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Abstract—Recent studies in electro-aerodynamic (EAD) propulsion have stimulated the need for lightweight power converters providing outputs at tens of kilovolts and hundreds of watts [1] [2]. This paper demonstrates a design of a lightweight high-voltage converter operating from a 160 – 200 V dc input and providing dc output of up to 600 W at 40 kV. It operates at around 500 kHz and achieves a specific power of 1.2 kW/kg. This is considerably lighter than comparable industrial and academic designs at this power level. High voltage converters generally comprise an inverter, a step-up transformer and a rectifier, with the large needed voltage gain distributed among these stages. Several means of realizing these stages are compared in terms of weight. The weight of the converter is minimized by properly selecting and optimizing the design and the voltage gain of each stage within the constraints of device limitations and losses. A prototype circuit is developed based on this approach and used to drive an EAD-propulsion system for an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). In addition to addressing the power conversion needs for EAD, this research can potentially benefit the development of lightweight high-voltage converters in many other applications where weight and size are important.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Weight reduction is particularly important in aerospace applications, including for high-voltage power supplies used in these applications. For high-power designs (e.g., tens to hundreds-of-kilovolts multi-megawatt power converters for space propulsion), the achievable specific power (gravimetric power density) has reached as high as 10 kW/kg [3] [4] [5] [6]. Recent advances in SiC devices have also helped with miniaturization of tens of kV and several-to-tens-of-kilowatts power converters. At these voltage and power level, power densities of above 1 kW/kg have been achieved [7].

However, there has been less research in reducing the weight of converters in the hundreds-of-watts and tens-of-kilovolts range. As shown in Fig. 1, a review of commercial products and academic designs in this range reveals that the specific power is typically around 0.1 kW/kg. In commerical high voltage power supplies in these power and voltage range, the switching frequency typically lies around 100 kHz or lower, resulting in bulky magnetics and capacitors. There have been research attempts to increase the switching frequency and specific power, but these have largely focused on cases of high input voltage (>= 400 V), low output voltage (< 10 kV) and/or high power (> 2 kW) [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]. The detailed product list in this comparison is provided in the Appendix I.

One aspect of the differences in the specific power among high-voltage converter designs relates to the scaling of transformers. For low voltage levels (where insulation is not a limitation), the specific power (kW/kg) of transformers can be modeled to roughly scale with $\frac{1}{V^{0.75}}$ and $\frac{P^{0.25}}{V^{0.25}}$ [6] [12] [13] [14], indicating that specific power improves with higher power. Meanwhile, as transformer voltage increases, so do the challenges of insulation and interwinding capacitance. These challenges bring new considerations in transformer design, such as sectioning the secondary and using thicker insulation, hurting specific power in ways not captured in [6] [12] [13] [14].

In many traditional applications at high-voltage and low power, such as X-ray machines, low-power electrostatic precipitators, etc., the weight of the power converter has not been a system-critical consideration. However, aerospace applications requiring low-power, high voltage conversion are emerging in which specific power is a major consideration. For example, electro-aerodynamic (EAD) propulsion has promise in UAV applications, owing to its high thrust-to-power ratio.
An EAD-propulsion UAV has no moving parts; instead, the surrounding air is ionized and accelerated by a high potential gradient to generate an ionic wind. However, no UAV with EAD propulsion has ever flown, in large part due to the weight of the required power electronics. For a particular practical EAD UAV design under investigation in large part due to the weight of the required power electronics.

This paper explores the design of a lightweight high-voltage power converter suitable for EAD propulsion system. A high voltage converter typically consists of three stages: a dc-ac inverter stage, an isolation/ transformation stage and an ac-dc rectifier stage. Passive components (inductors, transformers and capacitors), especially in the isolation and rectifier stage, contribute a major part of the weight. The paper firstly compares different approaches for voltage transformation and rectification in terms of the resulting weight. Furthermore, the overall weight of a converter based on the best identified approach is optimized by sweeping through combinations of voltage gain ratios with considerations of device limitations and losses. An optimized prototype converter rated at 40 kV and 600 W output is designed, constructed and tested, achieving a high specific power of 1.2 kW/kg, substantially higher than conventional designs in its power and voltage class.

Section II provides trade-offs among different rectifiers and isolation stages in terms of weight. Section III explains the optimization of the design. Section IV describes practical issues in building a lightweight high performance prototype, and presents experimental results from the prototype converter. Section V concludes the paper.

II. TOPOLOGY COMPARISON AND SELECTION

High voltage dc-dc converters achieve large step-up voltage conversion using a combination of: (1) resonant and/or multi-level inverters; (2) large-turns-ratio transformers; (3) voltage multiplier rectifiers; and (4) parallel-input series-output structures [15]. In conventional designs, two major sources of the overall weight of the converter are the isolation stage (e.g. transformer core and windings) and rectifier stage (e.g. high voltage capacitors, diodes and potentially the required mechanical structures for support and cooling). Increasing the switching frequency of the converter can reduce the weight of both the transformer and the high voltage capacitors, however the feasibility of doing so is limited by the performance of available high-frequency high-voltage diodes.

This section first compares the weights of different rectifier topologies considering the characteristics of available high voltage capacitors and diodes. Second, to establish tradeoffs in reducing the weight of the isolation stage, it compares the weight of conventional cored transformers, resonant transformers and piezoelectric transformers. Based on the requirements of the EAD application, a specific topology and combination is chosen.

A. Components Selection

The weights and the efficiencies of high voltage rectifiers and multipliers in different topologies substantially depend on available high voltage capacitors and diodes. We have conducted a background study of some available high voltage capacitors and diodes, as shown in Appendix. 2.

1) High-Voltage Capacitor Selection

Mica, film and ceramic capacitors are commonly used in high voltage power converters. For the EAD application, the rated voltage, the capacitance and the weight of the capacitors are three main considerations. Whereas the ESR and the current carrying capability of the capacitors are less important because of the relatively small output current.

When the rated voltage is below several kV (~ 4 - 5 kV), there are SMT options in all three materials, ceramic capacitors with similar capacitance yields to slightly lower weight. There are limited options when the rated voltage goes above 8 kV. Mica and film capacitors are designed for high voltage high current applications, resulting in bigger package and heavier weights. Ceramic capacitors with leads offers medium capacitance (up to 1 nF) and relatively high rated voltage (up to 15 kV), and are much lighter options.

Two lightest options are using ceramic SMT capacitors rated below 5kV in series and parallel or using one single ceramic through-hole capacitor. The latter option are more compact, have less surface tracking issue and potentially eliminates the needs of using PCB. Among the investigated parts, Murata DHR series capacitors have the least weight in 10 – 15 kV range, thus they are used in the following calculations. At a given rated voltage, the weight of the capacitor is shown to be proportional to its capacitance, thus a linear relationship can be extrapolated for other capacitances at this voltage rating.

2) High-Voltage Diode Selection

High voltage diodes are a key limitation in building a high frequency high voltage converter at tens of kV and hundreds of W. Traditional Silicon high-voltage diodes (> 8kV) have longer recovery time comparing with their low-voltage counterparts, thus are typically used at frequencies below 200 kHz. Commercial high voltage SiC diodes are mostly rated under 3.3 kV. They have no recovery time but big parasitic capacitance since they are mainly designed for high current applications.

Some representative high voltage diodes are summarized in Appendix 2. Cree’s C4D02120A, GeneSiC’s GAP3SLT33-214 and VMI’s VMI150FF3 are considered in later studies because of their small recovery time and relatively low parasitic capacitance. GAP05SLT80-220 could also be a good choice if at a more affordable price.

B. Comparison of voltage multiplier topologies

The typical topologies of the voltage multipliers (VM) used in high voltage converters include half-wave (H-W) and full-wave (F-W) Cockroft-Walton (CW) and half-wave (H-W) and full-wave (F-W) Dickson, as shown in Fig. 2. The weights of multipliers in each topology achieving different voltage gains are compared and shown in Fig. 3.

At the power and voltage level in this application, and with the device considerations described above, Cockroft-Walton topologies yield lower weight than Dickson topologies when
the number of stages exceeds 2; when the required voltage gain is lower than 8, full-wave topologies yields lower total weight (owing to interleaving reducing output capacitance), and so are strongly preferred. The process of the weight comparison is illustrated below.

Fig. 2 Typical topologies of voltage multipliers (a), HW CW; b) HW Dickson; c) FW CW; d) FW Dickson.

Fig. 3 Total weight of capacitors of Cockroft-Walton and Dickson Voltage Multipliers (20 kV 375 W output)

1) Weight comparison of different topologies

First of all, we pick a topology and the number of stages $n$, assuming to use this topology to build a voltage multiplier which can satisfy the following three specifications:

a) Output voltage at 20kV and output power at 375W. We only consider half of the desired power and voltage here because one can simply build a bi-polar VM to double the power and voltage.

b) The voltage droop due to the capacitor charge loss is less than 5%. This droop can be calculated using the equation

$$\Delta V_0 = \sum_{i=1,2,3,\ldots}^n \frac{Q_{C_i}}{C_1}$$

Where $Q_{C_i}$ is the charge on capacitor $C_i$, which can be calculated using the charge vector analysis method in [11].

c) The voltage ripple of the output voltage is less than 5% for all half-wave multipliers. This can be calculated, using the equation

$$\delta V_o = \sum_{i=1,2,3,\ldots}^{2l} \frac{Q_{C_{2i}}}{C_2}$$

To simplify the comparison, two assumptions are made: (1) In a given topology, all flying capacitors are the same and all output capacitors are the same. For example, in HW CW VM, C1 = C3, C2 = C4; (2) Output capacitors of FM VM are chosen to be ½ of the flying capacitors since ideally the ripple of two HW would cancel each other.

Then, we decide the capacitance and the number of capacitors based on the above three specifications and Appendix 2.

2) Finalizing the High-Voltage Diode

Cree’s C4D02120A, GeneSiC’s GAP3SLT33-214 and VMI’s VMI150FF3 are used to build three single-stage FW CW VMs in LTspice and compared. To achieve a 20kV output, with Cree or GeneSiC, one either needs to series-connect several diodes in each stage, or needs as many as 20 stages. The latter choice is ruled out due to the added weight of capacitors. The simulation results are shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4 Output voltages of three single-stage full-wave cockroft-walton multipliers using three different diodes

The voltage droop with VMI150FF3 diodes is the smallest due to the lower parasitic capacitance. Using multiple SiC diodes theoretically reduces the overall parasitic capacitance, but it still shows unacceptably droop in the simulation. In addition, series-connecting multiple SiC diodes can potentially require balance circuits and add more complexity. Therefore, this option is not further studied in this paper. The VMI150FF3 is selected as the most effective available diode. The design frequency is chosen to be 500 kHz considering the recovery time of 30 ns of the diode.

C. Comparison of isolation stages

Core-based transformers have dominated the isolation stage design in traditional high-voltage converters. The specific power of the transformer improves as the power increases, thus it is especially appealing in high voltage and high power designs.

Tesla-coil and piezoelectric transformers can eliminate the use of a heavy core. However the Tesla coil (constructed without a core) is a highly tuned structure and could potentially be light but may be relatively large because it is coreless [16] [17]. These aspects suggest that it has potential as an approach, but might represent higher risk for an application where both size and weight are important considerations.

Piezoelectric transformers (PZT) can achieve a power density of 40 W/cm3 [18], ideally a specific power of 5 kW/kg, which is higher compared with cored transformers especially at high voltage low power (e.g., tens of watts). They have been used in space applications below 20 kV and 200 W [18 - 21]. However when scaling up in power, one needs to series and
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parallel existing PZTs and the advantage on power density are gradually exceeded by the cored transformer as power levels rise.

To illustrate these tradeoffs, isolation stages are designed using these methods and the resulting weights are compared, as shown in Table 1. Cored transformer and Tesla coil are both designed to step up an input voltage of 500V 500 kHz AC to an output voltage of 10 kV, delivering 1 kW. The cored transformer uses ETD49 core, 1:20 turns ratio and PTFE film as the insulation material, resulting in a total weight of 320 g; the Tesla coil is designed following instructions [16] [17]. The PZT-based transformers are designed for the same voltage and power level using existing product from Transonor [20] and STEMiNC [21].

Table 1 Weight comparison of different isolation stages

|            | Rated power (kW) | Rated voltage (kV) | Total weight (g) | Specific power (kW/kg) |
|------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Cored xfmr | Use Transonor 100 W 3 kV piece, 3S3P to get 1 kW 10 kV | 160x9* | 3.125 |
| Tesla Coil | Use STEMiNC SMMTF55P6550 6W 2.5 kV piece, 4S42P to get 1kW 10kV | 8x168* | 0.74 |

*The weight are estimated with PZT material of 7.5 g/cm³

The Tesla coil shows doubled specific power, however it only gives an efficiency of 80% thus requires a 250 W increase in the input power from the battery packs. This would result in a max of 200 gram increase in the battery weight, making the advantage of coreless less obvious. In addition, core-based transformers provide more robustness in construction, and more flexible in their use than Tesla coils (as they do not require narrow-band operation), so are preferred.

For the EAD application, a preferred solution of a lightweight high voltage converter is an inverter, coupling to a core-based transformer and a multi-stage voltage multiplier. To simply, we only consider the transformer to have one sectionized secondary. The combination of multi-secondary transformers and multi-stage voltage multipliers could result in more optimal cases, but are not considered in this paper.

D. Resonant Topology Selection

High voltage transformers generally have a large number of secondary turns, resulting in large parasitic capacitances. To incorporate this capacitance, parallel resonant inverter and series-parallel resonant inverter are preferred. A full-bridge series-parallel resonant inverter is chosen because the following three reasons: it provides a factor of 2 in the voltage gain with negligible weight gain; it shows high efficiency in both light load and heavy load; it has a series capacitor to block dc voltage and thus prevent saturation of the transformer [22] [23].

Based on the constraints above, a converter comprising a series-parallel resonant inverter, a ferrite-cored transformer and a 6-stage interleaved full-wave Cockcroft-Walton multiplier operating at around 500 kHz yields low weight and good efficiency, as shown in Fig. 5.

III. DESIGN OPTIMIZATION

A. Optimizing two stages comprehensively

There are several factors influencing the total weight of the inverter and the transformer stage: voltage gains of two stages (thus the values of resonant capacitors and inductor as well as the turns ratio), the winding structure of the high voltage transformer (thus the number of sections, the number of layers, etc). The design space of these two stages is explored comprehensively to minimize the total weight of the inverter, inductor and transformer while maintaining good efficiency.

![Fig. 5 Topology of a 40 kV 750 W dc-dc converter](image)

**Fig. 5** Topology of a 40 kV 750 W dc-dc converter

**Design Transformer**

**Choose core, choose primary turns**
Based on requirements of core loss and magnetizing inductance

**Choose winding structure**
Provide most parallel capacitance and meet copper loss requirement

**Total Loss check**
Check total loss and temperature rise

**Resonant tank**
Calculate transformer primary-secondary current/voltage requirements

**Transformer Leakage inductance**

**Design Inductor**

**Choose core, choose winding turns**
Calculate the air gap based on inductance, Normal design based on core loss, winding loss and temperature rise

**Winding core weight**

**Calculate overall weight and efficiency**

**Choose the lightest solution**

**Fig. 6 Optimization flow chart**

The optimization process contains three steps, as shown in Fig. 6. In the first step, four variables are swept to determine a resonant tank: the quality factor Q, the natural frequency $\omega_0$, the series and parallel resonant capacitance ratio $C_p/C_s$, and the transformer turns ratio $k$; secondly, based on the electrical requirements and $k$, the transformer is designed; lastly, an external inductor is designed to fill the gap between the transformer leakage inductance and the resonant inductance.

To insure good coupling, both transformer windings are designed to be on the same leg. This yields smaller leakage but increases the efficiency. For each iteration, a transformer and an inductor are designed and the weights are summed together. The weight of each iteration are compared to find the smallest.

B. Transformer Winding Structure Design

When designing the transformer, an important criterion is to use the transformer parasitic capacitance as part of the parallel resonant capacitance.

The parasitic capacitance is dominated by the turn-to-turn and layer-to-layer capacitance of the secondary windings. It increases with the number of turns per layer and the number of
layers [28]. Multi-section secondary is a common solution to reduce the parasitic capacitance. A rule of thumb is that with n-sections the parasitic capacitance can be reduced to 1/n [29]. Different combinations of layer number and section numbers are swept to decide the transformer winding structure.

C. Optimal weight of the inverter and transformer stages

The total weight is mainly contributed by the transformer core, the external inductor and the secondary winding. Stepping from one core to another due to limited window factor or excessive loss normally result in a big step in weight. PQ40/40 core and ETD49 core are the lightest ones that fit our design. ETD49 core in 3F35 is chosen because of its availability, but ideally PR40/40 in either N49 or 3F35 would yield to a ~27 g reduction in weight without sacrificing the efficiency.

With the same transformer core and transformer core loss limitation, different turns ratio yields to different overall weight. When the turns ratio increases, the inductor weight decreases (since less voltage gain is required from the resonant tank) whereas the secondary winding weight increases (since more secondary turns). For ETD 49 core, the optimal point is around a turns ratio of 15 (with 150 secondary windings).

In this case, the required parallel capacitance is around 5 nF. After deducting the stray capacitances and the parasitic capacitance of the diodes, around 1.5nF is need from the transformer. This can be achieved by sectioning the secondary winding into 2. No higher number of sections is needed. Intuitively, higher section number will yield a lower capacitance and may require a bigger inductor thus bigger weight gain. The final component values in the converter are shown in Table 2.

### Table 2 Components in the prototype converter

| Components   | Parts                | Value         |
|--------------|----------------------|---------------|
| MOSFETs ($Q_n$,...,$Q_1$) | GS66504B            |               |
| Series capacitor $C_s$ | TDK C3216C0G series | 19.6 nF       |
| Parallel capacitor $C_p$ | Parasitic capacitances | ~5nF         |
| Inductor $L_s$ | Core size            | RM 14I        |
|              | Material             | TDK N49       |
|              | Winding              | MWS AWG 14(130/36) | 33.2 μH |
| Transformer  | Core size            | ETD49         |
|              | Material             | Ferroxcube 3F35 |
|              | Primary              | MWS AWG 14 (150/36) | 10:150 |
|              | Secondary            | Teledyne Reynolds | 18kV FEP wire |
|              | Bobbin               | ABS material  |
| Voltage multiplier | $C_p/C_n$          | Murata DHR series | 1 nF |
|              | Diodes               | VMI X150FF3   |

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND RESULTS

A prototype converter with closed-loop voltage feedback control based on the optimized design was built, as shown in Fig. 7(a). All the sensing, control and driver circuits are integrated on the printed circuit board and it needs no additional components other than a logic power (supplied by a 3.7V LiPo battery) in the EAD application. Fig. 7(b) shows the waveforms of the converter running at 500 kHz and converting 177 V to 38 kV at 500 W. Due to the limitations on the testing load, the converter is tested at three power levels. It achieves an efficiency of 85% at 600 W 40 kV output, 84% at 500 W 38 kV output and 81% at 300 W 40 kV output. The weight and voltage gain distribution of the converter are listed in Table 4.

There are three key considerations in construction of the voltage multiplier and the transformer.

1) Transformer bobbin and insulation

To fully utilize the window area, a customized bobbin with two-section secondary is made with ABS material, as shown in Fig. 8. The bobbin is center-tabbed so that the center node can be grounded to insure the symmetry. To increase the electrical insulation, PTFE tape, Kapton tape and high voltage dope are used on the bobbins and windings.
each node sufficiently separated from nodes at other potentials to avoid corona discharge; 3) making sure surfaces are sufficiently smooth to avoid corona discharge. We further detail the physical construction of the voltage multiplier and the basis for the key design decisions below.

a) PCB vs non PCB

A non-PCB based voltage multiplier structure is chosen over the PCB-based version for two reasons. First, the PCB adds extra weight despite providing physical support. Second, the PCB adds surface tracking paths. Eventually round bezels in Fig. 9 are used to provide necessary mechanical support to the nodes. It also eliminates the surface tracking paths and provide a smooth, curved surface to reduce the electric field.

b) Air-isolated vs PDMS-isolated

Potting and oil immersion are two popular methods in building high voltage power supplies to increase both the insulation and heat conduction capabilities; this would be especially valuable for the transformer and voltage multiplier. However, both approaches would add extra mass and more complex manufacture process as compared to an air-isolated design (where one is possible). A PDMS-potted single-stage VM was built and compared with an air-isolated VM, as shown in Fig. 10 (PDMS was chosen instead of Epoxy because its lighter weight and easier manufacture process). At the same input voltage, output voltage and power, the PDMS-potted VM heated up to 74 °C, whereas the air-insulated VM heated up to only 50 °C. In addition, potting brings much more difficulties for diagnose and reverse engineer; for a full 6-stage VM, the potting material would add ~30 grams of weight.

Thus an air-isolated structure was chosen as it can provide adequate insulation and thermal properties with careful design while yields lighter weight.

3) Heatsink design for the voltage multiplier

Si high voltage diodes are typically used at much lower frequencies (e.g., 200 kHz). Using them at 500 – 700 kHz significantly increases the switching loss thus the temperature. To quantify this tradeoff, a single-stage full-wave CW voltage multiplier was built and tested at various frequencies. The absolute temperature of diodes under tests is shown in Fig. 11. The switching loss significantly increased as the switching frequency exceeded approximately 420 kHz. To process the designed power at 500 kHz and higher, a special heatsink for the diodes was designed. A particular challenge of designing such a heatsink is to keep the electric field low to avoid corona discharge between heatsinks or between heatsink and devices.

Hollow copper tubes or round heat pipes are used for the heatsink, with each tube connecting to one node. Round smooth surfaces of these tubes also help to reduce the local electric field.
The prototype converter is used to drive electrode thrusters of an EAD UAV. A 3D printed mechanical mounting structure is designed to fix the voltage multiplier and the transformer. To avoid corona discharge, two inner pipes of the VM are used as the fix point of the structure, where the electric field is the lowest.

The prototype converter is fixed in the nosecone of the UAV, relatively far away from the electrode thruster, which are located near the wings of the UAV, as shown in Fig. 13. The electrode thruster resembles a nonlinear resistive load. At 40 kV, the first-generation thruster design draws about 300 W; at 32 kV, it draws about 150 W. Higher powers may be required in subsequent thrusters. The converter successfully drives both resistor and thruster loads.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper explores the design space and presents the design of a lightweight high-voltage converter for EAD propulsion applications. Various converter topologies are compared in terms of weight with considerations of device limitations. The weight of the converter is then minimized by design of the voltage gain of each stage. A prototype converter rated at 40 kV and 600 W is built, tested and achieves a specific power of 1.2 kW/kg, far above other designs in its power and voltage class. The approach taken here can likewise be used to facilitate the miniaturization and weight reduction of high voltage converters for many other applications.
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APPENDIX I

Commercial product and research designs compared in Fig. 1 are listed in Table I.

| Company       | Series | Output (W) | Input (V) | Specific weight (kg/kW) |
|---------------|--------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Matsusada AU  | 60     | 1200      | 100/200 VAC | 0.07                    |
| Matsusada WA  | 50     | 1200      | 100/200 VAC | 0.12                    |
| Matsusada W   | 40     | 350       | 100 VAC   | 0.09                    |
| Matsusada W   | 20     | 350       | 100 VAC   | 0.07                    |
| TDK ALE120A   | 20     | 1000      | 100/200 VAC | 0.18                    |
| UltraVolt C   | 6      | 250       | 30 VDC    | 0.21                    |
| Glassman EK   | 60     | 600       | 100 VAC   | 0.07                    |
| Glassman EQ   | 60     | 1200      | 100/200 VAC | 0.12                    |
| HiTek Power OL1k | 60   | 1000      | 200 VAC   | 0.07                    |
| Spellman SLM  | 60     | 600       | 200 VAC   | 0.09                    |
| Spellman SLM  | 1200   | 200 VAC   | 0.1        |
| Spellman SL150kV | 150  | 1200      | 200 VAC   | 0.03                    |
| EMCO 4000 series | 33   | 10        | 24 VDC    | 0.015                   |
| Keithley Model 2290-10 | 10   | 100       | 100/200 VAC | 0.027                   |
| iseg Spezialelektronik GmbH HPS 2ND GENERATION | 60 | 3000 | 230 VAC | 6.67 | |
| iseg Spezialelektronik GmbH SL150kV | 150 | 1200 | 200 VAC | 0.03 | |
| S. Mao [9]    | 35     | 2000      | 400 VDC   | 1.75*                   |
| D. Fu, et al [10] | 10   | 3700      | 600 VDC   | 2.78*                   |
| W.C. Hsu [11] | 40     | 300       | 400 VDC   | 0.61*                   |
| N. Shafiei, et al [12] | 10   | 1100      | 100 VAC   | 1.11*                   |

* these are estimated from the paper

APPENDIX II

A market research of commercial available high voltage capacitors and diodes listed in Table II.
Table II.1 Selected high voltage capacitors

| Manufacturer | Part No. | Material | Mounting | Rated Voltage (kV) | Capacitance (pF) | Weight (g) | Energy Stored per gram (mJ/g) |
|--------------|---------|----------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Vishay       | VJ1206A2211NGAY15Z | Ceramic | SMT      | 1                  | 220            | 0.03      | 3.67                        |
| Vishay       | VJ1210A2221XRATZ5 | Ceramic | SMT      | 1.5               | 2200           | 0.07      | 35.36                       |
| Murata       | DEBHE3D102Z2A1    | Ceramic | Leads    | 2                 | 1000           | 0.32      | 6.25                        |
| Murata       | GR455D07G1D10JKW01 | Ceramic | SMT      | 2                 | 10000          | 0.29      | 68.96                       |
| Murata       | DEC125101K4B4     | Ceramic | Leads    | 6.3               | 220            | 0.85      | 5.14                        |
| Murata       | DEC3B3102K4B4     | Ceramic | Leads    | 6.3               | 1000           | 2.22      | 8.94                        |
| Vishay       | 651R090GAT50      | Ceramic | Leads    | 10                | 500            | 2.89      | 8.65                        |
| Murata       | DHR4E4A151K2BB    | Ceramic | Leads    | 10                | 150            | 0.873     | 8.59                        |
| Murata       | DHR4E4A221K2BB    | Ceramic | Leads    | 10                | 220            | 0.92      | 11.96                       |
| Murata       | DHR4E4A102K2BB    | Ceramic | Leads    | 10                | 1000           | 2.86      | 17.48                       |
| Murata       | FD-10AU           | Ceramic | Screw    | 10                | 250            | 16.89     | 0.74                        |
| Murata       | DHR4E4B221K2BB    | Ceramic | Leads    | 12                | 220            | 1.156     | 13.70                       |
| Murata       | DHR4E4B31K2BB     | Ceramic | Leads    | 12                | 330            | 1.5       | 15.84                       |
| Murata       | DHR4E4B102K2BB    | Ceramic | Leads    | 12                | 1000           | 3.52      | 20.45                       |
| Vishay       | 651R150GATD10AM    | Ceramic | Leads    | 15                | 1000           | 18.48     | 6.09                        |
| Murata       | DHR4E4C221K2BB    | Ceramic | Leads    | 15                | 220            | 1.5       | 16.50                       |
| Murata       | DHR4E4C41K2BB     | Ceramic | Leads    | 15                | 470            | 2.82      | 18.75                       |
| Murata       | DHR4E4C681K2BB    | Ceramic | Leads    | 15                | 680            | 3.82      | 20.03                       |
| Murata       | DHR4E4C102K2FB    | Ceramic | Leads    | 15                | 1000           | 5.6       | 20.09                       |
| Murata       | DHS4E4D8SMX18     | Ceramic | Screw    | 20                | 880            | 39.3      | 4.48                        |
| Murata       | DLYV.22A          | Ceramic | Screw    | 20                | 1000           | 50.64     | 3.95                        |
| KEMET        | 746F110G0Y00M     | Film    | Leads    | 2                 | 1100           | 0.69      | 3.19                        |
| MWS          | 152MWS400GK       | Film    | Leads    | 4                 | 15000          | 3.289     | 36.49                       |
| MWS          | 152MWS1001K       | Film    | Leads    | 10                | 15000          | 8.8       | 85.23                       |

*Energy stored per gram is calculated by 0.5 x C x (Rated Voltage)^2/weight.

Table II.2 Selected high voltage diodes

| Manufacturer | Part No. | Vbr (kV) | Vr (V) | C(j (pF)) at 50V | Trr (ns) | Qrr/Qc (nC) | Recovery | Weight (g) |
|--------------|---------|----------|--------|-----------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Cree         | C4D02120A | 1.2      | 1.8    | 35              | 75       | 0.64      | -        | 96        |
| Rohm         | SC5205K5G | 1.2      | 1.6    | 55              | -        | -         | -        | -         |
| Infineon     | BD0209T20 | 1.2      | 1.8    | 25              | -        | 7.2       | -        | -         |
| VISHAY       | BYQ2T-M3  | 1.3      | 3.9    | 5 (520V)        | 75       | 0.469     | -        | -         |
| GenesiC      | GB01SL12-252 | 1.2   | 1.8    | 20              | -        | -         | -        | -         |
|              | GAP50133-214D | 3.3  | 1.7    | 14              | -        | 52        | -        | -         |
|              | GAP050L80-220 | 8     | 4.6    | 12              | -        | 8         | -        | -         |
| Dean technology | SP56G | 8       | 18     | 0.8             | 75       | -         | -        | -         |
|              | UX-F15B   | 15       | 16     | 3.7             | 50       | -         | -        | -         |
| Voltage Multipliers | EN6533/SMM/6533 | 5     | 9      | 1               | 70       | -         | -        | -         |
|              | Z100FFF   | 10       | 25     | 8.5             | 30       | 8.5       | 30       | 0.51      |
|              | X100FFF   | 10       | 25     | 2               | 30       | -         | -        | -         |
|              | X150FFF   | 15       | 37.5   | 2               | 30       | -         | -        | -         |
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