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Abstract

Background: Recently EBUS-TBNA, which has a sensitivity of 94.6%, specificity of 100% and diagnostic accuracy rate of 96.3% as previously reported, has been widely used for patients with mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy or suspected lung cancer to get accurate diagnosis. The purpose of the current study was to evaluate the usefulness of EBUS-TBNA in obtaining cytological and histological diagnosis of mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes compared to the results obtained with conventional mediastinoscopy as previously reported, and to assess the relationship of diagnostic accuracy and number of passes and size of lymph nodes.

Methods: 101 patients with mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy or suspected lung cancer in our institution were included in this prospective study. EBUS-TBNA was performed in all cases. The final diagnosis was confirmed by cytology, surgical results, and/or clinical follow-up for at least 6 months. Sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and positive and negative predictive values were calculated using standard formulas.

Results: In 101 patients, EBUS-TBNA was successfully performed to obtain samples from 225 lymph nodes, 7 lung masses, 1 mediastinal mass and 2 esophageal masses. 63 malignant tumors and 38 benign diseases were confirmed. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutation was detected in 10 biopsy samples, and epidermal growth factor receptor mutation was detected in 4 cases. With respect to the correct diagnosis of mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy, EBUS-TBNA had a sensitivity of 95.08%, specificity of 100%, positive predictive value of 100%, negative predictive value of 93.02%, and overall accuracy of 97.02%. The relationship of diagnostic accuracy and number of lymph node passes or size of lymph nodes was both insignificant (p = 0.27; p = 0.23). The procedure was uneventful without complications.

Conclusions: EBUS-TBNA is an accurate and safe tool in diagnosis of mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy. It cannot completely replace mediastinoscopy, it may indeed reduce the number of mediastinoscopy procedures. In some cases, it can necessarily be the first-line procedure before mediastinoscopy.

Background

Patients with mediastinal lymphadenopathy or suspected lung cancer required accurate diagnosis to determine optimal treatment. For these patients, mediastinal nodal sampling is often necessary and has traditionally been performed by mediastinoscopy or anterior mediastinotomy. However, mediastinoscopy, with a sensitivity of 80% to 85% and a specificity of nearly 100%, which is considered the gold standard for diagnosis with tissue confirmation of mediastinal lymphadenophony and lung cancer with mediastinal or hilar lymph nodes involved, does not allow access to all lymph node stations and is associated with a rate of morbidity that is not insignificant [1]. This situation has led to the promotion in recent years of minimally invasive techniques for mediastinal lymph node evaluation.
Real-time endobronchial ultrasound guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) is a new technique that combines endoscopic visualization with high frequency ultrasound imaging, which is used to obtain cytological and histological samples of lesions adjacent to the tracheobronchial tree [2-4]. This makes it easier to locate the lymph nodes to be sampled. As Yasufuku and colleagues [4] reported, EBUS-TBNA had a sensitivity of 94.6%, specificity of 100% and diagnostic accuracy rate of 96.3%, which seemed to be superior to those of mediastinoscopy. However, whether EBUS-TBNA can be applied as the first-line procedure for diagnosis of mediastinal lymphadenopathy is still controversial, because of its false negative rate to some extent [5]. Moreover, there is few studies reported comparing the relationship of diagnostic accuracy and number of passes or size of lymph nodes. The main aim of our study was to evaluate the role of EBUS-TBNA in obtaining cytological and histological diagnosis of mediastinal lymph nodes compared to the results obtained with conventional mediastinoscopy as previously reported, and to assess the relationship of diagnostic accuracy with number of passes and size of lymph nodes.

Methods

Patients

Patients having mediastinal lymphadenopathy or with mediastinal or hilar lesion suspected of lung cancer detected on enhanced thoracic CT were included in this prospective study. Between March to October 2009, 101 patients in our institution met this inclusion criteria and were included in this study. The study was approved by the ethical committee of Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center and a written informed consent was obtained in all the patients.

EBUS-TBNA procedure

EBUS-TBNA was performed under venous anaesthesia. Patients were monitored for electrocardiogram, pulse oximetry, and blood pressure with the presence of an anesthesiologist. A flexible bronchoscope containing an ultrasound probe (XBF-U206F-OL8; Olympus) was inserted via the laryngeal mask and guided through the trachea and the bronchial tree towards the appropriate area of the mediastinum. The targeted lymph nodes or masses were identified using bronchoscopic visualization and ultrasound imaging. A needle (NA-201SX-4022; Olympus) extended from the bronchoscope through the bronchial wall was used to puncture the lesion and to aspirate tissue. A lymph node or mass could be punctured three to four times to gain an adequate sample, and several lymph nodes could be punctured during the same session. The aspirates were then smeared on slides and simultaneously sent to pathology laboratory for subsequent cytology. The cytology sample was considered adequate if it contained malignant cell or a large number of lymphoid cells confirmed by the cytologist, and then the operation is terminated. The lymph nodes punctured were grouped according to the puncture site: the upper paratracheal (2R, 2L), the subcarinal station (7), the lower paratracheal and hilar station (4R, 4L, 10R, and 10L), the right paraesophageal (8R) and the interlobar station (11L,11R).

Histological cores were collected and fixed with formalin and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for further histology examination. In some cases, immunohistochemistry was performed for additional information, and EGFR mutation was also evaluated.

Statistical analysis

The EBUS-TBNA diagnosis was confirmed by cytology, surgical results, and/or clinical follow-up for at least 6 months. A positive cytological result of malignancy was accepted as evidence, and the patients were treated accordingly.

The data were entered into a database and analyzed with the SPSS statistical software package (SPSS 15.0 Chicago, Illinois, USA). A descriptive analysis was carried out in which categorical variables were expressed as absolute and relative frequencies, and continuous variables as means (SD). The $\chi^2$ was used to compare proportions in independent groups. The spearman analysis was used to compare correlation between two independent groups. A $P$ value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and positive and negative predictive values were calculated using standard formulas.

Results

Patient characteristics

We studied consecutively all the patients who underwent EBUS-TBNA for the evaluation of mediastinal and/or hilar lymph nodes and mediastinal/hilar lesion on an inpatient basis between March to October 2009. A total of 101 patients with a mean age of 57.4 years (range, 24-84) were enrolled in this study, including 68 males and 33 females. Clinically, among these patients, 55 patients were suspected for lung cancer, 2 patients were suspected for esophageal carcinoma, one patient suffered from a malignant mediastinal mass and 43 benign mediastinal lymphadenopathy, who needed pathological diagnosis (see Table 1). All patients were followed up for at least 6 months.

Operation parameters

A total of 225 lymph nodes, 7 lung masses, 1 mediastinal mass and 2 esophageal masses were biopsied. Details of lymph node stations and masses punctured
are shown in Tables 2 &3 (see Table 2 &3). 151 lymph nodes were punctured once, and 74 lymph nodes were punctured more than once (range, 2-5) (see Table 4). Diameter of lymph nodes ranged from 0.6 cm to 10.5 cm with a median diameter of 2.04 cm. There were 154 lymph nodes of which maximal diameter was <2 cm, and 71 lymph nodes of which maximal diameter was >2 cm (see Table 5). Mean period of each TBNA passes was 4.9 minutes and mean stay length in hospital was 2 days. Mediastinoscopy was conducted in 23 patients, video-assisted thoracotomy surgery (VATS) was conducted in 2 patients and 2 patients received transbronchial lung biopsy (TBLB) procedure. No procedure-related complications such as pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum or excessive bleeding ever occurred in this study (see Table 2 &3).

### Diagnostic yield
According to the cytological and histological results, 63 malignant tumors and 38 benign diseases were confirmed. Of these 63 patients, there were 24 cases of adenocarcinoma, 5 cases of squamous carcinoma, 20 cases of small cell lung cancers, 9 cases of undifferentiated carcinoma, one case of renal carcinoma with mediastinal lymph node metastasis and two cases of lymphoma. There were 38 patients with benign diseases: 13 cases with no malignancy evidences, 14 cases with granulomatous inflammation, 4 cases with sarcoidosis, 4 cases with tuberculosis, one small B cell tumor, one lymph node hyperplasia and one chronic lymph node inflammation. Meanwhile, EBUS-TBNA failed to reveal one small cell lung cancer, one squamous carcinoma, one undifferentiated carcinoma, which were finally diagnosed by further confirmatory tests (see Table 6).

The sensitivity of real-time EBUS-TBNA was 95.08% and specificity was 100%. Also, the positive predictive value and the negative predictive value was 100% and 93.02%, respectively. The overall accuracy was 97.02% (see Table 2). The relationships of diagnostic accuracy with number of lymph node passes and with size of lymph nodes were both insignificant (p = 0.27; p = 0.23) (see Table 4&5).

### EGFR mutation measurement
EGFR mutation was detected in 10 EBUS-TBNA biopsy samples which were confirmed adenocarcinoma on cytological level. In 4 cases, EGFR mutation (40%) was confirmed. One was heterozygosity deletion (E752-E759del) of exon 19, one was heterozygosity deletion (E746-E750del) of exon 19, and two were point mutations (L858R) of exon 21. No EGFR mutation was found in the other six patients (see Table 7).

### Discussion
For many years surgical biopsy - principally mediastinoscopy - has been regarded as the "standard procedure" for sampling mediastinal lymph nodes [1]. However, mediastinoscopy can only sample nodal stations 1-4, 7, access to hilar nodal stations could be difficult and may require thoracoscopy and on occasion a thoracotomy. Moreover, it cannot be repeatedly operated on the same patient [5,6]. Contrarily, EBUS-TBNA, when combined with EUS, can sample all the key nodal stations and also can be performed repeatedly [7,8]. In our study, 225 lymph nodes were biopsied and 10 stations of mediastinal and hilar nodes were punctured (see Table 2 &3). Moreover, nearly every lymph nodal group had been

---

**Table 1 Patient characteristics and pre-operation diagnosis**

| Patient characteristics | N = 101 |
|-------------------------|---------|
| Male/female gender      | 68/33   |
| Median age(year)(range) | 57.4 years (24-84) |

**Pre-operation diagnosis**

| Suspected for lung cancer | N = 55 |
|--------------------------|--------|
| Suspected for esophageal carcinoma | N = 2 |
| Malignant mediastinal mass | N = 1 |
| Mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy | N = 43 |

Pre-operation diagnosis: mainly based on CT feature.

---

**Table 2 Operation parameters, further confirmation modalities, lymph node size and diagnostic yield**

| Operation parameters | N = 225 |
|----------------------|---------|
| Number of lymph nodes biopsied | N = 7 |
| Number of esophageal masses biopsied | N = 2 |
| Number of mediastinal masses biopsied | N = 1 |
| Mean period of each TBNA pass (min) | 4.9 |
| Mean stay length in hospital (day) | 2.0 |
| Complications | No case |

**Further confirmation modalities**

| Mediastinoscopy | N = 23 |
| VATS | N = 2 |
| TBLB | N = 2 |
| 6-months follow-up | N = 74 |

**Lymph node size (mm)**

| Long axis | 20.4 (6-105) |
| Short axis | 17 (6-50) |

**Diagnostic yield %**

| Sensitivity | 95.08 |
| Specificity | 100 |
| Accuracy | 97.02 |
| Positive predictive value (PPV) | 100 |
| Negative predictive value (NPV) | 93.02 |

VATS: video-assisted thoracotomy surgery; TBLB: transbronchial lung biopsy.
checked. And almost every patient was biopsied three to four lymph node stations. A total number of 305 TBNA passes of lymph nodes were conducted (see Table 3). On the other hand, mediastinoscopy is more invasive than endoscopic techniques and results in a neck scar which may be cosmetically unacceptable to some patients. Unfortunately it does have a 2% risk of morbidity and 0.08% mortality [1,9,10]. In this study, 101 patients received EBUS-TBNA, of which mediastinoscopy was conducted in 23 patients, 2 patients received VATS and 2 patients received TBLB procedure, no procedure-related complications ever occurred (see Table 2). The mean period of each EBUS-TBNA was 4.9 minutes and mean stay length in hospital was 2 days, which seemed to be more minimal invasive, compared with those parameters of mediastinoscopy.

Furthermore, though viewed as the gold standard for mediastinal nodal assessment, the diagnostic sensitivity of cervical mediastinoscopy is only 78-81%, which is inferior to that of EBUS-TBNA, as reported in two recent systematic reviews [1,11]. Mediastinoscopy has a negative predictive value of 91% which is also inferior to that of endoscopic techniques thus far [1,11]. Similarly found in previous studies [12,13], the sensitivity of real-time EBUS-TBNA in our study was 95.08% and the negative predictive value was 93.02% (see Table 8). This diagnostic yield was obviously in favor of EBUS-TBNA over cervical mediastinoscopy. However, current data was not consistent. Another study of 33 patients evaluating EBUS versus cervical mediastinoscopy for nodal staging of patients with suspected or confirmed lung cancer revealed a lower sensitivity and slightly inferior negative predictive value for EBUS with similar accuracies (90.9 versus 93.9% for EBUS and cervical mediastinoscopy, respectively). In the study, the prevalence of N2 or N3 disease was lower at 39.4%. Importantly, three patients were upstaged by cervical mediastinoscopy from N0 (on EBUS) to N2, indicating it may not completely replace cervical mediastinoscopy [14]. According to our study, 46 patients with suspected or confirmed lung cancer received EBUS-TBNA, 2 patients with confirmed lung cancer for restaging after chemotherapy, and confirmatory EBUS-TBNA was operated on 43 patients with preoperatively suspected mediastinal diseases. Besides, 7 lung masses, 1 mediastinal mass and 2 esophageal masses were biopsied by EBUS-TBNA. Regarding the final cytological and histological results showed above, EBUS-TBNA successfully diagnosed 58 malignant tumors and failed to reveal one small cell lung cancer, one squamous carcinoma, one undifferentiated carcinoma, which were finally diagnosed by further confirmatory tests (see Table 9). The malignancy prevalence was 60.4% in our study. Compared with those different results, in our study, it was suggested that the variability in performance may relate to the significant variation in disease prevalence. When disease prevalence was high, existing data favored EBUS but when it was moderate then cervical mediastinoscopy appeared superior. (See Table 10)

Another unsettled point is that whether EBUS-TBNA can replace mediastinoscopy as first-line procedure for diagnosis benign mediastinal diseases such as sarcoidosis, tuberculosis, etc. As Nakajima and colleagues

### Table 3 Location of Lymph Node Station Biopsied by EBUS-TBNA and number of TBNA passes of each Lymph Node Station

| Lymph Node Station                  | Right upper paratracheal (2R) N = 42 | Left upper paratracheal (2L) N = 1 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Right lower paratracheal (4R)     | N = 110                               | Left lower paratracheal (4L) N = 41|
| Subcarina (7)                      | N = 80                                | Right paraesophageal (8R) N = 2   |
| Right hilar (10R)                 | N = 16                                | Left hilar (10L) N = 7            |
| Right interlobar (11R)            | N = 4                                 | Left interlobar (11L) N = 2       |
| Total                             | N = 305                               | Total N = 305                     |

EBUS-TBNA: endobronchial ultrasound guided transbronchial needle aspiration.

### Table 4 The relationship of diagnostic accuracy and number of lymph node passes

| Number of lymph node passes | N = 1 | N > 1 |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|
| Total (N = 225)             | 151   | 74    |
| Successful passes           | 117   | 61    |
| Unsuccessful passes         | 34    | 13    |
| Diagnostic accuracy         | 77.5% | 82.4% |

P = 0.27

N > 1 including N = 2-5.

| Maximal diameter of lymph nodes | ≤2 cm | >2 cm |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Total (N = 225)                | 154   | 71    |
| Successful passes              | 119   | 60    |
| Unsuccessful passes            | 35    | 11    |
| Diagnostic accuracy            | 77.3% | 84.5% |

P = 0.023

The spearman correlation analysis was used to compare correlation between the two groups.
reported in 2009 [15], EBUS-TBNA should be added to conventional diagnostic modalities for patients with suspicious stage I sarcoidosis on chest roentgenogram. In their study, they compared EBUS-TBNA with TBLB and BAL and got their conclusion, but they did not compare with mediastinoscopy. In our research, 38 benign diseases were diagnosed. And 5 cases of granulomatus inflammation were diagnosed by EBUS-TBNA. Also, of 4 patients with tuberculosis, one case was diagnosis by EBUS-TBNA. Since non-caseating granuloma can be detected by EBUS-TBNA, sarcoidosis and tuberculosis can be diagnosed with the combination of clinical features and laboratory evidences.

Since there are few studies analyzing the relationship between diagnostic accuracy and number of lymph node passes or size of lymph nodes reported previously, we assessed the correlation of diagnostic accuracy and the two parameters above. Though the diagnostic accuracy of EBUS-TBNA should be higher when the lymph node size was larger or number of passes was more on the theoretical basis, we found that the relationship of diagnostic accuracy and number of passes was insignificant (see Table 4 &5). Similarly, there was no significant correlation between diagnostic accuracy and size of lymph nodes. Our results suggest that neither number of lymph node passes nor size of lymph nodes be the key factor which determines the success of EBUS-TBNA. The certainty that the needle is punctured into the lymph node and enough samples are collected during EBUS-TBNA procedure is much more important.

Another potential advantage of EBUS-TBNA is that the cytological samples can provide molecular biological information that will possibly be useful for the treatment of advanced lung cancer. In our study, we detected EGFR mutation in ten biopsy samples. We found 4 EGFR mutation cases: one heterozygosity deletion (E752-E759del) of exon 19, one heterozygosity deletion (E746-E750del), and two point mutations (L858R) of exon 21. We detected EGFR mutation on the cytological level, which was different from what Nakajima reported on the histological level in 2007 [16]. Though whether EGFR mutation found in metastatic lymph nodes is accordance with that of the primary tumor is still unknown, however, molecular biological information provided by EBUS-TBNA is evidently of great value and maybe it can guide targeted therapy for advanced lung cancer patients. Obviously more researches are needed for further confirmation.

Conclusions
EBUS-TBNA was an accurate and safe tool in diagnosis of mediastinal lymphadenopathy and lung cancer. Surely EBUS-TBNA cannot completely replace mediastinoscopy so far, it may indeed reduce the number of mediastinoscopy procedures. In patients with positive lymph nodes suspected by enhanced thoracic CT and PET/CT, it can necessarily be the first-line procedure before mediastinoscopy.
Table 10 Comparative diagnostic performance of EBUS-TBNA and mediastinoscopy

| Technique        | Nodes | Risks                                      | Sensitivity | NPV  | Prevalence |
|------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------|-------------|------|------------|
| Mediastinoscopy  | 1-4, 7| 0.08% mortality and 2% morbidity; arrhythmias [15], haemorrhage, thoracic duct injury, recurrent laryngeal nerve injury, pneumonia, bronchial/pleural laceration [1] | 78-81%      | 91%  | 39%        |
| EBUS-TBNA        | 2-4, 10-12 | None                                       | 95.08%      | 93.02% | 60.4%      |

EBUS-TBNA: based on data from our study; Mediastinoscopy: based on data from previous studies.
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