THE CASE OF AB AURIGAE’S DISK IN POLARIZED LIGHT: IS THERE TRULY A GAP?
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ABSTRACT

Using the Near-Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer (NICMOS) coronagraph, we have obtained high-contrast 2.0 μm imaging polarimetry and 1.1 μm imaging of the circumstellar disk around AB Aurigae on angular scales of 0.3–3′ (40–550 AU). Unlike previous observations, these data resolve the disk in both total and polarized intensity, allowing accurate measurement of the spatial variation of polarization fraction across the disk. Using these observations, we investigate the apparent “gap” in the disk reported by Oppenheimer et al. In polarized intensity, the NICMOS data closely reproduce the morphology seen by Oppenheimer et al., yet in total intensity we find no evidence for a gap in either our 1.1 or 2.0 μm images. We find instead that region has lower polarization fraction, without a significant decrease in total scattered light, consistent with expectations for backscattered light on the far side of an inclined disk. Radiative transfer models demonstrate this explanation fits the observations. Geometrical scattering effects are entirely sufficient to explain the observed morphology without any need to invoke a gap or a protoplanet at that location.

Key words: circumstellar matter – planetary systems: protoplanetary disks – polarization – stars: individual (AB Aur) – stars: pre-main sequence

1. INTRODUCTION

AB Aurigae is one of the most intensively studied Herbig Ae/Be stars, on account of its proximity, brightness, and youth (distance d = 144 pc; visual magnitude V = 7.04 mag; age <3 Myr; spectral type A0e). Steadily improving observational capabilities have yielded increasingly detailed views of its complex and dusty environment, providing many insights into the nature of circumstellar disks (e.g., Grady et al. 1999; Fukagawa et al. 2004; Piétu et al. 2005).

In particular, Oppenheimer et al. (2008, hereafter Opp08) recently presented high angular resolution, high-contrast imaging polarimetry of AB Aur at 1.6 μm, obtained with the Lyot Project coronagraph on the Advanced Electro-Optical System (AEOS) 3.6 m telescope. These observations resolved the disk in polarized scattered light as close as 40 AU (0′′3) to the star. In polarized light the disk is not axisymmetric, but instead shows an apparent gap or depleted region at a radius of ~100 AU. Such a gap may be created by dynamical perturbations from a planet (e.g., Kuchner & Holman 2003; Wyatt 2005; Jing-Condell 2009). Intriguingly, Opp08 report a faint point source within the gap, which they conjecture could be the perturbing object—though they are cautious with this identification due to its low statistical significance (2.8σ).

Another challenge in interpreting the data from Opp08 is that the disk is visible only in polarized intensity, \( P = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2} \) (where \( Q \) and \( U \) are the usual Stokes parameters; see Tinbergen 1996 for a review of polarization fundamentals and notation). In their total intensity image (Stokes \( J \)), the residual speckle halo of the stellar point-spread function (PSF) completely dwarfs out the disk’s fainter light. The origin of features seen only in polarized light is ambiguous: any observed spatial variation may be due either to variation in the total amount of scattered light, or to a change in the polarization fraction of that light. The polarization induced by dust scattering depends strongly on the scattering angle (see Figure 1), allowing disk geometry or viewing angle to cause variations in the observed polarization which might be mistaken for extrinsic substructure within the disk.

In particular, for AB Aur the observed celestial position angle (P.A.) of the depleted region, 333° ± 2° (Opp08), is precisely aligned with the disk’s apparent rotation axis as inferred from CO emission line kinematics (330°–333°; Corder et al. 2005; Piétu et al. 2005). Is this alignment coincidental?

The most direct way to answer this question is to obtain images with enough contrast to directly detect the disk in total intensity, and then calculate the polarization fraction, \( P/I \). Such observations are best obtained with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), whose Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) and Near-Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer (NICMOS) coronagraphs both have provided sufficiently high contrast to precisely and accurately measure the polarization of disk-scattered light (Hines et al. 2000; Schneider & Hines 2007; Graham et al. 2007, D. C. Hines & G. Schneider 2010, in preparation).

In this Letter, we present new NICMOS coronagraphic imaging and polarimetry of AB Aur which clarifies the nature of the dark “gap” observed by Opp08. These data were obtained as part of a coronagraphic polarimetry survey of young stars across a range of masses and ages which will be reported more fully in future works (see Perrin et al. 2009, for a brief overview).
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Our data reduction approach follows that of Schneider et al.

(2005). Briefly, starting with pipeline-reduced images from

STScI, we corrected for bad pixels and sky/thermal background
emission in all images, and then median-combined the three

coronagraphic images for each POL*L filter. The F110W coro-
nagraphy and the unocculted imaging were reduced similarly.

Obtaining optimal PSF subtraction depends on accurate

registration and flux-scaling. Starting from flux ratios derived

from photometry of the unocculted stars, we adopted two

independent strategies to optimize the subtractions: (1) a manual

search visually compared subtractions of different reference

PSFs while interactively varying the registration and scaling to

minimize the residuals, and (2) an automated algorithm

evaluated subtractions across a grid in alignment parameter

space for each image pair. Each subtracted image was high-pass

filtered to reject diffuse light from the disk while emphasizing

features with angular scale comparable to the diffraction limit

(the characteristic size of speckle residuals). The variance on

this angular scale was minimized to find the best subtraction.

The best subtractions were obtained using GJ 273 as the PSF;

our two optimization approaches yielded subtracted data sets

with polarization fractions differing by $\lesssim 6\%$, which we adopt

as our polarization uncertainty.

Remaining artifacts in the subtracted images such as diffrac-
tion spikes were masked out. The images were rectified for

geometrical distortion, rotated to a common orientation, and

combined to produce final mosaics in each filter. From these

images the Stokes parameters $I, Q,$ and $U$ were derived us-

ing the POLARIZE software (Hines et al. 2000) which models

the imperfect linear polarizers in NICMOS. The output polar-

ized images were then smoothed by a one-resolution element

($\sim 3$ pixels $= 0''22$) Gaussian, but we present the undersampled

F110W data at full resolution.

The resulting images are shown in Figure 2. The F110W

subtractions are excellent, yielding a clean image with minimal

PSF residuals. The POL*L images are more affected by instru-

mental residuals due to optimization of the NIC2 coronagraphic

optics for shorter wavelengths, and to a better PSF template

color match at 1.1 μm. These factors result in 2.0 μm images

dappled with faint residual speckles, but that still clearly show

the bright circumstellar nebulosity.

3. RESULTS

A complex and asymmetric nebula surrounds AB Aurigae. The

F110W image clearly shows the multiple spiral arms previously observed, for instance, by Grady et al. (1999) and

Fukagawa et al. (2004). The bright inner region of the disk

extends out to $\sim 1''2$, and is brightest to the south and southeast,

as was seen by Fukagawa et al. At 2.0 μm in total intensity

(Stokes I), the overall surface brightness distribution is similar
to that seen at 1 μm, albeit at lower angular resolution and

contrast.

The 2.0 μm polarized intensity image (the lower left panel of

Figure 2) reproduces with high fidelity the polarized intensity

pattern from Opp08. Both images show the region of lower

polarized intensity at P.A. = 333° between two brighter “shoul-

ders” on either side, the brightness enhancement southwest of

the star, and even the scalloped, almost-concave southern edge

of the bright polarized region. Comparing the NICMOS and

AEOS data sets, the NICMOS image is more sensitive and

traces polarized light further from the star ($\sim 7''$ versus $\sim 1''2$),

while the AEOS image has slightly better angular resolution, and

better speckle rejection due to the simultaneous differential

technique (Kuhn et al. 2001; Perrin et al. 2008). Yet the most

significant advantage of our NICMOS observations is that they

allow direct measurement of the polarization fraction as the ratio

$P/I$ (Figure 2, bottom center).
The percentage polarization revealed this way varies strongly around AB Aur: The disk’s southeastern half is much less polarized than the opposite side, with polarizations of $\sim 25\% \pm 5\%$ and $45\% \pm 12\%$, respectively. The two bright “shoulders” are seen to be regions of maximum polarization ($\sim 55\%$), separated by the lower polarization ($\sim 40\%$) region corresponding to the “depleted region” from Opp08. The symmetry axis of the overall polarization pattern is $328^\circ \pm 3^\circ$, aligned with both the “gap,” and with the inferred rotation axis of the disk (Corder et al. 2005; Piétu et al. 2005). The claimed “gap” in polarized intensity is now seen to be a region of lower polarization fraction, and not a region of decreased total disk-scattered light. There is no significant decrease in surface brightness in any of the total intensity images at this location (see the white circles in Figure 2).

4. A MODEL FOR SCATTERING FROM AB AUR

The alignment of the polarization pattern with the disk’s inclined rotational axis provides a convincing indication that scattering geometry and not disk substructure predominantly causes the observed appearance in polarized light. As shown in
Table 1

| Parameter                  | Values |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Inclination                | $13^\circ$–$89^\circ$, 20 steps evenly spaced in cos $i$ |
| Dust composition           | Astrosilicates$^a$, olivine$^b$, ISM silicates+carbon mixture$^c$ |
| Grain porosity             | 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.9 |
| Grain maximum radius       | 1, 20, 200 |
| Grain size power law       | $-2.5, -3.5, -4.5$ |

Notes. Fixed model parameters: stellar $T_{\text{eff}} = 9772$ K, $L_* = 47L_\odot$, dust mass $10^{-4} M_\odot$, disk $R_{\text{inner}} = 0.2$ AU, $R_{\text{outer}} = 350$ AU, scale height $h = 14$ AU at $R = 100$ AU, disk flaring $h \propto R^{1.5}$; see Tannirkulam et al. (2008) and references therein.

$^a$ Draine & Lee (1984) Weingartner & Draine (2001).
$^b$ Dorschner et al. (1995).
$^c$ Mathis & Whiffen (1989).

Figure 1, the polarization of dust-scattered light is maximized for scattering angles near or just above 90$^\circ$, and then decreases for scattering angles closer to 180$^\circ$. The higher polarization of the northwestern half of the disk indicates that side is tilted away from us, the most distant part of the disk, where the light is most strongly backscattered, has lower polarization without any need to invoke clearing.

To demonstrate that this scenario accounts for the observed polarization around AB Aur, we produced model images using the MCFOST Monte Carlo radiative transfer code (Pinte et al. 2006). We concentrated on fitting the 2.0 $\mu$m polarization by varying dust properties, holding most disk parameters (e.g., radii, scale height, etc.) fixed based on previous modeling (e.g., Tannirkulam et al. 2008, and references therein). The inclination of AB Aur’s disk is not precisely known: using CO kinematics, some authors have found inclinations as high as 33$^\circ$–40$^\circ$ (Piétu et al. 2005; Lin et al. 2006), but others favor 21$^\circ$ or less (Corder et al. 2005). Lower inclinations are also supported by the observed very low column density of hydrogen and high Ly$\alpha$ wind velocity (Roberge et al. 2001), and by near-infrared interferometry (Eisner et al. 2004). Due to the uncertainty, we allowed the inclination to vary as a free parameter.

We evaluated models across a grid in parameter space for plausible dust populations (see Table 1). For each model, we computed the spectral energy distribution (SED) and 2 $\mu$m total and polarized images at a range of inclinations. Images were convolved with a 0.22 Gaussian to match the data’s resolution. To find the best fit, we first discarded models which did not provide an acceptable match to AB Aur’s SED, then calculated each model’s azimuthal polarization profile in an $0.7^\circ$–$1.5^\circ$ annulus, and computed the $\chi^2$ statistic relative to the observed 2.0 $\mu$m polarization profile.

The best-fit model uses silicate grains with 60% porosity, maximum grain size 1 $\mu$m, and a power-law size distribution with index $= -3.5$; see Figures 3 and 4. These parameters are similar to those inferred for solar system cometary dust grains (Petrova et al. 2000; Shen et al. 2009).

We found that inclinations of 22$^\circ$–35$^\circ$ best fit the scattered light around AB Aur, consistent with the range inferred from CO velocities. With the above dust parameters, inclinations of $\geq 35^\circ$ better reproduce the polarization drop from backscattering. Lower inclinations $\leq 22^\circ$ improve the fit to the average polarization of the near and far sides, but do not show as strong a decrease in polarization on the far side as is observed. Lower inclinations also better simultaneously fit the total intensity profile as well as polarized intensity (see Figure 4).

These slight discrepancies are most likely due to oversimplifications in our dust model: MCFOST assumes simple Mie scattering from spherical dust grains, an imperfect approximation for actual circumstellar dust, which is believed to consist of irregular fractal aggregates (Dominik et al. 2007, and references therein). Realistic scattering properties for fractal grains can be calculated through more computationally intensive techniques such as the discrete dipole model (e.g., Petrova et al. 2000; Das et al. 2008; Shen et al. 2009). Aggregate grains seem promising candidates to provide a better fit for AB Aur.

Specifically, discrete dipole calculations in some cases predict polarizations that peak at lower scattering angles (90$^\circ$ or below) and decrease more strongly toward 180$^\circ$ compared with Mie results (see, for instance, Figure 8 of Shen et al. 2009). That shift toward higher polarization at lower scattering angles would broaden the azimuthal polarization profile and could deepen a dip from backscattering, two changes that would improve our fit to AB Aur. (In Figure 4, the visible offset between the observed profile’s polarization peaks, near 90$^\circ$ and 270$^\circ$, and the model profiles’ peaks, near 135$^\circ$ and 225$^\circ$, directly shows the need for dust grains whose polarization maximum occurs at lower scattering angle.) Improving disk models to use more sophisticated dust treatments during radiative transfer is a logical next step.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Structure in AB Aurigae’s Disk

The pattern of polarization resolved around AB Aur indicates unambiguously that the spatial variation of polarized light there is due primarily to the geometry of scattering from the inclined disk’s surface. These data do not support the hypothesis of significant clearing in the disk near P.A. = 333$^\circ$.

Independent of but simultaneously with this study, a numerical investigation of protoplanet shadows in disks reached a similar conclusion, finding the observed morphology around AB Aur inconsistent with the presence of any protoplanet above 0.3 Jupiter masses (H. Jang-Condell & M. J. Kuchner 2010, in preparation).

While the previously claimed gap does not appear to be present, there is real structure within AB Aur’s disk which is visible in scattered light, including polarization. Many of the asymmetric spots seen in the 2.0 $\mu$m polarization fraction image coincide with the spiral arms as seen in the 1.1 $\mu$m image. For instance, the northeasternmost region of high polarization, near (1.7, 0) in the coordinate system of Figure 2, is precisely aligned with the brightest spiral arm. Overplotting or blinking these images shows several such alignments. Perturbations in the disk’s surface, from either localized changes in scale height or warps in midplane location, could change the scattering geometry at the optical depth $\tau = 1$ surface to produce the observed minor variations in polarization. For optically thick disks, surface features seen in scattered light do not necessarily correlate with conditions at the midplane (Jang-Condell & Boss 2007), but in the case of AB Aur there is direct evidence from CO emission that the bulk of the gas deviates from pure Keplerian rotation (Lin et al. 2006). These perturbations and/or warps might contribute to the difficulty in firmly establishing the system’s inclination. But this just raises the inevitable next question: what causes those fluctuations?

Though we find no direct evidence for any disk gap due to a protoplanet, there still remains a case for the presence of a companion somewhere around AB Aur: both the strong spiral
structure seen in dust-scattered light and the non-Keplerian dynamics revealed by gas emission lines argue for the existence of a planetary-mass body perturbing the disk. Other perturbation mechanisms, such as gravitational instabilities in the disk or a stellar-mass companion, seem to be ruled out observationally (Piétu et al. 2005; Lin et al. 2006). The detection of this stellar-mass companion thus awaits future improvements in high-contrast imaging to reveal.

5.2. Interpreting Features in Imaging Polarimetry

Differential polarimetry, as used by Opp08 and others, is a proven technique for observing circumstellar dust at high contrast from the ground with adaptive optics (AO). Such observations will become increasingly common with upcoming extreme AO systems, such as the Gemini Planet Imager (Macintosh et al. 2006) and SPHERE (Beuzit et al. 2008). For many disks, these instruments will provide high-contrast images only in polarized light.

We have shown here that care is required when interpreting such data. Variation of polarization with scattering angle cannot be neglected, even for low inclination targets like AB Aur. Yet AO differential polarimetry can still yield precise measurements of disk structure and dust properties, provided the degeneracy between polarization fraction and intensity can be broken. This may be possible through multiwavelength observations, which are differently sensitive to scattering geometry (Watson et al. 2007), or in combination with independent constraints on geometry such as from CO emission.

For brighter disks, extreme AO should allow accurate measurement of polarization fraction from the ground, but for now, HST NICMOS offers the highest polarimetric precision for measurements of disk-scattered light.

Based on observations made with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope. Support for program GO-11155 was provided by NASA through a grant from the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555. M.D.P. is supported by an NSF Astronomy & Astrophysics Postdoctoral Fellowship. G.S. and D.H. were also supported by programs HST/GO 10847 and 10852. C. Pinte acknowledges the funding from the European Commission Seventh Framework Program as a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellow (PIEF-GA-2008-220891). M.D.P. thanks Ben Oppenheimer and Misato Fukagawa for discussions, and for sharing their data in FITS format.
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