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Abstract: Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) is an adaptive network that can use the computation and learning abilities of artificial neural network together with the inference feature of fuzzy logic. The ANFIS system, which is used in the solution of many problems such as classification and estimation of deep learning applications, meets the needs in many different areas such as modeling, control, and parameter estimation. In recent years, heuristic methods have been used for the training of this network, which requires initial and result parameters by its structure. Moth-Flame Optimization Algorithm (MFO) is one of the current heuristic methods modeled by the influence of the spiral movement of the moths towards the light source. In this study, the MFO algorithm was used for the first time for the optimization of initial and result parameters in the ANFIS system. In the determination of parameters, nonlinear system identification, time series estimation, classification problems were tried to be solved. When the results obtained for the ANFIS trained with the known heuristic methods such as Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) and the results of ANFIS trained by the MFO were examined, it was observed that the MFO had lower error values.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays during which high-dimensional data are obtained, data mining methods are used to remove unnecessary data and obtain meaningful data. In recent years, the concepts such as machine learning, deep learning, and artificial intelligence have become frequently encountered terms in our lives. Artificial neural networks are the computing systems created by being inspired by the human nervous system, which are included among these concepts. Artificial neural networks are included in the literature as computing mechanisms used in many fields such as classification, estimation and modelling. The computing process that starts by the weighting of the given input values sends a value to the output if the processing elements called neurons transmit to each other via activation functions. The concept of fuzzy logic modelling was first introduced by Lütfi A. Zadeh [1]. The relations between the concepts in fuzzy logic are represented by quantitative expressions in verbal or numerical structure [2]. In the principles of fuzzy logic, a) approximate values should be used instead of exact values, b) everything should be expressed by the values between 0-1, and c) data should be processed as intermediate values. System inputs are converted to desired outputs with the rules determined by fuzzy sets created based on these principles. Inputs go through the fuzzification, control and defuzzification phases, respectively. ANFIS are decision-making mechanisms that integrate both neural networks and fuzzy logic inference methods. A data set based on input-output is needed for the applicability of the ANFIS method. The model created using a learning algorithm depends on the type and number of the membership function selected. ANFIS uses the set of fuzzy if-then rules created by it. In building the ANFIS architecture, the determination of parameters is based on in a way to minimize the difference between the output of the entire network and the target value, in other words, the error. In recent years, heuristic methods have been used in the determination of appropriate parameter values. In these methods, parameter values are initially taken as candidate solutions. Candidate solutions are updated in a way to provide the minimum error according to each method's unique update process. At the end of the method, ANFIS training is completed and the problem is solved with the best values.

In this study, the use of Moth-Flame Optimization (MFO) method [3], which is one of the current heuristic methods, in the solution of various problems, such as classification, nonlinear system identification, and estimation, using in ANFIS training will be presented. The rest of this study was organized as follows: Literature information about both ANFIS and MFO method will be given in the second section. In the third and fourth sections, MFO and ANFIS structures will be introduced, respectively. In the final section, the data sets and problems used, and the results obtained from ANFIS trained with MFO will be comparatively given with the PSO [4], GA [5], WOA [6] algorithms.

2. Related Work

MFO has been used in many fields such as medicine, economy, engineering, and agriculture due to its simple structure that does not require more parameters. In their study, Yamanny Waled et al. (2015) [7] used MFO in investigating the weight and bias values of Multilayer Perceptron to obtain the minimum error and high classification ratio. Hassanien, AboulElla, et al. (2017) [8] used MFO based on rough sets for tomato disease detection. Sayed (2016) [9] also included MFO in swarm intelligence algorithms he used for biomedical segmentation problems. El Aziz (2017) [10] used MFO to find the optimum threshold value in order to remove the time consumption problem in multilevel thresholding problems. Zawbaa (2016) [11] performed the attribute selection process, which plays an important role in machine learning, by means of MFO. Lal (2016) [12] used MFO to determine the
controller parameters. In the study of Jangir (2016) [13], used MFO in the solution of the emission constrained economic dispatch (ECED) problem, which is called as an allowable deviation in fuel cost and feasible tolerance in fuel cost. In the study in which MFO and Simulated Angeling were used together, Sayed (2018) [14] tried to solve the benchmark functions and the known engineering problems. It is possible to say that the PSO algorithm is generally used in the publications related to the training of ANFIS. Only parameter learning was performed in the publications in which ANFIS based estimation models were developed for the estimation of electricity costs, wind energy and customer happiness for a new product [15-19]. Turki (2012) [20] used the ANFIS trained by PSO for nonlinear system adaptive control. Haznedar (2016) [21] performed the classification of Liver microarray cancer data with the ANFIS trained using GA. Furthermore, in another study of Haznedar et al. (2016) [22], the ANFIS trained by GA was also used in dynamic system identification. In their study, Karaboga et al. (2014,2016) [23, 24] used the ANFIS trained with Artificial Bee Colony algorithm in nonlinear system identification. Thaganvel et al. (2016) [25] used the ANFIS architecture with parameter learning by using the Ant Colony Optimizer, for mammogram classification. Canayaz et al. (2018) [26] used the ANFIS trained with WOA for nonlinear system identification with ANFIS and time series estimation problem.

ANFIS training with MFO was not found in the literature search. For this reason, since this study carried out by us is the first study, it was aimed to give an idea about the performance of the ANFIS trained with MFO.

3. Moth-Flame Optimization Algorithm

It is a meta-heuristic method developed by Mirjalili (2016) [3] based on the navigation in nature approach of moths which is called transverse orientation. In this method, candidate solutions are considered as the moths and their locations in space are considered as problem variables. In this method, a moth flies by providing a constant angle relative to the moon. Since there are many artificial light sources outside the moon in nature, the moths turn towards all light sources they see at the same angle [27]. Although the flames in this method are candidate solutions like moths, unlike them, flames are considered as the best solution.

Flames can be thought of as flags or pins that are dropped by moths when searching the search area. Therefore, each moth searches for a flag (flame) and updates it in case of a better solution [3]. The logarithmic spiral movement equation is used while candidate solutions are updated. The movement of the moths in MFO around the light source is represented as in Figure 1.

![Moths movement](image)

**Fig. 1: Moths movement**

The moths in the method are represented in the following matrix form.
3.2.1. T function
This function controls whether the stopping criterion for the algorithm is met. As it is known, there are many options for stopping criterion such as maximum iteration, tolerance value, and the minimum changes in numerical values [28].

4. ANFIS
ANFIS has a wide usage area in the literature as special network structures that integrate the learning ability of artificial neural networks with the fuzzy systems’ ability to make inferences. The purpose of ANFIS is to integrate the best features of fuzzy systems and neural networks. Since "if-then" rule structure uses the input and output values, it is frequently used in estimation problems that require decision making mechanisms. ANFIS has a five-layer structure [29-32].

The most known models like Sugeno and Mamdani are used for fuzzy systems in ANFIS. Mamdani FIS uses the fuzzification technique of a fuzzy output while Sugeno FIS uses weighted average to calculate net output. Another important difference is that Sugeno FIS has a MISO (multiple input, single output) structure while Mamdani FIS has a MIMO (multiple input, multiple output) structure. Sugeno FIS is observed to be more advantageous in terms of computational efficiency and accuracy[33].

Layer 1
Each node in this layer represents a fuzzy set like A_i and B_i. The membership degrees that depend on the input samples and the membership function used are used as the output of the nodes. In other words, it is the layer where the input values are fuzzified. The node outputs are shown in equation (5).

\[ u_{i}^{2} = \mu_{A_i}(x) \quad \text{i=1,2} \]
\[ u_{i+2}^{2} = \mu_{B_i}(y) \]  

(5)

Here, x, y and i represent the deterministic input values in the node, A_i and B_i represent the fuzzy terms, and \( \mu_{A_i} \) and \( \mu_{B_i} \) represent the membership functions. In the network which has two different inputs like x and y, there are 2 different output values and 4 nodes in total as it is shown in equation (5). Membership functions of maximum 1 and a minimum 0 are used for each node. In general, any membership function, such as triangle, Gaussian, or generalized Bell, can be used for membership functions of the fuzzy set. The parameters in this layer are called Premise Parameters.

Layer 2
This layer is called a rule layer. The nodes in this layer symbolizes the rules and their number created using the fuzzy logic inference system.\( \mu_i \), output of the rule nodes, is the product of the membership degrees from the previous layer. Node output shows the firing strength of a rule. \( \mu_i \) values, where (i=1,…,n), are obtained as follows.

\[ \omega_i = \mu_{A_i}(x) \cdot \mu_{B_i}(y) \]  

(6)

Layer 3
In this layer, the firing levels from the rule layer are normalized. Therefore, this layer is called as the normalization layer. The firing level normalized for ith node is calculated as follows.

\[ \sigma_i = \frac{\omega_i}{\omega_1 + \omega_2} \]  

(7)

Layer 4
In this layer which is called defuzzification layer, the weighted output values of each rule are calculated. This calculation is obtained by the multiplication of firing levels that are normalized as follows with the \{p_i,q_i,\} values, the output parameters of the fuzzy inference system.

\[ \sigma_i f_i = \sigma_i (p_i x + q_i y + r_i) \]  

(8)

Layer 5
This layer is called as the output layer. The output of the ANFIS is obtained by the sum of outputs obtained for each rule in the previous layer. In this layer, a single number is generated by the defuzzification of fuzzy rules.

\[ f(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \omega_i f_i = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{4} \omega_i f_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{4} \omega_i} \]  

(9)

The learning algorithm of ANFIS is a hybrid learning algorithm consisting of the combined use of least squares method and backpropagation learning algorithm. There are 2 steps in the hybrid learning algorithm. These are 1. Forwardpass 2. Backwardpass

In the forwardpass process, premise parameters are constant while result parameters are updated using the least squares estimation. In the backpropass process, result parameters are constant, and backpropagation gradient descent method is used to update the premise parameters. Forward and backward pass processes are repeated with a certain number of epochs and training is completed[34,35]. The aim of the training process in ANFIS is to adjust the parameter sets to adapt ANFIS output to training data. In this study, the MFO algorithm was used to find global optimal parameter values.
5. Training ANFIS with MFO

In ANFIS, the parameter values in the fuzzification process in layer 1 and the defuzzification process in layer 4 are provided by MFO. These parameter values are expressed by the moth-flame position values in the algorithm. These parameter values are updated as the position of the moths is updated according to the flames, and ANFIS is trained with the updated parameter values. The RMSE error function shown in (10) is used for the fitness function that determines the suitability of solution in the optimization algorithms.

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}
\]  

\(\hat{y}_i\) used in (10) represents the output obtained by ANFIS at time I, and \(y_i\) represents the real output of the system. N represents the number of samples used in practice.

For MFO, initial parameters are 30 moth-flame, maximum number of iterations is 1000.

The steps to be followed in training the ANFIS with MFO:
- **Step 1:** Initial parameters for MFO
- **Step 2:** Creating MFO initial population
- **Step 3:** Creating initial FIS
- **Step 4:** Calculation of initial fitness values with ANFIS initial parameters
- **Step 5:** Updating Moth-flame values
- **Step 6:** Trying to find the minimum error value until the end of the maximum iteration
- **Step 7:** Showing the best parameter values with minimum error rate

6. Experiments

The application performed for the study was developed in the MATLAB environment on a computer with 8 GB, I7 processor. The algorithms used in the application were run independently for 30 times. The results show average RMSEAvg values and RMSEStd standard deviation values after 30 runs. The parameter values used for the algorithms and ANFIS are given Table 1.

Table 1: Parameters of ANFIS and Algorithm

| Algorithms | Parameters of Algorithm | Parameters of ANFIS |
|------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| MFO        | Max Iteration:1000     | fcm_U=2            |
|            | Population Size:30     | fcm_MaxIter=100     |
| PSO        | Max Iteration:1000     | fcm_MinImp=1e-5     |
|            | Population Size:25     | fcm=genfis3(sugeno) |
| GA         | Max Iteration:1000     | input mf=gaussmf    |
|            | Population Size:25     | output mf=linear    |
| WOA        | Max Iteration:1000     |                     |
|            | Population Size:30     |                     |

6.1. Data Sets

HTRU2[36] and Banknote[37] which are the current data sets loaded into UCI, as well as the data sets such as Wisconsin Breast Cancer[38], which is frequently encountered in the literature were used for classification problems. Data set properties are given in Table 2.

6.2. Classification Problem

Classification problems are among the problems that have to be solved commonly in the field of machine learning. In these problems, the aim is to group similar data according to their predetermined attributes. There is a significant need for tools to interpret and classify big data in decision making mechanisms that are used in the fields such as economy and medical. The fact that ANFIS has a structure that meets this need has made it widely used in classification problems. In order to increase the performance in the problems using ANFIS, the most significant attributes in the data sets are trained. Here, feature extraction from data sets has an important place. The Principal Component Analysis(PCA) method will be used in the extraction of the attributes to be trained. 3 attributes were selected for the Breast Cancer dataset with PCA, while 4 attributes were selected for HTRU2 and Banknote dataset. After PCA, all attributes are normalized by z-score method. The results obtained from the algorithms for the data sets used are given in Table 3. The accuracy graphs obtained for the classification problems are shown in Figures 3,4,5 respectively.

Table 2: Properties of Data Sets

| Data Set Name        | Description                                                                 | Type            | Dimensions          | Inputs                     | Output               |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Wisconsin Breast     | Predict whether a cancer is malignant or benign from biopsy details.         | Binary          | 699 instances,      | Integer (Nominal)          | Integer, 2 class     |
| Cancer               |                                                                             | Classification  | 10 attributes       |                                           | labels               |
| HTRU2                | Pulsar candidates collected during the HTRU survey. Pulsars is a scientifically interesting star species. Candidates should be classified into pulsar and non-pulsar classes to aid exploration. | Classification, Clustering | 17898 instances, 9 attributes | Real                        | Integer, 2 class labels |
| Banknote Authentication | Data were obtained from images taken for evaluation of an authentication procedure for banknotes. | Classification | 1372 instances, 5 attributes | Real | Integer, 2 class labels |
Table 3: Results of Classification Problems

| Algorithms | Wisconsin Breast Cancer | HTRU2 Data Set |
|------------|-------------------------|----------------|
|            | Train Data              | Test Data      |
|            | Class | Accuracy | Recall | Precision | Fscore | Class | Accuracy | Recall | Precision | Fscore |
| GA         |       |          |        |           |        |       |          |        |           |        |
| 2          | 0.97237 | 0.95945 | 0.99828 | 0.97847 | 0.975 | 0.9748 | 0.98372 | 0.9792 |
| 4          | 0.94463 | 0.85755 | 0.97983 | 0.91313 | 0.925 | 0.8111 | 0.9748 | 0.8942 |
| PSO        |       |          |        |           |        |       |          |        |           |        |
| 2          | 0.97552 | 0.96492 | 0.99752 | 0.98094 | 0.995 | 0.99444 | 0.99767 | 0.9960 |
| 4          | 0.97204 | 0.93975 | 0.97895 | 0.95879 | 0.9593 | 0.89359 | 1 | 0.94314 |
| WOA        |       |          |        |           |        |       |          |        |           |        |
| 2          | 0.97438 | 0.96253 | 0.99755 | 0.97973 | 0.9274 | 0.89722 | 0.94582 | 0.90975 |
| 4          | 0.91737 | 0.78295 | 0.98171 | 0.87097 | 0.9492 | 0.87667 | 0.94582 | 1 |
| MFO        |       |          |        |           |        |       |          |        |           |        |
| 2          | 0.97834 | 0.96949 | 0.99736 | 0.98322 | 0.9720 | 0.97561 | 0.97855 | 0.97694 |
| 4          | 0.97568 | 0.94623 | 0.98279 | 0.96938 | 0.94118 | 0.88765 | 0.9614 | 0.92259 |

Fig. 4: Accuracy graph for Banknote Dataset

Fig. 5: Accuracy graph for HTRU2 Dataset
For the Breast Cancer data set, the highest accuracy rate was obtained by MFO algorithm in the train data and PSO algorithm in the test data.

When looking at the results for Banknote dataset, PSO has the highest accuracy rate in train data. In the test data, the MFO algorithm achieved a better result than the other algorithms with a success rate of 100%.

Finally, in HTRU2 dataset, MFO algorithm has reached the highest accuracy rate in train data, while the test data shows the superiority of WOA algorithm this time. The Accuracy, Recall, Precision and Fscore values obtained from the algorithms are given in Table 3.

6.3. Nonlinear system identification

A dynamic system consisting of an input and an output (SISO) was used in the problem given for the identification of non-linear systems. Furthermore, for the ANFIS structure, 2 input values and 1 output value are used in problem 1 and 2. 3 input values and 1 output value are used in problem 3. Gaussian functions are used as the membership function for inputs in the ANFIS structure. Two input values for problem 1 and 2 of ANFIS are defined as the previous input \( u(k) \) and the previous output \( y(k) \) of the dynamic systems. The previous input \( u(k) \) and the previous output \( y(k) \) and \( y(k-1) \) of the dynamic systems are used as the input values for problem 3. \( y(k+1) \) is accepted as the output value for all problems. The input value \( u(k) \) for the sample 1 is obtained using equation 11. Equation 12 is used for the \( u(k) \) value of the samples 2 and 3. The use of the ANFIS and MFO pair in dynamic system identification is represented as in Figure 6.

\[
u(k) = \sin(\pi k / 25) \quad k < 250 \\
1 \quad 250 \leq k \leq 500 \\
0.3\sin(\pi k / 25) + 0.6\sin(\pi k / 10) \quad 500 < k \leq 1000
\]

For the example 1 and 2, 1000 input and output values are generated using the nonlinear system shown in Table 4. These are divided into 90% training and 10% test data and used in training the ANFIS. For the example 3, 300 data were generated using the nonlinear system shown in Table 1. These were divided into 80% training and 20% test data. The results of these problems obtained from PSO, GA, WOA, and the results of the proposed method are given in Table 5.

Fig. 6: MFO-ANFIS dynamic system identification

| Example | Inputs of ANFIS | Number of training/testing data | Nonlinear System |
|---------|----------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| 1       | \( u(k) \), \( y_p(k) \) | 900/100                        | \( y_p(k + 1) = \frac{y_p(k)y_p(k - 1)y_p(k - 2)u(k - 1)(y_p(k - 2) - 1) + u(k)}{1 + y_p(k - 1)^2 + y_p(k - 2)^2} \) |
| 2       | \( u(k) \), \( y_p(k) \) | 900/100                        | \( y_p(k + 1) = 0.72y_p(k) + 0.025y_p(k - 1)u(k - 1) + 0.01u^2(k - 2) + 0.2u(k - 3) \) |
| 3       | \( u(k) \), \( y_p(k) \), \( y_p(k - 1) \) | 200/50                         | \( y_p(k + 1) = \frac{y_p(k)y_p(k - 1)(y_p(k) + 2.5)}{1 + y_p(k)^2 + y_p(k - 1)^2} + u(k) \) |
Table 5: Results of Nonlinear System Identification Problems

| Algorithms | Example 1 | | | Example 2 | | | Example 3 | | |
|------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|
|            | Train Data | Test Data  | | Train Data | Test Data  | | Train Data | Test Data  | |
|            | Min RMSE   | RMSEAvg    | RMSEStd | Min RMSE   | RMSEAvg    | RMSEStd | Min RMSE   | RMSEAvg    | RMSEStd |
| GA         | 0.028317   | 0.034497   | 0.0049359 | 0.017587   | 0.025575   | 0.0058389 |
| PSO        | 0.023806   | 0.025845   | 0.0010108 | 0.024223   | 0.061103   | 0.022772 |
| WOA        | 0.04433    | 0.053142   | 0.0037386 | 0.042928   | 0.051326   | 0.0032938 |
| MFO        | 0.023945   | 0.025226   | 0.00067538| 0.025832   | 0.029011   | 0.0012295 |

As shown in the above table, MFO has reached low error rates in RMSE\text{train(Average)} value of example 1 and RMSE\text{test(Average)} value of example 2 and 3. The small standard deviations(RMSE\text{Std}) indicate that the results are consistent. For examples the target and output values obtained from all the algorithms are shown in Figures 7, 8, 9 respectively.

![Fig. 7: Targets and outputs values for example 1](image-url)
Fig. 8. Targets and outputs values for example 2

Fig. 9. Targets and outputs values for example 3
6.4. Time Series Prediction

6.4.1. Mackey-Glass

Mackey-Glass[39] is the Chaotic time series estimation problem. The aim of the problem is to estimate the \(x(t+6)\) value using the \(x(t-18), x(t-12), x(t-6), \) and \(x(t)\) values. The data set was divided into 500 training and 500 test data. The time series graph used for Mackey-Glass is given in Figure 10. The data used were obtained from the time-delay equation shown by equation 13 by Mackey-Glass.

\[
\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \frac{0.2x(t- \tau)}{1 + (x(t- \tau))^{10}} - 0.1x(t) \tag{13}
\]

When \(x(0) = 1.2\) and \(\tau = 17\), a non-periodic and non-convergent time series is obtained which is very sensitive to the initial conditions (assuming that \(x(t) = 0\) when \(T < 0\)). The results of Mackey-Glass time series prediction are given Table 6. Errors values which are obtained from algorithms are demonstrated Figure 11.

As shown in the below table, PSO algorithm gives the best result for this problem. The results of the MFO are close to the PSO.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Algorithm} & \quad \text{Train Data} & \quad \text{Test Data} \\
& \quad \text{Min} & \quad \text{RMSE} & \quad \text{Avg} & \quad \text{Std} & \quad \text{Min} & \quad \text{RMSE} & \quad \text{Avg} & \quad \text{Std} \\
\text{GA} & 0.0346 & 0.0597 & 0.0167 & 0.0343 & 0.0591 & 0.0167 \\
\text{PSO} & 0.0150 & 0.0214 & 0.0035 & 0.0150 & 0.0212 & 0.0037 \\
\text{WOA} & 0.0316 & 0.0417 & 0.0039 & 0.0312 & 0.0411 & 0.0038 \\
\text{MFO} & 0.0187 & 0.0227 & 0.0026 & 0.0187 & 0.0225 & 0.0029
\end{align*}
\]

6.4.2. Lorenz Attractor

Lorenz attractor, one of the chaotic continuous-time dynamic systems, is the time series resulting from the mathematical model of three-dimensional fluid convection proposed by Lorenz for the estimation of meteorological events. It is mathematically expressed as follows [40].

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx}{dt} &= \sigma(y - x) \\
\frac{dy}{dt} &= rx - y - xz \\
\frac{dz}{dt} &= xy - bz
\end{align*}
\tag{14}
\]

Here, \(\sigma, b, r\) are state variables. 1200 sample data set was used by the use of \(\sigma = 10.0, r = 28.0, b = 8/3\) values under initial conditions [41]. This data set was divided.
into 500 training and 500 test data sets.

The results of Lorenz attractor are given Table 7. Errors values which are obtained from algorithms are demonstrated Figure 12. As shown in the below table, MFO algorithm gives the best result for RMSEtrain, while PSO algorithm gives the best result for RMSEtest.

**Table 7: Lorenz Attractor Results**

| Algorithms | Min RMSE | RMSE Avg | RMSE Std | Min RMSE | RMSE Avg | RMSE Std |
|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| GA         | 0.2218   | 0.4363   | 0.1229   | 0.3288   | 1.3304   | 3.8890   |
| PSO        | 0.0887   | 0.1150   | 0.0188   | 0.2320   | 0.3011   | 0.0532   |
| WOA        | 0.2878   | 0.3540   | 0.0268   | 0.3743   | 0.4159   | 0.0191   |
| MFO        | 0.0796   | 0.1045   | 0.0153   | 0.2462   | 0.3421   | 0.2232   |

**Figure 12: Errors of Lorenz Attractor**

6.4.3. Rossler Attractor

This attractor which was created by Otto Rössler was revealed by modeling the stability in chemical reactions [42]. The mathematical expressions of the attractor are given in (15).

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx}{dt} &= -z - y \\
\frac{dy}{dt} &= x + ay \\
\frac{dz}{dt} &= b + z(x - c)
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

1000 sample data set was used by the use of a=0.15, b=0.20, c=10 values under initial conditions. This data set was divided into 500 training and 500 test data sets. The results of Rossler attractor are given Table 8. Errors values which are obtained from algorithms are demonstrated Figure 13.

As shown in the below table, MFO algorithm gives the best results than the others.

**Table 8: Results of Rossler Attractor**

| Algorithms | Train Data | Test Data |
|------------|------------|-----------|
|            | Min RMSE   | RMSE Avg  | RMSE Std |
|            | Min RMSE   | RMSE Avg  | RMSE Std |
| GA         | 0.4572     | 0.9028    | 0.2517   | 0.4687   | 1.2103    | 1.5822    |
| PSO        | 0.1815     | 0.3521    | 0.1382   | 0.2214   | 0.4942    | 0.1654    |
| WOA        | 0.8165     | 1.006     | 0.0940   | 0.7674   | 0.9724    | 0.0925    |
| MFO        | 0.1422     | 0.2659    | 0.0635   | 0.2113   | 0.4595    | 0.4851    |
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