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Abstract

Developments and applications of magnetostrictive longitudinal transducers using iron-gallium alloy (Galfenol) have been investigated in the last decade because of Galfenol’s outstanding mechanical properties. For the further development of these transducers, a generalized multi-physics and macroscopic-based model is significantly required although several phenomenal and physical models were established. In this research, using the energy approach, a generalized dynamic model is derived for Galfenol based on the mechanical strain theory and the Jiles-Atherton model. Experiments have been conducted to measure the relationship between the strain and the magnetic field. Using experimental data, unknown parameters in the model have been identified by a developed optimization algorithm. Results show that the novel dynamic model with identified parameters is capable of describing the performance of the Galfenol rod. Simulation and experiment dynamic responses of Galfenol rods are derived. The simulation and the experiment both agree that the magnitude of the strain output decreases with the increase of the excitation frequency.
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1. Introduction
With the advancement of high precision engineering, smart materials have attracted a lot of attention in decades. Currently, literatures show that these materials have been applied in precision machining [1, 2], active anti-vibration system [3], micro-motor [4], sonar device and energy harvesting [5], etc. There are several favourite smart materials: shape memory alloy, piezoelectric material and traditional magnetostrictive materials (Metaglass 2605SC and Terfenol-D). Although the above listed materials have been employed in versatile applications, either the geometry complexity or the brittle nature prevents these materials from further applications. The research status starts to change upon the arrival of a novel magnetostrictive material. Galfenol, is an alloy of iron and gallium and was first invented by United States Navy researchers in 1998. Galfenol has several advantages over other similar types of materials. First, the high tensile strength and the high Currie temperature allow this material to work in harsh environment. Second, Galfenol has an excellent machinability [6] that increases this material’s popularity, especially in miniature applications. Third, Galfenol has a high relative permeability of 50-100, which means that the material can be driven with a small power supply and a relatively small winding coil. Fourth, Galfenol can be used with a simple configuration and assembly, because Galfenol does not need a pre-stress mechanism. This benefits from the high tensile strength and the built-in anisotropic behaviour through the stress annealing process of Galfenol.

Because of the above listed properties, Galfenol has attracted attentions in the development of miniature transducers. A micro-magnetostrictive vibration speaker [7] using Galfenol as the vibration source was built and this vibrator achieved a 1.2μm displacement output with a wide bandwidth of 30kHz. Thereafter, instead of using a continuous Galfenol rod or bar as the driving element, Ueno, Higuchi, Saito, Imai and Wun-Fogle [8] precisely machined the Galfenol into the U-shape to suppress the eddy-current generation and form an efficient magnetic flux path. Later, this design was used to build a linear motor [9] based on the smooth impact drive mechanism. Similar to the work [8], Zhang, Ueno, Yamazaki and Higuchi [10] built a closed magnetic loop Galfenol transducer that ran at its resonant frequency of 4kHz. Then, an E-core Galfenol miniature vibrator [11] is developed which works under tensile stress.

Since magnetostrictive transducers of Galfenol have attracted tremendous attentions, a generalized dynamic model for the design and control of Galfenol transducers is significantly required. For the motion control purpose, Braghin, Cinquemani and Resta [12] introduces a linear model for magnetostrictive transducers which is valid in the frequency range within 2kHz. However, this model is built using the linear magnetomechanical equations which cannot predict the nonlinear hysteresis behaviour of Galfenol transducers.

To date, efforts have been made to model the hysteresis behaviour of magnetostrictive materials. One of the most prevalent and earliest models is the Preisach model [13, 14]. The Preisach model is a pure mathematical model that employs hysteron that takes the value of 1 or -1 depending upon current and previous states. The model has been applied to address the hysteresis of piezoelectric materials [15, 16], shape memory alloys [15, 17] and Terfenol-D [18] so far. The major disadvantage of the Preisach model is the lack of physical information. Another novel model is the Armstrong model [19, 20] which is prevalently used to model Galfenol. The total energy in this model consists of three parts: (1) magnetocrystalline, (2) magnetoelastic and (3) magnetic field energy terms. The magnetization of Galfenol under the applied magnetic field and stress at a given direction depends on the total energy corresponding to the prescribed orientation. The lower the energy, the higher the probability that the magnetization would occupy at the given direction. The general magnetization in macroscopic is derived by performing the summation with probability weighted over all directions. However, on the one hand, the Armstrong model acquires the final response by the microscopic analysis that requires a large amount of computation even for simple geometrical structures. On the other hand, it is hard to incorporate extra physical energy terms in the model, especially when the material is employed in a complicated system. A new free energy model [21, 22] has been established to account for the hysteresis behaviour of magnetostrictive transducers from the point of energy conservation. This free energy model considers that the Gibbs energy for a given magnetic field has a certain relationship with the Helmholtz free energy. More recently, a framework [23, 24] based on the first and second laws of thermodynamics was developed to describe the hysteresis behaviour of the magnetostrictive material. It assumes that hysteresis results from domain changes that were modelled by the elementary hysteron. The difference between this framework and the Preisach model is that the hysteron status in the new framework depends on the coercive energy that has a comprehensive physical meaning. Thus, it is...
different from the Preisach model that this framework does not rely on complex density functions to describe the hysteresis.

Another model for the magnetostrictive material is the Jiles-Atherton magnetization model [25, 26]. In the earlier research, the domain rotation and the domain wall pinning were put in place to account for the energy loss caused by the hysteresis while the modelling of anhysteretic magnetization was based on the Langevin equation. Later, this model was redeveloped to consider the temperature effect, the magneto-crystalline anisotropy and the spatial effect [27-29]. Since the Jiles-Atherton magnetization model is based on physics, not only this model can interpret the response of Galfenol under the excitation, but also it can be easily modified by adding additional energy terms to describe additional physical effects. This feature leaves room for further modifications based on specific applications. Recently, the Jiles-Atherton model [30-32] has been applied to the ferromagnetic and the magnetostrictive materials. However, this model has not been applied in the modelling of Galfenol yet.

Mostly, the equation of motion for smart materials was derived based on the Newtonian mechanics [30]. In the work of Sarawate [33], a magnetostrictive transducer was modelled as a single-degree-of-freedom resonator while the magnetostrictive rod was simplified as a spring with the stiffness related to the Young's modulus. Similarly, Braghin, Chinquemani and Resta [12] modelled the magnetostrictive transducer as a lumped system with an inertial mass and a spring-damper element. In his work, a linear model was made to describe the mechanical behaviour of the magnetostrictive transducer. Systems, consisted of smart materials, are usually multi-physical where the complexity of modelling is significantly increased by electric field, magnetic field or thermal field, etc. It's an universal way to analyse multi-physics problems with energy approach which renders researchers the ability to model transducers from an overall perspective.

The objective of this paper is to present a generalized dynamic model of Galfenol for longitudinal magnetostrictive transducers using the energy approach. The Jiles-Atherton model is applied to describe the hysteresis behaviour of Galfenol. The newly developed model is capable of describing the inherent nonlinear behaviour. Experiments have been conducted for the characterization and the validation of the new model. The unknown parameters from the Jiles-Atherton model have been identified using the experimental data.

2. Modeling

2.1. Dynamic Modelling Using Energy Approach

The Hamilton's principle is applied in classical fields, e.g. the magnetic field and the electrical field, and the even quantum field. So far, the Hamilton's principle has already been employed in, for instance, the modelling of energy harvesters using smart materials [5, 34]. Unlike previous researches who make the assumption of linear material properties, this study considers the inherent nonlinear behaviour of Galfenol.

The sketch, Figure 1, simplified axial-deformation applications of Galfenol. The parameter $K_i$ denotes the stiffness of a spring, the parameter $f_i(t)$ is used to model a time-variant external forces applied on the system, and the accessories' equivalent mass and the system's structural damping are modelled as $M_i$ and $C_i$, respectively. Under the assumption that this system is scleronomous, Galfenol is modelled as a continuous rod in the scheme. For Galfenol materials, an appropriate pres-tress can enlarge the final strain output significantly [35, 36]. However, Galfenol can be developed with a built-in stress using the stress annealing process to avoid the redundant pre-stress mechanism. In this modelling, the possible pre-stress is considered for the general application purpose. Pre-stress and amplification mechanisms in the system are modelled as an equivalent linear spring.

The equations of motion are derived from the Hamilton's principle,

$$\int_0^T \left[ \delta(T - U + W_d) + \delta W \right] dt = 0$$

where $T$ is the kinetic energy, $U$ is the strain energy, $W_d$ is the magnetic energy, and $W$ is the work done by the external force. For the Galfenol rod, the relationships between strain, stress and magnetostriction are formulated as $\sigma = E\varepsilon - E\lambda$. The parameter $E$ is the Young's modulus of Galfenol.
According to Figure 1, energy terms in the Hamilton’s principle are expressed as,

\[
T = \frac{1}{2} \int V \rho_m \left( u^2 \right) dV + \frac{1}{2} M_L \dot{u}^2 \bigg|_{x=L}
\]

\[
U = \int V \left( \sigma dE dV + \frac{1}{2} K_L \left( u - \frac{\sigma_0 A}{K_L} \right) \right) \bigg|_{x=L} + \int V \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 \sigma E dV
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int V \sigma E^2 dV - \int V \sigma E \lambda \delta dV + \frac{1}{2} K_L \left( u - \frac{\sigma_0 A}{K_L} \right) \bigg|_{x=L} + \int V \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 \sigma E dV
\]

\[
W_m = \mu_0 \int V (M + H)^2 dH dV
\]

\[
\delta W = -f_L (t) \delta u \bigg|_{x=L} - \frac{\partial \left( \frac{1}{2} C_L \dot{u}^2 \right) \bigg|_{x=L}}{\partial \dot{u} \bigg|_{x=L}} \delta u \bigg|_{x=L}
\]

(2)

In the above equations, \( u \) is the mechanical deformation of the Galfenol rod, \( A \) is the cross-sectional area of the Galfenol rod, \( \rho_m \) is the material’s density, \( \sigma_0 \) is the pre-stress, and \( \mu_0 \) is the permeability of vacuum. The parameter \( M \) and \( H \) represent the magnetization and the magnetic field strength. Applying expressions of the above energy terms into Equation (1), the following expression is derived,

\[
\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int V \rho_m (\delta u) \dot{u} dV + M_L \delta \ddot{u} \bigg|_{x=L} - \int V E \delta E dV + \int V E \delta \lambda dV - (K_L u - \sigma_0 A) \dot{u} \bigg|_{x=L} dt
\]

\[
+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int V E \delta \dot{u} dV + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \delta \mu_0 (M + H) dH dV - (f_L (t) + C_L \dot{u}) \delta u \bigg|_{x=L} \bigg] dt = 0
\]

(3)

The term represents the magnetic energy is derived from the magnetization model in the later section. Solving Equation (3), the equations of motion are written as,

\[
\rho_m \ddot{u} - E \dot{u}^2 + E A \lambda' = 0
\]

(4)

with boundary conditions of

\[
u \bigg|_{x=L} = 0
\]

\[
M_L \ddot{u} \bigg|_{x=L} + E A u' \bigg|_{x=L} + K_L u \bigg|_{x=L} - \sigma_0 A - E A \lambda (t) + f_L (t) + C_L \ddot{u} \bigg|_{x=L} = 0
\]

(5)

and initial conditions of

\[
u (0, x) = 0
\]

\[
\dot{u} (0, x) = 0
\]

(6)

2.2. Model of Magnetization Hysteresis

The Jiles-Atherton model, a physical-based model for the magnetization, models the magnetization hysteresis as a function of domain rotation and wall pinning. Because the Jiles-Atherton model is a physical-based model with limited parameters, redundant experiments are not needed for the identification. This model is expanded into the following equations [37].
where $M_{an}$ is the local anhysteretic magnetization, $M_{irr}$ represents the irreversible magnetization, $\alpha$ is the domain interactions coefficient, $c^*$ is the reversibility coefficient, and the constant $k$ is the hysteresis loss coefficient. The parameter $\delta$ is defined as 1 when $dH/dt \geq 0$ and as -1 when $dH/dt \leq 0$. The parameter $M_s$ is the saturation magnetization, $a$ characterizes the shape parameter, and $H_e$ denotes the effective field.

The energy input is represented by $\mu_0 \int M_{an} dH_e$. Integrating $M$ over $H_e$, the magnetization energy $\mu_0 \int M dH_e$ is derived. In a similar way, the hysteresis loss is obtained by integrating the irreversible magnetization,

$$E_{hysloss} = \mu_0 k \delta^* (1-c^*) \int dM_{irr}$$

$$= \mu_0 k \delta^* \int \frac{dM}{dH_e} dH_e - \mu_0 k \delta^* c^* \int \frac{dM_{an}}{dH_e} dH_e$$

The energy method is used to derive the relationship between the magnetization and the applied magnetic field. For Galfenol materials, the energy loss due to the eddy-current cannot be omitted. Based on the law of electromagnetic induction, the eddy current power loss per volume is derived as $d^2 \left( \frac{1}{2 \rho \beta} \cdot \left( dB/dt \right)^2 \right)$. The term assumes an uniform distribution of the magnetic flux density for materials with low permeability. For materials with high permeability, it is inaccurate to directly assume that the magnetic flux density distributes uniformly within materials. Thus, in order to describe the behaviour of Galfenol that has a relatively high permeability, a correction coefficient $\eta$ is introduced to the classic eddy-current loss term to compensate the inaccuracy of the assumption. Then, the eddy-current loss can be written as $d^2 \left( \frac{1}{2 \rho \beta \eta} \cdot \left( dB/dt \right)^2 \right) \approx \frac{\mu_0 d_1^2}{2 \rho \beta \eta} \left( \frac{dM}{dt} \right)^2$, where $d_1$ is the diameter of rod, $\rho$ is the resistivity, $\beta$ is the geometry factor. For the system, the following energy equation [37] is satisfied,

$$\mu_0 \int M_{an} dH_e = \mu_0 \int M dH_e + \mu_0 k \delta^* \int \frac{dM}{dH_e} dH_e - \mu_0 k \delta^* c^* \int \frac{dM_{an}}{dH_e} dH_e + \int \frac{\mu_0 d_1^2}{2 \rho \beta \eta} \left( \frac{dM}{dt} \right)^2 dt$$

Through mathematical manipulations, the above equation is rewritten as,

$$\frac{\mu_0 d_1^2}{2 \rho \beta \eta} \left( \frac{dH}{dt} \left( \frac{dM}{dH} \right)^2 \right) + \left( M \alpha + k \delta^* - \alpha k \delta^* c^* \frac{dM_{an}}{dH_e} - \alpha M_{an} \right) \frac{dM}{dH} = M_{an} - M + k \delta^* c^* \frac{dM_{an}}{dH_e}$$

Combining equations (7), (9) and (13), the magnetization $M$ is numerically obtained.
According to the quadratic moment domain rotation model [39], the relationship between the magnetization and the magnetostriction is modeled as follows,

$$\lambda(t,x) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\lambda_s}{M_s^2} M_s^2(t,x)$$

(14)

where $\lambda_s$ is the saturation magnetostriction.

2.3. Solution

To solve equations of motion shown in Equation (4), the model analysis is conducted. The mode shape of the Galfenol rod is shown below,

$$\phi_n(x) = \sin \left( \frac{\omega_n}{c} x \right)$$

(15)

Using the discrete Galerkin method, differential equations can be written in the following matrix form as,

$$M \ddot{c}(t) + C \dot{c}(t) + K c(t) = f, 0 \leq t \leq T$$

(16)

$$c(0) = 0$$

(17)

$$\dot{c}(0) = 0$$

(18)

where the $N \times N$ mass matrix $M$, the damping matrix $C$, and the stiffness matrix $K$ are defined as,

$$M_{ij} = \rho_o \int_0^L \phi_i(x) \phi_j(x) dx + \left( M_s / A \right) \phi_i(L) \phi_j(L)$$

$$C_{ij} = \left( C_s / A \right) \phi_i(L) \phi_j(L)$$

$$K_{ij} = E \int_0^L \phi_i'(x) \phi_j'(x) dx + \left( K_s / A \right) \phi_i(L) \phi_j(L)$$

 respectively.

The source term $f$ is rewritten as

$$f_j = - \left( f_z(t)/A \right) \phi_j(L) + E \lambda(t) \phi_j(L).$$

So far, the magnetization model, the magnetostriction model and the equation of motion have been combined by a set of ordinary differential equations. The equations are solved for the relationship between Galfenol’s displacement and the applied magnetic field. The Jiles-Atherton model is used to describe the magnetization process within the Galfenol rod. As this model is first employed on Galfenol, five typical parameters of the Jiles-Atherton model are unidentified at this stage. Also, the energy loss related to the eddy-current also brings a correction parameter. In order to employ the built model in practical applications, these unknown parameters are to be identified. For this highly nonlinear system, the simulated annealing algorithm [40, 41] has been developed to find the solution. In the developed algorithm, the strain output of Galfenol has a certain function with respect to parameters, which is represented by $u = f(a,\alpha,c,k,M_s,\eta)$. The error is defined as $\sum_{i} \left( y_i - \hat{y}_i \right)^2$, where $y_i$ represents the experimental data and $\hat{y}_i$ is the simulation result based on the current parameter set. In the following process, the nonlinear least-square algorithm is used to acquire the optimal solution.
3. Experimental Design

3.1. Structure Design

In the previous section, a generalized model for Galfenol has been built and the solution is provided. It is necessary to develop and conduct experiments for the characterization and the validation of this model. The stress annealed Galfenol sample (Fe$_{71.6}$Ga$_{28.4}$) for this research is provided by ETREMA PRODUCTS.

Figure 2 shows the compact design for experiments. A reciprocating output is generated by providing an alternating current to the excitation coil surrounding the Galfenol rod. According to the Ampere's circuital law, the relationship between the current and the magnetic field can be acquired. To achieve the largest energy efficiency, two end caps are used to ensure that the magnetic field distributes axially within the Galfenol rod. The assembled belleville spring and the set screw allow for the fine adjustment of the pre-stress. However, because the sample is stress annealed, there is no pre-stress applied to Galfenol in this experiment. An internal halting ring is employed to locate entire components. A housing is fabricated to offer protection for the Galfenol rod from the ambient disturbance. To prevent the generation of the eddy-current, the housing is grooved along the axial direction. Furthermore, to make the magnetic reluctance as small as possible, all parts of the assembly are made of stainless steel. According to the manufacturer, Galfenol is capable of generating a strain output up to 250 ppm. In this design, the mass of the output rod is negligible compared to the stiffness of the system and there is no external force applied. Thus, the end mass $M_z$, the structural damping $C_z$, and the external force $f_x(t)$ in Equation (2) are assumed to be zeros.

![Figure 2. Experiment structure design.](image)

3.2. Test Platform

Harmonic current without bias is applied to the driving coil using a current amplifier (LA220) whose power is supplied by ST-1 Regulated Power Supply (Power Output 60W). The following instruments are employed to evaluate the response of the Galfenol rod. First, the output displacement of the Galfenol rod is measured using the laser unit with the modulus of Polytec OFV534F. The modulus of the controller is OFV5000 that measures the displacement of the output rod's top surface in micro-scale. The resolution chosen for this measurement is 2 μm/V. The bandwidth of the laser unit for the displacement mode is from DC to 10 kHz which covers the frequency range of the measurement. Second, a current probe (Tektronix TCP0030) is used to measure the exact current that is supplied by the amplifier. Finally, signal outputs from these sensors are acquired and processed by the Tektronix DPO 3014 oscilloscope. Figure 3 shows the described experimental scheme.

![Figure 3. Experimental scheme.](image)
4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Experimental Results

The Galfenol rod chosen for this test has a diameter of 5 mm and a length of 20 mm. The experiment was conducted at frequencies ranging from 0.1 Hz to 100 Hz. Figure 4 shows experimental results of the strain output versus the magnetic field under the quasi-static condition. The general trend of the Galfenol sample is that this material begins to reach saturation when the magnetic field is larger than 150 Oe. The nonlinear behaviours, both hysteresis and saturation, are exhibited by the experimental result. It is noticed that the hysteresis of the response increases with the rising of the excitation frequency. Physically, there is an explanation for the increased severity of hysteresis. The increasing frequency of the applied magnetic field leads to the generation of a larger eddy-current which results in a much severer hysteresis.

Because Galfenol is a ferromagnetic material, the effect of eddy current on Galfenol has to be verified for the further application purpose. A contrast test was conducted to prove the eddy-current's influence on the system's nonlinear behaviour. The comparison between the original Galfenol rod $d = 5 \text{ mm}$ and the Galfenol rod $d = 3 \text{ mm}$ is shown in Figure 5. In order to compare the hysteresis in a uniform scale, the ratio of the strain output
output and the saturation output is plotted. The response of the Galfenol rod $d = 3\text{ mm}$ is displayed with the cyan line while the Galfenol rod $d = 5\text{ mm}$ is displayed with the pink line. At the quasi-static frequency of 0.1Hz, the responses of two rods do not have remarkable differences. While the excitation frequency increases to 1Hz, the discrepancy between the responses of two rods is gradually marked. When the frequency reaches 10 Hz, not only the curves of the strain output versus the magnetic field for both rods are significantly 'fattened', but also the hysteresis of the Galfenol rod $d = 5\text{ mm}$ is remarkably larger than the Galfenol rod $d = 3\text{ mm}$. The results indicate that the increased hysteresis is mainly caused by the eddy-current loss.

![Figure 5. Experimental results of galfenol rods with different diameters.](image)

### 4.2. Model Results

The parameter identification process were conducted based on the previously described algorithm. The experimental response under the excitation frequency of 0.1Hz was used to derive parameters by fitting the model to the experimental data. The experimental data whose frequency equals to 100 Hz was selected to identify the eddy-current loss correction coefficient. The fitting result and the material property in the fitting are listed in Table 1.

Figure 6 shows the comparison between the simulated result and the experimental result at various excitation frequencies. The horizontal axis indicates the alternating of the applied magnetic field strength while the vertical axis shows the strain output of the rod. Nonlinear behaviours, e.g. the quadratic behaviour, the hysteresis, and the saturation, are characterized by this model. The quadratic behaviour reveals that the deformation of the Galfenol rod only relates to the strength of the applied magnetic field. The hysteresis behaviour and the energy dissipation by the eddy-current are explicitly observed. When the excitation frequency is 0.1Hz, the response rarely exhibits hysteresis. With the increase of the excitation frequency, the hysteresis becomes severer. While the frequency is 100 Hz, the hysteresis becomes distinct for the system. The built model accurately describes the influence of the eddy-current on the hysteresis of Galfenol. Experimental and model responses in the time domain are shown in Figure 7. In addition, the frequency analysis is conducted on the model response and the experimental response of the Galfenol rod $d = 5\text{ mm}$, as shown in Figure 8. It is noted that the model accurately describes frequency components of experimental results. It is also disclosed that discrepancies between model results and experimental results gradually become slightly larger with the rising of the excitation frequency. This could be because the model is built based on the amendatory classic eddy-current loss. Although a correction coefficient is introduced to account for the non-uniform distribution of the magnetic flux density, it is not precise enough for the high-precision application of Galfenol.
Table 1. Material properties and fitted parameters.

| Parameter | Physical Meaning        | Value          |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|
| \(E\)    | Young's Modulus         | 40 Gpa         |
| \(\rho\) | Density                 | 7800 kg/m³     |
| \(\lambda_s\) | Magnetostriction        | 250 ppm        |
| \(\rho_e\) | Resistivity             | \(8.5 \times 10^{-7} \Omega \times \text{m}\) |
| \(a\)    | Shape Parameter         | \(2.262 \times 10^3 \text{ A/m}\) |
| \(\alpha\) | Domain Interactions Coefficient | \(-0.0044\) |
| \(c\)    | Reversibility Coefficient | 0.7476         |
| \(k\)    | Hysteresis Loss Coefficient | \(1.4842 \times 10^3 \text{ A/m}\) |
| \(M_s\)  | Saturation Magnetization | \(1.3447 \times 10^6 \text{ A/m}\) |
| \(\eta\) | Eddy-Current Correction Coefficient | 0.026 |

In order to evaluate the coincidence of the model result and the experimental data, an error analysis has been conducted. The simulation error of the model compared to the experimental result is defined as an acceptance factor given by the following equation,

\[
\text{Error} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i)^2}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

The parameter \(y_i\) represents the measured experimental data while \(\hat{y}_i\) is the estimated data using the model. Figure 9 shows the fitting error against the excitation frequency. Although the error gets larger when the frequency is above 10 Hz, the result reveals that the error of the fitting is generally below 10%. This model can still describe the mechanical response under given experimental conditions.
Figure 6. Galfenol rod strain responses at different excitation frequencies.

Figure 7. Galfenol rod time domain responses at different excitation frequencies.

Figure 8. Strain orders at different excitation frequencies.
Figure 9. Error (acceptance factor) of fittings vs. excitation frequencies.

Simulation and model results of the dynamic response are plotted in Figure 10. The horizontal axis represents the excitation frequency of the Galfenol. In Figure 10, the solid blue curve and the blue dashed curve reveal the model frequency response and the experimental frequency response of the Galfenol rod ($d = 5\text{ mm}$), respectively. The red curves exhibit frequency responses of the Galfenol rod ($d = 3\text{ mm}$). The build model can describe the dynamic response of the material. Both strain outputs of Galfenol rods show a decline trend along with the rising of the frequency due to the eddy-current. It should be noticed that when the diameter of Galfenol is reduced, the strain output ability of Galfenol is weakened because of the enlarged equivalent boundary spring stiffness ($K_i/A$). This phenomenon also shows that the built model is able to describe the mechanical behaviour of Galfenol.

4.3. Discussion

The purpose of this work is to provide a generalized model that is used for the subsequent design and control of Galfenol transducers. The correction coefficient in the model can be conveniently modified based on the experimental data for specific applications. For the precision application, the accuracy of the model can be improved by deriving the distribution of the magnetic flux density based on the Maxwell equations. Instead of using the eddy-current power loss term, a more precise model result can be derived employing the specific distribution of the magnetic flux density.
The experiment was conducted at frequencies ranging from $0.1 \text{Hz} - 100 \text{Hz}$. When the excitation frequency is larger than $100 \text{Hz}$, the response of the experiment is too small due to the increased energy consumption by the eddy-current. Not only the reduced response will lead to the decreased signal to noise ratio in measurement, but also the response is meaningless to transducers. Theoretically, the verification of the model at higher frequencies can be conducted using smaller samples.

Due to the limitation of authors' manufacturing facilities, Galfenol rods with smaller diameters were not fabricated. However, in authors' following work, the fork-shape Galfenol (rectangular cross-section dimension $d = 1 \text{mm}$) was made using the wire EDM method. In the follow-up experiment, it is found that Galfenol with small geometries suffers less from the eddy-current effect, which leads to a broader bandwidth. More or less, this phenomenon can be noticed in Figure 10. When the frequency increases, strain outputs of Galfenol rod ($d = 5 \text{mm}$) decrease significantly. Meanwhile, the Galfenol rod ($d = 3 \text{mm}$) does not show a strong decline trend. It indicates that Galfenol has a strong potential to be utilized in the development of vibrators with miniature sizes.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, a generalized dynamic model of Galfenol for longitudinal magnetostrictive transducers is developed. The equations of motion are derived for Galfenol rods using the energy approach. This approach enables transducers to be analyzed from the macro perspective with energy terms correlated. The Jiles-Atherton model, a nonlinear magnetization model, is employed to quantify the magnetization energy of the Galfenol rod. In order to identify unknown parameters in the Jiles-Atherton model for Galfenol, an optimization program has been developed based on the simulated annealing and the nonlinear least square algorithms. A compact testing structure and a test rig have been developed to characterize and validate the built model. Experimental results show that the time response and the frequency response of the material are accurately predicted by the model. In the frequency range of $0.1 \text{Hz} - 100 \text{Hz}$, the discrepancy between the simulative strain output and the experimental strain output is generally within $10\%$. The accuracy of the model can also be improved by employing the specific magnetic flux density distribution instead of using the eddy-current loss term. In addition, it is observed that the hysteresis of the response increases with the rising of the excitation frequency. Meanwhile, the dynamic simulation result and the experiment result both agree that the magnitude of the strain output decreases with the increase of the excitation frequency. Not only the work provides a generalized model for longitudinal transducers of Galfenol, but also the energy-based model can be easily modified for complex applications of the Galfenol material. The future work will experimentally focus on the dynamics of miniature Galfenol structures at higher frequencies. Also, the evaluation of the model will be conducted at higher frequencies based on miniature Galfenol structures.
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