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ABSTRACT Recent years have witnessed a growing interest in reconfigurable antenna systems. Travelling wave antennas (TWAs) and leaky wave antennas (LWAs) are representative examples of structures featuring a great level of flexibility (e.g., straightforward implementation of beam scanning), relatively simple geometrical structure, low profile, and low fabrication cost. Notwithstanding, the design process of TWAs/LWAs is a challenging endeavor because efficient handling of their electrical/field characteristics requires repetitive full-wave electromagnetic (EM) analyses, which is computationally expensive. In this paper, a novel approach to rapid optimization of LWA’s input characteristics is proposed, based on structure decomposition and rendition of fast surrogate models of the antenna unit cells. The surrogates are combined into a single metamodel representing antenna input characteristics, which enables low-cost adjustment of the geometry parameters. The presented methodology is demonstrated through the design of several LWAs operating in the frequency bands of 8.2 GHz to 11.2 GHz, 6.2 GHz to 8.2 GHz, and 3.8 GHz to 4.7 GHz. Numerical results are validated through physical measurements of the fabricated array prototype.

INDEX TERMS Reconfigurable antenna; leaky wave antennas; beam scanning; EM-driven design; surrogate modeling; decomposition.

I. INTRODUCTION

Reconfigurable structures have been drawing considerable attention in the context of high-performance wireless communication system design. This is primarily due to their ability to adapt functionality to the operating conditions or system requirements [1]. Reconfigurable devices such as filters, couplers, junctions, and antennas [2-9], belong to the most efficient solutions for improving wireless communication system performance. In the case of antennas, reconfigurability is understood as the ability of altering the electrical and/or field properties such as operating frequency, polarization, or pattern. It has become a key feature for many applications, including satellite and mobile communications [10], [11], digital television broadcasting [12], wearable devices [13], and radar [14-16]. Therein, a highly desirable feature is beam direction control, which can be realized either through electronic beam steering (to point the radiation direction at a desired angle at a single frequency), or frequency tunability, i.e., maintaining the beam direction over a range of frequencies [17].

Reconfigurability can be implemented in traveling wave antennas (TWAs), which utilize the traveling wave phenomenon along the guiding structure as the main radiating mechanism. TWA designs are based on the traditional microstrip array antennas as proposed by W. Menzel in 1979 [18]. Their advantages include simple topology, low profile, and easy impedance matching [19].
Traveling wave antennas fall into two categories, slow-wave and fast-wave antennas. The fast-wave structures are usually referred to as leaky-wave antennas (LWAs) [20], [21]. In a slow-wave antenna, the wave propagates with a phase velocity lower than the speed of light in the free space, and the radiation only occurs at discontinuities, typically, the feed and the termination regions [20]. Obtaining a highly-directive single-beam radiation pattern is therefore difficult. By contrast, in LWAs, the wave phase velocity is greater than the speed of light, and this type of wave radiates continuously along the antenna length [20]. LWA usually consists of periodical structures whose geometry parameters have strong effects on the radiation characteristic. By using this type of antennas, it is possible to achieve highly-directive beams with low side lobe levels at an arbitrary specified angle. The wave phase constant, which can be altered by the operating frequency, allows for controlling the beam angle. This unique feature of LWA has been used for implementing high-performance pattern-reconfigurable antennas for beam scanning applications [22-28].

Notwithstanding, design of LWAs—that includes tuning of geometry parameters—is a challenging task, especially when stringent performance requirements concerning high gain, broadband operation without failing into open stop band (one of the drawbacks of LWAs that are due to coupling of a pair of oppositely-directed space harmonics; the latter results in most of the incident power being reflected, leading to a significant drop of the radiated power [29-30]), and reconfigurability are to be satisfied. A dilemma is to conduct the design at the level of reliable EM-simulation model which incurs considerable computational costs, often prohibitive, or to work with lower-fidelity representations, which shortens the design cycle at the expense of the accuracy. A practical workaround is utilization of surrogate modelling techniques [31], [32], which preserves retaining reliability while retaining computational efficiency. Unfortunately, data-driven modelling of LWAs is difficult due to a typically large number of geometry parameters, and high cost of antenna simulation. This paper proposes a novel approach to optimization of input characteristics of LWAs, which capitalizes on the periodicity of the antenna structure, its decomposition, and incorporation of smaller-scale surrogates of the LWA unit cells, which are then combined into the overall metamodel using circuit theory rules. Thus, instead of using traditional transmission-line-equation-based calculation, which tends to differ from EM simulations and experimental results, data driven based surrogate modelling techniques are used to predict the behaviour of the unit elements. For the sake of demonstrating the flexibility of the approach, three LWA designs operating at different frequency bands have been obtained. Design reliability has been validated both numerically and experimentally. The proposed approach can be considered a rapid alternative to conventional (both direct and surrogate-assisted) LWA optimization techniques, and constitute a step towards design automation of leak-wave antennas.

II. CASE STUDY: OPEN-END LEAKY WAVE ANTENNA

Leaky-wave antennas (LWAs) typically consist of open-ended lines connected to ground. In these architectures, the radiating characteristic is mainly controlled by a travelling wave on a guiding structure, with the surface current being a superposition of electromagnetic waves traveling in the opposite directions [19]. A conceptual illustration of LWA has been shown in Fig. 1(a) [33].

LWAs are usually implemented as periodical structures. Figure 1(b) shows a geometry of a specific LWA proposed in this work. It is a cascade connection of unit patch elements presented in Fig. 1(c). Also 3D EM model of the unit element is presented in Fig. 1(d), where the unit element design is being fed using two waveguide ports. Its computational model contains ~270,000 mesh cells. The average simulation time for unit element is around 15 seconds with the following hardware setup: AMD Ryzen 7 3700X CPU @ 3.6 GHz with 16 GB installed memory on a 64-bit operating system.
Some of important design considerations of LWAs are:
(i) the overall gain is monotonically related to the number of array elements, (ii) increasing the number of elements improves impedance matching, (iii) the operating band is mainly affected by the substrate height, patch widths, and material permittivity, (iv) increasing the antenna length allows for achieving a wider range of scanning at the expense of enlarging the array size, (v) with a proper selection of a periodical unit element design, an appropriate impedance matching can be achieved for suppression of the open stop band [29-30] which becomes one of the main challenges in design optimization process. Notwithstanding, the main design factor is determination of the optimal dimensions of the unit element. This can only be achieved through rigorous EM-based optimization, which is a computationally expensive endeavour. The primary objective of this paper is to propose an efficient surrogate-assisted approach to rapid optimization of LWA’s reflection characteristics, as described in Section III.

III. DESIGN METHODOLOGY

This section introduces a methodology of fast optimization of input characteristics of LWAs proposed in this work. The outline of the main concept is followed by a description of the unit element modeling procedure and array optimization.

A. LWA DESIGN BY DECOMPOSITION AND SURROGATE MODELLING

The LWA design methodology proposed in this paper is based on array decomposition and incorporation of fast data-driven surrogate models. As indicated in Fig. 2, the unit cells are represented by their corresponding S-matrices $S_C$. The S-matrix $S_A$ (Eq. 2) of the LWA is obtained from the transmission matrix $T_A$ obtained by cascading the T-matrices of the unit cells, i.e., $T_A = (T_C)^N$. The major acceleration factor is that—for design optimization purposes—the matrix $S_C$ is a parameterized data-driven surrogate obtained from sampled EM-simulation data of the unit cell, which enables rapid parameter adjustment of the LWA.

The transformation of the unit cell S-matrix into the corresponding cell transmission matrix follows the standard two-port network rules. We have

$$
T_C = \begin{bmatrix} T_{C,11} & T_{C,12} \\ T_{C,21} & T_{C,22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{C,11} & S_{C,12} - S_{C,21} S_{C,22} \\ S_{C,21} & 1 - S_{C,22} \end{bmatrix}
$$

where subscript C specified that the above matrices are pertinent to the unit cell. As mentioned before, the transmission matrix of the array is obtained by cascading the transmission matrices of $N$ cells, i.e., we have

$$
T_A = (T_C)^N
$$

Finally, the S-matrix of the array is obtained by the transformation inverse to (1), i.e.,

$$
S_A = \begin{bmatrix} S_A,11 & S_A,12 \\ S_A,21 & S_A,22 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{A,11} & T_{A,12} \\ T_{A,21} & T_{A,22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_{A,11} T_{A,12} - T_{A,12} T_{A,11} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

where the subscript $A$ specifies the matrices of the array.

B. UNIT CELL MODELLING

For the purpose of surrogate modeling, the flexibility offered by the original parameterization of the unit element is excessive, therefore, the following constraints are introduced: $W_2 = L_3$, $W_3 = L_2$, $L_2 = L_2/2$, $\theta = 90^\circ$. Consequently, we have three independent parameters, $L_1$, $W_1$, and $L_2$. The data samples are allocated using a grid sampling as described in Table I. It should be noted that the parameter ranges are very broad (the average upper-to-lower bound ratio is as high as seven), which is to ensure that the LWA can be designed over wide ranges of operating frequencies. The substrate parameters are $\varepsilon_r = 3$ and $h = 1.5\, \text{mm}$. EM simulation of the unit element is then carried out to extract the $S$ parameters. The dataset is split into the training (80%) and holdout (20%) parts.

The surrogate is constructed as a Multi-layer perceptron (MLP) [34], which is one of the commonly used Artificial Neural Network (ANN) regression models, proved to be suitable for modeling of high-frequency components [1], [32]. Model identification is carried out to minimize mean absolute error estimated using K-fold cross-validation (here, $K = 4$) [35]. Due to the reciprocal characteristic of the unit cell ($S_{ij} = S_{ji}$, $i, j = 1, 2$), and the substrate material represented as lossless material in the computational model, by modelling $S_{11}$ characteristic the $S_C$ matrix of the unit cell can be obtained at the expense of having a slight difference with experimental results, which will be discussed in Section IV.

C. ARRAY OPTIMIZATION

Having a fast surrogate, the LWA is optimized with respect to the parameter vector $x = [L_1, W_1, L_2]^T$, by solving

$$
x^* = \arg \min_x H(x)
$$

where the objective function is defined as

$$
H(x) = \max\{f \in [f_{c1}, f_{c2}] | S_{11}(x, f)\}
$$

where explicit dependence of $S_{11}$ on $x$ has been marked. Thus, (4), (5) is a minimax task oriented towards minimizing the array reflection response within the target operating frequency range from $f_{c1}$ to $f_{c2}$.

Due to broad ranges of geometry parameters, utilization of a global search algorithm is instrumental in identifying the optimum design. On the other hand, as the surrogate model evaluation cost is negligible as compared to the cost of EM analysis, the employment of nature-inspired algorithms is feasible. Here, we use Honey Bee Mating Optimization (HBMO), which is a population-based metaheuristic algorithm [36].

The flowchart of the complete design methodology has been shown in Fig. 3.
FIGURE 2. Conceptual illustration of the proposed LWA design methodology. The array is decomposed into unit cells represented by their S-parameter matrices. The transmission matrix of the array is computed by cascading the T-matrices of the cell, which allows for yielding the S-matrix of the array. To accelerate the design process, the matrix SC is represented by a fast data-driven surrogate model.

IV. RESULTS AND EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

This section provides simulation and experimental verification of the design methodology presented in Section III. We start by validating the unit cell surrogate, which is constructed using MLP with 2 hidden layers containing 15 and 30 neurons, respectively, and trained using the Levenberg-Marquardt back-propagation algorithm [37]. The hold-out performance of the MLP model is evaluated based on two error metrics: Mean absolute Error (MAE) and Relative Mean Error (RME). The numerical data is presented in Table II, whereas Fig. 4 shows the surrogate and EM-simulated unit cell responses at the selected testing location.

It can be observed that both error metrics are low, and the visual agreement between the surrogate-predicted cell outputs and EM simulation data is satisfactory. Consequently, the model can be employed for constructing the decomposition surrogate and rapid dimensioning of the LWA.

In order to demonstrate flexibility and re-usability of the presented approach, three different LWA designs have been obtained by optimizing the decomposition-based surrogate. The design task (3)-(4) has been solved using the HBMO algorithm as mentioned in Section III C. The target operating frequencies for the said designs are:
- Design I: \( f_1 = 8 \) GHz, \( f_2 = 12 \) GHz;
- Design II: \( f_1 = 6 \) GHz, \( f_2 = 8 \) GHz;
- Design III: \( f_1 = 4 \) GHz, \( f_2 = 4.5 \) GHz.

Figure 5 shows the reflection response of the LWAs, as predicted by the surrogate model and EM analysis. The agreement between the two data sets is good, especially for Designs II and III. A slight frequency shift can be observed for Design I, which can be attributed to residual mutual coupling effects not taken into account by the surrogate (the issue to be addressed in the future work). Table III gathers the major performance figures of the considered designs, whereas Fig. 7 shows the radiation characteristics for Design I. A broad beam scanning range of up to 60 degrees should be noted.

For the sake of supplementary validation, Design I has been fabricated and experimentally validated using a 9 kHz-to-13.5 GHz Vector Network Analyzer, and LB-8180-NF broadband 0.8-18 GHz horn antenna, available in of Yildiz Technical University. The LWA has been implemented on Arlon AD300 substrate (\( \varepsilon_r = 3.0, h = 1.52 \) mm). The measured LWA performance can be found in Fig. 6 and Table IV.
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TABLE 2. Performance of MLP Surrogate model for holdout dataset

| Parameter                | MAE  | RME  |
|--------------------------|------|------|
| Magnitude $|S_{11}|$ | 4.6 $10^{-3}$ | 2.1% |
| Phase of $S_{11}$       | 5.4  | 2.9% |

TABLE 3. Radiation Characteristics of LWA design 1 through 3

| Operating frequency [GHz] | Realized Gain [dBi] | Beam Scanning [Degree] | Array size [mm] |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 8.2-11.2                  | 2.5-11             | -66 ~ -6               | 95x30           |
| 6.2-8.2                   | 2.2-10.5           | -67 ~ -10              | 120x30          |
| 3.8-4.7                   | 5.0-11             | -65 ~ -5.0             | 207x20          |

FIGURE 5. Visualization of the data driven surrogate model performance for optimized 7 element LWA designs with geometrical design parameters of (a) Design I [L1 = 2.2, W1 = 3.2, L3=8.6], (b) Design II [L1 = 3.1, W1 = 3.0, L3=10.9], (c) Design III [L1 = 5.8, W1 = 2.5 L3=18.0].

FIGURE 6. Decomposition based surrogate model and measured characteristics of LWA Design I [L1 =2.2, W1 =3.2, L3=8.6]: (a) antenna prototype, (b) simulated and measured reflection response, (c) comparison of reflection responses with and without losses as well as SMA connector included into the computational model.
characteristic, and—once established—can be reused without incurring any additional computational expenses. At the same time, the proposed technique only addresses optimization of input characteristics. Its generalization that includes handling of radiation patterns and mutual coupling will be given in the future work.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper introduced a technique for rapid optimization of input characteristics of leaky-wave antennas. Our methodology involved a decomposition-based surrogate, which capitalizes on the periodicity of the array topology. An accurate data-driven model of the array unit cell is composed, using circuit theory rules, into a fast model of the entire array, which can be then optimized at negligible cost, even when using global search procedures. The proposed approach has been demonstrated through the design of three LWAs operating at different frequency bands (8.2 GHz to 11.2 GHz, 6.2 GHz to 8.2 GHz, and 3.8 GHz to 4.7 GHz) by reusing the same surrogate model. A good agreement between the array characteristics predicted by the surrogate and EM-simulated ones has been observed. The selected design corresponding to the operating bandwidth of 8.2 GHz to 11.2 GHz has been fabricated and measured to additionally corroborate the design utility of the presented procedure.

Furthermore, Table 5 provides performance comparison with state-of-the-art designs [19], [39-48]. As it can be observed, although the radiation performance of the considered LWA is inferior compared to some of the designs reported therein, it should be noted that the size of the array of Fig. 6 is 25 percent smaller than the design of [44], which features a similar scanning range of 60 degrees at the lower operating band, and almost twice as small in size compared to most of the arrays in Table 5. At the same time, the benchmark arrays employ considerably more complex unit elements that involve Substrate Integrated Waveguide (SIW) [39-40], [45], [47], Dielectric Image Line (DIL) [41], or Composite Right Left Hand (CRLH) [43].

The radiation performance of the design can be improved by increasing the array size, which would also enable beam scanning from the backward quadrant to the forward quadrant and make the design perform better scanning range than most of the benchmark designs. Still, in this work, the major focus is on optimization of input characteristics. In particular, the presented optimization approach can be applied to any a design with arbitrary number of unit elements, e.g., to ensure improved radiation characteristics.

The results discussed in this section demonstrate suitability of the methodology proposed in this work for rapid parameter tuning of LWAs over broad ranges of operating conditions. The decomposition-based surrogate provides reliable representation of the LWA reflection.

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)

**TABLE 4. Measured / Simulated characteristic of LWA design 1**

| Operating frequency [GHz] | Realized Gain [dBi] | Main Beam Direction [Degrees] | $\delta_{11}$ [dB] |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 8.2                       | 2.9/2.5             | -63/-66                       | -14.6/-11.7     |
| 9                         | 5.2/4.9             | -44/-50                       | -20.7/-30.2     |
| 10                        | 7.1/7.4             | -25/-27                       | -10.9/-28.7     |
| 11.2                      | 10/11.0             | -8/-6                         | -7.9/-8.7       |

**TABLE 5. Comparison with state-of-the-art LWAs**

| Work | $f$ [GHz] | Scan Angle Range [Degrees] | Peak Gain [dBi] | Length |
|------|-----------|--------------------------|----------------|--------|
| This work | 8.2-11.2 | -63 to -8               | 10.3           | 3.1 $\lambda_0$ |
| [19]  | 8-14      | -65 to 15                | 12             | 4.3 $\lambda_0$ |
| [39]  | 9-14      | -40 to 35                | 12             | 5.4 $\lambda_0$ |
| [40]  | 12.5-15.4 | 0 to 28                  | 13.17          | 13.8 $\lambda_0$ |
| [41]  | 11.3-15.8 | -60 to 38                | 15             | 5.4 $\lambda_0$ |
| [42]  | 12.5-15.4 | 0 to 28                  | 13.17          | -     |
| [43]  | 11-17.5   | -85 to 85                | 10.7           | 12 $\lambda_0$ |
| [44]  | 17.5-19   | 0 to 60                  | -              | 4 $\lambda_0$ |
| [45]  | 5.6-6     | 79 to 103                | 8.89           | 4.2 $\lambda_0$ |
| [46]  | 10.7-18   | -78 to 27                | 16             | 8.4 $\lambda_0$ |
| [47]  | 10-17.5   | -49 to 69                | 14.2           | 8.3     |
| [48]  | 8.4-14.4  | -51 to 36                | 15             | 8.6 $\lambda_0$ |
The future work will be focused on generalizations of the presented technique, first, to allow modeling of the array radiation patterns, and, furthermore, to account for mutual coupling effects. The latter is particularly important in the context of design of physically-reduced LWA layouts.
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