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ABSTRACT. The morphology, ontogeny, life-cycles and distribution of species of the Order Proteocephalidea (Cestoda), is discussed. A brief historic of the classifications is presented. The actual scheme of taxonomy of WOODLAND (1924-1935) is criticized and a new classification for the group is proposed. The phylogenetic uniformity of Proteocephalideans is reestablished with this classification. The genera of Monticellidae (sensu WOODLAND) are transferred to the subfamilies Proteocephalinae and Corallobothriinae. Only one family is accepted in the Proteocephalidea, Proteocephalidae (La Rue, 1911); with five subfamilies: Proteocephalinae, Corallobothriinae, Sandonellinae, Gangesiinae and Acanthotaeniinae.
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The members of the Order Proteocephalidea are mostly found in freshwater fishes, but they also appear in amphibians and reptiles. Most genera and species of proteocephalids inhabit hosts from tropical regions. A fewer number of genera are found in temperate areas, however, species infesting freshwater fishes are found in rivers in circumpolar areas.

The proteocephalids present a scolex with single suckers, reminiscent of the ones found in the terrestrial Cyclophyllidea. The reproductive system, especially the vitellaria, is similar to the marine Tetraphyllidea. The Proteocephalidea originated from primitive tetraphyllidean stock in brackish or fresh water. Note that the actual proteocephalids are specialized in freshwater hosts and the tetraphyllideans are found in marine elasmobranchs. It is believed that the evolved Cyclophyllidea originated from a line of proteocephalids specialized in terrestrial reptiles, such as the actual Varaniids. It is interesting to refer to the monotypic Tejidotaenia Freze, 1965, a parasite of Surinam (South America) monitors, that has succeeded in transferring from a freshwater host to a terrestrial host.

The Proteocephalidea is a very old group of helminths and knowledge of it could be the key to understanding the phylogeny of the Cyclophyllidea and their terrestrial vertebrate hosts, and their evolution, since their appearance of proteocephalids in bony fishes in the Lower Silurian.

HISTORICAL

The species of proteocephalideans were included in the genus Taenia by Goeze, Gmelin, Zeder and Frölich, distinct helminthologists of the XIX century.
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RUDOLPHI (1808-1810) organized systematically the helminths until that time. After Rudolphi appeared the important works of Dujardin (1845) and Diesing (1850) - citations by Wardle & Mc Leod (1952). Diesing (1850) in his Systema Helminthum, included several helminths from South America, including species collected by Natterer in fishes from Mato Grosso (Central Brazil) and Amazon.

Until the publication of the important paper of Monticelli (1892) the Cestodes from freshwater fishes were not yet characterized as a group independent from Taenia. Monticelli made a careful study of the group, listing twenty species of proteocephalids under the name Tetracotylus. Lönnberg (1894) proposed the name Ichthyotostoma for this group of fish cestodes. Previously, Weinland (1858) (cited by Wardle & Mc Leod (1952), proposed the name Proteocephalus to designate some species that nowadays we know as proteocephalids. Notwithstanding, the validity of the name Proteocephalus, it was questioned by many authors, until La Rue (1914) established the validity of the genus, the most important of the Order Proteocephalida. In his revision, La Rue (1914) redescribed the known species and defined Monticellia in the following words: "Small head, globose, without folds or lappets tissue encircling suckers. Suckers sessile and without accessory areola. Testes, vitellaria and uterus entirely outside of the inner longitudinal muscle-sheaL Vitellaria composed of scattered follicles which form, broad lateral field. Numerous testes, in a single broad field between vitellaria. Uterus ventral, with many lateral pouches. Marginal genital pores, irregularly alternating. Bilobed ovary, situated partly within and partly outside the inner muscle-sheaL Type genus: M. coryphicephala(Monticelli, 1891)". La Rue added: "the writer suggests for it the family Monticelliidae".

Harwood (1933) discussed the classification of Proteocephalids and criticized the value of the inner longitudinal muscles in the taxonomy of the group; he commented that "we find ample evidence that characters involving the muscular system may be and, indeed, frequently are variable. In a number of these worms there is no inner muscular sheath, at least in the greater part of strobila, and the distinction between medulla and cortex is entirely lost"; and: "the inner longitudinal muscular layer may be extremely variable, even in a single species, and great caution must be exercised in assigning generic value to any variation of it ".

Woodland (1924-1935) studied a great quantity of material collected in South America (Amazon) and Africa; in successive papers he revised the taxonomy of proteocephalids and thus provided the principles of the actual classification. Woodland recognised differences in the internal arrangement of the gonads denoting subfamily distinctions, and differences in scolex structures as denoting generic and specific characteristics. Woodland (1933a,c, 1934b) accepted one family with eight subfamilies:

Proteocephalidae La Rue, 1911
Proteocephalinae Mola, 1929
Zygobothriinae Woodland, 1933
Marsipocephalinae Woodland, 1933
Ephedrocephalinae Mola, 1929
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Peltidocotylinae Woodland, 1934
Monticelliinae Mola, 1929
Rudolphphiiiniiae Woodland, 1935
Endorchiinae Woodland, 1934

WOODLAND contradicted the value of the characteristics of scolex, suckers, rostellum with hooks and metascolex in the definition of subfamilies and genera; which resulted in a reduction of well defined genera, such as Acanthotaenia, Gangesia and Corallobothrium, that were included in Proteocephalus. It is curious that WOODLAND (1925) previously stated: "It is evident therefore that the cortical or medullary situation of the vitellaria is a character of no more than generic value", and more: "... that compels us to conclude that they all belong to the family Proteocephalidae and that therefore there is no justification for segregating them into a separate family, LA RUE "Monticelliiidae, merely because the extension of the testes and other organs into the cortex".

WARDLE & Mc LEOD (1952) and YAMAGUTI (1959) agreed almost completely with Wodland's classification scheme. WARDLE & Mc LEOD (1952) classified the proteocephalideans in a rank of the order but restored the name Proteocephalidea Mola, 1928, according to the law of priority.

FREZE (1965) reviewed the proteocephalideans and subdivided the group more extensively than had previously been done. He utilized the internal arrangement of the gonads and relative development of uterus as superfamilial to subfamilial characters. He noted: "I emphasize the evolutionary isolation of the monticellid branch of Proteocephalata, characterized by the extrapolation of the gonads into the cortical parenchyma". He gave minor taxonomic importance to the vitellaria and concluded: "In typical proteocephalids only auxiliary glands (vitellaria) can pass into the cortex". FREZE also proposed a new family Ophiotaeniidae, based on some assumptions of morphology and life-cycle of Ophiotaenia species.

CLASSIFICATION OF FREZE (1965)
Suborder Proteocephalata Spassky, 1957
Proteocephaloidea Southwell, 1930
Proteocephalidae La Rue, 1911
Proteocephalinae Mola, 1929
Paraproteocephalinae Freze, 1963
Corallobothriinae Freze, 1965
Gangesiinae Mola, 1929
Sandonelliinae Khalil, 1960
Zygobothriinae Woodland, 1933
Ophiotaeniidae Freze, 1965
Ophiotaeniinae Freze, 1965
Acanthotaeniinae Freze, 1963
Monticellioidae Freze, 1963
Monticelliidae La Rue, 1911
Monticelliinae Mola, 1929
Rudolphiellinae Woodland, 1935
Endorchiinae Woodland, 1934
Marsipocephalinae Woodland, 1935
Ephedrocephalinae Mola, 1929
Peltidocotylinae Woodland, 1935

Brooks (1978) criticized the scheme of Freze (1965), reducing the taxonomic value of the uterus, attributed by Freze in the determination of the Monticelid's subfamilies. Brooks considered the uterus as of unique origin. He accepted two families, Proteocephalidae, characterized by its vitellaria situated in the medulla, and Monticelliidae, whose species have the vitellaria located in the cortex. Differing from Freze (1965), Marsipocephalinae is placed in the Proteocephalidae group, and Zygobothriinae in Monticelliidae group. Brooks did not accept the Ophiotaeniidae; being separated from Proteocephalidae. He accepted only one genus, Proteocephalus, to the species classified as Ophiotaenia and Proteocephalus.

Schmidt (1986) in his treatise of tapeworms, agreed in general terms with the classification of Proteocephalidea, but reduced the number of genera, especially the genera of Monticelliidae.

Rego (1987, 1994) accepted the current classification of Proteocephalidea, but criticizes the system of Woodland, noting that the finding of intermediate forms between proteocephalids and monticelliids, would necessitate a reevaluation of the taxonomy of the group.

In recent years a quantity of papers have appeared that describe new forms of proteocephalids and monticelliids from South America. Some of these species were provisionally classified, due to the difficulties of the authors to decide if the gonads and vitellaria of these forms are medullary or cortical. Some of these papers are: Brooks (1978), Brooks & Deardorff (1980), Brooks & Rasmussen (1984), Brooks et al. (1991), Chambrier (1987, 1988, 1989, 1990), Chambrier et al.' (1991), Chambrier & Rego (1994a, 1995), Pavanelli & Rego (1989, 1991, 1992), Rego (1984, 1985, 1987, 1989-1992, 1994), Rego & Pavanelli (1985, 1987, 1990, 1992), Schaeffer & Rego (1992).

Remarks

In order to have a better understanding of the problem of the taxonomy of the group, it will be commented on some aspects of its morphology, ontogeny, life-cycles and distribution of proteocephalids.

I. Morphology

The resemblance of the scolex and suckers of proteocephalids and cyclophyllideans is more apparent than real. The scolices of Cyclophyllidea are not really mobile; by contrast, the suckers of Proteocephalidea are very mobile, being capable of being pushed out, forward or backward or laterally, and retracted; this is characteristic of the primitive Orders of Cestoda. The musculature of proteo-
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coprocephalids scolex is not sufficiently differentiated to give it a constant form and as the name implies, it is constantly changing. In respect to the movement of the scolex and the action of the suckers, the proteocephalids resemble more the tetraphyllideans, but differing from them, the suckers are sessile; deep grooves between the suckers sometimes give the scolex a lobed appearance causing the suckers to be prominent. In several species of *Proteocephalus*, we can find an apical rudimentar sucker (fifth sucker) (Fig. 1) or an apical organ that consists of glandular-muscle elements (Fig. 2), a structure that is found in *Nomimoscolex* and other genera.

The forms of the suckers are varied; there are unguiculate protrusions in the suckers of *Harriscolex kaparari*, auriculate projections in *Houssayela sudobim*, apical lappets, in *Sandonella sandoni*, suckers with a sphincter as in *Marsypocephalus* and *Megathylicus* and spines on suckers borders, in *Spasskyelina* (Figs 6, 4, 7, 3, 5).

The "normal" condition of suckers in proteocephalids is uniloculate, but we found variations of the type, such as notched suckers in *Crepidobothrium*; bilobate suckers in *Amphoteroromorphus*; biloculate suckers in *Pettidocotyle*, *Tejidotaenia* and *Goeezelia*: triloculate suckers in *Gibsoniela*: tetraloculate in *Deblocktaenia*. There are also uniloculate suckers with two apertures in *Zygobothrium*: and two compartments with one aperture in *Brayela* (Figs 8, 12, 23, 10, 13, 15, 11, 14, 16) The reptilian genera *Ophiotaenia*, *Crepidobothrium*, *Macrobothriotaenia*, *Tejidotaenia* and *Deblocktaenia*, exhibit a gradation, from the simple uniloculate suckers as in *Ophiotaenia*, notched uniloculate suckers, in *Crepidobothrium*, to tetraloculate suckers, as in *Deblocktaenia* (Figs 8-11).

The metascolex is defined as a proliferation of neck tissue, encircling the suckers; occurring in several genera of proteocephalids, limited to the parasites of silurid fishes. It is a character easily recognised and distinctive (HARWOOD 1933). It is "collar-like" as in *Corallobothrium* and *Goeezelia*, or the metascolex is reduced, limited to the base of suckers, in *Choanoscolex abscisum* (Riggenbach, 1896). There exists also a third type of metascolex, originating from the proliferation of tissue around each sucker, as described by BROOKS & RASMUSSEN (1984) to *Amphoteromorphus praeputialis* Rego, Santos & Silva, 1974 (Figs 17, 18, 25). Some species, especially from South America have furrows and wrinkles in the scolex and neck, making it difficult to decide about the existence of a true metascolex; such is the case for instance in the species *Nomimoscolex pirarrara* (Woodland, 1935), whose scolex is sometimes inflated, with furrows on it (Fig. 26). Species with metascolex have uniloculate and biloculate suckers; in one species, *Othinuscolex lenha* Woodland, 1933, suckers were not observed (Fig.24).

The Acanlhotaeniinae have scolex and the anterior part of the strobila is covered by spines, and the apical region of scolex developed a "piercing organ" (Fig. 27).

Gangesiinae exhibit a kind of rostellum with one or more rows of hooks, comparable to the rostellum with hooks in Taeniidae (Cyclophyllidea); this is an interesting case of homoplacies, a convergent evolution (Fig. 28).
In the proteocephalids which are considered primitive, mature and gravid proglottids are wider than they are long, however, most of the known species have proglottids longer than they are wide or at times even square. Generally the strobila is acraspedote, but some species have a kind of vellum, being craspedotes, as in *Zygobothrium megacephalum* Diesing, 1850 and *Myzophorus pirarara* Woodland, 1935.

In most species of proteocephalids, the distinction between cortex and medulla is easily seen, provided by a developed system of longitudinal muscle fibres, but in some species the distinction is not possible, due to the practically inexistent musculature being inconspicuous. In some species, especially from South American proteocephalids, the medulla is reduced to a thin strip and by contrast the cortex is greatly enlarged, to accommodate the gonads and uterus; however this is not a rule; there is species of *Monticellia* with developed muscles and others whose musculature is inconspicuous. In *Proteocephalus* from South America fishes, some species exhibit the same features (Figs 29, 31a,b, 30).
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In proteocephalids the vitellaria is medullar or cortical; but it is not an absolute rule; in some genera, as *Nomimoscolex*, *Proteocephalus* and *Gibsoniela*, some vitelline follicles are located between the longitudinal muscles, some are in the cortex and others in the medulla (Fig. 32). That situation was designated paramuscular by CHAMBRIER (1990). It is difficult to ascertain the polarity, in the evolution, of the vitellaria.

In characteristic proteocephalids, the vitellaria is represented by two wide lateral bands, passing along the lateral limits of the parenchyma, from the anterior to the posterior part of proglottid margin. There are variations; in the genera *Amphoteromorphus* and *Goezeella*, the vitellarian follicles being arranged in a triangular shape; in *Paraprotocephalus* the vitelline follicles curve in towards the ovary, assuming an L-shaped form. In *Sandonella* the vitellaria is concentrated in two massive bodies posterior to the ovary (Figs 36, 35, 37, 38). The last form could represent a tendency toward concentration of vitellaria, as observed in the order Cyclophyllidea.

Figs 5-7. Scolex. (5) *Spasskyelina spinulifera* (Woodland, 1934); (6) *Harriscolelex kaparari* (Woodland, 1935); (7) *Sandonella sandoni* (Lynsdale, 1960). All after REGO (1994).
The ovary is of the tetraphyllidean type, biwinged or bilobate, and it is unilaminar. The ovary originated in the medulla, even in the genera whose ovary invades the cortex, the lobes crossing between the longitudinal muscles fibres.

The testes are primarily medullary, but in monticelliids from South America and Marsipocephalus from Africa, they are situated in the cortex. Generally the testes occupy one or two layers of parenchyma.

Concerning the uterus, it is generally medullar, but in some genera, as Monticellia and Othinoscolex, it is situated mostly in the cortex. In Sandonella there is an uterine reservoir situated in the cortex. However, the origin of the uterus is always medullar, but its developing parts can invade the cortex. It is clear that the position of the uterus in parenchyma is not a reliable character to separate taxa, as utilized by previous authors. The uterus begins as a longitudinal tube; sometimes preformed in some species; from this tube emerges lateral outgrowths, diverticula, that is the primary condition of proteocephalids. There are some variations, for instance in Paraproteocephalus, the diverticula develops anteriorly and posteriorly, instead of laterally (Figs 34, 37). We can find other modifications in Sandonella, the uterus being transformed into a voluminous sac. Egg capsules are rare in Proteocephalids, but they are found in Kapsulotaenia (Acanthotaeninae). The eggs are round or sometimes spindle-shaped, with two embryonic
Figs 12-16. (12) Amphoteromorphus peniculus Diesing, 1850, scolex section; (13) Goezeela siluri Fuhrmann, 1916, scolex part. Original; (14) Zygobothrium megacephalum Diesing, 1850, scolex; (15) Gibsoniela mandube (Woodland, 1935), scolex; (16) Brayela karuatayi (Woodland, 1934), scolex. All after REGO (1994).

envelops, one inner and one outer membrane. The external membrane is very delicate and variable in form; polar filaments can exist in some species. The hooks of the onchosphaera sometimes are not seen in proteocephalids from South America freshwater fishes.

II. Ontogeny

FREZE (1965) and FREEMAN (1973) discussed the existence of a general ancestral tetraphyllid stem. They considered that, the separation of these two cestodes branches from the common ancestral stem took place in the very distant past, leading to Acanthodi and Elasmobranchi, in the Ordovician to the Silurian. It is generally accepted that proteocephalids originated in the Gondwana. Note that most of the actual species are present in the Continents that derived from the Gondwana (actually South America, Africa, India, Australia).
Pattern of evolution of proteocephalideans and cyclophyllideans, adapted from Freeman (1973):

```
   Primitive Cyclophyllidea  Evolved Cyclophyllidea
   Proteocephalidea

Non primary lacuna  Primary lacuna
Tetraphyllidea  Primitive Proteocephalidea

Tetraphyllidean-proteocephalidean ancestry
```

Some authors assumed that a tetrafossate tetraphyllidean-proteocephalidean was the ancestor from which modern tetraphyllideans and other marine forms, proteocephalideans (freshwater) and cyclophyllideans (terrestrial) evolved. Other authors suggested that most primitive cestodes were neither di nor tetrafossate, having rather a single apical sucker-like or a glandular holdfast; that structure may be atrophied, in the form of a glandular apical mass present in many actual species (apical glandular organ of *Proteocephalus*, *Nomimoscolex* and other genera).

There is little doubt that Cyclophyllidea evolved from Proteocephalidea (Wardle & McLeod 1952; Jarecka 1975). Proteocephalidea and Tetraphyllidea are sister groups, which is demonstrated by morphological similarities.

Freze (1965) considered the proteocephalideans a monophyletic group.

Many authors believe that the genus *Proteocephalus* exhibits archetypic characters, such as uniloculate suckers, absence of metascolex and vitellaria, medullar and lateral. However, Henning, cited in Brooks (1985) objected to the use of hypothetical idealized archetype ancestors. He asserted that all species are composite of ancestral and derived traits, therefore, there are no such things as archetypes that by definition are all primitive.

There is agreement that the presence of metascolex is an acquisition that facilitates the attachment to the wall of intestine; but the authors speculated if the appearance of metascolex in genera from other continents could be a evolutionary convergence or whether the isolation of these forms followed the ancestral stem, a synapomorphic characteristic.

Concerning the vitellaria, Proteocephalideans, except Monticelliids from South America, most Lecanicephalideans, most Tetraphyllideans, the Cyclophyllideans and a few Pseudophyllideans have medullar vitellaria. The vitellaria cortical is found in Monticelliids, most Pseudophyllideans, some Tetraphyllideans and Lecanicephalideans and all Trypanorhyncha; it is difficult to decide which condition is ancestral, plesiomorphic, but it is probably that in Proteocephalids the primitive condition is the location in the medulla, with follicles disposed laterally.
Figs 17-21. Scolex. (17) *Choanoscolex abscisus* (Riggenbach, 1895); (18) *Corallobothrium fimbriatum* (Essex, 1928); (19) *Spatulifer rugosa* (Woodland, 1935); (20) *Jauella glandicephalus* Rego & Pavanelli, 1985; (21) *Corallotaenia parva* (Larsch, 1941). All after Rego (1994).
Figs 22-26. (22) Megathyiacoides giganteum (Essex, 1928), scolex, after REGO (1994); (23) Peltidocotyle rugosa Diesing, 1850, scolex, after FUHRMANN (1934); (24) Othinoscolex lenha Woodland, 1933, scolex, after REGO (1994); (25) Amphoteromorphus praeputialis Rego, Santos & Silva, 1974, original; (26) Nomimoscolex pirarara (Woodland, 1935), scolex inflated, (s) suckers, after WOODLAND (1935).
and longitudinally. The tendency of the vitellaria to concentrate in a triangular arrangement as in *Amphoteromorphus*, or concentrate in two massive bodies as in *Sandonella*, probably is apomorphic, descendent condition, tending to a compact vitellaria, as in Cyclophyllidea.

Figs 27-28. Scolex. (27) *Kapsulotaenia sandgroundi* (Carter, 1943); (28) *Gangesia parasituri* Yamaguti, 1934. All after Rego (1994).

III. Life-cycles

JARECKA (1975) stated that Proteocephalids and Cyclophyllids are viviparous organisms, meaning that onchosperma matures in the uterus, not in the external medium, as occur, for instance, in Pseudophyllideans.

Proteocephalids are cercomeric forms, discarding the cercomer in intermediate hosts. The authors believe that some proteocephalids have a cysticercoid larva between the stages of procercoid and plerocercoid. The form cysticercoid was found in Corallobothriinae and in *Ophiothelaenia* (Freeman 1973; Jerecka 1975). These cysticercoids are homologous with the cysticercoid stage of Cyclophyllidea; it possibly represents a symptom of the complication of the life-cycle in proteocephalids.

If the cysticercoid finds itself in the intestine of a reservoir host, it develops into a plerocercoid, but if the cysticercoid remains encysted in the organs it is a dead end, due to the impossibility for it to reach sexual maturity. The stage of cysticercoid could be an obligatory phase of evolution in the reservoir host while the definitive host is a carnivorous fish. To note that encysted cysticercoids are common in South American freshwater fishes (Schaeffer & Rego 1992), by contrast, the presence of plerocercoids is not so common in these fishes. Unfortunately, it is not know enough about the life-cycle of the South American proteocephalids to evaluate the role of the cysticercoids in the dispersion of the species.
Figs (29-32). *Proteocephalus* sp. section, gonads and vitellaria medullary, longitudinal musculature well developed, original; (30) *Choanoscolex abscisus* (Riggenbach, 1896), section of proglottid, gonads and vitellaria cortical, (ut) uterus, (vit) vitellaria, (t) testes, after REGO (1990); (31) *Sciadocephalus megalodiscus* Diesing, 1850, sections of proglottids, no distinction between cortex and medulla, after REGO (1994); (32) *Nupelia portoriquensis* Pavanelli & Rego, 1991, section of proglottid, gonadas and vitellaria partly medullar, partly cortical, after REGO (1994).

**IV. Distribution**

The cosmopolitan genera *Proteocephalus* and *Ophiotaenia* have a great geographical dispersal, however, many of the proteocephalids genera are regional, as the monticelliid genera, limited to South America, and *Marsipocephalus*, limited to Africa. The greatest concentration of species, specially from *Proteocephalus*, occur in the Northern hemisphere, where the fewest genera of the order occur; most of proteocephalid genera are found in the Southern hemisphere. The
Figs (33-38). Ophiotaenia filaroides La Rue, 1909, mature proglottid, vitellaria lateral, after Wardle & McLeod (1952); (34) Vaucheniella bicheti de Chambrier, 1987, vitellaria lateral, limited to posterior part of proglottid, after Rego (1994); (35) Gozezeilla siluri Fuhrmann, 1916, vitellaria developed, curves toward the ovary, after Brooks & Rasmussen (1984); (36) Amphoteromorphus peniculus Diesing, 1850, vitellaria triangular, (ut) uterus, (test) testes, (vag) vagina, (cir) cirrus pouch, (vit) vitellaria, (ov) ovary, after Woodland (1933); (37) Paraprotocephalus parasiluri (Zmeev, 1936), vitellaria L-shaped, after Rego (1994); (38) Sandonella sandoni (Lynsdale, 1960), vitellaria in the form of two massive bodies posterior to ovary (arrow), after Rego (1994).
Siluriform fishes are the principal hosts of proteocephalids in South America, while in Northern hemisphere a great diversification of hosts, beyond Siluriforms, has occurred (Brooks 1978).

The proteocephalidean fauna of the Ethiopian region is somewhat similar to that of South America in a number of nearly related genera of parasites of Siluriform fishes, especially Marsipocephalus and Proteocephalus.

There is a wide distribution of the highly organized Gangesiinae. The genus Silurotaenia, and especially Gangesia, emphasize the connections with the Palearctic regions; Electrotaenia with the Ethiopian region, and genus Vermaia, a parasite of the Indian Siluroids.

The curious genus Macrobothriotaenia (Proteocephalinae) parasites of snakes from Madagascar, whose fauna is poorly studied. This genus is related to the South American Crepidobothrium. The Corallobothriinae consists of numerous genera, whose metastylex is well developed or not.;. It is added to this subfamily, beyond Corallobothrium, Corallootaenia and Paraproteocephalus (from Russia), the following genera from South America:

Goezeella, Spatulifer, Megathylicus, Rudolphiella, Amphoteromorphus, Jauella, Ephedrocephalus, Peltidocotyle, Othinoscolex, Woodlandiella and Choanoscolex.

The Acanthotaeniinae parasitize reptiles, with a great dispersal of its genera Acanthotaenia and Kapsulotaenia; in Varanids and Monitors from Africa, India, Malaysia, Indonesia and Australia; Brooks & Schmidt (1978) described a species of Acanthotaenia from Puerto Rico. The Gangesiinae and Acanthotaeniinae are related subfamilies.

**DISCUSSION**

The scheme of Woodland was useful for decades, but with the discovery of new forms, with characters intermediate between the proteocephalids and monticelliids (sensu Woodland), it reduced the value of the arrangement of vitellaria and gonads in the cortical parenchyma, as characteristically distinctive. Unfortunatly, the majority of proteocephalid species were described from the Palearctic region (Europe and North America), especially the Proteocephalus species. Since Woodland (1933-1935) few papers have appeared describing South American proteocephalids. In the last years this fauna is being scrutinized, and with the knowledge of the proteocephalideans fauna from that region it became evident that the actual taxonomy of the group, especially of the Monticelliids, needs to be revised. The authors had difficulties in identifying the taxon of many described species; for instance, Rego & Pavaneli (1987) described Travassiesta avitellina, a species characterized by the apparent absence of vitellaria and longitudinal muscles. Naturally it was not possible to determine the high taxon to which the species belongs. A better example could be the species Nupelia portoriquensis Pavaneli & Rego, 1991, whose reproductive organs and vitelline follicles are situated partly in the medulla and partly in the cortex, making it impossible to determine the subfamily sensu Woodland. Notice that the well
known genus *Proteocephalus* has also species with inconspicuous musculature; the identification of taxa results doubtful.

Woodland (1933-1935) and Freze (1965) suggested that the group of Monticelliids could be characterized by the progressive weakness of musculature of the parenchyma, thus enabling the migration of the reproductive organs to the cortical parenchyma. This is a faulty assertion; there are many examples of Monticelliids with well developed musculature; in species of *Goezeella, Amphoteromorphus* and *Nomimoscolex* there are species with developed longitudinal musculature, together with others with inconspicuous musculature. However, in many Proteocephalidae, as in some species of *Proteocephalus* and *Acanthotaenia*, the longitudinal musculature is weak or inconspicuous.

The vitellaria is medullar in the majority of species of Cestodes, but the cortical situation of vitellaria is not rare, not only limited to South American species. Vitellaria cortical or partly cortical is present in species of *Proteocephalus* and *Gangesia* from other Continents (cf. Freze 1965). To complicate, the South American species, *Gibsoniela mandibulare* (Woodland, 1935), *Houssayela sudobill* (Woodland, 1935) and *Proteocephalus paraguayensis* Chambrier, 1990 have the vitelline follicles situated between the fibres of the longitudinal muscles (paramuscular). It becomes clear that the arrangement of vitelline follicles is not a sufficient character trait to isolate these species from the Proteocephalidae; and of course, the use of only one characteristic to define the taxon family is not reasonable.

The migration of the gonads and uterus to the cortex constitutes an important characteristic, showing an accentuated degree of evolution, but I think it is not necessary to isolate these species from the Proteocephalidae. Note that the *Marsipocephalus* from Africa have the testes situated in the cortex, as occurs in the monticelliids from South America; it could be a character inherited from a common ancestry in the Gondwana.

Concerning the uterus and ovary, their origin is in the medulla, but developing diverticula of uterus and the lobes of ovary can be introduced into the cortex, passing through the fibres of longitudinal muscles of parenchyma. This character is very common in South American fish proteocephalids.

The author has observed that the cortical situation of these organs is not complete, unlike that represented in the schematic sections of the Woodland's subfamilies, Monticelliinae, Peltidocotylinae, Ephedrocephalinae and Rudolphelliinae, Rego (1991) invalidated Endorchiinae and genus *Endorchis*.

In short, the Proteocephalidea is a monophyletic group, with a common ancestry in the Gondwana. There is no justification to isolate a Monticelliidae family, to place the genera that exhibits migration of vitellaria and or gonads to the cortex. However, these characters are considered very important at the level of genera: consequently, it is proposed the elimination of Monticelliidae and its subfamilies. The genera of Monticelliidae are validated and included in the families of Corallobothrininae and Proteocephalinae, depending on the presence or not of metascolex. The subfamilies Sandonelliinae, Gangesiinae and Acanthotaeniinae, are maintained, because they have sufficient characteristics to distinguish them from...
the other taxa. The Marsypocephalinae Woodland, 1933 is eliminated for unnecessary and the genus *Marsypocephalus* Wedl, 1861 is transferred to Proteocephalinae.

The uniformity of the Proteocephalidea is reestablished with this classification; as mentioned, the available information indicates the monophyletic origin of the group in the Gondwana. The proteocephalideans are a very old group, comparable in this aspect to the Tetraphyllidea; however, they are very evolved, as expressed by the development of certain anatomical features, such as the rostellum with hooks in Acanthotaeniinae, the tendency of concentration of vitellaria in Sandonelliinae, and with the existence of a stage of cysticercoid in the life-cycle of some species. Note that this classification is based solely on morphological concepts, not in phylogenetic analysis. Notwithstanding, it is possible that in the future, discoveries on the life-cycle of South American and African species, and the finding of new forms of Proteocephalids, could carry to some modifications in this scheme.

**Proteocephalidea Mola, 1928**  
**Proteocephalidae La Rue, 1911**

Diagnosis. Scolex of varied forms. Reproductive organs medullary or medullary-cortical in varied combinations. Vitellaria medullar, cortical or paramuscular. Parenchyma usually divided into cortical and medullary regions by distinct layer of longitudinal muscle fibres. Parasites of freshwater fishes, amphibians and reptiles. In North America, South America, Africa, Europe, Asia, Australia. Type genus: *Proteocephalus* Weinland, 1858.

Key to subfamilies:

1a – Scolex without metascolex ................................................................. 2
1b – Scolex with metascolex ................................................................. Corallobothriinae Freze, 1965

Diagnosis. Suckers covered by folds of metascolex tissue, with or without sphincter; or the metascolex is reduced, with some folds between the suckers or at base of them. Vitellarium and genitalia medullary or cortical-medullary, in varied combinations. In Siluroid fishes from North America, South America, Africa and Russia (Far East). Type genus *Corallobothrium* Fritsch, 1866.

2a – Vitellarium follicular, lateral ................................................................. 3
2b – Vitellarium not follicular, in the form of two massive bodies, posterior to ovary ................................................................. Sandonelliinae Khalil, 1960

Diagnosis. Scolex without metascolex, but with a highly modified apical suckorial organ formed of two lappets. Genitalia medullary. Uterus saccular. Vitellarium near posterior margin of segments. Uterus form round sac that invades cortex. Strobila craspedote. In African osteoglossid fishes. Type and only genus *Sandonella* Khalil, 1960.
3a – Scolex lacking rostellum or spines. Vitellarium and reproductive organs medullary or cortical. Scolex globular. Suckers of varied forms .................................................. Proteocephalinae Mola, 1929
Diagnosis. Rostellum and metascolex absent. Suckers acetabular, uniloculate, biloculate, triloculate or tetraloculate; horny projections may be found. Fifth or apical sucker and an apical glandular-muscular organ may be present. Vitellarium lateral. Gonads and vitellaria entirely medullary or partly medullar-cortical in varied combinations. Cosmopolitan. Type genus Proteocephalus Weinland, 1858.

3b – Scolex with armed rostellum or "piercing organ" .......................... 4

4a – Scolex with armed rostellum .................................................... Gangesiinae Mola, 1929
Diagnosis. Rostellum armed, non-retractable; one, two or several circles of hooks present. Suckers and neck spined or not. Vitellarium lateral. Ovary bilobed. In Siluroid fishes. Africa, Europe, Asia. Type genus Gangesia Woodland, 1924.

4b – Scolex with specialized rostellum ("piercing organs") of muscular-glandular structure .................................................. Acanthotaeniinae Freze, 1963
Diagnosis. Scolex and anterior part of strobila covered with dense network of spines. Reproductive organs medullary. Mehlis' gland highly developed. Longitudinal musculature weakly developed. Some species with egg capsules. In reptiles Varanids. Asia, Australia, Puerto Rico. Type genus Acanthotaenia Linstow, 1903.

Proteocephalinae

Genera:
Proteocephalus Weinland, 1858 (Syn. Ichthyotaenia Lonnberg, 1894)
Ophiotaenia La Rue, 1911 (Syn. Batrachotaenia Rudin, 1917; Solenotaenia Beddard, 1913; Testudotaenia Freze, 1965).
Crepidobothrium Monticelli, 1900 (Syn. Ophidiotaenia Beddard, 1913).
Travassieilla Rego & Pavanelli, 1987
Macrobothriotaenia Freze, 1965
Brayela Rego, 1984
Gibsoniela Rego, 1984
Tejidotaenia Freze, 1965
Deblocktaenia Odening, 1963
Spasskyelina Freze, 1965
Monticellia La Rue, 1911
Nomimoscolex Woodland, 1934 (Syn. Endorchis Woodland, 1934; Myzophorus Woodland, 1934).
Vaucheriella Chambrier, 1987
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Zygobothrium Diesing, 1850
Houssayela Rego, 1987
Harriscolex Rego, 1987
Nupelia Pavanelli & Rego, 1991
Cangatiella Pavanelli & Machado dos Santos, 1991
Marsypocephalus Wedl, 1861 (Syn. Loennbergia Fuhrmann & Baer, 1925)

Corallobothriinae

Genera:
Corallobothrium Fritsch, 1886
Megathylicus Woodland, 1934
Megathylicoides Jones, Kerly & Sneed, 1956
Corallotaenia Freze, 1965
Paraproteocephalus Chen, 1962
Spatulifer Woodland, 1934
Goezeella Fuhrmann, 1916
Paramonticellia Pavanelli & Rego, 1991
Choanoscole La Rue, 1911
Amphoteromorphus Diesing, 1850
Peltidocotyle Diesing, 1850
Othinoscole Woodland, 1933
Jauella Rego & Pavanelli, 1985
Woodlandiella Freze, 1965
Ephedrocephalus Diesing, 1850
Rudolphiella Fuhrmann, 1916 (Syn. Amphilaphorchis Woodland, 1934)
Sciadocephalus Diesing, 1850 genera inquirenda
Manaosia Woodland, 1935 genera inquirenda

Acanthotaeniinae Freze, 1963

Genera:
Acanthotaenia von Linstow, 1903
Kapsulotaenia Freze, 1963 (Syn. Capsulotaenia Freze, 1965; ? Postgangesia Akhmerov, 1969)

Gangesiinae Mola, 1929

Genera:
Gangesia Woodland, 1924
Electrotaenia Nybelin, 1942
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Silurotania Nybelin, 1942
Vermaia Nybelin, 1942

Sandonelliinae Khalil, 1960
Type and only genus Sandonella Khalil, 1960
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