Revisiting the taxonomy of *Dioclea* and related genera (Leguminosae, Papilionoideae), with new generic circumscriptions
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Abstract

The *Dioclea* clade comprises four genera and approximately 60 species of the tribe Diocleae: *Cleobulia* (4 species), *Cymbosema* (1), *Dioclea* (ca. 50), *Luzonia* (1) and *Macropsychanthus* (3–4). *Dioclea* has been demonstrated to be a non-monophyletic genus, but low sampling in previous phylogenetic studies hampered the adoption of new taxonomic arrangements. We carried out densely sampled phylogenetic analyses of the *Dioclea* clade using molecular markers that had performed well in previous studies: the ITS and ETS nuclear ribosomal regions and the plastid *trnK/matK*. Our results support the maintenance of the genera *Cleobulia* and *Cymbosema* with their current circumscriptions, but confirmed the polyphyly of *Dioclea*, with its species falling into three different positions: (1) the puzzling species, *Dioclea paniculata*, was highly supported as a member of the Galactia clade; (2) *Dioclea* subg. *Dioclea* appeared as sister to a clade composed of *Cleobulia* and *Cymbosema*; and (3) the species of *Dioclea* subgenera *Pachylobium* and *Platylobium* composed a paraphyletic grade nesting the genera *Luzonia* and *Macropsychanthus*. We thus propose that the circumscription of *Dioclea* should be restricted to *Dioclea* subg. *Dioclea*, with 13 species and that the limits of *Macropsychanthus* should be widened to include the genus *Luzonia*, as well as the *Dioclea* subgenera *Pachylobium* and *Platylobium*, with 46 species. Taxonomic summaries, new combinations and synonyms are presented for all genera of the *Dioclea* clade. *Cleobulia* and *Cymbosema* were retained in their original circumscriptions. We presented an illustrated taxonomic conspectus of all genera of the *Dioclea* clade including 44 new combinations, one new name, ten new synonyms, two re-established holotypes, 38 lectotypes, two epitypes and one neotype.
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Introduction

The genus *Dioclea* Kunth is one of the most important groups of tropical rainforest lianas. It includes some of the largest plants in primary forests, which are capable of spreading over wide areas on the canopies of the highest trees, often at heights above 30 m. With approximately 50 species in its current circumscription, the genus is distributed throughout the humid tropics of the Americas, Africa, Asia and the Pacific Islands. *Dioclea* is included in Diocleae, a tribe of Papilionoid legumes with 14 genera and approximately 200 species (Queiroz et al. 2015). Together with four other small genera, it composes the Dioclea clade, a monophyletic lineage that includes the geographically restricted genera *Cleobulia* Mart. ex Benth. (four species from the Neotropics), *Cymbosema* Benth. (one Amazonian and Mesoamerican species), *Luzonia* Elmer (one species from the Philippines) and *Macropsychanthus* Harms (2–3 species from New Guinea and neighbouring islands) (Queiroz et al. 2015).

In addition to a woody, coarse lianescent habit, the genera of the Dioclea clade also share trifoliolate leaves with stipellate leaflets, a pseudoracemose inflorescence with woody multiflorous nodes, rather large and robust firm flowers, a pseudomonadelphous androecium (i.e. with the 10 stamens joined in a tube, but with the vexillary stamen free at the base, forming fenestration via two holes at the base of the staminal tube) and a fleshy and robust intrastaminal nectary disc. Their large flowers are mostly pollinated by large carpenter bees, but some species are adapted for bird pollination (Arroyo 1981; Franco 1995; Peçanha 2014). Most species have large fruits and large seeds with long and linear (or short and oblong) hilum (Lackey 1981; Maxwell and Taylor 2003; Queiroz et al. 2003) and disperse their seeds through autochory, but some species have buoyant sea-drifted seeds (Muir 1933; Armstrong 2001).

The Dioclea clade is one of three highly-supported major lineages of the tribe Diocleae, as revealed by a multilocus molecular phylogeny using the nuclear ITS/5.8S and ETS regions and the plastid *matK* gene and the *trnT-Y* region (Queiroz et al. 2015). Previous studies, based on either morphological (Maxwell and Taylor 2003; Queiroz et al. 2003) or molecular (nrITS) data with sparser sampling (Varela et al. 2004), suggested its existence, but with low support. None of the previous studies supported the monophyly of the genus *Dioclea* and, instead, it was recovered as a biphyletic group roughly corresponding to long-recognised infrageneric taxa: the species of *Dioclea* sect. *Dioclea* grouping with the New World genera *Cleobulia* and *Cymbosema* (Maxwell & Taylor 2003; Queiroz et al. 2003, 2015; Varela et al. 2004; Sede et al. 2009) and the species belonging to sections *Pachylobium* Benth., *Platylobium* Benth. and *Macrocarpon* Amshoff nesting the representatives of the Old World genus *Macropsychanthus* (Maxwell and Taylor 2003; Queiroz et al. 2015). More recently, we included a sequence of the plastid *matK* gene of *Luzonia purpurea* Elmer in a broader phylogenetic analysis of the Leguminosae and it appeared as a sister to *Macropsychanthus*, nested within the second lineage of *Dioclea*, but with low support (LPWG 2017).

The morphological recognition of the two major lineages that include the species of *Dioclea* can be traced back to Bentham (1837), who divided the genus into the
sections *Dioclea* (as *Eudioclea*) and *Pachylobium*. He later added a third section, *Platylobium* (Bentham 1859). Those three sections were diagnosed by a combination of just a few morphological traits: sect. *Dioclea* with stipules not prolonged beyond their base, keel petals straight and erosestrate, all anthers fertile and uniform, fruits elastically dehiscent and seeds with a linear hilum; sect. *Platylobium* sharing with sect. *Dioclea* non-prolonged stipules, but with the keel strongly incurved, anthers alternately fertile and sterile, fruits flat compressed and obovate with 2–3 seeds near the apex and seeds with a short and oblong hilum; and sect. *Pachylobium* sharing with sect. *Platylobium* flowers with an incurved and rostrate keel and the anthers alternately fertile and sterile, but with stipules prolonged beyond their base, fruits indehiscent or partially dehiscent and seeds with a linear hilum encircling more than half of the seed’s circumference.

The circumscriptions of Bentham’s sections became less clear with the discovery of some Amazonian species that combined the diagnostic features of different sections, as was the case with *Dioclea macrocarpa* Huber and *D. erecta* Hoehne, which have androecia typical of sect. *Dioclea* and seeds typical of sect. *Platylobium*. Amshoff (1939) then created sect. *Macrocarpon* to include the species of *Dioclea* with stipules not prolonged beyond their base, androecium with uniform anthers, fruits mostly oblong with 4–5 seeds evenly distributed along their length and seeds with a short, oblong hilum. Maxwell (2011) elevated those three sections created by Bentham to subgenera and included Amshoff’s sect. *Macrocarpon* into subg. *Platylobium*.

Despite the existence of phylogenetic studies focusing on the tribe Diocleae, there has been no re-appraisal of the taxonomy of the *Dioclea* clade incorporating those findings. We can speculate that the situation probably reflects the rather sparse sampling of taxa across the morphological and geographical ranges of the included genera. Here, we thus provide a re-assessment of the taxonomy of the *Dioclea* clade in light of robust and densely-sampled phylogenetic analyses. These analyses sought to: (1) test the previous findings of paraphyly of the genus *Dioclea* and its relationships with the remaining genera of the *Dioclea* clade; (2) re-examine the monophyly of the infrageneric groups of *Dioclea*; and, (3) provide a new generic classification that reflects the phylogenetic structure of the *Dioclea* clade.

**Materials and methods**

Taxon sampling was designed to test the monophyly of the *Dioclea* clade of the tribe Diocleae as identified by Queiroz et al. (2015), to test the monophyly of its genera and to explore relationships between the genera. The sampling included 62 accessions corresponding to: one species of the monospecific *Cymbosema*, four species of *Cleobulia* (100% of all species in the genus), one species of the monospecific *Luzonia*, one species and two varieties of *Macropsychanthus* (50% of the species and 33% of all taxa) and 36 described species (+ six inedit) of *Dioclea* (60%). *Canavalia bonariensis* Lindl. (*Canavalia* clade), *Cratylia mollis* Mart. ex Benth. and *Collaea stenophylla* (Hook. & Arn.) Benth. (*Galactia* clade) were selected as outgroups for phylogenetic analyses in
the tribe Diocleae and *Deguelia nitidula* (Benth.) A.M.G. Azevedo & R.A. Camargo and *Muellera obtusa* (Benth.) M.J. Silva & A.M.G. Azevedo (Millettieae) were selected as more remote outgroups to root the trees. A complete list of the vouchers associated with GenBank accessions are presented in Table 1.

The DNA regions used in this study are the same as those used by Queiroz et al. (2015): the plastid trnK/matK (the matK gene and partial flanking trnK introns) and ribosomal nuclear ETS (partial 3’ end of the External Transcribed Spacer) and ITS (5.8S and flanking Internal Transcribed Spacers 1 and 2) (Table 2).

Total genomic DNA was extracted from silica gel-dried leaves using the 2× CTAB protocol of Doyle and Doyle (1987). For herbarium samples, DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany). PCR reactions were performed using the TopTaq Master Mix Kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocols, with a final volume of 10 µl. For herbarium samples, the PCR reactions also included 2 µl of TBT-PAR [trehalose, bovine serum albumin (BSA), polysorbate-20 (Tween-20)] (Samarakoon et al. 2013) and, for ITS, they also included 0.2 µl of 99.5% DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) to avoid secondary conformations. Primers and PCR conditions are summarised in Table 2.

The PCR products were cleaned using 11% PEG (Paithankar and Prasad 1991) and then sequenced in both directions using the Big Dye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Austin, Texas, USA) according to the following protocol: a hot start followed by 3 min of initial denaturation at 96 °C, 30 cycles of 96 °C denaturation for 20 s, 50 °C annealing for 15 s and a 60 °C extension for 4 min. Sequencing products were cleaned using 80% isopropanol and 70% ethanol and analysed on a 3130xl Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems/HITACHI, Tokyo, Japan) at the Laboratório de Sistemática Molecular de Plantas of the Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana (LAMOL/UEFS).

The original electropherograms were assembled into final sequences using the Geneious platform (Drummond et al. 2012). The sequences were automatically aligned in MUSCLE with default settings (Edgar 2004) and then checked using Geneious for manual adjustments. We carried out maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian analyses for both individual and combined (nrITS, nrETS and trnK/matK) DNA datasets. Conflicts amongst datasets were evaluated by the incongruence length difference test (ILD; Farris et al. 1995), performed in PAUP v.4.0b10 (Swofford 2002) between nuclear regions and between the nuclear and plastid regions, using a heuristic search with 1000 replicates, random taxa-addition and tree bisection and reconnection (TBR) branch-swapping, saving 15 trees per replicate.

The search for the most parsimonious trees was carried out in PAUP v.4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). Heuristic searches were made with 1000 random taxon-addition and tree bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch swapping, saving 15 trees per replicate. The trees saved in this first round were used as starting trees for a subsequent round of TBR swapping. All character state transformations were weighted equally and unordered (Fitch 1971). Non-parametric bootstrap resampling was used to estimate
Table 1. Voucher information and GenBank accession numbers for the DNA sequences used in this study. Original sequences are presented with an asterisk.

| Taxon | Voucher | Locality | GenBank accession numbers |
|-------|---------|----------|--------------------------|
|       |         |          | ITS | ETS | trnK/matK |
| **OUTGROUPS (Tribe Millettieae)** |
| Deguelia nitidula (Benth.) A.M.G. Azevedo & R.A. Camargo | L.P. Queiroz 14503 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Bahia | *MT565565 | KC779809 | KC779548 |
| Muelleria obtusa (Benth.) M.J. Silva & A.M.G. Azevedo | L.P. Queiroz 13959 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Bahia | *MT565566 | KC779808 | KC779550 |
| **TRIBE DIOCLEAE** |
| *CANAVALIA CLADE* |
| Canavalia bonariensis Lindl. | C. Snak 518 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Paraná | KT751426 | KT751375 | KT751472 |
| **GALACTIA CLADE** |
| Collaea stenophylla (Hook. & Arn.) Benth. | L.P. Queiroz 12460 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Rio Grande do Sul | KC779802 | KC779908 | KC779566 |
| Cratylia mollis Mart. ex Benth. | L.P. Queiroz 8024 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Bahia | KC779675 | KC779879 | KC779568 |
| **DIOCLEA CLADE** |
| Cleobulia Mart. ex Benth. | | |
| Cleobulia crassityla R.H. Maxwell | S. Ronán 12224 (E) | Mexico, Guerrero | KC779672 | KC779817 | *MT565534 |
| Cleobulia leiantha Benth. | L.P. Miranda 37 (INPA) | Brazil, Pará | KC779818 |
| Cleobulia multiflora Mart. ex Benth. | P.C.N. Jesus 13 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Bahia | KC779673 | KC779819 | KC779564 |
| Cleobulia diocleoides Benth. | L.P. Queiroz 16306 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Bahia | *MT565567 | *MT565546 | *MT565535 |
| Cymbosema Benth. | | |
| Cymbosema roseum Benth. | D. Cardoso 2868 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Amazonas | KC779676 | KC779816 | KC779569 |
| Cymbosema roseum Benth. | C. Snak 1211 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Pará | *MT565568 | *MT565547 | *MT565536 |
| *Dioclea* Kunth |
| Dioclea aff. virgata | C. Snak 1233 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Pará | *MT565569 | *MT565548 | *MT565537 |
| Dioclea apurensis Kunth | L.P. Queiroz 13044 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Pará | KC779677 |
| Dioclea apurensis Kunth | N. Costa 2312 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Pará | KC779828 |
| Dioclea burhartii R.H. Maxwell | R.C. Salas s.n. (CTES) | Argentina, Corrientes | KC779680 | KC779830 | KC779571 |
| Dioclea fimbriata Huber | C. Snak 1223 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Pará | *MT565571 | *MT565551 | *MT565539 |
| Dioclea guianensis var. guianensis Benth. | M. Sanchez s.n. (CIAT 9311) | Colombia, Vichada | KC779689 | KC779575 |
| Dioclea guianensis var. boltiana Pittier ex R.H. Maxwell | E. Ventura 2837 (MEXU) | Mexico, Chiapas | *MT565572 | *MT565552 | *MT565540 |
| Dioclea lasiophylla Mart.ex Benth. | D. Cardoso 2324 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Bahia | KC779692 | KC779832 | KC779578 |
| Dioclea sericea Kunth | R. Schulze-Kraft s.n. (CIAT 9578) | Colombia, Cauca | KC779715 | KC779823 | KC779588 |
| Dioclea ulei ined. | E.H.G. Ule 7169 (L) | Brazil, Piauí | *MT565582 |
| Dioclea valdensis R.H. Maxwell | D.J. Belalcazar s.n. (CIAT 17892) | Colombia, Antioquia | KC779718 | KC779824 | KC779591 |
| Dioclea virgata var. crenata R.H. Maxwell | R. Schulze-Kraft s.n. (CIAT 18631) | Brazil, Pará | KC779682 | KC779831 | KC779572 |
| Dioclea virgata var. virgata (Rich.) Amshoff | D. Cardoso 2917 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Rondônia | KC779723 | KC779827 | KC779593 |
| *Dioclea* subgen. *Pachylobium* (Benth.) R.H. Maxwell |
| Dioclea aurea R.H. Maxwell | A. Gentry 17811 (MEXU) | Colombia, Chocó | *MT565549 |
| Dioclea densiflora Huber | L.P. Queiroz 15904 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Pará | *MT565570 | *MT565550 | *MT565538 |
| Dioclea edulis Kuhlm. | L.P. Queiroz 15226 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Bahia | KC779683 | KC779835 | KC779573 |
| Taxon | Voucher | Locality | GenBank accession numbers |
|-------|---------|----------|--------------------------|
|       |         |          | ITS | ETS | trnK/matK |
| Dioclea glabra Benth. | L.P. Queiroz 10381 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Mato Grosso | KC779684 | KC779837 |     |
| Dioclea grandiflora Mart. ex Benth. | L.P. Queiroz 7325 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Bahia | KC779686 | KC779839 | KC779574 |
| Dioclea grandistipula L. Queiroz | H.C. Lima 6634 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Rio de Janeiro | KC779688 | KC779840 |     |
| Dioclea latifolia Benth. | C. van den Berg 1163 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Bahia | KC779696 | KC779843 | KC779579 |
| Dioclea malacocarpa Ducke | L.P. Queiroz 13076 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Pará | KC779698 | KC779845 |     |
| Dioclea marginata Benth. | L.P. Queiroz 9136 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Bahia | KC779700 | KC779847 | KC779581 |
| Dioclea megacarpa Rolfe | L.P. Queiroz 10135 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Piauí | KC779701 |     |     |
| Dioclea paraguariensis Hassl. | Cabid s.n. (CTES) | Argentina, Corrientes | KC779702 | KC779848 |     |
| Dioclea pulchra Moldenke | M. Sousa 11095 (MEXU) | Panama, Darién | *MT565575 | *MT565557 | *MT565542 |
| Dioclea reflexa Hook. f. | C. van den Berg 1796 (HUEFS) | Venezuela, Bolivar | KC779706 | KC779856 | KC779583 |
| Dioclea rugosa ined. | B.A. Krukoff 8433 (P) | Brazil, Amazonas | *MT565576 |     |     |
| Dioclea ruschii ined. | L.P. Queiroz 15254 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Espírito Santo | KC779717 | KC779854 | KC779590 |
| Dioclea schwartii Benth. | S. Buzato 28114 (UEC) | Brazil, São Paulo | KC779710 | KC779852 |     |
| Dioclea sclerocarpa Ducke | L.P. Queiroz 15911 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Pará | *MT565577 | *MT565558 | *MT565543 |
| Dioclea ucayalina Harms | A. Grisalva 310 (MEXU) | Ecuador, Napo | *MT565581 | *MT565562 |     |
| Dioclea violacea Mart. ex Benth. | D. Cardoso 637 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Bahia | KC779721 |     |     |
| Dioclea violacea Mart. ex Benth. | L.P. Queiroz 10135 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Piauí |     | KC779855 | KC779585 |
| Dioclea wilsonii Standl. | L.P. Queiroz 4899 (HUEFS) | Brazil, São Paulo | KC779725 | KC779857 | KC779594 |
| Dioclea sp. nov. | L.T. Colin 1209 (MEXU) | Honduras, El Paraíso | *MT565579 | *MT565560 | *MT565545 |
| Dioclea sp. nov. | J. Stehman 4721 (BHCB) | Brazil, Espírito Santo | *MT565579 | *MT565561 |     |

**Dioclea subgen. Platylobium (Benth.) R.H. Maxwell**

| Taxon | Voucher | Locality | GenBank accession numbers |
|-------|---------|----------|--------------------------|
|       |         |          | ITS | ETS | trnK/matK |
| Dioclea bicolor Benth. | L.P. Queiroz 10523 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Mato Grosso | KC779679 | KC779835 |     |
| Dioclea corticata Benth. | L.P. Queiroz 14315 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Goiás | KC779681 | KC779834 |     |
| Dioclea huberi Ducke | J. Revilla 728 (MEXU) | Peru, Loreto | *MT565553 |     |     |
| Dioclea huberi Ducke | R. Vasquez 21022 (NY) | Peru, Amazonas | *MT565554 |     |     |
| Dioclea macrocarpa Huber | L.P. Queiroz 13910 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Amazonas | KC779697 | KC779844 | KC779580 |
| Dioclea paniculata Killip ex R.H. Maxwell | M. Nee 8911 (MEXU) | Panama, Canal Zone | *MT565573 | *MT565555 | *MT565541 |
| Dioclea paniculata Killip ex R.H. Maxwell | F.W. Pennel 2829 (NY) | Colombia, Cundinamarca | *MT565574 | *MT565556 |     |
| Dioclea pygmaea ined. | L.P. Queiroz 10246 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Bahia | KC779704 | KC779849 | KC779582 |
| Dioclea rostrata var. lanata | R. Schulze-Kraft s.n. (CIAT 8541) | Brazil, Tocantins | KC779691 | KC779841 | KC779577 |
| Dioclea rostrata var. rostrata Benth. | L.P. Queiroz 14788 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Piauí | KC779708 | KC779850 |     |
| Dioclea scabra (Rich.) R.H. Maxwell | L.P. Queiroz 13897 (HUEFS) | Brazil, Amazonas | KC779709 | KC779851 | KC779584 |
| Dioclea sp. nov. | R. Farias 399 (CEN) | Brazil, Tocantins | *MT565578 | *MT565559 | *MT565544 |
Taxonomic database of the Dioclea clade

| Taxon | Voucher | Locality        | GenBank accession numbers |
|-------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------|
|       |         |                 | ITS          | ETS          | trnK/matK  |
| Luzonia Elmer |         |                 |              |              |            |
| Luzonia purpurea Elmer | Soejarto 7967 | Philippines, Luzon | MT565583 | *MT565563 | KX652152 |
| Macropsychanthus Harms ex K. Schumann & Lauterbach |         |                 |              |              |            |
| Macropsychanthus lauterbachii var. lauterbachii | M. Hopkins 1360 | Papua New Guinea | KP262490 |         | KP658375 |
| Macropsychanthus lauterbachii var. hirsutus Verd. | A.N. Millar NGF13855 | Papua New Guinea, Morobe | *MT565584 | *MT565564 |            |

Clade support (Felsenstein 1985), which was assessed through 2000 replicates (Hedges 1992; Müller 2005), simple taxon-addition and TBR algorithm, saving 15 trees per replicate. Only bootstrap percentages > 85% were considered as strong support (Kress et al. 2002).

Bayesian analyses were performed using MrBayes v.3.2.7a (Ronquist et al. 2012) in CIPRES Science Gateway v.3.3 (Miller et al. 2010). Nucleotide substitution models were selected using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) in MrModeltest v.2.3 (Nylander 2004) for each DNA region (Table 3). Two runs using the Metropolis-coupled MCMC (Markov Chain Monte Carlo) algorithm, each with four random-initiated chains (one ‘cold’ and three ‘heated’), involved 10 million generations and those were sampled every 1000 generations. The convergence of the runs was assessed by checking if the standard deviation of split frequencies reached a value below 0.01. The first 2500 trees of each run were excluded as burn-ins and the effective sample size (ESS) of all parameters was checked to verify if the values were > 200. The remaining trees were summarised into a majority-rule consensus tree including the posterior probabilities (PP) as branch support estimates. Only PP values ≥ 95 were considered as strong support (Erixon et al. 2003). Deguelia nitidula was chosen as the outgroup in the Bayesian analyses.

Maximum likelihood analyses were carried out using RAxML v.8.2.12 (Stamatakis 2014) in CIPRES Science Gateway v.3.3 (Miller et al. 2010) under a GTRGAMMA model, with the ‘-f a’ option (search for the best-scoring ML tree and a rapid bootstrap analysis) and 1000 bootstrap replicates. The MP strict consensus trees, ML trees and Bayesian 50% majority-rule consensus trees were visualised and partially edited in FigTree v.1.4.4 (Rambaut 2018).

Results

We generated 51 new sequences for the Dioclea clade (19 of the nuclear ETS, 20 of the nuclear ITS and 12 of the plastid trnK/matK). The most variable dataset was ETS, followed by ITS and trnK/matK, respectively (Table 3). In terms of informativeness as measured by the retention index (RI) of each dataset, the ETS and the ITS performed similarly and slightly worse than trnK/matK, suggesting that part of the variation in the nuclear datasets are homoplasious (Table 3).
Table 2. Sequences of the primers used for PCR amplification and sequencing, as well as PCR conditions.

| DNA region | Primer name | Primer Sequence 5'-3' | Reference | PCR Conditions | Cycles (I + II + III) | Final Extension |
|------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| ETS        | 18S-IGS     | GAGACAAGCATATGACTACTGGCAGGATCAACCAG | Baldwin and Markos (1998) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (1 min) | 55 °C (1 min) | 72 °C (1.5 min) | 30 | 72 °C (7 min) |
| ETS-Dio    |             | GCTTGTGCATCGAAGGGTTCGG | Queiroz et al. (2015) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (1 min) | 55 °C (1 min) | 72 °C (1.5 min) | 30 | 72 °C (7 min) |
| ITS        | 17SE (F)    | ACGAATTTCATGGTCCGGTAGTGTTGCG | Sun et al. (1994) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (1 min) | 52 °C (40 s) | 72 °C (2.5 min) | 28 | 72 °C (7 min) |
|            | 26SE (R)    | TAGAATTCGCCGGTTCGCTCGCGTTGAC | Sun et al. (1994) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (1 min) | 52 °C (40 s) | 72 °C (2.5 min) | 28 | 72 °C (7 min) |
|            | 5.8S        | ACGACTCTCCGCAAC       | Sun et al. (1994) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (1 min) | 52 °C (40 s) | 72 °C (2.5 min) | 28 | 72 °C (7 min) |
|            | 5.8R        | GCCGTTGACCGCCAGGC     | Sun et al. (1994) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (1 min) | 52 °C (40 s) | 72 °C (2.5 min) | 28 | 72 °C (7 min) |
|            | SSF         | GTGTAACAGGTTTCGCGTAG  | Kollipara et al. (1997) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (1 min) | 52 °C (40 s) | 72 °C (2.5 min) | 28 | 72 °C (7 min) |
|            | LSR         | GTTCTTTCTCTCTTCTCC     | Kollipara et al. (1997) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (1 min) | 52 °C (40 s) | 72 °C (2.5 min) | 28 | 72 °C (7 min) |
| trnK/ matK | matK685F    | GATACGCATATGTATTATTTGA | Wojciechowski et al. (2004) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (40 s) | 55 °C (45 s) | 72 °C (1 min) | 36 | 72 °C (7 min) |
|            | matK4Lα     | CTTCCGATACCTGGGTTGAAAGAT | Wojciechowski et al. (2004) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (40 s) | 55 °C (45 s) | 72 °C (1 min) | 36 | 72 °C (7 min) |
|            | matK1100L   | TTCAGTTGGTGACGGATTCAATG | Wojciechowski et al. (2004) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (40 s) | 55 °C (45 s) | 72 °C (1 min) | 36 | 72 °C (7 min) |
|            | matK4R      | CATCTCTACCCAGTAGCGAG | Hu et al. (2000) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (40 s) | 55 °C (45 s) | 72 °C (1 min) | 36 | 72 °C (7 min) |
|            | matK1932R   | CAGACCCGTTTCTATAGTTG | Hu et al. (2000) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (40 s) | 55 °C (45 s) | 72 °C (1 min) | 36 | 72 °C (7 min) |
|            | trnK2R      | CCCGGAATCTGCGCATG     | Wojciechowski et al. (2004) | 94 °C (3 min) | 94 °C (40 s) | 55 °C (45 s) | 72 °C (1 min) | 36 | 72 °C (7 min) |
The individual phylogenetic analyses demonstrated similar results in recovering the same major clades and presenting no strongly-supported incongruences (Suppl. material: Figs S1–S3). The ETS trees were better resolved than those from ITS and **trnK/matK** (Suppl. material: Figs S1–S3). However, resolution within the main clades of the tree (see below) varied amongst datasets and thus a better overall topology was obtained in the combined analyses. Since the ILD test indicated no incongruence between nuclear datasets (p = 0.3) or between nuclear and plastid datasets (p = 0.5), we performed combined analyses, which provided a better overall topology and higher support values for the nodes. Thus, we present and discuss the results from the combined analyses (Fig. 1).

The Dioclea clade, comprising the genera **Cleobulia**, **Cymbosema**, **Dioclea**, **Luzonia** and **Macropsychanthus**, was recovered as monophyletic with high support with the exclusion of **Dioclea paniculata** (Fig. 1). Two major clades were recovered: clade A, including the genera **Cleobulia** and **Cymbosema**, together with **Dioclea** subg. **Dioclea**; and clade B, including the genera **Luzonia** and **Macropsychanthus**, together with **Dioclea** subgs. **Pachylobium** and **Platylobium**. **Dioclea paniculata** (subg. **Platylobium**) grouped with the genera of the Galactia clade. The genus **Dioclea**, therefore, appears polyphyletic, while the rest of genera in Dioclea clade were resolved as monophyletic with high support.

Within clade A, **Cleobulia** and **Cymbosema** comprise a highly-supported clade, sister to **Dioclea** subg. **Dioclea**. Clade B presents two major clades: C and D. Clade C brings together species of **Dioclea** subg. **Platylobium**; and clade D includes species of **Dioclea** subg. **Pachylobium** together with **D. huberi** (subg. **Platylobium**) and nests the representatives of the genera **Luzonia** and **Macropsychanthus** within it.

The phylogenetic structure of Clade D shows some geographical and ecological trends in its two major clades, E and F. Clade E includes species mostly from eastern South America, including a subclade of species found in Atlantic rainforests (clade G), which is a sister to a clade of species found in seasonally dry forests (clade H). Clade F is mostly composed of species found in rainforests of the Amazon region, but includes the pantropical sea-drifted **D. reflexa** and **D. wilsonii**, as well as the Australasian genera **Luzonia** and **Macropsychanthus**.
Figure 1. Majority rule Bayesian tree and respective phylogram of the Dioclea clade resulting from the combined nuclear (ETS, ITS) and plastid (trnK/matK) analysis. Bayesian posterior probabilities are reported above branches and parsimony (left) and maximum likelihood (right) bootstrap support values are reported below branches. Bootstrap values below 50% are represented by hyphens. The coloured boxes represent the four genera as circumscribed here – names in colour represent the subgenera of the genus Dioclea (according to Maxwell 2011): blue Dioclea subg. Dioclea, red Dioclea subg. Platyllobium, green Dioclea subg. Pachylobium – pictures: Cymbosema roseum (from Snak 1211), Cleobulia cocinea (from Queiroz 16029), Dioclea fimbriata (from Snak 1223), Macropsycanthus marginatus (from Queiroz 15225), Macropsycanthus lautherbachii (from Poulsen, unvoucheded).
Discussion

Criteria for genera circumscriptions

As the genus *Dioclea* has been demonstrated here (and elsewhere) as non-mono-
phyletic (Varela et al. 2004; Maxwell and Taylor 2003; Queiroz et al. 2003, 2015; LPWG 2017), it should be reclassified to preserve the principle of monophyly. In
deciding which monophyletic groups should be named, other principles besides
monophyly should be taken into consideration to maximise support for mono-
phyly, for phylogenetic information and for ease of identification (diagnosability; Backlund and Bremer 1998).

One possible taxonomic solution for resolving the non-monophyly of *Dioclea*
would be to merge all of the genera of the Dioclea clade into a widely-circum-
scribed *Dioclea*, thus subsuming the genera *Cleobulia, Cymbosema, Luzonia* and
*Macropsychanthus* within *Dioclea*. Although having high phylogenetic support, such
a broadly-circumscribed genus would lack diagnosability with respect to other gen-
era of the tribe Diocleae because it would result in a highly-heterogeneous genus,
presenting variations in almost all of the characters used to diagnose the genera in
the tribe Diocleae. At the other extreme, another taxonomic solution would be to
split *Dioclea* into several smaller genera to preserve *Luzonia* and *Macropsychanthus*
in their current circumscriptions (Queiroz et al. 2015; LPWG 2017). That option
presents several drawbacks, however, as some of the smaller clades within clade B
lack support and such narrowly-circumscribed genera would be highly redundant,
as they would be defined by the same set of morphological traits and would there-
fore lack diagnosability.

We opted for the intermediate solution of splitting *Dioclea* into two genera corre-
sponding to the two major clades, A2 and B. Clade A2 then corresponds to *Dioclea* subg.
*Dioclea* and includes *D. sericea* Kunth, the type species of *Dioclea* and would, therefore,
retain the name of the genus. Clade B then corresponds to the subgenera *Pachylobium*
and *Platylobium*, plus the genera *Luzonia* and *Macropsychanthus*. The genus name *Mac-
ropsychanthus* has priority for this clade. Both of the proposed genera are monophyletic,
have high phylogenetic support (Fig. 1) and are diagnosed by clear macromorphological
characters – thus presenting low redundancy (as will be discussed below).

The genus *Dioclea* with a narrower circumscription

The circumscription of *Dioclea* is restricted here to the subg. *Dioclea* (sensu Maxwell 2011)
or sect. *Dioclea* (sensu Bentham 1837). This group had been recovered as monophyletic
in most phylogenetic studies, based on either morphological (Queiroz et al. 2003) or
DNA data (Varela et al. 2004; Queiroz et al. 2015). It has also been supported as sister to
a clade composed of the genera *Cleobulia* and *Cymbosema* (Queiroz et al. 2015) or to the
genus *Cymbosema* (Varela et al. 2004; *Cleobulia* was not sampled in that study).
Table 4. Morphological comparison between the genera of the Dioclea clade as circumscribed here.

| Characters            | Cleobulia | Gymbosema | Dioclea | Macropsychanthus |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|
| **Habit**             | Woody vines. | Woody vines. | Woody vines. | Mostly lianas, less frequently woody vines or shrubs. |
| **Stipules**          | Basifixed. | Basifixed. | Basifixed. | Medifixed or basifixed. |
| **Inflorescence**     | Axillary and with an arched axis. | Axillary and erect. | Axillary and erect. | Erect, mostly axillary but frequently cauliflorous. |
| **Inflorescence nodes** | Multiflorous and secundiflorous, sessile, globose. | Multiflorous and secundiflorous, sessile. | Multiflorous and secundiflorous, sessile. | Multiflorous and secundiflorous, stalked. |
| **Flower position**   | Resupinate (i.e. the standard petal backwards and the set wing-keel petals upwards). | Not resupinate. | Not resupinate. | Not resupinate. |
| **Calyx**             | Cylindrical, 4-lobed, the lobes shorter than the tube and of the same length; upper lobe entire and truncate (wider than longer). | Campanulate, 4-lobed, the lobes having almost the same length and matching the length of the tube; upper lobe triangular. | Campanulate, 4-lobed, the lobes having almost the same length and matching the length of the tube; upper lobe triangular. | Campanulate, rarely cylindrical, upper edge humped or convex, 4–5 lobed or deeply bilabiate, the lower lobe much longer than the remaining. |
| **Standard petal**    | Pink or purple, pubescent towards the apex, ecallose and spreading or reflexed ca. 90°. | Bright red, pubescent towards the apex, ecallose and spreading. | Mostly purple, rarely reddish-purple, pubescent towards the apex, ecallose, reflexed. | Mostly purple, rarely blue, glabrous, 2-callose, reflexed. |
| **Wing petals**       | Dwarf, much shorter than the other petals and sagittate. | As long as the keel. | As long as the keel. | About twice as long as the keel. |
| **Keel petals**       | Uprcurved ca. 90° with a truncate apex, upper margin smooth. | Straight, ob lanceolate, apex rounded, upper margin smooth. | Straight, elliptic to obovate, apex rounded, upper margin upper margin dentate, serrate or fimbriate. | Triangular or semilunar, extending distally into a slender, obtuse or truncate beak. |
| **Androecium**        | Pseudomonadelphous, the staminal tube pubescent at the base. | Diadelphous, the staminal sheath glabrous. | Pseudomonadelphous, the staminal tube glabrous. | Pseudomonadelphous, the staminal tube glabrous, rarely pubescent at the base. |
| **Anthers**           | Monomorphic, all fertile. | Monomorphic, all fertile. | Monomorphic, all fertile. | Mostly dimorphic, 5 fertile alternating with 5 sterile or 6 fertile and 4 sterile or anthers monomorphic and all 10 fertile. |
| **Intrastaminal disc** | 10-lobed. | Entire with a smooth rim. | Entire with a smooth rim. | 10-dentate or 10-lobed. |
| **Gynoecium**         | Ovary sessile, 6–8-ovulate; style not swollen. | Ovary sessile, 5–6-ovulate; style not swollen. | Ovary stipitate, 7–15-ovulate; style not swollen. | Ovary sessile, 2–5 (10)-ovulate; style swollen and frequently flattened distally. |
| **Fruit**             | Oblong-linear, elastically dehiscent; thin ribs at the margins | Shortly oblong, elastically dehiscent, margins lacking ribs or wings | Oblong-linear, elastically dehiscent; upper margin provided with ribs or wings | Various, cylindrical to flat compressed, indehiscent, passively dehiscent or elastically dehiscent; upper margin smooth or provided with ribs or wings. |
| **Seeds**             | Lenticular with a linear hilum encircling ca. 1/2 of the seed circumference | Lenticular with a linear hilum encircling ca. 1/2 of the seed circumference | Lenticular with a linear hilum encircling ca. 1/2 of the seed circumference | Massive, orbicular or without a defined shape; hilum linear encircling 1/2 to 4/5 of the seed’s circumference or short and oblong. |
**Dioclea**, as re-circumscribed here (hereafter *Dioclea s.s.*), *Cleobulia* and *Cymbosema* compose a clade of morphologically-similar genera, sharing fruits mostly oblong-linear, smaller than those of clade B (ranging from 9 to 13 cm long and 1.5 to 2 cm wide in clade A vs. 10 to 34 cm long and 3.5 to 6.5 cm wide in clade B), with flat and elastically-dehiscent valves. The seeds of those genera are also quite similar, being relatively small (ranging from 7 to 10 mm long, 4 to 7 mm wide and 2 to 4 mm thick in clade A vs. 20 to 35 mm long, 22 to 30 mm wide and 4 to 15 mm thick in clade B), with narrowly elliptic or oblong outlines, lenticular (i.e. slightly laterally compressed – elliptic in cross section), a linear hilum encircling almost half of the seed’s circumference and a hard, bony testa (mostly marbled). All species of those genera also share an androecium with ten fertile stamens (Table 4).

*Cymbosema* was placed within *Dioclea* by Zamora (2000). It was found to be supported, however, as sister to *Cleobulia* and merging it into *Dioclea* would require that *Cleobulia* should likewise be placed into *Dioclea s.s.* *Cymbosema* can be differentiated from *Dioclea s.s.* by having diadelphous androecium, with the vexillary stamen free (vs. joined into a pseudomonadelphous androecium in *Dioclea s.s.*), petals bright red (vs. purple, white or reddish-purple), standard petal spreading (vs. reflexed > 90°), keel petals with margins entire (vs. upper margin serrate to fimbriate) and fruits short and oblong, ca. 2.5× longer than wide, with a long, downcurved persistent style and about 4 seeds (vs. fruits linear, ≥ 5× longer than wide, with 6–10 seeds). Maxwell (1970) reported the standard petal as spreading in *D. fimbriata* Huber and *D. macrantha* Huber, but the examination of more specimens than were available before evidenced that the flowers in anthesis of those species show a reflexed standard.

*Cleobulia* is quite distinct from *Dioclea s.s.* and *Cymbosema* in terms of flower and fruit traits. The flowers of *Cleobulia* are functionally resupinate due to the downcurved inflorescence rachis and show dwarf wings of less than half of the keel length that barely exceed the calyx (vs. wings and keel petals ± the same size in *Dioclea s.s.* and *Cymbosema*), a strongly upcurved keel bent ca. 90° (vs. keel straight), short calyx lobes with the upper ones broad and emarginate (vs. all calyx lobes triangulate and acute) and the base of the androecium pubescent (vs. androecium glabrous). The fruits of *Cleobulia* lack the distinct ribs (or wings) close to the upper suture that are characteristic of *Dioclea s.s.* fruits (Maxwell 1977).

With the exclusion of the species of the subgenera *Pachylobium* and *Platylobium*, *Dioclea s.s.* can be diagnosed by having the standard petal ecallose and pubescent towards the apex on the outer surface, wing and keel petals approximately the same length, keel petals straight with rounded apices and serrate to fimbriate upper margins, fruits oblong-linear with flat and elastically dehiscent woody valves, seeds 6–10, lenticular, with a linear hilum encircling almost half of the seed’s circumference.

**The genus Macropsychanthus with a broader circumscription**

*Macropsychanthus*, in its original circumscription (Harms 1900; Verdcourt 1978, 1979), included three species from Malesia. Its circumscription is broadened here to include *Luzonia, Dioclea* subg. *Pachylobium* and *Dioclea* subg. *Platylobium*. 
Macropsychanthus was usually compared to Dioclea subg. Pachylobium, with the major distinguishing feature being an androecium with ten fertile stamens in Macropsychanthus, vs. five fertile anthers alternating with five reduced and vestigial sterile anthers in Dioclea subg. Pachylobium (Harms 1900; Maxwell 1969, 2011; Verdcourt 1978, 1979). However, some species of Dioclea sect. Pachylobium present six fertile and four sterile stamens [e.g. Dioclea hexandra (Ralph) Mabb.] or all ten stamens fertile (e.g. Dioclea umbrina Elmer), thus making a morphological bridge with the Malesian Macropsychanthus.

In their original circumscriptions, both Luzonia and Macropsychanthus have distinctive calyx morphologies. Luzonia (sensu Elmer 1907) has a very distinctive calyx, with the lobes joined into two deeply separate, entire and obtuse lips. Macropsychanthus (sensu Harms 1900) has a cylindrical calyx with five subequal and obtuse teeth. Dioclea subgenera Pachylobium and Platylobium typically have a 4-lobed campanulate calyx, with the upper lobe shorter and broader than the others, with the lower lobe longer, upcurved and long acuminate.

The highly-supported clade C corresponds to Dioclea subg. Platylobium, as defined by Maxwell (2011), including both sections Platylobium and Macrocarpon (but with the exclusion of D. huberi, which appeared nested in clade D). A clade, composed of taxa of subg. Platylobium, was recovered only in analyses using molecular data (Queiroz et al. 2015); in analyses using morphological data, the taxa belonging to that subgenus comprised a paraphyletic grade nesting the representatives of Dioclea subg. Pachylobium (Queiroz et al. 2003), as well as the genera Luzonia and Macropsychanthus (Maxwell and Taylor 2003). The enigmatic species Dioclea paniculata Killip ex R.H. Maxwell, tentatively placed in subg. Platylobium by Maxwell (1978), appeared more closely related to the Galactia clade (and its phylogenetic and taxonomic position will be addressed in another article).

Thus, in the new circumscription presented here, Macropsychanthus is polymorphic in both androecium and calyx traits, but can be diagnosed by woody and robust pseudoracemes with the peduncle up to 1.5 cm thick, inflorescence nodosities stalked and secundiflorous, calyx with a humped or convex tube on the upper side, standard petal glabrous and bicallose towards the blade base, keel petals strongly upcurved, intrastaminal disc 10-lobed, ovary sessile and large fruits and seeds.

**Taxonomic treatment**

**Key to the genera of the Dioclea clade**

1. Flowers with petals entirely glabrous; seeds 13–50+ mm long and 3–40+ mm wide with circular, squarish, ovate or elliptic outlines (if ovate or elliptic, then flat compressed, not biconvex), either with a short and oblong or long and linear hilum (then encircling 1/2 to 4/5 of the seed’s circumference)........
   ......................................................................................................................... **Macropsychanthus**

   – Flowers with the standard petal pubescent towards the apex on the outer surface; seeds up to 14 mm long and 3 mm wide with elliptic outlines, lenticular
Conspectus of the *Dioclea* clade with new classification including new combinations, synonyms and typifications

1. *Dioclea* Kunth, Nov. Gen. Sp. (quarto ed.) 6: 437. 1823 [Sept. 1824].

*Hymenospron* Spreng., Syst. Veg. [Sprengel] 4(2): 283. 1827. Type: *Hymenospron apurensis* (Kunth) Spreng. [= *Dioclea apurensis* Kunth].

*Dioclea* Kunth sect. *Dioclea* (‘*Eudioclea*’) in Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen. 2: 69. 1837. *Crepidotropis* Walp., Linnaea 14: 296. 1840. Type: *Crepidotropis brasiliensis* Walp. [= *Dioclea virgata* (Rich.) Amshoff].

*Dioclea* Kunth subg. *Dioclea* in R.H. Maxwell, Novon 21(2): 227. 2011.

*Dioclea* Kunth ser. *Virgatae* R.H. Maxwell, Novon 21(2): 229. 2011. Type: *Dioclea virgata* (Rich.) Amshoff.

**Type.** [lectotype, designated by Britton and Wilson (1924)]. *Dioclea sericea* Kunth.

**Description.** Woody vines along forest edges, trailing or shrubby in open habitats. **Stipules** basifixed, not prolonged beyond their bases. **Leaves** pinnately trifoliolate, stipellate, leaf rachis short, mostly < 5 mm long. **Inflorescence** an erect pseudoraceme, nodes multiflorous, woody, sessile, secundiflorous; bracteoles chartaceous or membranous. **Flowers** with calyx chartaceous, campanulate, the four lobes having almost the same length, upper lobe entire, triangulate, obtuse or acute, the other three lobes triangulate, acute, the lower lobe as long as the upper lobe; petals membranous, mostly purple, rarely withish-purple or reddish-purple, standard petal reflexed, ecallose, but slightly thickened near the base, provided with two basal and reflexed (biconvex) and with a linear hilum encircling ca. 1/2 of the seed’s circumference; .................................................................

---

2. Flowers resupinate because of the arching inflorescence; wing petals dwarf, much shorter than the standard and keel petals; keel petals upcurved with truncate apices; staminal tube pubescent at the base; upper calyx lobe broad, usually widely emarginate; fruits without ribs or wings near or at the upper margin ........................................................... *Cleobulia*

---

3. Flowers with the vexillary stamen free, the androecium consequently diadelphous; standard petal bright red, usually spreading; fruit broadly oblong with ca. 4 seeds and a long, downward rostrum ..................... *Cymbosema*

---

Dioclea
auricles, pubescent towards the apex on the outer surface, wing petals as long as the keel, oblong to obovate, provided with a basal spur on the upper margin, keel petals straight, elliptic to obovate, upper margin dentate, serrate or fimbriate; androecium pseudomonadelphous, the 10 stamens joined into a tube but the filament of the vexillary stamen free at the base, anthers monomorphic, all 10 stamens fertile; intrastaminal nectary disc entire, collar-shape; pistil sigmoid, ovary mostly 7–15-ovulate, stipitate, style not swollen. **Fruits** linear, mostly 5× longer than wide, up to 2.5 cm wide, elastically dehiscent, the thin woody valves explosively twisting to release the seeds, upper margin straight and provided with a longitudinal rib or wing to each side of the suture. **Seeds** small, up to 14 mm long and 8 mm wide, lenticular (slightly biconvex); testa hard (bony), smooth, mostly mottled; hilum linear, encircling almost half of the seed's circumference (Fig. 2G–K).

**Discussion.** *Dioclea* was described by Kunth (1823 [1824]) with two new species based on specimens collected by Humboldt and Bonpland: *D. apurensis*, from a depauperate fruiting specimen and *D. sericea*, with four flowering specimens and illustrated in plate 576. *Dioclea sericea* was selected as the type for the genus by Britton and Wilson (1924).

A few months after Kunth's publication, Sprengel (1825) used the name *Dioclea* Spreng. for a genus of Boraginaceae. Later, Sprengel (1827) created the genus *Hymenospron* to which he transferred both of Kunth's species, together with a species currently ascribed to *Galactia* [*G. rubra* (Jacq.) Urb.]. *Dioclea* Spreng. is a later homonym in relation to *Dioclea* Kunth and thus illegitimate. *Hymenospron* Spreng. is a superfluous name with respect to *Dioclea* Kunth. The genus *Crepidotropis* was created by Walpers (1840) with just one species (*C. brasiliensis*) that is conspecific with *Dioclea virgata* (Rich.) Amshoff.

The genus *Dioclea* was named after Diocles of Carystus, a Greek philosopher from the 3rd century BC., probably because he associated the word ‘beans’ with the genus *Dolichos* L., which, in its original circumscription, included species now ascribed to *Dioclea* (Candolle, 1825: 379–380).

*Dioclea* is diagnosed by the combination of flowers with a pseudomonadelphous androecium, standard petal reflexed and pubescent towards the apex, fruits with an oblong-linear, flat compressed body and explosive dehiscence and seeds elliptic-oblong, lenticular, with a long and linear hilum encircling about half of their circumference.

As circumscribed here, *Dioclea* includes 13 species from the tropical Americas, ranging from coastal central Mexico to northern Argentina and Paraguay. *Dioclea virgata* was introduced into the Old World and became a garden escape plant in Malaysia, Borneo and Ethiopia (Maxwell 1969; Adema 1998).

**1.1. Dioclea albiflora** R.S. Cowan, Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 10(1): 150. 1958.

**Type.** Venezuela, Bolivar, Piedra Marimare, *Wurdack & Monachino 39980* (holotype: NY! [00007720]; isotypes: F! [0059182F], G! [00364887], K! [000502897], RB! [00540228], S! [S-R-9700], US! [00004623], VEN! [43808]).
Figure 2. Representatives of the clade A. *Cleobulia coccinea* (Mart. ex Benth.) L.P. Queiroz A flowering vine showing the arcuate inflorescences B detail of the inflorescence showing resupinate flowers; the inset highlights the wing petals (w) much shorter than the standard (s) and keel petals (k) C fruit (from Queiroz 16029). *Cleobulia diocleoides* Benth. D a resupinate flower showing the reduced wing (from Queiroz 16036). *Cymbosema roseum* Benth. E part of the inflorescence showing the bird pollinated flowers and the free adaxial stamen (arrow) F immature fruits showing the characteristic broad oblong fruit body and the long beak (from Cardoso2868). *Dioclea virgata* (Rich.) Amshoff G flowers (from Cardoso 2374) H fruits (from Cardoso 2100). *Dioclea fimbriata* Huber I flowers (from Snak 1223). *Dioclea burkartii* R.H. Maxwell J a seed showing the marbled testa and the elongate hilum encircling about half of its circumference (arrow; from Snak 826). *Dioclea apurensis* K flowers (from Queiroz 13035). Photos A–D, J–K: L.P. Queiroz; E–H: D. Cardoso; I: C. Snak.
1.2. *Dioclea apurensis* Kunth, Nov. Gen. Sp. 6: 438–439. 1823 [1824].

*Hymenospron apurense* (Kunth) Spreng., Syst. Veg. [Sprengel] 4(2): Cur. Post. 282. 1827. *Cymbosema apurense* (Kunth) Pittier, Bol. Soc. Venez. Ci. Nat. 7: 154. 1941.

**Type.** Venezuela, Crescit ad ripam fluminis Orinoci, ad confluentem Apurem, *Humboldt & Bonpland* s.n. (holotype: P! [00660130]; isotype: B-W! [13395-01 0]).

1.3. *Dioclea burkartii* R.H. Maxwell, Darwiniana 16(1–2): 413–416, f. 1–2. 1970.

**Type.** Argentina, Corrientes, Ituzaingo, *Bertoni* 5325 (holotype: LIL! [000609]).

1.4. *Dioclea fimbriata* Huber, Bol. Mus. Goeldi Hist. Nat. Ethnogr. 5(2): 409–410. 1909.

**Type.** Brazil, Pará, Prainha, rio Marapy, *Ducke* 3577 (lectotype, designated here amongst the syntypes: MG! [003577], photo and fragments F! [0059185F]).

1.5. *Dioclea guianensis* Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 70. 1837.

*Dioclea guianensis* var. *villosior* Benth., J. Bot. (Hooker) 2(10): 60. 1840. Type: Guyana, *Schomburgk* 629 (lectotype, designated here amongst the isotypes: K! [000502839]; isolectotypes BM! [000931784], BR! [0000005170203], G! [00364900], LE! [00002536], NY! [00007726], P! [02961764], US! [00004616]). *Dioclea panamensis* Duchass. ex Walp., Flora 36: 229. 1853. Type: Panama, *Duchassaing* s.n. (holotype: GOET! [004985]). *Dioclea comosa* var. *panamensis* (Duchass. ex Walp.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 1: 179. 1891. Type: based on *Dioclea panamensis* Duchass. ex Walp.

**Type.** Guyana, *Schomburgk* 83 (lectotype, designated here amongst the isotypes: K! [000502841]; isolectotypes BM! [000931784], E! [00531193], F! [0059187F], GH! [00277378], K! [000502840], P! [00708474], TCD! [0004427], U! [0003526], US! [00004617]).

1.6. *Dioclea holtiana* Pittier ex R.H. Maxwell, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 77(3): 584. 1990.

**Type.** Venezuela, Amazonas, Boca del Vichada, *Holt & Gehriger* 224 (holotype: US! [00004615]; isotype: VEN).
1.7. *Dioclea lasiophylla* Mart. ex Benth., *Comm. Legum. Gen.* 70. 1837.

*Dioclea guianensis* var. *lasiophylla* (Mart. ex Benth.) R.H. Maxwell ex G.P. Lewis, *Legumes Bahia*: 254. 1987.

**Type.** Brazil, Bahia, Cachoeira, *Martius s.n. Obs. 2040* (lectotype, designated here amongst the isotypes: M! [0240656]; isolectotype: M! [0240657]).

1.8. *Dioclea lehmannii* Diels, *Biblioth. Bot.* 116: 97. 1937.

**Type.** Ecuador, Guayas, Naranjal (Naravjae), *Lehmann 5754* (holotype: B†; lectotype, designated here amongst the isotypes: K! [000502891]; isolectotypes: F, K! [000502892], US).

1.9. *Dioclea macrantha* Huber, *Bol. Mus. Goeldi Hist. Nat. Ethnogr.* 5: 408. 1909.

**Type.** Brazil, Pará, Almeirim, *Ducke 3484* (holotype: MG! [003484]; isotype: G! [00364766]).

1.10. *Dioclea ovalis* R.H. Maxwell, *Novon* 21(2): 227–229, f. 1. 2011.

**Type.** Colombia, Cundinamarca, Pacho, *Uribe 1648* (holotype: US! [01050065]; isotype: COL).

1.11. *Dioclea sericea* Kunth, *Nov. Gen. Sp.* 6: 437–438, pl. 576. 1823 [1824].

*Hymenospron sericeum* (Kunth) Spreng., *Syst. Veg. [Sprengel]* 4(2): Cur. Post. 283. 1827.

**Type.** Colombia, Honda, *Humboldt & Bonpland 1681* (lectotype, designated here amongst the isotypes: P! [00708483]; isolectotype: P! [00708482]).

1.12. *Dioclea vallensis* R.H. Maxwell, *Novon* 21(2): 229–232, f. 2A–K. 2011.

**Type.** Colombia, Valle del Cauca, río Cajambre, *Cuatrecasas 17499* (holotype: US! [01050066]; isotype: F).
1.13. *Dioclea virgata* (Rich.) Amshoff, Meded. Bot. Mus. Herb. Rijks Univ. Utrecht 52: 69. 1939.

*Dolichos virgatus* Rich., Actes Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris: 1: 111. 1792.
*Mucuna virgata* Desv. ex Steudel, Nomencl. Bot. (ed. 2) 2(9): 164. 1841.

**Type.** French Guiana, *Leblond 182* (lectotype, designated here amongst the isotypes: P! [00708485]; isolectotype: G! [00364885]).

**Note.** The specimen in P provides no information concerning its collector, but that information is recorded on the duplicate at G and agrees with the information of the protologue (Richard 1792).

1. 13. 1. *Dioclea virgata* (Rich.) Amshoff var. *virgata*

*Dioclea lasiocarpa* Mart. ex Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 69. 1837. Type: Brazil, Bahia, Salvador (‘Soteropolis’), *Martius s.n. Obs. 2016* (lectotype, designated here amongst the syntypes: M! [0240665]; isolectotypes: M! [0240664], M! [0240663]).

**Note:** Bentham (1837) did not cite any specimen for his species *D. lasiocarpa*. He recognised three unnamed varieties (α, β and γ); we selected the specimen cited for var. ‘α’ as the lectotype of the species.

*Crepidotropis brasiliensis* Walpers, Linnaea 14: 296. 1840. Type: Brazil, Bahia, Cruz de Casma [probably Salvador], *Luschnath s.n.* (lectotype, designated here amongst the isotypes: HAL! [0120300]; isolectotype: LE). Note: Maxwell (1969) said that duplicates in LE are annotated with different numbers (#206, #781, #2054), but probably from the same gathering.

*Canavalia bracteolata* Merrill, J. Straits Br. Royal As. Soc. 86: 313. 1922. Type: Malaysia, Sabah, Sandakan, (Borneo), *Ramos 1511* (holotype: PHN; isotypes: A! [00059980], BM! [000958604], GH! [00059979], K! [000898374], L! [0018940], P! [00708471], US! [00004634]).

*Canavalia peruviana* Piper, Publ. Field Mus. Bot. 4: 94. 1925. Type: Peru, La Merced, *Macbride 5551* (holotype: F! [0043480F]; isotypes: G! [00364938], US! [00004655]).

1.13.2. *Dioclea virgata* var. *crenata* R.H. Maxwell, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 77(3): 585. 1990.

**Type.** Brazil, Amapá, rio Calcoene, *Pires & Cavalcante 52528* (holotype: U! [1249084]; isotypes: F! [1615326], HUEFS! [27288], NY! [1239737], SP! [000990], S! [S-R-9713], US! [00324272]).
**2. Cymbosema Benth., J. Bot. (Hooker) 2: 61. 1840.**

**Type.** *Cymbosema roseum* Benth.

**Description.** Woody twining vines. **Stipules** basifixed, not prolonged beyond their base. **Leaves** pinnately trifoliolate, long, stipellate, leaf rachis 5–20 mm. **Inflorescence** an erect pseudoraceme, nodes multiflorous, sessile, secundiflorous; bracteoles chartaceous. **Flowers** with calyx chartaceous, campanulate, the four lobes of almost the same length, upper lobe entire, triangular, obtuse, lower lobe ovate and acute; petals membranous, bright red, standard petal spreading, rarely reflexed, ecallose, provided with two basal and reflexed auricles, pubescent towards the apex on the outer surface, wing petals as long as the keel, oblong to obovate, provided with a basal spur at the upper margin, keel petals straight, oblanceolate, margins smooth; androecium diadelphous, the vexillary stamen free, the nine remainder fused but free distally, anthers monomorphic, all 10 stamens fertile; intrastaminal nectary disc entire, collar-shaped; pistil almost straight, ovary mostly 5–6-ovulate, sessile, style not swollen. **Fruits** short-oblong, 2.4–2.5× longer than wide, up to 2 cm wide, elastically dehiscent, the thin woody valves explosively twisting to release the seeds, upper margin straight, lacking ribs or wings, style persistent and extending as a downcurved rostrum. **Seeds** small, up to 10 mm long and 6 mm wide, lenticular (slightly biconvex); testa hard (bony), smooth; hilum linear, encircling almost half of the seed’s circumference. (Fig. 2E–F).

**Discussion.** Our results support the recognition of *Cymbosema* as a monospecific genus, as originally proposed by Bentham (1840, 1859) and maintained by Maxwell (1970). Zamora (2000) synonymised *Cymbosema* in *Dioclea*, a proposal that is not supported by our results, which recovered *Cymbosema* as sister to *Cleobulia* rather than to *Dioclea*.

*Cymbosema* is diagnosed as having flowers with a diadelphous androecium with the vexillary stamen free, petals bright red, the standard petal spreading (only rarely reflexed), keel petals with smooth margins and fruits oblong and falcate.

Distributed in the Amazon region, extending north to the Pacific coast of Mexico in wet forests.

**2.1. Cymbosema roseum** Benth., *J. Bot. (Hooker)* 2: 60–61. 1840.

*Dioclea purpurea* Poepp., *Nov. Gen. Sp. Pl.* 3: 59. 1845. Type: Brazil, Amazonas, Tefé, Poeppig D–2619 (holotype: W! [0048636]).

*Dioclea rosea* (Benth.) N. Zamora, *Novon* 10: 179. 2000. Type: based on *Cymbosema roseum* Benth.

**Type.** Brazil: Rio Branco (Roraima), *Schomburgk* 850 (lectotype, designated by Maxwell 1970: K! [000502745]; isolecotypes: BM! [000931430], F! [V0059084F], K! [000502746], US! [00004551], W! [1889-0020599]).
3. **Cleobulia** Mart. ex Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 67. 1873.

**Type.** *Cleobulia multiflora* Mart. ex Benth. [= *Cleobulia coccinea* (Vell.) L.P. Queiroz]

**Description.** Woody vines. Stipules basifixed, not prolonged beyond their base. Leaves pinnately trifoliolate, the rachis reduced, sometimes absent, stipellate. Inflorescence a pseudoraceme, arcuate, nodes multiflorous, sessile, globose, secundiflorous; bracteoles fleshy. Flowers resupinate because of the arching inflorescence; calyx fleshy, cylindrical, the 4 lobes much shorter than the tube, upper lobe truncate to slightly emarginate, lower lobe triangular and acute; petals firmly chartaceous, pink to purple, standard petal spreading or reflexed, ecallose, provided with two basal and reflexed auricles, pubescent towards the apex on the outer surface, wing petals dwarf, ca. 1/3 of the keel length, sagittate, keel petals upcurved with truncate apices; androecium pseudomonadelphous, staminal tube pubescent at the base, anthers monomorphic, all 10 stamens fertile; intrastaminal nectary disc 10-lobed; pistil straight then upcurved ca. 90° in the middle, ovary 6–8-ovulate, sessile, style not swollen. Fruits linear-oblong, 3–5× longer than wide, elastically dehiscent, the thin woody valves explosively twisting to release the seeds, upper margin straight to undulate, with thin ribs. Seeds small, under 10 mm long and 6 mm wide, lenticular (slightly biconvex); testa hard (bony), smooth; hilum linear encircling almost half of the seed's circumference (Fig. 2A–D).

**Discussion.** Since first being described, *Cleobulia* was distinguished from *Dioclea* by having dwarf wings with a semi-sagitate blade (Bentham 1837; see Fig. 2B). *Cleobulia* could likewise be diagnosed by having an inflorescence with a long and arching peduncle, leaving its flowers resupinate (i.e. with the standard petal in a lower position and the keel above), a pseudomonadelphous androecium, the base of the staminal tube pubescent, with uniform anthers, a 10-lobed intrastaminal disc, and a sessile and straight ovary.

Three species are found from eastern Brazil to the eastern Brazilian Amazon and one species from western-central Mexico, all mostly in semi-deciduous forests.

3.1. **Cleobulia coccinea** (Vell.) L.P. Queiroz, comb. nov.

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212303-1

Basionym: *Dolichos coccineus* Vell., Fl. Flumin.: 321, 1829 [1825]. Ic. 7 pl. 158. 1831. Type: Brazil, Rio de Janeiro, “Habitat silvis, fruticetisque maritimis”, *Vellozo* (lectotype, designated here: plate 158 in *Florae Fluminensis* vol. 7, Vellozo 1831). Epitype: Brazil, Bahia, Lençóis, L.P. Queiroz et al. 16029 (epitype, designated here: HUEFS! [200008453]; isoepitypes: ALCB! [046364!], RB! [1173635!], US! [3698469]).

*Cleobulia multiflora* Mart. ex Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 67. 1837. Type: Brazil, Minas Gerais, *Martius* s.n. (lectotype, designated here: M! [0240673]), syn. nov.

**Note.** A link between *Dolichos coccineus* Vell. and *Cleobulia multiflora* Mart. ex Benth. was established by Maxwell (1977), who speculated that they could be synonymous.
The description provided by Vellozo (1829: 321) is exceedingly brief, but presents some traits characteristic of this species, such as flowers small and perianth purpureum. The illustration provides more elements to confirm its identity as *C. multiflora* as it shows resupinate flowers with the standard spreading, the wing petals sagittate and much shorter than the others and the pistil with a straight ovary and style upcurved ca. 90°. There are issues regarding the publication dates of several sections of the Florae Fluminensis but the main text in volume 1 (pages 1 to 329) is considered as having been distributed between 7 September to 28 November 1829 and the illustration volumes on 29 October 1831 (Carauta 1969, 1972; Stafleu and Cowan 1985; Lima 1995), thus predating and having priority over *Cleobulia multiflora* published by Bentham in 1837. To avoid misinterpretation of the name proposed by Vellozo (1831), we are designating an epitype with leaf, flowers and fruits.

### 3.2. *Cleobulia crassistyla* R.H. Maxwell, *Phytologia* 51: 361. 1982.

**Type.** Mexico, Guerrero, Galeano, *Hinton 14996* (holotype: RSA! [LAM] [0003239]; isotypes: K! [000297082], LL! [00371269], NY! [00006420], US! [00067941]).

### 3.3. *Cleobulia diocleoides* Benth., *Fl. Bras. 15*(1): 168. 1859.

**Type.** Brazil, Minas Gerais, *Saint Hilaire s.n. Cat. 1311* (holotype: P! [00758522]). Epitype (designated here): Brazil, Bahia, Campo Formoso, *Queiroz et al. 16306* (HUEFS! [000274630]).

**Note.** The holotype is the only remnant of the material used by Bentham (1859) for describing *C. diocleoides*. The material now consists of a branch with leaves and a dissected flower bud within an envelope. A detached calyx from a mature flower is the only element that allows us to check that this plant presents flowers much larger than the other species of *Cleobulia* as described by Bentham (1859) and Maxwell (1977). We selected an epitype from a more complete material with flowers and immature fruits.

### 3.4. *Cleobulia leiantha* Benth., *Fl. Bras. 15*(1): 162. 1859.

*Cleobulia multiflora* var. *leiantha* (Benth.) R.H. Maxwell, *Phytologia* 38: 57. 1977.

**Type.** Brazil, Pará, Santarém, *Spruce [10 03]* (lectotype, designated here from the syntypes: K! [000502886]; isolectotypes: FI! [009795], G! [0364892], K! [00930235], M! [0240670], NY! [00006421], P! [00708488], TCD! [0004431]).

**Note.** When describing the new species *C. leiantha*, Bentham (1859) cited the specimen collected by Spruce near Santarém. We selected as the lectotype the specimen with a handwritten label and with the collection number 1003 found in other duplicates.
4. *Macropsychanthus* Harms in K. Schumann & Lauterbach, Fl. Schutzgeb. Südsee 366. 1900.

**Type.** *Macropsychanthus lauterbachii* Harms.

**Description.** Stout, high-climbing lianas with twining stems, less frequently shrubs or woody vines in open habitats. **Stipules** medifixed and prolonged below their insertion (peltate) or basifixed and not prolonged below their insertion. **Leaves** pinnately trifoliolate, stipellate or estipellate. **Inflorescence** a stout, woody, erect pseudoraceme, nodes multiflorous, woody, stalked and secundiflorous; bracteoles fleshy. **Flowers** massive; calyx with the tube fleshy coriaceous, upper edge convex or humped, 4-lobed, with the upper lobe either entire and triangular to obtuse or emarginate and then with the resulting tips rounded or 5-lobed with the two upper lobes rounded and the other three lobes triangular, the lower lobe much longer than the remaining lobes or deeply bilabiate with two oblong lips; petals firm, the standard petal reflexed, somewhat fleshy, bicallose, provided with two basal and folded auricles, wing petals ca. twice as long as the keel, obliquely oblong, obliquely ovate, obovate, elliptic to almost quadratate, basal spur at the upper margin present or lacking, keel upcurved, the keel petals triangular or semi-lunar, extending distally into a slender, obtuse or truncate beak; androecium pseudomonadelphous, the 10 stamens joined in a tube, but the filament of the vexillary stamen free at the base, anthers mostly dimorphic, 5 fertile alternating with 5 sterile or 6 fertile and 4 sterile or anthers uniform and all 10 fertile; intrastaminal nectary disc 10-dentate or 10-lobed; ovary sessile, style usually swollen distally. **Fruit** indehiscent, passively dehiscent or elastically dehiscent with twisting woody valves, turgid, slightly compressed or flat compressed, valves coriaceous, fleshy or woody, upper margin smooth or provided with ribs or wings. **Seeds** 3–5 to 9, massive, either orbiculate and slightly compressed with a hard testa or soft overgrown and without a definite shape, with flat contact planes or elliptic and flat compressed; hilum linear, encircling 1/2 to 4/5 of the seed’s circumference or short and oblong. Fig. 3.

**Discussion.** *Macropsychanthus* Harms is the earliest validly-published genus name for this group. Two older names, *Lepidamphora* Zolling. and *Taurophtalmum* Duchass., were not validly published. *Lepidamphora volubilis* Zolling. was published as a synonym of *Dioclea javanica* Benth. with the citation of two specimens (“Herb. n. 763 et 867 Z.”; Miquel 1855: 217). *Lepidamphora volubilis* was probably just a name on herbarium sheets and is invalid because it was published as a synonym (ICN Article 36.1; Turland et al. 2018) and because it was published as a species, but the genus to which it was assigned was not validly published at the same time or was not validly published previously (Art. 35.1; Turland et al. 2018).

The Panamanian *Taurophtalmum pulchrum* Duchass. was another invalidly-published name that could be related with *Macropsychanthus* as defined here. It was originally published as a synonym of *Canavalia miniata* (Kunth) DC. by Griesebach (1866: 76). However, Urban (1899: 473) placed *T. pulchrum* as a synonym of *Dioclea reflexa* Hook. f. (= *Macropsychanthus comosus*), based on the calyx description provided earlier by Griesebach (1866). The only specimen of *Canavalia* or *Dioclea* collected by Duchassaing that we were able to track is the type of *Dioclea panamensis* Duchass. ex Walp.
(Duchassaing s.n. [GOET 004985]), which is a synonym of *Dioclea guianensis* Benth. and thus does not belong to *Macropsychanthus* as circumscribed here. There is a plate from Duchassaing housed at GOET (and annotated as *Canavalia miniata* by Griesebach) that probably represents the only remnant of the original material of *Tauroptalmum pulchrum*. It is a watercolour painting of a fruit and a seed with a pencil sketch of a flower and a detailed description by Duchassaing (Fig. 4). The fruit represented probably belongs to *Macropsychanthus megacarpus* and not to *M. comosus* as supposed by Urban (1899). The name *Tauroptalmum* literally means “bulls eye” and was probably derived from the Spanish name “ojo de buey” for several species of *Macropsychanthus* (also common in Portuguese as “olho-de-boi”), but not for species of *Dioclea*. In the absence of a specimen and taking the painting in GOET as evidence, we are considering *Tauroptalmum* as related to *Macropsychanthus*, although it is an invalid name.

Two major clades were recovered corresponding to the circumscription of *Macropsychanthus* proposed here. One (clade D) brings together species formerly ascribed to the genera *Luzonia* and *Macropsychanthus*, as well as to *Dioclea* subg. *Pachylobium* and *Dioclea huberi* (subg. *Platylobium* sect. *Macrocarpon*; Maxwell 2011). Clade C comprises all of the other species formerly ascribed to *Dioclea* subg. *Platylobium*. Clade D includes species with mostly medifixed stipules, fruits indehiscent or passively dehiscent and turgid seeds with a long, linear hilum; clade C includes species with basifixed stipules, fruits flat-compressed and elastically dehiscent and seeds with a short and oblong hilum. Our finding that the puzzling *Dioclea huberi* (formerly classified in subg. *Platylobium* sect. *Macrocarpon*) is part of clade D blurs the distinction between those major clades, because it shares basifixed stipules and flat-compressed fruits and seeds with *D*. subg. *Platylobium*, but seeds with a long linear hilum with *D*. subg. *Pachylobium*. Likewise, *Dioclea macrocarpa*, recovered in clade C, shows the basifixed stipules and the short and oblong hilum of *D*. subg. *Platylobium* together with the turgid fruits and seeds of *D*. subg. *Pachylobium*. Thus, clades B and C are diagnosed by only a few morphological traits (see below) and we chose to recognise them as subgenera of a largely polymorphic genus instead of treating them as two separate genera.

*Macropsychanthus* is a pantropical genus with 46 species. It is most diverse in the New World (36 species), with eleven species from the Philippines and Indonesia to New Guinea and two Pantropical sea-drifted species extending to continental Africa and Madagascar.

### 4.1. *Macropsychanthus* Harms subg. *Macropsychanthus*

*Dioclea* sect. *Pachylobium* Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 69. 1837. Lectotype [designated here]: *Dioclea violacea* Mart. ex Benth.

*Lepidamphora* Zoll., Fl. Ned. Ind. 1(1): 217. 1855. Type: *Lepidamphora volubilis* Zoll.

[= *Macropsychanthus comosus* (G. Mey.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak], nom. inval. pro syn.

*Tauroptalmum* Duchass. in Griesebach, Cat. Pl. Cub.: 76. 1886. Type: *Tauroptalmum pulchrum* Duchass. [= *Macropsychanthus megacarpus* (Rolfe) L.P. Queiroz & Snak], nom. inval. pro syn.
Luzonia Elmer, Leafl. Philipp. Bot. 1: 220. 1907. Type: Luzonia purpurea Elmer.

Dioclea subg. Pachylobium (Benth.) R.H. Maxwell, Novon 21(2): 234. 2011. Type: based on Dioclea sect. Pachylobium Benth.

**Description.** Stipules medifixed, prolonged below their insertion. Leaves stipellate, stipels mostly setaceous. Fruit indehiscent or passively dehiscent, turgid, slightly compressed (elastically dehiscent with twisting woody valves only in M. huberi). Seeds with a long and linear hilum encircling 1/2 to 4/5 of the seed’s circumference (Fig. 3A–F).

The distribution of this section is the same as that of the genus. Species of subg. Macropsychanthus are typical rainforest elements, where they occur as high-climbing lianas over the tallest trees. Few species are found in the savannahs of central Brazil or in the seasonally-dry woodlands of South America.

### 4.1.1. Macropsychanthus apiculatus (R.H. Maxwell) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212304-1

Basionym: Dioclea apiculata R.H. Maxwell, Novon 21(2): 235-237. 2011. Type: Bolivia, La Paz, N Yungas, near Coroico, Buchtien 664 (holotype: MO; isotypes: F! [588818], G! [00364742]).

### 4.1.2. Macropsychanthus aureus (R.H. Maxwell) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212305-1

Basionym: Dioclea aurea R.H. Maxwell, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 67(3): 664–665. 1981. Type: Colombia, Caldas, Pueblo Rico, Sneidern 5555 (holotype: S! [S-R-9703]; isotype: NY! [01365123]).

### 4.1.3. Macropsychanthus carolinensis Kanehira & Hosokawa, Trans. Nat. Hist. Soc. Taiwan 24: 414. 1934.

**Type.** Caroline Islands, Palau, Kanehira 1711 (holotype: TAI!; isotype: P! [02752991]).

### 4.1.4. Macropsychanthus circinatus (R.H. Maxwell) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212306-1

Basionym: Dioclea circinata R.H. Maxwell, Novon 21(2): 237. 2011. Type: Colombia, Meta, Phillipson et al. 1405 (holotype: COL! [000001743]; isotypes: BM! [000931783], MEDEL! [000156], S! [S-R-9704], US! [01050064]).
Figure 3. Representatives of the clade B. *Macropsychnanthus* subg. *Macropsychnanthus* (A–F). *Macropsychnanthus grandiflorus* (Mart. ex Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak A flowering vine (from Queiroz 15227). *Macropsychnanthus marginatus* (Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak B mature fruit showing dehiscence through the lower suture only C one of the valves removed to show the seeds with a long linear hilum (arrow; from Queiroz 15225). *Macropsychnanthus edule* (Kuhl.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak D the indehiscent and fleshy fruit decaying to release the seeds (from Popovkin 1546). *Macropsychnanthus lauterbachii* Harms var. *lauterbachii* E giant flowers with bluish petals (unvouchered). *Macropsychnanthus megacarpus* (Rolfe) L.P. Queiroz & Snak F flower (from Queiroz 10135). *Macropsychnanthus* subg. *Platylobium* (G–J). *Macropsychnanthus scabrus* (Rich.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak G flowers (from Cardoso 2907). *Macropsychnanthus bicolor* (Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak H part of the pseudoracemous inflorescence I mature (left) and dehisced (right) fruits J seed, showing the short hilum (arrow; from Queiroz 15874). Photos A–C, F, H–J: L.P. Queiroz; D: A. Popovkin; E: A.D. Poulsen; G: D. Cardoso.
4.1.5. *Macropsychanthus comosus* (G. Mey.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.  
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212307-1

Basionym: *Dolichos comosus* G. Mey, Prim. Fl. Essequ. 241. 1818. Type: Guyana, Essequibo, Rodschied 93 (holotype: GOET! [004986]).  
*Dioclea reflexa* Hook. f., Niger Fl. 306–307. 1849. Type: West Africa: Cape Palmas and region of Fernando Poo, Vogel 32 (holotype: K; isotype: GH! [00066325]), syn. nov.  
*Lepidamphora volubilis* Zoll., Fl. Ned. Ind. 1(1): 217. 1855, nom. inval. pro syn. Type: Guyana, Essequibo, Rodschied 93 (holotype: GOET! [004986]).  
*Dioclea comosa* (G.Mey.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 1: 179. 1891. Type: based on *Dolichos comosus* G. Mey.

4.1.6. *Macropsychanthus densiflorus* (Huber) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.  
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212308-1

Basionym: *Dioclea densiflora* Huber, Bol. Mus. Goeldi Hist. Nat. Ethnogr. 5(2): 406–407. 1909. Type: Brazil, Pará, Oriximiná, Ducke s.n. MG 7903 (holotype: MG! [007903]; isotype: RB! [00174878]).  

**Note.** Huber (1909: 406–407) did not cite any specimen in the original description of *Dioclea densiflora* and, in the absence of a type, Maxwell (1969: 254–255) indicated the specimen *Ducke s.n. RB 11744* (collected on 20 Dec 1919) as a neotype. However, in the introductory pages of his work, Huber (1909) stated that all species were described, based on specimens collected by A. Ducke from 1902 to 1907 and housed at the Museu Goeldi herbarium (MG). He also transcribed Ducke’s field notes showing that he collected in Oriximiná in December of 1906 (Huber 1909: 301), which coincides with the date and locality of the specimen *A. Ducke s.n. MG 7903*. Thus, we are assuming that this specimen is the same one used by Huber (1909) when describing the new species and consider the material housed at MG as the holotype.

4.1.7. *Macropsychanthus dictyoneurus* (Diels) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.  
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212309-1

Basionym: *Dioclea dictyoneura* Diels, Biblioth. Bot. 116: 97. 1937. Type: Colombia, Putumayo, La Concepción, Cuatrecasas 10836 (neotype, here designated: COL! [000054481]).  

**Note.** The holotype of *Dioclea dictyoneura* (Diels 929) came from Puyo, in Napo-Pastaza, in Ecuadorian Amazon. It was housed at B and was destroyed and we could
not trace any duplicate. Maxwell (1969) cited four other specimens, from which we choose as the neotype the material from Concepción as it fits the protologue and was encountered ca. 280 km distant from the area where the original type was collected in the southern Colombian Amazon.

4.1.8. *Macropsychanthus dolichobotrys* Holth., *Blumea* 5: 192. 1942.

**Type.** Indonesia, Talaud Islands, Pasir Malap, *Lam* 3002 (holotype: L! [0019084]; isotypes: BO, L! [0019085], L! [0019086]).

4.1.9. *Macropsychanthus edulis* (Kuhlm.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212310-1

Basionym: *Dioclea edulis* Kuhlm., Anais Reunião Sul-Amer. Bot. 3: 79, pl. 6–7. 1940.

Type: Espírito Santo, Linhares, Picada da Lagoa do Braz, Kuhlmann 218 (holotype: RB! [00540230] + fruit coll. RB! carpo [00770250]; isotypes: RB! [00755077], RB! [00755078]).

4.1.10. *Macropsychanthus ferrugineus* Merr., *Philipp. J. Sc.* 5, Bot.: 121. 1910.

*Dioclea decandra* Amshoff ex Adema, *Blumea* 43: 234. 1998. Type: based on *Macropsychanthus ferrugineus* Merr.

**Type.** Philippines, Mindanao, Lake Lanao, *Clemens* 419 (lectotype, designated by Adema 1998: US! [00004643]; isolectotypes: F! [0059545F], K! [000900292], K! [000900293]).

**Note.** The transfer of *M. ferrugineus* to *Dioclea* was proposed by Amshoff in an unpublished manuscript and validated by Adema (1998). As the name *Dioclea ferruginea* was already occupied by *D. ferruginea* Ducke, Adema (1998) proposed the new name *Dioclea decandra*. However, the original name *M. ferrugineus* is its correct name in *Macropsychanthus* [see also note under *M. duckei*].

4.1.11. *Macropsychanthus flexuosus* (Ducke) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212311-1

Basionym: *Dioclea flexuosa* Ducke, Arch. Jard. Bot. Rio de Janeiro 4: 92–93. 1925.

Type: Brazil, Pará, Rio Branco de Óbidos, *Ducke s.n. RB* 17271 (holotype: RB! [00616992]; isotypes: RB! [00540232], RB! [00616991]).
4.1.12. Macropsychanthus funalis (Poepp.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212312-1

Basionym: Dioclea funalis Poepp., Nov. Gen. Sp. Pl. 3: 59. 1845. Type: Peru, Pampagaio, Poeppig 1452 (holotype: W! [0048638]; isotypes: F! [0043445F], NY! [00007725], W! [0048637]).

4.1.13. Macropsychanthus glabrus (Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212313-1

Basionym: Dioclea glabra Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 69. 1837. Type: Brazil, Goiás, San Izidro, Pohl 1578 (lectotype, designated by Maxwell 1990: W! [2002-0002133]; isolectotypes: [as Pohl s.n.] K! [000502843], W! [2002-0002132]).

Dioclea leiophylla Ducke, Arch. Jard. Bot. Rio de Janeiro 4: 91–92, pl. 5, 1925. Type: Brazil, Pará, rio Tapajós, Ducke s.n. RB 17269 (lectotype, designated here from the syntypes: [in two sheets] RB! [00540234] & [00547582]).

4.1.14. Macropsychanthus grandiflorus (Mart. ex Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212314-1

Basionym: Dioclea grandiflora Mart. ex Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 68–69. 1837. Type: Brazil, Bahia, Juazeiro, Martius 2406 (holotype: M! [0240655]).

4.1.15. Macropsychanthus grandistipulus (L.P. Queiroz) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212315-1

Basionym: Dioclea grandistipula L.P. Queiroz, Novon 8(4): 433, f. 1. 1998. Type: Brazil, São Paulo, Iguape, Correio & Anunciação 1360 (holotype: SP! [000989]; isotypes: HUEFS! [000001844], RB! [00516041]).

4.1.16. Macropsychanthus haughtii (R.H. Maxwell) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212316-1

Basionym: Dioclea haughtii R.H. Maxwell, Novon 21(2): 239. 2011. Type: Colombia. Meta, Los Llanos, Haught 2583 (holotype: COL! [000001747]; isotypes: GH, RB, S! [S-R-9705], US, VEN).
4.1.17. *Macropsychanthus hexander* (Ralph) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.  
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212317-1

Basionym: *Mucuna hexandra* Ralph, IC. Carp., 30, t. 34, f. 5. 1849. Type: The plate of *Dolichos hexandrus* Roxb. (nom. nud.), Ic. 2328 (holotype K [available at Kew 2006, http://apps.kew.org/floraindica/displayImages.do?index=6]).  
*Dolichos coriaceus* Graham ex Wall., Numer. List [Wallich] n. 5562. 1831, nom. inval. (nom. nud.). Type: Singapore, Penang, *Wallich Cat. no. 5562* (holotype: K! [001121297]).  
*Dioclea coriacea* (Graham ex Wall.) Rusby, Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 3(3): 22. 1893. Type: based on *Dolichos coriaceus* Graham ex Wall.  
*Macropsychanthus novo-guineensis* Pulle, Nova Guinea 8: 382. 1910. Type: Indonesia, Irian Jaya, *Versteeg 1028* (lectotype, designated here amongst the syntypes: L! [0018939]; isolecotytes: BO, U! [1248394]).  
*Dioclea hexandra* (Ralph) Mabb., Taxon 29(5–6): 605–606, 1980. Type: based on *Mucuna hexandra* Ralph.

**Note.** Adema (1998) considered that plate 5 of *Parrana rubra* Rumph. in Rumphius (1747) should be taken as the type of *Mucuna hexandra* Ralph. In our opinion, the illustration of *Parrana rubra* does not provide sufficient elements to allow associating it with *Macropsychanthus hexander* (or with any species of *Macropsychanthus*). When publishing *Mucuna hexandra*, Ralph (1849) illustrated the fruit and explicitly stated that he took the drawing from the unpublished painting of *Dolichos hexandrus* in Roxburgh icon 2328 that fits quite well with the diagnostic features of *Mucuna hexandra*, including the androecium with six fertile stamens (Fig. 5). We thus consider the original Roxburgh figure as the holotype of the basionym.

4.1.18. *Macropsychanthus huberi* (Ducke) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.  
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212318-1

Basionym: *Dioclea huberi* Ducke, Arch. Jard. Bot. Rio de Janeiro 3: 172–173. 1922. Type: Brazil, Pará, Gurupá, *Ducke s.n. MG 16533* (lectotype, designated here amongst the syntypes: [in two parts] RB! [00540233] & [00547679]; isolecotyope: S! [S-R-9706]).

4.1.19. *Macropsychanthus javanicus* (Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.  
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212319-1

Basionym: *Dioclea javanica* Benth., Pl. Jungh. 2: 236. 1852. Type: Indonesia, Java, *Junghuhn s.n. [=108?]* (lectotype, designated here: K! [000898373]; isolecotyope: L! [0018938]).
Dioclea fergusonii Thwaites, Enum. Pl. Zeyl. 5: 412. 1864. Type: Sri Lanka, near Colombo, Ferguson 3817 (holotype: BM! [000958602]; isotypes: G! [00364007], K! [000898372], P! [00708478]).

4.1.20. Macropsychanthus jamesonii (R.H. Maxwell) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212320-1

Basionym: Dioclea jamesonii R.H. Maxwell, Novon 21(2): 239, f. 7. 2011. Type: Ecuador. “Collectio Reichenbach fil., Acqu. 1889”, Jameson s.n. (holotype: W! [125398]; isotype: W! [125301]).

4.1.21. Macropsychanthus latifolius (Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212321-1

Basionym: Dioclea latifolia Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 69. 1837. Type: Brazil, Goiás?, San Izidro, Pohl 1565 (lectotype, designated here from the syntypes: W! [2002-0002134]; isotypes: K! [000189688], NY! [00007731]).

4.1.22. Macropsychanthus lauterbachii Harms, in Schumann & Lauterb. Fl. Schutzgeb. Südsee 367. 1900.

Type. Papua New Guinea, Nurufluss, Lauterbach s.n. (lectotype, designated here from the syntypes: WRSL!; isolectotype: B †).

4.1.22.1. Macropsychanthus lauterbachii Harms var. lauterbachii in Verdcourt, Kew Bull. 32(2): 455. 1978.

4.1.22.2. Macropsychanthus lauterbachii var. glabricalyx (Verd.) Adema, Blumea 43: 236. 1998.

Macropsychanthus lauterbachii subsp. glabricalyx Verd., Kew Bull. 32(2): 456. 1978.

Type. Papua New Guinea, Northern District, near Kokoda, Hoogland 3953 (holotype: K! [000900297]; isotypes: A! [00057463], BM! [000958600] & [000958601], BRI! [AQ0050313], CANB! [74008.1], L! [0019087], LAE, MEL! [81601], US! [00170444]).
4.1.22.3. *Macropsychanthus lauterbachii var. hirsutus* Verdoorn, *Kew Bull.* 32(2): 456. 1978.

**Type.** Papua New Guinea, Morobe District: near Lae, *Millar in NGF 13819* (holotype: K! [000900298]; isotypes: A! [00057464], E! [00531192], BRI! [AQ0050930], L! [0019088], LAE).

4.1.22.4. *Macropsychanthus lauterbachii var. parviflorus* (Verdoorn) Adema, *Blumea* 43: 236. 1998.

*Macropsychanthus lauterbachii* subsp. *parviflorus* Verdoorn, *Kew Bull.* 32(2): 456-457. 1978. Type: based on *Macropsychanthus lauterbachii var. parviflorus* (Verdoorn) Adema. *Macropsychanthus lauterbachii* subsp. *neobritannicus* Verdoorn, *Kew Bull.* 32(2): 456-457. 1978. Type: Papua New Guinea, New Britain, Talasea subdistrict, Kopiura river, *Henty in NGF 29391* (holotype: LAE; isotypes: A! [00057465], BOG, BRI! [AQ0052463], CANB, K! [000900299], L! [0019091], SING).

**Type.** Papua New Guinea, Milne Bay District, Rossel Island, *Brass* 28335 (holotype: K! [000900300]; isotypes: A! [00057466], L! [0019089] & [0019090], LAE, S! [S10-10521], US! [00170445]).

4.1.23. *Macropsychanthus malacocarpus* (Ducke) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212322-1

Basionym: *Dioclea malacocarpa* Ducke, Arch. Jard. Bot. Rio de Janeiro 3: 170–172. 1922. Type: Brazil, Pará, Belém, *Ducke in MG 15808* (lectotype, designated here from the syntypes: MG! [015700]; isolectotypes: BM! [000931774], G! [00364764], RB!, US! [00004611]).

4.1.24. *Macropsychanthus marginatus* (Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212323-1

Basionym: *Dioclea marginata* Benth., Fl. Bras. 15(1): 166. 1859. Type: Brazil, Bahia, near villa da Barra, *Blanchet 3085* (lectotype, designated here from the isotypes: K! [000206534]; isolectotypes: BM! [000931779], G! [00364023], K! [000206533], LE! [00002537], MO! [2071255], NY! [00007732], P! [00708476]).
4.1.25. *Macropsychanthus megacarpus* (Rolfe) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212324-1

Basionym: *Dioclea megacarpa* Rolfe, Bull. Misc. Inform. Kew 1901: 139. 1901. Type: Trinidad, St. Ann, *Hart 6406* (lectotype, designated by Amshoff (1939): K! [000502846]).

*Dioclea reflexa* var. *grandiflora* Benth., Fl. Bras. 15(1): 162. 1859. Type: Brazil, Piauí, inter Boa Esperança et Sant’Anna das Mercês, *Gardner 2117* (lectotype, designated here from the isotypes: K! [000206505]; isotypes: BM! [000931778], K! [000206506]).

*Taurophtalmum pulchrum* Duchass. *in* Griesebach, Cat. Pl. Cub.: 76. 1886, nom. inval. pro syn. Lectotype [designated here]: watercolour painting by Duchassaing (GOET!), syn. nov. (Fig. 4).

4.1.26. *Macropsychanthus mindanaensis* Merr., Philipp. J. Sci. 5: 120. 1910.

Type. Philippines, Mindanao, Province of Surigao, *Bolster 330* (holotype: PNH †).

Note. Merrill (1910) did not refer to the herbarium where the type is housed and we were unable to track it. The PNH herbarium curator confirmed that the holotype was housed at PNH (as PNH 4697) but that it was destroyed during World War II (L. Evangelista, Philippine National Herbarium, National Museum, pers. comm.). Adema (1998) speculated that it could be more closely related to (or conspecific with) *M. ferrugineus* as it was described as having ten fertile stamens.

4.1.27. *Macropsychanthus mollicomus* (Ducke) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212325-1

Basionym: *Dioclea mollicoma* Ducke, Trop. Woods 90: 19–20. 1947. Type: Brazil, Amazonas, Esperança, *Ducke 1598* (lectotype, designated here from the syntypes: MG! [018160]; isolecotypes: A! [00277380], F! [0059198F], GH, K! [000978042], NY! [00007734], R! [000054824], RB! [00649170; 00540238], UC! [1204097], US! [00004610]).

4.1.28. *Macropsychanthus pulchrus* (Moldenke) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212326-1

Basionym: *Dioclea pulchra* Moldenke, Phytologia 1(1): 6–7. 1933. Type: Colombia, Boyaca, El Umbo region, *Lawrence 528* (holotype: NY! [00007739]; isotypes: A!
Figure 4. Lectotype of *Taurophthalmum pulchrum* Duch. This watercolour painting housed at GOET is the only remnant of the original material of this species cited in Griesebach (1866).

[00277304], BM! [000931782], F! [0059201F], FI! [005117], G! [00364763], K! [000502890], MG, MO! [277051], NY! [00007738], S! [S-R-9708], U! [0008110], UC, US! [00004604]).
4.1.29. *Macropsychanthus purpureus* (Elmer) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212327-1

Basionym: *Luzonia purpurea* Elmer, Leafl. Philipp. Bot. 1: 220. 1907. Type: Philippines, Luzon, Province of Tayabas, Lucban, May 1907, *Elmer 9013* (holotype: PNH; isotypes: A! [00057462], E! [00301634], L! [0019058], MO! [256507], NY! [00016167], US! [00004668]).

4.1.30. *Macropsychanthus rufescens* (Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212328-1

Basionym: *Dioclea rufescens* Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 69. 1837. Type: Brazil, Minas Gerais, “Frigna do Alfonso”, *Pohl s.n.* (lectotype, designated here from the isotypes: K! [000189690] [labelled as number 1102]; isolecotypes: F! [0059204F], K! [000189689], NY! [00007743], W! [2002-0002137; 2002-0002138]).

*Dioclea rubiginosa* Tul., Arch. Mus. Hist. Nat. 4: 72. 1844. Type: Brazil, Minas Gerais, *Clausen 958*, 1838 (lectotype designated here: P! [00708479]; isolecotype: P! [00708480]).

4.1.31. *Macropsychanthus schimpffii* (Diels) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212329-1

Basionym: *Dioclea schimpffii* Diels, Biblioth. Bot. 116: 97. 1937. Type: Ecuador, Chimborazo, Naranjapata, rio Chanchan, *Schimpff 565* (holotype: B†; lectotype, designated here: G! [00364005]; isolecotypes: MO! [289358; 289359]).

4.1.32. *Macropsychanthus schottii* (Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212330-1

Basionym: *Dioclea schottii* Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 70. 1837. Type: Brazil, Rio de Janeiro, “in campis”, *Schott s.n.* (lectotype, designated here from the isotypes: W! [2002-0002135]; isolecotypes: F! [0059206F], K! [000502844], NY! [00007745], W! [2002-0002136]).

4.1.33. *Macropsychanthus sclerocarpus* (Ducke) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212331-1

Basionym: *Dioclea sclerocarpa* Ducke, Arch. Jard. Bot. Rio de Janeiro 3: 169–170. 1922. Type: Brazil, Pará, Monte Alegre, *Ducke s.n. MG 17152* (lectotype, designated here from the syntypes: RB! [00540242]; isolecotypes: BM! [000931772], MG, P! [02752764]).
Figure 5. Original painting of Roxburgh icon 2328 (K) from *Dolichos hexandrus* that was used by Ralph (1849) to propose *Mucuna hexandra* Ralph. Note the androecium with six fertile stamens typical of *Macropsychanthus hexander* (Ralph) L.P. Queiroz & Snak. Available Roxburgh’s Flora Indica (Kew 2006) at http://apps.kew.org/floraindica/displayImages.do?index=6.
**Dioclea reflexa** var. **glabrescens** Benth., Fl. Bras. 15(1): 162-163. 1859. Type: Brazil, Maranhão, Gardner 5988 (lectotype, designated here from the sytypes: K! [000502898]; isolecotypes: BM! [000931773]).

4.1.34. **Macropsychanthus ucayalinus** (Harms) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212332-1

Basionym: **Dioclea ucayalina** Harms, Notizbl. Bot. Gart. Berlin-Dahlem 9: 262. 1925. Type: Peru, middle Ucayali, Yarina Cocha, Tessmann 3464 (holotype: B† [photo F! [F0BN002411]; lectotype, designated here from the isotypes: S! [S-R-9711]; isolecotypes: G! [00364004], NY! [00007748], US! [00004646]).

4.1.35. **Macropsychanthus umbrinus** (Elmer) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212333-1

Basionym: **Dioclea umbrina** Elmer, Leafl. Philipp. Bot. 1: 224. 1907. Type: Philippines, Leyte, Elmer 7249 (holotype: PHN; isotype: K! [000898375]).

**Note.** In the protologue of the basionym, Elmer (1907) cited the type specimen as “9015, A. D. E. Elmer, Palo, Province of Leyte, Leyte, January, 1906”. All of that information is on the label of the Kew specimen, although that label gives the collector number as 7249. As all of the other elements fit the protologue, we are considering the Kew specimen as an isotype.

4.1.36. **Macropsychanthus violaceus** (Mart. ex Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212334-1

Basionym: **Dioclea violacea** Mart. ex Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 69. 1837. Type: Brazil, Bahia?, Mucuri fluv., Wied s.n. (lectotype, designated here from the sytypes: BR! [0000005194667]; isolecotypes: BR! [0000005196715; [0000005194995]).

**Dolichos altissimus** Vell., Fl. Flumin.: 320. 1825 [1829], non **Dolichos altissimus** Jacq., Enum. Syst. Pl. 27. 1760, nom. illeg. Type: Brazil, Rio de Janeiro, “Habitat silvis maritimis”, Vellozo (lectotype, designated here: tab. 154 in Vellozo, Fl. Flumin. Ic. vol. 7, 1829).

**Dioclea pilifera** Tul., Arch. Mus. Hist. Nat. 4: 71. 1844. Type: Brazil, Claussen s.n. (holotype: P! [00708484]).

**Dioclea paraguariensis** Hassl., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 16: 228–229. 1919. Type: Paraguay, Lake Ypacaray, Hassler 12460 (lectotype, designated here from the sytypes: G! [00381578]; isolecotypes: C! [10012111], E! [00531190], G! [00381577], K! [000502900], S! [S-R-9701]).
*Dioclea altissima* (Vell.) Rock, Legum. Pl. Hawaii: 201. 1920. Type: based on *Dolichos altissimus* Vell.

### 4.1.37. Macropsycanthus wilsonii (Standl.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.

*urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212335-1*

Basionym: *Dioclea wilsonii* Standl., Publ. Field Mus. Nat. Hist., Bot. Ser. 4(8): 310–311. 1929. Type: Honduras, *Wilson 336* (holotype: F! [0059180F]; isotypes: NY! [00007718], US [00004644]).

*Dioclea atropurpurea* Pittier, Bol. Tecn. Minist. Agric. 5: 79, f. 34, 1944. Type: Venezuela, Sucre, entre Cumaná y Cumanacoa, *Pittier 14660* (holotype: VEN [4439]; isotypes: K! [000502895], S! [S-R-9702]).

#### 4.2. Macropsycanthus subg. Platylobium (Benth.) L.P. Queiroz

*Dioclea sect. Platylobium* Benth., Fl. Bras. 15(1): 164. 1859.

*Dioclea sect. Macrocarpon* Amshoff, Meded. Bot. Mus. Herb. Rijks Univ. Utrecht 52: 68. 1939. Type [designated by Maxwell, 2011]: *Dioclea macrocarpa* Huber.

*Dioclea subg. Platylobium* (Benth.) R.H. Maxwell, Novon 21(2): 232, 2011. Type: based on *Dioclea sect. Platylobium* Benth.

**Type.** [designated by Maxwell, 2011]: *Dioclea bicolor* Benth. Stipules basifixed, not prolonged below their insertion. Leaves estipellate. Fruit flat, compressed and elastically dehiscent, with twisting woody valves, rarely indehiscent or passively dehiscent and turgid (*M. ruddiae*). Seeds with a short and oblong hilum (Fig. 3 G–J).

This subgenus fits the circumscription of *Dioclea subg. Platylobium* (sensu Maxwell, 2011) with the transfer of *Macropsycanthus huberi* to the section *Macropsycanthus*.

Nine species are known from South America, centred in the Amazon and Guyana region and three species extend southward into the Cerrado biome in central Brazil.

#### 4.2.1. Macropsycanthus bicolor (Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.

*urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212336-1*

Basionym: *Dioclea bicolor* Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 69. 1837. Type: Brazil, Amazonas [‘Rio Negro’], Coari, *Martius s.n. Obs. 2877* (lectotype, designated here from the syntypes: M! [0240649]; isolectotype: M! [0240648]).

*Dioclea rostrata* Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 69. 1837. Type: Brazil, “Villa Nova do Almeida”, *Wied s.n.* (lectotype, designated here from the isotypes: BR! [000005197378]; isolectotype: BR! [000005197040]), *syn. nov.*
Dioclea rostrata var. nitida Benth., Fl. Bras. 15(1): 168. 1859. Type: Brazil, Mato Grosso?, ‘Salto do Curaú, rio Pardo’, Riedel 452 (560) (lectotype, designated here from the isotypes: LE! [00002539]; isolecotypes: A! [00066322], F! [0059202F], K! [000502901], NY! [01583820]), syn. nov.

4.2.2. *Macropsychanthus coriaceus* (Benth.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212337-1

Basionym: *Dioclea coriacea* Benth., Comm. Legum. Gen.: 69. 1837. Type: Brazil, Goiás?, Corgo do Padre, Pohl 1966 (lectotype, designated here from the syntypes: W! [2002-0002131]; isolecotypes: K! [000189687], NY [00007724]).

4.2.3. *Macropsychanthus duckei* L.P. Queiroz & Snak, nom. nov.

Basionym: *Dioclea ferruginea* Ducke, Arch. Jard. Bot. Rio de Janeiro 4: 93, pl. 7. 1925. Type: Brazil, Pará, rio Tapajós, lago Quataquara, Ducke in RB 17266 (holotype: RB! in three parts [00616768; 00616767; 00540231]).

**Note.** The specific epithet of the basionym *Dioclea ferruginea* cannot be used to make a new combination in *Macropsychanthus* because the name *M. ferrugineus* is already occupied. We propose the new name honouring the botanist A. Ducke who made huge contributions to our knowledge of the Amazon flora and discovered this species.

4.2.4. *Macropsychanthus erectus* (Hoehne) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212338-1

Basionym: *Dioclea erecta* Hoehne, Comm. Lin. Telegr., Bot. 45(8): 92, t. 151, 159. 1919. Type: Brazil, Mato Grosso, Juruena, Hoehne 1886 (lectotype, designated here from the syntypes: R! [000211395]).

4.2.5. *Macropsychanthus hispidimarginatus* (R.H. Maxwell) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212339-1

Basionym: *Dioclea hispidimarginata* R.H. Maxwell, Novon 21(2): 232. 2011. Type: Peru, Amazonas, Valle de Rio Santiago, Caterpiza, Huashikat 1654 (holotype: MO! [713605]; isotype: JEF).
4.2.6. *Macropsychanthus macrocarpus* (Huber) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212340-1

Basionym: *Dioclea macrocarpa* Huber, Bol. Mus. Goeldi Hist. Nat. Ethnogr. 5(2): 410–411. 1909. Type: Brazil, Pará, rio Ariramba, *Ducke s.n. MG 8071* (holotype: MG! [8071]; isotypes: BM! [000931775], G! [00365046]).

4.2.7. *Macropsychanthus rigidus* (R.S. Cowan) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212341-1

Basionym: *Dioclea rigida* R.S. Cowan, Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 10(1): 150–151. 1958. Type: Venezuela: Amazonas, Cerro Paru, *Cowan & Wurdack 31252* (holotype: Y! [00007744]; isotype: US! [00004603]).

*Dioclea steyermarkii* R.H. Maxwell, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 77(3): 585–587, f. 1. 1990. Type: Venezuela, Amazonas, Atures, *Huber 4476* (holotype: US! [00324271]; isotypes: K! [00324271], MYF, NY! [00007746]), syn. nov.

4.2.8. *Macropsychanthus ruddiae* (R.H. Maxwell) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212342-1

Basionym: *Dioclea ruddiae* R.H. Maxwell, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 75(2): 730–732, f. 1. 1988. Type: Venezuela, Amazonas, Cerro Huachamacari, *Maguire et al. 29930* (holotype: US! [00067942]; isotypes: F! [0059203F], GH! [00066323], K, IAN, MO, NY, P, RB! [00540240], S! [S-R-9709], U! [0003527], VEN! [43782]).

4.2.9. *Macropsychanthus scabrus* (Rich.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212343-1

Basionym: *Dolichos scaber* Rich., Actes Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris 1: 111. 1792. Type: French Guyana, *Leblond 183* (holotype: G! [00364886]).

*Dioclea scabra* (Rich.) R.H. Maxwell, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 77(3): 578. 1990.

Note. Maxwell (1990) designated a neotype for *Dolichos scaber* (*de la Cruz 3090, UC*), but that neotype should be substituted after the finding of the *Leblond* specimen, which was part of a set of plants sent by Leblond from French Guyana (Richard 1792).
4.2.9.1. *Macropsychanthus scabrus* (Rich.) L.P. Queiroz & Snak var. *scabrus*

*Dioeclea elliptica* R.H. Maxwell, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 77(3): 578. 1990, nom. inval. (nom. nud.).

**Note.** Maxwell (1969) proposed the name *Dioeclea elliptica* in his Ph.D. dissertation, using as the type the specimen *de la Cruz 3090* from Essequibo, Guyana. That dissertation is not considered an effective publication, however, under ICN Article 30.9 (Turland et al. 2018). It was later published as a synonym of *D. scabra* by Maxwell (1990), but with no description, thus being a nomen nudum (ICN Art. 38.1, Turland et al. 2018).

4.2.9.2. *Macropsychanthus scabrus* var. *brownii* (R.H. Maxwell) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212344-1

Basionym: *Dioclea scabra* var. *brownii* R.H. Maxwell, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 77(3): 579, 581. 1990. Type: Venezuela, Amazonas, Atabapo, *Davidse et al. 17450* (holotype: MO! [277050]; isotypes: MYF, NY).

4.2.9.3. *Macropsychanthus scabrus* var. *schulzii* (R.H. Maxwell) L.P. Queiroz & Snak, comb. nov.

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77212345-1

Basionym: *Dioclea scabra* var. *schulzii* R.H. Maxwell, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 77(3): 581. 1990. Type: Guyana, Essequibo, Potaro, *Atkinson 116* (holotype: BM! [000931781]; isotypes: NY! [01365181], US).
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