x_f r_i + b_3 x_i r_f + b_4 x_i r_i + c_1 x_f + c_2 x_i + a_1 x_f^2 - a_2 x_i^2 - a_3 x_f x_i - a_4 x_f r_i - a_5 x_i r_f \right) \right],
\]

where all coefficients are real and no quadratic terms in \(r_{i,f}\) are allowed. Now it is easy to see that if the coefficients of the quadratic form in the exponent of \(J\) in eq. \(3\) depend on a parameter \(\epsilon\) such that for \(\epsilon \to 0\) they scale as \(a_n \propto \epsilon^{-1}\) and \(b_n \propto \epsilon^{-1/2}\), then

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} J_G(x_f, x_i; r_f, r_i) = \mathcal{N} \delta(\alpha x_f - \beta x_i) e^{\Sigma(x_f, x_i; r_f, r_i)},
\]

where \(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(\Sigma(x_f, x_i; r_f, r_i)\) is a quadratic form that now admits quadratic terms in \(r_{i,f}\). This is the first example of a \(\delta\)GQC, namely a Gaussian quantum channel that contains Dirac-delta functions in its coordinate representation. This particular example is not only of academic interest. Physically, it can be implemented by means of the ubiquitous quantum Brownian motion model for harmonic systems (damped harmonic oscillator) \(13\). In such system \(\delta\)GQC occur at isolated points of time, defined in the limit of the antisymmetric position autocorrelation function tending to zero.

Since the form of eq. \(4\) admits quadratic terms in \(r_{i,f}\), it suggest that a form with two deltas can exist and can be defined with an appropriate limit. In order to avoid working with such limits, in this work we provide a black-box characterization of general GQCs without Gaussian form. In particular we study channels that can arise when singularities on the coefficients of Gaussian forms GF occur, that lead immediately to singular Gaussian operations. We characterize which forms in \(\delta\)GQC lead to valid quantum channels, and under which conditions singular operations lead to valid singular quantum channels (SGQC). We will show that only two possible forms of \(\delta\)GQC hold according to trace preserving (TP) and hermiticity preserving (HP) conditions. The channel of eq. \(4\) is one of these forms, as expected. Later on we will impose complete positivity in order to have valid GQC, i.e. complete positive and trace preserving (CPTP) Gaussian operations, going beyond previous characterizations of GQC \(12\).

III. COMPLETE POSITIVE AND TRACE-PRESERVING \(\delta\)-GAUSSIAN OPERATIONS

Let us introduce the ansatz for the possible forms of GQC in the position representation, to perform the black-box characterization. Following eq. \(1\) and taking the continuous variable representation of difference and sum coordinates, the trace becomes an integral over position variables of the environment. Then we end up with a Fourier transform of a multivariate Gaussian, having for one mode the following structures: a Gaussian form eq. \(5\), a Gaussian form multiplied with one-dimensional delta or a Gaussian form multiplied by a two-dimensional delta. Thus, in order to start with the black-box characterization, we shall propose the following general Gaussian operations with one and two deltas, respectively

\[
J_1(x_f, r_f; x_i, r_i) = \mathcal{N}_1 \delta(\alpha x_f - \beta x_i) e^{\Sigma(x_f, x_i; r_f, r_i)},
\]

\[
J_{11}(x_f, r_f; x_i, r_i) = \mathcal{N}_{11} \delta(\mathbf{A} \hat{v}_f - \mathbf{B} \hat{v}_i) e^{\Sigma(x_f, x_i; r_f, r_i)},
\]

where the exponent reads

\[
\Sigma(x_f, x_i; r_f, r_i) = i \left( b_1 x_f r_f + b_2 x_f r_i + b_3 x_i r_f + b_4 x_i r_i + c_1 x_f + c_2 x_i + d_1 r_f + d_2 r_i - a_1 x_f^2 - a_2 x_i^2 - a_3 x_f x_i - a_4 x_f r_i - a_5 x_i r_f \right)
\]

where \(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}\) are \(2 \times 2\) real matrices with \(\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}^T\), for all possible \(\mathbf{A}\) ansätze the following holds:

\[
\int_\mathbb{R} dr_f J(x_f = 0, r_f; x_i, r_i) = \delta(x_i).
\]
This condition immediately discards ii) from the above combinations of deltas, thus we end up with cases i) and iii). For case i) TP reads
\[ N_1 \int dr f(\beta x_i)e^{\Sigma} = \frac{N_1}{|\beta|} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{e_1}} \delta(x_i) e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{e_1} - e_{-3} x_i^2}, \tag{10} \]
thus the relation between the coefficients assumes the form
\[ \frac{\epsilon_2^2}{4e_1} - e_3 = 0, \tag{11} \]
and the normalization constant \( N_1 = |\beta| \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{e_1}} \) with \( \beta \neq 0 \) and \( e_1 > 0 \). For case iii) the trace-preserving condition reads
\[ N_{III} \int dr f(\gamma r_f - \eta r_i)e^{\Sigma} = \frac{N_{III}}{|\beta\gamma|} \delta(x_i) e^{-e_1(\frac{\beta}{\gamma})^2 r_i^2 - e_2 \frac{\beta^2}{4e_1} r_i^2 - e_{-3} r_i^2}. \]
Thus the following relation between \( e_n \) coefficients must be fulfilled
\[ e_1 \left( \frac{\eta}{\gamma} \right)^2 + e_2 \frac{\eta}{\gamma} + e_3 = 0, \tag{12} \]
with \( \gamma, \beta \neq 0 \) and \( N_{III} = |\beta\gamma| \). In the particular case of \( \eta = 0 \), eq. [12] is reduced to \( e_3 = 0 \). As expected from the analysis of limits above, we showed that \( \delta \) GQC admit quadratic terms in \( r_{i,j} \).

Up to this point we have hermitian and trace preserving Gaussian operations; to derive the remaining CPTP conditions, it is useful to write Wigner’s function and Wigner’s characteristic function, which we now derive. The representation of the Wigner’s characteristic function reads
\[ \chi(\vec{k}) = \text{tr}[\rho D(\vec{k})] = \exp\left[ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T (\Omega \sigma \Omega^T) \vec{k} - i (\Omega \vec{x}^T)^T \vec{k} \right], \tag{13} \]
and its relation with Wigner’s function
\[ W(x) = \int d\vec{x} e^{-i\vec{x}^T \Omega \vec{k}} \chi(\vec{k}) = \int \frac{e^{ip x}}{\sqrt{2}} dx \left( r - \frac{x}{2} \right) \left[ \hat{\rho} \left( r + \frac{x}{2} \right) \right], \tag{15} \]
where \( \vec{k} = (k_1, k_2)^T, \vec{x} = (r, p)^T \) and \( \hbar = 1 \) (we are using natural units). Using the previous equations to construct Wigner and Wigner’s characteristic functions of the initial and final states, and substituting them in eq. [13], it is straightforward to get the propagator in the Wigner’s characteristic function representation
\[ J(\vec{k}_f, \vec{k}_i) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} d\Gamma K(\vec{l}) J(\vec{v}_f, \vec{v}_i), \tag{16} \]
where the transformation kernel reads
\[ K(\vec{l}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} e^{\left[ i(k_1^r \tau_f - k_1^r \tau_i)^2 + i(k_2^r \tau_f + k_2^r \tau_i)^2 \right]}, \]
with
\[ d\Gamma = dp_d p_d d \tau_f d \tau_f dp_r d \tau_r \text{ and } l = (p_f, \tau_f, p_i, \tau_i)^T. \]
By elementary integration of eq. (16) one can show that for both cases
\[ \hat{J}_{I,III}(\vec{k}_f, \vec{k}_i) = \delta\left( k_i^r - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} k_f^r \right) \delta\left( k_2^r - \phi_{III}^T \vec{k}_f \right) e^{P_{I,III}(\vec{k}_f)}, \tag{17} \]
where \( P_{I,III}(\vec{k}_f) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 P^{I,III}_{ij} k_f^i k_f^j + \sum_{i=1}^2 P^{I,III}_{i0} k_f^i \) with \( P^{I,III}_{ij} = P^{I,III}_{ji} \). For case i) we obtain
\[ P^{I}_{11} = -\left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^2 \left( a_3 + \frac{k_2^2}{4e_1} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left( a_2 + \frac{b_1 b_3}{2e_1} \right) + a_1 + \frac{b_2^2}{4e_1}, \]
\[ P^{I}_{12} = -\frac{\alpha b_3}{\beta 2e_1} + \frac{b_1}{2e_1}, \]
\[ P^{I}_{22} = -\frac{1}{4e_1}. \tag{18} \]
For case iii) we have
\[ P^{III}_{11} = t, \quad P^{III}_{12} = P^{III}_{22} = 0, \tag{19} \]
And for both cases we have \( P^{I,III}_{01} = t \left( \frac{\eta}{\gamma} e_2 + c_1 \right) \) and \( P^{I,III}_{02} = 0 \). Vectors \( \phi \) are given by
\[ \phi_1 = \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left( b_4 - \frac{b_2 b_3}{2e_1} \right) - \frac{b_1 b_2}{2e_1} + b_2, -\frac{e_2}{2e_1} \right)^T, \]
\[ \phi_{III} = \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{\eta}{\gamma} b_3 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{b_4}{2e_1} + \frac{\eta}{\gamma} b_1 + \frac{b_2}{2e_1} \right)^T. \tag{20} \]

We are now in position to write explicitly the conditions for complete positivity. Having a Gaussian operation characterized by \( (T, N, \gamma) \), the CP condition can be expressed in terms of the matrix
\[ C = N + i\Omega - i\Omega T \Omega^T, \tag{21} \]
where \( \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) is the symplectic matrix. An operation \( G(T, N, \gamma) \) is CP if and only if \( C \geq 0 \) \([10][13]\).
Applying the propagator on a test characteristic function, eq. (13), it is easy to compute the corresponding tuples. For both cases we get \( (T_{I,III}, N_{I,III}, \phi_{I,III}) \):
\[ N_{I,III} = 2 \left( \begin{array}{c} -P_{22} \\ 0 \\ P_{11} \end{array} \right), \]
\[ \phi_{I,III} = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ tP^{I,III}_{01} \end{array} \right)^T. \tag{22} \]
while for case i) matrix $T$ is given by

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\phi}}, & 0 \\ \tilde{\phi} & -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_{1,1}$ denotes the first component of vector $\tilde{\phi}$, see eq. (20). The complete positive condition is given by the inequalities raised from the eigenvalues of matrix eq. (21)

$$\pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 \epsilon_1^2 + 4 \alpha \beta \epsilon_1 + 4 \beta^2 \epsilon_1^2 \left( 4 P_{11}^2 + (P_{11} - P_{22})^2 + 1 \right)} \geq 0.$$

For case iii) matrix $T$ is

$$T_{III} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\eta}{\gamma} & 0 \\ \tilde{\phi}_{III,1} & -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \end{pmatrix},$$

and complete positivity conditions read

$$\pm \sqrt{(2 \beta e_1)^2 + 2 \beta e_1} \geq 0.$$

IV. ALLOWED SINGULAR FORMS

There are two classes of Gaussian singular channels. Since the inverse of a Gaussian channel $G(T, N, \tau)$ is $G(T^{-1}, -T^{-1}NT^{-T}, -T^{-1}\tau)$, its existence rests on the invertibility of $T$. Thus studying the rank of the latter we are able to explore singular forms. We are going to use the classification of one-mode channels developed by Holevo [15].

For singular channels there are two classes characterized by its canonical form [16], i.e. any channel can be obtained by applying Gaussian unitaries before and after the canonical form. The class called “$A_1$” correspond to singular channels with $\text{Rank}(T) = 0$ and coincide with the family of total depolarizing channels. The class “$A_2$” is characterized by $\text{Rank}(T) = 1$. Both channels are entanglement-breaking [16].

Before analyzing the functional forms constructed in this work, let us study channels with GF. The tuple of the affine transformation, corresponding to the propagator $J_G$, eq. (3), were introduced in Ref. [12] up to some typos. Our calculation for this tuple is

$$T_G = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2}, & \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2}, & \frac{1}{\beta_2} \\ \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2}, & -\frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2}, & -\frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} \\ \frac{1}{\beta_2}, & -\frac{1}{\beta_2}, & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$N_G = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2}, & \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} \\ \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2}, & -\frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} \\ \frac{1}{\beta_2} & -\frac{1}{\beta_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_G = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2}, & \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2}, & -1 \end{pmatrix}^T.$$

It is straightforward to check that for $b_2 = 0$, $T_G$ is singular with $\text{Rank}(T_G) = 1$, i.e. it belongs to class $A_2$. Due to the full support of Gaussian functions, it was surprising that Gaussian channels with GF have singular limit. In this case the singular behavior arises from the lack of a Fourier factor for $x_1 f_1$. This is the only singular case for GF.

Now we analyze functional forms derived in sec. [11] The complete positivity conditions of the form $J_{III}$, presented in eq. (26), have no solution for $\alpha = 0$ and/or $\gamma = 0$, thus this form cannot lead to singular channels. This is not the case for $J_1$, eq. (17), which leads to singular operations belonging to class $A_2$ for

$$\alpha e_2 = 0,$$

and to class $A_1$ for

$$e_2 = \alpha = b_2 = 0.$$

For the latter, the complete positivity conditions read

$$e_1 \leq a_1.$$

By using an initial state characterized by $\sigma_i$ and $\tilde{d}_i$, we can compute the explicit dependence of the final states on the initial parameters. For channels belonging to class $A_2$ [see eq. 27 with $b_2 = 0$ and eq. 25 with $e_2 = 0$] the final state only depends on one combination of the components of $\sigma_i$, and in one combination of the components of $\tilde{d}_i$, i.e. $\sum_{mn} l_{mn}(\sigma_i)_{mn}$ and $\sum_{mn} n_{mn}(\tilde{d}_i)_{mn}$, respectively, where $l_{mn}$ and $n_{mn}$ depend on the channel parameters. See the appendix for the explicit formulas and fig. [11] for a schematic description of the final states. From such combinations it is obvious that we cannot solve for the initial state parameters given a final state as expected; this is because the parametric space dimension is reduced from 5 to 2. The channel belonging to $A_1$ [see eq. 28 with $e_2 = \alpha = b_2 = 0$ and eq. 30] maps every initial state to a single one characterized by $\sigma_f = N$ and $\tilde{d}_f = (0, -c_1)^T$, see fig. 2 for a schematic description.

According to our ansätze [see equations 2 and 6], we conclude that one-mode SGQC can only have the functional forms given in eq. 3 and eq. 5. This is the central result our work and can be stated as:

**Theorem 1 (One-mode singular Gaussian channels).** A one-mode Gaussian quantum channel is singular if and only if it has one of the following functional forms in the position space representation

1. $b_3 \exp\left\{\left[b_1 x_1 f_1 + b_3 x_1 r_1 + b_4 x_1 + c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_1 - a_1 x_1^2 - a_2 x_1 f_1 x_1 - a_3 x_1^2\right]\right\}$
2. $|\beta| \sqrt{e_1^2 \pi \delta(\alpha x_f - \beta z_1)} \times \exp\left\{-a_2 x_1 f_1 x_1 - a_3 x_1^2\right\}$
3. $b_3 \exp\left\{b_2 x_1 f_1 x_1 + b_3 x_1 r_1 + b_4 x_1 + c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_1 - e_1 r_1^2 - e_2 r_1 f_1 - \frac{e_2 e_3}{4 e_1^2}\right\}$ with $e_2 = 0$. 

Corollary 1 (singular classes). A one-mode singular Gaussian channel belongs to class A1 if and only if its position representation has the following form:
\[
\sqrt{e_1} \pi \delta(x, \varepsilon) \exp \left[ -a_1 x_f^2 + i \left( b_2 x_f r_i + b_1 r_f x_f + c_1 x_f \right) - e_1 r_f^2 \right].
\]

Otherwise the channel belongs to class A2.

Since channels on each class are connected each other by unitary conjugations [19], a consequence of the theorem and the subsequent corollary is that the set of allowed forms must remain invariant under unitary conjugations. To show this we must know the possible functional forms of Gaussian unitaries. They are given by following lemma for one mode

Lemma 1 (One-mode Gaussian unitaries). Gaussian unitaries can have only GF or the one given by eq. (6).

Proof. Recalling that for a unitary GQC, T must be symplectic (TΩT^T = Ω) and N = 0. However, an inspection to eq. (18) lead us to note that N = 0 unless ε1 diverges. Thus Gaussian unitaries cannot have the form J1 [see eq. (5)]. An inspection of matrices T and N of GQC with GF [see eq. (27)] and the ones for J1 [see equations 19 and 25] lead us to note the following two observations: (i) in both cases we have N = 0 for a_n = 0 ∀n; (ii) the matrix T is symplectic for GF when b_2 = b_3, and when α = βγ for J1. In particular the identity map has the last form. This completes the proof. \[\square\]

One can now compute the concatenations of the SGQCs with Gaussian unitaries. This can be done straightforward using the well known formulas for Gaussian integrals and the Fourier transform of the Dirac delta. Given that the calculation is elementary, and for sake of brevity, we present only the resulting forms of each concatenation. To show this compactly we introduce the following abbreviations: Singular channels belonging to class A2 with form J1 and with α = 0, ε2 = 0 and α = ε2 = 0, will be denoted as δ^α_A2, δ^ε2_A2 and δ^α,ε2_A2, respectively; singular channels belonging to the same class but with GF will be denoted as G_A2; channels belonging to class A1 will be denoted as δ_A1; finally Gaussian unitaries with GF will be denoted as G_U and the ones with form J1 as δ_U. Writing the concatenation of two channels in the position representation as
\[
J^{(1)}(x_f, r_f; x_i, r_i) = \int d^{2}x_d d^{2}r_f J^{(1)}(x_f, r_f; x_d, r_f) J^{(2)}(x_d, r_f; x_i, r_i),
\]
the resulting functional forms for J^{(1)} are given in table [11].

As expected, the table shows that the integral has only the forms stated by our theorem. Additionally it shows the cases when unitaries change the functional form of class A2, while for class A1 J^{(1)} has always the unique form enunciated by the corollary.

![Table I](image)

TABLE I. The first and second columns show the functional forms of J^{(1)} and J^{(2)}, respectively. The last column shows the resulting form of the concatenation of them [see eq. (31)]. See main text for symbol coding.

V. EXISTENCE OF MASTER EQUATIONS

In this section we show the conditions under which master equations, associated with the channels derived in sec. III exist. To be more precise, we study if the functional forms derived above parametrize channels belonging to one-parameter differentiable families of GQCs. As a first step, we let the coefficients of forms presented in equations 5 and 9 to depend on time. Later we derive the conditions under which they form any quantum state \(\rho(x, r; t)\) to \(\rho(x, r; t + \epsilon)\) (with \(\epsilon > 0\) and \(t \in [0, \infty)\)) smoothly, while holding the specific functional form of the channel, i.e.

\[
\rho(x, r; t + \epsilon) = \rho(x, r; t) + \epsilon \mathcal{L}_t [\rho(x, r; t)] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2),
\]

(32)

where both \(\rho(x, r; t)\) and \(\rho(x, r; t + \epsilon)\) are propagated from \(t = 0\) with channels either with the form J1 or J11, and \(\mathcal{L}_t\) is a bounded superoperator in the state subspace. This is basically the problem of the existence of a master equation

\[
\partial_t \rho(x, r; t) = \mathcal{L}_t [\rho(x, r; t)],
\]

(33)

for such functional forms. Thus the problem is reduced to prove the existence of the linear generator \(\mathcal{L}_t\), also known as Liouvilleian.

To do this we use an ansatz proposed in Ref. [17] to investigate the existence and derive the master equation.
for GFs,
\[ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_c(t) + (\partial_x, \partial_r)X(t) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial_t} \right) \]
\[ + (x, r)Y(t) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial_t} \right) + (x, r)Z(t) \left( \frac{x}{r} \right) \] (34)

where \( \mathcal{L}_c(t) \) is a complex function and
\[ X(t) = \begin{pmatrix} X_{xx}(t) & X_{xr}(t) \\ X_{rx}(t) & X_{rr}(t) \end{pmatrix} \] (35)
is a complex matrix as well as \( Y(t) \) and \( Z(t) \), whose entries are defined in a similar way as in eq. (35). Note that \( X(t) \) and \( Z(t) \) can always be chosen symmetric, i.e. \( X_{xr} = X_{rx} \) and \( Z_{xx} = Z_{rx} \). Thus we must determine 11 time-dependent functions from eq. (34). This ansatz is also appropriate to study the functional forms introduced in this work, given that the left hand side of eq. (33) only involves quadratic polynomials in \( x, r, \partial_x/\partial x \) and \( \partial_r/\partial r \), as in the GF case.

Notice that singular channels do not admit a master equation since its existence implies that channels with the functional form involved can be found arbitrarily close from the identity channel. This is not possible for singular channels due to the continuity of the determinant of the matrix \( T \). This fact can be also shown using the ansatz of eq. (34), one finds infinitely Liouville operators, thus the master equation is not well defined.

For the non-singular cases presented in equations (5) and (6), the condition for the existence of a master equation is obtained as follows. (i) Substitute the ansatz of eq. (34) in the right hand side of the eq. (33). (ii) Define \( \rho(x, r; t) \) using eq. (2), given an initial condition \( \rho(x, r; 0) \), for each functional form \( J_{\alpha II} \). (iii) Take \( \rho_f(x \rightarrow r, f) \rightarrow \rho(x, r; t) \) and \( \rho_\gamma(x, r, \gamma) \rightarrow \rho(x, r; 0) \). Finally, (iv) compare both sides of eq. (33). Defining \( A(t) = \alpha(t)/\beta(t) \) and \( B(t) = \gamma(t)/\eta(t) \), the conclusion is that for both \( J_1 \) and \( J_{II} \), a master equations exist if
\[ c(t) \propto A(t) \] (36)
holds, where \( c(t) = c_1(t) + A(t)c_2(t) \). Additionally, for the form \( J_1 \) the solutions for the matrices \( X(t), Y(t) \)

FIG. 1. Schematic picture of the channels belonging to class A2, acting on Wigner functions of Gaussian states. The explicit dependence of the final state in terms of the combinations \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) are presented in the appendix. As well the formulas for \( s_1 \) depending on the form of the channel. The pictured coordinate system corresponds to the position variable \( r \) and its conjugate momentum.

FIG. 2. Schematic picture of the channels belonging to class A1, acting on Wigner functions of Gaussian states. Every channel of this class maps every initial quantum state, in particular GSs characterized by \( (\sigma_i, \vec{d}_i) \), to a Gaussian state that depends only on the channel parameters. We indicate in the figure the values of the corresponding components of the first and second moments of the final Gaussian state. The pictured coordinate system corresponds to the position variable \( r \) and its conjugate momentum.
and $Z(t)$ are given by
\[ X_{xx} = X_{xr} = Y_{rx} = Z_{rr} = 0, \]
\[ Y_{xx} = \frac{\hat{A}}{A}, \]
\[ Y_{xr} = \hat{B}, \]
\[ X_{rr} = \frac{\hat{e}_1^2}{2e_1^2} - \lambda_3, \]
\[ Z_{xx} = \frac{\lambda_3^2}{2} \left( \lambda_2 \frac{\hat{e}_2}{e_2} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \frac{\hat{e}_1}{e_1} \right), \]
\[ Z_{xr} = \hat{A} \left( \frac{\hat{e}_1}{e_1} - \frac{\lambda_3}{2} \frac{\hat{e}_2}{e_2} \right), \]
where we have defined the following coefficients: $\lambda_1 = b_1 + Ab_3$, $\lambda_2 = b_2 + Ab_4$ and $\lambda_3 = a_1 + Aa_2 + A^2a_3$.

For the form $J_{11}$ the solutions are the following
\[ L_c = X_{xx} = X_{xr} = Y_{rx} = Z_{rr} = 0, \]
\[ Y_{xx} = \hat{A}, \quad Y_{xr} = \frac{\hat{B}}{B}, \]
\[ Z_{xx} = a_2(t) \hat{A}(t) + \frac{2a_1(t) \hat{A}(t)}{A(t)} - A(t)^2 \]
\[ - \hat{a}_3(t) - A(t) \hat{a}_2(t) - \hat{a}_1(t), \]
\[ Z_{xr} = \hat{A} \left( \frac{\hat{e}_2}{e_2} - \frac{\lambda_3}{2} \frac{\hat{e}_1}{e_1} \right), \]
where $\lambda = b_1 + Ab_3 + B(b_2 + Ab_4)$.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have critically reviewed the deceptively natural idea that Gaussian quantum channels always admit a Gaussian functional form. To this end, we went beyond the pioneering characterization of Gaussian channels with Gaussian form presented in Ref. [12] in two new directions. First we have shown that, starting from their most general definition as mapping Gaussian states into Gaussian states, a more general parametrization of the coordinate representation of the one-mode case exists, that admits non-Gaussian functional forms. Second, we were able to provide a black-box characterization of such new forms by imposing complete positivity (not considered in Ref. [12]) and trace preserving conditions. While our parametrization connects with the analysis done by Holevo [16] in the particular cases where besides having a non-Gaussian form the channel is also singular, it also allows the study of Gaussian unitaries, thus providing similar classification schemes. We completed the classification of the new types of channels by deriving the form of the Liouvillian super operator that generates their time evolution in the form of a master equation. Surprisingly, Gaussian quantum channels without Gaussian form can be experimentally addressed by means of the celebrated Caldeira-Legget model for the quantum damped harmonic oscillator, where the new types of channels described here naturally appear in the sub-ohmic regime.
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Appendix A: Explicit formulas for class $A_2$

The explicit formulas of the final states for channels of class $A_2$ with the form presented in eq. (0) with $e_2 = 0$ are
\[ (\sigma_f)_{11} = \frac{1}{2e_1}, \]
\[ (\sigma_f)_{22} = \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^2 \left( \frac{b_2^2}{2e_1} + 2a_3 \right) + \frac{a_1(b_1b_3)}{e_1}, \]
\[ (\sigma_f)_{12} = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{b_3}{2e_1} - \frac{b_1}{2e_1}, \]
\[ \tilde{d}_f(s_3) = \left( 0, -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} c_2 - c_1 + s_2 \right)^T, \quad (A1) \]
where
\[ s_1 = \left( \frac{b_2^2}{2e_1} + 2\frac{\alpha}{\beta} b_2 b_3 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{b_1^2}{e_1} \right)(\sigma_i)_{11} \]
\[ - 2 \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} b_2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} b_1^2 \right)(\sigma_i)_{12} + \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} b_2 \right)^2 (\sigma_i)_{22}, \]
\[ s_2 = \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} b_1 + b_2 \right)(d_i) - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}(d_i)^2. \quad (A2) \]
The explicit formulas of the final states for channels of class $A_2$ with the form presented in eq. (6) with $\alpha = 0$ are

\[
\begin{align*}
(s_f)_{11} & = \frac{c_2^2}{4e_1}(s_i)_{11} + \frac{1}{2e_1}, \\
(s_f)_{12} & = \left(\frac{b_2e_2}{2e_1} - \frac{b_1c_2^2}{4e_1}\right)(s_i)_{11} - \frac{b_1}{2e_1}, \\
(s_f)_{22} & = 2a_1 + \left(\frac{b_2 - \frac{b_1c_2^2}{2e_1}}{2e_1}\right)^2(s_i)_{11} + \frac{b_1^2}{2e_1}, \quad \text{(A3)}
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\bar{d}_f = \left(\frac{e_2}{2e_1} (\bar{d}_i)_1, \left(\frac{b_2}{2e_1} - \frac{b_1c_2^2}{2e_1}\right)(\bar{d}_i)_1 - c_1\right)^T. \quad \text{(A4)}
\]

The explicit formulas of the final states for channels of class $A_2$ with Gaussian form are

\[
\begin{align*}
(s_f)_{11} & = \frac{2a_1}{b_3^2} + s_1, \\
(s_f)_{12} & = \frac{a_2}{b_3} - \frac{2a_3b_1}{b_3^2} - b_1s_1, \\
(s_f)_{22} & = \frac{b_1}{b_3^2} \left(\frac{b_1b_3s_1 - 2a_2}{b_3} + 2a_3b_1\right) + 2a_1, \\
\bar{d}_f & = \left(s_2 - \frac{c_2}{b_3}, b_1 \left(\frac{c_2}{b_3} - s_2\right) - c_1\right)^T. \quad \text{(A5)}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
& s_1 = \frac{b_4}{b_3^2} (s_i)_{11} - \frac{2b_4}{b_3^2} (s_i)_{12} + \frac{1}{b_3^2} (s_i)_{22}, \\
& s_2 = \frac{1}{b_3} (d_i)_2 - \frac{b_4}{b_3} (d_i)_1. \quad \text{(A6)}
\end{align*}
\]
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