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Abstract

Cued Speech (CS) is a communication system for deaf people or hearing impaired people, in which a speaker uses it to aid a lipreader in phonetic level by clarifying potentially ambiguous mouth movements with hand shape and positions. Feature extraction of multi-modal CS is a key step in CS recognition. Recent supervised deep learning based methods suffer from noisy CS data annotations especially for hand shape modality. In this work, we first propose a self-supervised contrastive learning method to learn the feature representation of image without using labels. Secondly, a small amount of manually annotated CS data are used to fine-tune the first module. Thirdly, we present a module, which combines Bi-LSTM and self-attention networks to further learn sequential features with temporal and contextual information. Besides, to enlarge the volume and the diversity of the current limited CS datasets, we build a new British English dataset containing 5 native CS speakers. Evaluation results on both French and British English datasets show that our model achieves over 90% accuracy in hand shape recognition. Significant improvements of 8.75% (for French) and 10.09% (for British English) are achieved in CS phoneme recognition correctness compared with the state-of-the-art.

Index Terms: Cued Speech, Self-supervised contrastive learning, Self-attention network, Hand shape recognition

1. Introduction

Cued Speech (CS) [1] conveys the visual form of spoken language through different hand shapes (representing consonants) and hand positions (representing vowels) near the mouth. Accurate feature extraction (including lip, hand position and hand shape) plays an important role in automatic CS recognition.

Currently, lip and hand position feature extraction has achieved good results. For example, Liu et al. [2, 3] proposed a CLNF based inner lip feature extraction model and an ABMM based CS hand position detector obtaining a good performance. Besides, YOLOv5 [4] performs well in real-time object detection which can be used to detect hand positions in CS. However, there are still some challenges which limit a good hand shape feature extractor. Firstly, the movements of lips and hands are asynchronous in CS [5, 6] while most of the proposed hand shape annotation methods rely on audio based segmentation [7]. This causes noisy hand shape annotation for CS data. Secondly, since the hand shape changes quickly when it moves, for example, the same hand shape with fast moving, variable rotations, occlusion and motion blur causing different appearance, it is difficult to extract accurate and robust hand shape features [8]. Indeed, most previous works [3, 9, 10] ignored the variability and complexity of hand shape in CS. Thirdly, the limited CS dataset brings great limitations to the deep learning based methods of CS hand shape feature extraction. At present, the published CS datasets merely include a single speaker French dataset [8] and a single speaker British English dataset [11].

To address the above mentioned problems and improve the performance of CS hand shape feature representation, in this work, we propose a three-stage hand shape feature extraction model. More precisely, in the first stage, a self-supervised contrastive learning module [12] is explored to learn image structure information. In the second stage, we manually annotate a small amount (10% of the training set) of CS data to fine-tune the module, and obtain more accurate hand shape features. In the third stage, we combine Bi-LSTM [13] and self-attention networks (SANs) [14] to obtain temporal and contextual information of hand shapes. In addition, we establish a new British English dataset with 390 sentences recorded by five native speakers, which is the first multi-speaker British English CS dataset. We validate our model in multi-lingual and multi-speaker scenarios (on French and British English datasets), achieving better results than state-of-the-art (SOTA).

In summary, our contributions are three-fold:

- A new multi-speaker British English CS dataset is built to solve the problem of CS hand shape recognition for the first time.
- A three-stage hand shape feature extraction model based on self-supervised contrastive learning and self-attention mechanism is proposed to model spatial and temporal features of CS hand shape.
- Experimental results in multi-lingual and multi-speaker scenarios show that our model is superior to SOTA in hand shape feature extraction and CS recognition.

2. Related Work

Hand shape feature extraction plays an important role in CS recognition. In the early works, the classical methods extracted hand shape features with the artifacts on the hand. Burger et al. [15] let the speaker wear black gloves to obtain accurate hand segmentation. Noureddine et al. [16] placed blue marks on the fingers of the speaker to obtain the coordinates of fingers, so as to extract the accurate position and shape of the hand. However, both the speaker’s clothing color and background color will affect the accuracy of hand segmentation.

Recently, [3] proposed a new scheme without any artifacts, which used a GMM based foreground extraction for hand detec-
Hand shape feature extraction is also active in other fields, such as gesture recognition [27] and sign language recognition [8]. Current gesture recognition algorithms attempt to extract hand features such as skin color, appearance, motion, skeleton and deep learning based detection [13]. However, skin color based [19] and motion based methods [3, 20] were greatly affected by illumination changes and background color, while the hand moves around the face in CS. Appearance based methods [21, 22] modeled visual appearance by extracting image features, and compared these parameters with the features extracted from the input image. But in CS, the same hand shape may present very different appearances, while different hand shapes may present similar appearances. In addition, it is difficult to get accurate hand key points from RGB images. Therefore, it is not suitable to apply skeleton based methods [23, 24] to CS. Deep learning based methods [25, 26] used supervised learning scheme, which was easily affected by noisy data.

3. Methodology

Our model architecture mainly includes three stages (see Figure 1): (i) self-supervised contrastive learning based hand shape feature representation module, (ii) a small amount of manually annotated data based fine-tuning module, and (iii) self-attention based sequential hand shape feature learning module.

3.1. Self-supervised contrastive learning based hand shape feature representation

Firstly, we perform a random transformation on input images, including cropping, horizontal flipping, color distortions, gray level distortions, and Gaussian blur. Then, we get two related images at the same instance, denoted by $x'_j (j = 1, 2)$. Next, ResNet18 is used as the basic encoder to extract the representation vectors $h^j_i$ from the augmented data, where

$$ h^j_i = f(x'_j) = \text{ResNet}(x'_j). $$

Then, feature projection is used to improve the quality of the feature representation $h^j_i$, thus mapping the feature representation to the space where contrastive loss can be applied. Two non-linear fully connected (FC) layers are used for mapping to obtain new feature representations $z^j_i$ which is defined as

$$ z^j_i = g(h^j_i) = W^{(2)} \sigma(W^{(1)} h^j_i), $$

where $\sigma$ is the ReLU activation function. $W^{(1)}$ and $W^{(2)}$ are learnable weight matrices. Then, given a set of samples $\{x_k\}$ and an image $x_i$, the goal of the contrastive learning is to detect the most similar image $x_j$ from $\{x_k\}_{k \neq i}$.

We input $N$ samples into this module and get $2N$ data representations. For a positive pair, the other $2(N - 1)$ augmented examples within a batch are regarded as negative examples. The cosine similarity $\text{sim}(\cdot)$ is used to calculate the probability of similarity between any two feature representations. After that, a contrastive loss function is applied to calculate the loss of positive pairs, which is defined as

$$ \ell_{i,j} = - \log \frac{\exp(\text{sim}(z_i, z_j)/t)}{\sum_{k=1}^N 1_{k \neq i} \exp(\text{sim}(z_i, z_k)/t)}. $$

where $1_{k \neq i}$ is an indicator function and its value is 1 iff $k \neq i$, $t$ represents the temperature parameter. We calculate the loss of the sum of all positive pairs in a batch and take the average.

3.2. Manually annotated data based fine-tuning

We fine-tune the model with a small amount of manually annotated data (10% of the training set) to obtain more accurate hand shape features. In the feature projection, to map feature representations to the same space, some information of hand shapes are discarded. Therefore, only the basic encoder network in the first module is used for fine-tuning. We directly feed hand ROI to the pre-trained ResNet18, obtaining the hand shape features and feeding them to this module. Two non-linear FC layers are used as the classification network in this module.

3.3. Self-attention based sequential hand shape feature learning

Based on the above two modules, we can extract accurate spatial hand shape features. However, CS hand shape is a video sequence, thus contextual information is also important. To learn temporal and sequential information of hand shapes, we combine Bi-LSTM and multi-head SANs. Among them, Bi-LSTM models the global information of a sequence to extract features with temporal and contextual information. SANs learn the internal dependence of the sequence, so as to better model the
internal structure of the sequence and emphasize the important information. In order to make full use of the features learned from Bi-LSTM and SANs, we use a short-cut connection to add their outputs. Let \( C = \{c_1, c_2, ..., c_N\} \) be the input sequence, the representation of the encoder is defined as:

\[
s_{\text{output}} = s^p + s^q,
\]

(4)

\[
s^p = U(c_i), \quad \text{and} \quad s^q = V(c_i'),
\]

(5)

where \( p \) and \( q \) represent the layer number of the encoder, respectively. \( U \) and \( V \) represent the Bi-LSTM and SANs, respectively. \( s_{\text{output}} \) represents the obtained sequential hand shape features.

4. Evaluations

4.1. Dataset

Two public single-speaker French (named LM) and British English (named CA) CS datasets contain 238 sentences (repeated twice) and 97 sentences, respectively. The French dataset contains 8 visemes, 8 hand shapes and 5 hand positions to encode 14 vowels and 20 consonants. More details can be referred to \[5\] and \[11\].

Based on CA, which was published by the author in 2019, we build a new five-speaker British English dataset (the original data contain video and audio). With forced alignment, the acoustic signal synchronized with the video is automatically marked. Then, we post-check them manually. The expanded datasets were recorded by 4 different professional CS interpreters (named EM, KA, LD and VK, respectively). The specific data is shown in Table \[1\] The new British English dataset contains 11 visemes \[29\], 8 hand shapes and 4 hand positions to encode 17 vowels and 24 consonants. RGB video images of the interpreter’s upper body are available at 25 fps, and the spatial resolution is 720 × 1280.

4.2. Implementation Details

In the following experiments, we randomly select 80% of the dataset as the training set and 20% of the dataset as the test set. Five groups of data are randomly generated for cross validation.

4.2.1. Hand shape feature extraction

We use our model to extract hand shape features from hand ROI. In stage 1, all training set (with noisy labels) and the Adam optimizer are used to train the model for 200 epochs (the English model is trained on the French pre-trained model for 100 epochs). In stage 2, a small amount of manually annotated data, the Adam optimizer and cross-entropy loss function are used to train 60 epochs with a learning rate of 1e-4. Then, we feed the continuous hand ROI into our model and feed the features obtained from stage 2 into stage 3. In stage 3, Bi-LSTM (2 layers) and multi-head SANs (3 layers and 16 heads) are used to extract the continuous hand shape features. The Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC) \[28\] is used as the loss function. Empirically, the learning rate is 0.001 and the batch size is 1.

4.2.2. Continuous CS phoneme recognition

We detect the position of lips and hands at first. The facial landmark detector in the open source library dlib \[29\] is used to extract the key points of the outer edge of lips. Then, the lip ROI is obtained based on these key points. YOLOv5 is used to detect hand positions. We pre-train the model on a public hand dataset \[50\]. Next, we mark a small number of hand bounding boxes on CS datasets to fine-tune the model. Finally, the hand positions and ROI can be obtained simultaneously. After that, we extract the features of the three streams, respectively. We employ a 2D-CNN which contains two convolutional layers (8 filters, a kernel size of 7 × 7 pixels, a down-sampling factor of 3) to extract lip features from lip ROI, and also employ an ANN to extract hand position features from coordinate values. The method of obtaining static hand shape features is described in 4.2.1. We concatenate the features of the three streams. Then, the S3 module which has the same structure and setting as the continuous hand shape feature extraction experiment is used to recognize continuous CS phoneme.

4.2.3. Evaluation metrics

In the hand shape recognition based on a single image, the classification accuracy \( (\text{acc} = \frac{n_{\text{correct}}}{n_{\text{total}}}) \) is used as the evaluation metrics, where \( n_{\text{correct}} \) and \( n_{\text{total}} \) represent the number of correct samples and the total number of samples, respectively. In continuous hand shape recognition and CS phoneme recognition, phone error rate \( (T_e = \frac{n_{\text{error}}}{n_{\text{total}}}) \), and phone correct rate \( (T_c = 1 - T_e) \) are used as the evaluation metrics, where \( n_{i} \) and \( n_{s} \) represent the number of insert, delete and replace errors, respectively. \( N \) represents the input sequence length.

4.3. Result and Discussion

We report the results of CS hand shape recognition and phoneme recognition quantitatively and qualitatively.

4.3.1. Hand shape recognition

To verify the influence of noisy data on hand shape recognition performance, we compare ResNet18 directly trained with noisy data (100% of the training set) with ResNet18 trained with manually annotated data (10% of the training set). As shown in Table \[2\] ResNet18 trained with manually annotated data achieves over 30% improvement on both French and British English CS datasets. Then, we compare our model which only contains S1 and S2 with ResNet18 trained with manually annotated data. In both our model and ResNet18, we use the same amount of manually annotated data. It can be seen from Table \[2\] that our model performs better because the self-supervised contrastive learning module makes full use of noisy data for feature representation. Finally, in order to verify the performance of our model in multi-lingual and multi-speaker scenarios, we mix French and British English CS datasets for training. We take out 80% of each dataset as the mixed training set. Obviously, better results are achieved due to the increase in the size and diversity of the dataset. The results show that our model is not affected by multiple speakers. We notice that there is a slight drop in EM’s result, which may be caused by the small amount of EM’s data.

| Speaker | Speech amount (sentence) | Language |
|---------|--------------------------|----------|
| LM      | 238 x 2                  | French   |
| CA      | 97                       | British English |
| EM      | 100                      | British English |
| KA      | 100                      | British English |
| LD      | 50                       | British English |
| VK      | 43                       | British English |

Table 1: A summary of the French and British English datasets.
Table 2: Comparison results (acc %) using ResNet18 based on supervised learning, and our model. We use noisy data (n) and manually annotated data (a) to train ResNet18. Ours-S3 represents our model without S3 and Ours-S3(multi) represents our model in multi-lingual and multi-speaker scenarios.

| Method                  | LM  | CA  | EM  | KA  | LD  | VK  |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| ResNet18(n)             | 61.33 | 53.92 | 54.58 | 60.14 | 47.68 | 48.86 |
| ResNet18(a)             | 94.32 | 89.17 | 83.58 | 91.49 | 86.61 | 86.55 |
| Ours-S3                 | 96.39 | 95.05 | 91.59 | 96.45 | 92.56 | 95.18 |
| Ours-S3(multi)          | **96.50** | 95.73 | 91.54 | **96.52** | 92.89 | **96.28** |

Figure 2: Feature visualization of hand shapes, where (a) is the Grad-CAM++ [31] visual graph. The images of each group in (b)–(e) are original image, Grad-CAM [32] visual graph and Guided Grad-CAM [32] visual graph, respectively.

In Figure 2 to verify the effectiveness of the extracted hand shape features, we qualitatively visualize the feature map of hands in complex scenes. It can be seen from (a) that the hand shape is distorted during transition, but our model still captures the key regions. In (b), the index finger and the middle finger are overlapped, but the features of the index and middle finger are both well learned. At the beginning and end of a sentence, the hand is gradually raised or put down. Therefore, some hands are only partially exposed in this process, as shown in (c). However, even (c) only shows two fingers, the hand shape can be correctly recognized. (d) is a twisted hand shape 8. It can be seen that even though the hand shape is similar to hand shape 4, the features are still well learned. And (e) is a hand shape 5 blurred by motion. Although the hand shape is very fuzzy, our model can still reconstruct the hand shape.

The recognition results of continuous hand shape in each dataset can be seen in Table 3 Each time the hand shape sequence in a sentence is identified. Compared with SOTA (i.e., CNN-HMM) [3], a significant improvement of 16.42% correctness is achieved in the French English dataset. In the British English dataset, our model also achieves high accuracy. Ablation study (Ours-S2 and Ours-SANs) shows that all modules in our model are important. In addition, more accurate results can be obtained with manually annotated data. Compared with the model trained with noisy data (i.e., ResNet18(n)+S3), our model achieves much higher hand shape recognition correctness.

4.3.2 Phoneme recognition

Finally, we consider CS phoneme recognition, which uses the lip, hand position, and hand shape as the input, and the output is the phoneme class (33 and 41 phonemes in French and British English, respectively). The comparison under different models

| Method                  | LM  | CA  | EM  | KA  | LD  | VK  |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| CNN-HMM                 | 68.50 | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   |
| ResNet18(n)+S3          | 83.99 | 78.16 | 76.15 | 76.08 | 74.42 | 67.52 |
| Ours-S2                 | 84.41 | 82.27 | 79.13 | 83.57 | 75.86 | 75.44 |
| Ours-SANs               | 84.88 | 84.75 | 83.81 | 89.40 | 80.47 | 76.12 |
| Ours                   | **84.92** | **85.25** | **85.19** | **89.52** | **82.22** | **78.94** |

Table 3: Comparison of continuous hand shape recognition results in Tc (%). CNN-HMM [3] is the SOTA in French dataset. ResNet18(n)+S3 represents the model which combines ResNet18(n) and S3. Ours-S2 represents our model without S2. Ours-SANs represents our model without SANs. Ours represents our model.

Table 4: Comparison of phoneme recognition results in Tc (%). 3D-CNN+TDS [9] is the SOTA. Ours(multi) represents our model in the multi-speaker scenario.

| Method                  | LM  | CA  | EM  | KA  | LD  | VK  |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 3D-CNN+TDS              | 29.12 | 36.25 | —   | —   | —   | —   |
| ResNet18(n)+S3          | 23.27 | 30.48 | 27.36 | 26.20 | 46.79 | 46.60 |
| Ours-SANs               | 21.8 | 27.21 | 25.41 | 25.96 | 43.89 | 45.42 |
| Ours                   | **20.37** | **26.16** | **23.39** | **24.88** | **38.42** | **42.02** |
| Ours(multi)             | —   | **19.93** | **17.64** | **14.59** | **20.77** | **26.38** |

5. Conclusions

In this work, we propose an attention self-supervised contrastive learning based three-stage hand shape feature extraction model. Our model solves the problem caused by excessive noisy annotation in CS hand shape feature extraction. Experimental results show superior performance to SOTA methods. As for future work, we will explore the effective sample pair selection methods for self-supervised contrastive learning in CS video.
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