At the end of 2019, a novel coronavirus was reported to World Health Organization as the cause of a cluster of pneumonia cases in Wuhan, a city in the Hubei Province of China. The name severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was ultimately adopted. On March 11, 2020, COVID-19, the disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 was identified as a global pandemic spreading worldwide. To date and globally, we are counting over 230 million confirmed cases, over 4.7 million deaths, and over 5.8 billion vaccine doses administered (WHO COVID-19 Dashboard accessed 24 September 2021). The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic is definitively changing our lives because of several simultaneous events including infectious and clinical aspects (ie, spread of SARS-CoV-2 variants, respiratory and
non-respiratory manifestations, immune response and re-infection, need of vaccine prophylaxis), marked changes in lifestyle and habits (ie, prophylaxis by frequent handwashing, mask-wearing, social distancing, quarantine, increased use of digital media and smart-work implementation) and many socio-economic implications.6

Independently from own specific and usual area of interest, each health professional should fill huge knowledge gaps about virology, epidemiology, variable clinical manifestations, prevention and therapy of SARS-CoV-2. The overlapping effects of this new disease are studied in fragile patients, and in a rapidly evolving scenario.

Besides the clinical implications of the pandemic, the new fields of actions impose to build specific strategies to dissect the multifactorial, medical and non-medical consequences. In addition, we should learn how to avoid future harms. As health professionals, we are directly facing the individual and global effects of COVID-19 in a complex scenario, where COVID-19 interacts with other severe criticalities.

In that follow-ups, we discuss how COVID-19 challenges the capacity of resilience of health professionals and of large communities worldwide. We explore the complex relationships existing, mainly in western countries, between the viral pandemic and factors, which increase vulnerability. Aspects include the growing incidence of noncommunicable diseases (NCD), the alarming effects of climate change and of environmental pollution, and the spread of iniquities and inequalities.

2 | SEARCH STRATEGY AND SELECTION CRITERIA

We searched the MEDLINE database and included the terms syndemic, noncommunicable diseases, pandemic, climate changes, pollution, resilience, vulnerability, health costs, COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2. No language restrictions were applied. Abstracts and potentially relevant full texts were reviewed independently by authors (ADC, MK and PP) with any conflict resolved by consensus. Reporting of the study conforms to broad EQUATOR guidelines.7

3 | THE HEALTH PROFESSIONALS HAVE BEEN OBLIGED TO FAMILIARIZE THEMSELVES WITH THE CONCEPT OF RESILIENCE.

The word resilience is defined as ‘an ability to recover from or adjust easily to a misfortune or change’.8 This word arises from the present participle of the Latin verb resilire, meaning ‘to jump back’ or ‘to recoil’. We learn from ongoing events, that indeed we can oppose the sudden changes in our societies and life. In last year, we firstly published a paper to focus on the role of internists and resilience,9 in accordance with the mission of the Italian Society of Internal Medicine (SIMI), the oldest Scientific Society in Italy, dated 1887. We reported the striking difference and gap existing between the ongoing COVID-19 outbreaks in Northern compared to Southern Italy, hoping that the incidence of disease could stay lower in the southern regions. That was not the case, unfortunately, and we had to adopt fast, effective ethical and technical decisions to govern the process. We had to quickly discuss issues related to patients (both COVID-19 and non-COVID-19), emergency rooms and overcrowding, waiting lists, organization of grey areas, role of intensive care units, death rates and later policies related to long-COVID syndromes. Additional issues concerned the needs arising out of conditions such as critical, frail, cancer patients, elderly isolation and non-COVID communities.10 Overall, these events initiated a period of huge challenge for the Public Health Systems locally, at a national level, and worldwide. We had to rearrange clinical and academic activities, and we are now adopting methodologies designed for distance learning/teaching and remote working of personnel at any level. Deeply affected aspects concern personal interactions, research stages, access to available funding, participation in meetings/congresses and webinar methodologies.11 In addition, COVID-19 substantially affects the quality of life of healthcare professionals.12 Eventually, for many researchers the overall profile of scientific output is deeply affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, by shifting the field of interest towards still unexplored territories,9,13-20 as also documented by the global number of publications (more than 190,000 from 2019 to date) available so far in PubMed (PubMed (nih.gov)).

4 | THE PANDEMIC AND THE GROWING INCIDENCE OF NONCOMMUNICABLE DISEASES

We are currently interacting with two types of pandemics, which are overwhelming the populations, that is, the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic is spreading acutely on the wide background of NCD pandemic. There is an evidence of a close relationship between these two widely diffused threats for the human health.

The progression of NCD can negatively affect the outcome of the SARS-CoV-2 infection,21-23 On the other hand, social containment measures taken to reduce the spread of the infection promoted unhealthy lifestyles and,
in turn, increased health risk in patients with NCD. Future studies need to clarify if and to which extent the acute SARS-CoV-2 infection will affect the progression of the pre-existing NCD in COVID-19 survivors. This possibility exists mainly for selected conditions as chronic pulmonary, cardiovascular, neurologic, psychiatric and metabolic disorders.

The mortality risk secondary to a SARS-CoV-2 infection, however, could be non-specific, since NCD have been associated with an increased risk of death due to infectious diseases even before the COVID-19 pandemic began. This evidence underscores the role of NCD as factors increasing individual vulnerability in the pandemic era, and of the pandemic as an amplifier of previously existing risk factors.

About 22% of the global population (from subjects younger than 20 years to subjects aged 70 or older) suffer from at least one chronic disease able to increase the risk of a severe course of disease after a SARS-CoV-2 infection. This risk is highest in countries with the larger proportion of elderly subjects. Ageing and multi-morbidity negatively influence the course of COVID-19. However, the increased vulnerability in aged people is mainly related to the degree of frailty, rather than to age per se. Frailty, in turn, depends on complex relationships linking environmental factors to NCD, finally leading to disabilities and reduced health span.

Notably, the environmental factors leading to NCD and frailty act during the whole life, and frequently start during the period in-utero. On the other hand, NCD affects people of any age, and the epidemiologic trend is continuously rising. The four main NCD, namely cardiovascular diseases, cancer, chronic respiratory diseases and diabetes, cause an enormous burden of premature deaths. Moreover, the risk of mortality due to NCD between 30 and 70 years of age is inversely related with the income, being lowest in high-income countries, and highest in low- and middle-income countries. Rather than simply pointing to increased lifespan, we should implement measures leading to a successful ageing and pointing to increased healthspan. This approach could significantly reduce, at one time, the incidence of NCD in all age classes, the related health costs and the individual vulnerability, also increasing the possibility of resilience at a population level. As a result, the intersection of NCD with COVID-19 pandemic is negatively affecting the well-being of world population and generating imbalance and disruption of essential national public health services. Such detrimental effects are particularly severe in the case of socio-economic iniquities, highlighting the role of socio-economic deprivation in NCD and of increased individual vulnerability to COVID-19.

Virtually, all factors generating detrimental effects on the quality of ageing (ie, dietary habits, unhealthy lifestyle, economic deprivation, iniquities and inequalities, environmental pollution) are modifiable. This observation paves the way to possible primary prevention measures, likely reducing long-term vulnerability.

Of note, although individual behaviours (ie, unhealthy diet, smoking, inadequate alcohol consumption, sedentary life) have a marked and well-known causal role in the onset and progression of NCD, individuals do not have full responsibility in terms of public health. External drivers, in fact, can strongly and negatively influence individual choices. At least three aspects must be considered: i) amid policies generally adopted for tackling wrong individual behaviours, the commercial determinants of health and unsustainable industrial productions largely driving unhealthy behaviours are usually ignored; ii) the detrimental role of the private sector producing harmful commodities remains unconsidered or obscured; iii) policies really useful to reduce health inequalities are lacking.

5 | THE ROLE OF CLIMATE CHANGE AND ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION

The complexity of climate change and the related environmental and social consequences can generate a variety of health risks and high economic costs. NCD are a major part of this scenario since the main drivers of climate change also act as environmental risk factors for NCD. Data from the Global Burden of Disease reveal that the burden of NCD related to climate progressively increased in the period 1990–2019.

Conversely, unhealthy dietary habits, which fuel the global rise in obesity, can contribute per se to the observed climate change. Excessive consumption of energy-dense foods promotes obesity and generates a huge cost for the world’s health system and a substantial ecological cost in relation to the environment. In this respect, the waste of resources, which includes food eaten above physiological can be labelled as ‘metabolic food waste’. Thus, ‘obesogen’ food consumption must be included into practical assessments of ecological impacts of Western lifestyle in the future, since these unnecessary greenhouse emissions have been mostly ignored, so far. When dietary intake corresponding to metabolic food waste is transformed into ecological indexes, animal products display the highest values for carbon emissions. Among the different regions, Europe is responsible for the greatest amount of metabolic food waste (39.2 million tonnes of food), followed by North America—Oceania (32.5 million tonnes),
figures, which are around 14 times more than the amount in Sub-Saharan Africa.63

The Paris agreement in 2015 aimed to reduce global warming to ‘well below 2°C’, as compared to the pre-industrial level. However, after 2015 global carbon dioxide emissions continued to increase, with an average rise, at a world level, of +1.23 billion tonnes (+3%) from 2015 to 2019 (source: https://ourworldindata.org). This trend will further worsen the global public health, will increase individual vulnerability in subjects at risk, and will generate a high cost in terms of excess morbidity and mortality.55

Most of the health risks depend on climatic influences on global food security, on the trend of infectious diseases, on the integrity of the defences against natural disasters (forests, windbreaks, dams, water drainage systems in urban areas), and on the adverse consequences of socio-economic status, altered social cohesion, migrations and conflicts.47

As NCD, COVID-19 pandemic is also linked with environmental harms and with the progressive rise in global temperature. The negative effects of climate change are amplified by the interaction between NCD and socio-economic factors. The climate suitability for infectious disease transmission has been growing since the 1950s,45 and the rapid spread of SARS-CoV-2 might be secondary to this trend. In fact, SARS-CoV-2 is a novel zoonotic coronavirus with a possible origin in bats and a following inter-species transmission from bats to humans.54 Climate change might have had a critical role in this process55 and in the spread of the infection, which could be facilitated by unsustainable urbanization, reduced biodiversity, lack of green areas, habitat destruction, live animal trade, and global hypermobility.56-59

Besides the greenhouse gas emissions causing global warming and direct effects on health, the burden of other environmental toxic chemicals released into the environment represents a serious risk factor for NCD. NCD correlate with the concentration of air pollutants60-63 and with endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDC),64,65 and both factors promote an unhealthy environment, increased individual vulnerability at all age classes, and ultimately generate high health costs.

In the period of 1991–2010, in Europe, NCD represented the 29% of the total contribution to premature mortality linked with the exposure to fine particular matters in the order of 2.5 micrometres—PM2.5 (263,000 premature deaths per year, 95% CI 144,600–386,600).60 About the 70% of the diseases caused by pollution are NCD, and the burden of disease attributable to environmental pollution is maximal in the presence of socio-economic deprivation and high vulnerability, as in children, among minorities and marginalized, and in low- and middle-income countries.62

Endocrine-disrupting chemicals, on the other hand, generate elevated health costs, which are higher in the USA (more than 2% of gross domestic product) than in Europe (1.28% of gross domestic product).66 Unequal exposures to toxic chemicals secondary to racial, ethnic and socio-economic disparities might account for the elevated EDCs-related burden of disease in the case of socio-economic deprivation.57,68

In both the short- and long term, preliminary evidence indicates that the concentration of air pollutants can negatively affect the clinical outcome in COVID-19 patients69-71 recapitulating close correlations between air quality and COVID-19 incidence. A Chinese time-series study showed, in the short term, a direct correlation between COVID-19 incidence, nitrogen dioxide (NO2), PM2.5 and coarse particular matter in the order of 10 micrometres (PM10) air concentration.72 Daily concentrations of NO2 and PM2.5 also influence, in the short term, the mortality risk from COVID-19, in particular in elderly.73 A study exploring data from 33 European countries showed significant correlations between the air concentration of several pollutants (PM10, PM2.5, ammonia, sulphur dioxide, non-methane volatile organic compounds, NO2 and greenhouse gas elements) and the cumulative number of COVID-19 deaths, with strongest correlations showed, in particular, for PM2.5 and nitrogen oxides (NOx).74 Long-term exposures to critical air pollution (in particular PM2.5 and Nox) are also linked with morbidity and mortality rates from COVID-19.75,76 In New York City, a poorer prognosis of COVID-19 has been shown in subjects living in neighbourhoods with higher ozone (O3) levels. In this case, the link was also present at O3 air levels below Environmental Protection Agency regulatory standards.77

High concentrations of atmospheric pollutants as PM2.5, PM10, SO2, NO2 can induce inflammation in the lungs, possibly leading to an increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The rapid spread of the pandemic in some Italian regions as Lombardy and Veneto may have depended on air pollution in these areas.71,78 Similar findings have also been reported in Poland. In particular, the Silesian agglomeration, Mazavia and Lesser Poland were highly impacted in the very early phase of COVID-19.79

The results of previous studies suggest that reducing air pollution might contribute to a decreased burden of disease of COVID-19 and likely, of future pandemics. On the other hand, the COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated that it is concretely possible to obtain a fast reduction of pollution, which is also the main goal of policies aimed to counteract climate change. Notably, the pandemic was associated with a historic drop in greenhouse gas emission during the year 2020, with a significant decrease in global carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions (−8.8%, −1,551 million
metric tonnes CO$_2$) in the first half of 2020, as compared to the same period in 2019. Evidence mainly from USA, Europe, India, and China show that a marked decrease in the concentration of air pollutants has been recorded during the lockdown imposed to contain the spread of the pandemic.

We could not exclude the climate impact and higher temperatures during the pandemic progress. The higher temperature limits transmission of the virus since it promotes aerosol droplets’ drying on which the virus can spread or the sunlight radiation is more important, even. Indeed, mortality due to COVID-19 decreases in warmer air temperature. The pandemic development even. Indeed, mortality due to COVID-19 decreases in warmer air temperature. The pandemic development from February to May during the first wave of the pandemic inversely correlates with the amount of UVA and UVB radiation. It may suggest a lower number of SARS-CoV-2 infections in the northern hemisphere during the summer, with the increased incidence of COVID-19 in the southern hemisphere during the summer in the southern hemisphere (Brazil). However, even in hot temperatures, monsoon clouds block solar radiation in high humidity tropical countries.

Not everything is, therefore, yet understood, but the lesson from COVID-19 pandemic clearly demonstrates that nations (especially in developed countries) can, to some extent, successfully and rapidly face a major threat for the humankind, that a fast reduction of air pollution is concretely possible. To reach these goals, improved environmental health, marked abetment in greenhouse emissions and effective international cooperation are achievable in the short term, despite the elevated economic costs.

6 | THE SYNDEMIC AS THE OUTCOME

The spread of COVID-19 pandemic confirms that NCD, climate and environmental threats and socio-economic inequities and inequalities are not distinct problems. It is logical to address these problems as a unique challenge, which is characterized by multiple and strong interrelations, which ultimately increase the individual vulnerability, decrease resilience and raise health and economic costs.

The ongoing pandemic is showing that the major factors characterizing vulnerable groups and markedly affecting public health in the short and in the long term include unhealthy ageing (and not merely the number of aged people), the burden of NCD, the burden of toxic chemicals released into the environment, socio-economic deprivation, the scarce efficacy of policies to improve public health, and the lack of primary prevention measures. In addition, the spread of SARS-CoV-2 infection shows how the pandemic is amplifying pre-existing criticalities and is rapidly worsening public health worldwide, a kind of ‘Tsunami-like’ effect.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, we are insistently reminded that inequities and inequalities do exist and can further emerge, decreasing the efficiency and the efficacy of all policies and the goals of ameliorating public health. Figure 1 depicts a metropolis in the world characterized by two totally different social areas. COVID-19 pandemic can hit each area in a totally different way and violence, depending on pre-existing factors regulating individual vulnerability and possibility of recovery.

The definition of syndemic is ‘an outbreak of disease that spreads quickly and affects many individuals at the same time: an outbreak of epidemic disease’, while pandemic is ‘an outbreak of a disease that occurs over a wide geographic area (such as multiple countries or continents) and typically affects a significant proportion of the population’ (Merriam-Webster). Thus, the epidemic is a more limited phenomenon, as compared to a pandemic, and COVID-19 is, therefore, closer to the concept of pandemic than epidemic. For many reasons, therefore, COVID-19 is not simply a pandemic. Rather, it can be considered one of the possible, multiple expressions of a pre-existing syndemic. This word was first coined in 2009 by the Anthropologist Merril Singer working at the University of Connecticut, USA. The syndemic or the synergistic epidemic requires the study of both biological and social processes. The research, therefore, develops as a complex, multilevel phenomenon, with aggregation of two or more concurrent or sequential epidemics or disease clusters in a population and with biological interactions. The events related to the syndemic will greatly exacerbate the prognosis and burden of disease. Thus, research on the COVID-19 pandemic can benefit from a syndemic approach, which is particularly useful to understand vulnerability and the unequal impacts of this public health crisis.

Judging COVID-19 as a syndemic requires a syndemic approach not only to provide an appropriate response to the pandemic but also to limit the risk of further pandemics. In fact, we must consider that NCD as obesity, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, chronic respiratory diseases and cancer have been spreading across poorer and most vulnerable countries. Since 2019, the same populations have also become the target of COVID-19. We must not forget that the effects of COVID-19 persist beyond the resolution of infection, resulting in a reduced health-related quality of life (HRQoL). Already the early analyses of groups of individuals with COVID-19 demonstrated that older age is the main risk factor of mortality, and this was also reported in Germany. Older patients with COVID-19 need longer time to recover. A recent analysis of patients from Tübingen, one of the districts in
Germany that was affected as one of the first ones with COVID-19, showed that, in patients older than 70 years, almost 30% were not able to return to their home environment, although they were fully sufficient before the infection. Hence, elderly individuals represent a particularly vulnerable group of patients who require a special attention not only during the disease itself but also during the convalescence period, with a special emphasis on rehabilitation.

We ultimately require an integrated approach besides simple basic, clinical and epidemiological interpretations. We must look for cost-effective interventions, primary prevention measures, social inequalities, environmental health and of course existing NCD.

The COVID-19 pandemic is showing the worst outcome in the group of the eight highly industrialized nations, in which the 12% of the world population has experienced about one-third of all COVID-19 related deaths at a world level. This evidence demonstrates that a great economic power does not fully protect against the health risk linked with the spread of the SARS-CoV-2, and points to the need for a decisive change of course in actions and strategies.

To date, the major fuels for the inaction to a global economic transformation have been the fear about a decrease in the Gross Domestic Product (GDP), and the subsequent absence of a convincing and firm exit strategy from fossil fuels. From an economic point of view, what happened during the lockdown confirmed this fear.

Initially (February 1, 2020 - March 15, 2020) only China implemented lockdown measures. According to data collected by Wikipedia (‘national responses to the 2019–20 coronavirus pandemic’), in March 2020 about 1.7 billion people worldwide started a lockdown. In April 2020, this number involved more than half of the world population (3.9 billion people). Starting from these data, it is calculated that the impact of the lockdowns at the peak of the crisis amounts to 9.4% of annual GDP. It is quite important, as the publicly available data from the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) show a close correlation between GDP per capita and the number of deaths per COVID-19 per million population in

---

**FIGURE 1** Impact of pre-existing social differences on the outcome of COVID-19 pandemic. (A) In a given metropolis, the initial event of pandemic can hit two geographical areas, which segregate intrinsic social, economic, structural, health and medical differences. (B) COVID-19 pandemic has the chance to hit each area in a totally different way and violence since the above-mentioned differences are important predisposing; (C) the consequences of the pandemic will ultimately depend on the underlying capacity of resilience, which is weaker below ‘the line of poverty’. The ultimate outcome of the pandemic (bringing the concept of ‘syndemic’) will increase the burden of inequalities between the two social realities.

Source: Mumbai, India (2017), Extreme wealth and opulence exist side by side in India's financial capital, Mumbai. With permission from J. Miller, Unequal Scenes - Mumbai.
each country. Data indicate that higher GDP, not impacted by COVID-19, could help fighting COVID-19 probably by testing more, identifying more cases, offering better treatment options, better healthcare system, and better qualifying COVID-19 related deaths.

Nevertheless, the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 is generating elevated and still uncalculated health costs worldwide. Similarly, an accurate financial analysis revealed that climate change is able to hardly affect the stability of the global banking system, causing an additional fiscal burden of about 5–15% of GDP per year and increasing the public debt/GDP ratio by a factor of 2.97 Using a syndemic point of view, such high costs must be added to the enormous health costs generated by environmental pollution and by the increasing epidemic of NCD and related disabilities.

Intersection of human rights that comprise the overarching right to well-being has been well depicted in the framework of Figure 2. The Lancet Commission identifies five interrelated human rights recognised by international law. There is a right to health, food, culture, cultural rights, child rights, and rights to healthy environments ultimately leading to the right to well-being.98

Health is the result of a broader prospectus that includes the quality of education (primary to tertiary), economic growth, gender equality and migration policy.99 According to international human rights standards, the populations have an equal right to the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health. This goal includes access not only to health care, but also to the underlying determinants of good health.100 The mission is, therefore, to provide health in all policies. By improving health, enhancing living conditions, strengthening the people’s capacity, we should increase the mass of healthy people, improve healthy environments, and promote primary prevention. This approach will contribute to prevent the complications related to several diseases, which are highly costly in our population.

Thus, COVID-19 is a syndemic of viral infection combined with an epidemic of NCD, and both interact on a social substrate of poverty and inequality and in a scenario strongly characterized by an unhealthy environment.

The winning policy is to tackle such structural criticalities to protect fragile populations from future infectious outbreaks99 but also to guarantee the respect of fundamental human rights.

At a higher level, we need to face COVID-19 syndemic by holistic approach encompassing education, employment, housing, food, environmental health and economical issues looking at inequalities and poverty.

In the framework of health sciences, we have to move up from individuals to population101 to depict a complex, multilevel phenomenon, which ultimately must help policymakers and program implementers in their endeavours to improve the global health. In this respect, different levels of interaction include governance (with policies, economics, and social norms), systems (which include food, transport, land use and urban design, environments, social determinants), and outcomes. The latter step includes the box of global syndemic grouping aspects related to climate changes, food supply, malnutrition, developmental Origins of Health and Disease, obesity (Figure 3).98

Following the COVID-19 syndemic, we shall not contribute to generate a society poorer in economics, environment and overall health. Recent observations suggest that socio-economic inequalities in severe COVID-19 are largely avoidable, as documented by the Hong Kong case. In that area, there was no significant association between the area-level income-poverty rate with severe COVID-19. Thus, the socio-economic patterning of severe COVID-19 was mild in Hong Kong.102
7 | CONCLUSIONS

Since 2019, the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic is spreading across the world on the top of ongoing NCD. This tragic combination of events has made us discover the capacity of resilience, the syndemic aspects occurring worldwide, emphasizing the role of existing inequalities and of environmental criticalities. In this scenario, there is a high risk of accumulating unhealthy populations living in unhealthy environments and generating unhealthy offspring.

We urge to awake the conscience about the global themes, and the concepts of resilience and syndemic, approaching appropriate policies. This mission must reach the soul of young doctors and scientists as well and must start now because it will soon be too late. We must be prepared to face emerging global medical aspects, against the background of environmental and social factors.
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