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Replicating the benefits of Deutschian closed timelike curves without breaking causality
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In general relativity, closed timelike curves can break causality with remarkable and unsettling consequences. At the classical level, they induce causal paradoxes disturbing enough to motivate conjectures that explicitly prevent their existence. At the quantum level such problems can be resolved through the Deutschian formalism, however this induces radical benefits—from cloning unknown quantum states to solving problems intractable to quantum computers. Instinctively, one expects these benefits to vanish if causality is respected. Here we show that in harnessing entanglement, we can efficiently solve NP-complete problems and clone arbitrary quantum states—even when all time-travelling systems are completely isolated from the past. Thus, the many defining benefits of Deutschian closed timelike curves can still be harnessed, even when causality is preserved. Our results unveil a subtle interplay between entanglement and general relativity, and significantly improve the potential of probing the radical effects that may exist at the interface between relativity and quantum theory.
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INTRODUCTION

Causality aligns with our natural sense of reality. We expect there to be a natural chronology to our reality—two events should not be simultaneous causes for each other. The breaking of causality defies classical logic, resulting in causal paradoxes with no simple solution—the iconic example being the case where a man travels back in time to kill his own grandfather. Thus, physical predictions that break causality face intense scrutiny—often considered to be theoretical artifacts that are likely suppressed once we gain a more complete understanding of reality—motivating various chronology protection conjectures.1

Nevertheless, causality breaking theories are consistent with current scientific knowledge. Closed timelike curves (CTCs) are valid solutions of Einstein’s equations in general relativity.2-4 Meanwhile, Deutsch proposed the first model of CTCs, such that in the quantum regime, the resulting causal paradoxes always have self-consistent solutions.5 This resolution, however, has radical operational consequences. Many foundational constraints of quantum theory break. Non-orthogonal quantum states can be perfectly distinguished, the uncertainty principle can be violated, and arbitrary unknown quantum states can be cloned to any fixed fidelity.6-8 In harnessing these effects, many problems thought to be intractable for standard quantum computers now field efficient solutions.9-12 Though radical, these effects seem somewhat rationalized in the context of requiring broken causality—the sentiment being that they are curiosities that will vanish once causality is imposed.

What happens, however, if causality is not strictly broken? In this context, Pienaar et al. considered a special case of Deutschian CTCs known as open timelike curves6 (OTCs). Consider a particle that travels back in time with respect to a chronology-respecting observer, but is completely isolated from anything that can affect its own causal past during the time-traveling process (See Figure 1). While the time-traveling particle has the potential to break causality, its complete isolation ensures that causality never actually breaks. Nevertheless, such OTCs can violate uncertainty principles between position and momentum. This opens a remarkable possibility—could the many other radical effects of CTCs stand independent from the breaking of causality?

Here, we demonstrate that OTCs are remarkably powerful, and can replicate many defining operational benefits of Deutschian CTCs. In sending a particle back in time—even when it interacts with nothing in its causal past—we can clone arbitrary quantum states to any fixed accuracy, and thus violate any uncertainty principle. Meanwhile, they also grant quantum processors additional computational power, allowing efficient solution of NP-complete problems. Our results hint that the remarkable power of Deutschian CTCs may survive the censorship of chronology protection. This drastically improves the potential of harnessing such power via alternative effects—such as certain models of gravitational time dilation.13 Thus, we open the possibility of testing the many radical protocols that harness CTCs in significantly less controversial settings.

RESULTS

Framework

In general relativity, causality can be violated due to the presence of spacetime wormholes that facilitate closed timelike curves (See Figure 1). This can lead to physical processes where a system $A$, starting out in a state $p^{(0)}$, interacts with a second system $A'$ in state $p_{CTC}$ via a unitary $U$. System $A'$ then enters the wormhole and...
becomes system $A'$. The Deutschian model, resolves potential paradoxes by enforcing temporal self-consistency conditions.\(^5,14\)

$$\rho_{\text{CTC}} = \text{Tr}_{A'A} \left[ U(\rho^{(\text{in})}_{A'B} \otimes \rho_{\text{CTC}}) U^\dagger \right].$$  

(1)

where $\text{Tr}_{A'A}$ represents tracing over everything apart from system $A$. Given a solution for $\rho_{\text{CTC}}$, the final output of the process is given by

$$\rho^{(\text{out})}_{A'B} = \text{Tr}_{A'} \left[ U(\rho^{(\text{in})}_{A'B} \otimes \rho_{\text{CTC}}) U^\dagger \right].$$  

(2)

The many radical effects of CTCs rely on using specific self-interactions $U$ to break causality in different ways.\(^6,7,p,11\)

Note that since the effects of CTCs are non-linear, many conventional assumptions of linear quantum mechanics break. One consequence is that different unravellings of a density operator give different predictions, and therefore must be treated very carefully. While the above analysis does not assume $\rho^{(\text{in})}$ is pure, these conditions only apply to mixed inputs if $\rho^{(\text{in})}$ represents one partition of a larger composite system that is pure. In cases where the input state is deterministically prepared in a pure state, shot-by-shot, but the particular state may change shot-by-shot, there remains some ambiguity. The conventional view is taken by Bacon,\(^10\) Brun et al.\(^s\) and Pienaar et al.\(^t\)—that sub-ensembles of identical input states should be treated as pure. Others argue that if this sequence is truly random, then the randomness must always be treated as if it arose from the purification of an entangled quantum state.\(^\text{15}\) The former view, which we adopt here, is supported by studies of CTCs in the context of information flow.\(^\text{16}\) Also note that if the sequence of input states were chosen via a pseudo random number generator, then both perspectives agree.

In OTCs, causality is preserved. The unitary $U$ is the identity—such that the time-travelling system does not interact with its causal past. Any observer in the frame of reference of the time travelling system can assign a valid chronology to all the events they witness. Meanwhile, to any outside observer, all events involving interactions with the time travelling system will occur in causal sequence. From an operational standpoint, there is no breaking of causality. If all information were classical, this entire procedure would only have the effect of desynchronizing a time traveller’s clock with that of an observer.

To observe non-trivial effects we must introduce an ancilla. Suppose we have access to a bipartite system $AB$ in state $\rho_{AB}^\text{in}$, where only one bipartition is sent through the OTC (see Figure 2). The self-consistency relations requires

$$\rho_{\text{CTC}} = \text{Tr}_{A'} [ U(\rho^{(\text{in})}_{AB} \otimes \rho_{\text{CTC}}) U^\dagger ].$$

In the case of OTCs, this implies that system $A$ has state $\rho_{\text{OTC}} = \text{Tr}_A [ \rho^{(\text{in})}_{AB} \otimes \rho_{\text{OTC}} ] = \rho_A$ after application of the protocol.
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**Figure 1.** Deutschian timelike curves. (a) depicts a physical visualization of a CTC, where an object entering one mouth of a wormhole at some point $t_A$ may jump to a prior time $t_B$ (with respect to an chronology respecting observer) and interact with its past self via some unitary $U$. (b) In the special case where no interaction occurs, we obtain an open timelike curve. This naturally occurs, for example, in instances where the wormhole mouths are spatially separated.
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**Figure 2.** CTCs and OTCs in presence of ancilla. A represents the system to be sent through the spacetime wormhole, $A'$ represents the same system after it passes through the spacetime wormhole, and $B$ some chronology-respecting system initially correlated with $A$. (a) In general CTCs, temporal self-consistency demands that $\rho_{\text{CTC}}$ satisfies $\rho_{\text{CTC}} = U(\rho^{(\text{in})}_{AB} \otimes \rho_{\text{CTC}}) U^\dagger$. (b) In the case of OTCs, this implies that system $A$ has state $\rho_{\text{OTC}} = \text{Tr}_A [ \rho^{(\text{in})}_{AB} \otimes \rho_{\text{OTC}} ] = \rho_A$ after application of the protocol.
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The protocol is illustrated in Figure 3. Let $|j\rangle : j = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1$ denote a basis that diagonalizes $O$. On this basis, we introduce the two qudit controlled addition operator, $C_j |i\rangle |j\rangle = |i\rangle |j+i\rangle$, where addition is done modulo $d$. We then

1. Prepare $N$ identical ancillary states in an eigenstates of $O$, say $|0\rangle$.
2. Apply the $C_j$ operations $N$ times, each controlled on $\rho$ and targeting a fresh ancilla state. This correlates $\rho$ with each of the $N$ ancillaries.
3. Pass each of the ancillaries through an OTC to destroy all correlations in this $N+1$-partite system.

This results in $N+1$ uncorrelated qubits, each in state $\rho_{\text{diag}} = \sum_j \rho_j |j\rangle \langle j|$, where $\rho_j$ are the diagonal elements of $\rho$ in the $O$ basis. Thus, each qubit exhibits identical statistics to $\rho$ when measured in the $O$ basis. In terms of causality, the error of our estimate scales linearly with $1/N$. In particular, provided the eigenvalues of $O$ are bounded, Hoeffding’s bound implies we can estimate $O$ to any desired accuracy $\delta$ and error rate $\epsilon$ using $O(1/\delta^2 \log(1/\epsilon))$ OTCs (see methods for details).

We note this technique shares similarities with the proposal of Brun et al., who in their paper used closed timelike curves to perform the same task.

Solving NP-complete problems

We take inspiration from Bacon, who devised an efficient algorithm to solve the boolean satisfiability problem—a known NP-complete problem—using CTCs. We modify this algorithm to preserve causality—without losing efficiency. In the causality breaking algorithm, the key role of CTCs is to implement the non-linear map $S$ that maps an input qubit in state $\rho_{(\sigma_j)}$ to an output state $\rho'(\sigma_j)$, where $\rho'(\sigma_j) = \frac{1}{2} (I + \sigma_j \sigma_j)$ and $\sigma_j = |0\rangle \langle 0| - |1\rangle \langle 1|$ denotes the Pauli $Z$ matrix (see methods for details).

This non-linear map can be replicated without breaking causality (see Figure 4). Consider a special case of OTC enhanced measurement, with $\sigma_z$ as the observable of interest and a single ancilla. For the input qubit $\rho$ with matrix elements $\rho_{ij}$, application of the enhanced measurement protocol outputs two uncorrelated qubits, each in state $\rho_{\text{diag}} = \rho_{00} |0\rangle \langle 0| + \rho_{11} |1\rangle \langle 1|$. Instead of measuring each in $\sigma_z$ directly, we apply a further $C_z$ gate controlled on the ancilla. After discarding the ancilla, the input qubit is now transformed to $S(\rho)$ as required.

In generating $S(\rho)$ using only OTCs, we can translate Bacon’s algorithm into one that does not break causality. We note that as each call of $S(\rho)$ only takes one OTC, the translation from CTCs to OTCs incurs no overhead on the number of times a particle needs to be sent through a spacetime wormhole. Thus, for the purpose of solving NP-complete problems, an OTC, together with one bit of entanglement, is at least as powerful as a CTC.

Cloning with OTCs

Given an unknown input $\rho$, OTCs allow us to generate an unlimited number of clones to arbitrary fidelity. Our approach harnesses OTC enhanced measurements as a subroutine, which allows us to accurately determine $\text{Tr}[M\rho]$ for any observable $M$. First, observe that this remains possible even if we are supplied with $\rho' = sp + \frac{1-s}{d}I$, \hspace{1cm} (4)

a very noisy version of $\rho$. Here $I$ is the $d$-dimensional identity matrix, and $s$ is some fixed parameter such that $0 < s < 1$.

This observation, together with an imperfect quantum cloner, forms the basis of our OTC enhanced cloning protocol (Figure 5). In conventional quantum theory, a unknown quantum state $\rho$ can be cloned if we are given sufficiently many copies to
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\caption{Quantum circuit of OTC enhanced measurement. The protocol first introduces $N$ ancilla qudits, all of which are initialized in the state $|0\rangle$, where $|0\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $O$. A sequence of $C_j$ gates then perfectly correlates each ancilla with $\rho$ with respect to $O$ basis. The erasure of these correlations via OTCs, followed by $O$ measurements on each individual qudit, allows determination of $\text{Tr}[O\rho]$ to a standard error that scales inversely with $N^2$.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Solving NP-complete problems with OTCs. The key non-linear gate $S$, that takes $\rho_{(\sigma_j)}$ to $\rho'(\sigma_j)$, can be implemented via open timelike curves. This is achieved by the use of a single OTC, applied between two successive $C_j$ gates.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{OTC Assisted Cloning An arbitrary qudit $\rho$ can be cloned to any desired fidelity. The process involves (i) application of a standard quantum cloner $C$ to generate $O(\rho)$ imperfect copies, and (ii) use of OTC enhanced measurements to measure different observables $M$ on each imperfect copy. We can choose $M$ to be informationally-complete, and OTCs ensure that we can determine $\text{Tr}[M\rho]$ to any desired precision. Thus this protocol can yield (to any fixed precision) the classical description of $\rho$.}
\end{figure}
perform accurate tomography. One way to do this, is to use a set of $O(d^2)$ informationally-complete measurements $\{M_i\}$, whose expectation values $\text{Tr}[\rho M_i]$ have a one-to-one correspondence with the classical matrix description of $\rho$. If there is only a single copy of $\rho$, this option is no longer available. Recently, Brun et al. demonstrated that closed timelike curves circumvent this restriction, and allow the estimation of each $\{M_i\}$ to any desired accuracy.

OTC enhancement measurements can replicate this effect while preserving causality. We use standard methods to construct $O(d^2)$ imperfect clones in the form of equation (4), where $s$ scales as $1/d$ for an optimal cloner. Each clone is passed through an OTC to remove all entanglement between clones. An OTC enhanced measurement is then performed on each clone with respect to a different $M_i$. The outcomes of these measurements determine the density matrix of $\rho$. In methods, we show that by using $O(d^2)/\delta^2 \log (1/\epsilon)$ OTCs, we can ensure that each $\{M_i\}$ is obtained to an accuracy of $\delta$, with failure probability $\epsilon$.

A simple example
We illustrate these ideas by cloning a qubit. Here, the Pauli operators $\sigma_z$, $k = x, y, z$ form an informationally-complete set—any $\rho$ is uniquely defined by the expectation values $n_k = \text{Tr}[\rho \sigma_k]$. To determine $n_x$, $n_y$ and $n_z$, we apply a universal 1-3 quantum processor to obtain three imperfect clones of $\rho$, each in state $\rho' = (I + s \sigma_i^z \cdot \sigma_j^z)/2$ with $s = 5/9$. All quantum correlations between these three imperfect clones may be erased by applying OTCs.

An OTC enhanced measurement of $\sigma_z$ is then performed on one such imperfect clone. To do this, we first initialize $N$ fresh ancilla qubits in state $|0\rangle$, and apply a CNOT gate between each ancilla and the imperfect clone (with the clone as the control qubit). In erasing the resulting correlations by sending each ancilla through an OTC, we obtain $N+1$ qubits, each in the state $(I + s n_k \sigma_k^z)/2$. Provided $N$ is sufficiently large, measurement of these qubits allows $n_k$ to be determined to any desired accuracy with negligible error. Repetition of this process with $\sigma_x$ and $\sigma_y$ on the two remaining imperfect clones then yields complete information about $\rho$.

DISCUSSION
Here, we demonstrated that the many defining operational benefits of Deutschian closed timelike curves can be retained—without sacrificing causality. Our approach was to consider open timelike curves, where physical systems may travel back in time, but do not interact with themselves, or any other system in their past light-cone. In harnessing these open timelike curves, we developed methods to efficiently solve NP-complete problems, and clone unknown quantum states to arbitrary fidelity. Other radical effects commonly attributed to CTCs come as natural consequences, such as distinguishing non-orthogonal states, the capacity to communicate more than a bit of information in a single qubit and the breaking of non-entanglement based cryptographic schemes.

More generally, our results imply that any non-linear quantum map that makes use of CTCs can also be synthesized using only open timelike curves. This follows from the reasoning of Brun et al., which argued that if any two quantum states can be distinguished, then one can effectively implement any map between two quantum states. Related to this is the question of whether OTC enhanced computation has equal computational power to their CTC counterparts. Indeed, while we have shown OTCs can solve NP-complete problems, it remains an open question whether they can mimic CTCs capacity to efficiently solve problems in PSPACE.

The preservation of causality can also significantly increase the likelihood for us to test theories of quantum gravity motivated by the Deutschian formalism. For instance, from the perspective of a chronology-respecting observer, a particle sent through an OTC exhibits nothing more than time delay. Thus, in order to reconcile quantum field theory with non-hyperbolic spacetimes, gravitational time-dilation has been conjectured to share similar operational effects as OTCs. If true, this suggests that some operational consequences of Deutschian CTCs may be observable without the need for spacetime wormholes, and the exotic benefits of quantum processing in the general relativistic regime may be tested much sooner than previously expected.

Beyond the Deutschian framework, the present results also impact our understanding of general non-linear quantum theory. All protocols discussed require only a single non-linear operator—the universal decorrelator that erases the correlations between two quantum systems while leaving local statistics of each unchanged. Provided a non-linear quantum theory can realize this operation, all of our results and the corresponding consequences apply. For example, it immediately follows that such a theory can also clone unknown quantum states—and thus behaves more like a classical probability theory where different density operators correspond to different points in the state space, and every point is perfectly distinguishable. There already exist models of gravitational decoherence that satisfy these conditions, and it would be exciting to see if this is true for other attempts to reconcile quantum theory with time travel, or more general non-linear candidate theories of quantum gravity.

METHODS
Scaling analysis
Execution of the OTC-enhanced measurement with $N$ ancillaries (and therefore $N$ uses of the OTC) to estimate $\langle \rho \rangle$ gives an output $O_{\text{max}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} O_i/(N+1)$. We define the measurement as being successful if the estimate achieves a desired accuracy of $d$ (i.e., $|O_{\text{est}} - O| < \delta$). Application of Hoeffding's inequality gives failure probability $p_r$ that obeys

$$p_r \leq 2 \exp \left( \frac{-2(N + 1) \delta^2}{\delta^2} \right).$$

Here $O_{\text{max}}$ and $O_{\text{min}}$ are the respective maximum and minimum eigenvalues of $O$. Therefore,

$$N > \frac{(O_{\text{max}} - O_{\text{min}})^2}{2 \delta^2} \log \frac{2}{\epsilon}. $$

OTC applications ensure a failure probability of no more than $\epsilon$. Provided $O$ is bounded, this scales as $O(1/\delta^2 \log (1/\epsilon))$.

Brun et al. previously showed that one can also estimate $\langle O \rangle$ to arbitrary accuracy with CTCs. In his protocol, one also creates $N+1$ copies of $\rho_{\text{aux}}$ with $N$ uses of the CTC system. Comparing between these two protocols, we note that the classical information retrieved from measurements are statistically identical to our protocol. Thus, to achieve the same level of accuracy, the numbers of spacetime wormholes required by the two protocols coincide.

In OTC assisted cloning, we need to make $d^2$ informationally complete measurements, each to a desired accuracy $\delta > 0$ with negligible failure probability $\epsilon > 0$. Recall this is achieved via a $1 \rightarrow d^2$ universal clone, whose imperfect copies are to be decorrelated via the use of OTCs (Figure 5). For each of the $d^2$ copies, we apply an OTC enhanced measurement. To ensure this measurement is within accuracy $\delta$, an extra $O(d^2)$ overhead is required to compensate for the noise within the imperfect copies. The total number of OTCs required is then of order

$$N \geq O\left(d^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\delta^2} \log \frac{2}{\epsilon} \right] \right).$$

where $O_{\text{max}}-O_{\text{min}} = 1$ for members of the informationally complete basis.

Solving NP-complete problems
Here we outline explicitly how a non-linear map that takes $\rho(n_0)$ to $\rho(n_1)$ allows the efficient solution of NP-complete problems. Specifically...
we study the satisfaction problem: Given a Boolean function $f$ on $\{0, 1\}^n\rightarrow\{0, 1\}$, specified in conjunctive normal form, does there exist a satisfying assignment $(\exists b \langle b \mid b \rangle = 1)$? This problem is known to be NP-complete.

Bacon\textsuperscript{10} showed that this problem can be efficiently solved if given an input qubit $\rho = (I + n^{-1} \sigma)/2$, we can synthesize a quantum gate $S$ such that $S\rho = \frac{1}{2}(I + n^{-1} \sigma_2)$. Here $n^{-1}$ denotes the Bloch sphere vector, and $\sigma$ is a three-component vector of Pauli matrices. In Figure 4 we demonstrated how this gate can be synthesized using OTCs. With this established, the satisfaction problem is efficiently solved as follows:

1. Prepare $n$ ancillary qubits in the state $1/\sqrt{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle$ and a target qubit in state $|0\rangle$.
2. Apply the unitary

$$U_f = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle \otimes \sigma_2^{(i)}$$

on this system (with the last qubit representing the target). Tracing out the ancillary qubits leaves the target in

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2^n} \left[ 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{s}{2^n - 1} \right) \sigma_2 \right]$$

where $s$ is the number of $x$ satisfying $f(x) = 1$.
3. Apply $S$ to the target via the use of OTCs (Figure 4). Repeat this step $p$ times to get

$$\rho_p = \frac{1}{2^n} \left[ 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{s}{2^n - 1} \right)^p \sigma_2 \right]$$

Notice that, we could easily check the case of $s = 2^n$. Thus we only need to distinguish between $s = 0$ and $0 < s < 2^n$. With the limit of $p \to \infty$, the two output states corresponding to the cases of $s = 0$ and $0 < s < 2^n$ are $\rho_0 = |0\rangle \langle 0|$ and $\rho_p \to I/2$, respectively. By performing measurement in the $\sigma_2$ basis, one can distinguish the two types of output states $|0\rangle \langle 0|$ and $I/2$, that is, the case of $s = 0$ and $0 < s < 2^n$, with failure probability being 1/2. By repeating these steps more times, say, $q$, the failing probability exponentially decays. For finite $p$ and $q$ that are polynomial in $n$, the probability of failure is given by\textsuperscript{10}

$$P_{fail} = \frac{1}{2^n} \left[ 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{s}{2^n - 1} \right)^q \sigma_2 \right]^q$$
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